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## PAD 117 <br> C27 1887 MAIN

## $\mathfrak{C}$ abridge philological Society.

It having been felt by some teachers at Cambridge that the time had come to make a further attempt to correct the errors of the ordinary English pronunciation of Latin, a letter of enquiry was sent out to ascertain the amount of support which such an attempt would receive. This called forth very encouraging answers from lecturers in almost every college in Cambridge and not a few schoolmasters. The following statement was therefore drawn up by a small committee: it has been fully discussed at two meetings of the Society, and it is now put forth by the Society as an approximate statement of the pronunciation of Latin by the educated classes in the Augustan period.

## SUMMARY OF THE PRONUNCIATION OF LATIN IN THE AUGUSTAN PERIOD.

Vowels.

Letters.
Latin A long in ālās, cōnstāns short as in ămăt, Dănăе

E long as in tèlŭ, tēnsŭs, dǐe
short as in tĕnĕt, fĕrŭs, ămplĕăs

I long intrītus, īnfēnsus, ìs (verb), prāū
short as š̆tı̆s, fēeč̆t,nŭs̆̆ in certain cases where the spelling varies between $i$ and $u\}$
as in maximus, maxumus,

## Pronunciation.

as Eng. $a$ in psalm, salve, halve. the same sound shortened. Both $\breve{a}$ and $\bar{a}$ are found in ăha!
N.B. $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ in Latin was never pronounced as in mate, nor ă as in man, mat.
as Fr. é, a close ' $e$ '. It is the first part of the Eng. diphthong in skein, grey, ray, rain.
an open ${ }^{1} e$. Eng. $e$ in sped.
N.B. Lat. ē was never pronounced as Eng. ee in see.
as $i$ in machine, quinine, ee in feel, feet.
N.B. The Latin i was never the $i$ in fine.
as $i$ in fit, skim ${ }^{2}$.
as Ger. $\ddot{u}^{3}$.
${ }^{1}$ The difference between close and open vowels (otherwise called 'narrow' and 'wide') is caused by drawing up the part of the tongue with which the sound is produced and thus making it more convex than it is in its natural relaxed position (open). This causes a 'narrowing' of the passage of the sound, whence the name.
${ }^{2}$ Lat. final ir seems to have had an intermediate sound between $\begin{aligned} \text { and } \\ \text { in }\end{aligned}$ as in heř̌, yesterday, written in Quintilian's time herě. Q. says, Inst. r. 4, 8, in here neque $e$ plane neque $i$ auditur; compare 1.7,22,24. This is supported by the various spellings on inscriptions sibi, sibe, sibei ; quasi, quase, quasei, so with tibi, ubi. The sound was probably that of Eng. final $y$ as in lady.
${ }^{3}$ Modified $u$ (ui) has two sounds in (North) German: (a) when long, it is close as in grün, güte; so in Fr. lune, aigu: (b) when short, it is open as in hütte, schützen. These sounds may be produced approximately by pronouncing $\bar{\imath}$ as in machīne and $\grave{\imath}$ as in fit respectively, with rounded lips.

O longasin rōř̌s, Cōnsǔs, cŏntō
short as in ǒuēs, bŏum, mŏdŏ
U longas in ūmŏr, tūnsǔs, gĕnū
shor't as in ŭı̄̂, tŭŭs
close $o$ as $\mathrm{Fr} . a u$ in chaud, $\mathrm{f} a u \mathrm{x}$. The first part of the English diphthong in grow, loan.
open $o$, nearest representative Eng. o in not, rock ${ }^{4}$.
as $u$ in ruin, intrude; $=o o$ in poop.
N.B. Lat. $\bar{u}$ was never pronounced like $u$ in acute, mule, which is yoo.
as $u$ in full, oo in foot.
N.B. Lat. $\breve{u}$ never as the ordinary Eng. $\breve{u}$ in but, cut, luck. as Ger. $\ddot{u}$, see note.

Y as in gīrŭs, scy̆pluŭs, cy̆mbă, $1 \grave{y}$ ădēs a Greek sound.

The great difference between the English and Latin pronunciations of the same vowel symbols is due to the fact that the pronunciation of English has changed, while the spelling has not changed with it. The symbols $a, i, o, e, u$ no longer have their original values. $\bar{a}, \bar{z}, \bar{o}$ have become diphthongs, $a$ in māte being sounded as $e i(e y)$ in vein or grey, $\bar{\imath}$ as eye, $\bar{o}$ as ow in grow. The English $\bar{e}$ in see and $\bar{u}$ in rue have a slight consonantal ending which is $y$ in the one case and $w$ in the other. Euglish $\bar{u}$ is generally yoo.

## Diphthongs.

AE in taedae, AU in laus, laudo, OE in foedus, EI in Pompë̀ (voc.), EU in seu, neuter, UI in cui, luic.

The pronunciation of these diphthongs, of which the last three are extremely rare, is best learnt by first sounding each vowel separately and then running them together, ae as ah-eh, an as ah-oo, oe as o-eh, ei as eh-ee, eu as eli-oo, and ui as oo-ce.
N.B. The English pronunciation which rhymes luedus, foedus with 'feed us' is quite incorrect.

[^0]
## Consonants.

C in căno, cĕcйni, cycnus, ceu, scit, hāscĕ, condŭcio

Qu in inquit
G in gaudeo, genus, gingĩŭ̆, ăgě

N before c ( $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{qu}$ ), g , as incipit, inquam, congero ${ }^{6}$
$\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{L}$ as in ădit, nātus, lūna, clientem, èdŭtio, cōnstāns

S as in $s \bar{u} s$, accū̄so, tristēs
$\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{M}$ (except final M$)^{9}$ as in plumbo
always as Eng. $k$, never as $s$ or as $c$ before $e, i$. Thus kekinee, küknus, skit etc., condikio (never condishio).
as Eng. qu in quick.
always as Eng. $g$ in $g o t, g$ et, begin, never as $j$ or $g$ in gibe or generous.
as $n g$ in $\operatorname{sing},(n$ in $\operatorname{sink})$, thus ingkipit, ingquam, conggero. nearly as in Eng. ${ }^{7}$
N.B. editio etc. never as edishio. always voiceless ${ }^{8}$ as in hiss, hist; never voiced as in has (haz).
as in Eng.
in house, which should be pronounced 'broadly' haouse. EI is the Eng diphthong in grey (rain, mate etc.). EU as in It. neutro. UI as in It. colui.

The old Latin diphthongs AI, pronounced as Greek at (as in Eng. Isaiah, broadly pronounced), and OI, as oi in loin, had disappeared before the Augustan period.
${ }^{6}$ Lat. $g n$ after a vowel has been supposed to have the sound of $n g-n$ rēgnum being pronounced rēng-num, cōgnōmen as cōng-nomen. Mr Roby (Lat. Gr. 1. Pref. p. 79 sqq.) and Seelmann (Aussprache des Lateins pp. 274, 278) doubt this.
${ }^{7}$ But the tongue should touch the teeth instead of the forepart of the palate.

8 'Voiced' sounds are produced with, 'voiceless' without vibrations of the vocal chords; $b, d, g, z$ (in zest) are voiced, $p, t, k, s$ are voiceless. The 'voiced' $s(z)$ has been sometimes assumed for classical Latin in certain words when the 8 stands between two vowels, e.g. rosa; but without sufficient authority. It was, howerer, probably heard in borrowed Greek words like Smyrna, smaragdus (often written Żmyrna zmaragdus). The voiced s of Old Latin had become $r$; as in laborem, Old Latin labosem.-S, like T, D, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{L}$ is a pure dental produced by putting the tongue near the teeth at a point more forward than in the Eng. 8.-For pronunciation of $n s$ preceded by a vowel see note ${ }^{9}$ inf.
${ }^{9}$ The pronunciation of final $m$ is not free from doubt. It is clear that it was more weakly sounded than at the beginning or in the middle of a word. (1) When a consonant followed it, the $m$ must have remained consonantal as the vowel which preceded was lengthened in position. Thus tum tenet, tum canet were scanned … (2) Before a vowel, however, or before $h$ followed by a vowel, both the $m$ and the preceding vowel were disregarded in scansion, montem habet being scanned - just like mons habet or monte habet. In (1) the $m$ was probably assimilated to the following consonant becoming $n g$ before 'gutturals', mensam grauem being pronounced mensanggrauem

I consonant as̀ in iŭgum, iŭcio
U consonant as in $u \bar{a} n u s, u \bar{\imath} s$, seruo
as Eng. $y$ : $y$ ugum, $y$ akio ${ }^{10}$. probably as Eng. $w^{11}$ : wāhnus, wees, serwo etc.
N.B. There is no ancient authority for spelling $i$ consonant as $j$ or $u$ consonant as $v$. The Romans used one symbol for both vowel and consonant.
R in ringi, rārus, datör
trilled $r$ as in French (or Scotch): more strongly trilled than in Eng. opera, herring ${ }^{12}$.
N.B. The final $r$ should be fully sounded ${ }^{12}$.
$R$ is the 'dog's letter' $r-r-r$ 'irritata canis quam homo quam planiu' dicit' Lucil. RH is found in borrowed words as Pyrrhus, rheuma. It is the corresponding voiceless sound as in Fr. thêdtre $=$ Gk. $\rho$. The trilled $r$ is represented by $\mathrm{r} r$ in the exx. given below.
(cf. quamquam or quanquam pronounced quangquam), $n$ before $t, d, n, s, i$ consonant, mensam tenet being pronounced mensantenet (and quom iam quoniam). Before $r, l$ it was completely assimilated, mensam leuem being mensalleuem, mensam rudem mensarrudem. In (2) the final $m$ was probably absorbed into the preceding vowel which was nasalized. Thus, adopting the customary mark for a nasal vowel, -am became $\bar{a}$, -em $\tilde{i}$ etc. The nasalized vowel thus formed was slurred on to the following vowel like any non-nasalized vowel. Thus fluctum accipit was pronounced fluctũaccipit, quanquam incipit as quanquanincipit etc. [Nasal vowels are produced by sending the voice in part through the nose. The French vowels in en, on, un, vin etc. are familiar examples of nasal vowels.]

Mr A. J. Ellis however believes that the $m$ was always omitted in speaking and the following consonant pronounced as if it were doubled: quorum pars he would pronounce quoruppars, spargam flores as spargaffores, animamque as animacque. Final im followed by $i$ consonant he pronounces as $\bar{i}, \quad-u m$ followed by $u$ consonant as $\tilde{u}$ : e.g. clauim iacit as clauī iacit. Final $m$ at the end of a sentence he thinks was not heard at all. Where a vowel followed as in (2) he believes that the $m$ was never sounded and that fluctum was treated exactly like fluctu, mensam like mensa, the final vowel before $m$ being simply slurred on to the following one.

It is also possible that a vowel was nasalized when it was immediately followed by $n \delta$. This would explain the frequent omission of the $n$ in such cases, cesor appearing on inscriptions by censor, cosol by consul etc. In this case insanus (see below) would be pronounced Eesānus, frūns (for frōns, frondis), also written früs (Ennius), frơoss.
${ }^{10}$ In a number of cases the $i$ was pronounced twice though only written once. So in obicio, pronounced obyikio.
${ }^{11}$ It may however have been pronounced as Fr. ou in oui.
12 The proper rolling of the $r$ is most important, especially at the end of words, the English tendency being to slur all unaccented finals. Thus we pro-

| CH in Bucchus. TH in Cethegus, | as $k, t, p$ followed by $h^{13}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| PH as in Phoebus |  |
| F in ferueo, uăfer | as in Eng. |
| H in hora, incoloo | as in Eng. |

## Compound and Doubled Consonants.

X as in saxum, pax, exulto BS as in absorbeo, urbs
$Z$ as in gaza, Zephyrus, a Greek sound
as Eng.ks (x); eksulto, noteggsulto ,, , ps; urbs as oorrps. pronunciation doubtful; but perhaps as $d z$ in a $d z e$, not as $z$.

Care should be taken with doubled consonants. (a) Where we find in classical times the two symbols regularly written, we may infer that two sounds were intended to be represented. This is true of explosives, as in vac-ca, cip-pus, ag-ger-in sounding which a distinct pause ought to be made (as in Italian) between the two sounds; it is also true of fricatives, as in Metel-lus, pen-na, ferrum, pos-sum, dif-ficilis. (b) But where the spelling varies as in caussa (causa), Pollio (Polio), we may infer that the sound was but one somewhat prolonged fricative, the double symbol (Po-llio, cau-ssa), being used to mark this fact. Before the "doubled" sounds of (a) the accent was commonly stronger and the vowel short.

## "Elision" of Vowels.

Final vowels (or diphthongs) whin followed by vowels (or diphthongs) or $k$ were not 'cut off' but were lightly pronounced and run on to the following vowel as in Italian ${ }^{14}$. Thus we should pronounce ego eo as ěgeō, not eg'eo, ille ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ibit, not ill'ibit. Where the two vowels were the same, as in Marcella amat, the effect was that of a single vowel. Similarly where a vowel was followed by
nounce er, $i r$, ur without any distinction with the same single vowel, and assimilate them all to the final short $a$, and consequently make no difference in sound between mater, (a)matur and (a)mata. So leuir is pronounced 'lever.' In reading verse this destroys the metre by producing hiatus: flatur erit is pronounced as if it were flata erit. So also in other cases: uēr, cūr and uir are all pronounced alike with the same vowel sound and no $\mathrm{r} r$; they should be sounded wëhrr, koorr, and wïrr. The mis-pronunciation is not confined to finals; arbor is pronounced 'ahbor' (or even 'ahba') in place of ăhrrbŏrr; uertit ought to be pronounced werrtit.
${ }^{13}$ These sounds are heard in Ireland. They may be obtained by pronouncing ink-horn, pot-house, tap-house so that the mute comes into the second syllable, in-khorn, po-thouse, ta-phouse. It is quite incorrect to pronounce th as in thin, and phas $f$.
${ }^{14}$ This is what Cicero means by coniungere uocales, Orator § 150.
a diphthong beginning with the same vowel, as in contra audentior, which had the effect of contraudentior.

For the pronunciation of a vowel and final $m$ before a following vowel or $h$ see note 9 .

## Quantity.

The proper observance of the quantity is of vital importance for the proper appreciation of metre in Latin poetry and rhythm in prose. The short and long vowels in Latin differed in duration as the first and second in aha! or quinine. This difference should be carefully observed. The practice of lengthening the accented vowels is entirely alien to the classical pronunciation of Latin. Pronounce cíbus lǐ-bus not sigh-bus, pronounce $\check{a}-m \bar{o}$ not ey-mo, sacro săh-crō not sake-row. Especial care is required where a vowel follows in the next syllable. Hence we should pronounce sū̄̄s sōo-ees, sü̆s sōo-ĭs and not both like sue-is, sciunt as skee-unt (not sigh-unt). The shortening and slurring of the unaccented vowel is equally faulty. victoriă (ōh-rreee-ăh) is to be carefully distinguished from uictoriā (ōh-rree-āh), rať̆s a ship from rătīs dat. pl. of rătŭs (răh-teess). A special form of this fault is pronouncing words like dĕ $\breve{a}, r e \breve{a} \breve{a}$ as if the two vowels formed a diphthong and so making them monosyllables (dear, rear) instead of disyllables.

Every vowel has a quantity of its own ; and the English practice of pronouncing all vowels in position before two or more consonants as if they were naturally short, is erroneous. The Romans said sěcta but rēctus, tēctus: indoctus but insula, infensus ${ }^{15}$.

## Accent.

The nature of the latin accent has been much discussed. It was certainly different from the English accent, which consists in pronouncing the accented syllable with much greater emphasis or stress than the adjacent syllables. It seems clear that the Latin

[^1]accent was partly a pitch-and partly a stress-accent; or, in other words, that the accented syllable was pronounced in a higher key and also with greater force than the unaccented syllables. The difference in pitch is vouched for, inter alia, by the well known statement of Cicero in the Orator § 58. The Latin acuta (uox) denoted that the voice rose on the accented syllable: such an accent has been called a 'rising-tone' (Swcet). The Latin grauis would naturally be the lower tone of unaccented syllables. In the circumflexa (or inflexa as Cicero calls it), the voice would first rise and then fall on the same syllable (plûma). The exact amount of difference in pitch between the accented and unaccented syllables cannot now be ascertaincd.

As regards the difference in stress it is to be remarked first that it manifests itself in a number of ways: in the tendency to draw away the accent as far as may be from the last syllable, to alter both the quantity and the character of the vowels in unaccented syllables and to affect the final consonants of a word: secondly that the difference of force or vigour with which accented and unaccented syllables were respectively pronounced was considerably less than in English. Accordingly the accented vowels should be pronounced much more gently and the unaccented ones much more distinctly than is at present the custom. Special attention should be paid to this.
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[^0]:    4 The pronunciation of the Latin $o$ seems to have fluctuated. $\bar{o}$ (which is generally, although not always close) was sometimes nearer to the Eng. $a w$ in law buit more often to the Fr. au (with a higher position of the tongue) while the short open $o$ is sometimes nearer to the Eng. o in not but more often to the N. Ger. $o$ in stŏck. Generally speaking Latin $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{o}$ are Italian close $e$ and $o$, while Latin $\check{o}$ and $\breve{e}$ are Italian open $o$ and $e$.
    ${ }^{5}$ AE was not far from the Ger. $\ddot{a}$ and had a tendency to become open $e$ (as in men, sped) : but it was not till the 6th cent. A.D. that ae and $e$ became quite confused. AU is the German au in haus. The nearest sound in Eng. is ou

[^1]:    15 The natural length of a vowel must be distinguished from the conventional 'lengthening' which it is said to undergo before two consonants. In indoctus the $i$ is itself short, but the fact that $n d$ follow allows the syllable to be treated in verse as if it were naturally long as in i-bat. In insanus the vowel itself is long, $\overline{\mathrm{ee}}$. What vowels were naturally long and short, cannot be completely determined. But we learn from ancient authorities that vowels were long before the combinations $n s, n f$, thus: cōnstāns, īnfēnsus : so also before $g n$ : rēgnum, sīgnum and at least sometimes before $n c, n q$ : quinque, Quin(c)tus, sānctus. Where a $g$ became $c$ before $t, s$ etc., the preceding vowel became long as in lëctus from lĕgo, while from sĕco we have sĕctus. The vowel is frequently long before $r$ and a consonant: Mārcus (Maarcus) Mārs, ōrdo, ōrno. The natural quantity of the vowel was retained when two consonants followed, as in scrīptus from scrībo. See Seelmann Aussprache des Lateins pp. 69 sqq., Marx Hülfsbüchlein fïr die Aussprache der Lat. Vokale in positionslangen Silben.

