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## PREFACE.

THE raison d'etre of this book is to be sought for partly in the fact that the Psalms of Solomon recently formed one of the subjects selected for the Theological Tripos by the Special Board of Theological Studies in the University of Cambridge, and partly in the fact that existing editions and commentaries were in many respects unsatisfactory, and difficult of access. It is hoped that the present edition will meet the needs of English students, at all events until the publication of the long-promised work of Dr Oscar von Gebhardt.

To the Syndics of the University Press we would express our sincere thanks for the publication of our book. We wish it were more worthy of the privilege thus accorded to it.

In respect of our other obligations, we have great pleasure in calling the attention of our readers to the help we have received from Dr Chr. Bruun, Librarian of the Royal Library at Copenhagen, from the Archimandrite Wladimir, of Moscow, and from M. l'Abbé Pierre Batiffol, of Paris. Our obligations to previous writers upon the Psalms of Solomon we have endeavoured to express in our Introduction.

The text of this edition is based on collations of three MSS. unknown to previous editors, together with a fresh collation of the two remaining authorities. Passages in which the LXX. version appears to have suggested words or phrases are printed in uncial type.

The translation aims at being literal.
The notes are intended to be useful to students of a not very advanced type. We venture to hope that the Indices will be found serviceable. The somewhat full Table of Contents is intended to obviate the necessity of an Index Rerum.

A joint Editorship, while productive of most real pleasure, is yet peculiarly favourable to the survival of errors of the press. For such of these as remain uncorrected in the present work we would apologise to our readers.

H. E. RYLE.<br>M. R. JAMES.

## King's College, Cambridge, March, 1891.
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## INTRODUCTION.

## §i. Editions.

The eighteen Psalms contained in this book have already been edited in one form or another some ten times, and to each of these ten editions we propose to devote a few words.

The Editio Princeps appeared in 1626 at Lyons. Its editor was one John Louis de la Cerda, a Spaniard, of the Society of Jesus, born at Toledo cir. 1560 , died at Madrid 1643. His magnum opus was a commentary on Virgil in three folio volumes. The work with which we are concerned is entitled 'Adversaria sacra, opus varium ac veluti fax ad lucem quam multorum locorum utriusque Instrumenti, Patrumque et Scriptorum quorumcunque: Christianae antiquitatis et sacrorum rituum pancarpia: politioris denique literaturae thesaurus multiplex. Accessit eodem autore Psalterii Salomonis ex Graeco MS. codice pervetusto Latina versio et ad Tertulliani librum de pallio commentarius auctior.' The Adversaria are 187 chapters devoted to the discussion and illustration of obscure words occurring in the Vulgate and Latin Fathers. Among Cerda's favourite authors are Tertullian and St Aldhelm.

By way of appendix to this he adds two tracts, one the Psalterium Salomonis, the other Tertullian de Pallio. The latter is presented in an amended text, and is furnished with critical notes. We are only concerned at present with the former.

It is entitled simply 'Psalterium Salomonis.' In a short note 'Ad Lectorem,' Cerda merely says that he received the Psalms from the Rev. Father Andreas Schott, and that they had been recently found 'in membranis antiquissimis Bibliothecae Augustanae.' These words taken by themselves leave it an open question whether Cerda actually had the ms. in his hands or only a copy of it. From some of Cerda's 'Scholia,' however, one would rather gather that he had the ms. before him; see e.g. on ii. 4, iv. 19 ('obscure in meo Graeco Codice'), 21,
v. 16 ('in Codice guem vadi'), vii 9 ('vix permittit Graecum legi') etc. Fabricius, however (p. 973), says that Cerda 'apographum se accepisse profitetur' from Schott. On the further history of the MS , see section iii., p. xxvii.

On the merits of Cerda's edition it may be well to say something. His MS. was either in a damaged condition or had been defectively copred for him, and his conjectures are not often convincing In some few passages his Greek text gives one reading and his Latin version adopts another, because, probably, he had imperfectly revised the former. His view of the book is this:-that if not the work of Solomon, the great objection to such a view being the silence of ages concerning the document, it is at any rate the production of a man well learned in the Scriptures. He rejects the idea that it is a forgery, or that the author was a real Solomon, distinct from the king. His explanation of what he considers it to be is not easy to understand, 'quia induceret illum (Solomonem) loquentem et psallenten, ac parens David fortasse etiam accederet ut ipse auctor diceretur Solomon, nisi id fecisset Nepos episcopus,' etc. Elsewhere he speaks of himseif as kindly inclined to the hypothesis of genume Solomonic orign.

His notes are not very suggestive, he quotes from the classics a good deal, and interprets allusions occasionally. Psalm ii is referred to a king of Babylon. He designedly assimilates his Latin version to the language of the Vulgate, which he has evidently studıed with great care.

The Psalms appeared next in the Codex Psendepigraphus Veteris Tesfamenti of Jo. Alb. Fabricius, Hamburg and Leipsic, 1713, pp. 914-999. Fabricius, whose services to Apocryphal literature can hardly be over-estımated, gives us here merely a reprint of Cerda's text version and scholia, prefixing an extract from Huet (Demonsfr. Eiang. Iv. p. 397), and adding a few notes of his own, Some errors of the press are to be found in his reprint; his conjectures, which are few in number, can only be sustaned, it seems to us, in two cases 〈1v. 2 onustera and xviii. 4 vious). The rest may be seen in our Apparatus Criticus,

The next two appearances of our Psalter were in translations. The first is Whiston's, which is to be found in that curious person's Authentick Records, Vol. 1. p. 117-161. (London, 1727.)

We have here a version made, it seems, more on the authority of Cerda's Latin than on that of the original Greek. Its value is, as nearly as possible, nothngg. But Whiston's theory of the authorship of
the Psalms is so eccentric as to deserve mention. He regards them as the work of a certain Solomon, distinct from the king of Israel, of whom he finds mention made in 4 Esdr. $x .46$, 'and after thirty years Solomon built the city and offered sacrifices' [thirty being here a misreading for three thousand]. Solomon, then, was an otherwise unknown leader who lived during the period of the Persian Captivity, in the days of Artaxerxes Mnemon.

The one service which Whiston has rendered seems to be that of introducing our book to English readers: but we cannot find that his work had much effect. He is also the first (and only) editor who calls attention to the passage from Lactantius (see p. xxiii.).

Geiger (p. 6) cites Fabr. Bibl. Gr. xiv. p. 162, as mentioning a German translation of these Psalms which appeared in 1716 at Leipzig.

Here may be also mentioned the French version inserted in Migne's Dict. des Apocryphes, Vol. i. col. 939-956 (1856). Nothing but a short prefatory note accompanies the translation, which is not particularly faithful.

Dr Akibon (mentioned by Geiger, p. 6) produced a German version in 1857.

All these editors had only Cerda's text to go upon. We now come to a series of editions which aim at presenting an emended text.

First come the two editions of Hilgenfeld, which are practically identical. The first appeared in his Zeitschrift fïr Wissenschaftliche Theologic xi. pp. 133-168 and 356. The second in his Messias Judacorum, Leipzig, 1869, pp. xi.-xviii., 1 - 33 .

His text is based on Cerda, and on Jos. Haupt's collation of the Vienna ms. He introduces also a good many emendations of his own, and some of Dr Paul de Lagarde's. Many of his own conjectures are ingenious, many very wild ; all will be found in our Apparatus Criticus, but not many have been adopted into our text. Those by Lagarde are nearly always interesting, some, e.g. ė $\pi \in v \kappa \pi \eta^{\prime}$ viii. 18, seem to us undoubtedly right. This great scholar is, it may be incidentally mentioned, a believer in a Hebrew original of the Psalms (Hilg. on ii. 23).

Hilgenfeld's views may be shortly summarized here. He places the date shortly after Pompey's death in 48 b.c., and regards the book as an original Greek composition. The writer, he thinks, made use of the Wisdom of Solomon and the 3rd Book of the Sibylline Oracles. The writer of 4 Esdras, on the other hand, made use of the Psalms. Lastly, Hilgenfeld believes them to have been written in Egypt.

The next edition is that of Father Edward Ephraem Geiger, of the Convent of St Stephen at Augsburg. This book appeared in 187 I
at Augsburg. It is an octavo of 168 pages, Der P'salter Salome's horausgeretien und erklurt, etc. (Prolegomena, $\mathrm{\Gamma p}$. I-25. Text and German translation, pp. 28-79. Critical notes, pp. 80-94 Commentary, PP 95-166.) His text is based on the same materials as Hilgenfeld's, but be does not adopt many of H.'s conjectures. He devotes much of his Introdsction and Notes to the consideration of the Hebrew original, in which he is a firm believer. His general view of the date and situation agrees with that of Iilgenfeld and others: on certain questions, such as the relation of Ps. xi. to Daruch, he says little or nothing. On the whole, his book is a valuable and instructive one, and considerable use has been made of it in this edition.

Fritasche, in his Lilort V. T. Pscudcptgraphi selecti (18j1) has presented our book in a most convenient and accessible form (pp. i 21). We have here a Greek text simply, with a short prefatory note. The editor is conscious of Hilgenfeld, but apparently not of Geiger. He uses no more mss than his predecessors. His collation of Cerda is not complete; the conjectures which he adds are not as a rule successful in our judgment, and he often prefers patent error in Hilgenfeld's company to possible correctness along with the mss.

The last edition produced by Hilgenfeld calls for some remark. It is in the form of a translation with critical notes, and is to be found in Hilgenfeld's Ziltstirift fur Wissensihaftiche Theologie for 1871 , pp. 383-488. The immediate occasion for it was the appearance of Gciger's edition, and his strong advocacy of the Hebrew original of the Psalms. Hilgenfeld, disbeleving in this Hebrew original, takes occasion to resew Geiger's arguments in critical notes attached to each Psalm. There is, further, a second set of critical notes, in which Gelgur's readings are reviewed, and new conjectures of Hilgenfeld or of other schohurs are added. This second set of notes is, in our opinion, the most important part of this edition, and the best of the new conjectures are by Maur. Schmidt ; Hilgenfeld gives us no reference to any article or publication in which these conjectures may have been advanced, so that we are left to conclude that they were communicated privately to the editor. These conjectures are three in number:

> i. 1. fira'Axodigetal.
> xvi. 2. vaprây.
> xvii. 38. enkerat for dhetpoen,

All these are remarkable, and it is much to be wished that Mr Schmidt should have occupied nore tume in elucudating the text of our book.

The new conjectures advanced by Higenfeld himself cannot be
said to add much to the value of the work. The best is perhaps ini ópíwv ii. 30, for exi opécuv. Others, not so good, are



xvi. 8. íтокalomevov for úmoxeчtivov.

 here is 'áry' $\lambda^{\prime} \omega v$ ändere ich in à $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ (vgl. 2 Kön. (Sam.) 14, 20).' We have been unable so far to find any trace of a reading aryi $\lambda \omega v$ in either mss. or editions, nor are we able to suggest what meaning could attach to it if it existed. On the other hand the alteration into $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega v$ is an extremely obvious but also a very important one, and is adopted by Wellhausen. It would therefore be very desirable to know the precise history of the reading.

Lastly in his Zeitschrift for 1876, pp. 140-2, Hilgenfeld reviews Wellhausen's translation of the Psalms with the approval it deserves, and takes occasion to defend once more his theory of the Greek original. None of the arguments he adduces in this article seem quite worth repeating.

The translation and notes which Wellhausen has given as an appendix to his book Die Pharisäer und Sadducäer, 1874, Greifswald, form by far the most important contribution to the study of this book which recent years have supplied. Some of Wellhausen's conjectures are exceedingly felicitous; all will be noticed in their proper places. But the great feature of his work is the view which he gives of the historical and religious position of the writer of the Psalms.

He speaks of having made a Hebrew version of the book, but we gather from Professor Robertson Smith, who was kind enough to communicate with him on the subject, that he has not committed it to writing.

The most recent edition of the Psalms is that by the Rev. Bernhard Pick, Ph.D., of Alleghany, Penn., which appeared in the Presbyterian Review for October, 1883, pp. 775-813. The form of this edition is extremely convenient; we first have a short Introduction (taken largely from Hilgenfeld, Geiger and Wellhausen) and a Bibliography taken chiefly from Schürer. Then from pp. 785-8i2 we have the text and English translation of the Psalms in parallel columns. The Apparatus Criticus and text of course depend on the work of previous editors, though the former is by no means complete, and no conjectures are advanced on Dr Pick's own responsibility. But the weak point of the
whole is the translation, and the defects of this seem to emanate partly from an imperfect knowledge of English, and partly from the fact that he sometimes prints one text and translates another. A few instances will serve to show this :
i. 4. sex̂on els filled.
ii. 2. sorewdrous. They have walked up and down in it. 6. by triohum dy in the sight of. 7. ठri dyкarelarev. That He left them. 13. derl mopvê. Because of the prostitutes 15,6 . For all these things my heart mourns 26. They will bring this to an end. 28, iv domayuart like furies, 35 , кourfouy stillıng.
iij. 6. He lookp out, where will come ete,
iv. 2. surpassing in words, surpassing in indolence all. ? insolence. IJ. dis$\lambda$ jinuy of others. 13, succeeded to scatter.
v. 3. After having called to Thee. 6. against thy tiscrimination. Tapd to xptpa rou. 7. wilst (ix, 19), g. we shall not cease.
viii. I. theivons, calling to, 3. great pillar of fire. 7. fut, in txt, pres, in trans. 1 3. away from all kinds of impurity. 85 . \& $k$ \&parey sent upon them. 16. the Ilardstricker. 36. $\mu$ h $^{\text {orvros }} \lambda$ urpoupuivou, irretrievably.
ix. 6. Tüv dolup aov. Thy pious.

xii. 4. ovrdah to bring together.
xwy. 4. Who loved the day in the participation of their sins.
xv. 7. every substance of sinners. 6. as 离 persecuting hunger, is. Eौenop woural, shall be pitied.
xvi. \&. I almost fell into a stupefaction. B, nor of any who is controlled hy unprofitable sın.
xvii. 16. As the heathen do for their idols. 32. He will exalt the Lord excoedragly in all the earth.
xvili. a gift beyond price.
etc. etc

We cannot, in the face of this, affirm that Dr Pick has contributed much that is valuable to the study of these Psalms, though he has undoubtedly done a good work in bringing them before the notice of a fresh circle of students in a convenient and accessible form.

Had Dr Oscar von Gebhardt given his promised edition to the world, there would probally have been neither room nor demand for ours. But it may well be the case that English students will be glad to have an edtion in ther own language, which shall unite as far as possible all the results of critucism on the important document before us. No doult Dr von Gelhardt will have many valuable solutions of critical and histoncal problems to offer: we heartity hope that he may be more successful than we have been in dealing with some of them.

We must now attempt to enumerate the principal notices of the Solomonic Psalms other than separate editions.

The first writer after Cerda who says anything much aboust them
is, we believe, John Eusebius Nieremberg, S. J. In his work De origine $S$. Scripturae, Libri xii. fol. Lyons, 1641, et al. he devotes some space to a notice of our book; lib. Ix. c. 37 (pp. 337-342). He prints Pss. i. and xviii. in Greek and Latin, and Ps. xvii. 23-51 in Latin only. He also gives a list of the headings. He decides against the Solomonic authorship, alleging, exempli gratia, two points from the Psalms he selects. (i) 'The mention of 'persecution' in Ps. i.; (ii) the xporтòs кúplos in Ps. xviii. ; and he adds the mention of a bat-tering-ram in Ps. ii. 1 , and the fact that no father alludes to the book at all.

Of the two next authorities we have not been able to furnish any account from personal inspection. They are (i) Lud. Ferrandus, who makes some mention of the Psalms of Solomon in his commentary on the Psalter, Paris 1683, and (2) G. Janenski, who wrote a special dissertation de Psalterio Solomonis, published under the auspices of J. G. Neumann, Wittenberg, 1687.

Huet (Pet. Dan.), Bp of Avranches, the well-known critic, has a notice of our book in his Demonstratio Evangelica, iv. p. 397, which Fabricius quotes. He attributes it to a Hellenist familiar with the lxx., living not long after our Lord; and he doubts whether any imposture was intended.

Probably other notices might be found in the works of eighteenth century scholars, but the next whom we are able to cite is Ernest Bengel, who, in his posthumous Opuscula Academica, Hamburg, 1834, examines the views of our writer on (1) the future life, p. 178, (2) the Messianic hope, p. 394. He gathers from Pss. ii. and xi. that the date of composition was posterior to the destruction of Jerusalem, and mentions a theory of Bretschneider's that the Psalms, originally written in Hebrew after the Exile, were rendered into Greek after A.D. 70.

An article by Movers in Herder's Kirchenlexicon, 1847, s.v. Apokryphen (revised by Kaulen for the recent edition by Wetzer and Welt), marks an epoch in the history of the criticism of our book. Movers is the first to assign the period of Pompey's invasion as the date of it, and, further, he believes in the Hebrew original. He speaks of the book as a pearl among Apocryphal documents.

Ewald in his History of Israel (followed by Stanley, Jeruish Church, iv. 303), assigns the book to the time of Antiochus Epiphanes. Elsewhere he suggests the probability that some of the Psalms, e.g. i. and ii., are wrongly separated, and offers at least one conjecture on the text (xvii. 13).

Grimm (C. L. W.), in his Erste Buch der Maccabäer, p. xxvii.,
agrees in this view of the date, and mentions with favout the idea of a Hebrew original.

Hitzig, Gesch. d. Volkes Tsrael, p. 502, attributes the book to a Sadducaean author. Among his reasons for adopting this singular position are these, (1) that the description of the menpleasers in Ps. iv. resembles that of the Pharisees in the Gospels, (2) that the doctrine of freewill expressed in Ps. ix. 7 is Sadducaean, (3) that the Davidic. Messiah of Ps. xvii. owes his revival to the existence of the Asmonean house.

Geiger (Abr.) in the Jüd. Zeitschr. f. Wissensch. u. Leber, v1. fasc. mi. 240,1868 , quoted by Hilgenfeld, calls the book a colourless production of the controversy between Pharisees and Sadducees-colourless, in the sense that it is difficult to date precisely.

Langen, fudcuthum im Zeit Chisisti, p. 64, devotes some space to enunciating views which are practically those of Movers, and opposes Ewald's position.

Delitzsch, on the Psalter, II. $3^{81}$, and Keim, Jesu z. Nasara, 1. 243, name the relgn of Herod the Great, or the time of his accession, as the probable date.

Dillmann, in Herzog's Realengklopudie, s.v. Pseudefigraphen d. $A$. T., has a notice on the subject. Since the publication of the first edition this critic has altered his views. At that time he supported Ewald's theory of the date. In the more recent editions he adopts Wellhausen's results. Ochler in the same work, s.y. Dtessias, has a short notice.

Carriére (Aug.) has written a special dissertation in Latin, Strasburg, 1870. We have not been able to see a copy of it.

Vernes (Maurice) in his Histotre des Idies Messianiques, 1874, 121 ${ }^{130}$, discusses the Look at length with reference to his main subject, the doctrine of the Messiah. We are indebted to him for some few references.

Gratz, Gesch. d. Jud., int. 48 g , assigned the l'salms to a Christian author, on the very slghtest grounds. In the latest editions the statement is omitted.

Drummond, The Messiah, 1877, 133-142. This book gives a useful summary of previous critucisms, and a statement of the author's own view, which agrees for the most part with that of Movers, etc.

Stanton (Professor V. H.), The Jewish and Christian Messiah, Cambridge, 1884 , has a short notice: he does not attempt to determine the date of the Greek version.

Schurer, Palesture in the tame of our Lord, Eng. Trans., 1t1. 17, gives
by far the best and fullest account that has yet appeared of the book, its mss. and editions. He gives a list of references to authors who have written on the subject: of these, most have been noticed in the preceding pages; a few remain whom we have not been able to consult.

Dr Edersheim (Life and Times of Fesus the Messiah, 1. p. 79, 146) gives an appreciation of the book, and contributes a suggestion that the successive Psalms should be read in connection with the correspondingly numbered Psalms in the Davidic Psalter.

Holtzmann (Oscar), (Gesch. d. Volkes Israels) in part 153 of the Berlin Allgemeine Geschichte, edited by W. Oncken, pp. 448-56, gives an excellent resume of the character and contents of the Psalms. He adopts the standpoint of Wellhausen, and quotes copiously from his translation.

A Montauban programme by M. Jules Girbal (Toulouse, 1887) seems intended to prepare the way for a new French edition of these Psalms, but offers little that is new towards the understanding of them.

## § ii. History of the book.

The history of the Psalms before us, so far as it is to be gathered from early criticisms, 'testimonies,' or quotations, is very short and scanty indeed. Of passages where direct and undoubted mention is made of the collection, we have but six in all, and four of these are mere lists of books, while the two others form practically but a single one, for they are couched in identical words. Of passages where the reference is doubtful, or only by implication, we have three. It will be as well, we think, to put together these passages at once, and see what information we may fairly deduce from them. We will divide them into two classes, those whose reference is clear and unmistakable being placed in the first, those of less certain import in the second.

First come three well-known catalogues of Canonical and Uncanonical books, that called by the name of Athanasius (Synopsis S. Scripturae), that of Nicephorus (A.d. 806-814), and that which may be conveniently cited as the list of the Sixty Books.

Credner (Zur Geschichte des Kanons) investigates the relations of these first two lists. He concludes that the one attributed to Nicephorus is really the earlier, and originated in Syria in 500 A.D., and that the Athanasian one, whatever its date, is an abridged form of this (omitting the $\sigma$ rixol), and is of Alexandrine origin.

Schürer, Vol. 111., p. 123, also gives the text of the lists, and a similar estimate of their relations one to another. With reference to
the 3rd, he adits that it is for the most part a rearrangement of that of Nicephorus. Each has one item peculiar to itself,

Credner's conclusions are traversed by Zahn, with his usual aljility (Gesch. d, Neutest. Kanons 11. i. p. 295, etc.). According to him, Nicephorus's list is a document reduced to its present form at Jerusalem cir. 850 , white the Synopsis was compiled in the sixth century.
(1) 'Athanasius' (T'. IL., P. 154 of the Paduan edition) in 874 of the Synopsis, following on an analysis of the Apocalypse, gives a list which is the prototype of that of Nicephorus.

He enunterates (for the second time) certain civcideүópeva of the O. T., viz. Wisdom, Ecelesiasticus, Esther, Judith and Tobit, and adds


Птодецаікка́.


## ELoóárya.

(2) Nicephorus (Patriarch of Constantinople A.D. 806-814) rearranges this list, and adds the number of orixo to each item. He also omits one, the $\Pi_{\text {rod }}$ 品cuú (which, as Credner suggests, perhaps 3 Macc. Zahn would read moגєرцкк, and connect the word with Maxkaßaüкá).

The entry in his Stichometry is as follows ( v . Westcott On the Canon of the $N . T$., no. xix., App.):


1. 3 books of Maccabees.
2. Wisdom of Solomon. (Here one ms. inserts no. 4.)
3. Ecclesiasticus.

4. Esther.
5. Judith.
6. Susanna.
7. Tobit.
(3) The list of the 'Sixty Books,' which is found appended to Anıstasius Smaita's Qute stoones ef Responsiones, has been often pronted; from a Royal ms, at Paris by Coteler, Patr. Apost. 1. p. 196, from a Coislin ms. by Montfaucon, Bith. Coisl., p. 194, from the Baroccian ms. no. 206 in Hody de Bibliorum Textibus, and Westcott On the Canom of the N. T. App. no. xvil, from a Vatican ms. by Pitra Juris Eccl. hist. et mon. \&. 100, and lastly by Zahn, L. c. p. 289. It contains an appendix to the canonical books in two sections. (1) ひ̈ad itw TÂy $\xi{ }^{\prime}$, which consists of nine Deaterocanonical books. (2) öَu aंँóxрифа, twenty-five psendepisrapha of Old and New 'restanent arranged in an order partly corresjonding to the dates of the suppuosed authors.
 ＇H ${ }^{\text {iov }} \mathbf{0}$ dंтокádıұıs．This list is closely related to that of Nicephorus．
（4）Next in order comes the well－known catalogue of the contents of the Alexandrine ms．Here our book appears in the following con－ nection ：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { àmoкá入vұıs'Twávvov }
\end{aligned}
$$

We may note here that it seems possible that the Sinaitic ms $(\mathbb{N})$ originally contained our book on six leaves now lost at the end． Such is Mr Rendel Harris＇s conjecture．
（5）The Lixth canon of the Council of Laodicea（c． 360 a．d．）pro－

 this Joannes Zonaras（in rir8）and Theodorus Balsamon（about 70 years later）have the following note（see Beveridge＇s Synodicum，i．p．


 ти̂ ìкк入चбią סıetágavтo．Balsamon merely copies Zonaras，as is his wont．

These are all the undoubted references to our book which the united industry of previous editors has been able to collect，and we are unable to add anything to them．
（6）The second class of references is headed by the evidence of the book＇Pistis Sophia＇（composed in Greek in Egypt during the period 200－250 A．D．，and extant in the Thebaic dialect）．This evidence must be examined in detail later on ；at present it may suffice to say that wioai of Solomon are mentioned in four places，and in one passage （p． 75 of the Latin， 116 of the Thebaic）a nineteenth ode of Solomon is cited．
（7）Ambrose，Praef．in Lib．Psalmorum（quoted by Geiger），＇seems to show a consciousness of uncanonical poems attributed to Solomon，＇ where he says，＇Salomo ipse David filius licet innumera cantica cecinisse dicatur，unum tamen quod ecclesia receperit canticorum can－ ticum dereliquit．＇
（8）Lactantius，Div．Inst．iv．12，Epit．Div．Inst．c．xliv．，has the following passage ：＇Salomon ita dicit ；Infirmatus est uterus Virginis，

## xxiv

et accepit foetum, et gravata est, et facta est in multa miseratione mater V'irgo.' In the Epitome the same words occur thus introduced, 'Apud Salomonem ita scriptum est.' So the passage runs in the ordinary text, but several mss. add the source of the citation. In the Paris edition (Le Brun and Du Fresnoy) of 1748 we find the foliowing note, 'Inter caeteros alin addunt in Ode underitgesima; alin in Psalmo under'gessimo: duo in Psalmo r'gresimo.' Whether these words should stand in the text or not, they are all-important for our purpose. The fluctuation between Psalmus and Ode seems to point to the fact that different scribes added the reference from their own knowledge of the source quoted, and by consequence, to show that the words are a gloss. As to the conclusions to be drawn from the whole passage, they will be best reserved till a later period. Whiston (Au/h. Rec. I. 155) is the first and only editor who called attention to this passage.
(9) That Viglantius, the adversary of Jerome, who made use of the 4 th Book of Esdras, made use likewise of an Apocryph under the name of Solomon seems certain from the following words of Jerome adz'. V'grlant. (quoted by Geiger) : ' in commentariolo tho quasi pro te faciens de Sulomone sumis testimonium quod Salomon omnino non scripsit, ut qui habes alterum Esdrain habcas et Salomonem alterum.'

That this 'second Solomon' is to be identified with the book used by Lactantius is at any rate not unlikely. More than this it would hardly be safe to say.

These are all the Patristic references, certain or supposed, to the Psalms of Solomon; what may fairiy be adduced from them?

Generally, we may gather that the book attained only a very limited circulation. This is a necessary conclusion from the paucity of Patristic references. On the other hand, where it was read, it seems to have been read with respect. It is the solitary instance of an Old Testament book, which from being merely àvrikejúperov became àmó кpugor. It is the one book which the scribe of A thought fit to add to the Canon. It is not, we think, possible to draw any instructive conclusion as to the Churches which recelved it most frcely. Our evidence is fairly well scattered: one authority is Egyptian (perhaps two), one Syrian, three Latin, one Byzantine. But if Zonaras is right in his guess that the council of Laodicea had the Psalms of Solomon an their minds when they forbat the use of inturikui qainoí ill church, we should gain a striking proof of their popularity in Asia in the ivth century We beleeve, however, that Zonaras only instances our book because it was the one uncanonical collection of Psalms known to him.

Going more into detall, we gasher that the book was existing about
A.D. 500 in two forms. There was, first, the collection now extant, the eighteen 'Psalms of Solomon.' There was also, however, an Appendix
 length, it may be. It is true that the latest editions of the lists of Ps.Athanasius and Nicephorus read $\psi a \lambda \mu o i ̀ ~ к a i ~ \varphi ं \delta ̀ े ~ \Sigma, ~ b u t ~ a g a i n s t ~ t h i s ~ w e ~$ have to set the earlier evidence of the Pistis Sophia, which uniformly speaks of the 'Odes of Solomon.' This appendix of Odes was, if not entirely Christian, at any rate interpolated with distinctively Christian matter. We hope to show, later on, that some of the Odes in the Pistis Sophia are, in fact, not necessarily Christian at all; but the passage which Lactantius quotes is unmistakable in its character. It is a curious coincidence that another fragment of the same Ode should be quoted in the Pistis Sophia : in that fragment the Christian element is not so apparent.

The Odes, whatever their origin, were most likely a later addition to the eighteen Psalms. Why were they added? Partly, no doubt, on the strength of the 1005 odes mentioned in the Book of Kings; but also, as we believe, because the original collection was obviously imperfect at the end. We venture to suggest that a possible history of the collection is the following. The original collection, of at least nineteen complete Psalms, and perhaps more, is circulated during the first century in Palestine. With the destruction of Jerusalem it narrowly escapes extinction, and is eventually propagated by the Christian community of Palestine, from an archetype of which the last leaf (or leaves) had disappeared. By way of restoring, or supplementing the gap, certain Odes are added, either Jewish ones already in circulation as detached pieces, or Christian ones composed for the purpose, and into the 2nd part Christian interpolations are introduced to an extent not now discoverable. However, copies of the original eighteen Psalms are still in circulation without the added Odes, and it is from these copies that our present text is derived. The scribe of $A$, and probably the author of the 3rd List of Books, was in possession of the shorter collection : Nicephorus, Lactantius, and the author of the Pistis Sophia, used the longer one. This is, of course, mere conjecture, and it may be urged that one feature in the particular is not probable, namely, the idea that the copies of the book had at one time all disappeared save one, and that a mutilated one. We should answer that it is most improbable that many copies of the Greek Version of this book were in existence before A.D. 70. The Psalms, according to most critics, were written in Hebrew for liturgical use. They probably would not be so used save in the near neighbourhood of Jerusalem, and in the city
itself, and the majority of men who knew them at all would not requare any Greek version of them. Hence the Greek copies would be few in number, and probably not dispersed over at all a wide area; for the Psalms are strongly Palestinian in character, and would not possess nearly the same amount of interest for a resident at Rome or Alexandria as for one living at or near the centre of political and religious life.

As to the length of the additions to the Psalms, we have only two facts to go upon. Nicephorus gives the length of Psalms and Odes together as 2100 orixoh The mss. of the Psalms say that they contain 1000 "n7. It has been suggested by previous editors, that the entos is much longer than the orixos, and therefore that the 2100 orixor might be amply accounted for by the eighteen Psalms plus the five Odes. Any such hypothesis is, however, pat out of court by the investigations of M. Ch. Graux (see his article in the Retue de Philologie, Erc. n. s. . 1. 97), who has shown that orixos and ${ }^{\text {indos }}$ are synonymous terms for a fixed quantity, and that the $\sigma$ rixos had an unform length of 34 to $3^{8}$ letters. According to him, the stichometry of our book is corrupt. The 18 Psalms contain nearly 24,000 letters; and this means that they wosld yield about 700 arixon. But though the number 1000 , given by our MsS., may be wrong for our fresent text, it does not follow that it was necessarily wrong when the calculation was made. It may refer to a shghtly longer recension than we possess-one, for example, in which the last Psalm existed in a complete form. Still less can we conclude that the stuchometry of Nicephorus is incorrect in allotung 2100 orixou to the Psalms and Oites of Solomon. This much is clear; that the five Odes in the Pistis Sophaz would not nearly make up the difference between 1000 and 2100 lines. Besides this, we must remember that there is nothing to show that the five Odes were all that existed under Solomon's name,-indeed, the evidence points in the other direction. We believe then that the added portion was cuite twice as long as our present collection, and it is much to be wished that some further remains of it should be recovered. The number of orixot attributed to the whole by Nicephorus gives us a look of the same length as Joshua. The canonical Psalms, accordng to the same authority, contain 5100 arixan

A word as to possible versions of our book in other languages than Greek. We cannot assume the existence of a Thebaic version from the evilence of the I'istis Sophia, for the whole of that book may be a translation from Greek. It will have Leen noticed, however, that three of the authorities quoted above are Latin writers, and, in partucular, the reference suppled ly the mss. of Lactantius may very farly be taken as
indicating that an old Latin version existed at one time. This is, on other accounts, probable enough : it seems likely from Priscillian's tracts, the Gelasian Decree and other lists, that very obscure apocryphal books were well known in the West. No one would have suspected the existence of a Latin Book of Jubilees or Assumption of Moses; yet the Milan palimpsest established their existence. We are not aware that a trace of any other version-Ethiopic, Syriac or Armenian-has ever been pointed out.

## § iii. The MSS.

There are at present four mss. of the Psalms of Solomon known to exist, and of one, which is lost, we possess a printed edition. Two of these mss. were first noticed by Dr Oscar von Gebhardt, and one by that excellent palaeographer, the late M. Charles Graux. The present edition is the first in which all five authorities have been used.

We proceed to describe the mss.
I. A. Augustanus. This ms., from which the Psalms were first printed by J. L. de la Cerda, was in his time preserved in the Public Library at Augsburg. We first hear of it in a letter from Andreas Schott to Johannes Meursius written in 1615 (Meursii opera, ed. J. Lamy, xı. p. 249). Schott says: 'Hoeschelius Graece pollicetur editurum se Cyrilli Alexandrini adversus Julianum mapaßátøv libros; nactum se quoque Salomonis exemplar vetustissimum Constantinopoli adlatum, in quo psalmi xviii Salomonis, hactenus àéxסotoc et invisi.' Cerda, in his prefatory note to the Psalms, does not tell us even so much as this about the ms. He says: ' Misit adhuc Reuerentissimus Pater Andreas Schottus Societatis nostrae hos Psalmos Salomonis recens in membranis antiquissimis Bibliothecae Augustanae repertos, Graece solum manu scriptos.' Fabricius says that Cerda professes only to have received a transcript of the ms., but the latter's notes led one to believe that Schott sent him the ms. itself (see p. xiii.). No one has ever seen it since, though Hilgenfeld and Geiger both made enquiries after it. From these extremely meagre accounts we gather that the ms. was a parchment one, of considerable age (the 'vetustissimus' can hardly be pressed), and that it contained some of the other Sapiential books (Schott speaks of it as 'Salomonis exemplar'): from Cerda's notes we gather further that it was difficult to read or damaged in some places; 'obscure scriptum ut legere nequirem' and similar expressions occur with some frequency.

If Cerda's reprint is to be trusted, the Augsburg ms. cannot be identified with any of those now known. But on this matter see below.
2. V. Vindobonensis. This MS., which was first used by Hilgenfeld for the text of the Psalms, is mentioned first by Petrus Lambecius in his Commentarius de Bibl. Caes. Vindob. Inl. p. 20 ; next by Nessel in his catalogue, p. 33. Fabricius (p. 973) notices its existence. Hilgenfeld obtained a collation and description of it from Jos. Haupt (Miss. Jud. p. xiii.).

It is numbered as Cod. Gr. Theol. 7 ( 1 I in Nessel), a folio measuring $13 \frac{1}{2}$ by $10 \frac{1}{2}$ Vienna inches, of the xth century, written in double columns of 26 lines, $7 \frac{1}{2}$ Vienna inches high, in one hand, written in semi uncials. The ink has faded somewhat, eren the rubricated titles and initials of the Psalms. The margins of the pages are prepared for Scholia.

The contents of the ms, are as follows:

| Job, w | with a catena | f. 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proverbs | " $\quad$ | 34. |
| Ecclesiastes | " | 61. |
| Song of Solomon | " | 77. |
| Wisdom, without | a catena | 86. |
|  |  | 1056. |
| Ecclesiasticus |  | 118-566. |

Twenty-two leaves are lost between ff. 33-34, The ms, was bought by Augier de Busbecq at Constantinople cir. ${ }^{5} 570$. Lambecius, in a marginal note, mentions Cerda's work, and recommends the collation of this copy.

We depend on Hilgenfeld's edition for our knowledge of this Ms.
[Since the above was written, we have obtained a full collation of V made by Dr Rudolf Beer, which shows that Haupt's was most inaccurate, and materially changes our estimate of the ms.]
3. K. Havniensis. This ms., now preserved in the Royal Library at Copenbagen, was first noticed by M. Charles Graux, who, in the Revue Critigue for 1877, p. 291-3, in a review of Dr Chr. Bruun's Aarsherdiningen og Meddeldser fra det Store Kourgelige Bibliothck, It isi. 1877, describes the Ms. bricfly and gives a few specimens of its readings. He subseŗuently gave a fuller rescription in his Nofices sommaires des MISS. gress de la Grande Fibliollidue Royale de Copenhague. Paris, 1879, pp. 1-4. From these sources we gather the following facts.

The Ms. is no. 6 of the old Royal collection. It was bought at Venice in 1699 by Frederick Rostgaard, along with most of the other Creek mas at Copenhagen. In ip2t, Count I anneskjold lought his
collection, and in 1732 most of it passed into the Royal Library. This volume consists of quires 11 - 39 of a xth century ms. in folio, written in double columns, with scholia, in a very beautiful hand. It contains:

Job, with a catena.
Proverbs,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Ecclesiastes, } \\ \text { Song of Songs, }\end{array}\right\}$ with Scholia.
Wisdom,

At fol. 84 is a very fine full-page painting representing Solomon enthroned. A facsimile of this may be seen in M. Bruun's work referred to above. Graux also gives two pages of the Psalms in facsimile. The liberality of the authorities at Copenhagen permitted this precious volume to be sent to the Cambridge University Library in the summer of 1888 , and here Professor Ryle collated it for the purposes of this edition. To Dr Bruun in particular, for his great services to us in this matter, we beg to offer a sincere expression of our gratitude.
4. M. Mosquensis. This ms. was discovered by Dr Oscar von Gebhardt in 1879. The discovery is announced in an article by Dr Harnack in the Theologische Litteratur-Zeitung for 1877, p. 627. No description of the ms. is there given.

We owe our knowledge of this ms. to the very great kindness of the
 кai Xaproфúda $\xi$, to whom we desire hereby to render our warmest thanks. On being asked to furnish us with a collation of the ms. he at once sent a transcript of the entire text, made by himself, and a description of the ms. which we here subjoin. Such signal kindness as this deserves a better recognition than we can give.

Mosquensis Sanctissimae Synodi Bibliothecae Graecae Codex N 147 , membranaceus, sec. xiii. fol. 225 (longitudo $13 \frac{3}{4}$ digitos, latitudo in d.), duabus et tribus columnis paginae scriptae sunt, celere charactere. Tituli librorum et litterae initiales minio scripti sunt. Mosquam hic Codex translatus est ex monasterio Iberorum in Monte Atho, ab Arsenio Suchanow anno Christi 1653. Huic Codici in principio ad-
 'I $\omega \dot{\beta} \beta$; habet 33 capita cum catena variorum patrum: Chrysostomi, Dion. Areopagitae, Basilii Magni, Greg. Naz., Olympiodori, Theod. Mops., Polychronii, Didymi, Apolinarii, Origenis, Juliani, Theoph. Alex., et Cyrilli, Severi Antioch., Methodii, et Evagrii. Initium catenae,

 Zavxaiuv riparvos．玉uфàp ó Mıvaíwv ßaciAès．Ultimum scholium


 Dionys．，Cyr．，et Marcus．（3）\＆126—142，ikk $\lambda \eta \sigma t a \sigma \operatorname{rj}^{\prime} s$ cum scholiis
 （4）f． $142-150^{\text {² A A }}$ phius legi nequit，atramentum enims expalluit．（5）f． 15 t － 168 Soфiu Eodopüytos sine scholis．（6）hic in f．I68－ 179 leguntur xviii Psalmi et Odae qui［ab］aliis tribuuntur Salomoni，sine titulis，sine divisione in capita et carmina，et sine scholiis．（7）f．179－225 Zロфía＇Iךのoû vioû



5．P．Parisiensis．This ms，was also first noticed by $\operatorname{Dr}$ O．v． Gebhardt，and the discovery announced in Dr Harnack＇s article referred to above．In the case of this MS．also we have to acknowledge a debt of gratitude to a sclolar who has been kind enough to devote time and thought to furnishing us with a full collation of the text．The Rev． Pierre Batiffol，well known as the discoverer of Codex $\Phi$（Beratinus）， and as the editor of the Nicene Canons，and of Etudes Patristicues，etc．， has most gemerously furnished us with a collation of the ms．in question ： and it is with the greatest pleasure that we place on record this instance of his courtesy，and offer him our sincerest acknowledgments．In September of this year（ 5890 ）Mr James was able to make a brief inspection of the ms．and to glean a few additional particulars of it．

The ms．is no．2991A in the National Library at Paris．It is a paper book written in $\$ 419$ in＇petit format，＇of 495 leaves．The con－ tents are very miscellaneous，but fall for the most past into well defined groups．

## I．

a．First comes Isocratis oratio ad Demonicum．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．f． 2
Oratorum nomina，etc．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．is $\frac{1}{}$
Aesopi fabulae aliquot ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 12
b．Next two Byzantine tracts．
Mich．Attaliotae promptuarium juris，imperfect．．． 14
Georg．Codini de officis aulae CP．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． $6 s$
c．Then Letters of Basil to Gregory Naz．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 135
Letters of Libanits and Basil ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 43
d．Niceph．Gregoras．two＇monodiae＇and some letters $\quad 154$
c．Speeches and excerpts from Josephus，including the Hip－ polytean fragment $\pi \in \rho i$ тov̂ ravтós ..... 173
II．
f．Wisdom of Solomon ..... 195
Psalms of Solomon ..... 224 b
Ecclesiasticus ..... 244
g．Physiognomic signs of character ..... 320 b
h．Prayer by Matthew of Philadelphia ..... 324 b
i．The Emperor Basil＇s exhortations to his son ..... 334
Gymnosophistarum responsiones ..... 360 b
Secundus the Pythagorean，his answer to Hadrian ..... $.363 b$
On the 8 deadly sins ..... 366
Dionysii Catonis sententiae ..... $366 b$
vii sapientium apophthegmata ..... 371 b
k．A group of chronological lists ..... 372－381
2．A Byzantine miscellany of letters and verses ..... 381 b－427
m．An ethical miscellany ..... 427 b－447
III．
n．Satires and letters of Mazaris and Manuel Holobolus 448－495
Groups $f$ to $m$ are all in one and the same hand ：the colophon on$f 446 b$ states that the book was written at the expense rov mavev－yevєбтáтov кvpov̂ Mat日aiov Пa入aıo入ójov тov̂ $\Lambda a \sigma \kappa a ́ \rho l . ~$

These are all the mss．known at present．That more may be dis－ covered，even in European libraries，is by no means an impossibility．

We have now to investigate the relations of these allthorities to one another．The most practical way of doing this will be to tabulate the readings peculiar to each．Two points－the numeration and titles of the Psalms，－we reserve for a subsequent period．

The following are the principal readings peculiar to A（excluding probable misprints in Cerda＇s book）．
i．8．duapriau for dvoplat，very likely repeated by Cerda from the line before．On ii． 4 see notes．
iv．4．om．ol．
v．15．סetepúvy for סevt．，but ？misread．
vi．5．$\sigma a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\sigma a ̈ \lambda \omega \nu$ ．


xi．9．om．$̇ v \nu \delta \nu \mu a \tau \_$－＇I $\rho \rho a \eta \lambda$ ．
xii．4．om．$\chi \in \lambda_{\eta} \eta-\phi \circ \beta o v \mu \hat{\ell} \nu \omega \nu$ ．

J．P．

$$
i
$$



xviil. 5. duapoliay for dua0iay, ? mistake of Cerda's.
Another class of peculiar readings we regard as most probably misreadings of Cerda's.
ii. 15, sivac.
iii. g. wáh ${ }^{2}$.
 $\lambda$ Apluv for tred enplur.

vi. 9. eidorititw for ofs.



xi. 6. ठроноl for $\delta \rho y \mu a l$.


xvii. 12. «vpelq for mopelq.

There is a 3 rd class of obvious misprints which are very numerous. We will instance a few.
i. 6. dyaroît.

viii. 33. depouara $\lambda \boldsymbol{f 1}$, etc.
ix. 17. ż $\rho$ étione for -w or -as ('elegisti')。

Eight out of seventeen readings in the first class consist in omissions, and consequently there is a possibility that Cerdn, who does not seems to have spent a very long time over his work, may be responsible for them. It does not seem to us that he was at all a skilled palaeographer, and certainly he did not keep his printer at all well in hand: so that a certain doubt hangs over almost every reading peculiar to A. In a note on vi. 7 Cerda says he has made several tacit corrections: 'restituí...ut et alia levia quae omitto.'

Next, of readings peculiar to V. The following are given by Hilg.


$x$. 9. eivppoodmp for $\sigma \omega \phi p$. [The ms, has $\sigma \omega \phi \rho$.]

xvil. 9. pevos for -our. [The usp has pevous.]
It will be seen that every one of these is discredited by the new collation.

See further the additional note.
The readings in which K stands alnne amount to no more than two. xi. 9. om, toे theor. xvii. 9. fiver. and we think that these may be oversights in collation.

Readings peculiar to $P$.
iv. 17. droplats for the and dropla.
viii. 34. eגalov for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon 0 v}$ (an itacism).
xi. 6. Éбкlpтnoav for érkla
xii. 2. $\quad \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \psi$ for ${ }^{2} \lambda \psi$.


Readings peculiar to M.

iii. 7. rapd $\theta$ ধìv $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s($ mistake).

xiv. 3. גv $\theta$ рárou for oùpavov. \} ouvov misread.
xvi. 9. $\phi 6 \beta \boldsymbol{\text { for }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau}$.

34. $\phi$ fportas? (obscurely written in the copy).

The above lists will be found, we believe, to contain all the important readings (diversities in titles and numerations excepted) which are peculiar to each ms. The following lists will show what combinations of mss. are most common.

First we will take the group AV. They agree in the following readings :
iii. II. om. $\mu \eta$ rpobs.
v. 7. $\epsilon t$ for $\epsilon$ t.
ix. 17. om. rapd.

Other groups of two into which A enters:
A, K. ii. 25. Єォaukav for $\mathfrak{e v \ell \pi a c \xi a \nu . ~}$


A, M. xvii. 26. à $\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ for -ous.
Groups of two into which $V$ enters.
$\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}$. xvii. 23. otbes for as. 27. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \in \mathrm{C} \lambda \hat{\eta}$.
V, P. nil.
V, M. xiv. I. $̇ \nu \nu \delta \mu \varphi$.
Groups of two into which $K$ enters.
A, K, V, K, see above. K, P, K, M, nil.
Groups of two into which $P$ enters.
A, P, V, P, K, P, see above.
P, M. ii. 20. The lines of the verse are transposed. 24. Enararin.
v. I. Tò öroud rov (for dative).
xi. 8. dra0d for -bv.
xii. 4. ăd какติ้ for ảкák.

As a result of this investigation certain facts come forward prominently at once.

1. That A, V are very closely connected.
2. That $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}$ wsually agree against them.
3. That $P, M$ are very closely connected.

In other words, we arrive at a provicional genealogy of this form


Can we define the relationships more precisely than this? A theory has occurred to us which we are on the whole not inclined to accept, but which is worth stating, because the statement of the pros and cons of it may save others from the trouble of formulating it in the future. It is that A and V are one and the same Ms. From Augshurg to Vienna is no very far cry, though we are not at present allle to trace any transference of uss. from one to the other. But that is a minor point. What is important in favour of the idea is (a) the character of A's peculiar readings, $(\beta)$ the paucity of V 's peculiat readings, $(\gamma)$ the number and character of those in which A and V agree.

As to (a) it has already been remarked that by far the most important of those given above consist in omissions, and we repeat the suggestion made there, that Cerda does not inspire sufficient confidence as an editor, to free us from the suspicion that he is to blame for these omissions.

As to ( $\beta$ ) we have only given five readings peculine to V , and one of them, which if correctly given would close the question, is inferred ex silento. There are three readings of A's which if confirmed would break the theory down at once. $\sigma a \lambda \hat{\omega}$ (specially noticed by Cerda), катанєтрíध and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ( $x$ vii. 26). Of the first of these we can say nothing in face of Cerda's statement, but of the others it may be said that $\tau \rho$ is very easily read for $\rho$ in some hands, and that á aptwiĉv may represent a wrongly expanded abbreviation.

In every one of the other cases we might suspect a misreading.
But there is another branch of evidence not yet alluded to, which is less easily set aside, namely; the titles and numbering of the Psalms. The following table gives a conspectus of the ms. evidence on this point.

v
$\mathrm{K}\}$ omut.
M omits all numbers and titles, save in the ense of Ps. iii.

V K bave the numeral on the left, rather higher up,

V K P omit $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.
M has the title but omits $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$.
 V P the same, but $\Gamma^{\nu}$ for $\delta^{\prime}$.
$\mathbf{K}, \bar{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\psi} . \boldsymbol{r} \mathbf{\Sigma}$.
v. A, $\psi$. т. $\mathbf{\Sigma} . \epsilon^{\prime}$.
$\mathbf{V K P}, \overline{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.
 VK P, $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\text { è }} \boldsymbol{\lambda \pi}$. т $\hat{\varphi} \mathbf{\Sigma}$.
vii. A, Ч. т. 玉. غं $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s \zeta^{\prime}$.

viii. A, $\psi . \tau_{\text {. }}$ E. els vikas $\eta^{\prime}$.

ix. A, భ. т. $\Sigma$. els Enerxon $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}$. V K P, $\overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ т. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} . \epsilon$ दls $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

V K prefix $\iota^{\circ}$. $\mathbf{P}$ has the same as $\mathbf{A}$.
 VK P prefix IA' $^{\prime}$.
 V K prefix $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.
 V K P, $\overline{\mathrm{I} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \boldsymbol{\tau \boldsymbol { \psi }} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} . \boldsymbol{\psi}$. etc.
xiv. A, $\delta \mu \nu 0 \tau_{\tau} \tau \mathcal{\Sigma}$. $\iota \delta^{\prime}$. A.

 V K prefix $\iota \epsilon^{\prime}$.
xvi. A, $\psi$. т. $\Sigma$. els ávrl $\lambda_{\eta \psi \iota v ~}^{\iota} 5^{\prime}$. VK P prefix $\mathbf{~}^{\text {T }}$.
 V K P as xvi.
 V K as Xv.

Now from this table certain curious points arise. First as to titles. Psalm i. has no title but in A. Ps. iii. is the only one to which M gives a title at all.

Next as to numeration. $V, K$ and $P$ agree in numbering certain Psalms wrongly, thus. They omit the number of Ps. iii., and call Ps. iv., iii. instead. Then, according to them, Ps. v. = iv., Ps. vi. = v., Ps. vii. $=$ vi., Ps. viii. = vii. They omit the number viii. altogether, and
give $P_{s}$. $1 x$. its correct number. The only other difference is that they consistently prefix the number to the title, instead of affixing it. In this last matter the scribe of $P$ is influenced by the breadth of his margin.

We are inclined to attribute a considerable number of these differences to Cerda's editorship. When we see Fabricius consistently altering the position of the numeral and reading $\psi . \tau . \Sigma$. $\beta$ ' $\pi$ крi 'lepovaadiju and so on, and twice inserting a $\psi a \lambda_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ which he did not find in Cerda, we see how little cditors of two centuries back thought of alterations which we now consider inexcusable. And it is, we thnk, most likely that Cerda took great liberties in this particular. To begin with, he calls the collection by a name which no other ms. or authority
 name he may very well have invented, as well as the title of the ist Psalm, which is as obvious as it could well be. It is also most probable that, if A had the wrong numbering just noticed in V and K , Curda would have corrected it without saying anything about it, and that he would have altered the positon of the numerals. Again, it is by no means out of the question that he should have sometimes mserted $\Psi a \lambda \mu o{ }^{\prime}$, where V and K omit it (as in vi. and viii.), and sometimes omatted to insert it (as in xi. and xii.). In Ps. viin. again, vixas of $A$ is very likely to be a misreading for vinos of VK.

The above paragraphs were written before we had found nicans to oltain a fresh cullation of V , or indeed, had had reason to believe that such a thing was really needed. Had we procured it earlier, it is doubeful whether he should have laid such stress on the possibulity of identifying $A$ with $V$. Readings that had befure seemed striking evidences of aftinity (e.g. the omission of oiparov in xiv. 3, and of eics in xvii. 13, and such concidences as aid $\eta \theta$ éé in xvin. 20) now prove to be simply mistakes in Haupt's collation, we had collected from Hilgen. fuld's notes seventeen such instances of agreement between A and V asfainst the other mss.; and of these seventeen, thirteen are entirely set aside by the new evidence. In spite of this we have preferred to let the discussion stand. The tabulation of the titles is complete, and may prove useful ; and the whole section, if it serves no other purpose, toay at least prove an effective warning against the unquestioning employment of any old collation of a ms.

Moreover, it can hardly be said that the identity of A and V is absolutely out of the question even now. It might be argued, plausibly enough, that if laupt in a 868 could commit such enormites, the probability that Cerda in 1626 may have done still worse, is increased. Hut as a whole, the case for the identity is considerably weakened, and the
net result of the investigation has been to deepen our distrust in the editio princeps, and to bring all our authorities into closer connection with each other than we had before thought possible.

For, in spite of the subdivisions into which our five mss. fall, they undoubtedly belong to one and the same family. This, we think, necessarily follows from the fact that they all contain the book in the same connection, i.e. they give it as an appendix to, or a component part of, the Solomonic writings contained in the Lxx. Of this fact we are certain in four cases, and in each of these the position of the book is the same-between Wisdom and Ecclesiasticus-while in the fifth case (that of A) we know that other Solomonic writings were contained in the ms. It seems probable, then, that the Alexandrine ms. followed a tradition different from any of ours, for it placed the Psalm at the end of the sacred writings, as an appendix to the whole. There is no such difference, we believe, between our mss., as would not be amply accounted for by the intervention of a few steps between the archetype and their immediate progenitors. Beyond the solitary indication afforded by the subscription of $P$ in which $\lambda^{\prime}$ is expanded into tpláxovta, there is nothing to show definitely that any one of them was copied from an uncial ms., and of course even this trace does not prove an immediate descent from an uncial ancestor.

The similarity of P to M might suggest the idea that P was a copy of $M$. But this is at once negatived by the absence of titles in $M$ and by the fact that in xvii. 3, 4 M omits words which P inserts.

On the whole we are inclined to say that K is the best of our mss. It is as old as any, and presents a very neutral text, though not free from corruption. On the whole we cannot feel ourselves justified in constructing any more precise genealogy of the mss. than that given above, though the error in numeration common to $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}$, and P , tends very considerably to closen the connection between the two main subdivisions of our authorities.

The scanty notes of provenance which we possess are not such as to be very helpful. A, V, and P(?), came from Constantinople. K was bought at Venice. M came from Iviron on Athos.

## § iv. Date and Authorship of the Psalms.

At what date were the Psalms composed? Internal evidence enables us to give the answer. We find in certain Psalms clear allusions to contemporary and recent historical events of momentous importance to the Jewish nation. If these can be identified, we are in a position

## xxxviii

at any rate approxmately to determine the period at whth the book was written; and the knowledge of their date, withun certan limits, will be the key to various allusions descriptive of the internal condition of the Jewish community.

The historical events, to which we have referred, form the groundwork of Pss. i., li., vin., xwi. $1-22$, and indirect allusions to the same group of events may possibly be found in Pss. v., vil., ix., xv. One aspect of the social condition of the people is presented in P'ss. ıv. and xin.: Pss. x1., xvii. 23 -end, xviii. give expression to the Messianic expectation: Pss. iii., vi., xiv., xvi. do not possess any distinctive colouring.

The following is the picture of contemporary events which we obtain from Pss, $\mathrm{i}_{1,}$, ii., viii., xvii.

The Jews are enjoying prosperity; they have grown greatly in numbers and fame (i. 3,4 ); they are satisfied that God is thus blessing their upright and prous conduct (i. 2, viii. 7). They are however deceived. For suddenly there is an alarm of war (i. 2, viii. 1); a rumour comes of the advance of a hostile host, which threatens Jerusalem (viil. 2-4). The Psalmist now discovers the hollonness of his countrymen's plety, and sees in the approaching catastrophe a just judgment. The hostile army is led by a stranger, who comes from the uttermost parts of the earth; he is a maghty warrior, and, as the representative of the heathen foe, he is designated at different times 'the sinner,' 'the lawitess,' 'the dragon,' 'the adversary ' (cf. ii. I and 29 , vill. 16, xvii 9, 23, 15). He prepares war; but the rulers of Jerusalem go forth to meet him, they throw open the approaches to the city, and welcome him with acclamations; he enters and takes possession (viii. 17-20). From some quarter however resistance is offered; the invader with his battering ram throws down strong walls, seizes the fortifications; Gentiles enter the sacred precincts of the Tempie, and pollute the Altar with their presence (ii. 1, 2, vil. 21). A sanguinary vengeance is taken, a massacre takes place in which blood flows like water in Jerusalem (ii. 25-28, viii. 23, xvii. 13). Large numbers are sent away as exiles (viii. 24), they are taken to live the life of prisoners among the Gentles (11. 6, 13, 14); their destination is 'the bounds of the west ' ( $\epsilon \omega s \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \delta v \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ), and even the rulers of the land are not spared, they are carried off to be the object of contumely and insult (xvii. 14). The conqueror in his pride and arrogance is guily of acts of heathenish profanity in Jerusalem (viui. 15, 16, cf. 1i. 29); his ambution has no limits, he does not realise that he is but a mottal man (1, $3^{2}$ -33); God sends retribution; he is assassinated in Egypt, has body lies tossing on the waves, there is no one to bury him (ii. 30 ).

Such are the main features of the crisis in Jewish affairs, to which our Psalms allude. Who then is the foreigner, from the ends of the earth, who menaces Judæa, who, having been welcomed within Jerusalem, is nevertheless compelled to batter down walls with his siegetrain, who massacres many citizens, and carries them off to 'the bounds of the west,' who is guilty of impious audacity, and receives a heavensent retribution, meeting an inglorious death on the shores of Egypt?

We will pass in review the names of the most eminent of Jerusalem's conquerors, and consider how far this description is applicable to them.
(1) The name of Titus need hardly come under serious discussion. The death of Titus, over which the Jews exulted as a heavensent retribution for the destruction of Jerusalem, bears no resemblance to the description in Ps. S. ii. 30. The welcome accorded to the invader in viii. 18-20 has no counterpart in the narrative of the great Jewish revolt against the Romans. Our Psalms moreover most certainly presuppose the survival of the city, after the invasion of the foreigner. It has been polluted by the Gentile (ii. 2, viii. 25, xvii. 25), but not destroyed. Some degree of mercy has been shown; the people have not been utterly consumed (ii. 26, xvii. 1 r).
(2) The name of Antiochus Epiphanes has with better show of reason received considerable support. His relations with the Jews introduce several points of similarity to the picture described above. But the more closely we carry the inspection, the less probable does this identification appear. The description of the invader 'as one who
 viii. 16), though possibly only an imitation of the old prophetical style, is scarcely appropriate to the monarch of the adjoining kingdom of Syria. It is true he oppressed the Jews and carried on numerous wars, but the epithet of 'the mighty striker' (ròv $\pi a i o v \tau a ~ к \rho a \tau a i \omega ̂) ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~$ more suited to a warrior whose success in arms had been less chequered or on a larger scale. We know that at the beginning of his reign there was a strong Hellenizing party in Jerusalem; but we do not find that Antiochus ever received such a welcome as is described in viii. 18-20. On the contrary, he is said on two occasions to have been obliged to attack Jerusalem, and yet the resistance which he encountered was never obstinate enough to compel resort to such extreme measures as the battering-ram (ii. i). The defilement of the altars and the pollution of the Temple (ii. $2-5$, viii. 25,26 , xvii. 16) suggest the temporary dishonour, not the overthrow of the Temple and the complete cessation of the Temple worship, which signalized Antiochus' capture of Jeru-
salem. (eranting that he may have carried away many Jewish prisoners, the statement thitt they were sent to 'the bounds of the west' would be quite inappropriate to the captives of the Sytian king, Lastly, although the conclasion of Ps. ii. might represent a Jew's exultation at the news of Antiochus' death, the passage in ii. 30 , éккeкertipúvor... Aiguintov is quite sufficient to show that the Syrian monarch is not intended. Surely too a Jew, in any lyrical description of Antiochus Epiiphanes, would have used the opportuntties afforded by P5s. S. ii., iv., xvii to denounce the oppressor of his nation in a far less measured strain.
(3) The name of Herod the Great is very naturally suggested by the description of the invader as a man who was a "stranger to our
 and Susius combincl to lay siege to Jerusalem, and that the former signalized the beginning of his reign by the cruel slaughter of the learting members of the Sanhedrin, But we should not expect that an Idumean by lirth, even if he had as a youth been prisoner in Ronle, would be called 'one that came from the uttermost parts of the earth'; the epithet of 'the mighty striker' would not be very applicable in Herod's case, who, with all his force and vigour, obtained greater trumphs in the field of diplomacy than in that of battle. Herod it is true was an alien by race, but he was most careful to conciliate the religious prejudices of the Jews, and the charge of idolatry and insolent infamy in xvii. 15,36 is not what we should expect to find in a descraption of his deeds. Herod permanently resided in or near Jerusalem itself; the description in Pss. S. in., iv., avii. gives the mpression only of a temporary occupation by heathen troons. We never find that Herod's arrival was welcomed by the leaders of the people (viil. 18 sq9.), and however relentless he may have been in the pursuit of a cruel policy sufficiently so to justify such a description as viii. 23 sqq.-yet it could never, we think, be said of him that he sent away captives to 'the west ' (xvii. 14), or that he made slaves of the children of Jerusalem (ii. 5). The last days of Herod have a tragic and terrble history; but there is nothing in them at all resembling the description of the conqueror's doom on the shores of Egypt (ii. 30).
(4) We have reserved to the last the name of Pompey. In his case we find the most striking rescmblances to the historical picture presented by our P'salms He comes from Rome, 'from the uttermost parts of the earth' (vai. 16). He as the greatest general of the day, who had just overthrown Mithridates, is 'the mighty striker' ( $\tau$ òv raiovea критateis, vii. 16). The baughtiness and ambition of the great Roman

 War between Hyrcanus II. and his brother Aristobulus II. had broken out. Hyrcanus was assisted by Aretas the Nabatæan king. This civil conflict which followed the peaceful and prosperous reign of Alexandra is possibly intended by the allusion to the sudden outbreak of war after a period of tranquillity (i. 1, viii. 1). Pompey was with his army at Damascus, when he received overtures from both these rivals and from a third party consisting of the supporters of a Theocratic policy. Pompey's march through Palestine to Jericho was unopposed; the chief fortresses were surrendered to him without a blow. In Jerusalem Hyrcanus' party prevailed; the gates of the city were thrown open ; the Roman soldiers entered unopposed (cf. viii. 18-20). But the supporters of Aristobulus were determined to resist: they established themselves in the Temple and refused to capitulate. An obstinate conflict ensued. The strength of the Temple fortifications was immense; Pompey was compelled to invest it by a regular siege: his engines and battering-rams were brought from Tyre, and after three months a breach was effected (ii. i), and an assault made : the Temple was taken and a bloody massacre ensued (viii. 23). It was computed that 12000 Jews lost their lives in this first desperate conflict against the Romans. Aristobulus himself and certain members of his family were sent to
 xvii. 14). Hyrcanus was spared, and reinstated in the High Priesthood. Pompey acted with clemency and consideration (cf. ii. 26, xvii. 11): he restored the worship of the Temple, and did not touch its treasury; but by entering the Holy of Holies he was guilty of an unpardonable act of profanation. In strict correspondence with this description of Pompey's behaviour, we find that the Psalmist, who does not denounce the invader in nearly such unmeasured terms as he expends upon his own countrymen, refers to certain profane and insolent acts, perpetrated by the conqueror, in his ignorance of the Jewish God (xvii. 15). For some such profanation the doom described in ii. 30-35 befell the invader as a divine retribution; and it is impossible not to recognise in the picture of the stabbed and dishonoured corpse on the borders of Egypt a description of Pompey's treacherous assassination.

In this identification two points demand further consideration. (i) It may be objected that the penetration into the Holy of Holies, which the Jews so bitterly resented, would have been mentioned more definitely. But it must be remembered that, if in this matter Pompey displayed contempt for the religious scruples of the Jews, in other respects
he had shown consideration and kindness, Moreover we should not expect to find in a liturgical Psalm any detailed reference to an act so abhorrent to the pious Jew, that its Dıvine permission seemed an inexplicable mystery. In these Psalms Pompey is referred to as the scourge of Jewish inıquity ; his profane acts are those of ignorance (xvii. 16, 17), and, although the detailed description of his doom is best understood in the light of this crowning act of profanity, the purpose of the Psalns is to describe not the impiety of the captor, but the heavensent disasters of Jerusalem as a judicial visitation for $\sin$.
(z) It may be objected that the passages describing wholesale slaughter (vill. 23, xvii. 13) and large numbers of captives (ii. 6, viii. 24, xvii. 14) give a darker page of history than we should be justified, by Josephus' account, in attaching to Pompey's capture of Jerusalem. But Josephus wrote a century and a half after these events took place; and it is difficult to realise from his concise and simple narrative, that he is telling us of the loss of 12,000 Jewish lives in three months fighting over the walls of the Temple. The number of Jewsh captives conveyed to Rome by Pompey laid the foundation of the large Jew ish community of which Philo speaks a few decades later (De Leg. ad Caium, § 23). These disasters are insignificant by comparison with those which Josephus himself witnessed. But to the Jew, who wrote our Psalms, with the slaughter of so many countrymen, the disthonourable captivity of many others, and the utter overthrow of national independence fresh in his memory, it was impossible not to paint in darkest tints the crisis through which the country had passed.

That Pompey's invasion of Judra is the historical event to which this group of Psalms refers, may possibly be indicated by two further pieces of evidence. (1) In xvil. 22 we have the mention of a Jewish king (o $\beta$ aoudeis). Now the title of king, which was first assumed in the Asmonean dynasty by Aristobulus (105-104) and appears on the coins of his brother Alexander Jannæus ( $104-78$ ), was dropped after Pompey's capture of Jerusalem. Pompey restored to Hyrcanus the High Priesthood but not the royal power: Hyrcanus is called 'the High Priest and Ethnarch of the Jews' (Joseph, Ant. xiv. xii. 3). In later years Herod the Great received the kingdom of Judæa from Mark Antony (Jos. Ant. xiv. xiv. 4-6); but if Josephus may be trusted, the Jews never regarded him as a true king, 'the royal authority, which was a dignity formerly bestowed on those that were high priests by right of their birth, became

 and therefore to some one quile distunct from 'the stranger' (o ${ }^{\circ}$ a $\lambda \lambda o^{\prime}$ -
rpios) of xvii. 9. Accordingly it cannot be used either of Herod or of Antiochus Epiphanes; while if 'the stranger' be, as we contend, Pompey, then the mention of Hyrcanus II. or Aristobulus II., the rival Asmonean princes of the blood royal, by the title of 'the king' would be quite appropriate in the writing of a contemporary Jew.
(2) The allusions in our Psalms to drought and famine (ii. ro, v., xvii. 2 r ) occurring at or near the time of the invasion of Judæa are not sufficiently definite to admit of any very certain identification. It is interesting however to find that in a passage where Josephus is speaking of the outbreak of hostilities between Hyrcanus and Aristobulus, he mentions a holy man named Onias, whose prayers were said to have prevailed with God to cause a certain drought to cease (Jos. Ant. xiv. ii. i). In the very next chapter of his history, he describes how God punished the impiety of the Jews by sending 'a strong and vehement storm of wind' that destroyed the crops of the whole country, till a measure of wheat was bought for eleven drachmæ (Jos. Ant. xiv. ii.).

If we may assume that Pompey's capture of Jerusalem is the historical event to which Pss. S. i., ii, viii., xvii. refer, we may approximately determine the limits of date within which our Psalms were composed. There is nothing in the style or contents of the other Psalms to separate them in respect of date of composition from those which are definitely historical in colouring. We have no hesitation in assuming that the whole collection springs from the literary activity, if not of a single writer, at any rate of a single generation. Judging from the detailed character of the allusions, the historical Psalms must have been composed not very long after the events which they describe. The impressions are still fresh in the Psalmist's mind.

Wellhausen's supposition that Ps. S. iv. expressed the exasperation of the Pharisees against Alexander Jannæus is based on a misconception of iv. I (see note). The earliest direct allusions in the collection are to be found in Pss. S. i. and viii. which describe the outbreak of the war and the invasion of Pompey (в.с. 63). The latest event to which reference is certainly made is Pompey's death which took place in B.c. 48. It is we think conceivable that the tone of exultation which succeeds the description of Pompey's fate (ii. $36-41$ ), the strain of joy which pervades Ps. S. x., and the confident expectation of the restoration from the Dispersion to be observed in Ps. S. xi. and xvii., may be explained by the enthusiasm, with which the Jews would hail the success of Julius Cæsar. From his hand they received especial favours and privileges, and presumably they hoped to obtain from him a yet more complete measure
of freedom. This however belongs to the region of conjecture. We find no allusion either to Cæsar's death or to the ascendancy of Herod the Great.

We are of opinion that Pss. S. iv. and xii. are among the earliest in the collection. For, whereas in Psss S. i., viii, xiii., xvii, 'the sinners ${ }^{1}$ are already punished by the disasters of the Pompean invasion, in Pss. S. iv. and xil. 'the sinners' are only denounced with the utmost hatred, while the wsitation by 'the Gentiles' is not mentioned, and the interference of a foreigner with Jewish affairs is apparently not foreseen.

In assigning the years B.C. 70 and b.c. 40 as the extreme limits of date within which our Psalms were written, we keep securely within the bounds of probability.

## § v. Jewish Partics; and the Religiows Thought of the Psalms of Solomen.

This period of thirty years ( $70-40 \mathrm{B.C}$.) witncssed the last scenes of the prolonged struggle between the two great parties in Palestine, the Pharisees and the Sadducees. With the downfall of the Asmonean dymasty and the irresistible assertion of Roman rule, the conflict between the two factions began insensulbly to be withdrawn from the arena of politics. But the feeling throughout the twenty years that elapsed between Pompey's capture of Jerusalem and Casar's death was at all times bitter. We should naturally expect that Palestinian Psalms of this period would throw light upon the condition of Jewish society or would at any rate reveal to which side in this intestinal contest the writer or writers inclined. Nor are we altogether disappointed, although we might have hoped for more. The Psalms reflect something of the intensity of the current animositics of the time. They leave the realler in no doubt to which party they belong. We shall have no difficulty in establishing the general grounds on which we give to the 'Psalms of Solomon' the significant title of 'Psalms of the Pharisees.'

A brief digression is here necessary in order to remind the reader as to the origin of the differences between the Plarisees and the Sadducees. It will be remembered that at the outbreak of the persecution of Antiochus Epiphanes there were two sections of the Jewish community bitterly opposed to one another. On the one side stood the - IIellenizing party, inclutling many of the aristocracy and led by the Ilgh Priest himself, prepared for the sake of political advantage or proate gain to make any sacrifice of national religion or to adopt any
practice from among pagan superstitions. On the opposite extrenre stood the Asideans the fanatical followers of the Jewish haw. devored to the principle oi theocratic Judaism, prepared to suffer any handship and to endure any loss rather than abandon a syllable of the sacred heritage. The ferrour of the Maccabean revolt swept away the Hellenizers. The Asideans were strengthened by the successes of the patriots. The cause of Theocracy triumphed. But the spirit which had given rise to the Hellenizing of the former generation was still at work; it was fostered by the military successes of the Jewish captains and by the growth of Jewish prosperity. The Asmonean princes to whose family the people had given the High Priesthood, upheld the sanctity of the law and the honour of the race. But the stricter Jews took umbrage nominally at the secularizing of the nation by enterprises undertaken not for the defence of religion, but for the gain of political liberty; they cavilled at the right of the Asmonean princes to hold the High Priesthood ; they murmured at the erection of an earthly kinglom. The fanaticism of these men who, as those who separated themselves from all impurity, were called Pharisees or 'Separatists,' gradually drove the Asmonean princes, their natural champions, to seek the support of the old aristocracy, who had no sympathy with the new enthusiasm. Among the latter were the leading Priests who claimed to be the true sons of Zadok (Sadducees), conservative of the letter of the Mosaic law, but paying little heed to the teaching of the Scribes either upon future retribution or upon the countless methods of purification multiplied by tradition.

For some years before his death Johannes Hyrcanus ( + 105) had utterly broken with the Pharisees. During the reign of his son Alexander Jannæus the opposition between the two parties reached a climax in the great Civil War which raged for six years (circ. 86-80). The power and influence of the Pharisees increased in proportion as the Asmonean ruler seemed to abandon the religious spirit of his great ancestors. A High Priest whose whole life was given to military ' adventure seemed to degrade the religion of his nation. The triumph of Alexander Jannæus and his Sadducee supporters, followed by the wholesale slaughter and banishment of Pharisee foes, was powerless to stem the current of popular feeling. When Alexandra succeeded to her husband's throne in b.c. 78, she could only maintain her position by the reversal of the previous policy and the recall of the exiles. Her reign was prosperous and peaceful; by the Pharisees it was regarded as a golden period (Taanith 23a). The Sadducees however felt themselves displaced from their rightful position. Their nobles, with the
young prince Aristobulus at therr head, began to demand a larger control in the administration of the kingdom. Already in the year of the queen's death, b.c. 69 , they had succeeded in occupying some of the strongest fortresses. On Hyrcanus' succeeding to the throne, a - determined move was made to regain a complete Sadd.acean ascendancy, Aristobulus, in whom there lived again the spirit of Alexander Jannceus, was made king and High Priest in his brother's room. It looked as if the day of persecution and exile for the Pharisees had returned, when Antipater the Idumean induced Aretas king of Nabatren to espouse the cause of Hyrcanus. War broke out hetween the two brothers ; Scaurus, Pompey's leeutenant, who appeared in Syria in b.c. 65 , favoured the cause of Aristobulus. But Pompey himself, on arriving in the spring of B.c. 63 , was met not only by Aristobulus and Hyrcanus, but also by an influential gathering of the Pbarisees who protested against the rule of an earthly king. The deposition and capture of

- Aristobulus was the death blow of the Asmonean dynasty and of the Sadilucean political ascendancy. The Sadducean aristocracy suffered heavily in the capture of the Temple. The animosity between then - and the Iharisees did not diminish. In social and religious matters it continued to burn fercely. The Sadducees were an aristocratic clicque, at the head of which stood the High Priest; the Tharisees irmpersonated the religious fervotrr of the masses, guided and directed by the teaching of the Scribes. The Sadducees were tenacious of the Mosair law, and refused to accept the expansion which it received from the teaching of the Pharisees; they had no sympathy with the new development of religious thought respecting the resurrection, a world to come, or a future retribution. The Sadducees were ready to make the most of their connection with the outside world by poltical intrigue and commercial enterprise. The Pharisees on the other hand would have nothing to do with the Gentiles.

When we compare the statements contained in our Psalms with the picture of the internal condition of Judea, we think there can be no doubt of their Pharisaic origin The Psalmist who divides the whole community into 'righteous' (סíkator), or 'saints' (örot), and 'sinners' (äaртшגoi), or 'transgressors' (тарávoдоt), seems to have in view the opposition between the Pharisees and the Sadducees.

That the Sadducees are designated as 'sinners,' appears from a variety of allusions.
(a) The Psalmist denounces the 'sinners' for having violently usurped and taken possession of the throne of David (xvii. 5, 8). This is an unmistakable reference to the Asmonean house. That the
king himself is implicated in 'transgression' (xvii. 22) could only have been asserted by one who was hostile to the dynasty upheld by the Sadducees. The Psalmist includes in his condemnation the nobles and princes of the land (xvii. 2I); his prayer that the Messiah may sweep away 'unjust rulers' (xvii. 24, 41) probably reflects his sentiments towards existing authority. The overthrow of the rulers (viii. 23, xvii. 14) who received 'the stranger' into their land is regarded as a divine judgment for their iniquities (viii. 15, xvii. 8).
(b) The complaint is made that these 'sinners' have taken violent possession of that to which they had no rightful claim, the reference evidently being to the High Priesthood (xvii. 6). In the absence of the true lineage, they had laid sacrilegious hands on the sacred heritage (viii. 12) from which the Messiah is to eject them (xvii. 26). In these allusions we cannot doubt that a Pharisee assails the Asmonean house for its retention of the High Priesthood.
(c) The Psalmist avers that those who discharge the sacred functions pollute the rholy things and the offerings by their neglect of the true observances and by their ceremonial uncleanness (cf. i. 8, ii. 3, 5, vii. 2, viii. 13,26 , xvii. 51 ). It is notorious that the Sadducees were not so scrupulous as the Pharisees, and did not accept all the rules of purification required by the tradition of the Scribes. The Pharisaic origin of the Psalms would give especial point to the charges made against the Priests of the Sadducee faction in viii. 13.
(d) The Asmonean princes and Sadducee nobles were as a rule more ready than the rest of their countrymen to comply with foreign customs. This tendency may easily have received a damaging misinterpretation from their political foes. There may also have been 100 much foundation of truth in the rumours about foul rites and nameless horrors. 'The sinners' are accused in our Psalms of 'secret enormities' for which God has brought judgment upon the people (i. 7, ii. 18, iv. 5, viii. 9), and of surpassing the heathen in the wickedness accompanying their worship (i. 8, viii. 14, xvii. 17). Whether the accusations are true or not, they correspond on the one hand to the judgment, which the Pharisees would pass upon any acts of Sadducee connivance at Pagan rites, and on the other hand to the low moral life, which the last Asmonean kings tolerated at their court.

The tone of virulent denunciation in Pss. S. iv. and xii. must be attributed to some recent injury or affront. But the offenders clearly belong to the same class. They are men of influence, they are on the Council (iv. r) ; they combine severity in judicial duties with immoralitics in private life; they are full of cleverness, and by appeals to the
law can justify their actions to the simple-minded (iv. 10, 25); they are full of deceit (iv. 12, 26, xii. 1-4); they live and consort with 'the saints' (iv, 7), but they are not to be trusted, they are all things to all men, mere menpleasers (iv, ro). Such language would well suit an indignant Pharisee's description of bitter foes, who in his opinion made an unscrupulous use of their high position in the land, only studying the law in order to delude the people, and ever seekıng to ingratiate themselves with the Gentile.

Another element in the Psalmist's accusation against these foes is that, in order to compass their own ends of avarice and vice, they made desolate whole houses (IV. II, 13, 15, 23, xil. 2, 4); they dispersed (iv. 13, 23) the inhabutants, and 'the saints' were scattered before them, they were bamshed and could no longer dwell in their own land (xvii. 18). We are forcibly reminded of the action taken by Alexander Jannæus and his Sadducee supporters in order to get rid of their Pharisee adversaries: perbaps a renewal of the same policy was threatened when the Sadducees attempted to regain their lost authority after the death of Alexandra.

That the Psalmist refers to the Sadducees is perhaps also to be inferred from the stress laid upon the pride and insolence of his foes, e.g. ii. 35 , iv. 28 , $x$ ii. 26,46 . This would inevitably be an accusation of the popular against the aristocratic party.

Over against 'the sinners' and 'the transgressors' our Psalmist sets "the righteous' (ii. 38, 39, iii. 3-5, 7, 8, 14, iv. 9, ix. 15, x. 3, xiii. $5-9, x v .8, x v i .15$ ) and 'the saints' (iii. 10, iv. 7, viii. 40, ix. $6, x \%$, xii. 5,8 , xiii. II, xv . Ix, 'saints of God' viui. 38, 'saints of the Lord' xui. 8, xiii. 9, xiv. 2, 9): and it is obvious that if the Sadducees are intended by the one class, the only class which could thus be contrasted with them by a Jew in the middle of the last century b.c. would be the Pharisees. That this is the case appears at every turn. Thus, in contrast to the noble and wealthy families of the Sadducees, the Pharisees who do not follow after earthly riches are for the most part 'poor.' It is the poor whom God blesses (cf, v. 2, x. 7, xv. 2, xvili. 3). They are the true 'fearers of God' (iii. 37, ili. 16, v. 2x, vi. 8, xii. 4, 8, xiii. 1r, xy. 15). Their fear of God is not for any ulterior purpose of profit or workily advantage, but in simplicity and singleneas of heart (ev uxaxio, iv. 26, cf. iv. 25, xii. 4). Their religion is not counterfeited for office or assumed for purposes of policy like that of "the profane" and "the
 xiv. I).

In order to appreciate the Pharisaic colouring in the religious
thought of these Psalms, we propose at this point to review briefly its most distinctive features.
(a) The conception of a Theocracy lay at the root both of the religion and of the politics of the Pharisees. Loyalty to this thought made them rebellious subjects of the Asmonean princes as well as devoted servants of the sacred law. The words 'The Lord is King' were the watchword which upheld the Pharisees in the face of Roman oppression (see ii. 34, 36, v. 2 1, 22, xvii. 1, 38, 5 1).
(b) (1) The sacred 'Torah' or Law, which the 'menpleasers' handled deceitfully (iv. 10), is God's witness upon earth (x. 5). True righteousness is fulfilled in the life that does not swerve from its ordinances (xiv. 1). The righteousness of our Psalms is conspicuously 'the righteousness of the Pharisees.' It is fulfilled in deeds (cf. ix. 7, 9, xvii. 21, xviii. 9), and especially in deeds which carried out the rules, or avoided the violation, of the ceremonial law (iii. 8-10, v. 20). The neglect of such rules was the contradiction of all righteousness (cf. i. 2, 3 with 8; viii. 7 with 13, 14. (2) 'The righteous' however do not differ from 'the sinners' only by the performance of mere external acts, but also by the spirit of true worship; and emphasis is laid upon the necessity of praise (iii. $1-3$, v. 1, vi. $6, \times 6,7$, xi., xv. 3-5, xvi. 7 , xix.), prayer (ii. 24, v. 7, vi. $1,7,8$, vii. 7 , viii 37 , xv. 1 ), and repentance (iii. 5, 6, ix. $11-15$, xvi.). (3) Although we are not prepared to admit that ovvarwrỳ is necessarily used in x. 8, xvii. 18 in its most limited sense, it is possible that these passages, along with such expressions
 may contain some allusion to the Synagogal institutions which were the strength of the Pharisaic organization.
(c) The attitude with which our Psalmist regards the subjugation of his country to the heathen is highly characteristic of a Theocratic Jew. He does not, as a Sadducee would have done, lament the extinction of the Jewish dynasty and the overthrow of hopes for Jewish independence or an earthly empire ; nor does he denounce with any venomous hatred the foreign foe who had been the instrument of his country's calamity and disgrace. He regards these troubles and disasters as the necessary discipline ( $\pi a \| \delta \epsilon i a$ ) for the offences of his people; in the face of the humiliation and loss which his country had sustained, he justifies God's dealings with men (cf. ii. 16, vii. 3, viii. 7, 27, 31, x. 1-3, xiii. 6-8, xiv. I, xvi. II, xviii. 4). He asserts that (God has per- ! mitted, nay, has ordained the visitation (ii. $1,15,24$, viii. 15 , xvii. 8).

The Psalmist by his praise of patience and resignation distinguishes himself no less from the political fanatics of his own party, who, after-
wards known as the Zealots, hastened the final downfall of the nation (ii. 40, x. 2, xiv. 1 , xvi. 25). Not that the Pharisee abandoned all hopes for the restoration of his people. That he did not resign himself to apathetic despair is abundantly clear from the great strain of Messianic hope in xi, xvii, xyiii. But in Ps. S. xvii. it is very noticeable that the agent of Israel's restoration is the Messiah himself, unaided by earthly weapons. The loyal supporter of the Theocracy is ready to wait unti? the time comes when God shall fulfil His promise (vii, 9, xvii, 23, xviii. 6).

It is true that at the approach of the invader, who executed the Divine judgment, 'the righteous' no less than 'the sinners' were thrown into consternation (viii. 5, 6, xiii. 4 ó ebereßj̀s (?)). But it was upon 'the sinners' that the heaviest calamities fell; 'the righteous' were protected from harm (xiii. $2,5, x v, 6,8$ ). The prayer of 'the righteous ' was heard (xv. I, cf. vi. 8); and the intercession of the true Israel is represented as averting the annitilation of the race (ii. 26-30).
(d) In the matter of Divine Providence and man's free will the religious teaching of our Psalms is unmistakably on the stde of the Pharisees. According to the somewhat questionable assertion of Josephus, the Sadducees of his time maintained the absolute freedom of maris will, and demed the possibility of Divine cooperation or interposition in things human; the Pharisees, on the other hand, while admitting the freedom of man's power of choice, recognised the operation of Providence in all human aflairs (Jos. Bell. Jud. It. viii. 14). It would be impossible to state the Pharisee position more accurately than


 dependence upon his Maker in all things is asserted under the striking image of Ps. v. 4-G, with which our Lord Himself seems to suppose His hearers to be familiar (cf. Matt, xii. 29; Mark iii. 27; Luke xi. 21, 22).

The whole universe is the sphere of Divine operation. God's mercy is over all the earth ( $\mathrm{v}, 17, x$ vii. 38 , xvili. 3 ), and His justice extends to every part of the world (viii. 29, jx. 4). The thought of Divine justice is constantly brought leefore us, primarily no doultt with the object of reconcaling the devout Jews to acquiesce in the calamities of the time, but also by way of contrast to the tyranny and injustice of the time (cf. ii. 12, 16, 19, 37, iv. 28, viii. 7, 32, x. 6).

But the universality of Divine mercy and justice in no way affects the peculiar relations of Israel with Jehovah. He is the God of Israel
(iv. 1, xi. 2, xii. 6, xviii. 6 ; cf. riii. 37, ix. 16) and the God of Jacob (xvi. 3). Israel is His portion and heritage (xiv. 3). 'The seed of Abraham' was chosen above all the nations, the Divine name set upon it, the holy covenant established with the patriarchs (ix. 17-30). God's love and mercy are always towards Israel (v. 21, vii. 8, xviii. 2-4). Israel is His servant (xii. 7, xvii. 23), for whom He hath promised blessings (xi. 8; cf. xvii. 50). Jerusalem is the holy city (viii. 4).

But it may be doubted whether the Psalmist includes under Israel all the children of Israel. To the Psalmist the true Israel is the ideal Israel, 'the flock of the Lord' (xvii. 45). It excludes those that dwell with the saints in hypocrisy (iv. 7). From them God will deliver the true Israel (iv. 27, xvii. 51). The true Israel will consist of those that 'call upon Him in patience' (ii. 40), 'that fear Him and love Him in sincerity' (iv. 29, x. 4, xiii. II), 'the saints of God' (viii. 28).
(e) The doctrine of Retribution, which the Sadducees rejected, but which was regarded as a cardinal doctrine of the Pharisees, is strongly asserted (ii. 7, 17, 30-32,37, 39, ix. 9, xiii. 5, xv. 14, xvii. 10).

Our Psalms, it seems clear to us, do not limit the principle of retribution to the present stage of life. There is to be a day of judgement, when the Lord will 'visit' the earth with judgement (iii. 14, xv. 14) ; a day of mercy and 'election' for the righteous (iii. 14, xiv. 6, xviii. 6), but of destruction for sinners (xv. 13). We find also stated very simply the Jewish teaching upon the Resurrection, which the Pharisees held and the Sadducees denied. 'The righteous' will at the time of 'the visitation of God' rise again, whether in the body or not is not told us; they will rise into 'life eternal' (iii. 16, xiii. 9); they will enter into 'eternal joy' (x. 9) ; happiness will be their inheritance (xiv. 7, xv. 15) ; they will inherit 'the promises of the Lord' (xii. 8). These are expressions whose meaning cannot be exhausted by the thought of the prolongation of life or of the continuance of prosperity on earth. 'Eternal life' is introduced as a justification for Divine righteousness : the calamities of the righteous receive an explanation in the doctrine of 'the coming age' (העולם הבא).

On the other hand, the future condition of the wicked is stated in terms which leave the reader in doubt whether a doctrine of annihilation is intended. 'The destruction of the sinner is for ever' (iii. 13; cf. ix. 9, xii. 8, xiii. 10). Religious opinion on this subject was probably not yet fully formed. Perhaps we should be right in concluding that our Psalmist denied 'a resurrection to life' in the case of the wicked, although he did not call in question the continuity of their personal existence. Hence, when the righteous shall be remembered with mercy,
the sinners will be forgotten (iii. 13, xiv. 10), their memorial will no longer be found (xui. 10) : but if their destruction is to be for ever (iii 13), their inheritance is to be Sheol, an unending portion of darkness and destruction (xiv. 6, $\mathrm{xv}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Xi}$ ).
( $f$ ) Angels. It is due perhaps chietly to the simplacity of thought in our Psalms, that the doctrine of angels is only once with certainty referred to (xvil. 49 wis dojot ayiuv). The bellef that the heavenly bodies were under the control of angelic beings is possibly hinted at in xviii. 12-14 (xix. 2—4).
(g) The Messiah, The finely conceived and fully detailed desuaption of the Messiah and His Kingdom contained in Pss. S. xuii. 23 -end, xvin. $1-9$ has naturally excited greater attention than any other part of our book. It is in fact as important a piece of Messianic literature as any later Jewish books have to show. It may be taken, we believe, as presenting more accurately than any other document a statement of the popular Pharisaic enpectation regarding the Messiah, shortly before the time when our Lord Jesus, the Christ, appeared. This fact alone should have led to its being widely known and carefully examined by students of the Gospels but in common with the rest of the book it has suffered unmerited neglect. Nieremberg is the first scholar who called particular attention to it, and in his book, Dc Origine S. Scripturae (1641), 1x. 39 (p. 341), he prints a Latın version of Ps. S. xvii. 23 end. But we cannot find that after him any writer has made use of this passage before Bengel.

## § vi. The Idea of the Messiah in the Psalms of Solomon.

## We propose here to consider

(1) the main outline of the picture of the Messah and $\mathrm{H}_{1 s}$ times, as represented in our Psalms;
(ii) certain distunctive characteristics of the Messiah here described,
(iii) the special signoficance of this representation of the Messiah (a) in the history of the doctrine, (b) in the history of the Jewish people.
(1) The main outline of the picture of the Messiah and His times.
(a) The time of His coming, The time of the Messiah's coming is known to God only (xvii. 23, 47). But from the fact that the Psalmist's prayer for the coming of the Messiah follows immediately upon the description of the triumph of the Romans, the downfall of the Asmonean dynasty, and the calamities of the people, we may infer that, in the ex-
pectation of the writer, the Messiah's coming was likely to be preceded by great disasters.
(b) His origin. He is to be raised up by God Himself (xvii. 23, 47, xviii. 6). He is to be a descendant of David (xvii. 23).
(c) His Mission is of a twofold character, destructive and restorative, expressed in the word 'purification' (xvii. 25 , xviii. 6).

It is destructive. He is summoned to overthrow the supremacy of the Gentiles ( $(6 v \eta$ ), to destroy them utterly from out of Jerusalem and from out of the borders of Israel (xvii. 25, 27, 31). He is summoned also to break up the power of 'unjust rulers' (xvii. 24) and to drive out from the heritage of God 'the proud sinners,' who had obtained unlawful possession (xvii. 26, 27, 41, 5 I ; cf. with 6-8).

By 'the Gentiles,' allusion is made to the Romans : by 'the sinners,' to the Sadducees.

It is restorative. (a) The kingdom of the Messiah is to be set up in the room of the Gentiles and the sinners, and to be established over Israel (xvii. $23,35,36,47$ ). ( $\beta$ ) He is to gather together again the dispersed tribes of Israel (xvii. 28, 30, 34, 46, 48, 50). ( $\gamma$ ) He is to make Jerusalem his capital, and to restore the glory of her Temple worship (xvii. 33-35). ( $\delta$ ) He is to make the Gentiles subject to him ; they shall bring tribute to him, and shall be converted to the true faith (xvii. 31, 32, 34).
(d) The character of his rule, spiritual, holy, wise and just.

It is spiritual. The Messiah king is not an aggressive conqueror by force of arms. His administration does not rest upon physical power (xvii. 37). His trust is not in the ordinary safeguards of a throne, but in Jehovah (xvii. 38).

It is holy. Holiness and purity are the instruments of his power (xviii. 33, 36,46 ). His purity from $\sin$ is the measure of his authority (xvii. 41). He does not tolerate the presence of iniquity (xvii. 28) : all his subjects will be 'sons of God' (xvii. 30 ), all will be holy (xvii. 36).

It is wise. With wisdom he is to begin his work of purification (xvii. 25), and with wisdom he is to judge the peoples (xvii. 31). God endows him with the Holy Spirit and makes him mighty in wisdom and understanding (xvii. 42, xviii. 8).

It is just. Upon this quality, inseparable from that of wisdom (xvii. 31 ), great stress is laid. Justice will attend his work of destruction (xvii. 25) and his task of ruling (xvii. 28, 29, 31). Justice will temper his might (xvii. 42, xviii. 8), and under his rule no oppression shall take place (xvii. 46). His utterance will be mighty to overthrow (xvii.

27, 39), but his words will be purer than the gold, and when he administers judgement they will be as 'the words of angels' (xvii. 48, 49).
(ii) Certan distinctive characteristics of the treatment of the Messiah in the Psalms of Solomon.
(a) The title 'Christ' 'Anointed One' (Xpuroos, nuyiv) is here perhaps used for the first time in literature of the expected Deliverer of Israel. 'It is not a characteristic title of the promised Saviour in the O.T. It is not even specifically applied to H m, unless perhaps in Dan. ix. 25 f, a passage of which the interpretation is very doubtful' (Westcott, Ep. of St John, 1. 189). Three times over this name, destined to play so unique a part, occurs (xvii. 36, xviii. 6,8 ) in our book. Repreatedly as the word has occurred before in other writings, it has always had reference to actual monarchs then reigning, never to an ideal monarch who was to come.
(b) The Messiah of these Psalms is to be 'the son of David.' The significance of this must not be overlooked. We return to the conception of the Prophets Haggai had been the last to point to the lineage of David (11.21-23). Zechariah had emphasized the priestly side of the Messianic hope (vi. Ir-13). In the time of the Maccabees it centres in a 'faithful prophet' (1 Macc. xiv. 4'; cf. Iv. 46) ; and it is not David but Jeremiah who appears in a dream to Judas Maccabeus ( 2 Macc. xv. 12-16). In Ecclestasticus again (xlviii. 10, II) it is Elijaht the prophet who is to "establish the tribes of Jacoh." The Messianic vocation of the house of David, which since the Captivity had failen into the background, and under the glorous reigis of the first Asmonean princes had almost been lost to view, reappears in these Pharisaic Psalms.
(c) The Messiah is a vassal-king, not Supreme Sovereign. He is only Gol's vicegerent upon earth. Jehovah is 'his God' (xvil 28, 41, avii. 8) ; and Jehovalı is his king (xvi. 38) ; his reign will be a blessing to the people of Jehovah (xvii. 40); he tends not his own, but Jehovah's flock (xvil 45). The Messuanic kıngdom is not a pure theocracy: for, although God is at the head of the nation, there is a visible earthly king, who is strong because his hope is in God (xyii. 43).
(d) The Messiah unites the offices of king and priest. There is no reference to the priestly order in the description of the Messianic kingdom. This omission is of similar significance with the assertion of 'the Davidic lineage.' The son of David is the anointed one, consecrated the work of purification and to the dispensation of unswerving justice. In this silence with regard to the priestly order, in this emphasis on Messianic justice, can we not read the indignation of
the Pharisee oppressed by corrupt Sadducee nobles, and watching with anguished soul the irregularities of a worldly priesthood?
(e) The Messiah of this Psalm is not divine. Divinely appointed, divinely raised up, endowed with divine gifts, he is; but he is nothing more than man. Neither of supernatural birth, nor of pre-existence in the bosom of God or among the angels of God, do we find any trace. If he is called Lord (?xvii. 36), the word is only used of him as it might be of an earthly lord. However high the conception of his moral character and spiritual qualifications, he is man, and man only.
(f) To what figure in the history of Israel does he most nearly correspond? We answer, to that of Solomon. Was he not 'a son of David,' the extender of the boundaries of the kingdom, the restorer and beautifier of the worship of Jehovah, a receiver of tribute from foreign monarchs, who came to see his glory, and distinguished above all other princes for wisdom and justice? In all these particulars we see a resemblance between Solomon and the Messiah of our xviith Psalm. And contrasts are not wanting. Solomon did $\sin$ in multiplying silver and gold, horses, chariots and ships. 'That is exactly what the Messiah will not do. Solomon was not каӨapòs àmò à $\mu$ артias: Solomon, as we see from the complaints to Rehoboam, was not guiltess of oppression. The Messiah will be pure of $\sin$, and will suffer neither pride nor oppression.

Now in the earlier Jewish literature, the name of Solomon had been connected with Messianic aspirations. The lxxiind Psalm is called a Psalm cis इa $\alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\rho} \mu \nu$, and in it we have one of the most striking parallels to our Psalm. If that description of an idealised Solomon came to be attributed to the king himself, as it did, it is conceivable (and more than that we are not prepared to claim) that the ascription of the present collection to Solomon arose from the similarity of the leading Psalm to one that was already known as a Psalm of Solomon or was at least associated with his name.
(g) The description of the Messiah contains several passages drawn from the Old Testament, e.g. xvii. 26 is based upon Psalm ii.

| 34 | " | I Kings x., Ps. lxxii. 10, Is. Ixvi. 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | " " | Is. xi. 4. |
| , 42 |  | Is. x xii. 6. |

(iii) The special significance of this representation of the Messiah (a) in the history of the Doctrine, (b) in the history of the Jewish people. (See especially Prof. Robertson Smith's Article 'Messiah' in the Encyclopuedia Britannica.)
(n) The picture of the Messiah in our xvith $\mathrm{P}_{\text {salm }}$ marks the most notable advance in the conception of the Messianic expectation. Here for the first time in Palestinian literature, the idea of a persomal Messiah is unequivocally stated. The passage in Dannel (ch. vii.) which offers a possible exception is of much disputed interpretation, and the only other Palestinian writing of a date anterior to our Psalms that makes any reference to a personal Messiah [i.e. the First Book of Enoch (ch. xc. 37, 38), a document written perhaps about $\mathbf{z} 20$ b.c.], employs in its description the vague mystic style of apocalyptic language, "And I saw till all their generations were changed, and they all became white bullocks, and the first one of them [was the word and that word] was a great animal, and had on its head large and black homs.'

On the other hand the literature of Alexandrine Judaism presents in a Sibylline Fragment (Orac. Sib) ll. ii 652 etc .), composed probably in the last quarter of the 2 nd cent. B.c., a remarkable picture of the Mes sianic king:



```
ov̈s }\mp@subsup{\mu}{}{\prime\prime
```




Here the king sent by God, possessing universal power, bringing peace, executing judgement, fulfilling the promises, subject to the Almighty, is in many respects a remarkable parallel to the representation in the Psalms of Solomon. But it is noticeable that later on in the same fragment the description of the Messianic kingdom takes no account of a personal ruler ( $766-783$ ).



In our xvitth Psalm the description of the expected Saviour is in striking contrast to the previous vague generahties of a glorious kingdom. 'The word 'Messtah,' which had hitherto been given to reigning earthly types, is appropriated to the personal ideal. The Davidic descent is revived from the writings of the people's Scriptures; and the longing for 'great David's greater son,' which has no place in Daniel, in Enoch, or the Assumption of Moses, perhaps first received from our Psalms the impulse, which in the next generation caused Davidic descent to be regarded as an essential element of any Messtanic clain (cf. Matt. ii. 5, 6, xxii. 42).

Second only in importance to this added definiteness in the conception of the Messiah's person must rank the spiritual force and moral beauty which are here assigned to him. The sin and violence of the recent Asmonean rule had perhaps aroused in the mind of the Pharisee Psalmist the hope for a sinless ruler, whose weapons would be spiritual and whose moral force would be irresistible.

In this representation of the human Messiah, perfect in holiness and taught of God, free from sin and wielding only the weapons of spiritual power, we find ourselves brought more nearly than in any other extant pre-Christian writing to the idealization of 'the Christ' who was born into the world not half a century later than the time at which these Psalms were written.
(b) Its significance in the history of the Jewish people. The vividness and completeness of this Messianic picture are of deep historical significance. It marks the revolution which had passed over Pharisaic thought since the time, not a century before, when Israel's mission in the world was identified only with the fulfilment and dissemination of 'the Law,' when the whole duty of 'the righteous' seemed to be to fear God, to obey the Scribes and to live apart from the politics of the nations, when patriotism was satisfied by vague generalities respecting Israel's future greatness.

A complete change of view is presented in our Psalm; and we cannot doubt that this was brought about by the political events of the century which preceded the invasion of Pompey. The heroic deeds of Judas Maccabeus and his brothers had rekindled the ardour of the people for a Jewish dynasty and a Jewish kingdom; and the Pharisaic supporters of a Theocracy were powerless, so long as their teaching showed no sympathy with this patriotic enthusiasm. On the other hand, the deterioration in the character of the later Asmonean princes, their violence and cruelty, alienated the affections of the people : it was hopeless to look for Israel's restoration from a dynasty sunk in selfishness and cruelty. It is at this crisis that the Pharisaic idea of a Messiah king, of the house of David, combines the recognition of the failure of the Asmonean house with the popular enthusiasm for a Jewish monarchy. The Davidic Messiah is to overthrow the yoke of the Gentiles, he is to disperse the Sadducean nobles, he is to establish the universal kingdom of Israel upon the eternal foundations of a wise and just administration.

Such a treatment of the Messianic hope must have brought the Pharisees an immense accession of moral influence over the people at large. It appealed to the patriotic feelings of those who had no power
to appreciate the abstract beanty of the old legalism By its hope for a 'son of David,' it proclamed the downfall of the Levtical Asmonean house. By its ideal reign of 'wisdom and righteousness,' it asserted the fundamental Pharisaic position that the Law was supreme. It united the craving for a Jewish king with the theocratic interpretation of Israel's mission to the world ; it expressed the highest aspurations of the prous Jew, and satisfied the sense of partusan malignity agatnst the sadducee.

In the religious histury of the nation, the Messianic representation of our xwith Psalm thus marks the stage, at which Pharisaic thought passed beyond the narrow limts of ats earlier teaching, and availed itself of the popular aspiration for an earhly kingdom. The splendid viston of a Davidic Messiah, contrastung naturally with the degradation of the Asmonean line, became the source of a religous enthustasin, which corresponded to the teaching of the Israelite prophets, but which entailed upon the theocratic party no policy beyond the exercise of patience, till God should raise up the hing, and until then the minute observance of Hıs law (cf. Pirge Aboth i. 1t. Shemarah sald, Love work; and hate lordship; and make not thyself known to the government). This hope became incorporated with the life of the Jews. And while the mass of the Pharisees contentedly awaited, in the discharge of their religious duties, the coming of the king, the more fiery and ill-regulated spirits of the patriots saw in every Theudas the personsfication of their expectations, and sought to interpret their own hopes in that succession of outbreaks, which culminated in the national overthrow of Rarcochab's revolution.
§vi. Place of Writung, Authurshop, Purpose, Style, Tille, of the X'sulms of Solomon.
(a) Where woritten/ The prominence given to Jerusalem makes it probable that our Psalms were composed by a Jew (or Jews) residng in the capital. "The Holy City, or 'The City of the Sanctuary' (viil 4), is in the Psalmist's estimation the centre of the universe. The interest of the great events described in Pss. il. viii. and xvi. is bound up with the unique position of the Jewish capital. The song of trumph ( $\mathrm{Ps} . \mathrm{xi}$.) is to be proclamed in Jerusalem: the city itself is addressed, she is bidden to go up and view the restoration of her children, and to put on festal attire; for the blessing of the Lord will rest on Jerusalem (xi. 3, 8,9). It is with Jerusalem that the work of the parfication by the Messianic 'son of David' will commence (xvii.
25): it is to Jerusalem that the nations of the earth will gather to see his glory (xvii. 33). The allusion to the 'profane' ones, members of 'the Council' (iv. I), and the description of their vices and crimes in Ps. iv. 2-15, xii., are best understood of men whose life was spent in a city. Similarly the temptations to immorality referred to in Ps. xvi. will naturally be associated with the condition of things in an urban population.
(b) By whom written? The result of our enquiries has been to show that our book had its origin in the Pharisaic Judaism of Jerusalem in the middle of the last century, b.c. Whether we have the work of more than one writer it is impossible to determine. The difference of style to be noted, e.g. in ix.-xv., is largely to be accounted for by the difference of subject-matter, and at any rate is not sufficiently marked to supply any certain criterion.

The conjecture has suggested itself to us at various points in our investigation of the book, that the Pharisee writer or writers belonged to the order of the priesthood. It would be impossible to draw any line which could distinguish the sympathies of a Pharisee priest from those of a Pharisee patriotic layman. And we do not pretend to claim that the evidence upon which the conjecture rests is of a very convincing nature. But our theory invests the Psalms with an additional human interest, and we confess that our general impression in its favour has grown and not diminished with the progress of our work *.

It is based upon (a) the prominence given to ceremonial pollution (e.g. i. 8, ii. 2, 3, viii. 12, 13, 26) and purification (e.g. iii. 8-10, xvii. 25, 33); (b) the frequent use of thought and language borrowed from the priestly writings of Leviticus and Ezekiel; (c) the tone of jealousy with which it is implied that the Temple had passed into hands that had no legal right to it, and that the Psalmist would identify himself with those from whom its control had been forcibly wrested (e.g. vii. 2, xvii. 6, 7).
(c) The purpose of the collection. Judging from the character and contents of the more important Psalms, we can hardly doubt that their object is, in a great measure, polemical ; they are intended to deliver the solemn protest of devout Pharisaism against the corrupting influence upon the nation of the surviving members of the Asmonean party.

To the distinctively political Psalms were added those of a more general character; and it is possible that the whole collection was in-

[^0]tended for public or even for liturgical use. The occurrence of 'Selah' ( $\delta u \alpha^{\prime} \psi a \lambda \mu a$ ) in xvil. $3 \mathbf{1}$, xviii. 10 , if originally part of the text, and not introduced out of mere imitation of the Canonical Psalter, would go to support this view. Similarly if the tutles of Pss. vii. x. xiv. are genuine, they would indicate that these Psalms at least were originally designed for adaptation to music.
(d) Style and charatier. The general character of these Psalms is extremely simple and straightforward. They are in a great measure based in tone and thought upon the Old Testament Scriptures, and this is shown even more in the adaptation of words and phrases than in the citation of passages. Written obviously in imitation of the Canonical Psalms, the collection preserves throughout the strictly Psalmic type of composition. Each Psalm is composed upon a clearly defined plan and forms a separate unity. By their simplicity both in thought and structure, they were well adapted for popular use.

In Palestinian literature they occupy in style, as in date of composition, a miday position between the familiar discourse of gnomic philosophy in Ecclesiasticus, and the more imaginative but diffuse and wearisome composition of the Apocalyptic writers, eg. Enoch, 2 Esdras, Apoc. of Baruch.

We cannot claim any high standard of poetical merit for the majority of our P'salms Generally speaking they are wanting both in originality and artistic beauty. But the passage describing Pompey's death is not without considerable lyrical force (ii. 24-35). Ps. viii. contains, in a short compass, a vigorous poetical sketch of the whole historical crisis of Pompey's invasion. In Ps. xval. the Psalmist's conception of the Messiah is treated in a passage of sustained energy and loftiness of expression. The hatred and scom which have dictated the denunciations of Ps. iv. produce a painfally novid impression, to which the reposeful confidence of nature in the bounty of the Creator, described in Ps v., offers an agreeable contrast.

The writings which, in our opinion, most nearly approach our Psalns in style and character, are the hymns prestrved in the early chapters of St Luke's Gospel (i. 46-55, 67-79, ii. 10-14, 39-32), which in point of date of compontion stand probably nearer to the Psalms of Solomon than any other portion of the New Testament.
(c) The title 'Psalms of Solomon."
'The origin of this title must remain in obscurty.
The opinion that they were the genuine writings of king Solomon was undoubtedly held at one time; but, except from the title, was absolutely devord of evidence in its support. For Whiston's strange view, see j. ar.

The possibility must certainly be admitted that 'Solomon' may be the name of the author, an otherwise unknown Pharisee.

We entertain however no doubt that king Solomon is the Solomon intended, and that his name has been given pseudonymously. Now if we accept the pseudonymous character of the title, we have further to enquire whether the book received this title from the author, or from subsequent copyists or translators. According to the former alternative, we must class the collection with other pseudepigraphic writings of this period, e.g. the book of Enoch, the Wisdom of Solomon, etc., and assume that the writer hoped by the use of a revered name to secure a permanent position in literature for his work. Against this view it may be urged that, unlike the pseudepigraphic writings just mentioned, our Psalms contain no other certain allusion to their reputed author.
'To us it appears most probable that copyists or translators are responsible for having ascribed the work to Solomonic authorship. For the selection of Solomon's name different reasons might be alleged. We have already mentioned (see p. lv.) that the picture of the Messianic king, the son of David, a man of peace, wisdom and might, might have given the title to the xviith Psalm, and have been transferred from this, the most important writing, to the whole collection. Other reasons based upon the contents of particular Psalms, are (1) the possible resemblance of certain passages (e.g. iv. 4-6, v. $15-20$, vi. $1-3$, ix. 5-9, xiv. 1-3, xvi. 7-15, xviii. 12-14) to the style of the book of Proverbs, and (2) the fact that the subject of Ps. xvi., a thanksgiving for pardon after a fall into grievous sensual sin, offered a sufficiently close parallel to the traditional close of Solomon's life.

But we are of opinion that Solomon's name was selected for reasons of a much less definite character. Given an anonymous collection of Jewish Psalms composed in imitation of the Canonical Psalter, it was desirable that they should be known by some definite name. The name of David was appropriated to the Canonical Psalter; the name of David's son, Solomon, would naturally suggest itself. Although Solomon's songs had been "a thousand and five" ( 1 Kings iv. 32), Canticles and two Psalms (lxxii. and cxxvii.) were all the Hebrew poetry that tradition had so far connected with Solomon's name. That the remainder of Solomon's writings might have included the present collection, would seem to an uncritical age, accustomed to the production of pseudepigraphic works, to constitute a very probable supposition. The prestige attaching to Solomon's name was so great, that no title would be more effective to secure the preservation of an otherwise obscure
collection of Psalms, and the tutle did its work. To their name we owe the accident of their preservation. In the few extant mss, which contain the Psalms of Solomon, they are found among the sapiential writings ascribed to king Solomon.

## § viii. The Psalms of Solomon and Jewish Literature.

The book occupies a unique position in the extant Jewish literature of the last century before the Christian era. The only other extant writings of Palestunian origın which belong to the same period are the Book of Enoch, fragments preserved in the Pirqe Aboth, and possibly the Book of Jub.lees, representing apocalyptic, gnomic, and Midrashic elements respectively. With these it has no similarity in style or structure, although it is not without points of contact in its treatment of religious thought.

The Psalmic literature, with which we naturally class it, comprises (a) Ecclus. li., (b) Judith xvi. 2-r7, (c) Tobit xini., (d) Luke i. 47-55, $68-79$; ii. $10-14,30-32$, to which some would add, (e) the so-called Maccabean Psalms xliv, Ixxiv., Ixxix., 1xxxiii.

The Psalms in Ecclus. Judith and Tobit are possibly only incidentally introduced from other national collections of poetry, and bear some general resemblance to the characteristic features of our Psalter. But a closer resemblance is presented by the Songs contained in the opening chapters of St Luke's Gospel. Both in thought and in structure they seem to belong to the same class of literature as the Psalms of Solomon: and it is a matter not without interest and importance, that our Psalms, which stand closest of all extant Jewish religous poetry to the Christian era, are so conspicuouly simitar to the songs which are the earlest writings incorporated in the New Testament Scriptures

We come next to consider the question, whether any traces are to be found in the Psalms of Solomon of the influence of other writings. It is scarcely an exaggeration to say that they are in a great measure constructed out of the language of the Old Testament. The books from which our Psalmist has most frequently borrowed are the Pentateuch, the Psalms, Isaiah and Ezekiel. His method is to appropriate a phrase or sentence of Scripture as prefurable to one of his own coining because already sacred and familiar to his readers, and to fuse at with his own words, introducing some slight alteration or modification. No sign is given of a citation. At a time when all Jewsh rel.gious writing
was based upon Scripture, such acknowledgment would not be necessary: and in poetry it would not be expected.

Direct allusions are not as a rule made to events in the people's earlier history. The mention of the patriarch Abraham (ix. 17), of king David (xvii. 5), and of the Babylonian Captivity (ix. i) is exceptional.

Allusions however of a more indirect nature are fairly numerous.
 Canaanites; in ii. 24 there is an allusion to the staying of the plague in David's reign (2 Sam. xxiv. 16; 1 Chro. xxi. 15) ; in ii. $27 \boldsymbol{i} v \zeta \dot{\eta} \lambda \varphi$ the ferocity of the Romans is compared with the 'zeal' of Phinehas; in ii. 35 divorûv...кoui豸su we have an echo from the Song of Hannah (I Sam. ii. 6-8); in vii. 3, 4 there is an allusion to David's prayer that he might fall into the hands of God rather than into the hands of his foes (2 Sam. xxiv. 14); in viii. 27, 28 and xiii. 4, 5 there seems to be a reference to Lot in Sodom; in xv. 6 we have an allusion to the Three Children; in xv. 7 to the overthrow of Korah, Dathan and Abiram, in xv. 9 to the mark set upon Cain ; in xvi. 7 seqq. some have seen a reference to the fall of Solomon led away by 'strange wives'; in xvi. ${ }_{11}-15$ we are reminded of the story of Job; in xvii. 5 seq. we are reminded of Nathan's declaration to David in 2 Sam. vii. 11 - 15 ; in xviii. 12 the creation of the 'heavenly bodies' in Gen. i. is referred to; in xviii. 4 the story of Joshua at Bethhoron (Jos. x. 12), or of Isaiah and the sun-dial of Ahaz ( 2 Kings xx. 11), or both together, are implied in the words, $\boldsymbol{e} \pi \iota \tau a \gamma \hat{n}$ סovi ${ }^{2} \omega$.

It is not, in our opinion, possible to prove that our Psalmist is influenced by Jewish literature not included in the Hebrew Canon of Scripture. The language and thought of Ecclesiasticus often illustrate our Psalms ; actual correspondences of expression are found ; but the agreement is generally to be explained by some passage of Scripture from which both writers have borrowed.
 Cf. Ps. S. iii. 7, 12.

 è $\pi \lambda a v \eta^{\prime} \theta_{\eta \sigma a v .}$ Cf. Ps. S. xvi. 7, 8.
 xiv. 3.
 па̂бav бápка. Cf. Ps. S. v. 15-17.
 Cf. Ps. S. ii. 19.
J. P.

 то́тау катaтач́parós aov. Cf. Ps. S. ix. 16- 18.
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 S. xvii. 6.


This list might be considerably enlarged. But the instances already given will be sufficient to show the character of the resemblance.

The most ancient fragment contained in the Stbylline Oracles iii. 97 etc. (exc. 295-490) contains expressions, which will illustrate passages in our Psalms, but there is no probability in the view that the Sibyllines are actually quoted.
Orac. Sib, iii. 185 ...णTर्jुणovoi te raîoas
Aloppois ìr tejéeoth Cf. Ps. S. ii. 13.


Cf. Ps. S. xv. 8.




C. Ps. S. xvii, ${ }^{23}$, seq.
 'Houxies 乌ท́rovr'. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 30.
 Cf. Ps, S. xiii. 1.
 Cf. Ps. S. xi., xvii. 34.
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The points of resemblance which have been noticed in the Book of Wisdom are of a similar character. (Resjuecting the instances, in which our Psalms have been alleged to borrow from the phrascology of 'Wisdom,' see below.)

The earlier portions of the Book of Enoch present a remarkable parallel to the reference in Ps. S. xviii. in seq. to the ordered course of the heavenly bodies. Cf. chap. ii. I 'the luminaries which are in the heavens do not depart from their paths, each one rises and sets in order, each in its time, and they do not depart from their laws,' and xxxvi. 3.

In the Jewish literature subsequent to the composition of our Psalms, we find no certain trace, except in Baruch v., of the influence of this work. (On the resemblance of Ps. S. xi. to Baruch v., see a full discussion p. lxxii. ff.)

On the other hand, there is hardly a single important Palestinian writing of the following century, which does not receive useful illustration from the language or religious teaching of the Psalms of Solomon.

1. The Parables in the Book of Enoch (cc. 37-71) were very probably written about b.c. 30. The general similarity of thought may be illustrated by
Enoch xli. I the deeds of men are weighed upon scales. Cf. Ps. S. v. 6.
" xlix. 3 the spirit of wisdom, and the spirit of him who imparts understanding, and the spirit of doctrine and of power. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 42, xviii. 8.
liii. 7 the just will rest from the oppression of sinners. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 39, xvii. 46.
lvi. 6 And they will ascend and step upon the land of their chosen, and the land of his chosen will be before them a threshing-floor and a path. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 2.
lviii. 3 the light of everlasting life. Cf. Ps. S. iii. 16.
lxii. 2 And the word of his mouth slew all the sinners and all the impious, and they were destroyed before his face. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 27, 39, 41 .
8 the congregation of the holy. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 18. lxiii. 8 his judgement does not respect persons. Ps. S. ii. 19. lxix. 27 he causes to disappear and to be destroyed the sinners from the face of the earth. Cf. Ps. S. xiii. 10 , xv. 13.
2. The Book of Jubilees or 'the Little Genesis' (ed. Rönsch), written possibly about the time of the Christian era, has no very obvious points of resemblance with the contents of our book. The following instances show the general similarity of thought and language in the Jewish writing of that period:
Jub. xv. 14 non est super eum signum ut sit Deo sed exterminii et perditionis a terrâ. Cf. Ps. S. xv. 8, io.

Jub, xvi, 29 laudans et confitens Deo stio secundum omnia in letitia. Cf. Ps. S. xv. 3-5.
", rxi. 4 quia Deus vivens est et sanctus et fidelis et justus et ex omnibus non est apud eum accipere personam. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 19 .
" xxiii. 22 et sanctificationem polluent in abominationibus pravitatis et immunditiis. Cf. Ps. S. i. 8, ii. 3, viii, 3 .
" $n 25$ in die vir judicii...et non erit illis omne nomen relictum super terram. Cf. Ps. S. xiii, 10, xv, 13.
" " 26 et si ascendetur usque ad cælum, inde deponetur. Cf. Ps. S. i, 5.
" xxvii. 9 dirigentur omnes viæ ejus. Cf. Ps. S. vi 3.
n xxxi. 20, 21 Et Judae dixit Dabit tibi Deus fortitudinem et vir- ${ }^{-}$ tutem, ut tu conculces omnes odientes te: princeps eris tu, et unus filiorum tuorum...erit alienus et optinens universam terram et regiones; hunc timebunt populi a facie tua et conturbabuntur universoe gentes. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 38, 42.
3. The writings of the New Testament receive from our Psalms helpful illustration in certain particulars.
(a) 'The Songs' embodied in Luke i. ii.
(b) The expected Messiah is a son of David (e.g. Matt. ii., xxi. g, xxii. 42 - 45 ; Joh. vii. 42 ; Rom. i. 3 ; 2 Tim. ii. 8 ; Rev. v. 5 , xxil. 16). Cf. Ps. S. xvii.
(c) The description of the righteousness of the Scribes and Pharisees' (e.g. Matt. vi. I-18). Cf. Ps. S. iii.
(d) The metaphor of 'the strong man' (Mark iii. 27). Cf. Ps. S. V. 4.
(c) The comparison of Divine and human kindness (Luke xi. 5 8). Cf. Ps. S. v. 15,16 .
( $f$ ) Certain phrases e.g. $\overline{2} k \lambda o y \eta^{\prime}$ 'Divine choice' Rom. ix. II etc,
 xii, 8; rò noчuvio kvpiov ( $=\theta$ हoû ₹ Pet. V. 2), cf. Ps. S. xvii. 45 ;
 iii. 16.
4. The Apocalyptic work, known as the $4^{\text {th }}$ Book of Esdras, and dating from the close of the Ist cent. A.D., has been considered by Hilgenfeld and Geiger to show signs of having borrowed from, or at least of being acrquainted with, the Psalins of Solomon. We give here the passages, which are alleged to show signs of this correspondence.
(a) 4 Esdr. iii. 8 'et tu non prohibuisti eos.' Cf. Ps. S. ii, $\ddagger$ kai
oủk ėкciluvas. The words in 4 Esdr. are not found in the Latin, Ethiopic or Armenian versions; they appear in the Syriac and Arabic, where they are used with reference to the fact that the Lord did not restrain the wickedness of the Antediluvians. In Ps. S. the Psalmist is speaking of the heathen, whom the Lord did not prevent from attacking the Holy City.
(b) 4 Esdr. iv. $25^{\text {'Sed }}$ quid faciet nomini suo, qui invocatus est super nos?' and ix. 22 'et nomen quod nominatum est super nos pene
 passages have in common the thought which is based upon the Old Testament Scriptures, that the Lord had set His name upon His people. Cf. 2 Chron. vii. 14 .
(c) 4 Esdr. vi. 24 et venæ fontium stabunt et non decurrent in
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{o}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu \dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \omega \nu$. The stopping of the fountains of the earth is in 4 Esd. one of the portents preceding the coming of the Messiah; in Ps. S. the fact is also narrated, perhaps as a sign that the Messianic times had begun.
(d) 4 Esdr. vi. 58 nos autem populus tuus quem vocasti primogenitum unigenitum æmulatorem carissimum. Cf. Ps. S. xviii. $4 \dot{\eta} \pi a \iota \kappa \in i a$
 upon Deut. viii. 5, and the words $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ о́токоv $\mu о \nu \circ \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ may very probably be a duplicate rendering of one word in the original. The context in 4 Esdr. is of a different character; the combination of 'primogenitum, unigenitum,' which is possibly a similar instance of the same duplicate rendering, may conceivably be borrowed from our Psalmist.
(c) The description of the Messiah in 4 Esdras has some points of resemblance to that in Ps. S.
(1) The name 'Christ': 4 Esd. vii. 28 filius meus Christus. xii. 32 hic est Unctus. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 36, xviii. 6, 8.
(2) The work of the Christ: 4 Esdr. xii. 32, 33 secundum impietates ipsorum arguet illos et incutiet coram ipsis spretiones eorum; statuet enim eos in judicium vivos et erit cum arguerit eos tunc corripiet eos.
入aoùs кai ¿trך.
(3) The weapons of the Christ not earthly: 4 Esd. xiii. 9 non levavit manum suam neque frameam tenebat neque aliquod vas bellicosum...emisit de ore suo sicut flatum ignis...et succendit omnes... 37 ipse autem filius meus arguet quæ advenerunt gentes impietates eorum... et perdet eos sine labore per legem quæ igni assimilata est. Cf. Ps. S.

that 4 Esdras brings out in much closer detal the judicial functions of the Messials than does our Psalmist: but that both lay stress on the pacific character of the Messiah, the xviith of our Psalms asserting the sinlessness of the Kıng as the spiritual substitute for material power, 4 Esdras describing the overthrow of foes by the fire of the Divine law.
(f) The restoration of the tribes, 4 Esd. xiii. 39 et quoniam vidisti eum colligentem ad se aliam multitudinem pacificam, he sunt tribus,

 The passage in 4 Esdras refers especially to the io Tribes; the passages in Ps. S. refer to the Dispersion generally. But undoubtedly in both books the Restoration of the Tribes belongs to the Messianic thought.

We are not disposed to admit that the similarity in these passages is sufticiently close to justify the theory that Escras has borrowed from the Psalms of Solomon. In the treatment of the Messianic idea, where the similarity is perhaps more defnite, the resemblance springs from general agreement in the religious thought rather than from any special obligation of one writing to another. In the other instances the thought in which the two documents agree is not of a sufficiently striking character to render the hypothesis probable.

Other passages may be pointed out, where our Psalms illustrate 4 Esdras in phrase or thought, without any trace of closer dependence. 4 Esdr. iii. 12 impietatem facere plus quam priores, Cf. Ps. S. i. 8.
" " 27 tradidisti civitatem tuam in manibus inimiconm tuorum, X. 23. Cf, Ps. S. ii. 7.
" iv. 33 quem populum dilexisti. Cf. Ps. S. ix. 16.
" V. 28 unicum tuum C. Ps. S. xviii, 4.
n n 30 et si odiens odisti populum tuum, tuis manibus debet castigari, Cf, Ps. S. vii. 3 .
", vii. 17 quoniam justi hæreditabunt hæc, impii autem peribunt. Cf. Ps. S. xiL. 8.

1) vili. 5 venis (anima mea) sine voluntate tua et abis cum non vis; non enim data est tibi potestas nisi solummodo in vita temporis exigui Cf. Ps. S. ix, 7.
" „ 52 apertus est paradisus, plantata est arbor vite. Cf. Ps. S. xiv. 2.

3 X. 22 sancta nostra contaminata sunt...et liberi nostri contumeliam passi sunt. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 3, $\mathbf{x}$.
" " 50 pulchritudinis decoris ejus. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 5 .
5 Estlr. it. 28 zelabunt gentes et nihul adversus te poterunt. Cf. Ps. S. vii. 6.

5 Esdr. xv. 5 mala...gladium et famem et mortem et interitum. Cf. Ps. S. xiii. 2.
, xvi. 6 ignem in stipula. Cf. Ps. S. xii. 2.
, 62 super vertices montium lacus ad emittendum flumina ab eminenti ut potaret terra. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 21.
5. The Apocalypse of Baruch, composed at about the same date as 4 Esdras, has similar points of resemblance with our book. Thus the personal Messiah is mentioned by name (xxix. 2, xxx. etc.), and there is brief allusion to his judicial and punitive work.
xl. I Messias meus arguet eum (ducem) de omnibus impietatibus suis. lxxii. 2 veniet tempus Messiæ mei et advocabit omnes gentes, et ex iis vivificabit et ex iis interficiet.
The frequent mention of the people's calamities as 'castigatio' ( = тavбia) introduces a thought very prominent in our Psalms.

In numerous passages we find expressions, which may be illustrated in an interesting way by the Pss. S.
Apoc. Bar. ix. I purum cor a peccatis. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 41.
$x$. II vos cœli retinete rorem vestrum neque aperiatis thesauros fluviæ. xxviii. 5, lxii. 4. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 2 I.
xx. 3 in penetralibus mentis tuæ. Cf. Ps. S. xiv. 5.
xli. 3 jugum legis tuæ. Cf. Ps. S. vii. 8.
xliv. 4 justus est ille cui servimus neque accipit personas fictor noster. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 19.
xlviii. 9 sapientes facis orbes cœlestes ut ministrent in ordinibus suis. Cf. Ps. S. xviii. 12-14 (xix. 2-4).

- 15 dono tuo venimus in mundum. Cf. Ps. S. v. 5.
li. 1 I pulchritudo majestatis (lxxxii. 7, lxxxiii. 12). Cf. Ps. S. ii. 5 .
lx. I permistio contaminationis eorum. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 15.
lxviii. 2 decidet populus tuus in calamitatem ut periclitentur ut pereant omnes simul. Cf. Ps. S. ii. 24.
lxxviii. 2 ut justificaretis judicium ejus. Cf. Ps. S. iii. 3, viii. 27.
- 7 misericordia multa colligeret denuo omnes qui dispersi sunt. Cf. Ps. S. viii. 34 .
lxxix. 2 sed neque castigavit nos sicut digni eramus. Cf. Ps. S. ix. 15 , xiii. 8.
" " lxxxv. 7 adhuc in spiritu sumus et potestate libertatis nostræ. Cf. Ps. S. ix. 7.
- 9 ut assumamus non ut assumamur. Cf. Ps. S. iv. 20.

6. The 'Assumptio Mosis,' belonging to the same class of literature, may also be illustrated from the Psalms of Solomon, although there is no appearance of actual borrowing from the latter work.
Assumpt. Mos. iii. 5 justus et sanctus Dominus, quia enim vos peccastis et nos pariter abducti sumus vobiscum. Cf. Ps. S. $x$. 16.
" \# iv. z voluisti plebem hanc esse tibi plebem exceptam, tunc voluisti invocari eorum deus secus testamentum quod fecisti cum patribus suis. Cf. Ps. S. ix. 16-19.
" " V. I participes scelerum. Cf. Ps. S. xiv. 4.
" " -3 contaminabunt inquinationibus domum servitutis suæ... 4 altarium inquinabunt...dedecoris muneribus quæ imponent Domino qui non sunt sacerdotes sed servi de servis nati. Cf. Ps. S. vili. 12, xvii. 6.

- 6 impii judices, Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 22.
vi. 8 et occidentis rex potens qui expugnabit eos. Cf. Ps. S. viii. 16.
vii. 4 homines dolosi, sibi placentes, exterminatores, queruli et fallaces, celantes se.
- 9 et manus eorum et dentes immunda tractabunt, et os eorum loquetur ingentia et superdicent Nolí tu me tangere ne inquines me. Cf. Ps. S. iv. xii.
x, 6 fontes aquarum deficient. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 21.
" ", - 9 faciet te hærere calo stellarum. Cf. Ps. S. i 5 .
" ", xi, 12 tanquam pater unicum filium. Cf. Ps. S. xiii. 8.

7. The 'Testamenta xii. Patriarcharm, ${ }^{\text {' }}$, which are perhaps to be assigned in their present form to the beginning of the and cent. A.D., have not much in common with the Psalms of Solomon. The Messianic thought is much more advanced: the Messiah is Divine as well as Human; his priestly functions are more conspicuous than his regal. The sinlessness of the Messiah, which is so strongly emphasized in our

 Oñactas iv aukipu.

The following are instances of general correspondence in thought and phraseology.



 wis $\beta a \sigma i \lambda$ éa $\theta$ còv каì äv ${ }^{2}$ рштоv. Cf. Ps. S. xvii. 23.
Lev. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$. cis ทimépav тробта́y Cf. Ps. S. xv. 13.
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \in \lambda$ रeîs. Cf. Ps. S. xii., xvii. 6-8, 22.


 xv. 8.
 ii. 2.
 Ps. S. v. 6.

 S. xvii. 2.
 Ps. S. xviii. 12.




ibid. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$ d $p \eta \mu \omega \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \in \tau a l . \quad$ Ps. S. xvii. 13.
 Ps. S. xvi. 8.
 xiii. 10.


 S. xv. 9.
8. The collection of ancient Rabbinic sayings preserved in the Pirqe Aboth contains probably materials as old as the Psalms of Solomon.

The following extracts derive especial interest from comparison with passages in our book.

Pirqe Aboth i. 3. Antigonus of Soko...used to say, Be not as slaves that minister to the lord with a view to receive recompense; but be as slaves that minister to the lord without a view to receive recompense ; and let the fear of heaven be upon
 aน่าǜ.
Pirqe Aboth i. 1\%. Shammai said..."And receive every man with a pleasant expression of countenance' (iii. 18). Cf. Ps. S. v. 6 iv inapómpth xvi. 12 нerà ìrapórpros.

- iii. 8. The yoke of Torah. Cf. Ps. S. vii. 8 ที่ rou tò aiùva.
- iii. 10. R. Lázar...said, Give Him of what is His, for thou and thine are His. Cf. Ps. S. v. 5 .
- 22. Beloved are Israel that they are called children of God.


- 24. Everything is foreseen; and free will is given. And the world is judged by grace ; and everything is according to work. Cf. Ps. S. ix. 7-15.
- iv. 3. Who is rich? He that is contented with his lot. Cf. Ps. S. v. 18 - 20 , zvi. 12.
- 31. And He is about to judge with whom there is no iniquity, nor forgetfulness, nor respect of persons, nor taking of a bribe, for all is His, and know that all is according to plan. Cf. $\mathrm{P}_{5 .}$ S. ii. 19, vii. 27.
- $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}$ Ir-14. Seven kinds of punishments: dearth from drought, dearth from tumult, deadly dearth, pestilence, the sword, noisome beasts, captivity. Cf. Ps. S. xiii. 2, 3, xv. 8 .

9. There is one book and only one of which we can say with certainty that it is connected with the Psalms of Solomon. No one who has read the Book of Baruch with attention can have failed to notice the similarity of its concluding verses (iv. $3^{6}$ v. 9) to the xith Psalm of our collection. A glance at the two documents will suffice to show that they cannot possibly be independent of one another, and attention has been called to the fact by several of those who have edited each book. Geiger seems to have been the first: he, as we should be inclined to expect, regards Baruch as the earlier of the two. Schurer (Gesih. Jud. Volkes, 11. 591,724 ) looks upon it as the later, while Kneucker (Das Buch Baruch, p. 43, etc.) thinks that the two writers borrowed independently from the LxX., and considers that this hypothesis, coupled with the similarity of the circumstances in which they hved, will sufficiently account for the resemblance. We have already intimated that such a view is in our opinion untenable: and we have now to examine the extent and character of the parallelisms, with the view of ascertaining what is the most reliable hypothesis.

The most striking resemblances to Baruch occur in xi．3－8，and will be easily seen from a glance at the text．In view of Kneucker＇s theory，stated above，and by way of clearing the ground，we will next set down those passages of the Lxx ．to which reference appears to be made in both documents．



＂xlix 12 i8ov̀．．．ovitor aंสò $\beta$ oppà．
 $\mu$ évovs disò $\beta$ opppá．


 кai dadáoots．

4． $2 x v^{\dot{j} \sigma \omega v .}$
 Jer．xxxi．（xxxviii）10 ávaycilate eis vjo

5．öp $\quad$ ruq $\eta \lambda$ á．


6．oi $\beta$ ovroú



7．Tâv ̧údov．
 iva жарé $\lambda$ 白．

8．E゙vסvaac＇Iєpovaa入ท＇$\mu$ ．



## 


Against these parallels let us set the verbal similarities which we find in Baruch．

 àvato入ิข．






```
l\sigmaкía\sigmaav \deltaì к⿺ì ol \delta\rhov\muol каì \piây \xiú\lambdaov củ๓\deltaías.
\muer' &ทфро\sigmaúv\eta¢.
```



There can be little room for doubt as to which list contains the more striking coincidences. We have, naturally, no wish to deny that the wiftmate source of our documents is to be sought in such passages as those quoted from the $L x x$. But we do assert that it is unnatural to suppose that we have two independent copyists to reckon with: and if this be granted, as we think it must, the question necessarily ariseswhich is the original of the two, and which the adaptation? It is a question of considerable importance, inasmuch as it affects the date of both books. We are already in possession of the views held by various writers as to the date of the Psalms of Solomon, and we have seen that no critic of note places them later than the 1st century B.c. It will therefore be only necessary to state the views held by some good modern critics as to the date of the Book of Baruch.
I. Ewald, who, in common with most writers, divides the book into two parts (i.-ii.. 8, and iii. 9-end), places Part I. in the last period of the Persian rule, and abstains from assigning a date to Part 11., of which he merely says that it is later.
2. Fritasche places the book in the late Maccabean period.
3. Kneucker puts the book in its present form after the destruction of Jerusalem by Titus: but, as we have seen, denies the direct connection with the Psalms of Solomon.
4. Schurer relies on such a connection for assigning a late date to Baruch.

With this last estimate we ourselves decidedly agree: and our reasons, stated in extenso, are as follows.

1. The Psalm is concise, well ordered, and logically developed. Baruch spreads the same amount of matter over a space just twice as large, and, besides, repeats himself. Thus, in iv. 37, v. 5 î́uè ${ }^{\circ} \rho \chi^{\text {ovTa4, }}$
 with only slight variations.

Agan $v_{0}$, is an expansion of $v_{1}$, for Jerusalem is told to put off
her mourning and to put on, not only her beauty, but the $\delta_{a} \pi \lambda o t s$ and mítpa of glory (cf. Ps. S. ii. 22).

Further v . 5 àváorn $\theta_{l}$...кaì $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta_{l}$ has all the air of an expansion of the simple $\sigma \sigma_{i} \theta_{l}$ of Ps. S.
v. 7 should be particularly noticed in this connection. It is far less vivid than xi. 5, 6. Baruch does not tell that God actually did or will lower the hills, but that he has appointed to do so: and the simple及ovvoì is replaced by the more pretentious expression $\theta$ ives dévraot and supplemented by фapayres (from Is. xl.); while the common word $\pi$ a$\rho \hat{\lambda} \theta_{n}$ gives way in Baruch to the more literary $\beta$ adion.

In v. 8, again, it is difficult to resist the conviction that we are reading a paraphrase of the more direct words of the Psalm. The phrase aâv छiviov eiwoias, which is common to both writers, has an appropriate function in Ps. S. God makes sweet plants spring up at the approach of the people. In Baruch it forms an appendix to the $\delta \rho v \mu o$, woods and sweet plants overshadow Israel : and there is a relic of the Psalmist's avéceciev in the $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ y \mu a \tau \iota ~ t o v ̂ ~ \theta c o v ̂ ~ o f ~ B a r u c h . ~$

Further, besides being the more diffuse, Baruch is less well knit together than Ps. S. The argument of his prophecy runs thus.
iv. 36-7. Jerusalem is to look about and see her children's return.
v. 1-4. She is to deck herself with glory, for God will glorify her for ever.
5, 6. She is to get up on high (for the second time) and look about to see her children return-not as they had gone out from her.
7-9. Their progress is described, and the whole ends abruptly.
The Psalmist's order is logical. First, the news is announced : then Jerusalem, on receipt of it, is to get up on high and see her children returning. Their return is described, and then, in order to receive them, Jerusalem is bidden to deck herself gloriously, and the whole is rounded off with a prayer for the speedy realisation of these hopes. Is it likely, or even conceivable, that a concise coherent whole of this kind should have been made out of a diffuse disconnected passage without distinct beginning or end? Does not the Psalmist approach far more nearly than Baruch to the old Prophetic sources in respect of simplicity and directness? and is he not therefore, according to all recognised rules of development, the predecessor of Baruch ?

Two considerations should be added. One, that the tendency to amplify is on the whole commoner than the tendency to contract, in documents of the poetical (as opposed to the narrative) class. The
other, that the Book of Baruch is throughout somewhat of a mosaic. The posteriority of the prayer of the exiles (i. 15 -iv. 8) to the prayer in Dan. ix., and its dependence on the latter, are generally acknowledged. This is a matter deserving of a passing notice, notwithstanding the large probability that the two halves of the book come from different authors.

Other resemblances between Ps. S. and Baruch have been noticed. Kneucker (p. 43 n .) gives the following list of parallel passages:
(1) B. iv. 10, 12 ; Ps. S. ii. 6,7 , ix, 1 , referring to the Captivity.

(3) B. iv. 15, 16; Ps. S. ii. 8, viii. 16, 24. Foreign captors.
(4) B. iv. 20 ; Ps. S. ii. 21,22 . Jerusalem clothed in sackcloth.
(5) B. iv. $26 ;$ Ps. S. viii. 19. óoil тpaxeiat.
(6) B. iii. 33,34 ; Ps. S. xvii. 15-13. God's ordering of the heavenly bodies.

Perhaps the following additional resemblances of thought and diction may be worth noting. In Part II, we have;
(r) B. iii. 13 trip aiüva without ais (also iii. 3). Ps. S. vii. 8.



(4) iii. 19, 24 use of то́тоs. Ps. S. xvi. 9 mss.
 $x$ vii. 23.
(6) iv. I vómos ó vixápXon eis pòv aîiva (also iii. 35). Ps. S. x. 5 .
(7) iv. 3 思 $\theta$ vos dildórptoy. Ps. S. ii. 2.


(10) iv. 17 Tt ©ivyarin. Ps. S. xv. 4.
 ix. 20, x. 9 .

In Part 1.


(3) ii. 4, Ps. S. ii. 6.
(4) ii. 15. Ps. S, ii. 12 , vii. 5,8 , viii. 8 , ix. $\times 8$, etc.
(5) ii. 27 , iruciretas of God. Ps. S. v. 14.

Should this attempt to prove that Baruch (Part II.) is posterior to the Psalms of Solomon be accounted a successful one, it will follow that a considerable step has been taken towards fixing a /crminus ad gurm
for the latter book, and also towards determining the character and date of the former. For in that case, Baruch II. can have had no Hebrew original-it being next door to impossible that an adapter and a translator of the same Hebrew Psalm should have hit upon the same Greek words to render the text before them.

But, if so much be true, we are led on to ask, what date can we assign to Baruch in its present form ? and the obvious answer seems to be, that if Part I. be a distinct composition, it must have been re-edited along with Part II. at a time when the Psalms of Solomon had been already translated into Greek and had attained some degree of popularity. We regard it as certain that the Psalms are posterior to the Pompeian invasion, and we are consequently forced to the conclusion that the only time appropriate to such a re-edition of Baruch as is here postulated, is the period after the destruction of Jerusalem by Titus, when such consolatory and hortatory matter as Baruch supplies would be most needed and most welcome.

It follows that the Psalms of Solomon had been turned into Greek some considerable time before A.D. 70, and they assume new importance, as monuments of Hellenistic Greek of the first century, and as most likely anterior in date to the whole New Testament literature.

The converse of the hypothesis, the view, namely, that the Psalmist copied Baruch, lands us in at least one very considerable difficulty. We are forced to allow-in clean contradiction to all our previous investiga-tion-that the xith Psalm-and with it almost necessarily the rest of the collection-had no Hebrew original. To those who are not convinced by our arguments on this head, the view may seem a tenable one; but even these would, we believe, be forced by the perusal of the documents in question to admit that Baruch II. bears throughout the character of a composition originally Greek, and not of a translation from the Hebrew, the language in which these Psalms were written.

## § ix. The Probability of a Hebrezo Original.

If we are right in ascribing the authorship of these Psalms to a Pharisee (or Pharisees) residing in Jerusalem, the hypothesis of a Hebrew original will naturally suggest itself for two reasons.
(1) The strict Pharisees took a patriotic pride in maintaining the Palestinian dialect and in resisting the encroachments of the Greek language: we should not expect a collection of Pharisee Psalms, breathing hostility to the Sadducee "menpleasers" (Ps. S. iv.), to have been issued in the Greco-Judaic dialect of the Dispersion.
(2) It is a reasonable assumption that Jewish Psalms, modelled on
the pattern of the national Psalter, and possibly intended for liturgical use, would have been composed neither in the Judæo-Greek nor in the colloquial Aramaic dialects, but in the Hebrew; since the Hebrew language, by reason of its association with the Jewish Scriptures and the Temple services, never ceased to be regarded as the language of Jewish worship.

There is therefore an antecedent probability that our present Greek text is a translation from the Hebrew; and in our opinion a close investigation of language tends to confirm this supposition. The reasons, which we propose at this point to state in favour of a Hebrew original, are not all of an equally convincing nature. But, when taken in combination, they are sufficient to establish a strong case for the conclusion, at which we have been able to arrive without much hesitation.

At the time when the Psalms of Solomon were written, all JudæoGreck writings reflected the influence of Hebrew or Aramaic upon Greek vacabulary and syntax, and in a greater or less degree gave proof of the commanding position occupied by the Alexandrine version. It is therefore often a matter requiring very careful and minute investigatoon, whether we have to deal with an original Greek work written by a Jew, or with a Greek Version of a Hebrew work. In both cases the presence of Hebraisms will be observed. A more comprehensive and complex test must be applied, if we are to arrive at conclusive results; but it is obvious that the more skilful the translator was, the more difficult is the task for us to distinguish between a translation from the Hebrew or Aramaic and a work originally written in Greek.

Under the following heads we have attempted to group together the principal grounds for the hypothesis of a Hebrew original.

1. There are certain obscure passages in our book, in which no conjectural emendation of the Greek text has as yet been successful, or seems likely to succeed. It is almost incredible that they can be the Psalmist's original composition. The supposition that their obscurnty has arisen from the defectiveness either of the translation or of the Hebrew text, upon which the translation was based, accounts satisfactorily for the appearance of the Greek. Thus, to select the most signal instance, xii. $\mathrm{r}-4$, it appears to us inconceivable that a Greek writer, for the most part so simple and intelligible in style as he appears to be in our Psalms, should here have written such desperately confused and bewildering sentences. On the supposition of a Hebrew original, these obscurties may be explained, cither on the ground of the translator's inabulity to cope with the difficulties of the Hebrew, or on the ground of his having before him a Hebrew text, whith was at this point corrupt or
defective. It is no sufficient answer to plead that the obscurity of this passage characterizes the style of only the composer of this one Psalm. For ( 1 ) the remainder of the Psalm ( $5-8$ ) is comparatively simple and straightforward, and (2) analogous, though not quite such puzzling, obscurities are to be found elsewhere in our book, and in our opinion are capable of receiving a similar explanation, e.g. ii. $13-15$, iv. 9-12, v. 15, vii. 8, xv. 9, xvii. 2.
2. The attempt to apply this solution may be open to the charge of a perverse ingenuity, but in some of the following examples, it appears to us, the obscurity of the Greek may reasonably be assigned to a mis-apprehension of the Hebrew or to errors in the Hebrew text.
A. Possible errors of translation, e.g.
 $(?)=$ ר
 Hebrew word being the same for 'guilt' and 'guilt-offering.' iv. 13
 misrendering of the relative. iv. 23 oüкovs $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ov̀s $\mathfrak{a} \theta \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu$ : an error
 tively. viii. 3 nov̀ äpa. (Wellhausen): the interrogative wrongly here used to translate אוא instead of 'assuredly.' xiii. 2 Oavárov a a $\mu \rho-$




 for $\gamma \in v \epsilon a ̀$ àratìv.
B. Possible errors arising from misapprehension of the vowels in ' unpointed' Hebrew.
 for aùtòv for $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{S}$,
 кข́plos,
C. Possible errors arising from confusion of Hebrew consonants or a defective Hebrew Text.

 confusion between the roots עור and v. 15 ìv $\phi i \lambda \omega$ каì $\dot{\eta}$ av̈poov: J. P.
lxxx
 ס1n' for

3. It is generaily symptomatic of a translation from Hebrew, that the structure of sentences is very simple and that there is a conspicuous absence of particles etc. expressive of finer shades of meaning. This we find in the Psalms of Solomon.
A. Clauses apparently grammatically dependent on one another are treated coordinately, e.g. iv. 8, 9 ävakadíquc...кaì Senauírater ot

 voreppíste.

B The only conjunction used with frequency is кai. We find also $\gamma^{\prime} \rho$, and less often $a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$. The partucle $\delta \dot{k}$ is only used some eight times (iii. 16 ; V. 16 ; xiii. $10,1 \mathrm{I}$; xiv. 7 ; XV. 15 ; xvi، 19; xvii, 3). The particle $\delta$ ri occurs once, viii. 30 , and ¿pe once, viii. 3. Other


Of the conjunctions denoting a cause ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ t is used constantly, and àv $\theta^{*}$ in oceurs in ii. 3, 55, 39. Temporal conjunctions occur very rarely (e.g. örav iii. I4; xv. 7, 24), dv rஸ̈ with the Inf. being used instead. A purpose is expressed by iva, but not often (e.g. ii. 88 ; v. 8 ; vii. $\mathbf{~}$; vili. 36 ; ix. 3,$16 ;$ xi. 7 ; xiii. 7), the construction of roû with the Infin. or Epexegetical lnfin. being preferred. öлшs does not occur, nor the construction of ais $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ with the Inf.

The conditional clause is expressed by iav, e.g. ii. 26; v. $5,9,10$, 12, 15, 19; vii. 4 ; xví. II, 13), and once by si (xviii. 14). We do not find $\AA \%$ used once; and the consequently defective method of expressing an apodosis is illustrated by ii. 36.
4. If we may judge from the example of the exx. version of the Old Testament, one marked characteristic of a Greek translation from a Hebrew book is the inability to render the meaning of the Hebrew tenses; the uncertainty how to translate the Imperf. being especially fruitful of confusion.

In our Psalms we notice (A) strange interchange of tenses, (B) possible traces of the difficulty caused by the Hebrew Impf.
A. The interchange of tenses, without any marked change implied in the action of the verb.




(3) Aor. and Pres., e.g. iv. $15 \dot{i} \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \ldots \dot{\mu} \mu \pi i \pi \lambda a r a l . ~ v i . ~ 8 ~ c i \sigma \eta^{\prime}-$

B. Possible traces of the Hebr. Impf. are to be seen in
(1) the Fut. Indic. employed very frequently to represent a present or continuous state, e.g. ii. 19 ó $\theta$ è̀s ov̀ $\theta a v \mu a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o v . ~ i i i . ~ 4 ~ o v i к ~$




(2) the occasional use of an apparently inappropriate Optative, where, on the supposition of its rendering the Hebrew Impf., a good


 we should expect eipetígetal. 51 taxivat is parallel with júverat.


5. Familiar features of translation from the Hebrew in the lxx. version are ( 1 ) 'duplicate renderings' of the same word or phrase, (2) words added by the translator to make the meaning of his rendering clearer.
(1) The following list seems to indicate the presence of duplicate renderings:





(2) The following genitives seem to be added for the purpose of defining or explaining the substantive which they qualify, without however adding to the meaning:

 di入lótpoov $\gamma^{\text {évous }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$.
6. The Lxx. frequently differs from the Hebrew "in respect of the number of a verb" (see Driver, Notes on the Heb. Text of the Books of Samuel, p. lxiii.).

The following instances in ous Psalms may possibly exemplify the



7. Possible literal reproduction of Hebrew, Under this head must be classed many Mebrasms, which we should expect to find in any Judro-Greek writing. But while therr presence does not prove that the Greek is a tranclation, their absence would be conclusive against it.
A. s. Substantives, which are the conventional equivalents of certan Hebrew words of this large class we need only give a few examples, e.g.




 (コวา).
2. The Hebrew use of substantives to express ideas for which an adjective would naturally be used in Greek:








3. The plural number in the following words is possibly an imitation of the Hebrew which they translate:



B. Verbs.

Besides the peculiarity noticed above in the use of the tenses we may observe the following possible traces of a Hebrew original.

1. The Hebrew idiom of expressing the dependence of one verb upon another by putting the second verb in the Infin.: ii. 24 ixavarov



2．An epexegetic use of the Inf．，the verb not standing in any close grammatical connection with the previous clause，e．g．ii． 28 ixxéau．


 xvii． 50 íceiv（xviii．7）．

3．The intensive use of the Hebr．Infin．Abs．may possibly
 xvii． 7 édógacav ìv $\delta o ́ \xi \eta$ ．

4．The Aor．Indic．possibly reproduces the use of the Hebr．Perf． to represent an action begun in the past and continued in the present， （cf．odi，memini，novi），e．g．i． $2{ }^{2} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu . \quad$ vii． $9 \boldsymbol{\ell} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \in i \lambda \omega$ ．viii． 37 ウ่ $\lambda \pi i \sigma a \mu \in v . \quad$ ix． 16 （xiv．4）ウ่ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \pi \eta \sigma a \nu . \quad$ x． $1 \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta$（xiv．5）．xiv． 1 еेขетєілато（xix．2）．

5．The 3rd Plur．in ėre $\theta$ जिvtat（ix．16），which has no subject expressed，may reproduce the Hebr．impersonal use．

C．The Prepositions．
aंтo．1．The Hebrew construction of $p$ and ？gives the best

 is a reproduction of מִיָּמים יָׁיטְה．
 sense of＂immediately after，＂possibly translates ip．

 xvii． 41 ка日apòs $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi} \mathbf{o}$ ，can be paralleled in Judæo－Greek writings，but，if our Psalms are a translation，will naturally be explained as the rendering of


 xv． 9 đimò ó $\sigma \dot{\prime} \omega v$ ．
cis．I．Of time at which an event takes place，as an equivalent of
 кацpoús．

2．Of the extreme point attained：iv． 20 cis divá $\lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu$
 xvi． 2 єis $\theta$ ávatov＝ער מות

 і́ $\mu$ кануцо́v．
iv．1．In iv．15， 24 dy a $a \mathfrak{a} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ tovitots may very possibly render the phrase nikropa．

2．iv is by far the commonest preposition used in this book



Other prepositions，e．g．ขंжìp and rapà with the acc－for the com－ parative（＝｜ط），Cf．i．．8．ii．30．v，6．viii，14．ix，17．xvii． 48.

 vayre ii．13．बंтévaret ii． 14 xvii． 5 －

D．The Negative．＇The Hebraic idiom is reproduced in ii．It ov＇




E．Miscellaneous．
 reproduces the idiomatic use of $\begin{gathered}\text { ant．}\end{gathered}$

2．The phrase mávras aưoùs in xvii．46，xviii 9 suggests 唈き．
 literal reproduction of לip

4．In viii． 30 i $\delta 00$ 垪，where we find $\delta \eta$ for the only time，the Greek probably translates k net，since N is in the Lxx．very generally rendered by $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ．

5．The absence of the article before the substantive in ii． 33 iv
 though admitting of frequent illustration from Greek writers，is explained very exactly by the Hebrew．

 （ $\mathrm{x} u \mathrm{i} .34$ ）is best understood by comparison with the Hebrew equivalent．

The results of this enquiry are，in our opinion，of a nature to make it in the highest degree probable that the book was first written in Hebrew．This is the view held by the majority of modern scholars who have investigated the subject；e．g．Geiger，Wellhausen，Schurer．

IIlgenfeld（Messks Itudacor．Prolegg xvi．，xbii），however，defends the oryginality of the Greek text．In support of his opinion（＂primitus græce scriptos esse censeo hus psalmos＂）he cites ii． $3^{\text {f }}$ Tin vin＇ovipavor．



 devềv $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \dot{\kappa} \tau \omega v$. xvii. 3 I . xviii. 10 סıá $\psi a \lambda \mu a$. It will be seen in the notes attached to our text that the greater number of these phrases are based on the language of the Lxx. version. This however is a fact which in no way militates against the theory of a translation. A translator, well acquainted with the lxx. version, and translating Hebrew Psalms largely based upon the Jewish Scriptures, would naturally avail himself of the renderings which had become generally recognised. 'There is nothing in the Greek to make us regard the translator as a very gifted or independent scholar. And, this being so, we may suppose that he would make use of his acquaintance with the Lxx. version, wherever an opportunity presented itself. Hilgenfeld's list is for the most part evidence, not of a Greek original, but of acquaintance with Lxx. renderings.

Even less conclusive is his other line of argument, according to which he claims that our book must have been written in Greek, because it contains traces of the influence of the book of Wisdom. Here again, we might reply that a translator might employ Greek phrases coinciding with, and even based upon, the language of a well-known contemporary work. But even this simple hypothesis is seen to be unnecessary, when we discover on what very precarious grounds Hilgenfeld has asserted the indebtedness of our book to the book of Wisdom. The passages which he compares are seven in number; a few words in each case will explain the real character of their resemblance.

 passages there is no sort of resemblance. The adjective àvoфe入 $\eta^{\prime}$, common to both of them, is a straightforward word, which was afterwards frequently used in the versions of the $O$. $T$.

 subject-matter; the similarity of the phrase employed loses all force as evidence of the dependence of one writing upon the other, when we note that in the one case we have $\sigma v v \theta \dot{j} \kappa \eta v$, in the other $\sigma v v \theta_{\eta}$ кas; in the one $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$, in the other $\sigma v \nu \theta^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$; in the one $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ with the acc., in the other the dative without a preposition.



 but both passages are such as very naturally expand the thought of the Canonical Psalms, e.g. Ps, xcvini. 1, cxviii. 16. The use of the words
 and their occurrence in a similar context in Wisd. and Ps. S. hardly calls for further remark.

 correctness of the conjectural reading ưo omos. In any case the sentence in the book of Wisclom is very general, that in our xaith Psalm is very definite. Without denying the possibility that the form of the Greek in Ps. S. xvii. 13 may reflect the influence of Wisd. v. 23, it appears to us more probable that the resemblance is purely accidental, the words
 accepting ävanos in xvii. I $_{3}$.
 vi. 17 iv siota日eíq kapoias. The substantive svoré日ena is not very common; but it is a good word and of regulat formation. It occurs in both passages in our Pss, with appropriate meaning ; and there is no ground for supposing that its occurrence is due to the influence of a passage in Wisdom.

 passages are quite distunct in meaning, and the word $\sigma$ atapê, which in the passage from Wisdom is used in the alistract semse of 'weight,' as a parallel to 'measure' or 'number,' occurs in the passage from our book in the sense of that which tests the weight, 'balances' or 'scales.'
 The similarity here in the words ört ow is not so striking as the difference between $\lambda e \lambda o \gamma i \sigma \mu t \delta a$ and ioperv. It is strange that any one should refer the words from uur Psalter to the book of Wisdom, when passages in the O. T., e.g. Ex. xxxiv. 9 кaì ecópetí oro, are so obviously their source.

The reader will be able to judge for hmself how far these passages support Hild genfeld's contention, that the text of our $\mathrm{l}^{1}$ salms shows the influence of the book of Wisdon. We are incluned to say that a much closer verbal correspondence would have to be made out, in order to prove that the vocabulary of one book has affected that of another.

But even if we were prepared to concede this point, which we are far from doing, Hilgenfeld's argument would only prove that the diction of the book of Wisdom has left its traces upon our Psalter. It is needless to say that this is as likely to happen in a Greek translation from the Hebrew as in an original Greek work by an Alexandrian Jew, such as Hilgenfeld supposes the author to be.

In conclusion, in our opinion, the probability, that the Greek is a translation from the Hebrew, is not affected by Hilgenfeld's appeal to the alleged parallels in the book of Wisdom.

## §x. The Character of the Greck Translation.

Hilgenfeld's verdict, that, if a translation at all, it is an excellent one (ceterum si grece versi essent Salomonis psalmi, optime versi essent), needs some qualification. Although, as a general rule, the meaning of each sentence is simple and clear, there are numerous instances, of which we have already given examples, where the obscurity is very considerable. In addition to those mentioned above, we may here refer to




As we have no other version with which to compare it, it is not possible to determine its real merits as a translation. Its apparent excellence may be due only to the freedom with which the translation has been executed.

The Index Grecitatis, appended to this volume, will sufficiently illustrate the characteristics of the not very copious vocabulary employed by the translator. The following words seem only to occur in our
 (ii. 25).

We do not find elsewhere any precise parallel to the strange usage
 (iv. 2) in these Psalms.

We give here some lists as samples of the principal words of interest to be found in the Psalms of Solomon.

## Substantives.




## 1xxxviii










 (ii. 20), катастррор́ (xiii. 5, 6), катафориí (xvi. 2), катпфиуฑ́ (v. 2),









 12), xpurtós (xvii. 36. xviii. 6, 8).

## Adjectives.








## Verbs.














 (xvi. го), тоцаív (xvii. 45), тробко́ттн (iii. 5, 11), тvро́ш (xvii. 48), бкаv-




Adzerbs.

 oúverpus (xvi. 2).

Some of the chief characteristics in the use of the Prepositions have already (pp. lxxxiii. lxxxiv.) been considered. The following also deserve notice.

1. The preposition $\boldsymbol{i} v$ is almost as frequently used as all the other prepositions reckoned together. (See Index.)
(a) It is often used instrumentally : e.g. iii. 9. xiv. 9. xvii. 27, 4 I. xviii. 14.
(b) It is characteristic of this book to use iv with a substantive almost in the place of an adjective: c.g. iv. II ávסpòs èv civota日cía
 $\theta$ єóv. viii. 28 àpvía ìv áкикia = ápvia ä́кака. ix. 15 ápaptávovтаs èv


(c) $\dot{\epsilon} v$ with an abstract substantive is frequently found at the end of a sentence, especially in the earlier portion of the book, e.g. i. $8 \mathrm{f} v$


2. The use of the prepositions is not very regular.

 xvii. 4, 12, 23, 35 (cf. ii. 34).



$\pi \rho o ̀ s . ~ v i . ~ 18 ~ e ̀ \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s . ~$
$\mu \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha}$ is used (but never oìv). See Index of Prepositions. It is also found almost in the sense of the copula, e.g. xvii. 40 iv $\sigma$ oфiq $\mu \mathrm{er}$

© xi. The Date of the Greck Translation.
I. It will be seen from pp. lxxii,-luxvii. that, in our opinion, the Greek version of Psalm S. xi. was the original from which Baruch v. was expanded. Now Ihar.els v. is quoted at length by Irenæus ; and must have been known and read for some considerable time previously. The date, therefore, at whels the latter jortion of Baruch was composed and added to the former portion, could hardly have been later than the close of the First Century A.d.

Assuming then that Baruch v. is based upon Ps. S. xi., it is reasonable to suppose that the Greek version of Ps. S. xi. was current for some time before it was made use of for such a purpose. On this hypothetical train of reasoning the translation is not later than the middle of the First Century A.D.
11. There is no trace in the Greek of Christian influence at work, nut, in our upinion, of Christian glusses. The mention of the xpeatos кépeos doess not necessarily mply a reference to Christian thought (see
 allusion to a belief in the Second Advent. (See note on xviii, 6.)

Indeed we regard it as inconceivable, if the text had been tampered with in the interest of Christian doctrine, that the Divinity of the Messah should not have been asserted, and that no reference should have been made to the Death or Resurrection of our Lord in the xvith and xviith $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ss}}$.

1II. It is possible that the use of certain words in the Greek may further help us to determine the date of the translation.
 in 2 Macc. vi. 25 , becomes frequent in N. T.
iv. 20 eis dédindus. It is unlikely that this word would have been used of a wicked man's (evil) end, if the translator had been famular with the technical meaning of 'Assumptıo,' which àváiךұus obtained apparently in the course of the First Century A.d.
ix. 7. Iv indoyn. The word, which does not occur in the LxX., may possibly be used in the sense of 'Divine Election,' which is found in St Paul's Epistles.
 the Lxx. , is found in I Cor, xiii, 2.
x. 5. Maprupia. This word is rare in the LXXX, Mapriptov being preferred; in the N. T. $\mu$ aprupia is more often found than $\mu$ aprópoov.
xii． 8 erapplies．This mord in the Priar．rith a reierence to the Messianic promises consaineti in ibe C．T．Eriztares does nor aviur in

xvi．I caradopai in the 三ense ci－deef sletp is formi perhaps here for the first time in Judeowireek．It is Açuilas remdering for imand Gen．ii． 21 ．
xvii． 19 rapoucia l＇sed in she concrete for a community of sojourners，the word pertaps oocurs here for the first cime．
xvii． 36 （xviii．6，5）．Speoris occurs bere for the first time as a ritle to represent the Personal Deliverer for whom the Jews hoped．

The evidence is very meagre．The presumption however is strongly in favour of the translation having been made between forc．and fo A．b． We are inclined ourselves to assign it to the last decade of the ist cent．b．c．

It is therefore interesting to observe the similarity in phraseology between our Psalms and＇the Songs＇in Luke i．，ii．
a．The Magnificat．
 xvi．27．xvii． 2.
 Ps．S．ii．39．Tīs $\delta o i ' \lambda \eta s$ aíroî．Cf．Ps．S．ii． 41 ． x． 4
 тò övopa av̀rov̀．Cf．Ps．S．vi．2，6，7．viii． 3 1．xv． 3 ．

 S．xvii．27．ข่тєрŋфávous．Ps．S．iv．26．xvii．8， 26. 52 каӨєî̀єv к．т．$\lambda$ ．Ps．S．ii．35．xvii． 8.
 кevoùs．Ps．S．iv． 19.
 бov．Ps．S．xii．7．xvii．23．$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a l ~ e ̀ \lambda e ́ o u s . ~$ Epex．Inf．Ps．S．x． 4.
 $\kappa . \tau \hat{\Psi} \sigma \pi \dot{\varphi} \rho \mu a \tau \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ . ~ P s . ~ S . ~ i x . ~ 17 . ~ x v i i i . ~ 4 . ~$
b．The Benedictus．
Luke i． 68 cúlopmròs кúplos．Ps．S．vi．9．ó Ocòs rov̂＇I $\sigma \rho a \eta ̀ \lambda$ ．
 iii. 14. Eroingrev גúrperesv. Ps. S. vili. $12,36$. ix. 1.
 xvii. 8, 23 .



 19.
 xvii. 5 I.
 גarpev́tเv. . divúatov au่roû. Ps. S. ii. 40.

" $\quad 78$ d ${ }^{2}$ ジquovs. Ps. S. xvii. 7.
 Ps. S. ví. 3. vii. 9. xvi. g. xviii. 9.
(c) The Angelic Hymn.

Luke ii. 10. evayyedígoual Ps. S. xi, $x$.
" $1 \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{X}$.
 viii. 39 .
(d) The Nunc Dimittis.

Luke ii. 30 тò $\sigma w$ riptóv $\sigma o v$. Ps. S. x. 9.
 au่rov̂ lopaỳ่. Ps. S. xvii. 34, 35.

## Additional Note on Cod, $V$.

This note contains the results of Dr Rudolf Beer's collation of the Vienna ms. On the merits of the former collation we have said something on p. xxxvi, of the Introduction The last of readings that follows will be more eloquent than anything we could add here.
Ps. i. V gives the number $A^{\prime}$ but omits the title.
5. ilwav apparently corrected to eivor.

## Ps. ii. 3. $\delta \omega \hat{\omega} \rho a$ not $\delta \omega \dot{\rho} \omega \omega$.


5. $\dot{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$ (contracted) not $\eta^{\prime} \tau \mu \in ́ v \theta \eta$.
9. cis $\mathfrak{a} \pi a \xi$.
20. The clauses are transposed, as in P. катéбт.- $\delta$ ófŋŋs follows катататиббє.
25. $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, not -í $\sigma$ -
30. èккекеvтク年vov, not -ov.
iii. 1. "Iva tí (also iv. I).
8. $\ddagger \xi \alpha \rho a l$.
12. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta_{\eta \kappa \alpha \nu, ~ n o t ~-~}^{\text {8. }}$.
iv. 13. จv่ ब่vย́бтท.
21. $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \sigma a v$, not $-\theta \eta \sigma a v$.
v. 16. тò $\delta \grave{\ell}$, not $\delta \in \grave{c}$.
vi. 3. adds ขixò кvpíov $\theta \in o v ̂ ~ a u ่ т o v ̂ . ~$

8. oiктєєр $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon ร$, not -тทр-.

32. $\sigma$ ò ó $\theta$ còs.

ix. 3. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, not avit $\hat{\omega} \nu$ (a mistake of Hilg.).

6, 7. $\quad$ ov ó $\theta$ cós: тa к.т. $\lambda$.
20. è $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i}$ olkov, om. тòv.
x. 1. $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ víval, not $-\hat{\eta} v a \iota$.
6. ö́cos ó кúplos.
9. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu$, not ฮ่ф $\rho$-.
xi. 3. cis $\boldsymbol{a} \pi a \xi$.

xii. 4. $\dot{o} \sigma \tau \hat{a}$.

xiv. 1. èv vó $\mu \varphi$.
3. add тov̂ ou่pavov̂ with $\mathrm{KP}(\mathrm{M})$.
xvi. 2. $\quad \varepsilon \xi \in x^{j} \theta \eta$, not $-\omega^{\prime} \theta \eta$.

12. ivioxúoal.

B a
13. ̇̇v $\pi \in v i a \operatorname{\pi a\iota }$ סєiav sic
xvii. 9. $\gamma^{\prime}$ vous, not -os.
20. $\tau \dot{\eta} v \hat{\eta} v$, not $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$.
22. $\alpha \pi \in$ écíg, not $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta$ cíq.
47. $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a$, not $-\dot{\eta}$ -
xciv
Ps. xviii. 9. катєutv́vaц.
катабтท̂бau, not - ${ }^{\eta}$-.
13. кai हैшs aīvos.

We have to apologise to our readers for the somewhat clumsy arrangement we have adopted. It is due to the fact that several sheets had been already passed for the press, and we were unwilling to introduce further alterations into them. The list is intended, therefore, to serve in some sort as a table of Errata.

## 世A＾MOI 乏A＾OM $\Omega$ NTOE．

## a. ЧA入Mdc T@ CANOMĹN.

I. 'Eßónga mpode kýpron ên ṭ̂ axbectal me eis tenos,



Ps. I. Argument. The False Secarity.
1, 1a. Zion's Prayer, when assailed hy "sinners" and threatened with war.
26-5. Zion's Confidence. She was confident that her prayer would be heard, becruse she was 'rughteous': and of her 'righteousness' she thought she had a pledge in her material prosperity, and in the multutude and wealth of her sons.
6-8. Zion's disappointment. But her confience has proved to have been mosplaced: her chikiren have abused the 1, cesings of prosperity by 'secret' ant and especindly by wolation of "the holy things,"

The Psalm here break off. The reader is lefit to supply the conclusion, viz. that Z 10 n , having forfeited her right edusness, could no longer hope for in favourable answer to her prayer for help.
That the Psalmist impersonates Zion or the true Isracl seems to be clear from
 Das dy etrwors
The allusions contajned in the Psalm are therefore to matters of nalional interest.
The circumstances under which the Psalon was written must depend upon the explanation given of $v \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}} 1,2 a, 7,8$ (see notes, esp. on ver. j). But the close correspondence both in thought and language with Ps. S. viti, $\mathrm{t}^{5}-\mathrm{J}_{4}$ is in our opiaion convincing proof that the two l'saims refer to the same historical incidents, and suggesta the probabibity of their having been written hy the same zuthor. (bee lutrod. to I's. vili.)

1 The absence of the hending "A Psalm of Solomon' in four out of the five, Mss is probably tue to the fact that the general title 'Fsalms of Solomon' rendered it unnecessary to preface the operung Psalm with an inscription to the same eftect. The first canonical Psalm, simiarly, has no heading. It is also a question how far any of the titles of our Sulomonic collection are fenuine.
 guage of this clause seems to le based on 3 Sam. xxii. 7; Ps. xvi, (xviui) 7, but there is no exact reproduction of the Lxx. The words wifde- $\mu$, however, eecur ltteraliy in Ps. exix. (crx.) 1.
 $\mathrm{Ps}_{\mathrm{s},}$ jv. 2, lxv. (lxvi.) $\mathrm{I}_{4}, \mathrm{cv}_{4}$ (cvi.) 44 cvi . (cvii.) $6,19,28$; Isai. $\mathrm{xxv}, 4$; Hos. v. 15 .
it Thos i.e. "utterly," "compietely. The translation of $\Pi$ Hy and $\Pi$ Isjit by els Then is very common in the LXX. [e.g. P's. ix. 32 ( $x$ 11), xii. (xıi.) 2, xlui. (xlav.) 2t, xiviii. (xlix.) 10, lxvii. (|xvan..) 17 , |xx1th (fxxiv.) I, :0, 19, Ixxviii. (1xxix, ) 5, Ixxxviui. (lxxxıx.) 47, cii. (cui.) 9] with the meaning of 'in geternum'; this is also the meaning of the other rendering als poror (e.g. Jer. iji. 5). The rendering " perfectly, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ compietely, , which has sometimes been defended, is improbable even in such a prossage as Ps. xilit. $t$, and is tnsupported by the annlogy of the ofher instances where the worl occurs.

Accordingly in this passage where the

## PSALM I.

## A Psalm of Solomon.

## I I cried unto the Lord in my sore distress, eren unto God when sinners assailed.

Mase 'for ever' is unsutable, els tedas will bot reprivesent MY?? The sugrestion that els tethos is cut of mis place ands should
 Inserpetion of Fs. liv. (lv.) (where the cxx. Bluntered aver nyyb 'For the (haef Mustian'), deqerses to the menthont. But the phase probably interprets some intensive, such as ith (2 Chron xif- 13), or absh (a Chron. xxat. r), imitli of which are rendered by eis redos in the rxx. Cf. 1 Thess. it. is

imtetroan. A word of frequent occurren ce in these I'salms. (f. wit 1 , ix. 16 , xvil. 6 .
đuapreirhous. This arljective, eccursing 32 tumes in thene Psaluis, is used with especial sigminicance. It many lee niticed that it is nist found in l'se. v.-xi., xviat, The d $\mu a$ artolot are espectally instangushed from the $\delta$ ikatat (e.g. it. 38 , iss. $\mathrm{I}_{3} 14$, iv.

 fotar (xil. 8, xiv. 3, 4). By this term the P'silton st probalily refers to the sarkituces. In the thays of the Maccabean Kevelton it had been used of Itellemang Jews, I Mase. H. 48.
In vicw of its spectal apputication in our back, the reference in the present passage to 'simners' must be underatoch! to denote the arrelighus (bee. sadilucac) Jews, athl now the lomation or the beatlen

Wellhausen sees in these verses an epitome of a whole century of Jemsh hastory, the 'smaners' are the heathen, and the allestion is to the pernecutan of Autiokhas. Epiphanes, the 'war' is the Matatean relellion; this led! to the tumporary andepentence of the Jews, exten let thest materal power, and estab,hshed the ghory of the Asmonean house thers pross, serity is only external. Zen sees, only tho late, the sin thitt unrlerlses the merense of power, and predicts the doom of thase who do not slame from profaning the most sacred things.

Perhaps no consmeng teat ean be ap plied to this hypothesis, liut is not the general maression conveyed 1 y the J'salm that of one special ensis, not of a long development? The other J'salims in this eollection give no strport in Wh, thausen's veew, So far as they are hatorical, they express the emotons consequent upon the recent occurrence of mportant events To summarize in a Psalm the survey of a century's history miphes a pholowplineal attutude natural enough in modern times, lut foreign to the sumplictity of thought of out Pbiltist.

We have already mentioned that a comparison of P's J. with Ps. vill. I 14 shows a stahing identity of situation (ree note on vall. 7). In that t'sultn Pompley's entry into Jerusalem is unmist akally dieserimel, and the historical allustons of the present Pa, lifi may he ass enoed in the जaाme perion.





каî ทீ ठóga au


"xaì ésúßpırà ẻv roîs ảya甘oîs aủrû̀,
каi. ойк ทัеукаข*




- itu Cold. A, V, K, M. Eikon P, Hilg. conj. (Fritzsch, Pick.). Fabre. conj. ört post isar. nérwat P, M. Cedi dratois.

 xix. \& Heb, Cf, also viii, i.

The sudden outbreak of war here refired to should probably be identified with the conflict between Arastobulias and Hyrcanus which arose on the death of Ale xandra ( $x_{1}$ ), or with the hostilities comminced by Anstolulus against Pompey, while the later was marching upon Jewssalem ( 63 ). See Intrud.
emakofrerah It is noticeable that in 2 Sam, xxii. 7 ypeill is rendered by etakovereva, where the Lxx, give a wrong rendering of the tenses. Our translator possibly borrows from the LxX. of that passage. But here we should in any case probably rightly render it by the future, trice the clause is to lee regarded as a soliloquy following span the entreaty. Schmidt's conjecture ultra divenvera is ingenious and gives the full sense of the passage. Against it however is to be set the fact that the rat Per. Sing. in Ps. S. vii. 3 is elbow not sita.

 katoríny you and I Sam, roil. aI. The character of the "righteousness" here spmenen of will be best understood from the description of the "righteous man," eng. in Psalm it.
 cxuxix. (cyl, ) 2, where however the words occur in a different sense.
múnvīan This word is not very ferequently used, It is found in the lxx. In represent a fruitful vine $\left[\mathrm{P}_{3}\right.$. exxvii. (corviii.) 3], where it translates 7 Tn. In Job xxi. 9 , od arrow cutin eioppobirt, the






See also Zech. vii. 7 eifoppoitara $=\pi$ Titus and Ps. $x x i x,(x x x)$.7 ty $\uparrow \bar{p}$ entimple $\mu 0 v=$ "? that the word was used to represent the notion of maternal prosperity and quiet security.
roily yautias tv pervert. The blessing of many children was, according to the promises of the Law, a reward for true obedience. Cf. Ex. xxiii. 25126 ; 14. 111 . 13.
 wrongly understands is of duaprwhol fer. i). For the combination of sofa and Thoron he quotes Ps. ext. (xxii.) 300 ste
 po fovulyou Toy Rúprow).

The general tone of the passage seems to be caught from Ps. Lxii. (lxzi.t) 917.

SLat ow The Optative is a misrendaring of the frequentative Imperfect in the Hebrew. Cf. xvii. ra, Both this

2 Suddenly the alarm of war was heard before me，I said， He will hearken unto me，for I am full of righteousness．

3 I considered in my heart，that I was full of righteous－ ness，because I was prosperous and had become plenteous in children．

4 Their riches were gone ${ }^{1}$ forth into all the world，and their ${ }^{1}$ Gr．Mray glory unto the ends of the earth．
thear riches
5 They were lifted up to the stars；they said，We ${ }^{8}$ shall ${ }^{\frac{1}{5} 0}{ }^{\text {go }}$ Gr．They never fall．

6 But they waxed haughty in their prosperity，and were not able to endure．

7 Their sins were in secret ；and I knew it not．
and tlae followng verse descrabe the great propperity of the Jewish people．It is poessilite that the F＇salnast is refermag to ins perand of tranquillity in the regg of Alex．nura（i8（oy），which was folloued liy the Livil War and the intervention of Rume．

Geiger，who renders the tense by the I uture，is misiaken in referriug the verser
 the Maccaizean I＇rinces by Pompey and the or loing led meaptivity to Kome（Ews equarou tigs rosto This wiew seems to 1 ke shareel ly thagenfut who atduses this verse，alung whis xuth 6，th）show hew thee writes has mataled otie Wisclum if melumun，is emm（ 13 sq ）in talu
 Intruad
Batt the fact that thas verese is folluwed by ituwthoar zas $\tau \omega \%$ dacpay should lo
 thing calamituns cosld be intended in the mention of the wide dispersion of Jewish wealth，It is the expent of their commerctal urmbrtahimgs and the fame of the，racher，whath are alluded to．
 its，I I Tupriate wurl to describe a viulent

5 Kws tây notpey．In the O．T．thus melaphat is only elsiwhere found in Jer． 1．（षx＋11 ）りx
 cinmpare Isat．xiv is＇I w il exall my throne atwote the hars of Gon＇（tiax．
 Oposoverat）．
atrar．IIngenfeld＇s emendation eltav （ist l＇ers．sing．）was intendetl in get til of the grammancal datt culty in ou ah

Titrowet，liy maining the worits a mollogny of the l＇solmus．It has appurently tlee sirport of the Parisinn MS．But the Ilural gives a grod sense：＂In their ex－ allation they uttered their boasfol cry， that they shoult never fall，nat recelises an appostte thastration frum the ral elacy in Ps， $1 \times x \mathrm{in}$ ，（ $\mathrm{lx} x \mathrm{i}$. ．）it，The use of the
 of $\mu$ th wtowuex，presents a lutle dithe ulty． Het it ts probatily to be accounted for as a very literal rendering of the Hebrew． Compare for the construction $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{ix}, 2 \mathrm{t}$ ． For the thought of the verse compare Ps ． saix（xxx．）\％．
－＂jißpraar．See Genizlix． 4 ；Ezek． x1vil 5； 3 Mance 128.
ovk गेvyxay．The most probable ex－ planation of this expression is to be ob－ tained from a comprirson with Jer．xx． 9 ＋I am weary wth forhearing，and I can－ not contain＇（rat of súpauat pipetr $=$ ちЗak（x））：ef，also Job xxxi．23i Jer． 12． $23, x$ ． 10 ；Joel ii．If．

They cuald not keep their ambition under control；their arrogance knew no bounds．The Psalmist is referring to the wealthy Sa．Wh lucers．

Fithciun＇explanation＇sectman，prome－ tias，sacralicta offerre onaserunt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ has nis－ thing to recommend it；but no doubt gave nse to Whiston＇s＇have brought no oblation．＇

 Fack，vis 12．Un the＇secrecy＇of the＇ suns arrimst when the l＇malumbt speaks， see alow Iv．4，vill， 9 ．I rom the se pas－ enem it se a．n taut that the allawion is to the fernich aristo． of the taw．

#   <br> s dго䒑lat V，K，P，M．dцартicu A（Cerd，Fabr．）． 

## 

##  катє́ßa入є тєíخך ỏ $\chi$ үрà， 


 Fabr．）：deest in M．

E Th mpd au่Tuิy 0 mm ．This expres－ sion，if taken in close connection with the previous verse，might lead us to sop－ pose that the promitrev maditumtr here mentioned were the dwellem in Sodom （Gen．xix．），whose wickedness is sur－ pabseel by the sina dore＇in secret，＂the sensualities introduced into Palestine by the spread of heathen rites．Comp，ivo 4． 5，wili．9， 10,14 ．

On the other hand，if the expression be unt lemberd generably，we should com－ pare Lev，xviii．27，28；2 Kings xxi．9； z Chr，xxxili．9．These passages offer the most natural illustration of our verse， and in perticular the $2 \times x$ ．of Lev，xvii． 27． 88 presents a close parallel，Tuitra





\＆$\beta$ \＆$\beta_{\text {jidar }}$ constraction compere 1x，19．It probably represents the intensive use of the Int． Absol，with the Finite Verb in the Hebrew．

тd dyen кypiov．Cf，ji．3，vili，E2， xvili．ふた．

The phrase $\beta$ Beptinoí rd ange mplou occurs frequently in the lxx．（e．g．Lev． Nix． 8 ，xxif． 15 ；Num，xvii． 33 ； $\mathrm{l}^{1} \mathrm{~s}$ ． Inxth 1i．（Ixaxix．）40：Exek，xxit－26， Kxiv．21，etc．á Zeph．ifi．4；Mal．1z．II： 1 Macc iu．51）．

The words of Lev．six．8，where the $2 \times \%$ ．rendering 15 o $\delta \delta$ lot wh aúrd duapriav
 beal explan tims comeluding sedterne of the Psalm．The correspondence of the language is so close that ith all probability

It has been berrowed by our translator， and theref re should supply the true in－ terpretation of Te dyla kuplov．These words might be taken to mean＇the sanctuary of the Loxn，for which they commonly stand in the LxX．i and this translation is followed by Genger（＇tar Hailighmm＇）and Pick（＇the Sameluary＇）， But both in this verse and in ii， 3 the Psalmist is alludang expecially to the pro－ fane and irreverent action of the Jews， and of their Priests in particular，in the ritual of the sacrifices，es，for example， by approaching the aitar when certmo－ nially unclean，a form of protanation singled out for especinl opprobrium in vili． 13,14 ．The violation of the Mosate faw under this bedd represented to the true Pharisee the extreme of impiety， which God would surely not aufier to go unpunished．

Ys．II．Argument．
A．Jerusalem＇s overthrow（ $1-1$ ）；
（i）The Temple defled by the Gen－ thes in return for the profancness of the ＇sons of Jerusalem＇（ $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{s}$ ）．
（1i）The inhabitants of Jenusaiem cap．－ tives in return for their unparalleled wick－ edness（ $6-12$ ）．
（iii）Their wickedness returns on their own heads（ $13-15$ ）．

B．God＇s judgement justified（16－ 23）．
（i）He is righteous in visiting the people for their sin $(6-19)$ ．
（ii）in humiluating the chosen city（zo -23 ．

C．The Intercession of the holy（ $24-$ 29）．
（i）Let not Istael be wholly con－ sumed $(24-26)$ ．

8 Their transgressions were greater than those of the heathen that were before them;

9 The holy things of the Lord they had utterly polluted.

## PSALM II.

## A Psalm of Solomon concerning Ferusalom.

1 When the sinful man waxed proud, he cast down fenced walls with a battering-ram, and thou didst not prevent him.
(ii) Let veogeance overtake the oppressors who overthrow Jerusalem with salkajery, not juticially (27-29).
I) The Jivine Ansucr (30-35).
(i) The death and dishenour of the Oppressor $\left(30,3^{5}\right)$.
(ii) The drom of the would be king for uronamee and thodrens ( $3^{2}-35$ ).
E. The call to recognose the truc K.ng ( $3^{6-40}$ ).
(i) The great King (36).
(ii) IIs mercy and justice (37).
(iii) His lovingkindness (38-40).
F. Doxology (41).

The speaher, who refers to himelf culy m ve. 24 ant zo, does not identify limelf w.th Jerumalem or Lion. The pritht of view iv therefore different from that of the prece ling Psalm. The P'salmint is the spohesman of the theocratic party Jeferred to in $77.37,3^{81}, 40,41$, as those "that fear the Lord with underthanding,' 'the righteous,', "that call upon lim in patience, "His servante,"
The allusions in this Psalm to historical events are of very great importance. Their identification supphes nos only a clue to the date of the composition of this particular Psalm, but alsoa ${ }^{\text {t }}$ terminns ad quem ${ }^{\text { }}$ for the date of the whole coliection.
(ir) Jerusalem has been at the mercy of invailerm, her walls bave been battered town, the sacred altar has been profaned (vv. 1, 7). Jews have been enslaved by their oppressors $(6,7)$.
This agrees with the occupation of Jensalem by Pompey, with his siege of the Temple which lasted for three months, and its limal capture, fellowed by the mawacre of Amstolnatus' supporters, and liy his removal along with other Jews of distinction into captivaty at Jeme.
(b) The 'sinfil man' (ver, j), 'the dragon ${ }^{4}$ (29), whose purpose had been to rule the world, who had set his "greatness" against that of God ( 33 ), is pierced and
slain in Egypt (30), his body lies neglected, unburied, on the waves $(30,31)$.

This description agrees closely with the fate of Pompey. He macle a bid for supreme power against Cossar; he whas surnamed 'the Great.' He was treacherously assaminated on the strores of Egypt (Sept. 28, 48 s.c.).
(c) The overthrow of the Oppressor


It is warth observing that white, is appears from this Psaim, the Jews rograter Pompey as a tyrant, they glonfied Casar on cocount of his clementy and consiteration towards their own yace. The concluding burst of triumph in our Psalm very probably indicates the satibfaction of the patriot Jews as the complete success of Chesar's arms. After arrang. ing matters in Egypt and overthrowing Pharnaces, king of Pontus, with extraordinary sudidenness, he relumed to Syrta, and in July of 47 was in Antioch, maknis provision for the good government of the province of Syris and dispeasing favours to the states who had supported him during his recent camprigra. The special privileges which he awarded to the Jews are recorded in Jes, Amf. XIV. x. 1-to.

It is to this period of the Dietatoris favour that we are unclaned to assign the composition of this Psalin.

The Inscription 'concerning Jerusalem' is of sitch a general nature that it is harily hikely to be original. The mention of the 'walls' in ver. F , and the frequent occurrence of the name of the city throughout the Psalm (vv. 3, 13. 14, 20, 24), wre quite sufficient to account for the title having been adiled. Jerusalen however is personified throughout. There is no reference to "xbits'; even the 'walls' of ver. 1 are not the city walls.
 Twdv. This opening clause is taken from Ps. ix. 13 ( x .2 ).

##  

$2 \quad t \theta_{\eta}$ Cerda, sed interpr. 'gentes.'

Pompey, as the representative of the forergia power that hal reduced Liun th serviluile, is the persunification of gin, the sinner. Thus whule duaprwiol may indicate all whether Jews or Gentiles, who do not 'fear God,' this estrangement from God is concentrated in the man, who has been the instrument of Jerusalem's humilatıon.

The reader will be reminded of the reference to the representative of the koman lanpure an 2 Thess. (11. 3,4 od avo
 and 8,6 ivomos). There were obvious reasons why such allusions should be made in guarded terms.

Ior oller probable references to Pompey beside those contained in the present i'valm, compare vil. 26 Tom d $\pi^{\text {i }}$ foxdrou

 मेलิิ.

тulxy bxupd Cf. Lxx, in Dt. xxyiit, 53.

The allusion here is in all prohability In the siege of the Temple by Pompey. He had occupied the city of Jerusalem w'thrut oppusition. Buit the Tenple with precipitous sides on S. and En, with a ravine on the $\mathrm{W}_{4}$, strengthened at every prest by mantse fortitic hious, was manned by the adtherents of Aristobulus, who offerel a shathorn testatance. Ponpley was compelled to lay regular siege to the Temple. Josephus expressly mentions that Pompey sent for his siege-train from

 iepd" roît пetpopbious), AnS. XIV, iv. 2. In order to bring his siege-train into play upon the Temple walls, it was necessary to fill up the great dyke which protected the $\mathrm{N}_{\text {., }}$ the only vulnerable side of the Temple fortifications. This dyke is described ly Warren in Underground Yorwsalem (London, 5876), pages 65, 66, 72, strabo speaks of it as cut out of the sock, 6o feet deep, 350 wide ( $x v i-2$ ).
The allusion to the battering-ram (e) «ри $\psi^{*}$ ) becomes a truthful touch. It recalled a memorable scene-the Roman soldtiers for the first time in Jerusalem, and plying their "aries' against the massive masomry
of the Holy Temple.
The capture of the Temple was only effected after a three months heroic defence; a breach was made in the walls apparently by the destruction of its largest tower; and it was the batteringram mentioned by the Psalmist that eccomplished for the Romans the fatal work against the bxupd reixy, The Komars suliters lud ly a sum of Sulta the Dictator poured in through the breach, and a general massacre ensued. (Tral of

 Tapdfónge Tt $\chi$ wplor, cirextarto $\mu$ èv ai

 tu'fas dxéß roû relxous... фóvou fet yp
 'battering-ram' appears in Assyrian sculptures, and is mentioned in Ezelc. iv. 2,
 clearly indicate the same weapons as the xpute and 'arietes' of the Greeks and Romans. The LXX . however does not reproduce the word in these passages; and appareatly only employs apiós to denote an engine of war in a Macc. xil. 15. The name seems to appeas in clanical fiterature first in Xenophon's Cyropuretere (VII. iv. I), but the thing is indicated planly enough as in use at the stege of Platiea, Thuc. I1, 76. Vitruvius ( x . xili. 19) describes the various slages of its development, and Josephus Ball, Fuf? HI, vii. 19. There is a good representatoon of the machine in use on the Column uf Trajan.
The accounts of the eapture of Jerusaleta by Aatochus Epiplataes (which Ewald considers to be here referred to) have nothing corresponding to the historical reminiscence contanned in ty mpū Kart $\beta a \lambda e$. (1) Josephus states that Artochus obtained possession of the city on the first occeasion ( 169 ) amax ${ }^{2} 7 t_{1}$ and on the second ( 67 ) $\dot{d \pi a}$ arp- (a) The description in 1 Macc. i. 30, 39~31, 2 Mruc. $v$ it toes not exclude the idea of a regular assuult and clefence; but certainly implies that the Synan corquerur met with litte serious resistance.
ovir En'induas. The I'salmist in this

2 The heathen ${ }^{1}$ went up against thine altar, they trampled ${ }^{1}$ Gr. it down, yea, with their sandals in their pride,
and the following verse ackitesses the Almighty, but adopts the form of marrative in vV. $3,4,5$.
Josephus expresisly states that the success iff the humalus in the siege of the Temple was largely due to the proyress they were abie to make on the Sabbathdays, when the beleaguered Jews in strict conformity with their tradition discontinued their works of defence.
But even such plety was unrewarded; and the Lord 'hindered not' the success of the Gentiles.
For Higeufeld's theory that this verse is quoted in 4 Esdr, tiii. 8 (Vers, Arab.), see Introd.
The thought of this clause is expressed in $\&$ Esdr. iil. 29-3t 'et excessil cor meum, quoniam vidi, quomodo sustines eos peocantes et pepercisti impie agentibus et perdidstit populum tuam ef con: servasti inimicos tuos et non signeficasti\} nibul nemini, quomodo debeat derelinqui vin hate. Numquid meliora fect Babylon quam Sion?' It was a very natural question to arise in the mind of the pious lew. How was it that, hewever sinful and rebellious the sons of Jerusalem might be, God had suffered the yet more sioful Gentiles to trample her down?
See also Apoc. Bar. xi, 2, 3 'nunc vero ecte dutor infinitus, et gemitus stne mensura, quiz tu (Rabylon) ecce prosperrita es, ef Sion desolata. Quisnam erit jurlex de istis? aut cuinam conily feremur de ins quae scciderunt nobis? O Dunn,me, quimaido sustonuisti?
 rovn dudotpue. The language in this and the foflowing verse is an echo of I's. fxxvili, (|xxix.) 1 and Lam, i. 10 .

At the capture of the Temple the Koman soldiers bursung in cut down the priests, who continsed to occupy themselves at the altar in therr sacrificial duties to the very last. The scene is vividly given by Jusephus, Amtaq. 7 mmd xiv. iv. 3









## Oeis Tt Tío youlumy.

But the event uhich impresself thats most deet ly upun the mond of the Jews was the eniry of lompry and his cunspanions not only into the sacred pre cincls reserved for the priests, but even into the Itoly of Holues, whach nome but the High l'riest maght enter, ant then hut once a year aftes special and solemn ceremonial acts of purlication. This disregard of their deepest religious sentuments was neser forguen ly the jews. At the same to me l'ompery dwes non seeten to have st typerl the worsing ot rnfea the treasares of the Temple. (ncero pro Flucio $67^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{n}$. J'omprenes captes Huturulymis victur ex allo fano mibil attigit.' It is noteworthy that the Psalmust makes no particular reference to this violation of the Holy of Holies, but the fact does not militate against the hypothesss of a Pumpeian dite. If any hang, at serven to show thall the fear of Kome was so slrong that any more dietalled allusions were kelt to be dangerous.
lac. Hust. V. 9 Rumanorum prumus Cn. Pompeius Juchzos domnit, terrplumque jure victoriae angressus est, inde vulgatum nulla inius deûm effigie vacuam selem et inaniis arcana. Muri Hecrosolymorun diruti, delubruin mansut.
Josephus (Antry. Ywd. xiv. iv. 4)
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Өwriaotipiov. This and not $\beta \omega \mu \mu \delta$ is the word preferred in the Lxx. to transiate the allar (טובח) of God, We find $\beta$ wusts used frecuuently of "high piaces,' perhaps from the simularity of sound with 'bämôth' [see Issi. xv. 2, xvi. 12; Jer. vit. 30 , $x \times x$. . (Gro $x \times x 1 \times$-) 35 , xivii. (Gr. $\mathrm{xxxi)}$.35 ; Hus. x. 8 i Am, vil. 9), and



a 8 ¢́pш V .
of heathen allars (Ex. xxxiv. 13; Num.
 2 Chron, xxxi. zi Is xvii. 8, xxvii. 9 ; Jer. xi. 13). The word is also used of the disputed altar in Jos xxii. Exceptions are Ecclus, 1. 32, 14; a Macc. ii. 19, xiii. 8, where the allar at Jerusalem is called $\beta$ fowhas.
In I Maccabees $\beta \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \mathrm{bs}$ (i. 59, ii. 23. ${ }^{24}, 25,45,7.68$ ) is always used of a heatben allar. The distinction appears in a striking manner in 1 Macc. i. 39 - they did sacrifice upon the idol altar which was upon the altar of Ciod' (Ovoci-
 sornpiav). With this agrees the usage of the N.T.
tivn aillótpia. This pharase in the 1.XX. occurs, we believe, only in Ecclus. (xxxvi. 2, xxxix. 5, xlix, 6). The very sinilar expression di入berpou $\lambda$ aod is found in 1sai. i. $\%$, as the rendering of D'দ, which was probably the wort used in the ariginal of the present passage.
karerditovy. The change of tense from sor. to impf. should be olseerved here and in ver. 3 .
The clause is identucal in meaning with
 karavertioch, where the verb and sulstantive change places. The verb кaтamareir may be illustrated by Is. Ixiii. 18; Dan. viil. 13; 1 Macc, iii. 46, 53, iv. 60 ; 3 Macc. ii. 18. The expansion of the image by the words en viaoo net appear to have any parallel in the O.T. The 'locus elassicus' in the O.T. showing that to remove the shoes on approaching a sanctuary was necessery is Ex. ili. sic cf. Test. Zah. ${ }^{\text {I }} 3$.
For 'the trampling under foot', compare Apoc. Bar, xili. 18 'nurc autem vos, "palit et gentes. defintores estis, quia, toto

 characteristuc of the style of our Greek transiator to close a clause wilt the preposition $b$ and an abstract subst $C$. in thus Psalm WV. 3, 30, 39, 32, 35, 37, 40.
3 of viol'leqovedinu. For this expression, which occurs also in ver. 20, we
hardly find eny paraliel in the O.T. except Joel ini. 6 'the chuldren also of Judah and the chuldren of Jerusalem have ye sold umto the sons of the Grecians.' (LXX. Tois viods 'Lepourahinu.)

On the 'daughters of Jerusalem' sce note on $v$. 14 .
ifficavar rod dyca nupiov. Im this and the following clause the language is basel upon passuges in Leviticus and Exckiel. The Greek of this clause closely resembies the Lxx. version in Ezeck. V. 81 fot tyi




 sages the term réd dyd $\mu$ ov translates
 passage we are of opiuiun that, as in i. 8 , Td anta kuplow refers not to the Temple buildings but to the sacnfices and worships. Our reasons are (I) ver. 3 gives the explanation ( $\mathrm{d} \nu \theta^{3} \dot{\alpha}$ ) of the dishonouz to the 'altar' described in ver. $3:$ (1) the term is here employed as a paralled to $\tau \pi$ $\delta$ ipa roù $\theta$ eovi: (3) it is the expression used by the LXX. to render the teclunical Levitical phrase 'the holy thing of the Lonv' (ninitip) applied to stcrificial offerings,


Tà soape toù $\theta$ coù. This expression is used by the $L x x_{0}$. version to render 'the bread of God' (לחום) in Eevit.



 vv. 8, 17, 11-23, xxii, 15.
Both clauses therefore appear to be lased upon the Levitical laws relating to the priests. It is natural to conclude that the Paalmist, though speaking of 'the sons of Jerusalenn,' is ppintedly rererring to the malpractices and laxities of the priests. And it is to be remembered that the Sadducees were very numerous among the Priests, since the High-

3 Because the sons of Jerusalem defiled the holy things of the Lord, and polluted the gifts of God with iniquities,

4 For this cause said he: 'Cast ye them ${ }^{2}$ afar off from me.' ${ }^{2}$ i.e. the

Priest and his family stoord at the head of the badrlucean piarty.



 lowdatar.
dтóṕ\{qate aviti. The nculer Plar.

 siders it a transiator's error, and is of opinion that aúroevs would more aptly
 This is not impossible, and receives some support from the similar words in Jer. vii.

auk tivibomey avirois. The teadmy avik etwoney aurocs is found in the I'aris Msand is suppurter! Ly the Wexscun Ms. oux viebose (sic) airozi, as well as by the Cuprahagen Ms, dik eviduaner of mitoir (where however $-\bar{c}\left(=-\kappa n^{*}\right)$ was possibly adiferf as a cortectionz, and the presence of $\hbar$ is either due to a clerical error or to the influence of the same $\mathrm{Ms}^{\text {f }}$ frotn which $\mathbf{V}$ is derwed).

The other two Mbs. (Alursbarg and Vicana) favenur the yeading oik aiw мùaîs. The Vienna Ms. giver ouk míuibu if au'rois, where the \# perhaps reproduces the appearance of an illegible -keN in the parent MS. (so Gratux). The testimony of the Augsburg Ms. needs to be carefully stated. The words in Cerda's edition

 in ha note says. 'perpheram serpta hiec on grice ut legere nequrem, shastacor scriptum oùr evicoúdet eviwôta, It seems then that on the analogy of the othet mss.
the reading of A must almost certannly hive conlainel the common element ouk Eviedu.n.iv; and possibly there may have been an ernsure or flaw in the Ms, which made the letters illegible. In ary case it is an error to cite (as Fritzsche and Pick)

 apheare to un very improbalide. It introtheters the umpersonal construction of the Passive with the Dat of the Pronoun which wuuld lee without paraltel in the
txx. use of éosos. Cf. 2 Chron. xaxii, 30

 $\dot{\text { пे }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\omega}$
Hilgenfeht's conjecture aix eidonw ir autois is much more plaumble. It gives a very smple and satsuactory sense. But (1) it diverges from the m4s. at the very sylinble (ewod-) where they are una-munous- (2) it condicls furtber with the evidence of the Msso, which connect these
 and make them a continuation of the Divine utterance: (3) by substituting an easy text for an obscure one, it fads to sccount for the 'genesis' of the textual error.

If u c atỏpted ITilgenflit's conjeclure, an exact parallel in thought and word woukt be fimnd in Jer. xiv. 12 ciar apaoe
 cidontioce iv aupôs. If in the face of the MSs. it were justifiable to separate these
 should be inclined to saggest ouje cuitionse (iv) evitois "I have not prospered them."

The chice objection to the reading of
 Boğnr ajrox, lee in the I'erf, tence forlowing ElTey. An objection however, based on the use of the tenses in 2 translation from the Hebrew, is not a very serious obstacle, when we call to mind the enpricious manner in which Hebrew tenses are rendered in the LXX, A very probaWe conjecture, however, oүk EYwAwcen aytoîc, would olviate even this difficulty. and preserve the teadmg of the Mus ouk ti $\omega$ ờ ...airais.

The origin of the textual confusion may he attributed either to a flaw in the parent copy, or to an error on the part of a sctile, who, not pureciving that to «dillos was the subject of eviésomey (-बev), endeavoured to emend the prassuge.

 opovou סókys. The lucauty of the Temple and the glory of tis worsh.p are ittended.

ลข่тoù. Fritzache changes to aurôy, referting the word to the offerings and gift. Tlie change is not necenary chen
















In his text, where to mad stands in the same clause with eqoveevion.


 xvi. 10. See note on ver. 40 .
dis ridos. See note on i. T .
e Of viol kal ail Buyatipes, i.e, the chatdren of Jerusalen. Cf. w, 3, 13, 14-
iv alxpaneoriq rouppa. The adyee tive mompoos is here used in the sense of "gnevous,'" sore."

 नípe iv toin toveor This passage is one of great oblscurity. The general senve however is clear. The wonls expand in detall the 'sore captivis,' 'The sonk and daughters' of Jerusalem are subgected to the usual indignttes perpetrated on slaves.
The expression èv aфpayió o o тpax airüo does nul seem to have any parallel. We should mather have expected some
 кर्ठ申wis.
We intecpret the passage on the assumption that both phrases, in oфpayî̀ and in ir in inup, refer to barlges of slavery impressell lyy branding and tattooing upon the necks of slaves.
dv $\sigma \phi$ рayifi. The 'seal' of the master wns impresed upon the neck of the slave. For this explanation we cannot adduce any support from other literature. Bus we have the frect that many slave-collars have been found on Ruman sites, in.
scribect with the master's name and some such addition as 'Tene me, quia fugio'; and further we have copious references to the brancling of slaves' Foreleaars and hands (e.g. Mart. XII. 6I, Frons haee stignate non meo notanda est. Petron. 107, implevit Eumolpus fronter utriusque ingentibus litteris, et notum fugitivorum epigramma per totam factem liberalı manu duxit: ef. Wetstein's note on Gal. vi. (7).
Here the roixnios is either used poetically for the slave's collar, or, as seems to us preferable, it inplies that the flesh of the slave's neck was marked with the master's badge by a process of tatioonng.
iv emtorinu. It ban leeen cuitomary to render thlis expresion as of it meant 'publicly, ' 'in the sight of the world,' a sense in which the wirds occur in xvi. 33. Such a transiation assumes that the adjective is here used adverbiailly like ${ }^{\prime}$
 adj. with rózos see I Mace, xii. 37, xiv. 48, and comp. Sym. Ps. iv. 7 imionHow ralnow $=$ ה?
The sutstantive however intorpay, which is used for \& 'badge' (e.g. the tlag of a ship, the device of a conf), seems to ${ }^{\circ}$ g ve here a better mearing than the adjective. Hesychius (s, v.) states that it was used of the 'brand' applied to slaves. Its use in that sense appears most appropriate to the present passage $\mathrm{i}_{\text {it }}$ is then equivalent to io artynart, and de. velopes the picture presented under iv appayiof. For the practice of brankting

5 The beauty of his glory did not prosper them ${ }^{3}$; it was ${ }^{3}$ Text set at nought before God, it was utterly dishonoured.

6 Her sons and her daughters were in gricvous captivity; their neck awas marked with a scal, with the brand of slavery ${ }^{\circ}$ among the Gentiles.

7 According to their sins he dealt with them, for he gave them up into the hands of oppressors,

8 -yea, he turned away his face from showing them mercyhe gave them up, I say, the young man and the old man and their children together,
doultfrut. Another readang: IIf catusd them not so prasper. The lictuty of hiss glory aras see \&c.

- Or , licy
were a sazing steck
slavers see 3 Macc, ii, 29, and Bp Lightfoot's nate on the ori/y aara of Gal, vi., 17.
Gerger's translation •Ihr Nacken ist belastet unter den Heiden' is due to his supposition that the collocation of $\sigma \phi$ packis and intonuos is in some way due to Job


Wellhausen renders 'im Ring ihr Hals, als Schaustuck unter den Heiden.' which is reproduced by Pick 'Their neck in the ring, in the sight of the heathen.' But oфpacir means a 'seal,' whether that which gives the impression or the impression itself; we question whether it can be used as I synonym for obakrodito except with the sense of ' $a$ signet,' and even if it could be, its associntion, in the sense of 'a rng,' wsth i ' Tpaxphor autüy is quite out of the question.
Add here three references all of which lear on the subject.

1. iv. Esdzo 久o 2 3. Stgnaculum (oфpar(s) Sion quoniam resignata est de gloria suia nume et traditu ext in mambus conum qui nos oderunt.
2. Acta S. Maximiliani ap. Ruinart, p. 360 (referred to by Hilg. ${ }^{3}$ ). Accipe signaculum ... non licet milhi plumbum collo portare.
3. Sils. Or. viti 244. Of the Cross
 trianuot. Thus last passage is important. It unites the two crucial words and brnogs out the sense of a brand, wath an ohvious allusion to Ezek. ix.
7 kerd rdis duaprios avtêv. The thought of retribution is especially prominent in this Psalme, see $1_{3}-15,1$ ?, 28 , 39. CE. Ps. cii, (ciil) 10 ob kara Tds


 aitiodr elir ris xeipas aùrob.
karwoxwóvtav. 'Oppressars' or 'tyrants.' For this use of the Participle as
 кarioxuburur. In I Chron. xi. to it is applied to David's mighty men 'who showed themselves strong with him.'
 This clause explains how the Lord had deserted bis people. The phrase inzoorpetety apocwrot is the rendering in the
 so common in the O. T., e.g. DI. xxal. 17; Гs. 1x. 32 (x. 1). On another uve of iтoarpt申w see v. 9 .
dind thiov aürav. In the Ixx. the phrase dinoorptфety rpdoturoy is almost unuformly found with dind and the gen, of the person, from whom the face is averted. Here, as often to thas collection of Psalms, the simple usage receives a slight modification. Instead of the reciprient it is the act of mercy from which the Divine countenance is as it were averted.

 airobs.
For this transitive use of $n$ heos see xiv.
 find another parallel. Perhaps the nearest is to be found in Jer. xlix. (lieb, xlii.) ?

woy k.r.ג. The accusatives here may be explanned either iss the oljject of $\mathrm{l} \gamma$ karenites in ver. 7 , and therefore in ap-
 heing regarded as parenthetical, or, as the objects of the compassion expressed in $\lambda \lambda$ fou in sense of ixeñoul.
For the clause itself compare xviii. $\mathrm{I}_{3}$
 auivǜ dua. See Ezek. ix, 6. véo for wavifxos is not common. Cf. Sym. Ps.





 $\theta$ єós.]





- els drat A, K, elcdrak V, (P).













 Philem. 9 roxuitos wit Hazhon pe.


 An aria.
decaf in this book translates 7 My 'together,' cf. ver. 9. It is used in this sense in Dan. ii. 33 тל̈ re ìnernivequap


10 Cf, xvii. 3 !.


 allusion to the passage in Lev, xvii. 1430, where 'abominations' ( $\beta$ Bed úy $\mu a \tau a$ ) are denounced. Compare especially var. 25 'the land itself vomateth out her inhabitats,' and 28 'that the land spue not you out also, when ye defile 1 , as at spued out the nations that were before you,' where the LxX, rendering of 'spue' (Kip) is rposoxelfs. The substance of
the passage is in the writer's mind, but the translator has not used the Lxx. rendering of it.
 Hebraism, Cf. vii. 6, ix, 5, xvii, 39 .
i $\pi^{\prime 2}$ aunts. Cf. i. B, viii. 14.
12 prearerat. The future with wal is passably the rendering of the Ifetirew Impf. and Vault conversive=trow vii. 8.
rad xplyard you wárra rad blxaven, of. vial. 8
A probable error in the text of the Mss. is the omission of $\partial \theta$ eds at the end of yer. 12 , or at the beginning of vert. 13.3 . The words od kpluard sou evidently point to the presence of $\&$ vocative; $\dot{\boldsymbol{b}}$ $\theta$ eds however is equally required at the opening of vert. 13. We are of opinion that $d \hat{\theta}$ eds at the end of this verse slipped out in an early Ms. before the $\delta$ ores of the next. $\delta$ eds closes yer. 13 in the Copenhagen and Paris mss., but stands at the head of ver. 13 in the Vienna Augsburg Moscow ms.
is This passage offers one of the chief difficulties to be forms in the whole

9 Because they wrought evil together, to the intent that they should not hearken wnto him:

Io And the heaven was grieved at them, and the carth abhorred them.
if For none had done upon it all the things that they had done ;

12 And the earth shall know all thy righteous judgements.
13 God set forth the sons of Jerusalem in derision that they should be as harlots in her midst; every one that passed by uent in unto tham before the sun, they made a moch of their transgressions.
book. The doubts felt as to the cortect punctuation and arrangement of the clauses may be gathered from the Apparatus Criticus. The punctunturn whech we have folluwel (d) has gorel Mc. anthenuty, (1i) seems best to suit the onginal stichometnc arrabement, (m) furtushes the means of a farrly adequate translation.
rais viois "Iepovariliju, See on ver. 3.

4lelpravpodv. Comp. xvii. 14. The language seems to recall Ezek, Ixul. 4

 C. Apoc. Bar. xiviii, 35 'et consertetur hmor in ignominiam, et hamalabitur mobur is contemptum."
dert ropvin iv ainni. ( 1 ) It is posstole to suppose that, under : reprisive metaphor, the Psalmist represents the Jews is an otject of contempt because they had prostiluted their theocratic honour for commercial gain. The passage then will have proints of resemblatice to Hosea in, if. (3) But the more literal interpretation of alse passage is remilered only too probalile by the similar invectives in Is. S. viii. $9-14$. The meaning then is that 'the sons of Jerusalem' were so surk in shamelestatess as to minister to the vile hicentiousness of the Gentaies within the watls of the Huly City (em $\begin{gathered}0 \\ 0\end{gathered} \mathrm{p}$ ).

The expression drri yopper iv aut is very awlward. It is just possible that the awk wardness is due to the translator's having lauthfully rendered a corrupt Hebrew text, which did not offer any good meaning. If we may psstume that the translator is rendering
the place of her haviots; a slight alteration of vowels and the repetution of the final letter of MnA, would give a seading
 doms (cr. Evek. rxiii, it, E8), which would offer a very good and prohable sense, and would explain the pecularity of the Greel by the suppostion of the Joss of a single Mebrew letter (1.e. Л for лЛ). If conjectural emendation be necessary, thas is unduutitedly proficalile tor Lagatife's intl mopenver mbrth ine. "She (Jerusalem) is in the piace of a lirothel The word shich he suggeats in deatsule of Lxx. anat, ngy, and the ulea is milically false to the sprat of 1Iebrew pmelty.
 The Imperf. of freguency. That the partseple may be takens with ty aúr ${ }^{\text {b }}$ is retrelered powatele by such a pasuage as Therk. Is.ai, xxxiv, to our toriy ó sapa



The open shame of the Jews is metnphorically expressed in language which reealls Num. $x \times \mathrm{F} .4$, and the tyaytion roil
 II, 12.
 subject it "the Gentules'; aưTûy refers to the Jews The iniquities of ferusalem did not really strengthen her posituh, hut oniy exposed her to the contempt of the herthen.
 Pick's rendering: 'Because of the prostututes therein every passer-hy enters, lsefore the sun they fiannted their wicked. netes, makeo dyrl = ock.
${ }^{11} \mathrm{ka} \mathrm{\theta} \dot{\alpha}$ モ́moíouv aútoí, áménanti toy hixioy Trapedeipmátican ádikias av̉т $\hat{\nu} \nu$.










18 aǐrat V, K, P (aifou A), Fabr. Getg., aival M, Hilg. Fritzsch. Puck.

10 fed ( $\mathrm{om} . \dot{\text { b }}$ ) M.
 tray....airwe. It is important to distinguish the subject of the two verbs According is the Jews (aivod), were wont to do, even so by way of retribution the Gentules exposed to the world the iniquities of the Jews.
intivarth. The same word is used by the L.xx. in a Same xiit. Iz.
тарябпуүе́тіоаv. We were at first tempted to sugrest the reading tapesici adruev, which Lngarde had already conjectured; the subject then being $b$ otds understood, and the verb corresponding
 rou follows in the next line, there woukd be an obvious objection to the use of the 3 rd Pers. Sing. The meaning too is really the same. The Gientiles are carrying out the Divine judgement in 'making an open show' of Jewish iniquites.
Fior rapaठ̄ecүнarlisw cf. Matt. i. sg. The language and context again recall Ezek. xxii, which in ver. 2 has the words
 aर국ㄲ.
Ouyarépse 'Iepowahijp, corresponding to $\mathbf{0}$ o is wiou's 'Iepourainiss in ver. 13. The expression 'daughter of Jerusalem' ns intpersonating the Iloly City is not uncommon, e.g. Is, xxxyii. 28; Lam. ii. 83,15 ; Mc. iv. 8; Zeph. iii, 14; Zech. ix. 9. But 'datshters of Jerusalem' are very rarely spoken of. The term is a characteristic feature in Canticles (e.g. i. $\$$, It.

7, iti. 5, 10, $7.8,36$, vi. 4, viii. 4). But it does not seem to occur in the prophets nor elsewhere in Hebrew poetry; 'the daughters of Judah' (P3. xevi. 8), 'the daughters of thy people' (Ezck, xiii. 17). 'the virgins of Jerusalem (Lam. t1. rol, are the nearest approach to it . 'The' daughters of Samana and Soxom' in Ezel., xyi. are metaphorically spoken of. The humiliation of 'the daughters of Jerusalem, 'and the rarity of the phrase, give a spectal interest to the obvious comparison with our Lord's words in Luke xxiii. ${ }^{38}$, waming them of an impending calamity surpassing all previous ones.
$\beta i \beta \eta \lambda o t$. Pick's renderng 'profane' gives a wrong idea." xard to mplua ray gives the hint as to the true significance of the relribution for licentiousness.

## 18 divé sv. Cf. vr. $3,18$.

ev фирни̂ dvaplfews. Literally 'in the confusion of minglings. This might be understood to refer ( 1 ) either to idolatry, following the strong metaphor of the prophets Hosea and Ezekrel (xxiii),
(2) or to the sin of mixed marriagrs (3) or to gross forms of impunty. (1) As the subject of idolatry does not occupy the attention of our Psalmist, our chinice lies between the last two. In favour of (z) we may compare a Macc.
 xpbroos. This evil assumed critical pro. portions in the days of Nehemiah, and in

14 According as they were wont to do, even so did the Gentiles ${ }^{8}$ make an open show of their iniquities before the sun;
and the daughters of Jerusalem were polluted according to thy judgement, 15 because they had defiled themselves in unclean intercourse.

My belly and my bowels pain me because of these things.
16 I will justify thec, O God, in uprightness of heart; for in thy judgements is thy righteousness, O God.

17 For thou didst recompense sinners according to their works, according to their sins that were wicked exceedingly.

18 Thou didst lay bare their sins, to the end that thy juderement might appear.

19 Thou didst blot out their memorial from off the earth. God is a rightenus judge and respecteth ${ }^{6}$ no man's person.
the time of the Maccabean revolution excited the grave apprehensions of the strictet Jews. But in our bouk, with the exception of this single doublful allosion, this source of evil dies not seern to have been especially present to the mind of the Panlruist. (a) On the other hand the spread of foul and nameless impurities accompanying the influence of the outer world upon I'alestine cansed ham great apprehenston, and he refers to them repratedly, e g. i. 7, iv. 4 , vim. 10, xvi. $7,8$. It is miso noticeable that the passage in Leviticus (xviii. 23, 24), to which upons this supposition the writer refers, comInnes the the presemt chate the two ideas of 'pollution" and 'confusion.' This explanation may be wery appositely illustrated by a passage in Apoc. Baruch ch. 1x. "Alagitie mysteriornm eorum et permistio contaminalionis eornm,' where we may conjecture фupuds dvapizew was very probally used in the Greck. See


Tiv rouliay pov kal Td eचhánxve pou. For these expressions compare Ixai, xvi, 11 ; Jer. iv. 19 ; Lam. jo 20 Aq. Jer:

 pov.
16 'Eys Sukaniow -a, 8 Geds. This thought that the "pions' should "justiry (rodl occurs frequently in these fsatms (cf. iii. 5, iv. 9, vili. $7,27,32$, ix. 3), The aearest approuch to it in the $O$. $T$. is Pso li. 4 that thou mayest be justified when thou ppeahesta Lam. io is. We find it also in Luke vil. 29 "the pulsicans justufied God' (ol rexivat dincluoger tiv
(ecav).
evoivtro kaptias. Compare for this [hmase and the sulbstance of the verse




17 dтifexas к.т $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Fop this emphatic descrptern of retribution cumplare







теis duaprwiois. The reference here is clearly not to the soldiers of Pompey (ver. i), but to the sinful members of the Jewish community. See note on i. I.
 rфospa is due to the literal reproduction of 7itp. Compare Ezek. ix, 9 kal cire



18 dverditufas. The exposure of Jewish iniquity described vv, 13-15 had as its purpose the explanation of the Divine vintation. For the use of this thought and phrase of. vin 8 dyeadit wey \$ beos rds daaprias eúrwow barios Tou




 Cf. iii. to osx elipeotoccas avilubotvoy aúraiy ITh The phrase is based upon Ex. xvii. I4 'I wilf utterly blot out (A.V. put out) the remembrance of Amalek'

J. P.
${ }^{20}$ катє́бтaбe тò кád入os aủtท̂s ảmò $\theta \rho o ́ v o u ~ \delta o ́ \xi \eta s, ~$





 єitто.





```
My kal.
```



And the same words are found in close proximity P's. cvili. (cix.) 14, is th d $\mu$ eapria





6 bebr. An abrupt change from the second person.
 Gen, xviif. 25.
ov Aaunciact mpoormwoy, f.e, is no respecter of persons, as Acts $x$ 34 oik lote mporevroltmoty if geos. The usual rendering of the Hebrew phrase by aporwrov $\lambda a \mu$ ghdueu is here varied as in Gen.




 xvili. 51 a Chr. xix. 7 ; Jude 16. Cf. Wisd,
 riduras deotionss The impartuality of the Divine judgement is perhaps here emphasized with a side thrust at the High Priest's court of justice.
 dind 0 póvov Eótrss. This clause stands
 liut the arrangement of the other Mis. appears to ut the more correct one. For (i) such a clause with 1 rdp would not be natural after d feds... Tpoothrow. (2) The more prosaic clause *woldrav... karararnote seems to follow as an explanstion of the more poetical but less explicit sentence kartaware .. of an arrangenuent agrees with the paral-
lelism of the clausex often to be observed in these Psalms, ef. ju. $\mathbf{z}, \mathrm{v}, 6$. (3) According to the Parisians Ms, қaт(orrace and repuctionara would stand in adjoining clauses, the one referming to $o$ Beas, the other to 'Iepovoratim, but each separated by a clause from its true subject.
The clause, which is practically equivalent in meaning to ver. 23 , reproduces the thought of Ps Ixxxvini. (lxxxix.) 45
 But the exact meaning of the lane is not very obvious on account of the word eirips, which anticipater the mention of Jerusalens in the next line The sentence the tore her beauty fromt the throne of glory' contains no very definite meening beyond that of a queen's humuliation generally. It must not be anderstood is equivalent to 'he tore her beauteous form from the throne of glory, for it is not implied that Zion is removed from her throne, but only that she is humaliated while oocupyung it. It is best to regand the clause os an antictpation of ver, 33 and to understand ly rid radhos the outward aplendour of the Zion Queen's magnificence.

Something ${ }^{2 s}$ to be said in favour of Geiger's view that avints is an error of the translator, who having before him
 stead of xiv. 28).

The best explanation of opivo dó is given by Jer, xvii. In Opowof sdevy


20 He cast down her beauty from the throne of glory，for the Gentiles brought reproach upon Jerusalem by treading her under foot．

2I She girded herself with sackeloth instead of fair raiment； she put a rope about her head instead of a crown；

22 She put off the diadem of glory，which God had set upon her；

23 Her beauty was cast aside in dishonour upon the ground．
24 And I beheld and I intreated the face of the LORD and said；＇Enough，O LorD；let not thy hand be any more heavy ${ }^{7}$ upon Jerusalem，in bringing the Gentiles upon her．
occurs also in Jer．xiv，2t＇do not disprace the throne of thy glory．＇Cf． ；Sam．iii 8；Ig，xxii，23，The beauty of the queen＇s throne is gone．the herself puts off her glotions attire（ver． 21）．
Evilfersy with tompe Cf．Ps Ixxxv．




 （R．1．in threshang or to trample uphn）． For katatataiv see P＇s．Ivi．（ivii） $3^{\text {to }}$ Whep

 катстй『epmowiq．Cf．Jer，xii． 10.
 changel．Jerusalem in her humblatarn lays asude her queenly atture，and puts on the symbols of mouring．See Isa．
 dert Toú mbruou tis re申a入力t nov rovotou中a入dunpuna lefet bed rad fpya gov kal dvri

 oxoctor are sumbarly foment together in




Fikkoy．Cf．Is iii．340 $x v, 3 ;$ Lam．



dvil lysúpares virxptrtios．Perhaps the allusion here is to Isai．Jii I＇put un thy thesuliful garmenti O Jerusalem，the holy city．＂

The phraseology of our passage closely resembles Baruch $7.3,3$ Exסugan＇Јepoy－




 ठo $\varepsilon_{\eta}$ tô alurfor．
vxorvioy．．．divil errqávov．See Kings xxi． $3^{2}$ ；I4，jül－24．Jor ovi申panos



39 тчриа
 बно\％дútû̀；Jon．ini． 6 kal vepuitaro
 терse $\beta$ ìners aíxrov；Bar．iv．34 kal тe－
 $x^{\text {人jas．}}$



The same imagery appears in Erek．

 gives a different sense．

Aseneth（ $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ）wears a daifmal and $x$（ $\delta$ apxt．

29 iv drupla．A frequent expression in these Psalms，see ver．29，32， 35 ．
 ture combining rejection and dishonour compare Ps．Ixxxvili．（ $\mathrm{Xx} \times \times \times 1 \mathrm{x}$ ．） 37 ＇Thon hast profaned his crown coen to the ground
 I＿am．ii，＇He hath cast down from heaven unto earih the hesuly of Israel＇
 ＇Iqpaiti）．

24 Kal dyal sition nal．The Psalm－ ist is referring to the vision of Zion hu－ milated and disgraced，which had risen before his eyes，Cf．viii． $1,3,4$ ．
 vi． 7.

For this rendering of the Hebrew idiom（Dyy Min）compare I Sam．xiii．


$$
2-2
$$

##  $\mu \eta \nu i \sigma \in \omega \varsigma$,

 Tois हैv ópyn̂ oov．
च





 xili．6；Jer，xxvi． 19 （ $=x \times x x_{1 i}$ ， 19 LXX．）．
ixáverov，．．．รับี $k T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．For this form of the ialiom there is no very obvious parailel．But the worls represent the Hebrew rendered in the Lax by ikavointes sot（Deut．iil． 26 ；I Chron，xxi．55），cf．
 Sym．divelto ；Deat．i． 6 ixayofotow


The passage in asm．xxiv，16，： Chron，xxi．I5 presents the root idea of the sentence．There is however this cha－ ractenstic difference，that whereas in the O．T．narrative the mercy of God stays the hand of the destroying Angel，here the＇phous＇Jew．anterceing directly with the Alnughty，pleads that sufficient punish－ ment has been meted out．
 For this phrase，which luterally renclers the Hebrew idiom，compare Judg．i． 35

 кuplou twi＂Afurow；Ps．xxxi．（xxxii．）＋
 xelp rou．From these passages it is evi－ fent that $\beta$ apuresodas is here used in the Parsive and not in the Middle Voice， and that＂Iepourah ${ }^{\prime} \mu$ is in the accusative．
 reading of the Paris and Moscow mss．； inawero that of the other MRs dre－ pory however fals to give any appropri－ ate sense．The only meaning which iv drayury if iow could bear here would he＇by the Gentules carrying of（her in－ habitants）into captivity．But from the following sentence dri．少phicem which serves to explain the words，it Inpears that actual outrages perpetrated in Jeru－ salerp must be intended；moreover $\beta$ a－ pinverter Xeipd arov tril＂Ieporvahing would
not be sufficiently explained by limuting its application to the removal of a portion of the inhabitants by the Romans．

The internal evidence is therefore strongly in favour of ex غ்aywrŷ which gives a good and natural mense． The word exaymin scquired a special meaning of＂visitation，＇in the sense of that which is＇brought upon a people or individuals by God on eccount of their sin．＇Cf，Deut．xxxii． 36 eide $\gamma^{d} \rho$ ，Thapa－



 v．8，x． 13 ，xxiii． $1 t, \times x v, 14$, xl． 9 ； and probably also Isai，xiv， 17 ，roek 号
 Auser．We might therefore translate the present words＇by the visitation（con－ sisting）of the Gentiles．＇But probahly the simplest rendering is here the best．


 maxpiter．

95 dudmestav，and not frackay，is re－ quired by the context．The meaning is evulently mockery with ill usage，as in I Sam．vi． 6 aix dire dveratgey aínois， $x \times x 14$ ；i Chron．$x .4$ where the Helorew is ร勺ynn．Compare i Mace．ix． 20 xal


 alke $\sigma$ ubu．
 oesur is a mere itacism．The word Miwis does not seem to occur in the O．T．，the passiges quoled by Tromm in Isai．xiti． 9 ， xvi． 6 not giving it in the best text．The verb 9 9 Jer．iii． 12 translates，the Hebrew ex－ pression＇to keep anger．＂

25 For they mocked，and spared her not in their wrath and anger and vengeance．

26 And they ${ }^{8}$ will be utterly consumed，unless thou，$O^{*}$ i． ． LORD，rebuke them in thy wrath，

27 For they ${ }^{\circ}$ have done it not in zeal，but in the lust of their＂ i e．the soul，

28 That they might pour out their anger agrainst us in rapine． Delay not，O God，to recompense it upon their heads，

In Ecclesiasticus we find in xxvi． 30


 кail фópos Өumárou nal $\mu \eta \psi \mu a$（vulgo $\mu \eta^{-}$
 curs in $x .6$ ，xyuil． 7 ．
 the change of subsect．So merciless are the Grentiles，that the Jews will be utterly consumed，unless the Lord rebuike them （the Gentiles）．Hilgenfeld by introdu－ cing aú before our eheotporowrat imports unto the passage wholly anculled for change in a simple sentence．Fritasche says＇videtur seribendum oupreheof ${ }^{\text {not }}$ $\mu \mathrm{A} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ef．vs，38．＇But the 3rd Pers．Plur． can without any violeace to grammar be referred to the tuhabuants of Jerusalem， mplied in the mention of the city in ver．
－25．We belteve that the translation given alove futly expresses the meaning of the Greek words．It is not however improbable that ourreגepotrowoud is a translator＇s blunder．The Hebrew let－ lers ity are capable of being rendered in different ways according to the punc－
入eotproutai）as in Isai．i．28；Ezek．v． 13，and very frequently；or transitively
 l＇s．exvilu，（cxix．）87，We may con－ jecture that the translator here rendered the letters on the supposition that they represented the more frequent intransi－ tive form，which would naturatly be reproduced by бurrèerothrovzal．If，at we are disposed to think，the meaning of the original was＂And they will consume or make a full end，＇the more correct transiation would have been sal onve．入érousta
dтirurione Cf．Ps．ix． 5 drevianach



notion of complete overthrow．
27 of ouk iv sifinp n－t．A．The Phari－ see interceding for Zion pleads that the Gentule oppressons exceeded the bounds of judicial visitation．The work of pun－ ishment had been carried out not＂in zeal＇${ }^{1}$ for the Lord of righteousness，but in the＇lust of their soul，＇with savagery and wanton rapine．The words io $\$ \dagger \lambda \psi$ require explanation（cf．iv．2）．The
 LoRD is freguently spoken of in the O．T．as excited or directed in wrath against faithlessness and wrong－dong （cf．Ezek．シ．13，xvi．38，43，xxxviti． 19 kc. ）．In our verse it is implied that the Gentsles were used as the un－ conscious instruments of Divine＂yeal＂ against Zion，but had gone beyond the limits of ther massion．The true type in the history of Israel of righteous zen！ was Phuchas，Cf．Num． $\mathbf{x x} \mathrm{v}_{\text {，}} 10,11$ ＇And the Lord spake unto Moses saying， phinehas，the son of Lleazar，the son of Aaren the priest，hath turned my wrath away from the cbideren of Israel，in that he was jealous with my jealousy（Lxx．
 so that I consumed not the children of Istuel in my jealousy．＇The Gentiles， however，though exceuting the Divine punishment，had not been＂jealous with Divine jealousy．？





For the thought bere conveyed com－ pare Zech．io ${ }^{5} 5$＇And I am very wore displeased with the nattons that are at ease：for I was but a little displeased， and they helped forward the aftiction． Isalah＇s denunctation of Sennacherib for forgetting that in his succenses he was only Cod＇s instrument of puntishment is very simular， 3 Kings xxi．23－ 23 ，
 this phrase compare Ps．Ixviii．（Ixix．）




 $\tau \rho \dot{\pi} \pi$,
ao expoyige could．Hilg．（texL），Cerla interpro distulit（Hilg．Geig．Fritzech．
 Fritzich，Pick．ürip codd．！＇ux＇conj．Hilg．Friusch．Pick．＇bpfer cond．et edd．， conj．Hilg．${ }^{2}$ dpliws（lick．）．ìaxiarov codd，et edd，nos exdéxtarav．


 int ot．


In this respect Pompey stood out as an honourable exception to the general conduct of Roman conquerors in the Enst．He is said to have left the trea－ sures of the Temple untouched．IIIs jieutenants were not accastomed to imi－ tate his forbearance．Gabanius，who was one of Pompry＇s strongest adherents， carned an evil notoriety for his excessive extortions during his two yeara＇Procon－ sulship in Syria 57 － 53 ，cf，Cicero Pro Sest，43，Gabunium haurire quotdre ex paratissimıs atque opulentissimis Syrix gatis innumerabile pondus nuri，bellum inferre quiescentabus，ut eorum veteres ithbeasquue divitias in profundissimum libidnum suarum gurgitem profundat．De Prov，Conso $4 i$ Dio Cass．xxxix． 5 s． 56.
Gabinus was afterwards put upon bis trial for his conduct in Syria，and though defended by Cicero was found gully and banished（Dio Cass， xxxix 59－63）．
$\mu \dot{x}$ Xpovions．The verse is wrongly disided．These words addressed in prayer to God are found in Ps．xxxix． （xl） t 8 ，lax．（twa）7，Das．2x． 19
xpovify is found with too and the Inf． Gen．xxxiv． 29 and Eccles，$\left.y_{0} 3 \mu 力\right\rangle$ xpo－ yiom roo dixasoirac aitho ：with the Int．

 $\beta$ ग̀巾 ${ }^{2}$
droboûvac．．．th kepoldh．This ren－ dexing of a cormmon Hebrew phrase may lest be illustrated by a passage in which there is a considerable resemblance in the language with the present context．


 $\mathrm{Cfi}_{1} 2 \mathrm{Chr}_{1}$ vi． 23.
20 тоü dreiv．This，the reading of all the mss，，apparently gives no intelligible meanang；compare Cerda＇s rendering ＇ut dicant superbiam draconis in igno－ minia．＇
The ingenuity of successive editors has been taxed to supply a suilable con－ jecture．Fabrcius proposed lofī＂al videanh，＇which would give a very tame conclusion to toî daosoivas dis кequids． The same niay be said of 11 ligenfeld＇s more ingenious elfrce，which ajpears to us a very unlihely word to occur bere， and is only fuind，accordung to Trumm， in the Lxx．，Wisd．xwa． 1 z．
rpetear，suggented Ly IHilgenfeld＂and aulopted by fach and Wellhausen，whicha in the $t x$ is nearly always（except Lx． xvi 13）found in the Maddle or l＇asuse （cf．Julith xv． 2 тpaninyat ets фurdp；2 Mace ill． 24 elt Ekגuour kal denian tpa－ $\pi$ कpaut）＇would hardly have been used in the Greek of this period in the rense of бтpl $\phi \omega$ or d $\lambda \lambda d \sigma \sigma \omega$（cf．Wellh．p． 133 ）．
The difficulty however receives a sim－ ple and satisfactory explanation on the supposition of a translator＇s ermon．（i） The Hebrew לדרבר would very naturally be rendered by foû nimeêr：but according to a late usage of the language，the verl， 7 끂․ was used for to destroy，＇to over－ throw＇（ce．the substamive 77．7．＇destruc－ tion，＂＇plogue＇）．Thus we find in a Chron． xxii．so＇she arose and destroyed（Heb．
 royal，where the parailel passage in 3 Kings xi． 1 bes hagni．Upon the strength of this analngy we might assume that 7 ㄱำ should have been rendered
 instead of by roie elineiv．

# 29 To turn" the pride of the dragon to dishonour.' 

30 And I delayed not until ${ }^{11}$ God showed to me that insolent one ${ }^{13}$, lying pierced upon the high-places ${ }^{18}$ of Egypt, made of less account than him that is least on earth and sea;

This explanation, suggested first by Gerger, seems to us very probable

The chief objection to this theory is the rarity of the verb in this sense. And it is of course a possible explarntion that, while 700 diveiv literally rendered the $727 \%$ of the translator's text, the utself mught have been an carly corruption $\operatorname{fos} 73 \times\}$. But of the two we prefer the explanation which ascribes the difficulty to the translator's error to that which has to presuppose an earilier corruption of the Hebrew text.
(ii) But a still more ingenious conjecture on the same lines is put forward by Wellhausen. He assumes that rou efretu represents loxb in the Hebrew, and in 706 he discerns a late Hebrew
 very word occurs in Hos iv. 7 \& 7 into shame,' where the simulanty of thought to the present clouse is very striking indeed, if the conjecture is correct, it is hard to resist the impression that the prophet's words are here reproduced by our Psalmist.

Between the conjectures of Creiger and Wellhausen it is not easy to decide. The rarity of 777 in the sense of "destroy" miltates against Geiger's vew; the lale fom of a common word weakens Wellhausen's case. We incline to favour the latter critic, parly on the ground that rou elveiv prokably represents 7 mok more naturally than 7 뀨, partly on the 灾round of the appropriate illustration of our passage by Hos, iv. 7- But we thurk that the 'genesis' of the error was different from that which Wellhausen proposes: 70, might have been read for and by the commun change of tho a reading 710 , arose, which gave rise to the rendering rob elreiv.
In either case the theory of a Hebrew original successfurly explains the otherwise mnintelligable words of the Greek text.
incenderint Cr had not
6pákovtos It is evident that on ineon- yef ceased
 described in the next verse as in $09 p t s$ eiv ToO ; and the details of the subsequent passage combine to make the identificstion of the spdrow with Pompey almost certan. "The imagery of the 'dragon" or 'leviathan' in the $O$. T. is applied especially to Egypt. Cf, Ps, lxxini. (ixxiv.)





 o dy rỷ $\theta$ a入dory. But thus is no sufficient renson for making the present allusion apply to Antrochus Epiphanes, as Ewald does.

The metaphor is also used of Nebuchadnezar in Jef, li. $3+\mathrm{Heb}$. ( $=x$ xvisi. 3. in LXX.) Napouxodoverop (paptheis
 Erin noe tivy cothiay adoov̂.
According to the Apocalyplic nuethod of transferring to Rome the imagery of Babylon, the application of 'the dragon' to Pompey, who was the impersonation of Roman power, as Nebuchadnezar had been of Belylonian, is perfectly natural in the present passaye.
 $\theta$ edy. The sense 15 ohvious, And I had not to wat long; very soon God thowed me, etc. ${ }^{2}$
©Xpóvora, read by all the Mss., has occastoned editors much difficulty. Cerda foflowing the Aug. Ms, read $\langle$ रobovars but translated 'distulit.' Halgenfeld iniroduced expormeey into his text, and has been foltowed by Fritzsche, Gelger, and Plek. The difficulty of translation however is not to be removed by thas expedtent, as may be shown by the renderings. Geiger 'Und alsbald zeigle mar Gott seine Schmach, Pick 'And very soon God showed me fic., Wellhausen 'Nicht lange, da zeigte mir Ciotr,' in none of which is there any attempt to render 'xobwere literally.

#   

 of $\mu \mathrm{s}$, support, we find the following objections: (1) the position of \$ Aebs next to toerge shows that, whatever the original may have contained, the translator considered $\delta \theta$ Aebs to be the subject of 8 ecte especiaily; had dxpopwey been the reading, we should certanily have expected oik expavires of 0ebs. (2) The cotestruction ofk dxporarey twy thecke, when htefally reasered be tarried not until he had shown,' makes nonsense; and so far as $x p o w l \$$ is concerned there is nothing in ExX. or N.T. to show that oen expovisev lar toceke could be used for ow
 parent correspondence of expostoey with $\mu$ x $x$ powiom of ver. 38 has inffuenced the editors. But surely it cannot be zelued on; for (a) the construction is altered from rou with Inf, to tors with Indic. and (4) the solemn prayer "Delay nut to revenge us, $O$ God' would obtain a strangely prosaic antuchonax in the statement that "God delayed not to show the l'salmist a vistion of revenge.'

If we nocept the text ixponvex, the sense of the passage is that of Dane ix. 21 "Yea whiles I was speaking in prayer, the man Gabriel...touched me, The reply came at once or after a very short interval.

We conjecture that the true explanation is to be derived from the ebtupgt ending of the prayer in ver, 39 , and that eive exporwa dur is mistranslation of a IIebrew idnomatic expression for "before I had ceaserl," 'I had not
 'I had added no more when' (kit!

 phed to Pompey, is found often in thie LXX, as the rendering of |W' both in a good and 2 bad sense, of. Nah. 12. I 'the twellen $y$ of J icct, as the erollithy of Israel.' Lath axxil 12 'the prite of Egypt,'/ech. x. 11 'the ffate of As-jras." The feature of its use in this pashage in its application to the man who liad profared by his presence the Holy of Helies and aflerwards contested with Ceesar the supreme authority of the Koman world.

dation inkekermuly in intended to improve the grammar of the passarye is quile unmecessary. The Accus. Maxe is an invance of the common irrctular constrict 刀 кard $\sigma$ ineov, as if тpy figipy auroù had been roy ifpuatity.

The we of the word exxencyrmpetyos recalls the Exx. version of the pasage upon which the present description is probably besed. Leai. xiv. 19 os bt


 used twice ly it John in quating /eclanrah; Joh. xix. 37 ; Apoc. i. 7 .
dal ton óplav Alyúmтov. Fur this expressions every obvious emendation Eni riom dp(sio Alyinmov 'upon the borders
 $1+$; 8 Kings iv, 21 A) is suggested by Hulgenfeld' and adopted by Wellhausen 'an ler Grenze Aegyptens,' Pick, who translates 'on the mountains of Egypl,' adds the mysterious note, "'rù of own' sa the culli, perhaps that the readng was bpluw 'shores,'" where we are unable to understand the meaning of 'perhaps that,' and Puck himself fauls to distinguish between 'shores' and "coasts.'
The strongest argument for this emendation is supplied by Ezek, xi. so do pou-

 yerse some copies of the $2 \times x$. read opluw. Our translator has possibly followed this passage in the exx. But does not this emendation sulstitute the language of prose for that of poetry? 'The borders of Egypt' seems to uts so prosaic as only to be justufiable here as a quotation from Exek. zi. yo.

We prefer to think that the reading of the Mos, represents the true Greci text with a special reference to Isai. xiv. 19 (see above). We do not expect accuracy from the poetical fight of a jew writung probably in Jerusalem. The Psalmist may well have based his language apon the dd Ilelrew clegy begumaig ${ }^{4}$ th the words 'Tby glory, O Israel, is slain upon thy bigh places (a Sam. i. 89 ), and it is quite possible that the words of the original may have been thy "nוที่ ำ

31 Even his dead body lying corrupted upon the waves in great contempt : and there was none to bury him;

Although we accept the Greek text dptar, we do not exclude the probabiluy that the Greek Iranslator may here have blundered or have had to do with a defective Hebrew text. The most natural conjecture would be to read מצ (cf. Isai, vii. 18; 2 Kings xix. 34)' by or upon the ri-

The desire to find a perfectly literal
 Le satisfied by the statement of Dion Cassius that Pompey fell by the 'Cassian






 iopd $y$ n.

 gives the sense "despised by him that is least.' A better emendation would be
 in the sense of 'mure conternned than one that is least among the people.' The use of imep wilh the ncc. as a comparative ls very common, and instancea in this book are frequent, e.g. i. 8 , iv. 3, vil. $1 \pm$
The inde (门) sppears to us to represent the accilental repetition of the final
 E'qudenoutrow may repeat the words of Ps.
 and despised' (Lxx. vewitepor,...kal ¿६ov-


Wellhausen's 'verschmahs, verachtet,' seems to suggest two participles.
 кypater m.f. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Cerda, who thought Nebuchadnezzar is spoken of, understood inl kupaícos metaphorically.

Ewald, who thought Antiochus Epiphathes was referred to, was olligeed to expiann the passage as a Jew's prayer for the doorn of Antiochus.

Rut the langunge is neither that of metaphor nor of denunciation; and it describes circumstances which seem to surt
the end of Pompey better than that of nay other of the oppressors of the Jewish race. We know that Pompey afler the defeat at Pharsalia sought refuge in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{p}$ pt. The king of Egypt went dows to the shore to receive him. Pompey leff his ship in a bont, and was rowed to land. He was just rising from his seat in order 10 step on land, when "he was stalbed in the back by Septimius, who had formerly been one of his centurions and was now in the service of the Egyptan monarch....His head was cut of and his boriy, which was thrown out naked on the shore, was left exposed to all who were desinous of such $\frac{1}{}$ sight. His freelman J'hlip, havng waited till public curtusty was satisfied, washed the body with seawaler, and wrapped it in one of his own garmenis, becuuse he had nothing else at hand. The next thing wass to look out for wood for the funemi-pile; and casting his eyes over the shore, he spied the olit remains of a fishing boont; whick, though not large, would make a sufficient pine for a poor naked mutilated body.' (P'utareh.) One old Roman soldder was the only other mourner at this funeral ceremony.

Pompey's head was displayed before Cressar upon the conqueror's arrival in Elypt shortly afterwards.
Wellhausen's rendering 'zerschmettert von den Wogen" indicates the view that the Greek translator had given a wrong turn to the Hebrew prepostion $\mathbf{3}$, and that the original would be more correctly translaled 'Ly' than 'upon.'
ovik ग̛y d Gáxiov. In Pompey's case these worts can almost be understood Interally. The contrast between the funcral pile of a few spars from an old boat hnstily erected by a solitary servant and the maynafivent ceremberanl attending the obsequies of a great and wealluy Koman naturally stued upon the imagimatush of the Jewish poet.

That a body should be denied the decencies of hurial, was always regarded ns the height of ignominy. C. Ps. 1xxviii. (lxxix.) 3 kal oin inv of 日idrtwn; 2 Kings ix. 10 кal rip If jepit kara-
 Jer, xxii. sy of king Jehoiakin.

 каì тò シ̈́тероу oủk è̉оүібато.












as roupliwy cold. : conj, Hing. aouljwiv, ta Frílzsch. Pick.

32 Elowitvoonv curdy. We take d Henry (var. no) to be the subject of the vert, and aide to be Pompey. Pick on the uther hand renders 'Because he had dishonoured Him." This, though of course possible, does not seem to us protalale: (i) aurora should refer to the same person as müroî in vera. $3 a_{\text {, }}$ 311 (z)
 back to yer. 29; it describes Gout's infiction of the dishonour for which the Psalmist prayed: (3) the works are more applicable to the hymulation of man by Divine visitation than to the contemptuous defiance of Gorki ty a man.
ours Aloyloaro ga duepande dore. CC. Ezek. xxviii. I, z 'The word of the Lord came again unto me, saying, Son of man, say unto the prince of Tyre, Thus saith the Lord God: Because thine heart is lifted up, and thou hast said, I am a god, I sit in the seat of God, in the midst of the seas; yet thou art man, and not God, though thou didst set thine heart as the heart of God, etc.' Cr. 1 Thess. 11.4.
kali rd botepow outs droylouro. These words expand the previous clause: 'he considered not that he was a man, be considered not that he had to die." "f. Is ai. xiii. 7 'And thou sadist, I shall lee a lady for ever; so that thou didst not lay these things to thy heart, neither didst remember the latter end thereof'
 i. 9 'She remembered not her latter end'

aa dye кípoof...tropus. Geiger points out that it is not stated that he was 'lord of heaven and earth,' but that this was his ambition. Pompey's sims were never realized. But, considering the uncertainty of the tenses representing the Hebrew unperfect, this point must not be pressed.
For the spirit of arrogance described in this verse cf. Ezek. xxix. ${ }^{3}$ 'Thus snit the Lord GOD, Behold, i am agninst thee ${ }_{f}$ l'haraoh, king of $\mathrm{Egypt}^{\prime}$...which hath sand, My river is mine own, and I have made it for myself.'
 that occurs with especial frequency in the LxX. version of Ezekiel, and the reader will have observed how often the Psalmist uses the language of that Prophet.
 worthy to be considered that in these words there is an allusion to Pompey's 'soubriquet' of 'Magus.' It is God stone, says the Jewish Psalmist, who is 'Great.' Cf. Deut. x. 37 'For the Lorn your God, he is God of gods, and Lord of lords, the great God, the maghyy, Psalm xciv, (xiv.) $3^{\prime}$ For the Lox is a great God.'
 These words echo a familiar formula, e.g.


32 for he ${ }^{\text {s }}$ set him at nought in dishonour．
He considered not that he was a man，and his latter end he did not consider．

33 He said：I will be lord of earth and sea；and perceived not that it is God who is great，powerful in the greatness of his strength．

34 He is king over the heavens and judgeth kings and rulers．
35 It is he that lifteth me up unto glory，and layeth low the proud in etcrnal destruction in dishonour，because they knew him not．

36 And now behold，ye princes of the earth ${ }^{25}$ ，the judgement ${ }^{15}$ Or，the of the LORD，that ${ }^{18}$ he is a great and rightcous king，judgring land the whole earth．





 CC．P＇S．Xt． 4 ＇The LORD＇s throne is in heaven＇；cuti．Ig＂The Lorb hath esta－ blished his throne in the beavens．${ }^{\text {．}}$
as ávirtèv d $\mu$ ds dojav．The re－ sumpion of the ist person is bere no－ ticeable．It would be ratural to see in the words an mlusion to the temporal trumph of the Dharisaic party as the re－ sult of recent poltical events．But inas－ much as els dokar correspond to tir drit入usas alksom，the whole verse is best understood as mlanding in immediate connecunn with the reference to Ihvine juiferment mentioned in ver．34．From thus jurfgement of God，the＇phous＇Pha－ risee looks for＇resurrection unto giory＇； but he predicts for the＇proud，＇i．e．the Saxducees and their foreign allies，de－ struction with ishame and everlasting contempt＇（Dar xil．a）．

On the sulject of the resurrection see note on ini．16．The use of $\dot{d} \mu{ }^{2}$ ，the im－ personation of the true Istael，is to be noted in fayour of the view that dworêr $\ldots$ ．．．ts dokar refers to something more than the restoration to secular glory．
ivuroiv．The language of this verse reminds us of Hannah＇s song；see espec． 1 Sam．ii．8，where occut the words

kopplfor．A straking word to use in the sense of＂bringing down，＂＂laying low，which is plainly the meaning re－ quired here．The strangeness of the ex－ pression has caused Hilgenfeld to sab－
stitute rouljor，But for rouljew riva cit drwheian it would be hard to fund any authority：the passage in Ezr．v． 3 nal
 sufficient to warrant its introduction in a metaphorical sense here：two olher pas－ sages， 3 Esid．iv， 5 ，ix．40，employ the word in its literal signification，but else－ where it seems in the Lxx．to be used in the Middle Voice．

There is no need to introduce in the face of the mss，an insypud prose word as a substitule for a vigorous metaphor． sormijes oceurs in a hostule sense in 3 Sam．vii．＂And he smote Moab，and mensured them with the Jine，making them to lie down on the ground＇（kos－
 tenters 2 ＇コier

 also found in Gen，xxiv．It ；Jud．xvi．29； Nah，iji， 18 ．

4mspydevove．This does not refer to the Komans whose íreppparia is spoken of in vy．$I_{1}, 2$ ，but to the Sadducaic princes and their party；cf，i． 6 disppotur iv rois dyafor̂s cuturn，xvil． 26.
 so，xiv． 6.
ótt ove lywuray avtóv．Cf．：Cor．


8 si Kat viy．Cf．ix． 16 ．
 persons thus addressed？They stand in distinction from the of 申opolpuevor ro xipuay dy Eriornap．They may be the pronces and leating men of Palestine． Hut we qre inclined to think that the victorious party of Cassar are meant．


 натоs,




 тоıท̂бaı катà тò ề éos aủtô̂ $\quad$ oîs $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ av̉rov̂,



## 



40 Touñou A, V, M, Fabr, Geig, rouprat K, P, Hulg. Fritzsch. Puck.
 Cerda om. evinatom, sed interpr. coram.
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ). $V_{\text {i }} \mathrm{M}$ om, Itscript.
mon expression in the lxx version of



 ainp 'the abyss."
a7 of фoßoúpavan soy kúprov iv ith-
 Thy ajpuov the l'salmist weems to indicate the "pious" Pharisces, whose object it Whes to maintain the purity of theocratic princıples; cf, iti. 16, v. 31 , xiii. 1 f .

For the addition of $d v$ drawnhm compare the other qualifying phrases, e.g. ver. 40 ty íropovi, iv. 36 el dxanta, vi. 9 x. 4 dy $d \lambda \eta \theta \in i f$, by which the Psalinist distinguishes the true fear and love of God that charnctenzed the Pharisee from the mere religlous pretence of the opposite faction.

Gerger suggesta that in intorthat re. [resents the muscal word "Masclal"
 ye prases with emiderstanding' (marg. Or ts a shtlful psatm Heb. Mischil), where the LxX. has 中hdary awerwhs. But (a) the phrase " with a skillul Psalm ${ }^{\text {T appro- }}$ priate in connection with 'singing,' is lews so in contection with 'blessing' (b) Agatn,
the word in the Greek is certanly to be connected with oi $\phi 0$ porperyos, not with niAogeitr, and the qualification "with under= standing 'added to 'the fear of the Lokp' had, as we suggest, a special signnficance. (c) It should also be noticed that 'Maschil,' with the exception of the passage quoted zbove, only appears in the murieal sense in the titles of Psalms (i.e. xxxii. xiii, xliv. xlv lit. Iv, Ixxiv, Ixxvifi. Jxxxvii. Ixxxix. cxli1).
$5 \pi$ rod Anen.. $\mu$ rad inpiparog- A sentiment which reappeara in a vanety of forms throughout these Psalms. Cf. Ps. cui. 17, 'But the mercy of the Lord is from everlasting to everlasting apon them that fear him, and his sighteousness nuto chiddren's children.'
 dváuecop dylov ral $\beta$ çpinow ov bitorehhow;
 סutordinoy; and see on iv. 4 .

The discrimination between the 'rightecrus' and the 'smmer' is impossible under present conditions, It is spoken of us that whech shall take place in the day of the Lord, Mal. iii. $38^{1}$ Then shall ye return and discern between the righteous and the wicked, between him that serveth God and him that serveth him not. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

37 Bless ye God, ye that fear the LorD with understanding; for the mercy of the LORD is with judgement upon them that fear him,

38 To separate between the rightcous and the sinmer, to recompense unto the sinners for ever according to their works.

39 And to show mercy unto the righteous because of their oppression by ${ }^{17}$ the sinner, and to recompense unto the sinner ${ }^{12} \mathrm{Gir}$. in return for that which he hath done unto the righteous.

40 For the LORD is gracious unto them that call upon him in patience, to deal according to his mercy with them that are his ${ }^{\text {te }}$, that they may stand continually in his presence in strengtli. 41 Blessed be the LORD for ever in the presence of his aremuth servants.

## PSALM III.

## Concerning the Righteows.

I Why sleepest thou, O my soul, and blessest not the Lokn?

The judgement belongs to God, Exek. xuave 17 . Behold it 1 igre between cattle and cattle, as well the rams as the he-

 Matt, xiji. 49, $\pi x{ }^{2} 3^{2 .}$
droforivat, See on ver. 17.
 because of (lit. from before) the humaliatoon which the sinner inflicts upon the ruthecous. duaprwhav is the Len, of the sulject, not of the object,

For tarebrwats compare Lnm. in 3 mer-
 where however awno is the Gien of the olject.

The Psulmist probably refers to the oppression which the tpious ${ }^{2}$ Jews unrerwent at the hands of the Sadducee princes.
druodiunat, The Jorid recompensed humiliation upon the "uinner," cf. Ps,


div' 'ry, cf. ver. 3,15 .
40 тois iTwaloupivate airdy. Cf. ix, it. The expression is practically synonymous with ol фopoduevos do ino powid. The whole phrase combines Pb. cxiv. (exlv.) 9 xpmotis tipuon rois into $\mu$ i-
入ouphors aúrde, Tâar rồt drumatouplvost dy dirpete. The 'patience' of the pious Jew is perhaps contrasted with the volence of the zealnt.
wotinguh. We prefer the Inf., carrying out the iden of $x$ ppords, to the Opt.
 The last words are very strange, and probably melicate some corruphan in the lext. We conjuctare snme confasion betheen 'tamu' (with him) and 'ammis' (his people), thy and iny.
mapervaivat iv loxúl. The Jnf. Tapeordvar we take to be dependent on roviras. "To stand before the Lorts" (rapeordivas tyars auplow) is the privilege of the Levites (e.g. Deuk, K. 8, xviii. 7) and, in the N.T of the Israel of God, Kev. vij. 85 .
41 The Doxolngy winoppros xifios. Cf, Gen. ix. $26 ;$ Lnke $1,68$.
(vérthy tw̄y §oú入 wy adroû i.e. Let His name and power be praised and held in honour wherever His servants are.

For the Lor D's servants ef. Deut, xxxii.

 cxaxiv, (cxxxy.) i aiveire Th ofroue nuplov, abrite סoviod suptov. Here the servants of the Lors represent the 'pious' Jews with whose cause the Psalmist identifies himself. There is possibly a reference to the orthodox priests of the time.

## Pa. ILI.-A드N.

1. The summons to cast away lethargy and to praise the Lord anew ( $\mathrm{r}, 2$ ).
2. The righteous ever praseth the LORD: he acknowledgeth Livine justice,

## 




 кчрiov.
2 Wadare V, K, P, Hitg. Frizsch. Pick. : \%dג入are A, M: \%dג入ere Fal.


when he is chastened t he is prepared for chastisement, when be falleth: his confidence is in God his Saviour. He endeavoureth to live void of offence; the $\operatorname{sins}$ of hus household he seeketh to put away: for errors of ignorance he maketh trespass offering, he fasteth to make amends; and is cleansed, he and his house (3-10).
3. The sinner in trouble raileth and is profune: for him there is no hope or pity; his destruction is for ever.

The righteons shall rise again, unto eternal life (11-16).

This Psalm if of a more general character than the two preceding ones. The Psalmist does not introduce bimself, nor is Zion personified. But the description of the 'righteous' and the 'simner' gives in sharp contrast the PsaInist's view of the two opphsing types of Judaism. Their toue character is revealed under the discipline of I) ivine chastisement (vv. 4151 II, 13. 14). Whether this chastisement is to be understood to mean the oppression of Judse by the Romans, we have not sufficient evidence to determine.

In two respects the Psalm is of consillerable interest and importance: ( 1 ) for its description of ideal Phamsaic righteousness 7 -10, (2) for its ailusions to the doctrine of the resurrection ( $33-16$ ).
frictiption. It is to be ohserved that the Copenhagen ms, does not attach a number to the tate of this I'salm, and the mistake in the numeration of the follow. ing Pualms 15 not corrected untit Ps. ix.

Thie title 'Concerning the Riphteaus' conveys no impression of originality.

1 'Ivart invois. The words recall the bery duficent supplatation in I's. xlui.
 Compare the opening verses of xvi.

For the address to the soul dok evihopeif, cf. 'Bless the Lusd, O my non\}' \{endoret


22, cili. (civ.) $\mathrm{I}_{1} 35$.
2 Epuoy kaivdy quilare. It has been nuggester (Geiger) that the Psalmist by these words is referring to some recent event that called for new and special thankngiving; and that the subject-matter of the preceding Psalm, the death of Pompey, is the occasion for exultation on the part of those Jews, who welcomed in his fate the vindicalion of Zon's honour.

On the other hand the words are baserl on well-knowr O.T. phruseology, and the general tone of the Psalm is rocial and ont political. Wuhout excluding the possitnility of the olher view, it is more natural to regard the opening words as an instance of a common poetic artifice, an invitation lo try a rew theme for nong, -the contrast between the 'rigt teras's and the 'sinner.' Agan, the description of ejugनredot is a convincing proof to us that the thought of Pompey is not in the Psalmist's mind.

The Greek tmanslator does not follow the words of the $L \times x$, cf. Ps $x x x i i$. (sxxiii.)

 xev, (xevi.) t, xevi. (xevii.) 1, exlix. I
 9 qiaty kaivie foropat I Isai. xilt. 10


The plural wadare is strange, being preceded by the silugular innoit snd Eincyeis, and followed by waike, (1) The transition to the Plural may be a poctical license, the Psalmist momentarily addressing himself to all of фopoujutvoi (ver. 16) just as in vv. $3,4,7$ he interchanges sirater and siкeto.
(2) Or, inasmuch as in six out of the seven passages where 'a new mong' occurs in the $O . T_{\text {- }}$ the words are connected with an inviation in the and Pers. Plur., the translator may very possibly have adopted the Plur. unconsciously from the familiar langunge of the Canonical f'salms.

2 Sing' a new song unto God who is worthy to be praised. Sing, yea shout unto him with a joyful noise, for it is good to sing praises unto God with the whole heart.
${ }^{1}$ Gr. $\operatorname{Sin}_{5}$
$y e$
${ }^{9}$ Gr. beect z tatch hor his zwifth.

3 The rightenus ever make mention of the LORD with praise, his and justify the judgements of the LORI [with thanksgiving];
(3) Or , as is very possible from the frequent interchange of 4 and $\%$, ${ }^{\text {7nop }}$ ? may have become 170 D , and the translator's Weinare have perpetuated the error.
 dus (7马a xlvii. (xlvit.) i.




These very obscure words almost dely translation. Cerda renders 'vigila ad vigiliam tuan (G7. ejus)': Geiger, 'erxathe zu semer Wache's Pick, "awake up to hus watch. But against these verbions it is sufficient to observe that ropyopmats must be something quite distinct from
 нои отicomat). Wellhausen gives more accurately 'erwache, da er erwacht ist,' i.e. "be wakeful with respect to, towards of against His aqraking.' a quite literal translation which gives the only intellimble meaning. Thesense then is 'Arouse thyself from the slumber of apathy, O my soul: praise Him who never slumbers or sleeps; let the energy of thy praise respond to the unceasing operation of Hıs blessings' Cp. Ps.cyiii. (cix.) 'Awake, psaltery and harp; I myself will awake right early.'

Hut we are unnble to believe that the Greek can be a true rendering of the original. We surnise that the difficulty has sprung from a very probable error that arose either in the-Hebrew text or in the translatoz's rendering of it, it consetuence of aconfusion between the twovery sitndar Hehrew reots $7 \boldsymbol{y}$, and w , mean.ng respectively 'to arouse' and 'to shout.'

The translation which we give is based on the supposition that the original He brew text contained words furmed from the root D17. It certainly furnishes it more vigorous as well as more connected meaning to the verse. For according to the common text the verse runs "Sing a new song; yea, sing and be wakeful; for it is goord to sing lustily,' in which the last clause stands in no connection with the appeal for wakeluiness. According to
our rendering the last clause is forcible and natural: "Sing a new song; yee, sing and shout aloud; for it is good to sing Justily." The 'shout' then corresponits to the words 'with the whole heart.'

The root $\mathbf{1 7} 7$ 'to shout' occurs in the verse, the resemblance of which to the present passage has been already noticed,
 play skilfully with a loud noise' (ำยากว,
 cvili. 10) 'Philistia, shout thou (yynni) because of me, the root was misunder-
 qures. In Ps. Ixiv. (lxv.) 13 'they shout for joy (1ษทาภ²), they also sing' (xexpofEovial, nal pdo uurtoowtiv), we find the same thought as in the present verse.

The similarity of the two roots (ר) and थ19) will be lest illustrated by comparing Ps. waxiv. (xkxv.) 22 'Stir up thysel $\mid$ f
 judgement' with Ps xlvi. (xivii.) s ${ }^{2}$ Shout (1yำ) unto God with the voice of
 e'yadtiáacos), lxv. (ixvi.) I 'make a joy:
 - 'Sing aloud...make a joyful noise'
 c. $\mathbf{I}$.

On this supposilion we conjecture that the orgunal 1 Hetirew had something tike הּ הּ

 mapsias. The words are based on Ps. cxlvii. I 'Praise ye the Lord; for it is good to sing praises unto our God'; but it is clear that the Greek rendering is not taken from the zxx . version aiveîe rdy
 गुouvely alverts.




 Apoc, Bar, Ixvi. I ex toto corde suo et ex tota anima sua.
b $\Delta$ tratol. The "righteons' alone know how to praise al all times, in chas.

#   "тробє́ко廿еу ò díкаlos каї є́óкаїшбє тò̀ ки́plov,   

tisement as well as joy prymotevourt 'remember,' as Ps. lxiii. 6 'when I re. member thee upon my bed ( $L \times x \mathrm{x}$. el

 The more common expression 'rmake
 Isri. lxwiii. 1, |xii. 6, is generally rendered


ㅎ. us come before his presence with thanks-
 c- 3 Enter into his gates with thanksgiving': exlvii. 7 "Sing unto the Lord with thanksgiving."
kal Buratovgiv. The reading of the MSs. and of previons editions nal durcuas ares seems to present insuperable objectinns.

Accepting the text of the mss, edators have differed from one another on the question whether $\delta$ noutioet should be rennered as a substantive or as a verls. (a) Those who believe it to be a substantive, punctuate at rov̂ kuploe and begin a fresh clause with be esomadoriafl. Thus Cerda renders 'in confessone et justitio judicia itlius', and this punctuation is edopted by Fabricius, IIsigenfeld, Fritasche and Pick. I'jek's translation 'in giving thanks and justifying the jurigments of the Lord' is literal, but cannot be pronounced free from ambiguity. Against this method of translating the words is to be set the difficulty respecting disatwore, (1) The substantive auralwors only occurs once, according to Tromm, in the Ixx., Lev. xxiv, 82 owalwos ( drxuple, and once in Symmachus ${ }^{5}$ Versinn of Ps. xxxiv, 26. It occurs twice in the N. Tiv in Roms iv. ${ }^{25}$, v. 18. (2) The onty meaning which ty...branatioet could here give would be "in confension and in the declaration of their justice are the jurgments of the LoRD,' i.ee the righttous confest their guilt and acknowledge the justice of Divine punishment. But
even if this explanation be nocepted, it does not get over the awl wardness of such a clause, in its relation to the iminediate context ; white the rarity of the substantive duraluots seems to us to make it necessary to resort to some other way of interpreting the passage.
(b) With strusiaret as a verld, a comma is placed at tyouohor piver, and kal ofratbore begins a new clause. The objections are abvious: the Present tense and Pharal number of mumacinart are followed in the immediately ensuing parallel clause by a Future and the Singular. But the change from the Plural to the Singular might be defended by e.g. ver, 3 or ver, 7, iv, $7-10$; and the alteration from Present to Future offers no real obstacle, when we rentember how irregularly the Tenses are treated in translation from the Hebrew. Geiger adopting this explanation renders 'und threr jeder preist des Herrn Entacheidungen gerecht," and Wellhausen gives 'und erkennen seiner Gerichte Gerechlig. kett.

We fully grant the possibility of this translation, 'The righteous ever rememixer the LoRD wath thanksgiving, yea each one justifies the judgements of the LORD. ${ }^{3}$ But we prefer to conjecture that the similarity of the termination in deomoloydresduxathere has been the cause of confusion in the text. The change of suationes to ontasoüar is a very possibie restoration of the Greek text, the alteration invulved being very slight, and recovering to the verb loth the Iresent tense and the Plural number.

If further conjecture be not too ven= turesome, we would suggest that ठuratôow [ $k v$ aivices] may have been the original words, and that $b y$ an error of sight the scnibe wrote ouxabuef, which became eorrected to fixatajoth In favous of this we would plead the parallelism of the clauses, which seem to require the mention of paise in boih members of the verse, the words abvip and airsour also

4 The rightcous man despiseth not the chastening ${ }^{\text {s }}$ of the LORD; his good pleasure is continually before the LORD.

5 The righteous stumbleth and justifeth the LORD; he falleth and looketh to see what God will do unto him ;

6 He gazeth earnestly to see from whence cometh his help:
${ }^{3}$ Gr, which he is chasterned

- Gir. sal vahton
are frequently found associated with : moloyeĩo
 Te to dropa airao. I Chron. xvi. \& kal


 iko


For ducuoíz th splpara see note on ver. 5.

4 oưx b入ıyшppoet к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The clause in the Greek is based on Prov. ils. ©I ${ }^{4} \mathrm{My}$ son, despise not the chastening of the
 quoted also in Heb., xil. 5 ; but the resemblance of thought is even closer in Job $v, 15$ 'Behold, happy is the man, whom God correcteth: iherelore despise not thou the chastening of the Almaghty.'
odtropeir in the ExX, seems only to occur in Prov, hil. It (ロ*D). Well. hausen's realering 'Der Gerechte verliert dea Muth nicht,' seems to lose sight of this parallel, and would be better suited to dhyofunety in the sense of еукахеіу.
y «ifomia mẻroû Sud mands dvavrion Kuplov. The general sense of these words is obvious, 'the righteous always finds favour with the Lord." But their precise interpretation is not so easy; and the renderings of Cerda "complacentia illius semper coram Deo' of Geiger 'Stets ist seine Lust vor dem Ewigen,' and of Pick "His pleasure is always before the Lord,' must be almosk unintelligitle. Wellhausen's trunslation 'denn er bieibt doch in Gnade bei dem Herrn ${ }^{\text {T}}$ gives the true iden of the clause, without however reproducing the Greek.

There are two ways of explaining the clause. (I) $\dot{\eta}$ evidoxia adros 'the goodwill of God towards the righteotis man., i.e. 'the favour in which he stands,' This use of eibouia can be illustrated by Luke ii. 14 it àvopaírosy siddorias, i.e. among men to whom the Divise good pleasure and kindly favour was constantly shown.

So in this verse "the Divine good-will and favoar towards the nghteous man' stands like his good angel ever beholding the presence of the Father which is in heaver.
(2) $\ddagger$ tiơokie aúroû $=$ 'the righteous man's good witl and pleasure'; which he places, as it were, at the service of God, ready to perform lis command.
 fill. Sce Ps xxva. 2 ; Is. vม. 15 ; Dan. $x \mathrm{i} .95$

It does not appear that the acr. refers to any actual incident; it merely emphasizes the suddenness of the transition from prosperity to trouble.
The words must not be understood of moral fallure in the modern sense of the word 'fall.' They denote reverse of fortune or some great ealamity, as in Prov. xxiv, $16,17^{\circ}$ A rughteous man falleth seven times, and robeth up again; hut the wicked are overthrown by calamity, Rejoice not when thane enemy fallth, and let not thme hears be glail when he is overthrown' Cf. Ps. xxxvii. 24 'Though he fall, he shall not be otterly cast down: for the Lord upholdeth him with his land." cxlv, 19 "The Lord upholdeth all that fall.'
 Cf Apoc. Bar, $7^{8}$ ut justificaretus judicium ejus.
drookdret. For the thought cf. Ps, xaxiv. "They looked unto him and were lightened: and their fnces shall never be confoustied. ${ }^{1}$

Observe dro in a compound giving the sense of eamest concentration. Cf. Hth.


- Amooroweves, "watcheth or looketh forth to see, Cf. Hab. ii. I atro-

 Tetorapey els tovos of cuisow: Judith $x$. to





J. P.
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 -erîpos aúrwy. Geiger renders ${ }^{\text {s Sicker }}$ sind dee Gerechten in Gout,' which is maloutel by lick 'The righteous are safe in God.' But there is no reason for this interference with the Greek. 'The safety or security of the rugheous is or springs from Coil' is the obvious meaning of the words; 'are safe in God' given a collateral but perfectly distinct idea. d $\lambda\rangle \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{0}$ ea is here used in the unusual sense, 'confidence' or 'security.' The clause, that is, sumps up the preceding sentences. ai $\lambda$ in ca probably translates one aspect of inwhah (אטונ), a word generally occaring with the sense of "faithfulness," but also used for the 'strength,' 'confidence,' and 'firmness.' arising from trust. Compare Ex, xvi. ot 'And his hands were steady' (literally 'for faith-
 19 'I ans of them that are peaceable and


tape Broil бurnipos aúvīy. Cf. Ps.
 rap ecoû durtipos eíroû: Ps. ci. 21 ; Luke i. 47; I Time i. 2, fit 3: Tit. i. 3,
 The title of 'Saviour,' here applied to 'God' in His dealings with 'the righteons,' is generally found in connexion with the mercies shown to the nation (egg. xvii. 3). The true 'Israel' and the 'rightemus' are one.
aüditeral. This word is used sometimes of habitual habitation ${ }_{4}$ as in Job xi.
 more generally of 'lodging for the night' $={ }^{\text {t }}$ pernoctare,' as I rendering of lit, ecg. Ps. xxv. 13 "His soul shall dwell ( at ease' (iv dyafois aùncodijaerut). Cf. Matt xxi. 7 ; Luke xxi. 37 .
ápapria db' apuptiav.' These words
seems to be based on Ikai. xxx . 8 'that they may add sin to $\sin ^{\prime}$ ( LXX . एposecīra duapriar 'i $\phi^{\prime}$ ' ' $\mu a p$ aras), though less obviously than in vet. Tr. Cf. Ecclus v. 5 тporteivas iunapriay in' duapriats. The idea is that of abundant sin, one following upon another in succession. The use of the accusative after it may be illus-


The Rabbins had a saying that *one sin caused another (4) (yo Tanchum. fol. 83. a) quoted by Schotigen (Hor, Heb, i. 518 ) in illustration of Rom.

 rally used in this book with reference to Divine visitation either for purposes of punishment or deliverance, has here its primary sense of inquisitonal search.

The righteous man is here represented as carrying into practice the language of Ps. ci. $7^{\circ} \mathrm{He}$ that worketh deceit shall not dwell within my house: He that speaketh falsehood shall not be estal)listed before zine eyes. Morning by morning will I destroy all the wicked of the land, etc.'
той $\begin{aligned} & \text { fanon disklav. The purpose of }\end{aligned}$ the imiorequis. dealpu is used in the Lxx. for the frequent phrase found in Deut. 'thou shalt put the evil away from among you' (xvii. $7,12, x i x, 9, x \times 1.9$, etc.).
 are generally taken in immediate connexion with disulaw. But whether in that case auto ob refers to Tor frow of to $\dot{d}$ okas does not appear certain. Geiger 'bel dessen Falls, explains it 'of the transgression of the household'; Cerda 'in lapsus illus (so),' Wellhausen 'brei seiner Uebertretung 'and Pick 'in his fall,' explain it of the transgression of the righteous man.

The awkwardness and obscurity of the

7 The confidence ${ }^{8}$ of the righteous cometle from God their ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Gr}$. Saviour.

There lodgeth not in the dwelling of the righteous sin upon sin. \$ The righteous man maketh inquisition continually in his own house to the end that he may put away iniquity;

With his trespass offering 9 he maketh atonement for that wherein he erreth unwittingly,
and with fasting he afflicteth his soul.
phrase here used at the end of the verse is due in our opinion to the translator having probably misapprehended the possage. By punctuating at dioukiay inslead of at autovi, we suggest that the full meaning of the passage enn be restored without alteration of the text beyond shifting the position of kal. (I) We believe that is mortio should be in close conjunction with rameurisen (see Ps. xxxv. 13 ), and that ì y yotelp raweunion corresponds to iv rapait (2) The Hebrew words hatetth and ashans are both employed to denote either sin and guilt or the sin-offering and guill-offering, according to the context. (3) The iranslator, tripping over this nmibiguity, has connected the word ( $P$ ashâm) in a meaningless manner wsth
 (4) instead of which he should have begun
 means of a gult-offering he maketh nomement for, etc. ${ }^{1}$
The probability of this view 15 inereased ly the fact that iEshiokeotas reed divoias is the technical term for 'to make atonement' for sins of ignorance in Lev. iv. w, it and the means of making such atonement was the sin-offering or guilt-ofiering, the nanves of wheh were sulject to misunderstanding.

The lechnical term $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \lambda e t a$ is not found in this book. On the other hand in Ezek. xxil. 4 ìv rois ar,
 Tireth rendera the root 'ashâm,' which posithly occurred here.

Accoriling to our rendering 'The righteous man' of the Psalmist is the strict Pharisee; who not merely purges $\sin$ from his household, hut is careful (1) to obey the writuen law by making offerings for the sins of which he has heen kuilty through ignorance, and (a) to follow the oral tradution by olserving the days of fasting.
 mon, word rendening the Hebrew 'kip$\mathrm{p} \hat{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a}}}{ }^{\prime}$ is almost exclusively used in L ev . and Num. of the atonement made by the priest. If we might conjecture from its use here that 'the righteous man' in thas prisage is 'the raghteons priest' in d.sinction from the ungodly Sadducee priesthood, we should obtain a further detal in the picture presented by our Psalm.
mept dyvolas. Cf. Lev, v. is 'the prient shall make atonement for hum concernung the thug wheren he erred unwhitingly and knew it not. and he shall the forgiven. It is a guil-offering,' (ive also L.ev. 1 v . 1,13 . $12 ; \mathrm{v}$, I5.) The $1 . \mathrm{xx}$. version runs nal ekindorrae repl autồ b
 ral autors oive piste, xal dqetijoerai aive\%.

The same expression is used by Aquila in Num. xv. 27; xxxy. 11, \&c., where the Lxx. uses dxouriw' to denote the offences committed unconsciously. In
 the zxx. rendering of "ashâm' the guiltoffering.
The importance of this offering for suns commited in ignorance can only be appreciated, when we remember how dificult it was for a Jew to avoid contracting pollution in the ducharge of his danly duties as a citizen. It was this irksomeness of the Jewish Law which dictated the fundamental maxim of the Senbes 'make a fence about the Law,' and caused St Peter to speak of it as a yoke 'which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear' (Acts xv, Io).
"nal" in vportiq. The Mes. and Edd. b) morele, sat For our reading and puncluation see preceding nole. When in жapaivtupart autoil was given by the trinslator to the preceding verse the parallelism of the present verse was destroyed.
 aưroû.



 बєтal.
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14 Jinator Cerda, Bed interpte 'custos.'
 this phrase see Lev. xvi. 29, 3 ; axil. 29, 32; Isai. xviii. 5 ; Ecclus, li. 17; Juduth iv. 9 , in all of which it is found in connexton with fasting. To connect iv
 to us the most natural arrangement of the words: and it is daficult to resist the impression that our clause is based on $\mathrm{PB}_{\text {, }}$ xxxv. I3 'I afflicted my soul with fast-
 \$(x) ${ }^{\text {为 }} \mu 0,1$ ) : lxviii. so 'When I wept and chattered may soul with fasting' (nail over.


The tense of tawevoser coming mmediately after ésindoavo words a good instance of the confusion arising from the translation of the Hebrew Impfo, which denotes continuous action, as if it were a Future.

The affliction of the soul by fasting, standing as it does in parallelism with atonement for sins of ignorance, occupies here a prominent position in the descrapion of the religious life of the righteous man gand corresponds with the prominence of fasting in the picture of Pharisaic exterublism, which appears in the Gospel narrative, cf. Matt. vi. 6 ; Luke xviii. In.

10 's wiper rabapite. For the cleansing which follows upon the act of atonement, see the use of dethdonopar and xadapljw in Lev, xii. B; xiv. 19. 53; Ezek.

soto. This adjective is used in
almost a technical sense, cf. viii. 28, 40; xii. 8 ; xiv. 2,7 as a translation of 'chāsld' 'pious.' The strictest and most theocratic Jews were intended by the 'pious' (chasidim), Hence arose the title of 'Asideans,' which was given at the time of the Maccabean revolt to the section of the people most fanatically devoted to the observances of the law (cf. 1 Mace, ii, 34-38).
"The chasid is of greater excellence than the gaddry (Xixacos). Cf. the gradeton: "Three things are said of nailparings, He who burns them is chard; He who buries them is faddrq; He who throws them away is räshe' (Nuddnh 37, a), Taylor's Sayings of the Jewish Fathers, P. 48, 7. 24. It is interesting to observe that the epithet 'pious,' in the presage thus commented on by Taylor, is applied to one 'Jose the Priest' : over in the EXX. is used to designate the Levites in Deut, $x \times x$ iii. 8 sal $\mathrm{T} \hat{\mathrm{w}}$ feu?



For another rendering of ${ }^{\square}$ the LXX. cf. Prov, ii. 6 evihaßoiperon, for which cf. evkafing Mic, vii. 7, and Luke ii. 25 : Acts ii. $5 i$ viii. 2 ( $x \times t i .22$ ).
kail Tody oikov aúrovi. Compare the description of the High Priest in Lev, xvi, 17, ' until he come out and have made atonement for himself, and for his household and for ali the assembly of Israel.'

10 And the Lord purifieth every man that is holy and his house．
is The sinner stumbleth and curseth his own life，the day of his birth and his mother＇s pangs．

12 While he liveth，he addeth sin to sin．
13 He falleth；verily grievous is his fall，and he shall not rise again：the destruction of the sinner is for ever．

14 And the Lord shall not have him in remembrance，when he visiteth the righteous．

15 This is the portion of sinners for evermore．
16 But they that fear the LORD shall rise again unto life eternal，and their life shall be in the light of the LoRD，and it shall fail no more．

11 Tporiko廿w duaptelds．Corre－ sponding to ver． 5 ．

матаратан दorip airouz，the converse of ÉAuraluce toiv nuppoy．
Tiv juppar yaverows．Cf．Jol ili， 3, ＇Let the day perish wherein I was born，${ }^{1}$

 based on Is．xux．I；ci，Ps．1xix． 27.
 with these words his extract from Isaiah． The words of the original probably meant the longer he lived．？
 mompdy，see rote on it． 6 b eifuan woif Tovinpq．

кai ouk dvoctiperat，This expression emphasizes the irretrievalue character of the disaster which overtahes＇the smmer．＇ Cf，Isai，xxiv， 20 ＇the transgression there－ of shall be heavy upon it，and it shall fall， and mot rise agate＇；xius．I 7＇the chariot and the horse，the army and the power； they lie down together，they ，watl mot rise？

It cannot be asserted that these words emfail any relerence to a belief in the resurrection．It is after this sentence that the view widiens，and the elernal istuer of life are considered．
 atoven C．it． 33 ；xit． $10 ;$ xiv， $6 ; x$ v．$^{2}$ 14；wvii，z6．The contrast is given by the jur afown of ver．16．The meaning of eis The aleyng is surely that the doom of the wicked is nut pronounced for this life only．This passage and the whole con－ text contemplate the ansihutation of the ＂sinner．＂For him there is no hope，no mercy，now or hereafter．The sesurrec－ tion of the wicked，so briefly stated in

Dan．xii．2，＇and some to shame and everlasting contempt，＇for a long tume was not part of the Jewish doctrine of the resurrection．（Cf． 3 Macc vii．， 14 wol Mby yap àváraots sts swivy oin borat， Where Antiochu：Epiphanes is addressed．） Our Psolmint nowhere fayours the view that any existence worthy of the name awaited the＂sinner．＂

It appears to us that to interpret this and the two following lines as if they only referred to the material ruin of the \＆uap－ reata and the frustration of their schemes， disregards the force of cls roy alwive here and in ver． 15 ，and renders 与ud aívier 17 ver． 16 nnintelligible．

Is ov purpijiocrat，sc．\＆Ocos，which is also the subject to $\mathrm{i} \pi$ roketrmpat．
ortaykrowintyran 8ikalovs．The＇visi－ tation，＇which is generally mentioned under the aspect of punishment and ven－ geance，is here alluded to in its merciful light．Cf．Wisd．iin． 7 nat iy kaipy


 aitovi．

The teaching of the verse is repeated




18 Hupis．Cf．Pg．xi． 6 ＇Fire and brimstone and burning wind shall be the portion of their cup＇（\％Mepls roí rertiplou auirciv）．
d5 Toy alôva，＇for eternity，${ }^{\circ}$ cf， 3 ： ：not to be werkened down to＇misfurtune shall continually be their portion．＇

10 of 81 中oforipero kýprov．See note on $\mathrm{it}_{0} 37$ ．Under this head would be included the bixasen of vv．3，4，3， 7

## A. Yadmde tcul canomढ̀n toîc ánep $\omega$ Trapéckoic.

## IV. 'Ivarí бv̀ кát $\eta \sigma \alpha, \beta \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \lambda \epsilon$, èv $\sigma v \nu \in \delta \rho i \varphi$,



 $\mu \omega y \mathrm{~K}$ : deest M. divoparopeanoty (stc) Cerda.

1 lua ti of M.
and the eacon of ver. 10. It appears to us imporsible not to recognize in these words e reference to the doctrine espes rially connected with Pharisac teaching.
avaotigovera dis serip aldiviay. The belief in the rewurrectom unto etemal life had first been mnequivocally stated in Don. xii. 2 , upon which passage the present words are probably based (Theodot.


 yay). (ompare $z$ Macc. via 9 Eis aiuntav avaptwaw jwîs aragrगioct. Mati, xxv. 46
 of Bt Bixasot ely fomir adifion. We cannot understand how, in the face of this verse, Kabisch (das Vierte Buch Esre p. 168) and Hilxig (Grech, d. Volkes Ssrad, p. 503) should deny the nuthor's belief in the resurrection, or call him \& Sadducee. It is important to bear in mind that the
 ferred to in the writings of the N.T., had, hall a century before the Christian ecia, heen accepled as part of a feature in Jewish religious thought.

The insistence on the dactrine of the resurrection is especially noticeabie in a Psalm, in which the Pharisee contrasts the "pious' Jew with the Sadducee whe said there was no resurrection (Matt. xxii. 33 ; Acts $\times x$ iii. 6-8).
 striking clause, EO apposite in connexion with the thought of the resurrection, loses all! force if the words ivaotiforvau
 taken as denoting material success.

If (evi autriv hv 中wert nupiov. CE Job xxxulu. 29, $30^{\prime} \mathrm{Lo}$, all these thmess doth God work, twice, yea thrice, with a man, To britg back his soul from the pit, that be may be enlightened with the light of the liverg (or lifif)' Is ii. s. "Come and let us wilk in the light of the Lord'; Ix. I9, The Lord shall be unto thee an evero lasting light." "Cf. Prow. $x \times 127$ 中ws

Kuplav Trent antownty.
The 'liglt of the Loxd' angests numerous parallels in the N.T. (1 Tim. vi. 551 Jas. i. 17 ; 1 Pet. ii. 9 is Joh. i. 5,$7 ;$ ii. 9 ; 10), and the association of 'the lyght' wath 'the life' recalls a characteristic phrase of Johannine teaching (John


orne dichequet th. These words referred probably in the original to the $\phi$ wis suptou and are based on Is $\mathrm{Ix}-19,20$, where exhetres occurs in the LXX. For ETs compare Am, vili. $1+$ 'and never rise up

Ps. IV.-Argwment.
(i) A denunciation of the typical profane person, a member of the nation's Counci!, but no true Israelite, outwardly a slem judge of others' suths, secreily a proflgate of unbrutied lust ( $t$-6).
(ii) A prayer, that God would overthrow and expose the true character of these false professors of Israel's faith, passes off into a detailed description of the type of men-pleasers,-sultite and deceitful, but lascivious, suvage, unserupulous (7-15) and unsparing (I2-19).
(iii) A curse, mparently twofold, is protounced (a) against the individual of this type, in his daily life, personal prujecte, and household (16-20); (b) ugainst the whole class of Jewrsh men-pleasers, that they may come to a violent end and there bohnes lie unburied in uishonour; in requital for their cruelty and rapocity, for their forgetfulaess of their God, and for their dissimulation towards the true Israelites ( $20-25$ ).
(iv) 'They thet fear God' shall be blessed: He wilt deliver them from the snares of the ungodily: He is just and mighty; He will overthrow the proud and show mercy to them that love Him ( $26-29$ ).

Though not one of the most attractive, this Psalm is one of the must important in the whole collection. Breathing

## PSALM IV.

## A Psujm of Solomon against the Men-pleasers.

## t Wherefore sittest thou, 0 profane one, in the assembly,

 ${ }^{1}$ when thy heart is far removed from the LORD, and provokest ' Gr . and the God of Israel by thy transgressions?throughout a spirit of intense hatred, it paints the pucture of the Phansees' most buter adversaries as newed from the point of view of the 'prous' I'harssee. It is an attack upon the inmer life of the Sadductic faction conceived in all the maligntey of the partisanship prevalent in Judwa durang the first half of the last century в.c.

The writer, whose persomality is only once (信畣 ver. 27) alluded to, identifies himself with them 'that fear the Lord in their unnocency, In this way he distinguishes has own party frem these uf his cobsitrymen, who, though they equally clatmed to fear the Lurir, honoured $\mathrm{H}_{\text {m }}$ with their tips whlle thels heart was far from Him (ver t).

Tlus the objects of his attack are Jews, They dwell with the 1 lhansees (ver in, They are of bugh rank: they sit on the natton's supreme Conncil (ver. 1); they dispense justice (vv. 2, 3) ; they expound law (ver. Jo).

But in private life they are lax and immoral ; in public they are cruel and implacable towards their own countrymen, making them houscless and spreading nisery far and wide (w. 23, $\mathbf{2 n}_{4}$ ). .

The tutle by which he designates them is 'men-pleasers' ( $11-15$ ), and in the opening word of the Psalm he addresses them collectively as "profane" (ver. b).
We have no doubt that the Psalmist is referring to the harlducees. These in the eyes of the I'hansees were 'profane.' They numbered an their booly the most influental prestly familiey, but were notoriously lax ams neglectful in theur olservance of the Mosace Law. Ther sympathics lay with the politics rather than with the religion of their country; active diplomatasts, but indifferent to the spirit of their fath, they seemed to the pious Pbasisee to profane the misson of the chosen people by their secularity. These were the typical 'men-pleasers' who sacrificed the ligher interests of the people to polatical alliances and schemes for aggrandisement. They were ready to
break down the barriers of their religion in order to enjoy the favour of the powerful. Theis relighon was a mere lip-service. Therr real devolion was given not to their God but to their domanaizt Asmo. mean house.

Wellhausen's conjecture that vv. 1 I15 ere a description of Alexander Jannatus, who fur 26 years ( $104-78$ ) reigried over the Jews and successfully overthrew the attacks of the Pharistic party, was based on $2 n$ interpretation of ter. 13 which we are vazble to secept.

The intense bitterness of its tone indicates the temporary triumph of the Sadducees. Their punishment is the theme of a fervent prayes; but as no allusion is made to the judgment already, or iskely to be, intlicted by God through the instrumentality of the heathen, we conclurle that this Psalm was written before the advance of Pompey's army into Syria.

The barbarons and insolent behavious of the P'salmat's foes in dajicersing and banshing ( 13 and 23 ) thear country men mught be an nilnstora to the cunrluct of Kung Alexamier Jimnaus and his sup[porters, after their victory in 86 when they had quenched at a cisst of some so,000 lives the flames of hostite insurrection. But, as we are inclaned to think more probable, it refers to the time at the close of Alexandra's reign, when the Sndducee party was being restored to favour and power. Aristobulus was determined to renew the policy of his father Alexinder. The Pharisees saw their infuence once more diminishing. They recalled the savagery, with which Alexander Jannreus celebrated his vactory (Jos. AmL XIIt 14, 2), and the wholesale hanishment to which his foes were consigned. The Phatisees saw in Aristobulus a repetition of the father's policy.
ros an instance of the crueliy of Alexander Janneus we may cite the following terrible description from Jos. Ants Xits.
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The story of the Elders and Susamat furmishes another obvious parallel to the Psalmist＇s description of the unjust judge． It is tempting to associate that famests legend with the incidents underlying the present Psalm．

The Psalmist denounces the insolence， immorality，and svarice for which the friends and supporters of a young prince like Aristobulus were probably notorious． The detaled reference to the shameless assaults upon the preace and purity of home life，部en in $\mathrm{Fv}, 5,6,15,12,15$, is best explained by some recent scandal in which the young mobles of the \＄adducee houses had given deep offence to their cuantrymer．
The title＇Against the Men－pleasers＇ has in atl probability been given to this Psalm by copyists，It is not likely to have been an original heading；but seems to be based upon the use in vy． 8 ， 10,21 of a striking and uncommon name．
dutperraptonos uccurs only once in the 2xx．Ps．liii．（ $=\mathrm{Lxx}$. lii．$)$ of ofeds decondp－ जwer sotal inypuraplowem，where the translators must have read in＇proo fane ones＂instead of 7 J ＂him that en－ camped against thec，In the N．T． tind it in the parallel passages Ephes．


 raperneiv occure in Ignatius Rom．ii．，the suhstantive isepporapleqeta in Juxtin， Aph．1． 2.
 Splep．This denunciation in the Second l＇erson Singular is addiressed to the body of the Sadducees coliectively．The Psalmist seems to see before him the
typical Sodducee，a man of high birth and great wealth，a member of the San－ hedrus，sitting in jurgement upor his countrymen．
$\beta \in \beta \eta \lambda \mathrm{f}$ ．Foz this use of the word com－
 where the I．xx，and A．V．＇profane＇ren－ ders STin（Aq．трavaaria，R．V．＇deadly－$^{\text {d }}$ wonnded ${ }^{\dagger}$ ）in the sense of $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ．It is
 $\beta \notin$ pha for thengz common or unclean in contrast to things sacred and dedicated， e．g．Lev．Y， 10 ，Erek．xxui，36．We find it used of unhatlowed and wo profanmes
 As applied to persons，it is found though less commonly in classical Greek，e．g． Plato，Sympos． 218 日 kal el tur dinot éari
 the vulgar man uninituated into the graces of society．
The $\beta t \beta \eta \lambda \mathrm{p}$ here is the man who， having to represent a holy people and to deal with holy things，is himself unholy： he is＇defiled rather than＇defiling．＇

It may be well to remember that the Pharisees regarded the High－Priesthood as having been wrongfully usurped by the＇unconsecrated＇Asmonean praces． The leaders of the Sadducees were also defled with the blood of massacred conn－ trymen，Compare in 8.
кátpras Used of sitting in judge－ ment，cf．Lx，xyH1．it dari ov neg joas
 кard Tdy nópoy．
ty rovefolp．This refers to the San－ hedrin，the great admonistrative and ju－ dicial council of the nation，over which the High F＇riest presided．The Saddu－ cees were here in our Psalmist＇s time in a mijority，Acts v． 87 ；Jos．Amt．XX．9， I：they represented the nobulity，the ofo varot and apxoryts．The worl is used of the members of the Council collectuvely， cf，Matt，xxvi． 59 of be dipxupetis kal to
 pê̂s ral fâr To suridpion．Used in this sense we may compare wuh the present
 to T\＄onved $\rho$ d $\%$（vee also Matt．Y．22；Mark xiv． 55 ，xv． 1 ；Luke xxii． 66 ；John xi． 47 ；

2 He surpasseth in words, yea in outward show he surpasseth all; he is austere in speech when he condemneth sinners in judgement:
 80).

The words however may have a more general application. There was a 'beth © $A, n$ ' or 'cuurt of jus gement' in conmetion with every synagogue throughosut Judien. There were lucal ansiope wh.ch decided pretty cawes, and admomstered jublice in the ifastrict.

For the use of ountopory in the Lxx. compare I'rov. xxal. io Exkaxe the ouve.

 difiers widely from the Hebrew). It is possible, though not likely, that it is used here in this wider sense. At the time of the cumposition of this P'saltm, there was undoubtedly a scmate or $\gamma$ zpoyoin representing the nation, jresided over by the ligh l'ruest, whe was also the Asmmean I'race- Shortly afterwarls ( $57-5$ ) ) this Natonal (cumnen! was brokell upl by Gabmiss mono five ouy-
 the intervention of Jiths (ixsar ( $4 \hat{i}$ ) the mathon's Counctl scems to have been restored is Jerusatem, and we thd Herul the Great defending humself as a young man before the Jewish auvidpor et Jerusalem (Anf. xut. 9, 3 .1, after which tume wowdone came to be the most common tutle.

Taking into secount the proltical character of the present Psalma, it is probable that the allusion is to the National Council in the discharge of judicial functions. The word gveidphom was very posstbly not in use befure the tume of Gabinius an applied to the Councrl. But the translator lived at a time when the term had become generally accepted. (Cf. on the whole subject Schurer, Getch. d. 7 med . Volker Io Ppe 146-8.)
 aind tov wuplov. A quotation from the well-known passage in Is. xxin. 133 , cited by our LokD (Matt, xv. 6,9). The HeLrew tuns "this people ...have removed their heart far from me'; the I xy, version
 $i \mu 00$. The present clause agrees with the LxX. intransituve rendering ; and as its language is quate independent of the Alexandrine version, it presumably translates
a traditional Helorew text, which must have had
 ing early tentingiay in favour of the LXY. remierang against the Massoretic vocallzation. For a similar passage compare


mapopyiçav Tov Bedy 'I IFparin. Cf.
 patil, I King xyı. y той mapopylaat ue er tos mataloss aitwiv, Jer. vil I8 кas lowel-
 वwal $\mu \mathrm{F}$.
 liar phrase for which it would be hard to find an exact parallel. The meaning however is quite clear. The ixppous man is extravagant in virtuons langange and assumed decorousness of manner.

For reporods used in very different sensea in the Lxx. cf. I Kings xiv. 19 "And the rest of the Acts of feroboum' (ral Teprocos pīua Tepoßodu), Eccles, vii. 17 'neither nake thy wif over-wise' (manㄹ
 we find 'excellent wislom' (v. 13 ) and ' $e x$ -
 and repagaje tweipa. It occura in a deprectatory vernse in 3 Vace. xil. it $\pi \in \rho \in \sigma$.
 (f. Edershem on Ecclus, xxx.u. 39 .
tv onputiona. A strange word to use in this contexion It occurs once th the LXX, Ps. lix, 6 (Heb, ix. 4) हJwres rext фopoumeros $\sigma e$ onjuetwour $=$ "thou hast gryen a banner (이) to them that fear thee. Meaning Jiterally a "distinctive mark, ${ }^{3}$ it is here applied metaphorically to 'autward demeanour.'






karakplyw. The Sadducees were proverbial for their severity in juligement, cf. Josephus, Ant, XX. 9 I Tepl rids aplress mpol mapal tayray rois 'Iovjalour. On the other hand Josephus speaks of the leniency of the 1 'harisees (Amt, x1t1.












> a. 'in' airion A, V, K, P: dre' altoon M. conj, Hilg., Fritusch., Pick.
> 4. of $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}$ : om. A .
> 7 inronpúret (sic) Cerda.
a kal \ Xeip...im' aúvodv. The difficulty of this readngg disappears when we see that it is adapted from the E.xx. version of the Pentateuch. The law required the witness to be the first to throw the stone at the condemned prisoner; hence this profane one who was foremost in executing punishment was witness as well es judge. See Deut. xiii. 9 kal


 Türac aürö, The translator evidently shaped his sentence by his recollection of the LXX. rendering of Deut, xui. g. é $^{\pi}{ }^{\prime}$ aữdy thus receives e satisfactory explanation; the change from the plural duapTwious to the singular of the indavdual instance is quite in kecping with the style of our Psalmm, cf. W. $7,8,8$, , iii. 3.5.

Hitgenfeld could hardly have realised the appositeness of this aliusion when be conjectured ' 'in' altwor His conjecture has now the support of the Muscow Ms., whose scribe introluced the same emendation.
troxos, 'guilty,' cr. Ex. xxii. 3; Lev. xx. 9. Deut, xixo io boxoz almath, Job

t\% moksiliq duaptiov. Enterally "in respect of a varred tissue of sins." moxks. $\lambda$ da in the LxX. occurs about five times. always in literal sense, e.g. Ex. axxy. 35 Tî̀n Epyou dipxctentoliar roexcilas.
to dixparlaut, i.e in prohligacy and sensualtry. See on i. \%, 8, ii. 13. This word occurs in our Lord's elenunciation of the hypocrisy of the Pharisees, Matt.



 tion and excess).
 'because of your incontinency:'



For the offence by look, cf. Yrov, vi. $25,1 \times 311$ - 33 ; Ecclus. ix. 8, xxvi. 9: Matt. v. 2s.




 of the word in Lev. v. + ; Num. xix. $y_{3}$, xxx. 7 , and Ps. Ixv. (lxvl.) 14 (Sym.), cv. (cvi.) 33 (Sym.) is quite different

For guvindaypa cf. Isai. Iviif. 6; 1 Mace, xili, 42.
нet ' bpxou, ef. Lev, v. 4 doow idy aca-

 sprov.

 noctu.
iv droxpelqots. See on in 7.
 vi. $13{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ winketh with his eyes, he speaketh with his feet, he maketh signs

 véupace dantiliwe) and $x$. 10 .
conrayịi kaxioge. Fot ewyrayd compare Aquila in Ps. Ixxiv. (Heb. lxxv.) 3
 $\lambda d \beta=$ каupir). Symmachus in Pa. lxxiii.

3 And his hand is first upon the sinner，as though he were ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Gr}$ ．hem． full of zeal；

Yet he himself is guilty，because of all manner of wickedness， and because of incontinence．
4 His eyes are upon every woman without distinction ；he speak－ eth lies with his tongue when he maketh contract with an oath；

5 In the night－season and in secret he sinneth，as if he were not seen ；with his eyes talketh be to every woman，and maketh evil compact；

6 He is swift to enter every house with a merry countenance， as one of the innocent．
7 Let God destroy them that live in hypocrisy in the company shis fesh of the saints，
yea，destroy the life of such an one，in the corruption of his zuth corruption． flesh and in poverty＂．

> and certh
（Heb．Ixxiv．） 8 has ieveriphase radas rass auraqds roî $\theta$ teô，where there is either a confustion with somayardar or a misreadering of טועדי־אל．Aquila ren． ders nถ̣！by cworayiv，Ezek，xxibic 9 ． See espec．xxiv． 44 Tds ywaikas tiǹ cum－ tarup（
－taxus itioi $\delta \varphi$ ．The consciousness of an evil purpose does not make him ashamed．
 «uppovi raxùs els oidan．
dy Laspórpri，Only once in the $1 \times x$ ．



The thought of entry with a birght and cheerful look，disarming susptcion，oceurs also in the LXX，of Job xxxili． 36 alockei．

Akason．This word，used sometimes of the innocency of the simple，sometimes of the inlegrity of the vartuous，here oc－ curs in agood sense，cif．Job ii．3．
 Here as in many other places（c．ge svii． 26 \＆e．）we are met by the question，in what mood and person are the verbs meant to be？Fritasche punctuates as If $\delta$ Geds were the vocative，but in vy． 5 and 38 ，where the words recur，he leaves it to be understood that the 3 rd Person is intended．
Todes dy íroxplores teyras perdi dofay． From these words it is clear that the Pralmist is denouncing his own country－ men．Outwardly the Sadducees were joined wth the＇Chasidrm＇（cl．jiii，so）in
national worship；but es they made use of it as a means of political ascendency， them religron was mere hypocrisy，A Iharisee who was also a priest，would have felt thas most acutely．
vidoxpurvs．In the IXX，this word oc－ curs apparently only in 3 Mace vi． 35


 inaxpurivy aixd duaxoरias $\lambda a 0 \hat{0}_{0}$ xxxvi． 13


Cf．Aq．Sym．Theolut．Prov，xi． 9 ir
 Thyolow 凶íroi．Is，xxxili． 14 reis íro－ rputár（D＇plă）．
 torlv atotov．If the text is correct，the dufficulty of the line is considerably dimi－ nished by observing that its peeuliarities are repeated in the next verse．Thus（ r ） the plural rois．．．Jurrat is followed by avirob as in ver． 8 andparmaplokcoy is fol－ lowed by eurroũ，（a）the word siercs is reproduced in samy，as in ver． 8 Eppu occurs twnee，（3）the onder of the words
 responds with dy natayencort kal muкт7－


On the other hand there is an obvious ewkwardness in rapeds airoil preceding Fशेग ちゃiे eúroi．The conjecturill reading
 jory aivou is not without plausibility．

We suggest that the line in the oreginal was more distinct from the preceding clause than the translator has made it．





 öфıs，



21 evotaflip P ．



The Hebrew would then have run －May God cut off them that live in hypo－ crisy．．．；may his flesh（be consumed）with corruption，and his life in poverty．＇

On aúroï，Sing．after Plur．，of．on ter． 3.
 Td s d deaprias autuiw，Job xii． 7 rd be pya toil 日eoú àvakakúryeiv．The more usual word is imakz úreren．$^{2}$
divepunaptorew．See note on the In－ scription．Clearly a synonym for roes iv troxplott sierras．For as ivepostipeakos renders the reading ףנח for $7 \boldsymbol{\pi}$ in Ps ．
 Job xxxiv．30，xxxvii．13，we may be sure that the two words umoknvins and derma－ тdieakos represent two aspects of one character，the dissimulation and the flattery．
iv karaypaen kab pukтpporjü．Com－ pare Ps，xluí．（xiv．）is thou thar duecions


－Sukaúrauy．The optative here as in i ． 4 is in all probability due to the translator＇s misapprehension of the He－ brew Tenses．The Hebrew Copula and the Imperfect would have been more ac－


The verse is then seen to express not another wish，but the purpose of the prayer which has just been offered，
 3， 3.

10 入adoûvra vópov pere Bsdov．To the I＇harisee this was one of the chief grievances，that Saddueees，ns members of the Sanhedrin or as Priests，interpreted the Torah，for which they had no true reverence．


 verse is by far the most obscure in the whole Psalm．The Greek as it stands is very nearly uninteligibibe．The Mss，do not help us．The chief difficulties are concerned with（a）trofxu divots：is the do，like ind in yer． 5 ，to be taken in a hostile sense＇？or is＇the house＇the object of finendly regard？（b）iv rioratelf ：are
 aürüv or with dirdpos？（ $c$ ）to what does $\delta \phi u$ refer，to $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \mathrm{ol}$ or duspos？（d）
 the meaning of di人di力ket？Our rendering of this obscure passage requires a few words of explanation．In spite of its difficulties and the very different views which have been given of it，we feel fairly convinced of the correctness of the solution which we offer．
（a）oi óфQalpol aûtwir iv olkq．These words are used in a hostile sense．They mean practically the same as od doa $\mu$ ob aùroù êri oleo in vera． 15 ．
The change from the singular iv epees． raperkoy in er． 10 to airt here，and again to airoû in yer． 13 need not sur－ prise us after the changes of number in wv． $7,8.11$ and often in this book．
（b）aids iv everafisf．These words are to be taken closely together．In ac－ cordnace with the Psalmists＇s general style no particular emphasis rests on durdops： the words in nioraisia might have been rendered by a participle evocaloouvros or an adjective evioratoin，the construction here followed beng the same as that
 111．as aqua et v drakig．The dudpós is

8 Let God lay bare the deeds of men that are men-pleasers, yea the deeds of such an one in derision and scorn:

9 'That the saints may justify the judgement of their God, "Gr. M/y "hen sinners are destroyed from before the face of the righteous,

10 even the men-pleaser when he uttereth law with deccit.
11 And their eyes are toward the house of a man that is prosperous like a serpent, to pervert wisdom, "speahing with the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{G}$ 埌. words of transgressors :

12 His words are words of deceit to the intent that he may accomplish his ungodly desire;
ciorateles is the man enjoying peaceful domestic secunty, ughoramt of the subtle schemes arguns his home and happiness.

ree.) On ciotrdecai see note on vi. 7.
(i) is 8 \$is. The metaphor is tahen from the temptation in the garlen of Iden. The ruin of the house is compassed by the seduction of the good-wifc,

The is g (1s continues the description of the man-plenser, but the clause is grammatically independent of the prevsous words.
(d) suaniurat rapiav. The Inf. is
 For $\delta$ oraiw-

(c) didnixav, the reading of the mss., gives no satisfactory meaning. We coniccture $\lambda a \lambda \hat{1}$, the corruption arising from the accidental repetition of the last two letters of aoplar: thus ANAAA $\Omega$ N becrume $A A A A A \Omega N=A A A H A \Omega N$.
The phrase 'speaking with the words of 1elial' explains the way in which 'the men-pleaser' overthrows wislom, like the tempter of old. For haîy iv


We sutijom certan other renderings:
(1) (ceiger's rendering 'Und ihre Augen stad der Schlange gleich in eines Jeden llasise zuma berilethen, fa vernichien des Nachsten Weisheit,' assumes that

 which the transtator wrongly derived from il instead of from 1 I.
(1) Wellhausen gives 'Deren Augen gerichtet sind suf das Haus eines Mannes, der es versteht wie die Schlange Gottesweinheil aulzulosen.' The chser features in this translation ere, (a) that

dence upon, (b) that duspos refers to the head of the Asmonean house, ( $($ ) that dy eivorafía represents an aljective ienoting skill or clumnang (hid the translator
 he reads arvenes $=$ Dיה striking conjecture of M . Schnudet for Hilgenfeld's note here see Introduction. The tolal atisence from our rasims of the word áryetas and of any reference, save one, to internued ate lemge, makes us hesitate to allopt the suggesiom here.
(3) Another poasthe renderang ue mentuin here, 'And thers cyes are towaril (1.e. in a hostile sense) thic hnuse of a man wuth fixedness lihe a serplent to over. throw the wisdom or their neighbours.?
is evierately Ho bфt would represent the fixity of their purpose, under the image of the unllinking gaze of a serpent! di $\lambda \lambda+\lambda \lambda \omega$ is taken as an incorrect substitute for $\tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ そolow.

With this rendering we presume we may associate Pick's 'And their eyes, in the house of a man in steadiness, are like the serpent to destroy.
 see ver. 1. The adjective rapdiouos occurs also in this Psalm pv. 13, 11, 27 . It is found in conjunction with rounpor and duappwhds in xii. $1+4$. Otherwise it is only found in this book in sii. 2, 3, 4, xvii. 27.

12 жapaloywoun, sf. 25. In $2 \times x$.

 verb rapakoyl/jopas is used for 'to deceive.' Lam. i. 29 airol sk rapenoyбayrd me. Gien, xxix. as, Aq, Sym. Gen.





${ }^{1}$ оủ火 ảvé








каi ทं єढ̈ooסos av̉roû èv ảpầ.
 on v̄nvos aưrov̂ en ob oúvais,


19 inform cad. Fats. Gig. dion Hilt., Fritzsch. Pick.
 Fritesch. evraìea, its Pick.
 $\lambda$ dons ánaridaews Fritzzch., Pick.

17 droplet (pro diroplaus) P, M.

10 oik dulorm las tramper Geiger suggests that datary is possibly the remdering of 7 wy in the sense of 'to stand still,' 'cease from action,' as in Jos. ir. 13; 3 Kings iv. 6; Jon. i. 15, where the Lxx. render tory. In Job iv. 36 dudorts, is the $L x x$. rendering of 'it stood still."

If we could accept this explanation, no alteration of the text would be necessary. But Hilgenfeld's conjecture aंतēov seems most probable.
 til he prevailed to scatter' or 'succeeded in scattering.' This construction with purdue is not found in the Lxx. or the N.T.

It appears to us most probable that
 ever' which appears as this voroot in 2 Sam. iii. 26; Jobs xxxvi. 7\% Lam. Y. 70; Amos viii. 7, and as elis tether frequently (see note on L 1). The more correct readering would hive been els Tides thus dokdpwise. For as similar error cl. Hab. hit. 19 root mukinast.

is to dp申aviq. For this use of orr ty
cr. er, 3.
The translator expresses the thought of bereavement, which is added to that of dispersion, by his favourite construetimon of ty with an abstract substantive. The allusion is to the dispersion and banishment of the leading Pharisees by the Asmonean house. See Argument to the P psalm.
For dppavia cf. Is. xviii. 8 ovid $\gamma^{\text {min }}$ rouser doparlas.
 vet. 12.
On oik forks dpûy cal kp lvov. These words recall Ps, ix. 34 (x. : 3 ) cites $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ to rapolig abtroî sou smpiona: xiii. (xciv.) 7
 Bess roû 'Iuxís, Ezek. viii. by ox bp ai d *poof. We may compare our Lord's words in John vil. so taro d xplinuar.
 Hilgenfeld conjectures of aux, in his last edition, trarầ(1). Fritzsche érraiva. Pick, who reads $\mathrm{erraü} \theta \mathrm{O}_{\text {, }}$, renders 'He in filled with iniquity besides'; but as he can hardly translate írracta by 'besides,' he seems to have adopted Fritzsche's text, hut to have followed the translation of

13 He never ceaseth to scatter and bereave，and he maketh ${ }^{0}$ Gr． desolate for the sake of his wicked desire；
sicalfor as in bereave

14 He deceiveth with his words，saying，There is none that ment seeth and judgeth．

15 He is filled with transgression herein ${ }^{7}$ ；
and his eyes are against his neighbour＇s house to destroy it obscure with swelling words of flattery；
with all this is not his soul satisfied．
16 Let dishonour be his portion，O LoRD，in Thy sight ；
let his going out be with groaning and his coming in with a curse ；

17 Let his life，O LORD，be spent in pain，in poverty and want：
let his slecp be in anguish and his awaking in perplexities．
another editor，perhaps Wellhausen＇s ${ }^{4}$ er ist voller Bosheit damach roch．＂

We do not see our way towards ac－ cepting eny of the conjectural emend－ tions．
dy Taúty scems to impriy the existence of some such word as תNPI＇inthis （fems）．＇We soggest three alternatives， （1）nwil may mean＂in this matter，${ }^{3}$ ef． Ps．xuvii．A．The sense then would be THe is full of iniquity even in this，that his eyes are against h＇s neighbour＇s houve to destroy it．＇（2）The posstbiluty has suggested itself to us that the original had ：ב־ת＊which by accidental trams position of letters became the almost un－ intelligible Лakil．The word ty denotes ＇rapine＇or＇spoll．＇The sense would then bave been＂through transgression he was filled with booty，and not only so， but his insatuble evarice made him per－ petually cast about to procare the over－ throw of fresh houscinlds．＂（3）But very probably if rukfo has a personal reference，and obscurely hints at the wife of the tapdpos ev eñorabeif mentwoned in ver．11．When＇the man－pleaser＇is sated in iniquity with her，he turns to the rum of another house．
iv $\lambda$ dyaus dvarreperones．The MSS， agree in this reading；and there is no good reatson to depart from it．The word dearripuosts ds very rare；buk its meaning，which we obtain from the kin－ dred forms of the word，is very apposite to our pasagge．Prov，vii．It Anerrepes． $\mu \mathrm{em}$ te（ori nul dirwros $=$＇She is clamor－

 Sri aúrol civertiporder $\mu \mathrm{E}$＇for they have ovencome met（＂马ว？
 the best illustration of its use is to be found in Aristoph．Aver 1436 \＆c．，where the whole passage turns upon ivmertedos in the sense of＇exclte，＇＂pout wangs to．＂
 Toútors．cf．Prov．Xili． 25 inaxirh $\hat{\alpha}$ fìm廿uxivy aviron，Eizek．xvi， 28 ral ovix Eremi－




 compare especially Lev．xxvi．；Dest． xxviis． 6 \＆\＆c．；yee also Ps．lxix．22－28， cix．
pepls．Cf tiii． 85.


 Notat oe．

For thin summary of daily life compare
万by 28.

Ev नrevaypoin．．．，dy dpä̀．The Psalmist ampltifer the Mosaic curse in greater de－ tall．

Compare Pa，xxx，to（xxxi，ti）bTt
 ev otenayuois．

17 d8úvers．．．Truve．．．imople Cf．Deut． xxviii．to ixocreliai xuphos हnt of tiv Evdetay kal riv incheulay， 22 TardEat of xúpuos iv éroplạ．Lev．xxvi．16，36，

## 



 aข่тоิิ．




19 xerỏs．Oliscure scriptum in A teste Cerda，＇ut reîvot potius legeretur．＇

22 бкортпа


18 dфapedait Wavos．Cf．Prov，iv． 36


For the thought ef．Gen．xxxi，to kal



 Dan．ii．$\delta_{1}$ vi， 18.

кротафан．Perhaps instorluced from a recollection of Ps，cxaxi，（cxxxin．） 4 e $l$

 Tois＊potcipots $\mu \mathrm{zz}$ ．
dinotifot aind travides lpyou．This may be rendered either＇let him fall by every work，＇lie．let every deed of his be his own ruin，or＂let him fall from every work，${ }^{\text {B }}$ i．e．let him fail and fall short in every project．

The latter，which seems to us the most probable rendering，expresses the same idea as Deut．xxviji． 20 ＇The Lord shall send uporn thee cursing discomfiture， and rebuke，in all that thom puttest thine hand unto for to do．＇

The ambuguity is to be noticed in the use of the same verb in the passage，on which this clause is probably bosed，Ps．
 autü．Cr．Ecclus．riv．a Haxdpuot．．．bs


19 nonds Xepoiv．Fot kevds in close connexion with droatireaw $\mathrm{cf}, \mathrm{Pg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ vii． 5


The curse is that of Lev．xkvi． 30 kcl

d $\lambda \lambda$ เmine．．．ded．For this construction compare Eccles，vi． 3 kal oún latuy iote e－
 н⿰⿱二小⿱二小欠心．


Literally＇everything with which he should salisfy bis soul；or，by a very harsh attraction of the relntive，＂cvery－ thang which strould satisfy has suml．＂＂The latter is possible if we may judge from the translator＇m rather similar mistransla－ tion of the relative Fins in $^{\circ}$ in xii． 6.
لuxt representing in is here，is often，used to denote the＂appelite．＂Cf． Prov．xiii． $25^{\text {＂But the belly of the wicked }}$ shall want＇$=1$ $x .3$ ．
20 iv povírm \＆́rmavies．We conjec． lure that the two words are it duplicnte rendering of the same Hebrew word；or that in the original they were independ－ ent of one another，and that，inslead of ＂tn the solitude of chuldlessness，＂the jdeas of widlowed sohtude and the loss nf childrea were kept distinct．Cf，Isai． xlvii． $9^{\text {＇T These two things shall come to }}$ thee in one day，the loss of chaldren and widowhood．＇
dif dváliply．This phrase occasions some difficulty．divel 7 th is not found in the $2 \times x$ ．，and in the N．T．occurs only
 hmepar rip dua入加认此 airoj．In that passage it is generally assumed that＇the days．．．that he should be received up ${ }^{3}$ must refer to the ascension；and con－ firmation of this view seems to be fup－ plied by the use of dyada $\mu \beta$ dy in 2 Kinge it．II ral duentaqdy＇Hacos．．．ets Tby of－
 ot paróv．Ecclus．xlix． 54 ；Actain id dxp

 larly dyedytss came to be accepted as equivalent to＇Assumption＇in connexion

18 Let sleep be withdrawn from his eyelids in the night－ season；
let him miscarry with dishonour in every work of his hands；
19 Let him enter his house empty－handed；
and let his house lack everything wherewith he can satisfy his desire．
20 Let his old age be childless and solitary until the time of his being taken away ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ．

21 Let the fiesh of the men－pleasers be torn in pieces by the ordar（his beasts of the field，and the bones of transgressors lie dishonoured in the sight of the sun．
with Enoch，Moses，Abraham \＆ec．，and as the Greek rendering of the Rabbinic กプロอ（＝discessus）．

It is evident however that this signi－ fication is a favour whie one and imphes a privilege conferred upon the blessed at the moment of dissolution．
Is it applicable to＇death＇generally？ Could it be used in the present instance of one who is the object of a curse？We are not aware of any instance，save that of Luke ix．\＄1，where it could cary the neutral significance which it seems to have here．

We are of opinion that ind $\lambda \pi \psi$ os is used here in a sense quite distinct from its later technical application．It more probshly represents the translator＇s at－ tempt to reproduce the Hebrew word xity with its twofold meaning of＂to uplift＇and＇to temove．＇
The thought before the Psalmist was that of＂removal，＇as in xiii．to duaprolal de dpotioavace els dráheare ：the translator by taking the alternative meaning has given an inappropriate rendering，al． though he enables us to see the cause of his mistake．

The word is important from another point of view．It helps to determine the date and origin of the Greek translation． Neither a Jew nor a Christian，acquainted with the technical use of dudinves and dyanaußipus，would have employed the word in his translation．

It seems to be used here for the first time in extant Greek literature．And as it appears in the present passage in quite e different sense from that in which it was shortly afterwards techntcally employed， we are disposed to hard in els diydinqur on argument for the early date，f．e．the sst cent．A．D．，of this Greeb sumelation．

Both in the Greek（？）versions of the Jewish writings cited below and in the writings of the N．T．，the word has alrcarly received a special application to the＇Assumption of the Blessed，＇which is quite out of place here．

The techuscal use of dudintis in the latter part of the 1st century A．D．masy be gathered from the following passages： 4 Esd．vi． 26 et videbant quil receptr sunt homines，qui mortern non gustaverunt a nativitgte sua：viii． 20 （vid．App．Crit．）： xiv． 49 et in eis ruptus est Ezras et aso sumpfus est in locam sumblium ejus． Testamenta Xis．Fratr．Lev．c． 88 His
 erunt enim a morte et receptione mea． Enoch cap． 70.

 Hulgenfeld＇s conjecture of the Optative axoprofechoray was required by the context，and is found to be confirmed by the Copenhagen，Paris end Moscow MSS．

On the change from the Singular to the Plural dibperraplaker see vv．7，8， 9－12：

The words in the Greek were perhaps suggested by Ps．lii．（1ui．） 5 ofi \＆قeds





The picture of a corpse lying unburied， a prey to the beasts of the field，is com－ mon in the O．T．as markang the extreme of ignominy and desertion．Cf，Dt，xxvili． 26；\＆Kings xiv．11；Jer．vii．33，viii． f，2，wท．3；Ezek．vi．5，wxix．5s xxxix． 17.

See also the description in Pso Sol．ii， $3 t$.
$J_{.} P_{r}$








${ }^{3}$ Makáplor ail no $\beta$ oúpevot tòv кúptov év ákakía aútầ.
 $\tau \omega \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$,

 ádıkíav,
 Sıкасобívך.

24 غ́форضpmazv (sic) Cerda.


 Cerda.

29 bcrotwnaw. The idea is taken from Prov, xxx, 17 ( $=$ L. XX, xxiv. 52), and the LXX. rendering has clearly influenced our translator ©фөа入лі̀v кaraye-


viokgrouiver. See note on ver. 7.
 $\mu i q$. See ver, 13 , where these words have already occurred. The evil charater of the Asmonean Prince is reproduce in the Sadducee nobles who depended on him.
rodlotes may be due to an error of copyists for radius, but is more probably an error on the part of the translator.

94 owe ( $\mu$ vorinaray. Another intication (cf. very. 7) that the Psalmist's opponents were Jews Cf, Jud, viii, 34 wal

 42, cv?, 13, 21 .


rapalsuvav. The tho works are al-
most synonymous. rapogion, as a remcering of $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right)$, seems to have the thought of disrespectful conduct added to that of provocation. Cf. Numb. xiv. Is tEes thor


 Prov, i. 30 (Sym. Theol.).
ifapon lt would be possible to accentuate this as the Agr, Opt. Act. 1 解pos, The fact that the same word occurs in vert. 7 perhaps indicates its use here in the same sense, the denunciation closing with the same prayer with which it opened.

On the other hand the epexegetic infin. ${ }^{1 s}$ more characteristic of this class of Greek, Cf. v. 11,14.
dixikev. See vv. 6 and 21, Unsuspecting l'harseces are clearly intendell. The writer perhaps refers to occasions on which the Sadducee by a well-assumed attitude of devotion to the national rehizion had temporanly disarmed the aposition of the theocratic Jews. The Grazer of this verse would correspond rather to

22 Let ravens peck out the eyes of the men that work hypocrisy,

23 Because they have made desolate with dishonour many men's houses, and scattered them in their lust;

24 And remembered not God, nor feared God in all these things:

25 And provoked God to anger and vexed him ;
that he should cut them from off the earth, because with craftiness they beguiled the souls of the innocent.

26 Blessed are they that fear the Lord in their innocency :
27 The LORD will deliver them from deceitful and sinful men, and will deliver us from every snare of the ungodly.

28 Let God destroy them that work all iniquity with insolence, for a great and mighty judge is the LORD our God in righteousness.

29 Let thy mercy, O LORD, be upon all them that love thee.
the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Prov. i. 4) than to Din (Prov. $x .99$.
vivicplyovto, itromplrouas is used in the sense of "dissemble' in 2 Macc. V. 25 , vi. $2 \mathrm{~N}_{1} 34 \mathrm{i}$ Eeclus. i. 26. We are not a ware of any other passage where it is found with an Accus. in the sense of 'deceive.'
$2 \%$ of \$0乃oúpevas Tòv xúploy dv মixa-


axakial (cf. ver. 6, tha. 28 , xii. 4) is here the innocency of integrity. The Psalmist contrasts the sincere worship of the Bhariset with the relugon of the Sadducees, which in the opinion of the theocratic Jews was used an a cloak for their ambitions policy.

We may compare Ps, xxvi. 6 'I will wash mine hands in innocency; so will I compass thine altar, O LORD, ver. II - But as for me I will walk in mine imegrity' (dikamin).
 A description of the subtle character of the Sadducee leaders, based on Ps. xliii. $t$ © 0 deliver me from the deceitful and unjust man' (aiTd dyeptifnou distrov gad 8o$\lambda$ Nou fîgal', Me). cex. a "Delıver my soul, O LORD, from lying lips and from a deceitful rongme.
prowtas: the Fut, represents the Heb. Impf. denoting continuous action.
 the snare or stumbling block laid by the ungorlly man in the paih of the righteous. C. Ps. exli. 9 'Keep me from the snare
wheh they have laid for me, and from the gins of the workers of iniquily' ( $\phi$ wi-

 drousay).
 line it is very probmble that $\$ \xi^{2} p \mathrm{at}$ is अrd Sing. Aos, Opt, by the translator's error for 3 rel Sing. Fut, Ind., which would have corresponded with piverch. For the same error see $x$ vii. 5 t.

The ofr in the next clause seems to follow an assertion rather than an entreaty.
dy insephparien. The wickedaess of the Sadducee wns increased by the insolence and arrogance of the nobles who stood at the hend of the party. The Psalmist seems to recall Pe. wxxi. 23 "The LORD preserveth the faithful, and pleatifully rewardeth the proud doer' (LXX.

 - Because a great judge and a mighty Lord is our God in righteousness 'is a possible alternative. But it meems to us
 The Psalmist reverts to the thought of the opening verse, the impious man sitting in judgement in the council.
29 тoiv dyarîrofs नi. Compare the Doxology in vi. g.

The thought of love to God oceurs in the passage referred to in ver. 28, Ps, xxii. 33 'O love the Lond, all ye his seints, ${ }^{3}$ Compare Dan, ix. $4 d$ фиגdogay ... Tod theds aroy pois diyamêti de, and Ex. xx. 6; Dt. v. IO, vii, 9; Pb- v. 15 .

## E. ЧaAMdc тลิ calomún.





 P ? : deest M.

1 elveto A (Cerda, lamdetwr). To brouli rou P, M.

Ps. V. Argwemt.
I, 2. The Exordium, Praise for God's justice and mercy.

3-17. The Prayer.
(a) We pray to God in time of trouble. 4-6. (i) He gives freely: even a strong man gives without compulsion; how much more God without whom none is strong or rich.
7-10. (ii) He is our Cood: though be seem to refuse, we cannot desist from approaching hum.
(b) In time of need and hunger our cry is to God.

11-It, (i) He feeds the birds and fishes; all that has life acknowledges hum as the Giver; and in no less degree princes, nations, and even the beggars, receive provision from him.
(ii) He gives; but not as men give;

15-37. He gives continually, ongrudgingly, to all alike.

18-31. The answer has been given
28 (a) Blessed is he to whom God has gesven 'the golden mean' in material Litssings;

19, 20 (b) for more than it leads to sin ; but in it alone is fulness of rightepusness possible.
${ }^{1}$ (c) The subjects of his Kingdom are truly hagpy. Pra'se to the Divine King.

Tbis Psalm is of a simpler character than the preceding four. It is not occupied with the religious of poltical condition of the people. The occasion of its cornposstion seems to have been a drought, which threatened the country with a famone. The Psalmust, whether Writug in his own name or as the representative of 'the true Israel,' prays for the removal of this calamity. His pmyer is based on his perfect trust in the ciod of Israel, who is also the God of the whole Universe.

In ver. 18 the Palmist seems to have received an answer or to be assured that the answer is coming. It is not great Wealth, but the means of the sulsistence 'in righteousness' which is God's best gift. Perhaps he tacitly contrasts here the wealth of the saikjucean princes with the poverty of the pious Jews The happuness of those that fear God is the portion of 'the true Israel'; for they, he implies, set not their hopes on a terrestrial dynasty. God alone is their King.
The Psalm is one of considerable poetical merit. The language, it is true, is largely borrower from the O.T. But the thought is stmple and elevated, and the arrangement of the theme is artistic. The succession of striking ideas represented in 4-6,11-33,15-16, 18-30, makes us regard this Psalm as poeticalsy the most original in the whole collection.

The Pharisaic origin of the cumposition eppears in numerous details of the thought (see notes on $7.6,8,19$ ), but more especially in the reference to ducuooivy (ver. 10 ) and to the kingdom and kingship of the Lord (v₹. 32, 22).

Its date we have no sufficient means of estimating precsely. It is very probable that the scarcity alluded to should be identified with the drought and famine mentioned in ii. 9, xvii. 2t. The allusion in the Psalm may be to the drought in B.C. 63 , recorded hy Josephus, Aht. XIV. 2.

eiviow, That the Augsburg MS. read alyetw is renclered clear from the fact that Cerda not only published it in his text (where it might easity have been mistaken for a printer's error), but translated it "laudetur nomini tup." He evjdently regarded aivitw as an error for mivelofow. Had he read alveaw, he could

## PSALM V.

## A Psalm of Solomon.

1 O LORD God, I will praise thy name with rejoicing, in the midst of them that know thy righteous judgements :

2 For thou art gracious and merciful, a refuge for the needy.
3 When I cry unto thee, keep not thou silence from me.
hardly have avoided rentering at by 'taudabo.* Fabocicus fatthfully presersed the reading, which has not however been noticed the Apparalus Criticus of the editions of Hatgenfed, Geiger and I'tck.

T $\bar{\Psi}$ dvóparl $\sigma$ ou. After diyciy the object is nften found in the accus., alwnys in the N.T Compare the two pasiges mobt s.ralar to the present, I's. Ixv.ll.
 $\psi \delta 7 \varepsilon_{8}$ Ixaxill. (lxxxiv.), cxliv. (cxiv.) 1 kab

 On the other hand we find in a Chron.

dy dyedגudorat Ps. xli. (xtii.) 54 cf. Ps. x i iv. (x|v.) 16, xlvi. (xlvui.) 2 .
 rd Sikala. The phrase undoulterlly contrasts the Pharisete with the scornful Sadducee and the impatient ezalot. The 1'harisees atone are traorduevon tha nplмата. Compare ï. 37 evंगoyeite тob $\theta$ edp

 trla. 39, 3x. +





 $\sigma 6 \%$
матафvyमे тồ जrwxov. Cf, Ps. ix. 9 Kal évetvero túpos karapuyh Tê wivvri


memxd in the Lxx. is the commonest
 Thus we find wruxis used in such passages as Psouxciv. 6 "Thas poor man cried, and the Lorp heard him, and saved him out of all his troubles. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ xxxv. Jo 'LORD, who is like unto thee, which deliverest the poor from han that ts too strong for hum? |xxii. a "He shall judge ...thy proor wilh judgement.' ver. 4 'He
shall judge the poor of the people.' exl. 12 'I hnow that the Loris will mantan the cause of the sflicted.'

The words «araфvyウi тoû mrwxov are the echo of sucb passages. But taken in connexion with rd xptinara a ou Tà dixata in the previous line and the epithets xpporos rad inevince, they very probebiy contain a side-thrust at the mismanagement of justice in the writer's own time and country. The Sadducees were harsh and cruel (iv. 1,2 ) and unjust (iv, 3 K ). The LURD, the people's great Judge (iv. 3B), judges nghteously and is foll of mercy. The poor will find redress from him and shall find in him protection from the oppression of the nich.

 кexpartévas me $\pi$ fìs aúrdy.
 expression is used in the LXX, of I Sam. vii. 8 'Cease not to cry unto the Iord



The language of the verse is hased upon Ps. xxvii. (xxvin.) i mphs ae etet-
 t $\mu \mathrm{O}$, where $d \pi^{\prime}$ épol is the reading of B (Cod. Vat.), but $d \pi^{3}$ ejuow is read hy $k$ and A (Codd. Sin and Alex.) and by R, T and U (Psalt. Veronense, Turicense and Fragm. Londin.).

The present passage renders valuable support to the reading $a^{\prime} \pi^{1} \dot{i} \mu 000$. For, although our Psalmas were no more free than other wriungs from the tampering of seribes, the obscurity of the worl efforded its text a certain degree of immanity from s. fruitful source of error in transcription; and we think there is good reason for assigning the Greek tmanslation to the rst cent. A.D.

For muparıorây of aliso Gen, xxiv.
 xxil. 9; Ps, xxxiv. 25, xaxyili. 17, xlix. 3. crut. 1 ; Hab i. ! 3 .





 öt $\sigma$ vi cis ó $\theta$ còs ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$.

7 eis $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{V}$ : \& $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}$ : eis Hitg.
¢ ov่ yip גrywrat. The mentence is based upon Isar. stix. 24 'Shall the proy be taken from the mighty? The LXX.
 oxùa. It is possible that the translator reproduces the substance of the Hebrew without reference to any existing Greek Version. Otherwise he either quotes loosely by memory from the LXX. or combines the L.xx. rendering with that of some aher well-known version. In Gavour of the first alternative is the fact that though $\gamma / y$ at 15 occasionally found (Gens, vi. 4, $x, 8,9 ; 1$ Chur, $\mathrm{i}_{4}$ गo; Ps. xwa. 6; Isal, ju. 2, xu1. 3 ; Exek. xocxii. 13, 26, $3 \times x$ ix. 18 , so), the regular word in the LxX. to translate 7 nㅛㅎ is suparbs. Quoting from memory the translator would maturally use the most familiar word.

On the other hand there might be cited the version of Symmachus which gives:
 the rescmblance is limited to the words rapd duraroi, and no conclusion car be drawn from it.

It seems to us most probable that the translator marle use of the $\mathbf{L x K}$., but inadvertently substitused govaroû for yolYayros.

The passage has a further special interest The force of the sentence sug. gests that the words of the prophet had become a proverbial expression, Not more than a century later we find it used and expended by our Lord in His parable of "the strong man." Matt. xui. 29 ,


 Tiw oinlar airoô oupodoct. Mark iiii. 27.

The argument of this and the succoeding verse is from the less to the greater. Your cannot wrest booty from a warrior by force; nether can you clatm it from
himes a right: but he will be ready to give of it spontaneously and geberously. How much more may you not trust in the gooxness and kindness of God? lie who has made all and given us all we have, surely will give according to our needs.
 thought i Cor, iv. 7 Ti 8 dety of odr EAaper;

- Sn dupporin. The verse contpletes and expands the arguraent. The portion of each man is weighed as it were in the balnnces before God. None can add to to save by Divine decree.

The literal translation * Becnuse man and lus porion are welghed in the balances before thee, (therefore) he will not add to his abundance contrary to thy judgement," gives a very tautological process of reasoning. It is also an objection that tufpwnot and f $\mu$ pepis adrop should thus be treated as separate ilems placed in the same scale.

According to our translation oft \#y\#porros introduces the whoie explanatory sentence which concludes with rapd To aplua sov, 6 日ebs. The words nai thepls aimel..ed trat $0 \mu \mathrm{c}$ are first introrluced to dearribe the limitation of human powers in ther relation to the divine, under a well-known metaphor.

The same thought is repeated in its direct and concrete form by od rpootingea
 stands at the head of the sentence, which falls into two coordinate clauses.

This construction reproduces the common Hebrew idtom, which for the sake of emphasis places the subyect absolutely at the head of a sentence, and repeats it under the form of a pronoun. Cf. Es; xyii. 31 'As for God, hus way is perfect' civ. $1^{\prime}$ As for the stork, the fir-trees are her nest.'

4 For no man taketh spoil from a mighty man;
5 And who shall reccive aught of all the things that thou hast made, except thou give it?
6 Verrly as for man-his portion' ${ }^{1}$ is laid in the balance before ${ }^{1}$ Gr.a thee -he addeth not therelo nor increaseth ${ }^{3}$ contrary to thy has purrion judgement, O God.

7 In our distress we will call upon thee for help, and thou crease wilt not turn away our petition, for thou art our God,
iv eraluẹ. Not a common word in the Lxx. version. Cf. Is. xxyuil. Iy it








 The cilation from the book of Wisdom it is important to observe is included ly H.lgenfild in the list of passages which he ndduces (Meessias Fiudaeor. Prolegg. p. zvii.) as evidence that the writer of the Psalms of Solomon was scqueinted with the book of Wisdom. Upon the teneral question the reader is seferred to the Intraduction. But it seems obvions, ( $t$ ) that beyond the fact that in both passuges aratme occurs where the Almighty is beng addressed, there is no stmilarity of thought between mur context and Wisd. xi. $2 t$; (2) that the word itself is used in different senses in the two passages; in Wisd,, as in Eizek, it has the meaning of 'weight' as a method of computation by the side of 'measure,' 'number': in Ps. Sol it has the meaning of 'the instrument for weighing,' the Lalance or scale.
The more general term would be $d$
 shyü duxaly. Ps. 1xi. (lxii.) 10 中everis
 rac. Dan. v. 37 (Theodot.) Aeeki, derd ${ }^{2}$ dy Suyफ̄. The passage in Wisdom ${ }^{25}$ much more like Test. Nephth. 3 rdirre $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$

 this cominon Hebrew idiom cf. Gen. vini.
 dru. Deut. xxv. 3 id de mport its magrt.


For mapd in the sense of 'contrary to' cf. rapd $>$ buay Acts xviii. 13. Here, however, the rapd probably represents the Hebrew ip with the meaning of the comparative. This idom, which is perhaps more generally found with intep (e.g.
 is common enough in this dialect. The preposstion, denoling excess, is added to the comparative to give "greater expressiveness. (Winer, 35 ). Cf. Luke lii.



If this explanation is correct, the trans-
 be 'to increase beyond that which thou ordamest.'
 The reference is probably to the drought (cf. ver. 1t) or tanine (cf. vv. 10, 12), which is the occasion of the supplicatory Psalm.
iss Borithay, Cf. Ps. xxxiv, (xxxy.) z divdoryth ets Baydecidy mov. Lxix. ( $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}$ ) I d

 does not oceur in the xxx.; but droorptoce is very frequent in the sense of 'refusing' or 'rejecting' when coupled


The same thought however is expressed by different verbs in the Lxx., e.g. Ps.
 lxv. (1xvi.) ao ejhoynds of $\theta$ eve of ouk diekotnoey thy aporenx hov. ci. (cii.) 18

Singus is bere the most approprate word for prayer, expressing petition for the relief of material wants.
 For el (not els) we may quote the parallet

 Bebs y yov el ovt.

Hilgenfeld's conjecture efs is quite out of keeping with the thought and argument of the Psalm.










${ }^{21}$ тoùs bacineic kail toùs ápzontac kail raoíc oui tpédets, of $\theta$ còs,

B duaprávouev (? K) Fab.: \& $\mu d \rho \tau \omega \mu \in \mathrm{~A}$ (Cerda) V, P, M.


 See on in, 24 ledrwoy ...rove $\beta$ apúrece $\theta$ at
 pasmores there referred to, it will be seen that the active voice in this metaphor is not found in the O.T. The Psalmist, who obviously bases his use of the metaphor on such O.T. passages as Job xxxiii -7; Ps. xxxii. \&f would here reproduce theirs idiom, We conjecture there-


 represents the reading of the vowels followed by the Greek translator. As the vowels were got written, this was a very likely mistake to occur.
 better MS. authority than the present дддартанинеу.

 two rod.
Sb" eiváykทv, i.e. on account of the pressure of necessity arising from want of food. The thought seems to be that extreme physical suffering tempts men to lose their fath in God and seek relief in sinful ways. Such was the temptation of Job (Job ii.). The verse will then best be illustrated by Prov. xxx. B, 9 - Feed me with the food that is needful for me...lest I be poor, and steal, and
use profanely the name of my God.' Is viii. an 'it shall come to pass that, when they shall be hungry, they shall fret themselves, and curse by their king and their God.'
It seems however to us that this explanation does not exhaust the full meaning of the passage. The Psalmist's prayer for relief from the scourge of famine, lest in this dire extremity he should sin against God, contains a hidden allusion to the laws of cleanliness in matters of food, concerning which the r'barisees were minutely particular. In lanes of scarcity, the difficulty of keeping to the letter the rules which regulated then food became increasingly formidable; and the liability 'to sur,' i.e. to transgression of the law, was proportionately aggravated.
dıayкify, CC. Ps. crit. (cruz.) 6, 13, 19,

 lamas cutoór.

- cal d av $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ dmuopplynt ines. The phrase is undoubtedly lased on the refrain, of Ps. |xxix. ( lxx.) 7, 14, 19' tum us again' (tatiorpector dumas), where it is doubtful whether the meaning 'restore us to pros: parity' or 'bring us back from captivity' is most appropriate. In the present passage it can only carry the former meaning. For truarpleten used of Divine restoration after discipline, ce. Ecclus, xvii., 13 eineot ${ }^{\text {de }}$

8 Make not thy hand heavy upon us, that we sin not by reason of our sore necessity.

9 Even if thou turn us not again, yet will we not cease from thee-nay, we will come unto thee.
to For if I be an hungered, unto thee will I cry, O God, and thou wilt give unto me.

11 The fowls of the air and the fishes dost thou feed, when thou givest showers in the desert places that the green grass may grow up, to prepare food ${ }^{3}$ in the wilderness for every living ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Gr}$.fodder thing.

12 And if they be hungry, unto thee will they lift their face.
13 Kings and rulers and nations dost thou feed, O God;

 Tosurie Td rocuplon.

Fritzoche, by his conjectural reading toos thês, gives a different turn to the clause i.e. "If you incline not unto us, \&c." and is followed by Pick.

But as the reading gives a good sease and is supported by the analogy of the 1.xx.version of Pe. lxxix. (lxxx.) and lxxxiv. (lxxxy.) in we see no sufficient reason for intreducing the preposition.

It is possible that the original Hebrew may have had the sense which Frolzsche proposes, sunce the bxx . in Ps . cxvili. (cxix.) 79 give driatpeqditwody $\mu \mathrm{Le}$ as the
 me."
 not hold of or alsitain from approaching thee in prayer. ${ }^{3}$ For indexopan, cf. Job i. is,
 нator. Isat liv. 13 drexou dxà d́dsxou.




10 fav ydp mardow. The thought of this verse recalis $\mathrm{Pb}_{\mathrm{c}}$. cvi. (cvii.) 9 otrt



 The occurrence of these words illustrates the influence of the Lxx. version on the translator, cif. Ps. exlvi. (cxlvii.) 8, 9 न̂̂






Cf. Matt. vi. 16 for the illustrative
detail.
tpipers. Cf. Ps. cxliv. (cxiv.) 15, 16
 cxlv. (cxlvi.) 7 бно́vта тpoфity тоis тetvertu.
 raptd growth of vegelation after a falt of rainin Palestine. ©urokit is hereused as a verb noun, so that these words are equiva-
 sense does not occur in the LXX. or in the N.T.
fropudroum This might be taken as a
 oe verós. But we prefer to regard it as explapatory and expressing the purpose of do dpmuors els drarohtp xidoms. Cf. P's.


Xopríquara is used for 'provender' Gen. xxiv. 25,32, xili. 27, xliti. 24; Jud. xix 19: for 'grass' (=Aq. $\left.x^{\lambda+\infty}\right)^{\prime}$ ) in Deut. xi. I , in which sense it may occur here, unless it should receive a perfectly general application in the sense of 'food' is in Acts vii. it kal oux pripurcoy Xoprdor-

 The verse exprenses in reference in all living cteatures the same thought as P's. Git. (civ.) at 'I he young hons roar after their prey and seek them meat from Ginl.'

 where in a very different conlext the same thought of trust and confodence underlies the metaphor.

19 קaoricis ..apXovras... Aaois. For this collocation compare Ps, cxlyiit. it


 ки́риє；



 дárelas＇
 （Cerda）．
 toviv．Cf．Ps，xxxiv．（xxxv．）no perperos





 （lxxive）25 meander wal winy alverovery


14 Xpmotis kat \＆wutaj̀s．The same words occur together in Ps．xxxv．（leaxvi．）
 probability that the translator was in－ fluenced by this Psalm is increased on our observing in var．I Eicdixong ion pow


 find their echo in our present context．
$d \lambda \lambda$ ザ used after a direct or implied





 and its use as a conjunction see Whiner＇s Gr．of N．T．（Moulton＇s 3 rd ed．p． $55^{2}$ ， n．4）
didpaiven．The infin．corresponds with втоныбан in very．If．

Wellhausen translates eiфpoinae and Eiroikutes if they had both been Imper m－ dives in the origin．But this seems to us to introduce unnecessarily a sen－ thence of entreaty，which would interrupt the description of Divine mercy．The thought of the passage seems to follow an orderly arrangement：ver， 14 God hearkens，for he i gracious and maketh glad the heart of the humble by has bounteous mercy：vier．is man＇s mercy
and kindness are shortlived：ver． 16 God＇s gifts are without stint．

Whatever may have been the precise form of the verbs in the original，it is more natural to suppose that they con－ tinge the previous clause than that they introduce sew startingepoins in the sentence，We are confirmed in this view when we find the phrase doolyeay xeipa coupled with $\chi$ provororys in the same Psalm cine．（civ．）from which has been borrowed the substance of these verses $t[-15$ ．


 pare chi．（civ．） 88 duolgares of rove rip
 Tiros．cxliv．（xxiv．） 16 dvoifets of rid
名oxims．

26 If Xp7oróns dveperrov．The gnomic character of this and the follow－ ing verse is quite in the style of E＇ruverbs． When viewer！ill conjunction with the proverb hal saying in vier．+ and the pac tical philosophy of iv． 18 － 20 ．as well as with the refertines to the natural word （cf．I Kings iv，32，33），thus feature in our Psalm may well have been under－ stood to confirm the claim of Solomonic authorship．
ty $\phi$ 人 к к．т．$\lambda$ ．The tr ф $\alpha \mathrm{A} \varphi$ literally reproduces the Hebrew 7 Mig．Hilgen－ fold＇s conjecture if фทhiñ $=$＇deceitfully，${ }^{3}$ ＇with guile，＇from the rare adjective $\phi \pi \lambda b s_{1}$ which does not occur in Lxx．or N．T．Greek，only deserves notice as a remarkable instance of critical perversity． The text gives a far seine，though the construction is harsh；the conjecture is no sort of improvement．

Conjecturaiemendetion of our Psalmist＇s text has been confirmed by the discovery
and who is the hope of the needy and the poor beside thee，$U$ LORD？

I4 And thou wilt hearken：－for who is gracious and gentle but thou？

Thou makest＊glad the soul of the humble by opening thine＊Gr．to hand in mercy．

15 The kindness of a man is toward his friend［to－day］and to－morrow＂，and if he should do it a second time without＂Gr．and grudging，even so thou wouldest marvel．
of MSs，（e．g． $2 V_{1} 31$ ），but the introduc－ tion of a lexical curiosity like $\phi \eta \lambda \bar{\phi}$ ，which is nol found once in the $\mathrm{x} x$ or the N．T． into a passage where $\phi \lambda \varphi$ gives a reason－ able sense，is indefensible．Hilgenfeld＇s
 $6 \pi^{3}$ adpow，is apparently intended to mean －man＇s kindness is deceitful and has an eye to the future $;$ if it is repented，it is a marvellous exception．${ }^{\text {a }}$

We feel convinced，however，that the second clause of the sentence hecomes almost meaningless unless the first clause the much more favourable in tone than Halgenfeld＇s emendation makes it．The general meaning we take to be quite satisfactory an supplied by the present text ：＇a man＇s kindnews is short lived： with repetation it becomes grudging ；if not，it is a case for wonderment．，

There are two objections to the present text：（I）the abruptress of the first clause
 angrammatical construction of the follow－
 roûro，emphasized by the repetition of $\kappa$ al．

The difficulty we conjecture to be due either to the error of the tramslator or to the condition of the Hebrew text．

We believe that the best explanation is to be found in the hypothesis that some－ thing has failen out which formed part of the original text

Our conjecture is that the word＇to－day＇ was accidentally omitted from the Hebrew text，and that the Greek should bave sur
 nath adpon．The sense which is thus se－ cured is very appropriate ：＇a man＇s kind－ nest toward his friend is for to－day and to－ morrow：but if he ungrudgingly repeats it，this is wonderful． In favour of the conjecture we may bear in mind
（I）that＇to－day and to－morrow＇was a
proverbial Jewish expression（cf，Luke xiin．32，33；Jas．iv． $\mathbf{r}_{3}$ ）Joz the present and immediate future；
（ 7 ）that mal before ti afpos slands in need of some explanation wheo followed by another ral；
（3）that the probability of the Hebrew word fur＇to－day＇of four letters droppang out is rendered exceedingly probable by the fact of its two lnst letters being the same as the two first letters of the Helsew＇and to－morrow．＇The sentence might have rum רחロ1 ロוּ the linbiluy to the omission might have been further incteased if the Hebrew had read＇towards hos friend，＇when the final letters of that substantive would almost have corresponded with the opening letters of to doy ${ }^{2}$ thus，
．
This appears to us by far the most satisfactory explanation ；and the objec－ tion based on the literal rendering of deurepow disappears when we find that （1）ofeurepow is used not merely of＇doing a second time＇（e．g．I Kings xviti． $3+$ h but also of＂repeating＂generally（e．g．

 it translates，is often used of＇indefinite repetition＇；e．g．Prov．xvii． 9 ＂He that happeth on matter（Sym，zo of deure－
 xxvi．If＇A fool that repeateth his folly＇



In Deut，vii． 7 Aquila＇s use of Bevzepów
 13ש゙．

Another possible rendering of the ms． text is this：A man＇s kindness is toward his frend，and extends only to the morrow， or is deferred to the morrow，whereas Goll＇s kindters is toward all．He makehh



 кєбias

10 rid del V．фelocral V，K，P，M：фforad A：фuvaerat Cenda，Fabr．中elou Fritzsch．Pick．

18 aürapxealas Codd．：«itrupelay Hilg．
his sun to shine upon the just and the unjust．
ave үoүpronev．₹oypuably is not： common word．It renders nim Ex，xvi．$y_{1} 8_{1} 9_{7}$ I2；Num．xwii．5，to， and jlk in Isai－lviki，9，and is found in Wisd．i．10， 11 ；Ecclus．xivi．1o We have drey yerpurpoit in I Pet．iv， $9, x$ wple
 the N．T．the word occurs only in John vii．23：Acts vi． 1.
mal roito Qayuórace．Cf，Ps cxvii．
 7\％

For the Aeolic norist cf，Vphaphoram Acts xvii． 37.
 explartation of these words must turn our explanation of the argument in this and the following verses．There are two main alternatives of explaration：

A．Accurding to the first，the argument $15:$ as eontrasled with man＇s goodresss， Latine goodness is ach and boountiful： the gitts of God will always be plentifully showered upon the man whose trust is in Ham ；yea and moore than that，the whole earth partakes of them．This seems to be the view of
（d）Fritzsche，who conjecturing $\phi$ diow would appareatly prefer the reading＂And towards him，whose trust is in Thee，O Lory，Thou wilt not be sparing in gifts．
（d）Wellhausen，who，if he reads фet． oerah，must regard it as a mistranslation of the original Helorew，tranislates as if it should have been rendered adx isrephoces， －und wessen Hofnuing auf dich steht， Herr，wird keinen Mangel haben an Gute， This gives a better grammatical sense than Fritzsche＇s，inasmuch in the ante－ cedent to the relative clause is the subject and not the unexpressed object of the
main verb．
13．The alternative explanation of the verse may be expressed a follows：God＇s gifts and mercy are bountuful；and they Who trust in Him have more than enough， for they can give abuadantly out of the store which He has granted them．Those who trust in Him imitate His gnodncs．
of 中elferau is taken in its literal sense， ＇And he whose trist is in thee， 0 Lord， will not be spraring in his gifts．＇So Geiger，who however wrongly refers to xi．\％．This rendering of фeforpast in the sense of＇I am parsimonious＇is not com－ mon，but mught be defended from Jer．
 wov vu心，and Aq．Sym．Prov，xi．24 o be фetdonevos 7inil．The thought also might be illustrated from the deseription of the oppusite character in Prov．xxi it owpow


Out of these rival methods of transla－ tion we incline 10 that represented by Wellhausen $\mathbf{A}(b)$ ．The sense which his rendering gives agrees best in our belief with the context．We are not，however， aware how Wellhausen arnves at his trans－ lation．

Our own belief is（ 1 ）that фetoeras is the right Greek reading，and that the varieties in the text are due to the diffi－ culcies in the way of its interpretation： （1）that 中4ioerw is the translator＇s render－ ing of an inaccurate Hebrew text：（3）that whereas фelgeras would be the malural rendering of DIT＂＂he will spare，${ }^{\text {c }}$ ef．Deut． vii． 26 of фeloeras binņaxt：Exek．ix． 10
 sumalily in the text before the translator： （4）that oint was a copyist＇s blunder for 7DT＂＂he will want，＇the final letters having been transposed，and i read for 7 ；（ 5 ）that on the supposition of the orignal text

16 But thy gifts, according to thy loving-kindness, are bounteous and rich;
and he whose hope is in thee, O LORD, standeth not in need of gifts".

17 Thy mercy, O Lord, is upon all the earth in loving-kindness.

18 Blessed is the man whom God remembereth with a sufficiency convenient for him;
having been 70nt, the Greek rendering should have been inteppive or dimbphoes.
Our explanation of the verse then becomes 'God'\# gifts are nch and plentiful; thee man who trusts in the Lrikis shatl be well proviled for, he will need gafle no more; but God restricts not His dove; His mercy is toward all the world. ${ }^{\text { }}$
Pick's Translation of this verse is \$ But thy gift is large with benevolence, and rich. And whoso putteth his trust, 0 I Ukd, in Thee, shall have no neerl of anything." 中elires (the reading followed) is here rendered as if there were a word
 fut. 'he shall have need of.'


 *odóaret cirtw Syin. Pruv, xix. 6 kal Tâs中ilas duбpós đósatos.
17 Ith märav miv Yiv Tó Atés rov. We more often find the power than the mercy of the Lord described as unversal. Compare for this expression I's. $x \times x a t$.


For the comparison of D wine and human mercy our f'calmist shows a close agreement with Ecclus xviai, 13 Dieos dudpus ou
 тdeay $\sigma$ dign.

18 of $\mu$ mproveves of febs. The verb for 'to remember' applied to God is genernlly $\mu \mu \nu$ porks. An exception is Rev.



The meaning of the clause seems to be - blessed in the man who is the recipment of Divine favour with a humble but contested lot. On the other hand a man sins wha seeks to exceed, apparently by unrighteous means, the limit which God has assigned him' (cf. ver. 6). According to this explanation iv बvaнeтpla av่тapueolar is opposed to dav urepmheovaran and

rdves, The Iatter contrnst is not very evident at first sight. But it is implied that the man whom God remembers is a holy man.
dy боицетgla aúrapreolises. We cannot be far wrong in supposing that the general sense of these words is 'contentment in erreumstances sufficing for daily needs.' But it it not so ensy to determine more parrowly thet precise significance.

नuнutpla does not occur in the t.xx. or in the N, T, oipplerpot is found in
 би́мцеет pow.
eutrapectias is probably jutroduced is an additional interpretative xendering to explain बupperpif. CE. iv. 20 ditexulas, xvi. I Vnvou. airapuecia appears to be
 welant; and Geiger suggestor Eirapersies.
aúrapkeola however seems to sand in the same relation to eirdpsetn and avirapкeĩ as drouseoia to droukia and drakeî, and is certainly not to be rejected from the text as an impossible compound, with the meaning of 'sufficiency.' The word, and indeed the whole phrase, seems to be based on Prov. xxx. of 'Give me nether poverty nor riches; Feed me with the food that is needful for mee. (miourow of




It is interesting to find this proverbial maxim so protisinently asserted in this 1'salm. The P'hansees, whose tauducee opponents numbered amongst them the wealthest of the race, probably diwelt with special satisfaction on the blessings of humble station with contertment. It was, we may believe, a recollection of his early training, which gives to us St Paul's teaching on the mubject of 'contentment' in the words of Phil, iv. II dyw rd $\rho$ thagov do ois clul aurapmpy elva, I Tim.









Ni申paivenary Codd．：evipparseipara Fritusch．Pick．

Merd aivapwelas．，bxourer de ancerpopds kal
 simular is the eentence，perthaps derived from the same source，in the Pirqe Abolh IV． $3^{6}$ Who is rich？He that is contented with his lot；for it is saul，When thou eatest the labour of thy hands，happy art thout and it shall be well with thee（Ps． cuxviii．2）．＇Heppy art thou＇sc．in this world；＂and it shall be well with thee， sc．in the world to come．

19 viteprieovion．With the same meaning probably as ver， 6 тлеора́as

For the use of the word compare I Tim． i．14 येт方 $\mu \mathrm{w}$
tgarappoive is not found in the N．T． In the Lxx，it is found intransitively in Neh．ix． 33 ；Hab．ii． 10 ；Zeph i． 18 ； Song of Three Ch． 5 ，and often transi－ tively in the sense of＇make to sin，＇eng． （Kings xiv． 16.
 be found once in the Lxx．Ecclus，xxaiv． $20(=x \times x i .22)$ fryon dyatas $t \times l$ divt $p \varphi$ Metplys．The adverb perpios also occurs

 the adverb oceurs once，Acts $x$ ．In tape－


The meaning of To $\mu$＇fopioy can receive no better illustration than Prov．xxx． 8 ＇Give me neither poverty nor riches．＇It represents the position in life freed from the temptations peculiar to extreme poverty and extreme wealth．

Td Ixavie is the Exx ．rendering of ${ }^{3}$ in Lev，sxv． 28.
iv ©uratooivg．Withont auratorivn there is no sufficiency possible；with \＆warorion，＂the golden menn＂amply supplies humas wants．The purely legal
character of the fuccuooing here spoken of is suggested by the other references to ＇righteausness＇in this book．

The iden of a little with righteousness being belter than great wealth and wicked－ nest is frequently mentioned in Hebrew literature．Compare Ps，xxxvil． $16^{4}$ Bettes is a little that the righteous hath than the abundance of many wicked．＇It is a common maxim in the Book of Proverbs， e．g．xv． $16^{\prime}$ Better is little with the fear of the Loxd，than great treasure and trouble therewith＇；xy＇ 8 ＇Better is a little with righteousness than great re－ venues with injustice．＇

म eỉdoria кuplou，C．xvii． 43 －
de $\begin{gathered}\text { minguovilv．This phrase is used }\end{gathered}$ here in a good sense in connexion with the blessing of the LORD．In the Ixx． it occurs frequently，with the sense of＇to the full＇，＂unto abondances＂Ex，xvi． 3
 Lev．xxv．19，xxvi．5－
Thus PE lxxviit． 15 ＇ He sent them
 «itois elf $5 \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \mathrm{op} 力 \mathrm{p})$ ．Lim．v． $6^{\circ}$ We have given the hand to the Egyptians， and to the Assyrians to be sntisfied woth



In the N．T．it is found wth e bed sense in the well－known but difficuit pas－

 indulgence of the flesh．＂
The present sentence is not without obscurity，The words dy тaíक may refer back to Td $\mu$ itpooy or many point forward to els mingmayity dy dxacosing．We prefer the latter alternative；the second clause explains and expands the former．
cls minguavip is then equivalent to tra


19 If a man abound beyond measure，he sinneth．
20 Sufficient is a moderate provision with righteousness ${ }^{7}$ ；and＂Gir．wh／o herein is the blessing of the LORD，that $a$ man be satisfied in satssforthen righteousness．

21 They that fear the LORD rejoice in prosperity，and thy ${ }^{8}$ Or，hrs loving－kindness is upon Israel in thy kingdom． goodmist
22 Blessed be the glory of the Lord，for he is our King．
dv Sumanoivg．The＇rightcousness＂of the true Israplite alone cantili the measure of satisfaction．This＇righteousness ${ }^{1}$ con－ sisted in typouro plowsty＇living in con－ formity with the Law．＇it was the due performance of duthes，cf，Matt，wi．IS
 बay bikatootivny）．Ouy Lord＇s ministry was a constant witness against the unspuritual ideal of righteousness set up by the Scribes and Pharisees，eg．Math．v．to＇except your righteousness shall exceed the nights－ oumess of the Scribes and Pharisees，ye shall in no wise enter into the kingdom of heaver．＇Hut in the same semmon He resognizes the existence of those whore sytriual yenrmangs were unsatsfied ly the Pharisaic standard of legal perform－ ance．＇Blessed are they that bunger and thirst after nighteousness（ $T h p$ oneatodivin） for they shall be filled＇（Matt．v．6）， Where the true satisfaction may be con－
 uwn verse．Our lord，like the Phanse teachers，fand before the people the primary duly of the pursuit of righteousness，＂Seek ye first the kingdon of God and His righte－ ousness．The diffcrence between His teaching and that of the l＇harisees lay in the fundamental conception of＇zighteousness，＇ the Pharisee basing it on obedience to the ＇Jettex，＇our Lord on the＇Spirit＇of holi－ ners．

The passages in the Goripels where ds－ xacootion is mentioned are Matt．iii．I5， y $6,10,20$, vi． 1 （？）， 33, mxi． 32 ；Luke i．－5：John xv7 8，to．

It is interesting also to observe how largely St Paul，the Pharisee，deals with the Christian expansion of this Jewish sclea of＇righteousness．＂

21 vi申pdivŋ̧oav．Fritzsche＇s emen－
 iv．aI बкортьөध

But the norist indic．may equally welt here state the continuous fact．
of фoßoúņvor кưpuov．Cf，ii．37，iii．，r6． The theocratic section of the community． Compare Luke ii． 25 of Aivopantor oito Sukator kal evinaphys．
$d v$ dyalois．Considering the context and the subject of the Psalm，we prefer to understand this as an allision to＇the blesgings of prosperity，＇as in Ps．cvi．（cviL．） 9 ＇the hungry soul he filleth with good
 （cf．Luke i．53）；Job xxi． 23 guveriheray dé dy dyatois tov Buop aútûy ：so djafá xvii． 50 ．

Another possibie rendering would be ＂in goodness＇or＂good deeds，ce．R．V． in 2 Chron，vi． 42 ol wlof $\sigma$ ov evppavetros－ ouv dy dyabocs．
irl＇Iopayj入 kv rị faoudeq arov．We belteve that these two expressions are renlly intended to be symonymuus；for the change of preposition compare xi． 9 \＆$i$
 not eportion of the kingdom，but is the Kingdom of God．The true Israel is co－ extenswe with the Livine Kingdom．＂Thy loving kindoess is towards Isral，even Thy Kingdom．＇For a description of the Divine Kinglom see Ps．cxlv．It ${ }^{13}$ ．
It is however quite possible that in गत Faodeleg oov may have been used by the translator of our Psalmist，in the sense of to the farskevioul oe＇in Thy retgn．＇
 words are probably taken from Ezek，iti．
 aưTov．
 38， 5 t．

## 

## 
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 sinistra parte superscnptum) $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}($ ? om. num. $)$ : deest M .

 Cend.

5 caduy A: odxav V, K, P, M, Cerda, Fabro, Geig. odile conj. Lagarde; ita Hilg. Fritzsch. Pick.

Ps. VI. Argument.
A. The Blessing of the Prayerful Man (ver, s);
In prayer is his salvation; he receives gurdance and protection in the aflars of life, he is preserved from mental disquuet, he is upheld in phystcal peril (2-5).
B. The character of his prayer;

He offers his prayer day by day, in the spurit of constancy and thanksgiving. and omits not intercession for those dependent on him ( 6,7 ).
C. Its answer;

The prayer of the God-fearing man is heard, and so is the request of every soul, whose hope is in the Lord (g).
D. Doxology: the Lord is merciful to those whose love is sincere (g).
There is nothing in the present Psaim, which can be said to reflect to any marked manner the date or position of the writer. It contains no direct allusion to national history, and there are no references to the writer's personal expenence.
It is a eulogy on prayer, and, as such, illustrales the importance attached to the performance of personal devotion by the pious Pharisee.
It is interesting to observe how proyer is spoken of as a preservative against superstitious fears (ver, 4).
The prayer of petition is spoken of as
eetrain of receiving its answer; but special prominence is given to its less common aspects, the eucharistic and the intercessory.
The tone of verses 8 and 9 connects it with the religious poetry of the theocratic Jews.
Inscription 'In hope.' This title cannot be said to be very approprate. It has probably been taken frim the words \$vopis dतatsocoms in ver, 8.
1 irolum. The expression is based upon Ps. Ivi. (lvii.) 7 'my heart is fixed' (Lxx.
 heart is fixed trusting in the LORD' (Érof-

 кuplov. Compare lor the sense generallv, Ps, xliv. (xly.) 17 urna ${ }^{2}$ igowrai (אוצ') roô dvojuató ซous exvili. (cxix)

owtijortal. The thought is drawn from Joel ii. 32 'And it shail come to pass, that whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be delivered' (Ixx. kal
 kuplow owehjerat, quoted by St Peter, Acts ii. 21, nad by St Paul, Kom. $x^{2}$ 13).
sal bool....katrotivorta. Cf. Ps. v.




## PSALM VI.

'In hope' A Psalm of Solomon.

I Blessed is the man, whose heart is fixed to call upon the name of the LORD.

2 When he remembereth ${ }^{1}$ the name of the Lord, he is ${ }^{2}$ saved. ' Or,
3 His goings are established of the Lord, and the works of his hands are preserved by the Lord his God ${ }^{1}$.
mention of
4 By the evil visions of his dreams ${ }^{4}$ his soul is not disquicted.
5 When he passeth through rivers, yea, through the surge of ${ }^{3}$ Some the seas, he is not affrighted.



- xquiayuive lipye Xupwn. The Psalmast has expanded the thought of $P_{s,}$ 1xaxix.
 *arevioung ' $\phi$ ' ${ }^{\text {mpas, }}$, which contans the phrasen of both clauses in this verse.

4 did ópúceov movppǜv dvvxviav. The expression opaost ervariou is famuliar from Dan. iv. 6 dкoucop tiv ธ́paray roi inarmou Mov, Eeclus, xaxi. (xxxiv.) 3
 the analogy of which we should here ex-


On the other hand we find in the O,T, the use of 'the vision" side by side with, but distunct from 'the dream. 'Thus Job vis. $14^{\text {"Then thou scarest me with dreams, }}$ and terrifent me through visions' (Exqфopeis
 xxain, 15 'In a dream, in ansion of the nught' (evórmov \#ँ है mè Dan. i. $17^{\text {² }}$ Daniel had understanding in alt risions and dreams' ( $\Delta$ avi力 $\lambda$ owñkey है $\pi \sigma^{\circ} \sigma_{0}$ dpioes kal bunstons), On the analogy of these expressions we should expect to


There are therefore three ways of rendenng the words:
(a) By the evil visions of his dreams.
(b) By the visions of his evil dreams.
(c) By evil visions (and) by his dreams (subaud. kal).

It is possible that the two wonds in conjunction may indicate a double rendering of the original or the insertion of an explanatory gloss evarpiwy. For the apparently otiose adilition of a qualifying genitive ef, iv, 20 drenolat, 7. 18 लurap-



same passage is quoted in ver. I.
5 lv Siapá́ric morapû̀ mai adion
 probably correct, although the language is certanly unusual. Lagarde's conjecture adin gives a good sense, and the possibulity of an error by the addition of N to $\frac{a}{}$ word standing between moranér and panaoo 3 is obvious. But there is no absolute need for alteration: and ad $\lambda \varphi$ is not parallel to sıa户̈arec.

We might conjecture that odidy representio a wrongly written oukerâw in some early copy, and that the erasure not basing been notuced, both words were reproduced in subsequent transcrpts. Again, it is not impossible that $\theta$ alaroun may be a gloss on oddew, which has found its way into the text. But though odium is elmost uncommon enough to be glossed, we cannot at present point to any other plain instance of a gloss in our book. Hesychius explains it by in tin badioन
 fore, to give the benefit of the doubt in favour of the MS. text, end so to treat -didu $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega \hat{y}$ ns coordinate with rorapür. The sense then is 'when he crosses rivers and passes through angry seas."
dy Suapeari motanuy is based upon Isai, xluih z 'When thou passest through the waters I will be with thee' (fas dhafouleps ist biacos).

For riider falaroû compare Ps.



 lation of viO odiot occors in Ps liv. (lv.) 23, lxv. (lxvi) 9, cxx. (cxxi.) 3 ; lsaj. xxiv. 10.


 aùvoû,
 aบ่тоิ.

 кúpros.
 à $\lambda \eta$ Ө́iá.
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It is also the rendering of zivg in Sym. Job $x \times x$ ix, ${ }^{24}$, in Theodot. Ezek, xii. ${ }^{28}$. of तोग? in Sym. Erek. xii. 38 , and of "wnt in Sym. Jer. xv. 4 , and perhaps of

ov птonojowreh Cf. Job xi. is oú

We cannot see much probalality in the
 fute conlain an allusion to 'the flood' of the Roman power passing over Syria. The Psalmist is speaking of the ordmary dangers of travel in the East, and there is no reason to suppose that he is employing metaphor. Geiger understands the passage of loods and rivers $\frac{15}{}$ deccurring in the righteous man's bad dreams: but this interpretation partakes, to our mind, of the grotesque.
 represents the quick succession of act 4 . (f Aq. Sym. Isai xxix. 8 devevioon kal

$\geqslant 4 x^{x}$ avoradiq кap\&ial airoù. Cf. evoradeta in iv. 11. The idea of the word is "stabsility: It is found in Wisd.

 oratelar ruxeî̀. The verb eidaraflo occurs
 elicrafoî (v. L. torixason) 'unto a nation that is at ease' (ילֵל ). Sym. Jer. xlvii
 ${ }_{3}$ Mace, xii. 2 our dum wirour niorateiv.
 tive evorativy is found in Ecclus. xxvi. 18
 where the text is very doubtful.
This is one of the words occurring aiso in the Book of Wisdom which Hilgenfeld adduces for his strange argument in behalf of the Greek original for this book. But the fact that piordieta occurs in Wisdom once, and twice in Ps. S. in a very different context, does not advance his theory. See Introd.
 ii. 34.
 'the righteous man' in iv. 8. The present passage representing 'the holy man' oftering up intercession for the members of his housebold is elearly based on Job i. 5 .
$\sigma$ He riseth up from his sleep, and blesseth the name of the Lord.
7 In the steadfastness of his heart he singeth praise unto the name of his God, and intreateth the favour of the LORD for all his house.

8 And the Lord hearkeneth unto the prayer of every one that feareth ${ }^{8}$ God: and every request of the soul that trusteth ${ }^{\circ}$ Or, that in him doth the Lord perform.
9 Blessed is the LORD that showeth mercy unto them that ${ }^{\text {the frar of }}$ love him in truth.

## PSALM VII.

A Psalon of Solomon. 'Of restoration.'
I Remove not thy habitation from us, O God, lest they fall upon us that hate us without a cause :

E wavrds iv dofip grov. This we take to be equivalent, in the style of our trans-

 sulsstantive with dy used as an adjectuve or participle compare iv. II dedpos ty chora-





 ainvi 4 .

For ér фó $\beta \boldsymbol{w}$ compare Apoc Bar. xivi. 'et subjaceatis illis qui in timore sunt sajpientes et intelligentes.'


dxurfoveryt mpot aviobv. The tutle of the Psalm is very probably based upon this mention of "the hoping" soul. The construction of tidetjecp ripds is very unusual. The prepositions in, info th are all frequently found with latikem in $\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{x}$. and N. T. Greek. But we do not know of an instance where $\pi p d s$ is used with this verb. For other grammatical enomalies ef. iv. 25 , xvi. I4:




 burg ms, apparently had the Imperat. ev-
 used of God in the last verse of the Psalm, corresponds to $\mu a k a p u 0$ used of man in the first verse.
rois \#yawícty...dv didybeiq. On dya-



dv dijehtq For this phrase definung the character of the love towards God, compare ii. 36 ol pofoipperat rob kipuow is truarikg: iv. qo al qupoípeyos toy kuphoy iv draxig evirsiv.

It occurs again in xiv. $I$, and may be illustrated by Tohit xiv. 7 mupuovedovres


The Psalmist contrasts the sincere love of the pious Jew with the pretence of the worldiy Sadducee.

It is interesting to compare with the prase here given to sincere love of Gud, the passsige in the Gospels, where the Sanbe, who belonged probabiy to the Pharisees, asserted that sincere love was more essential than all whole burnt offerings and sacnfices. See Mark xii. 3234
The Pharisees, joining with the He rodians, beym their templation of the Lord by flattering His sincerity. $\delta_{-}$

 *xii. 16).

Pg. VII. Argwment.
The Psalm falls into two marked divisions, Israel's peril and Israel's security.
A. Israel'e Peril, 1 -4.
(1) A prayer that the Divine presence should not be withdrawn, lest henthen enemies take possession of Zion ( 5,2 ).
(2) Israel will submit to the chastening

## 


of the LORD; for He is merciful: but prays not to be given over to the hands of men, who have no mercy and would utterly destroy ( 3,4 ).
B. Israel's Secunty.
(I) The presence of God is an assurance of mercy, and the hope of defence ( $\{-7$ ).
(2) He will chasten and correct fsreel, but not in anger (8).
(3) For when He turns and takes pity upon Israel, He wilt extabish them secording to His promise (g).
Owing to the obacurity of the allusions in this Psalm, it is impossible to determine with any certainty the date at wheh it was composed.
According to Geiger it was written while Pomicy was laying slege to the Temple of Jerusalem.
Wellhausen supposes that the events referred to are the attack on Anlugranus and the sicge of Terissalem by Snsius and Herox. His orminen is that the theocratic fermour, which this Psalm breathes, is not found in the two Psalms (ii., viii.), which undoubtedly reler to Pompey'r capture of Jerusslent and investment of the Temple; and that on the other hand it corresponds to the description of Josephus, Anf. xuv.





 revóry cit mírois roî beoû.
Wellhausen's reasons are not however in this instance very convincing. It is true that Pompey was received within the wails of Jerusalem with the consent of the citizens (cf. viii, 15-22). But the severity of his measures during and after the siege seem to have filled the writers of both Psalms (jii., viii.), with terror and indignation. The allusion to the honour of the Temple in our Psalm (ver. 2) corresponds to ii . $3, \mathrm{~K}, 31$; and verses 3,4 may well refer to the massacre of Jewish citizens described in vini. 23, and implied in ii. 25. 26. The fervour of such passages as it. $30-3$ or viii. $7-14$ cannot be said to be less than that which we find here.
It is also an objection to Wellhausen's theory that the writer contempletes the
crisis as in the past. Now, if Sosius' attack is intended, he had been already victorious; Herod had been made kıng; and the last prince of the Asmonean House had been made prisoner and doomed to death. Surely, if the writer had referred to such a period, the fall of the Asmonean dymasty and the rise of the Idumean king would not bave been passed over in silence.

What then is the situation described in this Psalm? The Ist peres. plural personai pronoun is found in each verse. The Psalm st speaks in the name of the true snns of Israel, the true house of Jacob (vv. 8, 9). The peril apprehended in the first part of the Psalm $(1-4)$ has passed away, when we come to the second part ( $5-9$ ). In this concluding portion Israel is still represented as 'under the rod of chastening' (ver. 8); but God's presence us still with Israel, He hears, defends, and will help in His appointed time (ver. 9).
The peril which bad menaced Israel had come from those who hated Isract without a cause (ver, 1), from those whom God had cast aside (ver. 1). The writer had feared lest the holy Temple (or city) should utterly fall into their hands (ov. 2-6); and had prayed that God would not deliver the people into the hands of the heathen (ver. 3). Let Goxd, he says, rather scourge us with \& pestilence $;$ then shall we fall into the hands of a merciful Goid, es David of old. Let us not be goven over to our enemies, who will utterly consume us. The prayer had been heard, the peril iverted, and, though chastened, Israel hoped in her God.

It may be fairly questioned whether ; those 'who hated Israel without cause. whom Goxd cast off 'are to be identified with 'the heathen' of ver. 3. We would hazard the suggestion that Eiverory in ver. 3 refers to the Romans, and that of
 (wv. \& , z) describe the High Priest's party, the Sadducees, who hated their country. men the Pharisees, and were themsclves. in the writer's opinion, rejected of God for having usurped the sacred offices.
The recent invasion of Pompey is, we believe, the occasion of the Psalm. The hostility of the Sadducees and the power of the Komans were the immedaale cause

2 Nay, as for them whom thou hast cast off, O God, let not their foot tread the inheritance of thy sanctuary.
of alarm. Bat the danger 15 over. Pompey had not destroyed "therr place and nation." The pious Jews may look for the accumplishment of a still greater delneance, when the necessary chathisement for sin is overpast.
Inseription. Literally of turbung, either fransitively in the sense of "restoration' (cf. érartpetw in ซ. 9), or intransitively in the sense of 'coaversion' as in xyi. 11 els trucopaqjip (cf. éts-
 alternatuve it would be pa intotpoфt feovi,
 the Lutle Tips etruarpoфip ' $\Delta$ pratou wai Zaxaptou of Ps exi. (cxii.). The general sense of the Psalm, and especinily the concluding verse, favour the former view, which is supported by the use of trouTpt due in v. 9.

1 Mì droorniniongs. In the parallelism of the two portions of this Psalin, these words find theur counterpart in ver,

The wathdrawal of Divine favour from Israel is expressed under the jmage of Jehovah's departure from Zion.

Cf. Ps, sxxvi. (xxxviii.) as $\mu^{2}$ ivkara-


 ficelar limes in this P'salm, and vú therteen times.
driө̂̀vtas गो $\mu$ iv. CI. i. t, ix- 16.
of $\mu$ uotionvers ipais Sonpedv. The phrase


 quoted in John xy. 25. A very similar expression occurs in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ s, xxxvii. (xxxviii.)
 (7) "fot"), and as the first clause in our verse recalls Po zuxvii (xuxviii.) ys, it is very possible that our Psalmist here recturs it thought to the same I'salm. If so, the translator has shown his independence of the LXX. version by the use of the word dupeay instead of abixhos.

The Ilcbrew רקe 'wronglully," falsely, occurs in both the above passages it the sense of "without justification, ${ }^{\text {P }}$ immerito," and can thus be represented by סwoken "gratuitously' gratis,' which gencrally renders oq7e.g. I Sam. xix. 5 xxv. 31; : Kings ii. 31 ; Ps, xuxiv, (xxxv.)

73 Aq. Job ii. 3 ; Aq. Syim. Th. Prov, xxiii. 39, xxpi. 2 .
The Psalmist either refers to the Gentile: or, as appears to us more prabable, those of his own countrymen, l.e. the Sadducees, who were opposed to the theocratic party.
$2{ }^{2}$ otr dwiom. If the Gentiles generally are intended, the writer speaks of thern ess "cast off or 'rejected by God' in contrast to the Israelites, whom God had chosen to be Hus own people.

If the Sadducees are intended, the passage implies that the High Priest and his famaly were virtually rejected by God for having wrongfully usurped possession of the Holy Pise. Cf, xvu. 6-8.
The explanation of the words fore dirt (0) núrobt is not quite obvious. We may safely sassume that drin transiates the Hebrew "\%. If this conjunction occurs in a causative sense 'seeng that,' 'because'
 of the argument, 'therefore let not \&c.' But "p is also used in an edversative sense equivalent to 'minime vero,' "nay but,' generally after a negative in the preceding clause.

Both renderings of ${ }^{7}$ are possible in this passage; the Lranslator by his renderung ofu selected the one which was more usual and obvious, but far less forcible. The terseness and abruptness of the clauses, given by the adversative rendering of 4 would have been much more appropriate ta words of strong emotion.

The gense then of the original was, we believe, "Nay but thou furely hat re jected them ! let not then their foot tread the Holy Ground.'

Instances are frequent in the exx. where thas shade of meanang in the conjunction ${ }^{\prime} \bar{T}$ has been obscured by the rendering ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Th}$ e.g. Job xxxi, if $^{\circ}$ Nay, froan my youth he grew bp' (otri ik
 12 'Yea, for thy sake we are killed' (GN
 "But there is forgiveress whth thee' fort wapd tox d (Aactugs efrey).

For dтisen cf. Pso xlit. (ximt.) 2 iva th

 Ti áraioce d beots, dis relios;







 ย̇入е $\eta \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \theta a$,
 סัть $\sigma \dot{v} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\jmath} \eta^{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.






 Ceria, Fabre. Grig. (? Wellh.).
a olkretphoces K, P, M, Hing. Getg. Fritzsch. Pick., oikmphoter A, V, Cerda, Fab.
 P, Fibs, Fritasch. Pilch.

 the Gentiles are referred to, nate is used in the sense of caramation "to trample

 tour.

But supposing, as we prefer to do, that the Sadducee are referred to, then we see the reason of rateiv being used rather than кarawareip : it will denote the habitual tread of the Priests in the courts of the Sanctuary, not the downtreading by the heathen. It seems to be used in this way in tim. ${ }^{2} 3$, and may best be illustrated by




The Psalmist denounces the men, "ho, having illegally usurped the highest offices, polluted the sacred place by the constant presence.

 Thy nגךpowoula" sow, dulavay To vain rot
äróp gov.
The expression $\pi \lambda$ qpovoula dycéouares does not oceur in the $1 \times x_{n}$, but we may
 I Esl. vul. Bo) ; to his iyidaparos (Ecclus.
 lххvн. (xviii.) 54 -

The illusion here is to the Temple.

- iv bentuar' fou wabowor ग jus. For the Divine $0 \in \lambda_{n u c} \mathrm{cf}, \mathrm{Pg}, \mathrm{xxix}$.

 nov óvapue. The best comment on the words is afforded by Jer. $x_{1} 24$ waibeu.


But the thought both of this and the following verse is drawn from 2 Sam, xxiv. 14. There is the same avowal of $\sin _{1}$ and the same readiness to submit to any chastisement inflicted by the Lard rather than to suffer from the cruel vengeance of a human foe. Compare 4 Este. 7. $30^{\circ} \mathrm{Et}$ si odiens odisti populum truth, tuts manibus debt castigeri'; Ecclus, ii.

3 Do thou chasten us in thy good pleasure，but give us not over to the Gentiles．

4 For if thou sendest pestilence，thou wilt give charge to it concerning us，for thou art merciful，and wilt not be angry with us to，consume us altogether．

5 Whilst thy name doth dwell in our midst，we shall find mercy，

6 And no nation shall prevail against us，seeing that thou art our defence．

7 When we call upon thee，thou wilt hearken unto us，
S For thou wilt have pity for evermore on the house of Israel， and wilt not cast fless off．

And as for us，we are beneath thy yoke for evermore，and beweath the rod of thy chastening．


Sowory．The Romans are probsbly re－ ferred to．
 here represents $7 \frac{7}{7} \geqslant$＂pestilence，＂iss in
 xxi．6，Exek，vi． 11 （dandrч $=$ Ag．Sym． $\left.\lambda_{0}, \mu()^{2}\right)$ ．xii．16，xiv，19．Amos iv． 10. 2 （hr．vit． 13.
od drridi．Thas is probably the right reading；Hilgenfedd＇s conjecture is con firmed by the Copenlagen and Farts MSs－The cause of the varcous readng was probatly an error in transcriphon： the medial e of eptely having been accu－ dentally changed to 0 ，$\sigma$ 名 before eyta $\lambda_{乃}$ was changed to oùv．Compare çentenhi with cYnentoimi．

For the Greek phrase compare Ps．xc．
 repl sov．
 A comparison with in， 26, kal rumedeन
 to dopit sov，gives the contrast between the Divine wrath and the ferocity of human foes．
For the meaning ef．Lev．xxvi， 44 ＇neither will Labhor them to destroy them utterly．＂Baruch iv， 6 émpó̈pre тоî


For tof̂ awtehtoas see Ezek．xxii． 31
 Hov roì aterehérac．
 ＊ataompivów is here nsed intransitively，cf． Eyek．xlisi， 7 ev ols naradeverions to 8 oro－



For instances of the transitive use＇cause to dwell，＇see Jer，vii． 12 ；Neh，i． 9 ．
 cf．xvil．it hee Ps．xI1，（xat．）$+\mu$ h port
 Dan．vi． 21 to xépas exkivo èroct tohe－



imetpaorाardis，a common word in the LxX．，e．g．Pst xxvis．（xxviii） 7 ropos





7 Kal गן Hetr．．．kal ou．The two co－ ordinate clauses beginning with kal pro－ Lably reproduce the Hebrew idiom of the tenses，$=$ when we．．．then thon 品，cf． ท14． 35 －

The thought is based upon Solomon＇s prayer at the dedication of the Temple， I Kings viii． 30 \＆ k ．

8 To Yivor＇Irpaind．Weilhausen＇s ＇des Namens Israel＇${ }^{15}$ presumably a misprant for＂des Samens Isracl．＂
For to ytyos＇lapo．$=$＇the seed of Israel，＇

 waígeral jeviotal．
oun dexing．See on ver． 7.
 words of the Pbalmist＂we are beneath thy yoke for evermore＇deserve espectal atiention．The metaphor of the yoke is not found in the O．T．with the pos－ stble exception of Lam．iii． $27^{\circ}$ It is good for a man that he bear the yoke in his youlh．＂

 aủroîs.

- w. YaAmde twil catomain elc nikoc.


- rareu日Ùves Codd, Cerda, Fabr. (diriges), Geig.: warewouvềs Lagarde, Hilg. Fritesch. Pick.
trapreide V, K, P, M; trayreitic (P A) Cerda.
廿ain. т. 2. els vixor K .

The present passage thercfore offers the only certain instance in Jewish hteralure previous to our Lord's trme, in whinch 'the yoke' is employed as a metaphor for the service of Jehovah.

Our Loru's hards "Take my yoke upoo you, and learn of me, \&c., For my yoke is easy' (Matt. XI. 29), with which we naturally illustrate our passage, suggest two things, (1) that the metaphor was 2 proverbial one, (2) that He contrasts His yoke with some other yoke that the Jewn were familiar with For both these observations we find remarkable confirmation. The "yoke' seems to have been a metaphor especially applied to the arvice of the Low at least es carly as the Christan era. Thus we find in Pirqe Aboth iii, 8 (ed. Taylor) ${ }^{\text {T}} \mathrm{K} . \mathrm{Ne}$ chonyiah ben ha-Qantah said, Whoso receives upon hum the yoke of Thorah, they remove from hum the yoke of royalty (i,e. burden of taxation) and the yoke of woridly care; and whoso breaks from him the yoke of Thorah, they lay upon him the yoke of royalty and the yoke of worldly care.' The yoke of Thorah clearly here means devoted study of, and attention to, the Mosaic Law.

A similar use of this metaphor for the Jewish law appears in Apoc. Bar. xli. 3 'quia ecce video multos ex popuio tuo, qui recesserunt sponsionibus tuis et projecerunt e se jugzom legis twae.' The Apostle St Peter therefore makes use of an almost technical term, when he warns the first Chnstians not to impose the yoke of the Jewish law upon Gentule converts. Acts xv. 10 'Now therefore why tempt ye God, that ye should put a yoke upon the neek of the disciples, which neither our fathers not we were able to bear?' St Paul too
employs the same metaphor when he reproaches the Galatian Cburch with therr relapse into Judaism, Gal v. I'be not entangled agatn in a yoke of bondage,?

These passages show that our Lond is Matt xi. 29, 30 contrasted the service which He offered with the burden of minute legal observance-the yoke, is it was proverbially called-which the Scribes and Iharisees land upon the people. It is of this yoke that the Pharisee writer of our Pralm is speaking. He claims with pride that the true lsraelites are uniler Cod's yoke; that yoke is Hus Lew, and under it stands every Jew that fears Good.

Schottgen (Hor, Hebr. L. 115-1 30 ) quotes other Jewish uses of this metaphor from Rabbinical and Talmudic literature, e.g. 'The yoke of God' Schemoth Rabbe 30, fol. 1272. 'Because the ten trobes refused to bear the yoke of God, came Sennacherib on them." Yalknt Rubetn fol. 30, 1. The 'Massa' or burden of Agur (Prov, xux, 1) is 60 called because he took or bore on himself the yoke of God.' "The yoke of the kingdom of heaven." In Berachoth fol. 10.1 it is said of the man who eats before esking a blessing eafter that he has vaunted himself, he taketh upon him the yoke of the kingdom of heaven." CF. "The yoke of precept," Berachoth fol. 13. 1. "Why in the Prayers do the words 'Hear, O Israel,' precede the words 'And it shal! be if thou hearkenest, \&c.'s Ans.' Because a man first receives the kingdon of heaven, and afterwards the yoke of the precept. Targ. in Thren. ili. $27^{\prime}$ It is good for a man that he accustom himself to bear the yoke of precepts in bus youth.'
Tov alime for als the aluwa. Cf, Ezek. xliii. 9 .

9 Thou wilt establinh' us in the time appointed, when thou ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Gr}$, shalt succour us; and shalt ${ }^{2}$ have mercy upon the house of ${ }^{3}$ dreet Gr. Jacob on the day wherein thou didst promise them help.

## PSALM VIII.

## A Psalm of Solomon: For the chief Mrusician.

1 Distress and the sound of war hath my ear heard, the sound of a trumpet proclaiming slaughter and destruction!
 the clouse literally reproduces the original, we must clearly surpply ind before piorrye and preserve the parallellsm with imb suyb oov. Cfo xvili. 8. We suspect that some word had dropped ont of the Hebrew text.

Anotber posstble conjecture is to place a fall stop after alava, and to read kal
 k.r. $\mathrm{X}_{\text {. The }}$ The syllable rat immedately following would account for the error of writing i and marriya having once found its way into the text, the words would necessarily be connected with the preceding clause. In favour of this conjecture is the postion of rovy atwan at the end of the sentence.
podothe тabilas ovv. see xvi. 4. Cf. Prove xxil. I5 dapits de well maiofion uaxpais
 Tadetia \& rautl maupi ropia. The Divine chastisement becomes not only a disciphne but a prtvilege, ef. Tobit xitii.

 gov.




For derinpytr = the act of taking another's fart," "succour," cf. Ps xxi.

 Ecclus, xi. 13 youppos mpordéjueror inti-
 edat rapd rô kuplov. IT Corp xii. 28 dert$\lambda+\begin{gathered}\text { dets, } \\ \text { and }\end{gathered}$ the use of the verb arpidan-

 t Tim. vi. z.



ti गupany. For the preposition cf. xvii. 23 ds кацрэ, xviai. 6 dr thupar dMev ..els thutpultinorins.

Ps. VIII. Argwment.
A. $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{I} 4$, The Coming Visutation, and its Cause.
B. 15-26. The Great Delusion, and its Consequence.
C. 27-32. God's Ways justified to the Heathen and to Israel.
D. 33-35. The Prayer of the Sxints.
E. 40, 4I. Doxology.

There cas be lutte doubt as to the historical events to which allusion is made in this Psalm. The writer describes the effect produced upon him by the rumour of war, which was sweeping tupon Jerusalem from a distance ( $1-6$ ). The man who is the instrument of the Divine visitation comes 'from the ends of the earth' and his blows are tertibly powerful (16), The princes of Judze receive him with open arms, sssist his march into their land, admit him within the walls ( $18-10$ ). Unce establashed there, he shows his real charecter by massacring the leading people and carrying off numbers of captives $(23,24)$.

This description corresponds closely enough with the capture of Jerusalem by Pompey and his conduct after storning the Temple (see on Ps, ii.).

The resemblance of this Psalm to Ps, ii. is very clase. Numerous expressions (see espec. $8,12,83,14,18$ ) are repeated almost verbally from this Psalm by the writer of $\mathrm{Ps}_{\mathrm{s}}$, if, which judging from the reference to Pompey's death we assume to be the later composition of the two.

The writer represents the kame section of the Jewish community. Speaking of himself in the early portion of the Psalm ( $5,3,4-7$ ), be relapses into the 18 t Pers, Plar. in the latter portion ( 30 ,







ćtapáx日н tà óctà $\mu$ ov wis $\lambda i \nu$ ivov．

－rólur $V_{1} K, P_{1} M_{1}$ tólei $A$ ．

31－33，35－39），and practically iden－ tifies bumself with thowe whom he calls ＇the sants of God＇（28）．

The mention of the sudden alarm of war，with which the Psalm opens，recalls $P_{5}$ i．1．The wriker＇s denunciation of those，whom he had believed to be righteous（ver，7）and found to be guilly of secret ahomjnations（9－10）remunds
 fact that sinfuiness is particularly identi－ fied with the profanation of secred rites and the disregard of sacrificial duties （ $12,13,25,26$ ），reminds us of such passages is i ． 8 ，ii．3．At the same time it reveals the priestly function of many of these offending Saciducees，and expresses the horror of the l＇harsees at the negligence and mpiety of therf foes． The reader should notice especially ver． 12，where allusion is macte to the ille－ gitumate clam of the Asmonean house to the Hygh Priesthood．

Inscription ele vimos，a rendering of กצyob，＇To the Chicf Musician＇which is found in Theordotion＇s version，Ps．xii． i，xui．I ely rod vixot，iv，I，vi，zo．
We conjecture that this tutle has been borrowed from the Canonical Psalma by copyists．

The passage scems to be based on Jer．


 sa入tirat．

2 基 dvipore Cf，on xvii． 13 ．
The simule of a storm or whiriwind in the desert is applied to the approach of war by Jer，iv．12， 13 ．

F or karaifis see Jer．iv．I3 irs kerands rd dipmara autrov，and compare lisai，axi．



 кateodlouta．｜xvi． 15 dosì yàp кíphos is


 Mss omit，has probably dropped out by Hompeoteleuton after efrov．EfTONENTH．
 very natural explamation of this and the folrowing verse assumes a daalogue to take place．The F＇salmist ashs in has heart，where shall be the place of jurige－ ment？The rejls is given hum by whum， we are not toles－that it will the in Jent－ salem itself．The фurbr of ver． 4 is the voice，which makes answer to his ques－
 ingdituates are the ubstance of the reply．The daroips of ver． 5 refers to the hearing of these words．
（2）A quite elifferent interpretation is suggested by Wellhausen＇s transiation ＂gewiss wird uns Got richlen wollen！＇ －Linen Lavt horte ich in Jerusalem．＇${ }^{\text {ºn }}$ toce is then an erroneous rendering of KD＇M which，instead of asking the ques－ tion＇where？，＇should have been rendered ＇surely，＇e－g．Gen，xxvii． 33 ；Jud．ix． 38 ； Job xix．23： ；Is．xix． 3.

On hearing the sounds of the approach． ing tempest of war，the Psalmist first recognizes what it means；＇Assuredly God seads his judgement opoa us．＇An alarms within feruaslem itwelf reseals to him that the Holy City is to receive the heaven－sent chastisement：he is then overwhelmed with terror．

It is an objection to the interrogative Tov apa that the Psalmist should enquire

2 It is the sound of a mighty people as of an exceeding mighty wind！It is as the tempest of a mighty fire rushing through the wilderness＂．

3 And I said in my heart，Surely ${ }^{2}$ God will judge us ${ }^{3}$ ．
${ }^{1} \mathrm{Or}$, adry
4 I heard a sound in Jerusalem，the city of the sanctuary＂．
5 My loins were broken at the hearing thereof；my knees were loosed；

6 My heart was afraid；my bones were shaken like flax．
the flace of judgement，before he has apparently realised that it is judgement which is beng carried out．

On the other hand，the adverb＇as－ suredly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ gives the note of recognition that the storm about to break is judical． The Hebrew would be the same in each case，13une knari and as the shade of meaning according to this subgestoon is preferable，we have no hesitation in sup－ posing that the translator took the wrong alternative by renderng the words in－ terrogatuvely．
xpivel auto v．We have left to this point the explanation of eborb．Does it refer to daov rolloî？or to＇Iapaìh un－ derstood？or to some person undefined？ The last alternative we may dismiss at once．The first is obviously not appro－ priate；it is not the judgement on the Komans or on Pompey，which is con－ temptated in this Psalm．Can however avirde refer to＂Lopaith，as the dweller in Jerusalem mentioned in the next line？ Such an interpretation gives the sense of the passage，but the harshness of the construction constatutes an obvous dif－ ficulty．

A probable explanation is afforded by the momiguity of the Hebrew pronominal suffix，which in the word here used 13cesen would the the same for the zrd sing．Masc，as for the rst Pers．l＇lur． The Greeh translation might be either
 the context．If we suppose that the $P$＇simost meant＇where then shall Goxl judge rw $^{\prime \prime}$ and that the translator mis－ tahing the sense rendered it kpubî aúrdi， we can see at once how the obscurity has arisen．Now the Psalmist，in prayer and soliloquy at the close of the Psalm，makes frequent ase of the rst Pers．Plur．Pro－ noun and does not refer to himself indi－ viduatly．Here then，where he records a short solloquy，be meght naturally
speak of Lsrael as＇us，＇although in the descriptive anaration before and after he speak.$s$ of himself in the singular．
\＃ólst dydouares．See on vii．1．Cf．
 divedrparos．

5 न्verp $(\beta \eta$ मी 万大 $\phi$ v́s Mov．Fur this and the following clauses of Jer．xxill， 9
 －$\quad$ dy
 oov $\phi$ hos cov．Dan．v． 6 kal al ofroequos Tîs \＆oques autov deenúayto wel td pobare witồ 「evenporvivto．

Enoch，ch．Ix． 3 ＂And a great trem－ bling took hold of me，and fear seived me：my loins were bent and were loosened，and my whole being melted together＇（trans，Schodde）．

The lons were the seat of strength． Cr．Test．Xit．Fatr．Napht，$\beta$ lvoipoev

dad dKoj̄s．Cf．Hab，iii．I Rópue
 For $d \pi \delta=$＇because of＇ef．Isan．vi +
 （לק）．
mapeíín yóvard pov．Cf，xeipes durt－
 xxxv． $3 ;$ Eicclus，$x \times v, 33$ ；Heb，xii． 12 ．
－dofyon y kapila \％ow Cf．Job xxxvi． 34 （xxxvii．I）xal tind raímps dra－ pdx ${ }^{d}$ T Torove airyi．Ps，xxvi．（xxvii．） 3 ov po－ Biotiperat if raplia $\mu$ он．

Hrapixixy nd borei mov．From Ps．vi． 3 trapdx昜 Td borâ $\mu 0 \hat{1}$ ．Cf．Hab．ini．


fis $\lambda$ ivov．The Psalmist amplifies the quotanon by hrs own simule．Cf，I Kings xiv．IS aiplos ripitel thy lopaith ratic
 xt． 7 ．

#  

àve $\lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ тà крípata тои̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{~ a ̀ n o ̀ ~ к т i ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ o u ̉ \rho a \nu o u ̂ ~}$ кa.i $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ s,



 $\gamma \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$.




7 ratelfigouan Codd., nẹtevenoûan Fritzsch. Pick., einop katenoimagry (M), 1 1 ilg.

 vers. 10 M et Hilg, ita Fntzsch I'ck. (Lerda et Geig. conj. $2 n$ untus ty rapedo


11 rivolow A (Cerda). aưrois Codd.; aùrois Hulg. Frizsch. Pıck. Merad dprou TEpl тoftow' (V), K, P, M, Hilg. Fritesch. Wellh. Pick.


7 cinov' кarrutuvoűrty diovis au่rwiv dv Eukacoing, The Psalmist endeavours to allay his fears by reflecting that the dwellers in Jerusalem "direct their ways in righleousness, ${ }^{*}$ and that, though they may be tried by temporary discıphine, they will be preserved on account of their ughteousness and the cty saved for the sake of 'the righteous' to be found in it (cf. Gen. zviiu.). But the Psalmust is destmed to be craelly undeceved. The people are not 'directing their ways in righteousness ${ }^{1}$ : they are given over to secret sin, which had been unknown to hum. The position of the wrter is therefore the same in thus Psalm as that occupied by the writer of the rst l'salm. In J's I. 1, 2 we have the approach of war corresponding to $\mu_{\mathrm{s}}$ vii. 1-6; in $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}$, i. 3 the writer's security, besed on the 'righteousness' of hes countrymen, comesponds to the present verse; in I's. 1. 7,8 the thiscobery of their secret sms and unsurpassed abominations, which prepares the Psalmust for the inevitable visitation, corresponds to PG. viil. 9-\$4.
For rateupurovion see note on vi. 3, and cf. Apoc. Bar, Lxxvii. 5 si ergo direxentis vias vestras, non abibutis etian
vos $_{4}$ sicut abierunt fratres vestr.
The 3rd Pers. Plur. refers to the anhabitente of Jerusalem mentioned in ver. 4.

Wellbausen renders "Ich sprach: die Frommen-ihre Wege sund Gerechtyg. kettswege. This is plausible, and derives support from aj̀w $\hat{y} y$ in ver. 8 , which seems to presuppose a Plural gubstantive in a previous clause. The Psalmust then merely consoles humself with the thought that 'the upright' wall be preserved on aecount of "their righteousness,' for this was the teaching of all the past judgements of God. To obtain this meaning, W. assumes that ratevouropary is a wrong rendering by the translator for the sdjective $\mathrm{D}^{-} \mathrm{TH}_{1}^{\mu}{ }_{1}$ "the upright."

The extsling rendering however gives a good sense. A comparison with i. 3立 ouchooions, where Zion is speaking is an exact parallel to the present clause, and renders any change in the reading unnecessary.

The Fut. tense natevfuvoith represents the frequentatuve sense of the Helu Itrpf.

For Hilgenfeld's sino kereutivoure,

7 I said, Surely they direct their paths in righteousness". "Coni.
I considered the judgements of God from the creation of the heaven and the earth; I justified God in his judgements which have been of old.

8 God laid bare their sins in the sight of the sun; all the earth hath learned the righteous judgements of God.

9 In secret places beneath the earth were their iniquities that provoked him to angerb:

10 The son with the mother, and the father with the daughter wrought confusion :

11 They committed adultery each one with his neighbour's wife ; they covenanted thereto with oaths one with another:

- I spake to them that directed their ways in righteousness," we canmot see that any. thang favourable can be satd.
dvaloyoápiv, dvalowijouat is not found in the $2 \times x$. and occurs once only in the N.T Heb, xil. 3 dya doylearte $\mathrm{gd} \rho$



dind кriower. Cf. Deut, iv. 32; Ezek. xrviil 15. See xpiu, 13, 14.
 III. 3.

 $\sigma \theta \neq p$ т



au่iviv. The l'ronoun refers to the Jews, of whom the Palmist spoke in ver. 7, karcuevoviou ojois mitẫ.
tivartion rov tiAlou. Cf. ii. 13, 14; Iv. 31 .

Eyver, $\pi$ т. $\lambda$. Cf. if 12 nal ypeserat in


- dv keтayaios крифfiow For the sensualuties secretly practised by the Jews of Jerusalem, see on i. 7 , ii. $13-15$.

The word kardyala occurs in Gen. vi.
 Wisd. xvii. 3 dapedyent ydp voul\}oves ital

dy тароруиन these words in the sentence of verse 9 , as if they were equivatent to wapopys sobrtw, Cf, note on yaurds iv \$of (vi. 7).
(I) The expression is general; secret iniquities provoked the Lord to anger, and, after this preliminary slatement, they are described in detal.
(1) A comparison with iv. I, er tapa-
 ports this interpretation. The atmilarity of the phrase there used and the general correspondence in the wickedness described in Ps. iv. with what is described in these verses shows that the same sadducee foes art intended.
 Thas use of the verb is probably taken by the translator from the $2 \times x$. of Hos.

 'IOpotid ExaơTot mpds тmes aughemety evirov̂


11 小рохйуто. The clause expresses the substance of Jer. v. 8 Enaotion drid
 For the change of tense iporx

The verse expresses in more general terms the indictment of iv. 4-6, 11 15.
 weph routw. The line repeats the sulb-


The allusion is not quite clear. The meaning may be, as in iv. 4, that they pledged the onths which belonged to the agreement of lawful marriage.

Or the allusion may be to the teat of unfaithfulness described in Num, v., according to which the priest administered 'the water of bittemess' to a woman charged with infidelity. This was accompanied by an oath, ver. 25 'Then the priest shall cause the woman to swear with the oath of cursing and the priest shall say unto the woman, The Lorp make thee a curse and an onth among thy people.'

 alparos.' iy ípalipp M.

If the priests who administered the curse themselves were the adulterers, the force of this allusion to "the oath" is greatly intensified, and the fact that priests are clearly aliuded to in ver. 12 seems to add probability to this etrikang explanation.

The meaning of arph roirwy remains obscure. Geiger connects mepl rouroup with the following sentence for this cause'; but there is no logical sequence of thought combining tensual vice and sacrilege.

It might be rendered 'for this end' i.e. to compass their evil purpose, elf Td моха̄̃өщs.

On the strength of Exel. xvi. 59, where râre is the LXX. rendering for אֲאֶ, it is tempting to suggest a confusion of
 'these things," especially as in the Lxx. of Num. $V_{0}$ it the $\delta$ owoy and dpd occur together xal opateî d lepeès tho rumaina dy




Upon this hypothesis either the true rendering would have been $\mu$ rets dinow dpás or merd dpicou kal ipãs; or, very possibly, repl roínow representif a duplicale rendering of $\mu$ erd bakov.

According to Wellhausen this clause begus a fresh sentence and introduces the subject of the wrongful position of the High-Priestly family, 'Berides this' (zudem) they (the Jews) made a coverant, batcring away the Holy thongs, referring to the agreement betu een the people and the Asmonean House described in i Macc. xiv. 35 kai aibev d $\lambda$ ads Tip miotuy rav




тetontivas Tdyta raita nal Tho Buato-



12 Td 太yra rovi koovi Etrprafoy. The Psalmist passes away froun the charge of sinful sensuality to that of macrilege.

Tad © $\%$ тa Wijtur may mean rither "the sacred things' in the sense of things dedicated, gifts, sacrifices \&c. (cf. Td thyagreiva, ver. 36), with which the priests enriched themselves making a spoil of them, or the temple, which the Asmonean Princes had taken violent possession of.
The former interpretation has the support of t. 8 Td Evea ruplow, ii. 3 Td expen kuplou....d $\delta$ ©̂pa rồ $\theta e o \hat{t}$, and is favoured by the use of the Imperf, sityprayon, denoting continnous practice.

The latter interpretation gives a common meaning of id dyta and mgrees well with the short following clause, oúr duros $\kappa \lambda \eta$ powbinov Aurpoupcreas. It was a principal cause of the hosthaty of the Pharisees to the Sadlucees, that the Asmonean house hat set aside the legitimate lime of the High Priest and had assumed to themselves the power and dignsty, which the office conferred.
 Geiget wrely takes e wrong view of * $\lambda$ thponduov (which he supposes to translate *(2) ${ }^{2}$ ), when he asserts that it can have no meaning here in the sense of 'intheritor'; and supposes the Hebrew word to have been used in the sense of 'disinhertor' or 'expelter." 'Und keiner war, der vertrick, der rettete' j.e. there was none to dnve out the spoiler, none to save the epouled.

This rendering loses sight of what sems. to us to be the moat significant point in the passage.

12 The holy things＇of God they took for spoil；and there was ${ }^{7}$ Or，the no inheritor to deliver out of their hand．

13 They went up to ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the altar of the Lord whlu＂n they zecre＂Gr．trode full of all uncleanness；
yea，even in their separation they polluted the sacrifices，eating them like profane meats．

14 They left not a sin undone，wherein they offended not above the heathen．

The innposofos is the true heir；he is the＇go＇t，＇the kinsman，who should take upon himself the nghts and obligations of the inhentance．The inhertance is re－ presented by rd äpa rồ ktplov．The true herrs，the rightfut hineage of the High Priest，had been ejected．Strangers had entered into virlent possession，and there was no＂hinsman，＂to＇go＇tl＇to deliver it out of their hand．

кגрроwfноя оссиг in the LXX．as a translation of tint in Jud．xviil． 7 I 2 Sam． riv．7；Jet．wii．to；Mic．1．15，The word hus is rendered in Ruth iv．by ayxageis，but the verb is reprodaced in the LXX，by puopas \｛eg Is．xlvin 20；lii． 9 aंто入urpów，and esper sally $\lambda u$ poî， xlius． $1, I_{4}$ xilv，2z）．

It appears to us very possolzte that $\kappa \lambda \lambda^{\prime}-$ povínoc iutpourpou are a dup luate render－
 sentung the rightful claim of the＂go＇el，＂ Aurpoumevou his effectual act of deliverance or redemption．

We conclude that the original Hebrew man either 2 as ！ no inheritor redeeming，or，绍 seems to us very probuble，huis 个è！＂and there was no redeemer＇or＂go＇el．＂

The form of the sentence recalls Ps．


 D7．p）．

18 kTárovv．On satkiv＝e ${ }^{\text {tread }}$ with （requency，＇see note on vii．2．It is evi－ dently here used to denote constant at－ tendance，and has no sense of trampling under foot，such as is found in saranareì （ii．2）．

Gad niorp dicalaprias．A harsh con－ struction；the preposition aind beems to suggest the idea of priests procreding froms
scenes of every possitite pollution to their hedy avocation．That is，they did thear work of sacrifice being futl of all unclear－ nees，coming stra，ght，ws it were，frome that which difaled to the lowly altat－
It is posatible riso to include in this rendering the temporal signification．The work at the altor came immediately after （ $\dot{\text { ajo }}$ ）deeds of uncleanness；there was no intervening period of purification，nay more，even while（tv）uncleanness was still on them，they partook of consecrated food．
 pression in the $\mathbf{z x x}$ ．for the impurity described in Lev．xii， $3-8, x \mathrm{x} .19-33$ ； Erek．zviii．6．The dv of the actual cou－ dition of tupleanness is conirnsted with the $\dot{c} \pi{ }^{2}$ ．
fataryon eds orvias．The Levitical rule strictly prohibited the attendance of the unclear at the feasts and sacrifices． Cf．Lev．xv．3t＂Thus shall ye separate the children of Israel from their unclean－ ness ；that they dre not in their un－ cleanness，when they defile my taber－ nacle that is in the midst of them：？The presence of the ceremonially uncican at the feasts and sacrifices wes apparently connived at by the Sadducee priests；and such laxity shocked and incensed the strict Pharisees．
 once in the LXX．，Erek．iv．it oibze Eifenphufer cly Td orbuce $\mu$ ov stì xplas


14 ，wip rd k 9 m ．Cf，on 1,8 at dwow



15 driparay airois．，，Thayjowas．The words are taken almost literally from the LXX．of Isai．xix． 14 wopson yap extpagev
 ＇a spirit of perverseness＇）xal è $\lambda$ dev yorav Alyurtay ins niavarab of $\mu$ edows．The folly of the princes of Egypt as depicted hy Ismiah is borrowed by our writer as en
${ }^{18} \Delta l a ̀$ toûto éкépacen aýtoîc on $\theta$ єós Tnềma mannh́cewc, émóticen aútoís motúpion ol̀noy ákpátoy cis $\mu$ é $\theta \eta \nu$.



 Eipŋ́ùs.


10 ह̇тпucth V, K, P, M, солj. Lagarde, Ha Hing. Gig. Fritzsch. Well. Pick. Eñuntivi A .
illustration of the perversity of the Jewish nobles in welcoming the representative of Rome

Test. xis. Pate. Dan 9 rad rweúpara


A. strange use of ppeôua Thambews occurs in Jer. iv. is where it senders 1 in

 translation is 'vertus wrens save roots,' where 'roris' is probably a mistake for 'erroris' and is intended to represent the LXX, reading.
twittery artois wotiplov olio dxpáprov. These words again are based on the exp. in rs, lxiv. (lxiv.) g orts rotipooy iv Xeapl kuploy olio depatrou тл7pey rapdaparos, and Jer, $x \times x i \mathrm{in}$, : ( $=$ Heb. XIV. 15 ) $\lambda$ diff rid tomphoy roup otyou tâ̂ dirpdrou toúrou eds xecpós move, wal
 passages the R.V. rendering of the Hebrew is "For in the hand of the Lord there is a cup, and the wine foameth (or, is red) ; it is full of mixture,' where 'the wine foameth' (רֶָ ${ }^{\text {Men }}$ ) corresponds to olvou dapdrov. In the passage from Jeremiah the R.V. rendering is, "Take the cup of the wine of this fury at my hand,' where "the cup of the wine of this
 the words used by the Psalmist in the present passage.

The translator has given the Ex. rendering of a phrase in familiar use.
ats $\mu$ U $0 \eta v$. This may be rendered either 'with t new to their being drunken' or "until they ere drunken.'
The latter rendering, which is the more probable, may be illustrated by Hag. i. 6
<inert kali out els midi ('ye drink and are not filled with drink').

Ezek. xxxix. is ked Tieote elan els $\mu$ et ep ('and drink blood until ye be drunken,' R.V.).

16 Tiv drin X dou Tins ais. Pompey the conqueror from Rome is thus referred to. The plarase is used not so much with the purpose of conveying the idea of the remoteness of Italy from Palestine os of reproducing the language of the prophets, in predicting the coming of the Babylonjants, e.g. Jer. vi. 23 i\&os dads tpXerat


to v malovra kparalis. We do not find any close parallel in the $z \times x$, to this description of Pompey.
The expression of rall is used of the king of Assyria, Isai, xiv. 29 'the roll that smote thee is broken' $=\mathrm{Exx}$. ours-


Ewald, who finds an allusion to Antiochus Epiphanies in this verse, explains
 departure of Antiochus from Rome to the throne of Syria If applicable to Antiochus Epiphanies, the description is even more appropriate to Pompey; and the brilliant succession of victories which Pompey won in Asia Minor and Syria deserves the title of d Talus кpurasês, a worldconqueror, better than the chequered fortune of Antioch us' campaigns or even the ferocity of his tyranny.

17 lixptve gov trokquov. In hazarding the translation 'he decreed the war,' we are obliged to confess that we have found no certain authority for it either as a Greek or as a literal rendering of a Hebrew phrase.

15 For this cause did God mingle for them a spirit of error, he made them to drink of the cup of unmixed wine until they were drunken.

16 He brought him that is from the utmost part of the earth, whose stroke is mighty ${ }^{30}$;

I7 He decreed ${ }^{\text {nt }}$ war against Jerusalem and her land.
18 The princes of the land met him with joy; they said unto him, Blessed is thy path! come ye, enter in with peace.
kpive whas commonly used of 'deciding' or "detemining" a contest by mrms or litigation. Geiger renders "beschied den Krieg." Wellhausen "beschloss den Krieg.' Pick ' he determined war.'

The unusual phrase may however arise from some early contusion in the reading. In the absence of any confimation of the usage in our text, we venture to suggest $\#$ conjecture which supplies a good explanation of our text.
( $\sigma$ ) It is evident that Expure Tov modemos does not indtcate actual hostilities, but the preparation for them. The next verse shows that the confliet was averted by the submission of the dipxomer. The meaning that we should expect would be 'he made ready' or "declared the war."
( 0 ) Expore translates 1My the judgeth or will judge' (e.g. Gen, xlix, 16 and passimy). But as the word $\dagger^{4}{ }^{2}$ * 'he maketh reedy' is what we should naturelly expect in the present passage, we conjecture that †'" by an error of a scribe may have been changed to MTM ; of this very error a probable instance is presented by Ezek. vii. 14 *They have blown the trumpet and made all ready ${ }^{\prime}$ which is rendered in


(c) Upor this assumption the right translation of the original Hebrew would have been trolpaje rov . $\quad$ dheuon.
18 dinfviJoay aut of dpXovre Tis Yins $\mu \mathrm{erd} \times$ xpant. These words, according to Ewald, represent the parly in Jerisailm who supported the Hellenizong polcy of Antiochus Epiphanes and opened to him the gates of Jerusalem, cf. Joseph Ants.





सretyay Tŵy beavria фpayodrtwor, kal xpt.
 $\chi$ Hay.

It cannot be denied that this description by Josephus of Epiphanes' seizure of the caty agrees in a remarkable manner with the general impression produced by the main outlines of the present passage $15-24$.

But the general description given by the poet ngples equally, if not more, closely to the accupation of Jertsalem by Pompey; and warious detals mentioned by the Psalmist seem to receive their only possible explanation from the supposition of the Pompeian invasion.

In the present verse it should be noted that the meeting of the foreign conqueror and the welcome extended to bum by of
 the fact of his arrival in Palestine. This small point corresponds with Josephus' description of the action both of the two brothers Hyrcanus and Arstobulus, and of the leading citizens, Each of the rival princes invoked Pompey's sid, while Pompey was still at Damascus; and at the same time a strong deputation arrived from JeJusalem soliciting that Pomper would give the kingdom to nether claimant; for it was contrary to the laws of the people that they should be ruled by a king. These appenls to Pornjey will amply explain the term droprorav.

See Jos. Amf. xIv. iii. 3.
erevicty. Thus word occurs in the LXX.



It is clearly the preferable reading in this passage. travirh of the Augsburg MS, rendered "dilatata' (i.e. enlarged) by Cerda and Fabricius, has no other authority and gives a very artificial tum to the words of greeting*
J. P.

#   <br> $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \in \phi \dot{\sim} \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ тєí $\chi \eta$ aủrท̂s． <br>   <br>  ба入 ${ }^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ <br>  au $\omega \nu$. <br>  ézéxee tò alma ten oikoúvt Gapciac， 


 xi．4．The words of welcome to the foreigner are based on the language of Isaiah xi． 3,4 troundeare rit diode kvplov．．．




 xxv．（xxvi．）ia for 才ौwipp？
 expression midas iii must lie observed． It is not to be regarded as identical with
 lem．＇＇The gates to Jerusalem＇are the approaches to Jerusalem；the passes and roads，which admitted an army to the capital．

Josephus particularly mentions the sur－ render of Corene and Alexandrium by Arstobulus as facilitating the march of Pompey against Jerusalem（ $A \mathrm{wh}$ ，xiv，jus．
 tpopmata kail toil фpayadpxous ituotentelv



forstaiverav 7 re $(X)$ cirfins．The festal decoration of the city，as Pompey drew near，is obviously the meaning．The words recall the description of the national celebration at the first feast of Dedication 1 Macc．iv． 57 kab кaтerdou7var Td каты



Geiger，who admits this more obvious rendering into his translation，expresses his dissatisfaction with it on the ground that the clause presents no adequate parallel to 加akay rúnas．He therefore suggests that the Hebrew words should rather have been rendered＇they surrender－ ed the walls or fortresses，the verb ＇to crown＇having in the Chaldee the sense of＇abstulit．＇．
no drinker is ra．тijp．Only at this point do we reach the actual entry of the conquers y within the walls．The writer does not concern himself with the delays caused by the action of Aristobulus and his supporters．Pompey and his army encamped at Jericho．Aristobulus offer ed to surrender，but had no control over his followers in Jerusalem，who shut the gates against Gatumus，Pompey \＆leu－ tenant．Pompey in anger marched upon Jerusalem．Factor within the walls was intensified by fear．The partisans of Aristohulus seized the Temple and its fortifications；the others threw open the gates，and placed in Pompey＇s hands the possession of their city and the disposal

 keel Td Baalieta，And．XIV．iv．j）．
Pompey entered the city as its lord and patron to defend it from those who wished Aristobulus the usurper to be their King and High Priest．
Homos toil mósas aitoû．Compare

19 They made the rough paths even before their entering in, they opened the gates that led unto Jerusalem; her walls they crowned with garlands.

20 He entered in, as a father entereth into his sons' house, in peace.

He established his feet and made them very firm ${ }^{14}$.
21 Ife occupied her strongholds, yea, and the wall of Jerusalem.
${ }^{11}$ Lit.
Twith nuk
sa/cly

22 For God led him in safety, because of their blindness.
23 He cut off their princes and every wise councillor; he poured out the blood of the dwellers in Jerusalem like the water of uncleanness,

## Ps, xax. (xaxi.) 9 lotpoaf by eupuxupu  

 This bringt us to the last stage of Pompery's occupation of Jerusalem. Admatted within the watis, he was compelled to reduce the Temple and the adjacent fortufications by suege (wee I's 11.1 . Their captare, it appears to $u s_{5}, 15$ indicated io this bine

i. 22; 3 Macc. X. 32 .

Tds trupyopdpat aitîs. Cf. Ps. exxi. (cxxii.) 7 ral eivente ep tois Tupyacidpe. of rov (where supy $\beta$ apos rendery !\} 'patace').

This may possibly refer to the citudel or 'Baris' which lay to the porth of the Temple, ci. Neh. ii. 8 oreydras ris wêkas ग्नु patpect.
mal id reixos. Cf. ii. 1.
Hilgenfeld quotea Orosuss, Wisf. V\&. 6: ipse (Pompeius) continuo subsecutus et a patribus urbe susceptus, sed a plebe muro templi repulsus, oppugationem ejus intendit.
 the capture of the Tempic Pompey took a bloorly revenge upon the leaders of the Aristobulus party. Cf. Jos. Amf. Xiv.
 Nares srxptrato. Bell. 7 wd 1. ni. 6 nal


adivis, roddy by Boukif. Leading mem. bers of the Sanhedrun ere clearly intended. The supporters of Aristobulus must have been largely represented in the National Council, It is impossible otherwise to account for the success and influence of Aristobulus, That they numbered a.
mongst them the most important of the priests, is a nutural conclusion to be drawn from the Temple being held by the party, and by the priests having conlinaed their functions throughout the blockade.

战弤 To a mashacte of the Jews by the Roman sulders has almosst escopert notice in the chroncle of horrors which Jerusalem has experienced. Josephus speaks of 13,000 Jews slaughtered in the siege and capture of the Temple. Jos, Anf. Xiv.





 why Towbedum als mupions adi doxiniont 'Pconalam se tavo bivyoc, Cf. Bell. Yud. I. vii 5 .

If xec... is siep dicabapolag. For the

 Iepoururin, which passage the Psalmist had probably ia his mind.

He has smplified the metaphor from ir ©8up to in ofosp dratapalas according to his practuce of introducing his own pords into the quotations frum Scripture, cf. on ds Aloom in ver. 5 .

For one of the earliest instances of the occurrence of this metaphor, see Records of the Pasf, and Series, vol. 1, R. 85 'An Erechite's Lument' line 3, 'Blood in flowing like water in Eulbar, the hoose of thy oracle.' For ofomp draOapolas = the water used in removing uncleanness, see Num. xix. 908 oup pavтiarovis



 aust $\omega$ ข,
 $\theta \in o \hat{v}$.



21 Eaniyaye revs viols kat Til onYartpas avirev. The last detail in the description of the conqueror's dealings is the carrying away into captivity the children of the dwellers in Jerusalem. That Pompey carried away many caplives appears from various sources of evidence: egg. Joseph. Ant. xiv. iv. 4






 dele $\phi$ ait.
The captives from Jerusalem swelled the number who were led in thousands through Rome on the occasion of the celebration of his great triumph (Gr). Plutarch mentions that the captives who walked in the procession (not to mention the chief of the pirates) were the son of Tigranes, king of Armenia, Zosime the wife of Tigranes, Arstobulus the king of Judea, \&c. \&c.’

We learn also from Philo's De Legforme ad Cains how numerous the Jewish captives were in Rome dung the reign of Tilberus. And it can hardly be questioned that the great majority of these had been brought to the capital either by Pompey or by his lieutenants. Phil. Legal. ad Cat. Ss 13 ats div die-



 d-
 Ae $\rho \boldsymbol{\omega}$
 occurs only in Lev, $x x i$, \& of maseñerar
 aưrov. See 1. 8.
 the time when they disregarded all Jaws of ceremonial cleanness.'

Looking back over these nine verses it is worth white noticing how closely we can identify the various details of the description on the assumption that Pompey is the foreign invader.

Thus in vert. 16 we have the far of I place of his birth, and his tremendous victories over Tigranes and Mithridates: in vera. 17 he is yet at a distance in Syria when he determines upon a campaign in which Palestine is included; in ver. is his attention is attracted to the affairs of Jerusalem by the naval applicants and the representatives of the people, who all lond him with gifts and flattery and hat him as their nation's deliverer ; in ver. 19 his march into Palestine is conducted without opposition, the fortresses that barred the way are one after another surrendered without a blow, he finally enters Jerusalem amid public acclamation; in ver. to he stands mong the people as their father to assist them and compose their differences; in yer. at he captures by force the Tensple and its fortifications; in er. an hus success is so complete and the folly of the people so perverse, that it is as if God himself were guiding him on his way; in ver- 23 his relentless character shows itself towards those who tried to thwart him: princes and councillors are put to death, Jerisalem flows with blood; and in yer. 14 the climax is reached in the final scene, where this Deliverer of the people carries off into captivity thousands of their sons and daughters.

98 inolporay. The connection of

24 Ile carried away their sons and their daughters whom they had begotten in their defilement．

25 They had done ${ }^{13}$ according to their uncleanness，even as ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Gr}$ dut their fathers did，

26 They polluted Jerusalem and the things that had been dedicated unto the name of God．

27 God hath been justified in his judgements upon the nations of the earth，

28 And the saints of God are as innocent lambs in their midst．
thas and the following verse with the section that has just closed is not obvious． They seem to belong more naturally to the description of Jewish vice in VY． 8－14．The most probable explanation is that the Psalmist here begins a $\mathbf{r e}$－ capitulation of his thene．Verses 25,36 summarize the provocations of the peo－ ple，vv．${ }^{27}$－ 32 testify the equity of Dr－ vine visitation．The connecting thought in this verse with the prevous senteace， is the captivity of＇the sons and daughters＇ of Jerusalem．Just as＂their fathers＇had done wickediy and been carried captıve to Babylon，so the Jews of this generation had done according to ther macleanness and had been carried away prisoners to Rome．Welihausen explains of tatipes of the preceding generation who had committed the High－Priesthood into the Jands of the Asmonean Princes．But so literal an interpretation of aiderdpeg aibuey seems to as to be peedless and prosaic lumitation of the sense．

30 \＆uimwar＂Iepoverahje mal od
 iyce xuplous ii． 3 ol vid＇Iepoverah tes iple－
 Tô̂ $\theta c o \hat{0}$ ．In all these passages an epecial reference seems to be made to the pollu－ tion of the sacrafices and secred gifts by pricst who were neglectful of the Le－ vitical ceremonial．It is therefore in－ teresting to note the similarity of the phraseotogy of these passages with the Lxx．of Levit，$x \times i$ ，xxiton which deals with the ceremonial purification of the sons of Aaron，e－g．ver． 6 \％ुza loovta

 －ju Beßphcioter to tyuroptyon тaí deov̂








A good illustration of our passage is afforded by Assumpt．Mos．v．3， 4 et contammabunt inguiationibus domum servitutis stac．．．non enim sequentur veri－ tatem Dei，ned quidam altarnum inqui－ nabunt de（decoris）muneribus qua im－ ponent Domino，qui nou sant sacerdates， sed servi de servis nati．Ta jpraghéva $\tau \hat{\psi}$ dropart тoD $\theta$ eot arc equivalent to Td

 iv． 9.
 Tîe 讠⿵⺆，lee．when He sends Hus judge－ mellts yjon the nations of the earth， God＇s justice is seen and acknowledged， even ly His somits who pre in the midst of the calamities which Ite sends．How mutch more，the $P_{\text {salmist seems to argue，}}$ when He sends Hus judgements upon H1s own chosen people，must Hus sanuls praise lisi name and recognize the righteous deating of His chastusement．

2 a of borol tô beot．Cf，oni iii，10， iv．7．For the expression in the Psalter


由s dipvia dr dxakiq dv Mírequráv． For the translator＇s use of tv inakle in－ stead of the idjective drara see note an vi，$\phi$ The words very possibly contan an allation to Lot \｛cf．2 Pet，11．7），but the language of the simple is based probably upon Jer．xi． 19 the ote ditan draxow droperay roit abeadas．It was no doubt one in fumiliar use．Its occurrence here is interestug as affurding a nearly con－ temporary itiustration of the figure of speech employed by our LORD when addressing His discipies，Matt．X－ 16

 ay dóxaly．
 aùroû.
 ocúrn $\sigma$ ov.


 таıб́ía


 тクтos ${ }^{-}$
\#ött $\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ бov $\mu \in \theta^{\circ} \dot{\eta}^{\circ} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$,




a1 airci Codd, (Wellh, conj, huniv).
az à a $\theta$ eds $V, \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}$, om. dA, edd.




#### Abstract

A simalar metaphor is employed by the writer of Enoch, throughout his vision of Israel's bistory ch. laxxix. \&e.

Schotagen (Hor. Heb. 1, 97) cites a Kalbbusc saying from Tanch, ful, $10,1$. Hadran said to Rabbi Jehuda: "Great is the sheep that stands firm among eeventy wolves.' He answered: 'Great is the shepherd who rescues and protects it, but smiteth the wolves ir the presence of the Lord.  аіметч゙. 6 kplyov mîray Tilv Yiv. Cf ii. 36. Possibly a reminiscence of Gen. xvait. 15  If 80 , the prolability that the exampie of Lot underlies vw, 37, 28 iz greatly increased.

For the contents of the verse cf. Ps. ix.  atro.

30 tSod $\delta \%$ Cf. a Sam, vil. a Loov  $\nu \psi$, the only place in the LXX to 'lromm, where it occurs. It is not


found in the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T}$.

july, emphatic. God who judgeth the whole earth righteously (ver, 29) is righteons when He senieth judigements uppan Israel.

31 of f $\phi$ falual autèv. All the Mss. read aúrGr. At first sight we should hnve expected then in a gentence occurring
 and Wellhausen boldly franslates 'unsere Augen.' In support of this conjecture may be cited ix. 3, where the Mss. show
 the advantage of the reading is obvious, since it maintains the continutity of thought from the preceding verse.

The reading of the MSS, is however capable of a good explanation; 'the eyes of the Gentile look upon thy judgements, but it is we, the saints of God, who not only beheld but justitied has ways.' The aiow of this verse must then be unclerstood like the adeufy of ver. 28 to refer to the T d 18 m of ver. 27 ; or even to the sulbject of $\mathbf{v v} \mathbf{2 5}, 26$, the profane Jews.

## VIII. 36$]$

29 The LORD is worthy to be praised that judgeth all the earth in his righteousness.

30 Bchold now, O God, thou hast shown to us thy judgement in thy righteousness.

31 Our ${ }^{14}$ eyes have seen thy judgements, O God; we have ${ }_{\text {their }}^{14}$ Tx. justified thy name that is honoured for evermore.

32 For thou art the righteous God, that judgeth Israel with chastening.

33 O God, turn thy mercy upon us and have compassion upon us.

34 Gather together the dispersed of Israel with mercy and lovingkindness.

35 For thy faithfulness is with us; and when we stiffen our neck, thou dost chasten us ${ }^{13}$.

36 Forsake us not, O our God, lest the heathen should swallow us up, and there be none to deliver :



 aúrǜ elvírtoy aủroû, either dropa is a corruption of afua or the LXX. and Theodot.

an 8 tu ab. This clause may be taken in three different ways; (1) as the sammary of the foregoing sentences $\bar{z}$ 'for thou art the God of righteousness; ${ }^{3}(2)$ 온 introduction to ver. $33=$ 'Seeing that thou art $\ldots$, therefore turnt (3) as epexegetic of iancationapes = "We justified Thy name.... that Thou art the God of fighteousness.:

The last methorl sems to be most suitable to the context.


dy mavidg Cf. Ecclus. iv. 17 kal
 bee on vii. $3: 4,8$, z. 3.

34 नwdyaye नiv 8uaropà. On the gathennig tugether uf the dispersed' Israehtes see note on xi. 3, 4 ; and for diagoopá see 1x, 2 ; JWhn th. 35.

Compare for thas passage Is. xilax. 6


- Ps. cxlu. 2 tas óactajapas roi 'lopaìn


The general tenour of the passage may be illusirated by Apoc. Bar. Ixxaviii. 7 bi enum haec itu fecentis semper recordebutur vestr is yu, omn tempore polachats est pro nobis ills yui pristantiores nobss exant, quod non in perpetuam oblivisceretur, wat derelanqueret semen nostrum,
sed mesencordia multa colligeret dewno omnas qui disperss swnt.

36 गे Tioris cav. Tiotut is here used in the sense of 'faithfulness, the quatity of one who keeps faith and fulfils a promise: see xiv. I. In this sense it is not common, bat ef. Lam. iii. 33 rodidy thiotis rov, "great is thy fauthfulness." The Hebrew TIIMAK is iu the Psalter generally rendered dindea.

toranporvarev. For the phrase 'to

 roès $\quad$ paxìnous $\dot{v} \mu \dot{\omega} y$, as the llebr-) Neh.
 Jer. vii. 26, xix. 15. In all these passages the words "as your fathers' accompany the phrase and point back to 1ts Deuteronomic use, Deut. x. 16 rò

 us and neglect us.

The word inepteren is fouthl in the exx. with this its naturnl sygaification, as in

 , b) rô do in incelt



It is also used to translate quite a different worl, e-g. Deut. iii. $26^{\prime}$ the LORD was wroth with me for your sakes' (xal
 Greek word is ether an intentional modr-









## 

 ả入入отрiav，
év T仑̂ ảmootî̀al aưrov̀s ảmò kyploy tồ Ayrpwcaménoy aủroùs，
37 nail A（＇pæone deletum＇Cerda，qui conj．éal vel potius é̇（cf，Job xuv，6） vel wal）．

 A，Fator．Wellh．

40 dyerós $A$ ，Cerda（＇hber：＇＇solutus＇）．

tication of the Hebrew or has arisen from a confusion of the root with the pre－ position in ${ }^{f} \rho$
 most probatle，the Psalmist allucles to the Romans，we may compare the ex－ pression of dread，which the chicf prests and the Pharisees uttered at a later period， the Romarns wll come and take away our place end nation＇（John xi．47，48）





 ver．12．Observe $\mu$ iv in the hypothetic clause；but in ver．in over duroy states the fact．




For dre dipx ${ }^{\text {Ts }}$ s of old＇referring to God＇s earlest dealings with the chosen



The meaning＇is＂We will not swerve from following thee：we will not cease
to call uppo thee；for our confdence in Thy righteousness is complete．＇Cf．Ps． cxix． 103 ＇I have not tumed sate from thy judgements．＂
XpYord．CC．Ps，cxviii，（cxix．） 39 rd

 Sonle．The words thaty kul roit tekyons п̈jṻs here introduce a blessing．It is struking to compare them with the self－pronounced curse of the people in

 TExya humiv．Cf．Acts if． 39 v́uiv Tip
 ral ràar roîs eľ maxpdy oj̉rt．
in eúfoxio．eưorict is used here as usual of the Divine favour and good－will．See on iii． 4 ．

It is not clear whether evoria ex－ presses an assertion or a wish，whether we should sapply force（iarse）or el＇s （tarw）．The point must be determined by the reading to be adopted in the foilowing






37 And thou art our God from the beginning，and upon thee have we set our hope，O Lord．

38 And we will not depart from thee，for thy judgements are gracious towards us．

39 Upon us and upon our children be thy good pleasure for ever，O LORD our Saviour，that we be not ${ }^{16}$ moved again for ever．

40 The LORD is worthy to be praised in the mouth of his saints for the sake of his judgements，

41 And blessed art thou of the LORD，O Israel，for evermore．
PSALM IX．
A Psalm of Solomon：＇For a rebuke．＇
1 When Israel was led away captive into a strange land， because ${ }^{1}$ they departed from the LORD which redeemed them ；${ }^{1} \mathrm{Gr}$ ．


oaletopoorefo．The Ind．is to be expected after ov．The form daleuft－ oúpeỉa is in all probabality tace mere itacistr．

For the words cf Ps，ix， $27(x, 6)$ ovi
 8 ba （cxii．） 6 el тde alive ou raleutnoeral．

En Tod alivis xpóvow，Cf．xv． $4+$ ads Tol aiña xpóyov．The idiom is found in the LXX，e．g．Ex．xiv．J3；Ig，xili．20； and is frequent in the other versions，Aq． Sywin．Theod．

iv rais крipartiv autroin，tee on acconnt of the justice of his judgements．

4 kat oi u＇doypiver The usuat doxology is expanded by the blessing pronounced on tsrad，for which ef．Num． vi，z6，xxiv， 2 ；Deut，sivi． 15 ．So Ps．



For avìoymutvor．．ifrd waplow cf．Gen．
 where Procop，in Caf．Niceph．p． 299
 kuplou（Field＇s Her． 8,39 ）．

Ps IX．－Argwowt：The Psalm ap－ pears to fall easily into three parts．

I． $1-6$.
（a）1，7．In the first two verses the siluation is pur before us Israel is caplive，and dispersed．
（b） $3-6$ give the reason in a rather indireet form．

Isracl had sinnei，though secretly，and

Crod sav it，as，in fact，He sees all acts， grood or bad．

II． $7-{ }^{-15}$ ，
（a）7－10．Every man makes his own fate．Righteousness resulis in hie：ini－ quity in death to the doers
（阝）II－r5，He who has sinned， however，－綡 Igrael has done－may stull hope for pardion if he repents．

III． $16-19$.
Since，then，God is forgiving will He not have tarrcy upor Israel，in remem－ brance of His ancient choice and cove－ nant？God is their bope，may He shew them mercy．

The general character of the Psalm is hustorical and religious，not political．It deals With very much the same topics， and in mwch the same strain es the last part（v．27－4t）of Es，viii．it ad it is more xetrospective than any of the other I＇salms．

1 Whatever the probibilaty that the first Palm contains a retrospect over a long pertod of years，there can be no doult that here the Broylonim captivity is referred to．



 coptivity＇wial droukerios，Exr，vi，t6．
ty тw 무ov？．We have rendered＇he－ catse＇in preference to＂when＇$口$ s．it seems olnsious that the reacon for the captury is being given，not the moment when it took place．
 Ėv $\pi a \nu \tau i \notin \in \nu \in \iota$,

 ảขоцiaus $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$.




 $\psi v \chi \eta{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$,
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

-





 Fabre. Geiger. tara
B ілLनNemtes A. ids M .
 Aurpouptivou $=$ gruel, but as in Deut, xiii. $\$$
 deliverance from Egypt is meant here, as there.
 have taken these words together, in the belief that the passage is a reminiscence of Deut. iv. 27, deaterepeî Kúpios ípüs iv say ri town This passage is, in fact, the pius to i $\theta$ eat referred to just afterwards, as receiving its fulfilment. The strong word énodpiкты is probably taken from Jer xvi. 13 al imopritu tunas amos



Bragtopd. see on vil. 3+•
a twa Sukawejn, we on 116 . The language lace closely resembles that of
 no yes $\sigma 0 \mathrm{v}_{\text {, Cf, vil, }} 7$.
 of thigrenfeid's.

A kptrife, ii. 19, 36 , iv. 18.
8 For the omniscience of the Almighty cf, ti. 18 , viii, 8 , xiv e 5 .

The closest parallel is to be found in

 quince of thought should te noticed.
*. 5, b. God sees sinful actions,
6,4 and righteous ones:
$6, b$. where then shall man be hidden from this knowledge?


- Cf. Malt. vi. I- \& \#pootxere [ $\delta t]$



 emodiofer sod This passage find an interesting illustration in our I'salm, if, as is probable enough, sexacariwat here is used in the special sense of almsgiving: We have preferred to let the more general renlermg 'righteous acts' stand in the

2 They were cast away among every nation, from out of the inheritance which the LORD gave them: that Israel might be dispersed according to the word of God;

3 That thou mightest be justified, O God, in thy righteousness by reason of our transgressions.

4 For thou art a just Judge over all the peoples of the earth.
5 For there shall not be hidden from thy knowledge any one that doeth evil,

6 And the righteous acts of thy saints are before thee, $O$ LORD; and where shall a man be hidden from thy knowledge?

7 O God, our works are in our choice, yea, in the power of our own soul: to do either righteousness or iniquity in the works of our hands.

8 And in thy righteousness dost thou visit the sons of men.
9 Whoso doeth rightcousness layeth up for himself life at the LORD'S hand: and whoso doeth wickedness is guilty of his own soul to destroy it.


#### Abstract

text, but as \& fach, to the Jewish mind the acts included would be of two kinds pracipally: (a) ceremonial observances, (b) works of mercy. When the authors (or author) of 4 Esdras and Apoc. Baruch speak of 'a treasure of works land up with the most High' (Esdr. vi." 50), or 'the treasures wherein is gathered together the righteowsmess (iustitia, doubtless $=$ \& 2 renoouva) of those that have been justuied in the world (creatura),' or even when our Lord speaks of "laying up treasure in heaven,' the works and the treasure would alike mean to their immeriate hearers such definite acts of charity as are enurnerated in Matt. xxp. 35-46. Similar works are those of which St James speaks (iii. 143 sqq .) as is manfest from the examples he there gives, and the reward which God sends even in this Ife to the doers of them, forms the main subject of the Book of Tobit. See also v. 9 of this Psalm.  mocivy is obvious not only from other conscklerations, but more particularly from the emphatic oïr in verse $1_{1}$ and frum the fact that later scribes have conspired to substitate idemp, for $\delta$ ch, in verse 8 . On the Hebrew equivalents and Lxx. renderingz see Dr Hatch's statement in Essays on Boblual Greek, P. 49 sqq . Baxauociven in the plural occurs nane times in the LXX. (acc. 10 Tromm) and once in Eccluss ( $x$ liv, 10). . Three of the passages occur in Eizekiel. In seven cases


it is the equivalent of तקpדֶ; once (Ezz. iui, 20) it stands for P Y\%. The passage in Ecclus. is worth quoting. adA'
 irehijongas. Here ngain the idea of theor occurs in close connection with that of righteousness.

Another document as yet inedited in which the plural occurs with some frequency, is the Greek text of the Testa. ment of Abraham.

The general conclusson of the foregoing is that we seem to be justrfied in attachng the special meaning of 'works of mercy' to durauorivas in this passage.
kat moin. A reads kal ou, which is probably a reminiscence of v. 5 of $\begin{array}{r}\text { d } ~\end{array}$


7 See note on P .95 .
a tmondervt The active form read by A never occurs in the LxX. A close parallel to this is Ps, viii. (ix.) 5 , ris dorv


- The meaning of Juxuocion in this verse seems to diffier from that in v. B. The susuooing of Giod in this Jatter paissage is justice, mpartialty. That of the righteous in $\vee .9$ is prectically the same as the doresooivas of $v .6 ;$ and the contrast between $\dot{\delta}$ सocoivt ducubcoivny and $\dot{\delta}$ roeû̃ afırex will he well illustrated by such chapters as Exek vel wvii. and xxxaii., where various acts of each kind are specified.
 reff. to Esdras and Baruch. In the O. T.

 кúplov;
 ropiaus.










16 kab thueis-olkrepan om. A. Per homaceut. d $\theta$ eds.
$\operatorname{\sigma od} \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}$. $\operatorname{\sigma où~} \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{M}\left({ }^{3}\right)$.
drooridgy. dnoarigets $A$.
 sap om. A, V; supplevit Fab.
we have similar language in Prov. in. 7
 similar thought in Tob. iv. 9 (is) ab propose of alunsgiving, Ai ma $\gamma$ do $d \gamma a \theta$ iv O末баul
nape kuple is exactly the 'aped allissimum ${ }^{1}$ of Extras.
 seems to occur only once in the canonical

 Hel and the Dragon, Y. 43 we have

lv airedale by destroying his soul-because he destroys it.

10 Td $\gamma \mathbf{d \rho}$ kp $\rho$ рата. The connection Is this: the good mann reaps life, the bad man death, for God is just and dustingushes between man and man, between house and house. Cf. ii. $3^{8}$ roü bic-

kor' dopa kab oikoy. See ill. 9,10 , where the ideas are throughout similar to those here and in v. 13. We should get 2 simplex sequence of thought were we to make $v, 12$ change places with v . H , but the uss. give no support to this.

11 Xpnortiopes, not found in the
 ....xpyorefurcas. No earlier authority is quoted for it, so that the occurrence of the word may serve towards determining the date of this Version.
12 mabaphrt The subject of the verbs is God: this is determined by the closely similar passage iii. so. Cf also $x$ viii. 6.
dy duapriang, "in the case of sin."
If d\$qpahoyires etc. "by means of" here practically equivalent to "on con-


 $\phi \delta \beta \varphi$ v. 8, \# нартирía dy v $\delta \mu \varphi \bar{x}+5$. The reading of A d $\mu \mathrm{a}$ do y jo ce should be noted. This form is not found in the $\mathrm{Ex} \times$. or N. T. Shod, Be (XVII. 68) has it in the sense of 'confession' and it is not unlikely to be correct here, but is unstrpported by where suss. by djyyoplan is in all prats. butty a duplicate rendenng of \& demoגornore, cf. Job xxii. 22, Ix. \&乡yyoplay



10 For the judgments of the LORD are in righteousness according to each man and his house．

II With whom wilt thou deal graciously，O God，save with them that call upon the LORD？

12 He will cleanse the soul that hath sinned ${ }^{\text {？}}$ ，if it make con－ fession and acknowledgment？

13 For upon us and upon our faces is shame because of a！！

14 And to whom will he forgive sins，save unto them that have committed sin？

15 The righteous thou wilt bless and wilt not correct them for the sins that they have committed ：and thy kindness is toward them that sin，if so be they repent ${ }^{3}$ ．

16 And now thou art our God，and we are the people whom thou hast loved：behold and have pity，O God of Israe！， for we are thine，and remove not thy mercy from us，that they set not upon us．

17 For thou didst choose the seed of $A$ braham before all the nations，

4Fyopla，The word oceurg twice in Jols（see Index），and the verb tsayopeitw

 gurnent is：God forgives sins．To whom then？Naturally to thnse who have com－ mitted them．There is hope，then，for the simner．

18 aloxivy．The language seems to
 roû ppooẃroy，Another variation is found in Bar．ii． 7 huis ò кail toî mapplaty
 plumal of mporeway occurs he in this passage．

15 d中们as occurs again only in Ps． xvii．There it is found thrice．In $v .29$ and 45 it clearly－to allow．In v．is two rendermgs are possible，＇to let go＇and
 duapriay occurs with some frequency in the LxX．，eng．Exod．xxxii－31；Pa xxv． 19.

15 oúk fulduats．The uncompounted verb causes some little difficulty．The meaning required is thou wilt not exact the full penalty，＇＇wilt correct them with futd giment．＂In this sense it is aluo found

 （cf．ajobiv， 3 Macc．iil． 28 thy adotav rov


Elsewhere in the Ixx．it is almost syno－ nymonts with racteveive，and stands for a process which was eminently desirable， and desired by the just man．

нerupAem．Only in Hos，xi．9．The iv here again expresses the conditoon under which Goul＇s goodness vimats the sinner．See note on ter．ty Tepl duap－ tavopres to perauenele might have heen equilly well rendered tepl duaproiois метанелодеуоит．

On the importance of＇repentance＇in the doctrinal system of the Rablims see Firge Abash Iv，x5，＇R．Laezer twen jacol said，．．．Repentance and good works are as a shield aguinst punishment，＂with Taylor＇s note（Sayngry of the 7 7 mush Fatherr，$p, 84$ ）．The verse reminds tis of the distinction between＇the just＇and ＇the sinner．．，that repented＇（Luke xv．7， 10）．

16 गुरañoras For the earist cf．Isat

trabren，The subject is not expressed． The grd Phur．is here used indefinitely， reproducing the Heb．idiom．Cf．Gen．
 тнгау．

17 thperiow Only in xvii．5，The best parallel is in Ez＿$x \times, 5$ d $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ 施 hutpas


кaì ov̉ кatarav́नך єis ròv aiôva.


 aî̀va каї Ëтt.
 ante oikay $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}$. kal itt adh. A tedos.
 кúpue Cr. Ecclus. xxxuii. 13 (xxxyí. 17)
 mati gou. Is xhii. 7. Ixiii, 19. Jas, ji. 7.
 This, the readong of all mss., can only bear one meaning. Thou wilt not desist for ever-desist, that is, from selting thy name epon us, or from choosing we. Geiger 'und nicht wirst du ablassen ewiglich." Wellh, "und wirst nicht ewiglich feiern." Pick "wilt not desist for ever." But it seems exceedngly drubtrul if karaTuthonat will bear this meaning. In the LxX. it is used in several waye, e.go of God resting from his works (in Gen. i.), of any one leaving of rloing a particular lhing (but always with a participle, кaremaur hadoy etc), transitively of giving rest from enemies, etc., and of making a thing cease destroying it. * But no close parallel to the senst wanted here is to be found.

There is an obrious correction however whith has something in its favour. If we read cy for oy, we get the meaning. 'thou witit abude or rest for ever,' and it is in favour of this, that twe find Jerusalern spoken of as the nardiraugus of God (Ps. cx $\times \times 1$ ( $\mathrm{c} \times \times \times 12$. ) 14 ath7 $\frac{1}{7} \times a \tau d$ avals pov els atwha aluyor wide natouriow, ort hpert$\sigma d \mu \overline{7}$ aintp), a passage whech is on the whole very like the one before us, Compare also Ecclus xuxiii. 13 , (xxxyd. 18)

 $P$ salms again we have a similar expression,

 objection to this reading is that we wtill have to supply something in translation. Nothing is said of the place where God is to dweil. In spite of this we believe that the emendation gives a more intelligible meaning, and with more correct

Greek, than the common text.
The reading of the mss. might be illustrated by Aquila's rendering of Jer.

 The sense then would be and wilt not suffer it (thy name) to cence from among ws for ever.?
10 iv fuatinu 8 seflou may possibly represent the Hebrew infin. absolute, "verily Thou didst covenant."
invorpodif, again only in xyi. !2. See on v. re, and the title of vii. Here it has an intransitive sense, $=$ 'the turning again, ' not 'the restoration.' The 'covenant' fortas of corrse, a very common theme with O.T. writers. It occurs mort prominently perhaps in Gen. $x y_{1}, 28$ dul-

 Cf. also Jer. xxxi. (xxxytii) 32 oif kata
 auran xxxiv, (xlı.) $13 ;$ Neh. Ix. 8 .

20 The form of this verse gives a possilnlity of two renderings, It may be either a statement or a wish. But the latter seems most probable. A verse of similar form (xii, 7) is continued by means of optatives.
It is very notictable that Psalms ix. -xii, each of them contain a verse precisely simitar in form. In ix. x. xi, such a verse ends the Psalm. In xi , it is supplemented by a further prayer. In the rest of the collection the endings are dissumilar, only iv. 39 resembles this, and there again it is a wish, mota statement, being indroduced with petrate.
trô kupiov मे Bequocrimp is probabily not
 The inemulouvn of the Lord is his rightcoustess displeyed in mercy: incon macín represents $n \nmid ך$ in the orignal (see note on ver. 6 and the special significance of dusatoaing). Another instance occurs

18 And didst set thy name upon us, O LorD; and thou wilt abide ${ }^{4}$ among us for ever.

19 Of a truth thou didst covenant with our fathers concerning us: and in thee will we trust when our soul is turned unto thee.

- Or, wuill me suffer it fo censt. Gr. wivf mot rest

20 Let the mercy of the Lord be upon the house of Israel for everlasting and world without end.
in this book in $x y .15$ where see note, Conpare Deut. vi. 25 kal thenuootion




 xxviii. 17, lix. 16. So also èequorvivn is the rendering of Symmechus in Ps xexiv. (xaxv.) 11, and of Aquila in Ex. xv. 13 A Apoc. Bar. xlive $14{ }^{1}$ et a misericordia non recesserunt. ${ }^{\text {' }}$

 Dan. xii. 3 elf Tols alựas nal lits.

## Note on 7.7.

7 This is by far the most difficult verse in the Psalu. The text is uncertain, and a doubt attaches to the meening. Let us first consider the question connected with the text.

First, we find that Cerda's ms. (A) rend iEourta, and Hilgenfeld suggested the dative 家onola which is adopted by Fritzsche. The question is one where we derive little help from, MSS, No variants are necorded from Fritzsche's text hy any other of our autherties, bat the Copenhagert MS. does nut insert iotas sulbernpt or a iseript: of the Venna mis. we cannot speak from ocular inspectrons. The matter must be decided on consticteration of intnnate prooabilty.

What are the iwo renderings?
 oiq Tis $\psi$. गpwv. 'Our works are in (ilepena upon) the clatice and are subject to the authority of pur souli."
etvau is ikousta $\tau$ swde would mean, no doubt, "to be under the control, authority, jurisliction of some one.' In Acts i. 7 Christ speaks of the times and scasons which the Father hath pat ty न नi bicx Ejowala. In Acts 7.4 Peter says to
 innipue; These instances gre, it seems
to us, sufficient to show that the dative in this passage will give a sentence which is grammatical and legitimate

Now turn to the actual seading of the mss. These gite us a differetat construction.

 renderngs are possible. (a) Our deeds are in our own choice, and there is allthoraty (puswer) belonging to mis sisul to do good or evil. (B) Our deeds are by the choice (of God) and (at the same time) we have power, etc. The point to be noticed is that (a) gives the same statement in two forms, $(\beta)$ gives two apprently conflicting rtatements.

The renderng (a) joins roî monfous to Egourla: at least this is the most natural though not the only way of treating the words. This construction is quite possible. We find it e, g. in Luke $x .39$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ Eovatay poü

Objections which may be brought against the translation are ( 1 ) it leaves the words iv dedoyin somewhat ohseure. as being without definition or limitation: and (2) it gives tive coordinate clauses with the guxiliary verb understood in each; which is harsh

Is the rendering ( $\beta$ ) possible? Can the simple words ey dirionis mean 'dependent on God's choice'-predestined? In favour of thas translation is the fact that in Pirge Aboth 111. 24 (ed. Taylor, P. 73) we have the same paradox very similarly expressed. 'Everything is foreseen i and freewill is given. And the world is judged by grace: snd everything is according to work.' It will, we think, also appear that the use of the word exגont elsewhere in this book, and in N.Tap points in the same direction. ikXoy is not a txx. word at all. It occurs in Aquala, Isa xxir. $\%$, once in Symm. Isa, xuxvif. 34 and once in Theod.a ibid., each time meaning 'the choicest,' but Tromm does not quote it It oecurs
i. భ̈mnoc tal cadomán.







once more in this book, xviii. 6 eir in inkpay $\dot{\epsilon} \times \lambda=\gamma \hat{9} \%$, where the reference can only he to God's choice, whether the words mean 'For the day which Good shall choose' or "for the dny when God chooses 1srael.' In N.T. it is found seven times, and in each case it is the Divine choice not the humn choice that is atluded to. Four of the seven passages are in the Epistle to the Romans, the work of one who had been a Pharisee of the Pharisees and whose evidence is therefore of importance in this comnection, Rom, ix.

 fetroern. Slmilarly in xi. 7 and 28 God's inतomin of 1srael is referred to. In I


 gives the weil-known phrase areīon $1 k$ خoyins. It is, then, a word applied to God's choosing or predestination, and to that only, in the N.T. On the other sule we have the fact that where the verb ixNeyoune is used in the $1 \times x$. it applies indifferently to man's choice or God's (e.g. a Sam, xxiv, it and I Chr.
 does not seem very convincing against the evidence adduced above from N.T. The one objection which, to our mind, really has force lies in the extremely unemphatic, cursory way in which the doctrine would be stated, coupled with the doubt whether the words is tixhopi musf not of necessity bave been so defined as to prevent the possibility of their being joined to dsouvia.
It may be well however to cite some passages from Jewish literature which bear on the question of free will.
Jos, B. 7. II. viii. 14 says ゆаркаuîo....













 кaxias. Ecclus xt. 11-20 M力 elf py







 өpura dy xeel toû roxigeuros aitovs,

The passages from Josephus express exactly the view of the words quoted from Prrge Abotk, and also that which our rendering of the verse would give. They go therefore 10 increase the probablity that this rendering is the correct one.
Ps. X.-Argument.
Here ngain a threefold division of the Psalm is obvious. The fifih verse stands by itself, but may be taken to lead over from what precedes to what follows.
Verses $\mathrm{I}-4$. Chastening is a true blessing: and God will not alway be chıding.
5. This is the teaching of the written Law, and this is the meaning of God's care for men.
6-8. At present the chastening is heavy upon Inrael, but the day of gladness will come, and all will acknowledge at once the justice and mercy of God.
9. May that day of gladness come to us.

Titte. It is probably the hopeful tone

## PSALM X.

## A Hymen of Solomon.

1 Blessed is the man whom the LORD remembereth with reproving: and he is fenced about' from the way of evil by ' ${ }^{\text {Or }}$. affliction, that he may be cleansed from sin, lest he abound turmel therein:
${ }^{9}$ Or, to the ond thate it be $\begin{gathered}\text { ath }\end{gathered}$
of the thard diviston of the Psalm that has led the (later) urter osf these tilles in call it a $\| y \mathrm{n}$.

1 The words elosely resembite twa pansarges in O.T., Jol, v 17 дакdipos se

 Faidelvip, kiple. Cf, Frov, 131, 11, Iz,

The blissedness of afliction is the subject of ii. xiii. 6 squq. and xiv. Is not this a theme singularly suitable to a time when resistance to the Roman power was in constant contemplatum? The pious Phansee recognised it as a higher taly to accept the troubles of lins Jut as comeng by Disme appontment nat wothtan' theteiber for has gompl: the Zealat praty, though not regarided ly him with Latietl and albhortence as were the that. duce en, have, et, in his mam!, not chosen this leetter part. They are not ot irrope? partes seubelan, but still they are not al «лартшлаб.
theyxos only here and in ix. 7id. t. Aey防, the seading of Par. in this place, is very nearly at common in the $2 x \mathrm{x}$. as Alyxas.
druchion Fritasche had thought of substituting dru入dor, but on second thoughter refrained from correcting what was a translator's error. He does not explain further, of the renderings given ahove 'the is turned mway from' finds fnvour with Cerda, who rendera "deviavit.' His note is ingenious: he compares the foshion of turning ef person round and round in order to confuse him and make hum forget a path. Thls, he says, was done to a bride in Roman times, when she left her father's house. What muat really decide the question, however, is the evidence of the $2 \times x$. The worl occurs a good many times and is used in several senses. Those which favour Cerde's interpretation are the fulluwing: Exod, xitit, $18{ }^{1}$ God led the



Twaldevger airtiu-a cirong instance, as the Wha of chastemng is connected wab it. There may be passhlly one or two stheres uheh have estaped us. ()n the other hand, ly far the communest meanangi of the wonls are
a. to surround, usually in a hostile manner, like besiegers, but sometimes lig way of defence, e.g. Pa, zuxi. (xxyii.)

 diAhtucue autav.
B. to go round, as Jos. vi. 7 Kuri ©-


The N.T. uses of the word all come uncier one of these two hearls, As far as numbers go then, the passnges in which mux $\lambda$ d $w=$ to encompass are much the strongest, but the piarallel in Denteronomy is extremely suggestive, and the idea of 'tuming aside by means of a scourge' entauls no mixture of metaphor. The snme cannot be said of encompassing or fencing about with a gcourge.'

кalaplotinvan The succession of moods gives a hardly tolerable construction,
 substitute ikataploty entanls a mother violent altering of letters. nal magapootigetas would be nearer to the Mss. The omission of kal may be defemuled on the ground of the similarity existing hetween kal and kaO. The epexegetic Inf, is quite in chatacter with the Greek of thas hork. We prefer enther of these to
 duce aty alieratom into our text.
$\pi$ ngoivas. If thes readring be acceptud that of $A\left(\pi \lambda \eta\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { mata }\end{array}\right)\right.$ may lie attruilted to Hacism, and in any cate Hhly.'s conjecture is less satiofactury thats the lext given here. ד $\lambda$ phluw is thed iniranst. tively quite often in the $\mathrm{E} \times \mathrm{X}_{\text {. }}$, and especially often does the word accur in connection with didexfan, dyoplat or dpepTlas: cf. Prayer of Manass. 9 drithoway al ivoulat mov, túpee.

If the reading $\boldsymbol{- \lambda \pi f ( \hat { u } v a t}$ must be chnnged








 aiềa,






- airtom. Cerdr aúrôy mendose.
- botor daúpuos V, P, M, om. d A, K. кpluactev, ita codd omisso ti, quod ab Hilg. quen sequitur Fr. suppletur.
at all, surely 起 would be better to read








2 'A varntary submissiun to God's chastering is the true way to realising the uses of it. The language here is mo:
 fiotriyas (cf. Prov, xix. 29), and the Greek recails the IXX. version of 'I am ready to halt." Ps. xxxvil. (wxxvii.) 18 ept elt
 clause of the verse compare iif. 40, xave 1 , xvi. 15 .

8 The affliction sent will not be so severe as to force the righteous into sin, cf. $\vee, 8$.

Gpioigen Cf Prov, ii. 6 tre bpforeuty
 aivou. The thought is not palike that of [ Cor. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}} 13^{4}$ "God is laithful, who will not suffer you to be tempted mbove that ye are able?

- The quallfying if dingefe is charactenstic of these shorter rel grous psalms, vi. \%, xyy, t, zv. 3 .


6. The inner connection and meaning of this verse are not obvious at first sight. It is especially the second clause which causes difficulty. 'The Lord, it has just been said, 'will have mercy on His servants.' This is the fact to which the law of the everlastang covenant bears winess, The Lord will yet choose Israel. Ths is plain enough: with regard to the eccond half ( $\eta$ Mapr.- (Trivkomp̂) we are at laberty to take it enther as ageparate statement, or as explanatory of $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{p} \rho \mathrm{pr}$. in the line before This latter rendering we prefer. The verse may then be pars: phrased after this sort, 'The ultituate purpose of God's constant watching over (and yisitation of men is that he may test and have mercy upon His servante, and to this the law of the Eterral Covenant bears witness.'
raprupla. The use of this form as opposed to a apropoco may possibly supply something towards determining the

2 He that prepareth his back for stripes shall be cleansed: for the LORD is gracious unto such as patiently abide chastening.

3 For he will make straight the ways of the righteous: and will not pervert them by his chastening.

4 And the mercy of the Lord is upon them that love him in truth: and the LORD will remember his servants in mercy.

5 The testimony is in the law of the everlasting covenant: the testimony of the LORD is over the ways of men, when he visiteth ${ }^{3}$ them.

6 Righteous and holy is our LokD in his judgments for aver: and : and Israel shal praise the name of the LORD in gladness, seentr
7 The saints also shall give thanks in the assembly of the people: and God will have mercy upon the needy in the day of gladness of Israel.

8 For gracious and merciful is God for ever; and the congregations of Israel shall glorify the name of the LORD.
date of this Version. According to Tromm, it occurs six times in the LXX. (Gen., Ps., Prov ${ }_{4}$ Strach, and \& Mace.), whereas $\mu$ apripiov is used well over $1 \infty$ times.

In the N.T. on the other hand the use of papruple considerably exceeds that of papropion, It is an especually Johannine word, nccurring 14 tinnes in the Gospel, 7 times in the Epistles and 7 times in the Apocalypse. The only passage in the LXX. resembling this is in Ps. zviil, (xix.) 8 गे 上apruple кuplou тeath

The later versions often use raprupia where the LXX, hat mapripuew, e. g. For xcii. (xciii.) 5, LXX, Td mapropti gov= Sym. al mapruplat aov, exvili, (cxix.) 15,





 suisti ei testamentum reternum et dixisti ei ut non umquam derelinqueres semen eius.
tv interonni, 'overxight ${ }^{3}$ or 'visitation' are the two possible renderings here. In both LXX, and N.T. the latter meaning is the commoner. In the first, sense it occurs several times in Numbers, e.g. iv,
 ence in essential meaning is not very great here. God oversees the ways of
men and this implies some kind of 'visitation' according to their works. In ai. 2, 7 the rendering 'visitation' is undoubtedly the correct one

- Cf. Ps cxviii. (cxix.) 142 Td map-


For Bhavet sal Betot zúptor ef. Ps. cxiv.


7 We take these verses to apply to a somew hat tagre and distant fature. The edqpoofry'I Irpaty $\lambda$ is the 'day of gladness' for Isracl to which all the later Jews looked forward. See for the expression,
 eippoarívo tou Edyous oov.





drextjoia occura bere oniy in the Pralms. No technical sense attaches to
 oiç dolot.
nTw $\mathrm{X}^{\text {ois }}$ theoly, only of men in the LXX.

For the reference to 'the poor' $\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}$, 2, 13; xv. 2; xvili, 3-
B ouvayoy任 recurs xyin. $28,48,50$ In the two former places it mingly means 'asssemblies, " 'gutherings.' In xvif. 50 it $=$ the gathering tugether of the tribes out of the dispersion. Here it in purely general.

##  alúnion．

## r－TAI CAдOMAN ele TPOCдOKían．

XI．इadாicate én इi $\omega$ in ed canmiril chmaclac áyíny，







－cis ${ }^{\text {äras }} \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}$ ．
－See on ix．20．For rovi ruploy गt rwripla cf，iti． 9 ．
sìpporivqv．Cf．Is，xxxv．so tidpo－
 Baruch iv． 29 txasen vuiv Tinv aldmov


The rearling of the Mss．बw申portryy is thadmissible，and must be explained as an＇insigne mendum＇（Cerda）in the archetype of our m5S．ow中porin in the LxX，Beems only to occus in a Mace，iv． 37 and 4 Mace．i． 31 （ow中pooinv tarir
 only Acts $x \times v i, 25$ and I Tmm．ií，9，IS． We should not expect to find it coupled with alcupnes in a doxology．

Ps．XI．－Argancent．
The Return of the Dispersed ones，
$t, y$ ．The news announced．
3．Call to Jerusalem．
4－7 The return described．
8．Jerusalem bidden to rejoice in

## God．

9．A prayer for the speedy realisa－ tion of these hopes．

For a discussion of the relation of this Psalm to the conclusion of the Book of Barueh，see Introd．P．Ixviil．

The sulject of the Psalm－the restora－ tion of Israel－is one of great interest， and this particular document occupies a middle posstion between two forms of treating it．This is not the place for an exhaustive essay upoo the development of the idea．We can only point here to several documents which represent differ－ ent stages of it．Amos（ix．）the two parts of the Book of Isaiah，Zephaniah （iii．），Jeremiah，the Deuteronomist，Eze－
kiel，Haggai are among those who have spoken most clearly on the subject，and among them all it is of cotmse，the ＇second Isaiah＇who slands preemi－ nent

These seers all of them speak of a dis－ persion or esptivity，either generally or in certan defnite regions（as ls．xi．），which is to the gathercil agats．The casture tribes are not thought of as being col－ lected together in any one place．

A further class of writtngs stall deals in general terms and copies the old mo－ dels，bat adds cert uns supermatural delails To this belong our Parlm and the and part of Baruch．

Next，we find certain documents whech presuppose a propular belief that the ten （or nime and a half）tribes would all reo－ turn together from some distant land where they lived as a well－defined and independent community．Such are 4 Esdras xili．40－50，Apoc．Baruch Ixxvi1． 19 etc．（for the present situation of the of tribes），Commodianus，／nstruct，It．i．in Carmen Apologetruns 934 sqq．，Silh， Orice 11．170，Ethrense Confict of 41 Matthew（Malan，Confirts of the Joly Apostles，p．45）［A slight error of the translator has long ohsoured the meaning of this very important passage．Instend of＇nine and \＆half orders tof angels？＇ we should read＇nine and a half tribes＇． Wright，Cat．MSS．Eth．Brit．Mus．Cod， 390 is $\mathrm{P}, 300$ ，Zotenberg，Catal．MSS． Aeth．Pari Cod．146，no． 6.

For mediaeval Jewish developments of this last belief，where less smphasis is land on the restoration than on the glory

9 Let the saivation of the Lord be upon the house of Israel unto everlasting joy".

## A Psalm of Solomon: 'Unto expectation.'

I Blow ye the trumpet in Sion, yea the holy trumpet of Jubilee.

2 Proclaim ye in Jerusalem with the voice of him that bringeth good tidings, that ${ }^{1}$ God hath had mercy upon Israel: ${ }^{1}$ Or, for he hath visited them.

3 Stand up on high, O Jerusalem : and behold thy children gathered from the East and the West together by the Lord.
and prosperity of the seprarated tribes, see Eisenmenger, Enddadies \%wienthum 11. cap. $x$. The passages there quoted from of cettain "Book of Eldad the Danthe ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ (printed at Venice in IS44 along with a 'Chronicle of Muses') are particulatly instractive. The whe of thas book ts suggestive of older relationships.

The lmes in Commodian's Carm. Apol. afford so close \& paraltel to the words of our Padm in some cases that we may as well quote them here in $\%$ connected form.

95\%. Hic erit populus, qui nune est extra repostus;

Siccato firmo repetet in lerra Judiea:

Cum ipsis et Domnus veniet implere promissa,

Qui per totum iter exsultant Deo prasente.

Omnar virescunt ante illos, omnia gaudent,

Excipere sanctos ipsa creaturz læ. titur:
Omni loco fontes exsurgunt ese parali,
Qua gradutur popuius Sumani cum terrore ceelesti.

Umbram illus faciunt nuber, ne vexentur as sole,

Et te fatigentut, substernunt se monles et ipss;

Premittitur enim ante illos angelus Alti,

Qui ducatum cis pacificum preastet cundo

If Commodian is quoting ether of our two docuraents here it is almost certainly Baruch, and not the Pasalm, espectally as in 1. 367 he quotes Bar, iil. 36,37 . But at is plain from the bines that precede
those quoted pbove, that be had some other Apocryphal source by him as well.
1 adiriyy mprocixe dyiny. onmarla is in Lev, Xxv. 10 etc the LXX. rendering of לait. In Numb xxi. 6 we have the phrase ai odincryer Tŵy onueotwr, cf. 3 Chr, suii. 12; I Mace. vii. 45. In jos, vi. 7 the same Hebrew word is rendered by lepdis, itrred odiloryas lepds. The juxtaposition of these expressions suggests the question whether the two
 be both equivalents of one word ל7pt in the Helsew. See on viii. 11.

In any case the sense is obvious 'the great year of Jubtlee for Israel has come.'

Joel ii. I, 'Blow ye the trumpet in Zion and sound an alarm (knpetsare) in any holy mountain' is the original of the verse.

2 中aviv, the accus, alter mpisate, is peculior. It is probably a literal reproduction of the Hebrew phrase 3 קוהעב, cf. Ex. xxuvi, 6, Exr. i. 1, x, 7 .
 ayvenistuenos $\Sigma_{\text {t }}$ tw, and Nahum io 15 .

Cf. 1s. 1Li. 7 , in sodey aíaryenifouizoo

(Trumorit, see on X. 5. This trurkont is such an one as Zncharias means when in Luc. I. 68 he says 'God hath virated and redeemed H is people ${ }^{\text {en }}$ (also i. 78 ).


 3in respectu quo respictet illos Dominus in consummatione exitus dierum.
\$Mporsy ... 'Ioparì. Hilgenfeld corrects iv to tod quoing Istil. xliv. 23 oัт thetpey d $\theta$ eds тoy 'Irpaǹ, As the verb
 ék níconn makpó日en ouvíyayev avícoùs on $\theta$ gós.
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غ́тоípa,

 ба入ìи,



 каì тоцךроиิ,
 каi далоүсне чеудA каi дб́лra.
© дромо A. ієкіртпбан P.
e diyati 1', M.



#### Abstract

dies is not elsewhere found with the prep, iv, we must suppose that dr either represents the translator's attempt to  or has carelessly been substituted for tom, the eye of the scribe passing on to $e v+\hat{\delta}$ \{riakorit, or beng confuted by the last syllable of ninengev. If to be retained, We must explain the words as = bad compassion in respect of " or "among  Winery's note in Gram. of N,T: Gr. PL it. ste rextio 3,

8 sloáaly, ce. ii. 8.  of the dative is very peculiar. We should expect either es euxpootion (cf, x, y) or  apparently in the LXX. eng. 2 Chron. xx .   eưppootwhr. Perhaps oar text is defecfive: the Till may be the repetition of the


last syllable of fexoyras, and dy may have fallen out before ot $\phi \rho$.

For the sense cf. Isai, ]xi. 7 ai evipplo-


8 els ópadeopoiv, cf, Isai. xIv. 2 op m


 a mistranslation.

- donlorav, cl. 5 Esdr. \&. 30 "propter cestus folio\% arborum vas tex."
For akiápe cf. Job ail. 17 axadjoutas
 kail nhûmes àypoî.
7 fóhoy ineslos. Only here and Baruch. But cf. Enoch, c. 24 'fragrant trees, a sign of Divine blessing.
8 The disrobing of Jerusalem (ii. 33) has been already casually referred to. Baruch (see above) seems to unite these two passages.
 usually in these Psalrus means the sang-

4 From the North they come in the gladness of their God: from the islands afar off hath God gathered them.

5 Lofty mountains did he make low: yea even unto the plain before them.

6 The hills fled before their entering in, the woods gave them shelter as they passed by.

7 Every tree of sweet savour did God make to spring up before them: that Israel might pass by in the day when the glory of their God shall visit them.

8 Put on, O Jerusalem, the garments of thy glory: make ready thine holy apparel, for God hath spoken comfortably unto Israel, world without end.

9 The Lord perform that which he hath spoken concerning Israel and concerning Jerusalem.

The LORD raise up Israel in the name of his glory.
The mercy of the LORD be upon Israel, world without end.

## PSALM XII.

## Of Solomon: 'Concerning the tongue of the wicked.'

I O Lord, save my soul from the wicked and evil man: from the tongue that is wicked and lying, and that speaketh false and deceitful words.
tified place vii. 2, viii. 4. dyıaombs (xvii. 33) the process of sanctification. Here 'holiness' is probably a just rendering, 'the robe that is a sign of thy holiness.
dyla $\sigma$ ToA $\dot{\eta}$ is the regular expression for the priest's robe in Exodus.
add $\eta \sigma \sigma v$ dyabbv 'Iбparin. Generally with a preposition e.g. Num. x. 29 кú-

 lxxxiv. (lxxxv.) 9. Jer. xxxix. (xxxii.) 42


- Iml...lv. We believe that no distinction of meaning between these prepositions can be maintained here. Cf. v. 21 .
 his name to dwell among them' seems to be the meaning of this phrase. Cf. vii. 5 .
 Is. Ixiii. 14; Dan. Add. iii. 29.


## Ps. XII.-Argument.

$1-4^{2}$. A Prayer for protection against the slanderers. Their ways are described.
$4^{\text {b }}$-8. May they reap that they have deserved, while the peaceable and Godfearing inherit the promises.

The text of this Psalm presents some extremely difficult problems. We have succeeded, we think, in presenting a coherent whole, but we cannot pretend that we are entirely satisfied with our restorations.
The Psalmist, or some friend of his, has evidently suffered at the hands of some accuser, as did the son of Sirach at an earlier time. It is natural enough to suppose that this accuser was a Sadducee, and that he had brought ruin and perhaps death on some prominent Pharisee by laying information against him at the court. Further than this we cannot undertake to go.
1 The thought and form of this verse as well as of $\mathbf{v .} 2$ is taken from Ps. cxix. (cxx.). In v. 2 of that, we have Kúpef,
 kal d $\pi \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\lambda} \omega \sigma \sigma \eta s$ סo入las. Further on in v. 4 the 'coals of fire' are connected with the deceitful tongue, and in $\mathbf{v} .5$ the words
 two coincidences of vocabulary with our Psalm. The last resemblance we shall
 with $\ell \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \varphi v_{0}+$ here.

#  тоиррой. 



 ро́ $\boldsymbol{\circ}$ v,
$2{ }^{*} \lambda \lambda \varphi \mathrm{P}$.
6 rapotiac conj. Hilg. ita Wellh.
 $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Fr}$.
 nos conj.


#### Abstract

  катарӓfas, but nowhere else in Lxx . See on v. 3. of wioupljw occurs in Eeclus. xxi. 28.  impossuble, though we have not adopted the arrangement, that these words ought to be joined to the preceding verse, "the tongue that speakelh decetuftly for the accomplishment of perversity. So Wellhausen, 'Zunge die redet... mot ithrer Verdrehungskunst.' We have in this particular instasce retained the punctuation of earlier editors. For other clnuses be. ginning with \&t, wee iv. 7, vi. §4 vili. 9 etc. and $v .5$ of thas psalu. Graotpoфt only occurs (in LXX.) in  and nowhere in N.T. $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \mathrm{p}$ кup. The comparison of the tongute to fire is a commomplace of most literatures. See the commentators on  dud́rteiv ral th Y larly a fragaent of Eurpudes (Ino 6, ap. Stob, Flor, xli. 1). Cf. Prov, xvi. 27 dTl тต̂ zaurov Xet$\lambda \in \omega \overline{0}$ Oqauples kudáp币\%. For this metaphor ef. Joel    кегd $\mu \mathrm{p}$.

2, ") mapourta av่rovi, These words we join on to the preceding verse. The division adopted by earlier editors is perfectly jusifiable, but we venture to think that our use of ripookia gives mose point to that word. The vicinity of the evil man is like that of fire to a threshingfloor, destructive and dangerous to the


last degrec, and the three clausea that follow expand the statement in dafferent ways Each of them is introduced hy what might be either an optative or inhnitive, but we venture to thank that the infinitive gives by far the best sense here. It is to be taken ope epexegetical of the preceding versc.

A similar doubt as to the mood of varoous veribe exists in several places in these Psalms, notably in xvil, 26, 27. xvirt. 5ing. But in passages such as $\pi v$. T) 14 the infinative is underitsod.

Cf. also it. 40 iv. 8 t , V. It, $\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}$, ti . 34. Imperatives and oplatives which are undoubted occur $1 v, 23,16$, and in thes Psalm (xii.) 4,6 , xvii. $5 I_{\text {, }} \times$ vati. 6 , but it is notucentle that here the nommative is carefully supplied.

Now what sense does the text of earlies editore altribute to v. $3^{3}$. Cierda rent ders thus "Vicinis eius implebit donos in lingua falsa, ut percutiat arbores inflammante leetitia transgressoris. 4. Confunde domos iniquas etc."

Fritzsche by his panctuation joins the
 tives which precede it, and this arrangement we hive, with some hesitation, adopted. Psobalbly his translation would have been something of ths kind. "Let his vicinity fill houses with his false tongue. Let it cut down the trees which his wicked delight setteth on fire. Let it confound the houser of transgressory in strife with slanderous lips.'

We submit that this is confused and inadequate, that farintou gives no tolemable sense, and that the words expp. $\phi \lambda \sigma y$. тapms.are also extremely strained: \&ixporory nol occarring elsewhere in this book save in a good sense. We

2 The words of the tongue of the evil man are for the accomplishment of frowardness : even as fire in a threshing-floor, that burneth up the straw thereof, so is his sojourning among men:

3 That he may set fire to houses with his lying tongue, and cut down the trees of gladness with the flame of his wicked' ${ }^{\text {Gir. of }}$ tongue,
do not doubt that Hilgenfeld's emenda tion ${ }^{\prime} \mu \pi$ गर्तुणe is correct. It is simple, for tt only alters one letter, substituting one liquid soand for another ; and seems obvous, for it cartess on the metaphar of the preceding verse, and ranges far belter with the following verbs, which are both of a ' hostile' sense.
IIis other conjecture, however, mapouia for mapoukia which Wellhausen aderts ('scme Lust '), is no improvement on the present text.

Further, we consivier it almost certhin that the words Wivjpa sipporivvs form one expression and that they signify the
 i.e. the saints The exact expression does not occur in the lxX., but ef. Ez.
 Tpuspr. The proximity of the wicked man tends to cut down and burn upaliese trees of gladness-the saints of God.

But, if we join the two words detyppa eviфp, closely logether, the two that
 viliout a visible governmg roun. It would be possible to translate-and perhaps Fire meanis thas-' the trees of whehcl inllammatory joy,' but this explanation does not cummend itself to us. Sio rendened, the clause would correspond to what follow buk not to what precerles.

An alteration in the text appears to us inevitable, and there are two which are more possible than the rest: (a) we may read raporotoven (anal c having dropped oul before of $y^{t} x^{\prime a}$ ) and render 'trees of gladness which wet on fire the wicked:"
( $\beta$ ) we may suppose that the onginal subject of фरoy. Tapay, has accidentally disappeared, and that that subject was gतcoross. This is, as a matter of fact, what we do adept: the rendering of (a) is so very like nonsense that we are umwillug to attribute it to the writer ; while on the other hand 4 copyist mey easily have been induced, by the accurrente of four genituves in a ruw, autpp. phoy. Tapar. $\gamma \lambda$ dior., and by the frequent recur-
rence of $\gamma \lambda$ लिबsa in various parts of the Esalm, to suspect that the last word in the line had crept in by mistake, and to cut it out.

Hilgenfeld's conjecture phoyl shlous is I very ingenious one. It contains almost alt the elements of $\phi$ corijourms, and has only one theng against it-that it unports a new word, though by no means an uncommon one, into the vocabulary of our Pssalmist,

We are by no means sure that the clause sorxew- $\psi t$ tepois forms part of the same sentence with the above. It is a little difficult at first to see why the mapdrouns should confound the tapaybpors oknout and accordingly Welthausen proposes to read raparoposs ('heirntucklsch'): but, if it is to be joined to v .3, the sense may very well be that the slenderer will not scruple to set thuse of hin own party by the cars ( $t>\pi 0 \lambda d \mu \varphi)$, and that there is no real thonour among thieves.'
waporich. The word occurs again in these Psalms, xvii. 19, where it seems undsubledly to bear the meaning of 'a body of sojourness ${ }^{1}$ (see is loc.). In the lxx. it is fairly common, and is used in several ways of the househohl of Jacub, of the drodlimpoplace of the wicked, zand of a sopours in any sppt. In N.T. it twice meants sojourn. Any of these senses will out our passage: that which we have adopted is agreeable to the litemi meaning of the word.

Etcius xxi, 28 has a very smatar
 quevpljw mal iv raponsifet manotpreral.
dнтрйоat olkoun. That a verb of hostale sense is required here is suggested by



 kavout Tbiss.

 The ideas of cutting down and burning occur logether in Math. iif. to devopoy...






 aiciva,





4 mapard $\quad$ ath conj. Wellh.

 кúprov, roppor conje. Cerda.
e airak. elscínak conj. Hilg.


 $x \mathrm{x} .6$.

- oxopmotikin. Cf, iv. 21.
s. mîp фגoyós. 5 times in t.xx. e.g. Is. lxvie 15 , also 2 Thess. i. 8 cp muph




Orac. Sıb. iti. 760 wט̇Ter rel एupl $\phi \lambda e^{-}$ Eeuer xaderdy mevor dyopuin.
 mong, where 婓 might have been expected; but the sense of eeparation is emphasized.
- фulájan, CE. Pso Ixxz\%, (Ixxxvi)

 wio nal torixtow. Compare the contrast


тevoints sipiviv, cf. Jage ini. 18 हो की


7 Welthatsen conjecturto that this verse should change places with the fol lowing one on the analogy of I'salms ix. xi.


 Cf. also Is. xic. 8.
© d-udowre. Apparently bere aқa,n we have an intimation that the wicked have no part in 'the life' to come. See on ill, xiv. 6. The words of this curse
 oov, and Ps. |xvii. (Inviti.) 3 isbdorero at

 ii. 8.
 savouring more of N.T. phraseolagy.

It doses not oceur in the $1 \mathrm{XX}_{\mathrm{y}}$, but ef.
 Movaévoy tas éray pelias (see Westeolt ad loc.). Also xi. 9 ( t 3 ) and Cal, iii. 89




Have we not here the first instance in extant Jewish literalure where the expression 'the promises of the Lord' sums up the essurances of the Messianic Redemption?

4 And put to confusion the houses of the wicked by kindling strife with slanderous lips.

Let God remove far from the innocent the lips of the wicked by bringing them to want: and let the bones of slanderers be scattered far from them that fear the LORD.
5 Let the slanderous tongue perish from among the saints in flaming fire.

6 The LORD preserve the quiet soul that hateth the unrighteous: and the LORD direct the man that worketh peace in his house.

7 The salvation of the Lokd be upon Israel his servant for ever:
8 And let the sinners be destroyed from before the face of the LORD together: and let the saints of the Lord inherit the promises of the LORD.

## PSALM XIII.

## A Psalm of Solomon: 'To comfort the righteous.'

I The right hand of the LokD overshadowed me: the right hand of the LORD spared us.

## Ps. XIII.-Argument.

1-3. The righteons were prestrved when the snners were blan by a sudden visilation: fur lus mercy they return thanks
4-8. The ungodly prince was in fear. For tronble nueans wery different thengs to the ungodly and to the righteous. 9-51. Ther ultimate fales, too, differ ustely. Life is in store for one, destractinn for the other.
In this I'salin we come back to the now lamiliar contrist between the sicuion and duaprotiol, or, as we prefer to put it, belween Pharisee and sarducee. 1hnt here a new feature is introduced. Besides the mention of the sumers in general terms we have one particular representative singled out- $\delta$ dare $\beta$ 施.

If this reading be the fight one-and there seems no sulficient reason to douldt it-it is satural to suppose that mome prince or great man among the 'sinners" is meant, in other words some leader of the Sadducean party. Can we fix tpon any individual who seems to be pointed at more than another? The Psalm affords us certain data. The simers have been attacked by some divine visuation,
which is descnbed as sword, famine, pestilence and noisome beasts-in the phraseotugy of Ezehel. Now these words are subceptuble etther of a bieral interpretation-in which case any closer approximation to dating the document is out of the question-or of a metaphorical one. And If this latter be the case then It is natural to fix, as for example Wellhauseu has fixed, upon the Roman invasou th the event here ailuded to. If, again, the Romans are intended by the ' noisome beasts' then one of the two Asmonean princes, whose contest brought on their invasion, may well be d doepips. And of the two Aristobulus, whose supporters shat themseives up in the Temple and for three months delied the armates of lompey, is more obvously appropmale than lis brother and rival, Junn Iyrcanus. There is little local colour in the Psalm, and its chief object seems to be to point out that, though lhansee and Sadducce had alike borne the bruat of the visitation in Farying degrees, whatever it was, yet, in the tnae of the Phansee, all would work together for good, whle for the Sadducee the result would be death here and amihilation hereafter.
 $\mu$ н́vs,
















- кататтрофй V, K, P, M. karappoф’’ $\mathbf{A}$ ged Cede ubique "subutersio.'

The opening verses indicate that the 'righteous' had been shielded from the therese shock of the visitation, and it may be noted that the priestly orderirlentucal with the Sadducee-had been those who had suffered most in the Pompear invasion.

1 Hulgenfeld cites in illustration Wisd.








 preston, suggesting a translator's error. Woes not Aandrov='pestulence' (777)? And should 1 not the word 'sinners' open the next clause? 'As for sinners-evil beasts ran upon them." This gives print to pera rây äzpronisy in vert. 4. In this explanation we find that Wellhausen has anticipated us.

2, The hist of plagues here is taken from such passages as Eve xiv, is where
 yip explains the bia ropsusisemps. CC. Lev.


 al Anpla trompe. Ecclus, xl. 9 Ázrares




Apia tempo is a common expression in the Lxx. of Ezekiel. Cf. Lev, xxvi. 6.


 Minds тоयibas.
4 doterif. There is no variant in the Mss. (though we should remember that in many minuscule hands the initial क- and eu- are almost indistinguthable), tut the word is $\bar{\alpha}$ ak $\lambda$ deyomeyoy in the Look. Partly on this account, perhaps, but mainly, no doubt, owing to the difficuties which the retention of it causes, Wellhausen alters it to coffin' the porous man.' There is a certam plausibility in this reading. There is a plan allusion in the wording and matter of this and the next verse to the story of Lot: the words prate बuprapadn $\theta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ occur only

 is need to describe the fate of the cites of the plan. Gen. six. 99 t $k=\begin{gathered}\text { riareine }\end{gathered}$
 late reading in \& Pet. ii. 6 kersorpop pi

2 The arm of the LORD saved us from the sword that passed through : from famine and from the plague of the sinners.

3 Noisome beasts ran upon them: with their teeth they rent the flesh of them, and with their jaws they brake their bones in pieces; and out of all these things did the LORD save us.

4 The ungodly man ${ }^{1}$ was troubled because of his transgres- ${ }^{1}$ Comj 7 he sions: lest he should be taken along with the sinners.

5 For fearful is the overthrow of the sinner: and of all these things nothing shall touch the righteous.

6 For the chastening of the rightcous which have sinned ignorantly, and the overthrow of the sinners are not alike.

7 The righteous is chactened secretly ${ }^{2}$; that the sinner may ${ }^{2}$ Shr, ${ }^{2}$, not rejoice over the righteous.

Karkpavey. Now, it may be asked, can we suppose that the writer would compare Lot to an dozpins? If mot tevereft far more appropriate to his position?

It is a strong point too that the wowl rapartunare is only used in thus book of the sins of the rifhloous. See iii. 8 , xiti. 9 , which are the only other places where the ward occurs.

On the other hand, compare the following coincidences with the text of Jolr in this and the following verses, Job sv.







It is dufficult to find 2 historical place for the eivepijg, but in other respects the reading gives a far smoother sense. It would, however, be against our critical principles to alter a word which gives an adequate sense and is supported by all MS, evrdence.

A simular list of plagues associated with the doteeir occurs in Eeclus, xxxix.





6 dy syole See for this notes on iii. 9 .

7 ty mepurphin. The word occurs nowhere in the LXX, or N.T, and no other instance of its use in Hellenstic Greek is known to us In Classical Greek its one menning seems to be the decking out of a corpse for burinl.

We have therefore to deduce a probas ble meaning of the word from the vert teporetid $\omega$. Thas has three leading uses, (t) of decking out a corpse, (u) of wrapping round and so concealing, (ui) of protecting or defending. Either of the last two yjeld a possible sense for एepuorohy.

Previous editors have usually thought that the sense should be 'in secret': and the only objection to this renderng is the difficulty of attaching a real mean ing tir the sentiment. In other Psalms, e.go xvii., the sufferings of the righteous are deseribed and dwelt upon. The fact that righteous men like Job had suftered, and that not secretly, wos well known to the writer, so that we feel some hesitation in crediting him with an assertion of the contring here. Stll Psalm xy. may be tited to comfirm the interpretation.

The only other passige where this writer uses $\pi$ еробт $\lambda \lambda \omega$ ( x vi. зо) certanly, cannot bear the meaning of 'concealmowt.'

The alternative rendering derived from the thard sense of reparande would be ' with regard,' i.e. with the view of correcting the righteous and preventing him from erring so that the enemies of the Lord might blsspheme-which would give a clear and practical sense. A collateral sense, whose correctness seems more doubtful, is 'with reservation," sparingly, This sense is we think confirmed by vif. 34 .

It is tempting to suggest that the translator has here rendered the Hehrew text wrongly, perhaps reading nuph 'a covering' ( $=$ actactanip Isai. lxio 3) instead of yupy 'a litile.' If thís conjecture couk!

 - òt фєícetar кúplos tề óvínv aúroû,







## 








10 ain codd. et edd. ; primus FIilg. scripsit ovx : ita Geig, Fr. Pick.
*Ts. tel A.
1 Tit. om, M.
 is conkl. et erd.: furtasse क.
be maintained, we would compare Luke
 sense of the orgyinal in our passage.
drucalpe. Cf. Ps, xxxvii. ( $x \times x$ viii.) 17 Mepmore emtxapoal $\mu$ or of ex $\theta_{\text {pod }}$ Holl, also xli. 12, and Ecclus wxiui. 3.
8 vovernjet No subject is exprossed. The Greek requires as to supjly of orts. The transtator prolably mistook a Passive for an Active verb, realing

 oewr. The idea of the verse is drown from Deut. vij. 5 .
(f. WIsi. x1. 10 tofrows Mè odo eis татhp vouferûy !oboxipacras: also xvi. 8 and Judith viit. 27, cf. Joseph. Ami. 113. ㅈ․ I








 xviii. 4



- Kaditwe. See on it. 29, Ps. 1.
 and Is, xliii. 3 .

10 \#ทTHócovov. Cf. Joh xviii. IT Th
 7 dт

11 Here we find a clase resemblance to the words of the Magnificat, Lac. i. so kal to thear aitrave els reveds mal revets


Ps. XIV.-Argumemr.
t-3. Gori's unchanging faithfulness to those who are faithful to 11 im . Their consequent security from trouble. The result of Gol's ancient promise to Israel.

8 For he will admonish the righteous as a beloved son: and his chastening is as a man chasteneth liis firstborn.
9 For the Lord will spare his saints, and will blot out their transgressions with his chastening: for the life of the righteous is for ever.

Io But sinners shall be taken away unto destruction: and the memorial of them shall no more be found.

II But upon the saints is the mercy of the LORD: yea ${ }^{2}$ upon ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Gr}$. and them that fear him is his mercy.

## PSALM XIV.

## A Hymn of Solomon.

1 Faithful is the Lord unto them that love him in truth: even unto such as abide his chastening; who walk in the righteousness of his commandments, in the law ${ }^{1}$ according as ${ }^{2}$ he ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Or}$, even commanded us for our life.

2 The saints of the Lord shall live therein ${ }^{3}$ for ever: the garden of the LORD, even the trees of life, such are his saints.

4-7. The insecurity of the sinner. His secrets are known to God, and his end is destruction. In which he affords a contrast to the righteous.
The Psalm is little more than a paraphrase of the ist canonical Psalm, with which it should be compared. The comparison of the righteous to a tree occurs in both places, as well as in several other Psalms and in Job.

1 Cf. x. 2, 4. The thought is the same as in Deut. vii. 9 kal $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega{ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta$ ört


 els xulas revés.
 righteousness consisting in the observance of the enactments of the law. Cf. Lev.
 кal ràs érro入ás $\mu$ ov фu入á $\sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$. I Kings


 тореधтra, Luke i. 6.

 $\chi \omega{ }^{\omega}$ els tòv al̂̂̀a. тávtes ol кратоîvтes aùiǹ̀ els. swท̆̀. Ecclus. xvii. 11, xlv. 6 vónov jwîs.

See also Deut. xxx. 20, Ps. cxxxiii. 4.

Hilgenfeld's conjecture $\mathbf{Z n o j} \mu \mathrm{\psi}$ is supported by the reading of $M$, and the word is used in the Prologue to Ecclus. and in Acts xix. 30, and 1 Cor. ix. 21 twouos $\mathrm{X} \rho \omega \sigma \tau \hat{\psi}$. If adopted it renders our conjecture $\dot{\psi}$, on which we lay no stress, unnecessary.
But ${ }^{2} \nu \nu \delta \mu \varphi$ is a very natural phrase for our Psalmist to use, as an explanation of $\varepsilon \delta \delta i x$. $\pi \rho o \sigma$. It is also implied in ver. 2, Shбovtal èv aürq.
els $\mathfrak{y o n} \nu \mathrm{\eta} \mu \mathrm{~m}=$ = 'That we should live therein,' or 'that He might preserve our life.'
 If $\dot{e} v v^{\circ} \mu \mathrm{H}$ is the right reading, there can be no doubt that ev aúvê refers to núpoos. The life of the saints is through the mercy and in the presence of the Lord.
But more probably stroovrai ìv aút $\hat{\psi}$

$\xi \emptyset \boldsymbol{\lambda} a$ т $\mathfrak{j} s$ \}an̂s. A not uncommon metaphor in Proverbs, e.g. iii. 18. Cp. also Is. lxv. 22 кarà үap rads $\dot{\eta} \mu$ épas toô
 $\mu$ ov. 4 Macc. xviii. 16.
The тарádeloos rô̂ $\theta$ eồ occurs in Ez. xxxi. 8, and xxviii. 13, and Rev. ii.
 ойк е́ктіл














a toû oipparoî V, K, P. poi ivepúrou (avon pro our au) M: om, A.

4 kail om. Ceria : ulem in scholus nolan se vocem protermisisse partim deletnm qualm pro vice exclamation ss halluerth, ut viii, 37 .


 13. Jer, xiii. (xix.) to фит ever visas wal ox $\mu \bar{y}$ ix pin.
 sion occurs in Poo, lxxxviii, (xxxix.) 30



if $\mu$ pie. Cf. Ecclus xvii. 13 Mails xuptou 'Iopaind deriv, and Deut. xxxii. ${ }^{9 .} 4$ out oven ( $12 \pi \times 3$ ), a reminiscence of


ทrizingav. The ar, is the commonest rendering in the Lxx. of the Heb, אהב. Cf. ix. 36.
 tent themselves with the day's enjoyment, love this present world, The phrase most like it is that in Ps, exxiit. (xxxiv.)
 l'salm the stress is laid on the shortness
of the pleasure. The in $\mu$ pa answers in muporns in the next clause.
This is why we have preferred to render dyarriè to be content with, instead of 'to love.'
ty meroxit duaprias avitivy, cf. Assumpl. Mos, v. I 'in regis participles scelcrum.'
$\mu \mathrm{k}$ 人órng. This is the readung of alt MSS. whereas тupoóqs gives a doubtful sense and is nowhere found in the $1 \times x$. The i.x.x. version of Susana $\mathbf{v}$. $\$ 6$ affords an excellent illustration of what is meant by pukpodins here. Daniel says to

 ment lasts mo short a time, and whose lasing ns well as its end is corruptum. Evidently we have here again an allusion to those secret sins of which the Psalmist has already spoken (iii., iv., viii.).
s $8+3$ may be either a meparate statemont explaining why they ought to have

3 The planting of them is rooted for ever: they shall not be plucked out all the days of the heaven: for the portion of the LORD and the inheritance of God is Israel.

4 The sinners and transgressors are not like them, which were contented with a day while they were partners together in $\sin$ : yea, with a short space of corruption in fulfilling their lust.

5 And they remembered not God, that ${ }^{4}$ the ways of men are ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Or}$, for known before him continually: and he knoweth the secret chambers of the heart before they had their being.

6 Therefore is their inheritance hell and darkness and destruction: and they shall not be found in the day of mercy for the righteous.

7 But the saints of the LORD shall inherit life in gladness.
PSALM XV.

## A Psalm of Solomon: With a song.

1 When I was in trouble I called upon the name of the LORD: I trusted in the God of Israel for help, and I was preserved.

2 For thou art the hope and refuge of the needy, O God;
remembered God, or a pointing out what they ought to have remembered about Him. We do not feel that the one rendering is intrinsically less probable than the other, but certainly in a majority of cases the former is the only admissible sense for ört in these Psalms.

тариefa кapolas, cf. Apoc. Bar. xx. 3 'in penetralibus mentis ture,' and Prov. xx. 27, 30 таресia ко入入las.
xpo toù yevtodat (Gen. ii. 5 xpd toû
 the man or to the chambers of his heart.

Cf. Enoch, cap. 9. 1I, 'Thou knowest everything before it comes to pass' (tr. Schodde).
Cf. generally Jer. i. $5 \pi \rho \delta$ тoû $\mu \in \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma a u$


 xv. 11 .

ởx eipeीŋ́नovtal. Job xx. 8 ш̈бтep
 xxxvi. (xxxvii.) 38 .
andov $\delta$ uxalonv, transitive as in ii. 8. 'The day of mercy to the righteous' we understand to be the resurrection in the Messianic consummation. The wicked will not partake in it, but their souls will continue in Sheol, in darkness and doom.
$7 \kappa \lambda \eta p o v o \mu \varepsilon i v y \xi^{2} \eta$, we find this expression in the mouth of a true Pharisee, the rich young man, in Mk. x. 17 ri
 Matt. xix. 29.

Ps. XV.-Argument.
1, 2. The call to praise God.
3-8. The reward of praise, viz. safety frum the destruction that follows sinners. 9-14. The other side of the picture: misfortune in this life and annihilation after it are the lot of sinners,
15. while the righteous inherit eternal life.

The Psalm treats of the same theme as iii. and xiv.: the contrast between the life and destination of the righteous and of the sinner.

1 Cf. Ps. xvii. (xviii.) 7, cxix. (cxx.) I, and Ps. S. i. I.

2 Lit. I trusted for the help of the God of Jacob. The trouble in which the Psalmist found himself is not more particularly specified, but very probably the occasion is the same as that of Ps. xiii. In both we have references to famine, sword and pestilence, and in both the righteous have been preserved, while the sinners have suffered. In this Psalm the writer appears to lay special stress on
 ả入ท日cía；
 óvóнатí nov；







 Aımóc каl pomфaía rail ónmatoc $\mu$ ккрà̀ dénò $\delta u к a i \omega \nu^{*}$





> a tit cold. Fr. ti.
－mademiou nos conj．，$\lambda_{t} \mu 0 \hat{\text { con }}$ cod，et td．
praise as a means whereby safety may be secured．＂Praise＂in the mouth of a Pharisee may well be taken to mean liturgical praise－attention，in fact，to the religions duties which the sinners had neg． lected．

Ants．Cf．vo．
8 Fritzsche＇s correction of $T$ for T ts is quite uncalled for，and though assimilating the clauses in form does，in fact，destroy their parallelism．There is here， 600 ，a general resemblance to the opening verses of the fifth Psalon（v．5）．

4 ＋idywarbe．Bar．iv． 87 ty 心 at +1

s alvot only occurs five times in the LXX．（Tram），viz e in Esdras，Neh．， Sap．and Ecclus．Cp．Pa．lxvii．（lxix．） 3 3 divide ti brag toil Ocov̂ mon met


A companion with Ps．S．iii． In $_{\text {，}}$ suggests the conjecture that kab annoy here should be kasode as there：the change is infinitesimal！，but，however probable，we do not venture to introduce it into the text．




Cf．Prov．xviii．20，$x x x$ i．31．Also Held． xiii． 15 turin alyérews．．Tourdotes capris хес入\＆
 petrous to loxutio In Job xxi．ia Aquila
 has $\psi$ al $\mu 00$ ，and Symmachus medias． In Ps，cxxxvi．（cxxxvii．），rd opyays

dmapXiv Xeidov，not synonymous with

 which we have a parallel in Ecclus，xxxii．

duro capias．In this usage we more generally find er．．．．mapdiat（cf．Ps．S．init i） or en ．．．．kaposi．$^{2}$
mapolay iotas．Prove xxii．ti expos dүarầ dallas xapotai．
－Taỳren．Our punctuation here differs from that of Fritzsche．He connects the whole of ver． 5 with ver． 4 ，st the end of which he places a comma．We make the question end with ver．4，and the accusal－ lives of yer． 5 will then depend on $\pi$ os ion， henge all in apposition to maura．
oi f ratnotporea．From Ps．ix． $27(x-6)$


3 For who, O God, is strong save to praise Thee in truth ?
4 And wherein is a man able, save to give thanks unto thy name?

5 A psalm and praise with a song in gladness of heart: the fruit of the lips with the well-tuned instrument of the tongue: the firstfruits of the lips from a holy and righteous heart ;

6 He that doeth these things shall not be removed for ever by evil: flaming fire and the wrath against' the ungodly shall ${ }^{1}$ Or, of not touch him,

7 When it goeth forth against the sinners from before the face of the LORD, to destroy all the substance ${ }^{3}$ of the sinners. $\quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{Or}_{\mathrm{r}}$ bowe

8 For the mark of the LoRD is upon the righteous unto their salvation. Famine and the sword and pestulence shall be far from the righteous.

9 For they shall flee from the saints as an enemy that is pursued: but it shall pursue after the sinners and shall overtake them: and they that work wickedness shall not escape the judgment of the LURD; they shall be overtaken as it were by mighty men of war.
kaxoi. There can be little douit that dxd really = ind here. Hardly any wse of a preposition need surprise us in dealing with a writer of this stamp. Cf. Ps. xiv.
 Tdy eîwras
$\phi \lambda \dot{\jmath}$ Tupós. See xii s for the converse,
 An allusion to the Story of the Three Chuldren may underlie this.
$\delta \mathrm{p} \eta \dot{\mathrm{p}}$ dificev. Gen, object, exactly paralle to theos ducium xiv. 6. But dobinw may also be understood as a genitive of the suti, ; 'the wrath of the ungraily' would then allude to the fury of Nebuchadnezzar ( L an, iiio 89).
obx diłerah, xiti. 5 .
 oecurs some 19 times in wery varlous tenses. Twice it means a camp, elsewhere a firm ground or foundation t ance indorwats iwhis = means to support life. None of these meaning are admissible here. Two which are given in our text and margin do both appears posstble. The first, suestathe, is confirmed by Jer. $x=17$
 especially Deut. si, 6 nal trâoay abrouy rim
 Korah. The second, comfidence or expertathon, is the commoner sense in $\mathbb{N}$. $T_{n}$ and is found in LXX, Pse xxxviti. (xxxix.) 8 ace

 ayofl. See nlso 2 Cor. ix. 4 xi.. 17; Heb. iii. 14. A third, romami, might be sug. gested. It is important because it occurs
 שragte eirour.
The word occurs again in our Psalms ( xviL, , 36) in a connection so similar to thi- passage that the meanurg maay farly he taken as identical with this.

8 Td تquaioy, from Ex, ix. 4 ठोs art $\mu \mathrm{i}$ orena sorym. This in its turn may be a reminiscence of Ex. xii. 13 toras to slum unut b) orpuely, of the blood on the lintel.

 abtôn, and ix . 4
 Esdr. Kv. \$ gladuam et famem et mortem et intertum.

- We have to justify the womewhat startling emendation introduced into the text. It will readly, we think, be acknoulerged that the text, as it has beectl hitherto read, is unsatisfactory. It would be very tame to say that a famine and other thungs would flee from the righteots, ms if they (the righteous) were a famine being pursued; so that it seems some change is required. We believe that the key to the requistle alteration is to be looked for in the following verse, which





 тòv aiêva,






## 

 тарà $\mu к к \rho \grave{\nu} \nu \omega^{\omega} \lambda i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a$ èv катафорậ ข้тขov.

11 droulau augur cad.: A ins. airt, non, ut dicit Fr, omittit, Fair, om.
Inscription deest in M.
1 кarapoop̣̂ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Cerda}$
karaфopấ P, Cerda conj. quem seqq. Fair. Lagarde Hill. Geig. Fritzsch. Wells. Pick.
points the contrast between the righteous and the simmer. The case of the latter is the opposite of that of the righteous. The sense clearly is, that while plagues flee from the righteous is if they (the plagues) were being pursued, they will pursue the ungodly as does an enemy in was. We believe then that the point of comparison between the clauses lies in rodeculux. The plagues which follow the sinners like enemies are themselves chased away from the righteous as if by enemies, and some case of the word wohlucos must underlie the $\lambda_{t \mu 0 \hat{u}}$ of the present text. Several forms are possible. The simplest mode of expression would be wy stordueva solifuoc, the most elaborate (suggested
 rodeulos. That which we print in the text stands midway between the two. We conjecture the genesis of the present reading to have been something of thus kind :

DIGAKOMENOYTTOAEMIOY mistaken for
dILKOMENOY YHO $\lambda \in$ PlOY, which being nonsense, vire is cut out, and
we get
AIUKOMENOY A(E)IMOY.
The same ${ }^{\text {, a most, }}$, holds good for the reading duablueyos vied rodenion, which has the further advantage of pot suddenly and harshly introducing a genitive absolute.

For the reading of the es. of. Job v.


 \%omat. xxxiv. (xxxv.) 6 äprehos кuphov кatedumk aurous. lxx. (|xxi.) il were-



turtipuv. For the habit of this writer to change his verbs from plural to singular without charging his subject, compare iv. 7-15.
turetpos occurs once in Tobit ( $v, ~ s$ ),

 v. 18; Btठameds rodetuov I Mace iv. 7.

10 Compare Ex. ix- 4 (cited above)
 -会 Katy

Io For the mark of destruction is upon their forehead,
II And the inheritance of the sinners is destruction and darkness: and their iniquities shall pursue them as far as hell beneath.

12 Their inheritance shall not be found of their children.
13 For their iniquities shall lay waste the houses of simners: and the sinmers shall perish in the day of the Lord's judgment for ever,

14 When God visiteth the earth with his judgment to recompense the sinners unto everlasting.

I5 But they that fear the LORD shall find mercy therein: and shall live in the righteousness * of their God.

## PSALM XVI. <br> A Psaim of Soloman: 'For Kelp.'

1 When my soul slumbered and foll away from the LORD, then had I well nigh slipped in the heaviness of sleep:

11 Les \$
 กำกำ. Frov, xv. 14 'from hell beneath'
 Ecclus. li. 6 abveryus ǐ

Cp, xiv. 6. The future of $\begin{aligned} & \text { uisw } \\ & \text { is the }\end{aligned}$ only ore of the middle tenses used in an active sense.

12 ovx superofrered with the dat, 'shail not be found of.' Cf. Ex, xxxy. 33


18 otxove tipmpoviv. See iv, 23, x13. 4.
 mpreces denotang the fmal Judgement in
 autrois to ijuifan aplocess, and often in the N.T. (e.g. Matt, 2. 45, xi. \#3; 2 Pet. 1lit. 7; I John iv. 17), The commoner phrase is tumpan kuplou, as in Amos Y. 18 ; fool i 1. 1I; 1 Cor. 7 \% 5; ; Pet ini. Io, I2. Here the two phrases are combened; but it is not improbable that the translatot, findmg
 xploews.

## 

drosoivel, is. $3^{3}$.
de todv alíva xpóvov. Cf, viji. 3y.
 ing of הp7\%. As is well known, the Lxx. thuctuate between entmuorion and sumatooivm as equivalents for this word. See for
further remarks the note on ix. 6 and Hatch, Esfays in Biblical Grwek, p. 49 sqq. We have here jpreferred to render the word 'rghteousness. ${ }^{3}$
I's. XVI.-Argument.
t. Thanksgiving for deliverance.
(a) i, 3. The Psalmst's peril; the aprathy of some deadly sin.
(b) 3-5. He had perished, of the Lord by timely chastisement had not roused to consciousness, and delivered him.
9. Prayer for continuance in holy Jiving,
(a) $6-8$. That the thought of God may reign in the heart end overcome all fleshity lusts.
(b) 9. That the Divine sule may order hie and practice.
(c) 10. That truth may ever adorn speech, and anger be put away.
(d) 15, 52. That under trial there may be no murmuring, but a spirit of patience and brightness.
3. Poverty a Divine chastisement.
(a) 13,14 , Heary is the chastisemenk by poverty which assauls in man's bodily comforts.
(b) 15. The righteous will endure the test and will find mercy.

This Psalm contans no allusion to events of national importance. The use of the rst Pers Sing., which is found in each of the first twelve verses, relates to





 eIyat) conj. Fritzsch, ita Pick. ìy tívapkar conj. Schmidit, (Hilg. Wellh.),

l'ost oiveryus Fritzsch, conj. piv.

the feelings and experience of the writer. It does not impersonate the matun. This is shown not 50 much by the penstential character of the I'salm as by the description of the teuptations to which the $u$ riter is exposed in dually life $(7-11)$.

We may gather that the P'salanst, like Ihavid of old, had fallen mito some deanly sil. Ile morht well have been condiemined to perdition along with the sinners ( $x, 5$ ). His consctence slept (1): his soul had wandered far from God ( 2,3 ). But the Lord had mercy on him: by the sharp spur of trial the Lord vestored him to wakefulness and dell+ vered ham from utter downfall $(3,5)$. We may suppose that perhaps the Y'salmist's $\mathrm{mn}_{\text {, }}$ Like David's or Solomon's, had been one of sensuality, and accordragly the Prayer which he offers opens with special sulplicution that he mught be saved from such a fall ( 7,8 ).

The trial, which had awakened the Psalmist to a sense of his sin, had been that of sudden poverty ( $22-15$ ) Like the patruarch Job, has fath was rested by duccipluse in the lesh and by the removal of boduly comiorts. But 'the righteous' is sustained by the thought that trouble is of God to prove his soul and that even in adverstity he whll find mercy.

It is possible that the poverty and privation to which the Psalmist alludes may have resulted from the capture and ocetpation of Jerusalem by Pompey, or again they may have been millicted upon the pious Pharsee by his opponemts the Sadduceer ( $c f_{0} \mathrm{jv}_{2} 13,23$ ).

Euther explanation would account for its inclusion in our collection.

Professor btanton, in his Jewish and Chrathan N/estrah, points to this Psalm as one which might be fily put into the mouth of Solomon, and as being the
strongest instance of pergonufication in the book. We should, however, bear is mind that the ultmete repentance of Solomon was always it matter of grave dispute among the Jews.
fuscription. The title els derintiter lias probalily been tahen from the words
 $3-5$
$2^{50}$ dy ré vorrajas quxpy you. These words are taken from $P_{s}$, exvili. (cxix.) 28 dvierakey (Tp? A.V. and R.V. well-
 Jlas. The verb swordyen denotes the torpor of oppressive drowniness. It is uied

 кotes tous in

 ung from the IIel.) : Prov, vi. \& $\mu$ who
 (71) 428 )

The preposition ared is used by a 'contructio pregenans.' The clanse combines the double thought of the soul's lethargy and its removal from God.
mapd 师poy dileopra, The dea

 щexpor, cf. Ezek. *vi. 47.
 da's conjecture for the readurg of the text of the MSS. xura $\dot{\theta}$ opac. The latter was a much commoner word, and was very likely to be substituted by accident.
karadopd is Aquila's transtation of
 Prove xix, 15 ; Isai, xxix, zo. It reproduces the thought of being 'borne or weighed down' with sleep, a sense in which rarapepor occurs e.g. in Acts $x x_{0} 9$


2 When / was far from God, within a little had my soul been poured out unto death, yea / had been hard unto the gates of hell in the company of the sinner

3 What time my soul was departed from the LORD the God of Israel,

If the LORD had not helped me tlrough his mercy that abideth for ever.

2 [iv] Tŝ paxpaiv den 0row. The Mss. agree in the readang $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ макрау $\measuredangle \pi \delta_{1}$ which is extremely harsh.

If we may draw any conclasions from the parallelism of W .1 and 3 , the clause should begin with of rei and an Inf. corrempondang with ey $+\dot{\psi}$ yuarakat nud हy T4 dewx itwas. We accept the conjecture that dy has fallem out after inmou.
 trod or dv tب̂ makpoir pe etvat drob. Against paxpivisu it may be objected that the nor. of $\mu$ ancoryod is used in this Psalm (ver. if) with a transitive meaning, and that in the Active this is by far its commonest use in the LxX.
M. Schmidt makes an ingensous conjecture in lus suggestion of है tw vapxav. The sumparity of the letters is cetianly in its favonr, and the meaning of the word 'to le numb' corresponds well with is $7 \hat{\psi}$ vardaka. He are not however prepared to adopt 4 , pactly perhaps be. cause it as almost toonngentous, list chuelly hecause the word in the LXx, is very more (Gen. xxaii. 3532 ; Joh xxxiii. 19 only according to Tromm; also Theodot. in Job $x \times x i i i$. (9), and in none of these pasEages is enuployed in mataphorical sense.

If do $T \hat{6}$ makpaty d주 tooû needs conjectural amplatication, we woukd suggest that words have dropped out whach in cluded the verb that was qualsfierl by paxpóv. The verts that are commonly associnted wuh maxamy are artixey and d申arava. An error of sight may have occastoned a scribe to pass from dino to

 the verb would have fachltateit thedropping of the è after oxyov.

тар" $6 \lambda$ (Yov 等exiby. The reference is stall to I's. |x×1t (lxxas.) 2 एap' oxizap


On the expansion of the quotation by the words efs $\theta$ divaroy, see note on our I'salmist's method vil. 6.



For Efexion jo $\psi$ rxh of. Lam. ii. 12 हv
 tefone airum, The passage Isai. lim. 11, 'he poured out his soul unto death' may have originated the phrase in our verse. But in that case the translator has followerd the llebrew and not the LXX. (Tapesion


Gíveryus. Fritasche ays "postoíveryus fortagse a trienclum inv." The verb must be supplied for purposes of tanslation.

The thought is tahen from I's. cva.
 Bapirol, and clusely resembes Ecclus. li.


 \#ै

For mildas







Though Hades is here mentioned as surtual.y a synonym for death, the adration of the words $\mu$ erd daxariohou show that it is not used th its neulral sense of a place of departed spirits, but as a description of the future abode of the wicked. Cf. xiv. 6.
 the worl, whach may be sllustratet ty the

 sotrght them pardon for that they hat been enernes,' where some render 'an \{ asked a favour of them that they would withdraw"). The adea is that of 'estrangement from' as the result of 'difference with;' it may be exemphified by 2 Mace. 1 i. 4 Si $\mu \omega \mathrm{w}$ है
 Todxcy apoparoulas, where 'dofference' has tieveleped into 'ennflact.' Our verson, "departed.' is 1 , the lahen in its oillet sense, whel long survived in the well known words 't II death us iepart.'
dyтidipere. hee on +










Tat Ala aúrov̂ ale tot aloe. There can be very little doubt that els res alive should be taken with e $\lambda$ debt, and that the reference is to the well-known refrain ${ }^{4}$ For
 akiva To Cheer aúrov̂, egg. Ps. cxxxy. (coxxyi.)
Strictly therefore the Greek should have
 the absence of the article as a characterfistic of our translator's style, see note on vi. 8.

- luge. The Psalmist represents his being aroused from torpor by the prick or spur of trial and suffering.

Fur wírous compare Ecclus. xxii. 196


is kivepov trow. It seems to us very probable that the word $t \pi$ nov has been added by the translator as an explanatory gloss on nevrpay, just as in yer. I "irma seems to have been addled to explain narapapa, and in $x \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{o}} 13$ kplofera to explain गय $\mu \mathrm{f} \mathrm{pa}$ auplov.

We associate the kivppoy or 'goal' with the ox or the ass but not with the horse.

 var in bogart kevrpou, fores idaurum:
 кerrpa.

We are disposed to think (I) that the Hebrew had the meaning 'He pricked me ns with a goad; " but that, as is often the case, the preposition of the instrumeat not beng expressed, it was overlooked by the translator: (2) that the translator's addition of the Pr iou changed the image from that of the ox, driven by the good, to that of the horse, urged by the spur.

Hut the simile at menton linter as a horse's spur' applied to the Almighty is obviously repugnant to the poetical treatmeat of the subject, even if it could be granted that nefrpoow was ever used for a spur.
It is found in Prov, xxvi. 3 ar 2 transration of $3 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ 'bridle."
We belize that the Psaimist's meaning would have been expressed by towed $\mu \mathrm{o}$ is约 rérpq.
The Psalmist describes himself as the ox at the plough, that needed to be pricked on with the good.
twi ry yppyópqey avirovi. See on iii. 2. The meaning is clear. The goad is applied that the beast of burden may be alert and wakeful to obey the master's will.

On owrip cf. vila 39.









There is a very similar passage to this

 awrnplay.
dis ourmplav curresponaling to air dress tray in the next clause. For the opposiion of the two words see PhiL i. 28 pros
 tapas.
 ditélatav. The 'destruction here spoken of as the portion of the sinters can hardly be distinguished from the violent end, which was reganded as their just retribu-

4 He pricked me as a man pricketh his hurse，that I might watch unto him．

IIe that is my saviour and helper at all times preserved me．
5 I will praise thee，O God，because thou didst help me unto salvation，and didst not reckon me with the sinners for destruction．

6 Withdraw not thy mercy from me，O God，and take not the remembrance of thee from my heart until I die．
7 Keep me，$O$ God，from abominable $\sin ^{3}$ ，and from every ${ }^{2}$ Conj．， wicked woman that layeth a snare for the simple；
tion：see especially riti． 2 gavdrov duap－



This thought appears in the phrassology of ins Paul in such passages as Kom．vs． 21

 ploys the current terms of Phansee theu－ logy without adding any precise definition of heir development in Christian teachong．

The language is based upon Isai，liii． 12


 els $\lambda$ denkoy．
 ix． 16 ．
 collection of thy goodness．＇The Hebrew Tot is more often rendered by menubouvay， but the use of $\mu$ min may be illustrated by Ps，xxix．（xxx．）5，xcvi．（xcyli．） 13 kal





7 inupdetpoty jov．For \＆sixpateî ste on xyii．17．A strange word to occur in the present context．Its use in the Lxx． is either intransitive in the sense of pre－ vailung，e．g．of the flood，Gen．vii．${ }_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ 19：Sym．Gen．vil．10，24；of famine， Gen．xil． 58 ，xlvit． 20 ：or transituve，with a gent in the sense of muling over，e．g．Exr．

 xupas．Aq．Gien，i． 26 wal extrparditwoal （477．1）and 28．Ps．cix．（cx．）3（Tu



If the text is correct，the word is used here in the sense of＇hold fast，＇＇streng． then，＂protect，＇translating P！in，it
occurs in the versions of Aq．，Sym．and Th．in Isai．li． 18 druxparay，translating

申uakivis．

We should rather have expected a worl like dyupdryoos（cf．Ex．ix，a dikd etr tyapareîr aưoô）with the appropriate mesnang＇wuhhoht，＇＂keep Lack；or биүкратทбоу．Cf．Sym．Ps．xvi 5 ．
 yuvaikds k．r． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．A litle awkwardness arises from the words kal aird mdow $\gamma^{v-}$
 that nompäs．The expression＇and from every wicked woman＇seems to presuppose some reference to a specific class just mentioned．

Ihe passage rematulh us of Provaris
 kel manjpâs and it is a suggestiun，which seems to un very plans．ble，that instead of amaptiac we should read a $\lambda \lambda$ otprac， the error arising from the confusion be－ tween $M$ and $\lambda A$ and from the transposs－ tion of PT for $T \mathrm{P}$ ．

If thes reading were mecepted，the Psalnaist would first have magled out ＂the wicked strange woman，＂before be passert on to speak of tevery wicked woman that layeth snares for the simple．？

In favour of thas suggeation it wall be remembered that the term＇strange wives＇ is expeciaily used with reference to Solo－ mon， 1 Kings xi，i kad Eגaße proaixat id入orplat，and ver．8．See Ezt．2－2，10， \＆e． 3 Neh．xui．36，37．Agan idithorpla is used alsolutely as an equivalent of $\pi$ dpp＂

 （R．V．＇from the blattery of the stranger＇s
 （H7才），where Aq．Sym．and Theodot．




 Mevor nos conj.
 rumw conj. Hilg. (Welth.). גory dos conj.


okavbadto ovops. The verb oraydadtfou in the LxX., according to Tromm, is found only in Eeclus. ix, 7, xxiti, 7, xxxii. J6. But see Dant xi. 41 nal
 Prov. iv. 12. Is. . .l. 30, lxals. 13. (Cf.




adpoya, 'the simpleton.' wee I'rov.



© $\mu$ d drartordre $\mu$ kủhos yuvabкós. Numerous jllustrations of thus semtiment might be taken from gnomic writings, e.g. Prov, vi. 35 म方 WE vartory kid-



 *pootrdays dal adidos yunaurbr, Susan. 56

 $\phi \lambda_{0}$ g. The reading of the mss. wayrds intoresplyou cannol in our opinion be retained, Geiger rendiers Noch Jemands, der sich von heilloser Sunde beherrschen lisst,' and in followed by Pick 'Nor of any, who is controlled by unprofitable sin." But it is clear that the
 ducaperoús are extremely periphrastic if clepenclent upon $x d \lambda \lambda 0 s$, and mitrociuced


Wellhausen renders freely 'und keine Eingebung nichtsnutzıger Sunde, as if he would read -íp to itrorelpepos in the sense of "every submission or surrender to," literally, "and everything subdued by. ${ }^{\text {" }}$

It does not appear to us that 'that which
is subject to or subdued by vanity' constitutes a natural parallel to $\pi<$ inos $\gamma^{\text {p- }}$ vasxós, and a further лилоr objection arses from the use of $d$ od.

In conjecturing tair to suyrelpeyoy we introduce a very slight change into the lexi, i.e. mantocyik for mantocymok. The read.ng we adopt gives a wide and appropriate meaning to the clase. The sentence then runs: 'Let not the beauty of woman deceive me. ray, let not anything deceive me that is composed (that consists) of empty vanity." As in the preceding verse, the specific source of danger is mentioned before the general class to which it belongh; uhite the preposition and reproxluces the Hebrew io in its partutive sense.

It may be objecied that riviresuat does not occur in this sense in ether the exx. or the N.T. But its use in this sense is so general in Greek waters that we cannot admit the force of an objection, which in the case of an anusual word would be decisive against its istroduction as a conjectural reading.
didd duaprias dywdriovy. The prepo- $^{2}$ sition dind, which bere represents ip. here takes the place of $\mathrm{dx}(\mathrm{E} \xi)$, which is the ordinary construction after ouqwetua.
dyoodedis it the sense of "unprofitable" oceurs in the LXX. only in Prov. axviii.






The expression 'unpruftable sin' calls for some remark.

It is noticeable that fly is rendered hy Aquile in Ps, V. 6 ivepthetay ( $\mathrm{L} \times x$. avoniav), vi. 9 avwpedes (LXX, dvopiar), xiv. $4, \pi x x v i, 13$, ly. 4, lvi, 8, Job iv. 8 (LXX. Th drame), Prov, xxii. 8 ( LXX .
 Ixvi 18.

8 And let not the beauty of an ungodly woman beguile me， nor aught that consisteth in sinful vanity ${ }^{2}$ ．

9 Establish thou the works of my hands in thy word ${ }^{3}$ ，and preserve my goings in the remembrance of thee．
${ }^{2}$ Lit．un－
profitable sim ${ }^{8}$ Gr．in

Aq．Is．lviii． 9 入a入ô̂va dvaфe入ts， Hos．xii． 8 （ 9 ）divopelés aür $\hat{\varphi}$ ，reading ifi for jik，Am．i． 5 avต $\omega \lambda \lambda$ oùs（LXX．


On the strength of this evidence it seems to us probable that either d $\mu$ aprlas diwne入o 0 s is a double rendering of 1 Nָ， or the translator has added the adjective divoope入oûs in order to define duaptias more closely in accordance with the shade of meaning attaching to $W$
－Td tpya tồ Xcrpîr pov．Cf．Sym． Ps．xviii． 2.
tv $\lambda$ ofye oov．The reading in this passage is very uncertain．（1）The Augs－ burg，Vienna，Copenhagen and Paris MSS．agree in reading iv $\tau 6 \pi \psi$ oov．It may be questioned whether any satisfac－ tory：sense can be obtained from this reading．Geiger adopts it and translates ＇in deiner Gegenwart，＇on the assump－ tion that $\tau 6 \pi o s$ is here employed to trans－ late Dipō＇space＇or＇place＇in its Rab－ binical application to the Deity．Dr Taylor in his note on＇maqom＇（Sayings of the Jewish Fathers，p．53）quotes from Jalqut 117 where it is said that God is called＇Place，＇because He is the＇Place of the world and not the world His place．＇He also mentions the fact that the Rabbins laid stress on the numerical values of the letters of the Tetragram－ maton，and of the word＇maqom＇being identical，i．e． 186.

The passage from Philo De Somnn．Lib． I．（vol．1．p．630，ed．Mangey），which he quotes，indicates that totos was inter－ preted by Jewish teachers in this mysti－ cal sense at a time very little later than the date of the composition of our Psalms．The passage deserves close at－
















 סdбконть．
If $\tau \delta \pi \psi$ is to be retained as a trans－ lation of the Rabbinical＇maqom，＇we should prefer to explain it not（as Geiger） as denoting＇the Almighty＇（ $\delta$ ecos），but， in accordance with this passage of Philo， as a term for the Divine Logos（ $\dot{o} \theta$ eios入ópos）．The sense then would be，＇Esta－ blish the works of my hands by Thy Di－ vine Logos．＇
To this rendering there are obviously two strong objections：（a）it is not to be expected that the technical terms of Philo＇s teaching would be introduced in our P＇salm， whose religious tone is of a simple and practical character；（b）a Rabbinic ex－ planation of＇maqom＇fails to make катєú－ Ouvov $\& \nu \tau \delta \pi \psi \sigma o u$ a suitable parallel to $\delta \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ бov $\delta \iota a \phi i ́ \lambda a \xi o \nu$.
（2）Hilgenfeld＇s conjecture，${ }^{2} v \quad \phi \phi \beta \psi$ oov，adopted by Fritzsche and Pick，has the support of the Moscow ms．Here， however，as elsewhere，the Moscow ms． seems to have adopted an emendational reading．
$\phi o \beta \psi$ gives an excellent meaning，and corresponds quite suitably to $\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta$ ．But it appears to us that the weight of transcriptional probability tells against $\phi 6 \beta \psi$ being the right reading．A parallel might be cited from iv．Esdr．xvi．71， where the MSS．read＇eritque locis locus．＇ Here an original $\phi \delta \beta$ os for rónos is pro－ bable．
（3）Hilgenfeld＇s conjecture，${ }^{2} \nu \quad \tau \dot{u} \pi \psi$ oov＇nach deiner Regel＇is ingenious．In the Lxx．túxos occurs in Ex．xxv．40； Am．v．26，and frequently in the New Test．；but such an expression as év tún $\psi$ oou addressed by a Jew to God，in the
 juolwotv $\sigma 00$ will require more authority than we have been able to find before its admission into the text could be justi－ fied．

#  $\pi \in \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \in \operatorname{Li} 0 \nu$, 










11 karderew A (Ceria).
12 Evidorif (on. El) pod. Fab, Gig. ins. et Frizzch. Pick. (sue not). loxv́atu $\mathbf{P}$.
dpkione Fair.
 recoiclay ip one en nerf Well. conj.

But see Orig. Hex. (ed. Field) Gen. xlvii. 26 ph Slafulum. O' els трботаүиа,


(4) We venture to conjecture do $\lambda$ dor $\psi$ (or $\lambda$ on $\psi \psi$ ) gov. In favour of this reading we may fairly claim transcriptional prolability. There is a well-established

 where the various reading dofyos is surely the original rendering: tóror might indeed be there regarded as an miterpretative rendering, but it is more natural to assume that it is at transcriber's error for Móyos
 were accidentally changed to $\lambda$ on $\omega$, the alteration to $\mathbf{~ r o m e ~ w o u l d ~ f o l l o w ~ n a t u - ~}$ rally. Another possible suggestion is nom $\omega$, a word often interchanged in the MSs. with do pw.

 oo.
10 3xpiarrilov. See note on rept -Tod) xii. $\%$.
$\tilde{a}^{\lambda} \lambda$ you, in the sense of unreasoning, is found in the $2 \times x$, only in Wisd, xi. 17
 N.T. in a Pet. ii. 12; Jude so. Cf. Acts $x \times \mathrm{x} .27$.

the game Hebrew words at bifid ©фpazor in Prov, xxvii. 3.

11 тeprorties. Cf. John. wii. is: Acts vi. 1 ; Phil. it. $14 ; 1$ Pet. iv. 9.
od 1 voyvxla. This word renders the Hebrew expression "shortness of spirit," i, e, impatience. Ex. vi. 9 our elotixougat
 kal © $\lambda$ you ix
The dAryoquy is the तี่า typ ' breves spurtu,' j e. 'hasty of spurt in I'rov. xiv. 29. Cf. :Thess. V. 14; סोirowixos
 in Prov. xvii. 14, and 8 alyoprxeiy to
 Is ai. live. 6.

The Psalmist prays that a complaining spirit may be taken from him, that he may not offend by murmuring, and lmpatience at the discipline and chastisement which is sent him on account of his $\sin$ in order to reclaim him. This is clearly the meaning of the passage; and it can hardly be doubted that the translator has tended to confuse the sense by placing dis dap-


For the thought generally, cf. Evclus. x. 28 (Lat.) vir prudent et diciplinatus non murmurabit correptus.
tmorpoфt. See ix, 19.
12 *úSoria perè LAepórचтos. Tie union of willingness and cheerfulness

Io My tongue and my lips do thou guard about with the words of truth; anger and senseless wrath put thon far from me.

II Murmuring and faintheartedness in the time of affliction remove thou far from me, when for my $\sin ^{4}$ thou dont chasten "Or, ifl me to the end I may be restored.

12 But with goodwill and cheerfulness uphold thou my soul; when thou strengthenest my soul, I shall be satisfied with that thou givest me.

13 For if thou strengthenest not, who can abide chastisement in poverty?

14 Seeing that a soul is rebuked by the corruption thereof; thou dost prove a man in his flesh and in the affiction of poverty:
forms the counterpart to the mumnuring and fautheartedness mentioned in the previous verse.
 13. Aq. Th. by gowh h. Sym. do ded $\mu$ ать.
Lגapóry*- Cf. Prov, xviii. 23 Пape dè
 Sym. Th. render eiblaria (xix, it to

 otทipocon rols dibenquús wou.


 owext piacrat aîtois wal dpklore adrais:
18 Tis tu申terrat ty mavia maibelav The Pailmist here specities the chastisetrent which God had sent upon him, the sudden loss of riches. The MSs. differ p. to the position of the words dy revip, whether they should follow or precede Tatiotar.
(a) According to the reading of the Copenhagen, Paris and Moscuw mss, ip
 - Who, being already in poverty, will be nble to abide chastisement ?'
(b) According to the reading of the Augsburg and Vienna, MSS., by vella follows rasdelay: the rense then is, "Who will be able to abude the chastisement which comes through poverty??
We prefer the formet rendering: EV Tela $=$ revis en. It suits better the preceding verse. That verse ended with the thought, "if Thou givest atrength, I shall be antislied with the lot, however poor it be, which Thou ordainest." The present
verse replies: 'but if Thou dost not give strength, where is the hope of the poor man, when correction cometh upon hum, yea when his soul is rebuked by the recollection of his frail mortal nature?"
There is no reason to follow Wellhausen, who would omit it repla aitogether.

Is ofruertat. Compare for the whole passage Heb, xii. 4-13.
dv xepl onamplas mivits. Cf. Job vili. 4 dreatedey iv xetpl droulas airỗ, For campla see xiv. a.

Sokusarle. This word is found in the 1.xX. in Ecclus ví, 21 ws $\lambda$ tios Bokunaवias lox lopos torat $t \pi^{\prime}$ aijo $\hat{\psi}$, and in the N.T., Heb. iii. 9 аи єंтеірагау of тaréper
 étoxluaray), Sym. Erek. xxi. 13 (18) val Ti th dortuagia;
ty rapkl av่rovi, The promoun av่тov following after wat may be illustrated,


 kal aitor pdapus, Num. xv. 38. The masc. in these passages literally reproduces the Hebrew.

It is possible that auroo implies in the present passage the use of pity as a masc. substantive (cf. Gen. ii, 19, xlvi. 25, Num, xxxi. 88). But it seems to us more probable that it is an instance of a 'constructio nard oiveows. The word中unth is used for an individual; and in close connexion with adpE the translator naturally passes to the use of the personal prosoun.
iv $\theta \lambda$ łyu walas. The example of the

## 



##  є̈ть,



Inscriptio deest in M.
palriarch Job is clearly the point of the allusion,

It masy be objected that dy oxive werias doea not bafiance E- vapri au'rov̂, and that we should have expected some such


But the preposition \&o does not refer to the sphere of trial so much as to t's appumbed instrument. A man is tricid by his own frall nature and by the sufferings of want.

15 iv $+\tilde{\%}$ บंториivat Sicalov. For the reward of mercy vouchsafed to the righteous that patiently endure, cf: Is. Ixiv. 4 aird toú aimpos oin troúrauey oúte of

 Das, xii. 12 Maкápeos of itrapelvwer, Lam.


The opposite of this spirit of patient endurance is expressed by Ps. Cv. (cvi.)



For the attitude of the sicates under chastisement cf. ijit, 3-6. If the writer has the instance of Job before his mind as the typical righteons man who patiently endured suffering, we should com-

 бтג
iv 50íTov, not with u'routirne, but with - $\lambda$ ene jorerat, Even in the midst of these troubles, the "rightenus' man, like Job of old, shall find that the Loxp is metciful.

Ps. XV1L.-Argument. 1-4. Introdiuctrow. The Lord is King. The hopes of men generally resemble their own life, short and fleeting: the hopes of the true Israel are in their God and Saviour, whose kingdom is everlasting.

5-22. The mevthnetv of David'I dymisty
(a) 5-12, The Lord made choice of David and his seed to reigh over Israel. Sinners made the Divine decree of none
effect. They have desolaterl David's throne. God will recompense them and will not utterly forsnke His elect.
(b) 13-22. The instrument of Divire visitation is the 'ungodily' man; he will masmacre and banish fues. As a stranger, he will practise idulatmes in Jemsaiem, and Jews shall surpass the heathen in abommations. The sams; flee for their lives and are despolled: the heavens and the earth stand aghatt at the wichedness fran which none etther high or low are exempt.

33-49. The Kimguon of the Messiah.
(a) $23-31$. Prayer for the reign of David's Son, to destroy the heathen from out of the land and gather tugether agan the true Israclates.
(b) 33-46. The description of His reign and rule.

Its holiness will be the wonder and glory of the world.

Its strength will not be in material force but in the trust in God.

Wise, strong and sinless, the Kug shall prevaut and in His righteousness wil! suffer mone of $\mathrm{H}_{15}$ suljects to be uppressed.
(c) 47-49. Niswitaf. Such is the Majesty of Israel's King; His words will be pure and just.

50 and 51 . Epilogme. Sueh are the days of the Messiahs the Eord hasten His coming. The Loud is King.

This long Psalm is the most important in the whole collection. The special interest attaching to it tums upon the historical allusions in the earlier portion ( $5-22\}$ and the description of the Messi anic King and Kingdom, which occupies the whole of the latter portion of the Psalm ( $33-51$ ).

The historical allusions are as followsi sinful men have usurped the throne of David $(6,7)$; for this God sends punishment upon them ly the hand of a stranger, who will render to them secording to their deeds ( $8-10$ ). But God's

15 The righteous man, if he continue steadfast, shall therein find mercy of the LORD.

## PSALM XVII.

## A Psalm of Solomon: with a Song wnto the King.

I O Lord, thou art our King henceforth and even for evermore, for in thee, O God, our soul exulteth.
mercy is still shown, they are not utterly forsahen (in). This man of lawlessness devastates Ismel, slaying some, exinng olhers to the far wext' ( 13,14 ); because he us a stranger, he is guily of presumption and lilulatry; the Jews themselves emulate and surpass the heathen in wickedness ( $55-17$ ). The plous are scattered for and wide $(18,19)$; famine and drought add to the horrors of the time $(20,21)$. All are sinful; common people, judges, and the king himself.

These references are best understood, when they are explained as follows: the usturpers are the house of the Asmoneans, who took to themselves the throne of David as well is the High Presthood of Aaron, Aristobulus I. ( $\mathrm{IOS}-804$ ) being the first who assumed the royal title. The 'stranger' who carried out the Invane wrath is I'omprey, he spared Hyrea. nus II. (ver. 11), the capture of the Tem. ple led to a ternble slanghter (ver. 13), Aristolutus and his family were led prisomers to Rome, where they adurned I'ompey's trumph ( $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ ), P'ompley violated the Temple, but has presumption was due to the ifmorance of a foreigner (16, 17). The mention of drought and famme about this tme agrees with the statements in Pss. S. . 1.10, v. 11, 13 A.. The tutle of 'king' in ver. 22 refers to Hyrianas 11.

No other identifications can be reconciled with the various statements contained in this passage. The 'Iawlens" man bas theen sard to be Antrochus, Herod or Titus. The allusion to a Jewish hing mabes a reference to Autuochus Epiphanes and Titus imposkible: the mention of banishment to "the far west' does not agree with the action of Antiochus or Herod: the estrangement from the Jewish religion ( r ) ) is not applicable to 1 lerod; the mention of mercy (ver, 11), and the tinplied surtival both of city and people (35 isco), comflicts with the view that Titus is referred ta

We conclude then that this Psalm, like others in this collection, was composed not long after the capture of Jerusalem by Pomply.

The allusions to the Messianic King give a vivid description of the hopess of the fharssees balf a century leefore the coming of Christ. The details will conte under observation in the commentary. The reader however should carefulty observe (1) the two extemal characteristics of the Messianic reign, the overthrow and exclusion of the heathen $(25,7,7,3$ ! \&c.) and the restoratwon and rennion of the tribe $(38,30,48),(2)$ the weapons of the Meusalis puwer, holaness, fath, wisdom and justuce ( $23,27,33,39,43$ *.).

The writer identifies himself with the true Inrael (cf. the ist Pers. Pron. $1-4$, $6,9,13,25,51)$. He implies the existence of corruption and wickerness in his own people; the oppression by the Gentiles; the persecution of the pious; and the general oppression.

The prayer for the days of the Messiah contains no insinuation of a resort to physical force or insurrection. The fath of the prous pharisee has not degenerated to the fraticism of the realot.

How it shall come to pass is not a matter for consideration. But the kingdom of Israel shall be established through holiness over the whole work. The throne of David shall be set up, and 'the Son of David,' the Anointed of the Lord. shall admmister jusuce, a holy prince of - holy people, ruling as it were on behalr of God the King of kings.

The picture is ideal, and is based on the thought, which is the refrain of the whole Psalm ( $\mathrm{t}, 4,38,51$ ), that the Lomd himself is Israel's King, that He is fauthful, His kingrom is for everlasting. and in the eppointed day He will restore the throne to Ismel.

Inscription. For Mer' ф́àjs see note on xv. (Inscript.).
 катà tò र X


 tent en крі完eh．

 al̂̀ma，
тои̂ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ éкגєittecn ảnévavtí gov Baбideial aủtov̂．



 per homoeotel．verbs $\mu e r^{\prime}$ inkov－aluva）．
s Bavielay A，P，Card，Fab．Fritzsch．Pick．
Barincoy V，K，M．Hing．Geig．
－Entry
tap Boorlat might be taken in apposi－ ins to $\tau \hat{\psi}$ Eadonur，but it is better to regard it as a reference to the chief feature of the Psalm，the Messianic King（per，23）．
 reproduces the Hebrew idiom．Cf．Ps． xiii．（xiv．） 4 ab at eujrds d fact avdp Hov




The thought of the Kingship of Jehowh over Israel is found in numerous places in the O．T．：Ex．xv． 18 ；Ps xiv． 41 lxiv． 3，xciu，1，xcvi．1，xcix．8；Is，xiii． 32. In the present Psalm cf．verse．4， 38,58 ． $\delta$ tr dy orel．The ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{r}$ in this clause is not wanted．It is perhaps a transcriber＇s ac－ cidental repetition of the preceding frs． But the loose insertion of $\delta$ ort is common in the IX，
kava firms The fut．probably repro－ dices the Hebe．Imperf．The rendering by the Fut．makes good sense，as it would denote the future exultation of the faithful． But in a clause parallel to ob cist fact－ $\lambda$ eves $力 \mu \omega \bar{w}$ ，the tense employed will natur－ ally indicate a corresponding continuity．

For kauxâstau dy＂to glory in，＂see especially St Paul＇s usage，e g．Rom． u ．


2 Cor．v．13，2．17，xi．12，xii．9；Gal，vi． 13：Phil．iii．3： 2 Thess．i． 4 In two of
 he refers to the passage in the Lxx ver－ sion，where watađofar renders y ל勺ッлn．





 xúpotof ret．$\lambda$ ．

In the Psalms it is found but seldom in the Ix．version．It occurs with the simple meaning of exultation $55 \%$ trans－ lation of $\gamma$ by in Ps．v． 12 wal navy torres

 wal d＇yalicafowras（cf，xcii．（xciv．）3）．

2 \＆xpóves．．t dy xpóvov．xpovas in the LXX，is very generally used for ant ＇days，＇egg．Isai，xxxiii． 5 ＇behold，I will add unto thy days fifteen years＇$=150$ os
 ton；lav．no＂nor an old man that hath not filled his days ${ }^{2}=$ mat $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ ivory of own \＆
mil 才 A A－l4．．．ln＇av่าóv．The meaning of this line is obscure．

2 And what is the time of man's life upon the earth? Even according to the measure of his time, so is his hope in him ${ }^{\text {t }}$.

3 But as for us, we will hope in God, our saviour, for the might of our God endureth unto everlasting with mercy.

4 And the kingdom of our God is unto everlasting over the heathen in judgement.

5 Thou, O Lorn, didst choose David to be king over Isracl, and didst swear unto him touching his seed for ever, that his kingdom should not fail before thee.

6 But when we sinned, sinners rose up against us; they fell upon us and thrust us out: even they, to whom thou madest no promise, took away our place with violence'.
(I) Geiger, who carries on the question ral Tis to the end of the verse, and puts a commen after Xpdroy aitrô, renders' Und was ist des Menschen Lebenszent auf Erden im Vergleich zu seiner Zeit, dass tr seine Iloffnung auf sie setzt ?' $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ aivor then
 tinction between a xpdyor fomis 'the mortal life,' and тìr xpbuvew aúpoo 'his time' (=his eternal life), it quite arhitsary, and has no support from other writings.
(a) Wellhausen gives -Was lst die Dauer won eines Menschen Leben auf Erden! Ebenso kure ist nuch die Hoff. nung auf inn!' In proportion as man's life on earth is short, so limbled is the hope or trust which can be placed in a mum. $\delta \pi^{\prime}$ a aitò is then equivalent to ' $\pi$ '
 dapepurov. In other words, 'maten generally put their hope in onan; but the hope is transitory, for every man's life is short.' This seems belter than to refer eividy to
 natural antithesis to ird Bebr (ver. 3). The text however is probally corrupt; or the translator was in difficulties.
a ripeit bi. The true Israel is dis-
 ally thy the fact of resting their hope upon God.
 39. The title of 'Saviour' is here used in the sense of 'National Deliverer,' as is evident by the refarence to th 8 img bo aplate in the next verse.
 aiava. These words reproduce such passages as Ps. cxliv. (cxlv.) is 力 $\beta$ aбrinela aov Bagiketa rdurut tû̀ aïurwy. Dan.

If we may assume that these words
are a quotation, the strange position of
 becomes intelligible. They represent our Proalmist's aldution to his chation. Cr. vill. 6.
The conception of the universal and fternal Kingdom has been illustrated from the Sibylline Oracles: Sib. Orac, IIL. $\uparrow 7$


 өрішт ous.
8 tiperlow, Cf. it. 17 .
tdy $\Delta$ auls $\beta$ arilfa. The promise of an Eternal throne to David, ralified by an oath, is referred to in numerous passages See especially 1 Sam vii.; Ps. Lxxxviii.







 ii. 57 Аauk dr T opovov $\beta$ agidelay els alêva elùvos. Feclus. xlv. 25 ,

For the house of David cf. Oras. Sibyl?.
 revor fotas "Arraigray.
 swmptio Masis 31 h . et jusjurandum quod jurasti eis per te, ne unquam deficiat semens enrum a terra quam dedisti itlis.

- dipaprualol. It is imporiant for the understanding of the whole passage to determane the application of this word. siee note on 1.2 .
It is not used of Aatiochus Epiphanes and bis army, nor of Pormpey and the Romanas nor of Herod and his followers;


 каi $\sigma \dot{,}$, ó $\theta$ єòs, katabaneîc av́roùs,


 єúpe $\theta$ cín aưToîs кatà Tà Ếpra aútêv,


- yefous $A$, (Cerdi), V, P1, M, Hilg. Frimsel. Geeig, Prek. : revos K, ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) Fal.
 conj. өทpirây,
for the "simners" are carefully distingunhed in ver, 9 from the forcigners.
It is clearly used of native Jews, who (b) usurped power which did not belong to them (ver. 6), (2) did nol give the dut honnur to the nation's God, which was to have been expected from them (ver. 7). (3) grasped at monarchical authority (ver. B). This description exactly tallies with the Asmonean house, who, heving usurperl the High Prienthood, made it subserve their polatical purposes, and in the reign of Aristobulus assumned the title of King. to the great ofence of the Theocratic pary.

In what way however wre we to undersland the Psalmist's statement that the tyinners' rose up against 'us,' ttrecked "us," \&a? We believe that be represents not only the Pharisees, but the priests, who had been alienated by the setting aside of the legitimate line of the High Priesthood, It is noteworthy that the Jourfold repetition of the Ist Pers. Pron. is followed by the mention of this spotiation afs ..d.ediorto.

For the possiblility of the wnter belonging to a priestly house see note on $\mathrm{hii}_{\mathrm{i}}$. 7, viil. $12,13$.
 male no doubt that by this clause is intended the assumption of the High Priest's office and of the royal title by the Asmonean Princes.

The literal translation of the clause is - they to whom thou madest no promise, with violence took eway from us our
 The sense is obvious; those who were not of the house of Aaron toak by force
the position and privileges of the sacred family. The Greek bowever is not withnut dithculty in consequence of the absence of (a) the antecelent to the relathe clatus; (b) the olject of the verb appedapro.

The awkwardness of the construction affords groad ground for the eonjecture that olf is the sendering of ath...7 which in this case should have been translater by $\delta$ or E, ,adirois, 'that which thon diust not promise unto them, they took by force.' This explanation accounts for the dative ots, gives a surtable object
 sense of the passage.
 lowed by Pick, connects $\delta 0 \xi \bar{p}$ uth tozuto in the following clause.

For edokatay $k y$ sois $\eta$ con pare $i, ~ \& ~$
 $\theta$ onn $\delta$ seflov.

Tò Evopé gov tó livetuov. CI Dt.
 To 0apuactiv тeíre.

 to be an error for aima.
 We understand these words to mean, that the Sadducee party preferred a worldly monarchy to the Kingdom of Jehovah. The Theocratic parly considered that Jehovah was the king of Israel, and that no mere man should receive the title.

Beacharov is here used for the office and power of the King. Cf. 3 Macc, $1 i .17$ rd Borideco rai rò Lepdrevera. Wisd. i. 14


7 And they esteemed not thy glorious name in any honour； they preferred a kingdom to that which was their excellency．

S They laid waste the throne of David with a tumultuous shout of triumph．

But thou， O God，didst ${ }^{8}$ cast them down，and remove their＂ Gr ． seed from off the earth，

9 When there arose ${ }^{4}$ against them a man that was a stranger ${ }^{4}$ Conj． to our race．

10 According to their sins didst ${ }^{*}$ thou recompense them， O up God I yea，it befell ${ }^{6}$ them according to their works．
－Gr．way
is befall
times used for the emblems of the regal power，the crown or the throne．if． 2 Aam．i． 10 f 1 Kings xiv． 8 （A）； 3 Chron． axill．II；Wisd．V．${ }^{17}$ ．
divti Ulovs avieev，Literally，＂in the place of that whuch was their exaltation．
 dorpdip eds weveos．中qos would then be used almost in the sense of of $0 \psi$ wros．

Our rendering gives its full meaning to durl，which other translators have taken to mean＇because of＇or＂in，＂in if＝3． Thus Geiger，＇setzten sich die Kirone auf mibrem L＇ebermuthe．＇Wellhausen，＂．．in threm Stoiz．＂Pick，＂because of their pride．＇Geiger quates Gen．xlvi．29．But the author of the Greek version of these F＇salms，who shows Euch a predalection for the preposition 4 ，if not likely to have rendered 5 by durl．His wise of dunt in other passages， $3 \mathrm{ij}, 3113,15,21$ ，is rare，but always with the full sense of ＇instend of，＇or＇in the place of＂（ - ת几ת $)$ ．
© गprinerey．This may possibly refer to the contiret between Hyrcanus II．and Aristobulus，which preceded the advance of Pompey into Palestine．But it is better to explain it more gencrally as an aliusion to the wan and ambitious policy of the Asmonean princes，impoverishing the country and weakening their own re－ sources．

For（anjubu ef．Pso 1xxvini，（ixxix．） 7


 phrase to reproduce in English．The two words combine the ideas of in－ solent pride and the clamour of victory． While they shouted for triumph，the primes were desolating the city of David． dididape does not seem to occur in the LxX．，except，ace．to Tromm，I Sam．iv． 6


 3，xlvi． 5 ，cl． 5 ．
marapaheis．．．dpeis．These future tenses are almost certainly examples of the trans－ lator＇s inalulity to render the Hebrew Impf．accurately．For（1）they stand be－ tween the कp巾puray of ver， 8 and the $\delta \xi_{n} \pi$－ pebmer．．．obs dpŷey of ver．： $1,(z)$ they refer to the time mentioned in ver．$g_{\text {e }}$ er

кerafadis．The Asmonean monarchy practionlly ceased after the capture of Jerusalem by Pompey．
－dravartipat The previous classe would have led us to expect the transi－ tive \＆rayaorifas，explanatory of кaraß $\alpha-$ $\lambda$ cis and dpets
 unnsturally these words have been taken to allude to Herod or his father Antipater， who were Idumeans，But the description lower down $(5,16)$ makes this identifics－ tion out of the question，if，कs we tbink，the same person is indicated there as here．

Pompey is clearly referred to．




The ferow huais is not wanted after d $\lambda \lambda$ drpioy and follows awkwardly after cirročs．It has all the appearance of a translator＇s gddition．

10 drobefors．For the tense note on ver，8．For the thought of retribu－ tion see iit． 7,17 ．
supetrin aurois．The optative is in all probability to be regarded as an errone－ ous renderng of the Heb．Impf．，cf，i． 41 iv．9．The two verbs are coordinate．

In the LxX，the Pass of ejploke fol－ lowed by the dat．often renders the He － brew thlions＇to be fuind of＇－＇to be pre－ sent to．＇Cf．\＆Chron，xxvii， 9 dav $5 \pi-$ Thops aírdy，eipetingeral ox，Is．lxv．I


$$
9-2
$$

12 of V, K, P, M, Hilg. Geig. Fritzsch. Pick. +d A, (Cerd.), Fab.
13 Avepot cold. Fab. Geig. äpouos conj. Ewald, guem seq Hilg. Fritusch. Pick.


14. But this usage meems to vccur especially in a good sense.

Here eupetait avicein seems to be equivalent to evpe日tiverau aurais or eviphoovion, cf. Deut xxi. 87 dixd Tderty ix iv cio

 tainty of the tenses is here well exempli. fient.

There are two ways of interpreting this verse, according as Exehoes is understood ironically or literally. (1) Tronically. His mercy towards them is accordsng to their works; their warks are evil; therefort he punishes them. He searches out all their race: nome can escape ; he
 rous). Thus the Lord is just in all his judgeraents
(2) Lilerally. But where their works are not evil, he sheweth mercy; he trieth and searcheth out the whole race. If there is any good in them, he spareth them, and doth not forsake them utterly (our dxगुкer edroutr). Thus the Lord's justice is shown in all his judgements.

In favour of ( 1 ), it should be observed that the tone of denunciation in wv. 8-10
 used in a hostile sense; e.g. I Mace iti. 5 kal totugey dyouous éseperviny, ix. 36 nal


For ouk diqn̄ey airoùs cf. Pb. civ. 19

 'furd $\theta a y$. (In the sense of 'to forgive' d $\phi$ inue is only found with the dative of the person forgiven.)

We prefer (2) however, on the ground
that the tone of irony does not eppear to correspond with the general style of our Panlmist, and that the transition from denunciation to the declarntion of mercy is explained by Pompey's treatment of Hyrcanus II, who was left in occupation of the High Priesthood.

Espervow is used of careful inquisitive search Ps. Ixiii. (lxıv.) $\%$ émpeivivay dyo.
 not always in a hostile sense, Prov. ii. +

 10. For dфinut in the sense of "desert,
 poyoulay mov, Eicclus. vi. 16 kal t $\gamma \times \mathrm{xa}$ 站


If it were not for dinjores we should prefer the sense given by (t), which derives support from the use of $\langle$ Esperva. and dqeivat.

The dafficulty is not lessened by the transition from the and Perss Sing. to the 3rd Pers, Sing. Ahetres.
18 d divtuos. All the MSS, read of ©veuts. Ewald's conjecture d dyonor hats commenderi tiself generally to the Lditors. The change from e to o is very slight, and the application of the title dipapos to Pompry as the representative of the heathen adversanes of Jerusalem noukl be quite appropriate Cf, I Thess. ii. 8


For tivouor used technically of the Gertiles, i.e. those without law, cf. Acts is,

 diterplam.

In our opinion the reading of the mss.

II According to their works God had ${ }^{2}$ compassion upon them；he sought out their seed diligently and forsook them not．

12 Faithful is the LORD in all his judgements，which he doeth upon the earth．

13 The tempest ${ }^{5}$ hath laid waste our land that none should ${ }^{\circ}$ Comj． inhabit it；they destroyed both young and old and their little lazeless ones together．

14 In the fury of his wrath ${ }^{7}$ he sent them away even unto the ${ }^{\text {？}} \mathrm{Gr}$ ． west．

And the princes of the land he turned into derision，and spared them not．
\＄dyenos ahould be adhered to．The Psalmist refers to the Roman attack under the similatude of a devastalung tempest．Cf．av／mov modnoi，viii．2．In Is，xovili．28，19，the same metaphor is used of an unvader；and in the great wnd which in the Conflact of Adam， Bk iii．y 35 ，sweeps over the earth to destroy idols，we have a somewhat sumilar thought．

Compare also the mention in Jos．Awt． XIV，ii． 3 of a great tempest of wind which devastated the land shortly before the date of this book．
¿ind thatwoúvtev eirriv．Cf．Jer．li．
 which expresses generally the sense of －And，behold，thus day they are a desola． tion，and no man dwelleth thereit．＂

The use of \＆pmubur with dird is not com－ mon；it occurs with the sense of＂to de－
 Tep of which passage we might render the present clause＂they robbed our land of her anabitants．＂
 primary meaning of jip刀⿴囗十大亍er，thad waste．The dird twoxoivtan aitip is probably a Hebraism＝玉wn phen＇with－ out inhabitant，${ }^{3}$ as in Isai．vit in tory ip




ग中ávorav．We prefer this reading to
 beng a mere correction of a harsh con－ struction．The devastating wind is the metaphor for Komman armacs，and the Hural is very naturally employed kard aiverev．The transitron from tppowar to thouray may be paralleled by other
instances of change from Singular to PluraI，eg．iv．7，9，to．
viov mal m．－4．See ii． 8 ，where with the exception of eloáraf for ă4e the same words occur．

14 ky dpyn̂ kâthoug．The combina－ tion of midhos with bor is very strange．Obviously it is used in quite a different sense from that in which it cocurs in ii， $4,5,20$.

Geiger considers it to be a rendering
 solence，quuturg Isai．x．12；cf．，Zech． xn．\％．Wethausen probably explains the word sn the same way，＇In Lorn und l＇evermuth．＇Phk renders＇in his jealous fury，without however suggestung the reading to dpyot spinous aiboiv which his translation mught be taken to indicate． The gen．kidious qualifyng ópyit may be ulusisated by Hisid．v． 16 To tad


We surgest another explamation of ad $\lambda \lambda$ oirs a virovi．（1）These words hterally retranslated give us 19\％．，（2）After iv ipyp we should expect $\theta$ vucovi autroi＝＇in the fury of his wrath，＇cf．Lam．ii． 3
 ＇I $\sigma$ pajph．（3）It appears to us that l＇DK －his wrath was by as easy error in one lefter written upi＂his beauty，（4）The translator sightly rendered＂פ2＂by xat－ Aous aíroif；but if he had the true reading before him，be would have rendered it by $\theta u \mu 0 \hat{0}$ abraî．Our translation＇in the fury of his wrath＇conjecturally restores the original menning，Mast probsbly we should supply of Oed before Etardoreiner．
aúrd Ews $k \pi b$ Burpiny．Pompey sent of Aristobulus and his famuly to adorn the trumph at Rome－See Introd．

Fritzsche says＇gcribendum fory lni






 ḋÁBeian．



 बข่าผิ้

10 Eralpara conj．Hilg．
turuik，cf．I Macc．F． 28 ，nisi interpres solecismum admisit．＂But we reed not hesitate to retan the realing of the mss．
kai roùs dpxovras．Striclly speaking the nocusative is by semgma after and－ oredien．But in all probabilty this se－ cusative case is due to an error of the translator，who did not perceive that there was a fresh clause，being ansled by the abseace of a verb．
ds turaw ofy then does not express that the rulers were sent so mockery，but that the zulers became a laughing－stock． The els gives a common use of 5 ，青 in eis ducudajuby，els yitura，etc．；cf．ii． 13 ．

It is possible that furrecyube may refer to the degradation of being led in the conqueror＇s trumph．

15 iy idhotpuorytu The Psalmist deals leniently with＇the adversary．＇His ＇insolence＇（íreppqanla）is explained to be due to hil foreugn ongir，and to the fact of＂his heart＇being strange from， i．e，ignorant of，the Jewish God．

The Psalmist，we make no doult，is alluding to the presumption of Pompry in entering the Holy of Holies．

Bat Pompey＇s freedom from awarice and consideration for the religion and worship of the Jews had commanded the respect of the people．He is therefore not vindictively assailed ：has prolanity is ascribed to his ignorance．
 adj．©גAbrguen is found weth a gent of the object，and not elsewhere with ⿷匚⿱宀㠯 though the verb didiorpude has this con－
struction，e．g．Gen．xhi．7；Mace，vi． 14．Here the ded reproduces the Hebrew 12.

10．nivra gre troincer．The sian does not seem to be wanted in the clause； for it requires us to supply traigree a second tume．
Is dow a corruption in the text for bove？ And is it intended that Pompey oftered sacrifices to the God of Israel in Jenu－ salem，following the ordinary ritual of the heathen in their own cuties？
ka0dy kal rd forp．Nether Antipater nor Herod would have acted thus in Jerusalem．

17 trexpaírovy．For druxpareī ste note on xvi．7．Here the sense is thal the Jews outdid and smpoassed the heathen in the outrageous excesses of idolatry（per＊ haps＝ip Pin）．

Another explanation of the word is to regard it is a rendering of＂3 pripi wut the sense of＂join oneself to．＂So Gui－ ger，who quotes it kings ix， 9 ＇laid hold on other gods；＂Prov，iii，is＂she is a tree of life to them that lay hold upon her ；＇＇ 2 v ．13．He however explaing the line to mean that the Jews land hold of（1．e．banded themselves with）the houthen agarnst their own countrymen，
 merpretation would refer airuy to the heathen gods and practices，which the Jews＇lak holl of．，
of viol Tris Svabjok


15 In that he was an alien, the adversary wrought insolence, and his heart was alien from our God.

16 And all things whatsoever he did ${ }^{6}$ in Jerusalem, he did ${ }^{8}$ Conj. even as do the Gentsles in their cities unto their gods. And all

17 And the children of the covenant that develt in the midst rites ferer of the mingled people surpassed them", there was none among formed he them in the midst of Jerusalem that did mercy and truth.

18 They that loved the assemblies of the saints fled from them: they were scattered as sparrows from their nest. 19 They were wandering in desert places, that their lives nastery
might be preserved from liarm; and precious in the sight of ouer them them that were sojourners was one life saved from among them.



doviv ruypharur. Clearly a rendering of 27 Cf. Jer. xxxif. (Heb, sxy.) 35

 We find for the 変me word inimncos ased es a subst, in Ex. yii. 28 tal dripervos
 quoted above,

Here it in very possible that torn is either in alternative rendering or introduced explanatorily, of zvin is kerapopa ïтyov, кеттре Irrov.
 "deal kindly and truly" (LXX - Tonjoere...
 II óts Aeov kal áh

1 a dowayoyde dofiey, the assemblies of the saints' or 'the gathenngs together of the saunts.' Cf. Po cxlix, it atyeot aưzaî
 cither generally to collected multitudes, ef. Ecclus. xciv. a3 ounayonal 'Iarusp, or to more formal gatherings Ecclus, wlv. 18 क gweyay Kopt, 3 Macce xiv, 28.

For the opposite to ounawrit folw
 बuyayon atopuw, Apoc, ì, 9, iii. 9 sure-

ws orpoubia. The metaphor is perhaps bormowed from Ps. x. (xi.) \& merayaoreioo dinl rd dipp in atpoution.
\&fereráoөpoav. We should have expectert eifatyour. Cf. Eccius xhil. 14 <E<
dwi noitis. nolm here seems to be used for $\frac{1}{}$ nest (rocold).
In Jer. X. al коípyo atpoutiny occurs for "the dwelleng*place of jeckals." It is wery probable that ofr translator con-
fusing otpoutal and orpoufia has adiopted woltoy from this passaget

10 \&Thavövto Cf. lieb, xi. $3^{8}$ \&y spmpiats Thavinterol.
coopivat. The infin, is epexegete of, but stands in no strict grammatical connexion with, $\begin{gathered}\text { tr } \\ \text { hamenta. }\end{gathered}$

Thuov ty $\phi \phi 0 \mathrm{a} \lambda \mu$ рit. Cf, Ps, cxv. (cxvi.) 15 riusop dyayrioy suplou of $\theta$ dvaror
 t Sam. iii. I f finta nuplow in timoy fr raís tuepaus excivass.
rapousias for tive rapolkcon, the abstract for the cuncrele. The sense is that the community of the Jews dispersed in other countries regarded as a rare and preciou! thing any one life saverf from the perils which ferusalem offered both from the Romans and from fellow-countrymen.

We have here perhaps the earliest instance of mapouxia epplied to a commonnety temporarily sojourning in a strange land. As a tutle for the Jewish sojourners in foreign lands, it zepresents a difierent shade of thought from staormoph. As in סiag ropd they nre described in theis relation to their fatherland; os it Fapouta they are described in their relation to the countries in which they sojoumed for a time until the day of Israel's restoration. Cf. Eeclus. Prol. $\alpha$ \&
 rence in the present passage is of especial interest, mince tit shows that the nse of Tapocise for "E body of sopourners ${ }^{2}$ was an accepted Jewish one before it hecame getrenally adopted in the language of the Church. See Bp Lightfoot's note on Clem. Ep. ad Cor. i.s, where however the present passage is not mentioned, and where the earliest instancea quoled
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \nu$,
 ${ }^{2}$ Trial cynecxég

 дцарті́.







20 d odpaydes $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M} ;$ om. d $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{K}$.

21 ILs dos conj.
 Fritzsch. Pick, Conj. © do $\sigma \in \beta$ rip Gers.


are from Christian sources, Mars Polys. instr., Dionys. Corinth. (?) in Bus. H.E. 15. 23.

Wail's Clavis Apocr. quotes as examplies of тарокia $=$ of $\pi d$ рокко, 3 Macc. vi.


 xpbrout eupporizours; but mistakenly, as in both cases saposica refers to the perood and condition of To maposkeiv, as in Acts xiii, 37.

In another passage Ecclus, xvi. 8, oưk
入ítaro, if rapoukte =of $\pi d p o k=0$, it is in the sense of ' neighbours' not of 'tempomary sojourners. ${ }^{1}$
Geiger's conjecture that bodpaikois $\pi$ tapourias is a mistranslation of "1, 墇?
 does not seems to be either forcthie or poetical enough to justify acceptance.
20 бкортwoper. The substantive dues not seem to occur in the LXX. For axop-



oivtoxer ob oupawis. For this drought and consequent famine, see Joseph. $A m$. xiv. 3.

The same famine here spoken of has been mentioned in ii. 10.
For divioxew, cf. Mag. i. 10 dud roûto
 3 te dore kyplov detoxes ovpaybin.
21 mural.. \& ${ }^{5}$ diviorav. This phrase

 fwy Dit, vile, $7, x_{\lambda x}, 13$.
The adj. slónot probably represents the then of living water' (םיY/ OVD),

 (2
 notes the two sources of water; (1) the springs, which were unfailing in summer and winter alike, (2) the water-courses frown the mountains, dry during the bot sеакоп.
Cf. Assumpt. Mus is x. 8 Et fortes

20 Over all the earth were they scattered and drien by lawless men.

For the heaven ceased to drop rain upon the earth,
21 The fountains were stayed, the everlasting fountains that spring out of the great depths and from the high mountains: because there was none among them that did righteousness and judgement.

From their ruler to ${ }^{10}$ the vilest of the people, they were ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Gr}$ and altogether sinful.

22 The king was a transgressor, and the judge was disobedient, and the people sinful.

23 Behold, O Lord, and raise up unto them their king, the son of David, in the time which thou, O God, knowest, that he may reign over Israel thy servant;

24 And gird him with strength that he may break in pieces them that rule unjustly.
aquarum deficient, et flumuna exarescent. 4 Esdr. vi. 24 Et venze fontium stabunt.

 Ezek. xvid, 5 क de duypuros of tatac \$t-

 oañop.
 very probable instance of a Hebrew jdiom imperfectly understood; 'from theis pnace to the very least of the people.' i.e. both their prince and the dregs of the mob. Cf . Jonah IIt. 5 didd $\mu \mathrm{f}$ yadow
 Ews should be supplied bere; in xyili. 13 it was omitted by A and by older editors. The idom in the $2 \times X$. is generally given by drio...kal tan (cf. Ex. ix. 25, Jos, vi. 2!, $\mathbf{t}$ Sam, zve 3),

Geiger, who also explains the diffculty of the passage as due to a misapprehension of the Liel, prep. p, connects drod exporror autur with the previous clause, "Keiner...itbte mehr als ihr Fiurst?
22 \& Borivele. The Asmonean Prinoe, referring to Hyrcanus II, or Aristobulus IL
iv d표방. Four of the mss, give this reading in place of $t v y$ dielets, which was so unintelligible that Inlgenfeld's conjectural insertion of ous was accepted by

Fritzsche (?), Wellhausen 'in Hestechlichkelt,' and Puck, while Geiger suggested dy $\dot{\dot{\alpha} \sigma \in \beta e k}$.
 dresteip is not uncommon, e.g. Isai. i. 23 at apxornds sov dтectoivt (Symm. dTeth Onjs), , iii. 8.
28 The Psalmitit has deacribed, in the most moving terms he can employ, the state of deciay into which the Jewish polity had fallen. To his miad lhere is only one possible remetly for it : the King promised long before, of the true ancient kingly line.

For the wording of the appeal many parallels can be cited. The promise originally malde to David is in a Sam. vil. 13. In Jer. xax. 9 we have rdy $\Delta$.
 xxxiv. $23, \quad x \times x y i l^{2} 2 ;$ and, as perhaps the carliest in date, Amosiz. in dyartige

malgody 8 v oldas. Cf, Zech, xiv, 7 ,
 ence to the old promise is andicated.

24 vinofarov occura once in a Macc. and in Acts xxvii. 17. The usual LxXe ex.
 38, 43. In Is. xi. the Messala is girded with righteonisness and fauthfulness.

Ipsëras. CC. Num, xxiv, 17 Opaíoet roil dpaprois Modis. The corrupl Sedducean princes are to be cast down.
 ar $\pi \omega \lambda$ हía，
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 чА入MA．
28 Grig．conj．ratouplocu．

 aKE向．$\}$



\＄0 katapeplaei V， $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ M，Hulk．Gig，Fritzsch．Dick．
sarauetploes A，K，Fabr．
31 Efren cal hots A．
om．đáya入ュa M．

25 The Romans are to disappear from the Holy City．Cf．in．s．

A noteworthy point in this verse is the apparent coordination of the three words dreitete，ropla，sumaoruyn．The first does not，and we thunk was never in－ tended tu，range with the other two．It is a striking instance of the translator＇s fondness for dy：here he is led into an extreme awkwardness of expression there－ lory．The asyndeton adds to the obscurity． Cf．genera！ $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ，v． 3.3.

28 \＆forth．We are again confronted here by the question，Are these verbs to be taker as Optatives or Infinitives？ The Mss．usually deciare（as here）for the later．We believe that the former is correct in this place，and that the Optative
has really the force of the Future．The Infinitive would be entirely unobjection－ able，were it not for the intervening clause
 introduces new subject．Two Mss． （ $M, P$ ）have felt the difficulty and met it by omitting the clause．That west not really necessary．We can still retain the words，and construe the verbs as Infini－ lives：only the resultant text is very clumsy；whereas the Optative gives an easy construction in $\mathbf{Y} .27$ ，and an easy transition to the Futures of $\gamma, 18$ sqq．
krpiquah Ecclus，xxxii．8，and often elsewhere in this connection．

The punctuation and text of P deserve a passing notice．


25 Purge Jerusalem from the heathen that trample her down to destroy her, with wisdom and with righteousness.

26 He shall ${ }^{11}$ thrust out the sinners from the inheritance, utterly destroy the proud spirit of the sinners, and as potter's vessels with a rod of iron shall he break in pieces all thejr substance ${ }^{\text {th }}$.

27 He shall destroy the ungodly nations with the word of his mouth, so that at his rebuke the nations may flee before him, and he shall convict the sinners in the thoughts of their hearts.

28 And he shall gather together a holy people, whom he shall lead in righteousness; and shall judge the tribes of the people that hath been sanctified by the LorD his God.

29 And he shall not suffer inıquity to lodge in their midst; and none that knoweth wickedness shall dwell with them.

30 For he shall take knowledge of them, that they be all the sons of their God, and shall divide them upon the earth according to their tribes.

31 And the sojourner and the stranger shall dwell with them no more.

He shall jucgge the nations and the peoples with the wisdom of his righteousness. Selah.
 керapites roviplifely aurais. The transfator here, as elsewhere, shows, ts we should expect, close familarity with the Lxx.

The clause is from Is. xi, 4. This passage is still more closely copied in v. 39, which see.

27 iv dretij. A reason has already been assigned for the omission of this clause by $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{P}$; on the relation between these two mas. see Introd. Cf. Hab. ii.


The zrd clause is remarkable. Sinners are to be convicted by the 'word of their heart,' te. the tevimony of therr con-
 payous diavoig rapoias aizus. The expression, though not the rdea, belongs more to the $\mathbb{N}$.T. sphere of thought : cf . the recerved text of John viil. 9 ט̈rd Ting ซoveroforeds dAerxbuemen, The story of David's conviction, 2 Sam, xiia, and the passage Is. xxcili, it are two only of several O.T. illustrations of the thought here.

2 When all the destructive work of the Messiab is over, his constructive functions begun. First his subjects are to be gathered, and then their freedom from
alien pollation secured. Is xi. 12 mombike


 dфtraúpenar is the regular word for 'prince.'

крıvei фuhás Cf. Luhe xaita 30.
20 (f. 1s. c. (ct.) 7 ov кaTభíket iy
 and Job X!. 14.

Bo mávté viol teov. Is. liv. 13 kas नámpas tobe hous боu didaktois $\theta \in 0$. IHos. i. 10 xal aìrâ viot $\theta$ eô̂ sûyros. Alse Deut. xiv. I Tial tore Kuplou sod̀ $\theta$ eoun imen. We might compare the words of our Lord in John 玉. 14 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}$ know my sheep, and am knowa of mine ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ), which are particularly appropriate here, for in v. 45 the metaf hor of the good shepherd is employed by thas writer.
 кerapepljet gon Kúpuos of 6 ebs ofon. Also Num. xxxii. 18; Ezek, xlv. B; Ecclus. xxxvi. 12 ; Ps. 1 xvi. 55 (Sym.).

The reading (?) of A maramerpires would be an errur by itacism for rata, erpmore.



31 The ides of the 'stranger in the gates' has become intolerable to the Jew

It Gr. $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{z})$ hr; with other accents,
${ }^{11} \mathrm{Or}$ con. thence
 $a^{2} \pi \omega \lambda \in i ́ q$,















 чалма.
25 Geig. conj. kadaplowi.
26 є $\xi \hat{\omega} \sigma$ M.
 oxen.)

WI dxotpeitarar V, P, M.


30 кavauplote V, P, M, Hug. Gig. Fritzsch. Pick.
каташетрtoet A, K, Fab,
81 diverach kaon A.
om. di qi $\mu \mathrm{M}$.

25 The Romans are to disappear from the Holy City. Cf. ill. z.

A noteworthy point in this verse is the apparent coordination of the three words a.redera, ronda, suratorún. The first does not, and we think was never intended to, range with the other two. It is a stoking mstance of the translator's fondness for by: here he is led into an extreme awkwardness of expression thereby. The asyndeton adds to the obscurity. Cf. generally, \%. 33.

28 fora. We are again confronted here by the question, Are these verbs to be taken as Optatives or Irfinutives? The mss usually deciare (as here) for the latter. We believe that the former is correct in this place, and that the Optative
has really the force of the Future. The Infinitive would be entirely anobjectionable, were it not for the intervening clause v. 27, to dreth ....中ureis tom...\% which introduces 2 new subject. Two MSs. (M, P) have felt the difficulty and met it by orating the clause. That wat mot really necessary. We can all retain the words, and construe the verbs as Infinitapes: only the resultant text is very clumsy; whereas the Optative gives ah easy construction in 7.37 , and an easy 1ranstion to the Futures of v. 28 sig.
ikxpitar. Ecclus, axils, 8, and often elsewhere in this connection.
The punctuation and text of $P$ deserve a passing notice.
is owevin кepapios, from Plo ii. 9 won-

25 Purge Jerusalem from the heathen that trample her down to destroy her, with wisdom and with righteousness.

26 He shall ${ }^{13}$ thrust out the sinners from the inheritance, utterly destroy the proud spirit of the sinners, and as potter's vessels with a rod of iron shall he break in pieces all their substance ${ }^{12}$.

27 He shall destroy the ungodly nations with the word of his
mouth, so that at his rebuke the nations may flee before him, and he shall convict the sinners in the thouglats of their hearts.

28 And he shall gather together a holy people, whom he shall lead in righteousness: and shall judge the tribes of the people that hath been sanctified by the LORD his God.

29 And he shall not suffer iniquity to lodge in their midst; and none that knoweth wickedness shall dwell with them.

30 For he shall take knowledge of them, that they be all the sons of their God, and shall divide them upon the earth according to their tribes.

31 And the sojourner and the stranger shall dwell with them no more.

He shall judge the nations and the peoples with the wisdom of his righteousness. Selah.
 xeparedot duttplyes autrof. The translator here, as elsewhere, shows, as we should expect, close familiarity with the Exx.
ynnorycove Cf, on Kv. 7 .
The clause is from Iso xi. 4. This passage is still more closely copied in $\mathrm{v}_{\text {. }}$ 39, which see.

27 iv drewhy. A reason has already been arsigned for the omission of this clause by $M, P$; on the relation between these two MSS. see Introd. Cf. Hab. jii.

The 3 rd clanse is remarkable. Simers are to be convicted by the "word of their heart, ${ }^{3}$ i.e. the testimony of their con-
 parous datrouk rapdias aither The expresmon, though not the Idea, kelongs more to the N. I. - sphere of thrught : cf. the received text of John viii. 9 ind गff emverstoress eldeyxumes. The story of David's convsction, $\frac{1}{2}$ Sann, xitr, and the passage I5. exxuli. is are two only of several O.T. ijlustrations of the thought here.

28 When all the destructive work of the Messiah is over, hus constructive functions begin. First his subjecti are to be gathered, and then their freedom from
aliea pollution secured. Is. xi. 12 ervaifec Toul drohoutrout 'Iopath etc.
iфпүүंणerah In Ex. xi. 8 of Moses,
 dquproumenos is the regular word for "prince."

крเvei фuads. Cr. Luke xiol. 30.

 and Job xl. 14 .

30 máyres vick $\theta \mathrm{covi}$. Iss liv, $z_{3}$ кat
 i. 10 mal at̀rồ wiol $\theta$ eol fürror. Also Deut, xiv. I Tial tore Kuplou roû beoû uneiv. We mught compare the words of our Lord in John $x$. $\$ 4$ ('I know my sheep, and am known of mine ${ }^{\text {f }}$, which are particuarly appropriate here, for in Y. 45 the metaphor of the good shepherd is empiayed by this writer.
 Marapepifer om Kuptos docór gev. Also Num, Exxii, 18; Ezek. klv, 8; Ecelus. xxxvi. 22 ; Ps. Ixvii. 35 (Sym.).

The reading (P) of A natamerples would be all error by itacism for karaperphoget



3i. The iden of the 'stranger in the gatea' has become intolerable to the Jew







 aửov́s.



34 фе́рочтен A, V, K, P, Fabr.
中ерритан M (? corr.), conj. Hilg. (Fritzsch, Pick).
Gig. conj. $\phi$ (pera.

of this period. lIte desires nothing more than to see the land reserved for the chosen race alone. That this exclusiveness made a deeply unfavourable inpreston on the stranger who came in contact with hum, is too familiar topic to bear enlarging upon. For O.T, foreshadowing of this, see Joel iii. 17 (of
 nuty̆s Duck etc.
didoyeris is a very common word in Leviticus, eg. xxi. 10 where ripouros also occurs, -another of many cases where our writer seems to show a familiarity with the LxX. version of that book.
We see from the second clause of the verse that the nation are not to be destroyed; though excluded from resulting to the land. They will look to Judaea as their centre and to Messiah as their sovereign.
iv orodia Buksuorivys. This is merely another way of writing es roxie ty otnarooiviva $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ 2B. In these verses the Messiah appears as a second Joshua, In the next division he is a second Solomon, v. supra.

Scáquaja, omitted by the Moscow ms. Very likely it is not genuine, any more than the other one in Ps. S. xviii. 10, where we believe that $a$ longer pause ought to be expressed. It may have been put in in both places by the man who wrote the titles of our $P$ salmon, in order to assimilate them more closely in outward form to the

Davidic collection. Against this is the fact that only two are to be found in the whole book.
If genuine, they point to $s$ surgical use of these Psalms, of which we have no other trace.

32 This Messianic dominion over the Gentiles is dwelt upon in Ps xxxii. is etc. (rívra rd tony souncúgougu avis), Is. lxvi. ; Zech. xiv.; Dan. vii. (14). Compare for the language, Zeph. inf. 19 rout
 sind see notes on Prs vii. 8.

In the later literature (4 Esdr. silo; Apoc. Bar. lxii.) the fate predicted for the Gentiles is lar less mild, Most of them are to perish, and ail who are left are to be enslaved. This, too, is the idea of such a writer as Commodian (who draws from Jewish sources), Instr, II. 2, Corm. Aped, 1012.
(t) triverfuc. Geiger translates 'durch Unterwerfung der ganzen Eide,' and rebards in vo th up as parallel to guy just as in Ps. ii. 6 it corresponds to $\sigma \not \phi p a y$ ls We cannot agree with bim. It seems to us far simpler to assign its usual sense to Zercorimen of 'conspicuous,' and to regard the clause as an allusion to Is. ii. 1 (Mical iv, 1), 'The mountain of the Lord's house shalt be established in the top of the mountains, and shall be exalted above the halls.'
It has been suggested to us that it


32 And he shall possess the nations of the heathen to serve him beneath his yoke, and he shall glorify the LORD in a place to be seen of the whole earth;

33 And he shall purge Jerusalem and make it holy, even as it was in the days of old.

34 So that the nations may come from the ends of the earth to see his glory, bringing as gifts her sons that had fainted,

35 And may see the glory of the LorD, wherewith God hath glorified her.

And a righteous king and taught of God is he that reigncth over them;

36 And there shall be ${ }^{13}$ no iniquity in his days in their midst, for all shall be holy and their hing is the Lord Messiah".
same meaning, that of 'publicity.' We prefer however to think that, while that idea explaina the present passange, the word in ii. 6 has a more concrete meaning and is a substantive ( $=$ orlү $\mu$ a).
33. dynaopos mears here according to Geiger 'the state, is well in the processes of sunctifcation. ${ }^{3}$ The cleansing here spouken of refers mainly to the smnctuary. The Messab, like Judas Maccabaeus, will reestablish the splendour of the oid Solomonic worship. CF. 1 Mace. iv. $3^{6-41}$.
 will restore thy judges ass at the first and thy counsellors as at the beginning;* ii.
 Hab. i. 32 , Zech. xii. 7.
81 This verse is a condensation of several passages in Isaiah, notably lxvi.


 also ch. 1x. and Ezek, xxxvil. 28, 4 Esdr. xiii, slıqui adducentes ex eis quì offerebantur.
$\$$ \$ardewiv accurs only (?) in P5. 1xiii. (kiv.) 0 es a various reading.
The change of the text from фtpoures to peporras (Itilgenfeld, Fritzsche) is a needless alteration.
as סı\&aktds ind Beoû, See Is. liv. 13 (quoted alove on 8.30 ). The word is not very common. It pccurs in I Macc.
 human nature of the Messiah is here not obscurely inducated. There is, we think, a considerable pause in the sense between the first and second clauses of this verse. The aürol are not the Gentiles;
they could not be called fideres dyou.
36 The first part of this verse calls Amointed for no particular comment. It resembles such prophecies as Jer. xxiii. 6. The dizuria may have special reference to the unorthodox pracices, or the oppression, of the then reigning dynasty.
But in the expression xparts kuppos, we have perbans the 'crux' of the wbule book. We will attempt to state at once the various views which have been or may be held concerning it.
a. It may be a correct rendering of the onginal Hebrew.
B. It may be a mustranslation of the Hebrew.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. It may be a Christian perversion of the text.
(a) We hold that either this view, or that mentioned next in order, is perfectly tenable. The main difficulty lees in the procuring evidence to show that the word xipos, which so unformly represents the name of God, could be applied to one who, as appears from the context here, is merely man. The following poessiges seem to point to the possibility of this.
( I I Lam. iv. 30 "The breath of our nostnow, the Anointed of the Lord (ixex. xpartof kípoos) is taken in their pits.' The L, Xx. are here guily of a mistransiation, but their mustake points to the eurrency of the expression.
(2) Ps. cix. (cx.) I elvep riphor Tŵ $x \in p l(\varphi) \mu \mathrm{DV}$.
 *artpe toil nuplou $\mu$ nov. Here a Christian corruption has been suspected, but perhaps unnecessarily.
(4) Luke ii, ir the Angel to the

#    

37 то入hois coxhl.: örhous conj. Hilg. (Firuzsch.), ilem athors, matrait. Fidose nos conj.



#### Abstract

 pios. It should be noticed that this part of St Luke's Gospel has on specially bebraistic colouring, and that we are here dealing wnth min announcement made to men who were expecting a Mensiakh. It may be argued that the Angel would speak of hun in terms corresplonding to the expectation of him, and under a


 name by which he was hnown.(5) By way of ulustration we may add
 ${ }^{\text {are }} \bar{w}^{\mu}$ ou Kupy. For in the Epistle of Barnalas, xii. II we find that passage quoted in this form mal zadur $\lambda$ lees abirus
 pl $4,{ }^{3}$ A corresponding perversion is found in some Lalin authurities. Gelharde and Harnack in loc. refer to Tert. advo $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ wdreas c. F , adz. Praxeam 21. 28, Cypro Tastum, 1. 21. This cornuption lends a certain colour to the idea that a Chris. tian scribe has altered \& word in our Psalm. It is not absolutely impossible that the change of $\mathbb{K} u p y$ to $\mathbb{K} u p l y$ may have been made by a Jew, on the authonty of Ps. cx.
To sommarise our evidence. We find that the expression xpardr kúpos is once applied to a king (by mistake), and once to the expected Messiah ( (ra St Luke), that exporos is possibly twice applied to the Messiah, and, lastly, that xporoin kopior is by no means a distinetively Christian expression, occurring, as it does, only once in N.T.
It may very well be the case, we think, that the phasase is here a correct rendering of the Hebrew, and that the word rípoop represents not, of course, Jehovah, but ${ }^{1}$ ארא, a word which might very properly be applied to a supreme conqueror of earthly ongun.
( $\beta$ ) The wecond theory mentioned above hat however met with more suppport than this, It is that the disputed expression is a reminiscence of the Lxx. rendenng of Lam, iv. 10 ( $(\mathrm{v}$, suppa), and that here, as there, the Hebrew onginal was तiti nutp and, consequently, the

Greek ousht tu be xpartods kuplov. The supporters of this theory would for the nost park mamntan that the ferst should not be altered, luut that it is to be regand. ed as a mustranslation. Those who do not believe in a Hebrew original of the book, see in this mistake a confrmation of their view, holding that the writer is directly quoting the $\mathrm{l} x \mathrm{x}$. of L mim. iv . 20. So Itile, who calls the bypothess of a tmistrantation ' mera harriulato.'
There is a good deal to be said in favour of this theory. First, there is the undulted ranty of the exprension xpagrds kipoos. Scrondly, there is the analegy of this same trouk, P's. xvail 6 dy dudifa xpoctoî aíroû (sc. $\theta \in 0 \hat{)}$ ) and 8. Therdly, the comparative frequency of the phrase xperder kuplow whether, as in earlice literature, appled to the king (e.g. 3 Gam. i. 34), or, as it was later on, to the Mes.
 Kuplon (or Simeon).

This view has the support of Ewald, Hilgenfeld, Geiger. Carrière, Vemes, Wellhausen, Prof. Robertoon Smith, and other cruics.
(r) A thurd hy pothesis has to be mertooned. It is that which sees in $x$ puards abopor either a Christinn corruption of $x$. ayplou, or a Christian alteration perhay,s of the plain $x$ purds. This view llersves, as we sand, a certain support from the quotation founs in Barnalias ( $\mathbf{v}$, sufua). But we believe we have shown sufficient reason for thanking that yourrds aboven is by no means an emphatically Christian phrase. It should be borne in mind, moreover, that this is the one and only phrase in the book to which any suspicion of the hind has attached, allhowgh few books of the time and class to which this belongs bave escaped the charge of Christian interpolation; so that a very strong case would have to be made out before we could admit the validity of the charge here. It would be necessary to show, isuler alia, why the interpolator did not insert any other sangle Chinsuan touch into the Psalmist's description : it

37 For he shall not put his trust in horse and rider and bow, nor shall he multiply unto himself gold and silver for war, nor by ships shall he gather confidence for the day of battle.
would bave been no harder, surely, to insinuate some allusion here to the birth and life of our Lord or to his death, than it was to insert the celebrated words drit gíhov in Pge xcvo (xcvi.) 10.

It in a little dificult to separate this theory from the last. Several critics (c.g. Geiger) merely belseve the translator to have been a Christian ; while another (Graetz, Gesch. d. Yuden iii. (ed. a) p. 439 not, quoted by Higg ) used to nesigut Pss. xvis, xvui. to a Chnstian author entirely on the strength of this phrase. Obviously the most tenable form is that held by Geiger, although, 2t we have already said, we do not think that the hypothesis of Christian interference with the text is really needed.

The names Anointed, Christ, Messiah occur whth some frequency in the Apocalypses. Enoch 48, 10, 52. 4 (both times in the Parables), 4 Esdr. vii, 28, 9, xii. 32, Apoc. Bar. 29, 3 and often.
$\$ 7$ This verse points to the contrast between the old Solomon and his future antitype, and shows how the latter would obey the letter of the Mosac Law, and 50 realise the Pharisace idea of the good hing.

The chief passage in the Law which beary upon this point \& Deut, aviit 16 ,




See also 1s. xxxin. 9 al Tetratootes ix'

 e $\lambda$ ret $\hat{\omega}_{\text {, and }}$ generally, the accounts of Soloman's wealth and splentiur in I Kagg $x$. Here, as in Deut. xx. i and Ezeh, txxix, so frroz Ral drapímpis inapdrap is the rendering of $コ$ 국 "chariot.s

The last line is the ouly one which presents any particular diffeculty. It will be seen that the mss. all read wodhois. To this we find ourselves unable to attach a satisfactory sense; it is a very strained phrase if intended to stand for ' multitudes.' Various improvements have been attempted. Geiger's is perhaps as good is myy. He thinks the word is a

refers to a similar mistake in Jer، xxvii.

 this in the fact that it would be a misplaced recurrence to a matter airearly touched apon ( cp, тbE $\psi$ ahove). Hilgenfeld offen three conjectures, alows, gatroir, briosf, of which the last is adopted by Fritziche. It may be supported by a reference to 1 Chr xxxil. 5, where it is maid of Hezekiah nareonctra-
 Solomon's of ite xpurâ are described.

We have ventured to suggest $\boldsymbol{T}$ oions as an emendation which comes closest to the 'ductus literarm," and yolds a good sense. The Mesmah walt not gathet 'hopes' (ग.e. mercenary troopts or stepplies) for the day of war in shaps.

But we further con,ectire that the
 duplicate rembering, an attempt on the part of the translator to combline the two well known meansigs which ate found with ilp and its derwatwes. Thus oupiket corresponds to the Niphal usage 'to be gathered together, ${ }^{\text {andigas to }}$ the Piel 'to trust.' The substantive Hys occurs with the selnse of 'hope' in i Chron. xxix. 15, Fir. x. 2, Jer. xiv. 8, xyin. 13, 1. 7, and of 'as gathering tugether' in Gien. 1. 10, Ex. Hin. 19. Lev. xi. 36 ' 'a troop' in 1 Kings $x$. 28. In Jer. lo (xxvil.) 7 ם hope of their fathers," the ixx. version
 apparently reading inspo. Similarly the present passage preserves the translator's uncertainty between the vocalization of ก



Foretgh commerce had been a foremont source of strengith and also of weakness to solomon, and naval werfare had become promnent sunce his tume; to eather of these one maght expect an allusion here. The vanuus 'simews of war' would then be all reprecented in the verse. Recent events would have impressed upon the Jews the importance of neval strength. Pompey's victories in the East had been










 "kail piss Súvatal mos aủróv;
 "moimaínon to moimnion kupiov èv míatei kail Sckatocúv,

as èdeinoen cold.; orthoe Hill. conj, it t Fritzach. Pick. ìheyke Schmidt ap. HINg. ${ }^{9}$
41 'Ed pac cold. ${ }^{2}$ Eappac, Hug.
4 a over doterivel. + intis ( M it a interpung.).
44 zuyarei conj. Hila.
preceded by the success of his armada over the pirates that had been the terror of shipping in the Eastern Mediterraпеал.

Thus Hyrcanus before Pompey complains that his brother Aristobulus bad stirred up 'piratical expeditions by sea' (rd recparhpas te in bawdry poison sivas


Hing, refers to 4 Esr, xiii. 9 'non levawit manum suam neque framean tenebat neque aliquot vas bellicosum. ${ }^{1}$
A further suggestion (due to Hugenfold), that for irides we should read doridat, need not be considered more particularly.
se wúplos aires kit. $\lambda$, see on v. I.
dint k.r.A. Here again we have a difficult expression, which, if the Greek
 original Hebrew, can be explained on the analogy of didos? dy Evorebefo (iv. IT) etc, the words fuarof dittos orel being taken es one expression, equivalent to
 may seem a strained construction. Guiger restores the original Hebrew, and renders it 'Gout lest den Starken ingefahrdet weller!' Wellhausen assumes a different grouping of the words in the original, and. renders 'Der Herr it Kong, ias inst vein Vertrauen, er inst stark in der Hoftrung put Gott, der wisd Grade geber. Allie Volker werden var ibm in Furcht seen.'
denar. This, the reading of the MSS. yields, we think, t preferable sense to the less decided arthoer of Hilgenfeld (Fritzache etc.). The author does not here or elsewhere mean to devote the Gentiles to entire reprobation. This again is a note of early date.
 ingenious ; butt we find e $\lambda$ try $k$ ac in vv. 17 and 41 used of duaptwhol and apxortes, not of tare. If any change in the text were needed to give the idea of yer. 27


38 The Lord himself is his King, and the hope of him that is strong in the hope of God.

And he shall have mercy upon all the nations that come before him in fear.

39 For he shall smite the earth with the word of his mouth even for evermore.
40 He shall bless the people of the LORD with wisdom and gladness.
4I He himself also is pure from sin, so that he may rule a mighty people, and rebuke princes and overthrow sinners by the might of his word.

42 And he shall not faint all his days, because he leanetl upon his God; for God shall cause ${ }^{18}$ him to be mighty through the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{Gr}$. spirit of holiness, and wise through the counsel of understanding, cansal with might and righteousness.

43 And the blessing of the LORD is with him in might, and his hope in the LORD shall not faint.

44 And who can stand up against him? he is mighty in his works and strong in the fear of God,
45 Tending the flock of the LORD with faith and righteousness; and he shall suffer none among them to faint in their pasture.
gest Alopoce =triturabit. But the vom here are not rapdyoua, and mercy to the Gentife is an independent and orjginal thought.

30 Varbally taken from Is, xi. 4. For the literal interpretation see 4 Esdr. xiii. and later Apocalypses. The words cir alowa are added after the fashion of our Psalmist, in order Blightly to vary the bornowed words.

41 radapd́s. Geiger cites Prov. xx. 9 (7is sappnociactac ka日apos elonc àso
 of contrast to the old heroes of the Jewish monarchy, David and Solomon 'He that rulech over zoen mast be just, ruling in the fear of God.'
 3, 4.
3, 4. $d y$ wev́pars dylp. Tbis expression conveyo en Geiger says, no idea of a personal being ; it is explained further on in the same verse by fou $\lambda\rangle$ ouvioens, and most fully by a reference to Is xi.

 7phrews kal evo efelas. The exprestion
occurs in the Ixx. several times, Is. txiii, 10, 11; Dan iv. 5, vi. 15 ; Susanna 44.

The rest of the verse in a paraphrase of Is. xi. 3 .

44 Tin 8 ŕveral mpdg. Cf. x7, 2, 3.




45 woupaivov. The King as shepherd of his people. This idea appears not unfrequently in the Old Testament, eg. in Muanh's vasion, f Kings xxui., Zech. Xl. Jehovah or the Messiah is more often thetght of under this umage. Cf. Ps
 solpyiay aútoî, and Ez. xxxiy. passim. Micah v. 4 wal rapavé to mainuoy aitoû dy ioxtí tuptas.

It is interesting too to make the obviouts comparison between this and our Lord's description of Himself as the shepherd.

In Enoch's vision (c. go) the Messiah is humself one of the herd, its protector and leader.

ảotrvioun Cf. Ps. cv. (civ.) 37 eưk

J. P.

 it aúrois．


 $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}$,



 $\theta$ eos．




$$
\begin{aligned}
& 46 \text { 䂞es } \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{M} \text {. a } \mathrm{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

48 There will be no further oppression from the wicked Sadducean＇shepherds．＇ Cf．Ez，xiv， 8 nail on кazabvaotévovow


waives ev́rens．A literal rendering


The reading of P, attEst for $\mathbb{E} E \mathrm{Es}$ ，intro－ duces afresh and a less appropriate metaphor．Sum．xxxiv， 7 rel aubtot

 is a conscious reference to Samuel＇s words （1 Sam．viii．21），＂This will be the manner of the king that shall reign over you．＂The word eonpeteta is probably a reminiscence of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ xcii．（xcii，1）d
 see $\mathrm{Ps}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ ．ii． If ．

4＊，se mite an implication of the word wasbeîrab，The people will be chastened and kept pure by the divine purity of their ruler．
 xviii．31）To pinna wuplow aparaw wert－ pospleov，Prov．xxx．5－Ps，xviii，（xix．） 11
 rodíw．Comp，also Ps，xi．（xiv．）7，cxviti．
（xix．） 139 ；Prov．wit． 10 drip Xpuation $\delta$ e－
 siphon．

For ted troŵrow，probably a duplicate rendering of Timon；cf．Sym．Ps，cexviti．

＇dy onvayoryis．＇Assemblies＇for the purpose of judgment，instruction，and the like，not technically used．Deut，xxan1．
 well comp tres P＇s． Xxxxi （ $(\times \times \times 13$ ）：
Exakptrei，as Pe，flux．（1．）t．See also Joel in．12；Gen．xix．16；Ez，alvin， 22.中uldif．Cf．our Lord＇s promise to the Twelve，Luke xxii． 30 ．
It may be questioned whether iv ate－ rojas is not a wrong translation，the translator reading nip which，if read กin＜compat＞ᄀ＜compat＞ᅮ＜compat＞ᄀ，would give the sense of t by means of the testimony，＇I．e．the Law．This would assert the Law to be the Messianic rule of judgment．
 mex critics have said，who here are the angels．Ps．lxxixvili．（xxxix．） 6 dy tran




## XVIL. 5 1]

46 In holiness shall he lead them all, and there shall no pride be among them that any should be oppressed.

47 This is the majesty of the king of Israel, which ${ }^{18}$ God ${ }^{16}$ Perh. hath appointed ${ }^{17}$ to raise him up over the house of Israel, to whow instruct him.

48 His words shall be purificd above fine gold, yea, above the choicest gold.

In the congregations will he judge among the peoples, the tribes of them that have been sanctified.

49 His words shall be as the words of the holy ones in the midst of the peoples that have been sanctified.

50 Blessed are they that shall be born in those days, to behold the blessing of Israel which God shall bring to pass in the gathering together of the tribes.

51 May God hasten his mercy toward Israel! may he ${ }^{\text {t8 }}$ deliver ${ }^{18} \mathrm{Gr}$. he us from the abomination of unhallowed adversaries I

The LORD, he is our king from henceforth and even for evermore.
dylu. Deut. sxxiti. a 'ten thousands of holy ones.' Cf. Enoch ig.
50 In this verse Hilg. sees an indication that our author knew the third (oldest) book of the Sibylline oracles, and consequently wrote in Greek. Sib.
 xpóvou la $\sigma e \tau c a$ ànip. But surely the sentiment is a very common one. It recurs in xvint 7. Cp. Ps. exxvi. (cxxvili.) 5

 Eeclus. xlviit. $x$.
61 There seems no accasion for Fritzsche's correction of púceras to piv. oauto. Geiger calls the Heb, orignal a precatory Imperfo bily ef. Pan avii. (xviii.) 38-s0. Notice the prominence given to the 'uncleanness of the oppressors.'

The Psalm ends with the bame thought that began it. The Lord is the true King of Isreel, whoever may be its temporary rulers.
Ps. XVIII. Angument.
$\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{n}$. God is loving to Isract. It is 2a their Father that He punishes thern for their good.
6-10. May thin correction avail to cleanse them in the day of visitation, when the Anointed shall rule over them
in the fear of God.
( $\mathrm{I}\left(-\mathrm{I}_{4}\right.$ ) xix. $\mathbf{1 - 3}$. The praise of God, whose night is seen in the order of creation. The stars in their courses obey Him......
This peselm-espectally wr. 6-10 of it -is closely connected with the last. The same expressions recur, xpiotos kyphou (-os) xvii. 36. soobla, ठuxauorivn, $\operatorname{lox}$ bs
 Qapioai etc. xviL 25, 33. Maxderor etc.
 pare xviii. 9 with xvii. $3^{8}$.
The first section of the PsaIm (vy. I-s) reminds us of Ps. 7 . more particularly. Comp. $\mathrm{F} .13,16,17$ with $\times$ vifi, $\mathrm{x}-3$.
In verse 4 we have an expression reminding as of xiit. 8 .
The first two sections of the Psalm are logically enough connected. After ver. Io however there in a complete change of subject. And the new subject is never brought into any semblance of connection with what has preceded, but is left hanging in the air. No one will, we think, be able to resist the impression that the Psalm es it stands is a fragment. The further question has occurred to us: is it one fragment or two? It will be seen that we think this latter alternative bighly probable, and have suggested as

IH. үalmde тడ̂I cahomùn énl tô̂ xpictô̂ kyploy.
 бov єle тón alôna,

 ย $\xi$ แข̉ร $\omega \nu$,








Inscriptio deest in M.

4 codd. wiop. Fabr. uloò, ita edd.
 Fabr. (notat "Gro a peccalo") Fritzasch. davoife. duola M .
much in the text, Aganst this idea it may be urged that a oxdyanua is inserted by all wss. save one (M), and that that one's evidence is invalidated by the fact that it omits all titles and subscriptions. We at once edmit the practical absence of external support, for we lay hittle stress on the evidence of M. It seems to treat the verses in question exactly ${ }^{4}$, it does the and half (23-31) of Pa, xvii. But we find it dificult to conceive how the subject. of the last verses is to be brought round to that of the first: we cannot help seeing that vv . --ro form a complete whole 'teres atque rotundus,' and we find no such complete change of subject introduced in any other of these Psalnes as is entailed here. We think it highly probable that at least a leaf had disappeared at the end of the archetype of our present copies, and very likely much more than a leaf, Such an archetype woutd of course represent an earlier stage of the text than did the Codex Alexandrinus. The one fact we know about that copy is that it contained eighteen Psalms and no more.
We are not however inclined to insist that the 'xix ${ }^{\text {n+ }}$ ' Psalm must necessanly
be divorced from the xyiiiu: we lay far more stress on the assertion that that Psalka as we have it is incomplete.
1 The first five versea are composed chiefiy of what may be called the commonplaces of these Psalms. Most of the phrases can be paralleled from the Old 'Testament, and most of the paralleIs bave already been cited more than once. Thus for ver. I we have Ps, cxliy. (cxlv.)
 aUtro.
a For verse 2 see Ps. S. V. I6. Ps. xi. 5 of $\delta \phi \theta_{\mathrm{a}} \lambda \mu \mathrm{l}$ a atô tis Tdo Tivqra droß̉érowor. Zech. iv. 10.
 are capable of bearngg two meanings, 'there shaill none of them come to want, or 'be lacking.' The latter is perhaps commoner in the $2 \times x$. and the former more probable here, cf. Ps. xxii. (xxxii.) \&
 ivareptior th dyabd toiss mopevouérors iv

 which represents what we take to be the sense of the verse before us.
a CE. Ps. xxxiii. (xoxiv.) 16 Fd む̈re


## PSALM XVIII.

## A Psalm of Solomon towching the Lord Messialt.

I O LORD, thy mercy is upon the works of thine hands for ever.

2 Thy goodness is upon Israel with a bounteous gift: yea thine eyes look upon thy works ${ }^{1}$ and none of them shall come to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Gr}$. want.

3 Thine ears will hearken unto the prayer of the needy that ${ }^{\left(0 . p^{\prime} .\right)}$ hopeth in thee; thy judgments are upon all the earth with mercy.

4 And thy love is toward ${ }^{2}$ the seed of Abraham, even the ${ }^{\text {9 Gr. }}$ sons of Israel: thy chastening is upon us as upon a firstborn upon son only-begotten,

5 To convert the soul that is obedient from simpleness and from sins of ignorance".
${ }^{3}$ Gr. from
smpluaty
6 The LORD cleanse Israel for the day when he shall have (or sim) in mercy upon them and shall bless them ${ }^{4}$ : even for the day of ighoramie his appointing when he shall bring back ${ }^{8}$ his anointed.
 tofray.
dmakovion, Strictly we should have expected straxoíeretas, but it is probable that the text here is correct. It is known that the active form doourw is a feature of Alexandrine Greek. It is foundthough not wniversally-in the EXX . (Is, yi, g. dxoŷ dkoionere etc.), and tometimes in N. T. We cannot cite examples of traxoiges, but there is no reason why the form used for the simple verb should not bave been extended to Its compounds. See Winer, Gr. of N.T. Gic. p. 99.

Huig, in loc. cites Ex. iv, is ulds Tpow-
 nos mutem populus tuus quem vocasti primogenitum, unigenitum, nemulatorem carissimum. Thus last passage ir particularly noteworthy.
$\pi$ Twxovi ty (AT6., probably another parallel to ápdpos dve eviaradeiz iv. 11 , meaning the needy that hopeth in thee"

4 Ci xill. 8 and the passages (already





8 in inikoos, used, it seems, only in I'ro. verbs by the Lxx. Another instance of parallelism of language between these books.
 atplues ind tos duamias aitail it duatias. I his is prictically the read- in thans all 1 ing of all MSS. If A 15 correctly repre- $\mathrm{mag}_{\mathrm{g}}$ bat sented by Cerda, which may be const. dered doubtful, still its reading duaptiat retains the characteristic of a $\mu \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ ats $;$ and Cerda's rendering simpenttas seems to show that he understood this latter word to be intended. Most likely duapotas is a misprnt. The meaning of aind iuaolas dy iypolf seems to be that the unlearnedness of the soul is exemplified by the sins of ignorance which it cominits. Here comprare x.ti- 6.
For duatis see Sym. Prov. xiv. 24
 Sym. Pso xlvia. 11 7yコ.
6 The jipipa iniou and jpépa dichoyns refer to the same thang considered in two d.fferent aspects. The first is the nufpe i $\lambda$ tov $\delta c x a, \omega y$ of xiv. 6 , the day when God will vist and have mercy on the righteous: it is therefore seen here from the point of view of those visited. The other expression refer, to the same day looked upon from God's point of view. iulpa
 23).
dy dudfo xphorov mủrev. The verse just cited (xvi. 93) contains in its carliez clause what is probably the best

## 
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 кдтоікढ̈n, катабтífat M. jte Hilg. Fre (Pick.). кuplov. M кzolu.
10 M ons. beawalua sel ita interpungit quasi Psalmus las verins finirtur, at sequentem versum litera majuscula inchoat.


#### Abstract

commentary on this interesting phrase.  whon Aaul etc. But divatw, the word used here, is a more defnite one than the driornooy of that passage. We are at liberty to assign to it in somewhat more precise meaning, that namely of "bringing egain' or "bringrge up, which our text and margin suggest. The first of these rendering would indicate a belief on the part of the writer in a doctrine which we know to have been anterior to his time-the pre-existence of the Messiah. Such a belief is first hinted at in Dan. wii. 13 , and is planly stated in the and Parable of Einoch (xlvin 3), whatever may be the date of that document. 4 Esdr. vii. 38 , xii 37 , xili, 26 etc. intimite a similar belsef, withouk dwellogg on $\mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and later instances might be multiplied. Those given cover our period, The present passage is at most ouly . hint of the writer's bellet.

The Messianic ideas of the xylith Psalm, however, shaw no trace of any mystical doctrine of the kind, if we except the difficult phrase xamods kiphor. They resemble ciosely those of Enoci (the First Book, e, E. 37, 38), among later writers, and of the first part of Issiah, among earlier ones. The Messiah is, as we have seen, the conquering hero and restorer of the ination, sprung of David's line. He is tauglat of God, anointed of God, full of the spint of Cood, $t$ is true; but of any closer relationship, of any superhaman ovigin, there is not a


word. Yet in the xvith Pbalm the Messjah and his times are so filly treated (l,at, if the writer entertamed a beher that the deliverer was more than man, he certainly ought to have said so. In the face of such an omission we find it difficult to belave that the single word divatis hete contans all that the wnter had to say on so important a subject. We are compelled to believe that ungts is simply a rather more detaled equivalent of avaormoov, and that any such thought as that of 'bringing the tirst-begotien asman into the world' is inadmissible here.

Xpırтovิ avirov̂. On the relation of this phrase to xpurtdr wipuon bee on avi. $3^{6 .}$

7 CE. xvii. 50 and reff. there.




 populi venientis gratiam, and 37 . the analogy of these expressions and of the technical liebrew phrase xig. - bivi $=$ "aevunn ventens" forbids us to fun the three last words of ver. 7 with ver. 8 and construe dpxomet, frob japsow, as Fritasche's punctuation would lead us to do.

- frad pappow. Our objection 10 Welthausen's ingenious rendering "An Stelle der Zuchtruthe tritt der Gesalute des Herrn" (which assumes that yito

7 Blessed are they that shall be in those days: for they shall see the goodness of the LORD which he shall bring to pass for the generation that cometh,

8 Under the rod of the chastening of the Lord's anointed ${ }^{6}$ in ${ }^{6}$ Or. Larat the fear of his God: in the spirit of wisdom and of righteousness Messahh and of might,

9 To direct every man in the works of righteousness with the fear of God; to stablish them all in the fear of the LORD,
so Yea to make them a good generation in the fear of God in the days of his mercy. Selah.

## [XIX.]

I (11) Great is our God and glorious, dwelling in the highest,
$=$ กПึ should have been translated duri) lies. in the erroneous conception of the Messiah that results from it. It will be remembered that in Ps . S, xvi. 36,47 the Messiab is to wield the rod of chastentig against Jew and Gentule alike, In our view the verse sumply extends the description of "the coming generation" which will be subject to "the rod of chastening," as indeed the subsequent verses clearly indicate. We believe that the clanse is connected whith the preceding rather sard ofrveray than in any more formal way. The best parallel expreasion is to be found in vii. 8 nal
 The muxiliary verb loweras has to be supplied here, and no verb of motion (such as dpxouévp) is needed.

The grammatical construction is of the loosest kind, and must be explained upon the promeple of cuordmation not of subordination of sentences.

Xprorov̂ wuploy. See on zvii. 36 .
tv rodia Twiparot. The rendering of these words in their preseat order is out of the gueslion, Whatever meaning they do yield $s_{s}$ practically identical with that in our text. We hardly thunk that an origunal reading is weopart soplas ever existed, but obviously that is what is required in Lranslating.

- kareveivest ... karaorīनah Hilg. and Fritzsche take these verbs as Optatives. We believe them to be Infinitives, on the ground that, when wish is expressed, this writer most frequently inserts the subject.

The actions of individuals are to be directed by the coming Deliverer, and
thus the whole cormunaty is to be brought into the condition of fearing the Lord. The second clause is consequent upon the first.

Tduras au่าoves. Cf. xvii. 46. A brief indication of the general result.

10 yoved dyent perhaps in loose apposition to Tímas ajerofs.
[Ps. XIX.]
1 (1i) The theme of the order anj regularity of Creatoon, especially as seen in the movements of the heaventy bodies, is a favourite one with Jewish writers. The conception of any physical law restraming or ordering ther movements is not natural to the mund of man in a primitive state. Doubtless the stars were looked upon in the first instance as divmates possessing voltition and personality. They went in therr parucular course because they liked it and there was no reason why they should not devate from it or move in another direction. They influenced the minds and destimes of men, and were themselves subject to interruptions in their courses, whether from the atacks of the Gieat Dragon (Job ti, 8) or from other causes.

But soon it was realised that the movements of the stars could not possibly be regarded as arbitrary, and that some superior intelligence was directing their movements: and the next stage of belief concerning them, which does not materially differ from our own is probably represented by the famsliar name of Jehovah Sabeoth, the Lord of hosts. The bosts are in all probability the sentient armies of heaven marshalled and durected by the constant care of Jehovaly. But

#  $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ віs $\eta^{\prime} \mu \in ́ \rho a s$, <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>    

> чалм IN' ExOYCIN Étin à.

- (12) ropela. kupela $\mathbf{A}$.

a (18) xai lus aituvos V, K, P, M. om. llas A, et edd.

Subscriptio.
 om. suliscriptionem M.

still they are thought of as possessing life and will of their own and as beins capable of disobedience to ther Ruler. Consp. Ps. cxlvii. 4 He telleth the number of the stars: he calketh them all by therr names 3 Is. xd. 96 He callelh them all by name...not one fauleth; also Baruch iii. 33-43 Ecclus. xlui. 5-7, 20 For the
 of Jude 13 see Enoch xvili. 12- 16 (is) The stars that roll over the fire are they that have transgressed the command of God before thenr risugg, because they did not come forth in their time." Cf, also xxi. 3 - 6.

The rext stage of the conception is that which regards the stars $2 s$ in the charge of angels, but as being themselves inanmate bodles. This is the view we find in the second great rection of the Book of Enoch, that of the Parables (xlin. $\quad$, "these come according to the number of angels'). The first writer of

Enoch does indeed partly lean towards this theory in so far that he assigns a particular leader, Uriel, to all the heavenily bodies.

It seems at first sight that the view which identified stars with angels must be a reversion to the eaplyest conception, but we beleve that in reality it is a late yiew and grew out of the helhef memtsoned just above which acsigned particular atars to particular angels. We are not certan how far it is to be presered as affecting the interpretation of Rev. i. 20 "The seven stars are the angels of the soven churches." But we think that at any rate the view get forth in the Enochian Parabies must have some bearing on that verse.

But the main pount which deserves motice in conrection with this passage of the Psalm is the simularity of the language here with that of the Parables of Enoch, especially ex xli. 5 'I saw...the

2 （12）Even he that hath appointed the lights of heaven in their course unto times of seasons from everlasting unto ever－ lasting ${ }^{1}$ ：and they have not transgressed from the path which ${ }^{1}$ Gr．fiom thou didst command them．

3 （13）In the fear of God is their course every day，since ${ }^{\text {day }}$ the day when God created them even unto everlasting，

4 （14）And they have not erred since the day when he created them ：from the generations of old they have not de－ parted from their path，except God commanded them at the precept of his servants．
sun and moon．．．and their fixed course， and how they do not leave their course， and how they add nothing to their course， and take nothing from it，and preserve their fidelity one whth the other，remain－ ing steadiast in their caths．＂It seems most likely that both writers drew a distinction between the two great Iu－ minaries and the body of the stars，re－ garding the former as persomalities，the latter as inanimate In any case，we feel that the author of the Parables and the author of our Psalm are moving in much the same circle of ideas．

фeortipas．This is the word used of the sun and moon in Gea，i．14，as dis－ tinet from the stars．It occurs agsin（of the moon）in Ecclus xliii．\％．In Wisd，xiii． In we find pwortipas wopanô distingushed fromt xûkגop äqтpwy．In Phil，ii．is（ìs
中worinp uirins），the application is less distinct．But in Test．xii．Patr，Levi Ith we have a good instance of the specific use，inteis ol фwortipes roit oúparov，is of ท̈̀cor кal方de入tory．©p Juiah 35．Aguila uses the word，and had we the Greek of Enoch it would doubtless be common in that book．The passaget quated point to the fact that bere our author is referring specially to the sum and moon．
mopela，used of the sun in Ecclus，xlini． 5．Cf．Hab，iii． 6 тopetas alworas aśroü． For the whoie verse ef．Apoc．Bar．xiviii． 9，to et sapientes facis orbes celestes ut
innumerabiles astant coram te et minis－ trant in ordmibus suis quiete ad nutum tuam．
（18）There can be no doubt that the reading nal tws alowos is far superior to the ordinary one，which yields no par－ ticular sense．For the construction cf． xvil． 21.

4 （14）Here，ms Cetdo remarks，is an obvious allusion to the＇factum Josuae，${ }^{1}$ and also，as Hilg．adds，to the miracle wrought for Hezekiah．Alusions to definste incidents in the O．T．history are sufficiently uncommon in our book to ment notice when they so occur．It is almost inconceivable that the whole book should have ended with this un－ explained reference．Not one of the other Psalms is destitute of some sort of doxology or sounding off，save the first， and in that case there is the possibiltry already alluded to that it ought to be more closely connected with Psalm it． then it is．

In the case before us，we prefer the suggestion that the last leaf of the arche－ type had dimppeared at a very carly dinte．

The Subscription merits a word ：it will be noticed that A V K P have a stichometric note；A K and V attributing 1000 हैT．to the book，$P$ 3o．It is obvious，we think， that Por its predecessor was copied from an uncial or semi－uncial archelype；that the scribe mistook $A$ for A and incentiously expanded the numerical sign into tped－ noyтa．

## APPENDIX.

The Odes of the Pistis Sophia.
The accompanying five Odes of Solomon have been already referred to in the Introduction. For the sake of completeness, rather than in the hope that we have succeeded in throwing much light upon their meaning, we have included them in this volume, in a new form. It seems appropriate to include them thus in an appendix, inasmuch as we have seen that the evidence points to their having, in company with other compositions of the same sort, originally occupied that position (Introd. p. xx).

They have been already published several times; first by Woide in his (posthumous) Appendix ad Codicem Alexandrinum, p. 148 (Thebaic and Latin) ; next by Fred. Münter, Bishop of Seeland, in 1812, Odae gnosticae Salomoni tributae; by Ideler, Psalterium Coptice 1837, p. 243; by Max Uhlemann, Grammaticae Copticae Rudimenta (Nos. iii. and v.); in Migne's Dict. des Apocryphes, i. s.v. Salomon, in French only; and in Schwartze and Petermann's edition of the Pistis Sophia (Berlin, 1851 ) in Thebaic and Latin : probably also by others. In the Comptes Rendus de C'Académic des Inscriptions, 1872, p. 347, M. Révillout quotes two of them.

We have attempted to render them into Greek, and have subjoined by way of apparatus criticus a statement of the more important differences between the renderings of Schwartze (S.) and Woide (W.). We especially crave the indulgence of our readers for this part of our work : and we should particularly value any suggestions as to the improvement of our renderings.

It is necessary to state, for the benefit of those to whom the Pistis Sophia is unfamiliar, the manner in which these Odes are introduced into the text of that book. They occur at intervals in a long series of hymns (called $\mu$ cтávoral) which are recited by the Pistis Sophia at various stages of her progress upward, through and out of
the chaos. Our Lord is represented as detailing the adventures of the Pistis Sophia, and as quoting the hymus of thanksgiving or supplication which she utters. At the end of each, He pauses, and asks for an explanation of the hym. Hereupon, one of the Apostles, or of the holy women who form His audience, steps forward and says, "Thy power of Light formerly prophesied by means of David (or Solomon) in his (eg. 55th) Psalm, saying..." Then follows the text of one of the Canonical Psalms or one of these Odes: after which our Lord expresses His approval, expounds the application of the Psalm to the situation, and proceeds with His narrative. It is to be noticed that these five Odes of Solomon are quoted in precisely the same form as the Psalms of David; in the case of these latter the text and number of the Psalm are always correctly given: and no author save these two is referred to. Hence, the impression left with the reader is that a real collection of Solonson's Odes is being used, and that the Odes were not simply written for the occasion. On the question of the possible origin and extent of this collection something has been already said (Introd. l. c.). The idea that the Odes may have been simply written to fill a place in the text of the Pistus Sophia derives slight support from the existence of one or two such Odes in other Coptic Apocrypha, e.g. one sung by David in Hades on the occasion of the Virgin's birth, which will be found in Révillout's Apocryphes Coptes du Nouzeau Testament, p. 5. Against it is the evidence drawn from Lactantius and the Stichometries (Introd. p xix), and the lack of any special appropriateness in the Odes themselves.

The spaced words in the Greek are those which are found in Greek in the original text of the Pistis Sophia.
p. $73\left(11_{4}\right)$. i. Recited by Salome and prefaced with these words: "tua vis èmpoфウ́тєUสєע olim per Solomonem dicens."


 ข่ $\pi \dot{\partial}$ न०ขิ.

 $\theta a \lambda \mu o v ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t \omega ิ \nu$.

 тai $\mu \mathrm{e}$.

 бaע кal $\mu \eta ̀$ 犭évoıтo aủroîs.
 бvעétecal ém' à̉тov̀s.


 de his verbis olim per Solomonem in eius decima nona ode et dixit."





 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ \sigma o v$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { or oủk } \dot{\alpha} \pi \quad \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega} \text { aưróv. }
\end{aligned}
$$

iii. Recited by Peter: "tua vis luminis $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$ olim p. $8_{4}(\mathrm{r} 3 \mathrm{I})$. per Solomonem in eius $\varphi \dot{\phi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i} s$.
 єủpúv.
 עaóv.

 $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тà ข̃ $\delta a \tau a$.

[^1] тต゙้ ข่ $\delta$ átaข.


 tò Ưסœ๐ тоv̂ nuplov.
 $\mu$ évor.
 त่тоӨaveî̀.





© oldyactp, biberunt versantes super-arenam aridam S. qui habitabant in W.


- quod isti omnes cognovere se in Domino $S$. quia illi biberunt salutem Domini W.
 olim per Solomonem filium Davidis in eius $\dot{\varphi} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \hat{i s}$."








 $\mu \eta \delta \in \nu$ elvas бкотєเขò̀ кv́к $\lambda \Phi \mu$ ни.

[^2] $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \mu l a \nu$.
 à $\sigma$ Ө́évelav.


 aị̂̂̀a тov̂ aî̂̀vos.

8 et fui super vestes pelliceas $S$. et fui coelestis, indulus vestimentis honorificis W .
$10 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \theta a \rho l \sigma \theta \eta \eta . \quad$ purgatus $S$. humiliatus W .
 olim in $\varphi \dot{\omega} \delta \hat{p}$ Solomonis."


${ }^{1} \theta \in \mu e \lambda i \varphi \mathrm{~S}$.
фdpary
W. N.




 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho \mu a$ av่тov̂.

7. терьєтоьท́батó $\mu \in \tau о$ ŏ $\nu о \mu a ́ ~ \sigma o v . ~$

9. $\dot{\eta} \chi \epsilon i \rho$ боט $\dot{\omega} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ódò $\nu$ тоîs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о i ̂ s ~ \sigma о \nu . ~$
 $\mu \in ́ \sigma o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \tau \omega \mu \AA ̇ \tau \omega \nu$.

1 qui deduxit me S. Duxit me W. qui duxit...ille duxit Champollion.
super caelum $S$. coelestibus W.
et duxit me sursum in locis quae in fundamento inferiori $S$. et duxit me in loca quae in valle deorsum $W$.

2 qui abstulit ibi S. Sumpsit huc (xpootrayer) W.
erudivit ea W. docuit me ea $S$.
6 evellerem S. deleam W.
6, 7 in omni loco circumdedit S. W. We join it on to the preceding verse.

$10 \pi \tau \omega \mu \mathrm{~d} \tau \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$. cadaveribus S. sepulchrorum W. $\mu \nu \eta \mu e \mathrm{l} \omega \mathrm{m}$.


 סté̀v





11 qui haud movent se dedısti iis $S$. ne commoveantur, dedusti iis W.
12 perniciei expers S . incorruptibilitas W .
duxisti tuum alôma in perniciem $\mathrm{S}_{\text {, }}$ super pern. W.

1. construxistı tuam opulentam per eos S . convertisti divitias tuas super eos W .


## NOTES.

Ode i. is a colourless composition, containing nothtyg essentially Grostic, and resembling to a certain extent the Psalms of Solomon in being almost a cento from the Canonical Psalms. There are, however, few actual coincidences of largunge, Ver, 3 is taken from Pg. lxyui., (Lxix.) 24. The Ode as a whole resmbles Ps. xxvi. (xxvii.). It may be origually Jewish.

Ode ii. should be another fragment of that quoted by Lactantius-the "xixth Ode. "-Here alone is a number given.

The Virgin, be it noted, is the reciter here, and the Virgin is the subject of Lactantus's quotation. Very possibly the present fragtuent may refer to her, and to the overshadowing of hes by the Holy Spirit The "fruits of righteousness" mrght in that case be taken to signify our Lord, the Word fall of grace and truth. In any case, this is probably a Christian composition.

Ode iii. is also Christian, and the employment of the term detoppose seems to stamp it as Gnostic. But we cannot
see that there is anything unmistakably Gnostic in the doctrine. The imagery employed is that of Ezek. slviis, and of our Lotd's words concerning the living water: and the thing described seems to be the preaching of the Gospel, which no human effort cas avail to hinder, and which brings life and health to the iniabitants of a thirsty beathen world. If our theory of these Odes is correct, we have bere a hymn of the second century at latest, and one filled with Johannine phraseology and idear.

Ode iv. may possibly be Jewish, though the last verse rather militates against such a view.

The original of the curious expression in ver. 8 is g'min yadp (shten shaar) meaning literaily 'grments of Jeather': but in a document in Zoega (Cat, Codd. Copd. p. 574) it is used of the groments of the wealthy. Hence our freer rendering. As a description of deliverance the Ode may be compared to Ps. S. xiii.

Ode $v$. The expressions 'heavenly places," the things that were in the
midst,' etc. remind us of the phraseology of Colossians and Ephesians. This Ode more than any of its companions has the air of being written to occupy its present place in the text of the Pistis Sophia.
v. 4. Cf. John xx. 23.
ibid. 'The seven-headed dragon.' This verse would be appropriate in the mouth of the 'woman clothed with the sun,' Rev. xii. It carries us into the region of apocalyptic imagery.
v. 10, II. The original of these verses is to be sought in Ezekiel's vision of the dry bones.
12. Cf. Ps. ciii. (civ.) 30 'when thou lettest thy breath go forth they shall be made, and thou shalt renew the face of the earth.'

We should like to take this opportunity of calling the attention of our readers to two other fragments of the Psalmic literature, which have hitherto received but slight attention. They are to be found among certain apocryphal Syriac Psalms published by the late Professor Wm Wright in the Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archacology (1887, ix. pp. 257-266). These Psalms are five in number, and are found in two mss. of the 17th and 18 th centuries respectively; the first in the University Library at Cambridge, the second at the Vatican (Cod. Syr. 183). In both they are attached to a work by Elias (Bp of

Pērōz-Shabhōr or al-Anbār, cir. 930 A.D.) called the ' Book of Discipline.'

The first of the five is the well-known ' Psalm cli' in a text slightly differing from the ordinary Greek form.

No. 2 is 'the Prayer of Hezekiah when enemies surrounded him.'

No. 3 'when the People obtained permission of Cyrus to return home.'

No. 4 'spoken by David when he was contending with the lion and the wolf which took a sheep from his flock.'

No. 5 'spoken by David when returning thanks to God, who had delivered him from the lion and the wolf, and he had slain both of them.'

Of these Psalms, the two last seem to be modelled on the first. They are quite short, and apply exclusively to the situations indicated in their titles. The 'wolf,' which appears in all three, is a mistake, as the editor points out, for the more familiar bear.

With the second and third of the Psalms, however, the case is different. They are longer compositions, which seem to possess some antiquity and to be originally Jewish in character, and have no particular application to the circumstances which their titles prescribe. They resemble rather markedly the general tone of the Psalms of Solomon.

We had prepared a Greek rendering of them, but have decidel not to include it in the present volume.









11 qui haud movent se dedsti iis S . ne commoveantur, dedisti is W .
12 perniciel expers S . incormutibilitas W .
duxisti tum alüma in perniciem $S$. super pern. W.
14 construxisti tuam opulentiam per eos S. convertisti divitian tuas super eas W.


## NOTES.

Ode if is is colourless composition, containing nothing essentally Grostic, and resembling to a certaim extent the Psalnus of Solomon in being almost a cento from the Canonical Psalms. There are, however, few actual coincidences of language, Ver, 3 is taken from Pe. Jxviii. (lxix.) 34. The Ode aa a whole resembles Pso xxvi. (xxyii.). It may be originally Jewish.

Ode ji . should be another fragment of that quoted by Lactantios-the "xixth Ore، ${ }^{3}$ - Here alone is a number given.

The Virgin, be it noted, is the reciter here, and the Virgin is the subject of Lactantius's quotation. Very possibly the present fragment may refer to her, and to the overshadowing of her by the Holy Spurit. The "fruits of righteousness" might in that case be taken to stgnify our Lord, the Word futl of grace and trath. In my case, thes is probably $=$ Christian composition,

Ode iii. is also Christian, and the employment of the term dropposa seems to stamp it as Gnostic. But we cannot
see that there is mything unmistakably Gnostic in the doctrine. The imagery enployed is that of Erek, xlvii., and of our Lord's words concerning the living water: and the thing described seems to be the preaching of the Gospel, which no human effort can avail to hinder, und which brings hife and health to the inhabitants of a thirsty heathen world. If our theory of these Oder is correct, we have here s hymn of the second century at latest, and one filled with Johannine phraseology and ideas.

Ode iv. may possibly be Jewish, though the last verse rather militates against such a view.

The original of the curions expression
 meaning literally 'graments of leather': but in a document in Zoegn (Cars, Codd. Cophs. p. $\mathrm{E}_{74}$ ) it is used of the garments of the wealthy. Hence our freer readering. As a description of deliverance the Ode may be compared to $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$. xini.

Ode $v$. The expressions 'heavenly places,' 'the things that were in the
midst,' etc. remind us of the phraseology of Colossians and Ephesians. This Ode more than any of its companions has the air of being written to occupy its present place in the text of the Pistis Sophia.
v. 4. Cf. John xx. 23.
ibid. 'The seven-headed dragon.' This verse would be appropriate in the mouth of the 'woman clothed with the sun,' Rev. xii. It carries us into the region of apocalyptic imagery.
v. Io, 1 . The original of these verses is to be sought in Ezekiel's vision of the dry bones.
12. Cf. Ps. ciii. (civ.) 30 'when thou lettest thy breath go forth they shall be made, and thou shalt renew the face of the earth.'

We should like to take this opportunity of calling the attention of our readers to two other fragments of the Psalmic literature, which have hitherto received but slight attention. They are to be found among certain apocryphal Syriac Psalms published by the late Professor Wm Wright in the Proceedings of the Sociely of Biblical Archaeology (1887, ix. pp. 257-266). These Psalms are five in number, and are found in two mss. of the 17th and 18th centuries respectively; the first in the University Library at Cambridge, the second at the Vatican (Cod. Syr. 183). In both they are attached to a work by Elias (Bp of

Pērōz-Shabhōr or al-Anbār, cir. 920 A.D.) called the ' Book of Discipline.'

The first of the five is the well-known ' Psalm cli' in a text slightly differing from the ordinary Greek form.

No. 2 is 'the Prayer of Hezekiah when enemies surrounded him.'

No. 3 'when the People obtained permission of Cyrus to return home.'

No. 4 'spoken by David when he was contending with the lion and the wolf which took a sheep from his flock.'

No. 5 'spoken by David when returning thanks to God, who had delivered him from the lion and the wolf, and he had slain both of them.'

Of these Psalms, the two last seem to be modelled on the first. They are quite short, and apply exclusively to the situations indicated in their titles. The 'wolf,' which appears in all three, is a mistake, as the editor points out, for the more familiar bear.

With the second and third of the Psalms, however, the case is different. They are longer compositions, which seem to possess some antiquity and to be originally Jewish in character, and have no particular application to the circumstances which their titles prescribe. They resemble rather markedly the general tone of the Psalms of Solomon.

We had prepared a Greek rendering of them, but have decided not to include it in the present volume.

## INDEX I．

## INDEX VERBORUM IN PSALMIS SALOMONIS．

＊＊For prepositions see Index II．
＇ABpad $\mu$ ix．17，xviii． 4
¿ßuroos xvii． 21
dya0bs i．6，iii．2，v． 21 ，xi．8，xvii．50， xviii．7， 10
dya入入lacis v．I
dyaxd $\omega$ iv．29，vi．9，ix．16，x．4，xiv． 1，4，xvii． 18
dydᄌワ xviii． 4

drıdjw viii．26，xvii．28，48， 49
dyla $\sigma \mu$ vii． 2 ，viii． 4, xi． 8
àtaonbs xvii． 33
 36，42，49，xviii． 4
ă ${ }^{\text {vosa }}$ iii． 9 ，xiii． 6 ，xviii． 5
ayw viii．16，22，xvii． 46

ádurla ii． 14 ，iii．8，iv．28，ix．7，xvii． 29， 36
dikcos iv． 12 ，ix．9，xii．6，xv．6，xvii． 24
Alyuxtos ii． 30
atua viii．13， 23
alverbs iii．1，viii．29， 40
alvéw V．1，X． 6
atyos xv． 5
aiperlyw ix．17，xvii． 5
alpw v．12，xiii．10，xvii． 8
aloxivy ix． 13
aitrua vi． 8
altcos iv．3，ix． 9
alxuadarta ii． 6
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## INDEX III.



| Psalms | xxxiv. 6 | xiv. 6, XV. 11 | Psalms | cxviii. 28 | xvi. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | " 19 | vii. I |  | \% 58 | viii. 7 |
|  | xxxv. 13 | iii. 9 |  | , 121 | $x$ vii. 21 |
|  | xxxvi. 23 | vi. 3 |  | , 133 | $x$ vi. 9 |
|  | xxxvii. 17 | xiii. 7 |  | " 140 | xvii. 48 |
|  | " 18 | x. 3 |  | cxix. 1 | i. $1, \mathrm{v}_{\text {, }}^{7}$, xv. 1 |
|  | xliii. 5 | xvii. 1 |  | " 2 | iv. 27 |
|  | " 7 | " 37 |  | ", 2, 4, 5 | xii. 1, 3 |
|  | " 24 | iii. 1 |  | cxx. 1 | iii. 6 |
|  | xlvi. 7 | xvii. 4 |  | cxxvii. 5 | xvii. 50, xviii. 7 |
|  | 1. 3 | xiii. 9 |  | cxxxii. 3 | xiv. 1 |
|  | lii. 6 | iv. 21 , xii. 4 |  | cxxxv. 1, etc. | xvi. 3 |
|  | liii. 5 | xvii. 9 |  | cxxxix. 2 | i. 3 |
|  | Ivi. 8 | vi. 1 |  | cxliv. 9 | ii. 40, xviii. 1 |
|  | 1xi. 3, 7 | xvi. 4 |  | " 13 | xvii. 1 |
|  | lxiv. 10 | v. 11 |  | ,, 16 | v. 14 |
|  | Ixvii. 3 | xii. 8 |  | " 17 | x. 6 |
|  | lxviii. 25 | ii. 28 |  | " 18 | ii. 40 |
|  | " 31 | xv. 5 |  | cxivi. 8, 9 | v. 11 |
|  | lxxii. 2 | xvi. 2 |  | cxlviii. 11 | , 13 |
|  | Ixxiii. 13 | xvii. 1 |  |  |  |
|  | " 14 | ii. 29 | Proverbs | iii. II | iii. 4 |
|  | lxxiv. 9 | viii. 15 |  | iv. 16 | iv. 18 |
|  | lxxviii. 1 | ii. 2, 3 |  | viii. 10, 19 | xvii. 48 |
|  | " 3 | " 31 |  | xiii. 18 | xvi. 13 |
|  | Ixxix.8, 19, 20 | v. 9 |  | ," 21 | xv. 9 |
|  | lxxxiii. 12 | xviii. 2 |  | xX. 27 | xiv. 5 |
|  | lxxxv. 5 | v. 14 |  | xxii. 11 | xv. 5 |
|  | Ixxxviii. 3, 4, | xvii. 5 |  | xxiv. 52 | iv. 22 |
|  | 35,36 |  |  |  |  |
|  | " 27 | xvi. 4 | Isaiah | i. 4 | iv. 1 |
|  | " 28 | xviii. 4 |  | ii. 6 | xvii. 33 |
|  | " 30 | xiv. 3 |  | iii. 24 | ii. 21 |
|  | " 40 | i. 8 |  | xi. 2, 3 | xvii. 42, xviii. 8 |
|  | lxxxix. 17 | vi. 3, xvi. 9 |  | $11$ | , 27, $3^{8}$ |
|  | xc. II | vii. 4 |  | xiv. 19 | ii. 30 |
|  | xcvii. 1 | xiii. 2 |  | xix. 14 | viii. 14 |
| - | c. 7 | xvii. 29 |  | xxi. I | " 2 |
|  | cii. 10 | ii. 7, 17, xvii. 10 |  | xxix. 6 | " 2 |
|  | ciii. 28 | v. 14 |  | " 13 | iv. 1 |
|  | cv. 27 | $x$ vii. 8 |  | xxx. 1 | iii. 7, 12 |
|  | cvi. 3 | xi. 3 |  | xxxv. ${ }^{0}$ | $\text { x. } 9$ |
|  | " 31, 32 | x. 7 |  | xxxvi. 9 | xvii. 37 |
|  | Cx. 9 | " 5 |  | xl. 4, II | xi. 5 |
|  | cxi. 7 | vi. 7 |  | " 9 | 1, 2, 3 |
|  | cxvii. 16 | xiii. I |  | " II | xvii. 45 |
|  | " 21 | xvi. 5 |  | xlii. 10 | iii. 2 |
|  | cxviii. 5 | vi. 3 |  | xliii. 5,6 | xi. 3 |


| 176 | INDEX III. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issiah | xlix. ${ }_{4}$ | v. 4 | Ezekiel | xviii. 6 | viii. 13 |
|  | l. 6 | x. 2 |  | xxi. 6 | " 5 |
|  | lii. 1 | xi. 8 |  | xxii. 26 | ii. 38 |
|  | liii. 12 | xvi. 2, 5 |  | xxiii. $3^{8}$ | " 3 |
|  | liv. 13 | xvii. 35 |  | xxix. 3 | -29 |
|  | lv. 12 | xi. 6,7 |  | xxxiii. 14 | xviii. 5 |
|  | lvii. Is | xviii. It |  | xlv. 8 | xvii. 46 |
|  | -19 | xv. 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 1x. 19, 20 | iii. 16 | Daniel | xii. 2 | iii. 16 |
|  | lxi. 10 | ii. 22 |  | , 12 | xviii. 7 |
|  | lxv. 22 | xiv. 2 |  |  |  |
|  | Ixvi. 18-20 | xvii. 34, 35 | Hosea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { i. } 10 \\ & \text { xiv. } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { xvii. } 30$ $\text { xv. } 5$ |
| Jerem. | iv. 13 | viii. 3 |  |  |  |
|  | - 19 | i. 2, ii. 15, viii. 1 | Joel | ii. 1 | xi. 1 |
|  | v. 8 | viii. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  | " 5 | xii. 2 |
|  | xiii. 30 | xi. 3 |  | " 11 | xv. 13 |
|  | xxiii. 9 | viii. 5 |  | , 32 | vi. 2 |
|  | xxviii. 9 | i. 5 |  |  |  |
|  | xxxii. 1 | viii. 15 | Amos | v. 18 | xv. 13 |
|  | xxxiv. 5 | ii. 33 |  | ix. 11 | xvii. 23 |
|  | xxxvii. 9 | xvii. 23 |  |  |  |
|  | xxxviii. 8, 10 | xi. 3, 4 | Micah | v. 4 | " 45 |
|  | xlix. 10 | xiv. 3 |  |  |  |
|  | li. 2 | xvii. 10 | Nahum | i. 7 | ii. 40 |
|  |  |  |  | , 15 | xi. 2 |
| Lament. | i. 10 | ii. 2, 3 |  |  |  |
|  | iii. 25 | xiv. 1 | IIabak. | iii. 12 | xvii. 27 |
|  | v. 8 | viii. 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Haggai | i. 10 | , 20 |
| Ezekiel | iii. 12 | v. 22 |  |  |  |
|  | v. 11 | ii. 3 | Zechar. | iv. 10 | xviii. 2 |
|  | " 17 | xiii. 2, 3, xv. 8 |  | xii. 6 | xii. 2 |
|  | ix. 4 | xv. 8, 10 |  |  |  |
|  | xiv. 17 | xiii. 2, 3 | Malachi | ii. 11 | i. 8 |
|  | xviii. 5 | xvii. 21 |  |  |  |




[^0]:    * It may be a fanciful thought, but no description could better represent our conception of the writer of these Psalms than the picture of Symeon in Luke ii. 25
    
     a man in the prime of life when they were written.

[^1]:    2 aúroùs sc. fluvios vel aquas W .

[^2]:    
    7 тof $\mu \eta \delta \ell \%$ So S. nam nemo mecum est: eram orbatas lumine W.
     punctuation of the $\mathrm{Ms}_{\mathrm{s}}$

