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## PREFACE.

Tris work should be taken for what its name imports: the "Draft Outlines of an International Code." It is not put forth as a completed Code, nor yet as the completed outlines of a Code, but as a draft of the outlines. It is in. tended for suggestion, and is to undergo careful and thorough revision.

The history of the undertaking is this: At the meeting of the British Association for the promotion of Social Science, held at Manchester in September, 1866, I ventured to propose the appointment of a committee to prepare and report to the Association the Outlines of an International Code, with the view of having a complete Code formed, after careful revision and amendment, and then presented to the attention of governments, in the hope of its receiving, at some time, their sanction. The proposition was favorably received, and a committee was appointed, consisting of jurists ot different nations. In the distribution of the labor among the members of the committee, a portion was assigned to me. It was at first understood, the.t, after preparing their respective portions, the members should interchange them with each other, and then meet for the revision of the whole and the completion of the joint production. But the distance of the members from each other has made it difficult for them to take note of each
others' progress, and to interchange their respective contributions with advantage, previous to a general meeting for consultation and revision. I have therefore thought it most convenient, for the other members of the committee as well as for myself, to present my own views of the whole work, by essaying a draft of the whole, hoping that my colleagues may do the same. However little my labors may be worth, I submit them, though with great diffidence, as my contribution to the general design.

The scheme embraced not only a codification of existing rules of international law, but the suggestion of such modifications and improvements as the more matured civilization of the present age should seem to require. The purpose was to bring together whatever was good in the present body of public law, to leave out what seemed obsolete, unprofitable or hurtful, and then to add such new provisions as seemed most desirable. The Code, which the Association would propose, is such an one as should win the commendation of good and wise men, for international regulations, in the interests of humanity and peace. With the view of aiding in the formation of such a Code, the present work has been undertaken. What in it is old will generally be found explained and justified by the notes; what in it is ne $x$ is suggested for the consideration of those who think that much may yet be done by the authority of public law, for the peace and prosperity of the world.

There will of course be found many omissions and many mistakes. In the progress of the work some provisions
have been introduced which require a modification of earlier ones, but they will be readily perceived. Thus the word "league" was in some instances used to designate a measure of distance, before the details of the Title on "Weights and Measures" were fixed upon.

In the preparation of this volume $I$ have had the assistance of several gentlemen, to whom I am under great obligations. I would especially mention President F. A. P. Barnard, of Columbia College, who prepared the Titles on "Money," "Weights and Measures," "Longitude and Time," and "Sea Signals." I must acknowledge my indebtedness also to Messrs. Austin Abbott, Charles Francis Stone, and Howard P. Wilds, gentlemen of the New York Bar, who have greatly aided me in different parts of the work.

David Dudley Field.

Niw York, November, 28 ga.
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## DRAFT OUTLINES

## INTERNATIONALCODE.

## preliminali articles.

Abticis: 1. Adopting C"ause<br>2. " Nation" defised.<br>3. L'me of the term " Nation" in thim (combe.<br>4. Tre of the serm "Person" in this Coble.<br>5. "Mesaber" defined.<br>6. "Sublect " and " (Itizen " definoul.<br>7-11. Divisions of the Code.

## Adopting clause.

Article 1. The following rules are established and declared, by the nations assenting hereto, as an International Code, by which those nations, and their members, respectively, shall be governed in their relations with each other.

As to the extent to which existing special ineaties will twe abograted. wee rejecting clause.

By another article any two mations may, by wpecial ireaty. modify the application of any of the provisions of this Code, as betwern themselves and permons and things subject so their exclusive jurisdiction.

A question arises, how far the rules of this code shall be applime by the nations uniting in it to other nathons and their members.

There is a large class of subjects, chiefly thowe known under the gencric tible of Puvate Inteisational, faw, in which she adtantago of a unfform rule depends partly upon ies being a rule rosting nos mon much upon convention, binding only the nations which acrede so it , wo upon principles of jurisprudence, applicable in all courts, and propers ton
be imposed upon persons of every nationality, withont reference to the question whether the mations of which such persons are members have agreed in the adoption of the rule. The provisions of Division Second of Book First are of this general nature. It may be a question, there fore, whether the npplication of that Division ought to be restricted th the nations uniting in the Cole, and to the members of such mations. When, for instance, a question arises as to the right of a foreigner to hold real property, or to reclaim a wreck, or to claim for his ship the privileges of a domestic ship, the provisions of the First Division will be found not to apply, unless he be a member of a nation uniting in the Coble. But, if the question arises in any of the courts of the nations uniting in the Code, whether a foreign marriage or divorce is valid; whether a foreign contract is to be judged by the law of one place, or that of another; or a question upon any other of the rules contained in Division Sccond, it may be thought that the rules prescribed by the Conle should be applicable, without reference to the nationality of the parties. The inconsenience or incompleteness of a rule on such sub. jects of private right, which should be applicable to the transaction, as fur ouly as might affect the interests of foreigners of certain mationalities, but not so far as to affect those of the members of the nation, or of forcigners generally, is obvious. If it is desired to give these rules such a general character as will, so far as the courts of the assenting mations are concerned, solve and terminate the Conflict of Laws, the foilowing clanse may le added to Article 1 ;-

And the provisions of Division Second of Baok First, entitled Private International. Law, are to be applied, in each nation which is a party to this Code, not only to foreigners who are members of nations parties to this Code, but also to their own members, and to forcigners of whatsoever nation, except where a more restricted intention appears.

## "Nation" defined.

2. A nation is a people permanently occupying a definite territory, having a common government, peculiar to themselves, for the administration of justice and the preservation of internal order, and capable of maintaining relations with all other governments.
1 Phillimore's Intcrnational Lave, p. \%; 1 Kent's Commentaries, 18. And see Texas r. White, 7 Willace's U. S. Suprome Court Reports, 700. Bhuntechli, (I)roit International Codifié, Art. 18,) adds the restriction that sffiucient guaranties of stability should be indicated.

A people whose government is not independent, but vassal,-such as that of Egyp,-or incapable of maintaining international relations,such as those of the States of the American Cinion,-and a people ocen pying no definite territory,-such as nomadic tribes in Asia and Africa, -or having abandoned one territory to take possession of another,-as in the case of the Mormon emigration,--are not nutions, within the pro risions of this Code: although they may be regarded as such for some
purposes, and the two latter clasmes may make treation. Itumbohli, Itr Intern. Coul., 8 89 21, 22.

Austin, (Procince of Jurigprudence, p. 199.) elted by Jauerenee, (Coom mentairo sur Wheaton, p. 105.) prefen to ume the term in an ethnologie mones ; and denfgnatex an fudependent political body an a "State." But the word "States" in by unage appropriate to designate sotne political lodiex not ladependent; and we prefer the tern "nation" for thow that are independent. Wog here define a nation an it exbate an a juilitiral fact. For an eloquent discussion of the element of liberty or mpontaneley in the right of natlonallty, see Fhore, Noureate Droit Internationat, par Pradier-Fodéré, vol. 1, ch. 1, p.97, and note on p. 119. Fiore defimen a nation thun: " t'ne libre et mpontancée anmociation de pernonnex ujul, par " communauté du sang, de langue, d'aptitude, par une aflintté de vie cis "He, de temperament, de vocation, mont aptew, et prodimpories a la plase "grande union soclale."

As to the exceptlonal came of Indian or other subordinate tritwo. within the territory of a nation, but having a quasi national exintence of their own, See Cherokee Nation e. Georgia, 5 Peters' U. St Sugpeme Court Reports, 1; Mackey e. Coxe, 18 Hoteard's U. S. Suppreme Cours Reports, 100; Goodell e. Jackson, 20 Johnson's Regarts. (Nen York, 693, and 188 ; Lancrence, Com, sur Whenton, 264.

## Use of the term " nation" in this Code.

3. Whenever the word "nation" is hereafter used in this Code, it signifies only a nation party to it, except when an intention to signify any nation whatever is expressed.

Use of the term "person" in this Code.
4. Whenever the word "person" is used, it signi. fies only a person who is a member, or subject to th. jurisdiction of, one of the nations, except when an intention to signify any person whatever is expressed.
"Member" defined.
5. A member of a nation is a person who, accord. ing to the rules prescribed in the chapter on Natiosia. Character of Persons, is one of the people compos. ing such nation.

## "Subject" and "citizen" defined.

6. The members of a nation in which the sovereign power is rested in a particular person or persons. are
called subjects; the members of a nation in which the sovereign power is rested in the people, are called citizens.

## Divisions of the Code.

7. This Code is divided into two Books.

The first treats of the relations of nations and of their members to each other, except as they are modified by a state of war.

The second treats of the modifications in the relations of nations and of their members to each other, produced by a state of war.

## The same.

8. The Fiest Book has two Divisions.

The first Division, entitled Public International Law, contains the rules respecting the relations of nations to each other and to the members of other nations.

The second, entitled Private International Law, contains the rules respecting the relations of the members of a nation to the members of other nations.

The same.
9. The First Division of the Finst Book has four Parts.
The first Part concerns the relations of nations to each other.

The second concerns the relations of a nation to the persons and property of members of other nations.

The third contains provisions intended solely for the mutual convenience of nations and of their members.

The fourth contains provisions intended solely for the preservation of peace.

The same.
10. The Second Division of the Fibst Book has two Parts.

The first Part defines the private rights of persons.' as affected by the relations of nations.

The second regulates the administration of justicr in respect thereto.
-Perhaps thla whould be all permons whatwover; note to Artlele I
The same.
11. The Second Book has three Divisions.

The first Division treats of belligerents ;
The second of allies;
The third of neutrals.

## B00K FIRST.

## PEACE.

Division Fitet. Pembe Intehnational, Law.<br>Eecond. Phivate Intersational law.

## DIVISION FIRST.

## PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW.

Paitt 1. Rehations of Nations to eacil other.<br>II. Relations or a Nation to the Pramose and Propo. ebty of the Members of ctheb Nation.<br>III. Unimone Regerations pon Motcial Convenience:<br>IV. Phovisions for the: Puesehvation of Peace.

## PARTI.

THE: RELATIONS OF NATIONS TO EACH OTHER.
Title 1. Risential. Rehts.
II. Fixthatermitohal Acthon.
III. Intemcourse.
IV. Intensational. Compacts.
V. Removal of Pereons.

## TITLEI.

ERSENTIA, RIGHTS OF NATHONS.
Cinatrin I. Sovereignty.
11. Vquality.
111. Perpetuity.
N. 'Territory.
V. Property and Domain.

The right of a nation to protect its members is not separately treated ; lecause, fis authority, within the national jurisdiction, is sufliciently recog. nized ly l'art 11.: while the rights of members beyond the jurisdiction as defincel ly various provisions of this Code, are to be peacefully enforced under Part 1 V .

## CHAPTER I.

## SOVEREIGNTY.

Abticief 12. "Sovereignty" defined.
13. Foreign powers not entitled to act within a nation.
14. Sovereignty, how vested.
15. Sovereign or chief officer not subject to cther jurisdiction.
"sovereignty" defined.
12. Every nation is sovereign within its own jurisdiction ; that is to say, it is, of right, independent of all foreign interference, and free to express and enforce its will, by action within its jurisdiction, without opposition from any foreign power.

The independence and liberty thus enjoyed by each nation are not absolute, but are limited by the equal freedom and independence of others, by the provisions of this Code, and by the special compacts to which the nation is a party.
See Larrenciox Whenton, 132; 1 Wildman. Int. Lenr, 47; 1 Phill. Intern. Lair, 164 ; Iaturence, Com. aur I'heaton. p. 161.
Blantschli enumerates the following rights as included in the sov. ereignty of a nation:

1. To make its own constitution;
2. To legiolate indegrendently for lite poople and territory :
3. To govern and admininter itmelf;
4. To choow les own officers:
5. Toappoint and aceredit lea repremontationen to foreigu nationa.

Fiore, Noue. Dr. Int. (ch. II., pt. I.) layn atrewn on the right of organiza thon as the central element of interaal moverelgnty, and this in the Imar. lean doctrine.

The right of melf.preservation is evidently Inherent in that of moves eignty, but it seemn unnecemary to define it. If it were to be defined, it might perhapm be in such a moxle as follows:

Every nation has the right of nelf-proservation: to be made effectual within ita territorial jurisdiction by any means which ite aovereignty can exercise; and beyond ita jurielletion. by auch means an are comsistent with the provisions of this Cixte, and of its own special compacts. Ortaditis. Reglea Int. el Dipl. de Ia Mer. vol. 1, p. 50.

Foreign powers not entitled to act within a nation.
13. No nation is bound to tolerate the performance, within the places subject to its exclusive jurisdirtion. as defined by Title VIII., of any act. official or unofficial, of any other nation, except such as are provided for by this Code, or by special compact.
Blumsechli, Dr. Int. Cod., 8 ©9.

## Sovereignty, how rested.

14. The sovereignty of a nation may be vested in onor more persons, or in the whole people, according as its law may direct.

Sobereign or chief officer not subject to other juris. diction.
15. The sovereign or chief executive officer of a nation is never subject to the jurisdiction of any oth $r$ nation, either in his person or property, except as follows:

1. To the same extent with his nation in its collectis: capacity : and,
2. In his private capacity, to that of any nation of which he is a member.'
 Beneh Rep., 171.

- Branswick e. Hanover, 6 Berran'a Rep., 1.


## CHAPTERII. FQUALITY. <br> Abticle: 16. Figuality in rights and rank. <br> 17. National emblems.

Equality in rights and rank.
16. All nations are equal in rights.' No distinction in rank between them is permitted; ${ }^{2}$ and wherever, in treaties or other official acts, two or more of them are named in juxtaposition, they shall be named in alphabetical ordar, according to the first letter of their names, respectively, as expressed in the French language, except that each nation may have its own name first in the document which it retains.'
${ }^{1} 1$ Kent's Com., 21; Klubber, Droit des Gens, s̊ 89.
${ }^{2}$ As to the existing distinctions which it is proposed to abrogate as matter of right by this rule, see Bluntschli, SS8 8t-98; Ortohen, Régles Int. ct Itipl. de ha Mer ; Fiore, Nouv. Ir. Int., vol. 1, p. 2is.
${ }^{3}$ Treatics of Vienna, 1815.

## National cmblems.

17. No nation has a right to appropriate the emblems, title, coat of arms, tlags, signals, or uniform, in use and previonsly appropriated by another nation.

Bluntschli!Droit Intern. Cod., §82.

## CHAPTER III.

## PERPETUITY.

AbTICLE 18. Diminution of territory or population.
19. Change in form of government or in dynasty.
20. Anarchy.
21. Double crown.
22. Annexation of one mation to another.
23. Cession or other annexation of part of territory.
24. Division of a nation.
25. Apportionment of property.
26. Apportionment of debts.

Diminution of territory or pomulation.
18. Diminution of the territory or population of a nation does not affect its existence, so long as its people
have a territory and government, such as is described in article 2. Nor does it affect the rights and obligations of the nation in respect to other nations and their members, except so far as such rights or obligations are necessarily dependent on the territory or population lost.

Suggested by BlunteMi, Dr. Int. Cord, 影 4i-50.
Change in form of government or in dynasty.
19. A change in the form of its government, or in its. dynasty, does not affect the continuity of existence of a nation, or its property, nor does it affect its rights or obligations in respect to other nations or their members, except so far as such rights or obligations are necesssarily dependent on the continuance of the old form of government' or dynasty.
 Lanc, 68: 1 Phill. Int. Iatic, 148; 1 Kent's Com., 25. And me IBluntachli, Sis 39-45; and King of Two Slellies e. Willcos, 1 Ninomo lirg..(N. E., 301.
${ }^{1}$ This rule is espectally fonportant in fis application to national debere. The only questions of real dilliculty, arising under the general rule, are those which npring ous of insurrections. These will be treated in the second Book.

The King of the Two Sicilles e. Willeox, 1 Simons Rep., (N. S., ) Sol, establishes the principle that where a de fineto government haw, as surlh. obtained possession of property, the government which displaces it sur coeds to all its rights.
${ }^{\prime}$ For instance, a compact between two republios to protect each other in a ropublican form of government, would be terminated by the final establishment of a monarely in one or both.

## Anarchy.

20. A temporary condition of anarchy does not affert the continuity of existence of a nation.

Bluntechli, \& 19. As to the effict of a restomation upon the arts of the
 p. 214, sc.

## Double crozon.

21. When one nation chooses, or receives by succession, as its sovereign, the sovereign of another nation, it does not thereby lose its independent existener,
or its separate relations with other nations, unless it be so provided by the terms of union.

Bruntachli, SE 51, 75.
Annexation of one nation to another.
22. Where one nation is annexed to another, so as to form a part thereof, the latter, by the act of annexation, acquires all the rights and becomes bound to fulfill all the obligations of the former.

This obligation was fully recognized by the new kingdom of Italy, upon annexing a number of States to Piedmont. Such, also, has been the universal practice where entire States have been annexed by conquest. The United States of America, on annexing the Republic of Texas in 1845, with the consent of the latter, disclaimed all liability for the Texan debt. (See Laucrence, Com. sur Wheaton, p. 211.) The question never arose in any diplomatic negotiation; bnt the claims of the creditors of Texas were felt to be so strong that the United States eventually provided means for their payment, (September, 1850; 5 U. S. Stat. at Large, 797 ; 10 ld., 617,) without acknowledging any liability, but as part of an agreement by which Texas renounced its claims to certain boundaries.

As to the effect of treaty stipulations, see Laurence, Com. sur Wheaton, p. 210 .

Cession or other annexation of part of territory.
23. Where part of the territory of one nation is annexed, by cession or otherwise, to the territory of another nation, the latter nation, by the act of annexation, acquires all the rights and becomes bound to fulfill all the obligations which pertained to the former nation, in respect of the territory acquired and its inhabitants and the property therein, ${ }^{4}$ but no others. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ See note to last article; Bluntschli, § $4 \pi$.
: Id., S 48.

## Division of a nation.

24. Where a nation is, from any cause, divided into two or more, each portion, by the act of division, acquires all the rights, and becomes bound to fulfill all the obligations, which pertained to the original nation, in respect of the territory in which such portion is situated, or in respect of its inhabitants, and the property therein.

And except as otherwise provided in the three following articles, all other divisible rights and obligations must be so apportioned that each portion of the divided nation shall have that share which it would have had without the division; and, until such apportionment, the whole of such rights and obligations adhere to each portion in common with the other portion or portions.

See 1 Phill. Int. Lane, 158 : Halleed, 58 ; 1 Kent's Com, 25, 26; Tretrt e. Taylor, 9 Craneh's U.S. Supreme Ct. Meports, 50: Munterdi, \& 49.

## Apportionment of property.

25. Where a nation is, from any cause, divided into two or more, its property is to be apportioned as forlows, unless otherwise agreed :
26. Immonables, appropriated to public use, such as public bnildings and establishments, and charitable and religious houses, pass to the portion of the nation holding the territory in which they are situated; and such portion is not bound to make compensation to the other therefor, except where the property served the uses of the population of the other, and they incur new expenses to supply its loss.
27. Ships of war, arms, equipments and munitions, military and naval, must be divided in proportion to the population.
28. Public lands, other than those provided for by subdivision 1 of this article, the public funds, and in general, such national property as serves only indirectly the objects of public utility, form a common fund, which must be divided in proportion to the population, with this qualification, that immovables must always be appropriated to the portion in whose territory they are situated, and their value considered in the partition.

See Bluntechi, ES 56-58.

## Apportionment of debts.

26. Where a nation is, from any cause, divided into two or more, each portion has the right to have the debts of the original nation provided for from the prop-
crty of the original nation; and debts not so provided for must be apportioned in proportion to the revenues raised in the different portions of the territory.

Bluntehli ( $(59)$ makes the debts secured by mortgage or hypothecation of immovables rest on that portion of the nation which takes the immovables. We suggest, as a fairer rule, that the common debts be first provided for out of the common property.

## CHAPTER IV.

## TERRITORY.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABTicies 2\%. "Territory " defined. } \\
& \text { 28. Boundary by the sea. } \\
& \text { 29. Adjacent islands. } \\
& \text { 30. Boundary by a stream or channel. } \\
& \text { 31, 32. Boundary ly inland lakes, \&c. } \\
& \text { 33. Wilderness. } \\
& \text { 34. Power to determine boundaries. } \\
& \text { 35. Exception. } \\
& \text { 36. Injuring boundaries, marks or monuments. } \\
& \text { 37. Less of territory, and acquisition of territory. } \\
& \text { 38. Acquisition by occupation. } \\
& \text { 39, 40. Extent of occupancy. } \\
& \text { 41-4. Accretion. } \\
& \text { 44. Reclaiming land washed away. } \\
& \text { 45. Ownership of islands. } \\
& \text { 4. Changes of stream. } \\
& \text { 4\%. Transfer or cession. } \\
& \text { 48. Conquest. }
\end{aligned}
$$

" Territory" defined.
27. The territory of a nation is the land and water which it possesses, or has a present right to possess, as defined and limited by actual and peaceful occupation, by special compact, or by the provisions of this Code.

Trerritory is herr used in the sense of soverejgnty and jurisdiction, and not in the sense of property; and therefore it is limited by ocrupation. Ortelan (Reigles Int. rt D!pl. de la Mer,) distinguishes between: (1.) Ports and Roadsteads; (2.) Gulfs and Bays; (3.) Straits and closed Scas; (mers esclaves;) and (4.) Parts of the Sea adjacent to the coasts within a certain
dintasce. In respect of the firme, he naye a nation hasas right of propert? and may declare them eloned, trvating, however, all mations alike The same rule he applies almo to gulfs, \&e., the mouthen of which are mot moer than double cannon ahot across, or are proterted by forts or folandx. Ifst this principle merms to extend to the waters bondering the crases; atm ano dintinction is theroforn reoguized in the above article and artiele 51.

During the Franco-Prusilan war, ( $18 \% 0$ ) the American goveramoras wb. jected to the hovering of armed veveln off the coast, awaiting ther eat from American ports of merchant ressels of the enemy.

The quand territorial jurimliction orer land and water within the ltare of an army or fleet, beyond the ordinary territorial limits, in provideal for in Title Vill., on Natrosas. Jememiction.

## Boundary by the sea.

28. The limits of national territory, bounded by the sea, extend to the distance of three marine leagues' outward from the line of low-water mark; and where bays, straits, sounds, or arms of the sea,' are inclosed by headlands not more than six leagues apart,' such limits extend three leagues outward from a line drawn be. tween the two headlands.
${ }^{1}$ Inasmuch as cannon shot can now be sent more than two leagurs. it seetns desirable to extend the territorial limita of nations anoued ingly. The ground of the rule in, the margin of the ma within marls of the land forees, or from which the land can be asealled.

- Mahler e. Transportation Company, 35 Noer York Rep., 359, Lave rences Wheaton, p. 320 ; Vatter's Late of Vations, 1:30; Iliontefcuills. IDrest dea Nations Neutres, (2nd ed., 89. Seo Church r. Hubtart, 2 Cranen $t$ : Sup. Ct. Rep., 187, 235. Bluntschli (\$303) eays that where the sea boundarien of adjacent nations overlic each other, each nation is bound to rempert the sovereignty of the other on the comation area; but such raars will ter pros vided for by articles 30,31 and 32.
${ }^{2}$ It is believed that no definite rule has heretofore twen laht down on thispoint. See Halleckis Ind. Laic, p. 132: Iaverrmeces I' Meatomo prest The distance between the headlandy of Delaware Bay in ahout fifeea suileo.


## Adjacent islands.

29. Islands in the sea, beyond the distance sprifind in the last article, are presumed to be part of the territory of the nation possessing the adjacent main land.
[^0]Boundary by a stream or channel.
30. The limits of national territory, bounded by a river, or other stream, or by a strait, sound, or arm of the sea, the other shore of which is the territory of another nation, extend outward to a point equidistant from the territory of the nation occupying the opposite shore; or if there be a stream or navigable channel, to the thread of the stream, that is to say, to the midchannel ; or, if there be several channels, to the middle of the principal one.
"Thalweg." Bluntschii, 尽298. French treatics.
The right to the use of the whole river or bay, for navigation, \&c., is nevertheless an easement or servitude common to both nations. The Fame, 3 Mason:s U. S. Circuit Ct. Reports, 147.

Boundary by inland lake, \&e.
31. The limits of national territory, bounded by a lake, or other inland water, not being a stream, extend outward to a straight line drawn from the points at which such territory touches the land of other nations on the shore, at low-water mark ; except where such line would fall within less than three marine leagues of the shore of another nation.

The same.
32. Where the line mentioned in the last article would fall within less than three marine leagues of the shore of another nation, at low-water mark, it must so deflect as to run that distance from such shore, unless the distance between the opposite shores is less than six marine leagues, in which case the boundary line runs equidistant from the two shores.

## Wilderness.

33. Where two nations have settled upon the same continent without intervening settlements, and no large stream or body of water, or range of mountains intervenes between their settlements, the boundary between them is presumed to be equidistant from the nearest settlements; but, where there is such water or mountain range, the one which is most nearly equidistant
from such settlements is presumed to be the bound ary.

## 1 Phill. Intern. Latup, 251-254. Sto BluntarMi, 告 29:

## Pooer to determine boundaries.

34. Coterminous nations have jurisdiction jointly to determine and establish the boundaries between thoir respective territories ;' and it is their duty to do so, and to mark the same clearly.'
 14 Id .4.
${ }^{\circ}$ Bluntachlis.8.896.

## Exception.

35. The foregoing provisions as to boundaries do not apply where the boundary is otherwise defined by special compact.'
'A boundary agreed upon by the collertom of revenue cannen to re. ganded as valid. The Fame, 3 Mamon's U.s. Cirre. C'Y. Rop., $14 \%$

Injuring boundaries, marks, or monuments.
36. Willfully removing, concealing, injuring or falsifying any monument, mark or sign, made or adophed by the concurrence of two or more nations for indicating a boundary between them, is a public offense.

The regulations between France and the Grand Duchy of Dusembeurg. Oct. 15, 1853, for preservation of boundary marks, (6 De C'lemp. the An XI..) provides: that, offenden are to be promecuted and judgod acrording to the law of the State in which they are found: and that, for shim pur pose, proceedings had in either state shall, as far as nemessary, have equally "fin en justice" in the other.

Loss of territory, and acquisition of territor!!.
37. A nation may lose territory :

1. By abandonment ;
2. By destruction ;
3. By transfer ; or,
4. By conquest.

A nation may acquire territory :

1. By occupation :
2. By accession ;
3. By transfer ; or,
4. By conquest.

2

No provision is made for devise or succession after death, inasmuch as the devime of a crown cannot be deemed to destroy the identity of the State: and the power of a monarch to alienate any part of the national territory by will should not be admitted.

Acquisition by occupation.
38. Territory can be acquired by occupation, in the following cases only :

1. When it was previously unoccupied by any other han a savage nation ;
2. When the nation which previously occupied it has, without ceding it, renounced the sovereignty which it exercised over it, either expressly, or by abandoning the territory ; or,
3. When the inhabitants of the territory overthrow their govermment and freely join themselves to the occupying nation.

Extent of occupancy.
39. Uecupancy of any part of an island uninhabited or inhabited only by sarages, is presumed to be an occupancy of the whole.

## The same.

40. A nation is presumed to occupy all territory within the limits over which it maintains an effective control ; and such presumption is conclusive, unless it appears that some other nation actually oceupies a portion of such territory.

## Accretion.

41. Land formed in the sea by accretion belongs to the nation whose territory is nearest.
1 Phill. Int. Lavi, 2575: The Anna, 5 Rubinson's Adm. Rep., 332; Bluntwhlli. $\stackrel{\text { sent }}{ }$ note.

## The same.

42. When land is formed on the shore from natural causes and by imperceptible degrees, the boundary between the nation owning it and the nations owning other parts of the shore is modified so as to conform to the change in the shore.

Thin and the two following articlen are nuggented by the Ciale Siogm.
噱 433-48.

## The same.

43. When land is formed on the shore from artificial causes or by perceptible degrees, the boundary betwern the adjoining nations is not changed thereby.

Reclaiming land washed aroay.
44. If a considerable and distinguishable part of the shore is cartied away by the water, to a place within the boundaries of another nation, the nation owning it while attached to the shore may reclaim it within one year, if it can be restored to the territory of the nation so claiming it ; but until it is so restored it must bo deemed to be part of the territory within which it is situated.

Otonership of istands.
45. An island, formed from natural causes in any water other than the sea, belongs to the nation within whose boundary it is formed; or, if it is formed upon the boundary of two or more nations, each nation owns so mach of the island as lies within its original boundary.

Compare Bluntachlio. s̊ 295.
Changes of stream.
46. If a stream which constitutes the boundary between two or more nations forms a new course, abandoning its ancient bed, from natural canses, and by imperceptible degrees, the boundary follows the center of the stream, as defined in article 30; but in other cases the boundary remains in the center of the ancient bed.

1 Phill. Ins. Lanc, 258,259 ; Bluntechli, ถิ่ 299.
Transfer or cession.
47. Territory can be acquired by transfer or cession. upon the agreement of the nations whose sovereignty is affected by the transaction.

Aequisition by transfer or cession is imperfect, until possession is taken by the nation receiving the transfer.

Compare Bluntachli, $8: 5282,296$. He adds, that to make a cession valid, the inhabitants of the ceded territory must recognize the cession, and have the enjoyment of their political rights under the nation receiving the transfer.

Conquest.
48. Conquest includes any mode of obtaining possession of territory against the will of the power by which it was previously occupied.

Acquisition by conquest becomes complete by the continuance of peaceful possession.

See Bluntachli, $\$ 290$.

## CHAPTER V.

## PROPERTY ANI DOMAIN.

Article 49. Capacity to have property.
50. Eminent domain.
51. Internal domain.
52. Prescription.

Capacity to have property.
49. Subject to its constitution and laws, ${ }^{1}$ a nation has capacity to acquire, hold and dispose of :

1. Property ${ }^{*}$ not within the territorial limits of any other nation ; and.
2. With the consent of any other nation, property within the territorial limits of such other nation.
[^1]
## Eminent domain.

50. The power of a nation, by virtue of eminent doniain, to take, or suspend the use of, property within its territorial limits, for the public use, safety or wel. fare, extends to the property of foreign persons, states and nations.

Adequate compensation ${ }^{2}$ must be made therefor, and, except in cases of immediate necessity, at the time of the interference.

[^2]
## Internal domain.

51. As against other nations, a nation is presumed to be the owner of all public, and all mappropriated, property within its limits, ${ }^{\prime}$ and has a right to forbid any other nation from owning or holding any property within its territorial limits, except as otherwise provided in this Code, ${ }^{2}$ or by special compact.
[^3]Prescription.
52. The uninterrupted possession of territory or other property for fifty years by a nation, excludes the clain of every other nation.

Prescription is applicable to the title to national property. Rhode Island r. Masmachusetts, 4 Hocard's U.S. Sup. Ct. Rep., 639 ; Lacrence's Wheaton, p. 303, S. 4.
littel suggests the propriety of fixing by agrecment of nations a definite rule as to the number of years necessary to found a prescription. Lare of V'ations. B. 2, c. 11, S 151.

Phillimore questions the expediency and the possibility of so doing. Int. Lair, Vol. 1, p. 2T2, note r.

Compare Danies Wheuton, $\leqslant 164$, note 101 .

## TITLEII. <br> EXTRA-TERBITORLAL ACTION.

Cuarthe VI. Narigation.
VII. Discovery.
VIII. Expploration and Colonization.

1X. Finheriew.
X. Piracy.

## CHAPTER VI.

## navigation

## Antictes 53. Freedom of the "high weas."

i4. Navigation of she high seas and other waters.
25. Rivers communicating with the ma.
56. Inland waterx.
57. Menacing armaments.
58. Military ports.
59. Public reasels may refit in jort.
60. Restrictions.
61. False colors and signals.
62. Right of approach.
69. "Visitation" defined.
64. Right of visitation.
65. Visitation on the high scar.
66. Moxle of visitation.
67. Salutes.
68. Searches forbidden.
69. Flag and documents.

Freedom of the "high seas."
53. The high seas are the ocean, and all connecting arms and bays or other extensions thereof, not within the territorial limits of any nation whatever.

No part of the high seas is the subject either of property, or of exclusive jurisdiction.'


Navigation of the high seas and other voaters.
54. The right to navigate the high seas and all other navigable waters not within the territorial limits of any nation whatever,' is common to all nations and their members; and except as provided in this Code, can be abridged or renounced only by actual consent."
'See Ortolthi, alove cited : and Bluntachli, s§ 304-307, 314, 316.
${ }^{2} 1$ Phill. Int. Lair. ड CLNXIV. ; Heffler, 尽 74, p. 148.
Doubted by Mautefeuille, Droit des Nations Neutres, v. 1, p. 222. As to


Ricers communicating with the sea.
55. A nation, and its members, through the territory of which runs a navigable river, have the right to navigate the river to and from the high seas, even though passing through the territory of another nation ; subject, however, to the right of the latter nation to make necessary or reasonable police regulations for its own peace and safety.
Message of President Grant to the Congress of the United States, December, 1870 ; and treaties there cited.

Inland waters.
56. The right to navigate inland waters not communicating with the ocean by a natural channel, is common to every nation, whose territory borders thereon, and to its members.

Bluntschli, Droit International Codifú́, \$316; 1 Phill. Int. Lane, § CCIV.
See provisions as to Rights of Occcpation, in the articles of Section II. of Chapter XXV., entitled Personal Rights of Foneignems.

Menacing armaments.
57. A nation may refuse to allow menacing armaments of another nation to enter or remain within its territorial limits.

Grtolnt. R'g. Int. et Dipl. de la Mer, vol. 1, pp. 143, 145.
Some treatics fix a number as a limit,-three, four, five, or six ships at a time.

Military ports.
58. A nation may refuse to allow public or private ships of another nation to enter its purely military ports.

Ortolan, Reg. Int. et Dip. de la Mer, vol. 1, p. 145.

## Public vessels may refit in port.

59. Snbject to the last two articles, public ships of one nation, whether armed or unarmed, and privato ships, may enter, remain and refit in any port of another, which is open to the commerce of any nation whatever.

Suggeated by treaty of friendshlp, commeree and narigation betweon France and San Salvador, January 2. 1sis, Am, XVII, ithe C'lemp. 2ns. Qualifications in the case of war are contained in lookk fircreat of thite Code.

## Restrictions.

60. The right of navigation must be exerciser subject to the regulations for avoiding collisions, usually observed by ships where it is exercised,' and those prescribed by Chapter XXXII., entitled Rtors of Nis. igation. [Law of the Road at Sba,] and subject to such reasonable police and military regulations in respect of the territorial waters of another nation, as the latter may prescribe, ${ }^{3}$ and to Chapter X., relative to Piracr.
${ }^{1}$ Bluntechli, se8s 830-3it2. Thim has been lately held by Judge Blatels. fort, American Admiraliy Judge in 6th District.
See, to the contrary, the Saxonia, Joushingtan's Mep., 414: is Vcore' Pricy Council Rep., (N. S., 6s?
${ }^{2}$ Dancis Wheaton, \&̀ 180, note 108. The practice of America and Fing. land authorizes selzures for revenue offeamex, etc., beyond thio limit. 1 Phill. Int. Lave, ミ̨ CXCVII.

False colors and signals.
61. The displaying of false colors, or the making of false signals by a ship, with intent to mislend any ship, public or private, of another nation, is a public offense.

Ortekten, Rig. Int. et Dip. de la Mer, vol. 1, p. 20it.

## Right of approach.

62. A ship, whether public or privato, has a right to approach another on the high sams, if it can, and to hail or speak it, and to require it to show its culors: the approaching ship first showing its own.

Ortctan, Rig. Int. at Dip. de ha Mer, vol. 1. p. a33, Ac.
"Visitation" defined.
63. "Visitation" is the boarding of a ship.

Right of visitation.
64. No ship is subject to visitation by a slip of another nation,' except in the following cases :

1. A private or other unarmed ship within the territorial limits of the nation by whose public ship the visitation is made ;
2. On the high seas, in the cases provided in the next article. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Ortolan, above cited; Fiore, Nour. Dr. Int., vol. 2, p. 489, \&c.; Malleck, Int. Lair, p. 593, S 1. See Lancrence's Wheaton, pt. 4, ch. 3. S. 18.
${ }^{2}$ The territorial limits will be extended by article 28 , to three marine leagues ; and it does not seem necessary to recognize the right of pursuit beyond that distance.
${ }^{3}$ The effect of these rules will be to require ships to show their true colors at sea, and to allow armed ships to visit in case of a breach of this rule, confining the visitation, however, to the purpose of ascertaining the character of the offender, and its identity. See the subject discussed in Ortolan, Régles Int. et Dipl, de he Mer, vol. 1, p. 233, \&e.

Visitation in time of War is provided for in Book Second.

## Visitation on the high seas.

65. If a private or other unarmed ship, being upon the high seas, not under convoy of an armed ship of its nation,' does not show its colors in response to an armed ship of another nation duly requiring it, or if there be probable cause for believing that it shows false colors with intent to mislead an armed ship of another nation, it may be compelled to submit to visitation.
[^4]
## Mode of risitation.

66. In the case of a visitation upon the high seas, the visiting ship must give distinct and intelligible warning of its visit.' If a gun be fired, warning must first be given by a blank shot, and then, after reasonable opportunity for submission, by a shot ahead; ${ }^{2}$ and no more force must be used than is necessary to
ascertain the nationality and the identity of the offond. ing ship.'
${ }^{2}$ The warning may be by hailing. Sow Ilolloek, p. 610, e 15.
; Ortedian. Rigles Int. et Dipd. de ha Ner, vol. 1, p. 2x3.
${ }^{3}$ Hitleerki, p. COB, 音 10.
Salutes.
67. An armed ship entering a fortified port or roadstead of a foreign nation must salute it, and must be suluted in return. ${ }^{1}$ But ships of small armament may omit the salute, communicating the reasons to the authorities of the port.'

Beyond this, a salute is not required between ships and fortifications, or between ships themselves. ${ }^{\circ}$
${ }^{2}$ For the controvensies on the right of malute, mee Ortuken, vol 1.p. 316
The only sutficient object of making a salute obligatory is to give avotion to the authorities of the arrival of an armed forvign mhip.
-Guide Prutique dea Consulats, vol. 2, p. 38.
${ }^{2}$ Halleck (p. 118, \&8, 39.) mays, a salute should be returnel grun for gun. To refuse an exchange of salutex in regarded an evideroce of a watat of friendship and good will, which justifien the other party in aoking ra. planations; but it cannot in itsolf be considerded an offone of as lasels. sufficient to justify hostilities. Id., p. 110, ह8. 21.

Searches forbidden.
68. Subject to article 85, a ship of one nation, on the high seas, is not subject to search by a ship of another nation.

Flag and documents.
69. Every ship navigating waters beyond the territory of its nation is bound to carry the flag of its nation, and to have on board documentary evidence of its national character. ${ }^{1}$

The documentary evidence of the character of a public armed ship is the commission of its commander, or the written order of his government.

That of a private or other unarmed ship must the in the form prescribed by the article entitled Contrnts of Passport, in Chapter NX., entitled Nattosal. Conas. acter of Siliping.

[^5]
## CHAPTER VII.

## Discovery.

Articief io. Right of discovery.
i1. Authority.
T2. Ratification.
73. Right of possession.
i4. Its exercise, how manifested.
75. Limits of continental discovery.
76. Abandonment of right of possession.

Right of discovery.
70. Every nation has the right to search for new territory.

Authority.
71. Authority to search for new territory which shall inure to the benefit of a nation, may be conferred by it on the members of any nation whatever, by a previous authorization or by subsequent ratification. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Without such authority, a discovery made even by a member of the nation confers no right upon it.
${ }^{1}$ Heffer, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathbf{7 0}$, subx. III., p. 142, and note 4.
${ }^{2}$ A contrary doctrine has been contended for by the United States, but without sufficient ground. 1 Phill. Int. Late, sicCXXXV., p. 250; Heffter § 00 . subd. III., p. 142, and note 4 ; Bluntechli, § 279.

## Ratification.

72. A discovery not previously authorized by the nation cannot be subsequently ratified by it, to the prejudice of any other nation, without the consent of the latter.

Right of posscssion.
73. The nation, under whose authority a discovery of territory is made, has the right to take possession of it as a part of its territory.

See Johnson e. Melntomh, $ष$ Whecton's U. S. Sup C'r. Rep., stiţ, Worces tere. Georgla, 6 Peters' U. S. Sup. C't. Kep., $515: 3$ Kent'o Com., $37 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{ld}$. 178, and note.
The individual discoverer han a prior right, an againnt cotiee Individualo. only to so much of the soll as he actually occupies and umes. Americans Guano Company e. Uulted staten Guano Company, 44 Barkouric ( Dew York.) Rep., 23.
Its exercise, hove manifested.
74. The intent to exercise the right of possession can be manifested only by an actual beneficial occupation.

1 Phillimore's Intern. Latuc. \& ('CXIVIII.: Bluntechit. Ircit Intern Cudifie, 8258.

Limits of continental discovery.
75. If the discovered territory is a continental seacoast, or any part of it, possession thereof is dermed to extend into the interior, to the sources of the rivers emptying within the discovered coast, to all their branches, and the territory watered by them.
3 American Sate Papera, 397-529. See to the contrary, Bluntechli, © 25.

Abandonment of right of possession.
76. The right of possession is dermed abandoned when the intent to exercise it is not manifested within twenty-five years after the discovery.

## CHAP'TER VIII.

ESPLORATION AND COLONIZATION.

> Article: i5. Right of exploring and colonizing.
> is. Exception.
> 79. Right of pre-emption.

Right of exploring and colonizing.
77. A nation has for itself and each of its members the right to explore and colonize any territory not within the territorial limits of a civilized nation.
" When Englishmen establish themselves in an uninhabited or bar" bamus country, they carry with them not only the laws but the sover"eignty of their own State." Adv. Genl. o. Ranee Surnomoye Dossee, 2. Moore's Privy Council Rep., (N. S..) 59 ; Forsyth's Cases and Opinion* in CQnst. Lave. 20.

Erception.
78. The continents of Europe, Asia, and America are, in every part, under the dominion of established government, and are not subject to colonization or settlement, in any portion thereof, except with the consent of such government.
Gardner's Institutce, p. 24, \&: 12.
Right of pre-emption.
79. The nation first exercising the right of colonization acquires thereby the right of first purchase from the native inhabitants. Whenever an uncivilized community has an established government, that government is to be respected by civilized governments so far at least, as that in the first instance intercourse with its people is to be sought through such government, and redress for injuries from any of them is to be demanded of it.

1 Phillimore's Intern. Late, ©s'Xlill. Compare Bluntschli, Droit Intern. Codiffé, ss: 280-1.

## CHAPTERIX.

## FISHERIES.

## Anticies 80. Common right of fixhery.

81. Limitw.

## Common right of fishery.

80. A nation has for itself and each of its members the right to take fish in any waters not within the territorial limits of any other nation whatever.
 Dana's Wheaton, f88 180, 2:0-975.

See treaty, as to fisheries, between France and Great Britain, 11 Novern. ber, 1807,9 De Clereq, 783 ; the convention, relative to New Foundland fisheries, between France, and Grent Britain, 14 January, 18:3:, $:$ De Clereq. 208 ; and an additional act between France and spain regulatimg international fisheries, March 31, 1859, 7 De Clereq, 378.

## Limits.

81. For the purposes of this Chapter, the territorial limits of a nation extend only to three geographical miles from low water mark, to be measured with respect to bays, the mouths of which do not exceed ten geographical miles in width, from a straight line drawn from headland to headland.

Marten's Nouc. Rec., 16, p. 054.
Convention between Englaud and France, Art. 9, Aug. 3, 1859.
Compare Article 28.
The right of fishermen lawfully pursuing their calling, to land for the purpose of drying and mending their nets, and procuring neceswary supplies, is secured in common with the equal privileges of forvigu vaseols generally, by the provisions of this Code, as to Navibation, and as to Uxiformity.

## CHAPTER X.

> PIRACY.
> Abticles: 82, 83. "Pirate" defined.
> 84. Harboring pirates forbidden.
> 85. Capture of pirates authorized.
> 86. Trial and condemnation.
> 87. Destruction.
> 88. Captor's reward.
> 89. Restoration of property.
> 90. Salvage, dc., not allowed to public vessels.

## "Pirate" defined.

82. Every person whatever, who, being an imate of a private ship,' upon the high seas, ${ }^{2}$ as defined by Article 53, willfully and not in self-defense:
83. Destroys, or seizes by force and appropriates, any other ship, or its lading, or any part of either ; ${ }^{3}$ or,
84. Kills, wounds, or seizes by force and abducts any inmate whatever of any other ship;

Is deemed a pirate.
${ }^{1}$ It is not necessary that the ship should be an armed ship. Goujet ot Merger, Ihict. du Droit Commerciul, 4, p. 178, \$13.
${ }^{2}$ Be the law of the United States and of France, this limitation of place is not essential to the crime. Loi 10 avr. 1825, art. 2. See 2 Goujet et Merger, 4, p. $1: 8$.
${ }^{3}$ " The motive may be gratuitons malice, or the parpose may be to "destroy, in private revenge for real or supposed injuries done by persons "or classes of persons, or by a particular national authority." Dana's Whenton. S 124 , note 83 .

Depredation not amounting to robbery is sometimes said not to amomnt to piracy. 'To the contrary, however, see Dama, above; Goujet et Merger, above. 노 9, 11.

The abolition of pricatcering is provided for in Book Spconn of this Code.

## The same.

83. Every person whatever, who, without authority from the owner, and with intent to injure, vex, or annoy any person whatever, or any nation whatever :
84. Removes, destroys, disturbs, obstructs, or injures
any oceanic telegraphic cable not his own, or any part thereof, or any appurtenance or apparatns therewith connected, or severs any wire thereof; or,
85. Destroys or injures any international railway, canal, lighthouse, or any other structure or work, the perpetual neutrality of which has been declared :
86. Or who beyond the territory' of any nation reduces to slavery, or holds in slavery, any person what. ever, or conveys, or receives with intent to convey. any person whatever as a slave;

Is deemed a pirate.
The abolition of privateering renders unnereessary any provision for the cave of foreignern, who accept privateering commisnious or letters of marque from a nation at war with another, and who, whed saken by the lattor nation, may be puniahed as pirites, under mome truatien. Trraty between the United States and Great Britain. 179t, Art. XXI., \& U.S. Stat. at L., 127 .
${ }^{1}$ The acts apecified in this subdivision, when committed within the ter ritory of any nation, are to be left to the local law.

## Harboring pirates forbidelen.

84. No nation can receive pirates into its territory, or permit any person within the same to receive, protect, conceal or assist them in any manner ; but must punish all persons guilty of such acts.
Treaty between the United States and Great Britain, 1794, Arn XX. 8 U. S. Stat. at L., 127.

## Capture of pirates authorized.

85. If there be probable cause to suspect that a ship is piratical,' any person whatever may cause its arrest and search ; and if thereupon the suspicion is justitied. may capture the ship: but if the suspicion is not justified, the person and ship causing the arrost must make satisfaction in damages according to the circum. stances.
${ }^{1}$ The Mariana Flora, 11 Wheaton': U.S. Sup. CY. Rep. to.

## Trial and condemmation.

86. Any piratical ship may be brought into a port of any nation, and the ship, its lading and inmatos, may be there condemued by the courts of such nation.

## Destruction.

87. If they are unable to bring her into port, the captors of a piratical ship may destroy her.

C'iptor's remard.
88. A ship or its lading condemned for piracy is to be adjudged to belong to its captors, except as respects the property of innocent third persons.

Restoration of property.
89. Property taken by pirates, and bronght or found within the territorial limits of a nation, is to be restored' to its innocent owner, saving the rights of holders thereof in good faith and for value, and subject to the payment of such reasonable salvage and expenses, not exceeding one-fourth of its value, as may be adjudged by the courts of such nation. ${ }^{2}$ Proceedings for such restoration must be there begun on behalf of such owner, or the nation of which he is a member, within one year from the time of so bringing or finding the property. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ To this effect are most of the following treaties:
Treaty between the United States and
France, $\quad 17 \pi 8$, Art. XVI., 8 U. S. Stat. at L., 22.

Sweden, 1783, " XVII., 8 Id.. 70.
Great Britain, 1794, " XX., 8 Id., 127.
Central America, 1825, " $\mathbb{1} ., 8$ Id., 326.
Mexico, 1831, " N1., 8 Id., 414.
Chili, 1832, " V11., 8 Id., 435.
Colombia, 1824, " Vll.. 8 Id., 308.
Treaty between France and -
New Grenada, 15 May, 18056, Art. XVII., i De Clereq, 102.
Honduras, 22 Feb. 1856, i Ith, 10.
Nicaragua, 11 Apr. 1859. " XIV., i Id., 586.
San Salvador, 2 Jan. 185\%, "' XV1.. i Hl, 362.
${ }^{2}$ Treaty between the Vinited states and Mexico, 1\$:31, Art. M1., 8 U. S. Stut. at L., 414.
Upon payment of oue-third of their value. I'alin, Commentaire sur U'Ord, liv. 3, tit. 9, art. 10 ; one-eighth, 6 Gen. $l V$., rh. 49, S. III. ; $13 \& 14$ Vict., ch. 26.s. V.
${ }^{3}$ Within a year and a day after being reported at the Admiralty. Valin, above.

Salvage, de., not allowed to public ships.
90. If property taken by pirates is brought in or found by a public ship of war, the deductions for salvage and expenses, allowed by the last article, are not to be made.

Treaty between the United Stater and Sweden, 1783, Art. XVil., $3 U$. S. Sote. it L., 70. Approved by Hautefouille, Des Nations Neutres, 4, p. 427.
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## CHAPTER XI.

GENERAL PROVISIONS.
Abticle 91. Intercourse, by what agents conducted.
92. Minister's or consul's nation, and consul's or commissioner's residence defined.
93. Nations forbidden to entertain unofficial negotiations.
94. Public agents forbidden to make unofficial negotiations or communications.
95. Falsely assuming diplomatic powers, \&c.
96. Right of legation, \&c.
97. Obligation to receive public agents.
98. A nation may refuse to receive its own members.
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100. Rank or status.
101. Conditions may be imposed.
102. Conditional reception.
103. Inconsistent pretensions.
104. Several missions.
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108. Exemption from liability for official acts.
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Intercourse, by what agents conducted.
91. The agents throngh whom the intercourse of nations is conducted are:

1. Public ministers;
2. Consuls ; and,
3. Commissioners.

And they are herein designated as public agents.
Minister's or consul's nation, and consul's or commissioner's residence defined.
92. The terms "the nation of the minister or consul," or "the minister's or consul's nation," as used in this Code, mean the nation by authority of which he is appointed, without regard to his individual national character.

The terms "the nation or country of the consul's or commissioner's residence," mean the nation, or the state, province or colony of a nation, within the territory of which he is authorized to exercise his functions.

Nations forbidden to entertain unofficial negotiations.
93. No nation shall entertain negotiations' affecting its intercourse or relations with another, through or with any other persons than public agents duly accredited by one to the other; or duly accredited by some third nation offering friendly offices, with the consent of both.'
${ }^{1}$ It is said to be the setsled practice of the United States to hold no pri. vate interviews with persons with whom it cannot hold oflicial interviews. Dana's Wheaton, \% 66, note 41, p. 131.

The friendly oflices of the representatives of third powers are invoked in case of war, for the protection of individuals, \&c.; and perlaps provision for similar offices during peace, in exigenciew, such as alsence, de., should be made.

Public agents forbidden to make unofficial negotia. tions or communications.
94. Public agents must make no communication to the people of the nation to which they are accredited,
intended to affect the action of its government ;' nor enter into negotiation tending to affect international intercourse or relations, with any persons other than those duly authorized by the government. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
> ${ }^{1}$ The act of an envoy, in communicating with the people through the press, has been said to be a contempt of the government. 1 Opinions of ['. s. Attorncy Generals, is.
> : It is for cach nation to determine, by its constitution and laws, the department of government in which the administration of its forcign affairs shall be vested.

Falsely assuming diplomatic powers, \&c.
95. No person, other than a public agent mentioned in article 91 , shall assume to represent a nation in intercourse with another nation, or enter upon negotiations with the government of any nation other than his own, intended to affect its intercourse or relations with another.

## A violation of this article is a public offense.

A deputation, addressing, in the name of their own nation, a foreign sovereign, on the relations of peace and war between the two countries, are gruilty of an offense against the law of nations. Annual Register, 1853, p. 11, cited in Latrence's Wheaton, p. 373, note 115. Such acts are considered as offenses, also, by some systems of municipal law.

Right of legation, $d c$.
96. Any nation' may send to any other nation as many public agents of any class mentioned in article 91, as it may choose, ${ }^{2}$ subject to the provisions of this Title.

[^6]Obligation to reccive public agents.
97. Every nation is bound to receive public agents of other nations, except as otherwise specified in this Title, and to treat them as herein provided.

By the existing rule of international law, the duty to receive foreign ministers is of imperfect obligation. Danu's Whecton, S 297; Klüber,

Droit des Giens, 年176, and note b: 2 Phillimare's International /ame, 154. Fiare, Nouseatu Droit Internutional, vol.2, p. 545. Accurding to Ile gher. Droit Internationst, 890 , therv in no obligntion but that of courtesy.

But a general refuxal to recelve any cnvoy rendern internatiofal intes courne Inpossible: and in the three following ariclow are enumberated the caseot in which a refunal in allowable, between nations that are fartices to thin Code.

The daty to recelve consuls la powitively declared in many tomation. subject only to the right of a nation to exclude att consule troms $p^{m}$ s ticular places, where it may be incovenlent to recelve the consuls of any nation.

A nation may refuse to receive its oon members.
98. A nation may refuse to receive, as public agents, persons who are its own members, at the time of such refusal.
 and note $c$. So do Sweden and the Netherlatids.

Objection has been made to receliving as a minister one who was for. merly a member of the nation, but has been nuturalized by the nation sending him. Kluber, \&̊ 186, and note $c$. It has been auggested that in such case a special agrecment should first be made. Dismi's Whatorn. S 251, note 13\%. We do not, however, follow that suggeetion. By the provisions in the Chapter on Datiosat. Chabacter of Pebeoss, das. uralization will effect an absolute and complete change of nationality.

Consuls ard often chosen from among the members of the nation in which they are to reside; but it is understood to be the existing rule that a mation may refuse to receive any particular person. Bluntachli, Ifroit Ino ternational Codifié, S848. And it is believed thas a uniform rule appli. cable to all agents of public iutercourse, such as is suggested in this aed the following articles, will be more convenient.

## Personal objections.

99. A nation may refuse to receive, as public agent. any one who is personally objectionable, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ on informing the government by which he is sent, that the refusal is for personal reasons; but the reasons need not be more particularly stated. ${ }^{\text {' }}$
${ }^{1} 2$ Phill. Int. IAve, 149. Sceveral casee of refneal on personal griumbe
 137.
'Dana's Wheaton ( $\mathbf{5} 210$, allows the refusal, if the motives are allogret But the above rule seems to be sufflefent.

## Rank or status.

100. Mere social condition, or status, of a prem
sent as public agent by one nation to another, is not a valid reason for personal objections, within the meaning of the last article.
Bluntschli, 领 162 and 164, note. Wheaton (Lavorence's Ed., p. 386) states that usuge requires the interchange. in permanent missions, of persons of equal rank. It is submitted that this should not be recognized as a rule.

Conditions may be imposed.
101. A person whom the nation might refuse to receive as public agent, under article 98 or 99 , may be received upon conditions, to be accepted or rejected, as the nation sending him may determine.

Halleck's International Lave, p. 18\%. Bluntachli (ㅗㅗㅇ 167, 168) says, propriety requires that the foreign nation should be previously notified of the name of the proposed envoy, and if no objection be made or question raised by the latter, as to the appropriateness of the choice, it may be inferred that there are none; and, after an envoy has been received, no objection can be made to his appointment for causes which existed or which might have been known, before the reception.

It is not thought necessary. however, to recognize such a restriction.
${ }^{1}$ The conditions are usually the waiver of immunities.

## Conditional reception.

102. If no condition is expressed at or before the time of reception, the reception is unqualified, and the agent is then entitled to all the privileges of his office.

If received upon condition, the agent has all the privileges of his office not expressly excluded by the terms of his reception.

Inconsistent pretensions.
103. In the case of persons claiming powers inconsistent with the laws or policy of the nation to which they are sent, such nation may require their powers to be defined, and reduced to satisfactory limits.

Hefluer, \$200; Halleek, p. 185.
Several missions.
104. The same person may be accredited to more than one nation at the same time;' but in such case any nation may for that reason refuse to receive him. ${ }^{2}$
> 'Lanerenee's Wheaton, p. 986, and noth 123.
> ${ }^{3}$ Thim qualification meems necemary for the case of unvergguized States : and othern, where incompatibility of functions may arime.

List of family, official and personal, to be fur. nished.
105. Every public agent, on being received, must furnish to the government receiving him a list of the persons composing his family, personal or official,' or attached to his office, and must thereafter give the like notice of any change therein.'

The government may refuse to recognize them, or any of them, or annex conditions, for the same reasons and in the same manner as is provided in articles 98-104.

1 "Suite" is defined in articlo 119.

- Thifa article is suggested by the provision in the consular treaty be tween France and Austria, Dec. 11, 1886, (9 De Clereq, p. bed, Irt. Vil.) which requires consuls at the head of consular posta to give puch lins on their arrival. The time of recognition meerns more appropriate thas that of arrival.

Secretary in case of absence, dc., of chief.
106. Upon the recall, death, resignation or absence of a public agent, or his inability to discharge the duties of the office, the subordinate who becomes charged with the affairs of the office, and whose official character has previously been made known to the government,' as required by article 105 , has, for the time being, the powers and immunities of a temporary minister, ${ }^{2}$ or of his chief, if the chief be a consml 'or other agent, although not furnished with a formal letter of credence or act of permission as such.
${ }^{1}$ The previous communication of the oflicial character is obviously a proper condition.
-For this rule, as to ministens, see Iaterence's Wheaton, p. H0, note: Blunfwhli, \& 180. Rank is not affected.
${ }^{3}$ For this rule, as to consuls, see the convention betwrest the Cnbted Statea and Italy. February 8, 1868.15 U . S. Stat. at Iarge. ( Tr..) 1Ni, Art. VII. The treaty between France and Pern, March 9. 1861. Irt. X1.I. (8 De Clereq. 193.) provides, in refervence to consuls, that the otticer highest in rank at the consulate whall act ad interim.

Insignia of office, and Jlag.
107. A public agent having a fixed residence or place
for exercising his functions, may put over the outer door of his official residence or oflice the arms of his nation, with an inscription designating its character.

And he may raise the flag of his nation on such building.' or on any vessel where he is exercising his functions. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{1}$ This article is suggested by the treaty between the United States and Italy, as to consuls. 15 U. S. Stat. at $L_{\text {., ( }}$ (Tr..) 185, Art. V.

- The alwo e treaty, however, does not allow the flag to be raised by a consul in the capital of either country when a legation is there.

The consular convention between France and 13razil, December 10, 1860, (8 I) Clerce. 153.) gives the right of raising the tlag only on days of public solemnities, national or religious. See also, the treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between France and Peru, March 9, 1861, Art. XIIV., s Ite Clereq. 193.

To similar effect as the above is the consular convention between France and Austria. Dec. 11, 1866, (9 De Clereq, p. 669,) which gives, however, the right to raise the flag on the consular mansion, and on the vessel in the port in which they may be exercising their functions.

Exemption from liability for official acts.
108. A public agent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the nation, within the territory of which he resides or exercises his functions, for official acts done under the direction of the government of his nation.

Halleck ( 1 . 243) states this rule as applicable to consuls. Perhaps it should be restricted to those agents who have been expressly received by the nation in which they exercise their functions. See Guide Pratique dex C'onsultets, vol, 1, p. 10.

Emer!encies.
109. The exemptions or immunities mentioned in this Title may be withdrawn in the case of an emergency affecting the existence of the nation.

Darais Wh heatom, 安 22 i , note 129.
Duty to enforce exemption.
110. The nation within whose jurisdiction a public agent is entitled to enjoy privileges or immunities, is bound to enforce them, and to prevent and redress every violation thereof committed within the same.

This rule is drawn from the authorities applicable to ministers. Heffter,

Criminal punimment, however, cannot be infleted, except as provideal by the law of the place. Hefter, $8205, \mathrm{p} .383$. Thus, in Comamunwerelth e. De longchampw, 1 Dollas' U., S. Supreme Ct. Rep., 116, the cours re. fuxed to lmprison the defendant for an ansault opon a merevtary, until his moverelgn mhould declare that the reparation was matinfactory.

Hy the rule in force at present, this obligation io sald to be Imposend only upouthe nation to which he in sent: (Laterence's Wheaton, p. 421, mote 141:) although by courtexy a nation through which a mininter io pasoing will unually extend protection.

Interference with a dispatch to or from a public agent.
111. Any person whatever willfully and withont anthority impeding the transmission or delivery, or opening, reading, copying or divalging the whole or any part, of the contents of any dispatch sent by or to a public agent, is guilty of a public offense.

## CHAPTER XII.

PUBLIC MINISTERS.

## Section 1. Appointment and reception.

II. Rank.
III. Powers.
IV. Immunities.

## SECTION I.

AIIOINTMENT AND HECEIVTION OF HUHLIC MISISTFNE.

## Abticle 112. Four classes of ministers.

113. Letters of credence.
114. Letters, how issued.
115. Power to act in a congress or conference.
116. Full power to negotiate treaty.
117. Notifying arrival.
118. Recognition of minister's nation by reception.
119. Offeial and personal family.

Four classes of ministers.
112. Public ministers are either :

1. Ambassadors;'
2. Envoys;
3. Resident ministers ; or,
4. Temporary ministers, otherwise called chargés d'affaires.'

Laucrence's Wheaton, p. 379; Bluntschli, Dr. Intern. Codifié, \& 171; Congrese of Vienna, 1815; of Aix-ha-C'hapelle, 1818.
${ }^{1}$ This class includes papal legates and nuncios. The distinction, stated in the books, that ambassadors represent the person of the sovereign by whom they are sent, while the other classes of ministers represent their principal only in respect to the particular business committed to their charge, (Protocol of the Congress of Vienna, Art. II.,) seems now to amount to nothing more than saying that they are the highest class of public ministers. Dignities peculiar to their rank are matter of etiquette, not necessary to be defined in this Code.
${ }^{2}$ These include ministers plenipotentiary, envoys ordinary and extraordinary, and envoys; also, the internuncios of the pope. Bluntschli, § 173, note.
${ }^{3}$ Vattel says, that the secretary of the embassy (not that of the ambassador) having his commission from his sovereign, is a sort of public minister. But it is not thought necessary to recognize this as a fifth class.

Fiore (Nouc. Dr. Intern., vol. 2, p. 612) holds with some others to the opinion that consuls are a class of diplomatic officers, but it is rather a dispute about name than function.

## Letters of credence.

113. A public minister, sent by one nation to another, must be furnished by his own government with a letter of credence, addressed as provided in article 114, and an anthenticated copy thereof must be delivered to the government of the nation to which he is sent.

Laucrence's Wheaton, p. 388.
Letters, how issued.
114. Letters of credence are issued by, and addressed to, the sovereign or chief executive officer of the nation, for the ministers of the first three classes; and by and to the minister, or other officer having charge of foreign affairs, for those of the fourth class.

Bluntschli, S 185 ; Laurrence's Whenton, p. 388.

Ponoer to act in a congress or conference.
115. A public minister, sent to a congress or conference, must be furnished with a letter of crodence, or other documontary evidence of his powers, to be exchanged or deposited with those of the other members of the congress or conference.
Laterence's W'heaton, p. 388.
Full power to negotiate treaty.
116. A public minister, authorized to conclude a treaty, must be furnished with written authority therefor, in addition to his letter of credence.
Iaverenee's Wheaton, p. 443.
Notifying arrioal.
117. A public minister, on arriving at his post. must notify his arival to the minister, or other officer having charge of foreign affairs.
The mode of notification, and the sulsequent ceremonice of audience. differ according to the class of the minister, and the nange of the government. Laterenceis Wheaton, p. 392; Bluntwhli, sis 18\%, 189.

Recognition of minister's nation by reception.
118. The reception of a public minister is a recognition of the government by which he is sent.
> sir J. Mackintont's Works, p. 74i, cited in Lafrerence. Commensaire our Whenton, p. 196; Bluntochli, \&今 169.

> Wheaton says, that for the purpose of avoiding recognition. Alphotna:ic agents are frequently substituted, who have the powens and immonitico of ministers, without the representative charncter or honom. such wero Messrs. Mawon and slidell, the messengers of the Confederacy, who were seized on boned the Trent. Laterence's Eil., p. 3iti, nobe 118. But the rute stated in the text is the better supported by reason. Thero catanot bo agents without a princlpal.

## Official and personal family.

119. The persons actually employed by a public min ister in aid of his diplomatic duties, or in his domestic service, constitute his family, official or personal.

The term "oflecial family." as here used, is profernd to " swifs."
Some of the authorities indicate that a permanent or indefinite employ ment is necemary, to entitle the employev to the immunitios, hut this seems too strict a rule. It would sometimes exclude bearing of dispuacher and messengers.

## SECTION II.

## RANK OF IVCHIC MINISTERS.

Article 120. Classes.
121. Relation between courts.

Classes.
120. Public ministers take rank, between themselves, in each class, according to the date of the official notification, to the government to which they are sent, of their arrival at their post.
Protoced of the Congress of Vienna, 19 March, 1815, Art. IV., quoted in Lancrence's Wheaton, p. 380, note. This rule is there qualificd so as not to affect the precedence accorded to the representatives of the pope.

Relation between courts.
121. No distinction of rank among public ministers arises from consanguinity, or family, or political relations between their different sovereigns or nations.

Protucol of the Congress of Vienna, 1815, Art. VI.; Wheaton, Elem. Int. Lak, pp. 380, 386.

## SECTION III.

POWEIS OF PUBLIC MINISTERS.
Ahticle 122. Powers defined by instructions.
123. Issue of passports.
124. Authentication of documents.
125. Communications, when to be in writing.
126. Termination of powers.
127. Death.
128. Recall.
129. Contingent negotiation in case of death, deposition or abdication.
130. Suspension of powers pending recognition.
131. Withdrawal.
132. Dismissal.

13\%. Assigning reasons.
134. Preventing personal intercourse.
135. Ratification of old letter of credence.

## Powers defined by instructions.

122. The powers of a public minister are such as are given him by his own nation' subject to the provisions of this Code.

The nation to which he is accredited cannot require him to disclose his instructions.

The ministern of all classew aceredited to one government offern unite in a collective capacty for acts of courtesy, and the expreselon of comasona opinion : but they have no authority except in their individual functionm. Bluntschli, however, ( $\mathbf{1 8 2}$, note.) regards the corpes diphomactigue an the germ of the future organization of the world.

Issue of passports.
123. A public minister may give passports to members of his own nation, but to no others.'

It is not thought desirable that the system of passporta minuld be reo tained, except to afford certificatex of national character, and, therefore, none should be issuct to foreigners even by leare or sufferance, as has been done. See Lanerence's Wheaton, p. 389, note 126.
${ }^{1}$ As provided in the articles on Piosporta and Sifceliondues may be gieen, and biffect of Possporta, In Section I., an to Rhguty of Residescet, of Chapter XXV., enthed Peasonal. Rugts of Foherosehes.

Authentication of documents.
124. Public ministers and their secretaries of legation may receive or authenticate, for use in their respective nations, such documents, besides those specially provided for by this Code, as they may be authorized to receive or authenticate by the laws and regulations of their nations respectively.

Communications, when to be in uriting.
125. A minister, or other officer in charge of foreign affairs, may require a copy, to be left with him, of any document or written paper, the contents of which a public minister reads or otherwise communicates to him.

Dima's Wheaton. \& 219, note 123. It may be thought better to proridn that elther party may require any oflicial communication to be reducel to writing.

## T'ermination of poncers.

126. The powers of a public minister are terminatod, either:
127. By death ;
128. If appointed for a fixed term, by the expiration of the term ;'
129. If serving temporarily, by the resumption of duty by his chief, or by appointment of a new chief;
130. If the only object of the mission was special, by its fulfillment or final failure ;
131. By. recall by his own nation ;
132. By the death, ${ }^{2}$ deposition, ${ }^{3}$ or abdication of the sovereign, ${ }^{4}$ by or to whose nation ${ }^{6}$ he is accredited; to the extent provided in article 129, and no further;
133. By a change in the dynasty or the form of government of either nation ;
134. By his voluntary withdrawal, as provided in article 131;
135. By his dismissal by the nation to which he is accredited, in the cases provided in article 132 ; or,
136. By his transfer from one class to another of those mentioned in article 112.
${ }^{1}$ In this and the next case, a formal recall is unnecessary.
*Lourrouce's Whenton, p. 429. Bluntachli, § 231, says, that death does no: annul the lefters of credence, although it is usual to renew them.
${ }^{3}$ J.currance's Whenton, p. 430, note 145.
${ }^{4}$ No such rule applies in case of the succession to the chief executive office of a republic. Larrence's Wheaton, p. 4;30, note 145.
${ }^{5}$ A change in the ministry of foreign affairs does not affect the powers of 1 empmorary ministers accredited to such ministry. Bluntschli, Ş 233.

F̈̈rre. (Lime. Ir. Intern., wol. 2, p. 628,) says, that the powers terminate. by nomination to other functions incompatible with those of minister; but this should be loft to be treated as a ground of dismissal.

Death.
127. On the death of a public minister, the secretary of his legation, or in the absence or inability of such secretary, the minister of some other nation should place seals upon his effects, for the benefit of those interested. and take charge of his body, for its interment or converance home.

In case of their failure to do so, the nation to which he is accredited must undertake these duties.

By the exinting rule, the local authoritien do not fenterfervexcept is rawo of neconolty : Ilalleed's Int. Latup, p. 225, 务 34: and then only for the jper tection of the eflivets, Sc. IluntreMi, 240 .

## Recall.

128. When a nation recalls its public minister by a letter of recall, an anthenticated copy thereof must be delivered to the anthority' to which he was ar. credited.

Lanerence's Whenton, p. 438. Letters of recall are bot alwaym sent, is case of recall on account of rupture of friendly relations. Fiore. Sous. Dr. Intern., vol. 2, p. 631.
${ }^{1}$ To the moverelgn, or to the mininter of forelgn affirm. Sin Article 114.

Contingent negotiation in case of death, deposition or abdication.
129. In case of the death, deposition or abdication of the sovereign by or to whose nation a public minister is accredited, the powers of the minister to enter into new stipulations cease; but negotiations already commenced may be continued, subject to subsequent ratification or rejection.

This rulo is from Laserence's W'heciton, p. 4833, extesuded no an to inclade the case of deposition.

Fiom, (Nout. Dr. Int., vol. 2. p, 629.) ways, that it in only the ministere of the first two classes whose powers are suspended by she death of the sover. cign. The charge d'affices, being accredited by the minister of foreign affairs, can continue his functions even after the death of the sovereign. But the true distinction should seern to be between the functions which are continuous in their nature, and those which are not continuous. The minister may etill intervene for protection of his countrymen, amd may issue passports and the like.

## Suspensiom of pouers pending recognition.

130. When the powers of a public minister are terminated by a change in the dynasty or form of government of the nation by or to which he is accredited, he may remain at his post until opportunity is allowed for determining the question of recognition of the new gorernment; and in the meantime, he is entitled to the immunities of a public minister, if he assumes no powers.

Laterenee's Wheaton, p. 378, note 117: Halleck. p. 297. 887. And mom Bluntechli, \&ิ 230; Duncis W' henton, \& 200 , note 121.

## Withdraval.

131. A public minister may at any time declare his mission terminated, by notifying his withdrawal to the authority ${ }^{1}$ to which he was accredited.

He is responsible only to his own nation for a breach of duty or instractions in this respect.
'To the sovereign, or to the minister of foreign affairs. See Article 114.

## Dismissal.

132. A nation may dismiss a public minister accredited to it by another nation :
133. When his nation has violated this Code, or any special compact ; or,
134. For any personal objection by reason of which the reception of a minister may be refused, according to articles 99 and 100 .

Assigning reasons.
133. A nation dismissing a public minister must assign the reasons thereof to the nation by which he was sent.

If they are personal, it is sufficient to state the fact, without mentioning particulars, according to article 99.

Preventing personal intercourse.
134. Upon dismissing a public minister, a nation may, if its own safety appears to require it, forbid all intercourse with him.

Grotiux, Bk. 2, c. 18, §4; Kert's Commentaries, vol. 1. p. 39. Article 142 sufliciently provides for his safe return.

Ratification of old letter of credence.
135. If upon a termination of the powers of a public minister, in cases under article 126, he is anthorized to continue as a minister to the same nation, whether in the same class of ministers or not, a formal notification that his letter of credence is ratified is equivalent to a new letter of credence.

The sulf- already applied in some cases, is in substance the same as that above stated.

## SECTION IV.

IMMUXITIER OY I'C'IBISC MIN゙INTEIK.

Anticis: 136. Right of papmage.<br>137, 188. Passage during war.<br>139. Fixemptions of person and property<br>140. Duration of exemptions.<br>141, 142. Fixceptions as to exemptions.<br>143. Dwelling house.<br>144. Family, official and permonal.<br>145. Scrvants.<br>146, 147. Waiver of privileges.<br>148. Property in trade.<br>149. Returning mininter.<br>150. Domicil.<br>151. Juriadiction of his own nation.<br>152. I! 1 gh crimes.<br>153. Arrest of criminal act.<br>154. Right to punish family.<br>155. Taxer.<br>156. Importations.<br>157. Bearers of dispatches.<br>158. Reparation for violence to property.

Right of passage.
136. Every public minister has the right' of passage. with his family, official and personal, through the territory of every nation with which his own nation is at peace, so far as may be necessary to enable him to reach his official destination; but the line of transit may be prescribed by such nation, at its option.'
'Sce 2 Phill. Inf. Lavr. 186-189. The general principle was acknow 1 edged, while the limitation here stated was insinted upon, by the govern ment of France, in the care of Mr. Soule, in $1 \times 54$. See (oorsoppondemer Laturence's Wheaton, p. 422 , note: Holbrook p. Henderwon, 4 Eindfiond (Nere York) Rep., G31.
${ }^{2}$ Halleck, Intern. Lane, p. 284.
Passage during oar.
137. If the nation through whose territory the righ:
of transit is desired be at war, the minister must first obtain from it a safe conduct or passport.

This rule is from Malleck, p. 232 , extended so as to require the authority to be obtained even where his own nation is not one of the belligerents.

The same.
138. A public minister who enters the territory of a nation at war, without obtaining the authorization required by the last article, or departs from the line prescribed for his transit, may be arrested and conducted to its frontier.

Halleck, p. 234.

## Exemptions of person and property.

139. 'The person' of a public minister within the territory of the nation to which he is sent, or within that through which in going or returning he passes in the usual course, and the movables in such territory belonging to him, or in his official charge. ${ }^{2}$ are exempt from the jurisdiction of such nation, subject to the exceptions mentioned in this Title.

The formalities requisite for his unofficial acts are subject to the same rules as those of other persons. ${ }^{2}$

[^7]Duration of exemptions.
140. The exemption to which a public minister is entitled in respect of his person, property and family, official and personal, commences from the time when
the nation from which the exemption is claimed has notice of his character,' and continues with his powens until his death,' or, in other cases, until a ressonable time has elapsed after his powers have terminated, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ except as provided in the next two articlos.

[^8]Exceptions as to exemptions.
141. Upon a change in the dynasty or form of gov. ernment, or the death, deposition or abdication of the sovereign of the minister's nation, and an exclusive recognition of the new government by the nation to which a public minister is acceredited, the courts of such nation have jurisdiction to compel the delivery to his successor of such archives of his mission," and other property in his official charge, as may be found within its territory.
'Sce "'orlade e. Barrazo, 1 Miles" (Pennayleania) Rorp., 8is. where ther court avoided a decision on this point.

The same.
142. The nation to which a public minister is accredited may tix a reasonable time from the termina. tion of his powers, at the end of which the exemptions to which he is entitled shall cease.

Hatleek, p. 235.

## Dicelling-house.

143. The actual dwelling-house of a public minister is exempt from the jurisdiction of the nation to which he is sent, ' but cannot be used as an asylum, excrpt for the protection of members of his nation' against in-
vasions of rights secured to them by this Code, or by special compact, or for the protection of members of a nation on whose behalf his friendly offices are interposed.
${ }^{2} 2$ Phill. Intern. Lenr. pp. 193, 210 ; Lancrence's Wherton, p. 400 ; United states r. Jeffers, 4 C'ranch's U. 太. Oircuit Court Rep., p. 704. Other immovables of a minister are not exempt. 2 Phill. Int. Iftir, p. 192.
$\imath^{2}$ Phill. Int. Iflic, pl. 211-213. A crime committed in a minister's hotel, by a persom not lefonging to his family, official or personal, although by a member of his nation, is within the jurisdiction of the nation to which he is sent. Case of Mitchencoff, X. Sol. Journ., 56. The right of asylum is denied ingeneral terms by 2 Ficlix, Dr. Int. Pricé, p. 203, 令 576: Heflter. : 63.

Perhaps the oflice should be also included.
Family, official and personal.
144. The members of the family, official and personal, of a public minister, are exempt from the jurisdiction of the nation to which he is sent, or through the territory of which they pass in company with him, to the same extent as is his person.

## Servants.

145. The last article does not extend to a person taken into the service of the minister, and belonging to a nation by the law of which such person is incapable of making such contract of service, or prohibited from making it.

9 opinions of $U$. S. Attorneys-General, \%. The absence of such a provision would enable the minister to employ" any discontented wife, . . . . . rebellious child, . . the soldiers of a garrison, . . . . the sailors from a ship, . . . or a fclon."

## Waiver of privileges.

146. The privileges of a public minister, and of such of his official family as are appointed by the direct action of the government which he represents, cannot be waived by him, except so far as to submit to the jurisdiction of a foreign nation in matters not involving an interference with his person or personal property.
Taylor r. Best, 14 Common Bench Rep., 487; 2 Phill. Int. Lane, 197; United States e. Benner, Buelducin's Rep., 234.

## The same.

147. The privileges of the family, official and personal, of a public minister cannot be waived by any of
them ; but may be waived by him,' except as otherwise declared in article 146.

2 Phill. Int. Lane, 196.
11 Pirlis, Dr. Intera. Pried, p. 41\%, note b.
Properly in trade.
148. The property of any member of the family of a public minister, invested in trade, is subject to the jurisdiction of the nation within whose territory it is situated.

This exception to the general rule of immunity does not extend to mis. isters themselves. See Thylor e. Best, 14 Common Bench lirp., 45\%.

## Returning minister.

149. The nation of a public minister cannot deprive him of his privileges as a returning minister, withont his consent.

In Torlade e. Barrazo, 1 Milex' (Pennsyleania) Rep., 360, 885 , it was held. that the institution of an action of trover to recover the areliven of the miswion, by the charge of a newly recognized government against his prow decessor, did not ipso fucto divest the defendant of such privilege.

## Domicil.

150. The domicil of a public minister is not changed by his appointment,' or by any of his acts done while his powers continue. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{1}$ This rule applies to the case of a person domicited at the time of his appointment in the territory of the nation to which he in acervelited. WeasLuke. Prieate Intern. Laur, 发 47 ; Attorney.Gencral e. Kent, 1 Herlasone d Coltman's Rep., 12.
${ }^{8}$ Fixlix, Dr. Int. Pricé, vol. I., p. 418, © 211 ; Heath r. Samson, 14 Bcacun's Rep., 441.

## Jurisdiction of his mon nation.

151. No person, by reason of being a public minister. or a member of the family of a public minister, is exempt from the jurisdiction of the nation of which he is a member, except to the extent of freedom from arrest on civil process.

## High crimes.

152. In case of the commission of a high crime by a public minister, or by one of his family, in a foreign
country, the nation in whose territory the offender is found, may compel him to leave it ; and may use any degree of force necessary to secure his departure.

Iancrence'x Whiciton, p. 395; 2 Falix, Dr. Int. Prixé, \&576, p. 293; Hefter, s. 200.

Arrest of criminal act.
153. Any person or nation may arrest any act of criminal violence on the part of a public minister, or any of his family; and may use any force necessary to prevent the commission of such an act.

2 Phill. Int. Larr, p. 185; United States $v$. Liddle, 2 Washington's U. S. Circuit Court Rep., p. 205 ; United States $v$. Ortego, 4 Il., 537 ; Lavrence's Whenton, p. 395.

## Right to punish family.

154. A public minister has no power to inflict criminal punishment upon any of his family ; but, with the consent of the nation in whose territory he is, he may use any necessary force to send home any of them charged with crime.

See Halleck, p. 220.

## Taxes.

155. It is the duty of a public minister to pay taxes and assessments imposed upon his property for its benefit; ${ }^{2}$ but this duty cannot be enforced by any nation whatever, by means of any process against his person, ${ }^{2}$ nor by the nation to which he is sent, by means of any process against such of his property as is exempted from its jurisdiction.'
${ }^{1}$ Recent treaties give cousuls a more liberal exemption. See PrelimNote to Section IV. of next Chapter.
${ }^{2} 1$ Ticise, Iour of Nations, 203.
${ }^{3}$ Ib. ; K'luber, 今̀ 205.

## Importations.

156. A public minister is entitled to import articles for the use of himself and his family, official and personal, to a reasonable extent, free of duty.

Sce Jancrence* Wheaton, p. 416; Attorney-General $x$. Thornton, 1 McLellund": Rep.., pp. 600.607.

It is sometimex sald, that ho may be required in the firnt instanco to pay the duty, but that it cannot be enforced by melaure or legal proomes. Thite. however, doew not seem reanonable.

Bearers of dispatches.
157. Bearers of dispatches to or from a public minister, provided with passports or other evidence of thoir character, ' have the same privileges as members of his family, accompanying him, for such length of time as may be necessary to enable them to porform their duties as such."
${ }^{1}$ Hegter, 8 204.
'Interence's Wheaton, p. 417 : 2 Phill. Int. Latur, 190, \% 186.
Reparation for violence to property.
158. The nation within whose jurisdiction an act of violence is committed upon the exempt property of a public minister, is bound to make reparation therefor, although done by a person who was at the time ignorant of its character.

In United Statem e. Hand, 2 Whahington's U.S. Cirenit C\%. Rep., p. 435, it was held, that an attack upon a minister's house is not a crime by the law of nations, unlewes the aggressor knew that it was the domicil of the minister. Such ignorance would the no excuse for an axsault upon his person. United States e. Ldddle, $2 / d ., 210$ : Unlted Stateme. Ortega, 4 J . ., 535; United States e. Benner, 1 Bahlecin's Rep., 234. To the contrary. Hefter, \& 204; Vattels Lavo of Nations, Bk. IV., ch. XVII., \&ै 88.

## CHAPTER XIII.

consuls.
Section I. General provisions.
II. Authorization.
III. Powers.
IV. Immunities.

## SECTION I.

GFENERAL IROVISIONS.
Abticle 159. "Consul" defined.
160. Classes of consuls.
"Consul" defined.
159. A consul is an agent appointed, by authority of one nation, to reside within the territory of another nation, for the purpose of facilitating commerce. The word "consul," as used in this Code, designates any person empowered to exercise, for the time being, the consular functions.

Classes of consuls.
160. The various classes of consuls, and their relative rank and powers, are fixed by their respective nations.
It is not thought necessary to define in this Code a fixed scheme of classification for consular oflicers, any further than the distinctions between principal and subordinate oflicers, and between temporary and permanent officers, are recognized in the following Articles:

The consular body in France is composed of: 1. Consuls.General; 2. Consuls of the first and second class; 3. Consular pupils, (eleces comsuls.) See Report of Mr. Bigelow, Feb., 1864, quoted in United States Consulur Regulutions, (1868,) p. 179, note.

By the United States Conanlar Regulations, (1870,) Art. I., © 1, the Consular service of the United states consists of the following officers: Agents and Consuls General ; Consuls General ; Vice-Consuls General ;

Deputy Conaula General: Consula ; ViceConauls: Deputy Consula: Consular Agenta: Commorcial Agenta: Vice Commercial Agente: Consular Clerks : and oflico clerka.

Agents and Consula General, Consula General, Connuln and Cotamer. cial Agents, are full, prineljal and permanent Conmular Officerrs, wo dis. tinguiahed trom nubordinaten and subetitutes.

Deputy Conaula and Consular Agenta are Consular Officern sulxordinate tos such principals, exerciaing the powern and performing the dutlea within the limita of their Consulaten, -the former at the mame portm or plares. and the latter at ports or places difterent from thowe at which nuch prins. cipala are located.
Vice-Consula General. Vice-Consula and Viee-Commercial Agents amp Consular Onfeen who are nubwtituted temporarily to fill the placest of Consulm-Ceneral, Consuls or Commercial Agonts, when they are iemporarily abeent or relleved from daty.

Consular Clerks aro recognized by the Act of Congrewn, June 20. 1834. 13 U.S. syat. at L., p. 189.82. The clans of Omice Cierks is authorized only in unamaried Consulates.

The claes of Consular Pupila in recognized by the oonsular convention between the United States and France, Feb. 23, 1853, 10 U. S. Sat. at I... (Tr.)) 114, 121 ; by which convention, and by that between France and Braxil, Doc. 10, 1800, (8 De Cleraq, 158, Art. II.) it in prorided that Consular pupile ahall enjoy the same privilegen and immunities of the person as Consuls, de.
Commercial Agenta are preculiar to the mervice of the United States, and seem to be employed in lieu of Consuls, either for reasons of convenience In the formalities of appointment : or, to avoid the neceseity of mognizing a de facto government, by requesting an exequatur. U. S. Consular Regulatione, (1808.) pp. 156-8.

It is proposed by Article 109, to confine the immunities to Consuls hold. ing an exequatur.
"By whatever name," mays Halleek, (Intern. Lave, p. 241, \& 3.) these ofl. cess are designated, their powers and dutics in Christian countries aro ceentially the same."

# SECTIONII. <br> AITIIOHIZATION OF CONSUJ.S. 

Articles 161. Duty of nations to receive consuls.
162. Exclusion of consuls.
163. Forbidding consuls to engage in business.
164. Appointment of subordinates.
165. Commission required.
166. Formal act of permission required.
162. Exception as to temporary consuls.
168. Notifying appointment to local authorities.
169. Notifying permission.

## Duty of nations to receive consuls.

161. Any nation may appoint consuls in all the ports, cities and places of any other nation; subject to the right of the latter to exclude the consuls of all nations whatever' from places where it may not be convenient to recognize such officers. ${ }^{2}$

Convention between the United States and Italy, Feb. 8, 1868, $15 \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S}$. Stat. at L., ( $T_{r}$ r.), 185, Art. I. ; and other treaties of the United States.

Consular convention between France and Austria, Dec. 11, 1866, 9 De Clereq, Bti9, Art. I.

Treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between France and
Honduras, Feb. 22, 1856, Art. XIX., 7 I)e Clereq, p. 10.
N‘w Granada, May 15. 1856, " XXIII., 7 Id., 102.
And other treaties of France.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{By}$ this provision, the nations uniting in this Code will not be excluded from any ports in other such nations to which consuls from any nations, whether parties to the Code or not, are admitted. This is the principle of the treaties.
${ }^{2}$ Exclusion in case of war is provided for in Book Second of this Code.
Exclusion of consuls.
162. A nation may withdraw its permission from the consuls of all nations whatever, at any place, upon communicating the reasons for so doing to the nations parties to this Code, whose consuls are thereby excluded.
suggested by the treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between France and Peru, March 9, 1861, Art. XXX., 8 De Clereq, 193.

## Forbidding consuls to engage in business.

163. A nation may at any time forbid consuls received by it to engage in business.

The Frenels law forbldn the consuln of Fratice to carry on any budinese. and it mesus proper to reserve a right to a nation recelving connula to im pone nimilar restrictions. Givide Prutique dea Cunsulite, vol. 1, f. ens.

## Appointment of subordinates.

164. A nation may authorize its consuls,' resident within the territory of another nation, or its public ministers' accredited thereto, to appoint vice-consuls, and other subordinate or temporary consular officers, and to remove the same.'
${ }^{1}$ Convention between the C'nited Stater and ;
France, Feb. 28, 18593 Art. V., 10 U., S. Stat. at L... (Tr..) 114.

Belgium, Dec. 5, 1808. " Vill., U. S. Cons. Reg.. $(15: 0.1<500$.
Consular convention between France and
Austrin, Dec. 11, 1866. Aft. V'll., 9 De Clereq. p. 699.

${ }^{3}$ Treaty of friendship, comanerce and uavigation between Francr and Peru, March 9, 1861, 8 De Clereq. 103: Inatructiona to Diphoonotic Agents of U'nited States, Art. XXIV.
${ }^{3}$ The power of removal is not found to be exprealy sanctioned In the books: but it seetus proper that the tenure of such subordinate otticess should be subject to the pleasure of the appointing power.

Commission required.
165. A consul must produce a suitable commission from the authority by which he is appointed.

## 2 Phillimore, Intern. Latr, pp. 240, 241.

The commissions of viceconxuls and consular agents, appointovt by a consul or consul-general, are issued by the latter, acconling to the itraty
 (Tr., 185, Art. VIII.

## Formal act of permission required.

166. A consul can perform no official act until he has received' from the nation of his residence a formal act of permission.' Such permission, when issued, must be free of charge.'

Trenty between the United Stateen and Honduras. July t. INö. 1a $\ell$ 事

pp. 189, 190, on this point, sanction his acting as commercial agent, by consent of the local authorities, before receipt of his exephatur.

* Erequatur. : Phill. Int. Lar, 241. This is in various forms in different countries. Lencrence's Wheaton, p. 423, note 143.

The more common form of exequatur in use in France, England, Spain, Italy, the United States, Brazil, \&c., is letters patent signed by the executive head of the nation, and countersigned by the minister of foreign affairs. In other combtries, as in Russia and Denmark, the consul simply receives notice that he is recognized, and that the necessary orders have been given to the local authorities at his residence. In Austria, exequatur is simply written upon his commission, and anthenticated by the Emperor's seal. Guide Pratique des Consulats, vol. 1, p. 134.

The exequaturs of French consuls are asked for and sent to their destination by the French minister accredited to the nation of the consul's residence. Guide Pr. des Cons., vol. 1, p. 135.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{By}$ the general usage, exequaturs are issued free of charge. They are required to be furnished free of charge, by the treaty between the United states and

Italy, Feb. 8, 1868, Art. II., 15 U. S. Stat. at Large, (Tr.,) 185.
Denmark, $A_{\text {pril20, }} 1826$, " IX., 8 Id., 342.
France, Fel. 23, 1853, " I., $10 \mathrm{Id} .$, ( $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{r} .,}$ ) 115. Sce $8 \mathrm{Id} ., 106$.
Belgium, Dec. 5, 1868, " II., U. S. Cons. Reg., (1870,) © 500.
New (iranada, May 5, 1850, " II., 10 U. S. Stat. at. L., 900.
Portugal imposes the same charge as is required by the nation of the consul in question. England, Italy, Spain and Brazil impose charges rang. ing from forty to four hundred and fifty francs. Guide Pr. des Cons., vol. 1, p. 1:38.

## Exception as to temporary consuls.

167. The last two articles do not apply to subordinate officers temporarily acting in the cases authorized by article 106.

The French rule requires an cxequatur for the consular agents commis. sioned by consuls, but not for consular pupils, chancellors, interpreters, clerks, or other secondary officers, nor for temporary incumbents dis. charging the functions of the office during vacancy. Guide Pratique tes Consulets, vol. 1, p. 13i.

## Notifyin! appointment to local authorities.

168. A consul,' on arriving at his post or receiving his commission there, must notify his appointment to the authorities of the city, port or place constituting his district.
1'The appointment of a deputy consul or consular agent must be notified to the local authorities of the place where he is to act. Without their recognition of his appointment, it would be improper for a deputy-
consul to cotnmunieate officlally with them. United Eentee Conoular lag uhations. (1868.) p. 15.

## Notifying permission.

169. A consol receiving a formal act of permission, must notify the same to the authorities mentioned in the last article.'

From the time of such notification only, he is entitled to the immunities provided in this Chapter.'
${ }^{1}$ The truaty of friendshlp, commerce and navigation letween france and Peru, March 9, 1861, Art. XXX.o (8 De Clereq, 193,) reypulrem that cous suls, vicoconsuls, or simple consular agents, must also notify their ap pointment to the local authorities of the place of their esequatur. It in the usage of some governments to give this notice to the local authori thes themselves, no that the consul is not charged with the ducy. (ivide Pr. dea Cons., vol. 1, p. 185.
${ }^{\prime}$ On the exhibition of the exequatur, consula shall enjoy the righta. prerogatives and immunities which are granted. Convention betweern the United States and Italy, Feb. 8, 1868, 15 U. S. SARt, at large, 1 Ns , Art. II.

## SECTION III.

HOWEAS OF CONEUIA.
Astice 170. Powers conferred or defined by this Code.
171. Protection of members of Priendly nation.
172. Non-contentious juriediction.

173 Other powers may be conferred.
174. Certified copies of consular acts.
175. Authority presumed.
176. Protection of rights, and complaints againet wrongs.
175. Diplomatic character.
178. Termination of powerx.
179. Powers not terminated by change of government.

Pooers conferred or defined by this Code.
170. A consul has power:

1. In reference to deserters, in the cases and to the ex tent mentioned in Section II. respecting Extranitios of Draerters, of Chapter XVIII., entitled Exthan. tion ;
2. In reference to the administration of estates, in the
cases and to the extent mentioned in Chapter XXVI., entitled Rights of Property;
3. In reference to wrecks, in the cases and to the extent mentioned in Chapter XXVII., entitled Wracks;
4. In reference to marriage, in the cases and to the extent mentioned in the Chapter entitled Marbiage, of the Title respecting The Condition of Persons, in Part V., concerning Private Rights of Persons;
5. In reference to the sale of ships and adjustment of average, in the cases and to the extent mentioned in the article entitled Authorizing Sale of Wrecked Property, in Chapter XXVII., concerning Whecks, and in the article respecting Consular Power, in Chapter XXXIV., entitled General Average ;
6. In reference to judicial proceedings affecting members of his nation, in the cases and to the extent mentioned in the article respecting Power of consuls to appear for members of his nation, in the Title concerning Judicial Power, of Part VI., entitled Administration of Justice; and,
7. In reference to controversies, order and discipline on board ship, in the cases and to the extent mentioned in the article respecting Judicial power of consuls, in the Title concerning Judicial. Power, of Part VI., entitled Administration of Justice.

Protection of members of friendly nation.
171. A consul may exercise the powers mentioned in the last article, for the protection of the rights of person and property of members of any friendly nation whatever, which has no public agent at the same place.

Guide Prutique dea Conauluts, vol. 1. p. 3 r6.
The power does not extend to ordinary notarial acts.
Non-contentious jurisdiction.
172. A consul has power, subject to such qualifications as may be contained in the laws or instructions of his government, to take and authenticate, at any place within his district :

1. Affidavits and depositions by any inmate of a ship
of his nation, or by any member of his nation ; and affidavits and depositions by any person whatever, affecting the interests of a member of his nation ;'
2. Contracte, transfers, or other instruments to which a member or domiciled resident ' of his nation is a party ;
3. Wills made by members of his nation, or which. by the provisions of this Code, may be made accord. ing to the law of such nation;
4. Contracts and transactions by or between any parties whatever, which relate to property situated or transactions to be had within the jurisdiction of the consul's nation;
5. Protests, declarations, and other notarial acts affecting his nation, or members, or domiciled residents thereof, such as a notary public within his nation may perform ;' and also,
6. To exenute commissions, ${ }^{\prime}$ issued out of the courts of his nation, for taking the testimony of witnesses within his district ; and for this purpose he shall have the right to call upon the local authorities of his district to compel the attendance of witnesses and their depositions.

[^9]which the masters, crew and servants, passengers and members of their nation wish to make or perform, including testaments, and all other notarial acts and contracts of every nature.

It also provides, that such acts shall be drawn up in the form required by the laws of the state of which the consul is a member, subject, however, to compliance with all the formalities required by the law of the country where the act is to receive its execution ; while, if the act has for its object a transaction affecting movables situated in the country where the consul resides, it ought to be drawn up in the forms required and according to the provisions of the law of that country.

By the consular convention between France and Austria, 11 December, 1866, (9 De ('lereq. p. 669), Art. IX..) the acts and transactions which consuls may thus take are defined somewhat differently, as including declarations which masters of vessels, \&.c., and members of their country wish to make ; also all testamentary dispositions by members of their nation, and all other acts of civil right which concern them; also simple acts conventional between one or more of their own nationality and other persons of the country in which they reside.

The consular convention between France and Brazil, December 10, 1860, (8 De Clereq, 153, Art. VI.,) provides, that when these transactions relate to real property situated within the country, a competent notary public shall be called in to unite in the authentication, and to sign the same together with the clerk or consular agent, in order to render the act valid. See United States Consular Reguhtions, (1870,) © 33, and treaties in Appendix No. 1.
${ }^{1}$ United States Conxular Regulations, $(1870$, ) 238.
${ }^{2}$ Since the law of the domicil may sometimes apply to the transaction, the rule should be extended to include the acts of domiciled residents.
${ }^{3}$ U'nited Stutex Consular Regulations, (1868,) p. 280.
${ }^{4}$ It is stated in the United States Consular Regulutions, (1870,) © 308, that " in such cases the consular officer does not act in his quality of an Agent of the Federal Government, but simply as a citizen of the United states whose local position and character render him available to his fellow citizens for such services as might have been rendered by a private individual."

This method of taking depositions, in a foreign country, has always been familiar practice in the courts of Admiralty; and it seems proper to extend the power to all courts authorized by the consul's nation.

Some of the States of the American Union have made provision by law for the taking of depositions, to be used in suits pending in other States by bringing the deponent within the operation of their own statute against perjury ; and national comity seems to require the enactment of similar provisions in all countries.

Other poocers may be conferred.
173. A nation may authorize its consuls:

1. To issue passports' to its own members, but to no others:
2. To sanction and verify the emancipation of minors ;' and,
3. To do any other act consistent with the provisions of this Code, or special compact, for the facilitation of commerce; the promotion of the lawful interests of his nation, and those of its members or domiciled residents ; and the protection of property bearing its national character; subject, however, to the control of the nation in which the consul resides.
'As provided in the articles on Pasports and \&ifecondurts, and Fifeet of Pesegports, in Section I., an to Rigits or Residescren, of Chapter XXV.. entited Pehsosal. Rhguta of Forehonebes.

By the American rule, this power in not exerelsed by consuln, excepr In the absence of a public minister. And this comports with the original usage. Hatleck, p. 252, \$ 15. Bhuntachi, however, ( $\frac{1}{8} 251$, note, thinks the consul the mont appropriate of all officers to be veated with the power.
${ }^{2}$ Bluntachli. si 266.
Certified copies of consular acts.
174. A copy of any instrument which was made or authenticated by virtue of the consular powers, when certified' to be a copy by the consul, under his official seal, is admissible before all tribunals and officers as sufficient primary ${ }^{2}$ evidence of the original.

Translations into the language of the nation of the consul's residence ${ }^{2}$ of any such instruments, or of official documents of his own nation, of every nature, certified in like manner to be translations, are in like manner admissible within the nation of his residence, and with the same effect.
${ }^{1}$ Consular conveation between France and Portugal, July 11, 1866, Art. VII., 9 De Clemq, p. S89. Austria, Dec. 11, 1866. " $1 \mathrm{X} ., 9 \mathrm{Id} ., 669$.
And other French treaties.

- The consular convention between France and Portugal. July 11. 1*es. (9 De Clereq. p. 5ss, Art. IV., ) and the truaty between the linited states
 idence equally with the originals.

See, also, treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation betwren framce
and Peru, March 9, 1861, Art. XXXIX., 8 De Clereq, 193 ; and the consular convention letween France and Brazil, December 10, 1860, 8 De Clereq. p. 153, Art. VI.
The French treaties contain a provision, that in case of doubt arising as to the authenticity of a certified copy of such transaction, the consular officer must permit its comparison with the original, on the request of any person interested, and allow him to be present at such comparison.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{By}$ the treaty between the United States and Belgium, December 5, 1868, Art. IX., (U. S. Cons. Reg., (1870.) © 500,) the original and copies of such oticial documents, when duly authenticated, are made receivable as legal documents in the courts of justice of each of the countries. But it does not seem advisable to extend the rule so far.

## Authority presumed.

175. Consular acts shall be presumed to be authorized by the instructions of the consuls, until the contrary appears.

Protection of rights, and complaints against wrongs.
176. A consul may apply to the authorities, whether judicial or executive, within his district, upon any infraction of the provisions of this Code, or special compact between the two nations, affecting his nation on a subject within the scope of his powers ;' or affecting its members or domiciled residents ; 2 or for the purpose of protecting the interests of such nation or persons.

If protection and redress cannot be thus obtained, and there is no public minister of such nation accredited to the government of the country, whether a nation or a colony or transmarine province, ${ }^{3}$ in which he resides, he may for the same purposes address such government directly.

Convention or treaty between the United States and Italy, February 8, 1868, 15 U. S. Stat. at L.., (Tr., 185, Art. LN.

See U'nited Stutes Consular Reguhations, (1870,) - 31, and treaties in Appendix No. 1.

Consular convention between France and
Austria, Dec. 11, 1866, Art. Vill., 9 De Clereq, p. 669.
Brazil, Dec. 10, 1860, " IV., 8 Id., p. 153.
Portugat, July 11, 1866, " V1., 9 Id., p. 582.
The two latter contain a further provision, that the consuls shall have the right to take all steps which they may judge necessary to obtain full and prompt justice.

See, almo. trvaty of friendohip, commerce and narlantion betwean Frame and Peru, March 9. 1861, 8 De Clereq, 199, Art XI.
'He han not general power to vinilicate the prerogntiver of hif nathon : e. g., to Interpose a claim on itn twhalf in a coure of prize, for a violation
 p. 435.
'He may intervene in court for the protection of the intervent of mom. bers of his nation, without special anthority. Bello Corrunnex. if Whecton"s U. S. Sup. Ct. Mrp., 152 : London Packet, 1 Mimen'e U. s. Cirr. CY. Mrp., 14: Robson e. The Huntrew. 2 Wallace Jr.'s U. \&. Cire. CY. Rrp., 5
${ }^{3}$ Thin right to addrees the governor of a colony or transmarime prove ince, in authorized by treaty between the United Statom and the grvas pawhalics of the Turkish empire: and by a recent treaty between the United States and the Netherlandn, Aug. 26, 1852, 10 U. S. Nate, of Large, (Tr.,) 66. And an extension of the rule to other Eumopean nations bo nug. gested in Uwited Stutes Consular Regulationa, (156, ) pp. is5, 26.
A part from such a rule as thin, the consul, in cane of grave difficulties arising between him and any authorities of the nation of him residencr. is limited to protesting, and should meanwhile remain in his ordinary functions, and await Instructions from his government. Gside Pratique des Consulats, vol. 1, p. 149.

## Diplomatic character.

177. A consul has no other diplomatic powers than those mentioned in this Section.

United Staten Consular Regulations, (18:0.) pp. 147, 148; Hallerk: p. 242. S 5 : The Anne, 3 Wheston's U. S. Supreme C't. Rep., 4 ,

By the United States Instructions to Diplomatic Agenta, (\$23.) consuls are under the direction of the minister or charge d'affaires of the C'nitext States, in the country where they respectively reside; and in the transac. tion of their official duties they can only address the government of that country through such officer. Several treaties, however, recognize the right to address the government directly in some cases. Sce note 3, to the last article.

Bluntachif, (s $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{5}$ ) regards consuls as diplomatic agents when chargevt with watching the execution of commercial traties, or with reporting on the state of the country where they reside, \&c., de.

## Termination of powers.

178. The powers of a consul are terminated, either:
179. By his death ;
180. If appointed for a fixed term, by the expiation of the term ;
181. If serving temporarily, by the resumption of duty by his chief, or by appointment of a new chief:
182. By the revocation of his appointment by the na-
tion or authority by which it was made, and notice thereof to the consul, to the nation of his residence, and to the local authorities;
183. By his voluntary withdrawal at any time, and notice thereof to the nation of his residence, and to the local authorities ; or,
184. By the revocation of the permission granted by such nation, and notice thereof to the consul, and to his nation.'
${ }^{1}$ If the reasons are personal, and for which permission to act might have been refused, according to Article 99, it is sufficient to state the fact, without mentioning particulars.

Treaty or Convention between the United States and
France, February 23, 1853, Art. I., 10 U. S. Stat. at L., 992.
The Netherlands, January 22, 1855, " III., 10 Id., 1150.
New Granada, May 4, 1850, " II., 10 ld., 900.
And see note to Article 185.
Exclusion in case of war is provided for in Book Second of this Code.
Powers not terminated by change of government.
179. Notwithstanding a change in the government of either nation, or a cessation of diplomatic intercourse, ${ }^{1}$ the powers of a consul continue until revoked as provided in the last article.
${ }^{1}$ See Guide Pr. des Cons., v. 1, p. 149.
${ }^{1}$ Consuls not being representatives of the nation, their functions may be continued in such cases.

## SECTION IV. <br> imsunities of consulas.

As to their immunities generally, consuls may be considered as of three classes:

1. Those who neither owe allegiance to, nor have a domicil in, the nation of their residence;
2. Those who do not owe allegiance to, but have a domicil in, such nation; the existence of a domicil being indicated cither by previous voluntary residence, or by engaging in trade or lolding property ; and,
3. Those who both owe allegiance to, and have a domicil in, such nation.

Halleck, without defining theno claseen mo preeisely an to arold am. bigulty in monse camen, atates the exinting doctine of excarpion at follows:

Thowe who owe no allegiasco, hold no real property, engage in no bust. nema, and have no domilli in the country, have the permonal exeuptione and disabillilen of allens who are more mojou merm.
Those who hold real entate, engage in budinem, and have a fixed mesi. dence, are conaidered an foreigners domiciled: and the consular privi. leges do not extend to their property or trade ao an to clange fie national charseter.
Thoee who owe allegiance can claim no exemptions enjoyed by othern in virtue of allenage, but are entitled to thoee which pertain to the office and are neceesary for the performance of ite dutien, unlese they arr excluded by conditions impoeed in the exequatur.

In viow of this clasalication, and the fact that the ground of masular immualty is almply the facilitation of the consular functions, the follow. ing provisions are framed to prescribe an uniform rule of pernonal imma. nities in whatever can affiet the exercise of consular functions, subject only to such exemptions as may be created by the conditions impoeed in the exequatur; while, on the other hand, in all that does not hinder the exercise of consular functions, such as taxation, searches of pepers not belonging to the affils of the consulate, attendance as witnews within a convenient distance, consuls, of whatever clase, are lett to the rules applicable to their private statue, whether that of transient foreigners, of domseiled toreignors, of property owners and traders, of of members owing allegiance.

This is, substantially, the doetrine which was declared by Art. XVI. of the treaty between the United States and Sardinia, (1888.) 8 U. S. Stats. af L., p. 518.

Larger immunities have been secured by special compact in the case of non.Christian powers. Some of the carlier treaties exempted consula from payment of duties on goods brought in for une of their houmes and famillies. Treaty between the United States and Algiers, 1795, 1815 on I 1816, 8 U. S. Stat. at L.. 136, 227, and 247.

The usual provision of the treaties ta to the effect that if consuls exercise commerce, they shall be subjected to the same lawn and usagre $\omega$ which private individuals of their nation are subject in the same place.

Treaty between the United States and


Treaty between the United States and
Austria, (1829.) Art. X., 8 U. S. Stat. at L., 400,
Portugnl, (1840,) ." N.. 8 Id., 564,
Sardinia, (1838,) " XV., 8 Id., 518,
modified this by adding " to which private individuals of their nation are subject in the same place, in respect of their commercial transuctions."
The treaty between the V'nited States and France, 1853, (10 U. S. Stut. at I... ( $_{2}$ r..) 114, Art. II..) gives absolute exemption from direct and personal taxation: but it provides that if consuls are citizens of the country of residence; if they are or becone owners of property there, or engage in commerce, they are subject to the same taxes, \&c., and, with the reservation of the treatment granted to commercial agents, to the same jurisdiction as citizens owning property or engaged in trade.

The treaty between the United States and Guatemala, 1849, ( 10 U . S. Stut. at $L . .,(T r .)$,14 , Art. $X X X$. ,) and others, also except taxes payable on account of property as well as commerce, for which they are taxable like other inhabitants.

In addition to the treaties cited in this place, others, chiefly earlier in date, contain provisions more or less similar. Such may be found in De Glereq, vol. 5, pp. 603, 614, 632 ; H., vol. 6. pp. 29, 157, 185, 282, 290, 303, 308, 551 ; It., vol. 7, pp. 179, 322, 302, 586 ; and in 10 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) pp. 45, 80, 95 ; 11 Id., 591, 650; 12 Id., 1020, 1157. Sce the United Stutes Consular Regulations, $(1870$,$) © 29$, and treaties in App. No. 1.
For a statement of the immunitics now allowed by European nations to consuls, see Cinide Pratique des Consulats, vol. 1, p. 12.

Abticle. 180. Right of passage.
181. Immunities of consuls.
182. Duty as witnesses.
183. Books, papers, \&c., not to be seized.
184. Dwelling and office inviolable.
18.5. (ieneral subjection to local law.

Right of passaye.
180. A consul, who is not a domiciled member of the nation of his residence, ${ }^{\text {, if he has received the formal }}$ act of permission required by article 166, has the right of passage through the territory of the nation of his residence, for the purpose of leaving the country; which right continues for a reasonable time after his powers have terminated.

Bluntschli, S275; Viveash r. Becker, 3 Maule \& Seliryn's Rep., 297, cited in 2 Phill. Int. Jathe, pp. 260, 268.
' A person having a foreign domicil before appointment, does not lose it by residing as consul; and, therefore, it seems that his right of return to his domicil should be secured equally as if he were a foreigner.

## Immunities of consuls.

181. A consul, authorized as provided in the last article, ${ }^{\prime}$ is entitled to the following immunitios :
182. From military billetings' in his consular dwelling' and office;"
183. From military and naval service of every kind :
184. From jury and police duty, and all othor civil service ; ${ }^{\circ}$ and,

## 4. From arrest on civil process in all cases.'

Tiration, of all kinds, is not included among the immunitios allowed by this Code, for reasous stated in the introductory note so thim metion.
${ }^{1}$ It in supposed proper to require an escquatur in all cawn, an a foubst. ation for the consular fimmunties; (see Articles 16t-169;) though thit in not now an univerwal rule.

The first consular convention between the U'nited States and Firnnes. 1788, (8 U. S. Seat. at I.. ( $T_{r .0}$ ) 106,) extended the general immunition on viceconsuls and necretaries, though the latter were not repuipol to have an exequalur. The convention of 1853 , however, ( 10 Id. . ( Tr r.. $^{(1)} 11 \mathrm{~B}$. Art. II..) securea the same to consular pupils and to mecretaries, de., dischargling the consular duties ad interim.

The treaty between the U'nised Stater and Hayti, (Nor, 3. 1804.) 13 C . S. Seat. at L., 711, Art. XXXV., extends the lumunity from tares to per mons, not being citizens, attached to the service of consuls. And to the same effect, with the additional exemption from public nervier, is the treaty between the U'nited States and Brazil, 1828, 8 Id., 39 .

See, also, the truaty between the United Statea and
Colombia, 1824, 8 U. S. Stat, at Lo., 318.
Denmark, 1896, 8 Id., 342.
Mexico, 1831, $8 / \mathrm{d} ., 42 \mathrm{I}$.
Chili, 1832, 8 ld .440.
Peru-Bollvia, 1836, 8 It., 494.
Venezuela. 1836, 8 Id .480.
New Granada, 1846, 9 Hl ., ( $\mathrm{Tr}_{\text {r.) }}$ ) 94.
Guatemala, 1849, 10 Id ., ( $\left.\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right) 14$.
In onder that a nation may retain full jurisdiction over its own miembers, when appointed consuls by a forefgn nation, a walver of immanity, an a condition of granting the esequatur, should be required.
${ }^{1} 2$ Phill. Int. Lanre, p. 244.
Treaty between the United States and

> Italy. Feb. 8, 1868. Art. III., 15 U. S. .Nent. at Io., (Tr., 185.
> Hayti, Nov. 3, 1564, " XXXV., 13 Id., 811.
${ }^{2}$ Halleck, p. 244, 88.

- The authorities usually speak of the consular dwelling only: but an the dwelling is sometimes separate from the office, the latios is sperimlly mentioned, for it is peculiarly entitled to exemption.
- Mirtens says, that if necessary, the consul may te nyulrol to proride
a substitute; but it is suggested as better to disallow this qualifieation. Gruide Dip., tome 1, Si 74 ; cited in Halleck, pp. 248, 249.

See linited States Consular Regulations, (1870,) © 30 ; and treaties in Appendix No. 1.
${ }^{6}$ Halleck. pp. 248, 249.
${ }^{5}$ The existing rule only extends this exemption to consuls who do not engage in commerce. 2 Phill. Int. Lanc, 268.
The consular conventions between France and
Brazil, Dec. 10. 1860, 8 De Clereq, 153.
Austrin, Dec. 11, 1866, 9 Id., 669, Art. II. Portugal, July 11, 1866, 9 ld., 582, Art. II.
provide that arrest of the person can only be applied in civil cases, in causes of action of a commercial nature, where the consul is engaged in commerce.

But it is believed that the necessity of the efficient performance of consular functions, and a harmony with the general tendency restricting imprisomment in civil cases, requires the adoption of the rnle in the text.

Arrest in criminal cases is generally sanctioned by the authorities, and there seems to be good reason for allowing it, notwithstanding the interruption of the consular functions thereby caused. It is an important question, however, whether arrest should be allowed for crimes only, or also for misdemeanors, (delits.) The recent treaty between the United States and Italy allows of arrest only for offenses which are crimes by the local law, and punishable as such. The treaty between the United States and France, 18:53, ( 10 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr., ) 114, Art. II., ) is to the same effect. It is understood, however, that the French law generally holds foreign consuls amenable in cases of delit.

The latter rule seems preferable, and, therefore, no exemption in criminal cases is spectied.

See U.S. Cons. Reg., (1870,) 2 2 , and treaties in App. No. 1.

## Duty as witnesses.

182. A consul may be required to attend as a witness in the tribunals of the nation of his residence, within five leagues from the consular office, in the same manner as any other witness.

When the testimony of a consul is required for a tribunal beyond that distance, it must be taken in writing, at the consular office, in the manner prescribed by the law of the place for taking depositions.

This is suggested as, on the whole, a more reasonable and convenient rule than that embodied in many of the treaties.
The convention between the United States and Italy, cited above, provides that no consular officer, who is a member of the nation by which he was appointed, and who is not engaged in business, shall be compelled to
appear an a witnens before the courth of the counsry where ho rrables. When the textimony of such connular officer ion neveded, he mall be Invitend In writing to appear in court ; and it unable to do wo, lifin sestlmony ohall be reyuested in writing, or be taken orally, at hindwelling ur office, and It is the duty of the conmular officern to comply with nuch serpureta with out unnecemsary delay.
The same treaty also providee that in all criminal casess in which the constlution or lawn of the nation mecure to personm charged with crimeno the attendance of witnensen in their favor, the appearance in court of a consular officer, when required an nuch witnese, nhall be drazanded, with all possible regard for the consular dignity and to the dutien of hin offlew.

See Linited Šates Consular Regulations, (1850) © 28 ; and ireation in Appendix No. 1.
To nimilar effect the the treaty of friendship, commerre and naviga. tion between France and Peru, March 9.1831, Art. XIIII., 8 De Clereq. 193.

See, also, consular convention between France and
Brazil, Dec. 10, 1860, Art. 11., 8 De C"lereq, 153.
Austria, Dec. 11, 1866, " III., 9 Id., 669.
Portugal, July 11, 1866. "0 II., 9 Ite, sed.
That between France and Brazil, above, restricts this provision to con. sular otticers and their clerks, who are members of the nation by which they are appointed.

Books, papers, dec., not to be seized.
183. The authorities cannot seize, examine, or in any way interfere with the books, papers or other property held by the consul, by virtue of his office.

But a consul engaged in business must krep the books and papers relating thereto separate from those of the consulate; and they may be examined in the same manner as papers of other persons ; except as provided in the article entitled Searches and Seizures, in the Section concerning Rigits of Residesce, of Chapter XXV., entitled Personal Rights of Forfigifirs.

Conited States Consular Regulations, $(1850.) \subset 25$, and treaties in Appen. dix No. 1.

By Article 109, an exception to this rule is recognized in the case of national emergencies affecting the existence of the nation.

The treaty between the U'nited States and Italy, $15 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{S}$. Sat at I .0 (Tro.) 18j, Art. VI., by which this article is sughested, exemptes simply papers deposited in the consulate.

See, also, 2 Phill. Int. Letre, 245.
To much the same effict is the treaty of friendship, commerce and nav. Hation beiween France and Peru. March 9, 1561,8 De C"lerry, 103, Art. XIN.: and the consular convention between France and P'ortugal. July 11, $1860,9 \mathrm{Id} ., 589$, Art. V.

To the same effect, whthout, however, the last provision, is the consular convention between France and Austria. Dec. 11, 1866. Art. V., 9 De Clereq, 669. Brazil, Dec. 10. 1860, " Ill., 8 Id., 153.
And the treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between France and Honduras, Feb. 29. 18i6. 7 Id., 10, Art. XXI.

## Doelling and office inviolable.

184. The consular dwelling and office are exempt from the jurisdiction of the nation of his residence, ${ }^{1}$ but cannot be used as an asylum, ${ }^{2}$ except for the protection of members of the consul's nation against invasions of rights secured to them by this Code, , or by special compact, or for the like protection of members of a nation on whose behalf his friendly offices are interposed.
${ }^{1}$ The convention between the United States and Italy, 15 U . S. Stat. at L... (Tr., 185. Art. VI., declares that the consular oflices and dwellings shall be at all times inviolable, and the local authorities shall not, under any pretext, invade them.

See, also, convention or treaty between the United States and
Belgium, December 5, 1868, Art. Vi., U. S. Cons. Reg., (1870,) - 500.
France, February 23, 1853, " III., 10 U. S. Stat. at L., 992.
${ }^{2}$ Convention between the United States and Italy, above, and the treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between France and Peru, March 9, 1861, 8 De C'lercq, 193. Art. XLIV.
${ }^{3}$ This exception seems reasonable, under the system of a Code in which the rights of foreigners are defined.

Leci, (International Commercial Lale, vol. 1, Intro., p. xii..) says, that a "consulate is held to be the territory of the country which the consul " represents: and therefore, all deeds and acts done within it, or under " the seal of the consulate, are held as done in England." The conclusion is doubtless sound, but the reason assigned is questionable. The foregoing provisions do not confer any extra-territorial character on the consulate.

General subjection to local law.
185. Except as provided in this Title, the consular office confers no exemption from the laws and jurisdiction of the country of the consul's residence.

In case a consul is prosecuted, or punished, or deprived of the exercise of his fnnctions, for an offence agrainst the laws of the country of his resi-
dence, the offonded government munt acqualnt the consul'n nation with its motives for having thum acted.

Treaty between the United Staten and
Sweden \& Norway, July 4, 1827, Art. XIII., 8 U. S. .Nat. at I.. 840.
Greece. Dec. 29, 1837. " Xll., 8 dd .495.
Portugal, Aug. 20, 1840, " X., 8 It., spo.
Swiss Confederation, Nor. 25, 1850, " Vili., L'. S. Coms. Reg.,(1800.) - 696.

Numeroun treaties provide that consular officers engaged in commetco must submit to the same lawn and regulations to which memben of the nation in which they reside are required to submitt in the same place in respect to the like business. See, for instance, the treaty of commerce and navigation bet ween France and The free cities of Lubeck, Bromen and Hamburg.

March.4, 1865, Art. XIX.. 9 De C'lereq. $15 \%$. Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg
Schwerin-extended to the
Grand Duchy of Mecklen. burg Strelitz, Russia,

June 9, 1865, " XV., 9 /d.295.
June 14, 1858, ". XV., i dd., 9is.

## CHAPTER XIV.

## COMMISSIONERS.

## Anticle 186. Commissioners.

187. Immunities of commissioners.

## Commissioners.

186. Agents of intercourse other than those provided for in the last two chapters may be designated as Commissioners.

This designation is also given by the United Statea to their resident diplomatic officers in the Sundwich Islands, Paraguay, Ace Laverenece Wheaton, 387, note 124.

But an agent, sent with credentials on public business, is by the law of nations a public minister, and the title of agent or commissioner makes no difference. Vattel's Lane of Nations, Bk. 4, ch. 6. 冬 $\mathbf{i 5}$.

## Immunities of commissioners.

187. Commissioners have only such immunities as the nation to which they are sent may choose to accord.

Bluntachi, § 243; Klüber, 今̇̀ 170-172.

# IV. <br> INTERNATIONAL COMPACTS. 

The interpretation and effect of contracts by a nation with parties other than another nation, is provided for by the Chapter on Costracts, in Part V., entitled Private Riguts of Persons.

See a discussion of the consequences of non-execution of the engagements of goverments relative to the payment of their public delt, in Reoue de Droit Intern. et de Legis. Comp., 1869, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 2i5.

Ciafter XV. Treaties.
XVI. Informal Compacts.

## CHAPTER XV.

TREATIES.
Abticle 188. "Treaty" defined.
189. Capacity to conclude treaty.
190. Consent, how communicated.
191. Treaty by state in revolution.
192. Ratification, when necessary.
193. Ratification, when obligatory.
194. Notice of reasons of refusal to ratify.
195. Treaty negotiated contrary to minister's full power.
196, 197. Time of taking effect.
198. Treaty interfering with third party.
199. What provisions of this Code may be modified by special treaty.
200. Demand of performance, when necessary.
201. Merger of preceding communications.
202. Extinguishment of obligations created by treaty.
"Treaty" defined.
188. The term "treaty," " as used in this Code, means a written ${ }^{2}$ agreement between two or more
nations for the performance or omission of an act creating, terminating, or otherwise affecting an international right ' or relation.
"The term " conventions," which Wheaton. (Lanereace's rel., $p$ 400.) undermands an reatricted to executed agreementa, seem, no longer to bo umed with any uniformity in this limited mense.
${ }^{1}$ Some authoritien wtate that a treaty mant be in writing. Sire hiekber. Droit dea Gens, \& 142; and 2 Phillimore's Intermational Lane. p. B4, and note m.
${ }^{3}$ Fiore, (Nouscan Droit International, part I., che 1-4.) thinke that a nation cannot by treaty part with any of fitn ensential prowert.

Capacity to conclude treaty.
189. Any two nations can make a treaty.

The ratification of a treaty is a recognition of the nation with which it is made. Latierence, Commentaire sur Whenton, p. 196.

## Consent, how communicated.

190. The consent of a nation to a treaty can be communicated with effect only in the form, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ and through the executive or other department, authorized by its law,' or through its public minister duly empowered.
${ }^{1}$ E. g., constitutional requirements providing for the moncurrent action of several departments. K'lüber, \& 142, p. 181, note b; Laterence's Whenton. p. 452 , note 151 : /d.. 457.

- Heffer. Droil International, \& 84.

It is the practice of governments, in the drawing up of their treatiey with each other, to vary the order of naming the parties, and that of the signatures of the plenipotentiaries, in the counterparts of the same treaty. so that each party is first named, and lis plenipotentiary signs fint, In the copy prssessed and published by fisclf. And in trvation drawn up ine tween parties using different languages, and executed in both, each party is first named, and its plenipotentiary signs finst. in the copy exceused in its own language. Instructions to Diplomatic Agents of L'nited Nates. $8 \mathbf{X X}$.
In acts between several powers admitting the alserwatl, the ondar io bee followed in signature is decided by lot. Protocol of Treaty of Vienna,
 nutional Codifici, \& 178 .) says, that inntead of this rule, that of the alphabetic order of the initials of the several States is ofien followet.

Treaty by state in revolution.
191. The executive or other departments of a nation
which is in a state of revolution, if not in the peaceable possession of their powers, can make temporary treaties only.
$h^{\prime}$ lüber. Droit den (iens, 5 142, p. 181, note a.
Ratification, when necessary.
192. Ratification of a treaty by a nation is necessary to render it binding thereon in the following cases only : ${ }^{1}$

1. Where such ratification is therein expressly made a condition ;
2. Where the treaty is concluded by the executive or other department of the nation, and ratification thereof is, in such cases, required by its law ; ${ }^{2}$ or,
3. Where the treaty is concluded through a public minister of the nation who is not authorized to dispense with such ratification, or who, being thus authorized, does not expressly dispense with the same. ${ }^{3}$
[^10]Ratification. when obligatory.
193. A nation by whose public minister a treaty is concluded in conformity with his powers, is bound to ratify the same, if his powers contain an express agreement, anthorized by the law of the nation, that it shall be ratified when so concluded; unless by the terms of the treaty its ratification is optional with such nation; or unless, before the time agreed on for its ratification, an event has occurred or been discovered which if occurring or discovered after
its ratification would anthorize such nation to rescind or refuse to perform it.

Nolice of reasons of refusal to ratify.
194. Where ratification is refused pursuant to the provisions of the last article, notice of such refusal, stating the reasons thereof, must be forthwith given to the other parties to the treaty.

Treaty negotiated contrary to minister's full poocer.
195. The negotiation of a treaty by a public minister not in accordance with his powers ' creates no obligations on the part of his nation to ratify it.
${ }^{2}$ Even if the full power contains a promise to ratify all his acts. Tave rence's Wheaton. p. 447.

## Time of taking effect.

196. A treaty which is binding on a nation withont ratification, is binding from the date of its signature, unless therein otherwise expressed.

The same.
197. A treaty which requires ratification binds the ratifying nation' from the time of ratification,' unless therein otherwise expressed.
'The present rule makes ratification retronct as regards the natlon, but not as to persons and things within lts jurisdiction. Laucrence's I'heritun, p. 453, note 152.

The Supreme Court of the United States, in the case of Jecker r. Magee, held, that the principle of relation which. as respects the rights of either government, regards a treaty as concluded from the date of lis signatare. does not apply to private rights under it. As affecting these, it is not considered as concluded but from the exchange of ratification. Diene Sork Transeriph, August 18, 1870.

- This is the case, independently of any auxiliary legislation necesary to carry the treaty into effect, unless otherwise provided therein. Latr rence's Whenton, p. $45 \%$.

Treaty interfering with third party.
198. If a treaty interferes with the rights, under a pre-existing treaty, of a nation other than a party to the new treaty, it is, to the extent of the interference, valid, as to such nation, only so far as it submits to the execution thereof.

Blentechli, 8414.

What provisions of this Code may be modified by special treaty.
199. Any two or more nations may, by special compact, modify the application of any of the provisions of this Code, in respect to themselves, and persons and things within their exclusive jurisdiction, but not in respect to the other parties to the Code, or their members.

No treaty between two or more nations should absolve either from obligations to other nations created or defined by this Code.

## Demand of performance, when necessary.

200. Except in respect to an act therein stipulated to be performed at a certain time, the performance of a treaty must be demanded before any mation, party thereto, can be placed in default.
Hefter, s. 94.
Merger of preceuing communications.
201. All communications, written or verbal, between the parties to a treaty, preceding its signature, and relating to the subject thereof, are merged in the treaty.
This provision is from Laurrence's Whenton, p. 442 ; extended to written communications, not referred to expressly, or by necessary implication.

Extinguishment of obligations created by treaty.
202. An obligation created by treaty is extinguished, either,

1. By its full performance ; or,
2. By renunciation of the party entitled to performance; or,
3. By the subsequent permanent impossibility of performance, without the fault of the party bound ; or,
4. By fultillment of the conditions, or the expiration of the time, expressed in the treaty for its extinguishment; ${ }^{1}$ or.
5. By breach of its conditions by the nation entitled to performance ; ${ }^{2}$ or,
6. By rescission ${ }^{2}$ of the treaty, through common consent.


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ The effeet of clangea In form of government, and of diviohers ins annesation of nations, in moro fully treated in Chapter III., momercing Penteticity. Kilübre, \& 145.   other party falls to fulall the conditions of nuch obligation. Thim jarimed ple is applicable to contracts, generally. Its application to itration is. howerer, disputed; It being rlaimed that no Power cat met andide, atmernd or moxdify treaty stipulations, by lte own will, and rithout the comocot of all the other contructing partica.  the Treaty of Paris, (March 18-30, 1N36, that a breach of the mippulationta for the neutralization of the Black Sen, contithed hep to lee relramelt troen the obligations contained in the treaty, to limit her naval forcoen to incon widerable dimensions: and ansented to a conference eithep for a confirma tion, or renewal, or moxification of the atipulations of the treaty, or for ite rescisslon through common consent. ${ }^{3}$ Wheaton, (Dana's ed., \& 283, ) adds, that treaties may be avolided upwa the ground of the mutual error of the partiox reopecting a matter of fact. It seems better, however, to leave this and the other cawes of geselssion to the rules applicable to controversies between nations.


## CHAPTER XVI.

## INFORMAL COMPACTE.

Article 203. Informal mompacts may be made.
204. Ratification of written compacts made by unauthorized agents.

Informal compacts may be made.
203. Compacts, other than treaties, may be made in writing' between nations, without the formality of a treaty.
${ }^{1}$ Wheaton. (Iarerence's ed., p. 449.) maya, motern unage reyuire thas verbal agreements should be reduced to writing.

> Ratification of voritten compacts by unathorized agents.
204. The consent of a nation to an agreement in writing, made on its behalf by a person not authorized. may be given expressly, or by acting under it as if Iuly concluded and ratified.

Laverence's Whenton, p. 42.

## TITLEV.

## REMOVAL OF PERSONS.

Cinaiter XVII. Asylum.
XVIII. Extradition.

## CHAPTER XVII.

## ASYLCM.

## Article 205. Right of asylum.

206. Exclusion of criminals.
207. Abuse of asylum.
208. Return.
209. Obtrusion of convicts, paupers, $\&{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$

## Right of asylum.

205. No nation is bound' to surrender a person within its exclusive jurisdiction ${ }^{2}$ to any foreign power. except in cases provided for by this Code, or by special compact.

[^11]the vexed questions of refugees from ship to whore, and shore to ship, when arining between nations partices to the Code, in the following manner:

Againat a public armed nhlp, a demand for surrender cannot be ens. forced.

In the case of an unarmed whip. private or public, within the jarimile. tion of a nation, process of the nation can be executad on lwand, amd therefore a dewerter or criminal may be arrested, either for promeretion In the courtm of the country, or for surrender to another nation, or tie whipe.

In the case of deserters from ship to shore, application munt be maile to the local anthorities, under the provisions of this Title.

By the existing rule, which will, of course, still be applifalite in the came of nations not partiea to the Code, a demand for thenurromere of a perwon escaping from a nation to a forcign shlp, within ite waters, must first be made on the officer in command. If he refuse, and the mhifp is a public armed shif, redress must be wought from his government. If the ship be a private ship, application must be made, after such refusal, to the consul of his nation, of to the public armed ship of such nation stationed at the port; and it is only when such application does not avall, that resort to force can be had. Guide Prutique dea Consulats, vol. 2. p. 1:1: Ortolan, Régles Int, et Dipl. de ha Mer, vol. 1, p. 301.

## Excelusion of criminals.

206. No nation is bound to furnish an asylum to criminals from foreign countries; and it is for each govern ment, or its authorized officers, ${ }^{1}$ to determine the cases, and manner in which such persons shall be excluded or removed.
${ }^{1}$ The commanding officer of a ship of war may expel a refuger, without awaiting proceedings in extradition. Ortolitn, Riglea Int. et Diph. de las Mer, vol. 1, p. 200.

Every nation has an undoubted right to surrender fugitives from other States. No man has a right to say, I will force myself into your territory. and you shall protect me. Commonwealth e. Deacon, 10 Árgeant of Rande's (Penasyleania) Rep., 125.

Dhan says, that the general tone of the judicial decislons and of poltt. ical debate in the United States has been adveree to the right of a gor. ernment, in the absence of treaties and statutex, to surrender a fugitive criminal. Dams's Wheaton on International Laur, 今i115, note [:3.]
Such surrender was, however, once made in the United States, in the cave of Arguelles, governor of a district in Cuba, who, having mold linto slavery a number of Africans who wero in him charge as an oitmere. reeaped to New York. There was no extradition trvaty letwen the l'nited States and Spain: but upon proot of the facts to the sircritaty of Statr. and a request by the Spanish authoritien for the arrest and surfendez of

Arguelles as an act of favor and comity, not only because of his offense, lout also Ireause his presence in Cuba was necessary to the liberation of tho Africans he had mold, the Secretary of State, with the sanction of the President, ordered his arrest and surrender, as a purely executive act. The Schate (May Dsih, 1N64.) inquired of the President under what anthority of law or treaty this was done. The response of the Secretary of State took the ground that "although there is a conflict of authorities concerning the expediency of exercising comity towards a foreign gov. ernment, by surrendering at its request one of its own subjects charged with the commission of crime within its territory, and although it may be conceded that there is no national obligation to make such a surrender upon a demand therefor, unless it is acknowledged by treaty or by statute law, yet a nation is never bound to furnish asylum to dangerons criminals, who are offenders agninst the human race; and it is believed that if in any case the comity could with propriety be practised, the one which is understool to have called forth the resolution furnished a just occasion for its exercise." Ünited States Diplomutic Correspondence, 1864, Part II., 60-74; Congrexxional Globe, 1864.

A resolution introduced into the House of Representatives, condemning this nct, as a violation of the Constitution and in derogation of the right of asylum, was rejected by a large majority, and the subject referred to a committer : but it was followed by no further action of Congress. An indictment was found in the State courts against the marshal who made the arrest, charging the act as an offense against the statutes respecting kidnapping: lut the case has not been brought to trial. The question involved atficted not only the right of the United States, but the right of the President to act, in the absence of a statute; and neither question can be considered settled ly this case.

Abuse of asylum.
207. One who uses his asylum for promoting hostilities against a foreign country, may be proceeded against under the law of the nation of his asylum, or may be surrendered to the nation aggrieved.

Bluntarluli, ( $(398$.$) states the rule to be, that, in case of abuse of asylum,$ the mation whirh has granted asylum is bound, as toward a friendly nation, to withdraw its permission to the refugee to sojourn upon its territory, or to impose such restrictions as shall preclude all danger to the country of the refugee.

The right of a state to demand that rebellious subjects shall not be allowed to plot against it in the territory of another State, cannot, when strotehed to its utmost limit, be extended beyond the point of requiring the foreign State to send the fugritive in safety elsewhere; and the demand can only be logally mude when the state has confessed or demonst rated its inability to restrain the fugitive from carrying on plots against the conntry from which he has fled. Phillimore's International Lair, vol. I., 1. $41 \%$.

In the course of debate in the Britiah Honee of Peerm, March, IBRa, upon the quention of foreign mofugeex, the Prime Mluister stated that the giovernmeat had rewolved if any event occurrod which gave junt ground of complaint to a foreign government againat a refugee In Fingland, to take upon thembelves the promention of such isilivilual, and mex to throw the buriden of it upon the forelgn minioter.

The prinelpal acemsions upron which nuch a ooung han been punsurd. have bepn mtated as follown:

In 1790, certain Englimh nubjectn wero proweeuted for publishing a Ifrol nyon Panal I. Emperor of Ramola. They were convieted and puniblied by fine and imprisomasent. Slase Trialo, (Hovell,) vol, XXVII., 087-an0.

In 1808, Jean Peltier, a French refugee, wan pronecuted for a libel on Napoleon Bonaparte, then Flrat Consul of the French Repoblic. He wan convicted, but the breaking out of war prevented him meeiving judgreat. State Triale, (Howell,) rol. XXVIII, 580-619.

Woolery, (Intermutional Lume, \& 79.) mayn: "A nation lian a right to hap. bor political refugees, and will do m, unlen weaknew or political aympathy lead it to a contrary courne. But nuch persoran may not, monaintensly with the obligation of friendship between States, be allowed to plon aqainat the person of the sorereign, or against the inmtitutions of Hecir native country. Such acts are crimes, for the trial and punimhment of which the laws of the land ought to provide, but do not reyuire that the aceumed be remanded for trial to his native country." See aleo Widdman's International Late, p. 50 : Lave Lib., vol. 52, p. 42.

Afer the attempt to aseaminate the Emperor of the French on the 1tih of January, 1858, the French Minister of Foreign Atisirs represented that plots to ammaninate the Emperor had been formed in Eingland, and arked that Eingland nhould provide for the punishment of nuch offenees. In ac. cordance with the reyuear, Lord Palmeraton, being Prime Minister, on the 8 th of February intoduced a bill for the punishment of eoneppiraciea formed in England to commit murder beyond Her Majeaty's domintonn : but the bill wan rejected, and the ministry immediately resignod. The bill was opposed by eome from an unwillingnees to interfere in any way with the right of asylum: but the controlling reamon evidenty wae a feeling that the French government had uned too dictatorial a tone in demanding the pareage of nuch a law. Annnal Register, 1858, pp. 5, 2as. 202 : Annwaine des devr Mondes, 1857-8, pp. 82, 110. 420; ctied in lanerence's Whouton, p. 246, note.

The same application was mado to Sardinis, and a law was paered there. making it a special offense to conspite against the lives of aurerrignt. although the paniahment originally propomed in the bill as introdured ly the ministers, was miltigated by the Clambera. M. Carour purtaineat the measare, both on political grounda and becanse he deetned it importans that Sardinia, under the circumstances in which she wan placod, shoulid not act in opposition to the views of France. Annmaine de dews Veadra. 185:-8, p. 216.

## Return.

208. Foreign convicts or accused persons, paupers, and persons suffering from mental alienation or from other maladies which give them the right to public relief, who enter a nation, may be sent back by it to the nation of which they are members, at any time while the legal liability or the state of dependence continues, and before they have acquired the national character of the nation into which they have entered.
The declaration bet ween France and Bremen, Oct. 20, 1866, (9 De Clereq, (620.) which contains such a provision as to insane, \&c., requires each nation to reimburse the expenses of the return of such persons, as well as the expenses occasioned by the sojourn and treatment of its own members in the asylums of the other.

The treaty between France and the Swiss Confederation, June 30, 1864, ( 9 De Clereq, 91,) provides that the members of one nation established in the other, who shall be sent back by legal sentence, or according to the laws or regulations of police respecting morals or mendicity, shall be rereived at all times with their families in the country of their origin, \&c.

By the treaty between the United States and the Swiss Confederation, Nov. 25, 1850, (11 U. S. Stat. at L., 587, Art. III.) each nation is bound to receive back its members, with their wives and legitimate issue, who have preserved their rights according to its laws, in case they desire to return, or are sent back by judicial decision or act of police, according to the laws regulating morals and mendicity.

Obtrusion of convicts, paupers, \&c.
209. No nation has a right to obtrude persons, such as are mentioned in the last article, upon another nation, or aid or encourage such to emigrate to another nation.

Persons entering a nation contrary to this article, may not only be sent back by it to the nation offending, at the expense of the latter, but the nation aggrieved is entitled to redress for the unfriendly act.

This article is suggested by a letter from Dr. Francis Lieber, (dated September 4, 1869, to the Secretary of State of the United States, in ref. erence to the obtrusion of Convicts. His conclusions are thus stated :
"In my opinion, we stand in need of three things:" wo
"First, the foul character of the transaction must be openly acknowiedged and plainly laid down in the law of nations, which, doubtless, has not been done long ago, because the offense has never before, so far as I know, presented itself so strikingly as in our times of emigration, which
resemble, though peaceful, the periox of migration of nations, which was warlike."
" We ought to atlpulate by treatien (the reverne of extradition triaties) with the other governments of our family of nationn, that every at tempted importation of convicts ahall be considered as a grave offersoes against the law of mationw, and a mont "unfriendly act." calling for mo rious remedies; and the writers on the law of nations ought mon to lay down the fair and simple prinejple in their worke. This is one of the ways in which the law of nations advances, and han no nobly advancrel is the lant hundred years. I have never falled to touch on this prineiple in my lectures on this the greatent branch of law."
"Secondly, we stand in need of a law of the United Statex by which is in made penal to introduce convicta Into our territory, both for the captaina commanding the importing venerl, and by a high fine impoed on the owners of the same; and by which law proviston is made that the imported convicts be exported again to the government whence they came. at the expense of naid government. A bill of thin sort was introduced in Feliruary, 1867, by the late H. J. Raymond, then one of our liew lork representatives, induced to do so by Mr. Frederic Kapp, a foreign-iorn citizen himself, and one of the most active New York (Ommismioners of Emigration. The bill, however, was brought in too late, and only paswd the House of Representatives."

- Thirdly, it will be advisable that such a law ones having been passed, but the treaties which have been spoken of not get haring been concluded, the United States proclaim openly and declare to every gor. ernment in amity with the Linited Statex, that henceforth our government shall consider the attempted obtrusion of convicts a highly penal act, and If gorernments have anything to do with it, an unfriendly act in the seneo of the law of nations which requires satisfaction."


## CHAPTER XVIII.

## EXTRADITION.

Section I. Extradition of Criminals.
II. Of Deserterx

## SECTION I.

## fixtradition of criminalis.

The practice of extradition rests upon the principle, that the common interests of all nations require the punishment of great criminals, and bemand for that purpose an exception to the general rule that the penal laws of a State are local, and can have no aid from foreign powers.

This saction proposes to combine the penal systems of independent nations sutficiently for common protection against the ubiquity of crime. See Bluntachli, Droit International Codifié, S 395, and note.

There is, as has been already observed, a difference of opinion among jurists whether extradition, independent of treaty, is a matter of duty or discretion. If it be simply a matter of discretion, then the refusal to surrender fugitive criminals is no ground of offense to the State demanding it.

The lending authorities are thus epitomized by Forgyth, in Cases and Opinions in Conxtitutional Lanr, p. 369, note:
The former opinion is maintained by Grotius, Herneccius, Burlamqui, Vattel, Rutherforth, Schmelzing and Kent ; the latter, by Puffendorf, Voet, Martens, Kluber, Leyser, Kluit, Saalfeld, Schmaltz, Mittermeier and Heffter. See Danris Wherton, \& 115, and note 73.

Woolsey, (Internutional Larc. 夂⺀ 79.) says: "We conclude that there is a limited obligation of nations to assist each other's criminal justice, which only treatics expressing the views of the parties at the time, can define."

Hefler, (I)roit International, Ş 63.) says: " Early writers, such as Grotius and Vattel, declared extradition obligatory ; but the negative is held by modern writers, and has prevailed in practice."

Phillimore, (International Lanc. vol. I., 413,) says. "The result of the whole consideration of this subject is that the extradition of criminals is a matter of comity, not of right, except in the cases of special convention."

Chrke, in his treatise upon the Lato of Extradition, (ch. 1,) from a review of the opinions of jurists, draws the following conclusions:
"The surrender of fugitive criminals is an international duty. It may not be so plainly a matter of right, that the refusal to grant it should subject a nation to the penalty of war; but such a refusal is so clearly injurious to the country which refuses, and to the whole world, that it is a serious violation of the moral obligations which exist between civilized communities."
"In former times, the surrender was granted by a sovereign, in virtue of his own prerogative; but the recent course of European legislation has been to restrain this prerogative, and to cast upon the legislature of a country the task of providing for the performance of this duty."
"This provision abould be guanded by the exelustion of political offenders, and myuiromeat of amo evidener of guilt bofore the acrumad perwon in deliverval up. It would be wise almo to mentrict the offromers for which surrender ahould be granted, ancording to the facility with which criminalu could emcupe from one comatry to another ; but to mfase tos make provition at all, would be to inflict an Injury upon the whole world. and expecially upon the country no refuning." Bee almo, 2 Wirrft Latir of Nafiona, 819.
The provisions of thia section are beaed ehiefly upon ithone of axbating ineatien, particularly the numonows American treatien, and the mont rowns French treatien: with auch modifications an the nature of a genernl rule requiren, and such as are nuggented by the receat opinions of juriste and judicial deelsions."

In addition to the French treatien cited under the articles of thin ser. thon, the following, chlefly of an carlier date, may bo referred to: Itr Clemp, vol. 5, p. 500 ; vol. 6, pp. 2. 19, 25, 114, 232, 277, 279. :324. 345, 347. 872, 431, 443. 440, 452, 455, 472, 490, 570, 001 : vol. 7, pp. 186. 444, 615: vol. 8, pp. 49. 76 ; vol. 9, p. 407.
For an instructive history of the American doctrine of extradition, ane the Letter of Mr. Lawrence, in the Tronanetions of the Nutional Aeoriontion for the Promotion of Sucial Science, 1806, p. 151.

## Articles 210. Duty of extradition. <br> 211. The requiaition. <br> 212. Hequisition in case of offense committed on the frontier. <br> 918. Requisition in case of offense within a colony.

214. What criminals are subject to extradition.
215. Exception of certain offenses.
216. Order of arrest.
217. Arrest in anticipation of requimition.
218. Proliminary inrestigation.
219. Rules for conducting inveetigation.
220. Documentary evidence.
221. Neceneary proof of guilt.
222. Eividence in caec of convicted criminals.
223. Inquiry as to real motive of demand.
[^12]```
Abticles 2e4. Conflicting claims.
    295. Surrender of those under arrest for local
                otfenses may be deferred.
    226. Surrender, notwithstanding civil arrest.
    22%. Conditional extradition.
    2:8. Member of a third nation.
    2?9. Surrender, by whom made.
    2:%0. Surrender in case of offenses committed
                on the frontier.
    231. Surrender by colonial government.
    232. Things in prisoner's possession.
    233. Second arrest.
    234. Custody of the prisoner.
    23.5. Discharge in case of delay of extradition.
    236. Limitations of time extended in certain
                cases.
    237. Restrictions as to punishment.
    20. Necessary legislation to be provided.
```

1)uty of extradition.
210. Each nation, on demand made by another na- tion, through its supreme executive authority,' in the manner provided in this Section, and at the expense of the demanding nation, ${ }^{2}$ must deliver up to justice persons ${ }^{2}$ who, being accused of crimes enumerated in article 214, within the jurisdiction of the latter, are found ${ }^{\circ}$ within the jurisdiction ${ }^{6}$ of the former.

This article and the next are founded on Article I. of the convention of November 9, 1843, between the United States and France. 8 U. S. Stututes "t Large, 5s0) ; and other treaties.
' All demands for international extradition must proceed from the supreme prlitical unthority of the demanding State. 7 Opinions of $U . S$. Attorucys-Generul, p. 6.

There can be no actual extradition until a proper requisition to that effect has been made by the foreign government to the Secretary of State. Extradition cannot be made upon mere judiciul documents, for they are not requisitions, but only pronf upon which the Secretary of State is to act when due requisition shall have been made. 8 Opinions of $U$. S. At torney*Generul, p. 240.
${ }^{2}$ A provision that the expenses of any detention and delivery, effected in virtue of the preceding provisions, shall be borne by the government in whose name the requisition is made, is usual in the treaties. The same rule is stated by Bluntschli, Droit Intern. Codifié, S 400.

But where, in consequence of conflict between the judicial authorities of the C゙nited States and those of a State, the latter aiming to prevent the
extradition, the Cuited Statee Intervence to malntaln tom own digulty io the premines, the apectal expenmes of such intervention elomatal be defrayed by the Cinlted States. TOpinione of U. S. Altorneysficheral. p. 396.
${ }^{3}$ An to the exception in favor of cifizens or nubjecta, ace Article 215. note 5. An to members of third nations, nee Artiele ?2s.
'It has been maid, that to justify the commencenaent of proces, in es tradition, It munt appear that the criminal actn chargoll wero cotumationt within the territorial jurisdiction of the demanding government. orgoin. ions of U. S. Attorneys.General, p. 215: 1 Id., p. 83.

But on the conntruction of the British treaty of extradition, a crizsom committed at mea, on board of an American vessect, haw lewen considered the same as if committed in the territory of the linitod stater. And It has been considered under the French treaty, that where a crime in coms. mitted at sea, it in constructively committed within the territory of the Cuion, and is to be judged by the Federal judiciary nlone, and in, therefore, rightully a came of extradition. Iamerence's Whrotum, p. 249, nome. See, also, Re Bennett, Iane Timen Rep., vol. XI., 488. Hereee, it mernos better to refer to the legat juriadiction. See Article 300 .
${ }^{3}$ The language of some of the treaties is. " persons who acele on asylum. or are found "" but obviously, the latter circumstance alone emtrols the case. It is not sufficient to show that the accused mought an asylum, If not found within the territorial juristliction : and it he is $w$ found, it is not necessary to whow that he was seeking asylum.

Analleged criminal in aubject to extradition, notwithenanding that he may have cone to the country otherwine than as an apparvat fugitire on account of the particular crime; for the treaties apply mont only to prowne seeking an asylum professedly. but to such as may be foumed in the country also. 8 Opinions of the $U$. S. Attorncys-Generol. pisubs.

- The treaty between the United states and The Two siciliew, October 1, 1855 , (11 U. S. SVat. at L., C633, Art. XXI., expressly extemuls to the caro of one serking asylum on board the vessels of war of the nation on which the demand is made. See treaty between the United statomand China, June 18th, 1858, 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1025, Art. XVIII.

The article, in its present form, defines the right of extrablition, as it is now recognized, and extending only to crimes commitsal withan the juris. diction of the demanding nation.

It may be thought desirable to cxtend the rule to offionma akainst the law of a nation, committed beyond its jurisdiction, whifh if winuld hare power to punish if the offender comex within its juristiction, and also to offenses against any provision of this Code.

## The requisition.

211. Except in the cases provided for in the next two articles, a requisition for extradition must the made through the public minister of the demanding nation :
or, in his absence from the country or its seat of government, through other agencies of international intercourse, and must be addressed to the officers who, by articles 229, 230 and 231 , are empowered to make the surrender.
s Opinions of $U$. S. Attorncys-General, p. 240; convention between the Tinited States and Italy, March 23, 1868, 15 U. S, Stat. at Lo., (Tr., ) 131. Art. V.
letters permissive, authorizing proceedings of extradition to be instituted in the l"nited States, form in no sense a judicial paper of any wort. They are nothing but a political commission, or executive license, to enable the demanding government to go before the courts and proceed to establisha case of extradition in due form of law. Hence a clerical arror in such document does not affect its validity. 8 opinions of U. S. Attor-weyx-Gcueral, p. 420.
${ }^{1}$ For the cases in which consuls may act, see article 1 rif.
Requisition in case of offense committed on the frontier.
212. In the case of an offense within the jurisdiction of a State or Territory, being part of a nation, and upon the boundary between two contiguous nations, a requisition may be made, either as provided in the last article, or through the chief civil authority of the frontier State or Territory ; or, when, from any cause, the civil authority of such State or Territory is suspended, through the chief military officer in command thereof.

Treaty between the United States and Mexico. December 11, 1861, 12 LV.S. Stat. at L., 1200, Art. II.

## Requisition in case of offense within a colony.

213. In the case of an offense within the jurisdiction of a colonial government, the demand for extradition may be made, either as provided in article 211, or by the Governor, or chief executive officer of the colony.

Convention for extralition between the French colonies and the colonies of the Netherlands, West Indies, concluded August 3, 1860, between France and the Setherlands, 8 I) Clereq. $7 \%$.

Treaty between Great Britain and Denmark. April 15, 1862. Accounts and Papers, 1862, vol. LXIII., (35.)

What criminals are subject to extradition.
214. The person to be surrendered, on the demand
for extradition, according to the provisions of this Section, must have been convicted,' or charged, before the courts, tribunals or criminal magistrates of the demanding nation, with one or more of the following crimes, ${ }^{\prime}$ as now defined in the penal Code of the demanding nation :

Abortion ;'
Arson;"
Barratry ;'
Bigamy ;
Burglary ;'
Counterfeiting ; ${ }^{\circ}$
Crime against nature ;
Embezzlement ;'
False pretenses or false tokens ;
Forgery : ${ }^{*}$
Kidnapping ;"
Larceny ;" punishable by the law of the demanding nation by imprisonment exceeding one year ;

Maiming ;
Manslanghter ;
Murder;"
Perjury ;"
Piracy; ${ }^{10}$
Rape;"
Robbery," with violence or intimidation;
Slave trading ;
Or convicted or charged in like manner with an offense against any provision of this Code, the violation of which is declared to be a public offense."
'The American treaties do not generally refer, at least, not in exprese terms, to convicts. The recent treaty between the linited Statea and it. aly, however, does so refer.
${ }^{1}$ Mr. Westlake proposes that no enumeration be attempted, but thas the broad principle be expressed that " Exstradition shall be granied whenever the facts, if shey had occurred in the country to whel the criminal has escaped, would constitute any crime or offione other than treason or sedition." Traneactions of Nistional Amecristion for Promostion of Sxial Sirience, 1866, p. 150. Mr. Rathbone, on the other hand. urges that acts which are not offenses in both countrice, and mere of
fenses against morality, however outrageous, should not be included. Ib., p. 143.

Heretofore the enumeration of crimes for which extradition might be ordered has been fixed with reference to the nature of the intercourse between the nations uniting in the treaty, and the facility with which criminals could escape from one to the other. Such discrimination seems impracticable in a general Code; and of minor importance by reason of the rapidly increasing commercial relations and facilities of intercourse and travel.

One rule is, therefore, here proposed for all the nations uniting in the adoption of this Code, and the offenses included in the article above, are such as the general experience and better opinion mark as proper subjects for extradition. Minor offenses, which require provisions between particular neighboring Powers, can be the subjects of special conventions.
${ }^{3}$ Abortion: "Acortement."
Convention between France and-
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq, 444. Austria,

Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 579.
And other earlier treaties.
${ }^{4}$ Arson. This crime is made the subject of extradition by the follow. ing treaties:

Treaty between the United States and


Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clercq, 444.
Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 579.

## 3 Berrutry.

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Welmar, Aug. i, 1808, 7 De C'lerrg, 444. Austria, $\quad$ Nov. 18, 1855, $6 / \mathrm{ld} .579$.

Where a forelgner conmplred in England with the manter and unato of a forefgn whip to cant away and dextroy the eame, to the projudice of the underwriters, and the conspiracy wan executed by their minking the ship off the Finglinh coant, It was supponed that the prisoner could not be in. dicted in Fingland for destroying the whip, an he was a forelgner, the hhip was forvign, and the offinse was committed on the high seat. The offense of piracy or roblery, which is against the law of nations, was mot charged. The jury were directed to conslder whether the priwoner was a party in Fingland to a previons plan or consplacy to deatroy the ship, without reference to fis destination on the high seas.

If the conspiracy to destroy was limited to the high meas, guere, whether it would have been a crime. Regina e. Kolan, 4 Foater d Finhacons: Rep., 68.

* Bigamy. It has been questloned whether bigamy nhould be a ground of demand for extradition, except when it is clearly proved that the fact of the first marriage was concealed from the second wife, it then beinge treated as an Injury done, through Iraud, to her. Traneactions of Nistional Asociation for the Promstion of Sucin! Science, 1806, p. 144.

\author{

- Burglary. <br> Treaty between Gireat Britain and <br> Irussia, Mar. 5, 1864. Accounts and P'apers, 1804, vol. I.JVI., (35.) <br> Conrention between the United States and <br> The King of France, Feb. 24, 1845. 8 U.S. Stat. at L., $61 \%$. <br> The King of Sweden ? <br> and Norway, $\}$ Mar. 21, 1860, 12 Id., 1126. Art. II.
}

In the treaty between the United States and Mexico, December 11, 1561, (12 Id., 1200, Art. Ill.,) burglary is defined, for purpoees of the treaty, to be " the breaking and entering into the house of another, with intent to commit felony." And in the convention between the United States and Italy, March 93, 1808, ( 15 Id., (Tr., ) 130. Art. II., it is defined as such breaking and entering by night.

And the corresponding crimes included under the French law in the words col qualifte.

Convention between the United Statea and The King of France, Feb. 24, 1845, 8 U. S. Stat. at $L_{\text {. }}$, $61 \%$.

* Counterfciling. The treaties differ very mach as to what ofienees of this nature are subjects of extradition; those which have been rocognized as snch may be stated as follows:

Counterfrifing moncy.
Treaty between the United States and
Venezucla, Aug. 27, 1860, Art. XXVIII., 12 U. \& . Nat. at Le, 115及
Hayti, Nor. 3,1864, " XXXIX., $13 \mathrm{Id} ., 78$

Conrention between the United States and
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The Iominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, 1867, , Art. XXVIII., 15 U.S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) 183.
Making and uttering false money.
Treaty between the United States and
The Two Sicilies, Oct. 1, 1855, Art. XXII., 11 U. S. Stat. at L., 652.
Fiabrication or circulation of counterfeit money, vhether coin or paper money.

Treaty between the United States and
Austria, July 3, 1856, Art. I., 11 U. S. Stat. at I., 692.
Bavaria, Sept. 12, 1853, " I., $10 \mathrm{Id} .,(\mathrm{Tr} .) 175.$,
Convention between the United States and
Prussia, June 30, 1852, Art. I., 10 U.S. Stat.at L., (Tr.,) 100.
(Extended to the)
North (ierman $\}$ Feb. 22, 1868, " III., 15 Id., (Tr.,) 116. Confederation,
Baden, Jan. 30, 185\%, " I., 11 Id., 714.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { King of Sweden } \\ \text { and Norway, }\end{array}\right\}$ Mar. 21, 1860, " II., 12 Id., 1120.
"Fabrication, introduction, emission de fausse-monnaie contrefaçon ou altération de papier-monnaie ou emission de papier-monnaie contrefait ou altéré; contrefaçon des poinçons servant a marquer les matières d'or et d'argent, contrefaçon des Sceaux de l'Etat et des timbres nationaux, alors méme que la fabrication ou contrefaçon aurait en lieu dehors de l'Etat, qui reclame l'extradition."
('onvention between France and
The Girand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clercq, 444. Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 579.
"Counterfeiting bank notes, public securities or money."
Treaty between Great Britain and
Prussia, Mar. 5, 1864, Accounts and Papers, 1864, vol. LXVI., (35.)
"Courterfeiting public bonds, bank notes and obligations, and, in general, any title and instrument of credit whatsoever; the comenterfeiting scals, dies, stamps, and marks of State and public administration, and the uttering thereof."

Convention between the United States and Italy, March 23, 1868, Art. II., 15 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) 130.
Crime against nature.
${ }^{9}$ Embezzlement. The treaties differ very much as to what offenses of this nature are subjects of extradition; they are varionsly stated as follows:
"Eimbezzlement by clerks and servants."
Treaty between Great Britain and
Prussia, Mar. 5, 1864, Accounts and Papers, 1864, vol. IXVI., (35.)


Treaty between the United States and
The Two Sicilles, Oct. 1, 1855, Art. XXII., 11 U. S. Sat. at L., 652.
" Embecalement by public offeere, or by permons hired or alaricd, to the detriment of their employers."

Treaty between the United States and
Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1800, Art. XXVIII., 12 C.. S. Sat. at L., 1159.
Hagti, Nov. 3, 1864, " XXXIX., 13 Id., 738.
And the same was added to the treaty between the United States and France, by an additional article. 11 U.S. SYat. at L., 741.

Coarention between the United States and
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The } 8 \text { Swise Con-- } \\ \text { federation, }\end{array}\right\}$ Nov. 25, 1850, Art. XIV., 11 U. S. Seat. at I.., 304

"Embetelement of public moneyo, committed within the juriadiction of either party, by public offcers, or depositaries; embezstement by any proow hired or malaried, to the detriment of their employera."
Convention between the United States and
Italy, Mar. 28, 1868, Art. II., 15 U. S Etat. at L., (Tr.) 180.
" Sonstractions et concusaions commises par des depositaines (ou lacesirs*) revetue d'un caractire public, des valeurs qu'lle arient entre les maine a raison de leur functions; soustractions commises par des casoiens (on dr poaltalres) d'établimemente publicas, on des maisons de commerromate meulement dans le cas ou ces soustractions sont accompagnérs des circonatances quil leur doanent le caractero do crime."

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, $\boldsymbol{i}$ De Clereq, 444.
Austria,
Nov. 13, 1855, 6 If., 579.
In an extradition treaty, the terms "public officers" or "public depositaries " do not comprehend officers of a railroad company, but only sig. nify oflicers or depositaries of the government, in some of its branches or degrees. 8 Opinions of $U . S$. Attorncys-General, p. 106.

## Filse pretenacs or fulse tokens.

${ }^{10}$ Furgery.
Treaty between Great Britain and
Prussia, Mar. 5, 1864, Accounts \& Papere, 1864, vol. LXVI., (35.)
Denmark, Apr. 15, 1862, " " 1862, vol. LXIII., (35.)
Treaty between the United States and
Great Britain, Aug. 9, 1842, Art. X., 8 U.S.Stat.at L., 576.
Austria, July 3, 1850, " I., 11 Id ., 692.
Bavaria, Sept. 12, 1853," I., 10 1d., ( Tr., ) 175.
Venezuela, Aug. 27. 1860," XXVIII., 12 It., 1159.
Hayti, Nor. 3, 1864, " XXXIX., 13 Id., 728.
Hawaiian Islands, Dec. 20, 1849, " XIV., 9 Id., (Tr.,) 182.
Consention between the United States and
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The King of the } \\ \text { French, }\end{array}\right\}$ Nov. 9, 1843, Art. II., 8 U.S. Stat.at L., 582.
Prussia, June 16, 1852, " I., 10 ld., (Tr.,) 100.
(Extended to the))
North German Feb. 22, 1808," III., 15 Id., (Tr.,) 116.
Confederation, )
Baden, Jan. 30, 1857," I., 11 Id., 714.
$\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { The Swiss Con- } \\ \text { federation, }\end{array}\right\}$ Nov. 25, 1850, " XIV., 11 Id., 594.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The Dominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, 1867, " XXVIII., 15 Id., (Tr.,) 183.
In the treaty between the United States and Mexico, Dec. 11, 1801, (12 $l^{\circ}$. s. stat. ut L., 1200, Art. III.,) there is added, "including the forging or marking, or knowingly passing or putting in circulation, counterfeit coin, or bank notes, or other paper current as money, with intent to defrand any person or persons." And the same provision was added to the treaty with France, Feb. 10, 1858, by an additional article. 11 Id., 741,
In the treaty between the United States and The Two Sicilies, Oct. 1 $15: 5$, (11 L. S. Stut. at L., 6.52, Art. XXII.) there is added, "including forging of evidences of public debt, bank bills, and bills of exchange."
In the convention between the United States and Italy, March 23, 1808, (15 U. S. Stat. at $L$., ( $\operatorname{Tr}_{\text {.. }}$ ) 130, Art. II.,) forging is defined, for the purpeses of the treaty, as "the utterance of forged papers, the counterfeiting of public, sovereign or government acts."
"The utterance or the emission of forged papers," is also specified by the following:


Convention between the United States and

(Extended to the))
North German Feb. 22, 1808," III., 15 If., (Tr.) 116. Confederation,

"Faux en ecriture publique ou authentique et de conmerce. $y$ cotapris In contrefacon des effets publice de quelque nature quils evient it do. billets de Barque usage de ces faux litres. Sont exceptins len faux mosa accompagnés des circonstances quil leur dounent le caractére de crime."

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1859, 7 De Clereq, 444.
Austria, Nov. 13, 18\%j, 6 Jd .535.
It is forgery in France, within the provisions of the extradition treaty. for a noyal notary to insert, in an authentic deed, false statements as mat. ter of fact. Matter of Metzger, 5 Neve York Legal Obecrecr, 83.
.Proof of the forging of checks on the Commonal Chest of Broslaw. in Prussia, is sufficient cause for the issuc of a warrant for judicial inquiry. with a vlew to the extradition of the criminal under the treaty between the United States and Prussia. © Opinione of U.S. Attorncya-General. p. 661.

By the law of the State of New York, "every person who, with intert to defraud, shall make any false entry, de., in any book of accounts krpt by any moneyed corporation in this State, \&c., shall, upon conviction, bo adjudged guilty of forgery in the third degree." Meid, that a person of. ending against this statute was not gullty of torgery, within the meaning of the extradition treaty between the United Stater and Grrat Britain. nor of the act passed to give it effect, and could not therefore be surrendered on requisition. Re Windsor, The Juriat, vol. XI., 80:.
"Kidnapping. "Defining the same to be, the taking and cartying away of free persons by force or deception.".

Treaty between the United States and Mexico, Dec. 11, 1801, Art. III., 12 U. S. Stat. at I., 1200.
See, also, Fulse imprisonmenf, below.

## "Larceny.

Treaty between Great Britain and
Prussia, Mar. 5, 1864, Accounte and Papera, 1864, vol I.XV'1., (3i)
Larceny is not among the cames provided for by any conrextion tretwern the United States and Great Britaln, for the extradition of criminale Neither is constructive larceny or embezzlement ly private frombe. 6 Opinions of U. S. Attorneyo Genervl, pp. 85, 431.

Larceny of cattle, or other goods and chattels of the value of twenty. five dollars or more, when the same is committed within the frontier States or Territories of either nation.

Treaty between the United States and
Mexico, Dec. 11, 1861, Art. III., 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1200.
Grand harceny. "Vol, lorsqu'il a été accompagnè des circonstances qui lui donne le caractère de crime."

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq, 444. Austria,

Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 579.
Maiming. See Mutilation, below.
Manshughter.
${ }^{13}$ Murder.
Treaty between the United States and
Great Britain, Aug. 9, 1842, Art. X., 8 U, S. Stat. at L., 576.
Hawaiian Islands, Dec. 20, 1849, " XIV., 9 Ild., (Tr.,) 182.'
Anstria, Jnly 3, 1856, " I., 11 It., 692.
Bavaria, Sept. 12, 1853, " I., 10 Id., ( T'r., $^{\prime}$ ) 175.

## Convention between the United States and

Prussia, June 16, 1852, Art. I., 10 U.S.Stat. at L., (Tr., 100.
(Extended to the))
Korth German Feb. 22, 1868, " III., 15 Id., (Tr.,) 116.
Confederation, )
Baden,
Jan. 30, 1857," I., 11 Id., 714.
Under the British treaty it is held, that a person charged with murder on the high seas, on board a British vessel, must be given up when demanded by Great Britain. Query? Whether evidence of justification of the killing can be received in the proceedings. Re Bennett, 11 Lau Times Lep., 488. For murder on the high seas, on board a British vessel, is not committed within the jurisdiction of the United States, although the vessel comes into a port of the United States, but is committed within the exclusive jurisdiction of Great Britain.
" Murder, comprehending the crimes designated (by the French penal Code) by the terms 'd'assasxinat, de parricide, d'infanticide, et d'em. poisonement.' "

Convention between the United States and
The King of the) Nov. 9, 1843, Art. II., 8 U.S. Stat.at L., 582.
French,
Italy, Mar. 23, 1868, " II., 15 Id., (Tr.,) 130.
The Swiss Con- $\}$ Noderation, 25, 1850, " XIV., 11 ld ., 594.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The King of Swe- } \\ \text { den \& Norway, }\end{array}\right\}$ Mar. 21, 1860, " II., 12 Id., 1126,
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The Dominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, 1867, XXVIII., $15 \mathrm{Id} .,\left(T \mathrm{Tr}_{\text {., }}\right) 183$.

Treaty between the Cnlted States and
The Two Slillen, Oct. 1, 185\%, Art, XXII., 11 C., s. Nut at Lo., 65
Mexion, Dec. 11, 1861." III., 12 Id .1200.
Venesuela, Aug. 27, 1800," XXVIII., $12 \mathrm{Hf}, 1189$.

Treaty between Great Britaln and
Prumela, Mar. 5, 1884, Accounts and Papers, 1804, Vol. 1.XVi. (2is.)
Denmark. Apr. 15, 1862, " " 1862, Vol. L.XIll. (35.)
To the mame effict, mee convention between Franee and
The Grand Duehy of Saxe Welmar, Aug. 7, 1858, ithe Cleren. 44.
Austria,
Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Hf , 579.
" Altempt to commit murder," is Included in many of the procoding treatien.
"Asatult, with intent to commit murder," Is specified in othern Sor. also, Asatult, in this note, below.
"Perjury. Faux témoignage, lonqu'il est accompagné de drovos stancea qui lul donnent le caractère de crime; nubornation de témoinas.

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 185, 7 De Clereq. 444.
Austria,
Nov. 13, 18\%5, 6 /d, 379.
${ }^{13}$ Piracy.
Treaty between the United Stater and


Convention between the United States and
Prussia, June 16, 1852, Art. I., 10 U. S. Not ot Io. (Tr.) 100.
(Extended to the))
North German Feb. 22, 1868, " III., $15 \mathrm{If} ., 116$.
Confederation, )
Italy, Mar. 23, 12088, " II., 15 It., ( Tr., 130.
Baden, Jan. 30, $185 \mathrm{i}_{0}^{\circ} \mathrm{I}$ I, $11 \mathrm{ft} ., 514$.

$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The King of Swe- } \\ \text { den Si Sorway, }\end{array}\right\}$ Mar. 21, 1860, " II., 12 Lt., 1126.
It was held by Chomptos, Blackburs and St.eke, J. J.o (dimembitas (cockbers, C. J., that piracy, in the treaty between the United stater and (ireat Britain, August 9, 1842 , ( $\mathcal{U}$. S. Stot. at $I$.., 576 , Art. X..) mant mont be underntood in the senme of piracy, by the law of nations, but of actn made plracy by the miunicipal law of the United states. Re Tirman. 3 Best d Smish Q. B., G45.

Lercis, in his pamphlet on Forcign Jurisdiction and the Extradition of Criminals, (p. 39,) states his opinion as to the meaning of "piracy," in conformity with the decisions of the majority of the court in Tivnan's Case. Reporter's Note, in Re Tivnan, 5 Best \& Smith Q. B., 696.

In the time of peace, any act of depredation upon a ship is prima facie an act of piracy; but in time of war between two countries, the presumption is, that depredation by one of them on the ship of the other is an act of legitimate warfare. It is immaterial whether the act was done by soldiers or voluntecrs, and whether it was commanded by the belligerent State, or when done, ratified by it. Re Tirnan, is Bext \& Smith Q. B., 645.
${ }^{16}$ Rape.
Treaty between the United States and
Mexico, Dec. 11, 1861, Art. HII., 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1200.
Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1860, " XXVIII., 12 Id., 1159.
Hayti, Nov. 3, 1864, " XXXIX., 13 Id., 728.
Convention between the United States and
The King of the
French, $\int_{\text {Nov. 9,1843, Art. II., } 8 \text { U.S. Stat. at L., } 582 .}$
Italy, Mar. 23, 1868, " II., 15 Id., (Tr., 180.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The Swiss Con- } \\ \text { federation, }\end{array}\right\}$ Nov. 25, 1850, " XIV., 11 Id., 594.
$\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { The King of Swe- } \\ \text { den \& Norway, }\end{array}\right\}$ Mar. 21, 1860, " II., 12 Id., 1126.
The two Sicilies, Oct. 1,1855, " XXII., 11 It., 652.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The Dominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, 1867, " XXVIII., 15 Id., ( $\mathrm{Tr}_{\text {r., }}$ ) 183.
" Viol ; attentat à la pudeur consommé ou tenté avec violence; attendat à la pudeur consommé ou tenté même sans violence; sur une personne au sujet de laquelle, et en considération de son âge, un pareil attentat constituerait un crime."

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858. 7 De Clercq, 444.
Austria,
Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 579.
1: Robbery.
Treaty between the United States and
Great Britain, Aug. 9, 1842, Art. X., 8 U.S.Stat.at L., 576 .
Austria, July 3, 1856, " I., 11 Id., 692.
Bavaria, Sept. 12, 1853, " I., 10 Id., (Tr., 175.
Hayti, Nov. 3, 1864, " XXXIX., 13 Id., 728.
Hawaiian Islands, Dec. 20, 1849, " XIV., 9 Id., (Tr.,) 182.
Convention between the United States and
The King of Swe-) Mar. 21, 1860, Art. II., 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1120. den \& Norway, 5
King of France, Fel. 24, 1845, 8 Id., 61 .
Prussia, June 16, 1852," I., 10 Id., (Tr.,) 100.

```
(Extended to the)
    North Germana
    Confederation,
Baden, Jan. 20,185i," I., 11 Id..., ilt.
```

    Other troatice dedine of rowtict the term as follow:
    "Rubbery, wilh rialewee to the permon nobbed."
    Troaty between Oreat Britaln and
    Prusala, Mar. 6, 1804, Aecownte et Papers, 1804, vol. LXVI. (35.)
    "Roblery, defining the same to be, the felonious and foreflie tatiog
    from the permon of another goods or money to any value, by rioletice os
patting him in fear."
Convention between the United Statee and
Italy, Mar. 28, 1808, Art. II., 15 U. S. Sat. at L., (Tr.) 150.
Treaty between the United States and
Mexico, Dec. 11, 1801, Art. III., 12 U. S. Shat. at L., 1800.
" Rubbery, rith miolence, intimidation, or foreisle entry of an inalssitced
поне."
Treaty between the United States and
The Two Siellies, Oct. 1, 1855, Art. XXII., 11 U. B. Sturf. at I., ass.
Convention between the United Staten and
The 8wies Con-1
federation,
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The Dominican } \\ \text { Republic. }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, 1807," XXVIII., is $\mathrm{Id} .,($ Tr..) 183.

The sreaty between the United States and Venezuela, Aug. 25, 1850, ( 12 U.S. Sat. at L., 1159, Art. XXVIII.) contains the rame provisiona' ex. eept that it refern to prirate houses, and is not restricted to inhabited houses.
The ftreaty between the United States and the King of the French adds:
"And the corremponding erimes Included under the French law. in the wonds ' end qualife.' "
Slave Troding.
"Lave of natione. Offences against any provision of this Code, the violation of which is declared to be a public offense, committed etiber within the jurisdiction of the nation making the demand, or against its rights, or the rights of ite membern. But nee note 6, to Article 910, above.

The following list exhibita certain offenses which have also been noede the subjects of extradition by ecveral receat Fronch and British irvatice. and by American treaties; and thero are added certain crimes which. though perhaps not included in any ireaty, still aso worthy of considers tion in framing a complete and efticient aystem.

Abduction. See Kídnapping, note 11, abore: and Fale Imprimamont. below.
Acesurrica. Thevo provisions ahould perhape be extended to the ceser of permas gullty in one country of being accessory befcre the fact to as
offense committed in another country, so as to enable the former nation to demand their extradition from the authorities of a third nation to which they may have fled.

In the case of Allsop, a British subject, having been charged in Great Britain with being an accessory before the fact to the murder of a Frenchman in Paris, and having escaped to the United States, the law officers of the Crown were of the opinion that the existing treaties did not sanction a demand upon the United States for his surrender to Great Britain, because he was not charged with the crime of murder committed within the jurisdiction of the British Crown. Op'n. of Sir J. D. Harding, Sir Fitzroy Kelly and Sir Hugh Cairns, Forsyth's Cases and Opinions in Constitutional Lauc, p. 368.
Assault. "Coups et blessures volontaires ayant occasioné soit la mort, soit une maladie ou incapacité de travail, pendant plus de vignt jours."
Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clercq, 444. Austria,

Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 579.
Aesault, sith intent to kill. See Murder, note 13, above.
Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq, 444. Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 6 1d., 570.
Boundarics. Injurics to national boundaries.
Conspiracy to commit a felony. "Association de Malfacteurs."
Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clercq, 444.
Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 7 Id., 579.
Duelling. It has been objected that this should not be a ground of a demand for extradition upon a nation whose laws do not make it an offense. Transactions of National Assocultion for the Promotion of Social Science, 1866, p. 144.

Extortion. "Extorsion des titres et des signatures."
Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq, 444. Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 570.
Fulse imprisonment. "Sequestration ou arrestation, ou detention illegale des personnes."

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq, 444. Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 5 i9.

- Forfeiture of recognizances in criminal cases.

Frauds by persons holding positions of trust. Extradition cannot be demanded of France by the United States, in the case of a fraudulent breach of trust by private persons, notwithstanding that such offense is made grand larceny by the statutes of the State where committed.

The terms of the treaty, bearing on the subject, apply only to embez
zlemant by public depoitarien. 7 Opinions of $U, S$ Attorncya.General, p. 643.

Frasedulent dombrupecies.
Treaty between Great Brttain and Prusaia, Mar. 5, 1804, Aceounce and Papere, 1804, vol. I.Xi'i, (35.) Denmark, Apr. 15, 1802, " * 1562, vol. I.XIII, (35)
Consention between France and The Grand Duchy of Saxe Welmar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq. 44. Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 6 Id., 579.

## Froudulent insoleencice.

Ficlonious Aomicide. See Murder, note 18, above.
Molicious injuries to emigrants, international works, \&c.
" Mutilation."
Treaty between the United States and
Mexico, Dec. 11, 1801, Art. III., 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1200.
"Cuatration."
Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, i De Clereq, 44.
Austria, Nov. 13, 1855, 6 It., 5:9.
Muting. "Mutiny on board a ship, whenever the crew, or a part theroof, by fraud or violence against the commander, have taken pomeension of the ressel."

Convention between the United States and
Italy, Mar. 23, 1808, Art. II., 15 U. S. Stat. at I...(Tr.) 150
$\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { The King of Swe- } \\ \text { den \& Norway, }\end{array}\right\}$ Mar. 21, 1800, " II., 12 It., 1120.
Seduction.
Smuggling.
Threatening. "Menaces d'attentat contre les personnes ou les proprietés."

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq. 44 . Austria,

Nov. 13, 1855, $6 \mathrm{If} ., 579$.
Ereeptions. The following treaties seem to make a general restriction of the enumerated crimes to cases where the crimes mentioned are subject to infamous punishment.

Convention between the United States and
Italy, Mar. 23, 1808, Art. 11., 15 U. S. Sott. at L.. ( $T_{\text {r. }}$ ) 150.
The Swiss
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Confed } \\ \text { eration, }\end{array}\right\}$ Nor. $\mathbf{2 5 , 1 8 5 0 , " \quad \text { XIV., } 1 1 \mathrm { Id } . , 3 9 4 .}$
The Domin-


Treaty between the United States and
Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1860, Art. XXVIII., 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1159.
lmprisonment for a term of years is infamous punishment, within this clause. 12 Opinions of U.S. Attorneys-General, 320.

## Exception of cerlain offenses.

215. The provisions of this Section do not apply in any manner to cases in any of the following classes:
216. Crimes or offenses of a purely political character;'
217. Any offense committed in furthering civil war, insurrection or political commotion, which, if committed between belligerents, would not be a crime ; ${ }^{2}$
218. Desertions from, or evasions of, military or naval service ; ${ }^{\text {a }}$
219. Offenses committed before this Section took effect ; : and,
220. Offenses which, by reason of the lapse of time, or any other cause, the demanding nation cannot lawfully punish. ${ }^{\circ}$

[^13]nerters from naval nervice may be reclaimed by the consul. See Section II. of this Chapter, on Extmaditios ov Dearatkik.

There are couventions between mone European countrice-e. $g$., Ixtwern Rusela and Prusela, Aug. 8, 185i-for the mutual eurrender of deserters, and pensons owing future miltitary sercice. Dana's Whentam, g 120. note 70.
There are also conventions between European powers, tor the rrotita. tion of arms, horwen and equipments of dewertem. Sev, for Instance, ; De Clereq, pp. 411, 412, 442, 406, 511.

- This exception is usual in the American treatiea.
- Some of the French treatien have nade the limitation lawn of the na ton where the offender is found, a bar to his extradition.
It may be thought dealrable to add such a provision an the following :
No nation is bound to deliver up, under the provisions of thin tiection, a permon who, according to the provisions of thin Code, in a meminer of the mame at the time the demand for his surrender is made. Fiorr, (Nourerses Droit Internationat, vol. 1, p. 320,) says, this is the generally received rule.
Such a provision is contained in the following treatica :
Convention between the United Stater and


It is understood that the British extradition treaties all provide, thas neither party shall surrender its own subjects. Cases do Opinions in Con atitutional Lauc, by Forayth, p. 3î1, note.
France is undenstood to hold the same rule. Letter of Mr. Lawrence. Traneactione of National Aesociation for Promotion of Sorial sicience, 1 ises, p. 156.

And see the convention between France and The Grand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clereq, tt4. Austria, Nor. 18, 1855, 6 Hd .559.
Bluntachli, (Droit Intern. Copiffic. \& 399.) states the exception of citizens as one now recognized even by the States which admit the obligation of extradition. But it has, as he says, grave inconvenience for the adminiotration of criminal justice, and had better be abandoned.

Dana, (in his edition of Wheaton, \& 120 , note 77 , says, that the cibliazation or willingness of a State to surrender its oren cifizens, who are chargrd with crimes committed abroad, and hare sought refuge in thrit own country. is affected by the consideration whether such State puntiobe !ts citizens for crimes so committed.

## Order of arrest.

216. The executive authority of a nation upon which a requisition is made, accompanied by due proof of a foreign conviction or warrant of arrest, or other presumptive evidence that the case is one within the provisions of this Section, must direct the arrest of the accused for examination by the proper judicial authority.
A mandat diarret, issued upon suitable evidence, by the proper judicial authority of France, and setting forth the crime imputed to the accused, is suthicient to justify the preliminary action of the President for the arrest of the alleged fugitive, leaving the ulterior question of his actual extradition to depend on the full evidence of criminality then, as it should appear from the dispatch of the Minister of Foreign Affairs, on its way from France. Lavrence's Wheaton, p. 242, note.

The President, in granting his order, at the request of a foreign government, for the purpose of commencing proceedings in extradition, does not need such evidence of the criminality of the party accused as would justify an order of extradition, but only prima fucic evidence. 6 Opin. ions of $U$. S. Attorneys-General, p. 21\%.

The application for surrender, under the treaty of extradition of 1842 , bet ween the United States and Great Britain, may be made by the British Minister, and need not be founded on a previous indictment found against the prisoner, by the British tribunals, or on any warrant issuing therefrom. Matter of British Prisoners, 1 Woodbury \& Minot's U. S. Circuit Courl Rep., 66.

A mere notification, by the local officer of a foreign government, of the escape of an alleged criminal, is not sufficient prima facie evidence of a case to justify the preliminary action of the President. 7 Opinions of the U.S. Attorneys-Gcneral, p. 6.

The United States will not make demand for extradition of a person alleged to be a fugitive from the justice of one of the United States, and to have taken refuge in a foreign country, except on the exhibition of a judicial " varrant," duly issued, on sufficient proof, by the local authority of the State in which the crime is alleged to have been committed. 6 Opinions of U.S. Attorneys-General, p. 485.

Clarke, in his Treatise on Extradition, (pp. 96, 98,) states the practice, under the treaties between Great Britain and France, as follows:
" Demands by Great Britain upon France are always matle by the Am. bassador in Paris, in the name of the English government, directly upon the French government, and are supported by a warrant of arrest, issued by a magistrate in England, and copies of the depositions upon which it was founded. 'These last. however, are not necessary, the French authori ties being contented to deliver up the fugitive upon the production of the warrant of arrest only."
"The paperiare alwayn taken to France by a pollice office: able to epmak to the Identity of the accumed. Upon this, the demand ts conaldernd by the Frenel government; and if it in granted, the fugitive is arrosted and given up, without any Inventigation by a French court. The matter bs purely one of State, with which no legal tribunal is cotupetent to deal."
"A demand in extradtion upon England must be made upota one of the principal Secretarien of State, the Chief Secretary of the Lord dideutenant of Ireland, or the Governor of any foreign colony or poasemation of lier Majenty, by the Ambamador, or other diplomatic agent of the forelgn government."
The demand need not be accompanied by any copies of depoentions, or even a warrant of arrest iswued in the foreign country; but it in usual for the Secretary of State to require mome prima facic evidence of gullt to be laid before him. If, on consideration, he thinks the surgender mhould te granted, he issues his warrant, signifying that this reyuisition has bewn made, and requiring all magistrates to govern themselven accordingly, and to aid in apprehending the fugitive, and committing him to primon, to be delivered up pursuant to the treaty. The warrant is then taken before a magistrate, who, on the production of the forelgn warrant of arrest, and also of some evidence that the accused has committed an offense within the treaty, issues his warrant of arrest.
The American practice is thus stated :
The mode to be pursued in proceedings for the extradition of criminale. is to prefer a complaint to a judge or other magistrate, metting out the offense charged to have been committed, on oath; whereupon, the judge or magistrate is authorized to issue a warrant for the apprehension of the person accused, and upon his being brought before him, to hear and deter. mine the evidence of his criminality; and if, on such hearing, the evf. dence be deemed sufficient to sustain the charge, to certify the same to the proper executive authority, that a warrant may issue for the surrender of such fugitive. 4 Opinions of C.S. Attorneys.General, p. 201.

To similar effect, see 9 Id., p. 379.
But, if requested, the President will issue the previous authorization. thonght to be necessary by a portion of the court, in Re Kaine, 14 Howeand's U.S. Sup. Ct. Rep., 103. 6 Opinions U.S. Attorneya-(ienerul, p. 91.
In this respect, however, the extradition treaties of the U'nited States neem to be of two clanses. The treaty between the Cnited States and Austria, July 3, 1856, (11 U.S. Stett. at L., 692, Art. I.) In Ite terms appears to contemplate an arrest by judicial authority, in the firss instance, upon a complaint made under oath, and that the judicial decistion. If against the accused, shall be certified to the executive authorities, in order that a war rant for surrender may issue.

And of the same character are the provisions In the treaty betwern the United States and

Great Britain, Aug. 9. 1842, Art, X., 8 U. S. Sect, at Lo. 5i6.
Bavaria, Sept. 12,1858. " 1., 10 Id., (Tr.0) 175.

Convention between the C nited States and

| Pruseia | June 16, 1852, Art. I., 10 U. S. Stat. at L., (T'r.,) 100. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Extended to the) |  |
| North German Conferleration, | Feh. 20, 1868, " III., $15 \mathrm{Id} .,($ Tr., 116. |
| Iaden, | Jan. 30, 1857, " I., $11 \mathrm{Id} ., 714$. |

On the other hand, the more recent treaties-for example, the convention between the United States and Italy, March 23, 1868, (15 U. S. Stat. at L.., (Tr.o) 181. Art. V.,) requires a copy of the forcign warrant, or of the depositions upon which it was granted, to be foricarded, in the first instance, to the Executive and authorities: the executive then to issue a warrant for the arrest of the accused, and his examination before the proper judicial authority.

The provisions presented in this draft embrace both methods.
In one case at least, it has been stipulated that the government of a nation might, before producing documentary evidence, demand the immediate and provisional arrest of the accused or convict ; but compliance with the demand was left optional with the government on which the demand was made. When such provisional arrest was granted, the documentary evidence was required to be transmitted within two months, without which the person arrested was entitled to his discharge.

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy
of Saxe Weimar, $\}$ Aug. $7,1858,7$ De Clereq, 444, Art. IV.
This provision seems, however, too dangerous to be inserted in an international Code.

## Arrest in anticipation of requisition.

217. Upon presumptive evidence of an offense, within the provisions of this Section, the local tribunals, which would have cognizance of it. if committed within their jusisdiction, may arrest the person accused, and detain him for a reasonable time, to afford the foreign government opportunity to make requisition for his surrender. But the evidence must be sufficient to commit for trial, if the offense were committed within the local jurisdiction ; and if no requisition be made within one month thereafter, the accused will be entitled to his discharge.

This is in substance the rule laid down by Chancellor Kest, on the review of continental and Englishauthorities, in the Case of Washburn, 4 Johnson's Neuc York C'hancery Rep., 106.

To the same effect are Mure $\boldsymbol{c}$. Kaye, 4 Taunton's Rep., 34 ; Matter of British Prisoners, 1 Worallury \& Minot's U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep., 66.

These authorities, however, are opposed by others.

In the Vuited Statem e. Daris. 2 Sumaer's U.S. Cire. ir Rorp. ine it is mald, upon prinelplex of international law, and Independent of statute of treaty, that cours of justice are netther bound nor authorivent to remand prisonem for trial so a forelgng government whore laws they are sated bo have violated. Siec, also, 1 Opinions of U. S. Attorneys fienerul, p. 510, 2 It.,p. 8 as9.

Aad In the Matter of Henrich, 5 Mlatehford's $U$. S. Cirevit Court Ibrge. 414: Eisparte Hentich, 10 Cor's Criminal Cases, 626, it In sabd, that it whould meem Indispensable that a demand for the nurrender of the fugit tive should to fint made upon the executive authortien of the govem ment, and a mandate of the President obtained, before the judiciary in called ujon to act. That at all events, this would be the better praction. and one in keeping with the dignlty to be observed between nations in such delicate and lmportant transactions.

That an executive order of surrender so a foreign government in purely a national act, is not open to controversy; nor can it be doubted that this executive act must be performed through the Secretary of State, liy onter of the Prewilent. But it does not follow that Congrew is exeluded from, vesting aushority in judicial magistrates to arreat and commit, prepara. tory to a surrender. In re Kiaine, 14 Honcard's $C$. S. Sup. CY. Norp., 10 es.

The original arrest may be made by the executive, or, if the atotute en procide, it may also be made by the court or the examining magistrate. Dana's Wherten. \$8 115 , note 73.

In the Commonwealth e. Deacon, (10 Jergeant of Ravie's R/cp., 125.) it was held, that in the absence of an extradition treaty, no state magistrate. upon a charge by a private person, can cause a fugitive from a foreign country to be arrosted for a crime committed in that forvign counsry, in order to afford an opportunity to the executive of the ('nited Stateat to deliver him up to the government of that country.

See, in this connection, the note to Aricle 916 .

## Preliminary investigation.

218. Before making the arrest or surrender of an alleged fugitive from justice, the nation from which it is asked may determine for itself, upon a preliminary investigation, whether it is presumptively established that the person charged has committed the offense, as defined by this Code; or, in the case of a convict, that he has wrongfully escaped punishment.

It was held, in the Matter of Metager, (5 N. Y. Iergul Obecreer, si, wor also, 1 Barbour's Neve Sork Rep., 24s, and 5 Howard's U. S. supp. ir liop. 176 , that the test of what constituted the crime is the la of the noun. try which demands the fugitive, not shat of the nation upon which the demand is made. In Dienis's Wheaton, (\$117, note $35 . \mathrm{p}$. 16.) it in cald. that the Extrudtion Acts are restricted to the cance which have the reenn tial and sutwantial clements of the offenses sperifiod, and according bo
the law of both countries; and the mere fact that an act which, according to the general law of cither counntry. has not the character of a particular offense, is treated as such bey the law of one of them, does not bring a case within the treaty. We must assume that the terms employed are used in a sense common to both parties to the treaty.

Compare Re Windsor,( 6 Best do Smith's Q. B. Rep., 529,) where it was held that the enumeration of crimes in the extradition treaty refers to such acts as amount to any of those offenses, according to the law of England and the general law of the Cinited States, and does not comprise offenses which are only such by the local legislation of some particular state of the American Linon; and Re Timan, (5 Best d Smitlis Q. B. Rep., 696,) where piracy was taken as understoxed according to the law of the United states ratier than the law of nations.

## Rules for conducting investigation.

219. The proceedings for the arrest of an alleged fugitive from justice, and the judicial investigation of the charge, must be conducted according to the rules established for similar preliminary proceedings, before the same courts or magistrates, in the case of a person charged with the commission of a like offense within the country.

Clarke, (Extradition, p. 99,) states the rules for the conduct of the investiqation as follows, in the case of a demand in extradition upon Great Britain :
"- The prisoner being apprchended and brought before a magistrate, three things are necessary : 1 . The identity of the prisoner must be proved; $\xrightarrow{2}$. Such evidence of criminality must be given as, according to the laws of the place where he has been found, would justify his apprehension and commitment for trial if the crime or ottense had been there committed. some evidence upon this point is necessary in the first instance, but the magistrate has the usual powers of remand, if it be not sufficient for commitment ; 3. The magistrate must be satisfied, either upon the facts of the case or l y the evidence of a foreign lawyer, that the offense charged comes within the definition of the crime contained in the treaty. This evidence must be taken in the prisoner's presence, in the usual way. The ovidence of criminality, however, may consist, either wholly or in part, of appies of depositions taken by a judge or competent magistrate in the c.ountry claming the fugitive."
" If, on cxamination, the magistrate finds that the acts are not disputed, but that a justification is established antecedent to, and independent of, the acts themselves, he must discharge the prisoner." $\quad$ Id.,'105.

In order to enable a justice of the peace to issue his warmont under the statute, 6 and 7 Vict., c. 76,51 , for the apprehension and committal for trial of an accused person, it need not appear that there was an original warrant for his apprehension in the United States, or depositions taken against him there; and the warrant of such justice of the peace need not
allege that the evidence bufore him wae taken ubder oath. In re Tirnas. 5 Beat of Smish's Q. B. Mrp., G45.

A Fronel warrant for the apporehenalion of an accuand prowen in fireat Britains in necowary in order to procuro his extradition under 6 and : liore. C. 76 : but it ased not bo mgned by a judge or compentent magintrate, and need only be authenticuted an mado in auch manaer an woult junsify the arrivt of the accumed persod in Firance.

A person condembed par centumace in France continuere to lo an ace cused prenson, liable to be delivered over under the Extradition Act. In re Coppin, 2 lave Rep., (Chaneery Appeala,) ti.

In the United states it la beld, that the application for an order of ar. rent muat conform to the requirements of the domentic law. Matier of Farex, 7 Abwat's Pruetice Reports, Neve Àrics, (Neve York.) 54. Almo, that the question of remanding the primoner for further examination, and the time of remanding, and the determination of the magistrate as to whet bre the crime is proved, and the came is within the treaty, are matters of purely judicial determination, not subject to appeal, or to executive inter. ference, or revision. Matter of Metzger, 5 Howarf, LU. S. Sup. C'P. Mep. 178: 0 Opinions of U.S. Altorneyo-General, p. 91 : 10 Id .501.

The attorneys for the government in the United States are not charged with any duties in reference to the judicial inquiry instituted, before ordering an extradition. The minister or agent of the government making the requisition emplogs such counsel an he pleases, if any are neceseary. o Opinione of the U.S. Attorncya-Generul, p. 246.

## Documentary eoidence.

220. Evidence of the commission of a crime by the accused may consist, either wholly or in part, of orig. inal depositions, properly authenticated, conformably to the laws of the country where they were made, so as to entitle them to be received for similar purposes by the tribunals or magistrates of such country ; or, of exemplified copies, certified by the foreign court or magistrate, or proved, by oath, to be true copies of original depositions.

Such depositions or copies must be certified, as provided in Part VI. of this Code, entitled Administra. tion of Justice, or by the minister of justice or chief executive officer of the demanding nation, or by the principal diplomatic or consular officer of the nation upon which the demand is made, resident in such foreign country : to be legally authenticated according to the laws of the demanding nation, in the manner

## which would entitle them to be received in evidence, for similar purposes, by its tribunals or magistrates.

Act of Congress of August 12, 1848, § 2, 9 U. S. Stut. at L., 302 ; Act of Congress of June 22, 1860, S 1, 12 U. S. Stat. at I., $84 ; 100$ pinims of U. S. Attorney*General, p. 501: Matter of Metzger, 5 Neie York Legal Ohwercer, 83; In re Coppin. 2 Lavo Rep., (Chancery Appeals,) 47 ; Corres. pondence of British and French Govermments, Accounts and Papers, 1866, vol. LAXXVI., (38.)

The complaint upon which the warrant of arrest is asked should set forth clearly, but briefly, the substance of the offense charged, so that the court can see that one or more of the crimes ennmerated in the treaty is alleged to have been committed. This complaint need not lee drawn with the formal precision and nicety of an indictment for final trial, but slould set forth the substance and material features of the offense. In re Henrich, 5 Blutchford's U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep. 414 ; Ex-parte Henrich, 10 Cox's C'riminal Cases, 626; 2 Abbott's National Digest, 509, note; Matter of Farez, 7 Alhott's Pr. Rep. N. S., 84.
The aflidavit which charges the crime is defective if the witness only swears to his belief. . . Suspicion does not warrant a commitment, and all legal intendments are to avail the prisoner. The return is to be most strictly construed in favor of liberty. Ib.
The court can regard only the facts set forth in the affidavit as having any legal existence. Any misrecitals and overstatements in the requisition and warrant, which are not supported by the affidavit, cannot be received as evidence to deprive a citizen of his liberty, and transport him to a foreign State for trial. Ex-parte Smith, 3 McLean's U. S. Circuit Court Rep. 121.
The affidavit upon which a warrant of arrest is to issue for the extradition of a fugitive, must state distinctly that the fugitive has committed a crime, and that he committed it in the State from which the requisition comes; for no foreign State can entertain such a jurisdiction of crimes committed in another State as to entitle it to make requisition for the criminal on a third State. Exparte Smith, 3 McLean's U. S. Circuit Court Rep., 121.
Each piece of documentary evidence offered by the agents of the foreign government in support of the charge of criminality, should be accompanied by a certificate of the principal diplomatic or consular officer of the L'nited States resident in the foreign country from which the fugitive shall have escaped, stating clearly that it is properly and legally auhenticated, so as to entitle it to be received in evidence in support of the same criminal charge, by the tribunals of such foreign country. In re Henrich, 5 Bhatchford's U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep., 414; 2 Abbott's Nat. Dig., 509, note ; 10 Cox's C'riminal C'ases, 626.

The parties seeking the extradition of the fugitive should be required by the commission to furnish an accurate translation of every document offered in evidence which is in a foreign language, accompanied by an affi-
davit of the trannlator, made before him or sotne other I'nited states crias. minnioner or judge, that the name in corrert. Ib.

Public officen should furninh authenticated mpien of dorumento in their cuatody, when demanded, and should asoint in bringing furwand toe timony, according to the duties of their meveral stationn ; and Itativiluale whould not refune to give testimony. 1 Opinions of U.S. Attornryotioneral, p. 82.

The commissioner before whom an alleged fugitive in broughe sue herar. Ing, should keep a record of all the oral evidencen taken lefore hifn, taten In narnative form, and not by queation and annwer, together with the ofle jections made to the adminsibility of any portion of tit, of to ans yort of the documentary ovidence, briefly atating the grounds of such objerethonso. but should exclude from the record the arguments and disputes of couns. sel. In re Henrich, 5 Blatehfordi, L., S. Cire. C\&. Rep., 114 ; 2 Alduat. Nist. Dig., 509, note: 10 Cir's C'riminal Cises, 626.

As to the necessary authentication of depositions under the Fingllsh statuten to carry lite effect the extradition treatiez with Firance, see the Coppin, 2 Lave Rep., (Chancery App., 47 ; and the Corrapmotadence batween the French and English Governments on this point, in decounts and Papers, 1866, vol. LXXVI., (38.) See reviewn of thin controversy. in a paper by Mr. Westlake, in Trananctions of Nintivnal deneriation for Promotion of Sxcint Science, 1866, p. 144, and in a report by Mr. Picot, Bulletin de la Societé de Legis. Comp., Mai, 1869, p. 36.
Necessary proof of guilt.
221. The extradition of an alleged fugitive from justice, under this Section, can be made only when the fact of his commission of the offense is so far established that the laws of the country making the extiadition would justify apprehension and commitment for trial, if the crime had been there committed.

This provision is contained in nearly all the American treation. Meme suspicion is no ground for a requisition of a fughive from a forelgn na. tion. The law of nations requires that evidence, clear and powitive, shall be furniwhed. 1 Opinions of $C$. S. Attorneys General, p. s09.

The proof should tre in all cases not only competent, but full and satia factory, that the offense has been committed by the fugitive in the forrign juriwdiction-sufliciently wo to warrant a conviction, in the judgersent of the magistrate, of the oftense with which be is clarged, if sitting upmat the final trial and learing of the cave. No magistrate mould onder a ouprender short of such proof. Eisparte Kaine, is Blatehforrf. $l$. : Cine. Ct. Rep., 1.

A letter from the foreign minister resident here, asking for the pirelim inary procesen for extradition of an alleged fugitive criminal, arcompanimel by a warrant of arreat of the accused. purporting to bo fesued on ciuc in. quiry and evidence, by competent judicial authority in the forcign maston
and sutficiently authenticated by the minister's certificate, would not, if presentel to an examining magistrate in the United States, be alone sufficicnt to authorize him to certify the criminality of the party charged, on which to found his actual extradition; for such evidence would not justify his commitment by the local law where the examination bakes place. 6 Opinions of $L^{\circ}$. S. Attorncys-(icneral, p. 21i.

To authorize the arrest and removal of a fugitive from justice to the State having jurisdiction of the crime, it must distinctly appear, from the affidavits lefore the magistrate upon which the reguisition was based, that the supposed criminal committed the crime in the state from which the requisition proceeds. Ex-parte Smith, 3 Mc Lean's U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 121.

Whether a defense may be interposed is a question which has been raised, but it seems better that the extradition should be made on the accusation, properly supported, leaving the case to be tricd abroad. See, however. Lefter of Mr. Lawrence, in Trans. of Nat. Axso. for Prom. Social Science, 1866, p. 156.

Evidence in case of connicted criminals.
222. In case sentence or judgment of guilt has been pronounced in the country making the requisition, surrender shall not be obligatory, except on presentation to the authorities of the nation on which the requisition is made, of the original sentence or judgment establishing the guilt of the accused, properly anthenticated, or of an exemplified copy thereof, as prescribed in article 220.

This article is suggested by the provisions of the convention between the United States and

Inquiry as to real motive of demand.
223. A nation upon which a demand for extradition is made, under this Section, may protect its right to give asylum, by looking behind the mere formal proofs presented in support of the demand, to see that it is not made for a purpose to which this Section does not apply; and, if satisfied that such is the case, may refuse the demand.

Dancis Wheuton, \& 115, note 73, p. 184.
Cherke, (Extradition, p. 110,) lays down this rule-
No surrender should be granted except on the declaration of the min-

Inter of the forelgn power that the fugitive be wabted for trial fug the offenme charged in the depositions umed agatiant him, and no cotber.

Mr. Rathoone nuggests that mecurity should be givess that the prifineors whould have a public trial within a certala reasonable times, and that bo thee twe ment to the repreacntatioce abroad of the country murrmisering the primoner, that he may mathefy himmelf that these provialone of she treaty are carried out. Tranmetions of Nat. Asos. for Prom. Sodial Steichee, IWhe. p. 14.

## Conflicting claims.

224. In case two or more nations claim a person. upon a charge of violating a provision of this Code. the nation within which the offense was committerd has the prior right, unless proceedings upon the charge have already been commenced by the other nation.

Surrender of those under arrest for local offenses may be deferred.
225. The surrender of a person claimed under this Section, who has been previously arrested for the commission of an offense against the laws of the country where he is found, or who has been there convicted of such an offense, may be deferred until he shall have been acquitted or punished therefor.
This provision is founded upon the convention between the tialted States and

Italy,

Prussia.

(Extended to the))
North German Feb. 22, 1808, " III., $15 / \mathrm{ld.0}($ Tr...) 116. Confederation,

Treaty between the U'nited States and
Bavaria,
Sept. 12, 1853. Art. IV., $10 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$.S. stat. at L...( Tr..) $1: 6$
Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy \} Aug. T,1858, Art. V., i De Clerm. 44.
of Sase Weimar,
Surrender, notwithstanding civil arrest.
226. If the person claimed is under arrest in the country where he is found, on account of civil obliga. tions, his surrender may be made notwithstanding. but upon condition that the right of the person concernerl.
to pursue the remedy before the competent tribunals, is preserved.
(onvention between France and
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The (irand Duchy } \\ \text { of Saxe Weimar, }\end{array}\right\}$ Aug. 7, 1858, Art. V., 7 De Clereq, 444.
Conditional extradition.
227. A nation upon which a demand for extradition is made under this Section, may impose conditions in reference to the treatment of the person surrendered.

Bluntechli, (Dr. Int. Corl.) \& 401.

## Member of a third nation.

228. If the person whose surrender is demanded be a member of a third nation, which is a party to this Code, the surrender may be deferred until his nation has been informed of the proceeding, and invited to state objections, if any, to the extradition.

In such event, if a case for extradition be established, the nation on which the demand is made may deliver the person accused either to his own nation or to that making the demand.

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy
of Saxe Weimar, $\}$ Aug. 7, 1858, Art. VII.. 7 De Clereq, $444 . ~$
As to the obligation of a nation to surrender its own members, see note 5 to Article 215.

Surrender, by whom made.
229. Except as provided in articles 230 and 231, the surrender shall be made only by authority of the proper executive officers of the nation upon whom the demand is made.

This provision is usual in the American treaties. By the British and American systems of extradition, the judicial inquiry and determination of the fact of culpability is interposed as a condition to the surrender, but the judicial magistrate is not vested with power to make the surrender. Dana's Whenton, \& 115, note 73.
The question whether the casus foderis has arisen, or whether the compact will be executed, is a political question, to be decided by the President, and the courts have no power to direct or contravene his decision. Matter of Metzger, 5 Nev York Legal Observer, 83.

Surrender in case of offenses committed on the frontier.
230. In the case of persons found in a frontier state or Territory of one nation, upon the boundary between it and a contiguous nation, the surrender demanded by such contiguous nation, or its frontier State or 'Turri. ritory, may be made either as provided in the last ar. ticle, or by the chief civil authority of the frontice State or Territory in which the person is found, or by such chief civil or judicial authority of the district or country bordering on that frontier, as may for this purpose be duly authorized by the civil anthority of such frontier State or Territory ; or when from any cause the civil authority of such State or Territory shall bo suspended, by the chief military officer in command of such State or Territory.
See Article 212.
Treaty between the United States and Mexico, Dec. 11, 1861, Art. 11., $12 U^{\circ}$. S. Seat. as /.., 1200

## Surrender by colonial government.

231. In the case of persons found within the territorial jurisdiction of a colonial government, the surrender may be made either as provided in article 229. or by the Governor or executive officer of the colons:

Such officer may either make the surrender demanded of him, or may refer the question to the government of the nation to which he belongs.

See Article 218, and note.
Things in prisoner's possession.
232. All articles in the possession of the prisoner at the time of his arrest, and taken with him, shall bedelivered up on making the surrender, including not only articles stolen, but all those which can serve as evidence of guilt.

Convention between France and
The Grand Duchy, $\}$ Aug. T, 1858, Art. IIt. : De C\%ereg. 1818
of Saxe Weimar,

Seconed errest.
233. The discharge of a person arrested under the provisions of this Section, does not preclude a second arrest under a new complaint relating to the same offense, ' except where he is entitled to a discharge by reason of the lapse of time.
${ }^{1} 0$ Opinions of l゙. s. Attorncys-Gcneral, p. $91 ; 10$ It., 501.
C'ustody of the prisoner.
234. Any person duly appointed, by the nation demanding the extradition, its agent to receive the surrender, is entitled to the same protection, in the execution of his duties, within the jurisdiction of the nation making the surrender, as is given by its laws to its own officers in the exercise of similar functions; and the obstruction of such agent, and the rescue or attempted rescue of the person from his custody, is punishable in the local tribunals, in the same manner as in the case of obstruction to, or rescue from, the local officers.

This article is suggested by the statute to provide for giving effect to the Act of Congress of the United States for the extradition of criminals, passed March 3, 1869, 15 U. S. Stat. at L., 337.

## Discharge in case of delay of extradition.

235. A person surrendered under this Section must be conveyed out of the country making the surrender, within two months after his commitment for extradition, and in default thereof, shall be discharged.

Act of Congress of the United States, August 12, 1848, 9 U.S. Stat. at L., 303, 노 4.

Limitations of lime extended in certain cases.
236. The time necessary to allow of the intervention of the nation to which a colony belongs, according to article 213, or of that to which a foreigner whose extradition is demanded belongs, according to article 228, is not to be computed as a part of any of the times limited by the provisions of this Section for the arrest or extradition of an alleged fugitive from justice.

Restrictions as to punishment.
237. No person surrendered under the provisions of
this Section shall be prosecuted or punished. in the nation to which he is surrendered, for any offonse committed previous to that for which his surmonder was demanded,' nor for any offense which was not mentioned in the demand, ${ }^{\circ}$ or which is of the classens mentioned in article 215, committed before the extradition.'

[^14]Necessary legislation to be provided.
238. Fach nation which requires a judicial investigation before surrendering in extradition, must provide by law the necessary judicial power to carry into effect the provisions of this Section.

In England, the requisition must always be made through the exera. tive government ; and in treaties of this description made by that nation. the preliminary action of the Legislature is necesmary.

At the time of the signature of the treaty of 1842, between the l'nited States and Gireat Britala, the British Minister stated that the rendition treaty could have no effect in the British dominions in Fiurope till Parlia. ment acted upon it. In Çanada, it could have lmmedlate effoct. Lane rence's $W$ hecton, p. 241, note.

The constitutional doctrine in Great Britain is, according to Fiorayts. (Casea and Opinions in Constitutional Larv, p. 369, note.) that the Crown may make treaties with foreign States for the extradition of eriminals: but those treaties can only be carried into effiet by act of Pafliament: for the Executive has no power, without statatory authority, to arlese an alien here and deliver him to a foreign power. Honaindo Purianmeniary Debites, vol. L.X., pp. 317-327.

And the law is the same in the United Statea Keafo Commentarico. p. 284.

## SECTION II.

## ENTHADITION OF DFSEHTEKS.

The provisions of this Section are substantially the same as those common to nearly all the consular and commercial truaties. The treaties are so numerous, and the provisions in them so similar, that it seems unnecessary to rufer to them in detail. See U'mitad States Consular Regulations, $(1870$, ) 3n, and Treaties in Appendix No. 1.

> Abticle 239. Marine deserters only intended.
> 240. "Desertion" defined.
> 241. Local tribunals to order arrest of foreign deserters.
24. Application, how made.
243. Capture and imprisonment.
244. Deserters to be sent back.
245. Limit of imprisonment.
246. Delay of extradition for punishment of offense.

## Marine deserters only intended.

239. This Section applies to the inmates, other than passengers, of ships, public or private, of any nation a party to this Code, but to no other persons.

Neither (ireat Britain nor the United States have ever recognized any obligation to surrender military deserters.

## "Desertion" defined.

240. "Desertion" is the absenting' one's self from the ship and her service, without leave or legal justification, ${ }^{2}$ and against the obligation of the party, with an intent not to return thereto.
[^15]Local tribunals to order arrest of foreion deserters.
241. The police courts or magistrates of afth nation shall, upon the application mentioned in the next article, order the arrest and surrender to his consul of any person charged with desertion, as therein provided, unless it appears that the person charged was at the time of shipping, and still is,' a member of the nation in which his extradition is clamed.

For the purpose of making provision for the dimeharge and reliof in seamen, all memen regularly shippest in the ships of any nation aro tol im regarted as such uation's seamen.
${ }^{1}$ Most of the treatios only allow the exception In favor of citimene. when the pary was such at the thme of shipping; but obviounly the same character must continue at the time of the application, to castitle the party to the exemption.

Article IX. of the convention between the Ưnited Stateo atad France. Feb. 23, 1853, ( 10 U., S. Nett. at L. (Tr., 114.) contains an exception of womewhat the same effoct, as follows: unlese "citizens of the country where the demandis made, elther at the time of their ahipping. or of their arrical in the port."

## Application for extracition, hone made.

242. Application for the extradition of deserters must be made in writing by the consul' of the ship's nation, accompanied with proof, by the exhibition of the register of the ship, the roll of the crew, or by other official documents; or, if the ship be absent, by copies of such documents, duly certified, that the person charged belongs to the ship, and with proof, by oath, of his identity.'
${ }^{1}$ The application must be made by the consul, bexause in reference bo the discharge, with his own consent, of a seaman or mariner, Iming a citizen of the consul's nation, the consul acts as the lawfully authorized guardian of the seaman of his nation, to hear and examine his comphaints. and so afford him the only protection which the representative of his conntry can give him on forvign soll, via: the termination of his con nection with the ship. Vinited vitates Consular Ragmations. (1s:0.) - \& 131, 1858.

- The convention betwren the United States and lialy, Feld. S. 150\%, (15 Ui. S. Stat, at IL., 190, Art. XIII., and some others, allow surronder without oath to identity, but it seems better to abrogate that exception.


## Caplure and imprisonment.

243. The local authorities shall give all necessary aid in searching for and arresting the deserters, and shall, at the request and expense of the consul, ${ }^{1}$ imprison them until restored to their ships, sent home, or discharged.

By the provisions of this Code as to jurisdiction, the process of the local authorities may be executed on private and other unarmed foreign ships within the waters of a nation.
${ }^{1}$ Convention or treaty between the United States and
Belgium, Dec. 5, 1868, Art. XII., U.S. Cons. Rsg., (1870,) © 500.
France, Feb. 23, 1853, " IX., 10 U. S. Stat.at L., (Tr.,) 114.
Italy, Feb. 8, 1868, "XIII., 15 Il., (Tr., 185.
New Granadn, May 4, 1850, " III., $10 \mathrm{Id} ., 900$.
In many treaties of the United States, however, it is provided, that "deserters, when arrested, shall be at the disposal of their consuls." and "may be confined in the public prisons, at the request and expense of thase arhos shall reclain them,"-meaning the agents, owners or masters of vessels on account of whom the deserters have been apprehended," until restored to their ships, or sent back to their own country."

See treaty or convention between the United States and
Bolivia, May 13, 1858, Art. XXXIV., 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1003.
Denmark, July 11, 1861, " II., 13 Id., 60.5.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Dominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8,1867, " XXVI., 15 Id., ( Trr., $^{\text {( }} 183$.
Prussia, May 1,1828, " NI., 8 Id., 382.
Russia, Dec. 6-18,1832, " $1 \mathrm{~N} ., 8 \mathrm{Id} ., 448$.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Sweden \& } \\ \text { Norway, }\end{array}\right\}$ July 4,1827, " NIV., 8 Id., $346 . ~$
And other treaties. United Stutes Consular Regulations, (1850,) © 35, and Appendix No. 1.

It is noticeable that expenses of search and arrest are not provided for.

Deserters to be sent back.
244. The desirters may be restored by the consul to tile ship to which they belong, if within his jurisdiction, or sent back to his country in ships of any nation whatsoever.

## Limit of imprisonment.

245. Except as provided in the next article, the imprisonment of a deserter, under this Section, cannot continue more than two months, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ reckoning from the day of the arrest ; at the expiration of which time, and upon a notice of three days given to the consul, the
deserter shall be set at liberty, and caunot be again arrested for the same cause.
'The time stated in the treatien an the limit of limprinotassent, variee from two to nix montha.

Treaty between the U'nited Statex and Siwden and Nor. way, July 4, 1827, Art. XIV., 8 U. S. Sat. at L.. 846, . iwo montlis.

Convention between the U'nited Statem and France, Forb.
93, 1853, Art. IX., 10 /d., (Tr..) 114.
thire theotalis
Cousular convention between France and Aumtria, Dec. 11, 1860, Art. Xill., 9 De Clereq, p. 689. . . . . threremonthe.

Truaty between the United Stater and Russia, Dee. 6-1N. 1855. 8 U. S. Stat. at L., 448
four monthe.
Treaty between the United Statem and Hawall, Dec. ${ }^{20}$
1849, Art. X., 9 Id., (Tr.) 182, . . . . . . wis monthe.
Delay of extradition for punishment of offense.
246. If a deserter is charged with committing an offense cognizable by the local authorities, they may defer his surrender until he is acquitted, or punished therefor.

Consular convevation between France and

Austria, Dec. 11, 1866, " Xll., 9 Id., 669.
Brazil, Dec. 10, 1860, " IX.. 8 It., 153.
Treaty between the United States and
Denmark, July 11,1861, Art. I1.. 13 C'. S. Šat. at L.., Qus.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Dominican } \\ \text { Kepublic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8,186\%, "XXVI., $15 \mathrm{If.}$, (Tr., 183.
Repablic,
Cetherlands, Jan. 22, 1855, ." X., 10 Id.. 1150.
Prussia, May 1,1898, " Xl., 8 Itl., 3s?
Ruseia, Dec. 6-18, 1832, " 1X., 8 It.. tis.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Sweden and } \\ \text { Norway. }\end{array}\right\}$ July 4,1527, " XIV., 8 Id., 346.
And other treaties.

## PARTII.

## the reiations of a Nation to the perSONS AND PROPERTY OF THE MEMBERS OF OTHER NATIONS.

Title Vi. National. Chabacter.<br>Vil. Domicil.<br>Vill. National Jumisdiction.<br>IN. Duties of a Nation to Foheigners. N. Deties of Fobeigners to tile Nation.

## TITLE VI.

## National character.

Sational character, as here treated, and as elsewhere mentioned in this Code, is that which is recognized during Peace. It may perhaps be regarded as coextensive with Allegiance, and to some extent to correspond with Jurisdiction. In questions arising out of War, national character, in the sense of belligerent or neutral, is said to be impressed on persons, according to domicil or other circumstances; but it has seemed better to use the words in this Code in their strict sense. The hostile or neutral character, which may be acquired or lost by acts aiding the enemy, without respect to any clement of national character, will be the subject of provisions of the Book on War.
For a recent discussion of the sulject of National Character of Persons. sce the Transuctions of British Association for the Promotion of Social Sicience, 1868, pp. 159, 179; Revue de Droit International, 1870, No. 1 p. 120 .

The new English Law of Naturalization recognizes the principle of defeasible allegiance, \&c., as expressed in Chapter XIX. See "The Naturalization Act, 1800," ;3; V"ict., c. 14.

> Charter XIX. Of Persons. XX. Of Shipping.

## CHAPTER XIX.

## NATIOSAL. CHARACTER OF PERSOSS

## Section 1. Gebreal provinioun

II. Allegiance.
III. Fixpatriation.
IV. Naturalizntion

SECTIONI.
besemal phovintose
Articie 24i. "National character" defined.
248. Fivery permon hax ontic national character.
249. Effect of marriage.
250. legitimate child of a member of the nation.
851. Legitimate child of a forvigner.

Q52. Illegitimate children.
253. Fiffert of recognition.
s54. Mode of recognition.
255. Illegitimate child born abroad.
256. Parents of unk nown national characteor
253. Presumption of membership.
258. Change of national character.
259. Political privilegen unaffected by marriage.
960. Effiee of marringe and removal
" National character" defined.
247. The national character of a person is his connection with a nation, being one of its members, as explained in this Chapter.

Every person has one national character.
248. Every person has a national character.' No 9
from is a member of two nations at the same time ; hint alny mation may exiond to a member of another natim. with his cons"ut, " the rights and daties of its wwn members, within its own jurisdiction.' in addition to his own mational character.

This urticle changes the existing rule on the subject, in that it recog. nizes a matinum character in "vary person whomsoever, and dechares dis. tinctly that wo presom can have two mational characters; but it permits rach nation torxtond any rights or privileges of its members to strangers, who are members of another nation, or to suspelid the rights and privileges of its own members, as provided in this Code, or by its own constitution and laws.

The exinting rule may be stated as follows:
A permon who has ceased to the a member of a nation, without having acquired another national character, is nevertheiess deemed to be a member of the mation to which he last belonged, except so far as his rights and duties within its territory, or in relation to such nation, are concerned.

Such persons are said to number many thousands in France. Heffter, (Droit International,) Si 38, subd. I., note 2 .

By the French law they have a French status, if domiciled there, (1 Boileux. p. is,) even if domiciled without authority : (Id., p. 63 ;) but their national character is uncertain. Heffter, above. Valette, (sur Prudhon, t. 1, p. 200 , is of the opinion that if domiciled in France they are French. But this is denied by 1 Boileux, pp. 52, 62.
2." Double nationality, though tolerated in a large part of Europe, has been expressly proscribed by many Codes, as the person is required to choose, in such case, between his actual and his native domicil. Zouch, De Jure Fec, II., 2, 13, who denies the possibility of being the subject of more than one State, certainly goes too far; for it depends purely on the provisions of the laws of the states in question." Heffter, $\mathbf{S} 59, a$.
"That any one should be a member of two nations at once, is inadmissible in principle." Wextlake'x Priente International Lav, p. 21, © 22.
If double allegiance or national character were allowed, resulting either from birth or from operation of law, a minor should be permitted to choose a single allegiance within a reasomable time after attaining to full age, according to the law of his domicil. See Ludlam $v$. Ludlam, 26 New York Rep., 35if. Such a declaration of alienage is now allowed by the " Naturalization Act, 1800," :33 V'irf.. c. 14, S. 4.

* The authorities are conflicting on this point :
" No state can impose the rights and duties of citizenship upon aliens who do not choose to take them." Re Conway, 17 Wisconsin Rep., 529.
"Ihe laws of the United States determine what persons shall be regarded as citizens, irrespective of such persons' pleasure." Calais 0.
 came, cited lwelow.)
 conferred the fremeh character upon furelgnem in cerpats raors fulte abs without any secemnity on the part of the pernoun thon waturalise-s ofoos fucto, of manifesting their will or making many duclarathont of aty? wirs atad that the only quention that rould ter rained wan an suo the foero of a protest to the contrary, which the foreigner thizh hat hate macte for the
 p. Mb, note (a) by M. Detmangeat.
"Thentatutes of dube and tieo. 11 . ... art peculiar in attochang ther character of British mubjecten to the antive-born citizenn of inthers states without the volition of the subject, abed withous requiring the condissow


Lawe of this character frequently limit to the serfitory the priviteges conferred. [Dieret, Aug. 26. 1811, Tit 1, Art 3. (Rayer liellerd lowhes Franc., App., p. 46.)]

Similar laws have been pasmed in mont of the t'ulted staben. ane ly yarla
 allen fohabitante have the electoral franchise- (Ite.)

- This limitation in alsonapplied at prement where espatiation to woe permitted. "The original connection in prowerved, bus onty in the in terest of the nation of which the individual was a member at she outort. without excluding, an far an relates to him adoptend country, flam validity of his naturalization there." Firlis. Droit Intern Priri, 1, p in, wote I Wilson e. Marryat, 8 Term Rep., to.

Nativeborn subjectes are protected an much within she serritory of the nation of their birth, even though clatmed toy another wation an ita oulo jects. Ainslle e. Martin. 9 Massuchuacta Rep. fi:I

So, it was held by Kext and his asewcintes, that the law of Spoin, which authorized a domiciled American citizen to acquire she spamab charmetert by taking an oath before a Spanish consul in Sew Jork, withour ouming within Spanish territory, could not confer upon hima right accorded wety to aliens; $i$. e., the right to be sued to the Federal and west in the Stmer courts. Fisk e. Stoughton, I Johnemin' (inare (ifor J.ork ot twi:
"If, by the laws of the country of their birth, chithiten of American citizens born in such country are nulbects of itn government- the Iegisla tion of the U'nited States will not be construed was an futerfere with ther allegiance which they own to the country of their birth whim they ens
 p. 40 . 115.
"Although the government of one country may grant the jereone ... in:
 not fatended to intimate that the givernment making aurli it rate would thereby, and without their consent of changer of domaicil, leworne ratalid to their allegiance in reapect to any of their prolitical dutios or melations ${ }^{-}$ Calais r. Marshfield, 30 Mone Bep... 130 .

In Inglis re. Trusteres of sailors' Suug Harloor, B Pefors' Rep.. (U゙ S..) 15i, Story, J., says: The untion " may give him the privileges of a subject, but it does not follow that it call compulsorily whlige him to renomere his former allegiance."

In Marryat r. Wilson, 1 Busduquet d- Puller's lBep, 448, the court says: " Is there any general principle in the law of mations, (out of which this adoption of subject seems to have grown.) that in the parent sitate the adopted subject is incapnble of enjoying the privileges which have been conceded by the parent state th the subjects of that state which has adopted him? I know of no such disubling principle:" which is evidently not in conflict with the alove limitation.

By the British "Naturalization Act, 1870, " (333 l'ict., e. 14.) an ulien may acquire all political and other rights, powers and privileges of a mative. born subject, except within the limits of the State of which he was previously a subject.

## Effect of marriage.

249. Except as provided in article 260, marriage dors not change the national character of the wife.

Shanks $v$. Dupont. 3 Peters' U. S. Rep., 242.
See Article 248 ; and 1 Phillimore's International Lanc. 1, 350.
Legitimate child of a member of the nation.
250. A legitimate child, wherever born, is a member of the nation of which its father at the time of its birth was a member; or, if he was not then living, of the nation of which he was at the time of his death a member, ${ }^{1}$ except as provided in the next article.
${ }^{1}$ This is the law in most European States, Wexllake, p. 16, S. 16 ; Folix I., p. 54: but not in England or in the United States. However, in Ludlam r. Ludlam, 26 Neic York Rep., 371, the Court says: "Citizenship of the "father is that of the child, so far as the laws of the conntry of which " the father is a citizen are concerned." And it has been held in the United states, that the national character of the parent is of no importance, even in the case of a child born within the territory to a parent who is not, and has not taken anys step towards becoming naturalized there, and who removes the child while an infant. Lyuch $c$. Clarke, 1 Simelford's C\%. (Nem York) Rep., 585.

But this decision seems not to be entirely approved: Munro $\therefore$ Merchant, 26 Barbour's (Neir York) Rep., 400, 401; and probably would at the most be considered as authority only in regard to the right of suceession to real property within that state.

Legitimate child of a foreigner.
251. A legitimate child, born within the jurisdiction'
of a nation of which its father was not a member at the time of his death or of its birth, is a member of such nation, if its father was also born therein.'
${ }^{1}$ shippoing haviog the wational character of a nation. io deretased a pant of ite territory, within the mazang of thim Chapter. Hegter. is is. Vated. Droit dea lican, Bk. I. ch. 19, \& 216. Thum, permone anrigating an alien ahip "arv es prinact facie allone." Chnioneri' Cdonial Opinions. p asz. (Am, ed. of 1858. )
'Some much limitation in nocomary in order to provent the perjetuation of a race of aliens dombelied within the territory. The restriction bero propomed in that adopted in France. Firdis, Dr. Intern. Pried. 1. p. 100. note: Murelion, (thh ed.) 90.

## Illegitimate children.

252. Except as provided in article 255, an illegitimate child is a member of the nation of which its mother is a member at the time of its birth.

See Westake, Prinute Ins. Lave, 818, pp. 18, 19.

## Effect of recognition.

253. An illegitimate child, recognized by its father, becomes a member of the nation of which he is then a member.' Such recognition has no retroactive effect.'
' Findis, I., p. 55.
The contlicting authorities arv roferred to by Boileur, I., pp. 50, 51 : Mourhon. I., pp. 88. 89.
${ }^{2}$ Som Finlis, Dr. Int. Prini, I., p. 10א.

## Mode of recognition.

254. The recognition mentioned in the last article must be made in the manner provided by the law of the nation to which the father then belongs, and at a time when, by the law of that nation, the child is still a minor.

The authorities are to the effict that "the status of a person can never twe mollifed except in conformity with his personal law :" (i. . . that of him domicil.) Detnangeat's note to Firlis. Dr. Inf. Price. I., p. 98.
"It is the law of his hirth-place which renders him capable of dis. posing of his person." FiNif, I., p. 108, no. 11. "Majority belonge to pernonal status, and is, and can only be. governed by the law of the muntry to which the person belongs." Mourion, I., p. 94.

The rule stated in the article, however. is in harmony with other poo posed changes, referring to the law of the nation of which the father in. and child is to becone a metuber, as the test.

## Illegitimate rhild born abroad.

255. An illegitimate child. born within the jurisdiction of a nation of which its mother is not a member at the time of its birth, is a member of such nation, if its mother was also born therein.


## Parenls of mulnouen national character.

256. I child, the national character of neither of whose parints is known, is a member of the nation within whose jurisdiction it is born.' If its birthplace is also moknown, such child is a member of the nation within whose jurisdiction it is first found. ${ }^{2}$
' Mourlon. I., pp. 8i-9?
'Westlakir. p. 19: Folix. I.. p. is, note (1); See Sacigny. 8, s 359, ad fin.
Presumption of membership.
257. A person actually within the jurisdiction of a nation, is presumed to be a member of such nation, until the contrary is shown.
"The law presumes that all persons who live among us are citizens of the United states, until the contrary appears by strict proof." State 0. Beackmo, 6 Blackforl's (Indiana) Rep., 488.
so, where the only fact is that the defendant spoke slanderous words within the territory. Lister $r$. Wright. 2 Hill's (New York) Rep., 320.
"I think it may be assumed as a principle, that the law of nations. without regarding the municipal regulations prescribed for his admission, views every man as a member of the society in which he is found. Residence is prima facie evidence of national character, susceptible, however, at all times, of explanation. If it be for a special purpose, and transient in its nature, it shall not destroy the original or prior national character. But if it be taken up animo manende, with the intention of remaining, then it becomes a domicil, superadding to the original or prior character the rights and privileges as well as the disabilities and penalties of a citizen or subject of the country in which the residence is established." Johnson r. Merchandise, 2 Paine's U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep., 624, 625.
" Another (rule) is, that a neutral or subject found residing in a foreign country is presumed to be there animo manendi; and if a state of war should bring his national character into question, it lies upon him to explain the circumstances of his residence." The Venus, 8 Cranch's U.S. sup. C't. Rep., 2 :99.

It has not seemed necessary to include in these provisions the case of corporations, which are sometimes said to have the national character of
the nation by virtum of whomo lawe it exinte It in m fastiliar rule thas a
 theo oveverviguty which ermatem it

It exints by force of the law, atsed whepo that revenem loo cogeteter ated be








 land \& Pitemburgh K. R. ( 0. o il Pronsylennoin Rep. E:3x

Change of nulional ellaraeler.
258. The national rharater of any pernon may twe changed by expatriation and naturalization.

 out authurity froms him governsament, public functioss confladed to bim by a
 but theme whould rather ine regarded as a denial of the righte or privitogre of national character. In rompect of the obligations of aubjoction of the individual. the national character whould bo devened to nontinue unsil another in acyuired.

## Political privileges $\mathbf{u m a f f e c t e d ~ b ! y ~ m a r r i a g e . ~}$

259. Marriage gives to the wife the privilogen of the national character of hor husband.' but does not deprive her of the privileges of that which she had before marriage, except as prescribed by the noxt article.
'I Phillimare's Intern. Labe, p. 3 Bo.
'Thin mhould seem to be thee proper rule. Marriage ex may cliange ber
 Story, J., in Shanke e. Dupont, is Pefors' U. S. Sup, C'i Rep. 9 in

The marriage of a woman in her own country with a foreigner doms felled therein, should certainly not denationalize her. The objoction of
 the effert of marriage on nationalitg, aree Annuml Kagiser ingi

By the British " Naturalleationt Ict, 15:0." (3B3 V"iet, o 14. \$10.1 amar ried woman is to be devened a nubiject of the state of which hers hustand is for the time being a subjort.

## Effect of marriagr allel remoonl.

260. If, before or after her marriagr. the domicil of
a woman is permanently removed from the territory of the nation to which she previonsly belonged, she acquires by such marriage and remoral the national character of her husband.

This provision covers two classes of cases: 1. Where a woman emigrates while unmarried, and marries nbroad, or in her own country, if only visiting there: 2. Where she marries at home, and afterwards emigrates. No difference is rewogni\%ed between emigration to the husband's country and emigration to nnother. So long as she remains in her native land, there are evident reasons for allowing her to retain her original nationality. But if an Englishwoman marries a Frenchman, and they both emigrate to America, there is no reason for continuing to her the rights or duties of the English character.

> SECTION II.

## ALIEGOLANCE。

Article 261. " Allegiance" defined.
262. Extinguishment of allegiance.
263. Renewal of allegiance.

## "Allegiance" defined.

261. Allegiance is the obligation of fidelity and obedience which a person owes to the nation of which he is a member, or to its sovereign.

Extinguishment of allegiance.
262. Allegiance is extinguished:

1. By expatriation, and a formal act of renunciation ;
2. By discharge therefrom by the nation or sovereign entitled thereto ;
3. By change of national character, in the case mentioned in article 260 .
At present no formal act is repuired except by municipal law. "The " fact of renunciation is to be established like other facts for which there
" is no prescribed form of proof, by any evidence which will convince the
"judgment." 9 (opinionx of $L^{\prime} . S$. Attorneys-Generul, (Aug. 17. 1857,) pp. 63.64.
It has been suggested that a legal sentence of banishment from the
jurimelietion of a nation, ought to dimmolve the allogiatere of thor taniobed permon thereto. for the perfod daring which the eventeriem to actually car ried fato effert, beraume ly baninhoment the moverolign withetrawe hio pon tection, and ought to give up the correlative allegiance

But to the conerary, ene I Phillimurvis Intorn Lases its

 nationality.

Reneval of allegiance.
263. Allegiance is revived by the voluntary morn of the person to the territorial limits of his form.r country, and there acquiring a domicil, before natural. ization elsewhere.

> SECTION III.

## nexpatmiation.

> Anticse. 264. " Eixpatriation "onned.
> 26\%. Infent.
> 266. Fxpatriation a right.
> 36\%. Fiffert of expatriation.
"Expatriation", defilled.
264. Expatriation is the act of abandoning the territory of the nation of which the person is a member. with intent to become naturalized ilsewhere.

## Intent.

265. The intent mentioned in the last article may be formed at the time of the abandonment or afterwards. and may be proved like any other similar fact.

Expatriation a right.
266. Subject to the laws defining civil incapacitios depending upon age, mental condition, prosumal du mestic relations, and public services every momin.r of : nation, however his national character may have beron acquired, has the right of expatriation, which cannot be impaired or denied.

No person is punishable for the act of expatriation, " not even though at a later day he should have lost his adopted citizenship." Protocol Bavarian Treaty, May 2b, 1sise, II., 1, 15 U. S. Statutes at Large, ( $T_{r . .}$ ) 149.

The bation of which a person has become a member by maturalization, is bound to allow his subsequent maturalization elsewhere, and to retain him as one of its members until such subsequent naturalization. Protocol Basarian Treaty, May 26, 186s, III., 2, 1.5 U. S. Stat. It L., (Tr.,) 149.

> E!fect of expatriation.
267. Expatriation does not change the national character of the person until completed by naturalization, but meantime he is entitled to be protected by the country whose naturalization he is seeking.

By the treaties of the United States with Prussia and Bavaria, 1868, (15) $I$. S. Stat. at $L ., 115,14 \%$, an exception is made to this rule in the case of a naturalized citizen returning to the nation of his origin. 'Those treaties in effect provide that where an emigrant who has been naturalized abroad refurns to his native country with intent to remain there, his naturalization in his adopted country cannot prevent him from regaining his former citizenship. If he renews his residence in his origimal country without the intent to return to the former, he shall be held to have renounced his naturalization; and that the intent of a naturalized citizen, who has renewed his residence in his original country, may be held to exist when the person maturalized in the one country resides more than two years in the other country.

## SECTION IV.

## NATURAIIZATION.

Abticle 268. "Naturalization" defined.
269. Naturalization not obligatory.
270. Effect of naturalization.

2i1. Absentees cannot be naturalized.
272. Liability to justice on return.
"Naturalization" defined.
268. Naturalization is the act by which membership in one nation, however acquired, is renounced, and membership in another is assumed.'

Neither the acceptance by a foreigner of the rights and duties of a member of the nation, under article

248, nor the declaration of intention to acquin a new national charactor, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ constitutes naturalization, within the meaning of this section.


 Register, (N. S., t8en9. p. 1:ER.

- Truaty between the I'nltevl stater and

Naturalization not obligator!!.

269. Each nation is to judge for itself whom it will receive into naturalization.

By Irticle III , of the convention between the $\mathrm{f}^{\circ}$ nited states and (irmet
 the character of a citizent of the linited states of one who destrone bor renounce a naturalization in cirvatBritain. is depwedent ${ }^{*}$ obs such modi tions as that government may think fit to impoee."

## Effect of naturalization.

270. A person naturalized according to the provisions of this Section, becomes immediately a member of the nation by which the naturalization is conferred. but he must, within a reasonable time thereafter, send a copy of the record of naturalization to a public minister or consul of the nation to which he previously belonged, resident in the territory of the nation which thus adopts him.' Till this is done, the nation or sovereign which he has left may reclaim him.
${ }^{1}$ This condition is new. It is Inserted to prevent the praction of ob taining a naturalization, and subserpuently attempting to enjoy ther priri leges of the previous national character. This fraud in stated for have greatly increased of late. U. S. Consular Reguhations, (15:0.) 110

## Absentees cannot be maturalized.

271. No person can be naturalized who is not at tho time actually within the territorial limits of the nation by which he is naturalized.' But this artiole denes not apply to a person whose last allegiance is extinguished pursuant to the second subdivision of artiche 262.

presence of the party within the territory of the mation naturalizing him, an essential requisite.
Sice an analogous provision us to length of residence, in the Protocol


Liability to .justice on return.
272. A natmalized person, who voluntarily returns within the territorial limits of the nation of which he was a member before his naturalization, remains liable to trial and punishment for an aet punishable by its laws, and committed before his expatriation. But this article does not apply to a case where hy the laws of such nation, the liability is extinguished by limitation or otherwise.
Treaties between the United States and Bavaria, and Prussia, supra,

## OHAPTER. XX.

## National Characerer of shippinia.

In Commercial treaties, by which some of the privileges of domestir vessels are granted by each party to those of the other contracting power, it has been found important to prescribe a definite rule as to what shall constitute or prove national character. The importance of such a definite rule, agreed to by all nations, is enhanced by a consideration of the other questions which turn on the National Character of Shipping ; such as the jurisdiction, civil and criminal, wer persons and transactions on shipboard; see Articles $309.210,241$ and $24: 3:$ such also, as allegiance of persons born on shipboard : see Article 251: such, also, as the authority of consuls in reference to wreeks; see Chapter XXVII, entitled Wrecks.
As before explained, National (haracter is used in this Code, as in the commercial treatios, in its proper sense, with reference to Allegiance and Jurisdiction. The condition upon which hastile character depends, in the case of war, which is often called national character, without, however, necessarily implying anything more than a temporary identitication with or service of the enemy, are proper sulbeets for the provisions of the Book on War.
Ortolan, (Régles Int. at Itipl. de la Mer, vol. 1. p. 16if, (de., classities the conditions of nationality, in the commercial laws of all countries, under

## Sour pointe: 1. Construction or Origin: 2. Ownerohip, I Manert, assat

 4. Crew.The teate proseriluat by the comusertial tratice are varions Thery mas: be sutficiently indicated an follown:
By motare tratios the bational character of tis mhife bo deternationd by rach nution for itwelf, and will twe accorlingly recongitent by ther other nations. Of thin clans are the following:

```
Treaty betwren the United Statem abs!
        The Two,
        Sicillien, 1
```



```
        Argentine,
```



```
        eration,!
```

Sotme carlier treatien limit the privilogen accorded on national vemele. to thowe built within the nation'n territories, or lawfully condemned as prizes, or adjuiged forfoited for breach of municipal lawn, and bwhenging wholly to cifizenn of the nation.

Several treaties prescribe that a vessel belonging "sclunively to one or more citixens of one nation, and whone captain in also a citizen of the same, such having also complied with all the other requisites establinhod by law to acyuire a national character, though the construction and erew be foreign, ahall be considered a vesuel of such nation.

Of this class are the following treaties:

## Treaty between the Cinited States and

| Venezuela, |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ficuador. | June 13, 1839. ." V.. $8 / d$. . 394. |
| Peru, | July 26.1851. " X1., $10 / d$. (Tr..) 28. |
| Bolivin. | May 13,1858, - li. $12 / \mathrm{d} .1003$. |
| Dominica |  |

The treaty between France and Hobduran. Feb. 22. 1856, Ant XIII. (i De Clereq, 10.) and others, substantially similar, provide that reseelo shall be considered as having the national character, which were constructed in the nation, or, having been captured by its formex from an enemy, have been adjudged law ful prizes, or which have been condemaed by its tribunale for violation of law ; provided, however, that the ownere. the master, and threequatere [half ] the crew, be of that nationality. Eivery such verall. however, to tee furninhed with a passport, de.

The Einglish Shipping Act gives the prifileges of national character only to ships wholly owned by its citizeus. sec Akent on Shipping, 50 . Reginar. Armaud. 9 Quern's Bench Rep., $81 \%$.
In determining the forviga or domestic clamacter of a ship, for the par pone of ascertaining whether it is judicially subject to a marititne lien. the Americall courta inquire for the residence of the owners, and the en rollment is onlv primat fioir evidence of their mosidenore. Hill e. The Golden Gate, 6 A merican latir Regiser. :2:3. Bue.

And charterers having by the torms of the charene party esclusive coo. trol, are deemed the owners within thim rule. Is.

In framing the following rules it has been considered:

1. That every ship ought to be subject to some one jurisdiction, and that therefore the rexponsilnitifies of national character ought to be impreseal upon evory ship, without reference to its satisfying the conditions of registry laws.
2. 'That every nation may acoord suchadvantages as it chooses, and there. fore the immunities and privileges of national character ought to be extunded only to such ships as do satisfy the conditions of the local law.

> Anticig: 2\%3. Fivery ship has one national character.
> 2i4. Origin of national character.
> 275. Change of national character.
> 2i6. Registry.
> 275. Passport required.
> 278. Contents of passport.
> 279. Effect of ship's passport.

Ever!! shin has one national character.
273. Every ship has a national character, and no ship has that of two nations at the same time. But any nation may allow to ships of other nations within its own territory any of the privileges of its domestic: ships.

Origin of national character.
274. The national character of a ship is that of the nation within whose territory she was constructed, until changed as hereinafter provided.

## Ghange of national character.

275. The national character of a ship, however acquired, may be changed :
276. By its ownership, or a majority in interest thereof, becoming vested in owners of a different national character;
277. By being captured and adjudged lawful prize, as provided in Book Second of this Code, on War ;
278. By judicial forfeiture, pursuant to the laws of another nation, for a breach of the laws thereof, or of the provisions of this Code.

In any such case the national character acquired is that of a majority in interest of the new owners.

## Registry.

276. Any nation may refuse the privileges of itm own mational character to any ship which has not a neorded title, according to its laws.

## Passport required.

277. A nation may, in its discretion, gise to any of its ships a passport, such as is mentioned in the noxt article.

Without such a passport, no private ship is entitled to receive from other nations, parties to this Code, or their members, the immunities and privileges of its national character.

Suggested by the treaty between the United States and The Two Sicilies. Oct. 1. 1835 , Art. 1 X., 11 U. S. Stut. It L., 639.

## Contents of passport.

278. The passport of a ship must contain :
279. The name, vocation and residence of the owner, if but one, or of the several owners, if more than onc, mentioning their number, and in what proportion they share in its ownership;
280. The name, dimensions and burden of the ship, and such other particulars as may be necessary to identify it ; and,
281. A statement that the ship bears the national character of the nation issuing the passport, and is entitled to the immunities and privileges thereof.

The passport must be certitied by the executive anthority, competent, by the law of such nation, to give the passport.

[^16]Treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between F'rance and
Honduras, folb. 2:, 1Ni6, Art. Xlll., \% De Clereq, 10.
Noicaragua, Ipr. 11, 1859. ." Xill., : ld., ©st.
T'o very similar effect are the following:
'Treaty of navigntion between lrance and

Treaty of commerce and navigntion between france and

The Free Citios of

The (irand Duchy of
Mecklenburg Schwe-
rin-(Extended to ? June 9,1865 , " V., :I Id., 295.
the) Grand Duchy of
Mecklenburg sitre-।
litz,
Portugal, July 11, 1866, .• XXI., 9 Id., 558.
Treaty of commerce between France and
The Pontifical States, July 19, 1867, Art. X., 9 De' C'ereq, $\mathbf{3} 39$.
'l'reaty of friondship, commerce and navigation between France and
The Sandwich Islands, Oct. 29, 185\%, Art. XIV., 7 De Clereq, 322.
'This treaty also provides that in case of doubt as to the nationality of a vessel, or of the ownership, or of the master or crew, the consuls of the country for which the vessel is destined shall have the right to demand authentic proof before visaing the papers of the vessel. This is to be done without charge to the ship.

## Effect of ship's passport.

279. The passport of a ship, issued in conformity to the last article, shall be everywhere taken as conclusive evidence of its national character at the date of the passport, and as presumptive evidence of such character at any subsequent time ; subject. however, to the local regulations for verification; and also subject. in the administration of justice in civil and criminal cases, to the rules of evidence applicable in courts of justice.'
${ }^{1}$ On an indictment umder a law making criminal certain acts done on board a vessel owned in whole or in part by a citizen of the United States, an ownership in fact must be proved. General reputation or an American registry is not ewon prima fucie evidence as to the ownership. Vnited


It is not necessary to produce documentary evidence in order to brove the national character of a vessel, on an indictment for piracy. The laws that prescribe what ships' papers shall be carried on board, have relation to financial, commercial or international objects, and are not decisive in a
prowecution for piracy. The character of the veomel in then a matier in peris, and may be extablished by general evilence. Enited sitatee e. Fur long. 5 Wheaton's U. S. Suprome Court Rrp., 184.

To show a veweel to be American, oo an to give jurimbiction to prabioh offenmen consmitted on tward of her, it in enorght to nhow, in the first in ntance, that ahe walled from and to an American port, and wat apporratly owned and controlled by citizens of the United States. It io mot beret
 Ninot's U. S. Cirenit Ct. Itp., 305.

But a mhip engaged in a whalling royagr, without having aurrobdered her reginter or taken out all enrollment and licenme, pursuant to the act of July 18, 1703, wan held not an American whip, within the purview of the act of March 3, 18ik, ch. 40, puninhing any of the crew of an Atwerican ship for an endearor to make a revolt. United Statex e, Rogern, 3 sism ner's U. S. Cirenit Court Mep., 342.

Compare a momewhat different provision an to the effect of pamports of pernons, in Article 323.

# VII. 

DOMICIL.

Chapter XXI. Domicil, original and secondary.
XXII. Change of domicil.
XXIII. Effect of change of domicil.

## CHAPTER XXI.

DOMICLL, ORIGINAL AND SECONDARY.
article 280." Domicil" defined.
281. Kinds of domicil.
282. Original and secondary.
283. Derivative and voluntary.
284. Every person has one domicil.
285. Original domicil of legitimates.
286. Original domicil of illegitimates.
287. Child of unknown parentage.
288. Continuance of domicil.
289. Wife's secondary domicil.
290. Child's secondary domicil.
291. Ward's secondary domicil.
292. Domicil of insane persons, $\& c$.
" Domicil" defined.
280. The term "domicil," as used in this Code, means the seat' of permanent residence-the home. ${ }^{\text { }}$
${ }^{1}$ Ortolan, (Explicution Historique de Inst. Justinien, t. I., p. 402, 6 ed.,) rejects the definition of domicil as "the place where a person has his principal establishment," for the reason that domicil is not a place at all, in the sense of being a portion of space. He substitutes the following: " The seat, or home, (le siege, he demeure,) which a person is deemed in law to have always for the exercise of certain rights or the application of certain laws." Boileux, I., p. 212 ; Puchta, Vorlesungen, I., S. 99. §84, (5th ed.:) 2 Kent's Commentaries, 540, note, (8th ed.,) support the definition above given. See, also, Westlake's Private International Lav, p. 31, note a, and
 ier. 1., p. 3: Vevteler our Pruflion, 1. p. 23h, edied Mourlem, 1. 1. Int. The detiation of Lanl Whornerr, Bell e. Kenaedy. Howe of Lardo. 6
 twren an individual and a partieular lowality." If not open to the obtgectlon of Ortollan, whi supro, that it In tow vague to be of use is onely a statetsest of domictl: $i x$ o the statue arisioge from it. Althomgh is is true that "domicil in in law what residence in in fact." (Ortedan, p thes) yet "doten
 conception in provetermitiod in particular ranex, not in view of all the facter of theme cases, but of sothe only, which are taken an conefolling. be caune it in considered that they are, in the majority of bustanomes, suant likely to lead to a conclusion in harmony with the fact. But when mach apecial facte are taken an controlling for any other tramon than this likelf hood, a purely arhitrary estension in given to the term domicil, which ought to be rejected, and the alternative taken of placing these cases is some other category. 'Thus the minor's domicil, after him parvotes death. follows that of the guardian. This rule in founded upon ite correnpond ence with the fact in the great majority of invanaces. Should it be considered as also cestablished that the succescion to nuch minor's property is not to tee changed by the guardian's change of domicil, the rule firelf should not be rejected, but thin proposition should twe Inserted among the rules of law relating fosuccession. Ser Wrathake, Pricate Int. Iame of so
${ }^{2}$ Political Code, Reported for Nect Yurk. है 6.
Kinds of domicil.
281. Domicil is either :

1. Original ; or,
2. Secondary.

## Original and secondar!.

282. The original domicil is that of the person at the time of his birth. All others' are secondary.
${ }^{1}$ At any other time, whether at the place of the origiaal domicil, or elsewhere.

## Derioative and voluntar!!.

283. A secondary domicil is derivative, when dependent upon the domicil of another person. Otherwise, it is voluntary.

Eeery person has one domicil.
284. No person can be without a domicil,' or have at one time more than one domicil.' But one may have a residence, for a particular purpose, at a place other than his domicil.'

Original domicil of legitimates.
285. The original domicil of a child which is legit imate, or has been acknowledged by its father before its birth, is determined by the domicil of its father at the time of its birth; or, if its father is then dead, or has no voluntary domicil, by the domicil of its mother.

See Ludlam $v$. Ludlam, 26 New York Rep., 356, 371 ; Westlake's Private Intern. Lave, S. 35; Brown v. Lynch, 2 Bradforl's Surrogate (New York) Rep., 214.

Original domicil of illegitimates.
286. The original domicil of an illegitimate child is determined by the domicil of its mother at the time of its birth, unless previously acknowledged by its father.

## Child of unknown parentage.

287. The original domicil of a child whose parents are unknown, is the place of its birth, or where it is tirst found.

Continuance of domicil.
288. The existing domicil' continues until another is gained, ${ }^{2}$ or until the death of the person, whichever first occurs, except as provided in article $301 .{ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ This is true not only as to the original domicil, but also in reference to the derivative domicil ; e. g., of the wife; (Pennsylvania $c$. Ravenel, 21 Howard's U.S. Sup. C't. Rep., 103; Westlake, S 42 ;) or minor; Doe c. Litherberry, 4 McLeun's Rep., 454 ; Goods of Patten, 6 Jurist, N. S., 151 ; Boileux, I., p. 121.

- A domicil cannot be lowt until another is gainod Rotserville r Nomb
 Siwrrogate (Nree York) Mep., 127.
*The exerption refers to the alandonment of a morondary domicil. With Intent to acyuire the original domicil.


## Wife's secondary domicil.

289. The domicil of the husband is the domicil of his wife, except :
290. When she is living apart from him,' meparated by the decree of a competent tribunal,' or by his consent. such separation being allowed' by the domicil of ach :"
291. When he has committed an offense which, by tho law of her actual residence, entitles her to a divorce.' which she claims ;
292. When she or some other person is the committee of his person ;'
293. When some person other than her husband is the committee of her person."

Bremer c. Freeman, 1 Deanc's Rep., 212 : Political Code. Reported for Ner York, 80.
${ }^{1}$ Pothier. Contr. de Marriage, \& 524 ; 4 Phillimatr, Intern. Larr, fif 71 -78.

- Veweher e. Vewher, 12 Barbour's (Neve Yord) Rep., 640: Barter P. Barber, 21 Howinf, U. S. Seppreme CY. Rep., 582: Williame e. Dormer, 2 Reb. 505; 2 Biahop on Marriage and Dicorce. (2d ed..) if 125: (1st ed..)
 ries, I., 1025, 15 th June, 1829 : Melaren's Lave of Wills and sucecanion, $p$.
 contra. Merlin. Repertaire de Jurisprudence, Domicile, \& 5, no. 1: Inallow. Domicil, no. 9 : Vacharic, p. 280. \$140. Doubed. per lond Kisostows. in Dolphin r. Robins. 7 Howee of lords Ciace, 430; 3 Narguern's Rep. 581: Re Daly's Settlement, 22 Juriad, 525. It has eren been beld that a decrve of erparation cannot impose any prarticular domicil upon ber. thi jon, 28 Ap., 1807 ; 6 Delloe, t. 6. p. 379.
${ }^{3}$ This is indispensable. Mution, L., p. 194. (tith ad.): Biahop. (2nd ad . 11.
 Dolphin e. Robins, 3 Marqueen's Rep., 56s-384.
- Weallake, s: 363. Sen Connelly e. Connelly, i Moerr's Priry Cimacid Rep., 4is, 471.
 34.

Whether the exception extends as far an to allow the wife to retablith a domicil in a different judicial locality from that in which bre havionde.
domicil was situated at the time of the offerese, has been denied. Weatloke.


Most of the state courts of the lonited states hold that it does. Bishop, 2, 尽128: Jennmss $r$ demuess of Indiann Rop., 358, 339: Reel r. Elder, 62. Pennayleania Rop.. 315: and the Supreme Court of the l'nited States has followed their decisions in a late case, Checerer $r$. Wilson, 9 Wallace's U. S. Sup. Ct. Rep., 108.

- Bishop, 2. ㄴ 129. (ed ed.) This restriction is put on the ground that the offense cannot be inquired into collaterally. Dolphin r. Rohins, ? Macqueen's Rep., sis, sis: i Mouse of Lords C'ases, $41 \times$; Bishop, whi supra.

If the restriction is admitted, it should not be extended to a case where the wife has removed, aequired a new home, and commenced her proceedings for a divorce, and died before decree.
'Armstrong r. Armstrong. 7 Veazey's (Verimont) Rep., 350.

* Boilcur, 1., p. 221; Mourlon. 1., p. 195; Demanté, I., p. 206.


## Child's secondary domicit.

290. The domicil' of the father, and after his death, that of the mother while she remains unmarried is the secondary domicil of the unemancipated ${ }^{2}$ minor child, if legitimate, or acknowledged by the father, except,
291. While another person than the parent is the guardian of the child ;'
292. While the parent has a committre of his person ;
293. While the child has a voluntary domicil in a different place, pursuant to the provisions of article 303.
${ }^{1}$ Mere residence-e. g., imposed by banishment-is not such a domicil Hardy r. De Leeon. 5 Texas Rep., 23i. Nor is the short forensie domicil, which is sufticient for purposes of divorce. See Ringer $r$. Ringer, 15 January, 1840 , Sexsion Cozes, 2nd series, vol. 2. p. 307 ; Brodice r. Broolie. ;30

The American cases, exeept in lomisiana, concur in holding that the mother loses all right to change her childs domicil on remarringe, and that the step-father neither arguires it, nor imposes his domicil on the child, although the child actually resides with them. Allen r. Thompenn. 11 Humphreys Rep., 53sw; Mears $r$. Sinclair, 1 Wext Viryinin Rep., 199.). (Where the mother was also guardian.) Brown r. laynch, '2 Brotfordis (Vere York) Rep., 218.

To the same effoct. Mclarcti* Larr of Wiels and surression, 号 13: Poth. ier, Come, drorleans. Introd., 1 18.

The rale in Lonisiana is different. Succession of Lewis, 10 Im, mixionn A/"unal Rap., 990.

It seems not to have been decided whether the denth of the stop-father would revive the mother's right in this respect.


```
lon, I., p. 105: Politiand Conle. Repurtal fur New fork, \%
    \({ }^{3}\) Muturlon. I., p. 19\%.
    - Thim exception in necesoary, if the provistonn of the artirle referted to
arv adopted, as, If the term "minor child " in not romericted to childeren
under the age of pupillarity, wuch child tsight haver actually elanged its
domiell.
```

Ward \& secondar!/ domicil.
291. The domicil of the guardian, or if there aro
several jointly appointed, that of the one first named
in the instrument of appointment, is the secondary
domicil of his ward.

The came of an infant under two guardiann having different domicils. does not meem to have yet arisen, in such a form as to roquire a decinion See Fohertson on Succession, p. 201, note: Potinger e. Wightuan, is Nerimate's Rep., 67.

Domicil of insane persons, de.
292. The domicil of a person of unsound mind, or of one duly declared incompetent, is determined by that of the committee of his person; or if there are several jointly appointed, of the one first named in the instrument of appointment.
Phillimore's lane of Domicil, p. 55: Boileus. I., p. 220: Demanes, I. p. 203: Mourlon, I., p. 195. See Sharpe r. Crispin, 38 Lame Journal, Produte. 17: 1 Laue Rep., Prob. de Dir., 611.
To the contrary, Weatlake, Pricate Iutern. Lauc, 今̀ 52.

## CHAPTER XXII.

## (HANGE OF DOMICIT.

[^17]Right to change domicil.
293. Any person acting in good faith' may at his pleasure change his voluntary domicil to any place where he has a right to reside.

Watson r. Simpson, 1:3 Lamisiama Annuet Rep., 337.
Change of an adult's derivative domicil.
294. The derivative domicil of an adult changes with a change of the voluntary domicil on which it depends.

## Guardian may change ward's domicil.

295. The domicil of an unemancipated minor having no competent parent living, may be changed by its: guardian. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ with a change of his own domicil, ${ }^{2}$ when acting in good faith and for its benefit. ${ }^{2}$
[^18]Testamentary change of derivatice domicil.
297. A person authorized so to do by the law of his domicil may change the domicil of any person dependent on his, after his death, to any place where the survivor has a right to reside.

In White $r$. Howard, is Barbour's (Neir York) Rrp., 294, it was held that a widower domiciled in Connecticut might change the domicil of his unemancipated minor child, upon his death, by appointing a testamentary grardian domiciled in New York.

## Change of domicil, hon made.

298. A change of domicil is produced by the act of residing in another country,' with the intention of making that country the home.' There must be a union of act and intent.
'If the intent exiats, a residence in purnuance of that intention, how ever whort, in sumblent. Bell e. Kennedy, L. R., 1 Sroteh App. 819. Wrathate's Privale International Inue, 830 ; 4 Phillimorit Ins. Iame, p 155, note: Merlin, Répertoira de Jurioprudence, vol. 8, p. 335. (5th rd.) It has been nuppomel that a domicil of auccession might be acquired with out any fixed place of residence in the now coantry, if the previoun don icil has been unequivocally abandoned. Weallake, \& 34 : Somerville $e$. Somerville, 5 Veacy. Jr:': Rep., 791 : Bradley e. Lowrey, I Speart Eipuity Rop., ( U. S., 16.
' Chaine r. Wilson, 1 Bosmorth's (Neu York) Rep., 673; Munno r. Munno. ; Clark \& Finnelly'a Rep., 877 : Craigie e. Lewin, 4 Curtio' U. \& Cire. 'r. Rep. 448: De Bonneval r. Bonneval, 1 ld., 856 ; Willianner. Saundery. s Coddredts (Tiennesece) Rep.. 80.

Where a person has iwo places of residence, that which was firnt eatablished may te regarled as his domicil, unlese an intention appear to remain at the other an the principal and permanent residence. Gilman $e$. Gilman, 52 Maine Rep., 165; Guthrie'a Sacigny, 8350.

## Intention to change.

299. The intention to change the domicil may be manifested by making and signing a declaration thereof. both in the country of the present and of the intended domicil, before a notary public, or some other officer authorized to administer oaths; a copy of the declaration, certified by the officer, being published in the official journal of the country where made, within one month thereafter.

Me Laren's Late of Wills and Succoseion, I., \& 9, note, adrocates the adoption of the similar provisions as to municipal domicil, in the Code Napedcon. Liv. I., Tit. III., SS 104, 105. The provisions as to international domicil, in 24 and 25 Vief., c. 121, requiring a year's foreign residence, and the filing of a declaration in some public otfice of the country of the new domicil, are said not to be effiectual. (Hages de Jarman': Precedents of Wills, (ith ad.) p 541 ; 2 Williams' kirceutors, ( 6 h ed.,) p. 1490, note.) It is aetiled in the United States, that a formal declaration in a private instrament an to ther place of domicil, is of great though not conelusive wright. [tinais $p$. Smith, 14 Howard, U. S. Sup. C8. Rep., 499 ; Roberta' Will, 8 Praigre (New York) Rep., 519 ; Burnham r. Rangeley. 1 Woodbury of Vinor. C.: S. Cire. CY. Rep., 9; Lyman e. Fiske, 17 Pichering's (Vamedmertla) Rep.

234: Gorham r. ('anton, $\overline{\text { O }}$ Greenlenf"* (Mcrine) Rep., 266:] if made previous to the event which raises the question. Kilburn $\varepsilon$. Bennett, 3 Metcalf'* Rep., 199 : Ennis r. Smith, 14 Horari's U. S. Sup. Ctt. Rep., 422. Even with this restriction, (Lockhart's Trust, 11 Irish Jurist, N. S., 24.5, 249.) the British courts do not secm to attach so much importance to such declarations.

The treaty between Firance and the Swiss ('onfederation, respecting the establishment of the members of the one nation within the other, June 30, 1864, 9 Ite Clerrq. p. 91, Art. II., provides, that to obtain a domicil or establish a vocation (forme un établissement) in Switzerland, Frenchmen must be furnished with a certificate of registration, stating their nationality, which shall be delivered to them by the French ambassador, after they shall have produced to him certificates of good character and con. duct, \&e.

In Iouisiana, the following act has been passed in relation to political domicil:
"Any alien coming into this State from a foreign country, or from any "State of the United States, or any citizen of the United States coming " into this State as aforesaid, shall after having resided one year without "any interruption in one of the parishes of this State, having in the mean" time purchased or rented a house or room or parcel of land, or pursued "some profession or employment for a support, be considered as having " acquired a residence in the parish where such individual has so resided, " and complied with the above requisitions, by making proof of the same ' before any judge or justice of the peace within this State," (which such official is authorized to receive, record and certify;) "and the oath of the "individual applying, supported by the evidence of another, shall be "deemed sufficient proof." Lovisianu Lan of March 16, 1818, §. 1 ; Bullard \& Curry's Digest, p. 286.

Absence on business of the State or of the United States does not, but a voluntary absence from the State for two years, or acquisition of residence out of the State, does forfeit the Louisiana residence. Id., \& 3.

A rule very similar to the one stated in the article above, was suggested by Mr. Westlake, and approved in discussion. See Transactions of $N a$ tional Association for Promotion of Social Science, 1868, p. 181.

## Presumption of no intention to change.

300. If the written declaration mentioned in the last article is not made, an intention to change the domicil is presumed not to have existed until the contrary is proved.

The relative force of different facts as presumptive evidence of intention, is specially considered in Wextlake, Primate Int. Lann, £S 48, 49 : Taylor on Eridence, S 167, (5th ed.)

A change of domicil to a country where the person is a foreigner, is not so easily inferred as a change to one of which he is a member. Lord $r$.

Colvin. 28 Lam Journal, "Mancery, 373 ; 4 Droery's Rep. 423: Whiekry r. Hume. 8 Howse of Londs Gises, 159; Mcorhoame e. Inct. 10 Jd , 20s : Crookeoden e. Fuller, 1 Lase Times, N. S., 83 : Hegeman e. Yox, 31 Bar. Bour's (Neus York) Rep., tse.

## Renerling to original domicil.

301. If a secondary' domicil is abandoned, with intent to reacquire the original domicil,' and the person making the abandonment dies on the way to the original domicil, not only his domicil, but the derivative domicils dependent on his,' are changed to his original domicil' from the time of the abandonment.
' If the abandoned domicil is the original domicil, death in ifinere does.
not change it. Graham r. Public Administrator, 4 Bradford'a (Nerr York)
Surnogate Rep., 127: Bell r. Kennedy, Laue Rep., I Scoleh Appeats, 321.
'If the secondary domicil is abandoned without an intent to acquime
any other determinato domicil, no change is produced. Lyall e. Paton.
25 Laur Journal, Chancery, 746, 750.
It was held, in Viny e. Udny, Iav Rep., 1 Soteh Appeats, 441, that the
original domicil revives the moment a secondary domicil is abandoned.
and that it is of no importance whether the intention is to re-aequire the
original domicil, or another secondary domicil, or whether there is no
specific intention whatever except to abandon the existing secondary
domicil.
The American cases certainly do not attribnte any such adherent power
to the original domicil. Story. 847 ; Hegeman e. Fox, 31 Barbour's (Ner
York) Rep., 475, 478:1 American Lending Cases, 74;, (4th ed.) And they
are supported by the anthority of Sarigny. (Guthrie's Sirigny. $8 \mathbf{8 5 9}$. p. 8\%.)
Sce, also, Boileur, I., p. 231 : Wrathike, 尽 40.
${ }^{2}$ Allen e. Thompson, 11 Humphrey's (Tennessee) Rep., 538.

- It is stated to have been doubted whether the derivative domicil of a
child, acquired by his parents' adopting a new domicil during his minority
different from that of the child's birth, should be considered the child's
original domicil, in such a case. MeLaren's Lanc of Wille and Surcession.
I., p. 6, \& 12.


## Official or compulsory change of residence.

302. A change of residence, made in pursuance of official duty, , or made upon compulsion,' except in case of personal incapacity, provided for in this Chapter. does not change the domicil.

But any person having capacity to change his domicil, who, upon such change of residence, becomes subject to the jurisdiction of the country in which he re-
sides, may acquire a domicil by residing there, with the intention of making that country his home.
${ }^{1}$ Wratlake. Primite Inf. Iatr. $\stackrel{\perp}{ } 44$, states the following rules in reference to official domicil :
" An oftice which requires residence, confers a domicil in that place in which the holder is lound to reside." Vinder this rule, he instances an ecclesiastical cure, and a person in the East India service.
"But ambassadors and consuls retain the domicil of the country which they represent or serve." It is well settled, however, that consuls may acquire a domicil for many purposes in the place of residence; and the rule stated in the above article, allowing all otlicers subject to the local jurisdiction to do so, is suggested as embodying the principle that ought to control, whatever be the class of oflicers.

It was held, in Sharpe o. ('rispin, is Lave Journal, (Probate, $17 ; 1$ Eng. Late Rep., (Probute of Dirores,) 611, that the mere residence in a foreign country as a public ollicer, gives rise to no inference of a domicil in that country; but, (as was also held, in Heath $v$. Samson, 14 Benran's Rep., 441, if one already there domiciled and resident, accept an oflice in the public service of another country, he does not thereby destroy his domicil. The acceptance of an irrevocable appointment for life, and a change of residence accordingly, sufficiently proves an intent to change the domicil. 4 Phillimore's Int. Lau, S̊: 104, 105.
${ }^{2}$ Burton c. Fisher, 1 Miluard, 183 ; Westlake, 53 ; Boileur, I., p. 220 ; Duranton, I., no. 373, p. 303; C'hatreau sur Carré Pr., 337; Hardy v. De Leon, 5 Teras Rep., D3才; Re Duchesse d'Orleans, 1 Sirabey \& Tristram's Rep., 253, (Lunatic;) Hepburn v. Skirving, 9 Weckly Rep., 64 ; 4 Phillimore, $p .127$, et seq.

An exception, where the prospect of return is excluded, (e. g., in the case of banishment for life,) is contended for by Westlake, S. 53, and Phil limore, vol. 4, § 191.

Duranton, (supra, very properly restricts the exception to the municipal domicil of the party. So the quasi compulsion arising from ill health does not prevent the change. Haskins $x$. Mathews, 8 De Gex, MacN. d ('.., 13; Hegeman v. Fox, 31 Barbour's (New York) Rep., 483.

The domicil of a slave is that of his master while the slave continues such and remains within the territorial limits of a nation whose laws recognize the condition of slavery. Phillimore's Lete of Domicil, ch. $\%$, S. M: Morlin, Ripertoire de Jurixpmudence, 6, p. 229: Exclavage, (5th ed.,) 11. p. i5: ( 7 Opinious of U. S.Attorneys-General, p. 278; [Cushing, A. G.;]) 2 Hurd on Frecdom and Bondage, p. 77t. But it is not necessary to make any provision for slavery.

What tav determines change of domicil.
303. The laws then in force ${ }^{1}$ of the nation within whose territory a person takes up his residence, ${ }^{2}$ determine the age at which he may choose a domicil therein,

## the mental capacity requisite therefor, ${ }^{\circ}$ and what constitutes freedom and good faith.

${ }^{1}$ Although no authority han been found for thin application of the rule againat the retroactive effect of laww, there meemn to twe two reamon for making thin eane an exception to that rule.

- The exinting rulen would perhapw refer thin quewtion to the law of the country where the former domicil was, (nee notew below; but it to oug. gented by this artiele to permit each nation to determine, throughtite own lawx, who have capacity to acquire a dotaicil within tem serriury. It hae teen held, that one who han abandoned hin residence, and departed from the State with intent to meek a domicil elsewhere, in to be regarded an having loet hia domicil in the former State, for the parpowen of caxation. Colton e. Inhabitants of Long Mendow, 04 Masuchuerts Rep., 5 sts.

But it in necemary. for purposen of nuccemsion and sonse othent, wo conader the character of one domicil as elinging to the pernon until anew one has been acquired : and an uniform application of this principle to all questions seems desirable.
${ }^{3}$ Fielis, Livre 1., Titre 1, no. 33, tome 1, p. 81 ; no. 28. p. 57. In Hies tand r. Kuns, 8 Blackford. (Ind.) 345, a minor domiciled in Ohio, where the age of majority was eighteein, was held to have capacity to acquire a domicil of election at eighteen in Indiana, where the age of majority it iwenty-one. It cannot be doubted, "that under those nystems of law " which recognize the distinction of pupilarity and majority, a minor be"yond the age of pupilarity han the capacity of acquiring a domicil for "himelf." Melaren's Lave of Wills and Succession, \$12, p. 6; Arnott e. Groom, Srevion Cuses, 2nd series, vol. IX., p. 142,24 Nov., 1846 ; Bratine, I., 7. 14 ; Robertson, Perconal Succession, p. 201 : Stephens 0. MeFarland, 8 Iried Equily Rep., 444.
It has been denied, however, that the law of his new domicil would incapacitate him from the time of the change. Sacigny. (Guthrie's Trans. lation.) p. 125 ; Puetita Vorkesungen, 2, § 113, s. 251, (5th ed.) That it would, was held in Hiestand c. Kuns, (supra,) following Sory. Conflich of Lave, 8867,69 . It certainly would not, if his subeequent remoral was to his original domicil.

- A person incapable in other respecta may still have capacity to change his domiell. Concord e. Rumney, 45 Neve Hampelire Rep., 428 ; Holyoke 0. Haskins, 5 Pickering's (Masachuocts) Rep., 26.
${ }^{1}$ An interdicted minor cannot change his domicil. Fulis, I., p. 58, no. 28, note 2. (His reference to Voet ad Pand., LIJb. 5. Tit. I. \& 100, is not in point.)

It was held, in Sharpe \& Sharpe e. Criapin, Eing. Lase Rep., Prebate of Dinoree, vol. I., p. 611," If a man at the time he attains his majority is of unsound mind, and remains in that state continuously up wo the time of his death, the incapacity of minority never liaving been followed by adult capacity, will continue to confer upon the father the right of chotre in the matter of domicil for his son, and a change of domicil by the father will uanally produce a similar change of domicil as regards the lanatic son."

Nationality not affected.
304. A change of domicil does not necessarily effect a change of national character.

Natural allegiance fixes the political status of an individual, and the law of the domicil determines his cicil status. By Lord Westbury. To suppose that for a change of domicil there must be a change of natural allegiance, is to confound the political and the civil atatus, and to destroy the distinction between patriat and domicilium. Udny ce. Vdny. Late Rep., 1 House of Iords Sc. Cast, 441. Haldane o. Eck ford, Lanc Rep., 8 Equity Cas., 631; Whicker v. Hume, 13 Beacun's Rep., 401 ; Stanley $v$. Bernes. 3 Haggard's Fecl. Rep., 373, 44\%, (reversing Curling v. Thornton, 2 Addams' Rep., 6:) 25 Beawan's Rep., 232 ; Felix, I., p. 58, note 7: 123, note; 133, note 2; McLaren's Lanc of Wills and Succesxion, p. 11, 今21; White c. Brown, 1 Wallace, Jr.'s U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep., 265.

To the contrary, Folix. I., p. 58, note 29; Marcy. cited Wheaton. (6th ed.,) p. 132 ; Heffler, (3rd ed..) p. 109 ; Re ('assdeville, 33 Lave Journ., Exch., 306 ; Attorney. (ieneral $v$. Blucker. 34 Lat Journ., Exch., 29.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

## EFFECT OF CHANGE OF DOMICIL.

Abticle 305. Change not retroactive.
306. Law of new domicil applies.

Change not retroactive.
305. A change of domicil has no retroactive effect.

Voet ad Pandect., Lib. 10, Tit. 2, § 29.
Either on the domicil of the person himself, or on the derivative domicils dependent on his. Allen $v$. Thompson, 11 Humphrey's (Tennessee) Rep., 538, 539 ; Bell v. Kennedy, Lavo Rep., 1 Scotch Appeals, 321.

Law of new domicil applies.
306. Upon a change of domicil, the law of the new domicil has thenceforth' the like effect upon the person acquiring it as the law of his preceding domicil had theretofore produced.
${ }^{1}$ Foelix, Droit Intern. Pricé, I., no. 28, p. 58, and note 2.
${ }^{3}$ Story, Conflict of Laks, © 69.

## TITI.EVIII.

## National Jlbisdlction

```
Antict.& 30%. "Jurindletlon" deflseed.
    808. Territorial jurindiction.
    s09. Fixtra-territorial jurisdiction.
    310. "Law of place " deffred.
    811. Conflict of concurrent jurimdiction
    312. Subjecte of jurimdiction.
    313. L.imits of excrcise an to forelgzerm
    314. Foreign military and saval forcem.
```

"Jurisdiction" defined.
307. The jurisdiction of a nation is its authority to govern, whether by legislative, executive or judicial power. It is either :

1. Territorial ; or,
2. Extra-territorial.

## Territorial jurisdiction.

308. The territorial jurisdiction of a nation extends over all the places within its geographical limits, as defined by Chapter IV., on Termitory.

Extra-territorial jurisdiction.
309. The extra-territorial jurisdiction of a nation, exclusive or concurrent, extends over the following places:

1. All the land or water included within the lines of its fleets or armies, ' exclusive in respect to its own members, and concurrent' with that of the nation owning the territory, in respect to members of that or of any other nation;
2. All ships bearing its national character, exclusive' except in the case of a private' ship within the limits of another nation, and in that case, concurrent with such nation ;
3. All territory discovered or colonized by the nation, as provided in Title II., on Extra-Territoriar. Action, to the extent of its occupation thereof, as therein defined : and,
4. All places occupied by its marine telegraphs, light-houses, buoys, and other structures or property, not within the territory of any other nation, for the purposes of protecting such property and structures, and redressing injuries thereto.
${ }^{1} 1$ Phillimore's International Lane, 215.
${ }^{2}$ This qualification is obviously" necessary.
${ }^{2}$ As to public ships, the jurisdiction is exclusive. 1 Phillimore's Iut. Ianc, 367 ; The Santissima Trinidad, 7 Wheaton's U. s. stupreme ('\%. Rep., D83. The restriction to ships of tear, made by the language of some anthorities, it does not seem desirable to retain. Fxceptions in the case of prize are reserved, to be treated in the Book on Wils.
${ }^{4}$ In this article a ship is regarded as a place, although vessels at sea are no longer considered as part of the territory of a nation. The flag protects nothing but the vessel, and only designates to what portion of the globe she belongs. Jurisdiction over what is on board ship is therefore extra-territorial. Johnson $v$. Twenty-one Bales, \&c., 2 Paine's U. S. Wircuit ('t. Rep., 601 ; S. C., 6 American' Lavo Journal, 68.
${ }^{8} 1$ Phill. Int. Lanc., 373; The Exchange $r$. McFaddon, 7 C'rencli's U. S. Rep., 116. To the contrary, Mahler $r$. Transportation Co.
"Law of place" defined.
5. The expression, "law of place," as used in this Code, signifies the law of the nation or State' within whose jurisdiction, ${ }^{2}$ territorial or extra-territorial, for the time being, the transaction is had, ${ }^{2}$ or the subject exists.
' A question may arise here as to the proper rule to be applied on a question on which the local and the national or federal tribunals are at rariance, as is the case upon some subjects of commercial law in the American courts.
? The definition is not limited to the exclusive jurisdiction, as there is a small class of cases in which the jurisdictions are concurrent, yet do not conflict. The case of conflict is provided for by the next article.
${ }^{3}$ There is another qualification which, according to some authorities, should be added, viz: that which was the law at the time of the transaction. But we have thought that the effect of a change in the law is a municipal and not an international question; and this article leaves the effect of a change of the law of place to be determined according to that law.

Gonflict of concurrent jurisdiction．
311．In case of a conflict in the exercise of the con－ current jurisdiction，defined in article 309 ，the territo－ rial jurisdiction is paramount to the extra－territorial， except where otherwise provided in this Code．

Permons attached to a foreign public ahip do sot by landing come under the territorial juridiction．Wheaton＇s Eilements of International Iave． v．1．pt．2，ch． 2 ：Ortolan，Rigles Int，et Diph．de la Mer．r．1，195．
subjects of jurisdiction．
The jurisdiction of a nation extends to the fol－ lowing subjects：

1．To all persons and things in the places subject to its jurisdiction，territorial or extra－territorial，except as otherwise provided in this Code；

2．To its own property in other places，except as otherwise provided in this Code ；

3．To all its own members and their property in any other places，in the cases provided in this Code，and in no other；

4．To the regulation of all transactions completed within its limits between living persons ：and，

5．To the regulation of the devolution，at death，of all the movable property of all persons domiciled therein at the time of their death．

Limits of exercise as to foreigners．
313．The jurisdiction of a nation，so far as it affects foreigners or foreign nations，is to be exercised subject to the provisions of this Code．

## Foreign military and naval forces．

314．In the case of military or naval forces of one nation occupying，or in transit through，the territory of another nation，by the consent of the latter，the ju－ risdiction of the former over the members of such forves is subject to the conditions of the consent．

## TITLE IX.

DUTIES OF A NATION TO FOREIGNERS.<br>Chapter XXIV. Personal condition of foreigners.<br>XXV. Personal rights.<br>XXVI. Rights of property.<br>XXVII. Wrecks.

This title is restricted to those rights of foreigners which are secured by the Code only to members of nations uniting in it. The numerous rights and obligations which are applicable to all nations whatever, and all foreigners, of whatever nationality, are among the subjects treated under Division Second, concerning Private international Law.

## CHAPTER XXIV.

## PERSONAL CONDITION OF FOREIGNERS.

> Article 315. Who are foreigners.
> 316. Laws of a nation are applicable to foreigners.
> 31\%. Duty to administer justice.

Who are foreiguers.
315. The national character of persons as foreigners or members of the nation, and the domicil of foreigners, are defined in Titles VI. and VII.

Lavs of a nation are applicable toforeigners.
316. Except as is herein otherwise expressly provided, foreigners, while within the places subject to the jurisdiction of a nation, are, equally with the members of the nation, subject to its laws, and entitled to the protection thereof, for their persons and their property.

Ferguson on Murriaje and Divorce, 57 ; Reeding $v$. Smith, 2 Maggard's Consistory Rep., pp. 371, 381-386, per Lord Stowell; 1 Kent's Commen-
taries, 36 ; Woolsey's International Inve, 96 : BluntseNli, Droit International Codifle, \& 988.

The right of rewort to the tribunals is defined by the Chapter coneernIng Jumctal. Powni in Civil. Canke, in Part V1., entitied Adminterks. thos on Juxtice.

Duty to administer justice.
317. It is the duty of a nation to administer justice, where foreigners or other nations are concerned, in the cases specified in Part VI., entitled Administbatios of Justice.

## CHAPTER XXV.

## PERSONAL RIGHTS OF FOREIGNERS.

Section I. Rights of residence.
II. Of occupation.
111. Of religion.

## SECTION I.

## rights of residence.

## Article 318. Commercial intercourse.

319. Free entry of foreigners.
320. 'Traffic in laborers.
321. Exclusion.
322. Passports and safe conducta.
323. Effect of safe conduct.
324. Effect of passjort.
325. Passports not to be required.
326. Armed expeditions.

32\%. Searches and selzures.
328. Unusual burdens not to be imposed.
329. Removal.

Commercial intercourse.
318. No nation has the right to interdict, absolutely, the entrance of foreigners into its $\mid$ territory, or to close the country to general commerce.

Bluntschli, Droit Intern. Codifié, §§ 381.
The origimal doctrine of international law does not sustain this position, but whatever doubts may have been raised on the point are now practically settled by many treaties of friendship and commerce which have established among ('hristinn nations the rule stated in the article.

## Free entry of foreigners.

319. Members of any nation, with their families and property, may freely enter, reside and become domiciled in any other nation, subject to the provisions of this Code, and of special compacts, and subject to the revenue, sanitary, police and other laws of the country, so far as the same are applicable to foreigners.

This is according to the rule stated by Kent, (1 Commentaries, 35), as the one which is now generally settled in commercial treaties. Vattel, (Lave of Nations, Bk. ㄹ, ch. $8 . \leq: S^{3} 100,101$,) after speaking of the right of the lord of the territory to impose conditions, says: but in Europe the access is everywhere free to every person who is not an enemy of the state, except in some countries, to ragabonds and outcasts; upon the tacit condition, however, of obedience to the laws.

The exceptions of paupers, criminals, enemies, \&c., are provided for in Chapter XVII., concerning Asylem, and in Book Second, on War.

## Traffic in laborers.

320. Engaging, transporting, or employing laborers taken from any country whatever, and bound to service, whether for a fixed term or otherwise, excepting emigrants removing by their own free and intelligent consent, is a public offense ; and all agreements for service entered into in reference to such traffic are void.
Suggested by the act of Congress of the United States. Feb. 19, 1862, ( 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 340,) to prohibit the "Coolie trade," which provides, that no citizen of the United States, or foreigner coming into or residing within the same, shall, for himself, or for any other person whomsoever, either as master, factor, owner, or otherwise, build, equip, load, or otherwise prepare, or send to sea, or navigate any ship, for the purpose of procuring from China, or from any port or place therein, or from any other port or place, the inhabitants or subjects of China, known as "Coolies," to be transported to any foreign country, port or place whatever, to be disposed of, or sold, or transferred for any term of years, or for any time whatever, as servants or apprentices, or to be held to service or labor; or take on board of any ship, or receive, or transport any such persons, for the purpose of so disposing of them; or knowingly be engaged in anywise aiding or abetting therein. But nothing in the act is to be deemed
or conatrued to apply to, or affect any free and voluntary emignation of any Chinese subject.

## Exclusion.

321. No nation can expel the members of another nation withont special canse, which must be explained to the nation the members of which are expelled. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

But this and the last article do not affect the right of a nation to punish crime by transportation or banish. ment. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
'This is the rulestated by Heffer, Droit International, 骂 33. chtad in Wodery, Intern. Latic. p. 94, © 6.
It is proposed as a more reasonable and liberal rule than that lald down by Phillimore, Intern. Lave, vol. I., p. 40\%.

Phillimore says, that " it is a received maxim of International law that the government of a State may prohilit the entrance of strangetm into the country, and may therefore regulate the conditionm under which they shall be allowed to remain in it, or may require and compel their depart ure from it." Id., p. 233.

Martens says, that the sovereign has a right to forbld forriguen to en ter his dominions, without express permission first obtaised, even if sueh entry be not projudicial to the State ; but no Eluropean power now refunes in time of peace, to grant permiswion; nor in it even necemary for wuels subject to ask permission. Marteris Lue of Nitions, Bk. 3, ch. 3, है 2.

These restrictive rules, however, are founded upon the old doctine that one of the functions of government was to supprese the free movements of populations, and that the subjects of a State had no right to leave it without the assent of the government. See Marten's Latir of liations. Bk. 3, ch. 3, 今, 6 .

Those rules are not in harmony with the objects of international law. as now extablished.

From Vattel's reasoning it should seem that a nation is authorized, and indeed boand to open its doors, and give protection to all strangen who come to it, except those who, by the quality and frequency of their crimes. are entitled to a home nothere. but who, being enemies of the rehole Aumasn family, are subject to punishment wherever they go. 1 Opinions of $C^{\circ}$ \& Attorneys.General, 514.

Subject to the restrictions rendered necessary by criminal justicer, "the right of protecting all who may come within the bounds of an fondeperd ent community, has been always held one of the moet valuable prenoga tives of sovereignty, and any invasion of th has ever been metrenausly contested." Ward's Late of Nations, vol. 2. p. 319.

And the object of protection sectis sufficiently attainod by altowing tbe exclusion upon definite reasons assigned, as in the formgoing arsicte

- This qualification is suggested by the treaty of ertendolifp, Ac., in


The treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between France and Peru, March 9, 1861, Art. IIL., (8 De Clercq, 193,) provides, that the members of one nation cannot be arrested nor expelled from the other country, nor transported from one place to another within it, without sufficient reason, nor without the observance of the legal formalities and requirements; also, that the causes that render necessary such measures, and the documents which establish them, should be at a proper day communieated to the diplomatic or consular agents of their nation respectively ; and in all cases, sufficient time should be accorded to persons concerned to present their defense, and to take, with the diplomatic or consular agents, the necessary measures for the protection of their property, and the property of others in their possession. These provisions, however, are not to hinder the exccution of judgment according to the laws of the country.
To somewhat similar effect are the treaties of friendship, commerce and navigation between France and

Honduras, Feb. 22, 1856, Art. IV., i De Clercq, 10.
San Salvador, Jan. 2, 1858, "" V., 7 Id., 362.

## Passports and safe-conducts.

322. A nation may, in its discretion, give passports to any of its members,' and safe-conducts to any persons whomsoever.

Safe-conducts may be issued by the executive government of the nation. Passports may be issued by the executive government at home, or by its authorized public agents abroad, ${ }^{2}$ as provided in articles 123 and 173.
${ }^{1}$ Dana says, that perhaps a passport might be issued to a person in the employ of the government, though not a member of the nation; but it is suggested that that should not be allowed.

By the United States Consular Regulations, © 102, persons who have merely declared their intention to become citizens are not citizens of the United States, within the meaning of the law giving to consuls power to issue passports; for such declaration has not the effect of naturalization.
${ }^{2}$ The issue in time of war is further provided for in Book Second, on Wir.

## Effect of safe-conduct.

323. A safe-conduct must be everywhere respected as a protection to the person to whom it is issued, except from liability to punishment for crime committed after its issue.

## Effect of passport.

324. A passport is a certificate that the holdor is a member of the nation by which it is given.
It must, until revoked, be taken in every other mation as conclusive evidence of such membership, subject to the local regulations for verification; and also subject, in the administration of justice in civil cases, to the rules of evidence applicablo in the tribunals.

See a somewhat different provision as to effect of pansportm of wipm. in Article 270.

The United Statea have treaties with neveral Powern regulating the righte of naturalized citizens of the C'nited Statex, on their resurn to thels native lands. The protection which the pessoport gives in regulated in rech such case by the terms of the treaty. (oples of theme several treation are given in Appendix No. 9, of $U, S$. Consular Regulations, $15 \% 0$.

Certificates of citizenship have herctofore been inwued by diplomatle and consular agents of the United States to personm reaiding in foreign lands, and claiming to be American citizens. But the lswue of auch cer. tificaten, except in the form of passports, is now expressly probibited. U. S. Cons. Reg., 102.

## Passports not to be required.

325. No nation, unless engaged in war, shall require passports from members of the other nations.

This rule, already practically adopted by several nations, is proposed as a reasonable and convenient one for all the nations uniting in the Code. Some of the treaties, however, sanction the requirement of paksports ; for instance, that of the United States with the Swiss Confederation, Nur. \%. 1850. Art. IV., 11 U. S. Stat. at L., 589.

During the Franco-Prussian war of 1870, France required passports duly certifed by the French authorities, from all persons landing in France, without respect to age, sex, or nationality. Those not thus provided were liable to be turned back or detained.

## Armed expeditions.

326. The liberty of entry into a nation extends only to individuals and peaceful companies. Armed foreigners are not entitled to admission into the territory of a nation, without express permission first obtained.

Marten's Lave of Nations, Bk. 3. ch. 3. 今8 2 : Bluntachii, Droit Insern. Coditue, §3s.

Searches and seizures.
327. The right of foreigners to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searehes and seizures. must not be violated, and domiciliary visits cannot be made in respect to them, without legal warrant.

This provision is from the treaty between Great Britain and
Colombia. Feb. 16, 1866, Art. XVIII., $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Accounts and Papers, 1867, } \\ \text { vol. LXXIV., (36.) }\end{array}\right.$
Italy, Aug. 6, 1863, " XVII., $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Accounts and Papers, 1864, } \\ \text { vol. LXVI., (35.)]. }\end{array}\right.$
Constitution of the United States, 4th Amendment.
Treaty between the United States and
Hayti, Nov. 3. 1864, Art. VIII., 13 U. S. Stat. at L., 711.
The latter provides, that "there shall be no examination or inspection of the books, papers, or accounts of the citizens of either country residing within the jurisdiction of the other, without the legal order of a competent tribunal or judge."

The treaty between the United States and Peru, July 20, 1851, Art. XIX., ( 10 U.S. Stat. at L., 934 ,) provides, that citizens of one nation within the other shall not be liable to imprisonment without formal commitment under a warrant signed by a legal authority, except in cases flagrantis delicti, and shall in all cases be brought up for examination within twenty. four hours after arrest, and if not so examined shall be discharged.

Unusual burdens not to be imposed.
328. No other or more burdensome conditions can be imposed on foreigners, or on their enjoyment of the rights mentioned in this Code, than on the members of the nation where they reside.

But this provision does not extend to the exercise of political rights, nor to a participation in the property of communities, corporations, or institutions of which such foreigners shall not have become members or coproprietors.

This provision is from the treaty between the United States and the Swiss Confederation, Nov. 25, 1850, Art. I., 11 U. S. Stat. at L., 588. Many other treaties contain a provision to the same general effect. A clause securing equality of taxes and imposts is contained in most of the other treaties of the United States; but that subject is more specifically regulated by Chapter XXX., entitled Taxation.

The uniformity of charges upon vessels is more specifically treated in the Title on Imposts, in Part III., entitled Cnifonm Regllations for Mutcal Convenience.


#### Abstract

- The treaty between France and Switworiand, concepning the establiols.   provides, that Frenchanen, withous distinction of faith, whall Im trowled and treated in racls ranton of Switzerland fin the rame manner as olzall ber thome of Chimetian falth whocome froto the other cantonn of switzerlands. and whall not be subjected to any othop burtens than ape much maembero of other cantons, and declares the rule for the Swins In Franee to be reciprocal.


Removal.
329. Foreigners have the right at all times freely to quit the territory.
BluntaeMil, Droit Intern. Coxliffs, \& 392.
Removal in case of war is provided for in Brok Second, on Wiks.
SECTION II.
mants of ocevpation
Anticies 830. Commercial occupations
331. Vocations generally.

## Commercial occupations.

330. Members of any nation are at liberty, subject to the provisions of this Code, freely to come with their ships and other property to all resorts of commerce in the territory of the other nations; to acquire real and personal property for any lawful occupation : to manage their own affairs themselves or by such agencies as they please ; and to carry on trade lawfully in tho country.

This article is suggested by the following treatiow, by which lis nub stance is to a greater or less degree already adopted between the bations specified.

Treaty between Great Britain and
Snlvador, Oct. 24, 1862. Accounts de Papers, 1seas, vol L.XTV . (6:)
Nicaragua, Feb. 11,1860, Id., 1s60, vol. LXV'11., (30),
Mexico. Feb, 26, 1820, 3 Hertell, 9.1
Colombia, Feb. 16. 18663. Accounts of Pispers, $146 \%$, vol 1.XX15. (38)

Belgium, July 23, 1862, Id., 1863, vol. LeXXIt , (45.)

And see Treaty between Great Britain and
Prussia, August 16, 1865), Accounts \& Papers, 1866, vol. LXXVI., (38.)
Treaty between the United States and
Dominican Republic, Feb. 8, 1867, Art. III., VI., 15 U.S. Stat.at L., 60.
Guatemala, March 3, 1849, "III.,IV.,XI., 10 Id., 874.
San Salvador. Jan. 2, 1850," III., IV., 10 Id., 891.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Argentine Confed- } \\ \text { eration, }\end{array}\right\}$ July $27,1853, "$ II., VIII., 10 Id., 1006, 1008.
Nicaragua, June 21, 1867. Art. II., 15 Id., 169, 171.
Honduras, July 4, 1864," II., 13 Id., 700.
Hayti, Nov. 3, 1864," VI., 13 Id., 713.
Bolivia, May 13, 1858, " III., 12 Id., 1005.
Venezuela, Aug. 27,1860, 12 Id., 1144-1147.
Swiss Confederation, Nov. 25, 1850," I., 11 Id., 588.
Two Sicilies, Oct. 1, 1855. $\quad 11$ Id., 643-647.
Persia,
Costa Rica,
Dec. 13, 1856 11 Id., 709, 710.

Peru. July 26, 1851, 10 Id., 926-930.
Hanover, June 10, 1846, $\quad 9$ Id., 864. Dec. 12. 1846," III., 9 Id., 882.
New Granada, $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { ecklenburg- } \\ \text { Schwerin, }\end{array}\right\}$ Dec. 9, 1847," X., 9 Id., 918.
Hawaiian Islands, Dec. 20, 1849, Art. VIII., IX., 9 Id., 979.
Treaty between France and
Austria, Dec. 11, 1866, 9 De Clercq, 646.
Netherlands, July $7,1865,9$ Id., 337.
Nicaragua, April 11, 1859, 7 Id., 586.
Russia, June 14, 1857, 7 Id., 278.
San Salvador. Jan. 2, 1858, 7 Id., 362.
Honduras, Feb. 22, 1856, 7 Id., 10.
And see 5 De Clercq. 602.
Citizens and foreigners are also placed on an equality in respect to property and business, by the following;

Treaty of commerce and navigation between France and
The Free Cities of Ln$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { bec, Bremen, and } \\ \text { Hamburg. }\end{array}\right\}$ March 4, 1865, Art. I., 9 De Clercq, 187.
The Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Schwerin. (Extended to the) (irand Duchy of

June 9, 1865, Art. I., 9 Id., 295. Mecklenburg Stre litz,

Treaty of commerce between France and
Austria, 11 December, 1866, 9 De Clercq. 646.
These references might be extended by enumerating earlier treaties, but enough are given to show the virtual abandonment of the old prin-
ciple of the disabilities of allens. Many of the tmaties oimply mutherige hiring.

## Vocations generall!.

331. Members of any nation have the same rights of following any vocation in any of the others, as the members of the latter But this provision does not extend to officers and official trusts; and is subject, also. to the right of the State to determine by law what persons may engage in particular occupations within it.

The treatien referred to under Article 399 do not generally entend the stipulations for righta of occupation to other rocations than those of लonnserce. The Treaty between the Linited Statew and the Swine Confed eration, however, extends it to all professions. Sies, alms, Traty betwren France and Peru, March 9, 1861, Art. 11., 8 De Clereq. 193.

Hy the Treaty between the U'nited sitates and The Two sledlies, Oct. 1. 1855, (11 U.S. Stat. at L., 639. Art. V'I. ) It in provided that the reciprodity established shall not extend to premiume which cither nation may grans to their own citizens or subjects so encourage the building of shlfe to sall under thelr own flag.

For a presentation of the exlating rules in Fingland, France, and meveral other countries, as to the disabilities of aliens, and a hintory of the relaxa. tion of these restrictions, see Alexander Coedburn on Vationalify, ch. 5.

## SECTION III.

KlaHTs OF RE1.1010N.

Ahticles 332. Freedom of conncienen
S33. Sepulture.
Freedom of conscience.
332. Foreigners cannot be molested, prejudiced. or questioned, for their religious belief, or prac. tice in worship, or be compelled to conform to the religions worship of others; but they must not show disrespect towards the religion, laws, and established customs of the nation in which they may br, and must

## not indulge in practices inconsistent with the good order and safety of the State.

This is the American doctrine, and is submitted as the true rule upon this subject, and the one towards which all nations are steadily tending. It is already embodied substantially in the treaty provisions of many nations of various religious character.

| Treaty | and |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Salvador, | Jan. 2, 1850, A | XIV., 10 U.S.St |
| Guatemala, | Mar. 3, 1849, | XIII., $10 \mathrm{Id} ., 878$. |
| Paraguay. | Feb. 4, 1859, | XIV., 12 Id., 1098. |
| Nicaragua, | June 21, 1867, | XII., 15 Id., 67. |
| Hayti, | Nor. 3, 1864, | VIII., 13 Id., 714. |
| Venezucla, | Aug.27, 1860, | IV., 12 Id., 1145. |
| onduras, | July 4, 1864, | XII., 13 Id., 706. |
| Bolivia, | May 13, 1858, | XIV., 12 Id., 1011. |
| Japan. | July 29, 1858, | VIII., 12 Id., 1058. |
| Costa Rica, | July 10, 1851, | XII., 10 Id., 923. |
| Peru, | July 26, 1851, | XX., 10 Id., 935. |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Argentine Con- } \\ \text { federation, } \end{array}\right\}$ | July 27, 1853, | XIII., 10 Id., 1011. |
| New Granada, Hawaiian Islands, | Dec. 12, 1846, " Dec. 20, 1849, | $\begin{aligned} \text { XIV., } & 9 \text { Id., } 887 . \\ \text { XI., } & 9 \text { Id., } 981 . \end{aligned}$ |

The treaty with Paraguay restricts the right of worship, by protecting aliens in the proper exercise of their religion in private, in their own dwellings, or in the dwellings or offices of consuls or vice-consuls of the United States.

The treaty with the Argentine Confederation, July 27, 1853, (10 $\mathbb{U}$. S. Stat. at L., 1011, Art. XIII.,) adds: or in their own churches or chapeis, which they shall be at liberty to build and maintain, in conve nient situations, to be approved by the local government.

The extent of the rule established by the comity of nations, as it was stated by Tuiss, ( 1 Intern. Lave, 309, §204,) is this:
" A foreign public minister is entitled to the free exercise of his religion, within his house, for himself and his countrymen, subject to the right of the sovereign of the country to forbid acts which make it an object of public notice."

But doubtless the existing rule is more liberal, and might be justly stated thus:
"Foreigners are at liberty to adopt any religion, and to practice the rites and observe the ceremonies thereof, except so far as such religion, or its rites or ceremonies are prohibited by the positive law of the nation in which they are."

This rule is recognized by the following treaties:

Treaty between Great Britain and


San Salvador, Oct. 24, 1802, "XVIIt.. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Aceounte and Pripero, 18cas. } \\ \text { vol. I.XXV., (4?) }\end{array}\right.$

See the French treaties, elted under the next article.

## Sepulture.

333. Foreigners may be buried in the country in which they die, either in the ordinary cemeteries, or in burial places of their own ; and such burial places foreigners are at liberty, without distinction on account of religion, race or nationality, to establish and maintain, in situations approved by the local government.

- Funerals, sepulchres of the dead, and ceremonies of exhumation,' shall not be molested or disturbed.
This provision is in substance from the treaties between the United States and

Nicaragua, June 21, 1867, Art. XII., 15 U.S. Stat. at L..(Tr..)67.
Honduras, July 4. 1884, " XII., 13 Id., 206.
Hayti, Nov. 3, 1864, - VIll., 13 Id., 114.
Bolivia, May 13, 1858, "- XIV., 12 Id., 1011.
Venezuela, Aug.27, 1860, ". IV., 12 Id., 1145.
Paraguay, Feb. 4, 1859, ". XIV., 12 Id., 1008.
Guatemala, Mar. 3, 1849, - XIII., 10 Id., 878.
San Salvador, Jan. 2, 1850, " XIV., 10 Id., 803.
Costarica, July 10, 1851, " Xil., 10 Id., ge3.
Peru, July 26, 1851, " XX., 10 Id., 935.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Argentine Con- } \\ \text { federation, }\end{array}\right\}$ July 27, 1853, " XIII., 10 Id., 1011.
New Granada, Dec. 12, 1846, " XIV.. 9 Id., 88 :
And the treaties between Great Britain and Colombia, Salvador and Nicaragua, referred to at the end of note to the preceding article.
Some of the sreaties of France contain similar provisions. See that with

Peru, March 9, 1861, 8 De Clereq, 193.
Nicaragua, April 9, 1859. 7 Id., 586.
${ }^{1}$ Treaty between France and
New Granada, May 15, 1856, Art. VII., i De Clereq. 102.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

## RIGH'TS OF PROPERTY.

Artictar 334. Foreigners enabled to enjoy property.
335. Foreigners enabled to transmit property.
336. Right to remove property.
337. Absence of heirs.
338. Death of persons who are foreigners, or not domiciled.
339. Consul may send home assets of seamen, \&c.
340. Consul entitled to administer.
341. Security not required.
342. Local authorities to administer in absence of consul and all other authorized persons.
343. Notice to be given of successions in which foreigners are interested.
344. Secretary of legation to act, if there be no consul.

Foreigners enabled to enjoy property.
334. Subject to the right of eminent domain, defined by article 50, a foreigner, equally with a member of the nation, may take, hold, transfer, and otherwise dispose of property, movable or immovable.
This and the next article are from the Civil Code, Reported for New York.
The convention bet ween France and Austria, for the regulation of successions, December 11, 1866, ( 9 De Clercq, p. 675,) provides, that the members of either nation may give and receive by will, legacy, gift or otherwise, or by succession from intestates, property situated in the other country, in the same manner as the members of the nation, and shall not be charged with heavier rates of succession or transfer than those which are imposed, under like circumstances, upon the members of the nation, and that they may have their wills drawn up by the consuls of their nation.
The same rule as to succession is, in substance, embodied in many treaties, though in some as to personalty only.

```
Soe the treaty betweon Frasco and
    Peru,
        Mar. 9, 1861, 8 De Clereq, 103.
    Nicaragua, Apr. 11, 1850, Art. V1., 7 Id., 580.
    San Salvador, Jan. 2,1658, ". VIll., 7 Id., 902.
    New Grunada, May 1856." VIII., 7 Id., 102.
    Sandwich Lslands, Oet. 20, 1857, " VI., 7 Id., 852.
Treaty between the United Staten and
    Eweden, April 3,1783, Art. VI., 8 U. S. Stat.at L., 64
    San Salvador, Jan. 2. 1850, "• XII., 10 /d., 893.
    \(\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Argentine Con- } \\ \text { federation, }\end{array}\right\}\) July 27, 1853, "] IX., \(10 / \mathrm{Id} ., 1000\).
    Now Granada, Dec. 12, 1846, " XII., 9 Id., 886.
```

The treaty between the United States and The Two Sicilies, Oct. 1. 18\%. Art.VII., (11 U.S. Stat. at L., 630.) provides, that as to any member of either mation dying within the jurisdiction of the other, his heirs, being citizene or subjects of the other, shall succeed an to the personalty, and either to the real estate, or to the proceeds thereof, whether by testament, or ats intectato.

And other American treaties, recognixing the disability as to real property, ceem to necure the right to sell and take the proceeds.

Many other treaties, which do not authorize aliens to inherit real property, provide that where the alien is, by the local law, incapable of succoeding to an inheritance, he may have a reasonable time to sell the ertate.

Treaty between the United States and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hanover, June 10, 1846, Art. X.,9 } 9 \text { U. S. Stut.at L.,864. } \\
& \text { Portugal, Aug. 26, 1840, ". X11., } 8 \text { Id., } 560 \text {. } \\
& \text { Rusala, } \\
& \text { Dec., 1832, "" X.. } 8 \text { Id.,444. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Others give the longest time therefor allowed by the local law.
Treaty between the United States and
Nicaragua, June 21, 1867, Art. VIII., 15 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr., 59.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Dominican Re- } \\ \text { public, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8,1867, ". V., 15 Id., (Tr.,) 167.
Bolivia, May 18, 1858, " XIL., 12 Id., 1010.
Veneauela, Aug.27,1860, " V., 12 Id., 1146.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { The Swim Con- } \\ \text { federation, }\end{array}\right\}$ Nov. 25, 1850, " V., 11 Id., 590.
Convention between the United States and
Brunswick and Luneburg, Aug. 21, 1854, Art. II., 11 C. S. Stat. at L., 601.
Three years is given by the treaty between France and
Honduras, Feb. 22, 1856, 7 De Clereq, 10.
And between the United States and
Guatemala, Mar. 3. 1849, Art. II., 10 U. S. Seat. at L., 8 fit.
Peru, July 20, 1851. " XV., 10 Id., 959.
Ecuador, June 18, 1899, "• Xil., 8 Id., 535.

Two years is given by the treaty between the United States and
Hesse Cassel, Mar, 26, 1844, Art. II., O U.S. Stat. at L., 818.
Ravaria, Jan. 21.1845, " II.,9 Id., 827.
Saxony, May 14,1844, " II.,9 Itl, 830.
Nassau. May $2 \%, 1846$, " I1., 9 It., 850.
Independent of such trenties, the present rule is that if, by the law of the sifus of any immovables, any person has a capacity or incapacity, as from alienage, to take immovables, such capacity or incapacity will everywhere affect such person as to those immovables. Story, S430. And the American authorities generally extend the same rule to disabilities from any cause. This is opposed by some jurists. See the Titles concerning the Condition of Persons and Property.

The existing disabilities of aliens are discussed in the pamphlet by Sir A. Cockburn, on Nationality, before referred to.

The desirableness of removing these disabilities, in respect to the tenure of real property, was distinctly recognized by the United States, in the treaty with France, Feb. 23, 1853, Art. V1I., 10 U. S. Stat, at L., 996.

The rule proposed removes the disabilities of aliens, in respect to property, only as between the nations uniting in the Code, and their members.

It has been well observed, that the dangers arising from allowing aliens to hold land, are not greater than those arising from allowing them to be fund-holders. Transactions of National Association for Promotion of Social Science, 1861, p. 787.

## Foreigners enabled to transmit property.

335. Title to and interest in property, movable or immovable, may be derived from and through foreigners, in the same manner and with the same effect as from and through members of the nation.

By some systems of law, an inheritance between citizens could not be traced through an alien.

Right to remove property.
336. The movables of a foreigner may be freely removed by him from the territory of the nation, without hindrance or special imposts.

Bluntschli, Droit Intern. Codifié, § 393.
Treaty between the United States and Hanover, 1846, Art. X., 9 U.S. Stat. at L., 864 ; and numerous other treaties. The time allowed for removal, in case of war, is the proper subject for regulation in the Book on War.

Absence of heirs.
337. In defect of heirs, or in their absence from a
country, the property of a deceased forigner is entitled to the same protection as if it were the property of a member of the nation, under the same circumstances.

This proviaton is in mabatabce from the treaty Imetwern lirrat Britain and

Colonbia, Feb. 16, 1866. Accounts and Papero, 1wit, vol I.XXIt
Madagancar, July 8.1866. Id., 1867 . vol. L.XXIS
tialy. Aug. 6. 1868, Id., 1804, vol. I.XVI.
San Salvador, Oct. 24, 1802, Id., 1808 , vol. $1 . X X \mathrm{~T}^{\circ}$.
Sicaragua, Feb. 11, 18e0, Id., 1860, vol. 1.XVIII.
A number of French and American treatien, cited under the other ar ticles, recognize the same principle.

Dealh of persons who are foreigners, or mol dom. iciled.
338. In case of the gleath, within the territory of a nation, of any person not there domiciled, or of a foreigner of whatever domicil. or in case, on the daath of such person or foreigner, without the territory of the nation, his body or movables are brought within its territory, the local authorities must notify the fact to the consul of the nation in which the deceased was domiciled and resident, within the district where the death or arrival takes place; or, if there be no such consul there, then to the nearest consul of such nation.'

If the deceased was a foreigner domiciled within the nation, then such notice must be given to the consul of the nation of which he was a member.'

If the consul first has knowledge of such a fact, he must notify it to the local authorities.

[^19]of that nation, of such domicil; because, at the domicil will be the place of principal administration.

If the domicil be within the nation where the death occurred, the notice shonld be given to the consul of the nation of which the decedent was a member, because his heirs and next of kin are likely to be there, if not present at the place of domicil.

Consul mry, send home assets of seamen, de.
339. If the deceased was a seaman on a private ship, or other inmate thereof except a passenger,' and his private property within the nation, including arrears of pay or other moneys due him, do not exceed five hundred dollars, all the property shall be delivered or paid to the consul, for the benefit of the persons interested.

This and the following provisions are intended to give the consul, in the absence of foreign heirs, $\delta c$., the right to administer in the local courts, according to the ordinary procedure, except in the case of sailors, \&c., where the assets are trifling in amount, in which case the consul need not institute an administration, but may send the property home at once.

The existing rules are quite diverse. The consular convention between France and Portugal, July 11. 1866, Art. X., (9 De C'lercq, 582,) provides that the consuls shall have exclusive charge of the inventory and other administrative acts for the preservation of the movable effects of every kind left by men of the sea and by passengers of their nation who die on land, or on board the ships of their country, whether on the voyage or at the port of destination.

By the English consular regulations, if a seaman dies on a voyage of a British vessel not homeward bound the consul of a port at which it touches may collect the wages and take the other effects, to facilitate the settlement of the estate. Instructions to Consuls, 1856, p. 35, § 91.

This applies to all persons employed on merchant ressels, except the master. Id., p. 37, \& 99.

It is made the duty of consuls also to claim and receive, if possible, the effects of British seamen dying within the consulate, in whatever service they may have been engaged.

It is understood that an arrangement has recently been made between the North German Confederation and England. according to which the effects of a German sailor dying in a British ship, including the balance of his pay, should the entire value not exceed fifty pounds, will be delisered to the North (ierman consul in London, while the property of British sailors dying on North Gierman vessels will be placed in the hands of the English consul of the district in which the crew is discharged.


#### Abstract

1 There seems to be propriety In extetuding thin provisions bos all came mentioned In Article $235^{\circ}$, where the assete arv Iom than the apmetiond sum, instead of restrictiog it to newtuess.

By the treaty betwevn the l'nlted statem and The 'Twonsicilies, Ucs 1 . 1855, Art. Vill., (11 U'. S. Nat, at L.., tia9.) the consul to cotitled to recedve  not made by creditorm, of. Iwing tinado, in legally everrulod


## Consul entilled to administer.

340. In cases other than those provided for in the last article, if the deceased leaves no executor, or per. son interested by succession or will, who, being competent, claims to administer, within the time limited by the law of the place, the consul, in preference to all other persons, shall be authorized by the lowal anthorities to administer the assets, proceeding according to the local law,' but subject to the provisions of Division Second, on Pbivate Internationai. law.'
${ }^{1}$ This is substantiall: the rule stated in the treaty between the Linled Statew and the Argentime Confederation, July 2\%, $1 \times 2 \mathrm{i}$, Art. 1 X. ( 10 U . St. Stat. at L.. 1009 .) which provides. that it a citizen of onte tution die Intestate in the other, the consul of his sation may interverse in the pos sewsion, administration, and judicial liquidation of the extate, conform. ably to the laws of the country, for the benefit of creditom and liedre.

So by the consular convention between France and Brazil, Denember 10. 1860 . Art. Vill. (8 De C'lereq. 153,) the right of admiaintration upota the movablea of a foreigner not domiciled in the country where the movables are, belongs to the consul of the nation to which the deceased appertained.

The administration and settlement of the wuccession of a Frenchman deceased in Brazil. is, according to that treaty, to be rigulated in the following manner:

When a Frenchman deceased In Brazil leaves unly Brazilian heirwo of when, together with French heins who have attained majurity, and who are present and capable, be leaven Brazilian beirs, mimors, abeent of to capable, the French consul shall not intervene.

When there shall be angug the heira of a fronchaman decrased In Brazil one or more Firench minons, alment or incapable, the oonoul shall have excluvive administration of the nucceswion. if theor in nelithee a wjdow of Brazillan origin, nor a Brazilian beir the head of a family, por testamentary executor, nor Brazilian belm who are minor, alment of incapable.

If there are at the same time one or more French beire who are matmot absent or incapable, then, whether there be a widuw of llrazhian urtcita
or a Brazilian heir the head of a family, or testamentary executor, or one or more Brazilian heirs who are minors, absent or incapable, the French consul shall administer the succession conjointly with the Brazilian widow, or the chicf of family, or the testamentary executor, or the representative of the Brazilian heirs aforesaid.
It is understood that minor heirs, born in Brazil of French parents, are to be trented as having the status of their father until their majority. It is equally understood that legotaires unicersels are treated as heirs.
Reciprocally, the succession of a Brazilian deceased in France will be administered and settled after the same rule, so far as not contrary to French law.
Other provisions regulate, in detail, the dutiee of a consular officer in carrying out the administration of these estates.
The treaty between the United States and Peru, July 26. 1851, Art. XXXIX., ( 10 U.S.Stat. at L., 945,) makes the consuls, ex officio, the executors or administrators of their countrymen, in the absence of the legal heirs or representatives, but directs that if the deceased was engaged in trade, the assets shall be held twelve months, to allow creditors to present their claims.
By the treaty between the United States and Nicaragua, June 21, 1867, Art. VIII., (15) U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) 59,) the minister or consul may nominate curators to take charge of the property of an intestate, so far as the local law will permit. See, also, the treaty with Paraguay, February 4, 1859, Art. X., 12 U.S. Stat.at L., 1096.

The system adopted by the recent French treaties, [consular convention between France and Portugal, July 11, 1866, Art. VIII., (De Clercq, vol.9, p. $\mathbf{8} 82$;) convention between France and Austria, for the regulation of successions, December 11, 1866, Art. IIL., (De Clercq, vol. 9, p. 675;) consular convention between France and Brazil, December 10, 1860, Art. VII., 8 De Clercq, 153,] involves a regulation of the proceedings in great detail.

The provisions of those treaties may be indicated together, as follows:
The consul is authorized and required:

1. To seal up all the effects, movables and papers of the deceased, having given forty-eight hours' notice thereof to the competent local authorities, who are entitled to be present, if they so determine, to add their own seal to that which shall be affixed by the consul; and if this be done, the double seals shall not be broken except by the concurrence of both.

Whenever the local authorities are first informed of the death, and when, according to the law of the country, they are bound to seal the effects of the deceased, they shall invite the consular officer to unite with them in this act.

In case an immediate sealing seems absolutely necessary, but this operation. by reason of the distance or other causes, cannot be done by both authorities in common, the local authorities or the consular officer, as the.
case may be, may affix the wal, without awaiting the arrical of the other officer, and withous perjudice to hito right of sutmajurnt! aftising his real.
2. Todraw up, almo, th presence of the competent foral eutheritime, if they choose to two prement, after such notion, an inventory of all the howalo asd chattelo which wero promereond by the deredent.
3. To cause the male, at public auction, of all the movableo conetitutitg the inheritance of the decedent which arv perinhable, op subjert to efreper ciation, and almo of merehanyitee intended for male, for the male of whichoa favorable opportunity offers. giviug finm timely notice to the lowal autheot filex, to the end that the male may be made in the forn prearsitave, atal by competent agencion, according to the law of the country.

Where the local authorition caumer nuch sale, they mam fior benter to, the consular officer to be present
t. To depowit in safo kereping the efferte and valuation invertateried, to preserve the account of the debts which they collect, as well an ther pro eveds of the sales which they recelive, is the consular ottice, or in monse place of commercial depoobt affunding proper mecurity.

In either case, such deposit must be made by agrerement of the local au thorities, called in to concur in the previoun proceedingm, it, by rramen of the provision of the next nulxdivision, the inhathitants of the montry of the metnbery of a third nation clatm to be interented in the ratatr, or, it required by the loral authoritien, for the mentity of any chargres authorixed be the laws of the country.
5. To announce the death, and to nummon, by meann of one or moper of the public journaln within their conmular jurimdiction, and almo, if nerose eary. journals of the country of the decramed, the cereditorn of the entate to prement their reapective claimm, duly authenticated, within the time fixed by the laws of the reapective countries.

If creditors of the extate present themelves, the payment of their demands ought to be nccomplished in the space of fifteren days after the making the inventory, if there be nufticient means ready, and applicabie thereto and if bot, an som as neremsary funds can be realized by the mowt convenient means; or finally, within a day fixed by agroment betworn the consular officer and the majority of thome interented.
6. In case of the innufticieney of the assets to matisty the full par. ment of the debse proved, all the documents, effirts, and oblior val uablew belonging to the extate munt, on the demand of the ervolitorm. to surrendered to the competent judirial authorities of the place or to, a court of bankruptey, according to the law of the country : in whirli rawe. the consular offeer remainn charged with representing the metsiofo atol domielled residents of his uation interested in the contate, whou tas! im ato sent, minors, or otherwise incapable.

In any case, the consular ofticer cannot deliver the asocts of thest pros ewede to the heirs or next of kin, or the tweneficiarioes ubder the witl, watst after having satisfied all the debta which the dervient may haw croatracted in the country.
: To administor and sectle, cither themselves, or by the appointunent of a person namel by them, und acting under their responsibility, the goots and chattels of the expente, if the period fixed by the local anthori. fies according to tho laws of the country, for its own members, or those of a thirit nation. domicilod in the country to present their demands, is not expired, and if there be no dispute as on such demands; but in either such case the cousulur whlierer must relinquish the settlement of the estate to the proper legal anthorities, and limit his interference to those ad. ministrative measures which will not involve these questions, leaving the decision of such questions, so far as they do not depend upon the title to the muccesuion or the distribution, under the will, to the exclusive control of the courts and tribunals of the country.

Having in such cases relinquished the administration to the local authorities. the consular officer continnes to be the representative of the succession and the beneficiaries under the will, for the purpose of protecting the rights of the parties interested, and has power, if necessary, to employ counsel for the protection of those rights.

After the julgment hins been pronounced upon the demands so resorved to the decision of the tribumals of the country, or after the sum required for their payment has been determined, the entire movable assets, except those which may be necessary to remain as security, shall be, after the removal of the seals imposed by the local authorities, delivered for its final disposal to the consular officer.
8. To procure his own appointment, if that be necessary, as administrator, or as administrator with the will annexed, if an executor has been named, but has declined the trust, or is unknown, absent, or incapable.

2 If the deceased was domiciled in another nation, the administration will be ancillary to any administration instituted at home. If he was a foreigner domiciled at the place of his death, the administration will be the principal one. In either case, the consul's proceedings should be, in other respects, according to the local law, and under the anthorization of the probate court.

## Sccurit!! not required.

341. A consul entitled to administration under the last article, shall not be required to give security for the performance of his duties.

Inasmuch as he only intervenes for the interest of his countrymen, his official responsibility seems enough.

Local antloritios to administer in absence of consul and all other aththorized persons.
342. In the absence of the consul and secretary of lecgation. and of all other representatives in interest of the foreign parties, the local authorities shall administer the estate withont unnecessary delay or expense,
and shall render account thereof to the nearost coman or the secretary of legation of the nation of the foreigners entitled, and shall deliser and pay to him that which belongs to such members of his nation as do not appear to claim the same.
Suggented by the cousular convention betwoen France and Portugal. July 11, ISbB, Art. Vill., (9 De (\%ereq, ist.) and the convention betworn France and Auntria, for the regulation of aucomalona. Drcember 11.1 was. Art. III., (9 De (lirey, 6:5,) which almo provide that it in euch cear the nearest consular officer appeanm, either in prermon or by delegate, is the place of administration, the local authoritien who have interveriod must emmply with the requiremente giving bim the right to are
 antxi. 5, (8 De Clereq, 1833.) the payment of the assets to the cotimul in withoret
 within the time prescribed by the statute of limitation of the country to which the decedent belonged.

Notice to be given of successions in which forers are interested.
343. If a foreigner, absent or incompetent, is inter. ested, by succession or will, in the property, movable or immovable, of any deceased person whomsower. which is subject to the administration of a nation, tho local authorities must notify the existence of the property to the nearest consul of the nation of the foreigner interested, and render account of the administration thereof, as prescribed in the last article.

Suggested by the eonvention between Frauce and Auntria, for the regu. lation of successions. Dee. 11. 1866, Art. 111., 9 De Clicrep, 68:\%.

The treaty betwrets the $\mathrm{I}^{\circ}$ nited states and The Twn siciliex, Ont 1. IEN. Art. VII.,(11 U.S. Stat. at L. .tibs.) providem, that in the abmence of the herit entitted to succeed under the steaty, or his mppesentations, the monsal whall have notice from the judicial atthority, of the time of impreing of remeving seals, and making linventory, and may asolint therrat.

Secretary of legation to ad if there be no consul.
344. If there be no consul of the proper nation, who ran act under the provisions of this Chapter. the servetary of legation of the same nation shall meever the notice and exercise the powers herein preserited for consuls.

## (CHAPTER XXVII.

## WRECKS.

Other treaties, containing similar provisions to those cited below, will be found. Sien i De Clereq. 10, 362, 58ti: 8 Id., 193; 10 U. S. Stat. at L..
 $8 / d . .560 .534$. Art. Xi.: British Accoumts and Papers. 1866. vol. LXXVI., (38.) Sec, also. Vivited States Consular Requlations. (1870,) © 209-218, and Treaties in Appendix.

> Anticis. 34\%. Duty of a nation to succor and protect.
> 346. Notice of wreck to consul of the ship's nation.
> 34\%. Power of consul or local authorities over wrecks.
> 348. Interference of local authorities restricted.
> 349. Property exempt from duties.
> 350. Docal charges restricted.
> 3i1. Authorizing sale of wrecked property.
> 3i2. Ancient rule of wreck abolished.
> 353. Property to be restored to owner.
> 3i4. Duty of nation to provide for care of wrecked property.
> 355. Official sales.

Int!! of a mation to succor and protect.
345. It is the duty of every nation to receive and protect foreigners, members of any nation whatever, and foreign ships,' public or private, wrecked or damaged on its coasts, or within its jurisdiction, or seeking refuge there from distress or perils of the sea, and allow them frecly to prepare for and continne their voyages. Such ships, and the persons and property therein, must receive the same succor, and be subject to the same charges, salvage, or other burdens as domestic ships in like cases. ${ }^{2}$

This article and the next are in subetance from the treaties betwees the Cnited Stases and

| Hayl. | No | XV., is C. \& Stat.at I. .ill. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolivia, | May 18, 1858. | 1X. $12 \mathrm{ld}$. 1000. |
| Venezucla, | Aug. 8\%, 1800. | XI., $12 \mathrm{Id}$. |
| Netherland | Oct. 8, 1788. | XVII., 8 /d., 42. |
| 8 woden. | April 3, 1783. | XXI.. 8 /d. 78. |
| Prumia, | 1785. | IX., 8/d., 84 |
| Mormeen, | Jan.. 1788. | X. $8 \mathrm{Jd},$. |
| Nicaragua, | June 21, $186 \%$. | XIII., $15 / \mathrm{dh} .6$ 6. |
| Guatemala, | Mar. 3, 1849. | VIII. $10 \mathrm{ld} . .87 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Prera. | July 28, 1851. | X VII., 10 ld .083. |

Similar proviaionn are contained in the treaty between Franer and fico duras. February 22, 1856, Art. XII., (\% De Clereq, 10.) Ser, almo, ipraty br sween France and Nicaragua, April 11, 1850. Art, XII.. (7 /d., 386.)

- Thee proviaiona have been extended to shipm of whaterer nationality. because it in the intereat of each nation that their members ahould to suecored in distress. without reference to the nationality of the ship.
${ }^{2}$ Provisions exempting ahips of either nation on the coasts of the other. or driven into ports of distress, from all charges except thowe of pilotage. light-house dues, and others representing the compensation of private in dustry, provided that the reseels do not lade or unlade cargo, are contained in the treaty between France and San Salrador, Jan. 2, 1858, Art. XIV., 7 De Clereq. 362.

Somewhat similar provisions an to froedom from charges are contained in the treaty between France and New Granada. May 15, 1856. Art. XV., 7 De Clereq, 102.

A declaration relative to the treatment of shipe driven into porta of distress, made between France and Hanover. April 10, 1850. (\% De Clereq. 86,) provides, that such shipes shall be exempt frotn dues of the port or of navigation, if the necesaity is real and evident, and if the ship dows no commerce-discharge of cargo merely for the purpose of repairs, not being considered as such-and provided that the ship does not unnermsarily prolong fies ntay.

Upon the same conditions, the treaty between the United States and The Two Sicilies, October 1, 1855, Art. XVI., (11 U. S. Satt. at L.. 639.) areurre to foreign ships the same treatment as domentic shipen.
The doctrine is napported also by the opinion of the United states Atsorney.General, in the came of The Cmole, 4 Opinions of $\mathcal{E}$. \& Attorncye. Genoral, p. 98 ; aleo, In Casea and Opinions in Conatitutional lase by Fior. ayth, p. 400, in which he says: The principle is, that it a remoel be drima by atrees of weather, or forced by ria major, or, in short, be rompellat by any overruling necessity to take refuge in the ports of another, sbe to not considered as subject to the municipal law of that other, on far as momo cerna any penalty, prohbition, tax, or incapmetity that wonld of berwion to incurred by entering the porta, prorided she do nothing further to riolate the municipal law during her atay.

Notice of vreck to consul of the shipis nation.
346. In case of the wreck, stranding or distress of a foreign ship, public or private, on the consts of a nation, or on navigable waters within its jurisdiction, if the nationality of the ship be known, the local anthorities must immediately notify the fact to the consul of the nation to which the ship or wreek belongs, resident within the district; or, if there be none, then to the nearest consal ; or, if none, to the secretary of legation of such nation, who, in the absence of the consul, shall have the powers conferred on consuls by this Chapter.

This and the three following articles are suggested by the convention bet ween the United States and

Italy, Feb. 8. 1868, Art. XV., 15 U.S. Stat.at L., (Tr.,) 185.
France, Feb. 23. 1853. " Xl., 10 Id., 898.
Treaty of navigation between France and
Sweden and Norway, Feb. 14, 1865, 9 De Clercq, 172.
Treaty of commerce and navigation between France and The Free (ities of Lu-) beck, Bremen \& Ham-\} Mar. 4, 1865, Art. XXI., 9 De Clercq, 187. burg.
Grand Duchy of Meck-
lenburg. Schwerin, -
(extended to the) (irand June 9, 1805, " XVII., 9 Id., 295.
Duchy of Mecklenburg.
Strelitz,
Netherlands, July 7,1865 , "XXXVII.,9 Id.,33i.
Power of consul or local autliorities over worecks.
347. The consul of the nation to which the ship belongs. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ and, in his absence and until his arrival, the local authorities may, for the purpose of saving it and the persons and property on board, take possession of the ship, and, if it be a private ship, may for the same purpose take command over the master, or other person having charge thereof.

[^20]
## Interference of local authorities restricted.

348. When the consul acts in the cases montioned in the last article, the local anthorities shall not otherwise interfere than for the maintenance of order, the protection of the interests of the salvors, not belonging to the crew, and to carry into effect the rulos applicable to the entry and exportation of property saved.

## Property exempt from duties.

349. Property saved, or landed to facilitate repairs. is not subject to duty or custom-honse chargeq, unless admitted or intended for consumption within the juris. diction of the nation.

In some of the treaties cargo landed to facilitate repairs in to be sub ject to the same chargen an are payable by mernbers of the nation in te spect of its domentic ressels in like canes.

Local charges restricted.
350. The intervention of the local anthorities, when permitted under this Chapter, cannot give rise to expenses other than those which the operations of quar. antine, salvage, and the preservation of the object saved, occasion, and such as would be imposed, in the case of domestic ships, under like circumstances.

Consular convention between France and Austria. Dec. 11. 1866, Art.XilV., 8 DC Clereq, 669
Treaty between the L'nited States and Peru, July 26, 1851, Art. XVI., 10 U. S. Sat. at I... 233.
1 Treaty between the U'nited States and The Two Sicilies, Oct. 1, $18 \mathrm{~K}_{5}$, Art. XV'll. 11 C . S. Stat, at L. 659
Authorizing sale of iorecked property.
351. In cases of necessity, in the absence of the owner or his agent, and inability reasonably to communicato with him, the consul may authorize the repair or saloo of wrecked or damaged property, with the sanction of the proper judicial authorities of the country, but not otherwise.'

[^21]ing the payment of any extra wages to seamen, required by the law of his nation. Uinited States Consular Regulations, (1880,) © 131, 132.
${ }^{2}$ Bluntachli, Droit Intern. Codifí, S 264, does not recognize such a re. striction as this.

Perhaps, however, this power should be further restricted. Compare the terms of Article 390.

## Ancient rule of wereck abolished.

352. Any property cast by the sea upon the land,' or floating, or sumken in the navigable waters within the ;urisdiction of any nation, unless voluntarily or freely ${ }^{\text {c }}$ abandoned by the owner, without intention of recovering it,' may be reclaimed by him, or on his behalf, at any time before it has been otherwise disposed of, pursuant to the provisions of this Chapter.
'2 Kent's Commentaries, 321.
${ }^{2}$ A canal boat sunk in a navigable river, was held not to be " wrecked property," within the New York statute concerning Wrecked Property, ( 1 Rer. Stat., 690.) which only mentioned things cast by the sea upon the land. Baker r. Hoag, $\boldsymbol{i}$ Neic York (3 Selden) Rep., 555; overruling S. C. 3 Barbour's Rep., 203 ; and i Id., 113.
${ }^{3}$ The provisions of the New York statute have been recently extended to things cast by any inland lake or river on the land. 1 N. Y. Laces of 1869, 1187 , ch. 493.
${ }^{4}$ The abandonment will not divest the owner of his right, unless it was freely as well as voluntarily made. A voluntary abandonment, under the constraint of danger or distress, may mark the property as derelict, andentitle salvors to peculiar compensation, but does not preclude his claim. Ablott's U. S. Courts, vol. 1,574.
${ }^{3}$ The intent to abandon may be inferred from a great lapse of time. Bourier* Alictionary, Tit. " Derelicts."

## Property to be restored to owner.

353. The property mentioned in this Chapter must be delivered when recovered,' or, if sold, the proceeds ther of must be paid to the consul of the owner's nation, ${ }^{2}$ on claim being made within one year. ${ }^{2}$
[^22]Duty of nation to provide for care of urecked property.
354. It is the duty of every nation to provide by law for the protection and restoration of wrecked property and for the redress or punishment of violations of the rights of owners in respect thereto.

The provisions of the Einglish law on thin subject are in $1: 815$ bice. c. 104, Part. VIll.

Those in the State of New York are in the Recised Natusee, vol 1. p. 690.

The essential points covered by these provisione may be briedy otated ns follows:

1. Peraons having wrecked property, who do nut deliver to to the ownes. or the proper oflicer, or who deface markn thereon, or dinguise itm appear. ance, with intent to conceal fis identity, or whodeface, dentroy or supprem any document affecting the ownership; and officers and agents of the gor. ernment of the place, who detain any wrecked property, or ita procreds. after the expenses and salvage have been ascertained and tenderedt, or whe are guilty of fraud. embezalement, or extortion in refervace thereto, are punishable criminally, and liable to an action by the person wronged
2. By the English law, plundering and damaging wreck, is to be cotspensated for by the inlabitants of the district. $18 \&$ is lice., c. 104 . 8 c 4 i .
3. Wrecked property, which is of a perislable tature or which has been kept one year without being claimed, may be sold under the anthor. ity of the State, and the proceeds, after paying expenser and salrage, If not claimed within one year after the sale, whall accrue to the treasury of the State, or to the persons who by the local law are entitled to wreck found at the place in question.

## Official sales.

355. A sale of wrecked property by or under the sanction of the local authorities, pursuant to law, transfers the title absolutely to a purchaser in good faith.

1 Parsons on Shipping \& Adm., is, and note.
By the treaty between the U'nited Stateo and The Two Sicilies, above referred to, claims upon the property are to be determibed by the local tribunals.

# X. 

DUTTIES OF FOREIGNERS TO THE NATION<br>Charter XXVIII. Subjection to the laws.<br>XIX. Civil and military service.<br>XXX. Taxation.

## CHAPTER XXVII.

SUBJECTION TO THE LAWS.
Article 356. Subjection to the laws.
Subjection to the laws.
356. Except as otherwise provided by this Code, or by special compact, foreigners are subject to the constitution and laws of the country wherein they are, for the time being.

Bluntschli, (Droit Int. Codifié, § 388,) in stating this rule, adds, that allowance ought to be made for the fact that strangers do not understand the laws as well as citizens.

## CHAPTER XXIX.

CIVIL AND MILITARY SERVICE.
Article 357. Civil service.
358. Military service.

Civil service.
357. Foreigners are exempt from all official functions.

```
Treaty between Great Britain and
    Colombia, Fob. 16, 1806, An XVI. \{ Aceaunto and Papere. 106:,
```



```
Treaty between France and
    The fire citien of lu.
        berk, Bremen \& Ham. Mar. 4. 1865, Art. \(11 ., 9\) De C'lareg. 1 mg
burg.
    Grand Duchien of Meek-)
        lenburksehwerin and June 9,1803, ". I1., 9 Id., zas.
Strelite.
    Rumpia.
                            June 14, 1557, " 1. 7 Id., gis.
```

Then Englinh Judicature Comminolon (Report of 1509. ) recommend that aliens having been reaident ten yeare mall, if qualified, bo liablo to do jury duty.

## Mililary service.

358. Foreigners are exempt from military and naval service, except in the case of necessity for the purpose of defending the place where they are from brigands or savages.

Bluntachli, Droit Intern. Codifi, 8391.
Freedom of foreigners from compulnory military and naval service is recognized by the treatien between the United States and

Nicaragua, June 21,186\%, Art. 1X., 15U.S.Stat. at Io.d Tr.). 99
Dominican Republic, Feb. 8, 1867, "o $11 ., 15 \mathrm{Id.0}$ ( Tr.e) $16 \%$.
Paraguay, Feb. 4.1859, " X1., 12 Id., 1096.
Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1860, .o II., 12 Id., 1144.
Two Sicilies, Oct. 1,1855, " V., 11 Id., 639.
Treaty between France and
Russia, June 14, 1857, Art. 11., 7 De Cleres 978
Nicaragua. April 11, 1850, " IV., $7 / I d ., 586$.
Swiss Confederation, June 30, 1864, - IV., 9 Id., 91.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { (Extended to the) Frenich } \\ \text { Colonies, }\end{array}\right\} \quad 9 / d ., 372$.
Grand Duchs of Meck.
lenburg Sch werin, (ex-
tended to the) Grand June 9, 1865, - Il., 9 Id., $2 x$.
Duchy of Mecklen-burg-Strelitz,
The Free Cities of I.ubec, ) March 4, 1865, " II., 9 /d., $18 \%$.
By the treaty between the United States and the Swiss Confederation. Nov. 25, 1850, Art. II., (11 U. S. Sat. at L., 58i,) the members of eithet nation residing in the other are free from personal milltary ervice, bot are liable to the pecuniary or material contributions chargeatle on ex. empt citizens.

Heffer, Droit International, \& 62, p. 125. Papert relating to Piorviga

Affars. 1862, p. 282. Mr. Stuart to Mr. Lenard, Sept. 6, 1862 :"The ordinary "claim for exemption of alien residents from military service does not "extend to service in the local polien when imposed by the municipal
" law, or in comprnies formed exclusively for the maintenance of internal "peace and order and for the protection of property."

## CHAPTER XXX.

## TAXATION.

Article 359. Jurisdiction to tax.
360. Taxes on the person.
361. Equality of taxes.
362. Corporations.
363. Shipping.
364. Property in transit.
365. Debts, and evidences of debt.
366. Commercial vaper.

36\%. No nation to tax national obligations of another.

## Jurisdiction to tax.

359. Subject to the provisions of this Chapter, each nation has exclusive power to impose taxes :
360. Upon all property within its jurisdiction, whether movable or immovable ;'
361. Upon all property of its domiciled residents, and of corporations existing by virtue of its laws, which is not within another jurisdiction ; and,
362. Upon the exercise of any vocation within its jurisdiction.
[^23]
## Taxes on the person.

360. Taxes in respect to the person can only be imposed by the nation in which the person is domicileed.


 State of the domicll is under wo cobligation in reference to the collectives therevof.

## Equality of taxes.

361. No other or more burdensome taxes can be imposed upon foreigners, whether in respect to person. property, or vocation, than on the members of the nation.

Equality of taxew in mecured by a number of treation Soe
Treaty between France and
Russia, June 14, 1857, Art. 1., i De C\%ereq. gis
Peru, March 9.1861. © III., \& Id., 196.
Nicaragua, April $11,1 \times 59$, " IN.. I Id., Sive
Treaty between the Undted States and

Bluntechli, in stating the rule on thim point, qualifee it by adding that the State tuay demand a sum in payment for the privilege of mofouralag In the country. Treaty provisions forbidding such bundete are touw oo common that it meems advimable to diwcard that right.
This rule, perhaps, should be qualified by excepting forelgn corpore tions, which it is usual, in some countrien, to tax more heavily than do meatic corporations.

## Corporations.

362. The interests of owners of shares in the capital of a corporation are taxable as the personal property of such owners.

By the American law, the property of the corporation in distinguistied from the interests of its shareholdern, for the purpoees of taration. a* well an for other parpones.

A State has no power to tax the interest of londs, (mecured in thite can by mortgage., given by a railway corporation, and binding every' pars of the road, when the rond lies partially in another state: leing one roed owned by a company incorporated by the swo states The effict of allow ing such tax would be to emable each state to tax propery I foumd ite own limits. Railroad Company e. Jackson, i Wishooret t" E supprowe Court Rep., 269.

Upon considerations somewhat similar to those referred to, it may be suggested that shares in corporations, as distinguished from the property of the corporation, whould not be taxable.

## Shipping.

363. Shipping is taxable only by the nation whose character it bears.

This is the rule laid down in Hays o. Pacific Mail Steamship Co., 17 Horcard" U. S. sup. Ct. Rep., 596, as applicable at least so long as the ressel gains no situs in the foreign State.

See also People ex rel. Hoyt o. Commissioners of Taxes, 23 Neco York Rep., 240 ; and Report of Wells and others, Commissioners on Local Tax. ation. Harper's ed., p. 45.

## Property in transit.

364. Property of a foreigner, in transit between different jurisdictions, whether actually in motion or awaiting directions or means of transportation, is only taxable under ordinary revenue laws applicable to importation or exportation.

This rule we understand to be generally recognized. The power to tax property sent into a State, and lying there awaiting sale, seems clear, although the policy of it is questioned. Report of Wells, and others, Commissioners, on Local Taxation, Harper's ed., p. 45. The treaty between the United States and Belgium, 1858, Arts. XII. and XVI., (12 U. s. stat. at. L., 1046,) provides that during the period allowed by law for the warehousing of goods, no duties, other than those of watch and storage, shall be lexied on articles brought from either country into the other, while awaiting transit, re-exportation, or entry for consumption.

And articles, the transit of which is allowed in Belgium, are exempt from transit duty there, when transportation is effected on Belgian railways.

## Debts and evidences of debt.

365. Debts, and the evidences thereof, due from domiciled residents of a nation to another nation, or domiciled residents therein, are not taxable in either.
sound political economy forbids the taxation of evidences of debt. (See Report of Wells, and others, Com'rs, on Local Taxation, Harper's ed., p. 45. They are instruments of commerce. The systems of England and France are understood to recognize this principle.
It is for each nation to adopt such a rule or uot, for its own members : but in an international point of view, it is suggested that this rule should be observed. It leaves all tangible property to be taxed according to the previous rule.

## Commercial paper.

366. Negotiable instruments made in one country, to be negotiated or paid in another, arv not taxable. except by stamp duties in the nation where made, and that where transferred.

- This rule lo now.

A tax on the bauineen of a dealor in exchange fo not a tar oe billo ut commerce. Nathan e. Iousiama, 8 Howind, U. S. Sup. CP, Hrp. is Hy the Englinh law, foreign bilis are liable to stamp duty, when paid, in donsed, tranaferred, or otherwime negrotiated within the Cinitad Kimgelonn. Grimn e. Weatherby, Lane Rep., 3 Quern': Beneh, 733.

No nation lo lax national obligations of another.
367. No nation can tax the national obligations of another; nor its own obligations, except in the hands of its own members.

This rule is suggested an founded in comity, and one that will, ots the whole, be equal and just in its operation.

The Report of Wells, and othern, Com'm on Vacal Taration. (Harperis ed., p. 66.) statew that, " Fingland, inutria and ltaly tas the notiondeleat holders of their national debtas the place where the drbt is held to have been created or in now inscribed."

## PARTIII.

## UNUFORM KEGULATIONS FOR MUTUAI, CONVENIENCE。

fitie. XI. Shipping. RII. lmonts.<br>sili. Quabantine.<br>NiV. Ranwass.<br>XV. Telegbapis.<br>xVi. Postal. Service.<br>AVII. Patents.<br>XVili. Trade Marks.<br>XIX. Copriblets.<br>XR. Moner.<br>NXI. Weigits and Measures.<br>Sill. longitude and Time.<br>XXill. sea Signals.

Conmeibcial Regulations.-A number of provisions affecting commerce are contained in the preceding (hapters of this Book, particularly in Chapter Vi., on Nivigatiox; in Chapter IX., concerning FishersHEs ; in Chapter XVIII., Section II., entited the Extradition of DE. sebters, and in Titles $1 \mathbb{X}$. and X., relating to the Duties of a Nation to Foreignems, and the Dutien of Fobeigners to the Nation.

Those provisions are there: phecel, because they define what are regarded as the intrinsic rights of nations, or are proposed as modifying the aboolute rights there stated.

The provisions of this Part, although $t=$ some extent cognate to those alme roforred to, have an independent character, as a system of regula. tions founded not wo much on questions of right and obligation, as on the converibuce of haviag uniform rules for the facilitation of commercial and mocial intercourse : and they are, therefore, presented here, in connection with "thers originating in the sam. general parpose.

TITLEXI.<br>SUIIPPING<br>CHapter XXXI. General provisione.<br>XXXII. Rules of navigation, (law of the road at mea.]<br>XXXIII Collimion.<br>XXXIV. Average.<br>XXXV. Salvage.

## CHAPTER XXXI.

GENERAL PROVISIONS.

```
Articl.f. 368. Definition of "whip."
    368. "Appurtenancea" defined
    3%0. Emsployment of ahips.
    3%1. Forelgn navigation.
    872. Domestic navigation.
    3i3. Forcign and dommostic shipm distinguialond
    3i4. Owner for the rownge.
    375. Registry, enpollment and license.
    3its. Value of ship.
```

Definition of "ship."
368. The term "ship," as used in this Code, signi. fies any structure fitted for navigation. Every kind of ship is included in the term "shipping."
"Appurlenances" defined.
369. The term "appurtenances," as used in this Code, in respect of a ship, includes all things belong. ing to the owners, whichare on board of the ship, or attached to it, and are connected with its proper use for the objects of the royage and adventure in which the ship is engaged.
see 1 Parsons' Maritime Lave. i 1.
Employment of ships.
370. Ships are engaged either in foreign or domestic navigation, or in the fisheries.

Foreign navigation.
371. Ships are engaged in foreign navigation, when passing to or from a foreign country, or in any service connected therewith.

## Domestic navigation.

372. Ships are engaged in domestic nevigation, when passing, for curig. of traffic, between places within the same nation, or in any service within the nation, connected therewith.'

Receiving o discharging patt $0^{\circ}$ a foreign cargo, or of foreign pass ngers, at one port, and another part of the same at another port, is not domestic navigation, as here defined. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

1 This will include both the coasting trade and intermal navigation.
By the traty between the I'nited states and the Netherlands, Aug. 26, 1552. Art. N.. (10 U. S. Stat. at Large, 984,) the trade from island to island in the Eastern Archipelago, and from Atlantic to Pacific ports in the l"nited States, is considered as coasting trade.
"Convention between the United States and Dominican
Republic, Feb. 8, 1867 , Art. VII., 15 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) 167.
Treaty between the C'nited States and
Bolivia, May 13, 1858, Art. III., 12 U.S.Stat.at L., 1004.
Venczuela, Aug. 2T,1860, " VII., 12 Id.,1147.
Two Sicilies. Oct. 1,1855, " Xill., 11 Id., 647.
Netherlands, Aug. 26, 1852, " IV., 10 Id., 984.

## Foreign and domestic ships distinguished.

373. A ship, when within the limits of its own nation, is called a domestic ship; within the limits of another nation, it is called a foreign ship.

In the Linited States, a ship is called domestic or foreign in any State, according as it belongs to that state, or any other. In this Code, these words depend on nationality.

Ooner for the voyage.
374. If the owner of a ship commits its possession ${ }^{2}$ and navigation to another, that other, and not the owner, is responsible for its repairs and supplies.

[^24]Sch. Volunteer, I Sumner's U. S. Cine. Ce. Rep., 551 : Iogo of Mabugany. 2 If., 599.

## Registry, enrollment, and license.

375. The registry, enrollment, and license of ships are regulated, in each nation, by its own laws. The national character of shipping is definod hy. Chapter ${ }^{-} \mathrm{XX}$.

3 Kicut's Commentaries, 183 ; Heaketh e. Sterens, : Barbancis ( Sice foek) Rep.. tiss.

## Value of ship.

376. The value of a ship, when not fixed or ascer. tained by agreement of the parties is her value for sale at the port to which she belongs, less the expense of returning her there, including insurance.

For a discusnion as to the testa of value of a nhip, now Trunsactions of National dseociation for Promotion of Sxcial sience, 1sas3, p. 85.5.

## CHAPTER XXXII.

## RULES OF NAVIGATION. [LAW OF THE ROAD AT SFA.]

The provisions of this Chapter are those issued in pursuance of the British Merehant shipping fet, 1862, Table c, \&̊ ms, made applicable, by consent of the nations, to the nhips of the following countries, whether within British jurimdiction or not. Britiah Order in Council, of July 30 . 1868.

Austria.
Argentine Reprablic.
Belgium.
Brazil.
Bremen.
Chili.
Denmark Proper.
Fiquator, Republic of the.
France.
Great Britain.
(ireece.
Hamburg.
Hanorer.

Hawailan Islands.
Hayti.
ftaly.
l.ubeck.

Meeklenturg © Chwrein.
Monceo.
Netherlands.
Norway.
Oldenburg
Peru.
Portugal
Prusxim
Roman Statra.


Articies 3if. lanw of the road at sea.
Rule 1. Steam and sail.
? Night-lights.
3. lights for seagoing steamships.
4. Lights for steam-tugs.
5. Lights for sailing ships.
6. Fixceptional lights for small sailing ships.
\%. Lights for ships at anchor.
\&. lights for pilot vessels.
9. Lights for fishing vessels and boats.
10. Fog-signals.
11. Two sailing ships, or two ships under steam. meeting.
12. Two sailing ships crossing.
14. Two ships under steam crossing.
15. Sailing ship and ship under steam.
16. Ships under steam to slacken speed.
17. Ships overtaking other ships.
18. Construction of preceding rules.
19. Proviso to save special cases.
20. No ship under any circumstances to neglect proper precautions.
378. Duty of succor.

Lano of the road at sea.
377. The following rules of navigation constitute the law of the road at sea :

In addition to which, all rules concerning the lights or signals to be carried by ships navigating the waters of any harbor, river, or other inland water, or concerning the steps for avoiding collision, to be taken by such ships, which have been, or may hereafter be, made by or under the authority of any local law, shall continue and be of full force and effect in respect to domestic ships in all cases, and in respect to foreign ships having notice of such rules; but foreign ships, which are not shown to have had notice thereof, are not bound thereby.


#### Abstract

Nerehant Shipping det of 1654, हi 31: modified by inomeriag ther ex emption of foreign whip without notice.

The local regulations of a harkor have been helt by the Aurrican courts an not applicable in queationn of colliotion, an againat tormigu shifor engaged in general commerev. Suet a ohip carrying the light empuirnd by the admiralty rulex, to not in faule for not mowing a differwat ote er quired by local law. The New lork e. Hew, is Homintiol' st sugi ir   Cire. CY. Rep., 50 ; Smith e. Condry. 1 Howeard's U. S Sup. It Mop. 3x And compare Aricle 60, onncerning reatrictions on the right of auv gation.


## Steam and sail.

Rule 1. In the following rules, every steamship, which is under sail and not under steam, is to be considered a sailing ship: and every steamship, which is under steam, whether under sail or not. is to the considered a ship under steam.

Article 1 of Britiah Regulations. Ises.

## Night lights.

Rule 2. The lights mentioned in the following rules, numbered $3,4,5,6,7,8$ and 9 , and no others, must be carried in all weathers, from sunset to sunrise.

Article 2 of Britiah Regulations. The meaniug is that the lighta nhall be fairly cisible. Sea Nymph of Chastor. Hote's Rule of the Rowad at Eit. p. 34.

## Lights for sea-going steamships.

Kule 3. Sea-going steamships, when under way.' must carry :

1. At the foremast head, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a bright white light, so constructed as to show an uniform and unbroken light over an are of the horizon of twenty points of the compass, so fixed as to throw the light ten points on each side of the ship, viz: from right ahead to two points abaf the beam on either side, and of such a character as to bu. visible on a dark night, with a clear atmosphere, at a distance of at least tive miles ;
2. On the starboard side, a green light, so constructed as to show an uniform and unbroken light weratu are of the horizon of ten points of the compass, so tixed as
to throw the light from right ahead to two points abaft the beam on the starboard side, and of such a character as to be visible' on a dark night, with a clear atmos. phere, at a distamee of at least two miles ;
3. On the port side, a red light, so constructed as to show an uniform and unbroken light over an are of the horizon of ten points of the compass, so fixed as to throw the light from right ahead to two points abaft the beam on the port side, and of such a character as to be visible' on a dark night, with a clear atmosphere, at a distane of at least two miles:
4. The said green and red side lights ' must be fitted with inboard screens, projecting at least three feet forward from the light. so as to prevent these lights from being seen across the bow.

Articlo 3 of Britixh Regulatiuns. See The Lonisa re The City of Paris, Hodes Reule of the Romed, p. 1:
' I wossel with har anchor down, hut not actually holden by and under the control of it. is "under moy," within the meaning of the Admiralty regulation. (lsis.) and is bound to exhibit colored lights. The Esk ; The ditana, Lame Rep., e Adm. of Ecc., 350 .

A vessel driven from her anchor by a gale of wind, and setting sail to Let out to sren, is, even if wholly ummanageable, " under ray," within the meaning of the Admiralty regulation, (1850, and is bound to exhibit colored lights; and an omission to exhibit them is negligence. George Arkle, Loushington's Rep., 882.
"It has been proposed that there be added after "foremast head," the words "or below the foreyard, where it can be best seen." Jenkins' Rule of the Renad at Siea, p. 68.
${ }^{2}$ The lights must be se placed as to be visible wan appronching vessel on that side.

Lamps duly serecned and fixed on stands secured to the paul-bitts of the windlass, are not placed in a proper position, as required by the regulations of lefos, respecting lights. The (iustav; The New Ed, 9 Lan Timea Rop.. (N. S., $54 \%$.
"The insertion of the words "slatl be carriell." after " red side lights," has been proposed. Jenkiox' fiule of the Round at sion, p. 68

Lights for steam-tu!g.
Role 4. Steamships. when towing other ships, must carry two bright white masthead lights vertically, in addition to their side lights, so as to distinguish them from other steamships. Each of these masthead lights
must be of the same construction and charactur as the masthead lights which other steamships are riquired to carry.

Article 4 of Britiah Regulations.

## Lights for sailing ships.

Rule 5. Sailing ships under way, or being towed. must carry the same lights as steamships und.r way. with the exception of the white masthead lights, which they must never carry.

Article 5 of the Britiah Regulations.
A proposed modification, printed in Jentine Rule of the Roul we siat. (p. R9,) subwtitutes the following for the alxowe:
"Sailing shipw under weigh, or being towed, ahall carry aide lighte orty. namely, a green light on the atarboard side, and a millight on ther port side, (of the same character, and in the same relative pomition, atyl mervened similar to those of steamers, an in Article 3.)"
" If a railling ship in not antern of the towing nteamer, but is lambed alongxide of her, or has one in cither wide of ber, then ahe ahall rarry a bright white light at the foremast head, or below the foreyand. (where it can be best meen.) in addition to the two side lighte, and the ntoamere shall carry none."
" Lights astern. Any vesmel seeing the lights of another coming up astern of her, ahall exhibit of wave a light at the stern until such reamel han paseed."

## Exceptional lights for small sailing ships.

Rule 6. Whenever, as in the case of small ships during bad weather, the green and red lights cannot be fixed, these lights must be kept on deck, on their respective sides of the ship, ready for instant exhibition, and, on the approach of or to other vessels, must be exhibited on their respective sides in sufficient time to prevent collision, in such manner as to make them most visible, and so that the green light shall not be seen on the port side, nor the red light on the starboand side.

To make the use of these portable lights mon cortain and easy, the lanterns containing them must each bu. painted on the outside with the color of the light they respectively contain, and must be provided with suitable screens.

Article 6 of Britiah Regulationa, as amended, 1863.

Lights for silips at anchor.
Rule 7. Ships, whether st amships or sailing ships, when at anchor in radzeads or fairways, must exhibit, where it can best bo seen, but at a height not exceeding twenty fort above the hull, a white light, ${ }^{2}$ in a globular lant ron of eight inches in diameter, and so constructed as to show a clear. uniform and unbroken light, visible all round the horizon, and at a distance of at least one mile.
Article : of British Regulations.
"By Order in Council of January, isbis, the words " betwern sunset and sunrisa," were omitterl hare.
${ }^{3}$ It has been proposed to substitute the words "a bright white light only." for the words "a white light," after "hull." Jenkins" Rule of the Rond at Ňa, p. io.

Lights. for pilot vessels.
Rule s. Sailing pilot vessels must not carry the lights required for other sailing vessels, but must carry a bright white light at the masthead, visible all round the horizon, and must also exhibit a flare-up light every fifteen minintes.
Articles of Britixh Regulations.
Proposed alterations suggest that the range and intensity of the lights. and a fixed relative position for the side lights, should be determined. Jenkina Rule of the Romal at Sert, p. te.

Lights for fishing ressels and bouts.
Rule 9. Open tishing boats and other open boats shall not be required to carry the side lights required for other ressels: but if they do not carry such lights, they must carry a lantern having a green slide on the one side and a red slide on the other side; and on the approach of or to other ressels, such lantern must be exhibited in sufficiont time to prevent collision, so that the green light shall mot be seen on the port side, nor the red light on the starboard side.

Fishing rissels and open boats, when at anchor, or attached to their nets, and stationary, must exhibit a brght white light.

Fishing ressels and open boats shall, however, not be
prevented from using a flare-up light in addition, if considered expedient.

Article 9 of Aritian Regulations.
Fog-signals.
Rule 10. Whenever there is fog, whether by day or night, the fog-signals described below must be carried and used, and must be sounded at least every fis. minutes, viz:

1. Steamships under way must use a steam-whistle, placed before the funnel, not less than eight fere from the deck;
2. Sailing ships under way must use a fog horn ;
3. Steamships and sailing ships, when not i:nder way, must use a bell.

Article 10 of British Regulations.
Troo sailing ships, or two ships under steam, meeting.

Rule 11.' If two sailing ships, or two ships under steam, are meeting, end on, or nearly end on, in such manner as to involve risk of collision, the helms of both must be put to port, so that each may pass on the port side of the other.'

This rule only applies' to cases where ships are meeting end on, or nearly end on, in such a manner as to involve risk of collision, and does not apply to two ships which must, if both keep on their respective courses, pass clear of each other.

The only cases in which it applies, are when each of the two ships is end on, or nearly end on, to the other: in other words, to cases in which, by day, each ship sees the masts of the other in a line, or nearly in a line. with her own, and, by night, to cases in which each ship is in such a position as to see both the side lights of the other. It does not apply, by day, to casos in which a ship sees another ahead crossing hor own course; or, by night. to cases where the red light of one ship is opposed to the red light of tho other: or, where
the green light of one ship is opposed to the green light of the other : or, where a red light without a green light, or a green light without a red light, is seen ahead; or, where both green and red lights are seen anywhere but ahead.

[^25]Articlo $1 t$ applien to two nhig under steans, earh crobaing the path of the other, wan to lavolice riak of colliblon.

So long on the courmen of the two ohipe cromes, ote of she shipe wilt always have her red light expoeed to the green light of the othet-obe be always to the left of the other. And this Article requiree the orse to the left to " keep out of the way of the other."

So long as like in expoed to like-i. e., the groen light of otse ship is expesed to the green light of the other, or the red IIght of the otie shife is expoed to the red Hght of the other-the ohigm are "paaving atipe," and there in no danger of collision.

If each whip neen the rexl and green lights of the other, they are " meefing ead on, or mearly end on."

So long an a green light in exponed to a red light, the oblgm tnuet be "croseing ahips," and collinion in almont inevitable, unless the one to the left keeps out of the way.

In the issue of the 2tih March, a writer (" K. K. Hooppell ") nuggeote. that the simple revision required in the leaving out from the rules the words " or nenrly end on," an belng ambiguoun and misleading.

This suggeation was approved by. " Byod Giraul." In the mame journal

## Tioo sailing ships crossing.

Rule 12. When two sailing ships are crossing, so as to involve risk of collision, if they have the wind on different sides, the ship with the wind on the port side must keep ont of the way of the ship with the wind on the starboard side, except when the ship with the wind on the port side is close-hauled. and the other ship free. in which case the latter ship must keep out of the way.' But if they have the wind on the same side, or if one of them has the wind aft, the ship which is to windward must keep out of the way of the ship which is to leeward.

Article 12 of British Regulations.
'Clited and applied in Dean e. Mark: The "Constitution," I Moweri' Prity Council Rep.. (N.S., 453: 10 Jurist. 581 : 10 Lave Tinace Rrp. (N S., 894.

Tioo ships under steam crossing.
Rule 14.' If two ships under steam are crossing, se as to involve risk of collision. the ship which has the other on her own starboard side must keep out of the way of the other.'
${ }^{1}$ Article 14 of Britiah Regulations.
Article 13 is superweded by Rule 11.
> "The wonls " whall starboard and stop, and reverse if necessary," have been proposeal to ine aubstituted for "shall keep out of the way of the other." .Jenkives Rule of the Roral at Sca, P. 73.

## sailin! ship and ship under steam.

Rule 15 . If two ships, one of which is a sailing ship, and the other a steamship, are proceeding in such directions as to involve risk of collision, the steamship must kenp out of the way of the sailing slip.

## Article 15 of British Regulations.

If has lreen proposed to substitute the following in place of this rule: " A steamship shall keep out of the way of a sailing ship." Jenkins' Rutr ef the Roud at Nea, p. 73.

The American rule permits a steamer to go either to the right or the left of a sailing ship, which has the wind free. The Osprey, Sprague's Decisions, 245: Steamer Oregon c. Rocca, 18 Hozcterd's $U^{\prime}$. S. Supreme Ct. Rep.. 570.

The Einglish statute rale requires her to go to the right. $17 \& 18$ Vict., c. 104. 5.396.

The principle upon which the stemmship is liable, even though the sailing ship is culpable, is laid down in Inman o. Reck; The City of Antwerp, and The Firiedrich, 37 Lato Jour. Adm., 25; 2 Lave Rep. P. C., 25.
ships under steam to slacken speed.
Rule 16. Every steamship, when approaching another ship, so as to involve risk of collision, must slacken her speed, or, if necessary, stop and reverse, and' every steamship, when in a fog, must go at a moderate rate of speed.

Article 16 of British Regulations.
${ }^{1}$ Alierations proposed omit all but the last clause. Jenkins' Rute of the Rond at Sitr, 1). 33.
shijs overtaking other ships.
Rule 17. Every ship overtaking another, must keep out of her way.

From Article 1\% of Britiah Regulations.
Constiuction of preceding rules.
Rule 18. Where, by the rules of navigation contained in this Code, one of two ships is to keep out of the way, the other shall keep her course, subject to the qualitications contained in the next rule.

Artiche is of Eritixh Regulations.

## Prociso to save special cases.

Rule 19. In applying the rales of navigation contained in this Code, due regard must be had to all dangers of navigation, and to any special circumstances which may exist in a particular case, rendering a departure from the rules necessary, in order to avoid immediate danger.

## Article 10 of Britiah Regulatione.

If a ship bound to keep her courne under the 18th malling rule of 1503. justities hor departure from that rule under the 19 th rule, olse takee upon herself the obligation of showing not only that her departure was, at the time it took place, necesmary, in order to a void immadiate danger, but ales that the course adopted by her was reasonably calculated to avold that danger. The Agra and Elizabeth Jenkinn, Late Rep., IP U., s01; riting Hots, Rule of the Road, p. 101: The George Dean e. The Conntituston, Adminalty Court, 1 Fibl., 1865 ; the Planet e. The Aura, Admiralty Cours. 7 Dec., 1865.

A departure from a rule or unage is not only jusitied when a compliance would be dangerous from special circumstances, but lecomen a poobitive duty when such compliance would endanger or injure annther rewel, and then a compliance with the rule or usage would be no excume. Allen e. Mackay, Sprague's Decisions, 219: The Vanderbilt, Aldote, Adon. Kipp. 361 : The Friends, 1 W. Robinson's Rep., 458 : The Commerce, 3 /d., 257 : The Steamer Oregon e. Rocca, 18 Howard', U. S. Sup. CY. Mep. 572 : Crockett e. Newton, Id., 583; 2 Parsons on Contracts, 313.

No ship under any circumstances to neglect proper precautions.

Rule 20. Nothing in the rules of navigation contained in this Code shall exonerate any ship, or the owner, master, or crew thereof, from theeconsequences of any neglect to carry lights or signals, or to keep a proper lookout, or of the neglect of any precaution which may be required by the ordinary practice of seamen, or by the special circumstances of the case.

Article 20 of Britiah Regulations.
Alterations proposed (Jenkins' Rule of the Rond at Sa. p. it.) omit thit and the two preceding rules, and substitute the following:
" Every steamship must carry a compase ou the bridge."

## Duty of succor.

378. It is the duty of all persons on the high sens to render assistance to ships or persons in distress.
whether from collision or otherwise, so far as it can be done with reasonable safety to themselves.
The ficrmania. 21 Late Tömes Rep., (N. S., 44.
By es. $8: 24$ lict.. c. 63, s. 33. in case of collision between two ships, it is the eluty of "the person in charge" of each ship to render assistance to the onther: and, in case he fails to do so, without reasonable excuse, the collision shall, in alsence of proof to the contrary, be deemed to have beow callsed by his wrongful act.

This principle was ap:lied in The Quren of the Orwell, 7 Lan Times, (.V. S..) 人39: 11 Wrekly Rep.. 499.

The " person in charge," intended by that section, is the master. The Queen, The Lord John Russell, Lau Rep., 2 Adm. di Ece., 354.

## CHAPTER XXXIII.

> COLLISION.

These rules are chiefly from the Civil Code, reported for Nen York, p. 11.5, and the Gorman Gerieral Mercantile Lave. For a discussion on the liability for collision at sea, see The Tramsactions of the British National Askaciation for Promation of Social Science, 1859, p. 216.

> Abticlee 3i9. Loss, how apportioned.
> 3*0. Faults of navigation.
> 3:31. Who liable.
> 3*2. Personal liability of wrong-doer.
> 3\&3. Compulsory pilotage.

Lass, hruo appartioned.
379. Losses caused to ship, freight,' or freightage, by any ${ }^{2}$ collision of two or more ships, ${ }^{,}$are to be borne as follows:

1. If either party was exclusively in fault, he must bear his own loss, and compensate the other for any loss he has sustained ;'
2. If neither was in fault, the loss must be borne by him on whom it falls;
3. If both were in fault, the loss is to be equally divided, umless it appears that there was a great disparity in fault, in which case the loss must be equitably apportioned ; or, unlessit appears that both parties were
willfully in fant, in which case the loss must be borne by him on whom it falls;' or.
4. If it cannot be ascertained where the fault lion, the loss must be equally divided.'
 219. Ther wont "freight" in used to deajgnate whatever to Lortar, wo thenet likely to avoid the use of the same word in two mennea, freight twimg in frequent une for the thing carried, as woll as for the price of carriage

These are applicable, whether one or both of the shipe arv malling. drifing, anchored, or fastened to the whore. Germans Merrantik law. 8. 73.
${ }^{3}$ The owner of a vensel solely in fault in annwerable for damago caused by a mecond reasel being driven by the collision agaluat a thint Germ. Mere. Latr, 841.

- The scioto, Darcis' Rep., 359 : The WoodropSims, Ihale., © The Sappho, 9 Jurise, st60: Reeven e. The Constitution, Gilpin't Rrp.. $5: 9$ Where repairs are practicable, the damagen awarded must be nutticient to restore the injured vessel to the condition in which she was before the collision, without any deduction from new for old. The Baltimore, \& Walluce's U. S. Sup. Ct. Rep., 37\%.
${ }^{-}$The Woodrop-Simn, supra; Stainback e. Race, it Howard, li. S. sup Ct. Rep., 552: The Itinerant, 2 W. Robinson's Rep, 236: The Celt. 3 Hag. gard's Adm., 398, note. Aa inevitable aceldent is detined in The Virgil. (2 Robinson's Rep., 201.) to be, "that which the party chargel with the " offense could not possibly prevent by the exereise of ordinary carr, cau. "tion, and maritime skill." The Uhla, 19 Late Timea Rep., (N. S., ss
- This is the rule in admiralty courts. Cushing e. The Jolan Fraser, 21 Hoceard'sL'S. Sup. CP. Rep., 184 ; Rogerne. The St. Charlee, 19 Id., 100 . The Catherine o. Dickinson, $1: \mathrm{HI} .175$; Vaux e. Sheffer, 8 Moore's Priry Coun. cil Rep., 75. It is otherwise at common law. Dorrell r. Gien sit. N. Co. 5 Ellin at Blacdburn's Rep., 195; Gen. St. N. Co. e. Mann. it Common Bench Rep., 127. See Barnes c. Cole. 21 Wendedt, (Neer York) Rep., ise.
' In this case, the court will not interfere in favor of either party. Stur. gis e. Clough, 91 Hoteard's U. S. Sup. Ct. Rep. 451.
- The Scioto, Daceis' Rep., 359 ; The Catherine of Duver. 9 Haggant. Aden., 145: Lucas e. The Swanu, 6 Melean's U. S. Cire. CY. Rcp., 26: : The Nautilus, Wore's Rep., 529.


## Faulls of navigation.

380. Collisions caused by the want of compliance. on the part of any ship, with the rules of Chapter XXXII, on Navigation, whatever may be the excuse for such want of compliance,' and collisions to which
a ship not lawfully engaged in navigation is a party, ${ }^{2}$ are to be deemed caused by the fault of such ship.
${ }^{1}$ The Emperor 0 . The Zephyr, Holt's Rule of the Road, p. 24; 12 Weckly Rrp.. 890, Adm.; The Pyrus $v$. The Smaler, Hott's Rule of the Rande, p. 40 .
Compare, however, Kissam $\boldsymbol{v}$. The Albert, 11 Am. Lauo Reporter, ( $N$. S..) 41 .
${ }^{2}$ The Maverick, Sprague's Decixions, 23.
It may perhaps be que ioned whether this should be applied to ships without national papers. See Article 69.

## Who liable.

381. The party in fault, within the meaning of article 379, is the owner of the ship, defects in which, or in the appurtenances or management of which, or the acts or omissions of inmates of which, contribute to produce the collision.

The ship' itself, and such freightage as is due, ${ }^{2}$ are also liable, but neither the freight nor the owners thereof. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
'The Ruby Queen, Lushington's Rep., 266.
A bome fide transfer without notice does not divest the injured party's lien, if he is not guilty of laches in enforcing it. Edwards $v$. The Stockton, Crabbe's Rep., 580 ; The Bold Buccleugh, 3 W. Robinson's Rep., 220 ; Harmer $\varepsilon$. Bell, $\boldsymbol{i}$ Moore's Pricy Council Rep., 267. But this lien, like every admiralty lien, may be lost by delay to enforce it. The Admiral, 18 Lav Reporter, 91.
${ }^{2}$ German General Mercantile Lac, Part VIII., Art. II., 冬 736; The Victor, Lusthington's Rep., id.
${ }^{3}$ Freightage on cargo due to the ship owner is liable; deductions, as by charter, from gross freight, and reasonable deductions for non-delivery at port of destination, being allowed. The Leo, Lushington's Rep., 444; 31 Lat Journal, Adm., 78 ; 6 Lan Times, (N. S.,) 58.

## Personal liability of wrong-doer.

382. Article 379 does not affect the personal liability of the inmate of any ship for the consequences of his own fault.

Germun General Mercantile Lato, Part VIII., Art. II., 今 736 ; and see Hale c. Washington Insurance Company. 2 Story's U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep., 136; 'The Wild Ranger. ;2 Lao Journal, Adm., 49; 7 Lan Times, (N. S., 725; 9 Jurist, (N. ©., 134.

Compulsor? pilotage.
383. When the ship is in charge of a pilot, where
pilotage is compnisory, and the crew have performed the daties required of them.' the owner and shipare not responsible for the collision if cansed by the pilot:' but it is the duty of the State by which the comploymunt of the pilot was compelled. to indemnify the partiox injured.'
 dolng ship having, by compulaion of $\ln w$, a pilot on bracd, were helds ant to be exempt, under the 8senth enetion of the Merehant shbiprotng Ars. 1854, from liabilty for damagen, where a neglect of duty ons the pert of the master conduced to the collision.

- German General Mereancile Lasie, Part Vill. \% ito Havimg a pulbes on board in not an exoneration. The Carolus, 2 C'urtio $\mathcal{C}$. s. Ciresas Cs Rep., 69 : Denimon e. Seymour, 9 Wendelts (Nicie fork) Rep. 9
- This qualification in added, an belug a reasonablefe condition to anpers to compulsory pilotage.
The expediency of the law of compuleory pilotage, on tar an it exemper the owner of the wrong doing vernel from all liability. wan consididerval and questioned in The Halley, Lave Rap., 2 Adm. di Eice., 8, where the plaio tiffs, owners of a forvign vesuel, claimed damages for a collision twiwern their vessel and an Einglish ship, in Belgian waters. The detradants, the owners of the Finglish ahip. pleaded that, by the Belgian laws, pilotage was compulsory in the place where the collision oceurred. It was beld. that the plaintifte were "ntitled to plead, in reply, that by the same lawe. the owner of the wrongtoligg vensel, although compelled to take a pilot on board, continued liable for the damagen.


## CHAPTER XXXIV.

GE.NERAL AVERAGF.
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. Tellison.
384. A rarrier by water may, when in case of extreme peril it is necessary for the physical sarety of the ship or cargo, throw overboard, or otherwise sacrifice, any or all of the cargo or appurtenances of the ship. Throwing property overboard for such purpose is called jettison.

Lawrence r. Minturn, 17 Morard's U. S. Sup. Ct. Rep., 100.
This and several of the following Articles are, substantially. from the Ciril C'ode, reported for New York, pp. 336, 333\%.
"To constitute a case of general average," says Judge Marvis, (Report on Int. Ger. (xe..)"three things must concur: 1st. There nust be a common danger impending, in which ship, freight, and cargo participate. 2nd. There must be a sacrifice of a portion of the ship or cargo, or extraordinary expenses incurred for the purpose of avoiding that common peril. Brd. The attempt to avoid the peril must be successful."
" The Fnglish and French systems, as alministered by the average adjusters, in the absence of express decisions of the courts on the question, accurd best with the idea, that the motive for making the sacrifice or incurring the expense must be the common physical safety of the property : and this attained, the general average charges cease, although the ship may not have completed the voyage. The American system accords best with the: idea, that the motive may be either the physical safety of the property, or the common benefit ; $i$. e., the arrival of the ship and cargo in company at the port of delivery. The English and French systems recog. nize the idea, that the community of interest is interrupted or suspended by the landing of the cargo in a place of safety, however remote from the port of destination; whereas the Anerican system recognizes the community of inferest as continuing, uninterruptedly, until the termination of the adventure."

Graler of jettison.
385. A jetticon must begin with the most bulky and least valuable articles, so far as may be practicable.

Conle if Commerer, Art. 411.
By whom made.
386. A jettison can be made only by authority of
the master of a ship, except in case of his disability, or of an overruling necessity, when it may be mad. by any other person.

## 3 Kent's Commentarics, $2 s s$.

## General average.

387. Except as hereinafter provided. all lossen cauned by jettison, and all damage done to ship or froight. or both, by the master, or by his orders, when nereseary for the physical safety of the ship or cargo.' as aloo the. consequential damage resulting therefrom. and the expenses incurred for the same purpose are g.theral average.'
[^26]
## Loss, hove borne.

388. A general average loss, ' when lawfully made. must be borne in due proportion by all that part of the ship. appurtenances, freightage and freight. for the benefit of which the sacritice was made, and which was really saved,' as well as by the owner of the thing sacrificed.'

[^27]for reimbursement loolds goot an far ns such steps are concerned．See Baruard r．ddams， 10 Hovard＇s U．s．Sup．C＇t．Rep．，270，30：3．
 and i．that the obligation to contribute to general average from an article sared，is not anmulled because the urticle is subject subsequently to par－ ticular arerage，unless it is totally destroyed

That Code also provides that ammunition and provisions of the ship， wages and effects of erew，and baggage of passengers do not contribute． （ 725
${ }^{3}$ Lee $r$ ．Grinnell， 5 Duer＇s（Neic York）Rep．，431；Simonds $c$ ．White， 2 Barneirall of C＇ressicell＇s Lip．，805．But by the German Mercantite Lavo， an average lows does not in general constitute a personal liability． － 208.

Loss，houc adjusted．
389．The proportions in which a general average loss is to be borne must be ascertained by an adjustment， in which the owner of each separate interest is to be charged with such proportion of the value of the thing lost as the value of his part of the property affected bears to the value of the whole．But an adjustment made at the end of the voyage，if valid there，is valid everywhere．＇

3 Kent＇s Commentaries， 232.
${ }^{1}$ Simonds $n$ ．White， 2 Barnemall d Cressinell＇s Rep．， 805.
The German General Mercantile Lan（（今心夊 711，\＆c．，）contains provisions regulating the adjustment in detail．

## Consular poncer．

390．A nation may give to its consuls power to ad－ just averages and regulate repairs，in the case of ships of such nation coming within the country of the cou－ sul＇s residence，when such acts are demanded by a party concerned who has no domicil in the country， and there is no agreement between the parties for a dif－ ferent mode of adjustment，or regulation of repairs．

But a consular adjustment，or average，or regulation of repairs，made under this article，does not bind any person who is either domiciled in the country，or a member of a third nation，unless he consents to the submission to the consul．

Suggested by the treaty between the United States and France，Feb．23， 1833, Art．X．，（ 10 ，U．S．Stat．at $L ., 998$ ，which provides that consuls shall
recesve the declarations, protests, and reports of all captaine of veasele of their wation, in referencen to injurino [acoiries] experioneed at ora They shall exatalse and note the storage. In the abmencer of estipulation
 charged with the repairm [rggler ecen uearies.). If inhabitante of tbe country or membern of a third nation are laterontod, and the partire cass not agrees, the local aushoritien shall decible.

To the satre effect is the provinion th the treaty betworss the t'pited Staten and


 shipped in such voswels, sec treaty betwren the L'sited statea and

New Granada, May 4, 1850, Art. III., 10 U.s. Stat at L. 900

## Jettison of deck cargo.

391. A jettison of timber or deals, or any other description of wood freight, carried on the deck of a ship. in pursuance of a general custom of the trade in which the ship is then engaged, must be made good as general average, in like manner as if such freight had been jettisoned from below deck.

No jettison of deck froight, other than timber or deals, or other wood so carried, is to be made good as general average.'

Every structure, other than mast, spars and rigging. not built in with the frame of the ship, below deck, is to be considered a part of the deck of the ship.
This and the ten following articlew are from the Report prepared by Judge Whliam Marvis, in the Proceedinge of the International Con. gress held at York. England. September, 18et, for the purpoee of prozoot ing a uniformity in the mode of adjusting general averages in the differ ent countrics of the world.
${ }^{1}$ The American rule is, that the owner of things stored on deck, in case of their jettison, is entitled to the benefit of a general average motribu tion only in case it is wsual to stow such thinge on dork upon such a roy. age. Jawrence e. Minturn, 17 Howard's U. S. Sup. C'. Rep. 100. Saiwand r. Stevens, 3 Gray's Rep., 97: Smith r. Wright, 1 Cinines' Rrp. 43 ; Irpers e. United Ins. Co., 3 Johnemo's Cases. (Neve Yort.) 15:s: Harris e Moudy. 1 Boancorth's (Ner York) Rep., 210; Gould r. Oliver, 4 Binghasom's Rrp.l.' C., 184: S.C., 2 Manning of Granger's Rep., 20s: Milward $p$ Hibbert. \& Queri's Beneh Rep., 120.
 tion, deck cargo in the coasting trade, when allowed by law.

## Damage by vater and breakage.

392. Damage done by water which unavoidably goes down a ship's hatches opened, or other opening made for the purpose of a jettison, must be made good as general average, in case the loss by jettison is so made good.'

Damage done by breakage and chafing, or otherwise, from derangement of stowage consequent upon a jetison, must be made good as general average. ${ }^{\text {a }}$


## Extinguishing fire o" shipboard.

393. Damage done to a ship and freight, or either of them, by water or otherwise, in extinguishing a fire on board the ship, is the subject of general average.
This damage is rejected from general average in England, and admitted in the United States, Holland and Belginm. Baily, 81; 5 Duer's (Nen York) Rep., 310 ; 25 Pennsyloania Rep., 366.

Cutting away, wreck.
394. Loss caused by cutting away the wreck or remains of spars, or of other things which have previously been carried away by sea peril, is not to be made good as general average.
This damage is not allowed in general average in England or the United States. It is allowed in France. So by the German Mercantile Lave, \& 708.
In Belgium, in practice, one third the value is considered as general average, one-third particular, and one-third new for old.

In Holland, the value of the wreck, as such, is contributed for.

## Voluntary stranding.

395. When a ship is intentionally run on shore becanse she is sinking, or driving on shore or rocks, the damage caused to the ship, the froight, and the freightage, or any of them, by such intentional running on shore, is not to be made good by general average.

The question, naym Marcin. (Repart on Ine (ien .tre.) hae nerep bewn delded by the courts of Fingland, but the unbitorm practice of the average adjuster is to disallow thif loos of datmage in general arenage Baily, 85.

In france and spains, it in allowed in general average, provided the ship in got off whth her cargo on lwand, or if, the cargo having bern landed, abe takes her cargo again on loard, and procovelo to a port of refuge, or se


But if the ship is lows, there is no contribution. The Marine Ondinasme of Louls XIV. declaree that if the jottimon doew nut Nave the ship. these is no ground for contribution. Volin, Liv. 3, Tit. 8: Du jet of de le contribution. Spaniah Corle, Art. 033.
 tary stramding, in order to avoid capturv or sinking, are general arerage. but if the stranding wan in order to avoid sinking, and the ship is not got off, or, after being got off, in found incapable of repair, the damage is not general average.

The Maritime Coder of Holland, Sorway, Swedew, Denmark, and the United States, all make damuge caused by voluntary strandiog general average, and thin whthout regand to the question whether the ship is oubs. sequently refloated of not. Adamw e. Baraarl, 10 Hoomerts $l$. S. siop. Cs Rep., 280: Columbian Ins. Co. c. Ashby, 13 Preera' U'. S. Sup. C's. Rep., 331.

## Carrying a press of sail.

396. Damage occasioned to a ship or freight, by carrying a press of sail, is not to be made good by general average.

Covington e. Roberts, 2 Bosinquet de Puller's Rep., Sis. Fignet. 390).
 the prens of sail was carried to avoid stranding or capture."

## Port of refuge expenses.

397. When a ship shall have entered a port of rofug". under such circumstances that the expenses of entering the port are admissible for general average, and when she shall have sailed thence, with her original froight, or a part of it, the corresponding expenses of leaving
the port shall likewise be so admitted for general average: and whenever the cost of discharging freight at such port is admissible for general average, the cost of reloading and stowing such freight on board the ship, together with all storage charges on such freight, are likewise admissible: except that any portion of the freight left at such port of refuge, on account of its being unfit to be carried forward, or on account of the unfitness or inahility of the ship to carry it, is not liable to contribute to such general average.

Wages and maintenance of crew in port of refuge.
398. When a ship shall have entered a port of refuge, under the circumstances mentioned in the last article, the wages and cost of maintenance of the master and mariners, from the time of entering the port until the ship shall have been made ready to proceed upon her voyage, are to be made good by general average; except that any portion of the freight left at such port of refuge, on account of its being unfit to be carried forward, or on account of the unfitness or inability of the ship to carry it, is not liable to contribute to such general average.

The conflict of authority on the guestion of port of refuge expenses, is fully discussed in Judge Marrin's Report, p. 30; citing Hopkins, 45; Baily, 179-80; Hall o. Jansen, 4 Ellis \& Blackivurn's Rep., 500 : Journal du Palais, vol. 72, p. 9; vol. 74, p. 613; vol. 75. pp. 189, 549 ; Couvet, 362, 3i2, 374 ; Dalloz, Jurixp., Gen., 1804, p. 70 : Antueerp C'ustoms, by Engles and Van Pelmurgh: Guide Generalis des Aks. Mar., p. 374 : Code de Com. of Spain ; Concordance outre les Codes, by St. Joseph ; Manucl de l' Assuré, by Morel; Nelson $v$. Belmont, 21 New York Rep., 36 ; McAndrews $r$. Thatcher, 3 Wallace's U. S. Sup. Ct. Rep., 347 ; Job $c$. Langton, 6 Ellis d Blackburn's Rep., 7 T9.

Damage to cargo in discharging.
399. Damage done to freight by discharging it at a port of refuge, is not admissible for general arerage, if such freight shall have been discharged at the place and in the manner customary at that port with ships not in distress

In practice, this damage is not allowed in general average by the Eng.
lish adjusters, but is by the Americau. I Wallace, Jrio U. S. Cire CY Rep., 355. And nee Caueet, 8972.
Beridee the foregoing clansen of general average lomes, the Gorman Mereantile Lave includen:
Hire of lightern, and damage by reahipping in lightealing the ohif: g 708, sub. 2.

Ammunition, and expenno of wounded and dead, and the compense tions, on a defense againnt enemice and piratex ; sub. S.

Redemption from capture, and maintonaace, and ransom of bootages. sub. 6.

Lowes and expenses of obtaining money during the voyage for pay. ment of general average, and expeamen of apportionment ; nub. i.

Contributory values.
400. The contribution to a general average must be made upon the actual values of the property at the termination of the adventure, to which must be added the amount made good by general average for property sacrificed; deduction being made from the ship-owner's freightage, and passage-money at risk, of two-fifths of such freightage, in lieu of crew's wages, port charges, and all other deductions; deduction being also made from the value of the property, of all charges incurred in respect thereof, subsequently to the arising of the claim to general average.

The provision on this subject in the Cicil Code, reported for Nes York, (p. 337, ) is as follows:

In extimating values for the purpose of a gencral average, the ship and appurtenance* must be valued as at the end of the voyage, the freightage at one-half the amount due on delivery, and the cargo as at the time and place of its discharge : adding. in each case, the amount made good by contribution. See 3 Kent's Commentaries, 242: 5 Duer's (Nowe York) Rep.,429; 1 Caines' Rep., 373; 2 Sergeant \& Rawe's (Pennagleania) Rep., 229.

## The same.

401. In every case in which a sacritice of freight is made good by general average, the loss of freightage, if any, which is caused by such loss of freight, is likewise to be made good.

The principle of a limitation of the liability of the ship-owner to the value of the ship and freight, for the acts and contracts of the master, to incorporated into the system of commercial law of France. Belgitum. Hol land, the German states, and probably every country on the contiment of Europe. Freneh Code, 216 ; Hothand Code, 821 ; German Coote, 452.

And, whether the general average consists of sacrifices to be made gexal, or exprenses to le reimbursed, the contributory values are the same, and are the values sared at the termination of the adventure. In both cases, if there is ne salvage, there is no contribution. Couret, s. 418 .

But the English and American courts do not limit the linhility of the shipewner to the value of the ship and freight. i Johnson's (Nex York) Rep., 418; 9 Massachusettx Rep., 548 ; 14 Id., 66; 2 Phillips, 喜 1374; 2. Arnould, 344: 5 C'mmmon Beneh Rep., 330 .

And in the law of these countries, a distinction obtains, in certain cases, between surrifices and expenditures, in fixing the contributory values in the adjustment of general averages. As to losses arising out of ancrifices, the law is the same as in the other countries above named. But in adjusting losses arising from expenditures, not secured by bottomry or respondentia, the value of the property at the time the expenses are incurred, is taken as the true contributory value, and contribution may be exacted in this case, though nothing is ultimately saved. 2 Phillips, \& 1354; 2A Arnould, SS 344-349; 9 Massachusetts Rep., 548 ; Phillips' Benecke, 241 .

## CHAPTER XXXV.

salvage.
Article 402. When allowed.
403. Officers, seamen and pilots.
404. Forfeiture of salrage.
405. Special contract.
406. Amount, how fixed.
407. Apportionment between several salvors.

## When allowed.

402. Except as provided in the next article, any person' who rescues, or contributes to rescue ${ }^{2}$ from danger ${ }^{3}$ a ship, her appurtenances, or cargo, or other property, against which a court of admiralty may give a remedy ;" or who rescues, or contributes to rescue the lives of the persons belonging to such ship," is entitled to a reasonable compensation therefor, to be paid out of the property saved.

Such compensation is termed salcage."

[^28]ahould be awarded to wrecking eotmpanies organized, and employiag geid servanta at megular wagme, to roweue wreeks and reseels in dietrme 1 At boti's Juriadietion \& Pructice of U. S. Courts, SEA. But it areme to ecourd with mound public policy to recognize auch claime. The Ciamache, 8 Wallaceis U. S. Swp. CY. Rep., the Therefore, no metriction to inserted bere. The apportionment of the num awaried between the company aad their mervanta, in such cames, mumt deppend on their enntract.
' An abandoned attempt does not entitle the maker to ahare in the el. vage of a subwequent succomeful attempt by othem. Otherwise, where auceeraive aseamtances are rendered by different persoms, all contribatiag to the reacue. The Ialand City, 1 Bhack's U. S. Sup. CY. Rep., 121.

Attempted mervicea performed under an agroment of calrage, are ea. titled to be rewarded when the performaner of them is rendered impos. alble by the act of Gnd. The Undaunted, 29 Lair Journat, Adm, 176 ; Luahington'a Rep., 90.
${ }^{3}$ The danger may be of damages of the aras, fire, pirates, or enemion. Jonce on Salaage, p. 1.
The German law says, in came of "dintres" or "danger." The Ameer. ican doctrine is, that there must be danger beyond the ordinary exponares. and requiring more than the ordinary mervices. Certainty of deatruction without the service is not cemential. I Abbott's Juriadiction \& Proctice of U. S. Courls, 5it. See, also, The Charlotte, 3 W. Robineon's Rep. 71.

Even though a vemel has sustained no real damagr, yet if she io in a position of reamonable apprehension of actual danger, aecintance rendered to her under such circumstances will be of the nature of a salvage service. Jones on Sulage, p. 3; The Aztecs, 21 Lave Tinmes, (N. S.) i97. See, aloo, The Ralkes. 1 Haggarda Adm., 246; The Phantom, Iave Rep., 1 Adm. ${ }^{*}$ Ecc., 58 ; and The Jomeph C. Griggx, 1 Bemedief's Adme, 80.

It would be equally a salvage service, whether it were rendered at sea, or upon property wrecked at aee, but then apon land. Stephens e. Balea of Cotion, Bee, 170.
4 A Rart of Spars, Abbou's Adm. Rep., 485; and Tome e. Dubois, 6 Wallace's U. S. Supreme Court Rep., 548.
Valuable papers, \&c., and life are not the nubjectn of salrage. The Emblem, Daceis' Rap., 61 ; The Mulhoune, 12 American Lam Rop. (N. S.s) 276.

The principle seems to be, that malrage may be allowed uponany description of property found in peril at sea, which is of such a nature that it might be the specific anbject of proceedingo in adnitralty as a moan of paying the amount awarded. The remedy, however, is persoanal, an well as agruinat the apecific thing.
${ }^{4}$ The Einglish Merehant Shipping Aet, 1854. See, also, The Fizetern Monarch, Lnasington'a Rep., 81; The Thoman Fielden. at Lam Jour., Ad., 61. See, however, note 4, above.

Where there in a joint salrage, a resel aring life as wrill as property has been awarded a higher remuneration than one reving property alose. The (llarisec, Sumbeg's Rep., 129. Ser, also. The Cimmandel. 14, 900 : The Bartloy, Id., 188 ; The Alma, Lwehington's Rep., 87 x

The owners of the cargo must bear their proportion of salvage awarded for saving the lives of passengers on board the vessel; and it seems their liability in this respect is not affected by the fact that the efforts of the walvors did not contribute to the safety of the cargo. The Fusileer, 84 Late Journ., Ad., 25.

- The German ('orle further provides, ( (853,) that " with respect to the salvage and assistance expenses, which shall be understood to include the amount awanded for such salvage and assistance, the creditor has a lien on the salved or preserved articles, and with respect to the salved, may detain them until security for the amount has been given." . . .
(S i54.) "The master may not deliver the goods, either wholly or in part, until the creditor has been paid, or has received security; otherwise, he makes himself personally liable to the creditor, so far as the latter's claim could have been satisfied out of the delivered goods, at the time of their delivery."
(S 755.) "Salvage and rendering of assistance do not of themselves impose a personal responsibility for payment of salvage and assistance expenses. But the receiver of the goods, when it is known to him at the time he received them that the same were liable for salvage or assistance expenses, becomes personally liable for such expenses," under certain restrictions.
: The German law makes a distinction between salvage, which it only allows " when, in case of distress, a ship or its cargo, being no longer under the control of the crew, or having been abandoned by the same, are taken charge of, either wholly or in part, by third parties, and brought into safety ;" and, what is called a claim for assistance, which is allowed " when, in any other case than the above, a ship or its cargo is rescued from a state of distress by the help of third parties."

Officers, seamen and piluts.
403. Officers and seamen of the ship concerned, or of a public ship of the nation of the ship concerned, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ are not entitled to salvage, except in case of services rendered after being discharged from the obligations of their contract. ${ }^{3}$

A pilot not belonging to the ship ${ }^{3}$ concerned may claim salvage for services not part of his official duties as pilot. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
${ }^{1}$ The English rule is now understond to be, that walvage is not allowed to a national vessel for recapture of another vessel employed in the public service: and this rests upon the ground that the service is in the direct line of duty of a national [public] vessel ; but the United States allow salvage in such cases. 12 Opinimus of U.S. Attorneys-General, 289.

It has been held in the Linted States, that the officers and crew of a foreign war vessel are entitled to clain as salrors. Robson $v$. The Huntress, 2 Wullace Jr.'s U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 59.
'If the contract betwoen the owneno and the erow be termituated by a
 thlod to salvagy rewant for the acrione they mulmegurntly rember to. warde the prewervation of the shif, or eargo Jonce on sifleoger, f. 15: Thie



Capture by a ledligerent difoolvex of nuipenda the connection betworn the meamen and their voweel; and if they nowue the vorevel from the enemy, they are cutbled to malrager, Jones on siofengr, p 91 : The Two
 Tim. CY. Rep., 141 : Williamm e. Suffilk Ins. Co., is Siomarris C. si dire. is R.p., 970.

The American doctrine recognizes noother qualification, namoly, that morvicen entirely above the dutiex of a maman, roblervel in a mpirit of kal antry, may be compensated upon walvage principhes. The John Tayler, Newierry's Adm. Rep., 341 : The Johon Perkins, 9 Amerirish Iater Rep. (N. S., 4!6): The Dawn, Dorcis Rep., 121, 142 : Mary Hale. Morrin ofo Eideage, 161.
${ }^{3}$ Holart ©. Drogan. 10 Prtera' U.S. sup. Ct. Rep., 10 E : Hand e. The E.l. vim, Gilpin's IRep.. 60.

The lawn of mont of the Uinited Staten make it a part of the duty of a pilot to assist veseels in distress: and. in mome instances, give the rate of extra compensation to be awarded-their wervices being considered as extra pilotage mervices, and not an walvage. 2 I'armons on Shipping. 271.
${ }^{4}$ The Wave e. Hyer, 2 Painces U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 131.

## Forfeilure of salrage.

404. No person has a claim for salvage:
405. Who unnecessarily forced the acceptance of his services ;' or,
406. Who has not immediately notified to the master or owner, if possible, and to the local authorities, the property saved ;' or,
407. Who hat embezaled or connived at the emberal-ment of any part, however small, of the property saved."

The law upon this point in lald down by Sir Jous Cotskimer. in The Athas, 1 Luahington's Rep., 518, 528: Where "succean in finally oteained. no mere mistake or error of juidgnent in the manner of procuring it-no misconduct ahort of that which is willful, and may le conoideme criminal, proved begond a reamonable doubt by the ownern ravisting the claim -will work an entire forfeiture of salvage. Mistake or miscondurt chios: than criminal, which diministes the value of the property alvod, or ore. caxions uxpense to the ownets, are properly considervit in the amoubt of compensation to be awardevl." See Joura on Nitiongr, dh. Vil.

[^29]
## Special contrart.

405. When during the danger a contract has been made in good fairh' respecting the amount of the salvage. such contract must regulate the amount, unless excessive : ${ }^{2}$ in which case, it may be reduced to such amount as is proved to be reasonable. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ The Theodore, Sicubry's R R $p$., 3 an : The Itelen \& George, Id., 368; The Arthur. G Janc Timex.(N. s.,) $5: 50$.

* Bondies $r$. Sherwood, S2 Horrard" C.S.Suprome Court Rep., 214
*A. D. Patchin, 1 Blatelyond'* U. S. Cire. Ct. Rep., 414 : Eads $x$. The II. D. Bateon, 1 Vacherry's Adm. Rep.,2it.

Amoment, how fixed.
406. The amount awarded for salvage must be fixed, in the discretion of the court, in each case, as an adequate reward, not only for the work done, and expenses incurred, but the zeal shown, the risks run, and the value of that which was saved.

It does not include, howerer, the costs and fees of the legal authorities. the duties and charges to which the articles saved may be liable, or the expenses of storing, preserving, valuing, or disposing of the same.

It can in no case exceed one-half the value of that which was saved.'

## Gicrman lave, 就 74-its.

By Article 89, malvage in the cane of piracy in restricted to one fourth
1 A molety of the value of the vexmel asd cargor, in a cuar of the al vage of a derelict, wan formerly the amount awarded, but the traritime courtw now give only wuch ansount an is fit and proper with referetice bes all the circumstatices of the case. including the value of the progersy anved, and the rink to the property of the nalvors. Kirby e. The Ownere of The Selindia. Lase Rep., 1 P. C., 241.

## Apportionment beticeen several salvors.

407. Where several persons have taken part in the salvage services, the amount awarded must be divided among them in proportion to the service each may have rendered, personally or with his property, or, in case the proportion can not be determined, then according to the number who are to participate.

Those who in the same casualty devoted themsolves to the saving of human life, are entitled to particpate equally with the others.

## German Lave, $8 \mathbf{5} 50$.

The next mection of that law provides that "when the ship or its cargo is etther wholly or in part salred or preserved by another ship, then the amount awarded for salvage or assintance in divided between the owner, the master, and the rest of the crew of the other ship, unlese it ahall have been otherwise specially agreed between them, in such proportion that the owner shall take one-half, the master onequarter, and the reat of the crew the other onequarter. Among the latter the amount shall be divided in proportion to the pay to which each is entitled, or to which, ace cording to his rank, he is entitled."

## TITLEXII.

## MPOSTS

The provisions of this 'Title are suggested by the provisions usua: in commercial treaties between the principal commercial Powers. A num-
 Conited states, are specially reforred to under the Articles. These citations might lo extended to earlier or lews important treaties. In the British Parliamentary Papers, 1s66, Accomute of Papera, vol. LAXVI.. (38.) is a return showing the then existing commercial treatics of (ireat Britain, and indicating which of them establish reciprocity, which contain the most favored mation clause, and which regulate or provide for an equalization of shipping dues.

> Abticle 408. Equality in forcign commerce and mavigation.
> 4\%). No unfavorable discrimimations on account of national character or origin.
> 410. Restrictions on examimation of cargo aud charges.
> 411. Ships exempt from tomage dues.
> 412. What acts not to be considered acts of commerce.
> 413. Computation of tonnage.
> 414. Fxception as to fisheries, coasting trade and intermal navigation.
> 415. Commercial travellers.
> 416. Duty on samples.

Equality in foreign commerce and nanigation.
408. There shall be maintained between the territories' of all the nations reciprocal liberty of commerce and navigation to all persons and ships bearing the character of any of the nations. ${ }^{2}$ And, except as provided in article 414, whatever trafficis allowed by any nation to its domestic ships, or to those of any other nation, shall be allowed, upon the same terms, to the vessels of all the other nations."
${ }^{1}$ 'The treaty between the Vinited States and the Netherlands, Aug. 26, 1852, Art. II., ( 10 U .5 . Stat. at $L ., 9503$, expressly extends the rule of reci-

```
procity is relation to the thags of the iwo nations, to the colonien. No
does that betwrent Cirvat Britain and Prumin, Iag. 16, INass, Art. II, f.te
counte of Propera, INBB, vol. L.XXVI, :SN.)
    \({ }^{-}\)Treaty teiwien the Unitevl Statem and
```



```
    \({ }^{5}\) Convention betwevin the Viultovd Nitatem ated
```



```
        Bolivin, May 18.18is, -. IV:I2 IC., Iumb.
        Venozuela, Aug. 27, 1800, "V V., 12 /d., 1146.
        The Two siel.! Oct. 1.1825. ." X., II /4., e66.
```

    By numeroun Fronch treatien, all merchatelises, of which the importa
    tion or exportation in legal, may ine importod or exportat in forrigen an
well an domentic vemeln. Such merchandine, imported into rither nation
by forcign verobela, may be deliverovl for omnumpition, trasepurtation. of
reexportation, or wtored at the dispowal of the owner, or himagenter, in
all camew without twing nubjert to morv busideumothe conditions than
thowe which apply to merchandise in donestic vowels.
Treaty lvetween Francy and
Swiven and Norway, Fith 14, 1965, Art. IV., y /ke C'lerreq, 172.
The Fine Citien of I.us-)

burg.
(imand Duchy of Meek.
henlourg Seliwerin, (ex.

Duchy of Mecklen-
bugg-sirulitx,

Ruswia,


To wimilar effict in the treaty tretwern franee and


Seve, almo, invaty lwetweol the United Statomand


The exception in the case of consting and interual uarigation io pro
vided for hy Article 414 .
No unfabornble diseriminalions on acount of na-
lional characher or origin.
409. No discrimination in the tratment in any $n$ spect, whether as to duties, charges, priviloges, draw. backs, or otherwise, shall be made by any mation against the ships of any other nation, or their conturns or traflic, in favor of those of its own national character, or these of any other nation whatemever, whether
a party to this Code or not, on account of the national chameter of ships or persons, or the origin of imports, or on account of the origin or destination of exports, or of property in transit through the country.'

This article shall apply, without respect to the nationality of any foreign ports included in the royage.'
${ }^{1}$ The commercial treaties provide in detail for many particulars which it is thought are comprehended in the alove general principle. The scope of the special provisions of the treaties may be summarily stated as follows:

Dutica on importx. - No higher or other duties shall be charged by either nation on the importation of grods in the vessels of the other than on the same in vessels of its own national character.

Treaty between the 1 Inited States and
Nicaragua, June 21, 1867, Art. V1., 15U.S. Stat.at L., (Tr.,)59.
Dominican Republic, Feb. 8, 1867 , " VI., 15) Id., ( Tr r., $^{\text {, }} 167$.
Bolivia, May 13, 1858, " IV., 12 Id., 1006.
Belgium, July 17, 1858, " II.-VIII., 12 Id., 1044.
Paraguay, $\quad$ Feb. 4, 1859, " V1., 12 Id., 1094.
Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1860, " VI., 12 Id., 1146.
Two Sicilies, Oct. 1,1855, " X., 11 Id., 646.
And see treaty between the United States and
The Netherlands, Aug. 26, 185). Art. III., 10 U.S. Stat.at L., 983.
The treaty with The Two sicilies, above cited, and that with Hanover, June 10, 1846, Art. III., (9 U. S. Stat. at L., 859, and with MecklenburgSchwerin, Dec. 10, 1847, Art. III., (9 Id., 912,) forbid any priority or preference to be given to either nation, or any one on their behalf, in the purchase of articles imported, on account of the national character of the vessel.

Duties on exports.-No higher or other duties shall be charged, and no less bounties or drawbacks allowed, by either nation on the exportation of goods in the vessels of the other, than on the same in vessels of its own national character.

Treaties between the Enited States and Nicaragua, Art. VI.; Dominican Republic, Art. VI.; Bolivia, Art. W.; Belgium, Art. VIII.; Netherlands, Art. I., above cited.

See, also, the treaty between the Linited States and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hayti, Nor. 3, 1864, Art. N1., 13 U. s. Stat. at L., } 711 . \\
& \text { Ottoman Fampire, Feb. 25, 1862, ". VIII., i:3 ht., (609. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By the treaty between the United States and Belginm, above, salt and the produce of national fisheries are excepted.
Merchandise of any nature and origin, exported in forcign vessels, is not liable to charges or formalities respecting the exportation, other than those to which merchandise exported by domestic vessels is liable, and is en-
titled to enjoy all righte and drawharke. or orlier favorn which are ac. conded in the ence of domeatic reseele.

Treaty beswren Fratuce and


A nimilar provinion in contained in the trraty lotwern Fratice ams
Rumsia, June 14. 185 \%, Art. XIII., : De CVorro, 25\%.
Pontifical Statew, July 29, 1862. - XIIL, 8 /4., 7 as.
Port ehargee.-The veswels of one nation, from whernarever comaing. and entering, laden or in ballast, any port of amother nation, ape tort liable to pay in thowe portn, whether upon entry or exit, or during their exjourn. any other or greater chargen of tonnage, pilotage, brokorage, gunnation.
 denomination, for the benefit of the State, the district, or muateipul or local corporations, private individuals, or any other promen of metabtions. ment, than thowe which are chargeable upon domentic venoelm coming from the name place, and having the name dextination.

Treaty between France and

Free Cities of linberk.! March 4. 1860. ." II., 9 /d., 15\%.
Bremen and Hamburg, Bremen and Hamburgi!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Grand Duchy of Meck. } \\
& \text { lenburg . Schwerin, - } \\
& \text { (extended to the) Girand } \\
& \text { Duchy of Mecklenburg. } \\
& \text { Strelitz. } \\
& \text { June 9.1865. ." III., } 2 / \mathrm{d} . \\
& \text { Portugal. } \\
& \text { Austria. } \\
& \text { Russia, } \\
& \text { July } 11.1860,-x \text { XVII., } 2 / d ., 5 i x . \\
& \text { Dec. } 11.1806 . \\
& \text { June 14, 18:7." III., } \mathrm{H} \text {. } 298 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## To very similar effect in that between France and

Pontifical Statex, July 29, 1mbi, Art. X., 9 d., 789.
Provisions for the equality uf chargex on versels of both nations ant almo contained in the treaty between France and

San Salvador, Jan. 2, $185 \mathrm{~F}, 7 \mathrm{If} ., 362$.
Treaty between the Enited Statoo and
The Ottoman Empire, Feb, 25. 1862, Art. IX., 13 U. S. Not. it I... eno
And mee the treaties of the Unitod States, referred to under the pern. graph, above, on "duties on imparts."

Some of thoee treaties apecify also charges for anchonage, hartwor does. buoys, clearance, sulvage, and fees of public functionarica

By the French treaties, last abwo rited, each nation merrice the power to fimpose in tis own ports, on the whipe of other nations, and on merchan dise componing the cargo of such ships, special taxes for the orrtice of the port.

Facibifics in port.-Veracela of cach nation are entition in ther name pers. Hegen in rexpect to atationing, ladiug and unlaling. whthin the tafritory of the ofher, as are extended by the latier to domestic rromela

## Treaty letween (ireat Britand and

 Belgrium, duly $2: 3,156:$." V'I., IfI., IN6i3, vol. 1.XXIII., (45.).

'I'reaty between France and
Swalen and Norway, Fels, I4. Is6is. Art. II.,9 De Clereq. 172.
Fro.. (itions of lalurek.l Brelurn and llambinga. 1

Mar. 4. 18(iñ. " $1 V^{\prime},!/ d ., 187$.
(irand Duchy of Mock. lenhurer . N.hwerin (extembed to the ) (irand Duchy of Mecklenburg. Strulit\%.
Portugal. July 11.1866, $\quad / d .$, nis8.

By the treaty Ineiwerl Great Britain and Prussia, August 16, 1865, (Accothts ched Paperx, 1N6if, vol. LXXVI.. 38, it is provided that ships and their cargeres of each of the parties in the dominions of the other, shall be treated in every respect as national ships and their cargoes. But this stipulation does not affect the exelusive rights connected with fishery belonging to the subjects of either country, nor the local immunities enjoyed by a privileged clans in (ireat Britain.

Ihixcrimineting dutiex - No highor or other duties shall be imposed by either nation on the importation from the other nation of artickes, the growth, prodace, or manufacture of the other nation, than are or shall be imposed on the like artiches from any mation whatever.

And sere troaty letwoen the Cuited States and
Paragnay, Feb. 4, 18:5!, Art. IV., 12 IH., 109:3.
By the treaty between the linited States and the Netherlands, 18i2.
 extent.

The treaty betwern (ireat Britain and Austria, Dec, 16, 186in, Art. VI., (Acemuts med Poperx, INtif, wol. I.XXVI., BS, provides that internal imposts which are levied in the territory of one party on the provluction. preparation, or use of any article, whether on aceonnt of the State, or on acconnt of munioipalitios and corporations, slall under no pretext affect the proxloctions of the other party in a higher or more oncrous degree than the sume prealurtions of mative origin.

Prombets of fisherios are alsolexpressly mentioned as in addition to other articles of produce, growth or manufacture. in the treaty between the

United states and the Dominican Itepublic, Ars IX.: The Two sicilive. Art. XIV , ulnove.

 5052.$)$
 to dimeliarge a part of their cargo may, entiject to the lawe aom rogulatione of the nation, kewp on loward nuch of the cargo an in deatiset to aboaliory port, whether of the wanse country or of another, and carry it thither, without bring mequired to pay for such part of their carge any dutice of chargen wave thoe of the mervice of the port, and theme afe chargroblte only at the mane mate and in fixed for dousentic vereelo.

## Trwaty between Fraice and

> Frus (lities of lubivek.)
> Bromen and Ham? Mar. f, 1Mkis, Art. X., o De Clerry, 150 burg.
> Portugal, July 11,1 meb, " XXVi., $9 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Bax}$.
> Rumbia.
> June 1t. 185\%, ". VII., id..2is

Hy the convention betwoen the United statem and thelgiam. July 18. 1858. Art. XI., (12 U.S. Nite, ut L., 10tis.) carger mainat on the shipe of one nation while in the ports of the other, and deatimed for any forrign country, in not sulyect to any charges whatever other than theoef for the prevention of amuggling.
 noperan powers, an well an with uncivilizend or mon (hiristian statox, ervene to tee a shifting rule, toot mapterl to a permanent Coxde. Fully statod, it gectus to be an follown :
" It being the intention of the two high contracting partien to bisol thenmelves by the prevorting articlew, to invat cach other on the foocting of the most favorod nation, it is herrby agrevel twetwown them, that ang favore. privilege, or immunty whatever, in mattern of conmerte and narigations. which either contracting party han actually granted, or may bervafter grant, to the subjects or citixens of any other State, alall twe extebital to the subjects or citizens of the oflier high rontracting party gratuitouely. if the concession in favor of that other nation ohall have luen gratuitous: or in return for a compensation an nearly as pmestite of proportionate value and effiet, to teredjustext by mutual agrement, if the coborwitun whall have been conditional." This is the form in which it apprase in the treaty between the United Statere and

See, almo, to wimilar effect, traty between France and
Notherlands, July i. INeis, Art. XXXVIII . 9 /atilerry. az:
Fre Clities of L.ubeck, (Mar.t.18Rs. .- XXII,g/d. is: Bremen and Hamburgi!
Grand Duchy of Mock-
lenturg - sifhwerin -
 Duchy of Meokloniburg. Strelite.

For the exception to the most favored nation clanse in favor of frontier trathe, Federal laws, de., see the treaty letween Great Britain and Austria, Dec. 16. 1860, Art. I1.. A ©counts and Papers, 1866, vol. LXXVI., (88.)

The treaty between Great Britain and Prussia, above referred to, provides for "equality of treatment with native subjects in regard to charges on loading and unlosding. to warchousing and the transit trade, as also in regard to bounties, facilities, and drawbacks.

Some of the treaties are, like Article 409, much more general than the treatices above referred to. For instance, the language of the recent treaty between Great Britain and Prussia, Angnst 16, 18655. Art. I., (Accounts and Papers, 1866, vol. LXXVI.. (3s,) is as follows:
" British ships and their cargoes shall, in Prussia, and Prussian ships and their cargoes shall, in the Vnited Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, from whatever place arriving, and whatever may be their place of destination, and whatever may be the place of origin or destination of their cargoes, be treated in every respect as national ships and their cargoes.
" It is, howevor, agreed that the proceding stipulation shall not affect the rights connected with fishery belonging exclusively to the subjects of either country, within their respective marine territorial limits, nor the local immmities enjoyed in Great Britain not british suljects generally, but only by certain privileged classes in certain posts."

By the treaty between the United States and The Two Sicilies, Oct. 1. 1855, Art. VI., (11 U. S. Stat. at L., 643,) it is provided that the reciprocity established shall not extend to premiums which either nation may grant to their own citizens or subjects to encourage the building of ships to sail under their own flag.
${ }^{2}$ Treaty between the Unted States and The Two Sicilies, above cited; Bolivia, May 13, 1858, Art. IV., (12 U.S. Stat. at L., 1006 ;) Hayti, Nov. 3, 1864, Art. Xl., (13 Id., 111.$)$

A similar provision is contained in the treaty between France and the Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Schwerin, (extended to the) Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Strelitz, June 9, 1865, Art. VIII., 9 De Clereq. 295.

Compare treaty between France and Russia, June 14, 1857, Art. XII. \% Id., 278.

Restrictions on examination of cargo and charges. 410. Except as otherwise provided in this Code, foreign ships cannot be subjected to account for their freight, unless preparing to discharge it; nor to pay any charges, unless they enter port; and then only such as are chargeable upon domestic ships in the like cases.

Suggested by treatien betwern the United states and Prussia, 1785, (8
 have a provision to the effect that no examination required by the laws of
either nation, of property laden in lits ports on the shipe of the enter, cons be required after the lading. atal surh shiges aball mot be omertiod, walrse property has bert clandestisely ant illiggally ladete. Is which came the pernon ty whowe orter it wat carried on lxard, or who catried it without
 fhall any other gomals, nor the veroel, Imedetained for that causer

It down not, howner, meta desinable to reongrize surli a rule ab of gen eral obligation.

Ships exempt from tonnagr dues.
411. The following ships are free from tonnage dues on entry, sojourn, or departure:

## 1. Public armed ships ;

2. Ships which, entering in ballast from whatever place, neither discharge ballast, nor take in freight ;
3. Ships which, passing from one port to another of the same nation, whether it be to discharge all or a part of their freight, or to lade freight, have already paid such charges;
4. Steamships engaged in the postal service, or the transportation of travellers and their baggage, and in no other commerce :
5. Ships which, entering a port, whether voluntarily or by stress, leave it without performing any act of commerce;' and,
6. Pleasure yachts, the passports of which state their quality as such, and which have on boand no goods subject to duty, and leave port without performing any act of commerce. ${ }^{\text {? }}$
[^30]What at mot to be considered rats of commerce.
412. The following acts in a port of refinge are not to be considered as atets of commerree, within the last article:

1. Unlading and relading merehandise for the repair or purification thereof, or of the ship;
2. The transfir of merehandise from one ship to another, in case the former proves unseaworthy ;
3. Expenditures necessary for lood and equipment; and,
4. 'The sale of damaged freight, by authority of the proper reventhe officers.
'Treaty befween France and



## Cormpulalion of tonnu!ge.

413. All tonnage dues on a foreign ship bearing a passport such as is preseribed by article 278 , must be reckoned either aceording to the tomatge stated in the passport, or aconding to the mode of measurement in nse in the port where the shif lices, as the master may elect.
```
Treaty leotwern franece and
```



```
    (irand Wucliy of Mock.
        Ironburser Nichwerin -
```



```
        Duchy ut Mocklenburg.
        sitrolitz.
    Austria, Dece 11, 1866; . \(11 ., 9 / d .0\) 6ins.
```



```
    Honduras. Fiol. 29.1824, ." X1., i Id., 10.
```

F.scepplion ass to fisthries, coasting trude, and interual unbi!falion.
414. 'The provisions of this 'litle do not prevent a nation from riving to its members, or to domostie ships ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of any kind, ${ }^{2}$ exomptions. privileges, or "xelusive rights in roference to:

1. The national tisheries, or their produce ; or,

## 2. Domestic navigation, ${ }^{\circ}$ as defined by article $\mathbf{5 7 2}$.

"The unial language of the treastion ing that then Articles in quemtion de

 give exclusive of other privilegen th its own pwople and shifeo over afl othens.
 Gad I'upers, ISMK, vol. I.XXV'., iD.)

The trvaty between France and the dirasel Dachy of Morklowburg Schwerin-(extendeal th ther) (irand Duchy of Morkleoboseg Nitrolise. Juse
 power engaged in internal mavigation, shall ine treatevl on the matsen goon ing an voterels of the mont facoreal mations.
-Trenty betwern the Uniterl Statom and

${ }^{3}$ Treaty between France and

> Auntria, Dere 11, 1Siki, Art. IX.. 9 Ite Ciloreq. Gist.
> Pontifical Statow, July :29, INB\%. ." XVII., 9 /d.. 739.

And other Frwnch treatien.

- Treaty between France and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Poutilical States, July 29, 186\%. " XIII., } 9 \text { /d., } 759 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Commercial tranellers.

415. No nation shall impose a license tax upon commercial travellers seeking orders or making purchases for their principals in :mother nation, and carrying no merchandise other than samples.

This provision is from the conmureial traty betwoull France and Siwit.
 however, that such travellens shall be duly anthorized by their own gove ermuent, acconding to the formatition th be agrovi upon betwen the two untions.

I'reaty between France and Austria, Dec. 11, 18MK, Art. XV., 9 De Clereq. 646.
To very similar effiert are the following :
Treaty between France and

Sweden and Norway,
Portugal,
Friee Cition of lubeck, Bromen and Hamburg;
(irand Ducly of Meck lenbarg . Schwerin (extended to the) (irand Duchy of Mecklenburg. Strelitz.

Fich. 14, 1*Ki, Art. XV.. 9 /h C/emp. 151




June 9.18R5, " XIX., $9 \mathrm{ld}$. . 2 i

The treaty between France and Belgium, April 2T, 1854, Art. XIX., (6 De Clereq, $4: 0$, subjects commercial travellers to a tax.

For the rule adopted between France and'Switzerland, see 9 De Clercy, 319.

The provisions of the protocol between France and The Free Cities, in reference to regulations for commercial travellers, and the importation of samples, provided for annual licenses in two forms-one for manufacturers and merchants, and the other for commercial travellers; and also for offices in each country, for the inspection and admission of samples. 9 De Clereq. 20.

## Duty on samples.

416. Dutiable articles, when carried as samples under the last article, shall be admitted, temporarily, free of duty. But proper security may be required fo their re-exportation.

This provision is from the commercial treaty between France and Switzerland, June 30, 1864, (9) De Clercq, 56.) which also provides that the necessary formalities shall be regulated by agreement between the two govermments.

Treaty between France and
Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg - Schwerin (extended to the) Grand June 9, 1865, Art. XX., 9 De Clercq, 295. Duchy of Mecklenburg. Strelitz,
Netherlands, July 7, 1865, " XXIII., 9 Id., 337.
The regulations governing the execution of the provision for the admission of samples free of duty, and for the annual license of commercial travellers, under the treaty between France and Austria, are contained in the protocol of Dec. 11, 1866, (9 De Clercq, 662.)

As to the identification of samples, see also protocol to treaty between France and the Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Schwerin, (9 De Clercq, 308.)

# XIII. <br> QUARANTINE. 

Anticis: 41\%. Quarantise.<br>418. For what dimeance yuarantine may to fimposerl.<br>419. Devention of shjper.<br>4:0. Shipm may put to sea, when.<br>491. Difmit of quarautine.<br>429. Iegulationn.


#### Abstract

A summary of the lawn and mgulations of different countries on quarantine will be found In a papeop by Dr. Milroy, In the Tritacestions of the 

Another paper by the wame nuthor is found in Id., vol. for $1 \times 59$, p. 591. Several conventiona on thix subject are to lwe found in 6 the tilereq. 141: 

See, also, an account of the Quarantine Conference of Paris. In Trase  p. 60i.


## Quarantine.

417. Each nation, for the protection of the public health, may impose, in any ports of its territory, quarantine upon all ships, public or private, arriving from other ports, and the persons and property on board, and may impose, on any part of its land frontiers, quarantine upon any person or property abont to enter, subject to the following articles of this Title.

## For what diseases quarantine may be imposed.

418. Quarantine may be imposed for any of the following diseases, and no other, viz: yellow fever. cholera, typhus or ship fever, small-pox, and any new disease, not now known, of a contagious, infectious, or pestilential nature.

Detention of ships.
419. Ships arriving in a foul and unwholesome condition, even though provided with clean bills of health. and though no case of disease has occurred during the
voyage, may be smbjected to quarantine detention and puritication.

Shipse maty put to sea, when.
420. Any ship, before breaking bulk, may put to sea, in preference to being subject to quarantine.

Limit of quarantime.
421. Quarantine shall in no case exreed thirty days.

Regulations.
422. Subject to the foregoing articles of this Title, dach mation may make and enforce such quarantine regrulations as it may see fit.

## TITI．\＆犬IV。

Hsllways



```
            sernationa! route.
        424. Eiqual facllitien to members of say
            nation.
        495. Frondons of traftre
        496. Revenue Nervice.
        427. Ottendere aggalnst cither nation tont be
        be employed by the other
        498. Goonls carried in pamanger tralas
        429. 'Transit of merchatalier through in
        termedlate nation
```

Line between frontier stations an internatomal roule．

423．Except where otherwise provided by special compact，the portion of a milway lying between the frontier stations of two nations which the railway con－ nects，is an international route．In all that concerns the surveillance of the road，the administrative control of each nation extends over the line procending from it to the frontier station of the other nation．

But the jurisdiction of the tribunals is not thereby extended beyond the frontier．

Suggented by the provisions of the convention for the interaatioeal rallway mervice between France and spain，April solvid，Art 1． 9 be Clereq， 12.

Equal facilities to members of an！nation．
424．No distinction shall be made betwern the mem－ bers of different nations in the price time，or facilities of transportation ：and transportation within one ter－ ritory towards the other shall not be less favorably treated in those respects than that which is wholly internal．

Gomvention for the establishment of an intermational railway between France and

Belgium．Jan．15，1sifi，Art．Vlll．，De Delereq，4i3， 475.
Prussia，July 18． $1 \times 13 i$ ，＂V＇lll．， 9 Id．， $336,738$.

Bavaria．Fobld．1848．．X．，ith．，itit．
Ther last clanse of the Article is also contained in the convention bee tween France and

Belgium，Sept．20，1860，Art．XI．， 8 De Clereq， 118.
－（respecting the railways of the Ardennes and Namur．）
Belgimm，Sept．20．1860，Art．XI．， 8 Id．， 122.
－（resperting the railways of the Ardenues and of Laxemburg．）
Freedom of traffic．
425．subject to the following articles，trains，whether with goods or passengers，may cross the boundary at any time of the day or night，and without exception of holidays．

Convention concerning international railway service between France and

Spain，April 8．1864，Arts．II．，1X．， 9 De Clercq，12， 14.
Detailed provisions respecting the revenue service are found in Arts． IV．，Vl．－VIII．，X．，Xl．，of the convention between France and Spain， above，and in the convention between France and

Bavaria，July 3，1857，Arts．XIl－XV．， 7 De Clercq， 229.
Sardinia，Nov．23，1858， 7 ／d．，532．
Also in the regulation of the international railway service between France and
sardinia，Nov．15，1858， 7 De Clercq． 529.
Belgium and the！Dee．14，1852， 6 Id．，252．
Netherlands，

## Revenue service．

426．Each nation is entitled to the following facilities for its revenue and railway service，subject to the next article：

1．Each of the contiguous nations may establish and designate by its arms，in the frontier station of the other，a revenue office，the necessary accommodation for which shall be provided by the latter nation，with－ out charge：${ }^{2}$

2．Each nation may send its revenue officers in uni－ form，and with or without arms．＇to and fro between its
territory and such oflice, in any train, in the compars. ments of the geard and carringes of the erond clases. without charge :
3. Such mevoue oflierre, and other servants of the government, or of the milway, erossing the teoundary on their service as such, are, on production of their commissions, exempt from civil or military survice, and from direct and personal taxes: and in respect to ser vice within the station, remain subject to the exclurise anthority of the nation employing them. In other re. spects, they are equally subject to the lowal law as other persons;'
4. Articles necessary within the territory of one nation for the service of the other, or the maintenamee of its railway, or furnishing the residences of its ofticers and servants, are free of duty on crossing the boundary :
5. The revenue officers of the two nations shall respectively communicate to each other the instructions and circulars addressed to their officers respecting the service;
6. The administration of each railway must notify to the revenue officers, at least eight days in advance, changes proposed in the time tables: but special and extraordinary trains may be sent at any time, twelve hours' notice in advance being given of freight trains:'
7. Officers and agents of each nation, and its railway, must respectively ronder to those of the other all reasonable co-operation in respect to international transportation, and the prevention and detection of frauds on the revenue, subject to the regulations of their own law.

[^31]${ }^{3}$ (onverntion bepweren France and

-which alse provides that " Ils seront dixpensios des preseriptions de police sur les puske;pres."

4 ©onvention lotween France and Spain. April s. 1N6i4. Art. V.. G Ibe C'lereq. 12.
${ }^{3}$ (onvention betwern France and


* Convention between France and

Spain, April S. 1864. Art. XIX.. 9 /) C'Gereg. 12, 16.
Regulation of the intermational service of railways between France and


© ©onvention between France and
Spain. April 8, 1864, Art. XVlll., 9 De ('lereq, 12. 15.
Bavaria, July 3, 185\%. " XXX., $1 /$. 299, 304.
The latter adds: "sons peine d" tre tenues de remplir a la frontiere les formalities ordinaires de douane."

To the same cffect are the regulations for international railway service between Framee and

Belgium and the Setherlands, Dece. 14.18i), Art. XIX.. 6 De Clercy. 252.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Belgiumand Prus- } \\ \text { sia, }\end{array}\right\} \quad 1848$, " XIX..5 Id., 618.
" Convention between France and
Bavaria, July :3, 1857, Art. X., i In' Clercq, 299, 301 .
sardinia, Nov. 3, 1858, " N1., $\mathfrak{i}$ /d., 5030, 5:34.
Offenders a!gainst either mation not to be employed b!y the other.
427. A nation is not bound to permit the entry upon or service within its territory, under this Title, of an officer or agent who has been convicted in its tribunals of any offense whatever.
('onvention between France and
Bavaria, July :3, 18.5ĩ, Art. Vlll. $\%$ De Clereq, $299,301$.
Sardinia, Nov. 2:3, 1858, " NVl., 7 ld., 532, 534.
Goods carried in passenger trains.
428. Passengers are not entitled to take in the carriages goods or packages containing goods subject to duty, or prohibited.'

Articles subjeet to duty, carried in passenger trains, may bee subjected to the regulations for goods on freight
trains, except that they must be panead withont moro than threr hours delay.
${ }^{1}$ (oinvantion betwron frinico asml


figgulation of Interthational rallway ervion betweets Fratione ans
Suv. 15. 1א5w, Art. 1X.: the cilerrig. ifes


'Convention betwown Franco and
Spaits, April \$, INH. Art. Xill. 6 /he cilerey. 1: 15
To aimilar effiet, without the lant rlatsee, cotiveotion lwetwoen franeo and


Transit of merchandise through inlermorlinte na. lion.
429. Wagons, cars, or packages of merchandise. sealed by the revenue officers of on. nation for inter. national railway transportation, and passing through the territory of another nation, in conrse of such transportation, into the turritory of a third nation. shall be treated as having been directly imported. if the seals and enclosures momain unbroken upon onter. ing the latter, and if the transportation has twou conformable to the regulations of the service. The casual breaking of the enclosures during transportation shall not affect the application of this article, if the ranse. and the acts done in consequence therenf. be cortitient by the local anthorities, and a new seal be imponsed by them.

```
Treaty between France and
```



```
Similar provisions in traty letwero traucr ated
Netherlands.
```




 service.

## TITLE XV.

## TEIFGRAPHS.

Anticif: 430. Free communication allowed.
431. Right of corresponding.
432. Classification of dispatches.
433. Dispatches of state.
434. Anthentication of dispatches of State.
435. Authentication of private dispatches.
436. Danguage of dispatches.
432. Dispatches in cypher.
438, 439. Preference of dispatehes.
440. Designation of route.
441. Government scrutiny relinquished.
442. Ilegal dispatches.
443. Suspension of service.
444. Sending false dispatches: violating dis.
patches, \&c.
445. Regulations.

In November. 1869, the Secretary of State of the Cnited States, by di rection of the President, addressed a circular to the principal maritime powers, inviting a conference to form a joint convention for the protection of submarine cables.

The objects proposed were: 1 , to make willful or wanton destruction of them punishable as piracy : 2 , to encourage future constructions, by forbidding exclusive concessions, except on consent of both nations con crrned ; 3, to forbid government scrutiny at either end of a line.

The provisions of the Draft Convention proposed are embodied, in substance, in the following Articles, with some modifications, suggested by the European International Convention of Vienna, July 21, 1868.

The crime of injuring telegraphs is provided for harticle 83, and their immunity in war, by provisions in the Book on WAR.

## Free rommmimiration allonced.

430. Any person may land a submarine telegraphic rable on the shores of any nation, and work the same, subject to the provisions of this Title, and the regulations made by such nation aqreeably thereto. and subjeet to the rights and obligations attaching to private property.

The united consent of the servral parties to the Conde will, of course. be oltained before any legislation interfering with this right.

 graphice line aliall be made of renowod leg any tonthote. withonet ther conocoll of the nation with whome tepritory the contomsabion contorngilatee a cotbeor tion: amel that mon telographir llar aliall Ine Iaid ithotrooflately combertimg the territuriva of different tuathons, withoust the conserot of rach batbent

Hut it is antmitted that all pratrictionse in thito makaret abonated le or moved, and that the right of any permons laviong rigarian errese tor owern municate through the eva, ahould te deriared.

Right of corresponding.
431. All persons, withunt diserimination, have theo right to correspond by international toloographs.
Convention of Viesten, IRON. Art. I

## Classification of dispulelex.

432. Telegraphic dispateloes are of thre.e classos:
433. Dispatehes of State:
434. Dispatches relating to the lolegrophic sorvier of the nations uniting in this Code:
435. Private dispatches.

Convention of Viensa, Isiss, Are IV.
Dispalches of State.
433. Dispatches of state inelude those that issue from the chicef executive officer of a nation. from the ministers, from commanders of military or naval forces, from public agents mentionod in article 91, and messages in extradition : and also, the replios to such dispatches, except that dispatehes of ronsuls and conn missioners who are engiged in commerce. ane not ront sidered dispatches of state, unless addressed to an official person, and upon official business.

Convention of V'ienna, INGA, Art. IV.., monliferl by adthug " memeago in extradition."

It werems unnecressary to finclude dispatelion rolating bob thom jomatal mel
 graplice eervices are not united in all the nations

Authentication of dispatches of Nate.
434. Dispatches of state will be teroinal as surli only when bearing the satal or othor evidene of tho authority of the sender.
conrention of Vienne, ING*, Am V'

Anthenliorntion of private dispatiohes.
435. The sonder of a private dispateh may be required to prove its signature.
convention of V̌iomma, lsis. Art. VI.
Latl!!!a! of dispatches.
436. I dispatelt may be written by the sender in the langlage of any of the nations, parties to this Code. or in any language that can be transmitted by telegraph.

The ( onvention of Vienna, (Art.VII., allows any of the languages used in the territories of the contracting States, and also Latin.

Dispaloles in rypler.
437. Dispatches of State and of the telegraphic service may he written and transmitted in cypher, or secret letters, in whole or in part.

Private dispatches may be so written and transmitted, subject to the power of any nation to prohibit such dispatches from originating or being delivered within its territory.
('onvention of Vienun, 186s, Arts. VHII., IX.
Preference of dispatches.
438. Subject to the next article, the transmission of dispatches shall be made in the following order :

1. Dispatches of State;
2. Dispatches on telegraphic service ;
3. Private dispatches.

Telegraphic Convention of Vienna, 1868, Art. X.
The same.
439. A dispatel rommenced cannot be interrupted, to give plare to a communication of superior class, unloss in case of absolute necessity.

Dispatehes of the same rlass shall be transmitted by the original sending station, in the order of their de!esit by the senders, and by the intermediate offices, in the order of their reception.

[^32]

```
6 De Clerrq. tis3
```




Designation of roule.
440. The sender of a message may designate its route, subject to the power of the tolegiaphic atminis. tration to depart therefrom, if mequind hy the ex. igencies of the service, or by the instructions of the nation whose territory is traversad.
Convention of Dienna, INes. Ars. XII
Government serulin!! relinguishod.
441. The proprietors of international telographic liness may receive, transmit, and deliser mescager, without interference or scruting by the govrmment of either nation, except as provided in the next two articlos.
American Draft of Convention, Art III.

## Illegal dispatches.

442. A nation may authorize and require the tolegraphic administration within its territory to stop the transmission of any dispatch of wither class which appears to be dangerons to the serurity of such mation, or is contrary to its laws to public onder, or to geod morals, under the obligation to give immediate notice. in the case of a dispately of the second or third class. to the administration from whoso buran the dispateh originated : and in the case of a dispatch of the tirst class, to both parties to the correspondence.
Telegraphic Convention of Viemua INES, Atr XIIL, moedifind by includ ing publie dispatchex, nud reynirling notion to the partion

Suspension of service.
443. A nation may suspend the sorvice, within itterritory, of all or any of the international telegraphio lines connecting therewith. for an unlimitord tim". either in a general manner, or for -powial kinds of cor respondence, under the obligation to notify the sutwn sion immediately to all the other nations unting in this Code.

Sending false dispatches: violating dispatches, d.c.
444. The following, when affecting the international telegraphic service, are public offenses:

1. Willfully originating, and tendering, or causing to be sent, false messages :
2. Unlawfilly hindering or delaying, by any act or omission, the transmission or delivery of a dispatch ; and,
3. Violating the secrecy of a dispateh, except disclosing illegal dispatches, in the cases and to the extent necessary in the enforcement of article 442, and knowingly republishing. without anthority, any dispatch the secrecy of which has been so violated.

This Article is intended to protect against wrongs which have not been adequately provided for.

Regulations.
445. Each nation shall make regulations to assure secrecy, accuracy, and rapidity in the transmission of dispatches, and communicate the same to each of the other nations, but is not otherwise responsible for the telegraphic service.

Convention of Vienna, 1868, Arts. II., III., adding "accuracy," and also adding the clanse requiring communication of the regulations.

TITLEXVI.<br>postal. service.<br>CHAPTEA XXXVI Cormapondence<br>XXXVII. Postal money urdera

## CHAPTER XXXVI. correspondence.

Abticle 446. Eschange of eorterpondence.
447. Clanses of eorrespondence.
448. Arrangementa for dispatch of mails
449. Free entry and departure of mail shipa
450. Forwarding thails in case of deviation
451. Private malle forbidden.
452. Weight for ningle rate of postage.
453. Rate of ocean powtage.
4.54. Prepayment required.
455. Inwnflicient prepayment.
456. Hegistered corrispondence.
457. Registration fer.
4.5. Basis for settlement of accounts.
459. Dangemus substancea.
460. Regulations for dispatch of eorraspondence
461. Transit of closed mails through each nation
462. Transfer of closed mails withous charge.
463. Ottleial correspondence free.
464. Missent letterm. Ac.
465. Mail matter not to be detained.
466. lecters with contraland gooxde.

46i. Violations of the mails.
468. Matters of detail.

Erchange of correspoudence.
446. There shall be an exchange of correspondence betweell the nations, hy their respective post dupart. merts: which may include correspondence originating
in or destined for any country whatever to which any of the nations serve as intermediaries.

The post department of each nation shall notify that of every other nation of the countries to which it serves as intermediary.
sen the postal conventions rited under the next Article.

## C'lasses of correspondence.

447. Subject to the provisions of this Chapter, such correspondence may include any matter of the following classes:
448. Letters, ordinary and registered :
449. Newspapers: book-packets; prints of all kinds, comprising maps, plans, engravings, drawings, photograph:, lithographs, and all other like productions of mechanical processes; sheets of music : patterns or samples of merchandise including grains and seeds, not having a commercial value.

Postal convention between the Vinited states and
Belgium, Aug. 21, 186i, Art. 1., 16 C'S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) 145.

$\begin{array}{c}\text { Swiss Confed. } \\ \text { eration. }\end{array} \int_{0}$ Oct. 11, 1867, " I., 16 Id., ( Tr., $)=45$.
Italy, ぶov. 8,1867, " I., 16 Id.,(Tr.,)20\%.

substantially the same provision is also found in the postal convention between the l"nited States and
(ireat Britain, Nov. Fand 24, 186s, Art. I., 16 U. S. Stat. at L., ( Tr.., 35 .
In exchange of " letters, newspapers, and printed papers of all kinds," is provided for by the postal convention between (ireat Britain and

France, Sept. 24.1 siff, Art. I., $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Accts and Papers, } 185 \%, \text { vol. XVIII., }\end{array}\right.$
( 11 ;) T De Clercq. 15:.
Spain, May 21,18is, " 1., Acc'tsund Pıpers. 1858, vol. L.X.,(2x.)


An exchange of "letters, paterns of goods, newspapers, courses of exchange. prices current, und othor printed papers," is allowed by the postal ronvention between direat Britain and

The following list of articlos sullices to show thr character and extent of mail matter, as allowed by mumerous tratios:
"rdinary and rogisturefl lotere: documents of businoss, and other writton documents which have not the character of a direct personal cor-


 mente: and cuther moticem of various kisols, whether pritutad rugraved of lithographed ; patterns or samplew of merclanadioc, inclutioge ieratue ass
 pricen current: printed paperm of every kiad. Vithographe pritute atrem
 productions of mechanical procesmes.

## Arrangements for dispatclo of mails.

448. The post department of each nation shatl, at its own expense, cause its mails to be dispate hed to tho post department of each other nation; and, if by san. by well-appointed ships,' performing regular sorvice between the ports of the nations.

Pontal convention between the Lisited Statersand

$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { North German } \\ \text { Unlon, }\end{array}\right\}$ Oct. 21, $1563 \%$." $111 . .16 / d . .(74 .) 199.$.

Swiss Confed- Oct. $11,186 \%$. . $111 ., 16 \mathrm{ld.},($ Tr..) 245
eration.
Netherlands. Sept. 96,156\%, .0 $111 ., 16 \mathrm{Id.,(Tr)}$. ) 2.11
See, also, powtal convention between the l'sited States and
Venezuela, July, 1shis, June, 1866, Art. IV... 16 l'. S. Nat. at I... (Tr , )312.
 Art. II., (Acculunts and Papers, $185 \%$, vol. XV111., (11;) \% DC Clereq. 15\%.) provides that the service whall be by packets which either governameat tang think it right to maintain, to frejght, or to nubuditize. for the converaume of correspondence, and by merchant shipeplying between the parts of the two nations.
The postal convention between Great Britain and Belgtum, Oct 19, 1-14. Art. Xll., (Accounts and Papers, 1855 , vol. 1.II., prowiden that if thereve ter tse government vessels specially appointed for the difect conveyance of cor respondence, the exchange of mails shall take place by means of private steam packets plying between the ports of exchange

The postal convention betweess Great Britails and Porsugal, Apral b. 1859. Art. II. (Accounts unat P'apers, INi9, vol. XXXII, (IN.1) provitles that the malls exchanged by private ships shall comprise omly such cortos pondence as the senders "whall expresoly deatre to be forwantal by those means, and in this case the fintention of the waid serdere muat ter os pressed In writing on the address."

The postal conventions betwren cireab Britain and froner sopt is
 and Belgium. Oct. 19. 1844. Art V'll. (Accounts anad B'apars, Ists, rol 1.11.1
provide. in effect, that shipsemployed in rogular service are entitled to the same privileges and exemptions, whether at sea, or within the territory of any other nation, as nomed publice ships: except that private ships are subject to the same dues of tonnage and mivigation, and port dues, and the same regulations of commeree, ans if they were not so emplosed.

Free entr! amd departure of mail ships.
449. Subject to the sanitary, police, and rustoms regulations of the port, mail ships may enter and leave the ports which they serve, at any hour of the day or night, and may leave and take mails in the roads or at the entrance of the harbors, withont anchoring, or otherwise.

Pustal convention between (ireat Britain and
France, Sept. 24. 18.56, Arts. VI., V11.. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Accounts and Papers, } 185 \%, \\ \text { vol. XVII1., (11 :) A De Clercq, } \\ 152 .\end{array}\right.$
Forwardi,g mails in case of deviation.
450. If a mail ship is compelled to enter a port other than one which it serves, its mail shall, on the request of the master, or consul of the ship's nation, be freely and expeditiously forwarded by the post department of the nation, by the usual routes, to its destination.

Postal convention between Great Britain and
France, Sept. 24, 1856, Art. VIII., $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Accounts rend Papers, 185\%, vol. } \\ \text { XVIII., (11;) i De Clercq, 152. }\end{array}\right.$
Belgium, Oct. 19, 1844, " X.. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Accounts and Papers, 1845, vol. } \\ \text { LII. }\end{array}\right.$

## Private mails forbidden.

451. Sending or carrying mail matter for hire from one nation to another, except for the post department of a nation, is a public offense, unless payment of postage is first made; and the govermment of any nation may require mail matter carried either gratuitously or for hire to be surrendered to its post department.

In order to secure the postage on the whole correspondence from ono country to another, when that is desirable, governments have to prevent the transmission of the correspondence through uny other channel than their respective offices.

The postal convention between (ireat Britain and Frunce, sept. 24, 1856, Art. XXXV., (Accountx and P'aperx, 18is̃. vol. XVIII.,(11;) i De Clercq, 152,) and Belgium, Oct. 19, 1844, Art. XL.. (Accountsund P'apers, 1845), vol.
LIII., provide that couriere mut hy conturerial firmo of by other premoo

 vided the waid couriere matibit on the firench terntary the letter ur tawe papen which they conver, to the firm pembetion uts their moute, which office shatl tax the mald letser or anewpapere with the rate peremerited by the lawe and regulations of the counstry

The satd lettens or newnjapern shall twe suarked with the dase ased
 tificate thereot whall be delivernd to the courier, and annesed foo the paserport.
And the natne conventions betwren (iprat Britain and France, An XII. and Belgians. Art. XI., further provide that the captalan of packete engegrd In the conveyance of mallw are forbidden to take charge of any letier tom included is their mail-hagw, except, however, dinjuatches of thoir govern menta, and must take care that too lettere are converyed illegally by theit crews or passengers, and munt give information. in the proper yaarter, of any breach of the lawn which may be committed in that reapect

Weight for single rate of postage.
452. The standard weight for the single rate of international postage shall be:

1. For correspondence of the first class, fifteen grammes ; and.
2. For correspondence of the second class, one hundred grammes.

The rule of progression shall be an additional single rate for each additional single weight, or fraction thereof. The weight stated by the dispatching depart. ment shall always be accepted, saving the case of manifest mistake.

Subdivision 2 is new, in proposing an uniform unit of weight.
The other parts of the Article are from the pontal convention betwern the United States and

North German!
Union, Oct. 21. 1N65\%, " 1 ..., 16 Id., (Tr., 190



This unit of weight for letters is abso authorizat by the peotal onenren tion between the Unted Statere and

See, however, the cobvention with france, March 9 1N3?. Art V1. 116

If. ( $T_{r . .}$ ) 94.) wherein the unit of weight is fixed at seven and a half grammes.

Rate of oreat" poskege.
453. The postage payable for each unit of weight, or fraction thereot. shall not exceed:

1. For correspondence of the tirst class, two one hundredth parts of a dollar; and,

2 . For correspondence of the second class, one hundredth part of a dollar.

This Article seckstorestablish an uniform charge for all mations uniting in the Code, it being considered that the facilities of communication and the amonnt of correspondence call for the adoption, between nations, of the principle of uniformity now universally adopted in domestic postage. The rate suggested is upon the principle of Ocean Penny Postage.

## Prepayment required.

454. International postage must be prepaid.

The rule generally prescribed by the treaties is, that the prepayment of postage on ordinary letters is optional, subject to the fine for insufficient payment : but on registered letters, and on all other correspondence mentioned in class second of Article 447, it is compulsory.

## Insufficient prepayment.

455. L'nregistered correspondence, which by mistake is unpaid or insufliciently prepaid, shall be forwarded to its destination, charged with double the deficiency, which charge shall be retained for the benefit of the department collecting the same.
several of the treaties require unpaid matter to be forwarded, but the above secms to be a better rule. The same treaties impose a fine, which, with the deficient postage. groes to the collecting department.

## Registered correspondence.

456. Any correspondence may be registered. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Registered correspondence may be sent by the same routes, whether direct or intermediary, as ordinary correspondence.
[^33][^34]It in provided in Art. VII, of the Regulations attactied to the jomesal convention between the U'nited Statex and Belgium, Aug. 21, 1862 . (16 U S. Stat. at L.., (Tr.,) 149.) and by the postal convention between the ('nited States and

| Bremen. | May 17, 18\% Art. | 1., 16 U. S. Natat Lo. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamburg. | Nor. 11,1869, | 1., $16 \mathrm{Jd.0}\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\text {. }}\right) 181$. |
| Prunnia, Aug. 20 | Oct. 14, 1855. |  |
| North Germa Union. | Oct. 21,1567. | X., $16 \mathrm{ld} .,($ Tr., 301. |
| Canada, | g. 25, 28, 1856, | 1., 16 Id., ( Tr., 303, |

that needful measures for the careful transmisaion of registered corres. pondence, and for pursuing it when lont, are to be taken; but nelther assumen towards the other any pecunlary responsibility in case of loses.

## Registration fee.

457. In addition to the postage of registered correspondence, there may also be charged a registration fee, the amount of which shall be fixed by the dis. patching post department.

## Postal convention between Great Britain and

Spain. May 21, 1858, Art. 1X., $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Accounta and Papera, 185s. } \\ \text { vol. L.X., (28.) }\end{array}\right.$

Sardinia, Dec. 12, 1857, " XIll., Id., 1858, vol. LX., (24.)
Portugal, Apr. 6,1859, ". X1., Id., 1859. vol. XXXII., (18.)
Postal convention between the United States and Great Britain, Nov. 7, 24, 1868, Art. Vill., 16 U. S. Nat. at L...(Tr.) $7 \%$.

- Postal convention between the United States and

| Bremen, | May 12, 1855, Art. | 1., 16 U.S.S.Stat.at I...( Tr., $1735^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamburg, | Nov. 11,1863, .] | 1., $16 \mathrm{dd.},\left(\mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{r}_{\text {, }}\right.$ ) 181. |
| Prussia, Aug. 29 | ,Oct. 14,1855. | 1., $16 \mathrm{Id.}$, ( Tr., $) 196$. |
| North German Union. | ct. 21,1867." | X., 16 Id., (Tr.) 201. |
| Swiss Confederation. | Oct. 11,1867, " | VIII., 16 /d..(Tr.) 246. |
| Netherlands, 17 | Sept. 26, 1867. " | 1X.. 16 Id., Tr., 272. |

Basis for settlement of accoumis.
458. Accombts between any two post departments shall be settled on the following hasis, unless otherwise provided by sperial compact: from the total amount of international postage's and registration fees, collected in each country on letters, added to the total amount of prepaid postages and registration fees on other articles sent, the dispatching office shall deduct the amount required, at the agreed rate for the intermediate transit thereof between the two countries ; and the amount of the two net sums' shall be equally divided between the two departments.
Postal convention between the Cnited States and
Brelgium, Aug. 21,1862, Art. NI., 16 U.S.Stat.at L.,(Tr..) 146.

See, also, the postal concention between the United States and Great

${ }^{1}$ By the postal convention between the Cnited States and the Swiss Coafederation, Oct. 11, 186ic, (16 U.S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) 246,) the "two net sums shall be divided between the $t$ wo offices in the proportion of threefifthe (3-5ths) to the United States, and two-fifths (2-5ths) to the Swiss office."

And a similar basis for the settlement of accounts is to be found in the postal consention betwren the Cnited States and Netherlands, Sept. 26, 1NGi, Art. NI., 16 U. S. stat. at L..(Tr., 2 2ie.

In the postal consention between Cinited States and Italy, Nov. 8, 1867, Art. Vill., (16 U. S. stat. at $L$., ( $T_{r}$., 228, there is added the following:
" There shall be excluded from the account all fines upon unpaid or insufficiently paid correspondence, and the deficient postages upon articles mentioned in the second subdivision of Article 1., (class second, Article 44i.) all of which shall be retained to the use of the administration which collects them."

## Dangerous substances.

459. No prom shall post any thing containing explosive or other dangerous substances.
$3 \& 4$ Vict. cll. 93 , Ş LXII.
Regulations for dispatch of correspondence.
460. Correspondence of the second class shall be dispatched under the following regulations, and such
additional regulations as may from time to time be es. tablished by the dispatching post department :
461. No packet shall contain anything which is closed against inspection, nor any written commanication whatever,' except to state from whom or to whom the packet is sent, and the number and price placed upon each pattern or sample of merchandise ;'
462. No packet shall exceed two feet in length, or onc foot in any other dimension ;
463. No office shall be bound to deliver any article the importation of which is prohibited by the laws or regulations of the country of destination ; ${ }^{\circ}$
464. So long as any customs duty is chargeable on any article sent to any nation, it may be levied for the useof the customs of that nation; ' and,
465. Except as above provided, no charge whatever, otherwise than is expressly provided by special compact, shall be levied or collected on the correspondence transmitted.'

Postal convention between the United States and
Great Britain, Nov. 7,24, 1868, Art. V., 16 U. S. Stat. at L.., (Tr.,) 76.
Belgium, Aug. 21,186i, " Xll., $16 /$ Id., $^{(T r .)} 146$.
North German
Union, $\}$ Oct. 21,1807. " Vill., $16 / d_{\text {., }}\left(T_{r}.\right) 200$.
Italy, Nov. 8, 1807, " IX., X., 16 /d.,(Tr., 2\%\%.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Swiss Confed- } \\ \text { eration, }\end{array}\right\}$ Oct. 11.1867, ". XI., 16 Id., (Tr., 246
Netherlands, Sept. 20.1867, ." XII., 16 /d.,(Tr., 272.
And it is also provided in the convention with lialy, above, that "there shall be admitted no liquid nor other article which might injure the other correspondence."
' By the postal convention between Great Britain and Sardinia, Dec. 12. 1857, Art. XIV., Accounfs and Papers, 1858, vol. LXX., (28.) "a brok packet may contain any number of separate books or other publications, printa. or maps, and (subject to the consent of the French post-ofice.) any quan tity of paper, parchment or vellum; and the books or other publications. prints, maps, \&e., may be (subject to the like consent), either printed, wrh. ten, or plain, or any mixture of the three. Further, all legitimate bind ling, mounting, or covering of a book, publication, dec, or of a portion thereot, shall be allowed, whether such binding, dc., be booes or attacherd : as also rollers, in the case of prints or maps, markers, (whether of japer or otherwise,) in the case of books ; and. in short. whaterer is necreacy for the safe transmisaion of literary or artiotic matter, or usually apper
tains thereto; but no patterns, or books of patterns, (unless these consist merely of paper,) shall be allowed."
${ }^{2}$ Postal convention between Great Britain and Spain, May : 1, 1858, Art. X1., Accounts \& Papers, 1858, LX., (28.) Sirdinia, Dec. 12, 185i, " XIV., Id., 1858, LX., (28.)
By the postal convention between (ireat Britain and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { France, Dec. 6, 18.55, Art. HI., }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Accounts and Papers, 1850, LXI.; } \\
(24,) 6 \text { De Clercq, } 586 .
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { France, Sept 24, 1856, " XIX., }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Accounts \& Papers, 1857, XVIII., } \\
(11,) 7 \text { De Clercq, 152. }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { Portugal, Apr. 6, 1859. " XIV., }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Accounts \& Papers, 1859, XXXII., } \\
(18,)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

printed papers must not contain any writing, figure, or manual mark whatsoever, or they will be treated as letters, and charged accordingly.

By the postal convention between Great Britain and Belgium, Oct. 19, 1844, Art. XXI., Account\& and Papers, 1845, LII., "courses of exchange, prices current, and such other printed papers as are allowed, in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, to pass by post at a reduced rate," . . . "must not contain any writing, figures, or manual mark whatsoever."

By the postal convention between Great Britain and France, July 2, 1861, Arts. 1., II., Accounts and Papers, 1861, vol. LXV., (32,) " patterns of no intrinsic value, photographs, commercial and legal documents, printed, engraved or lithographed works, bearing either corrections or manual notes, and all other papers in manuscript," shall enjoy the privileges of printed papers bearing no manual mark, provided that the postage thereon is prepaid to destination, that they may be easily examined; and that they contain no letter, or note of the nature of a letter, or which could serve as such; otherwise, they will be treated as letters, and charged accordingly.

The postal convention between the United States and Prussia, July 11, and Aug. 26, 1852, Art. V., (16 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) 184,) provides that newspapers are to be subject to the laws and regulations of each country, respectively, in regard to their liability to be rated with letter postage when containing written matter, or for any other cause specified in said laws and regulatlons.
${ }^{3}$ The postal convention between Great Britain and Belgium, Oct. 19, 1844, Art. XXXI., above, provides that courses of exchange and prices current shall merely give the name and prices of goods, without any mention of the name and residence of the vendors.
${ }^{-4}$ Postal convention between Great Britain and France, 1845, Art. I.; France, 1856, Art. XVIll.; Spain, 1858, Art. XV.; and Sardinia, 1857, Art. XVIII., above.
${ }^{s}$ It is expressly agreed by the postal conventions between Great Britain and France, 1855 and 1856 , above, that printed papers which each of the two offices shall deliver to the other as paid to destination, shall not, on any pretext, be charged with any rate or duty whatever to be paid by the receivers.

And by the convention between Gireat Britain and Spain, isis, alwove. bookn, printw, drawingo, maps and music ment meparately, are to fomain subject to the regulationn and dutien of the cuntoms.

- Pontal convention between the United Statex and Venezueln. July. 1805, June, 1 e66, Art. V., 16 U. S. Stat. at L., ( Tr.,) 312.

Postal convention between Great Britain and Spain, 105 x, Ant XV. Sardinia, 1857, Ars. XVIII.; Portugal, 1859, Art. XI., above, excepting the care of insumfiently paid correnpondence.

## Transit of closed mails through each nution.

461. The post department of each nation shall grant to the post department of every other nation the transit through its territory, ${ }^{\prime}$ and conveyance by its usnal means of mail transportation, whether by land or sea, and at the usual cost of transportation, of the closed mails exchanged in either direction between the latter and any country to which the former may serve as intermediary.

Postal convention between the United States and
Great Britain, Nov. $7,24,188$, Art. X., 16 U.S.Stat. at I.. (Tr., 78

| Belgium, Italy, | Aug. July | $\begin{gathered} 21,1867, \\ 8,1863, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { XIV., } 16 \mathrm{Id} .\left(T_{r_{., ~}}\right) 142 . \\ & \text { XX., } 16 \text { Id., (Tr.,) } 225 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North German Union. | ct. | 21,1867. | XIII., $16 \mathrm{ld.},\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\text {r. }}\right) 20$ |
| Italy, | Nov. | 8.1867 | XII |


Netherlands, Sept. 26, 1867, " XiV., $16 \mathrm{dd} .,\left(\right.$ Tr., $\left.^{\prime}\right) 273$.
Mexico, Dec. 11,1861, ". Vll., 16 Id. . ( $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{)}}$ ) : 306.
Venezuela, Jy. '65, Je. 1866, " VII., 16 Id., ( $T_{\text {r..) }} 312$.
Postal convention between Great Britain and

'By the convention between the United States and the Swise Confed eration, Netherlands, and Vienezuela, above, such territorial transit shall be reciprocally free of charge.
By the convention between the United Statew and Mesimo, alove, terri. wrial transit in closed mails shall be reciprocally free fromany pmatage duties, imposts, detention or examination whatever.
By the convention between the United States and Ltaly. INER, almorr.
 July, 1865, June, 1866, Art. VII., ( $16 / d .,\left(T_{\text {r., }}\right) 31 i_{\text {; }}$ ) and the convention between Great Britain and France, Sept. 24, $1 \times 56$, Art. XXIX.. denownts and Papera, 1857, vol. XVIII., (11.) the priviluge is alsor anconded to each
administration, of sonding an agent, at its own expense, in charge of the mails in transit.

## Trunsier of closed mails without charge.

462. The transfor of a closed mail from one ship to another, withont expense to the post department of the place, is not to be deemed a territorial transit, nor subject to pertal charges by such department.

| Postal com | ween the United States and |
| :---: | :---: |
| (ireat Britain. | Nov. $\mathrm{T}, 24,1868$. Art. XII., 16 U. S. Stat.at L., (Tr., 77. |
| [30]gium. | Aug. 21.1867, " XVI., $16 \mathrm{Id}$. ., ( $\mathrm{Tr}_{\text {r., }} 147$. |
| Italy, | July 8, 1863, " XX., 16 Id., ( $\mathrm{T}^{\text {r.,.) } 225 .}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { North German } \\ \text { I'nion. } \end{array}\right\}$ |  |
| Italy, | Nov 8.186i, " XIV., 16 Id., (Tr., 229. |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { swiss Confed. } \\ \text { eration, } \end{array}\right\}$ |  |
| Netherlands, | Sept. 26, 1867, " XVI., 16 Id ., (Tr.,) 273. |
| Venernela. | J..'65, J'e. 1866, " VII., $16 \mathrm{Id} .,($ (Tr., 312. |

## Official correspon-rence free.

463. Correspondence between a government and its public ministers, and their official families abroad, and between the post departments of different nations, is free.

Postal convention between the Linited States and Venezuela, July, 1865, June, 1866, Art. IX., 16 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr., 312.

Postal convention between (ireat Britain and Portugal, April 6, 1859, Art. XXIII., Accounts and Papers, 1859, vol. XXXII., (18.)

And these further provide that such correspondence shall be conveyed with all the precautions which each government may find necessary for its inviolability and security.

Postal convention between the United States and
Great Britain, Nov. $7,24,1868$, Art. XVIII., 16U.S.Stat.at L., (Tr., 78.
Belgium, Aug. 21,186i\%, " XVII., 16 Id., ( $T_{r,}$ ) 147.
North (ierman
Union, $\}$ Oct. 21, 1867, " XVI., 16 Id., ( $7 r_{\text {., }}$ ) 202.
$\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { Swiss ('onfed-1 } \\ \text { eration, }\end{array}\right\}$ Oct. $11,1867,{ }^{2} \quad$ XV1., 16 Id., (Tr.) 247.
Setherlands, Sept. 26,1867, " XVII.,16 Id., (Tr., 273.
Italy. July 8, 186:3, " XVI., 16 Id., (Tr., 225.
Italy, Nov. $x, 186 i, \quad . \quad$ XV.,16 $I d .,\left(T r_{\text {., }}\right) 229$.
Missent letters, dc.
464. Letters, and all registered correspondence, misdirected or missent, or not delivered for any cause,
shall be returned to the dispatching post department, at its expense, unopened and without delay.

Correspondence addressed to prrsons who hav. changed their address, shall be forwarded or return d. charged with the rate that would have been paid by the receivers.'

All other correspondence shall be at the disposal of the receiving department.

Postal convention between the United States and
Belgiam, Aug. 21,1867, Art. XIX.,16U.S. Stat.at L., (Tr., 15:


Italy, July 8,1803, " XVII., XVill., 16 /d..(Tr.) 点:
Italy, Nov. 8, 1867, " XVI., $16 \mathrm{If} .,\left(\right.$ Tr r.) $_{2} 229$.

Netherlands. Sept. 26, 1807, " XVIII., 18 Id., (Tr., 2783
Postal onnvention between Great Britain and
France, Sept. 24, 1856, Art. XXXIII.. XXXIV., $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Accounts de Pipers. } \\ 185 \% . \text { vol. XVIII. } \\ \text { (11.) } \\ 152 .\end{array}\right.$

See, also, convention with Spain, May 21, 18is, Art. XIX.. Id., 1אis. wol L.X., (28.)

Provision for the return of dead letters only is to be found in the postal convention between the United States and

France, March 2,1857, Art. XV.. 16 U.S. Stat. at I., (Tr..) 96.
Guatemala, Je. 4.Jy. 16, 1862, ". V., $16 / \mathrm{Id} .,($ Tr..) 310

For the retum of dead "letters and other communications in manu script," in the convention between the Linited States and Venezuela. July. 1805, June, 1856, Art. VI., 16 U. S. SVat. at I... (Tr..) 312.

For the return of "letters, newspapers, and other printed papm. in the postal convention between Great Britain and

For the return of "letters, book.packets, and patternv of murrhatudine misdirected or miseent," and "dead letters, newrpapers, Ac." in ther trigu lations attached to the convention between the linhed siatox and



But it is expressly stated that " newspapers" are not to be returned, in the convention berween the linited states and Prussia, July 17, Aug. 26, 1852. Art. NIV.. 16 If. . ( 7 'r., 186 ; and Venezuela, above: and this exception, extended to " mamples of merchandise, prints of all kinds, \&c.," is found in the postal convention between the United States and Italy, July 8, 1863, Art. XVVII., 16 Id., (Tr., ) 225.

By the regulations attached to the postal convention between the United States and (ireat Britain, Nov. 7, 24, 186s, Art. XIV., (16 U. S. Stat. at L... (Tr..) 8:3, " letters forwarded for the purpose of annoying or injuring the parties to whom they are addressed, [the postage of which both offices are authorized to return to the public even after they have been opened,] may be included and admitted with the dead letters mutually returned."

## Mail matter not to be detained.

465. Subject to the next article, all correspondence posted in one country for another, or received in one country from another, is free from all detention or inspection, and shall be forwarded by the most speedy means to its destination, or promptly delivered to its address, as the case may be; being subject, in its transmission, to the laws and regulations of each country, respectively.

Postal convention between the United States and
Mexico, Dec. 11, 1861, Art. V., 16 U.S. Stat. at L.,(Tr.) 306. Venezuela, July, 1865, June. 1866, "V., 16 Id., (Tr., 312.
Letters with contraband goods.
466. Any correspondence suspected to contain contraband goods, may be opened and examined in presence of the party to whom it is addressed : and if, on such examination, contraband goods are discovered, the letter and its contents may be detained.
$3 \& 4$ Vict., ch. $96, \$$ LXV.
Violations of the mails.
467. The unlawful hindrance of the international postal service, or interference with or appropriation of any correspondence entrusted thereto, or violation of the secrecy of such correspondence, is a public offense.

Suggested by Act of Congress of the United States, 1864, ch. 197, § 12, 13 U. S. Stat. at L.. 337 ; and Acts of Parliament, 7 Will. IV.: 1 Vict., ch. 36, sis XXV., \&c.

Matters of detuil.
468. The designation of offices through which ex-
change of correspondence shall take place, the routhes and converances, the settement of accomnts, and other matters of detail, not provided for in this Chapter. may be dotermined by special compact between the nations immediately concerned.

## CHAPTER XXXVII。

## POSTAI, MONEY ORDRRS

Artictar 469. International postal money ortores.<br>4ii). Money order offices.<br>471. Languagro<br>4i2. Charges.<br>473. Gold bakis.<br>4i4. Indorsement.<br>475. Unclaimed money.<br>476. Settlement of accounts.

## International postal money orders.

469. The post department of each nation shall issure money orders for the transmission between persons in different nations, of sums specified, not exceeding one hundred dollars.'

Fifty dollars is the limit set by the conventions for exchange of postal money orders between France and

Prussia, July 3, 186 $)$ Art. 1., 9 De ('lereq, 389.
Switzerland, Mar. 29, 10035. $9 \mathrm{dd} ., 2(5)$.
Belgium, Mar. 1.1865. 9 Jd., 105.
Italy, Apr. 8,1864, 9/J., 10.
Postal conrention between the United Statem and

Italy, Nov. 8, 156i, . IVIl., 16 If., (T'r. 1228

I The French treaties fix the limit at two hundred france.
Money order offices.
470. Postal money orders shall be issud at the oflice of the post department in the cities of Landon, Paris. New York, Berlin, St. Petershurgh, Viomma, and the other capital cities of the nations parties to this Code:
and in such others as shall from time to time be designated by special compact between the corresponding nations.

The existing treaties generally leave the designation of all the money onder offices to special conventions: but in a general system, it seems proper that all mations should unite in fixing and promulgating the desig. nation of certain central oflices, correspondence with which shall be common to all.

Language.
471. The postal money orders shall be in the langnages of the corresponding nations.

The French treaties prescribe the French language, providing. how. ever, that the German shall accompany the French text, in the orders issued from the German offices.

## Charges.

472. There shall be charged on each remittance of funds, under this Chapter, a tax of one per cent., which shall always be paid by the sender.'

Postal money orders, and receipts or acquittances therefor, are not subject to any other tax or charge.
' A tax of twenty centimes for ten francs, or fraction of ten francs, is
provided by the convention for exchange of postal money orders between
France and
Siwitzarland, Mar. 22, 180:5, Art. 11., 9 De C'lercq, 20\%.
Belgium, Mar. 1, 1*65, 9 Id.. 185.
Italy. Apr. 8, 18it. 9) $I d ., 10$.
The convention between France and Prussia, July 3, 1865, Art. II., (9 De
Clireq, $3: 9.0$ ) is the same effect, except that as to mandats delivered by a
Prussian oftice, the tax is two silver groschen for every rate of three
thalers.
The nowe treatios provide that the tax shall be divided equally be-
tween the two postal departments concerned.
Th postal convention between the Vnited States and The Swiss Con-
federation, Oct. 12, 186i\%, Art. V.. (16 U. S. Strt. at L.. (Tr..) B21, prescribes
a complicated rule involving, 1 , the domestic money order charge of the
dispatching oflice; : , a charge for international exchange to be fixed by the
dispatching oflice, and 3, the domestic eharge of the receiving office; the
first two to be propaid, and the third to be paid at the oflice of destination.

## Gold basis.

473. If, for the internal convenience of any country, any other currency than gold shall be paid to the beneficiary of the money order, it shall be made as nearly
as practicable the equivalent of gold, according to the relative values existing at the time ; and if the sender is allowed to pay for his ordor in any othor currency than gold, the amoant certitied by the intornational office is, in all cases, to be the equivalent in gold.

Postal convention lmetween the I' niteal sitateon and thow swime forsfodera


Indorsement.
474. Postal money orders are transferable by indorsement.

Convention for exclange of poatal money urders between France and Prunsia, July 3, 14h5, Art. I., 8 De Clercy, 5 ? 3
Switzerland, Mar. 29, 19R\%. of de, 20.
Belgium, Mar. 1, 1865, 9 Id., 185
Italy, Apr. 8.ises. 9 Id., 10.

## Unclaimed money.

475. Sums, unclaimed by those entitled to them, for a period of eight years, become the property of the administration which issued the orders.

Convention for the exchange of postal money orders between France and

Prussia, July 3,1818.5, Art. Vi., 9 De Clereq, $3: 9$
Switzerland, Mar. 29, 1865, ". X., 9 Itl, 205.
Belgium, Mar. . 186\%, " V., il Id., 185.
Italy, Apr. 8.isif. " V., 9 Id., 10.
Compare, however, the postal convention between the C'nited states and The Swiss Confederation, Oct. 12. 18B7, Art. Vlll., (1fi $l^{\circ}$ S. Sitas. as L., (T'r., 32:.) which contains a provision that sums which, aftor a reasonable delay, cannot for any canse be paid to the beneficiary, slantl be re certified to the disputching administration, for the benefit of tho sender. to be repaid in the manner conformable to the interior regulations of the country of origin.

But the administration recertifying the same shall have the right to first deduct its domestic charge of the same amount as if the remittanom had been paid to the beneficiary.

Settlement of accounts.
476. The post departments of the corresponding na tions shall fix, by special compact with each o:hor. respectively, the times and mode of stating and sootling the accounts between them, under this Chapter. and of paying the balance found due from one nation to the other.

## 「ITLE XVII.

patents.
The feasibilty of an international patent law is questioned in the Transactions of the Britixh National Associntion for Promotion of Social scioner, 1862, p. 884 ; 1861, p. 804.

Article 4ir. Protection of patents.
Protection of patents.
477. The same protection which any nation extends to its own members, or to inventions, designs, or discoveries made within its limits, shall be extended upon the same terms and conditions to members of the other antions, and to inventions, designs or discoveries made in any of the other nations, except that, to secure for a work already patented in one nation protection in another, the time for registering it in the latter may be limited to three months.

## TITLEXVIII.

## TRADEMARKS.

> ABticle. 478. What may be appropriated.
> 479. Exceptions.
> 480. Regintry of forelgn trade-mark.
> 481. Declaration.
> 482. Offices where registry is to be made.
> 483. Equal privilegen of forelgners.

## What may be appropriated.

478. Une who produces or deals' in a particular thing,' may appropriate to the exclusive' use of himself, and his successors ' in interest, as a trade-mark, within any nation party to this Code, any name, form. or symbol which has not been so appropriated by another in sueh nation, to designate the origin or ownership thereof. But this Title does not authorize the exclusive appropriation of that which is merely either,
479. A name already enjoyed by another person, firm or corporation ; or,
480. A common or proper name already in use to designate the thing, or any of its qualities, or its destination ; or,
481. An arbitrary sign, not signifying origin or ownership.'
[^35]17 Weckly Rep., 594 : :8 Lathe Journal, Priry Council, 35; 6 Moorc's Pricy Council Ches, (N. S., 31. Nor can one whe does not produce, or deal in, an article invoke the law of trademarks to prevent the producer of, or dealer in, it from using the name of the fomer. Such a grievance is rather in the mature of defamation. (lark r. Freeman, 12 Jurist, 149 ; 15 Litic Journal, ('h., 14?.

- The title of a newspaper is within the principle. Matsell 0 . Flanagan, Q Aboort* Pr. (Var Sinti) Rep., (N. S., 459 ; Stephens a. De Conto, 4 Id., 4i. And the principle may have a local npplication to a particular business: c. $g$., to the title of a hotel. Howari e. Henriques, :3 Sandford's (Nor York) Repp, I2s; Deit\% c. Lamb, 6 Robertson's (Neio Sork) Rep., 537.
${ }^{3}$ In L.emoine r. (ianton. (2 E. D. Smith, 343 , ) it was held that, after a manufacturer had changed his trade-mark, he was still entitled to enjoin the sale by others of geods put up by them under the trademark which he had discontinued, thus falsely purporting to be of his manufacture.
- The right to use a trade-mark is assignable, even when the mark is a personal onי, unless it be so purely personal as to import that the thing is the manufacture of a particular person. Berry $\boldsymbol{c}$. Bedford, 10 Jur. ( $N$. S.,) 503; 33 L. J. Chanc., 465 ; 12 W. R., 72 ; ; 10 L. T., (N. S., 470 . In such a case the assignce's use would be deceptive, and therefore would not be protected. Leather Cloth Co. 0. American Leather Co., 11 Jur., ( $N$. S.,) 513 ; 35. L. J. Chanc., 53 ; 13 W. R., 873 ; 12 Lavo Times, (N. S.,) 742 ; 11 House of Lords Cas., 523. See Article 479.

The right to use the trade-mark passes, by operation of law, by an assignment of the business: thus, a sale of a mineral spring, without expressly including the grod-will, or the right to use particular marks, carries to the purchaser the right to use the name of the spring adopted by the former proprictors as a trade mark. The Congress \& Empire Spring Co. r. High Rock Congress Spring Co., 10 Abbott's Pr. (New York) Rep., (N. S., 349.

Sec, also, Hudson $v$. Osborne, 39 Lave Jour. Chanc., 79.
${ }^{5}$ The use is protected only in the places where the trade-mark is used by the plaintiff before it is used by others. Corwin $v$. Daly, 7 Bosicorth, (Neic York) Rep., 292.
${ }^{6}$ Act of Congress, July 8, 1870, 冬 79 ; Faber $c$. Faber, 49 Barbour, 357 ; S. (., 3 Abl. Pri. (N. s., 115.

And see Burgess c. Burgess, 3 De G. M. \& G., $896 ; 17$ Jur., 292 ; $22 L$. J. Chanc., 6i5): Schweitzer e. Atkins, 37 Law Jonr. Chane., 847 ; 16 Weekly Rep., 1080; 19 Late Times, (N. S.,) 6.
"Collins Co. c. Brown, 3 Kiny \& Johns., 428 ; 3 .Jurist, (N. S., 929 ; Amos keag Manufacturing Co. c. Spear, 2 Sindf., 599; Fetridge $\boldsymbol{r}$. Wells, 4 Abb. Pr., 144.

The effect of this qualification will be, in accordance with the English and American decisions, that the prior use or appropriation of any name or sign of cither of these classes can only be protected in the case of foreign trade-marks, when accompanied by a mark sufficient to distinguish its origin or ownership from the same name or sign when lawfully
used by other permonn; and then it in only the combined mark that it protected.

## Ex: eptions.

479. Article 478 does not apply to any unlaw ful business, or to any material which is injurionsin its.lf, or to any trade-mark which has been fraudulently obtained, or which is used with the design of decriving the public in the purchase or use of any material.

Act of Congress of the United States concerning patenta, \&c. Julys. 1870, Art. 84, U. S. Stat, at L., vol. 16: Leather Cloth Co. e. Americats Leather Cloth Co., 11 Jur., (N. S., 513: 35 L. J., Charne., 83 : 13 N: K., 873 ; 12 L. T., (N. S., 742 ; 11 H. L. Cus., i23; Hoble e. Francals, 19 Hov. Pr., 56T.
It was held in Curtis e. Bryan, (i36 Hove. Pr., \$3,) that the primeiplen upon which courts have refused to protect a trade-mark which involved a deception upon the public, do not extend to cases where the deception alleged is not in the trademark itself, but in advertisements uned to ad vance the sales of the article; but the provision of the act of Congresen of the United States, mentioned above, seems to refuse protection in such a case.
The use of the wond "patent," however, on grods not actually patented, but which by long usnge are known by that name in the trade. is not such a misrepresentation as deprises the user of protection Marwhall e. Ross, 8 L. IV. Eig., 651: 17 W. R., 1086 : Stewart e. Smithson, 1 Hit ton, 119.

## Registry of foreign trade-mark.

480. To enjoy the protection afforded by this Title, in any nation other than that in which the clamant is domiciled, the trade-mark must be registered in such nation, with a statement of the following particulars:
481. The name of the party who desires the protection of the trade-mark, and his residence and place of business;
482. The class of merchandise, and the particular description of goods comprised in such class, for which the trade-mark has been or is intended to be appropriated ;
483. A description of the trade-mark itself, with a farsimile thereof, and the mode in which it has been or is intended to be applied and used : and.
484. The length of time, if any, during which the trade-mark has been used.

Act of ('ongress of tho ['nited States, July 8, 1870 , 各 78.
This leavies it 10 each mation to protect domestic trade-marks to such extent as may be deomed suitable. Thus, in France, certain tradesmen in particular citices cojoy the exclusive use of a mark peculiar to articles of their trade produced in that city.

## Declaration.

481. There must also be filed in the same office with the registry, a declaration, muder the oath of the party or his agent, to the effect that the claimant has a right to the use of the same in such nation, and that no other person or corporation has a right to its use there ; and that the description and fac-simile presented for registry are true.

Offices where registry is to be made.
482. The registry of trade-marks under this title, in each nation, is to be made in the offices of the chief secretary of state for the interior or home department, and in such others as shall from time to time be desigmated by the legislative authority of the nation.

In the Hanseatic ('ities, the tribunal of commerce is designated as the place of registry.
'Treaty of commerce and navigation between France and the Free ( itios of Jobeck l3remen and Hamburg, March 4, 1865, Art. XXIV.. (9 De C'lercq, 187, 195.)

In the NetuEblanids, two copies in the registry of the tribunal of the arrondissement at Amsterdam.

Treaty of commerce and navigation between France and the Netherlands, July T, 1865, Art. XIIV., (9 De Clercq, 3:37, 343.)

In Austha, iwo copies in the chamber of commerce of Vienna.
Trenty of commerce between France and Austria, December 11, 1866, Art. XIll., (9 De ('lereq, 646, 649.)

In the (irand Duchy of Banes, "au bureau bailliage de la ville du Carlaruhe."
(onvention between France and the (irand Duchy of Baden, July 2 ,


In Ressis, in the department of manufactures and internal commerce, at St. P'etershurg.

Treaty of commerce and navigation between France and Russia, Art. XXII., ( T De Clereq, 2i8. 2s6.)

In Pontuoato, at the regiatry of the tribunal of commerre do prenaliere inntance.

Convention between France and Portugal, Aprit 12, keil, Ans, Xill. (6 De Cliereq. 101, 10:.)

In Finances, in the regintry of the tribunal of commeree [of the teline.] or the counell of prud 'hommen.
${ }^{1}$ 'Truaty or convention between France and
Free Citien of Lit.
lwek, Bremen \& Hamburg,
Netherlands. July T.186\%, - XXIV., 9 /d., 33\%, 343.
Auntria. Dec. 11,1866 ." XII., 9 Id., 646, 649.

Russia, June 14. 1857, " XXXII. i It., 2ix. 2046.
The convention between France and Portugal, Apri: 12, 1851, Ars XVII., (6 De Clereq, 101.) designatee the registry of the tribunal of tho Scine.

The Act of Congress of the United States concerning "patents," July 8. 1870 , provides for the registration of trademarks in the Patent Office in Washington, D. C.

## Equal privileges of foreigners.

483. Every nation party to this Code, which provides for the registration of domestic trade-marks must allow, upon the same terms and with the same effect, the registration of foreign trade-marks by members and domiciled residents of the other nations.

## TITLE XIX.

## COPYRIGHTS.

The International Copyright Congress, held at Brussels in 1858, resolved:

1. On the principle of an interuational recognition of property in works of literature and art, in favor of their authors ;
2. 'This principle ouglit to be admitted, even in the absence of reciprocity :
3. Foreign authors should be on the same footing as native;
4. Additional formalities should not be required of foreign authors ; it should be enough to comply with the formalities of the law of the place of first publication ;
5. It is desirable that all countries should adopt legislation on an uniform basis.

France (says Blaine, in paper in Transactions of National Association for Promotion of Social Science, $1862, \mathrm{p} .868$, has adopted the first four rules, and alone has dispensed with conditions of reciprocity.

Cinder the French law it is unlawful, without the permission of the anthor, to mublish a work already published in a foreign country with which no copyright convention exists. Copinger on Copyright, ch. XVIII., p 240.
'lhe principal French conventions are with
Austria, Dec. 11, 1866, 9 De Clercq, 664.
Pontifical States, July 14, 1867, 9 Id., 731.
Portugal, July 11, 1866, 9 Id., 593.
(irand Duchy of
Laxemboiarg.)
Hnnover. July 19, 1865, $9 / d ., 366$.
Ihchy of Nassuu, July 5, 186\%), 9 Id., 3332.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (irand lluke of } \\ \text { Hesse. }\end{array}\right\}$ June 14, 1865, 9 Id., 310 .
(irand Jnchy of;
Mocklenburg- June 9, 1865, 9 ld., 303. Schwerin, Mecklenburg- 1 Strelit\%. Sept. 19, 1865, 9 Id., 3 S2.
Saxony, May 26, 1865, 9 Id., 286.
Baden, May 12, 186i5. 9 Id., 244.
Frankfort, Ajr. 18, 186in, 9 Id., 23s.
Bavaria, Mar. 24, 186.9, 9 Id., 222.
Belgium, May $1,1861,8$ Id., 264.
Prussia, Aug. 2, 1862, 8 Id., 495.
-(extended to) Electorate of Hewar, 9 fd., z(1) : Principalition of Reum.

 373; of Lappe Detmold. 470; of Schambourg Lippeo fil : wo the Ihehtion of Saxe Altenbourg. 298; of Brunnwick, 2t5: of Sarn Cobourg Cinhlan. 2x5; of Anhalt Dewan. 452 ; and to Hewne Horbbourg. 2nt

Fingland han international copyright conventiont (lewe mopplete asus liberal than thome of France,) with Praswia, France, Italy, Belgeium, Spaits. and mome other Powers.

> Articieg 484. Ownerwhip of products of the mind
> 485. Tinnsfer.
> 488. Subwequent inventor, author, Ac.
> 48i. Private writinge.
> 488. Correspondence addrewsed to pulblic ofticum
> 489. Right of protection.
> 490. Extent of protection.
> 491. Translations.
> 492. Extracts from newspapers and perindionlo
> 493. Power to prohibit workw.
> 494. Saring clause as to existing works.

Ononership of products of the mind.
484. The author of any product of the mind, whether it be an invention, or a composition in letters or art, or a design, with or withont delineation, or othrr graphical representation, has an exclusive ownership therein, and in the representation or expression thereof; and the sole right to copy the same or reproduce it in any form, subject to the provisions of this Title.

The Cieil Cinde. reported for Nen York. $\$ 499$.
This provision establishes an intornational recognition of property in works of literature and art, in favor of their anthons, and wishous rofer ence to reciprocitg (except to the extent to which all provisions of this Code are reciprocal,) which are the first two principles agreed upmot liy the International Copyright Congress of Bruseeles, of 1 tis.

The Ciril Code, reported for Neec Burk, ( $\$ 430$. , also provideos for jown ownership.

It has been recently held in the Now York Superior Count, that the common law right of the author of a dramatic componition in met attoreal by the ordinary publice representations, and that a woctator's reprofucing the compasition from memory was an Infringument. Inamerp. It. Wing. 40 Howard's Pr. (Neu Yord) Rep., 293.

Transfer.
485. The author of any product of the mind, or of any representation or expression thereof, may transfer his property in the same, or any part thereof, and thereupon the property transferred becomes subject to further transfer like other property.

The Civil ('onde, reported for Neie York, S 431.
Subsequent inventor, author, \& $c$.
486. If the owner of a product of the mind does not make it public, any other person subsequently and originally producing the same thing has the same right therein as the prior anthor, which is exclusive to the same extent against all persons except the prior author, or those claiming under him.

The Civil Code, reported for New York, \& 433.

## Private writings.

487. Subject to the next article, letters and other private' communications belong to the person to whom they are addressed and delivered ; ${ }^{2}$ but they cannot be published against the will of the writer, ${ }^{2}$ except by authority of law.

The Civil Code, reported for Neio York, Ş 434.
See Woolsey r. Judd, 4 Duer's (Ner York) Rep., 389, and cases there cited ; Eyre $v$. Higbee, 35 Barbour's (Neio York) Rep., 502.
(ompare Copinger on Copyright. ch. II., p. 24, and anthorities cited in note (d.)
${ }^{1}$ Communications received from correspondents by editors or proprietors, (if sent expressly or impliedly for the purpose of publication.) become the property of the person to whom they are directed, and cannot be published by any other person obtaining possession of them; nor by such editor or proprietor, if, previous to publication, the writer expresses a desire to withdraw them. Copinger on Copyright, ch. II., p. 32.
${ }^{2}$ The difficulty in defining the rule upon this subject arises from the fact that two rights are involved : First, the ownership of the document, with the incidental adrautages attaching to the personal control of it as a repository of the information communicated,-such, for instance, as the right to use it as evidruce, or to destroy it, (see Eyre $c$. Higbee, 3is Barbour's (Neio York) Rap.,502.513, -this ownership is protected by the same rules that apply to other chattels: Scond, the right of property in the product of mind embodied in the document, and which remains in the author when the communication is private, that is th say, not intended for publication-a right which extends to the control, not of the document as a thing, but to controlling the reproduction of the form in which
thought in embodiod in it -a right which beoomes importast in proportion to the value of the mental product.
${ }^{3}$ It may be a quention whether the atlirmative conmetut of tho writer, ent his permonal representatives of anaigns, whould sot, in motrictivens, If tasde the mondition.

Correspondence addressed to public offices.
488. The government of a nation has the right to publish or withhold all letters addressed to its public offices.

Curtis on Copyrighe, 98.

## Right of protection.

489. Any nation may prescribe the formalitios rupisite to the enjoyment of copyright within its.jurisdiction. and may limit the copyright to any period not less than twenty-five years, but for such period the rights of an author, as defined by article 484 , must be protected by the laws of every nation.

## Extent of protection.

490. The same protection, even beyond that secured by the last article, which the copyright laws of any nation extend to its own members, or to works produced or first published within its limits, must be. extended, upon the same terms, to the members of the other nations, and to works produced or first published therein; except that, to secure for a work first published in one nation protection in another. the time for registering it in the latter may be limited to three months, and a deposit of a foreign copy may be required.

Thee Eumopean copyright treatiow. -of which there are a number, uniting France with Great Britain, Austria, the Cicrman States, Purtugal, |rel giam. Awitzerland, Ruswin, the Pontifical Stateen, the Nerluerlande, and obhor countriew, -are generally framed by enumerating what clavente of works are protected, and by proseribing the method of registration for copyrighting a foreign work.

The following rexume of the treaty between dirvat Britain and franer. Nov. 3. 1851, afforls an indication of the proviainom comanon in themtreaties:

The authops of workn of liserature and art published in Finglamet sloall have the wance protection in Frances an french autheor have therev, winl sice eermb.

Works of literature and art are understood to comprehend books, dramatic works, musical compositions, drawings, paintings, sculptures, engravings, lithographs, and any other production whatsoever of literature or the finearts.

The protection granted to original works is extended to translations; it being, however, clearly understood that protection is afforded simply to a translator in respect of his own translation, and not to confer the exclusive right of translating upon the first translator of any work.

If the author of any work published in either country wishes to reserve to himself the exclusive right of translating his work in the other country, he may doso for five years from the first publication of the translation authorized by him, on complying with the following conditions:

1. The original work must be registered and deposited in the one country within three months after the publication in the other.
2. The author must notify, on the title-page of his work, his intention to reserve the right of translation.
3. At least a part of the authorized translation must appear within a year after the registration and deposit of the original, and the whole must be published within three years after the date of such deposit.
4. The authorized translation must appear in one of the two countries, and be registered and deposited in the same way and within the same time as an original book.

With reference to works published in parts: each part is to be treated as a separate work, and registered and deposited in the one country within the three months after its first publication in the other, and a declaration by the author to the effect that he reserves the right of translation in the first part, will be sufficient.

Dramatic works and musical compositions are protected in France to the same extent as in England. The translation of a dramatic work, howcver, must appear within three months after the registration and deposit of the original.
This protection is not intended to prohibit fair imitations or adaptations of dramatic works to the stage in England and France respectively, but is only designed to prevent piratical translations. And the question, what is an imitation or a piracy. is in all cases to be decided by the courts of justice of the respective countries, according to the laws in force in each.

Extracts from newspapers and periodicals may be frecly taken from either country, and republished or translated in the other. if the source whence they are taken be acknowledged, unless the authors of the articles shall have notified in a conspicuous manner, in the journal or periodical in which such articles have appeared. that they prohibited the republication or translation thereof.

This stipulation, however, does not apply to articles of political discussion.
Importation of pirated copies is prohibited, and in the event of an infraction of this prohibition, the pirated works may be seized and destroyed.

In order to obtain protection in either country, the work must be regis. tered in the following manner:

If the work firnt appear in France, it munt be regintered at Stationerso Hall. Landon, -if it appear first in Fingland, at the Burnau de la liberarim of the Minintry of the Interior at Paris,-within there montlie wfler the firnt publication in Fingland. An to workn putilinhesl in parts, thry muat be regintered within three monthen after the publication of the lant part. but in order to promerve the right of trammation, each part inumt tre trgis. terod within thres monthen after ita publication. A coppr of the work sums almo be deponited within the same time an registration in so be tamede, eithort at the Britinh Museumin in London, or in the National Libenry in Parin, an the case may be.

The certitied copy of the entry in elther case in evidence of the exclus wive right of publication in Ixoti countries untll the contrary in proved

With regard to articles other than books, mapw, prints, and munical com powitions, in which protection may be claimed, any other moxle of rwist tration, which may be applicable by law in one of the two countrion to any work or article first published in such country, for the purpenso of affording protection to copyright in wuch article, is extended on cyual terms to any similar article fint published in the other country.

See, also, convention between Great Britain and
Prussia, June 4. 1855.; $\begin{array}{r}\text { Accounts and Papera, 1856, vol. L.X1.. (24.) } \\ \text { (Additional to convention of May 13. 1846.) }\end{array}$
Belgium, Aug. 12, 1854, Id., 1854-5, vol. 1.V., (26.)
Article 490 above, however, seems to present an equally eflicacioun and more simple rule; and the right of aliens to avall themselvea like citizens of the copyright laws being thus conceded, all the proper legal remedien are assured. as in other cases, by the provisions of Part V1., reapect lig The administhation of Juntice.

No distinction is made in France, between foreigners and French subjects, as to copyright, provided they make the necessary deposit. All kinds of unpublished works, lectures. \&c., are the exclusive property of their authors. Leci's Commercial Lave, vol. II. p. 581: Copinger on Copyrighs. ch. XVIII., p. $2: 9$.

Mr. Blaine suggests that an uniform system of registration and inter national exchange of records be adopted, so that registry, according to the law of the State of first publication, will be followed by transmixsion of the record to the other nations, and consequent protection there. Trans aetions of Notional Association for Promotion of Social .Sience, 1 ses. p. 869.

The drafted treaty between Great Britain and the United Statex, 1心is, contained a provision that when a foreign work is copyrighted the edition must be as cheap as the cheapest foreign edition.

## Translations.

491. Copyright includes the right of translation into other languages; but, in order to preserve such right, the intention to do so must be announced upon the title page of each volume, and of each part, if published in
parts, and the publication of a translation must be commenced within one year from the publication of the original.

Unless the right of translation is secured under this article, any translator may have a copyright of his translation.

Sue the convention between France and Austria. Dec. 11, 1866, Art. IV., (9 De ('lrere. 664,) and those with the German States, 1865, 9 Id.

And see note to Article 490, concerning translations of dramatic works.
The French conventions with Portugal and several of the German staten contain a provision that the author of a dramatic work, who would reserve the exclusive right of translation and of representation, must publish his translation, or produce the piece on the stage within three monthe after the formalities of copyright.

Extracts from newspapers and periodicals.
492. Extracts from newspapers and periodicals published in one nation may be freely republished or translated in another, if the source whence they are taken be acknowledged; except that the author or publisher of an article not involving political discussion may reserve strict protection by a conspicuous notice published with the article.

Ser note to Article 490, and the conventions there referred to.
To the same effect are the conventions between France and Austria, Portugal, German States, Pontifical States, and Russia.

Power to prohibit works.
493. The provisions of this Title are subject to the power of any nation to control or prohibit the importation, sale, circulation or publication, within its territorial limits, of any work or production.

This qualification is usual in the treaties, to reserve the ordinary measures of police, and also any obligations arising out of agreements with other nations by which particular works may have been prohibited.

Sabing clause as to existing works.
494. The provisions of this Title do not prevent the continuation of the publication or sale in any nation of works already in part or wholly published therein.

The convention between France and Portugal qualifies this provision by adding that no further publication can be made other than necessary to complete orders or subscriptions already commenced. In the treaty between France and Russia, the anving clanse extends to works to be published within one year.

## TITLEXX.

## MONEY.

## Anticie 495. Adjuntment of accounts between nations, and between members of different nations.

496. The moncy of account to follow the law of decimal subdivision.
497. Gold to be the ntandard.
498. The standard of fineneas prescribed.
499. Definitiou of the money unit.
500. What gold coins shall be legal tender.
501. Silver colnage.
502. What silver coins shall be legal tender.
503. Limits of variation from standard weight or
fineness within which coins shall be current.
504. Standard weights.
505. Scrutiny of the coinage.
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Adjustinent of accounts between nations, and betwoen members of different nations.
495. All accounts and transactions between the nations, and between the members of different nations, involving money, shall be rendered and settled in terms of the nnit of money established by this Code, and of its subdivisions.

The first of the propositions agreed upon by the international monetary convention held in Paris, in 1867, declared that "an identical nalty "ought to boestablished between the gold coins of all nations. Identity in the common money unit does not necessarily require uniformity of coinage. though the coins should wtand in such simple relations to the unit that all the larger national coins may have an international cirealation. In this manner the system of coinage which is found to be practically the mast convenient will ultimately prevail, and secure universal acceptance.

The money of account to follow the lavo of decimal subdicision.
496. The denominations of money higher and lower $19 \dagger$
than the unit, shall be decimal multiples and submultiples of the unit.

The conclusive argument in favor of the decimal system of money weights and measures is, that this is the system of our arithmetical numeration, and that by itsadoption abstract and concrete values are reduced to a common form of expression. If it were practicable, in abstract arithmetic, to introluce a different law of increase, a more convenient ratio might possibly be found than the decimal, but no advantage which could be secured by the adoption of such a ratio in the affairs of life, can be a compensation for the great disadvantage which accompanies any departure from the law of numbers. This consideration determined the adoption of the decimal division in the metrical system of money, weights and measures, and in the American system of money.

Coins may be struck, according to convenience, of multiples, and submultiples, of the unit, not decimal.

## Gold to be the standard.

## 497. The monetary standard shall be gold only.

The principle of a double standard is illogical. It assumes that law can make a relation permanent which nature has made variable. In defence of the double standard it has been argued by some authorities, and notably by Mr. Wolooski, at the international conference of 1867, that such a standard tends to maintain greater stability in the measure of value, by preventing a serious disturbance of prices on occasion of an abnormal scarcity of one or the other of the precious metals. Practically, however, where a double standard has existed, the effect has been to induce a delasement of the coinage in that metal of which the market value exceeds the legral. Accordingly, though at the time of the international conference of 1860 a double standard actually existed in a number of the states represented, the conference was unanimous in favor of a single standard; and though in all but two of these twenty States the existing standard was either silver, or both silver and gold, the conference pronounced with equal unanimity for gold only. This metal is recommended by its superiority of value over silver of equal bulk or weight, and its consequently greater portability, and by the fact that it is practically already, in Europe and America, the medium of all large monetary transactions.

## The standard of fineness prescribed.

498. The standard quality, or degree of fineness, of the metal employed in coinage, shall be nine parts of pure gold to one part of alloy.

The existing diversity among the coins of different nations, as it respects alloy. is very great. For gold coins, the standard fineness above proposed has been adopted by France, Belgium, Switzerland, Italy, the United States, Prussia, Bavaria and Spain ; also by Austria, for her crown and half-crown, and by Holland, for her double William, and its submultiples. For ber ducat and double ducat, Holland employs an alloy con-
taining fifty.nine parta of pure gold out of sixty of total weight. Austris, for the coin of the mame name, preseriben a finencse of seventyone parts out of everentyiwo, which is the quality of metal used almo by Wortesnberg for her gold colna.

Great Britaln, Portugal, Braxil and Turkey employ a atandard consist lag of oloven parte of purv gold out of iwelve in total weight: and Sweden, one of thirty.nine parts out of forty. Elsewhere, the atandard talls below alne-tenths; being lowest in Eggyt, where it consista of seren parts of pure gold out of eight of total weight. Ofleinel Report on the International Esposition of Coins, Weights and Mensures of 1 sst?
The finenems of ailver coinn is equally various. For their larger omins, France, Belgiam, Switzerland. Italy, Prunsia, Bavaria, W nrtemberg. Baden, Hesse, Anstria, Spain and the United States adhere io the mtandard of nine-tenths. Fingland employes thirty-meren fortiethe, and Holland 045-1000. Elwowhere, generally, a lower standand, of varying finenoss. provalls: and as a rale, in all countries the anbsidiary silver coinage is debesed bolow ninetenths. Report aboce cited.
Some of these Irregularities will neceesarily disappeap, in the natural course of eventa. A single monetary system will no doubt noon replace the diversities which are at present found in the German States : and the otandard of Prusain and Bavaria will prevail throughout the empire. By far the larger part of the coinage of the world (that of Great Britain being for the moment put out of the question) in therefore now, or will shortly be, conformed to the standard fineness of nine-tenths, as it reapecta both gold and silver. The delegates of Great Britain, at the interantional conference of 1807 , arsented to the expediency of conforming the British colnage in futuro to the same standand. Report of the Britiah Delegates to the International Monetary Conference.

It is in favor of this compound, that it is superior to most others, and so the pure metal, in point of hardness, and is therefore conducive to the darability of the coinage.

Since the value of coin is estimated according to the weight of fine motal which it contains, the base metal forming the alloy being accounted as worth nothing, it would be quite possible to have coins identical in value while differing both in appearance and in weight. Such coins would lack the qualities esmential to secure to them an international circulation : and beyond the limits of the States by which they were issurd, they would be regarded as foreign, and would be receired with hesitancy.

Their variety would also increase the diffeulty of distinguishing the genaine from the sparious. Moreover, in making payments it would to imposeible to transfer coin from hand to hand by weight, in case the cols, of different countries were mixed; a circumstance which in itself would be found to be a great disadvantage.

In order, therefore, that there may be created a colinage iruly interna. tional, it is indispensable that there should be universally adopted an uniform standard of fineness in the metal employed.

In theec considerations there is likely to be found an obetacte to the probably succesefal working of a plan proposed in 18.0 by the govern
ment of the United States to the goveraments of Earope, through a circular dispatch of the Secretary of State to the ministers of the United States resident abroad, the object of which was to a void the difficulties attendant on the immediate creation of an international coinage for universal and exclusive use, by effecting, what is called in the dispatch, an assimilation of the several national coinages. In order to this, the plan proposed that the existing gold coins of all nations should be retained under the same denominations as at present ; but that they should be alightly modified in weight, so that the weights of fine gold contained in them should be exact multiples of a certain assumed unit weight. The unit weight suggested was one decigramme of fine gold. This plan would make the values of the gold coins of the several nations commensurable with each other; but the ratios of commensurability which it would introduce would lack the desired simplicity; and the diversity of the alloys in use would prevent any national coinage from securing an extensive international circulation.

## Definition of the money unit.

499. The unit to be taken as the basis of the international monetary system, shall be called a dollar ; and shall be of the value of one and a half grammes of fine gold, or five tergrammes ${ }^{1}$ of standard gold.
${ }^{1}$ The word tergramme, formed on the principles of the metric nomenclature, signifies one-third of a gramme.
In the determination of a unit to serve as the basis of the system, the choice lies between three possibilities:
500. To take for the purpose some value totally different from that of any existing coin or denomination of money, by the adoption of which all monetary systems at present existing will be superseded.
501. To take some coin or denomination of money actually existing; that is, to take some type which is at present simply national, and to make it universal.
502. To take some value which, in itself, or in its multiples or submultiples, shall so nearly approach the principal coins of the nations embracing the largest population, and most largely engaged in conducting the world's exchanges, that these, by means of inconsiderable changes, may be accommodated to it.
On purely theoretical grounds, the first of the expedients here presented is preferable to either of the others. Coins must be adjusted by weight ; and in the absence of any actual system of coinage, it would be advisable to make the unit of money identical with the unit of weight, or with some simple subdivision of that unit.

Supposing the metric system of weights to be legalized, the absolute weight of any mass of standard metal, coined or uncoined, would thus have the same numerical expression as the absolute money value; or these expressions would differ only in the place of the decimal point.

In the actual state of things, however, it is to be borne in mind that the hahits of thinking of all peoples, in regard to objects of value, have been
formed upon their exiating monetary syntems, and that the priens of all commodition have been adjusted in accordance with the denominatione of money in daily une. White, therefore, it is dealrable that the anit of money ahould be melated to the unit of welght in as mitnplea ratio as practicable, it munt be coneldered that any meheme which ohall break up all thene familiar amociationn, will be unncceptable to practical inen; os that, whatever may be ftamerits in a point of view purely selentific, it will probably fall of nuccens. It in alno to be borne in mind that the amount of gold and silver coin now in exintence cannot be leas than $82,500,000,000$ :- and that if a plan of monetary unification can be de-

- The total amount of coln existing can ouly be matter of ratima:e. The amount assumed above is the extimate of Mr. MeCulloch. in his arti. cle on the "Precious Metala." In thn Eineyelopadia Britanniea. Mr. MeGulloch namea, in a note appended to the article cited, the numerous authoritiea upon which he has founded hin conclunion. He aires to Great Britain $£ \mathbf{K} 0,000,000$ or $£ 75,000,000$, as representing the gold and silrer currency, and the reserves in the banks. Professor Jecons, in a recrnt communication (1808) to the Statistical Society of Iondon, puts the gold coin in sovereigns and half-movereigns, at $£ 40,000,000$; and $\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Miller, of the Bank of England, cited by Dr. Furr, in his report in the international Statistical Congress at the Hague, (1869.) maintains that it cannot bo leas. As Professor Jecons putn the silver colnage of Great Britain at $£ 14,000,000$, his estimate for the total amount of gold and silver coin existing in Great Britain is $£ 94,000,000$, exceeding that of Mr. MoCulloch very materially.
Mr. MeCulloek gives to Franen $£ 130,000,000$, or $£ 140,000,000$ : mak. ing for the two countries, Great Britain and France, $£ 300000,000$, or $\mathbf{£ 2 1 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$; say $\$ 1,050,000,000$. For the rest of Eumpe, for North and South America, for Australia, for the Cape of Good Hope, and for Algeria united, he supposer the amount may be in the neighbourhood of $\mathfrak{E 3 0 0}$. 000,000 ; so that, for all the worid, excluding Asia and the African States, except Algerin, the total is probably between $£ 490,000,000$ and $\mathbf{£} 510,000,000$ : that is to eny, $£ 500,000,000$, or $\$ 2,500,000,000$.

Mr. S. B. Ruggles, of New York, delegate of the United States to the international monetary conference at Paris in 1867, in a written argument addressed to that body in favor of the coinage of a gold piece of the value of twenty.five francs, atated the total amount of the Unlted Statea gold coinage ip to 1866 , at $\$ 845,500,000$, of which he ansumed that $\$ 300,000,000$ still exists in the country. He gave the total of gold coin existing in France, Belgium and Italy, according to the estimates of M. de Porire and other distinguished publicists, at $\$ 1,400.000,000$; and he assumed that in all Europe the total would not be less than $\$ 1,800,000,000$. Thin estimate neems moderate, when we consider that Mr. MeCrulloch citen an probable an eatimate for Russia only, of about $\$ 270,000,000$; and conबider further, that there remain Spain, Portugal, Holland, Denmark. Swedon, Norway, Switzerland, Austria and Germany still to be accounted for.

Taking, however, the estimaten of Mr. Ruggles for continental Europe. at $\$ 1,800,000,000$, and for the Cuited States, at $\$ 300,000,000$, along with those of Messrs. Jecons and Miller, for (Ireat Britain, of say $\$ 500,000,000$. we have already a total of $\$ 2,600,000,000$; withont onsidering Mexico, the British Possossions in North America, the Went India Islands. Central America, South America, Australia, the Cape, and Algeria, for which it wrould be a low estimate to suppose that they have among them $\$ 400$. 000.000 . The amount, therefore, assumed above an the total of the cold and silver coinage of Eumpean nations, and of nations and colonlen of Furopean origin, still actually existing, is probably considerably below. rather than above, the real amount.
vised under which a large portion of this great sum may still be cmployed to subserve the purposes of money without recoinage, this consideration will weigh heavily in its favor.
It happens that the relations of the representative coins of France, the United States and Great Britain, form nearly a geometrical series. This fact has led to a number of suggestions, all contemplating the possibility of bringing these coins, and through them the monetary systems of the three countries, into complete harmony, without entailing any sorious inconvenience upon the peoples concerned. Such a result might be reached by adopting the second or the third of the possible modes of unification pointed out above; that is to say. by taking the franc, the pound sterling, or the dollar, at the actual value of the coin chosen, as the money unit; or, on the other hand, by assuming a value having metric relations, unlike any one of these coins, but capable, by slight changes in their several values, of being brought into harmony with all of them.

It is an objection to the adoption of the franc, the sovereign, or the dollar, at the precise values which now belong to these coins by law, that the weight of no one of them is expressible in an exact number of grains or of grammes, or even in a number of grains or of grammes embracing only simple fractions. Notwithstanding this very serious objection, the international conference at Paris did not hesitate to recommend, with a near approach to unanimity, that the French gold piece of five francs, of the metric weight of gr. 1.612903, should be made the basis of an international system of money. To this weight it was proposed to reduce the American dollar, from its present metric weight of gr. 1.671813 ; a reduction which would diminish its value a little more than three and a half per cent. ; and to accord with this, it was likewise proposed to coin the British sovercign of the metric weight of gr .8 .064515 , with a fineness of nine-tenths, instead of gr. 7.98805, as at present, of the fineness of eleven-twelfths, (the British standard,) which is equivalent to a weight of gr. 8.13598 , of the fineness of nine-tenths; by which change its value would be reduced $88-100$ of one per cent.

The argument which seems to have decided the conference in favor of this proposition, was the fact that the French system of coinage is now the system also of Belgium, Switzerland and Italy as well, by virtue of a monetary treaty concluded December 23, 1865, which is to remain in force until January 1, 1880, and longer, if not sooner repealed. A system which had already secured acceptance with half Europe, (excluding Russia and the Scandinavian States, and which is represented by an actual coinage of no less value than $\$ 1,400,000,000$, or $\$ 1,500,000,000$, was presumed to be too stmongly rooted to be superseded even by a better one; and im. pressed with this conviction, the conference believed that (ireat Britain and the United States would be constrained to adopt the same system, and thus determine its cultimate adoption by all nations.

A request was therefore presented to the government of France, to invite all the governments which were represented in the conference, to signify, on or before the 15 th day of February, 1868, their willingness to
adhere to the banis proposed, or the contrary. Up to the prowent time it is not known that any notices of adhesion have been sent in. ${ }^{\text {- }}$
In Great Britaln, a royal commisadou reported upon the properitton, in July, 1808, unfavorably; and the efforta whelh have been repeatedly made to secure a more favornble rewalt in the American Congress have thus far falled. There in no prompeet, therefore, that the plan proposed by the conference can soon command acquiencence from any nations beyond those which have already committed themsel ree to its temporary use.

Ita adoption, had It aucceeded, woald have entablinhed a permanent dia. cordance between the system of coinage and the metric system of weighta and measures; and on that account ite failure is by no means to be regretted. The fact, moreover, neems to be, that the entire body of the colnage of gold and silver which has been ismued from the French mints on this basis is below the legal standard in regard both to fineness and to weight. $\dagger$ (Director U. S. Mint Rep't. for 1867. Baron Eugene Nothoms in Prussian Annats.) So that, in its present condition, it could never be received as part of an international coinage. The argument, therefore. drawn from ite large amount, falls to the ground.

Neither the pound sterling, nor the dollar, nor any other existing coln. except the piece of fivefrancs, has been proposed unconditionally as the basia of an international coinage. The delegates from Great Britain to the international conference suggested the idea of a ten-franc piece having the value of eight shillings sterling, to serve as a monetary unit, on the ground that this would be more likely to necure acceptance in England than the unit of half that value proposed by the conference: but this suggestion received no sapport.

All the remaining propositions as yet made with regard to the monetary unit recognize the necessity of deviating, at lenst to some degree, from the actual weight and ralue of any existing coin ; but they all aim at the same time to avoid so largen deviation as to render the entire coinage of the world, or even a great part of it, unavailable for the uses of moner. The most noteworthy of these propositions may be enumerated as follows:

1. To make the dollar the unit, giving it the weight of 1.68 gramme of standard gold, nine-tenths fine.;
2. To make the dollar the unit, giving it the weight of 1.2-sde grammes of standard gold, nine tenths fine. ह̇

[^36]3. 'To take as a unit the value of one gramme of standard gold, ninetenths fine, to be called a sol, or soldo.*
4. To take as a common measure of value, one decigramme of pure gold. $\dagger$

To consider these propositions in their order:
In favor of the first, it is urged that it would only require, in the British gold coins, a change of $44-100$ ths of one per cent., and in the French gold coins, an opposite change of precisely the same small amount, to reconcile them with the Federal coinage; but the Federal coinage, on the other hand, would require to be reduced 3.1 10th per cent. It is unfortunate, also, that the change required by this plan, in French gold, is in the direction of increase of weight, while the whole mass of the French coin existing is below standard weight already. The proposer of this plan considers it a recommendation that it provides a coinage in which the weights are expressed by round numbers of grains; the unit weight, $1.62-100$ ths grammes, being the equivalent, within an infinitesimal fraction, of 25 grains. This relation is, however, unimportant, since it is to be desired that grain-weights shall cease to be used as soon as possible.

The second proposition is that which has been adopted in the foregoing Article. Its advantages are, first, that it furnishes a unit bearing a simple relation to the system of metric weights, but which is yet so nearly identical with the dollar of the United States (about 3 -10ths of one per cent. less) as to require no account to be taken of the difference.
It presents, secondly, points of near approach to the monetary systems of the nations in every part of the world, whose populations are most numerous, and whose commerce contributes most largely to the increase, of the world's wealth. As a unit of account, it is furthermore likely to prove more generally acceptable than the much larger unit presented in the pound sterling, or the much smaller one represented by the franc.

And, finally, it is a consequence of the long continued and extensive use in past time of the Spanish dollar, with which this unit is nearly identical, and of the fact that the dollar is to-day the unit actually employed in the commercial dealings of nearly four hundred millions of people, (Dispatch of Secretary Fish, cit. supr.,) that the adoption of this coin as the basis of the international monetary system will introduce no novelty, and will therefore require but little effort to make the system universally intelligible.

The following table has been calculated from data found in the " Catalogue Officiel " of the Esposition of Weights, Measures and Moneys, Paris, 1867, and in the Report of the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States for 1887; together with information furnished by E. B. Elliott, Esq., Statistician, U. S. Treasury Department.

[^37]Belastione of the Netric Dollar to the principal Gidel Caina of Kivrope. Ameriea, North Arriea and Japan.


Tho third scheme possesses in many respects the advantages of the one just considered, and is also recommended as being in the strictest sense metrical, since it presents the simplest possible relation to the metric system of weights. According to this, the weight of a mass of coin in grammes has mumerically the same expression as its value in soldos. The following schedule shows its relations to various national coins in actual use.

| Country. | Coin. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 퉁 } \\ & \text { eg } \\ & \text { Bo } \\ & \gg 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ed | 3 Double Eag | 100:809 | $-0.31$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | 100 | 80.00 |
| ed | 8 Eagles ............... | 50.154 | $-0.81$ | 50.00 | 50 | 30.00 |
| - | 3 Half Eagles... ..... | 25.077 | $-0.81$ | 25.00 | 25 | 15.00 |
| . | 3 Dollars ... | 5.015 | $-0.81$ | $5 \cdot 00$ | 5 | 8.00 |
| Great Britain. | Sovereign | $8 \cdot 138$ | $-1.67$ | 8.00 | 8 | 480 2.40 |
| treal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Britain. | Half Sovereign | 4.088 | $-1.67$ | 4.00 | 4 | 2.40 |
| ance. | Napoleon............. | 6.452 | + 0.74 | $6 \cdot 50$ | 61/2 | 890 |
| 此. | Plece of 10 Francs. .... | 8.226 | + 0.74 | 3-25 | 854 | 195 15.00 |
| Spain. . | 3 Doublons ............ | 25.161 | -0.64 | 2500 | 25 | 15.00 $10 \cdot 80$ |
| Portugal. | Coroa.............. ... | 18.099 100.000 | -0.55 | 1800 100.00 | 18 | 10.80 60.00 |
| Muntzvereit | ${ }^{3}$ Triple Crowns. . . . . . | $100 \cdot 000$ $100 \% 00$ | 0.00 0.00 | $180 \cdot 00$ $100 \cdot 00$ | 100 100 | 60.00 60 |
|  | 9 Crowns. Ginillaume | 10.729 | + 0.81 | 6.75 | 6\% | 4.05 |
| Holla | Ducat.... | $8 \cdot 817$ | $-178$ | 8.75 | 8\% | 2.25 |
| ** | Ryder |  |  | 10.00 | 10 | 6.00 |
| . | Half Ryder |  |  | $5 \cdot 00$ | 5 | 8.00 |
| Russia. | 3 Polous Imperial...... | $19 \cdot 161$ | -0.85 | 19.00 | 19 | 11.40 |
| Mexico. | Doublon (new) ........ | 25.963 32.840 | 0.14 $+\quad 0.49$ | 24.00 33.00 | 26 83 | 15.60 19.80 |
| - | Piece 20 Pesos......... | 32.840 | +0.49 +0.26 | $33 \cdot 00$ <br> 6.00 | 23818 | 19.60 15.60 |
| Bolivia | Doublon. ............. | $26 \cdot 068$ $12 \cdot 630$ | $\begin{array}{r}+0.26 \\ -1.03 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 26.00 $12 \cdot 50$ | 126 | 15.60 $7 \cdot 50$ |
| Ecasdor | liece 4 Escudos. . . . . . | 12.630 9.129 | -1.03 +0.48 | $12 \cdot 50$ $9 \cdot 16+$ | 918 | 5.50 |
| Brazil. | Piece 10 Milreis ....... | $9 \cdot 122$ | + 0.48 | 9•16+ | 96 | $5 \cdot 50$ |
| Peru. | Piece 20 Soles . . . . . . . . | $32 \cdot 120$ | $-0.87$ | 32.00 | 32 | 19.20 |
| Turkey | Plece 100 Plastres...... | 7.305 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { a } \\ + \\ +0.67 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7.50 5.00 | 7\% | 4.50 8.00 |
| Tunis.. | Picce 25 Piastres ...... | 5.008 | $\begin{array}{r}+0.16 \\ +0.87 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 25.00 | 25 | 15.00 |
| Egypt | 8 Fgyptian Guineas Cobang (new).... | 5.713 | + 0.65 | 5.75 | 5\% | 8.45 |

The proposition of the American Department of State, which makes the decigramme of pure gold the unit of account, affords the following relations. which are here introduced for the purpose of comparison :


The objections which may be made to the unit proposerd in this Coale. are objections which may be urged against the int roxluction of any inter national unit of money. They are found in the incote conience which will be temporarily experienced in those countries in which the change in the standard of value may disturb, to mone extent, the adjustment of pricos to commodities, and may require sperial legislation to necure the ovpitable fulfilment of contracts; and also in the trouble and expernee attendant on the calling in of a coinage which the new system may have renderovt un serviceable. But these disadvantages are inerparable from the nature of the reform itself, whatever may the the special shape in which it comes: and if the reform is to be accomplished, they mast be cncounterol. So other plan of unification hitherto propmeed has justified the promise that its introduction could be effected with fewer temporary disalvantageo than must attend this.

## What gold coins shatl be legal tender.

500. All gold coins, of the value of the dollar, or of multiples of the dollar by the whole numbers, two, five, ten, twenty, or fifty, which may be struck by any nation, and which, in respect to woight and fineness. shall be originally within the limits specitied in arti cle 603, and shall not have become diminished by abrasion, frand or otherwise. below the low of these limits, shall be a legal tender at thoir nominal value for payments of any amonnt in any place within the jurisdiction' of any of the nations.
[^38]
## Silver coinage.

501. Silver coins of the value of one dollar, or any fraction of a dollar, may be issued to facilitate the minor transactions of business; and such coins shall be composed of an alloy, consisting of nine parts of pure silver to one part of base metal, and shall have a weight equal to fifteen times the weight, which, under the provisions of this Code, is prescribed for gold coins of the same nominal value, respectively.

The present ratio of gold to silver, in respect to value at the mints of the United States, is 14.88 to 1 , as between the gold coinage and the fractional silver : and 16 to 1 as between the gold coinage and the silver dollar. The silver dollar, being worth more than its nominal value, has nearly disappeared from circulation. The relative value of these metals has largely varied during the last five or six centuries in Europe. Under Henry III. in England, it stood less than 10 to 1 ; and at the commencement of the coinage of gold under Fdward III., in the fourteenth century, it was about $12 \frac{1}{2}$ to 1. (Mr. E. B. Elliott, in Blake's Report on the Precious Metals. Reports of the Am. Con'rs to Paris Exposition.) 'To this point it returned, after numerous oscillations, at the commencement of the seventeenth century ; after which time it continued on the whole to increase until 1848, when it was 15.83 to 1 . This was the culminating point. Since the opening of the Californian and Australian gold fields, there has been a slight reaction; but the effect in this respect of the very large increase in the production of gold, has been much less than might reasonably have been anticipated. Mr. Elliott puts the ratio at present at 15.38 to 1. (Blake's Report, ubore cited.) It is possibly somewhat less. The French mint ratio, in the case of the silver five-frane piece, is 15.5 to 1. This piece, therefore, has nearly disappeared. The French mint ratio, in respect to the two-franc, one-frane, and fractional silver coins, is 14.38 to 1 . The British mint ratio is 14.28 to 1 . The ratio, 15 to 1 , adopted in this Code, is convenient, and very near the truth; the error being in favor of the preservation of the coin from the melting pot.

## What silver coins shall be legal tender, and to what extent.

502. The silver coins issued under the last article, when the same shall, in respect to weight and fineness, be within the limits prescribed in article 503, shall be a legal tender at their nominal value, in any place within the jurisdiction of any of the nations: in the case of the silver dollar, for payments not exceeding ten dollars; and in the case of
fractional silver coins, for payments not exceeding five dollars.

Limits of variation from standard weight or fineness within which coins shall be current.
503. In the preparation of the coins authorized by this Code, there shall be allowed a deviation from the standard weight and fineness, prescribed in articles 498, 499 and 501 , of the amounts following, to wit :

1. In respect to weight :

For gold coins, one one -hundredth part of the square root of the weight expressed in ter-grammes.

For silver coins, one one-hundredth part of the square root of the weight expressed in pentagrammes. ${ }^{\prime}$

And the foregoing rules shall be applicable to coins weighed in bulk as well as to single pieces.
2. In respect to fineness :

For gold coins ; one part in one thousand.
For silver coins; two parts in one thousand.
The rules in regard to tolerance of variations have heretofore been as bitrary. The British law makes the tolerance for gold coins two onethousandths of the total weight ; and for silver coins, four one thousandths of the total weight. ( 33 Vies., c. 10 . \& 20 .) The amount of deviation from the standard allowed is, therefore, directly proportioned to the weight of the coin. There would be reason in this, if the tolerance were near the turning weight of the balance; but an it is immensely greater, (say from one hundred to ten thousand times greater.) the toter ance allowed in large coins should be in much less proportion to the weight than that permitted in sural coins.

The law of the United States, (Act of Congress, of Jan. 18. 189\%.) for n considerable period of time, allowed the same absolute amount of variation of weight (onequarter of a grain) for all gold coins; which was equiva lent to something less than one two -thousandth part for the double eagle." and one one-hundredth part for the dollar. At the present time the al lowance is one-half a grain for the double eagle, eagle and half eagle. and onequarter of a grain for the quarter eagle and dollar. (Aet of Corgrease, of Mar. 3. 1849.)

The proportion, therefore, varies from about one one thousandth (in the double eagle) to one one hundredth (in the dollar.)

For sliver coins, the allowance is one and a half grains on the dollars and half dollar, one grain on the quarter dollar, and half a grain on the

[^39]lesmer coins, varying from one two hundred and seventy-fifth to about onefortic:h. For gold, in masses of one thousand pieces, the allowance varies from one-seven thousandth (for donble engles) to one-twenty-seven-humdredth (for the quarter cagles:) and for silver, from one-forty-three-hundredth (for the dollar.) to one-sixteen-hundredth (for dimes.) Act of Comgress of Jon. 18. 18:3\%.

According to the law of probabilities in respect to the numerical results of experiment or observation, the probable error should vary as the square root of the total number. If, therefore, a just allowance can be empirically determined for a given coin, the allowance for any number may be readily deduced from the law of the square roots. The same law will also fairly represent the allowance for single coins of different weights, after the proper allowance for one representing the unit of weight shall have been ascertained.

The numerical constints introduced into this Article are derived from the determiuations of Mr. E. B. Elliott, Statistician of the United States Treasury.
${ }^{1}$ The term pentagramme, formed upon the principles of the metric r.omenchature, signifies fire gramines.

It seems proper to present the ratio of the tolerated variations of weight to the total weight of the several coins, as they result from the rule laid down in this Article; the corresponding ratios tolerated by the statutes of Great Britain and the United States having been alove given. A formala may be constructed for computing these ratios, aqually for gold and for silver at the same time, by taking advant. age of the convenient circumstance, that, inasmuch as one pentazramme is equivalent to fifteen tergrammes, therefore, a gold coin weighing any given number of tergrammes will have, according to the relation defined in Article 501, the same value as a silver coin weighing the same number of pentagrammes.

Then putting $W$ for the weight of a coin, and $T$ for the variation of weight tolerated: $W_{t} . W_{p}$, and $T_{t}, T_{p}$, for the weight and tolerance in toryrammes and pentagrammes respectively; we shall have, in accordance with the rule of this Article,-
${ }_{160}^{1} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{4}$, the variation tolerated in gold coins, referred to the tergramise as a unit : and,
${ }_{100}^{1}{ }^{1}+\mathrm{W}_{r}=\mathrm{T}_{r}$, the corresponding variation for silver coins, referred to tho pentagramme as a unit.

$$
\text { Alan. } \frac{\mathrm{T}_{t}}{\mathrm{~W}_{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{p}}{\mathrm{~W}_{p}}=\frac{1}{100} \sqrt{W} \mathrm{~W}^{-}=\frac{1}{100} / \mathrm{WW}
$$

That is to say, the ratio of tolerance to weight, for coins of either gold or silver, is found by dividing unity by one hundred times the square ront of the weight : the quotient being tergrammes in the first instance, and pentagrammes in the second. If we, therefore, put $W=1$, the tolerance is one one hundredth part of the weight. One tergramme of gold, or one
pentagramase of ailver, hae the value of ono-fifth of a doliar - one frane (international) - 1.25 th of a eovereign (international) - iwenty centa. The variation of value toberated by the rule, its a coin of this weight. would thersfore amount to one onohundreth of twenty conts, or ousefith of one cent. The variations of welght and value tolerateal in coine in which W in greater or leen than unity, are given for the raere moest likely to occur in actual coinage, in the following table: In whirlt the weighte aso to be read as pentagrammex for vilvor colnn, and as ter grammes for gold coins.

 cofind iy the atatutec of Groat Hidtain and the I'nilted spatao.

| Colna. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | Total va of val <br> Brtifoh otatate. | riation be by <br> 1. -tatate. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hall Dime $=8 \frac{1}{8}$ (silvern... | 0 -15 | 0.005 | 50 | 80.601 | (100003 | 80 0058 |
| Dime $=815$ | 0.50 | 0.007 | \% $\%$ | $0 \cdot 0014$ | 00008 | 0 00ts |
|  | 100 | 0.01 | 160 | 0.004 | 0 Onm | 00018 |
| Quarter Dollar **. | 125 | 0.0118 | rifen | 0. 00est | 0001 | 0 0046 |
| Halt Doilar * . | 2.50 | $0.015{ }^{\text {a }}$ | TSN•1 | 0 00es | 0 00t | 0 00net |
| Dollar (gold or wilvep) | 500 | $0 \cdot 0484$. | $2_{8}^{1} 3 \cdot 8$ | 0.0047 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.008 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { coper } \\ & 0 \text { cuer } \end{aligned}$ |
| Quarter Eaple $=\mathbf{4} \mathbf{1}$ (gold). | 18.50 | 0.0251 | 3 $5^{\prime} 3.8$ | $0 \cdot 00808$ | $0 \cdot 005$ | $0 \cdot 0 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| Hall Eagle $=5$ - 0 | 5 00 | 0.05 | 560 | 0.01 | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0 019 |
| Eagle $=10$ | 50.00 | 0.0807 | $y^{2}$ | 0.03834 | 0.08 | 0 019 |
| Double Engle $=00$ * | 100.00 | $0 \cdot 1$ |  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.0198 |
| 1000 Pingle $=810,000$ * | $50.000 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 858$ | ¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.48 | \$0.00 | 1-203 |
|  | 100,00000 | 3.103 | इides | 0.698 | 40.0 | 2 7007 |
| 5000 Ingles $=50,000 \quad \omega$ | \$0,000 | 00 |  | $1 \cdot 00$ | 10000 | 9 30es |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { sa,000Double } \\ \text { Engle }\end{array}\right\}=\$ 00,000 \cdots$ | 1,000,000-00 | 10.00 | $1+0^{1} 6 \pi$ | \$00 | $400 \cdot 10$ | 175080 |

- sllver.
- Ciold

The tolerance of varintion in value, an given in the last colamn bat one in the above table, is computed acconling to the British rule, although the colns named are not inaned by the British mint. The mint of the United States coins all that are named, except the frane or double dime The British tolerance for small silver colus is too low. and for large gold coinn too lagge. The British ntatute providen no diterents rule for toler ance of single coins, and for coin in masses. Hence the variations in the laet four examples in the iable arvexceasire. It will bo ment, howerer. that, by the rule given in this coxde. the resulta are ratiruly monsistent and the computed tolerances arv quite reamonable. whether coins are considered singly or in balk.

The exact provisions of the United States statute for tole rance of variation in the weight of masses of coin, are :

For Gold.


For Suver.

| In 1000 Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In 1000 llalf Dollars | $1=$ | 192.000 | * | ), 3 | " |  | $12{ }^{\frac{72}{20}}$ | $=$ |  |
| In 1000 Quarter Dollars | ( $=$ | 96,000 | " | 1,2 | " | - | 86000 |  | 0 |
| In 1000 bimes | $1=$ | 38.400 | " | 1,1 | -• | - | $38^{2} 8^{4} 00$ |  |  |
| In 1000 Half Dimes | ( $=$ | 19,200 | $\bullet$ | 1,1 | - | " | $13^{2}{ }^{4} 00$ |  | 800 |

It appears, from dispatches received by the government of the United States during the summer of $18 \%$, that the coinage of the Empire of Japan has recently been remodelled; so as, in respect to the gold coins at least, to be entirely in accordance with the scheme of international coinage proposed in the title "Money" of this Code. Gold is made the standard, and gold coins are the only legal tender, except for small sums: and except also, but only in the open ports, as it respects a coin of silver equivalent to the silver dollar; which in the present state of oriental commerce it seems to be necessary to retain. The standard of fineness for the gold coins, and also for the silver one-yen (dollar) is ninetenths. But the standard of fineness for the subsidiary silver is only eighttenths. The $y e n$ is the unit of account, and its representative gold coin contains one gramme and a half of pure gold, or onegramme and twothirds (five tergrammes) of standard gold; thus corresponding exactly to the interuational dollar of this Code.
The following tables exhibit the entire system of the Japanese coinage as at present established.*

Table of the weight of the gold coins. Standard finener, 9-10.

| Yens. | Pure (iold. |  | Staudard Wejght. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight in Grammes. | Weight in Grains. | Wrofohs in Gifammer. | Weight in Graine. |
| 20 | 30 | $162 \cdot 97$ | 334 | $514 \cdot 11$ |
| 10 | 15 | 231.48 | 16:3 | $257 \cdot 20$ |
| 3 | 51/4 | $115 \cdot 7$ | 81, | $128 \cdot 60$ |
| 2 | 3 | $46 \cdot 23$ | $3{ }^{12}$ | $51 \cdot 44$ |
| 1 | 1.4 | 23.15 | 13 | $25 \cdot 32$ |

* For the interesting information relating to this important measure of


| Sens. | Pupe Allver. |  | Ntandard Wright. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight in Gramanes. | Weitght in Gralne. | Weight in Viratumes | Wedetat in Ciralto. |
| 80 | $10 \cdot 00$ | 158.1 | 12.3 | 1830 |
| 20 | $4 \cdot 0$ | 61-76 | 500 | 78 |
| 10 | 200 | 20 | 100 | 36 |
| 8 | 100 | $18 \cdot 4$ | 1\% | 198 |

Tude of the subldilary copper cotne.
Colve.

Teve of ine aller onc-yen. standard facnem, y-10.

"Fach kind of the gold coins, namely: Twenty (20) ywn, ten (10) yen. five (5) yen, two (2) yen and one (1) yen, mong which the last, namely the one gen, is the legal standard, will be the legal tender in all pay. ments to any amount.
" Each kind of the sllver coins, excepe the silver one ron, will be sutaid iary coins, and legal sender for the payment of sums not execoding ien (10) yen in any one payment, whether the payment is made in one of these coins or in soveral.
" Each of the subsidiary eopper coins, namely, one (1) wen, one half (d) mon, and one-tenth ( $\frac{1}{6}$ ) of one men, will be legal tender in paymont of sums not exceeding one (1) yen in any one parment.
"For the sake of renderiag facility to forcignors at thome ports opens in them, and in aceordance with the requirement of the tradera, both forign and Japanese. the government will coin the ailver one yen and make is

[^40]useful only for foreign commerce. This silver one-yen will be the legal tender in payment of local taxes and of import and export duties. It will also be the logal tender inany commercial transaction in the open ports. This silver oneyen will, however, not be the legal tender in any other place than the open ports, and will not be used for the payment of internal reveune of any kind, nor will it be lawful currency in the interior : though, be mutual agreement, any persons may use it to any amount throughout Japan. In the payment of the import and export duties, the comparative rate of the gold yen to the silver one.yen, will for the present be this: Oan hundred (100) silver one yen to be equivalent to one hundred and one (101) gold yen."

## Standard weights.

504. standard weighits shall be prepared for testing the coins issued by the several mints, including weights exactly representing each of the several gold and silver roins, made by thi Code a legal tender in payment of debts. These weights shall be carefully compared and exactly verified by an international commission, composed of experts appointed by the governments of the nations parties to this Code, each government appointing at least one and not more than two delegates; such commission to assemble at a convenient time and place to be agreed upon between the several goverments. The weights so verified shall be deposited in the several mints, with such provision for their safe-keeping as may secure them effectually from falsification, and also, as far as may be, from deterioration by exposure or use. There shall futhermore be made copies of these standard weights for the ordinary uses of the several mints, which copies shall, at least once a year, be carefully compared with the standard weights and duly verified; and these standard weights shall be used for no other purpose whatever. but to make such comparisons and verifications.

## Serutiny of the coinage.

505. At every dolivery of coins made by the coining officers of any nation to the public treasury of the same. there shall be taken indiscriminately, by the treasurer or other officer duly anthorized, a sufficient number of pieces of each variety of coin delir.
ered, to be reserved for assay and scrutiny ; and the coins so taken shall be carefully enclosed and sealed, with a label stating their descriptions, numbers and value, and deposited in a strong box or safe so secured that it cannot be opened except by the concurronee of the superintendent of the mint and the officer representing the treasury. At a suitable time after the close of the mint operations of each year, the coins so ru. served shall be subjected to scrutiny by a commission of experts to be appointed by the government.' who shall, after thorongh examination, make report as l. the conformity of those pieces to the standards of fineness and weight. Should there be found a duvi. ation from the standar ls greater than that which is allowed by the provisions of this Code, all officers implicated in the error shall be thenceforward disqualitied from holding their respective offices, or subjected to such other penalty as may be provided by the municipal law of the State to which the mint appertains; except that, if, in view of the circumstances, it shall appear that the error has not been cansed by frand, neglect, or incapacity, the penally shall not be inflicted.'
${ }^{1}$ Aet of Congress. U. S., January 18, 1837, sis 27. 32.
${ }^{2}$ British Coinage Act, 33 Viel., ch. 10, 12.
${ }^{3}$ Act of Congress, U. S., Jannary 18, 1832.

## Coins may be called in ly proclamation.

506. A nation may call in the coins of any date or denomination issued by it : in which case, after public proclamation shall have been made of such recall. the coins specified in the proclamation shall cease everywhere to be a legal tender. But in every such cass. provision shall be made for redeeming the coins at their actual value, and for furnishing current coin itsstead thereof, at the capitals or principal tinancial con tres of the several countries within which they hav. been in circulation.

## Uneurrent coins may be destroyed

507. When any gold roin of a denomination m:de
current by the provisions of this Code shall be below the standard weight by an amount greater than the largest deviation allowed by the same, or when any roin shall have been called in by proclamation,' it shall be the duty of every person to whom such coin may be tendered in any payment, to cut, break or deface such coin: and the person tendering it shall bear the loss. If any coin cut, broken or defaced, in pursuance of this article, shall prove not to be below the current weight, or not to have been called in by proclamation, the person cutting, breaking or defacing the same shall receive the same in payment according to its denomination. Any dispute arising under this article may be determined by a summary proceeding, to be prescribed by each nation for itself.'
${ }^{1}$ British Coinage Act, 捐 Vict., clı. 10. 今s 7.
Coins.s of base metal not to form a part of the international currenc!!.
508. No coin or token of copper, bronze, nickel, or any other base metal or mixture of metals, which may be issued by the government of any nation to subserve the purposes of money, shall be a legal tender for payment of any amount, in any place within the jurisdiction of any nation except that by which it was issued.

## TITLEXXI.

## WEIGHT8 AND MEASURES.

The aystems of welghts and measures in actual use among different peoplee, otand to each other in no almple numerical relations: and the tranoformation of values from one of thees systems to another, is ordi. narily an Ifknome and tume-consuming operation. No common ay atem could therefore be subatituted for all themo, which would not stand to them of to nost of them in the same relasion of inexact commensursbllity in which they stand to ench other. But there in hardly a tranmesion of practical life into which considerations of weight, of measurt, or both, do not enter; and such is the conatitution of the human mind that clear concoptions of quantities of any kind ase unatrainable, except by reforence to unit values, which education or long use has made familiar. It matters not how thoroughly we may have been instructed to the denominations of weight and measure employed by other peoples, or how earnestly we may have endeavored, by the atudy of their viaible ty pee pleced immeliately before our eyes, to acquire the power of directly conceiving poaitive values, when expreseed in these : experience teaches us that our notions thue aequired continue long to be rague and inexact; and that, in onder to render them definite, intelligible and natisfactory, we involuntarily meek to transform shem, by reductions founded apon relatione which, if not true, are at least approximate, into valuee which long habit has taught us to associate directly with determinate quantities of the ob jecte ralued. The substitution, therefore, any where, of a new aystem of weighte and mensures for the aystem actually in use, founded as the new syatem must be, if it is to becouse a common and international aystem, upon a basia which will generally bear no simple numerical relation to the basis of the exiring system, will impose upon an entire generation such a burden of inconvenience, daily and hourly felt, as to require for ita justification very clear demonetration that the adrantages to be secured by the subatitution are much more than an offeet to this very serious inonavenience. And as there are many minds in which considerations of great public benefit, or even of great individual benefit which is oaly prospective, will after all weigh little in comparison with a much lewe amount of present and permonal inconvenience, it is inevitable that every proposition for the unification of the systems of weighte and mesaures in use in the world, no matter what may be the beais proposed for the new system, or what may be its theoretic almplicity, will meet with determined opposition in many quartera.

But the inconvenience here referred to, which consiste in interfervace with men's evtablished habita of thought, is not the oaly one which necemarily resulta from the abrogation of a syatem of weighte and menaures
after it has been long in use, and the substitution in its place of a new system, even though it be a greatly better one. That inconvenience can be but temporary, and can affect, at farthest, but a single generation. To abolish suddenly the metric system of weights and measures in France, at the present day, would the to compel the French people to pass a second time through the same painful struggle with established associations as that which attended its original introduction.

But apart from this, every system of weights and measures long in use becomes inevitably entangled in, or incorporated with, the operations of industry or the material interests of men, to the extent that it constitutes at length an element in the actual value of many descriptions of property. The disadvantage which must arise from this source, in case of the abolition of the system, is one of a more permanent, and apart from its permanence, of a more serious mature than any which can spring from the mere violence done to mental associations.

The artificial divisions of landed property are among the things least liable to change among men; and the boundary lines which mark these divisions are maturally expressed, whenever that is possible, in integral numbers of the unit of measure employed. The introduction of a new unit having no simple relation to the first, will make all these values fractional. And the importance of this consideration increases in proportion as the dimensions of the divisions are less, and the absolute value of the surface measured is greater. These are the conditions which exist in regard to the real estate of cities, where they are true as well of buildings as of the ground on which the buildings are erected.

Again, the dimensions of railways, and of the locomotives and other rolling stock used in operating them, have been determined in conformity with the existing systems of measurement; and all these too become fractional numbers when the system is changed. The same thing must occur in every department of mechanical manufacture, where both the objects produced, and the machinery by which they are produced, will cease, with a change of system, to possess dimensions capable of being integrally expressed. When we consider into how many details of manufacturing art the exactest measurements enter as elements of vital importance, and reflect at the sume time what vast sums have been invested in the various forms of mechanical production, and made dependent for their returns of profit upon the stability of existing systems of measurement, we shall perceise that the sudden introduction of others, and their immediate extension to every department of industry as well as commerce, would seriously and injuriously affect some of the most important springs of public and of private wealth.
If, however, in view of all the possible consequences which may and must result from the substitution of a system of weights and measures uniform for all nations, in place of the numerous, diverse, and greatly incongruous systems at present in use, it shall appear that there are permanent and lasting advantages to be secured to mankind by the change, sufficient to out weigh the temporary inconvenience and possible confusion
which it may cause, there can be no doube that means ought to be taken to insure the introduction of such a aystem at ma carly a day ac may be proetioable with a due conalderation for the tenacity with which men eling to establiabod usages, and a due regard to the material ister. eote which are likely to be affected by it.

But, supponing the firnt queation wo be thas disponed of, and the desira blenees of a common aystem of weighte and meanures for uce among all nations to be univermally admitted, there remalns behind a mecond quese tion of hardly lewe ditticulty, which it to determine, among the various syatems which may be auggested, that which combines in iteelf the largest number of practical advantagees, and which is therefore intrinsically the beot. And here it may be remarked, that many existing aymema are so exceediagly bed, eo arbitrary in the aceumptions of the unite upon which thoy rest, so rariable in the aboolute valuea of these units in diferent provinces or diatricte of the same countrien, and often in their details mo inconsistent with themselves, an to call for reform in the interest simply of the peoples who use them, and without regand to the relations of these peoplee with contemporary nationa. Since, therefore, no systems of weightea and measuren which may be proposed for interuational use can have any chance of acceptance, unleas it shall be in itself very manifently a good oyutem, it follows shat many of the argument- which may be urged in favor of the adoption of an international system, will be argumentes of weight in favor of the rystem itself, independently of its international character.

The disadvantages which mosult from the great number and diveraity of exinting weights and measures, arv too obvious to require extended Illuatration. They are felt by all men engaged in effecting the world's exchangee, in the oppressive burden of arithmetical computations with which they incumber all their operations. They are felt by atatesmen and statisticians in the difficulties with which they surround all inquiries selating to the resourcen and the wealth of nations. They are felt by engineers, mechanical artizans and mannfacturers, in the greatly increaned labor to which they subject such persons, whenerer they seek to inform themselves of the improvements in the aciences of construction, or in the practical arts of life, taking place in other lands, in onder that they may profit by them. They are felt by travelers and tourists, in the obstacies which they interpoes to their proper understanding of what they mee and hear in regard to the countries which they visit, and the liability in which they expose them, of taking uperroneous impreseions, which, through the publication of their obeervations, are often conveyed to others. And as it reopects all histoncal or archeological research, not only the dirersity of weights and measures existing at present, but the instalility of thoer standardy in the past, and the extreme uncertainty which accompanies any attempt to $f$ ix their abmolute value at any given period of remote antiquity, throws around many questions of the deepert interres, an obscurity which no patience of investigation will ever be able to remore.

The desirableness of a uniform system of weights and measurve, to in
used in common by all mankind, is, however, too obvious to admit of any difference of opinion. If between any two individual men, in order to the interchange of material objects, or even in order to the interchange of intelligent thought, it is necessary that there should exist some stand. and or standards of value recognized by both, the same is true, in a much higher degree, of large communities of men; and by an extension of the reasoning, the same is just as true of all mankind. It is proper to observe, however, that the same is not just as true of all mankind, except on the supposition that relations of frequent commercial, social or intellectual intercourse are established between all the branches of the great human family ; and therefore that it has not had always in the past the same importance which it has in the present: nor has it at this time the same magnitude of importance which it is destined to have in the future.

Writers who have endeavored to trace the origin of the weights and measures which we find prevailing among ourselves at the present time, inform us that, in a primitive state of society, men found in the dimensions of their own bodies or of its members, the prototypes of their original linear measures. Two reasons conspire to make such a derivation natural. In the first place, the first uses which the uncivilized human being will have for measures, will be for the construction of his habitation, of his garments, of the rude implements which he employs to facilitate his labor. or of the weapons with which he pursues his game. These must of course bear some convenient proportion of dimensions to the person who intends them for his own use. But, in the second place, the idea of an artificial and material scale or rule for the measurement of objects, is one which involves processes of reflection and abstraction which primitive man has not yet learned to use; while his own person, with its several members, is ever present, not merely as a measure, but as the very thing which is to be accommodated and fitted by means of the earliest constructions for which measurements are made. As in the course of time more numerous comparisons become necessary, the same standards of measurement are naturally applied in making them.

In the measurement of distances, another idea suggests itself, equally growing out of the condition and habits of primitive man. Before man had learned to subjugate animals to his service, his only means of locomotion were such as he possessed in common with these ; and in estimating the moderate distances from his dwelling to which his daily walks might extend, nothing could more naturally suggest itself than to count his steps. From this arose the fundamental unit of itinerary measure, which is still more or less employed for rude determinations; $i$. $e$., the pace.

In the state of society here supposed, each man will be his own standard. And though the persons of different individuals differ sensibly in dimensions, yet in the transactions which may occur between the members of a community so rude, these differences will be unimportant; more especially when it is considered that no series of successive measure-
menta, mado by the mame individual, by meanm of a mandard eo imprerfect, are likely to be move than mere approximations to equality. As eivilization advances, and eociety becomee more perfecty organized, and ex. changen multiply. and men, looking boyond the mere supply of their dally wanto, alim at the acoumulation of wealth, the neovelity will te felt of greater uniformity and more exactnem in measurements, and an arti ficial and constant atandard will, by common consent, be adopted to auper. ede the natural aad variable one; but this will atill bear the mame name (the foot for inmeance) as the atandand auperseded, and will tro desigroet to sopresent ita average value. 8uch conventione will at first extend only to limited distifits, and different diatriete will have different artificial standarde, agreeling in name and according approximately, but oniy ap proximately, in value. It is thus that there early originated in different countries of Europe, and in different provinces of thome countrien, more than one hundred different unita of meanure, all bearing the name pows. pec, pied, pie, ps, fuce, fod, fot, foute, or foot, and all equally signifying the derivation of the measure from the average length of the human foot : a value, however, which in many instances it exceeds. (as in Fingland and the United States,) and in eome, (as in Portugal and many of the Italian States.) largely. The greater number of these discordant menaures have disappeared, chietly in consequence of the extension of the metric sys. tem, which now prevalls in France, Bolgium, Holland, Italy, Spain. Por. tugal and Greece. Nevertheless, at the Exposition of Weighte and Meas uree in Paris, made in connection with the Universal Industrial Expooition of 1807 , there were oxhlbited thirteen $\dagger$ units of measure as being then in actual nee under the name of foot, (or its equivalent in other lan guages, among which were found eight different absolute lengths.
The history of the origin of existing weighta and measures is interent. ing. Inaginuch as it is a part of the history of the human race. But in ite bearing upon the queation, what ought to be the standards adopted by men in a high statn of civilization, it is of no importance whatever. liet the foot-measure has been strongly adrocated in our own time, on the score that it is a natural measure, suggested by a sort of instinct, which

[^41]its almost universal prevalence slows to have been universal. In the gift of reason, however, man has been furnished with a guide superior to instinct ; and there is no more propriety in requiring that human society. in the maturity of its intellectual development. sloould be controlled by the instincts of its infancy, than that the fantasies of childhood should give law to the adult individual.
There is no system of weights and measures anywhere existing, unless it be the metric system, which is not so faulty as to demand reconstruction in many important particulars, without regard to the question of international uniformity, and solely in the interest of the people themselves by whom it is used. Take, for instance, the system which prevails in Gieat Britain and the United States. For moderate magnitudes, the foot is no doubt a convenient measure of length. It would probably be quite as convenient, and would still more nearly approach the areage length of the member from which it purports to have been tuken, if it were reduced by a sixth part. A foot twelve inches in length may have been the average foot of some giant race of aboriginal anthropophagi, but it is not the average foot of the civilized man of modern times.*
This foot is much more truly represented by the fourth part of a metre than by the third part of a British or American yard. But either this true foot, which we do not use, or the artificial and imaginary foot, which we do, is, as just observed, a sufficiently convenient unit for moderate measurements, though it is by no means the most so.

It is unfortuately, but very decidedly, too small to be capable of being made most largely useful; yet, since the artificial foot has an estalslished existence, and has become an integral part of every ordinary conception of matcrial magnitude among the peoples who use it, its inferiority of possible usefulness wonld not be a sufficient argument for setting it aside, if its use were already universal, or there were any reasonable probability that it could ever be made so. But such is neither the fact nor the probability.
The unit of length, which we find mentioned in the earliest written records extant, viz: the historic books of the Old Testament, and which was in use in antediluvian times, as well as later, among the Hebrews and the Egyptians, was the cubit-a measure greater than the foot, and equal in some instances to about half a modern British yard, and in others to more than half a metre. $\dagger$ The yard, which is three times the

[^42]leagith of the foot, is alco in usc among ourmolves an a standard unit for the menouremeat of all textlle fabricu, and all yarna, thrmels, iwinee and other anticien formed by apianiag. The lengtha of toth there unite, the cabit and the yard, are derived, like that of the foot, from the haman body: the eublt being the length of the forearm, taken extermally from the ellow to the end of the extended middle finger; and the yard, the length of the ontire outniretched arm and open hand, measured, however. not from the alooulder, but from the middle of the face, of (where this mode of mesaurement is metill actually practimed, as it aometimes is) from the uf of the nose-a feature which furnsisen a rery definite point of reference. We find in thia lant mentioned fact a very obvious explanation of the usage which hae practically roatricted the use of the yard to the meanurement of articlen of a light and portable, and usually fexible natare, and which hat prevented tis application to immovable and molid objecta. $\dagger$ The savage could stretch along his arm the thong designed to etring his bow, and bring the extremity to his lipa, and he could measure off with his feet the npace deaigned for the floor of his wigwam, and apply hia furcarm to ita walls: but he could not no conveniently measure the thong upon his foot or his forearm, nor would he willingly apply his face to the surface of a solid, or to that of the earth. For this reason, the yard measure, which, for the purposes to which it in actually ap-
edited by Thomas Birch, M. A., and published in Iondon in 1735. is contained a "Dipmertation on Cubita," hy Sir Isace Neuton, originally written in latin, in which are given the resulte of his investigations as to she length of anclent cubite, as follows:

| Crblt of Memphita, |  | Britich feer. | Inctios. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (frome exterior of Oreat Pyramid) | 173 | 3073 |
|  | (from King't Chamber in " | 1719 | 20 |
|  | (from Gallery | 1718 | 30.008 |
| Oubl of Bebylon . ............................... Very nearis se00 s4000 |  |  |  |
| Rogal Cuble of Perr |  | 17003 | 12.1880 |
| sacred Cubl of Mowes, not greater th |  |  |  |
|  | not leae than | 1 | 45 |
|  | probable value. | 2 | cisem |
| Cuble of the Rom |  | 1.4005 | $17 \times 100$ |
| Cuble of the Orea |  | by 18100 | 181508 |
| Prowet Cubls |  | $1 \cdot 00$ | 11 tes |

The Diseertation, from which these numbers are taken, has been reprinted by Captain C. Piassi Smyth, in the second volume of his "Life. and Work at the Great Pyramid." Filinburgh, 1807.

[^43]plied, we find so much superior in convenience to the foot, and which seems to have been thus restricted only by the accidents of its origin, or the carly mode of its use, failed to become the ordinary measuring unit, to the great disadvantage of all subsequent time. The yard is superior to the foot for the purposes of practical mensuration, in the respect that while it is small enough to be conceived in its whole magnitude by the humblest capacity, and short enough to be conveniently carried in the form of a rule in the hand, it permits considerable dimensions to be expressed in numbers which the mind still easily grasps, while the same dimensions, expressed in feet, transcend the numerical limits within which clear conceptions are possible. As evidence of the truth of this assertion, we have only to appeal to experience. Let any one endeavor to conceive to himself any considerable number of feet of silk stuffs, or of carpeting-as, for instance, eighty-seven-and he will scarcely be able to form a definite idea of this quantity until after reducing it to the simpler form of twenty-nine yards. An equal advantage would be found in the application of the same unit to the dimensions of buildings, of city lots, and all other objects requiring many repetitions of the unit, were it not that long habit has in such cases reduced considerably the mental effort which the use of the smaller unit exacts.

As it respects dimensions less than the yard, they are now in fact easily provided for by means of binary subdivisions of this unit; and they might be provided for quite as satisfactorily by means of a decimal subdivision. But as the yard is in practice restricted to a narrow range of application, small measurements are commonly made at present in duodecimal divisions of the foot, and binary subdivisions of the inch. It is commonly asserted, and that in a manner so dogmatic as to imply that the proposition admits of no argument, that the duodecimal and binary subdivisions of measure and weight are necessarily and in their own nature preferable to any other. However this might be in case we had a duodecimal or binary system of arithmetical numeration, under the circumstances actually existing it cannot be admitted without many reservations. For it is a fact that, for many purposes, the division of the foot into inches, and of the inch into halves, quarters, eighths and sixteenths, is so positive a disadvantage that it is actually in such cases habitually discarded in favor of a decimal division, which the system does not provide. The carpenter and joiner continue, it is true, to use the duodecimal and binary system in all its details. But the draftsman, the machinist, and the mechanical engineer, while they employ the foot and the inch, discard the binary subdivision of the inch, and substitute the decimal. The civil engineer again, in his geodetic work, discards the inch, and hegins his decimal subdivision directly with the fundamental unit, the foot itself. Finally, the land surveyor, though he employs a multiple unit, or chain, having the absurd relation to the standard of sixty-six to one, yet subdivides again his unit decimally, making his smallest division, a link, sixty-six hundredths of a foot, or seven inches and ninety-two hundredths of an inch.

Next above the yard, in ordinary inear meacurement, wo have the rod, made up of Aveand a hall yarda, or aixteen and a hall feet. Or, to aroid fractional expremsions, wo may may that two rode are equal to eleven yards, of to thirty three feet. A more lnconvenient relation, in the wee of numbers so moderate, it would bathe the ingenuity of man to derice. Above the rod we have the furiong, a uselem denomination, alace it io never used. Above the furlong we have the mile. the ordinary unit of Itinerary measurement ; and abuve the mile the league, a denomination which in mome countries has also paseed out of uee. We have then a series of denominations of linear measurement, bearing to each other the succesaive ratioe expremeed by the following numbers, via:
$12,3,54,40,8,3$; so that, 1 league -8 millew -24 furlongt - 900 rode - 5,280 yards - 15,840 feet - 100,080 inchee.

Thle system, therefore, which is bad in ita baeis, is greatly worme in its denominational relations. If it had been contrived with the explicit and perverse design to cut off all connection in conception between the higher denominations and the lower, and to heap up labor for the make of labor upon the hands of thowe who use ft, it could not have been more successful.

That which is true in this system of measuree of linear dimenaion, is equally true of the measures of surface, of solidity and capacity which it provides; but after what has preceded, it will hardly be nereseary to follow these out through all the inconsiatencies of their detaila. For meacures of surface we have the square lach, much used in mechanics and physica and dynamical engineering; the equare foot applied to lums. ber, to city lots, to rolled metals, \&e ; the equare of carpentry, contalning obe hundred equare feet, employed for wainscoting, roofing and tooring; the aquare yard, by which house-painters, plasterers and pariors calcu. late their work; the square rod; the square chain, containing sixteon equare rods; the rood, containing forty square rods; and the acre, contuining one hundred and sixty square rods, or four roods, or ten chaineall of which anomalous and arbitrary denominations are intended for the measurement of land. And, finally, we have the square mile, containing six hundred and forty acres, which is used in stating the areas of townshipe and larger territories. The ration which connect these eeveral denominations are the following. It in sufficient to present them. They need no comment.

$$
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For menauren of solidity we have the cubes of the linch, foot, yand and rod, with the following relations:

1728169 169.
with a special provialon for the case of ire-wood, of which the unit is a cord, consiating of one hundred and iweaty-elght cubic feet.

The ordinary measures of capacity in the United States are mot conaected with the menasies of solidity by any simple relation. There are
two of these measures-one for liquid and the other for dry capacity. Their origin, history, and the reason of their differences have been very learnedly made out by Mr. John Quincy Adams, in his well-known report of 1821 , on weights and measures, made to the House of Representatives of the United states; but these matters, however interesting, are hardly enough so to compensate for the anomalous condition of things to which they have led. According to Mr. Adams, the liquid or wine gallon was designed to contain exactly eight pounds of the wine of Gascony, such as is now known by the names of Claret and Bordeaux-these pounds being pounds of fifteen of the ounces whereof the tower pound of the reign of Heary III. contained twelve-such tower pound being the equivalent in weight of two hundred and forty of the silver pennies of the same king.
The dry measure, or wheat gallon, was designed to contain the same number of similarly determined pounds of wheat. Mr. Adams informs us, further, that the specific gravity of the wine named was taken at 0.9935 , (temperature not stated,) and its weight per cubic inch at two hundred and fifty grains ; and that the ratio of the specific gravities of wheat and wine was as 143 to 175 . The pennyweight was held to be equivalent to twenty-two and a half grains. From all which, we calculate that the liquid gallon contained two hundred and sixteen cubic inches, and the wheat or dry gallon $264: 34$ cubic inches; or the bushel of eight gallons, 2114.68 cubic inches, which is considerably below the value of the Winchester bushel of Henry VII. (2146 cubic inches); or the Winchester bushel as prescribed by the later act of William HI, now the bushel of the United States, ( 215042 cubic inches; ) or the imperial bushel, established in Great Britain in 18:4, (2211.68 cubic inches.) Mr. Addems has shown, by a patient and laborious study of the confused and contradictory legislation of successive centuries, how the wine gallon of Henry III. became successively equal to 2176 cubic inches, $219 \cdot 43$ cubic inches, $2: 4$ cubic inches, and finally, as at present, 231 cubic inches; while the bushel, by dry measure, became at length the Winchester bushel of 2150.42 cubic inches-losing thus, altogether, the previously existing proportionality of 175 to 143 , which would have required a bushel of not less than 2261.54 cubic inches.

It appears to be a favorite idea of Mr. Adums, that there ought to be two different units of capacity, bearing to each other the same relation as to volume which the average specific gravity of liquids sold by measure bears to that of corn and other seeds sold also by measure; so that dissimilar volmmes of these different articles having the same name, may have also the same weight. This he calls a uniformity of proportion, in contradistinction to the relation of equality, which he calls a uniformity of identity. But it is evident, without argument, that this uniformity of proportion cannot be ly any incans a uniformity of exactness, except upon the condition that there shall be as many units of capacity as there are varying specific gravities to be dealt with. Mr. Adans makes it a reproach to the government of France, that, while professing to create everywhere a uniformity of identity, it still prescribed, by an ordinance
approved on the ©th of Deeomber, 1808, by the Mininter of the Interior, "that the ale of oil in Paris, by retall, shall be by weight, in measures containing aive hoctogrammen, one double hoctogramme, one hecto. gramme, \&e. And." he continues, "theme measurea, being cylinders of tin, are atamped with initial letters, indicating that one is for aweet oft. and the other for lamp oil. So that here are two measures, of capecity altogether incongruous to the new aystem, each differing in cubic dimen. dions from the other, though to measure the aingle articie of oll, and both difiorigg from the litro. They attach themselvee in and to the new erge. tem by meigis, but abandon entirely its pretension to unity of measure. and fall at once into the old principle of adapting the measure to the welght."
If the French government had here been propowing to mell ty measure. asd not by woight, the reproach would be juat. But what aliall we may of a aystem which. though avowedly founded on the principle of "iden. tity of proportion"-of a syatem, that in to mar, which declares that lita measures, identical in name but not in volume, are identical also in weight-does yet nod provide two measures of capacity-one for owert oll, and the other for lamp oll?

Our measures of capacity could not be more unhappily adjusted than they are. Thoir siaglo merit is that. bad as they are, they are fixed and definite, and that, by long use, wo have made them familiar.

Our aystem of weights is connected with our measures of capacity and eolidity, by the very inconvenient relation that a cubic inch of distilled water, at the temperature of $62^{\circ}$ Fareaheit, the barometer being at 30 tachos of mercury, weighs 252.458 grains, which, at 437.5 grains to the ounce, avoisdupois, is equivalent to 997.137 ounces to the cubic font.*

The pound, avoirdupois. of $\mathbf{7 , 0 0 0}$ grains, is the unit weight of com. merce ; but there in another pound, called the troy pound, of 5,260 grains. which is used for the precious metals, and also, but with a different name and mode of subdivision, for drugs and medicines. The commercial pound is aubdivided into sixteen ounces, the ounce into sixteen drams. Its multiples are the quarter - $\mathbf{2 5}$ or 38 pounds: the hundred-weight -100 or 118 pounds; and the ton -2.000 or 2,940 pounds.

The troy pound is divided into twelve ounces ; the ounce into iwenty pennywoights; and the pennyweight into twenty-four grains.

The apothecaries' ponnd is dirided into iwelve ounces; the ounce into eight drachms; the drachm into three scruples; and the scruple into twenty graina.

Of theee divisions, the avoindupois is the best, although there is nothing to be mid in defense of what is called the long hundred.weight of 112 pounds, or the long ton of 2,240 pounds ; and these denominations ase gradually going into disuse. The pound is a convenient unit, nearly

[^44]equivalent to half a kilogramme. Weights below the pound are usually stated in fractions, as one-half pound, one-quarter pound, \&c., where that is practicable; and drams are rarely mentioned. The divisions of the Troy and apothecaries' weights are arbitrary in the extreme. In the mint of the United States, the subdivisions of the Troy pound below the ounce are disused entirely; and in the standards prepared at the Bureau of Weights and Mcasures at Washington, for delivery to the governments of the States of the Union, the ounce is subdivided decimally to the tenthousandth part ; no pennyweight or grain weights being furnished.

Of weights, as of measures, it is claimed that there are important advantages secured by forming denominations lower than the unit on the principle of binary or duodecimal subdivision. But these advantages, if they exist, belong, it is obvious, only to retail dealings. For large or for numerous transactions, subdivisions of the unit in any ratios other than the decimal, occasion only inconvenience, by increasing the labor of computation. The question whether, in small dealings, which are, in general, affairs of purchase and sale, one law of subdivision is better than another, depends quite as much upon the accordance or discordance of this law with that governing the denominations of money, as upon anything else. If a pound of a given article cost twenty-five cents, as many pounds of many articles do, it is much more advantageous to divide this pound into fifths and tenths than into halves and fourths and eighths. It is here that identity of law becomes a "uniformity of proportion," which is truly valuable; and here that the advantages of binary division, of which we hear so much, become positive disadvantages.

But the use of the decimal subdivision of weights for purposes of computation, in respect to which its power as a labor-saving contrivance is incalculable, by no means excludes, as it is commonly assumed to exclude, the use of the binary subdivision, whenever it may be found advantageous to employ it, for the practical purposes of life. That the two go along together very well, is evidenced in the example of our own Federal currency, and in the money of the French nation. Though it is law that ten mills shall make a cent, and ten cents shall make a dime, and ten dimes shall make a dollar, we find ourselves under no moral or legal inability to use a quarter or a half dollar, if we please. There are, among those who argue against the decimal system of weights, some persons who apparently hold that the people who shall adopt such a system will be thereby and thenceforth debarred from the right to divide anything by two, or by four, or by eight, or ly any other number but ten, forevermore. Undoubtedly this is all nonsense. When there is any practical advantage to be gained by the use of binary subdivision in small transactions, such subdivision will undoubtedly be employed, even by those who adopt the metric weights; and this without interfering with the decimal division, but by simply for such cases superadding the other to it. It is within the memory of many now living, that we had once not only the half dollar and the quarter dollar, but the eighth and the sixteenth of a dollar also, visibly represented in legal tender coins. Our current silver coinage was
then chlefy provided for un by the Spanish inint. We have chosen to drive ont the lesser fractional coins formed by binary nubdivivion, and to perpetuate the greater by coining them ournelves: but the fart that all of them exinted for forty yeary or more after the creation of the Perderal currency, and that mome of them still exist without disturbing the eys. tem, shows that the binary and decimal divisionn can go along togethes. and be carried very far, side by side, without serious inconvenience, when there is any important object to be gained by maintaining lxoth it in true that the eighth and the sixteenth of a dollar give un fractions of our mallext coin, the cent. But we might have coined half centn and quarter cents, as for a time we did the former; and the quarter cent would not have been as small as the French centime. The truth is, that thene amall Spanish colns were driven out, because the dime and the half dime, which are conformed to the decimal division, approach them so nearly it value an to make them useless. Otherwise, like the half dollar and quarter dollar, they might have been retained without, nevertielees. preventing the statement of all values and the performance of all computations from beling made in denominations of Federal money.
It is not forgotten that the small Spanish coins, here apoken of, bore definite relations to smme of the several "currencies" prevalling in the American States before the Revolation, and perpetuated, for a greater or less length of time, in some of them nfter that epoch. Thus, the eighth of a dollar was " nine pence" in New England," and a "shilling" in New York. The simplicity of some of these relations, expecially of that last mentioned, had a tendency to protract the use of the old colonial moner of necount ; but everywhere, except in Now York and North Carolina, and to a great extent in those States also, Federal money had been habitually employed in book-reckoning long before the circulation of the small and worn-out coins of Spain had been finally prohibited.*

[^45]It thus appears, from an examination in all its details of the system of weights and measures actually in use in Great Britain and the United States, that this system is one which can only be defended on a single ground-the ground that it actually exists. Were it not that it occupies this position of adrantage,-were the question, in other words, now for the first time presented to the statesmen of these two great and enlightened nations,-what system of weights and mensures, in the absence of any already established, ought to be adopted, it may be safely said that the existing system would have no chance whatever of acceptance, either as it respects its basis, or bases, or as it respects the details of its denominational relations. To supplant an established system is, however, a measure of such gravity, that it should never, and will never, be lightly attempted. It would not be worth while to recommend to the American or to the British people to adopt a new unit of length, for instance, for an object less important than that of bringing into harmony all the discordant systems at present prevailing throughout the civilized world. But it would be worth while to recommend to them, without reference to any such grand and far-reaching design, to effect those important improvements within their own system itself, which, without changing its fundamental units, might be attained, by substituting for the present anomalous multiples and subdivisions of the units, others determined on some principle truly scientific, and preferably to all others, the decimal.

But the prospect of being able to unite all civilized nations in the adoption of one common system of weights and measures and coins, is one of sufficient importance to justify a change much more sweeping than this. And for the achievement of a result so grand and so desirable, nothing more is necessary at the present time than the acceptance, by English-speakiug nations, and by the Empire of Russia, of the metric system, introduced into France near the close of the last century, and since adopted by the populations of half of Europe, of a large part of South America, and of Mexico.

The European States* which have adopted the system are France, Holland, Belgium, Spain, Portugal, Italy, Greece, and the North German Confederation. This last important adhesion to the system took place by a law of the Reichstag, promulgated by King William, of Prussia, in the name of the Confederation, on the 1ith of August, 1868, which law is to take full effect on the first day of January, 1872. Since the date of this promulgation, the Confederation has given way to the Empire; so that it can hardly be doubted that, when the date specified in the law shall have arrived, Southern Germany as well as Northern will come under its operation. Out of a total population in Europe of, approximately, two hundred and sixty millions, about one hundred and thirty five millions have accepted the metric system ; and, after the first day of January next ensuing, this will be the only system of weights and measures allowed

[^46]among them by law. Certain other Statew have adopted some features of the metric system, experially the law of decimal multiplication and division. Thin in true of Swizerland, whith liaw assumed, for the unit of length, thirty centimetrem, and for the unit of weight, five bubdred grammes (half the Firench kilogramme.) Bealdea the deeimal tualtiples and submultiplen of weight, Switzerland continuea so allow the uae of the old divisions atill.

Swedent has adopted the decimal scale, without adopting metriral unlta of lengeth or of weight. Her unit of length in the foot - M 0tgi. (the Einglinh foot being M. $0 \cdot 5045$, ) and her unit of weight $-K .042$. (the Finglish pround being - K. 045536 .)

Denmark.; like Switzerland, has taken the half kllogramme for the unit of welght, and also the decimal scale. But for ber unit of length she adheres to the foot, which with her has the value of M. 0318s.

Austria.s since 185!, has emploged in her custom-housers a pround equal to half a kllogramme, with decimal subdivisions.

Before the decisive action of the North Gierman Parliament, mentioned above, several of the German States had taken nome stepa toward the Introduction of the metric syntem. This was true in Prussia, in the Grand Duchy of Buden, in Hesse, in Wurtemberg, and In Bavarin : all of which had adopted the decimal seale, and also units of length and weight having simple and definite relations to those of france.

In Great Britain, since 1864, and in the United States, wince 186B, the use of the weights and measures of the metric system has been made legal but not compulsory. The law of the Congress of the l'nited States. of 1806 , provided, that standards of weight and measure, conformed to the metric system, whould be prepared at the Bureau of Weighten and Meas. ures in Washington, and furnished to the executive authorities of all the States of the Union ; also, that metric weights and balances should the employed in all the post-offices, for weighing letters, and that the letter weight corresponding to a single postago rate should be fifteen gramunes, instead of half an ounce, as had been before provided. I
> - De Jacubi's Report, abore cited. \$Idem.
> $t$ Idem. हैIden.

I The provisions of this act which relate to letter weight were, by au unexampled blunder in legislation, or a singularly perverme ingenuity in the interpretation of law, rendered almost immelintely nugatory. An. other act wan at the same time perading before Congress, of which the design wan to regulate international poatage; and as the previous legis lation of the United Statea had made half an ounce avoindupois the lims. fiting weight for a single postage rate, this act provided. or designed to provide, that in the adjustment of rates with foreign nations. "half an ounce avoirdupois should be held and taken, for postal purposes, to be the equivalent of fifteen grammes of metric weight." It was intended thas this act should be pressed to its pasaage before stom other; bus. by some mismanagement, the order became inverted, and it was not panand until the following day. The port office departuent of the t'nitad states has therefore fele authorized to apply the provinion just cited to the in terpretation of the act of the preceding day. Congress is thus made to stultify itself by euacting, first, that the limiting letter weight for a ein

In South America, the metric system has been adopted by Brazil,* (to take full effect in 1873,) in the Argentine Republic, $\uparrow$ in Uruguay, ${ }_{q}$ in Chili, sand in New Granada; and in Mexico, in North America.

It thus appears that the metric system of weights and measures is the system established by law for about one hundred and sixty millions of the inhabitants of the civilized world ; among all of whom this system is the only one tolorated. It appears further, that this system is made legal, though not compulsory, among about seventy millions more, (the people of the United States and of the British Islands:) or, if the dependencies of Great Britain in all parts of the world be included, among nearly two hundred and fifty millions more. The system, moreover, has been gradually gaining favor everywhere; and of all the great powers which make up the Christian world, there is but one, viz: Russia, which has not, in some form or other, manifested approval of its principles. There is reason, moreover, to believe that this great power will not continue long to maintain so exceptional an attitude. In no country of Europe are the enlightened devotees of science more actively alive to the importance of this great practical reform than in Russia, nor is there any in which such men are more ardently zealous in their advoracy of the measure, or more hopeful in their anticipations of its early success. There are statesmen too, in that great empire, who are fully capable of appreciating all the magnitude of the benefits which must flow from the adoption of a measure so pregnant with good, as well as all the difficulties which must attend its execution, and the embarrassments which must accompany the period of transition; and to their wisdom and their sagacity we may look with confidence for a satisfactory, though it may be a deliberate, solution of the weighty problem which the change, now, as is mauifest ultimately inevitable, presents.

To this view of the present state of the question, considered as a political or a practical question, it may be added that the suffrage of the great body of men of science, everywhere, has long since been given in favor of the metric system of weights and measures. Representative bodies of this class of men, of the highest character, and in countries where the system has as yet not come into use, have given public and emphatic expression to their favorable judgment of its merits. The National A cademy of Sciences of the United States, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the British Association for the Advancement of Science, the Royal Society of London, the Imperial Academy of Sciences of St. Petersburgh, and many others of those organized bodies which are recognized as constituting the highest scientific authorities of the coun-

[^47]triee to which they respectively belong, are annong thome which lave these declared themeotrea. No amount is here saken of the sitmilar amedia. tlons of France, Bolgium, Gerunany, the Netherlande, and Italy, countries by which the ayatem han been already adoptad, since they may to corentevi In, of courno.
By many of these acoociations (or by all of thomu) it is recommended or required that, in tho meientific papert promented by their metnbers, or printed in thoir traneactions, weighta and mennures ahall be atated in terme of the metrio symem; and with individual writem for the meientise jouranle of Groat Britalu, Auntria, Awitzorland, Rumsia, Sweden, Dens. mark, and the United States, (countrien, all of them, in which the metric ayatem does not prevall,) it in beonming every day a more general usage to employ no other. In this respect, the scientific world in mo rapidly coming lato common accord, that, without any reference to the move. ments of poltical authoritien, which are often timid, and which. in a matter of this magnitude, may very properly be alow, it will very shortly have created for iteelf a system of weighten and measurea which slall be practically univermal.

From thin view of the actual situation at thin time, as it rempects the great queation of the unification of weighta and measurew, iwo inforences prewent themselves as plainly warranted by the facts. In the first place, to offect this unification, a thing on every account mo earnently to be deaired, upon any other basis but the metric system, is palpably a moral impossibility. To expeet a people, after having nobjected them. celves to all the embarrasaments attendant on the overthrow of a aystem isterforen with all their habits of thought, and the submtitution in its place of another having not a single point of contact with that which it superseded, intensifiod, moreover, as thewe ombarransments were in the case of the French, by the mad precipitation with which the change was thrust apon thom, in the wildest period of their eventful history : to es. pect any people, after such an experience, deliberately to relinquish the happy fruits of all this suffering, to ruject the new nyntem after it has been familiarized, and though it han been found to beperfect in ita meien. tific method, simple to the last limit of attainable simplicity, and in the highest degree convenient in its practical applications, in order to wot up something still newer, but which no logic ean ever persuade them is haif ©o good-this is an expectation which mo man who has the slighteat ar. quaintance with human natury can ever entertain. Or to expect any other people who may, some three or five or fifteen geam ago. have caterol voluntarily and through conviction, upon a course of melf education us the detalls of this aystem, with a view to ite ultimate adoption, undor such wise provisions against abrupt and sudden change an might mecure thetn againat the confusion which attended ita intnaluetion into Franceto expect aay such people to forego their convictiona, and to exchew their legiolation deliberately matured, by holding out the temptation of amething better than the metric system, after they have satisfied themselvee by the study of years that nothing better can leverised-this too io an
expectation just as extravagunt and just as sure to be disappointed as the other. If the nations of the earth shall, therefore, ever unite upon a common system of weights and measures, that common system will be the metric system. Conversely, if the metric system shall not meet with universal acceptance, no common system will ever exist.
The second of the inferences referred to above, as deducible from the present aspect of the question under consideration before the world, is that nothing is wanting but persi-tent effort and active agitation of the measure, to induce those nations whose concurrence in the adoption of the metric system is still necessary in order to make it universal, to follow the example of those by which the system has been adopted already. The progress which has been thus far made toward the end so greatly to le desired, has been made in the absence of any concerted or organized action for the purpose of operating upon public opinion, and has been a simple consequence of the manifest merits of the system itself. Yet this progress has been such as to give us scarcely less cause to wonder than to rejoice; for it is surely a cause for wonder that a change affecting all the material interests of men, and touching all their daily and hourly actions, thoughts and habits, confined at first to a single people fewer than forty millions in number, and forced upon them with so little attempt at preparation, and such utter contempt for the power of habit and the laws of the human mind as to provoke and insure among the less enlightened a resistance and a struggle protracted through nearly half a century, it is indeed wonderful that such a change should subsequently be progressively and spontaneonsly courted by other peoples upon whom no such political pressure has been brought to bear ;* until, a quarter of a century later. it is found to extend to half the inhabitants of the civilized and Christian world, and to have secured a legal standing, although not yet an exclusive adoption, among the larger proportion of the remaining half. In this result, so steadily, so silently, and yet, if we count the time upon the great dial of history, so rapidly accomplished, there is something more than encouraging; there is something prophetic and assuring. In another sense than that intended by Solomon, we may say, "the thing which hath been, it is the thing which shall be, and that which hath been done, is that which shall be done," till, in respect to measures and weights, there shall be no diversity of things under the sun.

But the coming of this era of happy accord among nations, foreshadow-

[^48]ing, as in a meanuro it certainly domes, the ultimate arocptaner of the higher doctrine of the univerval molidarity of :moplex, may lae areaty ac. celerated by the union of all the influencee which can tev brought bo twas upou the tuinde of men, through the conmentanesoux and organized action of all the friende of progrew throughout the world Thie conobideration justifice herva briof enumeration of thome characteriatica of the metric syotem itselt, which fairly entite it to the general prefereuce whiels it has already eocured, and to the universal acceptance to which it in uaasifestly dewtined; abil the matne reamon demandas that the various objoctiona which have been urged agaiunt the nystem, monactimes by men of note and high ability, like Mr. Adima and Sir John Hermehel, should be can. didly examined.

The metric symtens, suppoming it to be univernally recelived, will of counc be productive of all the benefits which must belong to any common aystem of weighta and measures an a conmequance of the fact that It in common; and there may, therefore, be at once clained for it, and will be conceded without argument. It is worth while first to glance at these.

The advantages of a common syntem. simply as such, are felt in regand to all matters in which nations have a comison interest, such as the aftime of commerce, of the adjustusent and collection of customatm. posts, and of the linterchange of thought under every form, an persoual. postal, selegraphic or diplomatic.

In commercial transactions carried on between peoples whoee standards of weight and measure differ, and whose monctary systems are unually differeat at the same time, every exchange effected involves a labrious tranaformation of the expressions of value from one system to the other. When transactions are largo, the burden thus imposed is enormous, and is felt not merely in the labor it imposes, but also in the considerable lone of time which it involves. The unnecessarily increamed labor implies. moreover, of course, a correspondant unnecessarily increased expense. It is found, in fact, to be indispensable in every commercial house largely eagaged In foreign commerce, to employ computern to conduct this clase of calculations, who have been specially trained to the work, and whou are charged with no other duty. But every expense incurrod in the proces of transferring commodities of whatever description from the producer to the consumer, enters at last into the selling price of the commodity; so that the diversity of weights and measures existing in the world compels the consumen of imported articles in every country to maintain an immense staff of calculators to perform lalors for which, in the nature of things, there in no neceasity whatever; whose salaries are nevertheless paid out of an aseossment pro ratit upon every article consumed. Moreover, the time which in occupied in prefforming these calcu lations retands to a certain exteut the completion of every transac. tion, and lo to the same exteut a tax upon profits, by kerping capital inective.

A common aystem of weights and measures is furthermore proasotive
of honest dealing. The public who consume the productions of foreign lands have, in the absence of such a common system, not only in general mo actual knowledge of the relative value of commodities at home and abroad, but hardly even a possibility of knowing, And in the fluctuations of value which are continually oceurring in foreign markets, none but experts can correctly judge what ought to be the legitimate effects of such changes upon current prices at home. With a common system of weights, mensures and moneys, the opportunities of securing extravagant profits ley false representations, or of maintaining prices above their just level, when in the matural conrse of things they should decline, are greatly reduced; and this effect will be so much the more decisive and prsitice, in proportion as this common system is more simple in its form. and more scientifically methodical in its principles. This, therefore, is one of the points in which the metric system, should it become the system universally prevalent, will be found to be especially valuable. It will not only make it possible, but will even make it easy, for all mankind to understand the ways of the market; and will render those artifices of trade by their ignorance of which they are now such frequent, and are liable to be such continual, sufferers, in a great degree ineffectual.

The adrantage of a common system of weights and measures is especially great in all matters relating to the assessment and collection of that part of the revenue of mations which is derived from imposts upon their foreign commerce. Here the existence of such a common system permits the use of the original invoices, without any alteration of the figures in which quantities are expressed, for the purpose of fixing the amount of the duties to be levied. There is no room for error or mistake in the declarations of importers, nor any danger of those misapprehensions, in consequence of which delays so often occur in the deliveries of merchandise, and seizures are occasionally made without cause. But in the absence of such a common system, all those transformations are necessary which have been already mentioned as encumbering commerce; so that, practically, this heave labor of arithmetical computation has to be performed twice over. The government must have its computers, that it may protect the publicinterest, and the importer must have his also, that he may know that he suffers no injustice. Many employees are thus kept continually occupied in the performance of labors which add nothing to human wealth or human comfort, but which are made necessary by differences growing out of the carly isolation or limited intercourse with each other, of different races or communities of men. With the disappearance of these differences would disappear at the same time the necessity of this profitless labor; and thus there would be liberated for more useful service in other directions, a vast amount of ability and energy now wasted.

As it respects intercommunication or exchange of thought between individuals belonging to different nationalities, the value of a commonsys. tem of weights and measures is of the highest practical importance. Without such a system there may be no understanding of even the most com-
monatatementa in regard to the affuirn of life, unleas through a resort to tables or to calculations, which render interoburse weariwome, and protract intolerably the nimpleat explanatlons. And as in ofal crinmunicu. tions there in rarely disponition or opportunity carefully to verify results. the liability to misunderntanding in under thene eireammatioes exceed. ingly great. Thim may be illuntrated by the experience of any one who han had occasion to travel nuccessively through countrien differing widely in their monotary aystem, but not all in thin rexpect mually differing fron his own. An American in france will feel himself, from the firm day, an little llable to be deceived in regard to the valuen of mume ar preased in frances and centimes, as he would be if they were ntated in dollars and cents. But it is quite otherwise when ber pasmen into Gies many, and hears nothing spoken of in the way of money but thalers. silbergroschen and plennigs.

As it respects diplomatic intercourse also, the abmence of a common oys tem of welghts and measures in a serious disad vantage. It makey neeres. sary in many cases a duplication of statements in the same documente. so that quantities or dimensions may be expressed in denominations fa. milliar to both the nations represented, and requires, of course, on both sides, the verification of the equivalency of these double forms.
In the matter of intercommunication by postal convegance or by tele. graph, there enter certain other considerations of inconvenience, which arise from the want of common staudards of weight, measum and moner. The tariff of postages is founded generally upon weights, but sometimes also upon both weights and distances; and the postage rates are payable in the denominations of money current where the letters are posted or where they are delivered. Hence arise diffenlties in the adjustment of the tarifis themselves, and occasional embarrassments and poesible crrors in assessments made under them. The limit of weight allowed for a single postal rate, as exprensed in the denominations in use in two differ. ent countries, cannot be made to conform to that fractional amount which would be necessary on the one side or on the other, or on both, to secure perfect identity, since the weights on both sides must be units or simple fractions of units familiar to the people. There will, therefore, be sometimes letters which are within the limit at the station at which they are posted, but which will be beyond the limit at that at which they are recelved. Again, the writer of a letter may be aware he has not exceeded the legal limit as it will be understood at the place where his correspond. ent is residing: and yet he may find it taxed with a double rate at the office where he is obliged to post it. From the want of harmony in three particulars, correspondence is sometimen subjected to delays, and sometimes actually suppressed as underpaid. Occasionally a functionary will consult the schedule of weights allowed by the postal regulations of the country for which the letter is destined, and if the frank amords with this, will allow it to pass, though overweighted acconding to his own. If such is his practice, he may have to refer from time to time to ereveral systems of weight and measure besides that of his own country. liy the
adoption of an international system he would be required to make refer. ence to only one: which would tre so much gained, even though his own, for internal affinirs, should not be abandoned.

Telegraphic dispatelies are charged in general according to distance. As the lines along which international telegraphs have been erected are few and definite, it is practicable for the compmies to prepare and to print tables for the guidance of the operators employed in the several offices established for the receipt and transmission of dispatches. But so long as different ptandards of distance and money exist in diffrent countries, these must assume as many forms as there are varicties of these standands: and with the extension of the lines, the trouble of revising and correspondingly extending these various guides will continually recur. With the adoption of common standards, all these embarrassments will disappear.

The advantages of a common system of weights and measures, thus far considered, are such as would result from the universal acquiescence of nations in any common system. But if this universal acquiescence slould be secural for the metric system, there would be secured at the same time benefits of a very high order, which have their source in the nature of the nystem itself. Of these, the most prominent are those which relate to the educational, practical and scientific uses which the standards by which quantities are measured naturally subserve.

The first and most obvious advantage resulting from the system, considered as an educational instrumentality, arises from the fact that it presents hut a single form of expression for numerical values of all the descriptions, and brings alstract and concrete numbers under precisely the same rules of operation.

One of the heaviest burdens laid upon the youthful mind, in the study of elementary arithmetic, consists in the complicated rules required for the treatment of what are called "compound numbers." This burden disappears, as if by magic, the moment that, in place of the anomalous suls. divisions of weight and measure which have descended to us from barbarous times, we substitute the denominations of the metric system. Where all was blindness and obscurity before, the change introduces light, and the young learner pursues with pleasure a task which he had previously found to be irksome and repulsive.

The expericace of all mankind proves that the decimal system of numeration is the siuplest of all conceivable systems for the expression of considerable numbers. It is so even among peoples who are scarcely removed suflicienty from barbarism to count an hundred. Such peoples count almost in variably by tens, told off upon their fingers, and told off sometimes upon both their fingers and their wes. Among civilized peoples, chitdren usually learn the value of the ten Arabic numerals before they are taught the artificial systems of numeration by means of which these ten characters are made to suffice for the expression of all numbers. And this system is so simple in itself that it is açuired almost without a sensible eflort. Then follow those elementary processes which are com-
monly called the ground rulew of arthmetie, the mantery of which is a primary necomity, whatever to the nywtemm of concrito numbers upon which the learner is to be afterwania required to employ his artilimetical powers. But these heing açuired, everything in acquired which the metric system domanda, while for one who has in doal with quantities exprexeod In the weighte and useanurew of any other system. hin task in not yet tegun.

The extenaion of the decimal methot zo fractions of the unit repuima but a alightly greater effirt than that which in Involved in the aryuimi. tion of the numeration of integere. The similarity of the principle makes it eany. This extension, moreover, in one which the leariar in compelled to make, whether he is taught tho metric nystem or not : and the fact that in the visible divistons of metric welghts and meanuren be has palpable illustrations presented to him of such an extension, makes of this aystem actually a mpecies of elucutional machinery for facilitating the attainment of correct conceptions of the abstractions of arithmetic.

While it in an advantage of great importance and valare, that, be the ald of the metric system; the elementary books used in schools mar be cleared of a vast amount of rubbish, and both teachers and learnerer relieved of a task as profitlexs and unnecessary as it is dreary and jainful. It is by no means a small one that there will be efficted simultaneously a very material suving of the not only in the teaching of arthmetic. but almo in all those branches of education which have to deal with material things. The simplicity of the metric expreswions for quantity mo sensibly facilitates the clear understanding and romollection of the facts of physical and chemical selence, of political economy, of atatistical geography, and other kindred subjects, and mo singularly ab. breviates all calculations which it is necessary to institute in regand to these things, that the gain to the learner han been extimated by penoons of good judgment at not leas than a third part of the time ondinarily de. voted to edocation.* This estimate may be considered exceasive. but that the gain is large is too evident to admit of question.

Next to the educational trenefits which must follow the adoption of the metric system, may be mentioned the practical. The first of these consints In the extreme facility with which, in the actual affiins of busineser, all coms. putations may be made the the help of this system for the purpomes either of the tradenman of of the mechanic. The advantage hence msulting is three-fold. The mental effirt required for the operation is less, the time consumed in making it is less, and the liability to error in materially less than is the case in the use of denominations of wright and moanare not standing to each other in decimal relations.

In the mechanic arts, moreover, particularly in all constructions in which the exact adaptation of the meveral parts to each other in eavential. the measures of lenght in use, where the metric system has not yet lown adopted, are too deficient in the delicacy of their nutdivisions to anower the purposes of the workman; and they have accordingly, as has lumn remarked earlier in this paper, been discarded in favor of a derimal di.

[^49]vision. This is a tacit recognition of the merits of the principle on which the metrical relations are founded; and it furnishes an indication of what would be the practical advantages which would follow the adoption of the decimal division throughout all the range of linear dimensions.

As it respects weights; where minute nccuracy is required we have seen a tendency in the same direction. The jewellers of England and the United States may still continue to deal in grains and pennyweights, but the mint of the Cnited States has discarded these denominations for decimals of an ounce, and amalytic chemists everywhere use grammes and milligrammes only.

But one of the most important of the practical advantages which the metric system offers to those who use it, is found in the relation which connects secight with the measure of cubic capacity. The unit meusure of capacity is a cubic decimetre, and is called a litre. The litre of water furnishes the unit of commercial weight, which is a kilogramme. The litre contains one thousand cabic centimetres. A cubic centimetre of water, therefore, weighs one gramme.

Water again is the standard of reference for specific gravity ; that is to say. its specific gravity is 1 . Hence, as the bulk of water in litres is the weight of the same water in kilogrammes; or as the bulk of water in cubic centimetres is the weight of the same water in grammes; so the bulk of any other substance in litres (cubic decimetres) multiplied by the specitic gravity of that substance, is the weight of the same substance in kilogrammes; and the bulk of any other substance in cubic centimetres similarly multiplied, is the weight of that substance in grammes. Silver, for instance, has a specific gravity of $10 \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $10 \frac{1}{2}$ kilogrammes of silver would form a solid having the contents of one cubic decimetre. And one cubic centimetre of silver weighs just ten and a half grammes. On this principle, the weights of all solids are deduced in metric values with great facility, from a knowledge of their bulks and specific gravities; and the bulksare in like manner and with equal facility deduced from their weights. But these are problems which are only solved by means of many figures, involving several successive multiplications and divisions, usually also with inconvenient numbers, when any other modes of stating weights and dimensions are employed except those furnished by the metric system.
The advantages which accrue to science from the use of this system are equally important. The first of these to be noticed is a natural consequence of the fact that the system is in itself one of the most complete and admirable examples possible of scientific method. Being constructed in exact accordance with the plan of abstract arithmetic, it makes available, for the purposes of its computations, all the tables which have been prepared with reference only to the properties of abstract numbers; thus not only reducing labor, but increasing the power of the machinery by which labor is performed. The comnection between bulk and weight also, which has just been mentioned as being attended with such frequent advantage to the practical man. is an advantage still greater to the man of
melence; and it in, in limelf, an tidea atrictly meientific, an admirable for fie ingenuity an for ita arientific simplicity. The artizas or the ase chanic may have ocension to avall himaelf of this property of the syotem ouly at considerable intervals, but the chemitet or playsiciet finds it of daily and hourly une.
The metric nyntem loan furthermore become a lmont a nocerolty to the edien tific world, in orler that thowe who telonge to thin world may underatand onanother. Much of the literature of melence preduced in the early pars of the present century, and in eenturies before the present. is made prac. tirally unelews to moxdern maders, in conmeynence of the fact that all its atatements of quantity of every kind are made in denominations which are only locally Intelligitile. In selence, it is handly necemary to may, exact nean in everyiling: and hence the literature alove sposion of falls in pre eigely the polnt in which all lis powsibility of value limes. A patient atudent might, it is true, by latmorious transformations of the expressions given, bring them into a form suitable for comparison with results else. where obtained; but it in not alwayn the cane that the valuen of the denominations amployed arv accurately known. Mr. Peigné, in his com pendium of the weights, measurem and moneys of the world. publinhed in France in 1867, apeaks of the task he had attempted as one which " bristled with ditficulties, uumerous and at times insolvable, however obetinate and conscientious the persistence which one might bring to it." The making of the supposed transtormations is, therefore, not ouly labo rious, but it in not always attended with such certainty as to the correctness of the results as is necessary to the validity of meientific deductions.
In the later years of our century the practice has become so general with sciensific writers, of stating measures and weights in terms of the metric system, that a scientific literature is growing up which is truly cosmopolitan. Simultaneously also an extension has been given to the scope of the inquiries in social and statistical science, so wide as to render the use of the system for these purposes a matter not of mere choice, but of necessity. It is now nearly iwroty years* since therv was axsembled at Brussels, on the invitation of the government of Belgium, a convention which assumed the name of "The First International Statistical Congress." This tody consisted of two huadred and thirty.six members, who were about equally divided between lelgium and forvign countries. thirty-five being delegates appointed by governmente. This first conven tion, held in 1853, has been followed by six others, of which the second

[^50]was assembled in Paris, in 1855; the third at Vienna, in 1857; the fourth at Iondon. in 1860; the fifth at Berlin, in 1863; the sixth at Florence, in 1868 : and the serenth at the Hague, in 1809 . The spirit in which these great international assemblages originated is explained in the following brief extract from the report of Mr S. B. Rugglen, of New York, the delegate from the United states* to the convention of 1869, at the Hague, recontly published by order of the United States Senate.
-0 The distinguished promoters." says Mr. Ruggles." of the first Congress, at Brassels, had seen enough of modern statesmanship to know that the government of nations, in their present state of material progress, cannot le wisely conducted without a thorough knowledge of 'quantities;' and that the systematic collection and philosophical arrangement of the ' quantities' needed for showing the general condition of uations, was an indispensable preliminary to any recommendation by an international congress of any measures sceking to promote the general welfare."

In accordance with this spirit, " the official report (or 'Comte rendu') of the congress at Brussels shows its labors to have been largely devoted to the scientific analysis of 'quantities,' in subjects interesting to all nations, to be used as the basis of a uniform system of inquiries, in actually collecting the necessary facts." And in like manner all the sucezeding congresees have devoted themselves sedulously to the labor of bringing together every description of facts obtainable in regard to the actual wealth, the productions, natural and artiticial, the condition of industry and commerce, the character of the social institutions, and other matters of kindred interest, relating to the varions peoples who make up the population of the globe. The results of such inquiries could only be made available for any useful purpose, on the condition that all the "quantities" so ascertained should be rednced to a form in which they could be compared: on the condition, therefore, that they should be expressed in denominations of the same system of weights and measures; and, accordingly. it has been urgenty recommended by all these congresses, that all statistical statemente cererywhere should be made in terms of the metric syetem. The seventh and most recent of these assemblies, moreover, inangurated a work which, if efliciently prosecuted, will be in honorable harmony with the magnificence of the idea which originated these congresses of the nations. The nature of this work is thus stated by Mr. Rugglen:
"On the last day of the session, Dr. Engel, the distinguished director of the ntatistical hurean of Prusnia, presented to the locly, in general asrembly, a plan of great comprolansiveness and importance, which had been matured after full discussion in the appropriate section, and conversation with most of the gevernmental delegates. It provides for a full and systematic exploration of the whole firld of international statistical inquiry, which is divided for that purpose under twenty-four different heads, each to be the subject of meparate invertigation l,y the delegates

[^51]or members from amm one of the nationa to be melected, and which is to embrace the atatintice nuder that liead of all the uatione. This great work, if fally carriod nut, will furninh, in convenient enegelopedistic form, a aystematic meries of earefilly preparend reporta on mont of the subjecta of highewt laterent to the ntatestionen and legialatorn of the differ. ent natione. Filitionn of at leant two thounand copien of each report are to be publisisel in octavo volumew, under regulatione preweritiont in the plan, which was ananimounly adopted by the eongrose with sirong ex preasiona of approlution."
Without the motric nywtem, the vast mass of information thus enlferted would to unavailuble ; the encyclopedia would be lllegible. Thin nymetr has. therefore, thus become nomething more than a mere inntrumentality In the service of statintical nelence: it has becone oven an integral part of the science iteelf. Henceforth the two are mo irrecocalily wedided that they can not be meprarated.
The "International Statistical Congress " may now be reganiexl as an eatablished Institution. Its eighth tureting in order of succemanion will be held some time during the course of the year 18:1, and prolsably in St. Peterabargh. Already the intluence of its deliterations, of the publimhed results of ita labors, and of the spirit of comprehensive ntatesmanship which it has inculcated and fontered, is beginning to be mensibly felt, and with each successive decade of gears it will be pelt with a power continually increaning, in educating the minds of the peoples and in moulding the connsels of governments into harmony with the great principle that nations only then consult their truest interests when they consult the comaion intereats of humanity.

The germ idea of an ageney which, with time, has developed itself into a power capable of contmolling, and destined so largely ben control. the future of human history, is to be found in the report of Mr. Adams to the Houme of Representatives of the United States Congress, made in 1821, which has been already cited in this note. Though this report dis. mouraged the adoption of the metric system by Congress, and theugh its reasonings had the effect undoubtedly to impress the popular mind with the conviction that the introduction of the nystem into the American states is hopeless, yet the author himself was as deeply imbued with admira. tion of thin system, considered an a scientific creation, as the warmest of tis adrocates; and no one felt more profoundly than he how great would be the boon to humanity if one uniform nystem of weights, ueasures and moneya could be made to prevail everywhere throughout the world. In the view of his large and statesmanlike intellect. very many of the ems barmasments which attend intercourse between nations spring from the narrow and selfish legislation which lookn ouly to the immediate inter eass or convenience of particular communities, and disrogarts the resulta to the great family of men. To him all nations and all racesare brothers by blood, inheriting the earth as their common patrimony : and though. In the existing atate of human society, it is necoxsary that the artificial lides which divide States from each other should be prreeried, it is em.
inently desirable that, for as many purposes as possible, they should be kept out of sight He therofore proposed that the President of the Cnited states should be authorized to invite the governments of the everal States having diphomatic relations with that of the Union, to appoint delegates ion Congress of nations, charged with the daty of delibcrating upon mensures likely to be promotive of the general welfare; but formoxt, and reperinlly, upon the possibility of establishing a uniform sysem of weighos and measures for all mankind. That this important proposition was production of no immediate result, is attributed by Mr. Ruggles, and with apparent justice, to the political condition of Binmpe luring all the earlier portion of this century ; and especially to that compact of political rulers for the suppression of liberal thought, and the stifting of all froedom of political discussion, which the momentous events of rerent history have since shattered, known as "the Holy Allinnce." llappily. howerer, at length, to nse the vigorous words of Mr. Ruggles. "we find the germ of the general convention, planted by the farseemg sagarity of Mr. Addms in 1821 , though slumbering for a gencration woneath the surface, actually fructifying in 1853, when the first general assemblage of nations byovernment delegates, and really inter. national in its objects, was convened at Brussels."

From this epoch dates n new era in the history of the world's legislation. For the enlarged views of the reciprocal duties as well as of the true interests of mations in which this great general movement originated, are destined, through its instrumentality, to impress themselves more and more completely upon human institutions: until statutes shall at length rease to be moumments of ignorance, prejudice or ignoble jealousies, and the aim of all laws shall be the greatest good of the greatest number. One most important result has already been secured by the action of these congresses, in that, so far as the science of statistics is concerned, so far, we may arn say, as the sucressful conduct of governmental administra. tion is concerned, it has made the metric syetem of weights and measures a systom of universal heressity: and has made a familiar acquaintance with it alsolutely indispensable to every statesman, every publicist, "very toacher or student of political economy, and every enlightened la wgiver throughout the world.

It only remains to examine briofly some of the objections which have been bronght forward in disparagement of this system, and which have been arged as reasons for discouraging its extension into countries which have not yet received it. These may be distinguished into two classes ; as first, striftures upon the merits of the sestem itself; and secondly, grounds for believing its further extension impossible. The expression " further extrnsion." meaning ly this. further extension in any direction, is essential to this statement : for the alleged difficulties classed under this head exist equally in avery quarter in which the metric weights and measures have not yet been accepted.

It has leeen objected to the system, upon grounds purely theoretic or scientific, that the basis upon which it rests is not well chosen. The metre is in theory the ten-millionth part of a guadrant of a terrestrial
meridian. Ita length bs, therefore, as unalierable ne the diteretionso of the earth itmelf: and it is imponable that, by any accident or complication of aceddenta, It should tee permaneatly loot. Sor is it true, at is commonily maid, that in came of the lone of all lin vielble typee, a thing hardly con celvalile, remort ahould be necceanary to the remeasurement of tioe great are of the meridian paceing thmough France. fron which it wan origitually deduced, for itn recovery. For unlene all the recond of that magnifinent surver should be aimultaneously atricken out of exinterner, and all the monamenta obliterated which wervemployed to mark the itapmitans points of the great triangulation, the redetermination of any our of the linew connecting thome points would sutfice for the purpome, since the metrical valuen of all those linew are now perfectly known. The oljece tion, however, tr, that all the terrentrial meridiank are not equal. The metre, therefore, supposing it to be correctly detennined, is ouly the ten. millionth part of a quadrant of that meridian on which the great Fieneh are was mrasured. This objection is presend very merinusly ly sir John Herachel,t who quotes the discussion by Gieneral de Shubert, in the Me. moirs of the Aradomy of Science of St. Peternhurgh, of the principal meridian measurements heretofore made, wherein it is concluded that the equator is an ellipse and not a circle. its major axis having one extremity in longitude about $41^{\circ}$ east of Iondon, the other falling in the middle of the Paclife ocean: : The ellipticity apparently made out is very slight.

[^52](only a little orer one nine-thousandth,) and the actual difference between the major and the minoraxis is less than one mile. The difference is sutficient, however, to invalidate the assumption of the scientific commis. sion of 1789, under whose ndvice the basis of the metric system was

Hitherto, it has been grucrally held by geodesists, (1.) that the meridians of the earth are ellipses ; (?) that the axis of rotation is the minor axin of all these elliptical meridians: and (3.) that the meridians of the eathareall equal. On this hypothesis, it would not matter in how widely different longitudes different degrees of latitude should be measured : when compared, they ought always to give the same values for the polar and the equatorial diancters of the spheroid, and for the compression of the poles. The fact is, that the results deduced from such comparisons are largely discordant.

Gwn. de Schubert's paper commences with a series of comparisons of this nature. For the purposes of the comparison he selects eight different arcs. viz: the great Russian are, of $25^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ in length; the Indian arc, $21^{\circ}$ ?1': He Fronch arc. (extended to Formentera, ) $12^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$; the Sonth Africanare, (of Maclear and Henderson.) $4^{\circ} 37^{\prime \prime}$; the Peruvian are, $3^{\circ} 7^{\prime}$; the British are, $2^{\circ}$ : $0^{\circ}$. (since extended to $10^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$;) the Prussian arc, $1^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$; nud the Pronsylvania arc, $1^{\circ} 29$. Five of these eight arcs differ much less in longitude than could be desired, being all within a range of less than thirty dogrees. The Indian and the Peruvian ares differ in longitude by nenrly half a circle, but the Peruvian is very short. The Pennsylvanian arc is nearly in the same longitude as the Peruvian, and seems to have lreen included, not as having important weight, but because of this circumstance.
(omparing each of these cight ares with every other, the author obtains twenty-fight systems of elements, presenting great discordnnces. The maximum and minimum values obtained for the semiaxes differ by milea, and the values found for the compression are equally various. Gen. de Shulere concludes from this that the earth cannot be a solid of revolution : but he still holds that the meridians are elliptical; and he consequently infors that the true mode of finding the figure of the earth is to compare different portions of each arc with other portions of the same are or with the whole.

When this conclusion is reached, however, we see at once how meagre are the materials available for the application of this method. The Russian are a litile exceeds one-fourth of a quadrant in length, and the Indian are falls short of one-fourth of n quadrant by about the same amount. The French arc (extended to Formentera) is about one-seventh of a quadrant. These are long cnough to permit of some comparisons, tole rably trust worthy, to be made within themselves; but the rest in the list aboie given may for this purpose be dismissed at once.

Now dividing these three principal ares into two portions approximately equal, each, the author ohtains from the Indian and the lussian. values of the polar axis differing only about fifteen hundred feet; but the differwine between the values of this element as deduced from the Indian and the French ares, is more than ten times as great, or exceeds fifteen thousand feet.

The anthor therefore rejects the French are in making this determination, thus narmwing his base to the Indian and the Russian alone; giving at the same time, rather arbitrarily, doable the weight to the Russian which he gives to the Indian.

By the aid of the semiaxis thus found, and the measured length of the degree of Peru, the muatorial radius in the longitude of the Perusianare is obtained: and this, with the Indian and the Russian equatorial radii, serves to determine the eccentricity of the equator, considered as
selected : the aceumption, viz: that the earth in a rogular oblate apheroid. all the seetioun of which, made by planes paming through the axie of sevolution, are equal and aimilar. On thin asoumption. the ten-millionth part of any one meridional quadrant in the ten-millionth part of any othor: and wherover a man may be upon the surface of the planet, he ham bencath his feet the natural atandard upon which reate the systetn of
an elliper, and the ponition of ita major and minor axes. To find the length of a meridional quadrant in any longitude, the next otep io to calculate (which whth the data now posmessed is easy) the length of the equatorial radius in that longitude. Thin in the major meminxin of the meridioaal ellipme, and the carthis polar metniaxin is the minor.

The idea of this method is excellent, but it reats on assumptions which are only npproximately true, and it requires that more numeroun and more extended measurementa nhould be made before it can be natinfac torily applied. It ansumes that the meridiana are all elliptical, but none of them appear to be atrictly mo. It assames the equator to be an ellipae. but the equatorial diameters independently deduced from the several merldional ares, do not well sustain that hypothesis. Capt. Piassa Smyth. Astronomer Rnyal of Scotland, exprosmes ihe opinion (*Our Inheritance in the Great Pyramid." p. 48,) that they prove it mather to be "an irregular curvilinear triangle." There is, furthermore, a mechanical ditficulty involved in Gen. de Sehubert's theory of the eartis's figure: which is, to explain how a planet of which the surface is three fourths, and the eyuatorial circumference nearly five-sixths, thuid, should have the form of an ellipsoid of three unequal axes. 1

It was suggented by Prof. Airy, an above stated, on examining the rosults of Gen. de Sehubert, that a better mode of employing the available material would be to make no attempt to determine in advasces the value of the earth's polar axis, or any of its equatorial radii, but to leare the three semiaxes of the ellipsoid, an well na the longitude of the equa. torial semiaxes, indefinite ; and to determine, by the method of least squares, what values given to these would best represent all the positions of all the stations which had been determined astmnomically and geodetically upon the severad ares measured. This was the methodemployed by Capt. Charke, in his elaborate investigntion presented to the Royal Astronomical Society in 1860 , and published in that year in the 29ilh volume of their Memoins. Capt. Clarke selects forty stations upon the lines of the Indian, the Russian, the French, the British and the Peruvian arce, and determines what are the values of the variable elements men. dioned alove, which make the equares of the errons of latitude of these stations a minimum. He thus finds a larger eccentricity in the equator than Gen. de Sehubert, and a smaller polar axis; also a larger eccentricity of the Paris meridian, and a larger error of the metre. Capt. Clarke has several times modified his results, as reason has been found to correct the latitudes of some of his stations; and he appears to be by no means satiofied that the equator is truly an ellipse and not a circle. On this point his own langange is: "Whatever the real figure of the earth may twe, it on the Investigation we presuppose it to be an ellipmoid, it is quite. clear that the arithmetical process must bring it out an ellipmoid of some kind or other; which ellipmoid will agree better with all the obeerved latitades. as a whole, than any apheroid of revolution will. Nevertheless, it would scarcely, I conceive, be correct to sny wo had prored the carth not to be a molid of revolution. To prove this would reyuire data which we are not in poseestion of at present. Which must include several arrs of longitude. In the mean time, it is interesting to ascertain what ellipsoid does netually best represent the existing measurements."
weights and measures for the world. But since this aseumption has been shown to be possibly or probably incorrect, we are no longer at liberty to regard the ten millionth part of a quadrant of a meridian as being a quantity every where the same. A metre deduced from the great meridional arc of Russia would be slightly greater than one derived from the are of Peru. The cefual metre, supposing it to be truly the ten-millionth part of the French quadrant, would fall very nearly half way between these values: since, nceording to de Schubert, the radius of the equatorial ellipse lying in the plane of the French arc is very nearly the mean equa. torial radius: while the similar radii corresponding to the Russian and Peruvian meridians, are not far from the positions of the equatorial semlaxps.* This discovery, if it is proper to apply such a term to what is as yet but a plausible hypothesis, renders it necessary to qualify the definition of the metre, and to say that this unit is the ten-millionth part, not of a quadrant of any terrestrial meridian, but of a quadrant of a particular terrestrial meridinn. Whatever there may be, therefore, pleasant to the imagimation in the idea of a standard derived directly from a dimension every where the same, and every where equally ascertainabie, of the globe on which we live, must be relinquished. This consideration would no donbt have been fatal, in the view of the scientific commission of 1790, to the claims of the meridian as a basis of a system of weights and measures. had the irregularity of the earth's figure been known at the time it was selected for that purpose; for the commission rejected the proposition to adopt the pendulum as the basis, for the reason of a similar want of uniformity of the indications of such a standard in different latitudes and different longitudes. It must be obvious, however, that it is only the ideal perfection of the standard, scientifically considered, that is impaired by the discovery of the irregularity of the terrestrial ellipsoid. Practically this circumstance is of uo importance whatever. If the standand had been some natural dimension to which reference could upon occasion be easily made, either directly by individuals, or by the combined efforts of several, exacting in practice no great labor or expenditure of time or of noney, then the discovery that this dimension, originally assumed to be esery where the same, is not so, would be one of gravity. But the quadrant of the meridian has no such universal availability as this. It was not contemplated by the authors of the metric system that the stupendous labor of moasuring a great meridian arc would be ever again undertaken for the purpose of simply verifying the length of the metre, or of recov-

[^53]ering it, in case of ite aceideutal lown. The latter aceideat was provided for, by directing that the metre ahould to mestablishied, in case of the destruction of the prototype deposited at the pmiace of the Archives. by means of itn known relation in length to the leagth of the peredulum vibrating meconde at Parin. But it is not probable that aven this cotn. paratively expelitioum uethod would be practically resorted to, since pendulum experimente of this degrive of delleary are dificult; and are themeelven subject to some uncertainty. The probability ratiors in, that the prototype metre would be replaced, if lowt, in the same manner in which the standard British yard was reproxluced after its destruction by the burning of the Parliament housen in 1834; and that bs. by the cous parison of coplen of it carvefully made previously to itm dextruction, of which considerable numbern now exist. The British statute on the nut jeet, like the French, required the reproduction to be made by reference. to the seconds pendulum: but since the renewal of the standard, this pro vision of law has ceaned to exist in Great Britain.
lwoking at the matter practically, therefore, it may be stated that the metre is the length of a certain platinum bar, originally constructed of the exact length, as presumed, of one-ten-millionth part of the terrentrial meridional quadrant passing throngh Paris : thin length having been de termined by an elaborate measurement of nenrly one-ninth part ( $9^{\circ} \mathbf{4 0}$ ) of that quadrant. The paramount reason for the selection of such a standard originally, was that the unit might be as invariableas the globe itself. This property of invariability it has, in being derived from a particular meridian. quite as completely as it could have it if all the meridians were equal. The fact that the metre reprenents the ten-millionth of one particular quadrant, is only to be regretted, inasmuch as it detracts from the beauty of the pure ideal upon which the system was founded.

But it is objected that the actual metre is not, after all, the exact ten millionth part even of thim particular quadrant. It is said to be too small by a fraction, minute indeed, bnt by no means inappreciable. This objee tion, which im apparently not without foundation, seems by mome to be regarded as a wufficient reason for rejecting the metre as the basis of a system of weights and measures altogether. Such persons, to be logical. ahould reject equally every basis which parports to the a determinate part of any given dimension of the earth, whether it be of a meridian, or of the equatorial circumference, or of the polar axis, or of the equatorial of the mean diameter, unless this dimension shall be. of until it ohall be. demonstrated to have been ascertained with absolute exactnesa But that certainly is not the case at present with any of the dimensions just named. If anything is made apparent by an examination of the details of any of the groat geodetic operations which have been carried on during the last two hundred years. it is that the earth is too irregular in figure to be regarded any more as an cllipsoid of three axes, as Gien. de Xehubert and Capt. Clarke would make it, than as a simple oblate spheroid : and yet these gentlemen profess to assign the error of the metre, by comparing the quadrant pasaing through Paris, theoretically cotaputed an a quadrant of
such an ellipsoid, with the same quadrant as determined by the actual measurement of its ninth part. But certainly this are measured did not form part of a regular ellipse. Had that been true, the successive degrees measured would have exhibited, in proceeding from south to north, a regular and gradual increase in length. An increase was observed, but it was by no means regular. The whole arc being divided into three parts approximately equal, showed, in the southern division, a mean increase of 12.9 toises ; in the middle division, an increase of 32.4 toises ; and in the northern division, an increase of 5.5 toises only. When sub. sequently the are had been extended northward to the latitude of Greenwich, and southward to the island of Formentera, making a total of $12^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} .89$, or very nearly one-seventh of the quadrant, a similar division into five parts, (the northern, however, being less than the average of the others,) gave, in the southern division, a diminution going north, in direct contradiction to the theory of a flattened spheroid. The succession of values was then, for the southernmost division, a diminution of two toises per degree going north; for the second, an increase of 12.0 toises: for the third, an increage of $32 \cdot 4$ toises; for the fourth, an increase of 84 toises: and for the fifth, an increase of 7.23 toises. It is thus manifest that the diminution of curvature was least rapid in the middle of the arc. being more rapid both north and south of that point, which is not a characteristic of an elliptical curve. The scientific commission which fixed the leugth of the metre, had before it only the measurement from Mont Jouy to Duakirk, of $9^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} .67$. Two eminent geometers, members of this commission, Laplace and Legendre, found, from a comparison of the different sections of this arc, an ellipticity of $1-150$ th and $1-148$ th ; but from a comparison of the whole with the arc of Peru, only an ellip. ticity of $1.334 t h$. Delambre, who, with Méchain, had executed the meas. urement, found, from a similar comparison with the Peruvian arc, an eccentricity of 1.312 th , and subsequently of $1-309 \mathrm{~h}$. Upon the extended arc, from Formentera to (ireenwich, he made the eccentricity finally $1-178$ th. The commission fixed the metre at 443 -296 French lines; but Delambre concluded, from his latest results, that the true length should be $443 \cdot 320$ lines: which, if correct, would show the metre of the commission to be tooshort by $1-460 \mathrm{~h}$ of an English inch.

Degrces in the same latitudes, measured in different parts of the world, differ, in some instances, very sensibly. The length of the degree found by Liesganig, in Hungary, is materially less than that in the corresponding latitude in France. That found by Mason and Lixon, in l'ennsylvania, is also considerably less than that of the French geodesists between Formentera and Mont Jony, both in latitude $39^{\circ}$. A very extraorinary nomaly is presented by the measurement of Lacaille, at the Cape of Good Hope, which gives, in latitude $33^{\circ}$ S., a greater length than is found in France in latitude 45. This determination, compared with the Peruvian arc, would imply, in the southern hemisphere, the extreme thattening of $1.78 t h$. .

[^54]Any two measumments anywhere made, when compared, give a dif. forent value for the comprematon of the polar ragious: and themediffer. encem are moreover found as well in comparing different partin of the same monsured are, an in comparing different arce. Col. Brerrat, in him report upon the northern section of the great meridian aurvey of Ihdia, ham thue made comparisons apon iwelve arex, combined in forty two differets modee: and from every comparimon he han deduced a different ellip. ticity, and difiterent values of the carth'n polar and equaturial diametere." Among the arce thum compared are the ladina arc, the Rumeian arre, the Freach are, the 8wodiah are, and the Peruvian are. The northern haif of the northern mection of the Indian are, compared with the mouthern half of the same mection, given an eccentricity of 1.192 nd ; while the moathern half of the northern section, compared with the whole mouthern wection. given one of $1-390 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}$-about half the former.

The following remarks $t$ of Cel. Ererest illuntrate the futility of the at tempt, in the present riate of our knowledge, to ascurtain, with anything like minute exactneen, the true dimensions of the earth, from geodetic measurements. He anyn: "In making the melection of arcs, which are moet worthy to be employed in theme comparimons, it is utterly hopelese to escape the imputation of exerciaing the judgment arbitrarily. for the plain reason that what pleasen one permon will rarely satisty the viewn of another. If, however, the elementa be all authentically extracted. and their functional coustants accurately computed, it can be of little moment what selection in made, provided a full exposition be affonied; for these elements and constants will materially facilitate the task of thove who give the preference to any other arcs than thowe chomen." And further: "As each comparison gives an independent value of the major axis of the ellipse and of the compresesion, there arises a difliculty similar to that just alluded to, of fixing on the least objectionable method of finding the most probable mean result; to which the same observation is appli. cable, via: that every geodesist is at liberty to use that which meems beat to him, provided lie gives a full explanation. and leaves the data free for others to employ in any manner they may deem preferable."
The conclusion at which we arrive from all this discussion is, that while the metre is probably somewhat in error, the amount of its error is uncertain, and that, if it were altogether correct, we could not know it to

[^55]be mo. Delambre, the distinguished geodesist, whose labors contrihuted. so largely to furnish the basis on which the international commission of $1: 99$ established their determination of the metre, and himself a member of that commission, makes the defect of length about equivalent to 1 - 60 oh il an inch. Sir John Herachel, computing from the data furnished by diry. Besael and de Schubert, puts it at $1-208$ th of an inch. The same anthority, computing from the results of Capt. Clarke, advances the magnitude of the error from 1.208 th to 1.163 rd of an inch. And capt. Clarke himself, in his later computation, published in 1868, in a volume issued by the Ordnance Survey, gives it at the somewhat reduced value of 1.1 inird. Finally. from the direct consideration of the Frourh geodetic measurement itself, we have some reason, as will pres"ntly be made to appear, to suppose that these valuations may be, one and all. tow great. But what is beyond any question is, that no two geondexists, though starting from the same facts, ever reach precisely the same result : that every addition to the data must modify every previously formed deduction; and that the time will probably never arrive when the length of any meridional quadrant will be known with absolute exactuess.

It will of course be understood, and it has been stated already, that the manner of arriving at any value of the imputed error, is by comparing a calculated value of a hypothetical quadrant of a presumedly regular - llipsoid of three axes, with the length of an actual quadrant of the rarth itself, as determined by the direct measurement of its ninth part. Now, it so happens that the French arc, upon the measurement of which the motre is fomoded, is so situated as to give very nearly the length of the mean degree: that is, of a degree scarcely at all affected by the ellip. ticity of the meridian, whether that be greater or less. The limiting latitudes are Mont Jous. $41^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} .4$, and Dunkirk, $51^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime} .7$, of which the sum "xcceds a quadrant bey but about two and one-third degrees. If this sum had been just 90 , the influence of the ellipticity upon the length of the metre would have been practically inappreciable. In the actual rase. the substitution of the ellipticity found by Gen. de Schubert, for that employed ly the scientific commission, would not have affected this length by su much as the fifty-thousandth part. The possibilities of -rror, which always exist, to the extent of a second or two, and inevita. by to fractions of seronds, in the latitudes of the terminal stations, may introduce a larger degree of meertainty than this-an uncertainty which diminishess however, as the amplitude of the are is greater.

The error, then, if it exists, is thus thrown upon the process of measurement. Accorling to the computation of Sir John Herschel, from the data of Gen. de Shulert, the international commission of 1799 made their quadrant tow short by 4 , 008 British feet. From Capt. Clarke's results he deduces the larger error of 5.124 feet ; but Capt. Clarke himself gives the length of the quadrant passing through Paris as being $1,472 \cdot 5$ metres in cxeress of ten million, which is equivalent to an error of 4,831 British fere. Hence, the original mensurement must have been wrong to the extent of 44.5 fert, (the ninth part of 4,008 , if we adopt the first conclusion,
of of 897 feet, (the ninth part of 4831.) if wn pruter the cecond. Now, a teat of the accuracy of the gendetic work, as it wan aetually coralucted. may be found in the fact that the beace of veridication at Perpignans. $6,000 \cdot 249$ toison In leagth, having bewn determised by compputation through a chain of afiy.three iriangles, from the bace at Melun, diotans 38,000 toimes, wan found to be apparently of the length of 0,000005 crises: mo that between the length an meanured and the length as computed. there was a discropancy of only mixtoen-one-hundredthes of a wise. As is 88,000 there are five and a half timen $\mathbf{6 , 0 0 0}$, this error indicatom a pow alble error five and a half timen grmater on the whole dintance betwren the baces: that in to say, nearly T. 0-88. Then as the whole are mean. ured was 851,485 tolsen, or neventeen titnes 83,000 , the total error on the whole diatance might have been posesibly T. 15 ; which in equivalent io ainety-aix feet nearly. The probabilitien are, therefore, that the leagth of the quadrant, an determined by MĖehain and Delambre, in not in ermor more than about 800 feet, if as much; and that the powsible error of the platinum metre of the Archiven, instead of amounting to $1.200 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{of}$ an inch, does not exceed 1-960th.*

It is not a matter of any practical importance, however, what is the supposed value of this minute fraction. There can be no doube that every new meridional measurement will furnish material to the geomcters for new investigations and new resulta; nor is it pmbinble that it will moon be settled what in the true length of any meridian. The lece ture of Sir John Heroshel, which contains the strictures upon the eorreet. nesm of the French survey above noticed, itsolf furnishen evidence of the inatabillty of the soralled constauts of the earth's figure. The original text of the lecture gives, under date of September 30. 1863, one net of values for the polar axis; the semi-axes of the equatorial ellipse, and the positions of these semi-axes : the ellipticity of the French meridian ; and the (inferential) error of the metre; and an appendix of October 11 , in the same year, gives another set complete; while a posticript to the appeadix, without date, informs us of still more recent modifications of these last determinations. $\dagger$

[^56]The suggestion of Sir John Herschel, that the polar axis of the earth would have been a more felicitous choice as the basis of a metrical sys. tem than the length of any meridian, or than any other known natural dimension will probably be undisputed in any quarter. This is a dimen. sion which is entirely unique; and being the common axis of all me. ridians, belongs equally to all the world. But if absolute accuracy is insisted on, it will no more be found in this axis than in the meridians, though the magnitude of the probable error may be less. Sir John Herschel himself gives seven different determinations; one from Airy. one from Bessel, three from de Schubert, one from himself, and one from Clarke. The difference between the maximum and the minimum among these, is more than 15,000 feet. Col. Everest, as stated above, has given forty-two, found by taking different arcs by pairs. These he has subse. quently combined in various ways, for the purpose of obtaining mean results; the number employed to obtain a single mean varying from five to nine. The difference between the maximum and the minimum of the means thus obtained, is nearly 19,000 feet. The extremes of course differ much more widely, as do those found by de Schubert.
Though it is to be regretted that the earth's axis had not been originally selected as the basis of the world's system of weights and measures. yet the regret arises rather from a sense of the superior benuty, simplicity and scientific perfection in the ideal of a system founded upon such a basis, than from any practical disadvantage resulting from the choice actually made. No matter what may be the numbers used hereafter to express the length of the earth's axis or of its meridians, the metre will always be the length of the platinum bar definitely declared to be its representative by the international scientific commission of 1799.

Besides the objection to the scientific basis of the metrical system, other objections of a more practical nature have been urged against some of its particular features. All these have been brought together in the exhaustive report of Mr. Adams, already referred to, and may be briefly examined as they are presented there.

Mr. Adams first objects to the length of the metre, as being too great for convenience as a unit of measure. "Perhaps," he says, "for half the occasions which arise in the life of every individual for the use of a linear measure, the instrument, to suit his purposes, must be portable, and fit to be carriod in his pocket. Neither the metre, the half metre, nor the derimetre is suited to that purpose." Without stopping to inquire how far the fontrule may be more fit to carry in the pocket than the decimetre, it is sufficient here to observe that, if a measure of three decimetres in length (which is almost exactly a foot) should be found to be
this result to $500,490,432$; and it was gratifying, therefore, to be able to announce in the postscript that his later corrections "had made the polar axis approximate still more nearly to $500,500,000$ inches." The final determination, published in 1866, however, carries it farther off from this favorite value than any of the foregoing, since it gives it at $\mathbf{5 0 0 , 4 8 2 , 2 9 6}$.
more convenient and more portable than an entire metro, anybody who choomes to carry such a rule in his pocket can do an; and the facility with which meanures made with such a rule may be convertod tato metres io nuch, that it in imponsible to find any wolid ground for objection in the fact that such a conversion will be necemary. But If. for common pur. pones, thila meanure continues to be called a foot. (or a foot metric, to dis. tingulala it for the time from the old foot, and the conversion in ant prac. tised at all, it is not apparent what harm can arise; while, for any pur pose of computation, the conversion could be furmediate: mothat all the adrantagen of the motric aystem could be eecured, without really en. croaching senaibly in this renpect upon the ordinary habite of men. The new foot would fall ahort of the old one by not quite 19.100 h ha of an inch This difference is conslderably leme than oxtata now between the Danish and the Auntrian foot measuren, on the one hand, and the British, on the other; the dint being in excese by $\mathbf{3 0}-100 \mathrm{th}$ of an inch, and the second. by nearly $45-100$ han ; or between the Britigh and the Swedish, which latter is in deficiency by $30-100$ ths; or between the British foot and the foot of Frankforton-the-Maine, which talls short by eightenethe of an inch. This new foot, moreover, perfectly acennds with the foot measare of Swit. zerland, which has been made by law exactly three decimetres.
But though it is thus apparent that, for practical purpowes, a measure may be uned which is, for all the endn of eonvenieace, equivalent to the foot, and which is atill in perfect harmony with the metric aystetn, it does not appear that there is any want of practical portability in the metre Itmelf. Notwithatanding Mr. Adams's defense of the footrule, on the ground that it is "an instrument fit to be carried in the pocket." it in presumed that lew people no carry this instrument, except in a folding form; and in thin form the metre may be carried, and is in point of fact carried, with equal convenience. Moreover, inastauch as the yard in found, after all, to be the measure best adapted wo the occasional umen for which necessity arisen in the ordinary occurrences of life, the portable measure most frequently found in men's pockets is a tape measnre, of the length of one or two yardo-a measure which in pat up in a form greatly more compact than any rule can be.
Beniden the foot-metric, of three decimetres, it in perfectly practicable and perminsible, if any one desiren it, to use a rule of a half metre or of a quarter metre, or of any other fraction of a metre in lengith. If the seceptance of the motric aytem were to impose upon a people any mental or legal incapaety over to divide anything more into two equal parts. wre might do well to hesitate long before laying ourselves under nuch a disa bility. But it is to be prenumed that people will do what they find it.con venient to do, after an well an before the introduction of the new symtem They will gain from thin system all the power which it derives from its decinal rolations, and all the benefit which resulta from being in harmony with the rest of the world, without loaing any real adrantage which other relations may furnish in the ordinary tranesctions of life.
Mr. Adame objecte to the metre, that it does not correopond with any
known dimension of the human body; and that thus its substitution for the unit at present in use in the United States will deprive the people of a resource which they now find convenient for ascertaining the length of the unit in the absence of a rule measure. But the foot, certainly, cannot be determined by any such reference to the person, though it is possible that the yard may be. As a general rule, the yard found by holding one end of a string between the thumb and finger of the arm extended horizontally, and "marking the point which cau be brought to touch the centre of the lips, (facing full in front,") in accordance with the direction given by Sir John Herschel, will be a short gard; and the face should be inclined to the direction opposite the extended arm, to make it true. But if, instead of marking the point which touches the centre of the lips, the experimenter carry the string across the lips as far around as the angle of the jaw, he will have pretty accurately a metre. Or, otherwise, if the string be carried horizontally across the breast, the point characteristic of that part of the person, on the side opposite the extended arm, will mark the metre. The present value of the foot, notwithstanding its name, is, in England and the United States, manifestly a derivative from the yard, of which it is a third part. The length of the human foot is neither a third part nor a fourth part of the arm, measured (as above) to the lips; but it is, with a very near approach to exactness, the fourth part of a metre; so that a man of ordinary stature and normal proportions could easily reproduce the metre with sufficient accuracy for ordinary uses, by simply measuring his uncovered foot.*

* Mr. Adams rather exaggerates the importance of that property of a unit of measure which identifies it in some way with the human person. And one of his illustrations of this importance seems to prove something which he did not intend. "When the Russian general, Suwarrow," he observes, " in his "Discourses under the Trigger," said to his troops. 'a soldier's step is an arsheen,' [archine,] he gave to every man in the Russian army the natural standard of the long measure of his country. No Russian soldier could ever afterward be at a loss for an arsheen." Without having the text of the "Discourses" at hand, one may well doubt whether the rough old general were not here desiguing to convey an idea purely military, rather than to contribute to the elementary education of his troops; the idea, that is to say, that a step having a length equal to an archine, is the step which will enable men to get over the most ground in a given time and with the least fatigue; and is. therefore, a soldier's step, or the step a soldier should cultivate. And his reference to the archine was apparently a reference to something the soldier was presumed to know already, rather than to something he ought to learn. But if an archine, which is twenty-eight inches, is a soldier's step, how comes it that a farmer's step, in the United States and England, is thirty. six inches: The fact in, that the pace, whether an archine or a good yard in length, though commonly spoken of as a natural unit, is an artificial step, which cannot be usefully employed without some practice. And whoever, equally without practice, attempts to ascertain the length of the yard in the manner mentioned in the text. will find that his results are variable, and few of them exact.

None of the (average) dimensions of the human frame answer perfectly to any determinate values of the prevailing measures of length; and it is as easy to find modes of deriving approximate metrical lengths from the person as any other.

Mr. Adame apoakn of the antiquity of the fioot an indiceting that it hee been determined in ita longth by mome inhereat property of convenience which belonge to no other measure. But looking at the world'a entire lutstory, the foot seems to be of comparatively modern origin. The cuble was the unlt of the antediluvian world. The foot wan not known to Noah when be laid the keel of the ark; nor to Cheope when be rulied the great pyramid of Ghiseh; nor to Moese when he erected the taber. nacle in the wildernena ; nor to Solomon when he built the firat temple at Jerusalem; nor to Zerubabel when he built the mecond. It it evident. therefore, that there is nothing in the nature of thinge whioh maken it necessary that the foot should be retained.
Mr. Adams rogards it an a merious defect of the metric aystem that it provides only one reries of weights for all commodities, and one merien of measuren for all capacities. The carly weighta and measures of Great Britain on the other hand, which her colonints carried with them to the wentern continent, embraced double unitn both of weight and of measure. related to each other as the apecific gravitien of corn and wine. if a counterpoised vensel on being filled with wine required a pound weight avoirdupois to restore the equilibrium, the mame reasel flled with corn would require a lens weight to do the same; and thls determined the pound Troy. In like manner, if the wine which fille a reseel of giren capacity, balance a pound weight a voirdupois, a vessel of larger capacity will be required in order that the grain which fills it may produce the same equiponderance. The adrantages which auch an adjustment ap. parently affords, ecem to have impremed Mr. Adame very foreibly; yet he admits that, in Engiand, the proportion has been lost in the measuree of capacity ; and that, as it respects weight. It is practically of no use in the United States. Three or four years after his report was presented, the British Parliament abolished the double measures of capacity alcogether. Among the minor arguments alleged by Mr. Adams in favor of the preservation of both, that is the double units both of weight and capacity, is the rather curious one that retail dealers may sometimes (as in the case of drags) buy by avoirdupois weight, and make a proft in selling by Troy. Innkeepers, also, (such was at the time the case in Pennsylrania,) may, under this system, buy their ale by the larger measure, and nell ad. vantageously at retail by the lesser. "In both cases," he remarks," the difference of the measure forms jart of the compensation for the labor and akill of the apothecary, and part of the profite necessary to support the establishment of the publican." Such an argument in the mouth of a stateaman excites surprise. What has the legialature to do with compensating the labor and aklll of the apothecary, or helping the tavernkeeper to keep up his establinhment? And why should the drygoode dealer be prevented from selling (by a process unperceived by the cua tomer) four yards for the fair price of five; when the druggist is enabled (by a similar expedient) to sell four pounds for the price of ire? To the world of the present day, there can be no doubt that the exior ence of two ayatema of weight and measure adde by side, bowerer con-
nected by proportion, is simply a nuisance; and argument upon this point would be thrown away. The additional suggestion of Mr. Adams, that the two standards of weight serve to verify each other; being in the known ratio of 5,860 to 8,000 , so that in case one be lost and not the other, the latter will serve to replace the former, is uo unsubstantial to require remark. The occurrence of the contingency here spoken of as possible, is wholly inconceivable.
Mr. Adams further says that the metric system, while giving the weight of a single substance (distilled water) when the bulk is known, gives the weight of nothing else; whereas the old British weights and measures gave the weight of both corn and wine. Mr. Adams has here selected for censure, a point in which one of the greatest merits of the metric systems lies. So far from giving the weight of nothing else but water, it gives the weight of everything else. This matter has been already so fully illustrated that it may here be dismissed without further argument.

It is made still further a matter of reproach to the metric system by Mr. Addams, that the system has not yet been extended-he would make it appear to have been found impracticable to extend it-to geography and navigation (atitude and longitude) or to the division of the circle: and that this failure furnishes pretty strong evidence that the theoretically simple may not seldom be at war with the practically useful.

To this reproach it may be replied that the extension of the system to the subjects mentioned has been made and found to be advantageous; but that it has not secmed indispensable or even very important to press a change in this respect; innsmuch as the terrestrial coordinates and the division of the circle were precisely the matters, (and except the Arabic numerals and the symbols of algebra the only matters.) upon which all the world were in perfect harmony before the metric system was conceived. Topress the change as to other matters would be to promote unity. To introduce it here would, for the time being, simply create diversity. The time may possibly come when the sexagesimal division of the circle will be abandoned for the centesimal; but this will only be by common consent, after the metric system in respect to other matters shall have been universally received. Centesimal tables of the trignometrical functions were constructed, and circular instruments were centesimally divided, during the carly years of the first French Republic.

That they were found convenient by those who used them is sufficiently established by the testimony of Delumbre, who makes the following statement : "Trois de nos quatre cercles étoient devisés en grades ou degrés décimaus valant chacun $360 \div 400-0 .{ }^{\circ} 9-54^{\prime}-3240$." Cette division est beaucoup phuy commode pour l'usage du cercle répétiteur, et le seroit ignlement pour les cerniers de tous les instruments queleonques. Plusieurs personnes ticmant encore a l'ancienne division par habitude et parce quedlew nont fait aucun usage de la nouvelle; mais aucun des ceux qui les ont pratiquies toutes deux, ne reut retourner a l'ancienne."*

[^57]Delambre proceeds to explain how the ondinary tables may be uned with centesimally divided instrumenta, or centewimal tables with the ordinary instrumenta: mexageosimal aren (or for prowent purpmen wo may may nonagesimat) being converted Into centesimal by adding one ninth th the numerical expremesions of thelr value: and centesimal reduced to nona gevimal, by aubtracting one-tenth. It appearx, therefore, not to the true. an imputed, that the nonextension of the decimal symem into trigono. metry, keography and antmonomy, is an evidence of its ill-aclaptation to the objects of thone melences: this non extension ham been owing, on the other hand, to the fact that there already exista a uniformity of practice throughout the world in the methods of thene seiences, which it in not important immediately to disturb; with the additional fact that the ex. iating system is molinterwoven with every form of acientific pablication. that it conld not be anddenly changed without an inconvenience an uns. rersal as in the preaent prevalence of the system itself.

The final objection of Mr. Adatas to the metrir nystem is found in itn nomenclature. Yet, regarded as a meientific creation. he looks upon this nomenclature as admirable. His objection to it in, that the people cannot possibly be made to use it. "The theory," he mays, " of thin nomenclature is perfectly simple and beantiful. Twelve new words, five of which denote the things, and seven the nombers, include the whole system of metrolggy : give distinct and significant names to every weight, measure. maltiple, and subdivision of the whole system ; discard the worst of all the sources of error and confasion in weights and measures, the application of the same name to different things; and keep coustantly present to the mind the principle of decimal arithmetic, which combines all the welghts and measures, the proportion of each weight or measure with all its multiples and divisions, and the chain of uniformity which connerts cogether the profoundest researches of science with the mowt accomplished labors of art and the daily occupations and wants of domestic life in all elasses and conditions of society. Yet this is the part of the system which has encountered the mowt insuperable obstacles in France. The French nation have refused to learn or repeat these iwelve worda. They have been willing to take a total and radical change of shingn: but they Insist upon calling them by old names. They take the metre, but they must call one third part of it a foot. They accept the kilogramme; but Instend of pronouncing its name, they chooee to call one half of it a pound. Not that the third of a metre is a foot, or the half of a kilogramme is a pound : but because they are not very different trom them. and be enuse, in expressions of popular origin, distinctness of idea in the use of language is more elowely connected with habitual usage than with proci sion of expression."

This quotation is given at full length, because it presents, in the firmi place, the merita of the nomenclature so distinctly as to render any words on that point unnereseary : and berause, menoodly, it exhibite very precisely the nature of the difficulty which is said to render the system ob. jectionable. A roply might be, that thingo are things and names are
names. It is the things that are of primary importance: names occupy only the secondary rank. If, as Mr. Adoms says, the things are accepted while the names are rejected, be it so for the time. The main point is gained. The names will be accepted later. The illustration which Mr. Adams himself brings from the history of his own countrymen in their treatment of the Federal coinage and its nomenclature, was in point with him then, and is in point with us now. The people knew the name "dollar" but they knew nothing of dimes, cents and mills. Their smaller moneys were shillings and pence; and these denominations were in their mouths for years after the creation of the Federal currency, and are not even yet forgoten. Mr. Adams says, "It is now nearly thirty years since our new moneys of account, our coins and our mint, have been established. The dollar under its new stamp has preserved its name and circulation. The cent has become tolerably familiarized to the tongue wherever it has been made by circulation familiar to the hand. But the dime having been seldom, and the mill never, presented in their material images to the people, have remained so utterly unknown, that now, when the recent coinage of dimes is alluded to in our public journals, if their name is mentioned, it is with an explanatory definition to inform the reader that they are ten cent pieces; and some of them, which have found their way over the mountains, by the generous hospitality of the country have been received for more than they were worth, and have passed for an eighth, instead of a tenth part of a dollar." Since this paragraph was written, the people of the United States have learned perfectly the name as well as the appearance of the dime; and, during the same period, the French people have equally learned the nomenclature of their system of weights and measures. The lesson was in their case an especially hard one to learn, and one which took much time, because it was forced upon them without any preliminary preparation. That is an error which will nowhere be repeated in the future.

It is evident, throughout the report of Mr. Adams, that his own unwillingness to see an attempt made toward the introduction of the metric system of weights and measures into the United States, grew out of the popular resistance made to its acceptance by the people of France; and a belief, from which he seems to have been unable to escape, that it never would, or at least would not soon, become dominant in the country in which it originated. The state of things which existed at the time his report was written was not ill suited to produce such a belief. It is described by him in the following words: "The result of the mo-t stupendous and systematic effort ever made by a nation to introduce uniformity into their weights and measures, has been a conflict between four distinct systems.
" 1. That which existed before the revolution.
" 2. The temporary system established by the law of 1st August, 1793.

- 3. The definitive system established by the law of the 10 th December, 1799: And,
" 4. The usual system, permitted by the decree of 12th February, 1812.
"This laat decree is a compromice between philomophical theory and invotorate popular habite. Rotaining the prineiple of decimal multiplication and diviaion for the legal aymem, it abandons them entirely in the welghte and meanure which it allows the people to uen."

This " usual system "permitted the etmployment of old names, and aleo of old divialona, both blary and duodecimal. But it did not rentore the old thinga; for all the welghte and meacures allowed were derived from the metrio unitc. Mr. Adame wrote in 1821. The proapect ot the apeedy triumph of the ayatem oven in France appeared to him at that sime to be anything bat enconraging. Sixteen yearn later, however, the usual system wae abolished; and stoce that time-that is, for more than thirty years-mothing more has beeu heand of that resistance on the part of the Freach people to the use of metric weighta and meanures, or of their refueal to learn their nomenclature, or of their diseontent with the deeimal principle, which Mr. Adame evidently believed to be hopelemely conarmed, and which so much excited his apprehension.

Mr. Adams's able report embodies, and presents in a forcible manner. all the material objections which have ever been rained against the metric oyntem; and in answering him, all other objections are anawered at the came time. It is worth while now to cite his own permonal opinions of the merits of this syatem, and his hopes an to the future which may yet be in reserve for it, in spite of the (to hin view) unpromising anpect of things in his own time. He remarks, " The French system embraces all the great and important principlee of uniformity which can be applied to weighte and measures, bat that system is not yot complete. Considered merely as a labor-saving machine, it is a new power offered to man, incomparably greater than that which he has aequired by the new agency which he han given to steam. It is, in design, the greatest inenation of haman ingenuity, since that of printing; but like that, and overy other usefal and complicated invention, it could not be struck out perfect at a heak. Time and experience bave already dictated many im. provements of its mechanism, and others may, and undoubtedly will, be found neceseary for it hereafter. But all the radical principles of unj. formity are in the machine, and the more universally it shall be adopted. the more cortain will it be of attaining all the perfection which is within the reach of haman power." By the "improvementa," here mentioned as having been made in the syatem, are intended probably thoee modif. catione which were authorized by the law of 1818-the law which creaied the " usual system." Subsequent experience has shown that those modif. cations were mainly unnecesaary ; and that the system, though originally "struck out at a heat," was produced as nearly perfect an any creation of human origin is ever likely to be. The leseon of this experience must bo kept still in mind in reading the following glowing eulogy of the oystem, from another part of the same report:
"This syatem," ays Mr. Adams, " appromehes to the ideal perfection of uniformity applied to weights and meacures, and whether destined to succeed or doomed to fall, will shed unfading glory upon the age in
which it was conceived, and upon the nation by which its execution was attempted. and has been in part achicved. In the progress of its establishment there, it has been often brought in conflict with the laws of physiral and moral nature-with the impenctmbility of matter, and with the habits, passions, prejudices and necessities of man. It has undergone various important modifications. It must undoubtedly still submit to others, before it can look for univeral adoption. But if man be an im. pmatile being: if that universal peace, which was the object of a Saviour's mission, which is the desire of the philosopher, the longing of the philanthopist, the trembling lope of the Christian, is a blessing to which the futurity of mortal man has a claim of more than mortal promise: ; if the spirit of Evil is, before the final consummation of all things, to be cast down from his dominion over men, and bound in the chains of a thousand years, the foretaste here of man's eternal felicity ; then this system of common instruments to accomplish all the changes of social and friendly commerce, will furuish the links of sympathy between the inhabitants of the most distant regions; the metre will surmund the globe in use as in multiplied extension; and one language of wrights and measures will be spoken from the equator to the poles."
The period thus clearly forescen, at which all the world, on a subject so nearly affecting the daily and hourly interesta of its inhabitants of every race and country. shall be " of one language and of one speech," is certainly much nearer than the eloquent prophet could have anticipated when these words were written. "Opinion," which he elsewhere says, " is the queen of the world," without whose favoring voice no great measure of public policy can be pressed to a successful consummation, has marched with a rapidity which he certainly by no means contemplated; so that, already, that uniformity for which he longed, but hardly dared to hope, except as a crowning glory of the millenium, has been reached by nearly half the population of the civilized and Christian world, and promises at no distant day to prevail universally.

In anticipation of the period nt which the metric system shall be introduced among the peoples by whon it has not yet been received, it becomes the governments of those peoples to make such preparation for the changes which it will bring, as shall prevent the inconvenience and confusion which attended its first introduction into France. To this end, first of all, the principles of the system should be thoroughly taught in all the seloovls for the education of the young. let but a single generation le. thus instructed, and the obstacle to change which has been found in men's inveterate habits of thought, will be practically removed. Let there then be a progressive introduction of the denominations of the system into different liranches of the public service successively ; beginning with those which concern international relations, as for instance, the collection of the revenue from customs, and the foreign postal and telegraphic survice : and subsequently advancing to matters of internal administration, such as the construction of public works, the management of the navy yards, of the military posts, and of mines operated by gov-
ernmenta, the atatements of the censua and atatiatical burvaux. sc.; mo that at leagth, when the people whall have beoome auficiently familiar. taed with the ayatem, by eoelng these examples of its application, it may be extended to commerce and to the ordinary affaire of private life. An mdditional adrantage may be mecured, by adopting the practice of ntatiug. for a time at least, all quantities or valuen apecified in public documenter. in duplicate form; the fint being the meticic numbern, and the areond the numbers belonging to the familiar nytom. By meann of thin expedient, every such document will become an edicational lewwon, and the people will bocome familiarized with the system almost without karwing it This le, in general, the plan which, by a unanimoun vote of the inter national conference of weights, meanuren and moneyw, held in Parin in June, 1807, was recommended for adoption to the governmente of all nathone which have as yet taken no ateps looking to the intiontuction of the metric systom among them. It is to bo hoped that the recommendation will not be permanently diaregarded by any.

While these pages are passing through the press, there has been received a compendious treatise on the metric nystem, prepared by Prof. Leone Leei, of London, Honorary Secretary of the Metric Committee of the British Aasociation, and published in June, 18:1, from which nre derived some additional facts in regurd to the progress of metrological reform. The most importans of these relaten to British India. It is atated that, by an act paseed in 1870 with the approval of the home gov. ernment, the kilogramme is adopted as the onit of weight in India, and the metre as the unit of length ; and the Governor-ieneral in Council is empowered to canse the new weights and zneasures to be used by any government office or municipal body or railway company; and tu require that, after a date to be fixed, these weights and measures shall in every district be the basis of all deallugs and contracts in any specified business or trade.

In Wurtemberg, Bavaria and Baden, addisional progress has been made by laws, initiated or passed in 1868 and 1860, toward the introduction of the metric syatem in full.

In Roumania, the metric system has been established by law since Jan. uary 1st, 1805.

On the American continent, the metric system has been established in the Republic of Equador since 1856, and in Peru since 1863. In Venesuela, the government proposed to Congress the introduction of the sys. tem as long ago as 1856.

From the report of the International Conference of 186:, on weights and measures and coinage, it appears that Turkey has Liven a metric value to her nait of length, the Turkish archine having been made eyual to three-fourths of a metre.

From Prol. Levi's work, and from other sonrees, are derived the follow. ing numbers, representing the popalations which have adopted the metri
system in full, and those which have adopted metric values for their units.

IV. In Sweden (population [1867] 4,195,681) and Norway ([1867] $1,701,478=$ total $5,897,159)$ the decimal division has been adopted, without as yet the metric values.

As the peoples in the second class above may be regarded as committed to the ultimate adoption of the metric system in full, we may count as already enlisted on this side of the question, a total of about $420,000,000$.

On the 26th of July, 1871, an act making the metric systom of weights and measures henceforth compulsory in Great Britain, was lost by a majority of only five votes in the House of Commons.

AnTICLE 509. The metric system of weights and measures adopted for international purposes.
510. The metric system to be employed in negotiations and intercourse between governments.
511. Customs duties to be levied by metric weight and measure, and postal tariffs to be regulated by metric weight.
512. Standard units of length and of weight.
513. Copies of the standards to be made and carefully preserved, as standards of verication.
514. Working standards, or standards for daily use, to be constructed and periodically verified.
515. Standard measures of eapacity.
616. Certain demominations, not deeimally related to tho units of length, capacts. nurface and welght, to be allowed.

The metric system of wocights and measures adopted for international purposes.
509. All contracts for the purchase of movable prop. erty of any description, and all accounts rendered for the sale or delivery of such property, when the parties to the transactions belong to different nations, shall be expressed, as to the quantities specified, in denominations of the system of weights and measures known as the metric system; and the denominations of such metric system named in the following tables shall be taken as equivalent to the values set opposite to them, in the denominations of the several systems of national weights and measures therein named.

Tables exhibiting the Matric Equiealents of the principal Units of Weight and Measure at present in use in the cirilized world.


#### Abstract

Note. From these Tables are omitted the names of all countries in whlch the metric system of welghts and measures already prevalls. The authority malaly relled on in computing these equivalents has been Alexanden's "Dictionary of Weights and Measurce." Balthmore, 1850 ; a work of remarkable comprehensilvemess and slogular accuracy. U'se has also been made of the "Rapports of Proce-serbawe du Comite des Polds et Menures et des Monnaies," Paris, 1807; and of the " Second Report of the Stand-ards-Commiation" of the British Parlisment, London, 1809.


1. Equitatents of Cinits of Wriphe.

| Country. |  | Non-metric Čnits Io MetricUnals. |  | Metric Conle In Non. Yetrie Unde. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria |  | 1 Prund. $\ldots \ldots=0.36004$ | kilog. | 1 Kllogramme | $=1.20 \% \mathrm{Pr}$. |
| Baden. |  | 1 Pfuad. . . . $\quad$. $=0 \cdot 500$ |  |  | $=2000 \mathrm{Pr}$. |
| Bavaria |  |  | - | 1 - | $=2000 \mathrm{Pr}$. |
| Denmark |  | 1 Pund. .... $=0.500$ | " | 1 - | $=2000$ P. |
| Prusela ..... |  | 1 Pfund $. . .0 .0=0.500$ | " | 1 - | $=2000 \mathrm{Pr}$. |
| Russla. |  | 1 Founte..... $=0.4090$ | , | , | $=9.465 \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Saxony |  | 1 Prund. . . . . $=0.500$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $=2000 \mathrm{Pr}$ |
| Sweden.... |  | 1 Skalpund. $\ldots=0.42514$ 1 Wrre | " | 1 \% $\quad$ - | $=23 \mathrm{skg}$ |
| Switzerland |  | 1 Livre 1 Pung (avolit.) $=0$ 0 4iNs | $\because$ | 1 | $=12006 \mathrm{l}$ $=82006$ |
| Wursembers |  | 1 Pfund. ..... $=0.500$ | * | 1 | $=8000 \mathrm{Pr}$ |



I'inerary Measures.

| Country. | Non-Metric | nits in | Metric Ünite. | Metric Unifs | in Non-Metric Un |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria... ... | 1 Meile $=$ | 7.53617 | Kilometres .. | 1 Kilometre 1 Mile-Metric |  |
| Baden | 1 Meile $=$ | 5.05765 8.8689 | Miles-Metric. | 1 Milc-Metric 1 Kilometre | $\begin{aligned} & =0.1977 \\ & =0.1125 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | = | 5.9253 | Miles-Metric. | 1 Mile-Metric | $=0.1687$ |
| Bararia | 1 Meile | $7 \cdot 4259$ | Kilometres.. | 1 Kilometre | $=0.1847$ " |
|  | = | 4.9506 | Miles Metric. | 1 Mile-Metric | $=0.2021$ |
| Denmark .... | 1 Mill | 7.5316 | Kilometres.. | 1 Kilometre | $=0.1327 \mathrm{Miil}$. |
| Great Britain. | 1 Mle | $5 \cdot 0211$ 1.90 .31 | Miles-Metric. | 1 Mile Metric 1 Kilometre | $=0.1940$ $=0.6214$ Mile. |
|  | 1 Mile | $1 \cdot 100.3$ $1 \cdot 3729$ | Kllometres.. | 1 Kilometre <br> 1 Mile-Mefric | $\begin{aligned} & =0.6214 \text { Mile. } \\ & =0.9321 \end{aligned}$ |
| Prussia | 1 Meile | 7.3325 | Kilometres.. | 1 Kilometre | $=0.1328$ Meilc. |
|  | 1 Viersta = | 5.0217 | Miles-Metric. | 1 Milc-Mctric | $=0.1992 ~$ |
| Russis. | 1 Viersta = | 1.06578 0.71119 | Kilumetres.. Miles-Metric. | 1 Kilometre <br> 1 Mile-Metric | $\begin{aligned} & =0.9374 \text { Viersta. } \\ & =1.4061 \end{aligned}$ |
| Saxony . .... | 1 Melle $=$ | 6.7985 | Kllometres.. | 1 Kilonnetre | $=0.1472$ Meilc. |
| Sweden | 1 Mi1 = | $4 \cdot 3297$ | Miles-Metric. | 1 Mile-Metric | $=0.2208$ |
|  | $1 \mathrm{Mil}=$ | 10.6593 | Kilometres.. | 1 Kilometre | $=0.0933 \mathrm{Mi1}$. |
| Switzerland. | 1 Licue $=$ | 4.8000 | Kilometres.. | 1 Mife-Metric | $=0.1407$ $=0.2083$ Lieuc. |
|  | = | 3.2009 | Miles-Metric. | 1 Mlle Metric | $=0.8125$ |
| United States | 1 Mile | $1 \cdot 6033$ | Kilometres.. | 1 Kllometre | $=0.6214$ Mile. |
|  |  | 1.0723 | Miles-Metric. | 1 Mile-Metric | $=0.9321$ |
| Wurtemberg. | 1 Melle $=$ | 74074 | K!lometren.. | 1 Kilometre | $=0.1850$ Melle. |
|  | $=$ | $4 \cdot 9333$ | Miles-Metric. | 1 Mile-Metric | $=0.2025 \quad$ " |

III. Equiralents of Linits of Liquid Capacity.

Conntry. Non-Metric Units in Metric Units. Metric Units in Non-Metric Cults.

| Austria | 1 Mase | $=1.415$ Litres | 1 | J.itre | $=0.7057 \mathrm{M}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baden | 1 Maan | $=1.500{ }^{\circ}$ | . 1 | .4 | $=0.646767$ M. |
| Mavaria | 1 Manasanne | $=1009 \%$ | , | * | $=0.3355 \mathrm{Msk}$. |
| Denmark | 1 Potte | $=0.96 \%$ | 1 | $\cdots$ | $=1.0332 \mathrm{I}$. |
| Great Britain. | 1 Gallon | $=4.5104^{\circ}$ | . 1 | * | $=0.2202$ Gials. |
| Pru*ala | 1 Quart | $=1.1449$ " | 1 | $\cdots$ | $=0.853{ }^{\text {a }}$ Qts. |
| Rusela | 1 Stuft | $=1.53 \% 4^{\prime}$ |  | * | $=0.6 \mathrm{mas} \mathrm{S}^{5} \mathrm{St}$. |
| Saxouy | 1 Kanne | $=0.93 \%^{*}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | . 1 | - | $=1.06 i 8 \mathrm{~K}$. |
| Sireden | 1 Nianua | $=2.6143 *$ | 1 | * | $=0.821 \mathrm{~K}$. |
| Sultrutinnd. | 1 Pot | $=1.5100{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $=0.8047 \mathrm{P}$. |
| Cinited states | 1 Gallon | $=8.8872{ }^{\circ}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $=\mathrm{C} \cdot 2612 \mathrm{Cel3}$. |
| Wurtemberg.' | 1 Mass | $=1.3371{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | " | $=0.5444 \mathrm{M}$. |

IV. Equicatents of Twite of Dry Casarity.


Measures of surface and molidity are in general derived from the meas. ures of length, and need not be presented here. Agrarian measuren concern local pmpalations chiffly, and have not an important international interest. Wherever the metric system is introduced, the units of agrarian measure now in use will, in the conrse of time, be saperseded: but it is not indispensable that they should be interfered with by legisla. tion immediately.

The metric system to be employed in negotiations and intercourse betzceen governments.
510. In all negotiations, treaties and diplomatic communications of every description, between the governments of different nations, in which it shall be necessary to express quantities by weight, or by measures of length, surface, capacity or solidity, the terms of the metric system shall be employed for the purposes of such expression.

Customs duties to be levied by metric veight and measure, and postal tariff's to be regulated by metric weight.
511. If, among the nations parties to this Code, there be any which shall continue to maintain their natioral and non-metric systems of weight and measure for purposes of domestic trade and business, such nations shall nevertheless allow and require customs duties to be levied in their ports of entry by metric weight and measure, and shall conform the tariffs of weights of
mailable matter in their post-offices to the denominations of metric weight.

Standard units of length and of weight.
512. The unit of length of the international metric system is declared to be the length, at the temperature of melting ice, of the platinum metre-bar deposited at the palace of the Archives in Paris on the 4th Messidor of the year VII. of the French Republic, by the international committee appointed to fix the length of the definitive metre, and still there preserved. The unit of weight of the same system is declared to be the weight of the platinum kilogramme deposited at the Archives on the same occasion, by the same committee.

Copies of the siandards to be made and carefully preserved, as standards of verification.
513. The governments of the nations parties to this Code shall cause copies of the standard units of length and of weight to be constructed and accurately compared with the prototypes in the Archives at Paris, which copies shall be carefully kept in such secure place and in charge of such officers as the several governments may appoint, to be used at distant intervals of time for the verifications hereinafter described, and for no other purpose.

Working standards, or standards for daily use, to be constructed and periodically verified.
514. Copies of the prototype standard units shall be also constructed and accurately compared with the standards of verification provided for in the preceding article, which copies shall be used in the preparation of subordinate standards to be deposited in the principal towns, provinces or districts of each country, for the comparison and regulation of the weights and measures in immediate use among the people. These copies shall be preserved and used with extreme care ; and at intervals of time, to be fixed by law, they shall
be compared with the standards of verification, in order that any alteration which may have been occasioned by use may be detected and allowed for.

Slandard measures of capacity.
515. The metric measures of capacity, being immediate derivatives of the linear measures, may be rerified by the bulk in cubic centimetres, or the weight in milligrammes, of distilled water which they will hold at a certain temperature. The government of each nation shall establish a system of verification of such measures, with the advice of scientific and practical experts in metrology.

Certain denominations not decimally related to the units of length, capacity, surface and weight, to be allowoed.
516. It shall be lawful to use, in ordinary transactions and descriptions, the following non-metrical denominations, with the values severally attacked to them :

## Weights.

1 ounce $=$ to kilogramme -50 grammes.
1 pound $-\frac{1}{2}$ kilogramme $=500$ grammes.
1 quintal -100 pounds -50 kilogrammes.
1 ton $-2,000$ pounds $-1,000$ kilogrammes.
Mcasures of Length.
1 foot -10 inches $=30$ centimetres $=3$ decimetres.
1 rod -5 metres.
Itinerary Measure.

$$
1 \text { mile }-5,000 \text { feet }-1,500 \text { metres }-300 \text { rods. }
$$

## TITLEXXII.

## LONGITUDE AND TIME.

The use of geographical coordinates, for the purpose of fixing the positions of places upon the earth's surface, was first suggested by Hipparchus.* The method seems to have been first practically applied by Marinus, of Tyre, a geographer known to us only by the citations of his work in P'olemy. Claudius Ptolemy, of Alexandria, who flourished toward the middle of the second contury of our era, presented, in his treatise on geography, a pretty full synopsis of the knowledge of his time in regard to this subject, many of his pages consisting simply of dry details of the latitudes and longitudes of particular places. For latitudes, the equator furnishes a natural circle of reference. For longitudes, any meridian may serve as a zero: but in the early history of geographical science, it was thought. adrisable, and it then seemed possible, to choose such a prime meridian as should allow all longitudes to be measured in a common direction. In the time of Ptolemy, the limit of the habitable world toward the west was supposed to lie in the group of islands called the Fortunate Islands, now known as the Canaries. Through this group he accordingly supposed his first meridian to pass : but its position was apparently defined only by its presumed distance from Alexandria, so that the meridian of Alexandria must be regarded as his actual meridian of reference.

As. in the prozress of centuries, gengraphical knowledge extended and new geographers arose, new meridians were adopted. In the construction of maps and charts, it was natural that authors should pass their meridians of reference through well-known places; as, for instance, the capitals, or chicf towns of their own countries. The progress of astronomy contributed moreover to the multiplication of meridians of reference, since convenience would suggest that the tables founded on actual observation should be conformed to the local time at the observatory.
During what are commonly called the dark ages in Europe, astronomy was cultivated chieffy by the Arabians, $\downarrow$ whose tables, some of them, are said to have possessed much merit. The first European astronomical tables of importance were those which were prepared in the latter part of the thirteenth century, (published, however, only in 1483.) under the auspices of Alphonse X., King of Castile, and which are known by his name.; These were adapted to the meridian of Toledo. 'The tables of

[^58]Coperalens, In the aixteenth century, were conformed to the meridian of Craenw. These were, somewhat later, improred and ropublisliced by Reinhold, under the name of the Prutenic or Prusaian Tablew. The al. phonsine, Copernican and Prutenic tableo wero all tounded upon aneteat and imperfect obsorrations. They werv followed by a variety of othere. mootly dedueed from them; but the whole of these were superseded. carly in the merenteenth century, br the publication of the famous tables. called the lindolphine Tables. In which wroe presented the results of the long and laboriona nbeorvations of Tycho Brahe, reduced and arranged by the celebrated Kepler. The meridian of these zablee wat that of Uranibnurg, Brahe's obeerratory, In the Esland of Huena. Bimultaneoualy with these, and later, appeared the tablew of Longomontanus. (1054.) and of Reinhart, ( 1030, ) referred to the meridian of Copenhagen: of lape. bery, (1032.) reforred to the meridian of Goes; of Reinert. (1659.1 meridian of Pisa; of Goldmeyer, (1030.) meridian of Nuremberg : of Bul. lialdus, (1045.) meridian of Umniboarg: of John Newton, (1657,) meridian of Iondon; of Count de Pagan, (1657, meridian of Parian: of Street, (1661.) merilinn of Lonton: of Lever, (163).) meridian of Rome; of Wing, (1009,) meridian of Iondon; of De la Hire, (1087.) metidian of Paris; of Haller, (1740.) meridian of Oreenwich; of Lacallle, (1738.) and of Ialands, (1759.) meridian of Paris; and many others.

Bealden the general tablea here referred to, there were publiahed in many places, from a very early period, ephemerides of the morements of the principal heavenly bodiea. Montucla* enamerates fitty or more publications of this kind. referred to a variety of meridians, as Vienna, Ulm. Berlin, Nuremberg, Venice. Bologna; Augsburg. Rouen, Dantzig. Paris, London, efc. These publications were generally intended to corer a series of years, and were not periodical. Others, however, were resued annually, the earllest of which, computed for the meridian of Paris, ap. peared in Paris in 1678, under the name of the "Connaisaance des Temps." Thls has since been uninterruptedly continued to the present time. A similar publication, which also still continnes, was commenoed in Beriln, In 1760, under the title of the "Astronomioches Jahrbueh." Anothar an. nual of the name character appeared in Vienna, in 1757. and atill another, in Mlian, in 1795. The annual ephemeris, howerer, which has had the widest circulation, and has moxt largely contributed to the uses of narl. gation, has been the "British Nautical Almanac," which made its first appearance in 1707, under the editorial auspices of the celebrated Nas. kelyne. This la computed for the meridian of the Roynal Obserratory, at Greenwich. Since about the year 1850, there has been also publishad an American work of slmilar character, under the name of the "American Nautical Almanac."
In so far as the dirensity of the meridians employed in tablew, epheme ridec, mape and charts, affects only the conrenlence of astronomers or scientife geographers, it ia a matter of comparatiorly emall haportance. In practical narigation, the exse is very different. To the narigator, aim.

[^59]plicity is of the highest importance: not only because computations at sea should be unembarrassed by any unnecessary multiplication of figures, but because diversity in the expression of the positions of the places on the earth's surface, tends to confusion of thought and to pos. sible error. Notwithstanding this, there has hitherto been no successful attempt to establish uniformity in the construction of natical charts and tables. It is possibly true that the introduction of astronomical ephemerides intonavigation has tended on the other hand rather to promote diversity than to favor uniformity. Lutil after the discovery of America, gengraphers seem uniformly to have followed Polemy in placing the first meridian among the Canaries. And though the Alphonsine Tables referred astronomical time to the meridian of Toledo, yet the same work contained a list of geographical latitudes referred to the original Ptolemaic first meridian.
Alout the close of the fifteenth century, a great impulse was given to ocean navigation by the discovery of the western continent; and in consequence of the establishment by Pope Alexander VI., in 1494, of the famous line of demarcation between the Spanish and the Portuguesean imaginary line drawn three hundred and seventy leagues westward from the Azores, the geographers and hydrographers of those nations began to adopt the meridian of these islands as the first meridian of their charts. This is seen in the maps of Juan de la Cosa, given by Von Humboldt, in his Examen Critique-maps constructed about the close of the fifteenth century, and dated A. D. 1500. But the exact position of this meridian was not determined by local observation. It seems rather to have been deduced by an approximate computation or estimate of its distance west from Lisbon or Cadiz. In progress of time, the English began to use the meridian of London, and later, of Greenwich, and the French, that of Paris; while the Dutch, by the advice of their distinguished countryman, Simon Stevin, commenced, about 1600, referring their nautical longitudes to the Peak of Teneriffe.

During the sisteenth century, also, Gerhard Kauffmann, (Mercator,) the nuthor of the projection which bears his name, and which has been found practically so valuable, placed the first meridian of his charts in the island, Del Corvo the northernmost and smallest of the Azores, for the reason assigned that the magnetic line of no variation passed at that time through it.

The confusion arising out of so great a varicty of usages began at length to be felt as a serious evil. Cardinal Richelieu, the enlightened minister of Louis XIII., in the early part of the seventeenth century, resolved to make an effort to bring about a better state of things. He accordingly invited a congress of astronomers and mathematicians to assemble at Paris, in the spring of 1630 , to agree, if possible, upon a common meridian. As a repult of this conference, the island of Ferro, the most southwesterly of the Canaries, was fixed upon ; and a royal order estab. lishing this decision was promulgated in July of the same year.* Unfor

[^60]tunately, however, the exact longitude of Ferm, with reference to any point of the continent of Europe, was at that time unknown. The determination of fix ponition was never mado by authority: asod at length, in 1724, it wan remolved to awounc it at $30^{\circ}$ Wrat from Paris. Horda and Pingrégive the longitudew of the canternmont and westernimot pointes. $20^{\circ} 17^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$. To name the inland, therefore, without naming a upecific polnt in it, was to leare the meridian still unfxed, even bad its general position been bettor known. At any rate, shis effort to entablinh uniformity wan productive of no practical result.

The abweuce of any recognized law, or any uniformity of usage on this subject, among navigatorn, still coutinuing toward the end of the neven trenth century, is lllustrated by the following passagen from the work entitled "L'Art Nariger." by Father Dechales, a work mentioned favor. ably by Moutucla, (T. I., p. G58.) for its precision and clearness." and which was publimhed in 1627:
"Les Astronomes," says Duchales, "prennent ordinairement pour premier meridien celuy du lien ou ils font leur demaire, et len Pilotes le Meridien du lieu dou ils partent.
"Len Anciens Geographes n'ont pan deu prendre pour premier Me. ridien celuy des dernieres terres vers l'Orieut ; parceqvila n'éntoient pas arrivez jusques au bout de ce cotela; qu'a cause la longitude dans le Ciel, se comptant de l'Occident a l'Orient ; celle de la terre se devoit prendre du mesme cote. Il estoit done a propos de le placer dana len terres lea plus Occidentales. Quelyues-uns des Modernes le mettent aus lalea Fortunéen, on a l'Isle de Fer, la plun Occidentale den Canaries. Lee autres aux isles du Cap Nord, comme a celle de Saint Nicholan. Mais cette diversite. dopinions est de peu dimportance: puisque nous pour. rons toujours prendre pour le premiere Meridien de nostre Navigation celuy des derniers terres qui nous avous veus, ou le premier Meridien de la carte de laquelle nous nous servent."

Since the perfection of the methods of determining longitudes by lunar observations, and by chronometers, navigators have naturally referred their longitudes to the meridians for which the ephemerides of the sun and moon are computed. Of the nautical ephemeriden now published, the English Nautical Almanac, the American Nautical Almanac. and the Counaissance de Temps are most used. But the American work employs, for all those determinations which concern navigation, the meridian of Greenwich; so that if, in the selection of a meridian to be recom. mended to the acceptance of all the world, we are to limit ourselves to a choice between the meridians already in use, we cannot hesitate to give the prefereuce to Greenwich as involving the incourenience of change to the smallest number.

It may be objected that the place of Greenwich on the carth is marked by no great and distinctive plysical feature. A treling that the place of the first meridian should be no distinguished, though it has been alwars more or less prevalent, has no substantial foundation, either as it respects the usefulness of such a meridian or the facility of its determination. That an island like Del Corvo is small and isolated, or that a peak like Tene.
riffe is prominent and conspicuons, might seem, at first thought, to add something to the claim of such a point to be taken as the origin of longitudes. But to the astronomical observer these circumstances are of no importance. The meridian of his observatory is marked for him by a simple trace: and this in general drawn upon the surface of an artificial monnment. In the selection of a common meridian for the world, there is nothing, therefore, to restrict the most perfect freedom of choice, so far at least as the mere configuration of the carth's surface is concerned.
On the other hand, it is in favor of the adoption of some meridian already largely in use, that there are in existence many laboriously prepared tables necessary to the computation of nantical ephemerides, constructed with reference to such meridians. all of which will have to be transformed, if a new meridian is adopted. This circumstance, and the additional one that Greenwich is familiar to a larger number of navigators than any other meridinn of reference, must be regarded as decisive in favor of that as a common first meridian, unless such a selection should be found to be attended with some countervailing disadvantage thus far overlooked. If such a disadvantage exists, it must spring from the con. nection of longitude with time.
The natural dity begins at any place at the rising of the sun; and as the sun is always rising somewhere, the day is always somewhere beginning. The "day of the month" expresses the number of times the sun has risen within the month, up to that day, inclusive. If a given day of any month, say the first of January, begins at sunrise at a given place, the same dny of the month will begin sooner in absolute time at places east, and later in absolute time at places west. The difference is one hour for every fifteen degrees of longitude, or twelve hours for half the circumference of the sphere. If, therefore, we suppose the first of January to begin for all places east of the assumed place at the sunrise next preceding in absolute time the sunrise of the same day at this assumed place, and for all places west of the assumed place, at the sunrise next following the same sunrise, we shall, by pushing the computation half a circle both ways, arrive at the conclusion that, in longitude one hundred and eighty degrees from the starting point, the first of January begins both twelve hours earlier and twelve hours later than the beginning of the same day at that point. This later beginning must be counted the second, if the carlier was the first; but the same consequence will not follow if the earlier was counted as the thirty-first of December. In this latter case, the count must be supposed to be changed, from the thirty. first of December to the first of January, somewhere cast of the given place, but not so far east as one hundred and eighty degrees. It is then evident that, if there is to be any uniformity in the regulation of the calendar of the month, and any exactness in chronological determinations, some meridian must be agreed upon at which the change of count in the monthly calendar shall begin. Such a meridian will involve to those who live near it the inconvenience that the same natural day will count a unit more in the month to those who live west of it, than to those who live east, though the actual distance between them may be insignificant. And
on thin account it is denirable that the meridian thus selected to mark the beginning of the day should lle as far as pmasible in the open deras

Sow it happens that the meridian oppomite to fireenwich fulfils this condition almost as nearly an aty which can be aelocted it criomes no portion of any continent except the extremity of Northomatern Siberlaan inhoopltable region, now peopled by mavages, and furapalite of eve: becoming an important portion of the civilized world. It roarme, then, lien among the inety islando of the great South nea : and it merely touches the castern angle of New Zealand, the obly habitable land of import ance which it approaches. Thin meridian seems, therefore, to be very favorably situated to serve the purpose of dividing the days of the cal. endar. The meridian opposed to Hamburg, or Altona, might jompibly be a little more mo. since it passes through Behring'n Straits, nearly clearing both eonsinents: and it leaves the larger portion of the Pacific islands to the went. Practically, however, the claims are nearly balanced, and the adrantages which Greenwich possenses in other respects have been pointed out above.

Though the natural day begina at nunrise, the astmomical day begins at the passage of the mean sun over the meridian of the place: and the eivil day begins iwelve hours earlier, or at the inferior culmination im. mediately preceding. Taking the meridian of Greenwich, therefore, as the first meridian of longitude, it becomes the regulator of time: No that when the sun passes the Greenwich meridian on a given day, the natse day is twelve hours advanced on the west side of the meridian opposite. but has not yet begun, and will not begin for twelve hours more, on the east side of the same meridian. This explains the provisions of the Code defining the day.

> Anticte. 51\%. The meridian of (ireenwich to be the prime meridian.
> 518. Maps, charts, nautical tables, se., how to bo prepared.
519. Public vessels to be furnished with tables and charts conformed so the meridian of Greenwich. and required to keep their logs in accordance therewith.
520. The Gregorian styic of reckoning to be emploged.
521. Of the length of the year, and of leap years.
592. The term "year," in contracts and written instruments, how to be understood.
523. Divisions of the year.
324. The day defined.

The meridian of Greenwich to be the prime meridian.
517. In the determination of positions upon the
earth's surface, by co-ordinates of latitude and longitude, the meridian passing through the observatory at Greenwich, England, shall be taken as the prime meridian: and longitudes shall be reckoned from that, eastwardly and westwardly, one hundred and eighty degrees, to the meridian opposite, or three hundred and sixty degress, to the same meridian again. And in all legislative, executive and judicial acts, and in public records of every description, in which the positions of places are defined, or limits designated, or boundaries fixed, by means of co-ordinates of latitude and longitude, the longitudes stated shall be the longitudes east or west from the meridian of Greenwich; and when longitudes are given in such documents, without specification of the meridian from which they are measured, they shall be understood to be longitudes east or west from the meridian of Greenwich.

Maps, charts, nautical tables, \&.c., how to be prepared.
518. All maps, charts, nautical and astronomical tables, and other publications designed for the use of navigators, which may be prepared and put into circulation by authority of the government of any nation, shall be conformed, as it respects the reckoning of longitude, to the provisions of the last article.

Public vessels to be furnished with tables and charts conformed to tie meridian if Greenwich, and required to keep their logs in accordance therewith.
519. All sea-going vessels employed, in any capacity. in the service of any nation, shall be furnished with charts, tables, and such other aids to navigation as may be necessary, prepared as required in article 518 ; and the commanders of all such vessels shall be required, in keeping their logs, to state all their longitudes, determined by observation or computation, according to the values of the same as referred to the meridian of Greenwich.

## The Gregorian style of reckoning to be employed.

520. Time shall be computed according to the Gregorian style of reckoning now prevalent in Westem Enrope and in America, according to which the current year is the one thousand eight hundred and seventy first since the epoch; and the first day of January of every year hereafter shall be taken to be the first day of the year.

## Of the length of the year, and of leap years.

521. Every year consists of threc hundred and sixtyfive days, except those whose numerical designations are exactly divisible by four, without remainder, which years consist each of three hundred and sixtysix days; except that, of the centurial years, or the final years of the successive centuries, only those as to which the number of the centuries completed is divisible by four, consist of three hundred and sixty-six days; and the other centurial years consist of three hundred and sixty-five days only.
The length of the tropical year is nearly three hundred add sixty.five days and a quarter, but falls short of this value by a fraction equal to 11282426 minutes. Julius Cessr, in his reformation of the calendar, which took place forty-six years before the Christian cra, dissegarded this minute quantity, and treated the year as being equal to three hundred and sixty-five and a quarter days exactly. Fach common ciril year being thus a quarter of a day too short, the intercalation of an entire day, or four quarters of a day, at the end of every fourth year, was presumed to maintain, with suflicient accuracy and permanence, the adjustment of the equinoxes and solstices to the places which they originally occupied in the calendar.
The error of the Julian year produces no very perceptible effert, when a limited period only of years is considered; but the same is not true when the period extends to sereral centuries. Eleven minutea aro 11.1440 has of a day. In four hundred years, this becomes 4400.1440 h of a day, which is equal to three days and oneeighteenth of a day. Or. If we use the more exact figures, given above, the error of the Jullan year, multiplied by four hundred, amounts to three days and iwelre onchundredths of a day. The Julian intercalation of one day in four years. therefore, displaces the equinoxes in the calendar by more than three days in four centurics.

At the time of the assembling of the Council of Nicen, A. D. 895, the vernal equinox fell upon the iwenty.first day of March. Toward the close of the sixteenth century, it was observed to fall on the elerenth.

In the year 1582, Pope Gregory XIII. published the calendar which is known by his name; in which. by adding ten to the count of overy day in every month. fmm the fourth day of October, in that year, inclusive, onward, he restored the equinox to the place it occupied in the calendar in the year 32:. This was a piece of reformation uncalled for in any interest either ecelesiastical or secular, and it had the effect of preventing, for a long time, the acceptance of his style of reckoning, and what is of more importance, of his rules for maintaining the adjustment of the calendar montlis to the seasons, by the Protestant nutions of Europe, and by those adhering to the church of the East. These rules constitute a truly raluable imporement, and their simplicity, no less than their importance, would have secured for them nuiversal favor and adoption at a very early period, in spite of the jealousies which were sure to be awakened by anything proceeding from liome which should bear the appearance of an attempt to dictate to the world, had they not been accompanied by the large and unnecessary change above mentioned, in the absolute reckoning of the day.

As things actually fell out, the Italian States (mostly), with Spain and Portugal, adopted the Gregorian calendar from the day (October 4, 1582, named for its commencement, in the Papal Bull. France adopted it two months later, calling the day following the ninth of December, the twentieth, and so onward. In the same year, the matter was discussed at Augsburg, in the Diet of the German Empire ; and the Catholic States of Germany adopted the new calendar in the year following.* The Protestant States, however, clung to the old calendar; and the consequence was that, in parts of the country where the population was generally mixed. there arose a good deal of confusion and discord. This state of things continued for more than a century ; but at last the Protestants gave way, and, in the year 1300 , the new calendar was introduced throughout all Germany, the day following the eighteenth of February being called the first of March. At the same time, the new calendar was adopted in Denmark and in Holland; and in 1701, it was adopted also in the Protestant Cantons of Switzerland, the day following the thirty-first of December being called the twelfth of January. The increase in count was now cleven days, instead of ten, because the centurial year 1700 had not been reckoned a leap year under the Gregorian system. England accepted the new calendar in 1752, the day following the second of September in that year leing called the fourtcenth. In the following year. Sweden did tho same, calling the day after the twenty-eighth of February the twelfth of March.

The Julian calendar now continues to be maintained only by lussia, and the adherents of the Greek church generally. Since there is no longer any possibility of securing uniformity of practice in the reckoning of time, but by universal acquiescence in the Gregorian calendar, it is greatly to be hoped that the enlightened government of the Russian Empire will not long delay the introduction of the desired change among their people.

[^61]The term "year," in contracts and verillen instru. ments, how to be understood.
522. Whenever the term "year" or "years" is used in any statute, deed, contract, verbal or written, or in any public or private instrument whatever, the year inkended shall be understood to consist of three hundred and sixty-five days; the half year, of one hundred and eighty two days; and the quarter of a year, of ninety-one days; and if, within the limits of any period so computed the added day of leap year shall fall, such added day shall not be counted as enlarging the number of days of that period.

## Division of the year.

523. The year shall continue to be divided, as at present, iuto twelve months, which months shall retain the names by which they are at present known, and each month shall consist of the saine number of days as are assigned to it in the calendars now in use among all Christian nations, viz:


And whenever the term "month" shall be used in any statute, deed, contract. verbal or written, or in any public or private instrument whatever, this term shall be construed to mean a calendar month, in accordance with the foregoing scheme.

[^62]and so on, no month would ever hare fallen short of thirty days, or l'ave exceeded thirty-one.

The name of Julius was given to tho month Quintilis, in honor of the great reformer. Augustus afterwards gave his name also to the month Sextilis; and the Roman Senate, in a spirit of contemptible sycophancy. stole a day from February, already too short, to add to Sugust, that the month named after the reifning Emperor might not be a less important one than that which had recelved its name from his illustrious predecessor.

The distribution of the days of the year among the months is, therefore, at present entirely anomalous. Moreover, the introduction of the Intercalary day of leap year at the end of the second month of the year, rather than at the end of the year itself, is a source of considerable inconrenience, especially in connection with the calendar of the church. The following scheme for the better distribution of the days of the year anong the months, and the transfer of the quadrennial intercalation to the close of the year is, therefore, presented as worthy of consideration.

The year to be divided into sestiles, each of two months. Each sextile to be made up of a first month, of thirty days, and a second month, of thirty-one days; with the exception of the last sextile, in which the second month has only thirty days in common years, and thirty-one days in leap years, as follows:

| First Sextile. |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { January } \\ \text { February } \ldots . . . . . .30 \text { days. } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | Fourth Sextile. |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { July ............... } 30 \text { days. } \\ \text { August .......... } 31 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | - | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { March } \\ \text { April }\end{array}\right.$ | . 30 | .. | Fifth | " | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Septembe } \\ \text { October. } \end{array}\right.$ | . 30 | - |
|  |  |  | 3 | $\because$ |  |  | ( No |  | $\because$ |
| Third | -• | \{ June | 31 | " | Sixth | " | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { December } \\ \text { Or, in lea }\end{array}\right.$ |  | " |

The day defined.
524. In order to prevent confusion of dates, in consequence of differences of local times, it is to be understood:

First, that the civil day shall begin, at each place, twelve hours of mean solar time before the passage of the mean sun over the meridian at that place, and shall end twelve hours of mean solar time after such meridian passage.

Secondly, that, at the moment of mean solar meridian passage at Greenwich on the first day of January, the day shall be accounted the first day of January throughout the world ; it being noon at that moment in the meridian of Greenwich, afternoon throughout all the one hundred and eighty degrees of longitude eastward from Greenwich, and before noon throughout all the one hundred and eighty degrees of
longitude westward from Greenwich ; and the same shall be true for every other day of the year: that is to say, the moment of mean solar meridian passage at Greenwich shall be that in which the day is of the same name throughout the world, whatever may be the degree of advancement of the day, by local time, in different longitudes.

So long as the entire exteat of bio known world searesly exceeded it longitude a thind part of the earth's circumference, there was no danger that an error of a day could bo committed in assizning the date of an erent. Nor was the possibility of such an error consideralle eren after the route to the Indies had been discorered by the way of the Caje of Good Ilope. But when the opposite route, by Cape Ilorn, had been succesafully explored, and the Spanish narigators, who had followed this course, met the Portugese, who had come the other way, in the Philllippine Islands, it was found that they differed in their reckonings of time by an entire day.

So long. however, as the enlightonment of the rorld was mainly concentrated in Europe, or extended oa the western continent but little bejond the Atlantic const, the possibilities of confusion in chronology. for want of a universally received definition of the beginaing and ending of the calendar day, were not great. The case is quite different at present. when the great islands of Australia are occupled by toarishing British colonies, and both shorea of North America are peopled by an enterprising saco, engaged in prosecuting extensire commercial enterprises in both hemispheres. It is becoming, therefore, a matter of greater importance erery day, that there should be established some universal rule for defining the ralendar day for all the world. The reasons why the meridian of Greenwich should bo fixed upon, rather than any other, for the pur. poecs of thia defiuition, hare been already assigned in the Article relatiog to longitude.

## TITLE XXIII．

SEA SIGNALS．
Anticle 525．A signal code to be derised by an international
To：526．The use of the international signal code to bo ar： 1 enjoined upan all ships，for all communi－ T\％：2：cations by signal，except such as may bo
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of a confidential nature．
527．Apparatus and printed instructions required for the use of the international signal code， to be provided．

> A signal code to be devised by an international committec．

525．Within one year after the adoption of this ＇Code＇，there shall be appointed a joint commission of compent persons，to fix upon a common system of signals to be used fer purposes of communication be－ atween vessels at sea；such commission to consist of nhee wimbers from cach nation．

minhe wse of the international signal code to be cn－ joincllupon all ships，for all communications by signal，rxcept such as may be of a confidential nature．

526．The signal system，fixed upou as provided in the last article，sha！ 1 be used in a！l sea－going ships， public or private，bearing the national character of any of the nations parties to this Code，for all signal com－ munications，except those which it may be necessary， in the public interest，to transmit confidentially．

Apparatus and printed instructions required for the use of the international signal code，to be provided．

527．Every sea－going ship，public or private，bearing the national character of any of the nations parties to this Code，must，before going to sea，be furnished with
> all the apparatus necessary to be used, in the employ. ment of the international signal code, for communications at sea; and also with full and complete printed instructions for the use of the same.

All signal exdes, whether for mea serrice or for land serrice, rest upon the same fundamental principlen. A signal is a demonstration of ame kind, having a conveational significancy, and designed to assiot in conroyling ideas from one person to another. Where distance Intervenes botween the persons communleating, the signals must bo addreased to the oye or to the car. In elther case, a diatinction may be made between elgnals which are momentary or evanescent, and such as may be mado permanent for any length of time, at will.

To the clase of ovanescent slgnals belong most of those which depend on motion. Some such may be seen in common life, in universal use; as, for instance, beckoning with the hand, nodding or shaking the head. \&e: and, in aystematle signal codes, the waving of tlagn, the flight of rockets, and tho report of fire-arms, possess the same character. Permanent, of mose properly, lasting signals are such as remain unchangod is percepthon daring a sensible period of time, which may be protracted at the pleasure of the exhibitor. Examples of these are holsts of Ilagr, or prolonged blasts of stcam-whistles or fog-trumpets, or attitudes assumed by the person himself who gives the signal.
The aimple motions, sounds or displays employed in signal codes, ard called elementary signals. The number of these is, in the nature of: thlage, limited. No code of any compreliensivences could be formed ${ }^{p}$, consisting of uncombined elementary nignals only. A few elements wifi," however, form a rery large variety, when they are associated together in groupe. Groupe of this kind are called combination signals. Such groupgit may be constructed in several modes; as, first, by combination proptfy where, from a given number of elements, say six, shere are formed fil somblages of a smaller number, as of threes, of which no iwo shalf tif alike in all their elements; scoondly, by permutation, where the setho combination is made to furnish as many slgnals as there are direfrep ? orders of suceession in which its elements can be disposed; and thirity by arrangement, in whicha very small number of clements may be made. to furnish a very large number of signals, by repeating one or more of the elements eereral times in the same signal, and differently disposiof the elements among themselves. (Myer's Manual of Signals.)
It may bo said, generally, that it is not desirable to emptoy a largo number of olementary signals in a aignal code; also, that tho pripetpt? of armagement applied to a fow elements will furniah more satisfaciofy results than permatation and combination only, applfed to a' 'ajods namber.
Codes of signals may bo formed to signify letters, tan whith (aso comp munications may bo made in any form of words, as by felesteph. or to signify numbers, which may then be employed to dealinate meestagt

vantage of the first system is, that it leares communication entirely free; and that of the second, that it conomizes time, by conveying many words thmugh a single number. A complete system should embrace both methods : the second, for that numerous class of communications the necessity of which is of frequent recurrence; and the first, for communications the nature of which cannot be anticipated.

The dot and line telegraphic alphabet illustrates the adrantage of lim. titing the number of clementary signals employed in any system. By tho principle of arrangement, two characters, or, at most, three, (a long line, a short one, and a dot,) suffice, in that instance, for the construction of an entire alphabet of signals, perfectly distinct from each other, and easily fixed in the memory: This alphabet, in itself, would form an amply sufficient signal code to cnable two vessels at sea to communicate with each other, by means of steam-whistles, or by the more or less prolonged exposure and concealment of any visible object.

There are already in use certain signal codes, which have obtained something approaching to an international character. One of these is "'The Liniversal Code," of the late ('apt. Marryatt, of the British Royal Navy; another, "The Code International," of Capt. Reynold, of Paris; and a thirc, "The Commercial Code," of the British Board of Trade. The first and second of these use signal numbers, as ciphers of signal communication ; while the thidd, or Commercial Code, uses signal letters, permuted in fets of two, three and four each, for the same purpose. (Myer's Mantal of Signalx, p. 51.) The code itself consists of words and sentences, classified according to subjects. Signals are shown by the required hoist of flags, cach flag being the recognized symbol of a particular letter. This method originated in 1850, and (as above stated) under the auspices of the British Board of Trade; since which time, it has been gradually introduced into the war and merchant marine of the principal maritime nations of Europe. As yet, however, it is but partially used in the naval or commercial marine of the United States, although, by a general order of the Navy Department, the code has been issued to all the vessels of the Cnited States Navy, together with the necessary signal flags.

The sestem of signals which seems to possess the largest capabilities of usefulness is that which is known by the name of the "Chronosemic Method" of signaling, invented by Benjamin Franklin Greene, Chief Clerk of the Bureau of Navigation, of the Navy Department of the United States. This system consists in employing measured interrals of time as the significant symbols, and using nudible or visible signals for the purpose only of marking the beginnings and endings of these intervals. Any convenient small interval of time-say one, or three, or five seconds-may be taken as the unit interval; then this interval doubled. tripled, quadrupled, and so on, will give the successive additional symbols necessary to form the code.

This system possesses several advantages. It permits the use of the largest variety of signal apparatus; since it is a matter of entire indifference by what means the beginning and ending of cach interval is marked. so that the indication is distinct. Thus, for visible sigaals, by day, the
exhibition and concealment, or the simple waring, of a Aag: of the subeti. tution for the flag of any brilliant of courpticunus object ; or even, at small dimances, a gesturo of the arm of the olgnal efficer: or, by night, the tlashing of gumpowder, the ancent of a rocket, or the dioplay and eclipme of a nignal lantern: and in time of fog. the fring of ahip's guns. the blowing of a nteam-whistle, or the monding of a trampet or bugle. may bo remortel to equally and finterchangeably, as convenience may saggest. It admits, in the second place, of a very large extenaion o! the circle of a vallable signal dintance beyond the practical limit which at prosent exists; making it possille, for instance, by toeans of rockets or guns, to conrey messages between vessels separated by ten, fifteen, or even twanty or more miles. To these advantages it may be added, that the chronosemic method, from the simplicity of sign-making apparatus which it allows, involves a nmaller necessary expenditure than any other: while, for fog signals especially, it has been found greatly more effectiro than any plan heretofore derised.

For these reasons, it should seem to be desirable that, in any system of sea signals designed for international use, the chronosemice methox, if not adopted to the exclusion of every other, nhould have an important place. It is better, nevertheless, that the details of the system should bo arranged liy men of experience, whose practical acquaintance with the merits of different methols entitles them to speak upon the sulyect with authority, rather than that they should te fixed by arbitrary ieginlation. This ennsideration has suggested the provisions of the text abore, which leare the precise form of the international signal code to be settied by a committee of experts.

The foregoing remarks apply to signal kystems designed for general or extended communication. Alarm-signals, of which the object is to prevent collisions, or to give warning of danger, may be much more simple; but in order that they may be in the highest degree effretual in securing the safety of vessels at sen, it is important that they shonld be everywhere the same. In the United States, tho Boand of Supervising In. spectors of Steamboats have adopted the following rules for fog hora signals:
" Whenever there is a fog, whether by day or night, the fog signals deseribed below shall be carried and used, and shall be sounded at least every two minutes, viz: steamships, ani all other steamers, consting and river, under way, shall use n steam.whistle; suiling and all other craft propelled by sails, under way, shall use a log-horn, or equivalent signal: palling ships, and every other craft propelled by sails, upon the ocean or lakes, shall, when on her starboand tack, blow one blast of her !og.horn. and when on her port tack, she shall blow two blasts of her fog-horn, at all times, at intervaln of not more than tivo minutes; when hove in, sho shall blow a general alarm ; when at anchor, she shall blow her ! og.horn. as at other times, at intervals of not more than two minutes. It shall at all times be the duty of steamers to give to the sailing vesact, or other craft propelled by salls, every advantage, and keep out of her way. Steamships and salling ressels, when not under way, or which shall bo anchored or moored in or near the channel or fairway, as aforesald, and
not in any port, shall sound the fog-horn, at intervals of not more than two minutes; and all stenmers navigating in a fog or thick weather shall sound their steam-whistleat intervals of not more than one minute. Sailing vessels shall at all times, on the approach of any steamer during the night time, show a lighted torch upon that point or quarter to which such steamer shall be approaching; and upon any craft navigating rivers without being in tow of a steamer, such as rafts, flat boats, wood boats, and other like craft, shall sound a fog-horn, at intervals of not more than two minutes; and all steamers navigating rivers in fog or thick weather, shall sound their steam-whistles, at intervals of not more than one minute."

## PAKTIV.

## PROVISIONS FOR THE PRESERVATION (O* PEACE:

## Anticic 598. Limit of permanent military force.

:39. Hyuipments, and military renerves.
350. "Time of peace " defined.
531. When militia may loe callevl out.

3is2. Notice of dismatisfaction, and claims of redrege.
Sib3. Answer to be given.
534. Joint High Comminsion.
635. High Tribunal of Arbitration.

S36. Fiach nation bound hy Tribunal of Arbitration.
537. Nations violating provinions to be rexisted by all.
538. Annual conference of representatives of nations.

## Limit of permanent militar!!force.

528. In time of peace, the number of persons employed at any one time in the military service of a mation, whether intended for land or sea, shall not exceed in number one for every thousand inhabitants.

Tho military entablishment of Europe, during peace, has, in mond numbers, $3,000,000$ of men, and when placed on a war footing. it swells to $5,000,000$. These men are all withdrawn fom ladustrial purnuites. where they could contribute the the comfort and wealth of mankiud. Their support requires the labors of as many more: mo that it may be set down, that the stauding armies of that continent impose upht the nations burleas equal to the labors of $10,000,000$ of able leodied men. The whole population of that quarter of the world in $240,(000,000)$. of which it is computed that one in five is able to do the full day's work of a man: that is, $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{E}, 000,000$ in all. Therefure, one fifth at leamt of the fower of furope is set axide to tuake ready for war. This is an unnecessary waste of force. No nation is lenefited by it: all are burdened. The burion can be taken off, by common consent. The only point to be cousidered is, the minimuin to which the form can tre reduced.

A large standing nomy is not only the enormous burden that it has been described, but it in a provicative to war. The arming of a nation should be looked upon very much as the arming of individuals. I mana may keop arms in him house, to be ased on ocrasions, but if be walke
ubrond，alway armed to the teeth，he speedily gets into a quarrel ；so with a nation．The peace of society would certainly be endangered by the general practice of wearing arms．It was once so．And since social mamers have been benefited by a general disarmament of individuals，it should seem that，for a similar reason，national manners would be bene－ titod by a like process．

Fixamples of partial national disarmament are not wanting．The treaty between the I＇nited States and Great Britain，made at the close of the last war between them，stipulated that neither should keep ships of war upon the great lakes that divide them．The treaty of Paris，which closed the Crimean war，provided for the disarmament of Russia，in the Black wea．
The whect of a military establishment is security，internal and ex－ ternal．The standing army of the United States is 30,000 ，giving one soldier toperery thirteen hundred inhabitants．Yet these 30,000 men are somttered ower a territory larger than that of any European State，and they have to keep watch of numerous Indian tribes，and to garrison many fortresses；a greater number probably in proportion to the population than those of any other nation in the world．It is true，that this country has no dangerous neighbors；but if a general disarmament should be alopted，the most powerfal European State would hardly be a dangerous neighior to the weakest．For the purpose of internal security，one armed guardian of the peace to every thousand persons should seem to be suflicient，acting in conjunction with the militia，which should chiefly be relied on for security against internal commotion．

The building and arming of fortresses could hardly be regarded with apprelunsion，inasmuch as they are defensive．Ships do not，it is true， fall within the same category，for they may be regarded as movable fortreses，but they are limited in their operations．To bind a nation not to build them and lay them up，can scarcely be considered essential to the security of states．

Militia should he regarded as the strong arm of nations，both forin－ ternal peace and external defense．For the support of the civil power， in the execution of the laws，no other force is so natural and proper． It is choap，realy and efficient．For national defense against external attack，it may，川⿲亻m amergency，be converted into formidable armies． The last war between France and Prussia has shown how powerfula force a citizen soldiory may be made．In France，the national guard has on many oceasions been the defender of order．In the United States，the militia has not only supported the civil power in executing the laws， but it has formed the nucleus of an army of volunteers of the most effective kind．

Equipments，and military reserves．
529．The last article shall not prevent a nation from building and arming，in its discretion，fortresses and ships of war，or from organizing，arming，and，for not morr than one month in each year，drilling all or any
portion of its able bodied men leetwern twenty and forty years of age, as a foree of militia, to be called into active service, as provided in article 531.
"Time of peace" deflned.
530. By the "tim" of peace," mentioned in article Ses, is to be understood that period during which Austria, France, Great Britain, Germany, Italy, Russia, spain and the United States are at peace with wolh other.

## When militia ma!! be colled out.

531. Any nation may call its militia into active service to enforce its laws, suppress insurrections against its authority, repel invasions of its territory, or execute. article 537 of this Code.

See Constitution of the United States, Itr. I., sece. A. sulxat. 11.
Notice of dissulisficction. rend chaill of iodrose.
532. If any disagreement, or cathee of complaint. should arise between nations, the one aggriesed must give formal notice thereof to the one of which it complains, specifying in detail the canse of complaint. and the redress which it seeks.

## Answer to be given.

533. Every nation, which receives from another, notice of any dissatisfaction, or canse of complaint. whether arising out of a supposed breach of this Code. or otherwise, must, within three months therafter. give a full and explicit answer thereto.

## Joint High Commission.

534. Whenever a nation complaining of anothor and the nation complained of do not otherwise agree between themselves, they shall each appoint five m.m. bers of a Joint High Commission, who shall meet together, discuss the differences, and endeavor to reomcile them, and within six months after their appoint. ment, shall report the result to the nations appointing them respectively.

## High Tribunal of Arbilration.

535. Whenewr it Joint High Commission, appointed by mations to reconcile their differences, shall fail to agree. or the nations appointing them shall fail to ratify their ants, those mations shatl within twelve months after the appointment of the Joint High Commission. give notice of such failure to the other parties to this Code, and there shall then be formed a High Tribunal of Arbitration, in manner following : Each nation rewiving the notice shall. within three months thereaftor. transmit to the nations in controversy the nomes of four persons, and from the list of such persons the mations in controversy shali alternately. in the alphabetical order of thoir own names, as indicated in anticle 16. reject one altor another, till the number is reduced to seven, which swen shall constitute the trib. unal.

The tribmal thus constituted shall, by writing signed by the members, or a majority of them, appoint a time and place of meeting, and give notice thereof to the parties in controversy; and at such time and place, or at other times and places to which an adjournment may be had. it shall hear the parties, and decide between them, and the decision shall be final and conclusive. If any nation receiving the notice fail to tronsmit the names of four persons within the time proscribed. the parties in controversy shall name each two in their places: and if either of the parties fail to signify its rejection of a name from the list, within one monthafter a request from the other to do so, the other may reject forit : and if any of the persons selected to constitute the tribunal shall die, or fail for any cause to serve, the vacancy shall be filled by the nation which originally named the person whose place is to be filled.

Each nation bound b? Tribunal of Arbitration.
536. Every mation. party to this Code, binds itself to unite in forming a Joint High Commission, and a High Tribunal of Arbination, in the cases hereinbefore
specified as proper for its action, and to submit to the decision of a High Tribunal of Arbitration, constituted and proceeding in conformity to article 535 .

Nations niolaling pronisions, to be resisted b!g all.
537. If any party hereto shall lugein a war, in violation of the provisions of this Code for the preservation of peace, the other parties bind themselves to resist the offending nation by force.

Annual conference of representatioes of motions.
538. A conference of representatives of the nation-. parties hereto, shall be held every vear. bexinning on the first of January, at the capital of each in rotation. and in the order mentioned in article 16. for the purpos. of discussing the provisions of this Code. and their amendment, averting war, facilitating intercourse. and preserving peace.

War, in all its aspects, han little so recommend it, and almost everything to condemn it. Fiven the brilliant qualities of courage and melf sacrifice, which it often calls forth, are more than countorbalanered by the crucley, license and corruption, which are its inseparable concom itants. The history of every nation, after a great war, is a hintory of demoralization. The moral sense appears to be weakobed by the apec tacle of brute force contending with brute foree; the mensibilitios are blunted by indiference to suffering, and familitrity with death: the morals of camps are proverbially loose : the custotn of destruction in apt to beget the love of it: and that rogard for the rights and forlingo of others, which is the chief glory of civilization, is leseened, if not lost. in the struggle for life and mastery in fight.

There may indeed be in nations, as in individuals, a stagnation and corruption worse than death: and war, like pestilence and famine, may lwo used by the Almighty as a noourge to drive themaway, but that phives. not that war is a good thing in itself, but that there may be things that are worse.

Contention does undoubtedly sharpen the intellect, but there may to other kinds of contention than that of mere force. Imied, that contens tion which aims to overcome obstacles in nature, to outstrip in mants arts, to look deepent into the mysteries of the world, material and spiritual: contention in letters nad arts, in perery. philowophy and his tory, in agriculture and narigation, in the refiuements of life, the rul tivation of taste, and the elevation of morals: that is the contentiont which really purifien and exalts.
W., see that the waste and destruction of warare by ne meane the greatest of its evils, great as they are. We have before out eyre, at hio moment, the devastation of one war, which lastict but a fow montlis, but
which filled Germany with mourners, and covered France with wasted fields, and cities and villages battered and burnt. Half a year of war caused more sorrow and suffering, than a century of peace.

It is, therefore, to be assumed that any well considered scheme, which promises to lessen the number of wars, will receive the countenance of all good men. The scheme of the text is submitted, in the hope that, if it be not accepted, it may at least stimulate inquiry, and lead to something more acceptable, and more efficacions in preserving the peace of the world.
Whether it be possible to prevent war altogether, is the problem of the future, but it cannot be doubtful that the chances and the occasions of its occurrence may be lessened. These articles are framed with that view. They are not the result of mere speculation. Most of them have experience of some sort, greater or less, to recommend them.
The rule requiring a statement of grievances to be made out and sub. mitted to the government complained of, and requiring a definite answer, will tend to prevent wanton and unprovoked attack.
The rule requiring the creation of a Joint High Commission, which shall at least meet to discuss the differences, and seek to reconcile them, follows the precedent set by the United States and Great Britain, in their manner of treating the Alabama Question.

The submission to arbitration has already been stipulated in several treaties. It is an effectual and honorable mode of settling differences.
The manner of selecting arbitrators is suggested by the provisions of the Articles of Confederation of the United States, which were as follows:
" The United States, in Congress assembled, shall also be the last resort, on appeal, in all disputes and differences now subsisting, or that hereafter may arise between two or more States concerning boundary, jurisdiction, or any other cause whatever; which authority shall always be exercised in the manner following: Whenever the legislative or excoutive authority, or lawful agent of any State in controversy with another, shall present a petition to Congress, stating the matter in ques. tion, and praying for a hearing, notice thereof shall be given, by order of Congress, to the legislative or executive authority of the other Stat. in controversy, and a day assigned for the appearance of the parties, by their lawful agents, who shall then be directed to appoint, by joint consent, commissioners or judges, to constitute a court for hearing and determining the matter in question: but if they cannot agree, Congress shall name three persons out of each of the United States, and from the list of such persons each party shall alternately strike out one, the petitioners beginning, until the number shall be reduced to thirteen; and from that number not less than seven nor more than nine names, as Congress shall direct, shall, in the presence of Congress, be drawn out by lot : and the persons whose names shall be so drawn, or any five of them, shall be commissioners or judges, to hear and finally determine the controversy, so always as a major part of the judges, who shall hear the cause, shall agree in the determination; and if either party shall
neglect to attond at the day appointed, without whowing reaeons which Congrees ahall judge sufficient, or, being prement, shall rofuse to strike. the Congrews ahall procoed to mominate thome permone out of each state. and the Secrotary of Congrow shall merike, in behalf of such party, ato. ment or rofuning; and the Judgment and neatence of the court, to be ap pointed in the manner before premeribed, whall be final and conclusive and if any of the partion shall refume to submit to the authority of auch court, or to appear, or defend their cinim or caune, the court ahall never. thelews proced to pronounce montence or judgment, which shall in like manner be final and deciaive, the judgment or mentence and other pro. ceedings being in either cane tranamitted to Congrems, and lodged aunong the acta of Congrens, for the mocurity of the partien concerned: Prosided, That every comminaiouer, before he sita in judgment, shall take an oath, to be administered by one of the judges of the nupreme or superior court of the State where the cause shall be tried, "well and truly to hear and determine the matter in quention, according to the best of hia judgment, without favor, affection, or hope of rewand."

Why the several provisions of the text, or others of similar purport and design, mhonld not be adopted, and why. If adopted, they should not answer the purposes intended, it would be difficult to may. Niational pride would not be touched, national interests would not suffer. War is a national duel. Private dueling, that is, private warfare, has been either abolished, or reduced to a minimum. If it has been found practicable to make individuals nettle their diaputen in mome other way than by the rapier or the pistol, it in not easy wsee why any number of individ. uals composing a nation should not be brought to do the same thing.

# DIVISION SECOND. <br> PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW. 

Paikt V. Private Rigits.<br>Vi. Administhation or Juntice.

## PARTV.

PRIVATERIGHTS.

Title XXIV. Condition of Persons.
XXV. Property.
XXVI. Omligations.

The general principles which have been kept in riew in traming the Articles of this Division, and which are discussed in more detail in variong notes, may be indicated as follows:

1. Fach nation ought to be allowed to regulate all transactions affecting the ownership of tis own soll. Accorling to some authorities, the capacity of the person, as to taking or conveying, depends on the law of his nationality.-(Fulix, Droit Intern, ;) but this does not seem reamonable.
2. Fach nation ought to be allowed to regulate all transactions had within its limits, whether between citizens or foreigners, except such as affect the ownership of the soll of another nation.
These principles are commended alike by their recognition of the fundamental doctrine of the territorial sovereignty of nations, by their affording indiriduals conveniens means of knowing what the law is to which they must conform in every case, and by their being in harmony in these respects with the progreas and teadeney of modern jurixprudeuce.
The aucient rule, of oriental origin perhaps, maintains the morerelgnty of the laws of a nation over the personal capacity, and, therefore, over the transactions of lis own members, even when they are within the territory of another nation, opposing in this respect the serritorial cover
eignty of others. This claim, which even the nations asserting it do not reciprocally yield to other nations, has necessarily given rise to much contlict and uncertainty, for it requires a citizen dealing with a foreigner to ascertain at his peril the fact of the foreigner's alienage, the nationality he bears, and the law of that nationality respecting the personal capacity of the foreigner.
The general rule demanded by modern commerce, and which is grad. ually forcing its way into recognition in all civilized countries, is, that contracts, and other acts not affecting the soil of a foreign nation, are valid everywhere, if valid by the law of the place where they are made or are to be performed, subject to certain simple restrictions necessary to guard against the use of the law of one place to sanction wrongful evasions of the law of another, or prejudicing creditors in another. This is the only rule which alike satisfies the sovercignty of the State, and puts it in the power of every person to ascertain the rules of law to which he is bound to conform.
In the application of these principles, it is to be observed that, as to any transaction constituted by several acts done in different jurisdictions, the Code must furnish a test to determine which place shall be considered the one where the transaction is had, and that uniformity of rights and remedies should be secured as far as may be, without respect to the difference of forum.
The exceptions and qualifications of these leading principles will be considered as they arise, in the Articles of the Division.

## TITLE XXIV.

## CONDITION OF PERSONS.

Chapter XXXVIII. General provisions.
XXXIX. Marriage.
XL. Guardianship and mental alienation.

## CHAPTER XXXVIII.

GESERAI PROVISIONS.

```
Amticle 599. L.lberty.
    540. Foreign slaves become fren by entering free
            nation.
    541. Rank and mocial condition
    542. Personal capacity.
    543. Exceptlon.
    544. Personal capacity as to immorablen.
    545. Corporate capacity.
```


## Liberty.

539. Man is not the subject of ownership. Every human being is a person, that is to say, a being capable of acquiring rights and exercising them: and no one is subject to slavery or involuntary servitude except in punishment for crime, whereof the party shall have bean duly convicted.

Bluntsehli, Droit Intern. Codifie, \& 360: Constitution of the United States, 13 th Amendment. As to the duty of nations to perwons coming within their jurisdiction from states which maintain slavery, seo Chapter XXIV., on the Pehsonat. Condition of Fohelgenes.

Foreign slaves become free by entering free nation.
540. If, by the law of any nation not a party to this Code, the slavery of human beings is permitted, such slavery is local, and the slaves become free on coming within the jurisdiction' of any free uation or state, and such nation or state is bound to respect and defend their liberty.

Bluntsehli. Droit Intern. Codiffe, $ิ$ ̀ß $361,369$.
${ }^{1}$ This, by Article 309, includes the region withln the lines of an army or leet, as it should. Lieber's Instructions. है 2, © 43.

## Rank and social condilion.

541. The privileges of rank or social condition are local, and contined to the places ' within the jurisdiction of the nation by the laws of which they exist, and
affect only acts done and rights acquired within such jurisdiction.

This article does not apply to the rank or privileges of foreign sovereigns : ${ }^{2}$ nor to those of agents of international intercourse :' nor to such privileges of foreign rank or social condition as a nation may recognize by special regulation.'
${ }^{1}$ They are not confined the the teritury, but exist in the places which, by Article 303, are subject to extra-territorial jurisdiction.
${ }^{2}$ See Article 15, concerning the subjection of the sovereign or chief oflicer of a nation to the jurisdiction of another nation.
see Chapters XII. and XIII., concerning Public Misistens and Consuis.

+ Of course, it is competent for any uhtion to recognize foreign rank or privilege.


## Personal capacity.

542. The civil capacities and incapacities of an individual in reference to a transaction with living persons, ${ }^{1}$ except so far as it affects immovable property, and subject also, in the case of public funds, corporate stocks and shipping, to the provisions of articles 572 and 573 , are governed by the law of the place whre the transaction is had, whatever may be his national character or domicil, or the place of his birth.

This is the American rule, as laid down in the case of Polydore $c$. Prince, Ware's Rep., 402, (U. S. Dist. Ct., Maine, 1837,) on a review of many authorities: and it is submitted as the plain and reasonable rule, which will solve many vexed questions. See, also, Story, Confl. of L., Ş今 79, 82. It is not, however, the rule now recognized by European international law, although the tendency of opinion is in this direction.

The conflicting rules laid down by other authorities upon this point, may be stated thus:

1. The capacity of a person is governed by the law of the nation of which he is a member, even when he is resident in a foreign country. This is the rule declared by the French C'ode C'icil, Art. 3, an governing the status of Frenchmen, but there seems to be no corresponding rule as to the status of foreigners in France. (Westhace, Prioute Intern. Lawo, p. $3 \times 1$.) It is to be sustained, if at all, by the principle that every nation is the best judge of the capacity or want of capacity of its native sub. jects. But it is a sufficient objection to the recognition of any such rule in international relations, that, although a nation can within its own jurisdictiou maintain the rule over its members, it would involve grave
inconveniencen to make it reelprocally a rule for nations to apply to all foreignere who may be sojourning within their domitions Sre the case of Saul e. Hin ('reditorm, 17 Narten's Rep., 396 : Lieermare's Disertation on tho Contrariety of lates.
2. The civil capacities and incapacities of an indiridual are to be determined by the law of hie domicit.
Woolsey staten this to be the rule, and he saym," Acenrding to this rule, If a person changes hils domicil, he acquires a new jural capmeity, by which, in foreign parts, hin actions arn to be meanured. This is true universally, but in many cases the courts of the earlier domicil, especially If it were the person's native country, have shown a leaning, not to be justifed, towarls holding him under their territorial law." The reasons which justify this principle are, be says, "(1.) That otherwise extreme inconvenience would result to all nations from a perpetual fuctuation of capacley, state and condition, upon every accidental change of place of the person or of his movable property. (2.) That the person subjects himeelf and his condition, of froe chotce, to the law of the place whese he resides, by removing there or continuing there."

In harmony with the great increase of intercourse and the extended and important interests dependent upon the transactions of transitory as well as domiciled foreigners, it seems just to apply more fully the gen eral principle, that every nation has jurisdiction over all transactions within its territorial limits, and while removing the general disabilitien of aliens, as is already done in so many cases by treaty, it is proposed, on the other hand, to subject the transactions of allens to the regulation of the ordinary local law in all that does not affect the titie to immovable property situate in other jurisdictions.
The general rule will then be, that. subject to the jurisdiction of each nation over all property within its limits, the efficacy of a transaction de. pends upon the law of the place where the transaction is hat.

In this respect we admit the foree of the observation of Story. (Confl. of L., § 76,) that contracts ought to be governed by the law of the country where they are made, as to the competenee of the parties, and as to their ralidity, becanse the parties may well be presumed to contract with reference to the laws of the place where the contract is made and is to be ex. ecuted. Such a rule has certainty and simplicity in its application. Ser. also, Fergusson on Marriage and Dicorce, App., 361, eited in Story, Conf. of L., § 97.

Story, Conf. of L., p. 69, \&c., states the following rules as being best es tablished, or as at least having the sanction of such authority as firea them superior weight in the jurisprudence of Continental Eiurope.
The acts of a pereon done in the place of his domictl, in regard to property situated theroin. have no other legal effect elsewhere than they have in that place. Story, 84.
The personal capacity or incapacity attached to a party by the law of the place of his domicil. is deemed to exist in erery other muntry, ne long as his domicil remains unchanged, even in relation to tranmactions in a foreign country, where they might otherwise be obligatory.
This rule is lounded, according to Rodenburgh, upon the inconvenicoce
which wrold result from a fluctanting rule of capacity noponerery accidental change of place of the person or of his movable property. Story. p. $72, \leqslant 67$. It ought to be obsersed, however, that the inconvenience of a fluctuating rule is an inconvenience to the individual only, requiring him to ascertain and conform to the law of the place where he may be. It is the most convenient for facilitating commercial transactions and the alministration of justice. In case of a change of domicil, these rules would apply in the country of new domicil, and perhaps in every country except that of the original domicil. $\quad l d$., 尽 70 .

Shory, apon a review of the authorities, concludes that there is no gen. eral rule on the subject admitted by all nations, and that the exceptions enneeded by the advocates of the universal operation of the law of the domicil show that no general rule can be adopted which may not work ineonvenience to the interests of some countries, institutions or capacIties, and that the conclusion is that no nation is obliged to recognize the foreign law of capacity : that the place determines the validity of the act. subject to the right of each nation to refuse to enforce or recognize acts contrary to their laws or policy.

For a recent discussion of the doctrine of personal statute and real statute, see Exposé et critique des principes generaux eu matiere de statuts reels et personels d'apres le droit Francaise, par F'. Laurent, Revue de Droit International et de Legis. comp., 1869, No. 2, 244.
${ }^{1}$ Testamentary capacity is regulated by Chapter XLIV., on Wicls.

## Exception.

543. No transaction had by a foreigner with living persons.' is voidable on the ground of his infancy, except so far as it may affect immovables, if either the law of his domicil, or the law of the place where the transaction is had, sustains his capacity.

This is the provision of the Prussian law with reference to foreigners' contracts, stated by Westluke, (Pricate Intern. L., p. 383,) who recommends a similar provision for adoption in England. It is proposed here, in order to meet the objection stated by him, and in the case of Saul $\boldsymbol{v}$. His Creditners, (17 Marten's Rep., 596, 5 Marten's N. S..) in Burge's Com. on Colonial Lave. p. 132, and other nuthorities, of allowing aliens who are beyond the age fixed for majority by the local law, to elude the obligation of contracts on the ground of a foreign disability, with which those with whom they dealt could not be presumed to be acquainted.

In re Hellman's Will, (Lavo Rep.. 2 Equity, 363,) it was held, that a legacy bequeathed to an infant domiciled abroad might be paid when the infant came of age by the law of the testator's domicil or by the law of the infant's domicil, whichever should first happen, but in the mean time must be dealt with as an infant's legacy, according to the law of the testator's domicil, although, by the law of the infant's domicil, the guardian would be entitled to receive it.
${ }^{1}$ The rule is restricted eo as not to apply to wills.

## Personal capacity as to immovables.

544. The civil capacities and incapacities of an individual in reference to immovable property, are to be determined by the law of the place where the property is situated.

In the eonflict of authority among eontinental jurints on this poins, we take the rule, fully entablished in Eingland and America, that the territo rial lawa of each nation muxt be allowed to govern as to the capacity of thone who may take or trannfer title to lands. See Stary. Condt of $1 . .8{ }^{8} 430$.
For the Fresch doctrine an to the extent to which the law of the loce tion of limmovables regulates the eapacity of the permon in respect to immorables, and the righta reaulting from tranmactions in reference to immovables, see Fiwis, Droit Intern., vol. 1. p. 21.

Demangeat is of opinion that, when once it in clear that the law of the place where the immovablea are sltuated does not exelude the forelgner. in hils quallty of foreigner, fom the right todispose of or to reorive. thenonforthall questions of eapacity or incapacity must be determined according to the rules of law applicable to the person of the torelgaer (Fodir. Droit Intern., vol. 2. p. 122. note a.)

The objection th the rale allowing the law of the nationality to govern in reference to infancy. is again mentioned under another article.

The following modification of this rule is laid down by Story. Confl. of L.. \& 104:

Personal disqualifications, arising from tho principles of the customary or positive law of the nation of the foreigner, will ant be recognized in other countries where the like disqualiacations do ant exist.

## Corporate capacity.

545. Corporations and other artificial persons have no existence beyond the jarisdiction of the power by virtue of which they exist, and have no capacity beyond that which is conferred by the law of such power.

This is the settled dectrine of the Ameriean law of Corporations Bank of Augusta e. Earle, 13 Peters U.S.Suprem Ct. Rep, sist: Ohio \& Mississippi R. R. Co. ©. Wheeler, 1 Black, 296 : (hunty of Allegheny v. Cleveland, \&c. R. R. Co.,51 Pennsylarnia Rep. 228: and nther came collectal in Abbots's Digest of the Lave of Corporations, pp. 300, 307.

Several of the French treaties contain a provision to the eflest that corporations, companies and aswociations, commercial, moneyrd and in dustrial, as well as joint stock companies and mocieties of Itanited liabilty. formed and authorized aceonling to the laws of either sation, hare mad may exercise their righti and powers, and may appear in cours, whether to institute or to defend actions in any place within the territorial Hanits of the other nation, without any other condition than that of conformity to the lawe of such nation.

This provision applies as well to such bodies formed previous to the adoption of the treatr, as those which are thereafter formed.

Treaty of cominerce and navigation between France and

```
The Free ("ities of Iar-)
                lierk, Bremen \& Ham. \} Mar. 4, 1865, Art. XVIII, 9 De Clereq, 187.
                burg.
Grand Ducha of Meck.
    lenburg . Schwerin, -
    (extended tothe) (irand June 9, 1865, " XXI., 9 Id., 295.
        Duchy of Mecklen.
        burg-strelitz,
```

Turkish and Egyptian business corporations are authorized to exercise their powers in France. it De Clereq. 614.

Sec, also, imperial decrees of February 27. 1861, affecting the relations of France, Laxembourg and Portugal.

It is not, however, thought wise to take such a rule as a general one, but to leave corpmotions with the powers conferred by the domestic law only, except where such special treatios exist.

## CHAPTER XXXIX.

## marriage.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Article 540. "Marriage" defined. } \\
& \text { 547. Valid foreign marriages. } \\
& \text { 548. Void marriages. } \\
& \text { 549. Capacity and consent. } \\
& \text { 550. Requisite forms. } \\
& \text { 551. Public ministers and consuls may solemnize } \\
& \text { marriages. } \\
& \text { 552. Criminal offenses. } \\
& \text { 553. Erasion of home law. } \\
& \text { 554. Personal, marital and parental rights. } \\
& \text { 555. Polggamy. } \\
& \text { 556. Legitimacy. }
\end{aligned}
$$

    "Marriage" defined.
    546. The term "marriage," as used in this Code,
    means the union, voluntary and for life, of one man
with one woman.
Lawrence's Commontaire sur Wheaton, vol. III. See Redue De Droit
International, de., 1870, No. 1, p. 53.
" Marriage is one and the same thing, substantially, all the world
over. . . . We regard it as a wholly different thing, a different status
from Turkish or other marriagea among insdel nationa." Lord Brougham. In Warrender v. Warrender, 2 C'Wirk df Finnelly's Rep., 335.

The definition of marriage, an underatood by the law of nations, given in Rock o Washington, 19 Insissas (Kerr) Rep, 53, is as follow.

Marriage in the unton of one man and one woman, on long an they both shall live, to the exclusion of all cothers. by an obligation whithe during that time, the partles cannot, of thelr own volition and act, dis molve, but which can be dimolend only by authority of the State

And it is there added, that nothing short of this be meant when it is sald that marriagen, valld where made, will be upheld in other States. (Cliting Noel e. Evans, 9 Indiana, 37 ; Story's Cunflice of Labse, ch. 5. Wheaton's Lane of Nations, 137.)

See Hyde e. Hyde, Lave Rep., 1 Probate and Dicoree, 130 In thís case, the court were in error ta supponing. according to the textinony, that a polygamous marriage is valld in U'tah. By the act of Congremm of 186? such marriages are Illegal. See IAnerenceis Commentaire sur Whenton, vol. III., quoted in Recue de Droil International, de., 1870, No. 1, p. 37.

## Valid foreign marriages.

547. Subject to the provisions of Part VI., on the Administration of Justice, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a marriage, valid according to the law of the place where it is contracted, is valid everywhere, and the issue of such a marriage is everywhere legitimate.

This, In so far as it relates to the form of marriage, is the general doc. trine, supported by all authorities. And is applies as well to tranalent as to domiclled persons. 1 Bishap on Marriage and Dicorce, 亏亏̀ 353.

By the French law, the rule is modified by the application, ogether with it, of the priaclple that the lawn cuncerning the status and capacity of persons, control Frenchmen, even when reaident in fureigas coun. tries. Foltis, Druit Intermational, vol. 2, p. 36\%.

But there ls considerable disagreement of opinion as to what exceptions should be allowed to this geareral rule. The following exceptions have each the sanction of some authority :

1st. Polygamous marriages. These are excluded by the definition in Article 546. Such obligations of the married state as it may be proper to impose upon the parties to a polygamous union, whea the case artises in a Christian country, are provided for by Article $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{W} 5$.

2nd. Marriages which are incestuous by the law of the place where they are drawn in question. Ponsford e. Johnem, e Btatehford', $\mathcal{C}^{\circ}: S$ Cireuit Cb. Rep.. 51 : Story on C'onflict of Lanes. है s\%. But Pareons oug geats shat a question might be made whether it would be hedidincostuous so far as to avoid the marriage, if within the degrees prohibited by the law of the State in which the question arose, or only if it Le kindred who are too near to marry by the law of the cirilized world 2 Parsons on Contracts, 599. And Shelford (on Marriage and Dicoree, 12:. i, as well as Biehop (on Marriage and Dicurce, vol. 1, §3s9) tashates the
same opinion. Huberus, as quoted by Story, (Conflict of Latos, § 85,) saya, that "a foreign marriage would be void if it were a case of incest within the second degree by the law of nations." It is proposed to disregard this exception, beyond the cases which will be covered by Article 552.
3rd. Personal incapacity. Sarigny supposes (条379) that the personal capacity of the wife is to be judged according to the law of her home. Westlake submits, that from those bars which depend on what is called incapacity, whether absolute, as from Romish orders, or relative, as from degrees of aftinity, the partics must be free both by the law of the place where the marriage is celebrated, and by that of the husband's domicil; that if they are so free, their marriage will be good, always and everywhere.

4th. Opposition of parents or guardians. It is the opinion of Westlake, that the lex loci contractus may reasonably adopt any consent of parents or guardians, required for the marriage of either party in his or her domicil, as the condition without which it will not give binding force to the forms of the contract. The English courts, however, have persevered in maintaining that no other consents than those which the lex loci contractus demands for the marriage of its own subjects, are necessary for the marriage of foreigners celebrated within its jurisdiction. West: lake, Pricate Intern. Lave, 325.

Sth.' Informal solemnization. Savigny thinks, that where an inhab. itant of a State which requires religious ceremonies of a marriage, forms a civil marriage in a foreign country, according to its laws, this is not enough, on the ground that the laws of his domicil have a moral and religious basis, and have a coercive character. The marriage ought to be celebrated anew, according to the religious forms of the man's own domicil. Woulsey, Intern. Latuc, ş 74.

But the settled rule in England and the United States is, that the solemnities are sufficient, if conformable to the law of the place of solemnization.

Gth. Marriages contracted abroad, in evasion of the law of the domicil. LIuberus, cited by Story. (Confl. of Lace, 今ै 85,) says, that where persons belonging to one country, go into another to be married, merely to evade the laws of their own country, the marriage is void, although it be good by the law of the place where it is celebrated. Parsons inclines to favor this principle, although he admits the settled rule of England and the United States to be the contrary ; and so it is stated by Hent, (2 Cummontaries, 92.) 'The conflict might perhaps be reconciled, by making a distinction between evasion of the law of capacity and evasion of the law of solemuization. See Story, Conflict of Lates, $\$ 86$. If this exception were allowed at all, it ought not to prejudice the innocent party, where the intent of evasion existed in the mind of one party ouly. Ponsford c. Johnson, © Blatchford's U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep., 51.

Besides these exceptions, there are others, vaguely stated by some writers, such as that the lex loci contractus should not prevail, to the prejudice of another, or where it works manifest injustice, or is contra

Donas mores, of to repuganat to the mestled priselplen and poliey of the les fori.

The alx exceptions above meated are all that we deetn worthy of no tice. Mont of them should the dieallowed. The simple rule, which. If adhered to, will molve many troublesome questiona, and will tend to
ward harmonizing jurisprudence in, that, the etticacy of a transaction depends on the law of the place where it is hand. It is proposed to apply this rule to the subject of marriage, with as tew execptions as possible: and the effect of the provinions here presented will be only to except polygamous and incestuous marriagen, and theo mor only as they would juatify the malntenance of a perwonal relation within a juriwdiction which maken such relation a criminal offence.
' Where the validity of a marriage depends on the validity of a previous divorce, the rulen of Part VI., on The Abminintibation oy Jestice. which forbid certain divorces, may renult in an exception to the rule that the law of the place is the test of the validity of marriages.

## Void marriages.

548. A marriage invalid according to the law of the place where it is contracted, is invalid everywhere: and the issue of such marriage is everywhere illegitimate.

Biahop atates two exceptions to this rule. First, in the case of a victo rious invading army, which carries with it the lawn of tis own country. for the protection of perwons within its lines and general mage of dominion. Second, if parties are sojourning in a'foreign country, where the local law makes it impossible for them to contract a lawful marriage under th, they may marry in their own forms, and the marriage will be recognized at home as valid. Bishop on Marriage and Dicoree. Vol 1., §S 391, 392. The first is included by the form of statement sbove, and the definition of the "law of place" in Article 310 ; and the second exception should not be allowed.

Capacit!, and consent.
549. The last two articles include the age requisite for marriage, and the necessity for the assent of parents, guardians, or public authorities, and the conditions for obtaining or seeking their assent or giving opportunity for their dissent ; and to all other questions of capacity, except those dependent on the ralidity of a previous divorce.

The continental rule is understood to refer these questions to the law of the domicil of the party in question, or (as in the case of France) to the law of his or her nationality.

The English law applies lis own tests to determine the ralidity of a marriage abroad, of Einglish subjecte not domiciled abroad. Brook e. Brook, 7 Juriof, (N. S., tis : Shaw e. Gould, Lave Rep., 3 Howse of Lord o,
$5_{5}$; Fenton r. Livingston, 5 Jurist. (N. S., ) 1183 . But the Article above proposed is in harmony with the general rules in reference to status, proposed in this Code. And Article 552 avoids marriages which are contrary to positive law of the home of the parties when drawn in question there.

Burgc, after discussing the cases at some length, states the result of the opinions of jurists and the decisions of judicial tribunals to be : That the validity of the marriage, both in respect of the competence of the parties to contract, and of the solemnities with which they contract, is to be decided with reference to the law of the place in which the marriage is contracted : and if it be valid secundum legem loci contractus, it must be deemed valid in every other place, if it do not violate the law of nature, pmblic morals, or the policy or institutions of that State in which its validity is sought to be established. Burge on Forcign and Colonial Law, vol. I., p. 199.

It was held in Kinnaird $c$. Leslie, (Lavo Rep., 1 Common Pleas, 389,) that an aitainder inflicted in one country ought not to affect the validity of a marriage subsequently contracted by the attainted person in a foreign comury with an innocent woman who may not have known of the attainder.

## Requisile forms.

550. Except in the cases provided for in the next articie, those forms of celebrating marriage which are optional or obligatory for the members of a nation, are equally optional for foreigners, and equally obligatory for them unless dispensed with by the law of the place.

This is understood to be the generally established rule.
It might be deemed well to add such a saving clane as the following:
If, however, any religious ceremony shall be obligatory for citizens, any forigner who shall depose before a magistrate that he cannot conscientionsly submit to it, shall, after the completion of all other forms necessary, be admatted to marry any other foreigner or a member of the nation. by means of a written contract, signed by both parties, and deposited with sucla magistrate.

By the declaration of December 2tth, 1867, between France and the Grand Duchy of Luxemburg, for the purpose of simplifying the legalization of papers produced by the subjects of either country on contracting marriage in the other, it was provided that such documents certified whether by the president of the tribunal or a judgede paix or his deputy, should be received by the civil officer of the other country, and no other legalization should be demanded, except in case of doubt respecting the authenticity of the document.
lublic ministers and consuls may solemnize marriages.
551. Public ministers within the nations to which
they are aceredited, and consuls within their respective districts, may solemnize marriag's between parties either or both of whom are members of the nation of such officer, pursuant to such forms and under such restrictions as may be prescribed by that nation. Such marriages are valid, as if solemnized or contacted within the territorial jurisdiction of such nation according to its laws.

This Article insuggested by the Britiah Consular Marriage Aets ot 1849 and 1468, 12 and 13 Virs. 224. ch. 65: 31 and 3: Vice. 264. ch. 61 ; and the


Burge layn down the rule, that: The parties are exeuse. 1 fman conform. ing to the lex toet contractus, if they belong to a state, the rabjects of which form a eeparate and distinct communtry in the foraign muntry in which they are married, as in the case of the Brisinh factories eatabliohed in various parts of Eiumpe and Asia : or if they belong to the state which has taken hostile possession and is in the occupation of the sorciga country: or if ther belong to the state whose ambannad or is establinhed In the forelign conntry. In these instances the partion may cerlebrate their marriage neconting to the law of theit own enuntry Burge on Furcign and Colonial Laze, 1., p. 200

## Criminal uffences.

552. A marriage, though valid according to the law of the place where it is contracted, will not be recognized as valid in any country in which the circumstances of such marriage would render the personal relation between the parties a crime.

## Eeasion of home law.

553. The act of persons contracting marriage in a nation in which they are not domiciled, in evasion of the law of the nation or domicil of either, may be made a criminal offence, but the validity of the marriage, if consummated, and the legitimacy of its issue, shall not be affected thereby.

There is not wanting kanction for the opinion that such a marriage is roid. But Wealaike, (Pricute Interin. Save, J 323 , forcibly polnts ont the embarrasoment resulting from making tho validity of a marriage depend on so uncertain an element as the opinion a court may form an to the mo tive of a journey.

Personal, marital and parental rights.
554. Except as otherwise provided by this Code,' the personal, marital and parental obligations and corre-
lative rights of the parties to a marriage at any time, are governed exclusively by the law of the place where they may be, unless polygamy there exists.

This depends on the principle that it is within the jurisdiction of any State to regulate the personal relations of all persons within its limits.

When married parties go from one jurisdiction to another, their marriage status assumes the peculiar hue, which the law of the place, where they temporarily or permanently are, gives to it. Bishop on Marriage and Dicorce, S 40 i.

I See Chapter XXI.. on Domicil, Omginal and Secondary.

## Polygamy.

555. A polygamous union, though contracted in a polygamous nation, does not sanction the cohabitation or the divorce, of the parties, in any other nation ;' but the obligations and restrictions in other respects resulting from marriage, and the rights of property dependent thereon, may be recognized by any nation as applicable to the parties to such a union, in cases within its jurisdiction. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Hyde r. Hyde, 3.i Tann Journal, (N. S., Didorce Chses. 57.
: It is submitted that, for the purpose of such obligations, toward the public, as arise out of the relation, a polygamous marriage should be recognized. For instance, it should preclude the polygamist from contract ing a subsequent marriage, during the life of any existing consort; it should not exonerate him from the obligations arising out of the relation of parent and child. It seems proper, ton, that on the question of the succession to foreign assets, it shonld be considered as part of the law of the polygamous nation, whenever that law comes in to regulate the succession.

## Legitimacy.

556. The legitimacy or illegitimacy of a person, as deduced from the law of the place where a marriage of the parents was contracted, is a personal attribute, and does not affect the succession to immovables situated in any other country, which would not recognize the person as legitimate, if the marriage had been contracted in such country at the time when it was actually contracted.

Story, (Confl. of L... 933 b, \&c., ) regards it as generally almitted by for -ign jurists, that, as the validity of the marriage must depend upon the law of the country where it is celcbrated, the status, or condition of their offspring as w legitimacy or illegitimacy, ought to depend on the same law, especially if the parents were domiciled there; and there would be
amme authority for extending this rale to control the succension to real property in foreign States.

According to Steigny, the Inwn of the plane, where the birth of a child born out of wedlock actually takee place, excluaively determino whother ho can be legitimatel by mubuequent marriage. Sieigag. p. 258. Seharffuer. Sce almo, Story, Conft of L., g 93. e. But a legitimation of a subject by the roscript of his novereign. If effirtual according to the laws of the country where the permon legitimated has his dotalcll, tae the same virtue every where. Sarigny, p. 258.

## CHAPTERXL.

## GUARDIANSHIP AND MENTAL ALIENATION.

## Article 535. Natural and tentamentary guandians.

358. Judiclally appointed guardians.
359. Sanity.

## Natural and teslamentary guardians.

557. The natural guardianship of a father or mother' over the person of a child, and testamentary guardianship,' acquired or conferred according to the law of one nation must be recognized in every other nation; subject to the power of the courts to interfere in the cases prescribed in Article 634.
${ }^{1}$ By the law of some States, the natural guardianship of the mother is recognized, upou the death of the father, and this Article accordingly prorides for the mother's right.

- Testamentary guardianship is also included in this Article, because it stands in the place of natural guardianship. Westlate, (Pricate Intern. Late. p. 380.) however, is of opinion that testamentary guandianflifp depends on the same principle as judicial or statutory guardianship.


## Judicially appointed guardians.

558. The guardianship or other castody of the person or property of one not having legal capacity, created by a foreign court of competent jurisdiction, in the cases provided in Article 633, must be recognized and respected by courts of any other country into which the ward comes, subject to the power of the courts to interfere in the cases prescribed in Article 634.

This prorision is founded on the decisions in Nugent r. Vetzera, Lato Rep., 2 Equity, $\mathbf{0 4}$; and Townsend c. Kendall, 4 Minneaota Rep., 412, ex. tending it to other cases than that of infancy. In the first mentioned decision Vice-Chancellor Wood says: " Having regard to the present international law and th the course which all courts have taken, recognizing the proceedings of the regularly constituted tribunals of all civilized communities, and especially of those in amicable connection with this country, it is impossible for me entirely to disregard the appointment of a guardian by an Austrian court over these children, who are Austrian subjects and children of an Austrian father, merely because those who preceded the defendant in his guardianship have taken the course of sending them over to this country for the purpose of educating them, seeing that he is now desimus of revoking that arrangement. It would be contrary to all principles of right and justice if the court were to hold that where a parent or guardian in a foreign country, avails himself of the opportunities for education afforded by this country and sends his children over here, he must do it at the risk of never being able to recall them, because this court might be of opinion that an English grade of education is better than that adopted in the country to which they belong."

And in this case an order appointing English guardians was declared to be without prejadice to the foreign appointment; and that the foreign guardian as such should have the exclusive right to the custody and control of the infants, with liberty to apply for the removal of the children from the country.
In the case of Townsend $v$. Kendall, above referred to, the court say: That it would lead to great inconvenienco if it should be held that a gnardian could not exercise his authority or be recugnized out of the state, or locality of his appointment. It would embarrass the guardian in investing the funds of the ward in securities of other states, and render it necessary that he should be reappointed in every state or country through which he should pass with his ward in travelling, if an emergence should arise in which it became necessary to exert his authority. The court add, (referring to Story, Confl. of L., SS: 495-50i), " From a very careful cxamination of all he says, and the cases to which he refers, which have been attainable, we think the better rule is, upon principle and authority, in recognize the foreign appointment of a guardian, as creating that relation between the parties in this State, subject, of course, to the laws of this state, as to any exercise of power by virtue of such relation wither as to the person or property of the ward. Provision has been made by the statutes of this State for the manner in which foreign guardians whall act when they desire to sell the real property of their ward situated within this State. (Comp. Statutes, p. 423, 冬这 43.44 .) All that is necessary to obtain full recognition as guardian, is to file an authenticated coly of the forrign appeintment in the Court of Probate of the country where the land is situated, and the foreign guarlian is at once admitted to the same rights and powers over the real estate of his ward situated within the country. that are possessed by a guardian of our own appointment.' Consult also, Westiake, I'ricate Intern. Ianc, p. 380 ; and Johnstone c. Deattie, 10 Clark \& F"innelly's Rep., 114.

It wan held in New York Surrogate Cours, 1800. Biolley's Fistate 1 Tucker's Rrp., tis, that the usual treaty provinion an tonnuccession of for. eignem (Treaty between the E'nited Sitateo and Switzerlased, 1e50.) does not require a reejprocal recognition of fureign guardianm.

The above Arsicle in rextricted to the cane of guardiann appointed judicially, mo that the right of appolntment by will, which in many of the States is recognixed an existing in the farent, may be facluded in the pre vious Article mapeeting nitural and bestamentary guardians.
Surrogate Baadrond, in rtating the rule that foreign guardimas have no extraterritorial authority, nays: "The reason upon which a foreign guardian is denied any recognition of his title, in substantially thin,-that all his authority springs out of his official character : and a civil officer. as such, can, of necessity. possess no power beyond the limits of the wor ereignty by which he is appointed. Such exceptions as may exint havo been admitted not de jure, but ex comitate. The lez fori primarily prevails in the form and order of the administration of justice, and forrign law is only received so far as it is found consonan: with mound principle and public convenience-it is accopted on the baxis of international comity, and not because of any inherent right. The continental jurists go Surther, and insist upon the absolute right and title of the guardian ap. pointed at the place of domicil, wheresover the ward is to be represented: but neithor in England nor in the United States doen this doctrine prevail." Meloskey e. Reid, 4 Bradford's (Neic York) Surrogate Rep., 8 su.

- Woolsey, (International Late, p. 19.) states that, the guardian empowered according to the law of the want's domicil, which will unually be that of the deceased parent. exercises contol over the ward's property wherever situated. But in the case of immovable property the lez laci rii site may prevent such control of a foreigner, and it may be necessary to appoint a special guardian residing within the jurisdiction.


## Sanity.

559. The laws determining the lunacy or idiocy of any person are territorial only; and a decision that a person is a lunatic or idiot will bind that person and his movables, whether he be a citizen or an alien, only while he is domiciled within the jurisdiction of the country in which such decision was made, and will bind the immovables of such person situated in that country, whether the domicil therein do or do not continue, but will not bind such person in any other country, nor the immovables of such person situated in any other country.

See, however, Westake, Priente Intern. Lair, E 40?. who kays that " While the Englis! law remains as it is, it must, on principle. be taken as excluding, in the case of transactions having their seat there, not only a foreign age of majority, but also all foreign determination of sta:us or
capacity, whether made by law or by judicial act, since no difference can be established between the cases, nor does any exist on the Continent. Thus, an act facilitating the transfer of property vested in lunatics, can not be applied on the strength of a judgment, by a foreign competent court, declaring the person a lunatic; nor will the committee appointed by such court have any authority over the lunatic's person here."

# XXV. 

## PROPERTY

Charten Xill, General provisions. a

XIIII. Tramafer.
XLIII. Succesnion.
XLIV. Will.

## CHAPTER XLI.

GENERAL PROVISIONS.

Axticle 560. Property defined.
561. What things are property.
562. Wild anituals.
563. Real and personal property.
564. Real property.

565 . Land.
566. Firtures.
567. Appurtenances.
568. Personal property.
569. Property in possession, or in action.
570. Law of immovables.
571. Law of movables.
572. Local character of public funds, and cor. porate shares.
573. Local character of shipping.
574. Effect of matrimonial settlement.
575. Rights of property of persons married without a settlement.
5i6. Matrimonial property after change of domicll.
575. "Matrimonial domicll" defined.
578. A bandonment.

## Property defined.

560. The ownership of a thing is the right of one or more persons to possess and use it to the exclusion of
others. In this Code, the thing of which there may be ownership is called property.
'Ihis and the eight following Articles are Prom the Cicil Code, reported for Nete York. § 159, \&e.
${ }^{1}$ In another sense, property is the right of a person or persons, public or private, to appropriate a thing (tangible or intangible) to the exclusion of its promiscuous use by others. This has been also called domain. The word is here used, however, in its more general sense.

## What things are property.

561. There may be ownership of all inanimate things which are capable of appropriation, or of manual delivery; of all domestic animals; of all obligations ; of such products of labor or skill, as the composition of an author, the good will of a business, trade-marks and signs; and of rights created or granted by statute.

Wild animals.
562. Animals wild by nature are the subjects of ownership while living, only when on the land of the person claiming them, or when tamed, or taken and held in possession, or disabled and immediately pursued.

Real and personal property.
563. For the purposes of this Code, property is designated as either,

1. Real or immovable; or,
2. Personal or movable.

Real property.
564. Real or immovable property consists of,

1. Land;
2. That which is affixed to land ; and,
3. That which is incidental or appurtenent to land.
fhe existing rule of international law is, that the question whether an article of property is or is not an immorable, is to be determined by the law of the place where such article of property is locally situated. Story, C'onft. "f L.., 今. 44 i ; Forlix, Droit Intern. Pricé, vol. I., p. 121. The above Article, and the four following, which are taken from the Cicil Code, reported for the Stute of New York, are proposed here in order to secure a uniform rule for all cases arising under this Code.

Land.
565. Land is the solid material of the earth, whatever may be the ingredients of which it is composed, whether soil, rock, or other substance.

## Fixtures.

566. A thing is dermed to be affixed to land whon it is attached to it by roots, as in the case of troes, vines, or shrubs; or imbedded in it, as in the case of walls: or permanently resting upon $\mathfrak{u}$, as in the case of buildings ; or permanently attached to what is thus permanent, as by means of nails, bolts or screws.

## Appurtenances.

567. A thing is deemed to be incidental' or appurtenant' to land, when it is by right nsod with the land for its benefit:' as in the case of a way, or water. course ; or of light, air or heat, from or across the land of another.
'Smylen e. Hastingy, 22 Nrue York Rep. 21:, 292.

- Lampman e. Milkn, 21 Neve York Rep., 505, 511.
${ }^{2}$ Ackroyd o. Suith, 10 Common Bench Rrp., 164, 187.


## Personal property.

568. Every kind of property that is not real, or immovable, is personal, or movable.

Property in possession, or in action.
569. Personal property is of two kinds:

1. Property in possession ; and,
2. Property in action.

Property in possession can only be such as is capable of manual delivery.

Law of immorables.
570. The law of the place where immovables are sit. uate, exclusively rugulates and determines the rights of parties, the modes of transfer, or of charging or otherwise disposing thereof, whether between living persons or by will, and the formalities to accompany them.

As to the extent to which thim rule is adopted on the Continent of till rope, and among other States which have followed the Fropich (iode. an Mnlis. Droit Intern. Prief, vol. I. p. 119.

The form in which the rule is stated in Curtise. Huston. it loasyo Kep., 536 (approred In Oakey r. Bennetf, 11 Howerd li. s sepreme it Rep., 83. 45, an a clear and precise statement of a dortritie uniformity re mgnized by the American courta), is as follown

The validity of every disposition of real extate drpends upon the law of the country in which that eatate is situated

It was held in the case of Hutcheson c. Peshine (16 New Jersey Chan. Rep. 167), that the courts of a State will not recognize conveyances of real property within its jurisdiction, in trust for creditors, made within the jurisdiction and under the laws of another State. The rule was stated to rest not only on the acknowledged principle of law applicable to all assignments, voluntary or involuntary, that the title and disposition of real estate are exclusively subject to the laws of the country where it is situated, which alone can prescribe the mode by which title to it can pass: but upon the further reason, that the laws of one State will not be permitted to control the trust, the action of the trustee, and the disposition of the trust property in another, the subject of the trust being real estate. Citing Lessee of McCullough's Heirs v. Roderick, 2 Hammond's (Ohio) Rep., 380 ; Rogers v. Allen, 3 Id., 488 ; Osborn v. Adams, 18 Pickering's (Massachusetts) Rep., 247.
A mortgage of immovables can only be made according to the law of the place of the property. Hosford n. Nichols, 1 Paige's (New York) Rep., 220 ; and see (Boddard v. Sawyer, 91 Massachusetts (9 Allen) Rep., 78.

Lano of movables.
571. Subject to the provisions of this Part,' movables are deemed to follow the person of their owner ; and the validity and effect of any transaction by him affecting the same, whether by acts between living persons, or by will, depend exclusively upon the law of the place where the transaction is had.'
${ }^{1}$ The rule that movables follow the person, says Story (Confl. of L... §550,) is a legal fiction, and yields whenever it is necessary for the purpose of justice, that the actual situs of the thing shall be examined. It does not authorize the exception to the general rule, that a nation within whose territory any personal property is actually situate has entire dominion over it while therein. Compare, to the same effect, Green $v$. Van Buskirk, i Waluace's U. S. Supr. Ct' Rep., 139. See Articles 5S1,582, and 583. The indorsements of negotiable paper will be, according to Chapter XLVI., another exception.
${ }^{2}$ Falix, (D)roit Intern. Privé, vol. 1, p. 127), cites an almost universal concurrence of authority for the rule that the personal statute governs the movables; and he shows, in note 2 , on page 133, that where there is a difference between the domicil and the nationality, the law of the domicil must apply. This interpretation of the rule is more clearly enforced by Demangeat. in notes, on page 127 a, 58 b, 53 a, and 132 a.

The rule is universally recognized in the United States. See Civil Code reported for Nein York, S $_{8} 364$. The doctrine is commonly stated substantially as follows:

Personal property has no situs, and a title acquired to it, if good by the law of the domicil, is good everywhere, and will be recognized and enforced in every State, unless it conflicts with its laws or the rights of its ritizens. Marcy r. Marcy, 32 Connecticut Rep., 308.

Sory, in discussing the origin of this doctrine, says: "lf the law rei
site were generally to provail in regand to movables, it would be utterly imponsible for the owner, in many cames, to know in what manner to dis. powe of them during his liff, or to dietribute them at hiv death : not only from the uncertainty of their nituation in the trannit to and from differ ent placen, but from the impracticablity of knowing with minute accuracy. the law of transfers inter cicos, of of textamentary dinpowitions and aue. cessions in the different countries in which they might liappen to ber Any change of place at a future time might defeat the beat connidered will; and any aale or donation might be rendered inoperative. from the ignorance of the partiea of the law of the actual situs at the time of their acta. These would be merious evils, pervading the whole community. and equally affecting the subjects and interests of all eivilized nations. But in maritime nations, depending upon commeren for their rerenue, their power, and their glory, the mischief would be incalculable. A senno of general utility, therefore, must have first suggested the doctrine: and an moon as it was promulgated, it could not fall to recotumend itaelf to all nations by its simplicity, its convenience, and itm enlarged polley." Story, Confl. of L., \& 379.
${ }^{3}$ The place of domicil is usually the place of the transaction, but where there is a difference between the domicil and the place of the transac. tion, the tendency of recent opinion seetus to be that the law of the place of the transaction should be held to apply in preference to that of the domicil.
"It doen not follow," nays Story, in explaining the rules, that movables are governed by the law of the domicil, "that a transfer made by the owner according to the law of the place of ita actual situs, would not an completely divest his title; nor even that transfer by him in any foreign country, which would be good according to the law of that country, would not be equally effectual, although he might not have his domicil there. For purposes of this sort his personal property may. in many cases, be deemed subjeet to his disposal wherever he may happen to be at the time of the alienation. Thus, a merchant, domiciled in America, may doubtiese transfer his personal property according to the law of his domicil, wherever the property may be. But, if he should direct a sale of it, or make a sale of it in a foreign country, where it is situate at the time, according to the laws thereot, either in person or by an agent, the validity of such a sale would acarcely be doubted. If a merchant is temporarily abroad, he is understood to possess a general authority to transfer such personal property as accompanics his permon wherever he may be, mo al ways that he does not violate the law of the country where the act in done. The general convenience and freedom of commerce require this enlargernent of the rule: for otherwise the sale of personal property ac. tually nituate in a forelgn county and made acoonding to the forms pre scribed by its laws, might be declared void in the country of the dotaicil of the owner. In the ordinary course of trade with foreign countrice. no one thinks of transferring personal property acconding to the forms of his own domicil ; but it is transferred according to the forma pre. scribed by the law of the place where the male takee place." Story. Conf. of L... \& 3s4. See also Westlake, Primate Intern. Lave, §S 2G6-267.

It may, therefore, be deemed a more correct statement of the rule, to refer, as in the Article above presented, to the law which governs the person of the orner wherever he may be, rather than that of the domicil. or that of his nation. from which he may be absentat the time of the transaction in question.

Westlake, (Prir. Intern. Lanc, ${ }^{\text {S 267, }}$ ) inclines to favor the rule referring to the situs of the property, admitting, however, the inconvenience in the case of property, the exact situation of which is unk nown. It should seem that the more serious inconvenience of compelling parties to conform to laws which they have no present means of ascurtaining, and which they will not, as in the case of ships and lands, generally suppose to apply, is decisive in favor of the rule which refers to the place of the act. The real grounds of the rule referring to the situs, namely, the protection of subsequent purchasers or creditors there, are provided for by the Articles of the next Chapter on Transfer.

If the rule of the situs should be recognized, it might perhaps be de. fined sufficiently to secure its real object, by provisions like the follow. ing :

1. Movable property of a foreigner imported into any nation, during its presence in that nation, is with reference to the assignability between living persons, or mode of charging such property, subject to the regulations of the country in which such foreigner has placed it, and which, in these respects, affects the personal property of the members of such nation : and any such assignment inter cieos, or charge, will retain its orig. inal validity and effect, although the property be subsequently removed to another country. See Olivier $n$. Townes, 2 Martin's (Louisiana) Rep., ( $N$. S..) 93: 'Taylor $c$. Boardman, 2i) Vermont Rep., 581 ; Westlake, Pric. Intern. L., p. 257, S 272 , note (d.) See also, generally, Story, Confl. of L., ch. IX.

It was held in Martin $c$. Hill, (12 Barbour's (Neio York) Rep., 631,) that a mortgage upon movables valid where it was made, was valid in another state to which the movables were subsequently carried and by the laws of which such a mortgage would be void as against attaching creditors. The protection of such charges will be fully secured by the Articles of this and the next Chapter.
2. If any lien, hypothecation, or other charge has attached to movables by the law of any country where the movables are situated, at the time it attached, so as to affect them in the hands of the owner, the same charge will attach to them in the hands of any transferee, although it has not attached to them according to the previous provisions of this rhapter. Seu Story, Comift of $L$., SS 386-389.

Local character o' public funds and corporate shares.
572. Public funds or stocks, and shares or other interests in, or obligations of, nations or states, or of bodies politic or corporate, or other artificial bodies owing their existence to local laws, are governed in re-
spect to the validity and effect of transactions affecting the same, or property therein, by that law which gives them existence, subject, however, to such further nestrictions as are imposed by the law of the place where: the same are delivered or transferred.

## Svory, Conff. of La, f̊ 353.

From the nature of the ntock of a corporation, whirh in ereated by and under the authority of a State, it in necessarily. like every other at. tribate of the corporation, to be governed by the local law of that State. and not by the local law of any foreign State. Black e. Vacharie, I Howard's U. S. Supr. CX. Rep., 4S3; Curtis e. Leavith, 15 .Vere Iork Hep., 9.

As to the national character of public quadn, nee Comminsioners of Charitable Donations e. Devereux, 13 Simen's Rep., 29, 30.

Local character of shipping.
573. Property in shipping is governed in respect to the title thereto, the modes of transfer, or of charging, or otherwise disposing of the same,' between living persons, ' by the law of the nationality of the ressel, as defined by Chapter XX.
${ }^{1}$ In Hooper e. Guman, (Lave Rep., 2 (hanecry App., 2se.) it was held that although legal title to a ship must be determined by the law of tis nationality, a foreign contract of sale thereof, and the effect of the inter. vention of the title of a boma fide purchaser, for value, in a foreign country, must be governed by the law of the foreign country.
' In Thomas r. Kosciusko, 11 Neto Vork Legal Obereer. 33, it is said that the transmission on the death of the owner is governed by the law of hin domicil.

Story mentions as another exception, beside thowe mentioned in the last two Articles, the case of property in custody of the law under revenue acts ; but it may be doubted whether such custoly should affect the ques tion of title. It rather relates only to the lien maintained by the gorernment, and a transfer according to foreign law subject to such lien might be valid.

## Edffect of matrimonial settlement.

574. The rights of property in movables, as affected by marriage, are governed by the express contract of the parties, subject to the provisions of Chapter XL'I.. on Costracts.' Until such contract is made, or to the extent that the contract is inoperative. such rights are regulated by the next article.

- Biahop. on Marriage and Dicoree. 1, \$404: Nory. CionA. of LI.. \& 184
- The exception is to be underxtood that the laws of the place where the rights are sought to be enforced, do not probilit such arraugetamate. Story, Conft of Laves, 今 188.
- Story, Conft of L., ミ185; Westake, Priente Inkern. Lame. §3:2.

Rights of property of persons married without a settlement.
575. The rights of property in movables, whether owned at the time of marriage or afterwards acquired, as affected by marriage, except in respect of succession, are governed by the law of the matrimonial domicil.' But upon a change of domicil, the right, as to all subsequent acquisitions, is determined by the law of the new domicil.'
${ }^{1}$ Westhake, Private Intern Lawo, § 366.

- Story, Confl. of L., § 187.

Matrimonial property after change of domicil.
576. After a change by married persons, from the matrimonial domicil, the mutual rights of the husband and wife acquired subsequently thereto in each other's movable property, which arise from the marriage rela tion, and are dependent upon its continuance, are determined by the law applicable to their transactions, or by the law of their new domicil, according to the provisions of this Code, except as otherwise provided by some actual contract between the parties as to such rights.
This, so far as the law of domicil is concerned, is the American rule. Story, Confl. of L., § 187, ( $\$ 171, b$, et seq., Redfield's ed.;) and is supported by Windscheid Pandekten, I., §35, p. 78, note. To the contrary, West lake, Priv. Intern. Lav., § 366, et seq. The supposition of a tacit consent, or submission, of the parties to the law of the matrimonial domicil, is certainly open to the objection that, even assuming the parties to have any intention on the subject, there is nothing to show that it was directed to the law of the matrimonial, rather than to that of the subsequent, domicil.
" Matrimonial domicil" defined.
577. The matrimonial domicil is the domicil first established by the husband and wife together; or, if none such be established, it is that of the husband at the time of the marriage.
See Story, Confl. of L., § 193.

## Abandonment.

578. The matrimonial domicil is not changed by an abandonment of one party by the other.

Bonati c. Welsh, 24 New York Rep., 157.

## CHAPTER XLII

TRANSFER.

Anticle 579. "Trannfer" defined.<br>580. Voluntary trausfer.<br>581. Valdilty of transfers.<br>SNE. Jurisdiction over movables.<br>583. Protection of creditors.

"Transfer" defined.
579. The term "transfer," as used in this Code, means an act of the parties, or of the law, by which the title to property is conveyed from one living person to another. ${ }^{\prime}$ It includes the creation and the extinguishment, by such act, of an interest in movables or immovables.

- Cieil Code, reported for Nele York, \& 458.


## Voluntary transfer.

580. A voluntary transfer is a transfer by act of the parties, without compulsion of law, whether with or without consideration.

## Validity of transfers.

581. Subject to the next two articles, and to the provisions of Chapter XLVI., on Costracts, a transfer of movables, whether voluntary or involuntary. if valid by the law of the .place where it is made, is valid everywhere.

Where personal property is seized and sold under an attachment, or other writ, issuing from a court of the State where the property is, the question of the liability of the property to be sold under such a writ. must be determined by the law of that State, notwithatanding the doml ell of all the claimants to the property may be in another State. In a suit in any other State growling out of such seizure and sale, the effoct of the proceedings by which it was sold, on the title to the property, nust be deo termined by the law of the State where the proceedings were had. Green e. Van Busklrk, 5 Wallace's U. S. Supreme C\%. Reg., 30:

Jurisdiction over movables.
582. A transfer of movables, which is prohibited by 37
the law of the nation within whose exclusive jurisdic tion they are situate, is void everywhere.

See Article 571. It may be thought better in lieu of the words "prohibited by ", to insert "forbidden by an express provision of ".

## Protection of creditors.

583. A nation may give to any creditors who are Abject to its jurisdiction, a lien on movables in possession or in action, situated within its exclusive jurisdiction, in preference to those claiming under a foreign transfer not made in conformity with its own law.

The three preceding Articles are intended to present a simple and uniform rule for determining the validity of foreign transfers of movables. The general rule that the personal statute governs movables, has been discussed under Article 571; and the rule there proposed is in harmony with the above Article 581, which makes tho law of the place of the transaction the general rule. This is subject, however to any prohibition of the positive or customary law of the nation where the movables are situnted. Black $v$. Zacharie, 3 Hovord $U$. S. Suprome ('t. Rep., 483; Warren $v$. Copelin, 45 Massachusetts Rep., 594; Story'* C'oufl. of Lacs, 노 383-4; Farrington v. Allen, 6 Rhode Island (3 Ames) Rep., 449 ; Parsons $v$. Lyman, 30 Veı York Rep., 103; Caskie $v$. We.bster, ᄅ Wallace Jr. U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 131.

A transfer made at the domicil of the maker, and efficient to transfer his property there, does not transfer his movables situated in another State ly the law of which such transfer is regarded as inconsistent with public policy. Varnum $c$. Camp, 1 Green (Neı Jersey) Rep., 326.

The rule that personal property shall be transferred according to the law of the domicil of the owner, and not the law of the rei sita, does not apply when the rights of residents in the State where the property is situate will be affected by it. Moore $c$. Bonnell, 2 Vroom, (Neo Jersey) Rep., (1): Bentley $c$. Whittemore, 18 New Jersey Chan. Rep., 366.

The exception for the protection of creditors stated in the above Article, turns, not on the validity of the transfer, but on the superior power of a Sitat, acting through its courts, to apply property within its limits to the satisfaction of debts due to its citizens.

The costomary law of a State where movables are situated, in reference to the formalities attending a transfer, will not be applied by the tribunals of such state against a transfer valid by the law of the place where the maker was domiciled and all the partics to the controversy are domiciled, if the defect of form does not prejudice the citizens of the state where the movables are situated. Noble $c$. Smith, 6 Rhode Island (3 A mes) Rep., 446.

A transfer of movables situate in one State, made between persons domiciled in another sitate, which is valid by the law of the place of domicil, may be tranted as valid against other persons domiciled in the same Sirte, although it is defective in point of form according to the
law of the State in which the movablea are situate. Siee fthote lalagd Central Bank b. Danforth, 80 Misasiehusetts (14 Gray) Rep. 183.

Where there in a eonflict between the laws of different Statex, all that a deber can reawonably bo required to do in to make luenasignment in good faith, and in accortance with the law of the State in whitelo he liven and where the prinelpal part of hif pmoperty is nitusied The courts of such State will give effect to the asslgnment on all the property within their jurixdiction, notwithetanding it may be Inoporative an in real property in another State. Trink e. Buss, 45 Neis Hampalire Rep, ass

In Pardo e. Bingham. (Latue Rep., 6 Equity Cos., 485. ) It was held that the Engllsh courtm ahonld not give priority over all other errditors, to a claiun againat an Einglishman, secured to a forelgn creditor by an Inatrument, which, by being registered parsuant to the foreign law, was en titled by that law, to such priority.

In the case of South Boston Iron Company e. Boston Incomotive Worke * Trustee (51 Maine Rep., 585, thin principle wa* extended to protect the claim of a foreign credifor, who was a citizen of the state where the discharge was granted, but, veho, by the lave of the State, where the question arose and assets were attached, was entitled to proceed agalsst such assets as well as if he were a citizen.

The enforcement of the lien, given by this Article, is provided for by Part VI., on the Administmatios of Jestice.

## CHAPTER XLIII.

## SUCCESSION.

## Article 584. "Succession" defined.

585. Law governing succession to morables.
586. Law governing succession to immovables.
587. Rights of succession, when not affected br forelgn character of property.
588. Incidents of local burdens.
589. Failure of heritable blood.

## "Succession" dejined.

584. The term "succession." as used in this Code. means the coming in of another to take the property of one who dies without disposing of it by will.

The term "descent." hitherto chiefty used in the law of England and of the United States to denote the devolution of an inberitance, was derived from the ancient principle of the Einglish law that an inheritanor could never ascend and pass from mon to father, but muast desorend or paan to descendants.

But as the American law allows property to pass in both wars, there
ariscs an incongruity which causes practical embarrassment, since the worl "descendauts" must still be contined to its strict meaning, and can not embrace all those who may take by the statute of descents, so called ; and the woni "descend" must often be used in a sense opposite to the devolutiot of property in the ascending line. The term "succession " is the more appropriate phrase of the civil law. This is, therefore, adopted to denote the transmission of property of a decedent by operation of law. Ciril Code reported for Nele York, 今心 637.

## Lano governing succession to mocables.

585. The succession to the movable property of one who dies intestate as to such property, is governed exclusively by the law of the place which was the domicil of the intestate at the time of his death.

Moultric $c$. Hunt, 23 Neio York Rep., 394 ; Whicker $v$. Hume, 7 House of Lords, 124 ; Doglioni 0. Crespin, House of Lords, 1 Eing. \& Irish App. Cas., 301.
" This," says Story, in Harvey c. Richards, (1 Mason's Rep., 381, 408,) " although once a much vexed question, is now so completely settled by serics of well considered decisions that it cannot be brought into judicial doubt." (Citing numerous Continental, English and American authorities.) The reason of the rule is to avoid the uncertainty and confusion which would result from applying any other rule to the case of a person having property in several jurisdictions, or dying away from his domicil.

Chancellor Kent states the doctrine thus:
" There has been much discussion as to the rule of distribution of personal property, when the place of the domicil of the intestate and the place of the situation of the property were not the same. But it has become a settled principle of international jurisprudence, and one founded on a comprehensive and enlightened sense of public policy and convenience, that the disposition, succession to, and distribution of, perfonal property wherever situated, is governed by the law of the owner's or intestate's domicil, at the time of his death, and not by the contlicting laws of the various places where the goods happened to be situated. On the other hand, it is equally well settled, in the law of all civilized countries, that real property, as to its tenure, mode of enjoyment, transfer and descent is to be regulated be the lex loci reisita." 2 Kent's Commentaries, 429.
" Whether personalty in one country becoming the property of a person who is in another country, and who there becomes insolvent, goes to the esecutor in the former country, or to the assignees in insolvency in the latter, depends upon the question in which country he had his domicil." Re Blithman, Lave Rep.. 2 Equity, 23.
" The rule that movable property in one jurisdiction, of a person domiciled at the time of death in another jurisdiction, is distributed according to the law of the latter, is subject to the limitation that the question of what is and what is not his property may be determinable by another law ;-c. g., that of the matrimonial domicil." 'Townes $v$. Durbin, 3 Met calfe (Kintucky) liep., 352.

## Lavo governing succession to immocables.

586. The succession to the immovable property of one who dies intestate as to such property, is determined exclusively by the law of the place in which the immovables are locally situate.

See note to previous Article. Convention between France and Austria. December 11. 1866.9 De C'iereq, 67š.
M. Helbouner, in commenting on a preliminary draft of this Chap ter (Bultet. de la Soe, de Legis. Comp., No. 2. Avril, 1869. p. 26), objected that in the matter of Wills, sc., the chapter seemed only to refer to the conflieta powsible between English and American lawn by the diatinction of inheritances Into real and personal eatate, which in unknown in the legislation of the Continent.

Since, in some countries these distinctions exint, an International Code which does not propose to interfere with thein. should provide for their being observed in the administration of questions to which they may properly apply.

The rule that a dintinction is to be made betwern movables and immor. ables, the law of the dounicll applying to the succession to the formes. and the law of the place whern the property is mituated applying to the latter, seems to be supported by the greater concurrence of authorisies.

Folix, bowever, cites many distinguished authors as having favored a different rule, which may be stated as follows:

The succession to all the property whether novable or immovable of a person, who dien intestate as to such property, is governed by the law of the place which was the domicil of the decedent at the time of his death. unless there be some prohibitory law in the place where immova ble property is situated, and except such immovablo property as by the law of lis place has a special quality impressed upon it determining its devolution. Firlix, Droit International Price, vol. 1. pp. 140-14\%.

Rights of succession, when not affected by foreign character of property.
587. When an intestate dies leaving foreign immovables, the right of succession to the movables of the intestate will not be controlled or affected by any conditions which would attach to the right, if the immovables had been situated in the country of the domicil of the intestate; nor will the right of succession to the immovables be controlled or affected by any conditions which would attach to that right, if the mov. ables had been sitnated in the same country with the immovables.

Balfour e. Scott, 6 Brown's Pari'y Rep., (by Tomlin.) 731, died In Story. Congh. of L., § 486.

Incidents of local burdens.
588. Any local burden which would primarily fall upon the immovables of an intestate, according to the law of the place where such immovables are sitnated, will retain such order of incidence, although, according to the law of the domicil of the intestate, such burden would fall primarily upon his movables.

Drammond e. Drummond, 6 Broidn's Parl'y Rep.. 550, (by Tomilin), cited in Story. Confl. of $L$.., $\$ 487$.

## Failure of heritable blood.

589. The property, whether movable or immovable, of a foreigner who dies intestate in respect thereto, and leaves no person capable of succeeding according to the rules indicated in articles 58.5 and 586, accrues to the nation or state within whose jurisdiction such property is locally situate, subject to the payment of debts, and, after that, to such rule of distribution as may be adopted by that nation or state.

This Article is suggested by the case of the Public Administratorn $n$. Hughes, 1 Bradford's Surrogate (Neıo York) Rep., 125, 130.

## CHAPTER XLIV.

WIIL.
Article 590. "Will" defined.
591. Will of movables.
592. Testamentary capacity as to movables.
593. Qualification of preceding Articles.
594. Testamentary capacity as to immovables.
595. Probate, when necessary.
586. Construction or interpretation of will.
"Will" refined.
590. The term "will," as used in this Code, includes all testamentary acts.

Will of movables.
591. A will of movables is valid everywhere in respect to form and execution, if it be valid in respect
thereto by the law of the place where it was executed. or by the law of the place which was the testator's domicil either at the time of its execution or at the time of his death.

This rule is new, though not without sanction. It in founded upon the unreanonablenem of requiring permona mojourning abionad to have their will drawn in conformity to the law of thele domicll, of which the means of information may not be at hand; the hardahip of allixing to a clange of domicll the legal conmequence of the revocation of a will made to the former domicll, and the inconvenience of requiring a new execution upon a change of domicll

It is not the rule applied by the American courts generally, but is more In harmony with the spirit of the civil law. Irwin': Appeal, 33 Connee sicut Rep., 128.

It seems to be a sufficient safeguard of the solemnities necessary to a valid testament, that the testator should respect and fulfill the requiremente of either of the nystems of local law which may reamonably bo supposed by him to be applicable to his case. This rule is, howerer, restricted to wills of movables.

Such a relaxation of the existing rule was suggested an a reamanable and proper one, by the Chancellor of New York, in the matter of Roberts. 8 Paige (Nere York) Rep., 519, and is sustained by Schaltz e. Dainbmann. 8 Bradford's Surrogate, (New York) Rep., 379.

In 1850 a statute was passed in the State of Connecticut, enacting that a will whether of real or personal estate, executed according to the formalities required by the law of the country within which it is exe cuted, shall be valid to pans such property wherever situate. This rule is understood to have been approved by experience, and has been in force there ever since. The same has been, we believe, adopted in some other of the United States.

The general rule of English and American courts, as laid down in Moultrie v. Hunt, 23 New York Kep., 394, may be stated as follows:

The validity of a will of movables. in respect of form, is determined solely by the law of the place which was the tentator's domicil at the time of his death.

Story states this rule as settled : and adds, that, if roid by that law. the will is void everywhere, although it fulfils the requisites of the law of the place where assets are situated. Story, Confl. of $I$.. \& $46 \%$.

There is much to be said, however, against this rule; and perlaps the doctrine, better supported by the existing authorities, is that, a will valid in form is not revoked, nor is its interpretation altered br a subonquent change of domicil of the party, unlens expreasly stated to le rero cable thereby. Fintix, Droit Intern. Prire, now. 11:, 8.
" It can never be fmagined that by his transferrence of his dotmictl to Eugland, the testator intended tacitly to revoke his will, more espectally since by the continental law, with which alone from hite previous llfe be can be supposed to be acquainted, such transferrence would not have that effect." Weatlate, Prinate Intern. Lave, p. 326.

The act of 24 and 25 Viet., c. $114, \$ 52,3$, adopts the principle, that, a will executed by a British subject according to the law of that part of the United Kingdom where it was made, is valid without reference to the domicil of the testator at the time of making, or of death ; and that the validity or construction of a will is not affected by any subsequent change of domicil. Whether a marriage in a new domicil can affect it, may be doubtful. Matter of Reid, Law Rep., 1 Probate d Dicorce, 74.

Testamentary capacity as to morables.
592. The law of the place which was the testator's domicil at the time of his death, determines both his capacity to make a will of movables, and his disposable power over the movables.

Schultz v. Dambmann, 3 Bralforl's Surrogate (New York) Rep., 379 ; Exp. McCormick, 2 Id., 169 ; Story, Confl. of L., S 465.

Qualification of preceding Articles.
593. The provisions of articles 591 and 592 are subject to the right of every nation to regulate the object of testamentary gifts of movables, as well as immovables, within its territory, by positive laws.

Story, Confl. of $L$., S 472. The creation of trusts, and other matters relating to the substance of the disposition, are to be governed of course by the local law.

Testamentary capacity as to immovables.
594. The law of the place where immovable property is situated determines the capacity of the testator to make a will of such immovables, the extent of his power to dispose of the property, the form and execution of the will, and the solemnities necessary to give it effect.

Story, Confl. of L., 尽 474. This is stated to be the common law rule, and one supported by great weight of authority of foreign jurists, although they are not entirely agreed upon it.

A ccording to the case of White 0 . Howard, 52 Barbour's ( New York) Rep., 294, this rule includes the application of such local laws as limit bequests and devises for certain uses to a portion of the testator's estate.

Probate, when necessary.
595. A will proved in one nation or state, is not sufficient to pass immovables situate in another, unless admitted to probate in the latter state, according to its laws.
('rusoe e. Butler, 36 Minsissippi,(7 George,) Rep., 150, riting McCormick
Q. Sullivant, 10 Wheaton'a U. S. Suppr. C. Rep., 302: United Statet e. Crosby, 7 Craneh U.S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 115 : Kert e. Moon, 0 W'Acaton's U. S. Supr. CY. Rep., 505 : Carmichaol e. Elmeadorf, 4 Bab (Kientucky) Rep., 484 ; Coroolison v Browalag, 10 B. Munroe (Kentueky) Rep., 498.

But letterm are not neceasary in the latter atate, unleat the execuiton of the power conferred by the will depeade apon them. As wo roal proporty not aseota, the probate it only authenticated evidence, and not the foundation of the executorin title. Crusoc e. Butler, 30 Nisoisoippi. (: George.) Bep., 150.

## Construction or interpretation of will.

596. The interpretation of a will, whether of movables or immovables, depends upon the law of the place where it was made, unless a different intent appears on the face of the instrument, either from its being made in a foreign language, or from other circumstances.

The rule, as generally laid down, refers to the law of the domicil of the testator for the interprotation of his will. Parsons e. I.yman, t Bradford's Surrogate (New York) Rep., 208 ; Anstrather e. Chalmer, 2 Simon's Rep., 1 ; Yates e. Thomson, 3 Clark i Finnelly'e Rep., S4t ; Isham 0. Gibbone, 1 Bradford's Surrogate (Nect York) Rep., 69 : Demangeat in notes to Palis, Droit Intern. Prici, vol. 1, p. 130, note b.

On this point the following rules may be extracted from the principlen discuseed and laid down by Story:

A will of movables must be interpreted according to the law of the testator's domicll at the time of the actual making of the will. Slory. Confl. of L.. 8 474, a.f.
The question whother or not a testator intended to devise real eatate or immovables by his will, or as to what is included in the words "real entate," " immovables," or the like, must be determined by the same law. Ib. 8 479, a.

So, also, of the interpretation to be put upon the words of description of a person of a class of persons mentioned by a testator. Ib., \& 479 e.

But it seems a more reasonable rule, and one in harmony with the priaciples embodied in the preceding Articles, to make the interpreta. thon of the language dependent upon the law of the place where such language was used, rather than on that of the restator's domiell, which may be another place.

## TITLE XXVI.

obligations.


## CHAPTER XLV.

obligations in general.

Article 597. "Obligation" defined.
598. Obligation, how created.
599. When an obligation accrues.
600. Certain contracts excepted.
"Ubligation" defined.
597. The term "obligation," as used in this Code, means a legal duty by which a person is bound to do or not to do a certain thing.
("iril C'ode, reported for New York, si b70.
Obligation. how created.
598. An obligation arises either from,

1. The contract of the parties; or,
2. The operation of law.

Ciril Code, reported for New York, \&8 671.
When an obligation accrues.
599. The time when an obligation accrues is determined by the law of the place where it arises.

Gasmaway c. Hopkins, 1 Head ('Tennessee) Rep., 583.
Certain contracts excepted.
600. The provisions of this 'litle have no application to marriage, nor to contracts relating to immovables, ${ }^{1}$ in so far as they relate thereto.

It has, however, been held that a contract made and in be performed in S., for the discharge of a dobt secured by a morigage on an immuova. ble in D., is governed as to lts Interpretation, stid the appompriation of paymente made under It, by the law of the place of the contrmet. "The mere fact of the money having been advancel on a mortgage in a forelgn country, does not render it requinite that the cobtract should be geverned by the law of that country in whleh the mortgaged land to staate." Campbell e. Dent, 2 Noort's Privy Council Rep., 292, 307, 308: Weashase Pricate Intern. Lav, 8229

## CHAPTER XLVI.

## contracts

Section I. Law of place.
II. Place of making enntract.
III. Formalities.

## SECTIONI.

LAW OF Place.
Article 601. Contracts made and performed in aame nation.
602. Contracts made and performed in different nations.
603. Law governing interpretation of contract.

B04, 605. Illegality of contract.
606. Mode of charging parties to negotiable inatru.
ments.
Contracts made and performed in same nation.
601. A contract made and agreed expressly or tacitly,' to be wholly performed within the jurisdiction of the same nation, is governed by the law of that nation.
' Story. Conff. of L., 今̇ 290 . This rule of munlejpal law rmuires to the mentioned as a rule of International law, not only because the contracting parties may be foreigners, but because the effect of such a eontract even when made between members of the nation is frequently drawn in ques. tion abroad, in respect to the rights of foreignera. Bennerse. Cletnens. 8 Pennayliania State Rep., 24.

Contracts made and performed in different nations.
602. Subject to articles 604 and 605 , a contract made within the jurisdiction of one nation, and agreed expressly or tacitly to be performed either wholly or in part within the jurisdiction of another, is governed as to its validity ' by the law of the place where it is made: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and as to its interpretation by the law actually or presumptively intended by the parties for that purpose ; as provided in the next article.
" The expression "existence" of a contract has been sometimes recommended in preference to the terms "validity" or "legality," for the reason that an invalid or unlawful contract is "nocontract at all." (Coppoeks r. Brower, 4 Meeson of Wellesby's Rep., 368.) "It must be a legal contract or it is nothing." Washburn $n$. Franklin, 29 Barbour (Nen York) R $\sim p ., 28$. So a fraudulent transaction as between the parties " in contemplation of law. . . never had any existence at all." Bottomley 0 . United States, 1 Story U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 147. This reasoning, however, does not appear to be entirely satisfactory ; for in ordinary language an agreement between parties is a contract in conscience, though not a contract in law.

2 Many cases might be cited as holding in general terms that the ralidity or existence of a contract depends on the law of the place of performance. But no case has been found holding, for instance, that the contract of two infants of the age of 15 years, made in New York, to be wholly performed in a country where at that age they would be considered adults, is a valid contract.
The main exception to this principle is found in the cases on usury, which lay down the rule that the law of the place of performance is to govern; so that, if payment is to be made in another country, the rate prescribed by the law of either country may be stipulated for, even when the law of the place of making the contract prescribes a lower rate than that allowed in the place of payment. Andrews 0 . Pond, 13 Peters' (U. S. Supreme Ct.) Rep., 65: Pecks v. Mayo, 14 Vermont Rep., 38; (Cope c. Allen, 53 Barbour (Nen York) Rep., 350; 2 Kent's Commentarics, 461 ; 2 Parsons on Contracts, 585, note n; Story, Confl. of L., § 296 ; Sizigny and Folix, as cited by Westlake, Private Intern. Lav, § 205.

When the rate allowed by the place of making the contract is higher than at the place of performance this question does not arise. Such a contract at the rate allowed by either law is, of course, valid. Depau o. Humphreys, 8 Martin, Louisiana, (N. S.) 1 ; 2 Kent's ('ommentarics, 461, note b; Balme r. Wombough, 38 Barbour (Neno York) Rep., 352: Richards e. Glove Bank. 12 Wisconsin Rop., 692 ; Vliet $n$. Camp, 13 Id., 198: Fisher r. Otis, 3 Chandler, (Wisconsin) Rep., 83.

The point decided in the case of The Commonwealth of Kentucky $v$. Bassford, 6 Hill (Ne10 York) Rep., 438, was that a contract made and to be performed beyond the State of New York in relation to a foreign lottury, if lawful wher made, will be euforced in New York, though if

## made in New York it would be unlawful. Thatehere Morrib. II New

 York Rep., 438.The dieturn of Lord Mannvazad, in Robinson e. Bland. 2 Burrous Kep.o 107\%, evidently has reference to the cases provided for in Article 604.
So the validity of a voluntary anaignuent of gnovablem in trum in gov. erned by the law of the place of lith ofigin. Speed e. May, of Harris
 have been made, 6 American laue Reg.e (N. S., ises.

It is not enough that the partien have in view a reference to the law of another State in the formation of thelr coneract : for, if that were snflleient the statute of unury would in every case at the opiton of the partien become a dead letter. The rule in that the partice munt have a view th the laws of another state in the exectation of the contract, and then undoubtedly the contract is to be governed by nuch forvign law. Kent, J. Van Schaick r. Vilwards, 2 Johmaon's Ciasce, (New Yorki) 3nt.

This familiar and fundamental rule in mtated by most authorition an a consequence of the independent sovereiguty of States, but it han been well expounded in a recent case with refereure to the primeiple which in also essential to it: that, menought to be nafe from civil lowe and criminal liability if they conform th the laws of the place where their acts are done. An act to be punishable as an offense munt be a crime where it is performed. A person who is alout to enter into a contract ought to have an opportunity to take legal advice. This privilege be would be in a great measure deprived of unleas he can apply to mem. bere of the legal profession in the place where the contract is to be made, and they would maturally instruct him in that law with which they are familiar. Koster a. Meritt, 82 Conneeticut Rep., 246.

Lav governing interpretation of coulract.
603. The law intended by the parties to govern the interpretation of any ' stipulation of their contract is deemed to be :

1. The law of any nation named by them for that purpose, as a part of their contract : or."
2. If no such law is so named, the law of the place where such stipulation is agreed to be wholly' performed ; or, ${ }^{\text {© }}$
3. If no such law or place of performance is sperified, the law of the place of making their contract : but in this case a contrary intention may be shown."
${ }^{1}$ Where there are several stipulations to be performed in moveral places. the law of the place of performance of one does not gorern an to an. ther. Pomeroy e. Ainsworth, 22 Barbour (New lork) Rep. 12s

It seems that Einglish subjects on thelr marriage may ssipulase that their marriage rights shall be regulated by the law of a forvign emuntry : and the courts of Eingland will enforce such a contract Fise e. Smith, 23 Law Journ. Chanc., $\mathbf{0} 5$.


#### Abstract

" It has been held in Louisiana that a matrimonial contract which would adopt a law foreign to the domicil must set out its provisions, and not merely refer to the law eo nomine. Bourcier v. Lanusse, 3 Martin (Louisinna) Rep.,581. But a contrary opinion has been expressed in Este v. Smith, 18 Beacan's Rep., 122." (W"estlake, Private Intern. Latn, 371 : citing also, Byam r: Byam, 19 Bencan's Rep.,58.)


In Millar c. Heinrick, 4 Campbell Rep., 155, a Russian contract for seaman's wages payable monthly "subject to the deductions provided for by the regulations of the Russian marine "was held to be governed in that respect by the law so named.
${ }^{2}$ Cook r. Moffat, 5 Horard U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 312 ; Van Schaick v. Ed. wards, 2 Johnson's Cases. (Nero Vork,) 367. IIEnt, J.
"Cases may be readily conceived where it might be difficult to determine whether the parties had reference to the laws of the place where the contract was made or some other place. . . . In such cases it is desirable that the parties should be at liberty to determine by express stipulations made in good faith by which law the rate of interest shall be governed." Townsend $v$. Riley, 46 Nein Hampshire Rep., 312.
"The law of the place," [i.e. of making,] "can never be the rule where the transaction is entered into with an express view to the law of another country as the rule by which it is to be governed. Huberi Praclectiones, 1,3,p.34, is clear and distinct, Voet speaks to the same effect."
"That it was competent for the parties, being citizens of Illinois, to provide by their express agreement that it should be . . . construed by the laws of the State is too well established by authority to admit of donbt." (2 Burroiss Rep., 1077.) Strawbridge v. Robinson, 5 Gilman (Illinvis) Rep., 470. Parsons on Notes \& Bills, I., p. 57.

It is the constant practice in America to name the law by which all questions of interpretation of a contract shall be determined.
${ }^{2}$ See, Westlake, Private Intern. Lave, nos. 212, 216.
A policy of marine insurance made in England, although on a foreign voyage, i. c., from England abroad,-is to be interpreted as to all matters contemplated in it, as an English contract. Dent v. Smith, Law Rep., 4 Queen's Bench, 414; and see Peninsular \& Oriental ('o. o. Shaw, 3 More's Priry Council Rep., (N. S., 272 ; Male r. N. J. Steam Navigation Co., 15 Connecticut Rep.,546-7.

See Story, Confl. of $L ., \$ 280$, to the contrary.

- Story. § 2s0; Penobscot, \&c. R.v. Bartlett. 78 Massachusetts (12 Gray) Rep., 248: Cox r. United States, 6 Pcter's U. S. Supreme Cit. Rep., 172; Bank of ITnited Statear. Daniel, 12 Id.. 32; Bell c. Bruen, 1 Monard U. S. Supr. C't. Rep., 169 : Kianaga r. 'l'aylor, 7 Ohio State Rep., (N. S.) 142 ; Pryor r. Wright, 14 Arkonsas Rep., 189 ; (taylord ท. Johnson, i) McLean U. S. Sirc. Ct. Rep., 448; (as to grace,) Bowen r. Newell, 13 New Fork Rep., 290 ; (as to usury,) M'Allister c. Smith, 17 Minois Rcp., 328; (as to interest,) Hawley r. Sloo, 12 Lovisiana Ann. Rep., 815 ; (as to amount of interest,) Vincent r. Platt, 21 Georgia Rep., 13): 2 Parsons on Notes \& Bills, 320 : Mason $r$. Dousay, 35 Illinois Rep., 124.
${ }^{5}$ The rule of comity adopts the law of the country where the contract,

It made, in doterning ita naturo, conatroetion and validity, unlees auch construction is contra bonas mores, or against sotno positive law of the place where the contraet is nought to be entoreed.

Lloyd e. Ginbert, Lave Rep., I Queen's BeneA, 115. Forayth', Ciasee d Opinione in Conatitutional Lave, p. 239.

It can have no validity excent conformable to the law where made The Baltimore \& Ohio R. R. Co. e. Glenn, 28 Maryland Rep., 28 ?

No right can be derived under any contract, made in oppooition to the law where it is made. Hall e. Mullin, 5 Harris of Johnson (Maryiand) Rep., 193.

But where a question arisea under the common law, or law merchant, the courts of one State will not be concluded an to what the comtuon law rule in such ease is, by what the courts of the State where the contract was made have decided in relation thereto. Otherwise if the dectaion was upon a question arising under sotne custorn or usage of the place where the enntract was made.

Franklin 0 . Twogood, 85 Iova Rep., 5:0.

- Perhaps other modes of indicating the intent should be allowed to pro vide for cases like the following : (1.) A contract anade between foreignens In their foreign language: Firlir. Droit Intern. Pried, vol. $1.159,1$; (2.) A contract, subsidiary or incidental to a foreign right or obligation; as, a contract made by a manufacturer in one country, to nupply goods in another to enable the other party to fulfill a contract to be performed in a third country; or, a contract in one country to give a release in another from a foreign obligation, which would mean a release good by the foreign law : or, a contract in $A$. to make another contract in $B$. for doling an act in $C$. : (3.) A contract where the subject relates locally to a foreign coantry. Kob. inson e. Bland, 2 Burroves' Rep., 1078, 1079.


## Illegality of contract.

604. A contract, wherever made or to be performed, which is forbidden by an express ' provision of the law of any nation within whose jurisdiction it is agreed to be wholly or in part performed,' is unlawful every. where,' so far as relates to the prohibited performance.
${ }^{1}$ - What is injurious to the rights of the eitizens where the property is situated should be the subject of positive legislation, and not left to the discretion of the courts: (Story, ConA. of L., § 890 :) and no are the au thorities generally in the United States, although the rule is somewha: morn broadly expressed. Zipsey e. Thompson, 1 Gray (Vasezehusetto) Rep., 243; Vernain e. Camp. 1 Green (Neit Jersey) Rep., 936: 2 Masen. $\mathcal{C}$ S. Oirc. Ct. Rep., 157: 5 Greenteaf. 245 ; Olivier e. Townes, 2 Martin (Louisiana) Rep., 97. Gulllander e. Howell, 35 Vere York, 65:.

An express provision of law that a voluntary assignment in trust made abroad, of a debt, due by a resident, shall not be effectual, in the debtor's residence unless recorded therv, as against dona side suberyuent pur. chasers, will render the assignment invalid to that extent. Philson e. Barnes, 50 Pennaylrania Skate Rep., 230.

[^63]
## The same.

605. A contract, wherever made or to be performed, which is made with the intent to violate an express provision of this Code, or of the law of any nation a party to this Code is unlawful everywhere.

Westlake on Private International Iatc, ss: 196, 200 ; Banchor $x$. Mansel, 4i Maine (3 Hubbard) Rep., 58.

Mode of charging parties to negotiable instrument.
606. The holder of a negotiable instrument, at its maturity, may charge any other party thereto by performing the act required by the law either of the place where it is payable, or of the place where the party, sought to be so charged, contracted ; and every party other than such holder may charge any of his predecessors by acting according to the law of the place where his own contract was made, or where the instrument is made payable.
The rule stated in the text was applied in Hirschfeld $v$. Smith, 35 Law Journ. (N. S., C. P., 175; Lavo Rep., 1 C. P., 340, in the case of an indorser, as well on previous authority, as on the ground that notice required by the law of the place of payment must be deemed reasonable. "The inconvenience would be great if the holder was bound to know the place of each indorsement and the law of that place relating to notice of dishonor, and to give notice accordingly." Parsons (2 Notes \& Bills, 341, note.) confirms this view, and further says: that, as the indorser must have intended that the protest should be made by a notary of the place of dishonor, it must have beenalso understood that the notary should act according to his own law. Besides this, a notary, though knowing the place where an indorsement was made, might not be able to ascertain the requirements of the law of that place.

The weight of American authority, however, is in favor of the place of perfecting the indorsement.

In New York, in Aymar $v$. Sheldon, 12 Wendell (Nero York) Rep., 439, an indorser there of a West India bill, drawn on France, was held liable on its non-acceptance, although the French law would not make him liable until non-payment. So, as to the necessity of giving any notice of protest, see Artisans' Bank c. Park Bank, 41 Barbour (Nero York) Rep., 599 ; or of exhausting the remedies against the maker, Lee $v$. Sellick, 33 New York Rep., 615 ; see also Short r. Trabue, 4 Metcalfe (Kentucky) Rep., 299 ; Rose v. Park Bank, 20 Indiana Rep., 94 ; Raymond $v$. Holmes, 11 Texas Rep., 54.

By the present rule, where a bill ta drawn in one State and Indorsed in another, and acerpted and dinhonored in a third, the righte of the holder ean only be secured by a compliance with the lawn of the threo, and mos to every other foreign and Independent State. Thorp e. Craig, 10 Iowe (2 Wiehros) Rep., 461.

## SECTION II.

PLACE OF MAKING CONTRACT.
Article 60\%. "Place of making contract " defined.
608. Contract made by reveral parties in different places.
609. Special agreement as to place of consum. mating contract.
010. Prenumed place of making contract.
611. Implied contracts.
612. Presumption as to place of indorsement of negotiable paper.
613. Estoppel.
"Place of making contract" defined.
607. The "place of making" an express contract, within the meaning of this Code, is the place where the intention of the party, to whom the offer to contract is made, is first completely ' manifested, as follows:

1. If manifested by sending a written or oral statement of such acceptance to the party making the offer, at the place from which the statement is sent ;'
2. If manifested without a statement of acceptauce, either by performing the essential terms of the offer, or by receiving the consideration offered, at the place where such performance or receipt occurs: ${ }^{\prime}$
3. If manifested through an agent authorized by the party to whom or in whose favor the offer is made to bind him by declaring the intention of such agent ' to accept it, at the place where the agent makes such declaration. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
'Where a prellminary chafering in North Carolina was followed by delivery of the money lent, and recelpt of the note therefor, both oceur
ring in Georgia, the contract of loan and note were held to be made in Georgia. Davis r. Colman, 11 Iredell (North Carolina) Rep., 303. Cases and Opinions in Constitutional Lake, by Forsyth, p. 244.
The place of delivering an indorsement written elsewhere is the place of making it. Young r Harris, 14 B. Monroe (Kentucky) Rep., 559 ; Pine c. Smith, 11 Gray (Massachusitts) Rep., 38.
${ }^{2}$ In Parken r. Royal Exchange Ass. Co., 8 Scssion Cases, 2nd Series, 372, a life insurance policy was applied for by a domiciled Scotchman on the life of another . Scotchman. The application was made by him in Scotland to the defendant's agent there. The defendant sent the desired policy from its lead-office in London completely executed to its Scotch agent for delivery in Scotland to the applicant, which was done, the agent not doing or being authorized to do anything else to bind the company. The court held that the acceptance of the offer to contract was made in England ; that the delivery of the policy in Scotland was not a new offer made there, but the completion of the notification of an acceptance made in England; and that the implied authority of its agent in Scotland to withhold delivery there, if anything material had come to his knowledge, (p. 3 :1,) did not change the place of making the contract from England to Scotland. A similar state of facts arose in Wright $v$. Insurance Companies, 6 Am . Lavo Register, 489, aud was decided in the same way.
${ }^{5}$ If goods are sent by the seller in one State to the purchaser in another, in pursuance of an order, the purchaser's contract to pay is deemed made where accepted by dispatching the goods. Woodbridge $v$. Allen, 533 Massachusetts Rep., 470 ; Kline $v$. Baker, 99 Id., 255.
so, goods sent by a principal from a place where his agent to procure orders only has sent him such an order, is made at the place whence the merchandise, not the order was sent. Woolsey $r$. Bailey, 7 Foster (Nero Uampshire) Rep., 217 ; Smith o. Smith, 7 ld., 244.

- The distinction between a messenger and an agent in the strict sense, is that while each of them communicates a declaration of intention meaning to bind not himself but his principal, yet it is only the agent who declares what his own will is. The messenger declares what his sender's will is. Windxcheid l'ardekten, I., 冬 73.
${ }^{3}$ Westlake. Pricate Intern. Lauc, şs 212, 221; 1 Folix, Droit Intern. Pricé, ミ̊ 10.j, p. 244.

Thus a life insurance policy was applied for in Illinois to the agent there of a New York company sealed by the company in New York, but conditioned not to be binding until countersigned by the Illinois agent and the premiun paid to him. The place of making the contract was held to be Illinois and not New York. Pomeroy $r$. Manhattan Life Insurance Co., 40 Illinois Rep., 398 ; Heelner c. Eagle Ins. ('o., 10 Gray (Massachusetts) Rep., 131; Daniels r. Hudson River Ins. Co., 12 Cushing (Massachusetts) Rep., 422, 423. The contrary was held in Huth $v$. New York Mutual Ins. Co., 8 Boscoorth (New York) Rep., 551.

Contract made by several parties in different places.
608. Where the same offer to contract is accepted by several persons in different places, the contract of
each is perfected where the last acceptance is completely manifested, as provided in article 6ur.

Special agreement as to place of consummating con. tract.
609. The parties to a contract may expressly agree that their contract shall be deemed to be perfected at any place where a specified act or event occurs, al. though by the provisions of the last article, it would not have that effect.
Thus, if it in agreed that an insurance contract shall commence an mon as an order therefor is accepted by the company's agent, the contract is made at the place where the agent accepts although the prinelpal's stamped poltey is executed elsewhere. St. Patrick Ampuramer Co. e. Breb ner. 8 Session Cases. 1st Stries, 51.

## Presumed place of making contract.

610. Where the place of making a contract is not shown, it is presumed to be within the exclusive jurisdiction of the nation in whose tribunal it is sought to be enforced.

Thatcher e. Morris, 11 New York Rep., 439, 440.
Implied contracts.
611. The place of making an implied contract is, that, where the act is done which gives rise to the implication.

An implied contract to repay a loan arises where the loan is made. Suydam e. Barber, 6 Duer (Neu York) Rep., 34.
So whether any, and what implied promise arises from services ren. dered. Brackett e. Norton. 4 (ionneesicut Rep., 520.

Presumption as to place of indorsement of negotiable paper.
612. An indorsement of a negotiable instrument. which does not specify a place where the indorsement was made, is conclnsively presumed in favor of a holder, without notice of the place where it was indorsed, to have been indorsed where the instrument purports to have been made.

The indosser is the drawer of a now bill, and contracte where be in. dorses, not whero the drawer or maker contmeted. Shory. (iond of $L$. . $\$ 8307.314$ : Paraons on Notes af Bills, 1., p. Gil: consro, Pandesess Drit Commerciale, p. 17, tit. 7. ch. 2, art. 1500.

## Estoppel.

613. Where a party to a contract induces a person to act on the belief that it was made at a particular place, it is deemed as between them to have been made at that place, if such person would be prejudiced by applying the provisions of this Section, to such contract.

Thus a bill dated in Pennsylyania and drawn on Iondon was transmitted before negotiation to the drawer's agent in England where it was negotiated, without notice that it had its inception in England. It was held, that the drawer had expressly agreed that it should be negotiated as a bill drawn in Pennsylvania, and not as a bill drawn in England. Lenning e. Ralston, 23 Pennsylvania Rep., 137; 1 Parsons on Notes \& Bills,57. To the contrary see Steadman v. Duhamel, 1 Common Bench Rep..888; 1 Parsons, supra, 57. contra.

## SECTION III.

## FORMALITIES.

Article 614. What law determines the existence of contract. 615. Several parties.

## What lano determines the existence of contract.

614. The formalities requisite for the making of a contract are those, and those only, which are prescribed by the law of the place where it is made.

Story, Confl. of L., 黑 260-262.
Westlake, Private Intern. L., 䵟 171, 173. He adds as a doubtful proposition that the law existing at the place of the contract by which a certain description of evidence is made necessary to support an action, is equira. lent to one requiring certain solemnities as preliminary to the contract.
If a contract is void by the law of the place where made, unless written on stamped paper, it is so everywhere. Satterthwaite $v$. Doughty, Busbec's (North Carolina) Lave Rep., 314.

So, a conveyance in trust of movables is governed as to its form by the law of the place of makingit. Wilson v. Carson, 12 Maryland Rep., 54.

So, a mortgage of movables valid as to its form where executed, is ralid everywhere, even after a removal of the chattels. Ferguson $n$. (lifford, 37 New Hampshire Rep., 56 ; Jones $c$. Taylor, 30 Vermont Rep., 42.

In oome reported cases, the law of the place of performance is held to govern as to form. Thus, by the common law the place of performance of a contract to pay money, no place being named, is any place within the country. Such a contract, made in New Jersey, by a resident of that

State, with a realdent of another blate, no place of performanee being ex. pressed. in to be performed in Now Jersery: and fow law was beld to govern an to form. Allmhouse e. Rausay. 6 Wharton, (Penhsyicanfa,) Rep., 334.

## Several parties.

615. If there are several parties to the contract, the formalities demanded by the law of the place where each one engages are necessary and sufficient in respect to the obligation imposed thereby upon himself.

This rule Is ladd down with nome quallifation by Wealake, adding that. when a contract to which there are neveral partien in manifested by a angle Inntrument, the necennary form of that inntrument in desernifned onec for all by the law of that place where it begins to have an operation. The fllustrations to which he referm are all casen of nogotiable paper: In which caser, although it is true, that for the purposen of trinefer it may be proper to determine the effect of an indorement, as fulalliag the ennditiou of the promise to pay to order, by the law of the place where the promise to pay was to be performed. (Fererett e. Van Doyen. 19 Neve York Rep., 436.) the effect of an indorsment as creating on obrigation on the part of the indonser, may property be governed by the law ot the place where it is made.

In Lebel e. Tucker, (Iave Rep. 3 Queen's Bener, Fif) It wan held that the contract of the acceptos of a negntlable bill which was drawn parable and aceepted in the same country is to pay to any order if valid by the law of that country although the indorsearent may not have been ralld by the law ot the country where it was made. It was held, howerer. in Bradlaugh e. Do Rin, (Lave Rep., 3 Common Pleas, 538,) that as againss the acceptor the validity and effect of an Indorsement must depend upon the law of the place where the indorsement was made.

## CHAPTER XLVII.

## OBLIGATIONS IMPOSED BY LAW

## articie 616. Prohibited acts.

617. Performance or omistion of acts beyond jurisdiction of nation.
618. Pefformance or omiswion of acts authorized by law.
619. Ownershlip and poasession of property
620. Law governing damages caused by act or oraission beyond the jurisdiction of nation.
Prohibited acts.
621. The obligation arising out of an act prohibited
by the law of the place where done, is determined by such law, except as otherwise provided in this Chapter.

Andrews r. Pond, 13 Peters' U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 65. That the law of the place where the wrong is committed determines so far as regards the substance of the matter on whichan action of damage is brought, is the rule in America and Scotland, but by the latest English decisions, (The Halley. 18 Lave Journ. (N.S.) P. ('., 879,) the injury must be actionable by the lex fori. Guthrie's Savigny, p. 205, note.

Performance or omission of acts beyond jurisdiction of nation.
617. An obligation may be created in favor of one member of a nation against another, by reason of an act done or omitted beyond its jurisdiction, although no compensation therefor could be recovered by the law of the place, except as provided in the next article.

Scott v. Seymour, 1 Hurlstone \& Coltman's Rep., 234, 235.
Performance or omission of acts authorized by law.
618. No action can be brought for the performance or omission of an act, if such performance or omission were authorized by an express provision of the law of the place, at the time of its occurrence. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{2}$ Dobree $c$. Napier, 2 Bingham's New Cases, 781. The present rule extends this provision to an authorization at any time before the action is commenced.

Oronership and possession of property.
619. The obligations arising from the ownership or the possession of property are determined by the law of the place where the property is for the time being situated.

Lavo governin: damages caused by act or omission. beyond the jurisdiction of nation.
620. Where an act or omission occurs within the jurisdiction of one nation, which causes damage solely within the jurisdiction of another, the obligation to make compensation therefor is determined by the law of the latter.

Thus the obligations arising out of an injury to an immorable wherever committed, (Thayer c. Brooks, 17 Ohio Rep., 489 ;) are governed by the law of the place where the property is situated.

PART VI.<br>ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.<br>Title XXVII. Judicial. Power.<br>XXVIII. Procedere.<br>XXIX. Evidesce<br>XXX. Erybet or Jldomeste.<br>XXXI. Reles Applicable to Pabticelan Sennects.

## TITLE XXVII.

JUDICIAL POWER.
Cmapter Xlvill. In civil casen.
XLIX. In criminal cater

## CHAPTER XLVIII.

JUDICIAL POWER IN CIVIL CASES.

Akticle 621, 622. Remedial justice.
623. When exercise of jurisdiction may be declined.
624, 625. Extra-judicial power.
626. Pursuit of inmate of foreign ship upon the high seas, for crimes, prohibited.
627. Limit of judicial power as to absent persons.
628. Party to the record.
629. Limit of judicia! power as to property abroad.
630. Voluntary appearance.
631. Effect of judgment by juriadiction mequired over property.
659. Effect of judgment by jurisdiction acquired over person.

```
633, 634. (Guardianship.
    635. Forfeitures.
    636. Actions concerning immovableproperty.
    637. Foreign governments and their representa-
        tives.
    638. Public property of one nation within the
        territory of another.
639. Power of consul to appear for member of
        his nation.
640. Judicial power of consuls.
```

Remedial justice.
621. Foreigners are entitled to free access to the tribunals of the nation, within whose territorial limits they may be, for the prosecution and defense of their rights, in all cases within the jurisdiction of the nation as defined in articles 308 and 309 , and are at liberty to employ advocates and agents of whatever description recognized by the local law, whom they may think proper, and in their judicial recourse may enjoy the same privileges and on the same terms, ${ }^{1}$ and no others, ${ }^{2}$ as members of the nation. But this right is subject to the conditions respecting security for costs imposed upon transient persons by the laws affecting local tribunals.'
This Article, which is broader than the existing rule of international law, is founded upon the provisions contained in the following treaties:
Treaty between the United States and
Nicaragua, June 21, 1867, 15 U. S. Stat. at L., 553.
Honduras, July 4, 1864, 13 Id., 703.
Hayti, Nov. 3, 1864, 13 Id., 714.
Bolivia, May 13, 1858, 12 Id., 1010.
Venezuela,
Swiss Confederation,
Two Sicilies,
Guatemala,
San Salvador,
Costa Rica.
Peru, Aug. 27, 1860, 12 Il., 1145.
Nov. 25, 1850, 11 Id., 588.
Oct. 1, 1855, 11 Id., 645.
March 3, 1849, 10 Id., 878.
Jan. 2, 1850, 10 Id., 893.
Jan. 10, 1851, 10 Id., 920.
July 26, 1851, 10 Id., 934.
Argentine Confederation, July 27, 1853. 10 Id., 1008.
Hanover, June 10, 1846, 9 Id., 865.
New Granada, Dec. 12, 1846, 9 Id., 886.
Mecklenburg Schwerin, Dec. 9, 1847, 9 Id., 918.
Treaty between Great Britain and
Colombia, Feb. 16, 1866, Accounts \& Papers, 1867, vol. 74.
Italy, Aug. 6, 1863, Id., 1864, vol. 66.
Salvador, Oct. 24, 1862, Id., 1863, " 75.
Nicaragua, Feb. 11, 1860, Id., 1860, " 68.

Treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation between Frabne and
Now Granada. May 15, 1856, Art. IV., 7 De Clereq. 108.
San Salvador. Jan. 2. 1858.0 IV., 7 Id., 302.

By the treaty of friendahip, commerce and navigation between Frabee and Honduras, Feb. 22, 1856, Art. IV., (\% De Clereq, 10.) it is declared. that, foreigners of elther nation are ensitted to be present in the other nation, at all judicial and oftlial inveatigations, examinations of wit. nemen and decimions in which they are interented; in all casen in which the laws of the nation allow the publicity of such proceedings.

By the convention between the Unlted States and France, 1831, Art. IV.. (8 U.S. Stat, at L.. 439,) foreigners may prosecute claims againat the government of a nation before the competent judicial or administrative authoritiow, on complying with its laws and regulations, the dispowitions and benefits of which shall be applied to them in like manner as to members of the nation.

Theiss, (1 Lane of Nations, 255.) states the rule which obtains in Great Britain, the United States, the Germanic States and Holland, in substance. as follown:

A forelgner equally with a citizen may bring a personal action against a forelgner before the tribunals of the country where the defendant may be. He may bring real or possessory actions, before the tribunals of the country where the thing in controversy is.

The French rule is more restricted. See the case of Casalini against Isabella, ex-Queen of Spain, Feb., 1870.
Some exceptions which are now recognized in the application of this rule should be noticed.

No suit or proceeding can be maintained in the courts of a neatral nation. by the subjects of one belligerent against the subjects of the other for acta growing out of the war. Juando e. Taylor, 2 Paine's U. S. Cire. C\&. Rep., 652.

In France, with few exceptions the tribunals do not exercise juriodic. tion of controversies between foreigners respecting permonal rightm and Interests. but this is a matter of mere municipal policy and convenience. and does not result from any principles of international law. Story, Confe. of L.. \& 542.

The American courts make similar exceptions in some cases between the master and seamen of foreign vessels, and other controversies between translent foreigners.
: De La Vega e. Vianna, 1 Barnetcall d Adodphus, 284, 298; Liverpool Marine Credit Co. e. Hunter, Lase Rep., 3 Chancery App., 486.
${ }^{2}$ The right is thus limited by the provisions of several treaties. e. g..
Treaty between France and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Sardinia, } & \text { March 24, 176_, i Fiwliz, p. } 304 . \\
\text { Switzerland, } & \text { July } 18,1828,1 \text { Fwlir, p. } 306 .
\end{array}
$$

The same.
622. The provisions of the last article apply to a for-
eign nation whose existence is recognized by the nation creating the tribunal.

Ropublic of Mexico r. Arangoiz, 5 Duer (Nen York) Rep., 634; Hullett c. King of Spain, 1 the de Chork's Rep., 169; United States v. Wagner, Lave Rep., 2 Chancery Appeals, 582: King of Prussia 0 . Keupper, 22 Missouri Rep., 550.

## When exercise of jurisdiction may be declined.

623. Any nation may authorize its tribunals to decline the exercise of jurisdiction in cases between foreigners who have an adequate remedy at home.
See Article 621, note 1 .
So far as the nature of the action is concerned, one foreigner may sue another foreigner in American courts for an injury to the person committed in another country, as freely as on a contract made in another country. But for reasons of policy the courts may decline to exercise this jurisdiction, except in special cases. The courts of a country are maintained for the benefit and at the expense of its citizens. It is against public policy to encourage foreigners to bring their matters here for litigation: but if a foreigner flee hither he may be pursued and prosecuted here. De Witt $c$. Buchanan, 54 Barbour (New York) Rep., 31.

## Extra-judicial power.

624. No nation can exercise judicial power within the territorial limits of another nation, except in the cases provided for by this Code, or by special compact.

The formality of a treaty is the best proof of the consent and acquiescence of the nation. Glass 0 . The Betsey, 3 Dallas U. S. Supr. C't. Rep., 6 ; and sce Jecker $c$. Montgomery, 1:3 Huoord's U. S. Supr. (it. Rep., 498. According to the existing rule, however, it is not the only proof, especially in transactions between European princes and Oriental States, in which cases the existence of a tribunal by sufferance of the local authorities has been held sufficient proof of their consent. Opinions of Sir Robert Phillimone, Sir Johi Karslake and Mr. Forsyth. Cases and Opinions in Constitutional Lanc, by Forsyth, p. D31, note.

The same.
625. No nation can execute its process within the exclusive jurisdiction of another. Every act done in attempting such execution is a mere nullity, incapable of binding persons or property anywhere.

Sory, Confl. of L. . S $^{\text {S }} 539$, extended by making the nullity universal, not merely a rule for other jurisdictions.

Pursuit of inmate of foreign ship upon the high seas, for crime, prohibited.
626. An inmate of a foreign ship who commits an in-
fraction of the criminal law of a nation, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ within its territory, cannot be pursued beyond its territory into any part of the high seas."
${ }^{1}$ Thin would not prohibit such'purnuit in casers of iufnation of this Code. a. g.o piracy.

- See Bluntacki, Droil Intern. Cial(M, \& 342, to the eontrary


## Limit of judicial ponor as to absent persons.

627. Except as provided in this Code, a nation can exercise no judicial power over a person within the exclusive jurisdiction of another nation, other than that which it may exercise through his allegiance.

The excoptions are where the absent pernon: (1) voluntarily appearn : or, (2) has some personal relation, as that of humbant, wife or parent, to mome person who is within the jurimedietion: or, (3) was within the jurtm dietion at the commencement of the proceedings, but afterwands almouted himself.

The object of these provisions is not to define the casen in which wach nation will exercise judicial power, but to state thome cases in which it to thought the exercise should the prohibited. In cases nes within these prohibitions, each nation may or may not excercise judicial power as it deems proper.

The judicial power in civil casen will extend in general over the follow. ing classen of persons and property: (l.) All property movable or ims. movable within the territorial limits: (2.) All public national property. and shipping having the national character; (3.) Alt pereons withis the legal territorial or extm-territorial jurisdiction, as dofined by Articlos 30 w and 809 ; and (4.) All the members when not within the exelusive jurim. dietion of any other nation.

It, perhape, should be adderd, that in cases affecting directly then statum or social relations existing between two or more persons, the prower to adjudge relief to a person domiciled within the state is not neceasarily afo fected by the absence of another party to the relation. Thus, for instance. an order of filiation is held valid under the Finglifh statute if founded on service of summons at the last place of abode of the putative (ather, although it be shown that the man was at the time abwent in a foreign country. The Queen r. Damarell, Iame Rep., 3 Quece's Bemed, iot

## Party to the record.

628. The party to the record is the person on whom the jurisdiction of a tribunal depends, where the jurisdiction depends on the person.

Thus a bill lies to enforce an mquitable Interest in lapds held by the defendant in trust for a foreign government. Sharp's Ritde Manafac (\%). c. Rowan, 34 Connecticut Rep., 259.

Limit of judicial poner as to properi?l abroad.
629. Except as provided in this Code, ${ }^{1}$ a nation can exercise no judicial power over private property, which is within the exclusive jurisdiction of another nation, other than such ${ }^{2}$ as it may exercise by controlling the acts of its owner.
${ }^{1}$ See Article 627, note.
2 This exception will include such cases as the jurisdiction to enforce apecific performance of a contract to convey lands in another nation; or to cancel a usurious mortgage on such lands; or to require an assignment by a bankrupt including foreign assets.

## Voluntary appearance.

630. In civil cases, a voluntary appearance of any person, natural or artificial, may be treated as equivalent to personal presence and service within the jurisdiction.

The following authorities, extend the jurisdiction to all persons although beyond the territory, who voluntarily submit themselves to the jurisdiction of the nation in the manner prescribed by its laws in force at the time of such submission. Meeus $c$. Thellusson, 8 Exchequer Rep., 638.
"It is not contrary to natural justice that a man who has agreed to receive a particular mode of notification of judicial proceedings should be bound by a judgment in which that particular mode of notification has been followed, even though he may not have had actual notice of them." Vallee $r$. Dumergue, 4 Exchequer Rep., 290, 303.
"Not only is such a clause binding," (i.e., "a clause that the party in question elects for the purpose of the instrument, a certain spot as his domicil,") "but so also even without express stipulation to that effect is a provision of the law of the place of contract declaring a certain transaction to be of its own force an election of domicil there." Westlake, Private Intern. Lave, S383; Vallee $c$. Dumergne, 4 Exchequer Rep., 290.

So in several of the United States there are statutes providing that contracts executed and to be performed by foreign corporations within the territory, may be enforced there after service of process on the person who represented the forcign company in making the contract, but has ceased to be its agent. Lafayette Insurance Co. o. French, 18 Howard U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 40 ; ; (iillespie v. Commercial Ins. Co., 12 Gray (Mas. sachusetts) Rep., 201.

Effect of judgment by jurisdiction acquired over property.
631. A judgment in a case which is brought within the judicial power of the nation, by reason of the presence or character of the property merely, the person not being subject to the jurisdiction, binds the prop-
erty everywhere: but cannot bind the person any where, except in relation to such property, and its application.

Sory. Compl. of L.. \& 546. Green e. Van Buskirk. 7 Wiallsec U. $\mathcal{S}$ Supr. C\&. Rep., 130.

Effect of judgment by jurisdiction acquired weer person.
632. A judgment, affecting property in a case which is brought within the judicial power of the nation, by reason of the person merely being subject to the jurisdiction, binds such person every where, but cannot di. rectly bind property which is not subject to the judicial power of the nation.
Story, Conjl. of LL., 8543.
Guardianship.
633. The courts of a nation have power to appoint a guardian of the person of an infant who is within their jurisdiction and there domiciled, or of property within their jurisdiction belonging to an infant who is within or without their jurisdiction.

See Johnson e. Beattic, 10 Clark de Fïnnelly's Rep., 42: Melaokey e Reid, 4 Bradford's Suprogate (Neic York') Rep., 334; Stepbens e. Jamen. 1 Mylne d Keen's Rep., 627.

## The same.

634. The courts of a nation may interfere to protect the person and property of one not having legal capacity, if such person is within their jurisdiction, notwithstanding the existence of a foreign guardianship: and to appoint a new guardian for such purpose.

In cases other than of infancy the courts of a nation may determine the question of legal incapacity, upon which the appointment of a guardian depends, so far as it affects the person and movables, unless the person 1 o. domiciled in another nation whose courts have determined such capacity : and in all cases may dotermine snch question as to immorables within its own limits. with the effect prescribed in article 508.

Forfeiture.
635. An action for a forfeiture can be brought only in a court of the nation imposing the forfeiture. The term
> "forfeiture," as used in this article, means a penalty for a wrong, without any necessary relation to the actual damage.

> Westlake, Pricate Intern. Iane, §403: Story, Confl. of L., § 621.
> For example, a statute making officers of a corporation liable for its debte when they fail to make report of the condition of the corporation. berickson n. Smith, is Dutcher (Neo.Jersey) Rep., 166.

## Actinns concerning immovable property.

636. Actions to recover immovable property, or for injuries 'thereto, ${ }^{2}$ can be brought only in the nation within whose territory the property is situated.

Story, Conft. of L., S554.
${ }^{1}$ Doulson r. Matthews, 4 Term Rep., 503: Livingston v. Jefferson. 1 Frockenbrough U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 203; Watts r. Kinney, 6 Hill (Neno lork) Rep., 87: Northern Indiana R. R. n. Michigan Central R. R., 15 Hocard l". S. Šupr. Ct. Rep., 242-244.
${ }^{\text {' }}$ Rogese r. Woodbury, 15 Pickering (Masenchusetts) Rep., 156.
Foreign governments and their representatives.
637. A nation can exercise no judicial power over foreign sovereigns; nor over the property of foreign states. nations or sovereigns: except such property as against the will of the nation is within its jurisdiction, and exeept also as provided in the next article.
The exemption of agents of international intercourse is defined by Articles 139 and 140.
Duke of Brunswick $v$. King of Hanover. 2 Clark \& Finnelly's Rep., (N.S.) 1 .

A foreign sovereign is not amenable to the courts of another country for any act done by him as a sovercign in hisown country ; and does not by appearing to a suit waive his right to take that defense; but if he alon had the character of a subject of the country, distinct from that of a foreign sovereign, he may in respect of acts done in that character be made amenable. Duke of Brunswick $r$. King of Hanover, 6 Beavan's Rep., 1 : : House of Lords C'as., 1.

Publir property of one nation within the territory of another.
638. The judicial powers of a nation extend to the pmblic property of another nation situated within the trritory of the former, for the following purposes nuly :

1. For the purposes of eminent domain ;'
2. For the enforcement of a lien upon such property
created within the jurisdiction, unless claimed by a mem. ber of the nation to which the property belongs: and
3. To cut off such a lien held by the former nation or its members :
Subject to the power of the nation in the last two cases to take possession of the property, for the bernefit of the foreign nation, on indemnifying the creditor.
${ }^{1}$ See Aricle 50.
Ponoer of consul to appear for member of his nation.
4. A consul has power to appear before any court or tribunal, in case of necessity, on behalf of any member of his nation who may be absent, incapable, or not well represented.

Treaty between the U'nited States and New Granada, May folswo Art. III.

Special authority from the parties in interest in not wecessary BlunsteMi, Droit International Codifle, 冬 256 : 1 Kent's ('onnmentarics. 12

## Judicial power of consuls.

640. The consuls of any nation have jurisdiction to de termine controversies of every nature ' arising either at sea or in port, between the officers and crews, or any of them, of ships " belonging to the nation of the consul. without the interference of the local authorities, unless the conduct of the parties disturbs the peace ;' but with. out prejudice to the right of the parties to resort, on their return, to the jndicial authority of their own nation.

By the Act of Congress of the United Statas. (March $5.1 \times \%{ }^{\circ}$, . to remgu late the carriage of passengers on steamships and other vesels, "") all dis. putes and differences of any nature between the captains and their witicers on the one hand, and the passengers of their ships on the other, shall ber brought to and deelded by the circuit or district courts in the (laten) States, to the exclusion of all other courts or authorities, and thio provislon is made a part of the treaty between the C'nited statem asol Italy, Feb. 8, 1868 , Art. XII., 15 U . S. Stat. at Lo., ( Tr..) INs

In the case of the "Golubchick," 1 W . Kobineon Rep., 165 , liss, is was held that the Court of Admiralty has a right to interfere in wuts for wages prosecuted by foreign seamen against forelgn vessels.

Congent of the forelgn minister or consul is not essential the found the ju riadiction of the court in such a case. It is necessary, however, that mothec of intended proceedings should be given in the first instance to the mpresens ative of the government to which the vessel proceeded against belonga.

In La Blache e. Rangel. Lave Rep., 2 P. (. App. 38. Laord Rowila,
held, that if a foreign consul, by protest, objects to the prosecution of a suit, the Court of Admiralty will determine whether it is proper that the sult should procced or lee stayed. Such protest does not ipso facto operate ns a bar to the prosecution of the suit, as the foreign consul has not the power to put a reto on the exercise of jurisdiction by the Court of Admiralty.

The consul may thus intervene even against the claim of a member of the nation ; it is the nationality of the vessel, and not the nationality of the individual seaman suing for wages, that regulates the course of procedure.
${ }^{1}$ Convention between the United States and Italy, Feb. 8, 1868, Art. XI., 15 U. S. Stat. at L., 609.
${ }^{2}$ Perhaps this should be expressly restricted to private ships.
${ }^{3}$ Some of the French treaties qualify this by the additional exception of cases, "where a member or inhabitant of the country or one not attached to the vessel is involved."

4 Treaty between the United States and
Denmark, July 11, 1861, Art. I., 13 U.S.Stat. at L., 605.
Venczuela, August 27 , 1860. " XXVI., 12 Id., 1143.
Many other treaties contain substantially similar provisions.

## CHAPTER XLIX.

## JUDICIAL POWER IN CRIMINAL CASES.

abticle 641. Criminal jurisdiction of a nation over its own members.
642, 643, 644. Criminal jurisdiction of a nation over foreigners.
645. Torts agninst immigrants by carriers.
646. Conspiracies against foreign government.

64\%. Limit of punishment of foreigners.
645. Punishment on foreign private ships.
649. Foreigners within a nation without their consent.
G50. Pirates subject to the criminal jurisdiction of all nations.

Criminal jurisdiction of a nation over its own members.
641. The members of a nation are subject to criminal prosecution in its own tribunals, and in no others, for an infraction of its criminal law committed within its ex-
clusive jurisdiction, except as provided by this Chapter.

For such infractions committed within its concurrent jorisdiction, they are thus subject until tried for the same acts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and convicted or acquitted in a competent tribunal of the nation where the act was committed.
' Although the act committed within the concurrent juriediction tnay constifute a different crime by the law of each of the nations, there meems to be no reamon for allowing a double punimharent.

Criminal jurisdiction of a mation orer foreigners.
642. The administration of criminal justice by a nation, through itstribunals, extends to foreigners actually within its territorial limite, who have committed an infraction of its criminal law in whole or in part, "either,

1. Within its territorial limits : or,
2. On board the public versels of the natien in any place whatever: or
3. On board the private vessels of the nation on the high seas : or,
4. On board the private vessels of the nation, within the territorial jurisdiction of another nation. if the offender have not been already trid for the act in question and acquitted, or convicted in a competent tribunal of the nation where the offense was committed.

[^64]of which the crime had been committed, arrives at a port, the jurisdictional right of the nation to which the ship belongs over the accused does not on that account cease. So that if the accused were a foreign subject of the nation to which the port belongs, at which the ship stops, even in that case it is the right of the captain to detain him on board, that he may be judged by the tribunals of the ship's country. And if this passenger should get on shore, and should institute before the tribunals of his country proceedings against the captain, the local authority will be incompetent to judge the foreign captain, because the fact in question occurred in a foreign country,-that is, on board a foreign vessel on the high seas,-and because, by embarking on that ship, the party is presumed to have submitted himself to the laws of the foreign territory of which the ship constitutes a part.

Compare 18 and 19 Vict. ch. 91, § 21; The Queen r. Lopez. 27 Law Journ., Mag. Cases, 48; C'ases d: Opinions in Constitutional Lave, by Forsyth, p. 235 ; and United States Crimes Act of 1825, 4 U. S. Stat. at L., 115.

Riquelme. cited above. lays down the rule that, crimes committed on board a private vessel within the territorial jurisdiction of a nation are cognizable by the courts of that nation, unless the offense affects only the interior discipline of the ship without disturbing or compromising the tranquility of the port and without affecting a citizen or domiciled resident of the country, and the local authorities must not interfere except at the instance of the consul and in aid of the jurisdiction of the nation to which the vessel belongs.

The conflict of authorities in reference to this rule will be found reviewed in Bishop on Criminal Law, §s 595-600.

## The same.

643. The criminal jurisdiction of a nation extends to foreigners:
644. Who commit theft beyond its limits, and bring, or are found with, the property stolen, within the same ; or,
645. Who, being beyond its limits, abduct or kidnap, by force or fraud, any person, contrary to the laws of the place where such act is committed, and send or convey such person within the limits of the nation, and are afterwards found therein ; or,
646. Who, being beyond its limits, cause, or aid, advise or encourage, another person to commit any act, or be guilty of any neglect within the same, which is a criminal act or neglect according to the laws of the nation, and who are afterwards found within its limits.

Penal Code, Reported for New York, § 15.

## The same.

644. The criminal jurisdiction of a nation extends also to forvigners found within its juriseliction, who have committed at any place beyond its territorial lim. its either as principals or accessories any of the follow. ing infractions of its criminal law :
645. A crime against its national safety: or,
646. Counterfeiting or forging its national seal, national papers, national money having currency within its limits, or bills of any bank authorized by its laws.

Thene provisions are taken from the law of June 25, 1866, amending $\Delta$ ricles 5,6 and 7 of the French C'riminal Code, vol.9, p. 553.

## Torts against immigrants b!/ carriers.

645. Carriers, of whatever nationality, whobring the aggrieved person within the territorial limits of a nation, are punishable through its tribunals for any wrong committed by them against an immigrant, which, if committed within its exclusive jurisdiction, would be an infraction of its criminal law.

According to the opinions of Sir Jous Dobson. Sir Jons Romili.y and Sir A. E. Cocknubs, (Fursyth's Ciases af Opinions in Constitusional Lase. p. 298,) the courts of Great Britain and of her colonics mow have no such jurisdiction in case of injuries committed on the high seas under a foreign tlag.

This Article is proposed to obviate such a failure of justice.

## Conspiracies against foreign nation.

646. Conspiracies formed within the jurisdiction of one nation against the government of a friendly nation and carried into effect by overt acts, are punishable by either nation within whose jurisdiction the offender is found.

See Regina r. Benard, 1 Fouter df Finlasort's Rep., 240 : Cases d Opinioms in Constitutional Lame, by Fursyth, p. 2se.

## Limit of punishment of foreigmers.

647. No greater punishment can be intlicted by any nation on a foreigner than is allowed by the law of the place to be inflicted on a member of the nation in a like case.

Punishment on forcign prizate ships.
648. No punishment can be inflicted on troard of
private ships of one nation, within the territorial jurisdiction of another, greater than is allowed by the law of the latter, for the like offense committed on board of domestic ships.

## Fureigners within a nation without their consent.

649. The provisions of article 642 apply to foreigners brought or being within the territory of a nation without their free consent.

Regima r. Lopez, 7 Coxe's Criminal Cawes ; S. C., 1 Dearsly \&: Bell's C'roien Cases, 525.
See People r. McLeod, ${ }^{5} 5$ Wendell (Nen York) Rep., 602. "It is a well settled rule of international law that a foreigner is bound to regard the criminal laws of the country in which he may sojourn, and for any offense there committed he is amenable to those laws. . . . His escape into Canada did not purge the offense nor oust our jurisdiction. Being retaken and brought in fact within our jurisdiction, it is not for us to inquire by what means or in what precise manner he may have been brought within the reach of justice." State $r$. Brewster, $\boldsymbol{r}$ Vermont Rep., 122, 123.
" There is no offense in trying, and, if he be guilty, convicting the subject of a foreign government who has been guilty of a violation of our laws within our jurisdiction ; or if he had made his escape from our jurisdiction and by any accident were thrown within it again; if he were shipwrecked on our coast, or fraudulently induced to land by a representation that it was a different territory, with a view to his being given up for prosecution, there would seem to be no reason for exempting him from responsibility to our laws." State $x$. Smith, 1 Bailey Lano Rep., 292.
see Eirp. Scott, 9 Barncioall \& ''ressicell's Rep., 446 ; Bishop's C'riminal Lale, 694 ; Britton's Case, 2 City Hell Rec., (New York,) 119.

Pirates subject to the criminal jurisdiction of all nations.
650. Persons guilty of piracy, as defined in Chapter X.. on Piracy, are subject to be tried in the courts of any nation within whose jurisdiction they are found, and to be punished as its laws prescribe.

Every human being, whether belonging to a civilized or uncivilized community. and with or without social or political ties, is under the protection of law, and if he does not belong to a nation having a recognized government, an injury to his person or property by a member of any nation, party to this Code, shall be deemed a violation of public law, and punishable as piracy.

# XXVIII. 

## Procedtire.

Abticte 651, 652. Law of the forum.<br>653, 654. Meanure of damages.<br>635. Absence of proof of foreign law

## Law of the forum.

651. The form of the remedy and the mode of proceedure are governed by the law of the place where the proceeding is taken.
 500; Sherman e. Gasset, 4 Gilman (Illinois) Rep., 581: Mason e. Dousay. 35 Illineis Rep., 494.
"On matten of procedure all mankind whether aliens or liage subjects . . are bound by the law of the forum. If a law were rade upon thify subject working oppressions and injustice so the subjecter of a foreign State, that State might make ropresentations and remonstrances against this law to our government; but while it remains in foren judges have no choice but to give it effect." Lopmez e. Burslem, 4 Moore's Priry Council Rep., 305.

The rule extends to the question of parties wa judlelal prockeding. Wesslake, Prieate International Lane, 409 : Kirkland c. Lawe, 33 Mississippi Rep., 423; Wilson e. Clark, 11 Indiana Rep., 3xis : Foss e. Nutting. so Maseachusetts (14 Gray) Rep., 484 ; Blane e. Drummond, 1 Brockenbrowgh U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 62; Raymond e. Johnson, 11 Johnson's (Neve York) Rep., 490 : Roosa e. Crist, 11 Minvis Rep., 450).

The rule extends to the admissibility of a set off, counter claim, re coupment or compensation. Story, Conft of L... 8575; Westake's Pricate Intern. Lo. \& 411 ; 2 Parsons on Contracts, 592: Bank of Gallopolis e. Trimble, 6 B. Monroe (Kentucky) Rep., 399.
The rule extends to defenses under statutes of limitation.
Mr. Westhace, however, contends that statutes of limitation are ensen tial modifications of the righte created by the jurisprudence in which they exist, principally on the ground that "a right is only a faculty of putting the law in force,"-i. e., by means of an active judicial remedy The definition is certainly opposed to authority. (Lindeat' I Introdve tion to Juridiction, App. CXV.. CXXV'. : Windecheid Pandeden. SS 30 , 258 :) and doem not agree with the rule, that, a lien, morgage or pledge, is not extinguished by the limitation having attached to the principal obligation, (Oivil Code, reported for New York, \&1605: Breat e. ank of Washington. 10 Petert U.S. Supr. CY. Mep., 506: Eiastman P. Footer,

49 Massachusetts Rep., 24;1 Washburn on Real Property, Book I., ch. 16 S28, p. 561 ;) and in general, it is certain that a lien may exist although no action lies toenforce it. Re Bromhead, 16 Lavo Journ., Queen's Bench Rep., $8 \sin ^{5}$; Kellett r. Kelly, 5 Irish Fiquity, 34, 37 ; The Siren, 8 Wallace U'. s. supr. ('t. Rep., 158.

## The same.

652. Where the law of the place not only bars the remedy but vests the title to personal property or extinguishes the right ; the title so acquired, or right so extinguished remain the same everywhere.

Fears r. Sykes, 35 Mississippi Rep., 633: Mosely $\boldsymbol{r}$. Williams,5 Howard U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 523; Shelby v. Gay, 11 Wheaton U. S. Supr. Ctt. Rep., 362.

Astatutory prescriptive title acquired by possession extends to cases where the possession is beyond the territory of the State enacting the statute, if the action to enforce the title is brought within such territory. Blackburn c. Morton, 18 Arkansas Rep.. 384.

## Measure of damages.

653. The measure of compensation in damages which may be awarded in a judicial proceeding is governed by the law of the place where the cause of action arose.

The foreign penalty cannot be also awarded, 22 Illinois Rep., 609. Where the statutes of a State, making shareholders in a corporation liable for the debts of the corporation,-prescribe the remedy to enforce the same, the courts of another State will not allow creditors to pursue against its own citizens a different remedy which will operate with greater hardship upon them. Erickson $x$. Nesmith, 81 Massachusetts Rep., 221; Halsey c. McLean, 94 Massuchusetts Rep., 438.

The same.
654. Where money is the object of the proceeding, the tribunal must allow that sum in the currency of the country where the proceeding is brought, which will place the party in funds to the amount due in the country where the debt is payable, calculated by the real par and not by the nominal par of exchange.

Story, Confl. of L.., : s: 309.
The measure of damages is the amount in the money of the forum which is there equal to the sum which the plaintiff was entitled to abroad.

Stanwood v. Flagg, 98 Massachusetts Rep., 124; Nickerson 0. Soesman, 98 Id., 364 ; Cushing $\varepsilon$, Wells, 98 Id., 550 ; Marburg $v$. Marburg, 26 Maryland Rep., 8; Benners c. Clemens, 58 Pennsylvania State Rep., 24.

## Absence of proof of foreign laso.

## 655. The tribunals must in every case apply the law

 of their own nation, unless a foreign law applicable to the case is shown.Foulke e. Fleming. 13 Maryland Rep., 382; Bean r. Briggn, 4 Ioved Rep., 4ht: Interpretation, Whidden e. Seelye, to Maine Rep, 24\%. To the contrary, Cammell c. Sowell, st Hurlstone de Norman Rep. itto. Cirib Coute. reported for Neve York, \& $188 \%$.
A party who relles noma right or an exemption by foreign law. in bound to bring auch law properly before the court and eatablish it in full. otherwise the court, not being entitled to take notice of nuch law without judicial proof, must proceed according to the law of fin own nation. Leloyd e. Oinbert, Lasu Rep. 1 Queeris Beneh, 115.

It is a well settled rule founded on reamon and authorty, thas the lez fori, or in other words, the law of the country to whom courn a party appeals for redresw, furnishes in all cases, prima fucie, the rule of doelsion; and if either party wishes the benefit of a different rule or law, an, for instance the lex domicilii, les loci contractus, or les loci rei side, be must aver and prove it. Norria e. Harris, 15 California (Harmon) Rep., 296. To this rule, an exception has heretofore been recognized to nome extent In the United States by preamming that the common law prevails.

## TITLE XXIX.

## EVIDENCE.

## Articlef.656. Admissibility and effect of evidence.

657, 658. Notary's certificate.
659. Evidence of foreign laws.
660. Record, how authenticated.
661. Oral evidence of foreign record.
662. Manner of proving other official documents.
663. Contents of official certificates.
664. Taking foreign testimony.
665. Form of oath or affirmation.

## Admissibility and effect of evidence.

656. The law of the nati $n$ within whose jurisdiction a tribunal acts, determines the admissibility and effect of evidence produced before it.

Blocker 0 . Whittenburg, 12 Louisiana Annual Rep., 410.
Westlake's Private Intern. Lavo. SS. 172, 177. 412.
Some authorities, however, make an exception to this rule in the case of books of account ; and state that their effect as evidence is governed by the law of the place where they are kept. See Story, Confl. of L., § 635 : Falix, Iroit International Prié, I., p. 461.

The presumptions arising from a contract are said by Demangeat, 1 Falix. Dr. Intern. Priré, p. 461, note ( $a$, ) to be within this rule; but the opinion of Foclix, ( $1 \mathrm{Id} ., \mathrm{p} .460$, ) to the contrary is considered to be correct.

Notary's certificate.
657. The certificate of a notary, if made under his signature and seal of office, is sufficient in form whereever produced in evidence.

In re Davis' Trusts, Laur Rep., 8 Equity Series, 98.
Where an affidavit is sworn before a notary abroad, the signature must be verified by oath before it can be received here, though the rule has been relaxed where the fund was very small, (Mayne $v$. Butler, 13 Weekly Rep., 128.)

In re Earl's Trusts, 4 Kay \& Johnson's Rep., 3 (ro.
The same.
658. The certificate by a notary' of his presentment
for and demand of acceptance or payment of any instrument which is negotiable by the law of the place where it is payable, and of the refusal of either, and of his protest of such instrument, and of his service of notice thereof on any or all the parties, specifying the mode of giving such notice and the reputed place of residence of the party to whom the same was given, creates a disputable presumption of the facts contained in such certificate' as against all persons, but not in favor of the notary himself or his successors in interest.'
${ }^{1}$ A uniform rule secms desirable in the case of negotiable paper, leav. Ing the effect of other notarial certificatea to be governed by the law of the forum. The American courts hold that the admimaibility and effect of a notary's certificate are governed by the law of the forum. Kirkland - Wanzer, 2 Duer (Nele York) Rep., 278 ; Blocker v. Whittenburgh, 12 Louisiana Annual Rep., 410 ; Gautt e. Gautt, 12 Id., 673 . An exception is made by the Laves of Now York, 1865, ch. 309, in the case of a proteat, \&c. . of a foreign bill, note or check.
${ }^{3}$ Laves of New York, 1833, ch. 271, 88; Bank of Vergennes, 7 Barbour (Net York) Rep., 143.
${ }^{3}$ This exception is omitted in the Now York statute. but seems a reasonable one.

## Evidence of foreign laws.

659. Copies of statutes, codes or other written laws, and of the proclamations, edicts, decrees and ordinances of the executive power of any foreign nation, when authenticated by the great or principal seal of the nation, or printed in its books or documents purporting or proved to have been published by the authority thereof, or proved to be commonly admitted as evidence of the existing law, in the tribunals of such nation, are to be admitted by the tribunals of other nations, on all occasions, as presumptive evidence of such laws, proclamations, edicts, decrees and ordinances. The unwritten or customary law of a nation may be proved by oral evidence; and the books of reports of cases adjudged in its tribunals, may also be admitted as presumptive evidence of such law.

See Laves of New York, , 1869, ch. 883.
The unwritten law of a foreign country is a fact to be proved, as other facta, by the testimony of experts; the statutory law, by the law liself. or an exemplified copy.

> Baltimore \& Ohio 1B. R. Co. c. Glenn, 28 Maryland Rep., 287; Gardner r. Lewis, 7 Gill (Maryhand) Rep., 377 ; De Sobry o. De Laistre, 2 Harris \& Johnson's (Maryland) Rep., 191.
> A construction given to the statutes or constitution of a State by its supreme judicinl tribunal, is to be followed by the courts of other States. Franklin . Twogood, 25 Ioto Rep., 520 .

Record, hovo authenticated.
660. A judicial record of a foreign nation may be proved by the attestation of the clerk, with the seal of the tribunal annexed, if there be a clerk and seal, or of the legal keeper of the record with the seal of his office annexed, if there be a seal, together with a certificate of the chief judge or presiding officer, that the person making the attestation is the clerk of the tribunal or the legal keeper of the record, and in either case that the signature of such person is genuine; together with the certificate of the minister or other officer having charge of foreign affairs of the nation, under whose authority the record is kept, and having the custody of the greart or principal seal of such government, to the effect that the tribunal whose judicial act is certified, had jurisdiction to perform such act, verifying the signature of the clerk, or other legal keeper of the record, and also verifying the signature of the chief judge, or presiding officer.

## Oral evidence of foreign record.

661. A copy of the judicial record of a foreign nation is also admissible in evidence, upon proof,
662. That the copy offered has been compared by the witness with the original, and is an exact transcript of the whole of it ;
663. That such original was in the custody of the cle̊rk of the tribunal, or other legal keeper of the same; and,
664. That the copy is duly attested by a seal, which is proved to be the seal of the tribunal where the record remains, if it be the record of a tribunal, or if there be no such seal, or if it be not a record of a tribunal, by the signature of the legal keeper of the original.

## Manner of proving other off-ial documents. <br> 662. Other foreign official documents may be proved as follows:

1. The acts of the executive, or the proceedings of the legislature, by journals published by their authority, or commonly received as such within the jurisdiction of the nation, or by a copy certified under the sual of the nation or sovereign, or by a recognition thereof, in some public act of the executive.
2. Foreign documents of any other class, by the original, or by a copy certified by the legal keeper thereof, with a certificate under the seal of the nation or sovereign, that the document is a valid and subsist. ing document of such nation, and that the eopy is duly certified by the officer having the legal custody of the original.

Contents of official certificates.
663. Whenever a copy of a writing is certified for the purpose of evidence, the cortificate must state that the copy has been compared by the certifying officer with the original, and is a correct transcript therefrom, and of the whole of such original, or of a specified part thereof. The official seal, if there be any, of the certifying officer, must also be affixed to the certificate.

## Taking foreign lestimony.

664. It is the duty of the tribunals of a nation to assist the tribunals of other nations in procuring evidence on the application, duly anthenticated, of such foreign tribunals, transmitted in the manner prescribed by the law of the nation where the evidence exists.

Nelson er. United States, 1 Peterai U. S. C'ine. C't. R-p., 236, note.
Petition of Jay and Clerke, 5 Sindford's (Neic York) Rep. bit
This mode of proceeding was, bowever, disapproved in Ferrio e Public Administmator, 3 Bradford's Surrogato (Neie York) Rcp., 249, 264, as as. posed to the objection that it removed the investigation into a forrign court and subjected it to foreign rules of evidence.

The French tribunals execute letsers mgatory, which are transmisted to them by the Minister of Jastice, who receives thom from the Minister of Forelgn Aftairs. Finior. Droit Intern. Priei. 1., p. t66. Similar pro. visions exist in Austria, Id., p. 472.
In the United Stater the courts act without these furmalisice

Form of oath or affirmation.
665. An oath or affirmation administered in the form required by the law of the nation within whose juris diction it is administered, on the requisition of a foreign tribunal specifying no form, is a sufficient authentica tion of the testimony of a witness.
See Folix, Droit Intern. Privé, 1., 㐨247-249.

## TITLEXXX.

## EFFFCT OF JUDGMF:NTA

> Anticien abo. Force of public or judicial acts.
> 607. Eiffect of forelgn judgmenta.
> 608. Impeachment of forvign judgmant.
> 609. Forwign judgment, forbldden to be enforead
> 670. Consent to execution of forelgn judgment.
> 681. Judgment in rem.
> 672. Judgment as to status of a person.
> 673. Effect of foreign judgmenten of divoren, in. solvency and nuccesslon.

Force of public or judicial acts.
666. Full faith and credit shall be given in each nation to the public acts, records and judicial proceedings of the tribunals of every other nation, party to this Code. in cases within its jurisdiction, as herein defined.
This is the rule adopted betwoen the States of the American Union, by the Constitution of the United Slates, Art. IV.. है 1.
' This should apply even though jurisdiction was assumed under the express direction of positive law, (Biahop on Marriage and Iheoree, rol. II., \& 182: Rowe e. Himely. 4 Craneh's U. S. Circ. (\%. Rep.. 241,) and to judgments in rem or determining shatus, as well as to personal judg. ments.
The concluding qualification here added, refersing to the uniform limit of jurisdiction prescribed by this Code, will preclude the uncertainty which has arisen in the American courts in the application of the rule (United States Constitution. Art. $\mathbb{N}^{\circ} ., \&$ 1) to cases where one State is ac. customed to exercise juriadiction under circumstances in which another would refuse to exercise it, and therefore would refuse to give effect to a judgment of the former.
It may, perhaps, be thought desirable that this provision abould be ex tended to judgments, \&c., of the nations which are not partiee to this Code.

## Effect of foreign judgments.

667. A personal judgment has no effect beyond the jurisdiction of the nation within which it was rendered. nor within such jurisdiction as against foreigners, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ anless it appears from the record itself or other proof that it was rendered ;

## 1. By a competent tribunal : and,

2. Between parties either duly appearing, or cited, and legally represented, or defaulting.'

The record is nevertheless open to contradiction in respect to any juris. dictional fact alleged therein.
${ }^{1}$ It seems impossible to give this rule its proper effect, if it is applied to foreign judgments only. If an English surety should sue his American principal in Scotland for money obtained from the surety under the compulsory process of a suit in Scotland without due appearance or citation, the judgment in that suit ought not to be evidence against the priacipal, even in Scotland.
${ }^{2}$ By the declaration of September 11, 1860, between France and Sardinia for the reciprocal execution of decrees and judgments of superior courts. ( 8 De Clereq, 118, ) it was provided that a court in considering a foreign judgment can question it only in the three following points :First, whether the decision was that of a competent tribunal ; second, whether it was rendered between parties duly cited and legally represented or defaulting ; third, whether the rules of public law or the interests of public order in the country where the execution of the judgment is demanded are opposed to the enforcement of the decision of the foreign tribunal.

The enactment of a provision creating a presumption in favor of the competency of a superior tribumal does not seem desirable, particularly as it is easier to prove the competency of a court than to disprove it. See Latues of Nere York, 1865.

By the rule which prevails among the American States, however, a judgment of a superior court of another State is presumed to have been rendered in a case where the court had jurisdiction unless the want of jurisdiction affirmatively appears by the record or by other proof. Bissell r. Wheelock, 65 Massuchusctts Rep., 277 ; Buffinan v. Stimpson, 87 Id., 591 ; Dunbar r. Hallowell, 34 Illinois Rep., 168; Sanford $r$. Sanford, 28 Connecticut Rep., 6; Gordon r. Robinson, 15 Maine Rep., 167; Rankin v. (iondard, 54 Id., 333. This appears also to be the rule applied by the English courts to foreign judgments. Barber v. Lamb, 8 Common Bench Rep., 95, and cases there cited.

Some earlier authorities tend to the conclusion that a foreign judg. ment cannot be disputed where the court by which the judgment was rendered has jurisdiction of the subject of the suit and of the parties.

These rules are only applied by the English courts, where it appears that the judgment was on the merits, and that by the law of the place where it was recovered, the decision was final. Frayes $r$. Worms, 10 Common Bench Rep., (N. S., 153.

## Impeachment of foreign judgment.

668. A foreign judgment may be impeached for fraud or collusion.

The authorities restrict the rule to fraud or collusion which could not
have been proved in the action. But it is suggented that this quallaca tion should be ousitted.

Foreign judgment, forbidulen to be enforced.
669. No nation is bound to give effect to a foreign judgment, if the enforcement is forbidden by an express' provision of its own law.

See Article G6B.
Consent to execution of foreign judigment.
670. A foreign judgment cannot be executed with. in the territorial jurisdiction of a nation without its consent.

Judgment in rem.
671. A judgment against a specific thing, whether it expressly determines the title to the thing or merely directs specifically its sale,' is conclusive upon all the world as to the title under the judgment or under the sale had pursuant to it.
${ }^{1}$ Judgmenta directing sale for satisfaction of a debt, were held so be in the nature of judgments in rem, and governed by the rule abore stated in turio o. Castrique, 8 Common Bench Rep., (N. S..) 405.

Judgment as to status of a person.
672. A judgment in respect to the personal, political or legal condition or relation of a particular person is conclusive upou all persons.

Judgments on pedigree have been held within the rule that the record of a judgment in rem is evidence of the tacts adjudicated against all the world. Ennis r. Smith, 1852,14 Hovard's U. S. Supreme C\%. Rep., 400.

Effect of foreign julgments of divorce, insoloency and succession.
673. The effect of a judgment, rendered in a case of divorce, or of the administration of the estate of an insolvent or decedent, is subject to the provisions of the next three Chapters.

## TITLE XXXI.

## RULES APPLICABLE TO PARTICULAR SUBJEC'TS

Chapter L. Divorce.

LI. Bankruptcy and insolvency.
LII. Estates of decedents.
LIII. Admiralty.

## CHAPTER $L$.

## DIVORCE.

Article 674. Power of divorce.
675. Jurisdiction unaffected by change of domicil.
676. The domicil required for jurisdiction.

67\%. Judgment of divorce for defendant.
678. Judgment of divorce everywhere valid.
679. Sufficiency of cause of divorce.
680. Evasion of law.
681. Obligations.
682. Disabilities.
683. "Divorce" defined.

The following Articles on the vexed subject of divorce have been framed with a general regard to the principle suggested by Westlake, (Private Intern. L., p. 342.) " that divorces should not be granted when they will not be internationally respected, nor refused when they are demanded by the policy and morals of the forum."

As the law now stands, hardly any state observes the same rule in recognizing the validity of divorce granted by other states, which it asserts in the exercise of its own jurisdiction to grant divorce. The decided tendency of the English and American decisions, however, is toward the simple and uniform principle, that the jurisdiction to grant divorce ought to depend upon the domicil of the parties, or of one of them, at the time of suit.

The contradictory or conflicting rules which various states have adopted as defining their own jurisdiction in this respect may be contrasted as follows:

1. That a State may grant divoreen to the own eitizene or aubjects.
2. That a State where a marriago is contracted may dimeolve the mar. riage.
3. That the State where the huaband was domiciled at the time of his marriage, may diswolve the marriage.
4. That a State within which an offense against the marriage tio is committed may dimiolve the marriage.
5. That a State in which the partien, (that is to may the husband.) had a domicll at the time of an offonse, wherover the offense may have been committed, han jurimiliction.
6. That the State where the injured party is domieiled at the time of the ofienve, bas jurimdiction.
7. That the State in which the partion, (that is to say the husband,) has domicil at the time of sult, han juriediction.

This rule is, by some authorities, quallifed, by conceding that the hus. band cannot, after the offeuse, change hin domicil no an to prevent she wife from proceeding in the provioun domicil.
8. That the State in which a plaintiff is domiciled has juriadiction with. out respect to the domicil of the defendant.
9. That the State in which either party in domiciled at the time of sult hat jurisdiction.

In many States, particularly those which refer to the domicil at the time of sait, an actual domicll for all intenta and purposes is not required, but a residence for a longer or shorter period prescribed by poal. tive rule.

The firmt rule in asmerted on the principles that the status of persons muat be determined by the domicil of origin, the land of their birth, and that subjects. wherever they are, must be regarded, at least by the courts of their own country, as retaining their original character.

The thind rule is assertod by some authorities as the exclusive ground of juriediction, upon the principle that the law of the place should be re. ganded as a part of the contract.

The fifth rule is asserted an the exclusive rule of jurisdiction, on the ground that the offense is against the law which governs the status of the parties.

The seventh, upon the ground that it is for rach State to regulate the status of persons domiciled within it: and that, as the law which may be in force at the time of suit ought to govern, so the law of the place of which the parties are subject at the sime of suit ought to govern.

The other rules aseerted are embodied, either singly or in combination. In the leginlation of various States, but have not been the subject of $\infty$ much diseussion in an international point of view.

The provisions of the statutes of a few of the American States area followe:

The rule In Masachuettes in reference to the granting of judicial declarations of the nullity of marriages, void under the statute, is as follows : Upon prool of the fraud or other caune of nullity, the marriage ahall be declared void by a eentence of divorce or nullity, notwithstanding that such marriage was solemnized out of the State, If the libellant had ths domicil
here when the marriage was so, solemnized and when the libel was filed. Gen. Seat. of Masuchusctes. of $1860, \mathrm{p}, 532$. S 4.
In reference to divorces, strictly so called, the rule is as follows: When the libellant has resided in this state five consecutive years next preceding the time of filing the libel, a divorce may be decreed for any cause allowed by law, whether it occurred in this commonwealth or elsewhere; unless it appear, that the libellant has removed into this State for the purpose of procuring a divorce. $I d$., $\$ 11$.

The law of Connceticut is as follows: "If the petitioner shall have removed from any other State or nation to this Stnte, and shall not have statedly resided in the State three gears next before the date of the petition, the petitioner shall not take anything by the petition, unless the cause of divorce shall have arisen subsequently to the removal into this State, or unless the adverse party shall have statedly resided in this State three years next before the date of the petition, and actual service of the petition shall have been made upon such party, in which cases the the petitioner may maintain the petition, although he or she shall not have removed into this State, nor resided therein, three years next before the date of the petition." Gen. Stat. of Connecticut, of 1866, p. 306, $\$ 35$.

In Pennaylrania, the statute which formerly allowed divorces to be granted only on the application of a citizen of the State who has resided for a year within it has been morlified to allow divorces to be granted to a plaintiff who either is a citizen or has resided in the State for one year previous to filing the petition. Purdon's Digest, by Brightly, 346-7.

The law of the place of the actual bona fide domicil of the parties, gives jurisdiction to the proper courts to decree a divorce for any cause allowed by the local laws without reference to the law of the place of the original marriage. This is the rule laid down, as that established in Pennsylvania, in Colvinc. Reed, 55 Pennsylvania State Rep., 335.

But the courts held that the power is only to be exercised where the parties at the time of the injury were actually domiciled within the State. Dorsey c. Dorsey, $\boldsymbol{7}$ Watts (Pennsylcania) Rep., 349. This rule has been extended in the case of desertions, to those taking place in other States of the C'nion, but not to those taking place abroad. Bishop $v$. Bishop, 30 Pennsylrania state Rep., 412.

In Neic York, in cases of adultery, Sc., the courts have jurisdiction:

1. Where both husband and wife were inhabitants of this state, at the time of the commission of the offense ;
2. Where the marriage has been solemnized, or has taken place in this state, and the injured party, at the time of the commission of the offense, and at the time of exhibiting the bill of complaint, shall be an actual inhabitant of this State:
3. Where the offense has been committed in this state, and the injured party, nt the time of exbibiting the bill of complaint, is an actual inhabitant of this State. ENor York Rerised Statutes. p. 144, s 38.

The statute of Ohis requires the petitioner to be a resident of the State at least one year before filing the petition, and allows a divorce whether the marriage took place or the cause of divorce occurred within the State
or olsowhere. If the defendant in not a rewident of the emantry, errvice mas be by publication and matiling. Rer. Staf. of OAio, by Sucti. dee, vol. I., p. 518. 511.

The atatute of Minois in. "No permon ahall be entitied to a divome in pursuance of the provisions of thim chapter, who has not resided in the State one whole year provioun to flitigg his or her bill or petition, unlese the offense or Injury complained of was committed within this state, or whilst one or both of the partien resided in thin State." Stitutes of thinoio, by Seates, T. \& B., vol. I., p. 150. \& 3.
The statute of Inditinn providen that, " divoreen may be deeredt by the edrcult courts of this state, on petition filed by any permon, who, at the dime of alling such potition, whall hare bren a bona ple resident of the State one year previous to the filing of the eame, and a rexident of the county at the time of the filing of such petition. which bona fide residence shall be duly proven by such petitioner to the satisfaction of the court trying the name." Aet of March 4. 1859. Statutes of Indiana. of 1802. vol. II., p. 350, 86.
The statute of California requires residence within the State by the plaintiff for divorce, for a period of six months immediately proceding the application. General Laves of Cialifornia, vol. I., p. 2415.
The rule in Virginia is as follows: " No such suit whall be maintain. able. unleas the parties, or one of them, is a resident of the State at the time of bringing the suit. The suit shall be brought in the county or corporation in which the parties last cohabited, or (at the option of the plaintiff,) in the county or corporation in which the defendant resides, if a resident of the State: but if uot, then in the county or corporation in which the plaintiff resides." Code of Firginia, of 1800, p. $\mathbf{3 3 0}, \$ 8$.

Nearly all these rules, it will be seen, authorize divorces in casees in which the existing rules of international law would not recognize the validity of a divorce.

Under the English statufe it is understood that the courts have juris. diction to grant divorces where the domicil of the parties in Finglish, al though the marriage and adultery took place abroad. They almo have juriadiction where the parties are Einglish subjects although the offendligg hustand has changed his domicil and committed the offence in a foreign domicil, and also where foreigners domiciled abroad are married in England acconding to Fuglish law. Chisty's Natubes, vol. I., p. 1275. note a.

Thn English statute authorizes the pertition to be merved within or without the dominion, or service to be dispensed with entirely by the cours.
The provisions of this Chapter, in connection with those of Chapter XXXIX. on Marbiage, have been framed in the dexioc to prement a ruie which would a void the grave inconveniences attending an irreonacilable condict of jurindiction in referonce to a statun so clomely connerted with the morals and welfare of mociety. No State, it in moneired, ougtt to grant divoreen uponany rule of juriadiction which it will not meciprocally recognise and respeet when exercised by any other State.

- Po:ver of dinorce.

674. The power of a nation to grant divorces exists in the following cases only:
675. When both parties have their domicil within the nation at the time the application for a divorce is made:' or,
676. When either party has such a domicil and the other is within the jurisdiction of the nation, and has personal notice of the proceeding ; ${ }^{2}$ or,
677. When the marriage was contracted within the nation or by its officers, and the applicant for the divorce is domiciled there at the time of the application, and the other party has such notice as the proper authorities of the nation require."
${ }^{1}$ Story, Confl. of L., S. 597 ; Westlake's Private International Lav, p. 351 ; Bishop, (Marriage if Dicorce, vol. II., § 144,) supported the jurisdiction in this case, and it is established also in Scotland.

It is said by Kent, (2 Commertaries, pp. 117, 118.) that "if a marriage is dissolved in a foreign country not by a regular judicial sentence but by a special legislative act passed for that purpose; would such a divorce not be binding here? While it is conceded to be a principle of public law, that acts valid by the law of the place where they arise are valid everywhere, it is at the same time to be understood that this principle relates only to civil acts founded on the volition of the parties, and not to such as proceed from the sovereign power. The force of the latter cannot be permitted to operate beyond the limits of the territory, without affecting the necessary independence of nations."

In an international Code it seems proper to recognize the power of the - nation to grant divorces, leaving the department by which this power is to be exercised, to be determined by the municipal law.
${ }^{2}$ Bishop states, that the jurisdiction exists in this case and that notice is not always necessary, but it seems proper to require it, and the provision contained in subdivision 3 will obviate any real hardship.
${ }^{3}$ The difficulty arising when the wrongdoer removes to avoid the jurisdiction has been the subject of some discussion, and suggests the principle of allowing the resort in such case to the State by authority of which the marriage was made.

The Revised Statutes of Louisuana of 1850 , contain the following provisions: Whenever a marriage shall have been contracted in this State, and the husband, after such marriage, shall remove or shall have removed to a foreign country with his said wife, if said husband shall behave or shall have behaved toward his said wife in said foreign country in such manner as would entitle her, under our laws, to demand a separation of bed, board, or a separation of property, it shall be lawful for her on returning to the domicil where the marriage was contracted, to

Inctitute a suit there against her wald hashand for the purposen abore mentioned, in the satne manner as if they were still dombeiliated in sald place, any law to the contrary notwithstanding. In nuch camers an attorney shall be appointed by the court to represent the alserat defendant: the plalatiff nhall be entitled to all the remodies and conservatory menaures grasted by law to married women, and the judgruent ahall have foree and effect in the same manner as if the partion hand never Iofle tho state. Ree Svat of Louiniona, p. 242, \& 4.

## Jurisdiction unaffected by change of domicil.

675. A change of domicil after procedings commenced, does not take away the jurisdiction.

Thin Article in inserted to provide for casen such as those where a doms. ciled plaintiff changes his domicil to a void a croms bill by a domiefled de fendant or one who is not domiciled within the juriwdiction, but is only found and served there, under the provision of the first and second subdivisions of Article 674.

## The domicil required for jurisdiction.

676. The domicil required for the purpose of jurisdic. tion to grant divorce, must be that defined by Title VII., on Domicil.

## Judgment of divorce for defendant.

677. If a suit for divorce be within the jurisdiction of the tribunal, it may entertain a complaint from either party, and grant a divorce to the defendant if the case require.

This provision is suggested by Jenness r. Jenness, 24 Indiana Rep., 355.

## Judgment of divorce ever ynchere valid.

678. A judgment of divorce pronounced by the properauthority of a nation having jurisdiction, is valid everywhere

## Sufficiency of cause of divorce.

679. The sufficiency of a canse of dirorce deponds exclusively on the law of the forum at the time judgment is pronounced.

Weatlake's Primate Intern. Lave, p. $3: 35$.
The English rule, it is understond, adheres to the law of Fingland in re. spect to the cause of divorce, In testing the ralidity of a divorm from an English marriage, granted by a foreign court to atitors domiciled within its jurisdiction. But the converse of this rule would not be applied :

English courts would not grant divorce from a foreign marriage on grounds recognized only by the forelgn law.
The rule proposed in the text seems the proper one to secure uniformity.

Erasion of Tare.
680. A divore granted by the anthorities of any nation to a person, intending to evade thereby the provisions of this Chapter, is invalid everywhere.
Shannon r. Shannon, 86 Massachusetta Rep., 134.
The doctrine of the comity of nations requires this. By the existing rule, such a divorce is perhaps valid in the State where granted. Walker's American Lavr, i1i.

Obligations.
681. Obligations imposed by a judgment of divorce follow the person, and may be enforced wherever he is found.

Where a court of competent jurisdiction in New York, decreed a divorce a mensa et thoro between man and wife, allowing alimony to the latter, and the hinsband removel to Wisconsin for the purpose of placing himself beyond the jurisdiction of the court which could enforce it, and while in Wisconsin, without disclosing the circumstance of the divorce in New York, obtained a divorce a vinculo on the allegation that his wife had wilfully abandoned him,-it was held that the divorce could not release the husband from his liability to the decree made against him in New York, upon that decree being carriod into judgment in any court where the defendant might be found, or within whose jurisdiction he might have acquired a new domicil. Barber $v$. Barber, 21 Hoxard's $U$. $S$. Supr. C't. Rep., 582.
By other provisions of this Codu, a judgment of divorce cannot directly affect the title to real property in another nation, but it may affect the title to personal property, to the same extent as any other transfer by operation of law.

## Disabilities.

682. Disabilities imposed by a judgenent of divorce, are territorial, and do not affect the capacity of the person when in another jurisdiction, if by the law of the latter place. such disabilities do not exist.
Ponsford r. Johnson, e Bhatehford's U. S. C'irruit C\%.'Rrp., 51.
A decree of divorce pronounced by the court of chancery of New York, was, in its purport and by force of the statute of the State, regarded as an absolute dissolution of the marriage contract for both parties, but the disqualification or disability to marry again declared by the statute as at taching to the guilty party ly way of penalty was considered as operative only within the State of Now York, and not as incapacitating him from eontracting a valid marriage in the State of New Jersey, where the same disability does not exist. Ponsford $c$. Johnson, supra.

The statutes of Masmachusetts contain a provision that, "Whes a divorce from the bond of matrimony, except for the caume of edultery, has been granted under the laws of thin State of any State op territory of the United States, the justices of the nupretre judicial court, or either of then, ugon petition fled by the party agaiant whom the divorce was granted, (if the party reoside in thim state at the tiene of granting the di. vorce, and upon such [sotice] an the court whall order, may authorize
 534. §20.

## " Divorce" defined.

683. The term "divorce" as used in this Code includes a judgment declaring the parties or either of them free from any or all of the personal obligations of marriage.

This will include decrees of bullity and separation, as well as decreen of dimolution of marriage.

In Birt r. Boutinez, Lave Rep., 1 Probate de Dicorce, 457, it was held that the parties having been married in scotland, and a second time in Belgium, a Belgian judgment diswolving the Belgian marriage, did not disnolve the Sootch marriage.

U'uder the uniform rulea embodied in this Code, a judgment of divoree should reach the status of the purties, and ant be limited to a particular contract.

## CHAPTER LI.

## BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY.

> Article 684. Validity of discharge.
> 685. Transfer of property.
> PS8. Judgruent of bankruptey without transfer of property.

## Validity of discharge.

684. The nation. whose law, according to article 603', governs the interpretation of a contract, or whose law creates any other obligation, has jurisdiction to grant a discharge therefrom : and such discharge if valid according to its law. is valid everywhere.'

A discharge granted in any othor place, is ralid only as against the nation by whose anthority the same is granted. its nembers and its domiciled residents, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and
such persons as have obtained or are seeking the benefit of the proceedings in which it was granted.'
${ }^{1}$ Chapter XLVI. on Conthacts.

- Sory, Conft of la.. 5 : $: 31$. This is the general principle of international law laid down by story, (Id., S 340 , and the American cases restricting the effect of a bankraptcy discharge to indebtedness due to citizens of the state are regarded by him as resulting from the peculiar principles of American constitutional law, and not applicable to foreign discharges. Although some other authorities take a different view it is suggested as better to adopt this general principle, and make the dis. charge valid everywhere, subject to the right of every State to apply all property within its territorial jurisdiction to the payment of creditors. See Articles 583 and 685 .


## ${ }^{3}$ Story, Confl. of L... \$342.

4 Persons who voluntarily prove their claims in the state where the discharge is granted, thereby place themselves under its jurisdiction. Dunlap c. Kogers, 4 i Nev Hampshire Rep., 281 ; ('lay $v$. Smith, 3 Peters' U.S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 412.

The act relied on must, of course, be unequivocal. Donnelly $v$. Corbett, i Nev York Rep., 50\%.

But the rejection by courts in one state, of the claim of a non-resident against the insolvent estate of a resident, will not bar the same claim when presented in the state of the domicil of such non-resident. Taylor - Barron, 35 Vevo Hampshire Rep., 484.

## Transfer of property.

685. A transfer of movables made by a debtor, whether by reason of judicial proceedings or otherwise, which is valid by the law of the place where it is made, is valid as to such property everywhere, subject to the right of any other nation to give a preference or lien in respect to the movables within its jurisdiction to creditors who are subject to its jurisdiction as defined by Title XXVil.,on Judicial Power.

4 Kent's ('ommentarics, 406; Hunt $e$. Columbian Ins. Co., 55 Maine Rep., 240 ; Dunlap e. Ragers, 47 Neic Hampshire Rep., 281.

It has generally been held, when questions have arisen in the United States betweca the bankrupt under a foreign law and his assignees under the same law, they both being citizens and subjects of the country enacting the law, where no rights of creditors, citizens of the United States, intervened, that effect should (there) be given to the foreign law. Plesturo e. Abraham, 1 Paige (Nere Vork,) Rep., 236 : Abraham o. Plestero, 3 Werulell (Neic York) IRep., 540 ; Hall c. Boardman, 14 New Hampshire Rep., 38 ; Hoag e. Hunt, 21 Id., 106 ; Smith e. Brown, 43 Id ., 44 ; Dunlap 0. Rogers, 47 Veto Hampshire Ifep., 281; Hall $r$. Winchell, 38 Vermont Rep., 588.

It is the settled policy of the courts in the United States, that a prior
assignment in bankruptey under a foreign law will not transfer property ulsewhere as against a creditor of the bankrupt who bo a citizen of the goverament where the property in situated. Friak e. Bums, 45 Dies Hampalire Rep., 225.

Judgment of bankruptcy, without transfer of prop. erty.
686. A jadgment divesting a debtor of his property, without a transfer by him, is valid in the cases, and to the extent prescribed in Chapter XLVIII., on Judiciai. Power in Civil Cases.

## CHAPTER LII.

## ESTATES OF DECEDENTS.

Articlee 68i. Jurisdiction to grant administration.
688. Limit of administration.
689. Local nature of power of administration.
690. Actions by foreign personal representative.
691. Principal and ancillary administrations.
692. Title to movables.
693. Ancillary representative.
694. Course of administration.
695. Application of property to payment of decedent's debts.
696. Actions against foreign perwonal representative.
697. When judgment of Probate Court, as to right of succession, is conclusive.
698. Probate of will of property in foreign country.

Jurisdiction to grant administration.
687. Subject to the provisions of articles 338 to 344 inclusive, , the nation within whose jurisdiction the movables' of a decedent or his debtors' or their property are found, has jurisdiction ' to grant authority to administer such movables and the debts due to the decedent by such debtors, in the mode prescribed by this Chapter, whether with or without a will.

1 The reference is to the consular power to administer the asmeta of seamen, \&c.
: Matter of 'Texidor, : Bradford'* Surrogate (Neen York) Rep., 105; Public Administrator r. Hughes, 1 Id., 105. "Upon the whole," says Surrogate Branfonn, in Kohler c. Knapp, (I Bradford Surr. Neo York Bep., 2ft.) upon a review of authorities," I am inclined to think that the modern rule, accommodating itself to new cases and exigencies, is in favor of the exurcise of jurisdiction upon the sole basis of assets of a foreign decedent coming into the State after his decease."

By the treaty between the Vnited States and the


and some other American treaties, any controversy that may arise anong the clamants to the same succession as to whom the property belongs, shall be decided according the thes and by the judges of the country in which the property is situated.

Sre also, treaty between United States and
IIesse-Cassel, March 26, 18tt, 9 U. S. Stat. at L., (Tr.,) I., Art. V.
Bavaria, Jan. 21, 1845, 9 Id., 9.
Saxony, May 14, 1845, 9 Id., 48.
Nassau, May 27, 1846, 9 Id., 48.
see, however, the convention between France and Austria, for the regulation of successions, Dec. 11, 1866. Art. H., (9 De, Clercq, p. 675,) which provides that claims to the succession of movables left in one country by subjects of another, whether at the time of their death established there, or simply transitory persons, are within the jurisdiction of and determin. able by the laws of the State to which the deceased belonged.
${ }^{3}$ Dehts constitute assets where the debtor resides. Kohler c. Knapp, 1 Bradford's Surrogate (Velo York) Rep., 241.

+ When, however. its exercise of such jurisdiction would be productive of injustice, inconvenience, or conflicting equities, the uation should decline to exercise it, and remit the property abroad for distribution. Harvey r. Richards, 1 Mason U.S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 381, 413; Cooper's Equity Pleadings, pp. 1, :2, 3; Parsons c. Lyman, 20 Neı York Rep., 103, 125.


## Limit of administration.

688. The provisions of the last article do not apply:
689. To those movables belonging to a decedent at the time of his death, which have been brought within the jurisdiction after a personal representative duly appointed elsewhere has taken possession of them within the jurisdiction of the nation appointing him : nor, ${ }^{1}$
690. To debts due by parties to a negotiable instrument which at the time of the holder's death was within another jurisdiction, the decedent being also domiciled therein at his death. ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

 Currie e. Bircham, I thonding of Rylandt: Regn, 35 .    the place uef prineipal jurimetictione.


Loneal nalure of poser of arministration.
689. A personal representatis, cannot act tryond the limits of the nation appointing him, in relation to property of the decedent, except as provided in the next article.
This provision departa somewhat from the rule rorogniant by the New Iork Court of Appalas. Petermon r. Chemical Bank, at Sice Yurk Rep., 21.
 ing sulpmoteal by the carront of American decisionant the premene day. to that, fa the absenco of ass nucillary aluhbistration, a prineifal adtentuts. trator, and a fortiori, an excector, can collect and remove dolst of propwrty due or nitnated in another state, if voluntarily fall or given up.

Actions by forcign personal representalier.
690. A foreign prisonal representative may sue. without any other appointment, to enforce his title to the movables and debts mentioned in article ges, or to recover delots due by persons who at the time of the creditor's death had their domicil within the jurisdic. tion of the nation appointing him.

## Principal and ancillar!! alministrations.

691. The place of domicil of the decedent is the place of principal administation; every other administration is ancillary.


 Rep., 238.

Title to morables.
692. Title to movables duly vestod in a foreign grore sonal representative, or duly acquired through a foreign administration and expented by possession, ia valid everywhere.

[^65]The principle here applied is not to recognize the power conferred by the conarts as having any extraterritorial effect; but to recognize the title nequired under the power, executed by possession, as valid everywhere.

## Ancillar? representative.

693. An ancillary representative represents the estate only so far as concerns the assets coming under his control.

Course of administration.
694. Every ancillary personal representative is bound to transmit to his principal the assets remaining in his hands after satisfying the claims of creditors, who are subject to the jurisdiction of the nation appointing him, to be administered by the principal either extrajudicially, or if needful, under the direction of the tribunals of the domicil.
Enohin r. Wylie, 31 Lavo Journ. Chancery, 404; per Lord Westbury; to the contrary, Lords Cransorth and Chelmaford.
It wan held, however, in Irwin's Appeal from Probate, 33 Connecticut Pep. 128 ; and in Dawes 0 . Head, 3 Pickering (Massachusetts) Rep., 147, that if there is a deficiency of assets in either jurisdiction, all the propcrty must be divided among the creditors in both jurisdictions equally, and if there is a surplus in the foreign jurisdiction, it must be remitted to the domestic administrator or distributed there in recognition of, and in subordination to the title and rights conferred by the will or the law of the domicil.

Application of property to payment of decedent's rebts.
695. The nation having jurisdiction to grant authority to administor, has also exclusive jurisdiction to apply the movables and debts to which such authority extends, to the payment of debts due to persons subject to its jurisdiction, except debts due by persons having st the death a different domicil from that of the decedent, payment whereof has been obtained within the jurisdiction of the nation of the creditor's domicil ${ }^{1}$ by a personal representative duly appointed there.
'Payment to the administrator of the creditor's domicil by a debtor domiciled flacwhere at the death, made at his own domicil, is no bar to an action for the same debt brought by an ancillary administrator, appointed in the debtor's domicil, evensubsequently to the payment. Young r. O'Neal, 3 S'need's (T'ennessee) Rep.. 55: Auonymous, 2 Amer. Lavo Rericue, 398.

## Actions against foreign personal representative.

696. A personal representative who after having lawfully obtained possession of assets, becomes domiciled in the turritory of any other nation may be sued as such in its courts by persons entitled to such assets.

This rule was applied in tavor of a credieor in Baker e. smlth, is Vee. calfo ( Kentucky) Rep., 264 : and of the nest of kin in Marrione. 'Tiseworth. 18 B Monroo (Kentueky) Rep...i9\%.

In Evans r. 'Tatem, 9 Sergecent do Rate's (Pensolernia) Rerp. 259, it wan held, however, that mere prosence in the foreign State without the acquisition of a domicil there, would be sufficient to found the jurisdiction.

When judgment of probate court, as to right of succession. is conclusice.
697. The determination of a court of probate in respect to the right of succession to movables is conclasive in the tribunals of other nations in proceedings for the setflement of the same estate, only ${ }^{2}$ when the deceased was domiciled within the jurisdiction at the time of his death.
' Doglioni e. Crissini, Lave Rep., 1 House of Lopds, 301.
? The parties in interest in the place of principal administration are not bound by a judgment given In the place of ancillary administration. Low e. Bartlett, 90 Massachusetts Rep., 2.59: Eila r. Edwands, 8j Id., fo.

Consuls have power to administer on the estates of foreigners or dece. dents domiciled abroad, in the cases provided in Articles 3iss and 340. The treaty between the United States and Honduras, July 4. 1804, (13 U.S. Stat. at L., 704.) authorizes the consular officer of the nation of a foreigner dying in the country to which the consular officer is accredited to appoint curators to take possession of his estate, so far as the local laws will permit, for the benefit of the heirs and creditors, giving notice to the local authorities.

The treaty between the United States and Paraguay, Fob. 4, 1859, (12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1096, Art. X., allows the consular officer to take charge of the property.

Probate of will of property in foreign country.
698. A will of a domiciled resident disposing only of property in a foreign country is entitled to probate in the country of residence, for the purpose of clothing the executor with power to take proceedings in the foreign country.

To the contrary see, Matter of Coode, Lave Rep., 1 Prowate d Disores, 44.

## CHAPTER LIII.

## ADMMRALTY:

Abticlef: 699. Extent of mimiralty jurisdiction of a nation.<br>700. "Seas " defned.<br>201. Rules of decision in extraterritorial torts.<br>202. Uniform procedure in admiralty.

The provisions of the Code in relation to jurisdiction over property, and the effect of judgments in rem, are supposed to be adequate for the purpose of fixing the proper limits of the judicial power in cases of admiralty jurisdiction in its international aspects. The distinction between the jurisdiction of ordinary courts nad those of ndmiralty, is mainly important as a question of municipal law, and even there is rapidly disappearing under recent legislation, the tendency of which is towards uniformity of remedies.
The admiralty jurisdiction in criminal cases is regulated by Chapter Xifi., on Judichal Power in Cmminal. Cases.

Extent of admirally jurisdiction of a nation.
699. Th admiralty jurisdiction of a nation in civil rases rxtends,

1. To all acts done upon the seas; '
-. To all property constructed for or employed in navigating the seas: and.
2. To all contracts relating to such property or for servires on the sas, and to their incidents, when the persons or property are sabject to the jurisdiction as defined by articles 309 and 312 .

"socas" defined.
3. The "seas" mentioned in the last article include:
4. Waters beyond the limits of any nation ; and,
$\%$. Narigable waters, whether tidal or not, in any place within the limits of the nation.

Thre jurisuliction is not limitod to tidal waters. Genessee Chief $n$.
 2 Parana on shipping. Bk. :3, ch. I.: 'lhe Eagle, i Wallace's U. S. Supr. ('\%. Rep.. 1.).

Rules of decision in extra-territorial torts.
701. In cases of collision between ships of diffirent mations or other acts of damage to person or property. oc urring beyond the jurisdiction of any nation, and in respect to which Chapter XXXIII., on Conststos. dows not afford a rule of decision, the extent of the remedy in damages to which the injured party is entitlod is determined by the law of the fornm.

By the other provisions of thin Cinde, (Articlen 316 and 3!4.) the natur rule must be applled to foreigners an to membern.

Uniform procedure in admiralty.
702. All civil suits in the exercise of admira'ty jurisdiction shall be commenced by summons. The ratuse of action shall be stated in a complaint, and the defensein an answer.

The determination of the controversy shall be by a judgment.

The provisional remedies, the modes of trial and of executing the judgment shall be settled by a conference of judges in admiralty one appointed by each nation. who shall agree upon uniform rules of procedure.
See Report of British Judicature Commission. 1869.
For a memorandum upon the recent increased jurisdiction and changea in the practice of English admiralty, see Accounts and Papers, 1867, vol. IVII., (19.)

## BOOK SECOND.

MODIFICATIONS IN THE: RELATIONS OF NATIONS AND OF THEIR MEMBERS TOEACHOTHER

PRODUCED BY A
STATE OF WAR.

## B00K SECONI.

## WAR.

<br>Foe'itit As.I.IV:<br>Fistif. Neitibas,

## I) IVISION TIIIRI. BELLIGERENTS.

Paht Vil. The Comencranent of Wall.<br>VIII. Tise cosinet or Wise.<br>18. The Termenatos of Wab.

TuE adoption of such a Cote as this outline proposes, contemplates the prolongation, and, if possible, the perpertuation, of a state of l'ence. between the nations uniting in lis adoption. It is among ite chief objects, by defining rights and obligations that are now uncertath, 10 remove, or at least to diminish, the causers of war: by reducing. upm common consent, the excessive armaments of modern timew, to reduce the temptations to war, and, by the establishtment of tribunals of arbitration, to render a resort to it unneceseary and wrongful, in ordinary cases of difference.

The regulations for these purposes contained the the fimt thook of this Code, narrow the scope of the regulations necessary fur the second Book. The adoption of the systens would unite the assentimg nations in an alliance for mutual advantage, under which it newtme beoth practicable and safe for them to renounce, as against ench other, the thems mischievous of the old rights of war: and to concente so vach orbere the exemptions which the most humane of modern treaties have menginieed. and the ameliorations of the evils of war for which tho mons anlighte-teat jurists have contended.

The influence of modern civilization has affietect the ungers of war in two opposite directions. It has incroased the deadly rharacter of combat
by scientific improvement of the instruments of war; while on the other hand, it has diminished the surface upon which war acts in the destruction of life and property, by exempting, to a large degree, non-combatants and private property : and, while increasing the rights and protec. tion of neutrals, has practically incrensed, also, the stringency, if not the extent, of their obligations to refrain from aiding either party.

The best authorities are now discussing the question whether the time has not come when civilized uations should disavow the old maxim that war makes every subject of one belligerent an enemy of every sub. ject of the other: and recognize the principle that war is a duel between nations, in which the governments and the persons impressed with their military character, are alone to be deemed enemies.

This change in the theory of the siate of war, has already made great progress among publicists, and is now supported by eminent modern authorities, while it has received some practical sanction in the provisions of special treaties. It is submitted that it is both practicable and safe to make the modern doctrine the basis of a general Code.

In accordance with these considerations the general principles which have bren followed in the preparation of the Articles of this Book, have been.

1. That as between the military forces of the belligerents, hostilities are sanctioned without other limits than those already recognized by the laws of civilized warfare, as modified by recent general conventions such as those respecting small explosive balls, and the treatment of sick and wounded. One qualification should be added, namely : that the use of false colors and siguals is forbidden, as an act of bad faith ;
2. That nations, when they engage in war with each other, should confine their struggle to military measures; and as far as possible leave undisturbed all undefended persons and places, all peaceful relations and modes of intercourse, and all property. public or irivate, which does not directly subserve the purposes of war ;
3. That those nations which remain neutral, must not only refrain from active assistance, but must exert themselves to prevent their people from furnishing implements of warfare to either combatant. In accordance with the rule adopted in some recent treaties, and with usage in one or two cases, war material alone is declared contraband, and all other private property not engaged in illegal traffic, is protected from capture.

In considering the application of the provisions of this Book, it should be remembered that its object is not to state all the rules of puhlic law which are in force during war, but only those rules unknown in time of peace which war calls into upplication. By Article $\boldsymbol{i}$, in the beginning of Book First, it in dechared that the First Book treats of the relations of nations and of their meminers to each other, except as they are modified by a state of war: and the Second treats of the modifications in these relations produced by a state of war. Therefore, the provisions for the protection of foreigners and their property which are contained in Book First, are not
reprated here: as they will continue uninterrupted in war as in peace, ox cept wo far as the provinionn of this flook would susprat them daring war.

The sotes, without attempting the refer to all the anthorities. give a nufticient sumber to afford the reader a convestent clue the the diecueadoths contained in the books concerving the various coppice refereme ts, withoat burdening hima with multiplied references.

## PARTVII.

THE COMMENCEMENT OF゙ WAK.
Akticies ios. Provisions of Brok on Peace eontinue in
704. "War" defined.
205. Nations, Ac., are the only partion.
200. Civil war.

00: Insurgents may lo treated as belligerenta
iow. Insurgents may be recognized ly foreign mations.
809. Declaration of war.
:10. Renponse unnecessary.
i11. "Meprimal" definerd.
i12. Nogative reprimal.
218. Powitive reprimal.
i14. Positise reprisal treated as declaration of war.
:15. Hostilities before declaration.
216. Positive reprisal in violation of procisions for preservation of peace.

Procisions of Book on Peace continue in force ex. cept as modified.
703. The provisions of Book First of this Code continue in force, notwithstanding the existence of war, except so far as it is otherwise expressly provided therein. or as they are modified by the provisions of this Book.
"War" defined.
704. The term "war," as used in this Code. designates a hostile contest at arms. betwen two or more nations, or communities claiming soservign rights.'

Every such mation or community is t.rmod a tw: ligerent.
${ }^{1}$ Ditferont detinitions have been given by the writers, for different purposes. Sice a number of definitions collected by VÖré, Neucau Droit Intirnutionat, Eil. of Pridier Fioléré, v. 2, p. 239. He contends for a definition expluding unjustifiable war; but obviously the definition adopted in this Corle mast include every conflict which gives rise to the peruliar righte and duties of neutrals and belligerents. See also Theiss' LuIf of Nitions. pr. II., p. 43, where various definitions are discussed.

It suems unnecessary to distinguish between ordinary public wars, and mixed, civil or sorial wars, (see Grotius, Jure Belli ac Pacis, liv. 1, fh. :3.) any further than is done by Articles 505-70s.
*The parties belligerent in a public war are independent nations. But it is net necessary to constitute war that both parties should be ackmowledged as independent nations or sovereign States. A war may exist where one of the belligerents claims sovereign rights as agninst the other. Prize ('ases, $\because$ Blacke U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 66i.
B.eolutionary or insurrectionary wars, so far as necessary to be provided for in this Code, are the subject of Articles $\mathbf{7 0 5 - 7 0 8}$.

## Nabions, dec., are the omly parties.

705. War is a relation of nation to nation, or of comcommunity to community, and does not affect the relations of individuals with each other, except within the limits allowed by this Book.

This is the geneml principle contended for by the best modern authorities, and its recognition has been the source of the greatest ameliorations set int renluced into the laws of war.

It used to he a familiar maxim of the books, that war makes all the sulojects of one belligerent enemies of each and every subject of the other. Ihelleck, Intern. Lam \& Lases of War, p. 411, \& 1. And the same rule was applied to st rangers residing in the belligerent country or coming to reside there. with knowledge of the existence of the war. Twiss, Lair of Nitioux, Part II.. 1 . wio. In the case of a civil war those persons were to ine regardel as chemies who, though subjects or citizens of the lawful pewrmment, wore residents of the territory under the power or contril of the party resisting that government. Miller $r$. United States, 11 Wallare"× Li.s.supr. 't. Rep., 268.

The courts do not notice the friendly disposition of the individual. wen in case of a rabellion. Woods $x$. Wilder, 43 Nen York Rep., 164. This logally imputed hustility is now sof far mitigated by treaty prorisions, and ley ameliorations in the usages of war, and is so much opproed to the tendency of modern opinion, that it seems proper to recognize a different rule. It is bulieved that the rule stated in the text more truly represents the bether opinion of civilized nations, and more nearly rontenmes to the practice of hostilities at the present day. Blentechit, (Droit

ebt sithers ary the whemion. The eifigene are bot enomion of the hometile State, mor of each other: though they may be treated an much, so the ex tent to which they take part in bootilities.

The chief nignificance of the maxim that in a state of war, eivilinam Imeobse concmion, han Inen in ite application to contracts, atal the confio
 and 906 .

## Civil ioar.

706. Even though an insurrection in a nation inter rupts the course of justice, so that the tribunals cannot be kept open in the disaffected territory,' the insurgents are not entitled to belligerent rights, exeept so far as they are recognized as belligerents in accordance with article 708.

Prixe Casen, 2 Blactia U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., MBi: Shortidger r. Mamon. 2 Amer. Lann liecicie, 95.

- Where the courts of juntice are open, there in peace. in judfonof law. Milligan's Case, 4 Willuci's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 1.


## Insurgents may be trealed as belligerents.

707. A mation in which an insurrection exists may. without renouncing its claim of jurisdiction over the. insurgents, or recognizing them as alien encmies or as having an established government. treat them as bel. ligerents, and claim from foreign nations the performance of neutral duties.

This allows the nation at its option to invoke the principle that a civil war creates the wame belligerent righten against neutrals, an a war between two separate and indepentent powers. Prize, Casis. 2 Biactin, C. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 6\%5. And see The Mary Clinton. Bhatehforf', IPrier


Whether rebels cruining on the high seas against the propmery of the parent state, can, it any case, beconsidered an pirates, sece Thenui' Wheaton, Ellementa of Intern. Latne. $\$ 1: 4$. p. ISM, note $\$ 1$.

## Insurgents may be recognized by foreign mations.

708. When an insurrection exists in a nation, and the iusurgents have an established government $\quad$ :apahbe of maintaining relations with other nations, any other nation may recognize them as belligerents, without :r-
rognizing their independence, and may assume a position of nentrality.

As to the right to recognize the independence of insurgents, see Ar. ticle 962

## Declaration of war.

709. No nation. uniting in this Code, shall commence a war against any nation whatever, without making public within its own territory, and as far as possible within the territory of the nation to be attacked, a declaration of war, assigning the reasons thereof, at least sixty days before committing the first act of hostility.

I ciril arar is never solemnly declared; it becomes such by its ac cidents: the number, power and organization of the persons who orig. inato and carry it on. Where the party in rebellion occupy and hold in a lostile manner a certain portion of territory; have declared their independence : have cast off their allegiance; have organized armies : have commenced hostilities against their former sovereign, the world acknowl. edges them as belligerents, and the contest as a war. Prize Cases, 2 Blark's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 6:3\%, per Gbier, J.

By the above Article, it is proposed to require express declaration in the case of public or international war only.

In the twelfth century, it was the established practice, formally to declare war, by a commmication under the seal of the sovereign of one belligerent to the sovereign of the other. Ticiss, Law of Nations, Part II., p. $5 \%$.

The same author says, that there can be no doubt that in the fourteenth eentury it was the established law of Europe, that an offensive "ar could not be rightfully commenced, without a previons declaration of hostilities.

Hildman, (Intornational Larf, ․ . .p. 8,) says, that since the peace of Virpsailles. in 1663 , formal declarations of war of any kind seem to have been discomtinued ; and all the legitimate and necessary consefurences of war flow at onec from a state of public hostilities duly reoggnized and explicitly aunounced by a domestic manifesto or State paper.

The importance of the guextion will be much diminished by the rule protecting private property at sea, as well as on land: but it is in the interest of weact, as well as in aceordance with the tendency of public opinion, to see that the line between the state of peace and that of war the clearly marked: and. for this purpose, that a declaration in some form lo. rempired. The objection to requiring one is, that then acts of violence
committed on the high mean before declaration, will tre piracy. Tinas, tate of Nittions, Part II, p. is. But thim objection, wo far as it ought to be allowed, will be met by Artiele i1s.

It in the object of the above Articte to reypuire a declarations to be made publice at home in all cames. This is In acconlance with the prosernt un
 d. Blaceturn's Rep., ibs. And, to entitlo a belligurvent to lanist oft the performanen of neutral dutien be other neatral nations, a manifonto to them is seceswary. Treise. Lane of Vations, Part II., P. Gia. Ar cordingly, it in provided In Article 969, that a neutral nation cuthen under the obligations as wuch to a belligerent, from the time that a mont fication of the hostilities in offleially communicated to it by nuch belli gerent, or from the the it voluntarily deelares itself neutral.

Perhape the clause requiring sixty dayn' notice should be rextricted to wars between nations uniting in the Code. For the conflicting ophtn lons on the necessilty of a declaration of war, see Fioré. Noncent Itroil Intern., par Pradier-Fivtirí, vol. 2, pp. 251-260: Grtohn, Diphomatsie de La Mer, vol. 2, pp. 12-23: Phillimore'n Intern. Latie, vol. 3, pp. 26-98: Wiond sey's Intern. Latue, \&8 115, p. 198; Halleck, Intern. Laue de Lates of Wiar. pp. 350-356.

In the treaty between Great Britain and Portugal, (F'eb) 19, 1816, Art. XXX1;) and in the treaty between Great Britain and Brazil, (Aug. $1 \%$ 1827;) and in treaties concluded between Brazil and France, in 1se6: Braxil and Prussin, in 1897; and Brazil and Denmark, in 189s ; it wan provided: "A rupture of pacific relations shall be regarded an having taken place, at the date of recall or dismissal of the respective ambas. sadors."

And this arrangement is approved by Ticiss, (Lane of Nittions, pr II., p. $\mathbf{i 6}$, \& 41,) as wise and reasonable, and one which, If even generally adopted, would prevent all disputes and diffleulties as to the true date of the legal commencement of war.

## Response unnecessary.

710. When one nation declares war against antother, the declaration becomes reciprocal, without ro. sponse.
 Nutions, pt. II., p. is: Viattel, Drme tex Gens. liv. 3. ch. 4. S. is. Holleck. Intern. Lase if laves of Wiar, p. ishe.

## "Reprisal" defined.

711. A reprisal is any species of forcible means used by one nation, for procuring redress from another, without a hostile contest at arms.

Reprisals may be either negative or positive. :

Vattel says, (Droit dia Gens, liv. II., ch. 18, S. 342.) that " reprisals are used lut wreen nation and nation, in order to do themselves justice, when they ranoot otherwise ohtain it. If a nation las taken possession of what lelongs to another, if it refuses to pay a debt, to repair an injury. or to give adequate satisfaction for it. the lather may seize something belonging to the former, and apply it to its own advantage, till it obtains payment of what is due, together with interest and damages; or keep it us a plodge till the offending nation has refused ample satisfaction. The effects thus seized ure preserved, while there is any hope of obtaining satisfaction or justice. As soon as that hope disappears they are confiscated; and then reprisals are accomplished. If the two nations upon this ground of quarrel, come to an open rupture, satisfaction is considered as refused from the moment that war is declared, or hostilities commenced : and then also the effects seized may be confiscated."

Whecton says, that reprisals are to be granted only in case of a clear and open denial of justice : they may include the following among other moxies:

1. Laying an embargo or sequestration on the ships and goods, or other property of the offending nation, found within the territory of the injured State:
2. Taking forcible possession of the thing in controversy, by securing to oneself by force, and refusing to the other nation, the enjoyment of the right drawn in question ;
3. Excreising the right of vindictive retaliation (retorsio facti,) or of amicable rutaliation (rextorsion de droit;) by which last, the one nation applics, in its transactions with the other, the same rule of conduct by which that other is governed under similar circumstances. Latorence's Whiaton, Elements of Intern. Lan, pt. IV., cli. I., § 1 ; Dana's Wheaton, $\leq 3!10$; citing Vattel, liv. II., ch. 18; K'lüber, Droit des Gens Moderne de r'Europe. S. 234.

Noee also, Tiriss, Late of Nutions, pt. II., ch. I.; Wildman's Intermatiounl Lair, vol. 1, p. 187 ; Ihalleck, Intern. Lato \& Laess of War, p. 297.

## Negatire reprisal.

712. A reprisal which consists in the refusal to perform a perfect obligation, or to permit the enjoyment of a right, is termed a negative reprisal.

## Positive reprisal.

713. A reprisal which involves the seizure or detention of persons or property, in violation of the provisions of this Code, or without authority of law, is thrmed a positive reprisal.

A gracral reprisal is said to be made by the aggrieved nation giving
authority to ftemiltary or other ofllecen to neize perwots of froperty of the other nation, in a partlectar place or wherever found

A apecint reprinal forald to be made by giving nuelo authority wo par ticular lindividualn who have nutfered injury from the geovernament or membern of the cother nation. But theme distibctions it merem unnerets mary to deflise.

Positive reprisal treated as declaration of war.
714. A nation upon which a positive reprisal is made, may treat the same as a declaration of war against it, be making public within its own territory. and as far as possible, within the territory of the nation making the reprisal, a declaration that it is treated as an act of war.

Any reprisal may nswume the character of war, it adequate matisfac. tion be, refused by the offending nation. Laticrenec's Wheaton, Etemonts


## Hostilities before declaration.

715. Every act of hostility, excopt in self-defense. committed before the wxpiration of sixty days after a public declaration of war, or without such declaration. is unlawful.' But this article shall not render punishable acts of subordinate officers committed by express anthority of the nation, or under the immediate necessity of preventing or protecting from violence. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
${ }^{1}$ Prodier-Fintier (col, of Vattel of 1863, v. 2, p. 403, note 1.) followed by Fiore, v. 2, p. 25, declares hostilities lefore declaration of war. "piraterie." But if committed by vessels of war, under orders, the actors could scarcely be punished as pirates. The offending nation should be held responsible.
${ }^{\circ}$ Ortodan. Diplomatie de la Mer. v. 2.p. 12.
Positine reprisal in ciolation of provisions for preservation of peace.
716. No nation uniting in this Code shall commence war or make a positive reprisal on another nation, in violation of the provisions of Part IV. of this Cods. entitled Provisions for tire Presemuatos we Prace.

# PARTVIII. <br> THE CONDUCT OF WAR. 

Tithe Xixill. Mhitaby authority. XXXIII. Hosthities.

## TITI.E XXXII.

MHITTARY AUTHORITY.
Chapter lif. Military law.
LV. Martial rule.

1,V1. Military occupation.

CHAPTER LIV.
MILITARY LAW.
Abricle i17. "Military" defined.
718. "Military law" defined.
i19. Jurisdiction of military tribunals over forcigners.
i20. Mutilation.
i21. Violations of provisions of the Code for protection of enemies or neutrals.
ies. Indemnity for excesses.
i23. Justification for violation of provisions of the Code for protection of enemies or neutrals.
"Militur?"" defined.
717. The word "military." as used in this Code, applies only to public armed forces, by land or sea, except where a contrary intention plainly appears.

## "Militar!! lano" refined.

718. Military law is the body of regulations proscribed by a nation for the government of its mil. itary forces.

Thin in generally known as military buce, an distingulatiod from mas tial rule. See I Kient's Commentaries, 380 , note: Ilolleed. Intorn lane and Lates of War, p, 37s: Milln e. Marinn, 19 Johnmon's (Sere lork) Mrp. i. Martin e. Mott, 12 Wheoten's U. S. Supr. CY. Rep., 19.

Jurisdiction of militar!! tribunals over foreigners.
719. Subject to the restrictions imposed by its own laws, the military tribunals of a nation are competent to punish foreigners:

1. When they are impressed with the military character of such nation, as defined by article 736. and commit offenses against its military law ; or,
2. When they are enemies, active or passive, as defined by articles 746 and 747, and violate the provisions of Part VIII. of this Code, and fall into the power of such tribunals.

But the punishment inflicted cannot be greater than that affixed for offenses of the same grade, when committed by members of the nation.

Whether their power shall or shall not extend to rivilians or passive enemies who are members of the same nation, and to treason and such offenses which are cognizable by the civil courts, is a question of local law. For the rules on this subject adopted by Eumpean nations, sere Maurice Block. Dictiomaire de l'adm. Fr. a, roe ctat de siege, referring to the law of 1849 . Eiseriche, same title. The American rules are dis. cussed by Cushing, in 8 Opinions of United States Attorneys. Generul. But. and see Halleek. Intern. Lave and Lates of War, p. 383, I. 25. In thm Milligan Case, (4 Wallace's U. S. Supr. C't. Rep., 1.) it was held that a civilian could not be subjected to military trial. Ind in 12 Oprinions of U.S. Attorneys.General. p. 128, it was declared that a citizen not in the milltary service cannot be tried by military commisaion in Washington. for an offense committed in New York, within the jurisdiction of the civil courts, which were in full possession and exercise of their prowers.

## Mutilation.

720. Mutilation or distigurement of the person must not be inflicted as a punisbment.

By the rule heretofore existing. brinating is allowed

Violations of provisions of the Code for protection. of enemies or neutrals.
721. The military authorities of a belligerent are bound to use all their power to enforce obedience, by all persons under their control, to those provisions of Part VIII., and of Division V. of this Code, which are for the protection of enemies or nentrals; and the nation is bound, through its military or other tribunals, to punish those guilty of violating the same.' Such punishment must be at least equal to that prescribed for offenses of the same grade against itself or its members.
${ }^{1}$ Bluntschli, Droit Interuational Conlifíé. S5:5.
Indemnity for caccesses.
722. A nation is bound to afford all the indemnitication possible for crimes and excesses committed by its forces in violation of the laws of war, or for want of discipline.
see Hulleck, Intern. Lan and Lars of Whr. p. 442. S2:.
No mation can treat with cruelty or deprive of their property the members of another nation, whom some calamity. such as the distress or stranding of a ship, throws within its borders, without wrong and just claim of redress. Wolsey. International Lare. 5.59, p. 94 ; and see $I d$. $\div 12 \%$ p. 214.

Justification for violation of provisions of the Code for protection of enemies or neutrals.
723. The orders of a superior officer are a justification to an inferior officer or to a soldier, in disobeying the provisions of Part VIII., and of Division V. of this Code. The superior is, however, responsible.

[^66]
## CHAPTERLV.

## MAHTIAI, H1゚1.E.

Anticies iet. Martial rule nud ien effect<br>793. Martial ruly neede no proclamation<br>286. Conauls.<br>22:. Duty of ungintrater and civil officern

## Martial rule and its affect.

724. Martial rule is the exercise of the will of tho commander.' It must nevertheless be exercised within the limits of the provisions of this Book of the Code. and of the military law to which the forees are sulyect : but within these limits it suspends all laws, ${ }^{2}$ so far and so long' as they come in conflict with it.

Martial rule, or the law applicable to the state of siege, in regulated be positive law, in the Fronch and other continental systems. Sere M Blese, Die. de l'indministrotion Fronerise: 8 Opinions of 1 C. S. Altorncys Genernl, 366: Halleck, lut. Laue and Lanes of Whr, p. Bit. : : Bh. But these regulations seem unnecessary in an luternational Code. except wo far as their sulyects are embraced in the provisions of this Chapter
${ }^{1}$ Argument in the Milligan Case, Wiashington Reporter. Mny i. 14Bti。 and the decision of the court. 4 Wallace's U. S. Supreme C't Dep.. 1

The will of the commander is ordinarily called martial lane, bus in the proper sense it is not law at all.

Wellington, in one of his despatches from Portugal in Isto, explained it in this manner:
" I think it would be desirable in define with precision our bleas re. epecting the emtablishment of military law, be fore we determine to alter the established law of the country in any case.
"The following questions are worth consideration and decision on shin topic. What is military law : Military law, as applied to any permons, excepting the officery, soldiers, and followers of the army, for whose gow. ernment there are particular provisions of law in all well regulated countries, is neither more nor lees than the will of the general of the army. He punishes cither with or without trial for crimes eithers dechared to be mo or not so declarod by any existing law. or by hin own ordera This is the plain and common meaning of the term military law. He sides the mode of proceeding abore described, laws have bern made in
different conntries, at different times, to establisli and legalize a description of military constitution.
" The commander-inchief, or the government, has been anthorized to procead by military process-that is, by court-martial. or council of waragainst persons offending against certain laws. or against their own onders, issued generally for the security of the army, or for the extablishment of a certain government or constitution odions to the people among whom it is etablished.
" Of both descriptions of military law there are mumerousinstances in the history of the operations of the French army during the revolution ; and there is an instance of the existence, both of the first mentioned description, and of the last mentioned, in Ireland, during the rebellion of 1:68, when the people were in insurrection agninst the government, and were to be restrained by force."

And in his speech on the Ceylon affair, he repeats the ciescription :
" I contend that martial law is neither more nor less than the will of the general who commands the army. In fact, martial law means no law at all; therefore, the general who declares martial law, und commands that it shall be carried into execution, is bound to lay down distinctly the rulos, and regulations, and limits, according to which his will is to be carried out. Now, I have, in another country, carried out martinl lawthat is to say, I have governed a large proportion of the population of a country by my own will. But then what did I do? I declared that the country should be governed according to its own national law, and I carried into excention that. my so declared will."

See also Halleck, Intern. Lav and Lacs of War, p. 373, ड 25; Forsyth's Cases and Opinions in Constitutional Lauc, 207-216; and Appendix.
2." Martial law is quite a distinct thing from ordinary military law, and is founded on paramount necessity, and proclaimed by a military chief." 1 Kent's Commentaries, 377. Hallam, (Constitutional History, I., 326, Brd ed., says. "It has been usual for all governments, during an actual rebellion, to proclaim martial law, or the suspension of cicil jurisdiction." It supersedes all civil proceedings which conflict with it, but does not nccessarily supersede those which do not. Bourier's Law Dictionary, Art. Military Lav.
" It is, in fact, the law of social self-defense, superseding, under the pressure, and therefore under the justification, of an extreme necessity, the ordinary forms of justice. Courts-martial under martial law, or rather during the suspension of law, are invested with the power of ad. ministering that prompt and speedy justice in cases presumed to be clearly and indisputably of the highest species of guilt. The object is self.preservation by the terror and the example of speedy justice. But courtsmartial which condemn to imprisonment and hard labor belie the necessity under which alone the jurisdiction of courts-martial can lawfully exist in civil socicty." Opinion of Mr. Sergeant Spankir•, found in Hough on Courts. Martiul.
" Martial law is merely a cessation, from necessity, of all municipal
law, and what necomnity requires it justifies. An alien amy betitely lavading a territory while in arme, might lawtully be put to death, abd whon taken primonerp, if hin immediate execution were bermaty to the nuppreanion of insurroction, be might be executed iunamiliately, withonut any reference to muncipal law. Hut the lamurrection beligg guelled mat tranquillity reatored, and the ordinary tribunaln proceediag ragolarly in the adminimtration of juntice, an alien amy who lind twen takets farno could not be lawfully put to death, ether with or without the form of belog tried by a court martial:" but mast be dealt with according to thoe regular course of justice. . . . "Thin case in clearly dintinguinhable Prons that of a forelgner assisting in a civil war. Where ato inmurrections agalnat a government has trecome mo formidable an to annume the angers of an equally halanced civil war, the lawe of war are to be olmorved be tween the government and the fasurgente; and native born mubjecte taken primosers could sot properly be tried as trators. And even were ati alion amy in the ranke of the iumurgentr, be would be dealt with an a native born subject." Opintons of Sir John Dodewn. Sir John C'amplell, and Sir R. M. Rolfe, In Coses and Opinions in Constitutional Lave, by Forsyeh. p Iten

Martial law can never be enforced for the ordinary purponsen of civil or even criminal justice, "xcept, in the latter, mo far as the necemsity arising from actual resistance compela bis adoption. Opinions of Sir Joban Campell, and Sir R. M. Wolfe, in Cases and Opina in Cionat. Ianc, by fier syth, p. 198.

The right conclusion upon the whole matter seems to be this: " Mar tial law may be justifiably imposed as a terrible necessity, and an act of melt defense: under it there in a suspension of civil rights, and the ordi nary forme of trial are in abegance. U'nder it a man in actual armed re sistance may be put to death on the spot, by any one acting under the orders of competent authority : or, if arrested, may be tried in any man ner which such authority shald direct. But if there be an abuse of the power so given, and acts are done under it, not bona fide to supprean rebellion of in self defense, but to gratify malice or in the caprice of tyramy, then for such acts, the party doing them is responsible. Forsyth's
 Martial Lanc, Landon, 1565. Military necessity includer all thomer mean urea which are indispensable for securing the ends of the ware and which are not forbidden by this ciode, or the military law of the power loy which the mensures in question are takin. See Lieber's Inatructions for the Ciocernmeat of A rmiox of the L'nited Nibes, * 6, i.

Interference of the military powior with persons or property oflerer than of those impressed with the military character, actually mugnered in unlawful hostilities, or sples, or pirates, when called in inuertions in the civil tribunals, can only be justified on the ground of a danger fumeatiate and lmpending, or a necessity urgent for the publicervice, such an will not admit of delay, and when the action of the civil authority would be too late in providlog the means which tho cecamion calle for llarmong's Case, 18 Howard's C'. S. supr. I't. Nep. 16 .

The reation of a commission or board to decide or advise upon the subject giver no increased sabction the thet. As necessity compels, so that necessity alone can justify it. 'The decision or advice of any number of persons, whether designated as a military commission, or bourd of otticers, or counci, of war, or as a committee, proves nothing but greater deliberation; it does not make legal what would otherwise be illegal. Argment in Milligan's Case, W"ashington Reporter, May T, 1866.
${ }^{3}$ ('ompare Johnson r. Duncan, 3 Martin's (Lauixiuna) Rep.. 5200; Licber's Instruefions for the Gocernment of Armies of United Stotes. ©6.

The municipal laws of a country occupied by a conquering power remain in force until suspended, superseded, or otherwise changed by order of the conqueror. Wingfield $v$. ('rosby, is Coldacell's (T'ennessce) Rep.. $2+1$; Rutledge e. Fogg. : Id.. 504, and authorities there cited; Camp. bell c. Hall, Lofft, 655; Corper, 204.

Martial rule may be expressly continued by treaty of peace, but only to the extent of occupation.

## Martial rule needs no proclamation.

725. Martial rule is .justifiable only by an absolute and overruling necessity. When such necessity exists, the rule may be exercised, withont a previous proclamation, and in any place actually possessed by a belligerent, whether an enemy or friend,' but in no other place ; and it is always exercised at the peril of the commander.

Sce Bluntxchli, Droit Intern. Codifié, S.539; Lieber's Instructions, \#1.
Although some authorities say that a proclamation is necessary, it should not be so regarded.

If a greneral or commander-in-chief has the right to enforce martial rule upon those who are not members of his army, he may enforce it without as well as with a proclamation. All the purpose which that effects is to give notice of the fact.

There are, however, cases in which a general may use force for other purposes than to compel submission in the opposite army, and obedience in his own. The maxim which gives the reason and the extent of the pwer, is " Secesxitus quod coyit, defendit." This is a maxim not peculiar in its application to military men; it applies to all men under certain circumatances.

Private permons muy lawfully tear down a house, if necessary, to prewent the spread of a fire. But this is not because there is such a thing as fireman's law. lut becouse necessity requires it. Indeed, the maxim is mot confinerl in itsapplication to the calamities of war and conflagration. A mutiny, breaking out in a garrison, may make necessary for its *uppression, and therefore justify, acts which would otherwise be un-
justifiable. In all these eases, however, the permoll acting umalor thoe prewsupe of necesalty, real or supposed, acte at hif perfll Tho currorthers of him conclualon must twe judged by course and juries, whergever ther erte. atul the alloged urcematy aredrawn in quastion. Argumemt for flow Mil ligens Ciase. Hisalingten Reperter, May I, lebib.
 announcement, and not the creation of the fart. Sece Ifollocelt, Ind loue
 sior R. M. Rulfe. in Cases and Opinians in C'onstifationall lane. by fiorsyth. p. 18 N .


## Consuls.

726. Consuls are subject to martial rule in the sutm. cases, and to the same extent, as other persons.

Lididur's Inatructions.* \& .

## Duly of magistrates and civil officers.

727. It is the duty of the civil offiens and other residents of an invaded country to yield obedience to martial rule, within the limits of the provisions of this Book, so long as the military possession contimes. under pain of expulsion ; but oaths of allegiance cannot be required.'
${ }^{1}$ By the rulen heretofore existing, she commancere of a howtile forre may require the magistrates and civil officers of the enemy'n country within hils occupation, to take an oath to yield allegiance to his nation, wo long as its occupation continues. Lieber's Juatructiouses. 96. But it is submitted that the multiplication of onths is needless, and the object is better attained by a positive reguirement of law.

The obligations imposed on the nonsecmbatant inhabitante of a are tion of country passed over by an invading army, reare when the military occupation ceases; and any pledge or parole giren by anch permenne in rugard to future service, is void. Viencrul Oriers of $l^{\prime}$. s. Nier locpure


## $3^{*}$

# CHAPTER LVI. 

MHITARI OCCUPATION:

The provisions of this Chapter relate to possession under martial rule pending hostilities. The rules applicable to cases of completed conquest rest upon different principles, and are stated in Part IX., upon The Termination of Walr.

Abticle T28. "Military occupation" defined.
i29. Allegiance suspended by military occupation.
7:30. Limit of power of belligerent.
731. ('ivil and criminal law within military ocenpation.
i32. Persons held to service or labor.
7.33. Effict of reconquest on civil and political rights.
"Militar!/ ocenpatirn" defined.
728. Military or belligerent occupation. as used in this Book. is a possession by the military power of a belligerent. sufficiently tirm to enable such belligerent to execute its will within the limits of the occupation, rither by force, or by acquiescence of the people, for an indefinite future subject only to the chances of war.'

But the modification of such oceupation, resulting from an armistice, or other compact with the enemy, doss not affect the power of the belligerent over persons and property within such limits, further than is provided by this Book, or hy the compact itself."


*Sere Article iiv. as to the offect of armistice or truce.
Allegianore susppended by military occupation.
729. The allequance of the members of a belligerent nation resident withis: the limits of the military occu-
pation of the enemy, is suspundeal, so far is it is in. consistent with the lawfal authority of tho enemy.



## Limil of poorer of belligeremt.

730. Except as otherwise provided in this Book. tho authority of a belligerent, ocempying the teoritory of the renemy, extends no further and no longer thath his actaal power extends.

IMznei's II heutonn. Filem, of Indern loure, nuse Ibsi
 permanobey to the acts of the conqueror, done duribg military axeupabion Ifollerk. Intern. Late itud Lasiesuf Hior. p. $81 \%$
see Part IX., on Tик Teиmisition or Waf
Ciril and eriminal lane vilhin mililar!! aronpalion.
731. The civil law of the people of a territory whioh is held by a hostile military occupation, remains 1 n . chonged, until modified in ancoordance with the organic law, or by a treaty of peace: but the hostile nation may introduce its own criminal law, within the limit: of its ocenpation.
suggested by Ticiss. Laver of Visfuous. Part II. pr. İs

## Persons held to sercice or labor.

732. The status of persons, and the obligations of service or labor, are not affereted by the presemee of a belligerent, who may nevertholess for military purposes suspend such relations during the continnanote of the military occupation.
 invasion of a frew army: lotht this in not whstained by the authoritios. or the principles of intermational Inw.
"In barbarous timee, the lawwof war authorized the reduction toondavery of a conquered people. These laws have been soffoned undep the Influencom of Christianty and civilization, thll now it is the meption grublic law of thoo Christlan and civilized world that the comogueat of at motions ley enower
 the conguervel people. The people change theoir alleggiatice mare (biet

their ancient movereign are dissolved, but their relations to each other and their rights of property remain undisturbed.' One change only is effected. and that is, that one sovereign takes the place of the other. In a civil war sovereigns are not changed, unless the rebellion is successful." Argument in Mec'ardle C'ase, Forsyth's C'ases and Opinions in Constitutional Loult, pp. 4!1, il!

Eiffect of reconquest on civil and political rights.
733. When a belligerent regains and maintains possession of territory taken from his control during war:

1. All the acts of political administration done by order of the invader, and all modifications made in the constitution and the political relations of the people, muless made by the will and consent of the nation, cease to be in force ;'
$\therefore$. All executed transactions, whether transfers of property, ${ }^{*}$ judgments rendered, or other acts, if consistent with the organic law of the nation, and lawful at the time when they took place, remain valid.
${ }^{1}$ Fiorr', Noucean Droit International, ©. 2, p. 35s.

* Fioré says, these transactions are not valid by law, but ought to be contirmed by the sovereign. Ib. And see Bluntschli, Droit Intern. Cintitio. S. :33.

The exception in case of an expulsion of the enemy by a third power, in which case the authority of such power is held to some extent paramount, is not here recognized. See Bluntxchli, $\leq 729$.

As to acts of courts of an insurgent power, see Hickman $c$. Jones, 9 Wiallace'x U. s's supr. C't. Rep., 197.

## TITLE XXXIII.

HOSTILITIES.
'Hanter Lill. Who may wage hostilities.
LXIII. Against whom hostilities may be waged.
LIX. The instruments and modes of hostilities.

LA. Truce and armistice.
1,N1. Medical service.
LNII. Religious service.
LXIII. Prisoncrs.

## 

1.XV. Conerabasel of war.
I.XV's. Vinitationt, march and capture
I.XV'II, Blockade.
L.XVIII. Prize.
1.XIX. Effect of a mate of war on obligntlo, on of nations and their membern
L.XX. Fiffect of a mate of war apon interrourme
I.XXI. Eiffect of a atate of war upron the admbla intration of juntice.

## CHAPTER LVII.

## WHO MAY WAGF HOSTIITIF:

Anticte 734, 733 . Authority to commit hometilition
736. Persons impresmed with military characier
832. Temporary want of authority.

73s. Compulary service.
739. Savage allies.
740. Defensive hostilities.
it1. Privateering abolished.
it2. Punishtuent of privatooring.
243. Pirates and brigauds.

## Aulhority to commit hostilities.

734. All acts of hostility are unlaw ful, 'except wholl committed under anthority of a belligerent. or in self. defense.

An alion, a native of a state at peace with cireat Britain, and not in the service of any state at war with that gowernment, whe bevien war againat it within its dominions, is deemed guilty of treamon. although ho. enters it in a hostile manner. F'orsythin (inses and opinious in 'ionsofon fional lane. p. 199.

The anthority is usually that of the mation of which the individual is a member: but the principle includes the anthority of any nation, as
 fiary mervice of another, against a thind.

War should the regarted as mulowisting lwetween nations, now indt

 appoint what persons are to act in a hostile manact ngalnst the corm:
and low far : and, in consequence, mo private presom hath power to make devastation in an encmys country, or to carry off spoil or plunder, with'Int promission from his sovereign: . . for to be a soldier, and to act ottonsively in a hostile manner, a man must be commissioned by public


War is waged betwern govermments bey perms whom they anthorize, and is not waged grainst the passive inhabitants of a coontry. Winilacgis


I'artalis, in his speech at the installation of the combeil of prizes. (sece
 in the intereste of selfeconservation, or for the sake of self defense, will, can or onght to use force against another prople. It is the relation of things, and mot of permons, which constitutes war: it is the relation of State to state, and not of individual to individual. Between two or more belligerent nations, the private persons of which these mations consist are "Womies only by accident they are not such as men, they are mot even as citizens, they are such solely as soldiers."

Tor the same effect are Talleyrand's words in a dispatch to Napoleon.
 "Three cemturies of civilization have given to Europe a law of mations, for which, aceording th the expression of an illustrions writer, human bature camot be sufticiently grateful. This law is founded on the prin ciple, that nations ought to do to one nuother in peace, the most good, and in war, the least evil possible.
"Acording to the maxim that war is not a relation between a man and another, but betweon state and state. in which prisate persons are only arcidental enemies, mot such as men, hor even as members or swh. foete of the state, but simply as its defenders. He law of nations does not allow that the rights of war, and of comquest thence derived, should be applied to peaceable, unarmed citizens, to private dwellings and prop--rties, to the merchandise of commerce, to the magazines which contain it, th the vehicles which transport it, to marined ships which convey it ons streams and soas; in a word, to the presson and the goods of private individuals.
"This law of war. Imorn of civilization, has favored its progress. It is To hhis that Furnje must ascribe the maintemance and increase of her pros[erity. "ven in the midst of the frequent wars which have divided her."

## The stame.

735. Subject to article 737, no persons other than those impresed with a military character may lawfully wage hostilities, "xerept in self-defense.




 lead and melf controlled, ape thet belligefent arts, lout rriture Theqe



Persons impressed wilh mililar!! chararter.
736. The following persons and no others are deremed to be impressed with the military character :

1. 'Those who constitute a part of the military' formos of the nation ; and,
2. Those who are connected with ther operationthereof, by the express anthority of the nation.

Fiori, on thin point wnyw that the army. which may oronsist of remularn.
 riplinesl abd subjerted to the crommani of the pobblic authorit! Foord.


As to the status of frane tireure during the Fraucotiorman war. 1-it. Connt Bismarek declared to ther French government, that oconly men who ran bee recognixed withith gunsluet, as moldierns, shall lwe considerent abol treated as such,"-and "that all thome who, mot being on all orrasions. and at a proper ilistance reroguizable ax moldiers, may kill or wound aby
 Unuited sitetes, 1850. p. 142.

## Tremporar:y cant of anthorit!g.

737. Inhabitants of a conntry invaded who sponta neously unite in arming to oppose invasion.' or who. under military organization, ${ }^{7}$ and for political masoms. without motives of private gain, take part in hostilitios existing between belligernots, are not to the treated as criminals, unless after being required by the enomy th lay down their arms or to join the regular militar! forces within a reasonable time. they fail to do sol
${ }^{1}$ Halleck, (Int. Iater and Lanes of War, p. 388,) says, the proper dis. tinction in relation to inhabitants rising en musse, was made by Welling. ton, in his invasion of the Sonth of Francer, (1814.) He required the peasante rngaged in partisnm warfare to take arms openly and join koult, or atay peaceably at home.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pronons waging offensive hostilities on land, without express anthority of a belligerent, should be treated as criminals if they act withont military organization, whatever the circumstances or motives.

- Bloufarlifi, (Drait Internationnl Cindifí, S580, and note, inclines to the opinion that an unathorized corps, which, believing they have a just canse, adopt a military organization, and fight for a political end, are to be treated as lawful enemies, not criminals: and he instances the corps of (iaribatdi, in the Italian wars of 1859 and 1866 , and in the expeditions of INtill und LNGT. The above Article will allow this, subject to the right of the conemy to require them to join the forces.
l.iedur. ( Instructions, - 85,) lays down a stricter rule. He says, that "war-rebels are persons within un occupied territory who rise in arms ugainat the occupying or conquering army, or ngainst the anthorities es. tablishod by the same. If captured, they may osuffer death, whether they rise singly, in small or large bands, and whether called upon to do (4) by their own. but expelled, govermment or not. They are not prisoners of war: nor are they, if discopered and secured before their con"piracy has matured to an actual rising, or to armed violence."


## Componlsory sempire.

738. Exrept as provided in article 358, ' a nation cannot exact military service from any persons but its own membrers.
${ }^{1}$ The referonce is to the Article in the Book on Peace, which regulateo the obligation of military service on the purt of foreigners.
'Tlue inhatitants of a conguered territory are not deemed members of Hew vieurious nation, within this Article, except when the latter, after comflute compuest, has proclamed its intention and manifested its power to lowld and aunex such territory. Lieber's Instructions, - © 2:, 03; Blunt.

\&... Part IN.. comerning The Termination of Wab.

## sarna!fe allies.

739. The "mployment, against a civilized enemy, of savage allies, whore not subjected to the rules of war, eontained in this Code, and to the military law of the employing power. is unlawful.

[^67]employment, though open and ach bowlolged, of anage allimes whe do ben recognize the lawn of war and of mathom, agalume a civilizal racuiy, fo


But it in rot a vald objection that individual moldiem are of a lay baroun or pagan religion, when they are under them remponsilble crommanal of offiente of a civilized nation, and mulyjecteed to thon articlon if war.

Wodsey. (International lane, f187. p. 918.) paym, that " Iroopm who are accuntomed to an inhuman mode of warfare, abil belong tua mage mare cansort be trunted to wage war acrualing to the sphitit of homantly. mand ought wot to be employed."

## Defensive hostilities.

740. Subject to article 868 , defensive hostilities, on sea or land, though without puhlice anthority. are lawful.

Halleck, Intern. Jave and latex of lliar, p. sish, nud nuthorition therer



## Prioateering abolished.

741. Privateering is and remains abolished:' and offensive hostilities at sea can only be waged by the public armed ships of a belligerent.
${ }^{1}$ Conference of Paris, 1856. Nearly all the nations of Fourope have acceded to this rule: see preliminary note to Chapter $1 . X 1 \mathcal{S}_{\text {., concorning }}$ Hosthities abainst Phoreity. The l'nited states have always bern willing to adopt it, coupled with the exemption of private property not contraband.
By the convention between the linited states and



It is provided, that, no member of cither nation shall apply for or take any commisslon or letters of maryue, for arming any ship or shipm to act as prisaterers, agalnat the other nation, or against its citizens, perpple, or Inhabitanta, or any of them, or against the property of any of ite in habitants, from any prince or State with which such other nation ahall the at war; and if any member of either nation shall take such commin sion or letters of marque, he shall be puished according to their reaper tive laws.

And in the treaty between the U'nited stater and tiuatemala, March
 prersons may le treated an pirviten.

Sisullar provisions are to be found in many oflier momern treatie

## Punishment of privateering.

742. A private ship, waging offensive hostilities whether with or without a commission from a nation, is a piratical ship; and all persons committing such hostilities are punishable as pirates.

Depredations on the high seas, without authority from any sovereign State, are acts of pirncy. Idmerence* Wheaton, Elements of Intern. Iduo, p. 246, pt. 11. ch. 11, ․ 15; Dana's Wheaton, \& 122.

If privateering be not abolished it ought to be declared that, "Any ship which takes a commission from any other power than the nation whose character it bears, as defined by Chapter XX., on Natronal. Cuaracteit of Surping, is a piratical ship, and all persons committing hos tilities under such commission, are punishable as pirates by any nation. See Halleck, Int. Lalur \& Idurs of Wiar, p. 396; and the treaty of 1786, be tween France and Great Britain.

It is plain, that taking a commission from each of two belligerents is piracy. 1 Phillimore's Intern. Lauc, S 358. See also Latorence's Whenton, pp. 250-254, note 80. Forxytlis Cares and Opinions in Constitutional Laur. p. 116 .

Taking a commission from both of two allied nations against a common enemy is equally condemned by Kent, (Commenturies, vol. 1, p. 100 ; citing as authorities for this rule, Valin's Com., tome 2, 235-236; Bynkershock, c. 17 ; Sir L. Jenkina' Work:, 714 ;) although Holleck, (p. 390,) makes a distinction here, and holds that this is not piracy.

The taking of a commission from one belligerent by a neutral ship ought equally to be forbidden, according to Vittel, Droit des Gens, bk. 3, ch. 15, S. 229.

An act of Congress of the United States, prohibits citizens to accept within the jurisdiction of the United States, a commission, or any person not transiently within the United States to consent to be retained or en listed, in serve a forelgn State in war against a government in amity with the L'nited States. It likewise prohibits citizens from being concerned without the limits of the U'nited States, in fitting out or otherwise assisting any private vessel of war, to cruise against the subjects of friendly powers. Act of Congress, April 20. 1818, ch. 83, p. 100. And see Kent's Commentarics, p. 100. Similar prohibitions are contained in the laws of other countries. See the "Austrian Ordinance of Neutrality," Aug. 7, 1803, Arts. 2, 3.

The foreign pnlistment acts of (ireat Britain and the United States, which are permanent statutes, impose severe penalties on citizens or res. idents, who receive commissions, equip privateers, or enlist men for service in any foreign war. 9 U. S. Stut. at L., p. 175.

The above rule would include all three classes of cases.
Pirates and brigands.
743. Pirates and brigands are criminals not entitled
to the protection extended to enemies by the laws of war.

A military organization is not roough. AhumbeMi, IJroit fonterman.
 the belligervil power, they become enetuien.

Partisans, sayn liciber. (Inafruelions, © 81,) are moldiners armed abd wearing the uniform of their army, but belonging to a corpe which acte detached from the main body, for the purpose of making inroeds intes the territory occupted by the enemy. If captured they are entitiod to all thon privilegen of prisoners of war.

Men or imunde of tuen, who comsuit hontilitien, whether by fighsing or insoads for destruction or plunder, or by raids of any kind, withous comminaion, witbout being part of the organized bontile army, and with. out sharing continuously in the war, bue who do so with fatermitting re turne to their homes and avocations, or with the occasional asmumption of the sermblance of peaceful pursults. divesting themselven of the character or appearances of soldiers, such men or squads of men, are not public "nemies, and therefore, if captured, are not entitled to the privileges of primoners of war. but ahould be treated mummarily as bighway robbers of pirates.

## CHAPTER LVIII.

## IfiAIN'T WHOM HOSTILITIES MAY BF: WA(iFi).

Amticle: i44. "Einemy " defined.<br>2ti). Individual enemiew.<br>246. "Active enemies " defined.<br>24. "Passive enermies" defined.<br>i48. Active cnembea resisting with arms.<br>749. Non combatants.<br>750. Passive cenemies arv inviolable.<br>751. Passive encmies, sick and wounded learing armed place.

752. Disarming places.
753. Persons communicating with the enemy.

## " Enemy" defined.

744. Except where a different intont plainly appears, the term " enemy," as used in this Code, without qualification, designates the hostile nation or community. and all individuals identified with it, as active enemies, according to the definition of article 746.

Indiridual encmies.
745. Individuals, regarded as enemies are either,

1. Active: or,
2. Passive.
"Aetioe rnemies" defilled.
3. The following persons, and no others, are deemed active enemies:
4. Those impressed with a military character, by the belligerent, ${ }^{\prime}$ as defined by article 736 .
5. 'Those' who, not being impressed with such character, are unlawfolly waging hostilities ;
6. Those who unlawfully give aid amd comfort to the opposing belligerent ; ${ }^{2}$
7. Spies ; and,
8. Pirates.
' If a soldior of foreign origin take service in the standing army of a hation, ho becomes, for the time of his service, a nember de fucto of the mation, for all belligerent purposes. Tinixs, Lanc of Nutions, pt. II., p. 81.
"The phrase " aid and comfort to the encmies" of the nation, alone, does not include compulsory assistance, nor service in purely civil functions: nor mere expression of opinion, nor mere acts of charity done with intent to relieve immediate suffering, and not in aid of the cause. It designates owert acts, done with intent to further the war. 12 Opinions of
 resistance, or violate the rules of warfare, give military information to their friends, or obstruct the forces in possession, are liable to be treated as combatants. I) mires Wheuton, Elem. of Intern. Lair, S. 345, note 168 ; see also, Woulsr!yं Infer"I. Latir, 130 .

It is not esscontial to constitute giving aid and comfort, that the effort should be suceessful, and actually render assistance, Uvert acts, which, if macerssful, would adrance the interests of the enemy, amount to aid and confort. U"nited States $v$. Greathouse, 2 Abbott's United Stutes Reperts, :364.

## "Passire enemies" defined.

747. Passive enemies are all members of the hostile nation, or other belligerent community, and all domiriled residents therein, who are not active enemies.

Actice enemies resisting with arms.
748. Subject to the restrictions contained in this

Book, it is lawful for a belligerent to attack and kill or subdue active enemies, while they aro rosisting with arms in their hands.

Thue rule that hometilitien can only be waked, on the torritary of a bell ligerent, assl on the high seas, or in other placem not within thoe juriadie tion of a neutral nation,-im cmbediod in the provisione of Biviaion ${ }^{\circ}$ concerning invtthasan.

## Non-combatants.

749. Persons impressed with the military eharactor. whose duty does not require them to take part in hos. tilities, such as those employed in judicial, commissary and medical departments, are exposed to the dangers of general hostilities, but cannot lawfully be separately attacked, so long as they do not take part in actual hostilities.

Btuntachli, Iroit Internationath Cindifió, 冬 jis.
Passive enemies are inviolable.
750. Passive enemies cannot be made the objects of hostilities, except as provided in this Title," or inci. dentally when they are personally involsed in the consequences of contests with active enemics.

1 " No use of force against an enemy is lawful, unlese it is mecresery to nccomplish the purposes of war. The custom of civilized nations, founded upon this principle, has therefore exempted the persons of the sovereign and his family, the members of the civil government, women and child ren, cultivators of the earth, artixans, laborers, merchants, men of sejence and letters, and generally, all public or private individuals engragod in the ordinary civil pursuits of life, from the direet effect of military opera tions, unless actually taken in arms or guilty of some misenduct in rios lation of the usages of war by which they forfeit their immunity."


 In wome treaties and decrees, fixhermen catching tish for fowed aro almo exempt.
"They are liable to be taken prisoners of war, (sere Articlem iow and s01.) and to visitation and moarch, (seye Article sb5)
 from direct operations of war: 1. Feeble, old men: women and chitdren. and the sick; 2. Ministers of religion; men of ecirnee end lefters: pro
fessional men ; artists, merchants, mechanics, agriculturists, laborers,-in fine, all non combatants or persons who take no part in the war, and make no resistance to arms. This exemption continues only so long as they refrain from hostilities or inciting hostilities, pay military contributions and subunit to military authority.

Passive enemies, sick and wounded, leaving armed place.
751. Passive enemies, and sick and wounded, may always be sent out of an armed place; and in taking their departure, they, with their attendants, must be respected and protected by the belligerents.
see Convention of Geneva, Art. Vi., \& 5.

## Disarming places.

752. The passive enemies in a particular place may be disarmed and restrained, when necessary for the security or success of the belligerent force.

Hulleck, Intern. Laur \& Larrs of Wir, p. 42s, sity.

## Persons communicating with the enemy.

753. Persons who, within the military lines, make any communication with the enemy, direct or indirect, intended to subserve the purpose of the war, may be expelled from the lines,' or may be treated as active anemies.
[^68]
## CHAPTERLIX.

## THE INSTKUMENTE AND MODES OF HOSTIIITIEN.

It has not been thought best, in drafting theen provinions, to attempt the ntatement of a theoretic distinction between thowe forma of force which are and thone which are not nnlawful, but only to enumernte thowe which It seems practically important to prohibit.

Concealed modes of extenaive deatruction are allowable ; an, sorpedowen planted to blow up shipa, or atrewed over the ground before an adranciag enemy, and mines : hot shell are perminsible, and bombshells to set fire to shipe, camps or fortn; and it in thought that steam or bolling water mar: lawfully be thrown upon boarders, by a ship on the defensice.

The employment of assansins ; the interduction of infectious or mentagious diseasen, the poisoning of aprings, the use of poisoned weapons or of chemical compounds which may maim or torture the enemy, of of any material which owea lis efficacy to a distinct quality of producing pain. of of causing or increasing the chances of death or diasability, and which cannot be remedied by the usual medical and surgical applications for forcible injurien, or averted by retreat or surrender, are unla wful. Dana's Whenton, Ellem. of Intern. Iane, \$̧ 343, note 186.

[^69]
## Unlarfful veapons.

## 754. The following are unlawful weapons:

1. 'Those which are poisoned ;'
2. Those which contain explosive material, whether in musket balls or in any other missile intended especially for the person ; ${ }^{2}$
3. Those which contain chemical compounds intended to torture ; and,
4. All other weapons intended to cause needless suffering, or wounds unnecessarily difficult to heal. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ holihier, Droit des Genx, S. 244; Bluntselidi, Droit Intern. Cotifía. © 555.

* The Inturnational Military Commission, in a session at St. Petersburg, agreed to prohibit the use in time of war of all explosive projectiles wrighing less than four hundred grammes. Army and Nory Journul. New York. Nov. 28, 1808.
${ }^{3}$ Fioŕr. Nourcuu Droit Intern., v. 2, p. 279.
Of this class are: Bonlets it chuines, which, according to Bluntschti, (I)ruit Intern. Coclifié. 5550 ,) are forbidden only on land.

Boulets ii bress.
Boulets ramis, mentioned by Bluntschli, Ş 560, note.
Butles crénelées.
l.e petit plomb.

Mitruille, (de fuire slurger le canon urec des morcestux de fer an de rerre, out uber dex clon.) (Mitrinlle proprement dite.)

According to Klüler, mitraille in the ordinary acceptation of the term, and, "ren in case of necossity, of pieces of lead, not perfectly round, are allowable. But arcording to Bluntachli, 5 ino, they are forbidden at sea. lorre pile.
De faire charger le fuxila ì devx lualles; ì deux mortiess de lurles: ou fondues irece de morcentir de cerre ou de clumux.

Bunlets ronges uи de couromes foulroyıntex; cercles poissés.
Thesse are prohibited by treaty in some maritime wars. Kluber, 오 244, note 10 .

F'leches burriclix. Bluntuchli, Iroit Intern. C'ond., 冬 558.
filither, ( I rroit des (irus. $£ 244$, says, that the customs of war condemn the use of chain shot aud bar shot, shooting bits of iron, brass, nails, \&c.; the loading of muskets with two balls, with jagged balls, or with balls mixed with glass or lime.
special treatios have prohibited as between the parties the use of chain, bar, and hot shot, as well as of pitch rings, (cercles poissés.)
(irape, cannister and shrapnel shell, or spherical case shot are used in the L'nited states navy. Orilintuce Instructions of 1866, p. 76, 5? 25i?

Pricate gralification forlidden.
755. All transactions for individual gain at the ex. pense of the enemy, all insulte to the religion, honor. language or manners of the enemy ;' all assassination or other acts of privato revenge, or connivance at such acts, and all violence for private purposes. ${ }^{\circ}$ are unlaw. ful.
lieder's Inatructions for the tiocernment uf Armien of the I'nitcal Niviles - 11.
 bk. V'II., \% 24.

- Blunterhli, Droit Intern. Contifió, $\frac{8}{5} 582$.

 note 166 .
- Such an the gratification of lust. lieller'a Polificull tilhies, bk lill 594.

UnJatof ul hostilities.
756. The following acts ate unlawful, 'ex"pt when intlicted in retaliation, within the limits prescribed by article 758:

1. Offering reward for the death of any person :
2. Proclaiming any person an outlaw ;'
3. Incendiarism, except of military structures:"
4. Bombardment of defenseless places ;
5. All wanton injuries to property, not subject to hostilities according to the provisions of this Book:
6. All unnecessary or avoidable injury to the person of others than active enemies, "or to property mentioned in article S40, even when contained in fortified places besieged or bombarded ;'
7. Refusing quarter to those who surrender and lay down their arms, or killing or wounding a de. fenceless, or unresisting enomy : except in the cases where the refusal of quarter is necessary by way of $n$ o taliation, allowed by article 758 , or when the punish. ment of death is ordered by a competent tribunal fur an offense committed by such enemy:
s. Mutilation or other wanton injuries of the person of a prisoner :
8. Firing on outposts," sentinels or pickets, except under express orders to drive them in :
9. The use of poison' ${ }^{12}$ or other means, to vitiate food, drink or atmospitere, or to spread contagious or infectious disease: and,
10. Starving' the enemy by cutting off supplies of food or water.
${ }^{1}$ It is law ful, however, to take advantage of the disorder or weakness causat by suchacts, when committed by third parties. Bluntachli, Droit Intern. Codifis, S5033, note.

* Bhantachli, Druit Intern. C'nlifié, \$562: Lieler's Instructions for the (iorernument of Armies of L'nited statex, " 148.
 sorcreign or generol-in-rlief is forbidden.
${ }^{3}$ The law of war does not allow proclaiming either an individual belonging to the lostile army, or a citizen, or a subject of the hostile gov"rmment, an outhaw, who may be slain without trial by any caphor. Lifber** Instructions. - 148 .
${ }^{4}$ Bluntschli, Droit Inter'r. Conlifír, SS 563, note.
s This, it serems, should be prohibited, though it has been regarded as allowable.
'Such are the violation of women, the despoiling of tombs, the profaning of places of worship, se. Kliiber, Droit dex Gens, $\vdots 244$.
- Liellir $r^{*} \times$ Justructiomes, © 3it.
* By. the present rules of international haw, says Intileck, (hut. Lathe
 fused the romemy, only, in cases where those asking it have forfeited their lives lye some crime against the eonqueror, under the laws and usages of war. But lieher. (Inetruetionx, * 60.) says, that a commander is permitted fo direct his trongs to give no quarter, in great straits, when his own sal. vation makes it impossille for him to incumber himself with prisoners.


According th the same authorities, troops known to give no quarter
 prisoner, as a member of such treops, is unknown at the time of capture. ho may be put to death, if it be discovered within three days after the lattle in which guarter was given under such misapprehension. Lieber, - mib.

This Article would establish a more humane rule.




[^70]
## Votice of bombardment

757. Before bombarding any city or town. it com mander mnst give notice to its anthorities of his intron. tion to do so ; and mnst allow a reasonable time for the remosal of all who are not active enemies.
lider, (Inatructions. © 19.) says, that it is mo infraction of show lawn of war to omit such notice : for nurprise may be a military nesowity.

In the Franco Pruswlan war, the (iermans hesitated to resort to a general bombardment of Paris, and it is said, deceited ten reduce the city by famine inatoad of firv, if powsible. Circular of Comut Bistuarek. Ithound Reginter, for 1850, p. 187.

The rule that the bombardment of a fortified town shoould be pres ceded by uetice was asserted by the repressentatives of neutral powers in Paris, during the sioge; and they accordiugly unitad in a note tor Count Bismarck, reynewting that "in aceoriance with the recognizent principhom and usages of the law of nations, ateps mag be taken to protmit theit conntrymen to place themselves and their property in afect." fierrign
 (Comst Bistunek, on the ground that ample warning and "pportunity to leave had been given. Id ppo 293, 36as.

## Retaliation, when allowed.

758. Upon satisfactory evidence that the rules of lawful warfare are violated by an enemy. and if there be no other means of restraining his excesses, rotalia. tion may, after deliberation, be justly mesorted to in order to compel him to observe the law.




 lioun. - : s

In the war of the relbellime the president of the l'nited states issued ath order, July : :0, 1sti:3, in view of barbarities intlicted upon prisoners by the "umbe declaring that for every soldier of the Vnited states killed in violation of the laws of war, a rebel soldier should be executed; and for every one enslaved or sold into shavery by the enemy, a rebel soldier shombld be placed at hard labor on the public works, until the other should b. rellased and treated as a prisoner of war. General orders of


## Mode of retaliation not to be barbarous.

759. Retaliation for barbarous hostilities. such as mutilation, deprivation of food or drink, or enslavement of prisoners, the use of unlawful weapons, or other cruelties, camot be made by inflicting the same barbarities; but may be by inflicting death.

This principle is declared in Lieber'* Instructions, © 58 , in respect to enslavement.

## Passive oi disabled enemies and prisoners.

760. The refusal of quarter, when anthorized, does not justify killing passive enemies, or active enemies alroidy disabled on the ground, or those who are already prisonces.

Licher'x Inatructionx, * 61 ; Bluntachli, Droit Intern. Codifté, Ş 589.

## Bribery and intrigue.

761. Bribing or attempting to bribe any enemy inipressed with the military character of the hostile nation, or any of its officers, agents or servants ; and clandestinely corresponding with a faction in the romemy": forces or people, are unlawful.

Ilulleck says, the latter is uot unlawful; though the former is not lo,

 sulojacte in treamen or sodition.

[^71]Good faill in keepin! en!a!emembs.
762. Lawful promises made to the rnemy must beo kept, in good faith.
 v. 2, p. 356 .

## "Stratagems" defined.

763. Stratagems are snares laid for an enomy, or deceptions practiced on him.

## Unlanoful stratagems.

764. The following are unlawful stratagems:
765. Any false communication, by word, sign or otherwise, addressed directly to the enemy :'
766. The use of false colors, ${ }^{2}$ false niniforms, ${ }^{2}$ and false signals ${ }^{4}$ of distress ;
767. Disguise, or using indicia of nentrality or inattivity, for the purpose of committing acts of hostility, with the appearance of a peaceful intent ;' and,
768. All other acts of pertidy ${ }^{\circ}$ and treachery.

- This in a more strict rule than is at preseost applied, but with the distinctions tuade in the next Article, is mont more strict than geat fably seems to require. The exigetscion of lawful negotiations soyutime that cumbunications dircelly to the ememy whould be trathínl


Intern. (implifio, So shin, and note.) says. it is not now unlawful to deceive the endoy by using his own colors and uniform; but before actual conthes the true character must be diselosed.
liciter. (Imatructions, - lin.) says, the use of the enemy's emblems of mationality for the purpme of deceiving lim in lattle, is perfidions.

Finlse colors at sen are now allowed to be used in sailing. even in pursuit, but the commission of any act of hostility under fulse colors is
 the attirming gon is not an at of hostility within this rule; but cites Moxsi and Itauteferille, as of a contrary opiniom.
 homorable, at sea, to draw the enemy into combat, or escape a superior renemy, by mising a false flag, but it is forbidden to commence or continue the combat under any other thag than the true one. Formerly it was forbidden to fire the warning gun under a false color, but now the Fronch law only reeguires the French flag to be displayed before firing sloot.

Sere also on this subject, 2 Hihlman'* Intern. Late, en; The Peacock,

 4. p. s; Virlin, Trutiti de» Prisex, ch. 1. sec. 1. S! : Lebean, Noureun Corle


By the present Article, a capture acemplished by the use of false colors will lue unlawful. The protection of nentrals seems to reguire that the false use of neltral colors shall not be resorted to by belligerrnts; and the colarged immonity herein proposed for nentrals maken this restriction still more important.

* Lieher. (Instructions. © 64.) says, that if uniforms captured from the enemy are used, a striking mark or sign must be adophed for distinction. Troops who fight in the enemy's miform without sueh mark conld ex.
 this rule only to the use of such uniforms in battle.
${ }^{4}$ For an insunce of the use of false signals of distress, sce l'uttel,
 emomy may perhaps be regarded as a pat of lawfol stratagem.

Tho principle which it is desired to "stablish is, that since new immunity from the wiln of war is proposed for passive comemies mad neutralx, inelligerents shall one perfidiously take advantage of this as a means
 31.) of the British vessel of war in 1800 , whose ofticers and mell tow pos. nesslom of a swedinh vessel, a nontral, and in her surpised two Spanish frigates at anchor, is,--sw far as the offenso ngainst the spanish forces is concerned,-minty an extem" casc of pursuit moder false colors. If neutrals and passive enemies are to be respereted, it seems proper to forbid using any indicia of montrality or inactivity as a cover for hostilities.

Dinguise for the purpese of getting within the remem's lines without
force, to puta permon to drath, in unlawful: lout disguise of obipeo or



 in permimible ouly wheol it diow inet violate ther principles of tesoralty pledged faith, aud tho generml rulem of war.

## Lanful strataygems.

## 765. The following are lawful stratagems:

1. False representations, addressed to any othor than the enemy, though intended to comer to his knowledge:'
2. Feigning assent to an infamous proposal, and making false communications in response thereto: and.
3. Surprise, without disguise or treachory.

Wïdman, ( Intern. Iante, v. 2, p. 24, ways, ubqualifiedly, that dinguising men and whips, except firing under false colons, an well an spreading falme news, and the like, are lawful.

So rule of war forbidex a commatherer to rirculate falae information. and to nee meatos for decelving his enomy with rogand to his enowe. mente. Wimbacy. Intern. I.ane, \$12\%.

Piratical use of false colors, \&e.
766. Acts of hostility committed by a ship under false colors, or by the use of a neut mal ship, or accomplished by the use of false colors or of false sigmals, addressed to the enemy, are acts of piracy.
see note to Article if : and compare Article 61.

## "spies" defined.

767. Spies are persons who, with disguise or other deception, go peaceably among the enemy to disconer his condition.

Ioider's Inatructions. © sis.
 nition the act of cobnmubicating finformation mo acyuifol to the eus floyep. But it in mot nocrexeary to show that done or even interoded. in ourbor to constitute a spy.
 Cimlifir, ह6:00.

## Employment and punishment of spies．

768．It is lawful to employ spies，without corrupt－ ing public or military officers＇；but a spy is punishable with death if captured while acting as such，${ }^{2}$ or in go－ ing or returning．
${ }^{1}$ Fiori，Noureau Droit Intern．，v．2，p． 283.
${ }^{2}$＇Ihe act of Congress of the United States，of March 3．1863，这：38，pun－ ishes with death，all persons found in time of war，lurking or acting as spies，in or about any of the fortifications，posts，quarters or encamp－ ments of any of the armies of the United States，or elsewhere．

Licber，（Instructions，© 83．）says，that a scout，－that is a person who with disguise or other deception lurks within or chout the lines of the enemy to obtain information，－is punishable with death．

But it seems that one wholurks vithout the lines should not be pun－ ished for that alone．

A successful spy or war－traitor safely returned to his own army and afterwards captured as an enemy，is not subject to punishment for his acts as a spy or wartraitor，but he may be held in closer custody as a dangerous person．

## Guides．

769．A belligerent may compel any inhabitant to serve as guide or pilot；and one who serves his own nation as guide，or who by compulsion serves the enemy as guide，is not punishable therefor．
 （9：34，63：3）．

If a citizen of a hostile and invaded district coluntarily serve as a guide to the cnemy，or offer to do so，he is deemed a war－traitor，and may be punished with death．

A citioen serving voluntarily as a guide against his own country commits treason，mad may be dealt with according to the law of his country．

Punishment of guides．
770．Guides or pilots who intentionally mislead，are punishable with death．
 s． 6.36.

Solicilation of＂desertion unlawful．
771．It is unlawful to solicit desertion from military
duty ; but, exeept during a truce or armistice, desertors may be rocoivod and enlisted into service.

 Pugfondurs. Vill., ioxl.

Einlistment of desertors no moterlion from ponish. ment.
772. A deserter who enlists with the enomy is not thereby protected from punishment according to the: military law of the nation whose sorvice he deserted, if he fall into its power again.


## CHAPTER LX.

TRICE AND ABMISTICE.
Anticter. 773. " Truce" and "armistice " defined.
iit. Authority to make a truce.
iais. Authority to make armintice.
i26. Publication of truce.
iri. Interprotation.
3is. Eiffict of armistice or truce.
7:9. EAforcing.
780. Expiration.
781. I'nauthorized breach.
is. Recommencing howilition.
Ext. Flage of truce.
ist. Fiftect of capitulation.
"Truce" and "armistice" defined.
773. The term "truce," as used in this Code, means a suspension of hostilities as to a part of the forces on either side, or as to one or more places.

The term "armistice", means a suspension of all hostilitios between the belligernints.

[^72]troop, and a truce, as a suspension of hostilities for a considerable length of time, and for a general purpose.

## Authority to make a truce.

774. A truce may be concluded between the commanders of the belligerent forces respectively, extending to their own commands, withont special anthority.

## Authority to make armistice.

775. An armistice can be concluded only by agreement of the governments of the respective nations.

In the exercise of a general implied power incidental to their ofllcial stations, generals and admirals may suspend or limit the exercise of hostilities within the sphere of their respective military and naval commands, by means of special licenses to trade, of cartels for the exchange of prisoners, of truces for the suspension of arms, or capitulations for the surrender of a fortress, city or province. These conventions do not, in general. require the ratification of the supreme power of the State, unless such ratification be expressly reserved in the act itself. Larrence's Wheaton, Elcm. of Intern. Lano, p. 442, క̧ 3; Dama's Whenton, ㄴ 254, citing Martens, Précis, liv. I1., ch. 2. ssis 49, 51, 65; Heffter, Droit Interna-
 Viattel, Droit dex Gens, liv. II., ch. 14, 导207.

The conclusion of a general armistice, by the general or admiral commanding in chief the military or naval forces of the State, applicable to all hostilities in every place, and to endure for a long or indefinite period, requires either the previous special authority of the superior power of the State, or a subsequent ratification by such power. LAmorence's

 tice Documents, 31xt C'ong., No. 17, p. 601: Kïuber. Iroit Interna-
 amounts in effect to a temporary peace, except that it leaves undecided the controversy out of which the war originated.

Suchacts or agreements, when made without authority, or exceeding the limits of the authority under which they purport to be made, are called sponxions. These must be confirmed by express or tacit ratifica-


## Publication of truce.

776. A truce or armistice binds the principals from the time of making the same, but no others until it has been published. Persons ignorantly violating it are not responsible civilly or eriminally, but the principal
whose duty it was to publish it is bound te make com－ pensation to the party injured．

2 Widdman＇s Intermutionoll laser，ax．
To prevent the ditticultien and datuage that might arim from arts committed in ignorance of a truce，it in unual to fix a pronpertive period for the cessation of hostilitien，with reference to distancen and the mitua tion of places．Lasereneces Whentom，Eitrm．of Lutern．Lame，p．6＊b，最 $=1$ ．
 Droit des Gens，bk．3，c．15，登高 249.244.

## Interpretation．

777．Where the language of a truce or armistice is ambiguous，that construction is to be preferred which extends the benefits thereof．

2 Wildmen＇s Intern．Lalre， 37 ；citing E＇rentins，de Jure Belline Poreis，III． 21．4：Vittel，Droit des Giens，III．．ूू 3H．

## Effect of truce or armistice．

778．Unless the terms of a truce or armistice indi． cate a different intention of the parties，the following rules apply ：

1．It takes effect from the moment it is agreed on ；＇
2．Neither party，during its continuance，can do any act directly injurions to the other ：

3．Neither party can take advantage of the cossa－ tion of hostilities to gain a different position，or to threaten or strengthen a besieged place by works or military supplies，or to do any other act which could not safely be done in the midst of hostilities；but all things are to remain as they were in the places con－ tested，and of which the possession was disputed at the moment of concluding the truce or armistice ：and，

4．Subject to the forgoing restrictions，either party may continue general active preparations for war，by constructing or repairing fortifications，raising troops and gathering supplies．

[^73] doned, but not those which he aceidentally omits to oecupy or gruard.

It is law ful during a fruch. unless its terms forbid, to withdraw forces ar collect reinforcements, but not iondrance or ocenpy ungumeded posi-


It is obvjons that the contructing parties may, bey exprese compact, derogate in any respect from these gencral conditions. For a fill trent-




 145: Blunfarlli, Drmit Iuter". ('ulifić, Ş (it)l.

The computation of time is recrulated by Article 994
Enforcin!
779. Any party to a truce or armistice may intertere to prevent any other party from doing any act in violation thereof.

The hostilities it seems must be confined to what is necessary for such prevention, unless the acts are a breach of conditions which terminate the truce. IInllick, Interı. Latir and Lames of Wier. p. 6ios.

## Expirution.

780. A truce or armistice is terminated, either,
781. By the expiration of the time limited by its terms: or,
782. If no time be limited, then upon the expiration of due notice given to either party by the other to terminate it at a specified time; or,
783. By a breach of its stipulations, expressed to be conditions' thereof.
[^74]
## Unanthorized brath.

781. A truce or armistice is not terminated by acts
not atuthorized by the commander, unlomen thoy arre sati fied by a refusil of satisfation or othorwiso.
 G:M6.

Reconmmeming hoslililies.
782. At the expiration of atruc or armistio.e. hase tilities maty be commenced without any now doclata tion of war, or notice, nuless otherwisw agroenl.


 Intern. Coxlifie, sis 694, 695.

## Flags of truce.

783. The bearer of a flag of trace is to tre rosplu•有d and protected by each belligeront, as far as pussible. in coming and going, without suspermling hostilitios. but cannot insist on being admitted; and if alluitted during an engagement, may be detained till the enfageo ment is over.

Licber's Inaspuctiona. © 111-11ib.

## Eiffect ff capilulation.

784. After signing the capitulation of a forlitinal place, the eapitulator must not injuro thre works or property which he is to deliver up, unless the right to do so is reserved in the vapitulation.
liderris Instructions. © 14.

## CHAPTER LXI.

MEDC'AI, SERVICE.

Abticte: ix.s. "Ambulances " and "hospitals" defined.
ixti. Noutrality of ambulances and hospitals.
isi. P'ersons attached to ambulances and hospitals.
iss. Hospital supplies.
is:0. Exemption of private property and persons.
iso. No distinction to be made in suceror.
i:91. Immediate exchange of sick and wounded.
ig?. Prisoners incapacitated from future service.
7a!3. Other sick and wounded.
i94. Flag and badge.
795. Hospital ships to be of white exterior with green ports.
796. Effect of visitation of private ship used for sick and wounded.
7:3. Belligerent's control of private ship used for sick and wounded.
i!1s. Voluntary societies for succor at sea.
" Ambulances" and "hospital.s" defined.
785. The terms "ambulances" and "hospitals" as used in this Code, include all establishments, places, ships and vehicles, permanent or temporary, which are exclusively devoted to the reception, care or transportation of the sick or wounded, or of supplies or attendants therefor.

Mentralit! af ambulances and hospital.s.
786. Ambulances and hospitals are to be deemed neutral, and as such must be respected and protected hy each belligerent, ats long as they contain sick or wombed, and have only a suffic:ent guard to protect the inmates from disorderly violence.

Convention of (ienera, Art. 1.

Peisons atluched to ambulances and hospitals.
787. The persons attached to the ambulancers and hospitals, mentioned in the last article, for merlical sarvice, shall continue their functions afer the enemy has taken possession of the place or ship where they ane until they withdraw to join the forees to which they twe long. When they insist upon withdrawing, the commanding offiow must fix the time for thoir depart ure, with the least delay of which military mesessit! admits.

While they remain with the enemy they aro antitlad to receive from him the support and tratment appro. priate to their rank or service, according to the rate of either belligerent, whicherer may be the lowest.'

> Convention of Cencra, Art. \&, and additional Articloos 1 atod : Thoo nrutrality mesured to thome permons is defined by Articlo it?

## Hospital supplies.

788. The supplies of ambulances are not subjerts of eapture; and the persons in the service thereof. on withdrawing after capture. as mentioned in article 787. may take away their private property.

The (convention of cieneva, Art, 4, leavers the nupplien of hompitale, an diastinguintoed from the ambulancer surviere, subject to capture

Exemplion of pricate properly and persons.
789. A house which receives and cares for sick or wounded must be respected and protected; and the householder shall be in due proportion exempted from the billeting of troops, and from forced contributions.

Convention of tiencra, Art. $\delta$, and additional Article 4.
No distinction to be made in succor.
790. The sick and wounded prisoners of war of both belligerents must be recoived and rared for with. out distinction of nationality.

Conveucion of (ieroeva, Art. B.* 1 .

## Immediate erchange of sick and woumded.

791. Immediately after a battle the chief commanding oflioces may. by mutual consent, each send his sick :and wounded prisoners of war to the outposts of the other. 'without further conditions of exchange. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

*'I'his seeme on he illplied.
I'risoners incapacilated from future service.
792. sick or wounded prisoners of war, who, after recovery, are found incapable of future military service, must be sent back to their own nation, as soon as practicable.

Convention of (ianeva, Art. 6, © 8.
ollor siok and soounded.
793. By consent of both belligerents, sick or wounded prisoners of war taken by either, and not incapable of future service, except officers above the rank of colonel,' may be sent back to their nation as soon as practicable, on condition of not taking up arms again during the war, unless duly exchanged.
(onverntion of (ioneva, Art. 6, 4. and additional Art. 5. The effect of the latter article, though in its form imperative, is merely permissive as almw statorl. Se日 Fitulesurla Concention, par Moynier, pp. 21\%-22f.
' 'Tlow rank should be made dofinite, as here stated.
Pla!g and lirid!e.
794. In order to securr the protection offered by this ('haptor, a hospital flag, accompanied always by the national flag, must be displayed by the hospitals and ambulances, and a badge must be worn by the persons in the service.

The flag and hadge are a red cross on a white ground. They can be nsed only by permission of the military authority.

[^75] 10. $0 \%$, ถ̊ $15 \%$

Hospilal ships to be of while resterior ailh grern porls.
795. Hospital ships and boats must alse lne distin. guished by a white exterior with green ports.

Converotion of Cionera, additional Art 18. is
Fafterl of bisilation of pricule shif, "sorl for sick alld roounded.
796. The visitation of a private ship nsed for sick and wounded, notified upon its log, hy a publie armed ship of the enemy, renders it unlawful for the siok and wounded on board to take up arms again during the war, unless duly exchanged. And the visiting shif may put on board a sufticient forere to soonre the performance of this obligation.

Convention of Geneva, Art. 10, additional Art. I. 'I'hoo iwo paragmaphe which protect cargo on such vessela if aos montrabasd. will tre resulemet superflow by by the Articlen relatiog to private properyy. Thie lant pare
 puer Moynior, p. 20ts.

Belligerent's rontrol of prinale ship used for sick and vounnded.
797. A belligerent from whom protection or respect is claimed for a ship under the last article. may forbid its taking any direction, or maintaining any inter. course. which he may judge prejulicial to his operations.

Convension of tieneva. Art. 10. melditional paragraph is.
Voluntary societies for suceor at sect.
798. Any nation may give to a commiswion or society, organized ander its law for the succor of sick and wonnded in war, written authority to employ ships and the neeessary attendants and supplioe for the sace cor of sick and wounded at sea, sulyjert to the provi.
sions of this Chapter : and they must be respected and protected accordingly.

Substituted for the more special provisions of the Convention of (reneva, additional Article 18.

## CHAPTER LXII.

RELIGIOUS SERVICE.

Anticle. 799. Chaplains, \&c., to be respected and protected.
Chaplains, de.., to be respected and protected.
799. Chaplains, ministers of the gospel, and priests of every religion, engaged in ministering, as such, to the fores of a belligerent. or to prisoners, or to ally. persons suffering in war, must be respected and protected by each belligerent, so long as they take no part in the hostilities.'

The provisions of articles 787 and 788 apply to such persons and to the books and other articles used in their religious service.
${ }^{1}$ Perhaps badge should be required to be worn by them.

## CHAPTER LXIII.

## PRISONERS.

Anticisk 800. The right to take prisoners.
801. What persona may be taken prisoners.
802. Pereonn not entitled to be treated as prieonens of war.
sois. Memengers.
804. Personal property of prisonere of war.
sos. Sums of money.
805. Surrender of side-armis.
805. Proviaion for maintenance of primonera.

80w. Hentraiut.
cors. Righten of prisoner.
810. When prisoners of war may be punished.
811. Treason and other offenses committed in waging civil war.
s12. Infurmation.
813. Deceit by prieoner.
s14. Compulsory labor.
815. Subject to retaliatory measures.
816. "Parole" defined.

81\%. Parole.
818. Forbidding parole.
810. Fxtortion of parole by ill usage.
890. Parolee in be reduced to writing.
821. Obligation of a parole.
822. Violation of parole.
823. Excapes.
894. "Hinatage" delaned.
825. Treatment of hostages.
826. Death of hostage.
827. Cartels.
828. Right of belligerent to retain prisonere.
859. Mannot of oxchanging prisonera.
860. Hansom.

8if1. Cartel for exchange.
882. Breach of cartel.
cses. (iartel ships.
nest. Portection of cartel ahipe.

The right to take prisemers.
800. Any belligerent has a right to take prisoners.

## What persons: ma!! be taken prisoners.

801. The following persons may be taken prisoners, and wo others:
802. Active enemies, as defined by article 746 :
803. Those who are connected with the operations of the military forces, whether with or without the anthority of the nation:
804. The sovereign or chief executive officer of the anemy. or of his allies ;
805. Officers of the civil govermment of the enemy whose functions directly subserve a military purpose;

万. Persons who are engaged in the country of the anemy. or within the military lines, in proclaiming opinions or disseminating information prejudicial to the success of the belligerent :
6. Persons charged with offenses against the provisions of this Book; or with a violation of the military law of the captor, when amenable thereto ;' and,
7. Pepons of whatever chatacter found on the field of battle.

 taken prisoners; the inhabitants of the country may be, exceptionally, if tho safety of the belligerent army requires it. He entmuerates as proper subjects of capture, journalists and others who advance hostile opinions. the sovereign and diplomats of the enemy, and of his allies.
 batants forfeit their excmption hy inciting others to lostilities. Lielver'* Inatructionem, ( 49, \% (0) include among persons liable to be treated as prisoncers of war, "all men who belong to the rising en moxse of the hos tile country : all those who are attached to the army for its efficiency, and promote directly the objects of the war ; . . citizens who accompany an army for whatever purpose, such as sutlers, editors or reporters of jourmals, and contractors:" . . aud also, if cuptured on belligerent ground, and if unprovided with a sufe conduct from their captor's gove *rument. " Hse monarels and members of the hostile reigning family. Hale and female: the ehiof, and chicf ofticers, of government ; and all
persons who are of particular and singular une and loeneht too the bootule army and itx government."

The tepm "active enesules." usod in the text, and definent by Imale ith, will include all of these whose prosersoion in impmanat to the victor.
 hatante, unleman apmeial reamons requiter an opposite trrattrumbt of them
 held by the commander, or at theof own deaire, thery ate the be trocted as primonerm of war.

1 This will include deactera. Sine liettel. Irrone dice firmo, lle a ch : $\therefore 144$ : Ilollerk, Intern. lasee of latese of Wior, po 4tib, 参:

Fiugitiven from allegiance are not provided for, we it in men propmoent to muspend the right of expatriation during war

Persons not entitled to be treated as prisoners of rear.
802. All prisoners taken ill war are to be deemed and treated as prisoners of war, except the following:

1. Those who, not being impressed with the military character, are unlawfully waging hostilities:
2. Those who are unlawfully giving aid and comfort to the enemy ;
3. Spies;
4. Pirates: and,
5. Those who are charged with a violation of provi. sions of this Book, or of the military law of the captor.

## Messengers.

803. Messengers, employed by the enomy between different positions or parts of his own forces, are antitled, whenever captured, to be treated as prisonors of war, unless employing treachery or disguise.

Btuntandi, Drovit Intern. Cutific. \&\% Bild.
 ten deapatches or verbal messages from one portion of the nemy or from a beraieged place, to another portion of the mame army or ite governumens, if armed, and in the uniform of himarmy, and if capturod whate dothg: su). In the territory occupied by the enemy, in treated by the captor as a prisoner of war. If not in uniform, nor a moldier, the circumplancors one nected with his capture must deternaine the dipgmation that aball lom made of him. A mewsenger or agent who attempte to atoal therough the
territory ocrupied by the memy，io further，in any manner，the interests of the enemy，if capturid，is not entitled to the privileges of the prisoner of war，and may be dealt with according to the circumstances of the case＂

This rule，however，sovelis too harsh．
Porsonal properl！of prisoners of war．
804．Snbject to the next two articles，money and other valuables on the person of a prisoner of war，or in his possession，as well as clothing，remain his pri－ vate property，and their appropriation by the captor is unlawful．

```
I.irlocrox Inafructions.* is.
```

バıms of money．
805．If the captured money of a prisoner of war be more than is necessary for his support，the excess may be appropriated by the captor，to be disposed of as the national authority directs．

Licher＂＊Inxeructionx，－ie．
S゙ルrremaler of side－drms．
806．（）fficers when taken prisoners mast surrender their side－arms，unless the captor waives the surrender． Ladre to retain sidearms does not allow the prisoner th wrar them．

Prorision ．for maintenamee of prisoviers．
807．Prisoners of war must be sufficiently fed， clothed，sheltered and medically movided for by the captor，and treated in all resperts with humanity．＇

The ermmy may he required to make good the ex－ fronse．anless the detention be calused by the raptor＇s refusal to exehathe of rathsom．${ }^{2}$




－Hillort．Intern．Lair d Latire of Wirr，l．4336．ㅗ．16．

## Restraint.

808. Prisubers of war may be subjected to the reo. straint necessary' for their safe custody. 'The intliction upon thean of any suffering or indignity is unlawfol.

```
    - Prisonemp of war may be contined or fettered, whem umeronat! bug
```



Rights of prisoner.
809. A prisoner of war does not lose any of his rights, exerpt that of liberty. His captivity only sus. pends the exercise of those rights with which it is incousistent.

Alunterhli, Droil Intern. Cordifir. ş Tisk.
When prisoners of war may be pmished.
810. A prisoner of war cannot be punished for the ing an enemy, nor for lawful hostilities committed hy him as such.'

He may be punished for crimes committed against the captor or the captor's people, for which he has not been punished by his own nation.

```
    liebler's Inatructions. © - 54b. is9.
```




```
    - These crimes are not only such as are defined toy thin Come. lout in
elude ontimers.
```

Treason and other offenses commilted in ragin!! civil zar.
811. The provisions of this Code as to prisoners of war do not prevent a nation from punishing its own members or domiciled residents, for any violation of itlaws involved in their taking part in a civil war.

## Information.

812. Prisoners cannot be required to give informa tion concerning their own foress: nor if required to do so. can they be punished for giving false information.
l．irder＇s Inatructiones．－No．Perhapas an exception should te made in rospert to survicen as guides．
leceit by prisoner．
813．If a prisoner assume a false rank or condition to afficet his treatment in respect of confinement or ex－ change，his reloase may be refused，and he may be punished on recapture after release obtained by such means．

Compulsory labor．
814．Prisoners of war cannot be required to work for the raptor，except for their own support，in case their own nation fails to provide adequately for them， and then only according to their rank and station；＇ and not in any service which directly subserves a mil－ itary purpose．${ }^{3}$
 p． $436, \leqslant 15$, says，they are not required to do so beyond the police duty of camp and garrison，and also where the enemy refuses to provide for them，and in extreme cases．
＊This qualification is obviously proper．

## subjert to retaliatory measures．

815．All prisoners of war are liable to the infliction of retaliatory measures．

```
I,ieler'* Inseructimus, "ta.
```

see Article ins．
＂Parole＂defined．
816．A parole is a pledge of individual good faith and honor to do．or to omit doing，certain acts，after he who gives his parole shall have been dismissed wholly or partially from the power of the captor．
l．intere fuxtructionex．－190．
Parole．
817．Engagements made by prisoners of war that for a period not exceeding the duration of the war
they will not escape, nor bear arms against the captor, are valid; unless forbidden as provided in the next article; and the nation to which the service of such prisonens is due is bound to enforce such engage. ments.

## Other engagements by prisonners of war inconsistent with their allegiance are void.

Holloek. Intern. Lame d lamea of Wiur, p. 4ia, \&19: Ciencrall Drelero of U. \&. Wiar Department, 1883 , vol. 2, p. 53, So. 40, 18.

Parolew can only refer to the exinting enemy and to his existing allies. and the exinting war. Vien. Ord of $t^{\circ}$.s. Hior Itep. Imast vol 9. p it. No. 49. ${ }^{\circ} 9$.

A military parol not to merve until exchanged munt not be con founded with a parole of honor, to do or not to do a particular thing not inconsistent with the duty of a moldier: such an a parole of bonor not to attempt to escape, given by a prinoner in actual cuntoxly, in orfer to cols tain exemption from clone guard or confibement. Such plealger though binding should be seldotn given, for it is a prisonerin duty to escape if be can. IR., 1863, vol. 2. p. 237. No. 207. हू 3.

It is the duty of the captor to guard his prisonem, and if, throughneceasity of choice, he fall to do mo, it le the duty of the prisoner to prturn to the service of his government. He cannot avold this duty by giving an unauthorized parole. Id., 1883, vol. 2. p. 235, №. 207. \&8. 2.

An oflicer who gives a parole for himenelf or his cotumand on the battle. field, is deemed by the common law and unagee of wap, wo be a deerrer If., 1863, vol. 2, p. 51. No. 49, है̀ 4.

Licher, (Inatmotions, © 120-128.) says, that commisaloned oftiern only are allowed to give their parole, and they can give it only with the permisalon of their superior, an long as a superior in rank is within reach; that no non-commessioned officer or private can give his prarole except through an officer. And individual parolem not given through an officer are not only void, bus nubject the individuals giving thess to the punishment of death as deserters. The only admiseible excrption to where individuals, properly separated from their commands, have sulfernd long confinement without the gowaibility of being paroled through ma othere.

So paroling on the battlefield, no paroling of entire bexties of stropur after a battle, and no dismisal of large numbery of primenerm, with a gen eral declaration that they are paroled. in permitted, of in of any value.

## Forbidding parole.

818. A nation which provides adequately for the 8
support of prisoners taken by the enemy, may forbid their accepting a release on parole.

Sece Halleck, Iutern. Late of Latice of W"ar, p. 488, \& 18, who says, a nation cannot forbid a release on parole unless at the same time it provides means of support during imprisonment.
E.xtortion of parole by ill usage.
819. A pledge or parole extorted from a prisoner by ill usage is not binding.

General Orders of U. S. War Dep., 1863, vol. 2, p. 237, No. 207, \& 3.
Paroles to be reduced to writing.
820. When a parole is given and received, there must be an exchange of two documents, in which the name and rank of the person paroled are accurately and truthfully stated.

And accurate lists of all paroled persons must be kept by the belligerents.

Iieber"s Instructions. * 124, 125.
Obligation of a parole.
821. An obligation by parole, not to serve again during the war, forbids active service in the field or at sea, against the paroling belligerent or his allies, but does not forbid active service against other belligerents, nor internal service, such as recruiting or drilling recruits, fortifying places not besieged, or quelling civil commotions, nor civil, diplomatic or other non-combatant service.

Jieller** Instructions. 130 .

## Violation of parole.

822. A prisoner who violates a lawful parole may be punished with death if recaptured.
[^76]
## Hiscapes.

823. A conspiracy among prisoners for a comr on escape is unlawful, and may be punished with death.

An individual escape or attempt at escape by a pris. oner of war, without conspiracy or violation of parole, is lawful; but a prisoner may lawfully be killed if discovered in tlight.'

```
    loivher's lonalructioma,* if
    ' On being recaptured the cocaped primoner cansot loe punishod for
much escape. 1b.0 % 78.
```

    "Hosluge" defined.
    824. A hostage is a person accepted as a plodge
    for the fulfiliment of an agreement between belliger.
ents.


## Treatment of hostages.

825. If the giver of a hostage fail to perform his obligation, the hostage may be retained: but neither death, nor any personal injury beyond detention by such means only as are necessary for enforcing the pledge, can be inflicted on him.'

In other respects, hostages are entitled to the im. munities of prisoners of war.'
${ }^{12}$ Phillimore's International laber, p. bs.
It has been said that a hostage is to be treated as a prisoner of war. but by this no more is meant than that he is not to be treated as a crim. inal. He is not subject to she peculiar liabilitity nor han he the perculiar advantages of a prisoner of war. It is clear, says Phillimeore. (vol. 2, po (i.4.) that any proceeding of rigor agalust a hostage, evien if lae be forelbly seized in time of war, beyond what may be necessary for the sreupty of his person, is illegal. Nor is he of the other hand entitled to exelonger like a priwoner.


## Dealh of hostage.

826. If a hostage die, the giver is not bound. except in case of an express stipulation, to roplace him.
[^77]Cartels．
827．It is the duty of belligerents to exchange pris－ oners of war，or allow them to be ransomed on reason－ able terms．

Spies，wartraitors and war－rebels are not exchanged according to the common law of war．The exchange of such persons would require a special cartel，authorized by the government，or，at a great distance from it，by the chief commander of the army in the field．

Right of belligerent to retain prisoners．
828．A belligerent has the right to retain prisoners of war until the end of the war，if exchange cannot be agreed on．

Vattel，Droit des Gens，liv．3，ch．8，s．153；Hallech，Intern．Leno and Latios of Wiar，p．431，ড̊9．

Manner of exchanging prisoners．
829．Unless otherwise regulated by cartel or special agreement，exchanges of prisoners of war take place， number for number，rank for rank，wounded for wounded，with added condition for added condition， such，for instance，as not to serve for a certain period．

Lieloer＇s Instructions，10\％．

## Ransom．

830．Ransom for prisoners can be required only for those remaining upon one side after a general exchange has taken place，${ }^{1}$ and upon the authority of the nation by whose forces the capture was made．

An oflicer cannot take ransom．Lieber＇s Instructions， 74.
Fioré，（Nonceun Droit Intern．，vol．2，p．295，）condemns the alleged right to claim ransom．
＇See Lieber＇s Instructions，－ 108.
Cartel for exchange．
831．A cartel，for the exchange of prisoners，may be agreed on by the nation，or by the commander in the field or fleet．


Breach of curtel.
832. A cartel may the torminated by oithor party, whenever violated by the other.

Lieber: Inalructions: 100.

## r'urlel ships.

833. A cartel ship is one actually engaged by a $|x| l$. ligerent for service in the transportation of primoners taken in war, agreeably to a cartel for exchange.

A cartel ship should carry a pass from the nation employing it, and a flag of truce, with the flages of rach belligerent displayed together.

Protection of carlel ships.

- 834. Cartel ships, while actually and exclusively engaged in service as such, and in going to and returning from such service, if they engage in no other traffic or intercourse whatever, whether otherwise lawful or unlawful, and are guarded only by a sufficient force to suppress disorderly violence, are free from capture, and are to be respected and protected by each bel. ligerent.

Kent. (l Conomenturies, p. 68,) says, that it is indispensable that a cartel for the exchange of prisoners be conducted with the tame exact and excluwive attention to the original parpose, as being the condition upon which the intercourse by cartel ships can be tolerated. All trade. therefore, by means of such nhipw, is unlawful, without the exprese con sent of both the goverments concerned.
 excluding the carrying of cargo and engaging in unlawful intercourow

For the existing rules as to cartel ships, see Wildomann. Interm. Ince. v. 2, p. 30. Compare Article ise.

## CHAPTER LXIV.

## hostilities against property.

Antictes \&i5. What property may be seized.
836. What the belligerent may appropriate, and for what end.
837. Destroying means of communication.
838. Destroying facilities of navigation.
839. Ravaging or laying waste enemy's country.
840. Property exempt from acts of hostilities.
841. Property expmpt not to be sold or carried away.
842 . Use of and title to public immovables.
843. Title to movables.
844. Revenues held in trust for governing the country.
845. Public ships surprised by war.
846. Private property to be respected.

84i. Rescut.
848. Fffect of recapture of property of a nentral.
849. Effect of recapture of property of a bellig. erent.
Sin. Military burdens of passive enemies.
kil. ('ompensation for property taken for mili. tary uses.

The most important change of existing rules which is proposed by the provisions of this Chapter, is the exemption of private property from capture, at sea as well as on land, except in the cases of its being contraband, or cmployed in illegal traffic, or actually used to promote the purjones of the war: and except also in cases of military necessity, when compensation is made.

The rule that private property on land ought to be respected as far as possible may now be regarded as fully recognized; Bluntschli, Droit Intern. Codifio, 冬 65 1: Licber'x Inatructions, : 38 : subject however, to tho fll-defined exception of military necessity. See notes to Article 846.

It may be conceded that the carlier authorities sustained the right of taking booty on land; and that the modern rule had its origin as asserted by Ilanteferille, (I)roila re I)couira des Nalions Veutrox, tit. Ill., section Ill.. $\left.\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}} 1.\right)$ in the impolicy of exasperating the inhabitants of a territory by depriving them of theirgoods: but whatever its original reason, it in sufficiently sctiled as a rule of civilized warfare th need no further dis.
eumbion herv. The quention now in whether the mane rule ought not to be extended to property at mea.

The apothogm of Sir John Nicholl, (8 Trrm Rrp.. S48.) that " thens could be no such thing an a war for arma and a prace for commeren:" and that of Blip Trocerc Twim, ( Lawe of Netions, pt. II., p. 32.) that" be cance private war is Inconsiatent with pubtic peece, it foliown that pule lic war is equally tnconaintent with private penee." are little more than a play apon woodn, of at beek, maxime which belong to the timen when overy indiridual of one belligereat nation wan deemed an enemy of every Individual of the other: when Vatsed could inquire whether women and childrou are numbered among enemies, and anawer in the afinmative: and when even Kiont could declare, that all meeting of citizena of advern. belligerenta, except in deadly combat, was unlawful.

The rule now ack nowledged by civilized nation is that the trelligereat nationa, not their nomeombatant menabers, are enemice. (nee Articles i0s and 744 ;) and the history of recent great wars has demonstrated that there may be auch a thing as a pence for commerce during a war of arma. Private war haviag become illegal, private peace should be mecured no far as poselble. There is no reacon why public war should diaturt private peace, merely for the sake of booty.

The ehlef argamenta on this question, which atill demerve considera tion, are perhape more completely Indicated by Ortolan. (Ihiphomatic de lit Mer. IIv. III., eh. 2.) than by any other authore.

Atter alluding to the reanons of humanity, and of commercial intereas. on which the protection of private property at sea has been urged, and miaing the inadequate objection that mome other aeverition of war arv atill more objectionable in these respects, and replying to the argament that the rule of justice nuant be uniform, by saying that the land and the men are so different that the one cannot afforda rule for the other, hedr. tends the right of capture at sea, upon the following grounds:

1. The object of warin to compel a peace by injuring the enemy ; and on land the millitary power nay impoee requinitions and levies on the Inhabitanta: which, In fact, are only convenient modes of meizing private property, and cannot be substituted at sea for individual capture :
2. If war at sea were to be restricted to the naval forces, it would be imposelble to injure the enemy there, he keeping his shipm of war in port ; and meanwhile lie might carry on intercourne by private shipm with impunity :
3. The capture of a mhip and cargo in not like the confiscation of a warehouse of goods ; for the ship and seamen are potencially an auxiliary of the naval foreee of the nation, and constitute a meane of extending ita power boyond its proper territory :
4. The doctriae of the freedous of the seas favors the right of capsure : for, since a belligerent cannot take posession of the see and hold it as a territory, he can only take the shipe he finds there: and an by oc-
cupying territory he may interfere with the territorial power of his enemy, so at sea by capturing ships he may interpose agninst his enemy's right of passage on the seas ;
5. The land rule does not leave noncombatants free to carry on an unrestricted commerce on the territory within military occupation; but it forbids trade, it makes personal property inviolable only for a sufficient time to allow its sale or removal, and the continued protection of the title to real property is a principle inapplicable to ships, which are personal property :
6. Without capture of private property, warat sea would be imperfect, and. in so far, interminable.

And, finally, he concludes that it is a question of conflict between national and private rights; and that private rights being the less import. 1 ant interest, must yield so far as incompatible with the greater interest.

The solution which he suggests is the maintenance of the right of capture, both of ship and cargo; together with a partial protection of private right, by a restoration of the value of the goods, in specified cases, to be made either immediately, or at the termination of the war.

The one exception which he recognizes is that of the vessels, \&c., of coast fisheries, when they serve chiefly as the means of subsistence of inoffensive inhabitants, and have no public and general importance.

Dana, (in a note to Wherton, earnestly advocates the practice of warring on commerce, declaring that in his opinion it is the most humane, and often the most efficient part of war, and the least objectionable part. "It takes no lives, sheds no blood, imperils no households: has its field on the ocean, which is a common highway, and deals only with the persons and property voluntarily embarked in the chances of war, for the purposes of gain, and with the protection of insurance. War is not a game of strength between armies or fleets, nor a competition to kill the most men and sink the most vessels; but a grand valiant appeal to force, to secure an object deemed essential, when every other appeal has failed." Dana'x Wheaton, Elements of Intern. Law, p. 876.

In reply to the observations that capture at sea corresponds with the right of requisition on land, and that the enemy may unexpectedly turn private ships and seamen into naval forces, it may be said that the right of requisition is restricted, and requires compensation; (see Article 8.51,) and that the capacity of a ship to serie in the war could at most be a ground for its detention, not for confiscation either of the ship or its contents.

The freedom of the seas, and the possibility of a belligerent avoiding maritime war by ceasing to send out ships of war, and the suggestion that maritime warfare will become inconclusive without the right of private capture, may well be urged as arguments in favor of the reform against which they are cited. The sea is the highway of nations. and may well be dedicated by common consent, to peaceful uses. The peculiar sufferings and abuses incidental to hostilities at sea, and the fact
that the rewult of a conalies there io mo far dependent on fortultous dir cumstances, auch an the number and etrength of vemole morting, the con dition of the weather, de., tas tec have in mualern timies but elfght cont section with the ultiminte fortunes of the war, shonuld larlise uo to the conclusion, that thita moncostion to peace would not involve a atrifice of emential telligerent Hghts.

The objeetion that cotameree on land in interrupted ly war, is entitied to the weight of analogy under exieting rulew: but if the nucceroling Ar tielem shonld be reeeived with favor, commerre on land. (in grouls bot eontraband,) will be interrupted only bertwenel placeve in then actual mill tary momendion of the belligerenta, of when it directly nulmerife the pur. pomen of the wap. Thin malutary noodification lime alroady twon made in neveral warn, which are noticed below.

8o far an the comajatency of a theory in entitled to wright on such a quantion an this, it meema sufficient to any with Hiori. (Vioucrot" Irvit In ternational, v. 2, pt. II., pp. 392. 384.) and Prudier Finlïri. (bute to liattel.
 between nation and nation, and that thervfore, private property, at sea as well an on land, aust be rempected an far an ponsible. Ther righs tor in jure the enemy is a right to injure the State, and not its not combatant members. On land, mome injury of private pmperty in neconearily inci dent to the purnuit of the enemy : and, mo far, such injury is allowalide: at aea, the capture of private shipm in not incidental to the right top puraur the enemy, and there should not br allowable.

For a satisfactory solution of the question we must, however, look bryond theoretic considerations to the litierests which are practically in. volved: and in this respect the question is this: Can private propwry tre apared, without merioualy impairing the efticiency of military meanurwa. at a lant reeort. for the setilement of disputes between nations bound so elomely, in pacific relations, an thoee which may unite in this coole?

Aud here it is to be observed, that the interests of peace which arv af fected are much broader and more mensitive than thome of war. The ad vantage of the existing rule in the preswure it puts upmon the enemy to submit: the disadvantage includen, besiden the actual lowe of property and derangement of commerce during war, the immense lowers sustained on acoount of the approhensions of war during time of pace. The nuea. sure of the adrantage, on the one hand, is not the artual lowe inflicted dur. ing the war, but only the preanure indirectly bought to trar on the how tile government thmoght the sufferings of its citixens by thome lowses: while the meanure of the disadrantage excrevin the actual lomens, ated in cluden thoen derangementa of commerce which are wi quickly frlt when an appreheasion of war arisen, and from which movery is so slow after prace has been emtablished.

In view of these considerations it is submitterd that the compiste pro tection of private property, with propme qualifications in rempert to on

tions and individuals, and that it is not incompntible with the mainte. nance of eflicient and adequate military power as a final arbiter in international controversies.
'lhis principle was recognized and adopted in the treaty between the United States and Prussia, (1785.) and has always been advocated by the govermment of the United States; and was approved for general adoption, by Prussin in 1824, ( Kitchenocsky's Prize Late, by Prutt, p. 164,) and is said to have been established by treaties between the Southern American Republics, in 1851 and 1856 . Id., p. 164, note (z.) In the war of Eng. land and France with China, the right of maritime capture was totally suspended. Id., p. 16 ; ; and see note to Article 846 of this Code.

In the Franco-Prassian war, (July, 1870,) the North German government declared private property on the high seas to be exempt from seiz. ure by them, without regard to reciprocity. The French government refused to relinquish the right of capture. In consequence of the capture of (ierman merchant ships by France, the North (ierman government revoked the exemption they had declared, giving, however, four weeks' notice of the new neasure. See Foreign Relations of the United States, 18\%0, p. 217; H., 1871, p. 403.

At the commencement of the war between Austria and Italy, in 1866, the belligerents agreed that merchant vessels on both sides should be free from capture ; "and the results of this agreement," says Lushington, (Nical Prize Lano, Intro., p. viii., note.) "coupled with the rule (prescribed by the treaty of Paris,) 'free ships nake free goods,' was that the private property of the enemy at sea was as completely exempt from hostile capture as private property on land."

By a decree of March 29,1865 , the Emperor of the French made restoration to the parties in interest, of all Mexican private ships taken during the war between France and Mexico, and which had at that date been condemned by order of a prize court, and also of the proceeds of those which had been sold but not fimally adjudicated upon. 9 De C/arry, 228 . Somewhat similar modifications of the gencral rule were made in $1 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{y}$, in the war hetween laty, France and A ustria. G De Clerry, 605.

Furopean govermments have frequently, at the termination of a war, restored to one another the ships taken from their subjects, or have established mixed commissions for the purpose of ascertaining the damages incurred by the merchants, and the amount of compensation they were entitled to. As an example, may be cited the convention between France and Spain, in the yoar 182:3. Mortcox, N. R. Vl., 386. England also, at the conclusion of the war with Holland, (18:3,) restored to her all the Dutch versels that had been taken. Mortene, N. R. XIII., 97, 98. As to the other exceptions, se: Mortenx, N. IR. XVI., 2, 611; and Wurm Zeitachrift für eler gextumete Stuctarixae nachuft, (1851,) :322, 323.*

[^78]Thus far we have had in view the private property of memoters of the hostile natione. In rempert to the propmaty of nentrale, the prineiples
 Cole:

In the fourteenth century, the rule was to confimate enemy's bat mas neutral property, and where neutral gowin werv found on an etorwy's whip, or a neutral mhip wan taken learing eneug't gosole, the meutral goode or whip were restored, and only the enemy's mhip or goode were confimeaterl. Trise, lase of Nintions, part II., p. 147, 97.

In the sixteenth evntury, the doctine of howtile taint was ndogted by France and Spain, and the neutral ship earrying eneun'n goomin, and neutral goods borne by an enemy'n mhip, were by them declarod cainted with belligurency, and liable to confiecation.

In the latter part of the eighteventh century, this doctrine wan atan doned by France, and that which exemptes neutral shipmand goonds, and aven enemy'n goods, (exerpt contrabund.) when found on nentral obipm. was, with mome qualifications and Inctuation, adopted.

The rule extablished by the governments maintaining the armed neutrality of 1880, permitted the seizure of neutral shipm only where the dutiex of neutrality had been unquextionably violated. And in the mame yenr, the French govermment forbade the molestation of neutrals, eren though apparently destined to eneiny's ports, and directed that in mo canewhould neusral vesweln be captured, unless they had cargoes contraizand of war, or were engaged in the transport of Finglish trompo or harimorwd Bingllshmen under a neutral flag. Kitehenoretig's Prise lator, ly Prote. p. 63, and note (p.)

In the seventeenth century. Holland efficted several treatiox, among
pied the town as a stronghold, and expelled the inhabitants, including the American reprosentative. The Paraguayans afterwards abandoned It, and the Braxilimn forces entered and took poweweion: and the property contained in the buiding which had been occupiod ty the American lagation, fell into their hands. The goverument of the Vinited States demanded of the Braxilian governmant the restoration of the property of the American representative and of the American citizens: and nug. geated almo that the property of the Paraguayan wolmen should be imated by analogy to enemy's property found otl i neutral vexucl, and alon me. stored. And the Braxilian government, without "xpreweing any opinion on thin analogy, directed all the property which fell into their hands in the Iegation, without distinction of ownerxhip, to be reatored to the reprementative of the United States. Fiorrign Rehotions of the l'nited Nates. 1871, pp. 49, 51).

- Thome ruleas are that.
"A neutral fag coven enemy'n goods, with the excoption of montra band of war."
" Neutral goodn, with the exception of contraband of war. are not liable to capture under an enomy's thag."

They are not ntated in this form, because other excopions than mon traband neerl to be expresely reoognized: and the Aricle protecting private property of members of the hostile nation supernedes the neerseity of a dixtinet provision as on neutral property.
thom whe with france, reeognizing more or less fully the doctrine that the national eharacter of the ship should determine the fate of the gomeds.
'These are the primeipal contlicting rules recognized on this point down to isiti, when the 'Preaty of Parin adopted the liberal rule that a free ship wakes free grexds, but an colemy's ship does not forfeit neutral foreds.

This rulu has now luen so gencrally adopted, that it needs no further discussion h.rre."

* "The oriminal parties w the Declaration of I'aris of 1 siti, were (ireat Brimin, Instria, France, Prussia, :Russia, Sardinia, and 'lurkey. The following prowers hure since given their adhesion to all the Articles. ( Tirixa. L.uir ul Viffions, Part II., p. 167, note 5.j.)

Baden,
Belfillil.
Brelloll.
Brazil.
Duchy of Branswick.
' 'hili,
The Irgentine ('onfederation,
Tho firrmanic (ounfederation.
Wenmark.
The 'Two Nieilies.
The Republie of the Eipuater,
'The Romatn states,
cireece,
(inatemala.
Hayti.
Hainborer.
llammer.
The 'Two llosurs.
l.ubeek.

Mecklenburg.Strelit\%,
Macklenbury Schwerin,
Nassat,
Oldenburg,
Рагma,
Holland,
Pert.
Portugal.
Suxony.
Saxe-Altenburg,
Suxel'oburg-iotha,
Saxe-Meiningen,
Switzerland,
Tuscany,
Wurtemburg.
Anlialt-Dessan,
Modena.
New (iranada,
louguay.

In the war of France and tireat Britain against China, the principles of the Woelaration of laris, of 18.5 i , were in substance adopted by both France and tireat Britain, as a rule of action towards all nations, even those who had never acceded to that declaration. 8 lee Clerey, 35.
'That froe ships make free goods: that is to say, that the effects or goxels belonging to sulijects or citizens of a power or state at war, are free frenn "apture or confiscation when found on board nentral vessels, with the exception of articles contraband of war, is recognized by the treaty betwern the I'nited Nitutes and


```
Bolivia. May lia, 1sis. " XVVI, 12 MI., lowis.
```



That the property of nentrals on loard of an cheny's rewnel is not suliject to ronfiseation, unless the same be contraband of war, is recog. nized by the troaty betwern the I nited states and

In many of their treation the l'nitod States of America have inserted tho following clans.

## What property may, be xeized.

835. Subject to the provisionn of thin Bursk a trollig erent, for the purpose of comprlling thre sulmisxion of the hostile mation, may serize and hold :
836. The territory of the hostile nation :"
837. Its public armed ships, except in tho ranes pro. vided for by article 84.5 :'
838. Other ships, public or privato. bearing its national character, in the cases exprossly provided for in this Book,' and no others :
839. Other public property of the hostile nation. "x cept such as may be within the torntory of the bellig. erent by is own wrongful act, and except that ex. empted from the jurisdiction of either nation by the provisions of Title III. of this Comle, entitled INtercotess: of Natioss, ${ }^{\circ}$ and of Chapter LXI.. entitled Mpotcal. Senvice; and of Chapter LAll., concerning Relitious Service:
840. All contraband of war, and all ships and gooms involved in contraband traffic, in the cases, and to the extent defined by Chapter LXV., entitled Costrabasi of War: : and,

[^79]6. All personal property engaged in hostilities, or in interourse which under the provisions of this Book is illegal.'
I Irficle 921 forbids the commission of any hosthlities in the territory of a lueutral nation.
*This iucludes the ex.reise of sovereignty over it. Tiriss. Lerre of Dintions. pt. 11. 1こ2. ‥6.4.
'To comperil submission, a belligerent may take possession to an extent far legond what would be a just indennification, with the design of re storing the surplushy a treaty of peace. Kl., pt. II., 122, s. 64. The ques tion of fitle by capture is a distinct one: see Articles 842,843 and 896.
"Therse are cases of ships within the territorial waters at the breaking cht of tho war, se.
+These cases are resisting visitation and search, Article 8il ; hospital ships. Articles 796 and $79 \%$ : and eontrabmad, Article 854.
${ }^{3}$ W"idmunis Inter". Latre, vol. D, p. 11. citing "Answer to Pross. Mem.." 1 Corll. Jur., 15\%.
${ }^{6}$ By the provisions bere referred to, contained in Articles 139, 143, 1s; and 184, the dwellings, archives, \&c., of diplomatic and consular officars are exempt from the jurisdiction of the nation in which they are situated, with this fmalification, that, by Article 109, the exemptions may be withdrawn in the case of an emergency affecting the existence of the nation. The exemption should continue through all ordinary vicissitudes of war. 'Ilu' right to send these officers and their movables ont of the conntry in case of war, is reserved by Article 911.

* As to illegal hostilities, see Articles 741 and 742 ; and as to illegal intorconrse ser Irticlos 920 and 921 .

What the belligerent may appropriate, and for colate end.
836. Subject to the provisions of this Book,' all publie property, which, according to the last article, can be seized, may be used, absolntely appropriated. or destroyed by the belligerent, so far as may be necessary for the following purposes:

1. Overoming the military power of the hostile nation : ${ }^{2}$
2. Retaking property, the withholding of which was the canse of the war ;
3. Satisfaction for any other injury which was the rallse of the war ;
4. Reasonable security against future injuries;
5. Reimbursement of expenses incurred in pursuit of satisfaction, including the charges of the war, and the reparation of damages ; and,
6. Intliction of a loss, appropriat. ass a punishment for resorting to arms without a plausible prete.xt, or for a breach of the provisions of this Book, to the in. jury of the belligerent.
[^80]
## Destroying means of commmication.

837. A belligerent, when necessary to present the passage of the enemy, or of contraband property, or the carrying on of illegal intercourse, may destroy or impair railways, bridges and other highways of "ither inelligerent, doing as little permanent injury as possible.

Destroying facililies of masigation.
838. A belligerent, for the purpose of self-preserva-
tion, may destroy or impair lights, signals, chanmels and other facilities of navigation, within the territory of either belligerent, doing no more permanent injury than is necessary, and giving reasonable notice before so doing. for the benefit of nentrals ; but the use of false lights and signals is unlawful.

[^81]
## Raba!ging or laying waste enemy's country.

839. For the purpose of self-preservation, a belligerent may ravage or lay waste the territory of the hostile matiom.

 cited : which sustain the rule that it is allowable in extreme cases, when necessary to accomplish the object of the war. The better opinion of the present day, however, condemns it except when necessary for self.preservation.

## Property exempt from acts of hostilities.

840. The following. so long as not used for a military purpose are not objects of hostilities, and must be respected and protected by each belligerent, to whomsoever belonging:
841. Light-loouses : storm signals; inter-oceanic canals; submarinu telograph cables; and all structures and establishments intended exclusively for the uses of peacefal intercourse : except in the cases provided for hy article 838:

2 . Palaces and offices of government ; halls of legislation and of justice: churches and temples of religion ; hospitals: and other establishments of an exclusively religions or "haritahbe chamater ; and,
3. Musemms: galleries of art; monuments and works of art ; libraries, books and manuscripts ; ob
servatories : and scientitic instruments; depositories of state papers, and public archives, of historical rece. ords, of scientific instruments, of muniments of property, of judicial and legal documents, and thoir contents : and all other institutions of civil education and culture.

 Dana's Wheaton, nute 169, Belligerent Orcupathon, (5). J.ieleri. Ioatrwe fions, * 34-ib: Theise, Lance of Siotions. pt. I1., p. 128; and other author ifien efted by these anthors.

Property exempt not to be sold or carricd anoay.
841. The property mentioned in the last article can. not be sold or removed from the country. by the invader, except when allowed by the treaty of peace.

Such property may be taxed for purpones of governmeat. The rule proposed in this Article is in consonance with the present tendency of the law. For the controveny on this subject, see the authorities clent under the lass Article.

In the case of the Marquis de Somerucles, Stereart's Jice. Aldm. Rep.e 459, a case of paintings belonging to the Academy of Arts at Philadelphia wan decreed to be restored, on the ground that the arts and selencen arr admitted, amougst all civilized natlon, an forming an exception th the severe rights of warfare, and as entifled to favor and protection.

Use of and title to public immovables.
842. When the territory and immovable property of a hostile nation is seized by a belligerent, the title. thereto remains in abeyauce during military oceupation, and until the conquest is made complete: but, subject to article 844 , the revenues thereof meanwhile belong to the nation making the capture.

[^82]
## Title to movables.

843. Except in the case provided for by article 896. ${ }^{1}$ the title to public movable property of the hostile nation, not exempt by the provisions of this Book, and to movable property contraband of war, or engaged in hostilities or in intercourse which, under the provisions of this Book, is illegal, to whousoever belonging, when lawfully taken by a belligerent, becomes thereby vested in the nation making the capture; and, after being removed to a place of safety, or after twentyfour hours' firm possession, is transferable by it.

Such movables, and no other, may be declared by the commanding officer to be booty, liable to be appropriated by individual captors.

Latorence's Wheaton, Elements of Intern. Lato, p. 598, है 6; Dana's
 V'attel, Droit des Gens, liv. III., ch. 13, 冬 196; ch. 14, §. 209; Heffter, Europ. Jolker., S 136. See also Coolidge $x$. Guthrie, 8 American Law Register, (N. S.,) 22. Booty, (butin,) as used in the most general sense. has been declared to include all movable property which belongs to members of the hostile nation, and which falls into the belligerent's possession. Thciss, Lane of Nations, Part II., p. 122, S. 64.

All lawful captures and booty belong to the nation by whose authority they are made, or to those to whom such nation awards them. Lieber's Instructions, 45.

Fioré, (Noureun Droit Intern., vol. 2, p. 309,) says, that things, when taken in the vicissitudes of the conflict, such as valuables, arms, \&c., do not become truly the property of the conqueror. without a renunciation of the first owner's rights, which may be made by the treaty of peace.

Wildman, (International Latu, vol. 2, p. 29,) says, that plunder or broty, in a mere continental war, without the presence or intervention of any ships, or their crews, has never been important enough to give rise to any question about it. "There is no instance in history or law, ancient or moxlern, of any question before any legal judicature ever having existed alout it in this kingdom."
'As to property captured at sea.

## Recenues held in trust for governing the country.

844. A belligerent having military occupation' of any place, may lay taxes and appropriate the public revenues of the place, and the income of the public property, so far as necessary for the maintenance of
civil government such revenues and income are held in trust exclasively for the government of the country.
' Defined by Article T2t.
' lidebr's Inas ructions. © isy

## Public ships surprised by war.

845. Public ships of one belligerent in the ports of another at the commencement of hostilities, or the dee. laration of war, or coming there afterwards without knowledge of the hostilities or declaration, are free from capture or detention, but may be required to leave immediately, being allowed, if necessary, to take suf. ficient supplies to reach the nearest port of their own nation.

This Article in new, and in auggented in derogation of war.

## Priate property to be respected.

846. Private property, whether tangible or intangible, on land or at sea, ${ }^{\prime}$ and belonging to the enemy or a neutral, cannot be in any manner taken or violated, under pretext of war, except in the cases and to the extent allowed by the provisions of this Book. ${ }^{3}$
[^83]Lane, note 156, p. 387: I Kent'* Commentaries, i9: Halleck, Intern. Lato
 States, \& Crauch © Li. s. sippr. Ct. lifp., 123-129.

Jinttel says, that " the sovereign can neither detain the persons nor the property of those subjects of the enemy who are within his domin. ions at the time of the declaration."

The Finglish text writers, like the American, are of opinion that the law of nations is not settled against the right, but in fact admit it. Man. ning. Lain of Nations, 167: 1 Phillimore's Intern. Latn, 115-135.

So transfer of title to all or any movables, being private property, is worked by the mere fact of belligerent occupation of the country. There must be an act of capture or transfer. Dami's Wheatou. Elem of Intern. Lair, note 169. p. 439. Private property on land is exempt from confiscation. It., 尽 346.

As to immorublex, the existing rule, according to most authorities, (and Bynkerahocek, who contends for the power of absolute confiscation of immovables, admits that in practice the power is not exercised,) is that a belligerent may sequestrate the profits only of immovables within its territory belonging to enemies, and must reinstate the owner on the return of peace. Ticiss, Latr at Nutionx. Part II.. pp. 118. 126.

The right to confiscate debts is contended for on theoretic grounds by some authorities, who, however, are not, we think, sustained by modern usage or by the weight of opinion. If., Part II., p. 108.

As to debts, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{cm}} \mathrm{t}$, ( $1 \mathrm{Commentarics}, \mathrm{65)}$. states, that it rests in the discretion of the legislative authority of a nation to confiscate private debts or not : but as the exercise of the right is contrary to universal practice, it may " well be considered as a wicked and impolitic right, condemned ly the enlightened conscience of modern times."

WuAmun, (Inter". Lalir. v. 11., 10. 11,) speaks of the old rule as more or loss mitigated by the wise and humane practice of modern times.

Phillimore (Inter". Letir, vol. III., 132, et aeq.,) says. " the st rict right,the x"mm,"m, jus.-by the reason of the thing and the opinion of every rminent jurist, remains unquestioned."

Monning. (Lar of Nations, p. 129,) says, such debts "may be confiscated by the rigorous application of the rights of war. but the exercise of this right has been discontinued in modern warfare."

Woolsc y, (Lair of Nidtions, $\leq 118$, says, " from the strict theory of hoetile relations, laid down above. it would follow, that enemy's property within the conntry, at the breaking ont of war. was liable to confiscation. This principle would also apply to debts due to them at that time."

Halleck. (Interm. Latr mutl Latrx of War. pp. 360-9.) agrees with Kent. that the law of nations in this respect cannot be considered as changed. so as to prohibit the confiscation. So, also, Pfeiffer, Kriegerobernng, $\$ 14$.

The state of war iteelf works no change in the relation of debtor and creditor between the citizens of the respertive belligerents, beyond a mare suspension of the remedy. T"pon the return of peace. the rights
and obligations continue in forco, and the rentiodies whiclo were is abey ance cotue again into full operation. Prabody's claims on Trisac leviem nity tonds, 1: Opinions of $U$. S. Aftormegs.lienerul, it $A$ imt a eepues tration of debted dow not divent the property therein, but only prevente the craditor from recovering them pending the war. virovgin p fralls ford, is Dallous. U. is. Suppr. (8. Iicg.e I

Io to deber and other permonal obligationn due frome readent debers to the ejected aovereign, Diener, (in him edtition of Whoaton, fileme of In
 lieves the debor from further liablity to the extent of the payment made. Hut it doew not cover mere releamen or quittancem. It is a do fonse to a second dethand to the extent of the coercion and actual pay! usent. A non-rexident debtor of the ejocted wovervign ham thet the exeuser of coeccion: and a payment by him in in his own wrong, and mor a do fenwe againat the demand of the rentored movereign.

Posessasion by the milltary oceupant, of the documentary evidence of a debt due to the ejected state or itm inhabitanse. doen not carry with it the right to tho debt itself, mo as to make she military oceupant the legal alienee of the creditor. Hulleck, Intern. Laum nud lavea of Wiar. 4.51-3.
 Pfeiffer's Kriegsrroberning. 165-180: V"ittel. Druit dea Gous, liv. 111., dh 14.8112.
${ }^{3}$ The principal exceptions are: 1. Contraband : 2. Property forfeited by offencem of the owner; and, 3. Property taken under military necensity.

## Rescue.

847. The rescue by passive enemies or neutrals of any person or thing captured from them at sea or on land, before lawful transfer to a nentral purchasing in good faith and for value,' is lawful. if "ffected without committing an act of hostility.'
[^84]Actonn. p. 30, n.: The Franklin, : Id., p. 109; The Short Staple r. The P "nited states, 9 Cromelis U. s. Supr. Ct. Rep., st.

Effect of recapture of property of a neutral.
848. Movable property of a neutral nation, or of its members or domiciled residents, recaptured by one belligerent from another, before its condemnation as prize by the latter, must be restored to its owner, on payment of reasonable salvage.

Act of C'ongress of the United Sitates, March 3, 1800, 2 U. s. Stat. at I.., 16 : and Act of $1864, \stackrel{N}{29}, 13 \quad U . S$. stut. ot L_., 314. And see The Adeline, 9 C'runch's U.S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 244. 288; 'The Star, 3 Whenton's U. 心. supr. C'\%. Rep., i8. 91.

I rescue from the attack of an encmy by approaching with a superior force, is equivalent to a recapture from possession within the rule. The Inn (ireen, 1 Gullison's U. St. Circ. C't. Rep., 274.

General provisions as to the allowance, \&c., of salvage, are contained in ('hapter XXXV., entitled Salvage. See also Article 890.

Effect of recapture of property of a belligereni.
849. Private property of a belligerent captured during war, reverts to the owner from whom it was captured, on its coming again under the power of his nation, before the termination of the war, as follows:

1. Immovable property, after any interval of time;
2. Movable property, captured on land, before its removal to a place of safety, or the expiration of twenty-four hours, as provided by article 843 ; and,
3. Movable property, captured at sea, at any time before its condemnation as prize, as provided by article 896.

If the original capture was unlawful, the property reverts, upon its recapture, at any time previous to judicial condemnation.

Military burdens of passive enemies.
850. A belligerent, within the limits of his military occupation of hostile territory, may levy forced loans, and billet soldiers; and may appropriate lands, buildings and ships for temporary military uses.' But mem-
bers of neutral nations, lawfully within the territory, are exempt from liability to these burdens.'

[^85]Compensation for propert!/ taken for militar!/ uses.
851. When private property of enemies or neutrals, not being contraband of war, is taken by way of military necessity, the commander making the seizure must give a receipt therefor, unless compensation is made at the time.

Lieber's Inseructions sho.
Compensation may be by agrecment, or fixed by the commander
By the gencral order of the $1,$. . War Department, of Auguat is. 1863. Gen. Orl., s. 2, p. 364. No. 2s\$, in every case of melzure of goods by oflcers acting under the authority of the deparsment, a true and perfect inventory was required to be tuade in tripllicate: one copy to be giren to the person from whon the goods were taken; the otherm for the otticer and the government.

## CHAPTER LXV.

## CONTRABAND OF WAR.

Ahticle 852. Kinds of contraband.
853. Contraband persons.

8i4. Coutraband ships.
855. Contingent destination presumed to be hostile.
s56. Neutral and hostile destination.
85\%. Fraud and its effect.
858. Destination of ship conclusive as to goods.
859. What goods are contraband.
860. Goods on board ship exempt from capture.
861. Contraband documents.
862. Contents of mails not contraband.
863. Detention and confiscation of contraband.
864. Freightage of contraband.

By the existing rules of war, the question of contraband is treated solely as a question between neutrals and belligerents. But if commerce not involving contraband, nor interdicted traffic between lines of military occupation, be made generally free, both for passive enemies and neutrals, as proposed by this Book, the doctrine of contraband becomes important as a restriction on intercourse between belligerents. It is, therefore, treated here among the rules applicable to belligerents. Division $V$.. concerning Neutrals, contains some further reference to the subject.

The definitions of contraband do not usually include property which is liable to confiscation merely because it is public property of the hostile nation, without reference $t$ its being of a nature to serve the purposes of the war. This usage is recognized in the Articles of this Book. All movable property which belongs to the hostile nation, even though it be not within the definition of contraband, is lawful prize, by Articles s 46 and 543 .

## Kinds of contraband.

852. 'The term "contraband of war," as used in this Code, is applied to,
853. Persons:
854. Ships;
855. Goods ; and.
856. Documents.

Lushington's Nacal Prize Lave, p. 34, s 163.

## Coutraband persons.

853. Persons are contraband of war, when impressed with the military character' of the hostile nation, or when on their way for a military purpose in aid of such mation,' but not otherwise.

${ }^{1}$ See Article 7\%1.<br>- The treaty between the tinited siatem and<br><br>Bollvia, May 18, 18:\%, " XVI. 12 Jd., 100ts.<br>Venezuela, Aug. 2\%, 1850, " XIV.. 12 fd .1148.

recognizen the principle that permonm on board a neutral mhip, alshough they may be enethien of both or either party, are not so be taken out of that whip, unlese they are officens or soldiers, and In the actual mervice of the enemy.

By the treaty between France and Peru, March 9. 19B1. Art. XX.. \&i. ( N le Clerrg. 200.) it is provided that persons on a newiral shipare free of capture, unless actually in the service of the eneung, or do-stinat to enter it.

In the absence of treaty atipulationa, the prohibition has been ex. sended to pretended ministers of a usurping power, not rmogrized an legal by the captor or the neutral. The principle applies, mye Mr. *iccurd, (lester in the Trent Case, see Bernard's Neufrality of Circat Britain during the American Cicil Wier. p. 205.) so civil magistratee sent out on public service and at public expense : and to the bearers and car. riers who undertake to carry contraband dispatchew. if

L, wshington. (Vacal Prise Lave, p. 89.5190. ) states, that under the prewent finglish rule the following persons on board a neutral mhip. which has a hostile destination, are contraband:

1. Soldiers or sailors in she service of the onems., iffiendohip. 61 : Robinamn's Rep., 430 ;) and.
2. Ottleers, whether military or civil, sent out on the public service of the rnemy at the public expenme of the encony. The number of wuch ofilicers is immaterial, (Orozembo, 6 F: Robinsen's Lirp.. 4:00.)

And: (Id., p 40. § 191.) states, that ambansadors from the enenay to a neutral State are not contraband, and their presence on boant a sumiral versel is no cause for the detention of the ressel.

## Contraband ships.

854. Except when exempted under articles 786 and 834, ships are contraband of war, if used or destined for use by the hoatile nation in war. and not otherwise.

Contingent destination presumed to be hostile.
855. When a ship's destination is expressed in her papers to be dependent upon contingencies, it is presumed to be hostile, if any one of the ports which, under any of the contingencies she may be intended to touch at or go to, be hostile ; but this presumption may be repelled by clear proof that the master has definitively abandoned a hostile destination, and is pursuing a neutal one.

Lushington's Naral Prize Lauc, p. 37, s. 177.
In The Delta, Bhatchford's Prize Cases, (U. S. Dist. Ct.,) p. 133, and The Cheshire, In., p. 151, it was held that, unless a contingent destination to a blockaded port appear on the ship's papers, it will be presumed that there was a dishonest purpose in approaching such port.

Neutral and hostile destination.
856. A ship's destination is considered neutral, if both the port to which she is bound and every intermediate port at which she is to call in the course of her voyage be neutral ; it is considered hostile, if either the port to which she is bound, or any intermediate port at which she is to call in the course of her voyage be hostile; or if in any part of her voyage she is to go to the enemy's fleet at sea.

Luahington's Nacal Prize Lauc, p. 37, 冬 176, $17 \%$.
One of the chief evidences of fraud is a vessel's being out of the regular course leading to the port of destination shown on her papers. The Joseph H. Toone, Blatcliford's Prize Cases, (U. S. Dist. Cit., p. 223.

## Fraud and its effect.

857. The use of false or simulated papers, or false colors, on the part of the master or owner of a ship, for the purpose of deceiving a belligerent, is equivalent to a hostile destination within the last article.

The belligerent has a right to require frank and bona fule conduct on the part of neutrals, in the course of their commerce in times of war: and if the latter make use of fraud and false papers to elude the just rights of the belligerents, and to cloak their own illegal purposes, there is no injustice in applying to them the penalty of confiscation. Car-



The apoliation of papers, not explained, in eonnection with the fact that the prize in enemy'a property, (The /avalla, BleteAforto Prise Camo. ( $\ell$. S. Dief. (A., p. 178), or that sho is seized under circumstanees which place it in her power to violate a blockale, supplies lrgal cause for condemnation and forfeiture ; The Mermey, Id., p. 188 ; The Filla Warley. Id, 288. And ane The Ntetin, If., 272: The Maria, If, gess, The Eilla Warley. Id., 648: The Albert, Id., 280.

But it ham been held that neither the carrying of aimulated papere, (Hobbe e. Henning. 1: Comman Bench Rep., N. s., ist.) nor the opoliation of papera in deemed per ace a ground for condemning a remand of cargo, though a atrong presumption of fraudulent purponecs in thome having charge of her, which will effect a condemnation if not satiofactorily accounted for. The Mersey, Bhatehfords: Prize Cinses. (U. s. Dist. Ce., p. 188.

## Destination of ship conclusive as to goods.

858. The destination of the ship is conclusive as to the destination of the goods on board.

If the deatination of the reasel be hostile, then the deatination of the goods on board should be considered hostile also. though it may appear from the papers or otherwise that the goods themselves are not intended for the hostile port, but are intended either to be forwarded beyond is, to an ulterior neutral deatination, or to be deposited at an In. termediate nentral port. On the other hand, if the destination of the vessel be neutral. then the destination of the goods on board should be considered neutral, though it may appear from the papers or otherwise that the goods themselves have an alterior hostile deatination, to be attained by traneshipment, overland conveyance or otherwise. I,velington"o Namal Prise Laue, p. 3ї. \$178.

To render goods contraband of war liable to meizurn they must be taken in delicto: that is, in the actual prosecution of a vogage to an enemy'n port. Hobbe e. Henning, $1:$ Ciommon Brach Rep., N. ․, 791 : ; Laur Journal, C. P., 118.

Mr. Sinied, however, in him letter in the "Trent " case, assumen the British law to the shat the circumstance that the ship was procending from a neutral port to a neutral port doen not modify the right of the belligerent captor.

The rule above stated is preferred as being in the intereat of neutrals. and in generally supported by the Finglish decisions, though in dimet conflict with the American authorities.

In the case of the Bermuda, 8 Williceia U. si suppr. C'P. Nop.os1t. it was held. that vogages from neutral ports intended for belligeront, asp not protected by an intention of touching at an intermediate neutral port.
l'ontraband is always subject to seizure, when being conveyed to a belligerent destination, whether the royage be direct or indirect.

## What goods are contraband.

859. Private property of any person whomsoever. and public property of a neutral nation, are contraband of war when consisting of articles manufactured for and primarily used for military purposes, in time of war ;' and actually destined for the use of the hostile nation in war ; but not otherwise.

- This rule, the modern sanctions of which are stated below, will exclude from the doctrine of contraband those classes of goods which canse the most embarrassing questions and most frequently threaten the peace of neutrals.

In the absence of treatice, which now, however, are numerous and important, as will appear below, the classification of goods as contraband and not contraband, which is best supported by American and English decisions, says Chief Justice Cusse, in the case of the Peterhoff, 5 Wallace"* U. S. sıpr. ''t. Rep., 58, " may be said to divide all merchandise into three classes. Of these the first consists of articles manufactured, and primarily and ordinarily used for military purposes in time of war: the second, of articles which may be and are used for purposes of war or peace, according to circumstances : and the third, of articles exclusively used for peaceful purposes."
" Merchandise of the first class destined to a belligerent country or places occupied by the army or navy of a belligerent, is always contraband: merchandise of the second class is contraband only when actually destined to the military or naval use of a belligerent ; while merchandise of the third class is not contraband at all, though liable to seizure and condemation for violation of blockade or siege."

Artillery, harness, men's army bluchers, artillery boots, government regulation gray blankets, are of the first class. Id.

Contraband is liable to capture when destined to the hostile country or to the actual military or naval use of the enemy, (according to the above rule, whether a violation of blockade be intended or not. Id.

Dhana reviews the leading authorities as follows:
" The principal point in dispute is as to articles admitted to be of ambiguous or uncertain use, when in the enemy's country and in time of war."
" One class of writers contunds for an absolute rule as to all articles of such descriptions: so that if upon the application of the general test, they are left anripifix usus, they must be free, and no further inquiry can be made for the purpose of ascertaining the probable use in the particular case. Another class of writers contends. that as to such articles.
inquiry may be made into the circamotances, for the purpow of deternin. ing their protable use in the particular instanes. Ther latter rule has been unquestionably the British doctrine, enfored by her ordere in council and prize couns. remgnized in ber treaties, and ouvtainad by ber otates. men and test writera. Reddie on Mitrifime Intern lame, II. tish: Phith. mare's Intern. Iam, III..245-254: W'ildman'o Intern. Iaw, II, 210, at wg :


 Intern. Lance, 得 180, 181.
 contraband an, in his judgment, aettled by iloe practice of maritime ne tions: A trade with a belligerent, intended to proride bitm with mili tary nupplies, equipments, instrumente or arman diconis arr montraland which are in fact manitiong of war, or may certainly become mo, or which are designed or capable of being umed, for the anpport or amestancer of an enemy in carrying on war, offennively or defensively. Thus, wern poo vixions, if they are intended to be ment to a place, which an enemy is at tempting to reduce by atarvation, and in general, articlee ordinarily only used only for peaceful purpowew, if capable of a military use, and sens to, places where it is probable that such a use will be uade of them, are contraband of war: and mo is all property deatined to a lasieged of block. aded town."
"Or the continental writers, Hauteferifle contends for the abochlute rule limiting contrabond to anch articlew as ary in their nature of fint necessity for war, nubstantially exclusively military in their ase, and mo made up as to to capable of direct and liumediate ume in war. (Tit. S . § 2. tom. II., pp. 84, 101, 154, 412: tom. III. p. 22.9.) Oredion im of the same opinion, in principle : and contends that all modern treatien limit the application of contraband to articles directly and molely applicable to war: yet he admits that certain articles not netually munitions of war, bnt whowe usefulnews in chiefly in war, may, under circumatances, be montrabond : as sulphur, saltpetre, marine steam machinery. dc.; but onal. he contends, from its general necensity, in always frw. (Tom. II., ch. 6 . p. 179-208.)"
" Masar. ( Droit Comon., I., 200-211.) admits that the circammiances may determine whether artieles doubeful in their nature arvecontraband in the particular case ; as the character of the port of dentination, the quantity of goods, and the necessities and character of the war. The mane view is taken by Treens, a Swedish writer, (Sine les Iroits Reciprogmes, pp. III118.) Hubuer, (lib. II., ch. I., 行 8.9.) seems to twe of the saure opinton with Titensand Mreari."
 that in cane of doubt as to the quality of particular articlow, the presump. tion should te in faror of the frevelom of trade."
"The nubject in not affectod by the Duclarntion of Paris. of INifa."

l.tahington. (Nical Prize /anc, pp. 35, 36, 结 169-172,) states the fol. Sowing rules as embodying the present British law:
" All goods fit for purposes of war only, and certain other goods which. though fit for purposes of peace, are in their mature peculiarly serviceable to the cnemy in war, on board a ressel which has a hostile destination, are absolutely contraband."

The list of goods absolutely contraband comprises :
Arms of all kinds, and machinery for manufacturing arms; ammunition and materials for ammunition, including lead, sulphate of potash, muriate of potash, (chloride of potassium,) chlorate of potash, and nitrate of soda : gunpower and its materials, salt petre and brimstone: also gun-cotton; mil. itary equipments and clothing; military stores; naval stores, such as masts, (Charlotte, 5 C. Rohrinson's Rep., 305; Staadt Embden, 1 Id., 27,) spars, rudders, and ship timber, ('Twende Brodre, 4 C. Robinson'× Rep., 33,) hemp. (Apollo, 4 C. Robinson's Rep, 161 ; Evert, 4 Id., 354 : Gute (iesellschaft Michael, 4 Ih., 94 ,) and cordage, sail-cloth, (Neptunus, 3 C. Robinson's Rep. 108 ;) pitch and tar, (Jonge Tobins, 1 G. Robiuson's Rep., 329 ; '1'wee Juffrowon, 4 Id., 242 ; Neptunus, 6 Id., 408 ;) copper, fit for sheath. ing vesscls, (Charlotte, 5 C. Robinson's Rep., 275;) marine engines, and the component parts thereof, including screw-propellers, paddle-wheels, cylinders, cranks, shafts, boilers, tubes for boilers, boiler-plates, and firebars: marine cement, and the materials used in the manufacture thereof, as blue lias and Portland cement ; iron, in any of the following forms.anchors, rivet-iron, angle-iron, round bars of from threequarters to fiveeighths of an inch diameter, rivets, strips of iron, sheet plate-iron exceeding one- juarter of an inch, and low moor and bowling plates.
" All goods fit for purposes of war and peace alike, (not hereinbefore specified as absolutely contraband, on board a vessel which has a hostile destination, are conditionally contraband; that is, they are contraband only in case it is to be presumed that they are intended to be used for purposes of war. This presumption arises when such hostile destination of the vessel is either the enemy's fleet at sea, or a hostile port used exclusively or mainly for uaval or military equipment."

The list of goods conditionally contraband comprises:
Provisions and liquors fit for the consumption of army or navy, (Haabet, : C. Robinson's Rep., 182 ; Jouge Margaretta, 1 Id. 191 ; Ranger, 6 Id., 125; Edward, 4 Id., 68 ;) money ; telegraphic materials, such as wire, porous cups, platina, sulphuric acid, and zinc, (see Porliamentary Pıperx, North Amerira, No. 14, 1863, p. i, and see note to Article 964 of this Book;) materials for the construction of a railway, as iron-bars, sleepers, \&ic.: coals, (see Lorrd Kingudocn's Speech in the House of Lords, May 26, 1861 ;) hay ; horses ; rosin, (Nostra Signora de Begona, 5 C. Roh. inxun'* Rrp., 98 :) tallow, (Neptunus, 3 C. Robinsun'* Rep., 108 ;) timber, ('Twende Brodre, 4 C'. Rohinsou'* Rep., 3\%.)

Provisions have not in general been deemed contraband by the modern law of nations, if destined for the ordinary use of life in the enemy's country; but it has been held that they may become so, although the
property of a neutral, by reamon of the particular aituation of the war, of of their destination; an if demeined for a miffitary uas. The Commercen,


And if they are the growth of a neutral exporting mantry, they are not contraband: but if they arv the growth of the enomy's monery, and more especially if the property of hisw aubjectis, and destined for enemy's ume, they arv not exempt from the contraband character, even though they apt dentinnd to a neutral country. 16. Comprarv Maionanaire e. Keating. \& Gullicon's U. S. Cirr. CY. Krp., 2tas: The Nemphen Hart. Illosed.
 Peterhoth, If., 4as, 528.

In the case of The Bermuda, it wan held that printing preaere, mate. riala and paper, and Confederate States partage ntampe, beloaging to the
 U. S. Supr. Ct. Rrp., 314, 559.

In very recent treatiew, the two latter of the throw clavern, desecribed by Chief Juatice Cliask, have been anbetantially exeluded.

By the foregoing review of the authoritien, two ruler are muggented for consideration in framing such a Code an shim: Oner, that of our terst. which prohibite only gooin manufactured for war use : the other, that which forbidn all artieles which, withoul furlher mathipulation, could ewres for imwedinte wiltary or nacal urmanocns. The latter rule was that adopted by the Italian government, In their inntructionn to their coms manders in the war with Austria. in 1806. Lewatington's Nacut Prise Iave, Intro., p. vili., note.

The former rule in, however, mo much more definite, and alreaty more or lese fully mopted by mo many treatiox, that it in preferred here as the more feasible of the two.

The leading treatles are as follown:
By the sreaty between France and Pera, March 9. IMA1, Art. XXI., (\% Dr Ciereq, 201, contraband is defined an includiag only articlea rxproaly made for war on eea or land.

The treatiee between the United Statex and
 Bollivia, May 13, 1858. ". XVII.. I2 If., 1003.
provide that the liberty of narigation and commerce phall extend to all kindn of merchandise, excepting thowe only which are distinguishod by the name of contraband of war, and under this name shall be compte hepded: 1. Cannons, mortars, bowitzers, ewivels, blunderbusees, tues kots, fusees, rifles, carbines, pistole, pikes, swords, nabrve, lancre, ppears. halberdn, greasades, bombs, powder, matches, balls, and everything be.
 mail, accoutrements, and clothen made up in milizary form and for mill tary une : is. Cavalry belta and hormes, with their harnese: A Aad geoer-
ally, all offensive or defensive arms, made of iron, steel, brass, copper, of of any other material prepared and formed to make war by land or at seas.

The trenty between the l'nited States and The 'Two Sicilies, Art. III., Dec. 1, 1855, 11 l., s. Nouf. ut L., 639, enumerates as contraband : camons, mortars, petards, grenades, muskets, balls, bombs, gun carriages, gunpowder, saltpetre, matches, troops, whether infantry or cavalry, together with all that appertains to them ; as also every other munition of war, and generally every species of arms, and instruments in iron, steel, brass, copper or any other material whatever, manufactured, prepared, and made expressly for purposes of war, whether by land or sea.

The treaty between the United States and Venezuela, Art. XIII., Aug. 2f, 1860, 12 U. S. Stat. Ut I.., 1143, enumerates as contraband, the following: gunpowder, saltpetre, petards, matches, balls, bombs, grenades, carcasses, pikes, halberds, swords, belts, pistols, holsters, cavalry saddles and furniture, cannons, mortars, their carriages and beds, and generally all kinds of arms, ammunition of war, and instruments fit for the use of troops.

By the treaty between the ITnited States and
 all merchandises and things not comprehended in the articles of contraband explicitly enumerated and classified in the treaty, shall be held and considered as free, and subjects of free and lawful commerce, so that they may be carried and transported in the freest manner by the members of both nations, even to places belonging to an enemy, excepting only those places which are at the time besieged or blockaded.

See also Kutchenorsky's Prize Lato, by Pratt, p. 118.
It will be observed that saltpetre, matches and horses, mentioned in the foregoing treaties are not included in the definition given in the above Article. 'The rule there proposed is intended to protect belligerents' rights, and at the same time avoid the mischiefs which result from subject. ing other classes of property to capture, and the uncertainty which attends the endeavor to maintain the existing rules. It is not necessary here to review the intricate mass of authorities on questions that will be superceded by the adoption of such a rule as is here proposed.

If it be thought preferable to include all articles which may subserve the purpose of the war, the following clause may be added to Article 859: "And all other articles necessary and intended for the maintenance of armaments and combatants."

Goods on board ship exempt from capture.
860. All grods of whatever character, on board a ship that is exempt from capture, and not exceeding in
quantity that which may be required for the use of the ship and her crew, are exempt from detention or capture.
I.uahington's Nateal Prise lame, p. as, il 168.

## Contraband documents.

861. Documents are contraband when they are official communications from or to oflicers of a hostile nation, and fitted to subserve the purposes of the war, but not otherwise.

Sir William Scotl interprets "deapatches," treated of in the dectsions an warlike or contraband communications, to be "oplliush communications of official persons, on the public affairs of the goverument." Tlae liaro. line, 6 ch . Radineon's Rep., the. But to thin rule there in an exception in the case of communlcations to or from a neutral nation, or the bomble nation'm ministers or consula resident in the neutral nation.

As to the effect of war upon the mail sereice, see Article 915.

## Contents of mails not contraband.

862. The contents of mails upon mail packets, owned or employed by any nation, are not contraband of war.

This rule is submitted as a proper one, although the contrary is now recognized.

Itushington, (Naeal Prize Ianc, Introd., p. xil., says, that to give up altogether the right to search mail steamers and bags, when destined ts a hostlle port, is a sacrifice which can hardly be expected from belliger. ents: citing Desp, of Earl Russell to Mr. Stuart, November $20.18 \% \%$. Parliamentary Papers, No. Amer., No. 5, 1863.

As to suspension of mail service, see Article 915.

## Detention and confiscation of contraband.

863. Things which are contraband of war are liable to capture and confiscation, and persons who are contraband of war are liable to capture and detention, in the manner provided in this Book; but the ship or contents thereof, not being contraband, are not liable to condemmation nor detention, except as provided by articles 871 and 877.

This rule in drawn from recent treatien, which provide that articles of contraband, whith may be found in a versel bound to an enemy's port. 12*
shall be subject to detention and confiscation, leaving free the rest of the cargo and the ship, that the owners may dispose of them as they see proper.

See the treaties between the United States and

$$
\text { Bolivia, May 13, } 1858, \text { Art. XIX., } 12 \text { U. S. Stat. at L., } 1003 .
$$

Venezuela, Aug. 2\%. 1860, " Xill., $12 / d ., 1143$.
The harsher rules now in force between other nations may be briefly indicated thus:

The penalty for carrying contraband goods is confiscation of the goods and the interest which the owner of them may have in the ship or in other goods on board.

The ship in all cases forfeits her right to freightage on the contraband goods, and all right to expenses resulting from her detention.

A ship carrying contraband goods with simulated papers, or in disregard of express stipulations by treaty, is confiscated, with any interest which her owner has in other goods on board. Lushington's Natal Prize Lato, p. 39, 冬 187-189. See also The Springbok, Blutchford's Prize Casex, (C. S. Dist. Ct.,.) p. 434 ; 'The Stephen Hart, Id., p. 387.

The penalty for carrying contraband persons or despatches is the confiscation of the ship, and such part of the goods on board as belong to her owner. Lushingtor's Natal Prize Lau, p. 40, § $196 ;$ p. 42, § 205.

A ship which is contraband is liable to be confiscated, together with such part of the goods on board as belong to her owner. 1d., p, 42, § 208. See also The Bermuda, 5 Walluce's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 28, 59.

In Carrington c. Merchants' Ins. Co., 8 Peters' U. S. Supr. C't. Rep., 495, it was held to be a general rule that the penalty of confiscation attended the carriage of contraband goods to an enemy, when their capture is made in trunsitu; and that it applied to the vessel and remaining cargo, only when there has been some actual co-operation, on their part, in a meditated fraud upon the belligerents, by covering up the voyage under false papers and with a false destination. But that when the contraband goods have been deposited at the port of destination, and the subsequent voyage has thus been disconnected with the noxious articles, it has not been usual to apply the penalty to the ship or cargo upon the return voyage, although the latter may be the proceeds of the contraband. And the same rule wonld seem, by analogy, to apply to cases where the contrabaud articles have been deposited at an intermediate port on the outward voyage, and before it had terminated.

In the case of The Isabella 'Thompson, Blatchford's Prize Cases, ( $U$. S. Dist. C't.) p. 3it, it was held, that a neutral consignee, at a neutral port. of a cargo delivered there by a vessel which had brought it from a blockaded port of the enemy. acquired perfect title to it, as against persons who captured it as prize on its subsequent transportation on a neutral vessel. from such neutral port to another neutral port. Upon the presumption that the cargo had been unlawfully brought from a blockaded port, and bad been directly laden from the first vessel into the second
vesmel, the latter with her eargo mifht be properly broughe into por for adjudication. And had any molidarity of interenta betwern the swou vessels in the entire voyage from the enemy'n port to the lant neustral prort, bewn establinhed by the proofn, of any compllelty between them in the enter priso, the captors might well Invoke the judgment of the coun in cons. demantion of the enterprise.

If the mild rule suggented in then formgoing Articlo be adopted, is should perhaps be provided that wailing for a grort of an enemy with falme or mimulated papers or uaing false colorm ahall be a grousal for con fiscating the ship, and possibly also the cargo on board belonging to the owner of the shlif.

If the rule be thought too advanced for general adopetion, it is aug geated that it be declared that contraband goods subject the ship, whate is carrying them to detention and confiscation.

## Freighlage of contraband.

864. A ship in which contraband of war is captured, forfeits the freightage thereof.

The Peterhoff, 5 Wallace's U. S. Supr. C\%. Rep., 28, 61
By the present rule a belligerent nation is bound to pay to a neutral carrier an adequate remuneration for the carriage of goods which are seized upon his ship as contraband of war. And the adeguacy of the compensation due for freightage is determined by the teerns of the charter party of the ship; for, as stated by Theiss, (L_Au of Nittions, pt. 11., p. 185, $\$ 80$.) considerations of various kinds may have influenced the parties to the contract of affreightment, and may have rendered a contract for an advanced rate of freight real and fair between those parties, but the freight as a burden upon the belligerent captors, does not come loaded with these considerations. But the standard, by which the liabillty of the belligerent captor toward the neutral ship owner is to be measured. is the rate of frelghtage given for the carriage of similar goods under ordinary circumstances.

## CHAPTER LXVI.

## VISITATION, SEARCH AND CAPTURE.

## Astictes 865. Right of visitation. <br> 866. Ships under neutral convoy. <br> 867. Ships under hostile convoy. <br> s68. Duty of submission. <br> 869. Mode of visitation. <br> 870. Neither boat, persons nor papers to be taken from the ship.

871. Resistance by force.
sis. Detention.
872. What is proper evidence.

8i4. Memorandum of visit to be indorsed on ship's papers.
855. Sending in for condemnation.
8.6. Prize incapable of being sent in.

8i\%. Surrender of contraband of war.
878. Detention of persons and papers.
sis. Detention in cases of suspicion.
s81). "spoliation of papers" defined.
881. Passive enemies or neutrals on board captured ship.
882. Persons on board a captured armed public ship, or ship without colors.
883. When ship and cargo must be released.
884. Duty of prize officer.
885. Persons and things captured as contraband to be brought before a prize court.
8s6. Restoration after unlawful capture.
ssi, 888. Liability of commander.
889. Rights of all ships to defend against attack.
890. Salvage.

Right of visitation.
865. For the purpose of enforcing the provisions of this Book, concerning contraband of war, intercourse, and hostilities, every private or unarmed ship, whether belonging to the enemy or to a neutral, on the high seas, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and not exempt by the next article because under con-
voy, is subject to visitation by an armed ship of a bel. ligerent.
${ }^{1}$ Theo right to visit within the territorial jurimiletion of the rivitiog mation la mecurved, both for peace and war, by Article bth.

The right of visiting and mearching tnerchant mhly on the lighte mes. whatever the the ships, whatever be the cargoew, and whatever the the des sinatione, it an incontentilite right of the lawfully commianionod olifpe of a belligerent nation; becaune till they are visited and mercherd it doem bot appear what the ahips or the cargoen, or the dextinationn are, and it is for the purpose of ascertalning these polnte that the necrasity of thin right of visitation and nearel exints. This right in so elear in prineiple that no man can deny it who admite the right of maritime capture ; because if yous are not at liberty to ancertain by sufficient Inquiry whether there in prop erty which can be legally captured, it is impowible to capture. Fiven undep the rule, that free shipm make free goods, the excreise of thim right munt be admitted, for the purpone of ancertaining whether the mhigm are free or not. The Maria, Opinion of Lard Seoreell, 1 Robinoon's Rop., steb.

By the treaty between the United States and

Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1860, - XV., $12 / 1 \mathrm{~d} .1143$.
it in exprexsly stipulated that merchant ships in time of war, bound to an enemy's port, shall be obliged to exhbit upon the high seas, or in ports of roads, passports and certificates showing whether their grods are contraband of war.

## Ships under neutral convoy.

866. A ship under convoy of an armed public ship of a neutral nation is not subject to visitation, if the commander of the convoy verbally give his word of honor that she is a ship of his nation; and, if destined to a hostile port, that she contains no contraband of war, ${ }^{1}$ and no property of the hostile nation, and is not engaged in illegal intercourse. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

1 Treaty between France and Peru, March 9, 1861. Art. XXllt. 8 De Clereq, 201.
Treaty between the United States and
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Dominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, $186 \%$ Art. XIX.. $15 \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S}$. Nut. as I. (Tr.o) $16 \%$
Venezucla, Aug. 27, 1860, " XV'lli., 12 /d., 1113 .
Kolivia. May 13, 18;8. " XXIII., 12 ft., 100k.
Sulostantially the same rute was adopted by Italy in the wap of $1 \times 66$ with Austria. I,ushington's Niamal Prise Lave, Introd. f' vill., note.

See also Widdmust's Intormutional Lave, v. 2, p. 1:1.

In the absence of treaty, the right of search of vessels under neutral convoy is asserted. The Maria, 1 Ch. Robinson's Rep., 340.

Tiriss, (Laur of Nations, Part II., p. 185. S96,) says, that every belliger. ent cruiser has a right to insist on verifying the neutral character of every ship which it meets with on the high seas, and which carries a neutral flag; and it is a clear maxim of law, that "a neutral vessel is bound, in rolation to her commerce, to submit to the belligerent right of search." A neutral merchant accordingly cannot adopt any measures, of which the direct object is to withdraw his commerce on the high seas from the free exercise of the right of search on the part of any belligerent cruiser. It is not competent, therefore, for a neutral merchant to exempt his vessel from the belligerent right of search, by placing it under the convoy of a neutral or enemy man of war.

Kent, (Commentaries, v. 1, p. 154.) says, that the very fact of sailing under the protection of a belligerent or neutral convoy is a violation of neutrality.

Lushington. (Naral Prize Larr. p. $5 . \mathrm{S}_{5} 19,20$, ) states, that if the state of the wind and weather permit, the commander should communicate his intention to visit by hailing, and then cause his ship to go ahead of the suspected vessel, and drop a boat along side of her. If the state of the wind and weather render such a course impracticable, the commander should require the vessel to be brought to. For this purpose he should give warning by firing successively two blank guns, and then, if necessary, a shot across her bows; but before firing, the commander, if he has chased under false colors or without showing his colors, should be careful to hoist the (British) flag and pendant.

Compare Articles 65 and 66.
' The last clause is new.

## Ships under hostile convoy.

867. Ships of whatever character, under convoy of a public armed ship of the hostile nation, are liable to capture and confiscation as contraband of war.

It may be thought better to retain this rule, upon the principle that the excmption of private property at sea would render military protection by a belligerent unnecessary and offensive.

## Duty of submission.

868. Every ship subject to visitation, when hailed by a public armed ship of a belligerent nation, must shorten sail, and await the approach of the hailing ship ; and if required must submit to visitation as regulated by the next two articles.

## Mode of cisitation.

869. The visiting ship must send to the ship visited, a boat bearing the national flag of the former, and an officer in uniform,' who shall be received on board, and be permitted to examine the ship and her contents, and the papers relative to the character of both. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

If, for any cause, it be impracticable to send a boat immediately, the commander may require the visited ship to lower her flag, and steer according to his orders.'
${ }^{1}$ Ioushington's Naeal Prise Latue, p. 5, 88 25.

- Treaty between France and Pera, March 9. 1861, \& De Cllereq, 201. Art. XXIII.

2 Iushington's Niaeul Prize Laur, p. 10, \& 60. The Herculen, 2 Iod. son's Rep., Ses: 'The Eidward and Mary, 3 Ch. Robinsori's Rep., 306

Neither boat, persons, nor papers to be taken from the ship.
870. The commander of the visiting ship cannot require any boat, person, or paper to be brought to his ship from the ship visited, except as provided by articles 877 and 878.

Lushington's Niaral Prise lasuc, p. 5. §\% 18.
Treaty between the United States and
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Dominican } \\ \text { Republic. }\end{array}\right\}$ Febb. 8. 186\%. Art. XVill., 15 U.S. Stat. at Lo., (Tr., 16:
Bolivia, May 13. 1R58, ": Xil., 12 Id., 1003.
Venezuela, Aug. 25.1860, " XVII.. 12 Id., 1143.

## Resistance by force.

871. If the lawful exercise of the right of visitation or search be resisted by force, the resisting ship and the property on board belonging to her owner, are liable to capture and to condemnation.

Resistance alone, though not amounting to comlat. is sumcient ground for confiscation. Wildman's International Iane, vol. 2. p. 192.

If the clause as to convoy in Article 865 be not retalned, it ahould be observed, that resixtance by the convoying ship is a prointance by the whule convoy: (Wildman's Intern. Late, vol. 2, p. 124, citing. The Eilable. 4 Robinson's Rep., 408 ;) and that salling unter Instructions to resist is equivalent to resistance. (Ib. : citing The Maria, I Radineon's Rop. 3it )

## Detention.

872. If upon visitation and search the commander of the visiting ship be satisfied that there is proper evidence, amounting to probable cause, ' for the detention of the ship, under the provisions of this Code, he may detain her. It is his duty to give the master an opportunity of explanation, if the case admit of doubt.

Isuahington's Naral Prize Late. p. 9, 冬53.
The right of detention for inquiry is a corollary to the right of visitation and search. If the commander of a belligerent ship of war, having examined the papers found on board a merchant vessel, perceive just and sutficient reasons for detaining her, in order to proceed to a further cxamination, he may order a prize crew to go on board of her and conduct her to the nearest port belonging to the nation, subject to a full responsibility in costs and damages, if this should have been done without just and sufficient cause in the opinion of a duly constituted court of prize. Tirixs, Lathe of Nations, pt. II., p. 184, \& 95.

Lord Stocell, in his opinion in the case of The Maria, 1 Ch. Robinson's Rep., 374, said, "It is a rule of law that the nentral vessel shall submit to the inquiry proposed, looking with confidence to those tribunals whose noblest office. . . . is to relieve by compensation inconveniences of this kind, if they have happened through accident or error, and to redress by compensation and punishment injuries that have been committed by design."
' To constitute a probable cause of capture, it is not necessary that there should be presumptive evidence sufficient to condemn. It is sufficient, if there be circumstances which warrant a reasonable suspicion of illegal conduct. The Gcorge, 1 Muson's U.s. (iirc. Ct. Rep., 24, 29.

What is proper evidence.
873. Proper evidence is such as will be admissible before the prize court. It includes :

1. Facts appearing by inspection-as the character of the ship, her equipment, cargo, crew and passengers;
2. The papers on board of her ; and,
3. The testimony of her master and crew.

Luahington, (Noral Prize Latle, p. 9, §. 54,) adds, that the commander should remember that widence of the captors in their own behalf will not be received by the court, at least in the first instance. See The Fortuna, 1 Dedson's Rep., 81; The Charlotte Carolinc, Id., 192, 199 ; The

Henrich and Maria, 4 Ch. Robinmon's Rep, osi: The Hanket, $6 \mathrm{dd} . \mathrm{it}:$


By the treaty between the United Stateo and

Venexuela. Aug. 27, 1860." XV1., 12 Hf .1148.
if it doen not appear frots the ahip's errefifleates that therv arr eontraluand gronds on board, she shall be permitted to proced on her voyage.

Memorandum of cisit to be indorsed on shipis papers.
874. It is the duty of the visiting officer to write upon, or attach to the passport or other document supposed to determine the national character of the ship visited, a memorandum of the visit or seareh, specifying date and place, and the name of the risiting ship and commander. and to sign his name to the same with the addition of his rank.

[^86]
## Sending in for condemnation.

875. Except in the cases otherwise provided for in the next two articles, every private ship detained, must be immediately sent to the nearest safe port of the captor's nation' for adjudication on the validity of the capture, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ whether of ship or contents. ${ }^{3}$

Treaty between France and Peru, March 9. 1861, Art. XXV., \& In Clereq. 202.

1 Lushington, (Nacal Prise Lair, p. 14. 今̀ 76,) states the following rules for aelecting the port of adjudication. It should.

1. Be capable of giving safe harborage to the ship:
2. Be large nough to admit her without unlivery of cargo:
3. Offer easy communication with the prize court : and.
4. Be as near as possible to the place of capture.

In the case of The Fanny, 1 Dodson's Rip., 448, tond Norevll held, that If a neutral merchant ship his goods on boand an armed ship of the enemy, he betrage an intention to withdraw his goowis from risitation and
senrch，－for it is a presumptio juris et de jure hat an armed ship will re－ sist visitation and search，－and is deemed to have abandoned the protec－ tion of neutrality，and to have adhered to the enemy．

But in the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall，in the case of The Nereide．（9（＇runclis（＇．st siupr．Ct．Rrp．，：88．）＂a neutral merchant had a right to charter and lade his goonds on board a belligerent armed ressel without forfeeting his neutral character：＂and the same opinion was held in The Atalanta， 3 Wheutou＇s U．s．Supr．C＇t．Rep．，241．
－This applies the rule of adjudication to public property of an enemy on a private ship，but not to private property found on a public ship of an enemy．
${ }^{3}$ Contraband despatches，or persons captured at sea，wholly or partly by the agency of naval forces，must be bronght in with the ship upon which they were taken，for the like adjudication．See Mr．Serrard＇s letter in The Trent case．

## Prize incapable of being sent in．

876．If the captured ship or cargo be not in a condi－ tion to be sent into port for adjudication，the captor must cause a survey and appraisement to be made，and the re－ port to be sent to the prize court ；but if any of the prop－ erty can be sold，it must，unless appropriated for the use of the government of the captor，be sold，${ }^{\text {，}}$ and the proceeds deposited with the authorized officer of the captor＇s nation，subject to the order of the prize court．

Suggested bey Act of Congress of the United States，June 30，1864，导 1 ， 13 L．S．Stut．at Large， 306.
＇By the present rule，＇the sale may be made in any neutral port where the local authorities will allow the sume to be brought in and sold．Lush－ ingtori＊Nacal Prize Latr，p．17，终 90,96 ：but Division V．，concerning Neitrals，forbids such use of neutral ports．
surrender of contraband of woar．
877．When only the contents of a ship or some of them are subject to condemnation under the provisions of this Book，and they are surrendered by the officer in command，the ship and the rest of the contents remain frees，except that if the seizure be made at sea，and the persons or things surrendered cannot be transshipped without grave inconvenience，the ship and all its con－ tents may be sent in as provided in article 875.

Treaty between Firnace and Peru. Mareh 9. 1mon!, © Ik Clereq. 500 , Ars. XXIV。

According to the traty between the L'nited staten and Bolivia, Art. XIX., May 1s. Isse, 12 C, S. Sat. at L... 1003s, ne veserl shalt be detained on the high gean on account of baving on board artieles of contraband, whenevor the manter, captain or mupercargo of sald venerl will delliver up the articter of contraband to the caphor, untere th quantity of nuch articien be mo great of of no large a bulk that the? cannot be receised on noard the capturing mhip without groat in conventence: but in this as well as in all other casen of just detention. the veswel detained shall be sent to the neareat convenient and safe port for trial and judgment according to law.

By the treaty between the t'nited Statew and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Vencruela, Aug.27, 1860, " IVI., } 12 / d ., 1143 .
\end{aligned}
$$

if it shall appear from any ship's certificates that there are contraband goods on bonrd, and the sommander of the kame mall offer to chefiset them up, they shall be received on board the belligerent ship of war, and a recejpt given therefor, and the ship shall then be at liberty to purnue her voyage, unless the quantity of the contraband goods be greater than can conveniently be rucelved on board the belligerent's ship of war; in which case, as in all other cases of just detention, the ship shall be cas ried into the neareat mafe and convenient port for the delivery of the same.

## See also Kotuckenorsky' a Prize Late, by Pratt, p. 118.

The principle recognized by these treaties conmends itsel! on gracral adoption. The difficulty which it presents in the case of contraband per. sons and dispatches, in relinquishing the existing method of adjudication. may perhaps be obviated by the adoption of a more simple and direct poo cedure in such cases. See Chapter LXVIII., concerniog Paze.

## Delention of papers and persons.

878. The commanding officer of any ship making a capture must.
879. Secure the pipers found on board of the captured ship, which relate to the prize, and make an inventory of the same, seal them up, and send them, with the inventory, to the prize court in which proceedings are to be had,' with a written statement that they are all the papers found, and in the condition in which they were found, or explaining the absence of any, or any change in their condition :
880. Except in the case of a surrender provided for
by article 877, he must send in as witnesses, the master, one or more of the other officers, the supercargo, purser or agent of the captured ship, and any person found on board whom he may suppose to be interested in the captured ship, or to have knowledge respecting its title, national character, or destination ;
881. He must send, in charge of the captured ship, if it be sent in , a competent prize master and crew ${ }^{2}$ to navigate the ship $:^{3}$
882. He must give a receipt for such of the papers of the ship as he retains, which receipt must be annexed to a copy of the papers ; and when persons or things are taken out of the ship as contraband, he must give a receipt therefor, signed by him, with the addition of his rank ;
883. He must allow the master and a sufficient number of the officers and crew of the captured ship to remain on board, to secure the ship and its contents from unlawful interference, until they are delivered into the custody of a prize court :
884. He must allow all other persons on board, not impressed with the military character of the enemy. nor duly charged with offenses against the captor's na tion, under the provisions of this Book, to remain on board until they reach port,' if they choose, unless the safe navigation of the ship require their removal."

[^87]the ahip, nor are the latter bound to perform such duty. The captors are bound to put on board a nufficient crew to navigate the ohifp. The George. 1 Mason's U.S. Cire. C\%. Rep., 24.
 phould invite, but cannot corron, the master and crew to ald in mavigating the prize into port.
" Irraty between the L'nited staten and

$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Dominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8. 1867, Ant. XX., 15U. s. Sat.at L... (Tr.) 168
Venezuela, Aug.27.18k0, " XIX.,12 /d., 1143.
Hayti. Nov. 3. 1864. " XXVI., 18 Le., 711.

- Thin clause in new.
- It in provided in the treaty between the linited staten and


that it shall not be lawful to remove the master, commander or nuper cargo of any captured ship from on boart thereot, during the time the whip may be at sea after her capture, or pending the proceedingongainst her, or her cargo, of anything relating thervto.

Aud Luahington, (Naval Prize Larr, p. 20, ş 110 .) myw, that the prize master cannot subject the master and crew of the captured ship to any unnecessary restraint.
' Persons found on board of a captured vessel do not pasm with the vessel and cargo into judicial custody. They are subject to the control of the court for their examination: but when the business of the court does not require their detention as examinants, the discharge or detention of such persons rests with the officers of the naval service, according to its rules. The Salvor, (E. Dist. of Pa., 4 Philadelphin Rep., 409. The French rourts hold that they are prisoners of war. Barbous Jurispr. du coneril des Priscs, (Paris and london, 1872.)

- This exception should of course be recognized in framing a positive rule.


## Detention in cases of suspicion.

879. A private ship may be detained by a bellig. erent on the following grounds of suspicion, if not ex. plained to the satisfaction of the commander:'
880. Carrying no passport such as is required by article 278 :'
881. Carrying any false or simulated passport or other papers affecting the character of the ship, contents or voyage, such as certificate of registry, sea letter, charterparty, logs, builder's contract, bill of sale, bills of lad.
ing, invoices, manifest, clearance, muster roll, shipping articles, bill of health, \&ce;
882. Carrying papers which, in any respect material to the question of contraband, are inconsistent with each other, or with the declarations of the master to the visiting officer :
883. Withholding from the visiting officer any papers material to the character of the ship, contents or voyage :
884. Spoliation of papers, of any kind, that were on board the ship ;' and,
885. Useing false colors or signals, to deceive the belligerent. ${ }^{\circ}$

[^88]garde the ship will loe sufficiently complete, on tar an dorumentary evi. dence in concerned. With regard to the cargo, if the ablp to a genere! ship, her mancifeat and the bills of lading are the bwat midesenor of both the owe:enthfinand the demination of the cargo. If, on the other hament, the venacl should be chartered, the eharter-party mbould aleo law on board. Lut the abaence of the charter.party will not justify the condemation of the ship, any more than the abmence of the involee of the grods: but the non-protuction of any mhipis paper, which in in strict law documentary evidence In regard either to the whip herwelf or to the cargo, will juntify the mending the vessel into port for inquiry. in order that the manter may account satisfactorily before a court of prize for the absence of the zalso. lag documens.
${ }^{3}$ A nation cannot be bound by the flagm or paperw umed by a mip. but can go behind the ontennible neutral character indicatod by these. and ancertain the actual character. The belligerent may hold tho ahip concluded by the fact of having used the fages and papern sha han know Ingly carried. If that rexult in favorable to the interesten of the belligerent. Dinat's Wheiton, Ellem. of Intern. Lave, \$340, note 163.

- It in no excuse for spoliation to allege that the paperndestroyed were private papers not affecting vessel or cargo. The Two Brotherw, i ('h. Rodinson's Rep., 182.

In the case of The Pizarro. 2 Whenton's U. S. Siupr. C't. Rep., 297, it was held, that concealment or spollation of papers is not of liself a suff. clent ground for condemantion in a prize court. It in undoubedly a circumstance calculated to excite suspicion. But it is open to explanation. for it may have arisen from accident, necessity, or superior force: and if the party in the first instance fairly and frankly explain it to the satis. faction of the court, it deprives him of no right to which be wan otherwise entitled.
${ }^{3}$ See Articlen 61. 764 and 766.
" spoliation of papers" defined.
880. Spoliation of papers is the willful destruction or throwing overboard of any papers on board a ship, for the purpose of deceiving a belligerent.

Passive enemies or neutrals on board captured sinip.
881. Passive enemies or neutrals on a captured ship, if they are not contraband of war, nor charged with an offense against the provisions of this Book, are entitled to immediate release, except that if required as witnesses they may be carried into the port of ad.
judication and detained according to law, until their testimony can be secured, and no longer.

Insfruefions of U'nited sitates Nacy Department, of May 9. 1864, modi. tied so as to include all passive enemies as well as neut rals.

It in provided by the treaty between the United States and

Venezuela, $\quad$ XX., Aug. 27. 1860, $12 \mathrm{Id} ., 11433$,
that in all cases where a vessel of the members of either nation shall be captured or seized or held for adjudication, her officers, passengers and crew shall be treated hospitably, and shall not be imprisoned or deprived of any part of their wearing apparel, nor of the possession and use of their money, not exceeding for the captain, supercargo, mate and passengers. five hundred dollars each, and for the sailors one hundred dollars each.

Persons on board a captured armed public ship or ship with nut colors.
882. Persons captured in a public armed ship or in a ship withont passport or colors, are prisoners of war, unless they are passengers only, having no interest in ship or cargo, and not charged with an offense against the provisions of this Book.

Instructions of United States Naxy Department of May 9, 1864, extended.

When ship and cargo must be released.
883. The ships visited, and articles not transshipped under article 877, though found proper to be detained, must be released by the commander of the visiting ship, in either of the following cases:

1. If the surveying officers report the ship to be not in a condition to be sent to a proper port;
2. If the commander is mable to spare a prize crew to navigate the ship ; or,
3. If, after detention, further facts come to his knowledge, showing that the ship has been improperly detained.

Luahingtor's Nacul Prize Iatuc, p. 18. 5100, omitting the exception by which ships belonging to the enemy may be destroyed.f

Duty of prize officer.
884. The prize officer of a captured ship must make
his way diligently to the selected port, and deliver to the proper authorities of his nation the documents and papers and the inventory, and make affidavit that they are the same, and in the same condition as delivered to him by the commanding officer of the ship making the capture, or explaining any absence or change of condition therein, and that the prize is in the same condition as delivered to him, or explaining any loss or damage thereto : and must deliver the persons sent as witnesses into the custody of the prize court, and retain the prize in his charge until it shall be taken therefrom by process from the prize court.

Act of Congress of the United States, June $30,1864 . \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{j}} 33,18 \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S}$. Stats. at Larrge, :306.

Persons and things captured as contraband to be brought before a prize court.
885. Except in the case of a voluntary surrender, by the master of a ship captured or detained, as provided in article 877 , or of a sale under article 878 , all persons and things captured at sea as contraband of war, must be brought on shore in presence of the competent officers of the prize court, and an inventory of such things be made by them; and any interference with such persons or things, and any sale of the things, without lawful process of a prize court, is unlawful.

[^89]Restoration after unlawf ul capture.
886. It is the duty of a belligerent, having seized persons and things in violation of the provisions of this Bouk, to set such persons at liberty, to restore such things to their owners, and to give indemnity for the injury.

[^90]with the other provisions of this Book, to disallow this qualification of the obligation, although the usual mode of obtaining redress may be by application by the neut ral government.

Lushington, (Nitcel Prize Latuc, p. 62, 尽 266, 267,) says, that a ship in neutral territorial waters is not liable to visit, semech or detention, even though beyond those limits when first descried or chased. And he adds, that if a commander ascertain that his capture was made in such waters, he must release the prize, if express application be made by the authorities of the neutral territory.

## Liability of commander.

887. The commander of a visiting ship is responsible, in person and property, for making a capture withont probable cause ; ${ }^{2}$ and for all losses by inevitable accident resulting therefrom, during the detention of the ship ${ }^{3}$ for any extortion, insult or violence ${ }^{4}$ cansed to persons on board the visited ship ; for any embezzlement, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ wrongful spoliation of property ${ }^{6}$ on board such ship, or other abuse of his lawful authority ; ${ }^{7}$ and, upon a restoration of the property, for any loss occurring to ship or cargo from neglect of ordinary care in respect thereto, ${ }^{8}$ or in consequence of a deviation from a direct route to the port of adjudication:' for unnecessary delay in sending the ship into port, ${ }^{10}$ or in initiating or prosecuting proceedings for adjudication."

[^91]the property was neutral ; not that it was art taken without probable causo. Jenninge e. Canson, $t$ Craneh': U. \& Supr. CY. Hep., 2. To similar effect, The Lidrerpool Packet, 1 Ginltienn's U', s: Circ. CY. Hrp. 818 ; The Rover, 2 /d., 240; Maleonnaire e. Keating, 2 /A., zss.

If a captor transcend, his powers and riglis, be becotuce gailig of a marine troppeas, and is amenable In damagen for the iujury sumtained: and where the roasol has beon loot in consequence of such illegal acte, the ralue of the vemel, the prime cont of the eargo, with all eharges and the promilum of insurnace, are to be allowed in ascertainiag the damagee. The Ausa Maria, 2 Whenton's U. S. Snpr. Ct. Rep., 327.

If a alip be detalned without probable cause, the liability of the comsmander extends, maya Luehington, (Nueal Prise Late. p. 9. 8is 53, 53.) to the extent of making good lowes by inevitable mecident while the prize was in his handa. If there was probable cause, he is not liable for camualities.

- By the treaty botween the United States and
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Douninican } \\ \text { Republic. }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, 1807, Art. XVIII., 15 U. S. Nits. at I... (Tr..) 167.
Bolivia, May 18, 1858, " XXI., 12 Jd., 1006 ,
the commanders of the public armed ships of either nation, are made responaible with their permons and property for any ertortion. riotence or illtreatment cansed, when visting ships of the other nation on the high sens.

And by the treaty between the United Statem and Vrnezuela, Aug. 27. 1800, Art. XXII., 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1143, the commander is also made liable for all damages, and the interest thereof, of whatever nature the damages may be.
' Luehington's Namel Prize Lave, p. 20,8 109.

- Misconduct on the part of the captors,-c. g., a wrongful spoliation of property on boand a prise,-or separation of the officers or crew from her, may deatroy the legality of the capture, and subject the captors. personally, to punishment for the infringement of the lawe of maritime warfare. The right of aelzure by the belligerent is dependent apon the lawful use of that power by the captors at sea. The Jane Campbell. Bhutehford's Prise Cases, ( U. S. Dist. C't.,) 101.
${ }^{1}$ Treaty between France and Peru, March y, 1861, Art. XXV., 8 De Clereq, 201.
- There is no rule of law which requires a captor to exercise estrour. dinary diligence in the care of a prize. The came is not distinguialiable In this respect from shat of a bailment, benoficial to both partien : and the captor is liable for ordinary diligence only. The George. 1 Nirevis $U . S$ Clire. CY. Rep., 24.
- The commander is bound to use the strictest care. Ominaion to employ a pllot in places where pilotagn is usual is want of care. Lueting. ton's Naml Prise Law, p. 19. 88 105-108.
${ }^{10}$ Luahington's Naval Prize Lave, p. 18. 871 ; and casee dited.
${ }^{11}$ Id. p. $91,8115$.

The same.
888. The commander of a visiting ship is responsible in damages for the wrongful acts of all under his command, whether he himself is present or absent, when they are committed. He is not exonerated by being under a superior officer, unless the latter was actually present and co-operating, or issued express orders to do the act in question.

Lushington's Nuval Prize Latuc, p. 2, 今s 7; The Mentor, 1 Ch. Robin. son's Rep., 179; The Diligentia, 1 Dodson's Rep., 404; The Actroon, 2 Id., 48 ; The Eleanor, 2 Whenton's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 346.

Right of all ships to defend against attack.
889. Subject to the provisions of this Chapter, every ship, whether public or private, has a right to repel the attack of an enemy, ${ }^{1}$ and to capture and send in as prize the attacking ship. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Haven r. Holland, 2 Muson's U. S. Circ. Ctt. Rep., 230 ; The Marianna Flora. 11 Wheaton's U. S. Sup. C't. Rep., 1 ; affirming 3 Mraon's U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 116.
${ }^{2}$ The Anne, 3 Whenton's U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 435.

## Saloage.

890. Where property captured in war is recaptured at sea, the recaptors may send it in to a port of adjudi cation, in order to have their claim of salvage established.
see Mnlleck, Muter". Ian de lams of War, p. 867 ; and note to Article 898.

## CHAPTER LXVIl.

BLOCKADE.


#### Abstract

It is believed that the abandonment of the right of making purely commercial blockades will be but a small sacrifice of belligerent power, compared with the immense diminution it will effect in the evils of war. It haa been well remarked by Lushington, ( Faval Prize Lav, Intro.,


p. xifi., that the effect of steam commerce will be to make auch blockedes.
if not more rare, at any rate, of leas significance than formerly; since ouly to ports very exceptionally situated will the tempurary loss of maritime intereourse he a very nerlous matter mo long an there is lefs open to them land communleation by rallway.

Anticles 891. Objects of blockade.
s92. "Military port "defined.
Objects of blockade.
891. A belligerent may blockade military ports, and no others, and so far only as is necessary to capture contraband of war.

## "Mililary port" defined.

892. A military port is a fortified port or one oc. cupied by a military force larger than is necessary for the preservation of domestic order.

The principal existing rulew, ns modified by modern treaties, may be indicated an follows:

1. Objects of bockade. A belligerent may blockade all or any part of the coants, ports and modsteads of the hostile nation, oo far as necessary for attaining the object of the war.

A war must exist de facto ; but a civil war in which one party claims movereign rights as against the other, is within the rule. Prize Cases. 2 Black's U. S. Supreme Court Rep.. 635. And in the case of The Mary Clinton, Blatehfort'* Prize Cosex, ( U. S. Dist. C't., SJ6, it was held that the proclamation of the blockade is sufficient and conclusise evidence of the existence of the war.
2. Clusses of blockiades. Blockades are either, 1, simple ; or, 2. public.

A public blockade is one which has been duly notified to other nations by the nation establishing it. All others are simple blockader. s

In the case of a simple blockade, captors are bound to prove its exist ence at the time of capture: while in the case of a public blockade, the claimants are held to proof of discontinuance, in oder to protect them. selven from the penalties of attempted violation. The CIrcassian. 2 Withace: U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 185. Bluntechli, Droit Intermational Codifik. © 831, allown an effective blockade in anticipation of notice.
3. Authority of offeer. A blockade of any port eatablished by a mosmanding officer is not void for want of special authority, unless dis. avowed by his govronment. Some nuthoritice question the right of an
officer to establish a blockade without instructions, if he be near enough to the government to enable him to receive them; but the better opinion seems to be, that the neutral cannot impeach the officer's authority so long as the act is not disavowed by his government. Halleck, Intern. Lave and Lave of War, p. 533 ; and see The Circassian, 2 Wallace's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 135 ; In re Rolla, 6 Robinson's Adm. Rep., 364 ; Cameron r. Kyte, 3 Knapp, P. C., 332.
4. Notice. A private neutral ship destined for a blockaded port cannot be scized, unless notice of the blockade has first been given to it, and indorsed upon its papers by a ship of the blockading squadron. The indorsement must state the day and the place of giving such notice. Treaty between France and Peru, Art. XXII., March 9, 1861, 8 De Clereq, 201. The same rule was adopted by Italy in the war of 1866, with Austria. Lushington's Naval Prize Lanr, Introd., p. ix., note.

The mere intention to enter a blockaded port, unconnected with any other fact, is not sufficient for the condemnation of a neutral vessel.

The treaty between the United States and Great Britain provides that every vessel may be turned away from every blockaded or besieged port or place, which shall have sailed for the same without knowledge of the blockade or siege; but she shall not be detained, nor her cargo, if not contraband, be confiscated, unless, after notice, she shall again attempt to enter; but she shall be permitted to go to any other port or place she may think proper. And this treaty is conceived to be a correct exposition of the present law of nations upon this point. The intention must be manifested in such manner as to be equivalent to an attempt. Fitzsimmons $r$. Newport Ins. Co., 4 Cranch's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 185.

See also treaty between the United States and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
\text { The Two } \\
\text { Sicilies, }
\end{array}\right\} \text { Oct. 1, 1855, Art. II., } 11 \text { U. S. Stut. at L., } 639 . \\
& \text { Bolivia, May 13, 1858, " XX., } 12 \text { 1d., } 1003 . \\
& \text { Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1860, " XII., } 12 \text { Id., } 1143 . \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Dominican! } \\
\text { Republic, }
\end{array} \text { Feb. 8, } 1867 . \quad 15 \text { Id., (Tr.,) } 167 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the absence of such a treaty, the courts do not require notice; see 5) C'rancli's Rop., 335; 1 Kent's Commentaries, 150; 1 Robinson's Rep., 72, 130: : Id., 94: The Circassian, 2 Wallace's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 135; Whaton on Capture, 193-207; The Hallie Jackson, Blatchford's Prize Cuscs. ( U. S. Dist. ('t..) 2, 48; The Empress, Id., 175; except where the vessel sails without a knowledge of the blockade; The Nayade, 1 Neroberry"s Adm. Rep., (U. S. Dist. Ct., ) 366.

In the case of the Lonisa Agnes, Bhatchford's Prize Caser, ( U. S. Dist. (t...) p. 107, it was held that the departure of a ship from the blockaded port, under the compulsory direction of a blockading cruiser, does not reintegrate her to the state of an innocent trader, and she may still be arrested for the offense of attempting to violate the blockade.

A notice of a blockade to the officials of a neutral government is
deemed a sumbient notice of it to the subjecta of such governasent. 2 Ch. Robinwon's Rep., 113: 1 Krat's Commentarices, 147: W' heaton on Capture, 105-100: The lliawatha, BlateNJord 's Prise Casce. (C'. S. JKM. C\%.) 1.
5. Rifliency. Blockaden, in order to be binding, muat be effective: that is to say, malntained by a foree sufticient really to prevent accere to the coant by the enemy. Congromes of Paris, 1856.

Although this rule adzalia oceasional abeence of blockading veesele. from atrese of weather or other contingencles, yet the law demande the allotment and atationing of that amount of force for the service which ahall reader it physically hazandoun for other craft to evade the blockede. 1 Kont'a Comamentarics, 14t-161; 3 Phillimore's Intern. Iave, 257: Woad -ry's International Lave, 8 180; 1 Spink, Prise Cawe, 111, 171 : The Samh Start, BlateMfond's Price Clave, ( U. S. Dist. Cx.) 60.

In the case of an inland port, a blockade may be maintained by batterien commanding the river or linlet by which it may be approached. mapported by a naval force sufficient to warn off innocent veseele, and capture offending veseoln attempting to enter. The Circassian, 2 Wal. luce's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 1 i\$5.

A blockade censes whenever the veseela which maintain it are with. drawn, whether with or without compulaion from the enemy, wo that the undertaking ts for the time, at least, abandoned. Woolery's Internationat Lave, 187.
6. Violation. Unleas the blockade be directed againat ingress or egress alone, a vemel violates the law of blockade, by any poaitive act cowards entering or quitting, or by showing a clear and speedy Intention to enter or leave a blockaded port, except in diatresa. The Coom, 1 Neuberry's Adminally Rep., (U. S. Diw. Cy.) 303; 1 Ch. Robineon's Rep., 86, 151. 1:1: The Hiawatha, Bhitehford's Prize Cusen, (U.S. Dire. Cx.) p. 1: The Emapress, Id., p. 175 ; Hallech's Intern. Lave and Lawe of War, ch. 2s. 888.

A remote lintention to violate a legal blockade, entertained at the outmet of the voyage, is not sufficient cause to anthorize the seizure of a remeel. Woolsey's Infern. Law, \& 188.

However earneatly the crininal intent may have been entertained and proceeded upon for a time, if it be really given up before the arrest, the property fo not liable to confiscation because of the previous wrongful purpose. 1 Kent': Commentaries, 147; The John Cillpin, BlateAfond 's Prise Cases, (U. S. Dice. Cl.) 291.

Persiating in the intention to enter a blockaded port, after warning by the blockading force, is not an attempt to enter, nor a breach of the blockade, unlese consected with some net on the part of the reesel.

Under the treaty betwenn the United Statee and Great Britain, a second attempt to enter must be made after notitication of the blockade. Liagering aboat the place, as if watching for an opportualty to sall into It, or the alagle circumectace of making lmmediately for some other port.
or possibly, obstinate and determined declarations of a resolution to break the blockade, might be evidence of an attempt, after warning, to enter the blockaded port. But whether these circumstances or others may or may not amount to peidence of the offense, the offense itself is, attempting again to enter; and unless, "after notice, she shall again at. tempt to enter," the two nations expressly stipulated that she shall not be detained. Fitzsimmons e. Newport Ins. Co., 4 Cranch's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 185.

In the absence of such a treaty, however, where a ship knew of the blockade at the time of sailing, her approaching the blockaded port for the purpose of inquiring there, is, in itself, a consummation of the offense; and amounts to an actual breach. 'The Cheshire, 3 Wallice's U. S. Supr. (४. Rep.. 231 ; The Delta, Blatchford's Prize Cascs, (U. S. Dist. Ct'., 6ist.

The inquiry cannot be lawfully made at the blockaded port, if it can be made elsewhere. The Empress, Id., p. 175.

A clear necessity, however,-l.g., for repairs, supplies or shelter,will justify an entrance into a blockaded port ; but such allegations are regarded with distrust, and satisfactory evidence is required of the reality and urgency of the necessity. The Major Barbour, Id., p. 167; The Sunbean, Id., p. 316 : The Diana, 7 Wallace's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 354.
i. Penalty. The penalty for a violation of blockade is confiscation, and attaches to both ship and cargo: which penalty continues upon a vessel until the end of her return voyage. Woolsey's International Lave, 尽 188 ; The Wren, 6 Wallace's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 582.

## CHAPTER LXVII.

## PRIZE.

Article 893. Prizes must be brought in for adjudication.
894. Possession necessary to jurisdiction.
895. Adjudication.
896. Title not divested until judgment.
897. Previous liens.
898. Requisites of judgment condemning prize.
899. Capture by unlawful means.
900. Jurisdiction of remedy against wrongdoer, in case of illegal capture.
901. 'Trial of contraband persons.
902. L゙ niform procedure.

Prizes must be brought in for adjudication. 893. All property captured ${ }^{2}$ by a belligerent at sea
or afloat on navigable waters,' except public armed ships of the hostile nation, and their contents, and except in the case provided for by article 876,' must be brought into a port within the tertitory or the lines of military cecupation of the belligervent or of its ally; and submitted with the proofs sustaining the right of capture, to a prize eourt of the captor.'

This Article, in connection with othern, will extend the reyulroment of a judicial determination of the lawfulnese of a capture, to the caee of contraband in an unarmed hontile vemel, and even to public properyy of the hontile nation, on nuch a remeel.
'The qualification restricting the rule to eaptures nade wholly or partly by naval forces, it is proposed to omit. The necessity for adjudi. cation resta on the propriety of subraitting the tille of water.borne property to judicial inquiry. The kind of foree by which the capture is made lo not necensarily material.

In the case of the Caited States r. Bales of Cotion, (I WeotsortA's $\boldsymbol{U}$. S. Cire. CY. Rep., 236, 255,) it was held that vesels which are not armed. and are not commanded by government officers, but are used meroly ao tranaportn for troops, are not war veseels, and do not briug within the prize jurindiction a capture on land. Also that conjunet capturee on land of enemy's property by both army and navy are brought within the prize juriediction only by atatute.
'The propriety of proceeding in a prize court, in case of a recapture was entablished in the case of the schooner Adeline, 9 Oranel's $\boldsymbol{C} . \boldsymbol{S}$. Supr. C8. Rep., 244, 288 ; and Slory. J., in delivering the opinion of the court, intimates that such proceeding is necessary, but the question of necesily was not directly before the court.

The provisions of this Book will require it where a question of sal. vage artsen, not otherwise.
${ }^{3}$ In Brown e. United States, y C'manci's Rep., 139, pine timber, part of a ahip's eargo, which had been unladen and put into the water in a shallow creek, where at low tide the ende of the loge rested in the mud. was treated as property found on land.
${ }^{4}$ Mr. Sowand, in lis letter in the Trent case, recognizes an exception when it is impossible to bring in the prize, from circumstances beyood the control of the captor, and without his fault.
${ }^{-}$Pomession in a neutral port is enough, by the exioting law. Hudson e. Guesteer, 4 Cranch's U. S. Supr. C. Rep., sas. Bus the proris. tons proponed in Disision V., concerning Nkutrals, make this change proper.

- The courts of a neutral nation lave no jurisdiction of a capture by a belligerent, except in cace of a violation of lie neutrality The Divian Padorm, 4 Wheaton's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 52.


## Possession necessary to jurisdiction.

894. A prize court has jurisdiction only so long as the nation making the capture, or its ally, has possession of the prize, or its proceeds.

Hudson r. (iuesteer, 4 Crancli's U. S. Siupr. Ct. Rep., 293 ; The Invincible, 2 Gallison's U. S. Circ. C't. Rep., 29. Compare Malssonaire c. Keat. ing, 2 Id., 895 ; The Arabella, 2 Id., 368.

## Adjudication.

895. If, upon examination, the capture is adjudged lawful, the property may be disposed of according to the law of the captor's nation. If adjudged unlawful, either in respect to the cause or the mode of capture, or the authority of the captor, the property must be restored to its owner.

Three principal questions may be expected to arise under the provis. lons, subjecting only those things which are contraband of war, or entaged in illegal traffic, to capture at sea, and by public vessels alone:

1. Was the subject of capture lawful prize as contraband, or as engaged in interdicted traffic?
2. Was the captor impressed with the military character of a belligerent?
3. Were the place and mode of capture and detention such as to render them legaly

All of these seem to be proper questions for adjudication, under such a rule as here proposed.

By the settled rule in England and America, the owner of captured property cannot contest the capture on the ground of a want of authority on the part of the persons who made the capture. But this rule seems to be founded on the right of government to adopt a capture by a noncommissioned vessel ; and as this right may be renonaced, the legality of the eapture may properly be contested in this respect, as in others.

## Tille not dicested until judgment.

896. The title to property mentioned in article 893, is not affected by capture, but only by the judgment of a court of prize having jurisdiction under the provisions of this Chapter.

In Josofa S'guuda, (5) Wheutou'» $L^{\circ}$. S. Supr. C't. Rep., 338 ,) it was held thas where the capture is made by a regularly commissioned captor, he acquirew a title to the captured property, which can only be divested by recapture, or by the sentence of a competent prize court.

## Prerions liens.

897. Capture and condemnation as prize override all previously existing liens.

## The Bathle, 8 Wathace's U. S. Supr. Cr. Rep , t9y.

At againat captors, the ownernhip of property canmot be changed while it is in transit. The capture clothes the captors with all the righte of the owner which subainted at the commancement of the royagn : and any thing done thervafter, designed to Incumber the property, of to change its ownerwhip, in a nullity. The Sally Magee, 3 If., 451

## Requisites of judgment condemuing prize.

898. A judgment of a prize court sustaining the validity of a capture must contain or be accompanied by a statement of the grounds on which it is founded.

Treaty between France and Peru, March 9. 1831, Art. XXV... 8 De Clereq. 901.

The sentence of a prize court condemning a ressel is not conclusive an to any matter of fact which was the ground of condemnation, unless that matter of fact be clearly and certainly atated in the judgronent an a ground of condemnation. Hublom e. Heaning, 17 Coman. Beneh Rep., N. S. 791 : 11 Jurist, N. S., 293; 34 Lave Jour., C. P.. 117: 13 Weckly Rep., 431 : 12 Lame Times. V. S. 305; Christie e. Secretau, 8 Term Rep., 192 ; Bolton e. Gladstone, 5 Kiast's Rep, 155; 1 Smith, 322 : 2 Taunton's Rep., 85. But such sentence is not evidence of what may be gathered from it by way of inference. Fisher c. Ogle, 1 Campbell'* Rep., 418 : Dalglelsh r. Hodg. son, 5 Noure d Payne's Rep. $40 \%$.

## Capture by unlanful means.

899. A capture made by means involving a violation of neutrality or the breach of any nrovision of this Book, is an unlawful capture.

An illegal outfit, or augmentation of force, in a neutral country, not only involves liability for permonal penalties, but also infects captures subsequently made duriug the same crulse, with the character of torts. and justifies and requirea a restitution to the parties who have been injured by such misconduct. The Santissima Trinidad, : Whecton's $C^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}$ Supr. C\&. Rep., 283: The Grace Para, 7 Id., 471.

Jurisdiction of remedy against wrongdoer. in case of illegal capture.
900. After a capture has been duly adjadged illegal, any court of otherwise competent jurisdiction in
any nation a party to this Code, may give a remedy against the wrongdoer.

The courts of a country have no jurisdiction to redress torts committed on the high seas, against the property of its citizens by a cruiser of a friendly power, unless the cruiser was fitted out in violation of its neutral. ity laws. The injured neutral must resort to the courts of the captor for redress. L'Iuvincible, 1 Wheaton's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 238; Moxon r. The Fanny, 2 Peters' Adm. Rep., 309.

But after the foreign prize court has adjudged the capture illegal, the neutral court may decree compensation in damages. McGrath $r$. The Candelero, Bec's Adm. Rep., 60.

Trial of contraband persons.
901. In the case of persons captured as contraband, proceedings may be taken by them or by the captors to have the legality of the capture judicially determined.

Uniform procedure.
902. The nations uniting in this Code, shall take measures to adopt uniform rules for procedure in cases of prize.

## CHAPTER LXIX.

EFFECT OF A STATE OF WAR ON OBLIGATIONS OF NATIONS AND THEIR MEMBERS.

Abticle 903. Existing obligations not in general affected.
904. Public debt not confiscable.
905. Treaties unaffected by war.
906. Effect of war on executory contracts.
907. Removal of interdiction.
908. Anticipation of war.
909. Extension of time.
910. Interest, damages, \&c., for delay.

Existing obligations not in general affected.
903. Neither a state of war, nor a treaty of peace, annuls existing rights or obligations, except so far as their existence is incompatible with it, or as is otherwise provided in this Book.

## Public deht not confiscable

904. War does not exonerate a belligerent from the obligation to pay its public debt, by whomsoceser held, ${ }^{\text {. }}$ nor does it suspend the payment of principal or in. terest, as it falls due.
' Fare, Nowsean Droit Intern., r \%. po 818. Thin principle, nayo Pra. dier Findirl, (Id., note, ) is now adopted by modern governmente. Sie in stances of the honorable application of this principle in Tirise. laser of Nations, pt. 11., pp, 110-114. By the treaty between the I'nited states and Hayti, Nov. S. 1864, Art. IV., 18 U. S. Seat, at I.. ill, it is provided. that nether the moncy, debts, shares in the public funds or in hanke. or any other property of ether party, shall ever in the event of war or na tional differences, be sequestered or confiscated.

And the treaty between France and Peru. March 9. 1861, * De Clereq. 197. provides, that neither debts due by individuale nor public stocks, not shares in companies, \&c., can be reized, sequestered or confiscated in the prejudice of the respective citizens and to the bencfit of the country where they may be.

## Treaties unatfected by war.

905. War does not affect the compacts of a nation, except when so provided in such compacts ; and, except also that executory stipulations in a special compact between belligerents which by their nature are applicable only in time of peace, are suspended during the war.

Hatheck, (Intern. Latie de Latira of Wirr. 3i1.) says: "a declaration of war does not ipso facto extinguish treaties bet ween belligerent states. Treaties of friendship and alliance are necessarily annulled by a war between the contracting parties, except in respect to wuch stipulations as are made expressly with a view to rupture, such as limitatione of the general rights of war. \&c. So, of the treatien of commerce and nariga. tion: they are generally cither suspended or entirely extinguished by a war between the parties to such treaties. All stipulations with respect to the conduct of war, or with respect to the effect of hostilities upon the rights and property of citizens and subjects of the parties, are not im . paired by supervening hostilities-this being the very contingeney in. tended to be provided for,-but continue in full foree until matually agreed to be rescinded. There are many stipulations of treaties. which although perpetual in their character, are suspended by a declaration of war, and can only be carried into effect, on the return of peacre."

Kent, (Commenturies, r. I., p. 420, ) says: "As a general rule, the ob ligations of treaties are dissipated by hostilities. Bus. If a treaty con-
tain any stipulations which contemplate a state of future war, and make provision for such an exigency, they preserve their force and obligation when the rupture takes place. All those duties of which the exercise is not necessarily suspended by the war, subsist in their full force."

As to the restoration of treaty obligations suspended by the war, see Bluntachli, Droit Intern. Corlifie, 5 : 218 .

Sce also society for the Propagation of the Gospel r. New Haven, 8 Wheaton's U.S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 464; the debate in the House of Commons, on the Declaration of Paris, of 1856 ; Speeches of Sir George Lewis and Mr. Bright, of March 11 and 17, 1862 ; and of the Earl of Derby, of Feb. T, 1862 : Dispatch of Mr. Marcy to Mr. Mason, of Dec. 8, 1856 ; Phil. limore's International Iave, v. II.. App. 21: Dane's Wheaton, Elem. of Intern. Lalic, note 143, p. 352.

## Effect of war on expcutory contracts.

906. All executory contracts which directly subserve the purposes of war, and to which enemies, active or passive, are parties; and all executory contracts between any parties the execution of which would by reason of war involve a violation of any provision of this Book, are annulled, by the existence of war; saring the right of just compensation for any performance already had. The validity of other contracts is not affected by the existence of war.

## But this article does not apply to international com-

 pacts.In a recent case in the court of appeals of Virginia, (Manhattan Life Ins. ('o. r. Warwick, Insurance Lave Journal, vol. I., pp. 115, 126,) the distinction is stated thus: Where the contract is made before the war, but not executed by either party, and the carrying it into execution would involve a violation of the duties of the parties respectively to their country, in the new relation which the war has created; in that case its execution not having been entered upon, and it being uncertain how long the war may last and prevent the execution of the contract, it may be dissolved; and this not to the prejudice of the parties, or either of them. but for their presumed convenience and benefit to be absolved from the obligation of a contract, which, in the changed relations of their countries, cannot be carried into execution. On the other hand, if the contract is partly executed, and rights under it have vested, and it cannot be dissolved without the loss or forfeiture of one of the parties, and it cannot be carried into execution consistently with the duty of the parties to their countries respectively, while the war lasts; in such case it should not be dissolvea, but only suspended. But if it can be carried into
execution notwithatanding the war, without conflicting with the obligation of allegiances of elther party, it will be netiber diseolved nor suspended.

## Removal of interdiction.

907. A contract, which is annulled by the last article, is not restored to validity by the return of peace.

Esaponito e. Bowden, 4 Blllie of Bhachiourn's Rop., 693.
Anticipation of war.
908. The anticipation, however well founded, of a war not declared or commenced, does not affect exist. ing obligations.

Pole e. Cetovich, 9 Cimmon Bench Rep., N. S., 430.

## Extension of time.

909. The time for performance of any act which is forbidden or prevented by war, in respect of which act time is of the essence of the obligation, except in the case of obligations annulled by article 906, is suspended until a reasonable period for performance after the interdiction or impediment is removed.

The authoritien seem to agree that in case of statutory or preseriptive limitations, the period of war is to be deducted from the time limited. In respect to conrentional limitations, such an those usual in insurance policies, sc., there in a difference of opinion whether, 1 . The same rule should apply; or whether, 2. The intervention of war wholly annula the consentional timitation; or whether, 8. The party should only be allowed a "reasonable time" after the removal of the disability. Simmes $p$. City Fire Ins. Co., 6 Matehf., 45s. Apperson r. Bynum. E Codiedl', (Tennesser) Rep.. 841.

When presentment of negotiable paper cannot be made on account of the disturbed condition of the country, by elvil or foreign war, present ment will beexcused during the continuance of the obstacles, and for a reasonable time thereafter. Polk e. Spinks. 5 /d., 431. In such cake, the notice of protent to a party in the hoxtile country must be sent when the interruption of intercourse ceases. Handen e. Brown, 59 Bardour's (Nes York) Rep., 425 : citing Eideronds on Bills, $45 \%$ : Hopkirk e. Page, 2 Braek. enbrought's C. S. Cire. Ct. Rep., 20, 34.

Interest, damages, \&c., for delay.
910. No interest, damage or penalty is incurred, by reason of the non performance of an obligation while its performance was rendered unlawful by war.

The rule, that interest is not recoverable on debts between alien enemies, for the time of the war, is only applied where the money was to be paid to an enemy directly. When the creditor, or an agent appointed to receive the debt, resides in the same jurisdiction as the debtor, interest continues. Ward e. Smith. T Wallace's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 453. This rule was held not to apply to a civil war, in Shertridge $c$. Macon, 1 Aboot': Ünited Staten Rep., 58 ; S. C., 1 American Lave Reviere, 95.

## C H A P TER L X X .

## EFFECT OF A STATE OF WAR UPON INTERCOURSE.

Anticie: 911. Diplomatic intercourse.
912. Rights of public agents of neutral nation accredited to belligerent nation.
913. Interdiction of entrance of foreigners.
914. Interdiction of communication.
915. Mail service.
916. Foreigners' rights of residence and vocation.

91\%. Safe conducts.
918. Effect of safe conducts.
919. Passports.
920. Interdiction of interior traffic.
921. Intercourse across lines of military occu pation.
922. Private ships surprised by war.
923. Voyages commenced.
924. Intercourse of active enemies.
925. Intercourse subserving the purpose of war.
926. Lawful intercourse.
927. Commencement and termination of illegality.
928. Transfer of ships during war.
929. Penalty of illegal traffic and intercourse.

Diplomatic intercourse.
911. During war, or at any time after the declaration thereof, a belligerent may expel any or all public agents appointed or accredited to it by the enemy. Diplomatic relations shall not entirely cease, but thereupon each belligerent shall designate the representative of some friendly nation, party to this Code, through
whom it may maintain commanication with the other belligerent while the ordinary diplomatic relations are interrupted.'

## Sne Article 93.

The law aloould aushorizo expulaton, mot mervly the deprivation of ottleial power.

For an account of the humane labora of Mr. Wianbwen on belialt of the Gierman population of Parin, ase fiurrign Relations of the linited States, $18: 1, \mathrm{p} .966$.
'The in rokligg of such friendly officew, which lian already bern practiced with the mowt advantageous reaulta, now requirea the coneent of the belligerent to which the reprementatives of friendly powern undeg. taking nuch offices aro accredited. Sew Fivreign Relutions of the linited - Vates, 1870, p. 119, ef eeg. The adoption of the above Aricle will give neutral reprewentatives a right thus to intervene. The prosent rule of the United States government requires the croment of both the astions concerned. Forrign Relations of the linited States, 15:1, p. 543.

## Rights of public agents of neutral nation accredited to belligerent nation.

912. Public agents appointed or accredited by a neutral nation to a belligerent, have a right, notwithstanding the war, to go to and remain at their posts;' to send or receive their official dispatches under the official seal of themselves or their governments;' and to pass through the military lines of the hostile nation, together with their families, official and personal, when necessary for the purpose of reaching or removing from their respective posts.
${ }^{1}$ Letter of Mr. Fish. Forcign Rehitions of the Unised Nates, 1851. p. 401.
'In the Franco-Prussian war, durting the slege of Paris, the official dispatchen between the government of the United Staten and their Iega. tion In Parin, were transmitted to and fro, acrows the lines, by tho bellig erents, subject, however, to delay imposed by the military forcex Pri. vate correnpondence and newspapers were almo allowed transmisnion intes Paria in the official dispatch bag, the former being examined to exclude everything relating to the war, and newwapers beling paceorl on a plodge that they should only be read by the American minister. burrign Aclas. tions of the Únited Sates, 1871. pp. 283-25\%.

The right of the neutral government to commusicate with ite repre. sentative in the leaieged city, was not fully concoloul by fount linewared.
(Id., pp. 291, 363.) although he was understood by the government of the United States to have conceded it. (Id., p. 3ir.) But his refusal to recog. nize it was based partly on the plea that a fortified capital was unprecedented: (Id., p. 372,) and partly upon the plea that the French Republic had not been recognized by the German powers. Id., p. 365.

Perhaps the same right of communication with the hostile nation should be secured to those public agents, who under the last Artlcle have undertaken to use thelr friendly offices in behalf its members.
${ }^{3}$ See Letters of Count Bismarck, Foreign Rehutions of the United Netes, $1871, ~ p p .293,3: 3$; Letter of Mr. Fish to Mr. Bancroft, Id., p. $37 \%$.

## Interdiction of entrance of foreigners.

913. A belligerent may by interdiction render unlawful the entrance of passive enemies into its territory ; and, may close any or all of its military ports, in the manner and to the extent provided in Chapter LXVII., entitled Blockade.
${ }^{1}$ The entrance of active enemies is of course a lawful hostility.

## Interdiction of communication.

914. A belligerent may, in case of military necessity, suspend, wholly or in part, railway and telegrapinic communication across its territorial boundaries.

## Mail service.

915. The mail service shall not be affected by war between the corresponding nations, until after one nation has received from the other a notification of its restriction or suspension of postal communication. ${ }^{1}$ The mail service between a belligerent and a neutral nation shall not be affected by war.
[^92]The pootal convention between the U'nited states ami

$$
\text { (ireat Britain, Dec. 15. 184\%, Arr. XX., } 9 \text { U', S. Stat, of Le. (Tr., ) } 146 .
$$

Mexico. Dec. 11, 1801, "0 1X. 12 /4. 1\%05.
each provide that tho mall ecrviee shall continue untll ols weeke after a notifeation shall have been made of the dimeotitinuance of postal eome munications.

And by the postal convention betwren the U'nited states adol
Bremen. Mareh 28, 1864,16 U. SN. Satt, at Lo. ( Tr.o) 189
Hamburg. " " 16 dA ., (Tr..) 152.
It is provided that, whenever in consexpuence of war, of thereaterned war. the correwpondence between the two nations cantoot be conveyed by steamerw of elther nation, it may be conveyed by nteatserm under neutral fagn, nubject to all the regulations contained in the pontal conveations between the two nations.

Foreigners' rights of residence and vocation.
916. Members of a belligerent nation and neutrals, who are within the territorial jurisdiction of the hostile nation at the declaration or commencement of war, may remain and continue their vocation therein, subject to the provisions of Book First of this Code,' so long as they continue wholly passive, and commit no offense against the provisions of this Code, or the laws of theplace. But in case of a breach of such condition, they may be punished or sent out of the territory of the nation, or be required to remove to any designated place within it.'

[^93]mitting them to enter his territories, he tacitly promised them protec. tion, and security for their return.

The Article here proposed is drawn from the usual provisions in modern treaties, to the effect that at the time of war or interruption of friendly intercourse between the two nations. the members of either na. tion residing or established in trade or other employment within the territory of the other, who choose to remain may do so, and carry on their business without interruption or demands, other than those imposed on native subjects, so long as they behave peaceably and observe the laws.

Treaty between the United States and
Nicaragua, June 21,1867, Art. XI., 15U.S.Stat.at L.(Tr.,)59.

Argentine (on-l
federation, .July 2\%. 1853, ". XII., $10 \mathrm{Id} .,\left(7 \mathrm{Tr}_{\text {., }}\right.$ ) 237.
Costa Rica, July 10, 1852, " XI., 10 Id.,(Tr., ) 18.
Peru, July 26, 1851, " XXXII., 10 Id.,( Tr., $^{\text {) }} 28$.
San Salvador, Jan. 2. 1850, " XXVil.. $10 \mathrm{Id} .,\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.$, ) $\mathrm{i}_{2}$.
The Two Sicilies, Oct. 1, 18.55, " I., $11 \mathrm{Id} .,\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{r}, \text {, }} 639\right.$.
Treaty between Great Britain and
Colombia, Feb. 16, 1866, Accounts and Papers, 1867, vol. 74.
Salvador, Oct. 24, 1862, Id., 1863, vol. 75.
Nicaragua, Feb. $11,1860, I d ., 1860$, vol. 68.
Treaty between France and Peru, March 9, 1861, 8 De Clercq, 193.
But if the conduct of the members of a belligerent nation within the territory of the other belligerent should render them justly suspected, they may be required to leave the country within a certain period, with their families, effects and property, under a safe conduct to be furnished, or to remove forthwith to such places in the interior as may be designated.

Treaty between the United States and Peru, July 26, 1851, Art. XXXII., 10 U.S. Stat. at $L .,\left(T r_{\text {., }}\right) 28$. And see the treaty with (ireat Britain, Nov. 19, 1794, Art. XXVI., 8 Id., 110. See also treaty between France and Peru, March 9, 1861, 8 De C'lercq, 193.

By several of the above mentioned treaties it is also provided that the citizens of either nation residing within the territory of the other at the commencement of war between the two nations, shall be allowed, within a certain time. (from six to twelve months,) to dispose of their property, or to transport it wherever they please, and under a safe conduct from the government to depart, with their money and effects, from the country.

And a similar provision was contained in the treaty of Utrecht, between Gireat Britain and Spain, Art. VI.; and in the treaty between Gireat l3ritain and Russia, of 1 \%66. Art. XII., and of 1797, Art. XII.; also in the treaty between the Conited states and

[^94]The right of foreignerm to resmove theip propery from the territory of a nation is provided for by Article gass.

Hy the treaty between the U'nlted states and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ginatermala. Mar 8,1819. ~ XXV, } 10 \mathrm{Jd} .(\mathrm{Tr},) 1
\end{aligned}
$$

the fight of the memisere of either nation rowiding within the territory of the other, at the commencement of the war betwern the suatbons, to com tinum their romblencer and rocaton, is secured onty to prormone of othes oe rupation than that of mereluene.

If it be thought dexirable to reaerve tor a belligerent tho pighte to
 cient: Member of one nation. being withitn the territory at amother, who do not, before the expiration of alx monshos after the commenewiment of declaration of war between the iwo, remove therefrom or berome rat urallaed thereis, and members of once of reveral levlligervos sathone, who come within the territory of the lumetie nation to remide. with the knowl edge of the exintence of war between wuch nationm, zuay be trmated thepe after and durisig the war an enomien, active of passive. an the came may be, or te required tortiwith to luave the country. lirosios, de Jure Belli are Pacis, III., c. 2, 8\%.

In the Franeo Prussian war, the Frencls government firm, in effees, forbld Germans capable of military mervice frum learing firance wifious apecial leave, and subsequently conmanded all (iermann to leave

## Safe conducts.

917. A belligerent must give safe conducts to the agents of international interconrse of nontral powers. who cannot conveniently reach their destination with. ont passing throngh its territory or military lines, and to persons sent out of its territory under artiole 911 or 916.

Effect of safe-conducts.
918. A safe-conduct, unless otherwise expressed, is subject to the following rules:
919. If giving license to go to a place license to return is implied, in case that be a part of the purpose for which it was granted ;
920. If giving license to leave a place, protection, dur-
ing the journey to cross the boundaries of the territory or military occupation, is implied ;
921. If granted to a particular person it is not transferable, and does not include his family, but includes necessary attendants and equipage, according to rank or position ;
922. If granted to a class, such as clergy or military persons, it includes all persons of the class of whatever degree, such as bishops, or commanders;
923. It extends to all places on land or at sea within the territorial jurisdiction, or the range of hostilities of the belligerent granting it ; and,
924. It is not terminated by the death or removal of the person by whom it was granted.

These rules are suggested by Grotius, as quoted by Wildman, Intern. Lave, vol. 2, p. 29.

## Passports.

919. A belligerent may require passports from the members of other nations, whether belligerent or neutral, voluntarily seeking to enter or leave its territory or military lines.

## Interdiction of interior traffic.

920. A belligerent may, within its territorial limits, or within the district actually occupied by its armies, prohibit or otherwise restrict the exportation or transit of, or traffic in, anything needed for its own military purposes, or intended to promote the purposes of the enemy.

Other Articles declare the inviolability of private property; but this Article secures the control necussary for military purposes; so that all property may be taken and held on making compensation.

Ships of a neutral may as freely as in peace traffic to and fro between any unblockaded place in a belligerent's territory, and any other such place, or any place in neutral territory. Goods on board such ships, are free from capture, whatever may be their ownership, unless contraband, or the property of the hostile nation.

Treaty between France and Peru, March, 9, 1861, Art., XX., 8 De Ciereq. 200.

## Intercourse across lines of military occupation.

921. All civil intercounse whatever, between the districts actually occupied by opposing belligerent armies is unlawful.' whether forbidden by proclama. tion or not ;' except such as is expressly authorized by this Code, or by agreement of the belligerent nations, or by the military authority having command of the frontier. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ But if the permission be given by one belligerent only, the intercourse is lawful as to him. but not as to the other.

Bluntachli, Druit Intern. Codifle \& 6i4; Lieber's Inatruetions; so.
 e. Mervill, 5 Cidduell, (Ternensec.) Mrp., 622 ; Bnnk of Tennersere e. W'uod mon, 5 Id., 176.

The exception of contracta for ransom which might be lapmortans, if the prohibition of intercounie were extended begond the lines of milltary occupation need not be preserved, if the principles of the following Arsi. clen are adopted.
'A declaration of hostlities carries with it an interdiction of all coms. mercial Intercourse with the enemy, on the part of the subjecte of the belligerent nation, without express license. Sanerence's Wheaton, Eilem.
 -. Buber, 2 Common Beneh Rep. N. S., 563 ; Fanponito p. Bowden. 7 Ellio d Blaedourn's Rep., i63: Philips e. Ilatcho 1 Ihillon's U. S. Cire. C8. Rep., 191.

Heffer, (敩 122, 123.) nuggenth, that a decharation of war dors bot of Itself prohibit conmercial Intercourse, but that such intercourse tnay go on, unless mpecially prohititeed, and mo far an not mo prolifitied: which meens to be an oplaton meher than a statement of law. For preendent and practice, and the coplalous of jurlats are the other way. Inanar's $W$ healon, note 158, p. 400 .
${ }^{-}$See for Instance Articlea 022 and 023.

- Linder the existing rule, forbidding all trading whith enemies, the lieenee must be an exprexs license granted or ratified by the highest authorlty in the government. The Hope. 1 Dodeon's Rep., 296. It must come ultimately from sovereign authority. Halleck. Intern. Iave and
 tern. Lave, II., 245-966: 1 Kent'a Commenturirs, 163: 1 Duer on Inswr ance, 594-619: Hisutefcuille, tom. 1., p. 19; Woodory's Intern lave. \$14\%. Phillimore's Intern. Lane, III., 249, 613.
${ }^{3}$ A license to trade with the enemy must be lesued by competent au thority without material misropresentation, whether Intentional or bot. on the part of the recelver, and must be uned In groat faith, strictly ac

r. Cormac, 4 Thunton's Rep., 483, n. ; Freise $\boldsymbol{v}$. Thompson, 1 It., 121 ; Van Dyck r. Whitmore, 1 tiast Rep., 475.

By the rules hitherto in force, it is cause of capture for a private ship, of one belligerent to sail under a special license or safeconduct of the other, even where the voyage was to a neutral port, and there was no direct communication with the enemy in the whole course of the voyage. Thus in the war bet ween (ireat Britain and the United States in 1812, the former power being also with its allies, at war in Spain and Portugal, sold licenses to American vessels, to trade between America and Spain or Portugal, in order to favor the forwarding thither of supplies for the allied armies. But American vessels trading under such licenses were held lawful prize by American cruisers. The Julia, 8 Cranch's U. S. Supr. Ct . Rep.. p. 181: and cases following, pp. 203, 444. So too, the illegality of sailing under an enemy's license is held proper cause for the forfeiture of a neutral vessel. 'The Alliance, Blatchford's Prize Cases, (U. S. Dist. ('t..) p. 262.

It is competent for the government to permit commercial intercourse, in so far at least, that transactions had pursuant to such permission are held valid in the courts of such government. Woods $c$. Wilder, 43 Neuc Sork Rep., 164.

In the Crimean war, the British government resolved to issue no li censes, but to allow trade to be carried on with the enemy, even by British subjects, provided it was carried on in nentral ships. "The example," says Lushington's Naval Prize Lav, Intro., p. xi.," will in all probability be followed in future."

In the war of France and Great Britain against China, the French govermment allowed French and English subjects to continue their commercial intcrcourse with the Chinese, even on Chinese soil, and reciprocally the Chinese to continue such intercourse with French and English, even on French or English soil. 8 De Clercq, 353.

It lase been clamed in England, and as strongly denied in the United States, that a country which, during peace, confines the trade of its colonies to its own subjects, cannot, during war, open such trade to a neutral. See Mr. Justice Doer's essay on this subject, 1 Duer on Insurance, 698T2.5. For the English rule, see 'The Emmanuel, 1 Robinson's Adm. Rep., 296 : The Providentia. 2 Id., 142 ; The Ebenezer, 6 Id., 250 ; The Thomyris, Filir., 1\%. In support of the American rule, see Mr. Monnoe's letter to Lond Melibaye, Sept. 23, 1805: Mr. Madison's letter to Messrs. Monhof and Pincksey, May 17, 1806; and the memorials of the merchants of Bahimore. New York, Boston and Salem, 5 American State Papers, 330-355, 365-379; : Parsons on C'ontracts, 398.

The liability of neutral ships to detention, for carrying on the coast ing trade of the cnemy, " may be taken to have been silently repealed," says Luskington, writing of the English rule, "by the advance of free trade." See also the provisions of Book Finst in respect to equality of commercial privileges.

## Private ships surprised by var.

922. Subject to articles 808 and 920 , concerning contraband and the prohibition of exports, private ships thearing the national character of a trelligerent, being, at the commencement of hostilities or the declaration of war, in ports where they would be subject to detention or contiseation under articles 921 and 929 , or lawfully coming there afterwards, are free from capture and detention, and may discharge cargo; and may take in any cargo already engaged, and depart within thirty days afer the declaration of war or the commencement of hostilities. In case of a ship coming into port after such time, the intervening period is not to be computed.

This meeman reasonable extension of the rule contended for by Vattel. (Droit des Gens. I. III., c. A. 8 63,) and approved by Tirise. (late of Na-
 J.) Modern usagex manction the principle that the belligerent can neither detain the persons nor the property of thone subjectn of the eneruy who are within his dominions at the time of the declaration, because they came into them upon the public faith. The above Article is of course so atated an to constitute an exception to the interdiction of intercoure with ports within the military ocenpation. Other ports are. by Article 891, open during war.
${ }^{1}$ See Articles 913 and 915.

- In the Franco-Prussian war, 1870-1, the German notification al. lowed aix weeks; the French, thirty dayn.

On the commencement of the Crimean war, each belligerent allowed the enemy's merchant vensels within its ports, de., a reasonable time to load and depart ; (six weeks were allowed by Great Britain.) and thoon which had sailed bound to such ports were allowed to enter, discharge and depart. "This," anyn Trises, (Latie of Nictions, Part II., p. 115.) " marks an epoch in the practice of nations in the excercise of belligerent righte at the immediate outbreak of war." He suggents, however, that the proce. dent, aince it arose in the case of a war commenced for the protoction of ath ally, does not apply to cases of denial of redruen for injurien receired. and In which the property of members of one cation is liable to bo confincated for indemnity. Later precodente do not sustain this qualb. fication.

Vouages commenced.
923. Subject to articles 883 and 920 , concerning contraband and the prohibition of exports, private $17 *$
ships bearing the national character of a belligerent, bound to a port of a hostile nation, in which they would be subject to contiscation or detention under articles 921 and 929 , and leaving the last port before notice of the declaration of war or commencement of hostilities, or driven to the hostile port at any time in distress, may freely enter such port, and enjoy the same immunity as is provided in the last article.

## Intercourse of active enemies.

924. Active enemies cannot make contracts or engage in commerce or traffic with enemies, either active or passive, or with the hostile govermment, except as expressly sanctioned by this Code, ' or by both of their respective governments.
${ }^{1}$ See Chapters LX. and LXIII., and Part IX.
The doct rine, that a declaration or recognition of war effects an absolute interruption and interdiction of all commercial intercourse and dealings between the subjects of the two countries, does not apply to " contracts of necessity, founded on a state of war, and engendered by its violence: " $e . g$., ransom bills, and bills of exchange drawn by a prisoner in the enemy's country for his own subsistence. Halleck, Intern. Laso and Laks of War, p. 359, 客 11.

Intercourse subserving the purpose of the war.
925. Enemies. whether active or passive, cannot make contracts or engage in commeree or traffic with each other. or with each other's government, which may directly subserve the purposes of war.

The rule prescribed by this Article is suggested as the principal restriction which war onght to impose upon neutrals and non-combatants. See note to the next Article.

Lauful intercourse.
926. Subject to the provisions of this Book, passive enemies may make any contracts, and engage in any commerce or traffic with any persons or nations, except their active enemies, and the hostile nation, which will not directly subserve the purposes of war.

The existing rules may be briefly indicated as follows :
The existence of war renders unlawfulall commercial intercourse or
correapondence of momberw and domiciled reaidents of one country with those of the other.

Trading by one enemy with the other autjects the property theonfiscation or to captury and condemination.

Partneralijps between enemies apr dissolved.
So valid contract, exprese or limplifd, can arise from any transaction between enemien. Fisecutory contracte which cannot be jerformed with. out commereial Intercourse with the enemy are dispolved. I Wallace's U. \& Supr. Ct. Brp., 5iss, and authorities there eited.

The rule forbiddiag trade between enensies has been applied to the full extent of the old principle, (now generally disavowed,) that, war makes all individual subjecte of the nations concerond, the individual en. emies of each other; and all intercourne between them, therefore, an lese by concent of the novervign, illegal, except contact in actual combet The object. policy and apirit of the rule, nayn Chief Justice Marsmath. (In the case of The Rapid, 8 Cranch'a C. S. Supr. CR. Bep., 162.) " is to cat of all communication or actual locomotive intercourne between individ. uale of the belligerent States. Nugotiation or contract han, therefore. no neceseary connection with the offense. Intercourse inconsistent with actual hoatility. is the offence againat which the operation of the rule is directed." In this case, an American citizen during peace bought English goods in Ringland, and deposited them on Indian Island, a mmall island belonging to Fingland, near the boundary between Nora Scotia and the United Statea. On the breaking out of war between Great Britain and the United Stater, he gent a fishing vossel to bring the gmods a way. This was held unlawful.

An Fingliah case, The Madonua della Gracia. ( + Robineon's Rep., 195.) has aseerted a distinction in the case of property not bought in the way of trade: but as is pointed out by Mr. Ciantle. (Lair of Commerce in time of War. p. 2t.) if we come to qualify this doctrine by the principle laid down by Mr. Justice Willess, in Fisponito e. Bowden, (9 Fillis at Bhockburn's Rep., 788,) that mere payment of export and custom house dues, is a sufficient dealing with the enemy to render the contract illegal: the English rule of law cannot be said to be more lenient, or to differ much from that of the American,-that is to say, all intercourse with the enemy, inconsistent with actual hostility,-is illegal. Srony. J., in lay.
 Rep., 194.) saye, that every aid by personal communication or by other in. tercourse, which shall take off the pressure of the war, or fowter the re sources or inerease the comforts of the public enemy is strictly inhibited All intermurse which humanity or neceasity doen not require. is prohlb. ited. Chancellor Kext, in Griswold p. Waddington, is Jabsaun's (Nem York) Rep.. 438, reviewed the continental, the Finglish and the American anthorities, and concluded that the rule of interdiction implied in a state of war " reachen to all interchange, or tranafer, or remoral of property. to all negotiation of contracts, to all cotamunication, to all lonomotire inter.
course, to a state of utter occlusion, to any intercourse but one of open hostility, to any mecting but in actual combat."

A leading case in Fongland is that of The Hoop, (1 Robinacri* Rep , 196, in which Sir Wim. Scott, reviewed the authoritien, and concluded that intercourse could not subsist on my other footing than that of the direct permission of the State. "There is no such thing." suid Sir Jons Nichotas, (the King's Advocate, in Potts r. Bell, 8 Durnford \& East'\& Rep., 548, 5.54 , " as a war for arms, and a peace for commerce."

The rale that war dissolves commercial partnerships was even applied to the case of a firm, $t$ wo members of which resided in the North, and one in the South, at the breaking out of the civil war in the United states, and the court refused to consider the allegiance or disposition of the latter. Wood r. Wilder. 43 Noir York Rep., 164.

The prohibition extends to the mere carrying of messengers and dis. patches. 'The Tulip, 3 Washington's U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep., 181.

Dana thus reviews the subject: During the Crimean war, the rule of nom-intercourse with the encmy was greatly relaxed by the bellig erents: but it was done by orders and proclamations in advance, profess edly relaxing a rule which otherwise the courts of prize would have theen obliged to apply. The Order in Council, of 15 th April, 1854, permitted British subjects to trade frecly at Russian ports not blockaded, in neutral vessels, and in articles not contraband, but not in British vessels. (Iondon Giaette, April 18, 18.54.) The French orders were to the same effect. The Russian Declaration of 19th April, permitted French and Eng. lish goods, the property of French-or English citizens, to be imported into Russia in neutral vessels. (London Gazette, May 2, 1854.) The French and Russian governments allowed private communications, not contraband in their nature, to be exchanged between their subjects by telegraph. (Courier des Etats Unix, 23d July, 1855.) The subject is not touched by the Declaration of Paris of 18.56 . The Orders in Council must therefore be considered as a special relaxation, adopted from reasons of policy applicable to that war, and as to which each nation must judge for itself as to any future war. In the delates in Parliament, and in speeches made by public men in the commercial cities, as well as in the memorials of merchants, and in contributions to the press, during and soon after the Crimean war, there was a strong disposition evinced to have all trade left free, and to confine the operations of wars to government property and permus or vessels in public belligerent employment. Dana's Wheaton, Elements of Intern. Lare, note 158, p. 400.

See the Finglishauthorities on National Character, as related to the question of belligerent rights, collected in 2 Wildman's International Lau, p. 36-117 ; Cinatle's Lanr of Commorce in 'Time of War, pp. 27-39; and the same subject with the American authorities in Lancrence's Wheaton,


Commencement and termination of illegalily. 927. Thr commencement, by an enemy or neutral,
of a royage to, or other effort at intercourse with, a bel. ligerent place, with knowledge of the war, and in the execution of a purpose to carry on unlaw ful traflice or intercourse, is unlawful ; but a voyage or other effort at intereonrse. commenced without surl/ knowledge or purpose, is not rendered unlawful by the subsequent commencement of hostilities,' unless thereafter persisted in. And, when the military occupation or interdiction, which renders traflic with a place illegal, ceases, the right to capture property engaged in such traflic ceases at the same time.'
ig Wildman's International Laur, p. 23.
is. Robinson: Rep., 251.
Tranṣfer of ships during voar.
928. An aciual and unconditional transfer of a private ship of one belligerent to another, or a nentral, if in accordance with article 275 , is valid and eflecotual to change the mational character.

The rule extablished by the courte is, that a transfer of property to a neutral by an enemy, in time of war, or in aid of a contemplated war, in Illegal, as in violation and fraud of vested belligerent rights. The Ber non. 1 Ch. Robinomis Rep., 102 ; The Noydt Gedacht. 2 Id., 13\%, wote : The Minerva, $6 \mathrm{Id} ., 396,400$, note: The Rosalie and Betty, 2 Id., 343: The Mersey, Blatchford's Prize Cases, ( $\mathcal{E}, \mathrm{S}$. Disf. (4.,) 15. 157: The Georgia. ? Wallace's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 32.

The principal rules as to the national character of property, during war, as laid down by the jndicial authorities, are as follows:

1. Ships. A ship, freely navigating solely under the thag or pass of any other nation than that of its owner, bears the national character of such other nation. 1 Kent'x Comenentaries, s5. A foreign thag tuay be hoisted under the regulations of a particalar trade. Arnold e. Delcoll. Bee's Adm. (U. S., Rep. 5

The prexumption of national character arising from these emblems of nationality may be rebutted by the presence of other instruments found in the posaession of the captain. Gionget ef Merger. III., p. 2te. \$ 45.

As to national character of shipping, see Laverence's Whatoon. Blem of Intern. Lave, p. 880.822 : Demin Whenten, 8340 : The Julia, 8 Croneh's U. S. Supr. Ct. Rep., 181: The Hiram, s Id., 404 : The Aurom, s Id. 903.
2. Eisabliahments of trude. The ownership of, of interest in. a nom
mercial establishment，nud its branches，bears the national character of the nation within whose limits the chief establishment is situated．Lave
 schaft， 4 Whecton＇s U．S．supr．（＇t．Rep．， 105.

The British and American courts make a further exception in the case of anowner domiciled in a hosthe conntry，and owning a share in a lonse of trade extablished in a neutral country，（Larrence：Wheateri．Elem．of Intern．Lave，p．5in， 20 ；）an exception which shows＂strong marks of partiality towards the interests of captors．＂

3．Products of the soil．The mational character of the products of the soil of the territory of a nation is that of the territory，so long as they belong to the owner of the soil．Laverenee＇s Whenton，Elem．of Intern． Lair，p．5i6，ㄴ 21．

## Penalty of illegal traffic and intercourse．

929．Property whic！is made the subject or vehicle of traffic that is illegal，under the provisions of this Book，is liable to capture and ronfiscation；and per－ sons engaged in intercourse that is illegal，under the provisions of this Book，are liable to capture and de－ tention．in the manner provided in this Book．

Sce Chapters LXIII and LXVI．
The rules for ascertaining the destination of a voyage，（as distin－ guished，however，from the destined use of a ship or cargo，are prescribed by Articles 855－858．

## CHAPTER LXXI．

## FFFECT OF A STATE OF WAR UPON THE ADMINISTRATION OF JU゙S＇IICE．

Both the English and American courts refuse，in general，to sustain any action during war，either by or in fuvor of an alien enemy．Brandon c．Nesbitt， 6 Term．Rep．，s＇s；Mumford c．Mumford， 1 Gallison＇s U．S．Circ． Ct．Rep．，366．After peace is restored between the nations．the members of each may sue in the courts of the other，even upon contracts made during the war．（Antoine c．Morshead． 1 Morsh．，iss ； 6 Tannturis Rep．， $230^{\text {：}}$ and see Sparenburgh $r$ ．Bannatyme， 1 Bosanquet \＆Puller＇s Rep．， 163；2 Finfinasace Rep．，is0；）thouglı a contrary rule was recognized in the case of Anthon $r$ ．Fisher，：Doughas：Rep．，649，$n$ ．It is，however， admited that an alien cnemy may sue，if be come under circumstances that put him in the sovereign＇s Peace pro hae rice，－$e, g$ ．，a pass，cartel，or flag of truce．Tirisa．Latir of Vritions，Part II．，p．109．And since，ac－
cording to Article 250 , pansive enemies are treated an to a certalu ex tent in a condition of peace: a condition whith it in one of the pur poses of thin Book to malntain for non combatante during wap, is obould neem proper to allow them, an a general rule, to aum in the courts of any nation.

Thus, no reatriction on the remort to civil juntice in contaised in thin Book, except such as in fmplied in the power of a belligerent to interdics the presence of foreigners. See Aricle 913.

In Juando e. Thylor, (2 Paines U. S. Circ. Ct. Rep, Gi2,) it was held, that mo suit or proceeding could be maintained in the courte of a neutral mation by the subjects of one belligerent against the subjects of another. for acta growing out of the war.

> Anticle 990. Suspension of reinedies. 931. Private rights protected.
> 932. No civil remedy against lawful hostilities.
> 933. Prescriptions and statutes of llmitations.
> 934. The same; in ease of civil war.
> 935. Fallure to protect foreigners.

## Suspension of remedies.

930. A belligerent may suspend, during the war, the right of enemies, whether active or passive, to resort to its civil courts for judicial remedies, except in cases of prize.

The general principle, that peaceful commerce, subject to the restrictions defined by this Code, is lawful, requires the continuance of judicial remedies, subject of course to a power of suspension, which will provide for all the exceptions to the freedom of intercourse.

According to the recent case of Zacharie e. Godfrey, (50 Illinois Liep. 186.) the question whether an alien enemy should be excluded from the courts of a nation, depends on the consideration of his actual residence during the war in the hostile country, and the probable effect of a re. covery, to place the money recovered within reach of the enemy, rather than of his citizenship in the hostile nation.

The disability is a suspension of the remedy, not an extinguishment of the right of action.

## Private rights protected.

931. Subject to the last and the next two articles, a belligerent is bound to recognize and protect the private rights of passive enemies and neutrals, both in respect of person and property ; and, except when the courts are open and can afford adequate redress, to punish offenses against the same, by military authority.
lieber's Inaprucfions. - 3i: Hanger c. Abbott. 6 Wallacr's U. S. Supr. C't Rep., Disz: Eilzee r. Lovell, I Woolioorth's IT. S. (iirc. Ct. Rep., 102, 110.

The principle, that an alien rnemy has nos standing in court, and cannot appear and defond him property, seized as a prize of war on the high seas. does not apply to m claimant in the admiralty. An alien enemy may appear as claimant of his property, libeled for condemmation as forfeited. L'nited sistes $c$. Shares of stock, 5 Blatchford's U. S. Sirc. Ct. Rrp.. 231.

## No civil remedy against lawful hostilities.

932. Acts done by the military power, if within the scope of military operations, as defined by this Book, are not the subjects of civil remedies against individuals, except in the cases herein provided.

Compare Articles $721,722,723,88 \%$ and 888 .
An action does not lie in the courts of one nation for acts done by the military forces of another nation, while the two were at war. The remedy is by application to the goverument. But this rule does not apply to a civil or domestic war.

A number of American authorities, in cases arising in the late civil war, held that an act which is a violation of the laws of war, such as burning a courthouse, appropriation of private property, \&c., is not justified by the command of a superior officer. Christian County Court $v$. Rankin, 2 Durall, 502; Vost c. Stout, 4 Coldicell, (Tennessee, Rep., 205: Wither. spoon c. Woody, 4 Id., 605; Terrill r. Kankin, 2 Bush Rep., 453.

## Prescriptions and statutes of limitations.

933. The declaration or existence of war between nations prevents the operation of the rules of prescription, and the rumning of the statutes of limitations, ${ }^{1}$ of each nation, as against members and domiciled residents of the other, from the declaration, or the first act of hostility, whichever may be the earlier, to the final ratification of the treaty of peace, excepting such time as the parties were permitted to remain in the country and the courts were open to them. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

[^95]will be to allow a reasonable time only after the removal of the ob stacle.
 c. Abott, 6 Winllaceis U. S. supp. C8. Rep., 540 : 2 W'ildmatn's International Lebue, 17
${ }^{*}$ U'nder the rule proponed in Article 930 , this exception seerns proper.
The same; in case of civil war.
934. The existence of civil war in a nation prevents the operation of its rules of prescription and the running of its statutes of limitation.' as against members and domiciled residents of other nations, in those cases in which the issuing or the effectual service of process could not have been had, by reason of the interruption of the course of justice.

Act of Congress of United States, of June 11, 1864. 18 U. S. Noth, at I.. 123. See Whitfield e. Allisoth, 1 American Late Reciere, 185.
${ }^{1}$ See note to last Article.

## Failure to protect foreigners.

935. A belligerent is not liable to foreigners for injuries or losses caused by the enemy.

The rule of International law is well settled that a foreigner, who re sides in the country of a belligerent, can claim no indemnity for losses of property, occasioned by acts of war of the other belligervat. Opinion of U. S. Attorney.General in case of the Bombardment of Valparaiso, $12 U$. S. Aftorneys.Gencral Opinions, 21 ; and letter of Secretary Marcy. in the Greytown case, there quoted.

## PARTIX.

## THE TERMINATION OF WAR

Many of the questions discussed in the books, bearing on this subject, are, In modern usage, settled by treaties of peace; and it seems therefore unnecessary to prescribe in great detail, by an International Code, she principles which would regulate a peace made without treaty.

> Abticle 936. War, how terminated.
> 937. Effect of peace.
> 938. Definition of "completed conquest."

> 939, 940. National character and allegiance of conquered nation.
> 941. Effect of completed conquest as to persons and property.
942. Effect of overthrow of insurrection.
943. Duress of negotiators.
944. Effect of treaty of peace.
945. Extent of responsibility.
946. Rescission of treaty.

## War, how terminated.

936. War may be terminated by a complete conquest, or by a cessation of hostilities, and the resumption of peaceful intercourse between the belligerents, with or without a treaty of peace, or other compact.

Halleck's Istern. Lanc and Lauss of War, p. 845.
Where no treaty of peace is made, the date of the resumption of intercourse may, perhaps, be a convenient rule to fix the termination of the war. See Bluntachli, Droit Intern. Codifié,

The time of a treaty taking effect is provided for by Articles 196 and $19 \%$.

Effect of peace.
937. Upon the termination of war, unless otherwise provided by compact :

1. Each belligerent is entitled to all movable public property of the other of which he has lawfully acquired possession by the war,' except such as is exempted by
article 840, subject, however, in case of conquest of territory, to the rules prescribed by Chapter III. of this Code:
2. All hostilities, except the detention of movable property previously' captured, and all constraint ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of persons taken prisoners of war, except such as is necessary to preserve order. ${ }^{\circ}$ must cease ;
3. All occupation of hostile territory not the subject of complete conquest, must cease as soon as practicable :
4. All belligerent rights not reserved by this Code, except those necessary to the preservation of order, and to the adjudication upon the title to property captured, cease ; ${ }^{\circ}$ and,
5. Treaties and other obligations between the bellig. erents. which were suspended by the war, revive, except so far as they may be incompatible with the altered state of things.'
'See Article 988 of this Code; and Bluntachli. Droit Intern. Codifl. 8715.

Perhaps the principle that all public movable property of the hostile nation, other than military trensure and contraband of war, seized and appropriated by the belligerent nation to its own use, should be considered compensation for the cause of the war. ought to be embodied as a positive regulation; though this is rather a matter of agreement than a right of the conquered nation.
' The rights of war exist only while the war continues. If peace be concluded, a capture made immediately aftorwards on the ocean, even where peace could not have been known, is unauthorized, and property so taken is not prize of war, and must be restored. See Laverence's Wheaton, Elem. of Intern. Lauc, p. 884. § 5 : Dancis Wheaton, §is 54 .
${ }^{3}$ Trise, Lave of Nations, pt. II., 353. \$188: Phillimore: Intern. Latur. vol. III., p. 145.

In the case of Preston, the Attorney. (ieneral of the United States gave his opinion that the censation of the war of the rebellion, and the peace proclamation of the President relieved from parol and from milltary jurisdiction, rebol officers who had surrendered and had been dis. charged upon parole. 12 Opinions of U. S. Atfornege-Gieneral, $1: 0$.

- Blantachli, Droil Intern. Codifié, Ş itb.
${ }^{3}$ By the doctrine of ufi posectefis. In the absence of any compact, each belligerent is entitled to she movereignty of the territory and the ownerahip of all public property of the liostile nation of which he has military
occupation at the termination of the war ; but it seems just to restrict this right within narrower limits. The subject is almost always regulated by a treaty of pence ; and in the rare cases in which this may be omitted, it will besullicient to provide for the right of the conqueror to movables in possession, and to territory of which he has achieved complete conquest, and the public property therein.
"Such for instance are the levying of forced loans, \&c., or collecting those previously levied. Halleck, Intern. Lano and Laics of War. p. 860.
¿Kent's Commentarics, vol. 1., p. 17i: Halleck's Intern. Laio \& Lave of War, p. 862.


## Definition of " completed conquest."

938. Where the authority of one belligerent nation over any turritory of the other. has become permanently established, either by cession, or by acquiescence, the conquest is deemed completed, ${ }^{1}$ from the time the conqueror unequivocally manifests his ability and intention to retain such territorry as his own, under civil government, and in a state of peace. Until that time it is deemed to be held by military occupation only. ${ }^{2}$
' Dann's Whenton, Elem. of Intern. Law, note 169, p. 434.
${ }^{\prime}$ See Chapter LVI., concerning Military Occupation.
${ }^{3}$ Halleck, Intern. Laus and Lates of War, pp. 811-814.
National character and allegiance of members of conquered nation.
939. In case of the completed conquest of a nation, its members become members of the conquering nation.

The national character thus acquired may, of course, be changed by naturalization; and meanwhile the duty of allegiance may be extinguished by subsequent, or even previous, removal from the territory. coupled with the intent to becone naturalized elsewhere. See Articles 261-265

By the provisions of the Book on Peace, allegiance follows national character, subject to the right of expatriation.

The same.
940. In case of the completed conquest of part of the territory of a nation, if not otherwise provided by special compact. its members, domiciled within such
territory. become members of the conquering nation. unless within six months after the completion of the conquest they exercise the right of expatriation, defined by articles 264 and 265 : in which case they are deemed to have retained their former national character and allegiance.

[^96]Effect of completed conquest as to persons and properly.
941. In the case of a completed conquest, if not otherwise provided by compact :

1. The conquering nation may regulate the political and civil rights of those who thereby become members of it ;'
2. The conquering nation must respect the private rights, and titles to property, of persons within the territory, and by proper laws and regulations insure to them the means oi enjoying those rights ; and, until otherwise declared, all laws of the former government regulating the private relations of persons, and of corporations both private and municipal, to each other, and their private rights of property remain in force. so far as they are not inconsistent with the organic law of the conquering nation $;{ }^{7}$ and,
3. Subject to the provisions of Chapter III. of this Code, entitled "Prerpetcity of Nations." the conquering nation succeeds to the title of all public property and rights of the enemy within the conquered territory :' and may make valid transfers or releases of such property and rights.'

[^97]${ }^{3}$ Dana's Wheaton, note 169. p. 434 : Holleck, Intern. Latic, pp. 839-843.

- This will include the discharge of debts and other property in action


## Effect of overthrow of insurrection.

942. A nation. whose authority is re-established, after having been temporarily displaced from a part of its territory by an insurrectionary government, succeeds to all the rights and property of the usurping government.' All claims against such usurping governm.nt, in favor of others than the nation, are staked on the success of the insurrection, and are of no validity after its overthrow."
${ }^{1}$ Conited States of America c Priolenu, 11 Jurist, N. S., 792 ; 35 Lavc .Journ. Ch., 7 : 13 Weekly Rep., 1062; 13 Lan Times Rep., N. S.,92: United States of America $c$. McRac, Laic Rep.. 8 Eq. Cas., 69.
${ }^{2}$ In the case of the United States of America $r$. McRae, (above mentioned,) it was held that with respect to property which has been voluntarily contributed to the insurrectionary government. or acquired by it, in the exercise of its usurped authority, and has been impressed in its hands with the character of public property, the legitimate government is not, on its restoration entitled to it by title paramount, but as successor only (and to that extent recognizing the authority) of the displaced usnrping government ; and in seeking to recover such property from an agent of the displaced government, can only do so to the same extent and subject to the same rights and obligations, as if that government had not been displaced, and was itself proceeding against the agent.

The true principle, however, seems to be that stated in the foregoing Article.

## Duress of negotiators.

943. Duress of the person of those concerned in the negotiation of a treaty of peace, renders the compact void as against the power they represent.

Bluntselhi, Droil Intern. Codifić, 冬 $\mathbf{7 0 4}$; compare, however, Halleck, Intern. Iaur de lares of Wir, p. $84 \pi$.

Effeci of treaty of peace.
944. When a treaty of peace is made, all grievances existing and known prior to the termination of the war. are to be deemed satisfied by the treaty unless excepted thereby:' and the treaty is to be first resorted
to, as furnishing the rules by which the rosintts of the wat are to be measured.


 prior to the war, which forms mort of the reamonn for undertakisg fo, are not extinguishod.

## Portenl of responsibility.

945. No hostilities between belligerents committed during war, in obedience to the commands of lawful su. periors, by persons impressed with the military character, and no lawful hostilities committed by anty other person, subject such persons to civil or criminal liability, after the termination of the war. Nor shall any such acts, committed after the termination of the war, subject the person to criminal liability, if committed in good faith, withont actual knowledge of its termination. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
[^98]
## DIVISION FOURTII.

## ALLIES.*

Asticis: 94\%. Who arv alliew.<br>948. The oblligation of alllew.<br>949. Permismion of intercourse must be joint<br>950. Separate compacts not binding.<br>9.51. Prize courta.

Who are allies.
947. Allies are nations bound by treaty to assist each another, in any manner, in belligerent operations.

As to the furnishing of subsidiary troops being considered as a belligerent act, and a breach of neutrality, see Division V., entitled Nectrales.

The obligation of allies.
948. Unless otherwise provided, by a treaty of alliance, one ally may judge for itself whether the other has a just canse of war, and may act accordingly.

Hoolsey's International Ianr. S 111.
Permission of intercourse must be joint.
949. During a conjoint war, intercourse with the enemy, which is unlawful without the permission of a belligerent, must have the permission of all ;' unless it appear that it could in no manner interfere with their common operations.'
'The Nayade, 4 Rotrinaon's Adm. Rep.,2s1 : : Wildman's International Laur, p. 21: 1 Kent's Commentarics, p. 69 : Lanerence's Wheaton, Elements


[^99]Bynkerahoeck. Qurst. Jur. Pub., lib. 1, cap. 10; Chitty, Laur of Nations, pp. 11, 12: Hefter. Droit International. 绿120-193: Halleck, Intern. Lave d latics of Wiar, p. 339.

Betwren allied nations pursuing a common cause against a common enemy, it must be taken as an implied, if not an express contract, that one State shall not do anything to defeat the general object. If one admit its subjects to carry on an uninterrupted trade with the enemy, the consequence may be that it will supply that aid and comfort to the enemy Which may be very injurious to the prosecution of the common cause. and the interests of lis ally. The Septunus, 6 Robinzon's Adm. Rep., 403.

Separate compacts not binding.
950. Unless allies have otherwise agreed, neither is bound by compacts with the enemy, made without its consent, by the other.

See Halleck, Intern. Lane \& Laves of War, p. 850 ; and authorities cited.

Prize courts.
951. Either of several allied belligerents may by assent of another establish a prize court within the lim. its of the other, for the purposes of deciding questions of prize.

## DIVISION FIFTH.

## NEUTRALS.

Akticiec 952. Who are neutrala.953. Right to be neutral.954. Attempt to involve a neutral.9.55. "Breach of neutrality " defined.956. "Violation of neutrality " defined
957. Eiffect of a breach of neutrality.
958. Kinds of asnistance.
059. Active asnistance.
960 . Passive ansistance.
901. Intervention.
962. Recognizing independence of insurgente.
063. Mediation.
964. Active dutien of neusrals.
965. Breach of neutrality not justified by pre cedent obligation.
966. Aid to sick and wounded.
902. Pilotage.
208. Purchase of conquest forbidden.
969. Time when duties of neutrality take effect.
970. Liability for negligence in enforcing neutrality.
951. Violations of neutrality.
972. Land forees violating neutrality to be disarmed.
973. Right of asylum limited.
9i4. Supplies limited.
975. Protection of hostile ships in neutral pors.
976. Priority of departure.
972. Prizes not to be sent into neutral ports.
978. Restoration of prizes captured int violation ofneutrality.
979. Transactions in breach of neutrality every.where void.
950. Breaches and violations of neutrality declaredpublic offenses.
481. Redress for injuries in violation of neurnality.
98?. Neutral and belligerent rights and obligationsnot afficted by adverse belligerent not beinga party to Code.

Who are neutral.
952. A neutral nation is one that remains on terms of friendship and amicable intercourse with the government and members of each of two or more belligerents.

British proclamation of neutrality-Franco-German war. Loudon Gazeffe, July 19, 1870.

Right to be neutral.
953. Every nation, unless it has otherwise agreed, has a right to remain at peace, though all the rest of the world be at war, and to maintain friendly relations with any belligerent, without offense to others.

Fïoré, Nourean Droit Intern.. v. .. p. 360 ; citing Galiana, dei Doveri dei principi, pt. 1, c. 3; and Hautefenille, Droit et devoir des Nutions, t. 1. p. 376 : Address of Ch. Fr. Admas, Nen York, 1870.

Attempt to involve a neutral.
954. The attempt of a belligerent, by force or pressure of any kind, to make a neutral nation take any part, however small, with one side or the other, is an attempt against its independence.
"Breach of neutrality" defined.
955. The terms "breach of neutrality," as used in this Code, mean an act or omission on the part of a neutral nation inconsistent with its duty as such toward a belligerent.
"Violation of neutrality" defined.
956. The terms "violation of neutrality," as used in this Code, mean an act or omission on the part of a belligerent inconsistent with the rights of a neutral nation as such.

Effect of a breach of neutrality.
957. A neutral nation is bound to refrain from assisting either belligerent directly or indirectly, and must forbid its members and domiciled residents from
so doing.' If it assist either belligerent either by acts or omissions which directly subserve the purposes of the war, or by affording to one while withholding from the other that which indirectly subserves such purposes, it departs from its nentrality, and is liable to be treated as an ally after complaint made by the other belligerent, as in other cases.

If the act of assistance be an aggressive art by force, the aggrieved belligerent may defend itself without previons complaint, as in case of any other aggression.

It is the better opinion that to grant a panage the the troops of one belligerent in a violation of neutral duty to the other. Inalleek. Insern. lour of Laies of Wiar, p. 517. Tiriss, however, (late of Vintians, pt. 11., p. 44.8218 , anl several earlier authors oppose this view
! Thin clause enlarges the rule of neutrality.
Grohen has shown that the definition given by dsuni and others. (and approved by fiore, to the effect that neutrality in a continuation of a state of peace by one aation while others are at war, is inadequate, be. cause neutrality imposes duties and obligations unknown in peace.

The doctrine of neutrality requires more than abwaining from pro moting the war. Instance the case put by Fioré, (Nouceau Droit Intern. v. 2, p. 368, of Russin at war with Turkey and Sweden, and Austria de claring itself an ally of Russia against Turkey, Austria could not be regarded as a neutral toward Sweden.

There are early anthorities to the effect that where one state stipu. latee to furnish to another a limited nuccor of troops, ships of war, money or provisions, whithout any promise looking to an eventual engagemens in general hostilities, such a treaty does not necessarily render the party furnishing this limited succor the enetny of the opposite belligerent, but it only becomes such as far as respects the auxiliary forces thus supplied In all other respects it remains neutral. Laterence's Wheaton, Eilements of Intern. Lanc, p. 480, 14 : Halleck. Intern. Laue and Laten of War.p. $419 . \lesssim 14$; and authorities cited. This, however, should not be allowed. under the modern doctrine of neutrality.

Kinds of assistance.
958. Assistance is of two kinds :

1. Active ; and.
2. Passive.

Active assistance.
959. Active assistance is that which is rendered by the government.

## Passive assistance.

960. Passive assistance is that which is rendered by the members or domiciled residents of the nation, and which it permits, either expressly, or by not prerenting it, or which, on complaint, it refuses to redress.

## Intervention.

961. No nation has a right to intervene between any other nations engaged in war. To intervene is to become a party to the war.

If the principles of intervention cannot stand, treaties of guaranty, which contemplate such intervention, must be condemned also: for they have in view a resistance, at some future time, to the endeavors of third parties to conquer or in some way control the guaranteed States in question. Anagreement, if it involve an unlawful act, or the prevention of lawful acts on the part of others, is plainly unlawful. Woolsey's Intern. Lave, 䒤 42, note, p. 57.

## Recognizing independence of insurgents.

962. So long as a nation is engaged in a domestic war with a portion of its own members, any other nation which recognizes their independence commits an act of aggression, and becomes a party to the war. But it may withont offense recognize them as belligerents.

See Lavrence's Commentaire sur Wheaton, pt. I., ch. II., p. 174.
Recognizing them as belligerents for the purposes of the war is allowed by Article $\mathbf{i 0 8}$.

By Article 118, the reception of a public minister from the insurgents would be a recognition of their independence.

## Mediation.

963. Every nation, party to this Code, may freely offer to mediate between any two or more of the other parties, who may be engaged in war; and neither the offer to mediate. nor the rejection of the offer, shall be deemed an unfriendly act. But no nation may offer to mediate between a nation and its own members, engaged in domestic war.

If it be deemed best to extend the restriction against mediation in domestic wars to the case of such wars in nations not parties to the Code,

It whould the connidered whether an exception should not be mede of cameo where the lawn of civilized warfare laid down in Part Vill., eatitled The Condect or Wih, are disregarded.

Woolsy, howeref, recognizes an exception in the case of erfines eommitted by a government agninat lits subjects. Woodery. Interv. Lav, 842. p. 52. See almo, Id., 年50, p. 73 ; and Lanerence's Whenton. Elem. of In. tern. Lave, p. 198. 89 : Dana's Wheaton, 会09. p. 11 F.

## Active duties of neutrals.

964. A neutral government is bound :
965. To use due diligence to prevent the fitting ont, arming, or equipping within its jurisdiction of any vessel which it has reasonable ground to believe is intended to cruise or to carry on war against a power with which it is at peace, and also to use like diligence to prevent the departure from its jurisdiction of any vessel intended thus to cruise or carry on war, such vessel hav. ing been specially adapted, in whole or in part, within such jurisdiction, to warlike use :
966. Not to permit or suffer either belligerent to make use of its ports or waters as the base of naval operations against the other, or for the purpose of the renewal or augmentation of military supplies or arms, or the recruitment of men ;
967. To use due diligence to prevent its members and domiciled residents, and other persons within its jurisdiction, from engaging in any traffic which is contraband or otherwise interdicted under the provisions of this Code, and from doing any act within its territorial limits which directly subserves the purposers of the war;' and,
968. To exercise due diligence in its own ports and waters, and, as to all persons within its jurisdiction, to prevent any violation of the obligations of neutrals defined in this Division of the Code.'

This Article, with the exception of the third subdivision, which to new. embraces substantinlly the rules adopted in the Treaty of Washington for the government of the arbitrators at Geneva in deciding the matters submitted to them, and also for the government of the parties to the treaty in the future: and which they agreed to bring to the knowledge of other martime nations, inviting their adoption of them.
${ }^{1}$ This suldivision is intended to impose a more stringent restriction on neutral trattic in contraband than now exists.

- The better opinion is that loans of money cannot be raised by a bel. ligerent in a neutral State, without a volation of the latter's neutrality. Hatleck, Intern. Lace and lanes of Wor, p. 526; Kemett v. Chambers, 14 Horrard'* U. S. Supr. ('t. Rep., 38, 44 ; Gardner's Institutes, ch. XI., S 10 .

During the Franco Prussian war. Mr. Gladstone stated that, after consulting the law officers of the crown, the government had decided that it would be a breach of neutrality for an English company to lay a telegraphic cable between Dunkirk and some northern point connected with Denmark. Annual Register, 1870, p. 102.

On a hearing in the Court of Admiralty, on a charge that the International, a ship belonging to the Telegraph Works Company, was about to sail for France, to lay a cable along the French const, from Dunkirk to Verdon, it was held that the proposed line was characteristically a commercial and not a military one ; and the fact, that it might serve a military purpose, was not ground of condemnation under the statute. Keported in London Times, Jauuary 18, 1871, quoted in Foreign Relations of the U'nited Stater, 1871, pp. 424, 425.

For declarations of neutrality issued by neutral powers during various wars, see Bernard's Neutrulity of Great Britain during the American (ǐil War, pp. 135-150.
${ }^{3}$ This subdivision, taken from the Treaty of Washington, is here extended so as to include all breaches of neutrality.

## Breach of neutrality not justified by precedent obligation.

965. An act which, according to the provisions of this Chapter, is a breach of neutrality, is not excused by the existence of an obligation contracted before the war, to perform or suffer such act. If such obligation was not contracted with express reference to performance during war, the nentral nation may suspend performance during the war, and thereby preserve its neutrality.

The authorities are in conflict as to whether assistance rendered to a lelligerent, in pursuance of a previous compact, is a breach of neutrality. See Hantefeuille, tit. W゚.. ড়̣ II.: Fioré. Nourenu Droit Intern., v. 2, p. 366, who nssert that it is.

The more numerous authorities support the doctrine, that furnishing to a belligerent a moderate succor, due in virtue of a former defensive alliauce, is not a departure from a strict neutrality. Halleck, Intern. Law und Lause of War. p. 514; Wheaton, Elementx of Intern. Law, p. 710, §5;

Datra's Wheaton of 424 ; Triss, Lave of Nitions, Part 11., p. 480 , and su. thorities cited.

It does not seem practicable to lay down any well defined rule reopect ing such viotations of ueutrality, under color of a previous obligation ; and It is thought that, an between the natione untiong in this Coske, the excep. tion stated ought not to be continued. Theses nations may properily reserve the right to renounce any nuch obligation, on the breaking out of a war. which renders its performance a breach of neutrallty, untens it be ats alli. ance expresely contemplating war: In which case, performance ought to be consldered a belligerent act. See Article isi3i, which provides for an alliance to enforce the provinions of this Code.

## Aid to sick and wounded.

966. Sick and wounded soldiers and sailors, singly and collectively, are neutral so long as they are unable to serve their nation, and succor to them in any form whatever is not a breach of neutrality.

In the Franco. Pruwslan war, 18\%0, the German wounded were refused passage through Belgium, on the objection of France that it would be a breach of neutrality to allow ft. It should weem that there ought to be no such restriction.

## Pilotage.

967. No assistance can be given by a pilot of a neutral nation to a public armed ship of a belligerent, except in cases of entering or departing, provided for by article 845, or in cases of distress.

Mr. Gianstoneis answer to Mr. Hoboson, House of Commons, August 8, 1870 .

## Purchase of conquest forbidden.

968. A neutral cannot purchase or take possession of a conquered territory from the conqueror, while the war continues.

It is inconsistent with neutmbity to doso: for either paying for it, or maintaining possession against the oripinal owner, is alding lis adversary. Triss, Lane of Siutions, pt. II.. p. 127.

Halleek. (Intern. Lane of Latien of Wiar. p. s02.) however, asserts the general right of nentrals to purchnse in good faith.

Time vohen duties of neutrality take effect.
969. The obligations imposed by this Division, on $20^{*}$
a neutral nation, attach from the time that either belligerent has officially communicated to it the declaration of war; or from the time that it voluntarily makes public in its own jurisdiction, a declaration of its neutrality.

See Article $\mathrm{iO9}$, and note.

## Liability for negligence in enforcing neutrality.

970. A neutral nation that fails to exercise a degree of diligence in enforcing the provisions of article 964, in exact proportion to the risks to which either belligerent may be exposed by failure to fulfill the obligations of neutrality on the part of such neutral, is bound to indemnify the belligerent aggrieved thereby for all losses directly resulting from such breach of neutrality.

This is the rule of diligence declared obligatory upon neutral nations by the decision of the Tribunal of Arbitration of the Alabama Claims, under the Treaty of Washington. The British government, however, contended for a more lax rule. In the British case it was said that a charge against a sovereign government of having evinced culpable negligence in the exercise of one of the powers of sovereignty, is an imputation which should be sustained by strong and solid reasous. Every sovereign government asserts the right of being independent of all supervision, of all foreign intermeddling in the exercise of these powers; and it must be assumed that they are exercised with good faith and with reasonable diligence, and that the administration of the laws is just and uniform, so long as this assumption is, not set aside by proof to the contrary-an assumption without which it would be impossible to have peace and friendly relations among nations. It is not sufficient to indicate or to demonstrate that a government, in exercising a reasonable discretion on a question of fact or of law, and in making use of the means at its control for acquiring information, forms, for the regulation of its conduct, an opinion which another government may repudiate or may ask an arbitrator to repudiate. Still less is it sufficient to demonstrate that_n judgment rendered by a competent judicial court, and by which the executive was guided, is tainted with error. An administrative act based on an error or the erroneous decision of a tribunal, may, it is true, give cause under certain circumstances for a demand for compensation on the part of the person or govermment wronged by this act or decision. But the charge of negligence against a government should not be established on such basis. It does not suffice to point out or to show shat, in the execution of his administrative dutips, a govermment officer acted so as to leave something, however small, to be desired as regards judgment or penetration, or even that he remained within the limits of all possible promptitude and celerity. To found on this basis exclusively a demand
for reparation as if it were an infraction of therernational law, would be exacting in international questions an administrative perfection to which fow, If any, governments could in fact attaln, or could reasonably hope to attain in their internal affairs: It would bo eatablishing a rule which it would be linpowible to apply and which would bo conserquently uajust and fallacioun : it would finally give riee to incemsant and exaggerated claims an the occasion offered, and would reader the position of a neutral intolerable. On the other hand, a nation ought not to be held responsible for a delay or ominion which may be due aimply to accident and not to a want of forenight or reamonable care. Finally, it does not nuffice to de. monstrate that an act has been committed which the government should have foreveen. What must be advanced and proved ia that the government failed to exercise the mame amount of care as it usually employs in internal affiler, and which it may be reasonably required to une in tnattero affecting international intereats and dutien.

The rule stated in the foregoing Article seems, however, a junt one, and has the adsantage of being clear and definite: while the rule referring to the diligence used in municipal affairs, affords no juat criterion. since the degree of diligence may vary in different places.

## Violations of neutrality.

971. Every voluntary entrance of belligerent forces, byland or sea, within the territorial limits of a neutral nation, with hostile purpose, and every hostility therein, except in case of an instant and unavoidable necessity of self-defense, is a violation of neutrality. ${ }^{1}$

Such a violation of nentrality may be immediately repelled by the neutral, by force. ${ }^{\text {B }}$
' Halleck, Intern. Laur de Lates of War, pp. 517-521 ; and authorities cited.
'Id., p. 517 : Lushington's Nicel Prize Lauc, p. 62, 各 206.
Lushington says, that a commander may pass over neutral territorial waters, in order to effect a capture beyond, provided they are not waters which cannot be usually passed through without express permission. Nanal Prize Lanc, p. 63, s: 284.

Land forces violating neulrality to be disarmed.
972. If the land forces of a belligerent enter neutral territory, it is the duty of the neutral immediately to disarm them, release their prisoners, and cause them to restore all booty which they may bring with them.

Holleck, Intern. Laur and Lance of War. p. 524 : and nuthorities cited.
Right of asylum limiled.
973. No public armed ship of a belligerent can enter
a neutral port or roadstead, for any purpose whatever during the continuance of hostilities, except in case of distress, or when sent solely for the purpose of official communication with the neutral nation. When such a ship enters in case of distress, it must remain during the contimuance of hostilities.

This rule is new.
The existing rule is that cither belligerent may cham the right of asylum, for its vessels of war, public or prisate, and their prizes, unless the neutral nation has signified its refusal of asylum. T Opinions of U. S. Athorneys. Generul, 12?

## supplies limited.

974. No public armed ship of a belligerent, while in waters within the jurisdiction of a neutral nation, shall take in any supplies, except provisions and such other things as may be requisite for the subsistence of her crew, and except so much coal only as may be sufficient to carry her to the nearest port of her own nation.

Barl Granville's Instructions to the British Admiralty, July 19, 1870.

## Protection of hostile ships in neutral port.

975. No public armed ship of a belligerent shall leave any waters subject to the jurisdiction of a neutral, from which any ship of the other belligerent, whether public or private, ${ }^{1}$ shall have previously departed. until after the expiration of at least twenty-four hours from such departure, unless the commander give his word of honor not to attack, visit, or give chase to, the latter ship during the whole of her immediate royage. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Earl Granville's Instructions to the British Admiralty, July 19, 1870.
${ }^{1}$ As other provisions of the Code exempt private property not contraband, and render the conveying of contraband a public offense, it might be well to restrict this provision to public ships and such private ships as present evidence of sailing without contraband.
${ }^{2}$ This rule, which Hautifeville proposes as a substitute for the twenty. four hour rule, might be advantageously adopted as an alternative.

Priority of departure.
976. In the cases provided for by the last article, the
ship which eame into the neutral waters first has a right, and may be required, to leave first, if rady for sea.

To secure this right, the ship must give to the local authorities reasonable previons notice of intention to leave, must punctually adhere thereto, and not return before the termination of the twenty four hours.

Bernard's Neutrality of Gireat Britain during the American Civil War. p. 254: citing De Pistoye el Durerdy. Traite des Priseo, Maritemes. vol. 1, p. 100: Heutefeuille, vol. 1. p. 306: Ortodan, Diptonnatie de la Mer. vol. 2. p. $25 \%$.

## Prizes not to be sent into neulral ports.

977. No belligerent shall send any prize taken by it into the waters of a neutral. ${ }^{1}$ A violation of this article is an abandonment of the prize.
'Thim rule is new. It is drawn from Fiarl Granville'n Instructions to the British Admiralty, July 19. 1870.

Restoration of prizes captured in violation of neutralit!.
978. It is the duty of a neutral nation to take possession of prizes captured within its territorial limits, or sent within such limits, by a belligerent, in violation of its neutrality, whenever such prizes can be found within its jurisdiction; and, on application of the nation aggrieved, to restore the same to their owners.

Halleck, Intern. Lanc and Lavee of Wiar, p. 530: Wheaton, Elements of Intern. Lave, ph. IV., ch. 3. \& 18.

Ot course, this Article will not apply where the validity of the cap. ture has been adjudged in a prize court ; because by other provisions of the Code, full faith and credit must be given in each nation to the judg. ments of the courts of every other.

Transactions in breach of neutrality eceryohere noid.
979. It is the duty of the courts of a neutral nation to treat as unlawful all transactions of belligerents and of nentrals in violation of the provisions of this Book, or in violation of prohibitions lawfully declared under them.

The present law of nations leaves the enforcement of blockades to
the belligerents, and neutral courts will not annul a contract to export contraband. (Chavasse, Er parte, in re Grazebrook, 11 Jurist, N. S., 400; 34 Laur Jour., Banki., 17 ; 13 Weckly Rep., 627 ; 12 Lave Times, N. S., 249 ; The Helen. 11 .Jurist, N. s., 1025: 35 Laur Jour., Adm., $2: 1$ Lan Rep., Adm., 1.) or relieve against an illegal recapture: though this has been contested. Bernard's Neutrality, pp. 32i-9. But when contraband traffic only is made illegal, the obligation of the neutral may properly be made coextensive.

Breaches and violations of neutrality declared public offenses.
980. Any person committing an act within the territorial limits of a nentral nation, which involves either a breach or a violation of its neutrality, except one acting under lawful superior authority, is guilty of a public offense.

See: Halleck, Intern. Lanc and Laks of War, pp. 528-531; 1 Duer on Insurance. pp. 254-75.5.

Redress for injuries in violation of neutrality.
981. A neutral nation is bound, so far as it has jurisdiction, to afford a remedy for all injuries which one belligerent may commit upon the other, within the limits of the neutral, and in violation of its neutrality.


#### Abstract

Halleck, Intern. Lavo and Lars of War, p. 516 ; and authorities there cited. The above Article will extend somewhat the existing rule, which limits the redress given by a neutral to a restoration of the prize, with costs. See Ticiss, Lave of Nutions, Part II., p. 487. There seems to be no good reason why the neutral courts should not afford full redress, if persons or property come within their jurisdiction.


Neutral and belligerent rights and obligations not affected by adverse belligerent not being a party to Code.
982. The provisions of this Code, in reference to the relative rights and obligations of neutrals and belligerents, apply between nations, parties to this Code, although the adverse belligerent be not a party hereto.

It may not be deemed practicable to adopt all the provisions of this Book without reciprocity. To the extent here expressed, however, perhape it will be feasible and advantageous to do so.

## DEFINITIONS AND GENERAL PROVISIONS.

Akticle 983. Words, how used.<br>984. Words elsewhere defined.<br>985. Good falth.<br>988. Notice.<br>985. Actual notice.<br>988. ('onstructive notice.<br>989. Certain permons deemed to have constructive notice.<br>990. Who are princlpals.<br>991. Who are accessories.<br>992. Genders.<br>993. Numbers.<br>904. Computation of time.<br>995. Code, every where locally binding.<br>996. National powery, how exercised.<br>997. Powers and jurisdiction not obligatory.<br>998. Forging or counterfeiting public securities, \&c.<br>999. U'ttering forged instrament or coin.<br>1000. Perjury.<br>1001. Bribery or menace of public agent.<br>1002. Violation of provisions of the Code, by whom punishable.<br>1003. Punishment for public offenses.<br>1004. Punishment of other violations of the Code.<br>1005. Violation of any provision of the Code by pub. lic agent.<br>1006. Accessions of nations to this Code.<br>1007. Rescission of adoption of Code.<br>1008. 'Time when adoption, or rescission of adoption, of Code takes effert.<br>Words, hono used.<br>983. Words used in this Code are to be understood in their ordinary sense, except when a contrary intention plainly appears, and except also that the words hereinafter explained are to be understoxd as thas ex- plained.

Ciril Cote, reported for Neu York, \& 1900

## Words elsemotere defined．

984．Whenever the meaning of a word or phrase is defined in any part of this Code，such definition is ap－ plicable to the same word or phrase wherever it occurs， except where a contrary intention plainly appears．

Cicil Colle，reported for Neı Vork， 2.2000.
A list of all words elsewhere defined appears in the index under the sitle Definitions．

Good faith．
985．Good faith consists in an honest intention to abstain from taking any unconscientious advantage of another，even through the forms or technicalities of law，together with an absence of all information or be－ lief of facts which would render the transaction un－ conscientious．

Ciril Code，reparted for Nenc York， $8200 \%$ ．
Notice．
986．Notice is either actual or constructive．
Ciiril Code，reported for Ner York，Ş 2008.
Actual notice．
987．Actual notice consists in express information of a fact．＇The duty of giving notice，imposed by any provision of this Code，requires actual notice．
${ }^{1}$（ïril Code，reported for Neir York，尽 2009.
Constructive notice．
988．Constructive notice is notice imputed by the law to a person not having actual notice．

Cïril Code，reported for Nove York，尽 2010.
Certain personss deemed to have constructive notice．
989．Every person who has actual notice of circum－ stances sufficient to put a prudent man upon inquiry as to a particular fact，and who omits to make such in－ quiry with reasonable diligence，is deemed to have constructive notice of the fact itself．

Cicil Code，reported for Neıc York， 2011.

Whe are principals.
990. All persons concerned in the commission of any offense agatust the provisions of this Code, whether they directly commit the act constituting the offense. or aid or abet in its commission though not present, are principals.

The Prout Cinle, reported for Neur York, ${ }^{2} 96$.
Who are accessories.
991. All persons who, after the commission of any offense against the provisions of this Corle, conceal or aid the offender with knowledge that he has committed such offense, and with intent that he may avoid or escape from arrest, trial, conviction or punishment, are accessories.

The Penal Cowle, remorted for Neir York. \&̊ 28.

## Genders.

992. Words used in this Code in the masculine gender include the feminine, except where a contrary intention plainly appears.

Cicil Code, reported for Near York. Sisobs.

## Numbers.

993. Words used in this Code in the singular number include the plural, and the plaral the singular, except where a contrary intention plainly appears.

Civil Code. reported for Neu York. $\stackrel{\Sigma}{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \mathbf{2 0 2 5}$.
Computation of time.
994. Time expressed in days or hours in any provision of this Code, or in any agreement or instrument to which this Code is applicable, is to be computed by excluding the first and including the last, except where a contrary intention plainly appears.

Sce the contlict of authorities on this point in respect to armistice and truce, in Halleck., Intern. Lave und Laucs of Wirr. p. 659.

Good reasons can be giren for either of the rules that have been sug. gested. The important consideration is to have an uniform rule, which accords with common usage and opinion.

## Corde ererymhere locally biudin

995．The provisions of this Code，and the amend－ ments and additions which may be made thereto，and regulations agreed on by the nations uniting in it，in order to carry its provisions into effect，＇shall be bind－ ing upon all persons，officers and tribunals in such na－ tions，anything in their local laws to the contrary not－ withstanding．
${ }^{1}$ Such regulations are contemplated by Articles 442，445，468，476，482， 538，702，cete．

## National pooers，how exercised．

996．National powers mentioned in this Code with－ out designating the department of government by which they are to be exercised，may be exercised by any de－ partment authorized by the constitution and laws of the nation．

In some cases the code，as is usual with treaties，requires the act of a particnlardepartment，as in the case of the letters of credence of a publis． minister，or the passport of a ship，which must be issued by the exccutive department ；or，as in case of extradition，which contemplates the con－ curronce of acts of the judiciary and the executive．In others，as in the case of the treaty－making power．the question is determined by the do－ mestic constitution．

## Pooers and jurisdiction not obligatory．

997．The exercise by the nations of the powers，ju－ dicial or otherwise，declared by this Code to belong to each nation，is not obligatory upon it，except where it is expressly so declared，or where the Code gives a right which is dependent on the exercise of the power．

[^100]Forging or counterfeiting public securities， $\mathfrak{d c}$ ．
998．Every person who，within the jurisdiction of
a nation, party to this Code, with intent to detraud. forges, counterfeits, or falsely alters:

1. The great seal or principal seal of any nation or state whatever: or the seal of any court or tribunal, or public officer authorized or crated by the law of any nation. or who falsely makes, forges or counterfeits any impression, purporting to be the impression of any such seal ;' or,
2. Any certiticate or other public security, issued or purporting to have been issued under the authority of any nation whatever, by virtue of any law thereof, by which certificate or other public security the payment of any money or delivery of any property, absolutely or upon any contingency, is promised, or the receipt of any money or property acknowledged :' or,
3. Any gold or silver coin issued by the government of any nation whatever, to subserve the purposes of money. with intent to sell, utter, use or circulate the same as genuine within any nation whatever, or to injure or defrand any nation whatever, or the members thereof; ${ }^{3}$ or.
4. Any postage or revenue stamp of any nation whatever, or who sells, or offers, or keeps for sale as genuine, or as forged any such stamp knowing it to be forged, counterfeited, or falsely altered ; or,
5. Any postal money order, certificate, receipt, or other writing for the purpose of obtaining, or receiving. or of enabling any other person to obtain or receive from any nation whatever, or any of its officers or agents, or its post department any sum of money ; or,
6. Any public act, record or judicial proceeding of the tribunals ; or any certificate of acknowledgment or proof, or other official certiticate, of any officer or agent of any nation whatever, intended for use within any nation, party to this Code ;

Is guilty of a public offense.

[^101]nation whatever, whether a party to the Code or not, are declared public oftenas, and therefore punishable, as prescribed by Article 1003.
${ }^{1}$ The Penal Code reported for the State of Neue York, S 554; Act of Congress of the U'nited States, March 3, 1825. ミ2 27. 4 U. S. Stat.at L., 115.

- The Penal Code, reported for the State of Nen York, ss $\mathbf{5 5 5}$.

Uttering forged instrument or coin.

999. Every person who, with intent to defraud, utters or publishes as true, within the jurisdiction of any nation, any thing, the forging, altering or counterfeiting of which is hereinbefore declared to be punishable, knowing the same to be forged, altered or counterfeited, is guilty of a public offense.

The Penal Code, reported for the State of Nen York, s. $57 \%$.

## Perjury.

1000. Every person who commits perjury or subornation of perjury before a tribunal or officer of any nation, a party to this Code, on the taking of testimony pursuant to an application of a foreign tribunal, under article 664, is guilty of a public offense.

## Bribery or menace of public agent.

1001. Every person who gives or offers any bribe to, or attempts, by threats or violence, or any other corrupt means. to influence any officer, agent or servant of a nation, a party to this Code, of which the person offending is not a member, or to whose jurisdiction he is not subject, in respect to any matter affecting the duty of such officer, agent or servant to his nation, is guilty of a public offense.

If a member of a nation, being within its jurisdiction, corrupt its officers, it is a matter of municipal cognizance. But it he take advantage of being in any other jurisdiction to commit the offense. or if he be a forcigner, the offense may well be cognizable by either nation.

Violation of prorisions of the Code, by whom punishable.
1002. Any willful violation of a provision of this Code, whether declared to be a public offense or not, is
punishable, when jurisdiction of the person of the offender is acquired, either by the nation aggrieved thereby, or by that within whose jurisdiction the offense was committed.

For public offonnes, extradition is allowed by Artiele 214. The case of contlicting claimn for possension of the offerder, to provided tor by Article 924.

## Punishment for public offenses.

1003. The punishment of any act which is declared to be a public offense by the provisions of this Code. shall be that which is preseribed by the law of the place where the conviction is had, for the same or a similar infraction of its criminal law.

Punishment of other violations of the Code.
1004. Any willful violation of a provision of this Code, not otherwise provided for, is a misdemeanor punishable by tine, not exceeding the amount of the injury done, and five thousand dollars in addition thereto, or by imprisonment not exceeding one year, or by both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court.

Violation of any provision of the Code by public agent.
1005. No violation of any provision whatever of this Code, by any officer, agent, or servant of any nation, party thereto, in the performance of his daty as such, shall be deemed a public offense, punishable under this Code; but redress must be sought from his own nation.

Accessions of nations to this Code.
1006. Any nation may accede to this Code or any part' thereof, by adopting or ratifying the same in the form prescribed by its own constitution and laws, and giving notice thereof to all the other parties.
'Thus Book First, on Prace, may be adopted, without the Book on Wab: or, the Linifohm Regulations foh Mutuat, Convenibsce, Part 111. or any of the twelve Titles therein contained, may be acceded fo, separately : or, Division Second, on Private Intennational Law ; and so of several other portions of the forle.

## Rescission of adoption of Code.

1007. Any nation may in the same manner as prescribed in the last article for its adoption, rescind its adoption of the Code, as to the whole or any part of it. whether such adoption was partial or entire.

Amendments to the Code are provided for by Article 538.
Time when adoption. or rescission of arloption, of Code takes effert.
1008. The adoption of this Code by any nation shall take effect at the expiration of one year from the notice required by article 1006, unless an earlier time is fixed by the notice.

A rescission of such adoption shall take effect at the expiration of one year after notice of the rescission, unless a later time is nixed by the notice.
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cannot make contracts nor rogage in trafic. ..... 394
violation of provisions for protection of ..... $1: 6$
justified by onters of supertor officer ..... 1:6
killing or monnding defonselese or unresisting, unlawfal ..... 49:
Page
Fixemirs，killing passive or disabled，not justified by refusal of quarter ..... 500
contracta of passive．whon lawful ..... 5.24
right of，to bring action in courts of belligerent ..... 599
belligerent bound to protect private rights of passive ..... 599
F．Nemy，defined ..... 491
private gratification at espense of，unlawful ..... 497
Sen Mfodical，Srorvicro Reldibous Stirvice．
Fiquality of Niatiose，in rights and rank ..... 10
in exclusive right to national emblema ..... 10
an to rights of commerce and navigation ..... 228
See Rank ：Perpetvity of Nitions ：Phor－
frrty ；Soverbionty of Nations：Per－ HTTORY OF N゙ATIONS．
Farcheat，on failure of heire ..... 406
Fvidesece．admissibility and effect of． ..... 440
of foreigu laws ..... 441
of judicial record of foreign nation，authenticated ..... 442
oral ..... 442
of other official documents ..... 443
enntents of official certificate for purpose of ..... 413
See Coumts：Extmaditon．
Fixflitor．See ADministhation ；ADMiNisthator；Whl． Exfequatur of consul．See Coxstl． Expatkiatioñ，defined． ..... 137
overy member of a nation has the right of ..... 137
dous not change national character without natural． ization ..... 138
aud renunciation extinguishes allegrance ..... 136
Ser Aheeghace；National．（Haracter；Nate－ halizitions．
Exploration anid（olonizarion，right of ..... 30
America，Asia and Europe not subject to ..... 30
right of pre－emption after ..... 30
Fixtraidition of crimirole ..... 92
duty of，for crimes within demanding nation ..... 92
the requisition for ..... 93
in case of offense on frontier ..... 94
within a colony ..... 94
What criminals are subject to ..... 94
certain offenses not grounds for ..... 108
arfost for cxumination preliminary to ..... 110
in anticipation of requisition for ..... 112
investigation preliminary to ..... 113
mode of arrest and of invertigation preliminary to ..... 114
documentary evidence of commission of crime ..... 115
INIMEX.643
Prage
Extuabition, firmof of guile of aceumed becemonery for ..... $11 \%$
evhlence necemary for, ill rasen of cubvirtand cribilizals. ..... 110
a bation may imquire fison ral motive of ulemabit fop ..... 114
conttictlag clalma fort, upore chargo of a pubtile offenos. ..... 119
 ..... 119
unt withmtamding efoll arrow? ..... 119
may loe coudisional ..... 130
of enosulece uf a thifl sations ..... - $1: 0$
by whoses taateo ..... 130
In rase of offione colnatitiol ois the frontsers ..... $1: 1$
ly colobial goverablebs ..... 121
 ..... 191
secend arpers ..... 122
cuntody of the princonor upant murremelag in ..... 12:
protection of custodian of forimanr awaituge ..... 129
dixcharger in case of velay of ..... 122
extu:nsion of efme for argment aud ..... 120
rostrictions as to punialment after ..... 120
power of lincestigation of clantuo prior fo ..... 193
of mariue deacrfira ..... 124
application for, how mande. ..... 125
local iribunmes in ordeor arreat and surronder su cousul. ..... 125
to aid in capture and imprimmonent ..... 136
restoration to ship, or return to uationt ..... $1: 8$
lluit of imprisonment after nurrouder ..... 136
may be delayed for punishmous of |ocal offonaer ..... $12 \%$
Sec Aspicss.
EXtRA-TEKиITOKIAI, action. Ser Dtscoifery : Fixplobation ast Golosization: Fishbiligs. Navi batbos: PIRACY
Fabere Cobons, displaying, a public offonse ..... 25
use of, nulawful ..... 301
howtilities by ship under, is piracy ..... 308
or papers, ship's ume of, expuiralent to hostile dextima tion. ..... 546
or signals. using, cause for raphure of ahip ..... NHA
Fabse Stosat,f, making. a pablie otfonse ..... 35
hostilitioy by use of, is piraey ..... 03
or lighss, ame of, unlawfisl ..... : 26
Fibilghise, common riglit of, beyond ferritorial limita of nation ..... 31
limite of natioyal serritory not open so comtion ..... 81
exclusive righise of tuembera of nation as tor lis ..... 936
of shipe of a tation as 10 its ..... 230
Fixteres of land, dofinivl ..... 325
Fonstoskers, whoare ..... 105
lawn of a nation atro mplicable to ..... 162
duty to administer juatice to ..... 163
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Font：HiNtus．rights of residence ..... 163
entrance luto nation cannot be interdicted ..... 163
nations cannot interdict commercial intercourse of ..... 163
right of entrance and residence in any nation ..... 164
traflic in，as laborers，a public offense ..... 164
exclusion of ..... 165
See Asvicms：Convifts
passports to be required from，only by nations at war． ..... 167
armed，are not entitled to admission within a nation ..... 167
searches and seizures of persons and property of ..... 168
unusual burdens not to be imposed upon ..... 168
may at any time remove from territory ..... 169
rights of necupation． ..... 169
may enter all resorts of commerce ..... 169
acquire real and personal property ..... 169， 174
carry on trade lawfolly in any nation ..... 169
rights of vocation generally ..... 171
rights of religion ..... 171
freedom of conscience ..... 171
sepulture ..... 173
rights of property ..... 174
－uabled to transmit property ..... 176
right to remove property ..... 176
protection of property in absence or defect of lieirs of ..... 176
duty of local authorities to notify consul of death of ..... 177
－$\rightarrow$ ：consul to notify local authorities of death of ..... 177
property of，who are seamen，to be delivered to consul． ..... 178
consul entitled to administer assets of deceased ..... 179
when local authorities shall administer assets of de－ ceased． ..... 182
notice to consul of property，by succession or will，of． ..... 183
in abeuce of consul，secretary of legation to act for ..... 183
duties of nations to succor and protect wrecked ..... 184
suljection to the lenrs． ..... 190
exempt from all official functions ..... 190
from military and naval service ..... 191
ratitled to free access to tribunals of nations ..... 424
criminal jurisdiction of nations over ..... 433－5
limit of punishment for crimes of ..... 435
jurisliction of militars tribumals over ..... 475
military service cannot be required of ..... 488
interdiction of entrance of．during war． ..... 586
riglits of residence and vocation within belligerent na－ tion ..... 587
belligerent not liable for enemy＇s injury to ..... 601
See（oints；Jithcial．Powfer Taxation ：Wrecked
Fage
Fonveitione, defined ..... 4:4
action for, broughte ouly ita omston inapooing it ..... 424
Fororso postal money undern, publle acto, Judieime pecordo, or otfleial cerificates, a public offetise ..... $\sigma_{6}^{\circ}$
utcering forged inntrumest of coln, a public offener ..... 
Sen Countehyeitisg.
Forthksass, right to bulld and arm, in time of prace. ..... 304
Fonex, law of. See law or Plact:
General afkhage, defined ..... 215
lonk, how borne ..... $21:$
how ascertalned ..... 216
may be adjustod by counsel. when ..... 216
when deek cargo is antited to benefis of ..... 218
damage by water and breakage, whens tos be made good as ..... 218
In extingulahiag fire, to be made sood as. ..... 215
loss by cutting a way wreck not to two thade genel as ..... $21 \times$
voluntary nitanding not to be made foont
As. ..... 219
carrying press of wall, not so be made good as. ..... 215
when port of refuge expensen are admiksible for ..... 219
wages and maintenance of crew to be made good an ot: ..... 220
contributory value of property for, how ancer. tained ..... 221
when loss of frelghtage is to be made good ans. ..... 221
See Jettisos.
Goon Faitn, lawfal promises to eneay to be kept In ..... 301
defined ..... 624
Goons destination determined by destimation of ship's voyage. ..... $54:$
are contraband of war, when ..... 345
on board ship exeupt from capture ..... SN2
Grexswich, meridian of. See Mritides of (ifenswicth.Geandiax, natural and testamentary, to be everywhere recognized assuch.39
judicfally appointed, to the recognized as auct in every nation ..... 83
courts may appolat, of infant ..... $4 \div 9$
of property of infant ..... 4:3
of person not having legal capmeity ..... 439
See Doserica.
Guides. Sce Puots.
Higit Skas, not the subject of property ar exclusive jurimbletion ..... 2s
purauit of inmate of forclgn ship upon, for crime pro hibited. ..... 426
See Nivigatios.
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Hospitalo．defined ..... 510
aud ambulaners，neutrality uf ..... 510
of persons attached to． ..... 493
floge and badyes of ..... 312
 Hosirtal，shirs and boats．how distinguishod ..... 818
cffect of visitation of ..... 513
brlligerent control of ..... 513
Hortane，defined ..... 523
treatulemt of ..... 529
death of ..... 52：3
Hosplaitifis，use of nation of asylum to promote ..... 86
before declaration of war，unlawful． ..... 473
cud of sisty duys from declarution of war，un－ lawfil ..... 473
by belligerent nuthority or in self－defense，lawful．485， 486
by inlabitanta of country rising en masse ..... 487
defensive，lawful without public authority ..... 489
unlawful acts of ..... 497
by use of false colors or signals，is piracy ..... 501， 503
neutral ship is piracy ..... $50 \%$
war terminated cessation of ..... 602
no civil or crimimal liability for lawful． ..... 697
continued upon violation and rescission of trenty of j）\％яе ..... 607
Assassination；Bugands：Mhimary Chabacter；
Mevfcal Sbovice ；Proates：Privateerrno；Re－ LIGIOU゙S SERVICE；WEAPONS．
lwmibsats，punishment of carriers for torts against ..... 435
Imuivity Neと Constles：Exfmies，Passive；Neutral；Peblic Ministers
Impunts．regulations as to ..... 228
 ..... 497


lshratance Nore siocenalus．
INsasitr：judicial decision of persenta of local effect ..... 391
Isentivency．sore Bankletres．
IN＊L boristw，when entithed to belligerent rights ..... 469
may be tronted as belligeronts ly the nation ..... 469
recognition of as leelligarents，ly foreign nations． ..... 469
puniwhment of，for trenson ..... 519
title lorighte und property of．upon overthrow ..... 606
rocognizing imfoprondence of．an act of hostility ..... 614
mas tre recolyuized ans bolligerents ..... 614
 ..... 79
militin may tre callool out to suppress ..... 369
inbex.647
Insenивctios, effect of overtifow of
8 ragIstencounne with uncivilized community to be through ite giverts.meat ... ............................ 30
rffect of a ntate of was apon ..... 354
of belligerente through reprementative of ne atral nation ..... 534
neroms lines of military occupation ..... 591
with belligerent, with knowledge of war, when un. lawful ..... 596
capture of permons and property engaged in lllegal ..... 395
with enesuy by consent of allien ..... e0n
of nations. See Connclan: Pemitc AgKatm: Pemate Ministens; Comenimatoneht.
Ixtenvational. Code goveris nation amsenting thereto and theis members. ..... 1
divisions of the ..... 4. 5
interpretation of words used in ..... 635. 624. 62
everywhere locally binding ..... 626
powen and jurisdiction authorized by, not ob ligatory ..... 626
violations of, by whom pusistable ..... 628
punishment for violations of ..... 629
redress for violations of, by public agent ..... 629
resisting uations beginning war in violation of ..... 371
dismiseal of public minister for nation's viola.
tion of ..... 30
accessions of nations to ..... 629
reacission of adoption of ..... 630
time of adoption or rescission of adoption of ..... 630
modification of provisions of, by apecial treaty. ..... 52
Interphatation of words used in Cide ..... 623, 024, 625
INTERVESTIOS in Internal affinin of States, unlawful ..... 8
between nations at war, forbidden ..... 614
Invasios, militia may be called out to repel ..... 369
Jettison, defined. ..... 214
to be made in what onder. ..... 214
by whom ..... 914
lossen caused by, are general average ..... 213
how borne ..... 915
how ascertained ..... 216
may be adjusted by consul ..... 916
of deck cargo ..... $21 \%$
damage by water and breakage consequent on a ..... 218
See GENERAS. AvERAGF:
Joist High Commission, to settle differences between nations ..... 369
Judasisst, effect of, by jurisdiction acquired over property ..... 498
over jerwon ..... $1: 9$
effiect of toreign ..... 445
Page
Joimane:nt, impeachment of foreign ..... 446
of foreign sation forbidden to be enforced ..... 447

- conkent to execution of foreign ..... 447
in rem everywhere conclusive ..... 447
as to status of person conclusive upon all persons ..... 447
of divorce, insolvency or succession ..... 447
of probate court, as to right of succession, when con. clusive. ..... 461
See Divobce; Recohds; Judicial. Record.
Judicial. Power of nations in cicil cases ..... 423
of one nation within limits of mother ..... 426
limit of, as to absent persons ..... 427
as to property abroad ..... 428
over foreign sovereigns ..... 430
over property of foreign nations, de ..... 430
extends to property of another nation within its territory, when ..... 430
of consuls ..... 431
of mations in criminal cascs ..... 432
over its own members. ..... 432
over foreigners ..... 433-5
See Action ; Capacity ; Consimacien ; Coubts; Foheigners; Guardian; High Seas; Immi- Ghants; Judgment; Pirates.
Judicial Procempings of nations everywhere to receive faith and credit ..... 445
Jedicial. Rfoomb, how authenticated ..... 442
oral evidence of foreign ..... 442
forging. a public offense ..... 627
Jumisniction of Nations, defined ..... 159
territorial ..... 159
extra-territorial ..... 159
conflict of concurrent ..... 161
subjects of ..... 161
as to foreigners or foreign nations ..... 161
an to foreign military and naval forces. ..... 161
sovereign or chief officer of a nation not subject to other ..... 9
high seas not the subject of property or of exclusive ..... 23
L.AND defined ..... 394
fixtures of, defined ..... 395
ajpurtenances of, defined ..... 395
Law or Ploace defined ..... 160
governa civil capacithes of individuals. ..... 378380
personal, marital and parental rights of parties to marriage. ..... 387
IXDYX.649
Page
Law of Place governing riglita and modew of tranoferof ral joroge
erty ..... 395
validity and effert of acts on to per.monal property286
public funde and obliggations of mationes. ..... 308
contract made and preflormacd in. satse nation ..... 411
contract made and performed in differ. ent uations ..... 412
interpretation of contract ..... 413
mode of cloarging partien to negotiable paper ..... 416
governa remedy and procedure in judicial proceeding ..... 48\%
governing meanure of damages in jodicial procevel. inga ..... 438
In the absence of proot of forvign law ..... 430
Law of Road at Sea, right of navigation suliject to ..... 25
See Navioation.
Laws or War, indemnification for crimen in violation of ..... 476
Leration. sight of ..... 88See Pumbc Agents : Punitc Ministine: Conntian.
Lowitimacy or illegitimacy is a personal attribute ..... 368
when affecting succession to immovables in another country ..... 368
See Doxicti. : Mathinge.
LETTERS, ownership of private ..... 276
righta to publish private ..... 276
addressed to public officers ..... 278
See Product of the Mind.
of credeace. See Puisitc Ministzin.
Liberty. Sev Foreioners: Siavizs.
Ligs of creditors upon personal jropwrty within mation. ..... 402
Lisitatios, belligerents' statutes of, suspended by war. ..... 600
when civil war suspends statutes of. ..... 601
Lonattude. See Meridian of timeenwicit.
Malls, arrangements for dexpatch of ..... 253
free entry and departure of ships carrying. ..... 284
forwanding, in came of deviation of mail whip ..... 251
carrying private, forbidden. ..... 281
dangerous substances not to be carriex in ..... 888
tranait of closed, through any nation ..... 961
transfer of closed, from one ship to another ..... 26:
not in be detained. ..... Sis
unlawful hindrance of, a public offioner ..... 961
interference with contents of, a public offroser ..... $: 64$
violation of mecricy of contents of, a public offionse ..... 968
containing contraband goocha. ..... 94
Page
Matls, contents of, are not contraband of war ..... 553
See Pontal, Cohbespondence; Postai, Service.Marriage, defined382
if valid where contracted, valid every where ..... 383
if invalid where contracted, invalid everywhere ..... 385
capacity and consent to. ..... 385
See C'ar'acity.
forms of celebration requisite for ..... 386
public ministers and consuls may solemnize ..... 386
deemed invalid, where the relation would be criminal. ..... 387
in evasion of home law, not invalid ..... 387
a criminal offense ..... 387
personal, marital and parental rights of parties to ..... 387
rights and obligations of parties to a polygamous ..... 387
rights of property as affected by ..... 399. 400
See Mathimonial; National Chahacter.
Maitiab, livief suspendsall laws. ..... 477
justified only by necessity ..... 480
exercised in any place possessed by belligerent ..... 480
without proclamation ..... 480
consuls are subject to. ..... 481
duty of magistrates and civil officers to be obedient to ..... 481
Matrimosiat, settlement, effect of ..... 399
domicil, defined ..... 400
not changed by abandonment of one by other. ..... 400
law of, governs rights of property ..... 400
property after change of domicil ..... 400
Mfiniation, offer of, in war between nations, allowed ..... 614
between nation and its members, for- bidden ..... 614
Medical Service, persons employed in, cannot be separately at- tacked. ..... 493
exercise functions within enemy's lines ..... 511
may withdraw from en- emy's lines ..... 511
Mfyber of nation, defined ..... 3
of nation not having recognized government, injury to. ..... 436
Meridian of Grefewich, to be the prime meridian ..... 355
all longitudes to be reckoned from ..... 356
government charts, $\mathbb{E} c$, to be con- formed io ..... 356
public vessels to have clarts con- formed to ..... 356
to keep logs in accord- ance with ..... 356
INDEX651
Methto Weightband Mensenge. See Weiohto asd Mfascakg. Militahy, defined ..... 474
law defined ..... 4.5
tribunaly, jurimiction over foreignero. ..... 475
to afford indernaity for exceames ..... 476
Mistany Chahacten. persons deetned to be limpreeend with. ..... th:
Misitany Fonces, clvil rennediea for acta of ..... 000
Militaik Occepation, defined ..... 452
suspenda allegiance of members of bosetile nation ..... 152
civil and criminal law within limita of ..... 463
esemy'n territory held by, unsll complesed conquest ..... 304

Militany Ponts, right of entry of forcign mhipn luto ..... 24
defined. ..... 573
Mintary Senvice. limit of number to be employed in. in sime of peace ..... $33^{\circ}$
caunot be required of foreiguers ..... 191. 4x
Mifitia, right of nation to drill itm able-bodied men as foree of ..... 368
may be called into active mervice, when ..... 369
Misistku's nation, defined ..... 37
public. See Pubitc Agknts: Pubisc Mintstens.
Monsy acconnts between nations or their members. ..... 281
denominations of, to follow law of decimal subdivision ..... 281
standard of, to be gold ..... $2 \times 1$
fineness of. prescribed ..... 262
unit of, to be called a dollar. ..... 294
value of unit of ..... 244
See Coiss.
Mosey Ordens. See Postal. Monfy Ordens.
Mostis, in contracts and written instruments, how understond ..... 359
See Day: Time: Iear.
Mcseuxs, dec., exempt from acts of hostilities ..... 536
Mutifatios of person in puaishment of offenses, forbidden ..... $4: 5$
of permons of prisuners of war, uolawful ..... 498
Nation, defined ..... 2
use of term, in this Code ..... 3
Nationil Ciaracter of persons. ..... 199
defined ..... 199
every persun has one ..... 129
of two nations cannot tr liad at one time ..... 1:9
rights of memberahip of a nution without itn. ..... 199
of legitimate child of a member of the nation ..... 132
of a forcligner ..... 182
of illegitimate child ..... 183
recognized by its father ..... 123
Page
National. Chafracter of illegitimate child born abond ..... 134
of child of unknown parents ..... 134
persons withina nation presumed to have its. ..... 134
narriage, without removal, does not affect wife's. ..... 132
and permanent remoral changes wife's. ..... 135
gives wife privileges of husband's. ..... 135
does not affect political privileges of wife's ..... 185
of shipping. ..... 142
every ship has one, and only one ..... 142
privileges of ships of a nation without its. ..... 142
origin of ship's ..... 142
of ships, luw changed ..... 142
registry may be required for enjoyment of ..... 143
contents of passport of ships of every ..... 143
passport of ship required for enjoyment of foreign ..... 143
evidence of its ..... 144
reciprocal liberty of commerce to ships of every ..... 228
equality as to imposts, privileges, \&c., of ships of every ..... 229
of ship, when changed by transfer during war ..... 597
of members of conquered nation ..... 604
See Aldegiance; Domichl Expatriation : Natubalizatiox.
Nitebalization, defined ..... 138
not obligatory ..... 139
each nation to determine whom it will receive into ..... 139
effect of ..... 139
record to be sent to minister of former nation ..... 139
absentees cannot be received into ..... $1: 39$
punishment of crimes committed previous to ..... 140
See Able;orance; Expatmiation; Nationai
('HABACTER.
Naviostos of the high seas and other waters ..... 24
of rivers commanicating with the sea ..... 24
of inland waters uot conmunicating with the sea ..... 24
by monacing armaments within territorial limits of a nation ..... 24
military perts not opeen to frece ..... 24
public or private ships may enter any open port ..... 25
restrictions upon the right of free ..... 25
Page
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Navioation subject to right of approach ..... 25
of visitation ..... 26
shipware engaged in fiolierien, or foreign of domestic ..... 197
when shilpe are ougaged in forwign ..... 198
In domestie ..... 105
rules of. [hase of the roud at eca] ..... 199
local rules of, bind domentic ahipe ..... 300
bind foreign mhipe with notice. ..... 300
equality in foreign commeree and ..... 295. 230
exclunive rights of ahipa of a nation an so dotacstic ..... 236
belligerent may destroy facilitien of, when ..... 635
See Colniston ; Consther: Sult.
Ngogtiable Ixmthuxket, mode of charging partien to. ..... 416
presumption an to place of indorsement of ..... 419
effect of certificate of protent of ..... 440
See Conthact : Notahy.
Nettral, duties, performance of, in case of civil war. ..... 469
violation of provisions for protection of ..... 126
justified by orders of superior otficer ..... 476
ship, hostilitien by use of, is piracy ..... 303
on board ship upon capture ..... 567
belligerent bound to protect private rights of. ..... 399
who are ..... 612
right to be. ..... 012
sovereignty violated by attempt to involve a ..... 612
bound not to assist a belligerent. ..... 612
kinds of assistance by n ..... 613
active assistance of a. defined ..... 618
pasaive araistance of a, defined ..... 614
active dutien of a. ..... 615
cannot purchase eonyuered territory during war ..... 617
right of hostile ship to enter port of a ..... 690
effect of entrance in distress into port of a. ..... 630
suppliee to hostile shipm, limited ..... 620
protection of hostile ships in port of a ..... 620
priority of departure of hostile ships from port of a ..... 630
prizen not to be sent to ports of a. ..... 021
righte and obligations, application of. ..... 625
Nectralaty towaris a nation and its insurgents. ..... 469
breach of, defined ..... 612
violation of, defined ..... 612
effect of a breach of ..... 612
breach of, not justified by precedent obligation ..... 616
of sick and wounded soldiens ..... 618
succor to sick and wounded not a breach of ..... $61 \%$
when duties of, take effect ..... $61 \%$
liability for negligence in enforcing. . . $\operatorname{s}$. ..... 618
Page
Neuthahatr, violations of ..... 619
repelled by force ..... 619
land forces violating, to be disarmed. ..... 619
restoration of prizes captured in violation of ..... 621
transactions in violation of, every where void ..... 621
breaches and violations of, public offenses ..... 622
redress for injuries in violation of ..... 622
Newspapens, right to publish or translate extracts from ..... 280
Sue Copyhght : Phoduct of the Mind.
Notally, certificate of, when sufficient ..... 440
See Negotiablee Instrument.
Non-Intercocirse. Sce Intercourse.Notice, actual, defined624
duty of giving notice requires actual ..... 624
constructive, defined. ..... 624
certain persons deemed to have constructive ..... 024
Oath to testimony taken for a foreign court ..... 444
of allegiance from inhabitants of a country to an invader. ..... 481
Orligations of nations unaffected by diminution of territory or population ..... 10
by change in government or dynasty ..... 11
as affected by annexation to another na-
tion ..... 12
by divisiou of nation ..... 12
defined ..... 410
how created ..... 410
accrues, when ..... 410
arising out of act prohibited by law ..... 421
from performance or omission of acts ..... 422
from ownerslip or possession of property ..... 422
how affected by state of war or treaty of peace ..... 580
of nation to pay its debt not affected by war ..... 581
anticipation of war does not affect existing. ..... 583
extension of time for fulfillment of ..... 583
See Contracts; Damages ; Law of Place ; Treaty.
Ocrepation of territory. See Discovery ; Tembtory of Nation
Ottiaw, proclaiming any person, unlawful act of hostility ..... 497
Ownershif, defined ..... 393
what may be the subjects of ..... 394
wild animals may be the subjects of ..... 394
Parolee defined ..... 520
when uation may forbid acceptance of ..... 522
extorted by ill usage not binding ..... 522
must be reduced to writing. ..... 522
the obligation of a ..... 522
violation of, punishable with death. ..... 522
INDEX.655
Panty to the recond, defined ..... Page ..... 4
Passporte required of ahipn
contente of whip's.s.14
effect of nhip's. ..... 144
defined ..... 167
ovidence of national character. ..... 167
lmeued to membert of a nation ..... 166
by its public mininters ..... $4 \%$
by its consuls. ..... 67
to be required only by nation at war ..... $16:$
carrying no, or a false, cause for capture of ship. ..... 365
of foreignern entering or leaving belligerent'n territory ..... 800
Patkets, protection of ..... 968
Peack, time of, defined ..... 369
limit of standing military forces in time of ..... 367
right to build and arm fortrenses in time of ..... 358
ships of war in time of ..... 308
how far Book on, is in force in time of war ..... 167
Prrugry in giving teasimony for foreign tribunal ..... 69\%
Perpetuity of Nation unaffected by diminution of territory or population ..... 10
by change in form of govern- ment or in dynasty ..... 11
by temporary anarchy. ..... 11
by choosing or receiving as ita sovereign the sovereign of another nation ..... 11
as affected by annexation of one nation to another ..... 12
by cession or other annexation of part of territory ..... 18
by division of the nation ..... 12
Picxets, Aring on, when unlawful ..... 493
Pilotiog of public armed ship of belligerent, when unlawful ..... 617
Pilors or guiden, inhabitante compelled to serve as ..... 504
intentionally minleading, punishable with death ..... 304
Piracy, llability to extradition on conviction or charge of ..... 95
injury to member of uncivilized community is ..... 436
hostility by use of false colors or signals is ..... 501, 503
neutral ship is ..... 503
Pratis, defined ..... 82. 83
harboring, forbidden ..... 38
capture of. authorized ..... 83
reatoration of property retaken from ..... 34
salvage for retaking property from ..... 84
subject to criminal jurisdiction of all nations ..... 436
are criminals without protection of laws of war ..... 490
Page
Pimatical Silif, arrest and search of suspected ..... 33
condemnation of, its lading and inmates ..... 83
destruction of ..... 34
reward for capture of ..... 34
Potsos, use of, to vitiate food, drink or atmosphere, unlawful ..... 498
Postal Correspondence, exchange of ..... 251
classes of ..... 252
weight of, for single rate of postage ..... 255
rate of ocean postage upon ..... 256
prepayment of postage upon ..... 250
insufficient prepayment of postage upon ..... 250
registered ..... 256
fee for registering ..... 257
basis for settlement of accounts for ..... 258
regulations for despatch and delivery of. ..... 258
between governments and their agents, free ..... 262
post departments of different nations, free ..... 262
misdirected, missent, or not delivered ..... 262
not to be detained ..... 264
containing contraband grods ..... 264
interference with, a public offense ..... 264
violation of secrecy of, a public offense. ..... 264
matters of detail concerning exchange of. ..... 264
See Malls.
Postal Money Orders, international, for sums not exceeding $\$ 100$ ..... 265
offices from which, issue. ..... 265
language of ..... 266
charges for ..... 266
payable in gold or its equivalent ..... 268
transferable by indorsement ..... 267
money unclaimed upon ..... 207
settlement of accounts for ..... 267
stamps, \&ic., counterfeiting, a public of- fense ..... 627
Postal. Service unaffected by war between the nations. ..... 586
Postal Tabifys to be regulated by metric weight ..... 347
Prescmiftion, title to territory acquired by ..... 22
each belligerent's rules of, suspended by war ..... 600
when civil war suspends rules of ..... 601
Priscipals, who are ..... 625
Prisonens ov War, any belligerent may take ..... 516
what persons may be taken ..... 516
persons not entitled to be treated as ..... 517
messengers entitled to be treated as ..... 517
what personal property of, cannot be taken by captor. ..... 518
INDEX.607
Painoxzma of Wan, money of, exceeding amount necresary for sup port ..... 518
surrender of side arms by officers taken ..... 818
manner of treatment of ..... 818. 810
expense of malntenance of, by whom borse ..... 818
restralat of ..... 810
righta of. ..... 818
may be puninhed, when ..... 810
giving information concorning their forese. ..... 810
assuming false rank of condition ..... 880
may be required to work for captor, when ..... 820
lisable to infliction of retaliatory measures. ..... 820
killing, not justified by refusal of quarter ..... 500
parole of ..... 520
engagements of, inconsistent with allegiasce, vold ..... 521
conspiracy of, lor an escape, unlawful ..... 828
individual escapo by, lawful ..... 528
duty to exchange or allow to be raneomed ..... 524
right of belligerent to retain. ..... 524
manner of exchanging ..... 524
ransom for ..... 524
cartel for exchange of ..... 524
breach of cartel for exchange of. ..... 525
constraint of, ceases upon termination of war. ..... 608
See Cartel; Hostage; Insurgents; Parole : Sick and Wounded.
Partaterring abolished ..... 480
punishment of ..... 400
Private Property. See Phoperty.
Pares incapable of being sent to port, duty of captor of ..... 503
munt be brought in for adjudication ..... 576
possession of, necessary for adjudication. ..... 678
disposition of, lawfully captured ..... 578
sentoration of, unlawfully captured ..... 578
title to, not affected till judgment ..... 578
condemnation as, overrides all liens ..... 579
requ!sites of judgment condemning ..... 570
uniform rules of procedure in cases of ..... 580
courte established in country of allies ..... 610
not to be sent into neutral port ..... 621
restoration of, captured in violation of neatrality ..... 681
Prize Oppicer, duty of, apon capture of alip. ..... 568
Product of tie Mind, how far subject of ownerahip. ..... 875
author of, may transfer lis property in same ..... 978
sighta of second author of ..... 876
Page
Pronect of the Mind, power to prohibit publication of ..... 280
Sce Copymight: Letters; Newspapers.
Phorenty, capacity of a nation to have ..... 20
national propriotary rights ; eminent domain ..... 21
internal domain ..... 21
title to, acquired by prescription ..... 22
apportionment of, upon division of a nation ..... 13
defined ..... 393
ownership in ..... 303
in what may exist ..... 394
kinds of. ..... 394
real or immovable, what ..... 394
personal or movable, what ..... 395
kinds of personal ..... 395
in possession, what ..... 395
of a person declared a lunatic, real ..... 391
personal ..... 391
law governing rights and modes of transfer of real ..... 395
validity and effect of acts affecting personal ..... 396
in shipping, law governing title to and transfer of ..... 309
rights of, as affected by marriage ..... 399
governed by law of matrinonial domicil ..... 400
transfer of, when void ..... 401
lien of creditors upon personal ..... 402
of intestate, incidents of local burdens upon ..... 406
without heirs, accrues to state ..... 406
limit of judicial power as to private, abroad ..... 428
transfer of, by a debtor, when valid ..... 456

vested in foreign personal representative, title to ..... 459
application of, to payment of decedent's debts ..... 460
See Anministrition; Administrator. wanton injuries to, unlawful acts of hostility ..... 497
belligerent's right to captured, upon termination of war. ..... 602
public, seized and held by belligerent ..... 533
may be appropriated by belligerent, for what pur- poses. ..... 534, 535
peranal. engaged in hostilities or illegal intercourse ..... 534
fxempt from acts of hostility ..... 536
cannot be sold or removed by invader ..... 537
tisle to public immovable, seized by belligerent ..... 537
movable, seized by belligerent ..... 538
revenues of public, held in trust for governing country. ..... 538
private, to be respected during war. ..... 539
of passive encmies appropriated by belligerent ..... 542
compensation for, taken for military uses ..... 543
See Tebritohy of Nations.
Pase
Pualic Aozxrs, intereourno to be conducted by what ..... 87
nations forbiddea to eatertain negotiations except through ..... 87
forbiddon to make unotifial negotiations of com. municatione ..... 37
falaely acouming to act an, a public offense ..... 88
number ualimited of a nation'a. ..... 38
obligation of nation to receive ..... 88
a nation may refune to receive its own inembere as. ..... 30
personal objections to persons sent a ..... 29
on sccount of rank or status ..... 20
conditiona may be imponed upon reception of ..... 40
have all privilegen not excluded as condition of re- ception ..... 40
claiming excensive powers ..... 40
accredited to more than one nation ..... 40
must furnish list of family, official and personal ..... 41
give notice of any change in family ..... 41
government may refuse to recognize family of ..... 41
conditional reception of family of ..... 41
upon recall, \&c., of, powers, \&c., upon whom de- volved ..... 41
may place insignia of office, and flag, over reaidence ..... 41
are exempt from liability for official acte. ..... 42
immunities may be withdrawn upon emergencies from ..... 42
duty of nations to prevent violations of immunities of. ..... 42
interference with a dispatch to or from. ..... 43
expulsion of hostile nation's, during war ..... 584
of neutral accredited to belligerent nation, righta of. ..... 585
bribery or menace of, a public offense ..... 628
redress for violations of Code by ..... 029
Pualic Mixigters, appointment and reception ..... 4
classes of ..... 4
must be furnished with letters of credence. ..... $H$
letters of credence, how issued ..... 4
must have written power to act in a congrese ..... 45
anthority to negotiate treaty ..... 45
must notify arrival at post of duty ..... 45
recognition of a government by reception of ita ..... 45
ofiticial and personal family of, defined ..... 45
rank of. ..... 46
not affected by relation between courta ..... 46
powers of, defined by inmeructions ..... 47
cannot be required to disclose inetructions ..... 47
Pago
Ptimlic Mrissters, may issue passports to members of their nation. ..... 47
authenticate documents for use in their nation ..... 47
official communications of, when to be in writ- ing ..... 47
powers of, how terminated ..... 47
upon death of, effects to be sealed ..... 48
charge of body for interment ..... 48
recalled by letter of recall, to deliver authen- ticated copy ..... 48
powers of, upon death, \&ic., of sovereign ..... 49
pending recognition of new govern ment ..... 48
may terminate mission by notifying with- drawal ..... 50
be dismissed for violations of Code ..... 50
for any personal objection ..... 50
reasons for dismissing, to be assigned ..... 50
nations may forbid intercourse with dismissed. ..... 50
immunities. ..... 51
ratification of old letter of credence of ..... 50
right of passage through any nation, with fam. ily ..... 51
must have safe conduct for passage through na. tion at war ..... 51
arrest, \&c., of, entering unauthorized a nation at war ..... 52
departing from prescribed line of transit ..... 52
exemption of person and property of ..... 52
formalities requisite for unofficial acts of ..... 52
duration of exemptions of person, property and
family of ..... 52
exception as to exemptions of, upon change of government. . 53after termination
of powers ..... 53
dwelling-house exempt from jurisdiction of na- tion ..... 53
an asylum against violation of personal rights ..... 53
exemptions of the family, official and personal, of ..... 54
of persons prohibited from serving ..... 54
extent to which privilege may be waived by ..... 54
family cannot waive their privileges ..... 54
may waive privileges of family ..... 54INDEX.661
Pcblic Mixietzas, property in trade of the family of ..... 5
cannot be deprived of privileget when retiring. ..... 6
domill io not changed by appointment, sc ..... 6
juriediction of a nation orer its members, when ..... ©
committing high erimes, may be expelled from territory ..... 5
any one may prevent the criminal acts of ..... 80
eannot inflict criminal puniohment upon family ..... 50
duty to pay taxes und asmenments upon prop- erty ..... 6
may import certain articlen free of duty ..... 6
privileges of bearers of despatches to or from ..... 57
reparation for violence to property of ..... 87
Pualic Orresse. Boundaries, marks or monuments. injuring ..... 17
Bribery, or menace of public agent ..... 625
Counterfeiting great seal of a nation; seal of any court or tribunal; publlic securtites; gold and ailver coin ; postage and revenue stamps ..... 027
Uttering counterfeit instrument or coin ..... 02
Diplomatic powers, \&c., falsely assuming ..... 39
Dispatch to or from a public agent, interference with ..... 43
Extrudition on conviction or charge 8, liabll. ity to ..... 95
False colors, displaying, or making false signals ..... 25
Forging postal money orders ; public acts; judicla! records ; official certificates ..... 627
Uttering forged instrument or coln ..... 628
Mails, carrying private, except upon prepaying postage. ..... 254
unlawful hindrance of ..... 264
interference with correspondence in ..... 204
violation of secrecy of correspondence in ..... 964
Member of nation not having recognized gorern. ment, injury to ..... 436
Neutrality, breaches and violations of ..... 622
Perjury in giving testimony for foreign tribunal ..... 628
Punishment for ..... $0: 9$
Traffic in laborers ..... 164
Telegraphic dispatches, sending falso ..... 250
hindering transmission of ..... :50
violating seerecy of ..... 250
Qcarastine of ghips, persons and property in port ..... 239
on frontier ..... 959
for what diseases imposed ..... 939
ships in foul condition may be detained in ..... 29
when ships may put to sea, instead of being placed in. ..... 240
Page
Quakastine, limit of ..... 240
regulations may be made by each nation ..... 240
Quarter, when unlawfully refused ..... 497
See Enemits ; PMisonens of War.
Ranlwars between frontice stations an international route ..... 241
equal facilities to members of every nation upon ..... 241
freedom of traflic upon international ..... 242
revenue service upon international. ..... 242
criminals not to be employed upon international ..... 244
goods carried in passenger trains on international ..... 244
transit of merchandise upon, to third nation ..... 245
destroylng or injuring international, is piracy. ..... 33
may be destroyed by belligerent, when. ..... 535
suspension of intercourse across belligerent's lines by ..... 586
Rank, or social condition, privileges of, are local ..... 377
See Equality of Nitions; Public Ministers.
RaNsom for prisoners of war ..... 524
Recaptcine of property of a neutral, effect of. ..... 542
belligerent, effect of. ..... 542
at sea, salvage for ..... 572
Recognition. See Insurgents.
Reconquest, effect of, upon civil and political rights ..... 484
Reconds, public, of nations everywhere to receive credit. ..... 445
See Judicial. Reconds.
Redress for injuries from uncivilized community ..... 30
for obtrusion of foreign convicts upon a nation. ..... 88
notice of, sought by one nation from another ..... 369
Religious Labehty. See Fomeigners.
Reitgious service, persons employed in, cannot be separately at-
tacked. ..... 493
respected and protected ..... 514
Reprisal, defined ..... 471
negative, defined ..... 472
positive, defined ..... 478
treated as declaration of war ..... 473
in violation of provisions for preservation of peace ..... 473
Rescce of person or property after capture, when lawful ..... 541
Resistance of lawful visitation or search, effect of. ..... 559
Retaliatios, when allowed. ..... 499
mode of, not to be barbarous ..... 500
See Phisoners of War.
KEWABD, offering, for death of person, unlawful act of hostility ..... 497
Rights, essential of nations. See Equality of Nations; Perpe- teity of Nations; Property; Sovereignty of Nations.
Rule of the Road. See Law of the Road at Sea; Natigation.Safe Cosducts may be given by a nation to any person.166rag
Gave Cosidecte entitlo holder to reopect and protection ..... 160
for public agents erosing or expelled frotu territory. ..... 849
for forelgnere meat out of terfitory ..... **
rffect of ..... 30
Salctes of ship entering fortifed ports, sc ..... 27
Salvagk defined ..... 2
when, and to whom, allowed ..... 352
for retaking property from pirates ..... 34
not allowed to public shipm ..... 25
offcers and meamen are entitled to ..... 224
pllotn may claim. ..... 29
how forfeited ..... 23
opecial contract respecting amount of ..... 220
amount awarded for, how fixed ..... 296
how apportioned between several alvors ..... 22:
for property recaptured at sen during war. ..... 572
Saxity, laws determining person's, are territorial ..... 391
Sarages, employment of, againat civilized enemy, anlawful ..... 499
Seal. See Countzhreiting; Forging.
Secretart of legation. See Peblic Aoents; Pcblic Mixinters. 8earcties on high sean furbidden. ..... 87
and seizures of persons and property of \{oreignore ..... 165
effect of resintance of lawful, by force. ..... 359
memorandum of, to be indorsed on ship's papers. ..... 561
See Vibitation.
Hras, defined. ..... 462
high, defined. ..... 23
See hion Seas.
8ea Signals, code of, to be devised by international commiseton ..... 305
to be used by all sea-going ships. ..... 352
for all, except confidential commu- nications ..... 302
apparatusand instructions for use of international code of ..... 852
See False Stginalo: Signals.
8extikeis, firing on, when unlawful ..... 405
Seir, defined ..... 197
appurtenances of, defined ..... 197
engaged in fisheries, or foreign or domestic navigation. ..... 197
is engaged in forelgn navigation, when ..... 195
in dumestic navigation, when ..... 198
to carry flag of nation and evidence of national character ..... 87
documentary evidence of the character of ..... 97
foreign, defined ..... 198
domestic, defined ..... 198
owner for royage responsible for repairs and supplies of ..... 198
registry, enrollwent and license o! ..... 190
value of, how determined ..... 190
Page
Snip, navigation of, rule for ..... 200
public or private, may enter any open port ..... 25
sailing, as used in law of road at sen ..... 201
under steam, as used in law of road of sea ..... 201
on high seas in distreas, duty of succor of ..... 209
restrictions on examination of cargo of foreign ..... 234
on clarges imposed on foreign ..... 234
exempt from tonnage dues ..... 235
computation of tonnage dues on foreign ..... 230
law governing title to and transfers of. ..... 399
pursuit of inmate of foreign, on higla seas, for crime, prohibited ..... 426
limit of punishment on foreign private ..... 435
hostilities by use of neutral, is piracy ..... 503
seized and held by belligerent ..... 533
public, surprised by war free from capture. ..... 539
used or destined for use of enemy is contraband of war ..... 545
contingent destination of voyage presumed hostile. ..... 546
neutral and hostile destinution of royage of, defined. ..... 546
use of false papers or colors equivalent to hostile destination ..... 546
destination of voyage of, conclusive as to goods ..... 547
under hostile convoy liable to capture and confiscation ..... 558
captured, to be sent to port for adjudication ..... 561
when surrender of contraband contents disclarges ..... 502
or cargo incapalsle of being sent to port, duty of captor of ..... 562
persons captured in public armed ..... 568
without passport or colors. ..... 568
and cargo must be released, when ..... 508
riglit of every, to defend against attack ..... 572
in enemy's ports at commencement of war. ..... 583
bound to enemy's port at commencement of war. ..... 593
when transfer of, during war, changes national character ..... 597
See ('apture; Jurisdiction of Nation; National Char-acter of Shipping; Passports; Quarantine: Taxation ;Visitation.
Suips of Wir, right to build and arm, in time of peace ..... 868
Sick and W'ounded in private house exempt it from billetings ..... 511
prisoners of war cared for without distinction. ..... 511
immediate exclange of ..... 512
incapacitated for service ..... 512
released on parole ..... 512
voluntary societies for succor of, at sea ..... 513
Sigsals may be deatroyed by belligerent, when. ..... 535See Fabse, Siginalis; Sfa Nignais.
Slavert, beyond the territory of nation, reducing to, or holding in, is piracy ..... 33
no one subject to, except in punishment for crime ..... 377
Suaves, trade in, declared piracy ..... 33
INDEX.00
Slavze, liability to extradition for trading ta ..... Pes
foreign. beoomn free by entering free nation ..... 878
Soverzionty of Nitios. defind ..... 8
foreign powern not eatitled to act within ..... -
how veated ..... $\bullet$
never extendo to woverelgn or chitel oflicer o! another nation ..... 9
See Equalisty of Nations: Penpetc.ity of Nation: Temertory of S.thons : Phopenty.
Spics, deâned ..... uns
omployment and punimitment of ..... 508
Sen Paisoxers of Was
Bfoliation of Parkes, caupe for capture of dhip ..... sas
defined ..... 565
Grandisg Ahiny, See Mhitaby Sehvick.
Stanving enemy by cutting of nupplife unlawiul ..... 109
Statur. See Capacity; Forelgabrs: Gcardian.
Stratagens, defined ..... 501
unlawful ..... 801
lawful ..... 508
Sunmet, defined ..... 8
Scccession, defined ..... 40
to movables. law governiug ..... tor
to imnorables, law gorarning ..... tas
when not affected by question of legit-
Imacy ..... 337
righten of as affected by foreign character of property. ..... $t 05$
judgmenta of pmbatecourt as to right of. when mo clusive ..... 481
Tariffs, regulations as us ..... 223
Taxatiox, jurisdiction of a nation to impoee ..... 19:
of the person of domiciled residents. ..... 193
equality of ..... 103
enrporations liable to ..... 183
shipping liable to, only ty its own mation ..... 198
property of forviguers in transit, how liable to. ..... 194
property of public minister liable to ..... 36
debte and evidencen of debt not liable to ..... 194
commercial paper, how liable to. ..... 195
national obligations in hands of foreigners, not liable to. ..... 125
of commercial iravellera ..... $28:$
on mamples carried by commercial travellera. ..... 23
Telegrapaic Disfatciess, clamificaiton of ..... 247
of atate, defined ..... 24:
to be authenticated ..... 24:
autheatication of private. ..... 248
Page
Tflegraphic Difpatcuen. language of ..... 248
in cypher ..... 248
order of transmission of ..... 248
designation of route of. ..... 249
government interference or scrutiny of ..... 249
transmission of illegal, may be stopped ..... 249
sending false, a public offense ..... 250
hindering transmission of, a public offense ..... 250
violating secrecy of, a public offense ..... 250
national regulations concerning ..... 250
Telegraphs, destryying or injuring oceanic, is piracy ..... 33
submarine, within extra-territorial jurisdiction of nation ..... 160
- may be landed on shores of any nation ..... 246
all persons may correspond by international ..... 247
goverument suspension of service of ..... 24
submarine, exempt from acts of hostilities ..... 536
suspension of intercourse across belligerent's lines by. ..... 586
See Jumisdiction, extra-territorial.
Territory of Nation, defined ..... 14
limits of, when bounded by the sea ..... 15
islands, part of, adjacent ..... 15
limits of, when bounded by stream or channel ..... 16
by inland lake, \&c. ..... 16
when wilderness lies between it and another nation ..... 16
power to determine boundaries ..... 17
when special compact defines boundary of . ..... 17
injuring marks or monuments indicating
boundaries of ..... 17
how lost and how acquired ..... 17
acquired by occupation ..... 18
determined by occupancy of part of island. ..... 18
by limits of effective control ..... 18
land formed in sea by accretion part of, nearest ..... 18
boundary of. when modified by land formed on shore ..... 18, 19
reclaiming land washed away from ..... 19
ownership of islands formed upon or within boundary of ..... 18
change of course of stream the boundary line of ..... 19
acquired by transfer or cession ..... 19
by conquest ..... 20
rights and obligations unaffected by diminu- tion in population or ..... 11
may be seized and held by belligerent ..... 533
EXDE:X.687
TEARItoky or Nation, belligerent may ravage asd lay wasto mose my's, when ..... 336
stele so and revenues of, seland by belligerest ..... $35 \%$
ocenpation of rnemy'm, u pon termination of war ..... 603
purehase, by neutral during war, of enoquered 61\%
See Dincoveny: Pekfetcity of Sitios.
Proferty.
Tugz, Eregroriat style of reckoning, to be emploved ..... 85\%
computation of ..... 625
See Dar: Mostri Ifatr.
Tradexakx, what may be appropriated as a. ..... 203. 27!
reginsry of forelgn. ..... $2: 1$
declaration of sole right so foreign, to be fild ..... $2: 1$
offlices for reginiration of foreign ..... 291
right of registration of forelgn ..... 272
Trafyic in laborers, a public offene ..... 164
fielligerent's sight of interdiction of interior ..... 890
between districts occupled by opposing belligereats, uns- lawful ..... 591
with enemies or hontile government ..... 594
directly alshserving the purposes ut the war ..... 494
of passive enemiex, when lawful ..... 594
with belligerent with knowledge of war, whea anlawful ..... 593
right of, when millitary occupation or loterdiction ceases ..... 59:
capture of permons and property engaged in lllegal ..... 595
See Rallways.
Trasifer, defined ..... 401
voluntary, defined ..... 401
validity of. ..... 401
of personal pronerty, when void ..... 401
protectiou of credisors againet forelgu ..... 402
See Phoferty ; 'Iyккitoky of Nation.
Treaty. defined ..... -3
any two nations may make ..... 9
consent of nation to a how commuricated ..... 78
nations in state of revolution can make oniy semporary ..... 8
natlons uniting in, to be named in alphabetical order ..... 10
ratification, when necessary ..... (4)
when oblfgatory ..... ©
notice of reasons of refu*al to ratify ..... $3!$
negotiated contrary to minister's powere ..... 81
takes effect, when. ..... 81
interfering with righta of third party, how fag valld ..... 51
provisions of Code modified by special ..... 5
demand of perforinance of, when secewary ..... 88
merges all preceding communications relatiog thereto. ..... 82
Page
Treatr, extinguishment of obligations created by ..... 82
informal compacta other than, may be made ..... 83
ratification of compacts made by unauthorized agents ..... 83
how affected by state of war ..... 581
reviral of obligation of, upon termination of war. ..... 603
of peacn, effect of duress of negotiators of. ..... 606
satisfles all existing grievaucea. ..... 606
determines results of war ..... 606
rescivded for violation of executory provisions. ..... 607
Tribcials. See Colerts. Truce defined ..... 305
authority to make ..... 506
binding upon all persons upon publication ..... 506
language of, when ambigunus, how interpreted ..... $50 \%$
effect of ..... 507
interference to prevent violation of ..... 508
how terminated ..... 508
not terminated by unauthorized breach ..... 508
hostilities without notice upon expiration of ..... 509
bearers of tlag of, respected and protected ..... 509
Uncrvilized Cominenty, intercourse with ..... 30
redress for injuries from ..... 30
protection of members of ..... 436
Vessel. See Ship.
Visitation, defined ..... 26
when a ship is subject to ..... 26
mode of ..... 26
restricted to verification of ship's nationality ..... 26
of hospital ships, effect of ..... 513
liability of ships on high seas to ..... 5.56
slips under neutral convoy when not subject to. ..... 557
duty of ships to sulmit to ..... 558
mode of ..... 559
boats, persons or papers not to be taken from ship upon. ..... 559
effect of resistance of lawful. by force ..... 559
unemorandum of, to be indorsed on ship's papers ..... 501
liability of commander of visiting ship ..... 570
Weaponh, unlawful ..... 496
War, passage of public minister through territory during ..... 51, 52
resisting nation beginning, in violation of Code ..... 371
how far book on Peace is in force in time of ..... 467
defined ..... 467
a relation between nations or communities. ..... 468
affects relationm of individuals, how far ..... 468
civil. See Instrofentr.
declaration of, sixty days before hostilitius ..... 470
see Reprisala


## IMDEX.

 600PaeWar. deciaration of, becomen reetprocal without reaponse
in violation of provisions for precervation of prece. ..... 478
boetillitee before declaration of, anlawful ..... 478
end of sixty days frow declaration a!, un lawful ..... 473
effect of, on obligationa of mations and members ..... 510
on Intereourse. ..... 84
on adminiteration of juetice ..... 500
suspends atatutes of prescription and limitation ..... 00
how terminated ..... 02
resulte of, deternained by treaty of peace ..... 005
continued upon violation and resciasion of treaty of peace ..... $60 \%$
Wetoarts, atadard, for teoting coina ..... 304
and Measenes, metric aystem of, adoped ..... 845
mstric, to be used In intercourse between nations ..... 84
customs dutiee to be leried by metric. ..... 347
postal tariffs to be regulated by wetric. ..... 34
standard metric unite of, delined ..... 848
how rerified 488 ..... 849
staddards of verificatiou of metric units of ..... 348
pertodical verification of working stand. ards of ..... 848
certain non-metrical, allowed ..... 84
WILL, defined ..... 406
of personal property, when valid ..... 408
capacity to make. ..... 408
Hight of nation to regulate objects of. ..... 408
of real property, capacity to make. ..... 408
form and execution of. ..... 408
probate of, In foreign country ..... 461
when neceseary ..... 408
conotruction and interpretation of. ..... 409
See Foreioners, rights of property; Succespion.
Wrecred 8exp, duty of a nation to succor and protect ..... 104
notice of, to consul of the shipis nation ..... 18
power of consul or local authorities over ..... 186
interference of local authorities with, reetricted ..... 187
property lapded from, when exempt from datien. ..... 187
local charges for quarantine, salrage, de. of, re- stricted ..... 188
or other property authorized to be repairnd or cold ..... 187
may be reclaimed by owner, Whea ..... 188
to be dylirered to conana ..... 188
duty of nation to provide forcase of180PageWexceed Sutr, or other property. duty of nation to punish vio.lations of. . 189
mold by nuthority veste in bona fide purchaser. ..... 189
Tran. length of the ..... 357
of leap. ..... 357
of centurial ..... 357
in contracts and written instrumente. low understood ..... 3.59
diriblone of the ..... 359
Sive Dat : Mosth: Tine.

## ERRATA.

Paes 209. In the table, the finenese of the Ihanixh piere of
 200. Second line from bothom, instead of unit of acCumat, ruad comonon mertsurr of ralue
506. Line 22 from botionth, for ( $2: 11.68$ culvic inehea) read ( $2: 18.18$ cubic inches).



[^0]:    Holleck, p. 131. \$15. Thio limits of distance merems to formantly indefinite. Islands newly formed by acrovition are providat for loy as tieles 41 and 45.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The capacity to acquire real property may be limited or regulated by the organic law.
    ${ }^{2}$ The property of a nation is of two kinds:
    1st. Public property or public domain; that is, that kind which the government holds as a mere trustee for the use of the public ; such as navigable rivers, highways, \&c. ; and,

    2nd. Private domain, or domain of the State; that is, those things in which the nation has the same absolute property as an individual would have in like cases. Holleck, p. 123.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ By several treatiea, the property of a foreigner cannot be taken, or hls use thereof impeded or impaired by public authority, without aderguate compensation for the interference.

    Treaty between France and
    Honduras, February 22, 1856, Art. VII., I De C'lerrq. p. 10.
    New Grenada, May 15, $1856, \quad$ V1., 7 Id., 102.
    Nicaragua, April 11, 1859. "0 Vil., i It., 696.
    Peru, March 9. 1861. ". V., 8 It., 193.
    Treaty between the United States and
    Bolivia, May 13, 1858, Art. III., 12 U. S. Šat. at L.., 10003.
    Nicaragua, June 21, 1867, "1X., 15 It., (Tr..) 59.
    The detention of a vessel in port by the Prenident of the United States. under the Act of Congress, April 30, 1818, (3 U. S. . Sut, ut $I$.., 44\%.) wan held not a taking and use of private property for public purposen, within the meaning of the Constitution; but an arrest by due procens of law. Court of Claims, 1866, Graham e. V'nited States, 2 Court of Chioms Rep., Noft d $H$., 327. Some of the treaties expresely require compensation in case of embargo, \&c.; and the article, above, is accordingly extended to interferences with the use of property.
    ' Articles condemned for violation of law, or because of alaptation to illegal uses,-e. g., burglars' tools, obscene publications, Sc.,-arv not to be regarded as property within such a rule as this.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Fiore, Nous. Dr. Ine., vol. 1, Lib. 2, che. 1.2: Lanerenceis W' Acollon. p. 303, 53.

    - See Chapter VI., on Navigation.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The presence of the convoying ship is a sufficient assurance of nationality. Wildman, Int. Lave, vol. 2, p. 121. Perhaps this should be extended to armed ships; and if so, the clause as to convoy will be omitted.

[^5]:    
    'Sn prorlsions of Chapter XX., on Sitrosil C'nsmettion or silirpiso.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is unnecessary to explain where this power rests in the case of confederacies, or vice-royalties; because these provisions apply only to nations uniting in the Code.
    ${ }^{2}$ Several ministers may be sent by one nation to the same foreign court. Imorence's Wheaton, p. 386.
    This and the six following articles are suggested by the rules usually given respecting public ministers; but are thought to be equally appropriate in their application to all classes of public agents.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jurisdiction is excluded, though neither his person nor his personal property is touched by the suit. Magdalena Co. c. Martin, 2 Ellis de Ellis' Rep., 94. The right of the minister of the Cetherlands at Washington to declinc to testify was admitted by the Cnited States; and on their application to his government, the latter declined to instruct him to appear. Dami's Wheaton, 5225 , note 125.
    ${ }^{2} E . g .$, the archives of his mission. 'Torlade $v$. Barrazo, 1 Miles' (Pennsylrania) Rep., 378 ; Holbrook $v$. Henderson, 4 Sandford's (New York) Rep., 63:
    ${ }^{3}$ It is proposed by this to abolish the existing rule that in transactions relating to his movables, (Heffter, Droit Intern., \& 42; Klüber. Droit des ficms. S209, or his personal rights or relations, a public minister is not bound to adopt the formalities required by any other nation than that by which he is accredited. 1 Fialix, Droit International Pricé, p. 416, no. 210.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thum. if he fo a rexident at the time of bim apjointrment. hia exrmpo thon datee from the thene of the receipt of hin crodentialm. hisiber, है zuts. note $f$.
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ In Torlade c. Harrazo, 1 Miles' (Pennsylechion) Hep., 3i9, iwenty.twu daye were considered a reamonable time. Sumes authoritien, howerer, state blat his penonal property in not exempt after the termination of hie jow ent. Marten's Iave of Niutions, Bk. VII., ch. 5, \& 3. Cobbetis Tranalations. 1705.

[^9]:    The first four subdivisions are suggested by treaties, of which the fol lowing will serve as examples. The provision in the treaty betwern the United States and Italy, on this subject, (15 U. S. Nat. at I... (Tr..) 185, Art. X..) is to the following effict :

    Consuls-general, consuls, viceconsuls and consular agents may take, at their offlees, at their private residences, residence of the parties, or on board ship, the depositions of the captains and crown of versels of their own country, of passengers on boand of then, and of any other citizen or subject of theio nation. They may also rweive, at their offices, conformably to the laws and rogulations of their country, all contracts betwren the citizens and subjects of their country, and the citizens, subjects, of other inhabitanta of the country where they reside, and even all contracts between the latter, provided they relate to property situated or to busil. news to be transacted in the territory of the nation to which said monoular: otficer may belong.

    Article VII. of the consular convention between France and Portugal. July 11, 1866, (0 De Clereq, 582.) providen that:

    Consuls and their deputien may sake, at the consulate, or at the traj dence of the parties, or on board vesels, declarations and other acta 5

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Several authorities apparently contend that ratification is necessary in all cases ; Luthrrence's Whentom, p. 452, note 151 ; or at at least in all cases of treatics signed by plenipotentiaries. Speech of M. Guizot, Moniteur, Feb. 1, 1843; 1 Ortohan, Diplomatie de la Mer, 85-89.

    The rule uniformly followed in (ireat Britain, is, that a treaty does not become absolutely binding upon the signataries until it has been ratified. Speeech of Mr. (iladstone, in Parliament, Ang. 10, $18 \% 0$.

    * Letirrence's Wheator, p. 455.
    ${ }^{3} 1$ F̈̈re, Nouc. Droit Intern., 476. Ratification is sometimes expressly dispensed with by a secret protocol annexed to the treaty, (Larrence's Wheaton, p. 454, and consequently forming part of it. It may be thought better to modify subdivision 3 , by inserting "therein" before "expressly."

[^11]:    ' Until recently, the obligation of a nation to deliver up criminals upon the demand of a foreign nation, has been a disputed point ; but now the weight of authority seems to be against it.

    Ticiss, (Luc of Nutions, Part I., § 221,) states the rule substantially as above. See also Lacrence's Wheaton on International Lave, p. 233; and Woolscy's Internutional Late, 冬 79.
    Bluntachli, (Droit International Codifié, \& 395,) says, the"obligation to surrender exists only in case of special treaties, or when the general safety demands it ; and in the latter case, it relates only to grave crimes, and demands by a nation whose penal system offers guarantees of impartiality and humanity. See also Section I., as to Extradition of Chim. inals, of Chapter XV'ili., entitled Extradition.
    ${ }^{2}$ The right of asylum extends not only to the territory of the nation, but to other places within its cexclusive jurisdiction, which are hereafter defined. The application of the rules proposed by the Code will solve

[^12]:    - As the British government have entered into no few treaties of extra dition, it is noticeable that Charke, in his Treatise on Eirenedition, gires to the American lawn the first place in the history of the Modern law and Practice of Exiradition. He mays: "In the matter of extradition, ther American law is better than that of any country in the world: and the decisions of the American judgen are the best exinting exponitions of thon duty of extradition, in ite relations at once to the judicial righta of nationn, and the general intereste of the civilization of the world."

    Several opinions of the United States Attorneyn (ieneral. hero miermd to. will also be found in Cinea and Opinions in Conatitntional Iame. by Fibroyth, pp. 244-206.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ This subdivision is suggested by Art. V. of the convention between the United states and France, 8 U. S. Stat. at L., 582 ; and is usual in other treaties. Bluntschli,(Droit Intern. Copifié, 尽 390,) says, that this exception is recognized in all the recent treaties.

    As to what are political offenses, see 7 De Clercq, 151.
    The abuse of asylum is prohibited by Article 207.
    : This subdivision is suggested in connection with, or as a substitute for, the preceding, in order to define and secure the right of axylum, as understood by the United States. Several French treaties contain a provision that an attempt against the person of a foreign sovereign, or against that of the members of his family, where the attempt constitutes the act either of murder " d'assassinat ou empoisonnement," shall not be considered as a political offense.

    Additional convention of Sept. 22, 1866, to convention of Nov. 22,1834, between France and Belgium, 7 De Clercq, 151.

    ## Convention between France and

    The (irand Duchy of Saxe Weimar, Aug. 7, 1858, 7 De Clercq, 444.
    Great Britain has steadily refused to surrender political offenders, or to deny them asylum. Extradition of political offenders obtains between the States of the German Confederation. But Hefter states this as an exception to the established principle. Wheaton's Elem. Int. Laur, P't. II., Ch. II., S 13 , note.
    ${ }^{3}$ In no treaty do the United States include these, except so far as de

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ This provision in suggeated by the convention betwren the lisited Statex and Italy. March 29, 1868, 15 U. S. Satt. at L., (Tr., 139, whete. however, it in restricted to "ordinary" crimes.
    ${ }^{2}$ If, during the proceedingn, a new crime is diseroverod, not menthoned in the demand, a new demand munt be mado, founded thereon, In onter to entitle the demanding nation to punish tor the latter offezse, fiorio. Nouseas Droit International, vol. 1, p. 329.
    ${ }^{3}$ According to the conventions between France and acreral other na tions, (cited in Clarke on Eirfrudition, p. 178,) a pernon wheme estradition has been acconded can in no case be prosecuted er puaished tor any polt. ical crime or offense committed before the extralition.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ A casual overstay of leave is not desertion ; but to refuse to return, after an absence on leave, is equally a desertion as to quit the ship. United Stutes Consular legulations, (1868,) p. 317, S823.
    ${ }^{2}$ Leaving the vessel on account of cruelty, bad provisions, or other legal justification, is not descrtion. Magee $r$. The Moss, Giitpir's U. S. District Court Reportx, 219; Hanson r. Rowell, 1 Sprague's (U. S.) Ad. miralty Derixions, 117 ; Hart $r$. The Otis, C'rable's U. S. Dist. C't. Rep., 52; Freeman $r$. Baker, Bhutchford \& Morchund's Ciases in Adin., $3 i_{2}$.

[^16]:    "To entitle the national character of a veasel to reognition, it must be furnished with a paseport, [paxse.port, couge ou regist rí.) and which. cet tified by the executive authority competent by the law of such nation tos give it, shall state: Fins. The name, she vocation and the rowbetser of the owner, stating that there is but one, of of the several ownerp. itmst cating their number, and in what propurtion they share in ito uwartahip Second. The name, the dimensions, the burlen, and all other jecultaritios of the vessel which can serve to identify its nationality."

[^17]:    Articlef 293. Right to change domicil.
    294. Change of an adult's derivative domeil
    995. Guardian may change ward's domicil
    296. Parent's consent to change necemary.

    99\%. Testamentary change of derirative domicil
    298. Change of domicll, how made.
    298. Intention to change.
    300. Presumption of no intention to chanaer
    301. Reverting to original domicil.
    302. Otficial of compulsory change of roasdence
    303. What law determine change of domiril

    30s. Nationality not affected.

[^18]:    '2 Kent's Commentaries, 227, note; Wood c. Woord. \% Paige's (Nor Sork) Rep., 605; Holyoke v. Haskins, 5 Pickering's (Massuchusetts) Rep., D0: Succession of lewis, 10 Louisiana Amunal Rep., pp. $\mathbf{8 9}$, 790 ; ( arlisl e c. Tuttle, 30 Alabema Rep., 613; Townsend $x$. Kendall, 4 Minnexota Rep., 412. Doubted, Ex-parte Bartlett, 4 Bradford's (Neo York) Rep., pp. 224, 225. Denied, School Directors e. James, e2 Watts de Sergerent's Rep., 56s; Mears $r$. Sinclair, 1 West Virginue Rep., 185.
    ${ }^{2}$ K Kent's Com., 22t, note; Lyon $v$. Andrews, 12 Louisiann Anmual Repr, 685; Faclix, Droit Intern. Pricé, I., p. 57, note; Mourlon, I., p. 191, II.; Duranton, I., no. 36i, p. 301.
    'Potinger $r$. Wightman, 3 Mericale's Lip. 6 , 6 .

    ## Parent's consent to change necessary.

    296. The guardian cannot change the domicil of his ward while the latter has a competent parent living, except with the consent of such parent.
    Political L'ode, Reported for Ner York, 念 7 .
[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ This article is suggested by the consular conventions between Firance and Braxil, December 10, 1860, Art. V'll, \& De C'lereq. 15si, (interpreted by declaration of July $21,1866,9$ De Clerrg, 600 -) between france and Por tugal, July 11. 1863, Art. V'll., 9 De C'lereq, 58: : the nonvention betwren France and Austria, for the regulation of succewions. Dercetster 11. ING6, Art. Ill. 9 De Clereq, $6 \mathbf{7 5}^{5}$; and the convention between the l'nited states
     brief provisions to somewhat the same effect as this and the following ar ticle, are contained in the treaty of friendship, commerce and anvigation between France and Russia, June 14. 185\%, Art. Xi.. : Is C\%orry. \$is.

    If the domiell was foreign, the notice whould be given to the consul

[^20]:    Suggested by the British Merchant Shipping Act. 18 and 18 Vict., c. 104, Part VIII., qualified by giving the consul the prior right.

    1 The consular convention between France and Portugal, July 11, 1866, Art. XIV., (9 De Clercy, ro)?, which contains the same provision. adds, that in case of doubt respecting the nationality of the ship, the care of the wreck is subject to the exclusive direction of the local authorities.

[^21]:    ' In reference to the sale, in a foreign coustry, of a oblip belonging to s member of the consul's nation. the consul acts for the purpoos of votwo quently affording the relief granted by law, and for the purgeor of meser

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Treaty between the E'nited States and Pern, July 26, 1851, Art. XVI., 10. U. S. stat. at L., 9333.
    ${ }^{2}$ Treaty between the I'nited states and The Two Sicilies, Oct. 1, 185\%, $^{2}$ Art. XV'll., II U.S. Stue. It L.. di:9.
    ${ }^{2}$ A year (and a day) is fixed by several treaties. \& U. S. Stut. at $L$., 42, i2.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Taxes are a portion that each individual gives of his property in order to secure the perfect enjoyment of the remainder; and the owner of property within the limits of any State, no matter whether it be real or personal, and no matter where he has his domicil, since he is entitled in respect to it to the protection of the State, is liable to taxes levied by such State. Duer $c$. Small, i American Lano Register, 500 ; and see Bluntschli, Droit Intern. Codifié, S3iT.

    There are authorities, however, to the contrary, on the ground that double taxation, which this rule allows, is inequitable. People ex rel. Hoyt r. Commissioners of Taxes, 23 New York Rep., 224. And see Report of Wells and others, Commissioners on local toxation in New York, (Harper's ed., pp. 43, 44, 65.

[^24]:    As a general rule the party that mans the wessel is considered as in possession. Palmer r. Giracie, 4 W'ashington's U. S. Circuit Ct. Rep., 110 ; Marcardier r. ('heapeake Ins. Co.. 8 Cranch's U. S. Sup. Ct. Rep., 39 ; The

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Articles 11 and 13 of British Regulations.
    ? The following substitutes for the first paragraph have been proposed. (Jentins Rule of the Road at Sea, p. i2:)
    "A sailing ship on the port tack shall keep out of the way of a sailing ship on the starboand tack, and a sailing ship which is to windward shall keep out of the way of a sailing ship which is to leeward."
    " A steamer having another end on, shall port.
    On her port side, shall port."
    ${ }^{2}$ The qualifications which follow are from the Order in Council of 1868.

    This amendment of the rule seems to have introduced uncertainty in its practical application.
    The collision of the Bombay with the Oneida, near Yokohama, Japan, January 24,1870 , gave rise to a discussion of the terms of this rule in the Prill Mall Gazctte, issues of March 21st, 22nd and 24th, and April 12th, $18 \% 0$.
    In the issue of the 21st March, a writer (" Byng Giraud") avers, that collisions at sea are to some extent caused by what he supposes to be the unsettled state of the rule of the road at sea.
    And in the issue of the 24th March, the same writer quotes the language of Mr. S. Cave, Vice-President of the Board of Trade: "Collisions are not caused by obsersance, but by neglect or misconception, of these rules."
    In the issue of March 22nd. a writer ("T. G.") says, in substance, as follows:

    Article 13 applies to two ships under steam, each meeting the other "end on, or nearly end on."
    In no other position than end on, or nearly end on, will each show to the other both her colored side lights. And in the case of such meeting, each whip is required by this Article to port, and each passes to the right of the other. This Article can uever apply to one of two ships, (as as. sumed by "Byng Giraud," in letter of 21st instant,) and can never apply at all, unless it applies to both of "two ships meeting end on, or nearly end on."

    If one of two ships is required to act under this Article, they are both required to do so.

    An Order in Council has expressly stated that this Article applies only to two steamships, (at night,) "each of which is in such a position as to see both the side lights of the other."

[^26]:    'In addition to exceptions mentioned in the following artirlos, the fier matn Mereantile Late excepts goods not reprosented by bill of batiag or manifest, and money and valuables of which the taaster was not minifical § i io. sub. 2, 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ As to whether this should be extended to wacrifion for ther commono benefit in other casew, mee note to Article 384
    ${ }^{2}$ "T The question has been raised whether gensral average contrilustion is due when the danger originatex in the mixmanagernent or fault of the master or owner of the cargo, or a thind person. Sonme codes provinte, atand among them the new Gernan Coxle, that ematribution slabll in much rase take place, but the party at fault shall not rereise anything. Dut ohall to linble to reimburse the other contributors." Maroin. R-pant on Iot Gen. Are.

[^27]:    'By the German General Mercantile IABr, 太 \%0\%, a rlairu for damagr belonging to general arerage in only mo far net ande br a particular arer age subeequently affecting the damaged article, (whether if low again dam) aged or totally dews royed, as it is proved shat she laster buinfortistion wore only was in no way connscted with the former, but that it would almo have resulted in the former damage if this hat not alrealy treen emanoverad

    If, however, before the occurrence of the latser minfortune, atrpos shomblet alcuady have been taken to reinstate the damagod articte, flom the claiten

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ It has been a mooted question in American courts, whether salvage

[^29]:    Whoever the salvors may be, whether licensed wreckers or not, they are not only bound to be scrapulously honest themselves, but, whilst the property is in their custonty, they are expected to employ every reasonable degree of diligence to guard it from phunder bethers ; and any negligence in this respect will affect the amomit of their remuneration. The John Perkins, 19 Amorici" latuc Rap. 490.

    The misconduct of any individual salvor will work a forfeiture of all compensation for his share of the service. The Whterloo, 1 Blatchford \&
    
    ${ }^{1}$ For instance, a second party of salcors, who wrongfully interfere with the first party. The Blenden Hall, 1 Mome., 414; The Fleece, : W. Robin. omis Rep., 2is.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gcrman Lar. ミ 7.92.
    ${ }^{5}$ The Island City, 1 Black'* U. S. sup. Ct. Rep., 191: Sch. Dove, 1 Gullison's U.S. Cirr. Ct. Rop., Se: ; The Bello Corrunes, 6 Wherton's U.S. Sup. ct. Rep., 15:

    P'arsons suggests fhat positive and material falsehood should be regarded as an "e cmberzilement of the truth," and should work a forfeiture in the same way and to the same extent as an emberalement of the propent. Lair of Contracts, title shipping, vol. 2, p. 3i2.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sublivisions 2, 3. 4 and 5 are from the treaty leetwern France and Portugal, July 11, 1866, Art. XXVII., (9 De Clereq, 3ist :) Swriden and Noz. way, Feb. 14, 18G5, Art. VII., (9 /S., 172.)
    ;The declaration between France and neveral other continental Powers. ( 8 De Clereq, 629, 636,) provides that such yaches are fove from all duties of navigation, but requires that they carry away all permone who arrived by them.
    The treaties between France and the Free Clitien of Luberk, Bevemen add Hamburg. March 4, 1865, Art. IX., (9 De Clerry. 187 :) and with the 1 irand Duchy of Mecklenburg Schwerin-(extended to the) Gratai Dhechy at Mecklenburg Strelitz. June 9, 1845, Art. IX. (9 Id., ses.) art to aimila: effect, except that they do not mention ateamahipa an aloore, and the frot mentioned does not declare xuch vereels frue from tax, but onfy prate shom upon the same footing as dotnestic reseels.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Convention concurning the international railway service betwert France and

    Spain, April 8, 1864, Art. XV'll., 9 the C'lemp, 19, 15
    And to similar effect, convention between France and
    Sardinia. Nov. 98, 1858, Art. 111., : De Clerry, 389.
    Bavaria, July 3, 185\%. " $111 ., 7 / \mathrm{I} ., 290$.

    - Convention between France and

    Spain, A pril 8. 1804, Art. XIV.,9 Ib Cleneq. 18. 15.

[^32]:    ('onvention of Voienna, 1stis. Art. XII.
    By a convention between France and (ireat Britain, Feb. 1, 1855, for a telegraph from Bucharest to Varna. it was provided that for messages

[^33]:    ' Postal convention betweren the l'nited states und
    Belgium, Ang. : $1,186 i$, Art. X., 16 U.S. Stat. at L., (Tr..) 146.
    
    
    
    Netherlands, Sept. 26,186i, " X., 17 Id., (Tr..) 272.

[^34]:    - See pontal convention between the U'nited states and Ureat Britain.
    

    Pontal convention between Gireat Britaln and
    
    Belgium, Oct. 19, 1844." XV1., Aceounts and Papers, 1865, vol

    Spain, May 21,185\%." IX., Id., $18 \% 9$, vol. I.X., (29.)
    Sardinia, Dec. 12, 185\%." Xill., Id., 1898 , vol. LXX., (2ه.)
    Portugal, Apr. 6,1859, ". X1., Id., 1859, vol. XXXIt., (18.)

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ A dealer is entitled to protection, though he be not a manufacturer. Taylor o. Carpenter, 2 Sundford's C\%. (Neu York) Mep., ROB.

    A product of nature, such as the waters of a mineral spring, named and dealt in by the plaintitf, is within the principles of the law of trademarks. The Congreas \& Etmpire Spring Co. e. High look Congress Sipring Co., New lork Court of Appeals, April, 1871, 10 Ahbots's Pr. (Nem l'ork) Rep., (N. S., 349.

    The doctrine of trademarks is founded on the necessity of protection for business intereats. The mere assumption of a family name, withous any connection with trade, is not the subject of a civil action by the English law. Du Boulay e. Du Boulay, 2 Lase Rep., Priry ('ouncil, 430

[^36]:    - This remark is to be understood of the plan of the Paris conference in full. The adoption of a single standard, and of gold only as the stand. and, constituted an easential part of this plan : and to this France herself has not yet acceded. A disposition to accept the five franc gold unit has, however, been manifented by Spain, Sweden, Austria, Roumania and Greece : an well as by the nations parties to the monetary treaty of December 23, 1865, to whom, of course, this part of the plan is acceptable.
    + It is but juat to add that these imputations upon the character of the gold colnage of France are said to have been more recently denied by the officers in charge of the French mint in Paris.

    ः Proposition of Mr. George F. Dunning. Supit U. S. Assay Othce. New York City; Letter to Mr. Dubois, U. S. Mint at Phila., Feb. S. 1868.
    § Plan of Mr. E. B. Euliofl, Am. A woce'n Adv. Sci., August, 186?

[^37]:    * Mr. Michel Cheoalier, in the Journal des Economistes, Nov., 1868, proposes a gramme of gold of nine-tenths fine as the unit, or a decimal multiple of the gramme. Dr. William Farr, delegate from Great Britain to the Statistical Congress at the Hague, in 1869, in a report made to that Congress, approves this idea, but strongly advocates the decagramme of nine-tenths fine as the unit, which he would call the Victoria.
    + Proposition of Secretary Fish, in Circular Dispatch to U. S. Ministers abroad, in 1870.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ sive Title VIII.

[^39]:    - The double eagle, 516 gr . The eagle, 958 gr . The half eagle, $1: 0 \mathrm{gr}$ 'The quarter eagle, 64.5 gr . The dollar, 25.8 .
    the dollar, 412.5 gr . The half dollar, 192 gr . The garter duller. 9 gr . The dime, 38.4 gr . The half dime, 19.2.

[^40]:    monotary reform. Instituted with such decinion in the newir awahenort but eminently pmyressive empire of Japan. we aro imiteiteat to the courteat of Mr. J. fi. Savisis.k, chief clerk of the Treanury Impartament of the United States at Washington

[^41]:    - Instead of being taken at an averagr value, this unit of linear meas. ure may have been derived from the person of some conspicuous individual. Thus the Olympic foot-measure of the Greeks is said to have been taken from the foot of Hercules ; and the French pied du roi should seem from Ite name to have had a similar origin. We find it also stated that the Eagliah jand was derived from the length of the arm of lienry 1 . in 1101 ; the length of this measure, previously to the Norman conquest. having been nomowhat greater than that of the modern metre.
    $\dagger$ The measures here referred to were from Prumin, Bavaria. Wurtem berg, Badeu, Henee, Switzerland, Auntria, Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Rumaia, Great Britain and the itnited Stater. The foot-mpanurre if Great Britain, Rumala and the United Staten are identical : almo thooe of Switzeriand and Baden. Those of Prumaia, Denmark and Sorway are very nearly mo. The met are more or lew difierent from either of tisem. bui the foot-meanures of Baden, Hesse and Switzerlant have metric valuea.

[^42]:    * In the volume of "Inrestigations in the Military and Anthropological Statistics of American Soldiers, by Dr. B. A. Gouhl." published among the Memoirs of the United States Sanitary Commission, are given some interesting results upon the measurement of the length of the adult human foot. Nearly sixteen thonsand individuals, of very various races and nationalities, were subjected to measurement, of whom about eleven thousand were white men. Dr. Gould says, "The mean length was found for no nationality to exceed $10 \cdot 24$ inches, and for none to fall below $9 \cdot 80$ inches-the value for the total being 10.058 inches."
    + Among the collected works of Professor John Greates, of Oxford,

[^43]:    † Mr. Adams, in his report, quoted farther on, says, that the yand is a measure of Saxon origin, derived from the circumference or girih of the body. (Saxon, gyrdon, to inclone or surround.) So derived, it belonge to a period in the history of civilization later than that in which men used the person iteelf, or a portion of is. direetly, an a meanuring instrument. But, however derived, the most convenient mode of findiag the lengit of the yand, in the almence of an artificial measure, and, iherefore, for woing it in the mensurement of light and fexible articles, would moon be sound to be by reference to the arm.

[^44]:    - It in commonly maid that a cubic foot of water wrighe 1,000 suncen. or 695 pounds, avoirdupols; and this is suffelenily near the truth for rough calculations; but when accuracy is neceesary, the inconvenieace of the actual relation between weight and capacity fucruaces very greatly the labor of calculations.

[^45]:    - A striking illustration of the extent to which the judgmenta of eren the most intelligent men may be biased by education and habis, is furnished in the following pasange. extracted from the able report of Mr. Adams. which has been repeatedly referred to in the text foregoing:
    "The divisions of the Spanish dollar, as a coin, are not only into tenths. but into halres, quarters, fifths, eighths, sixteenths and iwentieths. We have the lialves, quarters and iwentieths, and might have the fifths: but the eighth makes the fraction of the cent, and the sixteenth even a frac. tion of a mill. These cighths and sixteenths form a very considerable proportion of our metallic currency : and although the clighth, dividing the cent only into balves, adapts itself without inconvenience to the sys tem, the fraction of the sixteenth is not so iractable: and in is circulation, as amall change. It passes for six cents, though its value is six cents and a quarter, and there is a loss by its circulation of lour per cent. betWeen the buyer and seller. For all the transactions of retail inade, the cighth and the sirteenth of a dollar are among the most weeful of owr coins: and although ime hare nerer coined them ourselres. mes ahovld hare felt the mont of them if they had not been supplied to us from the coinasge of Sparin."

    And yet, since this paragraph was written, a whole generacion ban grown up who know nothing about the eighth and sixicensh of a dollas as colna, and who are so far from "feeling a want of them." that they would feel their reintroduction, if it were attempted, to be a positive nulsance.

[^46]:    * Report of Professor De Jacobi to the International Conference on Weights and Measures of Paris, 186? ; Peigné, Concersion des Mesures, Monnaies et Poids, Paris, 1847.

[^47]:    gle postage rate shall no longer be half an ounce, but fifteen grammes; and secondly, that fifteen grammes, metric weight, shall be only half an ounce avoirdupois : in other words, that the postal laws shall be changed in an important particular, with the proviso that they shall not be changed at all.

    * Jacobi. $\quad+$ Peigné, above cited.
    $\ddagger$ Idem. Metric system in use in Uruguay, but not exclusively.
    § Jacobi.
    |Idem.

[^48]:    * The fact that the French attempted to force their system upon the peoples sulyugated by them during the wars of the first empire, hardly makes it necessary to qualify this remark; for everywhere except in the Netherlands, with the downfall of the empire, the system was thrown off: The natural effect, moreover, of this attempt, at the hands of a hostile and hated power, would be to produce a projudice against the sys. tem; so that we might expect to find these peoples embittered against it upon grounds purely political. This consideration renders the subsequent prevalence of the system among the same peoples the more remarkable, and shows this prevalence to have been a consequence of the triumph of intelligence over passion no less than over habit.

[^49]:    - De Jicobi : Repport, abore cited.

[^50]:    - This paragraph, and thowe following, which relate to "The International Statistical Congress," and the probable inthence of the proceed ings of that body upon the progress of metrological reform, are borrowed from a paper read before the Convocation of " The Enivennity of the State of New York," at a semsion held at Albany on the first day of August, 1871, and published in the "Albany Argus." of the sisteenth of the eame month.

[^51]:    - Mr. Buggles also ably represented the linited States in the fifth Congreses, at Verlin.

[^52]:    - It would suffice to remeasire the base lines on which the tri angulation rested, the termini of these having been fixed by durable monuments.
    + A leeture entitled "The Yard, the Pendulum, and the Metre, cunsid ered in reference to the Choice of a Standord of Length." Read lefore the Leeds Astmuomical Society, October 27, 1863. By Sir Jonx F. W Hersciest. Published in n volume of "Pamiliar Lectures on Sicientific Subjecta." London and New York: 1866.
    †" Esasa d'une déterminution de la réritable figure de la Terre. Par T. F. de Scutrkrt." St. Petemburgh: 1859. An abstract of the most important particulans of this discussion was prepared by Vadler. for Prof. Heiso's Woehenselirift far Astmomie, Noteoroldgie und (imgraphic. (Non. 51 and 52. Dec. 21 and 28, 18:79.) which was afterwaris translated by $\mathcal{C}$. A. Sehott, Fisq., of she United States Coast Survey, and ropublishand in the American Journal of Science, vol. XXX., sucond series, from July to Nov. 1860.

    Mr. Airy also, Astronomer Royal of England, gave, in the MontAly Notices of the Royal Aatronomica! Sociefy for January. 1wh), a synoymis of the method of Gen. de Schubert, and its resulten, suggeating at the same time that, by generalizing the methot still farther, thase resulta might probably be made more satisfactory. Upon this hint of Prof. Airy. Capt. A. R. Charke, of the Britixh Ordnance Survey, was led to make a vert elaborate reexamination of the quertion of the earth's figure and dimen sions. reaching conclusions somewhat different from those of dion. de Sehubert, and increasing the apparent orror of the metre.
    The publication of dien. He Sehulert's paper, here referred to, mark: certainly a new era in the history of geodetic inveatigation: but the data thun far gathered by direct measurement are far froth being suthicirnt to admit of the satisfactory application of his method or of the morr gen eral one of Capt. Charke, in practice. The truth of this asmertion will be manifest from the following statement :

[^53]:    * The positions of these axes are, however, very imperfectly ascertained, if indeed the whol. hypothesis of the ellipticity of the equator is not a mistaken one. Capt. Clarke's paper, referred to previously, removes the vertex of the equatorial ellipse from longitude $41^{\circ}$ East to longitude $14^{\circ}$ East. This would give to the Paris meridian nearly a maximum instend of a mean lougth. In his revised calculation, published in 1sfi6, in an appendix to a volume from the Orduance Survey, containing "Comparizous of Stendards of Length of Einghend, France, Belgium, Prussua. Russia, India and Australia," he removes it again from $14^{\circ}$ East to $15^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ East.

[^54]:    - Little weight is attached to the measurement in Pennsylvania, it

[^55]:    having been made without triangulation, and with the chain alone. Gen. de Sehubert, computing its length from his hypothetical spheroid, makes it 675 feet, or about one furlong, too shor-the total leugth measured being nearly 102 miles. Latcille's measuretuent has, monvover, lwen shown, by the more exact operations of Naclear and Hendernon, to hare been affected by a very material error of excexs.

    - Similarly discordane results, obtained later by Gien. de Nehubere. by comparimons made upon eight measured arcs, have been mentioned almove. It marbe thought by some that the citations froun Col. Eirerest, which fol low, have no longer any value: but it is tro carly yet to say that the obld geodetic methods arventirely superseded.
     of India, de. By Lieut. Col. Evzatest. Iondon, 1sit. The ritasions are from p. $4 \%$.

[^56]:    - Mr. Delambre, who arrives, by a different course of reasoning, at the same result, $i$. C., fifteen toises for the possible error, observes that the mean should be taken between observation and calculation. riz: is toises; which would reduce the probable crmor of the metre in the 1 S80th: say the $2,000 t h$ part of an inch.

    All theae deductions, however, as well as those of de Schobert and Clarke, are fonnded on the assumption that the earth's quadrants. if not equal, are at least truly elliptical in curvature. But this appears not in be the case with any of them; m that the only way to arrive at aboolute certainty in regard to the length of any one, would be to tneasure it ac. tually from end to end-a method manifestly impracticable.
    $\dagger$ The numbern here referred to are thowe of Capt. Clarke, alrindy mentioned. Sir Jahn Herachel, like Prof. Piazei Smyth, and mume others. meems to have indulged a sort of fond hope that the carti's axis would ultimately prove to meagure exactly $500,500,000$ Britinh inches. His awn determination had made it 500,497056 . Capt. Clarke's first result reduced

[^57]:    * Delambre, Base du xystime metrique décimal, Tome I, p. 98..

[^58]:    * Montucla, Histoire des Mathematiques, T. I., P. I., L. 4; Delambre Hist. Astr. Ancienne, 'I'. II., ch. 15.
    $\dagger$ Ency. Brit., Art. Astron.
    † Montucla, T. I., P. II., L. I. ; Id., T. IV., P. V., L. 7,

[^59]:    - Montuela, T. IV., P. V., L. 7.

[^60]:    *Gehler's Physickalisches Worterbuch. Band VI., 1.

[^61]:    - Bond's Handy Book of Dates, pp. 18, 19.

[^62]:    In the reformed calendar of Julius Csesar, as originally constructed the months were made to consist of thirty-one days and thirty dara alter. nately, beginning with March." As she common year consists of bus three hundred and sixty-five days, the final month of the gear, on shis plan, falls a day short of thirty days in common years, and contains fully thirty ouly in leap years. Had the alteruation been inverted, making the lirst month to consist of thirty daym, and the second, of thirtyone.

[^63]:    ? Story, Conft. of L.., § 244, 32; Varnum c. Camp, 1 Green (New Jersey) Rep., 326.
    ${ }^{3} 1$ Ficlix, I)roit Intern. Priné, p. 236. (C3ting Pothier des Assurances, No. 58.) and note (a.) by Demangeat. Other authorities make the contract unlawful only in the courts of the nation whose law is violated.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ One whose act in one country causes death in another, may be tried in either. Opinion of Sir J. Mabtotr in Cinea do Opinions in Conatitutional Lave, by Forsyth, p. 21\%.
    In State e. Grady, 34 Conncesicut Rep.o 118 , the rule lald down was, that, no State can punish criminally an act which was wholly committed without its territorial jurisdiction, but if any part of the criminal act bo committed within the jurisdiction, the offender, whether he was at the time within the juriwdiction or not, may be panished. if jurimiction can be obtained of his person.

    Crimes committed on the high seas whether on bmard public or private ships are considered an committed in the territory of the nation to which the ship belongw, whether the acerased be of the nationallty of the shlp or a foreigner, and whether the crime were committed againet a fellow. countryman or between foreigners. Liquelme, Desocho Internachonal. tom. 1., pp. 243, 245, an quoted and approved by Atsomeryeneral Cusmina, 8 Opinions of $t$. S. dftorn. Cien., 83 and (ases dopin. in Constitutional lave by fioraych. p. 412.

    It is there added, in explanation of the rule, that if the ship, on board

[^65]:     York Rop.. 31.

[^66]:    " An officer or soldier, acting under the orders of his superior, not boing necossarily and manifestly illegal, would be justified by his orders." Keighley r. Bell. 4 Foster of Finheson's Rep, 790.

[^67]:    This wnems to low the primeiple recugnize in this subject.
    

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lieber, (Instructions, © 98.) says, that all unauthorized or secret communications with the enemy are treasonable.

    The same author, (SS.90-91, says of war traitors, (persons in a place or district under martial law, who, unanthorized by the military commander, give information of any kind to the enemy or hold intercourse with him, that they are always severely punished. If their offense consist in betraying to the enemy anything concerning the condition, safety, operations or plans of the troops holding or occupying the place or district, their punishment is death.

    If the citizen or subject of a country or place invaded or conquered, give information to his own government, from which he is separated by the hostile army. or to the army of his government, he is a war traitor : and death is the penalty of his offense. This rule seems too harsh. It is sufficient to subject them to the treatment of active enemies, except where they come within the eategory of spies.

    The rules respecting spies, war traitors and war rebels, are applied without distinction of sex. Lider's Instructions, © 102.

[^69]:    Articise $\mathbf{7 5 4}$. Unlawful weapons.
    755. Private gratification forlidden.
    758. Unlawful hostilities.

    75\%. Notice of bombardment.
    758. Retaliation, when allowed.
    256. Mode of retaliation not to be barbarous.
    760. Passive or disabled enemies and prisoners.
    761. Bribery and intrigue.
    702. Good faith in keoping engragements.
    763. "Stratagems" defined.
    764. Unlawful stratageme.

    7R5. Lawful stratagems.
    766. Piratical use of falme colurn, de.
    767. "Sples" defined.

    Tes. Fimployment and punishment of spies.
    769. Guides.
    770. Punishment of guides.
    771. Solicitation of desertion unlawful.
    772. Enlistment of deserters no protection from punishment.

[^70]:    Souse earlier authoritios allow the killing of primoners who have teen taken and who caunch tow wafely knib. Viotled ullows this, obenty, where no protimise to aparm them loas been given, of whem eafety abobluterly de
     an infamoun at the proment day.
    " locher's lomerructions. © the
    
     dinerasers of animals. K'ibler. Droil dea lirns. \% 244 Thoe une of poleon in any way in forbidden. Liedtrr's Instructions, © 16, 70 : compare, however.
     the ume of primon. See Wildman's Interne latre, v. 2.p. It
    
     whom the combuander of a bevieged place expelfe. But thome extreme macanarew mould no longur be continued.

[^71]:    
     duce boer nubjecte to hetray theip conntry, aro tornjtation tos commit a plain crime, which no homethe relation will justify. Vet ter ancere of the mervicen of a imator is allowable :" citing Viefled, llovit des licme. $111 . .10$. s\% 150.181.

    The laws of war impene tho panimbinent of death on one whomattompte to brite an offirer or induce a moldier to demert. Ilollerk. Intern Iane of
    
     gation of treamon in the officern and moldiern of the enemy in then the Ino counternanced, but to lentigate acte ner dinhonorable in themos-lvom, nurle an political offonsen in other cases, is allowable.

    It sewmeproper, however, for the sations uniting in thim cioflo the re cognize the obligntion of respecting the righte of alloginnce in regaret to civilians as well an soldiers.

[^72]:    
     lwing a tomporary and local creseation of homilition liy a detachment of 6*

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kiompi Noucecon Drait Intern．，v．：p．Rimb．
    
    

[^74]:    
     that the whigation of atruce ceases if volated by the other party, for the obligation is conditional.

    When a prenalty is antexed to a violation an option is griven, and if the peonaly is demanded and paid the eruce continucs. $\boldsymbol{z}$ Wilduren's Interu. latir, 2x.

[^75]:    (onvention of (irneva, Art. F.
    A yrllar thag haw lwern usid in the V lited States navy to designate a
    

[^76]:    Martenx, Droit dex Cretux, tonte II.. S. 275; Lieber's Instructions, 124.
    The modern practice usually is to abstain from the infliction of death, except in an aggravated case, and to substitute close confinensent with severities and privations not cruel in their nature or degree.

[^77]:    $\because$ Phillimore's Iotern. lake p. M.

[^78]:    * During the war between Brazil and Paraguay, (1870,) certain noncombatant Paraguayans (women) deposited their valuables at the United States legation in Asuncion. 'I'he l'araguayan forces subsequently occu-

[^79]:    "stipulations, declaring that the flag slatl cwoer the property, sliall low understosed as applying to those powers only whor recogrize. thin prin ciple: but if either of the two contracting parties shall be at war with a thirld, and the other neutral, ther Nayg aft the wentrole shatl coeser the
     of uhliors." Katehenorentige Prise Later, by Prolf. po 11i, note (t.)
     ( 8 /If Cilerry, 200.) property of meminers of either nation which remains nentral when the other is at war, are free fron contiscation and detention even when on board an enemy's ship, unloss contraband, or belonging to permons actually in the enemy' nervice, or destinal to enter it.

    For discuseions of belligervint rights. the capture of private property at mena. Acc. see Trunsertioma of the Nitional A sombution far IPromediono of
    
    
    
    
    
     Martens, Eseai sur les A rmatevers, 8. 4.5, who considen marititne captarm contrary to the apirit of the present Filmpean private law Hofler.
     subject, and clearly prover that lige international law war, at the proment time, gives only actual poesession. bett not the legal perpert!

[^80]:    ' See the next Article, and Articles s8:3-896, which reviuire judielal condemnation of contraband and of prablic property taken at wa mot on armed shipe.

    A selzure of property for the parpowe of applying it to military uses. during the occupation, such as buildings, Ac., taken pomsemsion of by the military forcen, to employ the mame for the accommoxiation of troopos. is not necesearily a capture. Cane of the Memphin Navy Yiart Property. 1? Opiniona of U. S. Attorneys-(irmerul, 125.

    - Wodacy's Internutional Lanc. ©si 19, p. 34. In the exercise of the right of redress, it may be neceenary to strip a wrongidoer of a portion of hif territory : or in the exercise cof the right of aelf protection, and pmonilly of punishment, it may be lawful to deprive him of the means of dolng evil. ld., § 21, p. 3 \%.
     III., c. 9. \$1 160 .

    In the case of Miller e. The United States. 11 Wisllicein U. S. Supr. C't. Rep., 268, it was held, that the power of a government to confixcate prop. erty exists as fully in case of a civil war, as it does when the war is for eign. Rebels in armsagainst the lawful government, or permons inhalist. ing the territory exclusively within the control of the rebel leelligeronts. may be treated as public enomies, So may adherents or aidore and abert tors of such belligerents, though not resident within the enemy's terri tory.

    Moneys expended for the support of primoners of war aro to tre reim-
     $\$ 1 \%$.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Francolprossian war, 1870-it, the (ierman government gave such notice.

    In the case of the obstructing of Sonthern harbors during the American civil war, the obligation of the government to remove the obstructions when the war slould lne successfully terminated, was acknowledged.

[^82]:    'I'his is the rule adopted by the linited states, as stated In liofier's Io
    

    Other writers may that such immovablea become the property of the captor, and may lece transferred by it: subject. however, to the suberyuens fortunes of the war. Sere Twiss. Vave of Viafions. Part II , 10 1:6

    Article set declares it to be Inconsintent wish noutralits to take a : ransfer during war.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the discussion of the right of private property at sea, seve note at the beginning of this Chapter : also llinergellille, dea Ifroila et des Drevirs
     ch. 2: Vittel, Droit des Giens, liv. III., (ed. of 1838 :) (irotius. Itruit de la fiuerre ef de la Paix. (ed. of 1867.) liv. III., vol. 33, p. iss: Kiorri, Dourease Droit Intern., 2d part, ch. 8, vol. 2. pp. 314-3352.

    - Fiorri, Nouceaut Drvit Intern., vol. 2.p. 313. Thimprinciple, mays Pros dier Findiri, is now adopted by modern nations, as to property on land Id., note.

    It is emboried in many modern truatiex, which provide that in caer of war or collision between the two nations. property of whatever nature of their respective citizens, is not subject to melaure or sequestration, nor to any other burdens than those imposed on members of the nation sier treaty between France and Pern, March 9, 1861.8 I) (\%emp. 1882

    The rule proposed by the linited stater as a condition of ita accoding to the treaty of Paris, was, that "all private property at sea, not cuntra band of war, be exempt from capture."

    According to many authorities, lowever, a nation has the right, aricti juris, to selze and confincate any property of an encmy found in the country on the happening of war. Dithais Whcofow, fitements off Interm

[^84]:    ' Bluntachli, Droit Intern. Coulifio. 今̀ itu.
    
     duty which is imposed upon him by the law of uations, to wubmit to eome in for inguiry, as to the property of the ship or cargo: and if lie violatea that obligation by a recurruse to force, the conserquence will undoubindly reach the property of his owner, and it would. I think, estend alsw to the confiscation of the whole cargo entrusted to his care, abd thus fraud ulently attempted to be withdrawn from the rights of war " And be further says: "Wlth an enemy masser the case in very differvne. Noduty is violated by such an act on life part.-Inpmomenuribwa tomen.-and if her can withdraw himself he bas a righe to do no "
    

[^85]:     by Article ind, gives greater power to a nation withits ita urn territory

    - The provinions of the Book on Prack. (Artele stax. exoupe toreign ora from tallitary and naval service. Thif exemption phould wot lim nue pended by war. See Miors. Noureas Drvil Intern., v. 2. p. MOA.

    During the German occupation of Parin in $18: 11$, the $t^{\circ}$ nited state minister endeavored to give protection to the apartmente of Atumbicans. In Paris, by Impuing to the occupants certificates of nationality, abst au thorixing them to display the American flag. In dinregand of thome. Cierman soldiers were bllfeted to the American apmermente, but an the occupation wan brief, and no mubstantlal lujury done, no conaplaint mormo to have been prewnad. Forrign Relations of the United statco, Inil. I 20\%. Count Bismared refuned to acknowledge on thin poitse the theutral character of real property of neutraly. It., p, 808.

[^86]:    
    The instructions to British commanders, in Intalington's Niteal Prise Lave, require the officer having made visitation or search to inquite if the master of the ship has any complaint to make of the manner of the act. or on any other ground, and if so, ask him to reduce it to writing. Alwo that a memorandum of the visit should be made on the ship's passport.

[^87]:    'The custody of the papers of captured vessels belongs exclusively to the prize conrt. It is the duty of the captors, immediately upon arrival in port, sodeliver, upon oath, all the papers of the captured vessel into the registry of the prize court. The Diana, 2 Gullison's U. S. Circ. C't. R $\quad$., 93.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thus far suggested by Act of Congress of the United States, June
    
    ${ }^{3}$ The rule, that captors ought to allow some of the officers and crew of a captured wessel to remain on board of her, has no reference to the navigation of the ship. It is adopted with a view to prevent emberzlements and frands, and to bring before the prize court persons who can speak to the national character and proprietary interest of the ship and cargo. The captors are not bound to allow the captured crew to navigate

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first five subdivisions are in substance drawn from Luxhington's Nucul Prize Latr, p. 24, SS 124-163, where a list of the usual ship's papers for cach of the leading commercial nations is given.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ships must be furnished with sea letters or passports, expressing the name, property and bulk of the ships, as also the name and place of habitation of the master and commander of said ship: and when laden. they shall also be provided with certificates containing the several particulars of the cargo, and the place whence the ship sailed, which shall be made out by the officers of the phace whence the ship sailed; and when without these papers, ships may be detained, to be adjudged by the competent tribunal, and may be declared legal prize, unless the defect of papers shall prove to be owing to accident. Treaty between the U'nited states and Bolivia, Art. XXII., May 13, 1858, 12 U. S. Stat. at L., 1003.

    A passport is issued in the name of a sovereign power or State; but a "ara letter" or "certificate" is issued in the name of the civil au. thorities of the port from which the vessel is fitted out.

    Tirinx, in his Larir of Nations, pt. II., p. 183. § 94, states the present rule to be, that where treaties exist in regard to the exhibition of a pass or sas letter, such ships only as are furnished with the specified pass or wa letter are entithed to the treaty privileges, whatever they may be. In othor cases the pass is not in the present day an indispensable document. if there are other papers on board, which satisfactorily establish the char. acter, property and destination of the ship and cargo. Amongst them the most important is the builder's contract, or the bill of sale, in case the ship has ever changed owners; and in addition the certificate of registry, if the municipal law of the port, from which the ship hails, reguired that she should twe registered. If these two papers are on board and their bont filles is not impeached, the proof of the property as re-

[^89]:    Treaty between the United States and
    $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Dominican } \\ \text { Republic, }\end{array}\right\}$ Feb. 8, 1867. Art. XX., 15 U. S. Nat. at I... (Tr..) 165.
    Venezuela, Aug. 27, 1860, " N1X., 12 If., 1143.

[^90]:    By the existing rule, a prize captured in violation of neutrality is only reatored on demand of the neutral nation; but it seems to be in luarmony

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ The existing rule in respect to captures by public ships is, that the actual wrongdoer alone is responsible for any wrong done or illegality committed on the prize, excepting acts done by members of the seizing wessel in obedience to the orders of their superiors. The Lonian Agnes, Blateliford's Prize Cuses. (U. S. Dist. (it., ) p. 107. By the above Article the commander alone is made responsible; but perhaps the liability should be extended to others engaged with him.
    ${ }^{2}$ Every marine capture is at the peril of the party. The captor must show just grounds for the violence, or he is liable for damages. Miller c. The Resolution. 2 Dullus (Fed. Ct. of App.) Rep., 1; Del Col v. Arnold, 3 INallus' (U. S. Supr. C't.) Rep., 333; Murray $v$. The Charming Betsey, 2 C'runctis (C. S. Supr. C't. Rep., 64 ; Nealey 0 . Shattuck, 3 Id., 458 ; aflirming S. C., 1 Washington's U. S. Circ. C't. Rep., 245; Hollingsworth c. The Betsey, 2 Prtera Adm. Rep., ( U. S. Dist. C't.,) 330.

    A belligernent cruiser that with probable cause seizes a neutral and takes her into port for adjndication, and proceeds regularly, is not a wrongloer ; the act is not tortious. The order of restoration proves that

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Postal convention between (ireat Britain and
    France, Sept. 24, 1856, Art. XI., $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Accounts and Papers, 1857, vol. } \\ \text { XVIII. (11;) } 7 \text { De Clereq, 152. }\end{array}\right.$
    Belgium, Oct. 19, 1844, " Vili., $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Accounts and Papers, 1845, vol. } \\ \text { LII. }\end{array}\right.$
    These treaties also provide that upon a notification by one belligerent of the discontinuance of postal communications with another, the mail packets of the two countries shall be permitted to return freely and under apecial protection to their respective ports; which is sufficiently embraced in Articles 845, 922 and 923.

[^93]:    1 The provisions referred to make foreigners sulyject to the general Inwe of the country. (Articles 319, 328, 3330 , and 331 ,) and remerve the right of a nation to exclude them from ottlees, official trustn and particular vocations.

    Triss, (Lave of Nittions, Part II., p 90.) urgea a distinction betwern domiciled and transient alien enemies-a distinction once perhapmsub. stantial, but now, with increaning rapidity of intercotumunication, be coming every day less important. Hefler sayn, that a temporary dren thon of howtile suljects may be necessary to prevent them from comitau nicating with their fellow countrymen. In respect to the plame of the belligerent. Hefter. है 126, 2, dsed by Timiss, Lave of Sintions, Part 11. p. 99.

    Vistel, howerer, (Droit des Giens, lir. 3, ch. 4, fi Gil) maym, that the sorereign who declares wat cannot detain thom subjocta of the rneng who are within hif dominions at the time of such drelaration. and that they are to be allowed a reasonable time to withedraw, berauer. by per

[^94]:    Lominicall
    Republic, FFob. 8, 186i, Art. I., 15. U.S.Stut. at L., (Tr.,) 167.
    Bolivia, May 13, 18:58, " XXVIII., 12 Id., 1003.

[^95]:    Jackson Ins. Co. r. Stewart, 6 American Latn Reg., N. S., 732.
    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps conventional limitations of actions. like those common in insurance policies, should be incladed with statutes of limitations in the above article. If they are not ms includerd, the effect of Article 909

[^96]:    See Halleck. Intern. Iave and Lavea of Wir, pp. 81b-520.
    Immediately after the surrender of Parin and the cension of Alsace and Lorraine to Germany, and before the treaty of peace wan ratified. Mr Wauhburn gave certificates of German nationality to chizens of bhose provinces who desired to leave Paris in consequence of the Freach cons. seription. Fioreign Relitions of the United Statcs, 1871. 1p. 259, 344.

[^97]:    ${ }^{\prime}$ Danais Wheaton, Elem. of Intern. Latr, note 169. p. 434: Malleck. Intern. Lave and Laies of Wur, p. 822.

    - Dana's Whraton, note 169. p. 434 : Halleck. Interm. Lamp. Pis. SBC-\&is

[^98]:    ' Bluntechli, Iroil Intermasional Codifie, sìs 210-il3.
    This rule is somewhat more restricted than that laid down in the books. (see Holleck, Intern. Late de lases of Wiur, p. xil, and authorities cited;) but as between uations uniting in the Code, a criminal violation of the laws of war should not be tacitly merged by peace. Any further reaponsibility than that defined in the above Article ought to be accorded only by apecial compact, or decree.
    'As to the conflict of opinion in reference to civil liability for acts done innocently after the termination of the war, see Halleck, Intern Lase d- Latera of $\mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ ir, p. 85\%.

    The rule that a treaty is binding from signature or ratification is em. bodjed in Articles 196 and $19 \%$.

    ## Rescission of treaty.

    946. A violation of executory provisions in a treaty of peace, affecting the re-establishment of a peaceful condition, entitles the aggrieved party wholly to rescind the treaty, and continue the war.'
    
    -This does not require a new declaration of war. Bluntsehli. Droit Intern. Codiple, st T93.
[^99]:    - For a recent statement of Finglish rules as to apportionment of joint booty, nee Banda i Kilrwee Booty. Lame Rrp. 1 ditm a Fice. 109.

    As to division of tophiles and booty between cooperating armies, see 6 De Clereq. 369, ist; also treaty between France and (ireat Brltain. * De C'lereq. pp. 26, :99; and see also. Id. p. 35 .

[^100]:    The conde declares the exercise of power obligatory in some cases． much an＂xtradition．In some others it gives a right which is equivalent， an in the came of provisions allowing foreigners to sue in the courts．In most cases，as for instance，in case of the jurisdiction to grant divorces，it merely defines the extreme limit of national jurisdiction，leaving each nation to go an far in the exercise of such judicial power，as its laws may provide．

[^101]:    By this and the nest Article, certain othensen against the law of any

