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CONTEMPORARY CARICATURE OF ARKESILAS IV．（？）AS THIE MRRCHANT－KIME，
The picture，in four colors on a whitish ground，the inner surface of a large vase found at Vulci（published Monumenti dell＇Instituto I．Tav．xlvii．；Annali 1833，p．66）， represents a king，APKEEIAAE，superintending from his throne under an awning the activity of five menials in short tunics or aprons，seen busied about a balance， （玉T）Aemoz．One is intent upon the weighing of a white，fleecy substance，apparently wool．The stuffing of a frail with the same merchandise has just been completed by two others，$£ \triangle I \Phi O M A X O E$ and IPMOФоPO』．The king，who is asked in＂visible speech，＂OPYE $\Omega$ ，to authorize the storing of the bale under ground，joins his over－ seer，IOФOPTO』，and the baler in keeping tally of the same．A slave in the back－ ground is carrying a bale．The underground storehouse or vault is seen in the exergue．Two slaves are hurrying to pile their bales on the stack to the right；an admonition to haste，vulgarly couched in the（Doric）inf．pres．，MAEN，issues from the mouth of the faster runner．The entrance is guarded by a diminutive figure，ФYAAKOz， wrapped in a tribon．A Cyrenaic fauna enlivens the principal scene with local color； satirical intention reveals itself in the fantastic，barbarian attire of King Arkesilas， and in the amusingly un－Caucasian features of master and slaves，no less than in the absurdity of the subject．It is on the unpopularity of the sovereign and his monopo－ liea that the artist has erected the fabric of his fun．
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## PREFACE.

The Text of this edition of the Olympian and Pythian Odes of Pindar has been constituted according to my best judgment, and that best judgment has excluded all emendations of my own. The Notes owe much to preceding editors; it would be affectation to say that they owe everything. The Introductory Essay is intended, as the whole book is intended, for beginners in Pindar, and much of the earlier part has been transferred from a series of semi-popular lectures, the sources of which I could not always indicate with exactness, even if it were worth while. The Metrical Schemes are due to the generosity of Dr. J. H. Heinrich Schmidt, who kindly placed at my disposal the MS. of his unpublished Pindar. In these schemes the comma indicates regular caesura or diaeresis, the dot, shifting caesura or diaeresis. The other points are sufficiently explained in the Introductory Essay. In order to facilitate the rhythmical recitation of the text, I have indicated the stressed syllables by an inferior dot wherever it seemed advisable, the simple indication of the $\kappa \tilde{\omega} \lambda a$ not being sufficient, according to my experience with classes in Pindar. This has added much to the trouble of proof-reading, and I owe especial thanks to Mr. C. W. E. Miller, Fellow of the Johns Hopkins University, for his careful revision of text and schemes in this regard. My friend and colleague, Professor C. D. Morris, has done me the inestimable favor of ex-
amining the Notes and the Introductory Essay, and the treatment of every ode is much indebted to his candid criticism, his sound scholarship, and his refined taste. Mr. Gonzalez Lodge, Scholar of the Johns Hopkins University, has lightened, in thankworthy measure, the task of preparing the Indexes; and Dr. Alfred Emerson, Lecturer on Classical Archaeology, has aided me in the selection of the illustrations, most of which are reproduced from the admirable work of Percy Gardnzr, "Types of Greek Coins." Every effort has been made to secure typographical accuracy, and in the last stage of the revision Professor Drisler's practised eye and wide knowledge have been of great service in bringing about such degree of correctness as this edition presents.

Basil L. Gilderslegve.

## Jobng Hopiins University, Balmiora, <br> January 1, 1885.

A new edition of this work having been called for, I have gladly availed myself of the opportunity thus afforded of correcting a number of slips and oversights. In the search for minor errors, which are not less vexatious to the scholar because they are minute, I owe much to the keen vision of my friend, Professor Milton W. Humphreys, late of the University of Texas, now of the University of Virginia, and I desire to express my warmest thanks to proof-readers and compositors for their patience and courtesy under a long and heavy strain.

[^0]
## INTRODUCTORY ESSAY.

## I.

The names of Pindar's parents are variously given. If we follow the prevalent statement, he was the son of Daïphantos; and his son, in turn, after established Greek usage, bore the name Daïphantos. His brother, of uncertain name, was a mighty hunter, and much given to athletic sports, and this has suggested the unfailing parallel of Amphion and Zethos. The names of his mother, Kleodike (or Kleidike), of his wife, whether Timoxene or Megakleia, his daughters, Protomache and Eumetis, have an aristocratic ring, for there were aristocratic names in antiquity as in modern times. There is no reason for mythologizing Kleodike, Timoxene, Megakleia. As well allegorize Aristeides, Perikles, Demosthenes, because their names happen to fit their fortunes. But Pindar's aristocratic origin rests on surer foundations, and we have good reason for calling him an Aigeid (P. 5, 69-71). Pindar an What the relations were between the Theban and AIgeld. the Spartan Aigeidai is a matter of lively discussion. It is enough for understanding Pindar that it was an ancient and an honored house, and that Pindar was in every fibre an aristocrat. This explains his intimacy with men of rank, and his evident connection with the priesthood-the stronghold of the aristocracy. To his aristocratic birth, no less than to
 or banquet of the gods at Delphi-an honor which was perpetuated in his family; and the story that he was a priest of Magna Mater is confirmed by his own words (P. 3, 77-79), if not suggested by them.

Pindar was born at Thebes, the head of Boeotia-Boeotia, a canton hopelessly behind the times, a slow canton, as the

## Boeotia.

 nimble Attics would say, a glorious climate for cels, but a bad air for brains. Large historical views are not always entertained by the cleverest minds, ancient and modern, transatlantic and cisatlantic ; and the annals of politics, of literature, of thought, have shown that out of the depths of crass conservatism and proverbial sluggishness come, not by any miracle, but by the process of accumulated force, some of the finest intelligences, some of the greatest powers, of political, literary, and especially religious life. Modern illustrations might be invidious, but modern illustrations certainly lie very near. Carrière compares Boeotia with Austria and the Catholic South of Germany at the close of the eighteenth century, with their large contributions to the general rise of culture in song and music. If such parallels are not safe, it may be safe to adduce one that has itself been paralleled with the story of the Island of the Saints, and to call attention to the part that the despised province of Cappadocia played in the history of the Christian Church. A Cappadocian king was a butt in the time of Cicero; the Cappadocians were the laughing-stock of the Greek anthology, and yet there are no prouder names in the literary history of the Church than the names of the Cappadocian fathers, Basil and the Gregories. But, apart from this, Boeotia has been sadly misjudged. Pindar, Pelopidas, and Epameinondas were not all, nor yet greater recommendation of the study of Greek lyric poetry than this-that it enfranchises the reader from Athenian prejudice and Athenian malice, while Athens herself is not less dear than before. Pindar, then, was an aristocrat in a canton ${ }^{1}$ that a modern census-taker might have shaded with select and special blackness. Himself born at Thebes, his

[^1]parents are said to have come to the city from an outlying northwestern deme, Kynoskephalai, a high hill overlooking the swamp Hylike. Of his infancy we know nothing.

> Pindar of Eynos- The tale that bees distilled honey on his lips is told over and over of the childhood of poets and philosophers. Non sine dis animosus infans, we are as ready to believe to be true of him as of any other great man. Of course he enjoyed the advantage of an elaborate training. Perhaps Boeotians trained even more than did the Athenians. The flute he learned at home, and it is supposed that at a later period

## Tralning.

 he enjoyed the instructions of Lasos of Hermione, the regenerator of the dithyramb; although it must be noted that the Greeks have an innocent weakness for connecting as many famous names as possible in the relation of teacher and pupil. The statement imposes on nobody. One goes to school to every great influence. It is only honest to say, however, that if Pindar studied under Lasos he was either an ungrateful scholar or underrated his indebtedness to his master. Unfortunately the jibbing pupils are sometimes the best, and the teacher's fairest results are sometimes gained by the resistance of an active young mind. At all events, Pindar has very little to say about training in his poems, much about native endowment, which was to him, as an aristocrat, largely hereditary. We may therefore dismiss Pindar's teachersSkopelinos, Apollodoros, Agathokles. It is enough for us to know or to divine that he was carefully trained, and bad to submit to the rude apprenticeship of genius. First a drillmaster for others, then a composer on his own account, he had to work and wait. His great commissions did not come until he had won a national name. Goethe has commended, as others had done before and others have done since, the counsel of noble women to all who seek the consummation of art, the caput artis, decere. Korinna-the story is at least well invented-Pindar's fellow-student, not his teacher,> TKorinna.

gave him a great lesson? In his first poem, he had neglected to insert myths. Admonished of this omission by Korinna, and remembering that his monitress was herself fa
mous for her handling of the myth, he crowded his next hymn with mythological figures - the fragment is still preserved (II. 1, 2) -whereupon she said, with a smile: "One ought to sow with the hand, not with the whole sack" (rị Xєpì deìv $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \varepsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ö $\left.\lambda \varphi \tau \tau \bar{\varphi} \theta \nu \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\alpha} \kappa\right)$. It is unnecessary to emphasize the feminine tact of the advice. On another occasion Korinna is said to have blamed Pindar for having used an Attic word. This, also, is not a bad invention. It accords with the conservative character of woman ; it accords with the story that Korinna won a victory over Pindar by the familiar charm of her Boeotian dialect as well as by the beauty of her person, a beauty not lost in the picture at Tanagra, which represented her in the act of encircling her head with a fillet of victory. Aelian, an utterly untrustworthy scribbler, adds that Pindar, in the bitterness of his heart, called his successful rival a swine. If Pindar used the phrase at all, it must be remembered that Boowtia $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbf{O} .6,90)$ was a common ex-pression-half spiteful, half sportive-and that the moral character of the swine stood higher with the Greeks than it stands with us. The swine-woman of Phokylides, who was neither good nor bad, was not the sow of the Old Testament or the New. The Greeks were brotherly to the lower animals. Bull, cow, heifer, cock, ass, dog, were at all events not beneath the level of the highest poetry.

Encouraged, perhaps, by Korinna's success, a younger poetess, Myrtis, attempted to cope with Pindar. She was inglori-

## Myrtis.

 ously defeated, and sharply chidden by Korinna, with the sweet inconsistency of her sex.Pindar was twenty years old when he composed the tenth Pythian in honor of Hippokleas of Thessaly. This poem, as Pindar's ear- the firstling of Pindar's genius, has a special interliest poem. est; but it requires determined criticism to find in it abundant evidence of the crudeness of youth. If Pindar was twenty years old at the time when he composed the tenth

> Date of his birth. Pythian, and the tenth Pythian was written in honor of a victory gained Pyth. 22 (OI. 69, $3=502$ в.c.), Pindar must have been born in 522 b.c. A close contempo-
rary of Aischylos (born 525 в.c.), Pindar suggests a comparison with the great Athenian; but no matter how many external resemblances may be found, nay, no matter how many fine sentiments and exemplary reflections they may have in common, the inner dissidence remains. ${ }^{1}$ One question always arises when the Mapa0wvoцáx $\eta$ s and Pindar are compared, and that is the attitude of the Theban poet during the Persian Pindar and war. Was Pindar in thorough sympathy with the the Persian party of the Theban nobility to which he belonged by birth, by training, by temperament, or was he a friend of the national cause-as it is safe to call a cause after it has been successful? Within the state there seems to be no question that Pindar was a thoroughpaced aristocrat, and those who think they have noticed greater liberality in the middle of his life have to acknowledge that he became more rigid towards the close. Without the state his imagination must have been fired by the splendid achievements of the Hellenes, and his religious sense must have been stirred by the visible working of the divine power in setting up and putting down. He could not but be proud of the very victories that told against his own country, and yet there is no note in all his poems that shows the kinship that reveals itself in Simonides. The story that the famons fragment in praise of Athens brought upon him the displeasure of his countrymen, which they manifested by the imposition of a heavy fine, reimbursed twofold by the Athenians-this story, with all its variations, the statue, the $\pi \rho o \xi_{\varepsilon} v i a$, has not escaped the cavils of the critics, and does not, in any case, prove anything more than a generous recognition of the prowess of an alien state, if, after all, anything Greek could be alien to a man so fully in sympathy with all

[^2]that made Greece what it was. For in the sense that he loved all Greece, that he felt the ties of blood, of speech, above all, the ties of religion, Pindar was Panhellenic. The pressure of the barbarian that drew those ties tighter for Greece generally, drew them tighter for him also; but how? We are in danger of losing our historical perspective by making Pindar feel the same stir in the same way as Aischylos. If he had, he would not have been a true Theban; and if he had not been a true Theban, he would not have been a true Greek. The man whose love for his country knows no local root, is a man whose love for his country is a poor abstraction ; and it is no discredit to Pindar that he went honestly with his state in the struggle. It was no treason to Medize before there was a Greece, and the Greece that came out of the Persian war was a very different thing from the cantons that ranged themselves on this side and on that of a quarrel which, we may be sure, bore another aspect to those who stood aloof from it than it wears in the eyes of moderns, who have all learned to be Hellenic patriots. A little experience of a losing side might aid historical vision. That Pindar should have had an intense admiration of the New Greece, should have felt the impulse of the grand period that followed Salamis and Plataia, should have appreciated the woe that would have come on Greece had the Persians been successful, and should have seen the finger of God in the new evolution of Hellas-all this is not incompatible with an attitude during the Persian war that those who see the end and do not understand the beginning may not consider respectable.

The life of a lyric poet was usually a life of travel. Arion is the type of a wanderer, Ibykos and Simonides journeyed

## Travels.

 far and wide, and although we must not suppose that Pindar went whithersoever his song went, he was not a home-keeping man. His long sojourn in Sicily is beyond a doubt. Aigina must have been to him a second home. Journeys to Olympia, to Delphi, to Nemea, are certain. If he studied under Lasos, he must have studied at Athens, and it is likely that he was familiar with many partsof Greece, that he went as far north as Macedon, as far south as Kyrene. Everywhere he was received with respect, with veneration. Myths were woven about him as about

## Myths.

 few poets, even in myth-loving Greece. Not only did the princes of earth treat him as their peer, but the gods showed him distinguished honor. The Delphic priests, as we have seen, invited him to the وégévia as a guest of the divinities, and, more than this, Pan himself sang a poem of Pindar's, and Pindar returned thanks for the honor in the parthenion beginning ${ }^{\top} \Omega \Pi$ $\Omega$, Of a piece with this story is the other that Pindar had a vision of a walking statue of Magna Mater, and it is needless to say that Magna Mater, Pan, and the rest are all combinations from various allusions in his poems. Unworthy of critical examination as they are, such stories are not to be passed by in silence, because they reflect the esteem in which the poet was held.The death of Pindar, as well as his life, was a fruitful theme. The poet prayed for that which was best for man. The god,Ammon, or Apollo, - sent him death on the lap of his favorite Theoxenos,-according to one legend, in the theatre at Argos, according to another, in the gymnasium. His bones, however, rested in Thebes. Persephone-or was it Demeter?-

Death of appeared to him in vision, and reproached him with
Pindar. not having celebrated her in song, her alone of all the deities, and she prophesied at the same time that he would soon make up for his shortcomings when he should be with her. In less than ten days Pindar had gone to "the blackwalled house of Phersephona" (O.14, 20), daughter of Demeter. After his death he appeared in vision to an aged kinswoman, and repeated a poem on Persephone, which she wrote down after she awoke, as Coleridge did Kubla Khan, and thus preserved it for after-times. The time of Pindar's death is very uncer-

> Time of Pindar's tain. It is commonly supposed that he lived to an advanced age. Some make him die at eighty ; others see no proof of his having gone beyond sixtysix. One prudent soul, with wise reserve, says he did not live to see the outbreak of the Peloponnesian war. The latest poem
that we can date certainly is 0.4 (Ol. 82,452 b.c.), but P. 8 is often assigned to 450 b.c.

Sundry apophthegms are attributed to Pindar. Most of them show the aloofness, so to speak, of his character.
Apoph- "What is sharper than a saw? Calumny." "What
thegms. wilt thou sacrifice to the Delphic god? A paean." "Why dost thou, who canst not sing, write songs? The shipbuilders make rudders but know not how to steer." "Simonides has gone to the courts of the Sicilian tyrants. Why hast thou no desire to do the same? I wish to live for myself, not for others." These expressions at least reproduce the temper of the man as conceived by antiquity. Such a self-contained personage could never have made himself loved by a wide circle. Admired he was without stint, often without true insight. The reverence paid his genius was manifested in many ways. Familiar to all is the story that when Thebes was pillaged and destroyed by the Macedonian soldiery, the house of Pindar was spared ${ }^{1}$ by the express order of Alexander the Great, whose ancestor he had celebrated in song (fr. VIII. 8).

## II.

The poems on which Pindar's fame chiefly rests are the ह́तeviкıa, or Songs of Victory, composed in celebration of sucworks. cesses gained at the great national games. It is true that these poems constituted only one phase of his work, but they are the most important, the
èтเviкıa. most characteristic, of all. Else they had not alone survived entire. They were more popular than the others, says Eustathios, because they addressed themselves more to human interests, the myths were fewer, and the obscurity was less. But these reasons, which are strange to us now, do not account for the survival. That which embodies the truest, inliest life of a people comes down, the rest perishes and passes over into new forms. Antique epos, antique tragedy, the Old Attic

[^3]comedy, the énevikia of Pindar-for these there is no Avatar, and they live on; and yet it would not be doing justice to the rare genius of Pindar to judge him by the $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v i c u} \alpha$ alone, and fortunately the fragments of the other poems that remain are long enough to justify a characteristic, or at all events long enough to vindicate his versatility. The Pindar of
 now his mood is sweeter, tenderer, now brighter and more sportive, than in the éтıviкıa.

But a rapid enumeration must suffice here. The Pindaric fragments are arranged under the following heads: 1. " $\Upsilon_{\mu \nu o u, ~}$

Fragments. the fundamental notion of which is praise ( $\kappa \lambda$ éos).

1. $v \mu \nu o t$. The fragment of the $\tilde{v} \mu v o s$ that called forth the counsel of Korinna suggests a «入éog in every line. 2. Macâves. The Doric name ( $\Pi$ atáv $=\Pi a \iota \omega$ и́v) shows a Doric origin, and

## 2. тauâve૬.

 Moṽa, says Plutarch). The theme is either petition or thanksgiving. Pindar's paeans are mainly on A pollo, to whom, with his sister Artemis, the paean originally was exclusively addressed. The paean seldom had orchestic accompaniment, and so forms a contrast to 3. ${ }^{\text {' }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi о \rho \chi \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, in which the dancing is prominent, and in which there is a close correlation between the theme and the orchestic movement. The greatest master of this mimetic composition was Simonides of Keos, aùròs aúroû «ра́тьбтos. The hyporchemata were more secular than the paean, and represented the exuberant joy of the festival. Pindar composed a hyporchema in honor of Hieron, of which we have fragments; and famous is the passage also from the hyporchemata touching the eclipse of the sun. 4. Of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o \delta^{\prime} \alpha$,
> 4. прого́8.a. or processional songs with flute accompaniment, Pindar composed two books, the most considerable fragment of which was prepared for a $\pi о \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$ to Delos, the others for a $\pi о \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$ to Delphi. 5. Пap日́vea, with flute
5. тapoínc. accompaniment in the Dorian mood for choruses of virgins in honor of gods, as Apollo or Pan, in the fragments of Pindar; or of men, as Hieron (P.2,18).
6. 'Eysúpia are landatory poems in the widest sense. In a narrower sense they are songs sung at the Dorian

## 6. ৯үкс́јма.

 $\kappa \omega \bar{\mu} \boldsymbol{s}$ in honor of distinguished men, and evidently it would often be difficult to tell an $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota v i c t o v$ from an Éरќwцеоv. 7. Mapoivia, or "drinking-songs," of which the 7. тapoivıa, $\sigma \kappa$ ólıa, or rather $\sigma \kappa o \lambda c a a_{,}{ }^{1}$ were sung by individokodiá. uals at banquets. The name is puzzling, and has been variously explained in ancient and in modern times; the "obliquity" of the aкo入ıóv being referred now to the zigzag way in which the song was passed on from singer to singer, now to the character of the rhythm. Engelbrecht, the most recent investigator, maintains that it was a generic name for the lighter Aiolian (Terpandrian) composition in contradistinction to the gravity of the epic. As developed in literature the skolia were brief, pithy songs, almost epigrammatic. The themes were love, wine, the philosophy of life, the stirring scenes of history. Clement of Alexandreia compares them oddly, but not ineffectively, with the psalms. The most famous of all the Greek oкo入cá is that of Kallistratos in honor of Harmodios and Aristogeiton, the slayers of Hipparchos developed the $\sigma \kappa o \lambda t o v^{v}$ and put it into a choral form, the chorus dancing while the singer was singing. All which is much disputed. ${ }^{2}$ The fragments that we have are dactylo-epitrite. One of them is referred to in the introduction to O. 13. 8. The dithyramb ( $\delta i \theta \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha \mu \beta_{0 \varsigma}$ ) - a half-dozen etymologies 8. סิOט́par $\beta$ ot. might be given, each absurder than the otheris a hymn to Iakchos (Bakchos), the mystic god, whose more mundane side is expressed by the name Dionysos. It is a fragment of one of Pindar's dithyrambs that preserves to us the memorable encomium of Athens:



[^4]9. Yet one more department must be mentioned-one in which l'iudar attained the highest excellence. Simonides, his rival, touched tenderer chords in the $\theta \rho \eta$ vos, or "lament," and the fragment that tells of Danaë's lullaby to Perseus, the noble tribute to those who died at Thermopylai, are anong the most precions remains of Greek poetry. But Pindar's $\theta \rho \eta \bar{\eta} \nu u$ struck a higher key, and at the sound of his music the gates of the world beyond roll back. The poet becomes a hierophant.

## III.

A song of victory is as old as victory itself, and only younger than strife, "the father of all things." The unrenowned $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu-$ theme. ঠоцáұas á入є́ктшן, spoken of by Pindar, chanted his The own epinikion before the flood. Old songs of vicEpinikion. tory are familiar to us from the Bible-Miriam's song, Deborah's song, the chorals of virgins that sang "Saul hath slain his thousands, but David his ten thousands." Pindar himself mentions the old $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda o s$ of Archilochos, a hymn on the heroes of the games, Herakles and Iolaos, the river $\lambda \lambda a$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i v i k o s$, the "See the conquering hero comes," which was chanted by the victor's friends in default of any special epinikion. No one who has read the close of the Acharnians of Aristophanes is likely to forget it.

There were singers of epinikia before Simonides and Pindar, but we shall pass over the obscure predecessors of these two princes of Hellenic song, to whom the full artistic development of the lyric chorus was peculiarly due, pausing only to point out to the beginner in Pindar, who is ordinarily more familiar with the tragic chorus than with any other, the fundamental difference between tragic and lyric. The tragic chorus has been called the ideal spectator, the spectator who represents the people. It is the conscience, the heart of the people. In the best days of the drama the chorus follows every turn

Lyrie of the action, heightens every effect of joy or sorrow
choras. by its sympathy, rebukes every violation of the sacred law by indignant protest or earnest appeal to the powers
above. If the coryphaens or head man speaks, he speaks as the representative of the whole.

But in Pindar the chorus is the mouthpiece of the poet, and does not represent the people except so far as Pindar, Mouthpiece through the chorus, expresses the thought of the of the poot. Greeks and reflects their nationality. In the tragic chorus old men and young maidens, hardy mariners and captive women are introduced; but under all the dramatic proprieties of expression, we see the beating of the Greek heart, we hear the sound of the Greek voice. In Pindar's epinikion we never forget Pindar.

The victories in honor of which these epinikia were com. posed gave rise to general rejoicing in the cantons of the victors, and a numerous chorus was trained to celebrate duly the solemn festivity. This public character brought with it Seope of the a grander scale, a more ample sweep, and the epiniEplikion. kion took a wider scope. It is not limited to one narrow line of thought, one narrow channel of feeling. There is festal joy in the epinikion, wise and thoughtful counsel, the uplifting of the heart in prayer, the inspiration of a fervent patriotism; all these, but none of them constitutes its character. That character is to be sought in the name itself. The epinikion lifts the temporary victory to the high level of the eternal prevalence of the beautiful and the good over the foul and the base, the victor is transfigured into a glorious personification of his race, and the present is reflected, magnified, illuminated in the mirror of the mythic past. Pindar rises to the height of his great argument. A Theban of the Thebans, an Aigeid, a Kadmeian he is, and continues to be, but the games were a pledge and a prophecy of unity, and in the epinikia Pindar is national, is Panhellenic. From the summit of Parnassos he sweeps with impartial eye the horizon that bounds Greek habitation. Far in the west lies Sicily, "the

> Panhellenism of the Epinikion. rich," with Syracuse, "the renowned, the mighty city," "sacred pale of warrior Ares," " of heroes and of horses clad in iron, foster-mother divine," and "the fair-built citadel of Akragas, abode of splendor, most beauti-
ful among the cities of men, abiding-place of Persephone," and Kamarina, watered by the Hipparis, with its "storied forest of stedfast dwellings," and Himera with its hot springs, haunted by the nymphs, and Aitna, "all the year long the nurse of biting snow." He looks across the firth to Italy, to the land of the Epizephyrian Lokrians, and from his height "bedews the city of brave men with honey." Then, turning southward, he descries Libya, "the lovely third stock of the mainland," where "Queen Kyrene" "unfolds her bloom." Eastward then to Rhodes, "child of Aphrodite and bride of the sun," to Tenedos, "resonant with lute and song." Now home to Greece and Argos, "city of Danaos and the fifty maidens with resplendent thrones," "the dwelling of Hera," " meet residence for gods, all lighted up with valorous deeds." Long does his gaze linger on Aigina, no eyesore to him, however it may be to the Peiraieus. One fourth of the epinikia have for their heroes residents of that famous island which Pindar loved with all the love of kindred. "Nor far from the Charites fell her lot," "this city of justice," "this island that had reached unto the valorous deeds of the Aiakidai," "her fame perfect from the beginning," "the hospitable Doric island of Aigina." Yet he is not blind to the merits of Aigina's foe. Every one knows by heart the words that earned him the great reward. In the dithyramb Athens is 'E入入ádos ${ }^{\prime} \rho \varepsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu a, \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota v a i$ 'A $\theta a \tilde{a} v a l$ : in the epinikia she is "the fairest prelude for founding songs." His glance takes in with rapid sweep Lakedaimon and Thessaly. "If Lakedaimon is prosperous, Thessaly is happy; the race of one, even Herakles, ruleth both." Nearer he comes, now to "famed" Opus, now to Orchomenos by the waters of Kephissos, land of steeds, dwelling-place of the Charites, and then his eye rests in brooding love on Thebes, the theme of his earliest song, "Thebes of the seven gates, mother mine, Thebes of the golden shield."

It is evident, then, that the theme was no narrow one, that all that was best, highest, most consecrated, all the essential Hellenism in Pindar had ample scope. And now, even to
those who know nothing of Pindar, except by the hearing of the ear, the great games of Greece have been brought nearer by the recent excavations at Olympia, and the brilliant scene The games. of the Olympian festival is more vivid than ever to the imagination. We see the troops of pilgrims and the hosts of traffickers wending their way to the banks of the Alpheios, the rhetorician conning his speech, the poet hugging his roll of verse, the painter nursing his picture, all seeking gold or glory at the festival. Few landscapes so familiar now as the plain of Pisa, with its sacred river and his mischievous brother, Kladeos. The fancy can clothe the Altis again with the olive, and raise sunny Kronion to its pristine height, and crown it with the shrine to which it owes its name. We see again temples and treasure-houses, the flashing feet of the runners, the whirlwind rush of the chariots, the darting of the race-horses, the resolute faces of the men who ran in armor, the gleaming flight of the javelins, the tough persistence of the wrestlers, each striving to put off on his antagonist the foulness of defeat. The scene is lighted up by the midmonth moon, and the revolving Horai seem to have brought back the music of the past to which they danced more than two thousand years ago. Everything that has been brought to light in Olympia has brought with it new light for the scene, for the games. The Hermes of Praxiteles is henceforth for Olympia. us the impersonation of the youthful athlete, whose physical prowess has not made him forget tenderness and reverence. The Nike of Paionios revives for us the resistless rush of victory; the breeze that fills her robe quickens the blood in our veins. Stadion, the oldest of all the games, most characteristic of all, as it symbolized Greek nimbleness of wit, Greek simplicity of taste, pentathlon, pancration, the chariot race, the race with horses, all these become more real to us for statue and vase, disk and tablet. We mingle in the eager crowds, we feel the tremulous excitement, we too become passionate partisans, and swell the volume of cheers. Many masters of style have pictured to us the Olympic games, but these things belong to masters of style, and no
futile rivalry will be attempted here with what has helped 80 many to a clearer image of the great scene. Yet, after all that has been said by word-painter and by archaeologist, the poet must give the poet's meaning to the whole. Reconstruct Greek life and we shall better understand Pindar. With all my heart ; but after the reconstruction we shall need the poet's light as much as ever, if not more.

It is only in accordance with the principle of the organic unity of Hellenism that the acme of Greek lyric art should have embodied the acme of Greek festal life. The great games of Greece are as thoroughly characteristic of her nationality as the choral poetry which was the expression of them and the crown of them. Choruses we find everywhere, games we find everywhere, but despite all recent advance in athleticism, the Greek games were superior in plastic beauty to their modern analogues, as superior as were the Greek choruses to the rude dance and the ruder song of May-pole and vintage. The point of departure may have been the same, but the Greeks alone arrived.

The origin of the great games of Greece is to be sought in

> Origin of games.

Delphic influences. the religion of Greece, ${ }^{1}$ and the influence of Delphi,centre of the religious life of the people,-was felt in every regulation that controlled these famons contests. The times of the performance were in the hands of the priests, the cycle was a religious as well as an astronomical cycle. Eight years, the great year of expiation, the great $\lambda v \kappa a ́ f a c$, the hecatomb of months, the period of the great $\pi o \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$ from Tempe to Delphi, was subdivided into shorter periods for the performance of the games.

The contests themselves may have come over from Asia, as Thukydides says, but a marked point of difference was the absence of intrinsically valuable prizes, which so as-

## Prizes.

 tonished the attendants of Xerxes. At other games prizes of value were bestowed, and lists are given in Pindar, but at the great games the prize was a simple wreath. It istrue that abundant honor awaited the victor at home, special seats at festivals, free table in the prytaneion, and other immanities and privileges, but the honor was the main thing, and though it was not dearly bought,-for the two great historians, Herodotos and Thukydides, unlike in so many things, never forget to mention the agonistic achievements of the characters that cross their pages,-though the honor was not dearly bought, it was bought not only with toil, but with money, whether in training for the contest, or in outlay for horse and chariot, or in the celebration of the victory.
Early noted, early emphasized, was another difference between Greek games and Oriental. The human form, as some-

Greek games and thing sacred in its perfection, was displayed in all its beauty and strength to the eye of day, as to the eye of the god. The Oriental games bore the mark of their bloody origin in self-mutilation. Under Dorian influence, even the Ionian dropped his trailing robes and brought a living sacrifice to his deity, the fresh bloom of young manhood, the rich effiorescence of the gifts of fortune.

Of these festivals the greatest was the Olympian, "the sun in the void ether," that makes the lesser lights pale into noth-
Olympian ingness, the fire that shines in the blackness of sames. night, and makes night look blacker by its brilliancy. The establishment of it, or the re-establishment of it, marks the union of the Doric island of Pelops, and it speedily rose to national importance. The ufrst recorded victory is that of Koroibos (бтaסíy veкйбas), 776 b.c. The Olympian games were celebrated at the end of every four years, beginning, according to the older view, with the first full moon following the first new moon after the summer solstice, according to the recent investigations of Unger, with the second full moon

> Pythian, Nemean, Isthmian. after the same. The Pythian festival, celebrated in the third year of each Olympiad, was revived and put on a firmer footing in 586 в.c., and the establishment or revival of the Nemean is assigned to 573 B.C., of the Isthmian to 582 B.c., and it is no mere coincidence that the rise of this
new life belongs to the same century that witnessed the downfall of the ambitious houses that had acquired despotic power in Corinth and Sikyon.

There were games all over Greece-one sometimes wearies of such lists as are unrolled in 0.13 -but these four were of
National national significance, all of them Amphiktyonic, all significance
of these more or less under Delphic, under Apollinic influ-
games. ence. A sacred truce was proclaimed to guarantee the safety of pilgrims to the games, and a heavy fine was imposed on any armed body that should cross the border of Elis in the sacred month. In this peace of God the opposing elements of Greek nationality met and were reconciled. The impulsive Ionian was attuned to the steadier rhythm of the Dorian, and as Greek birth was required of all competitors, the games prepared the way for a Panhellenism which was no sooner found than lost. And yet, despite this Panhellenic character, the games did not entirely lose the local stamp. The Pythian games, for instance, were especially famous for their musical contests, the Isthmian gave the most ample opportunity for commercial exchange.

Two moral elements, already indicated, enter into the games.
móvos They are called by homely names, toil and ex-
Samáva pense, móvos $\delta a \pi a ́ v a ~ \tau \varepsilon{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ They are moral eleтє. ments because they involve self-sacrifice, submission to authority, devotion to the public weal. "So run that ye may obtain" is not merely an illustration, it is a lesson. Whether it be fleetness of foot or swiftness of horse, it demands the renunciation of self-will, and the glory is, after all, not the winner's, but the god's, for the beanty that shone forth on the stadion, the wealth that glittered in the festal display, Honor pald came alike from God. The games themselves are the gods. held in honor of the gods, the Olympian and Ne mean of Zeus, the Pythian of Apollo, the Isthmian of Poseidon. Their praise is often the burden of the song, and the

[^5] cai สย์vฯ,
poems in which they are not magnified may be counted on one hand.

The great national heroes of Greece share in the honor. Herakles is hardly less vividly present to our mind at the Olympian games than Zeus himself. Indeed the Herakles of Pindar might well claim a separate chapter. ${ }^{1}$ And as the games are a part of the worship of the gods, so victory is a token of their favor, and the epinikion becomes a hymn of thanksgiving to the god, an exaltation of the deity or of some favorite hero. The god, the hero, is often the centre of some myth that occupies the bulk of the poem, and it may seem at the first glance, perhaps after repeated reading, that mere caprice had dictated the choice of this or that myth rather than another, but closer study seldom fails to reveal a deeper meaning in the selection. The myth is often a parallel, often a prototype. Then the scene of the victory is sacred. Its beauties and its fortunes are unfailing sources scene of of song. We learn how Pelops of yore won the the victory. chariot-race against Oinomaos, we learn how Herakles planted the Altis with trees, and brought the olive from the distant land that lies behind the blast of shrill Boreas. Not less favored is the land of the victor. Country and city are often blended with goddess or heroine whose history of

## Clity of the

 trial and triumph prefigures the trial and triumph victor. of the victor. Then the history of the house often History of carried the poet up to the higher levels of poetry, his house. for the house was not unfrequently an old heroic line going back into the mythic past. The epinikion is thus lifted up above the mere occasional poem, and we can well understand how such a crown of glory as a Pindaric ode would be carefully preserved and brought forth on each recurrence of the festal day. Such a poem has often for its theme a grand tradition, traditional hospitality, traditional freedom from $\dot{v} \beta p$ cs, that arch-crime against the life of a Greek state, traditional victories. Even when the fortunes of[^6]a house have been chequered, what is lost in brilliancy is gained in human interest. The line disowned of Fortune comes to its rights again. The glory of the grandsire is revived in the third generation. Then there is the victory itself with all the splendor that attends it-the sacrifices, the processions, the banquets, the songs; and, not least, the songs, for Pindar magnifies his calling, and large space is given to the praise of poetry.

From this rapid enumeration of the elements of the epinikion, it will appear that the range is not narrow. There is scope enongh for the highest work, as high as the brazen heaven not to be climbed of men, deep as the hell in which "yon people" bear toil and anguish not to be looked at with mortal eye, broad as the family, the house, the race, mankind. And yet the poetry of Pindar does not lose itself in generalities. He compares his song to a bee that hastes from flower to flower, but the bee has a hive. He compares his song to a ship, but the ship has a freight and a port. His song does not fly on and on like a bird of passage. Its tlight is the flight of an eagle, to which it has so often been likened, circling the heavens, it is true, stirring the ether, but there is a point on which the eye is bent, a mark, as he says, at which the arrow is aimed. The victory is not forgotten. The epinikion is what its name implies. Not a set piece of poetic fire-works, nor yet, as many would make it out to be, a sermon in rhythm. It is a song of praise. But all extravagance of eulogy is repressed by the dread of Nemesis, by that law of

The EpiniKion a song of praise. Limits. balance which kept the Greek in awe of presumption. The victor may see his image transfigured into the form of hero, or even god; only he is reminded that he is of the earth. Mì $\mu a ́ \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ Zıvis yevéofar. Sometimes the praise is veiled with the myth, but when it is direct, it is delicate. The victor's garland, he says, demands the song, but the song is not such a trumpet-blast as would blow the garland off the victor's head, if not the victor's head as well. That is modern eulogy. Of course it will be said that Pindar's eulogy was eulogy to order, but it was
not falsehood with a cunning makeweight of good advice. The eulogy spends itself where eulogy is earned. To whiten Hieron is easier than to blacken Pindar. The excellence of the victors in the athletic contest, of men like Diagoras, of boys like Agesidamos, the liberality of Theron, of Hieron, of Arkesilas in the chariot-race, are assuredly fit themes for praise. The prosperity of the victor and his house, as a sign of God's favor, might well deserve the commendation of the poet. But Pindar was too high a character to make deliberate merchandise of falsehood, and while it runs counter to commonsense to suppose that he availed himself of his commission to read the high and mighty tyrants of Greece lectures on their moral defects, he is too much a reflection of the Apollo, who is his master, to meddle with lies. With all his faults, Hieron was a Doric prince of whom Dorians needed not to be ashamed, but there is reserve enough in Pindar's praise of a man like Hieron to make us feel the contrast when he comes to Theron. Unfortunately, Pindar is not expected to have humor, and the jest of "the hireling Muse" and "the silvered countenance"be it "of Terpsichore" or "of songs" (I. 2, 7)-has done him harm with critics of narrow vision.

In all estimates of Pindar's poetry, it is important to re-

> Pindar's relations to the victors. member that he belonged to the aristocracy of Greece, that his poems were composed for the aristocracy, and that he spoke of them and to them as their peer. No man of the people is praised in his poems. It is the purest fancy that Thrasydaios (P.11) was other than a man of the highest birth. Now men of aristocratic habits are scrupulously polite to persons of inferior position with whom they may be brought into social contact. Among their own set their manners are less reserved. And Pindar was in his own set when he was among these Olympian and Pythian victors, and there was a strain of familiar banter in his poems that would not have been tolerated or tolerable in any ordinary man. It is not likely that he made an allusion to Psaumis's gray hair (O. 4). If he did, it would pass. It is undeniable that he made a harmless jest at the insignificant
appearance of his townsman Melissos (I. 3). When he hints at envy and feud, he has the tone of one who knows all the secrets of a coterie, and when he sorrows, he sorrows as one who has carried the body of a friend to the tomb. If we had mémoires pour servir, Pindar's reserves, his enigmas, his aristocratic intimacies might be forgiven. As it is, those who cannot amuse themselves by reconstructing the scandalous chronicle of the fifth century, often end by hating a poet whose personality for love or hate is stamped deep on all his works.

## IV.

Men who themselves owed everything to form have been found to maintain that translation conveys the essential, and тносент. that the highest survives the process of transmission without any considerable loss. Far less dangerous is the paradox of Moriz Haupt, "Do not translate: translation is the death of understanding. The first stage is to learn to translate ; the second to see that translation is impossible." ${ }^{1}$ In the transfer to a foreign language the word loses its atmosphere, its associations, its vitality. The angle at which it meets the mental vision is often changed, the rhythm of the sentence is lost. The further one penetrates into the life of a language, the harder does translation become; and so we often have the result that the version of the young student is better than that of the experienced scholar, because the latter tries to express too much, and hence falls into paraphrase and sheer cumbrousness. The true vision of a work of literary art is to be gained by the study of the original, and by that alone. And this holds even as to the ethic value of poetry. To put Pindar's thonghts, his views of life, into other words, is often to sacrifice the delicate point on which the whole moral turns. If this is true of the single word, the single sentence, it holds with still more force of the attempt to form an image of the poet's world of thought and feeling by the simple process of cataloguing translations of

[^7]his most striking thoughts under certain rubrics. This has been done by various scholars, notably by Bippart and by Buchholz. ${ }^{1}$ With their help one can give ode and verse for Pindar's attitude towards the beliefs of his time, for his views of the gods and heroes, of human destiny, of politics, practical and speculative, of Pindar's relations to persons. ${ }^{2}$ One can give ode and verse for Pindar's belief in blood, in genius, for his contempt of the groundlings, for his tenets of art, of life, of government, if, indeed, we dare break up the antique unity in which all three are merged. But the methodical channels in which Pindar's poetical vein is thus made to run give no notion of the play of the poet's genius. The stream that escapes from the waste-pipe of a fountain gives no notion of the rise and fall and swirl and spray and rainbow glitter of the volume of water that rejoices to return the sportive touch of the sunlight. The catechism has its uses, but it is not the Bible, and as there is no space in this essay for a Pindaric eatechism, it must suffice to show how much the study of a few odes will teach us of what Pindar believed concerning God, and what duty he thought God required of man. True, to the great question, "What is God?" Pindar has no answer in any of his odes; he is as silent as Simonides. But when we ask, "Are there more gods than one?" the answer comes speedily from the first Olympian, "There be gods many and lords many." Zeus dominates officially (v. 10),

God. and some see in this, as in the use of $\theta$ zos and $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ elsewhere, a tendency to the monotheistic idea, but Poseidon (vv. 40, 73, 75), who held the Peloponnesos in his embrace, rules the myth. We are reminded of Kronos ( v .10 ) ; Aphrodite is not forgotten (v. 75), nor one of the great powers behind the throne, Klotho (v. 26), -to say nothing of the unfailing Muses (v.112). We are in the fa-

[^8]miliar world of Greek divinities. The poet's attitude towards the gods is that of his people, and a study of all the odes would only confirm the impression of the first. Nearly every ode is full of gods. Not one of the shining forms of the great divinities is lacking, not even Hestia, who has a large space in N. 11. Pindar's world of the gods is an organized state, won by the victory of Zeus over the Titanic brood. In the first Olympian, as in all the Olympians, Zeus rules serenely. It is true that his throne, Aitna, rests on the violent hundred-headed Typhoeus (O.4,6), but we do not feel the stirrings of the revolted spirit as in P. 1, 15, or in P. 8, 16, for the Pythians magnify the office of Apollo, who is the Word of Zeus, the god that bids harmony and measure reign in state and man. The being of Apollo is much more deeply inwrought with the Pythian odes than that of Zeus with the Olympian.

This belief in the gods, or acceptance of the gods, did not involve belief in this or that special myth. The historical

> Mythology. books of the unwritten Bible, so to speak, were open to all manner of scepticism, as we know from the annals of the time, as well as from Pindar. Every one remembers Xenophanes' revolt against the fables of Greek mythology. So, Pindar, in the famous passage, beginning (v. 28)
 cunningly set off with glittering falsehoods. He distrusts the myth, he resolutely refuses to believe it when it jeopards the honor of God. He who himself invokes Charis for the praise of man, dreads her persuasive power in things divine. "I cannot call one of the blessed cannibal." There is a conflict in Pindar's poems on this subject as on others. We of this time know well what this means, for doubt runs through all our literature. Only the antique poet is not tortured by his doubts; the priestly temper conquers. He keeps his tongue from aught that would offend the goil, and leaves the god himself to reconcile the partial views of his worshippers. The cultivation of a religious temper is his resource against scepticism, and this age has scen many shining examples of critical knowledge
held in harmless solution by reverence for the divine. Pindar's criticism, it must be confessed, is of the crudest. His interpretation of the story of the cannibalic meal of the gods is very much in the vein of the most prosaic school of Greek mythologists, and not unlike what we find in early rationalistic criticism of the Biblical narrative. In similar straits he sim-



Still limiting our vision to the first Olympian, we ask, "What is Pindar's view of human life, human destiny ?" The Greek wail over our mortality is heard here also. "The immortals sent Pelops straight back to dwell again among the tribes of men whose doom is speedy" (v. 65). And banished Pelops
 why should one nurse a nameless old age in darkness idly sitting, and all in vain ?" Life is darkness unless it be lighted up by victory such as the sunshine of Olympia (v.97), but that is all. The light within man is darkness, and the light that comes from without depends on the favor of God. God has Hieron's cause at heart (v. 106), but God may fail. "If he fail not speedily" (v. 108), then- This strain is heard over and over again, the shortness and the sorrows of human Man is of life, the transitoriness of its pleasures, the utter defew days pendence on the will of an envious God. We feel trouble. throughout that we are in the atmosphere of Hesiod rather than in the atmosphere of Homer, and yet Homer is sadder than either by reason of the contrasting sunshine. Instead of searching for texts, read the eighth Pythian, the Ecclesiastes of the odes.

It is true that the first Olympian would not be the best place to look for Pindar's views of government. The ode from beginning to end has to do with the summits of things, not the foundations. But when in another Hieronic ode (P. The State。 1,61 ) he comes to the basis of the state, we find that Hieron founded Aitna in honor of Zeus, "with godbuilt freedom in the use and wont (vó $\mu o t \varsigma)$ of Hyllid standard." In these few words we have everytbing, We have the dedi-
cation to the Supreme, we have liberty based on God's will, we bave a life directed by hereditary usage. The word vó$\mu o t s$ is a concession to the times-for Homer knows nothing of vóros-but we still feel the "use and wont;" vóros is not "law" to Pindar, it is " way." So in his earliest poem he says,
 way was the way of the Thessalians. How Pindar felt when the spirit of Tranquillity was violated we see by P. 8-the truest expression of the aristocrat alarmed and grieved for his order.

The next point suggested by the first Olympian is the representative position of Pindar as the expounder of Greek PIndar an ethics. Is Pindar speaking for himself or for his expounder of Greek people? Many of his thoughts are not his own.
ethics. They are fragments of the popular Hellenic catechism, and they become remarkable in Pindar partly by the mode of presentation, partly by the evident heartiness with which he accepts the national creed. So in v. 56, and P.2, 28, we find a genealogy which was as popular with the Greeks as "Oגßos-Kd. Sin and Death in the Christian system. "Oגßos-pos- -Yppıs Kópos-"Yßpıs -"Arๆ. The prosperity that pro--"Aт. duces pride and fulness of bread culminates in overweening insolence and outrage, and brings on itself mischief sent from heaven. That is not Pindar, any more than it is Solon, than it is Theognis, Aischylos. But the genius that stamps these commonplaces into artistic form, that gives to the wisdom of the many the wit of the one, and makes the doctrine a proverb, this was Pindar's, and Pindar's was the believing soul that breathed into the dead dogma the breath of a living and a working faith; and we call that man great who thinks and utters the people's thought best.

So it is no new doctrine that he teaches when he insists so much on the corollary of the abhorred genealogy just citedthe necessity of self-control. Laws are only symptoms, not remedies of disease in the body politic. Whenever crime is rife, legislation is rife, that is all, and the $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu a ̆ \gamma a v$, the owфоoテiv $\eta$, on which the Greek laid so much stress, points to the moral difficulties of an impulsive race, whose moral har-
mony seems to be artistic rather than moral. The Greeks were too airy, too much like Hermes, of whom comparative $\mu \epsilon$ тpa $\mu_{i ̀ v}$ mythologists have made the morning breeze, too
 $\mu$ étpa 8 סè kai moralists preached longest and loudest, on which каті́хшу.

Pindar preached loudest and oftenest, is the need of self-control. Pindar cares not whether it be the old, old story or not. This negative gospel is the burden of his moralizing. So in the first Olympian, v. 114 : $\mu \eta$ кќть $\pi$ ánтaıve nópocov. "Be thou not tempted to strain thy gaze to aught beyond." "As far as the pillars of Herakles, but no further ; that is not to be approached by wise or unwise " $(0.3,44)$. And so in every key, "Let him not seek to become a god" ( $0.5,24$ ), or, if that is not Pindar, "Seek thou not to become Zeus" (I. 4 [5], 14). "The brazen heavens are not to be mounted," says the moralist of twenty (P. 10, 27). $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ трч кađáßaıve, says Pindar the aged (P. 8, 78).

Another point also discernible in the first Olympian is the lofty self-consciousness of genius. This Pindar shows in self-con- all his poems, and strikingly here. His theme is sciousness. high, but he is level with his high theme. If higher come, he can still ascend. A more glorious victory shall receive a still sweeter song. The arrow shot has reached the lone ether, but the Muse has still her strongest bolt in reserve for him, and in his closing prayer he wishes a lofty career for Hieron, and side by side with the prince let the poet stand,
 assertion is hardly veiled by the prayer. In the second Olympian there is the same maintenance of high pretension. In the first Olympian it is the Muse that keeps her strongest bolt in reserve. In the second it is the poet himself that keeps his arrow within his quiver (v. 92). He seems, as has been said, to rise to the stature of Apollo himself in his proud scorn of the Python brood. How, then, is this to be reconciled with the self-control, the freedom from boasting, which Hellenic ethic enjoins? It is because of the source of genius -God himself. Pindar looks down on lesser poets as eagles
on ravens (O. 2, 96), on daws (N. 3, 82). Contempt, scorn, superciliousness are hardly the words. It is a sublime looking over the heads of his rivals with at most a faint consciousness of their cawing far below. This is a dangerous assumption, an attitude that may be nothing but a posture, and we resent it in inferior poets, who take on Pindaric airs. But Pindar at his greatest height does not forget by whom he is borne up, the limits of his god-given power. रpì $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
 he has to say about training bears on the games rather than on his art. In $0.8,59$ he is speaking expressly of a trainer, ${ }^{1}$ and there the meaning is disputed. Mild enough is 0.10 (11), 22. ${ }^{2}$ But elsewhere Nature is praised-often blended
\$vá. with God and Fortune-to the exclusion of mere learning, of the סıঠakrai áperaí of $0.9,108$. tò $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ $\phi \nu \tilde{q}$ крáтєotov ä̃av is his motto. If Pindar cultivated a choice garden of the Graces, it is by a skill that Fate has allotted him ( $0.9,27$ ). If men are good and wise, it is in accordance with a $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ (v. 28), and as if never weary of the theme, he comes back to it in v. 100. Again it sounds forth in O. 11 (10), 10 : " wisdom is of God." When he longs for the good and the beautiful it must come from God (P. 11,50). Part and parcel of this belief in nature, in God, is his belief in heredity. This comes out more crudely, as might be expected, in his earliest poem-which is an arrangement in God and Blood (P.10), but it is no less fundamental in that which some consider his latest (P. 8), when he intimates, not obscurely, that the hope of Aigina rests on the transmitted virtues of her noble stock.

Pindar has been called a Pythagorean, but this is saying nothing more than that he shared with Pythagoras the The next belief in the doctrine of the immortality of the world. soul, which had its main support in the Delphic oracle and in the Pythian temple. The symbolism of this

[^9]belief is found everywhere in Greek religion, especially in the Bacchic cycle, and in the mysteries of the Twain Deities, Demeter and Persephone. The second Olympian shows his creed in part as to the future world. ${ }^{1}$ Such a creed, it may be noted, is of a piece with the aristocratic character of his mind, the continuation of the proper distinction between Good and Bad, in the Doric sense, not a system of revenges for the inequality of present fortune, as too many consider it. The grave is not all silence to Pindar; the ghost of sound, Echo, may visit the abode of the dead, and bear glad tidings to those who have gone before ( $0.14,21$ ). Immortality has not been brought to light, but the feeling hand of the poet has found it in the darkness of Persephone's home.

## V.

Pindar was classed by the ancient rhetoricians as an exemplar of the aṽтŋpà ápuovia, as belonging to the same class style with Aischylos in tragedy, with Thukydides in hisAND ART. tory, Antiphon in oratory. ${ }^{2}$ This classification is Pindaran
exemplar based on grounds which do not all justify themselves exemplar of the aủoripà at once to the modern reader, although they have their warrant in the formal system of rhetoric, with its close analysis of figures of speech and figures of thought, its minute study of the artistic effect of the sequence of sounds. But "downright," "unstudied," are hardly adjectives that we should apply to Pindar without much modification. ${ }^{3}$

[^10]The famous characteristic of Horace ${ }^{1}$ einphasizes the opulence of Pindar, the wealth and movement of his poetry. But in

Horace. many respects Pindar does not in the least resemble a mountain-torrent, and if we accept the views of those whe systematize his course of thought into the minutest channels, we should sooner think of comparing the Pindaric poems with the $\sigma \varepsilon \mu v o i$ óxeтoi of the Hipparis (O.5, 12), than with the headlong course of the Aufidus, which Horace evidently has in mind. Pindar's peculiar accumulation of paratactic sentences, clause following clause with reinforcing weight, may indeed be compared with the ever-increasing volume of the mountain-stream as it is fed from billside and gorge, and there are many passages in which the current runs strong and fast, and needs the large utterance of the profundum os, but the other figure of the Dirkaian swan rising above the din of the torrent of poetry, his wings filled with the strong inspiration of the Muse, ${ }^{2}$ yet serene and majestic in his flight, is not to be forgotten. Quintilian (10, 1, 61) echoes Horace, as usual: Novem lyricorum longe Pindarus Quintilian. princeps spiritus magnificentia, sententiis, figuris, beatissima rerum verborumque copia.

Let us now turn from the characteristics of Pindar, as given by others, to the poet himself. We have not to do with the naïve. Pindar is profoundly self-conscious, and his witness concerning himself is true. He distinctly claims for himself elevation, opulence, force, cunning workmanship, vigorous ex-
 ecution. In what seems to moderns almost unlovely self-assertion, he vindicates his rank as a poet just as he would vindicate his rank as an aristocrat. He is an eagle, his rivals are ravens and daws (O.2,96;

[^11]N. 3, 82). Bellerophon shooting his arrows from the lone bosom of the chill ether ( $0.13,87$ ) is a prefigurement of his poetic exaltation, his power, his directness, and so he never wearies of calling his songs arrows or darts (O. 1, 112; 2, 91 . $99 ; 9,5.12 ; 13,93 ;$ P. 1, 12. $44 ; 6,37$ ), which sometimes fall in a hurtling shower; but sometimes a single arrow hits the mark, sometimes a strong bolt is kept in reserve by the Muse, for Pindar, as an aristocrat, is a man of reserves. Of the richness of his workmanship none is better aware than he. The work of the poet is a Daedalian work, and the sinuous folds are wrought with rare skill ( $0.1,105$ ), the art of art is selection and adornment, the production of a rich and compassed surface (P.9,83). The splendor of the Goddesses of Triumphal Song irradiates him (P. 9, 97), and he is a leader in the skill of poesy, which to him is by eminence wisdom (бoфía), ${ }^{1}$ wisdom in the art of the theme, and in the art of the treatment. Now how far does Pindar's account of himself correspond to the actual impression? What is the immediate effect of the detailed work of his poems, that detailed work by which he is at first more comprehensible? The detail of Pindar's odes produces, from the very outset of the study, an irresistible effect of opulence and elevation. Opulence is wealth that makes itself felt, that suggests, almost insultingly, a contrast, and that contrast is indigence. It is one half of an aristocrat, elevation being the other, so that in art as in thought, as in politics, as in religion, Pindar is true to his birth and to his order. This opulence, this abundance of resource, shows itself in strength
 The word splendor and all its synonyms seem to be made for Pindar. He drains dry the Greek vocabulary of words for light and bright, shine and shimmer, glitter and glister, ray and radiance, flame and flare and flash, gleam and glow, burn and blaze. The first Olympian begins with wealth and strength, with flaming fire of gold, and the sbining star of

[^12]the sun. The fame of Hieron is resplendent, and the shoulder of Pelops gleams. No light like the light of the eye, thought the Greek, and the ancestors of Theron were the eye of Sicily, and Adrastos longs for the missing eye of his army. So the midmonth moon in her golden chariot flashed full the eye of evening into the face of Herakles. Wealth is not enough. It must be picked out, set off. It is not the uniform stare of a metallic surface, it must be adorned with the tracery that heightens the value of the background. Pindar delights in elaboration. His epinikion itself, as we have seen, combines the two moral elements of the games nóvos $\delta a \pi a ́ v a r \varepsilon$. His lyre has a various range of notes, his quiver is full of arrows, and at times such is the shower of notes, such the rain of arrows, such the sparkle and flash and flame of the lights, such the sweet din and rumble and roar of the music of earth and the music of heaven, that the poet himself, overcome by the resources of his own art, confesses his defeat, and by one strong impulse of his light feet, swims out of the deluge of glory with which he has flooded the world of song. ${ }^{1}$ It requires strength to carry this opulence of splendor, but Pindar's opulence is the opulence of strength as well. He does not carve his bow with curious figures so deeply cut that at the drawing of the string the weapon snaps. His is not a sleepy but a vivid opulence, not a lazy but a swift opulence. Everything lives in bis poems, everything is personified. Look at the magical way in which he lights up this great lamp of the architecture of his Odeon in the first Pythian. "O Golden Lyre, joint heirloom of Apollo and the Muses

[^13]violet-tressed, thou for whom the step, the dancer's step, listeneth." "Obeyeth" seems too faint. We see the foot poised, tremulously listening for the notes of the phorminx, as if it had a hearing of its own. A few verses further down, "snowy Aitna, nursing the livelong year the biting snow," not "her snow," as it has been rendered. It is not hers. It has come down to her from Heaven. It is the child of Zeus, and only rests on her cold bosom, the pillar of the sky. Yet again the couch on which the fettered giant lies goads him and galls him, as if it too had a spite against him, as well as the weight of continent and island that pinches his hairy breast. And so it is everywhere; and while this vividness in some instances is faint to us, because our language uses the same personifications familiarly, we must remember that to the Greek they were new, or, at all events, had not entirely lost their saliency by frequent attrition.

Swiftness is a manifestation of strength, and Pindar is swift and a lover of swiftness, to judge by his imagery. Swiftness we readily recognize in plan, in narrative. In detail work it goes by another name, concentraSwiftness. tion-the gathering of energy to a point, a summing up of vitality in a word. It is the certainty with which Pindar comes down on his object that gives so much animation, so much strength, so much swiftness to his style. A word, an epithet, and the picture is there, drawn with a stroke. In the second Olympian he is telling of the blessedness of the souls that have overcome. When he comes to the damned, he calls them simply " those." "The others bear anguish too great for eye to look at." Non ragioniam di lor. In the same wonderful second Olympian he says, "Liveth among the Olympians she that was slain by the rumble of the thunder, longhaired Semele." Semele died not "amid," but "by" the roar. "Killed with report." The roar was enough to destroy that gentle life, and the untranslatable ravvédep $\alpha$ gives at once the crown of her womanhood, the crown of her beauty, the crown of her suffering. Semele lives again as she appeared to Zeus, when he visited her with immortal terrors.

The aristocrat must be rich, must be strong. A man may be both and yet be vulgar, for there is a vulgar beauty, a vulgar genius. The second characteristic of Pindar is elevation. This word is preferred to sublimity, because sublimity is absolute, and is incompatible with the handling of any but the highest themes. Elevation is relative. You may treat a thing loftily without treating it sublimely.

## Elevation.

 Pindar is not always in the altitudes, though he loves "the lone bosom of the cold ether," and the fruits that grow on the topmost branches of the tree of virtue, nearest the sun, and the lofty paths along which the victors of Olympia walk. He is not lacking in sportiveness, but whatever he treats, he treats with the reserve of a gentleman, a term which is no anachronism when applied to him. Hence his exquisite purity. "Secret are wise Suasion's keys unto Love's sanctities" he sings himself, and amid the palpitating beauties of Greek mythology he never forgets the lesson that he puts in the mouth of the Centaur (P. 9, 42). The opulence, strength, swiftness, elevation, of Pindar's art reveal themselves in varying proportions in the various odes. Noteworthy for its opulence is the seventh Olympian, for Diagoras of Rhodes, the famous boxer, which the Rhodians copied in letters of gold, and dedicated in the temple of Athena at Lindos. What stately magnificence in the famous forefront of the sixth Olympian, in which he sets up the golden pillars of his porch of song. What vividness in his immortal description of the power of music in the first Pythian. Gray's imitation is well known:> Perching on the sceptred hand Of Jove, thy magic lulls the feather'd king With ruffled plumes and flagging wing: Quench'd in dark clouds of slumber lie, The terror of his beak, and lightnings of his eye.

Matthew Arnold's is not unfamiliar:
And the eagle at the beck
Of the appeasing, gracious harmony
Droops all his sheeny, brown, deep-feather'd neck,

> Nestling nearer to Jove's feet, While o'er his sovereign eye
> The curtains of the blue films slowly meet.

But to begin to cite is never to stop.
Of the various elements that go to make up this total impression of opulence and elevation, some will be considered hereafter. Something will be said of the effect of the rhythms, something of the opalescent variety of the dialect, of the high relief of the syntax, of the cunning workmanship that manifests itself in the order of the words. Let us now turn to a closer consideration of that which first attracts attention in an author, the vocabulary. Much might be said of Vocabulary. the vocabulary, with its noble compounds, ${ }^{2}$ whether Compounds. taken from the epic thesaurus, and so consecrated by the mint-mark of a religious past, or created with fresh vitality by the poet himself. In the paucity of the remains of the lyric poets, we cannot always be certain that such and such a word is Pindar's own, but that be was an audacious builder of new words ${ }^{2}$ is manifest from the fragments of his dithyrambs. Some of the most magnificent are put in the

 трєбодขцтьорікау. Р. 1, 1: іотлока́ $\mu \omega \nu$. Р. 2, 1: $\mu \varepsilon \gamma$ алото́-
 бтоца́ұоv. P. 11, 3: ápıatoyóvq. The epithets applied to the gods match the splendor of their position. Zeus is aiodo-

 6). Poseidon is invoked as $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi о т \alpha$ тоvтó $\mu \varepsilon \delta \frac{\nu}{(0.6,103)}$, is called ßapúnтvtos Eivtpiauva (O. 1, 73). Helios is фаvaí -
 ( $0.6,104$ ), and Athena é $\gamma \chi$ вィßро́нос ко́ра ( $0.7,43$ ). And so the whole world of things, animate and inanimate, is endued with life, or quickened to a higher vitality, by Pindar's compounds. The cry is ádíg $\lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o s(0,13,100)$, the lyre $\dot{a} \delta v e \pi n \dot{n}$

[^14]( $0.10[11], 103$ ). Lions acquire something of a human ostentation by $\beta$ apúкouta (P.5,57). The majestic chambers of Zeus are $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda o x \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon i \bar{c}$ (P. 2, 33), and hide awful shapes of
 92) resounds as if the words of themselves echoed down the corridors of Time. There are no $\dot{\rho} \eta \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \gamma о \mu ф о \pi a \gamma \tilde{\eta}$, the rivets are hidden. We have festal splendor here also, not fateful sublimity.

The effect of living splendor, produced by Pindar's compounds, is not confined to the compounds. Even the most familiar words are roused to new life by the revival of the vivid use of pristine meaning. It is a canon of Pindaric intervocabuinry. pretation that the sharp, local sense of the preposition is everywhere to be preferred, and every substantive may be made to carry its full measure of concreteness. This is distinctly not survival, but revival. We are not to suppose that kparíp $(0.6,91)$ was felt by the Greek of Pindar's time as a male agent, or ákóva $(0.6,82)$ as a shrill-voiced woman. ${ }^{1}$ Whatever personification lay in the word was dead to the Greek of that time. Pindar revived the original meaning, and the $\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{y}$ крatin is a living creature. In fact it is hardly possible to go wrong in pressing Pindar's vocabulary until the blood comes. It is true that in many of the long compounds the sensuous delight in the sound is sylonyms. the main thing, and yet even there we find $\phi \lambda \eta \sigma i \mu \rho \lambda \pi \varepsilon(0.14$, 14) and $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \sigma i \mu 0 \lambda \pi \varepsilon(0.14,16)$ used side by side, in such a way that we cannot refuse to consider how the poet meant them, just as in the same poem (v. 5) he combines the transient pleasure of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho \pi \nu \alpha ́$ with the abiding joy of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \varepsilon ́ \alpha .{ }^{3}$

[^15]In the fine feeling of language few poets can vie with Pindar; and though he is no pedantic synonym-monger, like a true artist he delights in the play of his own work. There is danger of over-subtilty in the study of antique style; but Pindar is a jeweller, his material gold and ivory, and his chryselephantine work challenges the scrutiny of the microscope, invites the study that wearies not day or night in exploring the recesses in which the artist has held his art seques-tered-invites the study and rewards it. Pindar himself has
 himself speaks of his art as áкò̀ бофоїs (P. 9, 84); his call across the centuries is to the lovers of art as art. There is an aristocratic disdain in his nature that yields only to kindred spirits or to faithful service.

The formal leisurely comparison Pindar seldom employs, though he uses it with special effect in the stately openings

Comparison. of two of his odes, O. 6 and O.7. In O. 12 the comparison takes the place of the myth, and others are found here and there. But instead of "as" he prefers the implied comparison, which is conveyed by parallel structure such as we find in the beginning of 0.1 , of 0.17 (10). In the metaphor, with its bold identification of object and image, Pindar abounds as few poets abound. Every realm of nature, every sphere of human life, is laid under contribution. The sea is his with its tossing waves ( $0.12,6$ ) and its shifting currents ( $0.2,37$ ). The ruler is a helmsman, whether a prince ( $\mathrm{P} .1,86 ; 4,274$ ), an order (P. 10, 72), Tyche ( $0.12,3$ ), or the mind of Zeus himself (P. 5, 122). To be liberal is to let the sail belly to the wind (P. 1, 91). His song is a flood that sweeps away the pebble counters of a long arrear of debt ( $\mathrm{O} .10[11], 11$ ). Rebellious insolence is scuttled as a ship is scuttled (P. 8, 11); a favoring breeze prospers the course of song (P. 4, 3). An eagle, as he calls himself, he loves to dwell in the air ( $0.2,97 ; \mathrm{N} .3,80$ ), to wing his song (P. 8, 34). An archer, like his master Apollo, he delights to stretch his bow, to speed his dart ( $0.1,97 ; 2$, $91.99 ; 9,5.12 ; 13,93 ;$ P. 1, 12. $44 ; 6,37$ ). Of light and
flame, as has been said already, he is never weary. Wealth is a bright and shining star ( $\mathrm{O} .2,58$ ) ; fame shines forth ( O .1 , 23), fame looks from afar ( $0.1,94$ ) ; joy is a light that lights up life ( $0.10[11], 25$ ) ; his songs in their passionate dance blaze over the dear city of the Opuntians (O.9,22) ; the feet of the victor are not beautiful merely, they are radiant (O.13, 36). The games themselves furnish welcome figures-the chariot-race, reserved for grand occasions (O. 6, 22; 9, 87; P. 10, 65), the hurling of the dart, the wrestling-match ( O .8 , 25 ; P. 2, 61). Nor does he disdain the homely range of fable and proverb and every-day life. ${ }^{1}$ The bee, it is true ( P . 4,60 ), was a consecrated emblem before his time ; the cow, for a woman (P. 4, 142), is as oid as Samson. The cock ( $O$. $12,14)$ was to the Greek the Persian bird, and more poetic than he is to us, even as Chanticleer; ${ }^{2}$ but the fox figures in Pindar, not only as known in higher speech (O. 11 [10], 20 ; I. 3 [4], 65), but by the fabulistic nickname кєр $\delta_{\dot{\omega}}$ (P. 2, 48). He is not shy of trade and commerce, ledger (O. 11 [10], 2) and contract $(0.12,7)$. Dante has, in his Inferno, the figure of an old tailor threading his needle; Pindar is not afraid of a metaphor from adjusting clothes (P. 3, 83). Aischylos speaks of the net of Ate; the figure is grand, but Aischylos sees poetry in the cork as well (Choëph. 506), and so does Pindar (P. 2, 80). A glance at the list of the figures used even in the Olympians and Pythians ${ }^{3}$ is sufficient to show that life is not sacrificed to elevation.

A word as to mixed metaphor in Pindar. No charge more mixed common than this against him, as against Shakemetaphors. speare; and a rhetorician of the ordinary stamp will doubtless consider the offence as a crime of the first magnitude.

[^16]The number of metaphors properly called mixed is not so large in Pindar as is supposed;' nor, in any case, are we to count as mixed metaphor a rapid shifting of metaphors. This is to be expected in the swift movement of Pindar's genius. The disjointedness of Emerson's style has been ingeniously defended on the ground that each sentence is a chapter. And so Pindar's metaphors are slides that come out in such quick succession that the figures seem to blend because the untrained eye cannot follow the rapid movement of the artist. A notorious passage occurs in the first Pythian (v. 86 foll.), in which Pindar touches in quick succession various strings. "Let not fair chances slip. Guide thy host with a just helm. Forge thy tongue on an unlying anvil. If it so chance that ought of import light escapes thee, it becomes of magnitude in that it comes from thee. Of many things thou art steward. Many witnesses are there to deeds of both kinds," and so on, with a shift in every sentence. In such passages the absence of conjunctions is sufficient to show that no connection was aimed at, and it is the fault of the reader if he chooses to complain of an incongruous blending of things that are left apart.

The next point to be considered is the plan of the epinikion. Original genius or not, Pindar was under the domination of Plan of the the tradition of his department, and the fragments Epinikion. of Simonides are enough to show that there was a general method of handling the theme common to all the poets. The epinikion is, as we have seen, an occasional poem. The problem is to raise it out of this position, as a mere temporary adornment of the victory, to a creation of abiding worth. The general method must have been reached before Pindar's time; it is his success in execution that has to be considered here. The epinikion has for its basis the fact and the individual; but it rises through the real to the ideal, through the individual to the universal. The light that shines abont the victor's head brightens into the light of eternity ;

[^17]the leaf of olive or of laurel becomes a wreath of amaranth. Sheer realism had no place in high Greek art. The statues of the victors in Olympia were not portrait statues. When the victor had overcome three times, then, it is true, he might set up a portrait statue, but three victories of themselves would idealize. The transfiguration which we expect of heaven the Greek sought in art. So the victor and the victory are not described at length. True, the poet sometimes labored under the frightful disadvantage of a commission that dictated an enumeration of all the prizes gained by a certain family. How gracefully, how lightly, he acquitted himself of the task may be seen in O.7, in O.13. But apart from such special restrictions-under which everything spiritual and artistic must groan, being burdened, in this travailing world-the poet was free to conceive his subject ideally. The special occasion secured interest and sympathy in advance, gave him the broad earth from which to rise ; and not the proudest eagle that ever soared, if once on the earth, can rise without running, though it be but for a little distance, along its black surface: and the epinikion started on the earth. Now change the figure after the Pindaric fashion to the temple-Pindar himself has suggested the comparison (O. 6, 1)-some fair Greek temple, repeating the proportions of the clear-cut mountains of Greece just as the Gothic cathedral repeats the forests of Germany ; some temple standing on the large level of an acropolis, standing against the sky. The façade of the work is to be illuminated, but not so as to throw a garish light on every detail. Only the salient points are to be brought out, only the characteristic outline, so that as it comes out against the dark sky you seem to have one constellation more. Nay, the new constellation is strangely blended with the old groups of stars, and we cannot tell which is mythic past, which illuminated present.

The sources of the myth have already been indicated. The selection is often suggested by external relations. The myth. Now it is the victor's family that furnishes the story, now the victor's home, now the scene of the contest and the
presiding god or hero. Sometimes the selection is due to internal motives, and the myth is a model, a parallel, or a prophecy-perhaps all three. This, then, is the function of the myth in the epinikion, the idealization of the present, the transfiguration of the real. This was an artistic necessity for the Greek, and it was in some sort an historical necessity. It reconciled epic and lyric. It gave a new value to epic themes by using them as parallels for the present, while the drama took the last step and made the past the present.
Pindar does not jumble his materials in admired disorder, nor does he sort them after the approved scientific fashion, with subdivision after subdivision, to the exhaustion of all the letters of the alphabet, Roman, italic, Greek, and Hebrew. Analysis does not show the way in which the poem was woven. The fruitful study of Pindar lies through synthesis, symmetry not through analysis, and in the introductions to in Pindar. the seeveral odes an effort has been made to show how the meaning of the whole reveals itself to him who simply follows the poet's guidance. What is dignified by the name of an analysis is often nothing more than a table of contents, a catalogue, the very form of which disguises the lack of connection. Logical disposition will not avail much. Pindar is poetical, not logical. But symmetry there must be, for it is impossible for any one that studies Greek literary art not to count on symmetry. The tendency to balance, to parallelism, is universal. In Greek the tendency is a law. It is needless to enlarge on this. The law of correspondence -measure answering to measure-is fundamental, and has been applied to every sphere of Greek art-pictorial, plastic, literary-not without overstraining, yet not without great profit. In music as in architecture it is unquestioned. Even frivolous Offenbach has said: "Music is an algebra." Poetry, like music, is made up of equations.

In Pindar the symmetry of form is evident. The odes symmetry are composed either of corresponding strophes or of form. of corresponding triads (strophe, antistrophe, and epode). But this is not enough. There must be within each
strophe, each epode, another balance, another correspondence, another symmetry. Westphal first distinctly postulated this correspondence, and opened the way for the establishment of it; but the bold and brilliant originator wearied of his own work, renounced his own principles. J. H. Heinrich Schmidt began his metrical and rhythmical studies as a worker on the lines laid down by Westphal, although he differs from his forerunner at every turn; and Moriz Schmidt, ${ }^{2}$ well known as a Pindaric scholar, far from being satisfied with the results of his predecessors, has recently set up his schemes in opposition to Westphal's and J. H. H. Schmidt's.

A sample of the divergencies may be given. In the epode of O. 6 Rossbach-Westphal saw three mesodic periods with an epodikon:

J. H. H. Schmidt marks five, according to his MS. revision, thas:


Moriz Schmidt (p. 71) pronounces both wrong, and constructs a different scheme:

$$
A 6446=20 . \quad B 4444=16 . \quad A^{\prime} 6644=20 \text {. }
$$

It will be observed that the number of bars in RossbachWestphal and in J. H. II. Schmidt is the same. In Moriz Schmidt, owing to the greater range he allows himself in the use of rov' and pause - the power of prolonging and the power of resting-the number is slightly increased. He has fifty-six against fifty-three. But the other differences are graver. Still, whether we accept the short periods or the long, the recognition of some principle of symmetry cannot be withheld. These choral structures were made not only to balance each other, but also to balance themselves.

So much for symmetry of form. Is there any correspond-

[^18]ing symmetry of contents? We find it elsewhere in Greek poetry. We find response of antistrophe to strophe in the symmetry drama, not only in form, but to a certain degree of contents. in sense. Are we to renounce this in Pindar? Does the development of the ode go its own way regardless of the form? This has been practically the conclusion of the editors of Pindar from Erasmus Schmid, with his formidable rhetorical analysis of the odes, down to Mezger, with his reinforcement of the Terpandrian vó $\boldsymbol{o s}$. This Terpandrian vó $\mu$ os, mentioned in Pollux 4, 66, and touched on by Böckh, ${ }^{1}$ Terpandrian contains seven parts: émaן $\chi$ á, $\mu \varepsilon \tau а \rho \chi a ́, ~ к а т а т \rho о \pi a ́, ~$
 Westphal identified with the old-fashioned $\pi \rho \circ o i \mu \circ \nu, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \chi \chi^{\alpha}$
 he applied the Terpandrian scheme in this form to the odes of Pindar as well as to the choruses of Aischylos. ${ }^{2}$ In the same year Moriz Schmidt published his translation of the Olympian odes divided into the members of the Terpandrian vó $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, ${ }^{3}$ and in Mezger's commentary on Pindar (1880) much space has been given to the advocacy of the scheme. ${ }^{4}$ Pindar, says Mezger in substance, composed his poems for oral delivery, and consequently wished to be understood at once. But even to his contemporaries, in spite of all their advantages, the immediate comprehension of his poems would have been impossible if they had not had some outside help. Of these extraneous aids, three, melody, musical accompaniment, and dance, are lost for us irrecoverably. But there was a tradition, a fixed norm for such compositions, a $\tau \varepsilon \theta \mu$ ós from which the epinikion must not vary, a $\tau \varepsilon \theta \mu$ ós not only for the contents, but also for the form. To be sure, the old interpreters in their blindness knew nothing of this; but Böckh and Dissen ob-

[^19]served certain laws of structure, certain recurrences, certain symmetrical responses. Thiersch proved the triple division
 for Westphal to set forth and establish the proposition that Aischylos, in the composition of his choruses, and Pindar, in that of his epinikia, followed the vó $\mu$ os of Terpander with its sevenfold division. This Mezger considers Westphal to have made evident for all the forty-four odes except eight, at least so far as the three principal parts are concerned; and these principal parts are-beginning, middle, and end. But the establishment of these principal parts does not carry us beyond Thiersch. What we want is the normal number seven, ${ }^{1}$ as,
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I. } \pi \rho о о \text { iццоу. } \\
& \text { II. APXA. } \\
& \text { III. кататротá. } \\
& \text { IV. ОМФАлог. } \\
& \text { V. } \mu \text { етакататрота́. } \\
& \text { VI. इфPariz. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Westphal himself seems to feel that the lover of Pindar will rebel against the thought that the great poet wrought according to a mere mechanical formula; but the Pindaric scholars that have followed Westphal seem to have no such scruples. The mystic and Delphic ó $\mu \phi a \lambda$ ós exercises on them a special fascination that reminds one of the days of the $\dot{0} \mu \phi a \lambda{ }_{0} \psi v \chi o \iota^{2}$ and there is an undeniable charm about the scheme. The three certain parts are beginning, middle, and end, and for these we have the high authority of Aristotle (Poet. c. 7). The seven normal parts remind one of the seven parts of the comic parabasis, and as the seven parts of the parabasis are seldom found in their completeness, so

[^20]the Terpandrian vó $\mu$ os seldom has its full number. The name $\dot{0} \mu \phi a \lambda o ́ s$ is not only mystic and Delphic, it has indirectly a Platonic warrant. Plato demands of every lóyos that it shall be a 弓乡ov, that it shall lack neither head nor foot, ${ }^{1}$ and if neither head nor foot, why should it lack the central navel? The $\dot{o} \mu \phi a \lambda o ́ c$, then, is the organic centre of the poem, and contains a myth. True, "there is no myth in the $\dot{\rho} \mu \phi a \lambda$ ós of P. 1 and 9, N. 1 and 10, I. 2 and 6," but the rule is not rigid ${ }^{3}$ at any rate, and we must be satisfied with an approximation. As a rule, then, the $\dot{j} \mu \phi$ a ${ }^{\prime}$ s contains a myth, while the beginning ( $\dot{a} \rho \chi^{\alpha}$ ) and the close ( $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$ ) contain the praises of the victor and his house. Then there are transitions between the
 prepares the way for the $\delta$ on $\gamma \eta \sigma \iota s$ : there are transitions between the $\dot{o} \mu \phi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and the $\sigma \phi \rho a y i s$. But in this way Terpandrian compositions might be made out of Demosthenes' Philippics, and it is hard to see what has been gained except two or three quaint names for familiar relations.

But Mezger has reinforced Westphal's theory by a discovery of his own. While committing the odes of Pindar to memory

> Hezger's recurrent word. he noticed the frequent recurrence of the same word, or close equivalent, in the corresponding parts of strophe and antistrophe, epode and epode. These recurrent words are all significant, all mark transitions, and were all intended as cues to aid the memory of the chorus and to guide the thoughts of the hearers. It is a mnemonic device, but more than a mnemonic device, for it lets us into the poet's construction of his own poem, and settles forever the

[^21]disputed meanings of the odes. ${ }^{2}$ If this were true, it would hardly heighten our admiration of antique art, and although the coincidences are interesting and the observation of them a proof of loving study that deserves to be honored, the discovery of the recurrent word is not the end of all controversy -there are too many recurrent words. ${ }^{3}$
Of course, the acceptance of the Terpandrian vóros and the doctrine of the recurrent word puts an end to anything like proportion in the contents of a Pindaric ode. Compare, for instance, Blass's analysis of a prooimion of Demosthenes, and Mezger's exhibit of the composition of an ode of Pindar. You may not agree with Blass, but there is an architectonic principle in the one, while it is utterly incredible that we should have such proportions as:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { O. I. : } 7(\pi .)+16(\dot{\text { c. }})+4(\text { к. })+69(\dot{\text { o. }})+7(\mu \text {. })+11(\sigma .)+6(\varepsilon .) . \quad \text { (p. } 95 .)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { O. XIII. : } 23\left(\pi_{0}\right)+6(k \pi .)+17\left(\alpha_{\text {. }}\right)+6\left(\kappa_{.}\right)+40\left(\delta_{0}\right)+6(\mu .)+16(\sigma .)+ \\
& 2 \text { (k.). (p. 459.) } \\
& \text { P. I. : } 28(\pi .)+14(\dot{\alpha} .)+3\left(\kappa_{\text {c }}\right)+(12+3+20)\left(\delta_{0}\right)+4\left(\mu_{\text {. }}\right)+14(\sigma .)+ \\
& \text { 2(i.). (p.83.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Contrast this with Blass's analysis of the prooimion of De Corona (§ 1-8) :

| I. §1-2. | II. 3-4. | III. 5-6. | IV. 7-8. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { 2.2 } 2.3 \mid 3.3}{=16}$ | $\frac{4.4\|3.5\| 5.3}{=24}$ | $\frac{2.4\|4\| 4 \mid 4.2}{=24}$ | $\frac{2.2 .2 .2 \mid 2.2 .2 .2}{=8}$ |
| $=16$ |  |  |  |

True, it may be said that the inner organism of a Pindaric ode need not correspond to the outer form, and that the five triads of the third Pythian may be chopped up into seven

[^22]Terpandrian parts-chopped up, for the knife does not come down on the rhythmical joints. But where shall we find anything like this in Greek literature? The further we penetrate into Greek poetry, the greater reason have we to acknowledge the reign of symmetry. Violation of symmetry, of correspondence, may be referred in every instance either to defective tradition or to designed disturbance. As in Greek architecture, so in Greek poetry, departures from symmetry are not only suffered, but enjoined, for the sake of a higher symmetrical effect, for the maintenance of the feeling of life. The straight line of mechanics becomes the curved line of art. The entasis of the Doric column, the flexure of the Doric stylobate, are familiar illustrations of the law of visual effect. The Greek artist had regard to the position that his work was to occupy, to the angle in which it would present itself to the eye of the beholder. So in Greek poetry we must consider the law of higher symmetry, the principle of artistic unity, the calculated effect on the hearer-and we must remember that we have to do with the hearer, not with the reader. $\Sigma \tau \tau \chi o \mu v \theta i a$ is well, but when passionate utterance gives two verses the time of one, we must not heedlessly apply the knife because the passage looks out of balance. But these interferences apart, we expect a symmetry in contents corresponding to symmetry in form, and we cannot admit a logical division which shall ruthlessly run across all the lines of the artistic structure. We must seek the symmetry of thought, where the symmetry of the form is revealed, in strophe, in triad. Each strophe has its office, each triad its function. The only concessions that must be made to logical distribution are those that must be made in the same department of art. We must simply allow the strophe and the triad the same play that we allow foot and series in the verse. ${ }^{1}$

[^23]Reduce the Terpandrian vó $\mu$ os to a more simple expression, see in it nothing more than a somewhat bizarre statement of the general principles that manifest themselves in an oration of Isokrates or a dialogue of Plato as well as in an ode of Pindar, and it would be easier to become a Terpandrian, cer-

## Dissen.

 tainly easier than to accept Dissen's elaborate systematization. In his chapter "De dispositione partium," Dissen has treated at length the arrangement of the elements of the epinikion-the preparatory office of the prooimion and the interweaving of the parts. "With the exception of the very short pieces," he says, "all Pindar's odes have at least two parts besides the prooemium," and Dissen has interested himself in showing how the poet prepares his theme, interposes a myth, and then returns to his theme, and how from the simple arrangements $\underbrace{a b a}$ and $\underbrace{a b a b}$, the poet advances to $\underbrace{a b a c a}, \underbrace{a b a b a}, ~ \underbrace{b c b} a, ~ a b c b d a, ~ a b a c b c$, $\underbrace{a b c b a b}, \underbrace{a b c a d} c$, and the crowning glory, $\underbrace{a b c d c d}$.There is, of course, an element of truth in these recurrences. There is a cyclical movement in many of the Pindaric odes. The myth is usually belted by the praise of the victor and the victor's home, but it is impossible to accept an elaborately systematic arrangement of the subject within the symmetrical structure of the rhythm and independent of it. Dyads and triads there are in Pindar, but they do not disturb the rhythmical working of the odes; and Dissen often elevates to the rank of an organic part what has been brought in simply as a foil. According to him everything in Pindar must have a deep significance, an independent value, a special allusion, whereas much is put there for the sake of heightening the effect by contrast.

[^24]Dissen has gone through all the odes and reduced them to schemes, for which he claims great simplicity and beauty. Furtwängler ${ }^{1}$ has selected a few, and expended on them a great wealth of fancy. It cannot be said of him that he is indifferent to the claims of symmetry. To him the Pindaric odes are so many temples, and he sees ground-plans and elevations, and rows of columns, and groups of figures in the rhythmical structures of Pindar. Most persons will consider Furtwängler's book a waste of fancy and ingenuity, and yet it has not been written all in vain. Temple and ode are both built on a plan, both obey the laws of symmetry, and so one may serve to illustrate the other. But the manifestations are different. The temple is to be devel oped from the cell, the ode from the rhythm. Regard the ode as a great verse and much of the difficulty in finding symmetry in the Pindaric poems will disappear.

The verse, as a rhythmical structure, is made up of versefeet; the verse, as a logical unit, is made up of word-feet. The coincidence and the discrepancy of verse-foot and wordfoot constitute respectively diaeresis and caesura, if, indeed, one may be allowed to use this nomenclature, which certainly has its convenience.

Now a verse in which verse-foot and word-foot should coincide throughout as in the famous spursis | hastis | longis | campus | splendet et | horret of Ennius would lack unity, and a succession of them would be intolerably monotonous. Hence the office of caesura to effect unity by dividing a word between two feet and so to force a more energetic recitation. Diaeresis serves to distribute the masses, caesura to unite them.

Of course where the masses are so large as in the Pindaric odes there is not the same danger of monotony. Each triad might present a complete whole. In fact each strophe, each antistrophe, each epode, might be rounded off as a separate element without much offence. But the Greek sense of unity

[^25]demanded a less mechanical distribution, and the parts of each ode often fit into each other as the parts of an hexameter or a trimeter. The preparation, as Dissen would call it, does not count, nor does the connection. The body of the thought falls within the limits; that is enough. The study of the Pindaric odes suggests the lines of color used in maps to designate boundaries. The eye is not offended by the excurrence there nor the mind by the excurrence here. Making this allowance then, and suffering the sense to bind strophes and triads together while the dominant themes of strophes and triads are distinct, we shall find no insuperable difficulty in establishing simple and easy proportions for most of the Pindaric poems. Problems there will always be, and bold would be the man who should maintain that he had said the last word on such a theme.
Of the forty-four Pindaric odes, seven only are composed in single strophes.

Of these, O. 14 has two, P. 12 four, N. 2 five, P. 6 six, I. 7 seven, N. 9 eleven, N. 4 twelve.

Most of them are in triads:
One triad: 0. 4, 11 (10), 12; P. 7 . . . . . . . . . . 4
Three triads: 0.3 , 5; N. 5, 6, 8, 11; I. 2, 4, 5, 6 . . . . . . 10
Four triads: $0.1,8,9$ P P. 2, 5, 10, 11 ; N. 1, 3; I. 1. . . . . 10
Five triads: $0.2,6,7,10$ (11), 13 ; P. 1, 3, 8, 9; N. 7, 10; I. 3. . 12
Thirteen triads: P. 4 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\frac{1}{44}$
It is evident that the single-strophe poems will admit of greater freedom of handling, and I shall take those up after discussing the triadic poems.

One triad is evidently too short for any except slight occasional poems.

In O. 4, an exceptional poem, the strophe has chiefly to do with God, the antistrophe chiefly with man, the epode is an illustrative myth. In O. 11 (10) the antithetical structure runs through strophe, antistrophe, and epode, but each member revolves about a separate element of the epinikion. 0.12 rocks even more than 0.11 (10). Each element is distinct.
P. 7 has been considered a fragment, but whetber it is a frag. ment or not, each member has its special office.
Two-triad poems do not occur. ${ }^{1}$ The only two-strophe poem, 0.14 , is suspicious, and cannot be cited to prove that two triads would give ample room. If we are to have introduction, myth, and conclusion, it would be hard to distribute them properly through two triads. Three triads give a natural division, and so we find that it is used nearly as often as five, though the number five suggests a better proportion $\log$ ically. Each triad has its dominant theme. 0.5 occupies an exceptional position among the Pindaric poems, but the distribution forms no exception. There is no overlapping in it.

Four triads are used as often as three. There is no mechanical uniformity, but, as we should expect, the introduction usually dominates one triad, the myth two, the conclusion one, in most of the odes. This is the type 1.2.1. Overlapping is the rule 1.2 .1 or 1.2 .1 or 1.2 .1 . In Pindar's earliest piece, P. 10, there is no overlapping, and the student of English versification is reminded of the early timidity of blank verse.

Five triads might be expected to distribute themselves thus: Introduction $=1$, Myth $=3$, Conclusion = 1 , and this is substantially the arrangement in most of them. P. 8, with 2.1.2, forms an interesting exception, for which the notes must be consulted, as well as for the arrangement in 0.13 , and P.1, which have a quasi-epodic structure, two triads representing strophe, two antistrophe, and one epode. P. 3 and P. 9 are thrown out of line by the position of the myth.
In the Fourth Pythian we have no less than thirteen triads, and it might seem at first as if the epic mass had crushed the lyric proportion. But when we examine the structure more closely, we find that the first three triads form the overture, if I may say so. It is a prelude which gives the motif of the piece. These three triads are followed by seven triads with the story of the Argonauts in detail, while the conclusion is prepared and consummated in the last three triads. It is true

[^26]that the mass of the story carries it on into the eleventh triad, but the grand scale prepares us for a wider aberration.

Of the strophic poems, O. 14 has alreadr been considered. In P. 12 we recognize the familiar distrintion 1.2.1. P. 6 is represented by 2.2.2.

In N. 2 there is a curious iteration of the name of the victor and his family, 1.1.1+1.1. The twelve strophes of N. 4 divide into 3.6.3, the eleven of N. 9 into 2.7.2. I. 7 has not yielded satisfactory results.

To those who must have sharp figures at any cost, these statements will be disappointing; but the exact symmetry is cared for in the rhythm, the metre. All that we could fairly expect here is a general balance.

## VI.

In the preceding glimpses of Pindar's thought and art, his poems have been treated as a whole, and no regard has been DEvelop. had to the gradual development of his powers. If

MENT. his career exhibited marked stages, if we had trustworthy external data, such a presentation might well be considered defective. Sophokles and Euripides would not fare thus, nor Plato, although it must be confessed that Plato is a warning against the rash application of the principle of development. Let us see how the case stands with Pindar.

The life of Pindar gives scarcely any clue to his development. After his encounter with Korinna there is almost a dead silence from without. Those who have ears to hear-and every modern critic is a Fine-ear-may detect the sound of growth from within. Besides, we have the advantage of a certain number of fixed points. We know the dates of a fair proportion of Pindar's forty-four odes, and we may construct the curve of his rise, and, if it must be said, of his decline. The department, too, seems to favor such a study, for Pindar was a lyric poet; and a lyric poet, it is thought, would be the first to show the traces of personal experience. But antique lyric is not modern lyric. Even Roman lyric is not Greek lyric. The Horace of the Odes is not the same as the Horace of the

Epodes; but it does not follow irresistibly that we can as easily distinguish between the Pindar of the tenth Pythian and the Pindar of the fourth Olympian. It may be going too far to say that the law of the department, the lyric $\tau \varepsilon \theta \mu \rho^{\prime}$, was so much stronger than the individual that the personal development does not count. The personal development does count, and it is a legitimate and fascinating study, but the danger of importing into the result a priori conclusions is manifest. Once fix in the mind the characteristic stages, and the inevitable tendency is to force the phenomena, no matter how stubborn they may be, into the places which they are supposed to fit. Of youth we expect exuberance of language, unassimilated wealth of thought, rashness of imagery, a technic that betrays, both by its mechanical adherence to rule and by its violation of principle, the recent influence of the school, and the rebellion against it. Of matured power we expect a balance of forces; the imagination is steadier, the thought deeper, the interpenetration of form and matter is more complete, the plan is organic, the poem grows symmetrically up to its full height; there are fewer surprises, and the technic has become a second nature without the dulness of routine. The man is at his best. The closing stage shows perfect mastery of form still, but the effects are produced with less expenditure of power, there is not the same joy of surplus vitality, the word "dexterity" comes in too often when we applaud, the plan is a scheme. Now while some such course may be laid down in general for the track of lyric genius, the very essence of genius, which is the unforeseen, disappoints calculation at every turn. There are some minds in which there is no trace of crudeness at any age. There are revivals of youth in poetry as in life, revivals that scandalize critics of art as well as critics of morals. Of all students of Pindar, Leopold Schmidt ${ }^{1}$ has bestowed most attention on this sub-

[^27]ject, but in spite of his thoughtful study and bis sympathetic discernment, the results reached are not satisfactory. The pe-

> First Period. riod of immaturity is too long, and the evidence of immaturity too slight. The great poets of the world do not wait until the Suabian age of discretion-which is for-ty-before they reach their prime. Of the seven dated poems assigned to this period three are on the border of Pindar's perfect art, so that we are practically left to make up our characteristics of this stadium from P. 10, 6, 12, and 7. We are told that Pindar's first commissions came from Thebes. Nothing would seem to be more likely. But the odes give no evidence of it. The Thebans may have employed him at their local games, but the victors of the earlier odes are from Thessaly, Akragas, Athens, Epizephyrian Lokris, and Aigina. We are told that Pindar must have known Aigina from his youth up, and no one questions his intimate knowledge of the island, his deep interest in its fortunes. One fourth of all the odes celebrate Aiginetans, but the first Aiginetan ode is the last of this period of immaturity. True, not without significance is the close connection with Delphi and the consequent predominance of Pythian odes at this period, and it was doubtless a proud moment in the poet's life when he received his first Olympian commission, and if the longer ode on Agesidamos, O. 10 (11), is the fulfilment of that commission, it may be pardonable to see a certain jubilation in its tone; but it is extravagant to attempt the reconciliation between the joyous tone and the long delay by the supposition that the poet was too much overcome by his emotion to do the theme immediate justice. The distinction between the earlier poems and the poems of the period of maturity, as marked by the prominence given to the grace of a special god in the latter, seems to be shadowy, and to have less in its favor than the criticism that there is a lack of unity in the composition of the earlier poems.
8. Period III. (01. 81 and 01.82 ), 0. 9 ; I. $6 ; 0.4$ and 5 ; P. 8. The dates of the rest are not fixed, according to Schmidt, and must be excluded from a rigid calculation. They are all Nemean and Isthmian.

Unfortunately the relation of myth to theme is not yet put on an impregnable basis, and what Schmidt says of the earlier poems has been said by others of the ripest. It is easy to say that there is no interpenetration of myth and thought, that the actual present is not yet merged in the mythic past, that we have only striking situations, no development, and hence no psychological interest. The trouble is to vindicate perfection for the others. The handling of the metres in the different periods is another matter that leaves ample margin for varying judgment. Schmidt maintains that the metre shifts from logaoedic to dactylo-epitrite without discernible reason, that the logaoedic is more freely handled as the poet develops, and that the dactylo-epitrite is not thoroughly mastered until the close of the period. Here, again, the basis of induction is too narrow, the ${ }^{\circ} \lambda 0 \gamma o s$ aï $\sigma \eta \sigma$ ss is too potent an element.

The second period, according to Schmidt, extends from Pindar's fortieth to his sixty-fifth year-a stirring time. To
Second the opening of it belong the battle of Salamis-a period. contest of Panhellenic significance far greater than Marathon-and the battle of Plataia, which touched Pindar nearly. Thebes was severely chastised for her adherence to the Persians, and the dominant aristocratic party sorely humiliated. It is supposed-it is a mere supposition-that Pindar, though of the nobility, was not with the nobility; that his vision had widened. The aristocracy was no longer the only form of government worthy of the name, and so he was fitted by nature and insight to act as a mediator between extremes. And yet it would be hard to prove from Pindar's poems that he ever had a reasonable sympathy with democracy anywhere. There was no call for such sympathy. The victors in the games were all of his own order.

In this second period Pindar's reputation extended more and more; the princes of the earth sought the honor of being glorified by him. When he was fifty he yielded to Hieron's solicitations and paid a visit to Syracuse. When he was in his fifty-sixth year he is supposed to have been at the court of Arkesilas IV. of Kyrene. Of his travels, however, it is con-
fessed we know nothing. We may infer from his extensive connections and his exact knowledge of localities and of family history that he had journeyed far and wide; but we are often unable to tell whether it is the singer or the song that is voyaging, and the minute local knowledge may be due in part to the persons from whom Pindar held his commission. In any case, the transmission of the names and fortunes of mythic characters presents problems enough in every department of Greek poetry. A personal acquaintance with Athens is not unlikely, though by no means certain. The high praise that he bestowed upon the city is referred by Schmidt to the time between the second Persian war and his visit to Syracuse. The relations between the Dorians and the Athenians became more tense afterwards, and Schmidt himself acknowledges that as Pindar grew older he went back to the faith of his fathers, the aristocratic creed in which he was nursed.

Pindar's rise in national estimation gave him a higher selfesteem. He likes to show that his song makes him the peer of kings. But it must not be forgotten that his boldest utterances are courtliness itself, and that the Greek of that period would not have understood the modern attitude of the subject to the throne. It is absurd to see any freedom in his calling Hieron "friend." His own achievements and the achievements of the Persian war are supposed to have led him to higher views of human power. Success in the games is not due to fortune or to fate, but rather to the victor's own prowess, the victor's own zeal, the victor's family record, especially in its religious aspects, to the favor of a special deity, and chiefly to the favor of Apollo. Here, again, it may be said that the material for the first period is too scant for the establishment of such a contrast in the second.

The advance in the art of composition in the second period is a point that cannot be discussed without illustrations from the several odes. To reach Schmidt's conclusions it would be necessary to accept Schmidt's analyses, which often err by supersubtilty. The attempt has been made in this edition to follow the growth of the odes in the poet's mind. A general
plan there was, doubtless, in each poem; but it was not a rigid scheme, and shaped itself into graceful variations as the poet wrought at his work. The myth grew out of the theme, its heart or head, as the herb in Isabella's Pot of Basil. We must have suggestion, play, sweep, or we have no poetry. Now, according to Schmidt, it is only in this period that we have any such organic unity; it is only in this period that he sees the happy co-operation of imagination and plastic force. Yet even here he notices a difference. After fifty the significance of each poem may be summed up in a formula; before, the fundamental notion is so incarnate that we cannot dissect it out. But no high poetry is exhausted by its recurrent burdens, its catch-words, its key-verses, just as no high poetry is in any sense translatable.

The advance in the art of the narrative is another point where we have to encounter the danger of a priori characterization, and the difficulty of a narrow range of observation. Critics have noted that the construction of Thackeray's earliest stories is as perfect as that of his latest. The difference lies in the detail work. The Pindaric manner of story-telling, with its sharp outlines of light, its tips of coruscations, remains the same throughout.

But to follow in detail all the changes that Schmidt has noticed in the second period is not possible within the limits of this essay. The third period-the period of the senile

> Third Pindar-is marked by a decided decline. "The period. eagle flight of the imagination is broken." The understanding is as subtile as ever, the humor is as fresh, the feeling is as warm, but the fair enchantment of the harmony between the world of idea and the world of fact is gone. The old poet falls into the sins of his youth. His composition is unequal; and yet so much praise is lavished on the five odes-and one of them of doubtful authenticity-that Pindar falls, if he falls, upon a bed of roses.

Without refusing, then, the meed of praise to the intense study that has enabled Schmidt to draw in finest details the image of the poet's life and the poet's art-without denying
the value of the attempt to form such a picture of Pindar's development, we may be pardoned for declining to accept as final results reached by processes so shadowy with materials so limited.

## VII.

Rauchenstein-who has done so much to promote the study of Pindar, and to whose Introduction to Pindar, read and APproach meditated on many years ago, the present edition to pindar. is doubtless due-after commending Pindar in the warmest terms to those who have reached the lyrical stage of life, the age of feeling and enthusiasm, gives an outline of the preliminary studies that he deems necessary, and then bids

## METEES.

 us begin with the easier odes. Which are the easier odes? Not the shorter ones necessarily, for the fourth Pythian, the longest of all, is one of the easiest, and the fourteenth Olympian, one of the shortest, has given the commentators much trouble. The fact is, a man who has read himself into Pindar is a poor judge of the relative difficulty of the odes unless he has made actual trial in the class-room, and the experience of most lovers of Pindar has of necessity been limited, as Pindar has seldom been read in our colleges. And yet it might be safe to recommend some such course as this. For the beginning, within the range of Olympians and Pythians, O. 12, 11 (10)-the short ode for Agesidamos-then $0.3,6,7$; P. 3, 4; for the culmination, whatever else may lie between, $\mathrm{O} .1,2 ; \mathrm{P} .2$. This advice is based purely on the relative difficulty, but those who know Pindar will see at once that the easier odes are dactyloepitrite, the harder odes are logaoedic or paionian. Of course it is not to be expected that the student will be satisfied with so long a course of dactylo-epitrites, but the lesson is this: If any ode of Pindar is to be studied as a work of art, it is to be approached as a work of art, and the first thing to be mastered, not theoretically, but practically, is the form. A good recitation will be found of far greater value than much discourse about the atmosphere of the epinikion. The poem must be read rhythmically over and over until it can be readfluently aloud, and this must precede the intellectual study. Then, of course, the vocabulary must be looked after, though the Pindaric vocabulary is not very troublesome; thereupon the commentary, and finally the introduction, by way of review. When the rhythm is mastered, it will be found that the way is open for the appreciation of the meaning of the poem in its parts and as a whole. The stress falls on the summits of the thought. Words are not divorced that are bound together by rhythm, no matter how widely they are separated to the eye. Key - notes make themselves heard. The welding of masses makes itself felt. The confused figures group themselves into patterns, and out of the darkness, as out of a picture of Rembrandt, the remotest forms come forth to the vision. Then it will be soon enough to bring in the historical apparatus, soon enough, if it is ever soon enough, to bring in the metaphysical analysis, the logical skeleton, which is supposed to exhibit the organism of the ode, though vertebrae and ribs and thigh-bones are often missing, to say nothing of the head.

Of course metricians are not agreed about every detail of Pindaric metre, but neither are commentators about every detail of the interpretation of the text, and the divergencies affect chiefly matters that are cognizable by the eye rather than by the ear-questions of symmetry, of the distribution of the masses. The length of the $\kappa \bar{\omega} \lambda o \nu$ may be a matter of vital importance to the advanced Pindaric scholar. For the beginner it is enough if he can be taught to feel how intimate is the relation between form and sense, the $\eta^{3} 0$ os of the great moods and metres.

Some knowledge of the form, then, is a prerequisite to the artistic study of Pindar, so much at least as is necessary to make use of the metrical schemes appended to the odes. ${ }^{1}$

[^28]Lyric poetry meant among the Greeks what the words mean. It was meant to be sung to the lyre, кitápa, píp $\boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \xi$, to be

> Lyric poetry, sung and not simply recited. Instead of the lyre, the flute, or rather clarionet, sometimes served to accompany the voice; sometimes both instruments were used. The rhythmical movement of the body, the dance, completed the trinity, which could not be dissociated without loss. The Shield of Achilles in Homer, ${ }^{1}$ II. 18, 569-572, shows the rudimentary union of voice, instrument, and dance, which survives, still rudimentary, among the people of our stock. In Greece the popular became the artistic, and passed through a long development, which cannot be exhibited here. The great musicians of the eighth century ${ }^{2}$-Olympos, Terpandros, Thaletas-were followed in the seventh by Alkman, the Lydian, the sweet singer of Sparta, Stesichoros of Himera, "who bore upon the lyre the weight of the epos," and these were succeeded by Simonides of Keos and Pindar, who represent the third great stage of lyric poetry proper. The Lesbian school is called melic rather than lyric, and Sappho and Alkaios are not the artistic ancestors of Pindar. Their poetry, full of passion and fire as it was, had not the sustained flight of the choral ode. It was from the poems of Stesichoros that Pindar learned how to build the fourth Pythian. The dithyramb is a thing apart.

Common to poetry, music, and dance is rhythm, which means "regular flow." Regular flow can be recognized only

> Rhythm, by interruptions; time unbroken is eternity; we must have groups, and these groups must be of such dimensions as to be comprehensible. Hence the definition

[^29]




${ }^{3}$ For the controversy as to dates, see Flach, Lyrik der Griech. pp. 119. 188.
 of times." The recurrence of groups was marked by the recurrence of a beat. So we have a strong time and a weak time, $\theta$ éoes and ápoes, the sense of which terms was afterwards inverted. In these simple statements lies the whole theory of rhythm. There must be an orderly succession of groups of time, these groups must be accentuated by stress, they must have simple proportions and a moderate extent, so that the ear can recognize them, and finally they must be equal to one another. The conditions of verse-rhythm are the same as those of musical rhythm. As a rule, we have in every Greek verse a sequence of equal or equivalent feet under the domination of a regularly recurring stress.

The elements of verses are called feet, just as we call the
Feet. elements of a dance steps, and they correspond to bars in music.
In language, as we have seen, rhythm is marked by stress of voice. The stressed part is called arsis, the unstressed thesis, the stress itself the ictus.

Rhythm when represented in language is embodied in metre. A metre is a system of syllables that stand in a de-

> Hetre. termined order. Of course only those metres are of importance that embody the principal rhythms. The unit of measure is the short syllable, - (xpóvos, mora) $=\frac{1}{8}$ note $)$. The long, - , is double the short and $=d$ note).

The classes of rhythm are based on the relation of arsis to thesis. The number is restricted by the necessity of having simClasses of ple recognizable relations. The Greek has but three, Rhythms. and the third occurs very seldom in modern music. ${ }^{1}$
I. Equal Class (yevos ioov), in which the arsis is equal to the thesis. Represented in Pindar by


[^30]II. Unequal Class (yévos $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma o \nu$ ), in which the arsis is double of the thesis. Represented in Pindar by

III. Quinquepartite or Sescuple or Five-eighths Class (yévos $\dot{\eta} \mu$ нó $\left.\lambda_{\iota o v}\right)$, in which the arsis is to the thesis as $3: 2\left(1 \frac{1}{2}: 1\right)$. Represented in Pindar by the various forms of the paionian measure.


So far we have considered the value of syllables as limited to the simple relations of the short and the long, $\downarrow$ and d, $\frac{1}{8}$ notes and $\frac{1}{4}$ notes. But if we assume, as we have to assume, the equality of the bars, it is impossible to restrict the range of the elements to these two proportions, nor was it so restricted. The long syllable may be drawn out beт $\quad$ ตท. yond its normal quantity. This is called rovn or protraction, and serves to make up for the omission of one or оиүкоті. more theses. When this protraction fills up a whole bar it is called $\sigma v \gamma \kappa o \pi \eta$, and the verse is a syncopated verse.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\backsim & =\smile \smile \smile
\end{array}
$$

Sometimes two shorts occupy only the time of one. This is called correption, and instead of writing $\smile \smile$ we write $\omega$ or $\overline{\overline{0}}=$
The final syllable of a verse is usually considered indifferent, and is marked in the schemes here employed according syllabs to the metrical requirements. Within the verse anceps. a long syllable which takes the place of a short, or a short which takes the place of a long, is called irrational, and is designated by $>$.

An irrational or two-time trochee is one in which the value is not that of three eighth-notes, but two, and it is repIrrational. resented by $-\sim \sqrt{ } .5$, the proportions being not $2+$
ity. 1 eighth-notes, but $1 \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$. So the irrational dactyl is one in which the values are $1 \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+1$ eighth-notes. It is written $\sim \sim$ or $\sqrt{5}$
The rhythm always begins with stress. The unstressed syllable or syllables preceding do not count as a part of the rhythm, but as an ảvákpovás or signal-beat, marked off thus :. The value of the anacrusis must not exceed that of the regular thesis.

Missing theses at the close of a verse are made
Pause. up as in music by the pause or rest. These pauses have different values. So


One or two examples from the leading kinds of Pindaric metres will illustrate these points.

If this verse is measured by the mechanical values of the syllables, we should have

$$
-\smile 1--1-\smile \smile 1-\smile \smile 1-
$$

Measured by this system, we have

$$
ᄂ \smile 1--1-\smile \smile 1-\smile \smile 1-\pi
$$

all bars equal, the missing thesis made up by pause.

$$
\text { 0. } 10 \text { (11), } 6 \text { : ট̇ขı }
$$

This verse would be divided, according to the mechanical values, thus:

with utter disregard of rhythm. It is now read

$$
\smile \vdots\left\llcorner_{\mathrm{b}} \mid \simeq \smile-1-1-\hat{d}\right.
$$

with anacrusis (a), protraction (b), irrationality (c), and pause (d).

How are we to know when to make use of these different methods of reproducing the equality of the bars? When a single long syllable comes between two trochees, $-\cdots|-|-\circlearrowright$, it is evident that we must read - - | ᄂ | - . We have ovyкoт! . But the case is not so clear when we have such a verse as $0.9,27: \dot{a}_{\gamma \gamma \ell \lambda i a \nu} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \omega \tau \operatorname{\tau av́rav.~Are~we~to~read~this~}$

It is clear that here as elsewhere observation must come in. We must find the great periods, which in Pindar are so clearly marked by the sense that there is little dispute about them, and then within the periods mark the $\kappa \omega \bar{\omega} a$ or members, and observe the regular sequences. True, such $\kappa \bar{\omega} \lambda \alpha$ are already laid down by the metrical scholiasts, but scholars are divided as to the value of them, and the schemes followed here rest on the observations of J. H. H. Schmidt, who has rejected the antique kolometry, and has based his results on wide induction. The details belong to the systematic study of the subject and cannot be introduced here.

The $\kappa \bar{\omega} \lambda a$ are designated in the schemes by $\|$, the periods by 7. Within each period there is a correspondence in the number of the bars of each $\kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$, and the groupings have received different names according to the order of the recurrence.
 lude," and stand outside of the responsions, which are usually indicated by curved lines. ${ }^{1}$

We have $\pi \rho o \neq \delta$ ouca in the following:


[^31]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { O. 13, Str. I. 8. } \pi \rho \text {. 6. 5. 5. 6. } \\
& \text { Ep. I. 3. } \pi \rho . \underbrace{32.23 .} \\
& \text { O. 14, I. 3. } \pi \rho \text {. } 6.6 \text {. } \\
& \text { P. } 5, \text { Str. I. 2. } \pi \rho \text {. 3.2.3. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

غ́ $\pi \nleftarrow \delta \ll \alpha a ́$ are far more common in Pindar.

$$
\text { O. 2, Str. I. } 3.32 \mathrm{i} \pi \text {. }
$$




So also O. 8, Str. III., Ep. I. II. III. ; O. 9, Ep. I. ; 0.10 (11), Ep. II. ; 0. 12, Str. I. III. ; O. 13, Str. III., Ep. III. ; O. 14, VI. ; P. I., Ep. I. ; P. 2, Ep. III. ; P. 3, Str. I., Ep. I. II. ; P. 4, Str. III. ; P. 5, Ep. II. III. ; P. 6, III. ; P. 7, Str. III. ; P. 9, Str. III., Ep. I. III. ; P. 10, Str. I. ; P. 11, Str. II., Ep. II. ; P. 12, III.

A period is stichic when two or more equal $\kappa \bar{\omega} \lambda a$ follow one after another.

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \text { So } 0.4, & \underbrace{\text { a }}_{\text {Str. IV., }} \text { 4.4. }
\end{array}
$$

It is palinodic when a group is repeated, as
Palinodic.



It is antithetic when a group is repeated in inverse order:
Antithetic.

1. $\underbrace{\mathrm{b} b \mathrm{a}}$.
2. $\underbrace{\text { bemba. }}$
3. O.3, Ep. II. $3 \underbrace{5.5 .3}$
0.8, Ep. I. 5.38 .5 .3 i $\pi$.
4. 18 , Str. I. $3 \pi \rho$. 6. .5.5.6.
P. б, Str. IV. $\underbrace{6.4 .6 .}$
5. 0.6 , Str. III. $4 \underbrace{43.324 .}$
P. 10, Ep. IT. 3 4.5.5. 43.

In the palinodic-antithetic period, palinodic groups are re prated antithetically, e. g. :

## Palinodice

## antithetic.



When the antithetic period has a solitary кшิगov in the middle it is mesodic:

Menodic.


When a $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \psi \delta \ll o ́ v$ is introduced into a palinodic period at becomes palinodic-mesodic.

## PalinodicMesodic.



On this principle are constructed such periods as:


The principal rhythms used by Pindar are the Dactyloepitrite and the Logaoedic. There are only a few specimens of the Paeon and the Bacchius. Rhythms.

1. The Dactylo-epitrite measures receive the name from the combination of the dactyl, - $\smile$, with the so-called Dactylo- epitrite, - - -, epitrite meaning $1 \frac{1}{3}=\frac{4}{3}$, and epitrite. supposed to be a rhythm in which arsis is to thesis as 4 to 3. ———— would be divided thus $-\cup \cup-$. The name is retained for convenience' sake; the true measure is, as we have seen, ᄂー $1 \rightarrow-1$.

The model dactylo-epitrite rbythm is shown in O. 3.
About half the extant odes of Pindar are composed in these rhythms, which are also called Dorian. They are elevated, well-balanced, equable, and present a marked contrast to the lively, lilting, excited logaoedic measures, and the still more stirring cretic. There is a thorough correspondence between the sense and the rhythm. The Dorian odes are much easier to follow, the development is, as a rule, much more regular, the forms are not so puzzling, even the tenses sympathize with the rhythm, and the leisurely unfolding of the imperfect is more common in the dactylo-epitrite than in the logaoedic.
2. The Logaoedic rhythm is a $\frac{3}{8}$ rhythm, the basis of which is the trochee, but not the trochee with the ordinary ictus, Logaoedic. - This trochee has a stronger secondary ictus on the short, $-\dot{\perp}$, admits irrationality, $\rightarrow>$, and takes as a snbstitute the so-called cyclical or light dactyl, $\sim \smile$, in which the proportions are, as we have seen, not $2+1+1$ morae, but $1 \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+1=3 \sqrt{5}$ The apparent jumble of dactyls and trochees, as in prose, gave rise to the name logaoedic (from $\lambda$ óyos and áoión). The logaoedics are much used in the lyric portion of the drama, and are familiar to all in the odes of Horace, nearly half of the Horatian varieties, and more than ninety per cent. of the odes, being logaoedic. The logaoedic rhythms are lighter, more airy, than the dactyloepitrite. They have festal glitter rather than steady light, a rapid flitting rather than a compassed march. All fancy apart, no stronger contrasts can be felt than between the movements of the two odes on the victory of Agesidamos ( 0.10 and 11). The shorter ode rocks gently through a series of antitheses. It is grave and stately, despite its short compass. Not a preliminary flourish, not an anacrusis, throughout. Contrast the dash and the whirl and the surprise of the longer ode. O. 3 and 0.1 will also serve to bring out the contrast, which does not rest on the imagination of the commentators, but on the universal feeling of our race.
3. Those who bave read the Acharnians of Aristophanes are familiar with the passionate cretics that abound in that
young and lusty play. The Cretic or Paionian rhythm shows itself in two of our odes, 0.2 and P. 5, both of them

## Paioninn.

 counted among the more difficult Pindaric poems by reason of their extreme elasticity. But the rhythm of these odes reveals the secret of their soul, and instead of being the most difficult, they are among the most easily understood. The passionate movement betrays them. The ànip recur with a persistency that cannot escape the most careless observer, and in P. 5 we have really nothing but a
 Passion comes out with itsstory ; passion will not let its story rest.

In what relation do these rhythms stand to the "moods" made so familiar to us by our own poets-by Milton, who says, "Lap me in soft Lydian airs," who speaks of the Moods. "Dorian mood of flutes and soft recorders;" by Gray, who cries, "Awake, Acolian lyre, awake"? These three moods are all mentioned by Pindar himself. ${ }^{1}$ O. 3 is des-
 The Dorian harp of $0.1,17$ is generally understood to refer to the instrument and not to the mood of the poem, which is

 tioned in P. 2, 69, "the Aiolian breathings of flutes" in N. 3, 79. As these poems are logaoedic and 0.3 is dactylo-epitrite, it would seem natural to identify Dorian with dactylo-epitrite and Aiolian with logaoedic, but the Lydian mood introduces a disturbing element. Lydian measures appear in $0.5,19$ :
 $4,45: \Lambda v \delta i q q u ̀ v \nu \dot{q} p \mu o v i q$, three odes which are essentially
 кı $\lambda \mu \mu^{\prime} v a v$, dactylo-epitrite. But the logaoedic odes that are composed in the Lydian mood are all of very simple construction and popular character, and the only Lydian dactylo-epitrite shows marked peculiarities of periodology, so that for Pindar

[^32]at least the general identification of Aiolian with logaoedic and Dorian with dactylo-epitrite may be maintained. It will suffice here to give a characteristic of these three moods-Dorian, Aiolian, and Lydian ${ }^{1}$-after the ancient authorities, leaving the details of Greek musical composition, with its diatonic, chromatic, and enharmonic scales, to special students. This is the more permissible here because the diatonic or natural scale was the only one employed in lyric choruses. ${ }^{2}$

The Dorian mood was manly and imposing, like the Dorians themselves; not expansive nor lively, but grave and strong.

> Dorian. What it lacked in liveliness and variety, it made up by steadiness and impressiveness. $\Delta$ úpıov $\mu \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o s}$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \nu$ о́татоv, says Pindar himself, in a fragment. It is the mood for the tug of war, where the staying quality is priceless.

The Aiolian was said to reflect the character of the Aiolian chivalry, the high and mighty, self-asserting, deep-drinking magnates of Thessaly, the swaggering, fighting, lovemaking, convivial countrymen of Alkaios. The Aiolian mood, like the Aiolians themselves, was joyous and full of movement, frank and fair, without lurking meanness or shyness. If the Dorian mood suited the close-locked conflict of infantry, the martial dash of the Aiolian mood made it fit for the Kacrópeiov, the ï $\pi \pi \varepsilon \iota o s$ vó $\mu$ os. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

The Lydian mood, originally a flute-melody, was introduced as a ขó $\mu$ os énıкク́ঠєıos or dirge, and the tender, plaintive strains were chiefly used in lamentations for the dead. Aristotle says (Pol. 8 end) that the Lydian mood
 $\ddot{\mu} \mu a$ каì $\pi \alpha i \delta \varepsilon i \alpha v$. The simplicity of the composition, and the naturally plaintive tone of boys' voices, are reasons that lie nearer to us.

The Pindaric odes were accompanied now with the cithern, now with the flute (clarionet), now with both. In Pindar's time the instrumentation was still subordinate.

[^33]The third element of the form is the dance; song, music, dance, being the trinity. This, of course, has perished for us beyond all recovery, and only the names $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$, and $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \dot{c}_{s}$ remain to remind us that the rhythmical movement of the chorus added to the charm of the performance. The strophic poems of Pindar are processional, not orchestic.

## VIII.

Careful dialect study will always separate the more or less sophisticated language of literature from the native speech. There is scarcely a writer in dialect that has not DLALECT. been assailed for infidelity to the spoken tongue; and if this is true of those who have tried to reproduce the dialect faithfully, what shall be said of the make-believes, such as Burns and Mistral? ${ }^{1}$ What shall be said of the lyric poets of Greece, who seem to have shifted and blended dialects according to rhythm and mood ? ${ }^{2}$

Doubtless, to a certain extent, the dialect was dictated by the origin of the department. Lyric poetry emerging from the Epos could not throw off the authority of Epic forms, but the so-called Epic dialect is itself composite, and the Doric strains, with which the Epic language was tempered by Stesichoros, became characteristic of the higher lyric. And yet such is the freedom with which the Ionian Simonides and the Theban Pindar handle the language, that we must leave a wide margin for individual susceptibility. Those who translate Homer back into the original Aiolic may yet reconstruct a Pindar in uniform dialect. But till this is done it may be provisionally assumed that Pindar used an artistic dialect thato had no definite relation to the spoken language, and it may be added that if such a uniform dialect should be established,

[^34]it would be a contradiction of the subtile variety that Pindar is always producing out of his material, and always producing with as full consciousness as true poets ever have. Pindar rejoices in his play with language; he rings changes on words, he toys with synonyms, he loves the discord of the oxymoron, and those who think that such artistic devices are too mechanical forget that before plastic art had developed its finesse, song had served an apprenticeship of ages. While awaiting, then, new light, it may be permissible to call Pindar's language an artistic dialect, and to give a rapid summary of the chief peculiarities that mark it.

The basis is the language of the Epic, itself composite, and with this are blended in varying proportions Aiolic and Doric

> Mixed. forms. None of these elements appears in its extremes. The flow of the Epic is retained, but certain forms familiar in Homer are discarded. There are no echoing verbs in -a $\omega$, there is no - $\phi$, no infinitive in -quevas. The Doric majesty and sonorous fulness of utterance enter into the composition, but the older and stiffer inflections are set aside. The first person plural cnds in - $\mu \varepsilon v$ and not in $-\mu e s$, Pindar says rô̂ not rê, roús not tós. The Aiolic gives fire and passion and a certain familiar sweetness as well, but the Boeotian variety was not refined, and, in spite of local criticism, Pindar preferred the Asiatic form of the dialect. Thus trebly and more than trebly composite, Pindar's language shifts with the character of his rhythms. The three moods -Dorian, Aiolian, Lydian-call for different coloring, and the mobile Aiolian measures show the greatest number of recondite forms, so that dialect, rhythm, plan, imagery, are all in accord. Ahrens has seen in the dialect of Pindar the influence of Delphic speech. So, for instance, the use of iv with the accusative, the elision of $-\iota$ in $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$. But the evidence seems too slight, and while the study of Pindar by the light of Hesiod is instructive, the theory that they both used a Delphic dialect remains an ingenious suggestion and nothing more.

In the following exhibit only those points are dwelt on that
might give the student trouble as to the recognition of forms． The more familiar facts are briefly stated．${ }^{1}$

Vowels．－$\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ for Epic $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．So where $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ comes from an original


> Vowels． in fut．，aor．，perf．of verbs in－á凶 as àvód́coual（O．2，
 also $\tau \varepsilon \theta \nu a \kappa o ́ t \omega \nu$ ．But forms from кт́́óaє retain $\eta$ as $\kappa \tau \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \varepsilon-$ val（N．9，52），Фıлокті́тао（P．1，50），and also those from хрáш，
 60）．On $\bar{a}$ in the augment see $p$ ．lxxxv．Derivatives a．of the A －declension and of verbs in－dw have $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ，as
 74）．So in compounds of which the second part usually be－ gins with $\eta$ ，as какаүорıầ（P．2，53），eviávopl（O．1，24）．The personal endings $-\mu \eta v$ and $-\sigma \theta \eta v$（ 3 p ．dual）are in Pindar－$\mu \mathrm{av}$ and－olav，as iкóца⿱（P．4，105），ктєббácөav（O．9，49）．For ə $\eta \eta$ we find－ava，as Kv入入ávaç（ $0.6,77$ ），Kvpávaç（P．4，279）． Whether we are to read єipíva or $\varepsilon i \rho a ́ v a(0.13,7)$ ，＇Aө̄̀vae or ＇AOâvat（P．7，1），is disputed．In this ed．＇AAavaía has been

 35）．So adverbs in $-\hat{\eta}$ and in $-\delta \eta \nu$ ，as $\kappa \rho \nu \phi \bar{q}(O .1,47)$ ，к $\rho \dot{u} \beta \dot{\delta} a v$ $(0.3,13)$ ．The others cannot be reduced to classes and must
 $\rho \eta o v(0.6,13$ al．）．
$\eta$ is retained in verb forms and verbals from verbs in－$-\infty$ ，

> $\eta$ ，where though many have édııát $\eta \nu$ ，as áкєvítav（ $0.9,35$ ）， крат $\quad$ бірахоя（P．9，93）．There are a few exceptions，
 now a．So the MSS．vary between $\theta$ éó $\mu \eta \tau o \nu$ and $\theta \varepsilon \dot{o} \delta \mu a \tau o v$ （ $0.3,7$ ）．$\quad \eta$ remains in the augment of verbs，beginning with

[^35]$\epsilon$ as $\eta_{\lambda \pi є \tau o}$（P．4，243），in the subjunctive endings as $\beta \dot{a} \lambda \eta$ （O．3，13），the opt．in－tๆv as eiofinv（O．13，46），in the aor． pass．$\phi a ́ v \eta(0.1,74), \lambda \varepsilon i \phi \theta \eta$（O．2，47）．Nominatives of the 3 d ．decl．in $\eta \rho$ and $-\eta s$ are unchanged．So is $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \xi$ ．So words in－mptov as Xpŋ⿱宀八й́！ov（ $O .9,7$ ），compounds the second

 of the 3 d decl．in $-\eta \mu a$ ，as $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$（О．2，21），oौк $\eta \mu \alpha(\mathrm{O}, 2,10)$ ． Adj．in $\quad \eta p o s$ and $-\eta \lambda o s$ that are not related to $a$－stems．So

 A noteworthy exception is $\mu \hat{\alpha} \nu \iota s($ P．4，159）．Adjectives in －$\dagger$ เos，as ápítos（O．2，46），adverbs in $\eta$ ，and their compounds， $\dot{\eta}, \delta \dot{\eta}, \mu \hat{\eta}, \mu \eta \dot{\varnothing} \dot{\varepsilon}, \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \varepsilon, \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon$（P．11，23），adjectives compounded
 （O．13，99）．Verbs generally retain a penultimate $\eta$ ．So ápи́－ $\gamma \omega$（P．2，63），$\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \omega$（P．4，292）．$\theta \nu \dot{q} \sigma \kappa \kappa \omega$ ，ка́סoнaı，and forms from $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$ and $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \mu \boldsymbol{c}$ are the main exceptions．Other re－ tentions of $\eta$ than those mentioned cannot be reduced to rule．
a for є．This also is Doric．So oкєapós $(0.3,14.18)$ for бкєерós．Still Pindar does not say iapós nor＇Iápwv．tá $\mu \nu \omega$

## \％for $\epsilon$ ．

 is Ionic and Epic as well as Doric，tá $\mu \nu o \iota \sigma a \iota ~(O .12, ~$


Under e note that Pindar has $\kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon o ́ s ~(o r ~ к \varepsilon є \nu o ́ s), ~ d ́ d e ̀ \lambda \phi \varepsilon o ́ s, ~$
 10），áфveaîs（P．11，15）．For кגєєvós，$\phi \alpha \varepsilon เ \nu o ́ s, ~ к \varepsilon \lambda \alpha-$
f． סetvós，we find also the Aiolic form in－ewvos．So $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \varepsilon \nu v a ̂ s($ P．5，20，etc．），кє $\lambda a \delta \varepsilon \nu \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$（P．3， 113 al．），фaєvvóv （O．1，6，etc．）．
 36 al．）varies with＂$O \lambda \nu \mu \pi o s(0.1,54$ al．），but the＇ $\mathrm{O} \lambda$ ．form is far more common（more than $4: 1$ ）．$\mu$ óvos is more common than $\mu$ ov̂vos，vó⿱os than vov̂ซos，kov̂pos alone is used，but кópa outnumbers кoúpa．We find $\delta o v \rho i$（O． 6,17 ）as well as $\delta o p i ́(\mathrm{I} .4$［5］，42），ovipos less frequently than ópos．Dúvvoos is the normal form for Pindar．Syracuse is
 So the derivatives. The Aiolic övvца has expelled both övoна and ov̀voнa, the Aiolic -owa (for -ovтıa) in the present participle has taken the place of -ovoa. So фغ́por $\alpha$ ( $\mathrm{P} .3,15$ ), Kpeiotoa (P. 9, 17), Moî̃a (for Movtıáa). Aiolic -ourt is used as well as Doric -ovtı, $\pi \varepsilon \rho e \pi v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu(O .2,79)$. See p. Ixxxv.

 everywhere (O. 1, 99 al.). The first syllable is short,

 то́тє is found the Doric form то́ка (O. 6, 66). Noteworthy


 8,38 ).

Pindar has öбros ( $0.9,100 \mathrm{al}$.) as well as öros ( $0.2,75 \mathrm{al}$.$) ,$
 224) as well as $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\sigma} \sigma$ (P. 11, 52 al.), ஸ̂te, after the Doric fashion (O. 10 [11], 86 al.), as well as שׁ̈Te (O. 9, 74), though in different senses.
$\Phi \Phi^{\prime \prime \prime}$ for $0 \dot{\eta} \rho$ is Aiolic, and is used of the Centaur. Фє фóva (P. 12, 2) is familiar from the Iliad ( 1,$268 ; 2,143$ ). 8 is not changed before $\mu$ in кєка $\delta \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\nu} \nu \nu(\mathrm{O}, 1,27), \tau \varepsilon \theta \mu o ́ s$ is a Doric form for $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu$ ós (O. 8, 25 and often). Metathesis and other slight variations explain themselves.

Digamma.-Pindar seems to have used the digamma both in speech and in writing, and in this edition the example of

> Digamma. Mommsen and Christ has been followed after some hesitation, and the digamma, though in skeletonform, has been restored to the text. ${ }^{1}$ That the use was not rigid is clear. But from this irregularity we are not to draw the inference that Pindar only imitates the effects of the digamma, as seen in Epic poetry, although it must be admitted that the digammated words in Pindar are nearly all Ho-

[^36] iסov（comp．wot and wit）have the digamma：$\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} F_{\varepsilon i \delta}{ }^{\omega} \underline{s}$（ O ． 2，94），тávтa Fíavtı vóq（P．3，29），é éєì Fíov（P．5，84），and

 （P．1，29），Fadóvтı（P．6，51）．fépyov and its congeners，$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma a$ fépyov（P．1，29），Fєıtєîv（O．13， 68 al．），yet qiтeìv（O．1， 52 al．），fémos（O．6， $16 ;$ P．2， $16 ; 3,2 ;$ N．7，48），but ẽ้o؟ is more common，though some examples may be got rid of by emendation．foîos（P．7，4）occurs，but also oiкos（P．1，72），

 （O．12，6），as often．fétog（O．2，102）．Feíkoәィ（N．6，67）． fearépa（I． 7 ［8］，44），but éarepov（O． 10 ［11］，82），Fiסıos（O． 13，49）．There are examples of Fíos in Nemeans and Isth－ mians；íбov（О．4，22）．тà fєoккóta occurs（P．3，59），éoко́s everywhere else，Féкatı（O．14，20），f $\bar{\eta} \theta$ os（O． 11 ［10］，21）， fióтлокоу（O．6，30），but ioтлока́ $\mu \omega \nu$（P．1，1）．In proper
 9，120），fió入aov（P．9， 85 al．），fiá ${ }^{2}$ voov（O．7，76）［？］．In the Isthmians $\mathcal{F}_{\iota} \sigma \theta \mu o ́ s$ ，elsewhere＇I $\sigma \theta \mu$ ós（ $0.8,48$ ）．Probably Fóaver（ $\mathrm{O} .5,11$ ）．The digamma in the middle of a word， $\dot{\alpha} F_{\varepsilon \lambda \pi r i a}$（P．12，31），äFi $\delta$ ets（P．2，37），is seldom indicated in this edition，e．g．áFárav（P．2，28；3，24），as the chief object of the insertion is the very practical one of avoiding the per－ petual explanation of hiatus，to which the young student of Greek should be made as sensitive as possible．

Hiatus．－True hiatus is rare in Pindar，though he some－ times keeps a long vowel long before another vowel，as $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \underline{q}$

## Flatan．

 áкóvas $(\mathrm{O} .6,82)$ ．For＇Op0 ${ }^{\prime}$ ． Ahrens writes＇Op日woias．The shortening of a long vowel before a vowel is not hiatus，as áGov入ía v̈́vтaros（O． 10 diphthong it would seem that $\iota$ and $v$ may be semi－consonant． Notice especially et short in Pindar before a vowel，e．g．ï $\pi \pi \varepsilon$ iov （ $0.13,68$ al．）．aut is short in ávárav（P．2，28），but in this ed．áfórav is preferred．è－is short in ixveviแv（P．8，35）．

Crasis.-The ordinary crases, such as those with kui, тó, roû,
 Crasisand $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon s$ (P. 8, 80), is Aphaeresis rather than crasis. Aphaeresis. Bergk goes so far as to write áp $\eta \eta$ 'кঠ́́ǵkato (P. 4, 70), and $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \omega{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \varepsilon i \xi a \tau о$ (Р. 4, 256).

Elision.-a is sometimes elided in 1 s. perf. act., é $\pi \iota \lambda e ́ \lambda a \theta^{\circ}$ (O. 10 [11], 4) ; at in 1 s. midd., $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \phi о \mu$ ' аî $\sigma \alpha \nu$ (P. 11, 53), $\psi \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma о \mu ’$ á $\mu \phi i(\mathrm{O} .13,52)$; in 3 pl . (often), кv入ivঠovт'
 [11], 44). 七 is elided in 1 s., áфi $\eta \mu^{\prime}$ áypoús (P. 4, 149); in 3 pl.
 p. Ixxxvii.). o is elided in тойто (O. 6, 57 al.), кєivo (P. 9, 74), $\delta \varepsilon v ̂ \rho o ~(0.8,51)$, even in $\delta$ v́o ( $\mathrm{O} .6,101 ; 9,86$ ), in 3 pl . midd.; 2 s. opt. midd., $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {évoćo oios (P. 2, 72), and in the gen. }}$ s. O- decl. in -oto, a non-Homeric freeảom, $\Delta a^{\lambda} \lambda o \imath^{\prime}$ úváaбwv (P. 1, 39).

## Synizenis <br> and

 Diaeresis.Synizesis is very common in Pindar, and it has been thought best to indicate it in the text as well as Diafresis.
First Declension.-Pindar usually follows the Doric dialect here. Notice, however, the Aiolic shortening of Пé $\lambda \lambda a \nu$ ă

[^37]Second Declension．－The gen．ends in－oto or－ov，－ow being susceptible of elision，as is noted p．Ixxxii．The Second Doric acc．pl．in os is favored by the metre（O．2，
Decleasion．78），where，however，the best MSS．have várov： the metre does not require vakayópos（ $\mathrm{O}, 1,53$ ）．

Third Declension．－The dat．pl．ends in－al，more fre－ quently in eovr，sometimes（in $\sigma$－stems）we find eєनol，$\pi a-$

Third $\lambda a i \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota(0.9,14)$ ，тa入aı $\sigma \mu a ́ \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota(\mathrm{P} .8,35), \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha-$ Declension．入oкєv日ध́єббєン（P．2，33）．There is a good deal of variation，but nothing puzzling．So поблi（O． 10 ［11］， 71 al．），
 ter warrant than $\phi \rho \varepsilon \sigma i$ ．Gen．cos and ecov are never contract－ ed，but do admit synizesis．－$\epsilon$ is more common than－ e ．In the nom．acc．pl．－ea is seldom contracted．From words in－k $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$

 （O．3，28），rarely Eipuaө̄̄os（P．9，86），D．ßaбı入єî（P．1，60），
 （O．1，23），＇O $\delta v \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta}$（N．8，26）．N．pl．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma ~(O .9,60), ~ \beta \alpha-$


 $\tau \rho \alpha(0.9,62)$ as well as $\theta v \gamma a \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho a$ ，and always $\theta \dot{v} \gamma a \tau \rho \varepsilon$ es（P．3，
 occurs as well as $\pi a r \rho o ́ s, ~ \mu a r i ́ p o s ~(P . ~ 4, ~ 74 ~ a l) ~ a n d. ~ \mu a r \rho o ́ s, ~ \mu a-~$ т́́pt（N．9，4），and $\mu$ атрí．duvp，besides the usual forms which are more common，has ávépı（P．4，21），àvย́pa（0．9，110），ávé－ pes（P．4，173），áv＇́pouv（O．1，66）．From Zeús diós is far more common than Zqvós，Zqvi is nearly as common as $\Delta i(\Delta i i)$ ． Z̄̄va occurs twice（P．4， $194 ; 9,64$ ），Dia once．Пoaresiáav contracts $\alpha \omega$ into a，Пoन $i \delta \delta \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ，or keeps open，and so all the cases except the dat．，which is always חoreidácuve．A variant is Moreı $\delta \hat{a} v o s(0.13,5.40)$ ．

The termination－$\theta \varepsilon v$（ $-\theta$ ）occurs frequently．of $\theta \varepsilon v$ takes the prepositions of the genitive $\varepsilon^{k} \kappa$ and $\pi a p a{ }^{\prime}$ ．
$-\theta e v,-8 \varepsilon,-\theta u$ ． The local－$\delta \mathrm{e}$（whither）is not common，$-\frac{8}{}$ except in $\pi \dot{\theta} \theta$ ，tó $\theta$ ，occurs only thrice．

Gender. - Táptapos (P. 1, 15) is fem. So is 'I $\sigma \theta \mu$ ós always (O. 7,$81 ; 8,48 \mathrm{al}$.), кiwv, commonly fem. in the Od.,

> Gender. is always fem. in Pindar. Mapa0'́v is fem. (0.13, 110), aióv varies (fem. P. 4, 186; 5, 7), ai0np is sometimes fem., as in Homer (O. 1, 6; 13, 88), sometimes masc. (O. 7, 67 al.).

Adjectives.-Pindar, like other posts, sometimes uses adjectives of two terminations instead of three, ov̀v poopioice $\pi a-$ Adjectives.
 more commonly and more poetically adjectives of three terminations instead of two: à $\theta a v a ́ t a$ Ө́́res ( $\mathrm{P} .3,100$ ),
 $\mu о v i \mu a \nu$ вvíacuoviav (P. 7, 15). Of the less common forms of mo入ús note $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o ́ v=\pi o \lambda \dot{v}(\mathrm{O} .10[11], 40), \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \hat{\iota} \varsigma=\pi o \lambda \lambda o u ́ \varsigma$ (P. 4, 56), $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \iota \nu=\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~(O .13,44)$. The old accentua-


Comparison.- Pindar is fairly regular in his comparison. Eustathios says that he has a leaning to the endings -єбтєpos,

Comparison.

 тахiotuv (O. 1, 77) is peculiar to Pindar. по́рбш forms $\pi o ́ \rho-$
 as $\mu$ акрóтєрoc.

Pronouns, Personal. - N. lyśv once before a vowel (P.
 Pronouns. latter being far more common), $\sigma$ oi, roi, tiv, of which тot is always enclitic, while tiv like tú is emphatic. foî is common. I have not ventured to write Fıv with Hermann and Böckh (P. 4, 36). (See G. Meyer, Gr. Gram. §§ 411, 414.) Acc. $\epsilon^{\mu} \dot{\prime}$ and $\mu \dot{\prime}, \sigma \epsilon, f \dot{\epsilon}(\mathrm{O} .9,15)$. In the plural N .

 recent editors to the Epic $\mu i v$, which is found not infrequently in the MSS. There are no reflexives. The emphatic forms
 (P. 3, 41; 4, 27) ; rebs (Doric) is far more common than obs, dós is nearly four times as common as oss: for ípérepot we
find í $\mu$ ós (P. 7, $15 ; 8,66$ ), $\sigma \phi o ́ s ~ o c c u r s ~ o n c e ~(P . ~ 5, ~ 102), ~ \sigma ф غ ́-~$ тєроя $=\boldsymbol{a} \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu(\mathrm{P} .10,38 ; \mathrm{I} .2,27)$ twice, oфérєроя usually being $=$ éos, while eós is once used for the possessive of the pl. (P. 2, 91). The article has Doric $\boldsymbol{a}$ in the fem. So has the relative. Notice $\tau \alpha i=\alpha i, o b=o ̈ s(P .1,74 a l$.$) .$

Verb.-The augment is often omitted, both syllabic and temporal, but it is safer to read $a$ before two consonants long ;
verb. hence $\hat{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\varepsilon}(0,10[11], 51)$, $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi^{\varepsilon \nu}(\mathrm{P} .4,205)$. Augment. $\alpha \iota, \alpha v, \varepsilon v, \varepsilon \iota$ are unchanged.
Of the terminations in the pres. act. -ovt (Doric) or -otr (Aiolic) is used to the exclusion of oovot. -ovrt cannot take Termina- $v$ éфєдкvataóv, and hence -otorv must be used betions. fore vowels. On the so-called short subjunctive, see note on O. 1, 7 .
$-\mu \varepsilon v$ is more common than -єtv in the inf. orâ $\mu \epsilon \nu=\sigma \tau \eta \hat{v a s}$ (P. 4, 2), $\beta \hat{a} \mu \varepsilon \nu=\beta \hat{\eta}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\iota}$ (P. 4, 39), whereas a long vowel before $-\mu \varepsilon v$ would not be allowed in Homer. $\varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu a t$ occurs, but ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu$ is nearly twice as common. The Doric yapúєv (O. 1,3 ), т $\alpha^{\prime} \phi \varepsilon \nu$ (P. 4, 115) has the authority of the MSS., not the cogency of metre. ${ }^{1}$ रहүáкєьข (Doric) is from a theoretical


In the participles -otoa (Aiolic) is used exclusively in the fem. pres. -ats and -atoa (Aiolic) in the masc. and fem. aor., but never in $\beta$ ás : ávaßás ( $\mathrm{O} .13,86$ ), катаßás ( O . Participle. $6,58)$. Two perfect participles have present end-


In the passive the open forms, -cat, -*, are preferred, with synizesis, if needful (but always $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). - $\mu \epsilon \sigma 0$ for $-\mu \varepsilon \theta a$ occurs (P.10, 28). In the 3 pl. aor. pass. $-\boldsymbol{e}$ is used as needed, фávєv (O. 10 [11], 88), $\delta \mu a \hat{\theta \varepsilon v ~(P . ~ 8, ~ 17) . ~}$ So in the active ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\ell} \beta$ av (O. 2, 38), ${ }^{\varepsilon} \gamma$ vov (P. 4, 120).

Many verbs in $-\xi_{0}$ form the future and aor. in $\xi$ instead of the ordinary $\sigma$ (see G. Meyer, Gr. Gram. § 529).



[^38]Pindaric word, simply follows the analogy of onomatopoetic verts in $-\zeta \omega$, which regularly have $\xi$ as ù $\lambda_{\text {ci }} \lambda_{a} \xi_{\varepsilon v}(0.7,37)$. Verbs in Others vary. к $\omega \mu \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ forms кш $\omega \dot{\xi} \xi a \tau \varepsilon$ (N. 2, 24) and


 (P.4,34) ; äp $\mu \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ (P. 3, 114), but in the compound évap $\dot{o}^{-}$ $\xi_{a \iota}(0.3,5)$. Only a few verbs in -ई $\omega$ double $\sigma$ in the $\sigma$ - forms, as $\theta \varepsilon \mu \tau \sigma \sigma a ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o s(P .4,141)$, whereas future and aor. $\sigma$, preceded
 $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon(0.6,58)$, ăvvarєv (P. 12, 11). This so-called gemination is a reappearance (G. Meyer, Gr. Gram. § 224).

Pindar uses the Homeric édóknбєv (P. 6, 40), but also the
 217) ; aivé $\omega$ increases in $\eta$ except three times; verbs in -aiv have -ava in the aor.

Contract Verbs. Pindar contracts regularly the verbs in -ac. vaıcráw, an Epic verb, is the only one left open, vaiccontract ráovés ( $0.6,78$; P. 4, 180). Verbs in -єш contract Verbs.
 tracted. Verbs in -ow contract.

 Verbs in also occur. There is much dispute about the read-$-\mu 4 . \quad$ ing in P. 4, 155 (where see the notes). סiסol (Aiolic) is the only form used for the imperative. The short forms, тi $\theta \varepsilon \nu$ (P. 3, 65), тарє́бтаข (O. 10 [11], 58), катє́бта»
 jouvvat occurs once (P.4,35), otherwise $\delta \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ is the rule (see p. Ixxxv.). The passive forms require no special exhibit. The first aorist middle of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$ c balances the second, each occur-
 tice हैра̄таı, 3 s. pres. subj. midd. from е̌ $\rho a \mu a \iota$ (P. 4, 92).





фарi has for its third pers. pl. фаутi. irams is a Doric verb $=o i \delta a$.

Prepositions. - mapá, ává, katá are apocopated when apocope is needful. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \iota(\mathrm{P} .4,54)=\dot{a} \nu a \mu \nu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \iota$, so $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \dot{a} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \nu$
 tions. тєтоข (O. 8, 38) = кагє́пєєоv. Comp. Alkm. fr. 38 : $\kappa \alpha \beta a i \nu \omega \nu$. $\quad$ тоті (Doric) $=\pi \rho o ́ s$. It is elided once $\pi \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ á $\sigma \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ (O. 7, 90), and rarely used in compounds жотєбráל, (P. 4, 137), and in five other words. The regular $\pi$ pós is far more common.
sis is suffered only before vowels, and when a long syllable
 example. Everywhere else we find és. $d v$ with the acc., especially noticeable in Boeotian inscriptions, is found only in Aiolic odes (P. 2, 11.86 ; 5, 38).
 $\pi \varepsilon \rho a ́ \pi t \omega \nu$ (P. 3, 52). For $\mu \in \tau \dot{a}$ Aiol.-Dor. $\pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{a}$ is found (P. 5, $47 ; 8,74$ ). In comp. $\pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \iota \not \subset a \nu(\mathrm{O} .12,12)$. sív occurs only three times, once alone (N. 4, 25), twice in composition.

## IX.

Pindar's syntax differs from Homer's at many points, but it is not easy to tell what belongs to the period, what to the SYNTAX. department, what to the individual. Only the most important points can be touched here, ${ }^{1}$ and completeness of statistic is not attempted.

One mark of advance is the extension of the substantive use of the neuter adjective, which can itself take another adNeuter jective. We feel ourselves nearer to Thukydides



The scarcity of the dual is also noteworthy. The dual is

## Deal.

 preserved chiefly by Homer and the Attic writers. In the Attic orators, even, it dies out as we come down. It is not found in the Ionic of Herodotos. It is a[^39]stranger to Asiatic Aiolic, as it is a stranger to Latin. In P. there are very few examples. The dual substantive, xepoì ( $0.13,95$ ), is a rarity, and so is $\pi o \delta o i v(N .9,47$ ), but such duals are found occasionally even in the so-called common dialect. кабсуvíra ( $0.13,6$ ) is not dual, and we must be satisfied with an occasional dual participle, ávvそoнévш ( O . 8,39 ), кaтaßávte ( $0.9,46$ ). It is very unlikely that P . should have used the few dual verbs ( $0.2,97$ : yapútov, O . 9,49 : ктєббá $\theta a \nu$ ) without a full appreciation of the dual force. ${ }^{1}$



 use of the abstract plural is not common. See note on O.5,
 -occurs often. In P. 3, 66 we have a plural of courtliness and reserve. A remarkable plural for singular is found in O. 9,60 .

Peculiarities of concord, such as the singular verb with combined subjects ( $0.5,15 ;$ P. 2,$10 ; 4,66 ; 10,4.10 ; 11$,
Concord. 45), and neut. pl. with verb pl. (0. 8, 12; 10 [11],
नxin $\quad 93 ;$ P. 1,$13 ; 4,121$ ), may be passed over with Hıvбapıóv. bare mention. Not so the $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ Пıvסapıкóv, which, however, hardly deserves its name, for the trustworthy examples are few. The peculiarity of this figure is the combination of a plural substantive with a singular verb. But the singular is the general and the plural the particular ; and if the verb precedes, we have not so much a want of concord as an after-thought. As it is, most of the Pindaric instances have disappeared under critical treatment. See the note on 0.11 (10), 6.

The case-register of a poet is of especial importance for his style, and Pindar's use of the cases shows in an eminent de-

[^40]gree his genius for vivid presentation．${ }^{1}$ His free use of the accusative is a return to the original sweep of the case．What

> Acensative． is called the outer object is really an extension of

 outer objects is apt to obscure the inner object，in which al－ most all the variety of the accusative lies．In Pindar the inner object has its wide poetic，its wide popular sweep． vuкâv＇E入入áda（P．12，6）is commonplace．Not so viкâv $\delta$ pó－ $\mu_{0 \nu}(0.4,20)$ ，vekâv oréqavov（N．5，5）．To the same class belongs $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ л $\boldsymbol{\nu \varepsilon \varepsilon}$

 ferent effect would have been produced by $\dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon \quad \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\varphi}, \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \sigma-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ 哎 $\theta \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ ．

The adverbial accusative is so familiar a form of the inner object that it is not necessary to cite examples，especially of Adverbial the neuter accusatives．Nor need we note such
 （P．1，81）reminds one of Sophokles＇каєрò̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ ह́фض́кєєऽ（Ai．34）． The appositive accusative，the object effected，of the sentence，
 tinctly felt in its case－relation，though the post－Homeric dead－ ening of $\chi$ ápıv is also found，$\Delta \iota o ̀ s \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu(\mathrm{P} .3,95)$ ．

An old use of the accusative of the outer object is the com－ bination with passives，intransitives，adjectives，verbal nouns， Accusative not otherwise felt than such loose English com－ of part．pounds as＂hoof－bound，＂＂shoulder－shotten，＂＂foot－ sore，＂＂heart－sick．＂In Pindar these accusatives refer chiefly to the body and its parts，either as such or as the seat of thought and emotion，seldom to abstracts．$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta, \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ ，

 felt as abstracts．

[^41]Double accusatives in Pindar show few extensions of any importance．épéqw takes the acc．of the whole and the acc．

Double of the part，a familiar Homeric figure，$\lambda a ́ \chi$ vat vev
 acc．of the person and the acc．of the thing（P．3，97），some－ what strangely；$\mu \dot{\rho} \rho o s$, bowever，may be an after－thought． The factitive predicate is boldly used in P．4， 6 ：र $\overline{\operatorname{\eta }} \boldsymbol{\sigma \varepsilon v}$ oinc－ orĵpa Bárrov，＂Battos for the leader．＂Proleptic（predica－ tive）uses must be watched．The absence of the article leaves the adjective and substantive，as in Latin，without any exter－


 almost every ode．

The acc．of extent in space and time requires no notice．${ }^{1}$ The terminal accusative，which is not a whither－case，but only Terminal a characteristic of motion，occurs in Pindar，who， secusative．like Homer，limits it to a comparatively narrow range of verbs and substantives．iкcıv and its kindred should not be counted，－they are transitive like Shakespeare＇s＂arrive，＂
 ह́ $\lambda \theta \varepsilon i \nu$ with $\pi \varepsilon \delta i o \nu(\mathrm{P} .5,52$ ），$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma a \rho o \nu(\mathrm{P} .4,134$ ），סó $\mu o \nu$（O．14， 20），Kpóvtov（O．1， 111 ），ムıßúav（I． 3 ［4］，72）．I．2， 48 ：ク̉ $\theta$ aīov モ̌ $\lambda \theta \eta \mathrm{p}$ s seems doubtful．O．2， 105 ：aivov $\varepsilon$ z＇$\beta a$ кópos has given

 more concrete preposition，and it is a mistake to attempt the extension of the terminal accusative，as has been done．

The genitive as a fossilized adjective stands in the same relation to the substantive as the accusative to the verb．The

> Genitive denominative verb takes the genitive by reason of its substantive element，just as the adjective takes the accusative by reason of the verbal activity in the floating predi－ cate．Noteworthy is the large employment of the adj．in－七os

[^42]for relations otherwise expressed by the genitive, especially of possession, origin, time, place. The dialectical preference for
and - tos instead of the gen. of the father is marked. ${ }^{1}$ In 1dj. in -tos. Attic $\dot{o} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon \iota v i \varepsilon \iota o s$ ovivos (Plat. Gorg. 482 D ) is said with a tone of poetic persiflage; to Pindar himself the effect must have been less striking than it is to us. So $\dot{\omega}$ Kрóvıe aaî (O. 2, 13), Moaยıóávıov Kтéatov (O. 10 [11], 30), Ėevápкєiov . . . vióv (P. 8, 19).

With the genitive proper is blended the ablative. The sig-

## Ablative Genitive.

 nifications of the two cases often meet in languages in which the forms are quite distinct. Of special uses of the genitive in either direction there is not much to note. Possession, origin, cause, material, are familiar every-> Cen. of Haterial. where. The genitive of material varies with the adjective. $\lambda i \theta$ ivos is the rule, but Mapiov $\lambda i \theta o v$ ( N . 4,81) is a necessity, as in prose. ${ }^{3}$ ádaцávтwos is used once
 IX. 2, 3). रpúreos, which, however, is often used figurativelv, is far more common than xpuoov.

Quality is everywhere in the language expressed by the adjective, and there is no example of a genitive of quality in Pindar. ${ }^{3}$ The appositive genitive is rare, as $\delta \rho a \kappa o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ фó $\beta a \iota$ (P. 10, 47), where סpaкóvteto фóßaı might have been used. Káatopos ßía (P. 11, 61), Aíavtoc à $\lambda_{\text {tá }}$ (I. 3 [4], 53), бOévos
 idioms. Pindar can even say, P.6,35: Mervaviov үध́povtos



The genitive in the predicate is common. So after eivat

[^43] (P. 4, 256), $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a t$ is an extension of $\varepsilon i v a t$ (P. 3, 67). On the genitive with $\pi \varepsilon \mu \phi \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$, see $0.8,43$, and consult further the note on $0.4,10$.

The comparative genitive, which is an ablative, allows the well-known brachylogy, hardly felt in English. 'O $\quad$ v $\mu \pi i a s$

 it worthy of a note. A remarkable comparative is $\pi \rho i v$ with the gen., $\pi \rho i \nu$ ẅpas (P. 4, 43), where it is quasi-prepositional.

Of the verbs of hitting and touching the most remarkable deviations are in the direction of the dative, for which see

> Unusual Constructions.
 (N. 3, 10), where we should expect the genitive.


The common uses of the genitive, whether referred to the genitive proper or the ablative genitive, or left to hover between the two, need not detain us. So the genitive after verbs of desire (P. 2, $27 ; 3,20$ ), under which class ópoveıv ( P . 10,61 ) and $\dot{o} \rho \gamma \hat{\alpha} v$, after Christ's conjecture (P. 6,50 ), the gen. of remembering (P.9,95) and forgetting ( $0.8,72$; P. 4, 41), of hearing (P. 1, 2; 4, 135), of the part by which such as $\chi^{\varepsilon \iota \rho o ́ s ~(P .9, ~ 132), ~ a u ̉ \chi e ́ v \omega \nu ~(N . ~ 1, ~ 44) — w i t h ~ s t r o n g ~ a b l a t i v e ~}$ leaning - the gen. of price ( $0.12,12 ;$ P. 1, 39), of cause (O. 7, 6), of time within which ( $0.6,61$; P. 4, 40).

The genitive as a whence-case is used with somewhat more freedom than in prose. Outside of the verbs of separation

> Cen. as a whence-case. the boldest is $0.1,58:$ кє $\phi a \lambda \hat{a} s ~ \beta a \lambda \varepsilon i ̀ v, ~ a n d ~$ the interpretation there is doubtful. See also note on $0.4,10$. For all local uses Pindar greatly prefers the preposition, which he employs with peculiar clearness and force. $\lambda_{v} \omega$ with the gen. is perfectly legitimate ( O .2 , 57 ; P. 3,$50 ; 11,34$ ), but he has ik twice ( $0.4,19$; I. 7 [8], 5).

The genitive absolute will be taken up under the participle, but it may be said here that Pindar seems to go somewhat beyond the Homeric limits.

The dative case in Pindar shows the three elements-the dative proper, or personal dative (Latin dative), the local dative, and the instrumental, or, better, comitative.

## Dative.

 The personal dative is a locative plus sensibility; the locative is limited in its range ; the comitative has a personal as well as a local character, and this is brought out especially when it is reinforced by $\sigma \dot{v} v$.The personal dative is used in Pindar with poetic freedom, but the differences from Homeric use and from prose use are Personal not startling for the most part. The differences are Dative. not starting for the most part. The differences are sary to go through the categories of the dative of possession (so-called), of profit and loss, freely combined with verbal nouns as well as with verbs, the ethic dative. It may, however, be worth while to say that there is no double dative in the sense of whole and part as in the acc. $\left(\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right.$ каi $\mu$ є́pos). In Pindar, as in Homer, the dative of the whole depends on the complex with the second dative. So O. 2, 16:


 dative of the participle ( $0.8,60$ : عiסót $\ell$, "to one that knows"), (P. 10,67: $\pi \varepsilon є \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \iota, "$ to one that tests"), which is the beginning of a dat. absol. that did not ripen, the dative with verbals in -тós all belong to the common apparatus of the language. The so-called dative of the agent, however, is really a dative of personal interest. The agency is only an inference. The prose construction is generally with the perf. or equivalent aor. (cf. P. 1, 73: á $\rho \chi \bar{\varphi} \delta \alpha \mu a \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. On the construction with the present, see $0.8,30 ; 12,3$. The Homeric construction of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o \mu u$ with dat. is used in Pindar also. The giver is interested as well as the receiver. See notes on O. 13, 29 and P. 4, 21.

The conception often seems to be in suspense between the personal dative and the local. The dat. of inclination is a personal dative. So the dat. with к $\lambda_{i v e \sigma \theta a t, ~ N . ~ 4, ~}^{15}$ : гчิ $\delta \varepsilon$

 In $0.6,58$ ：＇А入фЕч катаßàs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \psi$ ，it is better to personify．

An unusual extension of the personal dative is seen in verbe of touching，which in Pindar are construed as verbs of ap－ proach，though the other construction with the gen．is also known to him．廿aviw has the dat．，P．9，130；the normal gen．， $0.6,35$ ；N． 5,42 ；ăттолає the dat．，P． 10,$28 ;$ N． 8. 36 （£申．）；I． 3 （4）， 30 ；the gen．，O． 3,43 ；P． 3,29 ；N． $8,13$. $22 ; \theta$ ıryáve the dat．，P．4，296；9，42；gen．，I．1， 18.

With some verbs which familiarly take the dative，Pindar occasionally uses a preposition to make the image more vivid． So especially ${ }_{i} \nu$ with the favorite $\mu i \gamma v v \mu, 0.1,90 ;$ P．4， 251 ； I． 2,29 ．

The adjectives that vary between gen．and dat．vary accord－ ing to the predominance of the fixed element or floating ele－ ment（＂his like，＂＂like him＂），N．5，8．фi $\begin{gathered}\text { os as a subst．}\end{gathered}$ takes gen．，as an adj．，the dat．，N．4，22；I．1，5．There is a certain caprice in these matters that it is not profitable to
 an ugly but not unexampled hiatus which can be removed by substituting the gen．

Of the adverbs，${ }^{\text {e }} \ell \delta o \nu$ ，which regularly takes the gen．（as 0 ． 2,$93 ; 7,62 ;$ P．11，64），takes the dat．（N．3，52；7，44）． ${ }^{a}{ }_{\gamma}^{\gamma} \chi^{\iota}$ with dat．$(\mathrm{N} .6,11)$ is figurative，but $\dot{a} \gamma \gamma^{\circ}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{~N} .9,40)$ is local．The government of a dative by such a word as kotve－ viav（P．1，98）is an extension not to be wondered at in post－ Homeric Greek，though not very common in the standard language．

The comitative，or，as it is more usually called，the instru－ mental dative，is common enough in Pindar，as $0.1,49$ ：

> Comitative （Instrumental） Dative． $\mu a \chi a i \rho q$ тá $\mu o \nu$ ，but he often uses the more per－ sonal $\sigma \dot{v} v$ ，as $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ हैv $v \tau \sigma$（ $\mathrm{P} .12,21$ ），the more concrete $\hat{\varepsilon} \nu$ ，as ${ }^{\hat{\prime} \ell} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi} \rho \sigma \bar{i}(\mathrm{P} .2,8)$ ．As the verbal noun has much of the verbal motion in Pindar，we are pre－
 Instrument，manner，cause，run into one another．They are all common in Pindar，and need not be cited．The causal

Jative construction, however, it may be noted, is not so common in Homer. Whether the dative as the measure of difference is instrumental or local is open to discussion. The local conception has simplicity in its favor. We can say $\delta \iota a-$
 is "wherein" rather than "whereby," though local and instrumental are not far apart. The descriptive dative, or dat.
 $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̄ ~ \chi \rho \omega \tau i ́(P .1,55)$, is cominon, and there are a few dative adverbs varying with prepositional combination. rúXg is less common than oùv rúxq, סíkq than $\sigma \grave{v}$ סíxq, ảvá $\gamma \kappa q$ than $\sigma \grave{v}$ áváyкq.

From the local dative must be separated the locative proper, such as ' $I \sigma \theta \mu 0 \hat{\imath}$ and $\Pi \nu \theta o \hat{\imath}$. Whatever rights the local dative

> Local may have, Pindar does not exercise them freely.
Dative. When the simple dative is followed by $\varepsilon$ g with the
 son to suppose that the $\varepsilon v y$ was forefelt just as the ov may be forefelt when oũre follows. Some examples may be construed



Nor is the temporal dative very common. x $\boldsymbol{x}^{\circ} \nu \nLeftarrow$ by itself is not temporal, but comitative or instrumental. It means, as in Temporal prose, "at last," e. g. O. 10 [11], 93 ; P. 4, 258. Datire. For the active side see N. 1, 46. Yet रpóvy has a temporal sense with an adjective, as P. 4, 55 : रूóvч | ivarép $\psi$,

 1,22 ) the $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ of $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ öpфvaiat is forefelt. vusti occurs only in O.1,2. The dative of time of sacred festivals and games is claimed by some for $0.5,5 ; \mathbf{N} .2,24$, but even these are doubtful. The explanation of Pindar's limited use of the dat. of place and time is to be sought in his liking for the preposition, which in his hands is potent.

The suffix $-\theta \varepsilon \nu$ is freely used by Pindar, and sometimes takes the place of the ablative genitive, avev

 55 ), not that the whence force is lost. The local $-\delta \varepsilon$ is little used. We find it in oïкаঠє, Пvөஸิvádє, Tроiavঠє.

The limits of this outline make it impossible to go into the details of the use of the prepositions in Pindar. ${ }^{1}$ A few illus-
Preponi- trations must serve to show the plastic power he thons. puts forth. The local signification is seldom effaced; we feel the motion in space, the rest in space, every-
 simply $\gamma^{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon$, , there is an element of purpose moving to an

 $\rho \eta o v \mid \phi \theta \varepsilon \in \gamma \xi a \tau o$, each preposition is used in its full force. The word moves roundly off the tongue, the praise is not simply about Amphiaraos, but goes out towards the lost orpatiàs
 Xєıо̀s $\delta \omega \rho \eta$ йбєта. Another passage where the ánó of time is
 " a winged soul from his mother's lap," "from the time he
d ${ }^{5}$. left his mother's lap." $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ is to $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ as ámó is to $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ́$, and while ámó and $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ occur in similar combination,

 the difference, but ảjò $\theta$ हrov̂ would not satisfy us for ék $\theta \varepsilon o \hat{v}$ in
 in the sense of "outside of," "beyond," "above," occurs once
eiv. in $0.6,25$. Pindar's favorite preposition is $\varepsilon v$. Every one who has watched the behavior of $\varepsilon v$ in composition, where the original force best shows itself, is acquainted with its realistic touch. Compare, for instance, even
 it adverbially. So O. 13, 22 and O. 7, 5. He uses it occasionally in Aiolic odes for हis with the acc., P. 2, 11. $86 ; 5$, 38 ; N. 7, 31. Especially noteworthy is what is called the instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$, a use which is especially familiar to us

[^44]from the Greek of the New Testament, although there it is the result of Semitic influences. Everywhere in this so-called instrumental $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ we can trace the local $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$, the seat of the manifestation, the abode of the power. In many of the examples English itself would tolerate the local "in" as well as the instrumental "with." We ean understand N. 11, 28: àv $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\alpha}-$


 brings before us the image of the reins in the bands of the tamer. O.5,19: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{u} \omega \nu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ aì $\lambda o i \bar{s}$ is a perfectly comprehensible combination to any one who considers the nature of that wind-instrument. The combination of $\varepsilon \nu$ with $\nu \dot{\rho} \mu \varphi$ gives the limits, the environment (P. 1, 62 ; N. 10, 28; I. 2, 38). हैv dira is not a stranger to prose. The proleptic use of $\dot{\varepsilon v}$ with the dat., instead of eis with the acc., is cominon everywhere with re日ćvat, and common in Pindar, who, however, extends it. The anticipation of the result has the same effect of resistlessness that thrusts the local $\delta$ ó with the acc. out of prose in favor of $\delta$ á with the gen. In some of the Pindaric passages $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ has been made adverbial, or, in other words, tmesis has been assumed, but the image often loses by it. There


$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is an intensely personal preposition. In standard prose its use is limited to consecrated phrases of religion ( $\sigma \grave{v} \nu \theta \varepsilon \bar{\varphi}$ )

Góv. and business. The comparatively frequent use of it in Xenophon and in later Greek has made scholars regardless of its infrequency in model prose. Thukydides does not use it often, Isokrates never. Pindar, as a poet, has oiv very often, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{c}$ with the gen. very rarely. The use of $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ where we should have expected the simple dative has already been touched. It serves to personify, to make the tool an accomplice. To bring this to our consciousness we sometimes do well to translate "with the help of," as "with" by itself has become faint to us. P. 12, 21: ö $\phi \rho a \sigma_{\text {oiv }}^{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \iota$

$\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \underline{q}$ veкaфopia $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \dot{a} 0 i \delta \hat{q}$. The $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ of time is not infre-
 ov̀v átpeкei, but it is well to remember that the Greek considers time as an attendant (cf. ó रpóvos $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho o ̀ s ~ o v v o ́ v) ~ a n d ~$ not as a medium merely.

With $\delta$ á in a local sense, the genitive is more common, as it is the exclusive use in prose. With the genitive the pas-
8.á. sage is already made, or as good as made. With the accusative $\delta$ tá is 'alnng' as well as 'through' (comp. ává and кará), but it is not safe to insist. He who

 ferred sense, $\delta i \alpha$ with the acc. is "owing to," never " by means of." So N. 7, 21: $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{v} v ~ a ́ \delta \nu \varepsilon \pi \eta \hat{\eta}$ "O $\mu \eta \rho o \nu$, is "thanks to," "because of;" so סià $\delta a i \mu o v a s ~(I . ~ 4, ~ 11) . ~ . ~$
vimép in Pindar with the gen. is "above," both literally and metaphorically; once "beyond" (N. 3, 21), where imép with acc. would be more common. He who stands over stands to protect, hence $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho$ is "in behalf of;" only once "by reason of " (I. 5 [6], 29) ; with the acc. it is "beyond "(O. 1, 28) ; "above" (P. 2, 80).

кatá occurs only once with the gen., O.2,65: кavà yâc. With the acc. the perpendicular motion is transformed into

> кatá. horizontal motion, "along," and then, to extent, position. кar' oikov (P. 1, 72), is "at home," кar" ${ }^{"} \mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu \pi \sigma \nu(\mathrm{N} .10,17)$, of the abode of Hebe, кит' ăкраг (О. 7, 36 ), of the head of the Olympian, the stage of Athena's first appearance. The transferred meaning of ка兀á, " according to," "in accordance with," needs no illustration. кaгá, " after the likeness of," is found in P. 2, 67 : катà Фоivєббау $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi о \lambda a ́ v$. In P. 4, 125, катà $\kappa \lambda_{\text {éos, }} \kappa$. is "following hard."
avá.
ayá, which has little scope in prose, has in P. the poetical use with the dat. ( $O .1,41 ; 8,51$, etc.), and is as horizontal as кará with the acc. (P. 2, 60, etc.).

$\dot{a} \mu \phi \dot{i}$, another preposition for which prose has little use, is frequent in Pindar. It is an adverb, O. 1, 50 (though the passage is disputed) ; P.4,81. On P.8,

85, see note. As a preposition it has all the oblique cases, most frequently the dat. The "both-sidedness" of á $\mu \phi \dot{i}$ may be inside, or, more commonly, outside the dat., $\dot{a} \mu \phi \dot{i} \pi 0 \delta i$, "about the foot" (P. 4, 96), á $\mu \dot{\text { i кó } \mu a \iota s, " ~ a b o u t ~ t h e ~ h a i r " ~}$ (O. 13, 39). In this outside use $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\prime}$ is sometines weakened as the English "about" is weakened. So á $\mu \phi \grave{i}$ kpovvoîs, "at

 should use in prose $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀$ тоќás, encompassing affection may come in. The parents are guarded on the right hand and on the left. Then $\alpha \mu \phi \dot{c}$ with the dat. is used of the prize, like $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ́ w i t h ~ d a t ., ~ a ́ \mu \phi ' a ́ \rho \gamma v \rho i \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu(O .9,97)$, and thence transferred to other relations. For the inside use comp. P. 1, 12, where $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{i}$ नoфiq is "with the environment of art," and P. 8,
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \dot{i}$, it is the sun that compasses, where $\dot{a} \mu \phi \dot{i}$ is felt almost as an adverb. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\prime}$ is also found with gen. and acc. The most
 то́тог seems to make the tune the centre of the song. In $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \grave{\imath} \kappa \hat{\alpha} \pi о \nu(\mathrm{P} .5,24)$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \grave{̀} \pi a v a ́ \gamma v \rho \iota v(0.9,103)$ the $\kappa \hat{\alpha}-$ $\pi 0 \varsigma$ and the $\pi a v a \dot{\gamma} v \rho e s$ are measured from within.

As á $\mu \phi \dot{i}$ is comparatively common in Pindar, so $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{́}$ is com-
reph. paratively rare. In $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ \delta \varepsilon i \mu a \tau \iota(\mathrm{P} .5,58)$ it is fear that surrounds. In $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ \psi v \chi^{\alpha} \nu(\mathrm{P} .4,122)$ joy fills the heart from within.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́$ (used adverbially, P. 4, 64), besides the usual prose constructions (O. 1, $60 \mathrm{al} . ;$ P. 5, 11 al.), has the acc. (O. 1, нerá, 66) and the dat. (O.2,32) in the sense of "amid,"
жeठ́. and the acc. as "after" in the sense of "to get," as O. 4, 21: $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ бтध́фavov iúv. Noteworthy is $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́$ with gen. in the general sense of "among," i. e. "as part of " ( $\mu$ ह́тохоऽ), P. 5,94. $\pi \varepsilon \delta \alpha ́$, which answers in meaning to $\mu \varepsilon \tau a ́$, is construed
 $\nu \omega \nu$ (P. 8,74) would be represented in prose by $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ with dat.

17t. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$, the most difficult of the Greek prepositions, is used most frequently with the dative, when the superposition sense makes itself felt. So $0.11(10), 13$ : $\mathfrak{i \pi i}$

बтє申а́ขц is not "on account of," but "in addition to." (See note on $0.9,121$.)
$\pi a \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ is limited in prose to persons and personified things, except in the acc. As P . uses $\pi a \rho a$ a $^{\text {freely, there is danger }}$

> тара́. of feeling the personal sense too much. An old phrase is $\pi \dot{a} \rho$ $\pi$ oóós ( $\mathrm{P} .3,60 ; 10,62$ ). $\pi a \rho a ́$ is used freely with the dat. of place. See note on O. 1, 20. $\pi$ apá with the acc. $=$ propter, appears once in $\mathrm{P} ., \kappa \in \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ diautav (O. 2, 71). It is the first instance of this use, which does not become common until much later times.
$\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$, not unfrequently in the form $\pi о \tau i$, once in the form жот' (O.7,90), is a favorite preposition with persons and seems sometimes to personify slightly. Hence P.
 $H_{\beta} \beta \nu$ almost as a person, and the difference from the personal dative is not great. So $\pi \rho o s^{s} \mu \varepsilon$ in prose is almost $\mu o r$. Even
 (N. 9,44 ), the coming of dawn, of old age, is felt as the approach of an enemy. $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ with the dat. is seldom used.
incb. $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ s with the gen. of the agent is preferred to $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi \boldsymbol{o}}$ with the gen., which is the ordinary prose construction, and therefore colorless. Pindar tries to keep his $\dot{v} \pi o ́$ fresh, and his úmó with the gen. is still "under," still what we should call $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon$ é, although the local meaning comes out more distinctly with the dative. See note on O.6,35. These are only specimens, but they are sufficient to show that in Pindar's poetry the prepositions stand out with local vividness.

The large use of the adjective instead of the genitive has already been remarked on, and needs no further emphasis,

## Adjective. Proleptic $\pm 40$.

 except so far as it seems to show that neither genitive of place nor genitive of time is local. The proleptic, or predicative, use of the adjective is common, and must be watched. See p. xc.In the use of the demonstratives Pindar differs from the tragic poets in his comparatively scant employment of öd, which is pre-eminently dramatic.

Lyric poetry makes little use of the article proper. This is best shown by a comparison of chorus and dialogue in the

## Artiele.

 drama. In Pindar the old demonstrative sense is still conspicuous, the article can still represent and does represent freely an independent demonstrative pronoun ; it can be used as a relative. In combination with the substantive it has the familiar anaphoric use, the emphatic reference to that which is known, the use in vision, like öde. In the dactylo-epitrite poems, in which the article is generally less freely employed, the article seems to serve to bind the qualifier to the far-distant substantive, as in the noted pas-
 only in the dactylo-epitrites ${ }^{1}$ is not surprising. It is only in the dactylo-epitrites that the movement is deliberate enough to allow the separation. In the tumult of the logaoedic the nexus would be lost. The ordinary use of the article is also found in Pindar, but it would take very little stress to revive the demonstrative meaning. The extensions of the article that are most noteworthy, in comparison with Homer, are the combination with the adjective $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho \pi \nu \dot{\alpha}(0.9,30)$, that with the participle $\dot{\delta} \mu$ iो ovveic ( $\mathrm{N} .4,31$ ), and especially that with the inf., always, except in the disputed passage, O. 2, 107, in the nom. The full development of the articular inf. was reserved for prose.

The free position of the relative and its equivalent article

## Relative.

 belongs under another head. Especially worthy of note is the use of the relative in transitions. ${ }^{\text {a }}$The voices present few peculiarities in Pindar, and it is hardly worth while to notice the so-called intransitive use of

## Voices.

 transitive verbs, as any verb can be used intransitively in any sphere of the language. The shifting easily explained on general principles. The middle is no more

[^45]causative than the active, and it is a mistake to apply the causative formula as the key wherever the conception seems remote to us. Difficult is $\beta_{\text {áde }} \theta^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda_{\text {usiav ( }}$ (P. 1, 74), and the causative explanation may be the true one there, though $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon^{-}-$ $\sigma \theta a t$ as a nautical term may have been extended. The middle has more color, more feeling, than the active, and we might be tempted to see in Pindar's use of evpeiv, where we might expect єipée日à (P. 2, 64), a certain aristocratic contempt of effect, but we find the fut. middle of $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda a \delta \bar{\omega}(0.10[11], 79)$ and of $\gamma a \rho \dot{v} \omega$ ( $\mathrm{I} .1,30$ ) where it is worth while to notice the
 кómas ( $\mathrm{P} .10,40$ ), кó $\mu a s$ takes the place of the reflexive pronoun as corpus does in Latin, and so does $\chi^{\text {aírav in }}$ ध́rє申́́-
 see P. 1,10. Pindar has no future passive apart from the future middle (see note on $0.8,45$ : ä $\rho \xi \varepsilon \tau=\boldsymbol{c}$ ).

As to the present indicative in Pindar, chiefly worthy of note is the absence of the so-called historical present. Brugmann
Present has recently vindicated the proethnic rights of the Tense. historical present on the just ground of the timelessness of the present. It is therefore not a little remarkable that Pindar uses it as little as Homer uses it. To them the historical present must have been either too vulgar or too hurried. viactac $(0.3,34)$ is a true present, and so is déкovtal ( P . 5,86 ). The oracular use of the praesens propheticum is put in the mouth of Apollo, $0.8,40$ : $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ioxetat, of Medeia, P. 4, 49 : ĖEaviotavta.

The conative force of the present participle is conspicnous, so that it may stand, as in prose, where we might expect the fat., though some would read комі $\xi \omega \nu$ (P. 4, 106) and коміGovtas ( $0.13,15$ ). But all Pindar's uses of the present participle can be paralleled in good prose. The present inf. in Imperfect oratio obliqua to represent the imperfect after a and Aorist. pres. tense occurs in $0.7,55$, a usage very common in Herodotos. A special study has been consecrated to the

[^46]use of the imperfect and aorist in Pindar, ${ }^{1}$ and it has been shown that the aorist, preponderating as it does in lyric narrative, is used, as a rule, with more frequency in the logaoedic poems than in the dactylo-epitrite. An interchange of tenses is not to be conceded. $\lambda_{\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon}$ is not equivalent to $\varepsilon$ 文 $\lambda \pi \varepsilon$, but means " had to leave" ( $0.6,45$ ), тiктє, "she was a mother" ( $0.6,85$ ). The negatived aor. of a negative notion has for its pendant a positive imperfect in P. 3, 27 : oú $\delta \bar{\prime} \bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \theta \varepsilon$ бкoтóv . . . ăıєv vaov̂ ßaбı入єús. The conative imperfect is

> Perfeet. Panhellenic. The perfect has originally nothing to do with completed action as such. Completed action is only the result of intense action. The perfects of the senses, such as $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta o \rho \kappa \varepsilon$ (O. 1, 94), of emotion, $\gamma$ ย́ $\gamma a \theta \varepsilon$ (N. 3, 33), like the perfects of sound, кє́кра $\alpha$, кє́к $\lambda a \gamma \gamma a$, тє́трıүa, are not perfects in the ordinary sense. The perfect of the result of action requires no notice. The pluperfect, the perfect of the past, is of rare occurrence in Pindar $(0: 6,54)$ as in Aischylos. The picturesque Homeric use is not found. The Aorist. aorist abounds in sharp sumıaries, and is used with full consciousness. The gnomic aorist, either as the aorist of the typical action, or as the aorist of experience (em-

 examples in Pindar. In combination with the universal present it sometimes produces the effect of sharp, incisive action (see note on P. 2, 90) ; but we must not overstrain the point.

The future has many marks of a modal origin. It is not simply predictive. Like the English periphrastic "shall" and

> Future. "will," it was originally something more than the foretelling of what was to come. Traces of this modal future are found here and there in P. غ́pé $\omega$, "I must needs tell " (O. 8, 57). So кішца́бонаи (P. 9, 96).

The tenses of the moods-durative (present) and complexive Tenses of (aoristic)-are used in conformity with the general the Heods. principles of the language. When a verb of think-

[^47]ing becomes a verb of wishing or willing, there is no difficulty about the use of the aorist as a future (see note on P. 1, 44), but the fut. often lies too near, as P. 4, 243, where $\pi \rho a \alpha^{\xi} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ must give way to $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ o n ~ a c c o u n t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ n e g a t i v e . ~$

The indicative mood requires little comment. In one place
 Indicative. àvefe $\hat{\imath}$ is possible. The large use of the indic. in the conditional sentence is especially characteristic of Pindar's love of the concrete. ${ }^{1}$

The pure subjunctive in prose, whether in dependent or in independent clauses, is always imperative in its character, whether we call it adhortative, interrogative, or final. The subjunctive question expects an im-

## Sabjunetive.

 perative answer. Examples of familiar constructions are P. 1,
 Oè̀s yєvér日ac. On the short-vowel subj., see O. 1, 7. In O. 2, 2: $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda a \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \varepsilon \nu$ may be either fut. or subj. The Homeric use of the subjunctive in which the imperative tone is lowered to simple prediction (comp. the toning-down of "shall" and " will," just referred to) is not found in Pindar.

The opt. when standing free is regularly a wishing mood in Pindar, the wish passing easily, at times, into the semblance of
Optative. a command. The opt. of wish usually dispenses with $\varepsilon i$ үáp in P.- $\varepsilon i{ }^{i} \gamma^{\prime} \rho$ with opt. is found in P. 1,$46 ;$ N. 7 (8), 98 -and the present seems to occur more frequently than is usual in proportion to the aor. Pres. e.g. O. 1,$115 ; 4,12 ; 6,97$ (?). $102 ; 8,85.88 ; 9,80 ;$ P. $1,46.56$; 10,$17 ; 11,50$. Aor. e. g. O. 8,$29 ; 9,84 ; 13,25 ;$ P. 1, 47 ; 9,90. In one breath we have the opt., O. 13, 26 : $\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta$ óv $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ tos $\gamma^{\prime}$ voos, in the next the imperative, عü $\theta v \nu \varepsilon$ (v. 28). ф'́pous (O.9, 44), ìтoбкámтoı rıs (N. 5, 19), are to all intents imperatives, and so the optatives $\mathrm{O} .3,45$ and P. 10, 21, where $\varepsilon i \eta$ is commonly set down as potential opt., and equivalent to opt. with $a_{\nu} \nu$. Of this old potential use of the opt. there are only

[^48]a few examples, and hardly one of these beyond cavil. The

 $\eta^{\eta} \theta$ os despite digamma, Schroeder, $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi \not a \nu \tau o$ (gnomic aor).

The imperative follows the rule. As every other idiomatic Greek author, Pindar has many examples of the weight of the

## Imperative.

 present imperative-a string, P. 1, 86 foll.-of the impact of the aor., see $0.1,76$ foll. Special uses have not been noted.Inseparably connected with the use of the moods is the use of the particles äv and $\kappa \varepsilon \nu .{ }^{1}$ In Homer кєv preponderates over $a ้ \nu$ : in Pindar ă $\nu$ has gained greatly on $\kappa \varepsilon \nu$. In the Iliad $\kappa \varepsilon \nu$ stands to $\alpha \ddot{\nu}$ as 4 to 1 . In Pindar they nearly balance. In all Homer there is but one кє $\nu$ with inf., II. 22, 11, and that used in a confused way, but one $\dot{\alpha} v$, Il. 9,684 , and that with direct reference to v .417 . Pindar has no äv with the inf., but he uses $\kappa \varepsilon \nu$ three times with the inf., with pres. (P. 7, 20), with aor. (P. 3, 111), with fut. (O. 1, 110). Pindar has Homer's leaning to ăv with the negative, but he does not use it in the formulated conditional sentence, although it has effected a lodgment in the generic relative and in the temporal sentence, from which in Attic it was destined to shut out the old constructions with the pure subjunctive.

A short space must suffice for the behavior of the moods in compound sentences. The structure of the sentence is very much simplified by the large use of the participle and the freedom of the infinitive. Pindar has much less variety tban Homer, and in syntax, as in other matters, shows a certain daintiness of selection.

The Homeric form of oratio obliqua is also the Pindaric. The reigning form is the infinitive. So with $\lambda$ ह́रovtィ, O. 2, 31 ;
 obliqua. P. 4,33 ; вv้Хоуто, $0.6,54 ; \phi \theta \varepsilon ́ \gamma \xi о \mu a \iota, O .1,36$. Even with $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon$ (against the rule), $0.7,62$. (Cf.J. Mart. Ap. I. 12,32.)

[^49]The ind. with $\dot{\omega}$ s $(N .1,35)$ or örı $(0.1,48)$ is occasionally used. Notice the prolepsis in $0.14,22$ : viòv ह̌ँтク̆s ốrı . .


Homer does not use the opt. after a past tense to represent the indicative, except after an interrogative. ${ }^{1}$ So in Pindar the indicative after an interrogative may remain as P.4,63; N. 1,$61 ; 3,25$, or be changed into the opt. as P. 9,126 , where one would be tempted to turn the fut. opt. into the fut. indic. were it not for $0.6,49$, where the relative, being confounded with the interrogative, takes the opt.

In the causal sentence we find ört, $0.1,60 ; 3,39 ; 8,33$; 10 (11), 35 ; P. 2, 31. 73 al. ; ©̀s, O. 13, 45 ; N. 6, 34, but Causal chiefly $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \mathrm{O} .2,108 ; 3,6 ; 4,12 ; 6,27 ; 7,61$. Santences. 90 al. The mood is the indicative or an equivalent opt. and $\not \approx \nu(0.13,45)$.

The chief final particle is ö $\phi \rho \alpha$, a particle that was already obsolescent. Selected by Pindar doubtless for its antique Final sound, it was soon to disappear from classical poetSentences. ry. That he had no feeling for its original signification is shown by the fact that he never employs it in its temporal sense. ${ }^{2}$ ö $\phi \rho \alpha$ occurs eleven times, wis three times,
 never. For $\dot{\omega}_{\varsigma}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu$ see $0.7,42$; ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{N} .3,62)$ has been needlessly attacked. The sequence is regular, principal tenses being followed by the subj., historical tenses by the opt.a rule fixed by Homer. The two exceptions are easily explained. P. 4, 92 : ö $\phi \rho \alpha$. . . ${ }_{\text {éparat }}$ is good for all time, O. 7, 13 : karíßav is an aorist used as a perfect, the perfect form being regularly used as a present. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Remarkable for its narrow range and its sharpness is PinConditional dar's treatment of the conditional sentence. ${ }^{4}$ The sentences. most striking feature is the predominance of the

[^50]logical hypothesis, the indicative in protasis, the indicative or equivalent in apodosis. This form outnumbers far all the others put together. It is largely a mere formal condition. It is based on what the poet knows or sees. Sometimes it is generic (see O. 11 [10], 4), but it almost always has in view a particular illustration of the principle involved.

The generic condition proper is put in the old form of this hypothesis, $\varepsilon i$ with the subj., chiefly, perhaps exclusively the aorist subj., for in I. 4 (5), 12 : $\varepsilon i \dot{a} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{q}$, almost forces itself on the reader. Pindar knows nothing of $\varepsilon i \kappa \varepsilon, \eta ้ \nu, \varepsilon i a ँ \nu$.

Pindar's few ideal conditions ( $\varepsilon i$ with opt.) occur in dreamy, wistful passages, which seem to show that the optative is, after all, not ill-named. Sometimes we can feel the growth out of the wish (O. 1, 108; P. 3, 110), sometimes formal wish is followed by an apodosis (P. 1, 46). Still fewer are the unreal conditions, conditions against fact, and in these we hear the hopeless wish (P. 3, 63. 73). We are evidently in a different world from Homer's, we are lapsing into formulae. ${ }^{1}$

The relative sentence follows the lines of the first two classes of the condition, except that it admits $\kappa \varepsilon \nu$ and ${ }_{\alpha}^{\mu} \nu$ in generic
Relative sentences with the subj. $\kappa \varepsilon \nu, \mathrm{N} .4,7$ (acc. to the Sentences. Schol.), äv, P. 1,$100 ; 5,65 ; 10,23 ;$ N. 4,91 ; pure subj., O. 3,$11 ; 6,75 ; 8,11 ;$ N. 3,$71 ; 9,44$; I. 1,$50 ; 6$ (7), 18. The Homeric rev with subj. of a more exact future occurs in the most epic of all the odes, P.4,51. Opt. with äv occurs in P. 9, 129: ôs ầ $\psi a v ́ \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$, for which see the passage.

It is in the temporal sentence that the need of expressing generic and particular action, prior and subsequent action, is Temporal felt most distinctly. The original generic here too sentences. was the pure subj. which Pindar retains here and there in the fragments. But $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu$ with the temporal particles has already formed a stable compound for the expression of indefinite and future relations. $0.2,23 ; 6,67 ; 10$ (11), $100 ;$ P. 1, $4 ; 2,11 ; 3,106 ; 5,2 ; 8,8.96$. This àv with

[^51]subj. is retained after a past tense, $\mathbf{O} .13,80 ; \mathrm{N} .1,67$; there is no frequentative opt., no opt. representing $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{w}$. subj. in Pindar.

Of course the indicative is used of particular occasions. Noteworthy is the use of $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{0}$ ór $\varepsilon$ with the indic. (see note on P. 3, 91). The fulness of the form gives it the effect of the exact ìvika.

Of the temporal particles of limit Pindar uses $\tilde{\alpha}_{s}=\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega s$ once, O. $10(11), 56$, $\pi \rho i v$ with the aor. inf., according to the norm, in the sense of "before," as P. 2,$92 ; 3,9 ; 9,122$; N. 7,$73 ; 8,51 ; 9,26, \pi \rho i \nu$ with the indic., also according to the norm, in the sense of "until," ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O} .9,57 ; 13,65$, with neg., N. 4, 28.

The infinitive plays a large part in Pindar. It has been sufficiently deadened to admit the article (post-Homeric). ${ }^{2}$ Most

## Influitive.

 of the examples are in the aorist, $0.2,56.107 ; 8$, $59.60 ; 9,40$; P. 1,99 ; N. 8,44 . The present occurs in $0.9,41 ;$ P. 2,$56 ;$ N. 5,18 . These are all nominatives except the disputed $0.2,107$, and all retain the demonstrative force of the article. The language has not yet allowed itself to violate the sense of form by using a preposition with what had been so long felt as a dative. And this dative force - for the infinitive seems to be the dative of a verbal nomn-accounts for all that is peculiar in the use of the Pindaric infinitive. Whether we call it epexegetic, whether we call it final, we are still in the sphere of the dative. It is hardly needful to cite ááaò̀v $\mu$ ápvac日aı (O. 6,
 later language has retained only here and there in phrases, Pindar uses as of right, $\delta \hat{\omega} \kappa \varepsilon \ldots$. . xpiєcөaı (P. 4, 222), $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \varepsilon \nu$ $\dot{a} v a \delta \varepsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota(\mathrm{I} .2,16)$. The inf. is consecutive enough, and seldom takes $\tilde{\omega} \sigma r \varepsilon$, but four times in all, once $O .9,80$. The

[^52]consecutive notion proper (שैore with indic.) is not suited to epic and lyric, in which the final abounds. Of course the infinitive had long been so far deorganized as to serve as a representative of the indic. in oratio obliqua, and in this respect Pindar presents no peculiarities, except that he sometimes holds the aorist inf. to its timelessness. See above, p. civ.

The infinitive is closely akin to the opt., and it is not surprising that it should be used as such. P. 1, 67 : Z $\varepsilon \bar{v} \tau \varepsilon \in \lambda \varepsilon \iota^{\prime}$,


For the inf. as an imperative see $0.13,114$, where some
 use.

After a long discourse, in which participles had been used very freely, Sokrates says in Plato's Phaidros, 238 D: rà vūv
 natural that the lyric poet should make large use of the participle, which enables him to concentrate his narrative on the main points, while preserving the color of the thought or the description. We are prone to analyze the participle, to call it temporal, conditional, adversative, whereas the participial form avoids and often defies the analysis. When the later rhetorician wanted logical clearness, he would none of the participle, and Dionysios of Halikarnassos makes a distinct point against Isaios ${ }^{1}$ for multiplying the genitive absolute. In narrative the participle gives color, gives atmosphere. Turn it into a finite verb and you have a catalogue, at best an outline, and not a picture. Notice the effect of $O$. 1, 49-51, where each point of horror is accentuated, rá $\mu$ ov . . .
 too leisurely in his narrative, his haste is marked by the use of finite verbs. So at the close of the story of the Argonautic expedition, after recounting the adventure with the fire-breathing oxen, in which descriptive participles play a conspicuous part (P. 4, 224-237), Pindar, as if feeling that his time was short, has not a participle to throw away on the adventure of

[^53]the dragon, and when he openly acknowledges (v. 247) that he must be brief, he touches off each stage in the subsequent action with a single finite aorist verb, and does not even allow a parenthetic imperfect.

Instead, then, of the formal sentences of time, cause, adversative relation, condition, purpose, we often find the participle, although in many cases it is best not to analyze. The temporal relation is of course that which is rooted in the partiTemporal ciple, and all the others come from that. OrdinaParticiple. rily the aorist part. precedes in time the finite verb with which it is associated. О. 1, 71: $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu . .$. ărvev, O. 6, 37: $\pi$ tє́


 ... rápұars . . öplas. The tenses are often so combined that the durative tense of the participle accompanies and colors the leading verb in the aor. The effect of this is to hold the balance between the tenses. Any descriptive passage will give examples. ${ }^{1}$ So $0.6,46:$ ह́ $\theta \rho$ р́́ $\psi a v \tau o \ldots$. . кадó-



 трє́чaıs $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \alpha \mu a ́ \sigma \sigma a t o ́ ~ \nu \iota v . ~ S o ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ d u r a t i v e ~ t e n s e s, ~ P . ~ 4, ~$
 גeiv. The coincidence is sometimes disguised by the negative.



The participle is used after verbs of perception (intellectual


[^54][^55]seldom put in the aor. part., usually in pres. or perf., P. 5,

 тоде́ $ч$.

Causal is an inference from temporal. So often with verbs of emotion. So P. 1, 13: átúל̧ovтає . . áiovta, P. 4, 112:
Causal $\delta \varepsilon i ́ \sigma a v t e s ~ v ́ ß \rho ı \nu . . . \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi o v, ~ v . ~ 122: ~ \gamma a ́ \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu . . . ~ \gamma o ́-~$
 markable construction, where the participle is treated exactly as ốt with a finite verb, see P. 7, 15.

The adversative relation is expressed in Greek chiefly by the participle. The language is sometimes kind enough to Adversative give warning of this by $\kappa \alpha i \pi \varepsilon \rho$ and $\%$ ö $\mu \varsigma$, but often Participle. no notice is given, and failure to understand it is charged to stupidity. I. 7 (8), 5 : каímep á $\chi \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ оя, N. 6,7 :


 P. 4, 180 : vaıєтáoขтєs.

Pindar has a number of participles, which, if analyzed, would yield a conditional precipitate. This analysis is some-

 P. 10, $29: ~ i \omega ́ \nu=\varepsilon i$ iocs, v. $62: \tau v \chi \omega ́ \nu=\varepsilon i$ тú $\chi o \iota s, ~ N . ~ 4, ~ 93: ~$ aivé $\omega \nu=\varepsilon i$ aivoín, N. 9, $34: \dot{i} \pi a \sigma \pi i \zeta \omega \nu=\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \dot{i} \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon \varsigma$. But it is often best to let analysis alone. Given, evipńaยes épevขผิ (O. 13, 113), and causal and conditional meet. The Attic


The fut. participle, as is well known, has a very limited range in Greek, being employed chiefly ${ }^{1}$ in the old modal

> Future Participle. sense of the future after verbs of motion, or as the ception and after $\dot{\omega} s$-the last a comparatively late growth.

[^56]After verbs of motion Pindar has the future participle, e. g.
 but the present participle occurs so often with verbs of motion that it is not worth while to change $\dot{\alpha} \gamma к о \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$ (P. 4,







This is not the place to discuss the origin and development of the genitive absolute. The detachment must have been Genitive gradual, beginning probably with the gen. of the Absolate. time within which with the present and extending to the aorist, beginning with the pure genitive and extending to the abl. genitive until it became phraseological and lost to consciousness. The last step is taken when the subject is omitted, a step not taken by Homer except II. 18, $406=$ Od. 4,19. In Pindar it is rare. See note on P. 8, 43.

In Pindar the gen. abs. is evidently not so free as it is in later times, and whenever there is easy dependence we must

 See also note on P. 8, 85. In Homer the present part. is far more common than the aor. ; ${ }^{1}$ in Pindar, acc. to a recent count, aor. and pres. nearly balance. The relation is chiefly temporal ; cause and condition come in incident-



[^57]



The participle differs from the infinitive, from the verbal noun in concreteness, and concreteness is one of the marks of

> Comerete use of Participle. Pindar's style; so that it is not surprising to find him using the participle instead of the infinitive, instead of the abstract noun. We are so used to this in certain Latin authors that we overlook its rarity in Greek, and yet we are startled when we meet such a specimen
 Éкаттov, where the participle has a much more cogent effect
 weaken the sentence hopelessly. P. 11, 22: то́т $\varepsilon$ рóv viv ăp'

 on O. 3, 6. In like manner interpret P. 2, 21: 'IGiova фavri
 Ixion does not preach; he gives an object lesson.

The few examples of the participle in the predicate fall under the rule. They are either adjectives or are dissociated Perticiple in from the copulative verb. ${ }^{3}$ Comp. note on P. 6, Predicato. 28 , and notice the parallelism, N. 9, 32 : Évri rou


Many other points must be omitted for want of space, and the reader is referred to the commentary for further particulars. The large use of parataxis makes the Pindaric handling of the particles of especial interest to the grammarian, and we find exactness as in the use of $\tau \varepsilon$. . . $\tau \varepsilon$. . ., $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha a$, paired with bold variation as $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \tau \varepsilon$. It must suffice here, if the impression has been produced that in syntax, as in everything else, Pindar is sharp, cogent, effective. There is no "subjectivity" about his pictures, and the syntax plays its part, too often overlooked, in producing the bold contour.

[^58]A complete Pindaric syntax would be at the same time a theory of Pindaric style.
The order of words in Pindar is of prime importance to those who would study "composition" in the antique sense, Order of but the effect of the sequence of sounds must be Words. left to special studies. ${ }^{1}$ Noteworthy is Pindar's fondness for alliteration in $\delta, \pi, \kappa, \tau, \mu$. Sigmatism, which his teacher, Lasos of Hermione, avoided so much that he actually composed a number of asigmatic poems, was not shunned by Pindar, as appears in P. 2, 80. Nor did he scrupulously avoid the recurrence of the same groups in successive syllables,

 Of course they are felt chiefly when rhythmical stress brings

 words have different stress, as $0.9,24: \mu a \lambda \varepsilon \rho a i_{\xi} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi<\phi \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$ aociois. To the average reader, however, the position of words is chiefly of interest, so far as it gives emphasis to the leading elements, and in this respect the study of the rhythms aids very much in removing the difficulties that the beginner may find. In the equable measures of the dactylo-epitrites the separation of the words gives very little trouble. Our minds are attuned to the leisurely motion, and we can afford to wait. The stress-points of the verse signal to one another. No matter what the distance between beginning and end of a verse, they are never really far apart, and then again the meaning is often to be gathered from the edge of the ode in a manner of acrostic. The attention is often kept alive by suspense, the object being held back as if it were the answer to a riddle, and this very suspense serves to preserve the organic unity as well as to bind epode more closely to antistrophe. Sometimes when the thought seems to have reached its legitimate end, a message follows, a momentous codicil to the poetic testament, a condition, a restriction. Sometimes again a word is

[^59]held by the power of the rhythm until it penetrates the whole structure. Sometimes the poet strikes sharply two or three notes that convey to the student the movement of the whole, and 0.2 and P. 5 give up their secret to the skilled in song. All this is capable of demonstration, but it is a weariness to demonstrate what every one who attacks Pindar resolutely will soon find out for himself. ${ }^{1}$ Certain peculiarities of position, ${ }^{2}$ such as hyperbaton and chiasm have been duly noticed in the commentary. The hyperbata are not over-common nor over-harsh. Chiasm is not unfrequently overlooked by the beginner; it is the beautiful Greek method of giving a double stress to opposing pairs, a stress that we are prone to bring about by the mechanical expedient of hammering emphasis and dead pause.

A word here as to the figure known as hypallage, for while hypallage is not the result of the order of words, it is the result of the close knitting of words. By hypallage an attribute that belongs in logical strictness to one Hypallage. word of a complex is applied to another. Sometimes it makes so little difference that no notice has been taken of it in this edition. If, for instance, the kine are dun, what trouble is given
 the effect is much more marked, the words are rolled together so as to give a superb unity, as $0.3,3$ : Өйpwyos 'Oגv $\mu \pi$ toviкav

 ákrivog ö̀ $\lambda \beta$ ov. Of Pindar's noble compounds something has been said already, but the range is much extended if we consider the manner in which he gathers up word after word into the sweep of his movement, and we begin to feel that there is something in the profundo ore of Horace.

[^60]PELOPS AND OINOMAOS.
East Pediment of the Temple of Zeus at Olympia. (Grittmer's restoration.)

# Oム〒MIIONIKAI. 

## OAYMHIONIKAI A'

## IEP $\Omega$ NI $\Sigma$ YPAKOEI $\Omega i$

## KEAHTI.


 $\epsilon!{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ äє $\Theta \lambda a$ үари́єข
ẹ̀ $\lambda \delta \in a \iota, \phi i \lambda o \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \subset \rho$,

 aḯ́pos


$\sigma 00 \phi \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \eta \uparrow \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma!, \kappa \in \lambda a \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$



'Avt. $a^{\prime}$.




o!ia $\pi a i \zeta о \mu \in \nu$ фìav

 25



39

$\dot{a} \kappa \in ̣ ้ \nu \tau \eta \tau о \nu$ èv $\delta \rho о ́ \mu о \iota \sigma!\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu$,
$\kappa \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon!̣ ~ \delta є ̣ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma є ́ \mu \iota \xi є ~ \delta є \sigma \pi о ́ т а \nu$,
' $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{a}$.
 èv eụạ̀



 тòv ả̉aӨ̣̂ $\lambda$ óyov
 46
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.



Epodi.

11. - ㄴ: 1~ー


1.424. II. 34.34 III. 3 32.33. IV. 3332 3 3 3.

ё $\mu \mu є \nu a \iota$ тò тодда́кıs.

$\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \tau \cup \rho е \varsigma ~ \sigma о ф \dot{́} \tau а т о я$.
 ràp aitia.


60



'Avt. $\beta^{\prime}$.



$\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \Gamma a \nu v \mu \eta ́ \delta \eta \varsigma$
70

 ärayov.


$\mu a \chi a i ́ \rho a$ тá $\mu o \nu \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \eta$,


' $\quad$ п. $\beta$ '.
 бтанал.




 $a \dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \lambda i \theta \theta \nu$,


#  




 å $\mu a \rho \tau а ́ \nu \varepsilon є$.




'Avr. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.



Eừplaıvav• ó $\delta$ ’ aủtê
$\pi a ̀ ̀ \rho \pi o \delta i \quad \sigma \chi \in \delta o ̀ v$ фávך.
 és $\chi$ ápıv



125 és ${ }^{\top} \mathrm{A} \lambda \iota \nu, \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \iota$ סè̀ $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \sigma o \nu$.



$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E} \pi \cdot \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

 ßáveı.

130




 áyá $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \theta$ єòs
 тоиs.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta$.


90 ขv̂̀ $\delta$ ' є̇v aipaкоขplaıs a่y入aaîб८ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \kappa \tau a \iota$,

 тò סè к入є́os


155



'Avt. 8 ".

 кєịขov iттєєí ขó $\mu$
A io $\quad \lambda \eta i \delta \iota \mu 0 \lambda \pi a \hat{a}$

165
 кขрєю́тєроу


 $\mu є \rho i \mu \nu a \iota \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon i ́ \delta \epsilon ̀ \mu \eta े \tau a \chi \chi \grave{v} \lambda / \pi о \iota$,



 180


 ขıкафо́poıs


zEUS LAUREATE
(Coin of Elis.)

## OATMHIONIEAI B＇

## －HP $\Omega$ NI AKPA「ANTIN $\Omega \iota$

APMATI．




$\Sigma \tau \rho, a^{\circ}$ ．


 єॅ $\rho \in!\sigma \mu$＇＇Акра́уа̣утоя，

＇Avt．$a^{\prime}$ ．


 ä ${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$

Strophae．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1.レ:ーレー } 1 \text { ーーー } 1 \text { - ㄴ | }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-レー | - - - } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I. 3.32. II. 3.3.2. III. 22.2 2. IV.2.2 } 2
\end{aligned}
$$




15 ià $\nu \in \epsilon i s$ ảoidais

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ ．

 30


 талі́үкотоу $\delta а \mu а \sigma \theta$ е́v，
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.
öтav $\theta \epsilon o \hat{\text { Moịpa тє่ } \mu \psi \eta ~}$

 ßapù
$\kappa \rho є \in \sigma o ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̣ ̀ s ~ a ̉ y a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.

45
$\kappa є \rho a y \nu o v ̂ ~ \tau a \nu v e ́ \theta \epsilon \iota \rho a \quad \sum \epsilon \mu \in ́ \lambda a, \phi \iota \lambda \in i ̂$
סé v！̨ $\Pi$ Пa入入às aiel

＇Avt．$\beta^{\prime}$＇．
$\lambda$ е́уо̣тє $\delta^{\prime}$ év каì $\forall a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma a$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Epodi. } \\
& \text { 1. }- \text { ! -ー- } 1-\cdots \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - ー- } 1 \text {-- } 1 \text { ㄴ } 1 \text {-ヘ } 1 \\
& \text { I. 3.32.32. II. 22.22.4 (chorei). }
\end{aligned}
$$

 кє́критає
$\pi \in$ îpas ov̌ t! Oavátov,


คоа! $\delta^{\prime}$ ä̀ $\lambda \lambda о \tau^{\prime}{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda a \iota$
60

'Er. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.






$\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$.
$45 i \delta o i ̣ \sigma a \delta^{\prime} \dot{j} \xi \in i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 'Epıvv̀s

75
 áध $\theta$ 入oıs


80

тò̀ $\mathrm{A} i \grave{\nu} \eta \sigma \iota$ đápov

ss
'Avr. $\gamma^{\prime}$.


 ठро́ $\mu \omega \nu$


$\%$
ó $\mu$ ạ̀ $\pi \lambda$ лои̂тоs ạ $\rho \in \tau a i ̂ s ~ \delta \in \delta a!~ \delta a \lambda \mu e ́ v o s ~$
A 2

фе́िę! $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \tau \omega ̂ \nu$

'Er. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.






Erp. 8 .


 $\dot{a} \kappa \mu \hat{a}$

$\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ \nu \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta i ́ a u \tau a \nu \cdot a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \tau!\mu i o \iota s ~$


120

'Avr. 8 '.


 $\mu а \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu ~$



v̌ $\delta \omega \rho \delta^{\circ}$ ä้ $\lambda \lambda a$ ф $^{\prime} \rho \beta \in \iota$,

135
'Ел. 8 '.





$\lambda_{\iota \tau а!̣ ̂}$ ё $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon, \mu a ́ т \eta \rho \cdot$
Irp. $\epsilon^{\prime}$.
ôs "Ẹктор" ${ }^{\text {é } \sigma \phi a \lambda є, ~ T p o l a s ~}$
14

 $\beta$ éd $\eta$
ẹ้ข $\delta$ ov ėvтì фарétpas


$95 \mu a \theta$ ọ́vтes $\delta$ ¢̀ $\lambda a ́ \beta p o \iota$
165

'Avt. E'.
$\Delta$ cọs $\pi$ т


160
 тоь
100 'Акра́уаขт! таעv́бaıs

 фì $\frac{!̣}{}$ ă $u \nu \delta \rho a \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$

'Er. $\mathbf{\epsilon}^{\prime}$.





110 тís ậv фрáбal סúvalto;

## OAYMIS JNIKAI $\mathrm{r}^{\prime}$ ．

## －HP $\Omega$ NI AKPA「ANTIN $\Omega i$ <br> EIE OBOEENIA．

$\Sigma_{\tau} \rho . a^{\prime}$.

＇E入évá
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ \nu$＇Акра́уаута үєраіррь єข้้ $\chi \mu a \iota$ ，
 $\pi \delta ́ \delta \omega \nu$
$\sigma$
 бі́уа入оע єن́ро́ขт！то́ттоע

＇Avt．$a^{\prime}$ ．
 фауоє

 $\theta$ є́бเข



Strophae．

I．53．5．35．
II． 245.24.

＇Еп． $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ ．

 тотє


$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.
入ór甲
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ̣$ ф $\rho о \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \Delta i o s ~ a \iota \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \pi a \nu \delta o ́ к \omega$
 т＇ảpetâv．
 oั̀оv хрvбá $\rho \mu a \tau o s$

＇Avt． $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ ．
 $\dot{a} \mu \hat{a}$


Пéлотоя．
40
 aủyaîs $\dot{\alpha} \in \lambda l o v$.


## Epodi．

| 1. <br>  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| －レー1－ | $1 \rightarrow$ | －$\overline{\text {－}}$ |
| III．レー｜－，－I レー｜－－\｜レー｜－－ 1 |  |  |
| I． 43.234. | II． 35.63. | III． 222. |

'Er. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.

 $\mu \nu \chi \omega ิ \nu$,



$\Sigma^{\Sigma} \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$.


 тє́ $\rho \mu a$ ठоо́лои



'А 1 т. $\gamma^{\prime}$.
 $\nu \in{ }^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$

 'E $\mu \mu \in \nu$ ( $\delta a \iota \varsigma$



'Еп. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.

 тоע,

76

 äßaтov


## OAYMIIONIKAI $\Delta^{\circ}$

## $\Psi$ AYMI $\triangle$ I KAMAPINAI $\Omega$ と A川HNH\＆．

dow wer．
$\Sigma \tau \rho$ ．
 үà $\rho$ ゅ $\rho a \iota$


 5 тотì $\gamma \lambda$ икєîà є̇блоí．





Kamarime places of
herpomance．
 іккє

StROPHA．

I． 883.
II．44．4．44．4．5．
III． 388.
IV． 4.4




25
 $\mu$ évov.


'Е $\pi$.
ä $\pi$ ęp $\mathrm{K} \lambda \nu \mu$ évoıo $\pi a i ̂ \delta a$
$20 \Lambda a \mu \nu \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ үvขаıк $\omega$ ข



 25 фúouta! $\delta$ è кaì $\nu \in ́ o \iota s ~ \grave{v} \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota$

Epodus.
I. 4.4.4.5.
II. 43.43.
III. 6.26.


## OAYMHIONIKAI E

## 

## ATHNH:




*s aureling hataman 'Avr. $a$ '.



' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$.
 ${ }^{\text {áßpòv }}$ 15
 тạ̀ ข ขéoıкov ếठà.

Strophae.

II. $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ :
I. 328.
II. 54.54.

Epodi.
 54.544.

Tor Eútulourta kal pporerv




'Е $\pi . \beta$ '.
15 a!̣єไ $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ á $\rho \in \tau a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi o ́ \nu o s ~ \delta a \pi a ́ v a ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \mu a ́ p \nu a \tau a l ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ épyov






 тоьs


$$
{ }^{\prime} E_{\pi}, y^{\prime} .
$$

 ${ }^{2} \rho \delta \varepsilon \iota$,


## OATMPIONIKAI s'

## AГHEIA九 $\Sigma$ YPAKOEIת॰

## AIIHNHt.

 кíovas, ஸ́s öтє Өaךтọ̀v $\mu$ е́ $\mathbf{\gamma a \rho o v , ~}$



 vi $\mu \nu 0 \nu$
 ảouaîs;
'Avt. $a^{\prime}$.



Strophas.

I. 43.5.5.43.
II. 222.
III. 423.324.



＇A $\mu \phi$ iáp ${ }^{2}$
20


${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$ ．



 каì

30



$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.



40

Erodi．


－ーー1－－


$$
\text { レー }\left|--\left|レ-\left|-, \geq 1--|--|-\frac{1}{\wedge} 1\right.\right.\right.
$$



$$
---1--1--\cdot 1---1--1-\bar{\wedge} 1
$$


I． 323 II． 424 III．44．43． $6 \pi$ ．IV． 3 3．33．V． 44

 aủtaîs.


'Àr. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.

$30 \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ F \iota o ́ \pi \lambda о к о \nu ~ E u ̈ a ́ o v a \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa є ́ \mu \epsilon \nu . ~$
50



85
 оікєі̂̀.
 'Афробітая.
(8, ) ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
 qóvod.

60




40 кá入 $\lambda \pi \iota \delta a ́$ т’ à $\rho \gamma \nu \rho \in ́ a v$, 入ó $\chi \mu a s$ vimò кvavéas
 70

Irp. $\gamma^{\prime}$.





 оікк
 дєүа́кєьь
'Aut. $y^{\prime}$.





90
 ${ }^{a} \beta$ ро̀̀
 $\pi а ̣ \nu \tau \iota \mu a ́ т \eta \rho$
' $\boldsymbol{E} \pi \cdot \gamma$ '.
 $\lambda a ́ \beta \in \nu$







Eг $\rho .8$.





 áé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$,
 $\kappa$ кé $\ell \in ข \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
'Аут. 8 '.



 $\tau \omega \nu$
75 тоîs, oịs тоте трю́то!ऽ тєрi $\delta \omega \delta$ є́катоу $\delta \rho о ́ \mu о \nu$

 ă $\nu \delta \rho е \varsigma$





 ä $\mu^{\prime}$ ѐ $\theta$ é $\lambda о \nu \tau a \pi \rho о \sigma є ́ \rho \pi \epsilon \iota ~ к а ̣ \lambda \lambda \iota \rho o ́ o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi \nu о a i ̂ \varsigma . ~$


$$
\Sigma_{r \rho}, \epsilon^{\prime} .
$$


 146
 Aìvéa, трผ̂тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu " Н \rho a \nu ~ \Pi a \rho \theta є \nu i ́ a \nu ~ к є \lambda a \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \ell, \quad 150$

 ópOós,
 ảo兀 $\delta \hat{\nu} \nu$.


ă $\rho \tau \iota a \quad \mu \eta \delta о ́ \mu \in \nu о \varsigma, \phi о \iota \nu \iota \kappa o ́ \pi \epsilon \zeta а \nu$

каi Z Zұıòs Aitvaiov крátos. á d́v́loyo! $\delta$ é vıv
$\lambda v ́ \rho a!~ \mu о \lambda \pi a!!~ \tau \epsilon \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о ̣ \nu \tau \iota . ~ \mu \grave{~} \theta \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma!$ Хро́vos ö $\lambda \beta$ оу є́ф $є ́ \rho \pi \omega \nu$.
 $\mu \nu$
' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \varepsilon^{\prime}$ '.

 є̇v $\chi \in!\mu \in \rho$ ia

 $17 t$
 éкто̀s є̇óvта סídoь, хриба̣入ака́тоьо то́бья



Coin of Stymphalos.

## OATMIIONIKAI Z＇

## $\triangle$ IAГOPA $\mathrm{PO} \Delta \mathrm{I} \Omega \iota$

## HTKTH


 ठ $\omega \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$
 $\kappa о \rho \cup \phi a ̀ \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$
$\Sigma \tau \rho, a^{\prime}$ ．




 катéXоעт＇à $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { кí．}\end{gathered}$

Strophae．

> 1. $\cup$ こ:ーー| Lレ |-・ー\|-レレ|-レい| $-\pi \mathbb{I}$
> II. Lu| $-\geq$ \|レレ| - - | Lu|一它い1-ス I
ーレい। ース I
v．－vu｜－vu｜－•－\｜Lu｜－－\｜－vu｜－vu｜－त I
vI．レレミーー｜L－レ｜－ー・・ーレン｜－レい｜－－I

5

I． 3 8．II． 24.2 III．2 2．IV． 3 3．V． 328 ．VI． 3 3， B


 тоутíà



 30
 $\Delta i к a$,



35
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.


${ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{H} \rho а к \lambda$ ќos
 таи．тò $\delta^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \mu \nu \nu \tau о \rho i \delta a \iota$
 $\dot{a} \mu \pi \lambda a к i ́ a \iota$

Epodi．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -{ }^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 一 - } \\
& \text { レー | - | レー | -- } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { レー | - | レー | - \| \| レー | - } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

I． 332.
II． 482.243 .4.
III． 828.
IV． 48.42
'Avr. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.


50 бка́ттф $\theta \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$
 Өалá $\mu \omega$ Miठéas
 тарахаі

' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.

єị $\boldsymbol{i} \epsilon \in$ Мєр $\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$,
©
 $\delta \in \sigma \sigma \iota \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu$,

65
 ӓкрау


$\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$.



 Өvoíà $\theta$ énevot
 ả $\rho \in \tau a ̀ \nu$

'Avt. $\gamma$ '.



 ov้ $\cdot \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \xi a \downarrow \delta^{\prime}$ ämúpoıs iєpoîs
 $\nu \in \phi$ é $\lambda a \nu$

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.
 тєî̀．

 тє入є́ $\theta \epsilon \iota$.
$\phi a \nu \tau i \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota a i$

 ạ̀ $\lambda \mu \nu \rho \circ i ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu ~ \beta e ́ ̀ \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \nu a ̂ \sigma o ̣ \nu ~ к є к р и ́ ф \theta a \iota . ~$


60 áyvo̊̀ $\theta$ єóv．

ả入入á $\nu \iota \nu$



＇Avt． 8 ．


120
$\mu \eta$ тарфа́ $\mu \in \nu$,
ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ K $\rho o ́ v o v ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \pi a!\delta i ~ \nu \in \hat{v} \sigma a l, ~ \phi a g \nu \nu o ̀ \nu ~ e ́ s ~ a i \theta e ́ p a ~ \nu ı \nu ~$ $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i ̣ \sigma a \nu$ є́ậ кєфа入âa
 рvфаi

120


$$
\text { 'Е } \pi . \delta^{\prime} .
$$

$70 \nu a ̂ \sigma o s$, ê $\chi \in \iota$ тє́ $\nu \iota \nu$ ỏ $\xi \in \iota a ̂ \nu$ ơ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \in ́ \theta \lambda \iota o s$ ảктív@ע татท́p,
 те́кеу
 $\delta \in \xi ̆ a \mu \in ́ v o v s$

 $\delta^{\circ}$ e้ $\chi o \nu$,
75 Sıà raị̂av трiұa $\delta a \sigma \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu \circ \iota \pi a \tau \rho \omega l a \nu$,



$\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\theta} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{,}$
 т $\omega$ ข ạ้ $\nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \Delta \iota a \gamma o ́ p a s$
 $\chi^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$,

'Avt. $\epsilon^{\prime}$.


85 Bo七ผтl $\omega \nu$,
 oบ่า ยัтєคоข $\lambda_{l} \theta$ ใขa
 Buplov 100


$$
\text { ' } \mathrm{E} \pi . \varepsilon^{\prime} .
$$

 $\chi$ ха́рเข
 ó ò̀ $\nu$

165





 95 ä̀ $\lambda \lambda o \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda \lambda 0 i ̂ a!~ \delta \iota a \iota \theta v ́ \sigma \sigma o!\sigma \iota \nu ~ a v ̉ p a \iota . ~$



OATMEIONIKAI $\mathrm{H}^{\circ}$
AムKIMEDONTI AITINHTHし
THAIAI HAMAIETH\&.
$\qquad$


 עov,

$5 \mu a!\circ \mu$ ย́vav $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda a \nu$ а́рєтàv $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\emptyset} \lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu$,
т@้̣ $\delta$ غ̀ $\mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu$ á $\mu \pi \nu \circ a ́ \nu$.


 aíl ,

Strophaz.






I. 82.6 .
II. 233.3 .
III. 8.3.4.


áya $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi$ то $\lambda \lambda a!$ ！$\delta$＇ó $\delta o i$
бข้̣̀ $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ є u ̉ \pi \rho a r i ́ a s . ~$
＇ $\mathbf{E}_{\pi .} a^{\prime}$ ．



Ө $\hat{\kappa \epsilon \nu}$＇О $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota о$ іккау．




\＄$\tau$ ． $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ ．


 $\chi \omega \dot{\rho}{ }^{\alpha}$

36
кlova סaıцoviav．
ธ่ $\delta$＇є̇ $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \chi$ रóvos тои̂то тра́ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu \mu \grave{~ к a ́ \mu о \iota . ~}$

EPODI．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1.ー:ーーー|ーーー| - |レー|ース }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-ーー |-ー-1 -- } 1
\end{aligned}
$$





I．5．83．6．8．II．83．832 III．23．22．
'Avt. $\beta$ '.

тòv $\pi a ̂ ̂ ̧$ ó $\Lambda a \tau o v ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon \cup ̛ ̣ \rho v \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ П о \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta a ̂ \nu, ~$
 زòv

ỏ $\rho \nu \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$

$\lambda a ́ ß p o \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \pi \nu \in v ̂ \sigma a!~ \kappa a \pi \nu o ́ \nu . ~$

үдаикоì $\delta є ̀ ~ \delta р а ́ к о \nu т є \varsigma, ~ є ́ т т \epsilon і ~ к т і ̈ \sigma \theta \eta \nu є ́ о \nu, ~$

'Err. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.

40 єis ס' є́ $o ́ \rho o v \sigma \epsilon \beta$ ßáбaıs.


@̀s є́ $\mu$ oi фá $\sigma \mu a$ 入éyєı Kpovíba
$\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta$ ẹ̀ $\beta$ ßapvүбои́тоข $\Delta$ iós.
Erp. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.
 каі тєтра́тоוs. む́s ảpa $\theta$ єòs бáфа Fєítтаוs
 є $\lambda a \cup ́ \nu \omega \nu$.


50 ảтотеє̨́ $\mu \pi \omega \nu$ A ịaкò

"Ast. $y^{\prime}$.




$\kappa a i ̀ \mathrm{~N} \epsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ a \quad \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ó $\mu \omega \bar{\varsigma}$ є́คє́ $\varphi$ таи́тà $\chi$ á $\rho \iota \nu$ ， $\tau \underline{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ eै $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu \delta \rho \varphi \hat{\nu} \nu \mu a ́ \chi a{ }^{\varsigma}$
${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E} \pi \gamma$.
éк таукратіоv．тò $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \xi ̧ a \sigma \theta a!~ \delta e ́ ~ \tau о \iota ~$

коソфо́тєрац үà $\rho$ ảтєєра́т＠ע фрє́vєร．
80
кєị̀va סє̀ кєî̀os ầ є้ँто七




2xp． 8.

 90
 фоע oifov，

үท̣раоя ảעтíтa入ov．
＇Álסa тоє 入á̀өєтає
ă $\rho \mu є \nu a$ трáそa！s ảvท́p．
＇Ayr． 8


 áyळ́v $\omega \nu$ ． 100


катакрйтттєє $\delta$＇oụ ко́vıs

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi .8$.





 $\theta \in ́ \mu \in \nu$.
à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \nu \tau о \nu$ ä $\gamma \omega \nu$ ßíotov



## OATMIIONIKAI $\theta^{\prime}$

## 

## MAMAIETH\＆






$\Delta!a \tau \epsilon$ фоьขккобтєро́тау


тоьoịбסє $\beta$ é $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ，


Strophar．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ミ:~ー }
\end{aligned}
$$

II．$-\geq|\sim \sim 1-\sim|-\cdot>\cdot \mid \sim \sim 1-\sim 1$
$\rightarrow|\sim \sim 1-\sim|-\cdot>\cdot \mid \sim \sim 1-\sim 1$
6.




$$
>\vdots \sim \sim|レ \cdot|-\sim|-\geq|\sim \sim|<|-A|
$$

I．3．44．II． 42.42 .42 III．4．2．4．2．IV．5．25．
"Avt. $a^{\prime}$.





25
щеуало́סogos Eủvouía,
Өá入入є! $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\rho \in \tau a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$
бọ́v тє, Кабтадía, тápa


30

'Er. $a^{\prime}$.


25 каì ảyạ́vopos ìm


єí $\sigma$ ứv тוvı $\mu \circ \iota \rho \iota \delta i \varphi$ тала́ $\mu a$

40
 $\kappa a \tau d ̀ ~ \delta a l \mu о \nu ’$ äv $\nu \rho \in \varsigma$

Izp. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.


Efodi.



I. 4.4 . 2
II. 5.4.4.5.
III. 424.




кoì̀à ès ảyvià
Ovaбкóvт $\omega \nu$ ；ảmó $\mu \circ \iota$ 入óyov



＇Avt．$\beta$＇．
даріаибиข ข̇токрє́кєє．
$\mu \eta े ~ \nu ̣ ̂ ̣ \nu ~ \lambda a \lambda a ́ y \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o l a v ̂ \tau ' ~ . ~ e ̣ a ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu ~ \mu a ́ \chi a \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi a ̂-~$ бay


65
Пथ̣́ppa $\Delta \epsilon v \kappa а \lambda i ́ \omega \nu \tau \epsilon$ Паруабой катаßávтє


$\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \sigma a ́ \sigma \theta a \nu \lambda i \theta \iota \nu o v$ gónov． 70
50 पao！$\delta^{\circ}$ óvú $\mu a \sigma \theta \in \nu$ ．


＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi$ ． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．
$\nu \in \omega т \in ́ \rho \omega \nu . \lambda \in ́ \gamma o \nu t \iota \mu a ̀ \nu$

55 v̈́atos $\sigma \theta$ évos，ả入入à



80
${ }^{a} \rho \chi \chi \ddot{a} \theta \epsilon \nu$＇Ia $a \pi \epsilon \tau \iota o \nu i ́ \delta o s ~ \phi u ́ v \lambda a s$
 aiel，

$$
\bar{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

$\pi \rho i \nu$ 'O $\lambda \underset{\mu}{\mu} \mu \pi \iota o s \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\omega} \nu$
入os



ä̉ $\lambda о \chi \circ \varsigma, ~ є u ̉ ф \rho a ́ v \theta \eta ~ \tau \epsilon \mathcal{F}$ iò̀
9
ท̄pes $\theta$ eтòv viöv,
$\mu \dot{a} \tau \rho \omega o s \delta^{\prime}$ écád $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma$ é $\nu \iota \nu$



100
'Avt. $\gamma$ '.

 П८бâтаи.



$\mu o ̣ v o \varsigma, o ̆ \tau ’$ à $\lambda \kappa a ́ \varepsilon \nu \tau a \varsigma \Delta a \nu a o v ̀ \varsigma$



$\mu a \theta \in i ̣ \nu ~ П а т р о ́ к \lambda о v ~ \beta ı a \tau a ̣ ̀ \nu ~ \nu o ́ o \nu . ~$

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
тарауорєîто $\mu \eta$ ท тотє $\sigma \phi \in \tau \in ́ \rho a \varsigma ~ a ̈ ้ \tau \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \tau a \xi ้ \bullet v ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$
${ }_{55} \delta а \mu а \sigma!\mu \beta \rho o ́ т o v ~ a i \chi \mu a ̂ s . ~$




 роь кра́тทбау





$\mu$ بévev ả̀ติva $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \nu \tau \in \in \rho \omega \nu$
à $\mu \phi^{\prime}{ }^{2} \rho \gamma \nu \rho i \delta \delta_{\epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu}$.

$\dot{\text { àmт } ̣ ̂ т \iota ~ \delta а \mu a ́ \sigma \sigma a ı s ~}$
 140

'Avt. 8 '.






тод入о!̀ $\delta$ è $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa т а і ̂ s$
à $\nu \theta \rho \dot{́} \pi \omega \nu$ à $\rho \in \tau a i ̂ s ~ \kappa \lambda$ éos

155


' $\mathbf{E}$ т. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$.
ó ôêv ó $\delta o i \geqslant \pi \epsilon \rho a i \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$,

$115 \mu$ елétạ. бoфlaı $\mu$ èv



165
 $\mu{ }^{\mu} \nu$.

aias oiliades.
Coin of Opus.

# oarminovizar $r(n)$－Peyment of citit 

## АГНГI $\triangle$ AM $\Omega \iota$ ИOKP $\Omega \iota$ ЕПIZEФYPI $\Omega$ ،

## ПАІІІ пІКктн．


＇А $\rho \chi € \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau o v ~ \pi a!̣ ̂ \delta a ~ \pi o ́ \theta \iota ~ ф \rho є \nu o ̀ s ~$


$\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}$.



（Poons ionet）


＇Avt．$a$＇．



öтa $\tau \in$ кouvọ̀v $\lambda o ́ y o \nu>$ ful．dex count $L \rightarrow S$ ．


Strophag．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \text { : ᄂ }|\sim \sim|-\sim \mid-ヘ 1
\end{aligned}
$$

I．6．5．6．II．6．6．III．4．4．

$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon!$ тє́ $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \mathrm{K} a \lambda \lambda \iota o ́ \pi a$
 $\beta \iota$ и

$\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ "I $\lambda a \not$ фєрє́тш $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$
20 'Ạүךбі́ठаноя, $\dot{\varsigma}$
'А $\chi \iota \lambda \in i ̂$ Пáтроклоя.


乏 $\quad$ р. $\beta^{\prime \prime}$.



$\Delta!o ́ s, ~ o ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a i ́ \varphi ~ \sigma a ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ \pi a ̀ \rho ~ \Pi є ́ \lambda о т о я ~$

є่ $\pi \epsilon!$ ! Пoбєı $\delta$ ávıov
$30 \pi$ т́фvé Kтє́aтоу á $\mu$ ýuova, Mother.

- Aktopiare Moĺóves.
'Ant. $\beta^{\prime}$.


#### Abstract

 


Epodi.

1. こ! ᄂ

a.


I. 4.4. II. 54.6 .4 (lт.). III. 4.8.4. IV. 828.




' $\mathbf{E} \pi \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.




äтая ${ }^{\text {İ }}$


45 каi кєî̀os áßou入ia üбтатоs Purely tempral







тıа̣́баı! $\pi о ́ \rho о \nu ~ ' А \lambda \phi є о \hat{v}$
 öтa $\tau a ̣ ̀ \nu \pi о \lambda e ́ \mu о \iota o ~ \delta o ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~$





$\chi \epsilon i \rho \in \sigma \sigma \iota, \pi о \sigma i \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa a i$ ă $\rho \mu a \tau \iota$,

£ $\tau \rho .8$.
$70 \sigma \tau a ́ \delta \iota o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ápí $\sigma \tau \in \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \dot{u} \theta \grave{y} \nu$ тóvov




80






80 ن̣́тè $\mathfrak{a} \pi \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a \chi i ́ a ~ \theta o ́ \rho v \beta o \nu ~$


90

бє入ạ̀vą épatò̀ фáos.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi .8$. $\rho \iota \nu$

Bроутạ̀ каì тụpтá̀aнод $\beta$ énos

ọ $\rho \sigma$ ！eкти́ттои $\Delta$ ıós，



Erp．$e^{\circ}$ ．

ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ థंтє $\pi a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̣ ̂ \xi ~ a ̉ \lambda o ́ \chi o v ~ \pi a \tau \rho \grave{~}$


105

e่тạィтò̀ ả入入ợтрıov

＇Avt．$e^{\prime \prime}$ ．





116
 кópa！$\Pi$ ！eplסes $\Delta i o ́ s$ ．and wilde．$\because 1$

$$
\text { E } \pi . e^{e} .
$$



 бтрátov


кєîvò катà $\chi$ рóvov，${ }{ }^{1}$

ш๐a $\tau \in \kappa$ к


## OAYMHIONIKAI IA（ ${ }^{\prime}$ ）

## AГHГI $\triangle$ AM $\Omega \iota ~ \Lambda O K P \Omega \iota E \Pi I Z E \Phi Y P I \Omega \iota$

## ПAIDI ITKKTH．

$\qquad$
 $\chi \rho \eta े \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ，$\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oụ $\rho a \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ vi $\delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，




 о̛̣тоs ä้кєєта！！．тà $\mu$ èv $\dot{\mu} \mu \in \tau \in ́ \rho a$

 ！้̣ $\sigma \theta \iota \nu ข ̂ \nu, ' A \rho \chi є ฺ \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau o v$

 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \nu \mu \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \lambda a \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ，

Strophar．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. ᄂレ|ーー|ーレー|ーレー| - - } \\
& \text { レい1ーートーンい1ー~い1 - п } \\
& \text { I. ᄂー|ーートーール| - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { レー|ーー| レー | - へ }
\end{aligned}
$$

> I. 5.5.
> II. 4.48.4.42



$\mu \eta \delta \dot{a} \pi \epsilon і$ ípaтò кал $\bar{\omega} \nu$ ，



Epodus．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 111. ᄂー } 1 \text { ーー | レー 1 ース }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1.5.3.43 II. } 42.42 \text { III. 4.34. IV. } 4.44
\end{aligned}
$$



## OAYMHIONIKAI IB'

## EPCOTEAEI IMEPAI $\Omega 2$

## $\triangle O A I X E I$.

\íббонаи, таî Z $\eta \nu o ̀ s ~ ' E \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ o v, ~$

 $\nu \hat{a ̂ \epsilon \varsigma, ~ e ̉ \nu} \chi \chi \in \rho \sigma \underset{\sim}{\tau} \tau \epsilon \lambda a \iota \psi \eta \rho \circ \grave{\imath} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \iota$ кảyораi ßov入aффópoı. aí $\gamma є \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \omega ̂ \nu$
 $\kappa v \lambda i ́ \nu \delta o \nu \tau ' ~ \epsilon ̣ ̉ \lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ̀ \delta^{\prime} a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \sigma \iota \varsigma \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu a \nu \text { єै } \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

'Avr.

Strophar.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - J }
\end{aligned}
$$

I. 5.52.
II. 42.42 .
III. 5.254.
 $\pi \epsilon \delta a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \psi a \nu \chi$ хо́vч．
vịє $\Phi \iota \lambda a ́ \nu о \rho о \varsigma, ~ \eta ๋ \tau о \iota \kappa а!̣ ~ \tau \epsilon a ́ ~ к є \nu$,


 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$＇＇＇О $\lambda v \mu \pi i a, ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ф а \nu \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$

 ảpoúpaıs．

Epodus．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. ーー・ |ールー| - | | レー | -ー・| }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { レー }|\rightarrow|-ー-|--|-\bar{\wedge}|
\end{aligned}
$$


I．5．52．II． 24.52 .5 .24 III． 424


Coin of Himera．

## OAYMHIONIKAI IT

## ⒺNOФ』NTI KOPIN日I』ぇ

## ETADIOAPOMQ，KAI HENTA日AQ．

8pp．á．

## 


 тà̀ ọ̀ $\lambda \beta i a \nu$ Kóó $\iota \nu \theta o \nu$ ，＇I $\sigma \theta \mu i o v$

 đ̇ $\sigma \phi a \lambda$ és，


＇Avr．$a^{\prime}$ ．

i0＂$\Upsilon$ ß
 єù $\theta \in$ eia $\gamma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \nu$ ọ $\rho \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$

Strophar．

11．$\geq$ ：－ $1 \sim \sim|->|-\smile|-\cdot>|\sim \sim| \sim-|レ|-\sim|-A \mid$
II．$\geq!\sim-1 \sim \sim|\rightarrow| \sim-1 \sim-1-\sim \|$
$\rightarrow 1 \leftarrow|-\sim 1 \rightarrow| \sim \sim \mid-\wedge 1$
I．8． $6 \cdot 5 \cdot 5.6$ ．
II． 55.
III．88．6．

 ఱ̈таба»


${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E \pi} . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.








30

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.


35

$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̣ ̀ \nu \delta \epsilon ~ \lambda a o ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \beta \lambda a \beta \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$

 ẹ́к Пібая,



## Epodi.




IV. -- $|\longrightarrow|-\cup|レ,|-\cup|->|=-|-\sim 1$
I. 3 32.23. II. 6.6. III. 43.42. IV. 44.
'Avt. $\beta^{\prime}$.
Sv́o $\delta$ ' ǎ̌тò ě $\rho \in \psi a \nu$
45
$\pi \lambda о ́ к о \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda i ́ \nu \omega \nu$ є̀ $\nu$ ' $I \sigma \theta \mu \iota a ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$
фаує่̣ขта. Né $\mu \epsilon a ́$ т' ои̉к ảעт! $\xi о є \hat{\imath}$.


五ขọ́s тє́ $F_{0 \iota}$

50


 $\tau \in \theta \mu \circ$ ī $\sigma \nu$
Птоцобஸ́рф бب̣̀v татрі накро́тєрає Tєрұía 日' $^{\prime}$ є́
\%$\% \sigma \sigma a \tau^{\prime}$ є́v $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ́ \rho ı \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ̛ ́ \sigma a \tau \epsilon ~$

$45 \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon!\kappa a \lambda \omega ิ \nu, \dot{\omega} \varsigma \mu a \dot{\nu} \nu \sigma a \phi \in ̀ \varsigma$

$\Sigma \tau \rho . y^{\prime}$.
eัтєта! $\delta^{\prime}$ èv $\varepsilon \in a ́ \sigma \tau \varphi$


$50 \mu \eta ิ \tau i ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma a \rho u ́ \varphi \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu$

 тала́ $\mu a \iota \varsigma$ ఱ́s $\theta$ єóv,



$$
\text { 'Аעт. } \gamma^{\prime} \text {. }
$$

 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \Delta a \rho \delta \dot{a} \nu о v ~ \tau \epsilon!\chi є ́ \omega \nu$ є́ठóкךбаע



 $\Delta a \nu a o i ́ . \quad \tau 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota \mu$ èv
${ }^{55}$


'Er. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.
 ขoîs

$65 \pi \rho i ̣ \nu ~ \gamma є ́ ~ F o \iota ~ \chi \rho v \sigma a ́ \mu \pi v к а ~ к о и ́ \rho a ~ \chi а \lambda \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~$
 ที้ ขัт
 96

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$.
 100

 таркє! $\mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda a \beta \omega \nu ~ \tau є ́ \rho a s, ~$ є่ $\pi \iota \chi \varphi ́ \rho \iota о \nu \mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \nu$ ă $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \in \nu$,
 ảvà $\beta \omega \mu \widehat{̣}$ © $\theta \in a ̂ s$


§анабíфроva хрибóv.




 $\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta a} \kappa о и ́ \phi a \nu \kappa \tau i \sigma \iota \nu$.
 85 фáp $\mu а к о \nu ~ \pi \rho a ̣ ̂ ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ̀ \nu \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \phi i ̀ ~ \gamma є ́ \nu v \iota, ~$
'Еп. 8 '.
 e้таıそєข.


тоэо́тау $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \gamma ข \nu а \iota к є i ̂ o \nu ~ \sigma т р а т о ́ \nu, ~$




2rp. $\epsilon^{\prime}$








140


'Ayt. É. $^{\prime}$





 òфри́и Парváтia,
 ả̀á́ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$


$$
\text { ' } \mathrm{E} \pi . \ell^{\prime}
$$

 ä $\lambda \sigma$ оя,








## OATMHIONIKAI IA $^{\circ}$

## A $\Sigma \Omega$ ПIX $\Omega \iota$ OPXOMENI $\Omega \iota$

## Haial stadiet.

I $\tau \rho, a^{\prime}$.
Kaф! $\sigma \boldsymbol{l} \omega \nu$ víáт $\omega \nu$









$\Pi u ́ \theta \iota o v ~ ' А \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu a$ Ө $\rho o ́ v o v s$,


## Strophae.





v. - |~~| ᄂ \|~~| - - | - ^1



I. s.6.6. II. 4 4. III. 32 3. IV. 5. 5. V. 3 s.
VI. 442.
VII. 323.
VIII. 4.34.

C 2

## 

$\phi \iota \lambda \eta \sigma i \mu \circ \lambda \pi \epsilon \in \tau^{\prime}$ Eủфробv́va, $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ кратібтоv 20






 $y \in \lambda i a \nu$,
 кó入то!s тар’ єv̉סógo!s Пíनas



FERSEPNONE:
Coin of Orchomenos.

## П〒＠IONIKAI．

## ITreIONIKAI A＇。 <br> IEP $\Omega$ NI AITNAI $\Omega$ ، <br> APMATI．

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} a^{*}$.

 à $\rho \chi$ á，

 нéva．




Strophat．

ப•\｜ப 1 ース

 ーーーノーべ


I． 25.452.
II． 42.343 .42.
III． 63 a
＇Avt．$a^{\prime}$ ．

 ठè $\kappa \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \nu$

 ă้ $\nu \in v \theta \epsilon \lambda \iota \pi \omega \nu$

20


＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$ ．
 25



Tvфผ̀s є́катоутака́ралоя• тọ̀ тотє


 ợpavía $\sigma v \nu$ ย́ $\chi є$ ，


## Epodi．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ご }
\end{aligned}
$$

I．52．53．II．44．III． 32.232 .23 ．IV．443．44．

 คóov каттvov̂
$a_{!}^{\prime} \theta \omega \nu$ - ả̀ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є่ $\nu$ ő $\rho \phi \nu a \iota \sigma!\nu \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho a \varsigma$
фоív! $\sigma \sigma a \kappa v \lambda \iota \nu \delta о \mu$ éva $\phi \lambda o ̀ \xi$ és ßaӨєîà фépeı тóvtov тла́ка бѝv тата́уш.



'Avt. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.

 $\kappa \lambda \iota \mu$ ย́ข ข кєฺขтє̂̂.

 є่ $\pi \omega \nu v \mu i ́ a \nu$
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ o i k \iota \sigma \tau \eta ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa u ́ \delta a \nu \in ฺ$ тó $\lambda \iota \nu$


' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.

 rà $\rho$
 таи́таı! є́ті бvขтvХíaıs סóṣav фє́pєь

$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ є v ̉ \phi \omega ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \theta a \lambda i ́ a \iota s ~ o ̉ \nu v \mu a \sigma \tau a ́ \nu . ~$
 Kávтa入lav фı入é $\omega$,

Erp. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.
ẹ́к $\theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu$ үà $\mu a \chi \alpha \nu a i ̀ \pi a ̂ \sigma a!~ B \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ a \iota \varsigma ~ a ̉ \rho є \tau a i ̂ \varsigma, ~$
 ä $\nu \delta \rho a \delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ кє!̂ขov

 $\lambda a ́ \mu a ̨$ ठové $\omega \nu$,



'Avt. $\gamma$.
ทु $\kappa \in \nu$ ả $\mu \nu a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$, oĩaıs ẹ̀ $\nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о \iota \sigma \iota \mu a ́ \chi a \iota \varsigma$
 $\mu a \iota s ~ т!\mu a ́ \nu$,

9
 тạo ঠікау є́фє́ $\pi \omega \nu$




100
' $\mathrm{E} \pi \cdot \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.

 ois,




 ขıкафорі́a тате́роя, 116

$\Sigma \tau \rho .8$.



 Aīıııô



'Аут. 8 '.

 $\dot{a}^{2} \nu \rho \varphi \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$.

 є่s $\dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \chi i a \nu$.

135



'Е $\pi .8$.






 $\Delta \epsilon \iota \nu о \mu e ́ v e \varrho s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda e ́ \sigma a \imath \varsigma$,

$\Sigma \tau \rho . ~ \in$.

 ко́роя à $\mu \beta \lambda \dot{\text { úvé }}$
aỉavท̀s таХєias ệ $\lambda \pi i \delta a s$.
 є่ $\pi^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \lambda$ дттріоьs.







 батávaıs.



'Er. $\mathbf{\epsilon}^{\prime}$.

 á $\rho \in \tau$ á.

є́ $\chi \theta \rho a ̀ ~ \Phi a ́ \lambda a \rho \iota \nu ~ к а т e ́ \chi \in \iota ~ \pi a \nu \tau a ̂ ~ ф a ́ т \iota s, ~$

$\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \alpha ̀ \nu ~ \pi а i ́ \delta @ \nu ~ o ̉ a ́ \rho о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \delta є ́ к о у т а \iota . ~$
190
 $\mu о і ̂ \rho ’$ - a’ $\mu ф о \tau є ́ \rho о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~$



HEAD OF NIKE
Demareteion of Gelon I., B.C. $\mathbf{4 0}^{80}$

victorious quadriga. Coin of Syracuse.

## ITOIONIKAI B＇

## IEP $\Omega$ NI $\Sigma$ YPAKO $\Sigma I \Omega$ 九 <br> APMATI．

$$
\Sigma_{r \rho} \cdot a^{\circ}
$$


 và трофоi，





入ovs．
＇Avt．$a^{\prime}$ ．

 ӧтау סíфроу

Strophar．

 －＾1
II．$>:$ ひー
厄．


I． 43.343.
II． $6.84,5.6 .34$.
III． 333.





 'А $\pi$ ó̀ $\lambda \omega \nu$,

$$
\text { ' } \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime} .
$$




35



$\lambda \epsilon \in ย є \iota \nu$ ย่ $\nu \pi \tau \epsilon \rho$ о́є $\nu \tau!\tau \rho \circ \chi \hat{\omega}$
таขтă кu入ıvסó $\mu \in \nu o v$.

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.

 $\mu$ е́vaıs фрабìv
"Hpas öт' є́ $\rho a ́ \sigma \sigma a \tau о, ~ \tau a ̀ \nu ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~ \epsilon v ̉ v a!~ \lambda a ́ \chi o \nu ~$
50

Epodi.

I. 44. II. 33. III. 4 3.433. IV. 42.242. V. 32.3. VI. 424.




 тоîs.
'Avt. $\beta^{\prime}$.

 ópầ $\mu$ é $\tau \rho o v$.
 65






' $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi$. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ '.



 $\theta \in \omega ิ \nu \nu o ́ \mu o \iota$.




 т ${ }^{\text {ós. }}$
$\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$.

 $\mu$ еіßетає





 ăрІбтоу.
'Avr. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.

 тov̂. $\epsilon i ̉$ סé $\tau \iota S$

110




 єu์peîv,
 $\pi \epsilon \zeta о \mu a ́ \chi a \iota \sigma \iota \cdot \beta o v \lambda a i ̀$ ठè $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$

 є́ $\mu \pi$ oдà̀ $\nu$




130
 aici

 évסoӨev,





 $\stackrel{a}{a} \lambda \mu a s$.
'Аит. 8 '.




 $\mu a i$,
85 ä $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ äd $\lambda \lambda o \tau \epsilon \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ó óoịs $\sigma \kappa o \lambda c a i ̂ s . ~$


 є́ $\rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$,
' $\mathrm{E} \pi .8$ '.







$95 \lambda а к т!\zeta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \in \in \theta \epsilon \iota$
 $\lambda$ eîv.

## mreionikai r．

## IEP $\Omega \mathrm{NI} \mathrm{\Sigma YPAKO} \mathrm{\Sigma I} \mathrm{\Omega} \mathrm{\iota}$ <br> KEAHTI．


 Fє́тоя，

 Па入iov Фท̂p’ ä’ро́тєроv，



＇Avr．a＇．

 бヒ́o兀s

Strophaf．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - ! - - - 1ー~ー 1 - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - - 1- - }
\end{aligned}
$$


 ．．．｜～ーい1－－1レー 1－－ 1
I．5．54．3．542．II． $232 . \quad$ III．54．5．

 $\chi$ о́خos $\delta^{\prime}$ ои̉к ạ̀ $\lambda$（ $\theta$ וos

20

 тat ós，

15 каì ф́́ $\rho о \iota \sigma a ~ \sigma \pi$ éf $\rho \mu a \operatorname{\theta \epsilon ô̂~\kappa a\theta a\rho óv.~}$









 ६ॄ́ยov

EPODI．

$$
\text { I. 6.822.52. II.23.322. III.52.33.25. IV. } 222 .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I. ᄂー } 1 \text {-ー |ーーートーーー| }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11. レー } 1-\geq 1-ー-1-レ ー \mid-ー!~
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \rho о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ à $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ 'Аркабіая. 45
 ặ $\iota \epsilon \nu \nu$ vaộ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu ̀ s$

 $\tau \hat{e} \nu \iota \nu$

'Avr. $\beta^{\prime}$ '
 56
 $\mu$ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \iota$




60

 évòs
$\sigma \pi e ̣ ́ p \mu a \tau o s ~ e ̇ \nu \theta o \rho o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ i \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ v̌ $\lambda a \nu$.









$\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$.



95

 ả入入oí๗ข ả $\chi \epsilon \omega \nu$



$a ̣ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon ́ p \delta \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa a i ̣ ~ \sigma о ф i a ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \delta ́ \epsilon \tau a \iota$.
'Avt. $\gamma$ '.
 фaveis


 100

 фрабі i ,

$\mu \eta$ ', $\phi i \lambda a \psi \nu \chi a ́, \beta i ́ o \nu$ à $\theta a ́ v a \tau o \nu$
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \epsilon, \tau a ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є้ $\mu \pi \rho a \kappa \tau о \nu$ ă $\nu \tau \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu a \chi a \nu a ́ v$.


 115




$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta$.
70 ộऽ $\Sigma \nu \rho а \kappa o ́ \sigma \sigma a \iota \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$ ßaनı $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\iota} \varsigma$
 $\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$.

 $\Pi \varphi \theta i \omega \nu$ aĭ $\gamma \lambda a \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu 0 \iota \varsigma$,



'Avt. 8 '

 тоута! $\theta$ аца
$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̀ \nu \nu$ Өєòv є̇vvú $\chi$ เal.





'Ел. 8 .

150









Erp. $\epsilon^{\prime}$. 165
каì Өєоі סаїбауто тар’ ả $\mu \phi о т$ ́́poıs,


95 סékạvto - $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \chi a ́ \rho ı \nu ~$



 татท̀р

'Аעт. é.

 $\lambda \iota \pi \omega \nu$
ฌึрбẹv тирі̀ каוо́ $\mu \in \nu o s$
 óठóv, хрท̀ тро̀ऽ $\mu а к а ́ \rho \omega \nu ~$ 185





ठаíرоv’ ảбкท́бю кат’ є’ $\mu a ̀ \nu$ Өєратєv́шע $\mu a \chi a \nu a ́ \nu$.








THE MOTHEX OF THE GODS. Coin of Smyrna.

## ITreIONIKAI $\Delta^{\circ}$ ．

## APKEEIAAıKYPHNAI』॰ APMATI．


 ＇Аркєбі $\lambda$ а，


Itp．$a^{\circ}$ ．





＇Avt．$a^{\prime}$ ．


## Strophat．


 ころ1－－｜ᄂー｜－－ 1
I． 5.65 .54 ．
II． 42.44
III．4．4．4．4．
 そацєขウ̀s
 $\epsilon!\tau \pi \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ oข̃ $\tau \omega \varsigma$


 $\rho a \nu$

25

$\Delta$ !òs év" ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \omega \nu$ ọ $\theta \epsilon \mu$ é $\theta \lambda o \iota s . ~$
' $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.
 Өoás,

30
 $\kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu o s ~ o ้ \rho \nu \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̣ ่ \kappa \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon!~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a ̂ \nu ~ \pi o \lambda i \omega \nu$
 $\pi \rho o \chi o a i ̂ s$

$\xi \in i ̣ \nu a \pi \rho \propto ́ \rho a \theta \in \nu$ Еvैфано؟ катаßàs
 ßроутáv.

Epodi.

I. 252 II. 323 . III. 43.243. IV. 42.42. V. 252.

Srp. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}:$

 ठєка $\delta$ ѐ $\pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о \nu$






'Ayr. $\beta^{\prime}$.

 a่ $\phi \theta$ lтov 'Eขvoбí $\delta a$
 ápoúpas

60





' $\boldsymbol{\pi} . \boldsymbol{\beta}$ '.
 $\theta a \mu \grave{a}$
 фрéves.

 $\pi \dot{a} \rho \chi$ Өóvıov


 ö $\chi$ Oas.




85
 М $ш \kappa \eta \nu a ̂ \nu . ~$



 Фоịßos ả $\mu \nu a ́ \sigma \epsilon!~ \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \sigma \sigma \iota \nu ~$
'Avt. $y$ '.

 K poví $\delta$ a.
 $\sigma \omega \pi \hat{q}$

100


 $\lambda a ́ \delta \varphi$.


 $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$.


 ย̈торєи


 $\tau \in \varepsilon \theta \in \nu$.
$70 \tau i ̣ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a ̀ ~ \delta e ́ \xi a \tau o ~ \nu a v \tau ı \lambda i ́ a s ; ~$
$\tau!̣ \varsigma ~ \delta є ̀ ~ к i ́ \nu \delta v \nu o s ~ к \rho а т є \rho o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \delta a ́ \mu a \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta \eta ̂ \sigma \epsilon \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda о \iota s ; ~ \theta e ́ . ~$ $\sigma \phi a \tau o \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ Пе $\lambda i ́ a \nu$ 125
 ттоцs．
 180

75 тòv $\mu о \nu о \kappa р \eta ́ \pi!\delta a \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ èv фขخакâ $\sigma \chi \in \theta$ є́ $\mu \epsilon \nu \mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda a$,
 135

＇Avt．8＇．

 ảんфо́тєро́ข $\nu \iota \nu$ eै $\chi \in \nu$ ，



 бфєтє́рая


＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi .8$ ．
 тó $\delta \epsilon$.
 то́б七я

 Fáva豸．




 тádà Пєлias
 $\pi \epsilon \in \delta \iota \lambda o \nu$


 mo入ıâs


'Avr.é.



 Opé $\Psi a \nu$ áyvaí.




A !ó刀ф каі $\pi a!\sigma \ell, \tau \iota \mu a ́ v$.
 $\phi \rho a \sigma i v$


 pòv


 §ஸิкаข.

ITp. $s^{\circ}$.





 $\rho \omega \nu$.



'Avr. s'.


 Mé $\lambda a \mu \pi$ тоя
 225




 ảvท̀ $\rho$
${ }^{235}$
 к入ıбıâv

 ข่таутiaбєข



#   



 $\pi \rho o े \varsigma$ ё $\pi \iota \beta \delta a y$ or $\mu \omega \varsigma$.
 $\lambda o!\pi \grave{\nu} \nu$ oै $\lambda \beta o \nu$.





ov̉ $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon, \nu \varphi ิ \nu \chi$ Х
'Аит. ک'.


 $\dot{a} \pi$ rópars $1-$. Thet ${ }_{265}$


 $\theta$ eîios


'Ел. $\zeta$.



 $\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \phi \in \lambda \in i ̂ \nu$


 $\sigma a \omega ́ \theta \eta$




 $\pi q \mu \pi a ́ v$. sea quest






 äканаутонáха!
 ì $\psi \stackrel{\text { xaita }}{ }$





 тóvอy,
 тахє́єs

 à $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$
 $\nu$ м̂та тєф
 $\Sigma \varepsilon p . \theta^{\prime}$ ．


є́ті̀ каì $\theta a \nu a ́ t \varphi$
 äd ${ }^{\text {ands．}}$





＇Аут．$\theta$＇．

 каi ఱккито́роиs
 $\kappa \in \lambda \in ⿱ 亠 乂 刂$ Òvя



$\mu \in \nu a \underline{\text { и }}$ ．


＇Er． $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}$ ．




PYTHIA IV.
 $\mu_{\mathcal{E}} \log ^{\circ}$,




ẹ่кфиүє тó $\tau \epsilon \kappa \rho a \iota \pi \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$
 кеịvog aủzaîs'






 oodòy Aízovídav.
 aủtà $\dot{\nu}$





' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \quad i$.
廿qтo.
 тupós,

 каs évтavúvaયs





 $\pi i \sigma \nu \nu o s$
 €่ $\phi \in \tau \mu a \hat{\text { îs. }}$






'Avt. ta'.
425

xious te hóyous
 $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho{ }_{0} y$


 $\gamma \in \nu \cup \omega y$,


6s
 ка!́ тıva
 pols

 фóvov．


 $\dot{a} \mu \phi i \underline{\underline{s}}$,


то $\mu$ оьिídiov
 خoumòy aici





＇Avr．隹＇．



 є $\mathrm{l} \delta \mathrm{os}$ ．






ITYOIONIKAI $\Delta^{\prime}$.
 $\phi \iota \pi 0 \lambda \in \hat{\imath} \nu$.

 mivas


 $\stackrel{\circ}{\pi} \pi a \sigma a \nu$.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \iota y^{\prime}$.

 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota ~ \pi a \nu \tau i ~ \phi e ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 Kupáva





'Ayr. cy'.

oưסย̀ $\mu a \kappa v ́ v \omega \nu ~ \tau e ́ \lambda o s ~ o v ̉ \delta e ́ v . ~ o ́ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \kappa a \iota \rho o ̣ ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega}$ -
$\pi \omega \nu \beta \rho a \chi$ ن̀ $\mu$ є́троу є้ $\chi є$ є.

фаутi $\delta{ }^{\prime}$ еै $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu$
510



 $\mu \in \tau a \beta o \lambda a i ~ \lambda \eta$ そ́gąvтos oưpou
 тотє̀


 $\mu \in \nu$,
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu$. 630

 $\theta \in i ́ s$.


Coin of Kyrene.

## mreionikat e

## APKEEIAA८ KYPHNAI $\Omega$ と

## APMATI．

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot a$


 то入ứфі入ov éт $\pi$ étav．

$\sigma v ́ ~ \tau o!̣!~ \nu!̣ ̀ ~ \kappa \lambda \nu \tau a ̂ s ~$
aị̣̂ขos $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho a ̣ ̂ \nu ~ \beta a \theta \mu i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ a ̆ \pi т o ~$




＇Avt．$a^{\prime}$ ．
бофоì $\delta$ é тоц кá $\lambda \lambda \iota о \nu$ 15


## Strophae．





20

ò $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ s ~ a i \delta o!o ́ т a t o \nu ~ \gamma e ́ p a s, ~$




${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.




ôs oử $\tau \grave{a} \nu$ ’ $\mathrm{E} \pi \iota \mu a \theta$ ẹ́os ä ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$
ơ $\psi \iota \nu o ́ o v ~ \theta y \gamma a \tau \in ́ \rho a ~ \Pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu ~ B a \tau \tau \iota \delta a ̂ \nu ~$

30 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ á $\rho \iota \sigma \theta$ ád $\rho \mu a \tau о \nu$
 ко́лаия
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.
ảкฑрáтoıs ạviàs

Epodi.

I. 3 8.33 3.83. II. 6.5.25.6.4. III. 42.
 35 о́то́́ба Хєрıарầ

Kpıбaịò 入ó申ov





'Аит. $\beta^{\prime}$.



${ }^{6} 0$

ка!̣̀ $\pi \epsilon \delta \grave{a ̀ ~} \mu$ є́ $\gamma a ̣ \nu ~ \kappa a ́ \mu а т о \nu ~$
$\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi \nu \phi є \rho \tau а ́ т \omega \nu$
$\mu \nu a \mu \hat{\eta} o \nu$. Є̇̀ $\tau \in \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \rho a ́ \kappa о \nu \tau a ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$
6



àệ $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ ка!̣ $\pi a \tau \rho \omega i ́ a \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
70
' $\quad \pi$. $\beta$ '.

 $\nu$ ย́ $\mu \omega \nu$,





${ }_{80}$
$\theta \hat{p} \rho a s$ aivệ фóßب̣,

$\delta$ каị $\beta a \rho \epsilon\lfloor\hat{a ̂ \nu} \nu$ עó $\sigma \omega$



ȩ̣ тоатíias єưvouiav，



 96
 ảmọ̀ $\Sigma \pi a ́ \rho \tau a ̣ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \eta ́ \rho a \tau o \nu ~ \kappa \lambda e ́ o \varsigma, ~$
＇A $\boldsymbol{r}$ ．$y^{\prime}$ ．



то入ứधvтov ${ }^{\text {épavov }}$

＂ $\mathrm{A} \pi{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{o ̣} \nu, \tau \in \hat{a}$,
105
$80 \mathrm{~K} a \rho \nu \eta \iota^{\prime}$, є̇ $\nu$ ба！тi $\sigma \in \beta i \zeta о \mu \in \nu$
Kvpávas ảүактıнévà тó $\iota \iota \nu$ ． є้ $\chi \frac{\nu \tau \iota ~ т a ̀ \nu ~ \chi а \lambda к о \chi а ́ \rho \mu a!~ \xi є ́ \nu o \iota ~}{\text { п }}$




то⿱宀̀s＇A




е้̣ ецеу іттто́кротоу
 $\theta a \nu \omega ́ v$.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.



ßаб！$\lambda \epsilon \in є$ iєроі̀

ठро́ $\sigma \underset{\sim}{\mu} \lambda \theta a \kappa \hat{a}$


$\sigma \phi o ̀ \nu$ ö̀ $\lambda \beta$ v vị̂ $\tau \epsilon \kappa о \iota \nu a ̣ ̀ \nu ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~$


＇Аעт． $\mathbf{8 '}^{\prime}$ ．
105 e้ $\chi \frac{\square \tau \tau}{} \Pi v \theta \omega \nu o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$
тò кạ入入ívıко̣ $\lambda$ ттท́pıọ $\delta a \pi a \nu a ̂ \nu$


кре́எбоуа $\mu$ ย̀v ạ̀ $\lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a s$
$110 \nu$ ขóv фє́pßетає
$\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \cdot \theta a ́ \rho \sigma o \varsigma ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \tau а \nu u ́ \pi т є \rho о \varsigma ~$
 180


$115 \pi$ т́фàтаí $\theta^{\prime}$ áp $\rho a \tau \eta \lambda a ́ \tau a s ~ \sigma o ф o ́ s . ~$
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi .8$ ．

тєто̣ддакє．$\theta \epsilon o ́ s ~ т є ́ ~ F o!~ т o ̀ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ т \epsilon ~ \pi \rho о ́ ф \rho @ \nu ~ т \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~$ סv่vaбıv，
каì тò 入oıтòv ó ноîa，Кроуíסає на́карея，



 $\delta a i \mu o \nu{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$.
 みย́vé.


LION AND SILPHION STALK.
Coin of Kyrene.

## ITYOIONIKAI $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$.

## ЕENOKPATEI AKPAГANTINS\&\&

## APMATI.

äpoypà ที Xapícov
غ่ $\tau 0 i ̂ \mu 0 \varsigma \stackrel{v}{\nu} \mu \nu \omega \nu$

Erp. $a^{\text {a }}$.




$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho . \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$.

> Strophaz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { III. > : - - } 1 \sim \sim|ட|-ヘ 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I. 6.3.6.5. II. 34.34.2. III. 4.24. }
\end{aligned}
$$



$\lambda o ́ \gamma o!\sigma \iota ~ \theta \nu a \tau \omega ิ \nu$

Kрıбaịaıs є̇vị $\pi \tau \cup \chi a i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi a y \gamma \epsilon \lambda \in \hat{i}$.

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma \pi \rho} \cdot y^{\prime} .
$$






 ${ }_{25}{ }^{2} \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.


$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\circ}$.
 ขóท̣а тоиิто фє́ршข,


Mép

крата!ò̀ ë $\gamma \chi$ os.




$\pi \rho i ́ a \tau o ~ \mu e ̣ ̀ \nu ~ \theta a v a ́ т o \iota o ~ \kappa о \mu ı \delta \partial ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s, ~$



Stр. $\epsilon_{1}$

тà $\mu$ èv тарікєє.
 $45 \pi a \tau \rho ฏ \dot{ঐ} a \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \theta \mu a \nu$ eै $\beta a$,
$\Sigma \tau \rho . s^{\prime}$.




 $\mu a ́ \lambda a ~ F a \delta o ́ v \tau \iota ~ \nu o ́ \varphi, ~ \Pi o \sigma \epsilon!\delta a ̂ \nu, \pi \rho о \sigma \in ́ \chi є \tau а \iota$. $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda \nu \kappa \in i ̣ a ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \phi \rho \eta े \nu ~$ $\kappa а і$ $\sigma \cup \mu \pi$ о́таєбєข ó $\mu \iota \lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{\iota} \nu$



## IIreIONIKAI z＇．

## MEГAK $\operatorname{MEI}$ A日HNAl』॰

## TEӨРIII』．

Ká $\lambda \lambda!\sigma \tau o v ~ a i ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda_{0} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' A \theta a ̂ v a \iota ~$





＇Avr．
$\pi a ́ \sigma a \iota \sigma \iota$ үà $\rho \pi o \lambda$ lí $\sigma \iota ~ \lambda o ́ y o s ~ o ́ \mu ı \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$

10

 $\Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ ' О \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a ́ s$ ， $\delta \dot{v} o ̣ \delta^{\prime}$ àmò Kíppas，

Strophar．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >!-\smile|ட| \rightarrow|\sim \sim| ட|-ヘ|
\end{aligned}
$$

II．$\geq$ こーへー
I． 6.28 2．6．II． $44 . \quad$ III．3．3．



 $\theta a ́ \lambda \lambda о!\sigma a \nu ~ \epsilon v ̉ \delta a!\mu o \nu i ́ a \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a u . ~$

## Epodus.

> I. 3 3.44.3 3.
> L. 6.6 .


Coin of Athens.

## mreionikal H＇．

## APIETOMENEI AIFINHTHし

## ПAAAIETHц．

$\Phi!\lambda o ́ \phi \rho o v{ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{H} \sigma v \chi i ́ a, \Delta i \kappa a s$

ßou入ậ̀ тє каì $\pi о \lambda є ́ \mu \omega \nu$




＇Ayt．a＇．

кapoía ко́тоу évєдá $\eta$ ，
$10 \tau \rho a \chi \epsilon i ̣ a ~ \delta v \sigma \mu \in \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$





Strophaz．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { III. ~ー | ᄂ | - } \\
& \geq!--\mid \sim-1 \text { ᄂ }|-\cdot \geqslant|--|--|-\wedge! \\
& \geq!-\sim \mid-\geq 1--1--1-\wedge]
\end{aligned}
$$

I．4．4．II．3．23．III．43．43．8．

15 ßía ठє̀ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a v \chi o \nu$ eै $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ év $\chi \rho o ́ \nu \varphi$.





$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$


$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a i ̣ \sigma \iota \nu$ Aiaкı $\delta a ̂ \nu$




'Аขт. $\beta^{\prime}$.
 єị $\mu \lambda \delta^{\prime}$ ă $\sigma \chi \chi^{\circ} \lambda o s$ ả $\nu a \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \in \nu$
30 тâбà $\mu а к р а \gamma о р і ́ a \nu ~$
$\lambda$ и́ра тє каi фөє̣́үнать $\mu a \lambda \theta а \kappa \hat{\iota}$,



' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.


EPODI.





Sevtépà ódò̀ 'E $\pi$ íyovol.
60

Фuầ тò $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a \hat{i} o \nu$ è $\pi \iota \pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$

68

$\nu \omega \mu \varrho ิ ้ \tau a \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu$ ẹ̀ K Ká $\delta \mu o v \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota \varsigma$.
'Aur. $y^{\prime}$.

ขụ̀ ảpєíovos èvé $\chi \in \tau a \iota$
70




25

'En. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.


 80

 85

8tp. 8 .
тบ̀ $\delta^{\prime}$, écатаßó̀ $\lambda \in, \pi d \nu \delta о к о у$ $\nu a \grave{\nu}$ є ̇̉к $\lambda \in ́ a$ Sıavé $\mu \omega \nu$

90



$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \in \theta \lambda i ́ o v ~ \sigma \grave{v} \nu$ є́opтaîs $\dot{v} \mu a i ̂ s ~ e ̀ m a ́ \gamma a y e s . ~$

'Avr. 8 '.
кãà тì áp $\mu$ оуlà $\beta \lambda e ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$

$70 \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \nu \dot{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \delta \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 100



'Еп. 8 .

тà $\delta$ ’ oưк є̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota ~ к є i ̂ \tau a \iota ~-~ \delta a i ́ \mu \varphi \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi a p l \sigma \chi \epsilon \ell, ~$
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Irp. $e^{\circ}$.

$\sigma \omega \mu a ́ т \in \sigma \sigma \iota$ кака̀ фроує́ตv,
тоîs oụ่тє עóбтоs ó $\mu \omega ิ$ s


















Coin of Aspendos.

## ITOIONIKAI $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\circ}$.

## TEAEEIKPATEI KYPHNAI $\Omega \iota$

 опаІтОДРОМ®.$\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{*}$.
'Е $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa a ́ \sigma \pi \iota \delta a ~ \Pi \nu \theta \iota о \nu і к а \nu ~}$ $\sigma \grave{\nu} \beta a \theta \nu \zeta \omega ́ \nu o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\gamma \gamma є ฺ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 5
 ^aтotoas





$\Delta a ́ \lambda \iota o \nu \xi \in i ̂ \nu o ̣ \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o \delta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
Strophate.

I. 1.222.4.
II. 3 3.56.3 3.
III. 8. 84.
IV. 4.


 єủ $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{i}$ ia．


סєútє

${ }^{3} \mathrm{E} \pi \cdot a^{\prime}$ ．




35







Epodi．
 ーーいース－
11．レー｜－ー リーーートーーー｜ーー｜レー｜ーズ
6.
111.

17．ーーー1ーーー 1－－ $\Longrightarrow 1 — 1---1-\sim-1-1$
1． 8.55.
II． 25 ，4． 25 ．
III． 23.234.
IV． 3.28
$\kappa$ кұє ע! $\downarrow$ 入є́оуті тот’ єบ̉рифарє́трая
ọß $\rho i \mu \varphi$ нои́và талаío!баע


 $\mu e \gamma a ́ \lambda a \nu ~ \delta v ́ v a \sigma \iota \nu$
 $\mu$ н́ $\chi$ Өov каӨи́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon a ̂ \nu \iota \varsigma$
35 ग̉тор ё $\chi о \iota \sigma a \cdot \phi o ́ \beta \varphi \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ кє $\chi є i \mu a \nu \tau a!\phi \rho \in ́ \nu a \varsigma$.


Ayr. $\beta^{\prime}$.




 ọфрv́ı, $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu$ є́à $\nu$




' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta$.
 76
 $\delta^{\prime}$, ó $\pi$ ó $\theta \in \nu, ~ ү \in \nu є a ̀ \nu ~$

80





ế $\sigma \sigma \in \tau a l$, єv̉ кaӨopąs.

Irp. $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime}$.








$\pi \rho o ́ \phi \rho \varphi \nu$ - iva Foı $\chi$ Өovòs aïav

100

'Ant. $\gamma$ '.




 $\tau \in ́ \nu \iota \nu \dot{a} \theta a ́ \nu a \tau o \nu$


'Аүрє́a каї Nó $\mu \iota o \nu$, тоịs $\delta$ ' 'Aрıбтаîò ка入єîv. 115


$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime} .
$$








 tal，
80 ка̣入入єчи́vаєкє та́тра

玉тр． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.
ápєтa！$\delta$ ’ aiẹ！$\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \pi о \lambda u ́ \mu v \theta o \iota . ~$
ßa！à $\delta$＇èv $\mu а к \rho о і ̈ \sigma \iota ~ \pi о \iota к i ̣ ~ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu ~$


 è $\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \in \phi a \lambda a ̀ \nu$

140




＇Avt． 8 ＇．
 èv $\mu o ́ v a \iota s ~ \omega ̀ \delta i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ ' А \lambda \kappa \mu \eta!\nu a$


 каі＇Іфıкле́a．


 160

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi$ ．$\varnothing$ ．






$105 \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a$ ขıка́ба้̣тá $\sigma є \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau a i ̂ S$
 тоע

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$.




180






'Аขт. є'.




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ a ̉ \mu a \rho ~ e ̀ \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$,




'Еп. $\epsilon$ '.



 130 äभфí Fo८ 廿av́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \in \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda o \iota s$.


 Síkov
$\phi$ v́ $\lambda \lambda$ ' є̌тє каi $\sigma \tau є \phi$ ávovs.
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A POLLON.
Coin of Krotoz.

## mreionikai 1.

## ІППОК

## haidi miaraoapomge．

Erp．$a^{\prime}$ ．
${ }^{2}$ O$\lambda \beta$ ia $\Lambda а к є \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$.






＇Avt．$\alpha^{\prime}$ ．
भєย́єтаı $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ảé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ ．


 ópṿ่ขтos aṽ $\xi \in \tau a \iota$ ．



Strophar．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. }-\geq|~ ー| ட|-ヘ 1|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ~!~~|~~| - き|~~| ᄂ } 1-\wedge \text { | }
\end{aligned}
$$



$\omega: ~ \sim 1-\cup 1-\cdot \geq 1--1--1-\wedge 1$
I．4．44．6．
II． 353.
III．38．3s．

*Apeos öтлоוя.


 èv ọ́ $\mu$ épaıs àyạ̀vopa $\pi \lambda o v ̂ т o \nu ~ a ̣ ̀ \nu \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma ф i ́ \sigma \iota \nu . ~$

ETp. $\beta^{\prime}$.



 үìveтаь бофоîs,


'Avt. $\beta$ '.
$25 \kappa a i!\zeta \omega ́ \omega \nu$ ễ $\iota \nu \in a \rho o ̀ \nu$


 $\pi \rho$ òs ế $\chi \chi a t o \nu$



Epodi.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ~ \sim 1-\backsim 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I. } 24.2 \text {. } \\
& \text { II. } 34.5 .5 .43 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau^{\prime}$ є̀ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$,









 65

'Avт. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.
oǐкє́o兀б८ фијо́ขтє؟


 то!кіخор ка́ $\rho a$
 75
$\lambda i \theta \iota \nu o ̣ \nu$ Өávatov фé $\rho \omega \nu$. є̇ $\mu o!̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \theta a \nu \mu a ́ \sigma a \iota ~$
 $50 \stackrel{\leftrightarrows}{\leftrightarrows} \mu \mu \in \nu$ ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$.




$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.

 тє́poıs,

60 ét $ฺ$ ค́!
'Аут. 8 '.
 95


 $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$

100



'Еп. ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

 105 $\kappa a!$ ขóos ỏp月ós.


 110



MERTAKLESE:
Coin of Kamarina.
myeionikat iá.

## $\Theta P A \Sigma \Upsilon \Delta A I \Omega \iota \quad \Theta H B A I \Omega \iota$

## IIAIAI ETADIEI.

$\square$






'Avr. a'.






'Er. $a^{\prime}$.

STROPEAE.

$$
\geqslant!--1 \sim-1-\cdots \cdot|<1-\infty|-\infty \mid-\wedge 1
$$

$$
\text { I. } 44 \text { II. } 333.5 \text { III. } 34.34
$$

## EPODI.


I. 4. 4. II. 6.6.6.4.


15 èv ádфvєaîs ảpoúpaıб! Пv入áda

2rp. $\beta^{\prime}$.


ọто́тє $\Delta a \rho \delta a \nu$ ị́a ко́рау Приápov

 nopé
'Аvт. $\beta$ '.

 $\chi^{\text {ódov; }}$



 како入óyoı סѐ тодîtal.




$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.

 $\xi \in \in \nu=\nu$



'Avt. $\gamma^{\prime}$.






6
$\hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \rho i \begin{aligned} & \Pi \nu \theta о \nu i к ш\end{aligned}$
${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.





$\Sigma \tau \rho .8$.




 80
'Аขт. 8 ".






$$
90
$$


'Eл. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$.


 тò $\mu \in ̀ v ~ \pi a \rho ’$ ả $\mu a \rho$ é $\delta \rho a \iota \sigma!~ \Theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \nu a s, ~$ 95


## П】＇Ө｜ONIKAI 1B＇

## MI $\triangle \mathrm{A} \iota$ AKPAГANTIN $\Omega \iota$

## arahth．

$2 \tau \rho, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}$ ．











Strophak．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { II. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17. レー } 1-\cdot-1 \text { レー } 1 \text {-・ー } 1 \text { レー } 1--1
\end{aligned}
$$

I．38．38．II．34．83．34．III．34．32．IV． 222





$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.



 36




$\Sigma \tau \rho . \mathbf{z}^{\prime \prime}$.
 то! $\pi а \rho a ̀ ~ \kappa а \lambda \lambda \iota \chi o ́ \rho \varphi ~ \nu a i o!\sigma \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ Х а \rho i ́ т \omega \nu, ~$ 46

 50

 xpóvos




NOTES

NEE The abbreviations in the Notes are all, or nearly all, familiar-such as $\mathbf{O}$. = Olympian Odes, $\mathrm{P} .=$ Pythian or Pindar, $\mathrm{N} .=$ Nemean, $\mathrm{I} .=$ Isthmian. Once or twice A. is used for the Codex Ambrosianus, Schot. Germ. =Scholia Germani, Cod. Perus. =Codex Perusinus. The Nemean and Isthmian Odes and the Fragments are cited for convenience' sake according to the edition of Christ (Teubner).

## NOTES.

## OLYMPIA I.

Grracuse ${ }^{2}$ was founded by a colony of Dorians from Corinth, under the Herakleid Archias, in Ol. 11, 3 (734 b.c.). The first point settled was the island Ortygia (N. 1, 1: ä $\mu \pi \nu \epsilon v \mu a \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$
 dina, on the mainland, was afterwards united. The city grew until it embraced in its circuit five districts, each worthy to be called a city ; but even in the earlier time Pindar's address was no figure of speech, P. 2, 1: $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda$ отó入ıеs \& ミvpákoбаt.
Theconstitution of Syracuse, originally aristocratic, was changed into a tyrannis by Gelon, prince of Gela, who reconciled the factions of the city, Ol. 73, 4 ( 485 b.c.). After Gelon became lord of Syracuse, he made it his residence, enlarged it, built up Achradina, added Tyche, and what was afterwards called Neapolis. All this was not accomplished without high-handed measures, such as the transplanting of the populations of other cities. Gela lost half its inhabitants. Kamarina was razed to the ground, and the Kamarinaians transferred in a body to Syracuse (see O.4). Under Gelon's rule Syracuse became the chief city of Sicily, the tyrant of Syracuse one of the most important personages on Grecian soil. Applied to by the Greeks for aid, when the invasion of Xerxes was impending, Gelon offered two hundred triremes, twenty thousand men-at-arms, two thousand cavalry, two thousand archers, two thousand slingers, two thousand light troops, and provisions for the whole Greek army until the close of the war, on condition that he should have the command in chief

[^61](Herod. 7, 158). Soon after this offer was declined, Gelon was called on to help his father-in-law, Theron of Akragas, against the Carthaginians, who had espoused the cause of Terillos of Himera (see O. 12), and Anaxilas of Rhegion, son-in-law of Terillos.

The great battle of Himera, popularly put on the same day as the battle of Salamis-really fought somewhat earlier-ended in the signal defeat of the Carthaginians, who lost one hundred and fifty thousand men dead on the field. The Carthaginians sued for peace, which was granted on singularly easy terms; for the Carthaginians were backed by the Persian empire with its vast resources. The battle of Salamis had not yet shown the weakness of the Persian power; and, in fact, the immediate effect of that battle has been exaggerated. Persia lost little of her prestige until the close of the fifth century, and Persian gold was a potent element in Greek history far into the fourth.

The consequence of the victory at Himera was a vast accession of power and influence for Gelon. Anaxilas of Rhegion, and a number of Sicilian cities, recognized his supremacy. But in the midst of his plans and projects Gelon died of dropsy, O1. 75, 3 ( 478 в.c.). To his brother, Polyzelos, he left the command of the army, the guardianship of his minor son, and the hand of his widow, daughter of Theron. Hieron, the elder of the surviving brothers, who had been prince of Gela, succeeded to the government. Owing to the machinations of Hieron, Polyzelos was forced to take refuge with Theron of Akragas, who was at once his father-in-law and his son-in-law; and a war between Hieron and Theron was imminent, had not a reconciliation been effected by Simonides, the poet. Polyzelos was allowed to return to Syracuse, but Hieron was thenceforward sole ruler. In 477 the Epizephyrian Lokrians invoked the help of Hieron against Anaxilas of Rhegion; the prince sent his brother-inlaw, Chromios (see N. 1 and 9), to Anaxilas, and the lord of Rhegion held his hand. In 474 the inhabitants of Kyme (Cumae) were hard pressed by the Etruscans. Hieron immediately granted the desired aid, and defeated the Etruscans in a naval engagement off Cumae. A helmet with the inscription 'Iáp $\omega \nu$ ó $\Delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon$ '
 Olympia in 1817 (Hicks, No. 15). The year after-Ol. 76, 4 (473 B.c.) - Hieron defeated Thrasydaios, son of Theron, and Akragas and Himera both acknowledged his sway; but he granted them their independence and a democratic constitution.

To his success in war Hieron wished to add the heroic honors paid to the founder of a new city. This new city, Aitna, was founded, Ol. 76, 1 ( 476 в.c.), in the territory of Katana, the old inhabitants having been removed to Leontini. Ten thousand citizens were imported, half from Syracuse and Gela, the other half Peloponnesian immigrants. The constitution was Doric; and Hieron's son, Deinomenes, and his brother-in-law, Chromios, were put in charge. Hieron often called himself Airvaios (P. 1); Chromios followed his example (N. 1), and the founding of the city was celebrated by the "Aitnaian women" of Aischylos, and by Pindar's first Pythian.

The court of Hieron was a centre of literature and art. Epicharmos was a frequent guest. Aischylos, Simonides, Bakchylides, Pindar were among the visitors. No Doric prince ever reached such a height of glory. He was brilliantly successful at the great games: O1. 73 and 77, with the single horse; Ol. 78, with the chariot; Pyth. 26 and 27 , with the single horse; Pyth. 29, with the chariot, and again with mules. Successes elsewhere are not unlikely. He devised and performed liberal things. A special treasury was erected at Olympia for the Carthaginian booty, and the noble gift which he vowed to the Olympian Zeus was set up after his death by his son Deinome-nes-a bronze four-horse chariot and driver, the work of Onatas, on either side a horse with a boy rider by Kalamis.

As a Doric prince, Hieron has found as little favor with posterity as he did with his Athenian contemporary Themistokles. A tyrant, he helped the moralists to make the uneasiness of crowned heads still more uneasy. He became the type of splendid success and of splendid misery ; for he was tortured by bodily suffering, he was surrounded by sycophants and informers, and lived in an atmosphere of treachery and meanness. Those who see in Pindar's Hieronic odes sermons levelled at the unfortunate prince will be inclined to despise the greatest ruler of his day. A more humane judgment will recognize high qualities impaired by the faults that were engendered and exaggerated by the tyrannis.

Hieron died Ol. 78, 2 (467 B.c.), at Aitna, and upon his death received heroic honors.

The first Olympian celebrates the victory gained by Hieron, OL. 77 ( 472 s.c.), with his race-horse Pherenikos. He was then
at the height of his power and glory. Some put the ode four years earlier, Ol. 76 ( 476 в.c.).

The theme of the poem is given in $\nabla .7, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu \pi i a s \dot{a} \gamma \omega ิ \nu a$ $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu a u ̋ \delta a ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$; and while every Olympian does honor to Olympia, this is the $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ тךえavyés, this is, as Lucian says (Gall. 7), тò кád $\lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ạ $\sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ á $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. It may have been put first, because it was the most beautiful; but it owes, in turn, no little of its celebrity to its position, for which it was commended by its myth as well as by its theme. The chariot-race of Pelops for Hippodameia was the true beginning of Olympian contests, and the Pelopion was the heart of Pisa. The Aiolian rhythms are bright and festal, and glitter as the language glitters. Pindar is consciously treading a lofty measure. "No better element than water," he says, "no brighter blaze than fire by night, no form of wealth that outdazzles gold, no light of heaven so luminous, so warming, as the sun, which dims the ether into voidness, no contest more noble than the Olympian, the source of highest songs to highest bards, chanting Zeus supreme in the palace of Sicily's chief lord, who plucks the loftiest fruits of emprise, who is decked with the sheen of the fairest flower of poesy. For him the noblest chords must be struck, the sweetest musings of the poet recalled, and the scene brought back when the steed Victor bore his lord to triumph (vv. 1-22). Forth shines his glory in the land which Lydian Pelops made his own, for Pelops, the favorite of the gods, has found his resting-place (v. 93) where Hieron, favorite of the gods, has won his victory. The fame of Hieron shines forth ( v .23 ) -the fame of the Olympiads looks forth (v. 94)-and the story of Pelops is encircled by a belt of glory."

In his version of the Pelops legend (vv. 25-96), Pindar contradicts the popular account: hence the elaborate caveat at the outset. To make the myth resplendent as his theme, he must remove the foulness of envious tongues. No cannibal feast was offered to the gods by Tantalos, none shared by them (v. 52). 'Tantalos's sin-the giving of the sacred nectar and ambrosia to his fellows-brought ceaseless woe on himself; but his son, though sent to earth again, was remembered by Poseidon, to whom he had been what Ganymede was afterwards to Zeus. The darkness of the fate of Tantalos ouly heightens the brilliancy of the fortunes of Lydian Pelops.

The story told, the tone is sensibly lowered. An Olympian victory is still sunshine for life, and Pindar avers that no prince
more deserving of what is noble-none of more powerful swayshall be set forth by his hymns; but there is the old moral that the present good is the highest, and the old restlessness of hope for a yet sweeter song, and a yet more glorious victory. And then, at the last, the poem rises to the beight at which it began. The Muse has her most powerful shaft in keeping for the poet's bow. The king, as king, whatever else others may attain, is at the summit of human fortune. Look no further. Prayer can only seek the keeping of this lofty height for king and bard alike (vv. 97-116).

The poem is an epitome of Pindar's manner-approach by overlapping parallels, the dexterous use of foils, implicit imagery. His moralizing is national. No Greek lets us off from that.
 rider-tune (inтei $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ о́ $\omega, \vee, \vee, 101$ ). On the reconciliation of this statement with v. $18, \Delta \omega \rho i a \nu$ фó $\rho \mu$ rya, see the passage.

Of the four triads, the first is taken up with the introduction, and the preparation of the myth; the second and third contain the myth; the fourth connects the myth with the conclusion.


 tenet is involved, as is shown by the parallel passage, $\mathbf{0} .3,42$ :
 poet emphasizes, after the Greek fashion, water as the source and sustenance of life. The copula $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma t i, \epsilon i \sigma i$ is rare in P . This first sentence is characteristic of P.'s advance by a series of steps. "Water," "gold," "sun" are only for the enhancement of the Olympic games, Much in P . is merely foil.- $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ : The article is still largely deictic in P. Notice the rhythm, which is an important guide. ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, "but there is another-gold-a blazing fire like it loometh-a night fire far above all proud wealth."- $\pi \hat{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{p}$ is brought into close relation with vukri by its position.-2. vurvi: The local-temporal dative. Below év d $\mu$ épa.- $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}{ }^{2} v o p o s: ~ P .10$,
 is well authenticated in several Pindaric passages.- 5 . $\mu \eta \kappa \dot{e} \tau(\imath)$ : More vivid than $\mu$ ' (Herm.). Look for no other light, now that the sun has risen.- $\theta a \lambda \pi v \delta^{\tau} \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$. . . фaevvóv: P. delights in double epithets, vv. 10, 59; 0.2,60.90.-6. ìv ápépq̣ фarvóv: suggested by $\pi \hat{\nu} \rho \nu \nu \kappa \tau i .-i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a s:$ Not otiose. There are no rivals;

 $\mathbf{\delta r}^{\prime}$ altépos：Note P．＇s peculiarly plastic use of the prepositions．－7． avi\＆ácouev：There is no good reason for denying to P ．the so－ called short subj．，as here and $0.7,3$ ．The imper．fut．with $\mu \eta$ ， which so many commentators accept here，has little warrant any－ where．In So．Ai． 572 ，still cited in some hooks， Ón oova $^{\text {depends }}$ on $\delta \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．See note on $0.6,24$ ．I． $7(8), 8, \delta a \mu \omega \sigma о ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ was under－ stood by the Schol，as subj．，and $8 \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \in r a t$ in a generic sense－Fr．X．
 Variously rendered．P．＇s usage（see $0.2,98 ; 9,5 ; 13,93$ al．）indi－ cates a shower of poetic $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$ or $\kappa \hat{\eta} \lambda a$ whirring about the minds of

 are called é $\pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ тéктоעєs，P．3，113．－ке入aбєiv：Favorite word with P．，who has ennobled it．＂Sound forth，＂＂praise．＂The inf．in its old final sense．－10．Kpóvou $\pi a i ̂(a)$ ：There is always a certain stateliness in genealogy．The adj．is still statelier than the gen． Cf．O．2，13：ả入入’ ¿ Kpóviє $\pi$ ai＇Péas．There is good reason for the specially common mention of Kronos in the Olympians．See v ． 111．－ds d́фveàv．．．Mákaıpav：See v．6．Comp．P．5，11：teà v

 v．I．iконévots is not to be considered．Cf．I． 5 （6）， 21 ：тé $\theta \mu$ tóv $\mu$ o七

 dicial sceptre．＂－8s：For position，comp．O．2，9．－толvцд́дч＝ $\pi о \lambda v к a ́ \rho \pi \varphi$ ：The Schol．Germ．cite II．9，542，in which $\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ is ＂fruit．＂Strabo，6，273，puts oi картоi in the first line for Sicily． Others $\pi \circ \lambda \nu \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega$ ，＂rich in flocks．＂Demeter is $\mu \alpha \lambda о \phi o ́ \rho o s$, Paus．
 $49 ; 4,130 ; 6,48$ ．The $\delta \rho$ étavov is a woodman＇s bill，Lycurg． 86 ．

 brings out the nearer image in bolder relief．Special reason is discernible also in P．3，53．When there is no $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ the change is easier，I． 3 （4），12．－15．dv d́útẹ：P．uses év with plastic vividness． Comp．N． 3,32 ：＇̇̀ ápєтaís $\gamma^{\epsilon ́ \gamma \eta} \theta \epsilon$ ，as in Latin sometimes gaudere in．－16．ola：Not to be roughly explained as＝ört touav̂ra．It is the exclamatory relative from which the causal sense can be
picked out．＂Such are the plays we play．＂Comp．P．1，73；2，
 tres，as is shown by v．103，Aion $\eta_{i} \delta_{\imath} \iota \mu_{0} \lambda \pi a ̣$ ．Hieron is a Doric prince；the $\phi \dot{\rho} \rho \mu \iota \gamma \xi$ may well be a Doric instrument．O．3，5： $\Delta \omega \rho i \varphi \pi \epsilon \delta \lambda \lambda \omega$ does refer to the measure；but $\pi \epsilon \in \delta \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is not $\phi o ́ \rho-$ $\mu<y \xi$ ，and at the worst the Aiolic melody may be considered as a subdivision of the Doric．See Aristot．Pol．4，3，where it is said that some recognize only two deproviau，the Dorian and the Phry－ gian．－18．$\lambda_{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \beta a v(\epsilon):$ Here the aor．might be expected，but the pres，shows that the action is watched．The poet addresses him－
 condition in adjurations．Cf．I． 5 （6），42．－Фepevinov：Name of Hieron＇s horse，＂Victor．＂In the form Beןєviк»（Macedonian），the name is familiar．The $\Phi$ ．of $\mathbf{P} .3$ was doubtless grandsire to this Ф．－re кaf：This combination is common in P．；the occurrence varies much in various authors．In P．it serves to unite comple－ ments，both opposites and similars．Here Híras，the scene，and $\Phi$ ．，Victor，make up the sum of the song．－Xápıs：Usu．rendered ＂beauty，＂＂charm．＂Why should it not be＂song，＂the grace of poetry，as below？Pindar had pledged himself to sing the victory；and，when the steed sped to the goal，the promised song made him feel the stir of sweetest cares．－19．ү入vкитéтaиs ．．．фpovtiovv：фроуrícs is used of the poet＇s musings．＂Brought me under the empire of sweet musings．＂－20．тap＂＇А入феч̂：тарá in prose，with gen．or dat．，is shrivelled into an exclusively per－ sonal preposition，like Fr．chez．It is freer and more original in Pindar，although＂in the domain of Alpheios＂would err only in suggesting too much．－©́feas：The living body，originally distinct from $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ．Used plastically as the Lat．corpus＝se．－
 Pindar，and must have its rights of contact．Here＂brought to victory＇s embrace．＂＂Wedded，＂＂clasped，＂＂embraced，＂＂en－ circled，＂will answer for many cases．With this passage comp．
 $\mu \xi \in$ тúxq．
＇Ет．ai．－23．iттоха́p $\mu a v:$ From $\chi$ áp $\mu a$ or $\chi$ áp $\mu \eta$ ？See P．2，2．— k $\lambda$＇os：Echoed，v．93．－24．ムvôov：The gold of v． 1 glitters in the rich adjective．－Пौлотоs ároukiq：Emphasizes the scene for the third time，and prepares the transition．－25．rov ：The story often begins with a relative．－26．\＆nel：＂Since＂（causal）．－
 $\lambda \epsilon \beta \eta s$ of the familiar story ( $O v$. Met. 6,407 ), which $P$. is at the pains of denying below. The abl. gen. is used below v.58. Later Greek meets poetry here.-K $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega 0 \dot{\omega}$ : Klotho, Lachesis, and Atropos, the three fates, are $\lambda$ ó $\chi$ ta $\theta \epsilon a i$, acc. to Euripides, I. T. 206.

 MSS. On the omission of égrí, see v. 1. - каí тоv́ tı каí: So
 - фátıs: The interpolated MSS. have ф $\rho$ évas, Christ suggests фpóviv. фáris cannot be acc. pl., and would not do us much good, if it were. We must connect closely, after the Pindaric

 lute, "mislead" = "are misleading." So кле́ $\pi \tau \epsilon \iota$, absol. N. 7, 23 ; cf. P. 2, 17. Notice the contrast between фátıs, the poetical story, and $\lambda$ óyos, the prosaic truth ; $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os has departed from its Homeric sense.-29. тoukidots: The etymology points to embroidery ( $\dot{\eta} \pi о \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \epsilon i \mu \omega \nu \nu \nu ̀ \xi ~ a ̀ \pi о к \rho u ́ \psi є \iota ~ ф a ́ o s) ~ a n d ~ e m b r o i d e r y ~ t o ~ f a l s e-~$ hood, as we have learned from Fr. broder, whereas $\dot{a} \pi \lambda o v s \delta^{\delta} \mu \hat{\nu} \theta o s$

$\Sigma_{\tau} \rho . \beta^{\prime} .-30$. Xápıs: The charm of poetry. Comp. O. 14, 5,





 So often in poetry. - ${ }^{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\phi}$ : A favorite preposition in P., esp. with dat., little used in prose. In the sense of this passage $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ is more commonly employed even in P.: $\dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\phi}$, being the narrower, is the more picturesque.-36. viè Tavтá入ov, $\sigma \grave{\varepsilon} \delta(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ : The effect of $\delta \varepsilon$ after the vocative is to give pause. It is not uncommon ir Pindar, and is used where rá would seem more natural, $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}=\delta \dot{\eta}$. Cf. O. 6,$12 ; 8,15 ;$ P. 10, 10 al.-नè . . . ф0'́y

 thee I will utter what wars with earlier bards."-37. $\delta \pi \delta \dot{\sigma}(\epsilon)$ : Where the simple örє might have been used. $0.9,104 ;$ P. 8, 41 al. The tendency of the compounds is to crowd out the simple
 likes to put the preposition between attribute and substantive or substantive and attribute．The article is added，as here，P．2，
 an anticipatory refutation of the yaбrрıцаруia．－38．Epavov：This word is selected to show the familiar footing of Tantalos．Nor is dinav $\Sigma(\pi r u \lambda o v$ idle．The adjective there also is intended to enhance the intimacy of the ároгaía סeîmra．－39．mapéxav ：P．no－ where uses the middle of this familiar verb．－40．＇A Y An original feminine，＂Bright－trident，＂then a surname；like ＂Bright－eyes＂（Jh．Schmidt）．The Greek cares little about pos－ sible ambiguity of accusatives before and after an infinitive．
 we can only say that his usage is not inconsistent with the tra－ ditional distinction．Of passionate desire＂${ }^{\mu} \in \rho$ os is used，O．3，

 here of the chariot．àvá is another Pindaric preposition that is very little used in prose，even with the acc．－42．$\mu$ етаßâal：De－


 to，but for．Ganymede，according to $\mathbf{B} 3 \mathrm{ck}^{2} \stackrel{1}{ }$ ，was considered by $\mathbf{P}$ ． to be the son of Laomedon，Pelops was a contemporary of Laome－ don，and so the chronology is saved，if it is worth saving．－$\tau \omega$ wit $\tau^{\prime}$ inì xpéos：＂For the same service．＂－46，رarpi：More tender than $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a . ~-~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu a t o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t: ~ " D e s p i t e ~ m a n y ~ a ~ s e a r c h . "-~$
 To be closely connected．The Schol．renders v̌íaros ảk $\mu a ́ \nu$ by vi $\delta \omega \rho$ áкцаíws 广є́ov．The position of the words shows impatience and horror．－49．$\mu$ ххаípq makes the butchery more vivid．－кат⿳亠

 P．8，85．The трánє̧at were arranged in two rows facing each other，each guest having a трáтє ̧a．＂They divided among themselves the flesh to the tables on both sides．＂－$\delta$ evirara：＂The last morsels，＂implying a cannibalic delicacy．－51．סued́áซavto： The finite verbs throughout force attention to the horrid details，

[^62]ates, P. 1, 34.-yaotpifapyov: "Cannibal" approaches the effect. -adorapar: Asyndeton is especially in place where repugnance
 Gnomic perfect. For the sentiment comp. P. 2,55. $\lambda a \gamma \chi$ áve has more commonly a person for a subject.-какауópos: Dor. for ка-
 for the $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, as who should say, á $\lambda \lambda \omega s \delta^{\prime} \eta \nu$, "but all in vain; for."-катат(ұaь . . . кópч: The same homely sphere of imagery as concoquere, "stomach." Nor is "brook" far off. So Il. 1, 81:

 form of a stone." - fot rarip: We could dispense with fot or
 ment, and the apposition makes it easier, äy going with Fot and

 gen., which is better than to make $\mu \in \nu o \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu$ "expecting," and
 a. with gen. as Eur. Tro. 640.
 -60. $\mu \in \tau$ c̀ $\tau \rho \iota \omega ̂ v: S u p p o s e d ~ t o ~ r e f e r ~ t o ~ t h e ~ t h r e e ~ g r e a t ~ s i n n e r s, ~$ Tityos, Sisyphos, and Ixion. Tityos is mentioned in Od. 11, 576, Tantalos in $\vee .582$, and Sisyphos, v. 593, and Ixion may have dropped out of the list. In any case, we are to understand with $\tau \rho t \omega ิ \nu$, not $\mathfrak{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, but $\pi \delta \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$, which, on the hypothesis mentioned, would refer to the punishments of Tityos, Sisyphos, and Ixion. If we analyze the woes of Tantalos, the stone, the hunger, and the thirst, we shall have three. What is the fourth? Is it the $\beta$ ios $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \delta \delta{ }_{\delta} \mu \circ \chi \theta o s$, the thought that nectar and ambrosia had made him immortal ( ${ }^{*} \phi \theta$ trov), or the remembrance of the nectareous and ambrosial life of the immortals, the "sorrow's crown of sorrow," or the reflection that his son had been banished
 tioned only for Pelops' sake, the last view gains probability.

 whole. $\tau \epsilon$, connecting single words, is chiefly poetic or late.64. $\begin{gathered}\text { ecgav: It is better to admit a tribrach than to accept the }\end{gathered}$ MS. $\theta$ é $\sigma \sigma a \nu$, or Mommsen's $\theta \in ́ \nu \nu \nu \nu$, although we miss an object. Hartung would read $\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta_{i}$ irovs $\theta \bar{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$, referring to the $\tilde{a}_{i} \lambda_{\text {ckes }} \sigma v \mu-$ пóral, but the point is the favor shown by the gods to Tantalos.

 v. 109; but $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi о \mu a t$ in the sense of "think," "suppose"-comp. spero -may take the present as it does repeatedly in Homer. 11. 9, 40; 13, 309. Mommsen reads $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda a \theta \epsilon \in \mu \in \nu$.-65. троฑ̂кav: $\pi \rho \sigma .$, "straight(forward)." - vidv . . . fot : The dat. shows how he felt it.-66. duv'́pwv: v. 36. - 67. тpòs cúávépov . . . фváv: Even in the three temporal passages, here, P. 9, 27, and N. 9, 44, $\pi \rho$ ós shows its
 not different from "they hound him hand and foot."- $\mu$ anav: "To blackness." Proleptic use, esp. common in tragic poets. So. Antig. 881; O. C. 1200 ; Eur. H. F. 641 : $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi$ áp $\omega \nu$ бкотєсуòv
 most equivalent to "tempting." àvєфрóvтiбєv, "woke to the desire of." Love is a фpoyris. Notice that this triad is welded together, and moves very fast, with stress on $\gamma$ á $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ( $\mathrm{v} .69,80$ ).
'Аขт. y'.-70. Пıбáта . . . татрós = Oì $=\mu a ́ o v, ~ v . ~ 76 . ~ O i n o m a o s, ~$ king of Pisa, had offered his daughter Hippodameia in marriage to any one who should overcome him in a chariot race. Fragments of the sculptures representing the $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ of Pelops, from the eastern pediment of the teemple of Zeus, have been unearthed at Olympia.-71. oxedifev: It is better to make the whole passage from Пıбáта . . . $\sigma \chi \epsilon \theta_{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu$ explanatory to yámov than to make $\gamma$ á $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ "bride," in apposition to "I $\pi \pi$ oóá $\mu \epsilon t a \nu . ~ \sigma \chi$. "to win."-oios $\mathrm{tv}^{2}$ б̈рфvq: Cf. P. 1, 28: év ö $\rho \phi \nu a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$. A similar scene, O. 6, 58, where Iamos invokes Poseidon by night. - \%2. ärvev: Loud call to the loud sea. $\eta \pi \dot{v} \epsilon t y$, of a cry that is intended to carry-" halloo."-74. $\pi \mathrm{a} \rho$ по8t: On $\pi a \rho a ́$, with dat., see v. 21.75. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{m}$ : Regular word to introduce the language of the speaker. Hence seldom with any other than the finite construction in the best period.- $\Phi i \lambda \iota a \quad \delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$ : Note the effective position and the shyness.- ès Xápıv тeג入erat: "Come up to favor" ="count aught in one's favor." Verg. Aen. 4, 317, cited by Dissen, is not so delicate : fuit aut tibi quicquam dulce meum.-76. สéĖacov. . . $\pi$ ópevorov . . . $\pi$ édagov: Neither the three aorists nor the three $\pi$ 's
 -79. Oinomaos was wont to transfix the suitors from behind.

[^63]of welding the parts of the triad，e．g．，O．2，105：Aripervos．O．6． $50:$ тarpós．O．9， $53: \nu \in \omega \tau$ ép $\omega \nu$ ．With the nominative the effect is startling．See P．11，22．－$\delta \boldsymbol{\mu}$＇yas ．．．$\lambda a \mu \beta$ áves：＂Great peril takes no coward wight．＂$\lambda$ ．，according to one Schol．＝катада ßávє九，＂takes possession of，＂＂inspires＂（cf．P．4，71：tis $\delta$ è кivóv－
 סé $є є т a t$ ，＂admits of，＂＂allows of，＂less vigorous．－ăvàkเv oủ
 prolonged ov，and ä้עa $\lambda \kappa \iota \nu$ is thought over again with $\phi \hat{\omega} \tau a$. ＂A coward－no！no coward wight．＂－82．olotv：Not to be dis－ sected into roútav oícuv．－tá：So Mommsen after good MSS． Doric for ti．－ảvผ́vupov ．．．$\mu$ átav：An impressive cumulation in which it must be remembered that кaӨńmevos means more than ＂sitting＂in English．It is＂sitting idle，useless．＂－83．＂世\％ot： ＂Nurse．＂－$\mu$ átav ：＂Aimlessly，＂＂and all to no good end．＂－－85．
 my stancl．＂＂This struggle shall be my business．＂－$\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \stackrel{s}{ }$ ： ＂Achievement，＂＂consummation，＂not yet colorless．－ $\mathbf{8}$（ 8 ot $=$ סioov：More solemn and impressive than the aorist with which
 A formal imperf．，but it has no clear imperfect force in P．－ むxpávrots：èmí in éфáquato eases the dat．，which P．however uses， as well as the gen．，with verbs of contact．Dat．P．8，60；N．8， 36 ；Gen．O．9，13；P．3，29．－áyá $\lambda \lambda \omega v$ ：＂Honoring，＂＂by way of
 The horses of Pelops on the chest of Kypselos were winged，Paus． $\mathbf{8 , 1 7}, 7$ ．$\pi$ r．instrumental rather than local．

ミтp．8＇．－88．İav ．．．oívevvov：Commonly set down as a zeug－ ma，yet hardly so to be considered．＂He overcame Oinomans， and the maid to be his bedfellow．＂$\tau \epsilon$ ，consequential．－Oivo ${ }^{\text {áov }}$阝lav：$\beta$ ．not otiose．－89．à téke：So the best MSS．ä short in Aiolic．тéкє $\tau \epsilon$ ，the reading of the inferior MSS．，would suggest a change of subject，not surprising in Greek，but clearly a metri－ cal correction．－dpetaio九 $\mu \epsilon \mu$ аóтаs：＂Forward in deeds of valor．＂ Not＂to deeds of valor，＂for which there is no warrant，as II．8， 327，and 22，326，have é $\pi i$ ．The Schol．，however，understands the passage as émı $\begin{gathered}\text { v }\end{gathered}$ giving $\mu є \mu a o ́ t a s$ the Pindaric construction of a verb of approach，
 P．does not personify a．The Scholiasts give the names of the six，
among whom figure Atreus and Thyestes. Pindar is supposed not to know the horrors of the house any more than Homer, but one cannibalic incident was enough for one poem, to say nothing
 ivayíj $\mu a \sigma t$. A Boentian word (Schol.). The yearly offering was the sacrifice of a black ram, Paus. 5, 13, 2.-91. $\mu$ é $\mu$ кктat: With e'v,


 $\mu \mathrm{ov}$. The tomb of Pelops was near the great altar of Zeus in

 $\pi \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ and èv $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o t s ~ \Pi e ́ \lambda o \pi o s . ~ T h e ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu o t ~ r e f e r s ~ n o t ~ t o ~ t h e ~ e x-~$ ploits of Pelops, but to the scene (ïva), where not only speed but strength is shown. - 94. 86ठopre : Perceptual perfect $=$ present.
 ...áкpal $\tau$ ' loxvos: The two great elements of speed and strength

 3,3 ). There is another division, $\pi$ óvos סanáva $\tau \epsilon$, with the same complementary $\tau \epsilon(0.5,15)$, the móvos for the feats of bodily strength ( $\theta \rho a \sigma$ úrovol), the סanáva for the horse-race (ঠanávą Xaî$\rho o \nu$ ï $\pi \pi \omega \nu$, I. 3,47 ). - dpiferat : The middle of reciprocal action, as if we had $\pi$ óốs taұєis épí̧oytat. Comp. I. 4 (5), 4 : каі̀ үàp

 licious," which we also extend beyond its proper sphere.
 "So far as sunshine is to be found in games." Religiose dictum (Dissen). Then follows a bit of cheerful philosophy.- $\delta \mathbf{\delta} 8^{\prime}$ ald ... ßporêv: "The highest boon is aye the blessing of the day." тò aíè тара́ $\mu \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda$ óv is not, as one of the old Scholia has it, rd
 sizes the supremeness of the day's blessing as it comes.-d $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{b}^{2}$ : A curious Boeotian form everywhere in Pindar.-100. navrl Bpo


 and his highest pleasure. - 101. $\mathfrak{i \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \boldsymbol { \epsilon } \boldsymbol { v } \boldsymbol { \mu } \boldsymbol { \sim } \text { : The rider-tune, }}$ rò Kactópєtoy (Castor gaudet equis), well suited to the achieve.

 ans were the great equestrians of Greece.-103. $\pi$ imot $\ldots$. . . $\mu$ r : Verbs of believing incline to the swearing negative $\mu \eta$ '. "I am confident," "I am ready to swear that."-104. á $\mu \phi$ '́тєрa: Adv.,
 $\mu \mathrm{ev}$ : Acc. to Mommsen, an old aor. inf., like $\mathfrak{a} \xi \in \notin \epsilon \nu$, Il. 24, 663. But even if this is granted, it does not affect the sphere of time, as an aorist inf., after such a verb as $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi o \iota \theta a$, may be thrown into the future. See note on $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi о \mu a \iota$, P. 1, 43. The compliment of a comparison with the past is not so great as with the future. The case $\mathrm{O} .2,102$ is different.- $\mathrm{v} \mu \nu \omega v$ mruxaîs: "Sinuous songs," the in and out of choral song and music and dance.-106. тeaiot

 of Hieron his own.- $\mu \mathfrak{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau a t:$ Might be used absol. "Is full of

 the dat.," is meditating the accomplishment of them." Schol. :

 nal wish element is plain in all or nearly all Pindar's ideal conditionsls. Subject of $\lambda i$ itot is $\theta \in$ ós, and $\lambda i$ inot is intr.-109. y $\lambda$ uкvтераv: Sc. $\mu \epsilon \rho!\mu \nu a \nu$, "a sweeter care," " a sweeter victory."-кєv . . . кdetfetv : $\kappa \in \nu$ with fut. inf. here, and only here, in P. Some of the Scholiasts use the aor. in the paraphrase. But it is better not to change. The construction is due to anacoluthia rather than to survival.

[^64]and comp. O. 13, 52 : пикуóтатоу та入áнаıs. So, too, the Schol. It is more vigorous to combine it with rןédet, as Böckh does. "Keeps in warlike plight."-трєфt: "Nurses," "keeps." т., a favorite word with Sophokles, and so perhaps ridiculed by Ar.
 it suggests the various altitudes of the great. - кopvфoûrac: "Heads itself," "caps itself." The topmost summit is for kings.

 matraivet. $\pi$., originally of a restless, uneasy search in every direction. In P. $\pi$ ántaly is little, if anything, more than $\sigma$ кóтє "Look no further." - 115. $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\pi}$ : Asyndeton in a prayer. The present is more solemn and less used in prose than yévoro. $\mathbf{P}$.
 the second person.-тoarábe: "All my days."-116. бофị = émi roфía. $\sigma$. is "poetic art." The tone is high enough, for $\mathbf{P}$. pairs himself with Hieron by the parallel $\tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon$, "as . . . so"
 assertion merely.

## OLYMPIA II.

Akragas (Agrigentum) was a daughter of Gela. Gela was founded, Ol. 22, 4 ( 689 в.c.), by a Rhodian colony; Akragas more than a hundred years afterwards, Ol. 49, 4 (581 b.c.). In Ol. 52,3 ( 570 в.c.) the notorious Phalaris made himself tyrant of the city, and, after a rule of sixteen years, was dethroned by Telemachos, the grandfather of Emmenes or Emmenides, who gave his name to the line, and became the father of Ainesidamos. Under the sons of Ainesidamos, Theron and Xenokrates, the name of the Emmenidai was brought to the height of its glory, and an alliance formed with the ruling house of Syracuse. Damareta, the daughter of Theron, married first Gelon, and, upon his death, Polyzelos, his brother. Theron married a daughter of Polyzelos, and, finally, Hieron married a daughter of Xenokrates.

The Emmenidai belonged to the ancient race of the Aigeidai, to which Pindar traced his origin, and claimed descent from Kadmos, through Polyneikes, who was the father of Thersandros by Argeia, daughter of Adrastos. Evidently a roving, and doubtless a quarrelsome, race, the descendants of Thersandros went successively to Sparta, to Thera, to Rhodes, and finally to Akragas. Such was the ancestry of Theron, who made himself master of Akragas by a trick, which he is said to have redeemed by a just, mild, and beneficent reign. Under his rule Akragas reached its highest eminence, and Theron's sway extended to the neighborhood of Himera and the Tyrrhenian sea. When he drove out Terillos, tyrant of Himera, and seized his throne, Terillos applied to his son-in-law, Anaxilas of Rhegion, for help, who, in his turn, invoked the aid of the Carthaginians. Thereupon Theron summoned to his assistance his son-in-law, Gelon, of Syracuse, and in the famous battle of Himera the Sicilian princes gained a brilliant victory. (See Introd. to Ol. 1.) The enormous booty was spent on the adornment of Syracuse and Akragas. Akragas became one of the most beautiful cities
of the worra, and the ruins of Girgenti are still among the most imposing remains of antiquity. A few years after the battle of Himera, Gelon died, Ol. 75, 3 ( 478 B.c.), and was succeeded by his brother Hieron in the rule of Syracuse. To the other brother, Polyzelos, were assigned the command of the army and the hand of Damareta, daughter of Theron, widow of Gelon, with the guardianship of Gelon's son; but the two brothers had not been on the best terms before, and Hieron took measures to get rid of Polyzelos, who was a popular prince. Polyzelos took refuge with Theron, who had married his daughter, and who in consequence of this double tie refused to give him up to Hieron. The Himeraians, oppressed by Theron's son Thrasydaios, made propositions to Hieron; two cousins of Theron, Kapys and Hippokrates, joined his enemies, and the armies of Hieron and Theron faced each other on the banks of the Gela. Thanks, however, to the good offices of the poet Simonides, peace was made; Polyzelos was suffered to return, and Hieron married the danghter of Xenokrates, brother of Theron, 'The rebellious spirits in Himera were quelled, and our just, mild, and beneficent prince, who was elevated to the rank of a hero after his death, so thimed the ranks of the citizens by executions that it was necessary to fill them up by foreigners. Kapys and Hippokrates having been put to flight, Theron sat firmly on his throne again, and, after putting to death all his enemies, had the great satisfaction of gaining an Olympian victory, Ol. 76 ( 476 b.c.), which Pindar celebrates in this ode and the following.

Theron died OI. 76,4 ; Xenokrates, his brother, who won two of the victories celebrated by Pindar (P. 6 and I. 2), died either before him or soon after. Thrasydaios, his son and successor, whose cruelty had roused the Himeraians to revolt, chastised the Agrigentines with scorpions, and attacked Hieron with 20,000 mercenaries. After his defeat, Akragas and Himera rose against him, and he fled to Megara, where he died, and the revolted cities became democracies. Thrasybulus, the son of Xenokrates, continued to live in Akragas, but the memory of Thrasydaios was a stench in the nostrils of the Himeraians; hence their
 livered them from such a monster (0.12).

In the opening of the second Olympian, Pindar himself points out the threefold cord that runs through the ode, and recent
commentators have found triads everywhere. It is best to limit ourselves to the poet's own lines. When Pindar asks, "What god, what hero, what man shall we celehrate?" he means to celebrate all three, and god, hero, and man recur throughout: the god helping, the hero toiling, the man achieving. God is the disposer, the hero the leader, and the man the follower. The man, the Olympian victor, must walk in the footsteps of the greater victor, must endure hardness as the hero endured hardness, in order that he may have a reward, as the hero had his reward, by the favor of God. This is a poem for one who stands on the solemn verge beyond which lies immortal, heroic life. But we must not read a funeral sermon into it, and we must notice how the poet counteracts the grave tone of the poem by the final herald cry, in which he magnifies his own office and champions the old king.

Hymns, lords of the lyre, what god, what hero, what man shall we sound forth? Pisa belongs to Zeus ( $\theta$ єós), Olympia was stablished by Herakles ( $\eta \rho \omega s$ ), Theron (àv $\rho \rho$ ) hath won the great four-horse chariot race. His sires ( $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \omega \in \mathrm{s}$ ) founded Akragas; Zeue ( $\theta$ cós) send the future glorious as the past has been (vv. 1-17). Done cannot be made undone. The past was toilsome and bitter, but forgetfulness comes with bliss, and suffering expires in joyance. So in the line of Theron himself, the daughters of Kadmos (ìpஸ̂val, خoiau), Semele, Ino, suffering once, as the founders of Akragas toiled once, are now glorified. Yet this light was quenched in deeper gloom. After Semele, after Ino, comes the rayless darkness of Oidipus, so dark that even his name is shrouded. Polyneikes fell, but Thersandros was left, and after him came Theron (àvip), and Theron's noble house, with its noble victories (vv. 17-57). But this is not all. Earthly bliss is not everything. There is another world, and the poet sets its judg-ment-seat, unfolds the happiness of the blessed, and introduces into the harmony of the blissful abode a marvellous discord of the damned. In that land we hear of Kronos and of Rhea ( $\theta \in o i$ ), Peleus, and Kadmos, and Achilles ( $\eta$ jpwes). Of men there is expressive silence ( $\vee \vee .58-91$ ). Theron is old, and the poet, instead of working out his triad mechanically, vindicates the reserve of his art. He has arrows enough in his quiver; he has power enough in his pinion. He can shoot, he can fly, whithersoever he will; and now, that we have left that other world, and have come back to this realm of Zeus, he bends his bow, he
stoops his flight, to Akragas. Now he can praise Thernn with all the solemnity hut without the gloom of an epitaph, and the last words fall like a benediction on the gracious king ( Vv .92 110).

There is no myth proper. The canvas is covered by the pre-figuration-picture of the house of Kadmos and the vision of the world beyond. Innocent suffering is recompensed by deep happiness, heroic toil by eternal reward. Theron's achievements have the earnest of an immortal future. Time cannot express his deeds of kindness.

The rhythms are Paionian, manly, vigorous, triumphant, but Bakcheiac strains seem to have been introduced with the same effect as the belts of darkness which chequer the poem.

Of the five triads, the first opens the theme, the last concludes it; the second triad deals with the mythic past; the third returns to Theron, and connects the second with the fourth, which is taken up with the world beyond.
 mertal music. Music was " married to immortal verse," as the woman to the man. Pratinas ap. Athen. 14, 617 D. makes song

 gives the signal, but there is no difference in the relation.-2.
 virum aut heroa lyra vel acri| tibia sumis celebrare, Clio, | quem deum? Horace follows the artificial clımactic arrangement, which brings him up to-_Augustus. So Isok. Euag. 39 : ov̉סeis

 ovide סeivara. The triplet here announced runs through the poem. To Zeus (A) belongs the place (a), to Herakles (B) the festival (b), to Theron (C) the prize (c), and the order is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C (Ө́ррөуа) с (тєтраорías) }
\end{aligned}
$$

 Whether we have subj. or fut. here it is impossible to tell, not




Usu．àxpoӨiva，as in N．7，41．－6．үeүшvทréov：＂We must proclaim so far as voice can be heard．＂The post－Homeric－téos forms are not common in lyric poetry．－öтtv：So Hermann，as acc．of extent to dixalov．Others ö́rı．Most of the MSS．have öni，glossed by סià

 $\mu \in \nu 0 s$（cf．P． 4,$86 ;$ I． 3 ［4］，5）would not be unwelcome to me，＂a just respecter of guests．＂So $\lambda$ árpis $=\delta \lambda a \tau \rho \in \dot{v} \omega \nu$ and $\sigma i \nu \iota s=\delta$
 to Polyzelos，the fugitive brother of Hieron．－7．＂́pєเซ ${ }^{2}{ }^{3}$ Axpáyav－ tos：The reference is to the great day of Himera．So Athens， for her share in the Persian war，is called（fr．IV．4，2）＇El $\lambda$ ádos € $\rho \epsilon \sigma \mu a$ ．The compliment is heightened by the well－known
 cious beginning of the last lines in the four stanzas： $\mathrm{\nabla} .8$ ，єvंఱvú－ $\mu \omega \nu, \nabla .16, \epsilon v ̃ \phi \rho \omega \nu, ~ \vee .38, \epsilon \dot{v} \theta v \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ，and，like a distant echo，v．104，
 This raising of the city to its height is supposed to refer to the adornment of Akragas with great temples and other magnificent public buildings．
＇Avт．$a^{\prime}$ ．－9．кацóvтes of：This position of the relative is not so harsh as in Latin，on account of the stronger demonstrative ele－

 iepóv：All cities were dedicated to some deity，but Akragas espe－ cially，having been given to Persephone by Zeus，$\epsilon$ is àvaка入vтти́pta． Preller，Gr．Myth．1，485．－E＂Fov：＂Got＂（of conquest）．So P．1， 65．The ingressiveness of $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \chi^{\circ \nu}$ is due to the meaning of the
 the full adj．is more common than the fossilized adj．or genitive． Comp．P．6，6：тотаціа＇Aкра́үаит．The river bore the same name as the city．Comp．further Eur．Med． 846 ：iєр $\omega$ потан $\hat{\omega} \nu$
 13，61，where Corinth is called ä́бтv Пєєрávas．－10，11．ミuкє入ias ．．．
 and Sparta were the two eyes of Greece．See Leptines ap．Aris－ tot．Rhet．3，10，7，whence Milton＇s＂Athens，the eye of Greece．＂ －11．aiùv ．．．$\mu \dot{\rho} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau}$ os：＂Time followed as it was allotted．＂－ ＂фєтє：In innumerable passages aí $\nu, \chi$ рóvos，ßios are represented as the attendants of men．This personification is easier to the

Greek than it is to us，and must be looked for．See O．6，56．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ 入ov̂тóv тє каi $\chi$ хápıv：Notice the close connection of＂wealth and honor．＂$\chi$ ．is the glory lent by poesy，and＂wealth and poesy＂ would represent the material and the spiritual elements of hap－
 prose we should consider $\epsilon \pi i$＂on account of．＂Here it is more plastic．＂Wealth and poesy crown their native gifts．＂See 0 ．
 Kpóvie $\pi a i ̂$＇Péas：Much more vigorous than è raî Kpóvov te kaì ＇Péas，though we must not forget dialectic preferences for the forms in tos．Rhea is mentioned again with Kronos，v． 85 ：
 raîs＇Péas，fr．XI．5．For this Kronos element，see O．1，10．P． himself was a servant of Rhea（Magna Mater）．The special allusions detected by the commentators to Theron＇s personal history are due to fanciful combinations．－i8os＇Oגv́ $\mu \pi$ ov：Here again＇O $\lambda \dot{v} \mu \pi \sigma v$ is＝＇O $\lambda \dot{v} \mu \pi \iota o \nu$ ，as $\pi о \tau a \mu \circ \hat{v}=\pi o \tau a ́ \mu \iota o \nu$ ．The triplet here reminds one of the triplet in the first strophe，and by as－ signing áध́ $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ корифáv to Herakles（ $0.6,69$ ），and $\pi$ ópov $\tau^{\prime}$＇A $\lambda^{\prime}$－ $\phi \in o \hat{u}$ to Theron（comp．O．1， 20 ：$\pi a \rho$＇＇А入фєஸ̂ $\sigma$ v́тo $\delta \dot{́} \mu a s$ ），we
 53 ：＂The watercourse of the Alpheios．＂So－called gen．of apposi－ tion．－15．lavéis＝єv̉фpav $\theta$ eis，but the old＂warming，＂＂dissolv－ ing，＂＂melting＂sense is not wholly lost．See P．1，11．－16． oфiouv depends on кó $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \nu \quad \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\varphi} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \epsilon \epsilon$ ．There is no $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ка $\theta^{\circ}$ ¿̀ $\lambda$ ov каi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \frac{\rho}{\circ}$ for the dat．For the construction，comp．O．8，88，

 notes＂care，＂＂safety．＂
 ing is essentially complete without $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} o s$, so that these two words come in as a reinforcement．＂When fully consummated．＂ －ámoíntor：We should expect ả̉npaктò like Lat．factum infec－


 semi－personifies $\pi$ ó $\boldsymbol{T} \mu \mathrm{os}$ ．－yévotr＇ăv：＂Must come．＂＂Cannot
 1,$99 ; 2,69$ ．－ітт̀ харца́т由v：intó，with the genitive of things， keene the personification alive in prose．But the＂under＂
element of $\dot{v} \pi \delta^{\circ}$ is felt in P．，though，of course，it is more evi－ dent with the dat．，＂Under the weight of．＂$\chi$ ap $\mu$ ．is echoed in v． 109 （Mezger）．－22．ซa入iүкотоv $\delta a \mu a \sigma 0$ iv：＂Quell＇d in spite＇s despite．＂The $\pi \bar{\eta} \mu a$ resists，but resists in vain．ma入iykorov is adversative，not attributive merely．
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime} .-23 . \pi \epsilon \mu \psi_{n}$ ：So the Ambrosianus and the Schol． Otherwise $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \eta$ might stand．The durative tenses of $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \nu$ are often used where we should expect the complexive（or aoris－ tic）tenses．$\pi$ ．has not the same notion of＂detachment＂as our ＂send．＂－24．ảvєкàs ．．．íqך入óv：Ar．Vesp．18：ảvєкàs ẻs tòv oủpa－ $\nu \delta \nu . \dot{v}$ ．is predicative．The figure is that of a wheel．－iterau：


 кoúpass：Semele，Ino，Autonoë，Agaue，were all in trouble．P． selects those who emerge．－${ }^{\pi} \pi a 0$ ov ai：See v．8．Ino，pursued by her mad husband，leaped into the sea and became a goddess， Leukothea．Semele，killed by lightning because she wished to see her celestial lover，Zeus，in full array，was afterwards re－
 flection，and not a part of the narrative，as ënctvev would make
 бobvov $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ áy．：＂Before the face of mightier blessings．＂-27 ， 28．$\beta \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \varphi$｜кєpavvov ：The instrumental＂by＂is more poetic than the locative＂mid．＂The tenderness of Semele is brought out by the womanly tavvé日espa．－29．Ma入入ás：The Scholiasts call at－ tention to the significant omission of Hera；the specific mention of Pallas may be explained in half a dozen ways．She was one of the guardian deities of Akragas，a close sympathizer with her father．The triad here is not to be emphasized．－30．naîs $\delta$ кı．


[^65] O. 1,97, where the "both" signification is plainer. As $\pi \in \rho i$ w. acc. may mean " around" (without) and "around" (within), so $\dot{a}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \phi i$ may be "about" (without) and "about" (within), and so be loosely used for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu .-\beta p o r \omega ิ v \gamma \in$ : However it may stand with high and mighty heroines.-34. atipas 0avárov: The $\theta$ ávatos is


 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathrm{P}$. varies the structure: "Surely in the case of mortals a certain goal of death is in no wise fixed, nor [is it fixed] when we shall bring one day, child of a single sun (spanned though it be but by a single sun), with unfretted good to its end in peace." The position removes all harshness. Bporêv at the head of the
 ner, allows us to wait for its regimen. -maî dediov: The personification may have faded somewhat, but the mind dissociates тєлєขтáбоцєу from the apposition.-38. "ßav: Gnomic.
'Er. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.-39. Moip(a): In P. Moira is above the gods, but in harmony with them.-⿺廴 тє: "She who."-тarpátov, ктє.: " Maintains as an beirloom [= from sire to son] this fair fate of theirs." -40. Tâvסe: As usu. of the victor's house, the Emmenidai.-41. $\boldsymbol{i} \pi i \pi \iota \pi \eta \hat{\mu}(a)$ : The calamity is gently touched. The name of Oidipus is not even mentioned. Where P. does mention the hero, it is to honor him, P. 4, 263.- $\pi a \lambda \iota v \tau \rho a ́ \pi e \lambda o v: ~ " R e-~$ verse." Pendant to $\pi a \lambda i \gamma \kappa о т о \nu ~ \delta а \mu а \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu, ~ v . ~ 22 .-42 . ~ « \xi ~ o v i \pi e p: ~$
 тóncvos: On his way from the Delphic oracle, where Apollo had told him that he would be the murderer of his father that begot
 the first part as recorded by So. O. R. 791: is $\mu \eta \tau \rho \grave{\imath} \mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ хрєí $\mu \epsilon$ $\mu \chi$ Ø̄̀vac.



 with the dat., instead of the simple instrumental dat., which has forgotten its comitative origin. Cf. P. 12, 21: $\quad \ddot{\phi} \rho a$. . . $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$

stock," his sons, the spear-side of his house.-47. Oépravipos: The son of Polyneikes and his wife Argeia, daughter of Adrastos.

 ápwүóv: Aigialeus, the only son of Adrastos, had fallen before Thebes, so that Thersandros became the avenger of the family in the war of the Epigonoi. (So Böckh with the Schol.) - 50 . omípuaros... pítav: "Seed root," origin.-"Xovra: So Aristarchos.

 $\mu o v)$, a change which, however natural from substantive to participle, is not natural from participle to substantive.-52. $\mu$ èéuv

 rious. The brother was Xenokrates. Comp. P. 6 and I. 2.кotval: "Impartial."-Xápıtes: Who give and grace victories. See $0.6,76$; N. 5 (end); N. 10, 38. - ävea $\tau \in \theta \rho i \pi \pi \omega v$ : The chariots are wreathed with the flowers they have gained. See
 8vшठєкабрбишv: Chariots had to make twelve courses. Cf. P. 5, 33.

 äkpov.-58. áywrias: The bad sense is late.- $\delta v o \neq p o v a ̂ v: ~ F o r m e d ~$ like $\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho o ́ v \eta, ~ a ̉ \phi \rho o ́ v \eta=a ̉ \phi \rho o \sigma u ́ v \eta$. The best MSS. have $\delta v \sigma \phi \rho o-$ бivay $\pi a \rho a \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota$. $\delta v \sigma \sigma$. is glossed by $\dot{a} \theta v \mu i a$. This is the recurrent thought of the ode-the balance of good and bad.- 58 . $\delta \mu \mathrm{a} v$ $\pi \lambda_{0}$ ôtos: $\mu$., a faded oath, by way of confirmation. Often used to meet objections.-ápetais $\delta \in \delta a \iota \delta a \lambda_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ os : See 0.13 for a poetic lesson on the necessity of something more than wealth. Cf. P.

 Not "good and bad," but "indefinite blessings." So, in prose,
 $\mu v a v$ àpotépav: Acc. to the majority of interpreters this means "rousing a deep and eager yearning for achievement," "putting into the heart of man a deep and eager mood." So the Schol.:
 used of the Centaur, P. 3, 4; àporépa of Kyrene, P.9,6. But lions are áypótєpot, N. 3, 46, and as $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \mu \nu a$ leans in P. to the bad,

be pardoned. iné $\chi \epsilon t \nu$, "sustain," is the other side of кaré $\chi \in \iota$, "keep down," and that other side appears, v. 21: 白 $\sigma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$. . . $\dot{\pi} \pi \dot{\delta}$
 is crushed, here the high (deep) load of carking care is shouldered. Wealth is an Atlas.
 is facilitated by the beginning of the epode. ápí̧ $\eta \lambda o s=a \rho i \delta \eta \lambda o s$, an Homeric word.-62. ф'yyos is used of the sun, the moon, or any great or conspicuous light.- $6 \boldsymbol{i} \delta \boldsymbol{6}:$ The passage has an enormous literature to itself. In despair, I have kept the reading of the MSS., with the interpretation "If, in truth, when one hath it ( $\nu \iota \nu=\pi \lambda o u ̂ \tau o \nu$ ) he knows (of) the future that," etc. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in P. is often not far from $\delta \dot{\eta}$. This would make the sentence an after-thought. Böckh's $\epsilon i \gamma \epsilon$, which is simple, is not lyrical (Mommsen). $\epsilon \mathcal{\nu} \delta \dot{\delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ are not convincing conjectures. є vir has been suggested. Bergk considers oîct to have been used once by brachylogy instead of twice, and punctuates $\epsilon i 8 \varepsilon$
 (Theron) knows." In that case, Theron would have been men-
 च̈aats $\delta \dot{\delta}$. . . túpotv the apodosis, or rather the apparent apodosis, the real apodosis being some verb of ascertainment understood. See my Lat. Gr.", 601. "If one knows . . . (why, then, he must know that). . the good," etc. This makes $\delta$ é apodotic. See O. $\mathbf{3}, 43$. It would be better to leave the first sentence frankly without an apodosis.-63. قavóvtev: The sins committed in the world below are punished here on earth. Earth and Hades are mutual hells. P.'s view of the yonder world, as set forth in this passage, may be supplemented by the fragments of the $\theta \rho \eta \bar{\nu} \boldsymbol{o}$. P. believes in the continued existence of the soul after death, in transmigration, in retribution, in eternal blessedness. Immediately after death the soul is judged and sent to join the ranks of the pious or of the wicked. Good souls dwell with Pluton and Persephone in perpetual light and happiness, the bad must endure anguish past beholding for punishment and purification. If they do not mend, they are sent back to earth, and after death come again before the inexorable judgment-seat. Those who are purified return to earth in the ninth year, and are made kings, heroes, sages. When a man has maintained himself in each of these transition stages, and has kept pure from all wrong, he becomes
a hero, and dwells forever in the islands of the blessed. (After
 1, 59 : àтá入ацор Biov.-64. éтьбav: The aor. disposes of Rauchen-
 with gen. in P. only here-тเs: Dread indefiniteness.-66. גóyov申рáoaıs: "Rendering his sentence." фpásєlv, of deliberate, careful, clear speech. $\lambda$ óyos is used of an oracle, P. 4, 59.
 Mommsen. The best MSS. have $\overline{\text { Ï }}$ ats $\delta^{\circ} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{d} \mu$. Various changes have been made to save the uniformity and avoid - for $\smile \smile$ in $v$.
 follows. Equal nights and equal days may be equal to each other (equinoctial) or equal to ours; may be equal in length or equal in character. "Equal to each other in character" seems to be the safest interpretation. "The night shineth as the day; the darkness and the light are both alike." To some the passage means that the blessed have the same length of day and night that we do, but their lives are freer from toil. This interpretation is favored by àmové $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, which shows that the standard of comparison is earthly life, though Dissen makes it refer to the wicked. - 69. 8íкогта: : It is a boon. סє́pкоутаи, the reading of the mass of our MSS., is unmetrical, and not over-clear.-ov̇ $\chi^{\theta 6 v a}$ : The position of the negative in P. is especially free; here it is to be justified



 тapà Siautav: "For the sake of unsatisfying food," as mortals do. This use of mapá, "along," "by way of," and so "by reason of," "for the sake of," is solitary in P., but becomes common in the later time. So $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ ö. $\tau \iota \mu i o เ s \mid \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{v}$ : At the court of Piuton and Persephone.-72. éxaupov: When they were on earth.-evopkias: Ps. 24, 3: Who shall stand in His holy place ? He that hath clean hands and a pure heart; who hath not lifted up his soul unto vanity, nor s10orn deceitfully.-74. roì $8 \hat{\epsilon}=$ oi какоi.-

 rpis ékaréf $\rho \theta$ o would naturally mean six times. égrpis may mean three times in all. The soul descends to Hades, then returns to
earth, then descends again for a final probation.-77. Ĩeidav: Act. only here in P.- $\Delta$ cos d\&óv: The king's highway (mystic). mapà Kpóvov túpotv: Not "along," as in prose, but " to the neigh)borhood of," as if tapà Kpóvov, "to the court of Kronos," who presides over the happy isles.-78. vâoos: Dor. = $\eta^{\prime} \sigma o v s .-79$. ävequa

 $\alpha \lambda \lambda a$ : Chiasm. The world below is a brilliant repetition of the world above. The prizes are of gold - gold instead of olive and laurel. In $v \mathbf{v} \delta \omega \rho$, Dissen sees an allusion to the water-parsley of the Isthmian games.-82. бтeфávos: I have given what seems to be the best MS. reading. кєфа入ás is used in a gloss to explain


 -öp $\mu o r s$ and $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ ávous being Aeolic accusatives.
 уо́ $\mu \varphi$, N. 10,28 ; I. 2, 38; and èv Síка, O. 2,$18 ; 6,12 ;$ P. 5, 14 ; N. 5, 14. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \delta i \kappa \eta$ is common even in prose.-'Padapávevos: The
 é $\chi$ cı $y$ âs with a gap. The true reading cannot be elicited with certainty from the Scholia and glosses. Even in antiquity the critics were at a loss. I have resigned myself with Dissen and Schneidewin to the reading of the interpolated MSS.-85. 'Péas . . . 0 póvov: Rhea, as mother of the gods, thrones above all.-86. Hŋ入eús: An Hellenic saint, a Greek Joseph. See N. 5, 26, where he resists
 'I $\omega \lambda$ кoû $\tau \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i o v . ~ P e l e u s ~ a n d ~ K a d m o s ~ a r e ~ a s s o c i a t e d ~ a g a i n, ~$ P. 3, 87. Here they are linked by $\tau \in \kappa a i$ on account of the like


 'Axincús, acc. to the famous skolion of Kallistratos ap. Athen. 15, 695 A. See Plat. Symp. 179 E, 180 B. Acc. to N. 4,49 Achilles has another abode, an island in the Euxine. It has been fancied that Theron was a Peleus, a Kadmos, and an Achilles in one.
 to Greek feeling = *'่ $\chi$ é $\omega \rho$ ) as the "upholder" is not impossible, though the metaphor is common enough.-Kúkvor: Son of Posei-
don, who opprised the landing of the Greeks. - Oaváre $\pi$ dóper :
 $\mu{ }^{\prime} \rho \varphi$, and Lat. dare morti, "put (in)to (the maw of) death." Instead of flattering antique personification, let us emboss our own.
 $\tau \in \pi a i{ }^{\prime}$ Aibiota: Memnon. Kyknos, Hektor, and Memnon are
 common on announcing the end.-int' ${ }^{\prime}$ yкêvos : Comp. Theokr.
 93.-92. evvi: Is explained as a singular, but Gust. Meyer, Gr. Gr., § 483, dissents. It is livelier as a plural, 0.10 (11), 93; P. 1, 13. -93. фwváevca $\sigma$ veeroîcuv: A stock quotation, "that have a voice


 кaì $\chi$ vóaoré $\rho 0$ ovs. The other rendering, "generally," is less satisfactory. The change from the dative $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau o i \sigma \iota \nu$ to $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ 's and the acc. is in P.'s manner. Mr. Verrall argues (Journal of Philol., No. XVII.) at length in favor of romá from *тomi, "divination," a word which he elicits from тoтágelv. - 94. ©oфós: Of poetic art. - фvậ: A Pindaric cry to be heard often, e. g. O. 9, 107:
 ing, $0.8,60$, where, by the way, he is praising a trainer, he believes in Ruskin's first rule, "Be born with genius." God, Apollo, the Muse, the Muses, Charis, the Charites - these are the sources of the poet's inspiration. It is part and parcel of his aristocratic "blood" theory. 95 . $\mu$ abiovtes: The old sneer that finds an echo in Persius, Quis expedivit psittace suum $\chi$ aipe? The commentators refer this characteristic to Simonides and Bakchylides. Simonides was considered бoфф́тaros, and if Simonides
 chylides was the nephew of Simonides, disciple, imitator, and collaborator of his uncle. It is supposed that P. gained the contract for writing this poem over S. and B., and hence this scornful and, we should say, ignoble note of superiority. As Simonides had just made peace between Hieron and Theron, it is very unlikely that $P$. should have made this arrogant fling at this time. - $\lambda$ d́ßpor: With kópakes. The antithesis is the öpus $\theta$ eios (Mez
 кópakes ẅs . . . үapúerov: The dual certainly suggests definite pairs, especially as it is often used with mocking effect, e. g. in Plato's

Euthydemos (comp. Areades ambo). The use of the dual on metrical (?) grounds for the plural is not tolerable. Mr. Verrall's suggestion that the reference is to the two Sicilian rhetoricians, Korax and Tisias (the latter of whom was called какой кópakos кaкd̀ ¢ैóvp $^{\prime}$ ) is ingenious. See P. 1, 94, where the panegyric side of oratory is recognized. If we must have rivalry, why not rivalry between the old art of poetry ( $\phi v \hat{a ̣}$ ) and the new art of rhetoric ( $\mu$ AÓórtes)? Besides, дáßpot kópakes of this kind succeed best in the $\lambda$ áßpos $\sigma$ трarós (P. 2, 87). -ắkpavta: "Ineffectual stuff."
 (Pindar) sits quiet and disdainful on the sceptre of Zeus. His defiant scream will come, and then the ineffectual chatter will

 vิิv $\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\Psi}, \kappa \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} .:$ Resumption of the figure in vv. 92-94. Cf. N.

 hit?" The pres. for fut., except in oracles ( $0.8,42$ ), is rare, conversational, passionate. See Thuk. 6, 91, 3. -99. iк $\mu$ ад $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text {aкâs }}$ . . . фpevós: The quiver usually has a hostile significance, hence фрєvós is qualified. The arrows are kindly (ázavá), not biting

 Böckh punctuates ravv́бats and makes it an optative (imperative opt.), counter to the Pindaric use of тot.-101. av̇ס́áoopat: In its full sense of "loudly proclaim."-évópkiov $\lambda$ óyov: O. 6, 20 :
 of the oath. Commentators are divided as to tekeiv, whether it is past or future. For the future, see O. 1, 105. For the past,


 hundred years," with an especial reference to Akragas, which was founded about a hundred years before ( Ol. 49, 3=582 B.C.).
'Er. є'.-10ñ. Ońp yévet, and O. 1, 81. The sense is fairly complete in the antistrophe; and the use of the dependent genitive here renews the
whole thought with a challenge.-alvov: In prose this word was reserved for religious occasions. P. uses ëtavos but once.-imeßa: Is supposed to have an actual basis in the behavior of Kapys and Hippokrates, two kinsmen of Theron, who went over to Hieron (Schol.). But gnomic aorists have an actual basis also.-106.



 articular infinitive, which is not fully developed in P., is seldom used after verbs of will and endeavor, and then always has a strong demonstrative force--often with a scornful tang. So. Ant.

 Jongh ). So in prose with $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \delta \epsilon \iota$, , $\begin{aligned} & \text { appeiv, } \delta t \omega \text { ккetv, and the }\end{aligned}$ opposite. "Full fain for this thing of babbling."-kpúdov: A
 would depend awkwardly on $\lambda a \lambda a \gamma \bar{\eta} \sigma a u .-108$. dтel . . . 8úvaıтo: $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{i}$ is "whereas." Madmen may attempt to babble down and obscure his praises, but his deeds of kindness are numberless, and cannot he effiaced any more than they can be counted.-109.



## OLYMPIA III.

Tae third Olympian celebrates the same victory as the preceding ode. In what order the two were sung does not appear. O. 2 was probably performed in the palace of Theron; 0.3 in the Dioskureion of Akragas. The superscription and the Scholia indicate that this ode was prepared for the festival of the $\Theta$ eo$\xi \in \operatorname{le} a$, at which Kastor and Polydeukes entertained the gods. It is natural to assume the existence of a special house-cult of the Dioskuroi in the family of the Emmenidai, but we must not press v. 39 too hard.

The third Olympian, then, combines the epinikian ode with the theoxenian hymn. The Tyndaridai are in the foreground. It is the Tyndaridai that the poet seeks to please (v. 1) by his ' $\mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu$ $\pi \iota o \nu i x a s ~ v ँ \mu \nu o s . ~ I t ~ i s ~ t h e ~ T y n d a r i d a i, ~ t h e ~ t w i n ~ s o n s ~ o f ~ L e d a ~(~ v . ~$. 35 ), that are the ruling spirits of the Olympian contests. It is the Tyndaridai that are the givers of fame to Theron (v. 39). The victory is the same as that celebrated in the previous ode, but there Theron is always present to our minds. We are always thinking of the third member of the triad-god, hero, man. Here Theron is kept back. The poet who was there almost, if not altogether, defiant in his heralding of Theron, utters scarce a word of praise here. Before it was merit, here it is grace.

The poem is a solemn banquet-hymn. The victory calls for the fulfilment of a divine service, a $\theta$ єóд $\mu a \tau o \nu ~ \chi \rho є ́ o s ~(v . ~ 7) . ~ P i s a ~ i s ~$ the source of $\theta$ єóropot aoo $\delta a_{i}^{( }(\mathrm{v} .10)$. The myth has the same drift. It is the story of the Finding of the Olive, the token of victory. This is no native growth. It was brought by Herakles from the sources of the Istros, a memorial of Olympic contests (v. 15). It was not won by force, but obtained by entreaty from the Hyperborean servants of Apollo (v.16), and the hero craved it as shade for the sacred enclosure of his sire, and as a wreath for human prowess ( $\mathrm{\nabla}, 18$ ). Already had the games been estab-
lished, but the ground was bare to the keen scourgings of the sun (v. 24). Sent to Istria on another errand by Zeus, he had beheld and wondered (v.32). Thither returning at the impulse of his heart, he asked and received, and planted the olive at Olympia (v. 34), which he still visits with the sons of Leda (v. 35).

The parallel with Herakles is revealed at the end. Theron has reached his bound-his Herakles' pillars. Beyond lies nothing. Seek no further (v. 45).

The olive was a free gift of God. So is this victory of Theron. It might be dangerous to press the details. Yet it is not unGreek to say that the beauty of life is found of those who walk in the path of duty. Theron's praise is no less because it is indirect.

The dactylo-epitrite rhythms are peculiarly appropriate in a hymn addressed to deities so Dorian in their character as the Dioskuroi. The compass of the strophe is not great, but especial stateliness is given to the composition by the massiveness of the epode. It is noteworthy that strophe and epode end with the same measure.

Of the three triads, the central one contains the heart of the Finding of the Olive. The story is begun at the close of the first triad, and finished at the beginning of the third, and thus the parts are locked together.
 manner gods of hospitality, though an allusion to the $\Theta$ egévia is

 more lavish in his use of '̇vாло́каноs. Helen is кал入ікодоs, Od. $15,58 . \tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon$, as the brothers, so the sister. See O. 1, 115. H. shares her brothers' hospitable nature. See Od. 4, 130 foll., 296 foll.-2. kגєเvàv 'Aкpáyavza: With P.'s leaning to the fem.ขєраipov: "While honoring."- evx boast. So also P. 8, 67, where airé $\omega$ forms a sufficient contrast.

 notion of column ( $0.7,86$ ) or statue (I. 1, 46).-גкацаvтотó $\delta \omega v$ :


instead of oűt to九 $\pi$ арє́ซтa $\mu$ oı. oűt $\omega$, as she had done before. In a wish, P. 1, 46. 56. With $\pi а \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ k o \iota ~ c o m p . ~ P . ~ 8, ~ 70: ~ к \omega ́ \mu ~ \mu ~$
 upon it." We say, with another figure, "fire-new." O. 9, 52:
 combination of honor to God and honor to man, of theoxenia the epinikion (Mezger). Combination of lyre and flute (Fennell). $-5 . \pi \epsilon \delta i \lambda \varphi$ : The $\pi \epsilon \in \delta i \lambda o \nu$ strikes the measure.
 victory of Theron ( $\epsilon \pi \omega v i \kappa t o \nu)$, and the right of the Tyndaridai to

 $\delta \iota \delta \dot{v} \mu \nu o t s$ matoi $\Lambda \dot{j} \delta{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{as}$. The song is the refluence of the coming
 prefers this warmer participial conception to the colder infinitive
 22 ; N. 4, 34 ; I. 4, 49; 7, 12. Dem. 18, 32 : 8ıà toúrous oủXi $\pi \in \iota-$
 The familiarity of these constructions in Latin deadens our perception of them in Greek, where they are very much rarer. útv, with an answering $\tau \epsilon$, v. 9. See O. 4, 13. - 7. трácбovtı: P. 9,
 occurs $\mathbf{O} .10,33 .-\theta \in 6 \delta \mu a \tau o v$ : The last part of the compd. is felt elsewhere, $\mathbf{0 . 6 , 5 9 ;}$ P. 1, $61 ; 9,11$; though faintly in L. 5, 11 :
 . . . кaì ßoàv aủ̀ढ̂v èméఱv vє: $\tau \epsilon \ldots$. . кaí unites the instrumentation,




 - ã тe Пíqa: See v. 7. - үєyшveiv: Supply $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon t$, which is easier, as the near neighborhood of $\sigma v \mu \mu i \xi a \iota$ keeps the construction wide-awake. $\gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon \nu$ (Christ) does not give a clear sense, though the shift is in P.'s manner.-тâs ăто: O. 1, 8.—10. өє́ноpot: "God-given," as I. 7, 38 : үа́́رоv $\theta є o ́ \mu о р о \nu ~ \gamma є ́ p a s . ~$
 $\beta$ ád $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ : Pres., the rule; aor., the exemplification. Simple subj. in


 so called because Greeks alone could participate in the games. Originally the number is said to have been two, afterwards ten, according to the number of the $\phi v \lambda a i$ of the Eleians, and after-
 the victor would naturaliy follow the movement of the prizegiver's hand, hence íqóttev.-Aitw ${ }^{\prime}$ os: The Eleians were called Aitolians, after their leader, Oxylos, who accompanied, or rather guided, the Herakleidai on their return.-13. y ${ }^{\text {davxóxpoa: Cf. So. }}$ O. C. 701: $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa a ̂ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ф u ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ є \lambda a i a s . ~ T h e ~ h u e ~ i s ~ g r a y i s h-g r e e n . ~$ On the symbolism of the olive, see Porphyr. de Antro Nymph. c. 33. P. does not distinguish the èaia from the кórıvos (wild olive).-тáv тотє: The relative begins the myth. Cf. O. 1, 25.14. "Iotpov: A half-fabulous river. - 'A $\mu \phi \iota \tau \rho v \omega v \iota a ́ \delta a s: ~ H e r a k l e s . ~$ The mouth-filling word, well suited to the hero, occurs again, I. 5, 38. Cf. Catull. 68, 112 : falsiparens Amphitryoniades.
 Apollo, who lived "beyond the North," according to P., as he brings them into contrast with the Nile (I. 5 [6], 23). Perseus' visit to the Hyperboreans is described in P. 10 (Pindar's earliest

 an emphatic position. Herakles does not often stoop to plead. -17. тเซтd̀ фpovtuv: "With loyal soul," if " loyal" were antique; "true to his sire."-aïtet: "He had to ask." Not aiteî, the histor. pres., which is very rare in P., and turns on P.5,82, which see.-
 crated to the gods is an $\ddot{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, , even if it be bare of trees," says the Schol.-бкtapóv re фv́тєvpa: It had shaded the "I $\sigma \tau \rho o v$ тaүaí,
 wreaths are for the victors (Böckh). "A common boon."-
 halver." The full moon lighted the height of the festival. б̈лov: "Full" ( proleptic ). - xpuáápuaros: Comp. the "yellow harvest-moon."-20. ©́orípas: "At eventide" (cf. P. 4, 40), acc. to Böckh, but the moon may flash full the Eye of Even, which is herself. Still the adverbial interpretation is favored by 0.10


 as the judge is＂true＂（unwarped），v．12．－¿a $\mu \bar{\alpha}$（Dor．）$=\approx \mu a$ here，
 Change of subject is very common in Greek，e．g．O．9，50；P．4， 25．251．See also O．1，89．－кp $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{voî}$ ：＂Bluffs，＂as in Homer．
 бas．－23．oủ кa入à，ктé．：On the position of où comp．O．4，17．－
 Bückh combines Kpoviou חédotos．This would require Kpovióa
 Hence we read $\chi \bar{\omega} \rho o s-\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ßáaroats Kpoviov－Пé̀onos，which is very much in P．＇s manner．－24．тov́rov ．．．үupvós：As т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is used as a relative，the asyndeton is not felt with the fuller $\tau$ ovic⿴⿻上丨𣥂，which

 ＂To be exposed to，＂＂lashed by＂（cf．＂that fierce light which

 －25．порєútเv：The Schol．makes this form here $=\pi о \rho \in \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ ， but it is better to make порєv́єเข transitive and ळ̈р $\mu a$ intransitive． Bergk reads $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\rho} \mu a \iota \nu$ ．

[^66]Oritelav: Mythic does have mythic horns.-Taüyéra: One of the Pleiades, daughter of Atlas, mother of Lakedaimon and Eurotas. In order to escape the pursuit of Zeus, she was changed by Artemis into a doe, and after she returned to her human form she consecrated a doe to the goddess. - 30. àvtөєía $=\dot{a} v a \tau i \theta \epsilon i \sigma a$ (Schol.).-'Opөwriq: The hiatus is paralleled by $0.6,82 ;$ N. 6 , $2^{\prime}$; I. 1, 16 (Bergk).-'O. is not different from " $\AA \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota s^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \rho \theta_{i a}$, before whose altar boys were scourged at Sparta. Both doe and scourging indicate a substitution for human sacrifice. As the capture of the doe ordinarily precedes the cleansing of the Augean stables, and so the founding of the Olympic games, v. 34 foll., see Ol. 10 (11), we have another indication that there were two visits to the land of the Hyperboreans. - Eypaqev: The Scholiast is good enough to give us the inscription on the doe's collar: Taü-

 boreans with an explanatory touch. See on P. 4, 29. To emphasize the distance is to emphasize Herakles' devotion to his sire. This P. has done here and in vv. 14, 26. mpouâs has scarcely any MS. warrant, but $\pi \nu o t a i s ~ c a n ~ o n l y ~ b e ~ d e f e n d e d ~ b y ~ v a g u e ~ a n a l-~$ ogy. - 32. Өá $\beta$ ßatve $=\theta a v ́ \mu a \iota \nu \epsilon$, which is an inferior reading.-
 34. фvтєvิवat: Epexegetic infinitive. The place was called rò Пáv $\theta_{\epsilon t o \nu}$ (Schol.).-тav́tav éopráv: The Theoxenia.-vícerat: The only correct spelling, acc, to the best MSS., and borne out by
 Epithet applied to the Graces, P. 9, 2; to the Muses, I. 5 (6), 74; to Latona, Fr. V. 2, 2. See P. 1, 12.






 Still charioteering was not without its dangers. See P. 6.-
 Dioskuroi had an altar at the starting-post of the Hippodrome (Paus. 5, 15, 5). - $\pi \grave{\alpha} \rho$ 0umòs órpúves: The $\pi a$ of the MSS. ( $=\pi \omega \mathbf{s}$,

Schol．）cannot be construed；with órpúvet it makes no sense， and $\delta \iota \delta o \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$ is too far off．$\pi a ́ \rho$, Böckh（ $\pi a \rho o \tau \rho \dot{v} \nu \epsilon)$ ），with pour and late MSS．The old Scholiasts show uneasiness．－＇Epuevíaus ｜Onjpwit $\tau(\epsilon):$ Theron crowns the line．The dat．with e＇$\lambda \theta \epsilon i n$ as
 dai．Comp．what is said of Xenokrates，brother of Theron，I．2，

 к火ย̇．：＂If＂（which no one will deny）．A familiar sentiment， such as the Greeks did not hesitate to repeat on occasion．See O．1，1．－43．vîv $\delta \in:$ The reading $\nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma \epsilon$ is at first sight more natural，but $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon$ has the better warranit＂Now in his turn．＂ This comes near an apodotic $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．－－$\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} a \tau$ adav：Of one that casts anchor．I． 5 （6）， 12 ：＇̇ढ $\chi a \tau \iota a ̀ s ~ \tilde{j} \delta\rangle \eta \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̈ ̉ \lambda \beta o v ~ \mid ~ \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime} a ̈ \gamma к v \rho a \nu$
 Variously interpreted．As oǐко $\theta \in \nu$ oîka $\delta \epsilon$ is proverbial for ease and comfort of transmission and transition（ $0.6,99 ; 7,4$ ），so the omission of oikaס́є shows difficulty，trouble，arduous effort．
 rov $\theta^{\prime}$＂Hраклeials．The effect is＂the far distant pillars of Hera－ kles．＂－＂Hpak入́óos ora入âv：Proverlbs weary less by repetition than original figures．－45．ov้ $v เ v \delta เ \epsilon ́ \xi ̆ \omega: \nu \iota \nu=\tau o ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \rho \sigma \omega$ ．Neither ov̀ $\mu a ́ v$ nor ov̉ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is Pindaric．Suacius dicit de se quae Theroni dicere vult（Dissen）．一ketvòs єỉŋv：＂Set me down an empty fool＂ （if I do）．There is no omission of ${ }^{\mu} \nu$ ．Comp．Lys．21，21：$\mu a t-$



KASTOR ANU POLSDEUKES．
Coin of the Brettioi．

## OLYMPIA IV.

Kamarina was founded by the Syracusans, 599 b.c., one hundred and thirty-five years after Syracuse itself. Destroyed hy Syracuse in consequence of a revolt, it was some time afterwards restored by Hippokrates. Again stripped of its inhabitants by Gelon, it was rebuilt once more by men of Gela, Ol. 79,
 $\nu \omega \nu$ is supposed to refer to the unhealthy situation of the city, but Lobeck reads канípıvà, cloacam.

Of Psaumis we know absolutely nothing, except what Pindar is pleased to tell us in this ode and the next. Both odes are supposed to refer to the same victory, $\dot{a} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$, that is, with a mule chariot. The MSS. have in the superscription äp $\mu a \tau \iota$ or ${ }_{\text {ü }} \pi \pi \pi o s$ : uinivn is due to Böckh's combinations. This gives us a terminus. The mule-race was done away with, Ol. 84 ( 444 в.c.). Böckh puts Psaumis's victory Ol. 82 ( 452 b.c.), and maintains that the victor had failed in the four-horse chariot race, and in the race with the single horse ( $\left.\kappa \epsilon_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \tau \iota\right)$. The ànín victory then was a consolation, and there seems to be a note of disappointment in the rhythm.

According to Böckh the ode was sung in Olympia; according to Leopold Schmidt in Kamarina. The latter view seems to be the more probable. The fourth ode was sung in the festal procession, the fifth, the genuineness of which has been disputed, at the banquet.
 ${ }_{e} \lambda \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ os. The final test is the true test. Success may be slow in coming, but when it comes it reveals the man. The thunderchariot of Zeus is an unwearied chariot. What though his Horai revolve and revolve ere they bring the witness of the lofty contest? Good fortune dawus, and then comes gratulation forthwith. The light comes late, but it is a light that shines
from the chariot of a man who hastens to bring glory to Kamarina. Well may we pray, "God speed his other wishes." Well may we praise the man-liberal, hospitable, pure-souled, lover of peace, lover of his state. No falsehood shall stain this record of a noble life. The final trial is the test of mortals.

So, by trial, Erginos, the Argonaut, was saved from the reproach of the Lemnian women. Unsuccessful before, he won the race in armor, and said to Hypsipyle as he went after the crown: "This is what I am in swiftness. My hands and heart fully match my feet. The race is for the young, but I am younger than my seeming. Gray hairs grow often on young men before the time. The final trial is the test of mortals."

Psaumis had every virtue but success; now this is added. So Erginos was a man of might, of courage; now he has shown his speed.

The logaoedic rhythms are handled so as to produce a peculiar effect. Prolongation is frequent ( $\llcorner$ for $-\smile$ ), and the result is a half-querulous, half-mocking tone. The lively Aiolian mood is tempered by the plaintive Lydian. Psaumis is only half satisfied, after all, and his enemies are not wholly confounded.

The triad distributes itself fairly into prayer, praise, and story.


 quotation in later Greek. Comp. Hor. Od. 1, 34, 8: per purum tonantes | egit equos volucremque currum.-акадагто́товоs: $0.3,3$; 5, 3.-real $\gamma$ àp ©ipat: $\gamma$ áp gives the reason of the invocation. The Horai, originally but two, Kapt⿳㇒ the daughters of Zeus and Themis; they who in their steady course- ${ }^{7}$ R $\rho a \iota$ being from $\sqrt{\text { jin }}, \cdots$ go "-bring things at their season. It has taken time for Psaumis's success to ripen.-2. ขึmò



 that P. actually went and bore witness to the contests. See N. 1,
 soever his song goes. Comp. N. 5, 3: $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \neq$ 'ả $\pi^{\prime}$ Aifivas, dıayyen-


$\nu \omega \nu$ forces us to take $\begin{gathered}\text { er } \\ \text { avan } \\ \text { in a }\end{gathered}$ strange to P. See P. 1, 52; 2, 82. The figure was not so coarse
 $\sigma$ aiveı $\mu \varepsilon \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon$ Xova. We can hardly make poetry of Horace's leniter atterens caudam. $\xi \in \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ refers to Psaumis and $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda$ oi to Pindar. "When friends fare well, forthwith the heart of the noble leaps up to greet the sweet tidings." Some make the passage ironical.-6. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$, '今 K K obvov $\pi a \hat{\imath}$ : Resumption of the address.


 inov: A trivial word (almost ="dead-fall"), ennobled like




 cated to Xápıtes kaì $\Delta$ tóvvaos. Comp. $0.2,55$, and remember
 the Graces.
'Avt.-10. xpoví́tatov: The Horai have not hastened. Hence
 not necessary to supply ${ }^{\omega} \nu$ nor to make ỏxé $\omega \nu$ the abl. gen. ïk is only an '́cri in motion. "'Tis Psaumis's that has come, his chariot's" (revel song of victory). óX. prevalently of an ảmívn (Schol., O. 6, 24 ).-12. отtúfet: Psaumis's own eagerness is brought into contrast with the deliberateness of the Horai.-13.入oıraîs धv̉xais: A mild personification after the Homeric nırai,
 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu . . . \tau \epsilon$ shifts from balance to parallel. Cf. $0.3,6 ; 6,88$; $7,12.69 ;$ P. 2,$31 ; 4,249 ; 6,39$ al. Notice the triple praise in

 the disturbed state of Sicily. Personify with Bergk.-17. ov
 positions of the negative, see $0.1,81 ; 2,34.69 .106 ; 3,23 ; 7$, $48 ; 8,79$. Here it amounts to, "I will not lie-dye my word."

 | ठıaфaiveтаь. סıa- is "final," " त̇ecisive."
 menos（acc．to Apollodoros，1，9，16，8，son of Poseidon），was ridi－ culed by the Lemnian women（P．4，252），on account of his white hair，when he undertook the weapon－race in the funeral games held by Hypsipyle in honor of her father，Thoas．His victory over Zetes and Kalais，the swift sons of Boreas，gave the mockers a lesson，not to judge by appearance，but to judge righteous judgment（after the Schol．）．According to Pausanias，9，37，4， Erginos，son of Klymenos，late in life consulted the oracle as to the propriety of marriage with a view to offspring，and received

 pผ́ц $\eta \nu$ ．The sequel showed that his natural force was not abated， and this gives point to Erginos＇s reply to the taunt of the Lem－
 osition．So I． 7 （8）， 6 ：ék $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu \lambda \nu \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ．$\lambda$ ．without a prep－
 sufficiently plastic．－22．ха入кє́o七七 $\delta^{\prime}$ èv ëvтeのเv：Comp．P．9，init．：
 See Ovid＇s Heroides VI．and Chaucer＇s Legend of Good Women．
 The wreath was given besides，I．1， 18 foll．－24．Ovicos：Taunt－ ingly：＂You see．＂Kayser，Rauchenstein，and others punctuate
 $0.10(11), 76.109 ;$ P．4，228．But we should lose dramatic power by this．Erginos is slightly out of breath．－xeipes：The hands and feet show the first symptoms of age，Hesiod，O．et D． 114. The fect give way before the hands．Notice the scene between Euryalos and Odysseus in Od． 8,147 foll．，and especially where Odysseus shows some concern about his running．For jubilant assertion of the power of old age in boxing（ $\chi$ єipes），see Aristoph． Vesp．1383．If the feet are all right，then the rest follows a for－ tiori．－loov：＂Are a match＂（to say the least）．－25．фv́ovtat：Er－ ginos is still speaking．－－modtal：An allusion to the gray hairs of Psaumis，who is supposed to have been an $\omega^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \omega \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ，if a $\gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega r^{\prime}$ at all，is an unnecessary hypothesis of the mechanical order．

## OLYMPIA V.

The victory celebrated here is the same as that of the precedfing ode.

The verse about which the poem revolves is $\nabla .15$ : aici $\delta^{\prime} a \mu \phi^{\prime}$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu o v$. The preceding poem dwells on the importance of the final trial $(4,16)$; this gives the conditions of success, $\pi$ óvos סamáva $\tau \epsilon$. The wain must be untiring (v. 3), the sacrifices great and various (v. 6). To gain an Olympian victory, to found a new city, costs toil and money. The flower of victory is sweet (ä由тos
 the work is over, the price paid. So the daughter of Okeanos, Kamarina, who is to greet the victor with laughing heart (v. 2), was builded with much toil, much cost. The stately canals, the grove of houses-these, like $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$, like $\beta$ ov $\theta v \sigma i a t$, were not made for naught. May blessings rest on city and on Olympian victor ! May the one have the adornment of the noble deeds of her sons, the other a happy old age, with his sons clustering about him! tóyos סamáya $\tau \epsilon$ have brought their reward. Wealth sufficient remains. Add fame. What more? Let him not seek to become a god.

There is no myth. The founding of Kamarina is fairy-tale, is magic achievement, enough.

This poem, short as it is, has given rise to much discussion. The Breslau Scholiast (A) tells us that it was not in the édádıa (original texts), but it was considered Pindar's from the time of Didymos on. In O. 2 and 3 we have two poems on one and the same victory, but the treatment is very different, as we have seen. P. 4 and 5 celebrate the same success, but different sides are turned out. Here, too, it might be said that 0.4 dwells on the achievement, 0.5 on the conditions; and 0.5 shows a more intimate acquaintance with local circumstances than 0.4 does. But this makes it only the harder to understand the resemblance in diction.

With í $\downarrow \eta \lambda a ̂ \nu$ ảpecâv $(5,1)$ compare $i \not \psi \eta \lambda o \tau a ́ t \omega \nu$ ả́ $\theta \lambda \omega \nu(4,3)$;


 5,$9 ; i \pi \in \iota, 4,10$; and if the more common interpretation of 4,4 be

 is a sarcastic comment. $\gamma \eta$ npas $(5,22)$ is a reflex of $\pi$ o $\lambda_{\text {cai }}(4,26)$. It is also well to remember the very narrow limits within which these resemblances, some of them in themselves trifling, are crowded, and Pindar's disinclination to repeat himself. In all P. סéкєv occurs but four times, ảkaцаитójous three times, forms of ikw seven. The chances of an accidental coincidence are remote. The poet must have had his own ode in mind, or an-other-perhaps Pindar's local representative, another Aineas $(0.6,88)$-must have imitated his manner. Add the point adduced above, the evidence of a more intimate acquaintance with local circumstances.

Much of the other detail is hyper-Pindaric. карঠiá $\gamma^{\wedge} \lambda a \nu \in \hat{\imath}$, v. 2, seems to be modelled, and not very happily modelled, on



 found P.9,32. On the other hand, ä $\omega$ тos is óp $\theta_{\text {ótòııs, }}$ O. 2, 8 ;
 I. 4 (5), 12 ; ä́кроя, I. 6 (7), 18, never $\gamma \lambda$ ккús except here. Mezger has called attention to the resemblance between this ode and the beginning and the end of the fifth Isthmian; and we can hardly resist the impression that we have before us a clever copy of Pindar's manner.

But if it is a copy of Pindar, the copy is faithful to Pindaric symmetry. Of the three triads, the first has for its main theme the victory of Olympia, the second the founding of Kamarina, the third contains a prayer for well-earned enjoyment of the glory gained abroad as well as at home. The three triads have been compared to the three крatipes of the symposium, at which the ode was sung.

The metres, logaoedic acc. to J.H.H. Schmidt, are often called dactylo-ithyphallic, not elsewhere found in P. Moriz Schmidt insists on the strong resemblance between the movement of 0.4
and of 0.5 , in opposition to Böckh, who says: A ceteris Pindari carminibus mirum quantum distans. Von Leutsch emphasizes the brief compass of the strophes and epodes, the simplicity of the verse, the peculiarity of the sequence, all indicating the Lesbian style of composition. According to him the poem is too light, and has too little art, for Pindar.

If we had a wider range of Pindaric poems, we might obelize with more certainty. To me the poem is exceedingly suspicious.
 Óyarep: The nymph of the lake, Kamarina, from which the city

 reference to the rapid growth of the restored Kamarina. - 5 .
 altars to twelve deities, and the pairs of $\sigma v \mu \beta \omega \mu \circ$ are these: 1. Zeus and Poseidon; 2. Hera and Athena; 3. Hermes and Apollo; 4. Charites and Dionysos; 5. Artemis and Alpheios; 6. Kronos
 av̉ $\xi \omega \nu$ : "Strove to honor."-6. vinc̀ $\beta$ ovevoiats: Comp. I. 5 (6), 44 : єủxais vinò $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma i a u s$ | $\lambda i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \mu a t$. $\beta$. denotes the height of liberal-
 $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau a \mu \dot{f} \rho o t s:$ This is the reading of the best MSS. Hermann thinks that the contests were held on the fifth day. Fennell
 oj $\gamma \delta o \dot{\eta} к о \nu \tau a$, and so equivalent to $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi a \mu \epsilon$ роьs, "lasting five days," which many editors have.
 in which he strove to honor (ध่रध́раєрє) the city. He succeeded only in the mule-race (àrív$)$. The controversy about this passage is endless.- $\quad$ огацтuríq: "And with the riding of single horse." The $\mu о \nu a ́ \mu \pi v \xi$ was a $\kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \eta s$. "Sole-frontleted "for "single," like oió̧ $\boldsymbol{c}^{2}$ vos àvíp. See commentators on So. O. C. 718:
 success is in the aor., the effort ( v .5 ) in the imperf.--iкápuge: Causative - venow : See Introduction to O. 4.
£ $\tau \rho . \beta^{\prime} .-9$. Olvoцáov кaì Hédomos: See O. 1, 24 foll. P. does not couple closely the luckless king and his fortunate successor

- 10．$\sigma$ та日 $\mu$ ёv ：＂Abode．＂So $0.10(11), 101$ ；P． 4,76 ；I． 6 （7）， 45.
- Ma入入ás：Brought from Lindos in Rhodes to Gela，from Gela
 K．lay on a bill，eighty feet high，between the mouth of the Oanis （Frascolaro）and the mouth of the Hipparis（Camarana），at the castern end of the great bay，the innermost point of which is occupied by Gela（Holm）．＂תaves bears a suspicious resemblance
 points to Fíavls．See Curtius，Gr．Et．${ }^{4}$ ，p．561．－${ }^{\text {eyxwpiar：Not }}$ otiose．Kamarina gets its name from the lake of the land．
＇Avr．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．－12．बeprov̀s bxeroús：＂Stately canals＂（Am．Journ． of Phil．VII．p．407）．Others＂sacred＂because of the river．－ orparóv：Doric use of the word＂host＂for＂folk．＂－13．ko $\lambda \lambda$ ấ： The commentators are divided as to the subject ；part take＂ $1 \pi$－ rapıs，part $\Psi a \hat{v} \mu \iota s$ ．Assuming，as we may，that Psaumis had done much to improve the navigation of the river，the praise is more delicate if we make the river the agent of all this good，and put，instead of the benefactor，the benefaction．＂The river doth build with speed a lofty forest of stedfast dwellings＂（Myers）． The canal enables the builders to float down wood rapidly for the new houses．Fennell transl．кo八入ậ，＂makes into rafts．＂－îqc
 vias：Livelier than the other reading，$a^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ ．See $0.6,43$ ，and N．1，
 light and life．

[^67] voc．$\sigma \hat{\omega} \tau \in \rho$ is post－Homeric．－18．Fifaiov：According to Deme－ trios of Skepsis this Idaian cave was at Olympia．If so，it was doubtless named after the great Ida in Crete．There were many

Cretans among the original founders of Kamarina.-19. $\Lambda$ v8fors árúwv év av̉doîs: The Lydian flute melody was used in suppli-

'Avт. $\gamma^{\prime}$.-20. evavoplaur: "With hosts of noble men." - 21. 'Oגчить́viкє: The victor is apostrophized, as often, at the close of
 $\mu \mathrm{ov}: \mathrm{P}$.'s usage would lead us to combine $\epsilon \nu ้ \theta v \mu o \nu$ with $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a ́ v$, but this is an exceptional poem, and we may follow the Schol., who combines it with $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s$. See $0.1,37 ;$ P. 8,88.




 is sound which streams in and out, helping others and gaining good report. Whoso hath this, and Psaumis hath it, let



mule car.
Coin of Messana

## olyympia vin

Agesras, son of Sostratos, was a Syracusan of the noble family of the Iamidai, descendants of Iamos, son of A pollo. The Iamidai were hereditary prophets among the Dorians, hereditary diviners at the great altar of Zeus in Olympia. Early settlers of Italy and Sicily, they retained their connection with Arkadia. Our Agesias, a citizen of Syracuse, was also a citizen of Stymphalos. As a Syracusan he was an active partisan of Hieron, and after the fall of the tyrannis was put to death by the Syracusans.

The composition of the ode cannot be earlier than Ol. 76, 1 ( 476 в.c.), nor later than $01.78,1$ ( 468 в.c.), the earliest and the latest Olympian celebrations that fall within the reign of Hieron. Ol. 77 ( 472 b.c.) is excluded, because Pindar was at that time in Sicily, and the poem was composed in Greece. Ol. 78, 1 is the date to which the ode is assigned by Böckh. Zev̀s Aitraios ( v .96 ) would seem more appropriate after the founding of Aitna (O1. 76). The arguments advanced by Leop. Schmidt in support of the same date, such as the character of vv. 58-63, which he regards as a feeble reflection of $0.1,71-85$, and the confidential tone in which Hieron is spoken of at the close, do not seem to be cogent.

The ode was probably sung at Stymphalos and repeated at Syracuse. One Aineas brought the poem from Thebes to Stymphalos, and directed the performance. We do not know whether he was an assistant of Pindar's or a local poet of the Iamid stock.

The verses to which one always cumes back in thinking over
 $\nu v \kappa т i ̀ \theta o a ̂ s ~ e ́ k ~ \nu a o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \sigma к i \mu \phi \theta a t ~ \delta o ́ " ~ a ̈ \gamma к v p a t . ~ I n ~ t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ O l y m-~$ pian we have noticed a recurrent three; here there is clearly a recurrent two. Agesias, the hero of the prem, unites in his per-
son Syracusan and Stymphalian. At Olympia he is victor in the games and steward of an oracle (vv. 4, 5). At Syracuse he is बvvouk $\sigma$ tis of the city and beloved of the citizens (vv. 6, 7). He is prince and prophet, as Amphiaraos (v. 13) was warrior and prophet, and his vietory.must be celebrated at Pitana (v. 28), as it must be celebrated at Syracuse (v. 99). His charioteer, Phintis (v. 22), must speed to the banks of the Eurotas, and Pindar's leader, Aineas (v. 88), must conduct the festal song. Agesias's maternal stock was Arkadian ; from thence came his prophetic blood-from Euadne, daughter of Poseidon (v. 29), a prophetic god; from Iamos (v. 43), whom Euadne bore to Apollo, a prophetic god.

The myth of Iamos (vv. 29-70) shows the value of this double help-the result, a double treasure of prophecy. Prosperity and fame attend the Iamidai. Herakles helped Iamos at Olympia (v. 68); Hermes the Iamidai in Arkadia (v. 79). Thebes and Stymphalos are akin (v. 86), as Herakles, Boeotian hero, and Hermes, Arkadian god, unite to bless the Iamidai. So the song must praise Hera (v. 88), for Arkadia was the home of her virginity, and vindicate Boeotia, home of Herakles (v. 90); must remember Syracuse, and wish the victor a happy reception in one home as he comes from another home-as he comes from Arkadia to Syracuse (v. 99). He has two homes in joy-two anchors in storm. God bless this and that ( $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \varepsilon \epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \lambda \nu \tau a ̀ \nu$ aǐav $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi o c ~ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, v. 102). Nor is the mention of the two anchors idle. May Amphitrite's lord speed Agesias's ship, and prosper the poet's song (v. 104).

This is one of the most magnificent of Pindar's poems, full of color, if not so dazzling as the seventh Olympian. The myth of Iamos, the $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota s$ ancestor of a $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota s$, is beautifully told. Profound moral there is none to me discernible. "He that hath gods on either side of his ancestry shall have the gods to right and left of him for aye," shows an aristocratic belief in blood


There is such a ganglion of personal and tribal relations involved in this piece that one is tempted to long historical and antiquarian disquisitions; but if we accept Pincar's statement as to the connection between Thebes and Arkadia, nothing more is necessary to the enjoyment of the ode.

The rhythm is Doric (dactylo-epitrite).
Of the five triads, the first contains a glorification of the victor, who is compared to Amphiaraos, also a prince and a prophet; the second takes us to Arkadia, and begins the story of Iamos, which is continued in the third and the fourth. The latter half of the fourth prepares the return to Syracuse, which forms the conclusion of the poem.

 0.5,13. - 2. ©́s ชัтє: Without a verb, as P. 11, 40; N. 9, 16 ; I. $5(6), 1$. With $\dot{\omega}$ ör or verb is in the ind., and not in the Homeric subj. (N. 8,40); therefore supply $\pi$ á $\gamma \nu v \mu \in \nu$, if anything. The ellipsis was hardly felt.-3. тáfopev: On the mood, see O. 2,
 ancient times. The gen. absol., though not "pawing to get free,"!!! is not used with perfect freedom in P. Hence $\dot{a}$. $\begin{gathered}\text { é. is felt to de- }\end{gathered}$
 108) of a fair dream, too fair to come to pass, and yet it has come to pass. eil has no subject, no ris, as might be expected. So
 The dative often varies with the genitive so as to produce a chiastic or cross-wise stress, thus emphasizing each element alternately. Here the stress is on тацias, while in ovyoıкıбтウ̀p tầ





 ing by fire.-6. ouvoucoovip: Of course only by hereditary right.7. èmıкv́poaus: Not with év í $\mu \epsilon \rho \tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̉ o \iota \delta a i ̂ s, ~ b u t ~ w i t h ~ a ̉ \phi \theta o ́ v \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu . ~$ Cf. v. 74. Citizens are apt to show envy in such circumstances. Those who count three columns in the $\pi \rho o \theta^{\prime} \theta \rho \rho o \nu$ forget Pindar's implicit way. There are four. A. is an Olympian victor, a rapias stós, a ovvoikıoríp of Syracuse, and beloved of his people. The outside columns are personal, the inside are hereditary.-dorâv: Both Stymphalians and Syracusans.

[^68]Sva乡, 'Iגiov торӨijroрa. The Greeks drew largely on foot ana footgear for their imagery, and yet Aristoph. laughs at $\chi$ póvou тóda (Ran. 100). ठ., "blessed of heaven."-9. इworpátov víbs: Effective suspense.-dxivбuvor . . . ap. : On the risk of the chariotrace, see So. El. 745 sqq. ; also O. 5, 16; P. 5, 49, and Introd. to P. 6. - 10. тар" ávóárvv: "On land." Hymn. Apoll. 142:

 this clause up in opposition to axivóvyot. The generic conditional in P. takes the pres. indic. (rarely pres. subj.) or the aor.


 "roundly," " freely."-"A8pactos: Leader of the Argive host that came to help Polyneikes to his rights, P. 8, 51, and elsewhere.'A $\mu \phi$ áp


 фаıסípas imтous: White, acc. to Philostr. Imagg. 1, 27. On the gender, see P. 2, 8.
 derstood now as "consumed," now as "composed" in the sense of Lat. compositus. "The corpses of seven pyres," one pyre for each contingent, not for each leader, as Adrastos escaped death, Amphiaraos disappeared, Polyneikes was buried by his sister. Of the many conjectures, van Herwerden's $\tau \epsilon \delta a \iota \sigma \theta i v \tau \omega y$ is the most convincing. Cf. N. 9, 25: Є́nтà yàp סaíaavto $\pi v \rho a i ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon o \gamma v i o u s ~$
 $\theta_{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ is one of Bergk's experiments. Christ's text has éraöév$\tau \omega \nu$. The Scholiasts seem to have had before them $\tau \epsilon \lambda \in \chi \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (so says Moriz Schmidt also), which they understand now as
 now as $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \chi$ Өévt $\omega \nu=\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu-c f$. Ar. Lys. 526 ; Plat. Legg. 6, 784 A. The former is the more likely. Bergk : $\tau \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$, from $\nu e ́ \omega$, "pile up."-Ta入aiovi§as: Mouth-filling patronymic for

 2,11.-17. д́ $\downarrow$ фтєроv: A clear Homeric reminiscence. Cf. Il. 3,179:


 veเкos: Bergk writes $\phi i \lambda$ óvicos from vík $\eta$, as he thinks with Cobet, N. L. 691, that עeikos would require $\phi \iota \lambda о \nu \epsilon \iota к \eta$ '. The passage is re-




 prove," "shall not say me nay " (E. Myers).
 Phintias in the story of Damon and Phintias (falsely Pythias).
 his poetic chariot only on grand occasions. $0.9,87 ;$ P. 10, 65 ;
 2, 12: $\sigma \theta$ évos intretov. $\sigma \theta$. is not limited by P. to animals, Fr. II.
 18, 486 : $\sigma \theta$ évos ' $\Omega$ piఉyos. Plato says in sport of Thrasymachos,

 the path of poesy see $\mathrm{N} .6,52: \pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \delta o \iota, 62: ~ \delta \delta \delta \partial ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu a \xi \iota \tau o ́ v, \mathrm{I} .2,33:$
 Oos. кaӨ. "illumined."-24. ßárouev: ö $\phi \rho a$, as a relative, may take the fut. (II. 16, 243 ; Od. 4, 163; 17, 6), and P. has P. 11, 9 :
 O. 1, 7.-25. kai Yévos : k., "actually," "at last," shows impati-


 wreathed as well as the victor.-28. mpòs Пırévav: The nymph of the town in Laconia-not the town itself.
'Avr. $\beta$ '.-29. ${ }^{\text {a : }}$ : The myth is often introduced by a relative
 much prefers the first aor. p. of this verb to the second.-Kpovip: See O. 2, 13.-30. Fıómגokov: "Black-tressed." So Bergk for ioт入óканоу (unmetrical) of the best MSS. Cf. P. 1, 1: fıотлока́$\mu \omega \nu \mid$ Moraây. Allusion to the 'I $a \mu i \delta a u$. -31. nap日eviav díiva: "Fruit of unwedded love."-кódmots: "With the folds of her robe." References to change of belting. in the circumstances,
are common enough in all literature.-32. кvpie $\dot{d v} \mu \eta v i$ : The de-
 uniformly fem. in Homer, it may be considered fem. here.-33.

 v. 36.-34. Фaırávq: In southern Arkadia, on the upper Alpheios.



 more naturally take the aor. part., but the neg. is killed by the

 Allowed in P. for $\pi \epsilon \rho i .-39$. фоььккókpoкov: The passage is characteristically full of color. $\phi .$, "crimson."一катаөךкац́́va: P. gives in detail for the daughter what he had only hinted at for the

 overarching. Mommsen reads with A $\lambda_{\text {ó } \chi \mu a t s ~ v i m o ̀ ~ k v a v e ́ a u s . ~ F o r ~}^{\text {. }}$
 $\chi \mu a$.-кvavéas: The colors are contrasted, dark blue with yellow, cold with warm.-41. тiктє $=\tau \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota \epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \mu \lambda \lambda \epsilon$. The imperf. of this verb is in very common use. Sometimes "she was (a) mother" (v. 85), sometimes "she had to bear."- $\theta$ eó ${ }^{\circ}$ pova: Fit word for a future prophet, " upon whom was the spirit of God."-X Xevook' بas: О. 7, 32. Comp. P. 2, 16 : xpvaoxaîta. - 42. 'Encílviav: Cf.

 tas $\tau \epsilon, \mathrm{I} .5(6), 17$, and mentions Aá $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ts at the $\lambda a ́ \chi o s$ of Rhodes (O. 7, 64), but nowhere calls"Atротоs by name.
 row." Comp. N. 1, 36 : $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi^{\nu} \omega \nu$ ṽ̃o $\mu a \tau$ épos aủrika $\theta a \eta \tau a ̀ \nu$
 44. avitika: Effective position. The favorites of the gods are sped in childbirth. - кvı̧̆ouévo: On the savagery of the primipara,
 rà $\pi a \iota \delta i a$. Fennell, "though sore distressed."-45. גeinte: The imperf. denotes reluctance, "had to leave," "felt that she had to leave."- $\delta$ v́o . . . ©pákovtes : Two also in Eur. Ion, 23. The ser-
pent is notoriously mantic and Apollinic, and occurs everywhere in the history of Greek religion. The סpáкoutes are children of Gaia. Notice the rarity of dual nouns in P.-रдavкêmes: P.
 ial.-46. épéqavтo: The affectionate middle, P. 9, 20. 95.-а́цярфєî | lథ̣: An oxymoron contrast to the natural lós of the $\delta$ ри́коутes. The honey, which is also mantic, was a miraculous exudation of the serpent's fangs, and so $\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \sigma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ is $=\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \sigma a i \omega$. . $\hat{\varphi}$ is another

 - हौaúvav: "Hasting." - 49. тòv . . . тékot: The opt. for the ind. in Homer is virtually confined to the .interrogative sentence. This Pindaric experiment with the relative is due to the interrogative character of eipeco, and has few parallels in classic Greek. So. O. R. 1245 : калєî тò̀ $\Lambda a ́ \iota o \nu \mid \mu \nu \eta ं \mu \eta \nu ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota \omega ̂ \nu ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a ́-~$
 amples mainly in Herodotos.-үєүáкetv: A Doric perfect, such as we find most frequently in the Sicilian dialect. тєтєлєvтакоv́бая occurs in a Delphic inscription (Curtius).

 $\pi \epsilon \rho t$ with most of the codices.-52. $\mu$ ávé: Specialized in prose. Here of prophetic revelations. - 53. єvैxovтo: "Vowed," "de-clared."-ả入入̀े . . . yáp: "But (in vain) for." See O. 1, 55.-54.
 Bergk writes $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ pit $\varphi$ (as Od. 10, 195), "limitless." The quantity aंтєєрắт $\varphi$, " unexplored," is, to say the least, very problematic (àreip $\begin{gathered}\text { tos, Hom.), but àmeípăтos might be to } \pi \epsilon i \rho a s ~ a s ~ \pi є ́ \rho a \tau o s ~ i s ~\end{gathered}$ to $\pi$ épas. "Boundless brake."- 55 . ${ }^{2} \omega v$ : The colors assigned to the violet here seem to show that the pansy is meant(viola tricolor). the yellow eye of the violet being too small for the prominence of $\xi a \nu \theta a i \sigma t$. cov means also " gillyflower."-танторфv́pos: "Deep
 In Homer only of the dead body.-катефápıॄєv: She dedicated him to be called. Her calling was a dedication; the nomen was an omen, as often. - xpóvч бо́щлavтt: "For all time," where is
 us lose the intent.

[^69] $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \rho \in ́ \psi a \iota \mid \tilde{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \lambda o \nu$. A consecrated epithet, Hes. Theog. 17 : "H $\beta \boldsymbol{\eta} v$
 approach. The god of the sea is also god of the river. Besides, Alpheios runs straight to the main. "Mid-Alpheios" (Schol.). Others, "into the middle of the Alpheios."-evpußiav: P. 2, 12.
 $\pi \dot{\nu} \nu .-\theta \epsilon о \delta \mu$ átas: Here in its full sense. See 0.3, 7.-60. 入aotpó-

 1,71.-aptıenर्भ: "Clear speaking." So I. 4 (5), 46. Comp. àтiтovs, ápriarouos. Not Aokias, the riddlesome, this time.-62. $\mu_{\text {e- }}$ rád $\lambda a \sigma e v:$ The voice sought him in the dark and (when it found him) said. The commentators have made much difficulty about the highly poetical expression.-63. máyкotvov ès xúpav: Comp. $0.3,17$ : $\Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ a i ̈ r \epsilon \iota ~ \pi a \nu \delta ̊ o ́ k \oplus ~ a ̈ ̉ \lambda \sigma \epsilon \iota . ~ \pi ., ~ a ~ p r o p h e c y ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ a ~$ prolepsis in the usual sense of that word.-фápas ömьotev: "In the track of my voice."
 tain meaning. "Steep" might answer here, "brambly" (Goebel) would not. єv̇סєiє
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, which shows the survival of the etymological meaning of $\mu \eta \chi^{a \nu} \eta$, "might," "power." - 68. Bádos: So O. 2, 49:
 father of Amphitryon. We are more familiar with the form
 of the ashes of the sacrifices, and consisted of two parts; on the upper and lesser the thighs of the victims were burned, and the divination performed, Paus. 5, 13, 9.-тó $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ av̉ : The contrast to тóкa $\mu$ év is put characteristically at the end, not at the beginning of the $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ clause.-кénevacv: A shift of construction, instead of leaving $\theta_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ in apposition with $\theta \eta \sigma a v \rho o ́ v . ~$
'Avr. 8'. -71. $\boldsymbol{k}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ oũ: "Since when," not a part of the promise. Supply '̇oti as usual, " has been and is." Some have no stop at
 the strength of N. 10, 37.-72. тицêvтєs: "Prizing."-73. is фavepàv ठ̇ठóv: Comp. v. 23: кє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \omega$. . . кa $\alpha a \rho a ̂$, and contrast the picture of home-sneaking youths, P. 8, 87: katà $\lambda a ⿱ u^{\prime} \rho a s \delta^{\circ}$ é $\chi \theta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$
 (thereof). So $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime}$ ëкабтоу, of achievements, $0.9,112$. Others: Action proveth each man. - $\mu \omega \mu \mathrm{os}:$ Cf. fr. XI. 42: $\pi$ ori $\mu \hat{\omega} \mu \circ \nu$ ধ̈́natvos кipyarat. Blame and praise are inseparable.- $\mathbf{k j}$ : Of the


 who has seen can forget the light of battle even on vulgar faces, and everybody notices the beauty of homely brides. As Iamos is steeped in violet light (v. 55), so Agesias has beauty

 imò Kvidávas öpous: So Christ, after the Schol., for öpats. The
 - $\mu a ́ r p w e s$ ävঠpes: The double lineage is insisted on. The maternal stock is one of the two anchors, v .100.

[^70]ing loth＂（Myers）．We should expect rather some such word
 кеt is read，к．$\pi$ ．is the dat．of approach．－84．цатроиárшр ¿̇ $\mu \mathrm{a}$, кг犬．：Metope，daughter of Ladon，and nymph of a body of water near Stymphalos，was the mother of Thebe by Asopos．

 iparetvòv viठwp：Much stress is laid everywhere on the waters of

 as a fut．except here，where Curtius（Gr．Verb．II ${ }^{1}$ ．290）considers it to have a pres．force．－88．Aivéa：Aineas was P．＇s रopod̀九óá－ бкaios，and was to him what Phintis was to Agesias．It is sup－ posed that Aineas was a Stymphalian relative of Agesias，and a local poet－the proper man for the performance of an ode in－ tended to be sung at Stymphalos．The task ${ }^{\text {T}} \mathrm{H} \rho a \nu$ חap日eviav кє $\lambda a \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ was to be the work of Aineas himself，to be followed by P．＇s ode，which Aineas was to produce，and to find out by its effect whether P．was open to the old sneer against Boeotians． Aineas is a man whom he can trust with the execution of a com－ mission which should silence the cavillers in Stymphalos．－ ＂Hpar Map日eviav：A Stymphalian goddess．Hera had three temples there，and three names，mais（ $\pi a \rho \theta \dot{e} \nu o s$ ），тє $\lambda \in i a, ~ \chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$ ， Paus．8，22，2．－89．ápXaîov ôvetios ．．．Botwtiav viv：Comp．fr．IV．
 habitants of Boeotia．The moral character of the swine was not exactly the same among the Greeks as it is among us and the

 truth＂（after an honest calculation ）．－90．феúyouev＝perf．－
 тá入a Moorâv：Of the musical and orchestic part．He is reten－ tive．－रोuкùs крarvip：Shifting of the metaphor．He adds a charm of his own．See Introductory Essay，p．xli．

[^71](Hdt. 1, 144), and Demeter and Persephone were much worshipped in Arkadia.-Aevkimmov: So, especially, when she returns in the spring.-96. Zךv̀̀s Aitvaiov: Cf. N. 1, 6 : Z $\eta \nu o ̀ s ~ A i t r v a i o v ~ \chi a ́ p ı \nu . ~$. Aitna was an especial pet of Hieron, who is called Airvaios in the title of P. 1, Aitvaios $\xi \in \dot{v} \nu \mathbf{o s}$ P. 3, 69.-97. 入úpaı $\mu \mathbf{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi a i$ т $\tau$ : P. composed in his honor three Pythians, one Olympian, and fragments of a skolion and a hyporchema remain.-yıш́бкоvtь: So O. 7, 83 :
 with the Schol., Böckh. The fut. opt. cannot be defended. Bergk cites So. O. R. 1274, where óqoiat' . . . ov $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ oiato are in oratio obliqua, and represent fut. ind. We should have to

 (comp. Aus Gottes Hand in Gottes Hand). So also O. 7, 3: $\delta \omega \rho \rho^{\prime}-$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma \epsilon \tau а 兀 ~ . ~ . ~ о и ̆ к о ~} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ойкабє , and, for the opposite, see $0.3,44 .-100$. $\mu a-$


 101. 80 "ä $\mathbf{y c v p a t : ~ O n ~ e i t h e r ~ s i d e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ p r o w ~ ( P a l e y ) . ~ S t a r b o a r d ~}$ and port, not fore and aft. Proverbial. The two homes, with the double line of descent.-102. $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon$ : Stymphalians.-кєivev тє:
 gested by the ship. With поутónє $\bar{\delta} \nu$, comp. P. 3, 6.-cílivv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ :
 roto: " Gold-distaff" is a poetic way of sexing the sea (Böckh). -105. 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ фıгрitas: Amphitrite has, as her special province, the waves (Od. 3, 91) and the great fishes, кท่rea, Od. 5, 422, and 12,


ROSE.
Coin of Rhodes.

## OLYMPIA VII.

Diagoras of Rhodes, most famous of Greek boxers, won the victory here celebrated Ol. 79, 1 (464 в.c.).

The poem was composed soon afterwards, as we may gather from v. 13 : $\sigma$ v̀̀ $\Delta$ acaópa karé $\beta a \nu$, and was sung at Rhodes.

Diagoras was a Herakleid. In the third generation after Temenos a Doric colony went from Argos to Rhodes by way of Epidauros. The leaders were descendants of Tlepolemos, son of Herakles, and Pindar makes Tlepolemos himself the founder of the colony. The Herakleidai occupied three cities of Rhodes, and established a triple kingdom. Those who inhabited Ialysos were called Eratidai, and this was the stock of Diagoras, who also counted among his ancestors a son-in-law of the famous Messenian leader, Aristomenes. The royal power of the Eratidai ceased after Ol. 30, and in the time of Pindar prytaneis ruled instead; and it is supposed that the father of Diagoras, Damagetos, was such a prytanis. Of an illustrious family, Diagoras won for himself unparalleled distinction as a boxer. Besides being victorious at many local games, he was successful at all the national games, and so became a $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oodoviкns. His sons emulated the head of the house. His youngest, Dorieus, had a career only less brilliant than that of his father. Damagetos won the pankration at Olympia, Akusilans a boxing-match. The two sons of his daughters were also victors at Olympia, and one of his daughters enjoyed the exceptional privilege of being present at the Olympian games. The statue of Diagoras, surrounded by his three sons and two grandsons, the work of Kallikles of Megara, was erected at Olympia; and familiar is the story of the Spartan who, when he saw Diagoras borne on the shoulders of his two laurelled sons, exclaimed, "Die, Diagoras, for thou canst not mount to heaven" (Cic. Tusc. 1, 46, 111). It is not known whether Diagoras followed the advice or lived to see the downfall of his family. Rhodes belonged to the Delian league. Two years before the victory here celebrated the battles of Eurymedon
were fought (466), and Athens was at the height of her power. Enemies of aristocratic government, the Athenians favored the commons as against the Doric aristocracy of Rhodes. Diagoras's son, Dorieus, fled to Thurioi, but returned and fought against the Athenians in his own ships, was captured, but liberated. Again exiled, he went to the Peloponnesos, where he was arrested by the Spartans and executed. But these events befell many years after the date of the victory celebrated in this ode.

The good fortune of Diagoras was proverbial. The Morere, Diagora of Cicero's version of his story, cited above, is in the school-books. But if we had no evidence outside of this ode, we should know by Pindar's recital that his career was brilliant, as his home was brilliant-Rhodes, child of Aphrodite, bride of the sun ( v .14 ). No wonder that the golden beaker and the foaming wine are used to symbolize the song in honor of such a victor and such a home (v. 1, foll.). But there must be shade as well as light. Nemesis does not allow too much happiness, and in the history of the line of Diagoras, Pindar finds enough trouble for contrast, each trouble ending in ligher joy. So, should the happiness of Diagoras ever be interrupter, there is good hope of more than recompense. Tlepolemos, founder of the house, slew the brother of Alkmena-passion had overmastered him (v. 27) - but Apollo sent him to Rhodes, where he received "sweet ransom for grievous disaster" (v. 77). The sons of Helios, lord of Rhodes, were bidden to raise an altar to Athena and sacrifice to the Great Sire and the Warrior-maid. Wise as they were, they forgot fire, and offered flameless sacrifices. Yet the gods forgave; Zeus sent them gold, Athena cunning craft (vv. 3953). Helios himself, pure god, was absent at the partition of the earth; yet he received a boon that he himself preferred to all besides (vv. 54-76). In each of these three cases we have a good beginning followed by misfortune, and yet a good ending crowns all. Diagoras was fortunate. Both áperá and $\chi$ ápuara were his (cf. v. 44), but he might one day forget; he trod a noble path, v̋ßpıos éx $\theta \rho$ à̀ ó óóv (v. 90 ), but passion might overtake him; he was a prince among men as Helios was a prince among gods, but he might, in his absence, be forgotten; but should Nemesis have aught against Diagoras, he may yet hope to find, like Tlepolemos, like the sons of Helios, like Helios himself, $\lambda$ úrpov $\sigma \nu \mu \phi o \rho a ̂ s$
oikrpâs $\gamma \lambda \nu$ кú (v. 77). The winds shift (v. 95), but the divine helmsman steers the ship to its haven.

A remarkable feature of the myth is the reversal of the usual chronological order. We begin with Tlepolemos and end with the emergence of Rhodes. The climax is in the rank of those who have sinned, who have forgotten, who have been absent. Note that the fault is less the higher we mount. No wonder that an explanation has been sought of the triple shadow that falls across the poem. The Scholiast on v. 94 assumes that Diagoras had got into discredit by killing one of his opponents. But this must have been in some previous contest, for in such an event there would have been no victory, as is shown by the case of Kleomedes (Paus. 6, 9, 6). The shadow may come from the future, as has been assumed above, but there is danger of being

 25) need not have been ominous. The changing breezes of the close may bring good as well as evil.

The rhythms are dactylo-epitrite.
Of the five triads, the first is occupied with the introduction; the second, third, and fourth unfold the fortunes of the houseTlepolemos, the Heliadai, Helios himself. The last triad turns to Diagoras. The divisions are all clear-cut, the triads do not overlap-a rare thing in Pindar.

On the statement that this ode was preserved in the temple of Athena at Lindos in letters of gold, see Ch. Graux, Rev. de Phil. V. 117, who thinks that the offering was "a little roll ( $\beta \iota-$ $\beta \lambda i o v, v o l u m e n)$ of parchment or fine leather, bearing on its inner surface the ode written in gold ink."
$\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime} .-1$. $\Phi_{\text {tádav }}$ : The father of the bride pledged the bridegroom in a beaker of wine and then presented him with the beaker, evidently a formula of espousal. See Athen. 13, 35, p. 575 D. The фıá $\eta$ was not a drinking-vessel in Homeric times.
 connotation of "freely." Comp. àmò $\gamma \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma a s, 0.6,13$. - i $\lambda \omega \dot{v} v$ : For "pleonastic" (Dissen) read "plastic."-2. kax入á̧ourav: "Bubbling," "foaming."-3. $\delta \omega$ priбerat: P. has is ci only here, $\dot{\omega}$ süre once with the ind. (N. 8, 40). Homer has $\dot{\omega} \in i$ with subj.
once (II. 9, 481), with ind. once (Il. 13, 492). $\delta \omega \rho \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ erat is the generic subj., and the shift from subj. to indic., $\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$, may be compared to the shift with $\dot{\omega} s \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o} \tau \epsilon$ in Homer (e. g., Il. 11, 414), in which "the most important point of the comparison is usually expressed by the subjunctive, while details and subordinate incidents are given in the ind." (Monro after Delbrück). Still $\theta \bar{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon$ produces the effect of an apodosis (comp. N. 7, 11: єi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 a mere picturesque addition, but forms an organic part of the comparison. However, as this use of $\delta \epsilon$ is not absolutely certain in P., in spite of $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon}(0.3,43)$, it may be well not to urge it here. The effect can be got at all the same. P. is nothing, if

 to home and so binding home to home. See O. 6, 99.-кopuфáv:
 (Schol.). "For the sake of them that sat at drink with him." $\sigma$. $=$ oi $\sigma \nu \mu \pi i \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, as $\theta$ éatpo $=$ oi $\theta \epsilon \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu o u$. Others, "to grace the banquet."-тца́бaเs: Coincident with $\delta \omega \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \in \tau a \iota$ as an aorist subj. Comp. P. 4, 189.- ${ }^{2} v \delta:$ "Therein" $=$ "thereby." 6.

 б́кóфpovos cuvâs: The present is a prelude and a pledge of an harmonious wedlock-a great boon now as then. єủvâs, su-- called gen. of the source of emotion.
 . . . каi.-véктар хutóv: Persius, Prol. 14, Pegaseïum nectar. X., acc. to the Schol., denotes rò aưтó $\mu$ атоу каі ä́кратov, " liquid."Motrâv Sórtv: The Muses have given it àфעєtâs ảnò $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ s$. But the figure is not carried out, though it might have been. The $\phi$ áda would have represented the maestro di cappella. Comp. O. 6, 91, where Aineas is called $\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v ̀ s ~ к \rho a т \grave{\rho} \rho$ ả $\gamma a \phi \theta \in ́ \gamma к \tau \omega \nu$ ảoıôâv.
 been successful at both places.- $\gamma \lambda u \kappa \grave{v} v$ картд̀v фpevós: Follows
 ìáoкoнat = ìapò̀s notê (Schol.), "I cheer them," but the equipoise of the passage demands a graver sense, such as $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$, cor-
 not for inćous ( $\grave{\lambda} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ) $\pi 0 เ \omega$. the Scholiast manufactured the sense "cheer" on account of the superhuman sphere of iגá⿱кокан.-

 8ievovat．Song is the earnest of abiding good report，as the cup is the pledge of harmonious wedlock；but Charis，the goddess of the epinikion，casts her eyes now on one and now on another．
 rípayyov ঠépкєтat．－โ $\omega$ 日á入ноs：＂That giveth life its bloom＂（more
 A similar formation is $\beta$ ıöá $\mu$ нos，Hymn．in Ven．190．－12．Аápa $=a \mu a$ ，whereas $\theta a \mu a ́$ is $\theta a \mu a \dot{k} ı s$ ，＂often＂（Bergk）．The assump－ tion of this $\theta$ ápa has been vigorously opposed by J．K．Ingram in
 The regimen is suspended until év comes in with évrectv．（But see note， $0.9,94$ ）．So the first negative of two or more may be

 N．11，17；I．4， 27.
 кат＇ßav：Figuratively．So $0.9,89 ;$ N．10，43．For the verb，see P．3，73，which there also is used absolutely．－tàv movtiav：De－ pends on i $\mu \nu$ é $\omega \nu$ ．tà̀ $\pi$ ovriav is usu．combined with＇Pódov．As to the distance，see $0.12,5$ ．Still it is better to take the words as they come－the daughter of the sea（ ràv $\pi$ rovrià $=\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi o ́ v r o v$ ） －child of Aphrodite－bride of the sun．With rà̀ movrià maî̀＇
 So below mapà Kagta入ía．In prose this would be felt as per－ sonal，＂in Alpheios＇s demesne，＂＂in Kastalia＇s home；＂here not so much．See $0.1,20 .-16$ ．$\pi v \gamma \mu a ̂ s$ ă ärotva：The full acc．force


 So N．11，24．－$\Delta a \mu$ áү ${ }^{\text {rov }}$ ：A prytanis，as Böckh infers from what follows．－áóvra：See O．3，1．P．＇s $\psi$ incots of this word is neg－ lected in some editions and lexicons．With the phrase comp．I．


 nymph to the island．$-19 .{ }^{2} \mu \beta \delta \lambda \varphi$ ：The＂ship＇s beak＂headland is Kvyòs $\sigma \hat{\eta \mu a}$ in Karia．－＇Apyeiq：Rhodes was colonized trom Argos．－alх $\mu \underset{\text {＿}=a i \chi \mu a r a i ̂ s . ~}{\text { ．}}$
 the more prosaic $\beta$ रov́入o $\mu$ at only once．roîotv és appâs：Explained
 －21．छuvov：＂That touches the common stock．＂Comp．P．9，101：


 $=\delta_{\iota \epsilon} \lambda \theta$ eiv $\dot{\rho} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s .-23 . \iota_{k} \Delta t \dot{s}:$ The line is：

$\therefore$ ：is omitted with the nearer in the line，＇Aqrvoancias．Acc．to
 ters we must be satisfied with any feminine ending．Comp．＇I $\phi$ 七－
 Sal：Amyntor，king of Armenion in Magnesia，overcome by He－
 фре́vas à $\mu \phi ı к \rho \in ́ \mu a \nu \tau a \imath ~ f e \lambda \pi i \delta \ell є s$ ．There seems to be an allusion to lures or nets．
＇Avt．$\beta$＇．－26．vvิv ${ }^{2} v$ каì тeोcutạ：：For the trajection of кai，which gives especial emphasis to the second member，comp．O．2，31；P． 10，58；N．7，31．－тихе̂̀ ：Epexegetic infinitive．－28．ムıки́uvıov．．． Mı́éas：L．was the son of Elektryon and his concubine Midea， and as Elektryon was the father of Alkmene，Tlepolemos killed his father＇s uncle．See table，and cf．II．2， 662 ：aủríka пarpòs éoio
 es $\theta \in \sigma^{v}$ ：és of motion to a person is rare in Pindar，O．2， 38 and 54．The person is the place．
 every now and then from the opening covered by the tripod．

 vouóv：Oracles delight in circumlocution for the saving of their
 place of the hydra，forty stades from Argos，Strabo，8，p． 368 and
371.-35. ávix’: Comp. P. 4, 48.- $\tau$ éxvaratv: For the pl. comp. O. 9, $56 ;$ P. 3,$11 ; 4,249 ; 8,60$.-36. кат' äкpav: We should expect $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { ' } \\ \text {, }\end{gathered}$ but Athena makes her sire's head the stage of her first appearance.


 15.-40. xpéos: "Duty." The service was the worship of Athena
 which involves the "how" of an action. So even in prose. Cf. Dem. 6, 3 (with тарєбкєvávӨat), to say nothing of Xen., who has it often with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ (e. g. Cyr. 1, 2, 5). In Homer with a


 the daughter of Wisdom. If knowledge were wisdom, it would not be necessary to say "Let knowledge grow from more to more | Yet more of reverence in us dwell." The reverence here is the respect to the $\chi \rho$ éos. For the personification see P. 5, 27: tàv

 $\mu a ́ v$, mystery by $\tau \iota$, which goes with $\nu$ é́фos. $\tau \iota:$ "A strange."äтéкцарта: "Bafflingly" (Myers).-46. таре́̀ккеь: The cloud of forgetfulness "sails over and makes nothing " of the right road, effaces it and so "trails it out of the mental vision." The changes proposed ruin the highly poetical passage. - $\boldsymbol{\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ r \omega v}$

 where Athena was worshipped àmúpous iєpoîs.-oṽ: The effect of the position is almost as if there were an interrogation point after $\phi$ 入oyós, and ovz were the answer. On the position of the
 (11), 49.- $\delta \mu^{\prime} v=$ Zcés. $\boldsymbol{\xi a v \theta a ́ v}$ : The cloud takes its color from the gold that it contains.- 50 . xpvoóv: The poem is full of gold, vv. 4, 32, 34, 50, 64.-īfe: A metaphor turned into a myth.
 K $\rho o v i \omega \nu$, and Chaucer's "It snewed in his hous of mete and
 крareiv. "Every art to excel" (therein). Rhodes was a centrs of art from the earliest times.
 in P.: with the acc. "o'ermaster," "surpass," P.4. 245; N. 5, $45 ; 10,25$ : with the gen. only here. - 52 . โwoívı épróvecooi $0^{\circ}$ ठнoía: "That looked as if they lived and moved." The Greeks, like the Japanese, were fond of exaggeration about art and artists. So the Rhodians were fabled to have tied the feet of their statues to keep them from running away. Michael Angelo's "Cammina" is a stock story.-фépov: The statues were set up in the streets. There is no reference to moving along the roads,
 Rhodes owed her prosperity. Pindar skilfully suppresses the loss incurred by the neglect of the Heliadai. Athena transferred her presence to Athens, but did not leave the Rhodians comfort-
 e'en surpassing art is no magic trick." The mythical artisans of Rhodes, the Telchines, who came up out of the water with the island, were supposed to be wizards. All folk-lore is full of magicians of this kind, and the devil figures largely as a craftsman in mediaeval legends. All these miracles of art, says P., were wrought by ápıotoтóvot $\chi$ єipes, and there is no trick in any of them. The refutation of this charge naturally brings up the story of the birth of Rhodes. There are other renderings. "The subtlety that is without deceit is the greater altogether," that is, the Heliadai, who received their knowledge from Athena, were greater artists than the Telchines, who were magicians. Yet others refer $\delta a \hat{y} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{c}$ to the artisan and not to the judge. Bergk transl. in prudente homine etiam maior sapientia fraudis est ex.
 (Schol.).-56. тє入áyєь . . . тоvтị: тóytos is practically the deep sea : even according to Curtius's etymology deep water is the only true $\pi$ átos or "path" for the mariner. mé $\lambda a y o s$, whatever its etymology, has often the effect of "expanse." "In the wide sea," "in the open main."
 "then and there."-60. ápvòv $\theta$ év: Notice the after-thought position, which has the effect of a protest against the ill-treat-
 "A new cast."- $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda e v:$ As a verb of purpose, $\mu e ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ may take the aor. inf. as well as the present, which is far more common. As a verb of thinking it has the future inf., which is the
norm，though P．does not use it．See $0.8,32 .-62$ ．atre ．．． opâ：Instead of the usual finite construction．Cf．O．1，75．－ aivonévav $\pi \in \delta 60 \mathrm{ev}$ ：Allusion to the name＇Pódos，the Island of the Rose．Hence also $\beta \lambda$ д́́бтє（v．69）．－63．то入ı́ßorkov，ктé．：Olara Rhodos was famous for grain，and pasture also．


 The formula is given II．15， 36 ；Od． 5,184 ；Hymn．in Apoll． 83 ：


 vain．＂So P．9， 47 ：тарфа́цєу тои̂тоу 入óyov．－67．тєнфөєírav $=$
 for $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau a \sigma a \nu$, Bergk．－$\lambda$ бүшv корvфаі：Comp．P．3，80．The chief points of the compact were fulfilled，came true．－69． $\mathbf{i v}$ ¿גa日eíq тєтоírau：Coincident action with $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \tau a \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，a more vivid




 voń $\mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ，with an unfortunate juxtapositiou of бофผ́тata and $\mu$ e－
 sire to son．－73．©ैv єis：Kerkaphos．－Kápupov：Schneidewin， with inscriptions，for Kápetpov．－74．＇Iá入voov： $\mathcal{F}$（ $F_{l a \lambda}$ ．）is sus－
 ＂In their honor，＂＂by their names．＂

 －78．iotaral：Not historical present．The offering is still kept

 as Mezger does，nor is it necessary to the sense．Comp．$\beta$ oûv
 крíatv．For ä $\mu \dot{\prime}$ thus used，see O．9，97．－ávecor：The wreath was white poplar acc．to the Schol．－81．к久etvậ：＇I $\sigma \theta \mu$ ós is fem．， $0.8,49$ ，and elsewhere．－82．$\alpha \lambda \lambda a v ~ 2 \pi^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda q$ ：The ellipsis of
yikay is not violent．＂One upon another，＂in immediate succes－ sion．－Kpavaaîs dv＇A＇Aávals：So $0.13,38 ; ~ N . ~_{8,11 .}$
＇Ayr．$\epsilon^{\prime}$－83．xa入rós：The prize was a shield，for the fabrica－ tion of which arm the Argives were famous．－＂үvo：0．6，89．－

 prize of the Herakleia or Iolaia was a bronze tripod．－${ }^{\prime \prime}$ vopot： ＂Wonted．＂－86．Hé̀лava：In Achaia．The prize was a mantle，
 кро́кaıs．－Alyiva：There is no warrant for the form Aiyiva，yet Airiva would be unbearably harsh，as we should have to supply
 ＂x́ct $\lambda \mathbf{K}^{\prime}$ yov：＂Has no other tale to tell，＂the＂tale＂being the ＂count，＂＂shows the same number．＂－$\lambda_{2} \theta i v a \mid \psi a ̂ \phi o s: ~ " T h e ~ r e c k-~$ oning on stone，＂of the $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta$ on which the victories were re－ corded．－87．Zev̂ đárep：Zeus is more conspicuous here than is usual even in an Olympian ode．See v．23．－＇Ataßupiov ：Ataby－ ron，or Atabyris，a mountain in Rhodes，with a temple of Zeus． Strabo， 10,$454 ; 14,655 .-88$ ．тía $\mu i v$ ：Followed by $\delta i \delta o \iota \tau \epsilon$ ．See
 of the freedom involved in $\ddot{v}^{\mu} \mu o s$＇O $\nu \nu \mu \pi \iota \nu i k a s$ ，for which see 0 ． 3， 3.

 oppal фpéves $\mathbf{~ B ~}_{5}$ dipa0ûv：This is poetry for＂hereditary good


 $\pi a \rho \mathfrak{\eta} v o v \nu$, íné $\theta \in \nu \tau o$（Schol．）．The oracle of Diagoras is the wis－ dom of his ancestors，which is personated in him．－$\mu \eta े$ крúrтe： Let it ever shine．－кoıvóv：A common glory．－93．Ka入入ıávakros： Kallianax was a conspicuous ancestor of Diagoras．－＇Eparifâr： D．belonged to the Eratidai．＇E．depends on रapitєббוv．Each joy of the Eratidai is a festivity to the city．－94．$\mu \stackrel{( }{\text { a }}$ ：＂One and

 the Introduction to the ode

## OLYMPIA VIII.

The victory celebrated in this ode was gained 01.80 ( 460 b.c.) by Alkimedon of Aigina. We know nothing about the victor except what Pindar tells us. He was a Blepsiad (v. 75) of the stock of Aiakos, son of Zeus. There had been much sickness in the family (v. 85). He had lost his father, Iphion (v. 81); his uncle, Kallimachos (v. 82). His grandfather was still living (v. 70). His brother, Timosthenes, had won a Nemean victory (v. 15). His teacher was the famous trainer Melesias, who is mentioned N. 4, 93 and 6,74. There is much dispute whether Alkimedon was an $\ddot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \delta \rho o s$ or not. See v. 68.

The song seems to have been sung immediately after the victory during the procession to the altar of Zeus in the Altis.

Pindar knew Aigina well, and the universal of the Aiginetan odes is often so pegged in the knotty entrails of the particular that it is hard to set it free. The victory is the victory of a boy, and the ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon i \pi \tau \eta s$, who is entitled to a fair share of the praise in all the boy-odes, seems to have a disproportionate space allotted to him. As an Athenian, Melesias had a certain amount of odium to encounter, and P. found it necessary to vindicate him by recounting the successes of Melesias as well as the successes of those whom he had trained. Mezger sees in the ode a jubilee-tribute to Melesias for the thirtieth victory of his pupils (v. 66)-a notion more German than Greek.

After an invocation of Olympia as the mistress of truth, by reason of the happy issue of the oracle delivered by the diviners at the great altar of Zeus (vv. 1-10), the poet says: There are other blessings, but Olympia's prize is the chief. There are other gods, but Zeus is the patron of the Blepsiadai, head of their race (v.16). Themis, the glory of Aigina, sits by the side of Zeus (v. 22). Apollo, son of Zeus, Poseidon, brother of Zeus, take Zeus's son Aiakos to Troy (v. 31). Then the poet tells the story of Aiakos to show what honor Zeus puts on his son. Aiakos is
 Zev̀s yévet ( v .83 ). So far the poem runs smoothly enough, and if the poet had returned to the victor after despatching Aiakos to Aigina, the ode would be less difficult; but the introduction of the trainer jars us, and, in fact, Pindar himself apologizes for it (v.56). Timosthenes, who ordered the ode-Alkimedon is nowhere addressed, and his youth is emphasized-required this mention of Melesias, who must have been his trainer too; and so Pindar dwells on the importance of having an old athlete as a trainer both for man (v.63) and boy, both for Timosthenes and for Alkimedon. This brings Alkimedon forward again, but he is soon lost again in the mention of his race-in the mention of the dead sire, who hears in the other world the glory that has come to the house.

The prose line of thought would be: The blessing of Zeus on Aiakos was on children's children; and so the brothers, Timosthenes, trained by Melesias, and now Alkimedon, have gained the prize, at Nemea one, at Olympia the other, both in games of Zeus, and even in the lower world the gracious boon is not unknown.

The poem is full of prayers, but Aigina was near the point when she would be past praying for.

The rhythms are dactylo-epitrite. According to Böckh the mood is a mixture of Dorian and Lydian, in which we should have the blending of sadness with manly joy.

Of the four triads, the first is introductory; the second contains the brief myth; the last two are divided between Timosthenes, Melesias's patron, who ordered the ode, and Alkimedon, who won the victory.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}$. 1. Mâtep: P. makes free use of family figures. So


 28: 'ЕлıцаӨ́́os Өvyarє́ра Про́фабьข. These are not to be effaced, as Dissen would have it.-xpvaroनтєфávшv $=к а \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ y \omega \nu$. So
 "where" in P.-3. $\langle\mu \pi$ и́pots тєкцаирб́нкоь: Pyromancy, divination by means of altar flames, was practised by the Iamidai (see

O．6）．－паратецрผิvтаь：тарá here produces the effect of reverent shyness．－dpyuкєpaúvov：The thunderbolt is figured on coins of
 ance to make，＂＂any decision to give．＂$\epsilon i$ interrog．also in P．4，
 àpetâs к入éos，as $\mathbf{O} .7,89-7$ ．à $\mu$ voáv：Well chosen for a wrestler．
＇Avr．$a^{\prime}$ ．－8．äveras ：Impersonal．＂Accomplishment is accord－ ed．＂The pass．impersonal is not over－common in Greek．－mpos Xápır eúreßeias：＂In requital of their piety．＂－9．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda(\alpha)$ ）：Invoca－ tion renewed with fervor．＂Nay．＂Comp．O．4，6．－EvidevSpov ．．．ä入नos：See O．3，23．－10．oreфavaфopiav：Of the winner．－ 11．Gòv $\gamma^{\prime}$ pas：Such an honor as thine－the wreath of victory．－ $\ell_{\sigma \pi \eta \tau(a r): ~ T h e ~ g e n e r i c ~ r e l a t i v e ~ m a y ~ o m i t ~ a ̈ l ~ i n ~ P . ~ T h i s ~ i s, ~ i n ~}^{\text {in }}$ fact，the original form．So $0.3,11 ; 6,75 \mathrm{al}$ ．In $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \eta \tau a t$ ， $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ rep－ resents the reduplication（for $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ．），and is not dropped．See
 reflection is intended to console Timosthenes．The neut．pl． with verb pl．is especially appropriate here，as the notion is dis－ tributive．

[^72] read ${ }^{\prime} \theta_{l}$ with the Schol．，$\hat{\rho} \in \in \eta$ with Bergk．＂Where there is heavy weighing in many ways．＂＂Where there is much in the balance and the balance sways much．＂Aigina was a great commercial centre；Aiginetan standards were known all over Greece，and Aiakos，the son of Aigina，was a famous judge．

 tion of $\rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta$ the more probable．We have to do with the scales of justice and the Aiginetan talent．Schol．：ö́ ơa $\gamma$ àp $\tau \grave{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 －25．$\delta$ vamalés：More or less pointed allusion to the $\pi a ́ \lambda \eta$ of the victor－－⿺辶入ıєрке́a：See P． 1,18 ；I．1，9．－27．кíova： $0.6,2$ ．－$\delta a$ а－ Moviav：O．6，8．－28．enavtedicuv：Coming time is a rising sun． Neither time nor sun grows weary．But three or four years afterwards（ 456 B．c．）the island was taken by the Athenians． See Thuk．1， 108.


 Doric folk，as the ships obey the helm of Tyche．－ $\begin{aligned} & \xi \\ & \xi\end{aligned}$ Alakovi： ＂From the time of Aiakos．＂Aiakos was an Achaian，but the Dorians appropriated the mythic heroes of the tribes they suc－ ceeded，especially as the chiefs were often not Dorian．Note that we have to do with oracle and prophecy from the begin－ ning of the ode．－31．raîs $\delta$ पarov̂s：The partnership is well known．Il．7， 452 （Poseidon speaks）：тои̂ $\delta^{\prime}$［sc．тєíरєos］ধ̀mi $\lambda \dot{\eta}-$

 e $\dot{\jmath} \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} s(0.13,80)$ ，and Ev̉pútu入os is his son（P．4，33）．－32．
 7,$61 ;$ P．9，57．The pres．， $0.8,64$ ．P．does not use the normal
 ảyutâv．－33．ท̂v ฮัть：Not a harsh hyperbaton．－vıv＝oté申avov． If a mortal had not joned in the work，the city could nevet have been taken（Schol．）．－36．$\lambda_{\text {á }}^{\beta \text { pov ．．．кamvóv：Cf．P．3，} 40 \text { ：}}$ бé入as $\lambda a ́ \beta \rho o{ }^{2}$＇Aфaígтov．$\lambda$ áßpos in Homer is used of wind and wave，river and rain；in $P$ ．the sphere is different．
 apposition，much more vivid than the genitive use．ydavkoi is
glossed by $\phi о \beta \varepsilon \rho o ́ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o 九$. For the basilisk glare, see P. 4, 249:
 -38. draddopevot: The conative present is translated by the
 have expected кárterov. The two who fell were Achilles and Aias; the one who entered was Neoptolemos, son of Achilles (Schol.).-39. aviou: "On the spot."-àrutonéves: Hardly seems applicable to the representatives of Achilles and Aias. The
 à $\pi \epsilon \theta^{\prime} a v o \nu$ yáp.-39. 廿uxàs $\beta$ á̀ov: Contrast the choked serpents
 -40. ßoáraus: "With a cry" (of victory). Mythical serpents may make mythical outcry. The aor. part. is not prior to the leading verb. Cf. O. 9, 15.-41. àviov: "Adverse," with tépas

 a corruption in $\delta \rho \mu a i v \omega \nu$. A possible translation is "Apollo straight came rushing on and openly (ajviov) declared the prod-

 the works of thy hands." "Where thou hast wrought." The


 lightened by фá $\mu \mu$ K $\quad$ роvióa, K. being the subjective genitive.
 "The capture will begin with the first generation and (end) with the fourth." Better äp $p \xi$ єтat, " will be swayed." So Hdt. 3,

 vigorous ring.-46. тeтрáтoıs: These numbers have given trouble, so that it has been proposed to read with Ahrens and Bergk
 slogy is this:


The Schol. remarks that Aiakos is excluded in $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} r o u s$ and included in tetpárous. Epeios was the builder of the famous wooden horse. Telamon aided Herakles and Iolaos in the first

 note on $0.6,61,-47$. Eávorv: The prepos. is often suspended in P. See $0.9,94 ;$ P. 1,$14 ;$ P. 4,130 , and elsewhere. گávoos, the

 $\eta ̈ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$. -'A $\mu$ ắóvas: The friends of Artemis, who lived on the Thermodon. Apollo goes from river to river. Cf. O.6,58: 'A入-
 "Iotpov: O. 3, 14. - 48. 'Opбorpiatva: So also P. 2, 12; N. 4, 86.

 51. $\delta \in \hat{p} p(0)$ : To Greece from Troy and so to Aigina.-av" imтoเs

"Avt. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.-52. 8ecpá8(a): The Isthmus or "neck" of land (Schol.).
 like $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon$ ék between the life of the gods and the life of men. Apollo is happy in three places, Poseidon in two. But human beings are not equally happy everywhere. Timosthenes was victorious at Ne mea, Alkimedon at Olympia. An Athenian would not be at home in Aigina, nor an Aiginetan at Athens. This commonplace prepares, after a fashion, the way for the inevitable mention of Melesias.-54. Mednoía: An Attic trainer. See N. 4 and 6, end. No favorite in Aigina, as we may gather from P.'s cau-

 equivalent of $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\jmath} \mu \nu \eta \sigma a$. Comp. the use of $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi t \in \nu a t, \delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$,
 "If I have traversed in song to its full height the glory of Melesias." This is the objection of the cavillers, dramatically put in the aor., and not in the fut. P. uses the fut. only once certainly (fr. VII. 4, 15) in the protasis of a conditional sentence, and $\epsilon i$ with aor. subj. is generic. See $0.6,11$. -55 . $\mu \grave{ } \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ : The 3 p . aor. imper. with $\mu \eta$ is much more common than it is sometimes repre sented to be--56. кal... xápıv: The whole passage is much disputed. The sense seems to be: Do not envy the glory of Mele.
sias gained from his teaching art; he hath practised what he taught. If he taught boys to win, he himself won as a boy a wrestling-match; nay, won afterwards, as a man, the pankration. To train is easier for him that knows himself what strug. gle means. Foolish it is not to learn in advance, for giddier are those that have not tried. So he, as teacher and as athlete, could better tell what the prizers should do. By emphasizing. Melesias' own achievements, P. justifies Alkimedon in employing him, and tries to salve the wounded feelings of the Aiginetans.-Nєнéq... Xápıv: Comp. v. 83 : ко́ $\sigma \mu$ оу 'O入v $\mu \pi i$ á.-57. épé $\omega$ : The old modal
 of honor that Alkimedon gained-a victory in wrestling.ávסpâv $\mu$ áxav: Leop. Schmidt calls this a metaphor, as $\mu$. cannot be used literally of a game. Still $\epsilon \dot{v \nu \mu a ́ \chi a \nu}(0.7,15)$ is used of a boxer.
 one's men into training." The two articular infinitives are noteworthy, as the construction is somewhat rare in $P$. The demonstrative sense is still perceptible. "This thing of teaching."62. кeiva . . . épya: The $\pi a ́ \lambda \eta$, the $\pi a \gamma k \rho a ́ t o v .-к e i v o s: ~ M e l e s i a s . ~$

 ger thinks that the apparently aisproportionate space allotted to Melesias is to be accounted for partly by this round number. It was a professional jubilee for the old $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \in i \pi t \eta s$. See Introd.

玉тр. 8.-67. тúxఢ ... סaípovos: So P. 8, 53 : тúxa $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$, N. 4, 7 :
 expression of $\tau \cup \chi \dot{\omega} \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha}$. often in tragic poets $=\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\omega} \nu .-68$. répaotv: The most simple way of fulfilling the conditions is to suppose sixteen contestants, eight pairs, four bouts, the victors in each bout wrestling off the ties. Alkimedon, as the final victor, would then have thrown his four boys. If an $\ddot{\epsilon} \phi \in \delta \rho o s$, or "odd man," is assumed at any point in the match, the calculation is more complicated, and the number may be as low as nine. With nine contestants (four pairs and an $\epsilon \notin \epsilon \rho \circ s$ ), the fourth bout would have been wrestled by the victor and the $\epsilon \phi \in \delta \rho o s$ of the third. In this way Alkimedon might have thrown four boys, provided he was not himself an $\epsilon \not \phi \varepsilon \delta \rho o s$, which is an unnecessary inference drawn by some commentators from v. 67 : тúXá $\mu \in \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\partial}$ i-
$\mu o v o s$. The $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { ¢ } \\ \text { e } \delta \rho o s ~ w a s ~ c o n s i d e r e d ~ l u c k y ~ b e c a u s e ~ h e ~ c a m e ~ w i t h ~\end{gathered}$ fresh strength to contend with a wearied victor, but if Alkimedon was to be an ë $\phi \in \delta \rho o s$ at all and defeat four boys personally and not by proxy, there must have been at least five bouts. In any case, the é $\phi \in \delta \rho o s$ seems to have drawn lots with the others at the end of each bout, so that the same person was not necessarily ${ }^{\prime} \phi \in \delta \rho o s$ throughout. The "reasonable plans" vary according to the editors. See P. 8, 81.-dre0ीккатo : "Put off from himself" as something hateful. Comp. O. 10 (11), 43 : veikos 8 è крєббóvav | $\dot{d} \pi o \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{0}$ änopov.-रviots: Emphasis on the important element,
 yvia being the main thing in wrestling. So N. 7, 73: ait $\theta \omega \nu \iota \pi \rho i \nu$ $d \lambda i \varphi \gamma v i o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i \nu$ (of a pentathlete saved from wrestling).

 refers to the jibes and jeers of enemies in the gate, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i \times \rho \nu \phi o \nu$ oifoy to the slinking to the mother's house by the back way. Comp. the parallel passage, P. 8, 81: тє́ $\tau \rho a \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon s$ íqódev


 $\delta \in \delta a \gamma \mu e ́ v o l$. There is a savagely boyish note of exultation in both passages. - 71. àvimadov: "That wrestles with." - 73. ápreva

 the victor, being a boy, gets only a boy's share. - 75. xeเршิv äwrov ... einivcoov: "The victorious prime of their hands," "the fruit





 MSS. have épóó $\mu \in \nu=\nu$, which is harsh. The expression кarà עó $\mu$ оу

 rà vó $\mu \iota \mu$, iusta, often of funeral rites.-79. oú кóvis: On the free position of the neg., see O. 1, 81.-80. ovyץóvav кeठ̊vàv Xáptv: The dust does not hide (from the dead) the noble grace of (their living) kinsmen. As the dead are not insensible of rites paid in
their honor, so they are not blind to the glory gained by their kindred.
'En. 8'- 81. 'Eppuà: Hermes is $\psi v \chi o \pi o \mu \pi$ ós, and has a right to an extemporized daughter 'Ayyє $\lambda i a$, who plays the same part as the well-established 'HХ' does, $0.14,21$.-'Iфíwv . . . Ka $\lambda \lambda_{\iota} \mu$ á$\mathbf{x} \Psi$ : Iphion is supposed to be the father, and Kallimachos the
 $\gamma^{\prime} v \epsilon t$ : $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \iota$ is not epexegesis to $\sigma \phi \iota$. $\sigma \phi \iota$ depends on the combination $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \iota \pi a \sigma \epsilon \nu$, "made a family gift to them." See O. 2,



 mind." Zeus is not to make ( $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu$ ) Nemesis double-minded. She is not to waver; she is to be a steady friend. P. $10,20: \mu \dot{\eta}$


 "hostile." $\delta \iota \chi . \nu \in ́ \mu \in \sigma \iota \nu \theta$., "to rouse factious discontent" is ton



## OLYMPIA IX.

The date of this ode is uncertain, and the Scholiasts are at variance. According to Böckh the victory was won Ol. 81 ( 456 в.c.), shortly after a Pythian victory, Ol. 80, 3 ( 458 в.c.), which is celebrated in this ode together with the Olympian one ( $\mathrm{\nabla} .13$ ). Leopold Schmidt finds that Böckh's computation agrees with his theory of P.'s poetical decline. Fennell puts the date Pyth. 30 ( 468 в.c.), acc. to one Scholiast, on the ground that at the later date (456) the Lokrian oligarchy was threatened, if not overthrown, by the Athenians. Cf. Thuk. 1, 108. Besides his many local successes, Epharmostos had been victorious in all the great national games, and was, consequently, a $\pi \in \rho \iota o \delta o \nu i k \eta s$. Pindar tells us all we know of him-his noble personal appearance (v. 119), his ancient stock (v. 58), his intimacy with Lampromachos, also a friend of Pindar's (v. 90).

The song was sung in Opus at a festival of Aias Oiliades. The assumption of a banquet gives more point to v .52 . The Lokrians are better known to us through the Epizephyrian representatives of the stock than by the members of the family that remained in Central Greece, and for us Opuntian Lokris is more lighted up by this ode of Pindar's (v. 24) than by the rude inscriptions, which doubtless give a false impression of the people (Hicks, Hist. Inscr. No. 63). Writing may be rude, and song, for which the Lokrians were famous, refined. The position of woman among the Lokrians seems to have been exceptionally influential, and even one who knew nothing of Lokris and the Lokrians could hardly fail to be struck by the predominance of woman in this ode. Pindar is a manner of "Frauenlob," at any rate, but here "das Ewig-Weibliche" is paramount. Archilochos does not suffice; we must have the Muses (v. 5). Lydian Pelops is mentioned for the sake of the dowry of his bride, Hippodameia (v. 10). Themis and Eunomia (v.15) are the patronesses of the renowned city, mother of the Lokrians (v. 22).

The city is the city of Protogeneia (v. 44). Opus, son of Zeus and an Epeian heroine ( v .62 ), bore the name of his mother's father ( v .67 ). When Menoitios is mentioned, his mother is not forgotten (v. 75); Achilles is only Thetis's son (v. 82).

The fundamental thought is tò $\delta \dot{\varrho}$ фuậ крátıбтov ä̃av (v. 107). It matters not that in the previous song P. had sung: äүvшرov $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ тò $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \mu a \theta \epsilon i \nu(0.8,60)$. Here no Melesias is to be praised. The фvá comes from God; hence P. sings, ävєv $\delta_{\text {è }} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma a \mu \epsilon ́-$
 strange dealings, the wonderful workings of the deities, of the Su preme, culminating in the story of Protogeneia and her son. The fortune of Lydian Pelops (v. 10) reminds us of Poseidon. The dowry of Hippodameia was a gift of God, as Pindar's garden of song was allotted him by Fate (v. 28). The Charites are the bestowers of all that is pleasant. Men are good and wise according to the will of Heaven ( v .30 ). If Herakles withstood the gods themselves ( v .32 ), it is clear that there was a greater god within him. That god was Zeus, and P., after deprecating impiety toward the gods, tells of the marvels Zeus hath wrought. Behold the miracle of the stones raised up as seed to Deukalion and Pyrrha. That is the decree of Zeus, aio入oß Behold the deluge abated. That is the device of Zeus, $\mathbf{Z \eta \nu o}$ s ré $\chi$ vaus (v. 56). Protogeneia is caught up (v. 62). Zeus interferes again to give life to the dying house ( $\mathrm{\nabla}, 64$ ).

Epharmostos has been singularly favored by nature and fortune. Nature and fortune mean God, and the narrative of his successes closes the poem with a recognition of the divine decree that made him quick of hand, ready of limb, and valorous of eye.

The Lokrian or Aiolian (logaoedic) rhythms are light and festive. They whirr like arrows (v. 12), they flame (v. 24), they speed faster than mettlesome horse or winged ship (v. 25).

The first triad contains the introduction. The myth, the story of the heroine who made Opus what it was, is announced in the first epode, the theme of which is continued in the second triad.
 éyévovto), P. resumes the myth, v. 44 , tells of Deukalion and Pyrrha and the stone-folk, and the union of Zeus and the ancestress of Opus and the Opuntian nobles. About the city thus founded gathered nobles of different Grecian lands, chief of them Menoitios, father of Patroklos. From this story, which shows what

God can do，P．passes，at the close of the third triad，to the achievements of the descendants of this favored stock，and，in the last triad，recounts the exploits of Epharmostos．

ミтp．a＇．－1．＇Apxılóxov $\mu$＇${ }^{\prime}$ os：The Schol．has preserved two
 ＇Hpák called simply ка入入iviкos，the burden being кал入ivıкє，and in the absence of music $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \lambda a$ ，an imitative word，represented the lyre．Comp．Ar．Ach．1227．It was the＂See the conquering hero comes＂of the Greek，and was sung in honor of the Olym－ pian victors at the evening procession，unless a special poem was ordered．－2．$\phi \omega v a \hat{e v}$ ：Has the effect of a participle，O．2，93．－$\delta$
 of the onomatopoetic perfects which denote intense，not com－ pleted，action．＂With its full ringing burden，＂＂with its note thrice swelling．＂－3．à $\gamma \epsilon \mu \mathbf{v} \epsilon \mathrm{v} \sigma a \mathrm{a}:$ Acc．to the Schol．，one of the companions of the victor struck up in the absence of a musician． In Ar．l．c．Dikaiopolis himself chants the кал入iveкos without re－
 it is especially familiar．See $0.1,112 ; 13,93 ;$ P． 1,12 ，and else－ where．－6．фоьнкоoтєр́т木av：The words swell with the theme． We，too，speak of the＂red levin，＂Hor．rubente｜dextera sacras iaculatus arces．－7．èriveppal ：Only here in P．It has an artil－ lery sound，＂sweep，＂＂rake＂（comp．白 $\pi \iota \phi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$, v．24），and is used chiefly of destructive agency．So of fire，Hdt．5，101；Pol． 14，5，7；Dioci．Sic．14，51；of plague，Thuk．2，54；Diod．Sic．12， 12 ；of foes，Plut．Caes． $19 ;$ Pomp．25．P．delights in the oxy－
 ＇．，then，is not＂aim at，＂but＂send arrow after arrow at，＂＂sweep
 $\mu$ eias：Recalls $0.1,70$ ．The Schol．notes that İvov is not used in the regular Homeric sense，as P．3，94，but as $\phi \in \rho \nu \dot{\eta}$ ，＂dowry．＂
 887 ，or＂biting arrow，＂was to P．as to us a＂bitter arrow．＂ Hence the antithesis $\gamma \lambda v k v ́ v .-13 . ~ \Pi v \theta ิ ิ v a ́ \delta(\epsilon): ~ E p h a r m o s t o s ~ h a d ~$ won a victory at Pytho also，Pyth． $33=01.80,3$（ 458 в．c．），acc． to one Schol．One arrow for Pytho，a shower of bolts for Olym－ pia．－хацаитєтєшv：Here with reference to arrows that fall to the ground without reaching their mark．－14．á $\mu \phi \grave{\grave{l}}$ тa入аfбцабw：
 the $\beta$ uós．$\quad \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega \nu$ is properly used of the $\phi$ ó $\rho \mu \tau \gamma \xi$, P．1，4．－ 15.
 yavta．Pindar shows a special interest in the Lokrians（v．23）， and this has given rise to many historical fancies on the part of scholars－－aivjoais：Aor．，the result，as $\varepsilon_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda i \zeta \omega \nu$ ，pres．，is the pro－
 an opt．unnecessarily．－16．©épıs：The family－tree of such ab－ stractions often gets its branches twisted，but P．consistently
 that is daughter to her＂－not＂her daughter．＂N．7， 22 is not
 ä $\omega \tau$ оt：Cf． $0.5,1$ ：$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ä̃ $\omega \tau о \nu \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa u ́ \nu$ ．The distributive plural is genuinely Greek．Comp．I． 3 （4）， 48 ：т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ả $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu \gamma$ रà $\rho$ ä $\gamma \nu \omega-$ бтоь $\sigma \omega \omega \pi a i$ ．Yet ä̈ $\omega \tau$ occurs only here and N．8， 9 ：$\dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ $a ̈ \omega \tau o \iota .-\kappa \lambda \nu \tau a ́ v: ~ " T o ~ r e n o w n " ~(p r e d i c a t i v e) . ~$
 áoı反aîs：$\mu a \lambda \epsilon \rho o ́ s$ is painfully dazzling．So．O．R． 190 ：＂Apeá tє



 ship a winged thing（a bird）or a finny thing（a fish）？Od．11，
 favor of oars，because ínómтєpos is alatus quocumque modo et qua－ cumque corporis parte（Tafel）．Transl．＂Winged．＂－28． $\mathfrak{\text { l }}$ бóv
 the key－note of Pindar＇s poetic claims．Here he is tilling the garden of the Charites．The flaming darts of song are changed into flowers（ $\left.{ }^{*} \nu \theta_{\epsilon} \tilde{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu, \nabla .52\right)$ ，with which the keeper of the garden of the Charites pelts his favorites（P．9，133：$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ кєîvot סíkov фú入入’ $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota$ каì бтєфávous）as he showered arrows before．


 brave and the wise，the hero（Herakles）and the poet（Pindar）．
 aíav．

this not so），＂whereas，＂＂else．＂－32．бкúta入ov＝ค́óta入ov．Post． Homeric．Peisandros of Rhodes tirst endowed Herakles with the Oriental and solar club．－xєpoiv：See P．3，57．－33．ávik（a）： ＂What time．＂P．1，48．P．rolls three several tights into one－ the fight of Herakles with Poseidon in Messenian Pylos，because the sea－god＇s son，Neleus，would not purge him of the bloodguil－ tiness of the murder of Iphitos；the fight with Hades in Eleian Pylos，because he had carried off Kerberos；the fight with Apol－ lo，because he had stolen a tripod to avenge the refusal of an
 －34．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu(\xi \omega v$ ：$\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$（Thiersch and Bergk）is specious，but we should expect tójov．Homer does not use $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ of single combat，but that is not conclusive．－35．páßסov：Hades＇wand is akin to the caduceus of Hermes，with its well－known miraculous power．Herakles could meet not only two，but three－could
 clangent bow，and Hades＇magic wand，because he was supported by his sire．Genius is a match for the divine，is divine．Hera－ kles is a катà $\delta a i \mu \rho \nu^{\prime} a^{2} \eta \eta_{\rho} \rho$ ，as P ．is a катà $\delta a i \mu o \nu^{\prime}$ ajoı $\delta$ ós．Comp． v．28．Observe that P ．only carries out the thesis $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta$ oi karà סаілор’ є̇үє́voуто with Herakles as proof．The бофо＇he leaves untouched，as savoring of presumption．－38．àrd．．．§î̀ov：P． is overcome by his own audacity．A little more and he had matched himself against all the gods and goddesses of song．
 คŋ̂бat ．．．vò кavxâo0at：Both objectionable ；a very common use of the articular infinitive．See $0.2,107$ ．入ot $\delta \circ \rho \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$ involves

 $\kappa a v \chi \hat{a ̂ \sigma} \theta a \iota \therefore \mu \eta ̀ \lambda a \lambda a ́ \gamma \epsilon$ ．So P．leaves the divine warriors facing each other，and holds his peace about his own powers．

[^73]Mezger, after Böckh and Bossler, is as follows: Deukalion and Pyrrha, grandchildren of Iapetos (comp. Hor. Iapeti genus) escape the deluge by taking refuge on Parnasos. When the waters subsided, by the devices of Zeus (v. 56 ), they descended from the mountain (v.46) to Opus, where, in consequence of an oracle of Zeus, they founded the first town (v. 47), and made the Stone people. To these belonged "the hundred mothers" from whom the Lokrian nobles were descended, as, indeed, the prominence of women among the Lokrians generally is a significant fact. The royal race to which Epharmostos is supposed to have belonged traced their descent from Deukalion and Pyrrha down to Lokros in the male line, and from his adopted son Opus in the female. Lokros was the last of his house, and the race was about to die out with him, but Zeus carried off Protogeneia, daughter of Opus of Elis, and granddaughter of Protogeneia, daughter of Deukalion and Pyrrha; was united to her in the Mainalian mountains, and brought her to the childless Lokros, her cousin, as his wife. Lokros called the offspring of the younger Protogeneia after her father Opus, and gave him the throne. The fame of Opus spread, and many settlers came to him, none dearer than Menoitios.-45. aio久oppóvra $\Delta$ tós: A thunderbolt was the token on the coins of the Lokrians. 'Oाovis is supposed to be connected with the "eye of God," lightning.48. $\delta \mu \delta \delta \alpha \mu \mathrm{ov}$ : They are of the same commonwealth, not of the same blood. Comp. the Herakleidai and the Dorians.- 51 . $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi$ иv: Refers to Aaó, "in their honor."-otpov גıyóv: oi iцos is more frequently a figurative path. So Engl. "way" yields more and more to "road." Comp. O. 1, 110: ódòs $\lambda$ óy $\omega \nu$, and Hymn. in
 porv: This is said by the Schol, to be an allusion to a sentence of Simonides, who, in blaming P.'s new version of a myth, said,

 sisting on the difference between wine and song. Men want old wine and new song, the former a universal, the latter an Homeric

 warrant that it ought not to weigh, as it does with some, in fixing the date of the ode. Simonides died 456 b.c.

[^74]3, 88: $\lambda$ '́yovtat $\mu$ áv, and especially P. 1, 63. The challenge does not refer to the old tale of the deluge, but to the new version of the line of Opus. I renounce the examination of the spider-web speculations that have been spun about the relations of Elis and Opus.-57. ávriov: "The flood," which rises as the water that rises in the hold of a ship, the regular meaning of ävidos. Cf. P. 8,12 . The earth appears as a leaky vessel. - ineiv: "Drained."кeivav: The reference is much disputed. $\kappa$. = $\Lambda a \omega ิ \nu$ (Dissen); $\kappa$. $=$ $\Delta \epsilon u k a \lambda i \omega \nu$ оs Пúppas tє (Böckh), which is the more likely by reason of the emphasis on 'Ia Refers to Epharmostos and his family.-59. 'Iaretıovi(os: See O. 3,14.-60. кoûpot кopâv: Stress is laid again on the distaff side, and it is hard to resist the inference that the novelty of P.'s story consists in dissociating Protogeneia from the Aaoi, the child of Deukalion and Pyrrha from their stone offspring; hence dpxâßev. -60. корâv... Kpovıธิâv: Used by poetic extension for Protogeneia the younger and Zeus, the pl. for the sing., as in fr. IV. 3,
 द̈ $\mu_{0} \lambda_{0 \nu}$ (of Dionysos). Bornemann's кópas . . . фєртárov is a purely
 opposition to énaктoi. "A purely native line of kings until . . ."
 full stop at aici, and considers $\pi \rho i \nu$ an adverb, with $\gamma$ á $\rho$ omitted $=\pi \rho o ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu \gamma$ д́́. But $\pi \rho i \nu$ requires a standard of reference and aiei forces a close combination. $\pi \rho i \nu$ with the ind. always means "until," which here marks the introduction of new blood.-62.

 of the night (8ıà vúkтa).-63. $\mu$ ix ${ }^{0} \eta$ : Of. O. 6, 29.-Maıva入lawow iv Sépaîs: In Arkadia. - 64. पокрч̣: Not merely mpòs $\Lambda о к \rho o ́ v . ~$ Cf. O. 1, 46.-alóv: "Time."-lфáqats: As a weight of sorrow.-


 tion (Eustath. on II. 2, 531), Lokros had been forced to yield to Opus.
'Aur. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.-72. dфíкovтo 86 fot: For the dat. see P. 4, 124, where there is a gathering of heroes, as also N. 8, 9.-73. "Apyeos: Then at the head of Greece,-Onßar: Pindar's home. Notice the re
... $\tau \epsilon$ here, the $\delta \dot{\epsilon} . . . \delta \epsilon$ further on; significant change from parallelism to contrast.-'Apkáסes: On account of the joyance
 games.-74. viòv $8^{\prime \prime}$ "Aктopos: II. 11, 785 : Mevoítos, "Aктороs viós. -75. Mevoitov: Patroklos is tenderly treated in the Iliad, and often called by his patronymic. So Mevortáo $\eta \mathrm{s}$, Il. 1, 307; 9, 211 ; 11,$608 ; 16,420 ; 17,270 ; 18,93$; Mevoutiov viós, Il. 11, 605; 16, 278. 307. 827; 18, 12.-76. Teútpavtos $\pi \in \delta$ iov: Comp. I. 7 (8), 49 :
 $\nu \omega \nu \phi$ ф́v $\varphi \pi \in \delta$ iov. Teuthras was adoptive father of Telephos and king of Mysia.- - $\mu \mathrm{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$. Rarely, as here, with a simple acc. (N. 10, 36).-80. $\delta$ eî̧al | $\mu$ afeiv: Lit. "to show (so as) to (make one) perceive," "to show beyond a doubt." Comp. N. 6, 9: тє-
 $\delta \in \epsilon к \nu v ́ v a \iota . . . \delta \rho a ̂ \nu .-82 . \gamma^{\prime}$ ivıs: The MSS. have $\gamma o ́ v o s, ~ u n m e t r i-~$
 Bothe $\gamma^{\prime}$ ivis, in which I have acquiesced, though $\gamma^{\prime}$ is a poor piece of patchery, as often.
 sing., "his," O. 13,61 ; P. 4,83 ; I. 5 (6), 33 ; I. ${ }^{77}(8), 55$; of pl., "their," I. 2, 27; P. 10, 38. The Scholiast remarks how much more honorable Pindar makes the position of Patroklos than Homer does. This divergence from Homer in small matters is a sign of independence of spirit, not of ignorance. Which of the
 the older, which the younger, was much discussed. See Plato's Sympos. 180.-86. єiँv: A sudden trarsition. Remember that prayer is always in order, and many asyndeta fall under this head, O. 1, 115. A similar shift is found N. 7,50. P. suddenly remembers the heavy load he had to carry, the contract list of the victories of Epharmostos, and prays for more power. "May I find words." Compare Homer's petition to the Muses, goddesses of Memory, before he begins the catalogue of the ships, II. 2, 484. dvayeiolat: "For my progress " through all the victories of Epharmostos. àvá gives the force of "all through." In N. 10, 19: ß $\rho a \chi \chi^{v} \mu o t \sigma \tau{ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu a \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$, the figure is effaced; not nec-
 ajperás. Here ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ Motrầ $\delta i \not i \phi \rho \varphi$, for which see $0.6,22$, keeps the figure alive. - 87. про́бфopos: The traditional "fit," whether "fit" (for the Muses), "fit" (for the theme), "fit for ('่v) the

Muses＇car，＂＂fit to rehearse＂（ajvafí⿱日at），gives neither satisfac－ tory sense nor sharp image．If $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi o \rho o s$ can be understood as $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \circ \rho a ̀ \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$（cf．v．116），the passage is perfect．P．is ＂a bearer＂of precious gifts．He would mount the Muses＇char－ iot，passing through the long line of victories with a tribute of praise to each，and for his attendants he wishes poetic Daring

 （ $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{o \gamma X}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ）is with the unit produced by $\tau \epsilon$ ，here with the nearer． For the form $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \pi$ ．，see $0.8,11$ ．－$\pi \rho \circ \frac{5}{6}$ viq：According to the Schol．Lampromachos was a $\pi \rho o ́ \xi \in \nu o s$ of the Thebans and a kins－ man of Epharmostos．Pindar＇s coming is a tribute to affection and to achievement．The datives are $=\delta \iota a$ with acc．$-\eta \lambda \theta$ ov： In song．Comp．O．7，13：катéßay．－90．тцнáopos：To claim the honor due．－$\mu i$ itpars：The pendent woollen ribbons of the wreath； hence，by synecdoche，the garland itself．
£тр．8＇．－91．Épyov：Cognate acc．，being $=\nu i к \eta \nu . \quad$ Comp．P．8， 80．－92．dv Kopiv日ov mú入als：Poetic variation for Isthmus．－Xáp－ $\mu a s:$ Not in the Homeric sense，but $=\chi$ áp $\mu a \tau a$ ．So also Profess－ or Postgate（Am．Journ．of Phil．III．，p．337）．The＂horrid＂ （ $\sqrt{\text { ghar }}) \chi$ á $\rho \mu a \iota$ for＂contests＂would not be endurable in P．，
 58）．－93．таl $\delta$ é：＂Some．＂－94．＂Apүєt ．．．iv＇A0ávavs：The omis－ sion of the preposition with the first and the addition of it to the second word occurs sixteen times in P．，according to Bossler＇s count，but，as Bossler himself admits，all the examples are not cogent，e．g．O．7，12 ；P．4， 130 （cf．O．1，2．6）．Clear are，e．g．，P． 1,$14 ; 2,59 ;$ I． 1,29 ．The principle seems to be the same as the omission of the first negative，for which see P．3，30；6，48．－95． ou入a0kis àєveiwv：Bold brachylogy．＂Reft of the beardless，＂of the privilege of contending with the beardless．Cf．O．8，54．－

 sleight of shifting balance．＂By this light read So．O．R．961：
 euds in a fall for the trickster．－ 100 ．кúkiov：The ring of specta－ tors．－$\delta \sigma \sigma$ ọ̣ ßoậ：Of applause．P．4，241； 0.10 （11），80．－ 101. ¿paios：P．dwells on the personal beauty of the victors whenever he has an excuse．So $0.8,19 ; 10(11), 114 ;$ N． $3,19$.


#### Abstract

 11. - Mappariч orparê: At the Lykaia, in Arkadia, O. 13, 108; N. 10, 48.-104. 廿uxpâv . . eủ̊̀ıavòv фáppaкov aủpâv: The prize was a woollen garment ( $\chi^{\lambda a i v a) . ~ C o m p . ~ H i p p o n a x, ~ f r . ~} 19: \chi^{\lambda a i v a \nu}$  maia, and were held, according to the Schol., in winter.- $\delta \pi \delta \boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ : Never generic in P. except with subj.-105. Пeג入ávq: In Achaia. Comp. O. 7, $86 ; 13,109$ - бúvঠıкоs: Schol. $\mu$ артирєі. Comp. 0.  celebrated near Thebes. Comp. I. 1, 16 foll. On the tomb of Iolaos, see P.9,90. Amphitryon was buried there also.-106. 'Encuais: The Eleusinia, in honor of Demeter and Kore ( $\tau \dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \dot{\omega}$ ),  still lingers in the mind. "Witness to him . . . and to his splendid achievements." - 107. тd̀ $\delta$ è фvą́ крáтьoтov ăтav: The keynote of the poem. A natural reflection after the long list of victories due to native endowment in contrast with the fruitless efforts of those who have tried to gain glory by mere training -the $\psi \in \phi \epsilon \nu \nu 0 i$ äl ${ }^{2} \delta \rho \epsilon s$ (comp. N. 3, 41), whose numberless ventures come to naught.-111. ăvev $\delta$ è $\ell \in o v ̂$, $\kappa \tau \hat{\tau}$.: "Each ungodded thing-each thing wherein God hath no part - is none the worse (for) remaining quenched in silence." A good specimen of P.'s terse participiality. See note on $0.3,6$. tò ävev $\theta_{\text {foû }}$ is rò $\mu \eta$ ो фvạ.. Deep silence is to bury the $\delta \iota \delta a к т a i ~ a j \rho \epsilon \tau a i, ~ b u t ~ l o u d ~$ proclamation (cf. of $\rho \theta \iota o \nu \omega_{\rho} \rho v \sigma a l$ ) is to announce the heaven-sent  have the blessing of God. Some roads lead further than others; not all of us can prosper in one path of work. The heights of skill are steep. Of one Epharmostos has reached the pinnacle. For this no silence, but loud heralding.




 87.-117. ©́pugat: A howl of defiance, as if P. were a watch-dog. To us the word has a note of exaggeration. Hence Ahrens: äpval $=\gamma$ ápvaat, but ${ }^{\omega}$. is not worse in its way than the dies diei eructat verbum of the Vulgate.-118. Sa.цоviq: Adv., סatцovia رoípa (Schol.). - 119. ठ́pŵvt' àkáv: "With valor in his eyes."


of Orliades he crowned victorious the Aias-altar." This seems better here than "At the banquet he crowned the altar of Aiss Orliades," the gen. being in apposition with the adj. in tos, as


 raxùs A ïas. His effigy is seen on the coins of Opus. The post-
 memoration (è $\pi i$ )." So Fennell. Rather "heaped wreaths upon."


Coin of unknown eity.

## OLYMPIA X. (XI.).

Ths victory celebrated in this ode was gained by Agesidamos, a boy boxer, son of Archestratos of Epizephyrian Lokris, Ol. 74 (484 в.c.). The following ode (11), composed on the same theme, and produced at Olympia immediately after the victory, was put after the longer ode in the MSS., because it was fancied to be the rókos mentioned v.11. This longer poem was sent to Lokris some time afterwards. There is nothing to measure the interval that elapsed, and the poet's expressions of contrition at the long delay must be construed poetically. Hermann and Mommsen assign it to the next Olympiad, De Jongh and Fennell, who see in v. 15 an allusion to Anaxilas of Rhegion (see Introd. O. 1), would put it Ol. 76.

Lübbert has written an elaborate essay (Kiel, 1881) to prove that Pindar gave this detailed account of the institution of the Olympian games by the Theban Herakles in distinct opposition to the traditions of the Eleian priests, who referred the establishment of the games to the Idaian Herakles, and the Dactyls, his brothers. See Paus. 8, 7, 6. Lobeck and others consider the Eleian legend a late invention, but Lübbert has proved the great antiquity of Idaian sites in the Peloponnesos, and this theory gives a more plausible explanation of the detail here presented than the gratuitous assumption that the poet went into all these particulars for the benefit of the Epizephyrian Lokrians, as if the Epizephyrians did not have traditions of their own. As a champion of the glory of the Theban Herakles against all comers. Pindar appears in a very natural light.

The words which form the key to the poem lock the third antistrophe and the third epode together, ${ }^{\circ} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu \mu o ́ v o s ~ \mid ~$ ả̀ádєtà étírvuov | X Xóvos (v. 59). The poet begins by acknowledging a debt: Time shamed him. The truth of the first Olympian games was hidden: Time revealed it. The melody was
long suppressed: Time brought it at last, as welcome as the son with whom the wife rewards the long-expectant love of the aging sire. Time brings roses, Time crowns renewed effort. So Herakles suffers repulse. So Agesidamos has a hard struggle, but both succeed at last. X $\rho$ óvos yàp є épapウ̀s $\theta_{\text {eós ( }}$ (Soph.).

The poem was written in fulfilment of a promise, in payment of a debt which the poet poetically feigns that he has forgotten (v. 4). He calls on the bystanders to read the ledger of his heart and see where his creditor stands written; he calls on the Muse (Memory) and Truth, the daughter of Zeus, to keep from him the reproach of falsehood (v. 6). . Time has brought the blush of shame to him for this heavy arrear of debt (v. 7), but usury can make good the failure of prompt payment (v.11). The tide of song will wash away the pebble-counters into the depths of poesy, and the debt due to Agesidamos and to Lokris shall be settled, and favor gained besides with Faithfulness, who inhabits the city of the Zephyrian Lokrians, with Kalliope, who is dear to them, as also mail-clad Ares (v. 15). But the poet is not the only one in debt. Agesidamos would have failed, as Herakles failed in the fight with Kyknos, had not Ilas helped him (v. 19). So let him pay his debt of gratitude to Ilas as Patroklos his to Achilles. Native valor, training sharp, and God's favor can raise a mortal to great fame. Only some few reach joy without toil, light without darkness (v. 25). This tribute paid to Ilas for the training sharp, the decrees of Zeus urge the poet to pay another debt-the debt due to Herakles for the establishment of the games hard by the ancient tomb of Pelops-and the heart of the poem is occupied with a detailed account of the origin of the Olympian games and the first celebration (vv. 27-85). Herakles is not the Herakles of Peisandros ( $0.9,32$ ) ; he is not a lonely knight-errant, he is the leader of a host. The version here given bears on its face the impress of a strong local stamp. It is not the common story, that is evident; and the poet draws a sly parallel between his forgotten debts written on the tables of his heart, which Time reveals to his shame ( $\chi$ póvos, v. 8) and the truth which Time has brought to light (Xfóvos, v. 61). The victors, so far as they can be traced, are all in the belt of the Peloponnesos with which the Lokris of the mother-country had affinity. Arkadia is prominent, Tegea is there (v. 73), and Mantineia (v. 77), and the conclusion bears the broad mark of the device of the Lokrians-the thunderbolt (vv. 86-81).

At the close， $\mathbf{P}$ ．sings how welcome the song must be in com－ ing，as a late child of one＇s old age；and well it may，for song alone gives immortality．And now he has fulfilled his promise． He has praised the Lokrians，he has praised the son of Arche－ stratos，a vigorous prizer and a Ganymede for beauty（v．115）．

The debt is paid，as debts should be paid，with cheeriness，if not with promptness．The Aiolian（logaoedic）rhythms are gay， lilting．The poem ends fitly with Kvapoyєvei．．Mezger calls at－ tention to the recuirence of $\chi$ ápıv，vv．14，19，86， 104.

Of the five triads，the first is occupied with the introduction， the fifth with the conclusion．The story of the Olympian games takes up the central three．There is a little overlapping，but not so much as usual．

ミ $\tau \rho$ ．a＇．－1．Tòv＇Oגv ${ }^{\prime} \pi t o v i k a v: ~ P r o l e p s i s . ~ E m p h a t i c ~ a c c u s a-~$ tives naturally seek the head of the sentence．－avárwote：Famil－ iar reference to reading and writing，esp．common in Aischylos，
 further，Choeph．450，Eum．275，Suppl．179；Soph．Triptol．fr．8：
 morous search in the poet＇s ledger．－4．$\dot{e} \pi\llcorner\lambda \hat{\lambda} \lambda a \theta(a)=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \sigma \mu a t$ （Schol．）．－Moir（a）：The eldest of the old three was M $\nu \eta \mu \eta$ ．－ 5 ．
 forgotten，and so had lied，or seemed to lie．Hence what fol－ lows：épúкєтov $\psi \in v \delta \delta \in \omega \nu$ èverady．Memory is to find the place，and Truth is to discharge the debt．－${ }^{\rho} p \theta a ̣ ̂=\delta ı$ kaia（Schol．）．＂Recti－ fying hand；＂the hand that scores off the debt．－7．ivimàv didirb－ $\xi \in v o v:$ Is much more poetic than ${ }^{\lambda} \lambda \iota \tau \sigma \xi \in \nu \omega \nu$ with $\psi \in v \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ ．For a like hypallage，comp．P．6，5：Пvө九óvckos v̈ $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ Өךбаvрós，P．4， 255 ：ن́ $\mu \in \tau$ épas ảkтìvos ö̀ $\beta$ ßov．
＇Avr．a＇．－8．$\delta \mu e \lambda \lambda \omega v$ xporvos：The morrow to which I had long postponed my payment has come at last，and has revealed to my shame my long arrear of debt．－9．кaraíoxuve：The aor．as a per－ fect．The shame is not in the debt－this，too，is a $\theta$ có $\delta \mu a r o \nu$ $\chi^{\text {péos（ }}$（O．3，7）－but in the delay．Cf．P．9，112．－$\beta$ atú ．Comp．G． 13， 62 ：ßaAiv к入âpov．The column of figures grows downward， deeper and deeper as interest is added to principal．－11．тómos ： Not a separate poem（see Introduction），but payment in full
 metrical $\theta_{\nu}$ at $\omega \nu$ of the better，the à $\delta^{\circ} \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ of the inferior MSS．

Hermann writes ó $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ át $\omega \rho$ ，＂beneficial；＂in the mercantile sense， ＂a good round interest．＂Mommsen，ye tókos àvסpêv．So also Mezger．Fennell，who desiderates proof for $\omega \nu$ with imper．in P．，has ópátc．One might be satisfied with Homer＇s oủv and im－ per．－$\psi \hat{\alpha} \phi o v: ~ T h e ~ S c h o l . ~ r e f e r s ~ \psi . ~ t o ~ e ́ \pi \tau \mu о \mu \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu, " t h e ~ a c c u m u l a-~$ tion of censure．＂In view of the technical use of $\psi \hat{a} \phi o s$ as＂a counter，＂it seems more natural to refer it to the debt；but as the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu о \mu \phi$ consists in the accumulation of the $\beta a \theta \dot{v} \chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}^{\circ}$ thus rolled up，there is no great divergency in the two views．－ 12 ． киิнa：The tide of song，as N．7，12；I． 6 （7），19．－13． $\mathbf{8 \pi a}$ тє：This parallelism is characteristic of P．Comp．O．2，108．How the wave will wash away with its flow the rolling pebble，and how this new tide of song will pay my growing debt．＂How and how＂$=$＂as ．．．so．＂－koıvòv $\lambda$ óyov：＂The general account．＂ What is due to the victor and the victor＇s home．Thus only does $\gamma$ áp get a clear reference．－14．фíגav ．．．is Xápıv：＂As a loving favor，＂and thus get thanks for blame－－тioouev：Pindar not unaided by Moíva and＇A入áधєta．

[^75]and Achilles with Agesidamos and Ilas gives reason to suspect that the adversary was an ingens Telephus of a boy（O．9，76）．De Jongh sees in this an allusion to the struggle between the Lokri－ ans and Anaxilas of Rhegion．－19．＂I 1 q：The mention of the trainer（àciтtクs）is a part，often a large part，of the contract． See 0．8，54．－21．＇Axıdeî Пátpordos：The Lokrians took an es－ pecial pride in Patroklos．See O．9，75．Patroklos was almost universally considered the older of the two，after Homer，Il．11，
 The same figure is used by Xenoph．Cyr．1，2，10．6，41．－фúvi
 contempt of the סıঠaктaì ápєтai $(0.9,108)$ is reconcilable with the value of training（doctrina sed vim promovet insitam）．

乏тр．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．－24．äтovov ．．．$\pi \alpha$ v̂poí $\tau$ tves：Litotes for＂no joy with－ out toil．＂An ä̉тovov $\chi$ ápرa would not be singable．Connect фáos＂with $\chi$ á $\rho \mu a$ above，＂a joy that is a supreme light to life．＂
 －26．àywิva：The place，as in Homer，and not the contest．－

 ＂with altars six in number．＂à $y^{\prime} p \iota \theta \mu$ os with the gen．is not par－ allel．Hypallage，as with $\psi \in v \delta \in \epsilon \omega \nu$ évırà̀ ả入ırógevov（v．6），would be scarcely more harsh．On the six altars，see $0.5,5$ ．The passage is corrupt．－30．Kréarov：Kteatos and Eurytos，sons of Poseidon， had attacked Herakles and slain most of the army that he had brought from Tiryns，and so prevented him from exacting the pay due him from their uncle，Augeias．In requital，Herakles lay in ambush for them near Kleonai，as they were on their way from Elis to the Isthmus，slew them，marched against Augeias， and put him to death．With the booty thus acquired he estab－ lished the Olympian games．See O．2，3．－－á ứpove：Physically． Such an $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \mu \omega \nu$ was Absalom， 2 Sam．14， 25 ：From the sole of his foot even to the crown of his head there was no blemish in him．Such an á $\mu \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ was Aigisthos，Od．1， 29.

[^76] K dewvâv: In Argolis. Kleonai was on the crest of a hill. Hence
 of the subject kept back to the close of the period, as often in P., O. 6, 9 ; 13, 17 ; P. 12, 17; I. 5 (6), 30. 35. 40. Cf. also O. 1, $26 ; 3,20$.-i $\phi^{\prime} \delta \delta \uparrow \uparrow:$ An offset against the ambush of the Moliones.
'Ел. $\beta^{\prime}$.-38. Modoves: The Siamese twins of antique fable, no monsters, however, in Homer, who calls them, II. 11, 750, 'Akropí $\omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{\lambda iov} \mathrm{\epsilon} \pi a \hat{i} \delta \epsilon$. The name M. came from the mother's side of the house.-ínepфía入ol: Like uncle, like nephews, v. 31 : Aúyéà



 rupi. Transl. "pitiless." Note also the vividness of the dat. (O. 6, 35).-41. bxecóv: Fire and axe are not enough. The river-bank has yielded, and the doomed city settles into a deep channel of woe.-42. ©àv $\pi \dot{d} \lambda$ เv: Effective position. If $\pi a \tau \rho i \delta a$ is treated as an
 45. v̌бтaros: "Last of the three," and so "at last."-46. Qávarov almúv: Homer's ainv̀v ö $\lambda_{\epsilon} \theta \rho o \nu$. He fell into the same ỏxєrós with the city.
 - à $\lambda$ oos: Not yet a grove $(0.3,18)$, and not necessarily a grove
 "In the open."-52. סóprov $\lambda$ v́rıv: "Resting-place for the evening meal" (Fennell).-53. тццáбass: Coincident action. Cf. O. 7, 5.
'Avr. $y^{\prime}$ - 54 . $\mu \mathrm{erá}:$ "Among." One of the six double altars was consecrated to Artemis and Alpheios. See O. 5, 5.-55.

 old time is an offset against the sun of the time of Herakles. O.
 birth of Iamos ( $0.6,42$ ).- $\mu \dot{v} v \ldots \tau(\epsilon): 0.4,13$.-ăpa: "As was

 K
to impression is proved to be truth to reality. The broidered tales ( $0.1,29$ ) perish, but the true record prevails ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \iota \delta^{\circ} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i-$
 have righted themselves-and Time, the Recorder, is Time the Herald. Nothing can be more evident than P.'s championship of the Lokrians against false traditions.
'Eт. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$.-61. Xpóvos: See v. 34.-катéфparev: Fulness and accuracy are both implied in кađá and in фрá乡๘.-63. ákpótıva: For the word, see O. 2, 4. The "firstlings" were Herakles' share, and this he separates from the lots of his companions. 64. $\sigma$ òv ' $0 \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta \iota:$ The Schol. transl. by $\epsilon \in \nu$ 'O. This effaces $\sigma$ v́v. To resort to ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \delta i a ̀ ~ \delta v o i ̂ \nu, ~ " w i t h ~ t h e ~ v i c t o r i e s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ O l y m-~$ piad," is a coarse expedient. "The first Olympiad" is "the
 tedious dependent form as soon as possible.-68. xeípecort: Is satisfied by $\pi a ́ \lambda a, ~ v . ~ 73, ~ a n d ~ \pi v \gamma \mu a ̂ s, ~ v . ~ 74 .-\pi о \sigma i v ~ \tau є ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̈ p \mu а т ь: ~$ Closely joined by $\tau \in$ kai, on account of their kinship in speed;


 usually " glory," is "opinion" P. 1, 36, and N. 11, 24 : є́ $\mu a ̀ \nu ~ \delta o ́ s a v . ~$




 the Schol.-71. Aucúpviov: See O. 7, 29.-72. Otwvós: Nephew of Alkmene, first cousin of Herakles. According to Pausan. 3,

 The name of Oionos's grandmother was Midea. See O. 7, 29.73. "Exemes: Who afterwards killed Hyllos, the son of Herakles.
 ion, what Shilleto calls the panoramic imperf. Comp. O. 8,49: rávvev.-тêos: "Prize." P. 9, 128; I. 1, 27.




Poseidon，and so an hereditary charioteer．－78．Фрáarop：Un－ known，as well as Nikeus below．P．is following local records．



 ＂Above＂＝＂beyond．＂So N．9，54；I．2，36．－боцнахі $=\sigma \dot{v} \mu-$ jaұot．－81．тapaíOuछॄ：Tr．，＂shot past；＂the cheer flashed by． See P．1，87，note．For the last two contests the $\pi$ évra $\theta \lambda \lambda_{0}$ was afterwards substituted．See 1．1， 26 ：ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho \eta \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \tau a \epsilon ́ \theta \lambda ı \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 would not be strange if this whole description was composed to save the neglected memory of Doryklos and Phrastor and Ni－
 O． 3,20 ．The full moon，hence cỉwimtסos $\sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ dávas，was a necessary part of the institution．The light of the moon meets the shout of the army．
＇E $\pi .8$ ．－84．deifecto：＂Rang with song．＂This use of the pas－ sive is not very common in Greek．Cf．Eur．I．T． 367 ：av̉̀fitrą
 גццф тро́тоv：＂Like banquet music．＂A curious use of ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi{ }^{\prime}$ ， which makes the tune the centre of the song．－86．apxais．．．
 games by Herakles．－$\delta \pi \delta \mu$ cvot：Seems to hint at deviation on
 the proud victory，we will sing forth the thunder ．．．of Zeus．＂ The victory is Olympian，let us sing，to grace it，Olympian thun－ der．Perikles the Olympian was Perikles the Thunderer．$\chi$ ápı̀ is the result of $\kappa \in \lambda a \delta \partial \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \beta \rho о \nu \tau \alpha ́ v .-87$ ，viкas：So P． 1,30 ：той
 （Schol．）．－89．тиртá入apov $\beta$ élos：＂Bolt of the firehand．＂Hor． Od．1，2，2：rubente $\mid$ deatera sacras iaculatus arces．The thun－ derbolt is figured on the coins of the Epizephyrian Lokrians．－90． èv ء̈та⿱ть кра́тєь ．．．драро́та：＂In every victory fit emblem．＂ Mezger，after Friese，makes it＂in which dwells omnipotence．＂


ミтp．$\epsilon^{\prime}$－ 93 ．тג̀．．．фávev：Neut．pl．with verb pl．gives more individuality and more life．We distinguish the strains．Cf． P．1，13．For фávev of music，comp．So．O．R． 186 ：$\pi$ atà̀ $\delta$ è $\lambda$ á $\mu$－
 reverse of youth." So $0.12,11: ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \mu \pi а \lambda \iota \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \psi \iota o s, ~ P . ~ 12, ~ 32: ~ " ̈ \mu-~$
 One thinks of "this Eliezer of Damascus."-99. Ovqioкоvть бтуy-pwítaros: Out of the almost epic fulness of this passage it has falsely, if not foolishly, been gathered that Agesidamos had become old while waiting for Pindar's song. In one sense, yes!
 as a child of one's old age. Nothing more hateful than to die and leave no heir of one's body. Nothing more hateful than to die and leave no memorial of one's hard-earned glory. As the child keeps up the name, so the lyre keeps up the fame. We have no right to assume that Agesidamos was on the brink of the grave. The poet simply declares that he is secure from any such disaster as oblivion.
'Avт. є'.-102. кєvєવ̀ $\pi v \in$ évals: "Having spent his strength and breath in vain." Cf. N. 3, 41: ä̉ $\lambda \lambda o \tau^{\prime} a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$, and P. 2, 61: $\pi a \lambda a \iota \mu о \nu є i ̂ ~ к є у \epsilon a ́ .-\mu \delta \chi \theta \varphi$ : Semi - personification. "Procures for Toil naught but a little pleasure," the fleeting glory of the unsung victory. - 105. cupú: Predicative. The fame is spread "abroad " by the fostering Muses.

 "Embraced," "took to my heart." What was promise is per-

 $\pi$ á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ suggests roses.- iparóv: The son of Archestratos is not old enough to have lost his bloom.-110. $\mathbf{\text { fidov: Here no figure. }}$ The poet promised when he saw him, and then forgot.-xepoेs |
 "blended;" see P. 10, 41, -115. âvaıঠ́́a... $\mu$ о́pov: Theogn. 207:
 "regardless," "ruthless." - ov̀v Kvapoyevei: With the favor of Aphrodite.

## OLYMPIA XI. (X.).

For the occasion of this ode see the Introduction to the preceding one, where Böckh's view has been followed. Leop. Schmidt calls it a promissory note, while the old arrangers imagined it to be interest on deferred payment. This is the first Olympian victory celebrated by Pindar, and Schmidt thinks that P. shows great satisfaction at receiving the commission. This may be true, but Schmidt does not succeed in explaining why P. should have postponed the execution so long.

The thought of the poem is, "Song, God-given, is the true complement of God-given victory." There is a time for all things; time for winds, for showers. The time of all for song is when success is achieved by help of toil; then 'tis a beginning of fame hereafter, a sworn warranty of great achievements. High above envy is dedicated this praise for Olympian victors. This glory my tongue would fain feed full, but 'tis God alone can give a heart of wisdom. This glory I can sing as an adornment over and above thy olive wreath and foster the name of the Lokrian stock. There revel, ye Muses, for I will be bound that it is an hospitable race, acquainted with beauty, wise to the highest point, and warlike. Nor fox nor lion changes nature.

The rhythms are Dorian (dactylo-epitrite). Leop. Schmidt remarks on the inferior impressiveness and majesty of the rhythms as compared with other poems. However that may be, the proportion of dactyls is unusually small, though about the same as in 0.12 , which belongs to the period of full maturity. Böckh says: ad Lydiam declinat harmoniam.

The strophe sets forth the importance of the song, the antistrophe the divine calling of the poet, the epode the noble stock of the victor. Thus this brief poem contains all the elements of the émıviкtov except the myth. To this effect, Mezger.

ミтр.-1. "Eottv àvepómots, ктé. : Pindaric approach by parallels, of which the type is given O. 1 (init.). See also O. 3, 42, and

 gested by the voyage of Agesidamos, but wind suggests rain. In Greece navigation and agriculture go hand in hand. Hesiod puts agriculture first. - 3. maî $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ : A common personification; hence less felt, though not wholly effaced. See note on $0.8,1 ; \mathrm{N}$.
 tung, Bergk have $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$, but P. prefers the pres. indic. in the generic condition. The opt. protasis with universal present in the apodosis occurs P. 1, 81. 82; 8, 13. 14; I. 2, 33. 34, but the circumstances are somewhat different.-6. тêגєтat: Cited as an example of the schema Pindaricum (agreement of a priural subject with a singular verb), of which there are very few examples in P. Here we read, with A, à $\rho \chi$ á, and the example disappears. This syntactical figure gives no trouble when plural nouns are mixed with singulars or neuters-of course, disjunctives do not count, as P. 10, 41, q. v.-nor much when the verb precedes, for the singular is the general and the plural the particular. Comp. fr. IV. 3, 16. In P. 10, 71 there is a various reading, keiv-

 schig). In Aischyl. Pers. 49 oreṽrat rests on a correction of
 pledge for mighty deeds of emprise." Cf. N. 9, 16: "оркєор . . . rıoróy. These songs are to be the beginning of future renown and a witness to great achievements. They are called a pledge because they bind themselves to prove what has been done. On shifting gen. ( $\lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ ) and dat. (áperaîs), see O. 6, 5.

[^77]the use of $\beta$ oukodeiv．The figure is not to be pressed．-10 ． $\mathbf{i k}$ $\theta \epsilon$ © $\delta(\epsilon)$ ：P．modestly acknowledges his dependence on God．
 O．1，66．－íoiws：So von Leutsch，who has expiscated it out of
 бí $\nu \in \nu i k \eta к a s$ of the old Scholiasts．＂We are fain to sing thy praise，but our success depends on God，as well as thine．＂The


＇Er．－13．imi oт‘фáve：＂Over and above，＂＂topping．＂So 0.
 $\grave{a}^{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{i}$ of the Ambros．－xpucias d̀aias：$\chi \rho$ ．figurative．O．8， 1 ：

 $\dot{v} \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu$（Schol．）．－17．${ }^{\text {un }} \mu \mu \nu$ ：So Bergk and De Jongh after the Scholiasts，the MSS．$\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \nu$ ．The subject of $\dot{a} \phi i \xi \in \sigma \theta a t$ is＂We，＂
 $\mu \nu \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ỏi $\omega$（sc．$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ ）．$\nu \iota \nu$ ，in anticipation of $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ v$, would be forced（in spite of $0.7,60$ ）；with reference to the return of Agesidamos to his home，unnatural．－18．$\mu \eta \delta(\xi)$ ：For the one neg．，comp．P．10， 41 ：עóवot 8 ＇oüтє रท̂pas．So．Phil．771：ékóvтa $\mu \eta \gamma^{\prime}$ ä̉коута，Eur．Hec． 373 ：$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma a ~ \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \rho \omega \hat{\sigma a}$ ．The neg．$\mu \eta$ ，as
 Epizephyrian Lokrians well deserved this praise．For their poets－Xenokritos，Erasippos，Theano－see the classical diction－ aries．The＾окрıкà ${ }_{a}$ ä $\sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$ reflected the passionate and erotic character of the people．The poems of Nossis，preserved in the Anthologia Palatina，are well worth study．－19．alx $\mu$ aráv：Es－ pecially noted is their victory over the Krotoniates on the banks
 equable dactylo－epitrite rhythm allows this separation of article and substantive（Stein）．Cf．0．7， 13 （？）；12，5；P．12，20．－20．
 part holds．Still comp．I． 3 （4），65．－21．Sıa入入đ́gavto：＂Change＂ （gnomic aor．）．So with Lehrs，v．Wilamowitz－Moellendorff，Schrö－ der（Am．Journ．of Phil．XII．p．386）．The MSS．סıa入入ákat ＂May change，＂the so－called potential optative without äv．How－ ever，the examples commonly cited for this opt．in Pindar，N．3， $20 ;$ P．11，50，cannot be considered stringent．O．3，45，the opt．is imperative．In prose äv is necessary，and Hartung writes here： $\delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi a t \nu r$＇$\hat{\nu} \nu \eta{ }^{\eta} \theta o s$, which is forbidden by the digamma，

## OLYMPIA XII.

Ergoteles of Himera, an exile from Knosos in Crete, won the סó $\iota \iota$ дos, Ol. 77 ( 472 b.c.). The dó入ıхos is variously estimated at seven, twelve, twenty, twenty-four stades, most accepting the last. Crete was famous for its runners (Xen. An. 4, 8, 27: $\delta$ ó $\lambda_{t}$ -
 seldom took part in the Greek national games. After the victories mentioned in this ode (v. 17), Ergoteles won another Olympian (Ol. 78), and two Nemean contests (Paus. 6, 4, 11). The poem itself tells us that he had been driven from Crete by political faction, and as Sicily was the land of promise to the eastern Greeks, and especially those of Dorian stock, we may dispense with a closer investigation. From the Scholiast we learn that he arrived at Himera when a quarrel between Gelon and Hieron was at its height. Himera was hardly more quiet than his old home, but he succeeded in acquiring citizenship and the jealously guarded right of holding real estate.

The twelfth Olympian is a short occasional poem. It has no room for a myth, unless we consider the simile of the homefighting cock an equivalent (v. 14). The simple thought is the domination of Tyche. At the beck of Tyché ships are piloted on the deep, stormy wars and councils guided on land. Men's hopes are ships that roll through seas of idle plans, now high, now low. The future co god hath pledged, no man hath seen. The hoped-for pleasure is reversed, and from the battle with a sea of trouble men pass in a moment's space to joy profound (vv. 1-12).

So Philanor's son, like some home-fighting cock, would have had only homely fame, and the garland for the swiftness of his feet had shed its leaves unheralded, had no hostile faction bereft him of his Knosian fatherland. Now he hath gained a wreath at Olympia, two at Pytho, two on the Isthmus. Now he magnifies
the city of the Nymphs＇hot baths．Now he dwells amid broad acres of his own（vv．18－19）．

The sea plays an important part in this ode，as might be ex－ pected for many reasons－－the distance that separates Ergoteles from Olympia，the distance that separates his old home and his new．There is something symbolic of the vicissitudes of Fortune in the numerous antitheses．The poem rocks like a ship．The deep，the land－wars，councils－up，down－no pledge from God， no foresight of man－pleasure reversed，pain redeemed．

Himera and Ergoteles are paralleled．The city and the victor mirror each other．The fortune of Himera is the fortune of Er－ goteles．

The rhythms are dactylo－epitrite．Böckh calls the mood a mixture of Dorian and Lydian．The parts of the triad are clear－ cut．The first deals with the domination of Tyché，the second reinforces the theme of the uncertainty of human plans，the third makes a practical and comforting application of these reflections to the case of Ergoteles．

ミrp．－1．Zqvòs＇Eneu日epiov：Zeìs＇EXev日éplos was honored in other Greek states，but esp．in Himera，on account of the great victory gained over the Carthaginians，and the new deliverance from the rule of Thrasydaios．See Introd．to O．2．－2．eujpuotc－ $\boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\mathbf{a})$ ：Proleptic．Not used elsewhere in P．of a city．－ $\mathbf{\alpha} \mu \phi ı \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \mathrm{t}$ ： ＂Keep thy sentry－round about．＂－$\Sigma$ ẃтetpa Tv́xa：Tyché，acc．to the Homeric Hymn in Cerer． 420 is a Nereid；acc．to Hesiod （Theog．360），a daughter of Okeanos．Notice the sea atmosphere． Only acc．to Pindar himself（Paus．7，26，8），T．is one of the Moi－ pat．－3．тiv：＂At thy beck．＂The dat．of interest is by implica－

 velox navis，a thing of life；ஸ்кєia עav̂s，celeris navis，an expedi－ tious conveyance．＂Jebb，on Soph．Ai．710．Ships refer to war and peace，then follows war（ $\pi$ ó $\lambda_{\epsilon \mu} \mu_{t}$ ），then peace（ ${ }^{\prime} \gamma o \rho a i$ ）．So the balance is prettily held．－4．mó入єцot：Seas of blood，through which Himera had passed．－5．кáyopail．．．$\beta$ oùגaфópot：In pub－ lic councils it was a formula to commence áyaf $\hat{\eta}$ túx $\eta$（Paley）． －ail $\gamma$ e $\mu \mathrm{e} v$ àv $\delta \rho \omega \hat{v} . .$. è $\lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon$ ：Article and substantive are rhyth－ mically near，though syntactically far removed．Cf．O． 11 （10），
 verbial，as N． 9,43 ．The lying world is ploughed by hopes as waves by ships．$-\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \omega ́ v i a=\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \rho a$ каì aipó $\mu \in \nu a$（Schol．）．The K 2
waves of falsehood dash high and then fall back.-Ku入ivsom(eu):

 wholly dropped. We are now voyaging on a merchantman.9. фpaঠaí= $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon$. The plural in sympathy with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ( $=\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$.). See 0.9,21.-10. ëшєनev: Empiric aorist. The

 v. 5. Comp. O. 10 (11), 95 : עєótazos тò $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$, P. 12,32 : $\epsilon \not \mu \pi a \lambda \iota \nu$
 figure.- $\beta a \theta$ v́: Cf. O. 7, 53 : клéos $\beta a \theta \dot{v}, \mathrm{O} .13,62: \beta a \theta ̀ ̀ v » ~ к \lambda a ̂ \rho o v . ~$ Familiar is $\beta a \theta \dot{v} \pi \lambda$ ouros. Still the adj. belongs to the sea sphere, proverbially rich. Cf. O. 2, 32.-тripaтos: Gen. of price, "won joy for anguish." $-\pi \epsilon \delta d \mu \epsilon \downarrow \downarrow a v=\mu \epsilon \tau i \mu \epsilon \iota \psi a \nu . \quad \pi \epsilon \delta a ́, A \in o l$. and Old Dor. $=\mu \epsilon \tau$ á. $\quad$ Etymological connection is denied.

 tells of a translator who agonized over the unpoetical coq, but be it remembered that the $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota$ кòs ö $\rho \nu$ is was really more poetical to the Greek than it can be mar!e to us. Aischylos does not shun the comparison (Eum. 861). Cock-fights were popular in Greece. Pindar knew the cocks of Tanagra as well as he knew the poetess of Tanagra; the cock was sacred to Athena (Paus. 6, 26, 2), and Himera stamped her coin with a cock, acc. to some a pun on ' $\mathrm{I} \mu$ '́ $\rho a$ ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a$ ), acc. to others in honor of Asklepios.-15. dкर $\begin{gathered}\text { eq́s: Prolep }\end{gathered}$ tic.-катєфиддорóךбє: The $\tau \iota \mu$ é thus becomes a flower. It has been noticed that P. draws few of his figures from the world of plants.-16. oráoss ávrcáveıpa: A $\lambda$ égıs $\delta \rho \iota \mu \epsilon i ́ a ~ a c c o r d i n g ~ t o ~ E u-~$ stathios. - Kvorias: It has been inferred from this that the Knosians of that time did not take part in the Olympic games. Notice the sigmatism of the line.-17. oтeфavwáárvos: O. 7, 81.
 ing of a second Pythian victory; but see Paus. 6, 4, 11. - 19. Өeppù . . . $\lambda$ outpá: The glory of Himera, still there and called Termini.-ßaoráfets = íqois. The figure is not fully felt, else it would be absurd. It is nothing more than éracipety, O. 9, 22.


 Characteristic is the stress laid on é ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \sigma$ ts.

## OLYMPIA XIII.

Tee thirteenth Olympian commemorates the victory of Xenophon of Corinth in both stadion and pentathlon, O1. 79 (464 B.C.). Xenophon's father, before him, had won a foot-race at
 Indeed, the whole house of the Oligaithidai, to which Xenophon belonged, was illustrious almost beyond compare in Greece for their successes at the different games. The wealth of the family is shown by Xenophon's vow to consecrate a hundred éraípaı as iepódov̀o to Aphrodite, which liberality Pindar's épyárıs Moía did not fail to glorify. See fr. IX. 1.

The splendor and wealth of Corinth were proverbial, and as the seventh Olympian glitters with the light of the sun, so the thirteenth reflects the riches of $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \beta i a \operatorname{Koj} \rho \iota \nu \theta o s(\mathrm{v} .4)$. The first impression of the poem is that of a semi-Oriental bazaar. It seems to be profuse in the admired disorder of its wares. But there is, after all, a certain Greek symmetry. Victor and victor's city mirror each other as elsewhere ( 0.12 ), and the hero of Corinth, Bellerophon, sums up the highest of both. For wealth and success, without wisdom, without courage, are vulgar. The sister spirits of Law, of Justice, of Peace, daughters of Right, are the guardians of Corinth's wealth (v. 7). The achievements of the games abroad are balanced by inventions at home (v. 17). The dithyramb first rose upon the air in Corinth. The bit that rules the horse was first planned in Corinth. The temple's summit first received the adornment of the king of birds in Corinth. Here are three great inventions matching Eunomia, Dika, and Eirena-matching the three Olympian victories of the Oligaithidai. The Muse with the sweet breath and Ares with his embattled hosts of youthful warriors are both at home in Corinth (v. 23).

If Corinth abounds in wealth, in art-if Corinth claims the
honor of invention, her sons prosper, too. Keep, O Zeus, the people unharmed, fill the sails of Xenophon with a favoring breeze. ätav $\delta^{\circ}$ є́póvtos épyov (v. 17) is true of him. He gained the pentathlon and the stadion in one day, which mortal man never attained before (v. 31). Then comes a long list of the victories of Xenophon and his house, until the poet finds himself in feud with many concerning the number of these honors, and swears that he cannot count the sands of the sea (v.46). The time has come to put a bound, and so he returns to Corinth and tells the story of Bellerophon (vv. 63-92), forerunner of Xenophon -Bellerophon who mounted the height of heaven on a winged steed, so that it might have been said of him as of Xenophon:


The myth concluded, the poet again tries to sum up the achievements of the Oligaithidai in a few words, but the line stretches beyond his sight, $\mu a ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu^{\prime} \hat{\eta}$ ìs $i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu$ (v. 113). Swim out of this sea of glory with nimble feet. In highest fortune, as in trembling suspense ( 0.8 ), there is but one resource, and that is prayer. Zeus, Perfecter, give reverence with enjoyment (v. 115).

So the spirit of control regulates both the end and the begin-
 $\mu$ и́т $\rho \circ \nu$ ( v .47 ).

The measures are logaoedic.
The distribution of the five triads is not the common one. The first triad is devoted to Corinth, the second to Xenophon, the third and fourth to Bellerophon and his ancestors, the fifth to the Oligaithidai. Mezger calls attention to the fact that the subjects fall strictly within each triad. P. was evidently deepladen with his commission, which must have come from the whole house, whose praises he distributes as best he may. The later successes, Xenophon's and his father's, are put first; the earlier, those of the Oligaithidai generally, are put last.
 ning. So also O. 10 (11), 1: тò̀ 'O $\lambda v \mu \pi \iota \frac{\nu}{\text { íkav }{ }^{2} \nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \tau \epsilon ́ ~}$
 ing. The opulent word suits the opulent (ódßia) Corinth. Xenophon was victorious twice (v. 30), his father once (v. 35).

 than to入itns in P., because $\dot{a}$. is less technical and has to du
with the natural rather than the political position．The dif－

 not be safe to make ajozois＂the humbler citizens＂here，although it would include them．－3．0ярáтovтa：A word involving kindly service．See P．4，287．－үvผ́боран：Disputed．The Schol．eis $\gamma \nu \omega ิ \sigma \iota \nu \not{ }^{〔} \xi \omega$ ，＂I will make known，＂for which $\gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a \iota(0.6,89)$ is cited，but in vain．＂I will learn to know Corinth，＂means＂I will visit Corinth．＂So De Jongh．This is the language of one who had never seen Corinth and is to make the acquaintance of the city on this happy errand of praise．Of course this is figurative，as is кaтéßà（0．7，13）．－4．ذ̀ßíav：Noted from Homeı
 from Olympia，Corinth is the entrance of the Isthmus．Bakchyl．
 Sâvos：Comp．N．6，46：Пoбєьঠávtov тє́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ os（of the Isthmian games）．The form Пor．is Corinthian（Fennell）．See Cauer，${ }^{2}$ No． 81.




 The seasons are distributed thus ：Eunomia is preparation（seed－ time）；Dika，decision（harvest）；Eirena，enjoyment（festival）． The Horai preside over everything that needs timing（ $0.4,1$ ）； they are the regulators of wealth，and prevent the growth of $\tilde{v} \beta \rho \iota s$ ，which owes its origin to the wedlock of baseness and pros－ perity．On the chryselephantine statues of Themis（standing） and the Horai（sitting）at Olympia，see Paus．5，17，1．－－кacıүvíta ： Sing．，not dual，as is shown by the apposition；see 0．6，45．－7． фдо́трофоs：With v．l．ঠцо́тротоя，＂of like character．＂This seems to require the MS．$\dot{\sigma} \phi \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{s}$ above．Much tamer than the reading given here．－тapiat ávópá⿱宀：Slur－aı ä̉v－into one．Mommsen writes тápat for the fem．（O．14，9）．－8．xpúveat：See O． 11 （10）， 13.
 9，and so of a wont．－10．＂Yßpıv，Kópov $\mu a \tau \in \rho($ ：Full personifi－ cation to match the other．Theognis reverses the genealogy， v ．
 makes little difference，as，according to Greek custom，grand－ mother and granddaughter often bore the same name．It is a
mere matter of＂$\Upsilon \beta_{\text {pis－Kópos－＂}}$ Yßpıs．－12．evi日eia：＂Straightfor－ ward．＂єv．with to $\lambda \mu a$ ，nct acc．pl．，as Mommsen says，with $\lambda_{\epsilon}-$ $\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon \tau \nu}$ ．тó $\lambda \mu a$ is semi－personification，and the figure is not unlike that of $0.9,88$ ，where $\tau \dot{\delta} \lambda \mu a$ is $\gamma n e$ of the two attendants P ．de－ sires to have on his progress．I have hosts of fair things to tell，and I must go straight to my errand．Such is my nature． The poet apologizes for plunging into the thick of his praises．－
 v̈ $\mu \mu$ เv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ：I am the singer，yc 11 and yours the recipients of the favors of the Horai．－＇A入ára：Aletes N is a Herakleid king of Corinth．$-\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{̀} v, \ldots \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\{(\xi)$ ：Both are adverbial $=\pi \circ \lambda-$ $\lambda$ ákıs．Symmetry keeps the second $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ́$ from going with бофі $\sigma \mu a \tau a$（v．17），－15．inтєреえӨбvтшv：The gen．absol．without a subject is denied for Homer．In P．the construction is to be watched．Undoubted，however，seem to be P．8，43：¿ס＇єiлє $\mu a \rho \nu a \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ ，and P．4， $232(=\mathrm{N} .10,89)$ ：©̂s äp’ av̉dá́бavтos．Here the shift from the dat．to the gen．is easy，easier than making
 iєрผิ̀ $\dot{\text { àé }} \boldsymbol{\theta} \lambda \omega \nu$ ．
 Ipyov：This has a proverbial ring．＂All the work belongs to the inventor＂（i．e．the credit for it all）．Often quoted．Best com－ mented by an epigram on Thespis：$\mu v \rho i o s$ aì̀ $\pi$ то $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$
 Xápıтеs：Explained by the Schol．as ai éopraì ai tò émaycyò̀ é $\chi$ ovat．－19．ßоך入áтq：Refers to the prize of the victor in the dithyramb．Some think of the symbolical identification of Dionysos with the bull．See Hdt．1，23，for the history of the dithyramb，first performed in Corinth by Arion of Methymna during the reign of Periander．The Bacchic joyance is the main thing，and we must not hold $P$ ．to a strict account when he attributes the origin of the dithyramb，as he does elsewhere，acc． to the Schol．，now to Naxos and now to Thebes．－20．ris yáp：
 ＂check，＂and so＂bit，＂as the Schol．explains：rà ï $\pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon a \mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho a$ тоv $\chi^{a \lambda \iota \nu o v . ~ T h e ~ m y t h ~ t u r n s ~ o n ~ t h e ~ p r a i s e ~ o f ~ ' A ~} \begin{aligned} & \eta \nu a ̂ ~ X a \lambda ı \nu i t ı s, ~\end{aligned}$ who had a temple in Corinth，Paus．2，4，5．The selection of the word points to a more perfect control gained by the Corinthian bit，not the out－and－out invention of it．－21．vaoîcı ．．．$\delta$（ $\delta$ vprov ： The words would seem to mean naturally that two eagles were
placed as áкрштipta, or "finials," on the temples, one on either gable. The pediment was called áєтós, àє́тต $\mu a$, and the Scholiast supposes that the name was due to the eagle here mentioned. Another explanation is that the Corinthians filled the pediments, naked before, with the figure of an eagle, which subsequently gave way to groups of statuary. The name áerós for the gablefield is commonly referred to the resemblance of the pediment to an eagle with extended wings. Bekker, Anecd. p. 348, 3: aं $\epsilon \tau \circ \hat{v}$
 and the passages there collected by Blaydes.-22. dv 86: With deveí, "And there."-Moío' \&\&únvoos: We have no right to refer this with Dissen to the older poets and musicians of Corinth ex-clusively.-23. "Apps: The Corinthian helmet (Hdt. 4, 180), the Corinthian trireme (Thuk. 1, 13), are well known, and the story of Periander, the history of Corinth in the Persian war, may be read in Herodotos.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$-24. บ̈ँат(є): With 'Oגvprias (Fennell). Comp. Aisch.
 neg. compounds of verbals in -rós often are. Cf. O. 6, 67: 廿evóć $\omega \nu$

 for a nautical Corinthian, $0.7,95 .-8 a\{\mu o v o s: ~ T h e ~ \delta a i \mu \omega \nu ~ h e r e ~ i s ~$

 with $8 \dot{\epsilon} \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ because the giver is interested as well as the receiver. When the giver is a god, he is waiting to be gracious. When he is a man, the acceptance of the present is an honor. See the Pindaric passages P. 4,$23 ;$ P. 8,$5 ; 12,5 ;$ I. 5 (6), 4


 30. тevtaé $\lambda \propto$ : The memorial verses of Simonides run: "I $\sigma \theta \mu \mathrm{a}$
 (3) $\delta i \sigma \kappa \circ \nu$, (4) ảkоขт $a$, (5) $\pi \dot{a} \lambda \eta \nu$. See a long discussion of the $\pi$ évraӨ入oy in Fennell's ed. of the Nemean and Isthmian odes IX.-XX.-31. Tîv: See O. 2, 25. The hyperbaton is easy with the demonstrative relative $\tau \hat{\nu}=\hbar \nu$.

[^78]stored．The parsley of the Isthmian games was dry，of the Ne － mean green．Parsley had a funereal as well as a hymeneal sig． nificance．－34．oủk àvtıร้єิ̂：Lit．＂does not go against the grain，＂



 －37．otaסiov：Six hundred Olympic feet．－$\delta$ sav́dov：The double
 ＂Within the circuit of a single sun．＂Here à $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ has the pe－ culiar inside use $0.2,33$ ，＂with only one sun about it．＂-38 ． крavaais＇ı̀v＇A．：See O．7，82．－＂pya：＂Victories，＂＂crowns of vic－ tory．＂－$\quad$ oסapкخ̀s｜ápépa：The day sympathizes with the victor． Comp．the Homeric סoúлıo ${ }^{\eta} \mu a \rho$ ．
＇Er．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．－40．＇Eג入árta：Depends on the general notion of gain－ ing．If the exact verb of the previous sentence were to be sup－ plied，we should have énrá．Athena Hellotis was honored in
 games．－41．цакро́тєраи，ктє．．：＂Too long would be the songs that shall keep up with the victories of，＂etc．Similar self－checks are found P．4， 247 ；N．10， 45 ；I． 4 （5），51．－42．Tepభiq：Acc．to the Scholia，Terpsias was the brother of Ptoiodoros and so uncle of Thessalos（v．35），Eritimos was son or grandson of Terpsias．To judge by Pindar，Ptoiodoros was father of Terpsias and Eritimos． The Scholia give two names not in P．，but it is hardly worth while to attempt to reconcile the two accounts，or to explain the divergence．－44．Xóprots $\boldsymbol{i}^{2} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ teovros：The Nemean games．Cf．N． 6，47：ßotáva ．．．$\lambda$ éovtos．A dash，rather than a comma，after入éoytos would give the feeling of the passage：＂As for all your achievements－I am ready to contend with many．＂No matter how many come against me，I can always match them，as your victories are like the sands of the sea for multitude．－46．тovtiâv

 meet．＂There is a limit to everything．The poet puts a bit in his own mouth．Comp．v．20．Enough of the house，now of the

 tral thought of the poem．Cf．Hes．O．et．D． 694 ：$\mu$ éт $\rho a$ фuえáббє－


 -one that is independent of the rest; he sails his own course of poetry (Kayser). His mission is to celebrate the victor's family, but he is to learn to know Corinth, he is to praise Corinth,



 Kopiveq: In prose $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ Kopiv ${ }^{2}$ ov.- Eicuqov: Both Sisyphos (the Archwise) and Medeia (the Deviser) were held in higher esteem in Corinth than in most parts of Greece. $\Sigma$. depends not so much on $\gamma$ apvi $\omega$ as on the echo of it. See $v .40$.- is $\theta e \delta v$ : The popular and false etymology of Eícupos derived the name from $\sigma$ tós $=\theta$ cós and $\sigma v$ фós $=\sigma \circ \phi o ́ s$, hence $=\theta$ єóvoфos.-53. av̉rậ: ipsi, not aútậ, sibi. There is no compound reflexive in Pindar, as there is none in Homer. The middle and the emphatic pronoun show the unnaturalness of the action from the Greek point of view. The story of Medeia is told P. 4, 218 foll.
 amples of wisdom are followed by a double line of martial deeds.

 sides. The Trojan side, represented by Glaukos, grandson of Bellerophon (see note on v .67 ), happened to be the more satigfactory, and hence $\mathbf{P}$. turns that outward, according to his rule, $\mathbf{P}$.
 tòv $\mu \grave{v} v .$. . Atpéos: The Corinthians were vassals of Agamemnon, I1. 2,570. Their leaders were not especially distinguished. Euchenor, the son of Polyidos, the Corinthian seer, chose death in battle rather than by disease, and fell by the hand of Paris, Il. 13,
 kos appears often enough in the ranks of the Trojans-a brave, but flighty fellow, II. 6, 119 foll. (where he makes himself immor-
 éкато́м $\beta$ о九' '̀̀vєаßоí $\omega$ ) ; 7, 13; 12, 102 (summoned by Sarpedon to help himj, $309 ; 14,426 ; 16,492 ; 17,140 .-61$. Пetpávas: Peirene, a famous fountain in Akrokorinthos.-大фетépov: See P.4,83. - тarpós: "Ancestor."-62. ßa0iv: "Rich." Comp. ßaAítлоитos.
'En. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$ - 64. Háyacov: Homer says nothing of the Pegasos myth. P.follows local legends, which he seems everywhere to have studied carefully. Comp. N. 7, 105, $\Delta$ iòs KópıvOos, with the commentators.-65. $\pi \rho i v \gamma \in:$ "Until," which the conjunction $\pi \rho i v$ always means with the indic. О. 9,61. - xpvoápжuкa: Of the
 dream there was forthwith reality," the sober certainty of waking fact.-67. Alodísa: The genealogy is Aiolos-Sisyphos-Glaukos-Bellerophon-Hippolochos-Glaukos. P. drops, or seems to drop, Hippolochos. See 11. 6, 144.-68. фíגтроv: So v. 85 : фáp ªкоу. $^{\text {. }}$ Transl. "charm." - 69. $\Delta a \mu a i ́ \varphi ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi a т \rho i ́: ~ " T a m e r-f a t h e r, " ~ P o-~$ seidon, of whom Glaukos is the double.-vıv: Anticipates rav̂pov (rare in Pindar). See N. 5, 38. - àpyáevia: Black bulls are generally sacrificed to Poseidon, and the Scholiast is puzzled
 red bulls are sacrificed to the same god, and P. was doubtless following local usage.
$\Sigma \tau \rho .8^{\prime}-71$. кvต́боovit: Of sleep at once sweet and deep. The word is used of Penelope's slumber (Od. 4, 809), when she sees the vision of Athena, disguised as her sister, who addresses her: Eũ $\delta \epsilon t \varsigma, ~ \Pi \eta \nu є \lambda o ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon a ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ; ~ j u s t ~ a s ~ A t h e n a ~ a d d r e s s e s ~ B e l l e r o p h o n . ~$
 bpөஸ̂ пoठí: Dat. of manner, though we tr. "to his feet, erect."-75. Kolpavifạ: Polyidos the seer; see note on v. 58.-76. ánò кєivou xprioros: "At his bidding," viz. that of Polyidos.
 (mood of the original speech), common in repeating laws, oracles, and the like.-81. kapraimo8(a) : A Delphic word for bull (Schol.). Oracles had a vocabulary of their own, which was wide

 रos Move $\begin{aligned} & \text { ôàv. - 83. кov́фav: Predicative, "as a light (little) }\end{aligned}$
 often $=\pi o \imath \eta$ चुat.-84. каi ò картєрós : Even the strong Bellerophon had failed, and now was glad to use the mild remedy.-85. фáp-


 0. 8, 47: 'A $\mathrm{A} \mu$ 【̧óvas єúintous, where they are represented as favor
ites of Apollo．－88．al0épos 廿uxpâs：On the gender comp．O．1，
 ＂Bosom of the ether，＂with as much right as the＂deep bosom of the ocean．＂Shakespeare＇s＂bosom of the air，＂R．and J．ii．¿
 pav：In Homer（Il．6， 179 foll．）the order is different．The king of Lykia bids him slay the Chimaira first（ $\dot{\eta} \delta^{\circ}$ ä $\rho$＇$\neq \eta \nu \quad \theta \epsilon i o \nu$
 ס̀ xípatpa），then he attacked the Solymoi，and finally slew

 －Eodúpous：Not an anticlimax．The name of this mountain－folk of Lykia was enough，according to Homer，Il．6， 185 ：картібтпр
 $\sigma t \omega \pi$－（Aeolic）．－fot：Dependent on the verbal element in $\mu$ ópov． －$\mu$ ópov：He fell from his winged steed when attempting to fly to heaven，and was crippled．Homer says of him simply，Il．6，201：

 shelter．＂


 and would not speed too many darts beside the mark（P．1， 44 ：
 т̀̀ тo入入̀̀ $\beta$ ．：＂These many，＂＂all these．＂—картúvetv $\chi \in p o i v: ~ " T o$ speed with all the vigor of my two hands．＂Notice the dual noun $\chi \in \rho o i v$, so rare in P．See $0.6,45$ ．But such duals crop out even in post－classic Greek，where the dual is practically dead．-96 ．
 by the instructions he had received，and took care to distribute the masses by taking up the victor in the first part and the victor＇s $\phi \rho a \tau \rho i a$ ，the Oligaithidai，in the third．－97．＂ßav：0．9， $89:{ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta$ ov $\mid$
 often spoken of as being present at the scene of the victory，so that it is unnecessary to supply $\tau$ á from what follows．N． $9,43 \cdot$ P．1，79．So Mezger，with whom I read $\pi a v ́ \rho \varphi \gamma^{\prime}$ ë $\pi \epsilon \iota$ ．－¿ं $\theta \rho \dot{\rho}(\mathbf{a})$ ： He cannot go into details．－99．Ésopkos：＂Under oath．＂${ }^{\text {E．．is a }}$ peculiar word（ésopoos idics，says the old Schol．），but that is no reason for＇changing it into égopoos（＂six－times sworn＂）with Christ ap．Mezger．－inéơerat：＂Will add confirmation．＂－
 its sixty-fold sweet messages." They had overcome sixty times, thirty times in each of the two places, unless $\epsilon \xi$. is merely a round number. -100 . $\AA \delta$ ú $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma$ s. : Notice the short $v$ before $\gamma \lambda$.
'Avr. є́.-102. $\bar{\eta} \delta \bar{\eta} \pi$ mápotec : The only Olympian victories scored were those mentioned in the beginning.-103. $\boldsymbol{\tau \sigma \tau}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ : When the
 say " have free course." On the opt. see O.1,115.-106. 'Evva入í : Supposed to refer to a family cult. A mere guess.-107. áváa. $\sigma \omega v:$ Looks very much like ává $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, a gloss to ä̉vaॄ̧. Bergk reads 'Арка́б九 ( $\beta$ á $\sigma \sigma a \iota s)$. Still we may comp. Homer's ảvǻé $\delta \rho о \mu є \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta$. This king-altar might look as if it were leaping into the air, on account of its commanding position on Mt. Lykaion, from which almost all the Peloponnesos was visible. See Paus. 8, 38, 5.108. Auкаíov: Sc. Atós.
'En. є'.-109. Пéגлava: In Achaia, O. 7, 86.- Eukváv: N. 9, 1.

 $\theta^{\prime}$ 체' Altras: At Aitna and Syracuse.-112. Ev̈ßota: The names of the games at the different localities are given as follows: At Argos, Heraia or Hekatombaia; at Thebes, Herakleia and Iolaia; at Pellene, Diia, Hermaia, Theoxenia; at Sikyon, Pythia; at Megara, Diokleia, Pythia, Nemea, and Alkathooia; in Aigina, Aiakeia, Heraia, Delphinia, or Hydrophoria: at Eleusis, Eleusinia, Demetria; at Marathon, Herakleia; at Aitna, Nemea ; at Syracuse, Isthmia, as at Corinth; in Euboia, Geraistia (in honor of Poseidon), Amarynthia (in honor of Artemis), Basileia.-113.
 "Stretching beyond the reach of sight."-114. áva $={ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$ : "Up!" The poet addresses himself. - ekvev̂бat: Imperative infin. "Swim out" of this sea of victories, which is to P. a sea of troubles, even if they are sweet troubles $(0.1,19)$. -115 .
 posed to have been brought from Corinth to her daughter, Syracuse, and thence to Aitna.-al $\delta \hat{\omega} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta$ ot: Moderation is needed in this flood of prosperity. The poem closes with a wish for singer and for victor, as does O.1. The poet wishes for himself a happy discharge of his perplexing task ( $\epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ ), for the victor the enjoyment of the fruits of his victory, which can only be assured by aldés.

## OLYMPIA XIV.

Orchomenos, in Boeotia, was a very ancient city, the home of the famous Minyai (v. 4), where the Charites were worshipped from the earliest times. The poem, as we have it, contains scarcely more than an invocation and exaltation of the Charites, and an announcement of the Olympian victory of the boy Asopichos, who won the single-dash foot-race, Ol. 76 ( 476 в.c.). This victory Echo is bidden report to the father of Asopichos, who is now in the abode of Persephone. While the poem closes well, the massive structure of the strophe gives the piece the effect of a torso.

The song is supposed to have been sung in a procession ( $\kappa 0 \hat{\phi} \phi a \beta \iota \beta \omega \hat{\nu} \tau a$, v. $1^{7}$ ) to the temple of the Charites for the dedication of the wreath.

The metres are logaoedic. The mood is said by the poet himself to be Lydian (v. 17). The soft Lydian measure was especially suited to boys' voices ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i ⿱ ̂ \delta \omega \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a, ~ A r i s-~$ tot. Pol., end, p. 1342 b 32), and was in favorite use for prayers and plaints, and consequently well adapted to the close of the poem, in which the dead father of the victor is mentioned.

Poets have admired the ode greatly-while editors have complained of its difficulties.

Erp. a'.-1. Kaфıбi $\omega$ : On this Kephisos, see Strabo 405. 407. It was a common river-name, and is found in Attika, Salamis, Sikyon, Skyros, Argolis,-Aaxoïact aitc: Bergk writes тaite for aite of the MSS., which Mommsen defends, at in $\lambda a \chi o i \sigma a t$ being shortened, as often in dactylic poetry. The Pindaric passages cited by Mommsen (P. 5, 72, and 8,96) have been emended, the latter with good warrant. Böckh reads גaxoíaav. On the
 turage. Comp. the praise of the Attic Kephisos in Sophokles,
 Aırapâs: $\lambda$. is used of Thebes, P. 2, 3. Elsewhere of Athens, N. 4, 17; I. 2,20 ; and in the famous fragment IV. $4: \bar{\epsilon}$ таi $\lambda_{1 \pi}$ a $\rho a i$

 local form, after Cavedoni. The change is advocated by van Herwerden also.-Mıvuâv: Minyas was the son of Poseidon and Kallirrhoë. His descendants, the Minyans, were the Vikings of Greek legend. - 5. тá тє тєpтvà каì | тà̀ $\gamma \lambda u \kappa \epsilon(\alpha): ~ \tau \epsilon \ldots$. . . каí is usually employed to couple opposites or complements, as Mommsen notes. If tò rє $\boldsymbol{\text { s }} \boldsymbol{\pi \nu o ́ \nu}$ is the transient diversion (Schmidt, Synonym.), and rò $\gamma \lambda u k u$ the immanent sweetness, there would be enough difference to justify the combination.-6. àverat: So Kayser for yiveтal.- $\beta$ potois: "For," only incidentally "by." The Schol. correctly үірєтає каі̀ $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ aivet.-7. бофós: "Skilled in song." See O.1,9.116.- ¿ydaós: Of victory, which is often represented as sheen (comp. O. 13,5 : áy $\lambda$ aóкovoò, 14 : ả $\gamma \lambda a \dot{a} a \nu$ ), and Aglaia is one of the Graces.-8. àvvâv: So Kayser, to save the metre; the MSS. $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̂ \nu:$ cf. fr. VI. $1: \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̂ \nu$ Xapít $\omega \nu \mu_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \mu a \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu o ́ \nu$, and Eur. Hel.
 Xápıres, and Alkaios, fr. 62 ( Byk.).-Xapíter äтtp: See P. 2,42.-9.
 The first neg. omitted. See O. 11 (10), 17. к. $=$ रitaко $\sigma \mu$ оṽ
 Mommsen inclines to rá $\mu \iota a$, a theoretical fem. form. Cf. O. 13,


 ... Opóvovs: Leop. Schmidt suspects the statement of the Schol. that the thrones of the Muses were placed at the right hand of Apollo in Delphi.-12. áévaov . . . tıuáv: á. is more poetic as a proleptic adj. than as an adverb. Ka申ioua vibara calls up the image of v̋ðata áєváovтa. The honor of Zeus is "as a river."

ミтp. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.-13. $\pi$ dorv' 'Aydata: Aglaia was especially the mistress of victory (see v. 7), as Thaleia presided especially over feasts ( $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu o \iota$ ). The three Graces were first fixed by Hesiod, Theog.

 'épà, the weaker to the stronger. Toying with synonyms was not impossible for P.-Ocêv spariotov: Zeus was the father, Eury-
nome, an Okeanid, the mother, acc. to Hesiod (Theog. 907).15. ̇̀тanooìt vîv: So Bergk and Mommsen (for é $\pi$ ákoo $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ of the MSS.) from a supposed $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi \eta \kappa \sigma \in \epsilon$, not an attractive formation. Other conjectures are: Є̇пáкoo tavv̂v, Herm., Dissen, but we must have imperative or optative ; ধ่ $\pi$ ćkoos $\gamma \in \nu \in \hat{v}$, Herm., Böckh, Schneidewin. - 17. кои̂фа ßıßิิvтa: So Hom. Il. 13, 158: койфа
 ... èv тро́тч: Mommsen recognizes a kind of êv סıà סvoiv, to which figure P., indeed, comes nearer than does any other Greek poet, but тро́лш is "the tune," and $\mu \epsilon \lambda$ éraus is the verse. "With Lydian tune and meditated lays." $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, of the flute, $0.5,19 ; 7$,
 See O. 7, 13: кatéßav.-19. Mıvéela. Acolic accentuation, as in Kúkvєta, $\mathbf{O}, 10(11), 17$. Orchomenos is so called to distinguish it from the Arkadian city of the same name.-20. नev̂ fékart: Thaleia, not because she is корифаia generally, but because this is the кюิноs, of which she has special charge.-21. EגAÉ, Faxoî: Ahrens writes $\epsilon \lambda u \theta^{\prime}$, metri causa. With the passage comp. $0.8,81$, where 'Aypenia, a daughter of Hermes, is supposed to discharge the same office. Echo belongs to the Orchomenian sphere, by reason of her passion for Narkissos, son of Kephisos. - 22.


 фávore : The middle ( $0.7,15$ ), though natural, is not necessary.

 Wreaths are wings, because iney bear the champion aloft, éraeiроуть ( 0.9, 22).


## PYTHIA I.

The victory commemorated in this poem was garned Pyth. 29 i.e. $01.76,3(474$ в.c.). Hieron had himself proclaimed as a citizen of Aitna in order to please the city founded by him, Ol. 76, 1 ( 476 в.c.), to take the place of Katana. In the same year he had gained a victory over the Etruscans off Cumae, thus crowning the glory of the battle of Himera. The great eruption of Aitna, which began Ol. 75,2 ( 479 b.c.), and continued several years, figures largely in this poem, which has been much admired and often imitated, notably by Gray in his "Progress of Poesy."

Pindar's poems are constellations. There are figures as in the heavens, a belt, a plough, a chair, a serpent, a flight of doves, but around them clusters much else. The Phorminx is the name of the constellation called the first Pythian. In the first part of the poem the lyre is the organ of harmony, in the second the organ of praise. In the first part everything is plain. Apollo and the Muses are to the Greek the authors of all harmony, artistic, political, social, spiritual. The lyre, as the instrument of Apollo, is the symbol of the reign of harmony over the wide domain of Zeus. Everything that owes allegiance to Zeus obeys his son Apollo, obeys the quivering of the lyre's strings. So the footstep of the dancer, the voice of the singer. Even the thunderbolt, the weapon of Zeus, is quenched, the bird of Zeus slumbers, the wild son of Zeus, violent Ares, sleeps a deep sleep. This is the art of the son of Leto and the deep-bosomed Muses (vv. 1-12).

All those that Zeus hath claimed as his own are ruled by harmony. Not so those that he loves not. When they hear the sound of the Pierides, they strive to flee along the solid earth and the restless main. So he who now lies in dread Tartaros, enemy of the gods, Typhon, reared in the famed Kilikian cave. His hairy breasts are pinched by the high sea-shores of Kyme
and Sicily, and Aitna's heaven-mounting column pinions himAitna, nurse of keen snow, from whose inmost recesses belch purest streams of unapproachable fire, rivers that roll sparkling smoke by day, while purple flame by night bears in its whirl masses of stone down to the surface of the deep, plashing. These jets of fire are upflung by yon monster. Terrible are they-a marvel to behold, a marvel even to hear from those that have beheld. Such a creature is that which lies bound by peak and plain, while his back is goaded by his craggy couch (vv. 13-28).

May we not be of those thou lovest not, may we find favor in thy sight, O Zeus, lord of Aitna's mount-the forehead of this fruitful land, whose namesake neighbor city the famed founder glorified when the herald proclaimed her in the Pythian course by reason of Hieron's noble victory with the chariot. As men who go on shipboard count as the first blessing a favoring wind, an omen of a happy return, so we count from this concurrence that the city will henceforth be renowned for wreaths of victory and chariots, her name be named mid banquet-songs. Lykian and Delian lord, thou that lovest the Kastalian fount of Parnasos, make this purpose good, make the land a land of men (vv. 2940).

So far Apollo and the Muses dominate-dominate as the interpreters of Zeus. Now Zeus himself comes forward. Apollo is mentioned no more, but the prayer to him, v. 40, is matched by a prayer to the Muse in V .58.

Zeus, Apollo, the Muses, have now led us up to the praise of Hieron. The achievements of mortals are all due to the gods. Men are bards; are valiant and eloquent through them (v.41); and so, through them, Hieron has the virtues of his high position, and all the so-called counsels addressed to him are merely indications of what he is, or thinks he is, or tries to be. In praising his hero Pindar picks out first the quality that had recently distinguished him, and this success was won $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \lambda a ́ \mu a \iota s$ ( v .48 ). The future lacks nothing but forgetfulness of toils and pains. Greater prosperity, greater wealth, it cannot give. It can only administer (ovitc, v. 46). When the forgetfulness of the bitter past comes, then the memory of all the glorious achievements of war, with all its proud wealth, will return. May our hero, like Philoktetes of old (v. 50 ), have a god to be his friend and benefactor. But the song is not for Hieron alone. His son, Deinomenes ( v .68 ), shares the joy in the victory of his sire; his son is
king of the city Aitna, which Hieron built for him, founding it with god-sent freedom in the lawe of Doric stock, after the principles of Doric harmony (v.65). May this harmony between people and princes abide, and may father pass to son the keynote of concordant peace ( v .79 )-peace within and peace from barbaric foes without. Zeus keep the Phoenician and the Tyrrbenian battle-shouts at home, now that they have seen the fell destruction of their ships, the punishment of their insolence, before Kyme - that weight that rests upon Typhon's breast. For what Salamis to Athens, what Plataia to Sparta, that to the sons of Deinomenes is the day of Himera (v. 80).

But brevity is best. Twist the strands tight. Less, then, will be the blame, for surfeit dulleth the edge of expectation. Others' blessings and advantages are a hateful hearing; yet envy is better than pity. Hold, Hieron, to thy high career. Still guide the people with a just helm. Still be thy word forged on the anvil of truth. No sparkle of dross that flieth past is without its weight, coming from thee. Steward of many things thou art. Faithful witnesses there are many for right and wrong. Firm abide in generous temper. Wax not weary in expenditure. Let thy sail belly to the wind. Let no juggling gains lure thee. After mortals liveth fame alone as it revealeth the lives of the departed to speakers and to singers. Kroisos' generous kindliness perisheth not. The cruel soul of Phalaris-brazen-bull-burner-is whelmed by hating bruit; no harps beneath the roof-tree receive him to soft fellowship with warbling boys. Good fortune is first; then good fame. Whoso hath chanced on both and made both his own hath received the highest crown (vv. 81-100).

The mood is Dorian, the rhythms dactylo-epitrite.
Of the five triads, the first two deal with harmony; the third and the fourth have to do with Hieron's work as a founder, his work as a warrior, with the sweet music of a concordant state, the sweet silence from the barbaric cry, have to do with Aitna and Himera. The last triad avoids the weariness of praise by disguising it under sage counsel, with the intimation that Hieron has not only been prosperous, but has gained the fair voices of the world.



 ＊ov $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \nu$ of the Greeks，and＂black＂is the nearest translation of co－．－2．$\sigma$ óvo̊ıкov ．．．ктéavov：＂Joint possession．＂－$\beta$ árıs：The dancer＇s foot listens and obeys the throb of the cithern．-3 ． coifoi：The singers of the chorus．－4．трoorficuv：＂Preludes．＂


 better as a subst．than as an adjective．$\kappa$ ．is personified，＂spear－
 79）．－ávà бкáттч $\Delta$ vós：The eagle on the sceptre of Zeus is a familiar figure．Comp．So．fr．766： $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ бкךттоßá $\mu \omega \nu$ aieròs кvi $\nu \nu$ $\Delta$ cós．－むxciav：Of the inherent quality．See note on O．12， 3. Contrasting epithet to heighten $\chi^{a \lambda a ́ g a t s . ~}$

 is a deep sleep with fair visions．See $0.13,71 .-9$ ．viypòv vŵrov ： The feathers rise and fall like waves on the back of the sleep－ ing bird in response to his breathing．－10．$\dot{\rho}$ ．raiart：$\dot{\rho}$ ．often of

 334 ：$\kappa \eta \lambda \eta \theta \mu \hat{\varphi} \delta^{\prime}$ ढै $\sigma \chi о \nu \tau 0$ ，and Thompson＇s notes on Plat．Phaidr． $238 \mathrm{D}, 244 \mathrm{E} .-\beta$ raràs＂Apクs：To match aỉ $\chi \mu a \tau a ̀ \nu ~ к \epsilon \rho a v \nu o ́ v ~ a b o v e . ~$
 dissolve in deep repose．＂－12．кฑิ入a：Comp．O．1，112；2，91；9， $5-12$ ；I． 4 （5）， 46 for the same metaphor．－$\alpha \mu \phi$ ：With the pecul－ iar poetic use，rather adverbial than prepositional．＂With the

 beauty．The deep girdle and the deep folds might be due to amplitude or to dignity，or both．BaӨúko入лos of Mother Earth， P．9， 101 ．
${ }^{\prime}$ E $\pi . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} .-13 . \pi е ф \lambda_{\eta \kappa \varepsilon}:$ Emotional perfect $=$ pres．，though on the theory that $\phi i \lambda o s$ means＂own，＂$\pi$ ．$=$＂hath made his own．＂ －drútovrar：On the concord，see $0.2,92 ; \mathbf{O} 10(11), 93$ ．The neuter ö $\sigma \sigma a$ conjures up strange shapes．－$\beta$ oáv：Of music．O．3，
 up as a complementary color $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon a \dot{\nu}$, ＂solid，＂with $\gamma a ̂ \nu$ ．For
¿дацна́кєтоу, " furious," "restless," see II. 6, 179, where it is used of the Chimaira. The sea is the favorite haunt of monsters. $\operatorname{kar}(\dot{a})$ : On $\kappa$. with the second member, see $0.9,94,-15$. aivq́ Taprápч: So 'I $\sigma \theta \mu$ ós is fem. in P. O. 8, 48; N. 5, 37; I. 1, 32.16. Tuфẃs: See IL. 2, 782, where his bed is said to be єiv 'Apípots, which is in Kilikia. Cf. Aisch. P. V. 351 : $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \eta \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \in \mathbb{K} \iota \lambda_{\imath}$ -
 too long to quote entire, Prometheus prophesies the eruption in language that seems to be a reflex of Pindar's description.-17.

 over. The whole region is volcanic. Ischia, the ancient Pithekussa, where Hieron established a colony, was rudely shaken by an earthquake in 1880, almost destroyed in 1883.-19. кi $\omega v .$.




 tors see in this epithet Pythagorean reverence of fire. The reverence of fire is Indo-European. For $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu . . . \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, see 0.11 (10), 8.22. $\pi$ aүai - тотapoi . . . кpouvov́s : All carefully used. $\pi a y a i ́$ " well

 Measured from the top of the mountain. "Far below."-oìv $\pi a-$ тéץq: Effective position.-25. 'Aфaiototo: This personification was not so vivid to the Greek as it is to us. See note on P. 3,


 and goes with ákoveval. "It is a marvel of marvels to see, a marvel even to hear." This makes $\pi \rho o \sigma \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ refer to the $\phi \lambda{ }^{\prime} \xi$,
 (for which we have the variant $\pi a \rho t o \rho^{2} \tau \omega \nu$ ) is genitive absolute without a subject, "when men are present." P. uses the construction somewhat charily (see note on $0.13,15$ ), and Cobet's $\pi a \rho$ ' i8óvrav, "to hear of from those who have seen," would be seductive in prose. P. does not happen to use tapá thus.

[^79] Asyndeton is common and natural in prayers（see $0.1,115$ ），and so is the suppression of the dative（ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i}$ ）．$-30 . \mu$ érwmov：The mountain rises from the plain as the forelead from the face． The transfer of the designations of parts of the body to objects in nature is so common as not to need illustration．Whatever original personifying power this transfer may have had seems to have faded out in Greek poetry（Hense，Adolf Gerber）．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．


 claimed．＂－intép：＂By reason of．＂一ка入入เviкov｜ăриабь：P．11， 46 ： ėv ăриабь ка入入ірıкоь．
 of the craft．In this case a good beginning makes a good end－ ing．－34．ds $\pi \lambda$ óov ．．．oủpor ：Connected by the rhythm．－doıkóтa： ＂Likelihoods＂for＂likelihood＂Cf．O．1，52：ä $\pi$ о $\rho a$, P．2， 81 ： á8ívara，P．4，247：$\mu$ aкрá，－35．тuxeiv：In Thukyd．also the reg－ ular construction of $\epsilon$ ikós is the aor．inf．，never the fut．1，81， 6 ：
 121,$2 ; 2,11,8 ; 3,10,6$ ，al．－$\delta 8$ è $\lambda$ óyos：＂This（faithful）saying．＂


 So Hor．Od．3，4， 61 ：Delius et Patareus Apollo，Patara being in Lykia．In solemn invocations the gods are appealed to by names which remind them of their favorite abodes．－$\Delta$ ádot $^{\prime}$ ává $\sigma \sigma \omega v$ ：The participle here and in $\phi \downarrow \lambda \hat{e}^{\omega} \omega v$ is almost substantive． For the elision of $\Delta a ́ \lambda o t$＇，see $0.13,35 .-40$ ．＊өє $\lambda_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \sigma a t s: ~ " D e i g n . " ~$ P．uses ßov́入oнaє but once（fr．VIII．1）．Attic distinctions do not always apply to the earlier period，but be it noted that
 －тavิтa：The implied wishes and hopes．－v6 ：Local dative，the range of which is narrower even in poetry than is commonly supposed．－evavopor：teté $\mu \in \nu$ must be understood with this as well as with עóp．A slight zeugma，$\tau$ ．being there＂put＂or ＂take，＂and here＂make．＂Herm．reads củavópoùv．

[^80]P．1，12；N．7，23．P．is thinking of his class in ooфoi，the $\beta$ tarai and $\pi \epsilon \rho i \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o l$ being put in another by the force of $\tau \epsilon$ ．－ mepiy $\omega \sigma \sigma o t$ ：Supposed to refer to the rhetorical school of Ko－ rax，who began his career under Hieron．See O．2，96．－E゙фvัv： Gnomic aorist．P．identifies фúcıs with $\theta$ eós．See $0.9,107.111$. －44．$\mu \eta$ ．．．$\beta a \lambda \epsilon i v: ~ \epsilon ौ \pi о \mu a \iota ~ t a k e s ~ \mu \eta ~ a s ~ i n v o l v i n g ~ w i s h ; ~ \beta a \lambda \epsilon i v ~$ may be fut．（cf．P．10，55）or aor．（N．4，92）．The negative favors the aor．（ $\left.\mu \dot{\eta} \beta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} о \iota \mu \iota\right)$ ．P．4， 243 the neg．oủk＇́т indicates the read－

 which P．handles holdly，is the missile here also．Being a javelin，it is forged，v．86．See 0．6，82．－шбtit（ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ ：The ellipsis
監凶：＂Outside of the lists，＂so as not to count．－màápe：See P．3，57．－45．à $\mu$ ev́rá（at）：＂Surpass．＂Cf．P．6，end．－ávтious： Supposed to refer to Simonides and Bakchylides．It is con－ jectured that there was to be a contest of poets．－46．©i yàp ．．． cùvivol：A wish that runs over into a condition．See O．1， 108.

 image was still in the poet＇s eye．Cf．v． 34 and $0.13,28$ ：$\Xi_{\text {evo－}}$
 brings serenity（ $0.1,98$ ）；breathing space（ $0.8,7$ ）；tranquillity （N．9，44）．Hieron suffered with the stone．－тapáбxot：See O．1， 39.
＇Avr．$y^{\prime}$－48．ávix＇：＂What time．＂P．＇s usage does not mili－
 33．－épícкovтo：＂Gained＂in the usu．sense of the middle of this verb．So P．3，111．The active＂find＂can be used in similar connections（so P．2，64，and elsewhere），and，in fact，the active． being the general，is often used where the particular middl might be expected．The plural of Hieron and his brothers．－ тццáv：т $\tau \mu \eta$ is something practical，and does not correspond to ＂honor＂pure and simple．－49．סpénet：Active，O．1，13；P．1， 49 ；P． 4,130 ；P． 6,48 ；fr．XI．72，Middle，N．2， 9 ；fr．IX．1， 6 ； fr．IX．2，1．The active is colder．－50．¿үf $\rho \omega$ xov：O． 10 （11）， 87 ：vixas áyєpéxov．á．only of persons in Homer，who does not use it in the same sense acc．to the lexicographers．To P．the word must have carried with it the $\gamma$ fépas notion denied to it by modern etymologists．The booty gained at Himera was immense．
-vîv $\gamma \in$ fáv: A statement that defles contradiction. Cf. v. 63. --Фıлокти́rao: The type of a suffering hero. See the Philoktetes of Sophokles. "At that very time Syracuse contained the famous statue of the limping Philoktetes by Pythagoras of Rhegion, of which Pliny says that those who looked at it seemed to feel the pain (xxxiv. 59). Even if we hesitate to believe that the sculptor intended an allusion to Hieron, we may well suppose that Pindar's comparison was suggested by the work of Pythagoras " (Jebb).tàv... Sixav : Notice the rare article with סíkay, " wise."-51. \&otparevion : An aor. pass., where the middle would seem more natural. Cf. ėторєút . We can understand the passive of Philoktetes "who was won to the war," not so well of Hieron.- oìv $\delta^{\prime}$ áváyкq̣: "Under the pressure of necessity." The comitative, personal character of $\sigma v v^{\prime}$ makes it a favorite preposition in poetry, keeps it out of model prose. - $\phi$ ( $\lambda$ ov : Predicate, "fawned him into a friend."
 $v_{\omega \rho}: \tau i s$ is referred to the proud citizens of Kyme (Cumae), who were forced to beg help from the tyrant. According to Euripides, Odysseus and Diomed, according to Sophokles, Odysseus and Neoptolemos, were sent for Philoktetes. Odysseus was evidently not a favorite with P. (N. 7, 21; 8, 26), and $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a ́ v \omega \rho$ may be a sneer.- $\mu$ eтaßácovтas: So Kayser for the MS. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda a ́-$ боутаs or $\mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda$ áббоутаs. Comp. O. 1, 42 : $\mu \epsilon \tau а \beta a ̂ \sigma a l . ~ B o ̈ c k h ~$ gives $\mu$ ктанєißovtas (Hesych., Suid., Zonaras); but while the present is admissible on general grounds ( $0.13,59 ;$ P. 4, 106), we should not emend it into a text. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \mu \epsilon v ́ \sigma o \nu \tau a s ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~$ nearer, but it has even less warrant than Wakefield's $\mu \epsilon \tau a v a ́ \sigma \sigma o y-$ тas, a future formed on the aorist of vaiw (P. 5, 70: ©̀ "Apyєı

'Er. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$--53. roђotav: The bow of Philoktetes, being the chief thing, could not be left out. We are not to look for any corre-
 $\pi$ róvous $\Delta$ avaois : Chiastic not only in position, but also in sense. For the shifting stress on $\Pi$ púáuo and $\pi$ óvous, see O. 6, 5.-55.
 ticiple to finite verb, see $0.1,13 .-56$. $\theta$ és: As one short sylla-
 scriptions (Cauer ${ }^{2}$ 104, and G. Meyer, Gr. Gr. § 119). Schneidewin suggests $\theta$ còs $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$. ob $\rho \theta \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ does not occur elsewhere.

-57. xpóvov... кatpóv: With the usu. differentiation of "time" and "season." "To give the season" is "to give in season."58. $\Delta$ tivoúvé : Hieron had appointed his son, Deinomenes, re-
 ward." So in a good sense N. 1, 70; Aisch. Suppl. 626. The reward is the $\kappa \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} a \delta o s .-60$. Altruas $\beta$ acidei : In Greek one is king of the Aitnaians, rather than king of Aitna. The gen, of the place has something of the iure divino stamp. So of the old house of the Battiads, P. 4, 2: $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \bar{\eta} \iota$ Kıpávas. Cf. N. 8, 7.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime} .-61 . \tau \varphi \hat{:}$ : "For whom." Deinomenes was succeeded by Chromios. See N. 9. - тóגıv кeivav: к. seems to prove that

 Doric tribes ' $\gamma \lambda \lambda \epsilon i ́ s, \Pi a ́ \mu \phi v \lambda o t$, and $\Delta v \mu a ̂ \nu \epsilon s$. The חá $\mu \phi v \lambda o \iota$ and $\Delta v \mu a ̂ v e s$ were the descendants of Pamphylos and Dyman, sons of Aigimios. The Herakleidai did not belong to the Doric stock proper, and so are distinguished from the descendants of Aigi-

 cover the ground of the Dorians, official and actual.--iv vopots:
 and I dare swear." $\mathbf{A}$ clear intimation, if such were needed, that the Herakleidai were not real Dorians. This does not make
 all belonged to the $\Delta \omega \rho \iota \epsilon$ v̀s $\sigma$ т $\rho a \tau o ́ s$, fr. I. 1, 4. - 64 , vaiortes: Though they dwell far from the old home of Aigimios, they are still a $\Delta \omega \rho i s$ àmoukía, I. 6 (7), 12.-тє日poírıv: See O. 6, 69.-65. "бxov: "They gat" ( $0.2,10$ ). The occupation of Amyklai was a memorable event in Doric annals. I. 6 (7), 14 : $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda o \nu 8^{\prime \prime} A \mu v^{\prime}-$ клas Aiyciola. We must not forget nor yet exaggerate Pindar's personal interest in all this as an Aigeid.-66. $\lambda \in v к о \pi \omega \in \lambda \omega v$ : The Dioskuroi were buried at Therapnai, on the left bank of the Eurotas. The white color of the steeds of the Dioskuroi is fixed by the myth. So Cic. N. D. 3, 5, 11: Tyndaridas ... cantheriis albis... obviam venisse existimas? White horses belonged to royalty, P. 4, 117. White was not a favorite color for horses in Vergil's time (Georg. 3, 82), but that does not concern us here. Even in the Apocalypse $(19,11)$ the King of Kings is mounted on a white horse.
'Avr. 8'.-67. Zev̂ rélec(e): Zeus, God of the Accomplishment, in whose hands are the issues of things. Comp. O. 13, 115.-aleì 8é: On $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, after the vocative, see $\mathbf{0 . 1}, 36$. The infinitive may be used in wish and entreaty, but $8 i 8 o c$ roiav for 8 è rotaúrav would be more natural. Mommsen's dòs toiay for toavíav is based on the Scholiast's $\pi a \rho a a^{\sigma} \chi{ }^{0}{ }^{0}$. rotav́ray aíray refers to the first line
 ment of the world may with truth assign such a lot to citizens and kings." - 'A $\mu$ éva: Amenas, or Amenanos, "the unsteady" (mod. Giudicello), a stream of varying volume, which flowed through the city of Aitna,-68. סxakpivetv: Is used of legal decision, $0.8,24$; of marking off by metes and bounds, 0.10
 apart from $\beta \rho \circ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фátıs and $\delta \epsilon \delta a \iota \delta a \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ v o t ~ \mu \hat{v} \theta o t .-69$. oúv тot тiv: "With thy blessing." - 70. viथ̂ $\tau^{3}$ evtrendópevos: The position favors the close connection with $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau i v$, "and with a son to whom he gives commands." The regent who receives Hieron's behests, being a son, may be expected to carry them out in his spirit.- $\gamma \in \rho a i \rho \omega v$ : A significant concession to the new city, which at once becomes something heroic and divine; "by paying honor due."-71. ג(ббopal vev̂cov: Asyndeton in prayer.-


 $=$ Poenus, Carthaginian.- © Tvporavêv $\tau^{\prime}$ àa入arós: This forcible form of expression, which is built on the same lines as $\beta$ ia ' $\mathrm{H} \rho a-$
 as if $\dot{o} \dot{a} \lambda a \lambda a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ Tvporavós had been written.--vavaiotovov . . . $\pi \rho \dot{\partial}$
 то. There is no Pindaric warrant for the use of $\tilde{v} \beta \rho$ is as "loss," "damage." The reflection that their overweening insolence off Cumae had brought groans and lamentations to the ships (cf. P. 2,28 ) would silence their savage yell and keep them quiet at home. The Etruscans must have been especially prominent in this famous engagement: Diodoros does not mention the Phoenicians (Carthaginians) in his account (11,51), - $\pi$ pò Kúpas: Brings up the image of the ißplovins already depicted (v. 18). Typhon symbolizes every form of violence, domestic ( $\Sigma c k \in \lambda i a$ ) or foreign (K $\dot{v} \mu \eta$ ).

[^81]the aor．partic．is easy，as the aor．is the shorthand of the perf． －74．$\beta$ áde日＇：The middle is peculiar，as if the $d_{\lambda}$ cicia were an
 Greek was spoken there was＇E入入ás．Here Magna Graecia is specially meant．－ $\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda_{\kappa \omega v}$ ：The image of the sea－fight is harf kept up．－ápéoцаь，ктє́．：＂From Salamis I shall try to get for my reward the favor of the Athenians，＂i．e．，when I desire reward from the Athenians I shall seek it by praising Salamis．P．climbs up to Himera by parallels，as is his wont．See O．1，init．－77． «р＇́w：For the shift，see v．55．Böckh＇s＇́ $\rho \in \in \omega \nu$ lightens the con－ struction if we take it as a present，denied for classic times；but comp．Theogn． 492 ；Soph．O．C．596．－mpò KıӨaıpө̂vos $\mu a ́ x a v: ~ K n i t ~$ together．$\pi \rho o ́$, ＂in front of，＂＂at the foot of．＂The battle of Pla－ taia is meant，where the Lacedaemonians distinguished them－

 and by which．＂－79．єvuvठpov àkтáv：Cf．O．12，19．tapà ס̀̀ $\sigma a ̀ v$


 strange，so soon after кápov，in view of P．＇s moıкı入ia，though the Greeks have not our dread of repetition．See P．9，123．

ミTp．$\epsilon^{\prime}$. －81．ka．póv：Adverbial．＂If thy utterance prove in season．＂－фө́y ${ }^{\prime}$ aьo：The poet to himself with a wish（O．1，
 things into a brief compass．＂The contrast is ékreivect dóyov，
 82．（тerat：＂Is sure to follow．＂Indic．apodosis，as I．2，33； 4 （5），14．－$\mu \omega \hat{\mu}$ оs： $0.6,74$ ．In moralizing passages the meta－ phors follow in rapid succession－not so much mixing as over－ lapping．A defence of P ．in this regard that should flatten his language out so as to make the metaphor disappear would be worse than a confession of the worst．－ $\mathbf{a \pi d} . . . \quad \lambda \pi \pi \delta \delta a s: " S a-$ tiety with its gruesomeness dulls quick hopes．＂aiavis，of doubt－ ful etymology，is used of кópos again I． 3 （4），2．The hopes speed to the end；the poet，by lingering，wearies，and not only so，but rouses resentment at the blessings of those whom he praises． This prepares the return to the praise of Hieron，which is couched in imperatives，a rhetorical form strangely misunder－ stood to convey a real sermon．－84．ḋoтêv $\delta^{\prime}$ d．xoá：＂What citi－
zens hear." Citizens are naturally envious (0.6,7), and the good fortune of others is an ill-hearing, and oppresses their soul in secret. "What is heard from citizens" has in its faror P. 11,

 $\mu \eta े \pi а р i \epsilon \iota ~ к а \lambda a ́: ~ " H o l d ~ t o ~ t h y ~ n o b l e ~ c o u r s e . " ~ \pi а р i ́ \epsilon ~ p o s s i l h y ~ s u g-~$ gested the following metaphor. Notice the large number of present imperatives, as in the $\pi$ apaive $\sigma \iota$ of Isokrates ad Demoni-

 ץ ${ }^{\text {dücoav: This is counted as one of P.'s harsher metaphors, in }}$ spite of Cic. de Orat. 3,30,121 : non enim solum acuenda nobis neque procudenda lingua est. P. might have continued the figure just given, for the tongue may be considered a rudder (comp. P. 11, 42 with James 3,4), but the vibrating tongue is to Pindar a javelin (comp. к $\hat{\lambda} \lambda a, \mathrm{v} .12$ ), and in N. 7, 71 he has ä́к о $\nu \theta^{*}$
 $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu a$. The "true anvil" refers in all likelihood to the shaping of the arrow or javelin on a part of the anvil designed for that purpose. The figure is reflected in the next sentence.
 thinking of the sparks that fly from the anvil, sheer dross it may be ( $\phi \lambda a \hat{v} \rho o \nu$ ), but "surely you must know, coming from you, it rushes as a mighty mass." If the figure is pressed, the moral is "Hammer as little as possible," but the figure is not to be pressed. фє́ $\epsilon \tau a t$, "is reported," the common rendering, is too faint after тарatӨv́б $\sigma \epsilon l$. - 88. тapias: A higher word than "steward," in Engl. Comp. O. 14, 9.- a $\mu \phi$ отéposs: Is " good and
 in the full flower of thy spirit." Contrast to Phalaris.-90. eiref rı фı入eîs, ктé.: Arguing on a basis of conceded facts.- ả:zoàv ádeiav
 $\lambda_{\text {Cav }}$ 8arrávass: The Christian exhortation, "Be not weary in welldoing," is addressed to well-doers, and Hieron's expenditure was doubtless liberal enough. It does not follow that he hoarded because he was фidápyvpos. Of the virtue of generosity Kroisos was the model soon to be adduced.-92. Iotiov àquóev: The sail (so as to be) breezeful, (so as) to belly with the breeze. Cf. I.


tier arts，＂but it is better to keep the generosity side uppermost until we come to Kroisos．Tr．＂juggling gains．＂No mean sav－ ing on the one hand，no grasping at unworthy gains on the other． The positive exhortation stands between the two negatives．－ $\phi$ inos：The commentators note P．＇s familiarity．What other
 sitive as Hieron is to the voice of the world about him，he is far from deaf to the acclaim of posterity．



 early logographers；but it may refer to panegyrists．Comp．not only N．6，33，just quoted，but the same ode，v． $51: \pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i a t ~ \pi a ́ v-$
 Kpoifov：A romantic figure，if one may say so，in Greek history， though，perhaps，Lydian influence has not been sufficiently em－ phasized．That a Greek with such close relations to Delphi as Pindar bore should have given a niche to Kroisos is not strange． —ảpetá：＂Generosity，＂as often．－95．т̀̀v סė taúp甲 ха入кќч каu－ тîpa：$\kappa$ ．takes the dative of instrument by virtue of its transpar－ ently verbal nature－－vov：Acc．of specification to $\nu \eta \lambda \epsilon$ éa．The prose laws of position are not to be pressed．rò̀ $\delta \in$ may well
 survival or revival of Moloch worship．－96．Фáлaptv：See In－ trod．0．2．－katéxet：Evil report weighs upon the memory of Phalaris as Aitna upon the body of Typhon，though кaтé $\chi \in \iota$ may be used of a weight of glory， $0.7,10: \delta \delta^{\prime}$ ô $\lambda \beta \iota o s$ ồ ф фâ $\mu a \iota \kappa a \tau \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon}-$
 after the analogy of $\delta \in \dot{\xi} \xi \nu$ 效 $\chi$ ovtat，which we have Eur．I．A．


 the notion of achievement will serve．N．1， 32 ：єv̉ $\tau \in \pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~$



 verbs show a combination of luck and will．

## PYTHIA II.

This victory, gained not at the Pythian games, but at the Theban Iolaia or Herakleia, is probably to be assigned to Ol. 75,4 ( 477 b.c.), in which year Hieron had, by his interposition, saved the Epizephyrian Lokrians from a bloody war with Anaxilas, tyrant of Rhegion. The poem, with its dissonances, echoes the discord of the times. Hieron was just then at enmity with his brother, Polyzelos, who had taken refuge with his connection, Theron, the friend of Pindar, and a war was impending. The strain makes itself felt amid all the congratulation.

It is a strange poem, one in which divination and sympathy can accomplish little. Only we must hold fast to the commonsense view that Pindar did not undertake to lecture Hieron.
"Great Syracuse," the poet says, "rearer of men and horses, I bring this lay from Thebes in honor of Hieron's victory with the four-horse chariot, gained not without the favor of Artemis, goddess of Ortygia, thus wreathed with glory. For Artemis and Hermes, god of games, aid Hieron when he yokes his horses and calls on the God of the Trident. Other lords have other minstrels, other praises. Let Kinyras be praised by Kyprian voices, Kinyras beloved of Apollo, and minion of Aphrodite. Thou, Hieron, beloved of Hermes and minion of Artemis, art praised by the voice of the virgin of Epizephyrian Lokris, to whose eye thy power hath given confidence. Grateful is she. Well hath she learned the lesson of Ixion, whose punishment, as he revolves on the winged wheel, says: Reward thy benefactor with kind requitals."

> So far the opening (vv. 1-24).

In P. 1 we had one form of $v \beta \rho t s$, sheer rebellion, typified by Typhon. Here we have another typified by Ixion, base ingratitude. Typhon belonged from the beginning to those ö $\sigma a \mu \eta$


lout a worse, Tantalos. Tantalos sinned by making the ceieatial meat and drink common (O. 1, 61). Ixion sinned by trying to pollute the celestial bed (v. 34). Each was punished in the way in which he had sinned. Tantalos was reft of food and drink (note on O. 1, 60). Ixion was whirled on his own wheel, became his own iynx (comp. v. 40 with P.4, 214). Ixion's sin was of a deeper dye, and so, while the son of Tantalos came to great honor (O. 1, 90), the son of Ixion became the parent of a monstrous brood.

This is the myth (vv. 25-48).
It is, indeed, not a little remarkable that in every Hieronic ode there is a dark background-a Tantalos (O. 1), a Typhon (P. 1), an Ixion (P. 2), a Koronis (P. 3)-and the commentators are not wrong in the Fight-with-the-Dragon attitude in which they have put Hieron. Who is aimed at under the figure of Ixion no one can tell. The guesses and the combinations of the commentators are all idle. Hieron is a manner of Zeus. He was the Olympian of Sicily as Perikles was afterwards the Olympian of Athens, and the doom of Tantalos, the wheel of Ixion, the crushing load of Typhon, the swift destruction of Koronis, the lightning death of Asklepios were in store for his enemies. The Hieronic odes are Rembrandts, and we shall never know more.

Passing over to the praise of Hieron, the poet emphasizes with unmistakable reduplication the power of God. "God decides the fate of hopes, God overtakes winged eagle and swift dolphin, humbles the proud, to others gives glory that waxes not old (v. 52). This be my lay instead of the evil tales that Archilochos told of the Ixions of his time. Wealth paired with wisdom, under the blessing of Fortune-this is the highest theme of song" (v. 56). The key of the poem lies in this double $\theta$ és. God is all-powerful to punish and to bless, and Hieron is his vicegerent.

The praise of Hieron follows, his wealth, his honor. His champion, Pindar, denies that he has ever had his superior in Greece, and boards the herald-ship all dight with flowers to proclaim his achievements-now in war, now in council; now on horse, and now afoot (vv. 57-66). But as we gaze, the herald-ship becomes a merchant-ship (v. 67), and the song is the freight-a new song, which forms the stranger afterpiece of a poem already strange enough. This afterpiece is an exhortation to straightforwardness. The Archilochian vein, against which Pindar pro-
tested semi-humorously before ( v .55 ), stands out. The ape ( r . 72), the fox (v.78), the wolf (v. 84), are contrasts dramatically introduced, dramatically dismissed. "Let there be no pretentiousness, no slyness, no roundabout hate. Straight-tonguedness is best in the rule of the one man, of the many, of the wise. Follow God's leading, bear his yoke. Kick not against the pricks. There lies the only safety. May such men admit me to their friendship" (v. 96).

The difficulty of the last part lies in the dramatic shiftingsthe same difficulty that we encounter in comedy, and especially in satire. If there are not two persons, there are two voices. The poet pits the Dikatos Aóyos and the "Aס́cos Lóyos against each other in the forum of his own conscience. The díkatos Aóyos speaks last and wins.
A. Show thyself as thou art (v. 72).
B. But the monkey, which is ever playing different parts, is a fair creature, ever a fair creature, in the eyes of children (v. 72).
A. Yes, in the eyes of children, but not in the judgment of a Rhadamanthys, whose soul hath no delight in tricks (vv. 73-75).
B. If the monkey finds no acceptance, what of foxy slanderers? They are an evil, but an evil that cannot be mastered (vv. 76, 77).
A. But what good comes of it to Mistress Vixen ? (v. 78).
B. "Why," says Mistress Vixen, "I swim like a cork, I always fall on my feet" (vv. 79, 80).
A. But the citizen that hath the craft of a fox can have no weight in the state. He is as light as his cork. He cannot utter a word of power among the noble ( $\mathrm{\nabla v} .81$, 82).
B. Ay, but he wheedles and worms his way through. Flattery works on all (v. 82).
A. I don't share the confidence of your crafty models (v. 82).
B. My own creed is: Love your friends. An enemy circumvent on crooked paths, like a wolf ( $\mathrm{v} v .83,84$ ).
A. Nay, nay. No monkey, no fox, no wolf. Straight speech is best in monarchy, democracy, or aristocracy. A straight course is best because it is in harmony with God, and there is no contending against God. Suc-


#### Abstract

cess does not come from cunning or overreaching，from envious cabals．Bear God＇s yoke．Kick not against the pricks．Men who are good，men with views like these，such are they whom I desire to live withal as friend with friend（vv．86－96）．


The rhythms are Aiolian（logaoedic）．The introduction occu－ pies one triad，the myth one，the praise of Hieron one，the after－ play one．


 （P．7，1）．The epithet is especially appropriate in the case of Syracuse，which，even in Hieron＇s time，had a vast extent．－ Bafumo入ípov：＂That haunteth the thick of war．＂The martial character of Syracuse is emphasized on account of the military
 бıঠ́apoxappầ：＂Fighting in iron－mail．＂Here we seem to have
 $\pi \delta \quad \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ ，where the notion of rejoicing would not be so tolerable
 ful．See $0.9,92 .-3$ ．$\lambda \iota \pi a p a ̂ v: ~ O r i g . ~ " g l e a m i n g, " ~ t h e n ~ v a g u e l y ~$ ＂bright，＂＂brilliant，＂＂famous．＂P．uses it of Thebes（fr．XI． 58），Athens（N．4，18；I．2，20；fr．IV．4），Orchomenos（O．14，4）， Egypt（fr．IV．9），Marathon（O．13，110）．The wideness of its application takes away its force．－ф́́pwv：Figuratively，as else－


 ขıкoь．－6．тŋ入avyévtv：The wreaths send their light afar，like the $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \nu ~ \pi \eta \lambda a v y \epsilon ́ s$ of $0.6,4$ ．Only the light is figurative，as the gold is figurative， $0.8,1$ ．Comp．O．1， 23 and 94 ．－＇Opruyiav ： See O．6，92．－7．тотаціая ．．．＇A $\rho \tau \epsilon \dot{\mu} \delta$ оs：Artemis，among her numerous functions，is a river－goddess，and in the Peloponnesos her worship is connected especially with the Kladeos and the Alpheios（＂Apremes＇A入фєเఱ́a）．She has charge of rivers not only as a huntress，but as the representative of the Oriental Artemis． Pursued by Alpheios，she fled under the waters of the Ionian sea，and found rest by the fountain of Arethusa in Ortygia，where a temple was raised in her honor．Of course，Arethusa and Arte－
 $\sigma a$ тòv 'A入фєóv), but when Alpheios and Arethusa were united, Artemis, the virgin, and Arethusa were separated. Similar is the case of Kallisto. Comp. with this whole passage N. 1, 1 :

 of Artemis appears in the corresponding sweep of the anti-
 2,2: ${ }^{\text {in }} \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ѐ $\lambda a ́ \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu . ~ H i e r o n ~ h a s ~ a ~ t r i n i t y ~ o f ~ h e l p e r s, ~ " A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota s ~$
 2), whose enmity was so fatal to Hippolytos, favorite though he was of Artemis.-8. keivas: The preference for mares comes out distinctly in the famous description, So. El. 702. 734.-iv X $\in \rho \sigma \boldsymbol{i}$ :
 (instrum.).-moıkıגavious: "With broidered reins."
 Coxéa.pa: In Homer ioxéalpa. The word occurs only here in Pindar.-хєpì $8 \iota \delta \dot{u} \mu q$ : Variously interpreted. As we say, "with both hands," to show readiness. According to others the reference is to Artemis and Hermes, $\chi . \delta$. being an anticipation, like the plural in the schema Alcmunicum.-10. Evaүúvios ${ }^{\text {'Epprins: }}$ Familiar function of Hermes. Hor. Od. 1, 10 : qui feros cultus hominum recentum | voce formasti catus et decorae |more palae-

 -aì $\lambda$ áevтa . . . кóбرov: к. "reins and trappings." Comp. ìvia
 P. 5,38 ; N. 7, 31. $\dot{e} \nu$, like Lat. in, originally took the acc., as well as the locative-dative. *éys ( $\epsilon$ is) was formed after the analogy of $\mathcal{\epsilon} \xi$, with which it was constantly associated in contrasts. By that time the $-s$ of $\epsilon \xi$ had lost its abl. force. Comp.
 $\delta \omega_{\nu}$ (Brugmann). On the preposition with the second member,
 if the chariot were the horses. In the few other compounds $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ is active.-кaraģevyrún: Hieron.-12. ofévos intetov: Cf.


 $\delta \ell \tau เ 5, k \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon_{0}$ : Pindar now passes to the praise of Hieron's services
to the Lokrians. As is his manner, Kinyras is introduced to halance. "I bave praised Hieron, favorite of Artemis and of Hermes, for his victory with the chariot. The Kyprians praise Kinyras, the favorite of Apollo and Aphrodite, for his royal and priestly work. The Lokrian virgin praises Hieron for his suc-

 - ăтоเท’ ápeтâs: Contrast this clear accus. with the fading $\chi$ ápıv, the faded $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$, which needs the article to vivify it (P. 1, 50).
 was a fabulous king of Kypros, priest and favorite of Aphrodite. He was a great inventor, a kind of Jubal and Tubal Cain in one -a Semitic figure, it would seem-the man of the harp, with whom we may compare Anchises, another favorite of Aphrodite, of whom it is said, Hymn. in Ven. $80: \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ëv $\nu a$ каі
 of the eastern island of Kypros, as a balance to Hieron, lord of the western island of Sicily, leads the poet to mention Apollo in this non-Pythian ode (see Introd.) as a balance to Artemis. A genealogical connection is the merest fancy. - 16. xpuroxaîra: Voc. used as nom. Elsewhere रevбoкópas, O. 6, 41; 7, 32. -
 for his own." See P. 1, 13-'A $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ : A phrodite and Apollo are often associated. So esp. in P. 9, 10, where Aphrodite receives the spouse of Apollo.

[^82]bill ？＂Why anything that gives a picture？P．3，78：Marpi，
 8pakeío＇do da入ís：We might expect the pres．，but the aor．of at－ tainment is here the aor．of recovery，＂having gained the right to fearless glance．＂For fear as expressed by the eye，comp．

 with verbs of seeing，is familiar．So $\delta \rho \iota \mu \nu ̀ ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \iota, ~ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \rho к \epsilon-~$
 hests，＂usu．of exalted personages．－＇İiova：The story of Ixion and his wheel has often been told．So in a famous（corrupt）


 rais．The only important points that Pindar＇s narrative sup－ presses are the purification of Ixion from bloodguiltiness by Zev̀s kaAápros himself，and the intimacy of Zeus with the wife of Ixion．The former would not have been altogether consistent with $\mathbf{v} .31$ ，and the latter would have given a sinister meaning



 the injunction．émo七ðopévous，＂visiting，＂＂frequenting．＂＂To requite the benefactor with ever－recurring tokens of warm grati－ tude．＂
£rp． $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ ．－25．тapà Kpovi§ats：Zeus and Hera．－26．Maxpóv：



 －28．むّärav＝ẳтav．See P．3，24．－29．àvíp：He had presumed as if he were a god．－30．EFaipetov：Elsewhere in a good sense． There is a bitterness in the position，and in $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ also，as it recalls



 т potais＇ísionos．－oủk ârep réxvas：He filled a trench with live coals，covered it slightly，and enticed Deloneus into it when he
 mala gentibus intulit), but livelier, "Brought the stain of kindred blood upon mortals," "imbrued them with kindred blood."
 So $0.7,29 ;$ P. 4, 160.-34. ̇สтєраิтo: Active more usual in this sense (N. 5, 30). - кат' aùтóv, ктé.: Not кat aúróv. P. does not use the compound reflexive. See O. 13, 53; P. 4, 250. "To measure everything by one's self," i.e. "to take one's own measure in every plan of life." This is only another form of the homely advice of Pittakos to one about to wed above his rank:
 glorifying the commonplace. Comp. Aisch. Prom. 892 on up-
 The MSS. have тотє каì тò î́kovt'. The quantity of î́zovt' will
 marred by каi. Böckh's погi коíтоу iouv' is ingenious, but coarse ; غ́xóvt' is feeble. Schneidewin's eגóvt' is not bad, in view of P.'s harping on the word (vv. 26 and 30). The aor. is gnomic, and émei gives the special application. "Unlawful couchings have many a time plunged into whelming trouble even him that had won them." Comp. the case of Koronis and Ischys (P. 3, 25).-38. трérev: "Was like unto." Only here in P. with this sense.39. ävre: The reinforcing relative, "her, whom." P.'s use of öซтє does not give ground for any supersubtle distinctions. 40. Z $\eta$ vòs $\pi a \lambda$ ápas: More delicate than the other story that Hera played the trick on him. Schol. Eur. Phoen. 1185. -ка入̀̀v $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ : P. perhaps had in mind Hes. Theog. 585 : калòv какóv (of Pandora).一тетрákvaцov . . . $\delta є \sigma \mu$ óv: "The four-spoked bond" is the "four-spoked wheel." The magic iynx ("wry-neck"), used in love-incantations, was bound to just such a wheel. Cf. P. 4,

 It was poetic justice to hind Ixion to his own iynx wheel. Endless are the references to this symbol of mad love. See Theokri-


 line of the antistrophe with effective position. The breath is nat-
urally held at $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \dot{\nu}$ ．On the position of＂̈́y＇，see P．11，22．－ avokfar＇：He received the message and delivered it，not in words， but by whirling on the wheel（v．23）．Mitscherlich＇s àvóciłar＇has
 the Graces．＂Cf．ävev $\theta \in o v, 0.9,111 .-43$ ．$\mu$ óva кal $\mu$ óvov：кaí un－ usual in such juxtapositions，and hence impressive．No mother
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi$ ópov $=$ тíлоу．Without part or lot among men or gods．－
 P． 4,115 ；I． 1,$48 ; 7$（8），41．－Ktivtavpov：This name，of obscure origin，was applied to his descendants，properly＇ $1 \pi \pi$ окє́vтavpot．－
 With a like figure we say＂spurs．＂See P．1，30．－बтparós：Is in apposition to the subject of éyévoдto．＂Out they came－a
 тarpós：＂The dam＇s side down，the upper side the sire＇s．＂Chi－ asm is as natural to the Greek as mother＇s milk；not so to us． $\mu a r \rho o \theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ is often used parallel with $\mu \eta \tau \rho o$ ós．

乏tp．y＇．－49．$\theta$ eds ．．．àvúєтat：＂God accomplishes for himself every aim according to his desires．＂Fe $\lambda \pi i$ is，＂pleasure，＂＂wish，＂
 The wish is crowned by fulfilment．The middle ayvécat is rare． －50．$\theta$ és：The emphatic repetition gives the key to the poem．
 $\delta \omega \kappa(\epsilon)$ ：The gnomic aorist often varies with the present．Many examples in Solon，fr．XIII．（Bergk）．See also Tyrtaios，fr．XII． （Bergk）．In the absence of an aoristic present，the Greek often uses an aor．for concentrated action in the present with a con－ scious contrast to the durative．See Plat．Phaidr． 247 B．So here кіұє，ёкац廿є，тарє́ঠњк（є）are finalities，таралєißєтає is pro－



 xpeáv：For the comnection，see introduction．－53．$\delta$ ákos $=\delta \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a$ （Etym．Mag．）．－dósvóv：＂Excessive，＂＂I must avoid the repu－ tation of a biting calumniator．＂－54．Ékàs द̌فv：P．was two hun－ dred years later than Archilochos．－55．廿oyepòv＇Apxi入oxov：A． is a synonym for a virulent and ill－starred satirist．From such casual mention we should not imagine that the ancients placed

A．only lower than Homer．－56．nıaıvópevov：Not to be taken ironically．There is nothing unhealthier than unhealthy fat， and there is no necessity of an oxymoron．Comp．Shakesp．M． of V．i．3， 48 ：I will feed fat the ancient grudge I bear him．Ar－ chilochos is a fat and venomous toad that lives upon the vapor of a dungeon．A reference to Bakchylides is suspected，but the name does not fit the metre here．－т̀े $\pi$ лоvтeiv ．．．ắptotov：The


 ápıorov．＂Wealth，with the attainment of wisdom，is Fortune＇s best．＂The position is bold，but not incredible．Others，with a disagreeable cumulation，ò̀v тúxa по́т $\mu$ оv бофias，＂with the at－ tainment of the lot of wisdom．＂But the two genitives cited from P．9， 43 ：бoфâs Пєt $\begin{aligned} & \text { oûs } i \epsilon \rho a ̂ y ~ ф i \lambda o \tau a ́ t \omega \nu, ~ a r e ~ n o t ~ a t ~ a l l ~ p a r-~\end{aligned}$ allel，the relation there being that of a simple possessive．If Archilochos were alone involved，ooфias äpıarov might well mean is＂the best part of the poetic art，＂as＂discretion is the better part of valor，＂but oo申ias here must be applicable to Hie－ ron as well．
 neut．sing．Aisch．Choeph．542，or pl．P．V． 55 ；So．El． 436.624.
 free soul，＂＂so that thou canst freely show them．＂Others read $\pi \epsilon \pi о \rho \epsilon i \nu=$ סov̂vat，which would make ply refer to tò $\pi \lambda$ дoveєiv alone．－58．три́тavt：＂Prince．＂Used of Zeus P．6， 24 ：кє $\rho a v \nu \omega ิ \nu$ ．．．$\pi \rho$ útavıv．－єv̉のтєфávшv：＂Battlemented．＂．This is an early use of oréфavos．Comp．O．8，32．－бтparoû ：Sc．$\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ дov $\sigma \tau \rho a r o v ̂ . ~$ －59．$\pi$ ерi $\tau \mu \underset{\text { a }}{:} \pi$ ．with the dat．of the stake，as，to some ex－ tent，even in prose，＂when wealth and honor are at stake．＂ So with $8 \eta \rho i o \mu a \iota, \mathbf{O} .13,45$ ；$\mu a ́ p \nu a \tau a \iota, N .5,47$ ；$\dot{\mu} \lambda \lambda \lambda a ̂ \tau a \iota, N .10,31$ ； $\mu о \chi \theta i \zeta \epsilon \iota$, fr．IX．2，6．On the preposition with the second mem－
 flabby soul，his wrestlings are all in vain．＂－62．evavoéa：The ship of the victor is wreathed with flowers．－नrodov：Cogn．acc．to àvaßácoнaı（Dissen）．$\sigma \tau$ ．as＂prow＂is more poetical．－¿ $\mu \phi$＇ápeтq̣ ：
 2，2．－vé́tart $\mu$ èv，$\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .:$ Contrast chiastic，v． 65 ：ßovגaì סè $\pi \rho \epsilon-$ бßúтєрat．－Opáros ．．．то入є́ $\mu \omega v$ ：＂Boldness in．＂Cf．N．7， 59 ：то́入－ $\mu a \nu$ ка入ิิע．－64．єúpciv：See O．7，89，and comp．P．1， 49.
 (5), 32 , of Iolaos. These achievements refer mainly to Himera.



 $\phi \epsilon \in \beta \epsilon \tau a t$.-66. ákivסvvov $\mathfrak{d} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ fetros: "Thy counsels, riper than thy age, furnish me with an utterance that runs no risk of challenge to praise thee in full view of the whole account," through the whole count. . The two exhaustive excellences are $\theta$ pácos and củßoviia. If he is wise as well as brave, he has all the vir-



 of the victor, farewell, and commendation of the poet's song.$\tau 6 \delta e \mu$ év: This would seem to indicate that the $\mu \dot{e} \lambda o s$ here sent was different from the Kagtópetov, but P.'s handling of $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon$ is so peculiar, not to say tricky, that Böckh has a right to set up the antithesis $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon ~ \mu e ́ \lambda o s, ~ a ̈ \theta \rho \eta \sigma o \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ K a \sigma т o ́-~$
 costly, being brought from afar.-69. тò Kactópetov: Comp. I. 1,
 was an old Spartan battle-song, the rhythm anapaestic, like the $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ atipıa, the mood Doric, the accompaniment the flute. $\mathbf{P}$. uses it as a intectos עómos, in honor of victory with horse and chariot (Castor gaudet equis); the mood is Aiolian, and the accompaniment the фóp $\boldsymbol{\text { ary }}$. Some suppose that the K. was another poem to be sent at a later time, hence $\tilde{a} \theta \rho \eta \sigma o v$, as if the prince were bidden descry it coming in the distance: others that the K. is the last part of the poem, which P. made a present of to Hieron, together with a batch of good advice. The figure of the Phoenician cargo runs into the antithesis. The Doric king might have expected a Doric lay, but this Kastoreion, with its Aiolian mood, is to be viewed kindly ( $\theta_{\dot{\epsilon}} \lambda^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ä $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \nu$ ) for the sake of the Doric фópucy - -Apollo's own instrument. Comp. O.
 $\pi \hat{a ̂}$, and yet 1, 17: $\Delta \omega \rho$ íav àmò фóp $\mu \not \gamma \gamma a \pi a \sigma \sigma a ́ \lambda o v ~ \lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu(\epsilon) . — ~$ 70. хápıv: Before its genitive only here in P.-intaktúтov: The old Terpandrian heptachord. N. 5, 24 : фó $\rho \mu \gamma \gamma \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ ' $A \pi \delta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ é $\pi \tau$ á-

＂Coming to meet it，receive it＂－the Phoenician ware again． Pindar＇s power of parenthesis is great．The farewell（v．67） suggested the commendation，or，if need be，the justification of his poem，and he now returns to the characteristic of his hero． An unprepared break at v． 72 is not likely．－72．yévo＇oios écoì $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} v:$ The necessity of connection makes $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ refer to the praise of the victor．＂Show thyself who thou art，for I have taught it thee．＂Some take $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ as part of the wish or com－
 daric grammar，nor does it give any satisfactory transition．P．＇s contempt of mere mechanical learning，as shown $0.2,95$ ：$\mu$ aOóvtes
 $\pi i \theta \omega \nu$（Bergk），in which the learned ape is contrasted with Rhadamanthys，who is doubtless $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $i \delta i \dot{\omega} s$ фvậ（O．2，94），but the position of rot in $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ кa入ós $\tau o \iota$ is hardly credible，to say nothing of the quotation by Galen below．－$\pi i \theta \omega v$ ：A young ape． －тapà maroiv：＂In the judgment of children．＂The ape was a favorite in the nursery then as he is now．Galen，de Usu Part． 1，22：кало́s тoı $\pi i \theta \eta \kappa o s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a \iota \sigma i \nu ~ a i \epsilon i, ~ ф \eta \sigma i ~ \tau \iota s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi a \lambda a t \omega ̂ \nu, ~$

 the antithesis．
£ $\tau \rho .8^{\prime}$ ．－73．ка入ós：Child－like and lover－like repetition．The ape is said to have been introduced into Greek fable by Archi－ lochos，and the mention of the ape here may have called up the inage of the fox below without any inner nexus．An allusion to the Archilochian fable of＂the Ape and the Fox＂seems to be out of the question．＂Show thyself thyself．Care naught for the judgment of those that be mere children in understanding． Thy judge is Rhadamanthys．＂－$\epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \pi \in ́ \pi \rho a \neq \varepsilon v: ~ R h a d a m a n t h y s ~$ owes his good fortune to his judicial temper．Comp．O．2，83：
 av̉rø̄ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \delta \rho o \nu$ ．Of the three judges in Hades，Aiakos－usually the first met by the new－comer－is in P．only the great Aeginetan hero，except in I． 7 （8）， 24 ，where he is represented as a judge over the סaípoves．Minos does not appear．－фpє七ŵv ．．．картóv：So N． 10，12．Famous in Aischylos＇description of Amphiaraos is the

ivoolev：The wiles of the deceivers do not penetrate the deep soil．－75．oia：See O．1，16．Half exclamatory．If with the

MSS．，$\beta$ porôv，＂Such things（ảnátau）always sort with the acts of whisperers！＂So є̈тєтat，O．2，24．If with Heindorf，$\beta_{\text {ротё，}}$ ＂Such things always haunt a man by the devices of whisperers！＂
 but $\beta$ ．is hardly so colorless in P．－76．á $\mu$ фorépots：＂To both parties，＂the prince and his slandered friends，$\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\varphi}^{\delta} \delta a \beta a \lambda \lambda o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$
 фа⿱亠乂ties，Bothe íroф́́тopes．＂Secret speakings of calumnies＂ for＂secret calumniators＂does not satisfy．We want a masc． suljst．Some MSS．have ímoфávtles from фaiv．－77．dpyaîs： See P．1，89．－áтєvés＝$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ ．P．has proudly compared him－ self to the $\Delta i o ̀ s$ ö $\rho \nu$ is $\theta$ eios， $0.2,97$ ，and it may be well to remem－ ber that the eagle and the fox were not friends，acc．to the fabu－ list Archilochos，and that the eagle was the＂totem＂of the Aia－ kidai and of Aias，Pindar＇s favorite，a straightforward hero（N．8， 23 foll．）．－ 78 ，foll．The usual interpretation gives the whole pas－ sage to one voicc．＂But what good does this do to the fox（the whisperer）．I，Pindar，am a cork not to be sunk by his arts．I know it is impossible for a crafty citizen to utter a word of power among the good，and，though by his fawning he makes his way， I do not share his confidence．My plan is：love thy friend and cheat thine enemy－the enemy alone is fair game．The man of straightforward speech hath the vantage－ground everywhere， under every form of government．＂In the introduction I have suggested two voices．－－кєpß̊oî：To me convincing emendation of Huschike for кє́ $\rho \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ ．кє $\rho \delta \dot{\omega}$ is a popular name for fox，Ar．Eq． 1068．First Voice：＂But what doth Master Reynard gain by his game？＂The pun in кє $\delta \delta o \hat{\imath}$ ．．．кє́ $\rho \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ is obvious．The prov－ erb ả $\lambda \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \xi$ $\delta \omega \rho о \delta$ окєitat is taken from Kratinos＇parody（2， 87 Mein．）of Solon＇s celebrated characteristic of the Athenians，fr．
 79．äтє үàp ．．．ã $\lambda \mu a s:$ Second Voice：＂His gain is to be an ä $\mu a-$ रò какóv（v．76）．He can say：I am a cork that is always atop，though all the rest be under water．I am a cat，and always fall on my feet．＂Fenuell，who，like the others，understands the poet to speak of himself，allegorizes thus：＂The net is the band of contemporary poets；the heary parts are those of poor and precarious repute，who try to drag down the cork，Pindar．＂－ civádıov aóvov：Toil of the sea．So Theokr．21，39：סeidıỳ̀ ws
 à $\mu$ фо́тєрои above mentioned－the whole world outside of the
slanderer.-фeג入oेs wis : The comparison is not so homely in Greek as in English. "Cork" could hardly be used with us in elevated


 possible for an un-Englisí poet.-ã $\lambda \mu a s$ : With $\alpha \beta$ ántıotos.
'Avr. 8'.-81. First Voice: " But you are, after all, a mere cork. You have no weight. A deceitful man cannot utter a word of power among the good (the conservatives)."-¿¿Súvara: So O.1, 52: ä̃тора, Р. 1, 34: є́oько́та. - 32. àбтơv: ả. is much more frequently used by P. than $\pi$ o久itns, as he prefers $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s$ to $\delta a \hat{a} \mu o s$. See O.6, 7.-Second Voice: "Well, what of that? The deceitful man fawns and makes his way thus."- $\mu$ áv: Often used to meet objections. Cf. P. 1, 63.-- $a$ aiver: Specifically of the dog. See P. 1, 52.-áyáv: The MS. ärav has the first syllable short. à ${ }^{2} \eta$, "bend," is not the doubling of the fox, but the peculiar fawning way in which the dog makes an arc of himself. J. H. H. Schmidt

 $\pi \lambda$ éкєє $\pi \rho$ о̀s тоиิтo єv̇ $\theta \dot{v} s$, but there the metaphor is from the twists and turns of wrestlers. Here we are still with the dog.-83. oṽ Foı

 "I do not deny the claims of friendship; it is only mine adversary that I seek to circumvent." Others think this perfectly consistent with the antique morality of a man like Pindar. Comp. I. 3

 $\beta \in \sigma \theta a t$ какоîs. P. is supposed to say: "Let my adversary play the monkey, the fox, the dog; I can play the wolf." Requital in full is antique; crooked ways of requital are not Pindaric.-84. inobev́gopar: Incursionem faciam, Dissen. It is more than that; it involves overtaking. The persistency and surprise of the wolf's pursuit are the points of comparison. - 85. ä $\lambda \lambda(a)$ : Adverbial. -86. $\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{v}}=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ 's: See v. 11. The First Voice closing the debate.-vópov: "Constitution," "form of the state."-ej0úy $\omega \omega \sigma$ бos: In opposition to the ódoì $\sigma к о \lambda \iota a i$, бкодьаі àmáтаı (fr. XI. 76,




The neg. oủk, as if he were about to say ả入入̀̀ ф'́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ è $\lambda a \phi \rho \bar{\omega} s$ érauđévtov ̧uyóv. As it stands, it looks like a licentious oủk with the inf., of which there are very few. The connection is shown in the introduction. Though the straightforward man has the lead in every form of state, yet his enemies have sometimes the upper hand, and we must not quarrel with God for this. But the envious do not wish him to have anything at all, and so they overreach themselves, and come to harm.
'Er. 8'.-89. advéxet: As in So. O. C. CSO: kıбoò̀ ảvé Xovaa, whisperers.- $\delta \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ : As there is no metrical reason for not using 8i8\%ळtv, we may accept a contrast between continued and concentrated action. See v. 50.-90. laivet: O. 2, 15; 7, 43; P. 1, 11.-
 ing-line. The measuring-line has two sharp pegs. The measurer fastens one in the ground and pulls the cord tight, in order to stretch it over more space than it ought to cover ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma a ̂ s$ ). In so doing he runs the peg into his own heart. Hermann finds an allusion to the play $\delta_{\iota \epsilon} \lambda_{\kappa v \sigma \tau i v \delta a, ~ s t i l l ~ p l a y e d ~ e v e r y w h e r e . ~}^{\text {a }}$ This would make èкó $\epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ot reciprocal, "one another," and $\sigma$ тá$\theta \mu a s$ a whence-case, but for $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma a \mathfrak{s}$ we should have to read $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega ิ s$. On the other interpretation, $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \theta \mu a s$ is the gen. of the

 кар $\delta$ í : As if "one's heart" for "their heart." - 92. ठัба . . . тv-
 тiovtal: "Are planning with anxious thought."-93. фépetv... ¢uybv: Yet another animal. This whole fabulistic passage seems to point to court pasquinades. A reference to Hieron's secret
 "tale-bearers," Aristot. Pol. 5, 11, is to me incredible. -94. жeri
 Acts $[9,5] 26,14$. Doubtless of immemorisl antiquity in Greece,
 Cf. $0.3,1 ; 7,17$.

## PYTHIA III.

This poem, which is not so much an $\dot{\pi} \pi$ tviktov as a Consolatio ad Hieronem, is classed with the $\begin{gathered}\pi เ \nu i к ⿺ a \\ \text { because it celebrates the vic- }\end{gathered}$ tories that Hieron gained with his race-horse $\Phi \epsilon \rho \in \dot{v} c k o s ~(\nabla .74) ~ a t ~$ Delphi, Pyth. 26 and 27 (Ol. 73, 3, and 74, 3, 486 and 482 b.c.). According to Böckh, the composition of the poem belongs to a much later period, Ol. 76, 3 (474 b.c.). Earlier than Ol. 76, 1 ( 476 в.c.) it cannot be, for Hieron is called Airvaios (v. 69), and Aitna was founded in that year. Later than Ol. 76, 3 it cannot well be, for in that year Hieron won a chariot-race at Delphi, of which no mention is made in this poem. Böckh thinks that the ode was composed shortly before P. 1, probably to celebrate the recurrent date of the previous victories. Hieron was suffering (comp. P. 1, 50), and hence the blending of congratulation and consolation. The "historical" allusions to scandals in Hieron's family and to the quarrels of the court physicians are all due to the fancy of the commentators.

The drift of P. 3 seems to be plain enough. Hieron is victorious, but suffering, and he must learn that the gods give two pains for one pleasure, and be content to have only one against one. To expect more is to reach out to what is not and cannot be. To this lesson the poet leads up step by step. So in the very beginning of this ode he himself sets an example of the impatient yearning he condemns. "Would that the old Centaur, the master of Asklepios, the great healer, were alive !" A poet, Pindar longs for the control of leechcraft, and does not recognize his own ambition until other examples of disappointment pass before his eyes. Such an example is Koronis, mother of Asklepios. This was her sin: she had one love, she wanted yet another (v. 25). Asklepios himself comes next. He was a leech of wide renown-a benefactor to his kind-but he was a slave to gain (v. 54). This was his sin, and, like his mother, he per-
ished (v. 57). And now the poet draws the moral. "Mortals must seek what is meet for mortals, and recognize where they stand, what is their fate." The wish is renewed, but this time with a sigh. The poet is not satisfied with paying Hieron his homage in music, he yeurns to bring him the master of healing and gain a double share of favor. It must not be; he cannot cross the water with this double joy (v. 72). He must be content to stay at home and make vows to the goddess at his door (v. 77).

 Make the best of it. Look at Peleus. Look at Kadmos (vv. 87, 88). They heard the Muses, as Hieron heard Pindar's songs. One married Harmonia, one Thetis (vv. 91, 92). Both saw the sons of Kronos banqueting with them, both received bridal gifts of the gods. But three daughters brought threefold sorrow to Kadmos. True, one daughter's couch was shared by Zeus (v. 99), yet this is only one joy to three sorrows. Against the bridal of Thetis set the death of Achilles (v. 100), an only son, and so more than a double sorrow. "Enjoy, then, what thou mayest while thou mayest in the changing breezes of fortune, in the ticklish balance of prosperity. This be our creed. Fit thy will to God's will. Pray for wealth. Hope for fame. Fame rests on song. Nestor and Sarpedon-the one who lost his noble son, the other lost to a divine sire-live on in lays. Few achieve this " (vv. 102115). And so the poem ends with the tacit pledge that Hieron shall live on in P.'s song as they in Homer's.

The rhythms are dactylo-epitrite (Dorian).
The distribution of the elements is clifferent from that of an ordinary é $\pi \iota v i k t o v$. The myth, with a slight introduction, takes up nearly half the poem. Indeed, the whole ode is a picturegallery of mythic troubles. We have at full length Koronis and Asklepios, who were guilty ; with less detail Kadmos and Peleus, who were innocent; and, in mere outline, Nestor and SarpedonNestor, who was lord among the third generation but to see Antilochos die; Sarpedon, who was mourned by Zeus himself. But all this sorrow is lost in the light of poetry.

ミ $\tau \rho . a^{\prime} .-1$. Xefpova: Cheiron was the great mythical healer and teacher; he gave Machaon healing drugs (II. 4, 219), and taught Achilles medicine (II. 11, 832). The Xeipwles of Kratinos
was a plea for a return to the old training，of which Achilles was the mythical example．See N． 3,43 ，foll．－$\Phi \iota \lambda u p i \delta a v: ~ S o ~ t h e ~$

 more was expected of the poet than such an every－day utterance． P．apologizes，as it were，on the ground of the naturalness of the wish．It was on everybody＇s tongue then．P．5， 107 ：äv $\delta \rho a$ кeivov
 N．3，47：K $\rho o v i$ ioà Kévravpov，－Пa入iov：His cave was on Pelion （P．9，30），a mountain full of medicinal herbs．$-\Phi \hat{\eta} \rho(a)=\theta_{\hat{\eta}} \rho(a)$ ： ＂Centaur．＂So called Il．1，268；2，743；as well as P．4，119．－ ápótepov：＂Upland，＂as in Chapman＇s Homer，with the same


 $\circ$ must be lengthened to save the metre．Comp．0．6，103：$\pi$ or－
 1，53．
＇Avr．$a^{\prime}$ ．－－8．Фגغүúa：The myth was taken from the＇Hoiau of Hesiod，a кarádoyos yuvaiкิิ，or list of heroines to whom the gods had condescended．The story of Koronis is an especially good exemplification of the difference between epic and lyric narrative．Epic narrative is developed step by step．＂The lyric poet gives the main result briefly in advance，and follows it up by a series of pictures，each of which throws light on the preceding＂（Mezger）．－9．$\pi$ plv тề́forat：＂Before having brought to term，＂＂before she had borne him the full time．＂
 $\theta \in \dot{\partial}$ ．－xpuóots：P．1，1．－10．＇Apтéuibos：A．kills women，Apollo

 give a quibbling tone，＂went to Hades without leaving her cham－ ber；＂nor is a lingering death implied by èv Өa入á⿲ఱ．Artemis
 smites Aribas＇daughter，who stole Eumaios，by hurling her into the hold of the pirate vessel（Od．15，479）；and it was meet that the wanton Koronis should be slain èv $\begin{gathered}\text { a } \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \varphi-\text { not in her cham－}\end{gathered}$ ber，but in the bed of Ischys．－12．रiveral：＂Proves．＂－dтофдаv－
 common in Pindar．àvopéass（P．8，91；N．3，20；I． 3 ［4］，29）is

 14. áкєьрєкбцд: So the best MS., and not áкєрбєко́да. Comp. Ov. Trist. 3, 1, 60: intons $i$ candida templa dei, and the description of Iason, P. 4, 82. A. is ever young.

 should have waited until the birth of the son of Apollo, and then have married. The gods were tolerant of human successors.-


 ßò̀v é $\chi$ оу. - 18. oia: Loose reference to $\dot{\nu} \mu \in \nu a i \omega \nu$. Cf. P. 1, 73.
 mates love to utter in even-songs." In the even-songs of the bridal the maids were wont to use the pet name, "baby name" ( $\dot{\text { unoкóp } \iota \sigma \mu a \text { ), of the bride, while they indulged in playful allu- }}$ sions to her new life.-20. ทัрато тิิv ãtóvtov: Nikias warns the Athenians against this $\delta v \sigma \epsilon \in \rho \omega \tau a s \in i v a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (Thuk. 6, 13). Lys. 12, 78: $7 \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{d} \pi$ óv $\tau \omega \nu$ é $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$. Theokr. 10, 8: oủס̀a $\mu a ́$
 Bov, ктé.: Pindar unfolds a moral as Homer unfolds a comparison. A reference to Hieron and foreign physicians (ả $\pi \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ), which Hermann suggests, is altogether unlikely, not to say ab-surd.-21. фîגov ... öซrts: A common shift, as in "kind who;" only we follow with the plural.-22. aloxuvav: "Putting shame
 23. $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ аца́vเa: P. multiplies synonyms to show the bootlessness of the quest. The seekers are "futile," the object is "unsubstantial," the hopes "unachievable." Cf. O. 1, 82, and 14, 6.-Onpeviov.

 2,10.—тotav́tav $\mu$ eyádav: Keep the words separate.-đ\&drav = ärav. P. 2, 28. Note the quantity.-25. $\lambda \hat{\mu} \mu$ Kopwvíos: "Wil-
 $\beta i a \nu$, and note on 8,68 . It may be of some significance that she was the sister of the wilful hero Ixion, who came to his bad end by єỉvaì тарáт $\quad$ otrot (P. 2, 35).- दévov: Ischys, as we are told below ( v .31 ). -27 . бкотб́v: Used of the gods ( $\mathrm{O} .1,54$ ), but esp.


 There is, perhaps, a play on $\lambda$ ogós and củvítatos, "crooked " and "straight."一кoเvâvı (Dor.) $=\kappa о \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu \iota=\mu \eta \nu v \tau \hat{\eta}$. Hesiod says (fr. 90) that a raven told it to Apollo. Pindar delights to depart from the popular version in little points that affect the honor of the gods; hence the emphasis laid on the $\pi$ ávia Fiofava yó $\varphi$. $\pi a \rho(\grave{a}) . .$. vó $\varphi:$ As it were "in the courts of." He did not go out of himself. The Schol. dulls the expression by $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ r o v ̂ ~ \nu o ́ o u ~$
 $\pi \epsilon i \sigma a s$. The acc. $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu a \nu$ gives the finer sense. Apollo forced conviction on his will, his heart. So also Mezger, who cites for this use of $\gamma \nu .0 .3,41 ; 4,16 ;$ P. 4, 84. Fennell prefers "judg.


 épyous ovire $\beta$ oudaîs: On the omission of the former negative, comp. P. 10, 29. 41.
'Аут. $\beta^{\prime}$.-31. Eliart $\delta \alpha$ : Ischys, son of Elatos, seems to have been a brother of Aipytos (O.6,36), who was an Arkadian lord.
 -33. גцацракєтч: Homer's àдаıд́́кєтоs suits all the Pindaric passages. See P. 1, 14.-34. पaќfetav: In Thessaly. Van Herwerden has called attention to the resemblance between Koronis

 $\mu \omega v:$ Where we should blame her mad passion, her $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$. -


 which Bentley detected the false Phalaris. See 'Letters of Phalaris,' p. 247 (Bohn and Wagner)," C. D. Morris.-36. å $\mu \hat{\alpha}$ : See
 See v. 20. The sentence is proverbial, à in James 3, 5 : i $\delta o u$,
 $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \ldots \phi \lambda^{\prime} . .$.
 'Aфаiotov: P. 1, 25 : 'Aфаібтoוo кpouvoús. The nerson of Hephai-
stos is little felt, but it can always be brought back as in 'Hфaiбтоv кúvєs," sparks," Alexis, fr. 146 (3, 452 Mein.).-40. oủкétı: A pollo has been struggling with himself. Cf. O. 1, 5.-41. đuóv
 "Our" would be a human touch. Here it is the selfish "my."
 would not be so good. He had killed the mother, and so was about to kill the child.-42. رarpòs $\beta$ apeiq $\sigma i v \geqslant \pi \dot{\theta} \dot{\theta}$ : The same principle as $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$ Kopoviठos (v. 25). The ill-fate of the mother
 tion of $\tau \rho \iota \tau a ́ \tau \varphi$, which Aristarchos preferred, after $1.13,20: \tau \rho i s$
 Bergk suggests $\tau \in \rho \tau \varphi($ Aeol. $)=\tau \rho i \tau \varphi$. See note on O. 8, 46.vekpov: : There is no good fem.-44. Stédatve: Imperfect of vision, in an intercalated clause. So the best MS. סtéфave would be an unusual intransitive, "flamed apart," literally "shone apart," "opened a path of light." The flames were harmless to him.45. $\delta$ เঠágat: The old final infinitive. - 46. dv0pwinotow: More sympathetic than $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\rho} \pi \omega \nu$.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime},-47$. av̉roфv́rшv: In contradistinction to wounds.-48. $\xi u v \alpha_{0}$ ess: The sphere of partuership and companionship is wider in Greek than in English. We usu. make the disease, not the sufferer, the companion. See Lexx. under $\sigma v v^{\prime} \iota \mu \iota$, $\sigma v \nu o \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}$, $\sigma \nu \nu-$ ขаíw.-50. Өeрьvê тupl: Sunstroke. Perh. "Summer fever."-51. Egayev: "Brought out," still used by the profession.-Toùs $\mu$ me:
 cantations were a regular part of physic among the Greek med-icine-men. The order is the order of severity. So. Aias, 581 : oủ $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ iat
 the hateful uniformity by putting $\pi$ ivovtas instead of a causative, such as $\pi \iota \pi i \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$, or an abstract, such as $\pi$ orois. -52. $\pi$ pooravéa: "Soothing potions." - тepámтыv . . . фáppaka: "Swathing with simples." Plasters and poultices are conspicuous in early leechcraft. $\quad \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu($ Aeolic $)=\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu$. So N. 11, $40: \pi \epsilon \rho$ óסots. -53. тонаіs "otarev sp月ov́s: тоиi is the regular surgical word for our "knife," and the pl. gives the temporal effect of $\tau \in \mu \nu \omega \nu$. P. makes in ëgrarev a sudden and effective change to the finite verb, so as to be done with it. Comp. O.1,14; P.1,55. iorás would be feeble. To punctuate at ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi a \gamma \epsilon \nu$ and make tov̀s $\mu$ è
 the sentence and the rhythm. The methods are in the durative tenses, the results in the complexive (aorist).
"Avt. $y^{\prime}$ - 54 . 8éeral: "Is a thrall," "is in bondage." סeitaı would mean "lets itself be enthralled by." The instr. dative is the regular construction.-55. ह̈трaтєv... коцибан: P. 9, 47 :
 áyávopı: Cf. P. 10, 18: áyávopa $\pi \lambda$ лov̂rov, and $\mathrm{O} .1,2: \mu \epsilon \gamma$ ávopos ... $\pi \lambda o u ́ r o v . ~ O n e ~ c a n n o t ~ h e l p ~ t h i n k i n g ~ o f ~ \chi ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \chi р \eta ́ \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ d̀ $\nu \dot{\rho}(\mathrm{I} .2$, 11). See Plato's criticism of this passage, Resp. 3, 408 B. C. 56. äv $\delta \rho(a)$ : Hippolytos, son of Theseus, acc. to the Schol. Comp.

 $\lambda o \nu$ tivage $\chi \in \rho \sigma i \nu$. The addition of "hand" does not give the same vigor in English. - àфoiv: The Hesiodic fragment tells only of the death of Asklepios (Athenag. Leg. p. 134). - 58. èvévкıц廿ev: "Brought crashing down."-59. Ovaraîs фpariv: Depends on є́oเкóra, and is not dat. of manner (Dissen) to $\mu a \sigma \tau \epsilon v \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$, modesta mente. Cf. I. 4 (5), 16: $\theta \nu a \tau a ̀ ~ \theta \nu a \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota .-60 . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi a ̀ p ~$
 rooós), "that which stretches from the place of the foot," "our nearest business." - olas cijuèv alras: As Archilochos says: yi-

${ }^{\text {'E E }}$ r. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} .-61 . \phi(\lambda a \quad \psi u x$ á: $P$. is addressing himself and swinging back to his theme. "Asklepios sought to rescue a man fordone. We must seek only what is meet, see what is before us, what are the limits of our fate. Seek not the life of the immortals, my soul; do the work of the day, play thy humble part to the end. And yet, would that I could bring the double delight of health and poesy; would that my song had power to charm Cheiron! Then the unreal would be achieved by the real, health which I cannot bring by poesy which I do." фìa $\psi v \chi a ́$ of Hieron would be too sweet. It is more likely that P . is taking a lesson to



 4.-66. dvסpáctv: The plural is part of the shyness with which the poet alludes to Hieron's disorder.-0єpuâv vóvav: "Fevers."
－67．twa \urotsa，ктé：：＂Some one called（the son）of Lato－ ides，or son of the Sire；＂Asklepios or Apollo，son of the great Sire Zeus．Bergk suggests $\mathfrak{\eta}$ тaré $\rho a=$＇A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega$ ．－68．kaí kev ．．． $\mu \delta \lambda^{\prime}$ ：This shows that the poem was composed in Greece，and not in Sicily．－＇Ioviav ．．．日ádagoav：Elsewhere（N．4，53）called ＇Ióvtov $\pi$ ópoy．－69．＇A pélovarav：The famous fountain of Ortygia
 See P． 1.

ミitp．8＂．－70．vépet：＂Rules＂without an object．－71．ċorois：Seems to mean here the rank and file of the citizens（O．13，2）．－aya0ois ： The optimates，doubtless，for they are＂the good＂to a Dorian．－
 for the praise of Kealth，comp．Lucian＇s De lapsu inter salutan－ dum．－к $\omega \hat{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta v} \tau(\epsilon)$ ：On the effect of $\tau \epsilon$ in twinning the two $\chi$ ápıтєs，


 ．．．$\dot{\delta} \delta \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa є \lambda a \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．The song lends additional lustre to the lustrous crowns．The plur．on account of the victories of Phere－ nikos．－74．Фєр＇ivikos：O．1，18．－ $\boldsymbol{i} v$ Kippq $\pi$ тoté：Kirrha was the Delphian hippodrome．The victory was won at least eight years before．－75．фа （：Out of construction．Elsewhere in P．with acc．and inf．－фáos：Acc．to J．H．H．Schmidt，фáos is the light of joy（ 0.10 ［11］， 25 ；I．2，17），фé $\gamma \gamma o s$ ，for which we here have $a^{z} \gamma \lambda a v$ ，is the light of glory（ $0.2,62$ ；P． 9,98 ；N． 3,$64 ; 9,42$ ）．

[^83]"Learning." The lesson is ever before him. It is a proverb.-81.
 do with avoirdupois or apothecaries' weight in Spenser's "a dram of sweete is worth a pound of soure " (F. Q. III. 30).-82. кб́ $\sigma \mu$
 tion; "turning the fair part outward " (of clothes), as we might say, "putting the best foot foremost" (of shoes).
 8épretau: As the Biblical "look upon" (with favor). Comp. O.
 are upon the righteous." - 86. єil $\tau v{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{d} v} \mathrm{~V}^{2}$.: Comp. O. 1, 54. -

 verbial examples of high fortune and noble character, $\mathrm{O} .2,86$.
 Muses so styled again, I. 2, 1.-90. èv öpet: Pelion. Cf. N. 5, 22 :
 pós. The marriage of Peleus and Thetis was a favorite theme with the poets. See N. 4,65 , quoted below. Catullus makes the Fates sing at the wedding $(64,322) .-91 . \quad \delta \pi \delta 0^{\prime}$ : The indic. of a single occasion. With the indic. ómórє has very much the sense of $\dot{\eta} \nu i$ iкa. Comp. O. 1, 37 ; 9,104 ; P. 8, 41; 11, 19 ; I. 6 (7), 6 ; fr. V. 1, 6.-92. Nŋpéos : The sea-gods were oracular. So Poseidon (0.6,58). So Proteus and Glaukos. For Nereus as a prophet, the commentators cite Hesiod, Theog. 233, Eur. Hel. 15, Hor. Od. 1, 15, 5. See also P. 9, 102


 8iar: "Raised their hearts again," "raised their sunken hearts." ó $\rho \theta a ́ \nu$ being proleptic, "erect." - 98. $\mu$ 'pos: ' $\rho^{\prime} \eta \eta \mu \sigma a \nu$, with two acc., as áфatрєiб日ai in prose. - ai tpeîs: Ino, Agaue, Autonoë. Cf. O. 2, 25.-99. Ovต́vq̣ $=\Sigma \in \mu$ é $\lambda$ a.
'Ayt. є'.-101. тikтev: P. uses the imperf. seven times (nearly all in dactylo-epitrites), the aorist nine times. See note on O.6,






 ness."-lmıßpioals: "Coming down with weight."
'Ел. ध'. - 107. $\sigma \mu$ ккрòs ev $\sigma \mu$ ккроîs, ктé.: $\sigma \mu$ ккроîs is neut. "I will be small when my fortunes are small, great when they are great." P. puts himself in Hieron's place. See O. 3, 45.-108. тд̀ á $\mu \phi e ́ \pi о v \tau^{\prime}$ ale . . . ठaípov(a): "My shifting fortune." Though prosperity is a $\pi \circ \lambda \dot{\chi} \phi \iota \lambda o s$ é $\pi \in ́ \tau a s, ~ e x c e s s i v e ~ p r o s p e r i t y ~ i s ~ d a n g e r-~$ ous, and the wise man must be prepared to do homage to the fortunes that attend him from time to time.-фpaciv: "Heartily."
 and homage, while $\theta$ epartev́wv is used of service. - кat' épàv... raxaváv: "To the extent of my power," "with all my might."
 Hieron might be expected to say ${ }^{\omega} \rho \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu$. P. looks upon such fortune as a dream. See note on O. 6,4.-111. єípéçar : "Gain." P. 1, 48.- $\pi$ póro : With a solemn indefiniteness, that is yet made sufficiently plain by the mention of Nestor and Sarpedon. The $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$ is "among them that shall call this time ancient" (Dante), where songs shall make thee what N . and S . are to us.-112. Ń́oтopa: A model prince, though mentioned by P. only here and P. 6, 35, Mєб don balances (Pylian) Nestor. One shining light is taken out of each camp. Sarpedon, we are reminded, was the grandson of Bellerophon, B. was from Corinth, and Corinth was the metropolis of Syracuse. But P. is thinking of Homer and the looming figures of Nestor on the Greek, Sarpedon on the Trojan side. Some quiet mischief in this, perhaps (N. 7, 21).-ảvөрஸ́m $\omega v$ фárıs: фátis = фátias, hominum fabulas, comp." the talk of the town" -"whose names are in every mouth."-113. тéктоves: So Kratinos (Schol., Ar. Eq. 527): тє́ктоעєs є่̇тa入á $\mu \omega \nu$ v̈ $\mu \nu \omega \nu$.-114. äppooav: "Framed." So Lat. pangere.-115. xpovia te入éधel: Cf.
 єข́péєӨat (จ.111).

## PYTHIA IV.

Arkestlas* IV., son of Battos IV., king of Kyrene, won a Pythian victory with the chariot, P. 31 (O1. $78,3=466$ b.c.). This victory is commemorated in the fourth and fifth Pythian odes. P. 5 was composed to celebrate the return of the victorious $\pi o \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$, which took place, as has been conjectured, at the time of the Kápveta, a festival which fell about the same time as the Pythian. The fourth ode was doubtless composed to be sung at a banquet in the royal palace, and seems to have been prepared at the urgent request of one Damophilos, who had been exiled by Arkesilas for participating in an aristocratic rebellion. That he was related to Arkesilas, that he was akin to Pindar, is little more than conjecture. "Urgent request" means in Pindar's case a lordly recompense. The poem was a grand peaceoffering, and the reconciliation had doubtless been quietly arranged in advance.

Not only in size, but also in many other respects, the fourth Pythian is Pindar's greatest poem-a prime favorite with all Pindaric scholars. The obscurities are few in proportion to the bulk, the diction is noble and brilliant. The aesthetic value is great, for in this poem we have a whole incorporated theory of the lyric treatment of epic themes, the Argonautic expedition in points of light.

After a brief invocation of the Muse, Pindar tells how the priestess of Apollo bade Battos leave his sacred island, Thera, and found a city on a shimmering hill in Libya, and thus bring to honor the prophecy of Medeia (vv. 1-9).

In the Prophecy of Medeia, we learn the story of the wonderful clod that a deity delivered to the Argonaut Euphamos where the Libyan lake Tritonis empties into the sea. Washed overboard, this symbol of sovereignty followed the wet main to

[^84]Thera, whence the descendants of Euphamos should, at the bidding of Apollo, go forth and possess the land promised to their ancestor (vv. 10-56).

Such is the prophecy that was fulfilled by Battos, the founder of Kyrene, and it is to the descendant of this Battos in the eighth generation that Apollo has given the glory of the victory in the chariot-race, the theme of Pindar's song (vv. 57-69).

So far the overture. Then follows the Quest of the Golden Fleece, or the Voyage of the Argonauts, which constitutes the bulk of the poem (vv. 70-256).

On their return voyage the Argonauts had shared the couches of Lemnian beroines. From such a union came the stock of Euphamos, which went first to Lakedaimon, thence to Thera, and from Thera to Kyrene (v. 261).

Here the poem seems to pause. A stop at Kvpávas (v. 261) would satisfy mind and ear. But P. continues with an afterthought participle, which emphasizes the importance of right counsel, and prepares the message that he has to deliver. The message is one that needs delicate handling, and, like the wise woman of Tekoah, P. clothes it in a parable-the Apologue of the Lopped Oak (vv. 263-268).

The answer is not given at once. The king is a healer that knows well the art of the soothing hand. The king is one that, under the guidance of God, can put the shaken city on its true foundation. He has only to will and it is done. Let him then take counsel, and consider what Homer said, that a fair messenger makes fair tidings. Such a fair messenger is the poet's Muse (vv. 270-279).

The way being thus prepared, the name of Damophilos is mentioned for the first time, and the praise of the banished nobleman is blended with an appeal for such forgiveness as Zeus accorded the Titans. "Let him see his home again; let him take his delight in banquets by Apollo's fountain. Let him make melody on the barp. Let his days be days of quietness, himself all harmless, by the world unharmed. Then he can tell what a wellspring of song he found for Arkesilas at Thebes " (vv. 281-299).

As the fourth Pythian is thrown out of line with the other odes by its size, and as this characteristic determines the handling of the poem, the distribution of the masses becomes a matter of leading importance and cannot be relegated, as has been

## NOTES.

done elsewhere, to a mere summary. Pindar nowhere else goes beyond five triads. Here he has the relatively vast structure of thirteen. If the introduction bore any proportion to the myth, or to the introductions of the other poems, we should have a large porch of song. What do we find? The poet seems to enter upon the theme at once, as if he were composing an epic and not a lyric. The ringing relative that so often introduces the myth makes itself heard almost immediately after the invocation of the Muse (v.4). We slip out of port in a moment, and find ourselves in the midst of the returning Argonauts. But the introduction is longer than it seems. The first three triads constitute an introductory epyllion-the Prophecy of Medeiawhich bears a just proportion to the rest. Only if the usual measure were observed the myth would occupy seven triads and the conclusion three $(3+7+3)$, but the story runs over into the eleventh triad, when the poet chides himself as having lingered too long ( v .247 ), and the slow imperfects give way to the rapid aorists. He calls on Arkesilas (v. 250) in order to show that be is hasting to Kyrene, and the emphasis laid on the guidance of Apollo prepares the conclusion. Notice that the story of the Argonauts makes the same returning sweep to Arkesilas and Apollo as the Prophecy of Medeia (vv. 65, 66). Apollo is an oracular god, and speaks in riddles. "So read me," the poet says, "the riddle of Oidipus" (v. 263). After this riddle is given, "fulfil the word of Homer "(v. 277). Both Oidipus and Homer, be it noted, are Apollinic. The answer to the riddle is-Damophilos (v. 281); but it is not until the poet has claimed the good messenger's credit, according to the word of Homer, that he brings forth the name. The poem closes with a commendation of the banished nobleman, and with the evident intimation that this song was made at his desire (v. 299).

The myth itself (vv. 70-256) is natural enough. It is natural enough that in celebrating the victory of Arkesilas, Pindar should sing of the founding of Kyrene; and the introduction of the Argonautic expedition may be justified on general grounds; but this is not the only time that Pindar has sung Kyrene. In P. 5 Battos and the Aigeidai come to honor, in P. 9, the heroine Kyrene, but there is no such overwhelming excess of the myth. In the length of the myth nothing more is to be seen than the costliness of the offering. If the poem was to be long, the myth must needs be long.

There are those who see in Pindar's Argonautic expedition a parable. Damophilos is Iason. Then Arkesilas must be Pelias -which is incredible. Damophilos is anybody else, anything else. Sooner the soul of Phrixos (v. 159), sooner the mystic clod that Euphamos received (v. 21). The tarrying of the soul of Phrixos, the drifting of the clod, the long voyage of the Argonauts, may be symbolical of the banishment of Damophilos. He could not rest save in Kyrene (v. 294). The true keynote, then, is the sweetness of return, the sweetness of the fulfilment of prophecy and of the fruition of hope long deferred. The ancient prophecy came to pass, and Battos founded Kyrene (rv. 6, 260). The word of Medeia was brought to honor in the seventeenth generation ( v .10 ). The ships should one day be exchanged for chariots (v. 18). The clod, following the watery main, was borne to Thera, not to Tainaros (v.42), and yet the pledge failed not. Iason came back to his native land (v. 78). Everybody comes back, not Iason alone, else the moral were too pointed. Let Damophilos come back. Let there be one Kyrenaian more.

The measures are dactylo-epitrite (Dorian), and the grave, oracular tone is heard in rhythm as well as in diction.
"As this poem, among all the Pindaric odes, approaches the epos most closely, so the rhythmical composition reminds one of the simplicity of an hexametrical hymn. Four times in succession we have precisely the same pentapody,

$$
ᄂ-1--1-\cup-1-\cup \cup 1-\wedge
$$

the close of which reminds us of the hexameter, which, like it, prefers the trisyllabic bar towards the close. Another example of this will be sought in vain throughout Pindar. These five pentapodies are followed by nine tetrapodies, interrupted only by a dipody in the middle of the strophe, where there is usually most movement" (J. H. H. Schmidt).
 aus Oípats. P. "floats double." The Muse is his shadow. $\sigma \tau \hat{\mu} \mu \in \nu$
 2. cùlmrov: Comp. v. 17.-Kvpávas: See on P. 1, 60.-'Apkéiliqu: The position gives zest to the postponed proper name. Comp.


 mis, together with their mother, presided over the Pythia

 much use of nautical metaphors and similes, but as the Battiads were originally Minyans, a manner of Vikings ( $0.14,4$ ), there is a special Argonautical propriety in this use of ov̉pov.-4. xpuréwv
 Delphi, the white stone navel, at which two eagles, sent from east and west, had met, and so determined the centre of the earth. aì $\bar{\tau} \omega \hat{\nu}$ in one MS.-5. oùk ámoঠápov . . . тuxóvtos: When the god was present in person the oracle was so much more
 was a migratory god, now in Lykia, now in Delos (P. 1, 39). For Apollo's sojourn among the Hyperboreans, see P. 10, 30 foll. -ipta, an Aeolic form = íféfta, which Christ gives. Böckh and others, íféa.-6. хpŋิбev oiксотîpa Báттov: "Appointed by an oracle Battos (as) colonizer." Comp. O. 7, 32 : $\pi \lambda$ óov єiँ $\tau \epsilon$, where the verbal element is felt, as here.-картофópov $\Lambda_{\iota} \beta$ vias: P. 9, 63 :

 a verb of will, $\oplus$ s is hardly so purely final as in $0.10(11), 31$; N. 8,36. It is used rather as oै $\phi \rho a$, P. 1, 72. Comp. Il. 1, 558 : $7 \hat{7}$
 є̇ $\pi i ̀ ~ \nu \eta v \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ ' A \chi a \iota \omega ิ \nu$, and L. and S. ed. 7, s. v. ö $\pi \omega$ s, end.-8. ápүıvбєєть $\mu$ абт甲̂: "A shimmering hill," an Albion Mamelon. P. 9, 59: ö ö $\theta o \nu$. . . à $\mu \phi i \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$. Kyrene was built on a chalk cliff. For description and recent researches, see F. B. Goddard in Am. Journ. of Philology, V. 31 foll.
'Avт. $a^{\prime}$.-9. дүкодібан: "Bring back safe," "redeem," "fulfil." Cf. "my word shall not return unto me void." The MSS. have àкорібає $\theta^{\prime}$, of which the editors have made àкконібаи $\theta^{\prime}$. P. nowhere uses the middle of кодi乡 $\varsigma$, nor is it necessary here.- $\mathbf{1 0}$.

 $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ 'Atpéos. It is idle to count these seventeen generations.Ońpacov: "Uttered in Thera," the $\begin{gathered} \\ \lambda i \pi \\ \lambda\end{gathered}$ aктоs $\gamma \hat{a}$ of v. 14.- Gape$v \eta$ 's: Animosa. Others think of non sine dis animosa, and consider Medea "inspired." It is simply "bold," "brave," "highspirited," as suits such a heroine. There is no such curious adaptation of epithet to circumstance as we find in the hivework of Horace (apis Matinae | more modoque).-13. Kefidure : The

зреech ends，v．56．－14．＇Eméфoto ropav：Epaphos，son of Zeus and Io．The Scholiasts notice the blending of nymph and country，which is very easy here，as $\dot{\rho} i \zeta a \nu$ and $\phi u \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ are

 is to spring up out of Libya，is Kyrene，metropolis of A pollonia， Hesperides，Barka，etc．－фurev́recolat：＂Shall have planted in her＂（Fennell），as one should say＂shall conceive and bring forth．＂P．has no fut．pass．apart from the fut．middle．$-\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-}$ $\sigma\{\mu$ ßporov：Only here in Greek．Comp．Od．12， 70 ：＇Apy⿳亠 $\pi$ â $\iota \iota$
 to Zeus Ammon（Schol．）．
 Greeks the horses of the sea，and we must not spoil poetry by in－ troducing the notions of＂fisheries＂and＂studs，＂as some have done．On the speed of the dolphin，see P．2，50：$\theta$ qòs ．．．$\theta a \lambda a \sigma-$


 here，can hardly be proved for Greek，and devia díppous $\tau \epsilon$ is not d́vía סi申p，${ }^{2}$ ．The correspondence between＂oar＂and＂rein＂ is not to be pressed，the＂rein＂being rather＂the rudder＂（mr－ 8ád cov）．The two spheres of ship and chariot have much in commun，and borrow much from each other．－$\nu \omega \mu$ áбoเซเv：$\nu \omega \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$
 I．1， 15 ：dvía ．．．vшرáซavt（a）．Subject＂they，＂i．e．，＂men．＂－

 ＂That token，＂the clod of earth（v．21）．öpvis and oicvós are familiarly used without too lively a sense of the bird meaning．
 8axpive，and Professor Postgate in Amer．Journ．of Phil．IV． 70. －20．Tpirwvidos $\boldsymbol{i v} \pi \pi_{\text {ooxoais：}}$ ：The geography of the Argonautic expedition will always be misty，and the mistiness is essential to its poetry．On their return from Kolchoi，the Argonauts passed by the Phasis into Okeanos，thence to the Red Sea，carried their ship overland twelve days，reached Lake Tritonis，in Libya，and found an outlet from Lake Tritonis to the Mediterranean．The Okeanos is not our Ocean，the Red Sea is not our Red Sea，the Lake Tritonis that we know is inland，and Pindar is poetry．－
21. $\quad$ ệ̣ ávépt $F \in t \delta o \mu \hat{v} v \varphi:$ : A god taking to himself the likeness of
 which takes the dat. of interest (see 0.13, 29), just as $\pi \rho i a \sigma \theta a u$, "buy," and so "take off one's hands." Ar. Ach. 812: nóvov
 is supposed to be Triton. Poseidon was masking as his own son and speaking to his own son (v.45).-yaiav : An immemorial symbolism. "With our Saxon ancestors the delivery of turf was a necessary solemnity to establish the conveyance of land."-22.
 "As a sign of favor he sounded a thunder peal." Comp. v. 197:
 $\beta \rho o \nu t a i ́ s$, Aeolic participle, fr. $\beta \rho o ́ y r a \iota \mu \iota=\beta \rho о \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime} .-24 . a^{2} \gamma \kappa v \rho a r:$ In Homer's time there were no äyкvpau,
 hence "jaws" of an anchor, which is itself a bit. Comp. Lat. dens ancorae. - 25. кp $\eta \mu$ vávт $\omega v$ : Commonly considered a gen. absol. with aủr $\hat{\omega} \nu$, or the like, understood. Not an Homeric construction, and sparingly used in P. See O.13, 15, and below, v. 232 :
 hard to see why it cannot be construed with the gen. here, as
 On the change of subject, see $0.3,22$.- $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta$ екс . . . ф́́popev: $\phi$. is imperfect. Definite numbers usu. take the aor., but the imperfect is used when the action is checked, usu. by the aor., sometimes by the imperf. There are numberless passages from Ho-
 є̈тоs. Cf. $\mathrm{I} .1,53.54 ; 9,470.474$; Od. 3, 118. 119.304. 306, al. —26. vఱ́т $\nu \omega ิ т a ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$. Here we have a desert sea of sand.-27. civáגıov סópv: Consecrated oracular language. $-\mu \hat{\eta} \delta \varepsilon \sigma t v:$ Medeia was not above an allusion to her name.-avoráơavtes: Usu. "drawing
 P. 3, 41.-28. oionódos: An Homeric word, Il. 13, 473 ; Od. 11, 574.- $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ : The god of v. 21.- $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ' ${ }^{2} \psi \iota v$ ө $\eta \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon v o s: ~ S o ~ B e r g k, ~$ after the Schol., for $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \psi \iota \nu ~ Ө \eta к a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho(\imath) ~ Ө \eta к а ́ \mu є \nu о s, ~ " h a v-~$ ing put on." In resuming the story P. amplifies it.-30. ${ }^{\circ} \tau(\epsilon)$ : "As," "such as those in which."-єvepyétar: "The hospitable."
 The model words are found in Od. 4, 60, where Menelaos: $\sigma$ írov $\theta^{\circ}$ äлттєбӨоу каì $\chi$ аípєтоу.
＇Avr．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．－32．à à̀̀̀ $\gamma$ áp：＂But it might not be for．＂Cf．O．1， 55．－$\pi \rho 6$ б́фarts ：Is an assigned reason，true or false．－33．Eúpú－ mu入os ：Son of Poseidon and Kelaino，and king of Libya（Schol．）． Poseidon（Triton）assumes a name like one of his own attributes．
 Homer èvvoaíyatos，ėvooix $\theta \omega \nu$ ．－34．ápoúpas：Is not felt as de－ pendent on $\pi \rho o t v \chi o ́ v$, which comes in as an after－thought，but as


 obey him，＂the subject coming up emphatically in the second clause－the $\eta j \rho \omega s$（Euphemos）being set off against the god（Euryp－ ylos）．－37．Fot：The position speaks for dependence on $\chi \in i \rho^{\prime}$ àvтєрєíбaıs．See O．2，16．－ $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ м́дaka：More special and technical than yaîav（v．21）．－$\delta a \iota \mu o v i a v: ~ " F a t e f u l . "-39 . ~ i ́ v a \lambda i a v ~ \beta a ̂ \mu e v: ~ S o ~$ Thiersch for évaגiạ $\beta$ â $\mu \in \nu \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu a ̈ \lambda \mu a$. ．The adj．（esp．in－七os）for the
 vaiovat，Aisch．Prom． 710 ；Aupaîò oì $\chi^{\nu \epsilon i v, ~ S o . ~ E l . ~ 313 . ~ T h e ~ e ́ v a-~}$
 course．$-\beta \hat{a} \mu \varepsilon v=\beta \hat{\eta} \nu a u$ ．See v．1．－$\sigma \grave{v}$ ä $\lambda \mu$ ¢ $:$ Comitative－instru－ mental use of $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ ．See P．12，21．The clod went with the spray by which it was washed into the sea．
＇Er． $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} .-40$ ．© $\sigma \pi$ tépas：When men wax tired and careless．－

 vots：＂Who relieve their masters of their toils．＂So also Schol． I1．24，734．＂Reliefs，＂＂relays，＂would be to us a natural trans－ lation．－43．$\pi \rho i v{ }^{\text {wipas }}$ ：First and extremely rare use of $\pi \rho i v$ as a preposition．－ $\mathrm{\varepsilon l}$ үàp o九̌кot vıv $\beta$ áde ：Wish passing over into condi－ tion．－44．＂Aıסa отбца：This was one of the most famous en－ trances to Hades．－45．vid̀s immápxov Пoreเסáwvos：A half－broth－ er of Eurypylos on the Triton theory．This Poseidonian origin accounts for the Battiadai＇s love of horses．－46．тiктє：See O．
 14 ），and so an interesting figure to a Boeotian poet．$\pi a \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} X \theta$ ats

 N．3，65）of the fourth generation（ $\tau . \pi . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \mu \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu$ need not be gen．abs．）is the fifth generation，the time of the Dorian migra－
tion，or the return of the Herakleidai．－48．oivv Aavaois：The Da－$^{\text {．}}$ naoi（or Achaians）were the old inhabitants of the Peloponnesos， who were driven out by the general unsettling known as the Dorian conquest．－ $\boldsymbol{\kappa}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \ldots$ ．$\lambda a ́ \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ：One of P．＇s few unreal condi－ tions．See O．12，13．－49．Eछaviotavtat：Prophetic present，as 0 ． 8，42．－$\Lambda a k \in \delta a i \mu o v o s, ~ к \tau \varepsilon .: ~ T h e ~ o r d e r ~ i s ~ t h e ~ l i n e ~ o f ~ i n v a s i o n, ~$ though such coincidences are not to be pressed．－50，vûv $\gamma \in:$
 prophecy fulfilled，v． 252 ：$\mu i \gamma \epsilon \nu . . . \Lambda a \mu \nu i a ̂ \nu . . . ~ \epsilon ̈ \theta \nu \epsilon \iota ~ y v \nu a \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ à $\delta \delta \rho \circ \phi o ́ v \omega \nu$ ．These murderous brides are often mentioned in
 Eṽфаиоs．－51．тávÉ ．．．vâcov：P．＇s range of the terminal acc．is not wide．For é $\lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$ with $\delta \delta \dot{\mu} \rho \nu$ ，see $0.14,20$ ；with $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a p o \nu$, P． 4， 134 ；with $\pi \epsilon \delta i o v$, P． 5,52 ；with $\Lambda \iota \beta$ viav，I． 3 （4）， 71 ；with a person，I．2，48．For $\mu_{0} \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ ，see O．9，76；N．10，36．íкєо（P．9， $55 ;$ N．3，3），íкодть（О． 10 ［11］，95），àфікєто（Р．5，29），àфіңєтаь（P． 8,54 ），є́छiкєтo（P．11，35）hardly count，as these verbs are felt as transitives，＂reach．＂－ol кev ．．．тékwvtat：The plural agrees with the sense of $\gamma \dot{v} \nu o s$. ．$\kappa \in \nu$ ，with the subj．，as a more exact future， where in prose the future indic．would be employed；an Ho－ meric construction，nowhere else in P．－ tive genitive，＂favor of the gods．＂Cf．v．260．－52．фwิтa：Battos （Aristoteles），who is glorified in the next ode．一кє入aเvєф＇$\omega v$ ：Ky－ rene had rain，the rest of Libya none．Hence $\kappa$ ．by contrast
 $\lambda v \chi \rho \dot{v} \sigma o v \mid \Pi v \theta \omega \hat{\nu} o s$. The presence of Phoibos is emphasized，
 äyүе入íaus， $0.3,28$.
＇Avr． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$－ $\mathbf{5 5}$ ．кaraßávra：The threshold is much higher than
 ßávтa，Od．4，680．－хро́vч｜vөтте́pч：With катаßávта．－56．àүаүév：
 ＂To the Nile precinct of Kronides＂（Zeus Ammon）．With Nei－入oto тє́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs，comp．О．2，10：оїкпиа тотанои̂＝оїк．тота́ $\mu о \nu$ ．The Schol．combines N．Kpovióa，and considers it equivalent to $\Delta i o ̀ s$ $\mathrm{N} \epsilon i \lambda o v$ ，but there is no $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \mathrm{v} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{N} \epsilon \mathrm{i}$ ìos in the sense meant．－57．ग̂ pa： The Homeric asseveration（II．16，750；Od．12，280）is well suited to the solemn，oracular passage．－imím $\sigma$ otixes：＂Rows of words，＂ ＂oracular verses．＂On the absence of ei $\sigma \iota$ ，see $0.1,1$－－＂$\pi$ ragar： Only here in P．Not the usual tone of the word，which is ordi－
narily＂to cower，＂as in So．Ai．171：$\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} \pi+\dot{\eta} \xi \in \iota a \nu$ ä $\phi \omega \nu 0$ ． The attitude here assumed is that of brooding thought．－59．
 iv тои́тч $\lambda$ б́үч：＂In consonance with this word＂（of prophecy）．
 is the Pythia．Honey is holy food．Cf．O．6，47．－av่тоцárч ke入áסu：＂Unprompted cry．＂He had only asked a remedy for his stuttering tongue．－61．es $\tau$ pis：The consecrated number．－ av̇סáraura：The original sense of avidầ is not lost，as is shown by кє入áס $\varphi$ ，＂loudly bade thee Hail！＂The oracle is given by


 means＂stutterer．＂Cf．ßatrapi乡c．His real name was＇Apıбтo－ réd $\eta$ s．Herodotos（l．c．）says that B．was the Libyan word for

 ixávec．There of a painful revelation，here of a joyous vision．－

 Arkesilas was in the flower，the rosy flush of his youth．－ 65 ． mauनi тoúrots，$k \tau \in \in .:$＂These children＂are the descendants of Battos，to whom A．is the eighth bloom．＂Eighth in the line of these descendants blooms Arkesilas．＂Battos is counted in

 O．5，15．－кv̂ठos ．．．im $\pi \mathbf{o} \delta \rho \neq \mu$ ias：＂Glory in chariot－racing．＂

 rounding inhabitants．＂This is understood of those who lived around Delphi，but it would apply with more force to the Libyan rivals of Arkesilas．So．El．702：סv́o｜＾ißues ઈvyตrêv
 Muses＂as a fit theme for song．The meetness lies in ánó，often

 Euphamos in contrast to tê $\mu \hat{e} \nu$ ，his descendant，Arkesilas，the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ shifting，as often in P．See 0.11 （10），8．－69．oффovv：The



 the vavtìia．－kpartpoîs ．．．ă $\lambda$ oıs：The Argonauts were riveted to their enterprise as the planks were riveted to the Argo，which may have suggested the figure，but we must not forget that Hera inspired them（ v .184 ），and so may be said to have driven the nails．The passages cited certatim by the editors do not really help，such as Aisch．P．V．64，and Hor．Od．1，35，17．These are not the nails of necessity，but the nails of passion－the nails that fastened the ivy to her wheel，just as the proverb ${ }_{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} o \mathrm{v}$ $\eta$ $\lambda \omega$, clavum clavo pellere can be used＂of the expulsive power of a new affection．＂－áSá
 the agent．So Thuc．1，20．－－Alodıסav：Here is the genealogy of Iason that seems to be followed：


 dative，see $0.2,16$ ．Fou depends on $\theta v \mu \hat{\varphi}$ крvóє ．The relation is not that of apposition．Cf．P．1，7：Fot ．．．kpari，and above． v．37．－крvб́єv：＂Blood－curdling．＂$\pi ⿰ 幺 幺 เ \imath \varphi ิ . . . \theta v \mu \varphi ิ: ~ О . ~ 13, ~ 52: ~$
 ＂wary，＂but＂crafty．＂Comp．v．138：乃á入入єто крचтîסa бофผิע
 pos：Gaia was the first tenant of the oracle．Aisch．Eum．1，
 and the $\dot{o} \mu \phi$ àós was a reminder of her．N．7，33：парà $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu^{\text {a }}$

 by Cheiron. $\sigma \tau$. is used in its special Homeric sense.-ev̇ठeie $\lambda o v$ : The Homeric signification "far-seen" suits Kronion after a fashion (O. 1, 111), but not Iolkos, whereas "sunny," an old interpretation, suits Kronion perfectly ( $\mathrm{O} .3,24$ ), and is not inapt for Iolkos, as opposed to the forest shade of Pelion and the cave of the Centaur. P. was not always clear himself as to the traditional vocabulary.
 used $=$ єíte. Even in prose the first єïтє is sometimes omitted. Iason was both.-79. alxpaîow סiסv́patotv: As Homer's heroes.
 again P. 11, 29, the reverse of the common shift, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. . . Tє (O. 4, 13). - Mayvít ${ }^{2}$ drıx ćplos: A close-fitting dress was necessary

 סov̂pє $\delta \dot{v} \omega$ кєкорv $\theta \mu \dot{\mu} \nu a \chi^{a \lambda \kappa \hat{̣}} \mid \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. But Paris was brought up on Mt. Ida, not on Mt. Pelion, and P. has blended his colors. Philostratos II. (Imagg. c. 7) gives Iason a lion-skin, which is a symbol of the Sun, who was Medeia's grandsire, $\operatorname{\pi ar\rho òs}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} \lambda$ ios
 (Schol.). "Shivering showers" ="shivery showers." But as ${ }_{0} \mu \beta$ ßpos is a $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s ~ a ́ \mu \epsilon i \lambda \iota \chi o s ~(P .6,12), ~ " b r i s t l i n g ~ s h o w e r s " ~ m a y ~$

 had not shorn his locks off--for Greek youths were wont to dedicate their first hair to the river-gods (Schol.). Hence Pelias'
 and the vindication of his Achaian origin, despite his strange



 L. \& S.) = àтарßároto. Herm. reads àтарии́ктоьo after Hesych.
 -his soul that cannot be affrighted-just as, on one interpretation, Kyrene makes trial of her unmeasured strength (P. 9, 38).
 10 o'clock in the morning. Gen. of time, from which the gen. absol., with pres. part., springs.
 holders."- "माas: "For all that," though they knew not that he
 Hom.), among other things this."- 87 . Ov̆ $\tau \boldsymbol{i}$ пov: Half-question, half-statement. "It can't be, although it ought to be." Comp. Ar. Ran. 522, and the famous skolion of Kallistratos: $\Phi i \lambda \tau a \theta^{\prime}$
 doubt which one desires to remove (P. 1, 63). Apollo's hair is the first thing suggested by the $\pi \lambda$ óканоь . . . aj $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o o}$ ( v .82 ). Ares is next ( $\kappa k \pi a \gamma \lambda o s, ~ v . ~ 79)-b u t ~ n o t ~ s o ~ b e a u t i f u l ~ a s ~ A p o l l o, ~$ though Aphrodite's lord-then the demigods.-móovs | 'Aфposiras: Ares, for Hephaistos is not recognized by Pindar as the husband of Aphrodite; nor is he by Homer in the Iliad, and the episode of Od. 8,266 was discredited in antiquity.-88. iv 86 : And yet who else can it be, for Otos and Ephialtes are dead?Ná $\ddagger \varphi$ : The Aloeidai were buried in Naxos and had a cult there.
 $\gamma \in \kappa \lambda \nu \tau o ̀ \nu \quad$ ' $\Omega \rho i \omega \nu a$ (Od. 11, 310). According to him the brothers were slain by Apollo for threatening the immortals with war. According to another account, they slew each other by the device of Artemis. The comparisons are taken from the Artemis cycle, as Iason is clearly a hunter.- 'Eф'ádza: For the voc. comp. v. $175 ;$ P. 11, 62. The voc. naturally gives special prominence and interest, but it must not be pressed too much, as has been done with Пatpókגets intev̂ and Eṽ̈fatє $\sigma v \beta \omega \hat{\omega} a$. Metre and variety have much to do with such shifts.-90. кaì $\mu$ áv: It is hard to believe Tityos dead with this gigantic youth before our eyes; hence the oath by way of confirmation, as v. 8\%.-Tırvóv: T. was

 wish to moralize P.'s song see in these figures warning examples. It would be as fair to say that Tityos was introduced as a compliment to Arkesilas, whose ancestor he was (v.46).-92. ठфра . . .
 personal application. The Greek moralizes as Shakespeare quib-bles.-Tâv ìv Svvarệ фıлотátwv: See P. 2, 34.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$--94. yápvov: The lower range of this word, as $\mathrm{O} .2,96$.
 むสテึivẹ: Greek seldom comes nearer than this to ềv סià סvoî (v. 18). Mules were a favorite team among the Thessalians as well
as among the Sicilians.-96. Segırepథ̂: Iason had lost his left shoe
 6, 36. The Greek associated the dissociate radicals of these words.-97. Moiav yaiav: There is something disrespectful about moiav, and faîal is not especially courteous. The Homeric formula
 lias had come протротáסav, looking neither to the right nor to the left of him, his eye riveted on the unsandalled foot, and seeing nothing of the ö̃ts on the face of the multitude.-98. ${ }^{\circ} \nu \theta \rho \omega^{2} \pi \omega v$ . . . xaцaıүevé $\omega v$ : "Groundling wenches." - тo入ıâs . . . үaotpós: No father is mentioned (contrast Homer's ток $\bar{\eta} \epsilon$ ), and the mother is an old drab, by whom Iason was "ditch-delivered." The insinuation that she petted her child is not impossible, though to less prejudiced eyes Iason could not have suggested a $\mu а \mu \mu \dot{\text { a }}$
 -100. кarapávats: Ironical.
'Avt. є'.-101. Өaporjaras ayavoír 入óyous: Both lesson. that Iason had learned from Cheiron-boldness of action, gentreness of speech. - 102. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \mathrm{e} \ell \phi \theta \eta$ : This form, only here in P., becomes common in later times; perhaps "was moved to answer." Cf. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau р а т є \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$ (P. 1,51). - ol̈retv: May be an undifferentiated fut., equiv. to a present. But the future $=\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ olv $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ is defens' ble, "that I am going to show myself the bearer of Cheiron's training." Cheiron's great lesson, reverence for Zeus, and reverence for one's parents (P. 6, 23), is the very lesson which Iason is about to carry out. In restoring Aison he is obeying Zeus.103. Xapıkdoûs: Chariklo was the wife and Philyra the mother of Cheiron (P. 3, 1).-кoûpaı . . . àyvaí: Repels the $\pi$ odıà yaotíp, the old drab who is supposed to have spoiled him.-104. Fépyov
 the old codices, évtpáre $\lambda o \nu$, might mean "to cause concern, shame, anxiety." єن̉трáтєєор (Cod. Perus.) would mean "shifty," "deceitful." "I have never said nor done aught that was not etraightforward." éктрáтєлор (Schol.), "out of the way," "in-

 back," pres. part. for fut. ( $\left.{ }^{\gamma} \gamma\right) \kappa \boldsymbol{\mu} i \xi \omega \nu$ has been suggested, but is unnecessary. The conative present will serve. See O. 13, 59. If apxaiay is read, notice how far the adjective carries in the equable dactylo-epitrites. Cf. O. 11 (10), 19.-marpós : Pelias had asked for his mother, Iason proudly speaks of his father.
 is variously interpreted. "White," i. e. "envious." Others comp.
 $\lambda u ́ \sigma \sigma a$ ( $\downarrow v к y a)$, "yielding to his mad desires."-110. àpXeठ́ıкâv: "Lords by primal right," "lawful lords."-112. кิิסos ... 0ทка́$\mu \varepsilon v o l:$ "Having made lamentation."-113. ціүа кшкит $\hat{\text { : }}$ : So $\mu i \gamma \delta a$ with dat., Il. $8,437 .-114$. $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi$ ov: With the imperf. the thoughts follow the motion. See note on O. 2, 23.-omapyávors dev ropфv. péoss: The $\sigma \pi a ́ p y a v a$ are also крокштá, N. 1, 38.-115. vvктì коเvá--avtes $\delta \delta \delta{ }^{2}$ : "Having made night privy to the journey." Time


$\Sigma \tau \rho . s^{\prime} .-117$. גcukimenv: White horses were princely. See $\mathbf{P}$.


 be easy, and an aorist ĩкоцц is supported by íк $\kappa \mu$, II. 9,414 , and by P. 2, 36, where the codices have ikóvt'. The pure opt. might stand here as a half-wish, a thought begotten of a wish, "I hope it will turn out that I have come to no strange land," oú being adhaerescent. Bergk has written oủ $\mu a ̀ \nu \xi \in i v o s ~ i ̈ \kappa \omega$ रaîà ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, which does not explain the corruption. ov̉ $\mu$ áp does not occur
 $-119 . \Phi \eta \rho=\theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \rho$. Only of the Centaurs. P. 3, 4. -120. Éyvov =
 istic neut. plur. often retains the plur. verb, and there are two streams of tears here.-122. åv $\pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{\text {qu }}$ quxáv: "All round (through) his soul"一катà тŋ̀̀ éavtov̂ $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ (Schol.).

 were an accession.-125. karà $\kappa \lambda$ त́óos: "At the report," "close on the report." Comp. кatà $\pi$ ódas, " at the heel of," "following."- $_{\text {" }}$ $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ép ${ }^{\prime}$ s: See v. 72. Most memorable to us for his part in the Alkestis of Euripides, where he declines to die for his son Ad-
 A fountain in the ancient Pherai, near Iolkos, Hypereia. See commentators on II. 2, $734 ; 6,457$.-126. ék $\delta \mathfrak{e}$ Meqбávas: Messene was distant, hence an implied antithesis to '́ $\gamma \gamma \dot{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \nu$. 'A $\mu v \theta a ́ v=$ ' $A \mu v \theta$ á $\omega \nu$, as ' $A \lambda \kappa \mu a ́ \nu$ for ' $A \lambda \kappa \mu a i \omega \nu$ (P. 8, 46).-Мè $\lambda \mu \mu$

тos: A famous seer, son of Amythan. Od. 11, 259; 15, 225.-
 кєто-but it would be easier to have ikov (suggested by Bergk), and áveqıoi (Hartung). ikov would then be in the schema Alomanicum. See v.179. It is wholly inconceivable that àvє $\psi$ tóv should
 shared, i. e. common, banquet.-129. ¿̊p $\mu$ ótorea. Comp. N. 1, 21 : d $\rho \mu$ ódoo $\delta \in i \in i \pi \nu o v$. The Thessalians lived well, as we know from Euripides' Alkestis, Plato's Kriton, and other familiar passages.一тâqar ... tárvev: "Stretched joy to its full extent," "kept it
 ä $\omega$ тov. The aor., on account of the definite number ( v .26 ). Otherwise we should have expected the present part., as the action is coincident with rávvev.
'Er. s'. - 132. $\pi$ ávra: Acc. pl. with тapekotvâto. In contra-
 "Speaking in sober earnest."-नтovסaiov: Before v. 129 it was
 sides with him."-134. $\dagger \lambda$ तөov . . . $\mu$ 'iyapov: v. 51. - 136. Tvpous
 yaotpós, both at the time of bearing.- $\pi$ pav̀v ... oैapov: Cf. v. 101. $\pi \rho a v ̂ s, "$ gentle" by nature; $\eta_{\mu \epsilon \rho o s, ~ b y ~ c u l t u r e ~(J . ~ H . ~ H . ~}^{\text {. }}$ Schmidt).-137. потเซтátov: Comp. the Biblical "distil" (Deut.
 $\kappa \rho \eta \pi i \delta^{\gamma}$ ảoıôà $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a t$. The metaphor shifts rapidly, but the notion of drink - offering is not foreign to that of laying the foundation.- Пai $\Pi$.: Stately genealogical address, with effective position of vocative.-- $\boldsymbol{\text { efpaiov : P }}$ Poseidon was worshipped in Thessaly as the Cleaver of the Rock, because he had opened a way through the rock for the Peneios. On the $\pi$ 's, see v. 150.

 feast," the next morning with all its horrors, next day's reckon-ing.-141. 0quıббapévous doyás: "Having ruled our tempers by the law of right ( $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu s)$." - íqaivetv: Cf. v. 275. - 142. $\mu$ ía ßoves: Not common, yet not surprising after the frequent use of heifer ("Samson's heifer ") everywhere for a girl or young married woman. Cf. Aisch. Ag. 1126 (Kassandra speaks) : ä $\pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon$ Tīs ßoòs


Zeus's thunder and lightning, and was struck by lightning for his
 oofévos áediov: The sun rejoiceth as a strong man to run a race.xpưvéov: $\bar{v}$ in Homer, $v$ common in P.-145. Moipau $\delta^{\prime}$ á申iotavt(au), ктє.: "The Fates withdraw . . . to hide their blush" (Dissen). This has a modern sound, but is better than Rauchenstein's, "The Fates avert their faces, if enmity among the members of a family obscures reverence (die heilige Scheu)." Hermann reads aiòoi, and makes the Fates revolt against concealment.
'Аvт. §'.-148. áкóvтєбनtv: The historical Thessadians were fa-

 modern ideas, but Iason warms as he goes on. Comp.v. 109 with v. 101. - 150. $\pi$ גoûtov $\pi$ raivwv: "Feeding fat thy wealth." P. has an especial fancy for $\pi$ - alliteration.-151. $\pi$ ovei: "Irks,"
 152. кaì бкâmтov $\mu$ óvapxov kal $\theta$ póvos: The verb of tav̂тa is not exhausted, and there is no need of a nominativus pendens.-Kpp0etsas: Aison. -153 . inสóraus . . . גaois: The Thessalian cavalry
 кas $\sigma$ кo入ıás. - 154. Tà $\mu \hat{k v}$ : Notice the lordly indifference to $\tau \dot{a}$ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$, which had already been disposed of-flocks and fields.

[^85]${ }_{k} \lambda \eta \sigma t s$ ，by which the ghosts of those who had died and been buried in foreign parts were summoned to return home and rest in their cenotaph．So we might translate к．，＂lay．＂－160．eג06́v－ ras：We should expect é $\lambda$ Oóvta，sc．$\tau \iota y a ́$ ．But there is a $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ in Pelias＇conscience．
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \eta^{\prime}$. －162．$\mu$ arpviâs：Ino－Leukothea，acc．to the common form of the familiar legend；acc．to P．，Demodike（Schol．）．－164． el $\mu \in \tau$ ád入aróv $\tau t$ ：＂Whether there is aught to be followed up．＂ Dreams might be false，for they come through the gate of ivory as well as through the gate of horn，Od．19，562．－bтpúvet：Sc． ＇A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，a very natural ellipsis whenever oracles are mentioned． —vat $\pi$ оижáv：Almost as one word，＂a ship－home－bringing．＂


 Z．was the father of their common ancestor，Aiolos．－168．крíєv $=\delta \iota \epsilon к$ рí向 $\sigma a \nu$ ． Comp．the use of фpoupà̀ фaiveıv among the Spartans，Xen．Hell． $3,2,23.5,6$ ．There may be an allusion to fire－signals．－rpeis： Herakles，Kastor，Polydeukes．－172．é $\lambda_{\iota}$ ко $\beta \lambda є \phi$ а́pov：Of A phrodite， fr．IX．2， 5 ：＇Aфpoঠítas $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota \kappa о \beta \lambda є \phi$ á $о$ v．Cf．Hesiod．Theog．16； Hymn．Hom．V．19．－173．＇Evrori $\delta a$ ：Of the sons of Poseidon （v．33），Euphamos，ancestor of Arkesilas，is from Tainaros（v．44）； Periklymenos，grandson of Poseidon，brother of Nestor（Od．11， 286），is from Pylos．Notice the chiasm．They are all Minyans．

 10,40 ）．ả入кáv is＂repute for valor，＂a brachylogy made suffi－
 in the sense of military honor．II．15，561：¿ фìot，àvépes ë̈cte，
 reference to the top－knot．Poseidon＇s sons were adl tall（the unit of measurement being the fathom），and if they were tall，so was their hair．Cf．oló§ $\omega \nu$ vos（So．O．R．846），éкaто $\pi$ тó $\delta \omega \nu$（O．C．717）． －175．Пєрькли́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ ：Comp．v．89．P．has no special interest in Periklymenos．－evpußia：A title in the Poseidon family，0．6，58； P．2，12．－176．${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega v o s:$ Orpheus is the son of Oiagros（fr． X． 8,10 ；bence＇$\xi$＇A．may be taken as＇sent by．＇Cf．Hes．Theog．

94．－cotס̄âv $\pi a \tau \mathfrak{f} p:$ Even in prose the speech－master at a sympo－ sium is a $\pi a \neq \grave{\eta} \rho$ 入óyov（Plat．Sympos． 177 D）．－177．＇Opфav́s：First mentioned by Ibykos of Rhegion，assigned to the Argonautic ex－ pedition by Simonides of Keos．
 Homeric epithet of Hermes．－179．＇Exiova ．．．＂Epvoov：Hold－fast and Pull－hard，sons of Hermes and Antianeira．－кєұ入áסovras：A peculiar Doric perfect participle with present signification（comp． лєфрíкоутая，v．183）．The Schol．makes it $=\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ v́ovtas，＂full to overflowing with youth．＂The anticipation of the plural is called


 if we remember how distinctly the plural ending of the verb carries its＂they，＂and here кє $\chi$ 入áóovtas recalls vioús．一тaxées：So the better MSS．for raגє́ $\omega$ s．Cf．P．11， 48 ：Ooà̀ àkтiva．－ 180. Maypaiov：On the borders of Thrace and Macedon．－vaueráovres： ＂Dwelling，as they did，＂far to the north，while Euphamos dwelt in the far south．Cf．P．1，64．－181．Өvん仑̂ $\gamma^{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda a v e i ̂: ~ C o m p . ~ O . ~ 5, ~} 2$ ：

 Hera favored the expedition，as appears from other sources．Od．


ミ $\tau \rho . \theta^{\prime}$. －186．тàv ákívסuvov ．．．aî̀va：aị́v is fem．P．5，7； N．9，44．The article has a contemptuous fling．So．Ai．473：
 Comp．the slur cast on Iason（ $\mathrm{\nabla} .98$ ），and P．8， 85 ：$\mu 0 \lambda$ óvт $\omega \nu$ nà $\rho$
 were to be the meed（like énì $\mu \sigma \theta \hat{\varphi}$ ）．－187．фáp $\mu$ aкov ．．．éâs ápetâs：фápuakóv tıvos is either＂a remedy for＂or＂a means to．＂ Here it is the latter．It is not＂a solace for their valorous toil，＂ but an＂elixir of valor，＂as we say the＂elixir of youth．＂－189．

 modern，but P．had in mind the famous talking－plank in the ship Argo．－192．dүкर́pas：The same mild anachronism as above， v ． 24．The anchors were suspended at the prow，v． 22 and P．10， 52．On the two anchors，see $\mathbf{0 . 6}, 101$.
＇Avt．6＇－193．ф＇áخar：Comp．the famous scene in Thuk．6， 32.
 povs：Proleptic．So єṽфpova and фi入íav，v．196．－195．кขนátov
 So in our author，P．9，52；N．3，59；fr．V．1，6；So．Antig． 137. $\dot{\rho}$ ．not so common of the waves．Fr．XI．83：móvtou $\dot{\rho} \iota \pi a i$ ．－ exuidet：He called on Zeus，and then on the other things that he feared or desired．Nothing is more characteristic of the heathen mind than this meticulous prevision．Zeus answered for all．－
 seconclary．－199．á $\mu$ rvoàv．．．éotacav：iotávat is used in poetry to form periphrases with abstract nouns（Böckh），very much as

 ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu a$ ．＂They drew a free breath again．＂
＇Eл．日．－201．evintav：Not the Homeric eivinto，but a new present formation from $̈ \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon$（Curtius）．－202．äкороs：Gives life to the dipping oar，that cannot get its fill．－203．＇Ageivov：The
 P．5， 42 ：каӨє́ $\sigma \sigma a \nu т о$（MSS．），where，however，we read кá $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu$.
 For the sacrifice，see $0.13,69.81$ ．－$-p \eta ı \kappa i \omega v$ ：Hieron，the seat of the altar，was on the Asiatic shore and in Bithynia．The Bithyni－ ans were Thracians（Hdt．7，75），but Thracian had a nobler sound， such as Norse has to us，a sound of the sea．So．O．R．196：ròv

 Built by the sons of Phrixos．－$\lambda i \theta \omega v$ ：The best MSS．have $\lambda_{i} \theta_{\text {l }}$ voy，which is a gloss．This shows that the old readers connected
 $\theta$ é $\nu a \rho$ ，where it means the hollow（depth）of the sea，as it else－ where means the hollow of the hand．Acc．to the Schol．тo koi－
 vaŵr：Poseidon．

 files of armed men．Contrast P．6，12．－4eגevtáv：＂Death．＂－ 211．Фâcuv：Long a notable demarcation for the Greeks．－212． кe入aเvш́тยのテレ：See Hdt．2，104，on the dark skin of the Kolchians．

- Blav $\mid \mu \mathrm{tg} a v=$ "Joined battle," "fought hánd to hand with." -213. $\operatorname{\pi ap(\alpha ́):~"In~the~realm~of."-\alpha v่тథ̂:~Contrast~to~their~previ-~}$


 versor in amoris rota miser.
'Avt. i.-216. $\mu a \imath v a ́ \delta(a):$ Maddening."-217. Xıтás: "Supplicatory," "the litany of incantations." Cf. 0.6,78: $\lambda$ trais $\theta$ vóiats. Some prefer to consider $\lambda$ trás as a substantive in apposition.


 The metaphor of the ä̉ $\lambda$ utos кúk ${ }^{2}$ os lingers. She is a wheel of $\{1 \mid$ fire, lashed by Peitho, who is Aphrodite's first maid of dishonor. ..*

 achieving) the labors."-221. ávritopa: Magic herbs were shred-
 $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ äkos.-222. кaтaivŋनav: They pledged (themselves). De. sponderunt. "They vowed sweet union in mutual wedlock."223. $\mu \hat{i} \xi a t$ : A promise, as a vow, takes the aor. of the future.


 äотроу.-бхіц廿ато: "Pressed hard." L. \& S. transl. "alleged !" Applies strictly to äporpov alone, not to the oxen, which would

 sonantal). See G. Meyer, Gr. Gr. § 147. - $\pi v v^{\prime}$ v: Monosyllabic. Sometimes written $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \nu$. See G. Meyer, Gr. Gr. § 117. - 227.

 stretched he the furrows as he was driving." The process and the result side by side. - 228. àd: With $\sigma \chi i \zeta \epsilon$. àv" ópóyviay would mean " a fathom at a time," not "fathom high."-229. ßact入eús, | \%otıs äpxet vaós: He disdains to turn to Iason.-230. отршигáv: "Coverlet."

[^86]of participle without a subject．See v．25．－кpoksev：A royai color，as well as purple．See N．1，38：к рок $\omega$ тò̀ $\sigma \pi a ́ \rho \gamma a \nu o \nu$.
 rest．－iфeтнаïs：P．suppresses the details．So he does not say that Medeia bade Iason not plough against the wind．Even here we have to do only with the кєфáлata $\lambda$ óy $\omega$ ．For the pl ．，
 Comp．Hor．Od．1，35，17：saeva Necessitas｜clavos trabales et cu－ neos manu｜gestans aena．－236．alav＇s：P．1，83．－237．ไvร̆єv：His
 forced from him the whistling ${ }^{\prime}$ of astonishment．

[^87][^88]Greek highways, "in the old groove."- ©̃pa . . . ovvántet: "Time
 єi $\mu$.-бoфias: "Poetic art" (O.1,116). Poetry is a path (O. 9,
 Pl., as $0.9,56 ;$ P. 3, 11.-250. 'Apкєбida: The poem is soon to become more personal.-क्̀̀v aủzą: "With her own help." Cf. O. 13, $53 .-\phi$ óvov: We expect фoyóv like т $\quad$ oфóv, but comp. Eur.
 of Pelias" seems violent. In the story of the return, the passage through Africa is presupposed on account of the overture (v. 26). - 251. ${ }^{\text {ev }} . . . \mu$ ipev: "They (the Argonauts) entered the
 $\mu \nu \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ रvvaıкิิע.-253. áéध ${ }^{\prime}$ oıs: Funeral games in honor of Thoas, father of Hypsipyle. See O. 4, 23. - Fiv': So Kayser for крíatv, on the strength of the Schol.'s àvôpeiav. I. $7(8), 53$ : ivas
 prize is mentioned $0.9,104$. This does not exclude the wreath mentioned O. 4, 24. Note $\mathfrak{a} \mu \phi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}=\dot{a} \mu \phi i$ only here.


 echoes the prophecy. Cf. v. $50: \mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda o \delta a \pi a ̂ \nu \ldots \gamma v a u \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \mid \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 Run together (so-called hypallage). "Your radiant prosperity."
 The rhythm connects it with $\sigma \pi \epsilon \cdot \rho \mu(a)$, and $\mu$. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \mu a$ is as easily understood as $\mu$ ópıцos viós (O. 2, 42). But the standing phrase $\mu o ́ \rho \sigma \iota \mu \nu \nu \eta \mu a \rho$ forces the other combination with ${ }^{a} \mu a \rho$.-256. 介 vúrres: "Or, shall I say ? night." The plur., as often of " night-





 tung. More about Kyrene in P. 9.

[^89]of success in administration, "by the devising of right counsel." These words link the conclusion to the myth, and ópOóßovגov $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu$ prepares the way for the wisdom of Oidipus and the saying of Homer. The Battiadai are a wise race; they can read riddles and apply proverbs that bear on the management of the state. Neither text nor interpretation is settled. A full discussion is impossible in the limits assigned to this edition. I give first a close rendering of Christ's text, which I have followed: "Learn to know now the wisdom of Oidipus. For if a man with a keenedged axe hew off the branches of a great oak and put shame on its comely seeming, e'en though its fruit fail, it puts a vote concerning itself, if at any time into the wintry fire it comes at last, or together with upright columns of lordliness being stayed it performs a wretched toil in alien walls, having left desolate its own place."-263. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\omega} \mathrm{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}}$. . . бoфiav: Twisted by the interpreters to mean "show thyself as wise as Oidipus." tày
 vos. P., to whom all Theban lore was native, is repeating a parable of Oidipus, and, if I mistake not, a parable of Oidipus in exile.-264. ÉEpeitq $\mu \hat{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$ : So Christ after Bergk, who has also
 consistent with P.'s handling of this form. On the other hand, ei with the subj. is found in comparison O. 7, 1.-265. $\delta \iota \delta_{0}$ ô $\psi a ̂ \phi o v$
 ऽєiv. "It puts its own case to the vote." "Enables one to judge of it" (Jebb), and so shows its quality. On $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ', see.O. 6,38 ; on aùzâs, P. 2, 34.-266. č тотє . . . 入oíctov: "If at last it comes into the wintry fire," $i$. e., shows its good qualities by burning freely. Although it cannot bear fruit, it is good for burning, good for

 oak forms a beam, which, stayed by the help of the upright columns, bears up the weight of the building. According to some, the beam is horizontal; according to others, it, too, is an ojp $\theta \dot{a}$ кi$i \omega \nu$, and the kioves $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ó
 $=a \lambda \lambda o r p i o t s . ~ r . c a n n o t ~ b e ~ t h e ~ " w a l l s ~ o f ~ a ~ h o u s e, " ~ o n l y ~ t h e ~$ "walls of a city." The oak is supposed to be the people, the ó ${ }^{\text {Koe }}$ the princes of the state of Kyrene, or the oak is the Kyrenaian nobility and the branches the members. But nothing seems clearer than that the oak is one. Who is the oak? Iason. But as Iason
would be the type of Damophilos, Arkesilas would be Pelias, which is monstrous. Are all these accessories of fire and column mere adornments? Or is "the fire insurrection and the master's oouse the Persian Empire?" Is this an Homeric comparison, or a Pindaric riddle? Why should not the 'wisdom of Oidipus' refer to the case of Oidipus himself? Oidipus is uttering a parable for the benefit of those to whom he had come as an exile. The parallel between the exiled Oidipus and the exiled Damophilos is one that would not insult Arkesilas, and the coincidences in detail between the oak and Oidipus are evident enough. Like the oak, Oidipus has lost his branches, his sons (ößovs), who, according to one version of the legend, perished before their father, his comeliness has been marred ( $\theta$ aךvòv eidos), the place that knew him knows him no more ( $\epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu$ é $\rho \eta \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau \sigma \chi^{\hat{\omega} \rho \rho \nu}$ ), and yet, though his fruit perish (каі̀ $\phi \theta$ เьóкартоs éoía), he can render services to an alien state, such services as are set forth in the Oidipus at Kolonos of Sophokles. By drawing a lesson from the mistaken course of his own people towards one of their great heroes, Pindar acquits himself of a delicate task delicately, and then, for fear of making the correspondence too close, breaks off. 'But why this parable? Thou art a timely leech.'
 parable that is becoming awkward.-imıkaıpóтatos: "That knovest how best to meet the time."-Пacáv: This is a Delphic victory, and the mention of the Healer is especially appropriate, as
 бкívat: In such passages $P$. delights to change the figure. $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ eías and émì $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a s$ suggest a building, кvßєрขaтíp forces us to think of a ship. The house suddenly floats. So. Ant. 162: đ̀̀ $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ ठ̀̀े

 the web of these fair fortunes is weaving to the end." The achievement of this restoration is at hand, is in thy reach.-276.

 in our Homer, but we must remember that Homer was a wide term, and P. may have had a bad memory. The nearest, and that


入ov é $\sigma$ дóv: P. means himself.-279. àүye入ias bp0âs: "A successful message." Everything points to a private understanding between P. and Arkesilas as to the restoration of Damophilos. D. paid for the ode, and one is reminded of the Delphic oracle and the banished Alkmaionidai. It would be very innocent to suppose that $P$. was really pleading for a man whose pardon was not assured. -iтé $\gamma v \omega$ : With $\pi \rho a \pi i \delta \omega \nu$, "had knowledge of." $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$



 does not necessarily follow from this statement of Damophilos' versatility that he was really young.-282. 'ү $\mathbf{y}$ úpoans: Adjective use of the participle in predication. $\pi \rho \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \beta$ vs é $\gamma \kappa$. $\dot{\epsilon} . \beta \iota o \tau \underline{̣}=\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma-$
 voice of the calumnious tongue.-284, ispifovra: Above we have the word, here the deed.

[^90]stress. Whoever chooses to hear in it the sigh of Damophilos "at last" is welcome.
'Er. 七y'.-293. oủdopévav voûgov: עóvos is a common word for any misfortune.-294. kpávą: The great fountain Kyré or "ring,"
 he can give himself up to the enjoyment of youthful pleasures. -

 -298. каí кє $\mu v \theta \dot{\jmath} \sigma a \iota \theta^{\circ}$ òmoíav, ктє. : The real apodosis to the wish
 he had found in Thebes was the ode that P. composed for him in honor of Arkesilas, the ode we have before us.- $\pi$ по́óqarov ... $\$$ evwet's: Cf.P. 5, 31. This does not seem to favor Böckh's hypothesis that Damophilos was an Aigeid and a connection of Pindar.

## PYTHIA V.

The fifth Pythian celebrates the same victory as the fourth (Pyth. 31, Ol. 78, $3=466$ в.c.), and was sung in the festal procession along the street of Apollo at Kyrene. The charioteer, who plays a conspicuous part in the ode, was Karrhotos (Alexibiades), brother of the king's wife.

For the legendary portion of the story of the Battiadai, Pindar himself, in these two odes, is our chief authority. Herodotos has given much space in his fourth book (c. 150, foll.) to the early history of the house.

The founder of Kyrene was Aristoteles, surnamed Battos, descendant of Euphemos, the Minyan, of Tainaros. From Tainaros the family went to Thera, and in the seventeenth generation fulfilled an ancient oracle by the occupation of Kyrene, which had been settled five hundred years before by the Trojan Antenoridai. Kyrene was founded OI. 37 ( 632 B.c.), and the throne was filled by eight kings in succession, an Arkesilas succeeding a Battos to the end. The rule of the Battiadai seems to have been harsh; revolts were frequent; and the Arkesilas of this poem was the last of the kings, and fell in a popular tumult.

This ode seems to be the one ordered by the king; the preceding ode was a propitiatory present from a banished nobleman, Damophilos.

In the fifth Pythian the theme is stated in the very beginning. Wealth wedded to Honor and blessed by Fortune hath a wide sway ( v .1 , foll.). The word $\delta \lambda \beta$ os is repeated with a marked




 $\mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda a \nu$ ảpєтáv (v. 98). There is a бvyүєע̀̀s ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ós (v. 17), al
 blessing of God. The power is given of God (v. 13). The glory must be ascribed to God (v. 25). The men who came to Thera came not without the gods (v. 76). God makes of potency performance ( v .117 ). The higher powers aid at every turn-Kastor of the golden chariot (v. 9) ; Apollo, god of the festal lay (v. 23); Apollo, leader of the colony ( v .60 ); and, to crown all, Zeus himself ( v .122 ). This iteration makes the dominant thought plain enough, and there seems to be no propriety in classing the poem " among the most difficult of the Pindaric odes."

After an introduction, then, which has for its theme the power of prosperity paired with honor under the blessing of Fortune, as illustrated by Arkesilas' possession of ancestral dignity and his attainment of the Pythian prize (vv. 1-22), the poet is about to pass to the story of Battos, founder of Kyrene, in whose career are prefigured the fortunes of his race. But Pindar pauses perforce to pay a tribute to Karrhotos, the charioteer, before he tells the legend of Battos, just as in 0.8 he pauses perforce after the legend of Aiakos to praise Melesias, the trainer. Such details were doubtless nominated in the bond. This time the honor is paid to one who stands near the king, and it needs no apology. The trainer has but one sixth of 0.8 , the charioteer has one fourth of P. 5. The transition is managed here with much greater art than in 0.8 , which shows the jar of the times. Karrhotos represents the new blessing of the Pythian victory as Battos represents the old blessing of Apollo's leadership.

The story of Battos is briefly told, as is the story of Aiakos in O. 8. True, he put lions to flight (v. 58), but it was Apollo's doing, and Battos is as faint in the light of Apollo as Aiakos in the light of his divine partners. He was fortunate while he lived, and honored after his death (vv. 94, 95), but we are not allowed to forget the thought of the opening, v. 25 : $\pi a \nu \tau i ̀ \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \in \dot{\nu} \nu$ ai̋tov $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \iota \theta \in \mu \in \nu$, a thought which is reinforced by the close also.

The rhythms are logaoedic in the main, but the strophe has a long Paionian introduction of sixteen bars (I. II.). Comp, the structure of O. 2,* and see Introductory Essay, p. Ixxiv.

The introduction proper (Arkesilas) occupies one triad, one is given to Karrhotos, one to Battos, the fourth returns to Arkesilas.

[^91]

 See O. 1, 22.-каөарậ: As ápєтá is "honor," so каӨapá is used of

 poet strikes the keynote of the ode: "Wealth with Honor" as a gift of God, who appears here as $\pi$ ó $\tau \mu$ os.-3. mapaסbvio5 ... àváyn: There is a festal, bridal notion in both words. For àdáyєเv, see Il. 3, 48; Od. 3, 272; 4, 534.-5. Єєóцор(є): This string is

 тє́ fo九 ... тєлєí $\delta$ ívacıv.-6. vıv: "Wealth blent with Honor;" but
 alêvos aкpâv $\beta$ a $0 \mu\langle\delta \omega v$ ä $\pi 0$ : Life is represented as a flight of steps. $\dot{a} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ тov̂ $\beta i o v$, says the Schol. The $\kappa \lambda \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$ aín ${ }^{2}$ is the lofty position to which Arkesilas is born. Kastor plays the part of $\pi$ ór $\mu o s$, and the king goes after the wealth that he is to bring home as a $\pi о \lambda u ́ \phi i \lambda o \nu$ émétav. For aị̀v fem. see P. 4, 186. - 9 . xpugaphárou Káctopos: The Dioskuroi, whose worship was brought from Thera to Kyrene, had a temple on the famous $i \pi$ то́кротоs $\sigma \kappa v \rho \omega \tau$ à óós (v.92). Castor gaudet equis, but the Dioskuroi were, and, in a sense, are still, sailor gods. The wealth of Kyrene was due to its commerce in silphium, its fame to its chariots (P. 4, 18; 9, 4), and Kastor represents both commerce and chariots. This sailor element suggests the next figure.10. evidav: The special function of the Dioskuroi was to calm storms. Comp. "the ship of Alexandria whose sign was Castor and Pollux" (Acts 28, 11), and Hor. Od. 1, 12, 25-32 : Dicam et Alciden puerosque Ledae, | hunc equis, illum superare pugnis | nobilem: quorum simul alba nautis | stella refulsit, | defluit saxis agitatus umor, $\mid$ concidunt venti, fugiuntque nubes, $\mid$ et minax, quod
 $\phi \theta \iota \nu=\pi \omega \rho i s a ̀ \iota^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \chi \in \iota \mu \epsilon \rho i a$. . . $\pi \nu$ oá. This is the storm of state in which Damophilos was banished. See introd. to P. 4.-11.
 down his back (P. 4, 83), and is well suited to the meteoric Kastor, called by the sailors of to-day St. Elmo's fire. - $\mu$ ákaıpav iotiav: Cf. O. 1, 11.
'Ayr. $a^{\prime}$.-12. бофоi: "The noble." From P.'s point of view
wisdom is hereditary, the privilege of a noble caste. P. 2, 88:
 Diagoras' straight course, despite his prosperity, is attributed to the hereditary balance of his soul. - 14. 'epónevov: "Walking." The first figure echoes still.-iv $\delta$ iкce: O. 2, 83.-17. "'x́t ovyyevis: I follow the MSS., though it is hard to frame a clear translation. $\dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ós is used as $0.2,11 ; 6,16$, metaphorically. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \grave{̀} s \dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ós is really $=\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \tau \mu o s$ (I. 1, 39). It is the blessing that comes from exalted birth. "Born fortune hath
 wedded to a soul like thine." Comp. O. 8, 11: бòv $\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho$ pas, "a privilege like thine." One cannot be born to higher fortune than to have thy rank and thy nature. Hermann's énei $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in{ }^{\prime} s$ is easier. "Since this born meed of reverence wedded to a soul like thine is a light of life." To be born a king, and to be of kingly mould, is a real ỏ $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ s$, a true ö̉ $\lambda$ ßos. J. H. H. Schmidt
 from ö $\mu \mu a$. "ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ s$ is not the eye as a jewel, but the eye as a guiding star." So $0.2,11 ; 6,16$ (cited above). Here he




[^92] figure of a procession, as v. 3: àváyn. No lingering bride delayed his steps. - 28. Өuyarépa: See O. 8, 1.-29. Өєдıгкрєóvтшv: The word, which occurs only here, seems to refer to the oracular institution of the kingship. P. 4,53: тò̀ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$. . . Фoîßos à $\mu \nu a ́ \sigma \epsilon t$
 31. v̌бart Kaotadias $\xi \in v \omega \theta \in i s:$ With reference to the usual lustration in the waters of Kastalia, and not merely a periphrasis for Pytho. Cf. P. 4, 299 : $\Theta \dot{\eta} \beta a \underset{\xi}{\xi} \in \nu \omega \theta \in i ́ s$.
 reins which were passed round the body (see fig. p. 170) often got


 8pómov тérevos: "Through the sacred space of the twelve swiftfooted courses." тє́ $\mu \in \nu=s$ is acc. of extent to the verbal idea in áкпра́roıs. Bergk considers $\pi о \delta a \rho к$ ќ $\omega \nu$ to be a participle $=\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu$. Böckh writes $\pi о т а \rho к \epsilon \in \omega \nu=\pi \rho о \sigma а р к є ́ \omega \nu, " h o l d i n g ~ o u t, " ~ \pi э r i=\pi \rho o ́ s ~$ being elided as $0.7,90$ : $\pi o \tau^{\circ} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \nu \nu$. On the number twelve, see $0.2,55 ; 3,33 ; 6,75$. The hippodrome was sacred soil, hence the propriety of té $\mu \in \nu 0 s .-34$. EvTé $\omega v$ ooivos: Comp. O. 6, 22 :


 Kyrene were famous (Antiphanes ap. Athen. $3,100 \mathrm{f}$.). The $\dot{\delta} \pi \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime} \sigma a$ gives the positive side of oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu$ above, and $\delta a i \delta a \lambda a$ can only be referred to the chariots and their equipment (ëvтєa) which were hung up as ảvaO'j $\mu a \tau a$ at Delphi, a usage for which, however, we

 (Bergk). The MSS. тó, "therefore" ("wherefore").-40. av $\mathbf{\delta} \rho \mathrm{p}-$ ávct: Why the especial mention of this Cretan statue \& Böckh thinks of a connection between the Cretans and the Battiadai. But the peculiar sanctity of the effigy is enough to account for


 that had an accidental likeness to a human figure, which likeness had afterwards been brought out by Daidaleian art.
＇Avt． $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ ．－44．т̀̀v evepyétav：Usu．referred to Karrhotos．L． Schmidt and Mezger make it apply to Apollo，and cite v．25．The only thing that favors this is the bringing in of Alexibiades，as if some one else had been mentioned．－ímavtiáaal：＂To requite．＂ The construction after the analogy of ajei申arөau．The subject
 The patronymic gives weight and honor．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ ：See $\mathbf{O} .1,36$ ．

 éxets，ктé．：He might have had the кá $\mu a r o s$ without the גóyoo．This furnishes the transition．－47．$\pi \varepsilon$ ©́á＝$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{( }$（Aiol．－Dor．）．Cf．O．12，12． －49．$\mu \nu$ а $\mu \hat{\eta} \circ \nu$（Aeolic）for $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu$（Bergk）．The MSS．$\mu \nu a \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \iota \circ$ ， Christ $\mu \nu а \mu \not \eta_{\imath}$＇．－тєббара́коvтa：The number seems high．Il．23， 287 there are but five competitors，So．El． 708 but ten．－－50．สecóv－
 9，33：árap $\beta \in i$ i．．．кєфа入ạa．Karrhotos owed the victory to his coolness．So did Antilochos in the Iliad（23，515）：кє́pסєбเข oṽ
 4，51．－áy $\lambda a \hat{\omega} v$ ：So Moschopulos for áyâ̂̀v．Mommsen reads

＇Eт．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．－54．$\pi$ óvwv ．．．ëreval：In another mood Pindar says，
 тà kaì đà véfuv：＂Despite its chequered course．＂So I． 4 （5），52： Zєùs тá $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota, ~ a n d ~ I . ~ 3 ~(4), ~ 51: ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ p ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta \iota o ̂ o i ́ . ~$ Success and defeat，good and bad，glory and toil．－56．múpyos

 of the aja日oi．The conspiracy was among the upper classes．－ б̆ $\mu \mu$ ：See note on v．17．－фаєvvótaтov：See P．3，75．－58．入є́ovтes
 $\tau \epsilon s \epsilon(\xi \omega)$ ，turns the old tale about．Kyrene was infested by lions， like the rest of Africa（leonum arida nutrix），until the arrival of Battos．According to Pausanias，10，15，7，Battos，the stammerer， was frightened by the sight of a lion into loud and clear utter－ ance；P．makes this utterance frighten the lion and his kind into flight．－тєрі סєípatt：$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ here takes the peculiar construction which is more frequently noticed with $\dot{a} \mu \phi \dot{i}$ ，＂compassed by fear，＂hence＂from fear．＂So Aisch．Pers．696：$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ тápßєє， Choëph． 35 ：$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ ф ~ ф o ́ ~} \beta \varphi$, Hymn．Cer． 429 ：$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{̀}$ да́ $\rho \mu a \tau \iota . — 60$.


 رavits. "One that effects naught by his prophecies."

ミтр. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ - 63. Bapteâv vóowv, ктé: : Apollo's various functions are enumerated, beginning with the physical and proceeding to the musical and the political, which had a natural nexus to the Greek. The development is perfectly normal. - 64 . dxéo $\mu a r(a)$ : The Kyrenaians, next to the Krotoniates, were the best physicians of Greece, Hdt. 3, 131. The medical side
 Silphium also had rare virtues. - 65. пठ́pev $\tau \in \kappa$ к 10 aptv: Comp. v. 107 and P. 4, 295. The moral effect of the riAapis (comp.

 blessing. Kyrene owes her very existence to the oracle of Apollo, P. 4, 53. - 69. $\mu$ агтn̂ov $=\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i o v . ~-~ \$: ~ " W h e r e b y . " ~-~$ ^akeठa\{цovt: The most important is put first and afterwards recalled, v. 73: ảmò $\Sigma \pi$ ápras. $\Lambda$. is geographically central, with Argos and Pylos on either hand. On év with the second dat. see O.9, 94.-72. Alyццьิิ : A Dorian, not a Herakleid. See P.
 power, the gift of the Muse, the fair state, the settlement of the Peloponnese-all these wonderful things are due to Apollobut mine it is to sing the glory of Sparta and the Aigeidai, who are bone of my bone and flesh of my flesh. By insisting on the ancient ties of kindred, $P$. gives a warmer tone to his narrative. Comp. O. 6, 84.- yapúev: So with Hermann and Bergk for $\gamma$ a$\rho v \in \tau^{\prime}, ~ \gamma a \rho v i \in \nu \tau^{\prime}$ of the MSS.
'Avr. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$-75. Oी́pavé : Thera is called Kallista, P. 4, 258.-76.
 may be stretched to mean "cousin," $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \in s$ may be stretched to mean "uncles." According to Herodotos, 4, 149, the Aigeidai colonized Thera, and were preceded by Kadmeians, c. 147. On the Theban origin of the Aigeidai, see I. 6 (7), 15.—où өeŵv äтєp
 nect ä ${ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \nu$ with what follows, but the divorce of à àd from ov

 fate in the imperfect, the special case of Aristoteles - Battos in
the aor., v. 87.-77. epavov: The Karneia was a sacred festival, to which each participant contributed. See $0.1,38 .-78$. éver :
 identifies himself with the worshipping multitude at Kyrene.
 Karneia, the great festival of Apollo Karneios, was transmitted from Sparta to Thera, from Thera to Kyrene.-82. Éxovть: Not an historical present. The old stock of the Antenoridai is still there. If not, they still hold the land, as Aias holds Salamis.
 - 83. Tpêes 'Avtavopifar: Lysimachos is cited by the Schol. as authority. A hill between Kyrene and the sea was called $\lambda$ dó
 seldom used of actual perception, not unfrequently in poetry of vision. I. 7 (8), 37. Aor. part. with i8єiv, P. 9, $105 ; 10,26$.
 торєs i̋ int тat: Not historical present. The Antenoridai are still worshipped by the descendants of the colony under Battos.-olxvéoves: Cf. O. 3, 40 ; P. 6, 4.-87. 'A
 The road was hewn out of solid rock, the occasional breaks being îlled in with small stones carefully fitted together; hence $\sigma \kappa v \rho \omega-$ ràv óoóv. This road was evidently one of the sights of Kyrene, and the remains still stir the wonder of travellers. -91 . $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \xi{ }_{\mu} \mu-$ ßpórous: See note on v. 64. - $\pi \in \delta \delta$ ıáסa: "Level." All care was taken to prevent ill-omened accidents in the processions.-93. 8ixa кeitau: Special honor is paid him as ктьoтís. So Pelops' tomb is by itself (Schol., Ol. 1, 92). Catull. 7, 6 : Batti veteris sacrum sepulorum.
$\Sigma \tau \rho .8^{\prime} .-95 . \lambda_{\text {aoce }}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}:$ The honors thus received are described O. 7, 79, foll.-96. трò $\delta \propto \mu$ árav: On either side of the road. The monuments are still numbered by thousands; many
 Өavóvтєs. - 98. reүá入av. . . 'Apкeбìạ: "They hear, sure, with soul beneath the earth great achievement besprent with soft dew 'neath the outpourings of songs--their happiness a joint glory with their son, and richly due to him, even to Arkesilas." Another reading is $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda a ̂ \nu$ áperầ $\dot{\rho} a \nu \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma a ̂ \nu$. Yet another, $\dot{\rho} a \nu \theta \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$.

The codices have $\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \omega \nu$, for which Beck reads $\dot{\nu} \mu \nu \omega \nu$ to save the metre. -99. ठро́бч $\mu$ алөakị: A favorite figure. P. 8, 57:
 pavecioav: The aor. part. is not very common even in poetry after verbs of hearing as actual perception. See v. 84. - vimè

 $\chi$ Oovía $=$ v́rò $\chi$ ${ }^{\text {Oovós. }}$ Fennell: "With such faculty as the dead possess."-102. $\sigma \phi o ́ v=\sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu . ~ O n l y ~ h e r e ~ i n ~ P .-б ̈ \lambda \beta o v: ~ T h e ~$ Scholiast refers this to the $\kappa \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{s}$. Grammatically it is in apposition to the whole preceding clause. тò $\rho_{a \nu} \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a t ~ i s ~ t h e ~ o ̈ ̀ ~ \beta \beta o s, ~$ the áкoŋ involved in áкovovtı. The honor is common to them and their son (comp. P. 6, 15), but it is peculiarly due to Arkesilas; hence the neat division of vị̂ and 'Aркєбi $\lambda a$, which should not
 -104. xpvoáopa: Hung with (the) gold(en фóp $\mu$ (yگ). Comp. P. 1,1. The same epithet is applied to Orpheus, fr. X. 8, 10.
 Both adj.-Samavâv: The inevitable other side, never forgotten by the thrifty Greek. Cf. O.5, 15: $\pi$ óvos 8amáva тє.-108. גeүó$\mu \mathrm{evov}$ épéa : I can only say what all the world says. See P. 3, 2:
 of Damophilos, P. 4, 280.-110. фép $\beta$ erau: Used like т $\boldsymbol{\text { é } \phi \epsilon t . - 1 1 4 . ~}$ iv . . . Moíacat: Not "in musical arts," which were colorless. He flits among the Muses (P. 6, 49), a winged soul from his mother's lap-not "taught by his mother dear," but as an inheritance from her nature.-115. $\pi$ é申avral : Now. Not to be supplied with the other predicates.-бoфós: See note on v. 51.
 $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho ı a$ калá embrace all the forms of generous rivalry in Kyrene.
 performance."-118. ঠцоía: So Hartung for MS. ©, Moschopulos"
 deeds and counsels.-120. $\mu \hat{\eta} \cdots$... xpóvov: Punctuate after ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. Asyndeton presents no difficulty in wishes. - $\phi 0$ voonmpis: The compound recalls $\phi \theta$ เvóкартоs, P. 4, 265. Comp. v. 10.-121. катà жvoá: So with Christ for кататуоá, к. with $\delta a \mu a \lambda i \zeta ̧ o t-\delta a \mu a \lambda i t o t: ~$ Bergk reads $\delta \nu o \pi a \lambda i \zeta_{\text {Sol. }}$-xpóvov $=\beta i o \nu$ (Schol.). "His lifetime," as O. 1, 115. Not satisfactory. Opóvov (Hecker). $\chi^{\lambda}$ дóav would
keep up the figure (Bergk).-123. 8a/pov(a): "Fate." Here it suits P. to make Zeus the pilot and the סaí $\omega \nu$ the oarsman. - 124. тov̂ro ... yépas: It is not necessary to change to $\tau \omega$ ủró, $0.8,57$. The desired victory was gained Ol. 80. - ërt: "As a crowning mercy." See $0.2,12 ; 9,120$.

## PYTHIA VI.

The victory here commemorated was gained P. 24 (01. 71, 3), 494 b.c., and was celebrated by Simonides also, acc. to the Schol. on I. 2. The victor, Xenokrates, was an Agrigentine, brother of

 The charioteer was Thrasybulos, son of Xenokrates. Böckh thinks that the ode was sung at a banquet held at Delphi in honor of Thrasybulos.

The theme is the glory of filial devotion. As the man that hath dared and died for his father's life, so the man that hath wrought and spent for his father's honor hath a treasure of hymns that nothing shall destroy, laid up where neither rain nor wind doth corrupt.

The simplicity of the thought is not matched by the language, which is a trifle overwrought.

The poet's ploughshare is turning up a field of Aphrodite or the Charites as he draws nigh to the temple centre of the earth where lies a treasure for the Emmenidai, for Akragas, for Xenokrates (vv. 1-9).

A treasure which neither the fierce armament of wintry rain nor storm with its rout of rubble shall bear to the recesses of the sea-a treasure whose face, shining in clear light, shall announce a victory common to thy father, Thrasybulos, and to thy race, and glorious in the repute of mortals (vv. 10-18).

At thy right hand, upheld by thee, rideth the Law, once given in the mountains by the son of Philyra to Peleides when sundered from father and mother, first of all to reverence the Thunderer, then of such reverence never to deprive his parents in their allotted life (vv. 19-27).

There was another, Antilochos, man of might, that aforetime showed this spirit by dying for his father in his stand against Memnon. Nestur's chariot was tangled by his horse, stricken
of Paris' arrows, and Memnon plied his mighty spear. His soul awhirl the old man of Messene called: My son! (vv. 28-36).

Not to the ground fell his word. Stedfast the god-like man awaited the foe, bought with his life the rescue of his father, for his high deed loftiest example of the olden time to younger men, pattern of filial worth. These things are of the past. Of the time that now is Thrasybulos hath come nearest to the mark in duty to a father (vv. 37-45).

His father's brother he approaches in all manner of splendor. With wisdom he guides his wealth. The fruit of his youth is not injustice nor violence, but the pursuit of poesy in the haunts of the Pierides, and to thee, Poseidon, with thy passionate love of steeds, he clings, for with thee hath he found favor. Sweet also is the temper of his soul, and as a boon companion he outvies the celled labor of the bees ( $\mathrm{vv} .46-54$ ).

The poem is the second in time of Pindar's odes. Eight years separate it from P. 10, and Leop. Schmidt notices a decided advance, although he sees in it many traces of youthfulness. The parallel between Antilochos, son of Nestor, who died for his father, and Thrasybulos, son of Xenokrates, who drove for his, has evoked much criticism, and, while the danger of the chariot-race must not be overlooked, the step from Antilochos to Thrasybulos is too great for sober art.

The poem consists of six strophes, with slight overlapping once, where, however, the sense of the preceding strophe (v.45) is complete, and the participle comes in as an after-thought (comp. P. 4, 262). Of these six strophes two describe the treasure, two tell the story of Antilochos, son of Nestor, prototype of filial self-sacrifice, the last two do honor to the victor's son.

The rhythm is logaoedic.

 Chrysels, II. 1, 98; variously interpreted. "Of the flashing eye" is a fair compromise.-'Aфpodítas: Pindar goes a-ploughing, and finds in the field of Aphrodite, or of the Charites, treasure of song. Aphrodite is mentioned as the mistress of the Graces, who are the goddesses of victory. See $0.14,8$ foll.-2. äpoupar:

 -ipıßpónov: Refers most naturally to the noise of the waterfall,
though the gorge was full of echoes, the roar of the wind, the rumble of thunder (v.11), the rattling of chariots, the tumult of the people.-4. váwv: The MSS. have vaóv, for which Hermann writes váıov = vaov̂, "of the temple" (cf. v. 6), Bergk and
 'Aкрáyavtı: Cf. O. 2, 10 : оїкпца тотаноv. Akragas, the city, is blended with the nymph of the river Akragas. See P. 9,
 tories is a treasure-house of hymns. - 8. жodvxpúrч: P. 4, 53 :
 from the field to the gorge, or rather the temple in the gorge, where the treasure is safely "guarded by walls."
 3. 4 : quod non imber edax, non Aquilo impotens $\mid$ possit diruere. -draktós: The rain comes from an alien quarter. Comp. the
 ipı $\beta$ pórov: P., with all his $\pi o \iota k ı \lambda i a$, is not afraid to repeat, as a modern poet would be. See P. 1, 80.-12. aтparós: The figure is perfect. Rain comes across a plain, or across the water, exactly as the advance of an army. One sees the $\sigma \tau i \chi \in s$ aj $\nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$. The wall protects the treasure against the hostile (érakrós) ad-


 common with disjunctives in English. In Lat. comp. Hor. Od. 1,13,5: Tum nec mens mihi nec color | certa sede m a nent.- $\pi a \mu-$

 to be rather loose stones, and may be transl. "rubble." - 14.
 not likely. The whirlwind drags the victim along while he is pounded by the storm-driven stones. The rain is an army (imber edax), the wind is a mob (Aquilo impotens).- трórwavv: The $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma-$
 nous by joy (P. 3, 75). Mezger: "thy countenance" (of Thrasybulos) after Leop. Schmidt. We should expect $\tau \epsilon$ óv, and we need the тє̣̣̂ that we have.-15. тarpl tệ . . . кotváv тe yeveq̣: $\pi$.
 dmayyedei: "Will announce to the discourses of mortals," will furnish a theme to them. Cf. P. 1, 93: дayv́et кaì 入oyiots каі̀

## NOTES．

 Xaîs：All run together，＂a Pythian chariot－victory，＂as I．2，13：

 pres．？Most frequently aor．，the form seems to be used as a pres－
 13，69．Another view makes $\nu \nu \nu$ the father，who stands on the right of the son in the triumphal procession．Bergk writes $\nu v \nu$ ，

 fied．She is mounted on the chariot of Thrasybulos as a mo入v́фt－ خos érétus（cf P．5，4），and stands on his right hand because up－ held by him．The word shall not fall to the ground．It is an

 for $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda_{0 \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{i} .}$ The teacher is to be emphasized this time．－ 22．©ı入úpas vióv：Cheiron，P．3，1．On Achilles＇education in the abode of Cheiron，see N．3，43．The Xєícolos vimo日 $\hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$ were fa－ mous．The first two of them seem to have been identical with the first two of Euripides＇three，Antiop．fr．46：$\theta$ єovis $\tau \epsilon \tau \mu a ̂ \nu$
 Verbs of privation connote feeling，hence often in the present where we might expect the perfect．Comp．$\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho o \mu a \iota$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}-$ $\rho \eta \mu a t$ ，privor and privatus sum．Achilles is parted from father and mother．－23．$\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \tau \alpha \mu_{\grave{v} v}$ Kpovi̊av：The meaning，conveyed in P．＇s usual implicit manner，is：Zeus above all the gods，father and mother above all mankind．－24．ßapuómav：Immediately



 himself．＂－каi $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma}$ тєрov：In times of yore as Thrasybulos now （кai）．－29．фépwv：With vó $\mu \boldsymbol{a}$ is almost an adjective，rotoûros тঠ̀ ע
 ßoórov．－31．AlӨьótcv｜Méprova：This version of the story is taken from the Aiөloтis of Arktinos．－32．Nєбто́petov：O．2，13．－

 ォóvıs $\eta$ ŋкópoto．In Homer it is Diomed that comes to the rescue

Still the death of Antilochos by the hand of Memnon was known to the poet of the Odyssey，4，188．－33．סaïx日eís：O．3，6．－Ефетєv： ＂Plied，＂＂attacked him with．＂－35．Meqбaviov：Not from Tri－ phylian，but from Messenian Pylos．See P．4，126．－36．Sonךөєĭra фрэiv：See P．1， 72.

 spot，＂hence＂unmoved，＂＂stedfast．＂－39．$\mu$ iv ．．．тє：0．4，


 the óm入órєpot，and the position accorded to him by them was the more honorable，as younger men are severer judges．－42．à $\downarrow \mathbf{\downarrow}$
 is strained，and it is hard to keep what follows from flatness， although we must never forget the personal risk of a chariot－race．

 due to a father．＂Not＂to the standard set by our fathers．＂ Antilochos was and continued to be an unapproachable model．

 өŋұиаи．

 48．สิธusov ovีӨ＇ท์mépomiov：On the omission of the first oṽтє，see $\mathbf{P}$ ．
 is common enough in English．So Shakespeare，＂The shot of accident nor dart of chance，＂＂Thine nor none of thine，＂ ＂Word nor oath ；＂Byron，＂Sigh nor word，＂＂Words nor
 he enjoys is not a youth of injustice or presumption．－${ }^{*} \beta$ av
 Cf．P．2，4．－לpyậs ôs imectâv ė $\sigma$ ôouv：This is Christ＇s reading． ＂Who art passionate in thy love of chariot contests．＂ópyạs construed like ópovés（P．10，61）．The inferior MSS．have eṽpés $\theta$＇，the better ópyais márais，which is supposed to be a gloss to
 mean ékévi？$\mu$ á入a Faóóvct vóq must mean that the spirit of

Thrasybulos had found favor in Poseidon's eyes. All the MSS.


 into construction with ajeißerat. To say that "a spirit that is sweet to associate even with one's boon companions surpasses the honey and the honeycomb " is a bit of sour philosophizing that does not suit the close of this excessively sugary poem.54. трךтòv $\pi$ óvov: Has a finical, précieux, sound to us.

## PYTHIA VII.

I'he seventh Pythian is the only epinikion in bonor of a citizen of Athens except N. 2. Megakles, whose victory is here celebrated, was a member of the aristocratic house of the Alkmaionidai, a grandson of that Megakles who married the daughter of Kleisthenes, tyrant of Sikyon (Hdt. 6, 127 foll.). Whether our Megakles was the son of Kleisthenes, the lawgiver, or of Hippokrates, brother of the lawgiver, does not appear. The latter is called simply $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime}$ 's by the Scholiast. The victory was gained Pyth. 25 (Ol. 72, 3), the year of the battle of Marathon. Whether the Pythian games were celebrated and the ode composed before the battle or not is a question that has led to elaborate discussion, which cannot be presented here even in summary. Pindar's patriotism, so dear to many, so doubtful to some, is thought to be at stake; but we have to do with Pindar the poet, rather than Pindar the patriot; and all that can be said in this place is, that even if the ode was composed and performed after the battle, there were reasons enough why the poet should not have referred distinctly to a victory, the greatness of which was not necessary to make Athens great enough for poetry; a victory which would not have been a pleasant theme for the Alkmaionidai, on account of the suspicions of treachery that attached to them (Hdt. 6, 115).

Athens is the fairest preface of song, the fairest foundation of a monument of praise to the Alkmaionidai for their victory in the chariot-race. No fatherland, no house, whose name is greater praise throughout Greece (vv. 1-6).

The story of the Erechtheidai haunts every city, for they made the temple of Apollo in divine Pytho a marvel to behold. That were enough, but I am led to further song by five Isthmian victories, one o'ertopping victory at Olympia, and two from Pytho (vv. 7-12).

## NOTES.

These have been won by you that now are and by your forefathers. My heart is full of joy at this new good-fortune. What though noble acts bave for their requital envy? Abiding happiness brings with it now this, now that (vv. 13-17).

Mezger sees in this ode a complete poem, not a fragment, as L. Schmidt does. No part of an epinikion, he says, is wanting. Praises of the victor, the victory, the family, the city, the god of the games, form the usual garland. In the heart of the poem stands the great act of piety, the building of the Delphic temple. The victories of the Alkmaionidai are a reward of their service to Apollo. The citizens are not all so grateful as the god, but their envy is only an assurance of abiding happiness.

So short a poem does not call for an elaborate analysis. Chiefly noteworthy is the way in which each member of the triad balances itself. The strophe has to do with Athens and the Alkmaionidai, the antistrophe with splendid generosity and brilliant success, the epode sums up new and old, and sets off abiding happiness against the envy which it costs. Compare the structure of 0.12 .

The measures are logaoedic.
 ミupákoral. As this is poetry, there is no need of scrutinizing the epithet closely with reference to the period. Solon calls Athens $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu \quad \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu$. Herodotos, writing of the end of the sixth cen-

 blest opening for a song in honor of the Alkmaionidai. $\pi \rho$. is the prelude sung before the foundation is laid.- $\gamma \in v \in \underset{\text { â. ...immoton: }}{\text { : }}$ The double dat. is ngt harsh if we connect, after Pindar's manner,


 architectural image recalls the service that the Alkmaionidai had
 is instructive for the old dat. conception of the inf.-4. तárpar: Cannot be "clan" here. It must refer to Athens, as oikoy to the Alkmaionidai.-valovr': With riva. "Whom shall I name as inhabiting a fatherland, whom a house more illustrious of report
 oikov ;) P.'s usual way of changing the form of a proposition.
yaiov is the reading of all the MSS．The Scholia read vaiovr＇， as they show by oikoûvra．No conjecture yet made commends itself irresistibly．－6．тüéc⿴at：Epexegetic infinitive．
 （Schol．）．Cf，O．12， 19 ：$\delta \mu \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \pi a \rho '$ oikeiaıs ápov́paıs．The story is at home，is familiar as household words．－8．＇Epex日éos $\boldsymbol{z}_{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ：Indication of ancient descent．Comp．O．13，14：$\pi$ nióes A入áta．P．includes Athens in the glory of the liberality．－reóv $v \in \delta o f \mu o v:$ When the temple of Delphi，which had been burned O1． $58,1=548$ в．c．），was rebuilt，the Alkmaionidai，then in exile， took the contract for the façade，and carried it out in an expen－ 3ive marble instead of a cheap stone（Hdt．5，62）．－9．Өaךтóv＝
 ＂yovet $\delta 6$ ：P．is not allowed to linger on this theme．Other

 kind of $\lambda$ 九то́тŋs．＂I have no little joy．＂－тò $\delta^{\prime}$ áxvvpat：＂But
 Betal．Instructive for the peculiar Attic construction with verbs
 ＂requiting．＂－16．$\gamma^{e} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mu} \mathbf{v}$ ：＂Howbeit．＂$\mu a ́ \nu$ meets an objection， made or to be made，$\gamma \epsilon$ limits the utterance to фavri．Comp．O． 13,$104 ;$ P． 1,17 ；N．8， 50 ；I． 3 （4），18．＂Yet they say that thus prosperity that abideth in bloom for a man brings with it thais cald that＂（good anỏ Bad），or，analyzed，oũt
 necessary to abiding fortune．Perbetual success provokes more than envy of men，the Nemesis of God．We hear the old Poly－ krates note．－17．тà kaì тá：Here＂good and bad．＂as L． 3 （4）． 51.

## PYTHIA VIII.

Aristomenes of Aigina, the son of Xenarkes, belonged to the clan of the Midylidai, and had good examples to follow in his own family. One of his uncles, Theognetos, was victorious at Olympia, another, Kleitomachos, at the Isthmian games, both in wrestling, for which Aristomenes was to be distinguished. His victories at Megara, at Marathon, in Aigina, were crowned by success at the Pythian games. It is tolerably evident that at the time of this ode he was passing from the ranks of the boywrestlers (v. 78). No mention is made of the trainer, a character who occupies so much space in 0.8.
P. was, in all likelihood, present at the games (v. 59). The poem seems to have been composed for the celebration in Aigina -comp. гó $\theta_{\iota}$ (v. 64), which points to distant Delphi, and note that Hesychia, and not Apollo, is invoked at the outset of the ode.

What is the date? According to the Schol., Pyth. 35 (01. 82, $3=450$ в.с.), when Aigina had been six years under the yoke of Athens; but the supposed reference to foreign wars (v. 3), and the concluding verses, which imply the freedom of the island, led 0 . Müller and many others to give an earlier date to the victory, $\mathbf{4 5 8}$ B.c. Allusions to the battle of Kekryphaleia (Thuk. 1,105) were also detected, but Kekryphaleia was a bad day for the Aiginetans, because the Athenian success was the forerunner of Aiginetan ruin (Diod. 11, 78), and a reference to it would have been incomprehensible. In any case, $P$. would hardly have represented the Athenians as the monstrous brood of giants (v. 12 foll.). Mezger, who adheres to the traditional date, sees in $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$ (v. 3) an allusion, not to foreign wars, but to domestic factions, such as naturally ensued when the Athenians changed the Aiginetan constitution to the detriment of the nobles (oi тaұєis). Krüger gives the earlier date of Ol . 77, 3 ( 470 в.с.), or Ol. 78, 3 ( 466 в.c.). Hermann goes back as far as Ol. 75, 3 (478 b.c.), and sees in the ode allusions to the Persian war, Porphyrion
and Typhōeus being prefigurements of Xerxes-altogether unlikely. Fennell, who advocates 462 b.c., suggests the great victory of Eurymedon four years before "as having revived the memory of Salamis, while apprehensions of Athenian aggression were roused by the recent reduction of Thasos."

If we accept the late date, the poem becomes of special importance as Pindar's last, just as P. 10 is of special importance as Pindar's earliest ode. Leopold Schmidt has made the most of the tokens of declining power. Mezger, on the other hand, emphasizes the steadiness of the technical execution, and the similarity of the tone. "In P. 10, 20 we have $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi \theta o v \epsilon \rho a i s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa$
 aiтé $\omega$, ヨ'́vаркєs, $\dot{\text { j }} \boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a t s ~ \tau u ́ \chi a t s , ~ a n d ~ i n ~ P . ~ 1 0 , ~} 62$ we have as sharp a presentation of the transitoriness of human fortunes as in the famous passage P. 8, 92." But this comparison of commonplaces proves nothing. There is undoubtedly an accent of experience added in P. 8; and, according to Mezger's own interpretation, P. 8, 71 is deeper than P. 10, 20. Jean Paul says somewhere, "The youngest heart has the waves of the oldest; it only lacks the plummet that measures their depth." In P. 8 Pindar has the plummet.

Hesychia is to Aigina what the lyre is to Syracuse; and the eighth Pythian, which begins with the invocation $\Phi \subset \lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathrm{p}}$ v 'Hovxia, is not unrelated to the first Pythian, which begins with the invocation X $\rho v \sigma \epsilon \epsilon_{a}$ фó $\rho \mu \iota \xi \xi$. In the one, the lyre is the symbol of the harmony produced by the splendid sway of a central power, Hieron; in the other, the goddess Hesychia diffuses her influence through all the members of the commonwealth. In the one case, the balance is maintained by a strong hand; in the other, it depends on the nice adjustment of forces within the state. Typhōeus figures here (v. 16) as he figures in the first Pythian; but there the monster stretches from Cumae to Sicily, and represents the shock of foreign warfare as well as the volcanic powers of revolt (note on P. 1,72 ); here there is barely a hint, if a hint, of trouble from without. Here, too, Typhōeus is quelled by Zeus, and Porphyrion, king of the giants, by Apollo (vv. 1618); but we have no Aitna keeping down the monster, and a certain significance attaches to év $\chi$ ро́vఱ of v. 15.

The opening, then, is a tribute to Hesychia, the goddess of domestic tranquillity, who holds the keys of wars and councils,
who knows the secret of true gentleness (vv. 1-7), who has strength to sink the rebellious crew of malcontents, such as Porphyrion and Typhöeus-the one quelled by the thunderbolt of Zeus, the other by the bow of Apollo-Apollo, who welcomed the son of Xenarkes home from Kirrha, crowned with Parnassian verdure and Dorian revel-song (vv. 8-20).

Then begins the praise of Aigina for her exploits in the games, and the praise of Aristomenes for keeping up the glory of his house and for exalting the clan of the Midylidai and earning the word that Amphiaraos spoke (vv. 21-40).

The short myth follows, the scene in which the soul of Amphiaraos, beholding the valor of his son and his son's comrades
 $\mid$ éx $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ raıテì $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ( $\nabla .44$ ). The young heroes have the spirit of their sires. "Blood will tell." Adrastos, leader of the first adventure, is compassed by better omens now ; true, he alone will lose his son, but he will bring back his people safe by the blessing of the gods (vv. 41-55).
O. 8, another Aiginetan ode, is prayerful. Prayer and oracle are signs of suspense; and the utterance of Amphiaraos carries with it the lesson that Aigina's only hope lay in the preservation of the spirit of her nobility. What the figure of Adrastos means is not so evident. It may signify: Whatever else perishes, may the state abide unharmed.

Such, then, were the words of Amphiaraos, whose praise of his son Alkmaion is echoed by Pindar-for Alkmaion is not only the prototype of Aristomenes, but he is also the neighbor of the poet, guardian of his treasures, and spoke to him in oracles (vv. 56-60).

Similar sudden shifts are common in the quicker rhythms (Aiolian), and the Aiginetan odes of P. presume an intimacy that we cannot follow in detail.
P. now turns with thanksgiving and prayer to Apollo-entreats his guidance, craves for the fortunes of the house of Xenarkes the boon of a right reverence of the gods. Success is not the test of merit. It is due to the will of Fortune, who makes men her playthings. "Therefore keep thee within bounds."

Then follows the recital of the victories, with a vivid picture of the defeated contestants as they slink homeward (vv. 61-87).
"The bliss of glory lends wings and lifts the soul above riches. But delight waxeth in a little space. It falls to the ground, when shaken by adversity. We are creatures of a day. What are we?
what are we not? A dream of shadow is man. Yet all is not shadow. When God-given splendor comes there is a clear shining and a life of sweetness."
"Aigina, mother dear, bring this city safely onward in her course of freedom, with the blessing of Zeus, Lord Aiakos, Peleus, and good Telamon and Achilles " (vv. 88-100).

Compare again the close of 0.8 . This invocation of all the saints in the calendar is ominous.

To sum up: The first triad is occupied with the praise of He sychia, ending in praise of the victor. The second triad begins with the praise of Aigina, and ends with the Midylidai, to whom the victor belongs. The third triad gives the story of Alkmaion, as an illustration of the persistency of noble blood. The fourth acknowledges the goodness of Apollo, and entreats his further guidance; for God is the sole source of these victories, which are now recounted. The fifth presents a striking contrast between vanquished and victor, and closes with an equally striking contrast between the nothingness of man and the power of God, which can make even the shadow of a dream to be full of light and glory. At the end is heard a fervent prayer for Aigina's welfare.

So we have two for introduction, one for myth, two for conclusion. It is evident that the circumstances are too absorbing for the free development of the mythic portion. We have here a tremulous poem with a melancholy, note in the midst of joyousness.

The lesson, if there must be a lesson, is: In quietness and confidence shall be your strength. The only hope of Aigina, as was said above, is the persistence of the type of her nobility, but it is clear that it is hoping against hope.

The rhythms are Aiolian (logaoedic). The restlessness, in spite of Hesychia, forms a marked contrast to the majestic balance of P .1 .

 be more personal. Comp. v. 10.-'Houxia: A goddess. Comp.
 mans carried this still further.- $\Delta$ ikas ... Oúyartp: Eíp $\quad$ in (peace between state and state) is the sister of $\Delta i \times \eta$ ( $O .13,7$ ), but
${ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathbf{H} \sigma v$ xia $^{\text {，domestic tranquillity，is eminently the daughter of right }}$ between man and man．Cf．P．1，70：$\sigma \dot{v} \mu \phi \omega \nu=\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi i a \nu$ ，and if ＂righteousness exalteth a nation＂the daughter of righteousness
 O．8，1．－3．то入є́ $\mu \nu$ ：The Schol．understands this of factions （ $\sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon t s$ ）．But when a state is at peace within itself，then it can regulate absolutely its policy at home and abroad，its councils and its armies．This is especially true of Greek history．－ 4. клaî̀as viтєртátas：Many were the bearers of the keys－ПєıӨ＇் （P．9，43），＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { nıâ（Aisch．Eum．827，Ar．Thesm．1142），Eủ } \mu o \lambda \pi i ́ \delta a \iota ~\end{aligned}$


 personification to a point where analysis loses its rights．There is no $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \xi a \iota$ without $\pi a \theta \epsilon i \nu$ ，hence the exhaustive symmetry．He－ sychia knows how to give and how to receive，and so she teaches her people how to give and how to receive．－7．кaцpథ̣ סìv àтpeкєî $=$ є⿱̉𧰨каípos（Schol．）．

[^93]There is no allusion that we can definitely fix．－ik $\delta \delta{ }_{c} \mu \mathrm{w}:$ Adds color，as $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \delta \delta \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$, P．2， 18.
＇E $\pi . a^{\prime}$ ．－15．ë́ $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon v:$ Gnomic aorist，which does not exclude the plumping effect of the tense．See P．2，50．－iv Xpóvq：Cf．P．


 $\nu \Psi ิ:$ Instead of the circumstantial $\delta \mu a ̄ \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ к \epsilon р a v \nu \varphi ̣ ̂ ~ o ́ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o ́ g o t-~$
 จ．1．－19．＇قévápketov．．．víov：Aristomenes．O．2，13：¿ Kpóvie $\pi a \hat{\imath}, \mathrm{P} .2,18$ ：ढ̈ $\Delta \epsilon \iota \nu о \mu \in ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \epsilon \pi a i ̂ .-20$ ．тоíq：A wide term．Cf．P． 8，40．$-\Delta \omega$ рtê：：Always complimentary in Pindar（Mezger）－when he is addressing Dorians．
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime} .-21$ ．é $\pi \epsilon \sigma \varepsilon:$ The figure is like that of the lot（ $\lambda$ á $\chi o s$ ）， O．7，58．－Xapirmv：The goddesses of the hymn of victory．See O．9，29．－22．$\delta$ เкatómo入ıs：According to the genealogy of＇Hov－
 Olyeiv．－24． өlyoíara：P．uses $\theta$ tyeiv as an aor．，and I hesitate to follow the MS．accent Aijor $a$ ．Aigina has attained．－25．то入－

＇Avт．$\beta$＇．－28．т $\mathbf{\alpha} \mathbf{8 \epsilon}$ ：＂And then again，＂with the shift 8 é to an－ other part of the antithesis，a Pindaric device instead of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \omega a s$
 2，2．On tà $\delta \dot{\epsilon}, \mathrm{O}, 13,55$. －29．ä́oxolos：＂I have no time＂$=$ ＂this is no time．＂－ava日épev：To set up as an ảvá $\theta \eta \mu a$ ．Cf．O．5，

 the inscription of the votive offerings $(0.3,30)$ ．-31 ．$\lambda$ v́pq̧ ．．$\phi \theta$ é－ Үमatь：Cf．liquidam pater vocem cum cithara dedit．－32．$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ．．．кvion：$\mu \dot{\eta}$ sentences of fear are really paratactic，and are often added loosely．Comp．note on P． 4,155 ．＂I have no time＂$=$ ＂I say that I have no time．＂кvíनŋ：Lit．，＂nettle，＂＂irk．＂－rò
 62），тò $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi o \delta o ́ s ~(I . ~ 7 ~[8], ~ 13) . ~ द ̇ \nu ~ \pi o \sigma i, ~ " o n ~ m y ~ p a t h, " ~ a s ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \pi r o-~$ ס $\dot{v} \nu$ ，＂in my way．＂roáxò shows that the matter is urgent，＂my immediate errand．＂Dissen combines трáxoy ǐrw．But трáхоу is heightened by the poet to moravóv．－33．тed̀v xpéos：Thy vic－



＇En．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．－35．lxvev́wv：＂Following hard upon the track．＂







 $0.8,68$ ，for the propriety of the compound．－38．av゙ ${ }^{\circ} \omega v: 0.5,4$ ．
 －фépeıs：As a prize．＂Thou earnest．＂－39．＇Oıк入éos maîs：Am－ phiaraos，the seer，the just man and wise among the seven against Thebes．See O．6，13．His spirit speaks．－40．aivísaro：＂Uttered as a dark saying，in a riddle，＂as became an oracular hero．
 15．－44．Фuậ ．．．$\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a:$＂By nature stands forth the noble spirit that is transmitted from sires to sons．＂This is nothing more
 патрós（P．10，12）．Amphiaraos recognizes the spirit of the war－ riors of his time in his son and his sons＇comrades，hence the plural．＇Tafel gives фvậ the Homeric sense，＂growth，＂＂stat－ ure．＂The Epigonoi had shot up in the interval，and become stalwart men．So also Mezger．But how would this suit Aris－ tomenes？－46．8pákovra：The device occurs on the shields of other warriors，but it is especially appropriate for Alkmaion－ our＇A入кцâva－the son of the seer Amphiaraos．The serpent is mantic．See $\mathbf{O} .6,46$ ．
 expedition，was the successful leader of the second．－$\pi \rho o t i f q$ $\pi \dot{u} \dot{\theta}$ ：A breviloquence，such as we sometimes find with ${ }^{*} \lambda \lambda$ dos and ë́тєроs：ëтє $\frac{1}{}$ beside．＂The $\pi \rho о \tau \notin \rho a$ dóós was a $\pi$ á $\theta a$ ．Tr．＂before．＂－49．ivé－ xerat：Usu．in a bad sense．Here＂is compassed．＂－ 50 ．ठprıxos： Omen．See P．4，19．－51．тोे 8 foiko日ev：＂As to his household．＂ rò is acc．－52．ària mpáfet：＂He shall fare contrariwise＂（Fen－
 Aigialeus.
'Er. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ '- 55. "Aßarros: Abas, son of Hypermnestra and Lynkeus, king of Argos, not Abas, grandfather of Adrastos.-dyutás: On the acc. see P. 4, 51.-56. kal aùtós: As well as Amphiaraos.



 dar's neighborhood that served the poet as a safety-deposit for his valuables.-59. vimávracev: Figuratively, "offered himself as a guardian." - lóvts: As it would seem on this occasion.-60. eф́́ұато: "Employed." The dat., as with $\theta$ oroíra, v. 24. The prophecy doubtless pertained to this victory of Aristomenes, which P. describes with all the detail of a spectator. His relations to the Aiginetans were very intimate. The prophecy leads to the mention of the fulfilment.- ouyrovotot: Alkmaion, through his father Amphiaraos, was a descendant of the great seer Melampus.

 "A gift to be eagerly seized." Phil. 2, 6: oủX $\dot{\&} \rho \pi a \gamma \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \dot{\eta}-$



'Avт. 8'.-68. кaтà tiv ápuoviav: The MSS. have $\tau \iota v$ '. тiv = бoi is De Pauw's conjecture, and is to be combined with the verbal
 (not elsewhere in the classic period), like кaOopâv. "It is my heart's desire to keep my eyes fixed on agreement with thee at every step of my whole path " (of song). The poet prays for accordance with the divine in his own case, as he afterwards asks (v. 71) that the successful house of the Midylidai may ever have reverential regard for the gods. Others take evxopat as "I declare." The passage has been much vexed.-69. \%кабтоv $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\sigma a}=$

 mapéotake: $P$. is certain that Apollo stands by him as Justice does, but he looks forward to the future of the race: hence the
demand that the fortunes of the Midylidai should be guarded by reverence for the divine．On $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu . . . \delta \dot{\epsilon}, \mathrm{O} .11(10), 8$ ．With $\pi a \rho \overline{\text { é }}$
 of the gods，＂but can the gods have ömts for men as they have тцда́？（P．4，51）．一72．色＇́vapккs：Father of Aristomenes（cf．v．19）， addressed as the head of the house，as the Amphiaraos of our Alkmaion．－73．ci yáp tis ．．．raxavaîs：A mere foil to v． 76. ＂Easy success is not wisdom，as the vulgar think．＇Tis not in mortals to command success．Each man＇s weird determines now success，now failure．Have God in all your thoughts．Keep with－

 fools．＂Success is the vulgar test of merit，of wisdom．See O．
 P．5， 47.
＇Е $\pi . \delta^{\prime} .-75$ ．корибоє́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ：＂To helmet，＂where we should say ＂to panoply．＂The head－piece was the crowning protection，
 $\delta(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ ：Such success with its repute of wisdom．Comp．P．2， 57 ：


 ＂Tossing high in the air ．．．under the hands（where the hands can catch it）．＂Men are the balls of Fortune（ $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ ）．ímó with gen．instead of the acc．on account of the contrast with $\tilde{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon$ ，which suggests the gen．Bergk reads ívoхє $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，not
 for $\mu \eta$ катáßaıvє．＂Seek no further contests．＂Thou hast vic－ tories enough of this kind（v． 85 shows that his opponents were boys）．Aristomenes was leaving the ranks of the $\pi a i \hat{\delta} \in s$ $\pi a \lambda a \iota \sigma \tau a i .-i v$ Meyápoıs：O．7，86．－79．$\mu v \chi \hat{\psi}$ ：Marathon lies be－ tween Pentelikon and Parnes．－Mapa日ŵvos：O．9，95．－＂Hpas $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{*}$





 бuнáтєテби：In the other description（ $0.8,68$ ）we have gviots，
which some consider an equiv．to $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma t$ ．－кaк⿳亠 $\phi$ роvéwr：Liter－ ally＂meaning mischief．＂＂With fell intent＂（Fennell）．Cf．N．


 pendent on á $\mu$ í．See note on $0.13,15$ ．－86．$\lambda$ av́pas：＂Lanes，＂ ＂back－streets．＂－$\langle x \theta$ pâv ámáopot：＂In suspense of their enemies＂ would be perfectly intelligible．－87．סeסaүpévot：So with Bergk

 thing new（a fresh victory）at the season，when luxury is great （rife），soars by reason of hope（at the impulse of Hope），borne up by winged achievements of manliness（lyy the wings of manly achievements），with his thought above wealth．＂This is a de－ scription of the attitude of the returning victor in contrast to that of the vanquished．He seems to tread air．Hope，now changed to Pleasure（see P．2，49），starts him on his flight，and his manly achievements lend him the wings of victory（P．9，135： ттєра̀ Níkas）．From this height he may well look down on wealth，high as wealth is（O．1，2）．Hermann，and many after
 $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho o ́ t \eta \tau о s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \delta a \iota \mu o v i a s), ~ a n d, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ m o r e ~ s e r i o u s, ~ i n ~ d i s r e-~$ gard of P．＇s rules of position（see note on O．1，37）．Mezger con－ siders àvopéaes as dat．termini（for which he cites $0.6,58 ; 13,62$ ， neither of them cogent），and sees in é $\lambda \pi i \delta o s$ and ajopéas the prophecy of future success among men．\＆ß pótaros is not＂the sweet spring－time of life，＂but rather the time when there is every temptation to luxury，and when the young wrestler is called on to endure hardness．－91．ілтотt自ots：Comp．further O．

 doom．＂
 sis．－$\tau i \delta^{i} \tau$ ts ；$\tau i \delta^{\prime}$ oṽ tis；＂What is man？what is he not ？＂ Man continueth so short a time in one stay that it is not possible to tell what he is，what he is not．One Scholiast understands it as＂What is a somebody？what a nobody ？＂which is a clearer way of putting it．－okıâs övap：Life had often been called a shadow and a dream before $P$ ．，but this famous combination

 тоঠ$\omega \bar{y}$. The dream may be lighted up by victory.-97. Eneortv
 right, we must understand $\begin{gathered}\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \\ \text { as } \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \\ \epsilon \\ \pi i\end{gathered}$, "rests on." Cf. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi r \beta a i \nu \omega$. P.'s $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, with gen., is used of fixed position, O. 1, 77 ; P. 4,$273 ; 8,46 ;$ N. 5, 1. -98. фí入a $\mu$ а̂тєp: P.'s love for Aigina and his interest in her fate are abundantly evident in his Aiginetan odes, nearly one fourth of the whole number. Here, of
 "In the course of freedom."-99. кб́u!є: As always with the note
 бúv $\tau^{\prime}$ 'A $\chi^{\iota} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. See $0.9,94$, and for this special case comp. N.
 as god and heroes are connected here, by кai. The brothers of the first generation are coupled by $\tau \varepsilon \kappa a^{\prime}$, Achilles completes the line with $\tau \epsilon$.


HERA.
Coin of Elis.

## PYTHIA IX.

The ninth Pythian was composed in honor of Telesikrates of
 $\mu_{0}$, Pyth. 28 (OI. 75, $3=478$ в.c.). Telesikrates had previously distinguished himself at all the local games of Kyrene, had been victorious in Aigina, at Megara, and, after the race in armor, gained a foot-race at Delphi, Pyth. 30 (Ol. 77, $3=470$ в.c.). P. tells of the former victory only, and the poem must have been composed at the earlier date. Böckh thinks that Telesikrates had not returned to Kyrene when the poem was sung; nor, on the other hand, is there any trace of a кติرos at Delphi. Hence the inference that the performance was at Thebes. Unfortunately $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \tau a \iota$ (v. 79) proves nothing more than that the ode was not composed at Kyrene. Otfried Müller conjectures that Telesikrates belonged to the Aigeidai, and we have good reason to believe that Pindar was an Aigeid (P. 5, 76). The name Karneiades points to the Karneia, a traditional festival among the Aigeidai.

The acknowledged difficulty of the poem will justify a detailed abstract.

I sing Telesikrates, crowning glory of Kyrene, whom Apollo brought on golden chariot from windy Pelion, and made the huntress-maiden queen of a fruitful continent (vv. 1-9). Silverfoot Aphrodite received the Delian guest and shed winsome shamefastness on the bridal couch of Apollo and the daughter of Hypseus, king of the Lapithai, to whom a Naiad bore her (vv. 10-18). Naught did this white-armed maiden reck of loom or dance or home-keeping with her playmates. With dart and falchion slew she the fierce beasts of prey and gave rest to her father's kine, scant slumber granting to eyelids on which sleep Inves to press towards dawn (vv. 19-27).

He found her-he, God of the Wide Quiver-as she was
struggling alone, unarmed, with a furnous lion. Out he called Cheiron from his cave to mark the woman's spirit, and to tell her parentage (vv. 28-36). Whate'er her lineage, the struggle shows boundless courage. "Is it right," asks the god, "to lay hand on her and pluck the sweet flower of love?" The Centaur smiled and answered: "Secret are the keys of Suasion that unlock the sanctuary of love's delights; gods and men alike shun open union " (vv. 37-45). Thou didst but dissemble, thou who knowest everything, both end and way, the number of the leaves of spring, the number of the sands in sea and rivers, that which is to be and whence it is to come. But if I must measure niysp: with the Wise One - (vv. 46-54). .

I will speak. Thou didst come to be wedded lord to her, ana ㅊ ic bear her over sea to the garden of Zeus, where thou wilt make her queen of a city when thou shalt have gathered the islandfolk about the plain-compassed hill. Now Queen Libya shall receive her as a bride in golden palaces, lady of a land not tributeless of fruits nor ignorant of chase (vv. 55-62). There shall she bear a son, whom Hermes shall bring to the Horai and to Gaia, and they shall gaze in wonder at their lapling, and feed him with nectar and ambrosia, and make him an immortal Zeus and a pure Apollo, God of Fields, God of Pasture; to mortal men, Aristaios. So saying he made the god ready for the fulfilment of wedlock (vv. 63-72). Swift the achievement, short the paths of hastening gods. That day wrought all, and they were made one in the golden chamber of Libya, where she guards a fair, fair city, famed for contests. And now the son of Karneiades crowned her with the flower of fortune at Pytho, where he proclaimed Kyrene, who shall welcome him to his own country, land of fair women, with glory at his side (vv. 73-81).

Great achievements are aye full of stories. To broider well a few among so many-that is a hearing for the skilled. Of these the central height is Opportunity-Opportunity, which Iolaos did not slight, as seven-gated Thebes knew. Him, when he had shorn away Eurystheus' head, they buried in the tomb of Amphitryon, his father's father, who came to Thebes a guest (vv. 82-90). To this Amphitryon and to Zeus, Alkmena bare at one labor two mighty sons. A dullard is the man who does not lend his mouth to Alkmena's son, and does not alway remember the Dirkaian waters that reared him and his brother Iphiikles. To whom, in payment of a vow for the requital of their grace to me,

I will sing a revel song of praise. May not the clear light of the Muses of Victory forsake me, for I have already sung this city thrice in Aigina, at Megara (vv. 91-99), and escaped by achievement the charge of helpless dumbness. Hence be a man friend or be he foe, let him not break the commandment of old Nereus and hide the merit of a noble toil. He bade praise with heartiness and full justice him that worketh fair deeds. (So let all jealousy be silent. Well hast thou wrought.) At the games of Pallas mute the virgins desired thee as lord, (loud the mothers) thee as son, Telesikrates, when they saw the many victories thou didst win (vv. 100-108).

So at the Olympian games of Kyrene, so at the games of Gaia and at all the contests of the land. But while I am quenching the thirst of my songs, there is one that exacts a debt not paid, and I must awake the glory of thine old forefathers, how for the sake of a Libyan woman they went to Irasa-suitors for the daughter of Antaios. Many wooed her, kinsmen and strangers -for she was wondrous fair ( $\mathrm{vv} .109-117$ )—all eager to pluck the flower of youthful beauty. The father, planning a more famous wedding for his daughter, had heard how Danaos had found speedy bridal for his eight-and-forty virgins ere midday should overtake them, by ranging all that had come as suitors for his daughters, to decide who should have them by contests of swiftness (vv. 118-126). Like offer made the Libyan for wedding a bridegroom to his daughter. He placed her by the mark as the highest prize, and bade him lead her home who should first touch her robes. Then Alexidamos outstripped the rest in the whirlwind race, took the noble maid by the hand, and led her through the throng of the Nomad horsemen. Many leaves they threw on them and wreaths; many wings of Victory had he received before (vv. 127-135).

The ode, beautiful in details, has perplexed commentators both as to its plan and as to its drift. The limpid myth of Ky rene has been made to mirror lust and brutality. Telesikrates is supposed by one to have violated a Theban maiden, by another to be warned against deflouring his Theban betrothed until he is legally married to her. It is hard to resist the impression of a prothalamion as well as of an epinikion, but all conditions are satisfied by the stress laid on kalpós, which Leopold Schmidt has made the pivot. Mezger happily calls the
ode "Das Hohelied vom Katpós," "the Song of Songs, whicb


 amples to show that the laggard wins no prize. Witness how

 in war, shore off the head of Eurystheus (v. 87). Witness Antaios (v. 114), who caught from Danaos the lesson of speedy
 mos (v. 131), who won the prize by his impetuous rush in the race ( $\phi \dot{\gamma} \gamma є \lambda a \imath \psi \eta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \rho о ́ \mu о \nu)$. Mezger, who emphasizes the recurrence of aủtika ( $\mathrm{vv} .31,62,124$ ), shows, in perhaps unnecessary detail, that the poem breathes unwonted determination and energy, and thinks that it is intended to urge the victor to make quick use of his victory for pressing his suit to some eligible maiden. The poet is to be to Telesikrates what Cheiron was to Apollo. This view seems to me rather German than Greek, but it is not so unbearable as Dissen's rape and Böckh's caution against the anticipation of the lawful joys of marriage.

The puem has certain marked points of resemblance and contrast with P. 3. As in P. 3, the myth begins early; as in P. 3, the foremost figure is a heroine beloved of Apollo. There the god espies his faithless love-wanton Koronis-in the arms of Ischys. Here be finds the high-hearted Kyrene struggling, unarmed, with a lion. There Cheiron was charged with the rearing of the seed of the god. Here Cheiron is summoned to leave his cave and witness the courage of the heroine. The fruit of this love is not snatched from the body of the mother fordone, and borne in haste to the foster-father, but the child is taken by Hermes, in virtue of his office, is fed with nectar and ambrosia by the Horai and Gaia, and becomes, not an Asklepios, to perish in lightning flame, but an Aristaios.

In P.9, as in P.4, the myth comes to the front, the myth of Kyrene occupying three fifths of the ode. Iolaos dominates one fifth, Alexidamos the last.

The rhythms are Dorian (dactylo-epitrite). They are lighter than the norm (O.3), and hence are supposed to be a mixture of Dorian and Lydian.
 $\mu$ os originally wore shield，helmet，and greaves（Paus．6，10，4）， and is so figured on a celebrated vase（Gerhard，A．V．，IV．）． Afterwards the shield only was worn，which，being the heaviest，




 Cf．P．4，17．A further illustration of the subject is given by the description so often referred to，So．El． 680 foll．，where two of the contestants are Libyans（v．702）and their chariots Barkaian （v．727）．－отєфávшца：The result of the $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon i \nu$ ，rather than ap－ position to äv $\delta p a$ ．See P．1， 50 and 12，5．－5．тáv：Change from
 to wait for Aaroiठas，as the epithet is characteristic of Apollo， who is ákeєрєкó $\mu a s$, P．3， 14 and I．1，7，and the ode is Pythian． Comp．v． 28 ：єن̉pvфарє́трая ．．＇＇A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，and O．7，13．－6．xpu－
 áypórepoy．The myth，as many of P．＇s heroine myths，is taken from the＇Hoiat of Hesiod，a fragment of which opens the
 here has distinctly moגumpoßárov．－9．pitav：The earth is con－ ceived as a plant with three roots，Libya being one，Europe and Asia being the other two．The order from $\theta \hat{\eta} k \epsilon$ to oikeiv is note－
 єủjparov（c），，á入入oıбà（b），oikєiv（a）．So the Schol．
 specially honored in Libya．Comp．P．5，24．áp $\gamma$ ．refers to the sheen on the waves，the track of the moonlight．We have here the lunar side of the goddess．－11．$\theta \in \delta \delta \mu$ árwv：The latter part of the compound is still felt here．See $0.3,7$ ．Add to the in－ stances there given fr．XI． 40 ：Eєó $\begin{gathered}\mu a t o \nu ~ k e ́ \lambda a \delta o v .-12 . ~ b x e ́ \omega v: ~ D e-~\end{gathered}$ pends on éфаттоцє́va．On the construction，see $0.1,86$ ．Simply a natural bit of color．To make ó $\chi$ ．depend on ítéốckт as a whence－case is not happy．－$\chi \in \rho \mathbf{l}$ кои́фя：Often taken as $=\chi є \rho \grave{\imath}$ кouфıそov́r $\eta$ ．Surely the young couple did not need bodily help so much as moral sympathy，and it is a pity to spoil Pindar＇s light touch as well as Aphrodite＇s．－13．dri ．．．edvaîs：Dat．－loca－ tive of the result of the motion often with $\epsilon \pi i$ in Homer，regularly
 This ai $\delta \dot{\omega}$ s is the dpuós that binds the pair in wedlock．The inti－

 $\mu \chi \chi$ évra（comp．P．4，222），an anticipatory contrast to the light of love keípaı $\mu \epsilon \lambda$ taóéa moiav，that Apollo proposes（v．40）．For the
 $\tau^{\prime}$＇Aркєбi入a．＂And shed upon the pleasures of their couch the charm of shamefastness，uniting thus in bonds of mutual wedlock the god and the maiden－daughter of Hypseus．＂－14．ápuóלotбa：
 vimєрóтл $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$ ：The statues of the western pediment of the temple of Zeus at Olympia represent the combat between the Centaurs and the Lapithai．－тоvтáкıs $=$ тóтє，P．4，255．－16．үévos：Acc．

＇Er．a＇．－19．Taias Ouyámp：Not necessary to the sense．By putting the end of the sentence at the beginning of the epode （comp．O．1，23． $81 ; 2,17 ; 3,26$ al．），antistrophe and epode are closely combined，and the mechanical $a+a+(b)$ of strophe，anti－ strophe，and epode is avoided，and we have instead $a+(a+b)$ ． So J．H．H．Schmidt．－$\lambda \in u \kappa \omega ́ \lambda e v o v: ~ S o ~ L e h r s ~(a f t e r ~ t h e ~ S c h o l ., ~, ~$
 Bápous ．．．ósov́s：The to and fro necessary with the upright loom．－21．Seivav tép申tas oṽ̈＇ítapâv olkoupiav：The best MSS．
 metre demands oiкорtâ $\nu$ ，a form for which there seems to be no warrant．The Scholia show an old trouble．I have accepted Bergk＇s recasting of the passage－$\delta \in i \nu \omega \nu=\delta i \nu \omega \nu$ ，＂dances．＂ The monotonous to and fro of the loom would be well con－ trasted with the＂whirl＂of the dance．Maidens and banquets are disparate in Pindar．étapầ oíкovpíà is $=\mu \epsilon \theta^{3}$ éтарầ oìкovn piav，and this may help to account for the corruption of the text． －23．фабүáve：＂Falchion．＂－－24．$\eta$ ：With a note of asseveration，
 （bedfellow）is so sweet．＂－26．$\pi$ av̂pov ．．．ṽ $\pi v o v:$ Transposed with． Mominsen．$\pi$ ．＂scant，＂litotes for＂not at all．＂－ $\mathrm{imi}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda \varepsilon \phi a ́ p o t s: ~}$
 ava入írootra：＂Wasting sleep，＂brachylogy for wasting time in sleep．－$\hat{e}$ ќrovтa $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ dè ：Sleep is sweetest and deepest before dawn（suadentque cadentia sidera somnum）．Yet this is the time
when the huntress has no right to sleep. "This is the time," as a naturalist says, "when savages always make their attacks."

2rp. $\beta^{\prime}$.-28. $\lambda$ éovtl: Whether there were lions in Greece at that time or at any time matters not. There were lions in Kyrene, P. 5, 58.-29. ${ }^{\mathbf{~} \beta \rho} \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu$ : Used of the monster Typhōeus, $0.4,7 .-30$.
 tion.-lк $\mu$ єуápav: "From out bis halls," sc. Cheiron's. Called him out and said to him.-32. ăvтpov: Cf. P. 3, 63: $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$
 кeфа入ệ: A steady head is a compliment as well as à apaßєî крaঠiạ, which Schneidewin reads. Note the serenity of the heads of combatants in Greek plastic art. крaסia is unlikely with jँrop
 recognize in this the $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ Пıขס́apıóv ( $0.11,6$ ). Mommsen suggests ov̉к é $\chi є i \mu a \nu \theta \in \nu$, others see in кєұєiцалтає a plural. Comp. Curt. Gr. V. II. ${ }^{1}$ 223. I have no hesitation in following Bergk's
 such a maiden to have come into such surroundings except by accident.
'Avr. $\beta^{\prime}$.-37. Ëxє: "Inhabits."-38. үevieтar: "Tastes," "makes trial of."- ${ }^{\text {dikass }}$ : Doubtful whether the lion's or the maiden's, and, to add to the trouble, we have dretpáviov, "boundless," and àmespárov, "untried." Apollo has no fear for the heroine, and so, on the whole, it is better to understand "the boundless strength" of the maiden.-39. סofia: Especially hard to define. Plato's Euthyphron discusses тò ö́ctov. Grote translates óvıó $\bar{\eta}$ s, "holiness;" Jowett, "piety." Ammonios says: ö́cıу каї iєро̀̀

 the human right, is also the divine right, as Eur. says, Bacch.
 фépets. Perhaps the use of the word here is another of those strokes that serve to show that this is no ordinary amour. - $\kappa \lambda \lambda_{0}$ ràv Xépa: With the same epic simplicity as Od. 9, 364 : єípmuậs $\mu^{\prime}$ оैขоца к $\lambda v \tau$ óv. - 40. ทै pa; Not disjunctive, and best punctuated thus. Myers translates after Donaldson, who makes $\eta$ 万 disjunctive, "or rather on a bridal bed," $\lambda_{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \in \nu$ being the lectus genialis spread $\delta \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ év रovбє́oss (v. 60). Unfortunately fox all this legality, the Centaur, despite his refined environment,
the kov̂pat dyvai of P. 4, 103, understood रex' $\omega \nu$ to be nothing more than ev̉vas.-Toiav: P. 8, 20. Here of the flower of love.
 has been speaking in oracular phrase, winds up with an oracular hexameter. - 41. โapevis: "Inspired" (Fennell). But see P.4,10.-x ${ }^{\lambda a \rho o ́ v: ~ T h e ~ p a s s a g e ~ r e q u i r e s ~ a n ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ o f ~} \pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\eta \nu \grave{\iota}$ s кai $\gamma \lambda \nu$ кú (Schol.), which is better satisfied by association with $\chi^{\lambda \iota a}$ óv, "lukewarm," than by derivation from the root of ké $\chi \lambda a \delta a$ with Curtius. We have not here the "lively" horse-laugh of the other Centaurs; we have the half-smile of the great teacher. -42 . к datbes: $^{\text {See P. }} 8,4$, and add Eur. Hippol.

 "Secret are the keys that Suasion holds to the hallowed joys ut love." On Peitho, see P. 4, 219.-44. тоиิто . . . тuxєiv: This apposition serves to show the growth of the articular inf., sparingly used even in Pindar.-45. тотрติтov: $\tau v \chi \in i ̂ \nu ~ \tau о \pi р ю ิ т о \nu ~ є ่ ̉ \nu a ̂ s: ~ " T o ~$ enter the bridal bed." Not as if this applied only to the first time.
'Er. $\beta$ '.-46. 廿ev́bee dıyeiv: On the dat., see P. 4, 296. For the
 "Bland humor," "pleasant mood." Apollo is merely teasing the Centaur by pretending to ask his advice. Others, "soft desire," "guiling passion."- парфáцєv: "To dissemble," "utter in jest."
 plov... тé̀os, $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .: ~ " T h e ~ d e c i s i v e ~ e n d . " ~ T h e ~ f i n a l ~ d e s t i n y, ~ a n d ~$

 фv́ $\lambda \lambda(a)$ : Fits the woodland environment.-ảant $\mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ : The spring leaves are an army in rank and file, the sands are an army in rout

 opâs: From thy lofty height. Apollo is a okonós, and kará is
 $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \iota \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ (Schol.). "To match myself against the Wise One."
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}-55$. $\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{\prime} \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ : Effective position. The word is not neces-
 and gen. Kyrene becomes Apollo's wife. As A. was unmarried, it was easy to put the myth in this honorable form.-ikeo $\beta$ âorav:
 the aor．，O．7，61；8，32．－57．Dıdेs ．．．тоті̀ кâтov：Sce O．3，24，



 fection．He parodies Apollo．－ $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \iota$ ßúa：The nymph，claughter of
 abide，not és，as N．11， 3 ：＇Apıбтayópà ố́gat teòv és $\theta a ́ \lambda a \mu o \nu$ ．－ 61．iva：Always＂where＂in P．－alcav：Share．－62．auvika：Cf． v．31．－ouvte入é日etv Îvopov：＂To abide with her as hers in law，＂ ＂to be her lawful possession．＂Paley tr．＂To become an occu－ pier of it together with herself．＂Cf．Aisch．Suppl． 565 ：$\beta$ potoi

 －63．víтoเvov：With the good sense of $\pi о \iota \nu \dot{\eta}, \mathrm{P} .1,59$ ；тоірицоя， P．2，17，glossed as ä $\mu o \iota \rho o \nu . ~ " N o t ~ t r i b u t e l e s s . " ~$
＇Avt．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．－64．＇Eppâs：Hermes was not only the patron of flocks and herds，but also the great gerulus of Olympos．The Hermes of Praxiteles，with the infant Dionysos，is one of many．－65． ci0póvots：A note of majestic beauty．So Kleio（N．3，83）and the daughters of Kadmos（O．2，24）．Even Aphrodite as eṽ $\theta$ povos （I．2，5）is more matronly than she is as mocki $\lambda \dot{\prime} \theta$ oponos（Sappho）． On the images of the seated Horai at Delphi，see O．13，8．－ ＂$\Omega$ patot：The Horai，as authors of ápұaía бофiб $\mu а т а$（ $0.13,17$ ）， are well introduced here，but who would question the appropri－ ateness of the Seasons and Mother Earth as the foster－mothers of a rural deity like Aristaios？－Faiq：Great－grandmother of Ky－ rene（ v .19 ），if the relation is to be insisted on．－66．טinó：Vividly local，＂from under，＂＂from his mother＇s womb．＂See O．6，43．

 of these adjj．in－tos．Combine éntyouvióov with aủrais．aúrais is unknown to Pindar．See O．13，53．－－才aךбápevat：So Bergk for | $\eta$ |
| :--- |
| $\kappa$ |

 aúyais．－68．Өŋ́бortat：＂Shall decree，＂to which кa入єiv is epexe．

 necessarily synonymous，as Shilleto would make them here．－ 69．Zîva：Aristaios，an ancient divinity of woodland life，of
flocks，herds，and fields，is a representative of Zeis＂Apıotos（＇Aph бтaios），of＇A $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{0} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$＇Aypeús，＇A．Nó $\mu$ os．Best known to modern readers by the passage in Verg．Georg． 4,317 foll．－áyvóv：Used of Helios，O．7，60．－70．äүxıotov：＂Ever nigh．＂－èmáova：St． Anthony has taken his place．－71．кadeiv：Epexegetic inf．By insisting so much on the fruit of the union，the Centaur hallows it，and formally weds the two．－72．үáuov ．．．тèevtáv：Cf． 0.2 ， 19：ढ̈pyшу тė入os．－73．Zvrvev：Cf．O．3，28；N．9， 36.
 Oovs．－$\delta$ ıaitagev：＂Decided，＂as an umpire decides，hence＂ac－

 heroine are blended，as P．12，2．－77．viv：Kyrene，the city．－ Kapvetá ${ }^{\circ}$ ：A name of good omen，recalling＇A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Kápvelos． See P．5，80．－78．$\sigma u v \notin \mu \xi \in$ ：See O．1，22．－79．dvéquve：By the
 Ségetat：Shows that the ode was not composed at Kyrene．－80． ка入入เүúvaıкı тáтpq：к．not a likely adjective on Dissen＇s theory． See introduction．
 aye bring with them many legend；but to adorn a few things is a hearing for the wise，＂what the wise，the poets，those who un－ derstand the art，love to hear．P．＇s art in his selections among the mass of themes will be appreciated by his fellows．In this transition we have the key to the poem，for in all P．＇s chosen myths кatoós is atop－the kalpós of Kyrene and Apollo，the katpós of Iolaos，the кatpós of Antaios，of Alexidamos．－84．áкò coфоís：

 of Iphikles and nephew of Herakles，trusty companion of the latter hero．See $0.9,105$ ．This example of the headship of kaupós may have been suggested by the training of Telesikrates in the gymnasium of Iolaos at Thebes，by the neighborhood of the celebration，by P．＇s vow to Herakles and Iphikles（v．96）． Comp．a similar introduction of Alkmaion，P．8，57．－86．vıv＝тòv каıрóv．－Evjpucồos：The taskmaster of Herakles．See O．3，28．－ 88．＇A $\mu \phi$ ıтpúwvos｜бá $\mu a \tau t$ ：Before the Proitid gate，where there was a gymnasium of Iolaos（Paus．9，23，1）．See also 0．9， 105 for the＇Io入áov т $\dot{\mu} \mu$ ßos．－89．патротáтнp：Amphitryon－Iphikles－
 been exiled from Tiryns by Sthenelos.-90. $\lambda e v k i \pi \pi o เ \sigma เ: ~ C f . ~ O . ~$ 6, 85. Hypallage for $\lambda_{\epsilon} \tau \kappa i \pi \pi \omega \nu$.
 etymology of this word, see F. D. Allen in Am. Journ. Phil. I. pp. 133-135, who rejcets both Eappat and $\delta a t$, "battle," and looks to $\delta a i s$, "torch " ( $\sqrt{d \bar{u}}, \delta a F$ ). From the "fiery-hearted " of the Iliad, it becomes, acc. to A., the "high-spirited" of the Odyssey. Mezger's "doppelsinnig," as of onc divided between her mortal and her immortal love, has no warrant.-93. $\delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu \omega v$ : Iphikles and
 acteristic way of whirling off from the subject in order to come back to it with morc effect.-mapaßád入et: "Lends." Cf. тара-
 95. Өpíqavto: See v. 20. On the plur. see O. 10 (11), 93. The copiousness of the Dirkaian stream ( $\Delta \iota \rho к a i \omega \nu ~ \rho \in \epsilon \theta \rho \omega \nu$, Soph.) is emphasized by the plural. The name of Iolaos is heightened by this glorification of father and uncle, and the poet at the same time shows how he can avail himself of a kat pós to fulfil his vow.

 $\kappa \omega ि \mu \nu \quad$ äбoua. The к $\hat{\omega} \mu о$ is to fulfil the obligation that rests upon the vow. A much-disputed passage. $\tau \iota$ with $\tau \in \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon o \nu}$ is unsatisfactory, $\tau \iota$ with $\epsilon \in \lambda \lambda^{\nu} \nu$ may be made tolerable by litotes, "a great blessing." See P. 7, 14: रaip $\tau$ т. Hermann makes the vow refer to $\mu \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda i \pi o t$, whereas in that case we should have expected $\lambda_{\iota \pi \epsilon i v . ~ T h e ~ g r e a t ~ b l e s s i n g ~ m a y ~ v e r y ~ w e l l ~ b e ~ t h e ~}^{\text {a }}$ victory of Telesikrates.-кшра́бораи: The modal future. "I must needs," "I am fain."-97. Xapítwv: See v. 3. Nothing suggests prayer like successful prayer. On the asyndeton, see O. 1, 115. -98. кatapòv ф'yyos: To illumine the path of the victories of Telesikrates. On фéryos and фáos, see note on P. 3, 75. -Alyivq
 was a mythic king of Megara. The poet, as usual, transports himself to the scene where the victories were won. See P. 1, 79. -Alүivą $\tau \epsilon$ үáp, $\kappa \tau \in \in$ : : P. has thrice already glorified the city in Aigina and Megara, and vindicated there his poetic art, of course, in the praise of the victories of Telesikrates in these places. Now he hopes that the light of the Charites will continue to illumine his poesy (comp. O. 1, 108: $\epsilon i \delta^{\delta \epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau а \chi^{\dot{v}} \lambda i \pi \sigma \iota$ ), for he looks for-
ward to other themes．－99．Táv\＆（ $\epsilon$ ）：Dissen has róvofe．The poet says that he has glorified this city（Thebes）by celebrating the victories of Telesikrates at the places mentioned．T．evidently had close ties with Thebes，a $\Sigma \pi a \rho \tau \omega ̂ \nu$ ̧̧́vos，like Amphitryon． Others refer тávóє to Kyrene．
 from want of words．＂Pindar is fighting his own battles as well as those of Telesikrates．Comp．the passage O．6， 89 ：ápxaiov
 ＂by my work，＂＂by my song．＂Beck＇s фvyóvt＇would，of course， refer to Telesikrates．－101．тow̋vєкєv，$\kappa \tau \in \mathfrak{\epsilon} .:$＂Wherefore，＂as I have glorified the city，and Telesikrates has won his prize，let friend and foe alike respect good work done in the common interest
 $\pi \tau \omega v$ ：＂Violating．＂－¿גíow $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ е́povtos：Old men of the sea are al－ ways preternaturally wise．See P．3，92．Here Nereus is meant，
 word of Nereus is a light unto the path，and disobedience


 Would apply strictly only to $\epsilon i ̈$ tis àvácis，but $\epsilon i$ фidos is there only to heighten eil tis àvtáets．－104．oúv ve Síkq：So the MSS． and the Scholia．oviv $\gamma \in$ סike introduces a qualification that is not needed for ка入á．The praise is to be hearty and fair．$\pi \rho o-$
 Пa入入áסos：Armed Pallas（Tрıтоүéveta，＇Oßрıнотáтрŋ）was wor－ shipped at Kyrene，and weapon－races run in her honor．－107． тарӨєviкal пórıv：The Doric maidens of Kyrene were present at the games．The wish，as the wish of Nausikaa，Od，6， 244 ：ai yàp
 （the mothers）wished as son．＂The shift is sudden，and Hartung＇s ai $\delta^{\prime}$ for $\eta^{\eta}$ is worth considering；not so Bergk＇s awkward $\pi a \rho \theta_{\epsilon}$－ $\nu \iota k \hat{a}$ ，which destroys the color of ä $\phi \omega \nu o \iota$ ，and does not allow us to supply the complementary $\phi \omega \nu \hat{a}$ to the complementary $\mu a \tau \epsilon$－ pes，as Hartung＇s ai $\delta$＇would do．
 cially appropriate to Mother Earth（v．18）．Comp．P．1，12．－111． dot $\delta$ âv｜$\delta$ iquav：＂The songs are athirst，＂as＂deed is athirst＂（N．

3, 6), but the poet finds that he is quenching the thirst of his Muse, and would fain pause, but Telesikrates ( $\tau$ ts) reminds him that there is one more theme to call up-the glory of his ances-
 sleep, and this, too, is a katpós story.-113. кaì teज̂v: As well as the glory of the Thebans, Herakles and Iphikles. - $\pi \rho \circ \mathbf{\gamma}$ óvov: Plural, for though Alexidamos alone is meant, the whole line is involved.-114. "Ipara: The choice part of the country, through which the Libyans led the new-comers by night for good reasons, acc. to the story of Herodotos, 4, 158. As P. would say "Ipara $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ more readily than $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ "I $\rho a \sigma a$, it is not fair to cite this passage as an example of $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \beta a \nu$ with acc. See P. 4, 52. -'Avraiov: The father of the maiden (Barke) bore the samo name as the famous Libyan antagonist of Herakles.
 and thus gives special prominence to the epode, which here contains the кatpós-point.-Xpuooorteqávov: $0,6,57$ : тєртvâs $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon i$

 see O. 1, 13. - 120. фvтєv́wv: Of a deep-laid plan. So N. 4, 59: фútevé Fol Oávatov ék $\lambda o ́ \chi o v .-121 . ~ y a ́ \mu o v: ~ " W e d d i n g, " ~ n o t ~ " w e d-~$ lock."-122. тєббара́когта каi вктө́: One of the fifty Danaides (Hypermnestra) had saved her husband, N. 10, 6; Hor. Od. 3, 11, 33 ; one (Amymone) had yielded to Poseidon.- $\pi \rho \stackrel{\text { iv }}{\text { uécov ä } \mu \mathrm{ap}}$
 coming of midday." € $\lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ does not require an object any more than aipeî in the familiar phrase ó $\lambda$ óyos aipei.-123. yápov: No fear of repetition. See note on P. 1, 80.-124. aüríк(a): See v.
 0. 2, 46. "With the help of," instead of "by means of." 126. oxvicot: Opt. in or. obl. = ind. only with interrog. in P., as in Homer, except O. 6, 49, which see. First occurredce of fut. opt.

[^94]is doubtful for P . àv . . Oopóv may possibly be=àvaOopóv, but in all likelihood äy belongs to the opt. and gives the view of the principal subject, Antaios. Comp. Hes.Theog. 392: ôs ằ $\mu a ́ \chi o t \tau o$, imply-
 àцф': With 廿av́retє.-Fot: Does not depend on $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \lambda o t s$, but on the whole complex.一 $\pi$ en $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ois: The fluttering robe heightens the picture (v. 128: кобرŋ́бats). On the dat. see v. 46.-131. фv́ye

 Barka.- $\delta i^{2} \delta \mu \nu \lambda o v$ : In prose we must say $\delta i^{\prime} \delta \mu i \lambda o v$. With the accus. we feel the throng.- $\delta$ inov . . .
 $\dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \theta \lambda \omega \nu \pi \tau \in \rho \circ \hat{i} \sigma \iota$ xairay. On the prothalamion theory we have a parallel with Telesikrates.

## PYTHIA X.

A pectliar interest attaches to this poem as the earliest work of Pindar that we have, for, according to the common count, the poet was only twenty years old when he composed the tenth
 Pyth. 22 (Ol. 69, $3=502$ в.c.). The Scholiast says that Hippokleas gained another victory the same day in the single-dash foot-race (oradi $\varphi$ ), but no direct mention of it is made in this poem. The father of Hippokleas had overcome twice at Olympia as ó $\pi \lambda$ лтодро́ $\mu$ os, once at Pytho in an ordinary race. Pindar was employed for this performance not by the family of Hippokleas, but by the Aleuadai of Larisa. Dissen thinks that the ode was sung at Larisa, Böckh at Pelinna, the home of Hippokleas.

Always an aristocrat, at the time of P. 10 Pindar had not reached the years of balance in which even he could see some good in the $\lambda$ áßpos $\sigma \tau \rho a r o ́ s$. Here he simply repeats the cant of his class. He is what we may suppose the Kyrnos of Theognis to have been when he started life, and this poem is redolent of the young aristocracy to which P. belonged. The Persian war had not yet come with its revelation. "The Gods and the Good Men," that is his motto, but the good men must be of his own choosing. He believed in God, he believed also in Blood. The praise of Hippokleas, as aristocratic as his name, was a congenial theme. "Rich is Lakedaimon, blessed is Thessaly; o'er both the seed of Herakles bears sway." This is the high keynote of the poem-the name of Herakles, the pride of race. "Is this an untimely braggart song?" he asks. "Nay, I am summoned by Pytho and the Aleuardai, descendants of Herakles, to bring to Hippokleas a festal voice of minstrels "-Pytho and the Aleuadai, God and Blood (vv. 1-6). "For Hippokleas maketh trial of contests, and the Parnassian gorge hath proclaimed him foremost of boys in the double course. Apollo, achievement and
beginning wax sweet alike when God giveth the impulse, and it was by thy counsels that he accomplished this, but by inborn valor hath he trodden in the foutsteps of his father." Apollo gave the accomplishment, the father the native vigor-God and Blood again (vv. 7-13). "That father was twice victorious at Olympia, clad in the armor of Ares, and the field of contests 'neath the rock of Kirrha proclaimed him victor in the footrace. May fortune attend them in after-days also with flowers of wealth." May Blood have the blessing of God (vv. 13-18).

Now follows the moral, not other for the youthful poet than for the gray-haired singer, and Pindar prays for Pelinna as he is afterwards to pray for Aigina (P. 8, end). "Having gained no small share of the pleasant things of Hellas, may they suffer no envious reverses from the gods. Granted that God's heart suffers no anguish, 'tis not so with men. A happy man is he in the eyes of the wise, and a theme for song, who by prowess of hand or foot gains the greatest prizes by daring and by strength ( $\mathrm{v} v$. 19-24), and in his lifetime sees his son obtain the Pythian wreath. Higher fortune there is none for him. The brazen heaven he cannot mount, he has sailed to the furthest bound. By ships nor by land canst thou find the marvellous road to the Hyperboreans" (vv. 25-30).

Then follows the brief story of Perseus' visit to the Hyperboreans, a land of feasts and sacrifices. The Muse dwells there, and everywhere there is the swirl of dancing virgins, with the music of lyre and flute. Their heads are wreathed with golden laurels, and they banquet sumptuously. Disease nor old age infests this consecrated race.

The land of the Hyperboreans is a glorified Thessaly, and P. was to come back to it years after in O.3. What Perseus saw, what Perseus wrought, was marvellous; but was he not the son of Danaë, was he not under the guidance of Athena? (v. 45). And so we have an echo of the duality with which the poem began; and as Pindar, in the second triad (v.21), bows before the power of God, so in the third (v. 48) he says: '́孔oì ס̀̇ $\theta a v \mu a ́-$


And now, with the same sudden start that we find in his later poems, Pindar returns to the victor and himself. And yet he is haunted by the image of the Hyperboreans, and as he hopes "that his song sweetly sung by the Ephyraian chorus will make Hippokleas still more a wonder for his victories mid elders as mid
mates, and to young virgins a sweet care," the notes of the lyres and the pipings of the flutes and the dances of the Hyperborean maidens (vv. 38-40) come before him. Again a moralizing strain is heard. The highest blessing is the blessing of the day. "What each one striveth for, if gained, he must hold as his near and dear delight. That which is to be a year hence is beyond all ken" (vv. 61, 62). What is that but the rò $\delta^{\circ}$ aiєi $\pi а р а \dot{\mu} \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$
 poet has the eager clutch of youth ( $\dot{\rho \pi \pi a \lambda \epsilon ́ a \nu ~ \phi \rho o \nu t i \delta a), ~ a n d ~ a ~}$ year was a longer time for him in P. 22 than in Ol. 77. Then P. thanks the magnate who yoked this four-horse chariot of the Pierides, the chariot which would never be yoked on so momentous occasion for the poet (see 0.6,22), and the ode closes with a commendation of the noble brethren who bear up the state of the Thessalians. On them, the Good Men, depends the blessing of the right governance of the cities ruled by their fathers (vv. 55-72). The last word of the fourth triad is the praise of Blood, as the great thought of the third is God.

Leopold Schmidt has detected the signs of youthfulness in every element of the poem-in periodology, in plan, in transitions, in the consciousness of newly acquired art, in the treatment of the myth, in the tropology, in the metres, in the political attitude. In an edition like this the examination of so subtile a study cannot find a place. A few words on the general subject will be found in the Introductory Essay, p. lvii.

It is noteworthy that the triads do not overlap. Praise occupies the first triad; prayer, fortified by an illustration of God's power, the next two; hope takes up the fourth.

The measures are logaoedic. The mood is set down as a mixture of Aiolian and Lydian.

ミтр. a'.-1. 'Oגßia . . . $\mu$ ákaıpa: Climax. Asyndeton and climax remain characteristics of P . to the end.-3. 'Hpar入éos: The Aleuadai were of the Herakleid stock.-4. тi; конлєєш тарà кalpóv; "What? Am I giving utterance to swelling words untimely ?" This is Mommsen's reading, and more natural and lively than $\tau i{ }^{\prime}$ коитє́ш $\pi$ тарà кaupóv; "Why this swelling (prelude) untimely? with the implied answer, 'It is not untimely.' " -
 in Hestiaiotis, east of Trikka, above the left bank of the Peneios.
identified with the ruins near Gardhiki．－anést：For the sing． （as it were，＂with one voice＂），comp． $0.9,16 ;$ P．4，66；11， 45. －5．＇A入cúa ．．．$\pi$ aîठes：The Aleuadai were one of the great aris－ tocratic families of Thessaly．It does not appear in what rela－ tion Hippokleas stood to them．Perhaps he was the favorite，or aíras（Theokr．12，14），of Thorax，who ordered the song．Fennell， however，thinks that Thorax was the father．See v．16．－${ }^{\text {＇I }}$ mmo－ $k \lambda$ éa：The form objected to by Ahrens has been defended by Schneidewin on the authority of inscriptions．－6．àayeiv：As a bride to her husband．Comp．also v． 66.

 отратч̂：O．5，12．Pure dative dependent on àvє́є $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$ ．－$\delta$ חapvá－
 For the סíav入os，see $0.13,37$ ．－dvéєाтєv： $0.9,100 ;$ P．1，32．－10．
 ＂waxes a thing of sweetness，＂＂a delight．＂－тè̉os appxá $\tau$ ：The
 4．There were two $\tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \eta$ and two doxai in the $\delta i a v \chi o s$. The first
 Hence perhaps the position，though $\pi \rho a ̂ \xi \iota s$ ódoi $\tau \epsilon$（P．9，74） would suffice as a parallel，＂the end as the beginning．＂－12．



 see P．9，1．As the shield is the important part，the adjective is well chosen．－15．Baөuдєíp $\omega v$ ：So with Hartung for $\beta a \theta u \lambda \epsilon i \mu \omega \nu \nu^{\prime}$ ． $\beta$ ．seems to be a fit epithet for the low－lying course，adas，for which see P．9，124．Comp．also P．1， 24 ：$\beta a \theta$ eîà ．．．$\pi \lambda$ áка． The acc．$\beta a \theta v \lambda \epsilon i \mu \omega \nu(a)$ is tr．by Fennell＂rising from rich mead－ ows．＂－vimò ．．．$\pi$ étpav：＂Stretching along under，＂hence the accusative．For лérfay，comp．P．5， 37 ：Kpıбaîov 入óфov．－ 16．кратךбiтоঠа：Dependent on $\theta_{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ．＂Made prevalent of foot，＂＂victorious in the race．＂－野位iav：The position is em－ phatic，but the examples cited by Rauchenstein are all nomina－ tives，O． 10 （11），34．38． 56 ；P．12，17；I． 5 （6），30．35．The emphatic acc．naturally takes the head of the sentence．$\Phi$ ．is the victor＇s father；according to Hermann and others a horse

If Phrixos is an aristocratic Thessalian name, Phrikias might

 extremes are rhythmically near. Comp. Hdt. 1, 32: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i} \mu \bar{\eta}$ oi $\boldsymbol{r} \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$



 is unnecessary, nor need we take cïך as = cỉך ä̉ $\nu$. "Let him that is free from heartache be a god." "Set him down as a god."-22. yiverat roфois: "Is accounted in the eyes of the wise." More natural than $\dot{v} \mu \nu \eta r o ̀ s ~ \sigma o ф o i s, ~ " a ~ t h e m e ~ f o r ~ p o e t s . "-23 . ~ ठ ̊ s ~ a ̂ v ~ \chi e p o i v ~$


 with тuхóvтa. Cf. P. 4, 107.-тuxóvтa: On the aor. part. with î̀ $\eta$, see P. 5, 84. - oteфávav: According to the Scholiast, Hippokleas gained both סiavdos and orádıov the same day. See v. 58.-27. ó xá入keos oủpavós: Comp. the story about Diagoras, quoted in the introduction to O. 7, Cic. Tusc. 1, 46, 111 : Morere, Diagora, non enim in caelum ascensurus es.-28. 8̈rats . . . $\pi \lambda$ бov: " Whatsoever brilliant achievements we men of mortal race attain, he sails to the outmost bound." Combine $\pi \epsilon \rho a i v \epsilon \iota \pi \lambda$ óov $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ ह̈ $\sigma \chi a \pi o \nu$ with Rauchenstein and Leop. Schmidt. Cf. I. 5 (6), 12 : '́ $\sigma \chi a \tau$ tàs

 close of O. 3.-aydatas: For the word, see O. 13, 14; the pl., O. 9, 106. - 29. vavoi: On the omission of oṽтe, see P. 6, 48, and
 in the old MSS. äv is supplied by Moschopulos. In such passages, P. prefers kev. See v. 62; O. 10 (11), 22; P. 7, 16; N. 4, 93. Bergk, following an indication of the Scholia, writes rá $\chi^{\prime}$, the opt. being used in the old potential sense. See note on O. 3, 45.
 Bavparév: O. 1, 28.

[^95]Christ and Perseus, Pegasos and the foal of an ass are paralleled,
 -өєஸ̂: Apollo.-34. pȩ́ovras: The acc., as if èmıróvaats were $=$
 ering wantonness." "ֶ $\beta$ 覑 is "braying," and its accompaniments
 $\tau \omega \nu \Sigma \kappa \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ), and ö $\rho \theta$ oos in $P$. is regularly used of sound ( 0.9 , 117; N. 10, 76), as Mezger notes, but $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ cannot be explained away. On the sacrifice of the ass to Apollo, the musical beast to the musical god, see A. B. Cook, Journ. Hell. Stud. XIV., pt. 1, where this passage is illustrated by a fresco found at Mycenae representing two rampant asses with lolling tongues and leering eyes.-кvшठ́dinv: Properly used of "gnawing" (ravening) monsters; hence, as here, of untamed beasts of draught, Aisch. P. V.

 P. 1,84. "With such ways as theirs" to make her stay. "Such are their ways." These ways are next set forth.-णфerépotซt: See note on O.9, 84.-39. ßoaí: O. 3., 8: $\beta$ oà ${ }^{\text {a }}$ aủ $\omega \hat{\omega} \nu$, N. 5, 38 :
 music swirls with the dance and as well as the dance. N. 7, 81 :





 "blended" with the current of their blood. See O. 10 (11), 114.
 had escaped, not that they were not subject to her, but because they had satisfied her; they had been found guiltless before her.



 тєious фóßatot. The locks were snakes.-vactótaıs: The Seriphians. See P. 12, 12. - 48. ©avpáбat: "For wondering." "To rouse my wonder." The strict grammatical dependence is on


'En. $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$ - -51 . oxdrov: "Cheek," "hold." $\sigma x$. is a nautical
 пroás. Asyndeton in a sudden shift.-äyкvpav: The boat-figure
 Cf. P. 12, 12. $\chi \cdot \pi$. "reef," "rocky reef."- "petrov $\chi$ đov': "Let it go and grapple the bottom." The dat. is instrumental.- 52 .
 Do not land. Your bark will be dashed against the rocks of a long story. Your ship must go to other shores, your song to other themes, as a bee hies from flower to flower. Pindar lives himself into a metaphor, as if it were no metaphor; hence metaphor within metaphor. No mixed, only telescoped, metaphor. -auros: Is hardly felt as our "flower" or "blossom." This would make both $\mu \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \sigma a$ and $\lambda$ orov flowers, and P., even in his nonage, could hardly have been guilty of that.-54. హ̈re : Cf. P. 4, 64.
£ $\tau \rho$. 8'. $^{2} 5$. 'Eфvpaíwv: Ephyra, afterwards Kranon, was ruled by the Skopadai, great lovers of art. The inhabitants belonged to the stock of the Herakleidai, from Ephyra, in Thesprotia.-
 ${ }^{\text {'I I }} \pi \pi$ ok $\lambda$ ciav : The article seems prosaic to G. Hermann. Rauchenstein writes $\pi \circ \theta$ '. The other examples are not exactly parallel, but "this Hippokleas of ours" will serve.-"̈rt кal $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda$ ov: Even more than he now is, by reason of his victories.- oiv dot $\delta$ ais: Much more lively than dat8ais or $8 t^{\prime}$ aंotồ̂y. Cf. P. 12, 21.-58.
 that Hippokleas is passing out of the boy-stage. Comp. the allusions to love in P.9, esp. v. 107.-60. viéкvLge(v): Danger is a




 $=\epsilon i$ тúXot, $\sigma \chi^{\epsilon}$ €ot $\kappa \in \nu$. Similar positions of ä̀ are common enough in prose. Here the opt. with $k \in \nu$ is an imperativc. ápтa入éav $=$ és d́ $\rho \pi a \lambda \epsilon$ éo $\tau \iota$. "With eager clutch." Comp. P. 8,



salian magnates were famous for a rather rude hospitality. See

 was the magnate who ordered the poem. His relation to Hippokleas is obscure. - í $\mu$ àv motrvvíwv Xáptv: Acc. to the Schol. èmàv
 then be transitive, "panting to gain." But the other interpretation, " in panting eagerness for my sake," would be more appro* priate to the circumstances of the young and unknown poet. Thorax was a personal friend of victor and singer.-65. т68( $\epsilon$ ): "This" of mine.-«а $\mu \alpha$ Пьерíкv: Comp. 0.6, 22 and I. 7 (8), 62 : Moıvaîo äppa. This is for P. a grand occasion. - тeтpáopov: Böckh sees an allusion to the four triads, and sees too much.-
 ner, "lip to lip, and arm in arm," so that it should not appear which loves, which leads. Whether this refers to Hippokleas or to Pindar depends on the interpretation of $\chi$ áptv.

 daios were at the headquarters of Mardonios before the battle of Plataia (Hdt. 9, 58).-70. vópov: The state. Cf. P. 2, 86.-71. ivv
 MSS. have кєitat (schema Pindarioum), for which see 0.11 (10), 6. áyaOoícı in the political sense.-72. тaтpátat: Another mark of the youthful aristocrat. Besides, Pindar had nothing to hope for from the mob.

## PYTHIA XI.

Accordine to the Scholia, Thrasydaios, a Theban, was victorious, as a boy, in the foot-race, Pyth. 28 (Ol. 75, $3=478$ B.c.), the year after the battle of Plataia. He was long afterwards victorious in the סíavios, Pyth. 33 (Ol. $80,3=458$ в.c.), before the battle of Tanagra. The expression $\gamma v \mu \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma r a ́ d i o v ~(v . ~ 49) ~$ has led some to suppose that the earlier victory is meant. See the passage. The failure to mention the trainer of Thrasydaios may mean that Thrasydaios, like Hippokleas of P. 10, had outgrown his attendant, although in a poem supposed to be full of obscure hints we might see in Pylades and in Kastor the reflection of that unnamed friend. The ode shows that Thrasydaios belonged to a wealthy and prominent family. His father had been successful at Pytho (v.43), and another of the same house had gained a victory with a chariot at Olympia (v. 47). The song was sung in the procession to the temple of Ismenian Apollo, to whom the prizer was to return thanks for the guerdon of a victory.

Pindar calls on the daughters of Kadmos and Harmonia to chant Themis and Pytho in honor of the victory of Thrasyduio, which he won in the land of Pylades, the host of Orestes (vv. 116).

Upon this invocation - an unbroken sentence that extends through a whole triad and bristles with proper names-follows the familiar story of Orestes, which ends here with the death of Klytaimnestra and her paramour, Aigisthos, a myth which hardly seems to belong to a joyous epinikion (vv. 17-37).

If Pindar had kept his usual proportion, the story would have extended through the third triad, but, with a common poetical device, he exclaims that he has been whirled out of his course, summons the Muse to fulfil the promised task, and praises the achievements of Pythonikos, the father, and Thrasydaios, the
son, recounting how the house had won in the chariot-race at Olympia and put to shame their rivals at Pytho (vv. 38-50).

Then, putting himself in the victor's place, P. prays for a right spirit, for the love of what is noble, for self-control in the midst of effort. Hence the middle rank is best, not the lofty fate of overlords. But if the height is scaled, then avoid insolence. Such a noble soul is Thrasydaios, son of Pythonikos; such Iolaos, son of Iphikles; such Kastor and Polydeukes, sons of the gods, who dwell one day at Therapnai, one within Olympos (vv. 51-64).

The eleventh Pythian has given the commentators much trouble. In most of the odes the meaning of the myth, its office as an incorporation of the thought, can, at least, be divined. Here the uncertainty of the date and the unusual character of the story combine to baffle historical interpretation. Historical romances have been framed to fit the supposed fortunes of the house of Thrasydaios. The figures of Agamemnon, Klytaimnestra, Kassandra, Orestes, have been made to represent, now political characters, now political combinations and conflicts. What does the praise or the middle estate mean? What light does that throw on the question of the date? Or are we simply to say that the poem belongs to a period in Pindar's earlier career, when he had not yet acquired the art of handling the myth, and is the story of Orestes a mere ornament, without deeper significance?

The two main difficulties, then, are the selection of the myth of Orestes and the praise of the middle estate. Apart from all historical side-lights, which here seem to confuse rather than to help, the meaning of the myth of Orestes is given by the poet in
 true of all the figures in the piece-Agamemnon, Klytaimnestra, Aigisthos, Orestes. Pindar does not carry out the story of Orestes, simply because he feels that he might do what some of his commentators have done so often, and push the parallel between the hero of the myth and the hero of the games too far. So he drops the story, as he has done elsewhere-drops it just as Bellerophon is dismissed $(0,13)$ when his further fortunes would be ominous. The return to the praise of Thrasydaios and his house is, however, a reinforcement of the moral Pindar has just been preaching-the moral that lies in the myth-and when he reaches the point at which the house of Thrasydaios put the

Greeks to shame by their speed, he pauses and prays for moderation, the corrective of too great prosperity. This is all too high for him, the glory is too great. So, in the commonwealth, he chooses the middle station and dreads the fortunes of tyrants. The feats he aims at are within the common reach. And yet even the highest is not in danger of envy, if there is no o'erweening pride nor insolence. Witness Iolaos, a Theban, townsman of Thrasydaios; witness Kastor and Polydeukes, brothers of Klytaimnestra. Doubtless this is not all that the poem means but shall we ever know more?

The first triad is occupied with the introduction. The myth begins with the beginning of the second triad, but is stopped in the third triad by the whirl (v.38), which prepares the return to the victor and his house.

The rhythms are logaoedic.

 would expect a special office, as in the case of 'A $A$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ájvteús, for Semele is a special favorite ( $0.2,28$ ), and lives at the court end of Olympos. Ov. Met. 1, 172: plebs habitat diversa locis: a fronte
 0éa : Familiar from Od. 5,333 on. Comp. O. 2, 33.-3. àpıotoyóve : Mommsen reads (with the Schol.) ápıбтoyóvov, but Herakles does not need the adjective, and it is time for Alkmena to have it.-4. Medcav: Who bare Ismenios and Teneros to Apollo, Paus. 9, 10, 5.- $\chi$ pucé $\omega v$. . . $\tau p เ \pi \delta \delta \omega v$ : Golden tripods were sent to this shrine
 priest was chosen yearly from the $\delta a \phi \nu \eta \phi o ́ \rho o r .-5$. $\Lambda_{0} \xi \mathfrak{j} a_{5}$ : Oracular name in connection with an oracle. So P. 3, 28.
 (Schol.). The divination was $\delta \iota^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \dot{\tau} \rho \omega \nu .-7$. 'Appovias: Wife of Kadmos. - einivopov: With otpatóv. énivouov is glossed by oivvopov, but the other version seems more natural: tàs [sc.
 would then be proleptic. The bost of heroines is invited to
 daughters of Harmonia (v. 7) are to sing (v. 10).-8. калеi: Sc. Aogias.-9. ©́fuv : Gaia was the first, Themis the second mistress of the Pythian shrine. See note on P. 4, 74,-10. yâs $\delta \mu \phi a^{\prime}$ óv:
 tive. See O. 6, 24. - ăкpq नòv $\dot{\text { éonteqq: "The edge of even," }}$ "nightfall." See the commentators on So. Ai. 285, where Jebb translates this passage "at fall of eventide."
'En. a'. - 12. Xápıv: Apposition to the action. кє $\lambda a \delta \neq \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon=$


 $\beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu .-15$. ápoúpaเซเ Пv入áסa: The father of Pylades was Strophios, king of Phokis.-16. Mákwvos: Orestes was made king of Lakedaimon, acc. to Paus. 2, 18, 5.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} .-17$. тóv: The relative begins the myth, as often. See

 after Bergk's $\kappa \eta \kappa \kappa$ for the simple $\epsilon \in$ of the MSS., which gives a

 $\pi a \rho a ́ ~ n o t ~ s t r i c t l y ~ a s ~ i n ~ p r o s e, ~ n o t ~ " ~ a l o n g ~ t h e ~ s h o r e, " ~ b u t ~ " t o ~ t h e ~$ stretch of the shore."



 $\mu \sigma \gamma \sigma \mu$ évŋข. Fennell tr. "humiliated by another connection on Agamemnon's part." This would bring in Kassandra, but the sense cannot be extracted from the words. Pindar enlarges on the more shameful alternative, "guilty passion and sensual de-
 -rò $8 \mathbf{\varepsilon}$ véas, $\kappa \tau \mathcal{E}_{\text {. }}$ : Inevitable Greek moralizing, as inevitable to Pindar as to Euripides.

 oú $\mu$ ciova: Sc. тoû ổ $\lambda \beta$ ov. Prosperity is envied to its full height. The groundling may say and do what he pleases. No one notices

 the heights the words and works of $\delta \chi \not{ }^{2} \mu \eta \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \nu e ́ \omega \nu$ amount to
nothing more than an＂obscure murmur．＂The contrast is，as the Scholiast puts it，between ó émıфavis and ó áqavis．－31．$\mu \dot{i} v$
 iv＇Auv́k入aus：Homer puts the scene in Mykenai，Stesichoros in Amyklai．Acc．to O．Müller，Amyklai was the old capital of the Pelopidai，and the same city that Homer calls Lakedaimon．See Paus． $3,19,5$ ，on the statue of Kassandra and the monument of Agamemnon at Amyklai．

玉тр．$\gamma^{\prime}$－33．$\mu$ ц́vтьv ．．．кópav：＂Prophetic maid，＂or＂maiden
 ratos：Depende on＂ivat．＂Reft of luxury．＂Such a combina－ tion as $\delta o ́ \mu o u s ~ \dot{a} \beta \rho o ́ t a t o s ~=~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s ~ \alpha ́ ß \rho o u ́ s, ~ \pi \lambda o v \sigma i o v s, ~ i s ~ v e r y ~ u n-~$ likely．－$\delta \delta(\xi)$ ：Orestes．Return to the hero of the myth，v． 16. －35．ミirpódıov：See note on P．4，51．－véa кeфа入á：So with Bergk
 not conclusive．The appositional nominative gives a tender touch．－36．xpoví $\sigma$ oiv＂Apєt：Keep the personification．＂With Ares＇tardy help．＂－37．iv фovais：Notice the effect of the plural． ＂Weltering in his gore．＂Aeivat regularly with év everywhere．
 The rpiooos is the place where two roads go out of a third．
 note on Justin Martyr，Apol．II．11，8．＂The place where three roads meet＂is misleading without further explanation．－Tpiosōv： Notice the prolongation of the last syllable，P．3，6．－39．bpoiv
 of the sea，and the image changes．－40．©s $\delta \tau(\epsilon):$ Comp．O．6， 2 ：

 comp．O．5，72：тò $\delta^{\circ} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ．－$\mu \iota \sigma 0$ oio：In these matters P．is to us painfully candid．－$\pi a \rho^{\prime} \chi \in t v:$ As $\sigma v v^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon v}$ is a verb of will，the future is not necessary．－42．vinápyupov：＂For silver．＂The double meaning of＂silver voice＂is plain enough．Much disputed is I．

 now that－now to father，anon to son．＂P．has already flitted from land（rpiooov）to water（ $\pi \lambda$ óov）．

[^96] $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \mid \mu a \lambda \epsilon \rho a i s ~ \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ aoıóais. For the sing. of a welded pair, see P. 10, 10, and for English usage Fitzedward Hall in Am. Journ. of Phil. II. p. 424.-46. dv ăpraar: Cf. P. 2, 4 : $\tau \in \tau \rho a o_{-}$




 dmi otádiov: "The bare course," usually opposed to the $\dot{o} \pi \lambda i \neq \eta s$ $8 \rho o ́ \mu o s$, as I. 1, 23. Here the course, where the runner has noth-

 person when he desires to put himself in the place of the victor ( $0.3,45 ;$ P. 3,110 ). A familiar trick of familiar speech, and suited to the easy terms on which P. stood with most of his "patrons." The sense "May the gods so guide my love for that which is fair that I may not go beyond the limit of my power." Others: $\theta_{\epsilon}{ }^{\circ} \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$, "The goods the gods provide." There is not the least necessity for considering épaíuà as = '́ $\rho a i \mu a \nu a ̈ ้ \nu .-$

 $\lambda t v, \kappa \tau \mathcal{E}_{\text {. }}$ : Some see in this an oblique reference to the men who were carrying things with a high hand at Thebes in 478 B.c. For the condition of Thebes at the time of the Persian war, see


 reading, which is unmetrical (Bergk). $\mu .=\mu \in i \zeta_{o v}$. See P. 2, 26 : $\mu$ ак $\rho \dot{\partial} \nu$ ö $\lambda \beta о \nu$.
 ments that are within the reach of all, that are open to all (Dissen). Mezger prefers "Excellences that inure to the good of
 101. Jebb: "Those virtues move my zeal which serve the folk." But the stress is laid directly on the avoidance of envy.-Tírapas: "I am at full stretch" as it were, with his arms about the prize.
 -55. む่тaь: The MSS, have äтả, ä́тą. The dat. makes no satis-
factory sense．á $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ occurs only once more in P．，and then in the common sense＂to ward off＂（I． 6 ［7］，27）．＂The evil workings of envy are warded off＂（pass．）makes a tolerable sense．This，of course，makes $\phi \theta$ ovepoi fem．，for which we have analogy elsewhere．ärat would embrace both human and divine （Mezger）．ảrat，as a masc．nom．plur．，＂mischief－makers，＂＂work－ ers of ärn，＂would account for $\phi \theta 0 \nu \in p o i$. For the metre read

 the passage after Bergk with no great confidence．＂A fairer end in black death does he find（than the i $\beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau a i$ ），having be－ queathed to his sweet race the favor of a good name，the highest of treasures．＂－58．крáтьสтov：So Bergk for кратiбтay．
 transmitted glory he does not forget the genealogy of Iolaos and of the Dioskuroi．－60．8ca申efet：＂Spreads［the fame］abroad．＂ －＇Iódaov：Iolaos and Kastor are coupled，I．1，16．30，as the
 Polydeukes was the son of Zeus，and when Kastor fell，Zeus said to Polydeukes（N．10，85）：єỉ ס̀̀ каб九үvírov $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho t \mid \mu a ́ \rho \nu a \sigma a t, \pi a ́ p-$

 ＂Day about，＂＂every other day．＂－Oєpánvas：I．1， 31 ：Tvióapí⿱ias
 $k \in \dot{v} \theta \in \sigma \iota$ yaias év $\gamma v a ́ \lambda o l \sigma \iota ~ \Theta є \rho a ́ \pi \nu a s$ ．On the left bank of the Eurotas，where the MenelaYon commanded Sparta as the Janicu－ lum Rome．＂Nowhere does ancient Sparta come so vividly be－ fore the traveller as on the high plateau of Therapne，with its far－reaching view＂（E．Curtius）．


HBRAKELES STRINGING BOW．
Coin of Thebes．

## PYTHIA XII.

Mrdas of Akragas, a famous aủ $\lambda \eta \tau \eta$ ', master of the Athenian Lamprokles, who in his turn taught Sophokles and Damon, was victorious in aṽ̉ $\eta \sigma$ ts twice, Pyth. 24 and 25 , and likewise, according to the Scholia, at the Panathenaic games. We do not know positively for which of the two victories at Pytho this poem was composed; but if Pindar had been celebrating the second victory, he would, according to his usual manner, have mentioned the first. If this is the first victory, the poem belongs to the same year with P. 6 ( 494 b.c.), in which Pindar celebrated the success of another Agrigentine, his friend Xenokrates, brother of 'Theron, and we have in P. 12 one of Pindar's earliest odes.

The contest in aủ $\lambda \propto \delta i i^{\prime}$ (song with flute accompaniment) was abolished at the second Pythiad, and the game at which Midas won was the $\psi \iota \lambda \grave{\eta} a v ̃ \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$. The antique aúnós, like the old English flute, was a kind of clarionet, with a metallic mouth-piece, and one or two tongues or reeds. Midas had the ill-luck to break the mouth-piece of his flute, but continued his playing, to the great delight of his audience, and succeeded in winning the prize.

The poem is constructed on the usual Pindaric lines. It announces the victory, tells of the origin of flute music, the invention of the tune called кєфалầ $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda a ̂ \nu \nu o ́ \mu o s ~(~ \pi o \lambda v к є ́ \phi a \lambda o s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o s), ~$ and returns to the victor with some not unfamiliar reflections on moil and toil linked with prosperity.

According to Mezger, $e^{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho \epsilon$, v. 7, and $\epsilon \hat{v} \rho \epsilon \nu$, v. 22, which mark beginning and end of the myth, show the tendency of the poem. The value of the victory consists in its having been gained in an art invented by Athena.

Mezger notices a resemblance to 0.3 in the handling of the myth. In both poems the person of the victor is brought into
connection with the centre of the mythical narrative－－the olive there，the тодvкé $\phi$ a os vúpos here．

The rhythms are dactylo－epitrite．
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} .-1$ ．фı入áy入ae：Not without allusion to the function

 was presented by Zeus te Persephone cis tà àvaka入varípıa（the presents given to the bride when she first took off her veil）．－
 $\lambda \iota s$ ，as P．calls it elsewhere，is emphasized by travellers，old and new．ö́ $\chi$ Oris：See P．1，64．－3．valets：Heroine and city are blended，after Pindaric fashion．See P．9，75．－＇Akpáyavros：The
 find in his reception，not the favor that he has already found．－ 5． $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau$ tфávตua：The song as well as the wreath．See P．9，4．－ Misa：For the dat．，see P．4，23．It is to Midas＇s honor that the offering is to be received．－6．Téxvą，$\tau \mathfrak{a} v, \kappa \tau \varepsilon .:$ Acc．to the com－ mon tradition，Athena invented the flute，Olympos this special
 give both to Athena．We cannot limit téx $\boldsymbol{p a}$ to Midas＇s art in this one melody，in spite of the coincidence of éфє $\hat{\rho} \rho \in$ and $\delta i a-$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\xi} a \iota \sigma a$ ．－8．$\delta \iota a \pi \lambda \notin \xi a \sigma(a):$＂Winding．＂
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$. －9．тар $\theta$ eviots $=\pi a \rho \theta \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ．The sisters of Medusa， Euryale and Stheno．－ímó $\tau^{\prime}$ à à入árots：The virgins are bowed in grief，which position is better brought out by $\dot{v} \pi \mathrm{o}^{\prime}$ ，with the dat．
 other version，only Medusa had the snake locks．－10．גeı $\beta$ б́revov： After the analogy of $\chi \in i ̂ \nu(\mathrm{I} .7$［8］， 58 ：$\theta \rho \bar{\eta} \nu \circ \nu$ ．．єั̃ $\chi є a \nu$ ），and סák $\rho v a$ $\lambda \epsilon i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．The ov̂̉los $\theta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o s$ brought with it a shower of tears
 most equivalent to＂amid．＂－11．ठтóтє：＂What time．＂Cf．P．3， 91．－тpitov ．．．$\mu$ ípos：Medusa was one of three sisters．Cf．P．4，

 the reading of the best MSS．，makes a in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ ．short．roí $\iota=$ aúrois $=\Sigma \epsilon \rho \iota \phi$ oots．If $\lambda_{\text {aoiot }}$ is retained，it must be read as a dis－ syllable．Seriphos was turned into a solid rock，and the in－ habitants，who had maltreated Danaë，mother of Perseus，were petrified by the apparition of the Gorgon＇s head－13．Ф6pwoto：

The father of the three Graiai，as well as of the three Gor－
 mon，of which Perseus robbed them in order to find his way to the abode of the Gorgons．－14．ПoגvסékTeq：Polydektes of Seriphos，enamoured of Danaë，made her his slave，and，pretend－ ing to desire wedlock with Hippodameia，invited the princes of the realm to a banquet，in order to receive contributions towards the $\begin{gathered}\text { èvan } \\ \text { ．Persens } \\ \text { promised，as his contribution to this } \tilde{e} p a y o s, ~\end{gathered}$ the head of Medusa．－16．cimapăov ．．．Mesoíras：Medusa is mortal，the others immortal．See the story in Or．Met．4，792： clarissima forma $\mid$ multorumque fuit spes invidiosa procorum． After she yielded to Poseidon，her hair was turned into serpents by Athena，of whose temple she was priestess，and with whom she vied in beauty．The transmutation of Medusa in plastic art from a monster to a beauty is well known．

ミтp．$\gamma^{\prime}$－ $\mathbf{- 1 7}$ ．viòs $\Delta$ aváas：On the position，see 0.10 （11）， 38. －amd xpucov̂ ．．av่ropúrov：The shower of gold in which Zeus descended to Danaë．I． 6 （7）， 5 ：$\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{̣}$ нєбори́ктьоע vi申оута ．．．тò̀ ф́́pтatov $\theta$ Góv．－18．фílov ăvঠpa：Perseus was special licgeman of Athena．－19．тєิ̄x ：The tentativeness of the inven－ tor may be noted in the tense，as in the EHOIEI of the Greek artist，though in earlier times EHOIHEE is more common（Ur－
 and P．3，17：$\pi a \mu \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ iađà̀ $\dot{\text { j } \mu \epsilon \nu a i \omega \nu . ~-~ 20 . ~ \tau \grave{v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ y o ́ o v: ~ O n ~}}$ the long suspense，see $0.12,5$ ．－Eúpuádas：The eminence is due to the metrical form of the name．－картадıцâv үєvíwv：＂Quiver－ ing jaws．＂－21．хрьнфө́vra：Lit．＂brought nigh，＂＂that assailed her ears．＂－oìv évecta：＂With the help of instruments＂in－ stead of the simple instrumental ëvt．Cf．P．4，39．－22．àv§́áat Qvarois Exeiv：This would seem to imply that she does not mean to use the flute herself．Still the story that Athena threw away the flute after she invented it，because it disfigured her face，is doubtless an Athenian invention aimed at the Boeotians．－éxetv ：
 planation of the＂winding bout，＂or＂many－headed＂tune．－24．入aoootowv：The aủdós called to games as well as battles．

 dat．is more poetical than the acc．See O．1，20．－－Xapitav：The
city of the Charites is Orchomenos. See $0.14,3: \% \lambda_{\text {ıtapas }}$
 nymph Kopals.- тьттol Xopevtầ $\mu a ́ p \tau v p e s: ~ T h e ~ a u ̀ \lambda o ́ s ~ i s ~ t h e ~ t i m e-~$ keeper, and so the witness of the dances.-28. ăvev канárov: AlIusion to the mishap of Midas, though the story may have been

 with unexpectedness." "With unexpected stroke." $\dot{a} \in \lambda \pi r i a$ is a $\beta$ énos. Less likely is $\dot{\omega} \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau i a ̨$ as semi-personification as II. 7,

 -ref.: While it will give part, will part postpone. A note of unastisfied longing on the part of Midas.
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тоталds ì M Moiซatбь，P．5，114．—то－


 $\pi о \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \xi_{n} \mu о \rho \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu, 0.6,76$.
то́тиоя， $0.8,15$ ．－тоттиои тараঠóv－ tos，P．5， 3.
то́тทı＇＇A $\gamma \lambda a t a, 0.14,13 .-\pi$－ $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega 0 \nu$, P．4， 213.
тoús－$\pi$ àp $\pi$ oỏós，P． 3,$60 ; 10,62 .-$
 то́ $\dot{\alpha} \alpha$, P．4，289．－$\tau \alpha \chi \cup \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \pi o \delta \omega \nu=$ $\tau \alpha \chi$ हís $\pi o ́ \delta \varepsilon s, ~ О . ~ 1, ~ 95 . ~ 一 \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \pi о-$

 32.
$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota=\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, P．9，112．－ $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma о \nu т \iota=\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma \sigma о \nu т \alpha \iota, ~ O .3, ~ 7 .-~}$
 そ $\alpha \sigma \theta \alpha=\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, P．3， 115.
т $\boldsymbol{\rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon ย , ~ " w a s ~ l i k e ~ u n t o , " ~ P . ~ 2 , ~} 38$.
$\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \rho \mu \iota$ ßou入aí，P．2， 65.
$\pi \rho i v$ with ind．，＂until，＂O．9，61．－

4， 43 ．

 P．1， 77.


троцє
трооі́ціоу，P．7，2．－троогиішу $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta о-$入ás，P．1， 4.
$\pi \rho o \pi i \nu \omega \nu, 0.7,4$.

 27.

тюогモ́ายтаь，P．6， 51.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \mu i \gamma \nu v \mu \imath-\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon ́ \mu i \xi \varepsilon$, O．1， 22.
тро́бфатоу，P．4， 299.
тро́бфороя， $0.9,87$.
rро́б $\omega \pi$ ov，＂front，＂O．6，3；P．6， 14.


тротихо́v，P．4，35．
тро́фаутоу，О．1，116．
то́́фабเs，Р．4，32．－Про́фабıv，Р．5， 28.

трофи́реє，P．2， 86.
три́т суи，Р．2， 58.
три́тьттоя，P．2， 32.
ォт $\alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$－
สтєро́єขта＝таทи́ттєроу，P．2， 50.
тттео́v－птєрà Nícas，P．9，185．－

$\pi \tau v \chi$ ais $\mathrm{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu, 0.1,105$.

Пиөtóvıкоу тıцáv，Р，8， 5.
тикเขب̣̂ $\theta \nu \mu \varphi \overline{,}, \mathrm{P} .4,73$.
 рós，P．1，6．－$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \hat{\omega} \pi \nu \rho i, 0.10$ （11），40．－0єрıvé $\pi v \rho \frac{i}{,}$ P．3， 50.
тигта́ $\lambda \mu \mu о \nu, 0.10$（11）， 88.
 тáv，P．5， 100.
 －¢́धтоута Üтvov，P．9，27．
 0．2，50．
คீятаїбя катабХо́мероя，Р，1，10．－кv－
 $\alpha_{\alpha} \nu^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$, P．9， 52.

 4， 4.


т日ívos ìmнóvcov，O．6，22．－б．de入iov， P．4，144．－－ivtí $\omega \nu$, P．5，34．－$-\sigma$.

P．9， 93.
$\sigma \iota \gamma \lambda \dot{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \nu i a v$, P．9， 100.
бєорохариа̄у，P．2， 2
बкะvâs，P．2， 80.
бкєสิs ठิข $\frac{1}{}$ ，P．8， 95.
бкотóv，of Apollo，P．3，27．－б．प́́－
 1，54．

бкитá入a Moıбầ，O．6，91．
$\sigma \kappa и ́ \tau \alpha \lambda о \nu=\dot{\rho} \dot{\pi} \pi \alpha \lambda о \nu, 0.9,32$.
 9，41．－Olöıтóōa бофіад，P．4， 263. －бoфias，＂poetic art，＂P．4，248；cf． 6，49．－бофía тро́фаутоу， $0.1,116$. －бофí Moiбà，P．1， 12.
 P．4，217．－бофоí，＂poets，＂P．1， 42. －бофйv $\mu \eta \tau i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota, 0.1,9 .-а$ акод бофоїs，P．9，84．－ol бoфoí，＂aris－ tocracy，＂P．2， 88 ；5， 12.

$\sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha$ фло үós， $0.7,48 .-\sigma \pi . \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha-$ рóv，P．3，15．－$\sigma \pi$ ќ $\rho \mu \alpha \tau o s, ~ " s p a r k, " ~$
 50.
 ＇r $\lambda \lambda$ í́óos，P．1， 62.
$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \dot{s}-A i ́ \delta \alpha ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu o ́ v, ~ O, ~ 10$（11）， 101．－$\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \nu$ al̃ $\varepsilon \iota \nu \omega \bar{\nu}$, P．4， 76.
$\sigma \tau \tilde{a} \mu \varepsilon \nu=\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota$, P． $4,2$.
бтєротаิ้ кะ $\rho \alpha \nu \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \varepsilon$, P．6， 24.
बтєфауафорiav， $0.8,10$ ．

бтєфа́vшца，P．12，5．－бтєфа́ушра Kupáyas，P．9， 4.



бт $\frac{1}{}$ о́s，P．2，46．－бтратóv，＂folk，＂ O．5，12；P．1，86．－бтратш，P．10， 8．－бтратঠ̀ ย̇ $\pi$ ivouov，P．11， 8.
 230.

 テ！Тย์X้นats，P．8， 60 ．
би́мßолоע， $0.12,7$.
 бúv instead of instr．dat．， $0.1,110 ; 2$, $46 ;$ P． 1,$51 ; 4,39$ ；12，21．－דv่ข


＇O久vนสเádı，O． 10 （11）， 64.
биขаขто́นкуоя，О．2，43． 106.


## －P．1， 2.



đúvevขวข，O．1， 88.
бขvะфатто́ $\mu$ вуоs，O． 10 （11）， 107.
बขขоะкเสтท่р，O．6， 6.
биvтavúa


бфєтípas＝eiâs， $0.9,84 ;$ P．4，83．～ бфєтípov，O．13，61．
$\sigma \phi o ́ y=\sigma \phi$ е́тєроу，P．5， 102.
बфироïs，of mountains，P．2， 46.

$\sigma \chi^{\varepsilon} \theta_{\omega \nu}$, P．6， 19.
玉ыттира， $0.8,21 ; 12,2$.

$\tau \dot{\alpha}=\tau \mathfrak{i}, 0,1,82$.
Td ${ }^{\text {dé }}$ ，adverbial， $0.13,55$ ；P．8， 28.
 каі тผิ，О．2， 59.
T $\alpha$ 入аїoviò $\alpha, ~ O .6,15$.
таиías， $0.6,5 ;$ P．1，88．－тацiạ Kvpá－ vas，P．5，62．－тадiat（тáкаи）， 0. 13,7 ；14， 9.
та́цреш тถ́入os，О．18， 57.
тavvéӨzгра，O．2， 28.
тарабтєця，P．11，42．－$\chi$ Өd́va таре́б－ бouts． $0.2,69$.
Táptapos，fem．，P．1， 15.
 $\chi$ Х入кє́є，P．1，95．
 Tióas，P．1， 83.
TE connecting single words， $\mathbf{O} .1,62$ ； 3,$39 ; 9,43 ;$ P． 2,$2 ; 3,73 ; 4,13$ ．－ TE，consequential，O．1，88．—T8．．．oit， P．4，80；11，29．－те кai，O．1，18； 2,$11 ; 10(11), 68$ ．T $\ldots \kappa a l, 0$ ． 14，5．－тะ．．．каі．．．т т，O．3，8．－ TE ．．．TE，O．1，115；3，1；13，50．
 Alyıuıṑ，P．1， 64.
твіхъ そu入ivy，P．3， 38.

Téктоуеs，＂fashioners of songs，＂P．3， 113.
 P．9，96．

твдетás＝ioptás， $0.8,41$.


тíl入etas ís Xápu，O．1，76．
 2，19．－иаки́và тч，P．4，286．－тá－
 $10,10$.
－Tios，verbals in，O．2，6．
тєртиá，Q．14， 5.
тетра́куццоу ঠебно́̀，P．2，40．－т． in $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathrm{P}, 4,214$.
тє́ $\chi \nu \alpha เ \sigma เ \nu, 0.7,35$.

 $\gamma^{\text {ќधт }}$ ероу ф́́os，P．3， 75.




 P．4，113．－Ó́боутаи，P．9， 68.
тiӨท่va，P．1， 20.
тіктш－हैтиктеу， $0.6,85 ;$ P．9，18．－ тікте（ע），O． 6,41 ；P． 3,101 ；4， 46.
$\tau<\nu=\sigma o l, 0.5,7 ; 6,12 ; 10$（11）， 103 ； 12,3 ；P． $1,29.69 ; 3,84 ; 4,275 ; 6$ ， 50.

Tts omitted， $0.6,4$ ．－TLua，many a one， P．2， 51.
toi，not with pure opt．，O．3， 4.
то́т $\alpha,{ }_{2} 0.2,93$.
тотршँтоу，Р．9， 45.
то́ซбаเร，P．3， 27.
тоита́кке， $\mathbf{P} .4,15 ; 9,15$ ．－тоита́кц， $\mathbf{P}$ ． 4， 28.
тра́тєไ̌av ขvцфíav，P．3， 16.
т $\rho \alpha \dot{\phi \varepsilon \nu}=\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \varepsilon ะ, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}} 4,115$ ．－три́－

т $\rho$ á $\chi o y=\tau \rho$ é $\chi o v, ~ P .8,32$.
тре́фея，О．1，112．－才ре́ұато，P．9， 20.
 P． $9,95$.
трทтั่у то́vov，P．6， 54.
трітодı ขйбоу，О．7， 18.

тро́тоя，＂training，＂ $0,8,63$.
 －$\sigma \sigma \alpha \tau v \chi^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{P} .2,92$.
тレттто́цєขоу，P．6，14．
＂$\Upsilon \beta \rho \iota \nu, 0.13,10$－－ن̈ $\beta \rho \iota \nu$ vavaíotovov， P．1，72．－シ．ठ $\rho \theta 6 a \nu$, P．10，36．－

èyisiav xpuaíav，P．3， 73.

úypòv vätov，P．1，9．
 Ozís，P．5，31．－vंd̀átwn Kaфıбiev，







 Өท⿱亠乂⿱一土儿， $\ddot{v}^{\mu} \mu \nu \omega$, P．10， 53.

ข்такаvé $\mu \in \nu$ ，as a slave， $0.3,24$.

ข゙т $\alpha \rho, \mathbf{0} .13,67$.

Ürate with gen．，O．13， 24.
$\dot{u} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho$ with gen．，＂above and beyond，＂ 0.10 （11）， 80 ；＂by reason of，＂P．1， 32．－نinto Kúpas，P．1， 18.
$\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon ́ p \beta \iota \circ, 0.10$（11）， 32.


ย̇л $\rho \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu$, P．5， 25.

$\dot{v} \pi o=\dot{v} \pi^{\prime}$ dot $\delta \bar{a} s$ ，＂to the sound of，＂ O．4，2．－vi $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ ¿ $\mu ф о т і ́ \rho \omega \nu, ~ 0.7,13 .-~$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{v}} .=$ и̇тє́к， $0.5,14 ; 6,43$ ；Р． 9,66 ； 11，18．－vid Ku入入ávas o̊pous， 0.6 ， 77．－ímo $\lambda o ́ x \mu a s, ~ 0.6,40$ ．－vinó $\chi а \rho \mu \alpha ́ т ш \nu, 0.2,21 .-\cup \pi{ }^{\prime}$＇Ало́入－入шขt，О．6，35．－ілт $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ш \hat{\omega} \pi \nu \rho i$, 0.10 （11），40．－viлò $\beta$ ouvvaias， 0 ．
 тย์т $\frac{1}{y}$, P．10， 15.

ข่токєใбยтац，O．1，85．


ข่токри́кєに，O．9， 42.
ข́тофа́тเЕ؟，P．2， 76.

$\dot{v} \phi і \sigma \tau \eta \mu$－ن́тобта́баขтes， $0,6,1$ ．
ú $\psi$ í
ข่чıхаітац，P．4， 172.
ن̀ $\psi$ oũ фépovть，P．10， 70.
ジぃ－びテะ，0．7，50．
фa $\mu$ ，out of construction，P．3，75．
ф́áos，＂light and life，＂ $0.5,14 .-\phi$ ．
 $\kappa \alpha \theta а \rho \bar{\omega}, \mathrm{P} .6,14$.
 O．9，104，－$\phi . \pi \rho \propto \dot{v}, 0.13,85$.
фабүávov，P．9，87．－фаб ${ }^{\text {ávẹ，P．} 9, ~}$ 23.
 фáriés，P．3， 112.

фаиб ${ }^{\prime} \mu$ роотоs，О．7， 39.
ф́́үүos，O．2，62．－ф．ка0аро́v，P．9， 98．
$\phi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{́ s}$, P．2， 80.

Фере́vикоs，Р．3，74．－Фереvikov，O． $1_{4}$ 18.
 фє́рєтаи，P．1， 87.

131．－фиүóvтes Ní $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \nu$, P．10， 43.
Фท́ $\rho$ ，＂Centaur，＂P．4， 119.
$\phi \theta^{\prime} \gamma \xi \approx ⿺$, P．1， 81.



$\phi เ a ́ \lambda a v, 0.7,1$.
фı $\lambda$ á $\gamma \lambda a \varepsilon$, P．12， 1.
$\phi \iota \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu \phi i \lambda \varepsilon ́ o \nu t a$, P．10，66．－тะфใ

$\phi \stackrel{\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\nu} / \mu_{0} \lambda \pi \varepsilon, 0.14,14 .}$
фв
фı
фìos，P．1，92．－$\phi i \lambda \alpha \psi v \chi \dot{\alpha}$, P．3，61．
 хब́рเу， 0.10 （11）， 14.
філотáтшу í $\rho a ̂ \nu$, P．9， 43.

ф $\lambda \tau \rho о \nu, 0.13,68$.
$\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ivtis $=\Phi i \lambda \tau \iota s, 0.6,22$.
$\phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma$ оитı Xápıтes，P．5， 45.

фоншко́крокоу క̆́шау，O．6， 39.
фóvov，fem．，P．4， 250.
фо́р $\mu \gamma \xi$, P．1，1．－$\Delta \omega \rho i \alpha \nu$ фо́р $\mu с \gamma \gamma \alpha_{9}$
 P．1．97．
фрад⿱亠䒑𧰨i，O．12， 9.
 O．2， 110 ．
фраб $\mathcal{L}=\phi \rho \varepsilon \sigma i ́ v$, P．2， $26 ; 3,59.108$ ； 4， 219.
 P．6， 52.
фрі́ббоутая öцßpous，P．4，81．－$\pi$ ह－ фрі́коитая，P．4， 183.
 3， 17.
фроутiòa $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha \nu$, P．10，62．－$\phi \rho о \nu-$
 тícı，O．1， 19.
фט̛̣，O． 2,$94 ; 9,107 ;$ P． $8,44$.
фијо́そ̧єขоข бтратóv，O． 11 （10）， 17.
фи入入офо́ршу，O．8， 76.
фитєúcod रámov，P．9，120．－фитsú－ बєбөat，P．4， 15.

фитdy $\mu$ оvoiठpotov，P．5， 42.
фúc－фúvt＇dןETà，O． 10 （11）， 22.
фшvă，P．9，31．－фผvàv íтарүvрov， P．11， 42.
 бขעะтоїสเข，О．2， 93.
 $\tau \varepsilon, 0.1,46$.

хаірш тє，P．7，14．－хаїрв，P．2， 67.


Ха́лкєоя＂Арทร， 0.10 （11），17．－$\chi$ ．oủ－ рауós，P．10， 27.
$\chi$ Х $\lambda$ кєยє $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\sigma} \sigma a \nu$, P．1， 86.
$\chi^{\text {алкота́раоу ӓкоута，P．1，44．}}$
халкохи́рикь，Р．5， 82.




X $\alpha$ рเร，＂poetry，＂O． 1,$30 ; 6,76 ; 7,11$.一коьшаі Xapıтеร， $0.2,55$ ．－X．＇$O \rho$－ Хомєцой，О．14，4．－Ха́рıтєs ท̇и́комоь， P．5，45．－ẩעєv X $\alpha \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu$, P．2，42．－
 т $\omega \nu$ ápovpà，P．6，2．－Хápıv，O．7， $5 ;$ P．2， 70 ；11，12．－${ }^{\text {émà }}$ Х．，P．10，$_{\text {，}}$ 64．一غ $\pi \omega \nu \nu \mu i a \nu$ Х．， 0.10 （11），86．－ kotvad $X$ ．，P． 5,102 ．－is $X, 0.1,75$. －$\pi \rho$ d̀s $\chi$ ．，O．8，8．－тal $\Delta t \omega \nu$ v́cou
 $\mu a \tau \alpha$, P．3， 72.
$\chi$ хррає，＂јоуs，＂О．9，92．
Xav́va $\pi \rho a \pi i o ́ \imath$, P．2， 61.
$\chi^{\varepsilon \iota \mu а і л ш-к є х є і л а \nu т а є, ~ P .9, ~} 35$.
 о́ $\mu \beta \rho о \nu$, P．5，10．－х．$\pi \bar{v} \rho$, P．4， 266.

 2，69．－Хєрí $\iota \iota \dot{v} \mu \alpha$, P．2，9．－х．кои́－ ф $\underset{,}{ }$ P．9，12．－X．$\dot{\rho} \theta \hat{\alpha}, \mathrm{O} .10$（11）， 5．－клит ${ }^{2} \nu \chi^{\prime} \rho \alpha$, P． $9,39 .-\chi \varepsilon \rho i$

 P．8， 77.

Х Hovía фрzví，P．5， 101.
Xtóขos ó $\mathfrak{\varepsilon i \alpha}$ ，P．1， 20.

Xotpáס̀os $\pi$ ध́траร，P．10，52．
Хо́ $\rho$ тоья $\lambda$ в́оитоя， $0.13,44$.
 кьттіра，P．4， 6.
хрíos， $0.1,45 ; 7,40$ ．Ttod̀ रoíos，$P$ ．

8，33．－$\theta$ в ódиатоу X．，O．3，7．－$\beta a 0$ s $\chi$ ，O． 10 （11）， 9.
хроуии́татоу фáos，0．4， 10.

 Х．，O．8．28．－хро́vos，opp．tu кutpós，
 2，33．－रिóve，＂at last，＂P．4，78； 11，32．－$\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon ์ \rho \omega$ Хро́vш，O．1，43．－ $\chi \rho \dot{\nu} \varphi$ би́ $\mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau і, 0.6,56$.
хриба入акќтоเо＇Арфıтрітая，О．6， 104.
 халиуо́v， $0.13,65 .-\chi \rho \cup \sigma а \mu \pi и ́ к с ш \nu$ Moı $\begin{gathered}\text { ã，} \\ \text { P．3，} 89 . ~\end{gathered}$

 бар а́тои Ка́ттороя，P．5， 9.




 －inтoเs хриのย́aıs，0．8，51．－Хри－
 фáठ̇z $\sigma \sigma$ ，O．7， 34.
Хрибо́кєрш
Хрибо́ратья，P．4， 178.

Хрибобтєфи́voso＂Hßas，O．6，57；P． 9， 118.
Хрибохаїта，P．2， 16.
Хиті̀ข ขย์ктар，0．7，7．
$\chi^{\omega}{ }^{\omega}{ }^{2}$ ，0．9， 44.
$\psi \bar{\alpha} \phi o s \lambda_{l} \theta_{i v a}, 0.7,87$ ．－$\psi \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \nu \pi o \nu-$ т兀బิv，0．13，46．

廿оүะ $\rho \dot{d \nu}{ }^{\text {＇A }} \rho \chi^{i \lambda o \chi^{\circ}}{ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{P} .2,55$.
$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ ，position of， $\mathrm{O} .8,1 ;$ P．2，1；8， 2.
${ }^{*}$ Qaviv，with digamma， $0.5,11$ ．
 тарөєуiav，O．6，31．
$\ddot{\omega} \rho \alpha$ биעán $\tau \varepsilon$, P．4，247．－$\Omega \rho a \iota, \mathbf{O . 4 ,}$ 1.

ఉрибац， $0.9,117$.
玉s－h ஸ́s lð́ $\mu \varepsilon \nu, 0.13,118$.

©s $81,0.7,1$.
ஸ்ซยiтを，elliptical，P．1， 44.
※๐ öre without a verb，O． 6,$2 ;$ P．11， 40.

ผ゙ $\tau \varepsilon=\omega ่ ง, O .10$（11）， $94 ;$ P．4， $64 ; 10$ ， 54.

## INDEX OF SUBJECTS.

[The proper names refer mainly to the text, all else mainly to the notes.]

Abas, P. 8, 55.
Ablative genitive, $0.1,58 ; 2,57 ; 4$, 10(?) ; P. 9, 12(?).
Accusative, adverbial. P. 1, 81.
in apposition, P. 9, 4; 11, 12; cf. $0.7,16$.
cognate, P. 2, 62.
double, O. 1,68 ; P. 3, 98.
of extent, P. 4, $83 ; 5,33$; 10, 12.
before and after inf., 0.1 , 40.
inner object, P. 8, 79.
pl. as adverb, O .10 (11), 103; 14, 17; P. 2, 61; $11,30$. in -os, $0.1,53 ; 2,78$.
terminal, $0.1,111 ; 9,76$; 10 (11), 95; 14, 20 ; $\mathbf{P}$. 4,52. 134 ; 5. 29. 52; 8, $55 ; 9,55 ; 11,35$.
whole and part, O. 1, 68.
Achilles, O. 2, 86 ; and Patroklos, 0. 9,76 ; 10 (11), 21.
Active and middle, $0.3,7 ; 14,24 ; P$. 1,$48 ; 2,40 ; 10,40$.
Adjectives for adverb, P. 4, 179; 11, 48.
in -tos, $0.1,10 ; 2,10.18$; 10 (11), 31 ; P. 2, 12. 18 ; 3,$79 ; 4,39 ; 6,4.32 ; 8$, $19 ; 9,67 ; 11,20 ; 12,9$.
Admetos, P. 4, 126.
Adrastos, $0.6,13$; P. 8, 51.
Aeolic accentuation, O. 10 (11), 17 ; 14, 19.
Agesias, 0. 6, 12. 77. 98.
Agesidamos, O. 10 (11), 20. 101; 11 (10), 12.

Aglaia, O. 14, 18.
Aiakidai, O. 18, 109.

Aiakos, O. 8, 30. 50.
Aias Oîliades, $0.9,120$.
Aietes, P. 4, 10. 160. 213. 224. 238.
Aigeidai, P. 5, 75.
Aigimios, P. 1, 64 ; 5, 72.
Aigina, $0.7,86 ; 8,20 ;$ P.8,98; 9, 97.
Aigisthos, P. 11, 37.
Aineas, O. 6, 88 .
Aipytos, O. 6, 36.
Aletes, $0.13,14$.
Aleuadai, P. 10, 5.
Alexibiades (Karrhotos), P. 5, 45.
Alkimedon, $0.8,17.65$.
Alkmaion, P. 8, 46. 57.
Alkmaionidai, P. 7, 2.
Alkmena, O. 7, 27 ; P.9,92.
Alliteration, O. 1, 76 ; P. 4, 138. 150 ,
Altar at Olympia, O. 6,70.
Amazons, $0.8,47 ; 13,87$.
Amenas, P. 1, 67.
Ammon, P. 4, 16.
Amphiaraos, $0.6,13 ;$ P. 8, 56.
Amphitrite, $0.6,105$.
Amphitryon, P. 9,88.
Amplification in repetition, P. 4, 28.
Amyklai, P. 1, 65 ; 11, 32.
Amyntoridai, O. 7, 28.
Amythaon, P. 4, 126.
Anachronism, P. 4, 192.
Anchors, post-Homeric, P. 4, 24. 192. two, 0.6,101.
Antenoridai, P. 5, 83.
Antilochos, P. 6, 28.
Aorist of definite numbers, P.4, 25. 130. gnomic, $\mathrm{O} .2,38.105 ; 7,48 ; 9$ 31 ; P. 1, 42; 2, 13.36. $50 ; 8$ 15.
and imperf., P. 4, 247.
inf. after ciкóra, P. 1, 35.
in a future sense, $\mathrm{O}, 2,102$.
P. 1, $44 ; 4,223$.

Aorist ingressive, $0.2,10 ;$ P. 1, 65. partic. after verbs of actual perception, P. $5,84$.
and present, P. 2, 50. 89.
shorthand of perf., O. 10 (11), 9; P. 1, 73.
Ape, P. 2, 72.
Aphrodite, spouse of Ares, P. 4, 88.

Apollo and Aphrodite associated, P. 2, 16.


^úkıos, P. 1,38.
Apposition, 0. 1, 57. distributive, $0.8,37$.
Archestratos, O. 10 (11), 2. 109; 11 (10), 11.

Archilochos, 0.9,1; P. 2, 55.
Ares, husband of Aphrodite, P. 4, 88. ßıãás, P. 1, 10.

Arethusa, P. 3, 69.
Artemis, P. 2, 7.
Argos, O. 9, 73. $94 ; 13,107$; P. 5, 70 ; 8,41; 9, 121.
Aristaios, P. 9, 71.
Arkesilas, P.4, 2. 65. 250. 298; 5, 5. 103 .
Armor, race in, 0.4, 22; P. 9, 1.
Arsinoë, P. 11,17.
Art myths, $\mathbf{0} .7,52$.

тотаріа, P. 2, 7 .
Article, contemptuons, P. 4, 186. with proper name, P.10,57.
widely separated from subst., 0.11 (10), 19; 12, 5 ; P. 12, 20.

Articular inf. aor., 0. 2, 56. 107; 8, 59. 60.
of the objectionable, $\mathbf{O . 2}$, 107 ; 9,41. pr., $0.9,41$; P. 2, 56.
Asopichos, 0.14, 17.
Ass, a mystic animal, P. 10, 33.
Asyndeton in prayers, $0.1,115 ; 8$, 86; 9, 86; P. 1, 29.71; 5,$120 ; 9,97$.
announcing end, $\mathbf{O} .2,91$. denoting repagnance, 0.1 , 52.

Atabyris, 0. 7, 87.
Athena, inventress of the $\nu o ́ \mu o s \pi o \lambda v-$ кर́фалоs, P. 12, 22.
patroness of Bellerophon, $\mathbf{O}$. 13,66.

Athena, patroness of Perseus, P. 10,45. iтлtía, $0.13,82$.
Athens, greatness of, P. 7, 1.
Atlas, P. 4, 289.
Atrekeia, O. 10 (11), 15.
Augeias, $\mathbf{0 .} 10$ (11), 31.39.
Bakchylides, allusion to, O. 2, 96; P. 2,56.
Battos, P. 4, 6. 280; 5, 55. 124.
Bellerophon, $0.13,84$.
Bit, Corinthian, O. 13, 20.
Boibias-lake, P. 3, 84.
Boreas, P. 4, 182.
Brachylogy, P. 4, 242; 8, 48; 9, 27.
Causative use of verb, $0.5,8 ; 8,20$;
P. 1, $32 ; 11,13$.

Centaurs, P. 2, 44.
Change from participle to finite verb, O. 1,14 ; P. 3, 53 . of subject, $\mathbf{O} .3,22 ; 9,50 ; \mathbf{P}$. 4, 25. 243. 251; 5, 34.
Chariklo, P. 4, 103.
Chariot, poetic, $0.6,22 ; 9,87$; P. 10, 65.

Chariot-race, danger of, $0.5,15 ;$ P. 5 , 34; 6 (introd.).
Charis, $0.1,30 ; 6,76 ; 7,11$.
Charites, goddesses of song, O. 2,55;
4,9; 9, 29; P.5, 45; 6, 2 ;
8, 21; 9, 3. 97.
city of the (Orchomenos), 0 . 14, 4.8; P. 12, 26.
Cheiron, P. 3, 1. 63; 4, 102. 115; 9, 31 .
Chiasm, $0.2,80 ; 6,5 ; 10$ (11), 31; 11 (10), 8 ; P. 1, 21. 54; 2, 48. 68 ; 9, 6.
Chimaira, O. 13, 90.
City and heroine blended, P. 9, 75; 12, 3.

Class for individual, $0.7,8 ;$ P. 3, 66.
Coincident action, O. 7, 5. 69; 8, 40; 10 (11), 53; P. 3, 35 ; 4, 40. 61. 189.
Companionship, sphere of, $0.2,11$; P . 3,$48 ; 4,115$.
Complementary adjective felt, P. 1, 14. substantive, P. 9, 107.
Conative present, $0.13,59 ;$ P. $4,106$.
Condition, formal, $0.3,42 ; 9,28 ;$ P. 1,90.
ideal, $0.1,108 ; 6,4 ; 18$, 105 ; P. 1,$81 ; 8,110 ; 8$, 14.
subjunctive, $0.6,11 ; 7,1$; P. 4, 264. 266. 274.

Condition, unreal, 0.12, 16; P. 3,63. 73 ; 4, 43.
Copula, omission of, $\varepsilon \sigma \tau($ (sl/i) , 0.1,1 (and regularly). ̇ $\sigma \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu$, P. 8, 95.

Dative of agent, $0.8,30 ; 12,3 ; 14,6$; P. 1,73.
of approach, $\mathbf{O . 6 , 5 8}$.
with déкeбӨat, O. 13, 29 ; P. 4, $21 ; 8,5 ; 12,5$.
$=\delta \iota \alpha$ with acc., P. 11, 27.
double, $0.2,16 ; 8,83 ;$ P. 4, 73.
 72 ) ; P. 4, 124.
and genitive, $0.1,57.65 ; 6,5$; 8,$75 ; 9,16 ;$ P. 3, $46 ; 9,89$.
instrumental, P. 10, 51.
local-temporal, O. 1, 2.
locative, P. 1, 40. 78.
of participle, $0.8,60 ; \mathrm{P} .10,67$.
personal, $0.2,90 ; 3,11$.
and $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ with acc., $0.1,47$; 9, 64.
of reference, $0.2,93$.
with verbs of touching, P. 4, 296 ; $9,46.130$; $10,28$.
Dead, state of the, O. 2, 62.
Deinomenes, P. 1, 58.79; 2, 18.
Delphic word, O. 13,81.
Demeter, O. 6, 95.
Diagoras, O. 7, 18. 80.
Diaulos, O. 18, 37; P. 10, 10.
Dirke, P. 9, 95.
Dioskuroi, O. 3, 1; P. 11, 61.
Dolphin, P. 4, 17.
Doric acc. in -os, O. 1, 53; 2,78. inf. in -Ev, O. 1, 2 ; P. 5, 72. perfect, O. 6, 49 ; P. 4, 179. 183.
Dual, O. 6,$45 ; 13,6.95$.
Eagle, architectural, O. 13, 21
Echemos, O. 10 (11), 73.
Echion, P. 4, 179.
Eleithyia, O. 6, 42.
Empiric aorist, O. 12, 10.
Epeians, O. 9, 63 ; 10 (11), 39.
Epharmostos, 0. 9, 4.98.
Ephialtes, P. 4, 89.
Ephyraians, P. 10, 55.
Epimetheus, P. 5, 27.
Epithets, double, O. 1, 6. 10. 59 ; cf. 2 , $60.90 ; 3,18 ; 4,7 ; 6,61 ; 7,15 ; 9$, $98 ; 10$ (11), $91 ; 11(10), 2 ; 13,89$. 99 ; P. 4, $184 ; 5,121$; $9,114$.
Erechtheidai, P. 7, 8.

Erginos, O. 4, 19 (note).
Eritimos, O. 13, 42.
Euphemos, P. 4, 22. 44. 175. 256.
Euphrosyne, O. 14, 14.
Euripos, P. 11, 22.
Europa, P. 4, 46.
Eurotas, 0. 6, 28.
Euryale, P. 12, 20.
Eurypylos, P. 4, 33.
Eurystheus, O. 3, 28 ; P. 9, 86.
Eurytos, O. 10 (11), 31.
Family figures, $0.8,1$.
Feet give way before hands, O. 4, 24.
Finite verb following participle, O. 1, 14 ; P. 1, 55 ; 3, 53.
Flute, origin of, P. 12, 6 .
Fusion of two or more words, P. 6, 17;
7, 2. See Hypallage.
Future, modal, 0.8,57; P.9,96.
passive, no special form, P. 4, 15.

Ganymede, O. 1, 44; 10 (11), 115.
Generic condition, ind., $0.11(10), 4$.
subj. See Condition.
relative without ${ }^{\alpha} \nu, 0.8,11$.
Genitive ablative, $0.1,58 ; 2,57 ; 4$, $10(?) ;$ P. 3, 50; 9, 12(?); 11, 34.
absolute, $\mathrm{O}, 6,3($ ? ).
without subject, 0 . 13,$15 ;$ P.1, $26(?)$; 4,$232 ; 8,43.85(?)$.
and adj. in -ios, O. 2, 10. 18.
appositive, $\mathrm{O} .2,14$.
and dative (shift), 0. 6, 5. of material, O. 2, 79; P. 4, 206.
in -o.o elided, $0.13,85 ;$ P.1, 39.
of origin, P. 4, 144. 256.
partitive, P. 4, 84.
in predicate, P. 3, 67.
Glaukos, $\mathbf{0} .13,60$.
Gnomic aorist, $0.2,38.105 ; 7,44 ; 9$, 31 ; P. 1, 42; 2, 13. $36.50 ; 8,15$.
and present, P. 2, 50. 89.
perfect, O. 1,58.
Graces. See Charites.
Hades' wand, O. 9, 35.
Hair, when shorn, P. 4, 82.
Halirrhothios, 0.10 (11), 77.

Harmonia, P. 3, 91; 11, 7.
Hektor, O. 2, 89.
Hellenodikes, $\mathbf{0 . 3 , 1 2}$.
Hellotia, O. 13, 40.
Hendiadys, 0.10 (11), $64 ; 14,17$; $\mathbf{P}$. $4,18.94$.
Hephaistos=fire, P. 1, $25 ; 3,39$.
Нera, P. 2, 27 ; 4, 184; 8, 79. $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon i a, ~ O . ~ 6,88$.
Herakleidai and Dorians, P. 1, 63.
Herakles, his club, O. 9, 32.
founder of the Olympian games, O. 10 (11) 49.
his quest of the olive, 0.3 , 17.

$$
\text { pillars of, O. 3, } 44 .
$$

 gerulus of Olympos, P.9, 64. $\chi \rho \nu \sigma о ́ \rho \propto \pi т ь$, P.4, 178.
Heroine and city blended, P. 4, 14; 9, $75 ; 12,3$.
Hieron, 0. 1, 11. 107 ; 6, 93 ; P. 1, 32. 56. $62 ; 2,5 ; 8,80$.

Himera, O. 12, 2.
Himeras, P. 1, 79.
Hippokleas, P. 10, 5.
Historical present, $0.7,78(?)$ 13,92(?); P. 2, 31 (?) ; 5, 82(?). 86 (?).

Homer, divergencies from, $0.13,67$. 90 ; P. 11, 31 . quoted, P. 4, 277.
Horai, O. 13, 17 ; P. 9, 65.
Horned does, 0. 3, 29.
Hyllid standard, P. 1, 62.
Hypailage, 0. 3, 3; 10 (11), 7. 28 ; P. 4, 149. 205. 225. 255 ; 9, 90.
Hyperbaton, 0. 1, 12; 2, 9. 25; 7, 26 ; 8,33 ; 13, 31 : P. 5,78 ; 10, 58 .
Hyperboreans, $0.3,16 ;$ P. 10, 30.
Hypereīs, fountain, P. 4, 125.
Hypseus, P. 9, 14.
Hypsipyle, 0.4, 23.
Ialysos, $0.7,74$.
Iamidai, $0.6,5$.
Iamos, $0.6,43$.
Iapetos, $0.9,59$.
Iason, P. 4, 12. 128. 136. 169. 189. 232.
Idaian cave, $0.5,18$.
Ideal condition. See Condition.
Ilas, $\mathbf{0 .} 10$ (11), 19.
Ilios, $0.8,32$.
Imperative present, $\mathrm{O} .1,85$; P. 1, 86. for conditional, P. 4, 165. 276.

Imperfect, $\mathbf{O . 2 , 2 3 ; ~ P . ~ 4 , ~ 1 1 4 . ~} 178$.

Imperfect and aor., P. 4, 247; 5, 76.
conative, $0,5,5$.
of the inventor, P. 12, 18.
parenthetic, P. 10, 45.
of reluctance, $0.3,17 ; 6,45$.
of vision (panoramic), 0.10 (11), 74 ; P. 3, 44.

Infinitive articular. See Articular. in $-\varepsilon \nu, 0.1,2$.
epexegetic, $0.3,34 ; 6,34$; P. 5,$26 ; 7,6 ; 9,71 ; 12$, 22.
final, P. 3, 45; 7, 3; 9, 62.
for imperative, $\mathrm{O} .18,114$. redundant, $0.6,56 ; 9,69$.
Ino, 0.2, 33; P. 11, 2.
Instrumental music, position of, $\mathbf{O}, 2,1$.
Iolaos, $\mathbf{0 . 9 , 1 0 5 ; ~ P . ~ 9 , ~} 85$; 11, 60.
Iolkos, P. 4, 77. 188.
Ionian Sea, P. 3, 68.
Iphigeneia, P. 11, 22.
Iphikles, P. 9, 95.
son of, P. 11, 59.
Iphimedeia, P. 4, 89.
Iphion, $0.8,81$.
Irasa, P. 9, 114.
Ischys, P. 3, 31.
Ixion, P. 2, 21.
Iynx, the, P. 2, $40 ; 4,214$.
Kadmos, O. 2, 86.
daughters of, $\mathbf{0 . 2 , 2 5 ; ~ P . 1 1 , ~}$ 1.

Kallianax, 0. 7, 93.
Kallimachos, $\mathbf{O} .8,82$.
Kalliope, $0.10(11), 16$.
Kamarina, O. 4, 12; 5, 4.
Kamiros, O. 7, 73.
Karneia, P. 5, 80.
Karneiades, P. 9, 77.
Kassandra, P. 11, 20.
Kastalia, 0.7,17; P. 1, 39 ; 4, 163; 5 31.

Kastor, P. 5, 9; 11,61.
Kastoreion, $0.1,101$; P. 2, 67.
Kephisos, O. 14. 1 ; P.4,46.
Kinyras, P. 2, 15.
Kleodamos, O. 14, 22.
Kleonai, $\mathbf{O} .10$ (11), 38.
Klotho, O. 1, 26.
Klymenos, O. 4, 19.
Knosos, O. 12, 16.
Koronis, P. 3, 25.
Kreüsa, P. 9, 18.
Kroisos, P. 1, 94.
Kronion, $0.1,111 ; 6,64$.

Kronos, hill of, 0. 8, 17.
tower of, $0.2,77$.
Kteatos, O. 10 (11), 30.
Kyknos, O. 2, 90 ; 10 (11), 17.
Kyrene, city, P. 4, 2. 261.276. 279 ; 5, 24.62.81.
heroine, P.9, 18. 78.
Lachesis, 0. 7, 64.
Laios, O. 2, 42.
Lakedaimon, P. 4, $49 ; 5,69 ; 10,1$.
Lakereia, P. 3, 34.
Lampromachos, O. 9, 20.
Lapithai, P。9, 15.
Leds, $0.3,35 ;$ P. 4, 172.
Lemnian women, $0.4,20 ;$ P. 4, 252.
Lerna, O. 1, 33.
Leto, $0.3,26 ; 8,31$.
Leukothea, P. 11, 2.
Libya, the heroine, P.9,59.
the land, P.4, 6.42. 259; 5, 52; 9, 75.
Likymnios, $0.7,29 ; 10(11), 71$.
Lindos, $0.7,74$.
Litotes, 0.10 (11), 24 ; P. 7, 14; 9, 26.
Lokrian women, $0.9,60$; P. 2, 18.
Loxias, P. 3, 28 ; 11,5.
Lydian flutes, O. 5, 19. harmony, O. 14, 17.
Lykaion (mountain), O. 13, 108.
Magna Mater, P.3,78.
Magnesian dress, P. 4, 80.
Mainalian chain, $0.9,63$.
Mantineia, 0.10 (11), 77.
Marathon, $0.9,95$; 13, 110 ; P. 8, 79.
Mares preferred, $0.6,14 ;$ P. 2, $8 ; 4$, 17.

Medeia, O. 13, 53; P. 4,9.57.218. 250.
Medes, P. 1, 78.
Medusa, P. 12, 16.
Megakles, P. 7, 13.
Megara, O. 7, $86 ; 13,109$; P.8,78.
Melampos, P. 4, 126.
Melesias, $0.8,54$.
Melia, P. 11, 4.
Memnon, $0.2,91$; P. 6, 32.
Menoitios, 0. 9, 75.
Metaphors and similes: army, $P .4,210 ; 6,12$.
awakening, P.9, 112.
bee, P. 4,60 ; 10, 54
bit, P. 4, 25.
bloum (blossom), O. 1, 67 ; P. 4, 158.
breezes, O. 7, 95 ; P. 4, 292.
calm, P. 5, 10.

Metaphors and similes:
chariot, $0.6,22 ; 9,87 ;$ P. 10, 66.
cloud, $0.7,45$.
cock (simile), O. 12, 14.
column, O. 2, 90.
cork (simile), P. 2, 80.
cow, P. 4, 142.
culling fruit, O. 1, $13 ;$ P. $9,119$.
currents, shifting, $\mathrm{O} .2,37$.
debt, O. 3, 7 ; P.9, 112.
decoration, $0.1,29.105 ; 2,58 ; 5,21$.
dice, $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 , 1 0 .}$
dress, P. 3, 83.
drug, elixir, P.4, 187. remedy, $0.9,104$. spell, $0.13,85$.
eagle, $0.2,97$; P. $5,112$.
embarking, $\mathbf{O} .18,49$; P. 2, 62.
exile (wandering), $0.1,58$.
eye, $0.2,11 ; 6,16 ; \mathbf{P} .5,56$.
façade (simile), $\mathbf{O}, 6,1$.
family, $0.8,1$ (note).
fleet, $\mathbf{O} .13,49$.
flight of steps, P, 5, 7.
flood, 0.10 (11), 12.
forging, P. 1, 86 .
foundations, P. 7, 8.
fox and lion, O. 11 (10), 20.
fruit (simile), P. 9, 119.
garden, O.9,29.
gates, $0.6,27$.
home-bringing, P. 5, 3.
keys, P. 8, 4; 9, 42.
late-born heir (simile), 0.10 (11), 94.
ledger, O. 10 (11), 2.
light, $0.1,23.94 ; 9,24 ; 18,36 ;$ P. 2,$6 ; 5,45$.
lopping tree, P. 4, 268.
missiles, $0.1,112 ; 2,91.98 ; 9,5$.
$12 ; 13,93 ;$ P. $1,12.44 ; 6,87$.
mixed, P. 1,$82 ; 4,272 ; 10,68$.
mixing-bowl, 0.6,91.
moat, O. 10 (11), 37.
nails, P. 4, 71.
nectar, 0.7, 7.
pathway, $0.1,115 ; 7,31.90 ; \mathbf{P} .2$, 35 ; 10, 12.
of song, $O .1,110 ; 9,51$; P. 4, 247.
pebble, 0.10 (11), 9 .
pelting, P. 8, 57 .
Phoenician ware, P. 2,67.
physician, P. 4, 270.
pilot, $0.12,3 ;$ P. 1, $86 ; 4,274 ; 6$, $122,10,72$.
ploughing, P. 6, 1.

Mecaphors and similes:
ravens, $0.2,96$.
root, $\mathrm{O} .2,50 ;$ P. 4, $15 ; 9,9$ 。
sandal, $0.3,5 ; 6,8$.
scion, $0.6,68 ; 2,49$.
scuttling ship, P. 8, 11.
skytale, $0.6,91$.
spreading ssil, P. 1, 9 .
springtime (simile), P. 4, 64.
sprinkling, 0.10 (11), 104, 108.
star (simile), O.2,61.
stone, $0.8,55$.
storm, P. 9, 35.
swimming out, $0.13,114$.
tending flocks, $0.11(10), 9$.
thirst, P. 9, 112.
token, O. 12, 7.
treasure-house, P. 6, 8.
tree, P. 8, 94 ; cf. P. 4, 263.
twining, $0.6,86 ; \mathrm{P}^{2} 4,275$.
voyage, $0.6,103$.
wagging tail, $0.4,4$.
watering, $0.5,23$.
waves, $0.12,6$.
weaving, P. 4, 141. 275.
wellspring, P. 4, 299.
wheel, O. 2, 21 ; P. 4, 219.
whetstone, $0.6,82$; 10 (11), 22.
whip, P. 4, 219.
wind blighting, P. 5, 121.
wine-cup (simile), 0.7, 1.
wings, P. 5, $114 ; 8,34$.
wrestling, $0.8,25 ; \operatorname{P.2}, 61.82 ; 4$, 273.

Metonymy, P. 4, 18.
Metope, $\mathbf{0 . 6 , 8 4}$.
Midas, P. 12, 5.
Middle, $0.6,46 ; 8,59 ;$ P. 1,74; 2,49. of reciprocal action, $0.1,95$.
Midea, heroine $0.7,29$. place, 0.10 (11), 72.
Midylidai, P.8,38.
Minyans, $0.14,4$; P. 4, 69.
Moliones, 0.10 (11), 38.
Mopsos, P. 4, 191.
Muse, $0.1,112 ; 3,4 ; 10$ (11), 1 ; 18, 22 ; P. 1, $58 ; 4,3.279$; 5,65 ; 10, 37 ; 11, 41.
Muses, $0.6,21.91 ; 7,7 ; 9,5.87 ; 11$ (10), 17 ; 13,96 ; P. $1,2.12 ; 3,90$; 4,67; 5, 114.
Myถinaai, P. 4, 49.
Naxos, P. 4, 88.
Negative $\mu n$ after verbs of believing, O. 1, 104.

Negative $\mu n$ after verbs of hoping, $\mathbf{P}$. 1,44.
of swearing O. 2, 102 .
ov with inf., P. 2, 88 .
with opt., P. 4, 118.
first omitted, 0.11 (10), 18; 14,$9 ;$ P. 3,$30 ; 6,48 ; 10$ 29.41.
position of, $0.1,81 ; 2,34$. $69.106 ; 3,23 ; 4,17 ; 7$, $48 ; 8,79$.
Nemea, O. 7, $82 ; 8,16.56 ; 9,93 ; 13$, 34.98.

Nemesis, $0.8,86 ;$ P. 10, 44.
Nereilds, O. 2, 32 ; P. 11, 2.
Nereus, P. 3, $92 ; 9,102$.
Nestor, P. 3, 112 ; 6, 32.
Neuter pl. with pl. verb, O. 8, 12; 10
(11), 93 ; P. 1,13 ; 4, 121.

Nikeus, 10 (11), 79.
Nile, P. 4, 56.
Nisos, P. 9, 98.
Nomads, P. 9, 133.
Oanis, O. 5, 11.
Odysseus, P. 1, 52 (note)
Oidipus, $0.2,40 ;$ P. 4, 263.
Oikles, O. 6, 13 ; P. 8, 39.
Oinomaos, O. 1, 76.88; 5, 9; 10 (11), 56.

Oionos, O. 10 (11), 72.
Okeanos, O. 5, 2; P. 9, 16.
Oligaithidai, $0.13,97$.
Olympia, $0.1,7 ; 2,53 ; 6,26 ; 8,83$; 9,$2 ; 12,17 ; 13,101 ;$ P. 5 , 124; 11,47.
local games, P. 9, 109.
Optative in conditions. See Condition. as imperative, $0.3,45 ; 9,44$; P. 10, 21.
for indicative, 0.6,49; P.99 126.
peculiar use. P. 4, 118.
potential with $\alpha \nu, O .2,20$.
See äv。 irregular, P.9,129.
without ${ }^{[1 V} 0.11$ (10), 21.
present in prayer, P. 1, 29.
Oracular language, $0.7,33 ; 13,81$;
P. 4, 27 ; 9, 59.

Orators, P. 1, 94.
Orchomenos, 0.14, 4.
Orestes, P. 11, 16.

Orpheus, P. 4, 177.
Orthosia, 0. 8, 30.
Ortygia, 0.6,92; P. 2,6.
Otos, P. 4, 89.
Oxymoron, $0.6,43.46 ; 9,7.24$.
Pallas, O. 2, $29 ; 5,10 ; 13,66 ;$ P. 9 , 106; 12, 7.
Pan, P. 3, 78.
Pangaion, P. 4, 180.
Parallelism, O. 2, 108; 10 (11), 18.
Paris, P. 6, 33.
Parnasos, O. 9, 46 ; P. 1, 39 ; 11, 36.
Parrhasians, 0.9, 103.
Parsley, O. 13, 33.
Participle after-thought, P. 4, 262; 6, 46.
for infinitive or abstract noun, $0.3,6 ; 8,65 ; 9$, $111 ;$ P. 2,$23 ; 8,102$; 11, 22.

Passive impersonal, $0.8,8$.
in predication, P.4, 282; 6, 29. of intransitive, $0.10(11), 84$.
Patroklos, $0.9,81 ; 10(11), 21$.
Patronymic, effect of, P.5,45.
Pegasos, O. 13, 64.
Peirene, O. 13, 61.
Peleus, O. 2, 86 ; P. 3, 87 ; 8, 100.
Pelias, P. 4, 71. 94. 109. 134. 156. 250.
Pelinna, P. 10, 4.
Pellana, O. 7,$86 ; 9,105 ; 13,109$.
Pelops, O. 1, 24. $95 ; 3,23 ; 5,9 ; 10$ (11), 27.

Peneios, P. 9, 18; 10, 56.
Pentathlon, O. 13, 30 ; P. 8, 66.
Perfect, Doric. See Doric. emotional = pres., P.1, 13. gnomic, O. 1, 53. perceptual, $0.1,94$. $=$ present, 0.9,2.
Pergamos, $0.8,42$.
Periklymenos, P. 4, 175.
Persephone, 0. 14, 21.
mistress of Sicily, P.12,2.入єи́кเттая, $0.6,95$.
Perseus, P. 10, 31; 12, 11.
Personification, O. 2, 35; 4, 16; 7, 44; 10 (11), 15. 102; 11 (10), 3; 13, 10. 12; P. 1, 5. 25.30; 4, 202; 5, 31.61; 6,$19 ; 8,1$.
Phaisana, 0. 6, 34.
Phalaris, P. 1, 96.
Phasis, P. 4, 211.
Pheres, P. 4, 125.
Philanor, O. 12, 18.

Philoktetes, P. 1, 50.
Philyra, P. 4, 103; 6, 22.
Philyrides (Cheiron), P. 3, 1; 9, 32.
Phintis=Philtis, O. 6, 22.
Phlegyas, P. 3, 8.
Phoenician = Carthaginian, P.1,72. ware, P. 2, 67.
Phorkos, P. 12, 13.
Phrastor, O. 10 (11), 78.
Phrikias, P. 10, 16.
Phrix os, P. 4, 160. 242.
Phthia, P. 3, 101.
Pierides, O. 10 (11), 106 ; P. 1, 14; 6, 49 ; 10, 65.
Pindor, P. 9, 17.
Pitana, 0.6,28.
Plataia, battle of, P. 1, 78.
Play on words, $0.6,30.47 ; 8,25 ;$ P. 2,78; 3, 28 ; 4, 27.
Plural of abstracts, O.5, 20. adjective for sing., $0.1,52 ; \mathrm{P}^{\text {. }}$ 1,$34 ; 2,81$; 4, 247.
distributive, $0.9,21 ; 12,9$; P. 1, 4; 10,72.
for singular, $0.3,28 ; 7,35 ; 9$, 56 ; P. 2, 27 ; 3, 11 ; 4, 249. 256 ; 9, 113 .
of stateliness, $0.7,29 ; P .2,33$; 4,54. 160.
verb with disjunctives, P. 6, 13. neut. pl., O. 8, 12; 10
(11), 93 ; P. 1, 13 ;

4, 121.
Polydektes, P. 12, 14.
Polydeukes, P. 11, 62.
Polyidos, O. 13, 75.
Polymnestos, P. 4, 59
Polyneikes, O. 2, 47.
Porphyrion, P. 8, 12.
Poseidon, yatáo ${ }^{\circ}$ os, $0.1,25 ; 13,81$. tivá入ıos, P. 4, 204. Èvvorióas, P. 4, 33. 173. єйривіая, $0.6,58$; P. 2, 12; cf. $4,175$. ย่ำv $\mu$ í $\delta \omega \nu, 0.8,31$. !т-тархоя, P. 4, 45. Kро́vıos, O.6,29. ঠрботрі́аша, P. 2, 12. Петраїos, P. 4, 138.
Position of accusative, O.1,36.
after-thought, 0.7,60.
at beginning of epode, O.1, $81 ; 2,17.105 ; 3,26 ; 6,57$; 8, 59 ; 9, 53 ; 10 (11), 39 ; P. 1,$33 ; 2,41$; $5,24.85$; 9, 18

Position, effective, O. 1, 48 ; P. 1, 24 ; $9,55$.
of negative. See Negative. peculiar, P. 1, 95.
of prepositions, $\mathbf{O} .1,37$.
of proper names, P. 4,$2 ; 10$, 16.
suspensive. See Suspense.
Proposition, plastic use of, $0.1,6 ;$ P. 2,8.
position of, $0.1,37$.
put with second member, 0.7,12;8,47; P. 1, 14. $22 ; 2,59 ; 5,69 ; 9,99$.
Present and aorist, $0.1,30 ; 3,11 ; 9,15$. conative, $0.13,59$; P. 4, 106.
for future; O. 2, 98.
for perf., $0.6,90$.
historical. See Historical.
prophetic, $0.8,42$; P. 4, 49.
Priam, P. 1. 54; 11, 19.
Prolepsis, 0.10 (11), 1; 14, 22, P. 4, 241.

Proleptic (predicative) use of adjective, O. 1, 68.82. 88; 5, 23; 12, 2; 13, 83 ; P. 1, 52. 71.92 ; 4, 194 ; 10, 56.

Prometheus, 0. 7, 44.
Protogeneia, $0.9,44$.
Psaumis, $0.4,10 ; 5,3.23$.
Ptoiodoros, $\mathbf{O}$. 13, 41.
Pylades, P. 11, 15.
Pyromancy, $0.8,3$; P.11, 6 .
Pyrrha, 0.9, 46.
Pythonikos, P. 11, 43.
Relative begins myth, $0.1,25 ; 8,13$; 4,$19 ; 6,29 ; 8,31 ; 10(11)$, 27 ; P. 3, 8; 4, 4; 8, 39; 9, 5: 10,$31 ; 11,17$.
exclamatory, $0.1,16$.
loose agreement, P. 3, 18. 23 . position of (hyperbaton), 0.1 , 12; 2, 9. 25 ; 13, 31; Р. 5 , 78.
with subjunctive (generic), $0.3,13 ; 6,76 ; 8,11.23$.
Repetition, P. 1, 80; 9,123.
Repraesentatio, $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 , 8 0 .}$
Rhadamanthys, P. 2, 73.
Rhea, $\mathbf{0 . 2 , 1 3 . 8 5 .}$
Rhodes and art, 0.7,58.
Salamis, P. 1, 76.
Salmoneus, P. 4, 143.
Samos (Semos), O. 10 (11), 77.
Schema Alcmanicum, P. 4, 127. 179.

Schema Pindaricum, 0.11 (10), 6(?)
P. 9, 35 ; 10, 71 (?).

Seagods, oracular, P. 3, 92; 9, 102.
Semele, O. 2, 28 ; P. 11, 1.
Sequence of moods and tenses, P. 4, 155.

Seriphos, P. 12, 12.
Serpents, mantic, $0.6,45 ;$ P. $8,46$.
Shift from participle to finite verb, 0 . 1, 14; P. 1, 55 ; 3, 53.
Sicily, productions of, O.1, 13.
Sikyon, $0.13,109$.
Simonides, allusion to, $0.9,53$.
and Bakchylides, $\mathbf{0 . 2 , 9 6 .}$
Singular of a welded pair, $0.5,15 ; 9$, $16 ;$ P. 2,$9 ; 4,66 ; 10,4.10 ; 11,45$.
Sipylos, 0.1, 38.
Sisyphos, 0.13,52.
Solymoi, O. 13, 90.
Sostratos, O. 6, 9. 80.
Sparta, P. 1, 77; 5, 73.
Spartoi, P. 9, 89.
Spears, two, P. 4, 79.
Stadion, 0. 13, 37.
Strophios, P. 11, 35.
Stymphalos, 0. 6,84. 99.
Subject, change of, $0.3,22 ; 9,50 ; P$. 4,$25 ; 5,34$.
Subjunctive, pure (without $\left.{ }^{\alpha} \nu \nu\right)$, in generic sentences, 0.3 , 11: 6, 11.
short, $\mathbf{0 . 1 , 7 ; 2 , 2 ; 6 , 3 .}$ 24; 7, 3; P. 11, 10 .
Suspense, $0.6,9 ; 10$ (11), $34 ; 13,17$; P. 9, 5; 12, 7.

Synonyms, 0. 2, 32; 7, 56; 10 (11), 60 ; 14, 5; P. 1, 22. 40. 57; 3, 23; 8,$1 ; 10,1$.
Syracuse, 0.6, 6.92; P. 2, 1; 3, 70.
Tainaros, P. 4, 44. 174.
Talaïonides (Adrastos), 0. 6, 15.
Tantalos, O. 1, 36. 55.
Tartaros, P. 1, 15.
Taygete, $0.3,29$.
Taygetos, P. 1, 64.
Tegea, 0.10 (11), 73.
Telamon, P. 8, 100.
Telephos, O. $9,79$.
Telesikrates, P. 9, 3. 108.
Terpsias, O. 13, 42.
Teuthras, $0.9,76$.
Thaleis, $0.14,15$.
Theba, 0.6, 85.
Thebes, $0.6,16 ; 7,84 ; 18,107$.

Thebes, seven-gated, P. 3, 90; 8, 40; 9,$86 ; 11,11$.
renowned for chariots, $0.6,85$.
Themis, $0.8,22 ; 9,16 ; 13,8$; P.11, 9.

Theognetos, P. 8, 36.
Therapna, P. 11,63.
Theron, O. 2, 5. 105 ; 3, 3. 39. 43.
Thorax, P. 10, 64.
Thracians, P. 4, 205.
Thrasybulos, P. 6, 15. 44.
Thrasydaios, P. 11, 13. 44.
Thyona $=$ Semele, P. 3, 99.
Time an attendant, $0.2,11 ;$ P.4, 287.
Timosthenes, $0.8,15$.
Tiryns, $0.7,29 ; 10$ (11), 75.
Titans, P. 4, 291.
Tityos, P. 4, 46. 90.
Tlepolemos, 0.7,20. 77.
Tmesis, $0.6,14 ; 7,75 ; 8,19 ; 13,72$; P. 2, 9 ; 4, 67 ; 9,58.

Tongue, metaphors for, O. 6, 82.
Trajection. See Hyperbaton.
Tritonis, lake, P. 4, 20 .
Trojans in Kyrene, P. 5, 83.
Turf, a symbol, P. 4, 21.
Tyche, 0.12, 2.
Tyndaridai, $0.3,1.39$.
Typhoeus, $0.4,7 ;$ P. 1,$16 ; 8,16$.
Tyro, P. 4, 136.
Tyrrhenians, P. 1, 72.
Verbal construed as verb, P. 1, 95.
Verbals in-Tios, O.2,6,

Vocative, effect of, O. 1, 36; P. 4, 89. 175 ; 11, 62.
followed by $\delta i, 0.1,36 ; 8$, 15 ; P. 5,$45 ; 10,10 ; 11$, 41.

Water, O. 1, 1.
White horses, $0.6,14 ;$ P. 1,$66 ; 4,117$.
Wish passing over into condition, P. 1. $46 ; 4,43$.
Wrestling, order in, $0.8,68$.
Xanthos, O. 8, 47.
Xenarkes, P. 8, 19. 72.
Xenokrates, P. 6, 6.
Xenophon, O. 13, 28.
Zeugma, 0. 1, 88; P. 1, 40; 4, 106.
Zeus, bird of, O.2,97.
highway of, $0.2,77$.
hither realm of, O.2,64.
aloдoßро́vтая, $0.9,45$.
גрукє́раиуоя, $0.8,3$.

$\chi_{\text {еуе́ } 0 \lambda \text { лоs, } 0.8,16 ; ~ P . ~ 4, ~}^{167 .}$
 194.

そ́vios, $0.8,21$.
орбіктитоя, 0.10 (11), 80.
татท́р, О. 13, 26 ; Р. $3,98$.
बштíp, 0. 5, 17.
тíגelos, $0.13,115 ;$ P.1, 6 .
фоникобтьротая, O.9,6.



PA Pindar.
4274
.05
G5


[^0]:    B. L. G.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of course it may be said that Pindar was a Boeotian only in name. not in blood-belonging, as he did, to the old pre-Boeotian stock; but as he himself accepts the name with the responsibility (Botwria vis), we need go no further.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1 \text { " Both Aeschylus and Pindar speak of Etna in volcanic eruption. But }}$ Aeschylus-thoroughly Greek in this-fixes our thought on the scathe done to man's labor. Pindar gives a picture of natural grandeur and terror (P. 1, 20). The lines on the eclipse of the sun [fr. VII. 4] are sublime. But it is not the moral sublimity of Aeschylus. Pindar never rises into the sphere of titanic battle between destiny and will. He is always of the earth, even when he is among the gods."-Jebi.

[^3]:    " "The great Emathian conqueror bid spare
    The house of Pindarus when temple and tow'r
    Went to the ground."-Milion.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ See A. G. Enerlbricht, De Scoliorum Poesi, Vienua, 1882, p. 20.
    ${ }^{2}$ Evarlabecht, l. c. p. 95.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ O. 5, 15. If, however, that is not accepted as Pindaric, we have I. 1,
    

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ V. Menghini, Ercole nei canti di Pindaro. Milano, 1879.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ See H. Nettleship, Maurice Haupt, a Lecture, p. 18.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bippart, Pindar's Leben, Weltanschauung, und Kunst, Jena, 1848. Buchbolz, Die sittliche Weltanschauung des Pindaros und Aeschylos, Leipzig, 1869.
    ${ }^{2}$ A. Croiset, Pindare, pp. 162-291, has treated these matters in the right spirit, because he lias kept the setting for the most part.

[^9]:    
    
     बə̀v тa入ápc̣.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note on $\mathbf{v .} 62$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dionys. Hal., De compos, verborum, p. 150 (R.).
    ${ }^{3}$ In the treatise just cited Dionysios gives an analysis of one of Pindar's dithyrambs (fr. IV. 3), but his comments turn on phonetics. Another characteristic of Pindar may be found in his Veterum scriptorum censura, p. 224, which, though not free from professional cant, is worth
    
    
    
    
     тєєау $\dot{\eta} \theta \bar{\omega} \nu$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Od. 4, 2 : Monte decurrens velut amnis imbres quem super notas aluere ripas,
    forvet immensusque ruit profundo Pindarus ore.
    21.c.v.25: Multa Dircoum levat aura cycnum
    tendit, Antoni, quotiens in altos
    nubium tractus.

[^12]:    

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ It will be observed by those who know Pindar already, that I have taken no notice of the various interpretations and readings that have been suggested for this passage ( $0.13,114$ ). In an edition like the present, one has the right to choose what would be useful for beginners, or needful for self-vindication. Those who cannot believe that Pindar is speaking of his own feet may compare the metaphor in N. 5, $20: \mu a \kappa \rho a ́ \mu o t \mid a v ̉ r o ́ \theta \varepsilon \nu ~$
     side of the swimming singer, comp. Ar. Ran. 244 : ұaipovtes \%ōns $\pi 0 \lambda v$ -
     this passage, is to me as yet a mystery.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brāuning, De adjectivis compositis apud Pindarum, Berlin, 1881.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hor. Od. 4, 2, 10.11: Ses per audaces nova dithyrambos | verba devolvit.

[^15]:    2"A Greek who called a thought an ákóvn, was using a less startling image than we should use in calling it a whetstone; to call the teacher of a chorus a кратíp was not the same thing as it would be for us to call him a bowl."-JEBB.
    ' J. H. H. Schmidr, in his Griechische Synonymik, has paid much attention to Pindar. These matters have been touched lightly in the notes, in the hope that a good book, based on Schmidt, might one day supply the needs of our schools.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ A homely figure seems to underlie P. 1, 81: твíata бvvтаvv́catc. Of this the commentators have made nothing satisfactory, though the general drift is clear enough, "summing up the chief points of many things in brief compass." The metaphor of a rope-walk would explain бvутауv́бats, тєipata being the ropes or strands.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ See Index of Subjects, 8. v. Metaphors.

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ See note on P. 10, 68.

[^18]:    ${ }^{2}$ M. Schmidr, Ueber den Bau der Pindarischen Strophen, Leipzig, 1882.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Metris Pindari, p. 182.
    ${ }^{2}$ Prolegomena zu Aeschylos Tragödien, p. 75, Leipzig, 1869.
    ${ }^{3}$ Moriz Schmidt, Pindar's Olympische Siegesgesänge-Griechisch und Deutsch, Jena, 1869.

    - Terpandrian composition has found no favor with J. H. H. Sommor, Kunstfurmen is. p. 635 foge, or Crosskt, Pindare, p. $1 \geq 6$ sqq.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The organism is so elastic that Mezger makes eight parts, retaining the $\ell \pi a \rho \chi a ́$ rejected by Westphal.
    ${ }^{2}$ ' $O \mu \phi a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o \iota$ dicti primum Bogomili; deinde ita appellati per ludibrium a Barlamo Calabro monachi aetatis istius qui se ท̇бvұaбrác vocabant, a modo quo preces fundebant, кıvov̂vres nempe rd̀ aiodnròv
     -Ducange.

[^21]:    
    
     б̈ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho а \mu \mu \dot { \varepsilon } \nu а . ~}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Bulle makes the following summary, which shows how very elastic the $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ó $\mu \mathrm{o}$ is: (a) eight are excluded as not being constructed according to the $\tau \in \theta \mu$ os : (b) eight have the seven parts ; (c) fourteen have neither
    
     нєтакататротá (Philolog. Rundschau, 1881, col. 5).

[^22]:    
    
     ... חí $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime} \pi$ os). Nothing so evident as the threefold stelle of Dante, at the end of Inferno, Purgatorio, and Paradiso.
    
    
     (Aitvas) ; P. 3, 5. 74 (тотє́), 4 (Kрóvov), 57 (Kроviшข), and others.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Croiset's chapter on this subject in his "Pindare," p. 354 foll. The views I am here presenting I have long entertained, but in this, as in all other matters, I am more desirous of thinking a right thought than a new one. As I have not gone into the question of the relation of strophe to antistrophe and epode, I would add here that J. H. H. ScHyidr, in his

[^24]:    Kunstformen (III. p. 350), has shown that Pindar has paused about twice as often at the end of the strophe as at the end of the antistrophe. The object of this, as Schmidt thinks, is to break up the mechanical balance of strophe and antistrophe, or, as he puts it, $a+(a+b)$ is more common than $a+a+(b)$. This is, of course, a reinforcement of the position taken here.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ W. Fubtwãnalar, Die Siegesgesänge des Pindaros, Freiburg, 1859.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ J. H. H. Schmidt, Kunstformen, IV. p. 349.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Leopold Schmidt, Pindar's Leben und Dichtung, Bonn, 1862. Period I. (Ol. 69, 3 to 0l. 74, 2) embraces in the following order: P. 10, 6, 12,$7 ; 0.10,11$; N. 5. Period II. (01. 74, 3 to 01. 80), I. 5, 4, 7; P. 9, 11, 2; $0.14,3,2 ;$ P. $3 ;$ N. $9 ;$ P. 1 ; 0. 1, 12 ; I. $2 ; 0.6 ;$ P. 4, 5; 0. 7, 13

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ These metrical schemes are due to the kindness of Dr. J. H. H. Schmidr, and give a revision of those that appear in the first volume of his Kunstformen. For his system, see the Introduction to the Rhythmic and Metric of the Classical Languages, translated by Professor Jobn Williams White. Boston : Ginn \& Heath, 1878. A brief and lucid account of

[^29]:    it is given in the Introduction to Jebs's Oedipus Tyrannus. The summary presented here rests chiefly on what I have learned from Wkstphal, and especially from Scrmidt, and the phraseology is adapted from my Latin Grammar.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bars having five quavers are said to be used in the Combat des lutteurs, a part of Les Troyens à Carthage, by Berlioz.

[^31]:    ${ }^{2}$ In conformity with a hint from Dr. Schmidr himself, I have omitted in this edition the graphical designation of the responsions. It is hoped that the recurrent numbers will suffice to impress upon the student the principle of symmetry.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ See J. H. H. Schuidt, Kunstformen, IV. p. 650 foll.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Westphal, Metrik, I. p. 273, for the authorities.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Westphal, Metrik, I. p. 264.
    

[^34]:    2"[Mistral's poems] are written in a dialect which is neither the real old Provençal nor the modern patois, but a combination of the poet's own."-G. Monod.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ahrens, Ueber die Mischung der Dialecte in der griechischen Lyrik. (Verh. der Gött. Phil.-versamml., 1852, p. 65 sq.)

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ensuing pages are abridged from the dissertation of W．A．Peter， De dialecto Pindari，Halle，1866，with corrections and adaptations．Use has also been made of E．Mucke，De dialectis Stesichori，Ibyci，Simonidis， Bacchylidis aliorumque poetarum choricorum cum Pindarica comparatis． Leipzig， 1879.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ageinst the introduction of the digamma, see Muckx, p. 39.

[^37]:     Declension. Kúkvєєă (O. 10 [11], 17), Mıvúยєа (O.14, 17). Cump. the Aiolic form 'Odivacıa, retained in standard Greek. Also хрибохаїта (P. 2, 16), ย้ $\pi \iota \beta \delta \alpha \nu$ (P. 4, 140), and words in -трiava (O. 1, 40, 70 ; O. 8,48 ; P. 2, 12). G. s. masc. - . (Aiolic), Koovídao (P. 4, 171), more commonly -a (Doric), Kpovióa (O. 8, 43). G. pl. -âv (Doric), the only form: úpєrâv ăто $\pi a \sigma a ̂ v$ ( $0.1,14$ ). So the adj. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \hat{a} v(0.6,25)$, etc., with the accent on the last syllable, not ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. Dat. pl. -ans far more frequently than -aror, as oots far more frequently than -oror. Acc. pl. -as, but also the Aiolic -ass (I. 1, 24), as Aiolic -ots is suspected by Bergk (O. 2, 82). Proper naines in - $\lambda$ aos become - $\lambda$ as (Doric), and follow the A-declension 'Apкeriגas (P. 4, 65), 'Аркєбìд (P. 4, 2), vос. 'Аркєбi'גa (P. 4, 250. 298), but 'Iódaos usually retains the open form (O. 9, 105; P.9, 85 al .).

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Impugned by Christ, Philol. XXV. p. 628 ; Mucke, p. 29.

[^39]:    ${ }^{3}$ Erdmann, De Pindari usu syntactico, Halle, 1867.

[^40]:    - The dual is claimed as Boeotian on slight evidence, Mristris, Gr. Dial. I. p. 272.

[^41]:    ${ }^{2}$ Erdmann，l．c．；Friese，De casuum singulari apud Pindarum usu，Ber－ lin， 1866.

[^42]:    1 Two rather free uses of the acc．of extent are to be found in P．$A_{\text {i }}$ $83 ; 5,33$.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Berak, G. L. G. I. p. б7. Possession : $\sigma \grave{v}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\gamma a \mu \varepsilon \mu \nu 0 \nu i ́ q ~ \psi v \chi \bar{q}(P .11$,
    
    
     53). The Hebrew says "the son of five days." Place: iva入iav $\beta \bar{a} \mu \varepsilon \nu$
    
    ${ }^{2}$ For an application of this in criticism, see P. 4, 206.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is almost incredible that scholars should have been found to com-
    

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bosslez, De praepositionum usu apud Pindarum, Darmstadt, 1862.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Strin, De articuli apud Pindarum usu, Breslau, 1868, p. 34.

    - See Index of Subjects under Relative.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the list in Rutherford's New Pbrynichus, p. 383.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ American Journal of Philology, IV. pp. 158-166.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ See American Journal of Philology, III. p. 438.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ For particulats see American Journal of Philology, III. pp. 446-456;
    B. Breymr, Analecta Pindarica, p. 12 foll.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ American Journal of Philology, IV. p. 419.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Weber, Entwickelungsgeschichte der Absichtssätze, p. 72 ; American Journal of Philology, IV. p. 481.
    ${ }^{3}$ American Journal of Philology, IV. p. 429 (note).
    ${ }^{4}$ For details see American Journal of Philology, III. pp. 484-445.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ For examples see Index of Subjects, 8. v. Condition.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ American Journal of Philology, II, pp. 467-469.
    ${ }^{2}$ American Journal of Philology, III. p. 192 foll. Transactions Amer, Philol. Assoc., 1878, p. 11 (for the position).

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iudicium de lsaev, 5 y8 (R). Comp. Am. Juurn. of Phil. IX. p. 142.

[^54]:     Participle after Ferbs of Perception.
    
    
    

[^55]:    ${ }^{2}$ See American Journal of Philology, IV. p. 165.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ėбopévas amounts to an adj. (0. 12, 8), like the Lat. futurus. An
     I. 2, 46 : оúк ìıvv́боута؟ aúrov̀s єipyaбá $\mu a \nu$.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Classen, Beobachtungen über den homerischen Sprachgebrauch, p. 180 .
     ity of the dragons having opened the gates. This would have been naturally oígavтєs mỉגas. In Latin the first inference with the passive form of the abl. absol. is the identity of the agent with the subject of the senteuce; in Greek with the passive form of the gen. absol. it is the last, and, to say the least, rare.

[^58]:    ${ }^{3}$ See W. J. Alezander in American Journal of Philology, IV. 291 foll., and my Justin Martyr, Apol. I. 3, 4; 19, 5.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ Harre, De verborum apud Pindarum conlocatione, Berlin, 1867.

[^60]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Index of Subjects under Position.
    ${ }^{2}$ More stress might have been laid on the regular interposition of the preposition between attribute and substantive or substantive and attribute.
    See notes on $0.1,37 ; 5,22 ;$ P. 8,88 .

[^61]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the historical introductions, especial acknowledgments are due so Mezger.

[^62]:    ${ }^{\prime} E \pi . \beta^{\prime} .-52$. ä $\pi$ ора： $\mathrm{O} .10(11), 44$ ：ä 10 opov．The plur．exagger－

[^63]:    'En. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.-81. Өuyarpos: The sense was fairly complete with vápov. Comp. the structure of the strophe. P. likes this method

[^64]:    
    
     song, which will help forward the glory of Hieron, as told in the
    
     path is to be opened by poesy for rhetoric.-111. map' eviosiedov ... Kporvov: The famous hill at Olympia, on the summit of which sacrifices were offered to Kronos. See $\mathbf{O} .5,17 ; 6,64 ; 9,3$. The sunniness of Olympia is emphasized, O. 3, 24.-112. $\beta$ enos . . . $\tau \rho t-$ \$at: Poetical and musical bolts are familiar. $0.2,91 ; 9,5 ; 13$,
    

[^65]:    ＇Avt．$\beta^{\prime}$＇－31．iv кaì oa入áनợ：Here кaí belongs to $\lambda$ ézouts （Bossler）．－32．кópaı兀ı Nךp ＇Péas．The Nereids are the daughters of Nereus and the sea（ $\xi$ $\boldsymbol{d} \lambda$ s）．Nereus is＂water＂（mod．Gr．$\nu \in \rho o$ ），as his spouse is Doris
    
     sion seems redundant，unless we remember that Biocon expresses the enjoyment of life，and not the mere duration（xpóvos）．－

[^66]:     Artemis puts on the trappings when Hieron yokes his horses．Ho－
     Refers to a previous visit，the memory of which was recalled by the nakedness of the кâmos．The circumstances of the two visits are different；the first visit（from Arcady）was under the stress of àváккa，and at the bidding of the hated Eurystheus，and the second visit（from Elis）was in faithful love（ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{a} \phi \rho o \nu e ́ \omega \nu$ ），at the bidding of his own spirit．－סsspâv：0．9，63：Maıva入iaurıv èv ס̀єıpais．－28．áyүє入iaus：The plural of an impressive message，also
     sage to Herakles by Kopreus（ $1.15,639$ ），a proceeding which
    
     táv．The extension of $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \tau$ ．from $\pi$ тарабкєvá $\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to $\delta \iota \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$（Schol．） is not Homeric．－$\pi a \tau \rho 6 \theta \in v:$ The àáyкa bound sire as well as son． The story of the oath of Zeus and the consequent suljection of Herakles to Eurystheus is told，Il．19， 95 sqq．—29．xpuóóкepon \％．

[^67]:    
     －$\mu$ ápvarat：The singular number of a welded pair．－$\pi \rho \dot{\text { es és épov：}}$ ＂With victory in view，veiled though it be with risk．＂The chariot－race was a risk to person as well as to property．See P． 5，49．－16．ท̀v̀ 8＇éxovecs：The successful are the wise－an old
     díals：Who are the last to recognize merit in a fellow－citizen． P．11，28：како入о́yot ঠè по入îтаи．

[^68]:    
    

[^69]:     H 2

[^70]:    
    
    
    
     (4), 10.-80. évávopa: Applied to the Peloponnesos, O. 1, 24 ; to the Lokrians, $0.10(11), 109$; to Argos, N. 10, 36 ; to the sturdy Acharnians, N. 2, 17.-82. $\delta 6 \xi \operatorname{sav} . . . \pi v o a i s:$ One of the harshest combinations in P., at least to our feeling, but the tongue is freely handled in Greek. It is a bow, I. 4 (5), 47 : $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{o}$
     the use of $\gamma \lambda \omega \chi^{i} \nu$, So. Tr. 681). Being a dart, it can be hammered, P. 1, 86 : $\chi$ á $\lambda \kappa \epsilon v \epsilon \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \nu$, or sharpened, as here. The trainer is a Nagia áкóva, I. 5 (6), 73, and the poet's tongue is to be edged as the spirit of athletes is edged, $0.10(11), 22$. The word $\lambda_{\text {cyupâs is not used in a bad sense; the Greeks liked piercing }}$
     the sound of the whetstone was the voice of the Muses. The shrill whetstone that $P$. feels on his tongue accosts him with sweet breathings, and with a welcome message. - र入úgoq̨: We want the dative and accept the hiatus, as $0.3,30$ : ' $\mathrm{O} \rho \theta \omega \sigma$ oia є̈paqev.-83. трогєртєt: So with Mommsen and the best MSS. The inferior MSS. have $\pi p o \sigma e \lambda_{k}$, " draws to," with dee $\lambda_{\text {ovta }}$ as an oxymoron, "which to harmonious breath constraineth me noth-

[^71]:    ＇Aעt．є＇．－92．elmóv：So the best editors with Ailios Dionysios． －＇Oproyias：Sacred to Artemis，an Arkadian goddess．－94．фoır－ $\kappa \delta \pi \kappa \zeta a v:$ So called with reference to the color of the ripening grais －95．$\Delta$ áparpe：Hieron was an nereditary priest of Demeter ant Persephone，who belonged to the Triopian deities，as did Apollo

[^72]:    ＇Eл．a＇．－15．Tıцó⿱日өeves：A brother of Alkimedon．On 8 é after
     Every man has his $\delta a i ́ \mu \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \theta \lambda$ ios（ $0.13,105$ ）．He who has
    
     ＂illustrious．＂－19．छ̈pyч ：Parallel with éropầ，as if the dat．force of the inf．were felt $(=\sigma ँ \psi \epsilon t)$ ．The $\tau \epsilon$ complements：appearance
    
    
    
    
     Túxa．－$\Delta$ ios $\overline{\text { Exiov：}}$ ：Owing to the active commerce of Aigina， many suits were brought by strangers before the courts，hence the special propriety of $\xi \in \nu i o v$ ．The probity of the Aiginetans
    
     $\chi^{\text {aious עó } \mu o t s .- \text {－árкeitrat：＂Is honored，＂＂receiveth homage．＂N．}}$
    
    

[^73]:    ＇Àt．$\beta^{\prime}$＇－42．цaviautv ทimokpéket：＂Keeps in unison with the discordant notes of madness．＂－43．$\pi \delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \mu$ оv $\mu$ а́хаข $\tau \epsilon$ ：The com－ bination of two substantives with $\tau \epsilon$ is common enough in this poem，so $\vee \mathrm{v} .16,43,46,75,89$ ．It is very rare in model prose，and hence it may be noted as a curiosity that it is exceptionally com－ mon in Plato＇s Timaios－Timaios being an Epizephyrian Lokri－ an．－44．Хшpış ádaváruv：$\chi$ ．，＂apart from，＂＂aside from．＂－ф́́poss： Imper．opt．＂Lend．＂－Прштoүeveias：P．seems to have been very familiar with local myths of the Lokrians．The story as told by

[^74]:    'Er. $\beta^{\prime}$.-53. $\lambda$ fyovrt $\mu$ úv: $\mu a ́ v$ with a note of defiance. Cf. P.

[^75]:    ${ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$. －15．＇Atpéкeta：Not the same with＇A入á $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text {eia }}$ above． $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon t a}$ is truth，as＂candor；＂áтрє́кєta，＂truth，＂as＂straightfor－ wardness，＂＂unswerving accuracy，＂a business virtue．Fides iustitiaque（Dissen）．In＇Aтре́кєєa there may be an allusion to the uprightness of Zaleukos，the Lokrian lawgiver．The Lokri－ ans love honesty．I am honest．They love song．I sing．They are warlike．I will tell of war．－16．Ka入入ıóma：Afterwards es－ pecially the heroic Muse．Stesichoros，＂who bore the weight of the epos on the lyre＂（Quintilian），was of Lokrian origin．－17．
     The short $a$ ，as in＇oóv́б⿱㇒日धa（Aeolic）．Kyknos was slain by He－ rakles in the grove of the Pagasaian Apollo because he had seized the victims destined for the Delphian shrine．So Stesi－ choros．The poem was doubtless familiar to the Lokrians．The nexus is not over－clear．It is tolerably evident，however，that the victory of Agesidamos was gained after a hard struggle． In the first encounter Kyknos was aided by his father，Ares，and
     our Lokrian Herakles，Agesidamos，found his one adversary too much for him，and he would have failed，had it not been for the help of his trainer，Ilas，whether that help was the training itself or encour＇agement during the struggle．The parallel of Patroklos

[^76]:     sition，especially effective at the end of the verse．$\quad \lambda$ árptov $=a \dot{a} r i$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i a s$, the well－known menial service of cleansing the stalls． ＂That he might exact of Augeias，despite unwillingness and o＇er－ weening might，the wage for his menial service．＂Some com－

[^77]:     word was a puzzle here. "Beyond the reach of envy," Böckh after the Schol., who says that images may be taken down, but the hymn cannot be destroyed.-8. äүкเтаи: The best MSS, have
     The song is an ává $\eta_{\eta} \mu a, \mathbf{O}, 13,36 ;$ I. 4 (8), 17.-rà $\mu \hat{v} v:$ Schol.:
     As often, $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon$ attack different members of the antithesis with chiastic effect, P.1, 21. - $\dot{\alpha} \mu \tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} \mathrm{a}:$ : Plural of the chorus.9. тоıमаivew: "Tend," "cherish," "make our care." Comp. also

[^78]:    'Avr. $\beta^{\prime}$.-33. $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\text { entrav: The Isthmian wreaths were at first made }}$ of pine, then of parsley ( $\mathrm{I} .2,16 ; \mathrm{N} .4,88$ ), then pine was re

[^79]:    'Avr. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ '-27. oiov: Exclamatory, O. 1, 16.-28. $\sigma т \rho \omega \mu \nu a ́: ~ T h e ~$

[^80]:     ＂Achievements．＂－42．бофоі：Specifically of poets．Cf．O．1，9；

[^81]:     L 2

[^82]:    "Eт. á.-17. кгiגov: Lit. "Tame pet." "Minion," "favorite," "cherished.".-ăүєь: Without an ohject. "Is in the van," "leads," or neg. " cannot be kept back." So N. 7, 23: бофia $8 \grave{\epsilon}$
    
    
    
     19: ¥evápketov vióv. Hieron was the son of Deinomenes, and his son, after the Greek fashion, was also called Deinomenes. See P. 1, 58.-Zeфvpia . . . $\pi$ aptévos: The Lokrian women held an exceptional position in Greece. Lokrian nobility followed the distaff side (comp. O. 9,60) and Lokrian poetesses were famous. But here we have simply an expression of popular joy, such as virgins especially would feel, and Lokrian virgins would freely express- $\pi \rho \dot{\partial} \delta \delta \delta_{\mu \omega v}$ : Why $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ סó $\mu \omega \nu$ ? Why "haven under the

[^83]:    ＇A $\nu \tau$ ．8＇．－77．${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda(\alpha):$＂Well，＂since that may not be．－inev́ga－ ס0au：＂Offer a vow to，＂not simply＂pray．＂－iè $1 \omega$ ：See P．1， 62. －78．Matpi：Magna Mater or Rhea（Kybele is not mentioned in Pindar）．The worship of this Phrygian goddess was hereditary in the flute－playing family of P．（see P．12），and he had a chapel in front of his house dedicated to the joint service of Rhea and Pan．Among the koîpat，who sang rapOévia by night to the two deities，are said to have been P．＇s daughters，Eumetis and Proto－ mache．The Scholiasts tell us that Magna Mater was têv עóv $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$
     siders them to be nymphs．But there is an evident connection between the $\mu_{0} \lambda \pi \dot{\eta}$ and the $\epsilon \pi \epsilon v \chi \dot{\eta}$. －oviv Пavi：Cf．fr．VI． 1 ：©
     ．．．кopu申áv：＂The right point（the lesson）of sayings．＂－$\mu$ aveávwv ：

[^84]:    * Doric form of Arkesilaos.

[^85]:     the MSS. points. avagrain, the opt., is a rare sequence and cannot be paralleled in P. As there is no touch of a past element, àvacrain would be a wish, and detach itself from $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma o v$. See Am. Journ. of Phil. IV. p. 425.-vérecpov, itself threatening, is reinforced by како́v.-156. "Eropar | тoîos: "I will be such" as thou wishest me to be, will do everything thou wishest. Comp. the
     longed to the same generation with Iason, acc. to Pindar (see v. 72), although not acc. to Homer, who makes Aison and Pelias half-brothers (Qd. 11, 254 foll.). This makes the fraud transparent. Notice also his vigorous entrance (v. 94). It is true that his daughters cut him up, in order to restore his youth, but that does not prove that he was as old a man as Aison.- 158 . бòv $\delta^{\prime}$ ävoos $\bar{\eta} \beta$ as кv $\mu$ aivet : к. "is swelling," "is bourgeoning." $\kappa \hat{v} \mu a$ is not only the "wave," but also the "swelling bud." (J. H. H. Schmidt). -159 . коц(₹at : This refers to the ceremony of à ád

[^86]:    Žp. ta'-231. Ovøávฯ: "Flocks."-232. aů8áซavtos: Gen. abs.

[^87]:    
    
    
    
     －242．iktávraav：Poetical condensation．Phrixos had slain the ram with his sacrificial knife in honor of Zev̀s＾aфv́ortos，flayed
     € $\lambda \pi$ тоцat contains an element of wish it may take the aor．$\pi \rho a ́ \xi a-$ $\sigma \theta a u$（with the MSS．）instead of the future，but P．uses the first aor．only here，and the neg．ov favors $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \in \sigma \theta a t(P .1,43)$ ，unless we write кєìvóv кє．Comp．P．3，43．The subject of $\pi \rho a$ ág．is $^{\text {．}}$ ＇Iácova．Easier $\pi \rho a ́ \xi$ ．as fut．pass．（note on v．15）with oi＝＇Iá⿱宀⿱一兀口ov． Perh．$\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \dot{d}_{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ ．－244．$\lambda$ б́хия：The grove of Ares．－єіхєто ．．． yevówv：＂Was sticking to the jaws．＂The dragon guarded it thus when he saw Iason approaching．－245，vaûv крáret：The absence of the article does not exclude the Argo，which is never lost sight of（ $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \mu \hat{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o v \sigma a}$ ）．The antecedent of the relative does not require the article．－246．тé̀coav à ．．．ovóápov：Pict－ uresque addition．The finishing of the ship was the beginning， the finishing of the dragon the achievement，and there the main story ends．

[^88]:    ＇Ел．иa＇．－247．цахра́：For the plur．O．1，52；P．1， 34 ；N．4， 71. From this point to the end of the story proper（v．256），P．has nothing but aorists，whereas the statistics of the myth show the proportion of imperf．to aor．to be $1: 1.78$ ，which is unusually
     point of this is heightened by the existence of grooves in the

[^89]:     participle ( $\mathrm{P} .6,46$ ) which recalls $\nu \nu \mu \mu$, balances $\sigma v ̀ \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \mu a i ̂ s$, and, like $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \mu a i s$, gives at once the cause and condition

[^90]:    'Ayt. 1 '. - 285. тois dyalois: Doubtless in the conservative
     action"-a hint, if one chooses, to Arkesilas, but on my theory
     daric freedom $=\delta \dot{\delta}$ кal $\rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \theta \rho \dot{~} \pi \omega \nu$. "The favorable season." -287. 0epámwv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ fot, $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .:$ The Greeks conceive Time and man as companions (ó $\chi$ रóvos $\sigma v \nu \dot{\varrho} y$, Soph.). See O.2,11. If, as Hesiod says, Day is sometimes a stepmother, sometimes a mother to a man (O. et D. 825), so a man may be a son or a stepson to Time-an attendant ( $\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ), as Patroklos was on Achilles, or a mere drudge. A $\theta \in \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu$ is one who has rights, who can avail himself of an opportunity without servility.-288. тоvิт' ávıapóтatov: "A sorrow's crown of sorrow."-289. ikтds "x́xtv $\pi 68 \mathrm{a}:$ "To
    
     recalls very prettily v. 267.-290. ámó: "Far from, reft of."-291. Tırâvas: The comparison shows that Damophilos has been at least indiscreet.-xpóve: In the introduction stress has been laid on the fulfilment of prophecy, long postponed, yet unfailing; and, if the catch-word theory is worth anything, it is at least to be noted that $\chi$ póv@ occurs four times, each time at the end of a verse ( $\mathrm{v} .55,78,258,291$ ), where the position demands

[^91]:    * Details for both odes in J. E. H. Schmide, Kunstformen, IV. 497-507.

[^92]:    'Ел. a'.-23. 'A of the joy of poesy. - 24. Kupávav: So Bergk for Kvpávą. K.
    
     (P. 2, 2) тє́ $\mu \in \nu o s$ "Apeos. Kyrene, a luxurious place, was famed for its roses, flowers sacred to Aphrodite.-áetסóncvov: With $\sigma \epsilon$. This gives the necessary contrast, whereas with kâmov it would only be a picturesque detail. "While thy praises are sung, do not forget what thou owest to God, what thou owest to Karrhotos." According to Bergk, the inf. gives the contents of the
     a song that resounds about Kyrene: Ascribe everything to God." Cf. P. 2, 23. This message is supposed to have been delivered to Kyrene by an oracle.--25. vimepri日f $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v}$ : The sense is "to give the glory of everything to God." The figure is that of setting up God, as the author, over the achievement, which is the pedestal. -26. Kápparov: Arkesilas' wife's brother, who was the charioteer.
    

[^93]:     Whose heart？The Schol．：є̀v $\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta} \\ \tau \hat{\eta} \\ \text { eavtov kapoía，and that is }\end{aligned}$ the only natural construction of the Greek．Dissen and others think of the bitter hatred of the Athenians towards the Aigine－ tans．＂Plants deep in his heart ruthless resentment．＂If＇H $\sigma v-$ रia were meant，we should expect $\tau \in a ̣ .-10$ ．тpaxeîa ．．．vinavtió Faraa：＂Meeting the might of embittered foes with roughness．＂ Tranquillity（conservatism）is harsh whenever it is endangered． No class more cruel than the repressive．－11．$\tau \boldsymbol{\theta} \theta \mathrm{eis} . . . \mathrm{e}^{2} v$ âvr $\lambda \varphi$ ： $\ddot{a} \nu \tau \lambda o s$ is＂bilgewater＂$(0.9,57)$ ．äv $\nu \lambda o \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$ is＂to spring
    
     scuttle，＂or，if that is unlyrical，＂to sink．＂The Schol．，adoviלets
     the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} s \Gamma \iota \gamma a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ mentioned below，attempted to hurl Delos heavenward，and was shot by Apollo，who is，among other things， the god of social order．If there is any special political allusion， this would seem to refer to parties within rather than enemies without．$-\mu \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu=\tilde{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega$ ，Schol．$\pi a ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ and $\lambda \dot{a} \theta \epsilon \nu$ are unnecessary conjectures．－14．cil tเs ．．．фépot：We should expect єĭ тts ．．． $\phi \dot{f} \rho \in \iota$（see note on $0.6,11$ ），but the opt．is used of the desira－ ble course．Comp．I． 4 （5），15．One of Pindar＇s familiar foils

[^94]:     See v. 14.-128. тè̀os . . . ăkpov: Praemium summum (Dissen), "the great prize." - 129. ánéycofal: Where we should expect
     sides, a note of triumph in the present. So dyєע below, v. 133.$\delta_{s}$ à $\ldots$. . 廿av́rete: The oratio recta would be ôs ầ . . . $\psi a v i \sigma \eta$, and ôs àv... 廿av́rete would be a slight anakoluthon. This, however,

[^95]:     mystic animal. Hence the ready belief that the Jews worshipped an ass. See Justin Martyr, Apol. I. 32, and esp. c. 54, where

[^96]:    

