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## proclus

## THE TLMEUS OF PI.ATO.

" Placing also soul in the middle, he extended it through the whole: of the world, and besides this, he externally circumested the body of the universe with soul."

Divinity produces ali things at once, and cternally. For by his very being. and arcording to an eternal intelligence of wholes, he generates all thiners from himself, supermondane, and all mondane treings. intellocts, souls, natures, bodies, and mallor thedf. And indeed, the at-once-collected subsists in a greater degree in the demiurgic production of things, than in the solar illumination;' though in this the whole light proceeds at one and the same time from the snon. But the sun who imitates his father through the visible fabrication, evidently gields to an eternal and invisible production. As we have said therefore, all things heing produced at once and etornally from the fabrication [of the Deminerges], the order of the effects is at the same tine preserved. For in the producing cause there was an etemal intelligenee and order prior to the things that are arranged. Whence though all things are at once from one canse, yet some have the first, but others a subordinate dignity. lor some things proceed in a greater, but others in a less degree. And some indeed, are co-arranged with the Demiurgus according to union, others according to contact, and others according to participation. For intellect is able to be connascent with intellect through union. But sonl is naturally adapted to be conjoined with intellect. And bodies are formed to par-

> ' For nitauns becre, it is obviously nccessary to read $\eta \lambda$ tacn.
> Tim. Plat. Vol. II.
ticipate of it alone, just as things in the profundity of the earth, participate of the solar splendour. Since therefore all these exist in the word, viz. intellect, sonl and body, and all are produced at oner, and simed at the same time, there is in these an order proceding from the Demiursus, the disconse about them, at one
 fabrieation, bat at amother time beiner impelled' from the last of thines, recurs to the summits of the miverse, contomably the thine themelves. For all hings procod from, amd are cemserted to the primeiple and camse from which they pro-

 the phenitudes of the wodd areordiner tw promenon, when he represented the
 miveres. But in what is men sad, he gises comphetion th the world acerotines


 it capahbe of participating of a disime life, and imparts to it a monion which imi-


 and through this comjoins sonl to its fomatsin. For the sonl af the miverse, throng participating of intellert, becomes conjoincel with intellizibles themeles. And thas he ends at the principhe from which the mundane inteflect, sonl, and the buth of body proced. For dividing the univeree triply, into intedlect, soul, and bedy, he bet discuses the bure subordinate of the er for such is the mode accordine to comersion. And the diactasion inderd of the fabrication of body is terminated, hasing deliveral the essence, firne and motion of it.

The theory of soml howerer, is comjomed to thin, just as hoely itadf is suspended hom a diane soml, amd the amimation which the discomre now adds, is the seventh demimerie wift imparted to the werlat. But the discun-ion of the soul in I think twofold; the ond indered deliseriner the essence of it, but the other its commmion with boly. Plato however selects the latter wh thes, and thinks fit to mention it Irefore the former. Perhape indered, beratose it is a medimen between the reaconing abont body, and the speralations alwout the essence of sonl. For the habitude of soul to body, is in a certan reppet a medimn between body, and

[^0]sonl itself. And it is necessary that the leadiner to principles shond be thongh modia. Perhaps (oo, be selected the latter, beranse lie was not willing to connect the soml with hody, accorting to time; nor again, when separate, and existing by iterlf to conjoin it to the world. But to deliver the communion of soul with body prior to the generation of somb, contributes to this. For the generation of the sont is sulicient to evince, that the corporeal bulk also of the world is umberoten [aceording to time]. Fur it he ascribes ermeration to unbegoten natures, yet in theor there will he another mote of generation. But if prior ' 1 , the apparent genemation, he brines into the same condition both body and soul, surh a generation will be mbegoten, and the animation will he perperenal ; nether soul being generated in time, nor boly diffring in time from soul. And thas much conermine the principal parts of the order in what is said.

Wilh rexpet however, to the middle postion of soml, different interpreters esplain it difleremty. For some say that the middle is the cemtre of the parth; others, that the moon is the mid!le, as the isthmos of cenerated and divine natures; others, that it is the sm, as beiner retablisherl in the place of a heart; others, that it is the incratie sphere; others, that it is the equinoetial, as bomdiner the beadih of thre world; and oflers, that it is the zodiack. And some indeed, place in the centre the ruling power of the miverse; others, in the moon; others, in the sum; others, in the eguinoctial; and others, in the \%erliark. But to the fire of these, the power of the centre bears witnose this being commection of every circulation; to the second, the motion of the moon, which in a varions manner changes gencration; to the third, the vivilie heat of the smin; to the femeth, the farility of the motion of the eguinoctial circle ; and to the fifth, the circulation of the stars about the zodiack. Against all these however, Porphyry and Iamblichos write, haming them for understanding the middle locally, amd with interval, and inclosing in a certain part the soul of the whole worlh, which is crery where present similarly, and which rules over, and hads all thins by its motions. Of these divine men likewse, Pophyry inded, assuming this to be the sond of the miverse, interperts the midde according to the prychical ensence : for this is the middle of intelligibles and semsibles. In thas speaking however, he will not appear to say any thing, as pertaining to the words of Plato. But if we should assme that the miverse derives its completion from intellect, soul and body, and is an animal possessing sonl and intelleet, in this system we shall find that soul is the middle. Plato therefore, having before said this, will appear to say nothing else now, than that the soul of the world is arranged so as to extend its energies through the universe, being allotted

[^1]the middle order in it. For again seconlary natures participate of those prior' to them; just as body which is the last of thines, participates of soul which ranks in the middle, and soul participates of intellect, which is prior to it. But the philosopher lamblichus thinks that hy soul, we should understand that soml which is exempt, supermundane, and likerated, and which has dominion orer all things. For according to him, Plato does not spak of the mundane soul. hut of that which is imparticipable, and is arranerd as a monad ahowe all mombane souls. For this is the first sonl, and the midde is in this, as beiner simitarly present to all things, in consequence of not belonging to any body, nor snhsisting in any way in habitude, but similarly animatmer, and luing equally separated fom all mundane natures. For it is not less soparated fram some, and more from others; since it is wibhout habitude; but is smitarly mparated from all; thongh all things are not separated from it atter the same mamer. For in the participants of it, thene are the more and the lass.

Our preceptor however, interprets the middle in a way more accommontateil to the words of Plato. For since the soul of the miserse has inded that which is supermmodase, and exempt from the miserse, according to which it is conjoined to intellect, which Plato in the Phadrus, and Orphons in what he says abont Hippa, denominate the head of the sonl; and since it has aloo another multitude of powers, procedine from this monal, disided atont the word, and appropriately present to all the parts of the miveree, in one way inderd about the middle, in another about the rarth, in another about the sm, amd in another about each of the spheres; this beiner the cane, he says that the present words indicate all these, so that sonl amimates the middle: in one way, but the whole tolk in another, and teaves something elve prior to these powers, exempt from the miverse. In orter however, that we may not nombligently attond to what is said by l'lato, hat may exhibit the varicty of the poydhical pewers, thos much mon be said, that soul mush prior to body, is a vital world, and is both onte and mumber. And through the one indeed, it is superior to every hatitude of firm; but throns! multitude, it groverns the tifferent parts of the minerse. For by its guardian posers, it contains the contre; since the whole sphere is gercrned fiom thence, and conrerges to it. Besides, every thing turbulent in the zorlt, is colhoted about the middle, and requires a dizine guard, capable of arramgins, and detuining it in its proper boundaries. Hence also, theolegists terminate the progessans of the hishest (iods, in that flace; and the Pythagoreans call the middle the tower of Jupiter, and the guard-house of

[^2]Jupiter. But ly its stalle, and at the same time rivifying poaters, it contains the sphere of the earth. By its prevfective, and generative prierers, the sphere of water. By its cort nective, and motice prowers. the air. Ryy its muldeld dpowers, fire. And by its intellectual poocres, all harn. Of these aloo, it contans in ome way the hmar sphere, in another, the solar sphere, and in another, the sphere of the fixed tars. For the souls of cach of these gevern in conjunction with the whote soul of the universe, their apprepriate portions of the world.

Such therefore, being the moth of animation, Plato, as he is accustomed to do, hegiming from the last of things according to contersion, first animates the middle, afterwards the universe, and in the third phace, leaves something of soul external to the miverse. For as be constituted body prior to somb, and parts prior to wholes, so lihewise he delivers the anmation of the world, heesiming from the lav of things. For aceording to a progression from on high he said, that the Demiurens placed intellect in soul, and sout in loody; but teaching us in what is now said, amimation accordine to conversion, he first amimates the middle, and afterwards the miverse. For the river of vivification procerds as far as to the centre; as the Oracles also say, when speaking of the middle of the five centres, which extembs from on hish entirely to the opposite part. "And another fith middle fiery centre, where a life bearing fire deseends as far as to the material river-" Plato therefore, beriming from theoe things in which animation conds, terens: to the whole proshation of hife, and prior to this survegs the exempt power of the soul. Hence we must not place the ruling part of the soul in the centre; for this part is exempt from the universe; lont a rettain power of it, which is the guardian of the whoke [mundane] order. For nothing elve in the, universe, is so capable of entirely sulberting wholes as the centre, and the power of the centre, atomt which there is an harmonic dance of the miverse [if they are in a defective condition?. Hence too Plato, divinely as it apprars to me, does not place the soul in the middle of the mivene, but soul. For these dife, from each other, leramse the former extabliches the arhole of sonl in the centre, lme the latter places a pricer of it in the middle, and a different power in diflerent pats of the world. Phato therefore says, "phacing soul in the middle;" which is her same thing as givine the participation of soul to the middle, and extenting ite total powers to the universe; the Demiurgus left external to the universe, a power of the soul more divine than all the others, extablished in itself, and exemptly containing and conurcting the whole world. And what occasion is there to be prolix in investigating the meaning of Plato, since the philosmpher himself shortly after, when diseussing the animation itself of the world, says, " but soul unfolding herscif to the catremity of the unirerse, from the middle, circularly cocercd it as with a veil,
herself being corroolced in herself." This however does not at all differ from the words before us. For to extend every way from the middle, is the same thing as to be wo folded from the middle to the extremity of the universe. But there soul herself from herself, illuminates the centre of the universe, and the whole sphere of it, by her powers; and here the Deminesus is the cause of animation, and introduces the soul into the universe. For the same thing is effected li both, deminreically indeed, and intellectually, by the cause, but self-motindy, by some. Now however, the philosopher delivers the bond derived from fitmication alone. For we particularly refer wholes, and such thing as are good, to a divine camise, but partial natures, and things which are mut good, we think unworthy of divine production, and suspend them from other mon proximate canes; thonglo the so aloe, as has been frequently observed, moist through divinity.

As there is therefore a communion of a divine, and likewise of a partial soul with bodice, that communion which subsists according to a bemgicent will, and does not depart from intelligible precessions, is divine; but that which subsists according to a uethurion of the wig gr s of the soul, or undurity, or hight, is without (izod, though in this also, there is a complication with self-motice energy, and the well of providence. Nevertheless, the command will body which is accomber to divinity, is manifest through the preathere of divinity; but that whit in from soup, is :parent through the representation of aberration whin it exhibits. Hence, she e the
 selfemotion, late here very properly gives the preference to that cause which subsists according to divinity, as being wholly mont adapted to wholes.' Par the
 urgic works. For the first of the ne siruities the termination of the sene, the second, the prechical middle which proceeds through all things, and the third, exempt transerndency. For to coed as with a toil, indicates that the soul comperehermes the world every way, mites it thermel heredi, locals it to one life, and does not leave any thing external to its appropriate providence, nor destitute of its nature.
"And causing circle to revolve in a circle, he established heaven [or the universe] one, single, solitary mature."

The philosopher porphyry well interprets the meaning of circle revolving in a circle. For it is possible, says he, for that which is not a circle to be moved in a circle, as a stone when whirled round; and also for a circle not to be moved in

[^3]a circle, as a wheel when rolled aloner. But it is the peculiarity of the world, that beiner circolar it is mosed in a circle. thronsh harmonionsly revolving about the centre. In astill ervater dereree however, the divine Iambliches, appropriately interprets the manine whese works. For he says that the circle is twofold, the one heing prychical, but the other corporeal, and that the latter is moved in the former. For this is confomable to what has been hefore said, and accords with what is atherwards asweted. For Plato himself shortly after moves the corporeal nature according to the prychioal circle, and renders the twofold circulations analogons to the preriods in the soul. And such is the interpretation according to both these philerophers.

Morcover, to comprehend the whole blessedness of the world in three appetlations, is mont appropriate to that which subsists according to a triple canse, viz. the final, the paradigmatic, and the demingic. For of the appellations themselves, the first of them, viz. ome, is assmmed from the final cause; for the one is the same with the gond. But the second, viz. single or only, is asumed from the paradigmatic cance. For the only-begotlon and onlymess (ervenos) were, prior to the mivera, in all-pertect amimal. And the third, viz. the solitary, is arsmed from the deminneic cames. For the ability of using itself, and throngh itself geverning the world, proceds from the demiurgic goodness. The world therefore, is one, so far as it is mited, and is convertid to the onc. Bot it is single, so far as it participates of the intelligible, and comprelemenall thines in itself. And it is solitary, so far as it in similar to its father, and is able to save itselt. from the three however, it appears that it is a God. For the one, the perfect, athd the self-sujficicut, are the elements of deity. Hence, the world reobing these, is also itseli a God; being one indeol, according to lyparsis; but single or only according to a perfection which derives its completion from all sensilile natures; and solitary, throngh being suthicient to itseli.' For those that lead a solitary life, being converted to themselvey, have the hopes of salvation in themselves. And that this is the meaning of the term solitary, will be evident from the following words of Plato:
" Able through virtuc to converse with itself, indigent of nothing external, and sufficiently known and friendly to itself."

For in these words, he clearly manifests what the solitariness is which he ascribes to the worll, and that he denominates that being solitary, who loohs to himself, to that with which he is furnished, and to his own proper

[^4]measure. For those that live in solitary places are the saviours of themselves, so far as respects human canses. The universe therefore is likewise after this manner solitary, as being suflicient to itscli, and preserving itself, not through a diminntion, but from an exnberance of power; for self-sufficiency is here indicated; and as he says, throngh virtue. For he alone amonir partial animals [such as we are] who possesses virtue, is able to associate with, and love himself with a parental aflection. But the vieions man loohine to his inward baseness, is indignant with himelf and with his own essence, is astonished wi.h externals, and pursues an asoociation with others, in conserpucnce of his inability to behold himself. On the contrary, the worthy man prerecisine himedf beantiful rejoices and is delighted, and producing in himedf beantitul conceptions. gladly embraces an ansociation with himself. For we are naturally domestieated to the beantiful, but hastily wiladraw marselver from deformity. Hence, if the world possesses virtue adapted to itself, in its intellectual and pyehical essence, and in the perfection of its amimal nature, looking to itsedf, it loves itself, and is present with, and suflicient to itelf.

It is proper therefore, to asore thene thines to those who place intedigibles external to intellect. For how can that whieh tents to other thinses and as being deficient is indigent of estemals, he hessed? Hence, if the world is thromgh virtue conserted to itself, must mot intellect do this in a much areater derrees Intellece tharefure inteflectadly perecion itali Ant this is amome the number of things monalistely hoown. This alat deservos to be remathed, that Plate, when he gives animation th the world, directly imparts virtue to it. For the participation of soul is immediately aceompanied with the fulness of virtue, in the Ineing which subsists according to nature; sume the one catme of the virtues, ${ }^{1}$ is also co-arranged with the fomntain of souls, ${ }^{\prime}$ and the progression of this fombtan is conjoined with the procression of soul. For with respect to virture, one indeed is unical, primary and all-peffect; but another subsists in the ruling supermumdanc Geds; another in the liberatted (iods; and another is momelane, through which the whole world pusserses moletiled intelligenere, an undeviating lite, an enerry converted to itself, and a purity ummingled with the anmals whielt it contains. From this virtme therefore, the world becomes known and friendly to itself. For knowledge precedes familiarity.

Since the miserse also is intellectual, an animal, and a God, so far indecd, as it is intellectual, it becomes huown to itself; but so far as it is a God, it is friendly to itself. For mion is more perfect than knowledge. If therefore, the miserse is hnown to itsedf, it is intellectaal; for that which is primatily known to itself is

[^5]intollect. And if it is friendly to itself it is united. But that which is united is devied; for the one which is in intellect is a God. Agrain therefore, you have virtue, a knowledre of, and a friondship with inelf, in the worlf; the first of these proceediner into it from - ond ; the secont from intollect; and the third from deity. Hence Plato very proprly adds, that on account of these things, the world was generated by the Deminrers ableosed (iod; for the presence of soul, the participation of intellect, amb the reception of mion, remder the miverse a Got. And the hessed dod which lor mew mentions is the (;od "acho at a cerbain time zould caish," animated, embud with intellert, and mited. Union howewr, is presont with it accortiner to the bome of amalory ; but much more from the one sonl and the olle intelleed which it participates. For through these, preater bonds, and a moreracellemt minon proweded into the miverse. Aml still beyond these mions, divine friemblip, and the suply at pood, contan and commet the whole
 say.s; hut the wnion of the grotien chain [i.c. of the deific series] is still greater, and is the cause of ercater arond to all things.

Morenser, felicity mos likewi-e bre ascmmed in a way adapted to the universe. For since it is supemeded from the promal intellect, and the whole fabrication of thines, and since it lises ronformably to those canses, it is eonerpurntly hapy
 Plato in the l'oliticus, and a ereat diemon hy Orpheme, whell he says, One the ely at demom and the lord of all. ${ }^{*}$
Ho therefore who lises acoordins to the will of the father, and preserves the intellertmal nature which was inparted th him forn thence immmaho is happy .und hesond. The brot and the all-perfect form of fellecty likewise, is that of the world. The second is that of the mumbae (iods, whom I'ato in the Phaedrus ralls hippy Gork followine the mighty Jopiter. The third is that of the genera
 vitur of angets, another of de:noms, and another of the beroic gemera: and the fom of felicity is triphe, being different accoriling to each gemos. The fourth form of iflicity is that which shlui-is in the undefiled souks, who make blameless descents [into the realms of cemration.] and exert an inflexible and untamed life. The lifth is that of partial soulv [such as ours]; and this is multiform. For the sonl which is an attendant on the moon, is not similarly happy with the soul that is

[^6]suspended from the solar oribr; but as the form of life is different, so likewise perfection is defined by difierent masures. And the last form of felicity is that which is seen in irrational animals. For ewry thime which oltains a perfection adapted to it according to mature is happe. For thromen its proper perliection, it is conjoined to its proper diemon, aml partahes of his provideatial carre. 'The' forms of facity therefore, beiner an mans. the tirat and highert mont be placed in the world, and which also is mow montioned by Plath. We munt not, howrore, wonder that he immediately call, the wodn a (iod, trom it participation of soal.


 int Heet throngh the one. Hemer, intellate is divine, but mot a lind. ' The one



 here he places soml in bods.
" But, indeed, divinty did wot thos atterwards antificially produce the soul, as we just now embeavoured to sily, junion to the bendy. For he who comjoned these, wonld never permit that the more anciont nature should be governed by the younger."

Plato knew Hat the mode acordine to romsor-an, entirely deliver as dirst, things which are secome in order. For thins which are prosimately partici-










[^7]The survey themfore from on hish, will be that of souls abidine there ; but the survey from beneath, will be that of souls who havesparated themselves ffrom the intelligihle world]. And the judement indred, which originates from thiners essentialI) morr ancient, is lhat of sonk livine according to intellect; lint the judgment which proceme from scondary to first natures, is the judgment of fallen souls. For truly existing lecius is near to souls that abide in the intelligible; bot nonlevins to those that are in a fallen combition. For that which abides, abides in Ineing, and that which falls [from thr intrlligible], is sitmated in mon-being. But to bach of theor, that in which it dwolls is more proximate.

Plato therefore, how iner these thines, and that we rocur from subordinate to mome derellent hatures arcording to a well-arranged progression, thinks tit to mahe mention of the nature of thines, conformally to whift the elder is more cxrellent than the younger, and thromsthe common conception, to arrange the soul prior to the louty. For he reminds us that the former is older, but the latter youngre, throngh an lispothetical suidance. For if the sonl rules over the body, it is more anciont than the body. But it does role owr the berly : for it beals avery thing in the mivere hy ite motions. Abd body, indered, is ater-motive : hont soul is natmally adaped to move hoth inelf, and other thinss. It is therefore morr abrient than the hoty. If this howerer be the case, it is necessary to concoive of it by itself, mot as beine eremented postrior tothe body, as we inagine it to bre, but givine it a superal mizin, we should surey the corporeal bulk of the miverae, umbleded as it wore into lisht fiom it. For the miverse derived its
 Har converion of it thomeh it tigure. These thins, however, we shall again diacous.
 Plato. In the five plame therefore, wioh reveret to the sment, he manifeste that he


 ciont tham boly. In the west place, the wher and the ymuser, are not to be
 at onere constituted ties somb, and smomaded the botly of the universe with it, as with a veil. But they most ber asmand in the order of essence. For the essence which is mom proximate to the Demingrns [is older]. If you wish also to buleretand the older and the younger according to time; the time which is in tho soul, is oldor and more divine, hat the time which pertains to body is
younger. And soul, so far as soul, is not corporeal time; but the time belonging to body is subordinate to that of soul. Jor as there is a different form of motion in both, so likewise the time in each is diflerent. In the tinird place, he wios the expression "artificially produce," in the most propur smon, in speathing of the soul ; clearly indicating that it is a shlimotive thing, that it is full of rasons, and is inventive ${ }^{*}$ of all-varions contrivances.

Farther still, the power of more anciont rulines over junior natures, proceeds into
 who is so denominated hy all fthe Godsl, is the hing of all the intellectual natures. And in the intellectual order, the edest of the soms of satmon, is said to tre the father of att things.

## But Jusewas born the diret, and more he hnows.

For esery where that which is wher, is the symbel of a more intellectual, total and monadic life; but that which is somucr, of a life which is parthbe, proceeds to acondary natures, and is maltiplien. Henore of the vivide Geddesome, they call one odder, hat the wher youmser.' Ambof the deminesice (Buts, they
 the next place, the word compined, hearl! mathes the soul whirh is the subjeret of the present discus-ion mamban, ami wot liberated, bor the one soml which exists prior to the many. Fow the laller is mampt from all sonk, and othex souls proced about it as a centre; but the hormer reerises a conjometion with body, eflected according to the will of the father.
"We however, participating in a centain reppect much of that which in casual and withont design assert things of this timd."

What is the reason that we are mailling to transfar our intelle from thins that are of a junior nature, to bone that are ‘somtially more anciont? It is

[^8]because our intellect resembles one asleep, and becanse we are full of an irrational and disorderly nature. Forthe words casual, and rashly, are significant of these thinge. For since we possess sense, and sembihles are phaced lefore our vew, tosedher with which we are nourished, we first apprehend thiners of a junior nature, as being more familiar to us. And throngh sense inderd, we are borne aloner rashly and aithout design; hot through sensibles which are placed before us, we live in acasual manner. For those that remain on high, as sense is with lhem at mot, and there is no semsation of the sensibles with which we are now conversant, have nothins occurriner to them of a ca-mal nature. But we, since we havespose, and semsibles are before our ryes, live casually and whout design. And as it is said, we form a judgment of things with the head downward;' which is also contormable to what Damedocles lamenting our fate says:

Many dire "ords and cares obhnod the mind. ${ }^{2}$
For many things invading us who are truly slaves, as having become exiles from deity, bhut and chor our speculation of real heings. Since howeser, as this philoophifer ako says, the ca-mal, and that which is without design, accede to us extormally, on this accomb, Plato says, that we participate of them, and not that then are excited from our essence : for we are essentially intellectual. But simer thre is likewise a participation from more divine natures, Plato adds the word muth, in oreler that he may indicate the indefiniteness and confusion of a participation of this himd. For the participation of diviner natures, introdnces to the pratie品ants, union, hound and order.
" But the artificer of the world constituted soul both in generation and virtue prior to and more ancient than body, as the despot [or lord] and ruler of its servile nature ; and that from certain things in the following manner."

Porphyry subdividing, conceives the words to signify, that sonl is more ancient than horly in semeration, but prior to it in virtue. Both however, or either, may be -ignitied, and prior to and more ancient than, may be conjoined to the words in ge-

[^9]neration; and again, either may be congoned to the words in virtue. But generation when ascriled to the soul, is mut that which is accorting to time; for Plato in the Phedrus demonstrates that the soul is mhergoten and indera truble; but is an esential progresion from intulivithe canse. For of beines, wome are intelligille and mberoten, but others are sonible and wemerated. And the media
 composite and impartibe, and on this accome are mhagoten; lint others whots
 and partible, and after anowher maner simphe and componste. (imeration therefore, in the som is ome thing but that in the berly amother. And the onve, indeed, is prior and more ancient; for it is more pmamate: to the Deminerse of all things; but the wher is secondary and more weont; for it is mure remote from the und [fabriation) rame of all. Parther still, virtue in prome with the soml, and it is aloo preant with the lnady, and the whele amimal; but the sithe of the soml is mone disine, and that of the lwedy, abject.
 intellivibe virtur ; but the latter is of an attembant nature, and is more remote
 tion amed (omerersion ; of which, he firmer is from the finmatan of the soul, ' but the latter is inherent in sumls from fintal virtur, [i. r. from Viota). The priew itself
 For prior indect, is signiticulut of order alone ; but the more ancitut, of the trou-













${ }^{2}$ For anpeotav in lhis place, read aspacos.
 ayu0u uvapeper.
he semprns, th hiv nwn cooal. But a rulir looks to the groml of those whor are whediont to him, and co-aranges all thinge with reformen to it. 'The despotic peculiarity, howerer, is preent with the soul, in consefunce of her performing all thinge for the sathe of herself; but the ruling peculiarity, thromg tilliner all thiners with moml. For her provithotial eners is not diminished, themeh retioring all thime to her win proper good. And her good remains unchanged, white she gives comphtion to her providential energies. In another way alon she sa a ruler and a despot: for sla procerds both from the rulines, and the fontal soul.' Becanse lihewise, the minerse is her dwellins, she is called a despot. Aud became she deads all himes by her motions, she has at ruling pouer. In conserfurnee too, of having dominion ury wholes, she is a despot ; but becanse she abimilates all thinse to the intellizihle, she rukes over the miverse. If however, she was thes
 mean the do-potic and the mbing perentianty. But if they are essontial, they are alw:ş preant wih her. And if indeed they were present with her in eapacity
 that which is gowomed hy her always was, amd was adormed by lore. The mi-

 that whid soverns. Itence through both, we may diseorer the perpetmes withe mivere, and that to govern is mot accidental to sonl, nor to be ensmed, to boty, hem that the soml is, by its way esonce, the dropot of the hody, and body the vamal of the soml. On this arromit also, they are sponamoonly conjoinet to rach other ; mal the body is revited to theparticipation of the somb, and the soul to the carre of the berdy.

Farther still, the intimiom of the words " jrom certain thinges after the folwainer
 and atso the mode of the mixture of them, and the reatems accordines which



 sulyect of her as it werre, and the lomm. It is ridicnoms therefore to say, that
 form of her is generated. For Plato says, that disinity produced, beth the matter as it were of the soul, and the reason according to which sive is diversibed

[^10]with such forms as she possesses; being bound by certain media. If however, divinity generates the elements as it were of her, viz. essence, same, and different, and from these the whole, every thing that is essential in the soul is generated.
"From an essence always impartible, and subsisting with invariable sameness, and again from an essence whieh is partible about bodies, he mingled from both a middle form of essence."

In the first place, it is mequisite to show through what camse Plato deliners the generation of the soul, sime it is acordine to him mbergotten; in the next phace, to divide appropriately the whole pychical gemeration ; in the third place, tolemonstrate the midlle nature of the soul; in the fourth place, to spat concerning the genera of those thing, from which-Plato constitutes all other beings and the sonl ; in the titil place, tes show how themisture of these gemera is to he anmond in the sonl; in the sisth phace to demonstate what the impartible atad the partible natures are; and in the seventh place, to mefold the meaniner of the words of Plato, and to esince that they accord with all that has been hefore sam. For if we are able to discuss all thres particulars, we shall obtain in an appropriate manner the end of this imostigation. Iat ns lexim therefine fom the firt, becanse some of the ancients have blamed Plato, arserting that he does not rightly investirate the principhe of a principhe, and the gromeration of :-andmentten thing. For if we inseatigate the canser of tirst matures, and eonce ise eremerations of things self-subsistent, we shall ignoranly promed to intinity, and have no cond of the theory. For as he who thinhs that all thinse are demomstratione e-pectally subwerts demom-tration italf, atter the same manaer abo, the who inverimates the canses of all thinse, whirely subsertsall herins- and the order of the mporeding from a certain definite principle. Thing of thi hind inderd, are objected by
 that we ought mot to inverigate the ahy in all phssical ingniries. For, he alde, it would be ridiculons to doubt why fire burns, on what accomet fire exists, and why snow refrigarates. But those vho hase "rithon against him in defence of Plato say, that alone to how the of or that of natural thines, is irmational humbledere,
 uhy, and to investigate the canses of them, is truly the empley ment of dianoia, and a scientific intellect. For in this right opinion dillirs from scientific reasom.

[^11]We however, attending to what both of them say, think that the later speak well, but in the first place, we would ask Theophrastus himself, whether the cause of no one thing is to be assigned, or of a certain thing? For if of no one thing, besides subverting seience which esuecially knows causes, he will also accuse himself, by empuiring whence thunder is produced, whence winds arise, and what are the canses of lightnins, corruscations, fiery whirlwinds, rain, snow and hail; all which in his Meteors, he very properly thinks deserving of an appropriate conjectural disenssion. But if the canse of a certain thing is to be assigned, why are some thins pertanines to playsics to be surveycd aceorling to canses, but others are to be delivered irrationally withont canse? For it does not follow that in things in which that they crist is manifest, in these it is likewise known why they exist. But aftor Theophrastus, we shall ask the lovers of Plato, whether we are entirely to investigate the canses of all things, or not of all things? For if of all things, we must therefore investirate the cause of the one, which we say is more excellent than cause. For that the one is, we may learn from principles. For if not the one, but multitude [is the principle of things,] what is it that unites; since that which is united is from the one, just as that which is essentialized is from essrnce, and that which is animated is from soul? But why the one is one cannot be unfolded, for it is more ancient than every cause. And if we are not to investigate the canses of all things, why shond we investigate the canse of soul, and the gencration of it from a cause, but should by no means do this in other things?

Since however, we have separately interrogated each of them, we shall doubt with ourselves for both of them, throush what canse Plato indeed devises the erencration of the sonl, and the progression of it from a cause, but Theophrastus condemns all doctrine of this kind. And having doubted we say, that to Theophrastus, and all of the Peripatetic sect, the ascent of their speculation, ix [only] as fire as to the motive forms of the universe; wheiher it be proper to call these souls, or intellects.' But by Plato, these as falling short of the first dignity among beings, in consequence of leing participable, are considered as having an order very remote from principles. For prior to these, are the intelligible and intellectual orders of beings, from which these derive their progression. And prior to these orders, is the mumber of the Gods, causing beings to become one, and connected, and illuminating them with divine light. And again, prior to this number, is the imparticipable one, from which this number unfolds itself into light through the natures by which it is received. For it is necessary that imparticipable forms

## - i. e. The souls of the celestial and sublunary spheres.

should subsist prior to those that are participated, and prior to imparticipables, the unities of them. For the united is one thing, and unity another, and priorto the multitude of unities, the one fomntain of them exists.

Such therefore beiner the opininns of hoth these philosophers, Theophrastus indeed, since he assorts that the sonl is the principle of motion, and does not admit that there is any thing dse priar to this primeiphe, very properly thinks that principle onght not to be invotigated. For he grants that the universe is animated, and on his accomnt divime. For if it is dirime, says he, and has the most escellicm tifi, it is animated; since nothing, is homourable arithout sonh, as he writes in his treatise On the Heamen. But Plato admit- that there are mundame intellects,
 and prior to these, the disine urder. Hence, he very proprorly dedisers the sonl
 ration of another kind has not only a plame in hodies, but alow in souls, so far as they paticipase of time. For in disine mods lihewise there is time, sumed, as Plato says in the Phorlme, they surwey thromeh time reat beime italf. For all tramsitise men has time conjoined with it. Sme thas much for the tiost of the befor-anentinned problans. For at the same time, it is sutheiontly demenstrated, that llato righty deliners the gramation of the soul, though it is essemthally moneoten, and that the aremment which is nered agame it, is partly right and partly not. For th him whon admit, that the som is the first thine, it is consequent to subert the eblatation of it. For from what is the in memation of it, when there is mothan prior to it? 'Ihis wry thing howerer, is mot trus, that sont is

 the infinite at onere-the same thiner atom mat mecesarily be said conteming the
 at ance persess all the intinite power, form which it always elloreizes, mor


 not always energite whth insariable samoness, but ditherently at diflerent times. So that in short it is true, that crery thiner which encreizes acoordiner to time, aluays rectues the poaco of emergizing, yet does not at once seceive the whote pueer. and on this accomnt is gemerated.

That the soul however, is not the fir-t of haines' is: evident. For that which is first is pronent with all thines, and it is mocesary that all hings should participate

[^12]of the principle of all, or not participating of it should entirely perish. For essence and hyparsis are imparted to all things from the first principle. But sonl is not present with all things, nor is it necessary that all things should participate of soul. But of hodies, some are inamimate, and others are anmated. It is likewise necessary that the fint of all things should be one. For if it were multitude, it would have that which canses things to be one, prior to itself. For there will not be a dispersed multitule. But soml is a multitude. The first of things also is beyond all essence ; since every essence has moltitude in conjunction with itself; for there are in it ' difhence and sameness, life, and etermal energy. But soul is an essence. livery where too, the first remus is free from evil; but the genus of [partial] souls is at a certain time naturally adapted to become evil. And this in short may be demonstrated thromsh many argments. The design howeror of Plato is, through the abovementioned cause, to unfold to us the psychical essence, to show how it subsists, and to teach us from what elements it is compossel, and according to what reasons. For as with respect to our body it is easy to know, that it contains the face and the hands, the thichs and the feet, and all such other parts as are obvious to every one ; but to know how it is composed from the inward parts, from what particulars, and according to what ratio, requires medical and anatomical information;-after the same manner with respect to the soml, it is not difficult to show what it is according to its total powers, but to unfold the very essence of it, as far as to the elements of which it consists, and to survey the all-iarions reasons in it, will be the work of the most accurate theory concerning it. Aul this indeed, Plato endeavours to do, anatomizing as it were the peychical escence, and denudatiner the whole of it to those who are able to follow him. As we have said therfore, we may thos reply to the first of the inguiries. For that Plato very proproly says, that the esonce of the soul is generated, we may learn, hy considering that he called the corporeal-formed nature crenerated, becanse it is always becoming to be, and receives an infinite power of existing. For the sonl also is a thing of this kind, and is not able to receive at once the whole infinity of being. This is mident from its living in a discursive manner, and producing different reasons at diflerent times, not having the whole of an inlinite life at once present. Iby always therefore evolving its own life, it is evident that it has an essence always generated, or becoming to le, and always adrancing to the infinite, but not being infinite. Hence it always receives a life which is essential, and entirely natural to it. For that which is perfective of a thing is to that thing entirely according to nature. Moreover, if it

[^13]is self-motive, [as Timans asserts, then we must admit, that it imparts to itself at once, the whole of the exsential life which it possesses in itself, and thus it will be unbegotten and at the same time]'genernted ; being indeed, through the essence and life which it always possessen, ever-living, and ever-existent, but in consequence of always recriving these, always becoming to be essence and life. For it exists in a twofold respect, from itse $f f$, and from the natures prior to itself. And through itself indeed, atiays existing ; lut thruugh the natures prior to itself, aluays becoming to be. Or rather through the latter haring both, riz. to be that which it is, and to be generated, but through itself to be that which it is ony. For it alone possesses from the natures prior to itarlf the perpethal reception of something ; Aristothe abo righty asserting that nothing is the canse of itself, the well-beine of wheh is accorting to than, and has not an eternal subsistence, lest the canse which onght to he prior to the thing cansed, shonld be consubsistent with that which is generated. Hence time and etemity subsist about the sonl ; cternity inderd, so far as the soul is unberotten; but time so far as it is eremerated. On this accomnt the sonl is in a cortain respect cternal, a indestructible, but is not simply etemal, as Plato atso says in the laws. But the smond thing propesed to be done, was to divide the whole groneration of the sonl in an appropriate mamer. This honever, we shall aftomards accompli-h, arain assmme the principte frem the thines themselies.

In every nature therefore, there are esoncr, power fand energy. And essence indeed, $]^{\text {is that aceonting to which an existance as fire, or to be fire, is present }}$ with tire. But power is one thing, and energy another. For one thing tries, but another heats, and other thiners produce a change in a difforent way. It is necessary therefore, that in the soul also whe thing should be essonce, another power, and another enerery. And it is repuisite that he whowishes to apprehend and survey the whole of the soul, shonld spak abont all these. Of the gromeration of the soul therefore, there will in the first place he three heads; the first, concerning its essence; the second concerning its power; and the third concerning its energy. For this will be a perfect dispuisition of the things proposed for consideration.

[^14]We may perceive however, that the peychical essence is a certain threfold nature. For the hyparsis of it is one thing, aud the harmony in it another, according to which its esential molthtule is connected; since it is not one essence' like intellect, nor disivible to infmity, like body which is posterior to it ; but it is divisible into more esential parts than one, of which it consists, yet they are finite in number, there not being more parts of the soul than these, since these parts of it cannot be divided into wthers, as will be evident as we proceed. And the form which is rifected from these is another thing ; so that the essence of the soul is one and throfold. For the monad and the triad are adaped to it, since we divide the whole sond into three parts. Hence its essence is one and triple: for hyparxis is one thins, harmony another, and formanother. And the first of these inderd, alone defines exintence, the second harmonizes the essentialmultitude, but the third contains the preculiarity of the whole system. All these likewise, are in each other. For hyparxis has with itself an harmonized multiturle; since it is not without multitule; nor is it multitude alone mhamonized. And harmony is itsolf essential, and is connective of essence, to which also it gives form. Hence likewise it is shown in what respert the soml is hamony, and in what respect it is not, and that P'atoarcorfa with himself in aserting here that the sonl is harmons, bat in the lhardo eonfuther thone whe mantan that it is. For it is once thints to be the harmon!y of itselt; aml of other things, ant a different thing to be the harmony of another thines aloue. For the latter produces harmony in a subject, and a harmony inseparabie from the things harmonized, and established in a foreign seat. But the former produces a spparate harmony, subsisting from itwelf, and converted to itself. Furm lituctise, is comprehensizc of harmonic reasons, contains the liyparxis of the sout, and is the one rason according to which the soul is that which it is. All these therefore are in each other, viz. hyparxis, harmony, and form, and the essence of the sonl is one and triple ; since it also consists of three genera, essence, same and different. And again, hyparvis indeed, is rather defined according to essence, but harmony according to sameness, and form according to diflerence, through which it is separated from all things.

Since therefore, we find that the sonl is triple from the beginning, possessing essence, power and encrgy, and again, having essence itself triple, according to hyparxis, according to harmony, and according to form, from these we shall make a pentad; arranging hyparxis as the first, harmony as the second, idea or form, as the third, power as the fourth, and energy as the fifth. For the pentad is adapted to the soul as to a medium, containing the bond of the monad and the

[^15]ennead, just as the soul is the bond of the intelligible and sensible essence. Adopting likewise the arrangement, we shall divide the whole theory concerning the sonl into five heads; in the fiot plate, speaking concerning the hyparsis of the soul; in the second place, concerning the rassons and harmony in it; in the third place, discussing the idea of it; in the tourth place, the many powers it contains; and in the fifth place, directine our attention to its energies. For Pato also when be speats abont the momdane, body, surveying the corporealformed mature of the word itself, ly itodf, delivers in the first place the nature which is the subject of body, and produces the elemente of which the world consints. In the next place, he delisers the harmony of the dements; for amalogy,
 In the third place, he delisors the idea of the word exhithting it to us as a spherical whole of wholes. In the fourth place, her mufids to the the powers of the word, giviner to the spheres inded, partible powers, and such as are eflected throngh partial organs, but the the amal of the universe, whole and perfect powers. And in the fifh place, he deliverstome the energies of the word, surrounding the bedy of it with a wise and intellectat motion. After the same manner likewise, he divides in these tive heads the theory of the soul. That the generasion of the somb theretore, is sery properly surveyed by llato, and in how many, and what heads it is disided, may thromph these things be manifest.

After this however, we mont disenss that which we propesed, as the third subject of empuiry, viz. low, and on what account we say that the sonl is of a midalle nature. For it is necessary that this should tre known prior to the reasonine concerning it. Since then there are many things which proced from the one, as tar as to formbes and the lant matter, le the see what are the peculiaritios of the first beinge, what of the lant, and what are alloted a middle order, and how they are adapted th the essence of the soul. The following therefore, are the pecentiarities of interligibles, tonly-existing treing, the cternal, the impartible, the immoreable, the entire, the perfect, a supreplenithle of existedice, an mowearied and unrestrained bite, that whith in motive of all thines, smilitude, the beiner present with all thinge, and the beiner exempe fom all thinss. For all these properties are unfolded inte light in intellinibles, accordine to the progressions of heiner. But agan, there are cartain other peenhiarities of sensibles, such as the following, that which is not trulytexinting leing, that which is temporal according to essence, the partible, that which is movel, the partial, that which is in want of another, that which is always filling with existence, that which lives accordiner to participation, that which is alter-motive, disomilitude, and that which ocoupies place by its parts. Between these, which are opposed to each other as thin:s first to thines last, there are
certain media, throngh which it is entirely reguisite that the progression from lirst to last natures, should be eflected. For thimes simitar to their producines canses proceed prior to such as are dissimilar; Incause similitude is allied to the one, and all thimes aspire after the one. The media therefore, between these ratremes are, that which is not truly-existing berine, yet is more excedlent than non-heing, but is inferior to real beine, that which according to essence is in a certain rexpet etornal being, but exerts its comerries in time, that which is impartible, according to its most divine part, but is distributed into parts, accordiner to the all-varions prosression of reasous, that which itadf moves itself, that which rules ower alter-motior matures, but is inferior to immovable beings, hat which presents itself to the view partially in congmetion with its wholemess, that which is in a certan respect a whole, according to the possession of all reasons, but which appears to be partial, according to diminution, and transition of enersy. It is also that which perfects itself, and is perfected by natures prior to itself, and is more perfect than things which are adtupted to be abone perfected by another. It likewise fills itself with power, and is filled by other thimes, lives from itself, and receives lite from others, beine more divine than the matures which lise only by participation, but inferior to those hat primarily posses life. It is moreover, motive of other thinss, but is mored by first natures, is similar and at the same time dissimilar, and is excopt from the last of things, and is co-ordinated with them.

Such therriore beins the peculiatites in essences, het us comsider where the sonl is to be arramged, whether in the first, or in the last of thins. If howeser, in the first of thinss, it will be truly-existing heing, will be catirely eternal and immovable, and every thine dee consempent to real beimes, and we shall mether preserve the partible nature of the peshe cal reasons, nor peychical time, nor sedfmotion, nor a tranitivernos-y, nor any wher thine of the lihe hind; thoush we clearly perceive these things in all our souls. Bnt now wr incotirate such things as are common to all somb, and whirh are essontially inherent in them, such as are the above-mentioned peculiarities. So that if they are inhorent in all suils, beine common, souls will mot belong to the first of things, and to etermal teings so fir as they are sonts. Jut nedher do they rank amone the last of things. For if they did, we shall make the soul alter-motive, partible and composite, and alone perfected by other things, every thing contrary to which we see even in our souls. For they move and perfect themselves, and conduct themselves as they please. Much more therrfore, will divine souls possess the cause of their proper perfection, will lead all thinrs by their own motions, will be converted to, and bnow themselves, which it is impossible for alter-motive natures to accumplish.

If however, it is not possible for the soul to be placed either in the first, or in the last of things, we must give to it a certain middle situation; and this very properly, in order that it may imitate the first cause's of itself. For the Goddess who is the cause of the soul, has a middte rank among the Gods, as she also appeared to have to theolorist:, she being collective of the two fathern,' and from her own bowels emitting the life of the stoul. As in the fontal therefore, so likewise in the ruling Gods, we may see the psychical principle shining forth to the view; so that the soul very properly procedts as a medium betweon intelligibles simply and sensibles, between beings that are alour etermal, and those that are simply generited.

Yoummin not howerer, appreluend its middle nature to be a thing of such a kind, as to tre collective of the extremes, but exompt from the things collected. For it is not bether than intelligithes, but the end of them. Nor again, is it a thing of such a hind, as to tre inferior to both: for it trancends senvildey which are moved by it. But since it is a boundary or end, it is the lomadary of intelligibles, but the principle of sensibles. By no means, howerer, mant it tre said, that it is such a boundary and such a principle, as a point is in a line. For it is not in the things that are bounded as a puint is in both the sections of a line. But it is in one way the boundary of intedligibles, as presenting itself to the view after the intelligible hypostasis, and in another way the principle of sensibles as being exempt from, and motive of them. For thus it will afford us a certain analory, and it will lee as alter-motive are to self motive natures, so are self-motive to immoveable natures. It will alat peosess the bond of beings through its proper mildle condition, evelving indeed united camsers, but collecting the diopersed powers of sensibles. And it will the comprehended indeed, hy the essence which is immoveable, and always poseseses an imaridhle sameness of subsistence, but will comprehend alter-motive, and all-varionsly mutable generation. It is likecoise intelligible, as with refirence to generated natures, but generated as with reference to intelligibles; and thus exhilits the extremes in the middle; imitating in this respect the Goddess who is the cause of it." For she is on every side luminous, and has a face on ctery side. She lihecise possesses the rudders of the waiterse, reciuing in her losoms the progres. siuns of intelligilles into her ; being filled from the intelligible' life, but emitting the revers of the intellectual ${ }^{\text {life; } \text {; and contuining in herself the centre of the progression }}$

[^16]of all beings. Very properly therefore, is the soul both unhegoten and generated. And thi indeed was before demomerated from the two-fod life which is in her, siz. Heresential and the transition' but it "may now In slown from her wholenescand her parts. For low in [real] beine mbersoltor, weept by hatine the infinite power of wistines, at once aholly present? And how is body erenerated, except by having intinte power always flowing inte it, in consegrence of not beiner ahle to recerive the whede of it at once?

The woul threfore, as bine incorporeal inded, has through itself an infinite power of existine, not leiner semerated accorliner to the whole of itself, but immortal: but acordine to it parts, it is always gemerated to infinity. For if it had the same total infinity always present, there wemlel the the same indinty of the whale and the part, of the perfert and the ingurefet, of that whiels contains and of that which is contamed. But this is impersible. Noreoser, neither ean the whole of it always be in eromeration, or berominer to be, bost a part of it be efermal buing, lat the parts should be better than the whole. Hence zite rersa, the whole is mfinite beines, but the part becominer to be. So that the hypostanis of it posessex inlmite power, ant is gemeratel to intinity; and thos at one and the same time, it partiejpates of beiner, ame is the first of eremerated matores, body ${ }^{2}$ loth in the whole and in the parts of it beine in generation. It is not therefore sufficient to say that it is eremeraterl, in comedomee of conergizine partibly. but it is requine to see how this is prosistent in the very beine of the soul. For every enery which is according to nature, has an essence which antecedonty asemmes the canse of the encers ; so that the sonl likewise pre-assumes the serd of a life which is arcordiner to time, and which is common ter dery soml. For it is necessary that this alson shombl be sencrated, and mot alome be. If hoacter this be the case, since the smel pmasesses beins according to the athole, it has to be encorated in its parts. Aud how this is trow we have shown. And thos much may suffice conrernines the midille of the somb.

Int abo the imtellimible breadth, the prsehical maltitude, and the sensible nature, he sparate from rath other ; and let the last of things be suspended from those that ranh as media, and the media from those that are the first. Int the soul lihewine, be the one bend of beiness, subsisting in them. For the one also hinds all thimes, but in an exempt manner. For all things are mited to each other as homoremeons, and as bemer derived from one canso, and extemed to

[^17]one object of desire. But the soul likewise binds beings, as existing in them. And as in amalogy, the middle pertains to the thimes that are bound, thus too, the sonl most trealmitted to the the midille of lubins, bindine and at the same time being bound, as being of a self-motive mature.

These things being diacosode it is mecesary in the neat place to show, how, and from what genera this sonl, which contains the hend of beings, sulsists.
 are, whence bey prowed, and how. For it is repuinte to how wholes prior to


 lectnally, or in whatewe way ?om may be willime to rall them, for they sulsist in boh ways, has berol demomelated in man! tratines, and will be alemomatrated hy Plato in what follows. Of forms themesom luwner, sollor are most total, and entend to enery thing. Other arr mose partial, and arr as it were atomic

 is from man itself, amel home is from lomen itarlf. But the simitar which is in men and horses, and in many othor thines, is from smiltude itself; and in like
 Ineins, ate from the samenes and ditherener which are there. Hence some things are irm atomic forms, where from mildle, and others from the mont toral
 medicine to health, but others estemb to many, as arithanctic to phatusiphy, to politas, to the tectomic acience, and to many whthers. And this is mot waty the case wath arithmetic, but aho uith the measaring science, and aith statics. l'us Plato says, that either all or sime of the fithracathee arts, requite the asosistante of these, amb without these hare on accuracy. Liut whors luok to all the arts, anel not to those that are fatwicative alome, but to such also as contributc to !he contemplatize sciences, as is the conc with the diculing art, as Soncotes salys in the Philelous. As therefore in the sefornens there are some that are most total, so in intelligible: cans's, somm are mond pathal, sol far as the partial is in them, and are the lateres of appropriate numbers of smilar forms ; hut others are widely extended, such as the cupal, the similar, and the whole: for whole sof far as whole is mot common



 of existeme will have the most tutal oreler in the gemera of being. But these genera
are five in number, viz. essince, samencss, differonce, motion, and permanency. For csery luone is popotialized, is mited to itself, and separated from itself and from otherthess, proceds from iteglf and its proper principhe, and participates of a cortain guiet and promanolncy, so tar as it preserves its own proper form. Whether therefore, there is an intelligihle, or semsible, or middhe gemus of thines, it consists of these; since all thiners are from these. For all thines donot live, nor are all himes wholes, or parts, or anmated; but of these semera all theme participate. And with whateser thiner eseonee is not gresent, neither will the other
 the whole will he dissipated. And the difference of one thing from another heing abolished, there will only be one thone, and that void of moltitude. Notion likewise not existing, thinss would be menergetie and dead. And withont permanency they would be unstable, and immediately horied away to nonHence, it is necosary that in all things there should be each of these, and that esonoer shonld subsist an the first of them, this beiner as it were the Vesta and monad of the wernera, and having an arramement analogoms to the one. But after this it is necesary that samemessand ditherence shonld smbist, the formerbeing analogrons to bond, hot the later to intinity. And in a similar manner it is regnisite that there -hould br permanency and motion, the former beiner esperially seen abont the powers of beines, but the latter abont their enoresics. For every ${ }^{2}$ leing, so far as boing participates affer a manmer of a certain essonce, as it is written in the Sophista, and in the Parmenides. But every essential power is either under samenes, or under diflerence, or moler both; heat inded, and every separative power, beine under difierence, but cold and every collective power, under samenesu. And whaterer power is a mediom betwern these, being under looth. For every enerry fis either motion or permanency, or is in a certain respect both these. For the enery of intellect, and every rmergy which preserves in the same condition that which enersizes, or is that about which it energizes, is rather permaneney than motion. But the energy of bodies on each other, is a motion which does not sulfer them to remain in the same condition, but removes them from the state in which thry are. And the energy which changes the subject of its enorgy in the same and ahout the same, is a stable motion. Every being therefore, participates hy its very existence of this triad, viz. of essence, power and energy, on account of these live genera, and also is, possesses capability and/,

[^18]energizes. In short, since evrry thiner which in any way whatever has divtence, consists of lomml and infinity, it is esomtializod indeed and mbsists acoording
 the commmanon of these two ; and dillimence, thromeh the divivion of theme
 in it, so that it is both moved ame is permatment. 'Then live enemeratherfore,




























[^19]things of which the whole comsints, are callod mements. For the genera in the Demmens sive completion to the demiusce lacine, and are the elements of it ; but they are the eremera all the forms contamed in the inte llerts that proced from him, and also of all thon that are in somb and bodies.'

IAt us, howerer, if you are willines, proced to the fifil head, and comeder how these eromera subsit in smbs, and why Plato assmes them. Since, therefore, it has been shown that the sonl is the medinm betwern beines and mom-heines, and that it hoows all beines, both intellisibles and semibles, whether aceording the the same or accomber to diflerent reasoms, as some say, it is beresary that the essence of it should proeded from the eronera of heing. Far if it did not proceed from these, But from certain others, it would not be able to know all thiners, nor to apply itedf to all things. Hence as it knows man accordine to the reason [or form] which it contains of man, and damon accordine to the form which is in it of damon, thes alan it hows lerine itodf, accordine to the participation in it of being, and ditherence aceordine to iteparticipation of diflerence. So that it will contain all the qumera, hen in a way adapted to itself. For it appare to me, that on this accomit lato constitutes the sonl fom the first wenera, and from momers and hamonice ratios ; and likewine. liat he phaces in it the principles of hisures and divine motions, in orter that hy anteredently [or cansally] romprelomdins the reasoms of all disciplines and of diaterefic, it might tho know ull thiners, viz. the -anolers, the mombers, the harmonise, the tiveres, and the motions of which


 troly tisured are semsibles. And that as herine a medinm berwern intellighbers and semsibles, he binds it with harmonic ratios; thomed in intellixible forms also, there are the form of hamony, and the fomot tivure. But hamony itnelf. is mot harmomized, nor is fignoe itself tienred. For things which have a primary smbsistence do not exist accordine to participation. In semsibles ako, harmony and the eromera of heing subist but partibly; but in souls they subsist incorporeally and at the same lime compontely,' and participation manifests their composite nature. For participation prrtains to composites, but incorporality is the pecularity of simple natures. It is necessary however for that which primarily parti-

[^20]cipates of them to be a thing of this kind. Plato, therefore, from these renera constitutes the psychical essence, producing in the first place the sulject' of this essence from the middle crenera. For as we have said of the elements, that all of them are in the hearens, in the suhbuary reqion, and under the earth, and that all thines consist indeal of the four, yet not of the same chements, but heaven of the summits of them, erencration of the second, and the sulterramean rexions of the
 but intellixibles of stuch of them as are first, impartible, immoneable and entire ; selfombiae natures, of such as are ' both implatihle and partible; and corporeal-

 at the same time partihle ; and the latter preede parthle natures. For the impartithe is nearer tathe come since it is impartible on areome of mion. Since therefore the pertheal esemer has frent shown to be the medimm of heines, it wry
 [of a middle characterinter. For l'ate in the Phadrus complicatiner the perwers derived from these, demominates them athanterer athe horses ; lexamer the existence of the sum is defined hy thes. But we may survey permanency and motion in the ampare of the somb. for permanemey and motion are in the esence of it. For it cometitutes itself, ahbese and proceeds, and is cemal; ! motion and promanney beiner superior to sameness and dithernce for it abides in eternal natures acordine to permanency, and proceds, being moved. Bit throunh progres-inn it is separated into mited multitule. 'The wencra of beiner howewer, are more clearly sern in the emereides af the soml.

Yom may also say that Timansin comstituting the ereenere of the soul, after another mamer assmmes motion and permaneme frior to sameness and ditlerence. For the soul is mot only the medinm betwern the imparthbe and the partible essence, but abo betwoen the esonee which always subsists with invariable sameness, and that which is in eromation, or hecoming to las. Hence, thromerh subsisting with invariable sameness, it particigates of permanency aceording to its essemtialty, but hromph lather remerated, of motion. Unhess it shombla be said, that liecanse same and ditlemot appopriately tretong to the Itminerus, Timans delisers a precedameno wermation of these. What then is the eharac-
 sists of heond and intinity, when the furmer vampishes the latter, it produces the

[^21]impartible essence; but that when imtinity valmuinhes bond, it produces the partihtessence a and that wher the power of thesetwo is equal, they preduce
 an impartible ; hut when diference vameninhes samerness, a partible samemes and
 ditherence of a midhle nature. When lihewior permaneme vampuinhe motion, an impartihle, hat when motion suhblues permanency, a partible; and when the power of each is repal, a midelle motion amd promanency is probloced. Since


 he, or a partihat, or a middle samemes and dithemee shmid berdiected. Amd the like most aloo mersarily take place in motion and permanoncy. For reve lyparxis, pmwer, and chergy, are from hoth these; and are either characterized by hound, or by infinity, of not by oue of these mare than the other. Hence the whole of the intedligible and intellectual breadth,' is said to he hound, and to be in such a manner the stame, as to remder it mocesary to inguire whether there is defference in it ; and abolo be in such a way promament, as to rember it dubions whether it has any intellechal motom. But ewry corporeal-formed mathre is
 same time, multitude and mion, that which is mable, and that which is mosed. For there [i. e. in intellizible and intellectual natures] the esornce is one in rach intellect: but in soml. there is one and not oncerssence in ach. For there are many essences an arery smal, and as many as the parts into which each may be divided. Aml as lealy being partille may be diaded into imfmites, sonls [on the contrary] are
 also, somir hare thousht proper to ratl the son! number, as dicisible imbect, but into inn-
 tihle of the soul is twolind, viz. according to that which is as it were a whole, and aceordius to the bat of the parts. For mory momber likewise, acoording to its proper form, is one and impartible, but accordiner to that which is as it were the mather of it, it is parthle $\boldsymbol{}^{2}$ yet not the whole of this, but in this also the last in which the division trminates, is impartible.

[^22]If you are willing likewise, this may be surveyed after another manner. Since these middle genera are three, when essence vampuishes same and different, then according to the mixtme of the media, a divine sonl is wenerated, and the more and the low in the domination, produce the extent acordine to breath of divine souls. Lint when samemes and at the same time esonce, bangish diflerence, then an andedic sont is semerated. When samenes alome predeminates, a damoniacal soul is produced; lat when samenomerether with ditieronce, have dominion over emence, then an heroid monl in anderated; and when dithernce atone prevaik, a homan soul is prodoced. Fior it is imposible that the extremes should samplinh the medimm, beramse they rammot withome it be comjomed with each other. But acoording to ath of the mistures, the more and the lese of the pre-



It remaine therefore, , the west plare, to comsider what the impartible, and aloo what the parthbe form of andere is. For anment the more ancient interpreters, Here was diflimene of opinion on this subject. Hence concerning these


 both total and partial, amd whels is immatoriat and sparate amd prior to the etomal, or which is ethernal, is impartible; but that all coronees which proced abont lenties whether they are momdame live, or natures which verere to corporeal masors, of physial reanons which are divided abont the berly that st wid of quality, are partible. For atl thereare divisible about bodies. And some of them inderd subsist in there visible budies and are in them an in a subjert. But others have an esontial sulmintemere, and are the forms of lite, but are insegamate from horlies, as mature. Aud athor are dintributed into parts indeal, but collect themselves into the imparthbe, as more. And if you are willing you may say
 to life, and areording to intelledions. And the exernce wheh subsists aceording sensib!e perception, is inslead of intellection; that which subsists ancordine to mature, is instead of life ; and that which sulnists according to the forms that are divided about the buths of boelies, is intead of leeing. For the three latter being imares of the there former hase a sulsintener combary to them with respeet to their arder to each other. But the media betwern these are the being, lite, and intedlection in soms, which proserne the order of the natures prior to them, throngh a similitude to them, but have a distribution into pants eqpal' to the matmes that

[^23]are posterior to them. We must therefore give a triphe division to all thiners. and thas dividing, we must arrange the peychical essence between the impartible and the partible nature, as being the image of the former, but the paradigm of the latter; and as ahisting and at the same time proceding ; as simple and composite; and as exempt from, and co-arrathed with the corporeal essence. For the appropriate middle nature of it, presents itself to the view according to all these. And thus in a general way we may admit every essence between the one and the soul to be impartible, and every essence between the soul and bodies to be partible.

If, however, it ine rapuisite to define the partible and the impartible in a more proximate mamer, we must speak as follows: Tloe Demimrgus constituted the universe an animal, amimated and endued with intellect, conceiviner that the anmated is better than the inanimate, and the intrllectual than that which is deprived of intellect. Hence there is in it a corporeal-formed life, according to which it is an animal: for being bound with animated bonds, it became an animal. The soul itself however, is not mingled with body. For the opinion that it is, Socrates also reprobates in the Phedrus. But the soul is divine, ace cording to which the universe is animated, having indeed a comnasernt life, and having likewise a separate life. There is also in it, an immaterial' and disine intellect. For it is necessary to call this an essential intellect, but not an intellect which suhsists as a habit of the soul. For the Demiurerus did not constitute the later, but the sonl, accordine to the motion of it-elf about the intelligible, as Timans afterwards says. So that the universe has a triple life, viz. corporeal-formed, peychical, and intellectual. And the intellectual life of it, indeed, is impartible, as being eternal, as at onec comprohending every intelligible, as inmovealle, and as united, according to a supreme transer ndency of secondary natures. But the corporeal-forned life is partible, as proceeding about corporeal masses, beiner mingled with body, and verging to subjects. And the peychical life is the middle between both, transcending the latter throurh a separatesmesistence; throurh circularly covering externally as with a veil the bulk of the universe, as has been said, and will he again asserted in what follows; through being extended to intellect, and yet again being inferior to it ; through perceiving intellectually in time ; through evolving the impartibility of the intellectual life; and through in a certain respect coming into contact with body. Plato, however, ly constituting the mundane soul from these media, manifests that it is a medium between the natures that are si-

[^24]Tim. Plat.
Vol. II. E
tuated on each side of it, hut that it is not the medium letween every intullectual and corporeal essence. For it is nut the medium of partial intellects, and the forms which are distributed in the parts of the universe. It is likewise the peculiarity of the soml of the universe, neither to consi-t of mmbers, nor of these or those reasons, nor of so many circles. For all thene and other thinse, are common to every divine, damoniacal, and human sonl. Bat the peculiurt!y of it is, for the essemat of it, to be
 of the partible esscme ahich is destributed about budies. And it is the medime of these, not so far as intelled is snontie, or bital, nor so far as natme is the life of bodies ; for the discoure in not about hombleder, nor about lines; but so far as these are certain essences, the former beine an impartible exomere, but the latter an essence disisible about bertes. For on this acoomt we aloo endeanour to assume beth being and generation, the impartible and the partible, acoreliner to the hyparsis of the sonl, dimmissing mutations and energes, not secking to perceise the energies of the somb, but the essence of it, which is mongotten and at the same time genreated.'

It is likewise erident, that the essemtial bond of it, is more mical than all the bounds that are in all other sonls, amd that the infinite of it is more comprehensive than all the intinites in other somb. For meither is every bound equal to every bound; some bomels lefine more total, but others more partial; nor is every infinity equal to every pewer. So that mother is every emence equal to every essence, but one in lutal, and amother partial. The esential therefore, of the sonl of the miseree, in more tetal than every prechat exonee, the bound in it is the
 infinites in somls. For the extremes of these are, the simply impartible essence, and the simply partible about bodies, not about some, but about all boties. For the sonl of the smin, is the medimm betwern a certain impartible essence, (and not of the impartible indefintely, and a certain parthbesence, and not wery sosence which is divisible about beelies. Plato, likewise, assuming this in the tirst place, as the pecolarity of the momdane sonl, comects the remaining particulars, as beJonging to the discuscion of a sonl of this hind, viz. mumber, hamony, and forme Hence the imparthle most not now be sad to be every intellectalal essenes, but only the essence of the mumbane intellect. And in the sonl of the smm, it must be said to be the essence of the solar intellece, and in a simitar manner in the soul of the moon, and in all other sools. For every medium has peculiar proximate extremes, and will he the medimo of these, and not of all extremes every where.

[^25]Thus too, damons who are more exalted than partial souls, subset as media between their proper intellects, and the bodies that are connasent with them. So that I'lato, if he had discussed some one of other sonk, womld not have said that the essence of it is a medimm between the imparthberence, and the esence which is disisible about bodies, but betwen this or that impartible and partible essence belonging to partial natures. For articles manifost the transendent and the total, as Plato chewhere determines, asserting that when we say the beantiful, we manifet one thiner, but amother when we say beantiful. And the former manifests the raempt, but the latter, some one of the things ce-orelinate with others. And if you are willing to adopt here what is said by the illostrions Theortorus, intellect is whout habitude, the life about hody subsists in habitude, but the soul is the medium betwern the two, being a certain half-hathtude. And accominer to the great lamblichus, intellert indeed is exempt; the life about boty, is co-aransed with corporeal masses; and the sonl is rxempt from, and at the same time co-armanged with the corporeal life. Every intellect, therefore, is impartihlo, as having ene essence, one sameness, and one differnce, of which it wholly ronsists. But every sonl is patible, because carh mixture of the elements in it ef which it consints, is risided into many parte, each of which is compounded of all the eremea so that there are many mesences in each minture, many samemesses, and many diftirences, which are co-divided together with the parts that are in it. What the parts are however, and how many, he demonstrates to us, by u-ing modia, and sections through sespuinctaves, and leimmas, as will be: manifist as we prosed.

Moreover, this is cudent, that we say that intellect so far as it is intellect, is impartible, coucciving that the matitude of forms though thry are in ut, we different from it; and that the soul, so far as it is somb, is partible, not surveging at the same time the forms that are in it, hot solely loohing to the peychical essence, and also to the intellectmal essence, and to earlo separate from the other. Hence the intellect which is participated by the som, is called by llato an impartible essence; but the corporcal-jormed life which proceds from the soul, and has the relation of splendour to it, is said by him to be dicisible about bodies. For intillect, indecd, is analogrous to the sun, soul to the light procecting from the sum, and the partible life to the splendour from the light. Very properly, therefore, do we conceive these assertions to be more accurate than the former; becanse it is necessary that the Demiurgus should be the lord of every impartible, and of every partible essence,' in order that the recipient may have a place, that the nature which consists of

[^26]both may be minglet, and all such other particulars may be effected, as Plato adduces in what follows. lamblichus, therefore, and together with him Theododorus, refer what is here said to the supermmone soul; but we are of opinion, that Timaras generates thromgh thos things the soml of the universe, as the words also manif'st.
We think it requinte however, that the lovers of comtemplation, should investigate what the partible and the impartible are' in the smpermundane soul. For a supermmdand intllect in antad ahove cery noul. But wat is the partible in the supermundame soml? For certain sensible hedies are not suepended from such sonts. For as they are sum rmmodane, the reveren of what takes place in human
 which aloo they are mondane. But a pernliar intellets is not "atablished above them, om which accomst they do mot alway perceive intellectaally. Bodire, however, are su-pended frem all the somb hat are betwere hamas and supermumbane somb. Hence alon they are mondane, leine more redundant than supermundane souls ly the comesion of body. And there is a pecular inteflect from which they are suspended, on which acome likewise they are not always in the intelligible. For the immoneable is effetion of eternal onery. Hence since the extremes, viz. supermmande and mondane sonk, hate a contrary mode of subsistence, and as it would rem, the latter lexing deficient according to the partible, but the former exceeding areorther to the imparthe ;-this being the case, we say that the partihle of sipermomdane sonls, is not that which is divided atont bedies, but about the mundame sonls " themowes. For they prosimately trameend these, just as mundane sombs transemed the parther cosence which subsists about bodies. Hence the supermmatame soul are media lwewern intellets and mundane somls; intellects haviug a suhnetene momingled wihh body, but mundane souls, transition in intellections. But the impurtible of human souls, so far as there is a thing of this hind in thern, is in the souls that are abore them, which are atactays intellective, from which human souls are suyporded, and of athich they at a certuin time participute, as far as they are able. Pi, through thrs', as media, they are kikwise conjoined with the intellects that are abare souls, and bicome intelle tanal.

These, huwever, as we have said, lwing the extremes, all the intermediate souls have a peculiar impartibility and parthility, whether they bave a divine or darmoniacal allotment; rational demons, and prior to these the soul of the universe, terng media he tween the whole mundane intellect which has an impartible esence,

[^27]and the partibe essence which subsists abont bodies. And we assert these things looking to all that has been before said, throush which we have manifested the truth of them, from the words themselves of Plato and not from our own conceptions. For by those tho reason from the [Chaldean] Oracles, it must be said that supcrmundane souls ride in certain supermundane ethereal and empyrean bodies. Or how could those bodies be moved unless souls moved them in a manner more divine than that of mundane souls? But if this be granted, it may also be admitted that partial snuls have an impartible intellectual esscnce abore them, and one certain pouer of intellect, winch illuminates similar souls; and that on this account they are partial, and intillectize at a cortain time, the souls which are suspended from each total intellect being alone alarays intellectice.

Farther still, in order that these thines may accord with the Orphic doctrines, we must say, that Orplems does not predicate the impartible of every intelligible, or intellectual order, but that according to him there is something superior to this appellation, just as other natures are more excellent than other names. For he does not adapt the appellations of king and father to all the [divine] orders. Where then shall we first see the impartible according to him, in order that we may apprehend the disinely inspired' conception of Plato? Orpheus, therefore, establishing a Demiurgus ${ }^{2}$ of all-disided fabrication, analocrons to the one father who unfolds into light the total fabrication, produces from him the whole mondane intellectual multule, the mumber of souls, and corporeal natures; this Demingens generating all these unitedly, but the Gods that surround him, dividing and sparating his fatrications. He says, however, that all the other fabrications of the God, were distributed into parts by the deities who are of a dividing characteristic; but that the heart alone was madivided through the providence of Minerva. For since he constituted intellects, souls, and bodies, but souls and bodies receive much division and scparation into parts in themselses, and intellect remains united and indivisible, being all things in one, and comprehending intelligible wholes in one intellection; -hence he says, that the intellectual essence done, and the intellectual number, wore left preserved by. Ninerva. For he siays,

> The intellectual heart alone remaind.

Clearly calling it intelhectal. If therefore hor molisided heart is intellectual, it will evidently be intellect amd an intellecmal mumber, yet not every intellect, but that which is mundane. For this is the undivided heart ; since of this also the

[^28]divided God was the Demiurgus. Orpheus, therefore, calls the intellect of Bacchus, the impartible essence of the God. But he denominates his genitals, the life which is divisible about body; this heing physical and productive of seeds. This aloo he says Diana, whopresides over all the generation in nature, and obstetricates physical reasons, extends as far as th the sulterranean reahes, distribntine the prolific power of Bactho. Bat all the maminer boely of the God, forms the prychical compesition, this likewise leing divided into sesen parts.

All the sesell parst they saltad of the boy ;
says the theolegist concorning the Titans; just as Timsons also divides the soul into seven parts. Pechaps two he reminds us of the Orphice' Titamie distribution into parts, when he says that the soul is extended through the whole world through which the soul not ouly circularly coners the unieren as with a veil, but likewise is extended through the whole of it. Hence, Plato very properly calls the esonce which is prosimathly above soul, impartible. And, in shont, he thus denominates the iutedtect whech is partiefpated ly the soul, following the Orphic fables, and winhing to be at it were, the interpreter of arcane and mystical assertions. Returnine, therefore, the words of Plato, it is necesary to show that what has been betiore said accort with his conceptions.
These thins, howeter, lefing disemed hy us, it is wonderful, since int flect is an impartible corence, how Parmenides in the secom hyputhesis, distributes being intu intime part, and tugethr with being, the onc ; as it is achnowledged by nearly all the interperters that the sutiget of that hypothesis, is the nature that is leyond souls. Or may th not he maid, that the distribution into parts which is there epohen of, signitics the progression of the many unities from the one being [or being characterized thy the one], these unitiss praceding in a well-ordered manner from their proper principle, into an apprepriate multitude? Phato, however, does not intend to signify that the one theing derives its completion from these many unitics, in the sambe maner as he say, that soul leing one, has a multetude which terminate's in it. But his meaning is that the ome heing precedes the many unitics and at the same time beings, and that the multutude of these is subordinate to it ;and ahoo that both mical and all esential number, are causally comprehended in it; jurt as in this dialogue he calls anmal iterlf one whole, but

[^29]the four ideas the parts of it; animal itself not deriving its completion from them, but they being comprehended in it, as distributing hy the multiturle of themselves the monad of that obe beiner, each of them having the power of a part of it, but all of them not beine equivalent to the whole monad of it. For thas also both numbers, the unical and the essential, are parts of that one leeing [discussed in the Pammides] not being completive of it, so as that it consists of each of these. And thus much in answer to this donbt; lint lot us proced to the words before ns.'
"From an essence always impartible and subsisting with invariable sameness, and again, from an essence which is becoming to be partible nbout bodies, he mingled from both a middle form of essence."

That by the one impartilile essence, lato means the intellectual essence, which in the whole of itself participates of etemity, and ly the essence which is partible about bodies, that which is ineparable frem corporeal masess, and is allothed its hyparxis in the whole of time, he manifests hy sayiner that the former of these " subsists "ith intariable samencss," and hy enominating the latter "that which is becoming to $b c^{\prime} ;$ in order that he may not omly call the soml impartible and at the same time partible, hut abo intelligille, and the tirst of gemerated natures. For an eternal perpothity is one thine ; lut that which subsists accortiner to temporal intinty is another, hasing its hypotasis in extension; and that is another which is mixed from both, such as is the perpetuity of the somb. For the som is immorrable indered accordiner to essence, but is moved arcordiner to intellertions, and is etemal according to the former, but temporal accordine to the latter. It i lihewise evilent, that the sonl necessarily has something of this kind according to liyparsis, or she would not exhihit in her natural enereies, the pecoliarity of gemration, ame temperal extension. And it appears to me that Plato in an admirable manner perovining this sass, that the Demimess not only made the soul a medimm hetween the impartible essonce, and the essence which is partible ahout hodies, but abo that $\}$ he made it a medium between the essence which subsists with invariable sanse- $/$. ness, and that which is rencrated, or becominer to be. For how could he appropriately write the Psyehogony, ${ }^{2}$ if there was neither generation, nor composition in the soul? Llow also would it be possible to take away parts from that which

[^30]is essentially impartible? For of simple natures there is not any generation whatever. And even such forms as are material, are without generation and corruption, as Aristotle says. For through their simplicity, they preserve in the last of things, the peculiarity of the first forms. It is possible, however, to deliver in words the generation of things which receive any hind of composition. In order therefore, that he might demonstrate these thitigs which are very properly circulated ahout the soul, he calls it the medimm berween the etermal and the generated hypostasis.

Prior to this, however, we shonld rather mahe the following division; that it is necessary with renpect to the impartible and partible, either that both should be prior to the soul, or both porterior to the soul, or both in the soul; or that the one should Ine prior, but the other posterior to the soul. Bu, hh, therefore, will not he prior to the soul since the soul is better than the parthble life' which is rising into existence: [for she has a nature separate from bodies, but the partible life is morged in bodies. Nor is it fit to say that both are posterior to the soul :] ${ }^{2}$ for the impartible essence is eternal, and subnints with invariable sameness. But the sonl is not entirely eternal, simee, as he says in the laws, she participates of peneration. Nor are both in the soul; lucanse it is impossible for all these which difter from rach other, to give comphetion th ond thing ; viz. for the inseparable and the separate from bodies, the mberotten and the generated. Hence it remains, that the one which is more excellent should be prior, but that the other which is less excellent should be posterior to it. Sillec, however, these subsist about it, it is necessary as it does not consist of these, that it should eonsist of things analogons to them, which either hase a subsistenee separate from each other, or mingled together. But it is inpossihle that it should eonsist of them separate from each other: for Plato clarly says, that these are mingted tornether. Hence it is neeessary that the essential part of the sonl shonld consist from the mixture of these. And since in the sout one thing is better, but another worse, that which is impartible in it [is less excellent than the impartible prior to it, and that which is partible in it 's is better than the partible nature which is posterior to it. For being a medium, it has that which is more excellent, in an inferior manner, but that which is less excellent, in a superior mamer. And this indeed is evident

[^31]suce it does not consist of these very things themselves, but of others that are analogons to them.

But that the essencer of the soul does not consist of these, as giving completion to it, is evident. For agaia let us make this very thing the subject of consideration. In the first place, thereforehow is it possible for the impartiole to lue mingled with the partible, and the eternal with the ermerated; for these are in a certain repect contrary to, and most remote from each other, so that as we have loffore adid, hey can be m means be conjoined with each other. In the second place? ${ }^{2}$ shall we not make the soul posturior and secondary to the things that are in it, ' and the essence inseparable from bodymore ancient than that which is ongarate, ifit consists of an exsure which is divided about bodies? Farther still how can the soul be justly said to be a third thing ; for that which is a third thing is midently so in coinguction with the other $t w o$, which are preserved and not corropted. But things that are mingled torether, are no longer themselves, but a certain other thing, and not a third thing is produced from them. For they themsthes have no mistrner, but are corrupted through the minture. Agan, if the Demingus tahing a portion of the impartible constituted the sonl, that which is said to be an imparthle essence will no longer be so. For how can any one take away a part of it, if it is imparthle? But if hee consmed the whole of the impartible in the essence of the soul, he will no honger be benclicent, in consequence of comsuming more divime natures, which are nearrer th himself and are mome calmal, into the
 deriwe it comple tion from a sencrated partible nather, that partible nature will
 tille itelf, and the partihle itelf do mot, atsome finey, give completion to the soul; but that whieh is asserted by Plato is true, hat the Demiurgus from the impartible essence, and from that which is partible alout bodies, mingled a middle form of resence. So that the same thing is partibie inded, as with reference to that which is impatible, but inpartible with remerence to that which is partible, and truly affords us the midde mature of the somb.

Morcover, this third thing itwelf, exhibits to us the nature of analory imenstent in the soml. For if the same thing is both the middle and the third, but this is as the first, and is also as the last, the roml is at one and the same time. the first and the last; whith analory is maturally adapted to effect in the mont beantiml manner. And if this third thing is also the middhe, it will evidently, since it is one thing, be the middle of two certain extremes, and not a middle together with another thing. For it
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would be the fourth, four things being analogous. But if three things are analogous of which the soul is the middle, it is not simply the middle of essence and generation, but of an essence which is entircly unbegotten, and of an essence becoming to be partible about borlies, being itself an essence which is both impartible, and becomng to be partible, yet not abont bodies, but becoming to be partible by itself, and heing not at all in want of bodies, in order to be that which it is. To consist also of buth these is adapted to the soul, not only, as some say, becanse it subsists as a medium betwen both, but becanse it is both, beiner impartibles iconically, but partibles pmradinmatically. for it possessos the reasons of
$\Rightarrow$ both. All things, therefore, substin it comblinately. Since, howerer, intellect is all thiners, and the menchlle mature is all thiners, llatu adhs, " in the midlle," showing by this how all thiners are lo be amomed in the sout, via. in a midhla way, and wether primarily, nor aceordiner to the last mode of subsintomere.

Again, after amother mammer also, the coneme of the noml comsints of both, as lecing produced by the whole demingrice intellert, in which impartibles and parti-
 mundane] intellect abo procerds aneording to the whote of this intellect, the words "in the midde" manifost the peroliarity of the peychical hypostanis. And
 of the soul, mot only lecoane the imparthbe and partible of it subsiat aceording to mbion, similar to the mote in which the thinge that are minged prowed thromph

 minglen the kernerat of it in the Crater. Fiarther still, the wodl muthe shens ' that



 soml a form or spectes, the former is comprehemsive of the latter. Bat it may bee called a genus as being exconpt from the form of the somb, and gemerating it. And by being exempt, indeed, it difiers from the co-ordinated gencrat that are in species; but by gemerating this form, it tramernds thinge that are heteropernoms. For these are umprolific of gemera. Alter another manmer, therefore, fhes gemera amd species mast be assmmed. For they are prolific, full of power, comprefensive of indindual forms, and have an exrmpt nature. Gemus, therefore, is the essence

[^32]in the Demingus; but the form or specirs of this, is the imparthbe ensence. The esonnce likewise, which is the medimu between the impartible essence, and that which is divivible about bodieg. is the surome form. But the third is that which is parthbe about body. Ind lhe last is the corporeal-fomed mature. For in these forms there are the prior and the ponterior ; becanse the genera produce and give subsiobuce to the tirst, middle abd last forms. And the whole intellectual esenner indeed is impartible as one; that which is partihle about bodies, is multiphed on accomt of its distribution abont them; and the merlimm hetween these is one and not one. For intellect has one essence, one sameness and one difference, so far as it is intellect. But the parts of the whele sonl from which it is composed are many, and allapted to each other. In cach of these parts, also,
 nowes, and diflerences as there are parts. These parts, likewise, are inderd numbered, yet are at the same time many, and rach of them is one and not ' one, but intellert is oue cescone, one samemes, and one diflerence. And the nature which is partible about bodine, has one of these in this place, but another in that, being co-divided with its subjects, just as borly itsilf is not merely divisible into many, but into intinite parts. But the sonl being divided, into the essence of many thimes, possesses also mion, laving an hyostasis separate from borlies. So that amain, there are here two media, between traly existing essence, and that which is truly reneration, and between the impartibility of the former, and the infinite divisibility of the latter, vize the soml, and the essence which is partible abont londies, and wheh is not the same with this creneration truly so called. And soul indeed, is in a greater derere impartible, in consergence of wering to itself; but the essence which is divisible about bodies, is, in a ereater dremere, partible, because it belongs to amother thiner, and does not smbist fromitself. Plato therefore says, that the sonl consists of this, and the impartible essence, beranse it is a medimm between things which are entirely exempt from bodies, and those which are merged in hodies, and betwern things which subsist from themselves, and those which beloner to others, so that it hoth subsists from itself and pertains to others.

By no means, therefore, must we say that it is a medium in such a way as to have something incorporeal, and something corporeal, as Eratosthenes apprehended, or ascribe with Severus reometrical interval to the essence of it. lor a mixture can never be elected of that which is without, and that which possesses interval, and of the impartible and boty: for nether can there be a mixture of II a point and a line. But if there ran be no mixture of the impartible and a line,
much less can there be mixture of it with a certain other dimension [viz. the dimension of depth]. For that which is triply parthble, is more distant from the impartible, than that which is parthle in one way only. W'e say, however, that the intellectual essence always romains ome, that the partible consists of many essences, and that the peychical is one, and not ome, so as to preserse the one in beiner multiblied, and multitule in beiner united. For the Deminrgns did not so divide $i t$, a $\mathbf{t o}$ ronsme the whole in the division, but preserved the one of it in the muttipliataon, and the whole in the disision of it. Nor is this womderfal, since in bedise, likewier, all which are partible, there is, as the Eleath grest or stranger says, a cortan ome which in commetive of the parts. And Aristotle atso asorets that in parthbe natures there is some hime impathble; so that the somb will much more remain a whole and obe, when multiphed and divided. Hence, likewise, it is impartihle, as 'limatus satys. But it the one of it was not' presersed, it would le alone partible: just, fur instance, as if you should say that the dianoe-
 the whole sond is one thiner which emeremes dianmetically and desamically, in comequence of comwerge to itself. We therefore beine impelled from what lato hims. If says, thes interpere the impartible amel the parthlye cosellese.

Oi hose, howrere, prior tals, and whe mate the enernce of the sonl to be
 asserting that it is mmber make it to comsist of the monad as impartible, amd the indefinite duat as partible. But whers, comidering it as a geometrical hypostasis, asoret that it comsists of a point and intersal, the former being impartible, hat the later partible. Arintander, Nomenins, and their followers, and many other of the interpretens, are of the former opinion, hut sewrns is of the second. Others, arain, as Phatareh and detions, servesiner the phasical essonce, saty, that the irrational part which precedes [in the order of physical theory] the rational part, is the parthbe essence; hat the divine pat of the somb, the imparthabe And they make the rational esseme to comsint of the two, of hae latter, as that which adorns, and of the former as a sulyeet. 'They lihewise say, that the soul is mberotten accorting to its essener, lant sellemated accurdiner to its form. Others, howerer, as Platinus, who consider the words of Plato in a more philosophic mammer, say, that the soul is a medimm betweed intellect and sense, the former being impartible, but the latter disisible about bodies. But others proceeding higher, and phacine two intellets prion to the samb, one posesesing the jdeas of wholes, but the uther of partial nafures, say that the son is the medime between these as

[^33]
 therefore, Amemime mates who was the diseiple of Ammonins. To the first of these, howerer, i. mont be sail, that since Plato does not make the sonl to be nmmber, it is absurd to imsestigate the primeiphes of momber of which tie sonl consists. Bat to the second, that llato says the sonl is incorporeal when compared with every body, and that it has a self-motive essence; but that nothing which pessesses intersal is a thing of this hind. To the third it most be said, that Ilato is mot of opinion that the irbatonal is more ancient than the rational part. For divinity, as he says, did not think tit that the more ancient should be wovermed by the jumior nature. To the fonth, that the discossion is not concerning the probial knowledze, but the psychieal essence. And hence it is not proper to say, that the soul is a medimm between the two gnostic powers, the intellectual and the semsitive. And to the fifth, that every intellect is unbergoten, and spparate from bodies. But Plato ealls the sonl a partible and generated essence, giving it a disision oppesite to the essence which subsists with invariable sancucss, and to that which is parthibe ahont hodies, and separating it from tho essence which is extermal to bodies, amd always is. As these particulars, however, have leen sutiovently discussed, het us consider what follows.
"And again after the same manner, with respect to the nature of same and the nature of different, he constituted the soul in the middle of the impartibility of these, and of the nature which is divisible about bodics."

Essence, as we have said, has the first order in the genera, becanse it is as it were, the Vesta of being. Sameness, therefore, has the second; and difference, the third order. For some consider diference as having a dignity superior to samencss. But Plato, in what he before said, has clearly evinced that the similar is better than the dissimilar; and now assuming sameness after essener, directly gives it the preference to diberence. And as we have said that the middle essence is inferior to the intelloctual essence, but transcends that which is divisible about borly, thus also we say that the sameness of the sonl is inforior to the impartible, hut is more mited than the partible sameness, abll in a similar maner with respect to difference. Hence in the essence of intellect, the sameness, being one, collects itself, and also the essential difference, to the essence which is there, and is one; just as the difference being one, separates itself, and the essence and sameness from each other. But in the soul sameness collects into one the differences
which are many in the many parts, and difference separates the samenesses. I know, therefore, that some Platonists, arrange sameness in impartible, but difference in partible natures, and thus mahe the soul to consist of both, ass a medium between sameness and difference. 'lolros, however, do not' attend to what Plato here says, that the sobl is a modiom between the impartibility of sameness and difference, and the partibitity of the nature which is divisible abont bodies. It is likewise requisite to hnow that these are the genera of being, and that it is necessary these should be every where appropiately, in inpartibie, and partible natures, and in the media between there, and arain in intellectnal essences, in souls, in matures, and in corporeal mases. For I should be ashamed $t o$ diside the genera as they do, placing one here, hut another there For if they had said, that samemese predeminates in intedlighbe and impatible natures, but diflerence in semsibles, and parthle matures, they womblave spohen rightly. But if they assert that impartibles are separate from difterence, neither will they be able to give to them sameness. Fer the one diflers from the same. And if they say that partible matures are separate from sameness, they subsert the cracnce of them.

Following therefore thines themselves, we mast admit that the remus of samenes - sulrints with at deminesie prentiarity, hat that the peries of it, is the impartihe: and the partihbe, and the merdinm hetwern both. And arain that the genus of deffereme in demimeric, hot the series of it, the impartble and the partible, and that which is intermediate. Admitting this lihewine, we mast assign media to the sonl, aml complicate them with the midde form of esence, in order that we may comstute the evisence of the soml. For thas I think we shall be able to adapt the words of lato to things. For he says, that as in essence, so likewise in the nature of same and the nature of different, the Demineros mingled a third thing from beth, amd after the same manmer. Amd as there, that which was mingled from both was a precies or form of essence, so here, the medimo betwern same and different, is a species or form. For it is possible to ter a medium not as form, but as a whole composed of the extremos, an an amimal whelh consists of soul and body. In order, therefore, that jon may not isnorantly conceive this to the the case, he alds, "amb after the satne mumer;" that here also, that which consists of both may be a form and not a whele.
" And taking them as three being, lac mingled all of them into one jelea."

[^34]That the three demingic genera, are the causes of hypostasis to second and third forms, Plato I think sufficiently manifests in saying, "and taking them as three things." For where do they sutsist? Evidently in the Demiuraus. For hecontains that which be takes. But he receives them from superior causes. For since sameness having in a greater degree the form of bound, consists of bound and infinity, and also difference which has more the form of infinity consists of these just as essence similarly proceets according to both, it is evident, that we must rather place in bomd [than in intinity] the sameness and difference of the impartible, but those of the media similarly in both, and those of partible natures rather in the infinite than in bound; jost as the first difference has the infinite, in a small dearee only decliming from bound, and the first sameness has bound in a small desree declining from the infinite.' Hence Plato says that the Demiurres received them as three, being separated from each other. And since the forms that are in him, ${ }^{2}$ hasten to the generation of other things, on this account also, he constitutes other things from these. If therefore we understand by beings thins prior to the generation of the media, we must say that these subsist in him accordiner to camse. For these were in him prior to the things generated by him. But if by beines we mederstand the media, we most understand them as thiner constituted. For they are now beings, becanse they were prorluced by him prior to the mixture, each apart from the other; and essence, sameness, and difference were bow generated each by itself. May nothowever the wordsbe interpreted moresimply, viz. The Demintrus "taking then being three;" for so many thing he effected from the three, the extremes beine now now constituted by him, according to the preexistent canses which he contains? And these genera indeed he produced according to demiuryic being ; but be added idea to all the three according to the union in himself, and the reity in him, which is the canse of the union of multitude. And you sec, that each of the three was a form, and that which was produced from the mixture of the three, was one idea. Hence it is necessary to say, that the soul is a form of forms; and, in short, to conceive nothing in it as a composite and corporeal.

The triad, therefore, is adapted to the essence itself of the soul; since it was before shown that the sonl is triadic. For we divided the whole of it into essence, power and energy ; essence, into hyparxis, harmony, and form; and hyparxis, into what is properly called essence, same, and different. Nor is it proper to wonder, if we make a part of essence tobe essence. For the one genus of being is called essence, and that also which is generated, as it were, from all the ele-

[^35]ments of being, is denominated essence. If, however, we should again inquire, what it is that makes this one idea not to be any casual soul, but the mundane soul, but elsewhere a different soul ; we reply, that it is the total nature of the genera that are assumed. For the mundane soul is a medium, not of cassal extremes, but of a total intellect, and total corporcal mature, according to which the world is an animal; just as it is endued with intellect according to its impartible nature, and animated according to its middle nature. The predominance likewise of enone cereses it to be the mundane sonl; for this mahes it to be divine ; just as the prevalence of sameness alone, produces a darmoniacal soul, abd of difference alone, a partial sonl. A ditferent habitule therefore to the extremes, produces a ditlierence in the media. And the misture of the media, detined aceordine to the prevalenee of one thine, evidently changes the whole.
"Co-adapting by force the nature of different which it was difficult to mingle, to the nature of same."

How is the nature of different diflicult to be mingled! becamse it has a separating and disiding power, and is the canse of progressions and multiplications. But every divine being begins his enery from himself. Ilence abo the nature of diflerent separates itself from other things and from itadi. For it gemerates multitule in inerli. On this accomm, it is said in the Sophista, that it makes both other things and itself th the mon-beines, separating them from other beings.
 or any elevation, but havines an eseence which is the came of otherness, of the mer confund hyportasis of forms, and of ummingled simplaty. Ponsessing likenise such a power as his, it is a certain contrary both to sameness and to peseluce.'
 communion and commenon ; but ditheremer, of separation, of an inability to be mingleol, and of otherness. But it is a certain contrary tersineme becane essence is beiner, but difleremer is mom lating, as is demomstrated in the Sephinta. For the nature of diflerent being divided into minnte parts, becomes the principal of nonbeing. That we may not, therfore, be insolud in ambiguity ly preciving an at-once-collected misture oi the :rneta, ha int the tirst phat mongles same with different and sals: that disinity ro-adapted the mature of difteremere to that of sameness, as harmonically comjoming it with middle sameness. . Ifterwark, he

[^36]mingled both these with essence. For Ilato having said, that divinity co-adapted the nature of diference to that of sammess adds," that he mingled them zrith csscnce, and made ont thint from the threc." For because ensence is cr nnective of thr two genera same and different, but these are co-ordinate to each other, it is necossary that these should in the first phace be mineded with each other, and in the seroud place, that both should be mingled with essence. And thus much concerming the order in the mixture. The force, however, employed in the mixture, is not adventitions, nor such as the force which is preternatural, but indicates a transcondency and abundance of pouer ; for such is demiurric power. So that it is able to unite difference, to divide sameness; and to p:oduce one harmony from both.
" But having mingied these two with essence, and made one thing from the threc, he again divided this whole, into appropriate parts."

As the equal and the unequal are conjoined with quantity; and as all quantity is rither equal or morgual, or rather is equal and at the same time unerpal, for every quantity at once participates of both; and as the similar and the dissimilar are conjoined with quality, and every quality is both similar and dissimilar; thas, also, same and different are co-existent with essence ; and all essence participates of sameness and difference. For these are csscntially, or according to existence itself, inherent in things, and not according to quantity or quality. Hence they are essential, being the media between the divine genera, and those things which are inherent in quantitios and qualities. For sameness, inderd, is suspended from hound, hut diflirence from infinity; just as similitude and rquality, are suspended from sameness, but dissimilimde and inequality from difference. Hence, also, Plato in the Philebus, produres bombland infinity from [the highest] God: for they are divine genera. But in the Sophista, he denominates same and different the genera ${ }^{2}$ of being. Aud the former subsist about the one, but the latter abont essence. Again, also, you see how much more venerable Plato is than all other physiologists, and even than Aristotle himself. For they making their principles to be contraries, introduce organic, material, and partible contrarieties. And even the most venerable of them, refor contraries to excess and defect; badly assuming the privation of measure in the principles of things. For measure is more divine than the privation of measure. But Plato refers contraries to
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sameness and difference, through which he comprehends all the contrarieties in soml, in nature, and in body ; and he places these in the Demimerns, in order that he may give to then generative, cosmureic, immaterial, and exempt powers. Having likewise phaced them there, he constitutes the sout from them, producings from these, that which is as it were the suliject of it, and the hoine of it, so far as being, and not so far as it is a being with a certain quality, in order that it may imitate primary beine. For he afterwards assigns to the soul harmony, form, powers, andenerobes, the remberme the discussion of it perfect. For we shall be able to survey its dignity, and its order in the word, which is of a ruling natme, if we perceive how by all the önera that are in it, it eontans mondane cosences ; how hy its own harmony, it cu-harmonizas the whole wotd ; how hy its own tienre,
 that are in it, it is able 10 perfot all phyical, and all rational, or artiticial powers; and how hy its own propremerbes, it excites mundane productions.

Why, therefore, did mot lato saly, that the sonl is a medime between intellert
 Hut his intention was to diver the midelle nathe of it in watences, and not in power. Why, howerer, dal he mot sey, that the sonl is a medime between idea and thing whid are imsented with form? Berame it was mot now propesed by him to teach as what the guality is of its form, but what its essence is. but it is
 tain thing. For forme chibite an racence of a cortain quatity. Why then, did he

 ing to which it ranhs as a medimm betwern epparate mombers, and spatate harmong, and wosible momber, and the hamony which is imequable from subjects. For accordine to the conception of I'tato, the soml is medher hamony ibelf, nor the harmony whirh is in hine hamonizel. Harmony itsolf, indered, is uniform and soparate, and is eampt fon all hamonized mathers, of whatere kind they may be; beints that alome which is called harmony itself. But the harmony which is in thins hamomized, promine to other hines, and is natmally adapted to be moved by others. Ind the madde of both, is the harmony of the oonl. For this is that which is first harmomized. Hence also it imparts harmony to other thiners. For that which is able to impart somethiner to another thiner, is - ither lhe form itself [which is partieipated], or prin baty partieipates of it. T:ais hamony therefore of the sonl, is inferior to intelligible hamony, and to intediarible

[^37]numbers, but transcending seasible barmony, it likewise transernds sensible numbers. And if it be requisite to speak concisely about each of these, each of them is fourfold. For with respect to number, the first is divine, the second essential, the third psychical, and the last physical. And the first. incleed, has the form of unity, the second is immoreable, the third is self-motive, and the fourth is alter-motive. With respect to harmony, also, the first is in the Gods, the second is in trulyexisting beiners, the third is in sonls, and the last is in the natures whidh are harmonized by other thines. If, therefore, it had been now proposed by Plato to spak concerning the pserhical harmony, he would have said that it is a medimm between impartible and partihe harmony. But since the preant discussion is concorming the rasence of the sonl, he says that it is a medium between same and different.

Here, lihewise, it is necessary to observe, that Ilato in what is now said, makes a it were a comersion of the proeressions of the pyedical essence to their principles. For since the form of the mixtures is twofold, the one subsisting aceording to the misture of the extremes, which we have in the mixture of esernce, sameness, and difference; but the other, accordiner to again collecting the media into one whole; - this beine the case, in the former mixture he began from essence, and ended in the latter; but vee versa in the latter. For he first co-harmonized the latier with sameness, and thus afterwards both with essence, and ended in essence, from which according to promression he began. Esery where, however, that which is a whole' is sulordinate to the two principles. For when he produces the sonl from impartibles and partibles, he places the impartible analogons to bound, but the partible to infinity. For the infinite is the canse of multitude, hut bound of union. And when he co-harmonizes difference with sameness, he assumes difference as in the genera of being, belonging to the co-ordination of the intinitr; but sameness, as belonging to the co-ordination of bound. When likewise he mingles the two with essence, he assumes essence as having the form of unity; but sameness and difference as dyadic, and opposed to each other. And he does not cease collecting the multitude torether, till he cvinces the whole to be one. For the one is more excellent than essence itself, and the biformed principles [bound and infinity]. The mixture, however, being as we have said, twofold, the one constituting the elements themselves, the other heing that which consists of the elements, Porpligry rightly inguires whether the Demiurgus made both these in the Crater [i. e. Juno], or one of them out of, but the other in it; and he

[^38]universe, since it is not lawful to refer the deteriorations' of partial souls to such as are divine, Plato adds, that divinity divided the soul into appropriate parts. For here that which divides, divides according to an intellectual canse, and that which is divided, is divided essentially. This mode of division, therefore, is adapted both to the divider, and the thing divided; since it is bendficent, and perfective of the essence of the soul, introducing it to intellectual variety, ${ }^{2}$ and making it all-perfect, by insertiner in it all the reasons of heiners.

If then we assert these thimes sightly, it is not proper to separate the soul from union in the division of it, nor to consume the whaleness of it, into the erneration of the parts, as the words of Timaus seem toindicate when he sass, that the thing mingled was consumed into these parts. Nor must we fancy that this wan as it were the division of a certain rule. Jor all these modes are corporeal, and by no means adapted to immaterial essences. For every thing which is gemeraterl by the Deminrsus, must needsarily remain the same, since he always produces after the same manner, beine mmoveable and eternal in his emereins. It is necessary, therefore, that the whole should always reman a whole; that the genoration of the parts should be effected, the wholeness remaining ; and that this should not be consumed in the disision of the parts. Hence, we must conceive, that the essence of the sunl is one, and at the same tinc many, the whole remaining and being distributed into parts, and possessing continuity, and beinir. at the same time, divided. Nor must we imbine that the continuity of it is accompanied with interval; for it is continued and without magnitude, lihe the contimuty of time; nor that the division of it is accorting to monadic nmmbers. For a thing of this kind is quantity which does not accord with continuity.' But we must collect these into one, as it is fit with incorporeal natures, and must survey in the soul, the whole in conjunction with " the parts. Plato also manifests, that it is not proper to depart from union in the disision, throurh the fullowing words:
"At the same time minglingeach part from same, different, and essenco. But he began to divide as follows."

If the genera which constitute the soul, are in all the parts' of it, and the whole

[^39]consists of parts similar to itself, it will in no respect be separated from continnity amb mion. For if in bodies smilars cohere with each other withont a medinm. how much more in an incorporeal mature mot all the thines that are as it were parts, be mited, and the whole be vampished ly unty, neither the parts beiner confined, thromish the position of the whole, nor the wholemess taken away thromsh the sparation of the parts? Yon may alon a-sume from these things, that the sonl accorling to all the parts of itedf, is both impartible and partible. For if evers part of it participates of all the midtle gemma, nothing in it can be asamed which does not comsist of these. The ancionts also, lookine to these timurs, conchoded nory where concromer it, that all of it is beine, life, and intelleet, and that whinher yon may anme of the three, you may infor that it contans the remainius two ; since all thines in it proceed throurh all, the whole is one, the one of it is all-perfect, and a part in it corresponds to the whole. But if each of the parts of it heing many, is a certain essence, as momerous as are the parts, so multitudinoms abo is the exsence. In a similar manner also with respect to sameness and difference, each of these in intelleet indeed is one, and on this account it is impartible. For in intellect one thing is not a part different from another. Int in the soul both these arr divided according to esential number, and the parts of it are adapted to each other, cawing it to le one thing from many, and a whole from parts.

Moreorer, this atso deserves to be considered, that according to the erneration of the elements, he lexan as we haw sail, from rssence; but according to the romposition of the whole, from difierence; co-adapting the nature of different, which is diflicult to be minerded will same, and minsling both with cesence. But accordine to the division of the whole into harmonic ratios, he beran from sameness. For he says' thai he divided cach part mingled from same, different, and 'asence. For a commencement from essence is contirely ${ }^{2}$ adapted to the greneration of simplo natures; since resence is more simple than ofler thinges. To the composition, howerr, of the whole, a commencement from diflerener is adapted. For the erneration of the whole from parts bersins from thines subordinate to the whole. And a commenement from sameness is adapted to the hypostasis of harmony. For the Demingus was willins that this shond terminate in the sumenes dud commmion of the things that were diviled. And, in short, he was willing that harmony shonid tre effective of the sammess of the things harmonized.
'These particulars, !owever, having licen discussed by us as far as we are able,

[^40]it is necessary in the next place to premise those things which ought to be readily known by us concerning numbers, and the harmonic ratios of the sonl, in order that we may not attempt in vain the interpretation of what follows. It is necessary therefore to premise such thines as are usually montoned in harmonic discussions, viz. what sound, internal, and system are, and that the Pythoreans did not assume the symphonics in harmony from any thing else than numbers, and not from all these, but from multiples and super-particulars. For they said that the diatessaron is in a serquitertian ration but the diapute in a sespuialtor; and the diapason in a duple ratio. And again they said, that the diapanon and at the same time diapente is in a triple, but the disdiapason in a quadruple ratio. For the diapason and at the same the diatessaron, did bot appear to them to the symphonious, becanse it consists in a multiple super-partient ratio, viz. in the ratio of 8 to 3 . Fior 6 is a medinm hotween the two, producing with the lese number a dople, hot with the greater, a subsespuitertian ratio. These things therefore, must be premised, and also that the sonquoctave is in the ratio of a tone; that the sespuitortian ratio comsists of two tones and a leimma; and the sespuialter of tiree tones and a lemma.' But we shall afterwards learn what the ratio of the lemmat is. Moreoner, the Pythayorams said, that there are three genera of harmonies, the diatonic, the enharmonic, and the chromatic. Likewise, that the diatonic consists of a semitone (but this which I now call a somitone is not properly so, but a limmat, and of a tom, and another tone. But the enharmonic comsists of a diexis, amother diosis, and a ditome. Aud the chromatic of a stmitone, amother armitome, and a trisemitome. But diesis is as it were the fourth part of a tone, not lning in reality a fourth, as neither is a leimma accurately a semitone. These thinrs, however, we shall demonstrate in what follows.

But a; there are three arenera, cach of whels is a certain disision of the tetrat chord, Plato appears to have ustd the diatonie genus alone. For he thinhs fit to divide the sespuitertian ratios, into sespuioctaves aml limmas, but not into enharmonic diese; sunce some of the ancients called a semitone thesis. Pato, likewise, seems to have assmmed this genus, I mean the diatonic, as more wrand, simple, and genorons, than the other wemera ; though the enharmonic appears to be more adapted to erulition. And if it ber repuisite to dedare my own prediction on this sulgeet, the enharmonic genns, presides over all the life which is

[^41]disisible about bodies' just as the diatonic presides orer the rational hirHence the enharmonice semus, is adapted to instract and discipline the disabbe life. But the chromatic semus presides oner the corporeal ided it-eli. ilence it is cfeminate and ignoble. The enharmonie sיmus therefore is deservedy dese plinative. Hence, Socrates in the I Republic thintis fit to mentom it particularly, in what he says about harmony. And Timarn howiner his, and haviner heard Socrates asserting these thines on the precedine day, at the same thene comstuters the erance of the sonl through the diatomic, and not throngh the enhamone enens; the latter, as we have said, being adapted to evolition. For on this acenomt, the ancients called the headere [or preceptors] of these disciplimes Harmonici for shilled in masic]. Aristoxmon therefore, in the first book of his Marmonic Elements, says, it happened that those were truly called Harmonici, who formerly cmployed themselves in what jertains to masic. For being solely $\cdot$ orgaged in hamong they nerfected every other pmesuit. In which Aristoxenos atso asserts what is wonderful, viz. that the ancients had no knowledge of the diatonic diarram. For he thas writes: "As an indication of the truth of this, their diagram alone exhibits collarmonic systems, but no one ever saw a diatonic or chromatic diagram delineated by them." It is worthy of admiration, however, that he should assert these things, since Plato exhibits a diagran according to the diatonic genus, and aho Timaus himelf. Perhaps therefore what Adrastos says is true, who dride's Aristosemis as a man of not very elegant mamers, ${ }^{2}$ but studious of appearing to say something new.

Plato, therefore, in the diatonic genus, makes a disision of tetrachords, and proceeds not only as far as to the diapason, but also as far as to a quadruple diapason and diapente, adding likewise a tone. Or according to Severus, Plato did not produce the tetrachords withont a tone, but ended in a leimma, and not in a tone. If, however, some one should douht, how Plato prochuced the diagram to such an extent, let him attend to the words of Alrastus. For he says that Aristoxenus, extended the maguitude of his multifirm diasram, as far as to the diapason and diatessaron, and the symphony of these, in consequence of preferring the information of the ears to the decision of intellect. But the more modern musicians extended the diarram as far as to the fiftecnth mode, viz. to the thrice diapason and tone, in so doing hooking solely to our utility, and thinking that those who contend in singing could not exceed this, nor their auditors judge clearly beyond

[^42]it. Plato, however, looking to nature, constitutes the sonl from all these, in order that it might proceed as far as to rolid mumbers, ats it omfist to preside over bodies. For the progression as far as to the gnadruple diapaston and diaponte. necosarily follows the sesen terms [or bomding mombers]. But this is cvident from the areatest term beiner twenty seven. And thes mueh in answer to the dondt.

In -hort, there are these three thines into whid the eomederation of harmony may be disided. One of these i- the exposition of the seren pats. The seeond is the incertion of the two media. The third is the disision of the sespuitertian and serguialter ratios, into seopuioe taves and leimmats. Hence some, as Adrastus, are aceostomed to make there triangles, amb in one of them, wheh is the leant, to describe the seven parts, mahine the smmat of the triangle to be one of the parts, and distributing the other six about this. In one uf the sides aloo, they dearribe the whole duple order, but in the uther, the whele triple order. Moreover, in the other triangle which is grater, and contaisn the former, they increased the numbers, athd arain in a simitar manner inserted two media, arranging the duple separate from the triple mombers and placing one of the parts at the summit. But in the third triansle, which comprehends both the others, they deseribed after the same mamer the whole dieram. Others arain, adopting a deacription in the form of the lether $\lambda$, arange the monbers succersisely, as in the section of a rule, accordiner to there centers, asimming the first, socomd, and third mombers, as we alooshall do. 'Thin method likewise is ahpted hy lorphyry and Sererns. And such are the partionars which ought to be premised, and alow that Plato dividen his heat into thre parts, in the tirst of the dires, diarosing the seven parts, in which there are lhere duphe, and three triple intersaln, according to the geometrical middle, i. ac according th the anme ratios. But in the second part, he diaconses the inartion of the other two media, viz. the harmonie and arithmetic, inte each interval of the duphe and triphe monhers. And in the third pient,
 taves and leimmas, and as far an to the ee atend the disemssion of the parts of the soul.

It is necessary however to be well acquainted with such thiners as are said about the three media, and to know their ditherences, and what the mothods are throurh which they are discowred. The arithmetical medimm, therefore, is that in which the middle term exceds and in execeded by an equal' grantity, as may Ite seen in all the nmmbers that are in a consequent order, conformably to the definition of Timxus himself. But the harmonic medtum is that in which the

[^43]midde term is exceeded by the areater, hy the same part of the rreater, by which it exereds the less torm, as in the mmbers 6 , 4 and 3 . For here 4 is exceeded by 6 hy $\because$, which is the third part of 6 , and it excereds 3 the less term by 1 , which is the third part of 3.' And the geometrical medimen is that, in which there is the vame ratio of the ereater to the middle term, as there is of the middle to the les. torm.

The methots however of diceovering these, must in the next place be unfolded by us. Let two terms, therefore, be siven, between which it is proposed to find ati harmonic, and also an arihmetic medimm; and let the terms have a duple ratio. as for instance 12 and 6 . Itake, therefore, the excess of the greater number above the less, which is evidently 6 , and disiding it into two equal parts, I add the half to the less mumber, and make this the middle term. Hence 9 is the arithmetical modimm luetwern 12 and 6 . For the excess is three, both of the greater :hone the middle, and of the middle above the loast term. Again, tahing the difien nee of the extromes, which is 6, I multiply this by the less term, and the product is 36 , and dividing this hy the sum of the extremes, i. e. by 18 , the quotient 2 is produced, which is the breadth of the comparison. ${ }^{2}$ 'To this also, I atd 6, and I have the harmonic midde 8. x For hy that part of the greater term l2 by which 8 is exceeded by it, by this part of the less term 6, 8 exceeds the less. For it is exceeded hy the third part of 12 , and by a third part of 6 it eacecds 6 . Asain, Iet there loe a triphe intersal, as for instance 18 and f,' $^{\prime}$ addiner these toselher I make 24 , of which takine the half, I have the arithnetical midhle 12. Again, taning the deass of 18 ahove 6 , i. e. 12 , Imaltiply it by the less term 6 , and the product is 72 . This I diside by 24 the swom of the extremes, and 3 the lireadth of the eomparison is prodiced. Aiterwards, 1 add this to 6 , and 1 have! for the hamonic medimm, which exceeds and is exceeded by the same part of the exiremes. Thms also, if 1 and 2 were the extromes, by addiner them towerher, and takine the haliof both, I shall have 1 and the half of 1 , for the middle term of the arthurdical middle. But taking the excess of the greater tom above unity, and moltifl!ing it hy the less term, siz. unty by unity, I have 1 from looth. Aitorwards dividine this by 3 , the sum of the extremes, I shall

[^44]have the breadth of the third part of 1 ; in order that 3 being compared to one may make the third part of 1 . Adding therefore, this; to 1 , I wall have $\therefore$, whichis the harmonic medium between 1 and ; in the same manner as before. Hence, by employing these methods, we shall in a lrecoming manner fill all the double and triphe intervals, with arithmetical and harmoniacal middles; which 'Timeus has comprehended in the geometric middle, and which he increases by the insertion of the other middles.

In short, since Plato makes mention of the three middles, which are comprehended in the geometric middle, let the following theorem be added [as a corollary] to what has bern said. If the analnery consists in four terms, and one of the intermediate numbers produces an arihmetical middte, the other will produce an harmonic middle, and vice versa. Fur let there be four terms, $a, b, c, d$, so that the first $a,{ }^{\prime}$ is to $b$, as $c$ is to $d$, and let $b$ he an athmetical middle, [so that $a, b, d$, are in arithmetical proportion, I say that $c$ is an hammonic midelle. For because the product of $a$ by $d$ is equal to the product of $b$ by $c$, but $b$ is an atithmetic middle and the product of $c$ by a added to the product of $c$ by $d$ is the double of the product of $b$ by $c$, as in the arithmetic midelle; this being the case, it follows that the product of $c$ by $a$ added to the product of $c$ by $d$, is the donble of the product of $a$ by $d .^{2}$ But this was the property of the hammonic middle, viz. that the product of the midalle by the extrimes, is the donble of the prothet of the extremes. Again, let $c$ be an harmonic middle, 1 say that $b$ is an arithonetic middte. For since the prodnct of $c$ by a alded to the product of $c$ by $d$, is the domble of the prodnct of $b$ by $c$, the smin of $a$ added to $d$ is the double of $6 .{ }^{\prime}$ But this is an arithmetical middle, when the sum of the extremes is the donble of the middle term: Again of these four terms, let $b$ be an arithmetic, but $c$ an harmonic mean, 1 say that as $a$ is to $b$, so is $c$ to $d$. For becanse the product of $c$ by $a$, added to the product of $c$ by $d$, is the donthe of the product of $a$ by $d$, on account of the harmonic middle, but the sum of $a$ added to $d$, is the domble of $b$ on account of the arithmetic middle, hence the product of $a$ by $a$ will be erpual to the product of $b$ he $c$. As a therefore is $t o b$, so is $c$ to $d .^{*}$ But this was the peculiarity of the peometric middle. Hence those two middles are contaned in the geometric

[^45]middle, and reciprocate with each other. Since however we have premised thus much, let us procerfl to the text oi Plato.
"In the first place, he took one part from the whole. After this, he separated a scond part double of the first: and again, a third part, sesfuialter of the second, but triple of the first."

The mathomatical theory is neither to be entirely despised [in the present discussionj nor to lo alome embraced itself by itself. For the latter will not exhihit to us the thines which Plato intended to represent to us in imares, and the former will cause the whole exposition to be muprodnctive of advantare. For it is neressary to consider the essence of the thines which are the subject of disenssion, as on a secur foundation. As we obtred herefore before, we shall procenl in a midde way, tirst mathematically, in a manner adapted to the subjects, and atter this we shall mafold the division presented to our vinw in the text. The D'yhaterem then comene marnificenty, respetine the division or sertion
 reasons which are gemeration of mathematical theorems. Iat us, therefore, an I have said, first mathematically cxercise the reasoning power of the reader, by contractedly explaininer what is assorted by many, at the same time ab-aining from controversy, and insestisatime the truth by itsolf. Our discourse, however, will be in short, comerming these five particnlars; viz. concerning multiple ratios ; the metia that subsist letween these; the sesfuitertian and stsquialter ratios, which present themselves in the middles; the sespuinctaves which fill these intervals; and the lemma. For it is necessary that the diagram should be comprehensise of all these, and be condensed with all these ratios.

That we may proceed therefore in order, we shall assume the ratios which are first mentioned by llato, in ihe nmbers from mity. Lat unity then be posited, and the double of this 2 ; altemards 3 , which is sesquialter indeed of 2 , but triple of 1 ; then 4 which is the double of 2 ; afterwards 9 , the triple of 3 ; afterwards 8 , the octuple of 1 ; and anter all, the soventh term, which is twenty-seven times 1 . Some, therefore, as we have said, arrane these numbers in the form of the letter $\lambda$, making the monad the summit, and arraning the domble mombers here, but the triple there. But others more conformahly to Plato, arrange them in one order only. For he does not say, that the triple were apart irom the duple numbers,

[^46]but he alternately mixes them, as proceeding in a right line. If, however, Plate had stopped here, there would have been nothing further for us to diseuss. But since he limself exhorts us to hind the dould and triple intervals with harmonic and arithmetic middles, and it is not possible modincover these middles between 1 and 2 , some first number must be asommed, which lexiner the least, may have a half and a third part. For cevery mmber may have a double, and this must therefore be inventigated. Let then fio awnmed, and the double of it 12 , the former having the same ratio to the latter as 1 to 2 . Betwren these therefore, viz. 1 and 2 multiplied hy 6 , pacing as media 8 and 9 , we shall hawe the above-memtioned middes. For 8 exceeds and is caceded liy the same part of the extremes ; but
 ing 1 and 2 six times, we shatl find manders reweiner the breforementioned middles. In a smilar manur by multiplsine ly 0 the remaninur domble and triple numbers in tha bedire-buchtioned order, we shall tind the berms which we may be able to combense with arithotic and harmonie midfles. For eestuple numbers will tre produced from all the before-muntomed orders, by arraming other mumbers, only obersing that 48 ought to be placed betore 54 ; in this respect departing from the arrangement of Plato, who places 9 lwefore 8 , in order that he misht alternately change the duple and triple ratios. We, however, make this alteration, as consentameons the the maltitule of the monads, and the nature of increasing number. Hence 13 and 9 come beeween 6 and 12 ; but between 12 and the dombe of it 24 , the harmonic mean is 16 , and the arithmetic 18. And between the third double' -4 and 48 , the harmonic mean is 32 , but the arithmetic 3f. But in triple numbers, betwern is and 18 which are the first triphe, the harmonic midde is 9 , but the arithmetic 12 . Between the second triple 18, and 58 , the harmonic midde is 27 , but the arithmetic 36 . And between the third triphe 54 and 162 , the harmonic midille is 81 , !ut the arithmetic 108 . The double and triple intervals therefore, are divided by these two middles. So that these terms will he sucessive to each other, viz. 6. 8. 9. 12. 16. 18. 24. 27.32. 36. 48. 54. 81. 108. 162.

If, howerer, it was possible in the terms described by us, to divide the sesquitertian ratios, into sespuioctaves and lemmas, we shouhl have no occasion to proceed any further. But now, as this is not possible, we are in want of another method. Nince, therefore, it was proposed at first, to condense the duphe ratio, with the lefore-mentionel middles, and with sespuioctaves, it is necessary that the subduple term, should have the sesquitertian together with the two sespuioctares.

[^47]I et there be taken then in the first place，the third mumber from mity，according to an octuple ratio，viz，if．From this it is posible to form two sespuioctaves． For every multiple nmmine is the leader of as many multiple ratios denominated fimm itself，as it is itself distant from maty．But it has not a sespuitertian．＇By tripling therefore 6is，we shall have 192 ，the sesquitertian of wheh is 2.06 ，but the serpuioctave $2 \boldsymbol{2} 6$ ，and of this the sesquioctave is $243 .^{2}$ But the ratio of the leimma is that which remains after the ablation of the two sesquioctares 243 and $\because: 6$ ．For from every sesquitertian two sesquioctaves being taken，the ratio of the leimma is lefi．But of estithe serplioctave is 288 ，which preserves an arithme－ tical mean letween 192 and 334 ，which has a duple ratio to 192 ，and a sespuiter－ tian to 288 ．If，therfore，it were posible to form 1 wo sespuinctaves from 288 ， we might also condense this sesquitertian with sesquioctases and a leimma． Now，howerer，this is not possible．For the sespuioctave of it， $32 \mathbf{2}$ ，has not an cishth part．Hence if we wioh to preserve mity always umdivided it is impos－ sible there should be a sesquioctave ratio to it ．For the eighth part of it is 40 and $\frac{1}{3}$ ．By donbling this，therefore，in order that we may make the half a whole， we shall be able to assume the eighth part of it．On this accomb，howerer，we shall be compedhel to double all the mmbers prior to it，and ako those penterior to it．Hence instad of 192 ，we shall have 384 ；insteat of 216,482 ；insteal of 243，48t；ineteal of $2.5 t, 512$ ；and instrat of 288,576 ．And of thin the sergui－ octave，is 618 ，and of this $729 .^{3}$ Sterwards 768，which is the donble of 381 ，has the ratio of a bimma to $7: 9$ ．After this manner，therefore，the tonble interval is filled with serguialter，sesquitertian，and sespuigctave ratios，in the mumbers， 384. 182．486，512．576．648．7：9．768．Hence if we wish to fill the whole diagram， and to deseribe all the numbers in a consequent order，instead of the tirst part we mist assmme 384 ；instead of the double of the first， 768 ；instead of the triphe of the first，hat the sesquialter of the secont， 1152 ；instead of the quadruple of the first， 1536 ；instead of the fifth part which is triple of the third， 34 ；o；；instead of the sinth part which is octupte of the first，3072；and instead of the seventh part，which is the twenty－seventh pat of the tirst， 10368.

If，therefore，we also wish to condense these terms with harmonic and arithme－ tic middles，which being inserted，make sesquialter and sesquitertian intervals， the intermediate numbers will be 384 ，and 768 ，the double of $385 ; 512$ ，which produces an harmonic，and 576，which makes an arithmetic midhle．But if we

[^48]wish to assume the above-mentioned middles of the triple interval, viz. of 384 and 1152, then 578 will preserve the harmonic 'middle, which filled for us the arithmetic ${ }^{2}$ middle in the double ${ }^{3}$ interval; and 768 will be the arithmetic middle, which was the greater extreme of the double interval. Again, if we wish to assume the same middles of the duple and quadruple, i. e. of the middles between the terms 768 and 1536 , the former of which is the double of 381 , and the latter the quadruple, the harmonic midlle will be 1024 , and 1152 the arithmetic middle. If also we wi-h to condense the second triple, the terms of which are 1152, and 3450 [ the former being the double of 576 , and the latter the triple of $115 \div$ ] then $17: 8$ will give us the harmonic, and 2301 the arithmetic middle. And if we wish to condense the third double, which consists in the terms 1536 and 3072 , then 29.48 will be the harmonic, and 2304 the arithmetic middle. But if we wish to comdene the third triple, with similar middles, but I mean the fifth and sewenth part, the extremes will be for us 3456 , and 10363 ; but the harmonic middle will be 5181 , and the arithmetic 6012. If again, we shonh condense each of the sespuitertians which present themselves from these middles, and sesquialters, with serquioctaves and a leimma, this will be manifest to us after the whole exposition, when we exhibit the whole diagram with all the terms in a consequent order, which has indeed $2 \downarrow$ sesquioctaves but 9 leimmas.

These things therefore, having been elacidated lyy us, we nhall observe thus much conceming the leimma, that as it is not possible to divide any superparticular into equal ratios, a memitone camot be assumed in numbers; but taking the ratios which are contiguons to rach other, viz. the seventeenth and the sixteenth part, and demonstrating that the serenteenth part is greaterthan that which is called the leimma, aml which is less than an accurate semitone, it is inferred that the leinma and also the seventerenth part are less than a semitone. But that it is less than asemitone, is demomstrated as fullows: Let there be given the term 16 and the seaquioctave of it 18 . Betwern these phacing 17 it will divide the sequioctave into meymal ratios, which will be near to the semitonic interal, since 17 diflers from the extremes by mity alone. And it is evident that it will mahe agreater ratio with the hoss term; hecame in all arithmetical propurtion, the ratio is greater which is in the less terms; so that the sevententh part is lese than a semitone. Moreoner, the leimma is less than the seventernth part, as is evident from the terms exhihited by llato. Eor since 256 has to 243 the ratio of the leimma, as we shall demonstrate in what

[^49]follows, where we shall show that the radical ratio of the leimma is in these numbers; and sime 2.56 exceeds 243 by less than the seventeenth part of it ; for it excerds it by 13 mities, but the seventemth part of 243 is more than 13 ;this beiner the case, much more is the ratio of the leimma less than the semitonie interval. Hence the ratio which remains to the completion of a tone, and which is called the ratio of an apotome, is necessarily greater than a semitone.

Farther still, this may also be demonstrated after another manner, aq follows: Let the mumbers 250 and 243 be given, and let there be assumed three numbers in a conseguent order, in a ratio of this kind; from 2.56 indeed, 65.536, but from 21359040 , and from both 6.208 . These three numbers, therefore, are analogous in the ratio of the leimma, which, if it is a semitone, will be the tonic ratio of the extremes. But if it is greater than a semitone, that also will he ereater than a tone; but if lese, that also will be less. The sespluioctave however of 5009 , is $604310 \frac{1}{8}$. But this is greater than the greater term.

After another and a third way the same thing may also be demonstrated, viz. that a tone cannot be divided into two equal parts, having the same ratio as that of 256 to 243 . For if we take the eirhth part of 243 , which is 30 ?, and add this part to it, we shall make $75 \%$, which has a sesquioctave ratio to 243 . You see therfore that 256 has to 243 a less ratio than 273 ; to 256 . For 256 has to $\mathbf{2} \downarrow 3$ a superpartiont ratio, exceeding it by $\therefore 2$; but 273 : excecds
 teen and more, than that which alone excecds by thirteen, according to the ratio of exeess. A tone, therefore, cannot be divided intoryual parte, hut this is the leimma, as Ilato also calls it, and that which has the areater ratio is apotome, as musicians are acenstomed to denominate it. For let $273 \frac{1}{\bar{b}}$ have to 213 a sesquioctave ratin, hut 256, to the same 243 , the ratio of the leimma, which has a less ratio than that of the sevententh part, it is evident that 279., which has the ratio of a tone to 243 , will have to 256 the ratio of the apotome, which is the remainder of the leimma, being greater than the seventeenth part, which we have demonstrated to be less than the ratio of the leimma. If therefore we multiply these cight times, we shall find the first mumbers which in perfect unities have the ratio of the apotome. For the octuple of 243 is $19 \$ 4$, of 256 is 2048 , of 2733,2187 . Hence the ratio of the apotome in radical numbers (av $\pi \cup f \mu \varepsilon \sigma{ }^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ ) is that of 2187 to 2048 . And we shall he in want of these three terms which are in a consequent order, in the diagram. Let then these terms be, 243, $258,273_{3}^{3}$. But on account of [the fraction] ? let the octuple of these be the

[^50]numbers 1944, 2048, 2187, in order that the terms may be in perfect unities, and not in the parts of unity. Becanse however, it is necessary that the ratio of the leimma should be that of 256 to 243 , we may demonstrate it to be so as follows: If from the sesquitertianintersal, two sesquioctaves are taken away, the terms which comprehend the remaining interval, will have to each other the ratio of 250 to 243. Fer let $a b x^{2}$ secquitertian of $e$, and let $c$ be taken away, which is subsesguioctave of the seoguinctave a $b$. And in a smilar manner let $d$ be taken from c. I say that $l$ will have to e the proposed ratio. For from ablet $c$ be taken which is cupal to $z b$, and $d$ which is equat $c h$. Since therefores as $a b$ is to $c$, so is
 Hence the remainder $a z$ will be th the remaneler $z e$, as whole to whole, i. e. as aly $b=$. But $a b$ is sequinetare of $b=$. Henceb $b$ is sespuinctave of $e z$. Lat

 quioctave of $d$, hence $b e$ is oetuple of $e z$. Of such mumbers therefore as $z e$ is 8 ,
 for this is the seopuisetase of 72 . The numbers, therefore, are quatruple.
 druple of 81 , and 956 of 64 . But mumbrs which are cepally multiphied, have the same ratio as their parts. Since therefore ab is sespuitertian of $c$, of such mumbers ats $a b$ is 324 , of such $c$ will her 28.3 . For $32 t$ contains 843 , and a third part of it, iz. 81. But it has appeated, hat of such manlers as a $b$ is 324 , of such $d$ is 25th. Henere of anch numbers as dis este, of such cis 213.

It in manifent, howerer, that thi ratio of the leimma in in the least terms. For they are first torms with relation to each other. And this is evident from subtraction. For they ent in maty, the lase beine always tahen from the greater. But if they are first terms, it in evident they are the last of those that have the same ratio with them. If, the wefore, two seophoctanes are taten from the sesquitotian intral, the remainine term, will have the ratio of 2.56 to 213.

This therefore beine demontrated, let there be taken in a conseguent order ab for the tonic ratio, $b$ e for the ratio of the leinma, ad for the ratio of that which is called a comitume, and $d$ to $c$ for the ratio of the comma. For the ratio of the exces of the apotome, atwe that which is truly a semitone, and which cannot tre ohtaned in mumbers, is thus called. This then is demonstrated. 'lo what has bean said howorer, it munt be adted, that we have called the ratio of $d b$ a semitone, wot that a sequioctane is diviled into two equal ratios ; for no superparticular ratio is capable of heines se divided; but becanse the followers of Aristonenus arombe a sembtone after two sespuioctares, the ratio of a semitone is asstancl, as what said, according to their position, in order to discover what
the ratio is of the comma and apotome to the ratio of the leimma. This therefure is andert dhrongh the cause which has been mentioned by us. For that every superpaticular rato is incapable of being divided into two equal ratios, is oue amoner the thimes that are demonstraterl. Thus much, however, must be added, for the sake of chezat ormdition, that as the Pythagoreans neither admit that there is a semitone from which tore ther with two sespmioctaves a sesquitertian ratio is prorluced, nor the symphony diapason and diatessaron, as the followers of Aristoxenus admit;-this beiner the case, the musicians posterior to him, the dixciples of P'olemy, grant with the I'ythagoreans, that what is called a semitone, is not truly so, but reject the opinion, that the diapason and diatessaron are not symphonies. Wre, however, necessarily demonstrate the former, on account of the opinion of Plato; but not being compelled to demonstrate the latter, becanse Plato says mothing abont it, we shall at present omit it.

Since then we have shown in what nombers the ratio of the leimma, and the ratio of the apotome are first found, we must likewise show, in what numbers the ratio of the comma, by which the apotome exceeds the leimma, is first discovered. This ratio therefore is in perfect [i. e. in undivided] unities, as the ancients say, that of 531411 to $524233 .{ }^{\prime}$ But if to divide unity makes no difference, let the ratio of the leimma be taken in that of the numbers $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ to 243 . But the sesquioctave of 243 is 273 , and of $256283 .^{2}$ Another leimma is that of 209 to 243 a's. For this is the ratio of the leimma. For 200 contains 256 and thirteen units, and 200 also contains 243 and thirteen units. Becanse therefore 256 consists of 243 , and hesides this of thirtern units, which are the numerator of 243 ; hence the 13 by which 250 eveeds 243 , contains in itelf $2^{\prime} \therefore$ parts of 243 . Each likewise of the thirteru mits hy which 256 exceeds 2.13 contains in itself $t^{2}$ of 243. Hence $260+{ }^{\prime} \cdots$ will have the same ratio to 256 , as 256 to 243 ,' being in a superpartient ratio to it, and having $\therefore$ parts of it, and 243 units. Hence that
 it is shown in what mmbers of the monad when divided, and in what two leimmas taken from the sespuioctave, the ratio of the comma is first found. It is evident therefore, from what has been said, that we have effected what we promised to do. The terms likewise, and all the intervals, are condensed with harmonic and arithmetic middles, and the divisions of the sespiniaiter and sesquitertian ratios, into sesquioctaves and leimmas, have been effected. For as there is a

[^51]duple interval betreen 384 and 768, the torm 432 which is sesquioctave to 384 , and 486 which is sesquinctave to 432, fall hetween them, and also 512 which makes a leimma with 486. And thes far the sesiguitertian ratio consints of two tones and the leimma.
Again 576 is serpuioctase to 512, 648 to 576,799 to 648 , and 766 has the ratio of the lemma to 729 . And from these the sespuialter is filled, having three sespuioctares, and one lemma.' But the whole is duple, consisting of five sesquioctares, and two lemmas. Again, according to the athove described terms 384, and 763 , the tern 512 produces an harmonic, but 570 an arithmetion medium. Farther still, 361 is placed as sespuinetave to 768, but 972 is senquinctave to 804 , and 1024 has the ratio of the hemmato 972 . To 1024 aloo 1152 is sesquioctave. And now atior the dople the sesquiatter ratio in producerl, which makes a triple ratio, wiz. the ratio of 1152 to 381 . But lnetween his triphe interval, 576 is the harmonic middle to the extremes, but 7 tis the arithmetic middle. For a theorm of the following hind is miviersally demonstrated, that if of the same term, one number is double, but another :riple, and a certain mean of the duble is'assumbd according to arithmetical froportion, this muan will be to the triple mamber an harmonic midille. But the greater term in the duple ratio, will become the arithmetical mean in the triple. 'Thus for instance, in the above terms, 768 is the donble of 384 , but 1152 is the triple. Between also the duple terms 9683 and 384 , an arithmetical mean 576 is assumed; and the same mean between the triple terns 384 and 1152 is aren to 10 an harmonic mean. And 768 which was duph, becomes letween the triphe terms an arithmetical mean. Aferwards, 1206 is serpuinctave to 1152, and of this 1458 is the sespuioctane, to which 1530 hat the ratio of the hemmat. And as far as to this, the second duple is filled, becher compered of the sescuiater and stequitertian ratios, the extrome of which are 763 and 1536 , and are disided into five sespuinctaves and two bemmats. It thenowe has for the harmonic mean 10:2, and for the arithenctical mean 1152.

Again, 1723 is sesquintan to 1536, of this 1944 is serguioctave, of this 2187 is sompuicetane, and to this 2304 has the ration of the leimma. But the serpuiuctave of 2304 , is $2.5 \%$; of this 294 is the sthminetave, ant to this 3072 has the ratio of the lamma, which is octuphe of the firet part, filling the third double. And firtherstill, the sespuinctanc of 3072 is 3156 . And as lar as to this the Feond triple extends, hating fier its extreme 1152 and $345 \%$, and for its harmonie me:n 1728 , hat tor its arithmetical man 230 t . In addition to this alos, the

[^52]sesquioctave of 3456 is 3888 , hat of this the sesquioctave is 4374 , to which 4608 has the ratio of the leimma. The sesquioctave also of 4608 is 5184 , and of this again, the sesquioctave is 5832, to which 614thas the ratio of the leimma, the sespuiortave of which is 6912. And this arain is another dnple' [vize 3456 and
 tave: for 7720 is sespuinctave to $6: 912]$ : $^{2}$ for in the third miple, there is also a certain duple. And again, the sespuioctave of 7776 is 8748 , to which 9216 has the ratio of the leimma, and of 9216 the sesquioctave is 10363 . And as far as to this, the third triple is extended, le:ing comprehended in the terms 3456 and 10308, and having two means, the harmonic and the arithmetical, the former of which is 5181 , but the latter 6912.

The double and triple intervals therefore are filled with middles, and with sesquiortaves and lommas. The whole likewise of this diagran has nine leimmas, and twonty-four sesquioctaves. For the intervals are less in number than the terms by one. It also proceeds as far as to a quadruple diapason, and a diagente and tone. Adrastus however, who was a lover of the arts, makes the fogure, as we have said, in the form of the letter $\lambda$; and places the terms in certain triangles. And in the interior triangle, indeed, he places the ratios that are in monatic mmbers [i. e. that consist in the numbers within ten] ; but in the triangle next to this, the sevtuple of these numbers, which have two midhles according to each daple or triphe interval. And in the outermost triangle he places the terms which make the whole of the before-mentioned diagram. What we have said, however, will berome manifest from the delincation. But between the domble and triple intertals, he inseribes all the above-mentioned numbers, whith we have not thonght fit to add, heiner momiling to introdner a [needless] moltitude of terms. For such a disposition of terms, and the insertion of the same numbers twice, is immothodical. For many of the same media are fumud between the duphe and triphe intervats; since the triphe intervaly themselves consist of duple terms amd mesplialters. What is said hy Plato, therefore, has burn elmedated by us. For two media have been dieconred between all the: duple and triple intervals. And from these media sestpialter and sesquitertian ratios having been produced, these are divided by the sesquioctave; a portion being left in both, which has the ratio of the leimma. From these likewise, assumed in an orderly

[^53]manner, the terms which comprehend the whole diagram will be found to be thirty-four only.'

Since, however, the Pythagoric Timaus says that the terms of the diarram are thirty-six, and yet assumes the same extremes as Plato, viz. 384 and 10368, in order that these philosophers may not appar to le in any respeet discordant with each other, let us show how the other two terms are inserted. These men therefore [i. e. the Pythagoreans] wre willing that there should not only be the ratio of the leimma in the diagram, but also that of the :potome, whith they twice discovered, both in radical numbers, and in those alone which are the triple of these. Adding likewise one urin to each, they introduced this into the diarram. But Plato makes no mention of the apotome; whence also we being satisfied with the leimma, have alone employed the aboveemmerated terms. For how, since he assumes the diatonic genus, conld the make use of the apotome, the sespuioctave not being divided in this genus; the apotome being prodnced when the sesquioctave is divided! For the part of the sespuioctave which remains after the leimma, is the apotome. Hence, since Plato does not mention the apotome, and it is not possible for it to occur in the diatonice semus, it would be ridiculous in the to endeavour to insert wher torms, in order that we may have the apotome, the thirty-four terms being sutheingt the completion of the sespuinctives and lemmas. It semes atso, that the monber $3!$ is adapted to the diatonic gemms, in

[^54]Sesq. Sesq. Luimma. Sesq. Seaq. Leiuma. 1728. 1941. $2187^{\circ}$. 2301 . 2592. 2916. 3072. The third duple interial.

- 2187 is the octuple of 2738 .

Sesq. Sesq. l.eimnal. Sesq. Sesp. Deimma. 3456.3888 .4374 . 6003 . 51st. 5832.6134. The fourlh duple interval.

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Sesq. Sesq. Laimma. Sesq. } \\
6912.7770 .8718 .9266 .1036 s . \\
\text { The third triple interval. }
\end{gathered}\right.
$$

In this diagran it must be ohserved, that the last term of each interval forms a sespuioctave with the first term of the interval that is next in onder. The first lsiple interval likewise begms witi the term 384, and ends at the term 1152. The second iriple interval begins at 11.52 and ends at 3456 . Aud the third trip!e interval begins at $345 \hat{6}$, and ends at 1030 x .
which alone the sesquiortave ratio is fomd．For it consists of the terms 18 and 16，which are to each other in a sesquioctave ratio．For the sesquialter and sesquitertian ratios，and lemmas，are also in the other erenera；but the sespui－ octaves are foumd in this alone of the three genera．Hence this ratio of the sesquiortane，very properly produres by composition the number of the parts； and this being the second，is adapted to the second promression of the soul from the first intellimible prineiples．
 the serguioctase of this 1723，and atterwards the sesquinctave of this 1944 ，and again the tritome of this 2187 ，there will be one interval of the extrmes．Berause however 2018 bas a sesquitertian ratio to 1536 ，hint 10.14 has to it the ratio of the leimma，it is necessary that 2187 should make anapotome to 2048．For an apotome is，as we have before sald，that which remains to a tone，after the leimma．In a simi－ lar manner abo，by assming in the third iriple，4608，which contains the tritone＇ 6561，＂abl also asimming 6144，which makes a sesquitertian ratio to 4608，but to 5832 has the ratio of the leimma，we shall neeressarily have the apotome in the ratio of 6061 to $i f 14$ ．which are triple of the radical terms that were before discovered ber us in the third double．For it is evident that the ratio of the apotome is radically in those terms．For 2187，and 2048 ，are demonstrated to Ie first terms to each other by the theorem of subtration；first terms being necessarily surh as are least．The multitude infleed of the terms deacribed by Timans，is demonstrated by Phibhans；but the diagram of Plato proceeds without the ratio of the apotome．And thas much concerning these particnlars．

Since however we have lofore olserved，that if of one term two numbers are assumed，one of which is the double，but the other the triple of it，the mean which hetween the duphe terms is arithonetiral，is between the trijle terms harmonic，but the duphe term is between the triple terms，an arithmetical mean，we will now concisely eluridate and at the same time demonstrate this theorem．Iet then $b$ tre the donlbe of $a$ ，but $c$ the triple of it，and between $a$ and $b$ ，let the arithmetical mean be $d$ ．I say that will happen whirh is enmenciated in the proposition．For since $b$ is the donble，but $c$ the triple of $a$ ，of such numbers as $a$ is two，$b$ will be four，and $c$ will be six．Hence of smoh as $b$ is fomr，$c$ will be six．By so much，

[^55]therefore, does $c$ exceed $b$ as $b$ exceeds $a$. Hence $b$ is an arithmetical mean between $a$ and $c$. Again, because of such as $a$ is two, of such $b$ is fumr, lomt the arithmetical mean between them is $d$; hence $d$ will be three of such nombrers, as $a$ is two, and $b$ four.' But of such as $b$ is four, of such $c$ is six. Of such therefore, as $a$ is two, of such $d$ is three, and $c$ is six. Herice $d$ compared to $a$ and to $c$, will produce an harmonic middle.' For hy the same part of the greater it is exceeded by the greater, and by the same part of the less exceeds the less. And thus much concerning this particular.

Seserus, however, thinks that this diagram shombl not end in a tone, but in the leimma, because IPato terminates in this all the discussion conceming the division of the sont. In order, therefore, that it may teminate in the leimma, serorns trausfers some of the terms, and makes all of them to be thirty-four. But as in the thirty-fourth torm, the half of unity occurs, he doubles the torms, and makes the first part to te 768 , which is the double of 384 . Of this, therefore, he places the serpuioctave 8ist, and of this arain the sespuioctave 972. 'To this also he adapts according to the leimma, 10:1. But of this he takes the nespuioctave 1152; of this the sespuioctave 1296 ; and of this again the sorpuioctave 1.158 . But to this he adapts according to the leimma 1536 , and paces the sespuioctave of this, 1728, and of this again the serpuioctave 1914. T'o this likewise he adapts accordine to the ratio of the loimma, 2187 . And of this he assmmes the sespuioctave 2304; of this the serquiortase 2602 ; and of this arain the serguioctave 2916. To this also he assmmes 3762 , which has the ratio of the leimma to it; to this the sespuioctave 3406 ; and to this in a smilar manner 3888 . 'I's this likewise he adapts as the leimma 4374; of this he asmumes the sespuivetave 4008; of this the sonpuioctave 5184; and of this again the sropuicetane 58:32. 'To this also he adapts according to the ratio of the leimma, 6144; and of this he assumes the sesquioctase 6012 ; of this the serpuioctave 7776; and of this arain the sespuioctave 8748. To this likewise he adapts as a leimma 9216 . Bot of this he make's the sesquioctave 10368 ; of this also 11661 ; amd of this, arain, he makes the sesquioctave 13122. To this he adapts as a leimma 138:2 ; of this also he assmmes the sesquioctave 15552 ; of this the sesquioctave 17.496 ; and of this, arsan, the sespuioctave 19783. And to this he adapts 20r336, having the ratio of the leimma. As far as to this, therefore, he gives completion to the diagram, mathing the leimma to be the end; except that in these terms, there is first the nespuitertian, afterwards the sespuiatter, then the sespuitertian, and afterwards the sespuialter ratio.

[^56]And amain the sesquitertian, afterwards the sesquialter, and then three sesquialters in a followine order, as is evident from the above description.

It happens, therefore, in this diarram, that there is a quadruple diapason, that the diaperute occurs onee, and that the tome is redomdant. Fer there seqpuialters. make one diapason abl tome. The diagram, howerer, does not end in a tone, but in the leimma. But this was the thing proposed to be effected. Surrus, therefure, does not take away the tone, but does not end in it. So that the whole diarram acordine to all the tems, ennsinte of a quatruple diapason, and the diapentes, and one tone. If ako we wish in monadic mombers to asomme these intervals, we nunt survey the porression extended as tar as to twenty-seren. for 2 is double of mity, 4 is the double of 2,8 of 4 , and 18 of 8 . And as far as to this the quat druphe diapason extends. But of $16,2 t$ is the sespuialter, and this is the diapente, and to this 27 has a sesquioctave ratio. So that the before mentioned symphonies are perferted from one part, as far as to twenty-sesen. This, therffore, as I hase said, is common to all the diasrams. But they differ from each other in this, that some are in the form of the letter $\lambda$, but others are ia a right line. For of the ancients, Adrastus employs firures in the form of 2.. but Severus risht lines, which in my opinion is better. For in the figures which are in the form of the letter $\lambda$, the same nambers are found twice in difliment places. But this is discordant with the things themselves. For there are not two parts of the sonl which are the same. But all these mombers are parts of the soml. They difier also in this, that some of the diagrans end in a tone, but others in the beimma. Some also are more prepicuons, thoneh the same numbers are asumed twice, as is the case with those diagrams which distrihote the dhple and triple' ration in the sides of trimgles. But others fare cath momber once in all the intervals, thonerh this canses a more dibioult division of the dmple terms, into super-particolar and super-partient ratios. We have thofore premisel such thines as may contribute to the theory of the peychogonic diagram, to those who survery it mathematically.

As we are phterine however on the more impertant explanation of the words of Plato, we think it reguisite tospeak in the first place, concerning the division itself according to which the soul is divided in these ratios, and to take away by arguments such things as are an impediment to our apprehending the troth respecting it. Let no one, therefore, think that this division is corporeal. For it has been before demonstrated, that the middle nature of the soul is exempt from bodies, and at the

[^57]Tim. Plat.
Vol. II. K
same time from every partible essence which is distributed about them. Nor must it be supposed that the soul is Inetter indeed than bodies, but that it is divided after the same manner as the terms or houndaries, and intervals by which bodies' are measured. For things that have interval, are not wholly and through the whole present with themelves, and whon divided, are not able to preserve an unconfused mion. But the soml participatine of an impartible allotment, is mited to itelf, amd evhihts all the same elements subsisting the same in all its parts. Nor arain mast this section of the soul, he considered as a division of number. For the soul is indecel number, yet not that which is according to quantity, but that which is earmbial, self-herothen, hasing the form of unity, and converted to itedf. Xior let the preseme of these ration in all the parts be com-
 matmal, and cutirely fall shont of the immaterial and pure esenere of the prechical reanoms. Kor het any ond asmbitate the berementioned parts to the




 gie homblaries. Henere it in neresary to mepmed the primmatial principhe of the
 remally preexist in real heings; to whech als, the Deminusna loohing, divides the soml. For as he divided this umberae ly intelligitle paradizms, thas abo he sparates the essence of the somb hy the most beatiful homudaries, animitating It to the more ancient and primordal camses. The mode therefore of derision, is im-
 multitude in it, collectire throush harmeny into one order, and connectize of divided parts; at one and the same that heiner the canco of the mmingled phrity of the maltitude in the sonl, and producing a commmion of reasoms comoreming to the same esonere.

And the Deminerns, inderd, appars to comame the whole hy dividing it into parts. For thas also in a certain re-pect Timaths sass, "that he consumed the whole from which le cut off thesc parts." This, hewerer, is mot the case, hut it is nocessary to preserve both impartihe, and that the whobeness remaining imparti-

[^58]We, the disisinn into multitude should be effected. For if we assume one of these only, I mean the seetion into parts, we whall make the sonl to be partible alone. The ahble therefore is distributed into parts, aml at the same time the whole remains. Again, the impartihb of the sond fyally participates of the impartihe and the partible. For it is well sadd, as we haw before observed, by the demoniacal Aristotle, that there is something imparthle in partible matures, iblich is connective of them; so that it is much more necessary, that something impartible should romain, in thinse which have not only a partible, but also an impartible nature. For if this did not remain, that which consists of both wombl be alone partible. It is evilent however, that it is necessary the whole should remain in the generation of the parts, it the Demiurgus is an efermally produciner cause. But be constituted the soml whe whole, prior to the division of it. For he did not ubliterate it in producingsomothing elic. But he always produces every thing, and eternally, and camses that which is protuced to remain what it is. Hence the wholeness is not destroyed, when the parts are constituted, lut it remains, and precedes the parts. For he dia not produce the parts prior to the whole, and afterwards gemerate the whole from these, but vice versa. The esence the efore of the soml is at one and the same time a whole, and has parts, and is one and multitude. Aud such is the disision of the sond which Thames assmes.

The mode, however, of moldine it, should aceord with the eswence of the soul, beine liberated from visibte, but elevatine itself to essential and immaterial harmony, and transfermer from imates to paradigms. For the symphony which thows into rhe ears, and which combist in sommds and pulsations, is wery different from that which is sital and intellectual. No one, therefore, should stop at the mathematical theory, but should excite himelf to a mode ' of survey adapted to the essence of the soul ; nor should he think that we onght to direct our attention to interval, or the differences of motions. For these are asmmed remotely, and are by no means adapted to the proposed subjects of investigation. But he should survey the assertions hy themselves, and consider how they afford an indication of the poychical middle, and look to the demiurgic providence as their end. In the first place theretore, if you are willing thus to survey, since wholeness is triple, one loing prior ${ }^{2}$ to parts, another consisting of parts, and another being in each of the parts, as we have fregurntly elsewhere demonstrated; - this being the case, Ulato has already delivered the wholeness of the soul which is prior to parts. For

[^59]he made it to be one whole prior' to all division into parts, and which as we have raid, remains what it is, withont beiner consmed in the production of the parts. For to be willing to diswolve that which is well harmonized is the province of an wil nature. But the dissolation is etlie eted ly comsuminer the whole into the parts. In what is now defivered however, he constitutes it a whole from parts, consmming the whote misture into the division of its esence, and thromin the harmony of the parts, rentering it a whole de nowo, and calleme it to be complete from all
 of the parts, disiding the whole soul into centan circles, and in rach of the circles inserting all the deanom, whinh he had alrady made manifint to us in what he had befere said. For he had sad, that in rath of the parts there are three li. e. same, ditherent, and essence|in the same momure as in the whole. Eiery part therefore, as well as the whole, is in a certain ropert at tratice whote. Ilane it is necosary that the sonl shonld hane three wholenesese, became it anmates the miserse, which is a whole of whotes, wath of which is a whole acoordime to the wholemes which is in a part. So that the soml anmatms the uniserere in a wofold ropert, hoth an it is a whole, and as comsistine of total parts, it refuires two wholdmese, amd tram-omb the dhene that are ammated, having somethins external to them, wats circularly to coner the universe, as 'imans says, as with "well. By the wholemes, therefore, which in prior to parts, the somb emtirely rus abave the miverere, but hy the romaining two connets the miverse and the parts it contans, thene atoo beiner wholes.

In the nest place, it mat be danowed, that Plato procediner from the bexinnine to the cme, premerne the monatie and at the same time dyadic nature of the noul. For the redores tar hyparsin of it to esance, same, and ditherent, and distributes the number of it aceording to atodold disision, he.wimmer frem ome part, into dughe and niphe mambers. He also surves Nhe moda or midelles, in oue of them comprehends the other two, and accorline to each of these
 aspuindtars and leimmas. In what lillans lihere ine, he divitus the cime le noth anto ino, and the one lizure of the and into two periods. Sud, in mort, he nowhere omit- the monadie and at the same time dyadic, and this with the ere:atest

${ }^{2}$ i. e. In the grometric midtle or poperthon, which comprehomb arnlumetical and harmonical
 as to produce goncetrical proportan, thea this proportion will comprelicad both that which is arith-
 Hat it may be $1: 2: 3: 6$, then $1, \because$ and 3 ate in arithucticat, and 2,3 amd 6 in harmodic, propurtion.
propriets. For the monadic alone pertains to intellect, on which account also intellect is impartible. But the dyadic pertains to body, whence in the generation of the corporeal-formed nature, Plato beran from the duad, fire and earth. and arransed two other genera of elements betwern these. The soul, however, beine a medimm inetwern intellect and body, is a monad and at the same time a duad. But the cause of this is, that in a certain rospect it equally participates of bound and intinity ; just as intellect indeed, is allied to bound, bit body rather pertains to intinity, on accoment of its soliject mattor, and divisibility ad infinitum. And if after this manmer, some refor the impartible and the partible to the monad and indedinite duat, they speak conformahly to thiners themselves; but if as mahing the soul to be number, in no respect difiering from monadic numbers, they are very far from asserting that which happens to the essence of the soul. The soul, therefore is a monad and at the same time a doad, adumbrating by the monadie, intellectmal hound, hint hy the dyadic, infinity ; or by the former, theine the image, indert, of the impartible, but hy the batter beine the paradiem of partible natures.

In addition to these things also, it is requisite to sursey, how a two-fold work of the Demiurens is here delivered. For he divides the soml into parts, harmonina the divided parts. and remders them conrordant wiht each other. But in eflecting the thens, he pmerizes at one and the same time Dionysiacally [i. e. Barchically amd Apolloniarally. For todivide, and produre whotes into parts, and to preside over the distribution of lorms, is Dionysiacal; but to perfert all things harmonieally, is Apolloniacal. A, the Deminerges, therefore, comprehends in himself the canse of both these fods, he both disides and harmonizes the soul. For the hothlomad is a mmine common to hoth these divinities, since theologists also say that Bacchos was divided into seven parts:

Into screll parts the Titans cut the boy.
And they refer the lieptat to $\lambda$ follo, as containiner all symphonies. For the duphe diapason lirst suhsists in the monad, duad, and tetrad, of which numbers the heblomad consists. Hence they rall the Giod Heblomagetes, or born on the screnth day, and assert that this day is sacred to him:

For on this day lalona bore ' the Ged Who wars a gemiden sword.
Just as the sisth day is sacred to Diana. This number, indred, in the same manner as the triad, is imparted to llar soml from superior canses; the latter from intelligible, but the former from intellecmal natures. And it is also imparted from these very divinities [Apollo amd Bacchus], in order that by a division into

[^60]seven parts, the soul may have a signature of the Dionysiacal series, and of the fabulous laceration of Bacchus. For it is necessary that it should participate of the Dionysiacal inteflect; and as Ophens says, that bearing the (iod on its head, it should be divided conformably to him. But it possessers harmony in the e parts, as a symbol of the Apollomiacal order. For in the lacerations of Bacelhes, it is Aprollo who collects and mites the distributed parts of Bacehns, accordiner to the will of the father [Jupiter]. In the er mombers athe, the three mithlles are comprehemed.' 'Thene therefore lnime ther, admmhater not only in the nowl but every where, the three damitere of 'lhemis. And the geometrice midhe, inderd, is the imang of Emmoma.' Honce Plate in the Lan - says, that she adome polties,
 mant of Jupiter, aturning the maverac, and comprehomline the tran politicat science. Bat the hatmonie middle is an image of Dice or Justace, distributmg a greatur ratio to greatter, but a less to lesomer terms. This hewerer is the worh

 quantity, and mahesprophe at prace with perphe. For the solid analery li.e. the triplicate propertionf prior to these, is satered to the ir mother Themis, who comprehend, the powars of all of them. A And thas much universally concerming these three mididtes.
'Thene three middles, hownor, may be said in 14 waty adapted to what han heren before olsarsed, to be the sources of mion and commesion to the soul, or in other nords, to be mions, analonins, and bomds. Hence aloo 'limens donominatess them bombs. For prior to thix, lie had said, hat the weometric midthe is the

 are the mions of the things that are hemmet, that which follews is mident. Hernee


[^61]wholes, as they are allotted a power of binding torether things of a varions nature. As however they are three, the reometrie middle binds esery thing that is essential in souls. Far essence is one reason, procerding throuyh all things, abd connecting first, middle, and last natures, just as in the Eeometric middle, one and the same ratio, pervales pertertly thrond the thre trmos [of which the prepurtion consists]. But the harmonic middle commerts all the divided sameness of somb, imparting to the extremes a commumion of reasons, and a kindred conjunction. And sameness, indeed, is seen in a greater derere in more total, but in a less degree in more partial natures. And the arithmeticalmiddle hindsthe all-uarionsdiversity of the pronression of the soul, and is less inheront in things which are greater, but more in such as are less, according to order. For diffirence has dominion in more partial, just as samenese has in more total and more excellent matures. And these two middles have something hy which they commmicate with each other, in the same manner as sameness and difference. Avesomer also is the monad of the latter, so the geometrical midlle is the monat of the former. 'The peometric middle, therefore, is the minn withe essences ill all the 34 terms ; the harmonie of the equally nomerons samenesses ; and the arithonctical, of the diflerences.' Irnce all these extend thromalt all the terms, or how conld a curtain while be produced from them, unles they were as moll as powihle mited to each other! Desentially inderd, by the reometric middle, but in another and another way hy the remaininer two. On this accomt also the arihmetical ame hammone middles become the consummation of the geometric middle, in the same manner as sameness and diflerence, contribute to the perfection of essence. Fur herame the arithmetical and harmonic: middles subist opmeitely with referenoe to each other, the geometric middle comects and, is it were, wates torether their dissention. For the hamonnic middle inded, distributes as we have said, errater ratios to greater terms, and hess ratios to less terms; since it evinces that thines which are essentially freater and more tutal, are also more comprehensive in power than such as are of an inferior nature. But vier versa, the arithometical middle, distributes less ratios to areater terms, but greator ratios to bess torms. For difference prevails more in inferior natures, just as samemess on the contrary, has greater authonty in superior than in inferior hatures. And the erometrie middle extends the same ratio to all the terms ; impartiner by illmmination mion to first, middle, and last natures, thromst the presence of e-senee to all thines.

The Deminerge therefore imparts three comective unions to the sonl, which Plato denominates middles, as binding together the middle order of wholes.

[^62]And of these, the geometric middle collects the multitude of essences, and causes essential progressions to be one : for one ratio is the image of union. But the harmonic middle, hinds total samenesses, and the hyparses of them into one commmion. And the arithmetical middle, conjoins tirst, middle, and last differences. For, in short, diflerence is the mother of numbers, as we !earn in the Parmenides. Thest there, however, viz. essence, sameness, and difference, are in each part of the soul, and it is reypisite to conjoin all of them to each other through a medium and colligative reanons.

In the next place, we say that the sonl is a plenitude of reasons, she beine more simple than semsibles, but more composite than intelligibles. Hence Timates assumes seven ratios in the soul, viz. the ration of cquality, multiplicity, suhmultiplicity, the suprpartioutar and superpartiont ratios, and the opposites of these, the subsuperparticular, and subsuperpartient, but not the ratios which are compounded from these. For there are adapted to corporeal reasons, since they are compesite and partible. The reanons in the soul, lowever, proced indeted into muhtude and partibility, yot tegether with multitule, they exhbit simplicity, and the uniform in congunction with a distribution into parts. Hence they are not allothed an hypostasis in the monad, and the impartible, in the same manner as intellect. For intullect is alone monadie amd imparthle. Nor dees the multitude of them proced into compoite reasons. And multiple ration indeed is in one way only partible, viz. according to the probeges or errater term: for the hypologos, or less term, is whont division, and is not prevented from beine unity. But the superparticular, is divisible in a twotold ri-pect, viz. acourding to the prolores and hypolousos; but is impartible acoording to diflerence. And the superpartient is partible, hoth accordine to the prologes and hypologes, and according to diflirence.' so that the first of these, is thisible in one way only, the second bifarionsly, and the third trifariounly. But equality is inpartible. The soul therefore constitutes the uniserse by theseratios; the eorpereal-formed mature indeed, by that which is trifirionsly partible; the nature of superficies hy

- Thus for instance, 32104 is a mulliple ratio, which itl is lonest terms, is that of S to 1 . But $s$ is divisible, and 1 accurately speahing is not. t'rochis, therefore, in what he mow says, peaks of multiple ratio when reduced lo its lonest terms.

2 Thus the superparticular ratio of 6104 , is in its lowest terms the ratio of 3 to: and both 3 and 2 are divisible. But this ratio accordng to the differcoce of the terms, is indivisible; for this differ. elle is 1.

- 'Thus for inslance, the superpartient ralio 3 to 3 , which is supertipartient, being in its lowest limeng, for this is the case wilh all superpartient ratios, is evidently partible, both accurding to the prologos and hypolugos: and it is also partible according to the difference of the terms. For this difference is $\because$.
that which is bifariously partible; every linear mature, by that which is partible in one way only; and by the impartible the impartibility which comprehends all thines. For there is something impartible in partible natures. These things, therefore, are truly asserted.

It is neressary however, to survey these after another manner; promising, that numbers which are more simple, and nearer to the monad, ousht to be conceived as more primary than those which are more composite. For llato airo, having arancred one part prior to all the rest, refers all of them to this, and fuds in terms which are epecially composite and solid. Having therefore premised this, I say that equality and the ratio of equality, has the relation of a monal to all ratios. And what the monad is in quantity perse, that the equal is in relative quantity. Hence conformably to this, the soul introduces a common measure to all things which subsist according to the same reasons; which measure likewise, brines with it one idea the image of sameness. But according to the submultiphe, and multiple ratio, it governs all the whole series of things, comectedly comprohending them, and exhibiting each total form of mundame natures freguently producod by ineffin all mmolane bemes. Thus, for instance, it produres the solar, and also the hmar form, in divine souls, in darmoniacal and human sonls, in irrational animals, in plants, and in stones themselves, and adorns the most miversal ienera by the more partial series. And according to the superparticular and subsuperparticular ratios, it adorns such things as are wholes in their participants, and which are participated according to one certain thing rontained in them. But according to the superpartient and subsuperpartirnt 'ratios, it adorns such things as are wholly participated by secondary natures, in conjunction with a division into multitude. For of animal indeed, man participates, and the whole of this form is in him, yet not alone, but the whole is in him according to one thine, viz. the human form; so that it is present to its participant with the whole, and one certain thing which is a part of it. But what are called common genera, participate of one remus, yet not of this alone, but together with this of many other genera also, which are parts, and not a part of that one genus. Thme, for instance, a mule participates of the species from which it has a co-mingled generation. Each species, therefore, either participates of one genus according to one, and thus imitates the superparticular ratio which contains the whole, and one part of the whole; or it participates that which is common and many things lesides, and thus imitates the superpartient ratio, which together with the whole possesses also many parts of the whole. And besides these there is no other participation of
species or forms. Looking also to these things, we may be able to assign the sperific cumses of those natures which subsist arcording to one form, as, for instance, of the sun, the moon, and man.; as likewise of those that subsist according to many forms, torether with that which is common. For there are many things of this himd in the earth, and in the sea; such as animals with a luman face and the extremities resembling those of a fish, and animats in the form of dragons, but with a heonine face; these hasing an essence mingled from many thinss. All there ratios therefore, are very properly antecedently comprehended in the sonl, as they detine all the participations of forms in the minerse. Nor ran there be any other ratios of commmion besides these, since all things recerve a specific distinction accordine to these.

Asain, therefore, the heldomad of ratios corresponds to the he bedomad of parts. And the sonl is wholly thronsh the whole of itself heledumatic, in its parts, in its ratios, and in its cireles. For if the demineric inteflect is a monad, but the sonl primarily proceds from intellect, it will have the ratio of the heldomad to it. Fur the hatheman is patarnat and mothorloss. And perhapre duality imparts to all the prohical ratios, a communion of the equal, in order that all may commo-

 wholl! procereding throngh the whole of the latter ; have that are imparthbe measuring thone that are more distributed into parts. 'The sumerparticular however, and sulanperpationlar ratios, indicate the diflerence acoording to which whole ratios do not commonioate with whole, bat have indeed a partial habitode, yet are conjoined acordine to one certain most principal part of themselses. And the sunprationt and solmperpartiont matios allord an indication of the las nature, acombine to which there is a cortan partible and multiplided commmion of the peschical ratios, on aceonnt of diminution and inforionity. For the morn elevated of these manons are united whotly to the whole of each other. Bat those of the middle ranh are conjoined, not throngh the whole of themetlos, but acourding to the hinhest part. And thone of the third rank, partibly coalesce accordiner to multitudes. I say, for instance, cosence commmicates with all the ratios, meanaring all their proseresions: for nothines in them is unessential. But' samemes, beiner itself : gemus, expecially collects the stmmits of them into one commonion. And diflerence particularly moanmes the ir divisions and progresions. The commonion, therefore, wh the peychical ratios, is every where exhbited. For it in cither all-jurfect, or alone sulsints according to the summits, or according to extemsions intomoltitude.

[^63]Farther still, in the neat place let us survey, how the seven parts' are allotted their hypestasis. 'The first part then, is most intellectmal and the smmmit of the semb, combining it to the one itself, and to the hyparsis of the irst essence. Hence also it is called ome, as havine the form of unity, and the nomber of it proreeding ${ }^{2}$ into multitude, is detained by mion. It is likewise analonens to the cance and centre of the sonl. For the sonl ahides arcording to this, and dores not depart from wholes. And the tetrat inderd, is in the first monads, on accoment of its stability, and rejoicing in equality and samoness. But the ogdoal is in the monads of the second orter, on arcoment of dimimution, and the providence of the sonl which extends as far as to the last of thines, and that which is most material.' And the triad is in the monads of the third order, on accomt of the circumduction to the all-perfert of the multitude which it contains. And at the same time, it is evident from these things as from images, that the summit of the soul, thourh it has the form of mity, yet is not purely one, but this abow is an united multitute. Just as the monad, is not imbeed without multitude, set at the same time is mity. But the one of the Gods is ome alone. Aud the one of intellect is more one than mattitule, thourh this aloo is multiphied. But the one of the soul is similarly one and multitude; just as the mity of the natures posterior to it, which are divisible about bobly, is mom multitude than one. Aul the one of bodies, is not simply one, but the phantasm and imase of mity. Hence the Elean gros, or stranger, says that every thine corporeal is broken in pieces, as haviner an adventitions mity, and never ceasing to be divided. But the second part multiplies the part pior to it, hy fenerative progressions, which the duad indicates, and mufolds all the progrexsions of essence. Hence it is said to be donble of the first, as imitating the indefinite duat, and the intellighble intinty. And the third part arain converts all the sond to its principle; and it is the third of it which is convolved to the principles. This, therefore, is mosured hy thi first part, as being filled with mion from it ; but is conjoined more partially to the second part. And on this account it is said to be triple of the tirst, but serpuialter of the secourl ; being half contained indeed by the second, as not has ins an equal power with it, but perfectly by the first. But arain, the fourth part, and besides this the fifth, evince that the sonl peculiarly presides over secondary natures. For these parts are the intellectual canses of the incorpurats which are divided abont hodies, as thry are planes and spuares; the former being the square of the second, and the latter of the third part. Aul the fourth part, indeed, is

[^64]the cause of progression and generation, but the fifth, of conversion and perfection. For both are planes; lout one is from the second part, subsistiner twice from it, and the other from the third part, thrice procecding from it.' And it seems, that the former of these plames, is imitative of the generative natures which are divisible about bodies; but that the latter is imitatise of intellectual conversions.' Forall knowledge convertsthat which knows the thiner hown; just ase ery nature wishe's to generate, and to make a progression to that which is inferior. And hes sish and seventh parts, contain in themselves, the primordial canses of bodies and solid masses: for thear mombers are solids. And the former of them, inderd, is from the second, but the latter from the third part. But l'tato in what he says conserting the last to the first parts, and the trminations of the soml to its simmit, places one part as octuphe, hat the other as twenty seven times the first. Aud this the cosence of the soul consists of seven parts ats abidingr, procording, and returning, and as the canse of the progression and regression of the prosences divisible about bodies, and of bodies themselves. If, also, you are willines so to speak, Irecause the soml is allotted an hypostasis between impartibles and partibles, she imitates the formur throngh the triad of the terms, but anteredently assumes the lather from the tetad. But the whole of her consints of all the torms, treanse the whele of her is the centre of wholes. It is ponible also to diside these parts according to the duple order, if yon asmate the smmat of the soul, amb consider the promanney, progression, and rerression 4 of it, and abo the combersion to it of things prosimatdy posterior to it, and the last subjection of solids, or rather the dimintion of the canse of them, aecording to the dhple ratio. For you will find that the whole of this co-ordination pertains to the prolifie daad. Bat arain the rewresion of itself to itself, and of the matures proximately posterior to it, and of these that rank in the third deceree from it, to the uniform and collective essence of wholes, subsist accordiner to the triple order. 'The arithmetical therefore and harmonie midfles, give completion to these intervals, which are cesential, and surveyed accordiner to existance itself; some of them as we have said, binding their sameneses, but others their differemes.

Farther still yon may aloo say, in a way more proximate to the things thema hers, that the suml aceording to one part is united to the natures prior to it, and

[^65]this part is the summit of the soul; but according to the duple and triple part, it proceeds from intelleet, and returns to it;' and according to the double of the double, and the triple of the triple, it proceeds from itself, and arain returns to itself, and thomath itolf as a modimm, the principhes of itsolf. For through beiner filled from these principhes it is prolific ${ }^{2}$ of secondary matures. And as indeed, the progresion from itself, is suspended from the natures prior to itself, thas abo the comersion or ragession to itself' is suspended from the resression of the beinge that are prior to it. But the lant parts, aceording to which it comstitutes the natures powerior to iterlf, are refered to the first part; in order that a circle without a brequing may he unfolded to the view, the emd lexine conjoined to the beximinir, and that the maverse may become amimated, and at the same time endued with intellect, the sold nmmers being co-arranged with the lirst part. Moreowr, he says that from these middles, sesiguialter and sespuitertian ratios, and alsosequioctaves, become apparent. What else, therefore, does he intend to indicate by these thines, than the more partal ditlerence of the psychical ratios? And the serguialter ratios indeed present us witin an inage of partible communion, hut according to the first of the parts. The serpuitertian ratios, of partible commumion aceordiug to the middle terms. Aud the sesquioctaves of this commmion, accordiner to the last terms. Hence abs, the middles or proportions are conjoined to each other, accordiner to the serpuioctave ratio. For as beiner survered according to "pposite semera, they have the smallest communion; but they are apmopriately conjoined to both extremes.

Timens also adds, that all the sespuitertian ratios are filled by the intertal of the sesquioctave, in conjmation with the lemma; indicating that the terminations of all these ration, coul in more partial hypostaves, in consequence of the soul comprehendine the causes of the last and perfectly partible essences in the world, and pre-entablishing in itself, the principles of the ordor and harmony of them, accordiner to the demingic will. The soul therefore, poseseses the principles of harmonious progression and regression, and of the division into things lirst, middle and last ; and is one intellectual reason or ratio, receiving its completion from all ratios. And again, that all the hamony of the soul, consists of a quadruple diapason, diapente and tone, is consonant to these things. For since

[^66]there is harmony in the world, and also in intellect and in soul, on which account Timaris says, that the sonl partiejpates of harmony, and is harmony,--hence the world participates of harmony decadically, bat the soul tetradially, and hamony pre-exists in intellect monatically. Ame as the monad is the canse of the tetrad, bint the tetrad of the thecad, thas also, the intellectual harmony, is the supplier of the psychical, and the perchical of semible hammen. Hence 'Timatns ronceived that the quadruple diapason, is adapted to the harmony of the soul. For the soul is the proximate paradigm of the harmony in the semsible world. Siner howerer, five tigures and tive centres in the universe, give completion to the whole, the harmony diapente also, impart- th the world the symphony which is in its parts. Since, likewise, the universe is divided into nime prarts, the serpuioctave prodnces the commmion of the sonl with the world. And here you may see that the soul comprehomely the world, and mates it tobe athole, aceording to canse, ${ }^{2}$ as ome, as comsinting of four, amel also of fise parts, amd as divided into nine parts, harmonising and canally comprelnodine the whole of it. For the monad, the tetrad, the pentad, and the enneal, precure for us the whole nmmer, according to which all the parts of the world are divided. Hence the ancients asert that the Mases and Apollo Masaretes, preside ower the universe; the latter supplying the one mion of all its harmony ; but the former commecting the divided progreswon of thin harmony, and rendermer their momber concordant with the eight Syrens mentionel in the Republice. Thus therefore, in the middle of the monat and the emmed, the minorere is atorned tetratically and pentadicall! ; tradically indeed, accordiner to the fone ideas of amimals, wheh the paradigen comprehends; but pentadicatly, acordiner to the five figures, throngh which the Demingras distributed all thing, himedf, a Timens says, introelacing the fifth idea, and armanger this harmonically in the maverae.

Again therefore from the beximmer, we may say, that the Itominrens having twofold powers, the athe bedier eflictive of samemest, as we learn in the Parmenides, but the wther, of ditherenee ; he hoth disides and binds the sonl. He is also the fimal ramse of these, in order that the som may become the midelle of wholes, bethr simblarly mitod and divided, two himer exi-tine prior to it, divine natores as unties, and beines as thines mited; and two aloo beiner posterior to

[^67]it, viz. those which are divided in conjunction with others.' and those which are in every respect partible, or if yon are willing prior to the former, the one itself. but posterior to the batter, matter itedif. iBut the elficiont camses of these divisions and bonds, are same and differot, which are the pecoliaritios of the demingeic order. And the paradismatic canses are the sections and bouds of the tather. For ha' first cuts, and hinds with ineflable bonds. Thrse thiners also, ure oliscurcly indicatel lyy thenturists when they speak of the Saturnian sections and bouls with which the maker of the muirerse is sabel to survound himself; and which are mentioned by Suerates in the Cratyhs. But the formal camses of the divisions inded, are the numbers. For accoming to these the parts are distinguished. But of the bonds, the midhers, and the ratios which wive completion to these, are the formal canses. For it is imposible to survey comeanses which have the relation of matter, in sonls which are incorporeal.]' These things being premised, it is evident that the Deminerns morsiziner with twofold powers, viz. with such as are of a dividing, and such as are of a hinding nature, he divides the triformed essence of the sonl, and the threefohd mixture, the whole remaininer that which it is, by the primordial canses of all division, and makes the whole of it to consist of seron parts, and seven members, comprehemded in intellectaal bomblaries. For since the Demiurgus constituted thesoul as the medimm between an impartible essence, and that essence which is disisible about bodies; lut the impartible resence is triphe, abiding, proceeding, and returning: - he pre-retahlished the similitude of this in three parts. And he admbrated indeed, the promanency of this essenee loy the first part ; hut the prouresion of it hy the second: on which account, promape, it is said to be demble of the first part. For every thiner whiel procerels, has permanoncy pre-existent to it- prouression. And he adumbrated its repression by the third part. Hence this part is triple of the first. For every thing which returns, has proceeded and been promament.

Since, however, the soul produces the essence posterior to itsilf, it contains the total' essences of it in itself; the whole of the incorporeal essence indeed, but which is inseparable from bodies, according to the fourth and fifth part; but the

[^68]Whole of the corporeal essence, accordine to the solid numbers, viz. the sixth and seventh part. Or [it may te siald] that the soml being selfembsistent, and selfenergetic, prodnces itself and comorts itself to its primeiphe, weordine to the square mumbers ; bint all the partible isweme peosteriur to itedf accordine to the cobes. These nevell pate therefore, beiner divided as we have said, into three and four, the one ratio of erometric analory, binds them exsemtatly; lant the harmonic middle, hinds them aceordiner to sameness; and the arithenetical according to difference. These parts howerem are itsorted between the sedmetric middle, and are said to erve completion to the domble and triple intervals; leranse all sameness and all difirence, are miformly comprelnembed ly casomee, und the harmony which sulsists accordiner to it. But from thene midilles, the moltitude of sespuiahter and sespuitertian ratios, and sompuctanes, becomes apparent; this multitude indeed, beind of a binding and comeretine mature, in the same mamer as the middles, but more partially. For these inderd, are certain ratios; but each of the middles comsints of many ratios, whel nere either the same or diflerent. As analogy therefore, or preportion, is more comprehensine han rutio, thas atho the abovementioned midlles, afford a grater camse to the soul, of connectedly containing the multitude which is in it, since they intollorenally provade' throuph the whole of it. Hence the sequialter, and senguitertian matios, urn ertain more partial bonds, and are comprethemded in the midilles; wot aceord nge therent habitudes of them with reference to the extromes; for this is mathematical; but according to cansal comprehension, and a more whal hypostasis.

Again, these bonds, contain the second and third progressions of the ratios; the sespuialter indeed, constringiner the hamony of the ration, throngh the five centres; but the sespuitertian exhbiting their power, thronsh the four elements which are every where and rembering all things intelligible and allied to each other; and the sespuinctaves co-harmonizine the disision into ! and B. Hence, as the world consists of eight parts, and also of nine, the ancirnts, at one time entublinh eight Syrens, and at :mother nime Moses, as presiding ower the umiverve, since the harmony of the whole of thing proceds from these. 'The sergnitertian therefore, and sogpiabler ratios, are mone total than the seoplumetaves, beeanse they are the suppliers di a more perfore symphons, amd anteredently comprehend in leas mumbers, the hatmonions sertion of the world. Here therefore, the divisions are separated from each other in their participants; bint in the incorporeal reasons

[^69]of the soul, the more tutal contain the more partial. Since, however, the sesquioctaves are the canses of the most partial symphony, that which is posterior whem is not modeservedly said to be impelled into the last ordor of the miverse. Nothat eren this is dissonant to the whole itself, since it rompels the partial ratisions from each of the elements, into the place unter the earth. For as the elements exist in many places, in the hearms, and in the subhuary region, this leimma collect the bast dregs of them there, and conjoins them to wholes; so that hoth together may give completion to the whole harmony of the maserse. In short, therefore, the soul is the rause 'of all the harmony in the contres, and in the elements of the universe. Hence akso we say, that the harmony of it is contirely intellectual and csometial, causally preceding sonsible harmony. And Timeros whisher to indicate this throurh imares, employs harmonic ratios, and pre-supposes in the soul some causes more comprehensive than others, and which subsist prior to avery form of the soul and to all its knowledge. Hence I think it is not proper to discuss any thing of this kind, so as to explain [in a merely mathematical way] either the parts, or the ratios, or the analogies; but it is requisite to survey all these essentially, accorting to the first division itself, and harmony of the soul. It is also neesesary to refer all things to the deminreic and intellectual canse; but to comprehend the sesquioctaves and leimmas in the sespgitertian and sesquialter ratios ; these in the middles ; and these in one of them which is the most principal of all ; and likewise to reduce the more partial to the more total canses, and survey them divided from them. And thus much concerning the harmonic ratios. But arain adduciug the text from the berinning, let us endeavour to discuss it more clearly.
" Ile first took one part from the whole. In the next place he took away the double of this. And after this, a third part, which was sesquialter indeed of the second, but triple of the first."

We have hefure ohserved, that it is not proper to understand what is here said hy Plato, mathematically, but physically, or philosophically. For the essence of the soul, does not consist of mathematical numhers and ratios, but all these numbers and ratios, adumbrate its truly' existing 'ssence, and the demiurgic and vivific divisions in it. But of what things the mathematical ratios are images,*

> - The words $\eta \dot{\psi} \mathbf{u x \eta}$ acra earr, are omitted in the original.
> ${ }^{2}$ For crenver here, it is necessary to read ensinwe.
> ${ }^{3}$ Instead of ourws in this place, it is requisite io read oures.
> - For ecoorws bere, it is necessary to read ecaoves.

Sim. Plat.
and how they develope the essence of the sonl of the universe, it is not easy for those to assign, "ho do not look to the conerptions of Plato. But this is manifeat from the dincord of the interpmenem: and the apponition of the motern to the



 place dhey arrange the momad. Others asain, refer the ons to the motions of the spheres. [Others, tu the marnitules of the stars. And whers alapt them to the
 nations. 'Their interpretatom however, are attended with many difliculties, and amones the rest with this, that they are dincordant with the whersations of recent astronomers, and tw the demonstations wiven by them. 'To which ahoo may he: added, that Plato no where defimes, either the mastitude, or the distance, or the swiftues, or the motion of dhe stars; but admittiner that one star is greater than atoother, he does not add how monch, and after what manmer, it is greater. And that the thing proposed by hinto be discussed in this pate is pyehogony, and not cosmorong. For thongh it is repuiste to eftect the same things, in a certain respect, in the soul, and in the world; set it is proper first ${ }^{2}$ to sursey the powers in the soul itaelf, and the reasons of the things which are eflected external to it. But their aphanation of the lerms or momber given by lato, is especially contrary to them. For in the terme, that which has the fifth order, is erreater than the sixth, as for instance, 9 than 8.1 In what they say, howerer, the fifth masnitule, or interaal, or whaterer they wish to call it, is ratirely less than the sivth. Their expositions, therefore, do not aceord with the Platonic terms.

Anter theses, there is another tibe of interpreters, who give a more important explanation of the pe particulars. For Amelms not alopeting the opinion,-which he satys was that of Plotimes, was delivered by him, in his unwritten or oral conferemes, and has bran sulliefontly confuted by those penterior to him, -endeat vonrs to explain here terms after another manmer. Fur since the sonl is comprehensive of all mundane nathris, as for instance of Gods, damons, and men; he says, that in one way it comprefends according to the monal, every mundane

[^70]grenus of Gods; nor must we wonder, if the sonl should be said to be comprehensive of Gools. 'This opinion, therefore, some one of those posterior to Amelins refer to him. For God is moltibarionsly predicated, since not only the superessential and intelloet are called Gods, but likewise divine souls, and divine bodies. He is therefore of opinion, that the soul of the miveres comprehends according to its unity the divine number; lint according to its duad and triad, the dammaiacal senus. For since demons are suspended from the Gods, and preside over as, this sonl, according to the duad, excites the providence of them; lint perfects, accordiner to the triad, the consersion of them to the Gods. For, as we have said, the habitude of them is twofold, becanse they are between the Gods: and us. But accordiner to the tetrad and embad, it proridentially attends to all human life. For this also is twofold, beine divided into the better and the worse. And by the emmead indeed, it adorns the more excellent, but by the tetrad arranses the subordinate life. Iastly, by the octad and the number twenty-seven, it procerds to every thing, and as far as to the lant of things, and perfects tame ammals by the odd, lut savage animals liy the erm momber. For it arlorns each thing by appropriate powers; subordinate natores, every where ly ewmombre, but more venerable and powerful natures, and which in a greater degree are allied to the Gouls, by odd mombers.

Porpleyry, however, after a certain admirable manner, (thoneh these things have been betore related) evinces by many aramonts, that the somb is harmonized, and that it fills the whole world with harmeny. But he infers this from the sont beiner a multitude. Bring lowever a maltitude, it is cither withont arransement, or is harmonized. But it is true to aseert the lattor of it, and mot the former. loor heiner the fanrication of intellect, how can it be inortinate, amd mbarmonized? He also infers it from this, that the sonl conducts every thing in the world by harmonic reasous, and refers the generation "f amimals, and the one co-ordimation of them, to the miserse. What, however, these reasons are, which are sam to subsist in the wry hypostasis of the somb, he mither teaches, nor think them deserving of attention; but says that the essence of the soul possesses in itselt hamonic reasons, not as the imases of some things, and the primeiples of others, but as binding toretiser the moltitude of powers it contains. Jor if it is in reality not only inpartible, but also partible, it is not only necessary that its essence should be one, but likewise that it should be multiturlinons. But if multitudinons it must either be innmmerable, or mumerable. It is impossible, howeser, that it should be innomerable. For an innumerable multitude is inordinate. It is therefore numerable. But if mumerable, it either consists of mharmonized or of harmonized parts. It is however impossible that it should consist of unhar-
monized parts: for it has not any thing of this kind naturally. It therefore entirely consists of harmonized parts; but if of harmonized parts it must necessarily subst accordiner to the most excellent harmony, since it is the firs of things harmonized. The most excellent harmony however, is that which is according to the diatonic gemos : for this is worable, ahmatant, and grand. Hence, the sont is entirely harmonized conformally to this; so that its escence will consist of parts harmonized atcoording to the diatonic grnus. These things, however, being true, nothing perents the hamonic mation fom le ing at the sathe time inares of certain drime thand ; jus as the hody of his sonl is inded epherical, yet through its phericity, in satil to be an imitation of imtellert, and thene things accord with each wher. And thas far lomplyrs, who in what he leme sitys, athorels us an occasion of collectinge som thine the concornine the sonl.

But the divine Iamblichns colderath: dher number with all his pewner, as ex-
 catne of sthenes and union ; the duad, the supplier of proweresion and separation ; and the trial, the later of the beremenon of the thines that have proceeded. He also sals, that the terad is tmly all-hamonio, comtaminer all ratios in itself, atul mifoldine in itedi the meond oderly distribution of things: and that the
 pertert manbers, and participating of the mature of samemess. But he calls the ogdoad, the ermer of misersal procresion, and of persadiner through all thimgs. Lavily, he sats, that the momber twenty-seven is eff fective of the regression of the lat of thines the the first, in order that on cach side of the tetrad, there may be permanency, progresion, and regression, there primarily. hat here secondarily. Fur the emmead has an allianere to the monad,
 and the number twenty-senen, to the triad, through a similar canse. 'Throngh the
 prosresions, and resessions, hat thromsh the latter it impart, these to mere compente natures. But the tetrad heing the midelle, becanse interel, it is a spare, has permaneney; but becanse it is exenlyeven, has progresion; and became it is filled with all ratios from the monat, has regresion. These, howeser, are symbol of disine and arcame thines.

Ater this explatation, which is thas atmirable, the philo-opher Theotorus, proctedins in a certain path perndiar to himedf, sats, that the soul is the third principle ather the ome principle of all things, one semb being fomtal, another unilersal, and another the sonl of this maverse. Ile aloa says, that the first of these is indisisible, the second divisible, and that the third possesses all-iarions divisions. Since therefore, there is a triple difference of soul, that which is soul itself
and is fontal, subsists between the impartible and partible essence; of which the former is universal intellect, but the latter is divisible into atoms. Hence the first soul is the medium between thees, as subsisting from both which are prior to it, and hecomine one whole from the three middle genera. But the second, and which is misorsal som, is divided into parts, and is harmonized. For the first soul, abilliue wholly in itself, a division is produced, which is a progression frem the soml that is a whole prior to parts, into the sonl that consists of parts. And the third soul is that which is fabricated throngh right lines and circles. For in this the division maidests a diminution from that soul which is a whole prior to parts. Hence he divides the whole of this discussion concerning the peychozony into three parts, conformably to the three souls that have been mrntioned; one subsisting according to the minerling, another according to the harmonizing, and another according to the forming enerery of intelleet. Having therefore, made this division of the peschormy and sonls, he considers the distribution into prats, and the seren terms, as alone pertaming to the unisersal soul. Refermer lihewise there ferms to the division of the misersal sonl, be thinks it necessary that colestial natures should be produced by this soul from the duple, but shbuary natures fom the triple order. For ler distributes apprepriate numbers to each of the elements, to eanth indeed 7, to fire 11, to water !, and to air 13. For the eremetrical. proportion, which is $1,2,4$ [and the sum of the termsof which is 7] pertain 6 earth ; perhaps moded on account of the name; and perhaps also, hecamse as earth contains the pemaining elements, so the erometric comprhends the other middes. But the arithmetical proportion which pertains to water, is 2. 3. 4; throurh 2 commmicatine with earth, and because likewise, it in epperially friendly to moltitude, and consists of an element which is the most multitudinems of all others, viz. the icosaedron. And the harmonical proportion, which pertains to air, is 3. 4. 6; becaue this commmicates with the arithmetical, accordine to two of its terms 3 and 4 , which are the greater in the former, bat the less terms in the latter. propertion. Simee, however, the hamonie middle is twofoll, com-intintr either in the duple ratio of the extremes, or in the triple ratio ; for Plato assumes it as the midtle of cither duple or triple torms;--liencer, making the extremes to be 3 and 6 , accordiner the the doulle of the extremes, [i. e. according to a duple ration lue obtainc the preculiar element of the air, vize the octaedron, which has 6 arcordine to the angles, but accoreling to the base of the two pyramids, and 3 accordiner to the superticies of the octinedrom, which is trigonic. But according to the other harmonic middle, which is 2. 3. 6, he obtains the element of tire; lecause these according to the two terms 3 and $;$ communieate with the terms prior to them [3.4.6], which are there the extremes, but are here the
greater terns; and moreover, because the element of fire has 3 sides, but twice the tetrad, in its angles and superficies, and a triangular base. Very properly, therefore, does 7 pertain to earth, 9 to water, 13 to air, but 11 to fire; the ratios being assumed in the above-mentioned numbers, from which they are produced. For 7 consists of 1. 2. and $4 ; 9$, of 2. 3. and 4; 13, of 3. 4. and 6 ; and 11, of 2. 3. and 6 ; each having two terms in common with the number next to it, just as the elemonts have two sides in common. From the composition however of these, a triphe order is effected. And of the middle terms of the proportions proximate to each other, the one is grater than the other, wheh is aho the case with the extremes, as is crident in earth and water, and in fire and air,' as is evident in the given terms. Again, he attributes the mamber 15 to celestial natures; the monad indeed, to the circle of same, but the domble hededomad to the circle of different, on account of the twofold circulation of rach, viz. of the spheres themselses, and the stars contained in them, which are seven, the spheres heing also seven. These things, however, which are mathematically asserted, briner with them a certain not inelegant theory. But how they are awimitated to the things which are now discusad, and how they may be l'y thagorically interpreted, in not at all noticed by Theodorus, in such a way as to be able to satisfy him who does not negligently attend to what Platos siss. All these partieulars are indeed degantly insented, but he refers the amalysis of the [latonic diagran to monadic numbers, not looking to the ration resultimer from them, so as to assmue every thing, viz. the middles, the sespuialter and sespuitertian ration, the sesplioctases aud lemmas; it being by no means pasoible to discoure these in the first numbers, which he employs in the above-mentioned distributions, into the elements and the heavens.

After these, therefore, let us survey amother mode of disenssion, which is adopted by our preceptor, is generative not of ome only, hut of many and admirable conceptions, amd which we also made use of lefore. He says then, that cach of these things may the considered in a twofold respert, in the whole soul of the unisere as one, according to mion, and in the many ratios, and in the multitude contained in it, according to division. For the sonl is both one and mattitude, one reason, and the number of all-varions forms, and imitatesthe deminreric wholeness, and the separation of the pewers of the father. In the first place, therefore, we must understand what it is according to the whole of itself, abiding, and pro-

[^71]ceeding in itself, and returning to itself. and also providentially attending in one way to the immaterial and pure forms of mundane natures, but in another to all borlies, and the partible resence. Understanding this likewise, we must say, that it abides according to the one or first part; but proceeds according to the second, the procression beiner conceived to lee divine, and not according to passion or inbecility; and that it returns according to the third part. For the perfective accedes to lecines from this. But being all-perfect, and established' in intelligihles, and ahiding clemally in intellect, it abo providentially attende to secondary natures. And in one way indeed, it providentially inspeets the matures that are proximately suspended from it, but in another, solid masses themselves. It likewise attends to each of these in a two-fold respect. For the natures which proximately participate of it proceed from, and return to it; proceeding indeed, according to the prolific power of the fourth part, but returning to their one cause, arcordiner to the re-elevatine power of the fifth part. We may also survey these solid numbers in corporeal masses; which proceed indeed, according to the octuple of the fint part, this mumber being dyadic and solid, and at one and the same time prolific, and capable of proceeding to all things; butthey return according to the mumber twenty-seren, this being a solid conversion, as triadic, and as pertaining to the nature of sameness. For such is the odd number. Hence, there are thre progressions, and three recressions, about the one and united permamency of the soul. Hence too, there are three even and three odd numbers, procerdine from the monad, and complicated with each other; because the soul itself alo procerds, and returns. And proceding inderd, it produres the first receptaches of itedi, and that which is primarily insested with lisure. Producing it ako, it imparts interval to it and bulk. But in its regression to itself, it causes it to be spherical, and on this accomnt camsing it to be a thing of this kind, it produces the world in it; effecting the progression of it according to the even * number, hat the regression according to the odd number, and both these cubically, becanse it is the generation and regression of solids. The progression therefore, and regression are triple ; the first being unfigured, the second accompanied with digure primarily, and the' third secondarily; but all these as in numbers.

In the next place, we must pursue the theory, conformably to the multitude which is in the soul, and say that the ratios in it are comprehensive of ratios.

[^72]And some of them indeed, are divided in it, after the manner of wholes, but others after the manner of parts.' And some are total, others generic, others specific, and others partial. 'The total incleed, are such as the ratios of the middles ; but the generic, are those which are fomm in the duptr, or triple terms ; and the specitic, are those which are moler these." For each of then has a multifarions subsistence. But the partial ratios are those of the sesequioctaves and leimmas, into which, as parts, all the sexpialter and sespuitertian ratios are divided. We must atso say, that the soul eontains the one union of the ratios, thromgh the essential monad ; but the disision of the ratios, through the prorression of the duad; and the comprehension of them, ' through the multiple terms. For these are comprehensive of the super-particular ratios, and have an essence more total than these ratios; just as the superpatioulars comprehend the serpuioctaves and lemmas; the former having the rilation of species, but the lattor being arranged in the order of parts. Far to mathe the erenererical proportion alone comprehensise of the other two midhes, in the first phace, canses the whole essence of the soul to be as it were demineric eremetry. Por elowhere, Plato calls this analogy the juldement of Jupiter. In the next place, it shows that these two middes, when surveyed with the geometric middle, and comprehended in it, diepose wholes in an orderly maner accordine to justice; lmt that when separated from it, ther are the causes of confinsion and ingutice. For a divalionton of the egual
 mach other, withont a certain gemmetrical equality. Hener the harmonic midalle is in want of the seometric analogy, in urder that it may brims ware her contraries Aleganty, just as llato did, in harmoniaing lire and earth, throngh the two dements [of air and water]. The arithmetical middle lihewist, romerazing together with this, possesses rectitude in its operations. For thus the und gual in mequal things is equal. D Distribntior therefore "quals to mequals, it preserves the peculiarity of itself, and exhibits distribution according to desert, which is the prerogative of the grometric middle.

Farther still, we must say, that the soul imitates the first principles of things.

[^73]Through the first part indeed, the one cause of wholes; through the duple progression, the biformed principles after the one; through the even and odd num bers, the male and fimale of the Gouls; through the triadic division of each, the intelligible triads; and through the hebdomad of terms, the unical and intellectual heblomad. 'Through the first part also the soul makes' the world to be a self-perfect Gorl; through the dyadic it exhihits the multitude and variety in it; through the division of even and odd numbers, it divides the whole of the male and femate, from the Gods themselves as far as to plants; according to the duad and triad indeed, the male and female in the Gools, but according to the tetrad and ennead, those which are in the genera superior to us; and according to the two cubes, [ 8 and 27] the mate and femate which proceed as far as to the terrestrial and ultimate s species of life. But through the heptad of terms, it connects and guards all things, provides for them intellectually, and orlerly disposes wholes in a becoming manner, stably and invariably. It likewise through this, adorns the parts of these, and the parts of the parts, and whatever there may be among the last of things, which is of itself irrational, and as it were the leimma of the fabrication of the world. Hence conformably to these conceptions, we may be able to interpret the several words of Plato, and looking to these we may dissolve many doubts. Let us therefore from the beginning refer the words of Plato suverally to these, aceording to the explications of our preceptor.

In the first place therefore, not to say that the Demiurgus inserted the parts in the soul, but that he took them away from it as being already' in it, and that having taken them away, he again gave completion to the essence of the soul from them, indicates to ns, that this misture is not as it were the sulbect of the soul, ner as the matter of it, or that it is similar to that which is invested with figure, and recefics this flgure from the artist; but that lowing a form, it is the plenitude of forms ; so that the indefiniteness and the matter, which are said by the more recent interpereters to be in inteligibles, lave no place in the present theory. Sccondly, to co-arrange all the other parts, viz. the terms, 2, 3, 4, 9, 8 and 27 with reference to the first part, ascribes the principal dirnity to the monad, and does not permit us to conceive it to be such a thing, as the multitude fancy the monad to be, viz. that which is the least in quantity, and having the relation of matter to number, but leads us to consider it as the ruling principle of all the essence of the soul, as the root of the powers contained in it, and the Vesta of the

[^74]number which gives completion to it. In the next place, does not the expression the double of the first part, accord with what has been said by us? For the double is entirely formed by the duad, and is infierior to the hyparxis which is characterized by unity. It also proceeds into multitude, being increased in quantity, but diminished in power. Such also is the mode of the psychical progression. For the soul abiding according to the mont divine part of itself, and as Orphens says, establishing its head in intellect, it proceeds from thence, according to the duad in itself, proceeding into itwelf, and enserating the multitude of rasons, and all-various forms which it contains. Having proceeled however, it asgain returns to its principhe, thus producing a cortain eosential eircle. Through this regression likewise, it is conjoined to the prolitic power in itadf, according to which it produced itself, and to the monad which cotablishes it, and cames it to be one. For the perfective and consertive power, is conjoined to both, viz. to the prolitic and to the one-mahing canse. And if it be reguisite to suat concisely, as life proceeds from being, but intellect is congoined to life, and to becing, thus also the progression of the soul, is from the prolific cause, hut its recression is to both canses; in a sespmiater manner inded to the cane which is [immediately] above it ; but triply to the canse which is beyond this. For it is fit that the convertie or regressive form should pmente the whele [of that to which it returns] and diminish division, which the sesquinter imparts. For the domble be ing vanguished through the whole of itself by the duad, the sespuiatuer is indend analosons to it, but diminishes the ratio of subjection.'

Farther atill, it is evident that the triple exhibits the third interval in that which returns from the abiding primeiple. To which may he added, that the triad beine the first monler, and primarily a whole, having a besimins, midde and end, is assimilated to the monad, whel comprehents all multitude mically. Through this therefore, Plato nlows, that the subject of the soul consinting of three wholes, is again triple. For he divides it into the abiding, proceeding, and returning, according to the division of the divine er onera. For in them also there is a triple genera, one of which abides, another proceeds, and another is converted, or returns to its prineiple. And one inderd is the cause of sameness, stable power, and essence; another, is the primary leader of emerations, progressions, and moltiplications; and another, is periective, and the camse of the elevation of secondary to primary natures. But through the words that follow, he shows how

[^75]the soul adorns secondary natures, according to the very essence of itself. Por prior to the soul imparting powers or enirgies, he demonstrates that it contains these ratios in iteelf, from which, and through which it governs, the first participants of itself, and such things as are adorned by it, according to a second separation from it; itself indeed, having wholly the relation' of a monad, but they imitating its : progressions and regressions. For the soul prior to them, proceeds indeed dyadically, hat is converted triadically. And the whole of it indeed that proceeds, is separated from that which abides: for it is separated doubly. But that which is converted, or returns, is separated by half.' For separation is the peculiarity of progression, but sameness and similitude of conversion. For that which returns, is made as it were that which abides, becoming all instead of one, and instead of a whole prior to parts, a whole consisting of parts. And it appears indeed to be the triphe of that which ahides; but is less than it in power, and comprehensive of the parts contained in it.
"Then a fourth part, double of the sccond, a fifth, triple of the third, a sixth, octuple of the first, and a seventh twenty-seren times the first."

The all-perfict essence itself of the soul, is comprehended throngh the abovementioned mumbers; of which one abides in the soul, another, proceeds from, and another, is comerted to it. Since, however, it is necessary to survey the parts of it, and the cans's of those things that are in it, these also l'lato copiously explains, delivering to us, the comprehending and comprehended ideas in it of all things. Through the fourth therefore, and the fifth parts, the soul comprehends the reasons of all its tirst participants. And it contains indeed, the progression of them through the fourth part, but their regression through the fifth.* [Hence Plato refers the fourth part to the second, but the finth to the third, because parts imitate wholes, and sub, ist amaiogously to them.? But through the sixth and seventh parts, it constitutes, as we have before observed, solid masses themselves; gene-

[^76]rating them indeed, according to the sixth part, but converting them according to the seventh part. For the total form of it, is terminated by the progression of these. And you may see how the numbers, and the order of the progression, are adapted to the soul. For the beerinning from the monad, gives to the soul a progression from intellect ; but the termination in these cubes, evinces the harmony of all the celestial orbs, for they proluce by their composition the celestial harmony. Each of them likewise is a harmony. For the Pythagoreans are accustomed to call a cube hamong, because it is the only figure that has equal ${ }^{2}$ angles, analogous to the sides and the superficies. And the seromb of the terms inderd, have a progression from, and a regresion to the monat. But arain, these remaining as wholes, the thirds of the terms are diviled into that which procects, and that which returns, amd are referred to the lerms prosimately placed abow them." And again, the fourth' of the terms, are wefered to the monad; because of the seven terms, the monad imitates that which abides; but the middle terms having the form of the dual," imitate that which procends; and the lant terms, that which returns. For both the lan turms are triatic. For the octuphe itanti, is in a certain respect triadic, as procecting into the third order. Wr have therefore the one wholeness of the sont in the mixture, the triple9 of it in the thirt, and the ymadruple of it in the fourth terms ; confermably to which aloo it fabricates whones arcordine to rach form of providuce. And in the wholemess indeed, we shalt find it comprchending the triphe form, but in the triphe the tetradic form, and always collecting parta into minm thromeh wholes.
"But after these things, he filled the double and triple intervals, still cutting off parts from thence [i. e. from the whole], and placed them between the intervals."

Theologits say, that in the Demiurgus there are dividing and connecting "

- For apposiwe here, it is necessary to read ovpariwe.
- Instrad of $\mu$ atis in this place, it is requisite toread coas.
- The Pythagorcans called a cube harmony, because it has 8 angles, 12 sides, or bounding lines, and 6 superficies; und $6,8,1:$ ate in harmonic proportion.
- i. e. 2 and $3 . \quad$ i. e. 4 and 9.
- Yor vacnethevous here, read vaepacafevons.

7 i. e.s and 27, ant lhese being cubes, are images of stability.
a as well as 4 may be said to have the form of the duad, in consequence of being a square number or the second power.

- For exiadour here, it is necessary to read tper ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ouv.
${ }^{s 0}$ Instead of ourrastuas in this place, it is necessary to read ourectuas.
powers, and that througl the former, he separates his kingdom from that of his father, but throurh the latter, suspons the whole of his falmication from the paternal monad. Theylikewise call eneryirs of this kind, sections and bonds. Accordiner to these powers therefore, the Demiurgis now separating the essence of the soul in demingeric bonds, is said to cut off parts from its wholeness, and again to bind the parts with certain bonds through the middles, thromgh which abo, be makes that which is diviled, to be connected, just as through the sections he camses that which is mited to be divided. Hence, that these things are conformable to theological principles, the words of llato manifest. Let us however see how they are so, by considering more minutely what he says. 'That the whole soul then, is with reference to itself, of the same essence, and consists of similar parts, and is as it were of the same colour, being wholly intellectual and intellectual reason, Plato manibists, liy makine both the wholes and the parts in it, from the same mixture. For the parts which are cut off from it, are cortain divided essences in it. 'That there is also a certain dirnity in it of first, midelle, and last forms, he evinces by adding the word still. For in those things, in which we see the same form more olscure, in these we empioy the word still; as we do in the celestial essence, in consequence of precciving a certain mutation about it, and also a similitude to divine bodies, preserved in it. In order therefore that we may not suppose there is the same disuity of all the reasons in the soul, Plato adds the word still, indicatiner by it that which we havementioned. For by how much the more inwardly we proced, and investigate the media of media, by so much the more shall we meet with more partial forms. For comprehended are more partial than comprehending natures; and the latter are more divine than the former. The world likewise imitating this, has indeed about generation a divine body, but about body the whole soul. All these before-mentioned sections therefore of the soul, both the generative (for these are the double intervals), and the perfective (for these are the triple intervals), are compreliensive of more partial reasons, throurh which the parts of the universe are adorned, as far as to the last of things.
"So that in each interval' there are two middles, one of which, exceeds and is exceeded by the same part of the extremes; but the other, by an equal number surpasses one extreme, and by an equal number is surpassed by the other." ${ }^{\prime}$

In these words it must be observed in the first place, that the two middles are

[^77]said by Plato to be comprehended in the geometric middle; and afterwards, that he places the harmonic prior to the arithmetical middle, as being superior to it. For thonerh the arithmetical midelle has the spontaneous, and the simple, and an alliance to sameness; for equality is a certain sameness; and lence Plato shows that in polities the arithnetical middle is effective of friendship, since it embraces the equal according to mumber, just as the harmonic is effective of justice, since it regrards distribution according to desert; and still farther, becanse the arithmetical middle is allotted quantity per se, but the harmonic, relative quantity; and the former is surveyed about the abobote quantity of the parts, but the latter, about the relative quantity ; for the third, or the fourth part, is the peculiarity of relative quantity;-thourth all this he the cane, yet the harmonic, as leeing nearer to the geometrie, is wery properly arramed before the arithmetical middle: For it affords sameness in the extremes, and distributes greater ratios to greater, but less to less terme; and through this, is more adapted to distribution according to desert. It must be said therefore, that the harmonic midhe binds torether all the poschical sameness, and imparts to celestial natures an indissoluble commonion; distributing to the greatar circles indeed, greater powers and motions, but to the less, les powers. For the comprehondine are moved switter than the eomprehended spheres. For the arithmetical midelle connects all the difference in the sonl, and imparts to sulhmary natures, a commmion with each other, according to an equal permutation. For amone there, one thing is not at all more acted upon W, than it acts upon, others. And this property of heing changed into carly other, is equally inherent in all the elements in generation, accoreline to arithmetical equality; which also imparts to more attemated natures, greater and swifter motions, but slower and less motions to such as eonsist of harger parts.
"But as sesquialter, sesquitertian, and sesquioctave intervals were produced from those bonds in the former intervals, he filled with the interval of the sesquioctave, all the sespuitertian parts."

That from the above-mentioned two middles, the harmonical and the arithmetical bonds being produced in the intervals of the donble and triple terms, the sesquitertian and sespuialter ratios appeariner in hose midelles, are cut by the interval of the seduioctave, is evident from what has been said, and will also be manifest, if yon direct your attention to the terms $6,8,12$, and 18 . $^{2}$ For in these, the double and

[^78]triple consist of sespuitertian and sespuialter ratios. But how will these thiness accord with what has bern before said? We reply they mast be ansmued consequently to them. For as the two midilles divide the miverse into two parts, so the sespuitortian and sestuialter ratios constitute a more partial order of things. For these ratios adorn things which exist as wholes, and yet are parts of parts. Each of them therefore is a whole according to the prologes, but a part accordine to the hypoloses, and a part of a part, accordine to the transcendency of the one with retirence to the other. And since in each of the spheres there are divine and damoniacal, and also partial genera of sonls, such as the grenera of ours, and the secondary divine genera wholly participate of the whole of those prior to them; but the demoniacal genera, though they participate of the whole of divine natures, yet it is accortline to one certain thing, on which arcount they are said to be more partial, not beiner able to receive all the peenliarities of them; but the more partial of damoniacal matures, at difterent times partiepate of a diflement pernliarity, and not always of the same characteristies; - hence, the sonl partibly contains the ration of therse. And it contains the multiple inteed aceordiner to the participation of the whole; but the superparticular, acoording to one part only, and that the most primeipal ; and the superpartient according to a commonion which is both mulfitudinous and partible of the participants with the things participated. Hence, throngh these, the dements and the colestial-pheres are adomed; participating inderd of the third wholeness, but at the same time being pats of the two sertions of die minerse ; sinee every wholeness has also parts in conjunction with itself. Diferent peculiaritics thercfore protan to a different sphere, and a different muber proceds with a different element. The sesquioctave ratio also giving completion to the sesquitertian and sespuialter ratios, generates these plonitutes of the total parts, and coharmonizes them with their proper wholenesses. You see therefore, that as in the mathematics, the geometric middle comprohends the remaining two middles, but they comprohemi the sesquitertian and sesquialter ratios, and these the sespuioctaves, -atter the same manner also, the soul according to the wholdonss of itself constitutes the whole world ; but according to its essential duad, divitles the universe into two parts, and produces the first parts of the universe, which are seconlarily wholes. According likewise to the canses of the third wholeness, it disposes in an orderly manner, and atorns the spheres; but according to numbers adapted to these parts, it fills them with appropriate forms and parts.

We may also speak as follows: This universe has indeed whole spheres; and
has likewise divine, dæmoniacal and psychical animals in each sphere. For there are distributions of partial souls about each of the spheres. But the harmonic ratios of the whole soul, harmonize the essences which are divisible about bodies, and bodies themselves. For by its very essence [it contains all these, and the ratios which comprehend them;"] just as fire, being calefactive by its very existence, is primarily hot. It contains therefore, the diatesaron harmony, through which it harmonizes the essences divisible about bodies of each of the spheres, and of the dis ine, demoniacal, and peychical mimals in each, and abso bodiss to each oher. Noris one thing casally comprebensive of another, nor do some things casmally follow others; lat damoniacal follow divine animals, and peychical, such as are damoniacal; and conformably to mature, secondary are always coadapted to primary essences. Hence the datessaron harmony is in each of the spheres. And the ratios inded of each p phere to the divine animals contained in it, and of these to damoniacal animals, will be truly senquioctaves, posessing a perfect interval; being at one and the same time primarily harmonious, and adapted to bodies. For the oydoad hasing a tripheinterval is corporeal-formed, just as the ennead is a plane. But each is allied to equality. And ahwayseccondary corporeal essences are suppuded from such as are primary, participating of them, and of the corporeal reanon which they contain.' But this the part manifets which is sait to the the righth of the thing participated. That however which remains, is the ratio of peychical to damoniacal animats, which has the robation of the lemma, is superpartiont, and is adapted to thirteen parts. For these have not one life, since they ancend and dosend, and partibly and anomalously enjoy the natures prior to them. And since the nomber twelve is aseribed to the [mmulane] Gods, and to the natures suprerior to us, thirteen is adapted to thow that do mot rank in the number of the Gods. If also of psychical animals themseltes, some pertain to undefiled souls, which P'lato is accustomed to call the souls of herocs; but others to gregarious souls, such as ours: if this be the case, the diapente' likewise will be in each of the spheres. And heroic souls indeed will preserve towards the demoniacal, the serquioctave ratio, through the mulefiled form of life; but the sonls of the multude will have towards them the ratio of the leimma. So that in each of the spheres, there will be sesquitertian, sespuialter, and sesquivetave ratios.

[^79]Or rather, it may he said, that the miners is divided quadruply, according to the four incas of its paralism, but that in each of the four parts, the se are all animals, the divine, the demoniacal, the heroical, and those of human sons, all which are harmonically co-armured with each other, and with reference to their proper whohones, whether it be the heavens, the air, the water, or the earth, the wholeness producing the diapento; but without this it may be said there is the diatessaron, in the for species of animal, a; Plato himself divides-siz. into the universe, ant the all-varions forms of animals. Ilene, through these things Plato delivers to us ratios comprehensive of ratios, and perfect according to one harmony; with which ratios being replete, the soul fills this visible world, rendering all hines effable and familiar with each other.
" I.eaving a part of each of them. And then again, the interval of this part being assumed, a comparison is from thence obtained of number to number, viz. of 2.56 to 243 ."

What his lemma is, what ratio it possesses, and how, being adapted to concord, it becomes harmonious when co-arrangel with the other ratios, is evident through the mathematics. But there is a thing of this hind in the miverse, as in a whole; the ration of the lemma heine in the last of the animals comprehended in it, independrolly of the before-mentioned demonstration, according to the quadruple section of the whole. For from each of the sphere w certain eflheions, and mingled dress of the elements proced into the subterranean regions; possessing indeed, much of the tumatuons, dark and material, but at the same time contributing to the whole constitution and harmony of the world. Plato, therefore, placing the cans of these in the whole soul, calls it a lemma, which is simificant of ultimate dimimbion. For since theologists arrange the powers of the highest Gods about that place, Jupiter adorning those parts, so as to render them adapted to the participation of such mighty divinities, what ought we to think about the soul of the miverse? Is it not that it most in a much greater deere e adorn every thing which appears to be disordered, and that it must possess the cane of the hypostasis of an inordinate nature, and arrange it in a becoming mannee, according to this came? How, likewise, could it govern the universe, or conduct all things conformably to intellect, unless it arranges that which is disorderly, and co-liarmonizes the last of things, with the one life of the world? But if also in the Demiurgus, the causes of these preexist, as Orpheus says, The distant realms of 'Tartarus obscure, Earth's utmost ends, his holy feet secure.
why should it be wonderful, that the whole soul possessing all such things appropriately in itself, as a divine intellect possesses demiurgically, should antccedently contain the cause of the last parts of the world, and of that which is as it were, the sediment of wholes? For the sonl comprehends the invisible prior to the vi-ible and sensible world. What the kimma is therefore, is throngh these things evident. Hence aiso the lemma, is both quadruple and single. And it is necessary that the soul should romprohend the reason of both, according to which the leimma subsists quadruply, and simply; since it is repuisite that this shonld contribute to the minerse, and to rach of its parts.

If also yon direct your attention to the mombers of the terms, you will perevive that these preserve a certam ahmiratle analogy. The prostersion, therefore, into a hundred binaries, manifests an all-jerfect dimimation and separation from canse. For in the som, it comprehends that which is most partial, and in the universe, that which is last, and most material. But the four and the five in the tens, suspend that which is last, and most material, from first matures, and co-arange them with their principhes. lour the tetrad is alloted a demineric, and word-producing quality. Bot the pentad recals every thing which has proceeded, to powers which have more of the nature of the one. Asain the triad and hexad in the units, impart perfection to these, thromgh conversion. But if also the ratio of 243 to $1: 3$ is suprpartient,' neither will this number be dissonant to the universe. For after the all-perfect progression of sensibles, and a diminution as far as to the arth, "hich is said to 1 m . the twelfoh part of the world, all that is under it, will lee the thirternth part of the wlule. And the representations of the elements, which proceed into the subterramean place, will be adapted to this nomber. 'That which is most material in the uniserse therefore, procoeds to the utmost extent, is adormed as it procecels, and retums when aderned. In each part likewise, of the mbiserse, there are the last diminished genera of perpetual natures, to which the number twelse is ascribed; and with great propriety, they have habitude, and commmion with each other, according to the thirteenth ratio, since the mumber twelle was dedicated by the ancients to the [mmone] Gods, and to the gemera that are always shopended from them. All these therefore, the soul mieally comprehends in the ratio of the leimma. In addition to these things lihewise, the enneat of the units, which three and six procure for us, indicates the end of the psychad ratios. For laginaing from the monad, they proceed to the ennead, and from monads to decads [i. e. fro:n units to tens], and from these to handreds. For all the progression of the soul is

[^80]triadic, and likewise, its evolution into light from the demiurgic canse, both according to essence, and according to harmony, as we have before observed. And thas we have shown what it is which the leimma manifests in the soul.

The whole psychoronic diagram howeser, has 34 , or 31 terms. And on this account, the mmber 36 is adapted to the soul, as being generated from the hexad proceeding into itself; the bexad being ascribed liy the ancients to the soul, becanse it is the first evenly-odd momber, just as the soul is the mediun between impartible and partible natures,' to the former of which the odd momber is allied, but to the latter, the esen; and also, because it is cirenlar, in the same manner as the pentad.' The pentad houecer, is the image of the intelisctual circle, as being masculine, but the herad of the psychical circle, as being feminine. If also you direct your attention to 3t, this number too, will be adapted to the soml; since intelfect is a monad, as being impartible, but the world is a dread, and the soul a tetrad. Hence, all number is said to proceed from the penetralia of the monad, as far as to the divine tetrad, which bronght forth the mother of all things, immutable and unwearied, and which is called' the sacred decad. But the tetrad has for its side the duad, and the domble of the sfinare of it added to $\boldsymbol{2}$, produces the abovementioned number: being an imitation of the soul, which is multiplied by itself, and together with its own prolific power. If likrwise 34 is composed from the second sestuinctave, viz. from 16 and 18 , it will happen, that as the sesquioctave is especially adapted to the sonl, so also is this second sespuioctave. For being a medimm hetween consonant and dissonant intervals, it is adapted to the middle nature of the sonl. And in short, the sespmioctave characterizes the diatonic qenns, according to which the whole soul is harmonized. But being assumed as the second, it will accord with the order of the soul, in which the genera of being, have entirely a secondary subsistence.

Farther still, in addition to these things, since the whole diagram contains a quadruple diapason, which is the most full of all symphonies, but the diapente once, and ends in one tone, it will possess the quadruple cause of the division of the world into four parts, procecding as we have said supernally, from animal itself, and the four ideas in it, throurh soul into the universe, and of the perfect harmony in each of the parts of the world; throngh which also, heaven is one world, concordant with itself celestially, and the earth has all things terrestrially, and

[^81]in a similar manner each of the elements between these. Since, however, the world is not only quadripartite, but has fise higures, possessing a lifth iflea torrether with the four, the soul very properly contains in itself, atter the puatrmple diapason, the symphony diapente ; the remaning section being that of the heawons into eight spheres, and of the whole wold into nine, over the former of which the Sy rens preside, mentioned in the Republic, and over the latter the whole of the Muses, unfer whom the Syrens subsint. Acrain therefore, a tone consentamomsls dose due whole diapram; and it is mot pessible to devise any other divisions than these which are deliseral to us by Plato, I me:m, the division into four, into fine, into ejght, and into nime parts, some of which are mentioned in this
 -hogonie diagran composed of all the abose-mentiond partienlars, I moan, a thore, the diapente, and the pharlmple diapasom, in ofler that jom may see these thronghant the whole world, as hating the power of the whole diagrant. All
 natures, which are wiphe siz, accordiner to ideas, accordine to digures, and accordinir to the pheres.

All the other sequiaiter alld seqpuioctase ratios therefore, were the bonds of more partial orders, compredneded ather in the fise pats of the uninerse, or in the divisions of it inter difht and nine; an, for instance, in the division of it into Goda, ditmons, semb, natures, amd borlies. Ame of donds, into those that preside orer ditherent parts of har world. For in cath part of it, there arr ditherent enters
 Amd in a smilar mamer with reppet to datmons, some are of this, hut others of


 common, of wholen. I say, fors intanor, that the mation of the ninth to the rixhth



 bitmion, or they are the common atits of all these ration, into the lant place of the



 shmed the whole of it. For mether Goul, nor matme, does any thing in vain.

The Deminrgus therefore did not constitute a mixture of parts either exceediner or deficient, but proflured it such as was sulficient to the wholeness itself of the seml. For the whole which is from parts, is neither more nor lese than its proper parts, but entirely derives its completion from appopriate parts. Hence the whole of that which is mingled, consists of harmonic ratios, and all this harmony is, in short, the essentiai paraligm of the harmony of the miserse, accordiner to all the divisions in the world. Moreoser, the whole mumber of the essential monads of the sonl is 1050.47, proceeding according to all the orders of mmimers. Deradically, indeed, in order that the sonl may become mundane: for the decad is the mamber of the world. But pentadically, in order that it inay he converted to itself: for the pentad is self-convertive. Enneadically, in order that it may not alone ' contain the unisurse monadically, but ako as proceeding to the lant of thiners from the monat. But tetralically, as collecting the quadripartile division into one. And hebdomically, as conserting all thines to the inonad. to which the helonomad is alone refered, being motherless and virile. And in the sonl of the world inderd, the above momber sultsiste totally; in divine souls, as having their energies elirected to that sonl, it subsists both totally and partially ; in damons, as enervizine still more partially, it exists vice versa, partially and totally ; and in hman souls, alone partially, and alone grostically. For thos all furms sulsist in these sonls, such for instance as the form of man, of demon and of Gad ; in order that thromy these they may know, that all things subsist in more excellent matures prometively, and at the sane time crostically. This misture therefore, is alone the mixture of the whole soul, and it subsists after the same manner in each disine sonl, and similarly in damoniacal souk, each of which has intellict placed above its proper essence, a peculiar vehicle, and a life destributed about this. If, however, in partial souk, it he rexpuisite to assume the peculiarity of rach, it is a medium between the impartible which is ahove, and the partilite which is posterior to them, or it is partly the one, and partly the other of these. And thongh we have clsewhere diseussed this largely, yet we shall paricularly insetigate it, when we come to explain what is said by Plato concerning the generation of partial souls.

[^82]" Having, therefore, cut all this doublec omposition according to length, so as to produce two from one, he adapted middle to middle, each to the other, "4 it were in the form of the letter X."

In the firat place, it in regpuixite to shaw mathematically of what kind the tigure of the sonl is, and thas, afterwarels, introdnee the theory of the things; in order that being led in a becoming mamer by the phantasy, we may render ourselven adapted to the scientifie apprethension of what is said. All the numbers therefure, must tex conceived to be descibed in one ruld, as these who are skilled in music are accustomed to do. And let the rule have the numbers according to the whole of its depth, and be divided according to its length. All the ratios therefore, will bee in each of the sections. For if the division was made according to breadd, it would be entirely necessary that sme of the numbers should be taken here, but others there. Since however, the section is according to length, but all the numbers are in all the leneth, there will be the same mumbers in cach of the parts. For it is evident, that it is not the same thing, to divide the length, and to divide according to the lowsth; sinee the latter sigaifios, that the section proceeds dirongh the whole lensth, but the fimer, that the leneth is divided.' Let the role, therefore, te thens divided according to ichusth,' and let the two lengtha bex aphlied to each other in the puints which bisect the hengethe, yet not so as to be at right anghes: for noither will the circles lne at right angles. Lat the two lengths lihenise ber so ineursated, that they may again be congoined at the eatremities. Two circles therefore, will be formad, of which one will be interior, but the other exterior, and they will the oblique to each other. One of these lihewise, is called the cirele of the same, but the other, the circle of the different. And the one indeed, subsists according to the equinoctial circle, but the other, according to the zodiac. For the whole circle of the defferent revolves about the zodiac, but that of the same about the munoctial. Hence, we conceive that the right lines ought not to be applied to each other at right angles, but like

[^83]

Then the lines drawil longitudinaly, will represcat the division of the rule according to legith.
the letter $\boldsymbol{X}$ as ${ }^{\prime}$ lato says, so as to canse the anghes to be equal only at the summit, but those on each side. and the successive angles, to be megual. For the equinoctial ribele docs not cut the zodiac at right angles. Such therefore, in short, is the mathematical discussion of the figure of the sonl.

Again however, surveying the thines themselves from the begiming, let us refer what is said by Plato, to the prschical essence. And, in the first place, we must observe, that contimed and discrete quantity are divided from each other, accordiner to the mathematical sciences, and are in a certain way opposed to each other, so that it is not possible for the discrete to be continned, or the continued to be disrete puantity. In the sonl however, hoth these concur, viz. union, and separation. For it is a monad and number, one reason, one multitude, and many things. Aud as lwing a whole indeed, it is contimed ; but as number, it is divided, according to the reasons which it contains. 'Throurh its continnity likewise, it is assimilated to the union of intelligibles, but throurh its multitude, to the reparation of them. And by ascending still higher than these, we shall find that accordine to its mion, it possesses an image and representation of the one, but accordiner to its disision, of the moltitude of the divine mumbers. Hence, it neither has an arithmetical essence alone ; for it would not lo continnous; nor alone a geometrical essener ; for it would not lee divided. But it must be said, that it is at one and the same time hoth the arithmetical, and the geometrical essence. So far howerer, as it is the arithmetical essence, it has also, at the same time, the larmonical essentially. For the multitute in it is harmonized, and it comprehends in sameness, hoth absolute and relative quanlity. But so far as it is the srometrical, it contains the spherical essence. For the cincles in it are loth immoreable and moved; immoveable inded esemtially, but moved according to a vital enerey. Or rather, it possesses both these at once; for they are self-motime. But the self-motive, is at one and the same time moved and immoveable. For it moves itself. But to move, or he the canse of motion, pertains to immoveable power. The soul therefore, comprehends esentially all sciences; qeometry indeed, according to its wholeness, its firne, and its lines; lont the arithmetical science, according to its multitude, and the essential monads in it, as we hare before shown. But it comprehends the harmonic science, according to the ratios of numbers; and the spheric scionce, according to its twofold circulations. In reality also, it is the essential, self-motive, intellectaal, and mited bond of the mathematios, comprohending all things unaccompanied with digure, and with undefiled purity. Hence it comprehenels fienoren unfiguredly, things neparated, onitedly, and withont interval things accompanied with interval. For these pertain to the rssence of the soul. And it is necessary to survey all things in it after this manuer.

Moreover, this likewise ought to be assumed from what has been said, that all secondary natures, are analogons to those that are prior to them, and that every where, the one precedes maltitude. For as the theory of the hyparsis of the sonl commenered from its csence, and that of its harmony from the ome pat, thes also the doctrine concerning its tigure, places the one Ienerth prion to the two. And as sameness and dinerence are from enconce, so the triple and duple ratio are from the monal, but from the one length, the cirele of the same, and the cirele of the different. As lihewise, the antecedents are to the antecedents, so are the
 viz. mence, harmony, and finm. And all thines are inded arry where, on account of the proclsical life, leinir as it were of one colour, and of similar parts. The same howeror, and the triphe, subsist in a ereater desree in the circle of the same, but the different, and the dople, in the circle of the wifferent. All the ratios likewine, are ensy where, but atter a ditlemet manmer in tirst and woomdary natures; in the former inded, intdledmally, totally, and mitedly; bot in the latter, doanstioally, distributisely, and partially. And thos much comerning theoc particulars.

Concerning this sertion howerer, and the two hengths and circlos, it is worth while to comider, what they mant be sad to be. Jor the disime lamblielas soars on high, and solicitomsly incestigates insisible natures, viz. har one sent, and the two somb that proced from it. For of every ordar an impaticipable monad is the leader, prior to the things participated, and there is a number appropriate to and connascent with imparticipahles. 'Tle duad also is from mity, in the same
 the peychorong fithriatud in words the one and stpermundane soul, from which the soul of the maisme and other somls are derined, now produces the duad from this. Jor the setion manifests the demingeie divi-ion, which proceeds in sameness and perfection, erendather the satme things acererdiner to second mumBers. Bat the division aceording to lemeth, exhibits to we the progresion supernally procordine from the Deminers. Thromph the e howerer, two sonls are gemerated, atter the one moll, rath of which has the same ratios, are comjoned te, and are in each other, amd are divided fomen ach other. And they lihewise preserve an mmingled purity, tomelher with mion with ach other. For they are mited to the ir own centres, amb this is the adaptation of middle to middle. But simeraloo, these souls are intellectual, and partiojpate of a disine intellect, the Deminerns prior to the generation of the miverer, bent them into a circle, and comprelsended them in a motion according to the same, and in the same, making them to be intellectual, imparting to them a divine intellect, and inserting
the dhat of sombs, in the intellectial duad, which transcends them essentially. [And thas far the divine Iamblichus.]

We therefore admit, that all this is well said so far as pertains to the theory of hhings: Sor these partionlars are prior to the world. Hence atso in mundane natures, there is the monad, atterwards the duad, and atterwards the heptad. For in the universe there is one soml, which is that of the universe. But after Hhis, Here are two somb, which divide the heaven into the circulation of the same and the dirulation of the defferent. And after thene, there are seven sonk, which distribite in an orderly manner the plantary opheres. We conceive it howorer, to be more comemelant with the words of Plato meither to understand what is now sait, as pertaininer to those super-mmatame souls, nor to the multitme of mumlane somls, lout as asserted of the soul itself of the universe. Plato therefore himself shortly atter, having spohen concerning all the divisions of the soul, says, " But when all the composition of the sond was protuced colformably to the intention of its composing arificer, after this he foshemed within it the whole of a corporal-formed Matire." In which words he thenominates the soml one, and this as no other than the soml of the miverse. For it is this -oml which eomprehends the whole of a corporat-formed nature. Hence the deminesic divisions, and the two-fold lines and cireldes, mut hassmed in this ${ }^{2}$ soml for in so doine we shall mot he in want of arsmems. Since therefore, it is the colloctor of all immoveabla and


 suborlinate beines. Branse howeror, these are cotirely sparated form each
 inderd, the two comjoining media are separated from each other ; but in incorporeal natmes it is one biomed esornce, whieh hinds together the extromes ; one part of which beins conjoined to intelligibles, is inteflectual, sriontife, shiming with divine windom, andergic, and comprehemding the canses of thines ; lat the wher part being promate to patible natures, is eflietive of difterence, comer into embact with smsibles, reans th the providential inspection of secombary matures, is athidial, and eomprehends such other things as are allied to these. All the ration howewr, are in earh of theor. For in this, the essence of the sond alitiers from the intellectual essence. For the later inded, is miform, and antece-

[^84]dently comprehends all forms monadically; but the former is dyadic, and contains the same reasons, dianoetically and doxastically; in one way indeed, in the circle of the same, but in another in the circle of the different. [And thus the soul imitates its canse.]' For she is both a monad and a drad, as with reference to the monad [Sathrn], the father of the indellectual Gods. And the sonl is a monad as with referener to the uniorere, but a duad, with reference to intellect. For in short divison itself and multiplication darive their subsistence from this Goldess [lthea]. The visite primeiple therefore, is the canse of progression, moltitute, and moltiplication. Hemee some philoophers, assimilatiner to the Geds thinere which are derived from them, thint tit to arrange intelleres according to the masculine, but somls according to the feminine gemes of the docts. For intellect is indisisible, and of the form of the odd number; but som is disisible and hiformed. And the former is analogoms to paternal, but the latter to prolific canses. And the one is allied to bomm, but the other to intinty. If likewise, I should le ashed hesw the soml is one, and how it is hiformed, I shonk say, that it is one inderd, asedf-motive; for this is common to all peychical life, and to the parts it contains; lout that it is bifurmed accordins to two-fold lises, viz. the life which in comberted to first matures, and the lite which providentially attend to seromblary natures. I shomblato aty, that accordine to the rsorntial it has one life: for silf-motion is the esornce of the soml. But according to same and diflierent, I should distingish its two-fold lises.

Why however, dad the Demiarens tirst constitute the soul rectilinearly, but afterwards circularly, and after what lomaner is a right lime adapted to the esonence of the sonl! 'To this we reply, that it is requinite to concetre the sunl as amalogons to a right lime. For as a right line proceeds withont cursature, and definitely from this to that point; for there in only one risht line between wo points; and as it is intinite in its own mature, so linewise the soml is erencrated an intinite power. Intelleet alio, like an indisisible point, is the leader of the somb, comprehending it indisisibly, and antecodently containing in an impartible manner the whole of its esonce. For the impartible is allied to intelled; but that which is primarily partible to soul. But a point and a line are things of this kind. Hence, a right line is rery properly ascribed to the sonl, and afterwards a circle, which we say, ane simple lines. But a point is ascribed to intellect. For from thence

[^85]N from a certain adytum, the reason of the soul presents itself to the view, unfoldmex the impartibility of intellect, amd annomeing its occolt and ineflable union. Intellect itsilf however, is timbly established in itself, understanding all things with a trampuil emerey ; beiner a point and a centre as with werence to the soul. For if the soul is a cirche, intellert is the centre, or' the power of the circle. But if the soul is a rimht line, intellect is a point, comprehending without intewal that which has interval, impartihly that which is partible, and centrically * the rimenlar form. Intellert itself howerer, is a cirele as with reference to the nature of the eroed, whon which' it on all sides comariges, throunh a desire of the ome : and of contatet with it.

Parther still, ather amother manner also we may say, that a line is adapted to the moul. Far intelloct indeed, thourh some one shonld give to it motion, yet it has this rumey intransitior. Por it surves at once the whole of the intelligible, havine an etormal life, and enersizing about the same thinss, in the same nature, and areording to the same. But soul possesses a transitiononery. For at different times, it applies iterli to dilierent forms. And this is true even of the sonf of the miserse. Jor, as Plato says in the Ihardros, it is the pervaliarity of soul to renergie throwh time. But mery tramitive motion is a line. For it has
 amothr thr the emb. So that in this reperet we meter a lime to the procheal life.






 what is said therefore, abont the misture of the somb, and abo in what is said con-
 Shows how it is oble and maty, what prowresions $1 t$ has, and what rexresions both to sumprior natures, and witself; low it proluces and comoris things pes-
 But in what he sass concernine the right line and cireles, be delivers the we the vital and intellectal pecnianty of the sonl, and indicates low it participatos of

[^86]the life in intellect, and how it is converted to itself, so far as it is self-vital and self-moved. For the right line manifests the prorression of the psychical life from more excellent natures; but the inflexion into a circle indicates intellectual circulation. Far the soul is alloted this powor, and also that which is productive of the life-in itself, from its father. Since however, the psychical life is twofold, the one being dianoetie, but the wher doxastie, two limes present themedves, and are bent into two circles.

In short therefore, the essence of the stoul, beine a whole and comsisting of parts, is hamonized mumber. But its life is rectiliumar, and is uniform and bi-
 life, atad intellect. Or rallare prior to the erastie, pereating that the vital powers are in themedves at one and the same time tamsition, and self-motive, we mast say, that the right line admothates the tratitise, but the cirele, the melfomotive nature of ther powers. For they are moned fom themathes to themedres. Hence Timaros delivering to us in what i, lare said, lle stal motion by it alf alone, ansumes the reftilinear, and the citentar motion, lom in what follows mafolds the
 of the whole of it monine itadi. If therrtere, we now admit that the right limes
 composition of the soul itself to berectintar, as persiner life by its very exist ence ;-if we admit this, then we ma-t say, that the circle manitest- what the duat
 retorming to ibself; and that it is not hhe dhe life of irrational matures, temener to exturnals as it were in a rishl lime, as merer heing able to commer to itself, and as hating an appetite directed to other thans phedededenally to itselt. For the
 ibself. Hence also, such a form of hit an this is circular. Por in a cirele, the same thing is the end and the leesimmes, in the same manmer as in that which is converted to, tereins trom, and emals in itwe. The risht line therefore and the circle of the soml, are whent interal ; the former beine the imade of life [simply? but the lather of life connotive to iterli, and not almolotely of all lite. Fur both these may be surveyed in souls; the risht lime inteed, accordine to the framsitons of appetites ; but the cirell acording to a ciremudnetion trom the same
 atre rarried romed in a cirele, rowhiner mader intedigibles as objerts of desire,
 the same object, to the same. Why therefore, shondd we any longer fear thost shilful Peripateties, who ask us, what hind of line l'ato here assmmes? Is it at
physial line? But this would be absurd: for this is the end of botlies. Is it then a mathematical line? But this is not self-motive, and is not essence. Plato however says,' that the soml is an esoence, ant is sparate from bodies. We say therefore, that they in wain mate the se inguries. For Ione before this, we have not erased aserting that this line is esential. And prior to us Xemocrates calls a line of this hind indisishle. For it wonld be ridicolons in any ons to think that there is an indivisihlo masnithle. It is evidont however, that Xenocrates thourht it repnisite to call the esomotial reason of a line an indivi-ihle ${ }^{2}$ lines. But Plato, for the sake of concetalment, employed mathematical names, as reils of the truth of things, in the same manner as theolusists employget fables, and the Pythagoreans symbols. For it is possible in imares to survey paradirms, and through the formor to pase to the latter. Aeramst such men however, as these Prepateties, who are contentious, no arguments are sufficiont. But let us return to the words of Plato, and direct our allomion tw each of them.

Since therefore, the soml in ome, is divided according to ite parts, and is both
 amt rompaition, a both: which ako show- that the csemere of it difli reboth from thins diverbe, and thines contimoms. Far theor are without commmion ' with


 dism, so that it intelledmally precives the impartible essencer throngla the cirche of the sume. but comains and ronnets the partible esseme, throush the circle of the diffreme; -lenee Ilato rall, it duble. But herame it has the same reasons or ratios, abeve and bemeath, and not as some fancy, the dhple ratios here, but the triphe there, on this accomnt, he delivers it to us divided according to length. For this divivion alome, preserses every where the same ratios. But the seresion itself ahibits theminesic stetion, which is appopriate to the Deminmens. For the duad is seated by him, and is rembent with intellectal sections, as some one of the Giods say." Moreover, the words "middle to middle" indicate perhaps, that the division and contact of thins intantible, are atapted to the paychical middle: for they subsist in a middle way. For in intrllent also there is division, because there is differonce, but it subsiste primarily, and as it wre occulty, and indivisibly. In sensibles likewise there is division, hut according to an ultimate distribution in-

[^87]to parts. Hence also the union in these is ohscure and evanescent. But in the soul both have a middle sulsintence, in a way adapted to it. And if inderd Plato had spoken concemine intellect and somb, he would have said, that the Deminergs applied the first to the middle, and if ahout bods and soul, that he applied the middle to the last. But since he tachowne concorning the pex chical duad, lue sily othat the Demiurgus applied middte to middte. Prohaps tow, he sats this, beature the contact of the soml is property of a middle nathere. Fon the tant part of the dianoctic. and the smmit of the denathic peower, form the media of all the per hieal com-



 And as Porphury retates, a chuructor of this himed, viz. N, surrounded by a circle'; is
 the right line- inderd, the hitiomed progreanem of the soul, but therengh the cir-

 through these thinse For the truth of real lwing ramber, as some fanc, be hown from characters, poitions, and woral emisions. But there are after an-
 figure and colour, are symbuls of this himb, as the inititumes iute mystorics say. For


 is a symber of a life which thow, from on hish. In order howeror, that we may


 figured nature of the coml.
"Afternards he bent them into a circle, connecting them both with themselves, and with each other, in such a manmer that their extremities might be combined in one, directly opposite to the point of their mutual intersection."

That what in said by Plato manifests throngh right lines the progression of

[^88]the sonl, and its prosidential atiention to altremotive natures, is I thuk evident from what has bern abrady ohsomed. And I also think it is proper to deliver the inflection into a cerele amalogots to these thinge For since rexressions are in contimity with pacerssions, recalliner [to the principhe] thites which have proceded from it, hener Mato says, that the ritht limes were lent into circles. And sime aloo, the sital natme of the soul is intellectual, and apocatastatic, and ceolves inthligibe multhote, hence it is restored to the same thing again. Became lihewie, it moves alter-motiw matures, the somb beine comerted to, and movine itwll, ace mbine to all ther particulars, ciroulation pertains to it. For the progresenn ofit is in contimity with its rexperon; since it is not imperfect. And the motion of alter-motive natures is smopenderl fom the seli-motive life. As the one of these also, is wot siburted, lat preftected be the other, after the same manner the composition of , he right line in not destroyed through the inflectinn into circle obnt the fomer remaning, the cireles are ernerated. For all
 the diserete, thes alsu the cirendar with the rectilinear. For it is neesesary that the riatit and the cirentar shonld remain, juse as the imparthle and the parthle subs sist lewilur in the soml. For the Deminesus mahes eternally, so that the thinges whed an ;emerated hy him, are entirely perpetal. Hence the risht and the circular line are smataneons in the soml, whaterer cach of them may be.

What the fore and the iwofold cirder, and how are they in the highest dearee adapted to the som! If then the esornee of the sonl procceding from intellect, Was such as to be dutirely dilherent fom the intellectal pecularity, the circular form would not be adapted to it. But since it is intellectual according to participation, and an evolved and biformed intellect, on accombt of its intellectar nature, it is a circle mbisured, withont magnimde, and solf-motive; but on accomt of its dyadic' nature, it is a twotold circle. For its procression also, in the same mamer as ite reqression, is twofold, and its reason is twofold; so that its intellectual participation is twofold according to its lises. Since however, these lives are combined according to their tirst progression, but in proceeding are disided from bach other, hat after the progression are arain converted to their primeiples, hence they are arain comjoined according to the peculiarity itself of regresion; the first adaptation of the lines manifesting permancncy; but their separation from each other by division, their progression; and their inflection," their regression arain to the mame thing. For the more divine life which subsists

[^89]according to regression, conjoins the end of itself with the begiming; and the more suborlinate life converts the progression of itsolf to that which abides. Hence it converts this prorrewion to the one intellect both of itself, and of the more eacellent life. The conjunction, therefore, of the two lives is there the contact of the one, being in an opposite direction to that of the other ; becanse the union of the one is accordine to progranion, hat of the other, according to regression, and rearession is contrary to promerosons for the latter pertains th the nature of samemes, but the former to that of difliernce. The lather also imparts
 opinion mast not le admitted, who contend that tiae fixure of the soul truly consists of two circles. For if the eirches are without breadth, how is it powible to cut one of them, smee it has no latitule? But if they are certain rins-, how ean the sonl if it consist of these, le every way mended from the midelle to the extrent heaven? For atter what manner can rime-be extembed thromath the whole


 ing it, as is evident in the rimes of pheres. And if they are circles, lhes must
 from the middle as far as the the extremitice of the world. It in meresary there fore to conceive this vivitie hisure of the soul to be mitioned, and withont interal, unkess we intend to fill ourshes and alow the theory of Plate with much abourdity, such as that which is moticed by Ariwothe, who on the supposition that the soul is a magnitude, demomatathes that as such it is alome partila, lat by no means impartible, hough its estenee, toperther with parthilit!, has also imparthility. But whether it is a cirche, or a rime, it will alone have a partihle, and by no means an imprartible nature.
" He likewise comprehended them on all sides in that motion which is convolved according to the same and in the same."

The mode of conception of the elinine Iamblichus in what is here said, is truly divine, and firmly adheres to the meaning of Plato: since he does not, like the interpreters prior to him, think that the motion which is convolved aceording to

[^90]




 w. Wi l'at. We must therefore conceive the motion which is convolved are cuabla. Whe same, amd in the same, to be intellectual. For this comprehends the sumb in the same manmer as the soml circularly eovers the heaven as with a

 time, but the lather hiformed. 'The former aloo is ome and indivibible, bat the latter disides amb multiplies itrelf. The soml, however, partiopates of intellect
 the sonl of the uminers participatine of intellect, ascems to the imblisible. It

 since it comprofruls them. Wremut say, therefore, rither that this motion pertains lu a participated intellect, which is proximately satod abore the sont, or that it shombl he the mation of the Deminmers of the soml. The latter howewer is imposithe. Hence it must he admited that this is the motion of a participated intellect. But hat the motion which is comoterd accordiner to the same, and in the same, beine intellectal, and ahowe the romb, is ant the motion of the Demiuryns, may he leamt by com-idering, that he made the mivere to be endurd with intelle et, not wivine hinall to the mivers, in the same mamer as the sonl, but impartine to it another participated intelic it, whioh we hane be fore demonstrated


 with sonl, lering sparate from it. Plan thereme sits, in what follows, of all
 into any other thins. But his heing trae, the Demingres will not phace himedf in soul : and before he constitutes soul, sulsintine by himerli, he will sernerate another being, whid' when he hat gemerated somb, he paced in the soul. If, however, this he true, the motion of that intellect, which is circumvolved according to the
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same, and in the same, will comprehend these psychical circles, and not the motion of him who constituted both this intellect and soul, and who shortly after is said to abide in his accustomed manne rexempt and segrarate from the minerse. The mundane intellect therefore, is the intellect of which we are speating, the life of which comprehends the pyehieal lines, via. the uniform life compreluends the hiformed lives, and the etemal lite the lises which are not eternally moved. Hence the whole sonl procerds ather this mammer, theins a monad and a duad, and as is evident from what han been sath, without diflimence with respect to itselt. That which remamis therefore, Plate delivers in what fullows, viz. how from the deminge divisions, one of the circher contains in itself that which is more divinte, but the wher, that whith is mone subordmate. For we hase assmed these things for the sate of perypienits. But let us hear what is mext said by Plato.
"And he made one of the circles to be extermal, but the other internal. He denominated therefore, the lation of the external circle, the motion of the nature of same ; but the lation of the internal, the motion of the mature of dalerent."

The divine Jamblithos refers these divine circles to the intelle thich is separate, and to the intellect which is inseparable from somls, and to the motion which is convolved in the same, and on all sides comprehends them; so that onte of the circles comprethends the two somls, but the wher is in them; :ant the one is unmingled with the other life and the poners of the soul, but the ather is mingled with and eroverns them; from which callee likenise, the whole somb, stably energizes, and is mited to the Deminerns himself. Wie huserer interpret the two circles to be the two-find lises of the sumb, viz. the providentia!ly energizingr' and convertioe or regresobe lises and al-o to the the two-fold pewers, the dianoetie and dosatic. For the soml of the maverse has hoth these; since Plato lihewise in the Phadrus sass, that of the horses, one pertains the the nature of same, but the other to the nature of differem, though he aho attributes horses to the Guds, ${ }^{2}$ but such ats are sood, and cominting of thinge that are good. Here therefore the extemal circle is diamoetie, but the intermal doastic. For the De-

[^91]minreus imparted to the dianoctic cirde a power, according to which it is more divime than ther doxastic circle. For it is more mited, and is intellectual. For you must not smpose that to denominate, is the mere position of a name, but in the dianoctic circle is a participation of power, effective of samemess; and in the doxastic circle, of difference. I'rior to this, thorefore, Plato tanght us the similitude of the sonl italf to itself: but now he adde the transcondeney and diminution of it with reference to itself, which it possesses, and received from the Demiurgus; who matre one of the circtes to twe external, so far as he rendered it more similar to intellect and the intellicible: for they are properly caternal, as being cicmint from all secomlary matures; bit the other intormal, as beine contamed by the more excellemt circle, as buing that which onght to be gowemed by it, and as being of a subordinate matures. It was very far therefore form the intention of flato, to admobrate thene cireles mathematically, who, thongh they are ergal, mahes them to he morgual, and thoush they sulsist similaty, renders them dissimilar, attributing to them for their eanence, the deminergic will.

Some, howewer, here doult, how, since the somb concists of similar parts, one of the circles pertains to samenes, but the other to difference, and the ome is demominated internal, but the other external; for these things snlowert similitude of parts. Porphyry, therefore, directing his attention to smsibhes, and matirial mixtures, addures as instances, water mingled with honey, aml honey mingled with wine; the whole consisting intered of similar parts, but in different persons protucine a differnt passion. Par some are more afferted by the vinous flavour, but others by the sweethess. Our father [i. e. preceptor] however, hinks we shomh surbey the mivnre of the qenera in a mamer adipted to immaterial and incorporeal natures. But this is, not according to a condivion of forms, nor accordiner to a cormption of powre, hat they lexingreserved, the misture is accordiner to a mion and peoteration of them throngh cach other. For cormptions and the diminu-

 immateral minture is fire the same thinge to memain mited and separated, and to tre co-mingled and ummingled [hut in material mixture, the things which are mindefl, are said to be confused, and without separation from each other, because this mixture is throush computrefaction, and corruptionj.' It is easy lihewise to call to our remembrance such-like immaterial mixhures as we speah of, from the sciences, from physical reasons, and from a moltitude of lamps. For the many

[^92]lights which pruduce one light, at the same time remain unconfused. And the multitude of pinssical reasoms subsistine all of them at unce, are at the same time sparated from cach other, acording tophysial ditiorence. The many seiences also are in each wher, amb are mmingted with atoch other. But this is mident form their encrais. For it is impo.ible for thing that are confused to employ
 with purity in itseli. li therente the wemeran the soul are inmaterially minghel,







 buconfand in the complication, and purity in the aphatton, then it in pmible
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 Plato, in order hat asain the form of the midulle mather maty be promed in the







 same, but that whioh was com-tituted such by him. Or rath: : , pormetive
energy posseses the mont principal canse of the name. And the position of the name is ath effertheremes sher intellertion there is not separated from fabri-
 their inteltermat "toptien is effective?. For has alow by gisine names to, thes


 tothe two riculatoms of the sonl; but prion to these mfolds the esential chat





 not only such as arre common, lihe there, but such as are pronliar, atol whim are

 howerer, it beloug to the Gods atome both to know and to mond ; but the ehaFacter which is rommon to ary somb, beriming from the soul of the miverse, Plato bise behedd, amb commited to writurs. He atso shens that the Do aiur-
 racter; gives names as we have said to its two intelloethal cirnlations, and assmurs these fom his own coseloce. For it is the Deminems himsti, who is especially charactrized aceording to throw weme of name, I man the sume and
 ter, according to the terminations of finto: but the other, as collecting multitude to the whe idea of the batrie of the wavere as ome production. Hence also with Orphens, the Dominerg particnaty intornatiss Night roncerning the dimes, and says,
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tell me how oll thinger will as one whesist, }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]


 Oracles iike 'o, by asortine that the Deminges is rehlgent with intellectual

[^93]sections, manifest that power of him which is effective of difference. But be fills all things with love through the prower which is eflictive of sameness. Hence he very properly gives these names which are demingic sisnatures, the circles of the soul of the miverse from his own exsernce. 'This name theretiore, "the circle of the same" is a divine name, as bearine the signature of the intelligible canse of sameness, as is lihewise, "the circle of the different," as persessing the symber of the nature of difirence. For with reference to the genera of Ixing, one of these cirches is allied to sameness, but the other to dillerence; but with reference to the intellectual Gods, one of them is susperded from the patemal, commetedly-contaming, and immotable canses, but the wher from the probific and vivife canses. And as whth reference to intelligibles, the one in a ereater degreq participates of bomd, but the other of intimity. These signatures thereli,re from all the ortars, canse one of these cirches to ohtan such a mame, but the other, a contrary name.

Some a me howevermay say, what, then, is the one essence of the sonl destroyed in the division of there lwofold eircles? Wereply, ly mo means. For in divine natures, divi-ion is recomil to union, and progresoion is the medium la.twren stable power, and the pertiedion subsisting in conversion or regression. But since the soul is a monad esonetially and in dhad, mo and multitule, ahodmer, and at the same time procedins and retmrning, and is aloo mited prior to division ;--hence, the misture of the sonl subsists one whole, prior to the many parts, and being divided according te progression, is araine mated according to rewression. The Low therefore, is comprehended in the greater cirche. For as intellect comprehends the soul, ilhminating it with its own light, thes also the circle of the sume ${ }^{\text {a }}$ imparts mion and perfection to the circh of the differont, renderiner it undefiled in its prosidential energies, mited in its progressions, and in a certain respect intellectual in its howhedige of semsibles. Hence lihewine, in an admirat be manner, one of the cireles subsists, and is denominated according to the same, but the ether arcordiner to the different. But the essentiat an beine common to twoth, is omitterl, and is attributed to no one ot the parts. Hence the sunt arcording to this in one, bat acording to the two circles is bitormed, these being after at certain mamer eppered to cach other.
" He likewise convolved the circle of the same, laterally towards the right hand, but the circle of the different, dianctrically towards the left hand."

[^94]What is here said, as that which remains to be discussed, is concerning the puychical powers, and the thmingic separation of them from each other. For power is aftur essence; but enersy has the third order, as we have before observed. This alo, Plato himelf manifests to us, denominating the motion of these circles, the lation of the same and the lation of the different, but not the essence of these. From these two circles also, he gencrates diflerent powers, and atiorwards minded to us what kind of coergies they possess.s. Such thines as these lihewior, he aseerts in the Phardrus, conceming the lexter and the worse of the two horses of the soml. What therefore does he here say concerning the powers of the soul of the nniverse? In the first place, as I have said, he divides the whole powers into two, I mean into the power of the sume, and the power of the different; the former being analogons to bound, bot the latter to infinity. Afterwards, he divides the power of the different, arcording to other peculiarties, and arain cullets them into loss numbers, and through sameness unites the multitule. In the next place, he atributes things more excellent and divine to the lather, but things lese excerllent to the subordinate peowers. Thus, fir intance, he attributes a convohtion towards the right hand, to the lation of the circle of the sume, but to the leit hand, to the lation of the circle of the different. And to the fermer be attributes the lateral, but to the batter the diametrical. For in the two co-ordinations of thimes, in the more excellent series there are fthe same, the right hamd, the equilateral and the ratiomal ; but in the hese exesellent series]' the contraris: to these, the dillerent, the left hand, the louger in the other part, and the irmational. Surh therefore, is the whote meming of the word inefore as.

Lat us lowever see what the troth is of the thinge: and in the tirst place, if you are willing, let us show herw the right and the le ft hand subsist in the miverse. For I know that the damoniacal Arimothe calls inded the eanern part of the world the right hand, but the western the left hand; since the first motion is from the castern, bine the motion pesterior to this, from the woutern part. In all animals, lowewer, the priariph of motion is on the rishe hand. And in this thing Arintote accords with the doctrine oi Plato, and also in what he aserts comorrming the same and differem. He says therefore that the merratic -phere is the canse to all thugs of sameness of vobistence, but the phantary spheres of a sulwidence dillirent at diflerent times. Thise howewer appars to the to be the illustrions prediarity of the Platonic doctrine, that it does not deline these things accordiug to our habitude, but dedivers thee proper-

[^95]Lies as proceeding from the fabracation itself of things. For if the Demiurgus himself inserts in divine somb the right hand and the left, each of these did not proced into the word, either ancortine to our position, or as a mere hatitude, but they are essential peculatities; just as the paternat and maternal in the Gods, are the peculiartios of divine encuces. For even in partial aminals nature does not constitute some of the patis on the right, and others on the laft hathe, according to mere habitude, but accordiner to physical powers. And this is erident from har falloniner sumb of the parts on one side, but others [ditherent from these] on the wher side; and mahing this to be the principle of motion, bitt that not.
 IV it that it vhilits onn thime on the right haml, but another on the left, accordings to habitude alome! But how is Mis prosible, if we almit that it produce liy its

 granterl, hat the nature of the fatrication of himes is in a preater derere erenerative of exome than a divine somb in ! But ats it appears to me, we mons say



 of himself; and thas in the world, that the inertatic sphere should he circum-



 convolsing all thines accordine to one circle; het the phaterary ephere is mul-
 the one is the intan of intelloct, but the other of soul ; for the circle oi the same is intellecthal. In the som at the miserse honever, the right hand is that whisels is
 whichtills the soml with divine lite. But the leit hame is that which is comserted



 said to comprelame the motion from the west to the east, amd the motion to the ubligue pats, lhanah the obliquity [of the zodiac]. Bnt you may say that in the soul iself, the cirle of difference, being ghostic of all semsible natures, at once
comprehends the quadruple order of things, through the four centres, ac. cording to which the visible motion of the bodies that revolve to the left hand and diametrically is effected; just as the circle of the same, knows intelligibles as primoriaial canses, as supernally unfollinir all secondary natures, and convolving according to one mion the varions order of senvibles. Again also, these things accede to the soul according to its similitude to the schole virification.' For as the sonl is a monad and duad accordin: to this, so likewise it is allotted throngh it the right hand and the left. For in the whole rivification, these things first present themselves to the view, and are derived from it ; one multitude beine produced from the right hand, but another from the left hand parts, shether you call them heads, or hands, or infestines. For according to all these, theologists deliver to us the prolific powers of the Goddess. These things however being appropriately asserted, it is evident that it is not the same thing to say that a certain thing is moved on the riort, or on the left hand, and that it is moved twwards the right or the left hand parts. For the latter of these ascruions is attribumel to thines that are moved in a circle, manifesting that to be mowed to the risht hand parts is to he moved to that part to which the right hand moves, amd also that to be moved to the left hand parts, is to be moved to that part to which the left hand moves. Since therefore, the right hand and the hof are called the east and the west thrount the hefore-mentioned cames, so that the former is the buremine of motion, but the latter follows, and in a similar manner, the one beiner a power in the circle of the same, but the other in the circle of the differnt, from whirh the motion of each is derived, the words " oncards the risht and the left hand," are very properly introduced by Plato. But to be moved on the risht hand or on the left, pertains to things that are moved in a risht line, these being the boundaries ${ }^{2}$ of the motions arcording to breadth. Honce 'limens, having before separated the six motions in a right line, from the motion in a circle, and theginning in what is now said, from the soul, deservedly gives to it the diberence of the metions towards the right and the left hand. And thus much concerning these motions.

Let us, however, consider the remaining opposition. For 'Timasus, as in the world, convolses the inerratic sphere according to the side, but the planetary sphere according to the diameter, just as in the soul he convolves the circle of the same laterally, but the circle of the different diametrically. In the first place, therefore, this must he considered mathematically, by drawing in a quadrilateral figure a

[^96]diameter, and conceiving that the circle of the same,' is convolved according to the side, but the circle of the different, according to the diancter of the firure. The quadrilatoral ligure, also, must be adapted to the two circles, i. e. to the summer and winter tropies; and we nust concene, that they are moved with the motion towards the right hand parte, according to the two sides which are similarly posited in both the circles: and alow that the middie for the circle of the same is mosed according to the ereaten of the two cireles, but that the circle of the different, which is ohlique with reference to both, is moved according to the diameter of this quadribateral tizure. For the oblique circle [i. e. the zodiac] is described abont this, arcominer to which all the period of the circle of the differont is convolved.

Leaning however the mathematics, let us eon-ider what the peenliarities are of the diametur and the side. Fon we shatl find those of the latter to be, the unotlique, the eflable, the comprehemsive, and that which is connective of angles; hat on the contrary those of the former to he, oblignity, the irrationat, the comprehomded, and that which divides angles. For according to all these peculiarities, the side difiers from the diamelor. Amd here also are imhernt in the circles of the soal. For one of these circles is alliad to simplicity, bound, and eme ; but the other to variety, muttitule, and the nature which possenses intinite power. The on likewise is commetive, but the other is the canse of division. And the whe is alloted the dignity of comprehending, but the other that of being comprehended. Hence the one is very property said to be convolved according to the side, as hamutable, as muted, and as miform ; but the other aceordines to the diansetre, as rejoiciner in progression, and moltiplications, and as eflective of dilierence. For the diameter is greater in power than the side, divides the angles, makes many paces from one, and is sitated obliquely. Hence in what follows, Plato says, that the lation of the cirele of the differcat is obligne. But all these partientars ane indications of the nature of the intinite.
"But he gave dominion to the circulation of the same and similar. For he suffered it alone : 0 reman undivided."

This is the demiurgic sacied law, intelligibly proceeding from on high from intelligibles; viz. that more simple should predoninate over more various natures,

[^97]the more uniform orer the muhiplial, finites over intintes, and the more over the less intellectual. As therefore in imelligibles, bound has domimion over the intinite, in intellectuals the male over the female, in sipermundane natures sameness over difierence, and similitude orer dissimilitude, thus also in the sonl, the period of the same predominates over the circulation of the differem. Jlence also in sensibles, the planetary is under the dominion of the ineratic sphere, and every multiform genns of life is containcd by the uniform genera. Hence from these things likewise it may be assumed, that sameness is better than difference; that arain similitule appears to $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{r}}$ more excellent than dissimilitude; and that the opinion of the generality of Platomists is not troe, that diflerence is befter than sameness and disimititude th:m similitude. For on acromet of the form itseli of sameness, the rircle of the same is more divine. For the undivided, signities divine union, an indivisible lifi, and miformity in powers. Why therefore, some one may say, if this is better, did not the Demiurens suffer the whole soul to be undividod? Wereply, becanse it is requisite' that the sonl should possess all forms, and all the reasons and canses of mondane natures. And that which is comprehensise of twofold circles, is more perfect than that which is defined according to one powes. For that which is after such a manuer the same, as in sameness to comprehend diflirence occulty, is more excellent than that which subsists according to the pischical middle. But it pertains to the essenee of the sonl to have dominion over difference, in conjunetion with sameness. For the intelligible and intellect, are as it were the circle of sameness alone. But the senvible essence, is as it were the circle of difference alone. For in the iormer, difference subsists occultly; but in the latter, sameness has an ohscure and superticial subsistence. And the soal ix a medinm between both, beine a dad, and havine twofold cirches, one of which pertains to intellect, but the other to the sensible essence. It likewive possesses twofold reasons, the one intellectual. but the other effective of the world; and the one proceeding to truly existing beings, but the other coming into contact with sensibles.
" But as to the interior circle, wher he had divided it six times, and had produced seven mequal cirches, each according to the interval of the double and triple; each of the intervals being three; he ordered the circles [i. e. oriss] to proceed in a course contrary to each other; threc of them indeed revolving with a similar celerity, but the other four dissimitarly to each other, and to the three, yet in a due proportion."

[^98]In the first place, if you are willing, let us now surrey what is said by Plato, astronomically. For this mode of doctrine is appropriate, and let us conceive the depth of the planetary spheres, as one thins, and thronshont simiar to itself; becanse, as those who are skilfol in thiners of this kind say, it consists of one matter: but is divided into newn orbs, whieh revole in a certain respect contrary to each other. Or as some say, because the sun and the moon are similarly moved in their epieychs, rewhine in their obs with a motion contrary to that of the incratic sphere But whers mate one lation of the equable and the anomalons. Or [there is one depth of the planetary spheres,] herame as whers say, Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars, mate the firs eantern phanes, atter their conjanceon with the sum, in conspluence of the sum heine mowed with ere:ter celerity than these planets in cons-pumial But the mom make weotern phases, becaust



 revolse in contrary diredions, some hemes mond to the moth, but wher the the sonth. Or in whatore way sou are willine to combler this athar; for there are ditlerent opinions on this sulper. Or, which may he more truly andede her canse Plato sats, the Deminests urdered the ort, to proced in a comer contrary to each other, he dens not moan that the somen are moned in comerary
 For thens, in what follows, he says, that the planets and the intratic sphere, are at one and the same thene mosed with their preper mothons, in contraty diredtions. Plato, however, meither here nor wewheremahes mention of epieskes, or ecerntries; but deseribes the werell direles about one centre. Hence, he does not add wher cireles to there; bor does lae maher an mamieal dillerence of the motions. For integendently of his omither to mention these, he hypoliesis of piegles,
 the different, therelore, is divided inter then seren cireles, there of which her silys revolie with a simbar, but the other four, with a diwimilar ederity. For thee of them, via. the Sim, Mercury and Vamos, as it is sabl in the Repuldic, are edual in their course; but the other four, viz. the Moon, Saturn, Mars, and Jupitur, are unequal. At the same time, however, all of them ravolse in a dae proportion, heth with reference to each other, and the uniserse; laccanse the motion of them is evolved accorting to numbers. [And the periodic circulations of all of thom,

[^99]are torminated in a becoming mamore'] It seroms also, as is manifest from what o here said, that Plato places the differenere of the equality and inergatity of the
 -pheres] in which they are carried, prior to the plancts themselves. Hence, placing the eircles alone in the somb, withont the stars, (for these he had mot yet comstitutol,) he says that some of these are moved with an equal ceitrity, but others with an unequal celerity, both with reforence to the former, and to themeelow. Aml these thines indered are manifest.

The assertion, howerer, that each of the seven circles was divithed accordine to the interval of the domble and triple, each of the intervals beine threre, is literaliy considered dithentt to be mathotood. At the same time it signities, that arordine tor cach interval of the domble and triphe intervals, each beine three, (ior in four terms there are three intervals) the section was matr, which is the same thiner a a divinion aceordine to leoth; in order that in each of the seven circles, there might be all the intervals, and all the ratios. For if the section had been made accordine to one interval, some of the intervals wonld have been distributed into sume of the cirches, and othersinto others. But hecanse the division proceeds according to each, each part is a part of earh, and all the circles participate of all the ratios. Unless inded it may be more truly said, that the circles are divided siv times, conformahly to the number of the double and triple intervals, these beiner sis. For the interals being placed sncecssively, and not divided according to depth; (but I mean by successirely, so as to be extended throngh the whole circle, just as they were arranged throush the whole right line, from which being hent the circle was enenerated, - this being the case, it wonld ter ridicnlons to m.thesnch a section according to depth, as to divide each of the circles in one part.

These things therefore being premised, we shall further observe. beginning from the phanomena, that since the soul of the universe possesses the reasone of all mondane natures, and peowers alhich erive mbensteme to them, it is necescary that it -hould mot only contain the intellectual canses of man, and home, and of other animals, lout likewine prior to these, of the whole parts of the world; I mean of the inerratic and planctary spheres. It is likewiee necessary, that fiom the duad which is in this soul, the heavens shomld sustain a division into two parts; that prior to the seven planets, there should preexist in it the true hebdomad; and that it should contain the canses of the dissimilitude and similitude of the circles.

[^100]For as our nature generates according to the reasons [or productive forms] in it, two eyes, five fingers, and seven viscura; for it antecedently comprehends the numbers of these parts, on which account it :tways produces after the same manner, and generates the same form, when the impedinents arising from matter do not present the generation according to nathre from taking place; and as the one sense in us, possessing the canses of these five senses, venerates secondarily from itelf, the powerx which are di-tributed abont the body; after the same manner aloo, the circle of the defferent, comprehends in itsedf the primordial causes of the seven circles [or spheres,] accordiner to which they are adorned, and distributed in an orderly manner. For all hearen participates of troth the cirches; bint the inerratic sphere participates more of the eireln of the same, and the planetary sphere, more of the cirche of the differem. Hence the formor in indeed mondivided, bat the latter is divided. The former also is moved from the rath, but the latter from the west. For the one inded imitates the miform [and intellectaal power of the sonl, but the other its maltiform powers,'] in consequence of refoicing in motion and variety, though the incratic sphere also is comprehensive of many disine animals. The circle of the same, likewise, comprehends the causes of all things, but it is without section; becanse all the multudfe in it is connascent with itself through union, and it is vanguished by the loond of sameuess. Hence also the inerratic sphere is moved with one lation. But each of the seven spheres, comprehends a multitude of powers, some of which are more total, but others are more partial. Now, however, Timaus dolivers the mities, and the fint comprebensions of them; but omits the inexplicable decrements of the disine reasons. For the circte [or sphere] of each, is a phemitude of appropriate life. which is either of a comnectedly containing, or dividing, or binding, or anasegic, or of smme other such-like preculiarity. Alany pouers theztise contribute to its perfection, some of which are generative of primary and secondary Givis, but others if demons, and uthers of partial souls.

Why however, some one may say, did not the Demiurrus produce a peculiar circle of essence, as well as of sameness and difference? Werply, because these are opposed to each other, but essence is common to the whole soul. Hence according to this the whole soul is one, but is hiformed according to thone; just as of the right lines, one precedes the two. Here likewise the monad precedes ${ }^{2}$ the lin! odunad, just as the impartible of the soul, is the leader of the division into

[^101]
seven parts. But the division of the hebdomad into four and three, has a sesquitertian ratio, beiner the first of the symphonies, and has also the first numbers oi the even and the odd. Of the three [circles] howerer, one [that of the Sun] is malosons to truh; another [that of Venns] to beanty; and the thirl, [that of Mercury] to symmetry: these three monads, as we learn from the Philehms, beiner situated in the vestibules of the good. But of the other four circles, whe [hat of Siturn] which is most stable, is andorons to permanency; another, [that of the Moon] which is moved with the greatest facility, is anaborons to motion; another, [that of Jupitor,] which is of the most excellent temperature, to samencss; and anwher [hat of Mars,] which is of a most dividing mature, to diffirence. Why alson it may be said, did not the Demiurens place partial forms in the sonl of the universe, but only the genera of all-various forms? We mply, bereane it pertains to total fabrication, to effect the latter. For a distribution of reasons into mumerous parts, is the province of partible production. For this receiviner fach soml divided into the common genera of all beings, gives a distinct subsistence to the variety in them, according to the divine dividing art, and produces the division of each, as bar as to individual forms. Hence also, this fabrication is said to be partible, and to be secondary to the total fabrication. A division, therefore, adapted to the total genera of sonls is delivered, and likewise a mixture of wholes adapted to the fabrication which is the subject of discussion, and is' total. These things, however, are manifes.

But why, it may be sad, do we make the division into the inerratic and planetary phores alour, or rather into the paratimatic canses of these, and not into the four elements? For the soul of the uniserse, contains these also by its powers, aud leads them by its motions. In answer to this, therefore, it is said by some, that all the quadruple order of the elements, is comprehended in the circle for spherej of the moon. For that which is material is hut small as with reference to the miverer, and is as it were a certain hottom of the world. For thas in the Republic, Phato diviles the whole world, into eight whils, ( $\sigma$ cenj̀joor) comprehendiner the whole oi a material nature in the ordoad. These thinge therefore are said, and are well sail. Again, however, it may be more periectly said, that throush this monad and heptad of circles, he comprehends all the parts of the worlf. lior as in the heavens there are a monad and a lieptad, thos also analogously in the -phere of arther, some things are co-orimate to the inerratic circle, but others to the plametary spheres. And the whole ctherial order which is there, imitates the heavens. This is likewise the case in the profumbly of the air, in the mases of

[^102]water, and in the bosoms of the earth. For not only the earth is dividerl analogous to the heavens, but also the other ehments, and in each there are monads and heptads, comprehensive of the orders that are in them, and of emprean, arrial, and aquatic plenitudes. The circles therefore of the sonl, antecedenty compreluend all these monads and heldomads; the circle of the same, contaning some of them, but the circle of the different others. This lakzise appeared to be


Plato also will grant, that sules ratend from the inoratic and planetary spheres, as far as to terestrial natures, whelver they are divine, or damoniacal, or those of partial sonls ; since he is of opinion hatt series of the twelve liberated leaders extend from on high as far an to the lan of theners. Por it in meresary that the less should follow the mete principal periods, and that the several suberestial should imitate the e ele the canses of these, as heiner arrane d amalogenty to thom. If here thines, how-
 with an equal cellerity are arranged in the middle of the wholes of the miverse, not ouly as being analosons to the monads in the woblules of the good, viz. to truth, beanty, and symmetry; but likewine after amother manner, which we lefore mentioned, as posocosing the bome of wholes; so that they are entablished accordeng to that which ehevatess secondary to first matures, accordines (1) that which unfolds into light first to secondary natures, and according to that which similarly binds loulh of these torether. Oi the rest, however, we mont say that carth ands the inerratic sphere are amalogons to the Synohes Heasen and Earth [of the inte]degble and at the same time intellectual urder of Gods]; but that water and [the planet] Baturn are analogoms to Rhea amd Saturn fof the intellectaal order]; and air and Jupiter, to the Jupiter and Jumo [of that order]. Alter these, it will mot be wappropriate to say, that the Moon and Mars ' have the next order; the batter posseosing the power of separating first and masculime from middle natures; but the furmer of detining and distinguishing third and ats it were feminine natures from those of a middle order. But in the media, the extremes are, that which pernesser da anagogic power [i. e. Metenry], and that which has the power of unfolding into light [i. e. the Sun]. And the medimm between these, is that which conuretedly contans all things in amatory bonds [i. e. Vemms]. This also theologists.

[^103]manifest. For they call the first of these, the messenger of the Gods; the second, the gate of ascent ; and the power which is in the middle of both, Venus' being the friendship or love, which is the connective medinm of the universe; whether the Sun is prior, and Moreury ponterior to her, or vice versa, Perhaps too, they will revolve with eynal celerity, so far as all of them loak to one thing, the bond of wholes; and their comerges will have this for their end, to establish all thines in one union, so that the miverse may be tilled with its proper cames. All things therefore, are in the soul, according to unical comprelimsion. For the cirht circles are poucrs, unitedly comprehending things which subsist in a divided mamer in sensibles, both in the hearns, and in cach of the clemonts. And thus much may in short be said concerning all the circles.

Arain however, considering the circle of the different hy itself, we say that its. division intosix parts, is most eminently adapted to the soul. For accordins to the doctrine of the Pythagorcans the soul is a hexad. And they arrange the monad as analgous to a point, but the herad, to that which is animated, and the heprad, to that which is intcllectual. But how is it possihle we shonlal not say, that the momber of seven circles is adapted to the somb, which is produced by the vivitie Goddess [lhea], who is a monad, dhat, and heptal, comprobonding in hersedf all the Titannide? Farther still, this may be considered after another manner; for the heptad is a number productive of opportonity (xxesajers ertovapafens). and is perfective and apocatastatic of periond. In this respect therefore, it subsists appropriately with reference to the soul, which produces and direrts all thiners loy its motions. If, however, this he the case, it is mident that these circles of the sond are gnostic, and by a much greater priority, vital powers of it, both tetralic and triadic. For through both, they are comprohensive of all sensibles, and through the triad know all the similitude in them, hat through the tetrad, all the dissimilitude, and all the variety and genera which they contain. They know likewise, through these, whether sensihles in their existence, whateverit may be, participate of a certain truth, or symmetry, or beanty, from truly-existing beings.

Moreover, the subsistence of these seven geometrical torms in each of the circles, gives a septuple increasi: to the ratios. But this is an indication of the self-motive nature of the soul. For-it generates and multiplies itself, and is at one and the same time a heptad, and a number proceeding from the heptad.

[^104]Again, the psychical circles proceeding in a direction contrary to each other manifests that these powers proceed every where, are prolific of all things, and are the causes of the difference which is distributed every where, and of the contraribties which subsist about generation. For contraries exist in the soul, in the hravens, and in matter. But of material contrarices indeed, greneration consists. These contraries, however, derive their subsistence from the paychical throngh the celestal reavens. For sume things have the relation of fiom which, others that of through which, ant others that of by achich,' in the things whice, are gemerathed hy them. Morenver the similitade of the three and the dis-
 the triad inderd is partietives and convertive to the same form. But the
 the monad, drad, triad, tertad, pentad, hesad, and heptal, are entirely in the ...ance of the soul ; and atior all these, the square from the laphath.' All these likewise terminate in the lieptal. Hence, the emence of the somb, is on all sides hefolomatie. And the cirde of the same interd, is a monad; but the circle of the diflserent is, as we have said, a hoptat. Fior the former is intellect in motion, but the lutter is a light according to intellect; in the same mamerer as the heptad, according to the Pythargreans. The one also is impartible, analogems to intellect, but the other is partible; though it also consisto of the impartible essence, and of the
 dant] in it: for difleremere has dominion in it. Hence, the one is menadic, but the other hetbelomatie; not only became the heptad pertains to the cosmen of the noul through its similitule to the visitic Goddess ; (for she is a monall comprehensive of two trials, which she contains in hersedt but afoo becallse the primary distribution of the seml into parts is hetelomadic as has hea n before shown. For thangs which are distributed into parts from one impartible power, have the first number hetodomadic. The number alio which is derived from the heptad, is adapted

[^105]to dividing powers, in the same manner as the heptad. The latter, however, pertains to supermundane, [hut the formor to mundame powers.]

If however, it be regnisite to refer all the composition of the som, to the divine orders, for it has the images of all of them, the beriming of the reference, must be assumed from the former part of this dialogne, in which it is said, that the soul was fabricated, not as we say jumior to the body, hut both in generation and virtue prior to and more ancient, as the mistress and ruler of it. For 'Timams thence berimning to speak concerning it, gives to it a suprior dignity with re-pect to the generation of the whole corporeal composition. It must be said, therefore, that its progression, so far as it rules, and is the mistress of the body, must be referred to the principle of all things. But so far as it is allotted a triple and united hypostasis, we must refor it to the smmmit of intelligilos; and as generated from essence, same and different, to the whole of the troly intelligible breadth; of which essence and being possess the summit ; but eternity which is the canse to all things of permanence in the same, the middle; and intelligible mimal, which canses itself to be difierent in its proreressions to intelligible anmak, the end. For the whole there, as the Parmenides traches us, consists of dissimilar parts. This trij) whole threfore [the sonl], which is a misture of dissimilar elrments, is a thing of this kind. But so far as the sonl is a self-hesothen and intellisible number, we mast refor it to the smmmit of the intelligible and at the same time intellectual orders. For there the first momber subsists in conjunction with diference.
 series of thines, [and of other co-ordimationst wheh are divided according to number. But there also, l'armenides rives subsistence to the whole of number, aml from thence untolds all things into light. And so far as the soul is a whole consisting of three elements, we most refer it to the intellectual wholeness, which connectedly contains wholes and jarts. For the three middles are derived from those connectedly containing Gods. One [i. e. the grometric middle] jrocecding from the first of these Gods, who comprehends the rest, and collects all things according to one reason, into one world, and one union. But another [i. e. the harmo-

[^106]nic middle] proceeding from the second of these Gods, who imparts a different bond to different things, a greater bond to such as are greater, but a less to such as are Less.' And another [i. e. the arithmetical middle] being derived from the third of these Gols, who inparts by illumination from himself, commonion to natures of a third rank; throurl whom thines that are less in bulk, are more united, but such as are greater, are mited in a less dewrere'. 'This however, is the distmonishing property of the arithmetical middle. So far abo, as the soul has an idea and coatiguration of such a himl, amd emplogs a rectilinear progremion, and cireular combrion, for the reanon we hase before-mentioned, we most refier it to the triad of intellectual figure. For the right, and the eircular lime, first subsist in that triad. He nee in the idea of the semb, lines were anomed, and cireles in conjunction with, and sparate from rach other. Asain, so far as the somb receives monadic and heldomadic powers, we must refer it to the intellectual hedndamad. But as the median' betwern intellizibles amel semsbles, and as as-imilatines somibles to inteldi_ibles, we must refor it to the ruling [ancmmulame series. For this series
 to twofold energios, some of which prosidedially attemel to semshles, but others adhere to intellighbes, we munt refer it th the liberated Gobls, who touth and do net touch the miverse. These olservations the refore, which we hase briefly made, will afford asistamer to these who winh to promer the writings of oner preceptor, in which the truly arcance conceptions of llato concerning these things, are minfolded.

I ann antonished however at thoere latonists, who thinh that the semb shouhl he disided accordmer to partsinto the celestial somls, viz. into the one and the seren of these. For where is it possible in incorporeal natures, that there should he a division of this hend, which abolishes the whole? İar such a distribution into parts as this, is the peculiarity of parthbe masses. And $I$ also wonder at those, who think that these souls are entirely supredestial, since l'ato in what immediately follows, Abows that in all he has sad, he opeaks concermins one soul, and this mundane. Hence, I hink it is better to assume this as a principle, that it is here necessary,

[^107]the whole should remain in the divisions, and that the discussion is concerning mundane animations; and having assmmed this, to say that the one sonl of the world is indivisible, and at the same time is dividedaceording to thesepowers; first into the duad, sceondly into the triad and tetrad, and thirdly into the heledomad. For the division of it is made according to these mmbers. And such is our opinion on this suly.jert.

Theoduras the philosopher however, of Asine, Incing fill of the doctines of Nomenius, sureulates the gemeration of the soul in a more novel manner, from bettrs, and characters, and mmbers.' But the divine Iamblichos hames every theory of this kimd, in his treatise in confintation of the followers of Amelins, and
 method, or whether her ally whor met with writhers of the diseiples of Amelines, containing simitar opinioms: for I camot say. The disine Iamblichos therefore sigs in the first place that it is not proper to make the sond every mmoner, or the Eeometrical mumbr, on accomit of the multitude of letters. For the words hody

 com-i-ting of an equal mumber of hetters, which are of a vile nature, and most contrary to each wher; all which it is not rirht to confond and mingle tosether. In the second place, he observes, that it is not safe to arewe from characters. Jar these sulsi-t hy fosition, aud the anciont was difierent from the present mede of formine them. Thus for instance the lettor $Z$, which he makes the subjeet of diemssion, had not the opposite lines entirely parallel, nor the middle line ohlirpue, hout at right angles, as is evident from the ancient letters. In the third place, he adds, that to analyze into the primary ratios of mmbers, and to dwell on these, transfers the theory from some numbers to others. For the heptad is not the same which is in mits, and tens, and hundreds. This however, existing in the name of the sonl, why is it requisite to introduce the disfulisition of primary ratios? For thas lor may transler all things to all montrers, by dividing, or conpoundins, or multiplyiner. In short, he accuses the whole of this theory as artificial, and containing nothing same. I am also not ignorant of the arguments of Aristotle arsinst the pisgehorony of Ilatu, and the solutions of those arguments, hy certain Patonists; but I have not deemed it requisite to mention them any farther here, as I have elsewhere made thom the stabject of discussion. For the soul is not a

[^108]circle as magnitude, nor is it requisite to think that to confute this hypothesis, is to embrace the Platonic theory. Hence I have thought fit to omit the farther consideration of these particulars, as I know that I have puhlished a treatise in answer to the oppositions of Aristotle to the Timanes, in which there is no small discussion of these particulars, and where it is shown that magnitude camot righty he ascribed to the sonl, according to 'limans, and demonstrating from thence, that the soul cannot by magnitude which is parthbe, intelle tually pere eive intelligibles which are impartible; as neither in it possible for the impartible to be adopted to the partible. Nor mast it be said that the motions of the heavens fare the motions of the sond of the universe? ; lat that accordines th the doctrine of the Timaths, the former subsist from the latter. Normus it be admitted that it is impossible freguently to umberstand the same thing ly the same power, but this must necessarily ' be the case in more transtive int dhections, since intelligibles are bomated, and intellection subsints in a circle. Omithere there tore, the farther consideration of these things, which are more amply dimonsed in the above-mentioned Treatise, let us direct our attention to the words of the phitasopher, which appear to me to exhinit the doctrine ${ }^{2}$ of things themselves.
"After, therefore, the whole composition of the soul was generated, according to the intellect [or intention] of its composer, in the next place, he tabricated within the soul, the whole of a corporeal-formed nature."

The first head, as we have before observed, of the discussions concerning the soul, was abont its hyparxis, the second, abont its hamony, the third, about its figure, the fourth, abont its powers, and the fifth, abont its cnergies. In all the other heads therefore, the phitosopher has most perfectly instructed us. But the last, was that concorning the energes of he sonl, which he adds in what is now said. Since however, there is a twofold form of the emery of the sonl, I mean the gnostic and the motive, he separately discosece cach of these; and shows how the sonl by moving itself, moves other things, and how ly homing itaelf, it knows the natures prior, and also those that are posterior to itself. Such therefore is the scope of the words before us. But that be did not teach ns, in what has been already said, concernine the multitule of sonk as those assert, whos:y, that his discussion about the esance of the soul, pertained to that sonl which is withont habitude [to hody] but about its harmony, to the sonl which is called hy

[^109]them in Habitude; and about its figure, to the soul which ranks in a certain order ; and farther still, that he did not tearh us abotit supermundane souls, according to the opinion of others, who assert that he produces one, amd seven supermundane souls, is I think throush these things sufticiently manifest. For he conjoins to the univeres, the soul which was fabricated accordiner to the intention of the father, and constructs within it the whole of a corporeal-formed nature. And this is inded evident from the whole design of the dialogne. For the whole discussion was conerming the world, and not concerning supermundane proreny.

You may also sce with what accuracy Timans adds cach of the words. For the words, according to the intellect, manifest that intellect is the paradirm of the miverse. Por all-perfect animal is intelligible intellect, according to which this miverse, and the soul of the miverse were constituted. They likewise signily that nothing was constituted in vain, nor more nor less [than was fit]; but that all things requisite to the comphation of the psechical essence, were fashioned in a becominer 'maner, and that the essence of the soul received all the demiurese will. For material natures, on accome of matter, distribute into parts, impartible form, and that which is a whole is received by them as partial, and that which is withont intrral, as possessing interval. But the soul receives all the demiursic falbrication, conformally to the will of the Demiurgus. The words also its composer manifest miversal enersy. For the words " according ${ }^{0}$ intellect," are indicative of completion ; but its composer of an enersy, the whole of which is at once always present." The words likewise, the whole composition of the somb, manifest that nomher escapes the demingic art, hut that the whole proErression of the soul, is governed by the form and power of the Demiurgus. But the words, "in the wat place" or "after this" must not be apprehended as having a temporal meaning, but as signiticant of order. For the separate life of the soul is one thing, and the secondary life posterior to this, and which communicates with the londy, is another. And in a divine essence, things more perfect jrecede such as are more imperfict. The words likewise, "within the soul" evince that the world' is connascent with the soul, and the offspring of it. For if the world proceeds in the soul, she is the mistress of its subsistence, comprehends the whole of its essence, and co-operates with the Demiurgus in the orderly

[^110]distribution of body. For the soul of the universe is not ' like partial souls, which receive bodies fashioned by other thines, and on this account, at one time nule over them, but at another, are incapable of governing their proper organs. But proceeding from, she produces torether with her father, her habitation, or rather her venicle. Hence aho, she governs the universe, and energizes etermalIy, and without solicitude and habour. For every thing which makes according to essence, makes with facility that which it makes.

Moreover, the words he fabricatal manifest the production of the Demiurgas proceeding throurh solid and resisting substances; and aho the extermally adventitious formation of sensibles; and does all but ${ }^{2}$ represent him, employing Vulcanian 'orans, by which he fashions the whole heasen from brass, depicting all things with forms, giving rotundity to copporeal masiss, and figroring each thing with an appropriate form. Since the fabrication however of the minerse is triple; the tirst Ineing that according to which the Demingras produced it from the doments bomad together by amalogy, a whole of wholes; but the second being that according to which he adorned it from the whole spheres, sime it is imposable, as it consists of the chemernts, that it should not be divided into the spheres; and the third being that which erives completion to the universe from celestial, acrial, apuatic and terretial animats; this being the case, Plato in what is now said, unfolds to the the minhle fobrication. For he who fabricates the whole of a corporeal-formed nature within the sonl which is disided into cireles, ersemely fabricated it by dividing it into spheres. For the spheres are imares of the circles [in the soul] which the Deminesus forminer, is said to have fabricated the whole of a corporeal-fomed nature, within the circles of the soul: by which also, it is evident, that the eight eircles comprehend in themse hes the subhmary region, since the Deminesus placed in them the whole of a corporeal nature. For if it were not so, Plato would have said, that the Demingus falmicated every thing celestial, and not every thing corporeal within them. Every thing subctlestial therefore as being co-divided with the heavens, is in these cirdes, or as being contaned in the circtro of the moon; theologists atso calling the moon earth, throngh the alliane of the earth wit. Hence it is common to both of them to conceal the light.
" Ind collecting middle to middle, he co-harmonized them to each other."

[^111]Porphyry, understanding by middle the physical part of the sonl, endeavours to co-adapt it to the midhle of the universe, though Plato does not here even in words, assume that which is physical. If. however, we wish to interpret what is now said more agreably to the meaning of Plato, we must say that the Demiurerus placed in the soul, whieh has a middle order brtween intellect and body, the midfle of the world; and not simply in the soul, but in its most midelle part. For this is to collect midlle to middle. But that this is his meanines is evident from what follows, in which he says that the soul was every way extended from the middle to the extromities of the miverse. From these thines therefore, we may assume, that the whole of a corporeal nature is ewery way similarly animated. and that the whole of the mundane sonl is on all sides exempt from the body, in order that it may imitate the whole Demimrgus, who is present to all thinge, and separate from all. We may also assume, that the corporeal nature being the middle of the soul, makes the animation of it to proceed every way similarly. For if the extremes of the miverse were conjoined to the middles themselves, some thiars wonld be more remote from, but others nearer to the sonl. It is necesary howerer, that all thines shomld remain as it were rooted in, and filled with life from it. But the ardaptation of midde to middle, shows that the soul is similarly exempt from all thinre, and is equally distant from all. For if it was distant from some thines more, but from others less, we must aseribe to it a habitude to secondary natures. Each of the assertions therefore is true, that body is the midhle, and that it is adapted to soml, which is also a middle. Moreover, "to collict," exbibits the demiruic maion, and the bond according to which the universe is perpetual. But to co-harmonize, indicates the harmonions association of the body with the soul; the latter performing what pertains to itself, and the former preserving its proper order, and neither divolsing, nor drawing downward the intellection of a disine essener. For this is the harmonions form of commenion. If however, in the association of the less and the more exerllent nature, cither the former or the later falls off from its perfection, and causes jerturbation in the emoreins of the more exedlent nature; -in this case, such a communion is unharmonions,' disorderly, and confused. Hence the sonl subsists according to harmonic ratios, and the whole of a corporeal-formed nature, is seen to be in friendship with it through analory, and is harmonionsly composed. What bonds therefore, can be more indissolible, more perpetual, or more divine than these? None, except it should be said the will itself of him, by whom the soul is bound, and which is exempt from the things that are bound.
'For enapmogros here, it is necessary to read avappoores.
Tim. Plat.
" But the soul being every way interwoven from the middle to the very extremitics of the universe, and circularly covering it as with a veil, at the same time herself revolving in herself, gave rise to the divine commencement of an unce:sing and wise life, through the whole of time."

The mode of animation according to conversion or rearestion, beginning, as we have hefore said, from inemeath, procerds to things on high, and from the last, ends in the summits of thing*; and such is the mode now assumed by Plato. For the soul proceding from on high, at far as to the lant receseres of the carth, and illuminating all thinge with the light of life, the world heing converted to it, begimning from the last of thimss, is anmated both according to its middle, and the whole of its interval. Besides this aho, it externally enjoys the intellectual illumination of soul. Hence, the soul is said to occupy the midtle of the miverse, as plating in it the powers of itself, and a symbol of its proper presence. It is likewise said to extemd itself to the extromities of the miverse, as sivifying it on all siles; and to circularly cover it ats with a veil, in conseguence of having powers exempt from partible masses ; in so doing, all but projecting the awis of Minerra, from which

> A hundred galden ornameuls depend.'

Throngh this likewise, it externally surrounds the whele world. And if it be requisite to speak the truth, Plate through these words clon's the mouths of those who fancy that the figure of the soul is truly circular, and thens possesses interval. For how is it pessible fur a circle to be interworen with a hody, and being extended equally to cover it with itself as with a veil, and thus be adapted to it, according to all the interval of the world? This therefore immediately manifests, that the imagination is false of those who apprehend the son thus to subsint.

In addition to this also, it is necesary to survey that which we before asserted, that the being interwoven with, and circulanly oovering the uniserse as with a veil, assimilates the soul to the intellectual life, which prior to this Plato said, surrounds the two circles of the soul. For as this life comprehends the soul, so the soul comprehends the univere. And farther still, it must be considered how the soul is assimilated to thove Gods, to whom Pamenides attributes the similar and dis-

[^112]similar. For the interweaving exhibits the presence of the soul in the world, through similitude. For all communion of essences, powers, and energies, suhsists from this. But the circularly covering the universe as with a veil, as it signifies transcemdency, represents to us, how the soul is incommensurable to the world, an throur h its incommensurability, is imparticipable. For that which is incommensurable, is certainly dissimilar to that to which it is incommensurable. Perhaps however both have both. For to be interwoven, is the province of things, which are partly similar, and partly dissimilar. And to circularly cover as with a veil, torether with the insparable, exhibits intellectual comprehension, which is secondarily present to the universe. For through this comprehension, the universe imitates intellect, of which it becomes' the first resemblance. Hence it is present with the mivese, in a z.parate manner, and illuminates all things, withont ${ }^{2}$ being itarlf converted to the ilhminated natures, or receiving habitude, or co-ordination with them. For these things are foreign to the whole soul [or to the soul which ranks as a whole]. Because howeverbody proceeds tonether with the soul, but not the sonl tosether with hody; and the soul hy its infmite power, comprehends the world; by its non-possession of interval, all interval; by its impartible nature, every thiner partihle; and by its simplicity, that which is composite; hence, the fabrication of hody is suspemted from the generation of the soul, but not vice vorsa. 'The essence of the soul therefore, is the leader, as being more allied to intellect, and body is suspended from the soul, as from its canse. Is there then any rason why we should endure to arlmit such an intericating, as some adeluce, who conceise the soul to be present with body, throurh partible powers, entelecheic, and inseparable lises? By no means. For every distrihution of this kind, is secondary to the one soul [of the miverse]. Since in us also, the entelichcia animates the body in one way, but the separate soul in another; the former indeed, being divided abont the corporeal masses; but the latter heing established in itself, and imparthly present everywhere, and containing partible lives, by its own impartible powers. But if it be requisite to speak in a manner becoming the dignity of the whole sonl of the universe, the interwating is an unmingled union of the body with the soul, and a communion, connected comprehension, and vivification of the soul, proceeding from the Demiurgus, and being again converted to him. For as we must not understand the "circularly covering as with a reil," either ac-

[^113]companied with interval, or locally, but as simifying that the soul is on all sides similarly exempt from the body, and by leing thus exempt uniformly comprehends it; thus also, we must not understand the "being interateren," as accompanied wih contact, but as manifionting the animation which proades throush all thinse, and the mion of all thinere with it. For the sonl filliner all thines with itself, and commetedly contaming all thinss, contains, prior' to other things, itself in purity, atul comerts the world to invelf. Dellere, ly at much ereater priority, it is itwelf comberted to itali. On this accomm, 'limathe adds, that it is itself comolved in inelf in order that he may indicate the difference between the soul, and the body of the melivere, which is inderd convolual, yet not in itself, but in the whale of place whichit oceppies. For this is comsolved lecally, but the soul vitally and intellectually, under-tanding itself, and finding itatf to be all themes. For it is the phentmate of wholes, and contains the imares of all things, "hich intellectually preceiving, is is satel teredue in itself; the revolntion indicating the intedrethal aml at the same time aperatantatic ; hat its revolsing in its.lf, the peruliarity of wlf motion. For the universt aloo resolves, bint is moved by almother [i. e. by soml].

Here, therefore, we have a molntion of that which was imestigated hy us. For we ingnired, lowhine to the whote of the peychogong, where Plate has delivered to us the anmetic pe culatity of the nomb, in the same mamer as he has the essential and the sital pecularities; the former imeded throush the triple mintmer but the latter thromeh the motion in the same. 'Thrometh the circular comersion therefere, of the soul to italf, the: Deminmens aflected its sumetice pecoliarty, and which Plato in what is now said, more clearly manifosts. For in order to show how the soul hows all thims, he says, that it revolves in itsolf, and thes revolving, bersm to live a wise and intellertatal life. Hence, it is inmediately evident, that the conversion to italf, is the howledere of its.lf, and of enery thing in, prior to, and procemling from itself. Fur all honackedere is a sontersion to the object of knoveledge, and an alliance and adaptation to it. Ind on this accomnt also, truth is ath dgrecment of that which lnaics with the thing known. Since however, conversion or rerression is two-fold, the one returning as to the good, but the other as to being, hence the vital consersion of all thinw is directed to the gool, but the ernostic to being. Hence too, the furmer when comerted, is said to have the grood, but the latter to hate being. The appre hension of truth atso, is the compre hension of ling, ahether existing in that by which it is apmehended, or prior to, ur posterior to it. This therefure, as 1 hase said, becomes evident to us from these things.

[^114]Since lowever, in the souls of partial animals, reason at one tine energizes intellectually, and is converted to itself, but not immediately from the first generation [of the amimal]: for in amimals, the prosession is fom the imporfect to the perfert, and rominiscener is after oblivion; on this aecomet llato says, that the soul gave rise to the divine commenerment of a wise life, beximing from on high, and from the first of its energies. For it has some energies, which are divine and separate, but others, which are motive of the universe. It likewise always has the more perfect, prior to those that are secondary. For proceediner from the Demiurens, and begimine to energize, it commences from those energies that are more rivine: and thronsh these moves the secondary, viz. the intellectual and the: donastic enorgies. This berembing howerer is embely divine. But that which commence from things imperfect, is midently material. [For in the Gods, the more perfect enerofies procele those that are subordinate;'] but vice versa in material natures. For generation lewins from things imperfiect, and proceeds to the perfoct. The human soul therfore, though it sometimes energizes dirinely, yct it cuds in this energy, heins satisfied at first to energize conformathy to risht opinion, after this scientifically, and then divincly, exciting ${ }^{2}$ the one of itself, which is more excellont than its infellect. But a divine soml, has this for its first energy, and on accome of this, moves all the secondary enostic powers of itself, and always the subordinate through the sumprior powers. By a mueh greater priority therefore, did the soml of the universe give rise th the divine commencement of a wise life, enereizing first accordine to its disine part [or the ome of its sence), but atterwards summally arcording to its dianortic part, moving this, and ransiner it to be deiorm. Unceasing emerey boweser is the conserguence of this. For that which is eremerated, and that which exerts a divine conerg in time, is naturally adapted fo proceed from the imperfect to the parfect. But that which begins from the most perfert and divine energies, neitier at any time ceases from this enerry, nor ranks among things which have a temporal suhwistence. If therefore the som of the miverse gave rise to a divine commencement of emersy, it energizes incessamly, and always, and with invarialde sameness. For that wheh receives the perfection of itself in time, hecsins from the imperfect, and not from a divine commencement. From this also, arain it follows, that the life of a divine sonl is wise. For if the life of this soul is unceasius, it is definell by intellect and wisdom.

[^115]* For erpaga liere, it is uccessary to read erecppoaga.

For we must admit one of three' things, either that the life of it is always wise, or always destitute of wisdom, which it is not lawful to say, or that it is at one time wise, but at another time unwise. It remains therefore, that a wise life in a divine soul, in consequence of being incessant, never ${ }^{2}$ fails.

In another respect also, wisdom is adapted th this soul, becanse it participates of disine wisdom ; but life, becanse it evolses the impartitility of the intellectual life, and has an extension in its energie's, and transition in its motions. For life (Bios) is most adapted to the soul. For it at any time, this word is uned in speaking of intellect, as in the Philebus there is satid to be a certain (R10s) life of intellect, it signifes the peculiarity of the life. Lor the acorl Buos manifests these taro things, viz. the peculiar form of each life, and the ecolution of the thoice from which it has its progression. It is preperly therefore asserted of souls; for in these there is an evolution [of choice]. Sometimes also it is anserted of intellect, and then it indicates to us the pecularity of the life of intellect. It mas be said however, that all these particulars, are inherent in all divine souls, viz. to commence a divine beginninge, to energize incessantly, and to poseses a wise life. In what respect therefore, docs the soul of the miverse transernd other divine sonls? Plate then foreseeing this, adds, "throw the whole of time." For all sonls indecd, chergize transitively, and have ditferent periods, greatur or less. But the soul which ranhs as a whole, alone receives the first and the one interval of time, and the whole and first meat sure, which comprehends the periods of other somls. For as of dillerent divine bodies, there are different periods, but the period of a divine generated' nature contains in itself all of them, comprehending aporatantatically, many Saturnian, many Solar, and many Lunar periods; and all time exists in the one period of the universe; after the same manner aloo uther disine sonls, have their apocatastatic periods in more partial times. Since however, he intelligible breadth is homnded, but the apocatastasis is different to different souls, to some being shorter, and to others longer, becanse the oliject of intellection to the m, is more or less excellent; and since the apocatastasis of the uniserse, has for its measure, the whole extension of time, and the whole evolution of it, than which there is no ereater, except by the again and again; for thas thme is infinite; and of those beings that intellectually perceive according to one form, the sonl of the universe is the first

[^116]participant of time; this bring the case, it is necessary that this soul, should receive the whold form and measure' of time. Hence it is necessary, that the soul of the uniserse alone should energize through the whole of time, but other souls according to a part of this whole, conformably t" which their apocatastasis is definel; [in the same manner as may be seen to be the case in other forms. For whaterer participates of a certain form, primarily ${ }^{\text {s }}$ is scen to receive the whole of it.] Supermundane souls thercfore, if there are such, though they perceice intellectually with transition (for cicry soul undrstands after this manner, according to which also, intellect and soul differ) yet they apprchend more thun one object of intellection. For as they are nearer to intellect, which intellectually perccives all things at once, it is necessary that they should at once understand more than one thing. But the soul of the untrorse, is the first athich intellectually perceives one object only at once, which maties it to be mundane. In this respect therefore, all mundane differ from supermundane sonls. Hence, the soul of the universc, understanding one thing at once, has its apocatastasis according to the whole of time, which comprebends the period of the divinely wencrated mature. And according to the former indeed, it is inferior to supermundane ; but according to the latter, it transcends all mondane souls. For all these have ther apocatastatic priods in some part of the whole of time; ${ }^{3}$ but the sonl of the miserse, intellectually perceiving the one intelligible world, ${ }^{4}$ and romning as it were romed it, completes its period in the whole of time. For it is neressary, being the soul of the world, that its mundane [intellect] should evolve the whole intellicible world, and that on this arcount it should make the intellective apocatastasis of its mundaue period, according to the perfect mumber, conformally to which it makes the whole corporeal period. For this universe imitates the invisible period of the mumene soml, through its own proper circulation, and makes its apocatastasis locally in conjunction with the apocatastasis of the soul, which is accomplished intellectively. And this is the illustrious peculiarity of the mundane sonl, which l'lato unfolds in what he now says, to those who are able to apprehend his meaning. After this manner therefore, his words are to be understood.

[^117]Again however, it must be investigated, what it is which produces in the soul a transitive motion, and an intelligence not fixed like that of intellect, and also time instead of eternity? In answer to which, it must lee sain, that as the sonl has a parthble essence, so likewise it has a life not one, but co-divided with its essence, and this is also the case with its intrlligence. For the soul mstead of being essence, is essentiallized, instead of life, is vitallized, and insteal of intellect, is intellecthallized; participating of these primarity, in consepmente of their lacine prior to the somb. In intellect therefore, the esonce is one, and the life is one, and the intelligence of esonce is impartible, in the same manner as the essence, and being adaped to it, like a point to a point, has no transition. The intelligence of the soul however, is not impartible alome, but as we hase sad, is abo parthle, and not hasing sutficient strength to be adapted to the impartible, but dietributiner iterlf about the impartibility of intelLect, it always applies another and another part of itadf to intellect which is fixed, in order that it may wholly appredend that whoh is establinhed prior to the whole of itself. Aftre this mammer therefore, it protuces a transition of emeres, that wheh is partible in it, evolvine itself alont the impartible, and toge ther with the transition, it erencrates thme. It tramition however is effered in a two-fold way, ether by being evolsed about the one, and acording to all the parts of itself having the one; for into as many parts an it is divided in so many ways the escontial, the same, and the dillerent are contamed in it. Applyine itself therefore to the one, by cach of its parts, and frepuently comine into contact with it, it introdsces transition to its intellection, in order that the whole of it may intellectually perceise that which is prior to it. But in another way, its tramsition is effecten, liy each part of it comerizing abont all things. For every part of it has these three, vize essince, the same, and the dithernt. Not beine adapted therefore to the whole of each, it wholly applies itself to each, so far as it participates of each; to essence indeed, thromis that which is partially a thing of this kind, and to other things after a similar mamer. Thus therefore we solse the doubt.

In addition to this also, it may be impuired how Plato not having yet delivered to us the generation of time, now says that the sont lises throngh the whate of time? To this inguiry likewise it must he said, that he delivers the gemeration of that time, of whith the animal nature of the world participates. For he says, that the father on behohline the mivere moving and living, eonstituted time, for the purpose of moancing the motion in it. Since therefore this life, and this motion to the universe which has a lonly, is adrentitions, so likewior, time is imparted by its gemerator, from whom it has life and motion throngh sonl. But the sonl also has these from the father, I mean life, and the motion which is
accordine to time. Since however, it has somethiug self-subsistent, it co-introduces something to the progresion of itself into existence from its generator. As the Deminrens themfore, moves the nonl, and it is also self-motive, after the same manner, the soml liherwio is the came to itself of being moved according to time. Hence before the Demiurgis gave to this miverse time, the soul is said to be moved through the whate of time. For thas it gives subsistence in conjunction with the Jomimpus to sumal time, just as in conjunction with him, it constitutes the life, acrordiner to whis'motion subsists. It abo eroverns arcording to nature, that which liws and is moved thromgh it, and has not as it were, a casmal inspection of it, impating mothing to that which it govems. Concerning time however, we shall asain sporak.

But from these thines, thus much may be summarily collected, that the sonl of the universe, moves indeed the univrse, estahlishing in the middle of it guardian powers, filling the whole of it with vivitication, and intellectually containing it extronally ; imitating in this respect its cause which generates three principalities of Golls, viz. the ernardian, the vivife, and the demiursic. The soul, however, by a much ereater priority moves itself divinely, beçiming from its first energies; and on this arcome moves loth itstlf and the universe incessantly. Hence likewise it comducts iteclf, and all heaven wisely. Arain, the soul making the one exiension of time the mensure of its preper period, comvolves the universe with invariable sameness. For the miverse accomplishes its apocatastasis in conjunction with the aperatastasis of the sonl. For it does mot aromplish this prior to the aperatastasis of the sonl ; since the same thine tabe place asain and arrain in the word, ${ }^{2}$ :und arnerated matures are produred accondiner to the intellections of the soml ; nor postorior to it, in order that this restitution to its pristine state may not he without a canse. For what else but the period of the soul will comprehend the whole of it. If, however, we assert these thines rightly, again the soul will have that which is divine from the one beins, the unceising from eternity, wisdom from intellert, and all things from the one cause of all.
" Ani the body of the universe indeed, was generated visible; but the soul is invisible, participating of the rational energy and harmony, and pertaining to intelligibles and perpetual beings, being generated by the best of causes, the best of gencrated natures."

[^118]Tim. Plar.
Vol. II.

Since Plato gives to the soul afterwards, all snch things as he before gave to the body, viz. essence, harmony, figure, puwre, and motion, and congoins both to the completion of one mimal; in order that yon may not isnorantly suppose that body and soul are of a similar dinnity, beine deceived by homonymous appellations, he concisely reminds us of the diflimere betwern the two, and does not superfluou-ly say, that bedy in visible, but the soml imsible; and shows that body is the object of opinion, became it is semible and er.merater, but that the sonl is
 intelled. For it lelones at und and the same time to etermal beines, and sente rated natures, but is the last of the fomme : smere time has now a place in it. And that indend, which is primarily atomal beine, is in buth reperet- etomal, viz. both
 different from its endere. But that which is wemerated, is in hoth respects
 tion aceording to time. 'The soml, however, in a cettain mopert participates of eternity, and in a cortan repere of erneration ; but it emerazes temperally.
 way only perpetmal, the ente cternally, but the ollur temporally. But the medimen between the two, in propetal in atwofold revere, a latime hitiomed, ame on this
 thble, and according to its two cirches, hom alos accordiner to perpetmal heine, and
 renerated natures, beine produced by the beat of cames. And arainsonser, the difference of the soml with refermerebody. Fur llato hat before called body the most beantifil of aremated natures; but now he calls the somil the best of things enderated. But it is commonto both to hase been armerated by the most excellent canse. 'The' sonl however, as beine moarer to its maker, is the best; but forly, as beine more remote from him, is inderd most bautiful, yet not the best. For the most beatifub, is meondary to the best, in the same mamer as beauty to the groent.

In what is here said, however, Plato may seem to call the Dominergts the best of intelligible and efornal beines, in the same manner as he calls the somb the lest of eremerated natures; and thes to bear witness to thone who mathe one (iod prior to the world.' But if some one should thas understand the words, by inverting them, yet at the same time it is evilent from andory, that we must not place in-

[^119]telligible and eternal beings, an forms' in the Demiurgus, but other essences posterior to him, if som has the sime ratio to all erenerated natures, that the DemiurFus has to ctemal beines. And we must ather make him look to things posterior to him, thoush in his speech to the junior Goals, when lee commits to them the fabrication of mortal natmes, he orders them to imitate his power abont their gencration. He does not, therfore, fabricate immortal animals, by imitating thins- poterior to him, but things cotirely prior to him, in the same manmer as he wishes the jumior (iots to fabricate mortal natures by imitating him. Or if we asoil this ineonsenience, we most admit that there are many intellizihle Gods, though they are ponterion th the Jomiurens, which those above alluded to, will not $\underline{r}$ rant. Will it not therefore be better to assert, either that the soul is
 neratod natmes, and as touly both impartible and partible, in comerpurnce of its midhbe subsi-mer betwern the two or that becanse he says it participates of rational energy and harmony, it belones th [or primarily participates of] intelligibos and perpetaal bemes? Jor the hameny which is in it is senerated, not beine hammey itedf, but harmonized. And its rational enerey is mot edermal bins, but has gromration, as subisting according to time, and being tramitive. How therefore does the soml participate of the rational cmery and harmony? And how does it hapren, that harmony and the mational comery, are not primarily in the sonl, but aroorline to participation? I amswer, became these have a prior
 the leader of the Mures, dpollot, and alon the Marcurial wries. Hence the rational demineric enersy and harmony, sulsist in himprimarily; the former being Mercurial, hut the latter Apolloniacal. Aud the soul beine tilled from these, participates of the rational remey, and of harmeny. And if it be requisite to speak clearly, what apears to me to he the case, harmony must be conceired to hatc a threefold subsistence; soas to be, cilher harmony itsclf, or that which is forst harmonized; being a thing of this hind acrording to the whote of itself; or that athich is secondarily harmonizel, andin a certain respect participates of harniony. And the first of these must be assigned to intclict ; the scoond to soul; and the third to body. The rational likewise, or reasoning cuergy, has a three-fold subsistence; the first heing primordial; the srcond according to participation; and the third according to representation or resemblance. For there is also a certain vestige of the rational energy, in some irrational animals. We likewise understand essence, firure, and power, in a threc-fold respect. For essence, according to its primary subsistence,

[^120]and the first figure, and the first power, are in intelligibles. But that which participates in a certain respect of all these is the corporeal nature. For there is also something [i. e. matter] which is unfixed, unessential, and powerless. The soul, however, has each of these according to participation; but wholly participates of them according to the whole of itself. For it is wholly corroborated, is wholly invested with figure, and wholly ' ramoliallizetl. For the untigured in it, does not precede the figured; or the powerless, power; nor the unessential, essence: since if they did, it would not at all differ from material bodies. The som therefore, participates of the reasoning entry, of harmony, figure and power, and wholly participates of each of the ar ; but body participates of them partially. Again also, from these thins, the middle nature of the soul presents itself the show, and it is also evident that Plato very properly says, that it was acherated by the best of cancer, the firs and bot of gemmated natures, and that it is imisible, but the first participant of intelligible harmony.
"Since therefore the soul was mingled from these three, viz. from the nature of same and different, and from essence, and was distributed into parts, and bound according to analogy, itself at the same time returning by a circular energy to itself; hence, when it touches on a certain thing possessing a dissipated essence, and when on that which is impartible, it then spats concerning it, being moved through the whole of itself."

We divide the empress of the som in a twofold way, the first of which is into the motive and ernostic energies ; for both these are adapted the the soul, as the demoniacal Aristotle also says. Of the motive energies however, we lind some inherent in the soul itself; others, procereliner into the universe; and others, submisting between both these. For thor ind red which extend through the whole world, from the middle to the extremity of the misers, are mundane alone. But those which comose the soul, are atone separate. And those that cirentarly cover the universe as with a veil, are separate and at the same time inseparable, abiding and proceeding about the universe. But of the enostic energies, some pertain to the first of things; others, to those of a middle nature; and others, to the last of things. For the soul hows itself, and the natures prior to, and posterior to itself; since it is the image of things prior, but the paradigm of things posterior to itself. Hence perceiving its .ff, amd evolving itself, it knows all things, not at all departing from its own proper power. For it is not proper that it should recur

[^121]to any other pace, in order to perceive beimes, but that it should int. Hectnally see itself. Euoush tharefore, has been said concorning the motise energies of the soul. But here, amb in what follows, he speaks concerning its gnostic energies. And that the soml inded knows trings, and ispecially the sond of the univene, i evident; sine wo ser that they are also appredended by our soul. If boweder it knows, it remains to be comsidered how it knows, and aftor what manner it knows intellighbs, and after what manner sensibles, whether liy the same, or by difieront powers, and whetber hy looking to itself, and the reasons it contains, or by lienge evionded to the uljects of knowledre themselves, just as the sight to that which is sisihle, and which is extormal to it.

In shont therefore, Plato denominates these suostic motions of the sonl, contacte with the objects of khowhetere indicating by this, the immediate nature of their appromsion, and their impartihle commmion with the thines known. If, howarr, each howledge is a coutact, the soul will come into contact with both intellisiblos ame sembibles, acordine to an appropriate application of iterlt to them, yet not so ar to appehemb both hy the same propecting enerey. For it does not come into contact with swibles, thromeh its application of itself to intelligibles, nor with intellizibles thromel its application to semibles. Both these however, viz. Wo tomeh and not to tomeh, are the pronlanition of the liberatod Gods, as we bam from the larmenides. Henere, we before rixtity anortod, that the sond ace
 it hoows lhimes prior and ponterior to itnelf, is assmilated th those Gods. As
 wishes to remind us of what has been beforesabl, the mention of which is not superthons, hut contributes to what follows. For from these and those, the discussion will have an appropriate explication. The particulars however, of which he had before spohen were, concerning the ewomer, concemine the harmony, concorming the form, and concernines the powers of the sonl. Hence Platorerapitulatior says, that the sonl is ratirely minsted from three parts, mesence, same, amel dintornt. And it has heen shown what the misture is, and that it is vivitic. For the uniform cause of sonls, constitutes the soml in conjunction with the Demingens. It has likewise been shown how the trial pertains to the soul, and from what remera, and that it is from the middle gromera. The sonl also, was divided by the duphe intervals aceording to the geometrice middle, and was again bound throngh the remainibg middles. For he called them bomds. A circular motion likewise, was given to it, throurh the circles, which comprehend its harmony, and its form. For in the harmony, the distribution into parts preceded the analogy, and in the furm, the division preceded the contact. That which
remains however, is in each. For the colligation has a representation of the solution; since binding pertains to things which are loosened. The distribution also of the colligation is analogous. For abslogy, as we have lefore observed, is the most beantiful of bonds. And the suml is divided 'litamnically, but is adapted harmonically, and is mingled vivitically. Farther still therefore, in the third place, it is divided into those powe rs in it, accordiner to which it returns by a circular enerey to itself. Dor the twoblel eircles are the perwers of it. Hence these things lefine preminal, that whielt follows must he demmetrated.

Since therefore the soul comsi-ts of three parts, condme, same and difterent, and has these gemera, ar malia letwon imparthbe and parthble natures, it hows both throush them. For it is the inawe of the fomer, but the paratign of the latter. Hence as Euphodenlemsays,

> Wate bey water, earth by tarhly sight,
> Ether thine we ee by ethet's hohtt;
> Fire eversple midd we discose by dire;
> View lure by love, by shic conlenthon dire.

After the same manner, we also say, that the seoll liy its essence, knows all the essences from which it is derimed, and all thom: which it exomtially precedes. But by its namemes, it hows l!!e samenes subsiotior in all intelligible, intellece
 through all things. Simee likewise it is essentially harmonized, it home through its own proper harmony, looh intelligible and semible harmony. Nince too, it has intellectual gowers, thomgh thee it homs power wherer it wists. From what has leen before said therefire, the disem-sion of the suostic onergits of the soul is rembered manifist. For the sonl, from the thiners which it powesses essentially, hows both the paradism, and the images of them. And thromeh the reasons which the Deminrgis imparted to it, it intedectnally pereaimes both the natures that are prior, and those that are pesterion to italt. For returning by a circular emergy to itself, it comes into contact, as Timeens says, with both innpartible and partible essences; and contring into itseli; finds itwelf to be the reason of all hings. For all knowledge derises its completion through a similitude of that which hows to the thing known. And similitude is rompleted by the commanion of one form. Hence, there is one reason in that which hows and the thing known, and being the same, it conjoins these to each other. Since however, the reasons in gnostic essences are diti-rent,' according to the meanures and diminutions of the essences, on this account knowledge receives an all-various

[^122]difference. For intrllectual knowlidge is impartible and cternal, because the reasons in it of thines are of this kind. But prechical knowledge is evolved, and subsists in disonsine energies; because the reasons of the soul, throngh which it knows thinss, have an evolwed nature.

Farther still, intellectual knowhedge is uniform, becanse the reasons in it subsist monadically, for they are primordial. But the prychical knowledere is biformed; became the reasons ako have a twofold smbeistence, the dianoctic subssibting in one way but the doxastic in another. Kinowledge therefore is both one athl twofold, accorling to the cromtial division of reasons. For if one of the circles knows the intellisible, but the other the sensible essence, what is it which says that these are diblerent from cach other, and that the former is a paratigm, but the latter an image? For it is not possible for that which has not a hoowledee of both, to speak roncerning the difterence of them, as we may learn in the Thedetus. Hencr, as it is there sail, that the nature which knows visibles and audibles, the fomer thromer the sight, and the latter through the hearines, and says that these are diblerent fromeach oher, ought to know both; so this reasen which is different from the two cireles, speaking through all the soul, whe thine concrmine intellizibles, but others concerning sembles, and lefing common to both the circles, is, as I somblay, the corery of the ensential part of the soml. Fore so far as the soul is one esemee, aceordine to this, it has this one sonotic cores, which Plato calls reavon. Hence also, we simply call the whende soml ratiomal. This reasem therefore is the rone knowledere of the soul, which thronsh the cirele of the same knows the impartible, but thromsh the circle of the different the disipated esornce. For thomert both the circtos have a sub--istence hetween the imparthle: and the partihe casence, yet the circle of the same participates in a greater dewree of the impartible, but the circle of the differcut of the partible esernce. Amb thi is the ome roseltial reason, as the exsenere is one, prion to the same and the differont. The life of it likewise, is the self-motion,
 beine common to both the circles. And on this account, the sonl is not only hiformed, but also mifurm. Thus much therefore, has been sairl, for the sake of the compreturnsion of the whole dosma.

Descoding however to particulars, it must be observed, that Plato says the sonl consists of three parts, and that it is mingted from these; throngh the mixture inderd, indicating the mion of the comgregated parts; but through the number, thrir ummingled purity. For they would not remain three, unless they preserved their proper essence unconfused. It must also be observed, that speaking of partible natures, he says, "when the soul touches on a ccrtain thing having a dis-

possess the essential and being, adventitiously, and the subject of which is unessential and non-being. And the word (ro tivos) a certain thing, is most adapted to things which in some way or other are partible, and endued with interval. Farther still, to fouch is appropriately assertod, becamse the soul proximately presides over sensibles, and is sn-pended from intellicibles; the contact manifesting a knowledge which is clear, immediate, and established according to a definite projection tosards the olject of hataidedge. "As a comtact," sats some une of the Gouls. But concernimg impartible exsences, Plato was sati-fied with alone saying, "and whon on that which is importible." For the simple and the uniform are the peenlianities of the impartible esence alone. Moreoner, to speak, apmopriately signities the peselical intellimence. Fur the soul is reason, hat to speak is the renergy (avegrua) of reanon, in the same manner as to preceite intellertually, is the encrey of intellect, and to rerminate, of natare. For the chergies of esences, are paronymonsly denominatued with the esocnces thomatises.

We onght not however to be ignorant of what l'orphyry relates concerning the
 interpreter, Amelins," who intead of "it spectis being mored," reads, "it ends being mocel;" though it is dithenle to addat this ratimer to the soul, which is mored incessantly, as we hase before observed. Porphery adds, that he said to Amelins, it speahs should be adophed, and not it ends, and that Amelins was very much hurt [at this emendation], but that he aforwards found one Socrates who reats 2.r, en as well as Amelins. It must theetiore, be written by us, "it speaks being $_{\text {as }}$ nowed throush the whole of itsclf," and not "it chls beimer mozed," as that socrates and Amelins wrole, aceording to the narration of Popplyry. For to speak, is the escential' enerey of reason. 'The soml therefore, lumer reawn, and a rational intellect, speaks and sees intedlectually throngh the whele of itself, (when it comes into contact with a partible, or an imparible ensence, what that is wheh is the. object of its perception, becanse it is itself both impartible and partible. And if indeed, the genera in it, were alone divided from eachother, the whole smal wombl not from essence possessing knowledire, have a hnowledge of esonce. But if the genera, were entirely cormpted, there would not be a detinite know bedge of beines,

[^123]nor would it he possible to say, that essence is one thing, but sameness another. Since however, the midile gemera are mingled with earh other, yet not so as tole confomblel, the sonl muderstands each thing detintely, and as Platosays, the gh the whole of itself. But if it speahs, being moved thromeh the whole of itself, it is eviflent that it is one and not one; that it has a knowledge common to the extromes, and yet differing from them; and that as one, it wholly speats about all thins, and not wholly, as not being [entirely] one. The circle of the same likewise, in the knowledge of intelligibles, knows sensibles as from paradiams; and the circle of the differcnt hows intelligibles, as from images. For each haviner perfectly the objoct of its knowledge, necessarily sees that one is the paradigm of the other, hut the other the imare ; or not knowing that the one is a paradigm, or that the other is an imare, it will not know in a self-perfect manner. Knowing however, that the one is a paradirm, it knows that of which it is the paradigm, and knowing that the other is an imare, it knows that of which it is the image. Very properly therefore, is it said that the soul speath of all things, through the whole of itself. And thas much concerning the things themsetves.

Since however, some erroneombly read it conds, and not it speats throurh the whole of itself, as we have said Porphyry relates, and that Amelius thos reading, was not able to mofold the moaning of the words of Plato, thus much must be added, that to read it speatis being moved, is attended with less difficulty, but that we may also read it chls; the word $\lambda . y^{g}$, signifying one apprehension from many conceptions, of thinrs knowable, arriving at the peculiar and definite intuition of each; in order that the meaning of the whole may be, the soul being mored, ends at the knowledge of each thing [with which it comes into contact]. For the end of beiner moved is to cease to be moved, the soul never ceasing to be moved, and always arriviur at a certain intelligence. Aristotle also, perceiving this to be the case in the heavens, says that they are always mosed in the cod.
"It also asserts what that is with which any thing is the same, from what it is different, to what it is especially related, and in what respect, and how it subsists; and when any thing of this kind happens either to be, or to suffer, both in things which are generated, with reference to each, and also with reference to such as possess an eternal sameness of being."

There are three interpretations of the proposed words, all which are reasonable, and it is requisite to exhibit the power of each. For the first interpretation makes the whole to be one sentence; but the second makes it to be two sentences, dividing the words as we do ; and the third makes it to be three, form-

Tim. Plat.
Vow. II.
ing the division according to each of the colon. The first interpretation also, is as follows: "When the sonl touches on a certain thing which has a dissipated essence, and when on that uhich is impurtible," here the interpretation making a small stop, [i. e. a comma] it adduces the rest of the words, viz. "it says being moved through the ahole of itself, what that is with which any thing is the same, Sce." For Plato asserts, that the soul says all these thimss, beiner moved throurh the whole of itself, hoth of the inpartible and the partible essence, cominer into contact in buth, with sameness and ditherence, habitudes and quatities, actions and pasions. For all these are analogously in intellimibles and semsihles. But the second interpretation mahes one sentence to be that which we hate hefore mentioned, viz. " IV hen the soul touches on a cortain thing which has a dissipated essonce, and when on that which is impartible." Ant the secome sentenew tu bre," It adou asserts being mored, whate that is acith ahich auy thitur is the same, from what it is detforent, to what it is reduted, in what respect, and how at subsists, sc." 'This interpretation howerer, dotlers from the former, because it separates what is said about cosence from what is satd about thines pertaming to essethere. Aut the thirel interpretation makes a raphel division of the eolons. For it maten whe division to be that which we have before mentioneel; the second, "It also asserts whthe that is :"the which any thing is the same, and from ahot it is deflerent;" :and the lhird, all that follows. As we have said therefore, the words have a therefold interpretation. We shonld direct our attention however, eprecially th the thiners thermelves.
'That samences, then, and diflirence are in intelligililes, is evident. But hove are relation, stmation, quality, when, and passion there? Pur thene are well known to caist in semsibles, hut how do they subsist in intellizibles? May it not be said, that the genera of beding manifotly mbsist in intellizibles, becanse they are properly beinars? You may also assmme that relation, stuation, sec. may be surveyed analogonsly in intelligibles; ratation indeed, if yon are willing, according to the patemal and matermal, and also the simian amd dissimilar, the equal and mequal, only you must not assume messential hathitules, hut such as are adapted to intelligitle essonces. For the most primeipal habitude is there, where there is a more abmmant commmion, and all things subsist pimarily. Hence Plato says, " and to uhat it is cspecially related." But the in a certain respect (orr) subsists in intelligibles, so far as each of them is not wholly the one, lont atter a certain manner $\left(\pi r_{4}\right)$ : for the one is simply one. And arain, the abme which is there, is also different, lout is not simply ditlerent; since if it were, difierence ' would be no other than samemess. The like also tahes place in the rest. All things

[^124]therefore, says he, are all, and each is one according to essence, but all things accorling to participation. And this is what the in a certain respect is in intellicribles.

Asain, the hor it subsists, is there arcording to the differeneres of participations. For many thiner participate dificenty of the same peculiarity. Thus for instance, permaneney paticipates of samenes, and motion also participates of it, yet not after the same manner, but the former more, and the latter less. In the orders of forms likewise, intellisibles participate in one way of the one, or of reanere, but inteflertnals in another way, and of throes some differently from others, areordine to the measures of the esomen of each. Hence the howe it sulusists is there. But the athen is there, either according to the operations of intellizibles on subhnary natures; for these sometimes participate of them, and they become sometimes participable by certain things or it is there, according to the intellections themselves of the soul. For the soul applying itwelf at different times to different forms, at one time, intellectially perceives these, but at amother those. And eternal being sometimes accedes fo it, in the same manner as sometimes the intelligible. Each thing likewise is there, with refercnce to cach, so far as all things are in each other, and proced through each other, and all things are allied and adapted to all ; or so far as they are suspented from a certain one, or so far as one is cause, hout another the thine cansed; or so far as in some way or other they subsist differntly. And to suffer is there, so far as they are filled from each other, and all things impart to all their own peroliarities. For Plato frequently manifestsparticipation hy the worl suffering, as we may learn in the Sophista. For he there saty, that $u$ hole is the suffering oue [or that which is passive to the onc], but is not the one itself, becanse it participates of the one. These things therefore, are in intellimilles and in sensibles, liccause both in the latter and in the former, it happens that each exists and suffers with reference to each. Plato therefore, [as I have said,] is accustomed to indicate participations by suffering, and as we have said, to call every thing which participates, that which sutfers the thing' of which it participater.

In short, the soul of the universe spraks throush the whole of itself acrorting to one knowledge, both of generated natures, and of those which poosess an eternal sameness of heine, and asserts of cach what that is with which it is the same, and from which it is different, and how each sutsists according to existence, or action, or passion. For both among real beings, and generated natures, one thing in a greater degree suffers from another, and one thing in a greater degree acts on

[^125]another; all which the soul intellectually perceiving, asserts some indeed through the circle of the same, but others throngh the circle of the different. For it antecedently comprehends all sensibles, and their actions and passions. For since the univere is one animal, it is copassive with itself, so that all penerated natures are parts of the life of the universe, as of one drama. Just as if a tragic poet should compose a drama, in which the gods and heroes, and wher persons, are introduced, and in which also he assigns to such of the phayers as are willines the ntterance of heroic or eertain other speches; the poet himself in the mean time comprehending the one canse of all that issaid. It is reguisite therefore, to conceive a thing of this kind in the whole sonl of the miverse. For giving subsistence to all the life of the word, which is one and varions, like a many-headed amimal speahing with all its heads, and utterine partly Corecian and partly Barbaric: lan-
 particulan by miverals, aceidents by esomees, amd barts bey whers. But it hnows all thimss simply accordine to its disine part. For a God sutar as a fiod hows things partial, and pretematural, and in short all thines, wen thomeh you should adduce matter itself. For every thim, whatever it may lne is one, so far as it is trom the one. 'The hnowleder therefore of all things simply and immediately, is disine.
" But reason becoming true according to same, and also being conversant with different, and revolving about same without speech and sound, in that which is moved by it."

Atticus by reason here, maderstands the attentive power of the sonl; but Porphyry, the chariotere, movine the twofold horses; and lamblichus the whole sonl. For the soul moves the whole of itself, and threngh the whole of itself is the reason of beings. And all the interpretations indeed, appear to exhibit the meaning of Plato, bint that of Porphyry is more concordant, both with what is here and rlsewhere sad. For this reatom which is now assmmed, is nother that which is essential, nor that which subsists in emerey, but that which is as the one power of the essence of sonls, accordinir to which also the sonl is one, just as it is hiformed according to samemess and diflionence. Or why were there not three cirches, one according to each of the dements which are three, but two only, unless there is one essence in both? The power therefore of this one essence, is this reason, which is neither 'ssence itself, nor the energy from essence having the third order. Hence this reason bering one, hnows arcording to the same. Fot it does not sometime hnow the intelligible, and sometimes the sensible, lihe our reason, which is not able to apprehend both according to the same. This reason
therefore, knows at once both same and different about the intelligible, and about the sensibhe essence, not beiner true, like intellect, but becoming true abont both, on account of the transitive nature of its knowledge of both. So that the words according to same, vigmify the difference between the knowledge of a divine sonl, and our knowledier ; but the word becoming signifies the difirrence between psychical and intelloetual knowledse. This reason therefore, knows intelligibles and semsibhes, by coming into contact with intelligible and sensible sameness and dimerence; lat it passes thoush the whale sonl, here inded, moving the circle of the same, but there, the circle of the deflerent; and by the one surveying in-
 whole Drmingres, concernine whom it is sail, [ly the Chaldran Oracles] "hy intellect he possesses intrilizihles, hat he introduces sense to the worlds." For thus also the pryehical reavon, beiner horne alour in the sonl so as to move both itself and the whole somb, ahont intelligible and semsible samerness and dillerence, at one time prodnces opinions and pershasions, but at another, intellect and seience, becoming mdeed, and not bemer true, in the same mamer as intellect itself. For intellect is rally trur, and is true accordiner to some; eithras at once knowiner both beings and erenorated matmes, or as abway beine such, and not sometimes, like the reason of partial sombs. For this is not always invariahly tree, beiner filled with
 heiner transitive in its twofold knowledie'; but is true according to same, as alactays comprehembing the ahole form of cach object of thonledge, and not conformably to our reason, ceolrime each of the forms athich it beholds, but surveying at once the whole of recy thing which it sces. For we meetiner with a dillirent prart of the same thing, do not sue aceording to same, hut we preeise each thing partially. Or it may lee said, that this reason is according to sume, when conversant with sameness and difierence, becomins simply after the same manner true, both about the intelligibe and thr sensible, as knowing both at once, so far as same, and su lar as different, that it may see and tell that the one has its prowression from the other.

To be therefore, according to same, manifests that this reason is gnostic, accordiner to one projecting energy, both of that which is truly diffircnt, and that which is troly same. For possessing at once a transitive knowledge of these, this reason is bcroming to be true, and of which the energy is to serak of that which is truly same, and of that which is truly different, in the intellirible,' and in the sensible nature. For the work of this reason is to see in what intelligibles differ from sensibles. For it is necessary that there should be a certain thing

[^126]which is gnostic of both, in order that it may say intelligibles ' are one thing, but semsibles another. But "it is borme aloms in that which is mored by it," as proceeding inte the twofold circles, and mosines both itself and them. For the lation manifests the progression from one knowledere, divided into a twofold howledgre This reanon italf however, is a miturn hombedige [or a hoowledge hasing the form of the one], both of intellighblesamd sembihes. Or it maty be said, that it spaks in the soml, became the intellieible is owe thine and the sembible another or that it hown ' buth, being prior to both the cireles, which know intelligibles. and sensihtes in a divided manmer. for sinew the soml is hoth a monat and a duad, arcording to one hnowledise, it mitud!y comprehemds intellightes and sensibles; and asain, it compehemds some thinern aceordine to the cirele of the same, but others, accordiner to the eirele of the d!titent. As therelore, in rsance, the monadic preedes the biformed, and this is aloo the cane in harmony, in tirm,
 of distributed knowledige. There thing howerer, hate been frepremty repeated by me, throngh the ambignty in wheh the interpreters ate involued in expainine them.

Plate therefore, indicating these particulars, says that reason itself hecomine true is borne aloner [i. e. revolses] abont both the intelligible amd the senable, in that which is moned by it, i. e. in each of the circles. But it is borne alones without spereh amd somd. For insard reason is not at all in want of either of these ; but they are requisite th that reason whels proceeds throngh the menth. So that it is just as if he had said, that this re:son has a motion more perfert han every' energy which procerderatermally. Forsomal and voice are asommed as symbols of semsible motions. Inward reason therefore, as beiner the chatioter, and moving in its conrse each of the cireles, produces in us a twofold knowledise, which l'ato delivers in what follows. But if we read, as we find it writem in the mos acenrate mamseripts, in that which is mozed by itself, amb uot, in that which is mazed by it, viz. by reason, this will manifol the whole soul, signifing it from its defintion. For the thine defined, is in a certain respect the same with the defintion of it. Reason therefure, being borne along in the soul; for it is the soul which is moved by itself; at one time hoows the same and different of semsibles, bat at another, of intelligibles. And it seems that same and diferent epecially characterize knowledge, in the same manner as motion and permanconcy characterize life. Hence

[^127]also, Plato particularly makes mention of these, because all knowledge has an alliance to them.
"When it is conversant with a semille mature, and che circle of the difiorent procecting with rectitude, emunciates what it pereeives to the whole soml; then stable and true opinions and belief are produced."

In what is here said, Plato spatak about the knowledere of semsibles, and how
 circle of the different, and the reasons in it which presth over the orderly distribution of wholes, and ley proersing his cirle in a tate of modevating reetitude. For rectitude mamifests risht opinion, as Popphary interprets, and Iamblichns admits. It herwiw manifes the nutamed and the mon-werging in presidential
 lowt the inthesille, and the mind lined, to pronidenee and prolitic conergies. And

 which is moned witn rettonde twe impartible; but that which is not accurately a circle, but in a certain wepert participatio of a right line, of be partible. For the knowlodge of womble temb to extemals, and is again reflected to the soul iteelf. Hence, that which is not acenately a circle in the som, is meither a right line alome, such as is the kunbenter of somes, mer a cirche, such as is the hmow ledge of dianmia. Lamblichos however, rejects all this, as priaining to homan, and not to divine homblede. When therefore, the chatioterer moves the riryle of the difforen, but this remainiug undeviating and converted to iteelf, excites the reasons of semilhes, and amomes to the whole somb the quality of each sensible ohject; (since the whole soml hows accorting to this, every thing smable; for the circle of the same when it beholds intelligibles, haws also semsibhe as from ramer, but the cirche of the different knows them immediately, and as it wore in a co-ordinate manner)- when this is the case, then stable and true opinions and ledief, are generated in it. For the more divine soul judicionsly approving, or rather, imparting by illumination, a more intellectual enerry to the dovastic circle, his circle possesses with parity its own proper life, and the knowlodge in it is rendered stable, antecedently comprehending in itself in a stable manner things that are locally moved, but such as are flowing and contingent, faithfully and stably.

[^128]For opinion indeed, is the energy and knowledge of the doxastic sont; but faith is the stable a ndunderiating judgment of opinion. And thas much concerning this particulat.

It demerves to be investirated however, how the circle of the different is, as has been said, gnostic of every thing semible, and the circle of the same, is suostic of intellighles, as will tre said. For though hy diviting the motine peower- from each other, one of the circles is the canse of metion whe right hand, but the other of motion to the left, yet the gmosic powers must not be separated after the same mamer, but it must be admitted that they hate a kuewhedge of semibles or intelligibles. May it not therefore be said, that the vital motions protain to progressions, but the gnostic toregremions? And the circle of the same, indeed, as being proximate to intelligibles, proceding from thenee, is by procereling mosed vitally, forms by its procresom the first perios!, and dromeh it moves the whole world. Fer the less prineipal follow the more principal probels. The assertion of Aristothe also is trow, that rencration is moned arcording to both; possessing the invariblie from the motion to the right hamb, but the variable from the circulation to the left hand. The circle of the same therefore, i comserted to
 sary that cory where conversion or regresom shonld follow permanemey. But the circle of the different, abidines in the eircle of the same; for it in proximately comprehemeded in this, just as this is by intellect; proceeds through vital motion, to the second thing, which is moved by it, I mean to the second circulation; ${ }^{\prime}$ and through this also mowes arneration, just an the circle of the same, throngh the inerratic oplore, moves the misene. Being comberted however, or returniner through knowledge, it is converted to the vital motion of the circle of the same which is prosimately above it. But returnine to it throush huowledere, it is montically converted to that which the vital motion of the circle of the same administers vitally. And thas it obtains a hoowledge of the whole world, conjoining the nerresion of itself to this circle of samenes, which abides prior to it. Thus ton, the one cirele lacemes sumstic of intellixibles, but the other of semsibles. For if the hatter moses the period of the defferent, it is neressary that it should know this period and also the things of which it is the leater, and what beine a medimm it follows; just as it is necesary that the circle of the same, if it moves the universe, should know to what being assimilated, it lises this life. So that to the one of these circles the regression is through a part to the whole; but to the other,

[^129]through a whole to another wholo＇．And thus much has been said by us in answer to this inguiry，which demands profound consideration．

It is not proper therefore，to think that the sonl of the unirorse receives the hnowledier of sensibles extrmally，or that it is in want of organs to the apprehen－ sion of them．For these things pertain to partial souls．But we ought to con－ crive，that beine converted to itself，it has the reasons of sensibles，produced in conerey trom itself．Hence ako，Plato accurately says，that the eircle of the ditlerfut enercizes about that which is sensible，but not towards the sensible －rionce，in order that he might indicate the causal comprehension of sensibles in the reasons of the soul，but not a knowledere co－ordinate with sensibles，and firmly established in them．Aud thus much eonecrning the things themselves． The words however，＂emunciates to the whole soml，＂are concordant with the words， ＂it speaks，being moced throurch the ahole of itsclf．＂But they indicate，that the circle of the different，proximately comes into contact with sensibles；and that throngh this the whole soul oltains a knowledge of them．The word ajoou how－ cver［i．e．of it］may，as Porphyry also has observed，be multifarionsly inter－ preted．but onght rather to $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{r}}$ attributed to rason．For opinions，and the belief of the circle proceeding with rectitude，and emunciating sensibles，are the progeny of reason．For opinions and belief are in the doxastic part of the soul indeed， but pertain to rearon，so far as they are moved，excited，and contained by it． And thus much concerning the co－arrangement of reason with the circle of the differcnt．But in the next place，Platospeaks of its co－arrangement with the circle of the same，adding as follows：
＂But when again，it is conversant with the logistic＂power，and the circle of the same revolving with facility indicates its perceptions，then intellect and science are necessarily produced．＂

Plato entirely opposes＇the rational to the sensible，the rcrolzing with facility to the straight or risht，the is to the grenerated，indication to enunciation，intellect to opinion，and scicuce to faith．＇The logistic however，is not that which reasons，as some one may suppose，but the intellizible itself．For this he opposes to the sensible，becanse reasoning there is more divine than in the soul，as we have

[^130]frequently observed, and in intelligibles always sulssists in energy. And it seems that he thus denominates the rational the logistic, just as he afterwards calls the sensible the sensitice. For the seusible is motive of sense, and the intelligible of the reasoning of the soul. Hence the logintic' will the that which is conprehended by the reasoning of the soul; and this antecedently comprehending cause, is homonymonsly to reasoning called diristic. But he calls the intellectual voluble, as having the mimpeded in tramsition, and also the circular, and the nourishing, lihewise perfection in intellections, the phergieing about divinity, the boniform, and the revolving about the intellizible as a centre, "hastening to conjoin yourself to the contre of resommdiar light," says some one of tie Goals. The word being likewise signitios that which is tilled with truly exinting beinss, and is united to them. But the worl indicates sinnifies, to unfold as it were into hirht, to twach, and to produce from that which is arcane. Farther still, by intellect here, mast be moderstood the intelleet wheh is aceording to habit. For intillect has a threcfold subsistence; the first inded, beins ditine, stich as is the demiurgic intellect; but the second, being that which is participated by soul, and is essential and self-perfect; and the third, that which sulsists accurding to halit, and on account of which the sonl is intellectual. And science is the tirst hnowledine which is
 table enarery. But it dillion from intellect, "so far as the latter is surneyed in the simple projections alone of the sonl. For thromblit the soml at once intellectatly perceives the whole of each object of intellection; since the at-oncecollected in emergins, is the peculiarity of intellect. But science is surveyed in the knowleder from canse. For this is the peculiarity of science as is also the composition and division of forms. For it is evident, that having a howledge of beings, it also knows which among them hase the order of canses, and which of -ffects. But all knowledge of this hind is called science, just as the simple apprehension of each olject of intellection is culled intelligence. And such is our explanation.

Iamblichus however, concrises this intellect to 'e more ancient than sonl, supernally contaning, and giving perfection to it. He also contends against those, who either immediately conjoin the sonl to all-perfect intellect, four it is necessary that the tramsition shonla not be immediate from exempt natures to participants, but that there should be midhle essences co-arranged with the thingr that participate) or whosuppose intellect to be a habit of the soul. For it is ne-

[^131]cessary that prior to that which is in another, that should exist which is in itself. And this inded, is rightly asserted with referener to things: but it is more consomant to the words of Plato, to survey this intellect as a habit in the somb itself. For Timans says it is produced from the enerey of the circle of the same. But that intellect which the Demingre constituted, must the sail to be above soul, when he phaced analormsly sonl in body, and intellect in soul. Forit is evident that this intellect is more ancient than soul, just as soul, accordiner to Timanes, is more ancient than body. The intellect howerer, which is now mentioned, being the effect of the motion of reason about the inteiligible, through the circle of the same; for he says it is produed, in conserpuence of that circle revolving with facility about that which is apprehended by the reasoning power; will not be more ancient than the soul, but a certain habit of it, in the same mamer as science. Hence also, he says, that it is ingenerated in the soul, in the same manner as science, opinion, and faith. From all that has been said therefore, this one thing may be summarily collected, that when reason is coneersant with the intelligible, and the circle of the same unfolds through its intellectual energy, the nature of beings to reason, then intellect and science are produced in the sout. And this indeed, must necessarily be the case. For a perfection adapted to such energies, is consubsistent with them. What then, some one may say, is reason at one time conversant with intellisibles, but at another with sonsibles? By no means is this the case with the total soml [or soul of the universe], but it is always on high, and is always directed to intellicibles. It likewise abides and is established there, and providentially attems to sensibles, with an untamed energy ; and thronsh the facility of the motion of the circle of the same, the rectitude of the circle of the different is permanent. But the words when, is gencrated, and the like, manifest diferences of power. For the enery is not every where similarly according to all the powers: for this is the peculiarity of divine intelligibles, on account of the simplicity of their essence. But according th the energy of the circle of the same, reason is more conversant with intelligibles than with sensibles; and from the energy of the circle of the differcht, is more conversant with sensibles than with intelligibles. It is requisite also not to overlook this, that Timxus says, "the circle of the difficont procecding with rectitude," loohing in so saying to the circles of a partial soul, of which, when in a fallen condition he asserts, that the circle of the same is fettered, and the circle of the different distorted. For in saying this he opposes rectitude to distortion, and to the being fettered facility of motion,' which simnifies the unincumbered; just as rectitude there, indicates a lation undistorted by inferior
objects, and an irreprehensible judiment; so that he celebrates appropriately earh of the circles.
"Whoever therefore asserts, that this is ever ingenerated in any other being than soul, asserts every thing rather than the truth."

What does he intend to signify by the word this? Is it intellect and science? If so, he does not me:an the circle of the different. Or rather does he not speak of the twofold conjunctions, [intellect and science, ] opinion and fath? For intellect and science are one of the conginctions, and opinion and faith are the second. But through these he comprehend. wery somb. Esery thine therefore, which is the recipient of intellect and sci-nore, opinion and faith,' is soul. For all these knowledges are rational and tramitioe. And beamee indeed, they are rat tional, they are exempt from the irrational powers ; hut hecamse they are transitive, they are suhordinate to intellectalal howledre. For if acience and intelleet are in intelligibles, yet they are not ingenerated in them, as he says they are in the soul. For sciences in the soul sulsi-t according to participation; since they participate of science itself, the soul bering esomtiallized according to participation. For the midalle proced from the first gemera, and are similaty harmonized; since the harmony in the soul is from harmony itedi. 'The sonl likewise posseses figure, similarly to the first genera. for intellectual figure is comprehensive of allvarious figures. The sonl also possenses powers after the same mamer. For intellectual and intelligible powers are prior to it. It likewiee receives motion from the gencra of tring, and knowlatge from the demingric intelligence, where also permanency is unfolded into light. For all knotedge is a certain permanency and comprehension of the thing knozen, and an apt congunction with it. 'The motion therefore of the soul participates of the whole psychical knowledge, so far as it knows itself,' and loohing to itself moves; and knowleder participates of motion so far as it is transitive. Peculiarly howner, the motive energy is defined according' to motion; but the gnostic, accurding to permanency. And the circle of the different is rather motive than gnestic, hat the circle of the same is rather gnostic than motive; because permanency pertains to samenes, but motion to difference. In the circle of the different however, there is knowledge, and in the circle of the

[^132]same, motion; just as in the latter there is samemess, and in the former difference. But the whole soul participates throngh the whole of itself of the generat of leing. As far therefore, as to these particulars, the peychogony obtains its completion, beiner divided into the before-mentioned heads. For the hypostasis, harmony, figure, fowers and energies of the soul, have been discussed."."'

Since however the soul is a multitude, and the first of composite natures; but it is composed, not of intinites, but of things numbered, and these not withont co-aramgement, but harmonized; this being the case, mubers and harmony were wry properly assmmed in the generation of it. Since too, it comprehends the principhes of all analory, and all harmony, in consequence of being the sonl of the world, no amalory is omitted [in the composition of it]. Wecanse however, it was comstitutel by divinty, the more divine of the genera was asumed, viz. the diatonic: for it is entheastic. And at iirst indecd, lxecause essence, same, and different were assumed, that whole of the soul which is prior to parts, was constituted; but now, thronsh the psychorony, the whole which is in the parts. For the Demiurgus divides, and mites the parts throurlimalogies. But throurh the circles, the wholeness in each of the parts, is delivered. It must likewise bex assumed, that the Deminrens in the Timans, energizes in conjunction with all the deminrsic Gods. For he cuts into seven parts 'litannically; mites Apollonị̂cally ; prodnces body, and invests it with figure, as containing in himself Vincan; and bemeds the measures of asents and descents, and inseribes the laws of Fate, as posersing Necosity. In the prscherony aho, it is necessary to refer what has been said, to the cesencer of the soul, or to the thinges which are alministered liy it, or rather to both. For the natures contained in the world, are mader the dominion of the powers which are esemtially inherent in the soul. It is lihewise regnisite to investigate what the middles are, what the multiple, superparticular and superpartient ration are, what the leimma is, and what the seven parts are ; and why the diagram proceds to a guadruple diapason, and the diapente, and tone.

Of the three middles atso, the geonetrical, arithmedical, and musieal, the solid analogy which is composed from the three is the egnality of Themis, from whom ewry order is derived. But the three middles proceed from the three danghters of Themis, viz. Eunomia, Dice, and Irene; the arithmetical from Irene, which surpasses and is surpassed by the equal; which also we employ in the time of peace in contracts, and through which the elements are quiescent; but the geometrical from Eunomia which lihewise Ilato denominates the judgment of

[^133]Jupiter, and through which the wor!d is adorned with geometrical analogies; and the harmonic from Dice, through which ereater things have a greater, but less a less ratio. Since therefore, the geometrical middle comprehends the other two, as has been demonstrated, the essence of the soul is adorned by the geometric middle, the sonl being the same through the whole of ithelf, and every where both partible and impartible. But it is adorned acoording to the arithmetical middle, because the commen powers in it, which recur from the impartible to the partible, or from things partible to the imparthbe, equally smpass and are surpassed by thinge entirely partible and impartible. And by the harmmne middle,' becanse of the powers that are in it, some are in a greater dewee aparated from their producing canses, and have a greater transemdency, hot others a leas. And again, the sameness of forms sulsists every where appropriately thomeh the whole world, corporeally and vitally, in a plant, in an animal, and in a stone ; beeame the whole world is adormed with geonetrical proportion. But the arithmetical midulle presents itself whe view in the sublunary dements, which it equalizes according to powers. And the harmonic middle is sern in the word, according to the [celestal] spheres, their motions, and their intervals. For Ptobemy demonstrates, that their inkervals are in harmonic proportion.

[^134]
## BOOK IV.

" Bur when the generating father understood that this generated statue or resemblance of the perpetual Gods, moved and lived,' he was delighted and exhilarated, and in consequence of this delight, considered how he might fabricate it still more similar to its paradigm. Hence, as that is an eternal ammal' he endeavoured also to render this universe such,' to the utmost of his ability."

The one Deminerne, who also falricates wholes, ermerates collectively and at once, aceordine to sameness, and conserts, perfects, and assimilates his fabrications to their paradizms; ib hether one and the same esonnce cfiects this, and one and the same generative, recalling, prectise and ansimilatise power, as is anserted by some of the ancients, or ditfermt powers, as appeared to be the case to certain others. For there is no small dismonsion, and as it were opposition, Inetwern these men. There are likewise some, who miting, are at the same time mowiling to admit that the onc is withont the ctheary of multitule; and there are othere who, thengh thay divide, yet camot codure of say, that the nomber of powers is uncoordinated and mutilated, but they willingly admit and demonstrate that these powers are comprehended in dheir proper monad, and are united and preserved by it. Hence it happons, that some assert that these powers are a tetradic monad, but others again, that they are an united tetrad, or as they love to call it, a monadized tetrad. It is evident however, that the Demiurgus here mentioued being

[^135]one, inserts in the junior Demiurgi posterior to him, at one and the same time an assimilative power when he orders them to imitate his power abont their generation; a generative power, when be orders them to produce and generate animats in eommon; and an amalysing power, when he commands then to receive back again the parts that were borrowed from the whole dements, the sulstances componed from thembering disombed, and to recall them to thair wholes. And
 immediately constitute the enowerne of the world, ghardians of the mombers of time, and rarth the gradian of day and hight.
 generated them commbistent with hamedf, and asimilated, and profererl, and converted them to himalf; their order not beiner confonmed by the at-muerecollectedevolion, as it wore, of all thinge into light, but beine in a murh greater dezree grarded and conmeted. For la mither deprives inforior matures of the providential care of more excellent lexing ; mor more perfect matures of the dominion pertainine to them over smehas are more inperfect. For he deves not comprehend one of the pe prior in time to the other; nor do either meondary matures remain destitute of the inspection of prosidenere, nor such as are more athe bent, shestinh and unprotitic: as if the former did not set recoise the prosidential ane resies of
 the bencficent energy of the father of wholes from eternity, ahomt the world, mest be serimbed with perecining and peakine of him, as at obe time gromeratiner, at another adoming, at another perferting, and at another asmimilatingr, whirh also the words of the philosopher now previouly sullering, are prepared to operate upon ins. For the world now participates of motion and life, according to the doctrine of the father. For soul that dwells together with it, preserves to it aelf the ditierent himlv of its wwo pernliar hnowleder, acerding to which it knows both intellisibles and mumbane nalmes. But motion and life, whidh do not flourioh in italf alone, it atso imparts to all the bulk of the bealy of the universe. And on this very accombt rither alone or expecially, the fithric of the word being completely farhioned at resmblance of the intelligible Gods, the Demiurgus was in a still areater derree delisthted and crhiturated, and in conseguence of this delight and mhitaration, cansed it to poseres a greater and nore perfect similitule to intolligibles. Hence also, he considered how hemight make it as it were perpethal. For the intelligible is properly, and primarily perpetaad, lat that is socomdarily perpetmal which is co-extended with the progression and anolution of time. For the ceer is twofold, the

[^136]one being eternal, but the otherfomperal. Why therefore, ater all be betwe-mentioned benefits, dome Plato introdare this eirhth gift of the Wemimrans? Beramse it isthe ereatest and most pertert, and transfors the imane to the highest smimthele to it: paradiem. But it is necesary that he who once exhibits the seneradon of wholes in words, should pass from thine more imprerect to such as are more perfoct. For conformably to this very thins, thing which sulsist per se or essmially, and those which are insencrated in others, are, as it were, opposed theach other; becanse in thinge which are entahbished in themselves, and which in no repert whatewr pertain to other, it is necomary to say that the more wenerable of these rank an leaters, throush which the linal, the demimeric, and the other canses consefonat these, present themetves to the view. But in things which are participated by others, unch as are more imperfect occur, which become as it were shbects tomore perfert matmes, and show thomselves to be of posterior origin. Surh therefore, is the wholes design of the words before us.

It follows in the next place, that we shomld show through what canses, and from the persesion of what nature, the Jeminerse of whote comstituted time in rongunction with the somb and the umisere; and atso what the good is imparted by it, and on accomat of which it was produced. It is likewise eoprecially requisite that we shomld show this, became many, even of the frients of Plate, appreheme time to le a certain obecure form, and mothing more than that whel is mmbered of motions; not consideriner, that of the ten oifts which the father imparts to the world, each of the follouing is entirely orreater than each of the eifis that precedes it. If fherefore, havimen amimated the world, and remberd it a blead (iond, be afterwards imparts time to it, it is esident that time will ber suprior to sonl, and to the posesesom of a blesed life on aceome of soul, and that a life which is diofined acordiner to time will live periodically. Hencer time will not be a thiner of such a hind as the multitude saly it is, hat will have an essence mor disine than that of souls, and peychical good. This thercfore, we shall again more fully enforce.

We must say however, directing our attention to the words of Plato, that the Demiurgis intellectually previves the liti, and motion, and order of the uniserse. abd its pessession of form, art in so doing lookiner to the world itself. For neither in short. is the world intellisible according to the whole of itself; but is rather according to its bith, the object of opinion in conjunction with irrational sense. Nor does the Deminrgus in his intellections tend to external objects; but

[^137]every intellect' is converted to itself. Hence, because he intellectually perceives himself, and contains in himself the generative, and providential cause of wholes; by beholding himself, he surveys both the essence and the perfection of his own progeny. But Plato says, that the world was generated the statue or resemblance of the perpetual Gods; not that it is the imare of the mundane Gods; for he does not alone speat alonat the corpmeral:formed nature of the wiverse, but also alout the animated and intillectual animul, whish compmohnol in iself the mumblane Cods; liut he says this, because it is the resemblane of the intillisible (iods. Fior it is filled with deily from them, and the proseressions of the mumbune Gods intu il, are, as it acere, cortuin rivers and illuminations of the intelitible (;ods. 'The worlh also, recoives these progremions, wot only acourdiner to itw celcatial part, lint acomeding the whole
 trial, apmatic, ame aderial Gods. 'The world therefore, is filled with deity acende ing to the whole of itself, and on this accomet is wholly a resemblance of the intelligible Gods; not indeed, receiving the intellinible (iods themselves; for meither dostatues rective the exempt psences of the total Gods; but being fitly adorned, it receises the illmmations derised fom thence to secondary orders, to which it has a commensurate subsintence.

That hy the perpetwal however, he means all the intelligible Gods, and not the Gads that are in the word, he rendern erident hy immediately adding, "Hence as that is an etcrual animat," vie. the intellixible [or :mimal itself]. But who the intelligihle Gods are, may be assumed from division. For it must rither be admitted that they are prior to animal itself; or in anmal itoelf, lucing the monade as it were of the four ideas which are there; or pesterior to animal itself. It would be ridiculons therefore, to amanese them prior to animal itself; for they would then comprehend eternity, to which lie has not yet said the universe is ansmilated. But it in impossible to arrane them in animal itself. For how could Plato call the uniserse, the matue or resemblance of those Gods, to which he had not yet accordiner to the order of the discourse, assimilated the plenitudes of the: miserse? For ha does this afterwards, when he prodnces the partial plenitudes of the universe. So that he wonld not have said, that the miverse was now generated the resemblance of these Gods, but since it aill be. It remains therefore, that the perpctual Gods, are all those posterior to animal itself, which subsist between the intelligible paradign and the Demiurgis. For the Demiurgus rendered the universe similar to all these, so far as each of them comprehends the firm of the wholrness of the world. This then is demonstrated.

[^138]Hence the world is the statue of the intelligible Gorls，when it is asumed in con－ junction with soul and intellect，and the deity whirh accorlesto it．But it is a statur in motion，and full of life，and deity ；fashioned from all thines within itself；pro－ scribir all things，and filled with an at－once－collected abmotaner of all erood from the fither．It likewiee peculiarly receives from nature motion，more than any thing else；but from soul，notion and life ；and from intellect，intelligenceandlife，and the receptacle of the mumdane Gods．From the mundane Gols lowever，it receives that which remains，viz．the briner fashoned in perfertion，the most true statur， or resemblance，of the intelligible（；ods．And asain，from this it is evident，that Plato estahlishes the Domorens conformably to the mont consummate of the ini－ tiatars into the mystemes．Fior he eatillits him as the statuary of the world，juse as before he representid him the maker of dicine mames，and the emunciator of dicine characters，throush thich he gave perfection to the sonl．For these things are effected by those that are thesta in reatity，whe site complition to statues，through characters and rital manes，and romer then liviger and mosing．With ereat propriety there－ fore，was the father of wholes delighted with hiv falmication，and being exhilarated with it，endearoured to render it still mone similar to its paraligm．He was de－ highted with，and admired however，not that which procected from，and throurh him was completely effected a thing of this hind，but with his own power，which caused the umiverse，from being moved in a romfised and disorderly manmer，to become orderly，animated，endned with intellect，and ri－ine．And asby how－ ing himedf，he hnows the world，so by admiring his own deminesie power，her makrs his falmication to be admirable，and the truc statue or resemblance of the propetual（inds．For in a cortain respect，the mirerse is suid to be a statue（ $x, x, \mu \alpha$ ）
 was delighted howerer，and exulted，not rejoicing in a thiner situated evternally； for how twiner intellect can he look ontwardly ；but his delieht was produred from being filled with his own boniform will，and from his beneficent power proceed－ ing to the muengine and rxuberant commonication and suphly of more perfect goods．This also Plater sulficiently indicates，by saying that the Demiurgus in consequence of being delighted，endeavoured to render the universe still more

[^139]similar to its paradigm. For he was primarily delighted indeed, thromgh the inward intellection of himself, comprehenting and benevolently receiving the intellizible universe, with a simple, umimpeded, and collected embrace, through permanency in, and a perfoct mion with it. But he was delighted secondarily, if it $\mathrm{la}^{2}$ lanfin! so to spath, on acromut of the aptitade of the natures which receive the supply of good, extorally prococdine from him.

And here youmay are, how Plato deliver lhe three canses of the participation of rood ; procerdiner inte thi world trom the tather. One indecel, and the first,
 Domiursus who now eromerates time: throush his own un-musing and prolitic abmadance, de-iring to fill all hime with firs, middle, and last goods. But the second came, is that which ariow from the apetude of the receriner thiner. Far the commmicator of eood in then delinhted, when that wheh receiven it, is aptly diepored to its reception. Ind the thire catmer, is that which procerels from the symmetry, and as it were compiration and symphony of both porar and aptitude. For on accome of this, thongh the Gods alway evend to all thins goed co-ordinater
 adapted to its reception, and hase not always a mheistence commensmate to its. power. If howeres, we wish that dinimey shomble rigice in 1 , ${ }^{2}$ as he is maturally
 an invariahle samenes of mbsintemere, we mast render ourselves adapted to the reception of the arod which is extented hy him ; in order that the gift of divinity, may not with repuet tons be ineflicacions, though he is not impered by any thimg. Theoe thins therefore it is the basimes of another diachenion to survey more filly.

Now, however, let us see how the raineree becomes mor. similar to its para-
 marily etornal, if the s.mible world did mot receive a secondary perpetnity, it is pident terevery one, that it would be in a las darere asomitated to the intelligible. And it lihewise is mot dithente to pererive, that the matme which has its generation in mutation, if were separated from time, would be so far from beine perpetaal, that it would mot be possible for it to remain for a moment. II ence, a contain perpetuity i neressay to that which is to be in a still greater

- Susteal of aroxceui in hio place, it is necessary to real avarnixa.
${ }^{2}$ i. e. If ne with th, receive his beneficent illuminations. For these are always extended, after the a abe mamer, because, as l'rodin, observes, divinity possese, an mvaiable sameness of subsistence. Hence whan we receive the good, which he perpectually extenth to us, he is said to be delighted, his delight indicating our preper reception of this geosel.
diegree assimilated to the intellicrible. But to that which is perpetual indeed, yet has not the perpetmity at once prosent with it, in the same manner as the intelliseible, the whole raten-ion of time is nereswary. Moreover, he who considers the nature of time, will more clearly how ' how tine not only contributes to the perpetnity of the whole world, and to the areat parts of the worli, but also to the perfection and lelicity of each of them, and comprehends all these at once; which in the course of the interpretation we shall endeavour to manifest, by investigating the plestitudes of time.
" 'The mature indeed of amimal itself was etermal, and this it is impore -ible to adapt perfectly to that whicia is generated. Hence he formed the design of producing a certain moveable "image' of eternity ; and in consequence of this, while he was adorning the universe, he made this etornal image proceding according to mumber, of eternity abiding in one, and which we denominate thac."

That animal itself is the phemitode of the maltitnde of intelligible anmak, and that it porerses an invariable samemess of subvintence, is a thine forementy and fully aseerted, and is not comsilered as at all dobions loy the Platonic philosophers. But what eternity is, and the morahbe the which imitates it, are thines protecty dithoult to moler-and, and to explain sufliciently to ohers. At the same time hewerer, it is requisite to narrate the mowe clegant opinions of the ancients abont it, and to add, if we are ahls, any thing which may contribute to the elucidation, and distinct comsidnation of the thins to be discussed.

The multitude threfore, have a conception and co-sensation of time, in comsefurnce of hoohing to the subhury and celestial motions, and are of opinion that time is something pertaining to motion, such for instanere as the momer, or externsion wi motion, or something chae of the lihe hime. Hut the morn exerllent of these, procoding to the consideration' of eternity, and perceising that there is not simply motion, hat a perpetmal and orterly motion in the miverse, and which corculater with insariahle samemes, conceived from lernce, that this imariable sameness, wan inherent in moveahle matures, from a certain other canse, and not

[^140]from themselves. This cause therefore, will either be immoseable, or moved. And if indeed, it is moved at a certain time only, how will it be the cause of that which alnays is invariatly the name? But if it is moved alosays, this perpetaity of its motion must arain be derived from something etse, and either' this will be the case ad infinitum, or there will $\left.\right|_{x}$ something immoseable, which is the canse of perpetual motion, to things which are always movel. And the emerey of this being inmoveable, is no longer according to time, hut is eternal. Fur the peculiarity of thiners which subsist according to time, is to be aluays in generation, or becoming to $L_{c}$; but of ctomal matures, to caist aladys. Par common conception opines, that eternity in deno ninated from earsting ataday, jnst ats it thinhs that time derives its
 has its exintence in gemeation. On this accomt, it aprars to me that the multitude asommed the first conception of time, hat the wise of eternity, by the former
 nature which is alnays stable. It mant now howeverle shown what each of these is, and in a manner mas contormable the doctrine of Plato.

Arintotle indech, admiting thme to the the nmmer of motion, anserts that it is so, not according to that whin mombre, but aceording to that which is numbered. Hence, he very properly inguires what that is whid mumbers it, if the is that which is momberel. For these are relatives, and the one existing, the other also exists. He sulves the inguiry however weakly, by saying that it is a certain soul which mombers time. ${ }^{2}$ lior it is meresary, that prior to perpethat momber, there should be a perpetmal numerator, in orter that he may always produce, that which is generated always existits. Admitting therefore time to be the number of motion, he also says that eternity is intelligible, deriving its applation from existing ataays, and posscosing and comprehendine the whole of tine. Hence also he says, the existonce and life of all thines are suspemed from this, of some thinge more obseurely, but of others more clearly. It is necestary however at present, that we should partienlanty see what eternity and time are according to Plato, and that we should not admit the image of thme to te time alone, nor etcrnity to be simply a certain intelligible God, but in the first place show, in what order of the

[^141]intelligibles it subsists. For this is ceprecially the peculiarity of the science of Plato.

It is manifest then to every one, that eternity is more venerable, primordial, and as it were more stable than animal itself, though aumal itself is the most beantiful, and most perfect of intelligible animals, as Platn has lefore said. For if the cternal is said to be, and is eternal as participating [of eternity.] but eternity is not said to participate of amimal itself, nor to receise its appllation from it, it is evident that the former is secondary, but the latter more simple and more primary. For eternity neither participates of animal itseli, becallise it is not an animal; for neither is time a visible amimal; nor is it any other animal. For it has been demonstrated that animal itself is only-begotten and eternal, because eternity is more excellent. For the eternal is meither that which eternity is, nor is more excellent than eternity. But as we all say that the participant of intellect, and the animated, are posterior to intellect and soul, so likewise the eternal is sccondary to eternity. What then some one may say, will eternity 1 l, if it is more venerable than animal itself, which is saill to be the most beantiful of intelligibles, and in every respect perfirt? May it not be said, that it is especially most beautiful, in consequenec of rectiving the summit of beanty, on account of excessive participation, but that it does not receive the summit of the grod : for it is not said to be mose cacellent. So that it may he sulordinate to that which is the best. To which may lne added, that it is not simply the most beautiful of all intelligibles, but of intelligible animals. Hence eternity' is no animal, but if it is life, it is intinite life. In the next plare, it is not necessary that what is in every respect perfect shonld be the first. For the perfect has all things, so that it has things first, middle, and hast. But that which is above this division will be super-perfect. Hence nothing prevents etemity from being superior to the amimal which is the most lemutiful of all intelligible animals, and is in every reapect perfiect, if eternity is most excellent and super-perfect. Farther still, animal itself has not' an arrangement prior to the multitude of intelligible animals. On this accome therefore, Plato says, "For to that thich is the mast beautiful of intellyibles and in cecry respect perfect." But eternity is prior to the multitude of intelligible animals. For these are eternal; but eternal natures participate of eternity, which is not coarranged with the mulitude of them, and has rather an arrangenent contrary 10 them. For it unites multitude, and is said to abirle in one, as being void of mul-

[^142]titude. Animal itwlf however, comprehends all such animals as are intelligible; on which accomit also, it is in want of eternity, in erder that it may participate l!omoth it, of mion, contaning power, and a firm and immotable life. It ance 100, he says that it is cternal, yet dows not add, that it has multitude in itelf, but -peahs of it in the singolar number ; signifying that mion is c-purially present with it from reternity, so that the whole comence of intellizible anmals shines forth as one nather, on actomat of etemity.

If therefore, these himss are righty asorted, etemity will not twe one certain
 or samences. for all thene are pert, of amimal itetf; ame cach of them has that
 Ining, to pronanemes, motion, and to sammos, dillemere. But lo eternity
 fereme, permanomes aml motim. This howere, would not the the case, if - ternity wa obe of these Fon motion and permanemy are not similarly eternal will +hernity. But all intellighles an smilarly perphal amd demal beings. Etemity therefore is mot oppered to any whe, either of these or of the thimg
 (10) it, in the lirt place is not comolleal about the same things as etemity, but
 It in an imare, and not the opposition of it, as we have alrealy oberned, and shall demon-late. Nienher therefore, will eternity be one semus of beiner, mor the whole collection of the wemera of it. For arain multitude lang in it, it wond I $x^{*}$ in "ant of the mion of that which abible in one. But etemity is that whelt abides in one So that it wonld buth abmbe, and not abide in otw. It would abide inded, ar ctomity, and as the came of mion to beings. Bot it wombl not abide, as combintine of maltitude. In addition to all that has bern satid likewise,
 The conceprion howeror, of intellect, is dithent from that of etemity, just an the conception of somb, is diflerent fiom that of time. For the enere.y of int ellect, is
 ner inded, the thines are distmentiod fome ach other. But these whomingter all thing into the same, and asert that the re is only one butblect between sont and the geed, are compelled to achnowledge that int Hect and eternity are the same.

What then will eternity le, if it is neither one of the genera of heing nor cons-

- The wuds ansorarai en appear to be wanting in this place in the original.
sivts of all the five, sime all these are eternal, abdermity' is ahove these! Wra reple, what der can it he than the comprehension of the intellisible unities? But I mean loy the mitios the ideas oi intellisible amimak, and the gempa of all these intellixible ibleas. The obe romprehension therefore of these, and of the smmit of their multinde, and the canse of the immutable permaneney of all of them is eternity, bot evi-tine in the multitule of intellisibles, wor eollocted from them; but beine pront with them exomply, disposing and as it were formine them by iturif, amd mahiner this wery thine to be at the same lime a whole. For the allvarions idea of intellisibles is not produced immediately atter the gom, which is cotirely withent any reperentation of mittode. hat there are certan intermediate natures, which are inderd more mited thatm all-prefert multitule, hat ahibit the paturiency and representation of the progeny wholes, and of connectedly-containine power in themsilve. Themmber howerer and nature of these, the (iods hnow divinely, but the mevie traditum of the Parmenides fracher me in a hmman mamer, and philow, ;hically, t" which we refer the reader for the acrurate discussion of these partientars. But now we hatl demmatrate throngh the worts thembelves of the philosember, that eternity is ahove all-pertect aminal, and that it is prosimatrly atone it. For lecamor amimal itself is said to tre eternal, it will be acombary to eternity. But became there is mo ctermal nature prior to it, it will he prosimate fyemerior to eternity. Whence there-
 [time] the imase ot ctomity, but the world primarily participates of time, and ammal itself, of efomity. For if as efemity is to time, so is amal itself to
 itself, so is time te the world. Jot thene is first participated by the world: for it had no exi-here whatener. prior to the orderly di-thintion of the miverse.


 rater with it, is not that with which it is erimerated; - if this he the rase, meither

 fore demonstrated by Plato, hat ammal itsoli is only lnerotten. So that in short, oternity will not be an anmal. For if it wore, it womb eithor be an aminal dillerent from, or the same with amimal itsolf. It is not howewry posible to assert

[^143]-idher of these, as we have shown ; partly inderd, beranse minal itsilf is only be-



 which is participated there, hat dews mon participate of a participated mathere, is chtirely more tutal.


















 about dernity, lit ins combler what is mant by cternity abiding in one. For we ask in what onfe! Is it in the geod, as appeated to $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}$ - the caner, th the most theological of the interpreters? But the goed, does mot ewon abide in itself, on account of its smplicity, as we may learm in the first hypothesis of the P'amonders, and as

 from a co-ardination with any thiner whateror. Towhinh maty the adede that it is not nsual to call it rither exood, gr one, bat the good, and the ome, in order that we may form a commption of its monadie transecodenes, which is beyond

[^144]every hown nature. Now howeser, eternity is unt said to abide in the one, but in onc ; so that it does not abide in the gooth. Dom therefore, the abiding of evernity in ome, signify the mited nature as it wro of it, and the abiding of it in its own one: and manifest that it is one multimble? (Or, in short, dors it indicate the monlere of that which does not pocerd, in order that it may be the canse of umon to the molthende of intelligibhes? This inded, we also say is true, in order that it may impart to itraf the stable, and the whole, prior to dernal matures. For this is to abide in one : siz. Whate the whole at once present, and the same int

 so that comaty will extablinh itself in our, and comectedly contain itorli after the same manmer, prior to etermal beines. Hence it is not beines, as Strato the physolusist says, whish is the ranse of promamency, but etermity. And it is the camse of a promanency, not always in echeration, or becoming to be, but which immotably exist $\begin{gathered}\text { in one a } \\ \text { as Timaress says. }\end{gathered}$

Ji however, etomity exhbits a dhad, thoush we frementy endeavome to come cral it; for the atarys is comoined to becmer accordiner to the same, and eternity (xari is, that which abrays is (o cet wv) ; it appears that it will have the momad of beine proer to itself, and the ome beiner and that it will abide in this one, as our preceptor also thomelat conceming it; in order that it may be one prior to the daad, as not departing from mity. And the dhad inderd, antecodently mhibiting multibule in iterlf, is mited to lle one beines, in which etemity abides: but the
 and mically, all the smmmits of them. For that the conception of the one being and of roternity dillier, is cident. For to be atactys, and to be simply, are entirely diflement. If therefore, a rertain thing always is, his thines abo in; but not vice versi, if a certan thine is, it lihewise atadys is. Hemere to erist is more total and generie, than to crist ahrays. And on this accome likewise, it is boarer to the callor of all heines, of the mitios in brings, and of ermeration and matter. These there thines therefore, are shecessive; viz. the one beins, as the monad of berings ; ctermity as a duad, havine the alactys in conjunelion with extistence; and the ctornal, which participates loth of exidonere, and the always, and is ont primarily prepe thal beine like ctemity. Aud the one hemer indred, is alome the canse of evist ence to all things of whatever kind they may $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{m}}$, whellier they exist truly, or not troly. But ctornity is the camse of permanency in evitume. Neato theretome, ought rather to have assorted this, and not to have defined being to be the per-

[^145]manency' of luings ; as lue writes in his treatise Concerning Being, thus transferring the proculiarity of eternity to being. For neither in generated natures, is it the same thing to twe gemerated, and to remaingemerated. But the peculiarity of generation is for that which has it to exhibit another and another [comdition of snd)sistemere ; and the peculanty of ereneration remaining, or being perinament, is time in which eremeration evits. And what time is in gemeration, that etornity is in
 abidiner in ober, led thes mond sutlice.

But why dom Plato -ay, " the mature of animal itself was ctermal", and not is. thongh to etornity the mac is more alapted than the past time? IIr rmploys therefore, duewhere thin firm of dietion, an when he satys of the lomiments who










 the worda [which llatw romplog.]





 whh that which is armihle, ather a cottan manmer, and mot entirely! But how is

 Fird at way as that which participales of it immediately. Aud in shome sheriour causes always adorn the dommion of such as are submedinate, so that ctornity

[^146]likewine，is in a certain mepert perent with the natheres that are adormed by time．


 esperially arcortine to the exomeres of the colestial somis．The wobll itrolf abo
 hot as far as it is ahbe．it receives its impartible presernee and illmmation．This therfore，is the tamomolent erod in eternity of a divine canse and comprehen－ sion．Ilame it comprohends partiho resences，and such as are as it wore contrary in its own nature，acordiner to the concatenation of canse．And thos much fir his partioular．

But low is time said to ler the image of eternity？It it hecamse dermity inderd abides in one，hont time procects accordiner to momber？＇This however，rather shows the di－smilitude than the smimituld of them．For Platn nearly opposes all thines［pertainine to eternity and time］to all，viz．procecding，to abiding ；accord－ ing to number，to one ；the image，to the thins itself．It is better therefore to say， that disimty proluced these two，I mean etemity and time as the measures of leins－the former of intellizible bat the lather of mondabe beines．As therefore， the world is said to be the image of the intelligible，thas also the mombane
 is inded a measmre as mity，but time as momber．For earla meanores，the former things viheh larome ome，hot the latter such is are mondered．And the
 rated natures．＇The apparint oppositions heweror，of the two，do mot rahibit dissimilitude of moasures，lint imdicate that somalary are produced by more
 ber from mity．But is not time on this arcombthe image of etrernity，hecamor it is

 brourht under the order of Fiate，lest ly，Ayinerg from dirinity，they should become perfeclly disorderly，thes also thiness which procerd foon eternity，and are not ahle to participate of a－able perfection，which is at once whole，and always the same end imbed maler the dominion of time，hat are excited ly it to their own ${ }^{2}$ appropriate energides，by wheh they are enabled to receive the end adapted to them，througli certain apocatastatic periods．

[^147]It is also well that Plato calls the production of time, the conception or conerirance, (styou, ) of the Deminrgus. For tormpart to beings which are not naturally eternal, an adventitions and temporal perpethity, and also to confer perfection on thines imperfect, and a circular apocatastasis, on thine which proceed in a risht line, does not appear to fall far short of imention alld contriance. Hence, in what follows, lac says that divinity contrized the wermation of the parts of time.
 moved and the whole is in motion? Or is mot thi impos-ible? For methine is moved accomblate the whole of itself, mor is this the ease, wen with such thing as are esomenally chaneded: for the subject of thene remains. Mach mote there-

 mosed. For if lley did mot remain acomedine to something, their motion likewine wonld sami-l, tosether wish them: for all motion is in a certain thing. Nothener therefore, as we have said, is wholly mowed, and this is aprevally the
 phes, and to remain in themalses, if they ate to he comtimally presorod. But









 to mumber liy its external entey and which is participated by the naturex which



 also, it distibutes an appopriate meavare to eath of the mundane forms.

Con may lihewins saly still more fromimately, that true time proceeds accord-

[^148]ing to true momber, participating of the mombers of it, and being itelf inteflecthal mumber, which sorratos eprahs af, and obenerly signitios, when he says, that




 Hewfore, acoorline to inteliaible momber, by which it nombers its participants,


 mombre of their life. Suthat an ox lives for this, but man for that lenerth of time, but the sum is revoredto its privine state in ome, and the moon in amother predod time, and othr things accomplish their prionls accordiner to other measures. Time therefore, is the resasure of motions, but as that by which we meanme; for this



 also it refers the thinse whieh are perfected be iterlf, and whele are comoled in
 being subl and so areat a diod, will it be the meanme of motion, or in short of rencration, as it aprared to some that it is, wher mither prereived the power of it, nor tes demimere * prosher with all thines? When also, they say that it is rather the canse of cormptom, han of anderation, and of oblivion than preservation, and of these accoriting to accident, and mot exsemtially, they wey mach resomble those that are asleep, and who are mable to collect hy a reasoning proress what the lomeftes are conterad by and thromb time on the som and the hody, on all hearen throush the whole of iterlf, and on all wemeration. Theurgists likeaise confirm what we hate asserted, when they say, that time is a God, and deliter to us the discipline of it, by which ree are cnabled to cxcite it to become risible; and when also, thay cclebrate it as older and younger, and as a circularly rezolding and cternal God, not only as the imate of etcrnity, but as eternally reccining it. They


```
3 O، is cmitted bere in the original.
3 Instead of atryous in this piace, it is olvionsly necessary to read yercoeus.
```


tikewise add, that it intellectually perceites the whole number of all the natures that are moved in the zorld, according to which it convelees and restores to their pristine state all nowing substances, by swifter or slower periods. And lesides this they assert, that it is infuite in potver. For to circulate asain and arain, [without chat $]$ is the prorince of infinite poacer. Togrther with these thingrs likecine, thry say that it is of a spiral form, as measurins accurding to one pouer, loth things that are moed in a right line, and those that are mored in a circle; just as a spiral line miformly [or accoruing to une form] comprethends thee riwht aml the circnlar line.

















 imitather after a rertain manmer, the ithat of the paradiem, lont mon as marla as

 deminmeir, and mat a prolital rallor.





 pable of rerivine imparthly, and withont time. Hather, as we have hetiore oliserved, remity and time, are the meanore of the permanemey and perfection
of beings ; the former being the one and ummultiplied comprehension of the intellirible unities, but the latter being the boundary and demingric measure of the perpetuity, or of the more or loss permaneney of the things which procerd from intelligibles. If, therefore, the sonl, after the same manner as intellect and the Gods, appromeded every object of its knowledge by one projectine racrey, and by an enerey always the same, molerstanding intransitionly, it misht, perhaps, have wemerated time, but it womld not have been in want of time to ite perfertion. But since it modertands, or prereives intellectmally, with tramsition, aml apmeatastatically, and ome soml reguire's the whole of time, but another a rertain part ' of it, in order to the persession of intallectual and crenesiurgic lives; and if, in short, no cance is in want of its offipriner the perfoction of itself; if this be the case, smal would this be both perfect and imperfect, prior to con-titnting that which is secombary to itself. It would he perfect indeed, in order that it might grnerate; since nothins imprefet is senerative of another thing. And it womble aloo be inperfere, becanse it wond never participate of that which can-s it to ise perfect. Aud it is altogether almord to say, that camses are in want of the thines which proced from them. Iat this, therefore, be comsidered by yon as the sreater atemment, that time is mot the progeny of somb, but that it is first participated by smi.

After this, however, it is requisite to moderstand, that imamate matmes also participate of time, and that they slo not then alome participate of it when they rise into existeree, in the same mamer as they do, of form and habit; but even when they apmar to In deprived of all life, they then participate of time, and not in such a way as they are said to lise, becanse they are co-arranesel with whole, and are co-passive with the miverer. For they peculiarly and eowntially participate of a certain time, and this so far as they are inamimate, and are always in a perishing condition till their perfect corruption. For time is every where present. And the arehitect inderd, is able to say for how loner a time a wall will endure, and the weaver can tell the extent of the domation of a shirt, or in short of a crarment." In a similar manner al-o, e every artist ran say what will be the duration of his own work; thoush lie cammot wak so definitely as concerniner the productions of nature. But the prophet -peaks about the duration of alphiners, as beine able to survey the temporal interval distributed to thines from the aniverse. In addition to these things also, since the prychical and rorporeal mutations, motions and rests, and in slort all such momdane natures, ws are opposed to

[^149]each other, are measured by time, it is mecessary that time should lee exempt from all these. For that which lating one and the same, is participated by many thinars, and these dissimilars, and always prestibsists by itself, must he in an exempt mamer participated liy hem. And still more beiner in all thinss, it is every where impartible, so that it is every where ome lecing, impartible accordiner to momber, and preculiar to mo one of the thines which are said to sulsist acembing to it; which Aristoth aher perceininer he shows that in partible natures, there is somethiner morporeal and imparthle, which is every where the same; assuming 'thin to la the now or an instant.
fanther still, if time were mot an esarbe bat an accident, it would not thas
 rated, but whers of a longer or shoter domation, acoording as ther matme is


 i- ditherent from that of lime, and each is the eande of ditherent, and not of the
 as it approathe to sual, is tillod with hife, and pratiopate's of motion. But time
 In wholes, and which is the supplier of a certain propetmity, "wall not be subordmate to soul, sime sonl lihewise participates of it ; and thoush mot resemtially, set accordiner to its tramsition emothes. For the somb of the bliverse is sad to "mererace incosantly, and to lise wialy thenthh the whole of time. It rematins
 Wer, it mat tre comideral, that if ermity was the ofipring of intellect, or a


 all things, how is it fosible hat time aloo shomblathab this ratio to soml, and the prydiad order ; difli rine from them in the same way, as all procerding ditior


[^150]with respect to the things that are measured by it. For the former comprele nive exemptly, looth the exemees and the mities of intelligihles ; lut the latter dows mot
 abl beine erneatedtosedher whh them. As some Platomints likewionsy, time
 says, that the soul of the miserse leads a divine and wise life through the whole of time. latedizibles alse, are more mited to cternity than mumane natures to time : and the mion of them is so areat, that some of the more contemplative phi-
 intellert [which romprehems all other intellects]. But no one of the more wise is willine to admit that time is the same with the thines that are in tive, on acconnt of the sreat aparation and dillierence lextwent them.

What then will tme be, if it is nether somethine belongine to motion, nor a concomitant of the peychical emerey, for in short, the prosery of som, nor as some immotathe in divine concerns say, who concere time to be the peychical circle of the different. but etornity the perhical circle of the same? For I have heard that 'Therdorns philonghized thines of this himl. He however, who endeavom, to correct this opinion, will meve almit that these parts af the sonl are the same as $\begin{gathered}\text { ternity and time ; lat be will grant that the circle of the different }\end{gathered}$ vereas to temporal, bint the cirele of the same, to dermal hatures. Siluer therefore, we do not approve any one of these opinions, what will time be? For it is not pertape sutliciont to sity, that it is the measure of mumban matures, nor to enumerate the benefits of which it is the eamer, but the pereliarity of it is to le apprehembed to the ntmost of our pewer. Shall we therefore say, that the essence of it beiner most excellent, perfirtive of soul and present with all thinge, is an intellect not alone abiding, hat ako moving abidine indeed, accordine to the inward energy, by which it is tmly eternal ; but mond, according to the externally procerdiner enerer, according to which it bmolsall tramition. For eternity possessing promames, both accordine to it. inward energy, and acordmer to that which it exorts towards etermal natmres, time admmbates it, arcording to ome of these, but becomes separated from it arcorting to the other, in comseruence of abiding and being moved. Hence it will be something at once intelligible and generatol, and something at once partible and impartible. At the same time, however, we admit all these thims in the pschical esence, and we are no otherwise able perfectly to apprehend this mildle nature, than by employing after a certain manner opposites in surveying it. Why therefore is it wonderiul,

[^151]if we perceiving the nature of time, to be partly immoveable and partly moved, or rather not we, but prior to us the phitosopher, be shembl exhihit the intellectual monad of it abiding in sameness, thronsh its beinere eternal, but should indicate that energy of it which has an extermal temency, and is participated by somb, and the whole worle, throngh its being meted. Fur we must not imasime that this eternal [of time] merely signifies that it is the inare of ternity. For what should have himelenel him from directly saying, that time is the image of etemity, and not that it is: the eformal image of it? But he winhed to manifor this wry thiser, that time has an eternal nature, get that it in not eternal in such a way a amimal itself. For amimal itself in cternal both in rosence and in con rey. But time by it inward enerey indeal, is ctermal, but ly its externally procoredine ritt, is momabie. Hence theureins aho call it chemal, and llato sery properly denominates it mot chernal only.' For one thine inderd is alone and conemtally moneable, and is abme the cande of motion, accordiner the participants of it, and such a thing as this is soul. It alome therefore, moses italf, amd other thimes. But another thime is alome immese-

 this thines is intelleet.] Hence it is menesiary that the medimen letween these two whirh are लetremes, viz. betwern that which is immone:dbe both in resolnce and eneroy, amed that which is mowathe beth atcordine to its "wn mature and ace cordine to what it imparts to wher thines, should tre that which is at once inmoveable and mosed; immovable indend esemtially, bat moved in its participants. And a thime of this hind is time. Por if there is that which is in its participants as nomber in that which is numbered, ${ }^{2}$ what will that tre which solnints accordiner tommbering it! It is abmol, therefore, to say that it is a partial soml which thes subsists. For that which in this soul mombers time is of posterior orisin, as is that which in us mamberv the linerers. Hence this is ant efficted by him who mates the five timere, bat by him whe manders so manys that are produced hy nature. We howerer, inventisate the canse of time lwing that which is mombered. 'Time therefore is that which remaining immoreable, by itself rolus that which is mmmered.

In short, if visible time is moveable, but every thing which is moveable is moveable, being a certain oher thing; for not motion, but that which is moved, is newoalole; it is nerossary that there shomblat be time which subsists by itself, in order that there may te monable time. So far therefore, as it is truly time, and

[^152]so far as it is in itself, it is immoveable;' but so far as it is in its participants, it is moseable, and torether with them, minfolds itself into them. Hence time is eternal indeed, and a monad, and a centre essentially, and according to the energy which abides in it. At the same time however, it is contimed, and momber, and a circle, accordiner to its proceding and participated energy. It is, thorefore, a certain procedins intellect, estabhshad indeed in eternity, and on this accoant also is suid to bieternat. For it icould not otheraise contribute to the more perget assimilation of mumbane natures to their paradigms, unless it aras itself preciously eacmpt from them. But it procceds, and fluss abundantly into the things, which are guarded by it. Whence also I thint, the most consummate of theurgists celebrate it as a God, as Jatime in the seremth brot of his treatise On the Zones, and rencrate it by those mames through which it is infolded into light in its participants; causing some things to be mere anciont, but others to be more recent, and convolving all thangs in a circle. For it would ter ridiculons since it is the image of eternity, that it shomblato be this temporal image which subsists in things that are numbered. For how is it possibh that a thine of this kind which is in a snbject, should be the imare of so great a deity an rernity? E-kecially since it rather appears to br in a sulject [than is so in reality], and is itself an accident of that which is an accident.

If, howevor, intellect is secondary to eternity, but soul is the resemblance of intcllect, how is it possible that time which is the imare of eternity, shonh not be somethine more excellent, and more essential than sonl! For as intellect is to soul, so will efernity te to time. And ahternately, as time is to som," so is eternity to intellect.' And time does not participate of soul, as neither does eternity of intellect; but vice vera. Time therefore having a certain intellectual nature, convolves its participants, both other thiness and souls, according to number. For time indeed is etemal, not only in essence, but also in its inward enerey, in which it is always the same. But by the enerey according to which alone it is participated by extermal natures, it is moveable, co-extemding torether with, and adaptiner to them, its gift. Euery som, howerer, is moved tansitively, both according to its inward energies, and also its external emergies, throush which it moves hodies. And it appears to me, that it was thus denominated time, by those who perceived that this was its nature; and who wished by this appellation to say, that it is a certain dance,' and as it were a dancing intcllect

[^153](xat our Xopeourta nouy). But ly a co-division, they named it for the sake of concealment time. Perhaps, likewise, they gave it this appellation becanse it at once abides, and proceeds with a measured motion; and liy one part of it elf abides, but by the other proceeds; as if it were half intellect, and half saltant. Inence by a composition of both the parts, they signified the admirable and demiurgio nature of this God. It appears likeniow, that as the Demingris being intellectual, began from intellect to atom the miverse, so time being supermundane, leargan from soul to perfect it. For that thene is not only mundame, but by a much greater priority supermmatane, is evident: simer as reternity is to amimal iterff, so is time to this world, which is amimatell and enderd with intellect, and is wholly the image of animal itedf, in the same manner as time is of eternity.

If therefore time is, it both athides and procerds in unarured motion. And Hrongh its ahisling, the harmonions dances are intinite, and apocat:antatic. For Incing the first int flect that dancos ahome the whele babrication of things, so far indeed as it sulsiste insariably the same, and is ersentially intellect, it is said to te eternal; but so far as it dance, it convolves souls, and matures, and boodies in a circte; and in short, is periodially restered to it, pristime state. For the world is moned indead, as partiefpating of soul: but it is moved in an orderly mamer, Incanse it participates of intellect. Fur then alo, Plato says in the Laws," that the soul rectiving a divine intellect, governs a th rectitule and a isslom." And the world is moned $p^{n}$ riedically, ly the motion of it from the same to the same; in conserpener of which, it may be said to imitate the promanemey of intelle in samenes, through the imitation of et ruity by time. And this it is, to mahe the word more similar to its paradigm whela abides in mare siz. to be comolved periedically to one and the same hing, through the circolation according to time. From all theo particulars lihewise, you have all the canses of time according to Plate. For the Deminesus inderd, is the effectibe canse of time; aternity is the paradigm of it ; and the ond lor the timal amer] of it, is the circumduction to one thing of the natures that are moved, according to periods. For that which does not abide in onc, appires after the circmudnction to one ; de-iring through this to obtain the one, which is the same with the georel. For that there shombd not he one certain progression of things in a right line, so as to form a line as it were, intinite both ways, but that the progresion should te detinter and circmiscribed, dameing alome the father of wholes, and the monad of time, evolving all the strength of fabrication, and arain returning to itn pristime condition, and effecting this frequently, or rather infinitely, that which is consentancous to reason reguires, if it is fit to call what is necessary reasonable. For whence do the participants of time derive the power of being restored to their pristine condition, miless thas
which they participate had this power and pernliarity of motion? In addition aho, to the reasomathemes of this, the eaplanation of the name alone bears witurse to its truth, with which lihewise, the dememstrations of the mos saracions logishators accord, and the worls of l'ato himedf who says, that time in these things imitatins eternity, and circulatins accordinir to mumber, was now senerated. For time circulatings the first of morcable natures, according to an encrgy procecding to externals, and returning to its pristine state, after all the evolution of its power, thus also restores the previols of other natures to their pristine condution. And it convolves inderd, through the whale of itself which procereds, the som which is the tirst participant of it; hat throurh cortain parts of itself, it convolves other sonk and natures, the celestial circulations, and in the last place, all ereneration. For in consequence of time circulating, all things are comolsed in a rircle. Of the circulations however, some are shorter, hat others loneser.

For arain, if the Demiureve himself, made time to be the moveable image of - ternity, and \#aw subsistence to it, accordine to hiv intelloction about cternity, it is necesary that the moveable nature of tha shomld be circular, and proceed with a dancing [or me:armed] motion; in order that it may mither olepart from tersity, and may roble the intefletion of the father about it. For, in short, the
 much prior to the thinge which are nuensured by it. For wot the privation of measure, Int the first measure, meanures beings: as mether dees infinity crive bound to things, but this is the province of the first bembl. But time in mowerd, neither according to soul, nor according tu nature, nor according to the corpormat-inmed and visibie essence; for the the motionsof it wonld be partible, and not comprehensive of wholes. Besides this also, they would participate of the anomalons, cither more or less,and would he themselues in want of time. For the motions aceordine to sonl, nature and hody, are all of them surveyed in time, and not in proseresion like those which measure wholes, hut in a cortain quality of life, or bation, or passion. Tha motion of time bowever is a pure presernion, without differener, inperceptible, unbroken,' orlorly, "rmal, similar and the same. For it is exconat hoth from equable and mequable motions, and is similarly prosent with both, not beinor chanerd in quality, by the allation in their motions, but remaininer the same separate from all inemalility; beine eflicacions of whole motions aerording to nature, and measuriner them, and restoring them to their pristine state. It likewise subsiots unmingled with the natures that are measured by it, conformably

[^154]to the peculiarity of intellectual energy; but proceeds transitively and selfmotively. And in this respect, it pertains to the psychical order, but is inherent in the things which are defined and perficted by it in a way conformable to the nature of a primordial cause. It is not however, allied in all respects to any one being. For it is necessary that the measure of wholes should be in a certain respect similar and allied to all things, but should not be the same with any one of the natures which it measures.

The motion therefore of time procerds, evolving and dividing impartible and abiding jower, and partibly unfolding it into light. For jest as a certain number ${ }^{\prime}$ receives divisily all the forms of the monad, and comverty, and circularly leads them to itself; thun aloo, the motion of time, proceedines according to the measures in the temporal monad, conjoins the end to the lxewimning, and this intinitely ; haviner imbed itself a divine order, yet not an arramerl, as the philusopher Iamblichus aho says, but an arranging order, nor an order which follows precedaneous natures, but which is the primary leader of thines which are perfectly effected. At the same time however, it is masured by mothing that has interval. For it would be ridiculous to say, that things which have a more ame ient nature and dignity, are measured by such as are of posterior orisin. But the motion of time is alone measured by the temporal monad, which the procerese sion of time is said to evolve, athl by a much grotar priority, by the Denturgus, and eternity itself, of wheh it is sad to be the imaser, and with reforme to which it is made to be moveable. With reference to cternity therefore, whichis perfectly immoveable, time is said to tre moveable; just as if some one should say, that soul, as with relation to intellect, is partible alont bodies. Not that it is this alone, but that when compared with intelleet, it may appear to le a thing of this kind; thongh it is impartible, with reference to the partible ensence. Thas also time, thomght it is naturally cetermal, yet is said to tre movables, as with reference to etarnity itself. On accoment of the order lihewise of it, and the contimity in its progression, it is by no means proper to think that the prior and peosterior in it are such as some apprehend them to be. For it must mot be definitely surveyed, either alone according to the mutations of motions, as in the celestial motions ; nor in the evolutions of lives, as in the soul ; nor aceording to the gradual prorressions of corpureal generations, as in nature ; nor according to any thing the of the like kind: (for these are the peculiarities of the orders posterior to it) but it must be surveyed according to a precedency of causes, and connexion in the continnty

[^155]of its proreny, and according to a primordial energy, and a power efficacious of all-various motions.

Time therefore is morcable, not by itself, [or cessentially], but according to the participation of it which is apparent in motions, and by which motions are monsured and defined.' Just as if some one should say, that the soul is dirisibie about bodics, so far as there is a certain divisible participation of it about bothes, of which the sonl comprehends the cause. For thus also time is moreable, as possessing the cause of the energy procceding from it, and which is partibly scen in motions, and is co-divided together with them. Dence, as motions become temporal through participation, so likewise time is moveable, through being participated by motions; to which physiologists only looking, think that time is that which is numbered of motion, not leing able to preceise the canse of this.

In the first place therefore, it must be said, that neither loes the universe alone subsist in motion, but it is necesary that somethine of it should entirely remain, in order that this beine permanent, it may be moved. It is demonstrated therefore, in the Theretetus, that it is impossible for any thing to be entirely moved in all respects. Ilence it is necessary that something should remain prior to the time which is in participation, and subsists in motion, in consequence of beine coextended with motions. And that this indeed, shonld be ineflicacions is impossible. But if it is eflecocions, and is mover, it will augin be in want of amother thmir, which may meanure its motion. If howeter, it energizes immotably, this will be the true peculiarity of time. In the second plare, we are pernaded from common conceptions, that the Seasons are Goddesses, and that Month is a God, both which we worship in temples. We likewise say, that Jiy and Night are divinities, of whom also we possess invocations, imparted by the Gods themselves. Much more thereforr, is it mecessary that time itself shonld be a God, since it is comprehensive of Month, mal the Seasons, of Day and Nisht. Jn the third place, if time is something mombered; hut it is necessary that prior to that which is numbered, that which numbers should exist, so that prior to that which is numbered in capacity, there shonld be that which mumbers in capacity, and that which mamers in enerey, prior to that which is numbered in energy ; if this be the case, that is time in reality, which is the number itself, of all periods, and which numbers each of them. In the fourth place, whatever participates of soul, participates also of time, but not vice versa: for inanimate natures participate of time. It must be admitted therefore, that time is beyond soul. But soul is prior

[^156]to its participants, itself by itself. Much more therefore is time itself by itself beyond the participants of time.

How then will a thing of this kind be the image of eternity? For again it must lee discussed, on account of the dilliculty with which the knowledge of the things is attended. Because, says the divine lamblichus, it exhibits the intinity of cternity, (which sow heing, is at once all, abides in the now, and is the unmeasured measure of intelligihles, in a circutar evolution, in contimity, and in that which is successine; and also in spparatimer lerginninge, midders, and ends, and not desertinir any one of the things comprehended by it. And as it is not simply moverable, but is moveable as with reference to reternity, so neither is it simply an image, but the whole of this may be justly said to be the imare of eternity. For being a true essence, and in short, moasuring, comprehembing, and rostoring motions to their pristine state, it is at the same time sad to be an etemal imare. It appears also, that it is the first of imares. For all-perfect intellect is not proprerly aid to be the image of the tirst cause. For what can be assimilated to that which is entirely withont form? But time will lee the tirst participant of intednect and an impartible nature betwern all-perfect intellect, and semibles. And in short, if it is neecssary that image should belong to things which participate; for it wishes to preserve the form of another more ancient and wherable mature, from which it receives the peruliarity of its itlea; it is repuisite, that imare should neither be in the first essences; (for they being first, do not participate, but rather, they are participated by other things, not being ingerated in their participants, but after another mamer, being converted to thennaclves; nor in ansibles abome. For midhlle also particijate of tirst matures, and not somihles alome, which' are a similated to tirst, throngh the representations of midelle mathres. 'Time therefore, is said to be the image of eternity, and the whole world, of amimal iterlf, accordiner to sonl, and according to body. Hemee, if as Porplayry, and some other Platonists thonght, smables alone particigate of trulyexisting beings, we mast investigate imanes in them alone. But if, as Amelius writes, and prior to Amelins, Numenius, there is also participation in int-Higibles, there will likewise be imagra in them. If howerer according to the divine Plato, mases are neither in the first of beings, nor in semsibles alone, lamblichas, who marly surpasses all phatosophers in all things, will in these also be vietorions, ley exhorting us to survey participations, in the middle, and in the last of beings. And thus much may suthe at present concerning eternity, and the image of eternity, which is at

[^157]once moveable, and always subists with invariable sameness, and which proceeding according to intellectual forms, the father of wholes restablished in his fabrications; as they were not able to sustain the all-perfect measure of eternity. Let us therefore, now turn to the investigation of the following words.
" He likewise contrived the gencration of days and nights, and months and years, which had no subsistence prior to the universe, but which were constituted together with it. But all these are parts of time,' and was and will be, were generated species of time."
 as surveyed in comjumetion with sonl, and the whole life of the work) there was an impartible rese once abibling in eternity, in the same manner as eternity abides in one, amd that it was $n o$ part of procreding and participated time, is perfectly manifest. But what day and night, and month and year are, and how these indeed, are parts of time, but was and will be are said to be species, and not parts of time, will require a more abmodant discussion, and a more profound considerat tion. If therefore, we should say that day is air illuminated by the sum, in the first place, we shall speak of something which takes place in the day, and not that which day is. For when we say a lone, and a short day, we do not predicate an inereace or diminntion of the air. In the neat place, it is difficult to desise how this will be a part of time. But if we should say that day is the temporal interval, according to which the sun proceds from dast to west, we shall perhaps avoid indeed the former ohjections, but we shall fall into more impersions difliculises. For if wesurvey the interval itself without habitude to the sun, and say that it is day, it will appear to be dubions, how the same interval Ieriner every where arcording to the same, day is not every where. But if we survey it in conmexion with the solar motion, and this merely so, day will always be in the heavens, and there will not be night. And how is it possible that a part of time shonld not be every where. For it is here clearly said, that night, day, and month, are parts of time. If however, we do not merely connect the interval with the circulation of the sun, but say that day is the motion of the sun from cast to west, and night the motion of it from west to east, the miverse will neithor have days nor nights, which are said to be parts of time. And it is also evilent that neither will they have months, nor years. We conceive however that time both according to the

[^158]whole of itself which abides, and according to every part of its progression, is present to the whole world. For one and the same now is every where the same. It is necessary therefore, that day, and such other things as we say are parts of tine, - homble every where the same, thombthey are participated partibly ame with disperion hy sensible fabrications; to which also some looking, adopt the more nsmal rather than the more acemate sizmitication of names.

Hence, as our father [syrianms] phitonophizes, theee thinges are not asserted for the pmpere of subnether the phomomena: for 'limetns says what is nsmally
 more primeipal hypo-tases says, that day and might are deminergic measures of time, c. $r$ (iting ant contenting all the zisille and intisible life and motion, andorderly distribution of the incratic sphere. For these are the true parts of tinke, are essontially present with
 are different in risible time. And 'limatus also looking to this, reminds us how

 to all men. For the immible cames of these, hate a miform smbistence prior to thins multiadied, and circulating lointinity. The immorealle canses of these likewise subsiat prior to thing that are moved, and the intellectual canses of themprior
 Heir tirst subsi-tence. But it must he said, that month is that which convoters the lunar sphere, and erery termination of the circulation alout the zodiac, beiner truly a ditine temperal measure. And year is that which perfects and contains the whole middle fabrication, according to thich the stun is seen possessing the greatest poaier, anel meeturjng all thiners toecther with time. For neither is chay, mor night, nor month, nor much less year aithout the sun, nor any thing else pertaininis to the world. And I do not say this, with reference to the risible fubrication alone, for of these measures the risible sun is the cause; lut in the imisible and superior jatricution, the more the sun measures all thinges together with time, being in reality time of time, according to the oracle of the Gods concerning it. For that l'lato not only hnew hare visible pats of time, but also the dis ine parta homonymons to these, is evident from what he sitsin the temblowk of the Laws. For he there hows, that the stasoms and months are divine in conjunction with all the other [mundane] (iods, in conseduence of having divine lises and divine intellects pre--iding over the in in the same mamer as the universe. But it is not wonderfinl, if fe now rather yrah, ahont the visible parts of time, becanse his design at present in to physologize. Iat these therefore be the parts of time, of which some are adapted to the fincd stars, but others to the stars that revolve about the poles of
the zodiae, and others to other Gods, or the attendants of the Gods, or to mortal animals, or to the more elevated or more low parts of the mivene.

Plato howerre, says that äd and will be are species, and not parts of time, in the same mamor as days and nightw, and monthe and years. For disine orders which sive completion to the whole sories of time, preside over the hatter. Hence, he call them parts of time. But wes and will be, are entirely surveyed according to fach of theres. And hemere, they are cortain species, as not having a perenliar mattor; I mean, a dimmal, or nocturnal, or some other such-like matter. If however, these are specin of time, which was generated twerther with the miberse, war had no exintroce prior to the gemeration of the miverse. But if was had no existrace prior to it, mither had motion ; for in all motion, there are aras and aill be, becanse there are prior and posterior. If however, motion was not prior to the uniserse, neither was disorderly motion. IIenee Atticus and his followers spak in sain, when thes say, that time was prior to the gencration of the world, hot not an arranged time. For where there is time, there are abo the past and the future. And where these are, there the was, and the will be entirely subsist. Morewor, the atds and the will be, are species of time generated hy the Deminems. Hence also Plato calls them enencrated. Neither therefore was there a certain time prior to the fabrieation of thines. It is neressary therefore, that the moch-
 - hould not be in time, or that there should not be in short, a certain time when it wasproduced. It is necesary howewr, motion mabing that there shond be a time in which it was eremerated : one part of it havine the past,' another the present, and another the fiture. Whene it is not porsible that there should have heren motion prior to the generation of time; since neither comld there hate Ine dindisortarly time. For disorderly time would have the acts and the will be; the former of which would be the pa-t, but the latter the finture. Or, if it alone hat the is, whont there, it would have lwen eternity, and net time, and divordery motion wond be eternal which is impossible. For Aristotle has sullicienty demomatrated, that all motion is in time, both that which is dioorderly, and that which is orderly, each entirely havine the prior, and the posterior; in oreler that the motion may be that which it is said to ler, and may not le permanency instead of motion. But that the was and the aill be, were not prior to the fabrication of things, I'lato clearly teaches us, as I have before ohserved by saying that as days and nishts were generated as parts, so the zoas and the will be, were gencrated as species of time. They however say, that the disorderly motion was unberotten. Ilence, if there was then

[^159]a cortain time, it was unbegotten; so that the was and the will be, were unlvegotten. The was and the will be therefore, were not prior to the generation of the world, but were simultaneous with the world; time being one and the same, and laing the number both of disordarly and of orderly motions, and existing without diflerence. This therefore, is demonstrated through these methods as a corollary.

If however, yon wish to survey these as species of time, in the way in which they appeared to be so to our preceptor, assume for me a perfect period, and an rutire promesesion of time, one part haviner now become the past, lat another the future, and behold the zas, and the will be as specits of time. For if we do not thus, but partibly moderstand the words of lato, the venerable and entire idea of time, will not be manifented to ms, according to each of these species, but that which happens to some of the things that are in eneneration, and mutation. Linless inded, the ual indicates the perfictize order of time, but the will be, that order of it which unfolds into lisht, just as the is, indicates its connectedly containing order. For time unfolds things which as yet are not, comectedly contains such as are present, and perfects such as are past, and introduces an appropriate end to their periods. And thin much concerning the parts and the speries of time.

With respect however, to the word contrited, thongh we have before observed that time is in reality the work of disme and demiursic contrivance, by which natures that are changed remain through the whohe, and partahe of perfection, perpetuity, defence, measure and comprehemsion; yet it may lee said, do not divine natures measure themselves,' and especially fo not those that revolve in the heawhs, detine their own motions? This inderd, we most most readily admit. For material and cormptible natures have their exintence, and the extent of their daration from wher things; but divine: natures hase these, beoth from primordial rauses and themselves. Hence Plato, when he bergins to speak about the times that are untolded into light in the heavens, says "that the stars were generated for the sake of co-operating in the production of time;" and arain, "that they were gencrated as inveruments of time;" and in the third place, " that they atere produced for the purpose of distinguishing and guarding the mumbers of time." In what is hervsaid howerer, the term co-operating shows that time indeed existed prior to the stars, but that it is unfolded into light about the world throurh these. For time Lainer in then, is unfolded through their motion. But the term instruments again after another manner, in a certain respeet, indicates the same thing; viz. that the whole of time was produced, both that which abides, and that which proceeds,

[^160]by the father and maker of all things, for the purpose of measuring mondane natures; bat that the bodies which revotve in the heavens, are partial measures, and are comprehended in the one time; ead in an especial manner, more oreanically producing in conjunction with time, such things as are appopriate in it. For in short, all the second fabrication has this relation to the one and imparthble production of things. For each of the bodies that revolve in the hearens, is said experially to comtribute to the measure of itorlf. Thus for instance, the sun thengh it contrihutes to all things, on account of its ruling dignity, yot it particutarly contributes to the year, shich it constitutes in comjunction aith the Demiurgus, and the whote of time, as the peculiar measure of itsolf. But the moon contrilutes to month; and the inerratic sphere, to day and night. The mode also of operation in the rest of the heavenly bodies, is evident; thongh neither night, nor much less day, is withont the sum, nor year withont the inerratic sphere, and the zodiac. At the same time however, some measures are more adapted to some of these, than to others.

The stars also may le said to be the instruments of time, in consefrience of time possessing an effective dignity, with reference to and throurh thom, and adorminer gencration as it were throngh instruments. By whid also it is esident, that time is not only that which is participated, and is the mumber of motion, since the governors of the world have the order of instrments with reference to it ; but likewior, that it is an imsible (God, cureriziner etermally about all motions, and the whole period of the world, but using for instrments these divimities, as more partial measmres of itelf. But the asortion, that the stars were eremerated, for
 that the one time procerls from the Deminsus, and his will; and that remaining one, and a whole, and withont difference, it becomes throngh the motion of these stars, multitulinous according to number, and that each of the measmes adapted to it, is as it were ent and divided from the whole of time, which is always the
 the celestial Gorls, are rather guarded ly the numbers of time, and obtain throush these, the distinction ot the periocls which they mahe, and of their restitutions to their pristine state; but at the same time, since we cudeavour to collect the troth pertaining to invisithe from visible natures, we infer that the mumbers of time are fruarded, thromsh the circulation of the stars.

With these thiners however, not only Plato as. we haw before olverved, bett theurgists likewise accorl. For they celebrate time as a mumlane (iad, etermal, boundless, young and old, and of a spiral form. And besides this abo, as having its essence in eternity, as abiding always the same, and as possessing
infinite power. For how could it otherwise comprehend the infinity of apparent time, and circularly lead all things to the ir former condition, and renovate them, and abo recall things which become old through it, to their proper measure, as being at once comprehensive hoth of thing that are moved in a circle, and according to a right line. For aspiral is a thing of this kind; and hence, as I have lefore olserved, time is celobrated hy theurgists, as havine a spiral form. For they not only celel,rate time as a (iod, lint likewine day and nixht, and month and year, are ronsidered by them as Gods. Por of things wheh circulate perpectally, it is entirely mecesary, that thereshond be an immoseable canse; and a ditherent canse of thins apecifically difirent. On this arcomen therefore, they hase detivered to us, congresas, invocations, and telestic sacred laws. It is mecessary likewise, not to survey all these particulars superticially, but to vemerate them as divine, invisible, and immowable catose, prior to these mosable natures which are apparent to all men; l'ato himerff in the Laws, harime testimony, as we have said, to the trath of this, by ypahing of these eathses as diods. Fir from the Girchs are recciee the saceed rites of Month, amb we learn that by the Phry. gians. Month is colderatcl as Sabasius, and als, in the midlle mystrices of Sillazius. For that which they first helued to be the mesurer of a perpertalat eirentation, they apprehended to be a Giod, and this they lomoured, thromb the mysteries, and allsacred worship; in the same manner as they alos hemomed the seanoms. For they were able to perceive [the divinity of] these, from their eflicts; thumg not similarly the disinity of the year. For men inded, who were divinely wise, hase lihewise celchrated this; but it was not easy for all mentw how and worship it, un accome of the diffenty of maderstamding the perime which is measured by it. This abo is the case with the whole of time, on account of the ignorance of the one period of all things; so that the inseatigation of this whole, as existing, and as a God, is attended with exteme ditfonlty; though it an immoveable canse precedes perpethal motion, it is necesary that prior to protuity itself, there should exist that wheh mitedly defines the whole of it, and which numbers it perpetuity itself beins that which is numbered.
" These things however, through oblivion, are not rightly transterred by us to an eternal esience. For we say that it zäs, and zill be, though in reality, to this the term it is, alonc pertains."

In the first place, it desorves to be remarhed, that Plato again considers the etermal as the same with the intelligible esonce; in order that we may more clearly be persuaded, that when he assented the world was generated the statue
of the etornal Gols, lie meant hy the etemal, the intelligible Gods. In the nevt pace, it most he oherwed, that in conorgurnere of perceising that men conceive and assert mothine same alom these particmars, he himself reours to trow conceptions of the thines, at the same time purifyine the nee of worts, thonsh which the teacher mecesarily produces recollection in the minds of the well-minowed. What is now said theretore, in consegmence of the mildness ${ }^{2}$ of Flatos mamers. does not seem to acense sererely the assertion of manhind. For the expresiont not rightly, since it is common both to the aceuser and the accusel, is not acenstomed to brine with it ereat dissace. The worls of the tent howeror, habe at sharp' and promoise power. For with respect to those things which the
 receiviner a more wherable comprohenion, it men enteavour to atapt theo to the esconces which abide in etormity, they emzare in a cortain gramtic war, defamine the will and fower withe Deminerss, and in reality, ha-bening to hurl rochs amb oahs againet the heavens. Why therefore, are lowt the ases and the

 all mutation. Biat why dote the it is, in rality alone pertain to them? Breamse that wheh thry arr, they alwas: are, not losine, nor recobine ally thiner, wither
 much more accordine tomion. Statl ate say therefore, that of these ther, the was,
 Or is the liy mo means the case? Fin meither dacs the is, which is co-arranged aith the was, and tine will be, pertainto intelligibles; but that which is catmpt fom all these, has nu reprosentation ichaterer of cime, and is defined accordines to the cternat metasure itsclf, must be attributed th the Giods, and to intelligibles. For as with revert to the
 being adupted tin truly c:usting beinss, but the other to muntane things. Whan therefore, he sulys, that on thes in reathty, the term, it is, alane pertains, by transforintr the sord alome, we shatl jimd what is said to be more scicntific. For it isill then be, to this, thic a'onc is pertains; riz. the is, ithich is by itsclf excmpt from a co-arrangement with the species of lime.

[^161]How came men therefore, to err in so great a degree in this respect, and to attribute to the intelligible Gods thiners which do not at all pertain to them? Ther whole canse inderd, is the ohlision of divime natures, on aceount of the dethion of our winrs, our lapse, and our rommmion with mortal borlies.
 by usto ancternalessime." Thempist however, are not thes atherted; for it is not lantill for them to lneso. But they certherate time itali as a God, and as we hatr said, they demmanate one time zonic, hot amother azonic, wheh meaveres the prext of the third of the ethereal werlds. They likewine colderate at certain
 which presiles mer the first of those worlds. And atior all the ere, they spah of

 ratu- all litio, abd all motion. For she prodmes fontal time, and camses it to

 than- lihewio, which are prectly comprible, Plato teaches ns, that every thines whel lines in ergerated fom that which is dead, and erory thing which is dead, from that which is living ; and that there in a priod of ath things, and an apocatintanis of wherated matures, and met alone of such as are incormptible.
 priod, departs into nom-ln ing [asain], since motion from the same to the same, is a perionl.

 in wher that they minht imitate the contimerl permanency wf intelle et in cternity ${ }^{2}$ itselt, thromgh the fredodical progresion from the same to the same, which time imparts tu all moveable beines. The malitude however, freguently confound




 become inserted with the form of insages. It is also a dire thing, for those who

[^162]have not a scientitic knowledre of similitudes, to reason falsely, and transfer some things to others, tu be persmaded to associate with imases as if they wrere paradigms, and to think that a primorthal essence is nothing olse than its visible imare. Perceisiner therefore, among sensibles, the mixture of being with non-lecine, and the domination of being in a certain respect, when we say that a bine i-, hit of non-being in a certain respect, when we assert concerning it, that it was, or that it aill be, we tansfor these to the etemal order of real lemins, whore nothing is past, nor any thing will be finture, nor in short, will lee changed, and where there is bo promesion of time, nor represtatation of leing according to pivation; but where there is true essence, and truly-existing being, an invariable samentos of shlsistrofe, the all in the now, and the ends sobsisting at once, not as diflirent in lifliment thines, but as the same with their subjects. Far in things in which as I may say, the whole of the hyparxis consists of lowaty and groodness, well-beins does not difler from existence.
" But the äas, and the äll be, are adapted to be asserted of generation procceding in time. For these are motions. It is not however, fit, that the mature which always smbsints with invariable sameness, immoveably, should become through time, cither older, or younger, or shombl bemerly have bern generated, or be now generated, or altogether will be hereatier ; or should recedre any such thinge as generation adapts to the natures that are borne along in the sensible region. But these are generated species of time imitating etermity, and circulating according to mumber."

These three thines, says Plafo, pertan, on accomet of time, twempation; one, the was and the with lec the second, to become younsor and older; and the hiard,

 as proced ding tom real bemer ; lat the second, as beine impelled from life; and

 sursey them as threr, and not as two, muldrstamding by the eypresion the he

 adapt any thing uf his kime to the Gods accordine th the beine ernerated, but also atoording to all the parts of time, and gemeration. In the next place, recurring to the begiming of the whole of what I'lato now says, it must be consi-
dered, whether we can rightly assert the two alone of generation, I mean the was, and the will be, or that also, which is as it were the middle of them, the is; which is not now named, because the efernal is pratins to intelligibles, lest the homonymy shomld ataimproduce disturbance in what is sad. Morrower, it is crident to every mar, that rath image participates in a certain reperet of its paraligm, but that the whole worth, in an eppeial manner participates of the intelligible. So that if

 and the aill be, beranse that which in propery is, is mot anomer sensilhes, athl becanse








 i- mote allied to etomity, and intellicibles, hot the duad uf the was and the will
 great, that it co-arranses that which no longor is with beings, and that which is met yet with thangs that are persemt. Firr a!l those become contiancel actording to times, amb




How thereme, in :remeated natures, can the was, and the will br, be filly said to

 simple; lom the thine whid are armaned in the mathres aromerated in time, another! For time was, ant the war was, are mot the same lhing a a mither is






 intelligibles according to mumber, as mahing its progression according to the
farms and measures that arr in them. But ermeration is said to procerd in there. as beine meanmed and profectol by time. Agatn, time, on aceount of its imitation

 will be, amb such thing as are allied torese and it is evident that these are simple, and the primary leadors of the natures that are borme aloner in fromeration. For that which has the moasures of all wemeration in itself, inserts the mases and impresions of them, in the thines which proced according to it. Geheration therefore, loses its sigomr, and on this arcoment requires the removating aid of times. It also is impertict at first, and is in want of time, to make it more perfect, and wher. Sut the intelligible is always perfect, and always flomishing, and always has an invariahle sameness of subsistence; whence also something which is older subsints there,

## Bul Jove was born the lirst,

amd likewise that which in yourger,

> Il be augulat, for them the nectar pours.

Fet there are not present wih them throurh time. This also is very accorately added by Plato, hat it is loot fit the intemigible shombl lneome cither ohler or younser throngh time, nor in short, that the mberotten should be generated, or hate ben gemerated, we will be gromerated. And in one word, wencration indeed, thongh it is mot essener, yet participates of essence; but it is hy mons lawfol that essemee shmblide filled with generation. Ilence, mither is it right to introduce to truly-asisting beings, things wheh are adapted to generation through time.
"And in addition to these things aboo, we assert that a thing which zazs generated, is generated; that what subsists in becoming to be, is generated; that what aill be, is to be ; and that non-bcing is non-being : no one of which assertions is aceurate. Perhaps however, a perfect discussion of these things, is not adapted to the present discourse."

Provionly to this, Plato blamed the costom of the Girecks, for introduciner to
 the multimbe of co-amansins with wemeration, that which is adaped to molligibles. Pour thair illarality, is cilher twolohl, or is emtirely one and great. For When they say that a thing which aws gencrated, is grenerated, and that will be, is to be, they erroncously adapt the peculianty of eternal essences, to generated
natures. For this is, pertains to superior divine beings; just as to be generated, or subsist in becoming to be, pertains to sensibles. They likewise erroneously confound the parts of time, and subsert the order which is in it, by making the note, or the present time, the same as the past. But when they sity, that what subsists in becoming to be, is, they fall moto the former error alone. Thongh this howerer, is an error of the greatest consequence, yet, if it be lanf fal so te spath, it is at still ortater error to say that mom-leing is. For if gemeration is a
 liaritios of boing to semeration, than to motheing. One apolory howerer, for the e thines, may be mate comformathy to mature For in comerpurnce of non-laserg paticibatime in comeration of beine in the same mamer ats every

 tion inte parts. And asam, it i- wsalal to procre and hetain wemeration, which is lorme alone in motion, and exive in time. It in not at all wonderful the refore,

 the existence, that which is mot sedmerated, they hould siy, it is to bereme-

 and throush the present temporal interal, both which may apmar to introlue whence. Ind hernere inderd, the frequent are of there words in this serse was s-amed. Nevertheless the tranporition of this perturtation has mot any thine
 to the present spendation, which in more physical, that at hore abmelant inverat

 non-beine is the object of opinion. IV Iamblichas saty howeror, and I ant persuaded, it is theological. For in the Sophisa, mulh is sad alout all-tarions

 thiners from each other, vio., into that which is alwas lacior, amb that which is Fenerated and perishes, into inase and paradism, the eternal and the tompral, thus aloo, he wishes to give appellations addyted to cach of these, so as meither

 with thines which are burne alone in motionathe matation. But herefers tomore appropriate opportmities, the more protisum investiation of these particulars. For this was usual both with l'lato himself, and prior to l'hato, with the l'ytha-
gorrans. Arintote also, especially emmating this custom, discusses philosophical problems in a way adapted to the design of his treatise.
"Time therefore, was generated together with the universe, in order that being generated together with it, it may also be dissolved together with it, if ever a certain dissolution of it should take place. It was also generated according to the paradigm of an eternal nature, in order that it might, as much as possible, be smilar to it. For the paradigm of it indeed, is throngh all eteenty [real] being. But the miverse forever through the whole of time, was generated, is, and will be."

Plato says that time was semerated threther with the universe, now animated, and enducd widh intellect; becanee the world tiret participates of time, according to soul, and the corporcal-formed nature. But the words, "that beine senerated together with it, it may also be dissmlead together arith it, if ceer a certain dissolution of it shmatd tatic place," charly show that the miverse is mberetten and incomptibs. For if it was surated, it was werated in time. But if it was gemerated tage ther with time, it was mot ermented in time. For mither wan time. wemerated in time, lest there should be time prior to time. If theretore, the miwere was gencrated torether with time, it was mot gemerated, [according to the dimalacerptation of the word.] For it in necessary hat every thing which was senerated, should be pesterior to time. The miverse loweser is by no means foverior to time. Again, if every thing which is disooved, is dissolved at a certain time, but time camot be di-oolved in a part of itsolf, time canot ever be disolved; so that neither can the miverse. Fur it is indissoluble, as lomer as time is imdionoluble. Besdes, time is indisontuble throng a simplicity of mature; whlowsome one is willing to demominate the progresson of it, and its return to the Dominrens, which are motions contrary to each other, the eneration aud dieoslation of it. Thus also the miverse, han dismolation and erencration aceoribug to came. Jus therfore, as if some ome beine willing that the revolntions of the cirche of the different, should be odd in mumbrer, should say that the heptad is co-existent with them, in order that if ears the heptad shombla tecome
 tions will not tall into the erm momer; the likewire, we must now conceive respectine the all-varinus indiseotability of the word and time, in consequence of time las ing an indissoluble nature.

One canse therefore, of time having leen genemted together with the miverse, is that the universe may be indissoluble and perqetual. But a second canse is,
that it may be most similar to its paradima. For Plato says that the universe itnelf, in most similar to its paradign. Hnw iherefore, dees the universe become more similar to animal itself through timu? Becanse, sals he, an intelligibles recrive all the power of eternity, which now unites, amb connectedly contains them at once, collectively, and mic:ally, -thes aloo the world, sustans all the me:asured motion of time partibly, and in a divided mamer, heromely wheh aloo it wats, and is, and will $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}}$, not hasing the three in the whole of time, but each in a portion af time. It receises howner, eath of the three in the whale of time on account of the past and the fintme periot, amd becanse be ine of the nature of thines wenerated, it has the perfect in grmeration accordine to every part of time. Amble evists indeed, or is, becanse in the whole of the presat circulation of time, it participates of leoing. But it will be, becamee the meanored motions of the whole of time, will never coase, and the circulations conjoin, and asomilate time to intel-
 and is, and was, and will $h^{6}$, it is, amd will be in the time to come, in comaduence of teiner incorruptible. Hence it was semerated in all har pant time, an beine unbagotten. For it is similaty procent with all time acemetine to each of there. Or it this is not admitted it mo lonere will be hereafter intinitely, or was ermerated from intinty. And those are ridiculons ${ }^{2}$ whe say that the world was oner ereme rated, and that it will at a certain tine ceane to be, sime Plath ancribes to it the whole of time, on accomst of both [the past ant the futmere] And you sore, that he now attributes the there parts of time to it, ad dore not refuse to ancribe lning to it. Hence it is evithont, that when before he attributes the is to an etermal mature, and not to atheration, the is there, is exmpt from all trmporal exten-
 since he grants that the whole of the wels and the will be, are for ever present with senshles, acoording to the participation of trolyevisting heines.

How therefore, if the nature of time, as the divine lamblichus says, and as I am persuaded, is a medimm betwern ctomity and the miverse, of the latter of which it is the leader, and is assmilated to the former, - how, it this he the case, was time constituted fur the sake of the uniserse? Fer how was that which is comprehemper and pertictive, and which in a sreater degree animilates the imate to its paradirm, - how was this semerated for the sathe of that which is compreheruded and asmimateal! For thas things which subsist for the sake of some-

[^163]thine efre, will be more werable than rads, and more excellent matmos will
 this himd homerry, is to le tomblin the arangerome of lato. For meither was
 ed for tha sathe of time alone, hat carh was comstitulded for the sathe of itself, and of the where and bor the sahe of beth. For in order that all the fabrica-

 th the a-mimitation of earh to its proper paradian. For time would not imitate efemity withont the restence of the miserer ; ber alter what mamer womld it pro-

 as powibe, the all-perfert amd eternal mathre of ammal itself. Dach therefore was aremeated, not for the sate of itself alome, bor for the sahe of the other abone, but for ther sahe af all the fibrication of thimes, in weder that rach misht berome most pertert, and most smilar to ite paratiem, ur rather, rach was ernerated for the sate of gomhow, and the bather of wholds, on acoment of which abo the pro-
 is with referere to the mher, earh eontrintes geratly to the permanemes, order
 es comerning time, whill is the one and whold measure of all thines, and which

 as it wore co-livished with the sarions lations of the stars, which womld mot have subsintel without the revolution of the cirele of the same, and the cirele of the dif:


 and be miversally kiown throngh the partial measmes ef iterlf, which it imparts,
 the smin and moon are rmmeratod. Fomm all which wo may infor, what ereat disnity in alloted by the philoopher, or rather by the Deminsens himself of wholes, to the time which is first and one.
"Prom this reason therefore, and from such a diacusive conergy of divinity about the gencration of time, in order that time might be gencraterl, he produed the sum and moon, and the other five stars, which have the appelation of planets, for the purpose of distinguishing and guarding the numbers of time."

The fabrication of things, as we have freguently observed, being twofold; the one invisible, one and simple, super-mundane and total; but the other visible, multitudinons and multiform, distributed into parts' in the word; and having wofold energies, the one primordial, immoveable, and intellectual; but the other secondary, proceeding with motion, and revolvine about intellect; and the one being exempt from efleets, but the other lexing co-arranered with them; this being the case, a twofold time also procerels to bines. And the one indeed is supermundane, but the other mumdane. The ome aho hoth abides, and at the same time proceeds; but the other is borme aloner in motion. That aloo which is participated is twofold, the one subsistine according to simple participation, but the uther in the preriods of the celostial stars, which produce month and days, and niehts and vears. Nuch therefore beine the diflerence of these, Plato hating Welisered the conceptions, about the one and simple assence of tine , is now about to discoss the variety of the time, "hich is participated parthly, and to which the theory of the planets contributes. For thromgh the motion of thene dancing reumd the sun, the time whith is hnown to us is completely ethected; intenducinge this as a ninth gift to the world. In urder however, that from the intrudnction itself, you may have an indication of the inferiority of this than to that which is sifer-muntane, he says that it was constituted by the discursize energy of divinity; and further still, that it distimeruishes and guterds the numbers of time, therogh the motion of the stars. 'To both likewise, we mast direet our attention, vize to the distinction of the many temporal measures after the one [-uper-mmodame] time; and to the defence and preservation of the same meanures perpetally, for the sthe of which he says, the stars were ermerated. And the Deminesu inded,

 and a dincursive emory ; \{xa mavoras | indicating by this, the divisibe nature of dianeia, ${ }^{2}$ and its distribution into multude from one intellizence. For a divine intelleet is one thing, and divime reamon amother the former beine mitud, but the later moltiplied; and the former compreluenting wheles, but the latter dividing union inte moltitule; the former alow, abiding in itself, bat the latter motoling itself into light. Hence, the secomblaty time, if it is mimerally apparem, is very properly said te proced from the demiursic reason, which receives as it were its progresion from intellect; rasm imled, manifoting the cather, but dumba, the

[^164]haowledge in the Demimgre, which is of a biformed nature. Trulyevisting time ato, [ar How birs time] which is the momber of all the periods in the mivers, is one thins ; hot the time which flows from this, and proceeds accordinger to mumber, is another.

Forther still, tmy-mistimg time compreheads all measures miformly, according to which aho the protiods both of sonls and boties are accomplished, and the one meanure of the whole apocatastasis. For there is a period of that which is dinimely generater, which a perfect monber comprhends, as Socrates saly in the Repul, lic. But seomary time dintmenishes and gatarls the measures in souls and copporal matmes. For it distributes meanmes adapted to each of these. And by thi indect, it divides the mieal power of the first time, but ermats the meanore pertaning to each sonl, and cach corporeal nature. Contormably io this lihewine it makes apocatastases. For there is one measure of the solar priod, another of the lmar, and another of the priod of the metcors. For in these alow, there is a certain circle, admmoting the celostial circle. Of diblerent animath likewise, there is a different proud. For of these there are periods, and meanures of life, as abo the damoniacal Aritote says. Such therefore are the conclusims which may be now assmmed from these things, concorning the dimernace of these times.

It appears lihewise, that Plato dors not in vain say, "in order that time might be gen rated," but for the purpere of manifotiner, that invivible time was anteredent, beine a whole, and one, and an intellertual mumber, prior to the time which i- participated, and which procerds according to manlor. Ewry thiner therefore, which is gemerated, is prior th it, cremration, invisilly atabli-hed in its canse. Henor armeration siznifise a proreresion inte participant-, according to which time mofolds into lisht diferent forms,' primaty and secondarily. It likewise sigmitios that the proereds from more total to more partial matures, as far as to the lant of thins- an for instance, animals and plants. 'To ns howeror, the whote of teme becomes hnown ferm partial but orderly measures. For the whate is
 other things. But sumer, as we habe said, the predod of the phamets, and particularly the solar cirche, contributes to the wrameration of secondary time, or rather, to the comprehension of the many measures contaned in it, throngh which le ing different, as for instance months and years, we are accustomed to measure the whole of time, -hence, llato says, that the sun and moon, and the five planets, were first generated by the Demingrus, though each of the fixed stars likewise, being

[^165]-pherical, performs its preriod about it - wwn centre, accordine to a certain temporal
 are, aceording to which the! mahe their geriods atmot the zodiace Jor in the















 mulion, hat the order of them, and the apmatilit! and sameneso of their motions,






[^166]


 tion. Fior in"qualility is alone aplarent in them, thromah the lation and remtrary



 for the motion of the erlatial ors. dud it some that lato in the Republic,



 thinge that are monel with a motion perfertly equabe, and thow that are moved










 one me:mare of the whold period. And the one momad indered italf of time, is as

 the somb, and mothe dity, whish is in cath of she epheres. For ame number
 mumher is rommon to all of them. On this aceome ako we say, that there is the same time mery where. For the world has ome life, in the same manmer as it has oac nature, and one intellect. If however it has one emr, it will ako have one Grs. Sht if this he the case, it will lihewise have one temporal measure. And as each of the parts in it lives according to total nature, so likewise, it is

[^167]measured nccording to total time. Amb this is lhe common measure of all thinge. But uner this monad there in a trial, the atmont af which in the meavare of the






 There thine- therefore, we shatl asebin com-ither.

 wards the livel stars, in the wermation of partial amimats. fiar whot are called













 Le:aders of the genorat in eath of the eloments, as we may learn from the 'lheoorony which has heen transmifted to us. Ded these thinge therefore, be admitted an crilant.

 if it is prion to soml, how is it said to fre remorathed! Por Plato has said that soul is the bent of armated matures. But if time is co-ortlatate will somb, how is it that chomity is mot co-ardinate with, hat priar to, intelle.et? Aul it mast be said

[^168]in answer to this, that time is indeed prior to sonl, as eternity is prior to intellect; but that soul is the best of ermerated matures, which are cesentially generated, and not only accordiner to their being present with secomelary natures, but aloo have a generation accortlins to their inward eherey, and an esomere divisible into a multitude of pats, such as Plato himsilf has delivered to us. But time being, as we hawe demonstrated, esemtially an reternal intellect, is partocipated in a gemerated manmor, not at once wholly, nor immowally, like the intellects prior to it, hot mowatly. Whenar abo as intellert, it perfects the soul, and is generatod accordiner to participations; fowing abmadantly into its participants, and manims domerations to be as thines mmbered. 'Thus therefore, in consequence of entirely rmminer tose ther with the numbers of semerations, ' it may bre shown to be armorated. Hence toe, procording according to momber, it is said to be moveable; but not to be number, as the time is, which is prior to things numbered. For these reasons therefore, Plato here calls time eternal, becanse it is eternal arcorling to its inward energy, the whole of which it possesses at once present. But in the Laws he says, that the sonl is indeed indestructible, yet not eternal, becanse it is not allothed an immotable inward energy.
" But the divinity having made the bodies of these stars, placed them, being seren in mumber, in the seven circulations; formed by the periodic motion of the circle of the different."
'That llato denominates the smin and moon, and the other live stars, as animals cndued with soul and intellect, is manifot from his adding in what is now said, that the diximity made the bodics of each of them, in consequence of the stars themselves being intellertmal and vital. For he does not say, hat he made the bodies themseives, 'but the budies of them. It must be said therefore, that the circulations of them are epicycles, or evolving circles, or the whole spheres, in which rach of the stars is placed. Or it must be said, that they are mo one of these, but as the divine Iamblichos appehemes, the circulations signify the intellectual souls oi the planets. For as before, the divinity fashioned the whole bulk of the worll within the sonl, so now likewise, he phaces the seven lodies in the seven intellectual souls, at thr same time causing souls and intellects to preside over therr bodies. Since however, much prior to this, Ilato represented the

[^169]priod of the circle of the thfferem in the whole soml, as preminting over these seven disine hodics, he very properly add, (rmindiug us of what had been before said,) "in the seren circulatioms formal b!! the perindie motion "f the circle of the dif-
 number. For this rirgle is malnidud, homsh it is said lo have ment cott.









 therefore, he now phace the seron bedien in the seren cirete of he whole somb. And again, it will thomeh there hims he. .athont, how the simplicity of the somb



 therefore, Plato :parh - of lhe soul which is rommon tw them; but shatly ather
 animated losuds they hecame ammals." For then they are mo longer anmated as parts, hat as amimats, hroush their proper somls.
". Ind the moon indead, he plated in the first circulation abont the carth; but thr sun, in the second abowe the carth; and the star called Lucifer, ${ }^{2}$ and that which is sared to Marcury, in circulations procededing with a switness equal to that of the smo, to which at the same time they are alloted a contrary power. Hence the Sun, Latiter, amd Mercury, mutually comprehend, and an comprebended by cach other."

Nut unly from what is here said, it is a ident what order Spherice equer to the

[^170]planets, according to Plato, but also from what is written in the Republic. For he arranges the Moon after the Sun;' since there is a certain most abundant commanion of these disinities in the visible production of things. For the one has the order of father, hit the other of mother. Both the intelligible and intellectual causes of them likewise are mited to each other, and are molded into light from one canse. For the same Goddess produced

—— the mighty Sun, and splendid Monn

[as Orphems says]. Derhaps likewise the [Chaldarm] Oraches teach us this, since they wery whem arrange the Moon nither the Sun, athe the nir after the Moom, both when they doliver the order of them from abowe, and when from tweath. For they say, "The atherial course, the immense impulse of the Monn, and the acrial streams." Aud arain, "O ather, Sun, spirit of the Moon, and ye leaders of the air." And elsewhore, "Of the solar circles," the lunar rattlings, and the aerial bonoms." Aud atterwards, "The portion of ather, of the Sun, of the rivers of the Moon, and of the air." In another place likewise they say, "The broad air, the lunar course, and the perpethal pole of the Sun." Perhapes therefore, as I have said, it is possibide to be persuaded from the Oracles, that the Sun is immediately prior to the Moon, as the Moon is prior to the air, all heaven having the ordir of hire; which also was the opinion of Plato,' who aftor the celestial arranges the atherial idea, in what he shortly after says about the four ideas [in animal it-elt]. Unless therefore, it is not necessary that the Sun should be immediately above the Moon, on accome of the analogy to ather: for noither is ather immediatoly above the Sun. So that acain, this analogy will not suffer the Sun to be arranged immediately above the Moon. Nevertheless ancient rumor gives this ordor to the Sun. For Aristotle was of this opinion, and likewise Eudoxus and his followers. If however, some adupting the hypotheses of mathematicians, should think fit to arrange the Sun in the middle of the seven planets, who collects and hinds the triads on each side of him, it must be observed ${ }^{4}$ that there is not any stability in what they say from the mathematics. For to say, that Venus and Mercury would be obscured, if they were after the Nun, in the same manner as the Moon is sometimes by the Sum, is confuted by slowing that when they are in conjunction with the Sun according to longitude, they entirely

[^171]differ according to latitude. And this is the cause of their not being obscured. As it does not, however, necessarily follow that the Sun has a midelle position among the planets, mathematicians cannot procure that credibility through demonatrations that it has, which they are accustomed to obtain about most things.

Ptolemy therefore sats, in his symbat, that it in fit in conformity to sood and probable reasoniner, to place the sum in the midtlle of the sewen plances, in order that of the five planets priur to him, the me mate those that are perfectly reparated from him; and after him, thone that are in conjunction with him, and preede, or att-nd him like satedlites. But in his Ilyputheses, he does not wery much contend for the truth of this, nor infer it from the intervals of the phanets, neither in that work, nor in his Syntaris. Much atlention therefore, is not to be paid to

 into the middle the fire of the sim; "and it is not lawfin to disbedieve in what he says. Plato lowerer, lowhiner to the abondant commmonon, and comatecent pronesom of the Sun and Monn from the same canse, and also their ingess into the world, dedivers them to ns as conjointed. Nor was he the anthor of this lypothesis of the Moon subsintine immediately after the Sinn, but Anaxagoras, as Eudemms relates, wats the tirst that was of this opinion.

Again, this aho is a sulject of inguiry, on what aceomithe Sun, Vemus and Mercury, revolve with cual caldity. And some inded, say from the mathematies, that the epicycles of these three stars are eonjoined, and that their centres are in one righe line. As therefore, there is one apocatastasis of the motion of one risht line, thus also the epicyeles of these planets make the same apocatastasis; and of the epicyches themselves, the extremes are less, but the middle epiegcle is erreater; so that hoth the equatle and anomalous motions of them, are in the same ratio. But the interpreters of Plato, Porphyry and Thedorus, who insesti,ate the canse of this, retier the principle of the equality and inequality of the course of these panets to their lives. For according to them, the incquality or cynality of their swifters, is either from their intellectstending directly thromghany media to cosence, and ending in the same

[^172]thing, though through different media; or from the tendency of them to another and another thing. For the smin leing essence, proceeds to intellect throngh life; Vems, being intollect, proceeds throurh life to an intellect [different fromitselt]; and Merenry heing lif, procects also to intellect; thonsh the inteflect in which the three teminate, is in the first essential, but in the second intellectual, and in the thind sital. Honce abo they are moved with equal celerity, and thomgh they appar to hawn, and precede cach other, yet they end in the same thing. saturn, howerm, Jupiter, and Mars, may be considered as diflering in dignity, and on this accome, not of equal equity; thoush their not mosiner with the same relerity may also arise from not revolimg hrongh equal media. Thus for instance, if Saturn beine esence, shouldimmediately proced to essence, bint Jupiter should proceed to it through intillect alone, and Mars through both intellect and life; the first indeed will proceed without a medimm to essence, but the second through one erpality, ant the third through two. And thas, they will not move with equal celerity. For of the plancts, the first triad is elevated to essence; but the second, to intellect; and the Moon, to life, which comprehends in itself all generation, and procrects as far as to the last recesses of the earth. These things therefore, are said by Porphyry and Theodorms, in conformity to their own hypotheses; asorting that all these are every where, viz. essence, life, and intellect, and that each of the Goels participate of these three, but that a different peculiarity predominates in different divinities, and also that the energy is different of different Gods, and that the elevation is throngh different media.'

The divine Jamblichos however, neither admits the introduction of epicycles, as heing mechanical, and foreign from the meaning of Plato; nor the conception arising from life [essence and intellect] as vaimly employing such like disjunctions, ingresioms, erressions, and complications, as in a dream, and in no respect conformable to llato. For where does l'lato assert, that the elevation to essence is through life, intellect, and essence? Where also, does he arrange Saturn accordiner to essence, but Jupiter according to intellect, and Mars according to life? Rejecting therefore' these assertions, he delivers a more simple theory, and says that the Moon first is arranged in the place ${ }^{2}$ about the earth, as having the relation of nature and a mother to generation. For all things are convolved by her, are co-increased when she increases, and are diminished when she is diminished. But that the Sun is [the next] above the Moon, because it evidently fills the Moon with powers, and has the relation of a father to generation. But above

[^173]the Sun are Venus and Mercury, these planets being solar, and fabricating in conjunction with the Sun, and also contributing together with him to the profection of wholes. Hence their course is equally swift with that of the Sun, and they revolve about him, as commmicating whith him in the production of things. They are however, contrary to him; not only accordine to the lation in their epicycles, as we have lafore oberved, and at mathematicians say ; nor so far as the one is the canse of the evolution into lient of thines concraled, but the other is the canse of concealment, as astrologers strembenly asort. For they are so on these aceoms, and aloo on account of the disine power itself,' which we have hefore mentioned. For there is a certain admirable and transedndant power of the sum; and on this account it is of itself incommensurate. But the pewers of Venus and Mercury impart by illumination symmetry, and grool temperament, and in consequence of always revolving in conjunction with the sim render the solar motion harmonious. For both are the camses of communion; Merenry lecing common in diumal and nocturnal productions, and possexiner both a masculine and feminime power; and Vemus posersiner a binting power, and which co-adapts things that are spatrated from each other.

You may also say, that lecanse the Sun neither uses ablations, now additions of motions, nor lecomes rationary, but Mercury and Vembs, employ atvancing and receding motions, and are at times stationary, they are alloted aceording to aplearance, powers contrary to the Sin, and not so far as they proceed analopous to the first three monady, which are in the vesthbles of the good, as we have
 light, whelt is the innare of truth. But Vemse is the canse of lowaty to gremerated natures, which is the imitation of intulighle beanty. Sud Moreury is the cause of symmetry to all thases, subsistine as reason to thinge in generation. For all symmetry proceds accordine to one ratio, and acordine to mumber, of which this (iod is the giver. Thome monade therefore, subsistime amalogonsly to, and in conjuaction with each other, the pe planets wery properly desire to he with each other, and revolve together. On this accomt also, they romprehend and are comprehended, hrough producing and co-operating with each other in demingege works. But if at one time they are moved swifter, and at another slower, and when one ${ }^{2}$ is moved swifter the others are not, nor when one is moved slower, the rest are abo slower in their motioms; if this tre the case, those that are moved swifter, are very properly said to comprehend those that are moved slower, and

[^174]vice versa, the latter are said to be comprehended ly the former. And there is one period indeed, of all things; but the parts of the periods differing in swiftness and slowness, cancer some of these at one thane and some at another, to eomprehend and be comprehended by each other, according to different parts of their periods. Hence, thromsh these thiners, and from what has leren before said, we may collect, that according to I'lato, the motion of the inerratic sphere is one and "puable,' but that the motions of the seven planets are in themselves equable, but with reference to each other anomatous; except that three of them are equally swit in their conrse. For before he produced the seven plamets, he said that their circles were eyually swift. But that the motion of each of them is equable, Sorrates in the: Ropmblic manifests, when he says, that a Syren presides over the eight circles, uttering one voice in one tone; so that an equable motion is common to them. The seron stars howeser are moved about their own centres, and also through the depth of their spheres. And both the other four planets, and the three, comprehend, and are comprehended by each other, on accomnt of the inequality of their peculiar motions. For if as pheres they were equably moved, they wonld never at any time whir this, hat they wonld always be similarly spamad from math wher. This therfore, Ilato ako say, that they proceed throunthe heasens, having mutations in their metions.

Hence, ahove this triat, which is this hamonions, in comsegmence of Vemus mating, and leading to communion the Meromial broduction, which is of a remitted nature, and the solar fabrication which pos-roses intencity of power. here is another triad, comsisting of Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars. And Saturn and Mars indeed, are the extremes, and are contrary to earh othor, so far as the one is the canse of comexion, but the other of division; and the one of cold, hut the other of heat. But Jupiter is arranged in the midde, and leads the demineric prodnctions of Saturn and Mars into an excellent temprament. If however, yon wish to survey the middle arrangement of the Sill, after another manner, concrive two pentads on each side of him, beneath time. Noon, and the tetractys of the elements; in order that you may moderstand the etherial mature to have something different from what is properly called air, or that you may alen compreheme with it the nature of sublunary fire, which co-itministers the nateres of all the elements, moves all things, and excites their productions. For whatever is destitute of fire is dead, refrigeration being contrary to life. But above the Sun are the governors of the

[^175]whole of generation, having all of them in common, what neither the Sun, nor the Moon have, viz. advancing and receding motions; through which the muture of sublemary substances is ull-varionsly chaned, by additions and ablations, accessions and remissions of productive powers, of life, and of the whole of their escence. But the Sim is entirely extended from the midelle. And of the Gods inderd prior to him, he perfects the prodution: hat excites, resuscitates, and variously changes the powers that are pooterior to him. Hence the theologist calls him the guardian of the unirerse, and leares about him, deminnsic, wadefiled, elecatires, perfoctice, atul many wher cathartic and sepurating power., according to which, rerolzing porpetually, he adorns the unizerst.
" But with respect to the other stars, if any one should think fit to investigate the causes, through which the Demiurgus established all of them, the labour would be greater than that of the discourse itself, for the sake of which they were introduced. These things therefore, may perhaps hereafter be discussed by ins at our leisure, in such a waty as they deserve."

By the other stars it is evident that Platn means Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn. But the word established, exhibits to us their perpetual and incorruptihle fabrication. If however, as we find in some copies, you read they established,' this reading will afford you an indication, that the universe was generated and adorned by the Demiurgus, and by other causes. For a litte before, Plato said that the divinity distributed in an orderly manner the seven bodies, and the proriod of the circle of the different; and in addition to this the peculiar souls of the stars, which he denomimated circulations. But these are said [according to this reading] to have teen established by all the canses, in conjunction with which the Demiurgus fabricated them seserally, making the whole world a statue, and establishing in it the statues of the prattial Gods. It is here however necessary to call to mind, what we are accustomed to say concerning the order of all mumdane natures; viz. that the inerratic sphere is the caluse to all things of an invariable sameness of subsistence; thut that of the triad muler it, Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars, the first is the canse of connexion, the second of symmetry, and the third of separation. And again, the Moon indeed is a monad, the cause of all generation and corruption; but the elements in generation, form a triad under
this monad. The media between this triad of planets and the Moon, are the planets that revolve with equal celerity. And the Sun inderd unfolds truth into light, as we hase fregmently said. But Vpmos unfolds heanty; and Mercury, the symmetry of reasons, according to the analogy of the monads in the vestibules of the gond. If you are willine also, youmay say, that of the hemeficent planets, the Monm is the camse to mortals of nature, Ixeiner herself the visible statue of fontal nature. Jhat the Sun is the Deminerse of crery thing sensible, in conseymence of being the canse of sient and visibility. Dercury is the canse of the motions of the phantasy: for of the phantastic essonce itself, so far as sense abld phantasy are one, the sim is the producing canse. But Vems is the canse of epithymetic appetites; and Mars of the irasrible motions which are aceordiner to nature. Of all vital powers however, bupiter is the commen canse; but of all gnostic powers Saturn. For all the irrational forms are disithed into these. The causes therefore of these, are antecedently comprelemeded in relestial matures.

Some one howerer, may reasomaly doult from what has been said, where
 the soul of the world to ber the same with the soul of that sphere ; as Aristotle did alterwards. For we hase the perenkiar sonk of the stars, throush the before mentioned circulations, and a litte farther on, Plato spaks conceming them. For he says, hat he ine homed with amimated bomds, they became amimats. May we not say therefore, that as he places in the whole sonl of the uniserer, twofold rireles, and twofal rimalations, he wives smsistence together with them to twotiold souls, that of the inerratie sphere, and that of the whole planetary splere, as one circolation? And arain, assming in the circte of the different, seven cirches he had tose fher with them seron souls, caried as in whiehs in the seven spheres. For the amimation just now delivered was not of the spheresthemselves. Hence in what he said of the inermatic splore, he mate mention of the anmation of the stars, omitting the anmation of the whole phores, as lane comprehended in the circles of the whole soml. For on account of the form of dieronson manal with the ancients, he omits the subtile maboration of various amimation. For umisersal amination is one thing ; that which is posterior to this, and is miversal partial animation, is anothor; partial universal amimation is amother; and the last of all, is partial amimation. Aceording to all the forms "f ammation likewise, the whole world and the parts ot it are anmated. May it not he said also, that Tinawus having on the preceding day heard Socrates sultily discussing these anmations, conceived that the peculiar elatoration of them would be superfluous.

[^176]Socrates therefore placed souls which he called Syrens, over the eight whirls for spheress. And again, he places one sonl over the inerratic portion of the world; one, over the whole planetary region; and one over the whole heaven; unfolding the pecular souls of all theae, and anmating the inerratic sphere in a twofold respect, both as one circte, having a division opposed to that of the seven circles, and as comprehending the multitule of the tixed stars, and leeing itself a world universal and at the same time partial. And thes much in answer to this doubt. But the rason why Platu mates the discussion of the stars to le supurfluous, is evident. For the thing proposed ly him was to show what secondary time is, and how, and whence it is consmmately effected.
"When therefore, each of the natures necessary to a joint fabrication of time, had arrived at a local motion adapted to its condition, and they became animals through the comecting power of vital bonds, they then learned their prescribed order."

What the animation is of the bodies of the seven cosmocrators, and what the order, has been shown through what has bren already said. But how each of them is an anima!, and is suspended from a more divine soul, and what earh contributes to the perfection of the universe, Plato delivers in these words, to those who are able to perceive his meaning. For each of them is allotted an appropriate life and motion. For sinee the demiurgic sacred law distributes to each of the mortal natures that which is adapten to it, what will you say concerning the leaders and rulers of the miverse? Must it not be this, that they reereve from the father that which is adapted to them and is their guod, and that being remplentent with beary they not only co-operate with the father in the generation of time, but also lead and govern the whole world? And how is it posible that thus spaking concerning them, we should not speak righty? In addition to these thinss aloo, they not only receive from the deminrgic monad the beantiful and the good, but beine self-motive, impart these to themselves, and begin from themselses the domation of good; which Plato indieating, says" that each of them arrived at a local motion adapted to its condition," as detining to itself the measure of the life and order and motion which it is alloted in the miverse. Since bowever, each of them, I man each of the seven bodies, has a twofold life, the one inseparable, hut the other soparable; and the one intellectoal and established in iteself in a leading and ruliner manner, but the other diseriluted about body, which it connectedly contains, and moves; and since according to the latter, each is an animal, but according to the former
a God:-Hhis being lhe cave, Plato separating boh these, and consithring the divine and intellectual soul, and which does mot deplart from intelligibles, as one thing, but the anmal whicla is susporded from this, has its life from, and is the image of it, as another, he says " that betine bound with rital bonds, they became animals, and hearicel their prescribed order." Jor a divine soul hearm the demiureric will, and meleratande the work of the father.' It ako co-operates with him in the production of mumban matures, hy intellectually perceisine him, and beiner filled by him with disine powers. For it is not possible for either intellect or soul, to provide for wholes in an oxmp ${ }^{2}$ manore, in any other way than iny the participation of deity, and throush a disinely-impired life.

Thair co-operating therefore, in the produrtion of time, manifests that they are alloted a secondary power, in the fabrication of it ; the father of them possessing a primordial power. For lie inded gemerates the wholeness of time; but they produce, torcther with lim, the parts of which time consists. For the predods of these are the parts of the whele of time; just as they were erenerated the parts of the world. But the animal bomed with vital bonds, is the anmated body, which has life from the sonl allotted to it, accorming to the deminrsic allotments. For if with us, the animal is diffront from the man, and the virible Socrates is one thing, but the trow: Noctates another, mush more are the Sum and Jupiter [diflirem from the visible orhs of them] which con-int of hody and soul. Honer socrates in the Phardrus hames thone, who assert that a disine amimal consists of body and soul. " For ignoranly, and whont sufficiontly underatandiner, says he, we frign a (iod to be an immortal animal, having a soul, and having a body, and these connascent with each other throurh the whole of time." Ald if it be requisite to speak what apprears to me to be the truth, the unity which is in each and which is the ineffable participation of the fomtain of whole unical mumbers, is primarily a Giod. But secondari!! intollect, which comans every thing stahly,' miformly, and intlexihly. And in the thirdplace somb, Inine filled fom intellect, and mathline the one comprehension of it. 'The first of these alon, is truly a dool; the secomd is most divine; and the third, is likewise divine, bug ilhminates the anmal with the peculiarity of deity. According to this also, the animal is divine, being bound with vital honds, which yom may say are vivific, deminreic, and indisouluble bonds, as Timans says farther on. For the divine hodies, are wholly houm in souls, and are comprehended by, and estahlished in them. The binding likewise, indicates the stable and immutable comprehension oi the bodies in the souls, and the undis-

[^177]joined communion of them with souls: Divine bodies however, being such, cooperate with the Demiurgus in the production of time, call forth the one and invisible power of time, and afford to it a progression into the world, which unfolds into light many temporal measures. Hence through this the whole of time derives its completion, imitating the time which ronsists in mumbering, since it consists itesff in heing mumbered, and in short becomes what it is from many mmbers, in order that it may tee similar to that which is truly total time, and is comprehensise of all apocatastatic numbers. The joint fabrication therefore, indicates production procerding to the end, and a prifect mergy.
"That according to the oblique lation of the circle of the different, which moves in subjection to the circle of the same, these orbs should by their revolution, partly form a greater, and partly a less circle; and that the orb which formed a less circle should revolve swifter, but that which produced a greater, more slow."

Yon may say that the oblique lation of the circle of the different, signifies a lation according to the obliguity of the zodiac. For the motion of the planets is according to the poles of the zodiac, that we may speak mathematically. For such an explanation is mot to Ise dixpised by thoer who discourse about the celestial bodies. Or, according to a more intimate proception of the thing, you may say, that this obliguity mamifets the canse of gemmation, and the mutation which preexists in the celcotial orls. For generation indeed, participates of diffierence and varicty from the revolution of the circle of the difficent [i. e. from the circulation of the plamen]; but it participates of samenes, and a subsistence which is aluays the same, from the circte of the same [or the inerratic spluere], as Arintotle alan says. For if the circlu of the sume alome wisted, there would not be mutation and generation, bot all thing would be uniform, and lecine always gemerated, would remain invarially the same. And if the circle of the defferent atone existed, all things would be without stability, and would be in contimal motion. In order therefore, that there may be both permaneney and metion, and that this whole
 verse has lwoth these cirentations. And the variely inde of of gereration, becomes apparent through the circulation of the eircle of the differmt but commmion and immutahility, throngh the cirche of the same. But of these agrain, the one is eflieted thromeh the circle of samemers of the womb and the wher thromgh the soml's cirete
 ether through demiuryic difference. And of these lats, the one is through intelli-
mible bound, but the other thromgh intelligible infinity. Alter this manner therefore, the oblinuity must be maderstood.

Aud here you may see what the diffirence is, as delisered by llate, between the peychical lation of the cireld of difference, and the corporeal. For he calls the former stratght, but the latter oblique. For the former is undefiled and intlexible, but the latter proximately governs the variety of erenerated natures, and has a visible incyuability, and a certain position and habitude with mepect to the miverse. But the revolution of the eircle of the different beiner such, it proceeds' through the circle of the same, and is zoverned by it. For it is moved by invisible causes, and by the incrratic sphere itsilf. Or rather, it receises throngh it motive powers; and is governed by it, lecanse it is convolved, according to the one, and inerratic motion of it. And this inteed, is said mathematically. But after another manner, you may say that the nature of the same and similar has dominion, in order that the world mey be one; that all things may as much as possible be perpetual; and that the world may imitate animal itself, in which all things have an eternal subsistence. For if the circle of the different had dominion over the circle of the same, there would be less of the immutable than of the mutable, in the universe, and the world would not receive all the perpetuity which it is capable of recriving. But in this case, it would be less similar to animal itself. The lation therefore, of the circle of the different being governed by the lation of the circle of the same, proceeds ${ }^{2}$ within it, circulates through its concavity, and revolves together with it.
"And with respect to the seven bodies, some of them being slower, but others swifter; those that revolve in a less circle, revolve more swiftly; but Saturn revolving in a greater circle, moves more slowly."

Plato says this, looking to the apocatastases of the planets. Hence, he says, that they resolve swifter or slower, and not that they simply proceed swifter, but revolve slower. For when, as circle is to circle, so is time to time, then the

[^178]Irodies which are moved are equally swift. For let one circle be double of another, and one time of another, then the greater circle which is moved in the donble ime, will be moved [over the same npace] in half the time of the less, but the less wall be moved in half the time, over the same space. Hence, they are equally swift. For things are equally swift, which are moved over the same space in the same time. But when one circle is to amother, in a greater ratio than one time to another, the motion of the greater circle is the swifter. For lat this
 therefore tre hex than the titue from the fitat. For the same thine will have a

 For it has becon domometathed, that if cirche is to cirele, as thme to time, the motion of the hess in copally sutt with that of the ärater cirele. Noreoner, the motion of the las ciral. from the bist was furtomad in a less time than the time from the firs. Hence the same interval, is pased orer hy the one eircle in a greator, but by the other in a less time. The motion therefore of the Irss circle, is slower than that of the greater. Again, if the ratio of one circle to another, is less than that of one time to another, the motion of the greater circle will be slower than that of the less. For if you make as circle is to circle, so is time to another time, yoll will make it to be to a greater time. Fur the same thing has to the greater a less ratio. So that the greater circle will in a greater time pass over the same pace, than the less circle. It is therefere slower. Hence, these things thas subsisting, Plato does not now investinate what the condition is of the planets with respect to swifteress and sowness; for this reguires a more ample comsideration; but he adds, hat there is a dilleme apocatastasis of different plancts, and of some slower, but of others more swift ; the swiftness and slowness, being produced, either from the amomatons mature of the motions, or, which is more true, from the stars ${ }^{2}$ being all of them moved with ryat celerity, but one circle having to another a ereater ratio, than one time to another.

In short, thus much ourht to be hnown concerning the motion of the stars, that they hate not a rollines motion alune on their spheres. For his motion is foreign to spherical hedies. Nur do they alone remain lixed. For how would they be any longer of a circulating nature? But they circulate about their contres, imitatine the manorne, accordine to the opinion of Plato; withont heine at all in want of the hyputheses rmploged by astronomers, as I hase before observed. For if Plato thinhs that the find stars are thas moved, through an imitation of the

[^179]universe, much more will he grant that those stars which are allotted the government of the universe, and a lealing dignity, imitate the whole world. These therefore, are moved ahout their centres; but the spheres in which they are contained, and which are as it wre moviner rulers, convolve them cither swifter, or slower. Except that Platn wives to them a more varions motion, becanse they are media between thing that puceed in a right line, and things that hy themselves are alone moved in a circle, and moves each of them about its centre, and through its proper sphere, so as to berome elevated, and near the earth, and to revolve about the northern and soulbern parts; by which motions they are inferior to the lixed stars, though they are otherwise allotted a liberated dignity and power.
"But from the lation of the circle of the same, the orbs which comprehend, appear dianog the circulation of those that move more slowly, to be comprebmaded. Fom all the circles of them revolve with a spiral motion, becanse at one ame the same time they are moved in two contrary dircetions: and in consequence of this, the splicre which has the slowest revolution, is nearest to that to which its course is retrograde, and which is the swiftest of all the spheres."

Circulation, as we have thequently ohserved, being twofold, one from the cast to the west, but the other from the west to the east, hewho muleratind both these circulations, will know what is the comon, and what the pernliar motion of the stars, and which of them are mosed switter, and which more slow. For he will look to their peculiar motions, and will know that those are wwitter which are in consequentia; and thos, he will not admit that Saturn is swifter than the Moon, hut he will see that the Moon is more eastom, and that Saturn is remprehended, but the Moon comprehends. But lae who fancie's, that there is only one simple motion of all the stars, which is from east to west, when he sees that Saturn and the Moon, are at one tine together, but that at another time Saturn is more western, as hasiner moved to a preater extent, will sity that the Moon is comprehended by Satmm, siz. the swiber hy the slower. The cause however of this error, arises from alone lookiner to the peried of the circle of the same, which pososesos mach power and strenath, and not comidering the peculiar motions of the planets, nor perceiving that they do not matie their transition in antecrdentia, but in consequentia. For asserting this also, the $\Lambda$ dhenian guest or stranger blames the multitule and those who are ignorant of astronomy. "For it is diseraceful," says he, " if we survey a stadium, and do not know which of the racers runs swifter, and which
slower; and he must be considered as absurd, who fancies that the switter is the slower course. But it is much more disgraceful for those who perceive truly Olympic races, not to know the swifter and slower period, throngh ignorance of astronomy." For the bodies that revolve with the greatest celerity, appear to be comprehended by those that revolve more slowly, though they in reality will be seen to comprehend, by those who are able to lonk to their proper motion. But the lation of the circle of the same, vanguinhing the boty which is nearest to it, causes it to appear most rapid in its motion. That however, is nearest to it, whieh is the leant distant from it. For let, if it shonld so happen, the Moon and Saturn be near the Cor Leonis, or heart of the Lim; then the Moon being movel with its peculiar motion, will depart from this fixed star; but Saturn for many nights will be seen about the same place. The astronomer therefore, knows that the Moon departs from this star more rapitly, on accomit of the motion of the Cor leonis in consequentia. But he who is ignorant of astronomy, will fancy that the Moon and Saturn being mowed with the universe towards the same parts, ilo not make their apocatastases after the same mamer; but that the one, as swifter, arrives at the weat lefore the other; bat that the other as slower, arrives at a more western part. This therefore, would be the conclusion according to the opinion of the muleitude. 'This may however, hapen to be true, I mean that saturn is the swifter; if, as we have before observed, the ratio of the circle of satum, to the circle of the Moon, is greater than the ratio of the apocatastasis of one time to that of the other. For the body which is nearer to the sphere of the fixed stars, will be swifter, for the befure-mentioned reasons.

But what is the meaning of the assertion, that the planets " revolve with a spiral motion, berause at one and the same time they are moved in tao contrary directions?" And how are we to admit of contrariety in a circular motion? For each of the planets being moved with two local motions, is not the canse of the spiral motion; but this arises from their being moved in the oblique cirele [i. e. in the zodiac] towards the equinoctial. Thus, if some one supposes that the Sun is moved with the universe towards the equinoctial, the cause of this will not be a spiral motion, though there is an anti-circulation. Nor must it le simply admitted that the motion which is contrary to a circular motion, is another motion [i. e. is a motion of a different hind]. For many beantiful arcuments bave been urged against this opinion. May not therefure the words, motid at the same time in taco contrary directions mean, that they are not only moved at one and the same time to the east and west, hut also that they become both according to breadth, and according to depth, nearer to, or more remote from the earth, and more northern, or more noutherm? For these two motions, make a spiral, in conjunction with the lation
of the universe. The spiral likewise, is adapted to the planets, which are media between the fixed stars, and sublmary bodies; the former being moved according to a circle alone, and the latter, in right lines. Just arain, as it is natural to the planets heme media, to be mosed both anomatously and equably, according to length, breadth, and depth; in order that they may have the paradigms of the natures that are all-variously moved posterior to themselves, and may imitate throush circulation the miform smbistence of the natures prior to them. These thines therefore, are manifest to every one.

It is however, not at all wonderful, that contrariety should exist in the heavens, prior to the contrarieties in the revolution of the circle of the different; since in the genera of being there is said to be a contrariety of sameness and difference, motion and permanency, and in the primeiples themselves of these, bound and infinity. For these are contraries, becanse in the terminations of them, there is a certain contest and dissention, thourh they always possess the power of acting in conjunction with each other. It is by no means wonderfal therefore, that there should also be in the heavens, a contraniety of these motions. For those contraries are not now assumed, which are hostile to, and corruptive of each other; for these are material and partibie natures; but simply those, which are effective of contraries, and those which are mont distant from each other. For this also is a certain mode of contrariety in mature; since, that the apparent motion of the heavenly bodies is one thing, and their true motion another, indicates the presultsistence there of mon-being, and its complication with being. The furure of the spiral likewises, is no rain, fortuitous thing, hat wes completion to the media betwen bodies that move in right lines, and those that are moved in a circle. For the circle alone, as we have said, is in the inerratic sphere, but the risht line in generation. And the spiral is in the planetary region, as having a co-mixture of the periphery and the right line. The motions also according to breadth and accorling to depth, are the proximate camses and paradizms of the motions in the sublmary rerion, viz. of the upward and downward, and the obligne motions. Perhap likewise, the therorist [Julian] in celebratine time as of a spiral form, and as both young and old, directed his attention to this, conceiving that the tomperal periorls, were especially to tre known throurh the motion of the planets. And thus much concerning these particulars. But llato adds in the next place as follows:

[^180]motion of the cight circulations might be manifest, the divinity enkindled a light, which we now deneminate the Sun in the second revolution from the earth, in order that the heaven [i. e. the world] might in the most eminent degree become universally apparent, and that such amimals might participate of number as are adapted to its participation, receiving nmmerical information from the circulation of the same and the similar."

Plato hare delivers the one ruling canse of the greneration of visible time. For as the Demiursus constituted invi-ible time, so the sun produces the time which is sisible, and which measures the motion of bodies. For through light, it leads into visibility every temporal inturval, bounds all periody, and exhibits the measures of the restitutions of things to the ir pristine state. Deservedly therefore is the Sun a conxpicuons measure, as repercially unfolding the pregression' of time according to number, into the miverse. For it has a more accurate period than that of the five plametw, its motions beiner less anomaloms than theirs ; and also than that of the Moon, by always terminating at the same point its progresoions to the north and the sonth. But if it has a more aceurate period, it is deservedly a meanure of measures, aul from itself bounds ${ }^{2}$ the periodic measures of the other planets, and the swifters of their motions with reference to each other. It also in agreater degree imitates the perpetual permanency of eternity, by always revolving after the same manner. In this way therefore, it differs from the plancts.

After another manner likewist, the Sun is a more manifest measure than the mosasure of the ineratic rphere. For though this sphere has a certnin appropriate measure, a proper interval, and one immutable number of its peculiar motion, yet the solar light camses this measure and all the volution of apparent time to be manifint and known. Hence Plato says, "In order that there might be a certain consficuous measure." For thongh there is a certain measure in the other planets, get it is not clear and manifest. But the sun unfolds into light both otherintelligibles and time. Yon must not however on this account say that the solar light was gemerated for the sathe of measurement. For how is it possible that wholes should subsist for the sate of parts; governing natures for the sake of the groverned; and perpetual for the sahe of comptible natures? But we should rather say that light possessing an evolving power unfolds total lime, and calls forth its

[^181]supermundane monad, and one measure into the measurement of the periods of bodies. And this makes time to tre, as it were, sensible. Hence it is the light of the Sun which causes every thing that is moved to have a clear and manifest measure. And this indeed is its whole grood. Atter wholes, however, it likewise bemefits parts in a scondary deqref. For it imparts the generation of number and measure to the natures which are adapted to participate of these. For irrational beingsindeed are destitute of these ; but the genera of damons, who follow the periods of the Gods and men, become partakers of them. The supply of good therefore through the solar light, berinning supernally from wholes, descends as far as to parts. Aud if besimning from visible natures, you are willing to speak of such as areimisible, the light of the Sum gives splendor to the whole world, cames a corporeal-formed nature to be divine, and wholly filled throngh the whole of itself with life. But it leads souls through undefiled light, imparts to them a pure and elevating power, and governs the world by its rays. And it likewie fills souls with rmpyrean fruits. For the order of the Sun is supernally derived from supermmolane natures. Hence Plato does not here fabricate the solar lisht, but says that the Demimens rakindled it, as giviner subsisfonce from his own essence to this sphere, and emitting from the solar fountain a lifo extended into interval, and contimally rencwed. Amb this also is asserted by theotogists concerning the supermumdane firmaments.

On this accomen, it appears to me that Plato delisers a twofold ereneration of the Sme one inderel, in eomjunction with the seven sovernors of the world, when he fashions the bodies of them, and incerts them in their ciscubations; but the other according to the enkindling of lifht, through which he imparts to the Sumsupermundane power. For it is one thing to generate the bulk of the Sun itself by itcolf, and another in conjunction with a roliur characteristic, throurg which the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ n is called the king of every vishble nature, and is extablished analogous to the one fountain of good. For as this fomutain, beiner levter than the introligible essence, illuminates both intellect and the intelligible, thus also the sun beine better than a visible nature, illominates both that which is visibe and sight. But if the Sun is beyond a visible essence, it will have a supermundane nature. For the world is visible and tangible, and has a borly. Hence, we must survey the Sun in a twofold respect; viz. as one of the seven planets, and as the loader of wholes; and as mundane and supermundane, according to the latter of which he splendidly emits a divine light. For in the same manner as the good huminously emits truth which deifies the intelligible and intellectual orders; as Phanes in Orphens sends forth intelligible light which fills with intelligence all the intellectual Gods; and as Jupiter enkindles an intellectual and de-
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miurgic light in all the sup rmundane Gods; thus also the Sun illuminates every thing visithe throngh this undefiled light. The illmminating cause too is always in an order superior to the illuminated natures. For neither is the good intelligible, nor Phanes intelloctmal, nor Jupiter sumermmandane. In consequence of this reasoning therefore, the Sun heing supermmone emits the fommains of light. And according to the most mystic doctrines, the wholemss of the Sun is in the supermundane orders; for in them there is a molar world, and a total light, as the Chaldean oracles' ansert, and which I ampersuated is true. And thus much concerning these thines.

It in repuinite howerer to metron the words of the text, and to explain them as follows: The words then, "in order that there might be a measure," do not signify a devised measure, but that which itedf measures and detimes corporeal motions, and eremerates visible time. 'The womes also, "that the motion of the eight circulations might tre maifest," refer to this measure, which passes throurh,
 we say that the common aporatantanis of the right revolutions comsists of no many years. But we ohtain a howheolese of the solar year lhromeh light. For through this we kbow what portion of the andiae the sin oceuphes, what pertion he lavers, and to what part of it ler procerds. Hence aho, we hoow by the tramition of light, the quantity of the time, in which the smin pascos thromeghis proper circle, and performs his rewolntom, and in how many years all the promots of the eight circles are completed. By thin likewise, we are able to measure the solar proiod, and the common prod of the other eirculations, which is the same thing as to meannre their joint apocatastanis. But the words, " the ditinity entindled a lishte" evhibit to us the men-temperal hypostasis of light, proceeding fom an imsisible cause, and from the demiureric usence. Agatin, the words, "in order that the hearen, or zorld might in the must eminent degree become unizersully aphorent," have a cause of the following kind. It is necessary that the whole world shonld as much as possible be tilled with the solar light. But the mass of the earth is maturally dark. Hence, it is requisite that the Sum shomld tee nearer to the earth, in order that it may relieve its darknes. For that which is ntarer illmmates more abundantly. And when that which illuminates is ereater than the thing illuminated, it is able more larerely to impart its light. But the words, "in the most

[^182]eminent desrec," simnify that the Sum illuminates all the world as much as possible. For he is not able at once to illuminate the whole carth; hut partially, by his circular motion. In the least time however, he at once illuminates the whole earth, according to the equinoctial circle. For when be rises and sots, giving light to more than half the earth, he in this one circulation illuminates the whole earth. But if by the word hearen, we understand that which is moved in a circle, neither does the Sun at once ilhminate the whote of this. For there are also shadows there, on account of the obmbrations of the stars and the Monn. Nothing however, exept the Sun, is free from shadow in the world, as neither is any thing mundane without matter. But supermundane natures alone, are without shadow, and immaterial. Hence the Sun is truly shadowless, and uneceptive of generation, all other bodies receiving at difierent times, different illuminative additions.

Why therefore, it may be said, did not the divinity enkindle a lieht in the first of the cireulations from the earth? We reply, because the fulsid sphendor of the Sun is of itself incommensurable to generation. But the Moon being a medium between the two, and first recriving the light of the Sim, makes it to be commensarate to the realms of gemeration. For the Moon, as Arisiotle says, is as it were a less Sun. It is necessary however, that heing proximately above generation, it should not be the most splendid and the most hmmons of bodies. For it is not lawful that a thing of this kind shonid approximate to that which is obscure and dark, but that which is secondarily fulgid; and which has always indeed, its own proper lisht, but in the participation of a superior light, exhibits mutation, and this in an osderly manner. For that which has arrancement and order, is more excellent than that which is withont order; that through this mutation, it may be the paradigm of the very mutable nature, whirh matter introduces to generated things; just as the opposition of the earth introduces the privation of light. These things however, admit of a more ample discussion.

But that the stars, and all heaven, reccive light from the Sun, may tre easily perceised. For that which is common in many things, exists from one carse, and exists from it in one way as excmpt, but in another as co-arranged; but this latter mode of the subsistence of the cause, is that in which it primarily participates of that one form. But that primarily participates, in which this form subsists primarily, or' especially. If therefore, light especially subsints in the Sun, this will be the first light; and from this, the light which is in other things will be derived. And thus much for this particular. We have however shown the

[^183]meaning of the words, "that the hearen migit in the most eminent degree become universally apparent." For if by hearen you maderstand that which revolves in a circle, the Sun does not always illmminate the whole of the Moon, but only during the time from the conjunction to the full. But if you understand by it the whole world, then, as se have said, the sim only accurately illuminates the whole earth, in one day, when he is in the equinoctial circle, and in rising and setting, is diametrically opposite to the carth. It remains therefore, to see what the number is which is prodnced by the lation of the same and the similar, through the inspective suardianship of day and night. This number then, is neither intellectat nor dimoretic, but doxastic, atturding a docoment of the nombers which preexint in forms. For there are many ditherences in many things of gemerated mmbers. And as we how the mmber of imsisible time, by the dianoetic number, so by that which is doxastic, we apprehead the nomber of sisible time.
" Night therefore, and Day were has generated, and on account of these the period of one most wise cirenlation was effected. And Month was produced, when the Moon having completed her circle, became in conjunction with the Sun. But Year when the Son in revolving had completed his circle. A few only of mankind however, understand the periods of the other stars, give mames to them, and measure them with relation to each other, regarding the numbers adapted to this purpose; so that, as I may say, they do not know that time is the wanderings of these bodies, which employ lations infinite in multitude, and admirably diversified."

Through the generation of light nights and days had their progression, and the smallest measure of time is divided by these intervals. For the period, says he, of one most wise circulation, is etlected by night and day; the intellection of the inerratic sphere, or the circle of the same, being the one most wise circulation; but the period of this circle, being the circulation of that sphere. For circulation is an enery and a period. The intellection however, is of a more principal nature; but the period is the effect of intellection, imitating circulation. The space therefore of day and night is this. By this however, years and months are measured. For we measure greater by less intervals; just as ly years, the whole apocatastatic time of the universe is measured. It is likewise reguisite to observe, how the peculiarity of these is a medium between monadic forms, and the forms which subsist in the multitude of
individuals. For some forms are indeed in one thing, yet not alwags in the same thing according to momer, but in many things. Year however, and Month, are always in one thing accordiner to number, on account of the mutual vicissitude of individuals [i. e. of the sun and moon] in a circle. For one month succeeds another; and one year another; but cach is always one. These things therefore do not require much discussion.

Perhaps howerer some one may donbt how Plato says, that Night and Day formed the period of one most wise circulation. For this is the very thing for which Aristothe accuses Plato, viz. to call circulation time; thongh now Plato adds time to the uniserse when in motion, as being something different from motion. But if the motion of the miserse is different from the time of the universe, the motion also of each of the bodies that are moved in a circle, is diflerent from the periodic time of the motion. 'Ihis therefore may be the occasion of doubt in the words before us. How likewise is the period of the inematic sphere the swittest, lut the bodies which are nearer to it are slower according to their apocatastasis than those that are firther from it? May it not therefore, he proper to say, in answer to the former donbt, that period signifies two thiners, at one time motion itself, but at amother, the measure and condition of motion; just as a medimnus and a cotyle, and rach of such like measures, are denominated in a twofold respect. Iheme the period of the inerratic sphere must not now ber said to he the motion of it, but the temperal interval of the motion. May it not also be said, that when Plate calls time the wanderings of the heavenly bodies, he means nothing eler than that the periorls of these are time? For these are things numbered. But time, as they also say, is that whieh is numbered of motion. It is just therefore as if it shonld be said, those oxen are a mumber of such a magnitude. For thus also periods are thur, as being a momber of a certain magnitude.

But in answer to the second donht it may le said, that llato asonmes the apparent apocatastasis of the inerratic shere, and which mahes the space of a day and night ; since there is another trow apocatantasis. For the point which now rises, does not rise according to the same homr with that which follows it, nor do the other points subsist similarly, all which however contribute to the apocatastasis of the ineratic spherr. For neither do all the points in that sphere, and all the fixed stars, make their apocatastases according to the same preriod. It is necessary however, that they shouid, if we assume an accurate apocatastasis, which takes place in a great length of time. For it is evidem, that all the stars which are in the inerratic sphere, and are moved by it, and which have habitudes to each other, and to it, subsist differently at different times, and together with these things, have at a different time, a different lation towards the centres; and
also, that their apocatastasis to the same things in every respect, is effected in a very great length of time.

Moreover, some one may also doubt, how Plato calls the measure of the apocatastasis of the lation of the inerratic sphere, Night and Day. Jor this measure is every where supermally derived, from the one intelligible cause of the universe, and from the first paradigm. But Nigh and Day are in the sublunary rerion. In amswer to this doubt, it may be said, that the temporal interval which is primarily in the circolation of the ineratic aphere, and the solar light, are effective of Day and Night. Jrom thing last therefore, and which are hoown to us, the whole measure is defined. For this space of night and day is one thing, and that which is in insisible time, another. 'The formor abo is the image, and ultimate: termination of the latter. For their are many orders of Night and Day, intelligible, intellectual, stpermmodane, celestial, and sublmary, as likewise the Orphic theolors teaches. And some of these indeed, are prior to the fabrication of things; but others are comprehended in it ; and others procecd from it. Some likewise are invisible, but others are visible: since with repect to Month and Year also, those that are imisible are of one hind, and which are memsurative, connective, and perfective of the intellectnal and corporeal periods of the Sun and Moon; but those that are visible are of another hind, which are the tormination and measure of the solar revohtion. The like aho tahes place in other Gods. For there is one imisible Saturnian number, and another visible; and in a similar manner, an invisible and visible Martial, Juvian, and Mercurial number. For the Month itself, and also the Y'ar, which raist according to each period, being each of them one, and always the same, are Gods immoveably defining the measure of motion. For whence have the prodods a subsistence always invariably the same, except from a certain immoveable camse? Whence atso, is the ditfrence of apocatastases derived, except from different immowable causes? Aud whence proceeds the unceasing, and the again and again to infinity, except from the infinite powers which Nonth and Year contain?

It must likewise be admitted, that all this temporal series sulisist under one first time, which defimes the period of a divimely generated for perpetually circulating] nature, und which is itself true number, as we have before observed. From these invisible periods however, we must conceive the visible to be derived; and which proceed according to the being numbered, from the invisible, which are able both to number and generate them; all which, astronomy beantitully teaches, doxastically apprehending the number of the periodical apoeatastases of each. It also makes comparisons of the ratio of the periods to each other; such for instance as that the Saturmian period is double and one half besides of the Jovian period;
and in a similar manner in the other planets. For if there are different apocatastases of them, they have a different ratio to each other. Sacred rimour also venerates those invisible periods, and which are the canses of the visible; delivering the divine names of Night and Day, and also the canses that constitnte, and the invocations, and self-manifotations of Month and Yoar. Hence, they am not to be surveyed superticially, but in divine essences which the laws of sared institutions, and the oractes of dpollo order us to worship and honour, by statues and sacrifices, as histories inform us. When these also are reverenced, mankind are supplicel with the benefits arising from the periods of the seasons, and of the other divinities in a similar manner; but a proternatural disposition of every thing about the earth, is the consequence of the worship of these being neglected.' Ilato likewise in the Laws proclains that all these are Gods, viz. the Seasons, Years and Months, in the same manner as the Stars and the Sun ; and we do not introture any thing new, by thinking it proper to direct our attention to the invisible prowers of these prior to those that are visible. And thas much concerning these particulare.
let us however riturn to the text. Plato then, mentions Night before Day, as conveving an imare of invisible and intellectual measures. For common rumour arrange the former prior to the laltor. Hence we are accustomed to say the nyclhemoron [or the space of night and day]; becanse in the intellicible canses of these, Night subsists prior to Day. But with respect to the words thus, and on accoumt of these, the word thes manifests the producing canse of Nieht and Day, and indicates that it is light in conjunction with the inerratic sphere. And the words, on account of these, manifot the limal canor; in orter that the nycthemeron may he the comspicuous nueasure of all the circulations. But he denominates the one most wise circulation, the revolntion of the circle of the same, as lofing buiform and intellectual, and most allief th the permanency and sameness of intel-

[^184]lect, and as possessing uniformity from the one principle of things; the wisdom of it being derived from intellect, but its circulation from the psychical peculiarity. He likewise asserts time to be the wanderings of the stars, not as making the motion of these to be time, but as concriving the temporal intervals to be the measures of motions. Tior the number of the visible life of each of these, is secondary sime. But he calls both the peculiar, and the common time of the motions of each, imfinite in multitude. For he co-ansumes the all-varions circulations and configurations of them. And he says that they are admirab!y dizersified, on account of the dances, their oppositions and conjunctioss, the ir hamonious motion, and the order of their apocatastases. For such are the wanderings of the celestial bodics, the whole of them being inerratically erratic, existing always in the end, and hastening to one end.
" At the same time however, it is no less possible to conceive, that the perfect number of time will then accomplish a perfect year, when the celerities of all the eight periods being terminated with reference to each other, shall have a summit, as they are measured by the circle of that which subsists according to the same and the similar."

After the demiurgic gencration of the spheres, the period of the seven bodies, the animation of them, and the order which the father inserted in them, and also after the varions motions of them, the temporal measures of their several periods, and the differences of their apocatastase; the discussion proceeds to the monad of the temporal multitude, and to the one mumber according to which all motion is measured, under which all other measures are comprelechated, and according to which all the life of the world, the all-a arions evolution of bodies, and the whole of the psychical life, are defined, confurmatly to an all-perfect period. 'Whis period however, ought not to he surveyed doxastically, ty adding myriads to myriads of years. For thus some are acenstomed to speak of it, ansuming the accurate apocatastasis of the Moon, and in a similar manner of the Sun, and then adding the one to the other, and afterwards adding to these, the apocatastases of Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn; and in the lant place, the apocatantasis of the inerratic sphere, to the one common apocatastiais of the planets. After this manner therefore they sprak, if the apocatantatic times compared with each other are primary. But if they are not primary, hen assuming a common measure, they see according to what mumbers this measmes each of the given apoeatastatic times, and hy the number according to which it neasures the less of these times they muluply the greater, but by the number according to which it measures

He greater, they multiply the less; and thes they have from both multiplications the common time of the apocatastasis of both, and which also is measured by both.

It is not proper however, to surveg merely and alone after this mamor, the whole mundane time, but to survey scientifically by intellect, and the disoursive chergise of rasun, one number, one colved power, and one perfertion pro-Eres-ion, extemdine to all the life of the world; and thie procerdine to the ent, retnrming to the bogiming, and convergine to ibelf; and on this accomt making the measured motion to lee circular. For as the monad bonnds the infinty of momber, and antecedently compreburds the indefinteness of the duad, thos alon time measures the whole motion, and converts the end of it to the bewimines. Jonce abo it is called a momber, aul prefoct. For amonth is a momber, and likewion a yere, but not a perfect momber: for they are parts of other numbers. But the time of the period of the miverse is perfect, beanse it is not a part of any period, but is a whole, in order that it may imitate eternity.

 coblation of the wholeness which abides conglonerated in chemity. The whole mundane that therefore, measmes the one life of the miverse, according to which all the celeritios are trminated of the celestial and smbmary circles. For in these also, there are periods, which have for the summit of their apocatastasis the lation of the eirethe of the same. For they are refored to thim as to their primeiphe,
 reforme bothe peime of it. 'Thus for instances, all of them mathe their apocatastasis alumt the eppinorial puint, or aloont the simmer tropie: or thoush the goint apocatastasis shond mot be comendered to be accordine tothe same foint, but with refrence to thesame, when for instanere, rising, we culbinatiner sel all of them will have with reforence to it, a hisure of smeh a hime. For mow the jutorit urder is entirely a certain aporatastasis of all the hearobly bodies, sut the confisuratien is mot seen about the same, but with reference to the same point. Once howewr, it was about the same, and according to one certain point; at which if it shomld again take place, the whole of the will have an end. One certain apocatastasis likewise, seeme to have beren mentioned. Hence it is said, that Cancer is the horoscope of the world, :md this year is called Cynic, or pertaning to the dog, becanse among the constellations, the eplendid star of the Jorr rises together with Cancer. If therefore the plancts

[^185]should again mect in the same point of Cancer, this concurrence will be one perioll of the universe. If however, the apocatastasis takes place in Cancer about the equinoctial point, that also which is from the summer tropic, will be directed towards the summer tropic, and the momber of the one will tre equal to the mumber of the other, and the time of the one, to the time of the other. For each of them is one period, and is defined by quantity, on account of the order of the bodies that are moved. And thas mach eoncerning the one time of the universe, which measures all corpored motions; in the same manner as the world measure preycheal, and eternity intellectual lises. It is likewise evident from what has been sad, what his one time is, whence it derives its subsistemee, and what lemefit it aftords to the misome. In addition however, to what las been said, it must be obsersed, that this perfect mumber diflers from that mentioned in the Repuldic, which compredemels the period of every divindy senerated nature ; since it is of a more partial nature, and is apeotatatatic of the eight periadisalone. For the other perfect mumber comperends the peculiar motions of the fined stars, and in short, of all the divine gronera that are mosed in the heavens, whether visibly or insinhly, and also of the celestial granera posterior to the Gons, and of the longer er shorter periods of subhmary natures, together with the periods of fertility and sterility. Hence likewiee, it is the lord of the period of the human race.
" Conformably therefore to, and for the sake of these things, such of the stars as proceeding through the heavens have revolutions, were generated, in order that this universe might be most similar to perfect and intelligible animal, though the imitation of an eternal nature. And other forms indeed, were fabricated, as far as to the generation of time, according to the similitude of that to which they were assimilated."

That the world becane more perfect through the arencration of time, imitating all-perfect animal according to the etternal, and that generation derived its sulsistence through the lation of the seven cosmocrators; for from this lation, the variety according to generation, was monfoded intoright; is mident from what has been before said. 'That which remains therefore of Plato's speculations concerning time, deserves to be assumed, viz. that time procereds anaturous to soul, being at once etemal and generated. Hence as soul belongs to eternal beings, and is the lest of generated natures; so likewise time is both eternal and generated; -u far as it is co-mingled with souls and bodies, and so far as it proceeds and
extends throngl，all spendary beings．For it is number proceeding and a circle： but itcelf lyy itself，is both a monad and a centre．For the Demingris prodnced a medimm of this kind，betwren things immoveable，and thiners that are moved． according to a similitude to himwlf．For he also is a medimmbetween the abiding and proceeding Ciods，according to an assimilation to the paradigm；becanse this also is a medinm letwen the int dixible and intedectual Gods，${ }^{2}$ between etornty and number，and the etcrnal and perpetual（inds．For it participates of eternity． hom it primarily participates，and is the monat of intelligible amimals．Hence also， the world luing gemerated perpetual throngh the whole of time，was perfectly as－ similated to it paradism．For as its paradiem receinel the whole sift of cternity； since cerery thing which primarily participates of a certain thing rectives the whole of its eitit thas also the world lives throngh the whole of time，and lises accordine to flu whole perfoed number of it．Hence likewise，it is perpelual．For every thing which is able to recerine the whote evolution of time，is indestructilde． IBt the whole of time is the perfect momber of the apocatastasis of the universe， as we have frequemly observed．

Farther still，this also is to low asomed from what has been before said，that Plato was very far from concriving time to be a thine of such a kind as the Stoics， and also many of the leripateties apprehemed it to be．For the former supposed it to be a mere conception of the mind，evancscent，and most proximate to non－ entity．For time with them，was one of the clearly significant＇thinge which they despised，as ineffeacions，as having no real being，and existing in mere conceptions alone．Sut the latter assert that it is an accilent of motion．Wie may ask how－ cuer，of what motion？Is it an arcilent of contimed motion？But time is every where．And motion is in thimes which are moved．Is it then an accident of all motion？＇There are therefore many times．And what is the momat of them，and how is time that which is mombered of motion？Por it will truly subist in habitude， and time will be something relative，and that wheh mombers not existing，mether will time exist．Plato therefore perceiving that all these assertions are mworthy

[^186]＇For conufarur ia this place，I read eopmparav．
the theory concerning time, gives to time a subsistence supernally from the intelligible and intellectual Gods, it lexim a supermmodane intellect, commectedly containing all psychical life, meanomg the pryehical and corporeal periods, and perfecting the motion of them which procerds into extension. From this monad lihewise, he comstituse diberent tines, according to the triad ' and heptal, "and prenheres all these uhont the onte time, which masames the one life of the miverae. He aho qives to time so great a bewer, as tomathe the world throngh it more similar to its paradigm. And lion the sake of the fremeration of these times, he prodnces the sun, and the other cosmocrators, as calliner forth imsisible time, umfolding it intu ligh, distributing it into parts, and dividing it, and perpetmally convolsing it with insariable salmenes.

Since howerer, he says that the plane ts proceding throngh the heavens hame rewolntions, let ns see whelher he does not conceise the motion of these to be varions: sime they mone abont their onn centres, mal rewohe throngh the heavens, areordiner to hosth, heath, and depth, viz. through their onn sheres, which are parts of the luatons, and pive compledion to the miverse. For he does not say that they are moved in the heavens, as if they ocenpied the same phace, but thruegh the heanens, as beimer moned througharches, and wholly transterred according to place; and in addition the the, that they always resolve about their own centres, in oreter that they math have a cortain mind motion ; jus as they are anedia betwern the fived stars, which always arapy the same phace, and sublenary matures, whieh are not mosed ahout a rertain middle. It is now the refore mident, hat according to Plath, all the -pheres are concentric, and hate the s:me contre with the amiverae, But the apparent inepmability of the motions of the seven phats, arises fom their rewhtions, as they all-tamosly change their
 prosimatine to the earth; and this withont the contrisance of epicycles. For Plato mahes no mettion of these, and nature wers where requires a medium. The medimm however, between all equable and orderly natures, and those that are amomatous and disurdenty, is that wheh is amomalous and orderly; such as is the furm of the motion of the plamet, wheh alternately exhibits an ineynability accombing to a cortain creler perpetasally the sathe, of switness and slowness, and of a rewhation to the samb thinss, or to contrancs. But if some have cmploged ectain epiegcles, or equable exanties, hypothetically intruelucing motions, in

[^187]order that they misht be able to discover by the composition of these, the numbers of the motions of the epicyches, eccentrics, and of the stars whirh are moved in them, the conception is beatiful, and adapted to rational souls, but is foreign from the scope of the nature of wholes, to which alone Plato directed his attention.
"But as the miverse did not yet comprehend all animals generated within itself, in this respect it still subsisted dissimilarly. Its artificer therefore supplied this defect, by impressing it with forms, according to the nature of its paradigm."

That always assimilatine the world to animal itself, Plato makes mention of it thrice, causing the universe to be ouly beqotten, rendering it perfectly perpetual, and fabricatine it all-perfict, is evident, and is so very properly. For animal itself is charaetrimed by these three things, the only-besotten, the eternal, and the all-perfect. For bring alloted the third order in imtelligibles, it has the only-hegrotten trom the lirst for the summit of this order] according to which the one being suhsints; but the eternal from the second, according to which eternity subsists; and it has the all-perfect from itself. It is necessary bowever to survey not nesligrntly what this all-pertectness is. Wholeness therefore, as we have frequently observed heine triple, and originating supernally from intelligibles, it is necessary that this world being the inage of the most beantifnl of intelligibles, should -ubsist aceording to each of these wholenesses; according to the first indeed, which is prior to parts, according to the secomd aloo, which is from parts; and throurh this according to the remaining wholeness [which is in a part?. The Demiurgus therefore, had prior to this adormed the world, aceording to the first form of wholemes ; far he made it to he am amimal possessine soul and intellect, adoming that which was moved in a confosed amd disurderly mamor, and
 divire minn. But the discoseion procorediner, he likewise sare to it the sacond wholdersy which consisti of parte, comstitutine the twofold rirenlations, binding the eloments through analogy and ammerer the cireles of the sonl, according to the monad, triad, intrad, and heptatl. For of all these the universe consiuts as of parts; because these give completion to the miserse as the miverse [or the all]. And in the words before us, he gives to it the third form of whoneses. For it is necessary that each part of it shonld become a whole, and that it should have all

[^188]things appropriately in itself; viz. the heavens celestially, the air aerially, and the carth terrestrially. For this is the whole in a part, and through this, it is in a greater deyree assimilated to its paradirm, which is comprehensive of all animals. For that is both a monad and number. And it is necessary that the world should contain all animals in itself, in order that it may become perfectly similar to the allness of its paradigm ; by not only receiving the whole plenitudes of the world, and subsisting' a whole of wholes, but also comprebending in itself, the partial animals, throngh which every part of the world derives its eompletion, and all the disine, demoniacal, and mortal orders. For thas the mont perfect similitude of the world to amimal itself will be efleeted. And this is the tenth gift imparted by the Demiurens to the world, and is the greatest of all. We do not howerer say, that the Demiurgns bromght the word to a similitude of the intelligible, from dissimilitude ; for thus the imperfect would precede the preflect, in the demiur ric seneration of thinge ; but the order of the discussion delivers the precedeney in forms, and a dominion camally anteredent to the st coml and hird goode which arre ine parted,' in order that the world may as moch an perabible be improseded an liy a seal with all the evolved forms of intelligibles. since therefore, the paradism was monadie, and comprelemsise of all intelligible amimals, it is necesary that the world should not be alone only-begotten, and a whole of wholes, but likewise that it should be comprehensive of all semsible anmals. Here therefore, the discussion of vivification proceeds, filling all the parts of the tuiverse with appropriate genera of anmals; adorning all the gemera with proper numbers; and generating all numbers according to a similitude to the paradiem.
"Whatever ideas therefore, intellect perceived in that which is animal itself, such and so many it dianoctically conceived it to be necessary for this universe to contain."
***** ' And the third wholeness is imparted to the world throurh fabrication, weaving together parts with wholes, and numbers with monads, and making each part of the universe a world, and that which is in a part, a whole and all.

[^189]For the work is allutted this through a similitude to anmal itself; because that also is an cotire monad and number. It is likewise an all-perfect intelligible intelloct, amb a phontude oi intelligible causes, which it generates so that they may abide eternally in itself. For the multitude which abides in its cause is of one hind, and that which proceeds and is distributed into parts, of another. For the Demimerns himself indeed, constitutes some genera of Gods in himself, but produces others from himself, into second and third orders. And the father of the Deminrans [i. e. Saturn] renerates some paradirmatic causes of fabrication to abide in himself, but he produces others, as deminreic canses themsehes, that have an arrancomen prior to wholes. His grandfather also Ileaven, retains some canses in himerlf, bul leals others forth' into a separation from himself. And theologits manifest these things by mystic appellations, denominating them either concralumen, or alsorption, or nurture in Fate. Much prior theretore to these, does intelligible intellet the father of wholes, generate some canses in himseff, and mfinh them into light, but produce others from himself, and constitute the orders of the Gods posterior to him; containing indeed, uniform, whole, and allperfect eanses within the conprehension of himself; but producing into other orders, those which are multiplied, and distributed into parts throngh difference. Hence, since every paternal order constitutes after this mamer, this world also, being an imitation of the intelligible orders, and suspended from them, possesses one allness prior to partial animals; but another, deriving its completion from them, and torether with the former receives the latter, in order that it may be most similar to its demiurgic and paradigmatic cause. Such therefore, is the mode in which this takes place.

But the words, "in that which is animal itself," we have before explained, and shown what amimal itself is, according to our opinion. And now also we say, that of the intelligible breadtl, one part is the summit, is mited, and occult; but another part, is the power of the smmit, and procerds, and at the same time abiles; and amother part, unfolds itself into light thronsh encrey, and exhibits in itself intelligible multitude. Of these lihewise, the first indeed, is intelligible treing, the second, is intelligible life, and the third, is inteligghbeintellect. The first being itself however, camot be anmal itself: for multitude is not there, nor the tetrad of ideas; but through its onlyness, and ineflable union, it is denominated onc by Plato. And in short, animal itself is said to participate of eternity, but the tirst

[^190]being itself, does not participate of any thing, except some one shonld say that it participates of the one, which in every respect is worthy of comsileration. For may not that which is above it, be superior even to this appellation [the out ]. But being itself is primarily that which it is, and not according to participation. Hence, being itself camot be ammal itself for the abovementioned canses. Nor can intelligille life be animal itarli. For animal is secontary to life; and is said to be animat thromeh the participation of life. In short, if amimal itself was the second, eternity wonld be beiner itself. 'Thishowever, is imponable. Fer the one being is one thing, and eternal bebirg another; the former heing the monad of
 former is the canse of existence to all thens, hat the lather of fermanemey aceord-
 which is immediately postrerior the ome being for this is eternity, which is intelligible power, infinite life, and wholemess itself, according to whel cath of the disinities is at ones a whole; it is necessary that the third, [or intellizible intellect], should be animal itadi. For it is necessary that ammal itself should be after a certam mamer intellect; since the mane of it subsists ention y in conjunction with sense. But sense is the image of intellect; so that in that wheln in primarily aniinal, intellect primarily subsists. Hence, if ammal itself is secombiny to life, it necessarily exists accordines to intelligible intellect. For heiner intellivible, and as Plato says, an amimal, the most beantifal of intedtiribles, and only-lnwotlen, it will have this order. Fur all thines aftor this form are produced in conjunction with other things, and fall short of intelligible athess.

Animal itself therefore, is intelligithe intelleet, comprehending in itself the intellectual orders of the Gorls, collecting, mitme, and prefecting them,' and being the most leantiful bomblary of intedlighlds. It abo mufolds into lipht to intellectual natures, the united and manown canse of intelligithes, exciting itself to ideas and all-varions powers, but protucing all the sromd orders of the Gods. Hence likenise, Orphens calls it the (iod Phanes, as unfolding into light the intellizible mities; and gives to it varions forms, as exhbiting in itath the first canse of intelligible amimals. He aloo inserts in it mutiform ideas, ats primarily comprehendeng intelligilde ideas, and calls it the hey of intellect, beeanse it bound enery intelligible essence, and commetedly-contains intellectual life. lorom this so prat a (iod therefore, the 1) emiarens of the minerse is suspended, le ing himself, as we have before sad, intellect, hat intellectmal intellect, and in a particular mamer the canse of intellect. Hence also, he is sad to ree animal itedif for sight is the

[^191]peculiarity of the intellectual Gods. For the theologist calls intelligible intedect cycless. IIe says therefore, coneerning it:

> In hin breay freding eyulera, rapid tove.

For the boundary of its energy is the inteligible. But the Demiursus beine intelfect, does not rank among participated intellects; in ortor that he may be the Demiurerus of wholes, and that he may be able to look to animal itself. Beins however imparicipablr, he is truly intellectual intellect. And through simple intelligence indeed, he is mited to the intelligible; but through varous infellection, he hastens to the generation of secomdary uatures. Hence his intelligence is denominated vision, as being non-multitudinous, and as shining with intelligible light. But his second energy is called dianoetic, ax procenting through simple intelligence, and adrancing to the wrueration of demiurgic works. And Plato inded says, that he looks to animal itself; but Orphens, that he leaps to, and absorbs it, through the indication of Night. For through Night, who is intelligible and at the same time intellectual, intellectual intellect is conjoined to the intelligilhe. You most not, however, on this acrount say, that the Demiurgus looks to that which is external to himself; for this is not lawful for him to do; but that being conserted to himself, and to the fomatain of ideas which is in himself, he is also conjoined to the monad of the allvarions orders of forms. For intellect is not without the intulligible,' and does not subsist separate from it, according to the Oracle. For if we say, that our soul looking to itself knows all thiners, and things prior to itself, are not external to it, how is it possihte that the demiurgie intellect should not in a much greater degree, by intellectually perceising himself, survey the intelligible world? For animal itself is also in him, yet not monadically, but according to a certain divine number. Dence lihowise, being himself intellectual, he is said by theologists, as we hase observed, to aboorb that intelligible (iod, in consequence of every intelligible, the disisions of forms, and thr intelligible number, lwing preceived by hime Plato, also, indicating this, denominates the ideas of the Demiurgus, such and so many; by the former, manifesting the prenlarities of the canses, but by the latter, the separation of them accordine to number.

If however these things subsist after this manner, it is not proper to admit, as some do, that there is an infinity of forms in intelligibles. For the definite is more allied to principles than the indefmite, as Plato also indicates. And tirst natures are always contracted in quantity, but transcend in power, those that are posterior to and proceed from them. Nor must it be said, that those who separate animal itself from the Demiurgus, make the intelligible to be external to intellect.

> - Instead of dea mprou in thi, place, it is ucecssary to reat vonrnu alone. Tim. Plat. $\quad$ Vol. II.

For we do not make that which is seen to le posterior to that which sees, in order that it may be external to it, but we asiert that the former is prior to the latter. But more divine intelligibles are intelle elnally pereeived by more varions matures, as existing in them; simer the somb also cutcriner into itself, is said to dincover all Ixeines, and as Sucrates siys, ' (; ul and wisdom. Animal itwelf therefore, is priur to the Deminerge, and is not wetmat to him. And there inderd, all thines, - mbist tutally, and imtellixitly; but in the Deminersus, intellectually, and with division. For in him the separate cames of the sum and mom preexist, amd not only the ond idea af the celontial diods, which sives sulsisternee to all the

 a divine intellert evoher the total aparation of then in the intelligithe, ' into all
 comollaries.

In the 1 ent place however, it is worth while to relate such opinions of the more ancerent interpeters, as intraduce a more novel meanime of the worts of the teat. Amedias therefore from these words, epecially constitutes a triad of demingeic intollerts; adling the first that which is, from "that achich is animul;" lunt the -econd that which has, from the words "in that," for the second is not [ideas,] hint they anter into it; and the third that ahich sees, from the word "perceized;" thomgh Plato says, hat ideas are in that which is amimal, ame dose mot assert, that ammal itself is one thiner, and that in wheln the ideas of ammals are containad, another. Inence thatachich is, is not dilliment liom that whilh has; if the one is that which is anmal, but the other that in which ideas smbint. As: in, Numsenims arramese the first [God] accordine th that whel is ammal, and says that it
 intelleer, and sats that it fabricates for the bere of the thiral; and the third is arraned by him, accurding to that which enowizes dianotically. But it is rvilent that there hane cortain esomtal dithemes. Such a division, howeror, is
 but amother an intellect emorgizing dianoetitally. For Plats down mot divide

 Wheize diductically, and to proceize, are at prosent assumed as the boumdarie's of


[^192]these to be contratily divided in the Deminrgus, since they concur with his hypostavis. Thene therefore, the disine lamblichme has sulfiently confuted, addines, that Plato dow not mahe such distinctions of divine natures, in the Sophista, Philebos, and lamomides, as they speak of, bot soparately disoseses each of the divine orders which are there mentioned, and disides the hypotheses from each other; separately indeed discosing the one, sparately ahole, and sucosoively in a similar mamer, circumseribine tach hypothesis, be appropriate detinitions. We however, for our desien is mot to confinte the opinions of othere, remind ourselves, that the things proposed to tre comsidered are, what the one intelligible paradigm is, who the whole Deminerns is, and what the mion is of both. Let us thenfore sere, how, in what follows, the multitude of paradigms, and the many fabrications of things, are delivered.
" But these ideas are four ; one indeed, being the genus of the celestial Gods; another of winged, and air-wandering amimals; the third, being the aquatic species; and the fourth, the pedestrinus, and terrenc."

As in the domiurgic intelligence itself, a monad is the leader of the intrllectual multitude, and as in the paradism, mical form has a subsistence prior to number, thus abo discourse, which is the interpreter of divine concerns, atumbratine the nature of the things of which it is the messenger, first asemmes the whole ohject of knowleden collectively, and acourding to an enthesiantic conception; but afterwards mubolds that which was conglomerated, and develops the one intellection, thromg words. It also divides that which is mited, according to this nature of the dhins-s, at our time, explaning their mion, but at another, their separation. For it is mot naturally adapted, hor is it possibhe for it, to comprehend hoth of these at once. 'The diseourse of Plato aks, beine thens affected, first in a disimely incpired mamer, malols into liyht the whole number of intellizible ideas, and afterwards, distributes into parts, the progressoms which this mmber contains. For there the intellisihle: molditude shimes forth, where there are the first monads of ideas. And that this inderd is the costom of Plato, we have before shown in many instances, as in, "it was scnerated," "he was grood," "onc," and in all the before-mentioned examples.

Betakine curselurs however, from words to things, het us consider in the first place, what the tetrad itself of ideas is, and whence this munber is derived; and in the next place, what the four ideas are, and how they sulsist in animal itselt, whether the all-perfectncss of it derises its completion through them, or whether
they subsist in some other way. For by proceeding in an orderly manner through these speculations, we shall discover the divinely-inspired conception of Plato. It is necessary therefore, again to recur to the lefore-mentioned demonstrations, in which we said, that the first, united, and most simple intelligible essence of the Gods, procceding supernally from the mity of unities, but according to a certain mode, ineflable, and incomprelumible by all thimes ; one thing ' is tirst. occult, and paternal; another is second, ${ }^{2}$ and is the one power of wholes, and an uncircomscrited meanure; and a third thing' proceeds into enrery, and all-various powers, and is at once both paternal and ethective. The first of these also, is a monad, $\operatorname{lnc}$ canse it is the smmut of all the int ligible fireadth, and the fometain and canse of divine numbers. But the second is a duad : for it abides and proceeds, as in the intelliwible wencal, and has the ever complicated with being. That however, which is now invertigated !is the thind!, ant in the tetrat, which receives all the occult canse of the monad, and unfolds into light in itedf, its unprocedins power. For such things as are in the monad, primarily, mically, and with an unproceeding subsistence, these the tetrad exhibits distributedly, and now separated accordine to number, and a production into secondary natures. Since however, the third has inded an order adapted to, but also entirely participates of the cause's prior to italf, it is not only a tetrad, but as a monad it is greater than this, and is allotted a paternal transentency; and as a duad, it is effective and prolific. So far therefore, an it in ealled ammal itesti, it is the monad of the nature of all anmals, wital, intellectual, and corporeal. But so far as it is comprethensive at once of the mate and female, it is a duad. For these are appropriattly in all the orders of ammals, in one way in the Gods, in another in demons, and in another in mortals. And it is necessary that the lirst unitics of these, should have a promordial subsistence, in the one comprehension of animal itself. But so far as it constitutes from this duad, the four ideas of animals in itedf, it is a tetrad. For confurmally to these ideas, the fourfold fabrication proceeds, and the first effective canse of wholes, is a tetrad. Plato therefore, teaching this tetradic power of the paradigm, says that the most mical ideas of mundane natures are four, and are comprehended in one idea amimal itself. For there, animal itsetf is one inlea ; but the male and the female are a dnad.
If you are willing aho, you will have genera and specins, in the division of Plato. For he calls the two ideas, the intellectual, and the air-wandering, genera,

[^193]Int the remaining two, species, as being subordinate to the former two. But animal itself is also a tetrad. And as far as to this, intelligible forms extend. After this however. ideas proceed accordine to different manbers. For there is an appropriate mumber of them in each order; and the less number, is comprehensive of more total ide as ; but the more multitudinous number, of such as are more partial. For disiner natures are more contracted in quantity, but have a tranecentent power. The forms also of secondary natures are more multiplied than those that are prior to them; intellectuals than intelligibles, supermmodane than intellectual matures, and mundane than supermundane natures. Mundane forms therefore, are those which have procecded to an ultimate distribution; just as intelligible forms receive the highest mion. lor all piogression diminishes power, hut increases multude. Hence, if Timens had discused a certain intelleetnal order, he would have nentioned another number, such as the helidomadic or decadic. But since he is speaking of the intelligible canse of ideas, which comprehends all intelligible ammals, he says that the first ideas are four. For the tetrad is there, which proceeds from the intelligible monad, and fills the demiursic decad. For as the Pythasoric hymn says, " Divine number proceeds from the occult protundities of the undecaying monad, till it arrives at the divine tetrad, which produced the mother of all things, the miversal recipient, ancient, and venerable, placing a boundary about all things, immutable, and mowearied, [and which both the immortal Gorls, and earth-horn men, lenominate the sacred decad.f" Ant the hymm indeed, calls the unibem and occult cause of the one being, the madrcaying momad, and the orcult frofunditits of the monad; but the evolution into light of intelligible multutade, which the duad, the medium het ween the monad and the tetrad, unfolds, the dizine tetrad. And the world itself, which receives the images of all the divine numbers, supernally imparted to it, it denominates the decad. For the ahove words may be thas molerstond, hy directing our attention to the fabrication of the world. And thas much concerning the tetrad itocli.

In the next place, let us show what the four ideas are, and to what kind of things they give subsistence. For some of the interpreters dillier from others in their opinion on this subject; some indeed assertime, that the prosression of these ideas, is into Gods, and the mortal genera, especially directing their attention to the [literal meaning of the] worls of Plato; but others looking to things, say that the progression is into the Gods, and the genera superior to us; lecause

[^194]these genera subsist prior to mortals, and it is necessary that the Demiurgus should not produce mortal, immediately from divine uatures. Others again, conjoining both these opinions, and following what is writton in the Epinomis, assert that Gods subsist in the leavens, demons in the air, demirods in water, and men, and other mortals, in earth. Simeh however labine the ditlerence of opinion anomer the interpreters, we admire inderd, the loners of thing, but shall embearour to follow our leader [Syrianus]. We say therefore, that the celontial wembs of (iods, is comprehensive of all the colestial achera, whether they are disime, or andere, of datmoniacal. The air-wanderine semus compreheme all the matures that are arranged in the air, whether the $y$ be Gods that are allotted the air, or damons that follow these, or mortal animats that live in the air. 'The' aquatic is comprehersise of all the gemera that are allotted the water, and of all that are nomribed in water. And the pedestrious comprehends all the semera that preside wer the parts of the earth, and all the ammals that are constitnted and wromerated in the carth. For the Demingras is entirely the canse of all mundane natures, and the common father of all of them; eremeratimer inded, disime, and dameniacal natures, hy and thromgh himself alone; but deliverint mortal natures tw the jumior Gods, an they are ahbe to gromerate these by aposimate enorey. And the paradigm is not the cause of some, and not of other anmals, but it poroseses the most total cames of all amals. For again, if it was the catoe of the divine and damonical genera, but by no means, of the mortal erenera,-in this case, mortals not being generated, the miserse womld be imperfect, as not containing all the gencra of animals. For it is smimat to its paralign, and all-perfect, thromeh imitating the four ideas of anmal it welf.

If, on the contrary, some one should say, hat theoe frenera comprehend Gods and mortals, how shall we accord with Plato, who after the fathiation of the
 generation of them, eaceals our ability;" havine abo mentioned the (iods that proreed into the sublmary world. For hare inded after the adrial, lar arrmens the


 heavers, in order that he may commmately prodmee man; and aller the hmman soul has acted cromeonsty, he again comducts it the the winged, pedestions, and satase gelus, and afternards to the aruatie tribe. Hence it seems, that the thee whera are mot only the camses of mortal antures, but that they are the cames ot

[^195]these prior to wher things, in which the same order of the three ideas are preserved, secundary matures procerdins from those prior to them thronsh diminution. it is necescary therefore, that all thins shonld be ermerated thromen these ideas. w. both the divine and mortal genera; and that the intelligible idnas, as heing

 the $\sin$ an of (Bork in one way, in those that are prepery callod Gods, and int another way, in the ermera smerior to ns, and which are armerel in, the heasens. lor we say that there are celestial angels, damons, and heroes, and that all these are called fiols, becanse the divine peculiarity predominates over their own peculiarity. Arain, the wised and air-wanderine erms, shosists in one way in the arral Gink, in another, in arrial diemons, and in another in aerial mortal animals. For the intellermal peroliarity of these Coms, is denominated winged: but the providential pernharity is called air-wanderine, as extendius throush all the sphere of the air, and comertedly containine the whole of it. But in diemons the atinsed is significant of rapidity of enorsy : and the air-uanderins, manifests the heine every where present without impediment, and procereliner thrmeh all

 motion through bodies. For nothing hinders but that partial somk, which live in the air, may wander thrmug the arr. Farther still, the apmatic in divime mathers,

 follow the (ienls, it sienilies that which remmederily comtains the moist natmee. Moreover, the perlestrions, in ome place signities that which romtaine the last seat, and procereds thrombit, as the terrestial, and which stably governs it, and gies perfection to it, lhromsh all-sarions powers and lises. But in another place, it indicates that which somems and resulates at dillerent times, different parts of the carth threwh its own proper motion. And thes murlicomerning the names.

From these thines lowewr, it may he asomed, that intollisible animal itself, is - ntirely different from that which is in the Deminrens. Fir the former does not contain the separate ifleas of mortal animals. For the Demingers mate mortal

[^196]animals, being willing to assimilate mundane natures to all the forms contained in himself, in order that he might make the world all-perfect. But he contains the distinct ideas of these, producing mortal from immortal natures.' He knew therefore, mortal natures. Anl it is evident that he knew them by his inherent forms ; and that he thought it fit the junior Gods should fatricate them, looking to him, and not to animal itself, as having in himself separately the ideas of mortal, and those of immortal natures. In animal itself therefore, there was the aerial, or the aquatic, or the pedections, there becing one idea of each, viz. of all aerial, or apuatic, or pedestrions animals whatever; but in the Deminrgus they are divided. And some indeed, are the formal [or specific] comprehensions of immortal, but others of mortal, arrial amimals, and in a similar mamer of such as are aquatic and terrestial. Hence the formal multitude in animal itself, is not the same as the demiurgic, a may he inferred from these arguments. The manner also, in which the division of thene genera is mate, must be considered. For it is into a monad and triad; "pposing the summit of the celestial gonus, to the total genera; and also, into two duads. For he calls the celestial, and also the winged, genus; but the aquatic, and :also the pedestrions, species, as haviug an order inferior to the former, just inapecies is sulbordinate to genus.

Moreover, it is likewise necessary to survey this, that he omits the idea of fire in what he now sys, because the divine genus compreliends the summit of fire, according to its own nature. For of the sublunary bodies fire alone, has not an appropriate place, but alone subsists in mutation, and is always in want of nourislment from air, and water. For the uppre region is the place adapted to fire. But it is not there. For it would be seen if it was there, since it is naturally visible. Nor does it arrive thither, since it is extinguished by the surrounding air, which is dissimilar to it. If therefore, it is necessary, that there should be a wholeness of fire, and that tire having a form should exist somewhere, and should not alone subsist in lecoming to ber but sublunary tire is not a thing of this hind; if this be the cave, fire will alome exist in the heavens, and will there remain such as it is, and always possess its proper place. For the motion to the upper region, is not the natural motion of fire, but of fire having a preternatural subsistence. Thus also the Sacred Disconrse of the Chaldeans, conjoins the aerial bosoms with the lunar rattlings, and attributes to fire the celestial region, according to the distribution of the elements into the world. For the fire whicl: is in peneration, is a certain eflluxion of the celestial firr, and is in the cavities of the other

[^197]elements; there not being a sphere of fise by itself; but the summits of air imitate the purity of the upper fire. We say therefore, that these summits are smblunary fire, and that the place of fire is under the heavens. For this phace is most similar the colestial profundity, just as the lownst extremity of air is most similar to water, in consequenee of being thick and nebulous. This also, as it wond seem, appears to have been the conception of Arisotle; for he thought tit thas to denominate the fire which is here. But he calls the fire which is immediately under the heavens, and which he says, revolves in conjunction with the havens, fiery-formed. If however, this be the case, it is perfectly requisite to ask him, where that which is truly fire, and ranks as a whole, exists? For it cannot be the fire which is here, since it is not a whole, nor truly-existing fire; since the fire which is truly so, is not ficry-formed. He must therefore be compelled to admit, that fire which is truly so, and which is pure light, exists in the heavens. You must not however wonder, if most attenuated, and most pure fire, is in the summits of the air, just as the most gross and turbid fire, is in the bosom of the earth; not as makiner this fire to be another wholeness diferent from that of air, but as admitting, from its brius themost attenuated, that it is carried in the pores of the air, which are most narrow. Hence it is not visible, throngh two canses; one, from not being distinctly formed, and the other, from not resisting our sight, in consequence of consistiug of the smallest parts. And this also is the case with the lirht of the ryes. Truly-oxisting fire therefore, is in the heavens. But the purest of sublunary fire, is in the air proximate to the heavenly bodies, which Plato farther on, calls ather. And tire of the grossest nature is contained in the bosom of the earth. Since therefore Plato has spoken concerning the four genera in common, let us survey how he constitutes each of them, in what follows.
"The idea therefore, of that which is divine, he for the most part produced from fire, in order that it might be most splendid and beantiful to the view. [But assimilating it to the universe, he made it to be round." 1 ]

The sphere of the fixed stars is the first of partial animals, which also the De-

[^198]miurgus first constituted, producing it for the most part from the idea of fire. For it is necessary in the first place, that we ihould discuss the essence of it, in the next place, the figure, in the third place, the position, and in the fourth place, the motion of it. The discussion therefore, of its essence, is the occasion of much discord among the interpreters. For how does it possess for the most part the idea of fire? is it as some bay, lecause it is mingled from all the elements, but participates mostly of fire? Or is it becanse every celestial gemus consists of all the elements, but the greatest part of it is fiery? For it is possible to assert cither of these; jost as if we should say, that all things consist of all the genera of leeing, but that intelligibles have most of sameness. Or is it not as he would interpret, who truly surveys things themselves, that a subsistence for the most part from the idea of fire, signifies that fire possesses most abundantly the idea of the fifth body, as lxing the recipient of many productive powers, of which each of the divine bodies is full? Or shall we say, that it is not according to any one of these modes, but as others assert, that divine animals consist of fire, but of fire which has an essence possessing interval, and is multitudinons? For the intellixible has the form of unity, but the corporeal is multitudinons, as beine partible, and possesses interval, in consequence of hasing bulk. Or may we not say, as the most true of all the asoctions, that we should look to all these conceptions, and sursey one truth as the result of all of them? For we place all the elements in the heavens, but inmateria!ly, so far as the immaterial can sulsist in material natures. We also say, that the elements subsist in the heavens, but according to the summits of them only. For if the forms of fire, air, water and earth, are in intelligihese, it is necessary that the heasens should be the tirst participantis of this tetrad. But fabrication proceeding, constitutes abo the last nature of the elements, and which is truly material. We lihewise assert, that the itea of the stars, for the most part, consists of tire. For though all the elements are in them, yet fire predominates; becanse in the elements of generation, fire has the relation of form to the other elements. It is necessary therefore, in the [celestial] Gods, that the fiery charateristic should be most abundant, in order that form may have dominion over the subject essence; but that there should be the least of the other clements, becanse this least portion has the order of a subject. Hence, the terrestrial nature is there, as lecing a certain solid essence, and tangible bulk. On this account also, it resists our sight. The fiery nature likewise is there, as illuminating and giving form to bulk and intersal. But the elements whichare between these, subsist there, as connecting the extremes, and cansing them to be one. Fire how--ver, predominates over all the other chements, because the form which is there, vanquishes the subjert, comsectedly contains, and preserves it on all sides, and is itself full of life and self-motive power. Hence also it is full of divine and demi-
urgic productive powers, and proceeds into multitude and interval, every way defining that which possesses dimensions, and comprehendine the bulk of body.

Nor must wo fear the skilful in dialectic, who looking to a rertain small part of nature, resile Plato for sayime, that fire tends upward, but that the stars have a circular motion. For a tendency upward has no place in the fire of the heavens; just as neither does self-motion pertain to intelligible fire, nor to that which is of a corporeal-formed nature. For the reason [i. e. form, or productive principle of fire] in inteifect, is intellectual fire. For motions are consubsistent with thiness according to the order of essences. But if divine fire is that which has the power of constituting the stars, it is not such as this most material and gross [sublunary fire]. And if it is most splendid and beautiful, it is different from this obscure fire, which is mingled with the deformity of matter. For the last matter is darkness and deformity. But this divine fire is most splendid and beautiful, both which are induhitable sirns of truth. For the super-luminous transparent splendor of light, is the image of divine goodness, and its beine decorated with beanty, is an indication of intelligible symmetry. Divine fire therefore, is sery different from that which is not divine. Hence, it appears that truly existing fire is there in the hirhest place; and that on this account, the stars are frory, and are allotted the place of fire. The summit likewise of carth is there. On the contrary, the whole of earth' is here, which participates as much as being farth, it is possible of the last fire, which is most terrestrial and gross; just as the fire which is in the heavens possesses the summit of rarth, the rarth which is there being superior to the obscurity and groseness of this smblumary earth. This also is conformable to the doctrine of Plolemy and Plotinus, that every body, when it is in its proper place, is either fixed, or revolves in a circle; but that a tendency upward or a tendency downward are the motions of bodies, which not being in their proper places, strive to ohtain their proper place. So that each of the other elements, when in its proper place, will either remain fixed in it, or will be moved in a circle. And if it should be of a fiery nature and tend upward, it will entirely be in a foreion place.

We must not therefore, disbelieve in theologists, who place in the heavens an empyreal essenef: for there are many species of fire. Simply to assert likewise, that the celestial body is a fifili body, is not to assert any thing clear concerning it, except that it is diffrent from the four elements. Plato however, unfolds all the nature of it, learing in the heavens the summits of the elements. The syllogiem therefore, of those who fancy they can confinte the doctrine of Plato concerning the stars, as having an essence consisting for the most part of fire, is itseli confuted, by not admitting one of the propositions which says, that fire tenda

[^199]upward. For it is not proper to characterize the nature of fire, from that fire which has a preternatural subsistence, and which proceeds to a subsistence according to nature ; but it must be characterized from that which is in a condition conformable to nature. Jhit a thing of thishind is either fiaed or revolves in a circle. The Demingris however assimilatiner each of the stars' to the miverse, made each of them to be foond, and to have a twofold similitude, one to its proper wholenese, but the other, to the paradign from which it is suspended. The parts of them also have a twofold similitule, one to the whole, but the other to the idea of the ir wholeness. Thus likewise, a partial sonl is assimilated to the soul which ranhs as a whole, and to intellect. But the soul which ranks as a whole and is one, is assimilated to the one and total intellect. Total nature also is assimilated to sonl; hut a partial nature to its own wholdmess, and to soul. Conformably to this lihewise, rach of the stars is assmitated to the whole womb,
 lated to the latter aceording to its whole essince ; but to the former, weording to figure, und arcording to motion. For each is fabricated round, just as the world is opherical. For the untiverse is primarily a phore, as in nemsibles. Hence throngh this it imitates both the lomiurens and the intellizible paradigm. For each of them through conserging to itadi, constitutes this visible animat the worlel]. The convergeney which is there however, commets here, excellence of condition with the circle, hecanse the universe expresses as much as possible, all the peculianity of the paradigm. And thas much concerning these particulars.

If howerer, we wish to insestigate the cause throurh which a part of the universe was wronerated similar to the whole, we shall not be in want of argmonts to show why it was so generated. Fer it is mot possible that this should happen in all things. For meither would it have been best for the eye to have been grenerated with a figure similar to that of the whele body, nor is the assertion true, of the leart, or of the head. But where the whotenese is prior to the parts, it is possible for the parts to be assimilated to the whole, and for good to be present with them, thromeht this nimilitude. On the contrary, whete the whohenery is
 assimilation to the whole. The universe however, is a thing of this kind. For it is a whole prior to parts, ${ }^{2}$ and is complete through contaming the partial genera

[^200]of animals, according to the third spreies of wholeness,' as we have before observed ; since animal itself also is a whole, and all-perfect, as a monad, but comprehends all intelligible amimals, throurh the before-mentioned tetrad.
" Ile placed it also in the wisdom of the most excellent and powerful nature, so that it might follow that which is best, and distributed it in a circle about all heaven; causing it to be a true zarld, diversified through the whole of itself."

In what is here said, Plato speaks concerning the position of the stars, that they are on all sides ricularly placed in the resolution of the cirele of the same, and that, as the perts say, hey revolve in an orl round the heavens; seme of them being arraned in an order diflirent from that of others, and exhihiting an admirable variety. If howewer, you are willing to speak more marnificently than this, yon may say, that the Deminrens placed the stars in the divine soul of the inerratic sphere, thos animating them, and imparting to them a proper life and intellect. In like manner, lee inserted the planets in the circulations, cansed by the period of the circle of the different. For being divine animals, it is necessary that they should hase an intellertual soul, and a divine intellect. For that they are not alone animated by the sonl of the universe, but that each has also a peculiar sonl prexiding over it, we may learn by considering, that of the anmals which are here, those are more excellent, that torether with being amimated by the whole soul of the world, have also a peruliar sonl, and are illmminated by it with life. Thus for instance, man is superior to such animals as are alone animated by the whole soni, and are the last of the falrication of things. Hence, some animals are preserved in a twofold respect, but others are scarcely preserved by the whole soul of the universe. If however, this is true, and the celestial are more excellent than our bodies, they will in a greater dergree be animated both by the mundane and their own peculiar souks; since they are similar to the whole of the heavens in which they revolve. But if this be the case, all of them are moved in a circle about their own centres. And if this be admitted, and every perpetual motion, has also a perpetmally moving canse, and as numerons as are the bodies which are perpetually moved, so many likewise are, as Aristotle says, the moving causes; if this le the case, it is necessary that each of the stars shonld lave a peculiar sonl by which it is moved. If also they are moved in an orderly manner, it is

[^201]necesmary that their nouls should lee intellectunl; for if they were moved in at disorterly mamer, which it is mot lanfol to assert of divine hodies, their souks would le irrational. Hence, it is necensary that each of the sturs nhonhl have it divine presiding noml; and throngh imellect be mited th the intefloct which ranks ne a whole. For since mortal bodies [such as ouns] participate of mason and intellect, what ought we to think of divine hodies themselves?

Each therefore, through its own momb, is inserted in the lation of the circle of the same, which Plato very properly calls most excellent and pocirful as rumpushing all the circulations, and convolsimg all of them intellectually. For as the gernux of the stars is convelved by the lation of the inerratic pphere,' thas also the souls of them are contained by the one sonl of the circulation of the sume, and their intellects by the intellect of it. For again, it is necessary that the monad which is co-aranged with multitude, should sobsist conformahly to the monad which is exempt from multitude. The first of the four ithas therefore, beiner the exampe monad, the maltitate of the stars proceding from it is comprehended by the imernatic sphere, as a co-arranged monad. In a similar mamer likewise, in each of the celestial epheres, the whole splere has the relation of a monat, but the cosmocrators are the loaders of the muhtme in rach. For in each a nmmber amaloroms to the chair of the tiand atary, subsists with appropriate cirenlations. It, howeres, in the lided stars, Here in ome memat, the wholemen of them, but in the phater, there is Iroth a whelemess, and earh of the planets, is alse a leader, it is mot womberful. For an the motion of the: revolations af the eirche of the dificrere is more varions. thos also there are more leaders than ome. For the muhtude procededs to a greater extent. But in the sublumary region, there is a still greater mumber of leaders. For the monads in the heasens erenerate mumbers amalogons to them. As we have said therefore, the anmation of the stars, inserts them in their proper souls; but it also connects them with the whole sonl of the lation of the circle of the same; elevates them to the mundane sonl; and establishes them in the intelligible paradigm itself. The divine lamblichus also, in an eminent degree perceiving this to be the case, places the wistom of that which is most evcellent and powerful, in the paradigm. But the inerratic splure was generated a true word, becanse it is more properly a world tham the sublunary region, which is always in want of foreign arrangement, and is contmally changing. The sphere of the fixed stars likewise, is a world so diversitied, as to express intellectnal variety, which it receives uniformly about, and in the whole of itsedf; imitating the beanty of the celestial para-

[^202]dizms. 'Todistributc also, and to distribute in a circle, are adapted to the fixed stars: for the later signifios infollectual distribution, but the former the demiureric order. On this accomnt lihewise, theolosists establish Ennomia in the ineratic sphere, who eparate's the multitucle in it, and always preserves each of the stars in its proper order. Hence too, celebrating Vulcan as the maker of the heavens, they conjoin him with Aelaïa, as adoming and giving splendor and hilarity to all heaven, through the variety of the stars. And again, of the Seasons, they place Dice over the planetary region, becanse it is just that irregnlarity should be reduced to regularity according to reason; but of the Graces, Thalia, as always cansing their lives to be consummately flourishing. They also give the superintendence of the sublunary region to Irene, ax pacifying the war of the elements; but to Euphrosyne of the Graces, as imparting to each of them facility of energy according to nature.
" But he adapted to each of them two motions: one being in the same, according to the same things, through which they always dianoctically perceive in themselves, the same' about the same things; but the other, being an advancing motion, through the domination of the same and similar circulation. He likewise rendered them immoreable and stable, as to the other fire motions, in order that each of them might become as much as possible most excellent."

The discussion of motion is consequent to that of animation. For because rach of the stars is anmated, on this account also, each is allotted a peculiar motion. For soul is the prinsiple of motion. The discussion of motion also, is connected with the thenry of figure. For that which has an appropriate circular figure, and receives this from the demiurgic canse, must necessarily have an energy and a circular lation, adapted to the figure. For every natural body is moved essentially, and not according to accident; since nature is the principle of motion and mutation, in that in which it is primarily per se, and not accidentally. The body of the stars however, is immoveable according to all other motions, as leing perpetual through the whole of time; bat is alone capable of receiving local motion, and this circular, as heing moved in its own place. Farther still, how, as I have before said, can that which does not circulate according to a certain pecu-

[^203]liar motion, be of the same essence with the whole heaven? And how can it otherwise imitate the uniserse, than by being moved about the centre of itself? It is necessary therefore, that the stars shonld be moved with twofold motions; one, which is essential to them, about their own eentres; but the other, in conjunction with their wholeness.

What then are these two motions? For there are different opinions concerniner them. And some indeed say, that both these motions are corporeat; lnt others assert, that the one is peychical, and the other corporeal. It is better however, to make both the peychical and the corporeal motion twofold. For the sonl of these disine amimals [the stars] has an appropriate life, and thronerh an appropriate encry is conjoined with intelhyibles. And besters this, it is comolved torether with the whole soml of the miverse. For in divime natures, things whichare as it wore parts, energize accorling to their own energies, and in comjonction with wholes. The sonl of the stary therffore, is moved in a twofold respect. The body of eachalso, is convolsed about its own centre, imituting the proper energy of its sonl and its intellect, and is likewise moved with an adrancing motion, imitating the co-operatiner energy of the sonl of the inerratic splere, with its wholeness, and the eatablishment of the intrillect of this somb, in the intellect which ranhs as a whole. A twofold motion therefore, must be: asomed in both, viz. in the starry sonl, and the starry buty. For the starry soul in cumerially wise in the same, and abont the same lhiners, alnays percoises intelloctually after the same manner, and is moved with an advameing motion, throngh following its wholeness. For in consequence of participating a more divine powne, it recurs to the summit itself of intelligibles, which may be said to have the order of leaders, and to be before the noul, as beiner intellectaally apprehended, and perceived by it. And the starry body indied, is mowd towards the leadiner parts, in comjnetion with the whole circulation, but it has also a perpetnal motion, oriminating from itself, and bearing a resemblance of dianoctic eneryy, and of intellectual and cternal motion. Through a motion also in the same, it has the same motion with the universe, but through always discursively proceeding abont the same things, it has always an arrmgement referring to the same end, participates of the same soul, and is converted to the same intellect.

It is necessary therefore, to make a division of the words of Plato conformably to these dormas, after the following manner: He gave to each of the stars two motions, one, in the same, and about the same things, by the same, understanding the motion abont the proper centre of the star. Afterwards, making atop, it will be necessary to add, always dianoetically, pereeiving in itself the same thing about the same things. For it is evident that the psychical motion which is here
signitied, has always a dianotic pereeption of real beings. For this is manifested liy the worls, "ahme the same things, and the same thing." For the starry sonl does mot at dillerent times, dianoetieally perceive difirently about the same things, as is the case with our sons. Again, we must say that by the adrancing molom, is meant the corporeal mation of the star, accordiner to which it wholly passex fom one place to another. And afterwards by making a stop, we mot add, Thrungh the dimination of the same and similare circnlation, calling this cirentation, the motion of the cirche of the same of the whole soml of the miverse, by whieh ako, the soml of each of the stars is vampuished, amd thronelt the imitation of which it is momel that which is before itself. And this is truly to be led by its intellecthat 'moreis, and to co-assimilate' itself to the disine periods of it. It is avidem hemever, that this advancing motion alone pertains to thines that are wholly tansferred from one place to amother. For the stars indeed, have an advanciner motion, but not the inerratic sphere, since this is alone moved in a cirche. 'Thus abo the planets are moved with an advameng motion, but not the spheres of the planete. ${ }^{2}$

Agan therefore, we may peredive the order of the thines, from the muber of the metions. Jor the motion of the miverse is miform; that of the fised stars, is biformed; and that of smbhnary borlies, is moltifom and indefinite. For thourh rach of the pianets is mosed with a uniform motion, yrt the commisture of many priods, hoth of the prived apropriate to mach, and of that which is in comjuntion with the ineratic sphere, cames the lation to he various. For it is requisite that the cames of variety, amb the principles of contrariety, shomble be antecedemby comprehemded in the heanons. Or how could the heavens contain ${ }^{3}$ generation, how cond they govern the motation of the sulhmary elements, unless they comprehended in themalies the canse of contrariety? Since howeser, they are immaterial, so far as dhis is powihle in semsibles, the contraries in them are not hostiketo, nor in erdition with carhother, but they are eomenbsintent with each other. and the same thiner is monel with twofold circolations, one of which is not esomtial, and the other accordine to arrident, if I may preak what appears to me to be the case; but both the circulations are esmential. For what is there in the heavens which is an accident, since all honss there are immaterial, and all things derise their subsistence from the whole fabrication? Hence tigure and motion ane there essential. Since theretore the heavens are inmaterial; by which I meam,

[^204]that they are exempt from this subhunary matter, which is inefficacious, possesses a spurious beauty, and is deformity itself; this being the case, they comprehend in themselves at once, contrary motions. For being external to this subhunary matter, which smstains nothins, contraries concur in them, and are mited to each other. But in this sublunary matter there are hostile oppositions, from not being able thromath its imberility, to reaine the presence of both the forms. And thas much concerning the motion of the stars.

It is evilent howerer, that the tive motions which Plate tahes away fiem the Gxed stars are, the upward and downward, the backward motion, and the motion to the right hand, and the left. For he had before taken the six motions from the inerratic sphere. 'To the fised stars however, he gave an adsancing motion, in order that they might be moved with the motion of the miverse; bunt to the planets he gives, not only an alvatoing, or direct motion, but also a retrograde motion; for according to the latter alone, they are sad to wander. Nor ought we to womder, if what he before called to the right hand, he now denominates to the anterior part. For as with reference to the whole circulation it is to the right hand; but as with reference to the stars, to the anterior part. And it seems, that so far as the wortd is one, it has one motion which is especially abont Intellect and wiedom; but so far as it is divided inte the inerratic sphere and planetary resion, it has through a twofold circulation, a motion to the right hand, and to the left; and so far as it contains partial animals, fised and wandering, it has a direct and retrograde motion; the former being the motion of the fined stars, but the latter of the plamets. It seems atoo, so far as it is persibte to predict from thene thinges, that rach of the fixed stars mones smilarly to the ineratic - phere, about its own centre, but as circulating towards the wot. For thes likewise adeh beine moved by the whole sphere, is moved to that which is betore itedf. For that to which the motion of a thing is directed according to nature, is anterior to the thins. Hence that to which the circulation of each of the stans is direeted, is towards the west, that thus the motion of it, may he smilar to that of its wholemess ; just as the east is anterior to the planets to which they are moved accordiner to nature. An advancing motion therefore, is the motion of the fixed stars, and not of the phats. For there is sonething extermat to the former; since one thing in them is the leader, but the other follows.' The whole sphere however, of the tiaed stars transernds all rectilinear motion, and is atone moved circularly. Yon may also say, that the plancts have a preuliar motion, and that this is towards the east; bit that at the

[^205]same time, they circulate wholly throurh the drpth of their spheres; and likewise, that the east is anterior to them, but that thromsh the ineratie sphere, they have a retrograde motion, which is contrary to their own proper motion.

Of the six motions therefore, Plato ascribes to the fixed stars, that which is to the anterior parta; and hence yon may infer, that this motion is more hononrable than the rest. For as Aristotle says, the motion of that which is most excellent, is most everllent. Hence, of the other motions, the local is the lest; but of Incal motions, the first, is the circular, but the second, the advancing or direct motion. For the latter motion pertains to the fixed stars; but each of them is immoreable and stahle, with reprect in the five motions. For Plato mentions both these, lest you should think that this immobility is a remission, shererishness, and privation, and in order that you may conceive it to pertain to the fixed stars, throurh a transcendency of nature. But this is evident from what follows: fur he says, that it is "in order that each of them, might become as much as pousible most ercellent." For if an immobility with respect to the five motions, has for its mitimate end, the beanty and grood of the order of the celretial bodies, it is not the non-possession of vitality, and privation, but a power which predominates owr variety. For the circle of the inerratic sphere, comprehends all motion, in whatever way it may be eflected; but the advancing motion of the stars contaned in it, evidently unfolds into light, the principle of a rectilinear proseresion; and the variety of the plants conchets and growrns all the indefinteness of generation, as proximately moviar it in an all-varions manmer ly their evolutions. Plato therefure, ascribes a motion of this kind to the fixed stars.

Lat such however, as move them in consegurntia, wr with a retrograte motion, about the poles of the zolliac, throush a pertion of a hundred years, as Polemy and Ilipparchas prior to him did, confidine in observations, know in the first place, that the Eirgptians prior to thene, employiner observations, and still prior to the Esyptians, the Chaldeans, being tanght by the (iods, prior to ohservations, were of a similar opinion with Plato, comernine the motion of the tixed stars. For the Oracles not once only but frepurntly speak of the advanring procession of the fixed stars. For they say, "The menstrual course, and the stary adrancing procession." And again, "The advancing stary procession was not gencrated for your sake." The theurcist [Julian] likewise, in his doctrinal treatises, when speaking about the third father' says, " He established the mumerous multitude of tixed stars, compelling fire to fire. But he fixed them witha stability ${ }^{2}$ void of a wandering motion." In which words, he clearly testifies, that the fixed stars move in the same place, and

[^206]about the same things; so that the opinion of Plato derives credibility from both. To which may be added, that the phenomena are sutlicient to persuade those that have eyes. For it is evident, that if the fixed stars were moved about the poles of the zodiac with a retrograde motion, the bear whicin sets in these places, and which from the time's of Homer, has beenso often celebrated as always splendid in the same manner as it is now, onght to have bern moved more than fiftern degrees. and not abont the prole of the equinoctial. 'The: star Camohns' also, omght ine longer to appuar mahing a short perion, above the horizon, to those in the thise
 Ly the horizon. 'Jhe Bear howeser is always resplendent, and Canobus peremes the same position. The motion of the fixed atars therefore in consequentia, which is so much celebrated hy the se men, ts mot tras. But if adducing the banefin! motions of the planets, and the calculations of nativities, in proof of this retroerade motion of the fixed stars, they tancy they shall spak conformably to the phande mena, it most tre aid to thom, that thone ako who are not of this opinion resperting the motion of the tived stans, accord in at marhable dearee with the phenomena. And abo that in fomming amons respecting the motions of the plamets, and in studying the doctrine of mativities, they are not at all in want of this hepothesis of the motion of the fised stars in conserpuentia. Jiut the men I particularly allude to, are the Chaldatons, who had observations af achole mundame periods. Why therefore, stombld we addue as a testimony, the records of a few oberwations, and views of a jurenile nature, which are not acrompanided wilh such great aceuracy, when the wry extended obervations of the Chaddeans bear witmess to the dogma of the ancionte, concerning the motion of the tised stars? For thase who do

[^207]this, are ignorant that it is possihle to collect something trom from false hypotheses, and that it is not proper to think, that a conchosion which accorls wilh the pharnomena, is a sullicient proof of the truth of the lypotheses.
". Ind from this came, such of the stars as are inerratic were eromerated, bebigg divine amimals: and on this acemot they alw, ys remain' rewolving in same. But the stars which both revolve and have such a wandering, as we have betiore mentioned, were produced conformably to these."

The came of the semration of the stars comprehemts all the mont proper principles of them, viz. the paradiematic, the deminreic, and the final. For from all these, the stars wore enenerated such as they are, and with the motions which they possos. The ineratic: sphere howner, exhbis a milorm motion, mal
 aminats, inticates that intelled and a divine sonl are present with them, and prior to thesr, the one unity, according to whish each is a Gool. For becanse each is an animal, it has a soul by which it is moved; but becanse also, each is a divine animal, it is suspented from a divine intellect. For it is mot intellect which
with there motions, viz. with there own proper motion, with that of the ophere; which roblain them,

 white I was present, in Aleaadria, found that the star Arcluros, aceording in the epoch of leokemy,



 is moved with Inomotions, viz. with the melion of the univeree from the fast, and with its onn motion from the west; and all the stars in it are moved with these two motione, and nith liere ont eircumene latory motion. Halike manner ahn, with respect lo the suecesive splurey, and the stars in then, the former are mowed with lwo, hat the latter with three of the same motions."

I am however lecidedly of the opinion of l'roclus, that the recorts, of a few whervations, and riews of a juvenile nalure, are not to be adduced in ofpersition to the very extended obsernations of the Chaldeass, whinh embraced a whole mumane feriod, i. e. a periof of som,oto yars. Aod what Prochas here asierts of the Chateleans, is also confirmed by Ciecro in his tient book On Divination, who say,
 p. 118, whonsay that their observations comprehented the space of 473,0 on gears.
 Commentary of Prochs, we should real \&enpect: though all the printed celitions uf tine Timan, hare instead of thisperct.
makes it to the divine, since there are likewise angelical and damoniacal intellects; but the divine intellect of the whole of this, diflers from that which is not divine in this, that it is suspended from deity, which camses it to be a divine intellect. But revolution ateays remaining in same, exhibits a perpetaity in the heavens, according to which the stars always occupy the same place of the heavens, being moved about their own centres, and abo the posisesion of an evolved energy, and an unceasing life. Such thorefore as mate the stars to be inamimate, or fancy that the sonts of the celestial bodies are mutable like ours, or that the generation of them is in time, water from the meaning of Plato. For if a certain animal is divine, it has a divine soul, and is not alone amimated thy the soul of the universe. For there is also in the Earth a disime amimal, since Earth is the oldost and most womble of the (iods; and there are lihewise certan living thinges in it, which have enteleche from the senl of the universe alone; but these are not animals. Aud farther still, becamse the stars always remain in motion, they meither posesesed a soul from a certain time, nor will at a certain time, lose it. For the tirm alacays, manifests temporal immutability, hoth according to the enst and the future time. And thas much concerniner the fised stars.

With respect to the plamets however, Plato again reminds us, that they have various motions, but ordeny, and accordiner to moasures and bemmdaries. For the simplicity of them comprehemds multitute, order commets the ir varioty, and
 us of this, and what indication does it alfond ns? Some therefore way that it manifests this, that though the planets in a certan reppect transernd the fixed stars, so far as they are allotted a ruling and cosmocratoric disnity 'in the uminerse, and as theologists say an azonic anthority; for in each of the cosmocrators there is an azonic ${ }^{2}$ order of fods: yet at the same time, they are inferior to them, throngh their wandering and the all-various divervity of their motions. And we also say that there is no ahourdity in admitting, that the sane thing may surpass and be surpasiod by the same thines, acoording to ditherent conceptions. But we should consider, whether Plato by speating of the planets prior to the tived stars, and delisering the order, motion, and powers of them, and also their proriods, and apocatastases, and agail, resmming the mention of them after the fixed stars,

[^208]does not do this, becanse the disenssion of them is secondary to that of the fixed tixed stars and the planets, that all of them are divine animals. For this is clearly asserted of both. And of the fixed stars indeed it is peculiarly asserted, that they are moved with a proper motion in the same, and about the same things: hut of the planets, that procceding through the heavens, they have revolutions; just as he now says, that they have a revolving motion. Hence he is evidently of opinion, that the planets become through themselves, more remote from, and nearer to the earth, and that their revolutions according to breadth, are made by their own progressions, and not throurh being carried by other things, such as evolvents, or epicyctes. That this likewise arises from the one nature of them, possessing both one, and a various motion, through which they advance and recede, being transformed in their revolutions, in a spiral and allvarious manner. Hence the lation of them is triple; one being that by which together with being moved about their centres, they are also moved according to breadth and depth; another throurh which they are convolved in a circle by their proper spheres, " to the left hand; and another, by which they are moved, throurh the lation of the circle of the same vanquishing that of all the circle of the different. And thos much concerning these particulars, which are speculations pecoliar to the philosophy of Plato.

If howerer you shonhlinguire what the nature is of the planets, leoth of the stars themselves, and the whole spheres, and whether that of the former is the same as the nature of the latter, or lifferent, we reply by recurring to the Platonic principhes, that all heaven consists of all the elements; but that in one place, fire in conjunction with earth has dominion, but in another, fire in conjunction with the summit of water, and in another, fire with the summit of air; and that through each of these, the variety is most abundant. Hence, some things in the heavens are more vibible than others; and these are such as have fire in conjunction with solidity. But others are lese visible,' and these are such as have fire in conjunction wiht transparent splendor, and the diaphanous. And on this account indeed it is possible to see the bodies which are in the higher region in the same manner as bodies can lie seen through the air. But the bodies which have fire in conjunction with transparency, darken our sight [through excess of splendor]. If

[^209]however,' these things are rightly asserted by us, the spheres indeed of the stars hane very properly a more attemated and diaphanoms, but the stars, a more solid …ence. But lire every where predonimates, and all heaven is characterized ly its power. The tire howewer, which is there, is neither canstic, (since this is mot eren the case with the first of the sumbuary dements, which drintotle is aremse
 but is repplement with vivife herat and illmminathe power, with purity and ramparent sploblor. For the vela ment is one thing, and the pure another, as Sererates shows in the flhitebus. Hence, the fire which is there is lizht; and it is

 bem of the phanets will be adapted to what has heon herore satid.
'There are haneror, other dis ine animals ${ }^{2}$ following the cirenlations of her paners, the leathers of which are the s.r.on phate ; all which l'lato comprehends in what Where said. for these alow tmoher and hase a wandering of such a hind as lat which he a litte batore, mentioned of the meron platets. For they revole
 fat tas the lined stars are governed liy the whole circulation gof the inerratic - phere]. These planetary hodies therefore, which were produced conformably Whe thacd stars, he saly were made for the sate of the gemeration of time, in order that they might co-operate in its porlaction, leadiner forth into the world different temperal measmes, thronah their amomalons and perpetmal motion; of which the one time is romprehernser, peseming one preriodic momber, which containe all-sarions periodie mumbers in iterlf. But when lae asorest that the fixed stars are mosed about their eqntre, in conjonction with an aldameins motion, he does not : obs say that they co-operate in the prodnction of time, thomsh Hey have a perimetic momber of their proper apoeratintasis, aceorang to which the whole of time is meanmed; but speahing abont the planets in a way ablaped
 "itness of the diflierent motion of the planets. For we eamot assmme any thing Fom sense, repretiner the difliont mumbers of the motion of the lised stars, and of the periods which they mahe in their rewhetions. Itw particularly therefore, makes montion of this, she. that theptanets were senerated for the sake of time, through the evilence, which we deribe from solse, as he himself reminds us.

[^210]But we have already answered those, who droy that the beasens consist of tire, becanse dire naturally tends upward. Asain therefore, it is necessary to remind them, as they are lovers of contention, that they speak absurdly. For they book to the fire which is here, and which has a preternatural sulsistence. For though you shomld aswme the fire which is immediately mbler the moon, yet to be moned npward is not natural to it, but to abide in its own place. But to be
 accombing to nature. For a tendency to bralth is not arcording to nature to a boly, but to be well ; bat tolme consalesemt, is alomenatural to a disiased body; just as to fire, which is wot preferty lire, to temd upwad is according to nature, but to fire which is in energy, it is natmal to abide on high, in which place abiding, if it should be moved, it would alone hase a circular motion. But it it is true, that the summit of fire in the subhuary region, is meved in a circle in conjunction with ather, as Aristotle says, this in a greater degree demonstrates that fire is of a circulating nature. For if this fire also, is always moved in a circle, as far as it is able, it is so moved according to nature. For that which is preternatural is not perpetnal. But every thine violent is pretermatural. If therefore the fire which is immediately under the moon, is a thing of this kind, why do they doubt respecting the heavenly hodies, and so frepurntly adduce the motion of fire towards the upper regions.

As Arintothe howerer, inguires why the spince of the fised stars, being one, comprehends many stars, but in rach of the plam tary -pletes, which are many, there is only one star, the solmtion of this confomatly to his opinion, may be obtained from his writine. Lut we have already sad something concerning this, and now aspocahly to what has been before anocted, we siy, that each of the planets is a whole world, comprehending in itself many disine gener invisible to us. Oi all thee however, the vibible star has the rovernment. And in this, the fixed stars differ from those in the planetary spheres, that the former have one monad,' which is the wholeness of them; but that in each of the latter there are insisible stars, which revolve tosether with their spheres; so that in each, there is both the wholeness, and a bader which is allotted an exempt transcendency. For the plancts beiner seconclary to the fived stars, require a twotold prefecture, the one more total, but the other more partial. But that in each of these, there is a multitite co-ordinate with each, you may infer from the extremes. For if the inerratic sphere has a multitude co-ordinate with itself, and earth is the wholeness of terrestriat, in the same manner as the inerratic sphere is of celestial

Tim. Plat. | 'And lhis oue monad is the spliere of the fixed stars. |
| :--- |
| Vol. II. |$\quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$

animals, it is necessary that each [intermediate] wholeness, should entirely possess certain partial animals co-ordinthe with itself; thromg which also, they are said to be wholenesses. The intermediate matures however, are concealed from our sense, the extremes being manifist: one of them throwgh its trancendently laminous essence, and the other thronsh its alliance to us. If likewise, partial souls are disseminated ahout them, sume about the sma, others about the moon, amd others about each of the rest, atul prior to sonls, damons erive completion to tha. herts of which they are the haders, it is evidemtly well sadd, that radt of the spheres is a woth; Hocolugin also twachine as these thines when lhey say that there are Gods in each prion th domons, smate of which are under the government of others. 'Thas fire instame, they asort concrming our mintress the Moon,

 chus as beiner there

> The sun's a soe wor, whe with wathfint ese sumery
> The sacted pole.

They likewior colderate the Jupiter who is there, O, iris, the solar Pan, and others of which the book of theologints and theurgints are full : from all which it is evident, that each of the plands in truly said to he the leader of many Gods, who give completion to it, pereliar circolation." Athe this manner therefore, we dissolve the doubt.

[^211]It is requisite howerer，from whal has bwen said，to collect this one thing，that the fixed stars，according to Plato，are superior to the planets，not only in place， lant also in dignity．For of the former he siss．＂＂that the Deraiurgus placed them int the wristome of the cercle of the sume；＂but of the latter，＂that the De miarigus placed them in the carculutions，mate by the preird of the rirele of the different；＂so that the former alone live in comjunction with the intellertnal life of the rirele of the same， but the latter with the revolntion of the rircle of the different．loor this is entirely the case with the latter，lecause they ater comole ed in comjonetion with the in －
 torether wilt the periodl of the direlle of the differemt．If therefore，the former immediately participate of a mate divine life，lat the latter throush the medimm of an inferior lifi，it necessarily follens，hat the tormer are of a superior，but the latter of a subordinate diznity．Iheme it serms，if it be requisite to infer any thing from these things，that the somb of the lised stars，themgh they have both the circles；but they hase both，lxecamse our souls aloo，as Plato says，have the circle of the same，and the eirete of the differnt；yot they live more according to the former cireld，and on this account，line in a wrater derrere in conjonction with the cirele which resemblas that of the whole sonl of the manerse．But the sonls of the planets，live in a greater Ifreree acenreling to the latter circle．Hence，also their bedies are moned with varions motions，and are inserferl in the revohtions of the circle of the different．＇These inferences likewise，naty be reasonably mate by those，who look to the motions of them alene，which is the prembiarity of the physical thery．＇Thus ton，the［Chaldean］themein！Jmbim］teaching us con－ cerming the wisdom of the fixed stars and the planto，says of the fabrication of the fixed stars：＂The father established the momerous multitude of inerratic， stars，not by a laborions aml wil tenion，hat an as bat they might be moved ${ }^{2}$ with a stability void of a wanderiner motion．＂But by the word rstablished，the themrgist manifests a motion in the same，and according to the same thiners．And concerning the planets he says，＂The father mate the phanets six in number

[^212]and for the seventh, he hurled into the midst the fire of the sun; suspending their disorderly motion in orderly dispused zones." Calling the anomalous nature of their motions, disorderly; but the motion which predominates over the zones in which they are arransed, orderly disporal; represonting to us the circumduction of disorder into order. For they ase not mosed anomalously throush imbecility, like imamate natures, hat throm the will of the powers that preside war them. He ako exhbits to us their dillirent intelleetions which he ealls zones, throngh the order in which they are amanerel. And he says that the apparent irrecularity' of the ir boeliox, is circularly led liy them to an appopriate orter, in consequenere of preserving each of them by their powns.
" But he machimated Fianth our norse ; who being conglobed abort the pole, which is extembed thomigh the uniserse, is the guadian and Demiurorus of night and day, and is the lirst and most ancient of the Gods' that were generated whinin the heavens."

The physiology concoming the earth is prosimately connected with the discuscion of the motion of thes:m ; not that himans now first produces it throngh these, for he had alteaty combituted it, when he represented the world ats consisting of the whole choments, hoth the extreme and the middle; but becanse the considaration of the eanth contrithte, th the diacossion of the progression of the planets and fised stars, of time, and the temporal frivels, as it was gemerated the guardian of night and dey. Fow all heano dances romm, and cirenlarly rebolies about it, and as rathene among plysical berdis, it is the centre of the miverac. For the impantibe contre is ome hing, as in the most true sphere, which comprehends on all sides that which in physical, whid aho is the power of the sphere,
 which nature extablished in the midhle, abont which all the stars are moved in a dircle, and to which they tramemit their enorsies, and which abo we say is the tarth. Hence, lato having pohen concerning the cirentation of the hearaly hodies, wry properly comjom- with what has been said, the discusion of the

[^213]earth. Farther still, according to another mode, the nature of the earth has the relation of a mother, to the celestial order. For such things as Ifeaven produces paternally, Earth produces maternally. For all the meteors, through which the circle of generation is chiected,' derive their subsistence from Heaven, as from a thther, who governs supernally evory naterial and dowing essence. but from Earth as from a mother. For she afforis matter for eahalations, from the substances which flow together into her, just as Ileaven imparts to them form and morjhe. Throush this camse therefure, Plato very properly co-arranges the divension of the earth with that of the heavens, looking to the mature itself of things, the roncord and commmion of the two, and surveving their kindred conjunction in their principles. Moreover, through the order of his discussion, he mahes thr power of analogy to be manifest in reality; by assuming the disrours about the planets in the first place; and in the middle, and delivering the discussion of Earth, prior to that of other sublunary damons. For thos the extremes hecome the first and the middle, and again, the media are transferred into the order of the extremes: but analogy is especially adapted to accomplish this. Accorting to all morles therefore, the physiolugy of the Larth is connasrent with thr theory of the Heavens. And thus much concerning the order of the discusvion.

What however is Earth, whence does she proceed, how is she said to be our muse, and how is she the most ancient and first of the Gods! For if we shall tre able to understand these things, we shall obtain the theory conceming her sufficiontly for the present purpose. Earth then proceeds primarily from the intelligible eath which unically comprehends all the intelligible orders of the Gobs, and is cternally established in the father. ${ }^{2}$ It also proceeds from the intrlectual larth which is co-arranged with Heaven, and all the productions of which it recoives. For beiner analogous to these, it also abides perpetually as in the rentre of the heavens, and being contained on all sides by them, is lull of genemtive power, and demingic perfection. The true earth therefore, is meither this corporal-formed and gross bulk; for it will not be the most ancient of the Gools from its bulk, nor the first of the Gods that are arranged within the beavens; nor is it the soul of this body; for it would not ler, as Plato says it is, extended about the pole of the universe, since net the soul, but the bolly of the earth is a thing of this kind; but if it le necessary'to speak what is most true concerning it,

[^214]it is an animal consisting of a divine soul, and a living body. Hence the whole is, as Plato says, an animal. For there are in it an immaterial and separate intellect; a divine sonl dancing romend this intellect ; an ethereal body proximately suspended from its informing soul; and in the last place this visible bulk, which is on all sides inspired "ith life by the vehicte ' of this soul, with which also being filled, it generates and momrishes all-varions animals. For some amimals are rooted in it, but others are moved ahout it. And this likewise, Aristotle perceining, was ahamed not to give to the carth a natural life. For whonce is it that plants whle they remain in the earth live, but when divalsed from it die, unless thin carthly mass was full of life? It is necessary also to assme miversally, that wholes are amimated prior to parts. For it wonld be ridiculoms that man indeed should participate of a rational soul and of intelleet, hot that no soul should be assigned to the earth and the air, supernally riding in [as it were] and someming the dements, and preserving them in their proper boundaries. For wholes, as Theophrastus siss, would have less anthority than parts, and perpetual than corruptible natures, if they were destitute of soml. Ilence, it is necessary to grant that a soul and an intellect are in the earth; the former cansing it to be prolific, but the latter connectedly contaming it in the middle of the uniserse.

Earth heredf therefore, being a disine amimal, is abo a phenitude of intedlectual and peschacal revences, and of immaterial powers. For if a partial sonl has besides a matural body an immaterial vehicle as we have elsewhere shown, what ousht we to thinh of a sonl so divine ats that of the carth! Is it not, that hy a muchereater priority visble bodies are suspended from this soul through wher vehicles as media, and that through these the wishle bodies are able to roctive the illuminations of soul? Such then being the nature of Earth hersclf, she is said to be our nurse; in the first place indeed, as possessing a power in a certain respert equibalent to Heaven. For as that comprehends in itsetf divine amimals, thas abo Earth in seen to contain terreatrial animals. But in the second place, she is our nurse, as in-piring our lives from her own proper life. For she not only prodnces I fruits, and nomrishes our bodies throngh these, but she abso fills our souls with the illmminations of heracti. For being a divine animal, and generating us who are partial amimats, thromeh her own booly inderd, she nowrishes and connertedly contains our bulk; but from her own soul perfects ours. By her own intellect likewise, she excites the intellect which is in us; and thas according to the whole)

[^215]ot herself tecomes the uurse of our whole composition. On this account it appears to me that llato calls her our nurse, indicating by this her intellectual nutritive energy. For if she is our nurse, but we are troly souls and intellects, aceordine to these opretially, she will be the perfector of our essemer, moning and
 in hervedimany partial amimals, she is said by Plato to be comploled about the pole which is extended throngh the miverse ; becanse she is contanerl and compromed about its axis. For the axis also is the pole. And the pele is thus now denominated, becanse the uniserse revolves abont it. Becalnse bowever the pote [proprely so called] is impartible, but the axis is a pole with interval, just as if some one should say that a line is a thowine point,--on this accomm, the pole is said by Plato to be extended through the universe,' as cotirely pervadiur throurh the centre of the Earth.

But the word a $\lambda$ nopsevr, ${ }^{2}$, "hich he here uses, manifests the conglobed, and the connertedly contained. For it does not simify, as Aristotle supposed it did, that which is moved. For Plato, in a particular maner precerves the Earth immoveable; and in the phade adds the canse, throurh which it is immoseahly established. "For he says that athiner arhich is cqually inclined, whenplaced in the middle of a cortain similar nature, cannot tend more or less to one part than another, but subsistines on all sides simidarly affacted, will romain ficce form all inchnation."
 that which is collactad or consregated, and not that which is munct. For it calls bomds a $\lambda \lambda$ aing. "Timaus likewise himself in what follows says, "that the hatrs which are rooted and conglomerated in the head, within the stim, are conglobed (an.acfan). From these things therefore, it is evident how he applies the word a $\lambda \lambda \in \sigma$ fas in what he now says, to the Earth. But if as some say, the assertion hat " lesta alone abides in the dicclliner of the (iods," ${ }^{\text {" }}$ is spoken of this earth, Plato will be very far from riving motion to the Earth. If howeser we do not admit that lhe Vesta there mentioned is the Earth, yet it must le: granted, that there is a guardian power in the Earth of the nature of Vesta. Lor as we say, that in the Heavens, the poles are commectedly contained by Vesta, thus also among the elements,

[^216]The Earth. And as' the supermundane Vesta, is to the great leader of the twelve Gods, so in mundane natures is the Jiarth to the Heavens. If likewise, we direct our attention to the Pythagoric Timados, we shall in a still greater degree refuse to admit, that the Earth is moved. For he says " that the Earth is estublished in the middle." And how is it reasonathle, that understanding $\quad 7, \lambda o \mu e v r_{2}$ as signifying enouperry, we should make the Earth to revolve, as conformable to the doctrine of Plato? Let Heraclides Ponticus therefore, who was an anditor of ${ }^{2}$ Plato, be of this opinion; for he ascribed a circular motion to the Earth; but let it be admitted that I'ato established it immoveable. For if he had made the perfect year to consist not only of the eight priods [of the stars] but had conmerated the earth as the nimh, giving to it an apocatantasis with the others, and mahing one apocatastasis from all of them with that of the circle of the same [then we might apprehend that the Earth is moved according to Plato. ${ }^{3}$ ] After this manner therefure, we should interpret the pole and the axis, and the Earth which is contained about these.

It is necesary however from these ansertions to betake ourselves to the nature of the Earth, and survey the poles an pown that give mability to the universe, exciting indeed the whole lulk of it to intedigible love, und impartibly connecting that which is patible, and unitedly and without interval that which is extend--dby interval. Hence also, Plato in the Republic, makes the spindle of Lachesis of aldmat, indicating, as we have said, ther intlexible and untamed power. And we mose consider the axis, as that one divinity which rollects lhe centres of ! the universe, which is comnective of the whole world, and mothe of the divine rirculations; a, that abo abont wheli wholes dance and are comolved, and as sustaining all Heaven, being on this account denominated Allas, as possessing an immotable and unwearied entrers. The word risausunv abo, or catended, used heve by Plato, indicates that this one power is Titamic, guarding the cireutation of wholes. But if, as the divine Jamblichns says, we undertand by the pole eatended through the innerse, the Iteavens, neither thus shall we wander from the conception of Plato. For as Plato says in the Cratylus, those who are skilled in astronomy call the Heavens the pole, as harmoniously revoling. According to this conception therefore, you may call Ileaven the pule extended

[^217]throngh the miverse，as being incurvated throurh the whole of itself in conse guene of heiner without an ansle．For after this manner the superfi ies of a cirele is extemded．About this howerer Larth is constolerl，not locally，but throngh a desire of becoming assimilated to it ronverging to the middle，in order that as Ileamen is moved abont the centre，so she by tending to the centre，may be－ come similar to that which is resentially spherical，heing herself as morh as pos－ sible congloled．Itence she is compresed about Inaven in such a way as to be wholly extemded about［i．c．towards］it．

Accorting to each of these conceptions therefore，Plato delivers the canse thronsh which Earth is contained in the midhlle．For the anis is a power con－ nective of Darth；and Darth is on all sides compressed by the circulation of Heawn，abl is collecterl together into the centre of the miverore．Earth therefore being such，Timans aftroards clearly shows what utility she aflords to the moirerer ；for he calls hor the enardian and artiticer of day and night．And indeed，that she is the maker of night，is evident．For she produces a conical shadow；and her magnitude and fienre are the rames of the dimencion and guality of the figure of this shadow．But atter what manner is she lihewise the fabricator of day？Or does she not produce this day which is conjoimed with night？For about her the risings and settings of the Sim are surseyd．And that Plato asommes this day which is comolsed with nizht，is mident from his arrang－ ind the former moder the latter：as also prior to this when he says，might there－ fore and day were dhe memeratod．lath therefore，is the fabricator of both theer，producing hoth in conjunetion with the Sun；the Sim indeed，leing in a greater deemere the ramse of das，bet the earth of nishte．

Being however，the fabricator，she is also the guardian of them，preserving their bondaries and contrariety with reference to cach other，and also their augmenta－ tions and dimimtions，accorling to a certain amagy．Honce，some denominate her lsis，as equalizing the ineguality，and bringing to an analory the incroase and decrease of beth day and night．But others lookiner to her prolific power call her Ceres，as Plotinus，who demominates the intellect of the Larth，Vesta， but the soul of it，Ceres．We however say，that the firet camses of these divinities are intellectual，ruling＇and liberated；but that from these canses illuminations and powers extend to the Earth．Hener there is a terrestrial Ceres and Vesta， and a ferrostrial Jis，in the same manner as there is a terrestrial Jupiter，and a terrestrial IIermes；these terrene deities being armared abont the one divinity of the earth；just as a multitude of celestial（iots procods about the one divinity of the heavens．For there are progressions and terminations of all the ceiestial
－For \＆arporsas here，it is necessary to reaid $\eta \gamma$ emorinas．
Tin．Plat． Vol．II．

Gods into Darth; and all things are in her terrestrially, which are contained in the heavens celestially. For the intellecthal Earth receives the patermal powers of Heaven, and contains all thines ather a eremotive manner. 'fhus therefore, we say that there is a terrestrial Bachome amd a terrestrial Apollo, who is the source of prophetic ' waters in many parts of the earth, and of openines which predict future esents. But the lanomian and judicial powers which procedinto it, render other places of it of a puriting or medicinal nathre. All the other powers of Earth hownor, it is impesible to emomerate. For disime powers are indered incepliablate. But the orders of ansels and damoms that follow these powers are still more mamerons, and are cireularly allothed the whole earth, and dance rombl its one disinity, its one intellect, amd ons soml.

It remans in the next place, that we should -urney hew Earth is satid to he the most anciont, and the tire of the Gots within the heators. Por this will be taken literally by thone who are acenstomed to looh ouly to its matoriat, aross, and dach bulk. But we imberd, grant them that there is some hine of such a hind in the buth of the Eanth as they say there is: but we think it proper that they shoulal lihewine looh to the wher growe of the Earth thromph which it sur-
 its concorl with the heasens, aml itspusition in the eatre of the miserae. For the



 where he says that the phace of our almede i, bollow and darh, and bomed hy the sa: ; but that there is amother tremerath, comtamine the rereptacles of the (inds, and pos-
 If now the Earth is said to be the goest ancient and the fire of the Gock within the
 and as Socrates afterwards sass was fahhomed hy the Deminerus resomhling a
 painted by the Demimerus similar to a dodecathedron. We must liken ine moderstand that the Deminers gate th Dath alome amone the elloments, thase all the Wements apmately, camsing her to be wholly a world, bariexated amalagons to the hearems. For she contains a riser of liere, of air, and of water, amd winother earth, which has the mame relation to leer, which she has to the miverse, ats

[^218]Socrates says in the Phado. But if this be the case, she very much transcends the other choments as initating the heavens, and possessing every thing in herself terrutrially, which is celestially contained in the heasens.

To this alow we may ath, that the Demintens produred these two elements the dirat, earth and tire; but the others for the sathe of these, in order that they might hase the ratio of bomds with repeet to them. And that the four elements are both in the heavens, and in the suhhnary resion; but in the former indeed, according to a bery characteristic, since fire there predominates, as Plato says, but in the latter accordiner to a wrextrial peculiarity. For the profundity of air, and the lmik of water are spread romm the earth, and possoss moch of an earthly property, on which accomnt they are in their own nature dark. In the heavens therefore, there is a predominaner of fire, lut in the smblmary region of earth. Since however, generation is comascently conjoined with the heavens, the end of the latter is earth [1. c. is the moon], so far as rarth is in the heavens, but the hersminer of eremeration is fire, comsidered as smbsting in generation. For it is usual to call the monn Earth, av haviner the same ratio to the Sm, whirh Earth has to tire. "But [the Deminern] says Orphens fabricated another intinite earth, which the immortals call Selene, but terrentrials Mene." And it is usmal to denominate the smmit of eneration dire, which $\Delta$ ristothe also does, when he calls ether fire. In another place howner, he does not think it proper to call ether fire, but fiery-formed, as wo have fremently ohserved. Ilenee, the cod of the heavens is not entirely destitute of matation, in conseduence of its propinguity to greneration; but the berimning of gromeration is moved in a circle, imitating the hearmos.

Farther still, this likewise most he comsidered, that we ought not to judge of the dignity of things from places, but from powers and essence, as we have elsewhere demonstrated. By what pecularities therefore, are we to torm a judement of transecmencies? By what others than those which the divine orders exhibit? For transemelency truly so called is with the Gods. From the divine orders therefore, we most assume the monadic, the stable, the all-perfect, the prolific, the connective, the perfective, the crery-ady ertended, the rixific, the adorming, the assimitatize, and the comprchomling power. For these are the peculiartioss of all the divine orders. Accorting to all these however, barth surpasses the other elements, so that she may justly be called the most ancient, and the tirst of the Gods.

Again, a twofold nature of things may be surveyed, the one indeed, according to progression, which always makes things that have a secondary arrangement subordinate to those that are prior to them; but the other according to conversion,
which conjoins extremes to primary natures through similitude, and produces one circle of the whole generation. Since also hae word is spherical, but a figure of this hind is the peculiarity of things that subist according to comersion, Earth likewise mast le conjomed in it to the heavens, thrmelt ane cire!e, and ane similitude. For thms also the centre is most smilar to the poles. For the hearens indeed, entirely comprehend whales, lainer moved abont the polers bat the rath






 tion witis them fabricatime wholde.



 there are the bower of dupiter, and the promrenson of Natura? Por not only Tartarns, which is the extromity of the carth, is on all sides compreforded hy
 dinate to his. For llomer says that this is commetedly-contained thrompthe
 indicate; hut that ' ${ }^{\prime}$ artams itsedf is an all side comprehernded hy them.

Farther still, we may sumey the analogy which lanth han to har inteflectual


 the perfective order, accorting to wheh the dibe mians aho are acemstomed to
 producing and nomrishine plants and aminals. But as at guard she initates the gnardian, and as conglubed about the pule which is extemded (rerausur) through the wiverse, the Titamic order. Since howenr, the intellectaal Eart!, prior to other divinities gramerad Lighe and the Me - merian Erithya, thas ahoo our Earth is the fabricator of day and might. And the amadogy of the latter to the former is evident.' And thas much concerning these paticulars.

[^219]If also you are willine after another maner to moterstand that she is the first and most anciont of the dork, an derivine her subsistence from the first and most ancient eamses, this manon ako will be attonded with probahility, since first canses procered by their mergirs to the utmont extent of things: and besides this, the last of things fequenty presore the amalogy of such as are first, as possessins their order from them alone. IIence, nery way the awertion of Plato is true, whether yon are willine to look to the batk of barth, or to the powers which she contains. But it in requisite to thinh, that the worl machinated, bears withes to the great intellectual power, mplosed in the fabrication of the Earth. For we shall find, that as moither the Nin by itsolf, is able to make night and day, nor the Earth alome; (for the privation of light is one thines, and might another) the production of both, throurh the smand the Larth, is the work of demingic machination. For the order of the earth in the mididle, the dance of the sim, and the circulation of the sphere of the liaded stars about it, profluce about the Earth, nights and days. Farther still, the position of the Earth in the centre, mathes the mutation of nishts and diys to he analozous, which would not lue the casp, if some ome deprisime the Earth of its sitmation in the middle, shombetablish it Phewhere. 'There hines therrfore, and many more than these, may be collected throngh the word machination.
"But with respect to the measured motions of these divinities, their concorsions with each other, the revohtions and adrancing motions of their circles, how they are situated with relation to each other, in their conjunction and oppositions, on account of which they obumbrate each other, and at what times, and in what manner they become concealed, and again emerging to our view, cause terror, and exhibit tokens of future events, to such as are able to discover their signification,--of all this to attempt an explanation, without inspecting the imitations of these divinities, would be a vain labour."
[" But of this rnongh, and let what has heen said be the end of our discourse, concerniug the visible and generated Gods."']

The thinis now proposed ly Plato, is not to introduce a theory derived from astronomy, nor the argments which are badly employed by some concerning

[^220]hypotheses, and astrological observations, in which they do not spreak conformably to Plato; because the philosopher at present avoids the discussion of these particulars. For a great work still remains to be accomplislied, and it is not proper to dwell on these thines. For antrommy is one thing, and physiology another, as Aristothe abo determines in the areond book of his Plysies. To which may be added, that much leisure is requinite, first to survey these things in imares, and thes atterwards to anign the reasons of them. For, as he says, to speak about them, without surveyine their mitations, is a vain labour. For it is
 the paradiem,' and the astrulabe; and then betahe curselse the the thery of wholes. Ohersation likewiee is meresary, which instrments athord to those whore comereant with these things. For these beasons therefore, the philosopher avoids the diseumion of these particulars.

What he now say howerer, mont be camidered in a twofold reperet, mathematically and philowphically ; fin it pertains tooth to the corporeal, and perelical motions of the atars. Aud if som are willing, let we in the first place, mathematically and then philowophically comsider the medsurad motions or dunces of these divine bedirs. By these the refere, we must mederatand their orderly and harmemions circulations ; fer the sahe of which Plater ineerted the discossion of the Earth. For lae does not saly that the Earth burine combluhed dances, but that the stars
 about the same thinge But hy thair comurasons we munt underatand their co-arrangements aceording to lengh, when they dither accordine to breadh or depth, I mean thair joint rimings and actines. And "the recolutions and adrancing motions of their cirches," siznify their dirent and retrograte motions. For in their direct motions, they proceed to their apmatantases ; hut in their retrourade motions, they circulate amoner themselves. But he mow calls the pheres circles, according to wheld the tars are moned, and not the epicyeles. For he no where makes mention of these, as neither does he mention the ereentrics of the circles. For it would be ridiculons to make certain litte orbs, mosed in cach sphere with a motion contrary to it, or to admit that they are parts of a sphere comprehend-

[^221]iner indeed the centre, hat not moved about it. For this would smbert the common axion of physies, that cery simple motion is cither about the midelle of the universe, or from the midille, or to the midelle. But this hypothesis of efrentrics, cither disides the spheres into arches, moved in contrary directions, and destross the continuity of each, or introdnces rimbes to the celestial boties, of a nature different from them, and connects motions from thines dissimilar, and without sympathy with rarh other, throush the dissmilturte of their compositions.

It is necessary however to consider whether these thines thus smbist. For again, we must five our opinion on this subject, which reguires much disemssion. For Plato moves the stars in dillerent ways, not at all reguinge contrivances of this kind, as unworthy of a disine essence. IIfore [according to hime it is necessary to suspend this varimy from the motion of their informing somb, their bodies beine mosed switur or slower conformably to the will of these, and not as the multitude think throngh imberility. 'This inergality and diversity of motion abse, is efleeted in orelerly periods of time, the stans themedres beine moved about their rentres, and procerdine varionsly thromeh their proper spheres; in order that beiner media hetwern borlies that are inerratie, and thoer that are moved in a right line, they may hawe amined motion, beiner borow aloner aceordiner to altitude and depth, and whth a diret and retrograde motion, and his in orderiy periods of time. Vor lor says that, " she stars procedingr with an adrancine motion thenugh the hearas, have recolutions." Bat if hey proced dhromeh the heavens, it is evident that all of them are moved thromeh their spheres accomber the the depth of them. For boties which procered threngh a certan thines, do not abide in the same place, but pass from one part of that themgh which they proced, to another. If also, they have rotations, their all-varions matations are the revolutions of them in their epheres, aceordiner to breadth and depth. The spheres howewor, are alone moved to the east, and not abont the same poles as the sphere of the fixed stars. For in the Republic, he makes the one axis of them to be the distatf, but the poles of the eight spheres to be the spindles, and he says, that about these there is one simple motion, just as there is of the spliere of the fixed stars. Afterwarts, in that dialorue, he says that the Fates preside over these circles, and that a diflerent Fate mowes them differently. Here however, he convolves one of the spheres laterally, but the other diametrically, in the same manner as the circles of the sonl, in which he estahlished the canses of the whole spheres themselves, and the planets. On this acconnt, he moved them olligurly, according to a diameter. Hence he says, that some of the planets are moved simiarly, but others, dissimilarly, in the same manner as the spheres. The difference thereforo
of the planetary spheres, and the sphere of the fixed stars, is conformably to these things, and also according to a motion to the right hant, or to the left.

Such therefore, is the fabrication of the sphere according to Plato, the seven spheres having a conjoint revolution, and possesing that difference which we have mentioned, with retirence to the one circulation [of the inerratic shere.] Conformably to this also, the fixed stars are alone moved about their centres; but the plancts are both moved alout their centres, and proced throngh the deith of the spheres in which oach is placed, sarionsly revoling upward and downard, and with a retrorate motion. Sach of there liherwine, and the poles of these, are moved in another circle ahout the zodiac; but the spheres in which they exisi, are all of them moved similarly to the inerratic -phere; vis. they are moned about one peote which is common to all of them. 'Tlue sphere of the fixad sars alao, is by itself mosed with one motion; but the plametin! -phere, with a twotold motion, ons la ing the motion of italf, and which is obligue, hut the other being at revolution in conjunction with the inerratic sphere. With reppet to the stars how-
 advancius motion, in congunction with their sphere. But the planets revolse in conjunction with the ineratic aphere, and each is mencel tore ther with its sphere to the east, and revolves by itself accordiner to beadth and depth, and about its proper centre. For it is mecessary that rach lecins spherical, shombl be moved with this motion, imitating its proper wholeness ; just as the dined stars are co-arranged with the ineratic ephere. 'To which may be adhed, that proceeding through the heasens, they hase ako as lato sats, all-varions revolutions.

These things therefore, being true, as we hatse lafore demomstated, the hypotheses of epicyeles, or eccentrics are not ain, but they amalyee various into simple motions, in order that we may easily aprelemel the apeatastanes of various motions, which are not of themselses asily meternteod, but are only to be comprehendeal from the fixed stars. Hence it is an exeflent contrivance to discover what simple, produce varions motions, and throngh them to investisate the measures of such as are various. Just as if some oble, wot being able to measure a spiral motion abont a cylindar, but afterwards assming a right line moved about it, and a peint in the right line meanming its motions, should find what the quantity is of the motion about the spiral in a given time. To this therefore, the atterntion of those is directed, whoemploy evolients, epicycles, and eccentries, through simple motions, from which they discover a various motion. These things

[^222]however, deserve to tre [more fully] considered, and on this account the lorers of apeculation should excite themselves to the more accurate apprehension of them.

But hy their compuctions, their syods must be understood, and the configmations' which they make with cach other; whether trigonically, or tetragonically, or hexaronically, or diametrically. For Plato alone aswming their comjunction and station in a direct lime, comprehomes in these as in the extremes, all the remaning lienres. But their obumbrations are situations accordine to which the y datken us and other thinse. For the hody which is armaed after another body, hereomes situated in the front of that which is posterior to it. And the stars are the canses of darkures to us when they rum under each other. By "their becon:ing conceated also, and again cmeroing to our vieai, at stated dimes," we nomot maderstand their occoltations under thes sum, and therie evohtions into light, boh which are said bey those who are shilful in there thines, to he cflective and siznificant of 1 cortain great ments. Tospeak therefore of all these partienlars, withomt imitations throngh the sight, i. e. without organic assistance, arould be a wain labour.

After the mathomatical theory howewer, let us surwey what is said by Plato, phitosophically. The dances therefore of souls, are their being inspired with Bacchic mamia, and their prerods abont the intelligible; and also their intellectual
I apocatastases. For as Sorrates says in the Phardros, following their more divine learlar, they also are harmonionsly mond. But their conemesions are the ir intellectual perepptions of each other. For all thinere there are splemtial, they see each other, and our woml is not isnorant of the concerns of another. Farther still, they adapt the forms of themerles, as vestiges and types, to intollisihles which are their paradizms. But the reotutions and adranciner motions of their circles, are the converans from themedres to intellect, and frem intellect asain to themsehes. For both these are eflected by them perpetally, and from themselves they hnow intelloct, and from intellect themselves. Their conjunctions also, and diametrival stdiens, are the mions of earh other with the intelligible, areording to I which they are muthally ronjoined; and aho the ir proeres.ans. For when they conjoin the one of themselves to the one of intellect, there is a synod or compunction of lwoth. For in these conjunctions it is necessary that the centres of the things ronjoined should be in one right lime. But procededing from thence to the providential inepection of secondary natures, they become situated oppositcly to this union. Since howeser, they subsist always after the same manner, and abide and at the same tine proceed, they are comected, and dianctrically opposed. But

[^223]the obumbrations of each other, and of $u s$, are the media which are between divine souls and us. For all of them are not immediately united to all, but some are united to others throngh more or fewer media. And their concealments and evolutions into light, at stated times, are their apocatastases, and the beginuings of periods. For according to these especially, they cause revolutions and mutations in the world, introducing copions corruptions, and mighty changes, as Plato says in the Republic. 'To asert therefore, all these particulars, without sewing the imitations of theee thinge which are surveged about the hearem, would be a vain labour. For it is necessary to recur frem the phenomena to the reminiscence of invisithe natures. Fer as from these instrments and nhalows, we are emabled to commence the contemplation of the celestial hodies; thus aho from the lattre, we recal to our recollection imisible circulations. For the heavens are a medinm between gemerated and intedigible natures.

Since how cere, Plato says, hat the figures and motions of the heavenly bodies cause terror, and e. hibit tokens of future cients, to such as are able to discorer their signifuction, it is requisite to ohorve, that they mot unly signify future events, but alse are tohens of past events. Hence abo he mates mention of energirs which are in themedses precedaneonly simnificant. But Theophrastus says, that in his time,' the theory of the Chaldeans, ahment thene things, was most admirable, as it predieted both other partionlars, and the life and death of each individual, and not common events only, such as stormy and fair weather. For her adds, that according to them, the planet Mercury, when it is seen in winter, signifies cold, but when in summ r, eacensise heat. In his treatie. On Sigus therefore, he says that they predieted all things, both such as are particular, and such as are common, from the celestial bedies. Let us lowever here fimish the disenssion of the nature of the visible and gendrated Gods, as what we have said concerning it is sufficient. For the work of science consists in this,' to adhyt an appropriate mensure to words, and to give them as much extentis may centribute to the proposed theory. This also, Plato does, in what is here said. For in the words before us, he finishes his discussion of the celestial bodier, and starry amimals, for the ake of which he likewise assmed what he says about the earth ; because it abo produces time, in conjonction with the celestial circulations. Here therefore, the ahove mentioned partieulars are terminated. For here, the consideration of the visible and generated Gods, whom we call starry, and in short celestial, is brought by him to an end. He calls them

[^224]however visible, because they are mundane, and have something of sensibles suspended from their intellectual essence; but gencrated, as having soul, which he calls the first of generated natures. For they are not visible, according to every thing which they are; but there is something which is generated indeed, yet is at the same time invisible. But that the discussion of the earth was assumed for the sake of the measured motion of the stars about it, he manifests by dircetly adding, after what he had said about it, "And the natures successize to these," throumh which I think, he clearly shows, that his desirn was to speak about the celestial Gods, and the genera attendant on them, which sometimes are concealed by the splendors of their leading Gods, and sometimes when they become visible, produce terror, and tokens of future events.' For what is said, is adapted to these, according to an appropriate detinition.
" To speak howerer, concerning the other demons, and to know their generation, excceds our ability."

Plato now intending to speak abont the sublunary Gods, says that the disconrse about them is admirahb, and exceds our ability, as transeconding all that has been transmitted to wi by tratition, if we intend to discover the generation of them, and promolgate it to others. For what he before said of the Demingens, that it is diffent to discover him, and impossible to speak of him to all men, this he now says of the sublunary Gods, that to know and to speak of the generation of them, surpases our ahility. What therefore, does Plato mean by this mode of indication? For as he has delivered so many and such admirable things concerning all heaven, and the intelligible paradian, how is it that lie says, that to speak of the Gods who are the fabricators of generation, is a task beyond our ability to perform? Perhaps it is hecanse many physiologists considered these sublunary elements to be inanimate natures, casually horne along, and destitute of providential care. For they acknowledged that the celestial bodies, on account of their orderly motions, participate of intellert and the Gods; but they left generation; as being very mutable and indefinite, deprived of providential inspection. For

[^225]thus Aristote atterwards, alone placed immoveable causes over the celestial circulations, whether cight in number, or more; but beft these elements inamimate.

In order therefore, that we misht not be aflected in the same manner as they were, he antecelenty celebrates and proclains the generation of the smbmary Gods to te divine and intellectnal, regmenter no such mede of indication in speahine of the celential fods. Perhap aho it may low sad, that somb more swifly forget thing mearer to themadoes, but hate a wreater remembrance of superior primeiples. For thay in aterater deyree "preate "pon then through transcendency of power, and appear thand eher:s to be perent with them. The same thing aho happens with repect to onr shat. For thongh we do not aee many thims that are sitatad on the eath, ? 1 at the same tinie we appar to see

 of and blind to mote prosimate than to higher and mom disime primeiphes. Thos,

 torior to this principhe, and that a provileatial anery procteds from them into
 Others again, beliese indeed that there are Gods, but attor the Gods, ablatting the datmoniatal erems, they are ismorant of the hemic oflor. Ame in short, this is the greate work of acience, subtilly to di-timenioh the mediat and the progressions of beiner. If therefore, we righly amert the thins, Plato, whon prahins of the celestial Gods, wery properly indicates mothine of the dithently of the sultject; but intemling to speak of the smbmary Gorls, sats that it surpasen ome
 any thing abont them from apparent objects, bot it aloberefuires a divinely-inspired encrys, and intellectual projection. And thas mow comernine has doubt.

Again however, some one may doubt, on what acoonnt llath calls the suhbitnary Gods damons. For soma hate bem impelled by this, te phace (iods in the heavens, but to asign the superintendency of the sublunary renion to damons. That he conceived however, that these abo are (iods, may tre easily asomed from "hat he add:, " Iat the generation therefore, of these Gods, be admithed to be as folwese." For in short he does not appear to hase spoken particularly abont those powers that are properly denominated damons, as not hasing the physeal prins--iphes of them from sense, from which it is necersary that physical discuscions should origimate. Ilence also, he mentions the name of darmons; in one place, where he calls our rational soul the dimon of the anmal; but in another, as
here, where he calls the Gods who produce generation, damons. Why therefore, yon may say; for this doult mont be tirst dissolved; does he not make mention of diem. ons, who aresurh esoentially? Is it because this was exhibited on the presedine day by Socrates, to his amditors [in the Rerpmblie] in which he spoke comecming the sonl that preside over the lises of men, and those that punish offenders in Ilades? Ilence, he omits to mention these things, as being wident. From what he had there said however, he was led to the recollection of the diemons colehmatei hy him. Thos also, having given a peculiar soul to rach of the eight spheres, he omits the amimation of the whole of the inerratic circle, as one, and of the stars comprehended by it, and likewise, of the whole planetary splure, as one, and of the planets contained in it, in consequence of these having been presionsly delimered by Socrates. This however, is attended with a jrobable reanom.

Returaing therefore, to the before-mentioned enguiry, let us assign the reason why, in what is here said, Plato denominates these eremeration-producing Gots, demons. Theodoms then, comedoring these things after another maner, says that they are called diemons as subsisting in babitude, but (jods, as beiner without hahtude; arramene them in the subhnary parts of the whole world, and asortine that some of them ammato the miverse diflevently from others. But our proceptor syrians, in the first plare thinks it proper, that they shonld be romsinced as damons, with wemene th the cellestial Gods. For they are suspended from these, and torether wih these, presidentially attend to their proper
 Plate demminates bove a danmon, as the attombant of Vemus, and as proceeding fom the tmly-exinting (ind Porns: thongh in the: Phadrus, he admits Love to be a donl, as with refernere to the lifo which is elevated hy him.

In the next place, accordiner to another conception, we may say, that in the coletial resions there are damons, but in the sublmary region Gods. In He former however the gemes is inded divine, though damons abo are gencrated according to it; but in the latter the whole multitude are dimons. For there indeed, the disine peculiarity, but here the demoniacal predominates; is which some alone looking, have divided the divine and the damoniacal, according to the heavens and gemeration. They ought however, to have arranged iooh in hoth; but in the former indeed the divine nature, and in the latter the

[^226]demoniacal predominates; though' in the latter there is also the divine peculiarity. For if the whole world is a hlessed God, no one of the parts which give completion to it is destitute of divinity and providential inspection. But if all things participate of deity and providence, the world is allotted a divine nature. And if this be the case, appropriate orders of Gods preside over its different parts. For if the heavens ${ }^{2}$ throughsomls and intellectsas media, participate of one soul, and one intellect, what ousht we to think of these sublenary elements? How is it possible, that the should not in a much greater degree participate through certain middle divine orders, of the one deity of the world?

Farther still, it would also lee absirel that the telestic art (or the art pertaining to mystic ce remonies) should extablish on the earth places fitted for oracles, and stathes of the Gods, and throush certain symbols should canse things qenerated from a partial and corrmpthle matter, to become adipted to the participation of deity, to be moved by him, and to predict future events; but that the Deminrgus of wholes should not place over the whole elements which are the incorruptible plenitudes of the worll, divine souls, intellects, and Ciods. For whether was he unwilliner? But hew could he be unwilline, since he wished to make all things similar to himelf? Was he then umable? But what could himder him? For we see that this is posible from telestic works. But it he was both willing and able, it is evident that he gave subsistemer to (iods, who have allotments in, and are the inspective gnardians of, ereneration. Since however the gemes of demons is every where an attendant on the Gode, there are also damons who are the fabricators of generation ; some of whom indeed role over the whole elements, but others are the grardians of climates, others are the rulers of nations, others of cities, others of certain families, and others are the suardians of individuals. For the gardianship of damons evends as far as to the most extreme division.

Having therefore solved the problem pertaining to the essence, let us in the next place consider the order of the submuary Gods. Fur let them be Gods, and fet them be called dxmons throngh the above-mentioned canse, but where inust we arrange them? Must it be as we have before said, under the moon, or prior to the celestial 'Gods? For this may appear to be proper for these two reasons; one indend, becanse Plato indicates that he ascends to a greater order, by saying that it exceeds our ability to speak concerning them, having already spoken concern-

[^227]ing the celestial Gods; but the other, because be follows in what he says, those who have delivered to us 'Theogonips. For they prior to the world and the Demiurgus, delivered thee generations of Gods proceeding from Heaven and Earth. In answer to this furry however, we most say, that he produces them after the celestial Gods, and through this from Heaven and Earth. For on this account les said that Earth was the most ancient of the Gods within the Heaven, because from this and Heaven, he was about to prodnce the other Gods which the heavens contain. This we demonstrate from the Demiurgus addressing his speech to these Gods, and to all the rest, as being produced by him within the universe. Why however, Plato says that he follows the theogony, and why he shall omit to speak concernind the sublunary deities, we must refer to his having no clear indications of the subsistence of these from the phanomena, as he had of the celestial divinities, from the order of their poriods, which is adapted to the government of Gotls. It exceds the province therefore of plysiology to speak of brings, concrming whom natural effects aflord us no stable belief. Hence Plato says, as a physiolocist, that it surpasise his ability to speak of these.

If howerer, he says that he follows those who are dismely inspired, but they speakine concerniner the supercelintial Gods, he adopts a similar theorony, thoush discomsine of the sut-celestial divinities, we must not consider this as wonderfing. For he knew that all the orders of the Gods proceed as far as to the last of thinrs, from the arransement which is the principle of their progression,
 from which they proced. Hence, thongh the orders of these Gods which are colebrated by theolosists, are above the world, yet they sulsist also in the sensibe miverse. Aud as this visible hearen is alliedto that which is supermundane, so likewise our earth is allied to the carth which is there, and the orders subsisting from the one to the orders procceding from the other. From these thinm too, this also may be assmmed, that according to Plato as well as acrordiner to other theolorists, first matures as they proceed, prodnce thines subordinate in conjunction with the causes of themelves. For these subhnary Gods proceding from the Demiurgus, are also said to be generated from Heaven and Earth that first proceed from him. The Demiurgus the refore says to all of them that they ought to fabricate mortal natures, imitating his' power about their generation. Hence all of them proceed from one producing cause, thourh those of a secondary order procerd likewise from the rods that are prior to them. It follows therefore from this, that not every thing which is produced hy the junior Gods is mortal, since some of these
proceed from other junior Gods; but the contrary alone is true, that every thing mortal is gencrated by these disinities. Aud arain it follows from this, that the junior God- produce some things acording to the inmoneable, but others according to the mowable hyparses of themedres. Fir they would not be the canses of immortals, if they prodnced all thines according to monable hyparses ; if it be true that every thing which subsints from a mowable canse, is essentially montable.

From this conerption also, we may solve the donht if there are irmational diemons, as thentrints say there are, whence they subsint For if from the jumior Goods, it may be anhed, how they are immortal; siner the dee dods are the fathers of mortal natures? Bat if from the Demiargas, how are they irrational? For he is father in conjunction with intellect. The sohtion therefore of the itmpiry is this, that hey derive their sulsistenee from the jumior (Berls, amd yet are wet on this accomet mortal, since some of the jomior Gods wemerate others. And perhaps there fiads ate on this accomt called darmons, in oreler that we may how that demons traly so denominated, are comstitated hy them. But irational damoms
 immortal matores. If h.owever the one Demintros ingrate intellect to all thins-

 the last resommener echo, ${ }^{1}$ as it were, of intellect. Hence, the phantasy is said [by Aristothe] to $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{r}}$ a passive intulact, and others not hadly, asort the same thins of it. So that amone damons, properly so ralled, those that are irmational are half mortal. Plato howeser, has previonsly disominated for us the principles by which we may solve the emquiry comerming the last rencra of dimons. For if there is a certain demoniacal erenus, which emplogs reason, it is evident that we must refer this to the one Deminesus, whe ther as prodnced [immediately] by him, or through certain intermediate Gods, who were aremerated by him; the celestial Gods treing the sources of celestial, but the subceleatial, of subeckestial damons. For of the suticelestial grods, some are the fathers of others, as I'lato teaches us, conformably to the theogonies. Hence, it is not at all wonderful that these Gods should generate demons co-ordinate with thereselves, and not only irrational, hut also rational damons, since they are the senerators of Gods; just as the celestial Gods are the generators of celestial diemons. Hence, it is necessary that the speculation concorning demons, should posses the whole of its arrangement "

[^228]from these considerations，conformably to Platonic doctrines．For from what Plato has said concerning the erenesiureric Gods，it hermes evident what his answer would be，if he were asked concerning the generation of demons truly so called．For in short，he who knows the renes of demons which subsist accord－ ing to habitude，will by a much greater priority know demons that subsist ace cording to hyparsis，and which give completion to this muiserse．Ilow also，is it possible that he should not er ant，there is a emus of demons according to habitude，who says that our soul is allotted the order of a damon，with reference to the mortal animal，by the powers that fabricated mortal nature？It is neces－ wary therefore，that there should he the essential demoniacal life prior to that which subsists according to habitude，and that those who grant the lat or，should also admit the existence of the former．Plato however，who［verbally］constitutes the rulers of the universe，is also of opinion，that the perpetual attendants of these， received their hypostasis together with，and from these．
＂It is therefore necessary to believe in ancient men，who being the progeny of the Gods as they themselves assert，must have a clear know－ ledge of their parents；for it is impossible not to believe in the children of the（bolls，though they should speak without probable arguments and necessary demonstrations．＂

Wee may collect from this，that he who simply loplieves in things which seem diff－ cult to he known，and which are of a dubious nature，rums in the paths of abundance， recurring to divine knowledge and deific intelligence，through which all things become apparent and known．For all things are contained in the Gods．But that which antecedently comprehends all things，is likewise able to bill other things with the knowledge of itself．Hence，Timaru here sends us to theologists， and to the generation of the Gods colbhrated by them．Who therefore are they， and what is their knowledge？They indeed are the progeny of the Gods，and clearly know their progenitors；being the progeny and children of the Gods，as preserving the firm of their presiding deity according to the present life．＇For Apolloniacal souls，in consequence of choosing a prophetic or telestic life，are called the children and progeny of Apollo；children indeed，so far as they are

[^229]Tim．Plat．
souls pertain ing to this God, and adapted to this series; but progeny because they demonstrate their present life to be conformable to these characteristics of the God. All souls therefore, are the children of the Gods; but all do not know their presiding God. Such how er, as have this knowledge and choose a similar life, are called the children and progeny' of the Gods. Hence Plato adds, "as they say;" for they unfold the order from which they came. Thus the sibyl " as soon as she was horn, metered oracles; and hercules appeared at his birth with demiurgic symbols. But souls of this kind convert themselves to their progenitors, and are filled from them with deific knowledge. The ir knowledge however is enthusiastic, Ix ing conjoined to deity through divine light, and exempt from all other hnowleder, both that which is probable, and that which is demonstative. For the former is comersant with nature, and the mineration particlelars ; but the latter with an incorporeal essence, and the whets of science. Divinely inspired howledge however, alone, is conjoin d with the Gods themsoles.
" But as they declare that their narrations are about affairs, to which they are naturally allied, it is proper that complying with the law we should assent to their tradition. Let therefore, the generation of these Gods according to them, be admitted, and said by us to be this."

From these words, he who considers them accurately may assume many things, such as that divindy-inspired knowledge is perfected through familiarity with and alliance to the Gods. For the sum is seen through sular-form light, and divinity Incomes apparent hough divine illumination. It may likewise be inferred that the divine law defines the: orders of the Goods which the divinelyinspired conceptions of the ancients mold, according to which alto souls enegazing, though not enthusiastically, are persuaded by these that enthusiastically energize. Complying with this law, Timarns in the brimming of this dialogue says, that he shall invoke the Gods and Goddess. From these words also we may infer, that all the kingdoms both in the heavens and the sublunary region, are

[^230]adorned and distributed in order, according to the first and intellectual principles; and that all of them are everywhere according to the analogous. Likewise that the order of thines precedes our conceptions. And such like dogmas indecd may be assumed from the words before us. But it is Pythagoric to follow the Orphic gencalogies. For the science concerning the Gods proceeded from the Orphic tradition through Pythagoras, to the Greekr, as Pythagoras himself says in Thf. Sarred Discolise.

## BOOK V.

The theory of the sublunary is immediately connected with that of the celestial Gods; and in consequence of being suspended from it, possesses the perfect and the scientific. For the generation-producing choir of Gods, follows the Gods in the heavens, and in imitation of the celestial cirele, convolves also the corcle in generation. For secondary follow the natures prior to them, according to an indivisible and united progresion. Becanse however, the divinities that govern generation, subsist immediately from the celestial Gods, on this account also they are converted to them according to one undisjoined union; jnst as the celestial are converted to the supercelestial deities, from whom they were proximately generated; but the superetestial to the intellectual, by whom they were atorned and distriboted; and again the intellectual to the intelligible Gods, from whom they were ineffably unfolded into light, and who indescribably and occully comprehend all things.

Of the whole of this truly golden chain therefore, the summit is indeed the genus of the intelligible Gods, but the end is that of the smbmary deities, who govern' gemeration in an mberotten, and nature in a supernatural manner, to which the deminuris inteflect now wives subsistence; the dominion of the Gods extending supernally from the heavens as far as to the last of things. Of these sublunary deities however, of whom it is proposed by us to speak, it is necessary to observe in the first place, that all of them preserve the generative and perfective energy of their gemerating canse, and also his demiurgic and stable profluctive power. They likewise receive meanmes, boundaries, and oreler from their father. And such things as he governs excmptly and totally, they being divided accord-
ing to allotments, fabricate, senerate, and perfert. Some of them also are proximate to the relfotial Gods; but ohers proced to a greater divance from them. IIence, some preserve the idea of these Gods, so far as it can be presersed in the suhbunary order; but others are estahlished according to their appropriate powerFor of every order, the summit is analogous to the order prior to it. Thus the smmmit of morligibles is unty ; of intellectuals is intelligible; of the supermundane order, is intellectual; and of the mundame order, supermundane. And some of the sublumary Gods indeed, are in a greater derree mited to the demingric monad; but others are more distant from it. Hence, some being analogous to it, are the leaders of the whole of this series; but others have a more partial similitude to it. For the father estahlinhed in every order powers amalogrous to him in their arrangement; sincein all the divine orders a certain canse presubsists analogons to the good. ${ }^{1}$

Conformably to these causes which are thus analogous to the ineffable principle of things, and which with reference to it are called monads, the sublunary Gools procerd and adorn and distribute qeneration in a liecominer manner. And some indeed give comphetion to this, but others to some other will of their fither. For some complete his comective, others his prolific, others his motise, others his gnardian will, and others, some other will of the Deminerge pertainine to the wholes in the sublunary remion. And some of them have dominion aser souls, others over damons, and others over Gods. All of them however are intellectual accordiar to essence, but mundane according to allotment. They are also perfective and powerfil, governing generation in an mbegotten manuer, beings deprived of intellect, intellectually, and inanimate natures, vitally. For they adom all things according to their own essence, and not according to the imbecility of the recipients. But Plato is evidently of opinion that these Gods use certain other bodies more simple and perpetnal than these elements by saying, that they appear when they please, and become visible to us. That he likewise gives them souls is manifest, from his saying that every mondane God is conjoined to hodies thromerh soul. For he then tirst called the world itself a God, when he had established a soul in it. And again, that he suspends intellects from them, through which their somls are intellectual and are immediately converted to the Demiurgus, is evident from the speech of the Deminrgus to them.*

If likewise it is requisite that the whole world should be perfect, it is necessary that together with the divine genera we should conceive that the damoniacal

[^231]order was generated prior to our souls, (which Plato shortly after constitutes,) and which receives a triple division, viz. into angels, dæmons properly so called, and heroes. For the whote of this order fills up the middle space hetween Gods and men; becanse there is an all-pertict separation or intersal between our concorns, and those of the Gods. For the latter are ctemal, himt the former are frat amd mortal. Sud the former inderd are satisticd with the enjoyment of intellect in chery partially ; but the latter ascend into total intellects themselves. On this account, there is a triad which conjoins our concorns with the Gods, and which procteds amorgons to the thre principal cansers of things; thomgh Plato is arcontomed to call the whole of this triad darmoniacal. For the anerelic is andergons to bejns, or the intellisible, whid is firs unfolded into lisht from the

 is analocents to intinite life. On which accomt it proceds every where, accordine to many orders, and in of a maltiform nature. Smd the heroic is analugous to inteller and consersion. Henee ako, it is the inspective enardian of puritication, and is the supplier of a marnilicent amd arated' life. Farther still, the angelic indeed procerds according to the intellectarl life of the Deminerns. Hence it also is essentially intellectual, aml interprets and transmits a divine intelleet to secondary natures. But the damoniacal proceds aceording to the Heminsie prosidence of wholes, erowros nature, and rishtly gives completion to the ordar of the whole word. And the hareve again, proceds according to the convertive prowidence of all these. Hence, this enems likewise, is elevated, raises souls on hish, and is the canse of a gramd and vigorons enorgy.

Such therebere, beine the nature of these triple suma, they are suypended from the (iods; sme inded from the celle ial Cinls, but others from the divinities who are the inspective guardians of sencration. And about every God their is an appropriate mumer of angels, heroes, and damons. For every God in the leader ot a multitude which receives bis characteristic form. Hence of the celestiat Gorls, the angels, damons, and horoes are celestial; but of the fatricators of generation, they have a generation-producing characteristic. Of the clevatherg Gorls, they have an devating property; but of the demineric, a demiurgic; of the vivitic, a vivific property; and so of the rest. And again, amoner the elevatiner Gods, of those that are of a Saturnian characteristic, the anmels, damons, and heroes are Saturnian; but of those that are Solar, they are Solar. Amome the vivitic Geds likewise, of thone that are Iamar, the ministrant powers are Lamar; but of

[^232]the Aphrodiniacal or those that have the eharacteristic of Vemns, they are Aphrodisiacal. For they bear the mames of the Gods from whom they are suspended, as being in connected contimity with them, and receising one and the same idea with an appropriate suljection. Nor is this wonderful, since partial souls also, when they know their patron and leading Gods, call themselves ly their names. Or whenee were the Esculapiuses, the Bacchuses, and the Dioscmi denominated [Whobeing men of an beroic character, took the names of the deities from whom they desconded?'] As therefore of the celestial, so likewise of the Gods who are the fabricators of ernmation, it is necessary to survey abont each of them, a co-ordinate anselical, demoniacal, and heroical multitude; and to admit that the number suspembed from them retains the appellation of its producing monad. Hence there is a celestial God, angel, and hero; and the like is also true of the earth. ${ }^{2}$ In a similar manner we must say that Ocean and Tethys proceed into all the orders; and conformatly to this the other Goots. For there is likewine a Jorian, a Junonian, and a Saturnian multude, which is called by the same appellation of life. Nor is there any absurdity, in siving the name of man, both to the intellighle and the sensible man ; though in these, there is a mench greater separation and interval.' And thas much in common concerning the Gods and diemons who are the fabricators of generation, in order that we may survey the disenssion abont damons conjoined at the same time with that of the Gods. For Plato comprohends both these genera through the same manes; and it seems that thronglt this canse, he calls the same powers damons and Gods, in order that we may noderstand that the demoniacal genom is at the same time co-surpended from these (iods, and may adapt names to them as to Gods. He likewiar dors the same thing elsewhere, indicating the every-where extended nature of the throry, and the eye of science contemplating all things at once, and in mintcrupted comesion.

Arain however, it is evident that we shonld preserve the sprefic separation of these genera; surveging inded, every gemesiurgic God, according to goodiness itself, and this surrounded with intellect, soul, and a divine body; a certain portion of each of which, is imparted by these Gods to smblmary natures. And in this the sublumary, are more redundant than the celestial Gods. We most also survey every [rational] damon, as nore excellent than our souls, and as having an intel-

[^233]lectual soul, and an ethereal rehicle; since a certain thing of this kind, is, as Plato says, suspended from the human soul. "The Demiurgus therefore, says D He, caused the soul to ascend into its vehicle." For it is necessary thit every soul prior to mortal bodies, should use certain perpetual easily-moved, and orbi-- ular bodies, as possessing essentially a motive power. But we have lefore -poken concerning irrational damons, and shown what Giods onght to tre comedived to tre the makers of them; since with resperet themons that nor rational smals, it
 does Platu at one time call the genesurevic disinties Gods, but at another dirmons; as if the celestial powers, thoush they should happen to be damons, onsht to be
 mimated darmons; but he dons this, in order that he may mathe the disemanom
 nispended from them. Of this however, we have asiencel other not improbable callises.

It now remains to show what conceptions we ought to have of the Gods now
 10 fables, others to the fathers of citiss, others to pratrdian pewers, others to ethical explanations, and others to souls. These howerer, are sullicintly confuted by the divine lamblichus, who demonstrates that they water from the meaning of Plate, and from the truth of things. After this mamer theotiore, we must saty, that Timande meine a Pytharovean, follows the Pythanorean primeiphes. But these are the Orphic traditions. For what Orphens delivered mystically through arcane narrations, this Pythanas learned, being initiated by Aphophemus' in the mystic wisdom which Orphems derised from his mother Callioper for these thiness
 since we are of ppinion that the doe trine of thanats abont the dads shombl be reffred to the e? 'They are as follow: Orpheus delivered the hingloms of the diods who provile oner wholes, acoordiner to a pertiet number, viz. Phanes, Night, Lleaven, Saturn, Jupitor, Bacchas. For Phanes is the first that bears a serpere, and the lirat hine is the cetebrated Ericapmens. Bint the seoond is Night, who receives the scepte from her father [Phames]. The third is Heaven, who receives it from Night The fourth is Suturn, who, as they say othed volume to his dather. 'The fith is Jupiter, whes sublend his father. And atter him, He sixth
 and intellectaal Gods, proceed thromgh the middle orders, and into the word,

[^234]that they may adorn mondane alfiirs. For Phanes is not only in intelligibles, Int abo in intellertmak, in the deminreic, and in the supermmolane order; and in a similar maner, Heaven and Night. For the peculiarities of them proceed throngh all the middle orders. And with repect to the mishty Saturn, is he not arraned prior to Jupiter, and does he not after the Jovim hinordom, divide the Bacchic fabrication in conjunction with the other Titans? And this indeed, he effects in one way in the heavens, and in another in the sublunary rerion; in one way in the inerratic sphere, and in another among the planets. And in a similar mamer Jupiter and Bacchoms. These thinges therefore are clearly asserted by the ancients.

If howeror, we are rifht in these ansertions, these divinities have atery where an athalogens subsintence; and he who winhes to surver the progresions of them into the hearens, or the subhnary rewion, shonth book to the tirst and principal cames of their kinerloms. For from thence, and accorlime to them, their generation in derined. It is requinte therefore, that wrathoshould low to these. Some therefore say that Plato omits to insertigate the (iods who are analogens to the two hines in the hearons, I mean Phanes and Nisht. For it is ueresary to place them in a sumpior order, and uot amone the mundane (ions; leramse prior to the world, they are the beaters of the intelhertual dods, being eternally cotablinhed in the adytum, as Orphens says of Phanes, who lin the word adytam significs their rccull and immanfest arder. Whether therefore we refer tion circulation of same and dithent [mentioned by Plato in this diahone] to the anatosy of these as male and female, or patrmal and armeratione, we shall not wander from the truth. Or whether we refer the sun and moon, as opposed to cath other amoner the phant-, to the same amalogy, we shall mot err. [For the sminded thromeh his lisht preverves a similitude to Phames, but the moon to Night. Jupiter, or the Demiures, in the intellectual, is amalogous to Phanes in the intelligitbe order. And the vivife emater Juno is amalogous to Nieht, whoproduces all life in conjunction with Phanes from unapparent causes ; jus as Juno is parturient with, and emits into light, all the sonl contained in the word.'] Jor it is better to conceive both theer as prior to the world, and to arrange the Deminrgus himself as analogous to Phanes; since he is said to be assimilated to him according to the prodnction of wholes; but to arrange the power conjoined with Jupiter, (i. e. Juno) and which is generative of wholes, analogous to Night, who produces all thiners invisi-

[^235]Tim. Plat.
Vol. II.

Wy from the father Phanes. Aftre the however, we must consider the remaining as unalogons to the intellectual hiurdoms.

If hikewise, it shomlat be ashed why Plato dores not mention the hing dome of Phames and Nisht, to whom we have natid Jupiter nthe Jno are amalugores? It may be readily answered, hat the tradition of Orphene contains these ; on which








 what follows. At prosent we shall only adh, that it is reguisite tosurne: all these names divimely or diemoniacally, and acoorther to the allothents of these divinities in the four elements. For this comball is in ether and water, in carth and in air, all-tarionsly, according to the disime. amd aloo aceordiner to the diemoniacal
 and lihewise in the carth terrestriaty, in order that all theo powers may be everywhere, accordine to an all-tarions mode of mblaine-nce. For there are many modes of prosilemer divine and damomiacal, and many allotments aceording to
 the same and the simbar shonld be destroged. And thas much comerniner these particulars. But het nis now refine to the test of Plato, and wplere its meaning to the utmost of our abritity.

## 102 <br> "That Ocean and Tethys were the progeny of Heaven and Earth."

As this whole world is ample and varions, as admmbating the intellectual order of forms, it comtans the er two extremities in iterlf, Earth and Ileaven; the latter hamine the relation of a father, but the former of a mother. On this accome Plato calls. Earth the most ancient of the (iods within the hearens, in crider that conformathy to this he might saty, that larth is the mother of all that Ileanen is the father; at the same time ovinciner that partal camsos are not only subordinate to their progeny, as Poverty [in the Bancmet of l'late] to Love, but are lihewise

[^236]superior to them, as alone weciving the offopring proceeding from the fathers. These two extremities therefore, most be conceived in the world, IHearen as the father, and Larth as the mother of her common proweny. For all the rest terminate in these, some wiving completion to the celestial momber, hat others to the wholenos of Earth. Ator the same maner lihewise, in each of the elrments of the worlt, these two principles, Heaven and Earth, must the admitted, subsisting aerially indoed in air, lout aquatically in water, and terrestrially in earth; and according to all the above-mentioned modes; in order that cach may be a perfect world, adorned and distributed from analogoos' principles. For it man is said to be a microcusm, is it not necessary that each of the elements ly a mon ereater priority should contain in itself appopriately all that the world contains totally? Hence, it appears tome that l'lato immediathly atior, speakiner about Hoaven and Earth, delivers the theory of thene Gods, beximing from those two divinities; for the other disinities proced analogons to Ilearen and Varth. These two divini ties however, are totally the canses of all the Gods that are now produced. And these divinities that are the progeny of Heaven and Earth, are analogoms the whole of each. These two likewier, as we hate before obermed, are in each of the elements arrially, or aquatically, or terestrially. For Heaven is in Earth, and Earth in Heaven.' And here indeed, Heaven sulsists terrestrially, but there Earth

[^237]celestially. For Orpheus calls the moon celestial earth.' Nor is it proper in wonder that this should be the casi." For we may survey the same things everywhere according to the anatogons in intellisibles, in int Hectuals, in the shpermundame order, in the havems, and in armeration, conformably to the proper orler of each.

With re-pect however, to dach of these divinitios, some of the interpreters of Plato moleratand hy Earth, this solith buth which is the object of actable inspere tion; others, as that which has an arrangement analagoms tomater, and is stpporat to exi-t prior to gemerated natures; whers, as intelligible mather; whers, as the power of intellect ; others, as lite; ohters, as an incorporeal form insepatable from earth; ohters concriwe it th be sonl; and others intrllere. In a similar manmer

 junction with motion; whers, that which pearsore intellect; ethers, aprere and
 fect. I hnow lihewine, that the disime lamblishos medewtants by Earth, avery

 powers and total lives. But be llatam, he umberatand the total and perfect enersy procedine from the Weminges, which is fill af appopriate power, and

 first life which subsista aceordme thabimde. For in the lite aceording to habi-
 in the fontal sumb, with dilference, there tirs habitudes, are immodiately to be
 it in its belly. The cath is it, nuree. This in the falber of all the perfection withe whole worlh. Its poner is cutire when it is conserted into earth. You mont wparate the earth from the lire, the subthl
 rarlh, and rectere the power of thinss superior and beferior. Thus sou will hase the ghlory of the whole worla, and thus all obseurity will the from you. 'This is the strong fortatode of all fortitude, because it vanguishes every subthle thing, and persetoste, all solid substances. Thas the world was

 have said concerning the woth of the sun is complele."


${ }^{2}$ The two immediately following lines in this place in the original, are in so mutulated a slate as iu be perfectly unintelligible.
-nrveyed, together with the wholemess. And the first of these indeed, consists of the wholemes which is on rach side, has the wholeness prior to parts, and terminates in the whene fontal sonl. But the serond preserves the whole fental somb, yet divides ibelf into parts, and has the wholemess which comsinte of parts. And
 lihewioe of these there, Earth and Ihearen are contamed. For the material nature which is in the first, is called Earth, matter beine dhes demominated by the anciont theolosists. But Ibanen is an intednet of this hind, as separating the last from the firt in habitude, and as comstating this viable He:arm thromen its own habitnele. In me therefore. the habituld lihewine of the som to the body
 adhere, as by a lime rahle, th the doctrime of our prochtor [Syriamus. For
 oursolus to the most pure comeppinins of Iamblichos.

In the tirst place therefores we should recollert that Pato is now speahine of the shthmay Goul, that all of them are morywhere amd that they proced accordine to the analuey of the intellizible and intellectual hings. And in the


 same mamer the Heaven whid, is now mentioned by Plato, is the bommelary and
 one homul the deminesie meantre, and alo that whel proceeds from the celestial Gade to thore divimties that are allothed the reatme of aromeration, and connecting them with the eefotial enocroment of the Gods. For as the Demineres is to the semed ifet!: so is the ome divinity of this Ihavem, to the intellerthal Heaven. Dlace as there, measure and hombl proced trom the geod throngh Heaven to all the intellertal (ints, so likewiar here a bomed arriven to the Gods the falmicators of gempation, and to the more excellent arnera, [riz. to angels,
 Gorls; viz. throush the connectelly-containing medinm of this Heaven. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the everywhere procediuer Iheaven is allotted this order; in one procession of things indeed, mitedly and occnlty; but in another manifestly and separately. For in one order it introdnces bomal to souls; in another to the works of nature,

[^238]and in another in a different manner to other things. And in air indeed, it effects this primarily; but in the aquatie orders secomdarily; and in earth, and terrestrial works, in an ultimate derree. But there are also complications of these. For the disine mode of subsintence, and abo the damoniacal are ditlirent in the air and in the earth. For in one place, the mode is the same in difli-rent orders; lout in another the mode is dillifent in one allominnt. And thas math concerning the power of Heaven.

In the mext pace, dirrcting our allention to larth, mad insohing lor aid, we shall dave the whole of the theory eromerning her from her tirst evolation into hight. She tint becomes manitest therofore, in the mathe trads of the intellectual God, Wgether with Heasen, who commetedly-contans the whole intellectual order. She lihewine procerels analozens to her intellizible Jarth, whish we find


 reigns topether with him, and is the power of him who ramhs as ather. 'The Earth honerer, whied is amaloroms to her, and presides in the suhbmary regions,


 sublunary intinity ;' jost as Heabon who heloners to the co-ordanaton of bond, introdnces termanation and end to secomdary matmers. Bunad theretore and end define the hyparsis of ewery thing acemoling to wheh Gods and damons, souls and bodies are comected and made to tr: ome, imitatime the one mity of whes, or in oher worls, the in flable principle of thinss ; but intinity multiphies the powers of every lemer. For there is much bound in all smblanary matnres, and likewise moch intinity, which thromsh disinity, and after the Gools, extendis to all things. We have therfore, thent two orders, which are er ${ }_{\text {onerative of the divine }}$ or demoniacal progressions, in all the sublumary genera and elements; and one kingdon of them in the same manner as in the intellectual orders.

From these however, a second duad procecds, Ocean and Tethys, this generation not being eflected hy copulation, nor by any comjunction of things separatrel, nor by division, nor aceording to a certain abscission, for all these are foreign

[^239]from the Gods; but it is arcomplished accurding to one union and indivisible conjunction of powers. And this mion thenlogists are accustomed to call marriage. For marriage, as the thoolorist Ophens says, is appropriate to this order. For he calls Earth the first Nymph, and the mion of her with Ileaven the first marrase ; since there is no marriage in the divinitien that are in the most emiment dearec mited. Honce there is no marriaere between Phanes and Nieht, who are intelligibly mited to each ohere; lut there is marriage amoner the Gods, who exhilit division of power and enercies, in conjnaction with mion. And marriage appears on this accome to be allapted to the Il aven and Earth. which we are it present consideriag, so far as they alombrate the intellectual Iheaven and b:arth; which the sacred laws of the Ahemians likewior knowines, ordered that the marriages of Heaven and Earth should be celdorated, as preparatory to initiation into the mysteries. Direrting their attention to these also, in the Elensinian inysterirs, booking upward to the hearens, they exclained, $O$ son! but looking downward to the earth, O parent!' Accordine to this mion therofure, in conjumetion with aparation, Heawen and Darth prothere thomert their goodnese Oeean and 'Tothys. Or rather, they do mot immerliately produce there, but prior to these two monals, two triads, and duple helmbomads, amomer which are Ocean and 'Tothys. And the momak inted tosedher with the triak remain with the father. But of the heblemats, Ocean toesther with Tethys, alhide and at the same time proced. Alt the rest however, preeced into another wether of Gods. Amd this indeed, is the mode of their subsintener in the intednertual order. But here, Phatu motirely mits the cames that abiste in the father, but dolivers to us those that procerd and at the same time abide, beranse his intention is to speak of the Gods that are the fabricators of eremeration. To these however, prosersion, motion, and difference are adaptel, and a co-arranerment of the male with the female; in orler that there may be seneration, that matter may tre adorned ${ }^{2}$ with forms, and that differnee may be rombinel whith sameness. Ilence IPato commences from the duat, proceeds throurh it, and arain returne to it. For the duad is adapted to material natures, as well as difforence, on account of the division of forms abont matter. Ilaving mentioned a duad likewise, he begins from Earth; for this is more adaped to thinss pertaining to generation.

With respect to these two divinities however, Ocean and Tethys, who abide in

[^240]their causes, and at the same time proceed from them,' some say that Ocean is a corporeal ensence; others, that it is a swifly pervading nature; others, that it is the motion of a hmind essence; others, that it is ather throngh the velocity of its motion; and others, that it is the intelligille presmodity itsedf of life. The divine lamblichas however, defines it to be the midtle motive divine camse, which middle somb, lives and intelledtions, efficacions matmes, amd those elements that are phemmatic, such as air and tire, tirst participate. And with repuet to 'le thys, some say, that it is a hmoid esomece whers, that it is a very mutathe mature and others, that it is the lilarity of the uniserse. But the disime lamblichus asocrts it
 the stable intellertions of which, souls, natures, and penwers participate, and which is likew ise participated by eratam-olid bewptaches, either of eathor water, which prepare a seat for the dements. Theodurn- herwever, places larth and Heaven in the firat part of the ladorementiond first triad, acoordang to mimation in hahemde, I mean, in the first of the wholes prior to parts, and considers Ifeaven as anadoroms the intelleetnal, hat lauth to the matorial nature : and of the rest, that which subsists according to the whole trom parts, he calls Orean; but the third, which sulsists accordiner to the wholeness of a part, he denominates Tethes.

We heowerr, again asoming our primeiphes say, that the canses of these are inded in the intellectual Gads, and that they are liherwine in the semsible universe. For Occan every where distinguinhes tirst fom secome orders, in consequence of which poeds do not inproperly call it the bumedary of the earth. But the: Ocem which is now the suliject of disenseion, is the calnse of motion, progression and pumer; insertiner in intellectual lives inderd, acmu, and prolific almodance; but in somb, celority and sigour in their energies, and purity in their generations; and in loodies, farility of motion. And in the (Bods indeed, it inparts a motive and providential eause; lont in anrels an unfolding and intellectual celerity and vigour. Again, in datmons it is the supplier of etlicacions power; but in heroes, of a masnificent and thomshing life. It likewise subsists in each of the abments, according to its characteristic prenliarity. Ifenee, the aerial Ocem is the canse of all the mutation of aerial natures, and of the circle of the meteors, as also Aristutle says. But the apmatic Oreath eives subsistence to fertility, facility of motion, and al-various powers. For according to the pocts, Fiom thas :Ill seav, and ever! river fluw.
And the terrestrial ocean is the producing canse of generative perfection, of the

[^241]separation of forms, and of generation and corruption. Whether also there are certain terrestrial orders, vivitic and deminrgic, it is the source of their distinction; or whether there are powers connective of the productive principles of the earth, and the in-pective guardians of generation, these also it excites and multiplies, and calls into motion.

With repoet to 'Tothys, as the name indeed evinces, she is the most ancient and the progrator of the Gorls, in the same mamer as it is fit to acknowledge of the mother Rhea. For theolorists denominate another Goddess prior to her Maia. Thus 'Ipheus, Maia, of Gods supreme, immortal Night, What mean you say.
But according to the etymolyy of Plato, she is a certain fontal deity. For the madefiled and pure, and that which percolates are signified through her name. For since Ocean produces all things, and is the source of all motions, whence also it is called the generation of the Gods, 'Tethys separates the unical canse of his motions, into primary and serondary motions. Hence Plato says that she derives her appellation from leaping and percolating. For these are separative names, in the same manner as lie says in the Sophista, of the words (ro Gaveiv xat $x \in p x i n!v)$ to card, and to separate: threads in weaving, with a shattle. Ocean therefore, cremeratiner all motion collectively, whether divine, or intellectual, or peychical, or physical, Tethys separating beth intermal and extermal motions, is so called from cansing material motions to leap and be percolated from such as are immaterial. Hence, the separatiner characteristic is adipted to the female, and the mical' to the malr. Plato therefore would assert such peculiarities as these of Ocran and Tethys, and does asert them in the Cratylus. But according to the divine Iamblichus, 'Pothys mast be defined to be the supplier of position and firm establishment. From all that has heen said howroer, it may be smmarily asserted that Tethys is the cause of permanency and a firm establichment of things in herself, separating them from the motions that proced externally. In short, Ocran is the canse of all motion, intellectual, psychical and physical to all secondary natures; but Tethys is the canse of all the separation of the streams procceding from Ocean, imparting to each a proper purity in the motion adapted to it by nature; throngh which each though it may move itself, or though it may move other things, yet moves in a transcendent manner. But theologists manifest that Ocean is the supplier of all motion, when they say that he sends forth tcn streams, nine of which proceed into the sea; because it is necessary, that of motions nine should be

[^242]Tim. Plat.
Voz. II.
corporeal, but that there should be one alone of the essence which is separate from bodies, as we are informed by Plato in the Laws.' Such divine natures therefore as the mighty Ocean generates, these he excites to motion and renders them efficacions. But Tethys distinguishes these, preserving generative canses pure from their progeny, and cstablishing them in energies more ancient than those that proceed into the external workl. And thus much concerning each of these divinities Ocean and 'Cethys.

Since however as we have said, the generation of these, is from the prior divinities LIeaven and lath, but is not ellected vither by a copmation sueh as that which is in sonsibes, hor accordiner to such a moion as that of Night and Phanes in intelligibles, it very properly follows that their progeny are separated from each other, analogouly to their parents, and that each receives a similitude to both. For Occan inderd, as heing the mate, is assimibated to the paternal cause Heaven; but as the supplier of motion, to the maternal canse Earth, who is the canse of prorressons. And Tethys inded, as the female, is assimilated to the prolific canse; but as producing a tirm establishment' of her progeny in their proper lives, she is assimilated to the fabricating cause. For the mate is analogous to the monadic; but the female to the dyadic. And the stable is adapted to the former; but the motive to the latter. A duad therefore, proceeding from a dnad, and being assimilated according to the whole of itself to the duad which is gencrative of $i t$, defines and distinguishes the eanses of itself, and all the number posterior to itself; in order that everywhere we may ascribe that which defmes and separates, to the order of Ocean and 'Tethys. For on this account also, many Oceans are delivered to us by theologists.'

Here however, it may be doubted, why the generation of these Gorls, is from Heaven and Earlh, and not from the soul of the miverse. For Plato nowhere gives a peculiar soul to the heavens. The solution of these doubts is this. It must be said that the soul which subsints from the psycherony, is the mundane soul of all the part; of the universe. For Plato says, that the divinity fabricated the whole of a corporeal nature within this soul, and not only the heavens. Being however, the soul of the universe, it illuminates the heavens primarily, and

[^243]vivities the sublunary region, as suspended from the heavens. Hence this soul is primarily celestial. And of this, you may assme a sulficient example from the human soul. lor Plato says, that this which governs the whole animal of us, is at the same tine allotted the head for its place of abode, as from thence ruling over the whole body, and deducing as through a channel, sense to every part of the corjoreal frame. As socrates therefore, had on the preceding day established the lates over the inerratic and planetary spheres, Plato does not now introduce a peculiar amimation to each of them, but as having already employed them, constitutes these circulations of the whole soul. But to the stars themselves contained in the spheres, he distributes peculiar souls, because Socrates had said nothing abont them in the Republic, and the peculiar animation of them was not known to his auditors. For it way proper to extend such particulars as were unknown to them, but not to discuss with prolixity, such as are apparent.'
" But from Ocean and Tethys, Phorcys, Saturn and Rhea, and such as subsist together with these were produced."

In the former progeny, a duad, generative, and motive, was produced from a terminating and definitive duad; siz. Ocean and Trthys, from Heaven and Earth; lat in the second progeny, a multitule converted to its canses through the triad, is gencrated from the duad; inticating likewise an all-perfect progression. For this multitude abo is divided, inte the analorons to bound, and the co-ordinate to infinity. For the triad is the bromil in this multitude; but the nameless mmber is the infinity in it. And of the trial itself likewise, one thing is analogous to the monad and hound, but another to the duad and infinity. And in the former progression indeed, the progeny alone procended according to bound and the intellectual; but in this there is also a mistme of the indefinite. But after the boundary from the triad, Plato adds, "Andsuch as subsist torether with these", inticating the entire progression and reparation of these triple orders ; so that the promeny of this progression is triadic through the peculiarity of conversion, and dyadic through the intervention of the infinite and indefinite.

Since however, these differ according to their intellectual causes, in the same manner as the before-mentioned orders; but in them. Ocean and Tethys were said to be the brethren, and not the fathers ${ }^{2}$ of Saturn and Rhea; for the progression to these was from Ilcaven and Earth, and all the Titannic order is thence

[^244]derived; let us see on what acconnt Plato here gives subsistence to Phorcys, Saturn and Rhea, from Octan and 'Tethys. For he may apporar to say this not conformably to the Orphic principhes. For " Earth latently bore from LIeaven, as the thedogist says, soven pure brantifil virgins with rolling eyes, and seven sons that were kings with fint lons hair. And the danshters, indeet, were Themis, and the joyful Trithys, Mnemosyne with thick-curled hair, and the bessed Thea. She likewise hove Dione, having a very erraceful form, and P'horbe, and Rhea, the mother of hing Jupitur. But the venerable Earth bromght forth those celestial yonthe, who are called by the appellation of Titans, berame they revenged the mighty starry Inaven. And the also bor. Cows, the great Croms, and the stroner Phorcys, and likewise Saturn, and Octan, IIyperion and lapetus." These things then having twen written hy the theologist prior to llato, how is it that Timatus prodnces saturn and lliea from Ocran and 'Tethys? In answer
 as being the media betwern these and the fathers, and ghardians of the boundaries of beth, as it is watal to celletrate them; we mone aly in the first place, inded, that it is not wonderful that the same disinties should be brothers, and yet throngh transcentency of dignity should be called the fathers of certain dods. For such things as are first, when they preved from their canses, produce in conjunction with those canses, the natures posterior to themolses. Thus all souls indeed, are sisters, according to one demiursic cause, and according to the vivific principte and fountain from which they proeed ; at the same time disine sonls produce partial sonls, tore ther with the Demineros and vivific canses, in consequence of first procecding into light, and abiding in their whoteness; receiving the power of fabricating nature's similar to themselves. Besides, in the Gods themselves, all the offpring of Saturn are brethren, according to the one generative monad by which they were produced; yet at the same time Jupiter is called father, in the divine poct Homer, hoth by Jumo and Neptune:

One word permir me thand'ring fallier Jove; ${ }^{2}$
And,

> What mortal how in all the boundless earth, O father dove, will coumel to h' immortals give.s

For in the former verse Juno, and in the latter $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ ptime, calls Jupiter father.
So that it is not at all wonderfil if Oceanand 'le hys are called hoth brethren

[^245]and fathers of Saturn and Rhea; in consequence of preserving as among brethren the paternal peculiarity. In the first place, therefore, the doubt may after this manner be solved.

In the next place, it may be said, that of the divine Titannic hebdomads, Ocean, indeed, both abides and proceeds, unitiner himself to his father, and not drpartine from his kingdom. But all the rest rejoicing in progression, are said to have given completion to the will of Earth, but to have assaulted their father, dividing themselves from his kiugdom, and proceeding into another order. Or rather, of all the celestial gencra, some alone abode in their principles, as the two first triads. "For, as soun as Heaven understood that they had an implacable heart, and a lawless nature, he hurled them into Tartarus, the profundity of the Earth" [says Orphems]. He roncoaled them therefore in the unapparent, through transcendency of power. But others both abide in, and proceed from their principles. as Ocean and Tethys. For when the other Titans proceeded to assault their father Heaven. Ocean prohibited them from obeying the mandates of their mother, beine dohions of their rectitude.
" But Ocean [says Ophens] remained within his place of abode, considering to what he should direct his attention, and whether he should deprive his father of strensth, and minsty mutilate him in conjunetion with Satmrn, and the other brethren, who wre oberlient to their dear mother; or leaving these, stay quietly at home. After moch fluctuation of thomgt however, he remained peaceably at home, beine anery with his mother, but still more so with his brethren."

He theredore abides, and at the same time proceeds turether with Tethys; for sle is conjoincel with him according to the first progeny. But the other Titans are induced to separation and progression. And the leader of these is the mighty Saturn, as the theologist says; though lee evinces that Saturn is superior to Ocean hy saying, that Naturn himself received the celestial Olympus, and that there being throned he reirns over the Titans; but that Ocean obtained all the midfle allotment. For he says, that he dwells in the divine streams which are posterior to Olympus, and that he environs the I Leaven which is there, and not the hishest Ileaven, lint as the fable says, that which fell from Olympers, and was there arranged.'

[^246]Ocean and Tethys thorefore, no fir as they abide, and are united to Iteaven, produce in conjunction with him the hingrdan of Suturn mad Ithen; und no fur an they are entablinhed in the dirst power of their mother, no far they produce Phoreys in conjunction with her.' For she produces him toredher with Nerens and 'Thanmas," from being minghed thromgh lose with the aca. For lhoreys is mat celese tial but Ocean, as is evident from the 'Therorong.' And no far as 'rethys is full of Earth, so far lecing as it were a certain Barth, she may be said to produre this Phoreys in conjunction with Oecan; no far as Ocean also romprehende the intelligible in himself. Hence 'Tethys, so far as sho is Earth aceordiner to participation, and Ocean so lar as loe is cansally the sea, give subsistence in comjuntion with Saturn and Rhea to this God. If however, any arguments should demonstrate that in the intellectual order Saturn is abowe Oceath, or Rhea abose Tethys, it must he said that this arranemont in indeed there; for in that urther the camses of intellection are suprior th thone of motion; hat that here on the eontrary, all thing are in mutation and a llewing condtion; an that here Ocean is very property prior to saturn, since it is the fountain of motion, and 'ferligs is prior to Rhea. Hence, ator amother mamer the dombe may he thay molved.

 the three disisions of the woth. Abl lhoregs indead, he arranses in the star-
 us that l'lato was acguaibed with a cettain starless sphere, and afternards, that he thus arranged Phoreys in this sphere. But he places Siturn oner t!e metions of the stars, becanse time ${ }^{4}$ is from these, and the remerations and corruptions of things. And lef phaces thea over the material part of the world, hecance by mat teriality, she has a redandancy with reapect to the disinitien prior to herself. But the divine lambliehus arranges them in the three npheres betwen the heavens and the earth. For some of the sublunary deities erive a two-fold division to the sublunary regiom, hom these divile it in a three-fold mamer. And I horeys inded, according to him, presides over the whole' of a hamidessence, contaming all of it impartilly. But Jhea is a disinty connective of flow iner and aerial-formed yinits. And Saturn governs the highest and most attenuated sphere of ether, having a

[^247]middle arrancement according to Plato; because the middle and the centre in incorporeal esoences, have a greater anthority than the powers situated about the the middle. We inded, admene this intellectual explanation of Iamblichus; but we think it proper to survey these Gods every where, both in all the elements, and all orders. For thus we shall behold that which is common in them, and which extends to all things. And we say indeed, that Phorcys is the inspective guardian of every spermatic essence, and of physical, and as it were, spermatic productiveprinciples, as being presnant with, and the cause of generation. For there are spermatic productive principles in each of the dements; and different orders of Gonls and damons preside over them, all which Plato comprehends through Phoreys. But king S.turn divides forms and productive principles, and produces more total into more partial powers. Hence he is not only an animal but pedestrions, aguatic, and a hird. And he is not only pedestrious, but likewise man and loose. For the productive pribeiples in him are more partial than in the celestial deities. Amoner the intellectnal Gods therefore, he is allotted this power, viz. to multiply and divide intelligithes. Hence, he is the leader of the Titans as beine especially characterized by the dividiner peculiarity.

Again, we say that Rhea receives the mapparent powers of king Saturn, leads them forth to secondary matures, and excites the paternal powers to the fabrication of visible whjerts. For thas also, her first order is moved, is tilled with power and life, and produces into that which is apparent, the eanses that abide in Saturn. Hence Saturn is every where the supplier of intellectual forms; Rhea is the cause of all sembs, and of crery kind of life; and Phoreys is prolitic with physical productive principles. Since however another nomber of Gods pertains to the hingdom of these, and which Saturn and Rhea comprehend, on this account Plato adds, " and such as subsist torether with these." For he not only throush this comprehends dicmons, as some say, but both the anrelic and the damoniacal Saturn have with themselves a multitude, the one angelic, but the other damoniacal. And the multude which is in the (iods is divine; that which is in the air is aerial; and in a similar manner in the other elements, and in the other more excellent genera arranged under these Gods.

By the woris also " such as subsist together zith these," Plato appears to signify the remaining Titans viz. Cous and Hyperion, Croms, Iapetus, and likewise the remaining Titannidar, viz. Phebe, Theia, Mnemosyue, Themis, and Dione, with whom siturn and Rhea proceeded into light. Alsn, those that proceeded together with Plorcys, viz. Nereus and Thaumas, the most motive Euryhia, and those who especially contain and connect the whole of generation. Moreover, it is worth while to observe that it is not proper to discuss accurately the arrangement in
these divinities, and whether Saturn or Phorcys is the superior deity; for they are united and similar to each other. But if it be requisite to make a division, it is better to adopt the arrangement of the divine Iamblichus, viz. that Saturn is a monad; but Thea a certain duad, talliner forth the powers that are in Saturn ; and that Phorcys gives perfection to their progression. It now remains therefore that we direct our attention to the other kings who produce the apparent sublanary order of things; for such is the arrangement which they are allotted.
" But from Saturn and Rhea, Jupiter, Juno, and all such as we know are called the brethren of these descended, and also the other progeny of these."

This is the third progression of the Gods who are the fabricators of generation, but the fourth order, closing as a tetrad the nomination of the leading Gods. For the tetrad is comprehensise of the divine orders. But as a duad this progression if assmilated to the first hingdom; becanse that as well as this is dyadic. There are howerer, preent with it, the all-perfoct aceording to progresion, and the uncircumscribed according to mumber. But Plate hare, not only adds the words " such as," as in the progression prior toit, but lihewise the word "all," that he may indicate the progression of them to every thing. For we we the lerm on orov, such as, in speaking of things mited, but the term to murras, all, in speaking of things now divided and multiplicd. The total (ro chicov) likewise pertains to this progression. For the Gods which are denominated in it, and those that groced every where together with them, are characterized according to this form of fatrication. For all Demiurgi are total. Who therefore are they, and what hind of order do they possess?

The divine Jamblichus then asserts that Jupiter is the perfecter of all generation; but that Juno is the canse of power, connesion, plenitude, and life to all things; and that the brethren of them are those that communicate with them in the fabrication of generation, being also themselves intellects, and reeciving a completion according to a perfection and power similar to them. But Theodorus, again dividiug the life which animates the total in habitude, and forming it as he is accustomed to do into triads, calls Jupiter the power that governs the upper region as far as to the air ; but Jumo the power who is allotted the acrial part of the world; and the brethren of them those that give completion to the remaining parts. For Jupiter is the essential of the soul that subsiets in a material habit, because there is nothing more vital than essence. But Juno is the intellectual part of such a soul, because the natures on the earth are governed by the produc-
tive prineiphes procoding from the air. And the other nmmier is the paydical distributed intopartioulare.

We howeser consequently 'to what has been before asserted say, that according to Plato there are many orders of Jupitor. For one is the Demioreses, as it is writtenin the Cratylus; amother, is the first of the Saturnian triad, as it is acserted in dhe Correias; another is the liberated, as it is delivered in the Phaedrus : and another is the colostiat, whether in the ineratie sphere, or amone the planets. Moreower, as the tirst Jupiter produced into the: visible babrication the power of his father, which was conceated in the mapparent, homerected to this by his mother Rhea; after the same manner the Jupiter delisered here, who is the fabricatur of eneneration. causes the unapparent divisions and separatons of forms made ly Saturn to hecome apparent; hut Rhea calls them forth into motion and generation; and Phoress inserts them in matter, produces semsibhe natures, and adorns the visible essence, in order that there may not only be divisions of productive principles in natures and in souls and in intellectual essences prior to
 if it be reguivite to speak what aprears to me to be the trubl, Sallurn indeed proe duces intellectual sections, hut Rhea such as aro peychical, and Plooreys such as are plasical. For all spematic productive primeiples are under mature. But Jupiter adorminer sensihle and visible sertions, rives a suecilic distinction to such beings in the sublunary recion as are totally vital, and canses them to be moved. Since however, these sensible forms which are erenerated and perfected, are multiformly erolved, beine moved abd chamed accordine to all-varinns evolutions, on this account he queen Juno is congoined with Jupiter, qivins periection to this motion of visible natures, and to the crobution of forms. Hence fables represent her as at one time senting mania to certain percons, but orelering others to mulergo severe labours, in order that throush inteilert leing present with all thines, amd partial souls comergizing disinely both theoretically and practically, every progresion and all the gemeratmo oi the sublunary region may obtain complete perfection.

Such therefore beine the nature of this duad, here are also other demiorbie powers which triply divide the apparent world of sateration; one wi these beine allotted the govermment of air ; amiber that of water ; and another that of earth, conformably to demingic allotments. Hence thry are said to be the brothers of

> - The words quens de exnpervas are omilled in the original.
> ${ }^{2}$ For sinvonters, it is ueces,ary to read sensoufiets.
> ' For aparn here, it is necessary to reall epparn.
these, because they also preside over the visible fabrication. And further still, there are others the progeny of these; which is the last progression of the divinities mentioned in this place by Plato. Hened, they are delivered anonymously; Plato by this indiatiner the dimimation of it as far as to the last division. For as in the Gods that are above the world, the partible proceeds from the total fabrication, and the series of himes terminates in this; after the same mammer also among the subhnary (iods, the progeny of Jupiter proced from the Jovian ordir ; amoner which proweny likewise, is the choir of partible fabrication. For the before-mentomed Deminges produciber somibles totally, it is nerewary that those deitios
 forent matures, and divide the salmanry wemeration into multitude. Hence Plato alone domominates them oblers, and dors not cmphy the eypronoms such as, and all, hecanse they asociate with all-varions diversity. la what has bern said therefure by Ilato, we hase the whole analorome hinedom, Heaven and Darth hasiner the first arrangement, but afterwards the smbmary hindemm, the celential, the Suturnian, ame the Dionssidal. Mut betwern these two himedoms, thr order of Ocean is first arsumed, as definine and divtimainhine all the proseresions from the fathers, as canos, and as itwelf abiding and at the same thar procerolime, amalogously the intellectual hypontasen of themis. What occasion therefore, is there, to proced any farther, simer all the rowermments are lore delivered, and each is congoined with its proper multude. For Haventerminates, Earth coroborates, and Oce:m moves all weration. But 'lothy establishere wery thing in its proper motion ; intellectual esuonces in intellectual, midfle essences in peychical, and such as are corporeat in physieat, motion; Weran at the same time collectively mosinge all
 matic productive pinciphes ; Aupiter perfere himer apparent fom such as are map-
 And thos thronsh this comead all the subhuary word darisents complation, and
 angels, and darmomacally trom damoms; the Cods inderd substiner about bodies. sonls, and intellects; lint ander exhibiting their providence abont souls and bodies; and diemons being distributed about the fabrivation of nature, and the providential rare of berlies. But agam, the munber of the comeat is adapted to gemeration. For it proceeds from the amad ats far as to the cxtremities without retrouresion; which is the permbaty of ememation. For reasons (i. e. proluctive principles) fill into matter, and are mathe to convert themselves to the principles of their

[^248]existence. Moreover, the duad being triadic, for three dyadic orders were assmede' manifests the complieation here of the perfect and the imperfect, and of bound with indinity. For all celostial natures are definite, and as Aristotle says, are always in the end. But things in generation proced from the imperfect to the perfect, and remede the same boundary indefinitely. Besides this, the tetrad arisime from the gencration of these divinities is adapted to the orders of the falricators of the subhmary resion; in order that they may contain multitude unitedly, and the partible impratibly ; and also to the natures that exist in arneration. For the sulhmary elements are four; the seasoms accordiner to which generation is evolved are four ; and the centres are four. And in short, there is an abondant dominion of the tetrad in generation.

Why however, it may be said, does Plato comprehend all the multitme of the Gools that fabricate generation, in this emnead! I answer, lecanse this ennead fives completion to all the falmication of aeneration. For in the subhmary realms there are bodies and matures, sonls and intellects, and this both totally and partially. And all these are in hoth respecte in earlo of the elements.' becanse wholes and parts are commbistont with each other. Heavon and Earth howeser generate the unapparnt esomere of these, i. r. of wholes and parts, the former indeed according to mion, but the latter arcording to multiplication; and the furmer according tobound, but the latter according to intinity, being the leaders of essence to all things. But Weran and Trelys give perfection to both the common and divided motion of them. There is however a different motion of different thinse, viz. of total intellert, of total sonl, and of total mature, and in a similar manner in such of these as are partial. The subhunary wholes therefore, being the adorned and distributed, Saturn indeed, divides partial from total natures, but intellerthally ; Rheacalls forth this division from intellectuals into all-various progressions, as far as to the last forms of life, beiner a vivitie deity; but lhoreys produces the Titannic sparation, to physical productive principles. After these three are the fithers of composite natures. And Jupiter indeed, adorns sensibles totally, according to an imitation of Iteaven. For the Jupiter in the intellectual order, procects analorous to the intellectual Heaven, in the royal series. But Juno moves wholes, fills them with powers, and evolves according to every pro-

[^249]gression. And the Gods posterior to these fabricate the partial works of sensibles, some according to one, but others according to another peculiarity, cither demurgid, or vivific, or perfective, or connective, being evolved and dividing themselves, as far as to the lat of theses, analoremaly to the samian order. For the divideing peculiarity originates for the Saturnian dominion.
"When therefore, all such (indus as visibly revolve, and such as become visible when they please, were ernerated, he who produced this universe thus addressed them."

Plato having comprehended in what he has said, all the mundane Gods, both those in the heavens, and then that pros ice over ermeration, and having produced the on from the demiureric monad, some nomadically, but others helndomatically, and others according to the number of the meal, amain courts them to the demimrece caner, collects them about the one father, through whom they are all allied to each offer, and fill- then with demine_ic intellections; in order that they may in tate the provide nee of the father. And this indeed, will be hereafter manifest. New however, it is evident that be calls the celestial Gonds these that visibly revolve. For their vehicles are sular-form, and instate intellectual aplenitor.

But why does Plato denominate the sulhmary deities " such as become apprent when they please." Shall we say it is because these material cements are hurled forth before the m :a wis' of the splemer of the ethereal vehicles which are proximately amended for them? Far it is evident that being mandate they must also meresarily have a mundanestary which. The light of them however, shines forth to the sew, when they are about to lame tit the places that receive their illmmation. But if Plato says that they become sishle when they please, it in necessary that this appearance of them should either le an evolution into light of the incorporeal powers which they contain, or of the bodies which are entirely -pred under them. Bat if it is an evolution of their incorporeal powers, this is also common to the visible (bods. For they are not always apparent by their incorporeal pence, but only sometime, and when they phase. It is not proper therefore to divide the sublunary appositely to the visible (binds, according to that which is common to both, bit so far as they hate ratite something peculiar. But if they produce a laminae evolution of certain bodies when they please, they must necessarily use other bodies prior to these material elements ; and which then

[^250]Imecome visible to us, when it seems fit to the powers that nse them. Hence, other bodies more divine than such as are apparent, are spread under the invisible Gods; and accordine to these, they are said to be, and are mundane. Throurh these aloo as media, they ride in and erowern theere elements. For they impart to them a much of themselves as they are able to receive, and contain the forms and the natmes of them in their peowers. For since no one of these is an object of nemse, and it is necessary that the whicles of rational somb should be thiners of this hind, it is evitent that they must ase other vehicles prior to there visible borlies. Farther still, if in short, no body is suepended from them, it wonld be wonderful, sime the colostial somb use bodies, that these divine subhuary sombs shonld be exempt from all hodies, and this thomeh they are mumbane. But if they also have somethiner corporeal, they either have theor visible herdies, or other hodies prion to thene. Aud if the former, how in it pesible they can ascond into [or be immediately commeted with] lwolies which are gemerated and eorruptible! For it the ere bodios hase a semsible perception of the preternatural dieposition in them, in consequence of pereevines the lite of the powers that use them, they will not suflier them to reman memplowed. But if they have not,' they will not be ammaks. Fore erry amimal is storitise, aceordine to Plato. Hence atso, he imparth to pants a sense of the lant himd, and calls them animals. But that every thine whel is susproded from somb, is animated and an aminal, is also again assertad by Plato. litherefore, sumborlies as are the oljocets of sense, are [immediately] surpended form the divine subhonary somb, it is dubions how this is possible. They haw beretore, other bodies prior to these. And this is what Plato manifots when he satys, "that they beceme apparent acken they please," at other times being invisible to is. And thas much concerning the words of the text.

With reweret however, to all the Gods that govern gemeration, we must not saty, that they hand an eseace mineldel with matter, as the stoies assert they
 windom, bur is properly a preducing calles, hat an orsan of somelhing else.


 their eaternally proceediner rneribs: since a partial atoll ato prior to the life which is insorted in the amimal smpemped from it, contams a more principal life in itself; and prior to the extemalty procerdiner motom, throngh which it moves other things, it is moved with a motion converted to itself. The sublunary Gods

[^251]therefore, are entirely uniningled with matter; adorning indeed things mingled in an ummingled, and things gemerated in an unbegotten manner. They likewise contain partibles impartibly, are the canses of life, the stppliers of intellect, the replenishers of power, the givers of soul, the primary leaders of all grood, and the sources of order, providence, and the best administration. They also wive subsistence to more excellent animals about thomorlses, are the traders of amsels, the rulers of demons, and the prefects of heroes ; woserning throngh this triphe army the whole of rencration. If therefore, we assert that the appropriate orter of these divinities about generation, is the basis and seat of the total forls, we shall speak righty. And we shall likewise not err in asserting that they convolse the end of the divine decrement to the beginnimg. Sach then being the nature of these divinities, Plato interel looking to the (rods that are both intellisible and intellectaal, and to those that are properly called intellectmal, surseyed four progressions of them in common. But they also contain powers derived from the supermmadane Gods; whether they proced from the twelve headers, or from certain other deities.

From the celestial choir of Gods likewise, a certain order proceeds into gemeration, whel, as the divine lamblichus says, is doubled in its progression. For from the twenty-one leaders, furty-two government, of Cods whe are the fabricators of generation, are demed according to vach elementary allotment. But from the thirty-six decadarchs, sewenty-twa sublunary rulders proced; and in a similar manner other Gouls; beiner the domble of the cellestial dods in multitule, but falling short of them in power. It is hhewise meassary to survey their triple progressions, their quintuple disisions, and their disime seneration accordiner to the heldomad. For they receive an orderly distribution in a thecfold, fivefold, and sevenfold manner, analogous to the whole world; in order that eath of the elements may be a world, and may be truly an imitation of the universe. Such therefure is the concise doctrime concerning the sublumary Gods, according to twofold essenees, lives, and allotments; just as Plato also makes the ruliner progeny of them to be dyadic.

In the next place, let ins consider the words of the father, and what that is, for the sake of which they proceed. The words therefore, are neither those which proceed through the month : for this is fureinn from an mmaterial and appate essence; nor physical, for the se are not primarily imparted to momdane natures by the Deminrgus,

[^252]but by the one nature of the miverse; nor peychical, for the one soul of the world, and all such other somls as hase a gemeration, antocedently comprehend these ; nor intellectual: for in short' the intellert of the miverser, and all the intellects that conslitute it, comprelend these in themselses. But it must be sairl, as that which is worthy of the speater, that they are demiureic and divine, ramsing all the erourated Gods to be demineri, and to be characterized by the power of the spratine God. For words are commonications of powers from first to secondary mathes, and of the divine providence and admirahle life, which the Demiorans of wholes, antecedently comprehends in himself. Such therefore are, as we havesail, the words.

The end of them howeser, is to rebder the Gods hy whom they are received, demiorgic. For as the reasons [or prohluctive powers] which proced from art into matter, make artificial forms: those that procerd from nature, physical; from soul animated; and from intellect, intellectual; after the same manner the reasons [or worls], that proced from the Giods, make all the senera that are obedient to them divine. But since of the Gods themselves, some are of the deminerge, and others, of the vivitie order, some are characterized by an immotable and pure life, but others hate some other characterivie property,--hence the form of the words, is defined accordiner th the peculiarities of the - patakers. For it is rither demime gric, or the canse of a disine life, or the sugplicr of immutability and purity. Hence, since he who now delisers the words is the Deminerns, the words proceed characterized oy demimesic: power confomatly to the peculiarity of the spaker, and render the recipients of them Deminerg. For thomeln there are diffrent order of the mundane (;ods, viz. demiurgic, visife, connectedly-containine, perfective, ruardian, jodicial, and cathartic orders, in the same manner as there are of the Gods establinhed ahove the heavens; since the former procced according to the latore yet at the same time, all of them participate of all powera. Diflirent Gods however, are defined more or less ly a difierent peculiarity. Hence, each participates of deminsic power, so far as all of them are co-arranged with the demimeric monad ; of vivifir power, of far as they are illminated by the vivific fountain; and in a similar mamer, in the other powers. If, however, the speaker was a vivific God, we should say, that he filled his anditors through his worls, with divine life. But sinere he whe delisers the spereth is the Demiurgus, he imparts to the Gods the demiurgic pecoliarity, disorminates his one fabrication into the multitule of mundin. (iods, and renders thm fabricators of other mortal genera, he himelf heing etermally established in his own place of survey, according to divinely-inspired poetry, on the summit of Olympus. Such

[^253]therefore are the words, and this is the end of this demiurgic speech. It now remains that we should proced to the developement of the words themselves.

## " Gods of Gods."

The scope of this speech is to insert demiurgic power and providence in the mundane genera of Gods, to lead them forth to the graeration of the remaining kinds of aninals, and to place them ower mortats, amalogonsly to the father of wholes over the one orderly distribution of the universe. For it is necessary that some thines should be primarily gromerated by the demineric monad, and others throngh other media; the Domiuress indeed, produciner all things from himself at once and etermally, but the thines produced in order, and first proceeding from him, producing torether with him the natures ponterior to themselves. Thus, for instance, the cellestial produce subhmary dows, and thener generate mortal animals; the lemiurgus at the same that fabricating there in conjunction with the celectial and smblomary divinitios. For in - peothiner he mederstants all thines, and by understandiner all thing he aloo mahes the mortal ine mera of amimals: the ee reguiring another proximite robleratine cance, of far as they are mortal, and throtish this recriviner a progresion into leiner. But the character of the words is embasiastic, shiming with intellecthal intuitions, pure and werable as beiner perfected by the father of the Gods, dillering from and trancernding human conceptions, delicate and at the same time antomishing, full of erace and beanty, at once concine and perfectly arcurate. Plato, therefore, particularly studies these things in the imitations of disine sperches; as he also evinces in the Repullic, when herepresents the Muses spating sublimely, and the prophet asecodiur to a lofy arat. He also adorns looth these jeeches with eoncisemess and semerableness, employine the accurate pewers of colons, directly hadowing forth disine intellections throngh such a form of words. But in the words before us he omits no transemblency either of the grand and rolust in the sentences and the names adapted to the we devices, or of magnitude in the conteptions and the figures vaide give completion to this idea. Bosides this, also, much distinction and purity, the mbedeling of truth, and the illustrome preregratives of heanty, are minghed with the ideat of marnitude, has beine repecially adapted to the subjeet thinso, to the speaber and to the hearers. For the obierts of this speceh are, the preftetion of the universe, an assimilation to all-perfect ammal [i. e. to its paradien], and the generation of all mortal animals; the maker of all things, at the same time, presulsisting and
adoming all things, throngh exempl transcendence; but the secondary fabricators addiner what was wantiner to the formation of the miverse. All, therefore, be ines great and divine, as well the persons as the things, and shimer with beanty and a distinction from each other, Plato has employed worls adapted to the form of the spereh.

Homer, ako, when emereizine enthmiastically, represents Jupiter speaking. convertine to himerlf the two-fold co-ordinations of Gods: becomine himself, as it were, the centre of all the divine gemera in the world, and makine all things oberlient to his intellection. But at one time he conjous the multitude of Gods with himself without a medinm, and at another throngh Themis as the medium :

> But Jove to Themis gives command to call The Gods to council."

For this Goddess provadine every where collects the divine number, and converts it to the demiurgic monad. For the Gods are both separate from mondane athairs, and etemally provide for all thans, beine at the same time exempt from then throush the highes transemdeney, and evendine their providence everywhere. For thair mmineled mature is not withont prosidential enerey, nor is their providence mingled with matter. Throneh transerndeney of power they are not tilled with the subinets of their sovermont, and thromb heneficent will, they make all thiness similar to themselwe; in promanently abidine, proceeding, and in beiner separated from all thines, ine ine smilarly present to all thanes. Since, therefore, the Geds that suvern the world, and the damons the attembants of these, receive ahter this manur maningled purity, and provilential administration from their father; at one time lie converts them to himself without a medinm, and illuminates them with a sparate, ummingled, and pure form of life. Whence also I thinh he orders them to be sparated from all thinrs, to remain exempt in Olympes, and mither consert themselves to (irechs nor Barbarians; which is just the simme as to say, that they munt transeend the two-fuld orders of mmedane natures, amb abinle immatably in unde filed intellection. But at another time he conserts them to a providential attemtion to secondary matores, throush Themis, and ealis $\quad$ pon them to direct the mumane bathe, amd excites difierent Gods to diflerent works. These divinities, therofere, espectially require the assistance of Themis, who contains in herself the divine laws, according to which providence is intimaty connected with wholes. Homer, therefore, divinely delivers twofold speeches, accompanying the two-fold energies of Jupiter; but Plato,

- Hiad. x > . v. 6.

Sin. I'lat.
through this one spech, comprehends these two-fold modes of discourse. For the Deminerous renders the Giods mmingled with secondary natures, and canses then to proviar for, and wive existeme to mertals. But he urders them to fabrisate in imitatw of himself: and in an ingunction of ahis hind, hoth these are com-

 command to tathiate, nomian, and interane motal matures. Or rather, we may survey both in each; for in initather the Deminrens, they provide for seondary natures, as he does fur the immortals; and in tatmating they are separate from the things fabricated. For enery demineric camae is exmpt fom the thines generated by it ; but that which is mingled with and tilled from them is imlneile an 1 ineflicacions, and is mable to adom amb fatmicate them. And thems moch in common respecting the whole of the speach.

Let us then, in the first place, comsider what we are to muderstame by " (iods of Gods," and what power it pernene ; for that this insocation is collective and convertive of multitule to its momad, that it calls mpards the matures which have proceeded to the one fabrication of them, and inwerts a bomolary and divine meanare in them, is char to those who are mot rotirely mangminted with such-like diseourses. But how those that are allotled the world by their father are called Gods of Gads, and acoordine to what romoption, cannot easily be indicated to the many; for there is an mufoling of one divine intelligence in there names. Hence thromb this ranse, some comjoin the words " of Gods" with what follows, "ronconsly mating the whole to be, "! the Gods of whom I am the Demintens." For it is not propur to repreant the Demingus as the fabribator of some thiners hin the father at whers, and these
 the fabriator of (iods, but the father of worhs, mparates the latter frem the former, as ditliont, and less homoralhe. ['lhey do not bowenor suath rizhtly; for the patermal is more vemerable than the demime chameteristic. Neilher is it risht to introdnce these repretitions where the dietion is continurd. For when the intermediate words are many, then there is ocea-ion for thi paremhenis, but otherwise, the thing is sumerthous. Others say, that the momdane Gonls are of the intelligithe (iods, as $l_{\text {eing the }}$ inages of them, junt as the whole world is the statue of the etemal diods, aroortiner to Timans. Neither howerer, da these apeak rightly; because they do mot asort any thing prentiarly illustio wo the Gooks. For in a similar mamer what Plate sals would be alapted we every
 it were reguinite to refer to them the words "of Giods," I should think it would be-
mather proper to call then Cods of the God, as beine alone produced by the one Demineres, mortal natures not beine produced by him: since he who speaks is the Demineras himedf. Hence it wonld be more proper to speak in the singular, than in the pharal number. But others say that the most total mities of the mundame Gods, are called by the father, "Gods of Gods," hearders of headers, and kings of hings, as beine amalogous to the father himself; becanse he abo, according to the poets, is the father of fathers, and sureme of rulers. To these however, it he easy to reply, that I'lato delisers the Demiursus speating to all the mundane Gods, or attendants of the Gods, and not to some of them alone. For if hoth such Gods as visibly revolse, and such as hecome vishbe. when they please, collect themetves about the onfe Demiurgas, and the Demiurgus says these thines, to all then Gods themseles it is not proper to maderstand the words "Gods of Gods" partially, as applicable to the leaders alone.

If therefore, none of the above montioned assertions are conformable to the conception of Plato, we must show what his conception is. It will here however be better to recur to the explanation of these words by our preceptor. He says therefore, that all the mundane Gods are not simply Geds, but that they are wholly (inds which participate. For there is in them that which is separate, invivible, and supermmdane, and also that which is the visible statue of them, and which has an orderly establishment in the worl. And the invisible nature of them indered, is primarily a (iod; for this most now be underionorl, as that which is indivisible and one. But this vehiche which is suspended frem their invisible momere, is semondarily a Gent. For if with rexpect to us, man is twofold, ome inward, accordiner to the soul, the other apparent, which we see, much more mut both these be asorted of the mundane Gods; divinity in them being two-fold, one mapparent, and the other apparent. This beine the case, we must say, that " Gods of Gods" is addressed to all the mundane disinities, in whom there is a connection of mapparent with apparent Gods; for they are Gork that participate. In short. since two-loh orders are produced by the Demingres, somobeiur supermurdane, and others mumane, and some lesing without, and othra with participaton [ot bocis], if the Deminrgus now addressed die supermundane orders, he would have alone said to them "Gods:" for they are without patticipation [i. e. without the proticipation of body,] are separate and umap-parent;-bun siace the sperch is to the mundane (iods, he calls them Gods of Gods, as being participated by other apparent divinities. In these also damons are comprehended; for they in... are Gorls, as to their order with respect to the Gods, whose peculai y they indivisibly parlicipate. Thus also Plato, in the Phadrus, when he cants the twrlve Giods the leaders of damons, at the same time
denominates all the attendants of the divinities Gods, adding, "and this is the life of the Gods." All these, therefore, are Gouls ' of (iods, aspossessing the apparent connected with the unapparent, and the mmolame with the suprmmedane. And thus moch concerning the whole meanine of the words.

It is nocesary however, since we hate said the words are deminergic or fabricative, that they should be recemed in a manner adapted to deminreric providence. But if these words are intellectnal comeptions, and the intellectual conceptions themselves are productions, what shall we say the Demineras effects in the mul-
 must say that this encres of his is deitie! For this ond divine intelleetmal conception which is the tirst and most simple proceednes from the Deminerens, deifies all the recipionts of it, and mahes them deminner Coods, participated Gods, and Cods invisible and at the same time visible. For thin, as hav leren said, is the meaning of "Gods of Gids." For the term Giods is not alome adapted to them ; since they are not alone invivilhe; bur the wort Gods twiere rmmeiated, as if some one shond say Gods and fiods; for ewry bond of this hind is artificial, and forvign


It is alse neressary to whorre in order to mahe the interpretations rencordant, that every mumdame bed hats an anmal smonded from him, aceording to which $^{\text {and }}$ he is denominated mondame. He has likewine a divine soml, whieh rules over its dependiner veliele; and an immaterial and separate intelleet, aceording to which he is mited to the intelligille, in order that he may imitate the word in which all the ace are contamed. And by the amimal smoproted from him, ler is indecd a part of the sem-ible minarar ; but by intellect he firloners to an intellisible esornce ; and by and he conjoms the impartible life which is in him, with the life that is divisible almut body. Sucis a componition however beine triple in each mundane Cod, meither dors Plato here deliver the: D،ominerne spating to intellects ; for intellects subsist in unproceding union with the divine intellect, and are entirely unlueroth-n; but soul is the first of gemerated nathers; and shortly after the Demiurens addresos these when he says, "since ye are senerated." Nor does be represent the Damingris as speahing only to the animats which are smopended from the sombs of there fiods; for they pertain to corpurall natures, and are not adapted to enjoy the whe demingere intelligenee, withent a medinm. Nor yet
 immortal; but the: Gods whom he now adfleesses are said by him not ${ }^{2}$ to be in every ropuet immortal. If therefore it be reminate for me 60 sily what aprars to

[^254]me to be the truth, the words of the Demiurers are addressed to the composite from soml and animal, viz. to the animal which is divine, and partakes of a soml. For intellect dors not how the deminersic: will throush reasen, but thromis intellipence, or in ohere words, themeh intellectal vision; bor thronsh conversion, but throush a mion wiht that intednet whirh ranks as a whole, as heiner itself intellect, and as it were of the same colome with it. But sonl as being reason, and not inteltet itself, requires appropriatoly to its mences the enerey of teason, and a rational conversion to the intelligibn.' 'To these therefore, as beiner essenthally ratomal, and as heing esomtiallized in reasons, the demintrie sperch proceeds. And it is aliphed to them in a twofold respert, tirst, as beine participated by bodies; for they are (iods of those (;ods: and secondly, as particppatine of intellects; for they are (iods of [viz. deribed from] intellects which are ahon (inds. And they participate of inteflects, and are participable by bedies. Hence the assertions that they are gemerated, and that they are not entirely immortal, and every thing else in the speech, are appropriately adapted to them, so far as they have a cortain co-ordination and comexion wih mumbane natures, and so far as they are participatod by them. But the mandates " learn and gencrate," and every thing else of this himd which is more divine than generated natures, are adapted to them as intellectarl essences.
"Of whom I am the Demiurgus and father of works, whatever is generated by me is indissoluble, such being my will in its fabrication."

Plato as I may summarily say, appears to sise a triple division to the enersy of the one Deminerns in his total production of the jumior (iods, viz. a divisinn into the deitic, into that whirh imparts connexion, and into that which supplies a similitude to amimal itself. For the address of the Jemimere evines thore to be Gods that proced from him. But the assertions reperetine the indis-oluble and dissolahbe, by detimine the measure of a medhan betwen these, impart a distribotion and commexion commensurate to the order of the mmedane (ionls. And the worts calling on them to the fabrication of mortal nathers, canse the on to $\mathrm{Im}_{\mathrm{o}}$ the sources of periection to the minorse, and the fatricators of secondary animals, conformatly to the imitation of the paratiem. But thromph these three vorestes the Demingrus elevates his offopring to all the intelligible Gods, and rstabliahes them in the intelligible triads. In the one being indeed, [or the summit of these

[^255]triads] throurh the first of these energies; for that is primarily deified, in which the one is deity, but being is the first participant of it. For the one if esff is alone deit?, without habitude to any thing, and is mot participable ; bot the on being in which there is the tirit participation is God of God. And being in drity as the summit of all beins: ; but the one of it is deity as proceediner from the ome itself,
 $\therefore$ ablishes his ohlimine in the recomd of the intellizible trials, i. e. in eternity

 accordiner the the deminneic will, and have something of the indiswhble throurh the participation of him; the nathes which are primarity indiswhable beine diffirent from these, and thone that are tral! immontal sulsistiner for las sake. And he extablishes them in all-pertect amimal [or the third of the intellisible triads]
 dane Gonk, amd insorts in them the paratioms of ammals which they inemerate. Amd this imdeed, will he onf neope of fathication, the comserting and pertecting the procedine moltitute of the (iods. But atior the one there will be a triple design, which extablivhes them in the thee intellighle orders.

This second demingice intellisence therefore, after the tirst which is doific, illu-
 eternal essence, throngh which the whole worlal, and all the div ine allotmentesmbsist always the same, partiapating though the father of an immotable nature and undecaying power. Forevery hing which is genemted from an immovalbe callse, is indissoluble and immotable; but all the progney of a moneable canse are motable. Hence amoner mundane matures, such as proced from the deminersic cause alone, in consepurnce of being renerated accorting to an insariable sameness, are permanent, and are exempt from every matable and bariable exsence. But such as proced both from this canse, and from other moveable primeiples, are mdeed immotable so fir as they proceed from the Deminerns, but mutable so far as they proced from the latter. For those natures which the Deminergs alone generates, thes he fabricates immutable and indisoluble, both aceording to their own nature, and according to his power and will. For he imparts to then a guardian and preservins power, amd he conmeds theiresonce in a manner trancendent and except. For all thims are prexered in a two-fold reopert, from the power which lec contams, and from his providential goolluess, wheh is truly able and willing to preserve every thing whieh may be lawfully perpetually saved. The most divine of vi:ible natures therefore, are as we have sad from their own nature indissoluble;
but they are likewise so from the ifmiureric power which pertades throurh all things, and etronally comerts them. For this power is the graard and the divine law which connectodly contains all lhins. But a still greater and more principle canse than these is the demineric will which empleys this power in its productions. For what is superior to goodness, or what boud is more perfect than this, which imparts by illmmation umon, comects an ctemal essence, and is the lond and measure of all thiness in which also the lominerns now refors the canse of inmutable power, sayine, " such being my will in its fabrication." For he establinhed his own will as a drated over his own propre works, ats that which gises mion, connexion and measure to the whole of things.

Who the Demintrus however is, and who the father is, has been unfolded by us before, and will be now also concindy shown. There are then these four; father alone; maker alone; father and maher; maker abl father. And father indeed, is ather [or bound] being the first procession from the one. Father and maker is the disinity who subsists accordiner to the intellixible paradiem [at the extremity of the intelligilifo order, and whom Orpherus says the heseded (;ods call Phame lrotogoms. But maher and father is Jupiter, who is now ralled by hime self the DMminesus, but whom the Orphie writers would rall the father of works. And maker alome, is the cape of parthle fabrication,' as the same writers would say. 'Po fither atone therefore, all intellizihle, intellertual, supermmotane, and mumbane natures are in subjection. To father and maker, all intellectual, supermumdane, and mumdame natures are solomdinate. 'To maher and father who is an intellectual drity, supermmmbane and mondane natmes are sulserviont. But to maher atome, mumdane natures alone are in sulyection. And all these particnlans we hearn irom the narration of Orphens; for accomber to each perentiarity of the tour there is a suliject muhthode of Gock. But what are the works of the Demiurgus and father? Is it not esident, that they are all budies, the componition of animats, and the number of participated sonls. All these therefore, are indissoluble, thromer the will of the father. For this imparts to them the prower of immutable promanency, amd comerts and suards them with exempt transendeney. The intellects howover, which supernally ascend into souls, canot be said to la the ceorks of the tather. For they had not a demeration, but were unfolded into light in an unlewotten manner; being as it were fashoned within, and not procerding ont of the adyta. For neither are there paradigms of intellect, but of muldle natures, and such as are last. For soul is the tirst of imaces; but wholes, such as aminals, anmated natures, such as participate of intellect, and
such as are generated, derive their sulsistence from the intelligible paradigms, of which animal itself is comprehensive.
"Every thing therefore, which is bound is dissoluble, but to be willing to dissolve that which is beantifully harmonized and well composed, is the province of a!n evil nature."

It is requisite to consider how the dissoluble and indissoluble are asserted of the (iods, and to conjoin proper modes of solntion wilh appropriate bomls. For esery thiner is mot hound after a similar manmer, nor is that which is hombl in one way, disobled in dillimot wass. But that which is in a cortain repperthound, has alow its disolution accordmer th this modr. That which is in every reppect

 itself, has aloo on that its disodution depermeling. That lihersise which is bound in time, is atho disoolsed accombine to time. But that which is allotted a perpe-
 is conjoinal with erory lomd. For a bund is not minn withme maltume; since the one does not repuite a bond. Nor is it an assemblare of many and dillionent
 hind is confiniom; and that which rowlts fom them is one thing comsinting of things cormped towether, but dons not lecome beomd. For it is meremary that things that are bomed should remain as they are; bat not be bound when corrupted. Hence a bond then alone tahes place, when there are many things, and whith are premervel, having one power comective and collective of them, whether this power be corporeal or incorporeal. It this however lee the case, himes that are bomal are united throwh the bond, and sparated, lneamse each preserves its own proper nature.

Bury where therfore, as we have sail, a bond has also disolution comected whlt Bonds lumever and thoir disoblutons differ in subsisting in a certain repert, and simply, from themelves, and from others, accordine to time, and perpetmally. For in llune their diflimences comsist. We must mot therefore womber if the same thine is hoth diwolulde and indi-oluble; and if it is in a cortain re--pert indisobluble, and in a certain repere disobluble. So that the worhs of the tather, if they are indeed indisoduble, are so, as mot to be disolved acondiner to time. Bat they are dinuluble an having together with a bond, a spatation of the simple thines of whid they comin, acoording to the definite can-es of thinss, that are bound, evisting in him that binds. For as that which is self-subsistent is said
to be so in a twofold respect, one, as supplying a! things from itself alone, but another, as subsistint indeed from itself, and also from another, which is the came of it, thos also the indisolnthe is so, from another,' and from itself; just as that which is mosed is twofold, and sulsink in a smilar mamer.

To these two modes however, two modes of dissolntion are also npposed; viz. that which is dissohble fiom another and from itself is opposed to that which is indiswoluble from another and fromitwif. And the former inded, is disolnble in itself, as consistine of thinss that are sparate. But in consequence of having in something ofer prior to itsilf the famses of its subsinteres, by this cause, and aceording to this mode alome, it hecomes diswohble. Arain, that which is simply dissolnhle in a twofold re-pert, and which contams in itself the cause of its dissohation, and also receives it from another, is opiosed to that which is simply indissoluble in a twofold respert, from itwelf and from another. These therefore are four in number, viz. that which is simply indissohble from another and from itself. And again, that which is indisobluble after a certain manner in a twofold respect; that which is dissoluhle after a certain manner in a twofold respect; and that which is discolnble simply from itself, and from another. ${ }^{2}$ Of these four however, the first pertains to intelligibles; for they are indissoluble, as being entirely simple, and receivins no composition or disolution whatever. But the fourth belones to mortal matures, which are dicoolnhbe from themselves and from others, as consinting of many thinge and being romposed by their callses in such a way, as to be at a cortain time diwolved. And the midhtes pertain to the mundan Cods; for the second and the third of there four concur with them. For
 and they are sared fom thom-hose and thongh hiv will. And arain, they are in a certain respect disoolnble, becatise they are bound liy him: and he contains the productive principle of those simple matmes from which they are composed. Bery thing therefore which is bomm is dissoluble; and this is also the case with the works of the father.

For bodies indred, are bound through analory ; for thin is the most beautiful bond of them. But amimals are lomad with animated honds, as we have before observed. And sonis which contain something of a partihle nature are hound by media, [viz. ly geometrical, arithmetical and harmonical ratios i] for llato calls these and all the productive principles of which the soul consists, bomis. For impartible natures alone are umindigent of bonds; but those that are hound,

[^256]consist of things that have a separate subsistence; these not being separated according to time, but according to the cansal comprehension of simple natures in the Demiurgus. After this manner therefore, the dissolable of the mumdane Gods as from another, or rather as in another, snbsists. Hence they are in a certain respect, but by no means simply dissoluble. For if thes were dissolnble from themelres, but indissolnble according to the will of the father, as Scurms, Atticus, and Platarell are acowtomed to say, against whom many arguments are adduced by many; if this were the eave, whence did the! derise the beine disoluhbe from themselven! For if diwolution is natural to them, who imparted to them thin natural powar? For it was mot any other than the 1)eminerns. If howeror, lar is the alpplieq of mature to them, her also is the catse of the ir disolution. But if the beine diomblabe is prematural to them, the contrary, the indinoluble, is natural to them. Aud if it in natural to them, they will posees the indionolable fiom themselver ; and the very exinternee of them will be a thing of this hind; in the same mamer as welfotion is matural to somb, levity to fire, and to every thing, that which necessarily exists in it esoentially ; wo that the mundane Gods will perses this from themselacs. But I say from themelves, becane they will pusars it from the ir proper composition, which they are essentially allotted; for they will not porers it an wifobleintent. It is ridiculons therefure to say, that beine di-molable of thematves, they are abone indimoluble through the will of the father, which there men asert to be the ease, in consequence of wishine to prowere the asion, that erery thine wermated has cormption, and who aloo contend that the wotd was wermede lat Timans hould appear to contradiet suerates, whom he had heard on the precedine day admitting that the umberothen, is incormpthle. Hence, if the weme of the mumane Gods is of itself indissoluble, it in ato of itself mberotlen, and not alone throngh the will of the father. For males we admit this, how ran we acoorl with Plath, who makes a twofold indisobluble, one accordine to natme, but another according to the demineric will! Aud, if we deny this, must we not also speak impionsly of the Deminegs himalf? For if beine williner to mahe his fabrications indissolable, he doce not pressess the powir of eflecting this, we munt separate his will from his pewor, which would be absurd, since this does not tahe place with worthy men. But if he is lath willine amd able to make these imdinobluble, being able, he will make them to be indisobluble ; wo that the indiswoluble in them is according to nature. For each of them was gromated indissoluble, but was

[^257]not ${ }_{\text {generated dissoluhle, and afterwards was made indissoluble since the works }}$ of the father are indiscoluhbe through the prower which he contains. They are lihewise indissoluble from the deminmic will, since they are of a composite nature, and possess the indiscolnhle with a hond. But there is likewise in a certain respret a dissolution of the $m$, so far as tirey concist of things of a simple nature, of which the father contains in himself the deffinter camses. At one and the same time therfore, they are indisolnhbe aml disolmble. They are not lonwever, so indissolnhle a the intellishble; for that is indivoluble through transrendeney of simplicity. But there are at the same time indisondoble and dissoloher, as comsistiner of simple natures, and as heine perpenally bound. For all the natures that are bound beines lisoolnhle, such as are perpethal, persesesing through the whole of time leanty from the intelligible, disine mion and demiursic harmony, are indissolnble. But mortal natures are dissoluble alone, becanse they are connected with the deformity and inaptitule of matter. And the former imbed, are beantifully harmonized through the union inserted in the m by their harmomizins canse; but this is not the cand with the latter, on account of the multitude of cames which no lomerr insert in them a similar union;' for their mion is disipated throush the multitule which is minghed in their composition ; so that they are sery properly alletted a remitted harmony.

Hence, mory thiner whirh is bound is dionduble. IBut ome thing is thus dissoluble and indisonhble, and another is di-solnhle only, just as the int iligible is alone indiscoluble. Why therefore, is that which is primaty homend at one and the same time dissolnble and indicobluhbe? Beraure it is betutifutly harmonized, and is arll composed. For from bive ach compoed it othatus mion; since
 thence beanty is derived. And from fabricatiner power it ohtans harmony; for this is the came of the Muses, and is the sourer of hammaral arrangement to mundame natures. Hence we arean have the three cance, the final brourh the acll, the paradismatic throush the beautifully, and the demingic throngh the hammmizerl. But it is necessary that a composition of this kind, harmonized by the one fabricatinr power, filled with divine beanty, and obtaining a boniform umion, shomid be indissoluble; for the Demiurgus says that to dissolve it is the province of an evil nature.

[^258]Moreover, prior to this Plato had said, that the universe is indissoluble excepte by him ly whom it was bound. If howewer it is entirely imposible for the univere to tre dionolved hy any other, but the father alone is able to dissolve it, and it is imporsihle for him to efleet this, for it is the province of an evil nature, -
 some other. But if nome ether, who is that in ahbe to other violence to the Demiurgus? Por it is impossible that a disobhtion of it should be eflieded eacept by him that hound it. But it he disolve it, how beiner erod, can he disoolve that wheh is beantifully harmonized and wedl comporad! For that which is subsertive of these, is productise of exil; just as that which is subsertive of evil is allotted at treneficent nature. Hence, there is an equal meressity that the Jeminerns shouhlore deparad, if it be lawlial so to spak, or that this world should be dinolued [wiz. each of these is equally impossible]. Such threfore is the mecesity which Plato ansigns to the incomptibility of the uniberse. Hence, that Plato gives the indisanduble to the composition of the mundanc Gods, he clearly manifests, when he orders them to bind mortal matures, not with those indissoluble bonds with which they are comerted. For if the comective bonds of these Gods are indissoluble, they themselves mast be essentially indisioluhbe. Here however he says that they are not in every respet indisolable. It is evident therefore from both these assertions, that they are indisoblable, and at the same time dissoluble, ${ }^{2}$ and that they are not in every respect indi-soluble in consegucuce of their being appropriately bound. But if these thing are trae, there is every necesoity that the dissolution of them should be very ditherent from that which we call corruption. For that which is disobluble atter such a manner ats the corraptible, in consequence of not being indisobluble, is so far from being not in cery repret indissoluble, that it is in every respert dinoluhte. Hence it is not proper to say that the mundane Gods are of themselves corruptible, but remain incorruptible through the will of the father; but we onght to say that they are in their own nature' incorruptible.
" Hence so far as you are generated, you are not immortal, nor in every respect indissoluble; yet you shall never be dissolved, nor become subject to the fatality of death; being allotted my will, which is a much

[^259]greater and more excellent bond than the vital connectives with which you were bound at the commencement of your gencration."

Since all the mundane Gods to whom these words are addresed consist of divine souls, and ambals suspended from them, or in other words, since they are prarticipated sonls, and since the Deminrgus denominates them indiscoluble and at the same time dissoluble, because the indissoluble of them is not intelligible, and their dissolubility is not mortal, but the former is through a composition ${ }^{\prime}$ from simple natures, of which the Deminrgus comprelends the separate causes, and the latter is through the immutable connexion of the bond, which the father inserts in them;-this being the case, he now wishes to collect into one point of view, and into one truth, all that he hall said separately about them. For at one and the same time he takes away from them the immortal and the indissoluhe, and again confers these on them through a subversion of their opposites. For melia are allotted this nature, not receiving the nature of the extremes, and appraring to comprehend the whole of both. Jnt as if some one should call the soul impartible and at the same time partible, as consisting of both, and neither imparthbe, nor partible, as being different from the extremes. For see how a middle of this hind may be surveyed in the mmadane Gods. That is primeipally and pimarily called immortal, which supplies ite elf with immortality ; since that ason is primarily being wheh is beene from itself; intellet which is intellect from itedf: and one which is from itedf one. For every where that which primarily posesese any thing is such from itself; since if it were not so from itself lont from annher, that wher woulh the primarily, either intellect, or life, or the one, or something elef : and either this would he primarily on, or if there is nothine primarily, the ascent will b - to intinity. Thus therefore, that is truly immortal which is immortal from itself, and which imparts to itrelf immortality. But that which is neither wital aremaliur to the whole of itwelf, uor alfombsistent, nor possesses immortahity from itwif, is mot primarily immortal. Hener an that which is secomdarily being is not le ing, so diat which is secondarily immortal is not immortal, yet it is not mortal ; for his is emtirely a defection or departure: from the immortal, ${ }^{2}$ neither peswessing a comasemt life, bur intinite power. For theme three are in a
 ceives infinite life from another; and that which neither from itself nor another

[^260]exhibits the infinity of life. And the first indeed, is immortal; the second is notimmortal; the third is mortal; and the middle is adapted to the mundane Gods. For they neither have the immortal fom themselves, so far as they derive it from that which is truly and primarily immortal, amd so far as bodies are suspended from them ; nor have they a finite lite; thet they ate filled indeed from the eternal Gods, am! poduce mortal natures. For the second falbrication is connected with the tirs, proceeds about it, is anemed by it, and refors to it the production of the mortal gemern.

Arain, whith respect to the imdisoblable, that which is principally and primarily so is simple and free from all composition. For where there is no composition what representation can there lo of disolution? But that is sacondarily indisoulnble which is indisobluble with a bome; which is at the same lime diwaluble in consequence of procedine from disided canses. For it is mot sumply disoluble, but dissoluble by its canse. For that which is bound priar to all time is alone bound according to canse; but that which is alome cansally bumb, is alone eansally dissolved. Forevery thing is alapted to be dimolved, hy that lyy which and atter the manner in which it is hound. . Ind the third from that which is properly indissoluble, is that which was indisobluble tor a centan time, beanse the first indeed, is properly iudissoluble in conjunction with simplicity; but the second is subordinately so, wether with composition; and the third, falling ofl from both, is in its own mature disobluble.

Neither therefore, are the mundine Ciods entirely indisoluble; for this pertains to the most simple natures. Nor are they dissolnble acourding to time ; for the composition of them proceeds from the demiuruic union. As therefore in the canse, mion precedes things of a simple nature, atter the same manner here also, a bond precedes dissolution; for it is more excellent, and the resemblance of a more disine power. Aud this is seen in souls; for there were bonds and media in them, as has been before observed in the generation of the sonl. It is also seen in bodies; for amalory is a bond. And likewiee in ammals; for being bomal with animated bonds, they became amimats. IHence, the immortal and the indissoluble do not entirely pertain to the mundane Gods; yet at the same time they do pertain to them. And becanse they are not in every respect present with them, nor in such a manner as in intelligibles, immortality must be taken from them. For in the Banguct aho, Plato does not think fit to call Lose immortat, yet he does not denominate it mortal; but asserts it to be something between both these. For there is a great extent of the mortal and immortal, and they are bound together by many media. It appears likewise, with respect tw the
immortal, that one kind of it is common to all the heings that differ from a mortal nature', and which comsints in mot heing deprimed of the life which it possessee. According to this sense of the word, Plato says that the Demiureus is the cause of immortal natures ; lut the junior Gods, of such as are mortal. But another hind of the immortal is the pecteliarity of intelligibles, beiner eternally so. And another belonss to the mundane Gods, which is an immortality perpetually rising into existence, and having its sulsistence in always becoming to be. Hence, it may be said that the immortal and mortal are oppositely divided without a medium, if the common signification of the immortal is assumed; and that they are not opposed to each other without a medinm, if that which is primarily immortal is considered; and this is that which is always immortal. For the medium between this and the mortal is that which is always becoming to be immortal. But that which is properly immortal possesses the whole of its life in eternity. That however which has its life evolsed through the whole of time, and has not * always one and the same indivisible life, this poseceses an immortality co-extonded wilh the flux of meneration, hut is not immortal accordiner to the stability of bering. And apain, the modimm betwern the immortality of the momdane Gois and that of partial sonks, is that which has a life always rising into existence, and which ascembs and rlescends in intellectual energy, so as to he mearer to mortal natures, leaving indeed a more cacellent inWellection, but transferrine ikeli into one that is subordinate, and again recuring to its pristime combliton without ohlision. And of these, the former inderd, is the pecoliarity of the mumbane Gods; but the latter of diemons, the attendants on these (Bods. But it the nature which remains is filled with ohbivion in deseending, becomes mox poximate to mortals, rntirely destross the true life which it con-
 topartial wols. Hence, the Deminerse in his opech calls the immortality in these homonsmons to that of the immortals. If hewerver there is any matare afier
 marily immortal and the mortal are the extremes. But the immortality of the mondane Gods, and that of partial swols, are the sub-evtrmes. And the immortality which is truly the mediem between these, is that of damons. Hence tom, diemons are in reality emirely of a middle nature. And thos murli monerrning the whole design of the words.

[^261]Let us however, if you are willing, concisely recur to particulars. After what manner therefore, weneration is adapted to the mundane Gods, I have frequently shown, and have observed, that it manifests composition, a life in conjunction with time, and a progresonon from another canse, and which is co-extended with the whole of time, but in not alwas [om has not anetmal subsistence?. But the words, " ye are not immortal, thr inthsutalle," manifest that they are gencrated im-
 alone; lut to be gemedated anch of thom, is adapted to those pellorated natures, whose lite is extendal "ith the whole of time. And not to be ratioly immortat, nor entirely indissuluble, delivers to us many specien of immortality. Plato therefore, shorily after calls divine somh immortal, and partiad amola homenymonsly
 fatality of death," tahe away trom the (iods adl the mortal-formed mathre, and a perpetaally consertible and mutable life. For mortality is an allotment of life, which is now minded with nom-lecine. And " the fatuthy of dath" asain occulty exhibits to us many differences of deallis. For the death pertaining to those who are called damons aceording to habitude is of one hind; that of partial souls is of a nother hind; that of amimats, of another; and that of ammated bodies, is different from all these. For the first of these imitates as it were, the casting off a gament; the second is aceompanied with sympathy towards the mortal nature, being the lapse of the soul into it ; the third is the dissobution of the body and soul from each other ; and the fourth, is the privation of the life which is in the subject body. But from these the mundane Gods, and essential damons, the attendants of the (;ods, are perfectly exempt. For cren the first hind of death is not adapted to these, as the divine lamblichos atso asserts, who preserves the demoniacal genus, truly so called, immotable. Why therefore, is there a bond of these.' Is it not beeause goodness, according to which the demiurgic will is defined, unites, and measurr's all things, and lath them to one conspiration? And it seems that according to this copecially, the mundane Gods are consummately produced. For it is a bond of bonds, heing superior ${ }^{2}$ to the thing. that are bound. But the word allothed exhibits the allotment of benedicent providence by the many Gods, from the one Demiurgus. lorbeing divided according to the allotments of Justice, they distribute the one and total providence of the father, and the one

[^262]bond which proceeds from the deminrgic monad. The celestial therefore, and in short, all the mundane Gods are meither imfisobhble nor dissoluble, lut are simply both. It is inferred however, that they are neither immortal nor indissoluble, from their being aenerated. For this is manifentel hy the words, "because you are gencrated." For every thing generated has a renovaterl immortality, and a bond imparted to it by somedhing different from itself, in conserpence of not beine able to commert or vivify itself. IBy arain, it is inferred, that they are neither di-solnble nor mortal, from the bonds which they essentially participate, and which they receive from the deminergic will. The latter howewer is manifested throngh union, but the former throngh multitude; since the paternal union is a bond of bonds, and is the monad of the union of the participated Gods.

## "Learn now therefore, what I say to you" indicating my desire."

The first address to the mundane Gods was deife of or deified the auditors; for it evinced all of them to be Gods, and to be participated by the bodies in which they ride. For these very bedies also are Gods, as loeiner the stathes of (iods; since Plato likewine calle the earth the first and most ancint of the Gods within the heavens. Bat these deilied bodies are partiefpants of the Gods truly so called, from whieh they are supernded, and which are prior to seneration. For these borioss hase, as he says, wemeration. But the second address to the mmdane Gods, inserted in them an ctemal power, throush the participation of an indissolahle connexion. Aud the present words fill them with disine and demiurgic concrptions, proceeding supermally from intelligible animal [the paradigm of the universe.] For the being instrueded in the fathication of animak, so far as it is mathesis or learniner, is adipted to somb. But these words fill the multitude of Gods with the demingeic intelligence of all the forms that are contamed in intelligible ammal. And throush the word mor indeet, the etcrmal is atter a manner indicated; throngh the word what the mited and convolsed; throush $I$ say, that which proceeds into multitode, and is disseminatod abont the many Gods; and throurh indication aplenitude derived trom intellirible and unapparent canses is signitied. For we only indicate in things mapparent to the multitude. But throngh all the words together, it is evident that the Demiursus establishes himself analogous to intelligible intellect, and fills the mundane number of Gods with intellectual conceptrons. Farther still, these words convert this multitude to the

[^263]one demiurgie intelligence, and prior to a providential attention to secondary natures, ilhminate it with ummingled purity and stable intellection. For as the Demingris makes by energizing intellectually, and generaties from inwart, externally proceeding energy, thos also he wishes the mondane Gods first to learn and noderstand the will of their father, and thes afterwards to imitate his power.
"Three genera of mortals yet remain to be produced. Without the gencration of these therefore, the uniserse will be impertect ; for it will not contain in itself all the gencta of ammals. But it onght to contan them, that it may be sufficiently pertect. Yet if these are generated and participate of hife though me, they will become equalized to the (iods."
 mundane natures as are perpethal, in an earmpt manner, hut lihewise to all mortal matures, according to one mited canse. For the itea of winged natures which is there, is the paratigm ot all wiged anmath whaterer ; the iblea wf the aymatic, of all aquatio ; and the inta of the pedeotrial, of all pedrental amimals. But the
 division of mited ideas, poduce imto multute total camos, and muthed the
 lectual came of all arrial amimals; since there is not a eparate intellection of
 similar mamer of terrestrial animats; but the power of ditiorernes [in the intellecthat arder] minutely distributes the whele into parts, and momads inte mumbers. Hence the callses of divine amimats, according to which the Deminerghe gives subsistence to the orders of Geds and damons that produce erencration, exist in him separate from the cames of mortal natures, acourdine to which he calle on the junior Gods to nemerate mortal amimals. For the Demiur
 thing is to be made. For the atords if the father are demiursic intellections, amd his intellections are creations; but a proximate creating is adaphed to the multitude of Gods. And again you see how the order of ethertibe and gemeratise caunes is unfolded into light. For the choir of mundate (iods produces inded mortal animals, but in conjunction with motion and mutation.' And the Demiurgus

[^264]abo prodnces them but by speaking, viz. by intellection. For lie speaks indeed, intelloctually pereoising, and momowably and intellectually. Animal itself also produces thelll for it contains the one canse of all winged, of al! aquatic, and of all terestrial animals. But it produces them with silence by its very essence, and intelligibly. For the deminge speed receives inded the paternal silence, but the intellectual production, the intelligible canse, and the generation which mblisists areordiner to enersiziner, the provilence acoordiner to evisture. Motion also receives the demiurgice words, bat the corderly distribution which is mineted with a semsible hature, receives the intellectual enerey. For the fabrications which exist at the extromity of things reguire a producing eamse of this kima. Eiery thing therefore ichich is mutable, which is chanced in quality, which is gencrated and corruptible, is gencrated fiom a cause, immorcable indect according to cssence, but moved acordinir to cnersy. For the motion which is there separated from cssence, hore produces an esscuce achich is mored. Hence, because that which makes, makes both according to essence and according to enerys, both which are as it were woven terether, matation of essence thence derives its progression. Mortal natures therefore revpire moveable canses, and those that are very mutable, many such camses. For it is impossible that these canses should remain only-begotter ; since the mortal penta wonld not hase an existence.

It is necesary however, that the mortal nature should exist, in the first place, in order that every thing may have a subsistence which is capable of being generated, viz. both perpetmal beinge, and those which at a certain time reave to exist. For leyond these is that which in no respect whatever is. In the next place, this is necessary in order that divine natures and being may not be the last of things; since that which is semerative of any thing is more excellent and more divine than the thing which it generates. And in the third place it is necessary, in order that the world may not be imperfect, not comprehending every thing, the canses of which are contained in anmal itself. For the winged which is there, is the canse of all winged natures, the aquatic of all aquatic, and the terrestrial of all terrestrial matures, whether divine or mortal. Hence Orpheus says that the vivific canse' of partible natures, while she remained on high, weaving the order of celestials, was a nymph, as lxeing undeliled; and in consequence of this connected with Jupiter, and abiding in her appropriate manners; but that proceeding from her proper habitation, she left her webs unfinished, was ravished, having been ravished was married, and being married generated, in order that she might animate things which have an adventitous life. For the unfinished state of her
webs' indicates I think, that the universe is imperfect or untinished as far as to perpetual animals. Hence Plato says, that the ome Demingrys calts on the many Demingi to weave together the mortal and immortal matures, after a mamer reminding us that the addition of the mortal senera is the perlection of the textorial lite of the , miserse, and also exeitime our recollection of the disine Orplac fable, and atherding us interpretation eamses of the untinished webs [of Proserpine].

The divine momber therciore, hats its proper boundary and end, and is perfect. But it is also necessary that the mortal nature should exist, and hase an appropriate limit; and this triply, acrially, annatically and torrestrially. For celeatially, is impossible, feramse the smmmit and the first groms of every ordar is madefiled and perpertat, in conseguence of lacing asmalated to the eamse which is prior twit. As therefore, the tirst of intrlloctuals is intellixible, and the tirst of angels is a Gorl, thes also the first of somibles is propetnal and divine. But in groneration the mortal is comected with the divine nature. Ilence P'ate denominates the mortad wererat the remamber, heing as it were the refine of the fabricat tion of the Gods, and dregn winerated lom the (iods themsehw. But how are these said to be not semerated? Is it as not being yet genmated? Fur beamse there is ordar in the things which rive completion to the uniserse, and the 1) minerons has detinitely made such of them ats are secombary in order, to differ from these that are prior to them, on this acconnt he says, that the former are not yet wherated, the latter prexexistins. Perhaps tow, after amother manmer they may Ine sad to $^{\text {me not generated, so far as they are prodnced by the demingic }}$ monad, and hy an immonable aml etomal eflective cheryy! but that they are mortal, so far as they are produced by the jmior (and. 'These howerer, participate of a certain perpetnity; Incanse they are incormptible inded, accordine to form or species, lat are indivihally corruptible. For in these, form is distinct from the individual, and the whole form is not containol in them, as it is in divine animats, and which are alone perpetnal; in conserpurnce of their inatility to receise the whole prowesoson of their paraliems. The perpetnity therefore, of mortal matures is derived from the one fabrication, horons which the form is inmmathe and one, and is the same in matny participants. But the matability arising from the fartible motion of the canses, changes the mature of the things produced. It is nocessary however, that the mortal nature shonld exist, in order that the world may be perfect, not divisibly indeed, on accome of the intedligible callse of it, but that it may at the same time, be all-variously impressed with

[^265]forms. For such things as the one cause of all winged natures comprehends, these the semsibld mature is alloted partibly, and the monad which is there, generates the nomber that is hree. But if these thines be admitted, the Deminrgus (intellectually proceising each of the mortal matures, so far as rach is mine otten, or without seneration, becanse be comprehends that which is mortal in an unbegotten manner,) not only possesses the four idras [contained in animal itself,] but the sub-divisions also of these, into the mberotten canses of immortal and of mortal natures. Intelligible amimal therefore, is one thing, and the intellectuat animal in the Demiurgus another; the latter employine more partial animals, which are more nmerous, but less in power, than intelligible animal.

Since however, there are many perfections of the world, for it is perfeet, and a whole of wholes, is perfect also from recoivine time, and is perfect from comprelendine all amimak, -hence Plato indieating what the form of this perfection is, adds, "that it may be suficicicnt! perfect." For it thus will tw all-perfect, through contanime in itself all animals, embosomine all intellizible and intellectual powers, and receiner the di-tributed imates of all-mited paradiems. Why therefore, some one may say, if it is uecessary that the world should contain within itself all animals, and likew ise mortal natures, lirough an assimilation to its paradirm, why, if this be the case, does not the Deminrens limself constitute these? IPato therefore, immediately sobioins the camse of this, by adding, "but these participating of life through me wil! become equal to the (iods." By which words he directly condirms what has been before dowerved, that every thing which is produced hy an immoveable canse, is muleretten and immotahle; but that a thing which is produced by an imonowable camse, thromel a canse that is moved as the mediam, is party mbegotten and partly mutable. For it receives from the immoveable canse mity, but from the moveable canse multitude; and from the former indeed existence, and form ; but from the latter individnatity, and the being exmerated, or hecoming to be; thanarh which it is preserved according to form, but perishes accortines to the indisidual. Since therefore, some one may say, the Deminrens himself combtitutes rational sonls, accordiner to which they hecome equalised to the Gods, how dors llato shortly after call these souls homonymons to disine sonls, according to the immortal? Must it not there-
 Demimern not sayine that they will be entirely equal to the Gorls, but that they will be sumilar to them? For that whieh is cqualiscot, passes into a similitucte to the equal. But the ergual is a symbol of the mondane Gods, as we may learn from the Parmenides. To which we may add, that the rational form of life when it is puribied, and becomes perfect, is divine; so that some persons do not refuse to call
it a God, through a divine nature being exerted in it, accoriling to which also it is conjoined with the truly-existing Gods. And thas moch concerning this particular.

It is likewise accurately said, "through me." For the mortal fremera are generated by the Demiurrins, but etormal natures through him. For lie pone sesmes according to one united canse, lnoth the hy arhich, and through arhich. And as father inderd, he produces all thing by himerlf; but as the deminerges he produces etermal matures through himseif. And mortal natures are eromerated in a disiled manter by him, bat through the junior Gods. The junior fabrication however mant not he derpined, leeamee it has the redation of thromgh which to the supermundane canse. l'or more proximate canses hase alwass the arder of through which, with reference to exompt productions. For matare is the arsan of the junior fabrication, and of nature asain, innate heat. Htnee, such I'latonists, as for instance the great 'lheodorus, as whyt to the first canse of all, the from which, and to which; but to inteflect, the on aceouth of which, and with relation to which; and to sonl, the by which, and according to ahich, introchuce inded, a certain division of names, which is not inelasant, but wander from the decinion of Plato. For he adapts to the deminrecic intelleet, the by which, and throush which, and it is not at all necessary to divide names accorting to the divine orders; exerpt that on account of which, denotes the final, but with relation to which, the paradigmatie cause. Nothing however hinders us from survesing all these in the Deminegus; as a God indeed, the on acconnt of uhich; for goodness is the end [of all thines]; but as intellective, the through which; for he produces throush intellective enoroy, the knowledge not being precedaneons, but contributing throngh iteeff to fibrication, energizing presiously aceording to intellect. Monower, the words participating of life, are very divindy added. For what if the whole elements should have been denerated by the Demingos, viz. fire, and air, earth and water, but at the same time without animation; would they in this case have been copalised to the Gods, in the same manner as we call the earth a God, and fire a God? By mo means. For it is soul which primarily deities tutal bodios, as it is satid in the latws. But if they were genarated through him and participate of life through him, they will have life and sonl. For (fus) life is in sonls. And if they have also animation in conjunction with wholeness, they will be equalised to the Gods. For when he first gave a sonl to the world, he then first celebated it as a blessed God, in consequence of soul possessing a deifying power, with reference to every thing corporeal, and beins ersemtially disine.

## " That mortal natures therefore may subsist, and that the universe

may be truly all, convert yourselves according to nature to the fabrication of amimals, imitating the power which I employed in your generation."

A twofold seope of fabrication is here delivered, one indeed providential, but the outher asimilation the one bwing inore proximate, but the other more total. For to falricate for the sahe of giving subsistence to mortal matures, indicales pronidener and the perfoction' of jower. For all super-pleniture of power is prolite of ollor thinge sulmordinate to itwli. But to babricate for the sake of givine rompletion to the miveree, imblicates an encrey acrording to assmilative power, in order that this miwerse may be rendered similar to all-perfect animal, in conserplene of twine adornerl with all the mombers of divine and mortal animald. For if all thines were immomtal, the most diviner of sensible natures would be muprolitio. Amb it the mineree was not filled with all the forms of life, it would new be perfert, nor sufficienty simitar th all-perfect amimal. That mither of thene defiets therefore misht happen, the first Dembursus excites the second fabmication sumernally from hiv own exalted phace of urver. He also pours on the mumdane Gods sivitis and drminesic power, throush which they semerate from themethes wemdary essences, fill hem with life, and sive them a specific dide inction. For the menlianty of vivitic daty is to vivify, the of deminrgic deity to be production of form. The expresion therefore "coneert yourseltes" is of an excitiner matmere, and is similar to the mandite of Supiter to the Gods in Ilomer.

Hater, th the Greeh and 'Trujan linals dectend.'
For as that calls them to the war of eremation, so this in Plato exeites them to the fahrioation of mortals, which they oflect thromgh motion. And this inded is accomplistied by all the momdane (iods, but expecially by the governors of the world for the planets], fur they are those whare comerted or turned, and in the most eminent degree ly the sovereign Sun. For the Demiurvis gave him dominion orer wholes, fabricated hin as a guardian, and ordered him, as Orphens says,

> _-_O'er all to rule.

The wordy likewise, "according to nuture," bound their fabrication according to meanure and the good; and besides this, spread under them all physical production as an instrument to their encrgies. This therefore, which is subservient to their will, they move and gewern. And in the third place, these words detine their subsistence as media; for it pertains to the middle to fabricate the extremes

[^266]according to nature. For hings which sometimes have an existence are suspended from those that are perpetmal acoording to time; and the lutter are suspended from etornal entities. And primary matmes inded are generative of media; but media are productive of such beinis an are last in the seribs of thines. The word "yourselves" also, which denotes mamal operation, excites the divine lives themselves to fabrication. Nor ought we to wonter whence demiurgic power is derived to divine sumb, this being the peculiarity of the superessentiat Gods. For as Orphens placine an intelleethal essence in Jupiter, remders it demiurgic, thus also Plato producing words from the father, evinces that the souls which rank as wholes are disine and demiurgic. Nor most we donht why of mandane natures' some are immortal but others mortal, since all of them are generated according to intelligible canses; for some of them proceed from one, but others from another proximate producing canse. And it is necessary to look to these, and not to paradigms alone. Normme we insentigate ideas of Socrates, Plato, or of any thing that ramhs as a particular. For the Demiorens divides mortal animals accordiner to genera, and stops at total intellections; and through these comprehends every thing of a partial nature. For an the Deminerns makes that which is material immaterially, and that which is gemerated ingenerahly, thus abo he produces mortal natures immortally. ${ }^{2}$ For he makes these indect, but through tha junior Gods; since prior to their mahing, lie made by intelleetion alone. Nor must we deny that mortal nathres subsist also disindy, and not mortally only. For the thing which the Demingras now extend in his spered are hyportases or sutsisting natures, abont the junior or mundine Gods, which the heavens primarily rective; and according to which the Gods fabricate the mortal genera. For the monads of ewry mortal-formed life proceed into the heavens from the intelligible forms. Bat from these monads which are disime, all the moltitude of material animals is generated. For if we adopt these conceptions we shall accord with Plato, and shall not wander from the nature of things.

Again, when the Demiurgus says," Imitating the poace which I employed in your generation," we must understand by this, that an assinilation to the one exempt fabrication of things, and a conversion to it, is the highest and of the second fatrication. For it is necessary that seli-motive' should folluw immoveable natures, and such as are very mutable such as are always mosed, and that there should be pergetually a serics of secondary beings assimilated to those that

[^267]are prior to them. Since however there was a divine will, and a divine power in the Demiurgus, he mfolds his will to the mundane Gods through learning; and through this perfiets their demiurgic will. But he mfolds his power to them through this imitation, according to which he orders them to imitate the power of the one llmingers, conformably to which they were wenerated by him. For by saying that wheh he wills, he imparts to them will ; and by saying that which he is able to eflect, he supplies them with power. And in the last place, he demonstates them to be aceondary fabricators, imitators of their father. Whether there fore there is a mundame power, or an eflicacious eneryy of damons, or a fortitude and supernatural strenth of heroes, to all this the Deminrgns gives subsistence, and imparts it to those that give completion to the whole of the second fabrication. For the first powr is in him, and the monad of deminreric powers. Since however, he is also intellect and father, all things will be in him, viz. father, the power of the father, and the paternal intedlect. Hence Plato was not ignorant of this division; and on this account the Demingrgs as being father, calls power his power. 'This also he manifests by addine, "ahich I employed in your generation." For the father is the canse of this in combuction with power; just as father here, in conjunction with the female, is the canse of the proparation of the human species. [For power is of a feminine characteristic.]
"And so far indeed, as among such of these as are always willing to follow justice and you, it is fit there should be that which is homomymous to the immortals,' wheh is called divine, and which has dominion in these, -of this I will deliver the seed and begimning."

The fabrication of all anmals, is divided into the generation of divine and mortal mimals: amb arain, the generation of the later is eomplicated from the momortal, and a certan motal nature, set not thr whole of the latter, bat that part of it whieh powesses a mational form of life, whether there be something of this hind in arrial, or pedeotrial animals, or in those that have an intermediate suhsistener. For plants heing amimals arcording to Plato,' are mortal, not having a rational amimatine somb, as he clearly says. The father of wholes abo, constitutes by himelf all the fatrication of divine animals, and the rational form of the life of mortals, which is surveyed in each of the three genera. That which remains likewise, the Demiurgus constitutes indeed, but he delivers the generation

[^268]of it to the junior Gods, and evinces them to be the lords of all the mortal nature. He also receiving every thing visible which was moved in a disorderly manner, and which had a prior existence from another eause, brought it into order from disorder. Thus therefore, he delivers the ends of the production of the universe to other powers, viz to the jubior dads. Hence, in consequene of rereiving and delivering, he is a medimm letween the intelligible (eod, who subsists aceording to amimal itsedt, and the many Deminerg. What then, does he but deliver to the mandane Cbolv the weration of that in 15 , which is homonymons to the immortals, if they aho are certain fathers of the immortals themselves, as we may learn from the 'Pheogomy? Or is he mot mpresented by Plato thas speaking, in order that we may hoow, that the one Deminergs is the camer of all thinses, since he prodneed the tirst of immortats, and thowe beine that ate homonymons to the immortals? For if he hat committed the enermation of the latter
 alome, producing subhnary nathes from these, hot souls from all these He has howerer contrimed, throngh the prodnction of the extremes, to exhibit the gencration of all the natures that are immortal, whatserver they may her, fom the ane Demingers. This alsa he atterwards shows when he sags, that the DemiarLave is Har father of immortak, but that he committed tornher (iode the acheration







 allotled this ordar from the Domanrall, and is called disme, but is mot simply disince. For the dixine protain to maletiled stmbs, abd which are always intellectise; and bhe imusortal, to those souls that are cotablished remote firotl montal matures. But that whid falls into armeration, has ant exener of this Lime, and is eapable of heiner mingled with mertal matmes ; is mether simply divime, nor itmortal. And again, you ar other media and an order of other things. For some Ineins areprimarily immortal ; others, are immortal inded, bit secomfarily ; others are hommymomely immortal; and others are mortal. For the mature of beines extemes as far as to these; and begond these, is that which in an reperet whaterer is. It must neither therefore be said, that our soul is simply disine, nor that it is simply immortal, thonorh it is frequently demomstrated to be immortal. But it neither has immortality primarily, nor the immortality which has a secondary sub-
sistence, yet exists genuinely, but it has that which is mingled with the mortal nature ; to which some directing their attention, have apprehencied that it is mortal. Moreover, neither must we admit that it is the same with forms separate from matter, or with irrational lives. For it is allotted, as Plato here says, a ruling nature by the father by whom it was generated. Hence it is natural to it tu have dominion over the irrational life. It likewise follows. Instice and the Gorls, as being converted to, filled from, and attending on them. Every such form of life therefore, as the rational, derives its subistenee from the one fabrication. For it is necessary that it shomb be produced by intellect, and by total intellect. For there are these three thinss, that which is of the same order, but is total ; that which is of a more excellent order, but is partial: and that which is of a more excellent order, and is total. For the fourth is wot attended with any amhiguty with respect to generating since it does not ' ditler from the thing generated. For this is partial, and the one is of the same order with the other. It is impossible however, that the nature which is arranged in the more excellent order, hut is partial, should have the same dominion over the generation of things as wholes. For it is entively necesary that what is truly a cause, should predominate. And that which is total indeed, but exints of the same order, has not the true power of generating, as beiner of one series [with the thine gemerated]. Hence, that alone is the most principle canor, which is a whole: in the more excellent oriler: in consequence of surpassing its progeny in both respets. The Jomiureus therefore produces and fabricates other thing in congmetion with this. And on this account, the [partial] soul proreeds inderd, primarily from the Demiurens, serontarily from the total som of the miveres, and proximately from a partial intellect. But by a partial intulect, I mean that intellect which is in the order of intelligibles, what a partial soul in, in the series of souls. This intellect therefore, makes the soul to be partial: but the total soml makes it to be rational. And the Jemingrus makes it to be both. It nce he is primarily canse. On this account we here say, that the Dominerse preseles over the gememation of the soul. But in the Phibebus, Plato gives to the partial soul an essence, from the total sonl. For as the tire which is in us, is from the mumdane fire, and the earth, water, and air, which are in us, are from the wholes [of these elements], thus also be says, that the partial sonl which is there mentioned, is urenerated from the soul of the universe. And thus much concerning this particular.

Plato likewise, very properly co-arranges Justice with the mundane Gods.

[^269]For Justice in, as Orpheus says, the companion of Jupiter; since, according to him,

Laborious Justice fulluws Jove.——

And the Athenian guest also asserts, that Justice always follows Jupiter. But Justice is coestablished with the mandane Gods, and governs in conjunction with them, the miverse according to desert. For from the midtle of the solar sphere, it entirely extends its providential inspection, and disseminates the distribution of sroed.

What however, are we to molerntand by the scmination? Is it that which many of the Platemists so much speak of, the distribution of souls atout the stars? For Plato says, that the Demiurgus diseminated some of them into the rarth, others inte the sm, and others into the moon. And we admit that there is a two-fold momination, one about the (iods, but the other about weneration, which is delivered in the P'oliticus. Now, howerer, Plato refers the came of the cosence of soubs to the Deminnsus. For it is necessary, that they shouth first be gramerated, and thes atternands, that ditfernt souls should be distributed about difterent leaders. It is better therefore, aceording to the decision of our preceptor, to molerstand by this semination, oremerand sime it pertains to father to disenminate, and to gencrate ratoms [or productive pewera]. For soul is a mann of rearoms, and procecds from the fir her who is the Damingis of wholes. For this inderd, is the first armination. The secomb is that which is about the jmine forts. And the third, is alont the realus of ermeration. And of the first inderd, disime souls participate; but of the acond, dermons. For the orders of these, are dintributd about the Gods. But the third alone prettans to the somb that are distributed about enencation. Viry property abo doe the Demiursus say that he will deliser the beginning, or that lue will begin the prodnction of the rational soul; because other camses also, gronerate it in comjunction with the Demiurens; 1 mean for instance, such cames an the vivitic. He likewise very properly says this, because he er motates the veliele of the sonl, and all the life contained in it, which the jumior Gools weave torether with the mortal form of life. Hence it appars to me that the immortal is assumed in loth [the rational sonl and its vehicle], this lowing common, and not the rational; and that it is indicated that this proceed from the one fabrication, by the words, "and so far as among these, it is fit there should be that which is homonymons to the immortals." For every velicle together with its appropriate life, and the rational soul from which it is suspended, is essentially perpetual. Both therefore, are generated by the Demiurgus, accord-
ing to a similitude of the stars, the sonls, and the vehicles of which the Demiurgus produces. He.dissminates the soul therefore, generating as the father of reasons; but producing the vehicte, he delivers the begiming. For this is now the begiming of the mortal-formed life.
" It is your ' business to accomplish the rest, and to weave together the immortal "and mortal nature."

What this immortal, and also what this mortal nature is, is unfolded by the interpreters of I'lato. And some indeed, heaving the rational sonl alone immorial, destroy all the irrational life, and the promatie vehicle of the soul, giving a subsistence to both these, thromgh the tembency of the soul to generation. But they alone proserve intelled immortal, as alone remainins, and beins assimilated to the Gods, and not suflering cormption. Such is the explanation of the more ancient interpreters, whofollow the words of Plato, and decide throngh what canse lie destroys the irmational part, which they call the mortal nature, I mean the Attienses and Albimese, and sueh like. But others more moderate and mihd than these, such as Porplyry and his followers, refise inded to admit this corruptiom, as it is called, which dissipates the vehicle and the irmational sonl ; but they say that these are renovated and analysel after a certain manner into the sphere from which they were allotted their composition. They add, that these are mintures derived from the celdstial spheres, and eollected by the soul as she deareme ; so that these exist, ind yet do not exist. Fer they have no individuality, nor does the peroliarity of them remain. And the anthors of these assertions appear to follow the [Chaldean] Oraches, which in speaking of the descout of the soul sisy, that it colfects as it desernds, a portion of ether, of the sun and the moon, and such thines as are contained in the air. Agranst these lowever, the words of l'ato must be adduced, in which lie evidently does not destroy the whold of the iratiomal nature. And arain, in the third place, there are others, whotaking away all corruption from the irrational nature, do not simply give an hypostasis to it , from divine bodies, lest boing generated from moveable canses, it should be essentially mutable, but from the Gods themselves who govern the workl, and produce all things eternally.

Such therefore, and so many being the opinions on this subject, there is an explanation of it, which immediately preserves the mortal nature, and accords

[^270]- with things themselves, and all the Platonic dogmas. For that Plato is of opinion, that the irrational life is preserved, after the corruption of the material body, he renders evident by delivering to us the soul ponished in Hades, throurh anger and desire, though it does not require any punishment, so far as it is liberated from all passion. For it was pure reanom, and prior to body, in its elections of lives, chose throngh voracity such as are tyramical, and through the desire of ghory, sophistical and pepmlar lives. And these things happen to the sonl in its first deacent from the hearons, and to the soul that has been recenty perfected. But that he preserses the wehicle of the soul perpethat, is erident from his reprearnting sonls using their whicles in Hades. For ascendiner into their veliedes, as Socrates says in the Phate, they pass ouer the siver. Now aloo, he gemerates the veliche from the Demingrs. Jor it is he whe cames the some to ancend into its veliche, accorling to the similitule of divine sonls. Fer how could it be possille for the sonl to be momdane, weept by havins a whicle in the miverse? For every thing mondane hats asat and order in the world, and gives completion to a part of it. Whether therefore, will a partial sonl be better prior to the su-persion of a vehiche from it, or wores For if tretter, it will be more divine than total souls, to which the Demingens gate sehicles. But if worne, how is it, that the Deminrons immediately atter it was grererated, camsed it to ascend into a wehicle? For thingr that are perpethal, do not begin from a preternatural, but from a mataral condition of herine. It is evident therefore, that the er things are conformable to the opinion of llato.

Since howerer, he here cleaty calls that which is woven together by the mundane Ciods, mortat, is therctore that which is asserted liy some true, that he says the life which is in the whicle is woven by the jumior Ciuds; that he demominates it mortal, becanse it is comporeafformeal, and is conversant with the mortal nature ; and that in a certain place, he calls that which is women by the junior Guds immortal, in order that we may apreforn the montal nature which is bere montioned to be a thing of this hind? But how does he deliver to us universally, that the Deminreus is the camse of immortal matures, and that the seneration of mortals is committed to the junior Gotls! Hence, after the delisery of fabrication, the jumior Gots are alone the Demiurgi of mortal natures. Is therefore that true, which is asserted by some, that the vehicle and the irrational soul both remain and are dissolved, throush being analy sed inte the spheres from which they were derised; and that on this account they are mortal, and yet not mortal? 'This however, is of itself absurd. For when the mion is dissolved, how can we any longer say that the same thing remains? Fur the irrational soul is not a coacervation of animals, but one multiform life. In addition also to these things, it must
be admitted, that at one time something is taken away from, and at another, something is added whe celestial bodies, which is cutirely foreien from their mature. Is the irrational soul therefore commptible, and shall we arlmit that this life is disapated toredher with the borly? But if this be the case, how will there be pmishments, how will there be puritications, how will there be dections of lises, some according to the phantasy, others acconling to anger, and others accordiner to desire, and also the ingressions' into irrational anmals? For the comtact with the amalogrons is through amalogy, just as the contact with intellect, is throngls intellect.
Will it wo therefore be better to say with our preceptor, that the spirit, [or pnenmatic part of the soul,] compreliends the smmmits of the irrational life, and that these exist proptailly, tosether with the vehiche, is being produced by the Demiurgus? And that these beiner extended and distriouted into pats, make this life which is wowen liy the jmior Cods, and which is mortal, because it is necessary thet the sond homblay aside this thistribution, when having ohtamed puritication it is restored to it pristine state of felicity? This life howerer, is of longer duration then the life of the present body; and herece the sonl when in Itades, and

 this be admitud, the Demimeres comstitutes the summit of the irational life, hat does nut comstiale the life indf. For in producines dimmons, he evidenty produces likense the irational life which is in them, but mothe life, which the junior


 cordiner whensth, employ irrational powers, oure wheh they have dominion. And our smbla late much more a life in the voliele which is irrational, as with referene to them. But in this, they exeed dirmons, that they receive another irational lif, which is a departure from the life in the spirit, and whel is woven by the jumer (inds. Jlence, all that is immortal, which it possesses accordiner to an imitation of wholse: hat the addition pertains to a second, or mortal-formed life. If therefore, in the forealf veliche, there is one impasive life, this will generate in the phematic whicle, one passise sense; and this latter will gencrate in the testaccous [or this ontward] hody, many and passive senses. The orectic power likewise, in the etheral vehicle will produce many orectic powers in the pheuma-

[^271]tic vehicle, which will possess something separate from the testaceous body, and capable of being disciplined. And these will prodnce in this outward body, ultimate and material orectic powers. Since however, parts energize in conjunction with wholes, the Gods by a much greater priority, the canses of these secondarily operative powers and the powers of souls, produce together with them things analogous to themselves. Hence also they inspire and corrohorate that which they produce. And that llato inded, gises here to the sonl a certain vehiele, is evident. For shortly after, he represuts the soml ascemding as into a vehiche, and thus mahes it to be mundane, and a citizeth of the world.

It is lihewine neerosary tombleratand, that lie gives a sulsistence to the irrational sonl, prior to thin ontward hody. For if this he not admitted, one of two things must follow, cither that prothe ing the irrational soul in that whele, he does not establish it in another vehicle, or that lae comstitntes it in this ontwart body alone. But if the latter be the case, this soul may very property be called mortal; and we shall no honger be able topreserve what in said of it enowhere, I mean, the elections of lives, and the pmainhment, in Hades, in which here is
 cle, it will be neresontly immortal, and the asertion whirl immortalizes it will predominate, and it will be no lourer trae, that the one Demingres is the canse of immortals, but the many (bemingi, of mortal natures. By sheming dorefore, as wr have said, that the jomior (iods produce the inational sond prior th this omt"and body, and that amother prommatic vehiche, such as . Arisombe also admitted,





" Dhabonate and erencrate anmanh, canse them to increase by giving them matrinent, and recoive themback again, when dissolsed by corruption."

The gemaration of the irrational life, of which the vehicle of the soul comprehend, the summits, is therefore the hergming of the titbrication. But since the complete production and generation of animals, proceeds together with this, hence the Deminrgus orders the jumior Genls to elaborate and gromerate animals, weat-

[^272]ing together the mortal with the immortal nature. And this he says indefinitely, and not all animals; because Plato further on, calls plants also animals, and shows that it is requisite thas to denominate them. Through the immortal sonl therefore, the junior Gods produce animals, viz. such as not only possess the last form of life, the epithymetic, and are on this account called animals, but likewise. every mortal animal. If however, the Demiurgus calls on the jumior Gole not only to fabricate man, weaving together the mortal with the immortal nature, but likewise animals, they evidently fabricate all other amimals. Hence Timaus very properly, towards the end of this dialogue, represents other animals as senerated, throush the transformation of the human soul into them, and this contormally to the demintric mandate. the junior Gods alone prothcing plants, withont the assi-tance of this soul. For in these, there is not a rational soul. And becanse this sonl is the primeiple of motion, it is neepssary that it should be the principhe of the first motion to animals. But the first motion to these, is that which is accordiner to place as Aristothe abo has shown. So that ewry unimal which is moved according to plare, has a self-motive sonl present with it. On this arcount, aplant ronted in the earth, has not this sonl; so that the junior Gots very properly generate and elaborate other animals exeppt plants, by weaving torether the mortal with the immortal nature. But they afiord other animals mutriment, by enntrivine the ceneration of plants, through which men and other animals are mourished. For nothing hindere certain animals from beiner nomrished by such thines as aflord nutriment in men, and alsos hy other thines, to which their nature is allied, in the same mamer as the nature of the anmals, by which we are nouriohed, is allied to us. Throush this elaboration therefore, the junior Gods give completion to the production of the one Deminrgus. For he imparts the becinning [or summit] only, but they plaborate, and throneh gemeration constitute the whole animal. And through claboration indeed, they imitate the demmesic power, but through generation, the paternal' power. Throush claboration abo, they produce the mortal-formed parts of rational animals; but throngh generation, irrational animals, so far as they are irrational. For they constitute the whole of such like mimals. But if they receive the immortal nature which the Deminrers produces, and which he orders them to weave with the mortal nature, and thors to fabricate animals, it is evident that according to the demiurgic will, every soul has an immortal prior to the mortal life; and that the junior Gods elaborate the latter, but the one Demiurgus the former. And if indeed, the Demiurgus constituted both irrational and rational

[^273]souls, nothing would hinder that which is immortal in them from treing irrational; but since here, he alone generates rational sonls, to whom also he speaks, inserting in them the lates of Fatc, it is exident that every animal which is properly an animal, b!! participating of local motion, has meresvarily a rational and immorta! sonl. Plato therefore, when he transfers the soul into irrational labitations, does not assent to thuse who say that these are hmman sombs, according the the irational amimal ledonging to men, but that they are truly the soul of irrational animals. For it is not only evident that he asert, this throngh these arguments, but likewise from what he says of other ammals, in the pemeration of mortal matures, siz. that the gereration of all of them is eflieted through the homan somb, from which
 to the tramsition of this, and acemeding to the form of life, throunh the exerion of whieh, it receises a habitude to theore ammats. The father therefore, orters the jumior Goels to elaborate and eremerate all amimals, by wasing tore ther the mortal with due immental nature. And we have shown that the immortal nature is twofold, siz. the soml and its veliche, and in a similar mamer, that the mortal nature is wofold, and that the me is analogous to the other, wize mortal to immortal natures.

In the mext pater, the gift of nutriment is perfetise of motals. Hence the junior Gods produced all plants, for the sate of more honorable matures. The Deminerus therefore, is wery far from almithing the eating of amimals, since after the wemeration of all anmals, he vaders the jmior (iods to produce for them matriment. The fabrication also of these (inds bantifully ends, aceoreling to the will of the father, in rexemeration. For to receive bach again things which are corrupted, is nothing dee than a remewal of oremeration, and a revoration of cormption to eremeration. For through this, mothing departs into that which has no existence whatever; Incanse the dods whepresile orer generation, conjoin the prots of it with their own periods, and mate gemeration to le in comtmoty with cormption, giving form to the non-lwing of the lattor, and circularly leating priation into morphe. 'The Demingens therefore, inserted in the junior Gods the fabrication of mortal natures from the legimning, amd the canse of regreration ; just as he inserted the fabrication of all mandant natures in the monad of the junior Gods, [i. e. in Bachose, which also Orpheus denominates the Juvenile God. Von see therefore, how the Demiurgus imparts to them mifying and deitying powers, by calling them Gods of Gods; comective and stable powers, through the medium of the dissolable and indissoluble; gnostic powers through discipline ; perfective powers, through

[^274]giving perfection to the world by the addition of mortals; deminrgie powers, throngh fabrication; and motise and assimilative powers, through the imitation of the father. And again, you may say that he imparted to them Vulcanian powers, through the energy accorling to nature; Minerval powers, through the command to weave together the mortal with the immortalnature; Cerealian and Coric powers, throush the command to generate and nourish; Titannic powers, throws ordering them to produce mortal and perishable natures; and Dionysiacal powers, through regencration. For the things which they senerate they receive back again, when they are cormperl, returning them to the wholes from which they were devived, and distributing each to its proper somece; from these wholes agsin merisins other parts, and compounding them into the gencration of other thinge. For all the mements are spread moder them, in order to the generation of mortal animals, and they prepetmally and withont ceasing, sive completion to the circle of gemeration and corrnptions. Hence, they receive such things as they imparted to generated natures, when they are cormpted, and deliver to wholes that which they tooh from them. This likewise has an intinite permutation, throurh the immobility [i. e. immotability] of all the Gods that fabricate mortal naturer.
"These things spake the father to those to whom lie committed the fabrication [of mortal natures]."

Plato divides the whole of the fabrication of thinge into the gromeration of divine, and the remeration of mortal natures. Ther generation of divime natures likewise, ler divides into that of the whele world prior to its parts, and inte that of the ereat and perpetual parts which it contains. And again, he divides the latter into the exeneration of celestial and sublunary matures. But again, he divides the peneration of mortal natures into the production of that which is disine, and immortal in them, and the plastic enemation of all that is mortal. The latter likewise he divides into the production of sombs and hoolies. And the production of hodies, inte that of wholes, and parts, such as the head, the heart, and the liver. And the Deminerus of wholes indeed, binds to himself all the first fabrication; but of the second, he arain, producing that which is immortal in it, places over the remainder the many Demiurgi. For these being the plastic framers of mortal animals, and lieing always themselves filled with life, impart to that which is mortal in the second fabrication, their own providential energy, so far as it is able to receive it, and fill it with genesiurgic life and material fabrication. For every-
where the last of things are constituted by those that rank as media; and the media between the first immortals, and mortal natures, are those that are always filled with perpetually-generated life. The natures therefore, that are immortal from themselves, resemble the fomitins of water: but those that are filled from these, may be assimilated to perpothally flowing rivers; and those that are sometimes vivified, and sometimes lose their life, to rivers that cease to flow. Bat everywhere, that which is more full, desires to fill, and hastens to generate. Hence, it is necessary that nedia shond impart from themedses a prosression to the lans of thines, and these that are ahways tilled with life, a progression to those that are sometimes able to live. And thos moch concerning the order of the things with reference to each other.

But the words "These things spake," bring with them an admirable indication. And in the first place, inded, they indicate the perfect, and that which is filled with appropriate houndaries. Fur not being able to comprehend in one word the unical prefection, eternal enerey, and intinite power of divine natures, we apprehend these in a disishle manmer throurh tomporal names; signifying indeed, perfection thromgh the pant, but the meverfailing thromg the present. 'The word spule therefore, is a symbol of the perfection of deminare intellections. For as they are all-perfect, so likewise are the demingrie words, which are the energies of them, and which proceed to the maltitude of the Gots. 'Thus too, in the [Chaldatan] Oracles, the energies of the Gods, and of the father himself, are manifreted thromgh the word spute, as when they say; "The intellect of the eternal father, gonerniner all thines hy intellect, spake and said, into three." For to speak is neither the eneryy of existence, nor of life, but of intellection. This then is the tirst thing which the words indicate. But farther still, this word spake manifests that words are adapted to somls; for to speah, is an energy familiar and allied to
 or spech, protains to souls, and to the order of souls, as Plato observed before, when be spate of reason energizins about the intelligible, and the sensible nature, and when he eathed to perceice intrllectually, to sperth. Arain, the addition of the words these thiners, untes the multitute of intellections, abont the one intelligence of the Demingros, and eollects the divided powers of spereh to the monad of the paternal intellection. It is necessary likewie to understand this concerning divine speeches in Plato, that all of them are either addressed to souls, or are on account of somls. Thus the speech of the Muses, and that of the prophet, in the Republic, are addressed to sonls. But the speceh in the Banquet by Aristo-

[^275]planes, and also that which is delivered in the Politicus,' are on account of souls; the former, prating to souls that are about to descend; but the latter, to those that are conversant with generation. And the reason of this is, as we have said, because speech especially pertains to souls.
"And again, into the former Crater, in which mingling he had tompered the soul of the universe, he poured mingling, the remainder of the former things."

That the deming ic intelligence is production, and that these do not differ from each other in the Gods, but that with them to perceive intellectually, and to make are the same thing, and that no other motion is necessary to the generation of things, but that they constitute all things by their very being or existence, is manifested by these words. For the Demiurgus having spoke, immediately turns to the Crater, and to the mixture' of the genera. Nor is there any thine between these, but the delivery of the works separate from the words arises from our imbecility, not being able to precise in one, the exempt intelligence of the father, and lis production which constitutes partial souls. That the emus also of partial souls proceeds according to each order, and entireIt differs from divine souls, is indicated by these words, to those that are not perfectly blind; since they are constemed separately, and in a different time. And this not only aries from the imbecility of language, but is assumed conformably to the nature of things. For in reality, if you assume participated time, there is not the same time in total and in partial souls; since neither is there the same intellection, nor the same form of motion; but the time of di sine souls is one thing, and that of partial souls another. Farther still, Plato produces partial souls from the same father indeed, yet not entirely so. For the word again indrates, that the progression of these is according to a more partial power of the Deminrens, and is in a certain respect the same, and yet not the same, with that which is prior to it. For because the again is not temporal (since it is not law find in eternal natures, that there should be a certain difference of energies according to time) it alone manifests an order of fabrications causally different; so that in a certain respect there is the same, and not the same, father.

Farther still, partial are from the same Crater as total souls, yet at the same time with diminution. For divine souls indeed, abide and proceed in the Crater,

[^276]and do not depart from thence. But our souls are entirely separated from it, and the separation of them is manifest. To which we may also add, that the genera are the same and different. For all souls are from the middle genera, but some are from the first of these, and others from the remains and last of the mixture, from which those prior to them were constituted. Anain, the mode likewise, is at once the same, and not the same: for in partial sonls, ditherence is more abundant [than sameness.] Ifence also in speahing of these, there is a more freguent mention of miveme. Wer mant not therefore admit the opinion of those more recent interpreters, who endeanour to show that our soul is of an equal dignity, or of the sanme essence, or I know not how they wish to speak, with a divine soul; thomsh Plato aserts that partial souls atre defieient in a second and third degrete, separate thern fom the Crater, and produces them from the Deminergs, according to a seeondary, whith is the same thing as according to a more partial intelligence. For he whosays these things introdnces esential ditherenees ot sonls, and not differences acoording to energies atone, as the divine Plotinns shoms. For let it be admitted that some of them look to total, but others to partial intellects; that some emphey undetiled intellections, but others sometimes abraton real beines ; that some ahway, fibricate and adorn wholes, hot others sometimes revolve in conjunction with the Giods; that some alway move and gowrn Fate, but others sometimes become sitmated umder late, and tatal lans; that some are headers to the intelligible, hat others sometimes are alloted the ordor of followers; that some are alone divine, but others are at different times transferred to a ditherrent order, wither diemoniacal, or heroical, or laman; that some employ horses, all of which are goot, and com-ist of thines that are geod, but others, such as are mingled from good and evil; that some !ave that life atome, which they received from the one fabrication, but others labe alse the mortal form, which was wowen by the junior Gods; and that some emoreize arcordiner to all their powers, but others exert different lises at diferent times. Lat these therefore, be the differences of souls, set essential commutation and deminmic divivion, precede all these. For thromin these, they are sparated by time, hy canse, by progression, by the mode of subsistence, and by dimimition of erenera. As they difler therefore, by all these particulars, how is it possible that they should be of the same essence! For,

> Ne'er can the tribe of men that live on earth, Be like th' immortal Goots.

The rational nature itself likewise, is different. For in the Gods it is intellectual, but in our souls it is mingled with the irrational nature. And in the middle genera, it is defined accordiner to its own medimm. This is also the case with each
of the ret, wiz. with the reasons, the form of life, intelligence, and time. For these smbsist divinely in divine somls, but after a homan mamer in ours. And thus much arginst thone who faney that our sont is of the same esince with the soul of the miverse, ant with other divine somls, and that we are all things maccompanied with habitude, viz. the planets, and the fixed stars, and other things in the same manner ats the stars, as Theodorns Asimas alon, somewhere says. For such mandificent language on this smbert, is very remote from the theory of Plato.

With respect to the Crater however, let us see what it is, what order it possesses with rederence to the Demingros, and what are the particulars of which it is the canse to somls. Fur there is much discussion concerning this, and it deserves the most ample consideration. The aloovementiened Theodarus therefore, makes a twofold Crater, and asserts that the minture is one of these. For the second Crater, accordine to him, is the misture; bnt the other Crater consists of the portions of the mixtmre, siz. the soml of the miverse, the sonls of the celcstal (rods, amt our sonts. Fer he calls soml itself the lirst Crater, this being the miversal sont ; bat he denominates the Crater and at the same time the mixture, the secomd Crater; thonsh Ilato speats of one Crater, and in it mingles all souls, some primarily, and others secondarily, but makes no mention whatever of a secombl Crater, bor of a minture in it. For if there was a second Crater, what ocravion would there be for the une of the dirst, in the qemeration of our souls? I womber therefore, that the most laborious Atticas, shomble sat that he fond in the 'limars a twofold Crater, since it is unal with him tofollow strictly the words of Plato. At the same time however, in interpreting the Phadrus, he makes mention of the twofold Crater. Sut according to the divine Iambliehus, the Crater is the one vivilic canse, comprehensive of the whole of life, and collective of it ; itself sustaming itsolf, by certain demiursie reasons, which pervale throngh all life, and throngh the whole peschical orders, but atlotting to each sonl in its proper order, apropriate measures of combexion ; allotting to some from the beriming tirst measures, thromg the first misture, lont secondary measures, to thoe that have been arain mingled. For such as is the ordor which they have with reference to each other, such also is the progression which they are alloted from the Crater, receiving from thence the boundaries of life. Such thercfore, are the dormas which we have received from these men.

Our preceptor however, surveying real leings from on high, as from a watchtower, and following the narration of theologists, places in the father himself and Demiurrus of wholes, a prolific power, according to which imitating the intelligible Giod, he posserses both a matormal and paternal canse with reference to the mundane Gods, being himself the source of essence, of lite, and of form.

Since however, it is necessary' there should be a definite and separate cause of the psychical life, fabricating in conjuction with the Demiurgus the whole world, and generating all the psychical essence, this cause, he says, is delivered to us through the Crater. He adds, that theologists arcanely asserting that which they assert, have devised marriges and ofthprine of the Gods, through which they obscurely signify the kindred commmion of progreny in the Gods ; but that Plato mytholorically introlluced mixtures and com-mixtures, asoming the genera of Incing instead of seds, but mixture intaad of marriage. For souls inderd, according tw the laeing which is in Hem, were produced by the Demiurens; but aceording to the life which is in them, from the Crater. For this is the vivific catse of escential life. Since howerer, they are in a greater dewree lites than leings, and are allied to the sibife order; on this accomet, the mixture originated from the Deminrgus, but is perfectel in the Crater. For this on all sides comprohends in itself the gemera of souls, and generates them in conjunction with the Deminges. These therefore are four, sio. he who mingles, the Crater, the things mingled, and the misture. And the tirst indend, han the order of father; the second is enenerative, and definitive of the form of somb; the third, proceeds from both, bou in a greater degree from the father; and the fourth is formalized, according to the generative came, at as to become onn thing, through the Crater.

But if it $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{x}}$. repuisite to develop the eonepptions of our preseptor on this sulject, it must be olserved that as the wisife doity, comprelumeds in iteelf all the fombains of life, via. such as are ererative of somb, and of the damoniacal order, such as bring forth the anedie serime, and such as produce nature in the last of things, - one certain sitioce deity ' prececeds from it, which is the fombain of all the progresion and erneration of somb, and which being co-arranged with the Demiurgus, produces torether with him, the whole peschical order, every supermundane and mundane soul, and proced, to all thinss, amd wisifies the whole wordd. Orphens cellbrates this visitic deity as "ymal to the Deminrgus, and connecting and congeining it with him, mates it to te the one mother of all the thing of which Jupiter is the father. But Plato calls it the Crater, as loeing the fountain of the poychical life. For this Crater receives the generatise enersy of the father of souls, and according to this the form of souls receives its specific distinction; whence also this form is called a misture. Jupitur inded contains in himself a royal soul, as Socrates says in the Philebos; but he likewise contains this fountain, which co-operates with him in the production of the psychical order.

> It is requisite here, to suplly the word ít.
> :i. . Rhea. i. e. Juno.

And the Barbarians call this vivific cause the fental soul, which is unfolded into light, torether with fontal virtne,' from the intertines of the whole vivilic Goddess, in wheh the fomtains of all life, the divine, the anselic, the darmoniacal, and the prychical, are contained. But the theologist of the (irecks demominates this visidic canse Juno, who presents heredf to the view rogether with Viota, from the mighty Rhea, who romprohends in herself all the vivitic powers, and who at last brines forth Nabure heradf; thongh lar conjoins Jumo with the Iteminerges, as mother with father, and represents her as the sumee of all the Titamic division, which is survesed in souls, accorting to portions, and the canse of separation. Plato however anomes the Ciater, the misture aml the portions; for the Crater is the camse of the division of the protions. Hence, he dones not divide, till he has disseminated the ermera in the Crater.

In short therfore, beine impelled by these olsorvations, we say, it is evident that the Ctater is diflerent from the lomimens. For every where, he whome seles, the Crater, and the thiners that are minelet, are distingmished from each other. For it is also evident, that the Crater is dilherent from the Deminrens, heranse it is peychasonic, or semerative of soml. For meither in the production of intedect, nor in the falnication of lonties, is the Crater aswmed, but alone in giving subsistence to somb; becanse mixture is adapted to these, as leine of a midde mature. But if the Crater is peychoronic, it is doubtles peculiarly the canse of sombs. And if it is co-ordimate with the Deminrens, lest it shomld be in want of things posterior to itself, or shomd hate something more total than itself, and thes should not be entirely the came of all the things, of which the Deminergs is the canse, but he in an intellecmal Gond, and the beot of canses; -if this le the case, the Crater likenise is intellectual ; and if the former is fontal, the latter also is fontal. And why is it necesary to obserse, that the Barbarians likewise, call the partial canses' [of somb] fontal Craters? This Crater therefore, is a fontal (rater; since it is the canse of souls so far as they are somls, and not of all life. For it is meither the canse of intellectual, nor of finsical life. Dlato likewise elsewhere calls soul itself the fommain of problence; and in the Plardrus, he denominates it the fountain and principle of motion. Much more therefore, should we call accordiner to him, the first soul tontal, and the Crater fontal, if there is a Crater established with the Deminrgas of wholes; since other Craters also are delivered both by Orpheus

[^277]${ }^{2}$ For idiur hicre, it is necessary to read iciur.
${ }^{3}$ The word acras is omitled here in the original.
and Plato. For Plato in the Philehus mentions two Craters, the one Vulcanian, but the other Dionysiacal. And Oipheus kinea indiced of the Crater of Bacchus, but he also establishes many others about the solar table. And with respect to Ilomer, does he not represent Hebe as pouring ont wine, and Valcan drinking from a bowl, and distributing mectar to all the (iods? These things howewer, require a more abundant discussion. But what las been sad will be suflicient for the gresent purpose, since in another worh, we shall investigate a more preffect developement of each particular, if it pleases the Couls.

Some one however, may doult, throunh what canse Plato, when renerating the somb of the miverse, mahes no mention of the Crater, hont only of the mixture and comminture ; lnt in the erenetation of partial souls, he at the same time mentions it, and reminds of of the misture of the soml of the umiserse! In answer to this, it must lee said, that in the tirst plate divine sout in procededing, abide in the Crater, and do not depart from their fomtan; but partial soms are separated from, and freduenty proced out of it, throngh vorging to areneration. In the former the refore, as laing whemently mited, he does not seprate the Crater from the mixture. Hence some apmenent that the soml of the universe is the first son! ; and others demominate it Juno, mot beine able to divide it from its proper fountain. But in these [i. e. in partial souls], as lodire separated from the Crater, Plato disjoins the canse [i. e. the Cratter] from the thiner posterior to it. In the second place, it mast le said, that the whole of the pey chical order is constituted by both, diz. hy the minefer and the Crater; but sime one part of this order abides, but the other proceeds, and one raviees in union, but the wher is a friend to
 the Crater; but the lather as beiner more material, is more allied to the prolific canse [i. e. to the Ctater]. On this arcoment, in the former, the whole is attributed to the Deminesss; bint in the ereneration of partial somk, the Crater is assumed. The fables of the Grecks aloo assert things of this hind. For they say that Juno is the canse of ins:nity, but Jupiter of temprance ; and the former, of labours atoont eemeration; but the lattor, of an daation from it. Fur Juno excites all thins to proseresion, maltiphes them, and cames them by luer illuminations, to be prolitic. And thas murh in answer to the donlte.

But I thinh it fit that the divine Iamblicus should look to these words of Plato, and assmme from them, that Plate combitutes the soul of the world, and not the suferee hestial sonl, from the miature of the midhle.genera. For how, as his design was to comstute the miverse, conld he opportmely make mention of the supermundame soul, since when he mentions time, which is alloted a supermundane arder, he at the same time co-arranges it with the universe! For he says that
time was generated together with the heaven. And thus much for this admonition. But wheher Plato knew, or dil not know that there are supermundane souls, is to 1 w investigated. For it is worthy of inguiry, since he now here clearly says that there is a soul of this kind. And to those who do not admit that there are spremmodane sonk, it most be said, that it is requisite there shombl be souls of this hind, which are imparticipable, but moderstand transilively, and in this diffor fom intellect; and which likewise intellectally perceive more than one thiner at a time, and in this transernd mandane sonls. For the proaression is not collectively, from mberstanding all things at onere to the intellectual perefption of one thine at a time; but is throurh the perception of more things than one, yet not of all thines at once. It frollows therefore, that those who throngh these reasons admit, that there are sonk prior to the world, should show how these sonls are media between the impartible and partible essence, and if they are partible, what this partibility is, and if they are distributed into parts, and similarly fashioned with momdane somb, hy what contrivance they are prior to these, when they do not at all dither from them according to hypostasis?

If also it he requisite that I should pay some attention to my own oracle, I should say, that each of these supermmul:ue sonls has the intellectual nature, which it participates for the imparthle which is above it. For that supermundane intellect in primarily participable. Each lihewise, has the partible mature not simply, but so far as the multitude of mmatane natures is suspended from them. Hence, they are more imparthla than parthle, just as on the contrary, the last of sonls are more parthbe than inpartible, beranse the parthbe amd not the impartiber, is the perndiaty of their essence. Thence too, earh of these is the peculiarity of the somb that subsist between the supermmoname and the last of sonls. For the imparthbe is pecoliar to them, becanse a peculiar intellect is establinted above each of them; and also the partible, becanse a peculiar bofly is smepended from each. And as in the latitude of sombs there are so many diftimences, these ditherences canse the sonl rither not always to abide on hirh, or to abide and he: supermmadane, or to abide and be mmolane. By conceiving therefore, the soul which ranks as a medimm between these, to ber a thing of this kind, we shall not womber if sonls were erenerated equal according to section, but that some of them have their boundaries as far as to superficies, and others, as far as to solids; which also makes the latter to be mumdane, and to proceed into bodies; but preserves the former prior to the world, and withont any contact with body. Perhaps too, some of them proceed as far as to linear boundariss, hut others as far as to superficies. Hence, some of them are alone supermundane, but others are media

[^278]between supermundane and mundane souls, just as superficies are media between linear boundaries and nolids.

Mareover, it is not at all womderful that the harmony should be diflerent from the three genera of modnlations in semsibles. For it is not necessary that there shond lee only three hamonios, hat that this momber of them shombld be appehended in somme cemmensurate with rense. It is not howerer imposilhe hat there may be cortain harmonies more ewellomt than theres, since thene three genera are not asombed from disision, lout from experiment and senor. "These
 media as far as to limear homdarins, and an far as th superticies, in the first duple and triple alone, or in the internals that follow, and in these produeder two circles. Jhere likewine, cuttine the internal circles only in half; for there are two intervals; but there, inter there parts. Fors mamy are her intervals of the fise


 according to the medimm amd the multitude of cirches. The ratios of Plato alos, have that wheh is common, and which extends terery finehical eremer, devating us from the prochoremy which proceds into solid mumbers, th that which

 nies, which aceord with the theteremera of swhe. For the proseresion from ant *sonce protectly impartihle, into that which is distributod accordine to all numbers, is not whont at medinm; lout here lihewise, as in all other thinw. the progression in through medias. If homeror, this the the care, it is not at all womderfint, that partiad sonls, in wheh the partitle nature inmediately exists, but the impartible throngh other media, which are elevated prion to the to to intellects, should cause the divisions to become mone numoroms with the partible nature, and these to be more than with the inparthbe. I mean, for insanee, that the sesquioctaves should lee divided with the apotome and the lefmonas, and that this same diatonic gemm should $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in them, with two intervals, hat which as it were define the diatessaron and diapente, the same ration heing preared in the extremes; so hat in these alos, the prechomonic ratios tahe place, but with a mome ahmodant difference. For of the midelle in mera, eseme predominatises, mahes a divine soul, sameness a damoniacal, and dillerence, a partial soul. These lihewise predominating according to diflirent modes, many divine, many darmoniacal, and many partial sonls, are constituted; as Plato also indieates, when he says, that the Demiurgus assmoning the second and third gradations of the mixture, gavo
smbsistence to partial somls. For he asmming in these that which is similar to this, we shall lw able to assian the diflerences of them with reforence to all the
 of all of them. Soml is : mesence, whieh is a medimen betwen truly-existins essence and wremetion, beiner mingled from the midelle getera, disided into essential mumber, bomd merther by all the middles, diatonically harmonized,
 ner. For be abline to this defintion the pernliarities, we shall have the proper mumbers of divine or dammiacal, or partial sonle, from the esomtial hypostasis of cach. We nall likewise le very far from sayine, that soul is the chtclecheia of the body, or of the physical orean havine life in capacity. Por this deftition, neither in assentiner that sonl protaine to a certain thiner, says what it is, nor does it comprehend every soml. For divine mals are mot the souls of orsanic bedies; nor does thi definitum aroid comprelnending in itself the thine defmed. For that which liwe in capmety, has sonl in capacity; all which inconveniences are avoided by the definition given by us ahow, which is troly a definition, poweses that which is commom, and which extends to all the eromer of semb, explains its middle sesure, and by mome mates the object of imestigation a part of the definition. Wr hase howerer, bern thas protix, for the purpose of erivins comphetion to the parturitions of our soul, thomsh Plato should not speak of the supermomblane soml.

But if sume one shombldoub, why Plato does not mention other somls, the divine, and the darmoniacal, it must besad to him in reply, that Plato assumes tle same mivare in tiese. For thomblarmanal difler from disime souls, yet he suivess as one, all the undefiled serms of somk, when compared with the senesiurgic somb. At the same time also, Homes makine mention of the somb that exists as extromes, and asorting that they derime their sulsistence from the Crater, he manifots that the form of all the middle somb is from thenee. Int I mean by the rxtromes, the sond of the universe, and partial souls. For that he gives pecaliar sonls to the stars, and to the sublumary Geds, is mident from what is said in the Laws. For he there says, that we do bot sed the somb, but we ser the boty of them ; and he inguires, how the soul itwlf moses the boly? It is lihewine evident from what has been before said hy him in this dialogne. For he call the stars disine anmals. Whence therefore, do they persess the divine nature, and whence their peculiar motion ? For if some one shombl say, that a divine anmal does not at all differ according to the partible life, from the vilest animals on the earth, it would alone receive an entelecheia from the universe. Shall we not however, give souls to the sublunary Gods, who are the prozeny of

Heaven and Earth, and of Occan and Tethys? But in this case, how will they any longer be Gods? For they will either be more excellent than souls, or they will have souls. But if they are mundane Gods, disine souls will be smepended from them. And the same reasoniner applies to demons. If however, as we have said, Plato does not now mention thesr, it is not womlerfal. For the mode. of subsistence in them, is not smilar to that of partial souls. For to all their souls, the immutable, the minclining, and the not proceedins ont of intelligibles, are common; but propensity to the realms of gemeration, andacity and a defluxion of the wings, origimate from the souls that are now produced.

It also, we should arain infuire, in what disine, damoniacal, and partial sonls, differ from the mumlane soml, accordine to the psychogonic diarran; for it is not possible that acomdary souls' should rutirely consist of the same things as those that are prior tothem? We most say, in answer to this inguiry, that the same ratios are in all of them. For Platomakes mention of the same as evisting likewise in partial souls, such for instance, an songuioctaves, sespuitertian, and sespuialter ratios. But the terms or boundariss, in which the ratios are contained, are different. For the terms which are assmed, in all the pyehosumie diagram of the mundane sonl, are primary ratios. Nothing therefore himders theme being duple ratios in divine sonls, and that there should lee an increase of these, if it should so happen in damoniaeal souls, after another manur. For proaresion increases multitude. There will cither therefore be a differuce in the terms, or int the ratios. But this is impossible in the lather. For, as we have alrealy observed, le mentions there, as abo existine in partial somb. Ilener, a differeme munt le as-umed in the terms; just as there is a diflirence in partial soals with reference to these, not only in the terms, but also in the division of certain matios; so that the ratios are common, but the terms ditlerent. Impartibility therefere, or an exemption from the distribution into parts of partial sonls, will he common to all demoniacal sonls. But an increase of the number of the ratios in partial souls, causes them to be in a greater decree partible than darmons, amd to descend, instead of abidine on high. And such as are the decisions of my oracle conceming these particulars.

What however, is the meaning of the words, "mingling he tempered?" Shall we say, that the mion in those lives has an arrangement more ancient and venerable than division? And how is it possible we should not asert this to lie the case! Formixture is in things which are divided, and arparation is consequent

[^279]to mivture.' In partial souls, on the contrary, Plato gives a precedancous order to minsling. For he says, "mingling he poured ont;" because in these, division is more abmalant. Aud Socrates in the lhaedrus says, that the horses and charioterers of other souls are minerled. The expresion lihewise, he poured out, is signititant of a downward progression and an inderinite' ${ }^{2}$ eflision. But if you underatand the pouring out in such a way, as if spoken of lipuid sulntances, perhaps you will see that this also is adapted to the soul. For moisture is a symbol of life. Hence both Plato, and prior to Plato the Gods, call the soul at one iime a drop of the thal vivification, but at another a certain fountain. Moreover, in the worls, " the remainder of the former things," we must suppose by the former the middle senera are meant. For Plato cannot speak of the mixture which is there, becmue the whole of this was consumed, in the gencration, distribution into parts, and harmony of the sonl of the miverse, as he there says. Of the midille genera however, it is evident that some of the natures are supreme, and intellectual, but others metlia, amb others last. But analogy makes some to be first, others media, and others to subsist at the cxtremity. For the extremes being different, between which there are media, it is necessary that the media likewise shonld analogronsly difier. Henere, we before observed that the impartible in each soul is one thing, and that which in divisible abont bodies another ; just as a ditlerent bedy is suspented from a different sonl. The extremes therefore twing difterent, the media also will be necessarily difierent. And through things inderd, which rank as the first, the Deminrens comotitutes disine souls, but thronsh media, darmoniacal souls, and liremsh such as rank in the last place, pratial souks. These last therefore, are now called, "the remainder of the former;" because in a certain respect they are similar, and subordinate to them. For the remainder is entirely assimilated to the whole of which it is a part, and is inferior to that which is more perfict, and has a precedaneons order. Hence, we must admit both those who say that these partial sonls are the remains of the midelle genera, and the divine lamblichus, who attributes an exempt transcendency to the genera which give completion to divine souls, and at the same time preserses the similitude and variety of all the middle genera.
"And these after a certain manner indeed were the same, jet were

- Instead of ris $\mu$ ev $\mu$ isewt, vac or eipp

- For apiorov here, it is necessary to read arpiotov.
no longer pure and incorruptible similarly, and according to the shme, but were of the second and third order."

Throngh what is lere said, Timans indicates the similitude of partial souls to those that are total, and their dimimtion, and ditherent progression. For he not only elemeribes the ditherences of them torrether with their alliance, accordiner to the first and second demingeric emerey, nor alone according the the mion with, and apparation from the Crater of life, nor according to the transeondency, or deficiency of eroma, but aho accordine to the mode of minture, which is the same, and get not the same. For minter the minture of the generit, nor the non-mixture of difierence is similar. For dithernere is more abmant in partial souls. Hence in these, one of the horses is enod, but the ohare bad ; and comsins of comtraries, as it is sade in the Phadros, heromph the predeminance of diversity. He shows therefore, that after a certain mamoer they are the same, and atso acrording to the pecaliarity of the whole composition. For the whole miatures hecome no longer fure and incorruptible, accorthing to the s.une, and smilarly, hat are of the serond and third ramhs; simer in these there is dimimution and araneroment. What therefore, are the nathers which he calls pure athed imeormptible f for they ate not such as are impasive omly? For it would be a small thins, if the divine dithered from the partial fremes of sonts, in this alone. For this atfection of passivity aceedes as the last thing, after the dight from real beiner, after the downwart propensity and indination, and after the deflavion of the wings ; as Socrates aho says in the Phadrus. It is better the fore to say, that the pure and incorrnptible signify, the immotable, the minelinines, the intlexible, and the entire and underiled form of esemere, which is neither converted to secoulary matures, nor recedives any mutation, nom dimimution of life, and which is whatished mote from all mortality, and is exempt from the lans of Pate. For these thinss are common to every gemus of somls, which always transernd indmation. But the contraries of there, are adapted to souls which are able to desednd into ereneration, to change thaid life from inte llection to action, to become at a certain time subject to the dominion of F ate, and to be mingled with mortal conecrns. Neither therefore is the immoveathe present with these according to the same things, since they sometime proced into pencration; nor when it is present wits them, is it present after the same mamer. Fow that whirl is always intellective, is more evcellent than that which semetimes departs from its proper intellectal energy.

Since however, in these sonls also there is an arangement, or order, and some:
of them are undefiled, lwing conversant with generation, and departing' from their own order, but for a short time. but others are involved in all-varions flowers, and wander for very extended periods, Plato also indicates the difference of these ly sayins, "that they acre of the second and third order." For sonls that descond, and are defiled with viec, are very much separated from those that always abide on high, and are without sin. But the media between these, are thome souls that deveend indred, yot are not detiled with vice. For the contrary of this is not lawfin, viz. to be defiled with vice, and yet abide on high. For coll is not in the Gods, but in the mortal place, and in material thinge. Arain therefore, from what has leen said, it appars that the first grnus of souls is divine. For every where that which is receptive of deity, has a ruling and leading order, in essences, in intellects, in somls, and in bodies. But the second genus of souls is that which is always conjoined to the Gods, in order that throngh this The third ermus is that which descends indeed into genoration, hut descends with purity, and exchames a more divine for a subordinate life, but is exempt from vice and passoms." For this is in contimuty with the sembs of souls, which always abides on high, and is always madefited. And the fourth and last genus is that whieh ahmolanty wanders, wheh descends as far as to Tartarns, and is asain excited from thence. It likewise evolves all-varions forms of life, uses vabous mamers, and at different times different passions, and assmes the various forms of anmals, the damoniacal, the hman, and the irrational, but at the same time is govermed by dustiere, recurs from eath to heaven, and is cirenbarly led from matter to intellect, but according to certain orderly periods of wholes. The words therefore, " no linser pure and incorruptiblc, similarly, and according to the same," sirnify that partial suals are in a certain respect incorruptible, viz. accordiner to essence alone; and that in a certain respect they are not incorruptible; viz. that acrorliner to enerwies, they are filled with all-various fat alities, are born along ${ }^{3}$ with tlowing and mortal beings, and that they do not possess these energies alrays ater the same mamer, and with undefiled purity, but sometimes in a qreater, and at others in a bess derree, there lecing an all-various ineruality in sonls, according

[^280]
Tim. Plat.
to their habitude to the mortal nature, through which they are deprived of purity and incorruptibility according to life.
> " But having constituted the universe, he divided souls equal in number to the stars, and distributed each into each."

Every order of souls is suspended from these two fountains, the demiurgic, and the vivific. And the first, the midelle, and the last parts of this order, proceed from these, and are defined through these Gods. Since however, in this order, some souls are more total, but others more partial, and some do not depart from their proper principles, but others proceed ats far as to matter, and some are leaders, but others have the relation of followers, the Deminerus placed the former over the latter, subjected the more partial to the more total, distributed the multitude of souls according to grencra, under their presiding (jeds, and subjected? according to herds, diflerent souls to the government of diflerent leaders. And now indeed, having constituted divine sonls, he mates partial souls the attemdants of them; and shortly after, he also arranges their vehicles when he produces them, under the divine circulations, and parts under wholes. For as soul is to soul, so is velsicle to veliche, and both to both, according to geometrical composition. But of this hereafter. Fur now, not having yet marle them to be mundane, he distributes them abont the starry eiods. For the word stars manifents the souls of the starry bodies. He divides thercfure, the multitude of sombs, "pual in number to disine sonk, and distributes cach into eath star, has mir, says Plato, constitnted the universe. Plato howeser, does not say that he made one mixture, as he did in the soul of the uninerse, camsing it to be one from three wholes, essence, same, and diflerent, and dividing souls from this by ablation. For he does not immediately from the misture introluce the distribution of these souly about divine souls, passing by the division into numbers and harmonic ratios, and the doctrine of the vehicle, but he comprehends at once all things, viz. the mixture, the section into parts, and the possession of figure, in the words, having constituted the miverse; from which lihewiee, all partial souls were distabuted and adorned. But he comstituted the multitude of all these souls. For the generation of each may bes said to be at constitution; just as he anmerted of the sonl of the universe, through its completion from many things. For he then said, "Since wll the constitntion of the sonl was effected comjormably to the intention of its composing artificer." Having constituted therefore, all the multitude of souls, he divided
them equal in number to the stars, separating the former from each other, according to the pernliarities of the latter.

Will you therefore say that he distributed them equal in number, so that one partial soml is amaned undor one of the stars, and that there are as many sonls in quantity, as there are stary Gods? For this may appear to be esident by his adelins, that mach sonl was distributed into each star. But how shall we say that this is a Platonic domma, simed Plato shortly after says, that the Inemiurgus disseminated some sonls into the carth, others into the sun, and others into the moon! For from these words, he leaves a multitude of souls in each star. May we not say therefore, that the erpal in mombermet not be surveyed monadically, Lut according to analory? Por in numbers, the decad is analogons to the monad, thirty the trial, fifty to the protad, and in short, all the numbers after the decad, to all within it, and the second are equal in number to the first. Nor is the pentad on this accoment equal in quantity to fifty, or the triad to thirty; but they have the equal according to analogy alone. For what the triad is in monals, that thirty is in decads. Perhaps therefore, the eqnal in number is thus to be assumed in partial souls. For since in each of divine souls, there is a certain appropriate number, which it antecedently and mically comprehends, this momber when expanded, defines the multitude of partial souls which are armared under it. And the mumber indeed of the sonls which are primarily smepended from it is less, hut the power is ereater; but of those that are secondarily mspended from it, the power is less, hut the number is greater. Each howerer, procerds analogous to it. For thas in numbers, the tetrad in tens, and in humdrods, and in thousands, is analogous to the first tetrad. These things therefore, may besaid, in answer to the present inguiry.

It may lihewioe be alded, that the form and the character accede to the attomants, from the leading Gods. But this form is momber, detining the peculiarity of life. As many therefore, as are the leaders, so many are the forms of life which follow these, as for instance, Saturnian, Jovian, Solar, Lumar, and in a similar manner in the other (iods. For the form orisinating from on hish, pervates as far as to the last attendants, and establishes all of them in a similitude with the leading God. For abont every God there are more partial Giods; angelic ordars mafoldiner disine light; damons, proceding towether with, or being the ghards, or attendants of the God; and the elesated and marnificent army of heroes, previously repressing all the disorder arising from matter, connecting the divine velicles, and purifying the partial vehicles which revolve about these, and assimilating the latter to the former. About every God likewise, there is a choir of undefiled souls, resplendent with purity, and a multitude of other
souls, at one time elevating the head of the charioteer to the intelligible, and at another, co-arranging themselves with the mumlane powers of the Gods. And of these, some are distributed' about one, but others about another power of their leading (God. Un this account also, in solar souls, some are suspended from the P'eonian, others from the prophetic, others from the demiuryic, and others from the elevating power of the God. In other (iods likewise, all the souls which are the attendants of the same divinity, have not the same order, but some are distributed about diflerent pewers of the (iod, and others participate more nearly, or more remotely, of the same power. All of them therefore, equally partake of the common form of their God. For in the Gods themselves, mion precedes multitude, and one sameness, the difference accordiner to separate powers. Hence, through these things it is evident, how souls are equal in munter to the stars.

It is necessary however, to mahe mention on this snbject of the conception of Acyllus, ${ }^{*}$ viz. that partial sonls are said to bee equal in momber to the souls of the stars, not according to a division about them, but according to a similar weneration with reference to them, no as to consist of the same equal fuantity of mmalers, of which each of the starry souls consists. Fur thus this mam interpets the equality in number of prertial to tutal somb, an signifying that each partial is divided into the same number of parts as the starry somb umber which it is arranged, and distributed by the father. Hence also, the former is similar to the batter ; so that all sonls do not possess all-various mombers, but some less and others more, the ratios in all of them leing the same. For llato does not define the numbers, but the ratios of the parts. We however, have befure oborved, that mothing prevents partial souls from diflering from those prior to them, in the multitude of the terms; and what the mode is of their diflerence, we have demonstrated. And them moch for this particular.

But now the first distribution of partial about divine sonls is effected, before they become mundane. For both according to a supermundane and mundane co-arrangement, parts are woren together with wholes. So that if in the temples which are here, there is a cortain establishments of those that

[^281]are initiated, it will convey to us an image of this demiurec co-arrangement of partial with total sonls, and of their distribution about their leaders. For divine sonls themstres are distributed about the powers of the total sonl of the universe: for they proced arcorting to the multiform powers contained in it, and are established, some of thom in the circulation of the same of this soul, but others in the prodods of the circulation of the differcut. But arain, about these divine sonls, partial sombs are arrangerl, distributing their total powers, being co-elevated with them to the intelligible, filled from them with immotable intellizence, and arranged by the number of their proper leablers. As therefore, these mumbane divine souls ascend throush the twelve Gods,' to the supercelestial place, thos also partial ascend to it, throush divine souls. For they are united to them, according to the supermmane co-armanement, which Timseus calls distribution, as being eflected aceording to the divine law, which is seated together with Jupiter, as it is writton in the (;orgias, and in conjunction with him adorns the more total and more partial orelors in the world. Hence there is one similitude of all partial souls, and adistribution accordiner to the empires of the Gods. And the Deminrgus is the cause of hoth. These things likewise pertain to souls in a supermumbere manner. So that the ditherences of sonk are not, as some say, from habitudes of a certain hind, but from their jeculiar essence. For the co-arrangenent of them is with elitlerent leaters, and the distribution of them is essential. For what they posses from the one fabrication, they posess according to essence.

Since however, partial sonls are said to be distributed about the stars, it is evident that they have the fourth oriler from the soul of the miserse. For with this somb, the souls of the relestial spheres, and also those of the subhunary spleres, which compreheme the whole elements, subsist. But under these are the stars, and such more partial genera of Gods ${ }^{2}$ as are comprehended in the wholenesses of all the heformementinned circulations. Aud lastly, muler these are partial souls. Hence the sonl of the miserse is alone unicersal. The souls that are allotted the government of the circulations, are uniecrsal fartial. Those that are comprehonded in these circulations, are vice versa, partial unircrsal. And in the dast place, partial souls have alome a partial subsistence. Plato therefore calls all the divine souls that are comprehended in their wholenesses

[^282]"And these are the satellites of the stars.
stars, whether in heaven, or in the sublanary region, giving to all of them a common name, from things known to all men. For all of them have entirely certain starry-formed vehicles; since Socrates also assimilates partial sonls leaping into generation, to the stars, accordiner to their vehicles. For he says, that some of them were disseminated by the Demiurgus about the earth, and the moun; as it would tee absurd, if partial somls were alone distribnted about what are properly called the stars, but the other Gods should not be leaters of the herds of souls, which exist in each clement analosons the then; viz. the arial, annatic, and terrestrial Gods, concerning whom he says, that they become vishle when they phase." But as we have sabid, he gives to them a comman mame from hinge olvious to all men, and in consegnence of not nerfereting to surver that which is sensible. ${ }^{2}$
"And causing them to ascend as into a vehicle, herpinted ont to them the mature of the universe,"

Such therrfore assag, as the ereat Theodorns, that the whiche of the sonl is the nature of the uniserse, wither speak conformatly to thines themselves, nor to the words of Plate. For meither is the nature of the matres the whicle of a partial sonl ; for it is sufficiont to such a sonl to condenc a patial mathere rightly ; nor rall we co-arrallg with what follows, the eyprosiom, he pminted out. Nor

 For where is the vehicle of a partial somi, whioh falls into ercheration, said to le a star, "own thongh your shoulal ap"ah of the copmoral star, nince a star always abides on high? But it setoms that hoth these wreperataded to adept this opinion,

 veliche le in: formed at the same time with the somb, it womld be suprothoms to repreant the Wemingras con-titutine the body first. 'los which may be added, that it has been before shown, that the Damiurgns produceal bedies in conjunetion with souls. If therefore, it be regni-ite both to follow thiner, themsedves, and the doctrine of Plato, it must loe said, that the nature which is pointed ont by the
 this incorporeal; and this whicle which is subservient to somls, another. It is

[^283]also requisite to say, that souls ascending into their vehicles, lecame citizens of the univern', procreded into, and were arranged in subjection to the whole world ; that sonls likewise were divided together with the stars; and that they surveyed Nature and the whole mundane order, heing themselves arranged above the nature of the world, hut receivine their own proper mumdane allotment. For in the first place, they were constituted; afterwards, they were distributed about the divine govermments; and thos, in the third place, they ascended into vehicles, surveyed Nature, and were auditors of the lawn of Fite; from all which, it is easy to perceive, that according to Plato, somls are superior to Fate, according to their highest life. For that which the father of wholes gave to them, is according to nature. Hence, as the [Chaldcan] Oracle says, " By understanding the works of the father, they fly from the shameless ' wing of Fate. But they lie in God, drawing vigorous torches, descending from the father; from which descending, the soul placks of empyrean frnits, the soul-nourishing flower."

What therefore is the vehicle of the soul, and how does the Demiurgus cause souls to ancend into it? It is requisite then to understand, that the great Iamblichus and his followers, are accostomed to say, that from all ether, which has a prolitic pewer, the composition of the pesehical vehicles is pronrated, divine bodies mether heine diminished, nor constituting these whicles by co-acervation, but procerdine according to divine lives, aud riviner morphe to partial pucumatic, substaners. It in necossary, however, to concerive in adrlition to this, what is more truc, that the daboration of the e whicles procceds from deminrgic canses. For the mater ${ }^{2}$ of every corporeal hypostasis cemstitntes these, who also preparesseats for the Gorls in the world. For he receives souls that are sent from the intelligible into the world, and gives difiernt abodes to different souls. The Demiorens of the miserse likewise, constitutes them, and he the first of all. IImee also, he now calses them to aseend into velicles, evidently producing the vehicle. For this was not fashioned in what has ben before said, but the Demiurgus himself, having constituted this torether with wholes, canses souls to ascend into it, and imparts to them the prineiple of their proper organs. For he is the Deminrgus of animals, and of the plenitudes of the miverse. Hence, he not only produces souls, but he produces them together with appropriate vehicles. And on a survey of the conception of Plato, we shall find that it is truly admirable and arcanc, since he does not represent the Demiurgus as fashioning these vehicles from wholenesses which previously had an existence, as neither does he

[^284]the vehicles of the planets and the fixd 'stars; but he says that the lhemiurgus P oduced these, the junior Gods lemding parts, and from these cansing the bodies to coalesce. This therefore, is an evident argunant that cact of these vehiches is in a certais respect selferonstituted, mal not fahrioated ly an ahbation of other things, leat it should $\mathrm{ln}_{\mathrm{e}}$ requisite that they shomld $\mathrm{ln}^{\mathrm{e}}$ agrain refunded into another thing. For every thing which mulnists hy an abscission of other things, since the almedision is acompanied with a diminution of the whole, must necessarily be entirely westored to the whole. For it is mesenary that e:arls of the wholes of the minerse shombl whays womain a whole; on which

 ed to mbint, us it was pemeraled by the leminterne. For how ean we any lonerer prearre the neml momdane, it we comapt the whicla? And how can that
 For if partial mouls were maperime to alife in componetion with whichex, the: womld



 suspendeal from them? But it is evident that they da und them, hath from what is axered hy sucrates in the Phade, amd in the lhadrus. Fior in the former,

 hicles of other somls that follone the (ionls, proced a ith diticult!, and srarcrly [oblain the cisun of the supercelestial place]. This therefore, may also he demomstrated
 us, ame are now recalled to our recollection.

From this lihewise, we may survey the diflirence of partinl and divine sonls. For in the hatter, the l) minerses placed the bodies in flee sombs, as being on all sides rompre lemded in them; the somls not lecins comertod to the subjects of their govermmon, but emplaying one immutable intallection. But in the former, he camses the sumb to avend into whides; beanse they are adaphed to be froquently vampished by lomelies, and to lee comeerted to the natures over which they preside, when they become parts of the miverse; in the same mamer as their whiches ate sulmervient to the laws of fate; and no longer purely live under the disine light of frovidence. And thas much concorning the velicle of the soul.

[^285]It is woth white however, again $t o$ recall to our remembrance, but with a certain accurate consideration of what has been frequently said, that since the whole of our soul is a medinm botwern an impartible escence, and that essence which is disisible about bodies, we clearly obtain this latter essence from its velicle. For a comaseent whicle is suspended from our sonl, having an appropriate life, in the same mamer as the veliches of divine and damoniacal souls. And this life is the partial essence, of which the soul antecedently comprebents the paradign; so that opinion is established in the soul as the paradiem of sense; but the power of deliberate choice, as the paradiem of the orexis in its proper vehicle, according to which it is moved to this, or to that place, and is impelled to do this thing or that. For these are proximately the partial natures in the soul, and prior to these, the difference in the sonl of the all-various divisions of its exsence, aceording to which it is distributed into parts, and possesses something which is impartible, and a whole. Since therefore, we assert these things concerning the separation into parts in the sonl, it is worth while to inguire, what we should admit the impartible cibence above our soul to be, sincer rach of the souls superior to ours has an intellect prior to it. For each of these partial somb has not an essential intellect abowe it. For if it hat, it womld always abide on high, in the same manmer as the sombe suprior to it, in consequence of intellect always detaininer it in the intelligibe, throngh always impartine to it its light. We have therefore abrealy said somethine concerning this, and more than once, and we shall now assert more clearly what we conceive to be the truth on this smbert, and what divinity imparts to our intellert. Ileuce, we have frequently spoken comerming what the impartible is in rach partial sonl, it beine a lling traly dubions, by ratending our intellect to deity. For to leave an intillect to rash, and this partial, is a thing by no means to be admitted. May we not say therefore, that cach of these partial somls is csentially suspended from a certain dirmon, every damon having a certain damonamal intellect above itself! A partial soul therefore, has the same intellect as the dirmon from which it is suspended, arranged as an inpartible essence prior to it. Hence, the damoniacal sonl primarily participates of this intellect, but the partial souls that are under it, secondarily, which also makes them to be partial. For each has a peculiar partible nature, but possesses the impartible, in common with the damons that are above these sonls, and to whom the impartible is peculiar. IIrnce demons abide on high, but partial souls sometimes descend, dividing themselves about bodies, as being more ardapted to these. For if in partial souls, the genus of difference is redundant, which makes them unable always to
energize according to all their powers, it necessarily follows, that they must be more familiarized with the life, which is dissible ahont body, and tre more remote from an impartible essence, and thos preserve the analorons to each of the extremes; just as the most disine of sonls, hirough a similitule twintellect, are more exempt' from partible natures, and are more united to the impartible essences above them, from which they are commacently supended; and alse cotablish an intellectual order in sombs. It appedrs likewine, that the intellect of carls darmon, as lxeing a whole and one, is the intellect of the darmen that proximately participates it, hut comprehends alow, the momber of the soul, that are under it, and the intellechas paradiems of them. Dath partial soul therefore, will hate, as an impartible essence, the proper paradign of itself contaned in this intelleet, and not simply the whole intellet, in the sathe mamer as the dermon who is exomtially the leader of these souls. We sat therefore, that the impatible of each partial sonl which is abore it, maty be more aremrately defined to tre, the ferm of it, which is comprehended in the cone intelleet that is allothed the eromerment of the datmoniaeal sories, under which cath partial somb inartared. And the both the asertions are true, that the intelleet alome of rach in extablinhed in the nature which always exist on high, and that cath is a mellim, latwern the impathble above, and the partible nature posterior to it. And tha much comermins these particulars.

But after what manner does the Deminerus point out the these sonls, the nature of the universe? Is it by comserting them to the world, and preparing them to survey the reasons contained in nature? 'This however, is to mate then less exceflent, and to convert them from separate: reanoms to such as are inseparable from sensibles. But the Demineste, on the contray, elevates souls to the intelligible, comerts them to himeli, sparates them from matter, and tills them with divine pewers and demisersie intedlertions. Maty it not be satid therefore, that having the canse of nature in himerlf, he comberts sonls to himself? Porevery one who points ont, entirely louhs to that which he indicates. But the Dimiurgus alone looks to thines prior to himseli, and tw himalf. He beholels therefore the nature, which he indieates to souls, in himeli. Fir he contans the mical prin-
 ration hoth of other things, and of nature. Aud as he antecodently contains bodies incorporeally, thas aho, he comprehemds nature supernaturally. These lhings therefore are rightly asserted. It is necessary however to speak after

[^286]another manner, not only placing idea in the Demiurgus philosophically, but likewine, as theologists teach, surveying Nature primarily, pre-existing intellecthally in the sisitic deity. For being surpended from thence, she governs this visible world, asimilatos material to immaterial reasons, and refers corporeal to primordial motions. But it is necessary, in survey Nature cecondarily, according ' to the mundane order of the vivific Godiless, conformatly w what the Oractes say, that immense Nature is suspended from the back of the (inddess. From her primary sulsistence howerer, in vivific thety, she proceds into the demiurgic intellect. It is likewise requisibe that souls should survey the fountains and reots of Nature in orderthat they may behold their own dignity and the total serios from whence they aro su-pended, and that adhering to this, they should contemplate the miverse. loor hy directing their view to Nature herself, they co-arrange themselves ${ }^{2}$ with Fate. As therefore, the Deminergs himself, by antecedently comprohendiner the paradigm of Nature, areverns the umiverse, thas also he is desirous that souls lookiner to the first and intellectual cause of Nature, should revolse on ligh, and conduet the whole world. For this is the highest allotment of souls. The Deminestis therefore, points out to soms, that fontal Nature, which preexints in the whole visitic Godless, contormably to that oracle itself of the Gods, which they delivered to their genmine mystics. But since souls have second and third lives, the Deminrous also gives to them the reasons, or productive principles of these.

## " IIc also amnounced to them the Laws of Fate."

That thi is the second speech of the Demiurgus, again proceeding to souls throurh worls adapted to souls, is evident. The former spech howner, of the Deminteras, is immediately addressed to the junior Gorls, as Gods of Gods. But the socoud spereh 'indicates that the Deminerns fills also these somes with words or masons. lat not immediately as he does the jomior Gorls. And the scope indied, in the former sperch, comprohends a representation 4 of providential reasons, hut in the latter, of the laws of Fate. Having therefore premised thus much, we say, letaking ourselves to the things which are the sulject of consideration, that Fate must not le said to be a partial nature, as some of the Peripa-

[^287]tetics, such as Alexander [Aphrodisiensis] assert it to be. For this nature is imbecile, and not perpetual. Bot we antecedently assume from common conceptions, that the power and empire of late are very great and stable. Nor is it the order of the mundane periods, as Aristotle says, who calls the increase which deviates from order, preter-fatal, as if this order was constituted by Fate. And the canse of order indeed, is one thiner, but orderitself another. Nor must it be said, that Fate is soml in habitude, as Theodorms asoerts it tobe. For such a form of life is not a principle in wholes. Nor is it simply Nature, as Porphyry says it is. For many things whieh are smpermatural, and out of the dominion of Nature, are produced by Fate, such as mobility, renown, and weath. For where do physical motions bring with the m the canse of these! Nor is it the intellect of the universe, as Aristotle astan says in a certain worh, if the treatioe concerning the World was writton by him. For intellect produces at once, all thinss which it produces, and is wet at all in want of a govermment which proceeds aceording to a certain perioil, and a continued and well-ordered serie's. 'This howerer is the pecnlarity of late, viz. a series of mans cances, order, and a periodical production. But if it be requisite to comprehend concieely the whole form of it, we mast say, that it is Nature according toits subpect, hat is deitied, and tilled with divime, intellectual, and perhical illmmations. For the order of the Gods who are called
 in Fate. For there impart ponern from themaders to the one life of it and the Deminrens of wholse, collects and mites all these sifts, amd all these plenitudes, and demonstrates them to be one power. For if the visible bodies are tilled with disine ' powers, by a much ereater priority is Nature deitied. And if the whole sisible world in one, moll more is the whole esselle of Fate one, and has its composition completely filled trom many cames. For beine supended from the providence of the (sods, and the demintric roodmes, it is remdered one, and gencrned according to rectitude by these; since it is a reason comsisting of reasoms, one moltiform power, a divine life, and theorder of thins that have an arranement prior to it. ${ }^{2}$ Hence also the ancients, looking to this variety, and multitorm nature of Fatt, were Ied to ditlewnt opinions concerningit. For some called it a God, on accome of its participation of deity. others, a damom, on accomet of the eflicacions and at the same time multiform nature of its production; others, intelleet, because a certain participation of intellect proceeds into it; and others, order, becamer every thing which is arramed, is imsisibly comprehonded by it. But Plato alone [truly],

[^288]surveyed the essence of it; for he calls it indeed Nature, but suspended from the Deminrens. For how otherwise could the Daminrens point out Nature, muless he contained the principhe of it in himself? How lihewise conld he annome the laws of Fite, atter pointing out the nature of the miverse, except hy constituting Nature, as the one eomected receptacle of these laws? But in the Politicus, he in a still clearer manner, suspends the secondary life of the miserse fom Fate, after the separation from the miverse of the ofe damon that geverns it, and the many damons that are the attemdants of that one. Hence, he removes from the world, their providemtial incuretion of it, and only leaves it the government of Fate, thoush the wolld always possesses both these, but the fable separates the latter from the former. For he says, that Fate and commasent desire convolve the world; just as the Chaldean oracles say, " Hat Nature malos over the worlds and worts, and draws downward, in order that heaven may run an eternal course; and that the other periods of the sum, the moon, the seasons, night, and day, may be accomplished." Thus therefore, Plato also says, that the second period of the world is convolved by Pate, hat not the lirst and intelleefaal period; all but eleanly awortine, that he conceises this Fate which prosimately moves the sensilde worlol, to te suppented frem the invisible providenee of the fiods. For establi-hing prior to this Necesity, the mother of the Pates, he convolves the world on her khers ; as he says, in the [10th hook of the] Repmblic.

And if it be reguisite to declare my opinion, Platoplace these three canses of order, sumewne to each other, viz. Adrantia, Nocosity, and Fate; the first being intelloetual, the serond sinprmmolame, and the thind mondame. For the Dematarshes, as Orphass says, was murtured inded by Adrostia. but associates with Necessity, and getherates Fate. And as Adrantia was comprehemene of the dis ine institutions
 all the mundane laws, which the Demimers now inseribes in sonk, in order that he may lead them towether with wholes, and may definitely asign that which is adapted to them, according to the different elections of lives. For on this account, an erroncous choice leads the sond to a dark and atheistical life, but a pious choice conducts it to heaten, under the guidance of atholes; becanse each choice is full of the laws of l户ate, and souls, as Plotinus says, letake themselves to the place annumed to them by the law which they contain. For this is the peculiarity of the providence of the Gods, to lead inwarilly the sulijects of their providential care. And why should this be wonderful, since Nature inserting material and

[^289]corporeal-formed powers in corporeal masses, moves them through these powers; earth indeed throngh gravity, hat fire through lesity? In a much greater degree therefore, do the Gods move sonls throngh the powers whic! they disseminated in them. Hence, if they lead sobls aceording to the laws of Fate, these laws are in souls, presexistiner inderd, intellectually in the Demiurens; for with him the disime law is extablished; hat existine in divine souls; far according to these, they are the leaters of the miverse, and partiefpated by partial sools; for thengh these, as they move thanselves, they had themselves to an apropriate place. And thrensh deliberate ehoied inderd, they arr, and act with mettude; but throngh law, they dietobute to themedses an order adapted to their former condact. When therefore, somb become mumbate, then also they sursey the penor and dominion of Palde, supemally smpended fom prosidence, and reweive the lans of Jesting. For the Deminergs perinted out Nitlure to them, as something dillerent from them, but he amomocel th then the laws of fate, as inecribing them in their asonce. For the deminere worls, proced thenerl the essence itself of sombs. As therefore, he inarted the words prior to these, in the junior Giods, thus also, he inserts these lans in partial souls.
"And showed the:n, that the first generation, distributed in an orderly manner to all of them, would be one, lest any particular soul should be allotted a less portion of generation than another."

Souls are asentially supermatmal, supermmodate, and beyond Fate, because they have their first subsistence s.jarate from this world; but according to their wehiches, and the allotments which they were destined to grovern, they were irenerated mundane by the Deminers, and recoived this order. Hence, after the suspension from them of their whicles, the Deminerus amounces to then the Jaws of Fate, by which they were allothed the grovermment of bodies. Just as if some ont being desirons' of political tmmolts and senaturial ollices, should imprart his wealth appropriately, but not yet perfeetly, ${ }^{2}$ such also is the condition of souls minder Fiate. For not only the vehictes of these, hat likewine of the (iods themathes, are led by Fate. In orter therefore, that these souls, torether with their whiches, may beome situated under the dominion of Fate, it is necessary that they should descend, and asoociate with ereneration, which is the second

[^290]thing after their semintion. For that is the leader; but this is a certain secondary diveribution of the vehieles, under the divine Circulation;' just as there was a diverihution of souls dhemselves, into the somls of the stars, "and which was efliched be the one demineric canse. Honee this distribution is perpetual, and it is imponihbe that there shmbl be ammation of sumblike leaders. It is therefore ako eflieded hy Fate. For this pewer has dominion owe periods, is comective hoth of total and patial periods, and is collective of similars to similars in disine and partial somb. For thromeh the mion of these with each other, their vehiches also are comasemt with each other. Hence, when apartial co-armanges iteele with a total soul, the vehiele of it also follows the veliele of the divine soul. And as the former imitates the imbllection of the latter, so likewise the body of the former admutrates the motion of the latter.

Ther first smination therfore, is that of whites, which not only charly shows the soul to he mundane, hut also co-arames the whole composition of it meder its proper leader. For it se one thine to le mumdame, and another to be lunar, or Meremrial; since the latter is a more partial form of life. And as the soml hasine accemed into its whide theomes a citizen of the miserse, so when it is discminated in cominuetion with ite whicle, it becomes a citizen of the humar, or solar,
 the manerer, canses it to be more multitudinons than the supermmotane life and it
 vonl to ohtain a mure partal dominion. After the smination howewer, every sonl has whe detinite welleration ; but somb mahe second and third deseonts, accordine th their own dections. There is therediare, one gemeration common to all of them. Far it is mecesary that enery [partial] soml shomld descend into gencration. For such a form of partial sombs, not being able to abide on high immotahly, beroms at cortan times sulynet the soppres of Necosity. But these souls receive abo forn the miverae, the martal form of life, and this outward burly, and in additun to these thimes, a physieal habitude. By leathing a sood life lownerer, they are also able white on the carth to be pmitied from the the things introduced hy Fite: so far as they have no rommmication with body, except what an abmedant neeessity reguires. For what effect can the works of Fate have on the Coryphan philosopher mentioned in the Theotetus, who astronomizes above the heavens, and who dees not even hoon in what part of the earth he dwolls? But when they are comerted to the body, it is necessary that they shombl have commmication with the gits of Fate. And when they are

[^291]vanquished by the mortal form of life, they become the slaves of Fate. For the universc uses them as irrational animals. And this again befalls them from themselves. For their choice was made after this manner, and having chosen, they lead a life conformable to their choice. It likewise happens to then from wholes. For every thing is conducted conformably to its natural aptitude; every form of life is of some utility to the univeres; and mothing in left disordarly or indefinite in wholes; but all things are led to symmetry of life. 'Thus therefore, souk accordine to progresion, proced from a life which is always well-arrangerl, and the first, to the lan and fated life, through lises of a middhe eondition. From an order lihewine, which is aboue Fate, they are distributed malter the laws of Fate, and tracel throngh the Fates, under the hrome of Necessity.

What howerer, must the first arderation le satid to he, which the phitosopher
 to somls? For there is not ofre opmion only ememmer it. Bat the disine
 what follows fowerrs his aseetion. For llater adds, as continoous with this, "Inat it ads necossary that hating disscminated them, \&ee." A certain other promon
 For it is requinite that each of these souls shombloe entirely consersant with generation; since this is the prealiarity of them. He therefore, simply determines that there is one certain dearent of tach sumb. But the solution delisered by our preceptor, is more acomate. For he say, that to avery partial sonl one descent is detine d, not simply, but according to cach period of the dirinely senerated nature. For it is not probathe that any ont partial noml, either of thone that are called undefiled, or of the that are capathe of la iner comtaminated with vire and of wamdering, should for every period abide on hieh. For the noul wheh is able to abide on high for one whole priod, immutally and withont inclinines to ermeration, camot descend into generation, in another perieel. For it has prearved itaolf free from guilt, during the evolation of all the figures of the universe. Siat there are alicays the same figures again and again. Farther still, the life of a partial sonl, is lons extonded than the period of the universe. Hence, if it is sufferently able to remain ' on high, through the whole of this priod, it is atlotted an immotable intellectual power. For it will live with insariable samemese throush the whole of time. So that if the whole of time in its evolntion, effects nothing new in this sonl, it is one of the beings that always abintr in a comdition conformable to nature. Hence, it is necessary that every partial soul shombld make one descent in each

[^292]period; but some souls a greater number of descents than others, in consequence of emploving an ahundant fredom of will. But Ilato calls this descent the first gemeration. And this is evident from his adding, when speaking of the allotments after the tirst gencration, "that the deprated soul should, in tine secomd generation, be changed into the nature of a woman." Hence be calls the first weneration, the desernt from the intellimible. But since the dirst takes place, after the semination of the whicles, according to which somls first become subject to Fate, on this accomnt he adds:
"But it was necessary, having disscminated them severally into the instruments [of time] adapted to each, that the most pious of animals should be born."

For this was mecessary, atter the semination into appropriate stars; and this is the first law of late, that enery partial som in each mundane period, shonld asociate with gremeration. For it is necescary that the perion of this sonl shomld le less extended than the priod of the moverer, and that this shomld lee common to all partial sonls. Ihat these souls diffier from each other, aceordine to the empire of the (Bods; for different herds of souls are arramed under the dominion of difierent Gods: and alon, accorting th the reasous which they eaert. For of the souls which are umber the wovermment of the same disinity, some chome a life adapted to them, but others do mot. Amd some partake of the same disinity, accordine to a diflerent power, but others also accordine to a difiorent order. For what, if some of the somls which are su-puded fom the prophetic power of the Sun, shonld exert a medical, or telestic ${ }^{1}$ life, but others a Mercurial, and others a Lunar life? For there is not the sane morle of variation in both. Farther still, souls likewise differ according to their doliberato choice. For though two souls should choose a telentic ${ }^{2}$ life, it is possible for one to be conversant with it with reetitude, but the other in a distorted manner. For each life recrives the well and the ill. So that if it be reyuisite to speak summarily, they are either under the dominion of the same power, chonse the same life, and live after the same manner; or being under the same power, they do not choose the same life, and live similarly; or they are neither under the same power, nor choose the same life, nor live after the same manner. For this is the last difference of all of them. So many therefore, are the modes of differences. For as there are three, we must either deny all, or affirm all; or deny two, but affirm one; or

[^293]Tim. Plat.
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vice versa, and this in a three-fold respect: viz. the extremes, of the midde; or the first and second, of the last; or the remaining two, of the first. Hence it is necessary that there should be so many differences' at first, of the choice and life of the soul.

As we havesaid however, one descent in each period is common to all these sonls, lest, as he says, a cortain soul should be alloted by the Demiurgus, a less portion of generation than another, being alone besides others, freypuently compelled to descend. That which is in our power therefore, is in these sonls mingled with necessity. For hy how much the more partial fret-will becomes, by so much the more is it diminished according to power. But in divine and damoniacal souls, the life is liberated, umpotrained, and easy, and exempt from all necesoty. Hence sonls mahe from themelues their first dexcent, and are led by Fate. And in these, the frecelom of the will is more abondant, becanse destiny also is essential to souls. For the law of Fate in them, la ads them to the first greneration, much more will this be efliected by the law which is in the miverse, and by the power of liate. Jut they mahe their first deneone or are diweminat. ed atome the single (;ods, in order that they may hate the ate as the ir saviours; in their wamdering about gemeration, and that they may imsohe them as their proper rurators. Since howewr, not only animals are constituted on the earth throngh souls of this hind, but likewise in the other elements; nor man alome, for this is known to us, but other amimals more disine indeed, yet at the same time generated; for that which lises for the shortent time, does not immerliately subsint after eternal animals, but that which lises for a more extended prerind ; and it is necessary that thone rational anmals which live for the boment time shentd exist prior to these that are most ohnoxions to death;-siner his is the rave, Plato comprehends all these in common, by saying, "it ads necessary that the most pious of animals should be born." For his is adhpted, as I may say, to all the partiejpants of intellect, and to those animals that are rapable of beine converted to the Gods. But in what follows, he speaths concerning the human nature.
"Since however, the haman mature is twofold, he showed them that the more excellent genus was that which would afterwards be cailed man."

The human species indeed, has been alrealy constituted, and every mortal nature, according to the demiurgic intellections; but the discourse dividing what has been constituted, first gives sub-istence to that which is more excellent, and

[^294]afterwards to that which is subordinate in this species. For Plato knew that the male is more adapted than the female to the demmersic intellect and the most divine of principles, and is more allied to immotable and undefiled sonls. Hence he leads sonl in their tirst descent into men. Thus also in the Phatrus, he leads the soul that hows most, into the generation of a man, and there likewise the socond and third, and as far as to the soul of the ninth rank. What then, shall we say, it is impossible for souls that have recently arrised at perfection, to pass into women? Or is it necessary that the soul that lives apocatastatically, should pass into the life of a man; or shall we say, that it may ako lead a life of this kind, when it comes into the nature of a woman? But if we admit the former, and not the latter, how can we any lonerer say, that the virtues of men and women are common? For if the latter never live cathartically, but the former frequently make apocatastatic lives, the virtues will be no longer common to them. To which may be added, the absurdity that Socrates having learned the mysteries of love from Dintima, shonh be clevated through her' to the beamifil itself; but that Diotima lierself, who elevated him, and who surpassed in wisdom, should not ohtain the same form of life, becanse she was invested with the bolly of a "oman. But if weadmit that women may live apocatastatically, it is absurd that souls should ascend from this mature, but by no means descend into it from the intelligible. For when they suffer a defluxion of their wines, they are nearer to a less excellent nature, than when they are winged, and the ascent is throurh the same things as the lescent. This therefore, is also evident from history. For the Sibyll, when she proceded into light, knew her own order, and manifested that she came from the (iods, hy saying,

Between the Gods and men, a mean am I.
Such therefore, are the necessary consequences from the things themselves.
But Plato delivering the progression and dimimution of life according to nature, first leads the soul into the generation of man, afterwards, into the gemeration of woman, and in the third place, into the brital nature. For the soul deseends from the undefiled and pure form of life, into that form which is robost, and retains intellect, but is material. From this it descends into that form of life which is material, and at the same time imbecile, but is receptive of an intellectual life. And from this form into that which is perfectly destitute of intellect. Thus also in the Republic, delivering the diminntions of life, he produces the timocratic from the aristocratic form of life; from this, the oligarchic ; from the oligarchic, the democratic; but from this the tyrannic. And it may be said that it is possible for the tyrannic to be generated from the timocratic, and a

[^295]democracy from an aristocracy; but Plato describes, a gradually subsiding mutation of political concerns, and conformably to this he here leads souls descending from the intelligible, into men. For he makes from them an animal, which would afterwards be called arr,p, man, recciving its appellation from grambear and tigor of nature; accordiner to which also, it is more adapted to souls that are now doscending. And thos muc! may sullice, in answer to the before-mentioned doulat concermine the first descent of souls.

From theer things therefore, we my collect as a corollary, that fathication and this miverse had not a temporal leximang. For if the miverse was werated from a certain beximine, it is also neco ary that the descent of sonls shomlal have taken place from a cortain begiminer, and that there should have been a lirat soul that dearemed. But dre Demimesis leats the first deserent of each somb into the gencration of man. Hence it is netesary that the derernt which mates man,
 this, the femate not yet existines. Neither likewhe, deses the desernt which mates man, impart a eremeration to woman, sime in this case it arould be possible for the female to be gencrated, nowe from the mate and femath; but from a cortain mate atome. If therefore, these thins are imposithe, it is imposithe that the male and the femate

 And the spech of the Demiomern is addresod to bein!s which are always wernerated in the minerne, and not to such as oner recoined a temporat beximing. What then, it may be said, shall we asoer: of the mate amd the femate? Are they not aho in souls themolses, so that of these some are of a virile, bout oflets of an effeminate nature! Sud how call it be sadid, hat this is not necesary? lour if these are in the dionls primarily, amd in semibhes altimately, it is also mecesary that they should exist in the media. For wheme is the proneresion of them as far as to a sem-ible nature derived, weept thromin the middle emente? Partherstill, if the Deminrans by commeting each soul with a velacle, produces a certan animal, ${ }^{\text {it }}$ is entirely necessary that the ditherence of mate and timate in the somb shomble at the same time be apparent. For thiv is the disision of amimal. Nust we not therefore admit that thene are in nomb? And how is it posible we alombl not, since they are asimilated to the teadine (bods? fior as they derive ewery other form from them, so likewise, hey recese from then the perntiarity of the

[^296]mate and the fomale. In their gemeration also, virile and efleminate souls are divided accordine to the ermera of the amimals which are liere. But as they
 beime remberol more elliminate, but ohers desecoling into a more robnet and vigorms finm of lif. For that which has the bom of bonad in this somsible revion, is mere intinte than the infinte which is thew [i. p. in somp prior to their deremt]; so that the lape will be entiely into that which is less excellent. The lapse, howeser, to that which is Iren extrllent, is at obe time, to that which is nearer to the more axelline, and at another, to that which is more remote from it, but is anaherous to what is there arraned. Thas abo it is said, that a Lunar sonl dearemded into the nature of man, in becomine the sonl of Musers, and that an Xpolloniacal somblecame the soml of the Siby. The falle of Aristophanes likewise, in the Banyme, manifists that souls are divided anoordine to the male and frmate, and that which is common from these [or that which is of the common gendere. It is also wident, that masculime somb do not entircly proceed into the
 ing, that every soml mahes its first deacont into men; and that this is natural to it, beranse in limales, the canse is comprehended, as we have before observed, of the malre in ammab.
" And as sunls are from necessity implanted in bodies, and one thing accedes to, but amother departs from, these bodies."

Sonk while they abide on hish with the father, and are filled with intelligence, from intellectmal mature are not at all in wat of the mortal-formed life. For they
 govern in conjunction with then the whole world. Jint when they dencond into zemration, berome comered witha material buls, and are allotted an mandue

 hend the smmmits of this ${ }^{2}$ life in the spirit. For this which is the principle of sense, herives its subsistence both from sonls themeders and from the junior Gols. From sonts indeed, beramse they have dominion over the whole of the irrational life, which they likewise adorn; but they would neither govern nor

- For $\eta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n}$ here, read oisp.
${ }^{2}$ Hor aurwa liere, it is necessary to reall aurna.
${ }^{1}$ In the oriminal, the words ana mapa rur $\psi$ vour are omisted, but from what immediately follows, it is cident they ought to be inserted.
adorn it, unless they were causally the leaders of its essence. But it also derives its subsistence from the Gots, becalse parts energize together with wholes, and fecundity is present with partial souls, throngh a co-arrangement with wholes. If therefore, when souls were implanted in bodies, then the junior Gods prodnced the mortal life, and made amother mortal animal, it follows, that the amimation of the material body is one thing, whether it subsints in simple vestments, or in such as are composite and testaceons, ${ }^{1}$ and the amimation in the vehicle of the soul another. And the latter indeed, being immortal, the Demiurgns conatitntes, but the former, which is mortal from the begimaner, derises its subsistence from the junior Gods, because it is ineparable from material berlies. The peculiar life also of the vehicle differs from the rest, in the same manner as the immortal from the mortal-formed life. But the life which subsiats in simple vestments, differs from the life in the composite body, trecanse the latter follows the tomprament of the body, but the former may be dinciplinel, and is able to predominate over the corporeal temperaments. 'The vohicles therefore are triple:' for they are either simple' and immaterial ; or simple and material ; or composite and material. Of these also the lives are three; the first, immortal; the second, more lasting than the body ; and the third, perishing with the body. And then much for this partienlar. But the word implanted, manifents wemesiurerie somination, wether with at the same time signifyine that the form of life is self-perfect; just as a plant is ingrafted into another nature. The additon aloo of the words from necessity, manifest that the semination is material, but not divine and celestial.
" He declared to then that in the first place, one comascent sense, produced by violent passions, was necessary to all ; in the second place, love mingled with pleasure and pain ; and in addition to this, fear and anger, and such other things as are either consequent to these, or naturally discordant from being of a contrary nature."

The: Demiurgus comprehended all the material and mortal-formed life in three boundaries, and insorted the eanses of this in souls, in urder that they might rule over and subtue it. For dominion is not derised from any other source than essential precedency. The irrational life therefore, smbists intellectually in the Demiurgus, but rationally in sunts. Nor is this at all wouderful, since body sub-

[^297]sists incorporeally in the intelligible canses of all thiners. What else however, can we saly that each of these powers is, tha: a corporeal-formed and material life, which is anosic of thines that fall on it externally, produces this knowledge thromgh otrans, iv not dependant on itself, but on the things which it uses, is mingled with material masses, and hnows that which it knows accompanied with passion? For not cery passion which is produced in the animal, imparts to us a semsation of itwelf, hom that which effects much aritation, as Socrates says in the Phibhus. For it is necessary that a certain argitation should be prodnced about the senworia. For mether are all the motions in the soul distributed as far as to the lowly, hat there are some, such as the intellectual, which pertain to the soul itself ${ }^{2}$ by itsolf. Nor do all the motions about the body fextend as far as to the sonl, hat there are some which throush their obscurity, are mable to move the soml. Sence therefure is producerl, not from all passions, hint from thome that are violent, which tanse morl agitation. And the mortal-formed sense indeed is partil!e, is minged with bassions in its decivions, and is matorial. But there is another seme prior to this, in the whicle of the sonl, which is, as with refernce to this, immatorial and pure, and an impassion howledge itself solosisting ly itself, yet not liberated fron morphe; became it also is corporeal-formed, as being alloted its hypuntasic it hody. And this sense inderd, has the mane mature with the phamtasy. For one esenere is common to loth; yet externally proceeding, it is called somse; lut remaining within, abl beholdiner morphar and firures in the spirit, it is denominated the phantasy. Sofar likewise, as it is divided about the -pirit, it is sonse. Farther stial, opinion inderd, is the basis of the rational life, but the phantasy is the smmot of the second [or irmational] life. And opinion and the phantasy are conjoined to each other, and the irrational is filled with powers from the more exeellent life. But the middle of the irrational life is unrectptive of supernal forms, but is alone recpptive of such as are externally sitmated. And at the same time, it is common, and hnows that which is sensible passisely. But the material sense is alone perceptive of things which fall on it externally, and move it, not lueing able to retain the spectacles in itself, in consequence of being parthle, and not one. For it is divided about the sensoria. The impassive therefore and common sense is rone thing; the sense which is common hot passive another; and that which is distributed and passive another. And the first of these indeed, pertains to the first vehicle; the second, pertains to the irrational life; and the third, to the animation of the hody.

[^298]After sense, however, Plato arranges desire.' But this is life indeed, and cor-poreal-formed, always reweaving the body, and alleviating its wants; about which also, pleasure and pain are surveyed. For these passions are likewise present with the other parts of the soul. For both in reason, and in anger, your may assume pleasures and pains. Corpureal pain and plea-nre, however, are eremerated accordiner to desire. For of the hody the path to that which is preternathat, and the prisation of life, produce pain; but the return to that which is condimable to nature, and the adaptation to life, produce pleasure. And that which in these passims is a-shated, or exhilarated," is the epithymetic part. Since howener, these two pasions are primordial, and the fommains of the other pasions, an Plato says in the Philebus, and in the Lans, hence horongh the misture of these, he
 sure and pain. For lose pertains to all thing. And sof far interel as the ohject
 yet present with it in enery, lone is minged with patin. Plato aho daraterizes the whole hife of desire through lore, becamse this paston is mont vehement aloont it.

In the thirel place therefore, he emmerates ancer. But anger is a life, remeving every thiner which pains and disturbe the bedy; on which account atso the fear of
 surveyed about it, such as audacity and timidity, and the athendants on these, ambition, contention, and all such effects as are prodnced from antomishment about mortal concerns; the superior sonl tmployine this bite, in orther the motion of the bedy. And these three gemesmesie powers indeed, hate the following orter. The body, as soon as it is born, accorting to the progression of generation, participates of sense. For it would not be an amimal, nor would it possess appetite, maless it wre gemerated semsitive. For appetites inducd, are accompaniod with sense, but senses * are not cutirely accompanied with appetites. Hence, the animal is in a greater degree characterized by the sensitive, thans by the orectic. But after the participation of sense, the borly appears to

[^299]he pleased and pained. And it is contracted indeed, by the external cold, bit refreshed by the swaddling bands, and led to a comdition conformable to nature. But atter desire, as an increase of years accodes, it everts the passion of anger. For anger is unw the power of a more robmet and vigoroms mature. Hence also • of irrational amimals, such as are more matorial, live according to desire alone, and participate of pleasure and pain; but such as are more perfect, art alloted a more irascible life. Prior however to these appetites, as we hase said respecting sense, there is a rertain smmmit of them in the premma or spirit of the soml. And this summit is a certain impulsise power, which is motive indeed of the spirit, but grards and connectedly contains the essence of it ; at one time being extended, and distributing itselfinto parts; but at another, being led to bound and order, and regulated by reason.
"And that such souls as subduc these would live justly, but such as. are vanguished by them, unjustly."

How therefore can soul- suldur these corporeal lises, exeept by possessing the canses of them? For through these they rember them more concordant [with reason]. For every thine which naturally has dommion wer the pascions, contains in itself the reanon [or productive primeiple] of them; in order that by looking to this, it may detime the meavires of their motions. Thus the anger in the breast was suppresed by Ulysses; for it had beon already diseiplinel by him. But the soul ako adorns external anger, in order that its metion may ber just. If however, this inward anger in him hat committed itself to passion and material motion, it would have entirdy corrypted the other well-ordered disposition of his soul. Hence when souls suldue material passions, and adorn their inward lives, they live justly; hut when they are sublued by them they imperceptibly fall into injustice. For following the immoderate applites of the body, their powers become inordinate, and unadorned, and are extended about generation, in a greater degree than is tit. But how do they at one time follow justice, and at another not? For it was hefore said of them, "Of those that are alatays willing to follow justice and you." May it not he said, that they are always indeed willing to follow justice and the Gods, but that they do not always follow them, for the reasons assigned in the Gorgias, which distinguish true will from the opinion which is governed by appearances? Or may it not be said, that souls follow justice and

[^300]Tim. Plat.
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the Gods, in consequence of a divine nature presiding in them? For he who wishes that which is good, wishes to follow justice. For this is what was said in the before cited passare, viz. "that a divinc mature has dominion in those that are "tanys willing to follow.justice and the Cods." For the disine part of me mathrally fullows justice ; bnt the irmational foms of lite follow the divine mature which is in us.
"That he also who lised well during the proper time [of his abode on the earth] again proceeding to the habitation of his hindred star, should enjoy a happy life."

Again, these thing arelikewine effected by souls themselves. Forlaingself-motive, they arrare themerlves in an appropriate place. But lhey are also effected by Fate. For this power defines the appropriate allotments of cadi soul, and coadapts them to the proper forms of lifi. And in the third place, they are eflected by the Guch, who dinpose in an orderly mamer the parts of the nomerse. For they dintribute to all hings that which is aceording to deart ; and on this account it is said, that Instice procereding to the manerse from the middle sphere of the Sun gonerns all things according to rectitute. As in wholes, however, Justice following Jupiter, is the asenerer of thone that desert the disime law, thes atso, the emery of Ju-tice about somb, adorns those that forget the laws of Fate, and exchane for a more excellent, a subordinate life. And thus much in common as to these particulars.

But what is the proper time, what the kindred star, and what the huppylife? The proper time therefore, is such as that which Plato defines in the Plaedrus, to the sombs which are circulaty led fem hence, atter the tirst wemeration, viz. a thonsand years, or some other prodod of this hime. For as this time pertains to those that chocise a phitowophe life, so amomer prion more or less extended, is adapted to those that mahe a ditherent choice, this time not subistimer monadically, but being defimed accordiner to the form of life. But the kimbled star, is that about which the distubution of monls and their whiches is made. So that if there are some nouls, which tron the tirst, have their allotment abont the earth, these after the tirat life, following duntior, and the Gods, will return to the ethereal vehicle or the whene corth, relimpuinhine the terestrial buth. Ame in
 real whiche, the will themedses be filleal with intednectual life, but will till their vehiches with divine light, and demingele pewer. If alse, there are certain somb that proced about the Sim, thene returning to their wholemess, will tosether with it dispose wholes in an orderly mamer, being allotted through a co-ordination
with it, a power of such a kind, as not to depart from the intellection of themselves, in their prosilential attention to the miverse. Ant the happy life, is that life wheh is defined according to the pernliarity of the leating powers. For these are in the orter of damons, having partial sonls in their poseresion, and elevating them to the intelliwible, in the same maner as the leathers of the likerated Gods.

 every where, that which is proximately established above the nature which is thonght dewering of its providential care, has the order of a beneficent damon with respect to it.

It is requisite aloo to survey the minterrupted comesion of the theorems. For Plato coustituted sonls from the deminric and visitic camse, and after their generation, arranged different partial, under diflerent divine sonls, mahing the progression and distribution of them to be supermmodane. After these divine sombs also, he introduces partial sonls into the misere, gives them wehicles, and distributes them about the stars. In the next place, he leads them into gemeration, and imparts to them the mortal form of life: and after these things, divides the lives of them, and distributes allotments atipted to their lises. For the prosession th them, is from supermundane naturesinto the world ; but the ir deseent from total life. is into gemeration. Now therefore, sinere he speaks of sonk that are restord to their himbed sar, after their tirst emeration, and says, that leavine the boely they whain a happy lite, how can we show that theoe things accord with what is anerted in the Placedras? For there he who choosos a philesophic life, is restored to his printine perfertion, through three lives. Or may we not say that the altotment which is here delisernd, is not into that from whence each soul originally came; for that is eflected thronsh three periots, each of which comsists of a thousand years; but is a return to the star, under which it was essentially arransed, and in conjunction with which, it pessesses a common life? For it is possible for souls that have not led a philosophic life, to be elevated by Justice to a certain place in the heasens, and there to receive the reward of the life which they passed in the hmman form. For this is asserted in the Phardrus of the souls of those that are not philosophers. For the apocatastasis into the same situation again, is one thing, but the ascent to the kindred star another. For the former requires three periots; but the latter may be effected through one period. And the former elevates the soul to the intelligible, from whenee it descended; but the latter leads it to a suhordinate form of life. For there are different' measures of felicity, and the return is two-fold, one of ascending souls, but the other, of

[^301]those that have ascended. So that it is possible for the soul that arrives at its kindred star, either to be co-arranged with the mundane powers of its $\mathbf{C}$ :od, or to proceed still higher. Its recurrency however, to the intelligible itself, reepuires a period of three thousand years. For through this, the highest winged condition is effected.
" But that he whose conduct was depraved, should in the second gene-! ration, be changed into the nature of a woman."

We have before obsersed, that Plato does mot call the semination of souls the first generation, but the one descent from the intellisible, common to all partial souls. He ealls therefore, the seeond descent, the secomd generation, and makes the stcond descent to lee, into the nature of a woman; just as the third is into the brutal nature, indicating ly this, the well-ordered diminution of life. Thus also in the Phadra, he denominates all the lives sucesonely after the first gencration, confurmably to the acomel liver.
"That both these, at the expiration of a thousand years, should return to the allotment and choice of a second life; each soul receiving a life conformable to its choice. And that in this election, the hmman soul should pass into the life of a brute."

Plato here exhibits another order of life, and leads the sout from a more powerful to a more imbecile nature, and from an intellectasal life, to one deprived of intellect. For why is it hecessary that the soml should not descend from the first generation into the nature of a woman? For if the female crems sulninted throwgh an alerration from the mate, it womld be mecessary that souls recently perfected, should hegin from that which is according to nature [i. e. should trexin from the male only]; since that which is prommatural is every where posterior and adventitious. But since the female nature is also in the Gods, what should prevent souls, in this repect imitating their proper leaters, from not only choosins lives alapted to them, ${ }^{2}$ but also the nature of amimals allied to thom? It is not however wonderful, that altemations shonld tahe place, as we before observed. For that the male and the female not only subsist in mortal natures, Lut also in the

[^302]impartible lises themselves of somls, may be inferred by again recollectine what was before asomed, viz. that these semal differences are both in the natures prior, and posterior to partial sonls. It is not proper however, to be incredulous, if intotal sombs, the vehicles are comasemtly conjoind to them, but in partiat sonls, they arr sometimes conjoined, and sometimes not. For in the former the colligation is esontial, but in the latter, is the eflict of deliberateremere. Hener in the former, the disision of the vehicles is amaradedo the essence of the soms, bot in the latter, to the differences of their choiee. And this may be inferred from what is asserted in the Iatupet, in the fable of Aristophames. For there, as We have hefore observed, the disisions of somb afcordiner to there whieles, and the altemations of choice in there, about the mortal life, are clearly delimerel. If therefore, yon molerstame in what is said, that the nature of woman is immerliately implied, I should this interpret the words. But if esery form of life, which is imbeceile, efliminate, and verging to amoration, is symbolically signified through woman, as some prior to us, and these no casmal preans, have thonsht, the worts will mot al all repuire such a solntion as the above. Bht yon may adopt rither of
 diouly cunceats many thines thromstambels. Whicherer of these solutions therefore is adopted, it is evident that the somb in its first descent, is not implanted in the female mature.

From this also, I asome that accordiner to Tinacus, the soul, man, and in short the misores, are mberotten. For if the soml was eromerated, amd desended at a certan time into the first sempation, it womh impart the life ot a man. but this man beine entively emerated, womld be gemerated from a female; and this femate would haw a sonl, which is cither the first that has desermeded; and if this be the case, the assertion of Thamens is false, whe lo:ads the somb in its first descent into the mate; or it is wot the first, and prior th this fomale, it is necessary that the soul of a male should hase generated the soul which is in it. But again this male must have been senerated a mald from a female; or if this is not admitted, its existence must be from chance. If howesor this be the case, it will be in vain, a fomale not existing. from which and in which, the male may wenerate. 'This female likewise, in the same manner as the male, must either be from chance, and have a soul which is the first that descended, and was enemrated with it; or must be generated from the male. But this is impossible. Hence, neither of these was onee generated, nor does the soul, and much less does the universe, pertain to generated natures.

[^303]"And that in case vice should not even then cease in these, but should remain according to a similitude of the mode of gencation, then the soul should ahways be changed into a brutal nature correspondent to its disposition."

It is usual to inventigate what the deneent of souls into irrational animals, must be said tobre. And some inded think, that what are called brutal lives, are assimilations. of men to brutes. For it is not persible that a ratimal ensence shomblucome the soul of a brute. Rot others admit, hat it may lee immediately introduced into irrational anmals. For they say that all sonls are of a similar form, wo that they may !ecome wolvo and heparts, and the marine fishes called pmenmones. The trou answor however to the inguiry in this, that the human son! may enter into bruto, hut so as to posese it preper life, the inserted soul riding

 the Phardrus; in which we have aton shems that this is the only mode of insertion. It therefore it twe requisite to remind the reader hat his dectrine is Platonic, it may aho he obserred that in the Remollic, the soul of Thersitess is said to have been inuand with the mature, and met the bedy, of an anw; and in the Phaedrus, the soul is said to dererond inter a brutal life, but not into at brutal budy. For the life is in conjunction with the proper -oul. And herr, 'Timecus says, that the soul is changed into a brutal ntture. For the brotal nature in not the brutat hody, but the life of the hrute. And this, as we hate satid, may lwe asomed from our Commentarios on the Phadrus. But that it is imponithe fir a recontly perfected soul to become the soul of a bente, we may recollect from this, that brutality is somethime beyon all hman vier, as Aritulte aho says. Hence, it is necessary first to haw hman sice, and the afterwarts the viore adapted to brutes. For it is not posible from the most contrary forms of life to have their perfect contraries. Hence Timarns says, "Amel in case rice should not cren then cease in these," ly then signifying in the descent inte women; according to which mode of descent, heoming depraved, they are changed into a brutal nature of this hind. For from the first and more intellowtual' furmes of life, the more arational are produced hrough diminution; from the finms that are mure remote from habitude, those that subsist in habitude; and the more imberile, from the more rubnst. Since however, vice is multiform, the brutal nature may be survey-

[^304]ed in each; and on account of this, the soul may be connected with similar animals, as I'lato shows in the Plardo. For the brutal' nature in injustice, renders men wolves; in timidity stars; and in chotony asses. For cach of these entirely possesses a certain tramsendency, which departs from hmman depravity.
" And that it should not be freed from the allotreent of labours, till following the revolution of that same and similar nature contained in its essence, it vanguishes those abundantly turbulent passions, tumultuous and irrational, adhering to it externally ${ }^{2}$ afterwards from fire, water, air, and carth, and returns to the form of its first, and most excellent habit."

The one salvation of the soul herself, which is extemded by the Deminersus, and which lilwrates her from the circle of semeration, from ahmadant wandering, and an ineffieacioms life, is her return to the intellectual form, and a thight from every thing which maturally adheres to us from eremeration. For it is neressary that the soul whicls is hurled like serd into the realus of gemeration, should lay aside the stubble and bark, as it were, which she obtaned from beine disseminated into these fluethatine reams; and that prifiging herself frome every thise circumjacent, she should become an intellectual flower and fritit, delightine in an intellectual hife insmad oi doxastic nutriment, and pursuing the miform and simple enery of the preriod of samences, intead of the abmentanty wanderine motion of the period which is charasterized be dillirence. For shat contains rach of these circles, and two-fold puwers. And of her hormes one is sood, but the other the contrary. And one of these leads her to seneratem, but the other from generation to true being. The one also heals her romd the genesimerie, but the other round the intellectual circle. For the period of the same and the similar, devates to intellect, and an intelligible nature, and to the first and most pxeellent habit. But this halit is that according to which the soul being winged, governs the whole world, beroming assimilated to the Gods themselves. And this is the universal form of life in the soul, just as that is the partial form, when she falls into the last body, and lecomes something indonging to an individual, instead of belonging to the universe. The middle of these also, is the partial

[^305]universal, when she lives in conjunction with her middle vehiche, as a citizen of generation. Dismissing therefore, her first habit which subsists according to an alliance to the whole of gromeration, and, laying aside the irrational nature which comects her with wemeratom, likewise qoverning her irrational part hy ranom, and evtending opinion to intidlect, she will be circularly led to a haply life, from the wanderings alomt the rexions of somes ; which life thase that are initiated by Orplows in the mysteries of Bacchus and Proserpine, pray that they may obtan, therebre with the allotanents of the sphere, and a 'ssation' of cevil. But if our sonl necessarily lises well, what lising areording to the circle of samemes, mueh more must his be the ease with divine souls. It is, however, possible for our soml to lime arcordine to the cier of samemess, when puritite as Plato sats. Cathatie sirtue, therefore, alone mont be called the sahation of souls; since this cuts ofl, and whementy obliterates matarial naturs, and the pas-inns which alluere to ns from or meration; mparates the soul and leads it to intellect; and canses it tol lean on corth the whiches with which it
 aerial, apmatie, and terrestrial; amd thas at lant enter into this gross bulk. For how, withont a medimm, conld they proced inte this body from inmaterial spirits? Hence before they come into this lunly, they posess the irrational life, and its whicle, whel is prepared from the simple elements, and fom these they become inserted wath tumult, for the eromesiurgie body, which is so called as being forign to the comate whicte of souls, and as composed of all-varions vestments, and causing somb to become heaty.

The word adhering lihewise, manifosts the external circumponition of a vehicle of such a hind as that of which he is speahing, and the colligration to the one nature contancel in it ; ater whith thin late hody, consisting of things disimilar and multiform, is smspended from somb. Foi how is it possible, that the descent shonld be [immediately] from a life which groverns the whole world, to the most partial form of life? For this particular amd indivisible outward man eamot be connected with the minerse, but a pior deactat into a metimu between the two, is entirly neessar! ; whid modimm is not a certain animat, but the supplier of many lives. For the dearnt daes not directly produce the life of a certan man, but prior to this and prior to the arderation of an indisidnal, it produces the life of universal ${ }^{2}$ man. Antl as the lapere is from that which is incorporeal ints body, and a life with body, accorting to which the soul lives in conjunction with its

[^306]cellestial whicle; so from this, the desecnt is into a renesiurgic body, according to which the sonl is in generation; and from this, intu a terrestrial body, accordin; to which, it liwe with the testaceomshody. Mence, hefore it is surounded with this last body, it is invested with a body which comnects it with all generation. And on this account, it then leaves this body, when it leaves generation. But if this be the case, it then received it, when it came into gencration. It came howeror, into generation, prior to its lapse into this last body. Hence prior to this last body it received that vehiche, and retains the latter after the dissolution of the former. It lises therefore, in this whicle throngh the whole of the wemesimergic period. On this account, Plato calls the odhering tumult, the irrational' form of life in this vehiche; and not that which atheres to the soul in rach of its incarmations, as being that which circulaty iment it from the first. 'The comascent shicle therefore, makes the soul to fer mondane ; the second vehicle, causes it to be a citizen of generation ; and the testaceons whicle makes it to be terrestrial. And as the life of sombs is to the whole of gemeration," and the whole of generation to the world, so are vehicles to each other. With respect to the circmuposition also of the velicles, one is perpetmal, and always mmolame; another is prior to this outward body, and ponterior to it ; for it is both prior to, and subsists posterior to it, in creneration; and a thind is then only, when it lives a certain partial life on the earth. Plato therefore, hy wing the torm adhering, and by suspendiner the irationat nature from the soml, accoreliner to all its lises, dintmeni-hes this irrational nature from this outward body, and the peculiar life of it. Bat by adding the words caternaly, and afteracards, he distinguishes it from the commasemt vehicle in which the Deminrens mate it to desent. Hence, this vehicle which causes the soul to be a eitizen of generation, is a medium betwren both.

Timans therefore, knew the vehicle of the irrrational life, which adheres to us prior to this outward body. For that this irrational and tumbluous crowd, which adheres to ns, from fire, earth, air, and water, does not pertain to the first velicle, is evident. For again, this must be urged, in consequence of some of the interpreters not fathoming the depth of the theory of Plato concerning the prychical vehicles. Hence, some of ihem destroying the [first] vehicie are compelied to make the soul to be sometimes out of all body. But others preserving it, are forced to immortalize the vehicle of the irrational life ; neither of them separating

[^307]the comnote from the adherent vehicle, the prior from the posterior, and that which was fanhomed ly the one Demingras, from that which was woven to the soul by the many I emiurgi, thongh these are elearly distingnished by Plato. It is evident therefore, that this irrational crowd is not in the connate velicle of the soul, into which the Deminerns camed the soul to ascend, for Plato charly says, that " it athered to the soul afieruards." It is lihewise manifest, that neither is it the life in the testaceons boty. For if it "rre, how is it that he says, that the soul in Changer its bodies, "ill not le freed from the allotment of labours, till it sublues the tumultuons and irmatomal crowd, which afterwards athered to it? He says therefore, that the sonl exchanges one life for another, and that the irrational erowd adhered to, hut is not commate with it. For this would be to change that which is apropriate and allied to it. Ihenee, in each of the lives of the sonl, there is nut a matation of the irrational life, as there is of bodies. "This life therefore, is dittierent from the entelecheia, which is one in cach budy, and inseparable from it. For the one is intrevent, descending with us into the reatms of gentrat tion; but the wher is chamed torether with bodies, from which it is inseparable. Hence, 'Timans hnew, that the irrational life is different from the lite of the first vehicle, and from the life of the last body. It is ditlerent from the former, becanse he calls it pesterior, and from the latter, lwecanse it is not changed in conjunction with the outward body. For it is mecesary that the sonl shombl sululue it, when it is present with it. For the semb is sparated from the entelecheia of the hody, and changing its boties betwern the life: of the ethereal vehiele, and the life of the testaceons body, it accomplishes the genesinegic period. It is howeser, disturbed by the irrational life. But to the rejection of such vehicles as these, which are mentioned by Plato, who particularly names each of the elements, the philosophic life indeed, as he says, contributes; but in my opinion, the telestic art is most efficacions for this purpose ; through divine fire obliterating all the stains arising from generation, as the Oraches teach us, and likew ise every thine foreign, which the spirit and the irrational mature of the sonl have attracted to themselves.
 to souls, in order that he might not be the cause of the future depravity of each."

In what is here said, Plato gives completion to the doctrine of the first fabricatom, but is established at the loeginning of the second; preserving indeed the
formor, liberated, monadic, exenpt, undefiled, and momingled with subordinate natures; hut suspending the latter from it, and delivering all the measures, the arranemem, and the homdaries of prodnction, as the consegnener of the latter, hing perfected and soverned hy, and receivine all these, from the former. Such therefure, is the scoper of the proposed words. Directing our attention howenor, th the demingie sacred law, we mut not say, that it resembles the law of a city, which a bman legislator establishes, as enorgizing only according to existence. For the demingeic will precoles the enersy which is alone established in existence, for in a shbsistence according to being ;] and in short, it is not lawfol to consider human as the same with divine concerns. For the former, though they are sometimes assimilated to a disine nature, yet are partibly assimilated, so as in one respect to imitate the stability, in another the eflicacy, and in another the perfertive power, of divine natures. Nor must we admit, that a sacred law of this himd is amhignous; as for instance, that if some one should make these things, those will follow, but if not, the opposites to these, will be the result, according to a dissimilar intellection. For the father of wholes cansally comprehends in himself, all ellects; not apprehemding then by indetinite, but by stable genera uniformly, and perecising generated naturs in an mberotten mamser, things continent neressarily, and partibles impartibly. For time and phace, were generated together with the miverse. The Deminges therefore, of the miverse, established in himedf the primeiples of all thinss, whont time, and without interval. Hence, it is neessary to admit, that the demiursic thesmos, is the intellectnal order, contained in the disine thesmos, which pervades throneh all thines, is prearent with all things withont imperdiment, and grards all things with pmity. For I think that thesmos posseses something more than law, so far as deity also is more excellent than intellect. For we say that law is the distribution of intelleet, but thesmos, divine order, and a uniform bomdary. And thas much as to these paticulars.

I'rocecting howerer, to the words of the text, in the first place we shall demonstrate that llato comprehends all the laws of Fate through the decad; hecanse the decad abo is comate with the demineric camse. For such soods as the Deminerns imparts to the world, and in this mumber, all of them beine ten. For the decad is mundame, as the Iythagoric hymn says; which calls it the universal recipient, ancient and venerable, placing bound about ail things, and which is denominated the immutable and mowearied decad. All the above-mentioned laws of Fate therefore pertaining to sonls are ten. For it is necessary that souls

[^308]should be disseminated; that there should te one common descent to all of them in each period; that the soul descending in the first generation, should descend into a pions aninal; that the sonl descending into the human nature, should first pass into the seed of man; that the sonl which is in body, should produce partihle and material lines; that the soul which vampuinhe the material life, should be just, but the soul which is vanquinhed by it, mujust; that the just soul should return to its himdred star; that the offending soul, should asain descend in the second reneration, into the nature of woman ; that the sout which was in the second gencration, should in the third descent, pass into the nature of a brute; and in the last place, the tenth is the demiurgic !aw, which is the one satiour of the aoul, being the life which elevates it to the period of the same and the simbilar, and canses the eircle of its wandering in ${ }^{2}$-meration, to cease. All the above-mentioned haws therefore, are comprehomed in the dexad, become the Pythagoreans consider the decad as adapted to the Demiarens, and to Fate. And these laws are dissemimated in souls, in order that they may lead themselors; since the Gods wish to rule oser self-motive natures, as self-motive; and likewise in order, that they may be to themashes the canses of the evils wheh maty aterwards befal them, and not the Deminerns. For unless they antecedently comprefended the laws of Fate, if indred, they were always sumerior to Fite, they womld not descend into generation; but if they are sometimes to be under its dominion, how cond they be acensed of desiations fom rectitude, when they had not previonsly learned the punishment ordained for sum deviations? In order therefore, that the Demiurgus might not lee acoused as the author of the gaile of souls, he established in their - -sences the laws of fate.

Hence, the hature of esils must not he referred to disinity: for it is here said, that the maker of the whole wodld, is not the canse of them. And not only is this asserted of the Deminreus in this place, hot in the Repullic also, the prophet who proclaims the decree of Lachesis says," that the electing soul is the cause [of the cellahich may befal it] but (iod is blamcless." So that divinity is neither the antecedent canse, nor at all the canse, of evils, hot is blameless. For as it was said prior to this, divinity was willing that depravity shouht, as much as possihle, have no existence. Divil therefore, must not be refered to a divine nature, nor must it be said, that it is without a principle. For if it is whont a primeiple, it will be matorned and indetinite, amd will injure the whole fabrication of things. For what will le able to adorn it, if it has no principle in beings? Nor must a principle be given to it, but this total. For nothing that ranks as a whole, is receptive of evil. But all wholes perpotaally preserve the same nature, undefiled, and free from evil. Hence, it is evident that evil subsists from a partial principle.

Aud after what maner does it subsist from this? Shall we say, accordiner to a precedaneons hypostasis? By no means. For thinse which thus subsist are boumded, and have an cond, and are according to nature to their generator. Evil therefore, is imphanted in souls accordiner to parypostasis, or a deviation from subsistence, eitherthrough a privation of symmetry, or through commixture, or in some other way. And Ilato hnowing this says. "in order that the Domiurgus might not be the cause of the future depracity of cach." For the tem futurc, manifests the hypontasis of depravity to be adrentitions, foreign, and externally implanted. But what is the lesishative prombiration? For mils have prior to this been discussed. May we not say, that it signifies the one comprehension in the Demiargus of all the laws of Eate? For thesmos is comprehensive of all laws. And the thesmos indeed in Adrastia comprehends the Saturnian and Jovian laws, and also the laws of late; but the themos in the Demiarens, both comprehends, and gives subsistence to, mumbane natures. The promulgation however with the addition' [of the words, "all these things to souts,"] sirgnifies that the dominion of this thesmos is extemded with all things, iml that its providential inspection pervades to the last of thimes.
"He disseminated some of them into the earth, others into the moon, and others into the other instruments of time."

It must not be supposed that this semination of souls was effected casually. For where in things which subsist perpetally with invariahle sameness is it possible that the indefinite: shombl intersene! Normos it be thourht to bee a mere distribution of the generator. For the thines which are dissemmated, are meither altermotive, nor such as art withont deliberate choice. But this semination is supernally accomplishet, comformably to the drmineric intellect, and with which the will of somls themselves concurs. For each of them both hows and chooses its proper oreler, and establishes its vehiche in appropriate parts of the univene ; each not being the same with the Gods abont whom it is disseminated, as some say it is; thus mahing a part to be the same as the whole. For if this were admitted, the armanement of leaders and followers would be confoumded, and the order of undefiled souls, and of those that are not such, would be subverted. Nor does each of these sonls connect itself with foreign parts, one with thesc, but another with those parts of the minerse. For essential similimile precedes a semination of this hind. For what may some one aroign as the canse of this division? Is it that partial onght to le without co-armangement wilh total souls,

[^309]and that their vehicles ought to be separated from total circulations? This, however, is impossible, for parts everywhere foliow wholes. Is it, therefore, Inecause souls dither from each other? Ant how, in short, do they ditler from each other, since they sulsist in immaterial forms? Siall we say then, that they dither from each other, but were not distinguished conformably to the precedaneons measures of divine souls? dud how in this cave, is ascont and perfection inserted' in them throngh disine souls! We must say therefore, that this semination is entirelys detined, conformably to a disine and perfect intellect. And thas much as to this particular.

But let us in the next place, comect with this, a survey of the words sescratly. The semination of souls therefore, with their vehicles about the junior Gods, precedes every other fabrication of the Gods. For it is necessary that they should have leaders not only as souls, hut as mundane natures ; and that as beine allotted the govermment of ammals, they should te arranged under some of the divine circulations. The semination however, exhinits thronsh the very name of it, the partible alloment of the vehicles; the power which is comprehemsive in the invisible of all partial in total souls: and the prolitic conergy of divine bodies, according to which they fill from their wwn life, partal wehieles, with the pecularity of themselves. Formery thing that is sown receives something from its sulijeet earth. Hence, from all that has been said, this is in the tirst phace evitent, that there is no disemination about the somb of the miserse. For it is not proper to oppose the semination ahont this soul, th that about other souls, nor the whote world to its parts. For if it were possible for a partial soul to remain on high, during the whole period of the universe, it would be possible' for a semination of
 in the arorld as a monad. Fior it is the co-arranted memad of the mandame souls, that distrihute the poters of it. For with wach of the disine bodies, a peower of the sonl of the miserse is present. Abont this number however, the genera, that are superior to us and partial souls, are divided, these havine the order of attendants. And in the seromd plate, there is a semination of souls in each of the sublumary elements, and in the celestial spheres, and the stars. We have howeber before shown what the natures are about which the distribution of souls takes place; so that the semination also, is about the

[^310]vehicles of them. For all of then contribute to the generation of time, some by themelves, hut others terether with wholes, in the same manner as the stars. And all of them are the instruments of time. For all the lixed stars, and every momban (iod, luine circularly moved, have entirdy periods of time, according to which, the whole time of the mundane life is measured; and they have likewise apocatastises, in common with this life, and with each other. But Plato only makes mention of those instruments of time, the apocatastatic periods of which may be ohtained from selse. Diviling the whole world howeser, into heaven and generation, he asomes the moon, and the carth, as the extremes of these accordins to position, and is satisfied with these, becanse he is speaking of the division of the lat souk, and the semination of the most partial veliches, which are naturally adapted to mutation, and to approximate, and enter into the most grose corporeal masses.

After these particulars also, it is worth while to know in the third place, that vehicles are likewine diseminated ahout the fixed stars. For every part of the world is full of partial sumb, who are spread under their saviour Gods, and follow the damons that are suspended from them. But whether any one of these partial bedies, is elevated above tho Satumian sphere; or whether all of them are arranerel in the planetary spheres according to all alliance with the fixed stars, deserves to be considered. For of the planets themselves, it is said hy those who are skilled in these aflairs, that different planets are allied to different signs of the zodiac. It is not therefore at all womderfal, if the whicles of sombls being in the planetary spheres, diflerent vehicles should revolve in conjunction with different fised stars. For some one may colnceive this to be more rational, than to make them situated above the Saturnian sphere ; since this place [i. e. the planetary spherej is more adapted to varicty of life, to a tendency to generation, and to a nature mingled from bodies that have a circular, and bodies that have a rectilincar, motion. It is better however to say, that there is a semination abont the fixed stars, and that the vehicles which are purified, stary-form, and unincumbered, having a simple life, and a motion about intellect, and wistom, and following the period of the same, ascend as far as to the inerratic sphere. For it would be ridiculous, that souls should be distriboted about the fixed stars, and that there should le a semination of their vehicles abont another thing. For as soul is to sonl, so is vehicle to kindred' vehicle. It is better therefore to admit this,

[^311]than to assert that sonls are diss-minated there, but that their vehicles' do not ascend as far as to the vehicles of the fixed stars; since everywhere parts hasten to wholes, when they subsist according to nature, unless they happen to be dissolved by things foreign to the natures to which they tend; the psychial vehicles being imbisoluble, and immortal, through their gencration from the one Deminegus. These assertions therefore, are rather to be admitted than the former, respecting the semination and distribution of souls and their vehicles, both of them leeing eflected by the leminerrus.

Since also, the semination is of souls with their vehicles, and not of souls only, as was the case with the former dintribution, Plato very properly says, that the Demiurgus disseminated some of them into the earth, but others mito the moon, indicuting that each of these souls is mow man, and the first man; the definition here likewise prevailime, that man is a sonl wines a hody, and the immortal man an immortal body. It is also mecesary to separate this immortal from every mortal body, in oreler that man may beoome that which he was prior to his lapese into gencration: for the semination is now of men, but not of sonls. Very properly therefore is it said, that some of them were disseminated into the earth, but others into the mom. Hence those things most be observed together with what has leen before said, and likewise that souls will never have a supermundane situation, not even accordine to those who admit, that there are other spheres beyond the fixed stars ; though the highest and mont simple of the velheles participate of ethereal splender. But the distribution is different from the semination. For the former, is of souls alone; but the latter, in conjunction with whicles. Hence in speahine of the former, Plato says, the Deminerus distributed each sonf into each star; but bere, that he disseminuted, some into the earth, but others into the moon, becanse now they exert the hmman charactoristic property. For there, [i. e. in the stars,] man is a soul using an immortal * body, and the man there is perpetaal. The distribution therefore, is diflerent from the semination, and the former is said to be, into the stars, but the latter, into the instruments of time. Hence it may seen, that each of these is into different places. For the earth is not a star, so that thre will not be a distribution of nonls about it; nor are the
! fixed stars said to be instrmments of time, so there will not be a seminution abont them. But the planets alone, are both stars, and instruments of time; so that about these, there will he both a distribution and a semmation. It is manifertly absurd however, that both thrse should not take place abont the earth and the

[^312]fixed stars. For if it is the Demiurgus who both distributes and disseminates, both these are essentially inherent in souls; and if this be the case, it is necessary that loth shonld be about the same thing: in order that the apocatastasis of every sonl may be into one thing, and that it may not through the distribution make its apocatastasis into its hindred star, but be compelled to make it into something flse, through a semination into something different from its kindred star. For that whieh is sown, is alliel and adapted to that in which it is essentially diserminatet. If therefore, these things are true, the earth also, must be said to be a star, not according to its visible bulk, bot according to its ethereal and starry-form vehicle; since our vehicle likewise is a thing of this kind. It must also le admitted, that the fixed stars co-operate in the production of time. Hence these, so far as they have periods, though unknown to us, entirely measure the whole of time, some in our, and others in a different way. For there is not the same apocatastasis of all the fixed stars; bot we have no certain indication from sense of their circulation, as we have of the revolutions of the planets.

All the parts of the world therefore, receive disseminated partial souls, and every mondane Gool is the prefect of partial Gods and souls, ${ }^{1}$ distributed and disseminated ahout him, conformably to the demmorsic intellect. But Plato says, that the one peculiarly takes phace about the stars, and the other, about the last of wholes, the moon and the earth; indicating by this, the proper dignity of each, viz. that the one is more divine, for it is incorporeal; but the other subordinate, for the semination is with bodies. This however, is evident from the precedaneous causes of the distribution and the semination, being mentioned by him separately at different times, each being into the same things; by which he manifests the difference of them with reference to each other. Hence, though there is a distribution of the soul about the earth, yet it is so far as the earth possesses something starry-form and incorporeal. And though there is a dissemination of it about a star, yct it is so far as it has something allied to earth; but this is corporeal. The earth and the moon likewise, were assumed in the semination, throngh their alliance to each other: for it is common to them, to produce shadow. And what the earth is in wholes, that the moon is among the celestial bodies; so that there will be an apocatastasis into the earth of the souls that were originally disseminated into it, and allotments of them in it. But it is not wonderful, if Plato says in the Phadrus, that the better allotments are celestial, but the last, subterrancan. For there, it was solely his intention to speak of the extremes, neither mentioning the aerial, nor the terrestrial fortunate allotments. Hence,
when he mentions those that are lant, he dowe not simply say that they are terrestrial, lom mantixis what lhey are from ha trihmats moler the earth: the divine allommens in the earth, so far as the arth is a divinty, bem, different fom those






 Plato harw man that in an immotal soml, manig an immortal whiche. For he there satys, hat ambls were men in the sathmian period, aceordmes to which the immortal part alome of as lases. That he: alow barw amother man, vize the soul, which uses the midtle shatle, iv evident tiom the Phardo, where he satys, that men whell on the smmat of the earth, who live fior a murla lomere time than the men that are here. Moreower, he likewise hew he last man, who lises in conjunction with this ontward body. And enery where man according to him is sonl using a hools; but eilher an immontal, or the secomd, or a composite body. Hence, iy athling the dithernce of body, and of that which nses it, we shatl be able to define man.

## 

What the semination is, whence it acceles to sents, that it is ditlerent from the distibution, and that it is the peembarity of partible fabrication, has been frequently mentioned by us, in what has laed lefore a aid. But it must now be shown who the jumior Giods are. For it is evident that the mumanme are called jmior Gods. Thas appar howerer, folme thos denominated by Plato, either thromigh comparing then with the ancient mat venerable nature of the imsisible fabrication, and the transemdeney of power, amt perfection of intellizence contained in it. For that whied in more intellertal in the (ientr is more anciont: But Jove was born the first, and more he hoons,
says I Iomer. Or they are thus denominated, becanse they always make generation to be new ; and when it becomes old and impreile throush its subject nature, again recall it to a subsistence aceording to nture by their motions, sending into it effluxions of all-varions productive principlts and powers, and thus renter it perpetually new. Or, they are thus called, because having intellectual essences

[^313]shepended from them, they etcrially emereine with the acme of intellectual vigor. For, as the poets may, Hobe peors ont their wine, and they drink nectar, and survey the whole somihbe world. Employing the refore immatalle and unNeviatine intellectoms, they fill all thines with their deminerse providence. Or they hase this aprellation, becanse Curetic deity is presont with them, [or deity belonging to the order of the Curetes.] illmmating their intelloctual conceptions with furity, their motion with inflexihility, and supplang the whole of them with rigid power, thromsh which hey sowern all thins without departing from the characteristics of heir nature. Or, which is the truest reason of all the preseding, they are thes demominated, becanse the monad of them is ralled al recent God. For theolorivis wive this appellation to bacchas, who is the $n$. fabrication. Jour Jopiter cotablished him the hing of all
of all the second mundane Giods, and distributed to him the tirat homones,
'Tha, younk lise (iod, and but an iuf.unt gueat.'
 and Heraclitus says that the sun is adiarmelyourh, as participatine of Jionysiacal power. Or, hir at ratson mast apropriate to I'latomic principles, they are thas denominated, beance hodies which have erderation are suspended from them; and the essence of these is not allotted a mbeistence in eternity, but in the whole of time. 'Tlary are jmior therefore, not as once beginning to exist, but as being aiways generated, and, as we have before observed, subsisting in becoming to be, or perpotually rising into existence. For avery thing which is generated has not the whole of what it possesses preant at once, nor a simultancous infinity, but an intinity which is perpetually supplying. Thus therefore they are called junior, as having a subsistence co-extenderl with time, and almays advancing into existence, and as possessing a renovated immortality.
"The province of fashioning mortal bodies, and besides this to rule over whatever else remained necessary to the human soml, and over every thing consequent to their fabrications."

The delivery of the first falrication is a communication and generation of demiurgic powers, exempt from every thing which the second fabrication produces proximately, a prugression of production from the unapparent into the apparent, and a division of uniform power into the multiplicd government of the world. But the

[^314]formation of budies assimilates the junior Gods to the unapparent fabrication. For that was the cause of bodies that rank as wholes, just as they are the causes of partial bodies, at the same time exhibiting a diminution of power. For of the body, of which they are the makers and formers, the Demiurgus also is the cause; but they are the furmers of partial bodies, which are hodies endued with certain qualities. Hence hody indeed is simply unbegotten as from time, and incorruptible, as was also the opinion of Aristotle. For, says he, there would be a vacumm if hody could be generated extermal' to the body of the uniBerse. But this particular body is corruptible, as heiner of a partial nature; for the wholes of the elements derived their sulnistence from total fabrication. The accession howeser of the hmman soml which remained to be generated, assimilates the mondame Gods to the paternal power. For it is the province of a father to generate life ; since the first father, and avery father is the canse of life; the intelligible father inderd, of intelligible, but the intellectnal of intellectual, and the supermondane of supermundane life. And lience, the mundane Gods who wemerate corporeal life are fathers. The fabrication however, adapted to the er Gods, produces the nature of partial animals. For this partial animal, which is suspended from the immortal soul, consists of soul and body. The fabrication also of other things regards this: for parts are generated for the sake of the whole But the dominion which the Domiurgus gave the junior Gods, excites their providential inspection, their connective power, and their grardian comprehensions. For withont these, the bodies that are fashioned, and the mortal-form of life, would rapidly vanish into non-entity. Prior therefore, to the gemeration of these, the Deminrgus made their rulinerg (iods to be the gratians and sasiours of them. In the junior Gods therefore, there are deminrgic powers, according to which they insest gronerated natures with forms; visific powers, according to which they give subsistence to a secondary life; and perfective powere, through which they give completion to what is deficient in generation. There are also many other powers in them besides these, which are inexplicable by our eonceptions.
" He 'ikewise commanded then to govern as much as possoble in the best and most beartiful manner the mortal amimal, that it might not become the canse of evil to itself."

Of all that the one Demiurgus delivers to the junior Gods, it must be admitted that there are three most beantiful boundaries, the boniform will of him that

[^315]deliwers, the perfect power of the recipionts. and the symmetry of both these with each other. Of hae demineric production hewever, of the jomior Golv themselves. three ehements, and these the greatent, most be asain surveged, vize a reduetion to the srool, a conversion to intelligible beanty, and a liberated power sufficient to mbe over all the subjects of its eoverument. For as Phames ' himself, the Demiurens of wholes, rendered the whole world as much as possible the most Ineatiful and the hest, thes also he was willing that the second fabricators shomld govern the mortal anmal in a way the most beamtitnl and the bevt; purine on then indeed from intelligibles, feanty, but filling them with that boniform powrer ant will, which he himedf pessesing fahricated the whole world. For thas gener.tion abo will participate of hoanty and rooduess, as far as it is naturally adapted to such participation, if the Giods, hy whom it is connected and contained, adorn it, since they are themselves transendently decorated with beauty and good.

If howerer, the seoond Demineri have such a mature as this, mothing evil os preternatural is enerated from the celestial Gods; nor is it proper to divide the Gods in the lemeros ator this mamor, as many do, viz. into the le neficent and malignant; for beine (iods this is impossible. But the mortal animal is the cause of evil to itarlf. For neither disease, nor poverty, ${ }^{2}$ nor any thine dse of this hind is mil: bat the depravity of the soul, intemperance, timidity, and every vice. Of these thing however, we are the causes to ourselves. For thourh being impelled hy otbers to these vices we are badly aflected, yet anain it is throngh oursolves : since we have the power of ascociating with the good, and separating ourselvo from the bad. According to llato therefore, we mast not think that of the Gods some are maliznant and others beneficent, but we most adme that all of them are the sources to mortals of all the good which thry are alde to receive; and that things which are truly evils are not produced, but are only signified by them, as we have before observel. For they extend territic appearances and signs to those who are able to see and read the letters in the miverse, which the framers of mortal natures during their revolutons write by their confurorations. And thourh some one should derive a certain evil from the motions of the celestial Gods, so as to become timid or intemperate, yet they oprate in oneway, and their influences are participated liy souls in another. For the efflux of intellect, says Plotimus, becomes craft in him who receives the eflux badly; the gift of an elegant life becomes intemprance through a similar cause; and in short, while

[^316]they prodnce lx $n$ ficently, their gifti are participated by terrestrial natures, after a contrary mamer. Hence the gives who bestow beneficently are not to be ac-
 inaptitules. 'Thus aloo Jupiter in Homer hames somls as in vain accosing the
 ces of erood, and the stppliers of intellect and bife, bat are not the canses of any evil; since even a partial nature is mot the eanse of evil to its offipming. What therefore omeht we to thinh comerming the fonds theowelves? Is it mot, that they are moch more the eanses of eroed to their productions ; since with them there is pewer, with them dhere is a self-perfeet nether, with them there is minersal groolness, to all which enil is contrary' For in ita own nature it is powertess, imperfert, and withent measure.
" At the same time he who ordery dioposed all threse particulars, remained in his own accustomed manmer."

Plato every where, after having employed many words, smmarily comprehends we multitude of them in the complasion. Far lee herew that in the lominrsus, one intellectnal pereaption comprehernts the mohtude of intellectad conceptions, that one power combete man! powers, and that a uniform canse collects inte one union dividet canses. Hence the words [prior to these] " Itazing therefore instructed soals in all these particulars," and the words before ns. "He who orderly dispused all these praticulars" Hanl the distinct emery of the Deminerns to an united canse. Farther atill, the word all, mamfest that which is comsummated from all its appropriate bommdaries. But the words orterly disposid, indicate the order perading thromgh all being, which the Deminsens introduced w the mumdane Geds, amd to partial mouls; demomatrating the former to lar Deminesi, but imscribing in the latter the latws if Fate. Moreoner, the word remained, dens not manifest station, and inflexihle intellection, lmt an establishment in the oner. For accordiner to this the Demineras is rempt from wholes, and is sejarated from the beings that intelleetarlly perceive him. But this eatablishment itself is eternal, and always insarially permanent. 'These things therefore, are also indicated by the words accustomed and mamer ; the one exhibiting sameness of permanency ; but the other the peculiarity of the deminerge stability. For manner is indicative of pecnliarity; since connective is diflerent from immotable, and both these from deminrgic permanency.

[^317]"But in consequence of his alming, as som as his children understond the order of them father, they became obedient to it."

Wher the Demimens spats, then the juman (ools have the order of hearers. When he intellechally perceises, then they lame for lomines is dianoetice When her ahdes acordine tommon itali, then his childen intellectally perceive. Far they ahats merine from him an inferiar weder. And as filled indord from



 taet' with the ofte. 'The father therefore ahding, his chilhen sery property intel-
 in the whicles of molefiled borlies. But they intellectually perceive the order of the fither grembisting in him prior th the arranged elliects, according to which order he became all things. For what Orphens says of the monad of the junior Corls,

> Though all thinge bs the faller dove were form'd, Yet their complain they to Bacchus owe;
this also most he said of the juming Gods, vir. that they give perfection to the fahrication, which the father contithterl hy intellection itself; just as the [Chaldaan] Orachelihewine says, "These thinge the father underntood, and the mortal nathere became amimated for him." .Mortal matures therefore, were fashoned and aniurated by the deminewic inteliertion alone. But the junior Gods unfold his total poduction, throngh their own manifest fabrication, being filled from the demiurs,ic monad.
"And receiving the immortal principle of mortal anmal, in imitation of their artificer, they borrowed from the world the parts of fire and earth, water and air, as things which they should restore back again ; and conglutinated the received parts together, but not with the same indissoluble bonds as those by which they were connected."

Plato indicates to us, the separation of the second from the first falorication,
through many words and steps.' For if the Demiurgns orderly disposes, but the jumior Gods are oledient to his mandates, the former by merely commanding is the camse of gemerated natures, but the latter bein: excited by the Deminerge, receive from thener the bomadary of the whole of their fabrication. And if indeed, he abides in himself, but they are moved about him, it is evident that he is eternally the eanse of thines which subsist in thene, but that they, being filled frem him, energize according to the whole of time. Amblic he perfectly establishes himself in his own accustemod mamor, hut they procerdine from him, unfold into light this mited and incllahle dipposition of himself, they dorive from him secondary masannes of fabrication.

Morcover, he is said to lave a paternal dignity, but they are demmmated his children, as expressing his prolific power, and his single gombess. And he indeed, is celderated as delivering from his exadted abode the primephes of fabrication; but they are celebrated as receivine the immortal priaciphe rontributing to the orderly distribution of mostals. He is sad to have the fommtain of the vivification of perpethal natures; but they are the causes of the suldsistence of mortal-formed amimals. Aud he inded, extends himself as a parading to the many Goeds; but they are said to imitate the deminraic intellect. He is said to produce the whole world, and the phenitudes of it; but they are said to borrow parts from the fabrications of their father, in order to the completion of their proper works. And he indeed, employs all incorporeal powers; hut they also employ such as are corpereal. H. gives subsistence to indissoluble bonds; but they to such as are dissoluble. And he indred, is said to insert a mnion more ancient than the natures which it unites; but they are said to introduce an adventitious union, and which is of an oririn posterior to this, to the beings that consist of many contrary natures. And he is said to prodnce all things imparthbly; but they with division, minutely distribmting the sobsistence of mortal natures into small and invisible nails. From these hings therefore, the separation of the two fabrications may be assumed; but the umion and contact of then may be surveged from the wordstefore us. For here a contact is effected of the second with the first fabrication ; of apparent with mapparent, and of divided with monadic production.

Hence it is necessary that the lowest part of the first and unapparent fabrication, should coalesce with the summit of the second. For thus also the heavens are conjoined with generation [or the sublunary recion.] the lowest of the celestial bodies exhibiting the principle of mutation; but the summit of the essence of

[^318]sublunary natures, being moved in conjunction with the heavens. Hence too, here also the rational soul is conjoined with the mortal form of life; viz. the lowest and most partial of the productions of the father with the hishest of the ratures enemerated by the junior Gools. For they indeed, as beine certain fathers produce lives; but as fabricators, bodies. And they imitate imled Vnlean by the fabrication of bodies, but Jumo by vivification. But through both these they imitate the whole Demiurgus. For he is maker and father; but they fashion bodies by borowing parts from wholes. For every where parts derive their composition from wholes. When, however, the wholes are incorporeal, they remain undiminished by the subsistence of the parts; but when they are corporeal, the parts that are generated from them diminish the wholes. Hence an ablation always taking place, but the parts always remaining, the wholes perish. And thus gencration will no longer exist, and the works of the tirst fabrication will all vanish thron-h the seeond, which it is not lawful to assert. That nothing of this kind therefore may tahe place in the miverse, the composite parts are again dissolved, in order (1) fill up their wholes. And the gemeration of one thing is the corruption of another; but the corruption of one thins is the gencration of another: in order that generation and cormption may always remain. For if generation existed at a certain time only, it would at acertain time stop, in consefuence of comsisting of finte thines, and the se being eonsumed. But these perishing, corruption also would stop, all thing being dotroyed. Hence if it is meresary that one of these shombld exist, the other abo will exist. Eery thines therefore whici is renerated from the second fabrication, is a composite and diswhble, and derimer its composition from time, will atso in time be again dissolved. The junior fods therefore, are very properly said to borrow partw, which are arain to he restored th their wholes. But they borrow them from the uniwrse.' For that which they borrow is fire, earth, water, and air; ant they again restore them to the miverse.' The father therefore wishes the wholes to remain which he fenerated amd arranged. Aud thus much concerning all the fabrication of the junior Gods.

Let us lowever, direct our attention to each of the words of Timaus. The word receiciur therefore, indicates how the junior Gods receive the immortal soul descending in its tirst vehicle: for he calls the whole "the immortal principle." It also iblicates, that every where, our concerns are providentially attended to by the Gods, above indeed, by the father, but beneath, by his children, if it bo

[^319]requisite to speak in a divided manner. For our soul, at one time lives according to the characteristic of Jupiter, and at another according to that of Bacchus; but in its arrangement [on the earth,] it lives Titannically.' The

- This is admirably explaisel by Olympiodorus, in his MS. commentary on that part of the P'hedu where Plato speaks of the prohibition of suicide in the axippyra: "The argument," says he, * which Plato employs in this place against suicite is derived from the Orphic mythology, in which four hingiloms are celebrated: the first of Hearin, n hom saturnassaulted, cutting wtl the eenitals of hisfather. But after Saturn, Jupher succeched to the government of the world, having hurladhis father into Tartarus. And after Jupiter, Buchos rowe to light, who, accorthing to report, was, thromeh the stratagens of Juno, form in pieces by the Titans, by whom he was surounded, and whos alterwards
 consumed tiem to ashes. Hence a centain mattor Leing formed from the vopuor of the smohe ascending frum their burning bodies, wut of this mankind were produced. It is unlawful therefore to destroy ourselves, not as the mords of llato serim to import, becanse we are in body, as in a prioron, weured by a guard; (for this is evident, athl Ilate would that have ealled such an andrtion areane but betause our Lorly is Dionysiatat, or the property of Bacchus: for we are a part of this Cited, since ne are composed from the sagours of the Citans whotasted his thesh. Socrates, therefore, fearful of disclosing the arcane part of this narration, adds nothing more of the falile but that weare phaced as in a cortan prison,










 serves, "That these four governments obecurely signify the different gratations of virtues, according to which our soul contains the symbols of all the virtues, both plewretical and eathartical, political and ethical. For it either energizes accorthing to the theoretic virtues, the paradigm of which is the government of hearen, that we may hegin from on ling; and on this account heaven receives its
 emplar of which is the Saturnian kingdom; and on this acconnt Saturn is denominated, fram being a pure intellect, through a survey of himself; amblience he is said to devour his ownolfyring, singifying the conversion of himseif to himself:-ur it energizes according to the political sirtucs, the symbul of which is the government of Jupiter; and hence Jupiter is the Demiurgus, so called from operating atout secondary natures:-or the soul energizes accordug to both the cthical and physical virtues, the symbol of which is the hingdom of Bacchus; and on this account he is fabled to be torn in pieces by the Titans, because the virtues do not follow but are separatel fromicach other." Anarroras (lege aurr-



word principle however, does not simply manifest, that which is first in the composition of man. but that which is the leader and ruler of secondary natures. For this is that which is aceordine to nature, and for this purpose it was produced by the Ciods. As therefore, the Dיminerns constituted the sonl of the universe to rule over the body of it, so likewise, the many Deminges entablished our sonl as the principle in the mortal animal. If however, we do not rule over the nortal nature, the power which erave this arranrement to our sonl is not the cause of this, but the indolence of that which is arramed. But the word immortal comprehends every nature which the Demiarens constituted, both that which is in the vehicle, and the rational sont itself, which was perfertly produced by the father, who diswminated the whole of it, and from whom it originated. Again, however, Timans shows, that the junior Gods are the vivific causes and fabricators of the mortal amimal, but that they are each of these, in conjunction with motion, in order that their fibrications may be mortal.

Moreover, the worts, "in initation of their artificer," are appropriate. For the junior Gods fabricate bodies, imitating the deminrgic characteristic of the father. And as he comstituted the miveree a whole from the wholes of the elements, so likewine they linhion partial bodies from partial hedies, in order that together with the imitation, diminution may be presorsed, and that they may romain Demiurgi, but the Dembines of a partial falrication. The expression also, they borrowed, manifints, that the parts in us are more the property of wholes, and of thines abore as, than they are our property. But if this be the case why should men grieve when they dic? And why is discolution lradful? But how is it posible it shomid mot lex esod, if the uniserse recoioes what is its own? For it is easy to perceive, that the parts in us belong in a preater degree to the universe than to us. For the places of all these are in wholes, and not in us. But " the conglatination of the reccied perts t"ecther," evinces that the mion in mortal natures is of posterior orisin, and adsentitious. For in the miverse the whole precedes the parts, and the one, multitude. But in us, many things, and which are naturally sepa-

[^320]rated from each other, are conglutinated, receiving a violent and renovated unionHence the bonds of them are dissoluble; but the bonds of wholes are indissoluble, union there subduing multitude.
" But they gave them a tenacious adherence from thick set mails, invisible through their smalloess: fabricating the boty of each, one from the composition of all [the elements]; and binding the periods of the immortal soul in intluxive and eflusive body."

Breanse in what is here said, in the same manner as before, Plato constitutes the first vehicle of the soul that is a body,' from the elemonts, it is evident that neither is this hody indisisible, no being senerated from things which are to be returned. But it is mot immanifint, that as that white is one, this testaceous body lihewise is in a smilar mather grompated ome. 'The former howerer, has inderd a more simple umion of simple whenents; !mt the lattor a more varions union, as being of a more composite matme. Hence there indeed, that which was compered of fire and wathr, air and carth, beiner analorous to a crowd, manifooted we sad, the scomel whiche, and the life contained in it. But in what is now said, the tostarom homly ${ }^{2}$ is signitiod. Lur Plato in speating of it, is not satistied with thing of a simple nature, but adds such as are aldipted to an organic borly. For it is not pussible that this shond alone comsist of simple elements.' Bengmmer therfore, from thines more imperfict amd material, and producing thines consistine of similar prats, and from these the orranic body, he gives it to participate of soul. For tio junior Gods borrowing parts from wholes, assumed such parts as are simple, and mate the whicles of irrational sonls, which he before denominated, a tmmulthoms and irational crowd, composed of fire and earth, air and water. But conglutinating these, they generated things of similar parts, the composition of whid is from the four elements. And cansing the things gronerated to adhere thromsh thich set naits, they rave completion the the organic hody. Fur this it is, which, as he says, is in want of all small and inrisible mails,

[^321]through its composition from discimilar natures; and of thick set nails, through the facility with which the composition may le discolved.

We say therefore, that the: thick set and invistble nails we the insertions of small and imvibhle rlements, in the solid parts' of the body. But the colliguraction ${ }^{2}$ is adapted to Vincanian worhs: fire producing through rarefaction' in the colliquefaction, a procrsion of all things hrough each other; just as in thines which are melted torethor, the smaller enter into the larger parts, in the melting, and thus the minture is rfleded. I Ience it follows, that by concriminating the things reccived, the junior Gods produce a body, not consisting of indissoluble bonds, but of parts which tenacionsly allore to cach other, throurh thick set, small, and invisihlo naik. For liquefaction,4 and conglutinations are necessary to the generation of thiners of similar parts, the lattor of which, moisture imparts, and the former, heat. For every thing is liquefoed by fire, but conerlutinated by water. Soul therefore accedres after the umion of many thinge of a diesimilar nature. And in the first place, the sonl which is entirely mortal accedes. For throngh this, the eflnxive body subsists, viz. throngh the physical, sensitive, and orective life. But in the second place, the immortal sonl accoles. For this does not enter simply intobody, but into an intluxive and etluxive body. And the formere inded, is gencrated with the body; but the latter, enters into the body. This therefore, is the order of fabrication, and is attended with a reason consentaneous to trmit. For all remeation beains from the imperfect, and resularly proceeds to the perliet. And in the miverse inderd, fabrication proceeds from intellect and soul, as far as to bodies: for the emeration is without time. [But in mortal natures, fabrication is in conjunction with body:] for it is lemporal. Lery thing however, which is generated in time, commences the generation from the imperfect. So that if there is any thine which does not besin from the imperfect, that thing was not generated in time." Hence the universe was not grnerated in time. For the Deminrgns dill not first constitute body but soul, as we have before observed; which manifests, that what is called generation, is when ap-

* For roir medepriverepors here, as thete is no such word in the Gireek langisage, I read, rous redert erepens.

- For apewarws in lhis place, read apacwacur.
- For raíws here, read risews.
s And for andiqgews, read nodigacws.
6 Instead of a apeferrac in this place, it is necessary to read maprecrat.
, The wod, within the brackels are omilled in liceorginal; but I have no doubt ought to be inserted. So that there is wanting in the original, ext ie pwe 0virwr, pera rovemparos.
- For our aposor in this place, it is necessary to read ov кara xporov.
plied to the universe, an unbegotten and simultaneous composition. And in the universe indeed, the whole subsists prior to the parts, and the one, to multitude; but in mortal natures the many are generated prior to the one; and these leeing liquetied through thick set nails, give completion to one thing. For simplebodies are liequetied, but the liquefied bodies are nailed, the nailed bodies are vivilied, and the vinified bodies are co-adapted to the immortal soul.

From these things also, it is evident, that it must be said, the insertion of the soul according to llato takes phace at the time that the infant proceeds out of the womb: for then the fotus is perfect, bat not before. For mature would not in vain detain it in the womb, if it was perfect. When therofore the fuths becomes one and a whole, then the immortal sonl enters profomndly into it. But the fetus twames one when it is perfert, and is perfect when it has proceeded into lisht. For while it remains within, ' it is a part of amother thing. 'The sond therefore, is not bomml to the sed by the fiots, nor is it, us some think, emitted together with the seed, bat when the body is now generated, the periods of the sonl are connected with it." loor where in the seed is there a body generated from, 'any and thick set mails! Ilence, when the instrummt is rembered perfect, then the Gods bimd to it the sond that is to use it. Amd has much as to this particular.

But Plato calls the periods of the sual, the cureries of it, which proced into the animat and the tiofold poisors of the same and the different. All the soul therefore descends according to Plato. For he alone gives to it two circles and two periods, and leads downward both of them. So that what lotinas says, who contends that the whole sonl does not descend, is a more novel assertion. With respect however, to the thick set huils, some suppose them to signify the conjunction of the triangular ehements; but arcording to lamblithos, they indicate the communion of physical productive powers, just as the collignefaction' sishifies the demiargie connetion and union of them. But we have shown what the nails, the conglutinations, and the colliquefactions are, and what the theory is, which is adapted to the nature of all these.
"These however, being bound in a vast river, neither vanquis!, nor are vanquished."

Plato conjoining the soul to the body immediately, omits all the problems

[^322]pertaining to the descent of the sonl, the prophrt, the allotments, the lives, the elections, the demon, the erection of tents in the plain of Ifthe, the thmoter and hirhtuiner, and all such particnlars as the fable in the [tenth book of the] Republie discusses. Neither does he here deliver thowe thiners which take phace atter the exit of the soml from the present life, viz. the terrors, the rivers, Tartarus, those savase and fiery demons. the thoms, the bellowiner month, the triphe path, and the juderes, in which we are intruched, hy the tahbe in the Repultic, in the Gorkian, und in the llardo. What then, sombe olle may way, is the eame of this omision! I reply, beranser Platn preverues that which is adapted to the design of the diatogue, and becamse he here assumes so much of the theory of the soul as is physieal, delivering the anociation of the sonl with the body. And Aristote also, emmating Plato, in his treatise On the Soul, in consequence of discussing it physically, meither mentions the descent of the soul, nor its allotmente, but in his Diahornes lie separately speaks of these,' and makes them the leading subjects c. ronsideration. And thus much as to these particulars.

This howerer, is to be inwestimated from the becimning, why the soul descends intobodies? ${ }^{2}$ And the answer is, because it wibhes to imitate the providential encroy of the Gods, and on this arcount, dismissing contemplation, descends into er eneration. For since divine perfection is twofoht, the one beine intellectual, but the other providential, and the one subsistine in permanency, but the other in motion ; the soul adumbrates, the stable.' intellectual, and malierable energy of the Gods, through contemplation, but their providential and motive energy, throush a genesimerice life. And as the intelligence of the sonl is partial, so likewise is its providential eneray; but heing partial, it is consersant with a partial

- hody. Farther still, its descent contributes to the perfection of the world. Forit is necessary, that there should not only be immortal and intellectual animals, such as are with the Gods, nor alone mortal and irrational animals, such as are the last of the fabrication of things, but likewise, such as subsist between these, viz. which are by no means immortal indeed, ${ }^{4}$ but are able to participate of reason and intellect. There are however, many such animals as these, in many parts of the world. For man is not the only rational mortal animal ; but there are many other such like genera, some of which are of a more damoniacal nature, but others are more proximate to our essence. The descent likewise of a partial soul, contributes to the composition of all animals that are at one and the same time mortal

[^323]and rational. Why therefore, are partial souls when they descend into generation, filled with such great material prorturbation and so many evils? It is through the propensity arising from their free will; throngh their vehement familarity with borly; through their sympathy with the image of soml, which is called amimation; throngh their total matation from the intelligihte to the senshbe world, and from a ynict enerys to one entirely remberant with motion ; and thromah a divordered comblition of beiner inmately prodnced from the emmosition of disemilar natures, viz. of the immortal, amd the mortal, of the intellectmal, ame that which is deprived of intellert, of the impartible, amd that which is rodued with interval. Jur all there hecome the fanse to the oonl, of this mishty tmoult and labour in the realons of generation ; since we are disturthel lis the abondant mutatons, and sympathes which tahe place ahemt the esonere that is in contimal motion. For wr pursme a perpetall! tying mochery. Amd luesoll, by verering [to as material lifi',] hindles inderal, a light in the berdy, but hecomes hererdi sithated in darknes: and by sivine life the body, deatroys both hersedi, and her own intellet [in as errat a dexrex as thes are capable of recotiong dentruction? For thas the mortat mather participates of intelloet, but the intellectual part of death, and the whotr, an lato wherne in the Lans, beromes a prodizy, composed of the mortal, and the immontal, of the inteller tail, and hat whirh is deprived "f intello.e. For this phssical law ["hich hinds the soml th the botly, is the death of the immontal life, but visifes the mortal berly. Pato darefore, delivers in the Phatrus, the ramers of decont arianer frem the somb, sis. whivion, the
 delisers to ns the canses derived fom the (borls. For thene are they who conjoin the sond to the buty. But he does not adil at prevent, the manmer in which the sonl aportatias [from the orb of light], and throush what foms of life, she proccels [into the realms of semeration]. And in the Repmblice lae delivers the causes arising both from the sont herstli and the Ciots. For there the prophet and the liatos, the diemon, and the lote, the paradigms and the ehections of lives, are assumed. There particulars therefore, mast tee explored in those diahomes.

What however is here teserted, monst be considered, viz. what the riter, the binding, amt the edst are; for this riser is said to be vast : and also what it is for souls, nether to sampinh, wor be sampuished. The riser theregre simities, not the human borly alome, but the whole of ireneration, wibh which we are externally surrounded, through its rapid, impromons, and unstable flax. Thms aloo, in the Republic, Plato calls, the whole gremesinge nature, the river of Lathe; in whiche are contained, as Empedocles says, Oblision, and the meadow of Atr; the ?
voracity of matter, and the lighthather world, as the Gods say; and the winding -trams, mater which many are dawn down, as the [Chaldean] Oracles assert. But the bimaing signifies, a co-impeded life, and a life which has arrived at the
 longer able 10 proved, it becomes statant at the end of its motion. Bat the soul, by commonieatine its powers to lar body, obtains the em e of its descent. Again, thereat indicates the maltiphed, and in every respect divinhle dins of gemmation. But the mither rannuishimer, nor bins languished, signify, that each of the ese mes that come tor ether, preserves its own mature. For the congress of the som id and bony in not effected by a mutual compton, as in things that are mingled. For the body is not transomed to the essene of the soul, no the soul to the peculiarity of the body; sine in this case, neither would assimilate the other to itself. Ilene mither is the soul vanquished by the holy; for it does not become inanimate, nor does it vanquish the body, for it does not mate it incorporeal. In another respect however, the soul subdues the body, as commetedly containing it ; hot is subdued by it, as being impeded by it in its intellection. Plato however, mather denies than affirms each of these, in order that we may conceive the pendiarity of each in the communion, and the unmanglad union of them in the mixture.
"But they carry and are carried with violence, so that the whole animal is moved indeed, yet in a disorderly manner. For it proceeds casually and irrationally, having all the six motions. Hence it is moved backward and forward, and again, to the right hand and the left, upward and downward, and wanders every where, according to the six differences of place."

That the genesimesic river, according to the whole of it disturbs the soul, but is especially ample in youth, in consequence of the influx and flux being copious, has I think become evident through what has been said. Since however, the body being heavy, terrestrial, and cadent, is corrected by the soul, and the soul, which has an intellectual nature, is rendered deathte of intellect, through its commmion with the body, hence he says, that souls carry and are carried with violence; the violence indicating the foreign and renovated nature of the vivification of the mortal' animal; but the carrying and being raricd, the action of the body and soul on each other. For the soul carries the body, inspiring it with the power

[^324]Tim. Plat.
of motion; but is carried by it through sympathy, to a genesiurgic nature. Hence he very properly says, that the whole amimal is moved. For the body is moved through the soul, and the soul losing its own life, lises the life of the composite [of body and sonl]. The whole animal thereture is moved. And after another manner also you may say that Plato asserts the whole is moved, in order to distinguish it from the miverse. For this, as we have hefore observed, is not moved according to the ahole of inelf, but only in its parts since howerep the stars are moned with an adsameing motion [or in antecedemtia], wach is mosed aceording to the whole, and not according to the parts only; yet they are moved in an orderly manaer, and not as we are: for they follow the universe. But Platu adds, disorderly; indicating by this, that the motion is material, and allvarionsly anomalous, and that it onerins from the suhordinate nature, the order being inverted. It is necessary however, in the same manner as in divine natures that the motion shonld originate from the more excellent nature. The expression lihewise casually, signifies the rash and unstable nature of the motion; and the word proceds, indicates the departure of sunls from themselves; all which particulars are posterior to daine animals, in whom intellect is the eanse' of cherery, and each proceds and at the same time abhines. 'The worl irrationally follows abse in a becoming manmer. For where order fals there the irrational serectly enters. Par reason is the canse of order and measore, and of the participation of grood.

Moreover, the moltude of motions becomes apparent in these, and the nomber of all the motions of the mortal ambal. For as lodies hame three dimemsions, and are mingled from contrarics, contraricty being a duad," and assmming the triad, preduces this number of mobions. For it is necessary that there shombld be only a triple interval, or dimensom, Incoanse internal is reason [or a prodactive principle], procereliner from the impantible inter matter, and inverting it with merphe And the: inpartille indead is monadic; but propremion is dyadic; and morphe triadie. For that which has proceded, returning, or being comerted to its principle, has bound and monphe. But it is necessary that there shonlel be contrariety in the motions, according to position. For the extremities of every right lime are opposed to eathothr. Hence of the three dimensions, the opposition according to the extremities will be the upward and downward, the right hand and the left, before and beinind. The complication therefore of contraricty, with the triad of dimensions, produces the six motions; and this number is adapted to the amimated body. For according to the l'y hagoreans, the monad

[^325]is analogous to a point; the duad, to a line; the triad, to a superficies; the totrad, to a body ; the pentad, to a boty endmed with a certain quality ${ }^{\prime}$ and the hexad, to an animated body. This momber of motions therofore, is appropriately attributed to mortal amimals, whose gemeration proceeds from the cren momber, and a formbes nature. It is not proper lowerer, to wonder, that animals should have so many motions, but inanimate natures only one motion; as that a clon of earth should only have a motion downward, but fame, a contrary motion. For we shall find hy inspection, that frequently the extremes are more simple than the media, best the merlia more varions than the extremes.' Thas for instance, we see, that nature and matter are more simple than body, and the irrational life ond intellect, than the rational soul. But intellect indeed, is more simple, according in that which is more, and irrationality arcording to that which is less, excellent. It lives howner, without deliberate choice, and conformably to nature. If therefore, in motions, we se that divine anmals and inanmate borlies have a more simple motion, but the media abmblantly wander, and are multiformly borme alone, what occasion is there to wonder? For the simplicity indeed of divine motion, is more exceltent than the variety in mortal natures; but the simplicity of inanimate lemes is less excellent; just as divine bodies are essentially simple, according to that which is better than composite natures, but the inanimate parts of the elements are more simple than concrete masses, according to that which is less excellent, as not having the proper life of living beings.
"For though the inundating and effuxive waves, which afford nutrition to the ammal, pour along with impetuons abundance, yet a still greater tumult and perturbation is produced, through the passions arising from external impulsions; either when the body is disturbed by the sudden incursion of external fire, or by the solid mass of earth, or is agitated by the whirling blasts of the air. For from all these, through the medium of the bods, various motions are hurried along, and fall with molestation on the soul."

[^326]- The word Xecpor, is wanting here in the original.

In what is here said, the philosopher refers to two canses all this tumult, viz. to the nutritive and sensitive life. But these canses are that which is orectic, and that which is gnostic, in the whole of the irrational nathre; into which abo, we are accostomed to diside all the powers of the soul, assertius that some of them are vital, hat others ghostic. For the matritise life, vergine to bodies, produces
 material ethnx, but throngh vivitic heat, receiving ath intlux of other hiners. But the annsitise life sullers by the bodies of fire, carth, air, and water, falling on it. Conceiving likewine all these passons to be great through the vileness of its life, it occasions tumult and perturbation in the soml. And to these indeed, who have arrived at maturity, all these hatre herome habitual; but to such as have been recently born, the smallent things, through beiner manalal, beeome the camses of astoninhment. For what a freat time is to the former, that the smatl of a candle is to the latter. What the masoitude lihewine of very forty momatains is to the former, that the smallest of stones is to the latter. Fer this is sutheient to wive them pain, and by impeding their emereics, to cal! forth their tears. And what stormy winds, and streams of water from the heavens, are to ahelts, that amall motion of the air, or a slip thromsts a lifte mointure, is to intints. For selloce lecine agitated through the percussion of atl these, astonishes the woll of thenc that are recently lorn, and heads it into difliculty and tmont. There therefore, are in short, the canses of the perturbation of souls, wiz. the motion of the mutrite power, and the
 through these. For as if some one standing on the margin of a river, should hehold the image and form of himati in the thating strem, he indeed will preserse his face und hatured; hat the stream being all-variomsly moned, will change the image, so that at different times it will appar to him dithernt, oblique, and arect, and prehaps disulad and continums. Let uss suppore ter, that such a one, throngh lecing unaccustomed to the spectacle, shonld thinh that it was himself that suffered this distortion, in comerpuedere of surneying his shaduw in the water, and thus thinking, should be allieted and disturted. astomished and impeded. After the same mamer, the somb, beholding the intige of herveli in boty, borne along in the river of aremation, and varionsly dispored at ditherent thene throngh inward passions and extornal impulses, is indeed herself impassive, but thinks that she sulfirs ; and being ignorant of, and mistahing her image for, herself, is disturted, antonished, and perplexed. 'This passion howerer particularly exists

[^327]in chidren recentls born: but it alow rhihits ibelf in dreams in adults. Thas

 roal, that he is carryine lurders, or sublers somethine the of the lihe himl, then this pasion lnecomes apparem. And it in powibla from than thine to sursey the nature of the passions of children.' And thas mach may suffice at present as to therepartioulars.

It is regui-ite howerer, to proceed to the words of Plato and lo saty, that the

 signilios, in the first place, that the premmatic vehiclo is aritated and made heavior; for it is this, which exhblits in itself stains and vapours; and in the second place, the soul, for it is disturbed by congregated impulses.
"And on this account all these were then, and are still now denominated senses."

Accordiur to Plato, the senses receive their appellation from passion. If therefore, wr shom say, that the senses are motions placed inwarilly, the assertion would be altombed with much' accusation, from grammatical * observation. But if we should say, that the solnes are mingiod from inward motions and pas-


 ohjects inderd beine movel extemally, but the motions tending through the body to the soul, and pruducins as Plato says, sensations. Very properly therefore, did those when first previved the nature of the passion, and those in the present period, who did not antirely preceive it, thas denominate the senses. And those who now still rive this appellation to the senses, do it because there is similarly

[^328]a complication in them of passion and judement, or of motion and position. For the motion of the soul itsalt alone, is impassive; but that which pervades from the body to the soml, is accompaniad with passion.
"And these indeed, both then and at present, receiving' the most aboudant and greatest motion, and being moved together with that incessantly flowing strean, and vehemently agitating the circulations of the somb, they entirely fitter the circulation of the sume, flowing in a direction contary to it, and rentrain its curgies, as it rules and proceeds; but agitate the circulation of the different."

Sense is of the present, in the same manore as memory is of the past, but hope of the future. Heare both then, and at preent, sener moves the sout, in conjunction with the matritioe power, which throngh int?uxions, affurds a remedy to the eflluvions of the body, and arain combines what it had analyed, conformaHy to the web of Penetope. For this is "the incerssantly foriting stream," which is wery properly called a strean, becanse it is a part of the whote river of peneration, which, as was before ohsersed, is abmaniant. 'Tugether with this theretore, seme dieturths, and camses a tumult in the perieds or circulations of the immortal soul; and fetters indeed the period of the circle of the same, but agitates the perion of the circle of the differcht. For as there are twofold circtes in it, in imitation of divine souls, the circle which surveys intelligibles, and whic! is the dianoetic cirche, is moly restrained in its energy, but sustains no disturtion. But the dowaic eircle is distortod, and this follows wery property. For it is possible to opine not righly, hut it in mot ponsille to know scientifically, falsely. If however some one shomld say, that the dianotic part of the soul may be izuorant in a twofold reopect, and that the thing which sutfers this will be distorted, het such a one learn from ins, that twofold ignorance ${ }^{2}$ does not simply pertain to dianoia, but trexims indeed from thence, and is implamed in the donastie part. For twofold igmomere, so far as it is igmomer, and a prisation of scimee, so far, in conserpance of being an immolility of the ariontific power, it oniginates
 But so far as this ignorance also adds a false smpicion of hoowledge, it subsists

[^329]in the doxastic part. For that which is notheng more than a fase snspicion of knowledere, is this. And isumaner inderel, is only the privation of intednet m


 that the fatoce as in hansidedere.

The period oi the circle of the same thorefore, in alone fettrod, and renombles thome that are in captivity, and on the accomt, are prevented from enereizing. This circulation however, remains in the somls that are bomod withont being distorted. ${ }^{2}$ But the period of the cirche of tie deferent is arstated, beine tilled with false dogmas. For the poximity of this circle 0 irrationality, canses it io rocere a cortain passion from enternals. From these things howerer, wo are impelled to speak freely in "pposition to lotimes and the great Theodorns, who preserve in us something impasiore, and which alwass perceives intellectnally. For Plato asstmes only two circles in the essence of the soul, one of which is according to him fretered, hut the other is agitated; and it is not possible for either that which is fettered, or that which is asitated, to energize intellectually. Rishtly therefore, does the disine lamblichos contend arainst those who adopt this opinion. For what is it, that in faulty in us, when we recur to an intemperate imagination, thromb the excitation of the irmanal nature? Is it not our deliberate choice? And how is it posible it should not he this; since accordiner to this, we differ from hose who imane ine propiphtely? But if the deliberate choice is fanliy, how can the soul be eriltuse? What atso is it which makes the whole of our life to be haply? Is it not treanse rason possesses its proper virtue? We say entirely so. But if when that which is most excellent in us is perfect we are uholly liappy, what hinders all of us from being now happy, if the summit of our nature always perceises intellectually, and is always with the Gods? For if this smmut is [wholly] intellect, it is mothing to the senl; but if it is a part of the soul, the rest of the soml also will be happy. Who likewise is the chatioterer of the soul? Is it not that which is most delightful to us, and as some one may say most capital? And how is it possible not to admit this, if it is the chariotere who gowerns ihe whole ot our essence, who raises his head to, and surveys the supercelestial place, and is assimilated to the great lealer [Jupiter], who drives a winged chariot, and is the first charioteer that procceds into the heavens? But if that which is the summit in us is the charioteer, and he, as it is said in the Phadrus, at one time

[^330]sublimely tends to the place heyond the heavens, at another time enters into the heavens, and at another becomes lame, and sntiers a deflavion of his wines, it evidently follows, that the smmat of our mattare subsists ditherently at dilierent times. This therefore, the reader will find deewhere mone copionaty discurad.

If howeser, these thines are trew, it is wery propury said, that the priod of the
 perfection, practic and theoretic, it is deprimed of rach. For it is meither able to rule orer the natures subiect tu its command, throming their matable motion, nor to proced, i. e. to prerive intellectally. low to procedis the anery of a circulation, and to procod dimoctically, is the comery of dianoctic matures. The senses therefore, trihe againat this circulation, and thewing in a direction contrary to it, as tomding imwardly from ontward wherts, they impede the intellectual enerey. Ilane the perint of the circle ot the same in deprised both of action and contemplation. What then, some one may say, is it immorable? Amb how can the soml le immonahla? May we mot sty in amser to this, that it is moved indeed hy its.lf, but meither with a comporal motion, for it in incorporeal; nor with a phamta-tic motion, for it is mnfigered; nor with a snostic motion, for it is froorant of itsilf; but with an exontally stal motion. For as to proceive

 but derises it from itwelf. For it is life emoratig itadf, and producine itadi. But all life is motion. So that if every hime which lives is mosed, that which lises from itself' will le moved, and that which always lism will alway a be moved, vitally, but not intellectually. Heme the som is always moned, and at mot always. For it is intellect in capacty, but life in energy. And amother third thins [i. c. matter] is in capacity only, hat is not in emeres. Sinee theretiore, there is a triple order in us, viz.

 For beiner the [first] immer of intefleet, it in intelloctual ; just ac the first imase of sonl is anmated. But the perwers indeed, of dianoia are fettered, and those of opinion are agitated. And since the powars are analogens to the lives, the power of one of the lises [riz. of the intellectual life] is restranced, but another is shaken. For the essential life is always in motion. With respert to the conerics however, those of dimona are rahen anay, but those of opinion are distorted. And as these are analogous to that which is intellecthal, it is evident that the sonl is prevented from perceiving intellectually. The essence of the soul therefore, is per-

[^331]petually vital and perpetually moved; hat the powers and energies, pertaining to its life and intellect, are naturally adapted to err.
"So that they turn with every kind of revolution, each of the three intervals of the double and triple, together with the media and conjoining bonds of the sesquitertian, sesquialter, and sesquioctave ratios which cannot he dissolved by any one, except by him by whom they were bound. And beviles this they introduce all the fractures and diversities of circles, which it is possible to effect."

All thingsare in all souls, hat appopriately in cach, in some divinely, in others domoniarally, and in others partially. For the media, the sesquialter, the sesquitertian, and the sorpuicetaw ratios, berether with the leimma, are in all somb. For how romld the somb otherwior khow total harmony, and procreate ration so beantifin, expept she containod in herself the canses of them, beine herself, according to leer own order, a rertain harmong of harmonies ; not consisting of things harmonized, sine a hanmong of this hind is in another thing, is atter-motion, and is smepeded from another motive canse; bot of that which harmonizes itsoff, and is harmenized by wholes? Hence, it has all harmonized ratios, and besides these, the divisions into serm of the circle of the different. For the demintric section lesems supernally fiom divine souk, and proceeds as far as to the most partial senls; and besides this, such othor thines as we have surseyed abont the soul of the miverm. The mote of interpretation likewise is the same, except that we must add the peroliarity in each, adjoining, in some souls the divine, in others the dirmoniacal, and in others. the parial peonliarity. We have however before whersed, that thomoth all the ratios are in all sonls, wiz. the divine, the dirmoniacal, and the partial, aner the momane sonl, it is not at all womderful that the bounding terms should differ, by each leing more multiplied in the subordinate sonls, than in those prior to them. For those of the soul of the universe were primary, or radial terms, ( $\boldsymbol{x}^{f} j \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \xi_{\text {. }}$ ) yet mothiner hinders their being afterwards, the duple, or triple, or in short, moltiples of these, which through diminntion are multiplied in orderly ratios, as far as to prartial souls. And in some of these, they are more multiplied than in others. For neither are all divine souls of equal dignity, nor all demoniacal after these, nor all partial souls. And thas they have some things common to all of them, but others difiering according to essence

## I Instead of ro $\mu$ er in this place, it is necessary to read mpm.

itself. It is also necessary to remember these things, not carelessly, in order that we may be able to assimn the all-varions diffirences in the generation of souls; of the mundane indeed with respet to the supermundane, areording to the multitude, or diminution of parts; and ahoo in the gerneration of the mumdame, divine, demoniacal, and partial souls, accorting to the terms themshes, whel are either radical, or more remote from thoes that are radical, the sallue ratios remaining.

All harmonic ratios therefore, exist in a partial sonl, and exist ensentially, and are not a coacervation of postrime origin. For those things which the first Demiurghs produces, these are esomtially inherent in beings, viz. the seven terms, the three modia, the sespuialter, somputertian, and serpuioctave ration, and the leimmas, which are now called colligations. It in revident therefore, that att these are essences. For things which always subsist after the samer manmer, and those
 to view the partientars mot mathemationly, bent phasally. For the mathomatieal ratios and habitudes, wheh souls armerate; hromghomenong the above mentioned ratios, are of at difle ont kind. But if all the harmonir ration are esences, it is erident that they have pewors. For the one power, and the one form of the soul, are not sedmeated from thines demibed of peower, and withont quality. How likewise could the harmonic ation operate, and preduce other ratios, mules they had a power of enmerating! And how conld they kiow, and excite other ratios, if they did not anteradently comain sumetic perners, or if these powers were memersetic! It is meresany therefore that the ration stombld be
 of the same, of intelligible; but thase in the perion of the differom, of arnshbe harmong. It in likewion wecenary that they shemble mexize when they are able; some inded, atbent intelligibles; but others about andible. When howerer,
 down the soul tomateriat nature, then, they remain indmed esombially; for they
 .d with every peonille hind of rewhtion. And again, aroording to pewer, they suffer all pesible fractures and distortons. For this is common to both,' but not throngh the period of the same; sime that is alome sluesish. But the cireles in the priod of the different, being anen, and atl of them hat ing all the harmonic ration; for thus it was said of the soul of the memerse they are turned and fractured. For what is here said by Plato, is concerning these media and the

[^332]colligation in the circle' of the different, as sustaining all-various injuries, by the senses and physical motions: but is not concerning those in the circle of the same. For this i alone fellered: but the circle of the defferent is aritated, and being agitaterl, suffiers surh thing as he memtions.

Soerates therefore, in the Phardros, assimilates the powers of the soml to a chariotere and horses, and asests that one of the borses is lefter than the other. He alvo says, that nometimes the herses fieht with rachother, and the letter of the two is sectrious; but hat at other times they hurry along ierationally, the better heing vampisher alld fillowing the intomperate horse. But Timans binding the sonl thrush two merlia one of which is eftertive of samenese, but the other of diference, and ome bejne allied to intellieiblos. hat the wher to semaibles, says that these media are at ane time diceordan, tiathtine with cach other. but at another time are farturel, ' /he better of the two beinty vangi-hed, and are tramefered into an ohligue sthation; and at another, are all-arionsly turned, through the better heiner in sulajection to the wors. For sime the one pertains to sameness, but the other tu dithrence, when the sonl requlates each of the media, she then performs that which is her proper duty, one of these mahing one from many, and knowine the one of the many; but the other divitine one into many, and possessing a knowhedue of thines sperifically distimenished. But when the soul vacillates, int the firs plare, there is a hostile contention in her, respectines what is the same with a rertain thing. and what is different from it; in the next place, the worse medimm is victorions, thromgh tendine to an all-varions partibility, instead of surnesine itwitf ; and in the third plaed, there is a perfect dehility of the better medimm, in conseru-ner of the sonl Neliserine the supreine dominion and ruling authority to the lese exerllent medimm. For of the two media, as we have before ohserved, through which the dmble and triple intervals collect' that which is divided into sespuialfer, seaguitortian, and respuinctave ratios, one medium was collertive of the samemeses in all the parts, but the other of the differences connascont whth it ; juxt as the geometrice medium was collectise of essenese. The
 taining to dibereme to a mortal nature. Honere, the somb becomes irrationat after this manner, the media in the circle of difference beingrofactured and turned, and prior to this, dissenting from each other; the thrning perfectly drawing down this circle to the passions. For as the circle of the different was erect in the whole

[^333]soul of the univarse, being perfectly free from any inclination to the subjects of its government, so in a partial sonl it is torned, lecing wholly inclined to mather, falling into body, and entering profoundly into it ; the fracture imparting a tation into multitude and variety, and producing a distribution into prarts, thronsh impotence and debility. For hiners that are fractured, fall off from their power, and become not one, instead of one. But the dissention produces nothing but contrariety and hostility. The turning therefore, entirely injures the erectuess; the fracture makes the one to be many; and the diserntion alene introduces hostility. And the effect indeed, produced by the dinacution reambles that which happens to one who rums, but does not stand tirmly, but the fracture resemble sone who has now fallen, through having broken his limbs, and on this account becomes in an oblique position. And the turning is similar to one in a supine position, and who lixes his head in the earth, but raises his feet as mach as persible on hish. For anobligue position is a medinulnelween that which stands erect, and that which is contrary to the erect ; in consegnence of the head in this situation being downward but the feet upward. The doastic part the retore, becomes throtsh the senses distorted, opines falsely, and is disortherly 'atid erromeons. For this is the dircle of the different, as we bave hefore olmerved, in which opinions and belief are produced, as was sad of the whole soal of the miverse. Itence this part becomes full of false opibion, being co-divited with the semses, and in redition with itself. All these pansions likewise pertain to the powers and enereries of the soul; but the essence of the soul is indissoluble, acept, as Timens says, by its colligator. For he antecedently comprehends is himself, the definite canses of its ration, and its circles. But that which is alone dinobluble, by the canse that perpeomally conmets it, is indinsolnhle: just as that wheh is produced by the geod alone, is withont evil; but that which is produced by evil alome, is depraned; and that whichis produced by cold alome, is without heat. For it is not the province of the cold to impart heat, nor of cood to vitiate, nor of that which connects to dissolve. So that the assertion that the sonl is alone dissolable by the Deminrgrus, delisers the incormptibility of it, thourln occulty.
"So that they are scarcely connected with each other, but are borne along indeed, yet irrationally, at one time in a contrary, at another in an oblique, and at another in a supine position."

The dissentions of the circles indeed, canse the composition of the ratios to tre

[^334]mowed in contrary directions; lut the fractures canse them to be moved obligne-
 rational noul; but they are ahse comsentaneonsly seen alon the irrational part.
 in a mach areater dorere hostilely opposes the irrational somal. For the virturs folLow rach other; the dianoetical, the ethical; and the rhical, the diametical. How the wefore ate these there tobe survered in the rational phert? May we not say, that contrabity is to he survered, when opinion hotilely opposes opinion, and when the lefter is not subverted by the wore opinion? But in thore that opine falarly there se entiely a certain tome dosma, from which he who entertains a talse opinion may be confuted. Fur how could Socrates have confutcd Thrasy machus, Callickas, aud others who like these were mbhushogly impodent, untess in them also there was a certain true dugma, from which being achnowleded by them in common, the conchasions that follow were deduced? When therefore, the same prerson says, that disinity is good, hint that he does not providentally attend to all thines, these dommas' are contrary to each other, thonsh he does not at the same time see, that the cobtrary to what he asserts follows from the pesition that God is good. But the whigne ponition takes place, when two dowmas are distorted, and are not ahbe to preserse that which is consequent to them; for then they are said to be inconserpment.' And this position is oblighe. For at the same time, the whole opinion falls to the eromal, and becomes apparent to sense. Hence not one part of it is trae, and the other false, but the whole is fake. And such are the asertions, that justice is folly, and injutice wisdom. For he who fancies [hat gustier is folly, and at the same the says] dat ingustice is depravity, speaks contrary to himiolf: fur at the same time he says, that justice is not filly. But he whosays that jublice is folly, and injuntice wisdom, accords indered with himself, but at the same time asserts both distortedly. Hence he is continter with greater dulticulty, and is more incurable than the other. And the supine perition takes place, when the woree opinions entirely vanguish the better, and the informations of sense su' due the conceptions which are drawn forth from within. For in a pasion of this kind, things more excellent are coslased, and become subject to things subordinate.

Again, about the irrational part, contraricty may be surveyed, as for instance, in those that are continent. For in these the better fights with the worse, the imagination of beauty with the appetits: of deformity. But obliquity is seen

[^335]in the equal symphony of judgment with appetite, when both are moved similarly and passively. And supbineness take's place in intemperate lives, in which the rational is entirely spread under the irrational part. And here, you may ree how the ohligur dixposition proceds in a well-ordered mamer from the contrary. For when after a great contest, the ratiomal sields to the irrational part, then it is mosed oblignels, and from this smpinely. For in conseduener of the worse contimally vampiohime the better part, at last there is no need of eombest, but the better part is enstaned and led wherever the wore part pheases. And this is the last form of life, just as the most perfert is that whely accorls with iturdf, is withent obliepuity, and is conlimmable to nattere, and in which the more evedlent part posaseses its own urdar without homile opposition. The concord likewise, is produced foom tomperance, the mon-oblignity fion fertitude, and the aramgement acordiner to nathre, from justies. But the contration of these, are protaced
 for thing that are tractured beomme whigur ; and the tmang, suphess. For it
 appears to disulse the onf lite of the soul, and to make the rational lite discordant with it-df. But the obliguty produces irationality itadf: for this wholly tends to body, and to matter. And the smpine position' eanses the rational lite to rank with plants. For in these hae head is rooter in the carth. Such therefore, beiner the disision, and such the passions, about the powers of the soul, Plato very properly sasi, that they are scarcly ${ }^{2}$ conneted with enth other. For the existence of their essence, which is incorrupthbe as in mortal natures, connects them together. Henee, dissention, fracture, and a thrmine are produced, matter vamuishing form, the firmer of which is analogons to the fere, in the same manner as the latter is to the head.
"Just as if some one in a suphe position, should fix his head on the earth, and raise his leet on high; for in such a situation, both the inverted persen and the spectators, would mutually inagine the right hand parts to be on the left, and the led to be on the right. So with respect the the circulations of the soul, the very same affections, and others of a similar kind, vehemently take place; and hence, when any thing external occurs, characterized by the nature of same, or different, they denominate things

[^336]the stme with, or different from others, in a manner contrary to the truth, and become talhe, and destatute of intelligunce."

In what is here said. Plate trasically deseritwes the tast pascion of the soul, in which the twetter part is deecibed and enslaved, hat the worse part tyramizes; ignoble rale ower Olympan, and material oner divibe natures. He also asomilater the harad to our divine part, but one ingierior part to the fint. For the former governs, hut the latter haw the order of the enorried. For as he says further on, the slanery of that which of divine, and which mones in ins, is smilar to fivine the head on the earth. that the tyamey of the irrational part to the eldation of the feet ; and that which happens from a tigure of his himel, is amalorons to what takesplace about the soul. But it happons with reveret th the body, that the right and left hand parts are sern in a chauged position. Hener aho, it happens about the somb, that thines dillirent, and thines that are the same, are changed to the oprectators. Fon the same is amalogoms to the right hand, but the differcht to the left, according to the Pythagorean contom. Aud suel is the nature of the whole text.

But het ens direct our attention to the patienlars. In the lime place, themefore, the

 hawe the fert raised on high. Bat tiving the head in the earth mahes a tigure of

 and a cminumetion of hat which is divine with that which is withont Gads a and
 that whid is a lower of contemion is romstonted arcordmeto a contariety of his hind : hat "hich is a haver of ridhes subsist accordines th whliguty; that "hich is a lowe of peanere illesally exints aceordine to a supinemes of life; and that which is tymmicat according to the elevation of the feet. And his tigure is dorribed in what Plato now says.

In the serond place, it in requivite to survey, how a figure of this kimd exhibits the right haul parts on the loft, and the left on the reght, buth to him whom is in this proition, and ta the apectators. Let one prom therefore, be suppered to look !o the north, but another to the south. Gf theres, the former indered, will have the rizht hand to the east, hat the hith hand to the west. But the other will have these viee versa. Lat him howeser, who lowhs to the north be supine, not as Timans [may seem to] say, having his head fixed in the earth, but his feet

[^337]elevated, as we ure accustomed to assert of him who lies in a prone position [but let his face be upward]. And ngain, he will have the east to the right hand, hat the west to the heft. In order howeror, that in the way mentioned hy Timiens he may le suphere, let his feet be rained, hat his head be fixad on the wrombl, so that his face may be lurmed from the north. I outing thereiore to the nomth, he




 will therefore fancy thines on the I- ft to be on the right hand ; and thing on the

 to subsiat vier werat, thath the tiaht abd lat hand pats will be referred to the
 parts are to the eas, but the tisht hand part, to the west, and will say that if the

 to the somb. It is well said therefore, that carh will faney thins pertaning to both amd not to ome of them only, will hatse a vere versa puation.

 and the irational powers, twomes rather 11 phant [than a rational nathere, and imitate the life of plants. For in there, the laral is rooted donnward, but all the
 ment of thins contar! th the tuth. and we only the soml that is in this combition, but that alow which does not yet sullier this, but lowhs to her. It is millent therefore, that he who dives hiv heal downward, resembles those that ate perfeedy distorted; lant that he whostands inderel, in a nalloral position, hout by loohing at the former, is similarly attioted with him, rexembles those that are distorted by others; just an the formor is simblar to thome that are dintorted by themselves. Hence, the soml initating a plysical life, thinks different thinge to be the same, and again, the same things to $I_{\text {s. }}$ different. For it thinhs that pleasmere is the same with grood, thourh it is difticent from it; hat it weparates the divine from
 quence therefore, of thos thinhiner, the soul genserses the lase life, departs from herself, and follows this life. Hence, her opinions are false, and are not only thens affected about sathe and diferent, hat also about motion and permanency. For
they think that the mature which is ferpertally in motion has stood still, as the multitude [i. e. Une Chriatians of that time] do the smm, and also that what is permament is moned, as come do the earth. And in many other particnlars they sharey himes chateed from what they are. These passions howerer happen about the emersies of the circles in the period of the different. Hence also be says, that the seren circulations in the circle of the ditherent, suther these, and other such lite athections. For ome of the circles, viz. the circle of the same, is fettered, as was before obswed. Ile therefore alone is emdurd with intellect, who wes the circle of the different rishty, hat liberates the circle of the same; and he will mately lar one, who loosens the Promethens of himetf, who was bound by Epinethens. For throngh this' [i. e. throush possessing the last life], the Fonl becomes homd to the irrationat order, which Epimethens himatf is said to adorn."
"And in thinsituation, there is not any one of the circulations which possesses a mbling and hading authority."

For as there are many perinds in the rirele of the different, in imitation of the sont of the miverse, they are all ot them injured, twerome distorted and imberile, and are in servile smbection to the irrational motions, which it is not proper to call perinds, herawe they ha-ten in a right line to semeration. For a period is a motion from the same to the sane. lat every iratinnal hnowledier and appetite, hastem fom one thing to abolher ditherent thins beiner extended to that which is cxtornal to inelf. For beth the abject af appetite, and the object of hombedge are extornal, the former to the irratiomal aple tite, and the latter to irmational hnowledier. Nebiber therefore does the priod of the same'somom; fir it is fiftored, and resembles a king in chains, in ron-egurnere of being in the pewer of his enemiss; bor the period of the differemt; fir it is dintorted, and resembles a general who fanoms the concerns of his comens. Ilenere, this is truly a gigantic

[^338]war, making the earth-born genera that are in us, to be more honourable than the Olympic, and not as in wholes, subjecting inferior to more excellent natures.
" But when certain senses, borne along externally, fall on the soul, and co-attract the whole cavity of it, then the circulations which are governed, appear to govern."

It has been already asserted, that no one of the periods governs, or is a leader; and that our head is buried in matter, and our feet become elevated. But through the present words, it is added, that the mortal rote over the immortal matures. For the senses are externally borne along, because they announce external; ; and they fall on the soul, because their denunciations are accompanied with passion. If therefore, they co-attract the cavity of the soul, viz. the whole of its essence; for the word sestos, cavity, is asserted as of certain things that are convolved; and prepare this 'to adopt whatever they assert, they become the leaders of the whole life of the soul, cause it to speak and think such things as they amomere; and to fancy that which is apprehended by sense, and which a man tome hes, or eats, or drinks, has a true existence, but the intelligibie, and that which is chosen by philosophy, to be a nonentity. 'These therefore, brine the haters, the appetites govern, and the multitude possess the sonveizn power. But deliberate choice and reason having an arrangement in the part of things sulorrient, at length administer to the passions. Don's therefore seller in reality lead and quern? And hew is it possible, sinner it is mortal and material, that it shomberemem? But it appears infect to growers reason, get it is ito if, and deservedly, governed by other things. For it is itself different [or characterised by difference l; and on this account it is impended from externals, is sampuinhed by them, and is differently disposed at different times. But the irrational life- is the came e to itself of slavery. Hence, it does not in reality govern, not having a ruling power which is incapable of heine vampuined; but beige subservient to other things it rules over natures better than itself, in consegmonce of their being deceived.

A "On account of these passions therefore, the soul becomes stupid at present, and was so originally when she was first bound in a mortal body."

This is the conclusion of all that has been said concerning the incarnation of souls, from which Plato discovers the causes of their perturbation and tumult arising from ermeratam; and finally, of the insanity in those that are recently born. And here rain, it is evident that he conecied the rational soul to exist also in children; but that it is fettered and shersish, ' being vangibled by other powers, and does net accede in a certain posterior time, as some fancy it does. For he delivers the conses through which though reason is present, yet is is not [effectively] present, and conchuling the numeration of these he says, that the soul through these, lexeme stupid originally, when she was first bound in a mortal body, and is so at present. For what difference is there between being juvenile according to are and juvenile according to life? As therefore, in those that are stupid when they have arrived at maturity, reason being present, is quiescent, in the same manor in those that are just born, ${ }^{2}$ reason indeed is present, but being vanquished by stupidity, is sluggish.
"When however, the stream of increase and nutrition flows along with a less abmadant course, the circulations being again restored to tranquility, proceed in their proper path; in process of time become more regular and steady, and pass into a figure accommodated to their nature. Hence in this cate, the revolutions of each of the circles, being according to rectitude, and calling both same and different by their proper appellations, they render hin by whom they are possessed, prusdent and wise."

Plato in the Phadrus, teaches us the felicitous life of the song, according to "high it revolves together with the Girls, recurs to the supercelestial place, and surveys Justice hereat, Temperance herself, and each of the divine virtues; and again, he gradually heads it, fem supreme felicity, and this blessedness, to at one time raising the head of the charioteer above the heaven, and at another entering within it; and from this through diminution, to surveying none of these blessed spectacles, yet still fallowing from custom. For the lapse to souls is not directly from an abundant vision of the intelligible into eremeration, but proceeds through many media. In what is here said however, tragically describing the passions of the soul falling into generation, its turnings, fractures and streams, he wishes to

[^339]lead it gradually back to an intellectual life, and to a life conformable to its nature. Bhat here inderd, a conation tahing phace of thinery more excelle.nt, and
 coed, viz. lamenesv, 12 deflasion of the wings of the soml, oblivion, the meeting with [esil damon-] and a eraitather tomeney downoarl. Here howerer thing



 siderate, athl mate the prostenton of ant equable athd orderly life, nature lacing

 ath all-sations thax, thelt the soul in smpid and matable; but when the parts of

 posible we should admit this! Fiar as the immortal soul insts priar to the body,
 say, Hat the berly becomes at onde time an impediment to the somb, in its attain-







 partionlars.

With reapect hoserer to the words wi the text sewerally, it must be sad that "the sticam of incroase and matration" manife: the phasieal river. For it is ne-

 is then matre powertit. For matmre moner that are yousg, flomrishes, and is very rubmet, hint int those lhat are .dhathed int ane, with whon, the soml is more comsiderate, nature is imberild. Aml yon may mot only ree, that die somb is con-


[^340]more rigoroms, but in skep is inder, s/ugesinh, but then mature especially operates, and performs hor properaork. He.ere it wry reasobahly billows, hat wher the phy-


 most part more prodent. mure aquable, and mome stady than thone that are



 simplicity, the copabhility. and the smalitwhe of a perighers. But the vircatatiens

 manner: but there is an endeavor tor commed and divile each hame appopri-











 which he says as follows:
" He thercfore, who reccives crutilion, in conjunction with proper nutrinunt, will be perfectly entire and same, and will awoid the greatest discase."

The natural path of somi- to wi-dem, is described throngh the abow-mentioned
 physical :ptitude, and which throbieh proper matriment, impatis to the irmational nature, the habit of good comblact, and a life coufrimable to right opinion; but -
thromaly ermdition mourinhen the rational essonce, by diaciplines and dialectice

 lite, but the latter reourring to intellect, maty murvey the nature of beings. For these thing taking place, the soul is rendaret entire and same; cntire inseed, as having all its powers menomubered, and the cirele of the same libe-


 insteal of pats, as rainime therself foom the astomistament produced be things
 from herself, the brimy waters of the seat of exmeration; but vame, an returning th her own matural order, and rmming hath to acionce. Por ignorance is the greatPat dise:ase of the soml, burging ind bhading its [intellectual] eye.
" But he who negiects this, will, thongh procecding along the path of life in a lame eombition, again pass inte llades imperfere, and destitute of intelligence."

The philosopher manitests throngh these things, that sumething is ceffected by the motion of mature and political combiton. For he calls hime imperfere, who doess mot whtain risht mutriment and emblition. For like olle whowe fied are imjured, he waths inderd, yet not woll ; and is meither entionly deprived of motive


 as alone batome mate a proticiensy mo far as he has bern moved by nature. Henore Platosays, hat he will again pass into Hades imprerfect and Noptute of intellizences, not heing able to sive perfection to his intellect; lneanse the living are from the deal. Aud the passimg into Hades, monitiost the procereding into that whath is dark, and withome splembore. For the departure bo these, is
 month into the subteranmant pace. For he who has mot puritied hemself, canbot know that which is wholly pure and incorruptible.' Hence, he is sent in

[^341]that place, in which he will be purified; and he who has alone lived acenviner to Faith, will be directed into the right path by Fate in orderly periods. of time.
"These are particulars however, which take place afterwards; but it is requisite to discuss more accurately the things which are now proposed to be considered."

The discussion of the composition of the body, both of the whole and the parts of it, and ak of the irrational life, fat not of the allotments of the song, is concergenel th the consideration of the incarnation of the some. For the accurate
 comporting souls through it to a prudent and wise life. But it pertains to the









 sidered. But what follows is alloerse th his transposition.
"Prior to these therefore, it is requisite to speak about bodies, according to the parts' of the or generation, and about the soul, and to show by especially adoring to probable reasons, through what causes and provedene of the Gods they were generated. For hos, and to those that proceed conformably to these things, it is requisite to discuss the parsiculars that remain."

In what is here said, Plato defines the scope of what is about to le delivered,

[^342]viz. Hat it is about the gemexation of bodies, and alsont the soul. For it was plainls said, that it was his inteution to spath concerning both, and to show from what particulars the second Demineri comstatad the soul and the bedy. But conformally to this, he hat hefore asertod, that in combtimting sond amd benty it was reguisite to introduce the Genls, abd to eflect things comadrent





























 say, that his intellomal part is arranged amalogoms to the oplore of the fixed stars; lent that of reason, that which is theoretic, is analogons to Saturn, and
that which is political, to Jupiter. Of the irrational part likewise, the irascible matme is amologom io Mars; that which is emblued with the faculty of speech, Wham: ; that which is epithymete, to Venus; that which is sensitive, to the $\therefore$ an: and that which is veretatio, to the Moon. The haciform velicle likewise, is amatugon to the hatens; hut this mortal body, to the smblunary region. In order therefore, that yom may perefor the miverse partially, the diceourse about man in eo armared with all physiotory. And thus muelt as to this paricular.

But how are the causes and prozidential energiss of the Gods to be disided? Is it not, that the former are hypotatic, or the sources of smbistener, but the latter are of a chardian nature; the former are the mpplies of essence, but the latter of grood; and the former are effective of mortal natmes, but the latter are the savicurs of immortal souls? For the diok providentially attend to these, receje them when they demend [into the reatms of eremeation], and arain, when they are willine to acend, extend their hands, and impart the pity which dwells with them. Since however both the canse and the providence od the jmior fonk, are multiplied and mited; for whence conld mion be imparted from them to generated natures, mblew the that produce them wre by a much greater priority mited to each other? on this accombt, Plato calls their demimeric powers, the providence and canses of them. For there are many Dominrsi, and the prodnction of each is mutiform. And arain, lie unites the moltitule of the Gorls. For mion and miform power areede to all beings, amd by a mole ereater priority the the Cods themedses, from the disibe peculiarty; just as intellivence is present with wholes, and in a moch greater dereree with the inteflectual orders themselves, from intellect. But when, in short, he refers the canse of ernerated natures to the provithone of the (iods, he gives to them a first proseresion into existence, ineflable, and better than knowledge. Hence, Jamblichus rioptly says, that it is not pessible to collict syllogistically, how the Gods produce body, and the life which is in it, and how they connect hoth with each other. For then things are manown to us. And indeed, we strenuously assert, that all things are constituted by the Gods, in consequence of boohiner to their goodness; hut we are not able to know how they procecd from thence. The canse however, of this is, that to energize providentiall $\ddot{\sim}$, and to generate, are the prerogatives of a divine hyparxis, and possess an unknown transcendency. It has been shown thercfore, what the subject is of the present discussion; but he again reminds us what the mode of it is, that it is mingled with probability. For so far as it is connected with nature, and the fabrication of mortals, so far it is accompanied with probability; but so firr as it recurs to a divine intellect

Tim. Plat.
Vol. II.
3 N
itself, so far ngain, it participates of truth. Amd finally, he connects the mode und the and of the discussion. For he nays, "Thus, and to those that proiced conformably 10 these things, it is reguisite to discuss the farticulars that remain." But the word thus, pertains to the mode; and the worls, conformably to these things, belong to the end. The end however, is to speak about the parts of the body, and to discuss minutely, what pertains to the mortal soul.

# ADDITIONAL NOTES. 

Vol. I. p. 1. On this account whe have prefised the treatise of Timans to thirse Cumenentories.

 ponted edhmon of these $f$ mamentires. Esut the
 1'.4. The tron corordinathens of thanas.
Tlicse two co-ordmatos, are the folluwing: bound the mbinic; the odll, the even; the orre, maltutule; the right hamb, the left hand; the masenhare, the temomase the que cont, that whech is in moton; the straght, the durvel; light, darkness; good, ewh; the symare, the whinng.
P.14. It hocilebrate number, ic. and the tetractys.

For a derolupencne of hie manmer in whelh the Pythagorean phomephimationt number, and also concerming the tetractsa, se my Thenretic Arithanetic.

## 1'. 17. Our precepler siryinue.

Thas very cutandansy man was ihe first that tho-
 trince of phonsopher, and theoluget of the haghest antiguty. He dul nut wate murh himselt, but committed the promulgation of hos digmas to E'roclus.

E'S. Si. Dene. The followng in ammal acrount of the Suens, 15 firen by Predus in lias MS. shomia on the Cratshas. "The divme Plato hnew that there are there krids of sirens; the celatwl, whichis umeler the goverument of Jupter; that wheh is genesiurgic, and is mader the gevernment of Viptume; and that wheh is cathurtur, alld is mider the severnment of l'huto. It is common to all thece, to anchire all thanes through an harmome moten to thers rolling Goiks. Dence, when the soul an in the lieavene, they are decirous of unitang it to the divae bif. which itounches there. but it is proper that aolv livine in erneration, hould samb heyotd them, like the Homurfie tlyace, that they may mot be allured by ecuctaton, of which the sea is anl mage. And when somis are in Hadec, the Sirens are desirous of unting then throush intellectual conequions to Phato. So that lhato knew that in the kingdism of llates, there are Cieds, damons, and souls, who dance as it were round bluto, allured by the Sirens that dwell there."
P. S5. Dialertic. The dialertic of Plato, to which Irochas here alludes, is not the same with that dadectic which is the subject of opinon, and is accurately investigated in the Tupics of Aristotle. For the former is irreprelicusible and mostexpeditious; since it is cun-
nate with thinge themselves, and employs a multitude of powera 1 orider to the attanment of truth. It ithewise mutates intillert, from which it receives its promciples, and ascends themgit welloondered grachationg io lemg it-alf. Halso crimmates the wanderng of the soul abous ernabies; and explures cuery thing by methods which cannot be cootuted, till it armes at the inctualie principle of things. The business likewise of thas hrst of sciences, is to employ detimetons, divishose amalyzatuna, and demonstrations, as primary acience a tio the investegation of canses; imitating the progrestion of heinge fiom the first pranciple of things, and thrir contmal cunverasin to it, as the ultimate oblyet of destre. For an ample account of this matier ecience, sec the notes to my tranalation of the l'armendea of llato, and also my translation of Select werks of liotilus.
P. 43. Physcel iirtues. The physical virtues are these which are common to men and brutes, teing mingled with the temperamente, and for the most part contraty to cach other; or rather, they frrmar to the ammal. Or it may be sath that they are thluninations from reacon, when nut impeled hy a certan had temperament: or that they are the rechlt of energes in a former life. Oithese, ilato speaks in the Politicus and the Laws. For an aerount of the other virtucs, viz. of the cehical, the peltiteal, the eatliartir, theoretic, and paradinmatio, sec the Nutes tomy tranclation of the Phato of Plato, abdatoo thic Adhtional Nites annexed to why teans atson of Select Works of Piotmas.

1'. is3. Siepter of tuenty-tur measuris.
The serpere of dupter, as we are informed liy Proclus on the Cratylis, was, according to Orpheas, twenty-
 bught agmfice, the estahbohment of thoce two dane orders by Jupiter, the celratalat, and superielestal, and his retzinge ow two series of firds, each of which is characterizal by the nomber twitur.

1'. 91. For the rclaturn subsisting ameng you, that Phatom, the offispring of the Sun, osc.

The fulluxing explanation of the fable of Phacton, is given by Olympudorus in his Scholia On the Neteors of Aristutic. "Phacton siguifies a comet, by which coniderable parts of the earth are at times destroyed. But he is sad to liave lieen the offipring of the Sun, becauce a comet is a sublunary body, consisting of a collection of dry vapours raised, and set on fire ty the Sun. He is likewise satd to have desured the guvern-
ment of the chariut of the Sun, berause a cumet ifsires to imitate the circular motion of the Sun. He did not heep the track cliserved by his parent, because actimet Juey nut mote ill a directloll garallel to that of the sunf. He uas hatod by thmoder throngh the anger of Jipuer, becaune than comed was eashemblied by menst valuirs. On thas actount, lie is and lu have
 whemshet through monture. He wiel bumbthd hy







 trum mui-ente, shmar to that whele was peaducel b) the disollation of the romel."
14. 4. The hutustiorefore, and churiuleers of the Gids, ore all at theint wid, Ac:

These are bambully explaned by Hermeas in his Shoha On the P'hadius, as fillums:

What are ne to umdertand by the charmeer atal



 ient uphates on thas subjet. 1 say then, that they sam st be arranged urotults to powers. lier ther ar-
 hureasare repuestated enereblug, hat there are mit





 fild with sue. Fur the iscome ot the sull is therer shated, stace il it nore, it numbd frrish. But the poaers of it bechme deprated, allal dards in is much greater de; tee the case with its chergies. Platu tamself hincuise say:, "thut the hulses and churbitions of the Gois are ald bitheng piond, with consist of such thanes as are
 and satior adefiaron of the then's" Htherefure, the essence vi uar sumb retanus madelled with me, bnt the powersul at becume distericd, theluorecsand chatheters may bevery properly arranged sicoldag topowers. But thas also piatu hameticlearly prudame, when be says, "Let at be simadar to dice conimacent puoter of a amied charmb and chormber." It humoner, sume one showle say, that the wurds, "all if then are poud und cunnut of theng gave" are spuhen as shanting that the e horses add chanoteers are derned irum benelient causer, the murds that filluw will lear witnesy ab;amst this miterpretation. Fur cur harsts and charivicers are from thags, that are good, as from causes; what
 cere, says that vursare defited with we. But l'lato is not the first whu assumes a chariuter ald horses: tur prior to him they nere shamed by the damely 11 spred puets Humer, Orphews, and i'amendes. By
them however, as being inspired, they are mentioned whenut a cause: for they spuche cahusiastically. But since llito intiodacis licthang uitio his phatunoplay,


 them; III the mean tane uhesming, that the theutu-









 © I. In the nean canc, 11 mand be olsismel, that the
 to be cummon.

Platu thetclure, in the Tinatua sus, that the bemi-


















 smabarly amormpitable accordang to the ame, But detsGint trum the bat, in a scroad ant that degrec." The haras thelefore, ond the charwher, we the pusers afthes there; ind the we gater of the subl, whathirgo
 fower thenture of bemar, i.e. of wemé, whin bune Wh the geterd is the chototere ; bim lie puont of the sane, is the tetter of tie two bomses; and the prower of
 cuachere tuo horea and a chanoter, whob are made tu cualesce, then the une fuwer wheld is getreatue and fruluctise of the charnater abll the hinion, an the hides ut the sumb. P'vaer huncver, mast men le mater stoud continmably to gevmetrohan, in the way hicy
 :quare. In what was telute and thereture, phatu discusod the essuace of the wat; lithe here, bie opeaks
 git. These therelure, lemg three, viz. esseace, selfmuthon, and mmurtahy, Hirce powers are here as-umed, asaluswo to them, wa, the deat of the sonif, the horses, atht the more patial hes of the horecs. For the ded of the soul 1 s assunced analogecus to thic

[^343]The cssence of in, when maically pozersens both aeifmotur and ummotahes. lhat the horses, and the self-motive natme of them, are anomathandogno the self-muthon of the conl And the mure pathal




Bhe why die he call the pouer of the vemes, and the powes of the defferent, the burse, bint the pawer of esencier, whely is one of the genrat of hemg. the chartuter? It is cumbent thereture that all the penera partupt: of rach ofler, bit rath is denombutid accurdang to that which predumbinacs. Abidecoctice, whirh is buw a-stmed in order to the compontion of the con', is the smmont and is most fertert, and sccording to the has dimmon wer the test. Hence the subit is not romigelled to he mosed actordina to egconec.' lint the rembming two whehare the puners of the eme dal the ditherent, are acomulated thereses,

 and the dethecap. lur eomedered as prociedong alown the mellizilde, they are horese but an retmome to the same rumbun, lify are circles or whects. Alul the lietere whet mident, whan in the curcle of the same, is that wherh ievisea ahomit meitu-alles, atd has the power elewnon: the samp; on wheh acromit alsos. it as calles molane ar azite. lint the leon euthent whect, uhinch we the rircle of the hetierent, and is gene-
 and is esiled cerct, when it pooms.- it proper virthe,
 Chinge, wien it annomers censiblen wilhom disture

 is suftenent, and lins cxcter and extents the splut.






 from the harrier te the ceal, it momatesa cirche. The Whute of tha biterume, is dilerter circic. lint when

 fill fartat -.N小.) has a dunwatidtrawing, and gene-
 atebids to sermathry nathres.

We may linewat mi: $k$, the follewng divibing, and ral the misflinct ut lie soul, Wie chathtent: lat the circle of the somes, and the bether horac, the danuetic Fart of the ownl; and the wrile of ehe different, and the lesg exrrifont huper. the duxiatic fart. But it must he olservel, that danent partigatest bitherence, and upmond dathenes. Vir cery puth whith sum may acome of the suat pattefatic of hath these. And if we curvery mired the hur-cs and the rhanoteer, according to that which is bigheat in the soal, the -upienie unat of the soul whit mitiligilles and the Gods, wall be the charivicer. Hat the brtter horse
will tie that pinwer of the soul, which a'wayg acpires alior motiondes. And the inferior horec, will be







 the letter of the two h.mer? and that jower of it
 secombary matures, as the leas cacellenithorse. It is



 deverste purer. Fir it munt be aberveil, thit when
 opmon roazns the while of thelf th dament, and
 wrors, it whes to cucreve by i.aelt. And these
 may suries in the raturnal winl at ine.

Shace howeser the sind docendo sin an tin liave the urridnal nature nown therther with il, and each of the homenserista, in heraz the connected with the irrithon.t firm of the chal, we bunt mot amit to conadder the ee abo when in this eandatur. Far the soul


 the juther (eots, and trum the renm won wath the murtal herm of litic. The charmetrer ll est fore, will
 the two horses will he anger; and the mberber linte



 charmiter harser asong at the will of the herae.
 priprly denphaned, preduce for us lir hishert polth-
 contemphative, or thentetie man. Thace bineres howEwr, and the chmoter, are chaberd, acroshome to the cpheres and the frment, and dccorban: to every firm of lite. For min the athe where, they are colar,
 © W.ar, Martsat; and in short, they are alonecta-
 wheth they ate arrangedl]. Ard if melerd, they are
 dwinc; il aroudne to the angehe, they are angriacal; If acondag to the dimonncal turm of lite, they are
 they are herme: and in a smaise manner in all the - ther turms of life.

Bint whatare we toundertand hy the word motreporil Anti in the firet place, let us see what a willz agritios. The wing of the soul therefure, is her anagogic prwer, which is especially seen according to the better of

For the onul is eternal accoribng to coserce, but temporal according to energy. Hence according to the former it is minovabie, but is movalile accurding to the latier.

2 i. c. Man of a modile class of excellence.
${ }^{3}$ This word means hicerally suburifed.
the two horses. We denominate this horse therefore, a wheel, or rather the turcle of the sume, because it is a huser of the theatitil, aspires atier matelliguler, and never cessos, the chariwteer, but acts nthlly, and wovers in conganctivil with B. But the mblier horse, which is lie downward-drawing and gentosiugie puner of the sout, gravitater to eartli, and revists the chanutier. All suhil therefore have withes: lur as.l of them have all promirs, alld thas $\sqrt{\text { a alou the case with the }}$



 Lathan, the wang are llit blivays evpaldal, hat att





 as liavill: 1月 etheacs, bullipowernathl ebuersha. Helice
 -atad. Wrbage therelure to a-xers that whinh is
 word suthearies Fur all sumly lane an mathic
 time, only in earegy. Or it aryy lee gad that the tern shb-uaticed is firiperly as-efted, buth of dwine sumb, athd unas. Ol danse evils matecel, fersane in






 and the athtual.

As Puthas by daracty impired puetry, starniturs tat whach Hatu sia the d'ha drus call, the ;retreny of Puetic matha, and as the chuthostantic entry in liequently menticned in theae fommentarits, (hit fullumang account of eathusianin, atid the dilterat hathe of



" Since l'lato lirie di liver fomi kimis of manas, by



 shaw to what fart of the sual the entansbatic encery fertallis; w lecther eachatit of il guscoses tha chergy; It eil enthusiann in lrom the (ands; abd In what pat of lace sond it is ingederated: or whether it sobusts
 then, thes that wheli inpoperly and primarils collied enthusianns suls.at, and what in w? U? the rational soud, thereare two firle, one of which is danut, but the cother opinom. Again however, of dianola, one fiart
 another purt ut it is the lingliest, which is iad to be
the intellect of it , arcording to which the soul especial. ty becomes miteflectual, and which some call miellect
 which is the sunami ut the while sonl, aibl most athed to the one, witill likewse wisties well to all
 readily daportil tu du $u$ !iatever they please. This tin, is satd to be the one wit the suml, bears the insine th the sujtr a-sental one, a..d unthes the whi le soul.


 Lutandilie Guts. Jhat it sulising alon lam thelf: lur it jerberts staelt. Su tar therctore, as it abomats froth the lamis, it pusaceste the one, which thaten all its


 that isence of the soul to ter lowntasm, ace urdang to whabit in conlitected with the Guth, and anted to



 mbillat which is alouve it-cli. Aud sul it ay at conshlutes lladt, it fusserses the dathertir juwir, accurd-
 remb, emerebers discuraively, and cullects coniclusicus






 that whach is leas. 1Heme that which is pilmarily. froperly, and tinty enthestaxill Iromin the (iods, is c:lathil ucosding to thas une of the soul, whach is alioved disma, and alove the mitellert of the soul: u!nch whe a at anuller time ma relatel amd dormant
 the (imbs.) ald the lite of the sumb is illominated, and
 scomblance of enthusastin is transmitted, as dar as to the hady; itselt.
" Whier emdansasms therefore, are produced aboup

 of didamsis. fur dathula is aad to cherpice enthat blallesty, when it dise wers sciences ald thorems in a very sliurt space of timu, and on a prester degree than chier men. Ophisun Jikewise amd the phantasy are sall thas to enerenze, when liey dincuver arts, and accumpish admabible wurk., wols fur instance as Hhbis, cifected in the lumation of stalles, and anolier 1 an ancilier art, as alsu Humer sayst of hand whu wade the belt of Herculas, "Hat he neather dil, bur would artitionlly prollice surh abuther. Anger likewint, is sall to energue enthusiasheally, wheni in Latile be chergizer superaiturally.

Lhe Mars, when Urandishiughis spear, he ragil.4

[^344]But if some one yielding to desire, should eat of that wheh reason furbinte, and through tha show whe expectedn tere nie wedl, you may *qy that druse also in this matare, esteramid enthmbisually, thomzh ubscurely: :" that cuthernastn is likewore pronticed about hie chtier parta ot the soml. Fenthonatm howcerer, priperta so edted, is when thas ane of the sunt
 from thenere mapired. But at dificrent temer, it is posecesed ilwint the apititudes of eteelt, tiy difterent Gods; and is nuire or lew prosecord, when intedrect or danmia in that which is moved. As heretire, whens we ingure what philowhely is, we do mot always
 use of the nord, rall mathematirs, of phatire, phitosophy, and sconere; we the the bike aloo with reapert to enthaniacm. For thengh it abould be the phantary which is excited, we are arristomed to call the evertation enthustan. Alorower, there who ascrabe enthusiasm to the temperathres of bodirs, of the eacellent femprament of the air, or the asecndenry of exhalatuns, op the aptitudes of umes and plares, of the azency of the bothe that revolve in the heavens. sprak rather of the ro-operatmeand inaterial catece of the thing, than ot the ranke of it jroperly so ealied. You hase therctore, for the producheg canse of enthosiasm, the Gods; fir tive material canse, the enthorias-tucally-encerphng solil itcelt, or the eviernal symbele; for the firmal catise, the inguration of the (gesin atout the one of the smil, and tor the final canse, sood.
"If however, the (iulo alwas wish the soml what is good, why thers not the soul al ways eneraize enthusantscally i lay we not say, that the Gods moded alway wish the conl what is fonl, hut they are also willing that the oriler of the miverece hould preval, and that the coul thromeh many esues, is not atapted to enthuriacm, on which artount, it does not alwas enthusassically energize. Dint some say that the relestir art extends ar far as to the ciblunary requon. If therefiore, they mean, that ne one of the suppromary, and celestal mathres, energizes on the *ul hanary reginn, they evdently acert what is abourd. bint if they mesn that the toleuter. or mystic operators, are notalle to energiar above the lomar sphere, we day, that if all the alle iments of conls are suhlmenty, their asscrion will be true; but if there are aloo allitments of soula alove the muen, as there ars, (for sume are the attendauts of the sun, others of the noonl, aud others of Satnen, suine the Demiurgus ducemmated some of them into the tarth, others ibto the monen, and cthers eleentiere,) -than hieing the case, it will he posablis for the soul to entraize aliuve the morn. Tor what the while erder of honea innats to the soul, for a very extended period of time, this the gotil is also able to minart to stacif tor a short apace of time, when asasted liy the coota through the teientue art. Fur the soul can never enesgize above its own allument, but cancmereize to the extent of it. Thus, for meance, if the alloiment of the soal was as far as to phulosophy, the soul would be ahic, thongh it should nut cherese a philosophic but some uther hite, to energize in that life somewhat philosophically. There are also said to he certan supermundane souls. And thas we have shown how the soul energireg enthusiastically.
" But how are statues said to have an cuthusiastic
energy? May we not say that a statue being itrar:-
 erfectic art purfyung the matter of which the statue conols, and placing romid it certan chatactera ard symbela, in the hist phate retulersit, through these meane, ammated and ranse * it in recise a ceriain life ir in the wordt; and int the nevt place, after thit. it prepares the stathe to he Hummated by a dovine nature, throingh whinch it alway e elelners oraclea, as long as it is properly alapted. Fur ther statue when it has be, ireadred pertect by the irle the art, remang atternards cenducel with a prophce:c power, l till it heromes entirely unalpited to divisic fhommation: but he who receive the mbing minucoce or the
 But the emon of the 18 , that the acoul when filled with deity, encrgizes about it. Ilenere in con-aquence of energrame alioue its own power, to becomes wisy. Fur it woulid be a God, and sumbar to the souls of the stars. If it did bet lecuine weary. Wht the stathe, contermably to ita participationa, remans illuminated. Hence the maptuble of it entirely priceeds into privation, minless $1 t$ is asain de nowo priferted and ammated by the mystic oferator. We have suthe ently showis thesefore, that erthusiacin properly so calle. I, is effierted aliont the one of the sond, and that it is an ilhmination of dismity.
"In the next plice, Iet us lasorisa the order, and the uee wit the fumer manias, and shew why the phineopher makes mentuon of these alone. Is it liecanse there are no others thas there, or herance these were sumbicient fur hins purpoise? Thisat there are tirrefore, many other diame maprations, and manas. I'lato himself biderates as lie procerile, and priber to thes, he makes mentiun of the inspiration frem the Dumphas. Bat there are aloo mentathons from l'an, from the monher of the (Forl-, and tram the Corsumbe, wlorlicare cles where nientomed liy litho. ifire houever, be al the drlivers theere inur in mas; in the firat plarf, betance these alone are sufticent te the conl, m the alt,nment of ite proper apucata-tacia, as we shathafiermariloshow; and in the next place, bircane he delivera the proutmate stepe of acent to the soul. For the gilts of the Gots to all hemes, are many and momprehensble. Bht now he dehisers to us the anergies of the Guls which are extenled to sonic. He Tehivers however, these four manlas, not as if one of lirm was not sulficient, and espectaliy the amatory, tu bual hack the sunf tow prosthe lelicity; but at precent the series, and resular gradation of them, and the urderly per-: fectimin of the somb, are mintulted. As therefure, it is puscible for the tyanne lite when suddenly chanerd, to lionme aristecratic, throuzli emploging stienious; promputmir, and a dwine allotment, but the gradias ascent, is from a tyrannic to a demorratic, and from lins to an olparclive lite, afterwardo to a umorratic, and at last to an aristocratic life, but the descent and Japse, are viee versa;-thos aloolicre, the soul being about to aceer.l, and le rectured to it furmer felietty; is in the first piace, possesced witio the musical mania, afterwards with the teloutir, then with the prophetie, and in the last plare, with the amatory mania. These incpirations huwever, conspire with, and are in want of each other; so abundant is their communion. For the telestic requires the prophetic' mania; since the latters

[^345]interprets many things pertsining to the former. And again, the prophetic requires the telestic mania. Fur the telentic matha pertients and evablishen oracular
 and muasal manas. lor prophects, al 1 may sar,






 that inc amstury matia cuntroblate th all these, shle




 must teleate, und mom frophetic, and na exened ly
 which arcumat, he in sad to lase lieen the sum of

 vane gond, and rembenme han perfici late he apjeers tu bare beea puneseal mah all bla manas,

 uther, ut the diobs whe preste wer th...se minas, viz. of the Sancs, Buchus, Ap, lio, and lase.

 thuse which are immotal, athl ungithite from the sumb ins lif, and give jullectun to ir, are of whe had; but
 outward man, and our nathre, are of another. The

 place, the mastas, athd which alone ubibate fiom the suml itweli, atd let wo me what they ellect in the soul. In ordir bikenar, lat thamay becume man-

 wher ul the sull. Jicm the be'pmang therefure, abd




 abial asitwore, combers ut its mether. In the mat




 tree ratanme, and the disobang peonhatity, it dexented bitu gemestime, and Lecinme blical with much infatiunality and perturbathen. It in neterary
 and agant ellurn to the place brom whence $1 t$ salue.
 mamas cumtrbute. Abd the natiocal mana mined.


 were filled with abmalant tumbli. Lint ther telente

prepares t .uenergize intellectually. For the musical mama aben inarmumes amd reprenseg the part. of the soul; but the telentic canes the whole ot it to enerpue, and prepraces at to liet ane entere, so what the in-

 Han: lownell bind relaxel. And the catle of the Bane, or the intollectusl fort of it 1 littered; liut the carcle
 Hatho: and turning. Heace, the sume etherazes
 The 1)



 tire whole of the sual that one of at. Hewer Apollo
 tu the onc. And the remanamg moma, the allastury,
 to the Coul- ath tombebighile lienty. As thegerers
 and are in eath wher, he kitls alow on haramecont promptete et, and cummamate with, each ohber, and
 to all the gills. Wha, hetelure bs the order, and the ea
 the ere tular masilas.


 as:l tu at ad be muved rhaphaically, and to sing in metre, the splemtal deed of danice men, and their
 curlite, in the satme maner as the inuard manss coharmamze our sumb. Dust the tevelic mabis, capel-




 the prophetic mama cubrists moto une, the extension
 thats, Hie fant, the theture, and the exnthing time.



 phos, and thany funce, atilliven of the swit, to the
 served and cobnected. But the amatury inana converts jumbjernuis tu w, and causes them tu lierume


 the same manier at te memal amatury matha conJom, the one of the sual to the Gbal.
"All the alowe-mentionded mamas therefure, are supertur to the firudatitad temjerate cuetan's of the
 dade with wmpermer, did which we eay, has in a



 cuver theverms liog uad eapectations, as Asclephus, fur

[^346]"istane, in inticme, and llatoles in the fractic' hler."

Aftrwate, be commenting of what Plato sas of the mama bom the Mlaec, wiz. "that it atorna the mbmedent of the ancients," Ihermanaberves," that the inwand enerey in the suth of the puetic mana, hy applang lle eli wiperar and mitellighle natures, imfirts to mulurdmate nature hamony and order; but that the cxtemal dewoly impirell puctry celebrates lie leceta of the anciente, ambinotries lioth its con-
 whete." But itato say-" that he who "ithout the dwarly-inspurel mama of the Mbases, cypects to lic-- olluc a duane per, wh ly thas far cymb, lecome tumeelt ingertect; and hag puetry will he vangumbed Ald whefated liy the puetry which is the promeray of
 hetween the potery of Chorilus and Calimarhus, and that of thamer and limilar ? For the chumely-magered porta, a blemg lilleal trim the Mhase, alway moke them, and cutend to them all that they say." Fira fuller, sand most ablumalile ace obut of the puefic mama, and of the dillerent apeice of puetry by l'ruchas, see the Nutes on the toth hork of the Repubhe, in my trabiation of Phati, and aloo the Imedractuon to iny tramatanon of the letietoric, loctic and Nicomachean Ethics of Aristutle.

From what in liere said by llermeas abunt enthusiarm, the mithernt teader whil easty sere that none ot the Romath ports whoe worku have been transHitted tw us, pisussed that whats is primarily, propeily, amh truly enthusiasm, or that bighe ot spectes of 11, in whech the one at the cuth i, ithminated hy a dwine nature, and thron-h transendem smithadr, is unted

 Anche, jet his mancation of her ia buta partas and orendary thing. Fi, the maly calis en her to unfold to hom the camos dhat mioved a man of such


and contiling in the wwa g.ma, he begus his poom without solicilng wap mal wapraton,

To which may be addul that thas placing himecif tefore the Muse, reambles the cio at mens eres of Wulaciey. On the contrars, divmety-mopired pucts, as IIermea well olserves, hioch, a it were, at lie gates of the Mhes, and thos beng hlled tron thence excl.ain,

Equetevtv moi Movear-
And,
Myuir acise Asa-
And,
Ardpa mol evore Moura-
For being always cstenled to thein, they dispose the
whole of what they atiormards say, a derived from their invertug influence. With ath arrugatie, ton, pecular to the Bumans, who avacertam Gifich fuet sdys, were a people

> "laynul mesure prond,"
the asseciates himinf, in his 4 h Edi gif, with the Muses, ar their equal:

which remots me what sur mon relate of Cah-
 "f Cathr and Poblux, atd romber puath with Jupter
 of equal digoty with than dumitio. Amb: to the potia that have land ther the bal wh the kuman
 poescesed thas hipher cuthumion, as they dill nue hateve whe dateme of the somes tran whence it 1s alone gemamely drowed.


 meatung "f the Trepoll wat ill Itmer, is giten by Hermeat in liss shutha on the P'ostrin of liatu:
"By then we must understand the gererated and material place, whirlis so dr mummated lium nita and
 are war athd sedoun. But the Trigans are material forme, and all the hes wheli subsist abont todies. Hence also the 'I rinans are called eenume (ieazenes). Fur all the lires which subuist abore bedies ardirra-
 per matter. On the contrary, the Greeks are patmal sumb, cunang from Girece, i. e. Irom the imtellyghle into mater. Hence the Greeks are calkd formgers (triuses), and angin-h the Trijane, as beme of as supertorunder. Buthey bigh with carhother alhot the ma:n of lielen, as thic juet ays [about the image of Encar];

Armand the planatum, (ireths and Trejans firht.
 tesselimevontis ousa, atractiog to beet withect. An ethes threture, of thes mitelheit le beans samparted to
 the Greeks bieht with the Frijans [1. e. ratund with Hathonal hres']. And those mindect, thit "ppore and sanquash matter, return to the mite beble, wheh is
 nult the mathlude, are homet to wather. A thereforr, the prophet in the 10th hook of tae Mopblie, prownely whe ie cent of smik, an monaces th them

 thins al-u Colchas predicts to the Cireth-liar rethra in ten y care, the number con lemg a "ymiul of a pertect peried. And as in the lives of so..i-, sume are elevated through philusuphy, whers thrmizh the ainatury art,

- The German chltor of thene Sclolia, inctead of apanton, which is the true reading in this plare, and wheb he
 the Discortation of Maxthus Tymins, On the Practic and theorthe Lile.
a Pid, Olympiudar. an Aristul Meleor.
- For avaiogo quxal here, it is Dccessary to read alojor quxas.
- Hiad. V. v. 451.
, Conformatily to this, Proclus in the frammente ofhe Cummerntaries, On the liepublite of I'tato azy, "thatall she beauty subsistug alout generation trorn the falincatuon of thinge, is signified by llelen; about which there is 2 perpetual bathe of suuls, till the mote intellectual having varaquished the more irrational forms of life, retura to the place from whence they originally came." For the braviy which is in the realms of gencration, is aa - ffinz of inteiligible beauig.

Tum. Plet.
Vol. II.
and others througt the roval and warliko disciplines : so with respect to the ( -ecks, sume act with recillude tifrough prodence, but o:hers through war ur luve, and their retuan is diflerent [according to their difleretis pursuits)."
''. 15 t . The Plufonic hyporheses abua.' the eurth.
Sce mole un thas inticitimy mityect in lhe notes to miy tean-laltun of the l'hado and liurgias of Ilato.

I'. 175. It is requatie that 4 eshoult hnow somithing manifest concermine prater.
Sue all addultinal travary of divinely laminums cunceptons on thas sulaject, trusu lasmblichas, Hur. cles and sanpliems, in blie butes to my tramstation of the Seeond Alcatiades ut l'ato; whath, at the realer las
 Alditulad Note tom tratlathon of Alambas Tyrma, and in the Nrw Noully Nagrollie.
 plamuticulh














 that lie alome had dearndy firaisodit. Ifat wi at is here asolital in a lhang of the lollowiog hllid: It wo







 like purss ald arlinds, has celelirated than fice an at












 the troth matist be asertel, an sulantang in homban cuncepuant Fur it tspusilile tu spath the trath, jet
not adequately. Thus he who says, that Socrates was not a lid mail, nor impious, saysindeed what is trine, yet liot what Sucrates deserves to have -add of him, as lie dues, who asoerts that he was a kuod ma:n, that lie pouncoed seientilic kloumledge, wa, whe in divilie cuncerns, ath! was dear to divility. Fur lie who wats
 dearet. Platu lherehire, sats a thetig il thanhiml, re


 thath hetr, líe s-bitice ther whole order of the Nights:



 lrual|' wllue (Bual-, "allal

Tu here fredu: id.и wholly true was eiv'n.




Tis wh m | Hal, foe"















 llommated 11 aved!. Wlis, lownerer, atr sumls foit

















 theill? Fur alter llaceuder of Dighta, there are thice urdit, of (imls, viz. of lleaven, the C'alips, ald the Centumatur for Gouls with a thundied habils!, liae jroper

In the originai m this place, $\cdot$. is vaittent.

- lliad vir. v. Es?, Ne.

The Chatitean thatescali the intelligible Gods suyf, and Night subints at the summit of the urder of Gods, which is tulh intelhinhle, and intellectual, and is thereture atisurbed in the intelligible. IIence Jlumer divinely


- Iur en. heet, ll in wreessary tu read vi, and to make the sentence interrogatory,
names of whom, Plats demes of the sulerceleatial ;hace. Por of the (ids which alile withon thanes, Hesten is the first that butumes vishbe trembian; bur
 Heach is but Hrmbuatel by the divine bibt of
 hinn.

Nome hont that wi sarred Night athene,
Hehatideret womme: tirald the revt





 out cotanr. Fin wish alor in Phanel to day, becase the latter in dhambated and whaned. And throwh
 phace al the X
 the urder at the tycinp. For theongy asc, that higure is bent untuhtel ato bíh in there, and hat the dive
 at the fiatri: whin shbet erry where. Hence the alory says, that thry are mannal artitisers. Fur thas trad' is jertature ot tigures.

And in ther toreheol, one rinnd eye was lisel. ${ }^{2}$




 fiences.

These dic firet mann I artists werc, who taught
I'llas atw Vind all all thins.






 ortitr of the entir.

 1nto cuttact, as 11 wire, with all the latha illon of







 celelented abimathe by he thatiozit. For what Orphe wa chis Nicht, that Ilate demmanatey a thent robur. And what lice tormer sobs begaturely, is wethout falsehtord.
l'redetien whent fal- hionel, :a to Night
Of all thand ghen. [sag:Orphens.]

That the later celebrates, as haring abuit "the kome of true science, and as beanf traly castang asince. Piato




 Ord may lie sald, that -ane it i- buth one amd heing,
 the weztwe com lasman actortheg th the -upereaen-
 Hone, hienise the br-t momber abtulued inte heht."
 Gim the ene of the cont, which be miurms us, wasalsu the opmon of lambleblan; bat I preter the explanation of if geven by l'rucia-, in liem Commentaries, viz. that It is a parial ime ilect of the Mmerral serves.

 -rithate natures with hic hagit of trath. We muse


 prompie of the wathertal tsuls; (tor the Nizhes are

 wi what ate properly aheid the mathectual fiods; and

 truth, wheh it puses ses frum an uriter phared above that wheh th Hmmatio. thas, the bun inpart. su-







 "ueconrid tumatan a carcle.


"Hoaven" [?. e. the inwhite of that untir of tiods








 antillet, íc.

 laten of Arintutéa llhasics.

1'. 59 . The Demmoricusuasmorterid liyg idrustea.
" Idratea (rays llemeavin bi, abublaton the l'batdru, ) is a dwaty stated in the vesthbles of Night

 al of the lirst hy pothesto if the darmendes.

- Thastrid cumant ol Cuttic, Cypes, and Briarcus.
- For umep here, it is obvionsly nccessary to sead veo.
- Instcad of ar' avtor in this place, it is requeste tu read ar' a nov.
and se the offisprog of Melissus and Amalthea. Mehisqus therefore, is to be ansumied an a pouer priviten-

 the uncllemmite. Helle Altanta was ghelated from unachang I'rovitence, and she is the shater of Ida.


## The lieantenis Ida, and Adrastea speung <br> Frinu the sathe olre.

This Gudden therefire, illically cumpreliends and cantansintire ellature, the centrenol all lans, wiz ulthe mundane, and the aupermadatie, ithuentite, and those of Jupher: for there are Juviall ald buhnilat,
 accumb blie os called adratia, hecabe har handalue decrees are hevilathe. Heme, lie mand wheratid

 to render all dome, obedient tuher lase. Rur P'alies' indeed is i...... :..thol the ise, wh the adjtime of Night; but Nwh its in the muthe of the cave, pre phesyme th the Gids; and Alraste a sith in the veati-


 dicisl eliaraciensoc. And the juntive uhbels is there, is sad to be the dangliter "I Hat Law and liaty whath

 of Law. Dlece the relore, are satil to hase montmed


 land. Bue dione law in impatidly ddrale: lis the Gods also: for the criter whath is methem in dermed
 to the attemdants of the Goat, aldi in commento all, and pecularly to eath."
P. tio. The thousund gears, ahan l'luto defines in the Pheirus.

Fur the sahe of more lilly umbermanding what Proclus refers to in: than phare, and atoo hir the sathe of the Platume reader, the kllowng trandation of an eatrace from the Schutha of flermess ath the Thatros is given. The teat of Pdato, re-pectal: the hine deccith if the soul trom the mitheghle wirld tatu hie itsimis of geretation, wh whel the estract is a comment, is as 1, Hous:
"Thas a the law of Allasiea, fist whaterer gonl atrendar ond dwaty, has beheld sumething of icality, shall be frce trull demage will amitur perind lahes place: and that al she is alwass alle to ancurnplath thas, the thalt be perpetmathy free from the wortons of evil. Hut nlem Wromeh as mapotemy of accuro plishing thas, hae has nout perceived reatity, and from
 livion, and depravity, the becume, herave and drums, bresks her wing, alll fall, aj口um wh the earth, then thas law prevents latern her tirst genematom, trumber ing mptanted in sume brutal matiore, fat commands the sumb, which has erw lie m.st, 10 mhorm ble budy If a philusopher, or of vie dearous of liesuly; if a masician, or of one devuted to love. But it urders the soul $n$ hose ferceftions rank in the second rlass, to devend intu a legitimate kilig, or of a man studicus of emprise and war. But tidiatibures a soul of the
third arder, soto a certain political character, or the luler of a lamuly, or the magter ul a trade. And agall,






 pupalar clatater. And in the minth, mitu a 9 ratme lake. Hat in ath thase, lie who passes las lite ju-al!, will alterward, oblain a lecter cubditon of bemg; bit be whu ats wijosls, will pass mito a woree tite of
 ditwh lifl the expration of ten thatiand your-; siace If wat the semer the use of 18 , wataze beture this fer-
 smet ety, I lobether with phasophy has been is loser
 sand gers, 1 they have thate chocan thas mate of

 gear, eternto llemp fristhe ationd."

The ahowa ul thermess on llis passitge, are as follon:
"Whateser smal, says Ilsta, flluwing its progier Goll, is oble to percome somethmg of methrithe, will









 ambll er ferbd. Iuq the satrad law of Adhastea ante-



 the whinte of that gernint, remaln of hagh, allid revolie





 see leere, bedes that are well horth, hatheh that shombid Sadly nourbticd, yet at the same time, re-

 retian Whated mbow, get ase frevemted by cortan goed da moth, irchat sciumphinig them. Xiter the -ame mander therelure, the sumb timat has ome teheld
 b.) gind dibmulis and herver, so as hot to hall motion
 a lide to follin the Guta, noluager percones whathang
 into generalth. The hirt caue thereture, wheh he
 lual] attendans of the Guds. The secumbis, its beling wable to perceive sumething of intelligibles. The third is, a forturtous occurrence; and this is protably
lie errurrence al ceitan malefic damons. For the aunl drgurtur; from the Gota, incets with eval domonc, whornhintle ita desiersof asocesting with eneneration.
 followahinperefier, Sueratenformananer, or semene ther wothytorlier; tur thestimberomesmodest and werthy,

 demt sueis, wionente him to deares comtraty to mas deaty and worth 1 life funthe canc, w the future obslivan whatrlimbles, atad the power if the soul ulach is cijective of difference, atid of a lite comersint with
 heary, is filled with the potion of ehivmat, and fetiered with the bends of peneratinn, abid drparts fommad
 He druravity of the cunt, whichemes the tothasion of her wings, and hur dearent fes carth.
[BE: when l'ath ays, "the soul falts egain on the earth," ly earth, lie may meati, all generalum; lie may shen mititd to aignfy thas earth properly su calle d; man lie may alsu mean lhis hmman leidy, intas which the sunl enters, through its mont abmulant jearticipatma of

 generatton, that she shisll not ruter mote the betly of a Gubte, fat intesthat of a man. For llatocalle lime dirst Getheration, the descent of the coml into therealime of
 frame, alier hat vanot of the medtrible.

In the nent !lae, it nume he uhemed, that the nine heses whathare here delswetme ditior from thone menstwned in the [10th bouk of ther] Republar. Pur the dues wisth ate hise deliserfed afe mame, but those in



 ser merif, llim trmatiom of the - wal, 1- Irman that











 fur motame as a motitas, "r ryal lite; men ruturey a life whol i, wh:er-bit with arine, and empluys atortume of a puricuistind.

 lives, of whellier a certam, ther dumath lestes theve,



 but milic lepal ile, mo fise, lives. It must be dimonatrated by ushose.et, hititnow the whole extrit ait life nazy be diatrimed mon tiese mone lives. These Goup things therefore, lieing surveyed almut mad, viz. ecoion, anger, desure, and mulurc, ithe soul descending noto generation, lives entier according to rcason alone,
yiridinz in mothing to the passons, nor sufferirg any ithang from them, and in thas case, shic productite firce life whachicthe jhiosophic. Or ahe live; accord. ine to angry, reasen at the vame tume laving deminoon. amil the jrulure the secund hie, which in risyal and military. Or alie luma arousdanz iv deaire, at: inn rea-


 prownllia nccesary foud for the ammal and the city. (tr a gann, the oul in cuncersant wht nature, reason whll preciding, and she protbices the gymmastic and medi mal bife. For tha lite, is conscried to nature arni boulas, frownlentially stterdmeto, at d prucurtug remedies for them. Since therefurc, we hase profectell, as tar as futhe cull of the programull of life arretduz to natite, the hllible remame, whal is the if!entir, nu! wlirh duca not puenss a ecal ar promer. Fip thi- lite is combertetio the (Find-, dat trom thence aford-acentur as-l-hatie tur thes that prede it. but liato asume, bere the proplielir and ulisice life.
 heno, and celentif, and the whenc ult is inspured
 nal lite, which through surnite alil frayers, atöorde a certan dad the the hmman race. And thesp mideed, are the fivelue., whithare therted weurtin: wright

 celle, and returtin to blie fromeple of hiv feregression. Here ther luer, the whl eblier dhule, in cesin, and produces rier plillowifuc liti ; or sle jer ce ch, as far as th nature and jruducestie othes lhere bives; or - lue is converted to the Guls, and pruatices the fifth hir.


 thace that piece le: Hem, the ane thratzh words, but the mher thon, h deels. Su thit the temammag lour, are mmitame ul lice frour libre; hut iwn of t!rm ims-
 aul uschithlisea alon, wlirh, ure truly mitulue, dafer in tha, tiat the whe mutates thratish werthe, the prolowhher, fle hotg, ald the "maming rharacters, and
 dreds: fire elirh is the artificer And the tiolith and Huth doumblarly umitate; the one asain throunb wisd-, hut the other theruzlsdecila. But in the poethe cumbile cecty mumative characior incloded, of which alou the pabiter lelong"; theec characters, is Ilato "ys in the Repuline, heing the thard from the truth. Fir the demurgie characier, he is to lie assumed who le ils a thing from nen-ben: to rasence, such as the carpentior, the putter, aml the shoc-maker. Among these hikewi-p, the husbandman is included, so fur as he fuls attenturn to nature, in order that lics zerminations miy lic hesliby and must ercellent. the ofthatieal howerer, and peyubur characierediffer in this, that the suphost is a cachice of the lans and sirose; but the pelpular characier cxecoses rheturic among the vulgar. but we unit bot now aswine the distorted sophistieal and igeannical lives, but those that use these powers to a gexil purpuse, the former by deception, but the latter by force. For it is gossible to use thase boun well and il, as Plato also iofers. And thue much concerning the nine luves.

It now reonsing, that wa should collect b: human acientifie reasonmg, what the nature is of tie intelthpibles, byile contemplation of whef the soul de conat. fato the birst, eromat, and filluwing hes. The sathl theretare, which lias surverad Hu hesumbly, the wore, and the gond, whe the e begmang; from the thet pion-






 mor beaty calderllisugh theero, or the tas, we wh-


 the stend hite. Jur a hing estatiblate, all thinsi, athe





 He is aho lhe catae of limuthop and mano to all


 the emplogment of difineme. He thenabe ale over



 to existeme.

Agath, whicn the subl has survegud the ruber of beng mure pratisily, and wo haser tutalla, wr has
 third hite: tul tha e whoure as-umed ta the thatd bite,


 the elesathic (iuds jermbices the prophtar or tele-11s


 and the twu lat ithomathealt:

Platu thercture, having ywhen concemina the here.









 that cuery woul sta ha the. a enlo wh the mat ate, in
 years; bat hat the soul in a phataquiter in restured through three thumaml wars. shat hawerer, he makes mention of a jernd of a merm?, and vi threc thousat if years, atad larther shll of lie permad ot a thousand years, trum a prearenbion trum pererathan
 westang of what is sand, and atternard, investy *hat be wishes to matheate.

He defines then, in the Repubtic, the measure of the life of man to the is handral jears, thas mamher lienge Whe oplase of ten, whirli comprelients in Inclf all the toman ul maber. Alterward, 15 yom maltaty a ham-














 len than s one thomand will praduce a monal of jeats.











'Iber that the ntars pallis ut Jove

 meathe; ut the word. Ambin hart, three and ten





 Hut ine in what the mothemathen -hintiontion of the












 uthrath athatate, puth cstiun. Abd threeraper-

 amotier torm ( 1 e.aceiol ling to a tormathereta trim
 Tisee bhe whe, 心at a weur to thate chansad, and tan
 prehasme of all mmiters. On all these actomits therchine, flato wes three thom, ned and a mymat, mamiestagy hy these nambers, that thuse who phitusuphaze filifecty, wake their apucatashasis to the ta-

Whigilfe in a shorter time, as requiting hat bittie or no purfication; lot that the ouns of the multitule make their ab"eatutawn a louger tirne, ae hemz in watt
 mamifentafertan mexater of the perfaction of the sual that ix porfind momerole earth; whel having ohtamed,
 Ill "u t!e earth, az: uniler the emih, Hemer, ticse renodivilo met entirely
 make thrir apecates in acha great iengh of time, lum they eymbun aliy uginty, a cortain proper memare of perfection; trenth which the sonl recenong what in ad.aptes tow, at il ohtantang its pertection, is ristured to is penture telirty.
P. H11. Th hurtulfo.de.

The anpreme telitity of the sonl in amother life, con-
 tert mander blan ran lie efficel by 10 m the perent

 fill wish are trandid lis me a toilows: "We were
 together with that hapry chone, we obtamed that bhewal apectule and wain, we milefl fillownz with

 filly callel mast hacol. And there thane argas were pertarmentiy, who were then entire, and tree



 frum hir mprew:on of that with wheh we ate now sursomided. whirla wermeminate heds, and to which we are hamb, hite anosuretwatele".
 "thare evers where eatw, ihat the eorementh but



 connects, Huld umbe thot whits is bibse with that whebs whide; elter the same mamerato, the hath
 truth, cungons mallat wht the malizotle. Y゙on ce therefire that be aly mblates tha lasth. Jor it is. as












 romsit. of dom" sillo, when en acemint of thers

 aring of Cisits und dinmons. It ia likewase propierly ealled by him hably: For in reality, he whos surscys thuer torma is lial py and likerd.
Again, when he sals, " Wi indect folloring wath

Jupiter," it must be ubserved, that il the Timana, ber refueconts the Demingens when he is makin- the

 come of them to he atar, othere Lun. - and others devian, de., lie diow minatel sume of to em mot the
 IPlato therefure now sasa, " Itr inded gliming arith $J_{1 /}$ Ier," as knowin: himprejer Gual $1 \mathrm{l} . \dot{\mathrm{r}}$. the Gut to
 humansont, to revelice in empmethon with appropriate

 nates midintion (tedery) from lice soul being readered
 see therefire, that the woth wa- unce pertect. Ifence, when it 1- in the esth, it hecemer dwated, and the whole of it is not alle to energize liy porlf. She likewi.e sase, "Which may te hartitly called" Fur the
 perreiver stea, a being ditterent from that wheh is aetb. It is neressaty bowerer, that mion thould talie place. Thie catabli-hmert thetefore in these Whect of wom, is mun birscerl. But it is neecsary to blanw that telite (tereon) ia one than:, murete (nemors)
 forre, wataluzan ththat which ia promatory to purificathons, amb the like. Bet muesis, wheh is denominathd trom doping the eles, is more divine. For to rlose the exerg is me binger to rereive these divine myerene hiy stose, hint to hehold them with the soul
 a sfectitur ot the tir. the likewise ass," These datine orgirs aterfarimomed hy us," liceame io perthom orgies,


Azan, when Mato sayc, "Mang ourseless then entere" be preaks of thoue dwine my.urrics, a" a

 the," he senimies that the commumber of the body becom. - lifedres of the hape of the soml. But by the wort stath, lie indictis the firm and cunstant


 pure light. "orne muralies fure." bu cause the aplentor in ti.n whimary reghn is miti furf; lur it is mingled whhair. But we ouralves wercthen pure, berause "iv met lavinl for that which is impure to lie ronjoinI with that whath is pure. ind lacth, as oysters are bomen to ther blell, so are we to the bude.
P. A 51 . Sicrates in the lhedius ays, that the horses of the simal sumatumes fiche a theact other, ise.
lor the sake of the litume reader, I shall give the Wh. le uf the puater in the lha drus, to which l'roches liere albides, "u-ritier with the Scholia of llemeas on 1t. The p…er then, is an followe:
" But with reyact to other curla, auch as follow divinity in the lest mather, and liecome similar to its mature, raw the hem at the clariverer into the appere coloctal plate; "here he is born atong with the circumberace: bitat is dinturlud by the conse of the
 tow. Oflers comblienwer, at ont the rive; and at another depresa, the licad of the claziotere: and thromph the vinlence of the horses, they, arty ace indecd, and are partly destitute of vision. And anain,
other souls follow, all of them affecting the vision of this superior $p$ ace; but from being unable to accumplish this desin", they are carned round in a merged conduthon, trampling on, and altaching rach wher, througha cuntenson of precedency in their cumre. Hence lle tumult, contest, and perspuatum are oxtreme. And here imbed, many becume lame throngh the failt of the charmiteer, matily bitak masty of this wing. and all of than mbubed in matisy lanur,










 *hich are cumethmes able to folluw darm!s, athd sometmes ababual dave nature. Hence, he mathfests thern by the indetinite wurd othis, as jowetong murh depravity and wandermg. Ho aho dwates these emply, mindirst, madle, ald hast. Jur he hanl likenne, piven a triatice divinom to the maturt, of a






 sicnimto Dupser and Vists, and the tondeaders: anl adain into Jupter, Gods, and damons: or afram, mito Jupiter, and thase that ishays folluw him, when licy are willing and alle.' Fur umersally, every thang which has ouce proceded frum the firnt princifle?
 first, middle, and la-t, wimbindily to what the f(Chatdean] Oiarle say," "The trad measurang all thongs."
 of them tase the hala in the rhamomer, of, We










 shing of ral lienige; and this to stand unt the back of the Heaven, as in a watch-tuwer, ${ }^{2}$ surve. .ng ditheremt objecte at different thmer. And divine jouls maded,
are said to be carried round by the circulation of the lleaven; but our souls, to be carned round to eull. junctloll [oumreparietai] [with those clat are divine].

But liy lice head of the chariotecr, we mupt umberstant, the hizhert abd moss intellectus! part of the soul, what numeally prasesaes all the intellechas piver of it. Shere the refore, the aut is multiputent, and the












 Jher whu

 the fulathal chatacter te the smise of sunlo ol the mat.









 sume han-s, anthatenu-eenther. This therefure, must learented to ; fir it will conbibute tw our how-

 as they methrally aphre alter the cupurdectial place, are comblbed tugether mith the (iacts, hase thraugta'

 H.e thel, and end the Iot. As therefore, bare on the


 . Whr the © the mather r .

I'un min birwne asome other damples of the Hate ordirs at somb. Ol the that unter midert, the temperate matn: the of lle serond, the combernt man,



 or the memperate man as an example. And agan', you may tahe, as alt example of the first order, the worthy man, who nenther accuses thimself, nor athother.

- And these, as they are sometme, willing and able to fulluw Jupiter, a wid sometimes not, nake with Juplier, a triadic division.

' Confurmably to this flatu elsewhere sals, that the gemine plotusoplier is nourished in trath apal lature. But at present, as true plalucuphy is not studied, and therearecunsequently, no genuine pholusophera, cery man in hasly empluyed ahout extemal conceron, aral no une as at lesure for speculations of the highest migertatic. "I 2 m tou busy, 1 hase nota moment to "pare fur such thinga", is the commun language of the higl. and the low, the rich alid the puur.

Fur the frrst of soult are not misurbed thrungh their own depravery, but thromin the nature of the sulject
 siso, we may disulue the denith whin hampere, how it
 high, and zinerest the ahole biorldy lior s, far as the suill fuhno the find, and grver itelfonthem, it is happ: But conds ot the mulite chas mu-t he arranged contarmat'y wowe who makes a proficiency, and who acruses handerifaldur.

Agam, wifn llato adks, that conk of the thirdrank
 that thry fill, ling that thery are merged, as heing en-
 lat at the same than, are ronvolud tegether with the

 :ad, that die rareulsthon of the Hearen convolices

 of the that elac. it sa and, wat they are jountly con-


 benz will retreat hy the Itaien, and the attendant of the Finds, jus as the molmabie moller at the sume mat of ther arr, wand th be erenlasly borne ahon.




 that the: watce there hat that ane wotl redenvents




 earh rither.










 runs romiltinn of the cuy tube diewhed, witant he


 depraved, and that one patt of the woil dues mort, as

Ilotinus says, descenct, but ancther part alnde on high. Afall, with reapert to the lameness of these sribs. thas beromars known from the motion of thoer jeroung that are lame. For the ce procets stowly, and inelefontly, and are in danger of falling. Thus therefore. these whlsales, are mure dull andinclegan: in their inteltectual cunceptons, ami are alwass in tlanger of beingelraundowintogeneration llence. Platioasoimilatea their mellertions to the walking of thone that

 wherved hy him, that many of liear souls break their wing'; fir he due, tint isy tisey dretroy them, teeanse the shal newre lones it andageir power; lint its enercies indect l crome luegh, and in this reyect, may he sad to ferbl, but die puwer remama bruhen, Farther alll, we may derive an explanathen of what io hese sald, frim :imerd ammals. Jur if ally one of the ee breaks its wings, it is for a slore tmme rased or hash, thronghthe wing nuthie whirl it perecoce, hut is again drawn downwath. Tlay defurt therefure, he -ase, dectathe of the proseption citrablity, i. e. blice fy to that "Wheli ju willom foul, whillark.


 eruily matation. They likewne tise insaster gutament,
 arcondiaz to theer, wo bnoger survesing meligibics, hat semether
liarliofs will, in the wirda, thengh, whin there is a
 to the there ondere of wuls, a writof thase that ubtai: the wom of methifilles, as of there lhat dis met. So







 afieraprutely, but aline bumbied lis the mitelläble, and by whinter olie. And the mendure is lie prulific prower of forms. Thin mendow alocmay be and to bothe Nizhts: lor there the limbtatio of life are contaned.

 to precedint cem tatum duell firs a tume. And ih.



 ble w geberata.n, ate comprelicodet."
: For anopoct here, it in obviously neccesary to read ronoen.

## Elthata fecorrigenda.

Vol. 1. P. 9. At the buttom, fur "Throughthese", read, Hence.
P. 18. Fur "Fill of the trase"" rew, full un the brass.
 See the Intruduction to the first edition of my Translation of Arir lutle's Metaphysics, and my Dissertation on the Pbilusophy of Aristulle.

THE END.
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[^0]:    - Itrteal of epoperos in this phace, it in requisite to reall epparesos.
    = Fur oumentewaro bere, it is necessary to redd oumetentancro.

[^1]:    

[^2]:     japauta dertepatur apo autur.

[^3]:    - For rows altos here, it is necessary to read rus odors.

[^4]:    1 The world is single, or alone, because there is not another world equal to it; but it is solitary. because it is sulificient to itself. So that the alone, and the solitary, wave not here the same meaning.

[^5]:    ' i. e. Vesta.
    ${ }^{2}$ ı. c. Junu.

[^6]:    1. c. Llating a good damon.
    
    

    $$
    \widehat{ミ}
    $$

    Vol. II.

[^7]:    
    

[^8]:    - For $\lambda_{0}$ gor here, read $\lambda$ ogws.

    2 And for evperaus reall caperiabs.
     vontws.

    - Inslead of rewrepas in this place, it is requisite to real rocowtepas.

    S i. e. Rhea is the older, but Juno the youncer vivitic Coddess.
    6 The prior Demingus, is Jupiter, but the junior Bacchus.
    7 i. e. Not be longing to the order of Gods denominated tiberated, and who are also called supercelestial, as being imnediately above she manalane fools.
    

[^9]:     be expunged, miless we reat instcad of them ws onctorepw, (as more familiar to us), and that for bevio. poba, we slwuld read ov pounometa.
    
     mary to read ras re.

[^10]:    - vir. Slie procceds frum P'ruerpine anal Juno.

[^11]:    ' viz. Merely to hnow that they exist, without knowing the dors, or why the: y exist.

[^12]:    
    

[^13]:    - i. e. In the first essence.

[^14]:    - From the version of Leonicus Thomaus, it appars that the words nithin the irachets are nauting in the printet original, and I have accordmely insertet them from his version.
    
     Aristoteles lonquilur, quamilo dicit, mullam rem sibi ifsi causam esse, neque lempuralem, neque sempiternam. For an eternal nature in self subsistent.
    ${ }^{3}$ The norts within the brachets are waming in the original, but both the sense and the version of Thomatus reduire they should be iusertcd. Heuce after ro de durapis, it is necessary to add, ro de crepyeia das ク भev uvala.

[^15]:    - Instead of owre pacs arrias in this place, it is necessary to read ovre pias ovoras

[^16]:    - The cause of the soul is Thea, who is cullective of the two fathers, Saturu and Jupiticr; Juno atso i. the calue of the soul, but she t, a subordmate cause, being contained in the vital fountain of Rhea,
    ${ }^{2}$ i. e. Mhra.
    - Fur rorpas here, it is necessary to read ion= $\eta$.
    - And instead of rutys here, we must read roepas.

[^17]:    ' It ash before demmatrated that the somb, in consequence of beime seff-motive, produces itaclif and is wihout teneration; but in consequence of aluays receving essence and life from the balures superiur to itsilf, it is almays becoming to be essence and life, and is always gencrated.
    

[^18]:    - arru is onitled in the origital.

    2 Instead of anara yap ro ar in this place, it is obviously necessary to read tar yap ro ov.
    ${ }^{1}$ Buth the sense and the version of Leonicus Thomans require the insertion in this place of $i=$ armens
    

[^19]:     autu to atro.

    2This progression is elsewhere cheranly called by I'roclus, an incffable unfolding into light.
    'For a boud is unions, and sameness is union of essence.

[^20]:    
    2 Instead of $\eta$ apposia ill this plare, il is liccessary to reall aut $\eta \eta$ appovia.

    - For a ouverws liere, il is uccessary lo read auverwi.
     Dn入oc.

[^21]:    1 Tor unepatarion here, read unoneghesov.
    
    

[^22]:    1 Veonicus 'thomarus appear, from his vervion to have read in his manuscript voprov any in
     For what l'ruelus here says, applies to every intelligibla and intellecteal essence, but in a trancenilent segree lo libe tirmer.

    2 Thu, lur inalance, seven monads or units, are as it were the matier of the unmber sereu, but the heptadic form procceding from the lieptad itself which supervencs aud iuvests these monads, is one and impartible.

[^23]:     this place, it ajpers we should real (the seme also requiring this cmeudation) rov de pepiofove fovta agy rusphetatavia.

[^24]:    - For auros bere, it is necessary to read andos.
    - For adla $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{r}$ here, it is necessary to read adA'ov.

[^25]:     abviously requisile to rad atta

[^26]:    - Overas is omilted in the original.

[^27]:    - The wurds re ra pefiornv aciare omitted in the origital.
    - I' nexur is omitted in the original.

[^28]:    - For enter bere, read evorov.
    ' i. e. Racchus.

[^29]:     rue Opquouta.
    ${ }^{2}$ Insted of terayuen ${ }^{2}$ here, it is necessary to read ratapernv.
    ${ }^{2}$ For rin ecorns here, it is necessary to read rind deurpav. For the whole progression of true being to dixcused in the second hapothesis of the larmenides. See my translation of it, ant the notes on it.

    - Lastead of nollar eswr orray in this place, at is requisite to read, nolltar eratur.

[^30]:    'Leonicus Thomans has omitted to translate the whole of what is here saill about the sumermundane soul, beginning from the words, " He think it is requisite," and emherg will the above paragraph.
    ${ }^{2}$ i. c. Concerning the generation of the sout.

[^31]:    1 Zuns is omitted in the original.
    : The words within the brackets are added from the version of Thomaus, being omitted in the original.
    ${ }^{3}$ Here likewise, the words within the brackets, are wanting in the original, and are supplied from the eersion of Thomerus. So that in the original after ro per apeporor, it is neccssary to add, xepon efer rou $\pi$ po autit afepiotov, ro de $\mu$ eprotor.

[^32]:    - The worils, ort a $\lambda_{\eta \mu}$ oup ${ }^{\circ}$ ase wabling in the original.
    - Fur ou deanioar here, scall dennvar.
    - Levincus Thumsus has onitied to translate the whole of this senteme.

[^33]:    - $\mathrm{M}_{\eta}$ is omitted in the original.

[^34]:    4 Oun is here omilled in the orgimal.
    ${ }^{2}$ For if impratible, have no ditterence, lhey will be the same with each other, and will be the one. Fur in the one there is no difference. So that the one will not differ foom the same.

[^35]:    I In the oricinal there is an omission here of rov axerpov.

    - For ev ravry in this place. it is neccssary to read ev aury.

[^36]:    
    
    

[^37]:    1 i. c. Powers eflective of the nordd.

[^38]:    ! Instead of ravaxou de av, exerat rav duo ro cu opxuy in this place, it is requisite from the version
    

[^39]:    
    = Homdiar is omilled in this place in the original, but ought from the versiun of Thomicus, to be inscried.
    ${ }^{3}$ Viz, a thing of this kind is discrete quantily:

    - For $\mu$ er in this place, read $\mu$ era.
    s Mepeor is omilted ill the original.

[^40]:    1 Fur ciror licre it is obviously necessary to read $\ddagger 7 \pi$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Instead of пurter here, read паитш..

[^41]:    - After deaptaras in the original, it is necessary to sujply from the version of 'Phomatus, the words
     ing what is here, and father on, said, 10 m . Theorelic Arithnetic.

[^42]:     нepiornt.

    - For medor here, it is requisite from the version of Thomrus, to read ro \#0or.

[^43]:    - Ioov is omitted in the original.

[^44]:    ' Ha mone propurtion may abobe debined to he that, in which the difference between the greatest and mitdic tirm, in Io the ditiercuce betncen the midhle aud least terns, as the greatest term is to the has. Thas in the bamber, 6, 1,3 , as 6-t: $1-3: 6: 3:$ vix. as 2 is 101 , se is 6 to 3 .
    ${ }^{2}$ By the briadth of the comparinon, Prucius means the ratio of the terms first proposed to each olher, which in this immane indupie.
    ${ }^{3}$ or is omitted in the urigutal.
    4 「ur тарала

[^45]:    - $a$ is omitled in this place in the originat.
    - As a is to b: :c: d by hypothesis, and therefore ad=bc. Butca+cd=2bc; and because be=ad, therefure 2be二ad.
    , Since ca+cd=: be, it follons since c mulliplies all the three terms ca, cd, 2be, that a $+\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{~b}$.
     may be sceu to numbers, by puting 6. 12.9. 1s. for a. b. c.d.

[^46]:    - After the wort , bov in the origital, it is necessary to supply from the verion of Leonicns
    
    ${ }^{2}$ ror rpiriacta here, it is obviously necescary to read direnaona.

[^47]:    - For rainlagou here, il is obviousty necessary to readsindagou.

[^48]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ For as 9 is to 8，so is 243 to 216 ．
    ＊For as 8 is to 9 so is 576 to $6: 48$ ：and also so is $6 ; 8$ to 759 ．

[^49]:    
    

    - And for tpirdagiy, it is requisile to read diriagry.

[^50]:    - חu $\theta_{\mu} \eta \mathrm{n}$ is a primary ratio, being as it were a bottom or root, from which otber ratios arise.

    Tim. Plat.
    Vol. II.

[^51]:    - Leonicus Thomacus has in his version 526298.

    2288 is omi,ied in the Greek, and also in the version of Thomacus.

    $$
    { }^{3} 261+\frac{13 \text { A.5880 }}{243}=243 .
    $$

[^52]:     and one leiunar.

[^53]:    - For dianaour liere, il is necessary to read dir. Anotov.
    " The words wilhin the brackels are supplied from the version of Thomaxus, where bowever it is necessary to read sesquioctarum instead of sesquialterum.

[^54]:    - This will be evident from the following diagram, which also will the found to contaio a quadruphe diapason, together with the diapente and tone.

    Sesq. Sesq. Leimma. Sesq. Sesq. Sesq. Leimma. Sesq. Sesif. Leimona. Sesq. Sesq. Sesq. Leinma. 354. 432. 486. 512. 576. 6i4. 729. 768. 868. 972. 10:4. 115\%. 124i. 1458. 1536. The first duple ioterval.

    The second duple interval.

[^55]:    －For tpiror here，it is necessary to reall rparome．
    －According to the moderns，a tritone is a disson：mi interval，othervise called a superfluous fourth． It is also a hind of redundant third，consisting of two tones，and two semitoncs，one greater and one less．And the ratio of the tritome is as 15 to 32 ．This however does not accord with the ratio of the tritone given by Proclus，bolh in this place，and above．For 160 s is uot to 6561 as 32 to 45，but as 32 to 45 粗品：Nor in the other instance above，is $194 t w 2187$ as 32 to $\$ 5$ ．

[^56]:    - Hence as 2, 3, 4 are in arillmelical proportion, so lhew ise will their equimuhples, 2x. 3x. ix.
    ${ }^{2}$ For 2,3 , and 6 are in harmonic proportion, and therefore their equinuliples abo are in the same proportion. For 6 exceeds 3 by the balf of 6 , aud 3 exceeds ? by the half of $\therefore$.

[^57]:    i Both the sense of what is here said, and the version of Thomasus, re quire the iuscrtion in this place of ant тpırגatious.

[^58]:    - Tur aurqu liere, it is necessary lo real aura.
    * Fur adda samepraiov here, it is necessary to read ad入' a arparos.

[^59]:    - For ronov here, read rpomov.

    2 Instead of apos ray $\mu$ epor in this place, it is necessary to read reo rav pepar.

[^60]:    - For aidion de here, it is obrinuily necessary to read acrion de.
    ${ }^{2}$ For xpvauspa recparo here, read xpvoasopa yecraro.

[^61]:    
    
    
    
    ' Iasteal of evenu here, it is weesory turad lionopias.
    
     logy, cumpehends in ilself the shere midalles.

    - Meoorpre, is omilled in the origmal.

    6 For ev rourocs here, it is ubsiously necessary to read ev roury.
    ' The words $\psi^{2}$ रो' overat are omitted in the original, but evilently ought to be inserted.

[^62]:    

    - For avppanei bere, it is nocessary to read ovinpanet.

[^63]:    - For ade here, it is necossary to read $\eta$ de.
    

[^64]:    - These scuen parts are the mombers 1. 2. 3. 4. 9. 8. 27.
    - aporpдopevor is omilled in the origimal.
    '. Yor axporarov here, I rrad eivioraruv.

[^65]:    1 The thind part is 3 , and ! as eypalto $3+3+3$.
    
    
     that herealso for resi $\eta$ pos $\eta$, it is repuisite to read $\mu \mu \eta$ rac $\eta$.

    - There is an omission here in the original, of de exiorpopin.

[^66]:    - Accordigg to the version of Thonmeus, there is an ontission here in the original, of the words gara
     this addilion.
    ${ }^{2}$ For $\mu$ ovvures in this place, it is necessary to read poremos.
    'The words surur $\eta$ eis eaurqu exiorpong, which are omitted in the original, ought to be inserted, conformably to the version of Thomacus.

[^67]:    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ lour sial here, real espas.

[^68]:    'The worts per' a $\lambda$ dur are omitied in the original, but ought to be inserted conformably to the version of Thomans.
    ${ }^{2}$ For cuenur liere, read exenos. The Chaldean Oracles also say that the Demiurgus glitiers with intellectual sections.
    ${ }^{3}$ All this part wilbin the brackets, is omitled in the version of lhomacus, with whom such omissions are not unfrequent.

    - For yovifor liere, it is necessary to read movipor.
    ' Instead of odis here, read odas.

[^69]:     dle, because 1. 2.4.8, and also 3. 9. 27, ure in geometric propotion.
    ${ }^{2}$ For diosovaar bere, read dequovar.

[^70]:    - The worls within the brachels are wanting in the original, and are jusericd from the version of Leonicus Thomieus.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fur motegon here, real mpurepor.
    ${ }^{3}$ For eatmos liere, it is necessary to read oarados.
    - The beginnong of this sentence is wanting' in the original, but is supplicd from the version uf 'Thomitus.

[^71]:    1 Thus in the numbers 1. 2.4, which pertain to carll, and in 2.3.4, which pertain to wator, the mistdie lerm 3 is greater than the midtle term $?$, and hin is also the case in the muthers 3. 4. (i, and 2.3. 6 , the former of which pertaill is air, and the latter to fire. In the extremes dow, the extreme? is greater than 1 , and the ealreme 3 , than:.

[^72]:    - For evospquevqr here, rad evifov
    * Yor aprexws in this place, read apriakws.
    ' It is necessary here io supply the words mpurws, \% de.

[^73]:    - For $\mu$ epy here, it is obsiously necessary to read $\mu$ epwr.
    * i. e. They are the hypologi, which hase been before explained.
    * Belween den and mothardioawr here in the original, it is necessary to insert, conformably to the
    
    - Thus in the terms 1. 2. 3. 6, which are in geometric proportion, the terms 1. 2. 3 abo being in arithwelic proportion, the difference is ume qual between the terms ? and 3 , and the terms 3 and 6 , and also between the terms 1 and 2, and 3 and 6 , but the ratio is equal: and therefore, by the union of the arithmelic with the geometric middle, the unequal in unequal oumbers is equalized.

[^74]:    ${ }^{2}$ For sadec here, it is necessary to read rocec.
     to read eqxarur.
    ${ }^{1}$ lostead of nicu here, it is necessary to read $\eta \delta n$.
    Tim. Plat.
    Voz. II.

[^75]:    - Thus 2 to 1 is a duple, and 3 to 2 is a sesquialter ratio. But the latter is analogous to the former: for 2:1: $2: 3$. The sesquialter however dimisishes the ratio of subjection. For 2 contains 1 twice, but 3 contains 2 only once and one half of 2 besides.

[^76]:    - It is necessary bere, to supply the noril $\lambda$ orov.
    ${ }^{2}$ For areas in this place, it is requisile to read aurns.
    ${ }^{3}$ That which procecils is separated doubly from that which abides: for the duple ralio 2 to 1 , or $\mathfrak{i}$ is doully separated from 1. But that which is converied, or returns, is separated from the abiding principie hy half. Fur! differs from 1 hy 1. So that what proceeds, and also that which returns, are here to be comcidered according to habitude, i. c. according to their proximity and alliance, the former to unity inmediately, but the latter to unity through 2 as a medium.
    - The words, rqu de emarpoing Sia rqs $\pi e \mu \pi+1 /$, are omilled in lie original.
    ' 'The words within the brackets are supplied from the version of Thoruzus.

[^77]:    - The word $\delta$ agrinart is omitled in the text of Proclus.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the text of Proclus also the following words are wanting, ryr $\delta$ ' ey $\mu$ нer kar' apefor wrepexevcay, coy de vкерехөнеmи.

[^78]:    - Inthe origital mincorns, which denoles relalive, just as mooorns denotes absolute, quantity.
    * For 81012 , which is diapente, or sesquither, is compounded of 5 to 9 , which is a lone; and 6 to 8 . which is sesquitertian, or diafessaron.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ The nord, wilhin the brackels are wanting in the original, but are supplied from the version of Thomarus.
    2 Instead of rover averp in this place, it is obviously necessary to read, rov ev autors.
    ${ }^{3}$ ' for in esels of the spheres there will be divine, dxmoniacal, beroical, and gregarious soula, and sppropriate bolies.

[^80]:    1 Far 313 contaias 13 , cighteontimes, with a renasimer of 9.

[^81]:    - For the evenly-odd number is a metium between the odd and the even number.
    ${ }^{2}$ For exras here, it is obviously necessary to read weveas.
    ${ }^{3}$ Inslead of a $\begin{gathered}\text { uover here, it is pecessary to read alecovar. }\end{gathered}$
    - i. e. $\overline{2 \times 4^{2}}+2=34$.

[^82]:    

    * It is necessary here to supply porns.
    
    
    
    

[^83]:     rest of the sentence in the Iranslation is added from the version of Thomzus.

    - Thus for instance, let the number, be disposed according to depith as fullows:

[^84]:    - Tor aurce here, il is necessary to read rautep.
    
    ' Piur Seces liere, read ivour.

[^85]:    - The words withon the brachets are wationg in the orginal: but it appears to me that they ought to be inserted, thounh they were likemise wanting in the Ms. of 'liomaras. th the orighal therefore after the nords adtws if ev ry burepon, I couceise liere is an omission of aut ourwr
    

[^86]:    - Tor if here, 11 is necessay foredio.
    
    
    

[^87]:    - For ̧aar bere, 1 tead pqar.
    - The word arouov is omitted in the original.

    3 For axumpa licre, it is uccessary to read asoovovqra:

    - This is asserted in oue of the CLaldeau oracles.

[^88]:    - lustead of aundos in this piace, il is requisite to read ayn ty.

[^89]:    - Por permeduor here, it is obrionsiy mecessary to read Evadiov.
     dentis wauting.

[^90]:    t The words within the bachetsare waming in the original, but ase sopplied from the version of
     deaspeor tais $\pi$ тciolos.

[^91]:     enorgian booh provilemially and comontively, and the latter enerwy is imellectase. Hence, there
    

    - L'ur row onow hete, it is neeessary to read rou decur.

[^92]:     The rest in the above translation is supplied iron the version of Tumnasus.

[^93]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Chamemi, i. e. tixures in the form of the heller $\chi$ :
    

[^94]:    - For auiou brre, it is necessary to real ravrau,

[^95]:    - The words within the brackets are minted in the original, but are supplied from the version of Thomaxus. Hence after the words ery $\mu$ er rins apertrorus eatir acipac in the original, it is orcessary io
    

[^96]:    'i. e. To Ceres, or Rhea, who contams in her right hand parts Juno tefou plain of souls, and in lier left Veala the fommain of virtue.
    ' Yor mapa ra orra here, it is necessary to read mepara ovea.
    Tim. Plat.
    Vol. 11 .

[^97]:    - The circle of the same, is in the universe the equinoctial circle; and the circle of the different, is the rodiac.
    * Fír amapenatus in lhis place, read amapa入入antos.

[^98]:    - For eing hrre, read der.

[^99]:    - Ior qereas here, it is u.....ary to read ingeu.

[^100]:    - The words within the brachels are wanting in the oricinal, but are inserted foun the veraisa w Jhomau.

[^101]:    - Here also the words wilhin the brackets are wanting in the original, and are supplied from the
     is rodveiderdevapess must be supplied.

[^102]:    

[^103]:    - I'roclus, I thave no doubi, neans by these the Chaldean theologrsts.
    = For de liere, resd $\delta \eta$.
    ${ }^{2}$ For sara in this place, it is necessary to read xat.
    - Instead of uena liere, it is obviously mecessary to read apea.

[^104]:    - Apposirqu is omitted in the original, but both the version of Thomasus and the sense of the passage require it should be iuserted.

    Tim. Plat.

[^105]:    "For aç' we here, il is requinile to read vq' wv.
    ${ }^{2}$ The donble and Iriple intersal, that are filled with the arihmetical and harmomieal middles, are the numbers $6.8 .9 .12 .16 .18 .94 .97 .32 .36 .48 .54 .81 .105 .16 \div$. Ind the double and triphe intervals that are filted troth with the above-mentioned middles, and with sesquinctaves and leimmas, are the
    
     $9: 16.1036 \mathrm{~s}$. And the sum of the number of the terms of both these series, is 60 , which is the square of 7 .
    ${ }^{3}$ It appears to me that the words $\pi \lambda$ covaミet ro $\mu$ eporror, ought to be inserted in the original, after the words a $\lambda^{*}$ ev avry.

    + viz. to llhea.

[^106]:    1 The words wilhin the brackets, are wanting in the original, but are supplied from the version of Thomans. Hence it is requinite to add in the original after vacpiogpats, the words ovtos de rass eyargo нeas.

    - For raurormpa bere, I read acporqua: for the summit of intelligibles, which consists of bound, infinity, and that which is mixed, is the tirst triple and at the same time united hypostasis.
    ${ }^{1}$ For ovaur licre, it is requisite to read ovour.
    4The words within the brackets are supplied from the version of Thomseus; so that after aurtay in the original, the words xas aldur ovoronxuy, must be added.

[^107]:    - For in the liarmonic middle, the greater terms have a grealer ratio to each olher than the less, Thus in 2. 3. 6. the ratio of 6 to 3 is greater than that of 3 to 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Of this union the arimmetical middle is an image; for in this, there is a greater ratio in the less, and a less ratio in the greater terms. Thus in 5.6.7. 8. the ratio of 6 to 5 , is greater than that of 8 to 7.
    ${ }^{3}$ For $\mu$ eowr here, rad $\mu$ eonv.
    - The Finglish reader will find these arcane conceptions of Phato concerning alt these orders of Gods, Leautifull unfoldedin m: Iramation of Proclus on the Theology of I'tato.

[^108]:    ' Proclus gives an epitome of this theory, but as it would le very dilficult to renderit intelligitle to the English reader, ant us in the opinion of Iamblichus, the whole of it is artificial, and contains nothing sane, I have umilted to traustalc it.

[^109]:    - The words within the brackets are supplied from the version of Thomaus.
    - Iustead of паpaíwoer here, read mapaiooir.

[^110]:    ' Ilperoviss is wanting here in the original; but according to the version of Thomaus, ought to be added.
    ${ }^{2}$ The word in tic original is ovycoravr, in which word, an energy whollyever present is indicated by the preposition ovr.
    ${ }^{3}$ It is requisite here, to add roy roopor, omitted in the origiual.

[^111]:    - Iostead of ro yap in this place, it is necessary to read on yap.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fur $\mu$ ovold ${ }^{1}$ hicre, read mivorowx'.
    ${ }^{3}$ Inblead of vporecua in this place, it is reyuisite to read Hpararcions.

[^112]:    - This verse is from the thial, but it has suffered much from the transcribers. Vor in the Commen. tary of Proclus it is rin exarov $\chi^{\text {pugavo }}$ Xevact mepeforru, whereas it ought to be,
    
    lliact. lib. ii. 43 .

[^113]:    - For 子evoueinr here, it is obviously necessary to read jeropevov.
    * Oux is omilled bere, in the original.
    ? The cause by which the animal is ritally mored, is the rational sonl, but the cause by which the animal thus moved, is defined or bounded, is called by Aristotle entelechcia. See my translation of bis treatise On the Soul.

[^114]:    - Furmertwa altwy in this place, read apo twe addwr.

[^115]:    - Tbe words within the brachets are omitled in the original, but are inseried from the versiou of Thomaus. Hence in the original, after the words Sntov wrevedoc eorer, it is requisite to add, aat pap
    

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inslead of sown here, it is requisite to read rpeur.
    ${ }^{2} M_{\eta}$ is ominted in the original.
    ${ }^{3}$ i. e. Of the borly of the whole world. For the epithet a divine generated nature, is primarily applicable to this; though it also signifies every body, which is moved perpetually and circularly, whether in the hearells, or under the moon.

[^117]:    - The words kat ohov routov rov $\mu$ etpov, are omitted in the original, but are supplied from the version of Thomaus.
    * The words within the brackets, are also omilted in the original, and are adiled from the version of Thomxus, except the word primarily, xpwiws, which is not in his version, but ought to be inserted.
    , In the original ov $\mu$ epet rov evos, ev זy ravri jpory, which is evidently crioneous; but ought to be conformably to the version of Thomeus, and the above translation, ev rive rov odov xpovov reper.
    - I have here also followed the version of Thomaus. For the original is, $\eta$ de voepa, rov eves roepov voovoa.

[^118]:    - For ano or liere, it is necessary to read kutf $\eta v$.
    
    
    - The version of leonicus Thomxus ends here.

[^119]:    - Proclus ia what be here says, alludes to the Christians.

[^120]:    - For eciet here, it is necessary to read ecorn.

[^121]:    - For $\mu$ os os in this place it is necessary to read alas.

[^122]:    

[^123]:    - As cumpa, as we learn from simplicius, is the boundary of motion, just as ro vor the now is the
    
     termination of semsible perception, is an impression of it in the serone:am, that which is amalugous to this must be conceived to tahe place in atompa, eseprnau, and con,

    2 A Ahtos is omilled in the original.

    - For ovacudous here, it is necessary to read ovandns.

[^124]:    1 Por eropurprus here, it is obviously necessary to read erepuris.

[^125]:    - For enemo bere, it is requisite to read eacerov.

[^126]:    - There is un omission here in the origival of rover ry vonty.

[^127]:    - For unopra in this place, it is netessary to read vog-a.
    ${ }^{2}$ For eidos here, real eidws.
    - Por magar in this place, it is ubvionly necessary to reat aoons.

[^128]:    - For anataporquer here, it is necessary to read sa日aporq.

[^129]:    - viz. The circulation of the planctary spheres.

[^130]:    ＇i．e．The regression of the circle of the same，is through the world to its paradigm：each of these being a whole，but the former a selsibibe，and the latter an intelligible whole．
    ${ }^{2}$ For doymor here，it is necessary to read $\lambda$ ogionuov．
    －Inslead of ave日⿱亠⿻⿰丨丨八又一

[^131]:    - Here also fur Augenur, read Aoyiatinur.
    ${ }^{2}$ Nou is omilled here in the original.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ The nords vour aut exaripgr are omithed in this place in the original.
    ${ }^{2}$ For notaws here, reall matew.

    - For caurg here, it is obviously necessary to read caurnv.
    - Instead of $\mu$ era rin air now in this place, it is requisite to read a ara rquarnow.

[^133]:    - There is unfortunately an hialus bere in the original.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ tustiad of $\eta$ de $a_{y} \mu$ urian $\mu$ egorqs in this place, it is requisite to read ry de cupuray $\mu$ eoornre.

[^135]:    - The worls re aron aut Ews, are onilled in the test of l'roclus.
    ${ }^{2}$ I'or Ëun here, read Eunr.
    ? The word ronurov is also omitited in the text of l'roctus.
    - Instcad of adoocoiv in this place, it is uecessary to read sa0anep roiocr.

[^136]:    - For masrosius lbis filaec, it io uecessary to read martos.

[^137]:    - For палar here, real палa.
    ' Inslead of uxceprepor acc eli o xporor, it is necessary to read vreprepor av en o ppovos.
    Tim. Plat.
    Vol. II.

[^138]:    I Nous is omilled in the original in this place.

[^139]:    －For Ewh here，it is olviously ncecssary to rad Ewns．
    ＊For redeurat hese，read rederra．The teleste were initiators into the mysteries，and were theur－ gists，or capable of performing divine operalions．This theurgy，in which these initiators were decply skilled，formed the last part of the sacerdotal science．Sce ant interesting account of it，from a very rare Greck MS．of Psclins，On Damons according to the Degmas of the Greeke，in the Notes to my Pautanias，Vol．3．p．3：4．

[^140]:    - For coerac bere, it is requinte to read cogerce.
    
    - And for cias read ecoom.
    - For aunan likewioc, it is imeressary to read acment.
    - Insicad of evercoor in Ibis place, it is requivite lo read encoraeor.

[^141]:    - For ec ex' axtipor in lhis place, it is necessary to read if ex' axespor.
    ${ }^{2}$ Io defence of Aristolle however, it may be sadi, that when he asserts that it is a certain soul which numbers time, lie doe, not speak of time according to its tirst subsistence, but the time which is participated by material matures, aud of which, the multitude, as Proclus obscrves, have a conception, and cu-gensation.

[^142]:    - There is an omission in the original in this place of o acour.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{O}$, is omitted bere in the original.

[^143]:    - For atwing here it is necessars lo read (1tw).
    ${ }^{2}$ 'The word $\pi$ reorep is omillal bere in the orizillal, but from what fulluns, cither this word, or uria -r poner ar etwers nught evidently to te invertad.

    Tim. Plat.
    Vol. II.

[^144]:    
    2 All thing, are compreheded by the one, but nothing subsish pecnharly in it. And the compre-
     though which all things become bounded liy it.

[^145]:    - For stan $\mu_{\mu}$ in this place, it is necresary lo read seripeens.

    2 Here ton tir dasnums, reall saposps.

[^146]:    
     wherres, the imperfat seab,

[^147]:    －For magmanar licte，real manatager．
    2 Instead of ceturou hiere，it is necessary to real envewy

[^148]:    
    = 'Iliere is all omisomen here in the original, of io.
    

    - Landent of enuarm, in this place, it i, necessary to real enaoty.

[^149]:    - For $\mu$ vpirv liere, reail $\mu$ inporv.
    ${ }^{3}$ For anothros here, it is neressary to read eotpros.

[^150]:    - The word peparobs iv omilled in the orangal.
    
    - tor vachapar later, rad itata.
    - (Iv is omilleal here ill Ile armatar.
    - Cherwise is unithed it thie place in the origual.
    - Jur acas here, il is necesary to redel adiotyros.

[^151]:    ' i. e. of supermundane souls.

[^152]:    - Fur feros here, it in necersary to trat on pusws.
    
    - Vor rogoutwy herc, it is necessary to read rogourous.

[^153]:    - For mat enctiwe in this place, it is necessary to read acivitov.
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Here alen for $\pi$ po vou, it is necessary to read mpoe nouv.
    
    - For xpoyov here, read xepor.

[^154]:    ' For axalantas here, read andagtos.
    2 Iustead of araryos in this place, it is necessary to read evrakror.

[^155]:    - i.e. The decad.
    ${ }^{2}$ Iustead of $\mu$ er' a armer bere, it is necessary to read $\mu$ er' atrov.

[^156]:    

[^157]:    - 'A is omited in the original.

[^158]:    - The words, raura de navra $\mu$ epor xporov, are through the fault of the transcribers omitted in the exil of Proclus.

[^159]:    - For mpo $\lambda$ Oor liese, it is necessery to read mape $\lambda 0$ or.

[^160]:    - Tor cavro herc, read eavta.

[^161]:    - For serumantane here, it is necessary to read sifamador.
    
    
    - It apprare to me that the word ferafre is w.mtivg liere in the original. Tim. Plat.

    Vol. II.

[^162]:    
    2 Instead of "whe in lhis place, it is obviouly necessary tu read awir.

[^163]:    - i. e Mcordines to the was, the ir, and the will be.
     of Plato, lhat the world was prodiced at a certant lime, and will at a certan lime tedestrosed.

[^164]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Dianoia, as has leen befure observerl, when ascribed to the Demiurgus, indicates a distributive cause of thing', or a cause, as Proclus expresses it, which divides union into muhitude.

[^165]:    - For edin here, it is necessary to read ender.

[^166]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     it asserts, that lie is changed every hour, according to the sign of the rodiac, in comequence wh these being variou-ly changed ahout the God, according to the nany modes by which they recore ham."

[^167]:    - Fur uitai, read avto.

[^168]:    1 The sphere is a leader, a co-arranged monad, and a wholeness; but eack of the cosmucraturs is a leader, and a monad, hut is not a nloleness.

[^169]:    
    

    - Eot artŋr lere, it is necessary to read arta.

[^170]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ i. c. Venus.

[^171]:    ' Instead of rov jap $\eta$ Ninv rarret $\mu$ era oad $\eta \nu \eta \nu$ in this place, it is obviously pecessary to read,
    
    
    3 Instead of o rac П入arwe donct in this place, read o kad П入arwer donet.

    - For eorvoar here, it is requisite to read iotwoav.

    Tim. Plat.
    Vol. II.

[^172]:     op Asticonovy. By the Arabians, it was called the Almagest.
    ${ }^{2}$ i. e. Iu lis woik entitled raodeon twr llianwherwh, or 'The Hypotiesis of the Planets. This work was illustrated by Jo. Bainbridye, wilh a Latin version, and mathematical figures. Lond. 1620. Ho.
    'i. c. The Chaldean Julian.

[^173]:    - Instead of $\delta_{1}$ a $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ нeaqv here, read $\delta_{1}$ a $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu e a u v$.
    ? Instead of cis rov reat yav $\lambda^{2}$ yov bere, it is necessary to read as rov rept riv romov.

[^174]:    For aitos here, read avrn:.
    ${ }^{2}$ Instead of ot erepor here, it is requibite to read o crepos.

[^175]:     the sense requires that we sliould reat, conformably to the above Irauslation, ovrayagemer ere sara
    

[^176]:    - There is an omission in the original bere of ar' uradoytar.

[^177]:    - For mov wartos here, it is necessary to read rov whrpos.
    - Inslead of einprnpesws in this place, it is necessary to read einp
    ' Tor ofarvaws licre, it is requisite to read $\mu$ orifur.

[^178]:    ' For ecar here, it is necessary to read xpociar.

    - Herc likewice. for cial, reall xpocion.
    ' This portion of the text is omitted in all the printed editions of the Timxus. The original is as
    
     to have been wanting in the \$edicean manuscript from which Ficinus made his translation of Plato, for be bas not translated it.

[^179]:    - i. e. Let what has been before demousirated the aduitled.
    ${ }^{2}$ For artpur here, read a atpur.

[^180]:    "And that these circles might possess a certain conspicuous measure of slowness and swiftness with reference to each other, and that the

[^181]:    - For mepudar here, it is necessary to read apondor.
    - For rrupizerr here, it is necessary to read apize.

[^182]:    - According to the Chaldaic dogonas as eaplained by P'sellus, there are seven corporeal worlds, one empyrean and the lirot; after this three ethereal; and then liree material worlds, viz. the inerratic sphere, the seven planetary spheres, and the sublunary region. They also assert that there are two solar worlds; one which is subservient to the ethereal profundity; the other zonaic, being one of the -even sphercs.

[^183]:    ' For sorf here, it is obviously necessary to read $\dot{\eta}$. What is in this place demonstrated by Proclus, viz. that all mundane light is derived from the Sun, completely subverts the rambling opinion of the moderns, that the fised staria are so many suns.

[^184]:     the queen of heaven, and to pour ont drimh-otiermen mint her, as we have done, we, and our fathers, our kings, and our princes, in the cilics of Judah, and in the strerls of Jermsalem: for then hod wee plenty of rictuals, and arcre wrlf, and sam nn cril. But since we left off to burn incense to the quecn of heaven, and to pour ont imnk-afferings unto her, ue hare unanted all things, and lave been consuned by the sword and by famme." Jereuiali, Chap. xliv. 17, 18.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the original the part which should immodialdy follow the words xpo rys quepas at sures vaeerogav, p. 266 , is by a strange confusion, connected with the latter part of the eommentary on the following text, and begins ul the nords ro de nurws cat din ravra l. xi. p. 770. The onier of the text likewise, in p. 266, is perverted, the commentary on which beginuing at the words aut $\eta$ ppary l. xxx. is imperfect.

[^185]:    - For aonurpoion here, it is olviously necessary to read anpucpenv. It must also be observerl, that there are two equinoctial points, or signs, and these are Aries and Libra.

    Tim. Plat.

[^186]:    ＇viz．The Demingus is amedinm lectreen liceinteligible Gods，who arecharacterized hy permanem－ cy，and the supermmodane Gods，whore characterized by proceding ：jul as the mundane Gols are characierized by regression．See my translation of Proclus on the Theology of llato．
    2．e．Betueen the intelligible triads of being ilself and life inself，and the inellectual order．
    ${ }^{3}$ viz．Between the middle triad of the intelligible order；for in this elemity first subsists，and the summit of the intelligible and at the same lime intelleclual order．
    －i．e．Between the Gods prior to animal itself，and all the Gods that subsist between it and the Deniiurgis．

[^187]:    1 1. e. Aceralug to the past, the present, and the future.
    : 1. e. Decordag to the strenflatiets.
    ' tustead ef ere, nu in this piace, it is necessary to read eresu.

[^188]:    ' Iostead of St anoyras in this place, it is obviously necessary to read \&s araioycas

[^189]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ i. e. The order of the discussion, delivers the whole prior to parts, before the whole which consists of parts, and this latter, before the whole which is in a part.
    ${ }^{3}$ The beginning of this commentary is waming in the original; and by an unaccommable error this part of it thal is ealant, insteal of inumediately following the text in $\rho .272$, is inserted in $p .266$, av if it belonged to the Commentary on the wurds, "Night therefore and Day were thus generited," $\$$ ic.

    - For roar api日رous bere, it is necessary to read rous apitpous.

[^190]:     inv ap' envmu Elatpiat.
    
    

[^191]:    ${ }^{1}$ For avry liere, read autuv.

[^192]:    - This is asserted ly Socritios in the liand Alcibiades.
    

[^193]:    - i. e. The one being itself, the summit of the intelligille order.
    ${ }^{2}$ i. e. Intellgible life, in which eterully subsiats.

    3. e. Animal itself, or intelligible intellect, the end of the intelligible order.
[^194]:    'Tlie nords within the brachels, are supplied from the Commentary of Syrianus on Aristote's
    

[^195]:    - I'or upra here, il is necresars lo real curia.

[^196]:    
    
    
    
     s,encrn that follow the Gods," is to be found in 1.272 , line $(i$, from the botton..

[^197]:    - Instead of aro ra atavara mapayorowr in this place, it appears to ne that we should read aro rwr
    

[^198]:    ' In the original, immediately after the lext the following words occur, as a title to the comment,
    
     inerratic animal [i. e. lie sphere of the fixed stars]. Concerning the essence of it, its figure, position, and motion." That part of the iext aso withia the brackets, is omitted in Proclus, though lie connments upon it.

[^199]:    - For rg in this place it is obviously necessary to read $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta}$.

[^200]:    - Instead of $\mu$ epor here, it is necessary to read aorpwr, and inmediately after it, to supply aas.
    ${ }^{2}$ Instead of exi $\eta$ calns in this place, it is necessary to read, ens кegalns.
    ${ }^{3}$ The wuiverse is a whele pror to pats, because it is the cause of all the partial natures contained is it.

[^201]:    ${ }^{1}$ The third apecics of wholeness is that in whicli whole subsists in a part. The partial genera of animals therefore are wholes, but the partial in them predominates over the total.

[^202]:     popar.

[^203]:    - Instead of ravror here, as in the lext of Proclus, all the printed editions of Plato have ravera, but erroncously, according to the commentary of Proclus on these words.

[^204]:    - Fur arvetpuousa here, rall aucionmeaza.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ For reperzer licer, it is requistic to reall meporx. .
    Iim. Pial.
    Vol. 11 .

[^205]:    2. i. e. In the fixed stars, the whole sphere is the leader but each of the stars in this sphere follows the sphere ingelf.
[^206]:    - i. e. About Jupiter the Demiargus.
    

[^207]:    - Canobus or Canopns, is a most bright fixed slar of the lirst magnitude, in the helus of the ship Argo.
    ${ }^{2}$ viz. In Alevamia. For accorling to the ancients there are seven climales, the first of which was ealled Meroe; the second, Syene; the thind, Alexandrid; the fourth, Rhodes; the tifth, Rume, or the Helleponl; lhe sivth, the Euxise sea; and the seventh, the mouth of the Boristhenes. Vitl. Ilin. Ilint. Nal.I. G.e.8.
    
    
    - The precession of the equinores is however admilled by Simpheius, "ho me the Sad book of his Commentary on Aristole's Treatise on the Heavens, observes respecting the motion of the inerratic sphere a) follons:
    "If the inerratic sphere is rally inerrstic, and the observation of Ilipparehns and l'tolemy concerning it, is not admitled, that it is moved one degree in a bundred years in a contrary direction, if this We the case, it will be moved nitis oue simple motion, but the stars contained in it with twomotions, viz. with their onn cincomotatony motion, and the motion of the miverse. But the fiancls will be moved

[^208]:    - For airacy liere, it is requisite to read asicar.
    * The azonic Gools are those that form that order of divinities which is immediately situated above the mundane Gods. These Cods whare called asonic by the Chaldean, are denmanated liberated by the Grech theolunist. According to the furmer titewise, Serapis, Bacchus, and the series of Osiris, and of A pullo, are azonic Gods.

[^209]:    - For wormorus liere, read kirgtrios.
    - In ilie origital ofanpar is omilied, but onght evidently to be inserted.
    - Instead of oparmepa bere, it is necessary to read aoparwrepa.

[^210]:    
    ${ }^{2}$. Amithese, as we have befure observed, are what the moderns call satellites.

[^211]:     Aea coriv ev aury.
    ${ }^{2}$ From this extraorlmasy passine, we may perceive al one view why the sun in the Orplic hymis is called Jupter, why Ipolles is called l'an, and Bacehus the Sun; why the Noon secms to be the same with Hhea, Ceres, Prosctfine, Juns, V amb, de. and in shont why any one divinity is celebrated with
     sphere contains a Juphter, Kiphtinh, Vultan, Vesta, Minesa, Mass, Ceren, Jumo, Disma, Mercury, Venus, Apollo, and in short eary iedis, eath sphere at the same bime conferring on these dionts the peculiar characteriotie "f is, athre : so llat for instance in the Sum this all posess a solar properly, in the Nuon a lunar ene, and so of Herent. From this theory too we may perceive the truth of that divine saying of lla ame in ill, hat "ll Hing, are full of Gods; for more particular orders proced from such as are more ecmeal, the mondane from the supermundane, and the sublumary from the criestial; white earh becomes lise getital recoplacte of the illuminations of ath the Ciods. "Hence as l'roclus shortly after obsernes, Hare is a loresomal Ceses, lista, and l-is, as hemise a terrestrial Jupiter and a terrestrial Hermes, established atout the one disinit? of the earth; just as a multitude of celestial Gods proceeds about the one divinity of the heavens. Fur thereare progressions of all the celestal Gents into the Earth; and Earth contain, all thing, in an earhly mamer, whib Heaven comprehendy celegtislly.
     ader mith which the earth abounds, and operinges prophetic of futirity." And if toall this we only add,

[^212]:    that all the other mundane（ionds subsist in the inclue athore－mentimed，and that the first triad of the ese is deming gic or fabricatire，viz．Jupiter，Neplune，Vulcan；the second，Veala，Milerva，Mars，defensire： the third，Ceree，Juno，Diana，rirife；and the fourth，Mercury，Venus，Apollo，elerating and harmonic： -1 say，if we unite this wihh the precetlug theory，there is nothing in the ancient theology that will not appear admirally sublime and beautifully counceted，accurate in all its parto，scimnific，and divine．！
     －ropasiov．
     the collection of the Chaldcan Oracles ly Patricius，$\pi \eta \eta_{\eta}$ de niarnv oux exnvop 中epeodat．

[^213]:    1 For evtakashere, it is necersary to ratharatav.
    2 The Bijont, and thetefore I suppone all the editions of Plato, have here erroneously owatarev instead of thew. The manneripl honever, from which Ficinus made his translation of the ' Fimatus had the right reating m this place. For his version of this part is, "Terram autem altricem noslram, errad pulum per unisersum exteonom alligatam, diei notlisque effectricem et custodem cone
    

[^214]:    1 For connederea here, it is necessary lo real emeredeirat.
    ${ }^{2}$ Viz. in ether or bevnd, the summit of the intitlifilte triad.

[^215]:    - linstead of $\sigma^{2} \eta \mu a r o s$ here, it is necessary to read oxpmurus.
    - For according to P bato, plants also, as having life, are animals.

[^216]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plalo sayg da xavros, and not dia rov wavrot for Pruclus obserics, that he could noth ave enplosel the latlermode of expression, wilhout pronunciation through a soft breathing.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sce $u$ hat is said by Simplicius conceming this word in the notes in my iranslation of Aristolle's Ireatise On the Heaveus, p. 236.
    ${ }^{1}$ 'This is asserted in the Phardrus of Plato.

    * For moldors here, it is obviously necessary to read modous.

[^217]:    ' In the original, wo is omitled.
    ${ }^{2}$ For u IlAarwios here, read sou MAurwsos.
    , The words wilhin the brackets are omitted in the original, but ought evidently to be inserted. Hence it is necessary to supply in this place the worts, rore vno入a, rwa.

    - For eni inv qugec $\mu$ ercesat in this place, 1 read, eni tiv rins puan periesat.

[^218]:    ' Hapraxa is erroneously printed in the original for marrina.
    ? For undio, it is evidently necessary to read in this place matwroco

[^219]:    - For Aigle siguities spleudor, which is aualogous to day, and Hesperus is the erening.

[^220]:    : The words within the brackets are omitted in the text of Proclus, but ought to be inserted in it.

[^221]:    - A mallemalical table, in which the atheieuts described lines and figures.
    ${ }^{2}$ tin the original, cat ris apikwris opapas, i. e. in a sphire surrounded with rings, which therefore is evidenty what the moderns call the armillary sphere.
    ${ }^{3}$ By the paradigm, I suppose l'welus means an astronomical globe, or as it is now called, the celestial plube.
    - The astrolabe is a mathenatical instrument, representing nearly the whole of the celestial doctrine in aplane, whence also it is called a planisphere.

[^222]:    

[^223]:    

    - For кarànters here, it is requisite to reall a makàupers.

[^224]:    - For $\chi^{\text {pourov }}$ here, read $\chi^{\text {pososs. }}$
    ${ }^{2}$ For 4 in $\eta$ here, it is necessary to read $\downarrow u x p a$.
    ' Instead of er cac rourov, it is requisite to read er sai routp.

[^225]:    - In the original, sat ra rouross çein. These words however, are not to be found in the test of Plato, but form a remarkable addition to it. For the natures successire to the stars, are evidently their eatellites, which liave moore than once been menlioned ly Proclus.
    'From what is here said by Proclus, it appears that the fixed atars, as well as the planets, lave satelitics, and that the stars which sometimes are visible, and at other times disappear, are of this de--cription.

[^226]:    - It is necessary hacre to supply the word teon.
    - 11 is requisite to read ar' carcov, instead of nar' cietono.

[^227]:    - kacra is erroneously omitted in the originat.
    - If the heavens require nedia in order to the participalion of oue soul and one intellect, the sublunary elements require these in a much greater degree, on account of their inferiority to the hearens.
    'The word ulpaviwe is omitued in the origiual.

[^228]:    1 Fur axo ${ }^{2} \eta \mu \mathrm{licre}$, read ar $\eta \chi \eta \mu \mathrm{a}$.
    
    

[^229]:    －For a most ample and beautiful account of these heroic souls by I＇roclus，see the Additional notes to my translation of the Cratyius of Plato．

[^230]:    - Errovot is omitted in the original.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is doubtless the Sibyl of whom Proclus abs observes (in these Commentaries, p. 325.) " that proceeding into light, she brew her own order, and manifested that she came from the Gods, saying,
    
    

[^231]:    - In what immediately follows here, the text is so corrupt as to tre uninielligible.
    - Here also in the two lines that immediately follow, the text is so corrupt as to be incapable of emendation.

[^232]:    

[^233]:    - Some of the molerns, from being profunndly ignorant of this circumstance, lave slupidly supposed that the Gods of the ancients were nothing more than dead men deified; tahing for their guides on this important subject, were historians, philologists, and rlictoricians, instead of philosophers.
    ${ }^{2}$ For exerme, it is necessary to read exi rni.
    ' For avokaragragene, it is requisite to read anogragens.

[^234]:    I losiead of "rdaopanci liere, it is seecisiry to read Ardacigapou.

[^235]:    - The greater part of what is here contained within the brackels is in so mutilated a state in lhe origioal, as to be illegible. The sense honever, I bave emileavoured as much as possible to restore in the traislation.

[^236]:    - $\mathrm{I}_{\eta}$ is ominted in the origioal.
    ${ }^{2}$ For $\pi$ ponica here, it is uecessary to read Ilenca.

[^237]:    I In the original, ander iscrenneously printed for andoyws.
     What he reall the follewine worls eneraved in a stone leas Memplis: Corlum sursum, corlum deorsum, yuod sursum id omne deorsum, her cape el beaberis, i. e. Iraren is abore, and Ifaren is beneath. Firery thing which is above is also bencath. Vinderstand this,and you will be blessed. Confumably to this also
     ther originally "ritheo or mot by Herme 'Irmmegivins, is doubiless of great antubity, says that all that is bemeath reacmbles all that is above. But the table itself is as follows: Varum sme mendacio,
    
    
     illud ventus in ventre sho. Narix pjus lerra, pater manis telesmi totius mundi est hic. Virtus ejus integra est, ni vrras furrt in terram. Separabi, terram ab jgne, sublle a spisou suaviter cum magno ingenio. Ascendit a terra in colum, iternmgue descendit in terran, et recipit vim superiorumel inferiorum. Sic hatorhis gloriam totius mundi, ideo figiet í te ommis obscuritas. Hiace est totias fortitudinis fortitudo forlis, quia vincer onnem rem subtilem, omniaque solida penetrabit. Sic mmalus crealus est. Hine erunt adoplationes mirabiles, quarum monlus hic est. Itaque vocatus sum Hermes Trismegistus habens tres partes philosophia totius mundi. Completun at grod dixi de opere solis." i. e. "It is true wihont at lie, certain, and most true, that what is benealh is like that which is abore, and what is above is like that which is bencath, for the purpuse of accomplishing the miracle of one thing. Aud as all things were from one through the mediation of one, so all things were generated from this thing

[^238]:    'For ex r' aurov, il is necessary lor read ex r'aya0ov.
     Ouparov, k. $\lambda$.

[^239]:    ${ }^{1}$ For $\lambda$ ejews here, rad $\lambda$ yiews.
    ${ }^{2}$ For rpacing it is secessary to read rpiadats.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cor ecfouv real sompuv.

    - For jusifas leve, real jonipus.
    

[^240]:    - Perhaps liy the furmer of these exclamations in the mesterics, the divine and celestial origin of the human soul was signitied; but liy the laller its terrestrial origin, through its union with a terrene body.
    ${ }^{1}$ For noofounerocs licre, it is necessary to read roonorдerns.

[^241]:    ' Fur ax' aurns here, read axi autur.

[^242]:    2 For eviautov here, it is necessary to read evcasov.

[^243]:    - Plato in the toth book of the Lawy dintinguishes the genus of motions into ten species, viz, circulation about an inmoncalabe ecolte, local transition, condensation, rarefaction, imercase, decraser, generation, corruption, mutation or alteration produced in another by another, and a mutation produced from a thing itscli, both in inself, and in another. This last is the motion of an essence separate from bodies, and is the motion of soul.
    ${ }^{2}$ For gosimov here, it is necessary to reat povipov.
    ' Here also unfortunately the part that immediately follows in the original, is in so mutiated a otate, a, to be perfectly uniutulligible.

[^244]:    - Five lines in the original of the above Paragraph are likewise illegible, in consequence of being in such a mutilated state.
    ${ }^{2}$ Marpos is erroneously printed instead of narepes.

[^245]:    1 For $\pi$ ws bere, it is necessary to rrad mpon.
    ${ }^{2}$ thay. 19. v. 121.
    

[^246]:    - As this is a remarkally curions Orphic fragment, and is unt to be fuund in fiesmeris collection of the Orphic remains, ishall give the original for the sake of the learned reater: sat ror $\gamma$ ve ore oxpeves
    
    
    
    

[^247]:    - For $\mu$ er' aurov, it is necessary to read $\mu$ er'aurns.
    - For Өavparra, it is requisite to read Qaphavios; and for notrov, norty.
    ${ }^{3}$ The original here is evidently erroneous; for it is, ou yap coriv o bupavs oupavidns alta o doprvs,
     the Theogony of Hesiod, being the progeny of Heaven and Earth.
    - Kporor is erroneously printed for xposor.
    s For ris vepas unjs ovara, I read int vypas oins ovacas.

[^248]:     weaviv taita sircurtus uppows.

[^249]:    'Viz. Heaven and Earlh; Ocean and Tethys: Jupiter and Juno. Aud this last duad ranks as the fourth progression, because prior to it, is the triat Plioreys, Satum and IRhea.
    ${ }^{2}$ For etor here, il is refuisite to reat aporion.
    ${ }^{1}$ This enncad in each of the elements is as follows, viz, tolal and partial borlies, total and partial matures, total and partial souls, and total and partial intellects, and the monad which contains these, viz. the elementary sphere isself.

    - Mpooious is omitted in the original.

[^250]:    - In the original it is mapantra instead of rapancraopara.

[^251]:    ' For $\mu$ er here, it is necessaly to read $\mu \eta_{0}$.

[^252]:    1 These thinty-sin decadarchs are the divinities alluded to by the Emperor Julian ia his Oration to the Sun, when he silys," that the Sun divites the zodiac into twetve pruners of fiods, and cach of these into three olliers, 00 that thirly aix are produced in the whele."

[^253]:    1 For ohows bere, it is necessary to read adews o vovo.

[^254]:    - Otor is omitred liere in the arigimal.
    

[^255]:    I Instead of sonrov, it is requisite to read vonry.

[^256]:    ' Hap' cropor is omilled in the original.
    
    Tim. I'lal.
    Vol. II.

[^257]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Instead of resprov bere, it is obvioudy necessary toriad ajerorion.

[^258]:    1 Vor codone it is necescary io real enway.
    
     are wanting in the original.

[^259]:    - For erre here, read ecre.
    ${ }^{2}$ The words кat $\lambda_{\text {vro }}$ are omitted in the original.
    - For autau quear, it is necesary to read anduy quar.

[^260]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ For mov 0 avarov here, it is obviously necessary to read rev afararov.

[^261]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ For $\mu \mathrm{m}$ here, it in neccstary to read $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

    - The origital has erroneomely mona iontcad of mome.

[^262]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ For uxep rov your here, it appears to me to be requisite to read urepref",

[^263]:    - In the text of Proclis, $n \mu \mathrm{as}$ is erroneously printed for vpas.

[^264]:     sented as cousulting about the fabrication of things. Nor is consultation adapted to a divine nature, because it implies imperfection.

[^265]:    - Coufurnably to this, Claudian in thi, poem, De Riaplu Proserpinax, says of Proserpine, Senst atlesse Deas, imperjectumque laburens Duorrit.

[^266]:    - For redciorara here, it is nceessary io read redcornta.
    ${ }^{2}$ For ra 0 eora in this place, it is necessary to read ra etcorara.
    ${ }^{1}$ Hiad, 20. v. 24.

[^267]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ A Aavarus is omitted in the origimal.
    ${ }^{3}$ For anurpra here, it is necessary to read aurcanipra.

[^268]:    - In the lest of Pioclus, a0araros is erroneonsly printe Ifor atavaros.
    ${ }^{2}$ For mita liere, read avior.

[^269]:    I Instead of ro rap in this place, it is necessary to read ov yap.

[^270]:    - In the text of Proclus, $\eta \mu$ ecs is erroneously printed for veecs.
    - Here also for tavary, read atarary.

[^271]:    1 For ivaes liere, it is necessary to resed ecodugeas.
    

[^272]:    - For romy here, it is necessary to read move.

[^273]:    "For meverarianv in this place, it is necessary to read rarpanv.

[^274]:    - For $\mu$ cyıoras here, reall $\mu$ etiorus.

[^275]:    

[^276]:    - For Ilגaruyniq here, it is necessary to read Пoגiraxy.
    

[^277]:    ' i. c. Vesta. For, according to the Chaddame, as we Iearn from Proclus on the Cratylus, Rhea contains Juno, the fumbain of sonts, in her righthand parts, and Vesta, the fountain of virtue, in her lefthand parts.

[^278]:    ${ }^{1} O_{v}$ is omilted here in the original.

[^279]:    ' Instead of ras devrepas in this place, 11 is necessary to read, ras deirepue.

[^280]:    - For värarapriat here, it is nccessary to read apıarufurat.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the heroic genus of souls, which discends into mortality, partly for the beuevolent purpose of le:sling bach to the imtellighle world, the fourth and last genus of souls, and parily in compliance will the law of Fale, which obliges souls of this third class to descend at slated periods wito the realing of generation.

[^281]:    - For oerovra here, which is cidenlly erroncous, I read \&aveportas.
    ${ }^{2}$ Instead of atpourtuc here, it is necessary tor read deaponstac.
    ${ }^{3}$ For exeac here, it is necessary to read entoms.
    - This Acyllus is not mentomed by any writer besides Proclus, nor by him elsewhere.
    s Proclus here alludes to that fart of initiation into the mysteries nbich was called Oponiopor, or colJocation on a hirone. But this consisted mplacing him who was to be initiated on a throne, clotised in a sacred and mystic dress, and accompanied with solenm rites; the other mystics in the wean time' dancing about the throne.

[^282]:    1 i. e. 'Through the twelve Gods that belong to lic liberaled order, and wheli are divisled into four Iriads. Oi lorer trialv aloo the first, which cousist, of Jupier, Deplune, and Vulcan, is demiurgic or fabricative; the sccoml, comisting of Vestit, Mincria, and Mars, is of a guardian characteristic; the third, which is composed of Cercs, Jnon, and Didna, is vivific: and the fourth, which consiste of Mercury, Vinus, and $\lambda_{\text {pollo, }}$ is of an harmonic and cievating cbaracteristic.

[^283]:    - Hence it futlon, that in each of the spheres of the elememts, there i, one leading (iut having a slarry-fumad veliicle, and oumerous sot llites uhout this divinits, in the same manuer as in the spleres of the phanes, and the yithere of the fixed ators.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fur eotyrou here, it is necessary to real urotimov.

[^284]:    - For aveies here, read avacies.
    -i. c. Vulcan.
    ${ }^{1}$ For axopqror also in this place, read axopptror.

[^285]:    
    

[^286]:    - For énprnotac here, it is necessary to reatl enppadat.

    2 Instead of autou in this place, it is necessary to reall aurwr.

[^287]:    - The wordv ieverpus ir anra, are omitted ia this place in the original, but ought to be inserted.
    ${ }^{2}$ For eaurnas hire, read eauras.
    ${ }^{3}$ The words $\eta$ de icorepa, are also in this place erroncously omitted.
    

[^288]:    - The word $\theta$ ewr is omitted in this place in the original, but obviously ought to be inserted.
    - Fate therefure is Nature deffied, or Nature considered accordigg to her summit.

[^289]:    - Iostead of rpeфca here, it is necessary to read aroeqec.
    - In the original deof ${ }^{\prime}$, but it is obviously necessary to read $\theta e \sigma \mu \omega r$.

[^290]:    - For açfuesos in this place, it apprars to me to be necessary to rad equefevos.
     erroneous. Something instead of it is perliaps wanting, as the whole of the sentence is obscure.

[^291]:    - lontead of Sm, mams in this place, it is necessary to reall repopopas.
    ${ }^{2}$ There is an omission licere in the original, of rur aotpur.

[^292]:    ' For diaropqu here, it is necessary to read samorqr.

[^293]:    - Instead of relinor in thisplace, it is nccessary to read redcotioov.
    ${ }^{2}$ Here also, for redecior, read redectisor.

[^294]:    ${ }^{1}$ For daqopar in this place, read daapopar.

[^295]:    'For $\delta_{i}$ arrar here, it is obviously necessary to read $\delta_{i}$ avenv.

[^296]:    
     exers, is arecomary.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{M}_{n}$ is omatted bere, in the originat.

    - hnstead of rit ミonv here, it is obviously nectosary to read rt ミwor.

[^297]:    - Instead of aarpecino here, it is necessary to read oatpenos.
    ${ }^{2}$ For tpira here, read rparia.
    ${ }^{2}$ Iuslead of andos in this place, it is obriously necessary to rad andour.

[^298]:    - For envipos here, it is necessary to read evrion.
    - For avras licre, read avins.

[^299]:    - Desire is admirably defined by lise Pyhasoreans to be a certaill tendency, impulse and appetite of the soul, in order to be lilled with something, or to enjoy something present, or to le disposed accurd-
     Sce my trandation of tamblie has' Life of 'yhagoras, p. 146 .
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Por muad here, il is neversary ler reall metar.
    - The wond ac \&e coot, $\sigma a=$ are wanting in the ojiginal, but ought evidently to be inserted.
    ' Instead of er ty (peatay in this place, it is becessary to read if ry opeatay.

[^300]:    - For $\eta \mu$ ar bese, read var.

[^301]:    - The word drapopa is onitted liere in the original.

[^302]:    - For arour here, read apearv.
    - Instead of autno here, it is necessary to read aurohs.

[^303]:    

[^304]:    - I ur rewrepar bere, it is uecessary to read hocparepary.

[^305]:    1 Insteal of 0cwpa here, it is necessary to read $0_{\eta \rho i \omega}$ fac.

    - In all the printed editions of the Timaus, the word ciwere is wanling in this place. For it is evident from the Commenlary of Prochus, that it ought to be inserted. Hence, instead of rov aoduy
     *роофиита, к. $\lambda$.

[^306]:    - For asamevour here, it is necessary to read avanavaa.
    ${ }^{2}$ It appears to me, that the word ac $\theta 0 \lambda_{\text {aso }}$ is here waming.

[^307]:    - For $\lambda$ oyov herc, it is necessary io read a oyov.

    2The original, which is evidently corrupt and defeclive in this place, is, cat we cxet axev apos rqu
    

    Tim. Plat.
    Vol. II.
    3 G

[^308]:    - Instcad of arweror liere, it is mecessary to read, aroporov.

[^309]:    - Instead of mpobegens here, it is necessary to read mporocaent.

[^310]:    - For appaer here, I radeqpace.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ For aduaros here, it is requisite to read Eviarov.
    

[^311]:    - There is an omission in this place in the original, of the word eqatpar, which evidently ought to be inserted.
    - Inslcad of ourpicer here, I read ouygever.

[^312]:    - There is an omission in this place in the original of ra oxpmara.
    ${ }^{3}$ The word atasary is omilled in the original.

[^313]:    - For $\theta$ cous here, it is necessary to read duche.

[^314]:    - In the original, and doubiless from Otpheus,
    

[^315]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ For $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{om}} \mathrm{m}$, it is requisite to read A ounn.

[^316]:    - i. e. Jupiter, who is so called in this place by Proclus, brecause lie coulains in himself by participation the Plaues or Protogonus, who is the paratigm of the universe.
    - For wermpen, it is necessary to read revia.

[^317]:    - For tipuar, it is necessary to read dipuar.

[^318]:    - For ant Bateor, it is decessary to read sa $\beta$ 但 $\mu a r$.

[^319]:    - For ma:por, it is necessary to read mavor.
    - Here also for marpis it is necessary to read marra.

    Tim. Plat.
    Vol. II.

[^320]:    
    
    
    
     that as the Tilum are the whimbe arliticers of ilings, and the most groximate lo their fabrications, men are said to be conposed from their fragments, becansc the luman sonl has a partial hife, capable of proceeding to the most extreme division, united with its proper nature. And while the soul is in a state of servitude to the body, slie lives coufined, as it were, in boads, through the dominion of this Titannical life.

[^321]:    - i.e. The aeri.al vehicle; for this is the first whicle of the seut that is a botly, the ethereal or perpetual vehicle, not having three dimensions, but being a supertieies, in consequence of its attenuated and immaterial nature. Hence aho the Chatdsam Oracle eatorts, not to give depth to a superficies, and cause it to be teriestial and humid, throngh an impure hite.
    - In the original in this place, there is an omission of ro ogrpendes; bul I have no toubt this nord ought to be inserted.
    3 There is an histus here in the original, which renders the two lines that immedialely follow it unintelligible, and which I have not therefure allempted to tranglate.

[^322]:    ' In the original there is an hiatas here. Cor the original is, redecor de, orar exeden** merav rap a $\lambda$ dor $\mu$ epos egur. This deficiency however, may be supplied by reading, conformably to the above
    

    - Fur avrors here, it is necessary to read aurp
    ${ }^{3}$ Inslead of ourra!ur ill this place, read ourrakir.

[^323]:    ' For meptautor here, it is necessary to read rept aurur. These Dialogues of Aristotie are unfortunately lost.

    - See my translation of Pintinus, On the Descent of the Soul.

    2 For yovipor here, read $\mu$ ovipor.

    - The original in this place is adararov uev oveduar de oria; but de ought evidently in be expunged.

[^324]:    - For re Arno here, it is necessary to read row Arm prov.

[^325]:    - The noril aurna is omitted here in the original, but evidently onglit to be inserted.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fur ina herr, read guas.

[^326]:    - Insleal of renompery in this place, it is requisite to read, menotopery.
    ${ }^{2}$ For aypiou here, it is uecessary to read apronv.
    ' The orignal is defectuve here, for there is nothing more than ra ke $\mu$ oon nose * - . This deficiency however, may be supplied by reading, conformably to the above translation, ra de pera soaridureparer axpur.

[^327]:    - There is an hialu, here in the original, which inay be filled up by aldiug after rov xaoxora the
    
    ${ }^{2}$ For en arepow here, lead evatinu.

[^328]:    - Instead of meriar in lhi place, it is necegsary to read sadur.
    ${ }^{2}$ For aponŋдar here, il is requisite to reail apponqarv.
    - For mod hois here, it is necessily to read modus.
    
    "i. e. " the groalid, and breathed his last." Il. xvi. v. 468.
    ${ }^{6}$ The verl aiocr, is from aic, which not only signifies to beas, and to blow away, but also to understand and hnow.

    1 i. e. Position.

[^329]:    - In the promed edinens of the Timans we fiod mapexoperac ith this place: but it evidently ought to be, as iu the text of Promiar, wapade yopesat.
    - Twofuld ignoratuce is, when a suan is not only ignoranl, but is ignorant llat lie is ignorant. And this is the disease of the multitude.

[^330]:    - Instead of nexaidivecins bere, it is nccessary to read xexednuerna.
    : For adiampopoir bere, read acia orpopmi.

[^331]:    ' Fur cav-o bere, it is necessary to read caurp.

[^332]:    I Viz. In hoth power and energy in the circle of the different.

[^333]:    - For avalwy here, it is decessary to read arady.
    - Instead of кo八a $\sigma$ at in this place, it is requisite to read a $\lambda a \sigma \theta a c$
    ${ }^{3}$ ' For ovvedec here, it is requisite to read evrayt.

[^334]:    

[^335]:    - For decyuara here, read doyرara.
    - Here also, for aкodon日a, read arakoдonda.

[^336]:    - Instead of vyporns here, it is obviously necessary to read uxriorgs.
    ${ }^{2}$ For $\mu \circ \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ in this place, read $\mu 0 \gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, this being the word used by Plato.

[^337]:    ' For oint here, it is necessary to read vגn.

[^338]:    
     defective tate. I have however endeavoured in rember the transtation of it as murla as prosible per--picurus.
    ${ }^{2}$ The l'romellicus in man is the rational, and Epimetheus is the irralinual part. But considered as divine pruers, liometheus in the inspertive guardian of the descent of the rational soul, aud Epinetheus is the pu.rili.th of the irrational sout.
    ' For raval here, it is necessary to read raurov.

[^339]:    - For apter in this place, it is necessary to read apyect.
    - Instead of reorg in this place, it is requisite to read reoyros.

[^340]:    I'Jhere is an hatus here io the original; and it appears to me that rqu xponduv is wantiag.

[^341]:    I For andluprov in this place, it ajpeats to we to be necesary to read armarov.

[^342]:    'i. e. To speak of the generation of the partsof bodies.

[^343]:    '. For parte:r here, it is obviously necessary to read ratter.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hiad vil. v. 410.

[^344]:    
    
    a And inconariminc of thas mistiahe, fur artu in this place, we must read avia.
    s (!) - . N. , al?.

    - Ihad. dl'. vi cuj.

[^345]:    - For novingt here, it i, necessary to real natrant.

    And for matump, read peoturn.

[^346]:    - Fur vol here, it is necessary to read unep.

