





Convelle Polewhile 10/6





J 6.2.14

# RETRACTIVE FROM THE ROMISH RELIGION:

Contayning

THERTEENE FORCIBLE MOTIVES, disswading from communion with the Chyrch of

Wherein is demonstratively proved, that the now Romish Religion ( so farre forth as it is Romish) is not the true Catholic Religion of Christ, but the seduction of Antichrist:

By Tho. BEARD, Doctor in Divinity, and Preacher of Gods holy Word in the Toyncof

HVNTINGTON.

2. Thess. 2. 10.

Because they receive the love of the rruth, that they might be saved:
therefore God justs send them strong delasion, to believe lies.

#### LONDON,

Printed by WILLIAM STANSBY, and are to be fold by Henrie Fetherstone.

1616.

D. Beard begins this booke with hypo and loo so ends it. Tes (papists) Paik, go, pag. 4. four to topo gak pomor to off ponjo with y mond of God and not obour tomandmont of it land the And that he man toll many knot at om so rited rowfamo dulgound in is navout nogo dos not mile as go would goud ges for for Bolos Adams in in famo past ho Polloff, an offer for of from Mant test so tand loans to ond to maven his owno lifter, no is a motorious butsuls: as allow butsuls it is in it somissions not owno fifter but is so for fifter, as thought so gad buried one man, aftour sold as sold for int all is an offer butou chus it was: One haumit romited formitation not a nie man, aftour wand of married gove lifter, not find of mariae not date sites is sold as sold by walantal singulate. Not mile faming boraulo if man tould not be popular outs ou troat framall n & fonded mariado Boing publike of in of so will fabo fgo pained to wood of plane by mo til as all Siluston en volt not so allodyok; and fon so sa is admir for bakoliko Ofmore. The like diffenof a roading to be to the diffenof allostoke Stinanus a Lukovan Garandon oldunga in maritian, frafina



TO

# THE TRVELY VERTVOYS, AND

WORTHY KNIGHT, SIR

OLIVER CROMWEL, T. B. wisheth encrease of grace and all happinesse.

SIR,



HERE bee many particular respects that mooue me to be bold, to set your name in the frontispice of this work:
As first, your sincere loue to true Religion, and the

Professors thereof: Secondly, your true detestation of the Romish Synagogue, and A 2 the

#### EPISTLE DEDICATORY.

the malignant influences of those wandring Planets, that infect the aire of our Church, and seeke by mining, poysoning, and other deuillish practices, to turne our Jerusalem into Babel. Thirdly, for that your selfe, with your religious Lady, worthy children, and brethren, and great family, haue beene a long time the principall auditors of my vnworthy ministery, wherein many of these points have been delivered vpon occasion, that you may see them here more fully discoursed, and so be the better confirmed in the truth. And lastly, the particular obligations of loue and duty, wherwith I am bound vnto you for many extraordinary fauours and kindnesses receiued. These be the motiues, that have moued mee to select your selfe principally aboue all others to dedicate these motiues vnto. For the which I desire no more patronage and protection, then the truth of the seuerall points contayned therein doe deserue. Let them stand vpon their owne legs, or fall and perish. I know, they shall encounter with many bitter oppositions, and sharpe aduersaries, who will be ready

#### EPISTLE DEDICATORY.

to fift euery sentence, and to find a knot in a bull-rush: but my shelter is the buckler of truth, and the brazen wal of a good conscience; with which being armed, I hope, through Gods aide, that I shall be as willing and able to entertayne the re-encounter, if any affault be made, as I was willing to give this on-set: I confesse that these are not the tithe of the arguments, that might be produced against the Romish religion, yet I doubt not, but that even these few may serue, both to discouer the falshood thereof, and to confirme and strengthen those that stagger, and are weake in the truth: the Lord accompanying these endeuours of his feruant with his bleffing, as I hope, and pray that he would be pleafed to do. These motives, such as they are, I willingly defire may be graced by your name, hoping that your name shal no way be difgraced by them: accept them therefore, as tokens of my fincere loue to your selfe, and zeale to the truth, for which I defire no other requitall, but an augmentation of your zeale to the same truth, and a greater detestation of that Religion, which

. 3 al-

#### EPISTLE DEDICATORY.

already you abhor. The Lord of his mercy encrease in you more and more all spiritual graces for your soules health, and multiply his blessings temporall vpon your head, and crowne you with glory, and immortality eternall in the life to come,

Your worships most affectionate and devoted,

THO. BEARD.



#### REVERENDIS, DI-LECTISQUE IN CHRISTO FRATRIBUS, Pijs ET FIDELIBUS Euangelij Ministris, per omnem Britanniam constitutis, gratia, & pax à Deo PATRE, & DOMINO nostro IESU CHRISTO.

On estis nescij (fratres & odvepyoi in Chri-🧖 sto) quanta nos Euangelij Ministros, tum verborum maledicentia, tum animorum odio insectentur, Cleri Pontificij cohors & collunies vniuersa. Sua in nos omnis generistela, & quidem venenata confertim conficiunt; famam nostram canino dente, & studio allatrant, at g admordent; quin & ipsas adeo gulas nostras, & quidem eas nobis incisuri, appetunt, si illorum in nos maleuolentia par adfacinus potestas responderet : nomen ipsum ministri, perinde at g veteribus Iudais Samarita, illis ita putet & fætet, vt sine nausea, & indignatione, illud ne nominare quidem possunt. Hususcemodi conuitys & contumelijs libri eorum pasim & plarig, omnes referti, onustig, sunt. Cuius si intestini & inexplebilis odij rationes libeat perquirere, quinque est as quas or dine percensebo pracateris insigniores, & ex ijs principem hanc

Apoc.9.3.

reperietis. Quod Clerus hic Pontificius, Monachorum cum primis & fraterculorum, locusta ila sunt in Apocalypsi commemorata, qua caudis similiter vt scorpy custidatis, de infernalis putei sumo prodeuntes, terminatam quing, mensium spatio homines excrucian is potestatem accepere: Qua ex re sit, vt vbi possunt quidem & valent, ibi ferro slammag, in nos grassentur: vbi au em hoc illis non licet, linguas & calamos exacuant at g, destringant: consimili plane at g, ille ingenio praditi, de quo est apud Poetam.

Ving. Eclog. 3.

Etsi non aliquà nocuisset, mortuus esset.

Hæreticorum enim (vt est scriptum ab Hieronymo) hoc semper cacoethes fuit, vt conuicti de perfidia, ad maledicta se conferant. Sequitur hanc causamisthac altera. Quod luci cum tenebris nulla societas, nullum commercium intercedat : Ac nos quidem lucis Ministri sumus, qui adomnes quibus non sponte oculi cocutiunt, Euangely claritatem quaqua versum diffundimus. Illi contratenebrarum satellites sunt, qui dum scripturarum lectione planof garcent & probibent, dum fidem quam vocant implicitam, nos Carbonariam, tanquam apprime: falutarem, maximeg, necessariam pradicant, & commendant, densissimis sane crassissimis que tenebris hominum animos circumfundunt. Istis porro causis annumeranda est hactertia, quod nullum tam capitale odium solet esse, atá, quod religionis causa suscipitur, tum nos religionis illius pracones sumus, qua illorum superstitioni vsa adea aduersa est, nulla vt ratione conuenire, nulla conciliari arteinter se possunt. Ex quo id existit, quod nec Turcas quidem & Indros, nec ip/os adeo Ethnicos, quamuis Chri-(tians

Stiani nominis hostes in sestissimos pariter ut Protestan. tes, & Euangely Ministi os detestentur. Cuivei indicio est illa, quam Hispanicam appellant, inquisitio; testis etram locuvles Roma ipfa, in qua sub ipsius sane ore Pontifices, Turces & Indaes tuta consuetudo, et q, personlo omni libera & immunis negotiatio est: siquem autem nostra religionis vel leuisime suspectum esse contingat, eum vel aperta vi, vel occultis instails ad internectonem v/g, persequentur. Quid autem causacst, queso, quod tanta in nos solos immanitate furorey, debacchentur? Hoc quidem certe: quod non tam ipsorum religioni, quam Christoipsi, Turca & Indai immici infestig, sunt : nobis de illorum Superstitione, de mundano fastu & splendore, de temporali & terrena potentia, que ijs Christo apso multo chariora potiorag, sunt, certamen cum illis pugnag, est. Iam tribus istis causis accedit quarta, quod percussis gregum arietibus, dissipari greges ipsos, & perire necessum sit: quod cum illi vehementisime desiderent, nec efficere tamen per nos posint, hincilla scilicet lachryma, hinc atrox in nos odium, hine rabiosa libido commenetur. Quinta restat causa, quoà (vt funt sagaces) eos nos esse animaduertunt, qui non greges mode nostros ab illis integros & sinceros custodimus, verum ariennis cornibus, fairarum videlicet scripturarum pradicatione, muros etiam Ierichuntinos demolimur, mancipia nimirum Papa, Babylonica seruitutis iugo eripimus, atq dolos eorum, & insidias, pariter vt errores detegimus: nibil vt fit miri, fi quos iam vrgentis aut certe aduent intisruine sua authores, & effectores norunt effe, in eos acer bitatis fue virus, quantum maxime possunt, euomant & ebulliant: Atá, ha sane maliciaillius fer pentina, odiją, infatiabilis, quo in nos furenter invehantur

vehantur pracipua causa sunt. Quid igitur? mutuum ne cum illes faciemus, quodeos remordeat? Non assentior: quin potius quò eorum in nos odium magis exarde cit, eò amor vt in illos no fter vehementius inflammetur, author (um, quo ardentes corum in capita carbones sic congeramus, quibus velincendantur ad charitatem, vel consumantur ad perniciem. An vero animos despondebimus, stationes g, deseremus, ac ne offensioni ijs simus, militia nostra arma abijciemus? Hoc nimirum est, quod illi vel inprimis certe auent, & expetunt. At nos contra ex veneno eorum (iuxta Ambrosij consilium) Antidotum no-Ambrof. lib. I. bis contra eos ipsos conficiamus, atá, eò in puzna acriores simus maiorig, alacritate contendamus. Cætera sane omnia, facultates, famam, liberos, vitam adeo ipsam diripi sinamus nobis & abripi, fidem autem, & veritatem eripi ne patiamur : maledicta sit hæc omnis humilitas, hic quiuis pertinax, & superbus esse debet (vt optime Lutherus.) Papa, quod scitis, Emissarii

> per agros, per vrbes errabundi discursant, ac dinagantur, loca omnia, domos omnes, & angulos tanguam ranæ Ægyptiaca penetrant, & perreptant, suisa, coaxationibus afflant at ginficiunt, Aridam (ad veterum Pharifaorum morem) & Maria circumeunt, nec vllum lapidem mouere pratermittunt, aut etiam intermittunt, Romana vt Ecclesia proselytos adiungant, & religionis sua terminos proferant & delatent, & nos interim segnitie ignauisa, torpescemus? vi iugulent homines surgent de nocte latrones: vt teipsum serues non expergisceris? Si versante iam in vifceribus, ac ipsum pæne ingulum prænsante at g premente hoste, securi agimus; quid hoc instituto aliud, aut speremus aut consequamur, quam vi veritatis, reli-

> > gionis,

de pænit.c.13.

Luther-

gionis, Christi, nostra denig, ipsorum vita atg. anima, quibus hi lupi quam dolis insidiantur, tam plenis faucibus inhiant, verisimi proditores habeamur. Neg hac tamen. eò à me dicuntur (fratres) quod suspecta sit mibi fides vestra, verum vt stonte, & quidem probe iam din currentes, ad stadium contentius decurrendum incitem, & impellam: ne quis vestrum (quod Deus prohibeat) Braßein. adextremum excidat, coronamý, amittat. Fateor equidem (vt bic repugnent, & reclamitent Pontificy nostri) post restitutam apud nos Euangely lucem, nunquam aut plura, aut illustriora lumina isthic effulsisse, & beatam: propter hosipsum Angliam nostram, falices item Academias, que has nobis sellas accendere, banca tam numerosam sobolem tum parturiere, tum peperere agnosco, & pradico. Atqui verendum tamen, neg, omnino negandum, non exiguum etiam segnium inertiumg, fucorum numerum, qui mel quidem comedunt, & liguriunt, fauos autem & prasepia, neg, curant, necrespiciunt, passim apudnos volitarem. Quos meminerint suadeo vocem illam Indicis, quam aliquando certe audituri sunt, Redde: rationem villicationis tux: Vos autem (fratres) qui diutius in exercitu & procinctu stetistis, hortor & precor, forti vt animo & invicto, in inchoato iam pralio perseueretis, & cum, linguas vestras, tum calamos, contra Antichristiana militia conatus omnes instruatis. Hac in: messe desudemus omnes, suum quisge ad Sanctuarium readificandum siclum afferamus: quippe quorum omnium: nemo tam tenui apparatu instructuve est, quin ad hoc conficiendum negotium conferre aliquantillum possit. Ego certe ex gregarys militibus minimus, nedum vt me dignum deputem, qui in triary's numerer, qualium quamplurimi:

rimshocin bello stipenata sub Christo merent, iter vobis praini, & viam quasi dealbani; om quamuis Poeta illu d occini fortassis potest,

Non tu plus cernis, sed plus temerarius audes: Nec tibi plus cordis, sed minus oris inest.

DoctoremBarlowum intelligo Archidiaconum Wintoniensem, quem ettam honoris causa nomino.

Nibil tamen hoc deterritus, vtrumg, quadrantem meum in Ecclesia Gazophilarium conieci: quo animo Deus vnus nouit, necigitur homo praiudicet. Tubicinis ego partes egi, qui impar iple congressui, animos vestros excitare ad pugnam, atg, accendere volui. Quod autem ad huius mei institutivationem attinet, sic breus habete. Rationes has vniuerse primum à me informatas, doctissimi viri, eiusdemg, mei amanti simi rogatus mihi expressit: quas cum illi probarentur (qua est indicii maturitate) ve particulatim deinde, & sigillatim confirmarem, einsdem hortatu adductus sum. Quò est essectum, vi in hanc, quam videtis, molem excreuerint. Quas cum à partu suffocare potius in animo haberem, quam in lucemedere, per visit aliorum iudicium, & authoritas, vt evulgarem, pralogi committerem. Quorum quidem voluntaties id libentius annui & concest; partim quò i nonnullam in spem veni, nonnihil ad Ecclesiam villitatis hinc esse peruenturum, cum quam in firmis, quamq, arenosis Romana religionis structura (quam solam falsò Catholicamiactitant) nixa sit sundamentis, omnes, quivelint, uno quasi intuitu perspiciant: parties autem vt resciscant Pontifici, qui eruditionis, & doctrine existimationem omnem lesuites suis arrogant, nobis derogant (sic enim Thrasones ille vbig, baubantur & deblaterant) non deesse Ecclesia nostra

Bozius, lib. 12. de fig. Eccles. cap 12. Possev lib. 12. Biblioth.

Ministros suos, qui corum errores liquido redarquere & possunt, & vero audent: cum infimus pæne ex nostris vnus comminus cum ijs manus conserere, & in arenam prouccare non reformidat: unde quid gregum ductores efficere possunt, si annitantur, par est illos reputare: partimetiam quod Pontificiorum sua persuadendo religioni quamplurimos strenuam operam nauasse video: Euangelicorum autem, qui hoc idem scriptionis genus per certa argumentorum motuumve capita sunt sequuti, paucissimos sane recordor, ne dicam nullos. Vestram igitur in tutelam (fratres) meas hasce ratiun culas accipite, equis animis, at g, oculis legite, & discutite. Censuram vestram non recuso, dum preces modo vestras, & amorem mihi non denegetis. Hic Romana religionis septem sacramenta: Turpitudinem, Impietatem, Fallitatem, Nouitatem, Idololatriam, Scripturarum vituperationem, & Ignorantiæ defensionem, licet contueri: de quibus princeps Impuritas sequentium in rationum prima & secunda; in tertia autem, guarta & duodecima Impietas aperietur: Nouitas, quam nobis obiectant, in eos ipsos totam per undecimam regeretur: Falsitas in octaua & nona dilucebit: Idolorum cultus in septima: Scripturarum contemptio, simul & Ignorantiæ defensio in quinta, sexta, & decima patefient. Frement & frendebunt ( sat scio) lesuita, caterig, sacrificuli, ac omissis forte rationum ipsarum ponderibus, & momentis, hinc atgillinc (vt eorum morise(t) aliquidpiam excerpen!, quod obtrectent, & arrodant: sed ringantur per me quidem, & rumpantur invidia : nibili illorum fine calumnias moror, sine maledicta, dum vos modo propitios mihi habeam, quorum

quorum inprimis vereor, & renereor indicium. Quos propterea oro & obtestor, vt siqua in re de veritatis scopo deflexerim, comiter in viammereducatis: si minus ac debui fortiter & prudenter hac in arena demicarim, imbecillitati id mea condonetis, prauaricationi nequaquam tribuatis. Ego certe hoc, quantillum cunq est, Deo nostro minime displiciturum confido, quippe nonignarus, seruulum qui duobus ex talentis rem fecit, Domino suo, ag, acillum alterum, acceptum, probatumg, extitisse, qui decem ex quing, lucrifecit. Interim (fratres) mutui amoris vinculo nos inter nos complectamur: vt quemadmodum contra sponsam Christi aduersary nostri (vt olim Pilatus & Herodes contra Christum ip sum) coniunctissime conspirant & consentiunt : Sic nos pari voluntatum consensu, eademá, aut etiam maiore animorum conspiratione, aduersus Antichristum, illius g, astipulatorum & assectatorum omnium vires depugnemus. Quod éo vt fortius, fæliciusá, fiat, facessant à nobis, precor, derebus minutulis lites omnes, & discordie, quibus nimio plus iam diu assueuimus: Reprimamus nunc demum ipsinos, ne quam, Iran.cont.ha- de suitemporis quibusdam, Iraneus habait querimoniam, res. lib.4.c.62. quod propter modicas & quasiibet causas, magnum & gloriosum Christi corpus conscinderent: quam e-Nazian. Apol. tiam de sua atatis consimilibus alys Nazianzenus: quod essent πέρι μικρών πολεμβνίες λιαν αμαθώς κ) Βρασεώς: eadem de nobis ni prouidemus iusta querela esse possit. Quin Apostoli illud ad Corinthios de re ex genere indifferentium disserentis potius meminerimus. Siquis vi-

> detur contentiosus esse, nos eiusmodi consuetudinem non habemus, neque Ecclesia Dei: & eiusdem

> > alind

pag.28.

r.Cor.2.16.

aliud ad Galatas, Si alij alios mordetis & deuoratis, Gal.5.15.
videte ne vicissim alij ab alijs consumamini. Deus
pacis & lucis ab Antichristi illius ja gregalium impetu,
& insidijs vos omnes protegat, & defendat, ac cælestem snam ad ciuitatem, nouam Hierosolymam sartos tectos tandem
perducat.

T. B:



#### The Motiues.

#### Motiue I.

T Hat Religion which in many points give th liberty to sinne, is not the truth:

but such is the Religion of the Church of Rome: ergo, &c.

Motive II. That Religion, which maintagnes by the grounds thereof things forbidden, by all lawes both of God, of Nature, and of Man, cannot be the true Religion: but such is the Religion of the Romane Church: ergo.

Motive III. That Religion which imitateth the towes in those things n berein they are enemies to Christ, cannot be the truth; but such is the Religion

of the church of Rome: Ergo.

Mattue IIII. That Religion which derogate th from the glory of God in the worke of our Redemption, and give the part thereof onto man, cannot be the truth

of Gad: but such is the Popish Religion: ergo.

Motine V. That Religion deserveth to bee suspected, which results to bee to yed by the Scriptures, as the perfect and alone rule of faith, and will be judged to tryed by none but it selfe: But such is the Religion of the (burch of Rome: ergo.

Motive VI. That Religion doth inftly descrue to be suspected, which doth purposely disgrace the sacred Scri tures: But such is the Religion of the Church

of Rome: ergo, &.

Motiue VII. That Religion is to be abborred, which maintayneth, commandeth, and prastifeth grosse and palpable Idolatry; but so doth the Religion of

the church of Rome : ergo, &c.

Motine VIII. That Religion which implyeth manifold contradiction in te felfe, and is contrary to it filfe in many things, cannot bee the true Religion: but fuch is the Religion of the Church of Rome: ergo, &c.

Motiue IX. That Religion, whose doctrines are in many points apparently opposite to the word of God, and the doctrine of the Gospell, cannot be the truth:

but such is the Religion of the Church of Rome : ergo, &c.

Motive X. That Religion which nourisheth most barbarous and grosse ignorance amongst the people, and forbiddeth the knowledge and understanding of the grounds of the Christian faith, cannot be the truth: but this doth the Romish Religion: ergo, &c.

Motine XI. That Religion which was never knowne nor heard of in the Apossiles time, nor in the primitive Church, cannot be the truth; but such is the
Romish Religion in most points thereof; therefore that cannot be the truth.

Motine X (I. That Church which maintagneth it selfe, and the Religion professed by it, and seeketh to defaduantage the Adue savies by value full, value, and vagodly meanes, cannot bee the true Church of God, nor that Religion the true hof God, by the grounds whereof they are warranted to all such denillish practices: but such is the practice of the Romish Church, and therfore neither their Church, nor their Religion can be of God.

Motine XIII. That Religion, the doctrines whereof are more sufe both in respect Gods glory, Mans saluation, and christian charity, is to be preserved before that which is not so safe, but dangerous: But the doctrine of the Protestants Religion is more safe in all those respects, and of the Papists more dangerous: ergo,

that is to be preferred before this, and configuently this to be reieffed.



# THIRTEENE FORCL BLE MOTIVES, DISSWA-DING FROM COMMUNION

With the Church of ROME.

Whereby is demonstratively prooued, that the now Romish Religion (so farre forth as it is Romish) is not the true Catholique Religion of CHRIST, but the seduction of Antickrist.

#### THE PREAMBLE.



Hat which Ireneus (an ancient and godly Father of the Irancuslib. 2. Church) speaketh of all He- cap. 54. retickes, that all the Helleborus in the world is not suf. ficient to purge them, that they may vomit out their follie, may truely be spoken of the Church of Rome, and her adherents, that it is a difficult matter, if not almost impossi. ble, to reclaime her from her

errors, and to beale her wounds. All the balme of Gilead will not do it, nor all the spirituall phisicke that can be ministred; for there

Whoredonie, and Drunkennesse: the one, because it is so familiar and naturall to the flesh: the other, because it breedeth by custome such an unquenchable thirst in the stomacke, as must ener anon be watered: with both which spirituall diseases, the Church of ROME is infected. She is the Whore of Babylon,

are two sinnes which of all other are most hard to beerelinguished,

Reuel. 17.12.

Ierem. 51. 9.

with whome the Kings of the Earth haue committed fornication, and who hath made drunke with the Wine of her fornications all the Inhabitants of the Earth. In regard of the first, Ieremie prophecied of her, that though paines betaken to healeher, yet shee could not be healed. And in regard of 2. The f 2.10. II the second, Saint Paul prophecied, that GOD would send thein strong delusion, that they should beleeve lies, that all they might bee damned that received not the love of the truth. Notwithstanding, though the hope bee as little of the reclaiming of most of them, as of turning an Eunuch into a man, or making a blacke Moore white; yet I have propounded in this discourse a strong potion compounded of twelve ingredients; which if they bee not past cure, may purge and cleanse them of their disease, and reduce them to the sanity of Christian Religion. Which if their quease stomackes shall eyther refuse to take. or having taken, shall womit up againe, and not suffer them to worke upon their consciences: yet this benefit will arise, that God shall be glorified, the truthmanifested, and all that love the truth confirmed: and they also themselves, that are so drowned in error, that they will rather pullin others over head and eares unto them. and so drowne together, then be drawne out of the myre by any helpe, shall be conuinced in their consciences of their most grosse apostacie. With this confidence towards Gods glorie, and the good of his Church, though with little hope of recovering them from their obdurate blindnesse; I enter into my intended taske, desiring the Lord to give a ble sing to these poore labours, which I consecrate to my Lord and Master Icsus Christ, whom I serue, and the Church his Spouse, of which I professe my selfe to become of the meanest members.

#### MOTIVE. I.

That Religion which in many points gineth libertie to sinne, is not the truth: but such is the Religion of the Church of ROME: ergo, &c.



He first proposition is an vindoubted truth, and needs no confirmation, especially, seeing S. MAIOR. lames describeth true Religion by these at- sames 1.27. tributes, pure and undefiled: And S. Paul 2. Tim. 3.1. calleth it the mysterie of godline se, and the do- Tit. I. I. Urine according to godlinesse. And herein con-

fisteth an effentiall difference betwixt the true Religion and all false ones: so that it must needs follow, that, that Religion which is effentially the cause and occasion of sinne, and openeth a wide window to vngodlinesse, cannot be the truth of God, but must needs fetch it beginning from the deuill, who is the author of all euill. The Gospell indeede may by accident be the occasion of euill, as S. Paul saith, The law is the Rom. 7.11. occasion of sinne, for it sirs vp contention and strife, and discouers the corruptions of Mans heart, and by oppoling against them (as a damme against a streame) makes them to fwell and boyle, and burst forth beyond the bounds: howbeit, here the cause is not in the Gospell, or Lawe; but in the corruption of mans heart, which, the more it is stirred, the more it rageth and ftriueth to shew it selfe. But neuer yet was the doctrine of godlinessethe cause of wickednesse, nor the pure and undefiled Religion of Christ Iesus, an essentiall procurer and prouoker vnto sinne.

3. This therefore being thus manifest, all the question and difficultie remaineth in the second proposition; to wit, that the Religion of the Romish Church is such as openeth a gappe vnto finne, and giueth notorious libertie and scope to vingodlinesse; and that not by way of accident or occasion, but necessarily as the cause to the effect, Qua data, necessario sequitur effettus; as the Logicians speake : and therefore being an unpure and defiled Religion, and the mysterie of ini-

Matt. 10. 34.

quitie

quitie, not the mysterie of godlinesse: it cannot be that true Religion which Christ our Sauiour brought with him from heauen, and lest here vpon earth blamelesse and unspotted like himselfe, to be the way to lead vs unto heauen, where hee is.

MINOR.

1.
Med. Christ. parran. li.7.ca.17.
Gratian part. 1.
pag.76.
Panorm. extr.de dinort. c. sin.
Felyn. de consist.
cap.statut.can.1.
Anton.pa.3.tit.
22.cap.6.

4. That the Romish Religion is a polluted and defiled Religion, tending to libertie and loosenesse. Let the ind fferent Reader judge by the fefew inftances, derined out of the verie bowels of their Church, and being articles of their faith, and grounds of their Religion. And first to beginne with their doctrine of dispensations; whereby they teach that the Pope hath power to dispense with the word of God, and with euery commandement of the Law, and not onely with the Law, but with the Gospell and Epistles of Paul: to what horrible loosenesse and lewdnesse of life dothit tend? for to omit that it containeth in it open blasphen ie by their owne rule, which is, that In pracepto superioris non debet dispensare inferior, the inferiour may not dispense with the precept of the superiour, by which the Pope dispensing with Gods lawe, is not onely equalled, but exalted aboue God; what sinne is there, bee it neuer so hainous, which there is not libertie giuen to commit by this licencious doctrine?

5. Incest? But Pope Martin the first gaue a dispensation to one to marrie his owne sister, and not his wives sister only, as some of the Romish crue would dawbe over this sistine wall, because it is in Antoninus, Cum quadam eius germana: for Silvester Prierus, Bartholomeus Fumus, and Angelus de Clanasso, speake more plainely, Cumsus germana; that is, with his owne naturall sister. Another Pope dispensed with Henry the eight to marrie his sister in law: and with Philip of Spaine to marrie his owne Niece: and Clement the 7. licenced Petrus Alvaradus the Spaniard, to marrie two sisters at once: and no marvaile, seeing it is the very doctrine of the Romish Church, that the Pope can dispense in all the degrees of Consanguinitie and Assimitie, save onely with the Father and his daughter, and with the Mother and her Son. Sodometrie? But Pope Sixtus the fourth licensed the Cardinall of Saint Lucie,

Antonin. part.
Siluest. in verbo
Papa.pag.279.
Bartho fumus in
verb. dispensat.
Angel. de Claua.
in verbo, papa.

Fumus quo supra Caictan in Leui cap. 18. Nauarr. enchir. pag. 515.

and his familie, to vse freely that sinne, not to bee named in the three hote moneths of the yeare. And Iohannes a Cafa Archbishop of Beneuentum, and Legate to Pope Iulius the third, set sorth a Booke in Italian Meeter, in commendation of this exectable sinne.

6. Adultery, and fornication? But they affirme and hold, that the Pope hath power to dispence with all manner of persons in the contract of Matrimonie, (the Father with his daughter, and the mother with her Sonne) onely excepted. And therefore Vladislam King of Hungarie, and Ludonicus the French King, by meanes of dispensation purchased from device. 12. the Pope, put away their lawfull wives, and married others. And for Fornication, the Popes Canon is famous, Heethat hash not a rife, but for a rife, or in stead of a rife, a Concubine, let him not for that be kept from the Communion. And Bellar. mines de ctrine confirmeth the fame Canon of the Pope, and croffeth the Canon of Christ; for thus he writeth, that speech of the Apostle (they that cannot containe, let them marrie, for it is better to marrie then to burne) cannot bee rightly said of them that have vimed, for both are naught, both to burne and to marrie; yea, it is morse of the two to marrie, what soener the Prote-Stants say to the contrarie. This is the 75. Granamen complais Cent. Granam. ned of by the Germanes, that the Bishops and their Officials did grau.75. telerate Priests to have Concubines, under the paiment of a certaine annuall rent of money. Which also Espensaus a learned Bishop of their owne confesseth, and complayneth of both in his second Booke de Continentia, and in his Commentarie vpon Titsu. Nay, that which is horrible to speake, and almost incredible to beleeue, the Germans in their 91. Granamen coplained, that not onely those Priests that had their whores; payd rearely rent for it, but even those that were continent and would have no Consubines, must pay the rent; and then be it at their charce whether they would have a Concubine or no. And lest any should thinke that Priests onely were thus dispensed withall, and that their Concubines were in flead of wines, though for the auoyding of scandall they might not have that name; as if the name of a wife were more scandalous then the name

Kanarr. Enchir. pag. 515. Cauctan in Len. cap. 18.

Rob. Gag.in Lu-

Mich. Ritus l.z. Decret. dift. 34. cap. 4.

Rel. de monach. li.z.ca.30.

Espens. de conti. li.2. cap.7. & in

of a Concubine (O height of impiety!) let all the world know, that not onely the Clergie, but also the Laity were in this case dispensed with all, as might be produed by many examples, if need were. May not this Church than rightly be stilled The Whore of Babylon, which thus authorise the whoredome in all degrees, and turneth a filthy sinne into a lawfull and warrantable act?

Pet. Martyr loc.

7. Periurie? But it is a prover be in the Popes Court, (as testifieth Peter Martyr) Quod non-est Regum & Magistratum, sed & Mercatorum stare iuramentis; It is not the part of Kings and Magistrates, but of Marchants, to stand to their oaths: And this is one of their renowned positions, The Pope may dispense with any oath, be it never so lawfull. Vpon which ground Azorim the Iesuite desendeth Pope Gregorie the twelsth, who in the time of a great schisme did openly and solennly sweare, that if he were made Pope, he would give it ouer againe: but being elected, he performed nothing less.

Azor. Institut.
moral. 1.5. c. 15.

Idem li 11.c.9.
Glossa ad Decret.li.2, tit.24.
cap.10.

Bonfin. Decad. 3. lib. 6.

Æneas Siluius som. in Europ.

And also the same Iesuite auoucheth, that other mensoaths may be dissolved by the Pope. And the glosse vpon the Decre. tals layth, That a man is no further bound to the observation of any oath, then it shall like the Pope. And the reason is added, Quia in omni iuramento excipitur authoritas maioris, in euery oath the authority of a superiour must bee excepted; which superiour by their doctrine is the Pope. And for their practice herein, I appeale to Cardinall Iulian the Popes Legate in Hungarie, who not onely licenced, but perswaded Vladiflaus the King to breake the league, and falifie his oath made to Amurath the Turke, which was the cause not onely of his ouerthrow, but of the loffe of a great part of that famous Kingdome; behold the fruits of these Romane Prophets. And to leape from a Cardinall to a Pope, I call to witnesse Clement the 7. who dispensed with Francis the French King, for his oath sworne to Charles the fift, at his delivery out of prison. And left any should thinke this to be a particular blot of one Pope; adde to him Gregorie the 7. who released Rodolph the King of Suenia from his oath of obedience to the Emperour Henrie the 4. and conferred the Empire vpon him, And Pope Zacharie,

Zachary, Boniface the eight, and Benedict de la lune, who freed the Frenchmen from their oath of obedience, which they ought vnto their Kings. And lastly, Pius Quintus, who by his Bull of excommunication against our lare samous Queene of bleffed memory, discharged her subjects from their oath of allegeance, whereby many open rebellions were raised vp agoinst the State, and secrettreasens plotted against her sacred

person.

8. Disobedience to Parents, Rebellion against lawfull Princes, and murdering of them also if they stand in their way? but the Pope can dispense with children, if they shall take Bell. de monach. vpon them the vow of fingle life, after foureteene yeares of age, and enter into a Sodomiticall Cloyster : and the Father hath nothing to doe with his childe being there once encloiflered, except he cannot live without his helpe. And for Princes; if the Pope shall excommunicate a Prince, or suborne a wicked traytor to murder his Soueraigne, then is this rebellion and murther not onely a warrantable, but alfoa meritorious and an heroicall act. Witnesse at home the Irish rebellion, heartened forward by Doctor Saunders, by the Popes instigation: and abroad, the murther of Henry the third, the French King, by Iames Clement; which bloudy deed was after highly commended by the Pope in his confiforial! Oration to be seene in print. And of Henry the fourth, of late dayes by hellish Ravilliac; with many such like, which I could here produce, but that fitter occasion will be offered hereaster for their larger discouery.

9. Thefe few particulars are sufficient to shew, what a gap is layd open to all loofnes by this Romish doctrine of dispenfations; which, that it is not our malicious collection (as they affirme) but a necessary consequence: One of their owne learned Fryers confesseth as much, Videmus quotidie à Romana curia, &c. We see daily (sayth hee) so large, yeaso dissolute dispensations come from the Court of ROME, that the world is not able to endure them; neither deether tend onely to the scandall of the weake, but of those also that are strong. I omit here the Popes dispensing with the cure of soules; whereby hee

lib.2. cap. 26.

Icfuita Gicar.

Francisc. Vict. d potest.pap. & concil. Relect.4. pag.139.

plainely

Nichol. Clemang.

Iob. Gerf. tom. 1. de potest Eccles. consid. 10.

Ioh Pic. Miran. erat.ad Leon.10

2.

plainely declareth, that though hee proudly stileth himselfe Supremus pastor animarum, the chiefe shapheard of soules : yes he is maximus vastator animarum, the greatest hauocker of foules that is on the earth (the Deuill onely excepted) who goeth beyond him a little, I must needes confesse: for when he licenceth some of his Cardinals to enjoy some 200. some 300. Benefices, most of which they never faw nor knew, nor regarded how they were served, or starved: white doth hee but shew himselse rather a Wolfe, than a Shepheard? This kind of dispensation Saint Bernard in his time callech a dissipation. And John Picas the famous Earle of M. randula, in an Oration to Pope Leo the 10. complaineth of, as a notorious corruption in the Church in his dayes. Now then, to conclude the poynt, if to main aine Incest, Sodometry, adultery, fornication, periury, disobedience to Parents, rebellion against Princes, and murther, be not to give licence to most horrible and foule finnes, let all meniudge, and then confider what that Religion is to be judged of, which grueth either open or secret dispensation to all these.

10. This is the first Romish doctrine directly tending to liberty: A fecond, nothing inferiour to the former, is their doctrine of popish pardons and Indulgences : a doctrine indeed full of all licentiousnes, stuft with impiery, and letting thereynesloofe to all manner of villany. For thus they teach, that the Pope being Christs Vicar heere on earth, haththe keyes of the kingdome of Heauen in custody, to admit in by Indulgence, or to that out by excommunication as hee shall fee cause; and that the merits of Martyrs (to wit) their workes of supererogation, which they have more then they need for their owne faluation, which (mixt with the merites of Christ) they call the treasure of the Church, are to bee dispensed and disposed at his pleasure.

Bel.de Indulgen. lib.1.ca.2.

Aquin, Suplin 3. par. 9.25. art.1. Bonif.apud Plat. in vita.

11. The limits and largenes of these pardons they stretch so farre, that they make them of more force then the death. and passion of Christ: for as they teach, Christs death freeth onely a culpa & aterna pana, that is, from the guilt of the faule and the eternall punishment due vuto it, but not a pana tem-

porali.

perali, from the temporall pun (h nent : but there populh pardons acquit and discharge both from the guilt, and from the punishment temporall and eternall, as some of them affirme, and they that mince it finelt, from the guilt and temporall punishment: so that Christs passion commeth short of his Vicar, pardon, and the formant can doe more then the Master by their Religion: for shough the efficacie of these pardons dependeth vpon the merits of Christ; yet that is but in part, for the Saints merits in uft be mixed with them, or elie they a- Louan, art. 6. lone make no good medicine, and the Pope mult dispence them, or elfe they are of no value. Neither doe they firetch onely to those that are alive, but to the dead also: And that Fu'men, brut. not enely in Purgatory, but in Hell. Out of both which places (fay they) both the suburbs and the Citie, the Pope is able to deliuer whom he pleaseth, and place them in heauen, the seare of the blessed: this is the opinion of divers of them. Antoninus the Archbishop of Florence auducheth almost as Antonin, part. 3. much (leaving out hell) for he faith that the Pope, in respect Tit. 22. 0.5. \$.6. of his absolute inrisdiction, may absolue all that are in Purgatory, and empty the prison at once: (marke his reasons) for (sayth he) seeing Gregory by his prayer discharged Traiane from the paine of hell, which is infinite: Therfore much more may the Pope by communication of indulgences, absolve all that are in Purgatory from that punishment which is but finite. And for asmuch as Christ may take away all paine, therefore the Pope also (who is his Vicar) may. These be the Archbishops goodly reasons, the one whereof is meere foolish and friuolous, the other blasphemous: but howsoeuer it be, yet thousands of ignorant persons have received these as their Creed; and by them beene seduced to the vtter ruine and destruction of their foules.

Veg.li.13, c.36.

Tapper.expl.art.

ex bul. Clem. 6.

12. And to that height of impudency are these pardon- Onuph. Pauvia. mongers growne, that they st cke not to promise plenary remission of all sinnes, to all that either come on pilgrimage to Rome, or miscarry in their journey, or that visite the holy places there, especially the 7. priviledged Churches; promiling to some 50. to some a 100. to some 3000, yeares of pardon.

Y.ea,

England

Yea, Baniface the eight granted, of his bountifull liberality, \$2000, yeares pardon, for every time saying a prayer of S. Angustine, printed in a Table at Venice, and that toties quoties. Iohn the 22. granted twentie yeres pardon to every one that doth but bow his head at the naming of Iesus. Here is a notable pardon indeed: a man may in one day provide for millions of ages, and not onely for himselfe, but to helpe his friends out of Purgatory. Besides all this, their holy Father the Pope vseth to consecrate and hallow an infinite number of Crucifixes, and Medailes, and Agnus Deis, Holy graines or Beads, and such like trash, and send them abroad into the world, that whosever weareth one of them about him, she bee at the poynt of death, and say but in his heart the name Iesus, shall have a plenary and full for givenesse of all his sins.

13. But what should I rake any deeper into this filthy

Recitare, est refutare. puddle? Imight spend much time and trauaile in deciphering the infinite and groffe absurdities of this monstrous doctrine, the very naming whereof is a sufficient consutation. I referre the Reader to others that have amply discovered these secrets of the whore of Babylon. But to returne to the poynt, Is not this a doctrine (I pray you) of licentiousnesse? who would feareto finne, when parden may be obtayned at follow a rate? for bowing the head, faying ouer a short prayer, visiting a Church, creeping to a Crosse, wearing a Crucifixe, pardon may be purchased for sinnes, without number, and that for yeares without number. What is the height of liberty, if this benot? Butyetthey ascend higher, for there is a great Mare of all these Indulgences at Rome, there you may have them at a very lowe price, rather then goe without, yea, cheaper than any other ware : and lest Rome should seeme too farre to fetch them thence, there are petty markets and faires of them in enery Country, and the Pedlers that carry about this trash, are the Priests and Iesuites. Leo the tenth fent Torelius about with his Pardons, offering to every one for the payment of tenne shillings, and not a penny under, to set at liberty the foule of any one which they should name in Purgatory. And of late it is fayd, that the Iesuites brought into

Ealeus in vita Leonis 10.

England, Agnus Dei's by thousands, which they sold at what rate they lift to poore seduced Papists. Perounthe French Cardinall brought with him from Rome many fuch hallowed and holy things, as some say, by the sale thereof to helpe to defray his charges which he was at in that costly iourney.

14. What should I name vnto you their odious marchandize, and fetting to sale of all manner of sinne, called taxa pænitentiaria Apostolica, whereby impunity is graunted to eucry sinne, be it neuer so grieuous; so the party payeth according to the rate for his absolution, to wit, if he will be absolued from adultery or incest, it must cost him foure Turons: if from both together it must stand him in fixe Turons: if from wilfull murther (being in holy orders) hee must pay twelue Turons: being a Bishop or an Abbot fiftie Turons, twelue Muscul.com.loo. Ducats? &c. Thus there is no finne so haynous, for which pardon may not be purchased for a small summe of money, as one of their owne Canonias could fing,

tit. minist.

Ioban. Monachus

Si dederis marcas, & is impleueris arcas, Culpa soluêris, quaq ligatus eris.

If thou with markes, wilt fill their arkes, what ere thou doest commit By word or deed, thou shalt be freed, the Pope bath pardon'd it.

15. If this be not a doctrine of liberty, let all the world judge. Who need care what sinne hee commit, when a pardon is but a money matter? Is it any maruaile now, if whores and theeues and notorious offenders turne Papills, feeing they may have so easily a full and plenary remission of all their offences? And that which is yet a greater emboldening of men to sinne then all the rest; they oftentimes for money pardon a sinne before it be committed, as it is constantly reported of Parry, that he brought with him his pardon in his pocket for murdering the late Queene, intended by him. But I haue heard of some that haue beene hanged with their parchemnit. exam. de pæsicent. dons about their necks, and so (it may be) was that bloudyminded Traytor. And this was it that emboldened the Germans to robbe the Popes pardoner, because they had purchased of him before, a pardon for the next sinne they should commit, though it were a great one: now this was the next, and therfore justly he could not find fault with them.

Orus Ecclef. ca.

16 By this it is enident, to what loofeneffe and lewdneffe of life this do &rine doth tend. Ifti enimindulgentiarum buccinatores, omnimodam promittunt securitatem, qua parit negligentiam & negligentia offensam Dei, saith the Author of that Bucke called Onus Ecclesie; that is, these publishers of pardons do promise all manner of security, which breedethinegligence, and negligence the offence of God: for Culpam qui praterit, innitat novam, conniuence at one fault is the hatching of a new. Who so will plainly see in one view the monstrous licentiousnesse of life that issueth from this puddle of Popish pardons, let him read the third grieuance of the German Nation, in the Booke of their Centum grauamina, exhibited to the Popes Legate at Novemberge, Anno 1522. in the latter end whereof are these wordes of just complaint. By the sale and marchandize of this ware, not onely Germany is spoyled of money, but Christian godlinesse is extinguished, where, when euery one according to the quantity of his payment, taketh unto himselfe liberty to sinne: bence mhoredome, incest adultery, periury, murther, theft, robbery, viury, and a whole heape of mischiefes baue proceeded; for what mischiefe will men be afraid to commit, when they be once per swaded that they have obtained licence and impunitie to sinne, not onely in this life, but also after their death? Hitherto is the complaint of Germany, which also may bee the iust complaint of the whole world.

17 A third doctrine of the Papists (opening the gap to licentiousnes) is their auricular confession, and popish penance: I in your them together, because they goe together in their practice, and are both together members of one of their new deuised Sacraments. True it is, that in outward showe these carry a semblance of seuere discipline: but if we search into their bowels, we shall find them to be the greatest baytes that

can be vnto dissolutenesse: For when they teach that the enumeration and reckoning vp of all a mans finnes in the yeare, of a Priest, once a yeare, obtaineth present absolution and pardon at the Priests hands, who is both Judge in this cause, and Phisicion; and hath power to loofe and binde, and to open and shut, to wound and heale, by the key of power committed to him: What is this, but to open a gappe to all wickednes? for when men are perswaded that there is so ready and easte a meanes to be rid of their sione, what need they be so chary of committing it? Hence it is (as by lamentable experience it is found true) among those that are devoted to this Religion, they sinne freely, that they may confesse and be absolued; and when they have confessed they sinne againe, that they may confesse againe, making no conscience how they live all the yeare, and what horrible finnes they commit, being perswaded that at Easter, by the shriving of a Priest, they are cleane absolued. Iust like a drunkard, that drinkes so long till hee vomite, and when his stomacke is disgorged, drinkes afresh, that he may vomite afresh : or like a gluston, that furfets all the yere long in all maner of intemperancy, & then in the spring takes phisick to purge out the naughty humors fro his stomake, & as soone as he is purged, fals again to his surfeting & ryot, in hope to be purged again in the spring.

18. This is the fruite of Popilla shrift, commended so highly by them to be so source against shrift in; which, if it be so, why did not Christ and his Apostles vie it? were they not as carefull to preserve men from sinne, as the Pope and his shauclings are? or is the Pope and his Apostles wifer then Christ Iesus and his Disciples? why was it not vied in the Primitize and purer times of the Church? Rhenanus and E-rasmus, two learned Papills, affirme plainely, that it was neither ordained by Christ, nor vied by the ancient Church; and Eras. Chrysostome telleth vs, that God doth not enforce vs to come forth and disclose our sinnes to any man. Herequireth no more (saith he) but that we speake to him alone, and to him alone confessed our faults. I, but the Popes indgement is more divine, tioch, and the times of Popery are more free from corruption (be-

Coster. encbir.

Rhen. Annot. ad Tertul.de pænit. Etas. Annot. ad Hieron. de chitu Sabiol. Chrysost. Homil. 22. ad popul. Antioch.

leeue

Thus

lecue it who lift) and therefore howfocuer then, yet now it is found to be a soueraigne preservative against sinne : as if they that feare not to offend in the presence of God, will blush to confesse their offences in the eare of a sinnefull Priest: or as if the law of God were of lesse force to keep men in awe, which they cannot escape, than the seare of a mortall man, whome they may deceive.

19. But let them say what they will, and cloake their licentiousnesse with neuer so holie pretences, all that have any judgement to discerne colours, which the blinde Romanists cannot do, or any wisedome to trye the spirits and doctrines whether they be of God or no; know, that Romish shrift is nothing but a shift to dive into mens purses, and a tricke of policie to fearch into their purpofes, that by that meanes they my enrich their owne coffers, and vphold their Antichristian Hierarchie: for by this deuice they understand the secrets of state, and oiscouermens affections in Religion, and so cunningly infinuate themselues into the managing of all affaires. both for preuention of preiudice to themselues, and vndermining their opposites: this is the policie of Romish shrift: and were this all, it were to be borne withall, if withall it did not open a wide doore of liberty to others, as I have in part shewed; and were not a bawd of vncleanesse to the shrivers themselves. Heare what one of their own writers revealeth Aluar. pelag. & concerning this last poynt. It is an ordinary practice (saith he) Planes. eccl. list. for Priests to commit execrable villary with women at Brift, ranishing wives, and deflowring maids in the Church, and committing Sodomie with young men, &c. Cornelius Agrippa, another of their owne, hath left in writing for all posterity to remember, that Auricular confession is genus quoddam lenociny, and he referreth vs for proofe thereor vnto the Tripartite History: Nec desunt mihi (saith hee) si referre velim cognita recentia exempla. I need not to seeke for farre examples: for I could relate many fresh and well knowen, if I would. And then he concludes, that Priests and Friers, and Monkes, having, under pretence of Religion, free accesse vnto any woman many times, whose soules they should gaine to God, their bodies they sacrifice to the denill.

art. 27.

De vanit. c.64.

Thus is their owne filth cast in their faces by their owne fauorites, who by all likelihood would speake the best of their mother, and in no respect durst flander her, for feare of shame and punishment. Wee finde in the Tripartite History (to the which it may be Agrippa had relation) of the rape of a noble woman by a Deacon in the time of shrift; for the which cause Nectarius the Bishop of Constantinople, banished this secret confession out of his Church; as also, all the Bishops of the East did the like in theirs. This story is recorded by Socrates, Sozomene, and Nicephorus; neither is it denied by the Romanifts themselves, though some of them condemne Nectarius for doing fo: As Andradius and Baronius, the one calling it a most impudent fact: the other saying, that not a good spirit, but an enill spirit persmaded him thereunto. And others seeke to elude ti, by saying the story is mistaken, and that Nectarius banished not confession it selfe, but the necessitie of confessing to one certaine Priest, which though it bee a vaine glosse which corrupts the text, as Chemnitius fully proueth: yet, not Chem.exam.Tri. to stand upon it, this is enident, that such a soule fact was committed in the time of shrift, and that thereupon this secret confession was either veterly abrogated, or at least so restrained, that it was no more secret, for feare of such like enormities: and indeed graunt that Nettarius did euill in abolishing all kind of confession, as Socrates, and Sozomene charge him: and as wee also will not excuse him, for wee hold that there may be an holy & profitable vse of confession in the Church, for the searching of the wounds of sinnefull soules, and applying of fit counsell & comfort to distressed consciences; yes from thence we may derive these three conclusions, first, that secret shrift was then thought not to bee ex iure divino, but onely a tradition of the Church, for else it could not have been abolished; secondly, that it was not thought necessarie for the remission of sinnes, as the Romanists teach; and thirdly, that it is a most dangerous occasion to vncleaunes, which is the matter we have in hand to proue.

20. If any here except, that these enormities proceed from the abuse, and not from the vse of shrift, and that they bee personall.

Socrat.li.s.ca.g. Sozom.li.7.6.16. Tripart.1.9.c.35 Niceph.l.12.c.28 Andr.ortho.exp. Baro.tom. I .art. 56.NU.28. Cost.ench.p.387.

de confess.

Panormitan. Peresi. Petrus Oxonien. personall frailties, and not corruptions of do etrine. I answer: first, that the very vse thereof is so daungerous, especially to these ranke Votaries, that it is tenne to one but it ever degenerates into the abuse : for, wanting the lawfull remedy ordained by God, no maruaile if their lufts breake forth into lawlesse actions. Againe, what warrant can there be of Gods bleffing to fanctifie the vie of that, which is not an ordinance of God, but a meere humane invention, as divers of their owne Doctors haue confessed, and is most easie to bee prooued? And lattly, though there may bee a profitable vse of confession, as I have said; yet this Auricular enumeration and Romish shrift cannot be lawfully vsed, because they make the very act thereof meritorious to faluation, and the absolution of the Priest an actuall and reall remitting of sinne; which opinion cannot but animate mento the committall of finne. feeing they have their remedy fo ready at hand, to wit, after the vetering a fewe wordes, the mouth of the Priest to abfolue them.

Roffensep.art.5. Maldonin Sum. q.16. art. 1. Suarez.tom.4. desp.4.Scet.4. Tollet.lib.3, de instruct.sacr.c.5

- 21. As for Contrition, which by their doctrine must goe before Confession, that makes the matter neuer a whit the more dissipation, neither doth it any whit the more bridle from sinne: for, if the griefe be but small, yet is the penitent absoluted (saith our Fisher:) and a Icsuite, a slender griefe is sufficient: and another Iesuite, The least degree of griefe is able to wipe away the highest degree of sinne. Surely this kind of contrition is so farre from brideling our corrupt nature from sinne, that it more incites and prickes it forward; for who would seare to sinne, if this be true, that the least griefe conceived in heart, together with the discovering of it to the Priest, and the Priest formall absolution, is sufficient for the sull pardon and remission thereof?
- 22. But peraduenture the last part of this Sacrament binds up the two former in greater seuerity: let vs consider a little therefore of their penance and satisfaction. They condemne vs lowdly, and raile with open throat against vs, calling vs Libertines, and Epicures, for rejecting their penance and satisfactory paines after sinnes committed. But, who are the Libertines.

Libertines, they or vs? let any indifferent reader (all preiudice fet apart) judge. Are we Libertines for renouncing their popish penance? why, there is no doctrine that more notoriously tends to liberty then this: For first, what are those satisfactory workes which they eniogne poore penitents? Cofter Coft.enchir.c'e 2 Iesuite reduceth them to three heads, Prayer, Almes, and panit. Fasting: to one of which three, all particulars in that kind may be referred; as to Prayer, they referre Masses; Dirges, and Trentals, vifiting of holy places, pilgrimage, and fuch like: to Almes, building of Abbeys, and religious houses, gining to Couents of Friers and Nuns: 2s for relieuing of the poore, that is the least poynt of their almes: to Fasting, fackcloth, ashes, watching, whipping, sleeping on the pauement, going barefoote, handy labour, and fuch like: If the first fort be imposed, for the most part it is nothing but the shuffling ouer of their Beads so many times a day, with so many Creeds, and so many Aues, though they vnderstand neuer a word they speake, or the saying of so many Masses, or going to visite the Shrine of Saint Thomas of Canterbury, or of some other Saint: all which is so farre from pinching the soule, or taming the body for sinne, that as by Chancers Canterbury tales may appeare, it deserueth rather to bee called a pastime than a penance. If Almes be enjoyned by the Confessor to the poore penitent, then must an Abby be built, or some religious house to nourish a company of idle drones, good for nothing but fruges consumere; or suppose an high-way bee repayred, or a Church reedified, or an Hospitall erected, whatterrour can this be from sinne, when the penalty may thus be discharged by the purse? As for their Fasting, watching, whiping, going barefoote, though it carry a shew of zeale in respect of not sparing the body, yet it is a plaine imitation of Baals Priests; and little profitable (as Saint Paul saith) to godlinesse, but ra- Col. 2.23. ther an incitation to loofenes. For when a man is perswaded 1. Tim. 4.8. and taught, that all his foule fins (committed the whole yere before) are veterly blotted out, and done away, by fasting one meale every Wednesday and Friday, and eating nothing but Fish during the holy time of Lent (except lunckets, and

fweet meates, and wine and Sugar, which they gorge themselves withall, and ver fast too ) and bearing ashes on Ashwednesday, going woolward on Good-friday, or giving himselfe halfe a dozen lashes on the back, or creeping to a creffe, and fuch like trumpery: I fay, when a man is perswaded that this short paine is a sufficient satisfaction for the punishment of all his former finne, (for so they teach) who would not returne againe to his vomit of wickednesse, seeing the pleasures (which he hopes to enjoy by his finnes) are farre longer and greater then the punishment wherewith he maketh satisfaction?

coster. ench. de facram.panit. pag. 400.

Suar.tom.4.difp. 50. SEE 5. Coft.de Ind. &c. Aquin. Suplin 3.par.q. 25. art 1. Tolit. li. 6. de inftruct. (ac.ca.22. O 23.

Val.li. de Indul. cap. 2.

22. Secondly, to shew that all this great noyse of satisfactions is nothing but a meere May-game, and mockery; obserue diligently their owne doctrine: Thus they teach, that all satisfactory punishments may be released by apardon. Aquinas, their illumined Doctor, gineth this reason thereof, Christ might release the fault without any satisfaction, and so might Paul, ergo, so may the Pope: and this is one of their late definitions of a pardon. Indulgentia eft remissio barum actionu qua funt a Confessario iniuncta peccatori. It is the remitting of that penance which is enjoyned a finner by his Confessor. And therefore another Iesuite truely confesseth, that the Indulgences have taken away all v se of senere discipline out of the Church: have they fo, good Iesuite? Then thus it followeth; you let out fatisfactions (which you so much extoll) and withall let in great liberty into the Church, not onely by opening the dore to pardons, but bewray your own abfurdities. For what a ridiculous jest is this? he that hath offended, mult do penace after his confession, or else hee cannot be faued, and after his penance is affigued, get but a pardon, and then there needes no further satisfaction. And how is a paydon procured? why, for alittle pecce of money, omnia venalia Roma. The Pope hath his pardon-Pedlers in all Countries, thou needed not go farre for it, they will bring it home to thy dores, at least, if thou beeft fat, and able to greaze them well. Pope Boniface Theod. a Nie. de the ninth sent out his Buls into divers Countries, releasing seifm, li.i.ca.68. for a certaine summe of money all offences what soener, without

any

any penance. And Leo the tenth offered to free for ten shillings any foule you would name, out of Purgatory; much more a mans owne foule, that it should never come thither: here is a doctrine indeede of feucrity, if any was among the Epicures: who will not say but this is a Cenforious and strict Religion?

Bal.in vita Leoais 10.

22. I, but some will say peraduenture, oh, it is an hard matter to pay tenne shillings for a pardon, this is the penance of the purse, which to a couetous rich man, or to a miserable poore man, is worfe the the penance of the carkeis. Why, but thou may it have it cheaper if thou wilt, and therefore indeed he is a foole which will part with a penny for the purchase of Nich. Sali. And a pardon: fay but demoutly a little short prayer in the Primer. & thou shalt have three thousand dayes pardon of mortall finnes, and twenty thousand dayes of veniall, given by Pope Iohn the twenty two. And if that Prayer bee too long, fay fine Pater-nosters before the Vernacle, and thou shalt have tenne thousand dayes pardon graunted by the same Pope; and if so many Pater-nosters be too tedious, say but an Aue at the Elevation, & thou shalt obtaine pardon for 20000. daies: or if thou dwellest neere Rome, doe but visite the Church of Saint Paul without the walls, & haue eight & forty thousand yeres of pardon. Who would stand vpon dayes, when he may have thus many thousand yeares? If thou beest weake or fick. and not able to visite a Church; then doe but deuoutly worship the Crosse, or the nayles, whip, launce, heart or hands of Christ painted in thy chamber, and that shall bee a sufficient fatisfaction for all thy finnes. Here is fluffe with a wirnesse! for can a man forbeare laughter to heare these May-games? D. white: the and yet this is not the tenth part of these incredible absurdities. But I passe them over, being sufficiently discourred by others, to the shame of the Romish Religion. It sufficeth, that by this little it is enident, that fatisfactions are so farre from refleaining, that they rather remit the reines to all liberty and licentiousnesse.

tidot.anima.

Vide White

Antichrift. Way of the true Church. pag.255.

24. Lastly, to conclude, if so be their imposed penance be at any time first & seuere, it is when the Pope is offended, not when God. Men may franckly fin against God, & no man will Horace.

Etheir. Abbas Le quod mira.

Lumbard.
Gratian.
Comestor.

fay vnto him, blacke is thine eye: or if vpon his shriving they enjoyne him penance, either it shall be so easie that it will not much trouble him to endure it, or if it be too hard, he may either tedeeme it with his purse, or at least commute it into another kind. But if their Lord god the Pope be offended, (fo the Canonifts flile him) then not onely feuerity, but cruelty must be exercised, then the whip and the scourge, then the fire and the fagot : Et scutica dignum horribili sectere flagello : That which deserved but a flap with a ferula, must be chastised with a scourge. As on the contrary, if the offence was only against God, and not the Pope, then that which deserved to be seuerely corrected, must have nothing but three slaps with a Fox-tayle; which is credibly reported by some Writers, to haue beene the penance of a Nunne, which was gotten with childe in her Cloyster. Albeit another Nunne at Watton in Torkesbire, fared farre worse for her wantonnesse with a yong Monke of the same house : for being gotten with childeby him, the was first imprisoned, (saith the Story) and then beaten vnreasonably, and vnseasonably too, being great with childe: then the Monke that committed this trespasse being taken and fiript, and bound fast to a stoole, a sharpe knife was put into the Nunnes hand, and shee was compelled by most cruell enforcement to take vengeance on those parts which had done her the mischiese, and to eate them also, with many other filthy circumstances, which I shame to speake of; but in conclusion, to make vp the matter with a miracle, two midwines were brought from heaven to Mistreffe Nunne, by the ghost of Henry Murdach the Archbishop of Yorke, which discharged her of her childe without paine, and carryed it forth with them to heaven (with lie and all) fo that it was neuerafter seene. Is not this penance (thinke you) able to terrificany one from committing the like finne?or rather, might northis Nunne say as another of her sexe and profession said, after the had had three Baftards, which proued great Clarkes and learned men in the Church, that it was a happy scape which brought forth three such worthy bastards? so this might call her Sonne an happy Sonne, which was honoured with

with so great a miracle. But let the Popes lawes bee broken, or his triple Crowne touched, and he shall smoake for it that dares do this.

25. Their fourth doctrine tending (apparently, and by neceffary consequence) to loofnesse, is their doctrine of vowed chaffity, whereby they enjoyne fingle life, and prohibite mas trimony to certaine kinds of men and women; to wit, fuch as enter into holy orders, teaching and maintaining, that for fuch it is better to go to harlots then to marry : and that to go to a harlot now and then, is but a sinne of infirmitie (as Pighius callethic) but to marry, is no better then a resolved, deliberate, or continuallincest, veterly without all shame. What an occasion, or rather a cause, this point of Romish doctrine hath beene of horrible filthinesse and wickednesse of life, wofull experience, in all places where the Romish Religion beareth sway, manifestly declareth. For to omit that this doctrine is but an vostart doctrine, in respect of true antiquity, brought in first by Pope Seritius three hundred and eighty yeares after Chrift, who was the first that made any restraint of Priests marriages, as it is confessed by Polidore Virgill, the decree on the Canonlaw, and Clistoneus; and yettooke not lib. s. ca. 4. effect untill the time of Gregory the seuenth called Hidlebrand, in the yeare one thousand seuentie and soure: as also, to omit that this doctrine is both contrary to the precepts of holy Scripture, and practice of holy men, both under the law, and under the Gospell : for under the lawe both Priests and Prophets were married; and under the Gospell, both Apo-Ales and Disciples had their wives, and after them Bishops and Prefibyters : and the doctrine of the Scripture is, Marriage is honourable among all men; and again, If they cannot abstaine, let them marrie, for it is better to marrie then to burne : Yea, and Saint Paul giveth order concerning the wines and children of Bishops, which had beene needlesse, if they might have none. And laftly, to omit that this prohibiting of marriage is called by Saint Paul one of the doctrines of deuils, e- 1. Tim.4.130 uery one of which might be a sufficiet argument, not only to cuince the vnsoundnesse of this doctrine, but also to demon-

Pighius cont. 15. de calibatu. Coft.encbir.sap. de calib.prop.9. Bell.li.z.de mon. cap.20.

Polid.de Inuent. D. 82. plur. Clicto.de contin. Sacr cap.4.

Euseb, li.4.c.23. Abana (.epift.ad Dracon.

Heb.13.4. 1.Cor.7.9. 1.Tim.3.2. Tit. 1.6.

C 3

Grace

ftrate how likely itmust needs be to occasionate sinne, comraing not from God, and therfore not likely to have his blessing to follow it; but from the deuill, and therfore most likely to serve for the advancement of his kingdome. Notwithstanding, to omit all these, and to refer them to a sitter place, let vs weigh this matter in the ballance of reason, and wee shall easily find that a great breach is hereby made for mens variety and varoward affections, to burst forth into horrible and damnable sinnes.

Math. 19.11.12

E.Cor. 7.7.

26. For first, the gift of Continency is no common, but 2 rare and fingular gift, which God bestoweth not ypon all, but vpon some few: this proposition is prooued by that aphorisme of our Saujour; All men cannot recease this thing, saue they to whomit is ginen: and in the next verse, He that is able to reseaue it, let him receaue it : Whereby he infinuateth, that who so cuer taketh vpon him the vowe of chastity, not being able to performe the same, sinneth in so doing. It is proued also by Saint Paul in this conclusion, Enery man hath his proper gift of God, one this may, another that way: for speaking of the gift of continencie, he wisheth that all men were as he himselfe : but seeing they are not so, therefore he leaueth it free to marrie for such as haue not that gift. But the Romish Clergy, together with the infinite orders of religious Votaries, are not few but many, and those chosen promiscuously without any respect had, whether they be endowed with that gift or no: therefore, being vnable to containe, and forbidden to vse the lawfull remedy ordained by God, they must of necessity fall into lawlesse and vnordinate lusts: befides, feeing that eucry man that will, be hee neuer fo defamed for incontinency, and so by experience knowne to be voyde of that same excellent gift, may become a Votary, and on the contrary. (our Sauiour faith) euery man cannot receaue this, what hope can there be of chastity among these men? Is the gift of chastitie indeed so common, that every man may have it that will? Is it so ordinary, that it is communicated to thousands of Priests, Monkes, Friars, and Nunnes? yea, to innumerable of that order in all places? Why

why then, what meant Cassander, a learned divine of their cassand. art. 1 3 owne, to fay, that the world was come to that passe, that a man could scarce find one of an hundred, that kept himselfe free from incontinency? And Erasmus, that the number of Monkes, and Priests, that lined in whoredome and incest, was innumerable: weigh the reason now in his just termes, they that cannot containe, must needs burst forth, either into fecret or open yncleanne se. But of infinite Romish votaries, sew or none have that gift to containe, therefore the rest must necessarily fall into either secret or open uncleannesse, let any man judge now, whether this doctrine doth not directly tend ynto loolenefle.

Eraf. annot. in 1. Tim. 3.

27. If any alleadge that this gift of continency may bee obtained by fasting and prayer: I answere, two things. First, If it may be thus obtained, it is a figne that they vie but little the same holy exercises, seeing sewe among them doe attaine vnto it. Secondly, I answere, that continency is in the number of those gifts, which may be denyed to a man salua salute, without danger of his faluation, because it is not necessarie to saluation, nor common to all Gods children, but peculiar to some. Now the promise of our Saniour, aske, and yea shall have, is meant of things necessary to saluation, and not of particular and speciall gifts. Thus Paul prayed thrice that the pricke in the flesh, the messenger of Sathan might be removed 2. Cor. 12. from him: (and some fay this was concupiscence) yet he was not heard in that which he prayed for, because hee might be faued without it, as it appeared in the answer given vnto him: my grace is sufficient for thee. And besides, what is it but a tepting of God, to refuse the ordinary remedy which God hath ordained, which is marriage, and to flye to extraordinary meanes? as if a man should refuse all bodily sustenance on earth, in hope that God will feed him extraordinarily from Pfalm. 34. heaven at his devout request, because he hath promised that those which seare him, shall want nothing, and whatsoeuer we aske in the name of Christ, shaltbe graunted. Let Saint Augustine determine this doubt, whose resolution is this concerning all things which men pray for, which are not necessary to Caluation.

Aug. Ser. 53.de verb. Dom. &c.

faluation. Aliquando Deus iratus, dat quod petis, & Deus propitius negat quod patis: Sometimes God granteth in anger, and sometimes denyeth in mercie, that which thou desirest. And let Origens practice put it out of all doubt, who to avoid incontinencie, and to quench the siery lusts of the sless, offered violence to his own sless, by cutting off those parts wherin concupiscence raigneth. If he had been eperswaded, that by sasting and prayer he could have obtained that gift from heaven, surely he would have macerated his body with the one, and brawned his knees with the other, rather then to have fallen to that desperate and unnatural remedy.

Genes. 3.

Genes. 1.

Bell.de Cler. lib.

Ionas 2.10.

28. But to leaue this their vaine obiection, and to come a little neerer to the poynt, how can that doctrine choose but lead to loosenes, which crosseth not onely the ordinance of God, who was the first ordainer of Marriage, but also the instinct of nature; for this was naturally instilled into all living Creatures, especially Man, at the first creation, that he should encrease and multiply: by vertue of which institution of nature, a defire is engrafted in all the posterity of Adam, of the propagation of their kind, that they may (as it were) live in their succession. And whereas Bellarmine obiecteth, that these words (Encrease and multiply) containe not a precept, but an institution of nature, and a promise of fecundity, because the same words are uttered to other Creatures, which are not capable of precepts, and also because if it were a precept, it should bind all to encrease and multiply, and so insurie should be offered to Christo Marie, and other holie virgines. I answere, that albeit one member of his reason is vnsound, to wit, that beasts are vncapable of precepts; for God spake to the Fish, and it cast vp lonah on the dry land; which sheweth, that beasts in their kind understand Gods precepts, and obey; yet we do not say that this is an absolute precept, binding all without exception to marriage, but onely a liberty granted to all that will, to marrie, that thereby mankind may be still propagated; and therefore they which take away this liberty from all ecclesia. sticall persons, and monastical Votaries, offer injury to nature, and tyrannize ouer the bodies and foules of men. For, whence

whence ariseth this necessary conclusion, that the vow of single life is repugnant to nature, and therefore none may take it vpon them, but those either in whome nature is desective. which our Saujour faith were borne chaste from their mothers belly, or that are endowed with a supernaturall gift, as our bleffed Saujour, the bleffed Virgin his mother, and other holy men and women: and so by consequent it followeth, because this gift is rare and extraordinary, that most of them (which by a rash vowe binde themselves from marriage) Chould fall into fornication and promiscuous lust. The course of nature in man-kind is like the fource of a running streame, which by no dammes, nor artificiall barres can bee flopped. but it will runne either the naturall course in the channell, or fome other by-passage, and that the more it is stopped, the more violently it rageth, except the fountaine and ipring be dryed vp. So, except the fountaine of concupiscence in incontinent persons be dryed up by a supernatural and extraordinary worke, the more it is interrupted, the more outragioully it fometh. Therefore if the ordinary channell of marriage be dammed vp, it must needs burst ouer the bankes of lawfulnes, and spread it selfe ouer the passures and medowes of adioyning neighbours. This is the very case of our Romish shauelings, being barred from marriage: they burst forth like wilde Bulls into other mens grounds, and defile their beds by adultery, and fill their houses with bastardy.

29. If they challenge to themselves the supernatural gift of continency, experience sheweth, that their challenge is vaine, for not one of an hundred of them liveth chassly: and besides, as God hath given that gift often to the heathen and reprobate, as Histories report, so very often, yea, most ordinarily doth he deny it to his own children: for ordinary grace doth not abolish, but sanctissis nature; so that this is no gift of ordinary saving grace, but a superordinary worke above grace, and that also many times without grace. If then it be not in the power of any to quench the instincts of nature, if it be not a worke of ordinary grace to abolish nature, but it requires the extraordinary grace for the effecting thereof; if the course of

Mar.19 12.
Bosil de vera
virginitate.

nature

nature be stopped one way, it will burst forth another: then we may by sound reason conclude, that the vowe of chastity and single life, and the prohibition of marriage in the Church of Rome doth open a wide gappe to all loose and licencious living.

Matth. 7.

Epiph.tom.2.

30. Lastly, that all this is true, let the lamentable effects and fruits of this their doctrine stand vp for witnesse and vmpiers in this matter; for, how shall a man better judge of the goodnesse of the cause, then by the effects? a good tree cannot bring forth euill fruite, nor an euill tree good fruit; every tree is knowne by his fruit: and albeit often that which is not the cause, is put for the cause, and by the accidentall failing of the medium, or instrument, the cause may misse of his proper effect : Yet, when the effects are not rare, but frequent, yea, infinite; and fuch as are so like, that they seeme (as it were) of one stampe, and (as it were) all egges of one bird; then it must needs follow, that parentem sequitur sua proles, like childe, like parent, fuch as the effect, such must the cause needs be. To beginne with Nicholas, one of the seuen Deacons, the prime Authour of the sect of the Nicholaitans condemned by Saint Iohn, Apoc. 2. Let Epiphanius tell vs what his opinion was, and what fruites issued there-from. This Nicholas having a beautifull wife, when hee fawe others in admiration for their single life, that he might not seeme inferiour to them, veterly renounced the company of his wife, and determined neuer to have fellowship with her againe. But when hee was notable to represse any longer the same of concupifcence, and being ashamed to returne to his wife, lest he should be condemned of inconstancy, he chose rather to giue ouer himselse to all manner of vnlawfull luft, yea, to that which is against nature. And from hence sprang the sect of the Nicholaitans, whose chiefe opinion was this, that if so be they could abstaine from lawfull marriage, it was no sinne to defile themselves with any other filthy or vnlawfull copulation. Here wee may behold a perfect mappe of the Romilla fingle life, with the fruits thereof. This happened in the first period of the primitive Church.

34. After-

21. Afterward in the Nicene Synode, when some went a Sour. li.1.ca 11 bout to prohibite marriage to the Clergy: Paphnutius 2 greatlearned Father of that affembly, gaine-said with great vehemency the proceeding of that decree; giving this for one principall reason, that it would beethe cause of horrible inpurity and obsernity in the Ecclesiasticall order; which, to bee a true prophecie, the event afterward hath manifefly declared. After this, about the fixe hundreth yeare of our Huldericus Aug Lord, it is reported of Gregory the great, that when hee fawe epific. the heads of more than fixe thousand infants taken out of a Fish-pond, he fighed, and confessed that the decree of fingle life in the Clergie, was the cause thereof; and therefore condemning that decree, he commended the Apostles counfell, that it was better to marrie, then to burne, and added this moreouer, that it was better to marrie, then to give occasion to murder.

32. After this, in the yeare eight hundred and fixtie, Huldericus Bishop of Augusta in Germany, in his Epistle to Pope Nicholas the first, most lively describeth the filthy fruites of Popish single life, his words be these, Nullum tam grave facinus, &c. There is no so great offence, which is not to be admitted, to the end that a greater then that may bee anoyded. Notwithstanding, many flatterers desirous to please men, not God, under a false show of continency commit greater sinnes, to wit, they defile their Fathers wines, they abborre not the embracings of men and bruite beasts, though they be of the most holy order, they make no scruple to abuse other mens wines: And when as certaine Bi--shops, and Archdeacons, are so given over to lust, that they cannot abstaine, neither from adulteries, nor incests, nor other filthy and unnameable sinnes; yet they affirme, that the chaste marriages of Priests doe stincke in their nostbrils, and forbid, yea, constraine Clergie men to abstaine from them: saying, what it is more honest to be entangled with many whores in secret, then to be tred to one wife in publique. But, woe be to you Pharifecs, which doe all to be seene of men. These be the expresse words of that reuerend Bishop :after he thus concludeth, Whilest the Clergie are constrained by Pharisaicall fury to give over the lawfull sellowship

Hulder. August. epist. ad Nich.z. of one wife, they are made fornicators, adulterers, and workers of other most filthy abominations, and that which with teares we behold, all of them rage in such wickednesse. Hence it is that the Church of God is so scandalized, and the Clergy so despised. Who seeth not by this testimony of this great learned man in the very heate and middest of Popery, what fruites this doctrine then brought forth?

Auentinus.

33. After, in the yeare one thousand and eightie, when that brand of hell Hidlebrand, otherwise called Gregory the seuenth, sate in the Romane seate, who laboured earnessly to bring in that tyrannicall decree vpon the Clergie in Germanie: Anentinus thus writeth, Gratum hoc furt scortatoribus, quibus, & c. This was acceptable to whoremongers, to whom now it was lawfull, in stead of one wife, to have the fellowship of sixe hundred harlots. Hence many false Prophets tooke occasion by fables and miracles to cast mists over the truth, and by drawing places of Scripture to their purpose, to deceyve the people. In a word, when as very sew did truely make warre with lust, and some did faine continency for gaine sake, the greatest part, under the honest name of chastitie, did commit whoredomes, incests, adulteries every where without punishment: thus writeth Auentine

touching those times.

34. After this, in the yeare 1102, in a Synode at London, where Anselme the Archbishop of Canterbury laboured with tooth and naile to interdict Priests of the vie of lawfull marriage, allowed before vnto them by King William; many opposed themselves against him, saying, it would be very dangerous, Ne dum mundicias viribus maiores appeterent, efc. Left, while they desired greater purity then their strength was able to beare, they should fall into horribie uncleannes, to the great ignominy of Christian Religion. And when as not with standing Anselme had effected this prohibition, the next yeare after complaint was made vnto him, that in flead of marriage forbidde, the horrible sin of Sodomie raigned ouer all England. and from the Clergie crept also into the Laity; which he endevoured to restraine also, but all in vaine: or the ordinance of God being neglected, what can the wisdome and lawes of man-doe good? 35. But

35. But were the latter ages any whit better reformed? Bernard. peraduenture, in these fixe hundred yeares last past, the Po- De conners. ad pish Cleargie, were more holy and lesse licencious. Let Saint Chricos cap. 29. Bernard be judge of his times; If (faith he) according to the Prophecie of Ezekiel, we should digge through the wall, that we might looke into Gods house, there will peraduenture appeare within the wall a filthy abomination; for after fornications succeede adulteries, after incefts, the passions of ignominie and works of filthinesse are not wanting. I would to God that it neither behooned the Apostle to write these things, nor us to relate them. that it might not be beleeved, that such abominable lust possessed the mind of man. Alas, the enemie of mankind bath beforinckled the body of the Church with the execrable ashes of Sodome, er that many of the very ministers therof. And in the end he thus concludes; Many (I say, not all) yet many (they can neither be hidden for multitude, neither do they seeke to be hidden for impudency) I say, many seeme to have given libertie to the ocsasion of the flesh, abstaining from the remedie of marriage, and bursting forth into all manner of wickednesse. Againe, the same Bernard in another place thus complaineth, Tolle de Ecclesia, &c. Take Ber. sup. cant. from the Church honourable marriage, and the bed undefiled, and ser. 66. thou shalt fill it full of whoremongers, incestuous persons, buggerers, and all kind of uncleane ones. And a little before in the same Sermon he giveth the reason hereof, Omnimmunditialax at habenas qui nuptias damnat : He that condemnes marriage, lets loofe the reynes to all uncleanne ffe.

26. Robert Holket an English man, and a Dominican Frier, Holket sup. sas. that lived in the yeare of our Lord one thousand three hun- lest. 173. dred and fortie, thus complaineth of the corruption in the Clergie of his time, Sed prob dolor, &c. But alas, in the fe daies the saying of Iob is verified, Behold, they that serve the Lord, are not stable and constant, &c. For of the Priests some be Angels of Sathanby discord and contention, some Apostations by pride, some bee filthy spirits by rioutousnesse and uncleannesse, and some Angels of the bottomles pit, by conetousnes; and a little after, Not a few of our moderne Priests doe serve themost vild and filthy God = Priapus. Panormitane, a man of great fame in the Councell of

Basill,

Cler.coniug.cap. cum olim.

Panor. par. 3. de Basill, after he had shewen the vowe of continencie not to be of the essence of Priest-hood, nor by the lawe of God, but a constitution of the Church, addeth these words: I beleene that it were a whole some ordinance for the good & saluation of soules, to leave it to mens owne wils to marrie, or not, because experience doth show, that now a daies they doe not line spiritually and undefiledly, but that they are defiled by unlawfull copulation, whereas they might line chaftly with their owne wines.

37. I could adde unto these testimonies the report of John Gersan, rouching his time: who complained that some Cloy-Gerf.tom. I decl. sters of Nunnes were become Stewes of strumpets and whores. defect.vir. Eccl. And of Mantuan a Carmelite Italian Frier, whose verses tou-Lib.I.fastor.

ching this poynt are sufficiently knowne.

Patrum vita fuit melior cum coniuge, quam nunc Nostra sit, exclusis thalamis & coningis vsu.

45.

The life of the Fathers was better being married, then ours Polydor. Virg.de to whom marriage is forbidden : and of Polidor Virgill, who Invent.li.5.ca.4. lived in King Henrie the Eights daies; whose censure is this, that this enforced chaftity is so farre from excelling that marriage-chastity, that no crime hath brought more shame to the order of Priesthood, more enill to Religion, nor more griefe to all good men, then that blot of the filthinesse of Triests. But that I seare I haue too much offeded chaste eares already, with raking into this dunghill, I conclude with thereport of Martin Luther, he saith, that he saw Cardinals at Rome, which were accounted holy for no other cause, but that they were content onely to commit fornication and adultery with women, and did not give themselves to other vanatural lusts. Thus, as it were in a mappe; I have described the filthy and abominable fruites that proceed from that Romish doctrine of vowed chastitie; Is it possible that the spring should be good, when the streams are thus corrupt?

> 38. The fift coctrine of Poperie (giving manifest occasion of liberry to the professours thereof) is their dostrine of veniall firm san By which they teach, that many acts which are transgressions of the laws of God & men, yet are not pro-

perly

perly fins, nor deserue the wrath of God, but of their nature are pardonable; and therfore he which committeel any fuch, doth neither offer insury to God, nor breake charity in respect of his neighbour, and so deserues not hell, nor is bound to be forry for them, but that the knocking of the breft, going to Church, being sprinckled with holy water, or the Bishops bleffing, or croffing ones felfe, or doing any worke of charity, though we never thinke actually of them; is a sufficient satissaction for them. This is the doctrine not onely of the Schoolemen, but also of the finest, and refyning Iesuites, euen of Bellarmine himselfe, who thus distinguisheth veniall finnes, that some are veniall of their own nature and kind to wit. such as have for their object an enill and inordinate matter, but which is not repugnant to the law of God, and of our neighbour: others are veniall by the imperfection of the worke, which imperfection ariseth partly, ex surreptione, that is, by unadnised falling into them, without full confent of will; and partly, ex paruitate materia, by the smalne se of the matter which is committed. as if a man should steale a halfe-peny, or some such trifle. This is the Cardinals doctrine, which (as neere as I could) I have word for word fet downe. And that wee may more fully vnderstand their meaning, they affirme in very deede that they are no finnes, but aquinoce, that is so called, but not so in truth; for the word peccatum, finne, dothnot uninoce, agree to veni- Bellar. de amiff. all sinnes, as it doth to mortall; and therefore it is their generall opinion, that they are not against, but beside the lame, that is in plaine words, not sinne: for every sinne is a transgression of the law. Now, let the Readeriudge whether our doctrine, that all sinnes of their owne nature are mortall, and deserve condemnation, except they be repeted of, or theirs, that some are veniall, and binde not the offender to condemnation, doe more tend to liberty: whether we refraine more the people from finning, that thus fay vnto them, All your finnes (though neuer so small) are of their owne nature damnable, except by faith in the bloud of Christ they be purged away; and by repentance, which is a fruite of faith forrowed for, and laboured against: or they that say thus to them, A number of your ordinary

Henr. Sum.mor. cap.20. lib.4. Tho.par. 2.9.83. &81. art. 3. Ouand.4.d.16. Pinwood.li.3.de celeb. miff. Gregor. de Val. tom.3.p,1090.

Bellar. de omiff. grat. d. flat. peccatilib.1.ca.3.

grat. & stat. peccat.lio. 1.6.1p. 12. I. loh. 3.

ordinarie finnes, are not damnable, you neede not faith in Christs bloud to purge them; nor repentance to bewaile them; nor care and endeuour to preuent them: who feeth not that our doctrine pulleth in, and theirs letteth out the reynes of libertie to our corrupt nature? for when a man beleeueth that he may do many things, which are in deed transgressions of Gods lawe, without offence to God, or hurt to his neighbour, or wounding of his owne conscience; and, that after he hath committed them, he needeth not greatly to repent of them, or to be forty for them; but that they are done away by faying a Lords prayer, or hearing a Masse, or creeping to a Crosse, or receiving a little Holy water; what neede he make any conscience of these so sleight trifles; nay, how can hee choose but neglect and make light account of them? This is one of the deuils subtile deuices or juggling trickes which Saint Paul speaketh of, wherewith hee laboureth to seduce simple soules, for either hee will aggrauate our finnes to drive vs to desperation, or extenuate and excuse them to draw to presumption: the rocke and gulfe whereat many thousand soules suffer shipwracke. And this last the most dangerous, wherein the Papists shew themselves the deuils agents and factours, by this their doctrine of veniall finnes: for what is this but to excuse sione, and to extenuate it, and so to make men presume to commit those things which they esteeme of no greater moment?

2. Cor. 2.11.

pag. 490

Grego. de Val. tom.3.pa.1090.

con. I. pro.s.

39. The truth of this will more clearely appeare, if wee take a suruay a little of those particular sinnes, which they account as veniall. To sweare by the blond of God, or wounds, or caietan. in sum. bodie of Christ, is no blasphemie (saith Cardinall Caietane) if it be spoken in a brawle, or in some perturbation of mind, neither is it to be counted any more than a veniall sinne. Againe, formall curfing (saith Gregorie de Valentia) although in it owne kinde it be a mortall sinne; yet it may be onely a veniall, towit, in respect either of the smalnesse of the matter, or the want of deliberation in the speaker: and hereby (saith he) Parents curfing their chil-Mol. tract. 2.ca 9 dren with bitter words, and denoting them to the denill, may often be excused from mortall sinne. An officious lye, and a lye in sport,

are but veniall sinnes (saith Molanus: ) the same Authour affirmeth, that if any man steale some little thing, suppose an bulfe peny, (28 Bellarmine giueth instance) whereby no notable Bell de amif. hurt is caused, this is to bee esteemed no mertall sinne. Againe, grat & stat pecrashindgement (though consent bee added thereto) is regularly cat.lib.1.ca.3. but a veniall sinne: so also is the painting of the face (faith Mo- Molan ibidem. lanus.) Cardinall Caietane reckoneth vp a number fuch like, as for example. 2 Partiality in judgement, and acception of per- 2 page 18. son, if it be not pernitious. b. Flattery, when we praise one for ve. b page 22. nialleuils, and it be without any manifest burt. c Ambition, that crage 25. is an inordinate desire of bonour, if it be not for euill deeds, or ins. moderate. d Arrogancie, whereby a man attributeth that to d page 27. himselfe which is farre aboue him; if it be without presudice of his neighbour. c Craft, if it bee not joyned with damage. f Co. e page 30. netousnesse, as it is opposed to liberality, that is, an inordinate de- f page 30. fire of money, and greedy keeping of it being gorten, because it is not against, but besides charity. & Contempt of our neighbour and 8 pa. 99.6 101, Superiour insmall trifles. h To contend in words against a known h page 102. truth; if the opposite falshood be not pernitious. i To rayle upon i page 105. our neighbour to his face, if it proceede from passion, or bee but a light repreach, k Curiositie, if it beenaked, without some other k page 110: enill iogned with it. 1 To mocke and scorne our neighbour; if in a 1 page 119. smallmatter. m Drunkennesse; if it be not full and compleat, to mpage 131. wit, if a man drinke till the house seeme to goe round, and yet is not deprined of reason; yea, if it bee of purpose and with full in. tention. For a childe not to renerence his Parents; so that it be n page 317. free from notorious iniurie and contempt. o To deceive; if in a o page 318, small mater. P Gluttony is then onely mortall, when a man makes ppage 322. the delight in eating the last end. I Hypocrisie, to wit, thus farre 9 page 340. forth, if a man faine himselfe to be good in some thing, when hee is not, or better then he is. r Filthy speech is most vsuall, but veni- r page 354. all. I Todisdaine amans neighbour, is commonly but a veniall spage 369. sinne. To indgerashly of our neighbour, is either veniall or mir- t page 401. tali, according to the greatnes of the thing whereof we give indgement. " Idlenesse; if it have no other mischiefe to accompanyit. " page 447. These, and a number such like are reckoned up by that Author; to which I might adde many more out of other Romish writers,

writers, but these may suffice for our purpose, to demonstrate what liberty this doctrine gives to loosaesse. For hence men may be bold to sweare, to curse, to raile, to back-bite, to steale, to be drunke, to be idle, &c. & cloke all vnder this vaile, They are but veniall sinnes. Yea, and because the common people are not able to vnderstand their nice distinctions of, against, and beside chatitie; surreption, and irruption; great and small dammage, &c. therefore often grosse and great sinnes creepe in vnder the name of venials; if this be not a doctrine of liberty, what is?

6..

40. The fixt and last doctrine tending to loofnesse (the last, I meane, of those which I intend to propound in this Discourse, for there are many more that tend to the same end) is their doctrine of implicite and infolded faith; whereby they teach, that if a man know some necessary poynts of Religion, as the doctrine of the God-head of the Trinitie, of Christs incarnation and Passion, &c. it is needlesse to busie himselfe about the rest by a particular or distinct knowledge: but it sufficeth to give affent to the Church, and to beleeve as the Pastors beleeve. This implicite faith is the mother of ignorance, and this ignorance (fay they is) the mother of denotion : but what kind of denotion I pray you? fuch as the mother is, such is the daughter, a blinde mother, and a blinde daughter: such a deuotion and zeale which the Iewes had, when they crucified Christ; or as Saint Paul had, when he persecuted the Church of Christ; or which the Gentiles had, when they thought they did God good feruice by putting to death the primitive Christians. Like Poliphemus, when his eye was bored out by Vliffes, dashed himselfe against euery rocke : so doe these blinde Romanists, the eye of knowledge being bored out by this pernitious doctrine, dash themselves against the rocke of Heresie in matter of faith, and impietie in manners : for all errour in doctrineariseth from ignorance of the Scriptures, You erre, not knowing the Scripture, and the power of God, faith our Saujour : and erring in manners, proceedeth from the same fountaine; for if the hyding of Gods word in the heart, is a preservative agains Simme;

Rom. 10.2. Acts 3.17.

Ads 22.3.4.

Joh. 16.2.

Tertull.

Mat, 22.19.

sinne, as the Prophet David auoucheth: then the ignorance Pfal, 119.11. of Gods word must needs be the cause of many errours and enormities in life. To this agreeth the opinion of Chry fostome: Scripturarum ignoratio bareses peperit : bac vitam corruptam Chrysde Laz. & innexit, hac sursum ac deorsum omniamiscuit. The ignorance Divite, Hom,3. of Scripture hath bred herefies, brought in corruption of life, and turned all things vpfide downe. And also of Saint Hie. Hierom. rome, who fayth plainely, Ama scientia Scripturarum, & carnis vitia non amabis. Lone the knowledge of the Scriptures, and thoushalt not lone the vices of the flesh. Whereby hee give h vs to vinderstand, that where there is no loue of knowledge, there must needs be the loue of vice : the reason is manifest, because the Scripture is a most exquisite rule and exact squire to try all our actions by, as Chry fostome calleth it, and a straight and inflexible rule, as Gregory Niffen termeth it. Now, if this rule, squire and ballance be hid fromvs, how can we square our actions aright? how can wee give them their iust poyle and weight? As the Carpenter that hath loft his rule and line, cannot but erre grofly in his worke: So the Christian that is deprived of this knowledge of Gods word, must needs runne into infinite foule and groffe enormities,

41. From this fountaine, conjoyned with those which went before, springeth the monstrous corruption of manners in all places, where Popery raigneth: especially in Italie and Rome, under the Popes nose. They object to us the great and horrible diforder and corruption which is among Protestants; but we on the other side, as we stand not to iu-Rifie our felues in this kind, but rather bewaile the prophanenesse of all estates in these dayes, euen under the Gospell; so we dare boldly say, that in the time of Popery heretofore, and in places where it now swayeth, their impiety and prophanenesse doth as farre exceed ours, as a great mountaine doth a little molehill. Of all Countries in the world, Italie is the Popes owne peculiar: and yet that is the very fincke of the world for sinne; witnesse Aneas Silvius, who lived almost two hundred yeares fince, who fayth, that it was the Italian fashion to line by robberie, and to trample under focte all equity

Ibid. hom. 13.in 2.Cor. Greg. Niff. orat. de is qui adeunt Hierus.

Encas Sil. Com. in dicto & facto Alphonfo

increased,

and religion. And for the moderne times, witnesse the common proverbe. An Englishman Italionate, a devill incarnate. Rome is the Popes owne seate, for it is the spiritual Babylon, built vpon seven hils, and yet that is the sincke of Italy, wit-Muzt. lin. Fast. nessetheir owne Mantuan. I pudor in villas &c.

Vrbsest iam tota lupanar.

Depart honesty into Villages, the Citie is wholly become a Stewes, and Transilors report, it was never so enill as it is at this day; witnesse their owne pasquille

Roma vale, vidi, satis est vidisse, renertar, Cum leno, aut meretrix, scurra, cynadus ero.

Now farewell Rome, I have thee scene; it was enough to see: I will returne when as I meane, Bawde, Pander, Knaue to bee:

As if there were none but such at Rome.

42. And this the best of them (against their wils) acknowledge, when they consessed Rome to be mysticall Babylon: for why is Rome so stilled in the Scripture, but because it resembleth the Asiyrian Babylon in pride, idolatry, silthinesse, and especially in most cruell persecution of the Church of God? and for the same cause it is called spirituall Sodome and Egypt: Sodome, for pride and silthines, Egypt, for Idolatry and cruelty. The Popes court is the Popes owne Sanstum Sanstorum, is in reverence to that holy place I may so sanstum Sanstorum, if in reverence to that holy place I may so sanst that holy woman, whom Pope Pius the second canonized for a Saint, who thus complained, that in the Court of Rome, where should bee a delicate Paradise of vertues, she sound a stincke of hellish vices. Concerning the whole state of the Romane Church, both

Anto-part.3.tit.

Duran de ceneil. tit. 27.

Card. All:ac.de reform. Eccles.

Platina de vita Mar. addicate Paradife of vertues, she sound a stincke of helish vices. Concerning the whole state of the Romane Church, both Laiety and Clergy, heare what the judgement of Durand was in his time, Desperata est salus Romana Ecclesia, &c. The saluation of the Romane Church is desperate; of which is verified the sayings of the Prophet Esay, (It shall be a bed of Dragons:) and of Petrus de Alliaco, a Cardinallin his time, Adeum statum venit, &c. The Romane Church is come to that state, that it is not worthy to be governed but by reprobates. And of Platina himselfe, the Popes owne Secretary, Hac nostra atate (sayth he) vitia eò crenerunt, &c. In this our age vices are so

increased, that they seeme to have scarce left us any place in Gods mercy, &c. After the Councell of Trent, which promised a reformation, heare how a Bishop of their owne, Espensaus complaineth; All hope (faith he) of reformation is taken away: where under the Sunne is there greater licenciousnes, clamour, impurity, I will not say madnesse, and impudency, then in this Citie? such, and so great, as none can beleeue, but he which bath seene it : none can deny, but he which hath not seene it. I could heape vp many like testimonies for the clearing of this poynt, but it is needlesse, seeing that all that have either gotten experimentall knowledge by their trauailes, or speculative by their reading, can & will instiffe the truth of this position, that in no place of the world, more implety, atheisme, impurity, cruelty, poyloning trechery, & all maner of villanie raigneth, then in Italy the Popes owne dominions, and in Rome vnder his Holinesse nose. So that for shame (but that the whore of Babylon, and her adherents have brazen foreheads) they may cease to lay that imputation of loofnesse and wickednesse of life vpon vs and our Religion, and assume the aspersion of it vnto themselues, being farre more guilty, and their religion directly tending therento, by these six maine grounds which I have in this first motive propounded to the judgement of euery indifferent Reader: The Lord of his mercy open our eyes, that we may discerne the truth, and our hearts, that we may loue it, and embrace it.

Epif. Efpen.com.

## MOTIVE. II.

That religion, which maintaines by the grounds thereof things forbidden, by all lawes both of God, of Nature, and of Man, cannot be the true religion: but such is the religion of the Romane Church: Ergo.

He first proposition in this reason is vnquestionable and MAIOR. without controuersie: for the law of God is one part of

D 3

true

true religion, as the Gospeli is the other: and therfore what-

Eic. pro Milon. Lex nota non data.

MINOR.

soeuer contradicts this law, is opposite voto true religion, and so cannot be true religion it selfe : for truth is not opposite vnto truth, but falshood : and the lawe of nature is nothing but the law of God, engrauen in the hearts of all men by the instinct of nature, which Tullie calleth a lawe engendred, nor imposed, borne with vs, not laid vpon vs. And the positive laws of men, if they be good, are nothing els but extracts out of the law of God, & characters of the law of nature. That religion therefore that croffeth all these lawes by allowance of fuch things, which are by them all condemned, cannot in any wise be the true religion, but must needs stand guilty of falfhoode and errour. Now, that the Romish religion is such (which is the second proposition in the reason) that is my taske to proue, and I hope I shall by inuincible arguments

make good the same.

Matth. 22.21.

I.

Rom.13,:.

Joh.19.11.

1. And first, what can beemore contrary to the lawes of God, of Nature, of Man, then treason and rebellionagainst Princes? for the lawe of God commandeth civill obedience to the Magistrate, by the first precept of the second Table: and our Saujour in the Gospell biddeth to give to Casar those things that belong unto Cafar : and Saint Paul chargeth enery Soule to be subject to the higher powers, because all power is of God: euen tyrannicall power, as our Sauiour confesseth to Pilate: Thou hadst no power ouer mee, except it was given thee from aboue: where he acknowledgeth, that Pilates power (though he was a tyrant) was of God; and therefore submitteth himselfe vnto it. As for the law of nature, it requireth as much of all; for as in the bodie naturall, all the outward members and inward faculties are gouerned by reason, residing in the head, and in the body oiconomike, all the familie is directed by the Father or Mafter thereof: so in the body politique, all the members of a Common-wealth must by natures decree be obedient to the King or governour, whom to relift, is to rebell against nature, as it is against nature for the member to mutiny against the head; or for children and servants to be disobedient to their Fathers or Masters: Neither are the lawes

lawes politiue any whit behinde: for no offence by lawe is more seucrely punished, then crimen lasa Maiestatis, that is, high treason against the Kings person or State: and that not onely in this our Kingdome, but in all others, as is sufficient-

ly knowen. 2. Now, that the Romish doctrine and religion is a supporter of treason, and an animater of traytors against their Soueraignes ; I call to witnesse, first, their owne principles, and secondly, their practice. Their principles are the fe : As Toll. Inftr. Sacer. long as the Prince continueth excommunicate, the Subject is freed lib. 1. cap. 13. from the oath of subjection: this is the Position of a Cardinall, whose authority was so great in the Church of Rome, that what soeuer he wrote, was allowed as sound and authenticall, without examination or supervizing. To him I adde a Simanc. In st. lib. Bishop, whose writings, after supervision and examination, were approued as Catholique doctrine, and to containe in them nothing contrary to the received faith of the Romane Church: his Polition is this, Assoone as a Christian King becomes hereticall, forthwith people are freed from their subjection, The condition in the first Position is, if the King be excommunicate: in the second, if he be hereticall; which though different in termes, yet in substance are all one, for every heretique is excommunicate, quatenus aperte bereticus, in that Val. lef. tom. 3. he is an open heretique, if not by name, yet in deed, and by right, and so Subjects may lawfully deny him obedience, faith another lesuite : and what is an hereticke in their diuinity, I pray you? Marry, Whosoener maintaineth any doctrine expressy condemned by the Church of Rome, bee is to be accounted (say they) an obstinate bereticke. To these adde the sentence of another Cardinall, even our owne Countriman Alin his Apology for Stanlies treason, who joyneth both these two conditions together as two twinnes. By reason (saith he) Cardina! Allen. of Queene Elizabeths excommunication and herefie, it was not onely lawfull for any of her Subjects, but even they were bound in conscience, to deprine her of any Brength, which lay in their power to doe, and to deliner her Armies, Townes, or fortresses into her enemies hands, she no more being the right owner of them. But

23. Sca. 11.

in Ibim. difp. 1. qu. 12.

Alphide Cast.L.I. de iust punit. bar.cap.10.

all

803

Bell de Rom pot.

Sigebert. Chron.

Anna 1088 .- "

all this while we have not the pillar of Popery, Bellarmine, it may be he is of another mind : heare therefore his refolution. Non licet Christianis tolerare, &c. It is not lawfull for Christians to tolerate a King that is an infidell, or an heretike, if he endeuour to draw his Subiects to his heresie or infidelity. This is braue Bellarmines resolution of this case. Neither doth he barely set it downe, but laboureth to proue it by many arguments throughout that whole Chapter: indeed he pinneth it all ypon the Popes fleeue; he must pronounce the King to be an heretike, and they like sheepe must auoy de himas a wolfe: he must forbid them to obey, and they must forthwith fall to rebellion: that whole seuenth Chapter is worth the reading. if any defire to know the full and compleat doctrine of the Romish Church, concerning the poynt of rebellion and treafon against Princes. And that this was the doctrine not of some few among them, but of all in generall : Let a Fryer of their-owne testifie about three hundred yeares fince; Sigebert mencioning the Popes proceeding against Henry the Emperor, thus writeth; Be it spoken with the leave of all good men, this monelty, that I say not heresie, had not asyet sprung up in the world. that Gods Priests should teach the people that they owe no sabie-Etion to enill Princes, and though they have sworne allegeance to them, yet they owe them no fidelity, neither shall hee be accounted periur'd which thinketh against the King, yea, bee that obeyeth him shall be counted for excommunicate, and he that doth again & him shall be absolved from the guilt of iniustice and periurie. Herewe may behold the doctrine of that age, and withall, that by this Fryers iudgement concurring with vs, it is not onely nouelty, but a point of herefie to dissolue the bond of allegeace, which Subjects owe vnto their Princes, vpon any pretence whatfoeuer.

Gratian cau.15.

3. But all these are but the opinions of private men, and not the decrees of the Church: heare therefore what the Church speaketh, by the pretended head thereof the Pope, who (as they affirme) cannot erre whilest he sits in the chaire of Peter, to determine matters of faith. Gregory the seventh, alias, Hildebrand, thus determineth, Weby Apostolical authority.

doe absolue all from their oaths which they have given to persons excommunicate. And another Pope of latertime, in his Bull against Queene Elixabeth, thus ; We absolue all Subietts from Bulla Py Quin. their faith they have plight with Elizabeth their Queen. A third Pope Paulus Tertius did excommunicate Henry the Eight, Pet. Math. Com. King of England, and commanded his nobles to beare armes against him: and to make up the full squadron of Popes, when as the Universite of Salamanca determined, that all Catholiques which did not forfake the defence of the English, and follow the traytor Oneall in Ireland, did sinne mortally, and could not obtaine everlasting life, except they should desist : Pope Xistus giueth this censure of their deter- Lib. de infts abmination, Those Dinines (saith he) have done the parts of good dic, Hen. 3. ps. Lawyers, Confessours, and Doctours. Many more testimonies 370. to this effect might be accumulated, but these are sufficient (because wee shall have occasion to speake hereof more at large hereafter) to all that are not either bewitched with the enchantments of the whore of Babylon, or blinded with preiudice, to shew how both in their principles and their pra-Rice, they maintaine treason and rebellion against Princes, contrary to the lawes of God, of nature, and of man.

4. A doctrine Cousin german vnto this, & of the same kind, though not of the same degree, is that their Position touching the dissoluing of all bonds of naturall and civill society: wherby they resolue, that no communion or fellowship is to bee held with heretiques, that is, with Protestants, by whatsoeuer bond of nature or civility they be obliged therevnto: and therefore the Father is bound to dis-inherite and cast off his Sonne, the Sonne to deny and disobey his Father, the wife is forbidden to render due beneuolence to her husband, the seruant is commanded to disobey his Master, the debter to deny payment to his Creditor, the Countritian to deny his owne Country, the kiniman to disclaime his kindred, if any of these be heretiques, that is, be Protestants. What a religion is this; that not only choaketh the breath of humane fociety, but euen stifleth the life of nature it selse? Hee that desireth to see these things proved, let them reade Doctor Mortons

in bul, Py Duin.

first Booke of Romish positions and practices of rebellion, and also his reply vnto the moderate answere, where he shall find them largely and soundly discoursed and confirmed.

Toll.li.4.de Inst. Sacerd. ca.21.

5. Againe, by their doctrine of equiuocation, they teach and maintaine open and notorious lying and periury, fuch as the very heathen of Aricler life and simpler judgement abhor'd: their doctrine is this; Aman (saith Tollet) is not alwaies bound to answere according to the meaning of the asker, but may sometimes vse equinocation, and deceive the hearer: this is lawfull (faith he) whethe Indgerequireth an oth against instice, or when he is not a competent Indge (as another speaketh:) as for example, if the Judge demand, Hast thou done this? he may anfwere, I have not (though he have) with this fecret meaning, to tell it thee, or at this time, or some such like things. And if an husband aske his wife whether shee be anadulteresse, she may answere no (though she be) with this mentall referuation sto reueale it to him and if a man be confrained to sweare that he will take a woman to his wife, he may doe it safely although he never meane it, with this close clause in his mind (if the shall after please him.) Thus farre Tollet.

6. Now of late dayes, one hath divulged a whole Treatife in defence of this monstrous doctrine; to the which Blackwell the Arch-priest hath giue this solemne approbatio, that it is a very godly learned & Catholique Tractate & worthy to be published in print, to the comfort of the afflicted, & instructio of the godly. The author of this Tractate thus concludeth, If a Catholike or any other person shal be demanded upon his oath before a Magistrate, whether a Priest be in such a place, he may (though hee know the contrary) securely in conscience answere, No, without periury, with a secret meaning reserved in his mind, namely, that he is not there, so as a man is bound to renealehim. Againe, if one shall aske me whether such a stranger lyeth in my house, I may answere, he lyeth not in my house (albeit he do) meaning Non mentitur: this last is verball equivocation, the former is mentall reservation, which are the two approved kindes of

7. If this filthy strumpet be not the mother of two foule

their equiuocating art.

daughters,

daughters, Lying, and Periury: lying, if by a bare affeueration; periury, if ioyned with an othe : let all that have but common sense and reason judge, and let the Enquest that shall enquire into this matter be, first, heathen Philosophers; fecondly, the Popish writers themselues; thirdly, the Fathers and Doctours of the Church; and fourthly, which is of greater moment then all the rest, the holy Scripture of God diuinely inspired, and cannot deceiue, nor be deceiued. Let vs heare the Philosophers verdict; A Lye, saith Tully, is a false enunciation of words, with an intent to deceaue: and againe. he defines dolus malus, that is, deceit to be, when one thing is cic. de offic. 3. pretended, another acted; this is a false action. So, in like manner a false diction, which is, alve, must needs bee when one thing is spoken by the mouth, another vnderstood in the heart: therefore the ordinary Grammaticall notation of this word mentiri, to lye, is quali contramentemire, as it were to goe against the minde : and Aristotle sayth, that speech is or- Arist, de interpr. dained for this cause, to signifie and expresse the secret corruptions of the mind: therfore when the mouth and the mind are at variance, then the law of nature is peruerted, and in sead of a naturall and true-borne childe Truth, a bastard, to wit, a lye is produced: But they which equiuocate, pretend one thing, and intend another; they speake one thing, & meane another; their heart and their tongue, like vntuned strings, are at iarre with themselves, and therefore by no meanes can they be excused from open and notorious lying.

8. Now, if an oath bee mixed, then a fouler monster is brought forth, euen Periury; for what is periurie, but according to their own divinity, a lye made in an oath? and is not equivocating, when the equivocatoris sworne to speake the truth, periury? Let Tully determine this doubt, if it bee a doube, Not to smeare a falshood, is to bee for sworne, but not to performs or make good that which thou hast sworne according to thine owne meaning, as customably it is conceined by thy words, is periury: all the world cannot more directly cut the throat of

all equiuocation then this doth.

9. But I leaue the Philosophers, and come to their owne Schoolemen; Tullie.

Toll. de Sept. peccat.cap.54.

cic.de offic.3.

Lum.1.3.dift.38

art.I.

Lum. 1.3.d ft.39

Aqui. 2.2.9.69.

Scotus lib.5.de inft. 9.6. art. 2.

Maid, Com. in Luc.vli.v.28.

Hieron, in I, ad Corinth, ca. 4.

Aug.li. contra mend. cap.5.

Idem Serm.28.

Schoolemen. To lye (sich Lumbard) is when a man speaketh any thing contrary to that which he thinketh in his mind. It is a Aqui.2.2.q.111. Tye (saith Aquinas) when a man will signific another thing, then that which he thinketh in his mind. Againe, Lumbard. Whofoener veeth craft or subtilitie in an oath, defileth his conscience with a double quilt, for he both taketh the name of God in vaine, and also deceineth his neighbour. And Aquinas, their great Doctor, condemneth in expresse words this equiuocating tricke of theirs: If a ludge (faith he) shall require any thing which he cannot by order of law, the party accused, (what, may be equinocate?) No. he is not bound to answere (in deed) but either by appeale, or some other meanes, may deliner himselfe; but in no case may he sell alye, or use falshood, or any kind of crast or deceit. This was then good divinity: but now the lesuites, our pretended refiners of Popery, have coyned a new kind of diuinity; but like counterfet slips, it will not abide the tryall. Heare what Scottus faith, another Schooleman, Dicere non feci, &c. To say I did not that, which I know I have done, although I speake it with this reservation (that I may signific it to you) is not equinocation, but a plainelye. To conclude with Maldonate, Quisquis fingendo, &c. Whosoeuer (saith he) by faining doth goe about to deceine another, although he intend some other thing in his mind, without doubt, lyeth; for otherwise there would

10. Thus we have the verdict of divers of their own Writers, touching this monftrous doctrine. Let vs hearenow what the Fathers thinke of it, and let Saint Hierome speake first: None, is a lyer (saith he) but he that thinks otherwise then be speaketh. Therfore the equiuocator is a lyar, for he thinketh otherwise then he speaketh : as when he affirmeth (I am no Priest) when he is one, he thinkerh hee is that which he saith he is not. Is Saint Augustine of a contrary minde? no, hee agreeth with Hierome in this, though they iarred in some other things. He that speaketh (saith he) fally against his conscience, doth properly lye, but so doth our equivocator. And for Periory, This (laith Augustine) is the very forme of Periude versis Apost. rie, to thinke that to be false which thou dost sweare. Thus doth

be no lye, which might not by this meanes be defended.

the equiuocatour, for when hee sweareth hee knoweth not a man, and yet knoweth him, doth hee not manifestly thinke that to be false which he sweareth? his mentall reservation cannot faue him from the pillory; feeing, as Isidore faith, God Isidor. de summe doth valer an oath not by the sense of the speaker, but according bono. li.z. ca. 13. to the sense of him to whom the oath was made. Thus by the verdict of these three Fathers, their doctrine of equiuocation is guilty both of lying, and periury.

11. And that I may leave them without a starting hole. let them heare what the Jury of Life and Death faith, I meane the holy Prophets and Apostles, yea, what Go D the Judge himselfe saith. Thou shalt not (saith he) beare false witnesse a. gainst thy Neighbour: No, nor of thy neighbour: therfore much leffe against thy selfe, or of thy selfe: but the equiuocatour doth both: first, against his Neighbonr, when by a false suggestion he perswadeth him to beleeve an vntruth; and of his neighbour, when hee reporteth that of him which is vntrue; and secondly, of, and against himselfe, by confessing himfelfe to be that which he is not, or denying himselfe to be that which hee is. Equiuocation then is a plaine breach of this Commandement, and therefore a lye at the leaft. The Prophet leremy interpreting this precept, as the manner of the Prophets was, gives it affirmatively thus, Thou Shalt Sweare in Icrem.4.1. truth, &c. And the Prophet David faith, that the righteous man Pfal, 15. Beakes in truth. Now, what is it to sweare or speake in truth, Azorius the Iesuite will tell vs, that It is either for the confir- Azor.li. 12. mor. mation of a truth, or in a probable opinion of that to be true which cap. 2. me smeare or speake. But the equiuocatours speach or oath is neither for the truth, nor from the truth, and therefore a lye, if not groffe periuty. Againe, the Prophet Dauid fets downe this as one note of a right cous man, that he speakes the truth Pfal. 15. 2. from his heart: but the Equiuocatour either speaketh not the truth at all, or at least speaketh not from the heart, whereby he is euidently convinced to be none of those that shall dwell in Gods Tabernacle, or ascend into his holy Mountaine. Laftly, when as Saint Paul was taxed by some false brethren to be carnally minded, because promising to come to Corin-

this;

2.Cor.1.13.

thus, he came not, doth he excuse himselse by equiuocation, saying, that he promised one thing and minded another? no, but he protesteth that he was minded as hee spake, and that his mord was not yea, and nay, but simply yea: which proueth, first, that all our speach must be simple and plaine, without equiuocation: and secondly, that such as abuse their speach in such sort, are slessly minded men, sull of lightnesse and vanity. And thus we have a full verdict of Philosophers, Popish divines, Fathers, and Scriptures: and therefore why may not sentence bee pronounced, and the equiuocator adjudged guilty both of lying and periury, two sinness which the law of God, of Nature, and Men, have alway condemned?

4.

12. Againe, what more contrary to the lawe of God and man, then adultery and fornication? But the religion of the Church of Rome doth directly maintaine and allow both these, by tolerating Stewes, places of common whoredome, & open and knowne Strumpers prostituted to filthinesse, and that not onely in all other places of the Popes Dominion, but euen in Rome, under his Holinesses owne nose, and by his auchentical approbation; neither can this be imputed voto them as a corruption in manners onely, and not as an errour in do-Etrine: for they not onely sphold these places and persons of infamy by their practice, and winke at them by neglect of due execution of iustice, but they are growne to that impudency, that they allow, maintaine, and approue them by their doctrine, as things necessary and commodicus in a Common wealth: and albeit they condemne them generally as sinnes, yet they approue them againe as necessary and profitable: as if there were any necessary profit, or profitable necessity of finnes, which Saint Paul calleth the unfruitfuli morkes of darknesse, Ephes. 5. 11. And thus with their owne mouthes they condemne themse lues in that which they allow, being Judges of themselves, and proclaimers of their owne shame.

. Rom 14.22.

13. Their doctrine is this, that a leffer evill is to bee permitted, to the end that a greater may be auoyded, and therefore brothel houses to be suffered, lest all places should bee filled with filthy lusts: and this their position they defend;

first,

first, by the testimonic of Saint Augustine in his Booke De Ordine; secondly, by depraying and corrupting that place of Scripture, where it is forbidden that there should becany Deut. 23.17. harlot in Ifrael; thirdly, by divers reasons, to wit, if harlots were suffered to be free and at liberty, without these Stewes. they would finne more licenciously, and that by their first re-Araint to that one place, they may be made ashamed, and so at length converted, and that knowne harlots are to be tolerated lest violence should be offered vnto honest Matrons; and lastly, they are not ashamed to reckon whoredome and fornication among Athe fethings which of their owne nature are not cuill, because the Apostles place it among things of that Acts 15.20. nature, to wit, bloud, things strangled, and things dedicated vnto Idols. Their bee their goodly reasons whereby they maintaine Stewes; but no maruaile if they maintaine them, feeing their holy Father the Pope is in some fore maintained by them. The Remish harlots pay (faith Agrippa) unto the Pope Agrippa de vaenery weeke a July (which is a certaine kind of Coyne) for their liberty, they prophane Gods word by a filthy Comment: for take away (say they) harlots out of the Common-wealth, and all places will abound with whoredomes: whereas, neverthelesse the Common-wealths of Israel endured long without that stain, where not= withstanding an harlot was not permitted. It is recorded also, that the harlots in Rome pay vnto the Pope a yearely pension, which amounteth fometimes to thirtie thousand, sometimes to fortie thousand Ducats. Pope Paulus the third is said to haue had in his Tables the names of 45000. Curtezans, which payd a monethly tribute vnto him. And therefore not without great cause (if gaine may be a sufficient cause) did Pope Sixtus build a noble or famous Stewes at Rome, as Agrippa witneffeth: for, seeing such large revenewes arise to the holy Fathers purse, by the meanes of stumpets, why should they not be there maintained? where, not as Saint Paul faith, godlinesse is gaine, but gaine is godlinesse, and all Religion is turned into lucre, as Mantuan a Fryer Carmelite of their owne faith,

nit.cap.64.

Agripabid.nobile lupanar Ro-

Venalia

Mant. lib.3.

Venalia nobis
Templa, facerdotes, altaria, facra, corona,
Ignis, thura, preces, colum est venalia, Deufque.
With vs are all things to be bought and fold,
Priests, Altars, Temples, Sacraments new andold,
Crownes, Incense, Prayers, yea, Heauen and God for gold.

Adde to these Whoredome, Sodomitry, and Incest, and all manner of sinne, and then there is a sull square number. But I would faine know how these holy Fathers can free themselues from the name and imputation of notorious bawdes; seeing he is by all law esteemed a bawde, that maintaineth harlots, exposing them to the lust of others for gaine, then which, what can be more vilde and base?

14. As touching the testimony of Saint Augustine, and their other reasons, Ianswere in a word first, that when Saint Augustine wrote that Booke, he was but Catecheumenus, a nouice in Religion, not well instructed in Christs Schoole: and besides that, it doth crosse the doctrine both of himselse in other Books of more mature judgement, and also of the holy Scripture; for he himselse affirmeth elsewhere, that the good which commeth of euil as a recompence, must not be admitted, and the Scripture condemneth to hell all those (marke, you Romanists) that say, Let us doe enil, that good may come thereof, whose damnation is just.

Rom.3-8.

ter liberty can they desire, then to be authorized by the head of the Church, who cannot erreas they teach, and to follow their filthy lusts by letters Patents fro his vnholynesse? (for so here it instly deserueth to be tituled.) And is this the way to reclaime & convert them sro their filthines, to dwell in gorgious houses, to ride opely in goodly chariots, to be apparelled like Princes, to have atteding on them men clad in brave attire, with chaines of gold and costly ornaments, yea, to be maintained by the Pope, and often visited by his Holynesse and his great Cardinals? if this be the way to reclaime them,

let all men of found sense and reason judge indifferently.

16. Lastly, whether it be a meanes to stoppe the course of lust, and to refraine whoredomes from spreading farre and wide : let vs against Augustine oppose Saint Basil, Basil, commen, in who expounding these words of the Pfalme : And hath Pfalm. not fit in the chaire of pestilence, saith, That whoredome stayeth not it selfe in one man, but inuadeth a whole Citie: for some one comming to an harlot, taketh to himselfe a fellow, and the same also seekth another fellow: and so, as a fire being kind. led in a Citie, stayeth not in the burning one house or two, but spreadeth farre and wide, and draweth a great destruction with it : so this mischiefe being once kindled, rangeth ouer all the Citie. Oppose also to him Saint Ambrose, who wri- Ambromment. ting vpon the 119. Pfalme, thus fayth & Who can nourish in Pfal, 119. burning coales in his bosome, and not bee burnt with them? So how can harlots be nourished in a Citie, and young men not bee corrupted with whoredome? Yea, oppose Tertustian also, who Tertus. de anima affirmeth plainely, That all Brothel-houses are detestable before God. And laftly, Insternian the Emperour, who in his Justin. Authors, Authentikes, in the Title De Lenonibus, willeth that har- tit.de Lenonib. lots should bee veterly banished out of the Citie : and forroweth, because hee saw Brothel-houses so nigh unto the Churches of God. And indeed, if it were true, that it is a meane toreffraine whoredome, why is it not then restrained at Rome, by thar meanes? I am fure they have their Stewes: And yet Mantuan doubteth not to affirme, that for all their Stewes confined into one place, Vrbs estiamtotalupanar: The whole Citie was become a Stemes. To conclude all in one briefe Sylogifue, That Religion which is contrary to the Religion of God, cannot bee of God, but of the Deuill; but the Romish Religion in this one poynt is contrary to the Religion of God; for the Scripture saith, There shall be no whore Deut, 23,17. in Ifrael; the Romanists say, There must be whores in Ifrael, that is, in the Church, for the auoyding of a further mischiefe (then which what can be more contradictorie?) therefore the Romish religion cannot be of God, but of the divell, I meane, in those poynts wherein it thus crosseth the truth of God.

5.

17. But doe they flay at adoltery and simple fornication? No, their religion maintaineth open and notorious incest. and such as the better fort of the heathen abominated; and this they doe by three doctrines : first, by that which giveth allowance, at least wife toleration to common Stewes and brothel-houses, for the aucyding of a further mischiefe, as I have declared in the former Section: for Stewes cannot be tolerated, but incest also needs must not onely be occasioned, but euen after a sort approued; the reason is, because often it commeth to passe, that the Father and the Sonne, ortwo brethren and neere kindred are defiled with one and the fame woman, and so vnnaturall and horrible incest prohibited by the lawes of God and man is committed. And albeit, oftentimes this is a thing secret-and vaknowne vato them : yet it doth not wash their consciences from the guilt of this foule crime, because they are bound to know in what degree she is voto them, of whome they date presume to have carnall knowledge. And besides, the act it selfe being meerely vnlawfull, doth take away all excute; together, with a fecret fuf. pition they should have, if they be not wilfully ignorant, that fuch a thing might be. For if that rule of Saint Augustine bee good, Vitandum est licitum propter vicinstatem illiciti: that which is lawfull is often to be anoyded, for the contiguity and neerenesse it hath with that which is vnlawfull; how much more is this true, that a thing valawfull in it owne nature is to be prohibited and auoyded, not onely because it is vnlawfull; but much more if it bring with it apparat feare of a greater mischiese? Now that affinitie is contracted, and therefore incest committed, not onely by lawfull marriage, but also by vnlawfull copulation: I thinke no man doubteth, seeing that Saint Paul plainely affirmeth, That bee which cleaneth to an harlot, is made one flesh with ber. And their owne law sayth, that it skils not whether the kindred descendeth from the lawfull marriages, or othermife.

August de ciuit. hb. 15.

1. Cor. 6.16.

Digeft.tot de rito mapelez-54.

18. Their fecond doctrine maintaining Incest, is their opinion touching the Popes power in dispensations: for they hold, that heebeing Christs Vicar on earth, may dispense in degrees

degrees expresly prohibited by Gods law, and so hath and Occasio Lucri. doth, if occasion be offered: by vertue of this dissipation, ( so it may better be termed with Saint Bernard, then dispensation) the King of Spaine, and Charles the Arch-Duke of Aufria, married each of them their fifters daughters. And Petrus Aluaradus married two fifters at once, and fuch like, as you may see more at large in the former demonstration. What is this, I pray you, but to allowe and authorize incest? when as they ascribe vnto their holy Father the Pope anthority to dispense withit: for according to the old rule in Logike, Causa causa, est causa causati, which is, the cause of the cause, must needes bee also the cause of the effect : when as their doctrine therfore vpholds the Popes power to dispense, and this power to dispense, brings forth Incest, a bastardly brat; by consequer their doctrine must necessarily stand guilty of being the fust moouer thereof.

19. The third doctrine by which this foule sinne is authorized, is the generall opinion of the Church, touching the extent of degrees of Consanguinity prohibited in marriage : for, albeit in former ages it was forbidden to marrie within the seuenth degree : yet in the Councill of Laterane, concil. Later. that Pontificall constitution was abrogated, and the prohibi- cap. 10. tion of marriage restrained to the fourth degree inclusively :- so, that beyond the fourth degree it might be lawfull for any to marry without exception. Which constitution is at this day held for Authenticall, and is of force in the Romane Church : now this doth give manifest allowance vnto Incest; for whether the supputation be made after the rule of the Civill law by generations, or of the Canon law by persons: yet some cases cannot be cleared from Incest; for this is the rule of supputation by the Canon law, which is most fauourable to them; Quo gradu remotior distat à communi stipite, eodem etiam interse distant. In what degree the person furthest removed is distant from the stocke, in the same degree they are distant from one another. Now put the case after this man-Ber.

## ABRAHAM.

ISAAC.

ISM AEL.

IACOB.

IVDA.

PHARES.

ISCAH.

Here in this Scheme or figure, Iscab is by their own tule in the first degree from ISMAEL: and therefore by their doctrine, ISMAEL may marry Is CAH, which is most notable and apparent Incest : for brethren and fifters are but one flesh; and so Isaac and Ismael are both one flesh; as Indah said of Toseph, Frater nofter of, caro nostra est: he is our brother and our flesh. And therefore Ismael is vnto all Isaacs posterity as it were their Father; and they vnto him in sead of Children: and fo by consequent cannot marrie, not onely within the fourth degree, but even vnto the thousand degree, if it were possible. For Adam, if hee were now alive, could not find a woman in the whole world to marry lawfully withall, without committing Incest: neither is this a conceit of our own deuising, but the expresse rule of the word of God: for Leuit. 18. 12. 13. wee are forbidden to vincouer the shame of our Fathers lifter, on of our Mothers lifter: but all our predecessiours in the right line are our fathers and mothers, though they be never fo farre remooved; and therefore to marry with their brothers or fifters, stands guilty of Incest by this law. For which cause also sustinian decreeth, that Amitam licet adoptinam, &c. It is not lawfull to marrie our Fathers adopted lifter, nor our Mothers adopted lifter, because they are held in place of Parents: and the law in the Digests is plaine and pertinent. Amitam quoque & materteram, stem magnam amitam, & materteram magnam, prohibemur vxorem ducere, quamuis amita magna, & matertera magna quarto grad du sant. We are forbiddento marry our Aunt either by Father or Mothers side: yea, our great Aunt, though she be in the fourth degree. Thus by all lawes the Popish doctrine, that it is Jawa full for any to marry beyond the fourth degree, is a plaine maintenance and allowance of Incest. 20. Againe,

Instinglib.1.

Digest, deritu nups. Leg.17.

20. Againe, who knoweth not but that theft is condemned by all lawes, except it be by the lawes of Platoes imaginaries Comon-wealth, or the Anabaptistical positions of some later heretikes, who would have propriety of goods taken away, and a communitie of all things brought vp ? but the Papifts by their doctrine not onely tolerate some kind of these, but euen maintaine and allowe it as lawfull : For, thus writeth Maldonate a learned Iesuite, and of great authoritie; Poore Maldin Sum. men (faith he) doe not commit theft, when being preffed with extreame necessity, they take that which is another mans; because (marke his Anabaptificall reason) the thing at such a time is not properly another mans, but common to the life of man being in danger, &c. And to proque this, hee abuseth an excellent fentence of Saint Ambrose, who speaking of the communitie Ambrose. of charitie, and not of propriety, faith, Esurientis panis eft. quemtu retines; nudorum vestimentu est, quod turecludis; misero. rum pacunia est quamtu in terram defodis. It is the poores bread which thou retaineft, his garment which thou lockest up, and his money which then by dest in the ground. But he speaketh, as any man may fee, not to encourage the poore to lay hands vpon rich mens substance, but to stirre up the rich to the workes of charitie: neither to excuse apoore man from thest, if he steale from the rich, but to accuse the rich of thest, if our of his wealth he do not powre forth to the necessitie of the poore. -Neither is this the opinion of one Iesuite onely, but it is backed and barred by the approbation of another of no meane credite : For thus writeth Emanuel Sa: in his Aphorismes. Eman. Sa. aph. Ego, inquit, &c. I (saith he) am of the same mind with them, page 166. which thinke that it is lawfull for a poore man privily to purloine from arichman, which is bound to helpe him and doth not. Here be two brethren in euill, concurring in the defence of one and the same sione; for if to steale, be not an offence for a poore man, why doth the law fay in generall, Thou shalt not steale? Let them shew the exception and exemption of the poore from the law; or let them confesse to their eternall shame, schat they are maintainers of those that breake Gods law. Befides, if (as Saint Augustine, and all other learned Divines confelle)

9.8. art.3.

August. de mendac. ca. 13.

fesse) it beenot lawfull to lye, though it bee to the (auing of our lines : then it must needs follow, that it is not lawfull for a poore man to steale, though it be for the saving of his life: for theft is a sinne that bringeth more damage with it, then an officious lye can doe, which is vetered not for hurt of another, but for the preservation of our selves.

21. Besides these, Cardinall Tollet another Iesuite, a man of high dignity and authority in the Romane Church, appro-

Toll. de fest.pec. 64.49.pa. 744.

ueth by his verdict another kind of theft, worse then those before specified; for he alloweth in some cases the vie of false ballances, and falsification of wares, his words are these. There is (saith he) aman that either by reason of uniust dealing of the Mugistrate, or the malice of the buiers conspiring together to pull downe the price, or some other reasonable cause, cannot sell his wine at a inst price: when the case thus falleth out, then may this man either lessen his measure, or mingle water with his wines, and so fell it for pure wine, and require the full price, is if the measure were compleate: provided that he doe not lye, which nevertheles if he doe, it is no permitious lye, nor mortall, nor binding to restitution. In like manner, it is lawfull to sell other silke in stead of Granado silke, and Italian in stead of Greeke: and so after the same proportion all other wares. These bee the braue positions of that renowned Cardinall: wherein how apparently hee doth contradict the written word of God, let any indifferent vmpier judge, by comparing this his doctrine with these sentences of the Scripture here ensuing. Diners weights are an abomination to the Lord, and deceit full ballances are not good. A

Proulio.23.

Proulifair.

Prou-20-20.

Leu. 19.35.36:

true weight and a ballance are of the Lord, and all the weights of the bagge are his worke. Diners weights and diners measures, both these are even abomination to the Lord: yee shall not doe univistly in indgement in line, in weight, or in measure; yee shall have inft ballances, true weights, a true Ephah, and a true Hin. I am the

Deut 25.13:14 Lord your God, &c. Thou shalt not have in thy bagge two manner of meights, a great, and a small; neither shalt thou have in thy boused eners measures, a great, and a small; but thou shalt have a right and a sust weight, a perfest and a sust measure. Let

no man oppresse or defraude his brother in any matter. How 1. Thef. 4.5.

contradictory

contradictory these plaine precepts and enunciative propositions of Gods word, are vnto the politions of the Cardinall, no man can but discerne that is not be witched with the forcerie of lezabel: either therefore let him shew out of holy writ some exception from these generall rules, or let him acknowledge his Doctrine and Religion, to be the vpholder of most groffe and palpable theft.

22. If any man say that these be the opinions of private men, and not the doctrine of the Church: I answere, that this is a most friuolous conceit, for none of their bookes are admitted to the presse, before they be examined by certaine Censurers deputed to that purpose by the Church: and if any thing diflike them or seeme to sauour of heresie (as they call the trueth) presently it is either gelded out, or corrected at their pleasures. And that which goeth for currant, hath his allowance subnexed. That it containeth in it nothing contrary to the Catholike faith of the Church of Rome. These positions then of these lesuites standing thus approved by the common consent of their Censurers, and priviledged to be both printed and read of all men, as containing nothing contraty to wholesome do erine, cannot be thought to be the vnaduised opinions of private men, but even the doctrine and religion of their Church.

23. Lastly, that I may conclude this second argument, they maintaine also the prophanation of the Sabboth, which the Lord hath enjoyned to be fanctified with so great and vrgent a precept: Remember that thou keepe holy the Sabboth day. Adding a memento before, and fencing it with so many reasons after, that it might not seeme a light matter, but a comandement of great consequence; yet these impudent preuaricators make it a matter of no moment, yea, giue liberty to the open breach and transgression of it. For thus writeth Cardinall Tollet. Homo tenetur, &c. Aman (seith he) is bound Tollet. lib. 4. invpon paine of a mortall sinne to sanctifie the Sabboth, but is not struct. s. acent. bound under the same paine to sanctifie it well. As it for sooth it 9.6.24. could be sanctified at all, if it be not well sanctified : or as if the prophanation of the Sabboth were the sanctifying of it:

7.70

E 4

for

2 ... .

for notto sanctifie it well, is nothing else but to prophane it: howbeit, if this were all the injurie hee doth to Gods Sabboth, it might be borne withall: but the bold Cardinall taketh vpon him to breake in pieces the barres thereof, and to expose it being the Lords day, and therefore fit to bee employed onely in the Lords worke, to most vile and base offices; for thus hee writeth in the same booke. Licet iter facere, &c. It is lawfull to take a icurney on the feast day: (with this caucat, that dinine service be first heard.) It is lamfull to hunt, and doe such like things. It is lawfull for Indges, especially. rurall, to give indgement on the feast day: it is no sinne for a Barber to exercise his trade on the feast day for commodity, if he had. no leasure to doe it at another time: they are excused also which sellflesh, kill beasts, and sell necessary victuals on holy dayes: And if the occasion of a great gayne would otherwise bee lost, as in fishing for Herring and Tunnes, which come not but upon certaine dayes; it is lawfull to fish on the holy day. In publique solemnities it is lamfull to prepare the mayes, and to build for spectacles. This is the doctrine of that renowned Cardinall, whose writings are so approued of the Church of Rome, that whatsoeuer heelpeaketh, is held for trueth. But here it may be answered, that he nameth not the Sabboth, but the sestivall or holy day: to which I answere: First, that the title of that, Chapter is de Sabbatho: and therefore if he meaneth not that, hee swarueth from his purpose. Secondly, that the expressewords and drift of the whole Chapter demonstrates, that vnder the name of the festivall or holy day, he include thalfo the Sabboth. And thirdly, how could be give influctions touching the cases of the Sabboth, if he intended not the Sabboth, feeing all his rules runne under this generall terme, on the fefliuall or holy day ? This therfore is bur a milt to blinde mens eyes, that they might not fee their impietie.

24. Can this Religion (thinke you) be of God, which in thus many points croffeth and trampleth under foote the law-of God? Doth not the head of that congregation euidently flew himselfe to be 5 arous; that outlaw, which S. Paul speaketh of, 2. The sl., that is, such an one as opposeth himselfe.

to the law of God? Doe not the necke and shoulder which are supporters of that head, I meane, the Cardinals and Bishops, shew themselves to be of the same nature and disposition with it? and the whole body, which is quickned by the life of his doctrine, to be meerely Antichristian? He that feeth not this, is blinde, and cannot discerne a farre off; hee that feeth it and confesseth it not, is carelesse of his owne saluation. Let vs leaue them therefore, either to bee converted, which God graunt for Christ his fake, or to bee confounded, if they continue in their errours,

## MOTIVE. III.

That Religion which imitateth the lewes in those things wherin they are enemies to Christ, cannot bee the truth: but such is the Religion of the Church of Rome. Ergo.

He malice of the lewes towards Christ our Sauiour, and MAIOR, his Church, from the beginning vnto this day, is so notorious, that the whole world is witnesse thereof. Saint Paul witnesseth of them, that they killed the Lord lesis, and their 1. Thes. 25.16 owne Prophets, and persecuted the Apostles, and were contrary to all men, and forbad them to preach unto the Gentiles that they might be faued, to fulfill their sinnes alwaies, and that the wrath of God was come upon them to the uttermost. And as it was at. that time, fo ever ince they have not any whit remitted, but increased in their rancour; for still they crucifie vnto themselues the Lord of Life, though not in his person which is at the right hand of God; yet in his mebers who they persecute ynto death asmuch as in them lyeth, and in his Gospel, which they fill pursue with a deadly hatred. Yea, so great is their malice, that many times they have taken Christian children, vpon their preparation day to the Passouer, and nailed them spon the Crosse, loaded them with reproaches and scornes in difgrace of Christ, and miserably tormented them to death;

Socrat. Hist.lib.

Jo. Finc. li. 3.
Flores Histor.

as was done by the Iemes of Inmester, a Towne scituate betwixt Chalchis and Antiochia, as witnesseth Socrates in his · Ecclesiasticall History; and in Germany, at Fretulium; as also in England, at Lincolne and Norwich, as our Chronicles testifie. Yea it is recorded how the Iswes of Hungarie tooke a Carpenters Son that was a Christian, in despight of Christ, whom they esteemed no better then the Sonne of a Caipenter, and cut all the veines of his body, and fuckt out his bloud with quils: and being apprehended, and tortured, they confessed that they could not bee without Christian bloud, for therewithall they anounted their Priests. Yea, they have often poyloned the springs, and by that meanes brought great plagues vpon Christian people; and set on fire Cities and Townes, to bring them to pouerty and miscry. Canthere be greater enemies to Christ then these are? for the poyloned arrowes of their malice are thut vp against him, who is in heauen beyond their reach, and therefore they fall downe vpon his members: but the venome of them lighteth vpon their owne heads, to their eternall confusion. Who would now imitate these wretches in any thing, especially in that wherein the cause of their enmity consisteth? can they be of God that doethis? or rather are they not the brood of that Serpent, that hath beene euer a profest enemy to the seed of the woman? This being so cleare and manifest, I leave the first proposition without any other guard or defence, and come to the confirmation of the second, wherein the pith of the argument confisteth.

MINOR.

Rem. 10. 4. Heb. 10. 1. 2. That the Religion of the Church of Rome is an apish imitation of Iudaisine, and that in those things wherein it is most opposite to Christ, may appeare, if wee first consider wherin the opposition of the Iewish Religion consisteth, and then secondly compare the Romish with the same. The Iewes Religion is opposite to Christ in two respects principally: first, in retaining the old Ceremonies of Moses law, which were shadowes of things to come, and had their accomplishment in Christ: for that which Paul saith concerning Circumcision, is to be understood of all other Ceremonies: They which

which entangle themselves with that yoke of bondage, under Gal.4.9. ands those impotent and beggerly rudiments, are abolished from Christ, and Christ doth profit them nothing: And secondly, in deuising a multitude of strange and new superstitions, coyned in the mint of their Rabbinish conceits, contrary not onely to the Gospell of Iesus Christ, but even to their owne law, which Deutorologies of theirs our Sauiour condemneth, Math. 15. 3.6. when hee faith, that they transgressed the Commaunde- Matth, 15.3.6. ment of God, & made it of no effect by their ownetradition. Both these wayes shew they their enmity to Christ and Chrifirm Religion, and are thereby retained and encouraged in their errours.

3. Now compare the Romish Religion with these Rabbinisticall conceits in both respects, and it will appeare that one egge is not liker to another, nor milke to milke, then the Romish and lewish superstition are to each other. And touching the first, to begin with the multitude of their ceremonies: It is not vnknowne to any that know any thing in the booke of God, that the Church of the Iewes was loaden with a heauie burden of ceremonies. S. Paul faith, that it was so heavie, Acts 15.10. that neither they nor their fathers were able to beare it, and therefore calleth the Ceremoniall law, a yoke of bondage, and Gal.s. 1. and 4. those that were under that yoke, children of the boudmoman, 31. and not of the free. And the reasons why God did impose vpon them such a number of Ceremonies, were just and holy, to wit: first, because the Church was then in the infancy, and therefore needed to be under a Schoolemaster to instruct, Gal.3.24. and as it were catechize it vnto Christ, and therefore they were to be dealt withall, as children yfe to be with the guilded leaves and faire pictures of externall rites, and to be fed with milke, being not able to digest strong meates. Secondly, because by them they were, as it were by visible representations informed, both touching some great benesit past and received, and touching the Messias to come and his Kingdome, as also concerning godly conversation required in their owne life: for in the barke of enery legall ceremonie, these three vitall spirits were contained. And thirdly (as it is

I. .

Well

· biyfost.

well observed by S. Chrysestome) in regard of their infirmity and weakenesse, who being lately come out of Egypt, and there defiled themselues with idolatrie and superstition, neceffarily required facrifices and ceremonies to be allowed vato them, lest they should fall backe againe to their Egyptian corruption: wherefore Almighty God (faith he) so dealt with them, as a Physician dealeth with his sicke patient, who through the burning heat of his disease, requireth a cup of cold water, or else is ready to hang or kill himselfe; there the Physician being constrained by necessity, commands a viole of cold water prepared by himselfe, to be brought vnto him, but withall warnes him, that he drinke not but out of that Viole. So God granted facrifices and ceremonies to the fickly Israelites, but so, that they should not vie any, but those which hee appointed for them, and that after the manner by him prescribed. And this to be so, hee proueth, because the law of ceremonies was not given, vntill the children of Ifrael had defiled themselves with the golden Calfe, for the making whereof they were so madde bent, as that they pulled off their Iewels, eare-rings, and rings from their bodies, and gaue them for the framing of that Idoll: and hee illustrateth the same in another place by another excellent similitude. If a man (faith hee) have a wife prone to incontinencie, hee shutteth her vp in certaine places & chambers, setting about her a guard of servants and attendants, to keepe her from Araying abroad, and entertaining her louers. So God dealt with the Church of the lewes, which he had married to himselse in compassion and loue, seeing it prone to Idolatry and Superstition, hee separated it from other Nations, and shut it wp within the bounds of the land of Canaan, and fet about it a guard of Ceremonies and externall Rites, which should be as it were Tutors vnto it, vntill it were fully confirmed in faith and obedience. Thus farre Chryfostome. By all which wee see not onely, that the Church of the Iewes was loaded with a bundle of ceremonies, which were to endure till the comming of the Messias: but also the reasons why the Lord imposed that burden vpon them.

4. Now

4. Now is the Church of Rome any whit behinde them in this? No verily, but farre before them: for if we shall compare Moses Lenttieus, with the Romish Missals, wee shall finde, that in number of ceremonies they farre exceede the Synagogue of the Iewes. The Iewes had but two great Sacraments: the Church of Rome have seuen. The Iewes but one ordinary Altar: the Church of Rome tenne thousand, even as many as Churches, and not onely so, but often divers Altars in one Church. The Iewes offered many facrifices, but the Church of Rome exceede them in the number of their Masses tenne to one. The Iewish Holy-dayes were sew in comparison of the Romish, for they had but their Passeouer. Pentecost, feast of Tabernacles, of Trumpers, reconciliation, new Moones, Purim and dedication: but these haue their Holy-dayes for enery Saint, for the Crosse, Corpus Christi, All Saints, All Soules, and what not : infomuch that their friend Erasmus complaineth, that in Ieromes age there were Erasin Mat. 11, few Holy-dayes besides the Lords day: but now, that there is neither end nor measure in the multitude of them. The Iewes observed a few fasting dayes by Gods appointment, and others taken up by their ownetradition, as the second and fift day of the weeke: but the Romish fasts exceede both in number and superstition: for besides two dayes in energy weeke, cuery Saints Eue almost is a fasting day with them, besides their Lent fast continued whole sixe weekes without intermission, if to abstaine from flesh, and glut themselues with other viands, as nutritiue to the body, be to be termed a fast. The Iewes Priest-hood was distinguished but into two maine orders, Priests and Leuizes, and these later affigued to some few distinct offices about the Temple: but the Romish Priest-hood is pestered with a swarme of the seuerall orders of Friars, Monkes, Anchorites, secular and regular Priests, that almost serve for nothing but to consume the fruits of the earth: The holy garments for the Leuiticall Priest-hood, were but few in number in comparison of the Romish vestments: for the high Priest of the Iewes had but fixe garments appointed to him, the Ephod, the brest-plate, the Robe, the linnen

10

linnen coare, the girdle, and the crowne; and for the inferiour Priests, but foure, linnen coats, bonnets, linnen breeches, and girdles: but the Romish have sixe garments in token of per-Bonau.ratio.Di- fection; and the Bishops nine, because there are (as they say) wi.offic.li.3.c.1. nine orders of Angels; in all fifteene, answerable to the fifteene degrees of vertues. What should I reckon vp their manifold croffings, kiffings, kneelings, whisperings, washings, anountings, spittings, breathings, saltings, with an infinite number besides of vaine and strange observations which are vsed in their Church, which the Iewish Synagogue may not compare withall, either for multitude or strangenesse? And thus they are not onely equall to them, but farre exceed them in the number of their Ceremonies. And this is euen confessed by many of their fauourites: for Cornelius Agrippa faith, that Christians are now more oppressed with ceremonies, Polyd. Virgil de then the Iemes were in former times. And Polydore Virgill, that a very wood of Iewish and heathenish ceremonies pestered the Lords field. Yea, Saint Augustine complaineth of the same superstition in his time, when Antichristianisme was but in breeding, when he faith, that the Church was pressed contrary to Christs mercifull institution, with such a servile burden of Ceremonies, that the state of the Iewes under the law was more tolerable then the condition of Christians, seeing they were subiest onely to Gods ordinances, and not to bumane presumptions as Christians are. But if he had lived at this time, and seene the fruitfull multiplication of them, in respect of his age, how would hee have complained? And thus in respect of mul-

Aug. Epift. 119. cap.19.

inuent Li.q.in

procemie.

Agrip. de vanit.

сар.60.

grees before the Church of the Iewes. 5. To descend to particulars. The Iewes had their sacrificing Priests, whereof one was their chiefe Priest, and the other of an inferiour ranke. The Romanias, in an apish imitation, have their facrificing Priests too, the Pope their chiefe, and the vnder-shauelings his vassals : as if Aaron was a type of the Pope, and not of Christ; or as if all Christians were not Priests under the Gospell; both which are evident in the new Testament : but we no where find that the high Priest

titude of Ceremonies, the Romish Church is by many de-

Heb. 8.6. 83 9.6.11.12. -- I. Pct. 2.9.

of lerusalem was a type of the high Priest of Rome, or that the Reuel, 1.6. Ministers of the Gospell are sacrificing Priests. This latter is confessed by Bellarmine, who saith that the Christians of the Primitive (hurch did purposely abstaine from the names of Temple and Priesthood, until the dayes of Tertullian, lest that they should seeme to have retained some lewish Ceremonies. Why then doe they now entertaine those names, whereof the purertimes were ashamed? do they not shew thereby that they are degenerated from that primer purity, which they so much bragge of? And yet we doe not diflike the name of Priest, if it bee rightly taken, for such an one as ministreth in holy things betwixt God and the people, but that the Ministers of the Gospel should be facrificing Priests, is Iewish; as also, that the man of Rome should be the Arch-priest on earth, whereas we have but one high Prieff, even Christ our Saviour, who hauing once offered nimselfe a sacrifice for sinne, sitteth for ever at the right hand of God, And that we may plainely fee that in their Hierarchie they imitate the lemes, Bellarmine is a sufficient witnesse, who to proue that there ought to be a visible Monarch in the Church, alleageth that Aaron was not one. Bell de Roman, ly atspeof Christ, but also of the Pope, because the sacrifices of Pontif.li.z.ca.9. the law did not onely represent the blondy sacrifice of the Crosses but also the unblondy sacrifice of the Masse. Which if it be true, then there can be but one high Priest in the Church of Christ. as there was but one in the Church of the lemes: for the argument will stand thus in good moode and forme, being built vpon their owne ground. As the Church of the old Testament was governed, so ought the Church of the new: but the old was governed by one onely high Priest; therefore the new ought also to have but one onely: for either he must shew that there were two high Priests in the Church of the lemes, one subordinate vnto the other, or convicted by his owne principle, he must acknowledge that there ought not to bee two in the Church of Christ, or at least confesse that this argument is lame, drawne from the imitation of the Iewish Hierachy; and then if it be not true which he affirmeth, what will be the fequell therof, but either ignorance in symbolizing those things which

Bel. de cultu san-Clor.lib.3.ca.4.

which are no wife matches, or impiety in dethroning Christ from his office, and setting the Diademe vpon the Popes head; and so, that in their Romish Hierarchy they are the Iemes Apes, in that thing which most tendeth to the dishonor of Christ.

1.Cor.10.21.
Arnob. cont.gen.
lib.6.Gyril. Alex.
cont.Iulian.l.10.
Chryf.in Pfa.95.

Aug.cont.Faust. lib.20.ca.18. & li.3.de bapt.c.19

Heb. 13.10. Theodoret. Oëcumen. Aquinas. Glossa ordin.in locum.

6. As they imitate the Iewish Priest-hood, so do they also their Altar and sacrifices: for they are not content with the name of the Lords Table, which name was vsed both by the Apostles and primitiue Church. For which cause (as restifieth Arnobius, Cyrill, and Chrysoftome) they were challenged by the Pagans of impiety, nor yet to take the name of Altar and Sacrifice in an improper fignification, as some of the later Fathers did, calling the Lords Table an Altar, because on it was represented the facrifice of the Crosse, and the action in the Eucharist a sacrifice, because it was a commemorative representation of that sacrifice, as their writings plentifully testifie; but they will have a very Altar in proper phrase of speach, and a true reall sacrifice, then which what can be more Tewish? especially, seeing all such Altars were abolished by the Croffe of Christ; and there remaineth but one Altar in the - Church, Whereof they have no power to eate which serve the Tabernacle, to wit, Christ, as all the Fathers expound the place. who is the onely true Altar and proper sacrifice of the new Testament: True Altar (Isay) and proper Sacrifice, because the foule of enery inft man is called by them a metaphoricall Altar, and their prayers, good workes, almes-deeds, &c. spirituall sacrifices. And therefore Clemens Alexandrinus, and Origen, and Lastantius, writing against the Pagans, who obiected that Christians had no Altars nor facrifices, and therefore no religion, answere, That a inst and hely soule is a right holy Altar, from which doe arise prayers as sweet incense, and vpon which are offered up to God, instice, patience, faith, innocencie, chastity, and such other vertues. And these are the onely Altars and Sacrifices now upon earth; for our true Altar and sacrifice is in heaven.

7. Againe, the Iemes had lampes continually burning in the Tabernacle, and afterward in the Temple, which were

types

types of Christ, who is the true light of the world, and of the Ioh. 1.9. Apostles and Ministers of the Gospell, who by their doctrine Matth. 5.14. and preaching conusy this light from Christ the fountaine, as it were by Conduits and Pipes, to the illuminating of the whole world: Quis in candelabro (faith Gregory) nifi Redemp. Gregor. in Etor humani generis designatur? Who is sigured out by the candle- zesh.hom.6. flicke, but the Redeemer of mankinde? Hastile candelabro (saith venerable Bede) ipse qui est caput Ecclesia debet intelligi, By Beda. the shaft of the Candlesticke, must be understood, hee that is the head of the Church. And the fixe branches issuing out of the body of the Candlesticke, what are they but the Apostles and Pastours of the Church (saith Marbachius) which as Marbach, branches issue from Christ, and make but one body with the shaft, to fignifie that they must conspire in preaching Christ alone, and so vnited to each other by the bond of Concord? Yet the Church of Rome retaine also this ceremonie: for they have multitude of Lampes and Candles in their Churches burning in the day time, as if they would declare to the world, that either they beleeve that Christ is not yet come, or else that they have not the cleare Sunne-shine of the Gospell amongst them, but the dimme Candle-light of superstition. Now that this Iewish ceremonie is vsed amongst them, not onely experience sheweth in all places, and their great solemne Candlemasse, vpon the day of the purification of the bleffed Virgin: but also the decree of their owne Popes, Gre- Gregor in Degory the first, and Sabinian his successour: the one of which appointed certaine Lands for the maintenance of Wax-condles and Lamps in Churches, and the other ordained, Ut accensa Lampades perpetud in Ecclesus retinerentur: That burning Lampes should be alwayes kept in their Churches. And that they fetch their patterne from the lewes, Durand plainly acknow- tilib.1.cap.1. ledgeth, when he faith, That the Church is enlightned by Gods commandement. Whereupon it is read in Exodus, Charge the children of I rael, that they offer pure oyle of oline, that the Lamps may burne continually in the Tabernacle. It is cleare then, that this is a lewish imitation, at least; if they had not rather bee counted to be followers of the Gentiles, then of the Iewes:

Platin, in Sabinian.

Durand in Ran

amongst

Tertul.in Apo. 6a 35. Lactant.lib.6. cap.62. Nazianz in Iulian.Orat.2. 1. Cor.10.20. Iud.1.6, 1.Ioh.1.5.

Euseb.de vita Confiant.lib.4. Epiphan aduers. beref sub finem. Tertul. quo su-

Ferom. contra Vigilant.c.3.

Numb.10.

Deconscerat.D. 3.cap. aquam Tale.

amongst whom also this custome was in vse, to have lights and Lampes continually burning in their Idoll Temples: as wienesse both Tertullian, Lastantius, & Gregory Nazianzene, with divers others. Lastantin faith plainly, That they fet up lights to their God, as if he dwelt in darknesse. And so they did, for their gods whom they worshipped, were deuils, who are referued in the chaines of darknesse, vnto the Iudgement of the great day. But our God dwelleth in light inacceffible, and he is all light, and in him is no darknesse: what neede any light or Lamps be set vp before him then? If they fay, that they have the example of the primitive Church for their warrant. I answere, that it is true indeede, as may appeare out of all Ecclesiasticall Histories, and the Epistles of Plinie the second, to Traiane, that they had the vie of Lamps in their affemblies: but this was in their night-meetings, which they were constrained to vse in the time of persecution, not daring to affemble together by day: as is testified both by Ensebius, Epiphanius, Tertullian, &c. but neuer in the day time, till leromes age, when this superstition began to grow vpon the Church. So that this custome is either Heathenish or Iewish, let them chuse whether : both which are equally disgracefull to the Church of Christ.

8. So likewise they fetch the vse of their hallowed water from the Iewes, if not from the Pagans, for the Iewes had their Holy-watermade of the ashes of a red Cow, whereby were purged all legall vncleannesses: so haue the Romanists their Holy-water sprinkles, to purge and clense away all the impurities of the foule. This is plaine, not onely by their practice, but also by the decree falfly fathered vpon Pope Alexander the first : but indeede of some later Pope, which thus speaketh: We blesse mater mingled with salt, that all being forink led therewith, may be santtified and purified, which wee ensome all Priests to doe: for if the ashes of a Cow being sprinkled, did santtifie and clense the people of the lewes, then much more doth mater mixed with falt, and consecrated by divine prayers, sanctifie and clense Christian people. Which consequence how vaine and impious it is, who seeth not? Vaine, I say, for the

Leuiticali

Leuiticall Holy-water did onely clense from outward vncleannesses, but the Romish by their doctrine doth purge the soule from spiritual pollutions. Impious, for the Scripture faith, that it is the blond of Christ that purgeth vs from all 1. Ioh.1.7. our sinnes, and not water mingled with salt: and it maketh the comparison not betwixt the ashes of an Heyser and Holy- Heb. 9.13.14, water, but betwixt it and the bloud of Christ. This imitation then is both vaine and impious, if it bee of the lewes: and more, if it be of the Gentiles: for what agreement hath the Temple of God with Idols? And yet so it is also : for Instance Martyr telleth vs, that the deuils, when they beard out of the in Apolog. I. Prophets of the spirituall washing that should be in the Kingdome of Christ, in an apish resemblance caused his worshippers to purge themselves by the sprinkling of hallowed water. And Theodoret, Theodoret bist. that Iulian the Apostate commanded their bread, fl. sh. fruits, lib.3.1.14.15. and all other kind of victuals, to be purged (as he supposed) by holy-water: and that Valentinian his Tribune, who succeeded him in the Empire, when Fortunes Priest sprinkled him with holy-water, flrooke the Priest with his fist, saying, Thou hast not clensed, but defiled me. Hipocrates also the Physician Hypocrates de doth witnesse the same, when he saith, that entring into their morbe sacre. Temples, they were sprinkled, not that they might be defiled: but that if they had any sinne, they might be purged from it. Thus ic plainely appeareth, that this was a Heathenish custome; which how it can agree with the Church of Christ, Iknow not; sure I am, that in the Primitive Church there was no holv-water besides the water of Baptisme, that can be proued by any good authority; for the testimonies of Alexander the first, Clement and Basil alledged by Bellarmine, are all counterfeit, as parely the matter in them contayned, and partly the censure of Eusebius and Erasmus doe sufficiently proue, and might here bee demonstrated, if I thought it necessary: neither doth it agree with the nature of those times, to the in Basil, which S. Iohn so lately before had left this doctrine, that the onely purgation of finne was the bloud of Christ; and not holy-water consecrated by a Priest.

9. In like manner, their vse of Incense on their Altars to drive away deuils (as they fay) doth favour both of Iudaisme

2.Cor.6.16,

Iustin Martyr

Bel.de verbo Deinonscripto. lib.4.cap.3. Euseb. de spiritu Sancto. cap. 27. Erasin prefat.

Polyd. V. rgil. dc Jnuent. li. 5. c. 1. Innocent. 3. de offic. M: ff. lib. 2. 6ap. 17. Exod 30.1. Pfal 141. 2. Reuel. 8:2.

> Polyd. Virgil.de Inuent.li.5.c.1: Theod.li.3.c.15.

Arnob.l.6,& li. 7.page 226. Eufeb.in orat.ad Santt. Calum.

Aug.in Pfal. 49.

and of Paganisme. That the lewes vsed to burne Incense in facrifice to God, is no question, for they had their Altar of burnt Incense appoynted by God himselfe for that purpose: & this Altar (without question) was a type of Christ our Mediatour, and the incense of the prayers of the Saints, which are then acceptable vnto God, when they are offered vp in the name of Christ, who is the Altar that sanctifieth a'l our sacrifices. This is so enident, not only out of holy Scripture, but fro the full consent of all Writers old & new, that it is needles to stand to prooue it. And therefore offering of Incente being a shadow of things to come, why should it still remaine, seeing the Sunne of righteousnesse is risen in our Horizon, and hath dispelled all shadowes by the glorious beames of his presence? As touching the Pagans, Polydore Virgil confesseth, that it was their custome to offer Incense to their Idols. And Theodores affirmeth, that when Iulian distributed gold amongst his Souldiers, hee commanded an Altar full of coales to bee fet by him, and Frankincense to bee layd on a Table, to the end that every one that would receive gold at his hand, should first cast Frankincense vpon the Altar : and this hee did to distinguish the Pagan from the Christian. By which it is euident (by the way) that at that time this was not in vsein the Church. This Iewish and Paganish custome then, how commeth it to passe, that it should now bee taken vp as a holy service of God? Are not all Iewish Ceremonies at an end by the coming of the body which is Chris? And is it fit that Christians should learne to worship God fro the Gentiles, which were worshippers of deuils? These things are so dissonant to the nature of true Religion, that they admit no iust reconciliation. Sure it is, that the Primitiue Church neuer knew the vietherof, as appeareth both by that Example of Iulian before alleaged out of Theodoret, and also by testimonies of Arnobius, Eusebius, and Augustine: all which acknowledge that the Church in their time had no such custome. We go not into Arabia (saith Saint Augustine) to fetch Frankincense, God requireth of vs the sacrifice of praise. As for the auncient Leiturgies and Dionisius, that mention it in Gods service, wee care not for them, seeing all men either vehemently vehemently suspect them, or veterly reject them as counterfeit.

10. Againe, the lewes had their holy oyle wherewith their Kings, Priests, and Prophets were anounted, which was a type and figure of that spirituall vnction of grace, wherewith Christ our head was anoynted aboue his fellowes, and all his Iohn 3.34. members in a due proportion. The Church of Rome hath al- 1. Ioh. 2.27. fo remued this Ceremonie, and that after a farre more superstitious manner: for there was not halfe such a stirre at the making of the holy oyle of the Tabernacle, as there is at the consecrating of their holy Chrisme: it would even prouoke Gallas. the spleene to laughter, and the gall to bitternesse, to heare or behold the apish trickes that they vse at the making of their precious Chrisme: such muttering, such charming and enchanting, fuch blowing and breathing, fuch exorcifing and conjuring the deuill, by the mitted Bishop first, and then twelue Priests in their order, before they come to Aue San-Etum. Chrisma, All haile O holy Chrisme, as is wonderfull. What is this, I pray you, but a profest restoring of a lewish Ceremony, and a plaine declaration that their Priests are rather Iewish than Christian ? and that those graces of Gods spirit, which were figured by their holy oyle, are not to bee found in their Church, seeing they retaine so superstitiously the type thereof? If they say that Saint Iames mentioneth oyle to bee ysed at the visitation of the ficke, whereby they recovered health: I answer, first, that this was no such consecrated oyle as is in vie in the Church of Rome: and secondly, that it was applyed onely to the ficke that were in danger of death, not to young Infants that are new come into the world, at their baptisme : thirdly, that it was not an instrument of spirituall grace, but of corporall health: and laftly, that it lasted onely during the time that miracles lived in the Church, and dyed when they dyed : fo that Saint Iames his oyle maketh nothing for the maintenance of the Romish Chrisme: and therefore I leave it vnto them as a meere Iewish superstition.

11. Lastly doth not the high Priest of Rome imitate the high Priest

They

Priest of the lewes in his Pontificall garments? are not their Fivers and Anchorites apish counterfeiters of the Leuiticall Nazarites? doth not their lubile both in name and nature represent the Jewish Jubile? no man that knoweth the one. and feeth the other, but will confesse this to be true : for Aaron wore a Crowne vp :n his head, to fignific the Kingly power of Christ: the Bishop of Rome hath three Crownes, to fignifie (forfooth) his threefold power in Heauen, Earth, and Purgatory. Or as Aretine iested, one for the flesh, another for the world, and the third for the devill, and none for God. Aaron had a plate on his Crowne, wherin was engraven Ho. line fe to the Lord. The Bishop of Rome vsed to weare a place on his head, wherein was written the word Wysterie, as if he would professe himselfe to be the vpholder of that mystery of iniquitie spoken of by the Apostle. Aaron had his Ephod and Robe: the Bishop of Rome hath answerable therevnto his rich Pontificall attire, which in many resemblances is like vnto the same : yea, the Romanists doe plainely Iudaize in bringing in againe into the Priestly order such variety of garments, as the Pall, the Miter, the Crozier-staffe, the Albe, the Chimere, the gray Amice, the Stoale, with fuch like. Infomuch, that when their Bishops come forth to doe divine seruice, a man would thinke that he faw Aaron addressed with his attire to facrifice at the Altar.

2.Thes.2.7.

Auent.

12. As touching their Fryers and Anchorites, how like are they to the Nazarites of the Law: the Nazarites might not drinke wine nor strong drinke: no more may divers of the religious shauelings by the rules of their order. They were tyed by a vowe which they might not breake without sinner so are these at their first entrance into their Cloysters and Cels; and that so strictly, that they account it a greater sinner to violate that vow of voluntary Religion, then the vowe that they have made to God in their baptisme: and therefore they hold it a lesse sinner to commit fornication, which they have vowed against in baptisme; then to marrie, which they made vowe against when they tooke vpon them the religious order, as hath beene produced in the former reason.

They might not meddle with worldly affaires, during the time of their leparation; no more may some of these Romish votaries, they may not so much as handle money (forfooth) with their bare hands; but with Gloues on, they will receive as much as they can lay their clouches on: and even whilft they thus seeme to contemne riches, they spread the mets to draw whole Townes and Countries into their possessions. Onely in this the Nazarites and they differ: they were true worshippers of God, and their order was Gods ordinance: these are monstrous hypocrites, hidden under the cloke of Religion, neither are their orders of God, but as Chancer long agoe

fung, The deuils excrements.

13. Lastly, for their lubile ordained first by Boniface the eight, to bee every hundreth yeere, after brought downe by Clement the fixt to every fifty yeere: and after that by Gregorie the eleventh to every three and thirtith yeere; and lastly, by Paul the second to every five & twentich yeere, where it refleth, What is it but a renouation of that Iewish ceremonie, which was instituted by God, to signific that euerlasting happinesse and joy, which was brought into the world by Christ our Sauiour? The Trumpetters whereof were first the Prophets, as Esay for example, who in the person of Esay 61.1. Christ proclaimed good tidings to the poore, healing to the broken hearted, liberty to the Captines, and redemption to the Prisoners, the acceptable yeere of the Lord, &c. Secondly, the Angels who professed, that they brought glad tidings of great ioy that should bee to all people, at the birth of our Saujour lesus Christ. And lastly the Apostles, who when they Luke 2. 10,11. began to preach the Gospell, proclaimed this great Iubile to all the world that should beleeve in Christ, to continue not a yeere, but for euer and euer. To imitate this ceremony then, what is ir, but with the Iewes to expect the Messias to come in the flesh, and to disclaime the gled tydings of the Gospell, as a false message, deceiving the world? This is so Iewish a superstition, as the very name, time, and vse, declare that nothing can be more.

14. Thus wee see, how in the imitation of the Leuiticall ceremonies,

ceremonies, the Church of Rome goeth in equipage with the Synagogue of the lewes: from whence may be deduced these two conclusions. First, that the light of the Gospell is either veterly extinguished, or at least very dimly shining amongst them: for it is a true rule, the more shadow, the lesse light; and the more light, the leffe shadow : and therefore those Northerne people called by the Philosophers Amphisci, because their shadow goeth round about them in a circle, have the Sunne so farre remote from them, that they are scarce refreshed with the beames thereof: but they which have the Sunne perpendicularly ouer them, are Ascip, without shadow. And what is the night, but the darke shadow of the earth, and the day, but the remouing of that shadow by the comming of the Sunne? The Church of Rome then being thus enwrapped, and compassed about with the superstitious shadowes of Iewish ceremonies, plainly declareth, that either the Sunne is not risen among st them, or that it is very farre off, even in the skirts of their Horizon. Secondly, that their Church is not as they bragge of it, the onely Catholick Church, butrather the whore of Babilon, for her attire bewrayeth her condition: a chast Matrone is attired decently, but not garishly, but a strumpet like Iezabel, sets forth her selse with garish deckings, and a painted face to allure louers vnto her: the Romish congregation then being thus adorned, not like a chast Matrone, but like a light Harlot, with the garish active of Iewish ceremonies, all in pompe and oftentation, discourse reth her selse not to be the Spouse of Christ, but the strumpet of Antichrist. And so I conclude the first part of this argumenr, that seeing one piece of the Iewes enmitte to Christ, confisheth in recayning the Leuiticall ceremonies, which had their accomplishment in Christ: therefore to imitate them in this respect, is a plaine demonstration, that their Religion is not from Christ, but from Antichrist, his profest enemie.

farre worse then the sormer: for it is in their Rabbinish and Cabalisticall traditions, which as they are most grosse corruptions of the law, so are they the soulest enemies to the Gospell

of Icfus Christ that the world hath. I will not stand to reckon vp the foolish & ridiculous niceties of the brainfick Rabbines. wherewith the ignorant Iewes are at this day beforted, and which are as a veyle ouer their eyes, to hinder them from feeing the truth. I remit the Reader for these things to Buxdorfins, Paulus Fagins, Sixtus Senensis, and Galatinus: my taske is to shew, how the Church of Rome imitateth them in many Paul. Fag. of these their absurd fancies, which will proue their Religion to be little better then theirs.

Buxdorf .Synaz. Ludaic. Sixt. Senens. Bibliot.lib.2. Galat. de Arca-

16 First, the lewes hold, that Moses received two lawes nis. of God in mount Sinai; the one written, and the other ynwritten: this latter they call their Cabala, and they fay, that Moses by word of mouth commended it to Ioshna, and Ioshna to the Elders of Israel, and they to the Prophets, and they againe vnto the Masters of the great Synagogue vnder Esdras, from whom their wisemen called Cachamim successively receiued it, and in this they glory, calling it the ioy of their hearts, and the refreshing of their bones. This vnwritten Cabala, was at the first preserved onely in the hearts of their great Cachamim or learned Masters, and deliuered by them to the people by mouth onely: afterward it began by little and little to be commended to writing, and was at the first called Mischua, that is, the law repeated, after the Thalmud of Iernfalem: and lastly, the Thalmud of Babylon, which is the most facred Scripture, by which the Iewes are governed and directed at this day, and which they preferre before the law written: for the law written (fay they) can neither be vnder flood, nor expounded without the helpe of this, neither is perfect, vnleffe this bee added to it. Thus dote the Iewes ypon their Thalmud and Cabala.

17. And doe not the Romanists dote as much about their vnwritten traditions? heare and judge : firft, with them they concil. Trident. deuide the word of God into verbum expensor and appagor, Bellarm.de vera Written and vnwritten: their vnwritten word is nothing but bo. Cofter Er. orall Traditions, deliuered (as they fay) by Christ himselfe to his Apostles alone, and not to his common Disciples, because it contayned the high mysteries of the Kingdome of God, and

of the Church. Secondly, they affirme also with them, that these orall Traditions are of equall authority and necessity with the word written, yea, that the word written is of no authority at all, quoadnos, in respect of vs, except it becauthorized by the tradition of the Church. And thirdly, they teach that the word written is imperfect, vnlesse the vnwritten Cabala bee added vnto it, and that, not one alone, but bothtogether make a perfect rule both for faith and manners. Doe they not now Iudaize in all these points? Yes, the Romish Apologers, to proue their doctrine of traditions, ferch an argument from the Iewes Cabala, as may bee feene in a late tractate, faifly called the Catholike Apologie: which is so much the more strange, because their own Sixtus Senensis professeth, that the lewish Thalmud is fraught with innumerable blashemies against God and his Christ our Saucour, and impieties against the law of Moses, besides other infinite fopperies. Is not this then a good patterne for them to imitate? and is it not a found argument that is deduced from fuch premifes? Surely their traditions which they build all their superstition vpon, thus symbolizing with the Iewish Cabala, can be of no better credit then it is : and what credit that hath, not onely their Senensis before, but Galatmus, another stout champion of theirs acknowledgeth, when he saith, that it is mere madne se to approve all their unwritten traditions, which they bragge to have beene delinered in mount Sinai, and from thence orderly to have descended to posterity. Now that which he speaketh of the Iewes Cabala, may as truly be affirmed of the Romish traditions: let them therefore goe arme in arme together, fince they will needes have it fo, as joynt

by them conuayed to their successours, Bishops and Elders

Sixt.Scnens. Biblioth.lib.2.

Galat, de arcan. verit. Cathol.l.1. c.7.

18. Againe, the Iewes ascribe so much credit and saith to their Cachamim or illumined Doctors, that what soeuer they teach, be it right or wrong, they must not enquire into the truth thereof, but receive it as an article of their Creed, and build their saith and saluation thereupon. Thus writeth one of their owne Rabbines, to wit, Rabbi Isaac, that died in Por-

enemies to Christian Religion.

tugall,

tugall, Anno 1 493. Wee are bound (faith he) to give no lesse credit to enery Rabbine in their sermons, and mysticall or allego- bunab. li. Menoricall explications, then unto the Law of Moles it Selfe: and if rasbamaer, pa. there be found in their words any thing hyperbolicall, or contrary 23.col.1. to nature and sence, we must ascribe the fault thereof to our owne defectine understanding, and not unto their words. And the same is the doctrine of their Thalmud. Their speeches ( faith it ) are Thalmud, tract. the speeches of the lining God, neither doth one word of theirs fall de Sab.c.2.p.30. to the ground in vaine: and therefore me are bound to beleeve all things what soener are written of them, or in their name, for it is the truth: neither must any man laugh at them, neither in his countenance nor in his heart, for who soener shall doe so, shall not escape punishment: and his punishment (they say) shall be this, that he shall be cormented in hell, in boyling excrements. And in another Bookethe lewes are commanded to fay, Amen, not Lib. Brandspieonely to their Prayers, but also to all their Sermons and alle- gelium. goricall expolitions. Yea, if two Rabbines contend and contra- Thalmud, ditteach other, yet they are bound to beleeve both of them, becan le the words both of the one and the other, are the words of the lining God, though they understand not each other. And in a word, so great is their madnesse, that they are not ashamed to fay, That the words of their Rabbines are more to be regar. Luther invicto. ded then the words of Moses law, and that if they teach that the right hand is the left, and the left the right, yet they are bound to beleene them.

19. And is not the Church of Rome paralell to them in this Burd. synag. Iud. case? I will not condemne them, but let their owne words ca.I.pa.66. be their Iudges. Thus write the Rhemists in their Annotati- Rhem. Annot.in ons vpon Acts 17.11. The hearers must not try and iudge whe- Acts 17.11. ther their Teachers doctrine be true or no, neither may they resect that which they find not in Scripture. The same is the tenent of verbo Dei. Cardinall Hofins, Andradius, and all other of that stampe: Andrad. defend. Bellarmine affirmeth, that the people must beleene whatsoeuer their Pastorsteach, except they broach some new dostrine, which Beu. ae verous hath not beene heard of in the Church before: and if they do fo, lib.3. ca. 8. 6 9. yet they must not ludge of them, but referre them to the definitine sentence of the Pope; to the which they must yeeld full consent

Rabbi Isaac Ab-

contra lud. 107. Rab. Solom. Iard. in cap. 17. Deut. ver [. 11.

Hef. de expresso Trid.lib 2, Bell. de verbo

withous

Idem de Clericis lib.I.cap.7.

Card. Santt. Angel. ad leg. Bobe.

Io. de Turrecremat. sum. de Eccles.li.z.ca.26.

Silueft. Prierias contra Luther.

R. Cupers de Eccles.

Extrau.de tran-Ast. Episcop. & de præbend.

Bell. vt Supra. Nauar Enchir. in 1.præcept.c.11

without further examination. Yea, he impudently concludeth in another place, That if their ordinary Paster teach falshood, Ganother that is not their Pastor teach the contrary truth, yet the people ought to follow their Pastor erring, rather then the other, telling the truth. And another blasphemous Cardinall giveth a reason thereof; Because (saith he) if a man did not beleene that Christ is very God and man, and the Popetbought the same, bee Should not be condened. For (faith a third Cardinal) the indgement of the Pope is the judgement of God, and his sentence the sentence of God. As if the Iudgement and sentence of God could bee erronicus, which the first Cardinall supposeth concerning the Pope: or as if the Popes sentence, being erronious, could be the sentence of God, as the second affirmeth. Obserue their blasphemous absurdities. Siluester Prierias concludeth this poynt, when hee sayth, That who sever resteth not on the doctrine of the Romane Church, and Bishop of Rome, as the infalliblerule of God, is an Heretike. And the Canonifts flicke not to fay, that the Pope is subject to no law, but that his judgement is in sead of law, and that his actions are not to bee enquired into: neither may a man fay voto him, though hee lead thousand soules into hell with him; Sir, why doe you thus? and that it is not better then sacriledge to call in question the Popes fact, or to judge of his actions. Thus an infallibility of i tdgement, and an impossibility of erring is ascribed vnto the Bishop of Rome : so that whatsocuer hee propoundeth, bee it right or wrong, must bee received vron paine of damnation. Neither is it ascribed onely vnto him the worlds high Priest, but also to their Councills and inferiour Pastors, animaced by his spirit, whose doctrine is to be heard, and not examined, as they teach. And therefore it is estecmed a great sin amongst them, for a man to make question of any doctrine brought vnto them by any Romish Iesuite, Fryer, or Priest: & when at any time they are conferred withall about their Religion, presently, not being able to answer, their resuge is to reterre vs over to their Prietis; of whose learning and judgement they have such a perswasion, that though Scripture and reason be against them; yet their opinions preuaile more with

them, then either of these. So, that hence it is most euident, that as the Iewes are bound to beleeve all that their Cachamim teach, and not to fland to examine what it is that they teach: fo the Romanists are bound by their Religion to entertsine into their Creed, whatfoeuer is taught them by their ordinary Pastours, without all enquirie and search into their doctrines, whether they beetrue or falfe. And as this is one chiese cause of the Iewes obstinacie against Christian Religion: so is it also of that miserable superstition which raigneth in the Church of Rome: for if the people were but perswaded, that their learned Doctours might erre and deceive, they would certainely suspect their doctrines, and try them by the touchstone of the holy Scriptures, and so at length might be reclaimed from their errours: thus they march to-

gether in this point also.

20. Againe, the Romanists are like vnto the Iewes in their doctrine and practice of praying for the dead: for they hold and teach, that prayer & facrifice is to be offered for the dead, grounding their opinion partly vpon the example of Indas Maccabeus, who as they affirme, procured facrifice to bee 2. Maccab. 12. offered by the Priests, for the dead that had trespassed by ta- 40.41,42.43. king to themselves the idolatrous iewels of the lamnites, and partly vpon the Thalmudical traditions of divers of their ancient Rabbines: but they have no ground nor warrant for the same in the word of God: for as concerning the bookes of the Maccabees, they themselves acknowledge that they are not Canonicall Scripture: and for the Scripture, we finde no fuch precept or example in the whole volume of the olde and new Testament: neither is it likely that God would have omitted in the law that kinde of facrifice for the foules of men, where he prescribeth sinne-offerings for bodily pollutions, and euery light trespasse, if he had thought it necessaric. That this is the opinion and practice of the lewes, their praclice at this day beareth witnesse: for they vie to say ouer the dead bodies a certaine prayer called Kaddish, by the vertue Orach, chayin whereof (as they thinke) they are deliuered out of Purgatory; especially if it bee said by the sonne for his father, and if hee

Minhagim pag.12. Nu.28. Brandspiegelium. haue

Galat de secret.
Cathol. fid.
Coccius Thesaur:
Cathol. fid.
Apolog. Cathol.
fid.
Bredenhach de
dist. componend.

Reynold.de Idololat.l.1.c.5.scet. 8.6 9.

Caffand. consult.

Galatin. Apolog.
Cathol fid.
Ecclefi. 24.37.
Whitak contra
Darsum, l.8.
p.567.

haue no sonne, by the whole Congregation on their Sabboth dayes. And that this also is the doctrine and vsage of the Church of Rome, besides their Bookes, their Masses sor the quicke and the dead, their Diriges and Trentals doe suffici. ently testifie: And that they fetch this custome from the Iewes, may appeare by two reasons: first, because one mayne argument of theirs, which they call a demonstration to proue the lawfulnesse hereof, is defined from the example of the Iewes; as we may fee both in Galatinus, Coccius, and our late English Apologists. And secondly, because as it is confessed by their owne Bredenbachius, it is not found in all the writings of the Apostles and Euangelists in the new Testament, and we may adde hereunto, neither in the olde, vileffe by distorted and misalledged texts, which are not worth the answering: except onely that fore-named passage of the Maccabees, which notwithstanding is correpted both by the Translatour, and also the Relatour Iason Cyreneus, as is vnanfwerably proued by our famous Country-wan, Doctuor Reynolds: the word (Dead) being cogged into the Text by some cunning luggler, which is not in the Originall, wherein lyeth the pith of the argument. And there fore it must needes follow, that the Romanists doe merely Iudaize herein. And for the Fathers which they alledge for the proofe of this article, let their owne Cassander gine satisfaction: who affirmeth, that the ancient Church vsed prayers for the dead, either as thankfull congratulations for their present loyes, or else as testimonies of their hope, and defire of their future refurrection, and consummate blessednes both in their bodies and soules: and this hee proueth out of Cyprian, Augustine, Epiphaniu, Chrysostome, and ancient Leiturgies.

21. Againe, they ludaize in their doctrines of Limbus Patrum, and Purgatorie: for Purgatorie it hath beene alreadie touched in the former section, and for Limbus Patrum, it is confessed by our aduersaries themselves, that it is the tenent of the Iewish Rabbines, warranted (as they say) onely by a Text in Ecclesiasticus; which being both corrupted in the translation, as our worthy Champion Doctous Woitaker hath

proued:

proued : and being also no part of Canonicall Scripture, doth plainely shaw, that it is a mere Rabbinish conceit, harched in their brainsick Thalmud, and not bred in holy writ. Yet our Romanifis lay fast hold on the same opinion, without any other certaine ground to build it vpon. For as touching the places of Scripture collected by them, to proue this affertion. they are either so impertinent or distorted, that the meanest judgement may eafily discrytheir weaknesse: for either they are derived from a word of an ambiguous fignification, as in the speach of Iacob, Gen. 37.35. which fignifieth fometimes the graue, and fometimes hell, by the confession of their great Bellarmine, or from a Parable, as that place in Luke 16. concerning Abrahams bosome, confessed by Maldonate to be parabolicall, because bodies are not yet tormenred in hell: but here is mention of a finger and a tonque: or from an allegorie, as is that place of Zacharie 9.11. where is mention made of loosing Prisoners out of the pit wherein is no water, which both Salmeron and Bellarmine acknowledge to make more for Purgatory then for Limbus, but in truth for neither: it fignifying literally nothing else, but the deliuerance of the Israelites out of the Babylonish captiuity, and tipically the redemption of the Elect from the bondage of Sathan and hell, which they are liable vnto : or lastly, are merely impertinent, as those places, Heb. 11.39.4. 1. Reg. 28.1. Pet. 3.19: the first, whereof intendeth the confummate and perfect blessednesse of body and soule, which the Fathers had not attayned vnto. The second meaneth not the true Samuel, but the deuill in his shape and likenesse: and the third is to bee referred, not to Christs descension into hell, but to the operation of his Diuinitie, which he exercised from the beginning of the world, preaching by the mouthes of iust men, as both S. Augustine and Aquinas expound the place. How can any found conclusion now be drawne from Texts that are either equiuocall, 52. art. ad se. or allegoricall, or parabolicall, or impertinent, and all by their cund. owne confessions? Therefore it must needes follow, that feeing this doctrine hath no fure foundation in Gods word, but is founded upon the lewes prophane Thalmud, that it

Bel.de Christi anima.l.4.6.10.

Maldonat.in bunc locum.

Salmer. in Ta Cor. 15. disp. 26. Bel. de Purgat. lib.x.cap.3.

Ribera coment. in hunc locum.

August.de octo Dulcit question.

Idem. Epistol.ad Eumodium.

Aquin.part.z.q.

is no better then a mere Rabbinish conceit.

Gala. de Arno. Cathol. vent. lib.6.ca.6.

Flan. loseph de Bell.Iud.lib.3. CA9.14. Rabb. Abraham in Gen .: 2. Li.præcat.Iuda. Apol. Cathol.

Buxdorf. Synag. Indaic.

22. Againe, they joyne hands with the lewes in their doctrines of Pree-will, inuocation of Angels and Saints, and merite of good workes: all which the moderne Rabbines hold as articles of their Creed, deriving them from their predecessours the Pharifes that went before them. Petrus Galatinus that Rabbinish Romanist, reckoneth vp a number of them that were all Patrons of Free-will, and not as it is fet free by grace, (for so we hold that a man hath free-will to good) but even by nature before grace, as the Romanifis hold. And so also of Inuocation of Saints, some of them affirming that the pure foules which heare them that pray vnto them, have a place in heaven. Others, that the Iewes vsed to interpose in their prayers betwixt them and God, Isaac, as an intercessour. Others, that prayers are to be made to Angels, to open the gates of Paradife, and to appeafe Gods wrath. And laftly, the Romanists themselves affirme, that when our Saujour cryed out on the Crosse, Eli, Eli, & c. the lewes would never have supposed that he had called for Elias, had it not been an vivall practice amongst them to call voon the Saints departed. Lasty, touching the merite of worke, the lewes teach, that God once euery yere, to wit, in the moneth of September, at what time he created the world, calleth all mens lives to an account for the yeare pall, and openeth three Bookes, one wherein are written the names of notorious finners and Atheifts, called The Booke of Death: another, in which are enrolled the names of iust and holy men, called The Booke of Life: and a third, for such as are in a meane betwixt both, neither exceeding bad, nor exceeding good, but of a mixt disposition, and these have respite given them till the day of reconciliation to repent in, which is the tenth day of the fame month; at which time if their good doth exceed their euill, then it goeth well with them; but if their euill exceed their good, then they are registred presently in the Booke of Death. And lest GOD should be deceived, they say, that he holdes in his hand a bal. lance, into one skale whereof he puts their good workes, and into the other their cuill deeds, that he may measure out his rewards.

rewards according to the weight of the one or the other. How ridiculous a fable is this? Much like vinto the Poeticall fiction of Minos, Earus, and Radamanthus, the three Indges of hell, whome the Poets faine to fit there, weighing the foules of men, and giving sentence vpon them according to their poyle and weight. By this it appeareth, that the foolish Rabbines maintained free-will, inuocated Saints and Angels, and esteemed their workes meritorious: All which are the very opinions of the Church of Rome, beleeued and practifed of all the professours of that Religion: which is so much the more absurd, because they themselves confesse in speciall, concerning the doctrine of Inuocation Suarez tom. 2.in of Saints, that it was not taught vnto the people of the olde 3. part. Thom.q. Testament for feare of Idolatry, nor at the first preaching of 52.art.1.diff.42 the Gospell, for seare it should seeme vnto them a hard and harsh doctrine: and in generall, that it is madnesse to relye our faith vpon the Iewish Thalmud, seeing the Thalmudicall Writers are full of impieties and blasphemies, and therefore Sixt. Seinens, bihaue not onely been prohibited to be read, but also condemned to the fire by divers of their owne Popes: all which notwithstanding, our Romish Rabbies setch a demonstration for the maintenance of these doctrines, from the example and practice of the lewes.

blioth. Sac.lib. 2. S.tradit.

23. In like manner the Iewes had those that professed a monasticall and single life, which were called Esfeans, from sigor. de repub. the Greeke word boild, that is, Saints or holy men, as some bebraic. 1,5.6.11. suppose, because (for sooth) they tooke vpon them to be more holy then others; and therefore would not offer sacrifice with the people, because they thought them not so holy as themselves. And these, as Iosephus and Philo testifie, professed continency from marriage, community in goods, and abstinence from meats, not by any warrant out of Gods word, but onely by the authority of their vnwritten traditions. And doe not the Romanists imitate them in the same kind? What are their Monkish Votaries but Apes of the Iewish Essans? And what is their Monasticall profession, but a pretence of a state of rare holinesse and persection? They

vow chastitie in single life, and abhorre marriage as a state of pollution: they abstaine from meates, and professe volunta-

ry pouerty, with a community of goods: and all this they do. that they may seeme more holy then others, and merite heauen by their holinesse, hauing withall answerable vnto them. nothing but tradition for their warrantize, without either found precept or true example out of holy Scripture. For, grant that their Euangelicall Councils are fuch as they wou'd haue them to be, and that vowes in Christianity are lawfull: yet it is certaine that the authority of Councils, and the lawfulness: of vowes doe neither warrant nor allow their superstitious and idle monkery, nor the blasphemous opinion of merite, which they alcribe vnto fuch voluntary deuotions, nor yet the necessity of irrevocation, though by the frailty of mans nature there be an impossibility of performance. And fo both in substance and circumstance they want the authority of gods word to vphold them. Let then the Iewish Esfaans, and the Romish Monks, walke together, as in one path of superstition, so vnder one cloake of hypocrisse: for that which Sigonius affirmeth of the one, that they were by Nation, lewes; and by manners, hypocrites, we may truely confirme of the other, that they are Christians by profession, but hypocrites by conucrfation. And as those Essans did farre degenegate from the ancient Nazarites and Rechabites, whome they pretended for their patterns: so these doe as farre and more from those ancient Monkes that lined in former ages of the Church, as is vnanswerably demonstrated by many of

Sigon.de Repub. bebraic.li.5.6.11

Bell. de Monac. lib.2.cap.6.

D. Mort. protest.
App.li.1 6.2.38.
D. Whites way
to the true
Church. dig. 45

Min.b.19.pag.14.

24. The Iewish Rabbines also taught, that the damned soules in hell and Purgatory had some refreshing and rest vpon enery Sabboth day, assoone as a certaine prayer was chanted out by them with sweet melodie: and therefore, that on cuery Friday at night there is a great shout in hell for ioy of

the ensuing Sabboth, and on their Sabboth day at night a

the learned Champions of our Church, especially Doctour

Morton, and Doctour White: to whome I referre the Rea-

der for fuller resolution in this poynt.

dolefull crye for griefe of their returne to their paines. Thus

the Rabbines doted: And do not our Romish Rabbines dote in like manner? They also teach, that the damned soules have some refreshment and ease vpon the Sabboth day: as in the Legend. Santis legend of S. Brandon it is written, how that holy Abbot found Brandonis. Indas the Traytour fitting vpon a stone in a certaine Island. and demanding of him what he was, and why hee fate in that place: he answered, that vpon euery Saturday at noone, vntill after Euensong vpon Sunday, he was suffered to sit there out of the hellish torments, to be refreshed and comforted during the Sabboth: Now if Indas found this fauour, must wee not thinke that all other obtaine the like?

25. The Iewish Rabbines deuise strange tales of walking ghosts, for the vpholding of the walls of Purgatorie, as that Rabbi Akiba should meet once in the way, a man with a heauie burden of Rickes on his backe, who vpon examination Buxdorf fynag. confessed, that hee was a Purgatorie Ghost, carrying such a bundle of stickes euery day to burne himselse: and that the Rabbi finding out his sonne, taught him to say the prayer called Kaddisch, which was so effectuall, that in a dreame this ghost returned to the Rabbi, with thanks for his deliuery, and said, that hee was now in Gan Eden or Paradise, and no longer in Purgatory. This and fuch like tales they tell for their Purgatorie: wherein the Romanists may behold their face as it were in a glasse: for are not their Bookes and Legends full stuft with such trash? is not this article of their Religion maintayned by this argument? are not the mindes of the vulgar possessed with such fearefull fables? I will not stand to shew how full of falshood these narrations are, nor how contrary to the ancient doctrine of the chiefest Fathers, and Primitive Church; (that shall bee discovered in a more proper place) onely I shew how poore Purgatorie, both with Iewes and Romanists, is vpholden by walking ghosts, or else it would fall to the ground.

26. The Iewish Rabbines teach their people, to confesse one to another their fins the day before their feast of reconciliation, which is the tenth day of the month Tifri or Septembegand that in a secret place of the Synagogue; where each receiveth mutually at his fellowes hand with a lether belt 39. blowes, and at each blow the party beaten beates themselves on the breft, and faith one word of his confession, taken out of the 78. P salme and 13. verse : then the striker lyeth downe. and receiveth like penance at the hands of the former: this done, they runne home, and make merry with the Cocks and Hennes of reconciliation, supping largely because of the next daves fast. Now doe not our Romanists imitate them in this May-game of confession, and hypocriticall penance? They must also confesse their sinnes in secret, and receive a short penance for their long sinnes, and that once a yeere principally: with a certaine perswasion of meriting thereby remission of their sinnes, and when they have done, doe they not returne, like the dogge to the vomit, to their old courses? If a man shall observe them well, and compare their practices together, hee shall easily perceive, how both of them alike turne that seuere discipline of the Church which they bragge fo much of, into a mere mockage and pastime.

27. The Iewish Rabbines looke for Elias the great Prophet, to come before their long expected Messias. And doe not our Romish Rabbines tell vs that Elias must come before the second comming of Christ? they are both alike in this erronious conceit, saue that the Romanists erre worse then the Iewes, for that they will have Elias to bee the fore-runner of Christs second coming, whereas the Iewes expect him, according to the prophecy of Malachy, together with our Sauiours application thereof, before the first comming of their Messias.

Malach 4.5. Matth 11.14.

28. The lewish Rabbines imagined, that every one had attending upon him two Angels, one good and another bad, the one to protect and bleffe him, if hee behaved himselfe demoutly and reverently in Gods service; the other to curse and afflict him, if hee found him failing in his duty. Our Romish Rabbines teach the same doctrine, as if all the Angels were not ministring spirits, for the good of them which are heires of solvation: and as if a man had but one cuill spirit to tempt and anney him, whereas in one man there were found a whole legion, and in one woman seven deuils at once, as wee sinde

Luke 8. 30. Luke 8.2.

recorded

recorded in the historic of the Gospell.

- 29. The Iewish Rabbines taught that those shall be more seuerely punished, who should violate the precepts of their Scribes, then they that should transgresse the law of Moses: and doe not our Romish Rabbines affirme the same in essect, when they impose a greater punishment upon the breach of one of their traditionals decrees, then if a man'breake the precepts of Gods law? as for example, if a man eate sless in Lent, or after a vow marrie a wife, then if hee commit adulterie or breake the Sabboth?
- 30. The Iewish Rabbines perswade the filly people, that they are the onely Elect people of God, who eafily can keepe not the Decalogue or tenne Commandements alone, but the whole law of Moses: and therefore that the law confishing of fixe hundred and thirteene Commandements, wherof three hundred, threefcore and five are prohibitions, as many as are dayes in a yeere or veynes in a mans body, and two hundred, forty and eight commanding precepts, suft so many as a man hath members in his body; if every member of a man doe euery day performe one of the precepts, and omit one of the things prohibited, the whole law of Moses may bee euery yeere, and so for euer fulfilled. Thus they vaunt of their owne strength to saue themselves, and therefore seeke not for a Sauiour without themselves. And what doe our Romish Rabbines but the same, when they affirme, that a man may in this state of mortality, perfectly fulfill the whole law: yea, euen doe more then the law requireth, and so supererogate? what neede haue these of the death of Christ, to purge away their sinnes, when they can thus by the ayde of Gods grace (as they fay) keepe all the Commandements, and so pay the vetermost debt of their obedience? Let them goe together then as enemies vnto Christ in this opinion also.
- 31. To conclude: the Iewish Pharises sasted twice a weeke: so doe our Romish ones, saue that they alter the order, but not the number of the dayes: for the Iewes sast the second and fist day of the weeke: the Romanists the sourch and sixt. The Iewish Pharises vsed in a blinde denotion, to

beate their heads against the walls, till bloud came, to vse thornes in their skirts to sting themselues, to sye on plankes, on stones and thornes, to drench themselues oft in colde water for the reserving of their chastity: so doe our Romish Phanises, they make a shew of whipping and scourging their owne carkasses, of going barefoote & woollward, of drenching themselues in colde water (as we read, that Friar Frauncis was wont to doe) and all (for sooth) both to tame the rebellion of their varuly sless, which will not be tamed by these means of their owne invention, having less the ordinance of God, and by penance to make satisfaction for their former sinnes: but it will one day bee said vato them, who required these things at your hands. In vaine ye worship (O ye hypocrites) teaching for doctrines precepts of men.

Esay 29.13. Math.15.8.

Buxdorf. Synop.

Indaic.c. II.

32. The Iewish Pharises would not converse with any of a different Religion, especially the Samaritanes, whose breadthey thought it as vnlawfull to eate, as to este Swines flesh: and for Christians, they account it a sinne to keepe faith and promise with them, to afford them any succour: yea, not to doe them any mischiese that lyeth in their power : and therefore in their prayers one part of their deuotion is, most direfully to curse all those that professe Christian Religion. The Romish Pharises doe likewise, they damme all to hell that are not of their Religion, they denie faith to bee kept with Heretikes, they have all that are not subject to their Pope, but about all, the poore Protestant: him they curse with Bell, Booke and Candle, and abhorre him more then a Iew or a Turke: yea, once a yeere ordinarily and in publike, they curse vs to the pit of hell, which I take it to be vpon euery good Friday. They fay, that the Father may not nourish his owne childe, if he be an Heretike, nor the childe honour his Father, nor the Prince defend his Subject, nor the Subject obey his Prince: all bonds of nature, policy, religion, are pulled in pieces by these Romish Pharises.

33. The Iewish Pharises vied not to fast without a disfigured face, nor give an almes without a Trumpet, nor seldome pray, but in the corners of the streetes and high-wayes,

that

that they might bee scene of men, all for shew, nothing for substance. And are not our Romish Pharises their equals in this? Is not their religion all in oftentation? doe they hide themselues when they fast and pray? doe they not blow a trumpet before their deedes of charitie? their hypocriticall abstinence from flesh on set dayes, when as in the meane while they farfe themselves with dainty fish and delicate junkets; their mumbling up so many Ane Maries, and Pater Nofters, in the streetes and Market-places, their crowching at every Crosse; and lastly, their Almes-deeds extorted by feare, either for penance of sinnes committed, or in hope of meriting the kingdome of Heauen, and imployed for the most part to the feeding of a multitude of idle Drones, Monkes and Fryers, facted in a Cloyster, like Bores in a stye, dee proue this to be true which I have faid.

34. The Iewish Pharises under colour of long prayers & great Mat. 23. 14. deuotion, deuoured widowes houses: the Romish Pharises by the same pretext of holinesse, sucke downe into their panches not the Cottage of some poore widdow, but the rich and faire Patrimonies of seduced Gentlemen, Noblemen, and others: the Iewish Pharises compassed sea and land to gaine Mat. 23.15. a Proselite to their protession: our Romish Pharises trauell all Countries, & labour by all possible means to winne soules to their religion, and to reconcile men to the obedience of the Bishop of Rome; and when they have wrought their purpose, as those, so these make them two-fold more the children of

hell, then they were before.

35. Lastly, the Iewish Pharises like hypocrites made cleane the out-fide of the cup and platter, but within were full of bribery and excesse; and therefore are compared by our Saujour to whited Tombes, which appeare beautifull without, but within are full of all filthinesse. So, our Romish Pharises come to vs in sheepes clothing, giving a bright luster of holinesse, and austerity in their externe behautiour; but inwardly are rauening Wolues, denouring the flocke, and have their hearts fraught with all manner of villan; ; as lying for aduantage, equiuocation, couctouinesse, ambition, vncleane lusts, and o-

Quodlibet per totum.

Conclus.

ther inordinate affections, as the secular Priests boldly obiect against the Loyolian Sect, and are taxed backe againe by them as guilty of the same crimes.

36. This subiest might be enlarged by many more particulars, but that I forbeare to stirre this sinke any further, and weary the Reader and my selfe, having a long iourney yet to travaile. This that hath beene spoken, I suppose to be sufficient, to produce the truth of the proposition, that the Romanists imitate the lewes in those things wherein they are enemies vnto Christ, both in respect of the legal Ceremonies, which are vanished by the appearance of the Sunne of righteousnes; and also in respect of their Thalmudical traditions, which were neuer found in Gods Booke, but are the soppish dotages of their superstitious Rabbines. And is it not strange, that notwithstanding all this, they should bragge themselves to be the onely Catholikes of the world, and their Church the onely Noahs Arke, out of the which there is no saluation?

Simia quàm similis turpissima bestia nobis, Tam Rabbinorum fabulis Romana cathedra.

Not liker is to Man, the Ape, a filthy Creature, Then is the Romsh Church, unto the Iewish feature.

## MOTIVE. IIII.

That Religion which derogateth from the glory of God in the works of our Redemption, and giveth part thereof vnto man, cannot be the truth of God: but such is the Popish Religion: Ergo.

MAIOR.

He first proposition, as it is infallibly true of it selfe, so is it without all question and controuersie betwixt vs and the Romanists; for both confesse, that the end of true Religion is, that God might be gloristed: and therefore whatsoever doth rebate from that end, cannot pessibly be the truth: Especially, seeing the Lord himselse protesteth, that

be will not give his glory to another, Esay 48. 11. And Paulasfirmeth, that the end of all our actions should bee the glorie of God, 1. Cor. 10. 31. Therefore paffing ouer the Maior with filence, it is necessary that the Minor or second proposition bee Arengthened and confirmed, whereon the hinge of the Controverse hangeth, & the whole pith & substance of this fourth Argument doth consist; which by the affistance of Gods good spirit (whose ayde I humbly implore, and of my Lord and Saujour Christ Iesus, whose glory I now labour to maintaine) I doubt not but to make so cleare, as is the Sunne at Noone-day, all cloudes, mists, and fogges, being veterly dispersed.

2. That the Romish Religion doth derogate from the glo- MINOR. ry of God in the worke of our redemption, may by foure maine and fundamentall doctrines of their Religion most euidently be demonstrated, (besides many other poynts of lesfer consequence) to wit, their doctrines of Free-will, of Iu-

Rification, of merite, and of satisfaction.

3. For the doctrine of Free-will, this is the generall determination of the Church of Rome, that in the act of regeneration and conversion, mans will doth naturally cooperate with the grace of God, and that it is not meerely of supernaturall grace that a finner is regenerate, but partly of naturall free-will, and partly of grace: whereas, we on the contrary defend, that the regeneration and conversion of a sinner is wholly of the grace of God, and that mans will in that great worke is meerely passiue, and not actiue, yea, starke dead, untill it be excited and quickned by the grace of God: This in briefe is the difference betwixt the Romanists andys in this poyut. Now, here a double taske lyeth vpon mee; fir &, to proue this to bee their doctrine; and fecondly, to shew how this doctrine tendeth to the empeachment of Gods glorie.

4. That this is the doctrine of the Church of Rome, let the Councill of Trent, which they call their Church representative, and which being approved by the Pope, cannot erre, as they affirme, be ludge. Thus it decreeth, and bindeth the

I.

Coacil. Trident. Self.6.c.6.can.4.

Andrad Orthod.

explicat.

decree with a curse: Siquis dixerit, &c. (that is) If any man shall say, that mans free-wilbeing mooned and stirred by God, doth nothing cooperate by affenting to God, mooning and calling it, whereby it may dispose and prepare it selfe to the obtaining of the grace of instification, and that it cannot dissent if it will: but like a thing without life, is not at all active, but meerely passine, Anathema sit. Let him be accurled. This Riddle of the Councill of Trent (for so most of the decrees thereof are rather to bee termed, then Canons of faith) is thus expounded by Andradissinterpretation, who was present at the same Councill, and knew the meaning of those holy Fathers; to wit, that there is in enery man by nature a power and ability, Ad inchoandas & perficiendas spirituales actiones, (that is) To beginne and to effect spirituall actions; but that power and ability is so fettered with the chaines of sinne, that unlesse grace come and helpe to set it free, it can doe nothing: as a man weighed downe with yron shooes, though he hath power in himselfe to go, yet cannot, except his yron shooes be put off: or as a bird caught in a snare, hath power to flie, yet cannot, except the snare be broken, &c. By these two similitudes, he illustrateth the sentence and meaning of the Councill: whereby it euidently appeareth, that this is the plaine doctrine of the Church of Rome, that of the regeneration and conversion of a sinner, the spirit of God is not the sole cause, but that with the spirit Mans free-will doth concurre, and so both together make the joynt efficient cause.

Bel.li.6.de grat.

5. The later Romanists, and especially the Iesuites (to passe ouer the grosse positions of the former Schoolemen) do more plainely deliuer the meaning of their Church concerning this poynt. Let vs heare Bestarmine speake: Cooperamur Deo, &c. We cooperate with God (saith he) not onely as our Aduersaries would have after instification, but even in very instification it selfe, and in the beginning of faith. And after he peremptorily concludeth; Non nist cooperantibus nobis Deus salutem nostrum operaturo, (that is) God doth not worke our saluation mithout our owne helpe, cooperating with him. We are beholding to Beslar. for setting down plainly our opinion. For this we hold, that after the first grace, wherin we are meerely passive.

passiue, we then begin to will and worke our owne faluation; but yet not of our selues, as from our selues, but onely of his grace, wherewith as we are preuented to beginne, to we must continually be accompanied that wee may perseuere: for if God withdrraw his grace neuer so little, we are sure to finke, as Peter did in the water: which is the very opinion of Saint Augustine, for in his Enchiridion ad Laurentium, thus hee faith, Deus nolentemprauenit vt velit, volentem sequitur, ne Ang. Ench. ca. 32 frustra velit; (that is) God preuenteth a man being unwilling, that he may will; and followeth him being willing, left he should will in vaine. And of Saint Hierome, who thus speaketh, Non Hier, ad Ctefesufficit mihi quod semel donauit, nisi semper donauerit, peto vt ac- thout. cipiam, & cum accepero, rursus peto, (that is) It is not sufficient forme that he hath given me (grace) once vale fe he doe alwaies giue; I pray that I may receive, and when I have received, I pray againe. And againe of Saint Augustine, Hominis non libera, Aug.cont. 2. epist. sed Dei gratialiberata voluntas, (that is) Mans will is not free, but freed by the grace of God. And in another place, Ea. tenus libera, quatenus liberata, (that is) It is so farre-forth free,

as it is freed, and no further.

6. Butto proceed, Cofter another Iesuite, is a little more Coft. Ench. de lib. plaine and groffe, Liberum arbitrium, &c. Free-will (faith Arbit, page 208, he) doth prepare it selfe to instification, by the ayde of God, not yet inhabiting, but onely mooning and helping, not onely suffering, but also working and doing. And againe in the same place, Man being fallen into the darke pit of sinne, that he may be drawne out againe, doth not onely receive and suffer, but coworketh with the grace of God, and prepareth himselfe by beleening, trusting, and undertaking the duties of piety, untill arising up to the Sonne of Righteousnes, he be replenished with the dinine light of grace; as Icremie, being baled out of the dangeon, helped those which pulled him out, by putting the cloutes and cordes under his armeholes. Salmeron, another Iesuite, is yet more palpable, Liberum Salmer.tom. 3. arbitrium non partem &c. Free will (saith hee) doth not worke page 38. one part, and the grace of God another; but to enery action is extended aswell free-will as grace. But Ecohius surpasseth all the Ecohius Enchir. rest for plainenesse, for thus he writeth, The beginning of our

Pelag.lib.3.ca.7

Caluation

faluation we have from Gods mercy, but to yeeld to Gods wholefome inspiration is in our power, &c. Thus with an impudent forehead, they marry together Gods grace and mans will in the act of Regeneration, betwixt which, a divorce was made by the fall of Adam, and so remainethirreconciled, till we be engrafted into the second Adam by faith.

Concil.Trident. Sess.6.c.4.

Molyn.disput.12

Aquin.cont.
Gent.lib.3.
c.159.
Ruard.art.7.
Pro.10.explicat.
art.Louan.

Bel.de quot.et lib.arbit.lib.6. cap.15.

Molyn.disput.de lib.arbit.

7. Hence it is, that the Councill of Trent is bold to affirme, that when equall grace is offered unto two, that one is conuerted, and the other remaineth in his infidelity: the cause is in their wills, in that one entertaineth, the other reiesteth the grace that is offered: And to hold this (saith Molyna another Iesuite) is a matter of faith. And this is the doctrine of Thomas their great Clerke. It is in the power of our free-will (faith he) to hinder or not to hinder the receiving of divine grace. And another more fully and foully thus dareth to speake. If it be demanded why this man is connerted and that man is not, the helpe of God being ginenalike to both, the reason is to be assigned to free-will, namely because the one would bee connerted, and the other would not: And this also Bellarmine himselfe acknowledgeth. Godsmotion (saith he) leaueth man altogether free to be converted, or not to be. Lastly, they doe not onely hold, that we have a power in our wills, to move towards our owne conversion, and freely to will or nill the accepting of Gods grace offered, but also to perseuere to the end after grace received. This the Iesuite Molyna doth in expresse words set downe, when hee saith, That the perseuerance of men in good, dependeth upon their owne free cooperation, and the dayly particular divine helpe. And this is the common received doctrine of the Church of Rome.

8. Now out of all these their opinions, three materiall observations doe arise: first, that that Helena of theirs, the merit of congruity, though in word it be reiested by some of the finer Iesuites, yet in substance and in truth is still retayned: for, whereas the Schoolemen say grosly, that a man by doing what he is able by the power of his nature, doth of congruity merit effectuall grace, the Councill of Trent and the later Diuines choose rather to say, that hee doth dispose and prepare himselse to grace, which indeede is in effect all one: for, to

merit

merit grace; and to dispose a mans selfe to grace, is in diversity of words, but one and the same sense: and this Bellarmine ingenuously confesieth, when he faith, that a man not yet recon- lib.5.cap.22. ciled, may by the workes of penance obtaine and deserve, ex congruo, of congruity, the grace of instification. Thus they say and vnfay what they lift, and gainefay each other, and indeede are in such a labyrinth, that they know not what to say: Secondly, that how soeuer they magnifie the grace of God in word, and affirme nothing more frequently, then that without Gods grace, preuenting, affilting and following vs, we can doe nothing, yet in very deede they ascribe well-neere as much power to free-will, as to the grace of God : yea more, for they make the efficacie of the first grace, to depend vpon the free consent of our will, and make it as it were the Porter to let in. or shut out grace at it pleasure: which is one of the most presumptuous conceits, that euer was vetered by the mouth of man, and full of blafphemy. Thirdly and laftly, that this first grace which they fay, doth work with free-will, in the first act of our new birth, and help & assistir, is not intrinsicall and inhabitant, but barely outward & prouocant. In respect whereof, Coster compareth grace to a staffe in a mans hand, which at his owne will be either veeth for his helpe, or throweth away; and to afriend, who finding a man in a deepe pit, perswadeth him by diners reasons to be willing to be pulled out. And in expresse words the same Tesuite saith, that this grace is onely the impulsion and motion of the holy Ghost, being yet without, and standing knocking at the doore of our heart, not being as yet let-in. And Bellarmine avoucheth the same, when hee faith, that it is but onely a perswading, which doth not determine the will, but inelineth it in manner of a propounding object. And thus vnder colour of the name of grace, they infinuate into mens foules the poyfon of their doctrine, attributing in word all to grace, when indeede they meane nothing leffe.

9. These things being thus discouered, let vs now come to tee, how by this doctrine the glory of God is defaced: which that it may more clearely appeare, two grounds are to be laid: Efay 48.11.
the first whereof is, that God is so lealous of his glory, that he & 42.8.

Bel. de Iustif.

I.Cor.4.7.

cannot endure any copartner or sharer with him therein. The second is, that in cases where grace & nature seeme to worke together, the godliest course is to magnifie the grace of God. and to debase the nature of man, yea, to ascribe all to grace, and nothing to nature, because this sauours of humility, whereas the contrary hath a manifest taste of pride. These grounds being fetled in our mindes, let vs come to the examination of their doctrine. And I pray you, touching the first ground, doth not this doctrine of theirs make man to part stakes with God? In his glory, whereas our doctrine doth ascribe all the glory in solid, and whole to God onely: let any man judge whetherascribe more glory vnto God, wee, that affirme that God is all in all to the effecting of our regeneration, or they that say, that our will doth cooperate with his grace, or else it can doe nothing: we that say, that we are starke dead to Godward, till God put life into vs by his spirit; or they that fay, wee are but ficke and halfe dead, and are but onely helped and affisted by his spirit: wee that teach, that a man can no more prepare himselfe to his owne iuslification, then a dead man to life, or they that teach; wee may by our naturall powers either merit of congruity, or prepare our selues to our instification. Lastly, weethat ascribe the whole worke of our saluation to God onely, or they that attribute some part thereof to their owne free-will. If this bee not to derogate from Gods glory, what can be? for apparently they share the great and glorious worke of our regeneration betwixt God and man, grace and nature.

10. Would it not (thinke you) be a great impeachment to Gods glory, if in the worke of our creation any should teach that God alone did not create vs, but that we our selues were coadiutors with him: so in the worke of regeneration, which is a second creation to attribute part to Gods spirit, and part to free-will, is it not a great blemish to the glery of God? for, either it must be said, that God could not doe it of himselfe alone, or that he would not: If the first, then they blaspheme in derogating from his power: if the second, then they dote in saying, God is not willing to maintaine his owne glory,

or that he is willing to impart it vnto others, contrary to his owne word and will reuealed in the Scripture, which way so euer they turne themselues, they fall into the pit of impiety, and make themselues guilty of high treason against the diujne Maiestie.

11. Againe, when our Sauiour raised vp Lazarus from the graue, where he lay stinking foure dayes, if it bee true which some write, that Lazarus life was stil remaining in him. and that his foule and body was not parted, and fo our bleffed Sautour did but excite and stirre vp that life, which was as it were asleepe, and did not inspire into him a new life, and couple together his soule and body againe being deuided, is not the glory of this miracle mightily darkened and extenuated? This is our very case, wee say that a man is starke dead and buried in the grave of sinne, and till a new life of grace be inspired into his soule, he cannot moue the least haires bredth to heaven-ward; our adversaries say, that he is not dead, but maymed and wounded like the man, that betwixt leriche and Iernsalem fell among theeues; and therefore needes not to be revived, but onely to be healed and helped with the oyle and wine of grace powred into his wounds, he himselfe cooperating with his owne free will: who feeth not that by this doctrine of ours, God is more glorified, and by theirs more debased? for the lesse and easier the worke is, the lesse is also the glory of the worke-man, and the greater and harder the worke, the greater his glory: but it is a lesse worke to heale a man wounded, then to raise a man dead, and therefore lesse glory ascendeth vnto God by their doctrine, then by ours. But what doe I say lesse? when indeed to give any part of the Creators glory to the creature, is veterly to take all from the Creator: for hee will have all or none, as Tertullian notably Tertul.aduerf. obserueth, when he saith, That true faith requireth this in de- beres. fending the true God, that what soener is his, we make it onely his, for (o shall it bee accounted his, if it bee accounted onely his, by which rule, the faith of the Romane Church cannot bee the true faith.

12. And againe, according to the second ground, ifto

giue

more ho mility Continueth grade proud much grade proud much that inagnifications. Ich. 1.Cor.1.31. Ier.9.24. Ich. 1.Cor.1.31. Ier.9.24. Ich. 1.Cor.1.31. Ich.15.5. Ich.15.5. Ich.15.5.

I.Cor.4.7.

August.debono Perseuer.c.6.

Cassand. consult. de lib.arbitr.

giue all the glory to God, and none to our selues, sauour of humility, but to deuide stakes betwixt God and our selues, hath a taste of pride: then it must needs follow, that God is more honoured by the one then by the other, because by humility God is honoured, and by pride dishonoured: and therefore the Apostle saith, that hee resisteth the proud, and gineth grace to the humble: for what cause? but because the proud man seeketh his owne glory, whereas the humble deuesteth himselse of all, and layeth it downe at the soote of God: the proud man rejoyceth in himselfe, but the humble rejoyceth in the Lord alone, according as it is written, Let him that reioyceth, reioyce in the Lord. Now the Romanists that magnifie free-will, haue iust cause (their doctrine being presupposed to be true) to reioyce in themselves, which is an argument of pride: for, whereas our Sauiour faith, Without me ye can doe nothing, they may fay, Yes something, for wee can either admit or reiect thy grace by our owne power: and whereas the Apostle faith, Who bath separated thee, what hast thou which thou hast not received? they may fay, I have separated my selfe in doing that which I was able, and so made my selse fit for grace, and this power I have not received from Gods speciall fauour, but from my owne free will. All which kinde of speeches, as they are full of pride and fleshly vanity, fo they are stuffed with impiety and b'asphemie, and manifeftly tend to the dispoyling of the divine Maiestie of that glory, which is onely due vnto him. And therefore I conclude with two notable fayings, one of S. Augustines, and another of Cassander, a learned Reconciliater of late time; Tutiores vinimus (faith the Father) si totum Deo damus, &c. that is We line more safely if we attribute all wholy to God, and not commit our selues partly to God, and partly to our selues. And this is the part of a godly minded man (saith the Reconciliater) to attribute nothing to themselnes, but all to Gods grace: whence it followeth, that how much so ever aman giveth to grace, yet in so doing, hee departeth not from pietie, though hee detract something from nature and free will: but when any thing is taken from Gods grace, and given to nature, which belongeth to grace, that cannot

2.

cannot be without eminent danger. So that by the confession both of this learned Romanist, and also of that reuerend Father, our doctrine in the poynt of free-will, is both more agreeable to piety, and respective to Gods glory, then theirs is; and therefore in reason to be preserred before it.

13. The next doctrine whereby the gloric of God is darkened, and the dignitie of Christs merites blemished, is their doctrine of Iustificatio, which I joyne next vnto Free-wil, because their sophistry & cunning in this great & maine pillar of Religion cannot well be discerned (they so palliate the matter with faire gloffes & goodly words,) except their opinion touching the power of Free-will be first apprehended. And here, before I enter into the bowels of this poynt, it is to be obserued, that most of them vaunt and bragge that they doe much more magnifie Christs merites by their doctrine of Iustification, then we doe: which, how true it is, the discourse following (I hope) shall so manifest, that every indifferent man shall be able to say trucky of them, as Saint Augustine said of the Donatists, These are the words of men, extolling the glory Aug.cont.lit.Peof man, under the name of Christ, to the abasing of the glory of til.li.2.54.84.

Christ himselfe.

14. The doctrine therfore of our Church touching the iustification of a sinner, is in effect thus much. That a sinner is iustified that is accepted into the fauour and love of God, not by any thing in himselse, or from himselse, but by the perfect and vnspotted righteousnes of Christ Iesus, imputed vnto him by the meere mercy of God, through the couenant of grace, and apprehended on his behalfe by the hand of faith. The reason whereof is, because that which must satisfic Gods iustice, and reconcile a finner vuto him, must have these two properties; first, it must be of infinite weight and value, to counterpoyse with the rigour of Gods inflice: and secondly, it must be of sufficient ability to performe sull and perfect obedience to the law of God; so that a persect satisfaction bee made both in respect of the obedience which the law requireth, and also of the punishment that it inflicteth. Now, no righteousgesse of man is thus qualified, but is both imperfect and ynsufficient;

no, not the righteousnes of Angels themselues, being, though excellent, yet infinite Creatures, saving the righteousnes of Christ Iesus onely, who is both God and Man; and therefore his righteousnes onely, and none other, is that whereby a sinner must be institled before God.

15. From this it appeareth, that when we say that a man is iuflified by faith, our meaning is not, that faith is the cause of our justification, but onely the instrument and hand to apprehend that righteousnes of Christ whereby we are justified: & when we say, faith alone instifieth; we meane that it alone is the instrument of our iustification, because it alone layeth hold ypon the righteousnes of Christ, and applyeth it to our selucs: not that it is euer alone, but alwaies accompanyed with charity and patience, and zeale, and temperance, and other fruites of the spirit: for we hold, that the true justifying faith is euer meere granida bonor nopern, as one of their own fauourites affirmeth, that is, full of good workes, and euer anon ready to bring them forth, as occasion serueth. Neither doe we deny, as fome of them falfly flander vs (though many of their chiefest Writers gaine- fay their fellowes, and affoord ys that fauour to speake the truth of vs) but that every one that is justified must also be truely sanctified, and that saluation is not obtained by iustification alone, but by sanctification also: yet wee make sanctification and good workes not to be the causes, but the effects; nor the roote, but the fruit; nor the anticedents, but the necessary consequents and attendants of our Iustification. And as Bellarmine truely distinguisheth to be necessary, Necessitate prasentia, non esticientia, by 2 necessity of presence, not of efficacie, as if they wrought our saluation. In a word, In the act of inflification, wee say that workes haue no roome, because both they are imperfect, and also are not done by our own strength; but being once iustified, we must needs repent, and become new creatures, walking not according to the flesh, but according to the Spirit. And this is the doctrine of our Church concerning Iuflification.

16. Now let vs heare what they fay, and then weigh

Roff.art.z. aduers.Luther.

Staplet li.9 de Iuflific. ca.7. Bell lib.4.de Iuflific cap.1.

Belt, Ibid.

both doctrines in the ballance of the fanctuary, that wee

may see which of them bringeth most glory to the merits of CHRIST, and to the power of his fatisfaction. I will plainely and fincerely (God willing) fer downe the summe of their doctrine. First therefore they teach, that there is a double iustification, the first whereby a man ex ininsto fit instrus, of an uniust and wicked man is made inst and disp. Theolog. good, and of a finner is made righteous; the second wherby a man being just is made more just, and doth encrease in juflice and fanctity, according to that Renel. 22. 11. He that Reuel. 22.11. is iust, let him be more iust. Concerning the first iustification. some of them affirme, that it is the free gift of God, and deferued by no precedent workes; others that it is merited by congruity, but not by condignity : but of the second, they cenf. coloniens. fay, that it is gotten and merited by our workes. But before - pag-140.141. both these, they make certaine preparations and dispositions, whereby a man by the power of his owne free-will stirred vp by grace, doth make himselfe fit for instification, namely, by the acts of faith, feare, hope, loue, repentance, and the pur- Bellib.1.de Just. pose of a new life; all which a man must have before hee re- cap.13. ceive the first grace of instification : and for the obtaining whereof, he needs not any grace internally infused, but onely offered externally. Whereupon they are bold to affirme, that the act of Iuflification doth emane and proceed, Simul ab arbitrio & à Deo, Both from free-will, and from God. Now the causes of instification, the Councill of Trent maketh to be these; the finall cause, Gods glory, and mans saluation; the efficient, Gods mercy; the meritorious cause, Christs merits; the instrumentall, the Sacrament of Baptisme; but the formall cause, which is the chiefest and 3 ville ei, Dat effe rei, gineth being to the thing, as the Logicians speake, they make to be an inherent righteousnes wrought in vs, and inspired into vs by the Spirit of God. And this in briefe is the doctrine of the Church of Rome, touching the iuflification of a finner.

17. Wherein let vs obserue three maine and fundamentall differences, betwixt their doctrine and ours; in all which they raze the foundation, and dedignifie the merits of Christ, and H 2 the

Coft. Ench. Ecca.

Becan. Ibid.

Concil. Trident. Seff.6, cap.6.

Molina.disput.

Philo1.6.

the mercy of God, to extoll the dignitic of man. The first in their preparations, wee hold that a man cannot any wayes dispose himselfe vnto grace, but is wholly fitted and prepared by God; and that those acts of preparation (as they call them) are not fore-runners of iustification, but rather fruites and effects thereof, they teach the contrary, as I have shewed. The second difference is, that the workes of a man instified do not merit increase of grace, which they terme the second iusification: but as the beginning of grace is from gods mercy alone, fo the increase and augmentation thereof and perseuerance therein, is onely to be ascribed to the worke of Gods spirit, according to that of Saint Paul, Phil. 1.6. He that bath begunne this good worke in you, will performe it untill the day of le-(us Christ: this we hold, they the contrary. The third difference is in the formall cause of our instification, which they maintaine to be an inherent righteousnes within vs, euen the righteousnes of Sanctification. We on the other side affirme, that the formall cause of our instification, is the right cousnes of Christ Iesus, not dwelling in vs, nor proceeding from vs, but imputed vnto vs by the mercy of God.

18. Hauing thus layd open both our doctrines, let vs examine and trye which of them giueth most glory vnto God, and most exalts the merites of Christ, for that must needs be the truth; and which lifteth vp highest the proud nature of man, for that must needs be falshood and errour : especially, feeing that Gods dignity, and the dignity of man; Christs merits and mans, are as it were, two skales of a ballance, whereof the one rifing, the other falls, the one lifted vp, the other is pressed downe. First therefore, touching the workes of preparation, whether doe they more magnific Gods mercie, that fay a man cannot prepare and dispose himselfe at all rograce, but is wholly disposed and prepared by God; or they that affirme that a man can prepare himselfe by his owne endeuour, assisted outwardly with the grace of God? the one makes Gods mercy the fole cause of illstification, the other but the adiuvant and helping cause. And whether doe they aduance most the dignity of man, that say, that a man can do nothing nothing of himselfe for his owne instification, or they that say that a man can doe something to the preparation of himselfe to that great worke; the one attributeth some dignity to man, the other, none at all; we affirme the one part, the Romanists the contrary : and therefore our doctrine tends more to the debasing of mans worth, and consequently to the exalting of

Gods glory, then theirs doth.

19. True it is, like Ferrimen, that looke East and go West, they with their great Grand-father Pelagius, talke of grace, when they meane nothing but nature, and so deny indeede that which they affirme in word, if the matter bee examined according to truth. For Pelagius confessed a necessity of grace in all spirituall actions, and yet was condemned for an enemy to grace, by the Church of God, because hee understood not cap. 31.33. by grace the san ctifying worke of Gods spirit, but an outward mooning and perswading power, affishing mans free-will to the effecting of his owne saluation. The very same is the do-Etrine of the Romanists, as hath beene declared, and therefore wee may justly condemne them as enemies to the grace of God, what soeuer they bragge and vaunt to the contrary.

20. Secondly, touching the second instification, which standeth, as they say, in the augmentation and encrease of our iustice : let the most partiall Reader judge, whether tends most to the magnifying of Gods glory, their doctrine which teacheth that wee merite the encrease of our iustice by our owne workes: or ours, which teacheth, that both the feed and the growth, both the roote and the fruite, both the beginning and encrease of all righteousnesse, is the worke of Gods spirit alone, preuenting, assisting, and vpholding vs to the end; and that thefe fenerall workes of grace are bestowed vpon vs, not for any merites of our owne, but simply and entirely for the merits of Christ Iesus. I, but they will say, works doe not merit iustification, because they are ours, but because they are works of grace, which grace floweth from the fountaine of Christs merits, and so they attribute asmuch, or more, to grace and Christs merites, then wee doe. To which I answere, two things: first, if they held that these workes H 3

Aug.cont . Pelag-& Celeft.lib. I.

were

were merely from grace, they said something to the purpose, but affirming as they doe, that they are partly from grace, and partly from the power of free-will, as two ioynt causes, this their something is nothing, but a vizard to cover the vgly face of their errour. Secondly, let this be granted, that their doctrine is, that they proceede onely from grace, neuerthelesse being wrought in man, and acted by man, they must needes bee called, and be indeede in part mans workes, because man doth cooperate with grace; and therefore to make them meritorious absolutely of grace, must needes tend in part to the exalting of mans dignitie, and consequently in part to the impeachment of Gods. For, let an answere bee giuen to this question, by what meanes doth a man continue in iustice, and encrease in holinesse: Wee answere with Saint Paul, By the grace of God onely, who as hee bath begun that good worke in vs, so will performe it untill the day of Iesus Christ: but the Romanists will answere, that this is done by the merit of our owne workes: which workes howfoeuer they may colour the matter, by faying, they are works of grace, and receiue power fro Christs merits, yet being the works of man also, by the power of his free-will, who seeth not, but that Gods glory is greatly blemished hereby, and mans worth extolled?

Phil.1.5.

Becan. disput.

21. Thirdly, touching the forme of iustification, which of vs doth most honour to God? they which teach that it is an inherent righteousnesse habituated in vs, or wee that say, that it is Christs righteousnesse imputed vnto vs? wee attribute all vnto Christ, and nothing to our selues: they share the matter betwixt Christ and our selues: for this inherent righteousnesse, though it proceede from Gods spirit, as they say, and is a worke of grace, yet in three respects it may bee called our righteousnesse by their doctrine: first, in respect of the roote and spring of it, which is, as they affirme, partly grace and partly nature. Secondly, in respect of the subject, which is the soule of man, which may bee also called the instrument by which it is effected; and that not a dead subject or livelesse instrument, as we say mans nature is, till it be lived and quickned by Gods spirit, but of it selfeliuing and quicke,

and fit for so great a worke. Thirdly, in respect of the medium or meane, by which it is attained, which they hold is the merit of our owne workes, as I have sufficiently discovered our of their owne bookes. Now then, if this inherent righteoufnesse bee in part our owne, and not wholy Christs, but the righteousnesse imputed be wholy and entirely Christs, and not in any respect ours, saue that it is given vnto vs, and made ours by imputation; who can doubt but that this our doctrine is farre more availeable for the advancement of Christs glory, and debasing of mans excellencie, then theirs is? Adde herevato, that it must needes be a dishonour to God, to say, that an unperfect, a polluted and a stayned righteousnesse, such as the best of ours is, can satisfie the absolute and most exact iustice of God: but it is an extolling glory to Gods iustice, to fay that it cannot be answered, but by the most perfect and abfolute righteousnesse that ever was in the world; such as the righteousnesse of the Sonne of God is, who taking our flesh vpon him, performed in the same, all righteousnesse, that the Arichefliustice of Godrequired, for our fakes.

22. All which things layd together and diligently weighed, we may fee what caused all the Saints of God, when they came to plead their causes, before the tribunal of Gods judgement, to disclaime all their owne righteousnesse, and to lay fast hold vpon the righteousnes of Christ the Mediatour, and the mercies of God in him, who is the fountaine of all mercy; cuen this, because they perceived that by this deiecting and despoyling of themselves of all worthinesse, Gods glory was greatly magnified, as also when they examined their best workes by the rule of the law, their owne consciences told them, that they were not able to abide the trial, if they should bee weighed in the ballance of justice, and not of mercy. Therefore this is the common voyce of all Gods Saints: Enter not into judgement with thy servant, O Lord, for in thy sight shall none that liveth be instified : to which, in a sweet harmony accord all the Fathers. Who will glory concerning his righteousnesse Origen in Rom. (saich Origen) seeing he heareth God saying by his Prophet, All cap.3. your rightesusnesse is as a cloth of a menstruous woman, our per-

Esay 64.6. Dan.9.13. Pfal.143.2. Rom.7.14.19. I.Cor.4.4.&c.

fections

Gregor.moral. 1.5.cap.8.

Aug. in Pfal.42.
Bernar. in fest.
omnium Sanstor. fer. I.
Bel. de Iustific.
lib.5.cap.7.

fection it selfe is not voy doffault (sith Gregory) unlesse the seuere Indge doe weigh it mercifully in the subsill scales of his instice: Who so lineth here, how soener instly he line yet woe unto him (saith S. Augustine) if God enter into indgement with him: if our instice be frittly indged (faith S. Bernard) it will bee found uniust and scant. And this infallible truth wrung out of Bellarmine himselfe, though vnawarcs, this plaine confession: Tutissimum est in sola Deimisericordia conquiescere, &c. that is, it is the safest course to repose our considence (what in our owne righteousnesse?no): in the sole mercy of God. Is it the safest course for mans saluation? so is it for the aduancement of Gods glory, for the one is subordinate to the other: who then that hath but common sense, will not chuse rather to repose the hope of his faluation on Gods mercy, then on his owne righteoufnesse? at least-wise if hee regard either Gods glory, which all should, and that about all, or his owne soules health, which should be next to the other in our defires.

D. Bishop cont. Refor Catholic.

23. By this it may appeare, what a vaine bragge that is of some of them, who boast that they doe much more magnisse Christand his merits then we doe, because wee make them (say they) so meane, as that they serue the turne enely to couer and hide sinne: whereas they contrariwise do so highly esteeme them, that they hold them able, both to purchase at Gods hand an inherent righteousnesse: and to give it such force and value, that it can make a man just before God, and worthy of the kingdome of heaven. In which brave vaunt, there lye lurking no lesse then three grosse absurdities. First, they lay a false imputation vponour doctrine, that wee should hold Christs merits to be so meane, as to serue onely to couer and hide sinne; whereas wee expressly teach, and that with one consent, that for the merits of Christ, not onely our sinnes are pardoned, but also that grace is inspired into our soules, and sanchification, and new obedience, and Christ is made vnto vs of God, wisedome, righteousnesse, sandtification, andredemption: by which it appeareth, that we ascribe even as much in this regard to Christs merits, as they do; and therefore this is a mere cauill and flander, hatcht by them to breed difgrace

3.Cor.1.30.

to our Religion. Next, they contradict themselves: for to say, that Christ giueth strength to our righteousnesse to purchase heauen, is to affirme, that without our helpe, Christs righteousnesse was not of sufficient valew to make that purchase: and yet the same men say, that Christs righteousnesse was of infinite valew. Now if it were of infinite valew, then it made the full and persect purchaseit selfe: and if it made the full purchase, then he need not give strength to our righteousnes to doe the same. Thus either the one or the other must needes be false, except we will have a double purchase of one and the same thing, and a double satisfaction of the same debt, which is in no case agreeable to the iustice of God. Lastly, they fhew their arrogancie, in that they fcorne to receive the kingdome of heaven, as an almes and free gift from God of his mere mercy towards them: but they will have it like flurdy and proud companions, as a due vnto their deserts, or a recompence to their scruice: nay, they will not have it from Bel.de purgat, Christs purchase alone, but they will bee ioyned purchasers lib. I. eap. 14. with him, or elfe they will have none of it : then which, what can be greater arrogancie? And thus by this vaine vaunt they fall into divers palpable absurdities, and plainely discouer, that it cannot any way be auoyded, but that by their doctrine of justification, they doe exalt the dignity of man, and pull downe the glory of Christ our Saujour.

24. The third doctrine whereby they derogate from Gods glory, is their monster of merit, which I put in the next place, because it issuethfrom the two former, as the Progenitours thereof, the doctrine of iustification by inherent righteous. nesse, being as it were the mother of it, and that of free-will the Grand-mother: and so it cannot be, the fountaine being poyloned, but that the waters streaming there-from, must needes be infected: and because malumere seit eundo, an euillgroweth worse by propagation, and the daughter commonly exceedeth the mother in naughtinesse, therefore this doctrine is faire more blasphemous, then either of the former, and execedeth them, both in derogating from Gods glory, and fetting up mans dignity against God. And the Proctors of the

Romilla

Romifi Court, in no subject doe more throat it out, then they doe in this, nor take more paines in any, as if their liue-lyhood lay vpon it. And yet, if we draw neere vnto them, we shall easily perceive, that all is but a vaine blast of words puffing vp the proud heart of man, and pulling downe the glory of Christ, as I hope I shall plainely and briefly manifest by the discourse following.

25. Their doctrine touching the merite of workes, is in briefe this, that there is such a dignity, excellency, and perfection in the workes of the Regenerate, that by the rule of institution in the workes of the Regenerate, that by the rule of institution in the workes of the Regenerate, that by the rule of institution in the world, but also eternall life and enerla-string blisse in the world to come. In which doctrine, these three poynts are to be considered: first, that they make the good workes of the faithfull absolutely and perfectly good, able to stand out with the institution of God, and answere the full rigour of the Law: secondly, they make them proportionable to the reward, for betwixt meritum and debitum, properly taken, as they doe, there is alwaies a Geometricall proportion: and thirdly, they place this meritorious dignitie in the worke done, as it is a worke, and that not passinely, as it is

wrought in vs by grace, but actively as it worketh by free-

will, as hath beene shewed.

Betl.de Iust.li.4.
cap.16.

Bell. de iuft. li. 4.

cap. 16.

Idemlib.5. cap.

And.Orthod.cx-plic.lib.6.

26. That all these things are thus, as I have said, let ve heare themselves speaking in their owne words, The workes of the inst (saith Bellarmine) are simply and absolutely good. And in another place, They are so good and so perfect, that God were uninst, if he should not remard them with eternall life: and, that not onely in respect of Gods promises, but even in respect of the workes themselves. And to proove this, hee produceth seven strong reasons, as he thinketh, but indeed weake ones, if they be throughly examined. Andradius, the approcued interpretour and desender of the Councill of Trent, goeth further, and saith, that the heavenly blessednes, which the Scripture calleth the reward of the inst, is not given them of God gratis, and freely, but is due to their workes, yea God hath set forth heavento sale for our workes. Bellarmine also calleth good workes, Mer-

catura

cutara regni cælestis, the purchasing of Heauen. The Rhe. mist are yet more insolent: Good workes (say they) are truely and properly meritorious, and fully worthy of everlasting life, bea. nen is the due and inst stipend which God by his instice oweth to the persons working by his grace, and that God should be unjust if berendred not beauen for the same. But Ruardus Tapper is yet more impudent, for he faith, God forbid that the inft should expett eternall life, as the poore man doth an almes, it is much more glorious that they should have it as Conquerours and Triumphers, as the prize due unto their labours. Gregory de Valentia goeth yet further, and saith, That the workes of the faithfull, beside their strength of meriting, have also a power of satisfying for the punishment.

Rhem. Annot. 2. Tim. 4.8. Heb.6.10.

Ruard. Tapper in expli.art. Louan. tom.2. art.9.

Greg. de Valen. tom.3.disp.7.9.2 de Indulgent.

Cost. Ench.ca.7.

27. Touching the proportion of our workes, with the reward, Bellarmine faith, That in a good worke proceeding from Bell.de Iust.li.s. grace, there is a certaine proportion and equality, to the remard cap. 17. of eternall life, and that not only in regard of the promise and ac. ceptation, but enen of the worke it selfe. And this he proucth in the place quoted by many arguments, which are worthy to be read, that we may see the very pith and substance of their. opinion, touching the merite of workes. True it is, Bellarmine doth not make this proportion an absolute equality betwixt the worke and there ward, according to the rule of commutative Idemli, 5.ca. 16. inflice, but onely by the rule of distributive inflice, which hath respect not so much to the worke, as to the worthinesse of the person working. But herein he croffeth other his fellow Iesuites; For Suarez saith, That a supernaturall worke procee- Suarez tom. in ding from grace, within it selfe, and of it owne nature, hath a pro- Thom.3.d.41. portion and condignity with the reward, and a sufficient valew to Sect.3. be worth the same. And Coster affirmeth, that the reward which God giueth to our workes, belongeth after a fort both to commutative and distributive instice, though the distributive part of instice, which require the dignity of the persons, doth more shine forth in it then the commutative, which considereth the equality of workes. And the Rhemists, That our workes of their Rhem, Annot, in very nature deserve eternall life, the reward whereof is a thing 1. Cor. 3.8. equally and instly answering to the lyne and weight of the worke,

uenteenth chapter, he auducheth that the proportion betwixt the worke and the reward, is ratione operis, in respect of the worke. Now I confesse that some of them affirme indeed, the reason of meriting of our workes, to arise partly from this that we are adopted the sonnes of God, and haue vnion with Christ, and so they are made meritorious by the dignity of the person which worketh them: and partly because they proceede from grace; and also, partly by reason of the promise which God hath made vnto them, whereby hee bindeth himselse that he will reward them : but let all these be granted (though all of them bee denyed by many of their owne Writers, who attribute merite to the worke, without relation either to the person, or to grace, or to the promise) yet it will not free their doctrine from palpable impiety, as the sequent discourse shall (I trust) make apparant. After that I have in opposition to this doctrine set downe the summe of that which we hold touching the dignity of good workes. I omit to name their merit of cogruity, because most of them-

rather then a free gift. Yea, he crosseth himselfe, for in the se-

Andr. Orth. explicat.lib.6.
Caiet.1.2.q.114.
Bajus de merit.
lib.2.ca.1. & 4.

Bern.de grat. & lib. Arbitr.

- selves are ashamed of it.

28. This is therefore that doctrine which our Church maintaineth concerning good workes: First, wee beleeue affuredly that good workes are necessary to saluation, but so, Vivia regni, noncausa regnandi, as the way to the Kingdome. not causes of raigning, and as signes of our Election, and forerunners of our future happinesse, as Saint Bernard testifieth. This with one confent we all teach, and the Romanists that slander vs with the contrary affertion, cannot produce so much as one sentence out of any of our Writers, which being right. ly vnderstood, doth import the contrary, as shall be hereaster fully proued. Secondly, wee hold, that as they are necessary in respect of vs, so they are acceptable and well pleasing to God, not for their own fakes, but for our faith-fake in Christ. in whome onely the Lord is well pleased, both towards himselfe and all his members. Thirdly, we beleeue that they are not onely thus acceptable and well pleasing in Gods fight, but also that the Lord will reward them assuredly both in this

life with temporall bleffings, and in the life to come with eternall happinesse; according to that of our Saujour, Who. Mat. 10.42. soener shall give vnto one of those little ones to drinke a cup of cold water, in the name of a Disciple, he shall not lose his reward. But laftly, we constantly affure our selves, that this reward is not given of God for the merite or defert of the worke, but of the meere grace and mercy of God for the merits of Christ: according to that of Saint Bernard, The mercy of God is my me- Ber. in Ca. fer. 61 rite: and of Saint Augustine, God bringeth vs to eternallife, Aug. de grat, et not for our merits, but for his ownemercy. For a reward is not lib. arbit. cap.9. onely taken for a due debt in Scripture, but also for a free gift, as may appeare by comparing Mat. 5.46. with Luk. 6.32. Mat. 5.46. In the one place wherof the Holy Ghoft vieth the word widos, Luke 6.32. in the other value, speaking of the one and the same thing. So that the summe of our do ctrine is this in few words, wee renounce not good workes, but the merit of workes: and wee verily beleeue that Christis the store-house of all merite, and that out of him there is no merite to be found in any, no not in the justess that ever lived: and yet the merits of Christ, as his righteousnesse, are made ours by imputation; and in that sense onely we may bee said to merit and deserue eternall life. As for our best workes, though they bee wrought in vs. by grace, yet passing through the corrupt channell of our defiled nature, they get themselves such a tincture and staine, as in regard of the corruption which cleaueth close vnto them, they can deserve nothing at Gods hand, if he should lay them to the rule of his iustice, and not weigh them in the ballance of his mercy. This is our doctrine: and that it is fo, I appeale to Bellarmine himselfe, who confesseth, that by faith alone wee Bell. de iust. li. 4. doe not exclude other vertues, but the merit of them; and that cap. I. we make good workes necessary to saluation, Necessitate pra-Sentia, non efficientia, as he termeth it, By necessitie of their presence, not by necessitie of efficiencie. Let vs therefore now come to the examination of both these doctrines, and search which of them doth give most glory to God, and honour to Christ our Saujour in this maine pillar of our Redemption.

29. And first, doth not that doctrine tend manifestly to

the embasing of Gods mercy, which teacheth men not to relie wholly vponthat for their faluation, but partly vpon their

Rom. 11.6.

Que supra.

owne merits? Especially, seeing grace and workes, merit and mercy, cannot stand together, no more then light and darknes, as the Apostle teacheth, If it be of grace, it is no more of workes, or else were grace no more grace; but if it be of workes, it is no more grace, or else were worke no more worke. So may we truely fay, If saluation be of mercy, then it is not of merit.

Aug.in Pfal.88.

Chrysoft.in Colos. bom.z.

Aug.cont. Pelag. & Celeft.lib.2. cap. 24.

or else were mercy no more mercy; but if it be of merit, it is no more of mercy, or else were merit no more merit : and fo by kindling the fire of merits, they veterly dry vp the fountaine of mercy. And for that cause Saint Bernard maketh the mercy of God his onely merit. And Saint Augustine disclayming all merits, and laying clayme onely to Gods mercy, saith as before; Godbringeth vs to eternall life, not for our merits, but for his owne mercie: And in another place, His promise is sure, not according to our merits, but according to his mercy. And Chrysostome saith, That no man sheweth such conuersation of life, as that he may bee worthy of the Kingdome of heauen, but it is wholly the gift of God. In all these places merit is opposed vnto mercy, as things of their owne condition incompatible, and therefore one must needes exclude the other. And sure in reason is must needs be so, for mercy is free, Grace is not grace in any fort, if it be not free in enery fort (layth Augustine) but merit requireth the reward of debt: Mercies object is misery and vnworthinesse, but merit is dignity and worthinesse, and therefore cannot bee the obiect of mercy: Mercy reioyceth against iustice, but merit appealeth vnto iuslice, and challengeth God of vniustice, if it bee not recompenced. Lastly, in mercy, God is the Agent, and sinfull Man the Patient; but in merit, righteous Man is the Agent, and God the Patient. And therefore, betwixt these two things, Merit and Mercy, there is such a disproportion and contraricty, that they cannot be reconciled together. 30. I but they fay, our workes are not meritorious of

themselues, but partly as they proceede from grace, and are wrought in vs by Gods Spirit, and so it is Godsmercy, that

we are enabled to metit; and partly by vertue of Gods promise, whereby hee hath engaged himselfe to crowne those merits with glory, which he hath wrought in vs by grace : to which double objection I returne this double answere. First, if all good workes issue from the roote of grace, as they doe indeede, then how can we merit thereby, seeing that which doth merit, must bee our owne, and not anothers, especially his of whom we looke to merit? (So faith Hilary) it is for him Hilar. de trinit. tomerit, who himselfe is to himselfe the Author of getting his lib.11. merit: and therfore if it be true which they affirme, that Gods grace is the onely fountaine of all good workes (as without doubt it is) it is so farre from following thence, that therefore our workes are meritorious, that it followeth by mere neceffary consequence, that therefore they are not meritorious. And this conclusion is made by divers of the ancient Fathers themselues, We have nothing to reioyce or glory of (sai Cy- cyprian ad prian) therefore nothing to merit, because we have nothing of our Quir.lib.3. owne: The merits of men are not such (saith S. Bernard) as that 6.5. life eternall by right is oming for them (and why?) because all Bern.in annum. merits are the gifts of God; and so man is rather a debter to God for them, then God to man. And S. Augustine, Eternall life should Aug. epist. 105. be rendred as due unto thee, if of thy selfe thou hadst the righteousnesse, to which it is due; but now of his fulnesse wee receive not onely grace now to line instly in our labours to the end, but also grace for this grace, that afterward weemay line in rest without rest. So then, if our good works arise only fro Gods grace, this maketh plaine against all merit, as they know well enough, and therfore (behold their fraud, and the mysterie of iniquity) though they shadow the matter with goodly words of grace and mercy, yet vpon free-will they hang the vertue and effect of this grace, and from that fountaine doe they deriue vnto man all this merit, which they talke so much of: and so howfoeuer they ascribe vnto Gods grace the cause of merit, yet Bel.de Iufific. in very deede with them, it is free-will that maketh a worke lib.s.cap.10. meritorious.

31. Secondly, I answere, that when God doth promise to reward our worker with eternall life, eternall life is due to vs,

but not for our workes sake, but for his promise sake: for many things are due by promise, which have no reference to any desert. As if the King should promise one of his seruants a thousand pound of his mere liberality, for keeping a Hawke, he is bound to pay him so much: but is it from the feruants desert, or from the Kings bounty? So God promiseth eternall life to our workes, and by reason of his promise, wee may challenge it as our due, but yet it is not for out worke, but for his word sake, as Saint Augustine confesseth, when he saith: God is become a debter, not by receining any thing from vs, but by promising what it pleased him: therfore a reward giuen by promise, is so far fro importing desert, that it rather ouerthroweth the very foundation thereof, by being a worke of mercy: as the same Augustine saith in another place: The promise is sure, not according to our merits, but ascording to his mercy. The doctrine of merit then undermineth the mercy of God, which way so ever they turne themselves, whether to grace, as the cause of the worke, or to Gods promise, as the cause of the reward.

Aug. de verbis Apostol.ser.16.

> 32. Againe, by this doctrine, not onely the mercy of God is darkened, but also the merits of Christ quite euacuated, and made of no force: for if Christs merits were sufficient, what neede there then any supply of our owne: if our owne merits be necessarily required, then Christs merits were not sufficient. If Christs merits were perfect, then mans merits cannot be added vnto them, for that is perfect, to which nothing can be added: but if mans merits must bee added to them, then it followeth, that Christs were not perfect; and so no merits at all: for this property is required in a merit, that it bee perfect: and so either they must denie the necessity of our meriting; or confesse the vusufficiencie of Christ: either they must acknowledge Christs merits to be unperfect, or ours to be vnnecessarie, yea none at all. I but (they will say) Christ did not onely merit the pardon of our sinnes, but also that our workes should be meritorious of life euerlasting: and by this (fay they) are Christs merits more magnified then by vs, because the greater the gift is, the greater is the glory of

the giver: fo that our meriting doth not argue any want in his merits, but rather proue a greater efficacie to be in them: for to this end will hee haue vs to merit, partly that we may shew our selues like vnto him, and partly to traine vs vp in good workes by this spurre. All these are but shifts, and indeede mere cauils, for first, to say, that Christ did not alone merit for vs eternall life, but also grace, that so we might merit eternall life for our selues: what is it, but to make vs our owne Saujours; for all our merits come from grace and freewill iouned together, as hath beene shewne, and grace is nothing with them, except free-will concurre with it: for they teach, that we may receive it if we will, and when we have it, we may merit, if we will, eternall life, or elfe goe without it. What is this (I say) but to affirme, that a man is not saued by Christs merits, but that by the helpe of grace hee doth saue himselfe by his owne merits? and so they shoue Christ out of his office, and put themselues in his roome.

32. Secondly, I answere, that the efficacie of Christs merits, is greater in purchasing eternall life for vs by himselfe alone, then in giving vs ablenesse to merit it for our selves; because it is a greater glory, and a token of greater power, to effect a thing immediatly without meanes, then by the mediation or vsurpation of any meanes whatseeuer. In the former, all the honour is to the worker, in the later there must needes be some glory ascribed to the meanes, and some power attributed vnto them; and therefore to fay, that Christ hath onely merited by himselfe without vs eternall life for vs, is to give the entire and perfect glory vnto him, and none vnto our felues; and to affirme, that hee merited to make our workes meritorious, is to derogate from his glory, and to de-

tract from the efficacie of his death and passion.

34. And here we may see the vanity of Bellarmines affertion, who to proue, that by this doctrine of theirs, they ascribe Bel. Ibid. more efficacie to Christs merits then we doe, bringeth in this similicude, Sicut quod Deus, &c. that is , As in that God veth the Sunne to lighten the world, fire to heat it, agre and raine to refreshit, is not an argument of weakenessein God, that hee cannot

doe

doe all these things by himselfewithout them, but rather of his omnipotencie, in that hee was not onely able to doe these things himselfe, but also to give power to those creatures to doe them: so it is an argument of greater power in Christs merits, to give strength to our workes to merit beauen, then if hee did it for vs without our workes. I, but by Bellarmines leave (that I may speake with all humble reuerence to the divine Maieslie) the power of God had beene more manifest, and his omnipotencie more conspicuous (I doe not say had beene greater) if he should doe these things immediatly by himselfe, then it is by the glasse of the creatures. As when the Lord came downe in person upon mount Sinai, and gaue the children of Israel the law from his owne mouth, his glory was more famous and fearefull, then when hee fent it them after by the hand of Moses, though written with his owne finger, as the other was spoken with his owne mouth. And therefore it is said, Exod. 20. that the people were so assonished at Gods voyce, that they defired, that hee would speake no more vnto them in his owne person, but by his servant Moses. Adde herevnto, that God in his wisedome ordayned those creatures to that end and purpose, and therefore we must not dispute (as Bellarmine doth) whether it should have beene a greater token of his omnipotencie, if hee had, or if hee had not created them; but humbly submit our selves to his wisedome, knowing, that his thoughts are not like ours, nor his counsels like ours, but as the heavens are bigher then the earth, so are his wayes higher than curs, and his thought aboue our thoughts: but for the merits of Christ, he hath reuealed in his word, that in them onely wee are to finde saluation : and therefore wee must belecue, that he is most glorified by that doctrine, which teacheth vs to rely onely vpon them : and as for the power in them, to cause vs to merit, it is no where to be found in Scripture, and therefore not to be thought to be for the aduancement of his glory: besides, to say, that Christs honour is encreased by mans merit, is plaine blasphemie, for, who hath given any thing to God, Rom. 11.25. Hestandeth not in neede of our good deedes, Pfal. 16.2. Indeede, we doe glorifie God by our good

Elay 55.8.9.

Rom.11.25. Pfal,16.2.

workes, but that is not by encreasing, but by publishing and proclaiming of his glory: but the Romanists say, that the glory of Christs merits is augmented by our merits, which muffneedes be a most blasphemous speech. In a word, seeing we doe not finde in Scripture, that Christ died to giue merit to our workes; but to purchase pardon to our sinnes, and obtaine life for vs; wee must bee content to thinke that this ferueth most for his glorie, and that the contrarie is derogatory thereunto.

35. Laftly, where did we cuer read, that wee must be like vnto Christ in meriting? we read, that wee must bee holy as he is holy, and humble and meeke as hee was humble and meeke, and patient as he was patient; to wit, in quality, not in quantity, in imitation, not in persection: but to merit as he did, is no where to be found: nay, it is a thing impossible, for it is an infinite and omnipotent worke of righteoufnesse, that can deserve any thing at the infinite instice of the omnipotent God, and it must bee of infinite valew, that can purchase that infinite reward. And therefore it was necessarie, that he which should be our Redeemer, should also be God, because neither Angell nor Archangell, nor any creature else could performe a worke of that price; which might be sufficient to merit the kingdome of heaven. It is therefore a most groffe blasphemie, to say, that we must be like vnto Christ in the point of meriting : for it maketh every man a lesus, that is, a Sauiour and Redeemer to himselfe. Therefore to conclude, I fay with S. Bernard, Let the glory remaine to the Lord untou- Bern. in Cant. ched, he bath triumphed over the enemie alone, he hath freed the fer. 13. captines alone, hee hath fought and conquered alone: and with S. Augustine, To whom we are endebted for that we are, to him we Augustin Pfel. are endebted, that wee are instified: let none attribute to God 144. his being, and to himselfe his instifying; for it is better which thou givest to thy selfe, than that which thou givest vnto God; thou gwest the lower thing vnto God, and the higher to thy selfe, give all to him, praise him wall. This wee doe by our doctrine, and they the contrary : and therefore it is most manifest, that by this doctrine of theirs, mans glory is exalted,

and Christs defaced, mans merits lifted up, and Christs pulled downe, which cannot fland with the truth and finceri-

ty of Christian Religion.

36. The fourth doctrine which tendeth directly to the difhonor of God, & the abasing of Christs glory in the worke of our redemption, is their paradox of humane fatisfactions: by which they teach, that Christ by his death hath made fatisfaction for the guilt of our finnes, and the eternall punishment due vnto them, but wee our selues must satisfie the justice of God, for the temporall punishment either in earth or in Purgatory: whereas we on the contrary teach and beleeue, that by Christs death and passion, a perfect and all-sufficient satisfaction is made to the iustice of God, for all the sinnes of men, and for all the punishment thereof, both eternall and remporall. As for our doings or sufferings, we acknowledge the one to be subordinately required as fruites of our faith, and the other necessary to be sustained as meanes of our mortification. And touching offences against our brethren, we hold it necessary that we make satisfaction to such whom we have wronged any wayes, either by confession, restitution, or punishment, as the case shall require; yea, wee acknowledge that a Canonicall or Ecclesiasticall satisfaction is to be made to the Church, or any part thereof, when as we have gineniult scandall and offence therevnto. But in all these wee denie, that there is any vertue or power to expiate our sinnes, or to make satisfaction to God for the punishment thereof, either temporall or eternall; that to do, is only proper and peculiar to the Croffe of Christ: for as the disobedience of the first Adam brought vpon vs not onely eternall punishments, but also temporall; so the obedience and merit of the second Adam, hath made satisfaction to God for both.

37. And herein we agree both with the holy Scripture in many expresse places, as I. John 2. 2. He is the propitiation for our siones. And Rom. 5.18. For the eternall punishment of them: And Elay 52.4. For the temporall; for there it is said, that he tocke upon him cur infirmities, and bore cur sicknesses. And mith the holy Fathers; for Saint Augustine plainly affirmeth,

That temporal afflictions before for givenes, are the punisoments of sin; but after forginenes are the fights & exercises of the inft. And Origen. That which is to the inst, the exercise of versue, is to the uniust, the punishment of sin. And Terrullian, The plagues of the world are to one for punishment; to the other for admonition & aduertisement: and this is the very substance of our doctrine.

28. As for our aduersaries, they blush not to affirme, eyen the Councill of Trent it selfe, that when God forgineth a sinner. yet he forgineth not all the punishment, but leaneth the party by his owne workes to satisfic till it bee washed away, and that the bloud of Christ doth not serve to acquite vs from the temporall punishment, but that we must acquite our sclues, either by our owne works, as prayer, almes, & fasting &c.or by our suffrings, either in this life, or in Purgatory. Yea, some of the chiefest of them are Greg. de Valent. bold to auouch, that the recopence made by satisfaction, respecteth not only the temporall punishment, but some part of the offence also, and the wrath of God. And others say, That a sinner by the caict. Soto. Suar. grace of God may satisfie for his sinne condignely, and equally, tom.1.d.4.l.9. and by that satisfaction obtaine pardon. And that which is more then all the reft, some of them affirme without blushing, that Christ by his sacrifice on the Crosse satisfied onely for originall sinne, and not for actuall after Baptisme. Bellarmine indeed is Bell.de missali, 2 ashumed of this doctrine, as he might well bee, but yet it is plainely maintained by Gregorie de Valentia. And this in briefe is the dunghill of Popish satisfactions, from whence Reame forth like vapours, their Purgatorie, and Pardons, and Penance, and much more such like trumpery.

39. But let vs leave them to their manifold errours, and come to the examination of this one poynt, whether they or we bring more definonour to the Croffe of Christ. And to the purpose, first, the very nature of satisfaction, which as they affirme, is the yeelding of a sufficient recompence to God for a trespasse committed, is inough to prooue that their doctrine tends to the singular impeachment of the Crosse of Christsfor if Christ hath made a full and perfect satisfaction ypon the Crosse, as without all doubt he did, he himselfe contesting in that his last speech, It is finished; then what neede any additi-

Aug. de pece t. mer . & remif. li.z.ca.34. Origen hom. 16. in Gen. Tert. Apol. e. 41.

Conc. Trid. fef. 4. Sub.Iul.cap.8.

Bell.de pomiten. lib.4.cap.6.

to.4.disp.7.9 14.

on of humane satisfactions? If there be such a necessity of humane satisfactions, as they make, then Christs satisfaction must needs be impersect, and so no satisfaction at all: for an imperfect satisfaction is no satisfaction, as the very word it selfe implyeth, importing a sufficient recompence to be made to the party offended : And if it be perfect, it must be full and absolute, that is, such as needeth nothing else to be added vnto it. But they require something to be added to Christs satisfaction; and therefore must needs hold that it is not a full, perfect, and absolute satisfaction : for it implyeth a manifest contradiction to affirme any thing to be a full and perfect cause of it selfe alone, and yet to adde another to it, as

2 ioynt cause to produce the same effect.

Coft. Ench.p.395 Bish. contra Refor. Cathal. Bell.de panit. li. 4.6ap.14.

40. But they will answere, that mans satisfaction is not to fupply the want of Christs, but to apply it vnto vs, and to fulfill his will and ordinance : for Christs fatisfaction (fry they) is of infinite value, and might aswell have taken away the temporall punishment, as the eternall, but that God will have it otherwife, for the mortifying of sinne in us, and making us conformable to Christ our head. This answere of theirs may seeme to carry a shew of sound reason, but in very deed it is but a shift, and a golden couer to blanch the vglinesse of their doctrine, for it were odious for them to fay plainely, that Christs satisfaction stood in need of a supply, or was any wayes imperfect; and therefore they would not have men to thinke fo of them, though intruth they both thinke and speake so of Christ, when they a little forget what they are a doing, and by infallible consequence their doctrine concludeth no lesse: for plaine speech thus writeth Gabriel Biel, Though the passion of Christ be the principall merit, for which the grace of God, and the opening of heaven, and the glory thereof be given; yet it is neither the sole nor totall meritorious cause, but alwaies there concurreth some worke of him that receive th the grace. And Miletue, Christ indeed is the generall cause of our saluation, but yet particular causes are to be added to this, and so be is not the totall and whole cause. And Bellarmine himselse by consequence confesseth as much, when he saith, that a righteous man bath right

Biel.ca. 3. d.19. art. 2. conci. 5.

Milet.li.Difeuff.

Bel.de Purgat. Mb.I. cap. 14.

right to the Kingdome of heaven by a two-fold title, one of the merits of Christ, another of his owne merits. These bee plaine speeches, and shew what their meaning is: so that how soeuer they gloze ouer the matter with goodly words, yet it is nothing but poylon in a painted boxe, wherewith the ignorant may be infected, but the skilfull are able to discerne their fraud. And here observe the contrariety of Bellarmines speech to another saying of S. Bernard to the same purpose. Christ (saith Saint Bernard) hath a double right unto the king dome of heaven, one by inheritance, as he is the Sonne of God, another by purchase, as he bought it by his death, the first he keepeth to himselfe, this latter be imparts to his members. This by S. Bernards Divinitie is all the right that a faithfull man hath to the kingdome of heaven, by Christs purchase: and vpon this onely doth that good man, and all other of Gods children relie: but Bellarmine giueth him another title, to wit, by purchase of his owne merits, which as it is a straine of his owne wit : so let him keepe it to himselfe, and make merry with it, for wee

will have nothing to doe with it.

41. As for that which they fay, that our fatisfactions serue not to supply the want, but to apply the efficacie of Christs voto vs, is a more ridiculous and shifting deuice then the other: for first, how can that be, when as sinne is first pardoned, which is by the satisfaction of Christ? and then long after commeth our satisfaction; if not in this life, yet sure in Purgatorie. The applying of athing, is a present act, arising betwixt the agent and the patient, therefore if our satisfaction doe apply Christs vnto our soules, then it followeth, that Christ hath not satisfied for our sinnes, till wee have satisfied for the temporall punishment of them, which is flat contrarie to their owne principles. Secondly, that which applieth, hath relation to that which is applied, as to the object: but our satisfaction hath no relation to Christs satisfaction, as the obicet, but is onely referred to the temporall punishment, and to the iustice of God, as they affirme, therefore it cannot apply it vnto vs. And lastly, how dissonant is it vnto reason, that a satisfaction should apply a satisfaction, as if one medi-

Bernard

cine should apply another to the patient. It is the hand that applieth the medicine, and not another medicine: so it is faith that applieth Christs satisfaction vnto our siones, and not our satisfaction. Nay, except the merits of Christ be applied to our best works and sufferings, they cannot stand before Gods instice, neither can they be meritorious, as they themselves confesse: so that it will follow by this doctrine, that our satisfactions are both the hand to apply Christs, and the thing to which it is applied. All which is most repugnant, not only to Religion, but even to reason it selfe.

Bel.de Purgal. lib.1.cap.10.

42. Lafly, when as Bellarmine affirmeth, that ad maiorem, &c. that is, for the greater glory of God who is satisfied, and the greater honour of man satisfying, it pleased Christ to isyne his Satisfaction to ours: He plainely discovereth the scope of their doctine, to bee the advancement of the dignity of man; whereunto indeede he joyneth the glory of God (for else all men would cry fie vpon such a Religion : ) but yet it both detracteth greatly from the glory of God, in ascribing some dignity vnto man, and peruerteth the true end of the Gospel, which is not the partial, but the entire honor & glory of God: For, as S. Paul faith, Gods pomer is most clearly seene in our weaknes, and his right eousnes in the confession of our shame, & his glory in our basenes and vilenes, that no slesh might reionce in his presence, but that he onely might be exalted at that day. But by this Romish doctrine, euery iust mamay reioyce in his own dignity, & may lift vp himselfe in the presence of God, as if he were the joynt cause of his own saluation together with Christ, and that Christs sais faction had beene nothing availeable to him, except he had applied it to himselfe by his owne satisfaction.

1.Cor.12.9. Dan.1.7.

1.Cor.1.29.

43. Thus they devide faluation, as it were party parpale betwirt Christ and man, and paralell them together. And whereas they say, that we must be like vnto Christ, as in meriting, so in satisfying; what doe they but intrude man into the sellowship of Christs office? for our imitation of Christ standethin a conformity to his conversation and life, and of those things onely which concerne his person, and are imitable, but not in being like vnto him in his office; and there-

fore when they fay, that we must be like vnto Christ in fatisfying, they make cuery man that is faued, a losus and Sauiour to himselfe, because they make him to imitate him in those things, wherein consisteth his being our Christ. Then which, what can be more contrary to the honour of Christ?

44. These bee the foure principall poynts, whereby the glory of Gods mercy, and Christs merits, and the holy Ghosts grace is greatly defaced, and in sead thereof, mans nature and merits exalted. Besides these, there are divers other do-Otrines of the Church of Rome, which bring forth the same fruit, some of which, I will onely name, and so conclude this third argument. And first, by the dostrine of the Popes supremacie, they detract from the power of Christ, and consequently from his glory: for both they endow the Pope with those titles, which properly belong to Christ, as to be the Father in Gods family, the universall Pastor, the head of the Church, lib. 2. cap. 17. the husband and bridegroome of it; and all other names which are given to our Saujour Christ in holy Scripture (whereby it is shewne, that he is aboue the Church:) and also they attribute the same power to the Pope, which belongeth properly to Christ, as to pardon sinne, to dispense with the law of God. to open and shut the gates of heaven, not ministerially, but absolutely and judicially, to depose Kings, and to dispose of Kingdomes, and such like. Now, what a dishonour is this to him, in whose thigh is written this glorious title, The King of Kings? Hee must not be the onely head of the Church, but the Pope must be a joynt head with him, nor hee the sole, Gouernor, but the Pope must be his Vicar: nor the sole husband of the Church, but the Pope, in his absence, must be her husband in his roome. Could a mortall man endure this injurie? And doe wee thinke, that the Sonne of God will beare it? Either Christ is not able to gouerne alone, or not willing; they will not say not able, lest their blasphemy should be too too odious: and if they fay, not willing: how can hee not be willing to maintaine his owne glory, or not bee ynwilling to be consederated with a sinfull Pope (for so often they are) in the disposition of his Kingdome? Let them make the best that they

Bel. de Concil.

they can of it: yet it appeareth, that Christs gouernment is divided betwirt the Pope and him, and so must the glory also needs be divided,

45. Secondly, by their doctrine of the Intercation and Intercession of Saints, what doe they but divide the office, and so the glory of the Mediatour-ship, betwixt Christ and them? for they teach that Christ is our Mediatour of Redemption, but the Saints Mediatours of Intercession, whereas we with the Scripture make Christ Iesus to be the onely and sole Mediatour both of Redemption and Intercession. Wee honour the Saints, but wee pray vnto God alone in the name of his Sonne; they adore the Saints, and make their prayers vnto them as well as vnto God: yea, more prayers do they powre out by numbers vnto them, then vnto God. What is to dishonour God and Christ, is this be not?

46. Thirdly, by their doctrine of traditions, they derogate greatly from the glory of Gods mercy towards his Church: for they hold that the written word is not sufficient for a Christian man to saluation, without the helpe of Ecclesiasticall traditions: whereby they plainely infinuate, that either God had not that care of his family the Church, as he might have had, seeing hee less not for it a perfect and certaine rule for the government thereof, but sent it over to vicertaine traditions; or that wisedome which all Law-givers labour to attaine vinto, seeing hee could not at the first provide for all suture occasions; or that love that he would not one of these

doth necessarily follow from their doctrine.

47. Lastly, by their doctrine of worshipping of Images, whereby they give vnto stockes and stones part of that religious worship which is due vnto God. We teach that all religious worship is due vnto God alone. They on the contrary maintaine, that latria, that is, divine worship is Gods due; but dulia, that is, service is to be given to Images. Yea, that the Crucifixe is to be worshipped with divine worship, which is due onely to God. Who seeth not what manifest iniury they offer to Gods glory, by this superstitious worship of dumbe and dead Images?

48. And

48. And thus, omitting many other like poynts which might be inserted in this place, I hope that the Minor propofition is sufficiently demonstrated, that the Church of Rome doth by many doctrines derogate from the glory of God, and the merits of Christ. And therefore the conclusion must needs follow, being built vpon an vnmooucable foundation, that, that Religion which maintaineth such doctrines, is not the truth of Christ, but the seduction of Antichrist.

## MOTIVE. V.

That Religion deserueth to be suspected, which refuseth to be tryed by the Scriptures, as the perfect and alone rule of faith, and will bee judged and tryed by none but it selfe: But such is the Religion of the Church of Rome: Ergo.

THe first proposition in this Argument, though it be most MAIOR. true, and cannot without any shew of reason be contradicted: yet that it may be without all doubt and exception, it shall not be amisse to strengthen the same by sound and euident proofes, derived both out of Gods word, and consent of ancient Fathers. The Proposition consists of two parts, first, that it cannot be the true Religion, which will not abide the alone tryall of the Scriptures. Secondly, that it will bee iudged and tryed by none but it selfe; let vs consider of both these seuerally.

2. And concerning the first, if the Scripture be the fountaine of all true religion, the foundation and basis of our faith, the Canon and rule of all the doctrines of faith, and the touch-stone to trye truth from falshood, then to resuse to be judged and tryed by the Scriptures alone, is, plainely to difcouer that there is something in it which issued not from that fountain, which is not built voon that foundation, which is fo oblique and crooked, that it dares not to be applyed to that rule, and which is counterfeit, and dares not abide the touchstone. Now that the Scripture is such, as I haue saidt, let the Holy Ghost, speaking in the Scripture, beare witnesse, Search Ioh.5.39.

1.Cor.2.2.

2.Tim.3.15.

\* עשטוני, ಹಾರ್ಜೆ15.

Pro 2.9.

Orig.in Mat.4.

Relig.

the Scripture (saith our Sauiour) for in them you thinke to have eternalllife, and they be they which testifie of me: therefore the Scripture is the fountaine of all true religion : for, what is the Religion of Christians, but the right knowledge of Christ Iesus? This caused Saint Paul to say, I desire to know nothing, but Christ lesus, and him crucified. Againe, the Scriptures are able to make vs wife onto faluation, through faith in Christ Iesus: and are profitable to teach, to improve, to correct. and to instruct in right eousnesse, that the man of God may be absolute, and perfect to enery good worke. Therefore the Scripture is the onely fountaine of true Religion: for what is true Religion, but spirituall wisedome and holy persection, the one in contemplation, the other in action, the one in knowledge, the other in practice: for these \*two joyned together, do make a man truly religious, but the Scriptures afford both, as it is cleare in that faying of S. Paul, and may be confirmed by another like speech of Salomon, who affirmeth, that the comman. dements of God will make a man to understand right eousnesse, and indgement, and equity, and every good path. Righteousnesse and judgement pertaine to knowledge, equity, and every good path belong to practice. And for this cause, Origen compereth the Scriptures to Iacobs Well, from whence not onely Iacob, and his sonnes, that is, the learned and the skilfull, but his sheepe and cattell, that is, the simple and ignorant doe drinke, that is, deriue vnto themselues the waters of life and saluation: and therefore where the knowledge of the Scriptures flourished not, as among all the Heathen, both Romanes, Grecians, and Barbarians before their conversion, there no true Religion shewed it selfe, but their Religion was all salse and devillish: for, in stead of the true God, they worsh pped dumb Lastant. de falfa creatures, and mortall men: yea, deuils themselves, as Lachartius sheweth. All which proceeded from hence, that they had not the word of God for their guide, which is the onely fountaine and well-spring of true Religion.

3. Againe, as it is the fountaine from whence, so it is the foundation voon which our faith relieth, whether wee take faith for the act of belowing, or for the matter and object of

our beliefe. Te are built (sith S. Paul) upon the foundation of Ephes. 2,19,20. the Prophets, and Apostles, Christ lefus him elfe, being the chiefe corner stone. By the foundation of the Prophets and Apostles. is meant the Propheticall and Apostolical doctrine, as all Ex. positours that I have read; yea, their owne Aquinas and Ca- Aquin. ierane, with one consent auouch: and to bee built ypon this Caretan. foundation, is to have our faith to relye and depend ypon it onely, as a house relyeth onely vpon the foundation, and without a foundation cannot stand : that therefore is no do. Etrine of faith, that is vpholden by any other foundation, neither hath that any good foundation, which is not built youn the Propheticall and Apostolicall doctrine; they build vpon fand, that build voon humane traditions, euery stormy puffe of winde will shake the house of that faith; but they which beare the word of Christ, and keepe it, build upon a rocke, against Math. 7.23. which, neither the raine, flouds, nor windes, no not the gates of hell are able to prenaile; because they are grounded upon the rocke: which rocke indeede is Christ, to speake properly, as 1. Pet. 2.7. not onely S. Peter confesseth, 1. Pet. 2.7. but euen Christ himselse, that is this rocke: Math. 16.18. when hee faith, Upon this rocke will I build my Church: that is vpon this truth, that Christ is the Sonne of God: yet the word of Christ may also be called the rocke, because it is as firme and durable as Christ himselfe, And that wee may know, that Gods word 1, Pet. 1.25. onely is the foundation of faith, S. Paul telleth vs plainely, that faith is by hearing, and hearing by the word of God. If any Rom. 10.17. of them say (as they doe) that the word of God is not onely that which is written in Scripture, but that which is vnwritten, deliuered by tradition: let them shew as good reasons, to prone their traditions to be the word of God, as we doe to proue the Scripture, and we will beleeue them: but since they cannot, let them beare with vs, if we understand the Aposles words, as spoken onely touching the written word, and the rather, because we have for the warrantize of our interpretation, both S. Paul himselse in the same Chapter, verse 8. when he saith, This is the word offaith which we preach. Where hee Rom. 10,8 sheweth what is that word, which is the ground of our faith,

namely,

1.Pet.1.25.

Basil in

namely, the word preached. And S. Peter, who having magnified the word of God, with this commendation, that it endureth for ener, presently expoundeth himselfe of what word hee spake, saying, And this is that word which is preached amongst you: That is, the word of the Gospell, which was not in part, but wholy and fully, as preached by mouth, fo committed to writing. And thus S. Basil also interprets it, for he saith, Quicquid est vlira scripturas: What soener is out of the Scriptures dininely inspired, because it is not of faith, is sinne: for faith is by bearing, and hearing by the word of God. Now from hence thus I reason, If the word of God written, be the onely ground of faith, then that Religion which will not acknowledge it, dependance onely vpon the word written, is not to be beleeued, but to be suspected as erronious : but the word written is the onely ground of faith, as hath beene proued: therefore that Religion which disclaymeth it dependance only upon the word, descrues instly not to be beleeved, but to be suspected as erronious: And in this regard, the Romish Religion, though it be in our Pater noster, to wit, vnder the last petition, Deliner vs from enil, yet it should never come into our Creed, to repose our faith and our saluation vpon it. 4. Thirdly, the Scripture, as it is the fountaine and foun-

dation of true Religion: So it is the rule of faith, and the touchflone of doctrines, and the ballance of the Sanctuarie, to weigh truth and fallhood in, that the one may be discerned from the other. This the Prophet Esay teacheth, when hee calleth vs to the Law and to the Testimonie, saying, that if any speake not according to that word, there is no light in them. From which place thus Ireason: that whereunto we must resort in all controuersies, and doubts for resolution, that is, the rule of faith: but such is the Scripture, by the testimonie of the Prophet: therefore the Scripture is the rule of faith. In like manner, we may conclude, out of S. Peter, who suth, that we have a more sure word of the Prophets, whereunto wee must take heede, as unto a light that shineth in a darke place, till the Day-starre arise in our hearts. If the word of the Prophets' was a sure direction to the Church of God, before the Gospell was

Esay 8 20.

2. Pet.2.19.

written ; then much more is the whole Scripture, contayning the word of the Prophets and of the Apostles together; but 5. Peter affirmeth the first, therefore the second must needs foilow. For this cause when one asked our Saujour, what hee might doe to bee faued, hee referred him to the Scripture for his direction, What is written, how readest thou? And so A. Luke 10.26. braham referreth the rich gluttons brethren, to Moses, and Luke 16.29. the Prophets: and Christ telleth the Saduces, that this was the cause of their errour, because they knew not the Scriptures. Out Math 22.29. of all which Texts, thus I argue, If there were any other rule of faith, besides the sacred Scripture, our Saujour, and Saint Peter would neuer haue sent vs ouer to the Scripture alone, but would have poynted out vnto vs some other meanes: but they fend vs to the Scripture alone: and therefore that alone is the rule and ballance of our faith.

s. And this the very title and inscription of the Scripture doth intimate: for why is it called Canonicall, but because it containes the Canon, that is, the rule of faith and life? The Fathers with one consent agree in this truth. Saint Basil calls the Scripture, Canonem recti, & normam veritatis, The Canon of right, and the rule of truth. Chry softome sayth, that Assertio diumarum legum, &c. The affertion of the law of God is a most exact Ballance, Squire, and Rule. Saint Augustine calleth it Statera dinina, Gods ballance, or a dinine ballance: these bee his words, Non afferamus stateras dolosas: Let us not bring deceitfull ballances to meigh what we will, and how me will, saying. This is beame, that is light: but let vs bring that dinine ballance out of the holy Scriptures, as it were out of the Lords treasurie, and by it weigh all things, or rather acknowledge them, being weighedby the Lord. Tertuilian giueth to the Scripture the fame name : so doth Gregory Nyssen : and out Countriman venerable Bede, to passe ouer all the rest, as he is reported by Gratian in his decrees, telieth vs in most plaine termes, that In sacris literis unica est credendi, pariter & vinendiregula prascripta: The onely rule both of Faith, and Life, is prescribed on. to us in the holy Scriptures. Now, if this be fo, as it is meere madnesse to assirme the contrary, then that religion which

Basillib. I. contr. Eunom. Chr, fost.hom.13 in z. Coloff. Aug. de Baptist. contr.Donatist. lib.2. cap.6.

Tertul.contra Hermoz. Greg. Ny [ orat. de is qui adeunt Hierofol. Grat. Decret.

doth

doth refuse to be tryed by this rule, and to be weighed in this ballance, doth give iust cause of suspition, that it is but light

stuffe, and crooked ware.

6. If a man should offer to his creditor a piece of gold for payment, and should refuse to have it either tryed by the touch-stone, or weighed in the ballance, he might justly sufpect that it was but either light, or counterfeit : fo may any of good sense rightly suspect that religion to bee both light, and counterfet, which refuseth to be examined by the rule of Gods word, especially (which is the second branch of the first proposition) if it not onely refuse to be tryed by the Scripture, but also will admit no tryall, nor Judge but it selfe : for as by reason wee conclude, that such a man hath an euill cause in hand, who in Westminster Hall refuseth to have his matter tryed by the law, and willadmit no Judge, but his own opinion: & that man to be guilty, which standing at the bar of justice. accused of some great crime, denyeth to be tryed by the verdict of his Country, according to the law: so likewise, the cause of Religion being called in question, that must needs in any equall judgement bee deemed unfound and guilty, which will not fland to the verdict and sentence of the Prophers and Apossles, who are the Jury to trycall cases of conscience: and of the Spirit of God speaking in the Scripture, who is the onely Judge to heare and determine all questions of doubt which may arise in matters of faith, and will be censured and judged by none but it selfe.

7. Against this truth, all the Romanists, and especially the Iesuites, and of the Iesuites, chiefly Bellarmine, conflict and sight with soote and horse, sailes and oares, tooth and naile, and all they can doe: for herein lyeth the very bloud and life of their Religion. And if this bee wrung from them, that the Scripture is the onely judge and rule of faith, Actum est de regno Pontificio, The Romish kingdome goeth to wracke viterly: and therefore they mainely contend to proue, first, that the Scripture is not the Iudge of controuersies; secondly, that it is not properly the rule of faith; and if it be a Iudge, it is a dumbe one that cannot speake, and if it be a Rule, it is a partiall and

impersect -

imperfect one, not totall and absolute.

8. These two positions Bellarmine laboureth to produe by Bell, de verbo many forts of Arguments: first, from testimonies of the Olde Dei interp.li.3. Testament; secondly, from testimonies of the New; thirdly, by the authority of Bishops and Emperours; fourthly, by the witnesse of the Fathers; & lastly by reason. I passe ouer the foure first forts of Arguments, as being sufficiently answered by others, and come to the last, which are derived from reafon, the flightnesse whereof doth plainely discouer the vanity of this their opinion. Now, to proue that the Scripture cannot be the judge of Controversies, nor the Interpreter of it selfe, they vse three chiefe reasons : first, because it hath divers fenses: secondly, because it is not able to speake, but is mute and dumbe; and thirdly, because in every well ordered Common-wealth, the Law and the Judge are distinguished; and therefore, seeing the Scripture is the law, therefore it cannot

be the Iudge.

9. I answere to the first, that it is not onely false, but impious to affirme, that the Scripture is as it were, Anoje of wax flexible into many senses, as Melchior Canus affirmeth, or that Can.loc.li.3.c.2. it may be diver ly expounded according to the occasion of the time. as Cardinall Cusanus auerreth : or that it is like a Delphian Cus.ep.2.3.7. Sword, to be converted into many senses, as Turrian the Iesuite makethit: for as of one body there is but one sou'e, so of one place of Scripture, there is but one true & found sense, which is the foule and life of it, the words being but the flesh, and the skinne that covereth the same : and that true sense is that which the Spirit of God intendeth, and not that which euery private spirit collecteth and deduceth out of the same: as for the Tropologicall, Anagogicall, and Allegoricall fenses, they are not distinct senses of the Scripture, but divers collections and applications issuing out of one and the same sense: all which may bee intended by the Holy Ghost, vnder that one literall sense. For example, when an Allegory is deduced out of a place of Scripture, as Saint Paul, Gal. 4. 24. Gal.4.24. doth allegorize that History of Abrahams two Wives, it is not a double interpretation of that History: but it is onely

Bell. ibid.ca.9.

Tur.contra Sad. pag.99.

Mat. 12.41.42.

£ap.100.

an Allegoricall application of it, to the illustrating of the matter which he had in hand: and so when by a tropologie a morall doctrine is deriued out of a text of Scripture, as our Saujour doth, Math. 12, 41. 42. applying to the lemes the repentance of the Ninimites, and the long journey of the Queene of Sabato see, and heare Salomon; or when as by a type any thing in Scripture is mystically expounded otherwife then the literall sense doth beare: this is not a new sense. but an accommodation of the right sense to another purpose, which notwithstanding is intended by the spirit of God: and this is confessed by divers of their owne side. Cornelius A-Agrip. de vanit. grippathus writeth, The Scripture hath but one simple and con-Aquin. Sum. pri. frant sense, in which alone, the truth is found. And Aquinas thus, It is the literall sense which the author of the Scripture inpar.9.pri.par.10 tendeth, which is God: yet it is not inconvenient, if in one letter of the Scripture, according to the literall sense, there bee mas ny senses.

Acost. lib. 3. de Chr. Reuel.ca. II. Medina. Rib. com. inhof. ca.11.nu.6.7. Bell.de verbo Dei, 12.3.54.3. Veg. de Just.li.9 cap.44. Sal.com, in Heb. dif.1.7. Azor.Influt.mor. lib.8. cap.2. Sixt.Sen.Bibl. li.z.pa.140. Pol. Virg.de Inwent.li.4 c.9.

10. But grant that there are divers diftinct senses of some few places of Scripture: to wit, one literall, and another spirituall, (for in the most there is not) yet there can be but one literall fense, as many of the Iesuites themselves confesse, and from that onely, a forcible argument may be drawne, as Bellarmine acknowledgeth, and Vega another Icsuite: except the mysticall sense be explaned, and authorized by some other expresse place of Scripture, as Salmeron, Azorius, Sixtus Semensis, and Polidore Virgil auouch, and proue the same by the testimonie of Augustine and Ierome. Now then, why should the multiplicity of senses barre the Scripture, from being the Iudge of controuerfies, seeing no controuerfie can effectually be decided by any other sense, but by the literall, which is ener one and the same : or by the mysticall, so farre forth as it is approved and declared by another Scripture, which then becomes the literall sense of that place, wherein it is expounded, though it was spiritually included in the barke of the former from whence it was derived? This therefore is a most vaine and friuolous objection.

To the second, that the Scripture is dumb, and therefore

fore cannot bee the Iudge, because the Iudge of controversies, must have a deciding and determining voyce. I answere. that this is blasphemy against the sacred word of God: for if the Scripture bee an Epistle of the omnipotent God to his creature, as Gregory callethit, what dothit but speake to them to Gregor. mag. whom it is fent? He that writes a letter to his friend, doth hee not speake vnto him? and hee that reades his friends letter. doth hee not understand his meaning and intendment, because the letter doth not vtter a voyce, and he heareth not his friend himselse? Doth not cuery man know that there is a double word, verbum dictum, a word spoken, and verbum scriptum, a word written, the one being Imago cordis, the Image of the minde, the other Imago oris, the Image of the speech? True it is, the Scripture doth not speake, as man speaketh, but yet it speaketh as the Law vseth to speake: and God himselfe speaketh in the Scripture, to them that have eares to heare him, and therefore in the Epistles to the Churches, which were all written, not spoken, it is said, Let him Reue, 2, & 3. that hath an eare, heare what the Spirit faith unto the Churches; and is there any thing more common then these phrases, what faith the Scripture? doth not the Scripture fay? Yea, and is not the Scripture called viums Des sermo, the lively word of God? Heb.4.12. Heb. 4. 12. how can it speake, if it bee dumbe; how can it

Epift.40.

giue life, if it be dead ?

12. This manifest truth Stapleton Striveth to clude by a Stapl. lib. 1. cont. witty, as he thinkes, but indeed a witlesse distinction, God (faith he) (peaketh indeed by the Scripture, but hee speaketh not unto us by them: the Scripture is indeed the word of God: but the Church is the voyce of God. Which fond objection our famous Country-man the scourge of Poperie, Doctor Whitaker thus wipeth away. If God speake in the Scripture, then hee dothit either with himselfe, or vnto some other, but not with himselfe, therefore to some other, and if to some other, to whom but vnto man? for hee neither speaketh to Angels nor Deuils, nor dumb creatures, therefore onely to man, as when he faith, Thou shalt not kill, or, Loue your enemies: there is no man so simple, but hee perceiveth that God speaketh vnto

Whitak. 6.7. S.g.

Whitak. contra Staplet.

Rom. 15.4.

inan. And therefore the Apostle saich, that what soener things are written aforetime, are written for our learning, that wee through patience and comfort of the Scriptures, might have hope: And so it is cleare, that God by the Scripture, not onely speaketh, but speaketh vntovs, and so the Scripture is not onely the word of God, but the voyce of God: in it selfe, as it proceeded from God, the voyce of God; to vs, as we have it by writing, the word of God, and the Epistle of the great King, to his poore subjects, whereby they are enformed of his will and pleasure, and directed in the wayes of salvation.

Math. 16.19.

13. I, but when the question is about the sense of a Text, as of that, Math. 16.19. To thee will I give the keyes, &c. Which words they interpret, as spoken to Peter onely, and consequently to the Pope his successour : we to the rest of the Apofiles, as well as to him. Where now doth the Scripture decide this doubt, and speake plainely which is the truest sense? Mary first, in the very place it selfe, by the due examination of the circumstances thereof, they evidently shew that our sense is the truest: for whereas the question is propounded to all the Apostles, verse 15. and all the Apostles held the same faith, that lesus is the Sonne of God, verse 20. it must needes be, that Peter was but asthe fore-man of the Quest, and answered not for himselfe only, but for them all: thereby shewing forth not any preeminence of authority aboue the rest, but a greater zeale and forwardnesse then the rest. And herevpon it followeth, that feeing this promise of the keyes is made, because of that faith and confession, therefore they all believing and confessing the same, have an interest to the promise as well as Peter. And this Anselmus in plaine tearmes affirmeth. It is to be noted (faith he) that this power was not ginen alone to Peter: but as Peter answered one for all, so in Peter bee

Anselm.in Mat.

gaue this power to all.

Ich,20.23.

14. Secondly, by the conference of another place, which is more plaine, to wit, Ioh. 20.23. where is a gift and an endowment of that power of the keyes, which before was promifed: for to binde, and to loose, and to remit, and retayne sinnes, is all one in effect, as Bellarmine himselfe confesset,

and containe the whole vertue of the keyes : now here they are all inuested with equal inrisdiction, the Holy Ghost is equally breathed vpon them all, and equal authority bequeathed vnto them all by these words of the Commission, As my Futher fent me, fo I fend you: which expedition is confirmed by the authority of most of the Fathers, as Augustine, Cyprian, Hierome, Theophilast, Anselme, &c. and thus the Scripture by a most lively voyce determineth this doubt : and as of this, so of all other questions and interpretations, the Scripture onely must bee the Iudge, which by searching the originals, examination of circumstances, conference of other places, and confulting with the learned Fathers and Expositors, together with secuent prayer to God for inward illumination, will give a most exact, and precise satisfaction to all controuersies, touching matters of faith, necessarie to bee belecued.

Bell. de Rom. Pont.li.I.ca, I 2.

Aug. de verbe Dei fer.13. Cypr.devnit. Hierom.aduer. Iouin. li I. Theoph. in Mat. Anstlin Mat. 16

15. To the third reason, that the Scripture is the law, and therefore cannot be the Iudge: I answere, that though the Law and the Judge be divers distinct things, yet they are subordinate one vnto the other, and so may both joyne in the concurrence of one cause: as when our Saujour saith, Call no Mat. 23.9. man Father upon earth, for there is but one, your Father which is in heaven: his meaning is not to exclude earthly Fathers from their title, but to shew that God is the primer and principall Father, both in respect of time, order, and cause, and that the other are but subordinate vnto him: so in a Common-wealth the Judge is subordinate vnto the law, and the law is the Judges Judge: and for that cause, as the Law is said to be a dumbe Magistrate, so the Magistrate is said to be a speaking Lam: and so in truth the Law is the Judge primarily, and principally, and the Magistrate is but the Minister of the law, and the Judge subordinate. Now if this be so in a Common-wealth gouerned by humane Lawes, which are failing and imperfect in many things, being the ordinances of erring men, how much more may we deeme it to be so in the Church of God, whose Law-giuer is God himselfe, and the law the word of God? and therefore, though the Pastors

and Ministers of the Church may interpret the Scriptures, yet they must be tyed to this rule, to doe it by the Scriptures, and to expound the law by the law: for shall not a temporall Iudge give sentence out of his owne braine, but secundum leges of statuta, according to the lawer and statutes of the Realme? And shall any Passour of the Church, be it the Pope himselfe, give iudgement in any question out of his owne brest, without the direction of Gods word? This is to preferre humane lawes before Gods law: and to make the state of the Church farre inseriour to the state politike: and to have a more certaine rule for the deciding of civill controversies, then for the determining of questions of faith: so that in a word, the Scripture is both the law and the interpreter of the Law, the Iudge, and the Iudgement.

Beili. de verbo Deili.4.ca.12.

16. Secondly, Bellarmine affirmeth and laboureth to proue that the proper and chiese end of the Scripture was not to be the rule of faith, but that it might be commonitorium quoddam viile, A certaine profitable commonitory, whereby the doctrine delinered by word of mouth, might be conserved and nours shed. And to this end and purpose, he vseth divers reasons, as first, because it containes in it many things which are not necessary to faith, as all the Histories of the Olde Testament, and many of the New, and the salutations in the Epistles of the Apostles; all which were not therefore committed to writing, because they were necessary to be beleeved, but are therefore necessarily beleeved, because they are written. Secondly, because all things necessary to be believed are not contained in the Scripture, as by what meanes women under the law were clensed from originall sinne, wanting circumcision, and children that dyed before the eight day, and many Gentiles that were faued: againe, which are the books of Canonicall Scripture, and that these are Canonicall, and those are not : that the Virgin Marie was a perpetuall virgin, that the Passeouer is to be kept voon the Sunday being the Lords day, and that children of beleeuing Parents are to bee baptized, and fuch like. Thirdly, because the Scripture is not one continued body, as a rule should bee, but containeth divers workes, Histories, Sermons. Sermons, Prophecies, Verses, and Epistes. These be his three reasons, by which the Jesuite would enince, that the Scripture

is nor given to this end, to be the rule of faith.

17. To all which I will answere briefly, and distinctly, and first in generall, secondly in particular : In generall, if the Scripture be not giuen to be the rule of faith, why is it called Canonicall? It is therefore called Canonicall, because it containes the Canon, that is, the rule of faith and life : this very inscription approued by all, doth refute Bellarmines fond cauillation. Againe, if the Scripture was not given to bee the rule, but onely a monitorie, why were there so many Bookes written, seeing sewer would have serued for monition? The multiplicity of Bookes proueth, that they serue not onely to put vs in mind of our duty, but also as an exact rule to square our faith, and frame our life by. And lastly, if the Scripture was not given to be a rule, why doth he himselfe confesse afterward that it is indeed a rule, but not a total and entire rule, but a partiall and impersectione? If it bee any waies a rule, then it was given by God, and written by the men of God, to that end to be the rule. And so Bellarmines goodly reasons hang together like a ficke mans dreame, the one part wheref ouerthroweth the other.

18. But to answere in particular to them seuerally: To the first, I say, that it is not farre from blasphemy to affirme, that there is any thing in holy Scripture that is unnecessary; for though all things are not of equal necessity and profit, yet there is nothing in the whole Booke of God, from the beginning of Gen. to the end of the Renel. but may have most profitable and necessary vse in the Church of God, if not for the essential forme of faith, yet for the adorning and beautifying of it: and this may truely bee verified, euen of those things which he excepteth against, to wit, the Histories of the Olde and New Testament, and the salutations in the Epistles of the Apostles: out of all which, how many excellent doctrines may be derived both for the confirmation of faith, and edification of manners! And therefore as in mans body, God by nature hath not disposed all parts to be alike necessary, but

K 4

forme

fome have no other vse but ornament and comelinesse: so hath Almighty God mingled the parts of holy Scripture in that manner, that some are as it were bones and snews to our faith, some sless hand bloud, and some againe but exteriour beautie and sashion: yet as in nature nothing is made in vaine, so much lesse in Scripture is there any thing to be accounted superfluous and redundant: nay, in this divine body, there are no excrements that may be cast out and separated, as it fareth in our carthly carkases, but all is entire, sound and perfect, as the Prophet David teacheth, Psal. 19.7. when hee saith, that the Law of God is perfect, converting the soule: and our Saviour, Math. 5.18. when he avoucheth, that till heaven and earth perish, one inte or title of the Law shall not & c.

Pfal.19.7.

Math. 5.18.

Pfal.19.7. Deut.4.2. Gal.1.8.

2.Tim.3.16.17. Ioh.5.39. Ephef.2.19.20. Rom.15.4.

Tertul. de præ-

feript.

Iran aduers.
beref.lib 3.c.1.

Basil.Ethic. Leg.80. 19. To his second reason I answere three things: first, that it is entirely false, that the Scripture doth not contayne all things necessarily required to the Essence of faith; for if the Scripture be persect, and giveth wisedome to the simple; if nothing may be added to it, nor taken from it; if to teach any thing, besides the Scripture, deserveth the seareful Anathema; if it be able to make the man of God persect to every good worke; if in them onely wee may finde etern all life; if the Church of God be built upon the soundation of the Prophets, and Apostles: and lastly, if our faith and hope doe arise from the Scriptures, then there is nothing necessary to saluation, but is sully and plenarily contained in them: but the first is true, as appeareth by all those testimonies before alledged, and therefore the latter must by necessary consequence be true also.

20. Secondly, Ianswere, that Bellarmine by that affertion crosseth the whole streame of the Fathers, for most of them assime the state contrary. Tertullian saith, that when we once believe the Gospell: Hoc prim credimus non esse quod vitra credere debemus: This we believe first, that there is nothing besides which we ought to believe. Iraneus saith, that the Apostles committed to writing the Gospell which they preached, Fundamentum & co-tumnam sidei nestra shurum, To be the soundation, and pillar of our saith. Basil saith, Quicquid extra divinam scripturam est, cum ex side non sit, peecatum est. What socur is beside the holy

Scrip-

Scripture, because it is not of faith, is sinne: Cyrill saith, that all Cyril. in Ish. those things were written in holy Scripture, which the Writers lib.12.cap.68. thought sufficient, Tam ad mores quam ad dogmata, As well touching conucrsation, as doctrine. Augustine saith, that those Augustin 10things were chosen out to be written, Que saluti credentium suf\_ han.tract.49. ficere videbantur, Which seemed sufficient for the saluation of them that beleeve. And againe, he faith in another place, Whether concerning Christ, or concerning the Church of Christ, or Aug.contr.lit. concerning any thing that pertaineth to our faith or life: we will Petil.l.4.c.6. not say if me, but if an Angeli from heaven shall preach unto you. but what ye have received in the Scriptures of the Law, and the Gospell, let him be accursed. Chrysostome south, Siquis corum: Chrysost. de If any of them who are said to have the holy Ghost, doe speake any sanct. et adoran. thing of bimselfe, and not out of the Gospell, beleeve it not. I erome spir. speaking of an opinion touching the death of Zacharias, the Hier in Mat. 23. father of Iohn Baptist, saith, Hoc quia ex Scripturis non habet authoritatem, This, because it bath not authority, out of the Scriptures is as easily contemned as approved. I supersede for breuity fake, the residue of the Fathers, who with full consent conspire in the same opinion: yea, not onely the Fathers, but many also of their owne most learned Authors: as Thomas Aqui- Aquin.lec.x is nas, Antoninus, Durandus, Peresius, Clinques, and divers others: 1. Tim.6. by all which we may see how little reckoning Bellarmine maketh of the ancient Fathers: where they make for him, hee magnifieth and exalteth them to the skies, but when they are opposite to him, he reie eteth themas droffe, and the like ac- Peref, derat. count he maketh of his owne Doctors.

21. Lastly, I answere, that of those things which he affir- cling.loc.lib.3. meth, not to be contayned in holy Scripture, and yetto be of necessity of beliefe, some of them are farre from either neceffity of profit, as that of the meanes whereby women vnder the Law were purged from originall sinne: and how the Gentiles were partakers of the couenant, having not the Sacrament; and that Easter is to be celebrated upon the Lords day: If these things be of that necessity of beliefe, which hee maketh them, how many thousand then have sinned greatly, in being ignorant thereof? for at this day not the hundreth

Anton. sum part. 3.tit.18.c.3.\$.3 Durand. prafat. in Sent. con.lib.z.c.19. cap.19.p.198.

Euseb.lib.5.
cap.25.
Sozom.lib.7.
cap.19.

part of Christians ever heard these things once named, and yet by this ignorance they neither offended God, nor hindered their owne saluation. And what shall we thinke of Iraneus, and other godly Bishops in the East, that held that Easter was not to bee celebrated ever upon the Lords day? Againe, the other things nominated by him, as that the books of the sacred Bible, are the Canonicall Scripture, and the word of the living God: that the children of beleeving parents are to be baptized: that Christ descended into hell, may easily be proved out of Scripture, either by expresse testimonie, or by necessarie consequence and deduction, which is all one; for, Perinde sunt easy are ex Scripturis colligiantur, at gea qua scribuntur, &c. saith Nazianzene.

Nazian.deTheol.lib.5.

αγροφον, εγγραφονο

Theophil in 3.
cap.ad Philip.

Varinus.

22. Thirdly, being driven by the power of truth, to acknowledge the Scripture to be a rule, he commeth in with a leaden distinction: to wit, that is not a totall, but a partiall rule, and that the word of God written, and not written (by this last meaning traditions) is the totall and perfect rule. To this I answere in a word, that by this distinction, he plainely ouerturneth that which before hee had confessed : for if it bee the rule of faith, then it must needes be totall and persect, if it be not totall and perfect, then is it not the rule: for a rule must be proportioned to the thing, whereunto it is applied. If then our faith be either longer and larger then the Scripture, then cannot the Scripture bee any wayes called the rule thereof. Besides, as Theophilast saith, Regula et amussis neg; appositionem habet, neg; ablationem: A tule doth neither admit addition nor diminution; and that is the definition of a rule according to Varinus. Regula est mensura que non fallit, queq; nullam vel additionem vel detractionem admittit. A rule is (saith hee) a measure which deceineth not, and which admitteth no addition nor detraction. Therefore if it be the rule of faith, either it is persect, and absolute, or none at all: if it standeth in neede of traditions to supply it want, then why doth hee call it the rule, and why doe all the Fathers give it the same name? and why hath it that inscription in the forehead, the Canonicall Scripture? Laftly, if God would give vs a rule for our faith

and life in the Scripture, then by the same reason hee would make that a perfect rule: for, shall any imperfect thing proceede from the authour of all perfection? When an imperfect creature is borne, wanting either limmes or forme, we ascribe it to a defect and errour in the particular nature, from whence the creature is derived, or to the indisposition of the instrumentall causes, not to the generall nature which tendeth alwaies ynto perfection. How much more then ought this Iesuite be afraid to ascribe an imperfect creature, to the all-perfeet Creatour? especially seeing it is the worke of his owne hands, without the intermingling of all second causes, and proceedeth immediately from his owne spirit, the Prophets and Apostles, being but as Baruch to Ieremie, writers and engroffers of that which the spirit did dictate vine them? And therefore I may boldly and firmely conclude, that as the vncreated word of God, begotten of the Father before all time, is perfect God, and can neither receive augmentation nor diminution: so the word of God, pronounced first by the mouth of the Prophets and Apossles, and after by them committed to writing, which is called the Scripture, is absolute and perfect, and can neither be encreased nor diminished, to make it more or lesse perfect, and so is the onely true sound and sacred Rule, whereby both our Faith and life is to be directed towards the Kingdome of Heauen.

23. And thus I hope, the first proposition remaineth sound MINOR. and firme, notwithstanding all that can be sayd to the contrary. Now I come to the confirmation of the assumption or secondproposition, which is, that the Religion of the Church of Rome refuseth to be tryed and judged by the Scriptures alone, and will be tried and judged by none but it selfe: which if it be euicted, then the conclusion must necessarily follow, that therefore it is not onely to be suspected, but vitterly reie-

Aed and abhorred.

24. That this is so, though it bath already in the precedent discourse beene sufficiently demonstrated, yet that the matter may appeare more plaine, and their impudency may be more notorious, let vs fearch deeper into this wound, and discouer she

the filthine se thereof from the very bottome : and first that they renounce the Scripture from being their Iudge, and then in the second place, that they admit of no other ludge but themselues.

25. Concerning the first, let vs heare Bellarmine the A. chilles of Rome, speake foremost, hee affirmeth in expresse words, that the Scripture is not the rule of faith, or if it be, that it is a partiall and imperfect rule, and otterly insufficient of it selfe, without the helpe of Ecclesiasticall traditions. This affertion is well-neere the whole matter subject of his third and fourth Bookes De verbo Dei, which he laboureth to strengthen by all meanes possible: Yea, in the third Chapter of his third Booke, he faith peremptorily, that the Pope with a Councill is the Indge of the true sense of the Scripture, & all contronersies. Now, in fetting vp the Pope or a Councill into the supreme throne of Iudgement, he must needes pull downe the Scripture, & the Spirit of God speaking therein from that throne, and despoyle it of that authority. But what need I draw this consequence from his words, seeing throughout that whole Chapter he doth almost nothing else but strive to prove that the Scripture is not the Judge, & doth reproue the Protesfats for faying that all the judgements of the Fathers, and all the decrees of Councils ought to be examined, ad amussim Scrip. turarum, according to the rule of the Scriptures? Next vnto Bellarmine, commeth in Gregory de Valentia, and hee most boldly avoucheth, that the Scripture is not a sufficient Indge orrule of all controuersies of faith: and that the Scripture alone defineth nothing at all, no not obscurely of the chiefe questions of faith: and where it doth speake, it speaketh so obscurely, that it doth not resolue, but rather increase the doubt. Cardinall Hosius is no whit lesse audacious, when he affirmeth, that the Scripture in it selfe is not the true and expresse word of Goa, which we ought to obey, unlesse it bee expounded according to the sense and consent of the Catholike (that is in his opinion the Romane) Church. The Iesuites Salmeron, Turrian, and Coster, doe not onely barely affirme as much, but also confirme it by reason. The Scripture is dumbe (faith Salmeron) but the deciding voyce

of

Bell.de verbo Dei, li.z .ca.z.

Greg. de Val. li.5 in Anal.c.2.63

Hof. to. 2. aduer . Brent, Proleg.

Sal.com.in.cpift. Paul.in Gen. Tur.contr.Sade. coft. Ench.de Sum. Pontif.

of a Indge must be quicke. The Scripture is a dead letter (saith Turrian) and a thing without life (faith Coster) but a Indge must be living, who may correct such as erre: therfore that Scripture cannot be the ludge. It is as it were a Nose of max (faith Melchior Canus) flexible into every sense, and as it were, a can.loc.li.3.c.2. Delphian Sword, fit for all purposes (saith Turrian) therefore Turri.vt supra. cannot be the ludge. And therefore two other lesuites, to wit, Tanner and Gretzer impudently conclude, that no here- coll, Ratish. sie can be sufficiently refuted by Scripture alone, and that by no meanes it may be graunted, that either the holy Scripture, or the Holy Ghoft speaking by the Scripture, should be the supreme and generall Judge of Controuersies: and hee addes his reason, because the Scripture cannot dicere sententiam, gine sentence on one side, as a ludge should doe. Nay, one Vitus Miletus (as Pelareus reporteth) is not ashamed to say, that wee read, that an Affe spoke in the Scripture, but that the Scripture it selfe euer spoke, we neuer read. And thus this fellow makes the Scripture it selfe to be more mute then Balaams Affe, and the holy Spirit leffe able to make that speake, then an Angell was to make an Affe to speake. Then which, what could be brayed out more like the beast he speaketh of?

26. But some may say, All these are but private mens opinions: we heare not all this while the determination of the Church. Let vs harken therefore to the voyce of the Church couching this poynt, that is, as they hold of the Councill, or rather Conventicle of Romish Bishops, assembled together at Trent, which they call the Church representative. The second Canon of the second decree in thy fourth Session of that cone. Trid.fef.4. Councill doth thus determine, Let no man trusting to his decret. 2. can. 2. owne wisedome, dare to interpret the Scripture after his owne prinate sense, or contrary to that sense which our holy Mother the Church holdeth, or contrary to the unanimous consent of the Fashers. The former part of this Canon is good and found; for Saint Peter faith, that no Scripture is of prinate interpretation: 2. Pet.1.20. and therefore they which wrest the Scriptures to their owne fenses, contrary to the intent and scope of them, are guilty of a grieuous sinne before God, and doe it to their owne destru-

Pelarg. Fesuit.

ction:

Etion: for Optimus scriptura letter est, qui dictorum intellettum

Hilar.de tri. l.x.

non attulerit, sed retulerit exscriptura, (saich Hil.) that is, He is the best reader of the Scripture, which doth not bring a sense to the Scripture, but draweth it out of the Scripture. Besides, the middle and end of the Canon is not to bee misliked, if they haue a fauourable interpretation; for the judgement of the Fathers is greatly to be regarded, and the authority of the Church is to be held in especiall reuerence: but for all this, latet anguis in herba, vnder these faire pretences of words is couched a snake of foule errour : for first, they tye the gift of interpretation of Scripture, and of decision of controuersies, to the Chaire of Peter seated at Rome, and possessed by the Pope. Peters successour, as they call him, or to the Chaire of Bishops affembled together in a Councill, as in Noahs Arke: whereas Saint Paulsaith plainely, speaking of the gift of interpretation, These things workethone and the same Spirit, distributing to enery man senerally, as he will. And in another place, that the spiritual man discerneth all things, and therefore the Scriptures. Now, by the spiritual man, the Apostle meaneth the man regenerate and fanctified by the Spirit, as it appeareth by that he opposeth him to the natural man, in the verse going before: and so the gift of discerning and interpreting is not proper to the Chaire of Bishops.

1.Cor. 12.11.

r.Cor. 2.15.

27. Secondly, this Canon doth not onely give vnto the Church, thus conceived of them, the onely gift of interpretation, but also a Prætorian and vnexaminable authority in interpreting; so that all which they deliver out of their Chaires, must bee received peremptorily without examining the grounds and reasons, for which they are mooued to be of that judgement: which Tyrannicall vsurpation, is both contrary to the expresse precepts and principles of holy Scripture, and also to the doctrine and practice of all the ancient Fathers: for the scripture bids to try all things, of to hold that which is good. And Paul refused not to have his doctrine examined of the men of Berau, by the Scripture: & the same Apost directeth vs how to behaue our felues at the time of prophecying, namely, that two or three Prophets speake, & the other indge. All which

places

1. Thef. 5.21.

Acts 17. 11.

1.Cor.14.29 .

places are flatopposite to that peremptory obtruding of interpretations vpon the Church, which the Canon speaketh of : & Aug. de doctrin, fo are all the Fathers in generall; for in prescribing certaine rules to all men, both of vnderstanding and interpreting the Scriptures, they plainely shew that there is not this absolute authority, nor infallibility in any, to obtrude what interpre- Bafil de spir. Sa. tation soeuer, without contradiction or examination.

28. Lastly, the Canon in giving this indefinite power of cyr.in Joh, kb.8. interpretation, and determination of doubts to the Church, without any relation had to the Scripture, doth vtterly infile out the Scripture from being the Judge. And so Andradius the interpretour of this Councill doth expound the intend- Andred, ment thereof, when he saith, that the indgement of the Church is, Principium vitra quod non sit fas in inquisitione progredi, Aprinciple, beyond the which it is not lawfull to proceede in inquifition. By which he giveth to vuderstand, that our faith must relye wholly and folcly vpon the judgement of the Church, that is, the Pope and his Prelates, without enquirie at all into the word of God, whether that which they propound be consonant to the truth or no. As Erasmus in a certaine disputation against the Papists, confesseth, that their opinion hath not fure & certain testimonies of Scripture, but that the centrary opinion may be better & more clerely & strongly proued out of Gods word; notwithstanding (saith he) if the Church bid, I will beleeve it, for I will captinate my understanding to the obedience of the Church. And this indeed is the Babylonian servitude of the church of Rome, wherby they fetter the fouls of their followers to perpetual flauery, and lead the blindfold under the veile of an implicite faith vnto perdition: for this is the first ground they lay in the hearts of all their generation, that they must not examine the doctrine of the Church, but take it at their hands as good coyne, though it be neuer fo counterfeit, do-Etrina in Concilys definita custodieda est, non examinanda, (saith Bellarmine) that doctrine which is defined in a Council, is to be kept, not examined : and ordinarius pastor Ecclesia andiendus eft, non indicandus (saith Stapleton) an ordinary Pastor of stap, de doctrine the Church is to be heard, not judged : thus we fee, that the prin, li, I. ca. 5. Scripture

Christian. Orig.hom.17.in Hıl.de trin. li.4. cap.1.

Erasex Chem. exam.pa.66

Bell. de verbo Dei, li.3.6,10.

farre

Scripture is thrust cleane out of dores, from having any right or title in the decision of questions of faith: not onely by pri-

uate men, but euen by their Church it selfe.

29. Now here two things are to be observed of vs, for the plainer enucleation and clearing of this poynt : first, that in making the Scripture Iudge, we doe not exclude the Church, nor any member of the Church from the office of judging and discerning, onely we place them in their due order and ranke: for this is it we intend, that the Scripture is the highest and most absolute Judge, from the sentence whereof there is no appeale to be made to any higher Court: and that the iudgement & determination of the Church, or of any member therof, is subordinate vnto that, and to be ruled and guided by that, and where it is agreeable vnto that, there to be received, where it swarueth from that, to be rejected. For as in the ciuill estate, the Judges deputed to that office, have no absolute authority in themselves, but are subject vnto the lawe, and the Ministers thereof, and therefore must not speake what they lift, but what the law directeth: fo in the flate Ecclefiaflicall, they that are inferiour Judges, are but the Ministers of the law of God, and must not vary from the rule, thereof in any respect. And for this cause, as the Iewes were commanded to obey the sentence and determination of the Priest in all controuerfies, so the Priest was commanded to give judgement according to the law, and no otherwise: and albeit the Hebrew gloffe vponthat Text teacheth, that if the Priest say that the right hand is the left, or the left is the right, his sentence is to be bolden, (which is the plaine doctrine of the Church of Rome, Iudaizing in this as in many other things) yet Lyra writing vpon that Text faith, that the gloffe is manifestly falle, because the sentence of no man, of what authority socuer, isto be holden, if it be contrary to the law of God: so we admit the Church to be Iudge, and euery private Christian also in his place, but we ascribe the chiefe power and authority of Iudging to the Scripture alone. The next place we allow vn-

to the Church, and the lowest vnto the particular members thereof: These last to be directed by the Church, but yet so

Deut.17.10,11

Lyra on Deu.17

farre as it bringeth it authority out of the Scriptures, and it to be limited by the bounds of the Scripture also: and if it indge against the euidence thereof, not to bee heard nor believed. This is our opinion, that wee may not be mistaken: but our adversaries advance their Church vnto the highest place, and make the Scripture an inferiour vasfall, and servant vnto it, as I have declared.

30. Secondly, note the reason that moueth them, thus to disclaime from the judgement of the Scripture: it is because they know full well, that the maynest and chiefest poynts of their Religion, wherein they differet from vs, have no ground nor foundation in the Scripture, but would vanish like a morning mist, if the light of Gods word should but shine vpon' them: as for instance, their doctrines of worshipping Images, of fasting dayes, of prayer for the dead, of Purgatorie, of shrift, of pardons, of the communion in one kinde, of fingle life, and of the private Maffe, and fuch like: all which poynts and many other, their owne Writers confesse, cannot be sufficiently proued out of the Scripture. And therefore Andradius doth fully and ingenuously acknowledge, that many poynts of their Religion would reele and stagger, if they were not supported by tradition: and Bellarmine himselfe saith, that it may be doubted whether the great poynt of transubstantiation may be sufficiently enforced out of the mords of the Text, Hoc est corpus meum: So that wee see now the reason why they will not be tried by the Scriptures, even this, because if the Scripture bee Judge, Popery must needes goe to wracke. This is ther fore a cunning and witty policie, or rather a groffe and palpable subtilty of theirs, whereby though they dazle the fight of the simple and ignorant, yet they cannot bleare the eyes of the vaderstanding and wise, from discerning into their fraud.

31. Hauing thus proued, that they reiect the Scripture, now I come to shew, that they allow of no other Judges but themselves: for the proofe whereof, there needes no long discourse, seeing it is sufficiently apparent, by that which hath already beene delivered, that they appeale from the sen-

Andrad.Orthod. explic.lib.2.

Bel.de Euchar.
lib.3 cap.23.
How contrary
is this to that
of S. Augustine,
our faith shuld
reele and torter, if the authority of the
Scripturestand
not tast, Aug.de
dott. Chris.lib.1.
cap.23.

Eristo mot.12.in marg. Rhem, Annot.in Rom.

Aquin.22.q.11. art. Greg.de Valent, Anal.fid.p.136,

Concil.Constant. Ses. 4.5. Basilsess.2.

Bell.de concil.li.

Silu. sum. verb.

tence of the Scripture vnto the judgement of the Church, and tye vnto the girdle thereof, the onely key of interpretation. Now by the Church, they intend first the Romish Synagogue, that is, all that whole body which dependeth your the Pope for their head, and receive as it were life, and nourishment by his influence: for (as Bristo saith) the Romane Church is the Catholike Church, and as the Rhemists, the Catholike and the Roman faith is all one. Secondly, by the Church, they meane more particularly a congregation of Romish Bishops and Prelates affembled together in a Councill, which they call the Church représentative. And thirdly and principally, they intend by the Church, the Pope, who is the head of the Church, and contayneth in him virtually all the power and authority of the Church. The Church in the first sense, is not to be this Iudge (fay they) nor yet in the second: which notwithstanding, is but an upstart opinion, and but of the first head: for in the Councils of Constance and Bafil, it was decreed, that the Pope should obey the Councill, and be ordered by it in all things pertayning to faith, and the reformation of the Church: and many learned Romanists haue been of the same opinion, as Bellarmine consesseth: but now neither may the Councill be Judge: therefore take the Church in the third sense for the Pope, and then you have the man that is the Church virtuall, and must be all in all, even the only Judge and Vmpier in all controuerfies. The center in which all the lines, that is, opinions of Fathers, Councils, and Diuines must concurre and meete. The Epitome and abridgement of the whole Church, in whom alone remayneth the whole power of the Catholike Church. And thus from the Scripture, they call vs to the Church, from the Church to the Couneils, and from them to the Pope, and there they pitch their line, as in the highest poynt of resolution.

32. That they thus vnderstand by the Church, the Pope, and that all indgement is devolved vnto him alone, heare them speake in their owne persons. Bellarmine saith, that the Pope without a Councill may define matters of faith, because being the universall Pastor, and Teacher of the Church, he cannot

Belt de Christoli.

erre, teaching out of the chaire: and that he is absolutely above the Councill, and that he may, as he is the chiefe Prince of the Church, retract the judgement of the Councill, and not follow the greater part. And therefore, when hee offirmeth in another place, that the Pope with a Councill, is the Iudge of the true sense of the Scripture; he foysteth in the word Councill for a flourish, but indeede, hee meaneth the Pope alone: for if the Pope be about all Councils, and may establish or disanull their decrees at his pleasure, then is not hee with a

Councill, but without a Councill the chiefe Iudge.

33. Gregory of Valence is more plaine By the Church (faith he) we meane her head: that is to say, the Romane Bishop, in whom resideth the full authority of the Church: the Icsuite Coster, after he hath discarded the Scripture from being Judge, because it is, Res sine anima & sensu, in varias pugnantes g sententias distracta: A thing without life and sense, distracted into diuers and contrary opinions, faith, that Penes Ecclefia Cathelica est indicium veritatis, The judgement of the truth is belonging to the Catholike Church, but because the whole Church cannot meete together in one place, without great inconueniences. Therefore God hath appoynted and nominated one man, to wit, the Pope, to whom he hath so tyed his presence and spirituall grace, that in questions of faith he doth never erre. Gret- collog. Rat. Sef. s zer faith, that the generall, lawfull, and ordinarie Indge of controugrsies, is the Bishop of Rome, whether bee define any thing alone, or with a Generall Councill, this ludge is always infallible. Stapleton saith, that the foundation of our Religion is placed of Staplet. prasat. necessitie upon the authority of this mans teaching, in whom wee beare God himselfespeaking. And another of them faith, Sito. tus mundus sententiaret contra Papam, If the whole world should determine against the Pope, yet we must stand to his sentence. To conclude, the Canon Law faith, that it were herefie to thinke, that our Lord God the Pope might not decree as hee doth, yea, that his rescripts and decretall Epistles are not Canonicall Scriptare.

Idem de concil. lib. 2. cap. 17. Jaem cap. 18.

Idem de Verbo Dei, li. 3. ca. 3.

Greg de Valent. difp. Theol.tom. I dis.1.9.1.p.1.6 Anal.fid. p.136.

Coft. Enchir.de Sum. Pontif.

princip.fid.do... Ioh de Turr .li. 3.

In Sext. extrau. Ioh.22. tit. 14. & dift. 19.in Canon & gloff.

34. Thus we see, the Pope is that which they meane by the Church: and he is the onely compendious ludge: and there-

Extrau in Ioh. 22.0 Job. Capift.de Pap.et Eccles. authorit.

fore when they talke of the Church, it is but a vayne vaunt: for when all comes to all, they entend nothing by the Church, but their Lord God the Pope, as the Canoniffs call him: who is ens secunda intentionis compositum ex Deo & homine, Abeing of the second intention, compounded of God and man, and quasi Deus in terris, &c. as it were a God vpon earth, greater then man, and lesse then God, having the fulnesse of somer. Now by this that hath beene faid, the truth of my second proposition doth euidently appeare; to wit, that the Romanists will allow no other Judges in matter of controversie, but themselves alone, and so give instause to all that are not blinded with errour, at least to suspect their Religion, if not veterly to abandonit, which is the conclusion necessarily following

vpon these premises.

35. Which, that it is of most necessarie consequence, appeareth by this, because it is against all reason, that the same should be both the party and the Judge: yea, in equity is it fit, that we should stand to his judgement, whom we accuse to be afalufier of the Scripture, and even Antichrist himselfe? or that that Church should bee our Church, which wee affirme and proue to be an Apostate, and an harlot? seeing that a Judge should be indifferent and unpartiall, and not a party: as the Church and Pope of Rome is in all cases of controuersie depending betwixt them and vs; as for example in the controuerste of the Church, the question being which is the true Church. The Iudge to determine thereof, we fay, is the Scripture: they cry, The Church, meaning their owne Church, as I have shewed. Doe they not by their doctrine advance themselues into the tribunail seare, and make their Church the Judge, whether it beethe Church or no? fo in the question touching the Popes Supremacy, who shall be Judge whether this supreme power be in the Pope or no? Mary the Pope himselfe, for they admit no other ludge. Sure he must needes gaine the cause, when hee is thus his owne Judge. If this bee notaplaine tergiuersztion, I know not what is: if this doth not bewray the weakenesse of their cause, let any indifferent man confider and give sentence.

36, For

26. For as on our side in the question of the Kings Supremacie, whether euery King in his owne dominion bee the fupreme Gouernour of the Church vnder Christ or no: if wee should in this case admit no Judge, but the King himselfe: Or in the question of our Church, whether wee be the true Church of Christior no: if wee should refuse all other triall. faue that which ariseth from the judgement of our owne Church, and the Bishops and Prelates thereof; would not all men laugh at our folly, and thinke our cause weake and des. perate? So may all men thinke of the Romish Religion, that it bewrayeth manifest folly in the maintayners, and apparent weakenesse in the grounds thereof, in that it will not beciudged but by it selfe, especially seeing it is the property of selfeloue, whereof no man liuing is freed, to make men blinde in their owne causes, and partiall on their owne sides. To conclude therefore, as the Lion in Elope, that challenged to himfelfe the whole prey that was caught, and would not fland to the equall partition of his fellow-hunters, proved himfelfe thereby to be a tyrant, and his title naught : fo the Pope of Rome, and his Proctours, in refusing to be judged by any faue themselves, and by that right clayming a title to the truth, discouereth both his tyrannie over the Church of God, and the holy Scriptures, and the badnesse of his weake cause; feeing truth like a chaste matrone, though it be slandered, yet is so bold and powerfull, that it feareth not to bee tried by those that are the greatest enemies thereof.

Spectatum admissirisum teneatis amici?

## MOTIVE, VI.

That Religion doth instly deserve to bee suspected, which doth purposely disgrace the sacred Scriptures: But such is the Religion of the Church of Rome: Ergo, &c.

Or Aduersaries may sitly be likened to churlish and angrie Massifes, whose property it is to rend with their teeth those that are vnarmed, and not able to resist; but if they meet with an armed man, that can keepe them off and L 2 entertaine

entertaine them with sharpe blowes, then they wreak all their teene vpon the cudgell or weapon wherewith they are annoved: so they seeing themselves well banged and beaten by our men at Armes, I meane, our Champions that defend the quarrell of our Church, with the staffe of the Scripture, and their hairy scalpes wounded with the stones fetcht out of Dauids scrip, fall a snarling and biting the staffe, and the stones which have beene the instruments of their forrow; whereas if they finde any without a staffe in his hand, or a stone in his fling, that is vnfurn shed with Scripture to fight with them, ouer him they domineere, & take h'm captine, and leade him to their denne for a prey. This their malice against the sacred Scripture, which is the only engine of their destruction, I hope by Gods fauourable affiftance so to discouer in this Chapter, ther they themselves shall ever bee reputed as blasphemers of the truth, and their religion as odious and abominable to all posterity.

MAIOR.

2. The Major or first proposition in this demonstration, though it bee of an vindoubted truth, yet for the greater illu-Aration thereof, two poynts are to be considered : first, what this Scripture is, which is opposed against: and secondly, what they are to be esteemed which oppose themselves vnto the Scripture. The Scripture contained in the Olde and new Testament, is, in a word, the holy and sacred word of the eternall God : which to have said of it, is an ascription of the greatest dignitie vnto it, as can bee deuised : for if it bee the holy and facred word of the eternall God, then must it needs be perfect, excellent, pure, vpright, cleane, permanent, wife, sweet, and what else may be spoken for the setting forth of the excellency of a thing: all which attributes are given vnto it by the Prophet David, in the 19. Psalme, and doe necesfarily appertaine vnto it, being immediately derined from that cleare and sole sountaine of all goodnesse and persection: For howfocuer the holy Prophets were the penne-men thereof; yet those were all and in every parcell and particle inspired by the Holy Ghost, as Saint Peter informeth vs.

Pfal. 19.

2. Pet. 1.20.11. when hee faid, That no Prophecie in the Scripture is of any prinate motion, but that boly men of God spake as they were mooned

by the holy Ghost. For as the heathen Oracles were conceived. and vetered by the immediate infligation of the Deuill, who guides both the hearts and tongues of his Priests, to bee the instruments of his malice: So the Oracles of Christians, to wir, the holy Scriptures, proceeded from the facred inspiration of Gods Spirit, mouing the hearts, and directing the pens of the Prophets & Apostles his Secretaries, to commit to writing that only which they received from God, both in respect of matter and manner. To this purpose is that notable saying of Hugo. In the holy Scripture, what soener is taught, is truth: Hugo de anima. what soener is commanded, is goodnesse: what soener is promised, is happinesse: And he addes the reason; Because God is truth. without deceit; goodnesse, without malice; and happinesse, with-

out mifery.

3. I need not stand to prooue this position, That the Scripture is the infallible word of the eternall God: it is a grounded truth, and a received principle of all that professe themselues to be Christians. And as Saint Basil saith, Like as of e- Basil in Psa. 115 uery Science there are aregelasus ras apxas, unquestionable Principles, which are beleeved without further demonstration: fo in the Science of Sciences, Theologie. This is one of those vn. questionable principles, that the Scripture is the word of God, and therefore of divine both purity and authority. Adde hereunto, that if any should doubt thereof, the purity and perfection of the matter, the maieftie and the stateline se of the file, the power and efficacy ouer the conscience, the certaintie of Prophesies sulfilled in the duenesse of time, the Arangenesse of the miracles, the antiquity of the writings before all other, the admirable providence of God in preserving them from the teeth of time, and rage of Tyrants, the sweet harmony & consent of every part with each other, the judgements of God against the contemners therof, and lastly, the bloud of so many thousand Martyrs which hath beene shed in the defence thereof, doe sufficiently contince and proue, that this Booke is the Booke of GOD, and every line and title therein, the Word of God.

4. This being so, then secondly it must needs follow, that

breuity.

either to denie the Scripture to bee the Word of God, or to abuse it withvnreuerent termes and teproches, or any wayes to diminish the credit and authority thereof, is not onely plaine blasphemy, but also open and notorious Atheisme; and so in both high treason against the Maiestie of God : for, if it be treason to vse contumelious speeches against the Kings person, or either by word to reuile, or by deede to refish his decrees and proclamations: how much more doth that deferue the name of the highest treason, when the facred word of God, which is a divine Law, issuing from his owne mouth, is blasphemed, and the maiestie of God most clearely shining, therein abused? It is an olde and a true saying in civility, Qui contemnit legem, contemnit Regem: He that despiseth the Law, deshiseth the law-giner. So much more then in Divinitie, hee that reprocheth the word of God, reprocheth God himselse. How can they then bee lesse than Atheists, Blaschemours, and Traytours to God, that are guilty of all this iniurie to the holy Scripture?

5. Celjus, against whom Origen wrote, and Lucian, and Porphery, and Apelles, were Whelpes of this Litter; and therefore remaine to this day branded with the note of infamy; to these succeeded many others in after-ages (for the world hath neuer beene without such monsters, Godpermitting them for the further demonstration of his truth, and declaration of his infliudgement in their deserved and strange destruction:) yea, that which is most strange, many of those that have younredthemselues for Christs Vicars here on earth, haue beene taynted with this infection, as Pope Leo the tenth, who (as Writers report) mocked at the promises and threats of the Scripture, and told Cardinall Bembus, that that fable of Christ had brought unto him and his, great profit. Such another was Ichnthe twelsth, who vsed to blaspheme God, and call vpon the deuill at his dice; and Inlies the third, who asked why be should not bee as angry for the eating of a colde Peacock, as God was for the eating of an Apple ? And Benedict the eight, alias the ninth, whose custome was in Woods and Mountaines, to facrifice to the deuill: and divers others, which for

Balaissa

Euit.grand.li.6. Mascuul.tempors Stellas Platinas

breuity sake I forbeare to name. Is it possible that such Athielts, and blasphemous wretches, and worshippers of deuils, should be chosen of Christobe his Vicars here on earth, to whom hee might commit the gouernment of his Church? Will a mortall man committhe gouernment of his family, especially if he loueth his wife and children, to a knowne Ruffin and a notorious villaine? Now Christ so loueth his family, his Church, that to purchase and redeeme it, hee gaue his owne pretious bloud, for a ransome for it: and will hee now ordaine in his roome such notorious Wolues, to beethe ministeriall heads and guides thereof? As for the rest of the Popish crue, both learned and vnlearned, though they bee, as I must needes confesse, for the most part, more infected with fuperstition, then with Atheisme, (albeit neuer did any Country more swarme with that generation, then doth Italy at this day) yet in blaspheming and debasing the holy Scripture, they cannot be farre from, not onely giving way, and opening a wide dore to that horrible finne, but also from making an open profession thereof.

6. Thus we see both what the Scripture is, and also what they are that oppose against the Scripture: which two considerations serue much for the clearing of the first proposition. Now I come to the confirmation of the affumption or second MINOR. proposition, which every Romanist will denie in this argument, and therefore stands in neede of stronger fortification: the proposition is this, that the Religion of the Church of Rome doth purposely disgrace the holy Scriptures, and is at enmity with it: that is, that both by doctrine, practice, and bitter and blasphemous speeches, the holy Scripture is disgraced, defaced, and vilely flandered by the chiefe professours and maintayners of that Religion, yea, and by the grounds of the Religion it selfe. I will begin with their doctrine, and fecondly come to their practice, and in the last place their

flanderous and bitter speeches shall be discouered.

7. Among it many of their doctrines, whereby they offer open injurie and wrong to the sacred Scriptures, these source are the most principall: First, that which hath beene at large discour-

discoursed in the former Chapter, touching the chiefe Iudge of controuerfies: for, when as they disable the Scripture from that office, and exalt the Church, that is, the Pope, as I have shewed, into the highest throne of judgement, what doe they else but debase the Scripture, in subjecting it to the Popes wil, and making it a vaffall to wayt vpon his pleafure, and giving a greater certainty and infallibility to the determinations of his mouth, speaking out of his chayre, then vnto the infallible and certaine light of truth, shining in the Scriptures ? This is open wrong to the Scriptures, and not onely to it, but also to the Spirit of God, the Author and Enditer thereof; for, they which let up the Pope as an all-sufficient and most competent ludge, and pull downe the Scripture as non-sufficient and incompetent, as the Romanilts doe, doe they not aduance the one, and difgrace the other? as on the contrary, we which ascribe all competencie of right, and sufficiencie of power to the Scripture, and denie the same to the Pope, doe we not difference him, and advance it? This is the difference in this poynt betwixt them and vs, and their Religion and ours: and that men may see how little estimation they have of the Scripture compared with their Pope, though the Pope be a man veterly vnlettered, & ignorant even of the grounds of Grammar, much more of the grounds of Diuinitie, as some of them were: though he be a childe of tenne yeeres of age, as Bennet the ninth, or a mad Lad not past eighteene yeeres old. as John the twelfth; though he be an Atheift, as was Leo the tenth, or a Conjurer, as Iulius the third: Laftly, though hee were a man destayned with all manner of filthy and lewd conversation, as a number of them were: yet his judgement must bee heard and preferred, because (forsooth) quatenus Papa, as he is Pope, he cannot erre, though quatenus homo, as he is a man, hee be an Heretike, or an Atheist, or a wicked wretch: or because Papa est doctor vering, legis anthoritate, non scientia. The Pope is Doctour of both lawes in authority, and not in knowledge: And thus by their Religion, the holy and facred Scripture must give place, and bow the knee to an ynholy, facrilegious, and ignorant Pope oftentimes, and acknowledge

Baron.
Glaber. Rodulph.
Spondan. Annal.
Ecclef. an. 1033.
Balæus.

If quaterns homo, he goe to hell, what will his quaterns Papa doe him good? Baldus. knowledgehim as Judge, and submit it selfe to his sentence and censure.

8. The fecond doctrine of theirs, whereby they difgrace and wrong the Scripture, is that touching the insufficiency and imperfection thereof : for they are not ashamed to fav that the Scripture is imperfect and vnsufficient of it selfe, and that-in it are not contained all things needfull to faluation, but that a great part, yea, the greatest part of true Religion, is grounded vpon tradition, without the which the Church of GOD could not bee sufficiently instructed, either in faith or manners : this is their goodly doctrine : whereas we on the other fide hold and maintaine, that the Canonicall Scripture containeth in it sufficiently, plainely, and abundantly all do-Arines necessary to be knowne, for the attainment of saluation, whether they be politions of faith, or directions for godlineffe: and that there is no neede of any vnwritten traditions, for the suppliance of any want or defect which is found therein. And herein we have not onely all the ancient Fathers of the primitiue and purer times of the Church our Abbetsers, as Iraneus, Origen, Athanasius, Basil, Chrysosteme, Cyril, Tertullian, Cyprian, Augustine, Hierome, as you may see in the places quoted in the Margent: but also the restimony of the Holy Ghost in the Scriptures, plainely and directly affirming the same.

9. That this imputation of imperfection and insufficiency is layd by them vpon the Scripture, let vs heare themselues acting their owne parts : and first Bellarmine the Ringleader. He in his fourth Booke De verbo Dei, and fourth Chapter, fets downe this position, that the Scriptures without traditions are not simply necessary nor sufficient : and throughout that whole Chapter doth nothing else but labour to prooue the same by many arguments and reasons, as if hee were not content barely to afficme so high a blasphemy, but euen (as the Poet fayth) Cumratione infanire, To be madde with reason: and so are all his reasons there vsed, in very deed mad reasons, which my purpose is not to spend time in consuting, (that being sufficiently performed by our great and learned Champions of Terent, Eunuch.

Iran.li.z.ca.z. Orig.hom. I. in Ler.com.in Rom. ca.3. bom. 25.in Mat. Athan.con.Gen. & ido. d in/yn. Basil de confes. fid. & Ep. 80. ad Euftath. Chr) fo. hom.1, in Mat. bom, 3.in 2 Ep.ad Thef. &c. Cyr.li.12.in Iuh. cap. 68. Tert.con. Hermo. Cypr. Epi. 74. ad Pomp. Aug. de doctrin. Christ sa. 9. 6 li I.cont.lit.Petil. cap. 6. Hier.com.in Ep. adtit. ca. I. 6 comin ca.1. Hag. Bell.de verbo Dei,11.4.ca.4.

whitaker. Chemnit. MertcB.

the truth, which as yet remaine vnanswered : onely it is inough for my intent to discouer to all men his notable blasphemy against the holy Scriptures, which not onely in that place, but in many other, euidently and impudently sheweth it selfe.

Greg.de Valent. Anal.fid.1,8.c.6

10. Next vnto him comes in another great Iesuite, Grego-

Holius confest. Petric.ca.92.

Eckius Encbir. 6ap. 4.

coft. Ench. ca.I.

rie de Valentia, and he playeth his part, and fayth, That the most fittest way of delinering the doctrine of faith to the Church. was this, not that all should bee committed to writing, but that some things should be delinered viua voce, that is, by tradition. But Cardinall Hosius more plainly and boldly affirmeth, That the greatest part of the Gospell is come to vs by tradition, and that very title of it is committed to writing: Yea, it is reported of him, that he should say, Melius actum fuisse cum Ecclesia, si nullum extaret scriptum Euangelium, That it had beene better for the Church, if there were no written Gospellextant. O blasphemy! and yet wifely spoken, if so be by the Church, hee meaneth the Church of Rome, as without doubt hee doth. But let vs heare another of the same stampe Eckim, I meane that peremptory Bragadochio, he steps forth, and shoots his bolt in a moment, The Luther ans are dolts (sayth hee) which will have nothing beleeved but that which is expresse Scripture, or can be produed out of Scripture: for all things are not delinered manifestly in the Scriptures, but very many are left to the determination of the Church, Coster another Stage-player of theirs comes in, and divides the word into three parts, to wit, That which God himselfewrit, as the tables of the Law; that which he commanded others to write, as the Olde and the New Testament: und that which he neither writ himselfe, nor rehearsed to others. but left it to themselves as traditions, the decrees of Popes, and Councils. And then he concludes h blasphemously, that many things of faith are manting in the two former, neither would Christ have his Church depend upon them, but this latter is the test scripture, the ludge of controversies, the Expositor of the Bible, and that whereupon we must wholly depend. His words are these, Omnia sidei mysteria, caterag; credita & scitu necessaria, in corde Ecclesia sunt clarissime exarata in membranis tamentam

noui quam veteris Testamenti multa desiderantur: thatis, All the mysteries of faith, and other things necessary to bee beleened and known, are most clearely engraven in the heart of the Church. but in the leanes of the Olde and New Testament, many things are manting. What can be more plaine? Yet Lindanus is more plaine, for he calleth Traditionem non scriptam, &c. The vn. Lindan panopl, written tradition, that Homericall moly which preserveth the lib. 2. cap.5. Christian faith against the inchantments of Heretikes, and the true touch-stone of true & false doctrine, and the Aiacean buckler to be opposed to all Heretikes, and in conclusion, the very foundation of faith. To this fellow adioyne Melchior Canus as a copanion in blafphemy, who faith, That many things belong to Chri- Canus loc.lib.3: stian faith, which are contained in the Scripture, neither openly cap. 3. nor obscurely. To conclude all in one summe, without any further repetition of private mens opinions, wherein much time might be spent, the voyce of their whole Church represented in the Councill of Trent, is this, That traditions are to bee re. concil. Triden, ceaned, paripietate, with the same renerence and affection, wher- Seff.4. with wee receive the Scripture it selfe. Thus wee have a view of the doctrine of the Church of Rome, touching the insufficiency of the holy Scripture, both in part and whole. Out of all which, these two impious conclusions doe necessarily arise: First, that traditions vn written are equall, if not superiour in dignity and authority to the written word of God: and fecondly, that without the helpe of them, it is not able to bring ys either to a fauing faith in this life, or to the end of our faith in the life to come : then both which, what could be spoken more injurious either to the Word it felf, or to the Maiestie of that Spirit, from whom it proceeded? And that their blasphemy might be knowne to all men, Bellarmine, more like a Iuli- Bell deverbe anthen a Christian, doth not onely affirme the Scripture to Dei, 14. c.4. be vulufficient and imperfect, but also not simply necessary, and to that end he maketh a good round discourse, and bringeth in long Leaden arguments, which indeed are not worth the answering, for they are meere sophisticall collusions, as any one of meane judgement may easily discerne. Neuerthelesse, by this we may see, what an honourable opinion and affection these

these sellowes beare towards the Scripture, when as they dare to affirme that they are not simply necessary, but may bee wanting and removed without any great hurt to the Church of God.

3.

12. The third iniurious doctrine, whereby open disgrace is offered to the holy Scripture, is concerning the authority thereof, compared with the Church: for this they teach and hold, That the authority of the Scripture doth depend upon the Church, and not the Church upon the Scripture. And so by consequent, that the Scripture is inferiour to the Church, and not the Church to the Scripture: whereas we on the contrary affirme and defend, that the Church wholly dependent both for authoritie and existency upon the Scripture, and so is every way inferiour to the Scripture, and not the Scripture upon the Church.

Canus loc. lib. 2.

Stapl.doct.princ.

rinc.

Nilves de caus.

12. This blasphemie of theirs may more euidently be difcerned, if we observe what they understand by the Church, to wit, not the Primitiue Church, which was in the time and immediately after the Apossles, but the succeeding and present Church : and that not the whole Catholicke Church, which is dispersed over the world, but the Church of Rome, which holdeth vpon the Pope as the Vicar of Christ: and in this Church, not the whole body, but the Pastours and Prelates assembled in a Councill: yea, and lastly, not the Councill neither, but the Pope, who is totus intoto, all in all, and in whome all the members meete, and resolue themselues as lines in the center, as is before declared. This is their Church: and to this Church of theirs they subject the Scriptures, euen the word of God to the Pope of Rome, that is, God himselfe to a mortall sinnesull man. For as Nilus the Archbishop of Thessalonica saith, To accuse the Scripture, is to accuse God, so to debase the Scripture, is to debase God.

14. That wee may see this to be true, and that wee lay no falle imputation to their charge, heare them speake in their owne words, and let Bellarmine leade the Ring, If me take away (saith he) the authoritie of the present Church, and of the Councill of Trent, then the whole Christian faith may bee called

Bell.de effect.sacram.li.2. ca.25.

diff. lib. 1.

in question: for the truth of all ancient Councils, and of all poynts of Omnium Concifaith depend upon the authority of the present Church of Rome. liorum, & doz-Marke, he faith not vpon the authority of the Scripture, but of matu firmitas. the present church of Rome; where he doth manifestly preserve the authority of the Church, before the Scripture, & not onely of the Church, but of the Church of Rome, as if there were no Church but that: and not the Church of Rome; as it was, in the purer and primer times, but the present Church corrupted, and depraued with infinite errours. Againe, in another place he concludeth, That the Scriptures doe depend upon Bell.li. 4. de not. the (hurch, and not the Church on the Scriptures: which pofition he confesseth in the same place, to have beene in other places maintained by him. And yet elsewhere he disclaimeth this opinion as none of theirs, and calleth it a blasphemy: that author.li-2.c.12. it is his, I have shewed already, though he be ashamed of it as he may well be, and therefore ex ore suo, by his owne judgement, he, and all the rest are guilty of most grosse and intolerable blasphemie. But that you may see that it is the generall receiued doctrine of them all, for the most part; heare others as well as him, vetering their spleene against the Scriptures. Siluester Prierias faith, that Indulgences are warranted unto vs not by the authority of the Scripture, but by the authority of Luther. conclus. the Church, and Pope of Rome, which is greater. And againe, de poteft. Papa. That the Scripture draweth it strength and authority from the Church and Bishop of Rome. Eckius saith, that the Scripture was not authentical, but by the authority of the Church: and putteth this proposition among hereticall assertions, The authority of the Scripture is greater then the Church. Pighim also affirmeth the same, that all the authoritie of Scriptures doth neces-Sarily depend upon the authority of the Church: and calleth all that hold the contrary in scorne, Scriptuary, that is Scripturcmen, or such as maintaine the Scripture. Cardinall Hofius goeth further, and commendeth a blasphemous speech of one Hermannus, as a godly saying, That the Scriptures are of no more force then Asops Fables, without the testimonie of the Church: and addeth presently of his owne, that vnlesse the Churches authority did commend unto us the Canonicall Scrip-

Eccles, ca.2.

Idem de concil.

Echius Ench.de author. Eccles. resp.3.ad obiett. Heret. Pighius de Hier. Ecclef.li. 1. ca. 2.

Hof.lib.z.deanther . Scriptur.

ture,

coclaus replicat.
cont.Bul.c.2.
canus.loc.l.2.
c.3.
Staplet.Doctrin.

c.3.
Staplet.Doctrin.
Priacip.l.9 c.1.
Andrad.defenf.
Trid,Concil l.3.
Canif.catech.
tap.3 felf.16.
Bel. de Concil.
lib.2.cap.12.
Andrad.
Staplet.

Mulhusin. Resp. ad Paraum, de cript. author. thes. 33.

Sacrobosc.def. Bell.par.1.c.6.

4.

Michael Medin.
Christian.paren.
lib.7.cap.17.
Abbas Panorm.
extra. de diuort.
cap.sin.
Felm.de constitut cap. statut.
sanon.

ture, it should bee of little account with vs. The like is deliuered by Coclaus, by Canus, Stapleton, Andradius, Canifius, and generally all other of that side that handle that question.

15. Onely to palliate the matter, they bring in a diffinction, to wit, that this dependance of the Scriptures authority vpon the Church is, quoad nos, in respect of us, not queadse, in respelt of it selfe, and declaratine, for declaration sake, not effectine, as the cause thereof : which distinction first implieth a contradiction, for the authority of a thing is quoad extra, in respect of others, not quoad intra, in respect of it selfe, that is, rather to be termed dignitie and excellencie, then authority: fecondly; that being granted, yet it importeth a faishoode in them, and conclude th directly our purpose, for by it the last resolution of our faith should not bee into the Scripture, but into the authority of the Church, which is contrary both to truth, and to their owne principles. For why doe they attribute that infallible authority to the Church, but because the Scripture faith fo, as they themselves acknowledge? And then to affirme that the Church is of greater authority in respect of vs, is sufficient to enince, that in respect of vs, they preferre the Church before the Scripture. What is this, but to offer open injury and disgrace to the holy Scripture, especially, seeing a lesuite of their own is bold to say, that a man may mordicus tenere, and propugnare acerrime, strongly hold, & stout. ly maintaine a dostrine contrary to the word of God, and yet bee no Heretike, unlesse the opposite to that opinion, be defined by the Church in his time?

16. The fourth and last doctrine whereby they offer iniurie to the Scripture, is this, That the Pope may dispense with the Law of God. This the Popes vassals do not onely affirme, but even confirme and avouch. For thus they teach, Potestas in divinas leges ordinarie in Romano Pontifice residet, Power over the lames of God remaineth ordinarily in the Pope of Rome: and that the Pope may dispense against the Apostles, yea, against the new Testament vpon great cause, and also against all the procepts of the olde Testament. The reason whereby they confirme this brave doctrine, is this, that where the reason of the law saileth,

there

there the Pope may dispense, but the reason of the law always faileth, where he judgeth it to faile, (for speaking definitively, he cannot erre) therefore the Pope may dispense with the precepts of the Olde & New Testament, where, and when he list. Now, what can be more injurious to the Scripture then this? for first, they set the Pope aboue the scriptures, because he that taketh vpon him to dispense with the law of another, challengeth to himselfe a greater authority then the other, according as their owne rule is, In pracepto superioris non debet dispensare inferior, The inferiour may not dispense with the comman-tit, 22, ca.6. dement of the superiour. Secondly, they equal him to God himselfe, for whereas there is no exception nor exemption from the law of God: but this Nisi deus aliter voluerit, Except God otherwise appoynt, they instead thereof put in this exception, Nisi Papa aliter voluerit. And lastly, they make the law of God a maimed & an imperfect law, in that (as their divinity is) it cannot give sufficient direction to mans life, for practice of duties and auoyding of finnes, in all cases, without the Popes dispensation, and the interpolition of his superwise authority.

17. From their iniurious doctrines, let vs come to their malicious practice against the Scripture, that both by their precepts and practice, their enmity to the Scriptures may fully appeare. First therefore, whereas the language wherein the Scriptures were originally written, is indeed the true Scriptures, because that is the immediate dialect of the holy Ghoft, and the translations of it into other tongues, are no farther to bee regarded then as they agree with the originall; yet the Church of Rome, in the Councill of Trent, bath canonized Conc. Trident. the vulgar Latine about the Hebrew and Greeke, and hath Seff.4. enjoyned it onely to be vied in all readings, disputations, fermons, and expolitions, and not to be rejected vnder any pretence whatsoeuer, vpon paine of Anathema, Yea, Bellarmine, Bell.de verbo with the rest of that crue, accuse the Greeke and Hebrew, of Dei, lib.2. many corruptions, and inflifie the vulgar Latine about them, as most free from corruptions: whereas not with standing, for one corruption which they would faine fasten vpon them,

Anton.par.3.

there

Lind.de opt.gen. interp.lib.3.

Molin in I. Tho. page 399. Poff. Bibl. felect. lib.3.ca.6.

Pollibid. Sixt.Senen.Bibl. Sanot.li.8.p.318

there are to be found twenty in this, and that by the confession of many learned of their owne fide.

18. Besides, those corruptions which are supposed to be in the originals, are either none at all, as may easily be prooued. and is already sufficiently by our learned Divines: or else fuch as are not of that weight to derogate from the perfection of the Scripture in things pertaining to faith and good manners; as Possenine and Sixtus Senensis confesse, or at least are but errours of the Writers, which no Booke is free from. growing either from humane infirmity, or from the mistaking of the letters in the Greeke, and prickes in the Hebrew, which last is but a late invention of the Massorites, and no essentiall part of the Text: whereas on the contrary, the errours which are extant in the vulgar Latine, are many of them contrary to the grounds of faith: as that one for all, in the third of Genes, where the Latine readeth, ipfa conteret caput tuum, the shall bruife thy head: which they apply vnto the Virgin Marie, being in the originall ipfe hu, and in the Septuagint aut G, that is, Hee, understanding Christ our Sauiour. Here wec see a fundamentall poynt of faith overthrowne, not onely in accommodating a Prophecy of Christ vnto the Virgin, his mother, but also in ascribing vnto her the worke of our Redeption, fignified by the bruifing of the Serpents head. And as in this, fo in many other places, which I willingly for breuitie sake overpasse. And yet for all this, by their doctrine and practice, their Latine Translation is onely authenticall. Yea, so impudent is a Bishop of theirs, that setting forth the Bible in divers Languages, he placeth the vulgar Latine betwixt the Hebrew and the Greeke, as Christ betwixt two theenes, as blasphemously he speaketh. This is therefore a notable injurious practice of theirs against the Scripture.

F.Simon.Bibl. complat in prolo.

> 19. To which adde a second, no whit inferiour to the former, which it their forbidding the Scripture to bee translated into the mother tongue of euery Nation, to the end that it may be to the common people as a Booke fealed vp, and that they might not reade, nor be exercised therein. This prohibition is both contrary to the practice of all the Saints of God, both vnden

2.

under the Law and the Gospell, for it was their daily exercise to meditate vpon the Law of God continually, and to fearch the Scriptures, whether those things which they heard were fo or no, and to the plaine precept of Christ, and the Apostle Pfal.r. . bidding vs to search the Scriptures, and to have the word of God to dwell plentiously in vs: and to the doctrine of all the ancient Fathers, who with one consent exhort and perswade to the diligent reading of them, as may appeare by the places quoted in the margent: And beside, is most iniurious to the Scriptures themselues: for to restraine a common good to a particular vie, is an open wrong to the good it felfe, which the more common it is, the better it is, and the lesse common, the leffe good; for bonum est sui diffusiuum, good inclineth naturally to spreade it selfe, and therfore the restriction thereof is violence and force offered to the nature of it, and truth cannot abide to bee imprisoned, but loueth liberty. This is true in all naturall, good, and true things, but much more in this supernaturall good, and truth, which as Origene well noteth, was not written for a few, as Platoes Bookes were, but for the people and multitude, yea, for the veriest Ideots, and women, and children, as the Fathers affirme.

20. And yet these presumptuous Romanists forbid the reading of the Scripture among the people; one of them affirming. That it was the deuils invention to permit the people to reade the Bible: Another, That he knew certaine men to be possessed of the denill, because being but Husband-men, they were able to discourse of the Scriptures : All teaching, that it is the ground of Herefie, and that Lay men are no better then Hogs and Dogs: and therefore these precious pearles notto be committed vnto them, and that the Scripture to a Lay man is as a sword in a mad mans, or a knife in a Childes hand. Thus they practife to imprison the Scriptures within the Priests cells, or Monkes cloysters, which were given by God, to be the light of the world: and yet (which is to be noted) in Queene Maries bloudy and blinde daies, such as could difpend a certaine summe of mony by the yeare, might reade the Bible, without any speciall dispensation; as if heresie builded

Deut. 6.7.8.9. & 17.18.19. Acts 17.11. Iohn 5. 39. Col. 2.16. Origen in Mat. Nazian. hom. 4. dom. Epiphan. Ciril contra Iul lib.7. Hier. in Pfal.86 Chryso.hom.5. in Laz. & g.in Epi. ad Col. & 2. in Mat. & 10. in Iohn. Lactan. Inft.1.6. cap.21. I fidor. li. I. de lum.bon. Fulgent.ser.de confess. Anselm. com. in Ephes. 6. August, de doct. Christ. li.z.ca.5. Origen ut supra-Peres. de tradit. page 44. Thyrræ. de dæm. ca. 21. Thef 257 Hosius de expres. verbe Dei.

her nest rather in the brest of the poore man, then of the rich, or, as if the rich were lesse carnall then the poore: and thus these saucy sellowes handle the facted Scripture at their pleafure, being rightly to be branded with the name of Heretikes, whom Epiphanius generally calleth Lucifuga, because they cannot abide the light of the Scriptures, but fly from them as Owles and Bats from the light.

21. Another practice of theirs is against the sense of the Scripture, as the two former were against the letter, that neither the body nor the soule thereof might be left vnuiolated: and this is in respect of the learned, to bar them up from controuling their errours, as the other were in respect of the simple, to keepe them from once looking into them. Their policy in this, is to interdict all fenfes and expolitions of the Scripture, faue fuch as agree with the Church of Rome, and are allowed by the Pope of Rome: this is the interdiction of the Councill of Trent, and is grounded upon a falle interpretation of that article of our faith, Ibeleene the Catholike Church:

conc. Trid. Sef. 4

Staplides. Eccle. potest.aduers. Whit.li.I.C.g. Hosius de expreso verbo dei.

Sum. Sylneft. verb. fides,nu.z. Staplet. præfat.

Henri mag facr. galat. adlegat. Bohem: Landin, S. Angeli edlegat. Bobem.

for, as Stapleton saith, The literall sense of that article is, that thou beleenest what soener the Catholike Church holdeth and teacheth. And Cardinall Hosius, If any man have the interpretation of the Church of Rome, though he know not whether and how it agreeth with the words of the scripture, not with standing he hath Ipffsimum verbum Dei. Now, by the Catholike Church they meane the Romane Church, or rather the Romane Bishop, as I have shewed: for as Silvester layth, The power of the Catholike Church remaineth onely in him. And as Stapleton, The Doer. princifid. foundation of our Religion is of necessity placed upon the authority of this mans teaching; and therfore one faith, that the Pope may change the Gospell, and give to it according to place and time, another sense: Yea, a blasphemous Cardinallis bold to say, That if a man did not believe that Christ is very God and Man, and the Pope thought the same, he should not be condemned. This

> is a tricke passing all other, whereby they not onely make sure worke with the Scripture, that it neuer doe them hurt, but also fashion the sacred and divine sense thereof, vnto their fond and foolish fancies, and make it speake, not what the

> > Holy

Holy Ghost intendeth, but what they imagine. Nay; they are Cusan.ep. 2.3.7. so impudent as to say, That the Scripture is fitted to the time, and variably understood, the sease thereof being one while this, and another while that, according as it pleaseth the Church to change her indgement. Can there be a greater disgrace to the Seripture then this is?

22. Adde to these yet another deuice which is far worse then all the rest, & that is a grosse and palpable wringing and wresting out of the holy Scripture, a sense contrary to the true intendment of the place, & fitting it strangely to their own purpole. This is a practice of theirs fo comon, as that their Books swarme with nothing so much as such fond and foolish interpretations, and so ridiculous withall, that it would make euen Heraclitus himselfe to laugh, if he were aliue. I wil here report some few of these strange wrested Expositions, that the Reader may have a tafte of them, and fo judge of the whole caske.

23. And to beginne at the beginning of the Bible, Genef. 1. 16. It is written, Godcreated two great Lights, the greater to rule the day, and the leffer to rule the night: that is ( faith In- Innocent. 3. ed nocentius the third, one of their owne Popes: And also Molina the Iesuite, God ordained in the Firmament of the Catholike Church two dignities, to wit, the Pontificiall dignitie, and the Regall: But that to gouerne the day, that is, the Spiritualty, and is the greater: and this to rule the night, that is the Carnalty, and is the leffer; so that how great difference is betwixt the Sunne, and the Moone, so great is there betwixt the Bishop of Rome, and a King, that is, according to the Glosse vpon the same place, seuen and sistie times. So in the 3. Glossin ca. selit. of Genesis, whereas the words of the Text are plaine, Hee shall de major, breake thy head, or tread upon thy head, which is the first and principall promise of the Messiah, they contrary both to the Hebrew, and Septuagint, translate and expound it, Ipfa, She Shall: applying vnto the Virgin Mary, that which properly belongeth vnto Christ, euen the worke of our Redemption. And this interpretation and translation of that place is approued by the Councill of Trent, in approuing the vulgar Latine Conc. Trident. Bible for authenticall : and by Bellarmine also, who callethit Bellarm.

4.

Const. Imper cap. sulit. de maior. Molin.tem.1.dc Iuft or iure.

a great mysterie, that in the Hebrew, a verbe of the Masculine gender, is is yned with a Nowne of the faminine; to signifie, that a woman should breake the serpents bead, but not by her selfe, but by her sonne: and is also so translated by our Doway Translatours in English.

Naueler Gen. 40,

Gennad in cenf. orient.annot.in sap.13.fett.6. Girolam. Bardi. Witter. Naual. impres.anno 3584.

Turrian.cont.

Sadeel.pag.14.

25. But yet heare them which are more Arange and ridiculous: In the 28.0f Esay, 16. verse wee read, Behold, I will lay in Sion a stone, a tried stone, a precious corner stone, a sure founda-

Emy 28.16.

24. So againe, that place in the Pfalme, Pfal. 91.13. Thou shalt walke upon the Aspe and the Cockatrice, and shalt tread vponthe Lion and the Dragon: Pope Alexander the third interpreted it of himselfe, and the Emperour, applying the promise made to Christ principally, and in him to all the Elect. vnto himselfe as Pope, and vnderstanding by the Aspe and Cockatrice, Lyon and Dragon, the Emperour Frederick, vpon whose necke hee set his foote, ving those words, and all other Kings and Emperours: and to proue that he so vnderfood the place, when as the Emperor disdayning this pride, made answere, Not to thee, but to Peter: the holy Father treading on his necke, replied; Etmibi & Petro, Both to mee and to Peter. Which storie, though it bee branded by Baronius with the marke of a fable, yet it is auouched by a full Iurie of witnesses, and especially two, Gennadius the Patriarke of Constantinople, and a Venetian Historian that lived about that time: which last onely differeth in the Popes alledging of the Text, for he makes the Pope, to fay, not in the second person, thou: but ambulabe, I will walke you the Lion and the Adder. Againe, they interpret that place of Esay 49.23. They shall worship towards the face of the earth, and licke the dust of thy feete, as a Prophecie of the Popes sublimitie. For, faith Turrian the Iesuite, Where is this verified, but in the kissing of the feete of the Bishop of Rome? and yet who knoweth not, that this is nothing else, but a manifest predi-Etion of the glory of the Church, and the conversion and fubication of Kings and Princes to the Religion of Christ? What a wresting of Scripture call you this? Are not these Arange interpretations?

foundation. This all know, being taught by the interpretation of S. Peter, 1. Pet. 2.6. is to be understood of Christ only and none other; yet Bellarmine understands by this tried precious corner stone, not Christ, but Peter, that is, as he faith, Sedes Romana, The Roman Sea. Againe, we read, Iere. 26.14. Behold, Icr. 26.14. I am in your hands, doe with mee as you thinke good and right. This Text Bonauenture alledgeth, to proue, that Christ is in the Priests hands at the Masse, as a Prisoner, not to bee let goe, till he haue payd his ransome, that is, till he haue given remission of sinhes, contrary to the manifest sense of the place, Hosea I.II. We read, that the shildren of Indah and Israelshall be gathered together, and appoint themselves one head, answerable to that, loh. 10.16. There shall be one fold and one Ioh. 10.16. shepheard, which places properly appertayning to Christ and his Church, are ordinarily and blasphemously alledged, to prove, that the Pope is the head of the Church. Againe, Cant. 5.11. His head is as fine gold. And Cant. 7.5. Thy head is like Pon. lib.1. the mount Carmel. One of which is the speech of the Church to Christ, and the other of Christ to the Church : but Bellarmine interprets the first to be spoken Christ, and the second of the Pope. These be his words: The Bridegrome compareth the bead of his Spoule to mount Carmel, because though the Pope be a great mountaine, yet he is nothing but earth, that is, a man: and the Bride compareth the Bridegromes bead to the best gold, because the head of Christ is God.

26. But let vs come a little to the new Testament: are they any thing more shie and cautelous in this then in the olde? Heare and then judge. Matth. 28.18. our Saujour faith to his Disciples, All power is given unto me in heaven and earth. This in the booke of Ceremonies, is expounded of the Pope; and also by Stephen the Archbishop of Patauy, in the Councill of Laterane, Luc. 22.28. the Apostles say vnto Christ, Behold two swords: and he answered, It is sufficient. By this place of Scripture, Beniface the eighth challenged to himselfe both temporall and ecclesiasticall authority, because Christ said twosmords were sufficient; and bade Peter not cast away one of them, but put it vp into the sheath. This expo-

1.Pet 2.6. Bel.præfat.de Rom. Pontif.

Bonauent. in expost.miffe cap.4

Hofear.II.

Iob. de Par. de potest. Reg. & Pap.cap.3. Bel.de Rom. cap.g.

Bel.ibid.

Lib. Ceremon. tit.7. Concil. Later. Sub Leon. Decim. Luk.22.38.

Bel de Rom. Pontif.l.s.c.7. Molin.lib.1.de
iure. traff.2.dif.
29.
Balb.lib.de coronat.

Math.17.24.

Roffen. in Art.
art.25.
Act.10.13.
Bel. de Rom.
Pont.lib.1.cap.
19.
Baron.Epif.ad
Papam.
Roffen.affert.
Luther.confut.
cap.17.
B.Pet.4.8.
Pro.10.12.

Esay 4.4.& 9.
18.
Mal.3.3.
Zachar.9.11.
Phil.2.10.
Apoc.5.3.

Cel.de Purgat.

fition flat contrary to the meaning of the Text, was not only devised by a Pope, but also approued by Bellarmine, and Molina the Iesuite, and Balbus with divers others, though (I confeffe) rejected by Stella, Maldonate, and Arias Montanus. But what are thefe to a Pope that cannot erre, and to fuch an Emminent Cardinall as Bellarmine is? So likewise, they expound that Text, Matth. 17.24. Solue prote & me, Pay for thee and me: To fignifie, that Christs family hath two heads, to wit, Christ and Peter, because they two onely payd, and that Peter was chiefe ouer the rest of the Apostles, because none of the rest payd: as if paying of tribute was a figne of preeminence, and not rather of subjection, as Iansenius expounds it. So Baronius alledgeth that of Act. 10.13. Arife, Peter, kill and eate, to proue the Popes power to excommunicate the Venetians. Kill, that is, excommunicate, and eate, that is, bring them to the obedience of the Church of Rome. This is goodly stuffe indeede: sure they stand in neede of arguments to proue their cause, that are driven to these filly thists. So our Country-man Fisher, to proue iustification by workes, alledgeth that Text of S. Peter, 1. Pet. 4.8. Lone conereth the multitude of sinnes, which he expounds thus, that love expiateth and purgeth away the guilt of our finnes in the fight of God, contrary to the direct sense of the holy Ghost, Pro.10.12.

27. It is a wonder to see, how both Bellarmine and all the Patrones of Purgatory wring and wrest the Scripture, to vaderprop the Popes Kitchin: The Scripture cannot name fire and purging, but presently there is Purgatory, as Esay 4.4. and 9.18. Mal.3.3. nor a lake where there is no water, but there is Purgatory, as Zachar. 9.11. nor things vader the earth, Phil.2.10. Apoc. 5.3. but there is Purgatory: and yet they themselves confesse, that they know not whether it be vader the earth or no, because the Church hath not yet defined where it is. And Bellarmine bringeth in eight divers opinions, touching the place of Purgatory: but two of their expositions touching Purgatory, I cannot overpasse, less I should deprive the Reader of matter of laughter in the midst

of this ferious discourse, and them of commendation of wit; for they are witty about measure: the one is, Mar. 13.34. where it is faid in a Parable, that a certaine man going into a strange Country, leaveth his house, and giveth authority to his servants, and commandeth the Porter to watch. This mangoing into a strange Country, signifieth the soule (say they) which by death departeth out of this world: his leaning authority with his fernants, signifieth, that he commandeth his executors. to procure with his goods the prayers & suffrages of the Church, whereby he may be freed from Purgatory: hee commandeth the Porter to watch, that is, he giveth part of his goods to his Paftor, that he may diligently sacrifice for him by saying Masse. Who can doubt of Purgatory, that is thus authentically proued? The second place is in the 8. Psalme 7. Thou hast put all things Psal. 8.7. under his feete, fowles of the agre, that is (say they) the Angels in heaven, beafts of the field, that is, the godly in this life, and fish of the Sea, that is, the soules in Purgatory. Here is a proofe of

Purgatory worthy the noting.

28. And thus much for a taste of their false and foolish expositions; these being not the hundreth part of them, which are found in their writings. Let all men judge now, whether these men deale well with the Scriptures or no, and whether they be friends or enemies to the facred word of God, & the Spirit of God that animateth it, that dare thus wretchedly abuse it at their pleasures, and wring it like a nose of waxe, into any shape to make it serue their purpose. Erasmus placeth that Frier in the Ship of fooles, that being asked what Morie. Text he had in the Scripture, for the putting of Heretikes to death, produced that of S. Paul, Tit. 2.10. Haretieum hominem Tit, 3.10. post unam aut alteram admonitionem deuita, that is in true con-Aruing, Shunne an Heretike after the first or second admonition: but he construed it thus, De vita supple tolle, that is, Kill an Heretike after, &c. This fellow by Erasmus opinion, was worthy of a Garland, or rather of a Cockscomb for his witty exposition: and so was he also, that being asked where hee found the Virgin Mary in the olde Testament, answered, In the first of Genefis, in this Text: Deus vocauit congregatio-

Mark 13.34. Chemnit exam. de Purga.p.130

Eraf.encom.

nem aquarum Maria. But I must not be so sawcy with Popes and Cardinalls. Liudge them not therefore, but leaue them

to the judgement of God.

29. Their last practice against the Scriptures, is their adding to and detracting from it at their pleasure, whatsoever either distasteth their Pallace, or may seeme to make for their profit: which notwithstanding hath a wo denounced against it. And this practice is grounded vpon a rule, Papa potest tollere ius dininum exparte, non intotum, The Pope may take away (say Fel.de maior. & they) the lawe of God in part, but not in whole; and if hee may take away, then may he adde also: for the same reason is of both, and one is as lawfull as the other; for adding, marke their practice, the Councill of Trent, together with most of the Popish Doctours, addevnto the Canon of the Scripture, the Apocrypha Bookes of Indith, Wisedome, Tobias, Ecclesiasticus, Machabees, temainders of Ester, and Daniel: and curse all them that are not of the same minde : and yet the Iemes before Christ, who were the onely Church of God at that time, and Scriniarij Christianorum, as Tertullian calls them, or depositary & custodes eloquiorum Dei, as Tollet the Iesuite names them, that is, The keepers and treasurers of the holy Scriptures, and to whome were committed the Oracles

> of God, Rom. 3. 2. These Iemes, I say, neuer admitted of these Books as Canonical, and the Fathers for the most part, though they held them Bookes profitable for instruction of manners, yet dispunged them out of the Canon, as not of sufficient authority to proue any poynts of faith, as is confessed by Bellar-

mine himselfe, in some fort, naming Epiphanius, Hilarius, Ruf-

finus, and Hierom, and by Melchior Canus, nominating be-

sides the former, Melito, Origen, Damascene, Athanasius,

accompanied with many other Divines, as he faith: and befides, the Bookes themselves, by many pregnant proofes derived out of their owne fides, doe bewray that they are not of

Conc. Trid. Sef.4.

Deut. 4.2.

obed. e. fin.

Reuel.22,18.

Bell, de verbo Dei, li.1.ca.10.

Tertullian.

Tollet com. in Rom. 3.2.

Bell.de verbo € 20.

Dei,li.I.cap.10. Canus lo.l. 2.c.10

Ioh. Bale in vita Dift. 19.cap.in Canonicis Rubric.

the same spirit the Canonicali Scripture is of. 30. Againe, they adde to the Scriptures their Decretals and Traditions. Innocentius the third, commanded the Canon of the Masse to be held equall to the words of the Gospell, and

it is in one of their Bookes, Inter Canonicas Scripturas decretales Epistola connumerantur, (chat is) The Decretall Epistles are numbred among these Canonicall Scriptures. As for Traditions, I have shewed before, that it is a decree of the Councill of Trent, that they are to be received with as great affection of piety and reuerence, as the written Word of God. Againe, they adde vnto the Scripture, when they take vpon them to make new articles of faith, which have no ground nor footing in the Scriptures: for vnto the twelue articles of the Apofiles Creed, the Councill of Trent addeth twelve more, as may appeare in the Bull of Pins the fourth, in that publike profession of the Orthodoxall faith, unisormely to be obser- form, Iuram. ued and professed of all: And when they adde ento the two profes sid. Sacraments ordained by Christ, fiue other deuised in the forge of their owne braines : and those two also, they so sophisticare with their idle and braine-sicke Ceremonies, as the Eucharist with eleuation, adoration, circumgostation, and such like trumperie, and Baptisme with oyle, and spittle, and salt, and conjuring, and croffing, &c. that they make them rather Pageants to mooue gazing, then Sacraments for edifying: and thus most wrongfully they adde vnto the Scripture, cuen what they themselues lift.

31. As for their detracting and taking away, they shew themselues no lesse impudent, for they have taken away the second Commandement, as appeareth in divers of their Catechismes and Masse-bookes, because it cutteth the throat of their Idolatry wholly out of the Decalogue: and to make vp the number of tenne, they divide the last Commandement into two, contrary to all reason and authority: Yea, so impu- Vasq. li. 2. disp. 4 dent are they, that two famous Iesuites, Vasques and Azorius doe boldy affirme, that this second precept which forbiddeth worshipping of Images, was not of the law of nature, part. 1. li.g. ca, 6. but onely a positive Ceremoniall and Temporall Injunction, cathar. opusc.de which was to cease in the time of the Gospell: and in the Eucharift, whereas Christ ordained the Sacrament of his bodie and bloud in two kindes, they not with standing deprive the people of the cup, and will have it administred to them but in

ca. 4. nu. 83. 6 cap.7.nu.115. Azor.Inft.mora. Imagin. Con. Trid. Sel. 12 Catha. adu. Noua. Dogma.Caiet.pa.I. & inde.

Catha, adu, Noua. Dogma. Catet.pa.I.& inde. one kind : Yea, Cardinall Caietane, as Catharinus testifieth of him, cut off from the Scripture, the last Chapter of S. Marks Gospell, some parcels of Saint Luke, the Epistle to the Hebrews, the Epissle of Iames, the second Epissle of Peter, the second and third of Iohn, and the Epistle of Iude: and yet this mans writings were not disallowed in the church, as containing any thing contraty to wholesome doctrine, and hee himselse acknowledged to bee an incomparable Divine, and the learnedst of all his age : and thus wee fee both the doctrines and practices of the Church of Rome, against the

Scripture.

32. To the which if we adde their open blasphemies and horrible reproches wherewith in plaine downe-right blowes they rent and teare in pieces, or at least-wise besmeare and defile these holy writings, then their malice against them will bee knowne to all men, and there will bee no vizard left to maske it withall. To conclude therefore, some of them call the Scripture a dumbe Iudge, some a dead Letter, and without a Soule, others, dead Inke, others, a Nose of Waxe, to be wreathed this way, or that way : others fay, that it is no better then Æsops Fables, without the authority of the Church: all of them joyne in this, that it is not simply necessary, that it was written not to rule curfaith, but to be ruled by it, and that Christ neuer commanded his Apostles to write any Scripture, and that it is subject and inferiour to the Church: all these, and many other bitter and blasphemous speeches they belch out against the Scripture : whereby they plainely bewray their cankred hatred against the Scripture; and all because they finde it contrary to their humour, and an enemie to their Religion.

33. Thus the Minor proposition in this demonstration is, I hope, sufficiently produed, to wit, that the Religion of the Church of Rome doth professedly disgrace the holy Scripture, as both by their doctrine, their practice, and their blasphemous speeches against it, doth manifestly appeare; and so the conclusion is of necessary and vndeniable consequence, that therefore it deserueth to be suspected and rejected of all

Pigh.hiearch.l.I cap.2.cont.3. Sal.com. in epift. Eurr.con, Sadeol. Coft. Enchir.de Sum. Pontif. Peres.de tradit. præfat. Censcol.pa.112. de Pigh. cont. 3. Hosius de autho. Ecclef. lib.z. Bell.de verbo Deislib.4.ca.4. Echius Enchir.

those that professe themselves to be friends to the Scripture, and hope from it either confolation in this life, or saluation in the life to come.

## MOTIVE. VII.

That Religion is to be abhorred, which maintaineth, commandeth, and practifeth groffe and palpable Idolatry; but fo doth the Religion of the Church of Rome: Ergo, &c.

7 Hen I consider the fearefull Idolatry of the Church of Rome, which for that cause is called The Whore of Babylon, and The Mother of fornications, Reuel. 17. 1.2. I Reuel. 17. 1.2. cannot choose but wonder, that any should be so bewitched with the forceries of this Iezabel, or made drunke with the wine of her fornication, that they should take her marke vpon their forheads, and right hands, and io yne with her in her abominations: and not rather come out of her with all speed, as they are admonished by the Angell, lest they bee partakers in her sinnes, and haue a share also with her in her Reuel. 18.4. plagues: but then againe, remembring that which S. Paul faith, that the comming of Antichrift should be in all deceineablenesse of unrighteousnesse, and that God should send vpon 2. Thes. 2.10.13 them strong delusion to beleeve lies: I turne my wondering at their sottishnesse into the admiration at Gods lustice and Truth: the one in punishing their contempt of his Gospell, with such a giddinesse of spirit: and the other in making good his owne word after such an euident and manifest manner, that thereby it most clearely appeareth, that the Pope of Rome is that Man of sinze, and Sonne of perdition there spoken of, euen that Antichrist which exalteth himselfe aboue all that is called God, and fitteth in the Temple of God, as if he were God. As this appeareth in many groffe errors which they hold, so in pone more, then in the horrible idolatry pra-Aifed and preached, & defended in this Antichristian Church; of which I may truely fay, as Plutarch faid of the heathen, Plutarch in vit. that they mingle heaven with earth, because they made Gods of Romil.

men, & men of Gods: So these, whilst they give divine worship to earthly creatures, as the crosse & pictures of Christ, and to the Saints in heaven; or attribute earthly affections, to heauenly creatures, make a plaine mixture of heaven and earth, spoyling the Creatour of his honour, due voto his Dietie, and adorning the creature therewith; and afcribing that vnto men, which is onely proper vnto God. That the Church of Rome is guilty of this impiety, Ihope by Gods grace so to proue in this Motiue, that no Tesuite (though neuer so subtill) shall bee able with any shew of sound reason to hisse against.

MAIOR.

Exod.32.4.

2. Reg. 18.4.

Efay 44.10. Bel.de Imag. lib. 2.6.5. Caiet in loc.

Tertul. de core. snilit.cap 7.

2. The first proposition in this Argument, though it be of so evident a truth, that it needeth no further demonstration, yet because S. Paul saith, that an Idollis nothing in the world, and thereupon, some may peraduenture conclude, that Idolatric is a matter of nothing, and a small and triviall sinne: I will therefore very briefly shew the greatnesse and hay nousnesse of this sinne, and how odious and abominable it is in the fight of God. As touching therefore that phrase of Saint Paul, An Idollis nothing, it is not to bee vnderflood, either inrespect of matter, for every Idoll hath a materiall being, and subsisting, as the matter of the Calfe, which the Ifraelites made in the Wildernesse, was gold, and of the brazen serpent, which was abused also as an Idoll, was brasse, and of those Idols, which the Prophet Esay declameth so against, were wood: noryet in respect of some, as Bellarmine and Caietane would have it. As though the Apostle should meane thus, that an Idoll, though it hath matter, yet it hath no forme, that is to fay, is the representation of such a thing as hath no being in nature: for many of the Idols of the Gentiles were effuch things as truly were: but the Apostles mesning is, as Tertullian obserues, and many other, both of ancient and late Writers, that an Idoll is nothing in respect of that which it is intended to bee, that is, that it is no God, nor hath any part of the Diuinitie in it, which deserueth to bee worshipped: or that it is nothing in regard of efficacie and power, that is, as the Pfalmist speaketh, is not able to doe ei-

ther

ther good or bad, to hurt, or to helpe; to faue, or to kill: and this interpretation is authorized by S. Augustine and S. Chry- Chrysoft.bom.20. fostome, the one faying thus, There are Idols indeede, but they can doe nothing, neither are they Gods: the other thus, Sunt Idola, sed ad salutem nibil sunt, There are Idols, but they availe Manich.l.20.0.5 nothing to the attaynement of foluation: and it is also approued by many other Expositors, both ancient and moderne Protestants and Papists, and is most agreeable to the whole current of the Text. This then that S. Paul faith, That an Idoll is nothing, is both so farre from extenuating the sinne of Idolatrie, that it aggrauateth the same, and also so farre from clearing the Church of Rome from the guilt of that crime, that it rather layeth a greater stayne thereof vpon it.

in Epist. I.ad Corin . -Aug.cont. Fauft.

3. As for the greatnesse of the sinne, it may appeare by three considerations; first, of the precept: for there is no one commandement of the Law so frequent in the whole Scripture, and so strictly vrged, and mounded and senced about with so many reasons, as that is against Idolatrie; as we may see in the Decalogue. Secondly, in respect of the pu- Exod. 20,2.3.4. nishment denounced against, and inflicted vpon the committers thereof, to wit, not onely eternall death from the iustice of God, which is the wages of all sinne vnrepented of: but Pfal,97.7. also temporall death from the iustice of man, as being vn- 1.Cor.6.9. worthy to breathe this common ayre, or to tread vpon the Apoc. 21,8. earth, that thus finne against the Maiestie of God: and that Exod, 22.20. not onely the worthippers of Idols themselves, but they that should entice or perswade any to Idolatry: The execution Deut. 13.5. of which Lawes, wee see put in practice vpon the Israelites, Gods owne people, in the 32. of Exod. and 23. of Numbers. Thirdly and laftly, in respect of the nature of the sinne: which is first a sensteffe finne, foll of folly, contrary to the very light Pfa.115. 15.16. of reason and nature, as both the Prophet David and Esay at Esay 44.9.19. large proue. And secondly, a sinne, full of impiety, because they that worship an Idoll, worship the Deuill, as S. Paulaffirmeth, 1. Cor. 10.20, And laftly, a finne most opposite to the 1. Cor. 10.20, glory of God, and consequently sooner procuring the ven-

Deut.17.5.6.

geance

Esay 57.7.8. Ier.3.6.7. Ezech.16.15. geance of God, then any other; for it is called in the Scripture spiritual fornication and adulterie, because the Idolater forsaketh God, and prostituteth himselfe to an Idoll, and that in Gods presence. And therefore as corporall fornication is the onely cause of divorce betwixt man and wise: so this sinne onely causeth God to divorce himselfe from his Church, and to take from her all her ornaments and Iewels, that is, his Word and Sacraments, and to give her over into the hands of her enemies. Thus the greatnesse of this sinne of Idolatry, is manisest, and from thence I may conclude my sirst proposition, that that Religion which maintayneth and commandeth this sinne, so full of folly, impiety, and contrariety to God, is worthy not onely to be suspected, but even abhorred, and detested of all men.

MINOR.

4. But let vs come to the examination of the second proposition, to wit, whether the Church of Rome bee guilty of this great sinne or no. The Romanists mainly denie it, as they haue great reason: for if their Religion bee proued to maintaine Idolatrie, they know that it must needes fall to the ground: and therefore they devile all manner of shifts, to deliver themselves from this imputation. But we on the other fide confidently affirme it, and that the world may fee, wee doe it not without great reason, wee confirme our affirmation with this strong argument: Whosoever ascribeth divine honour to any creature, is an Idolater: but the Romanists ascribe divine honour to many creatures, therefore they are Idolaters: and lest any should thinke this to bee the errour of private persons, and not the herefie of their Religion, I adde vnto the Minor, that all the Romanists doe this from the very grounds of their faith, and that in fo doing, they are warranted from their Religion it selfe.

5. They deny both the Maior and Minor proposition in this argument, and in denying them, especially the Maior, they give inst cause of vehement suspicion, if not of plaine demonstration, that they are guilty of the crime whereof wee accuse them: for if a thiese standing at the barre, being accused of a robbery by the high way side, should answere that

to take money from a man by the high way fide at Nooneday, was not theft, all men would thinke that hee was guilty of the robbery, and so the Iurie would finde him : then certainely the Romanists, by denying this to be the true definition of Idolatry, which is propounded in the first proposition. bewray their owne guiltineffe, and giue vs more cause to suf-

pect them, then we had before.

6. But let vs heare their shifts: they principally are two. one of Bellarmine, the other of Valentia, two maine posts in the house of Popery. Bellarmine would faine undermine this proposition (to give to creatures divine honour, is Idolatry) by a Bell, de Ecclif. distinction betwixt an Idol and an Image, affirming that an I- triumph.l.a.c.s. mage is the similitude of a thing that hath a true being, but an Idol of a fained thing, that indeed is not: and therevpon he feemes to conclude, that to ascribe divine honour to some Images, is not Idolatry, because euery Image is not an Idoll. In the proofe of this distinction he labours much, and profits little: for like the heedlesse fish, hee leapes out of the Frying-pan into the fire, and tyes the knot falter which he would seeme to vntie: for first, all the Idolatry of the Church of Rome confisteth not in worshipping of Images, but in many other things, as shall appeare in the Discourse following. Secondly, if to worship the Image of a true thing, be not Idolatry, then the Gentiles were not Idolaters in worshipping the Image of Iupiter, and Mars, and Diana, and Romulus, and As sculapius, and the Sunne, because (as Bellarmine himselfe confesseth) all the Idols of the Gentiles were the statues of foid.cap. 8. men. And Saint Augustine also affirmeth, That the Gentiles didworship those things which were in being, but were not to bee worshipped: and then the Israelites did not commit Idolatry in the Wildernesse, when they adored the golden Calfe, nor was that Calfe an Idoll, contrary to the expresse Text of Scripture, Alts 7.41. because it was a representation of a true Acts 7.41. thing, namely, of the true lebouah, as it is euident, Exodus Exod. 32.5, 32.5. Thirdly, let it be graunted, that an Idoll is onely the fimilitude of an imaginary and fained thing; yet will not this acquite them of Idolatry, seeing they worship in the Ro-

Aug.cont. Fauft. lib.20. cap.3.

milh

mish Church, the Images of things which either neuer were, or were not such as they are taken to bee, as the Image of S. Katharine, and Saint Christopher, and Saint George, and such others: the truth whereof they are not able to proue by any approoued Historie: Nay it is confessed, that many are worshipped in the Church as Saints, which are tormented in hell fire for their finnes. This shift therefore of Bellarmine, to wipe off the blot of Idolatry, is but a filly one, and blurres them. more then they were before.

Greg. de Valent. de Idol.li.z.ca.7.

Bell. de Eccles. griumph.li.z.c.s.

7. Gregory de Valentia labours to creepe out at another hole, to wit, not by a diffinction, but by addition: for hee would adde vnto the definition of Idolatry these words, sicut Deo, as to God: and fo Idolatry should bee not a giving of divine honour to a creature, but when it is so given to the creature, as vnto God. Wherein, as he vnmannerly croffeth his fellow lesuite, in calling the Images of Christ, Idols, and faying, that they are to bee worshipped, latria, with divine honour, the one whereof Bellarmine simply and absolutely denyeth, and the other he alloweth, but nerra m, respectively; folkewise he croffeth reason, Scripture, Fathers, and conse-

Greg. de Valent. quo supra, & li. Incap.5.

quently all found divinity.

8. For Reason: If an adulteresse woman being taken in bed with another man, should excuse her felse thus, I am not guilty of adultery, because, though I lent the vse of my body to a stranger, yet I did it not to him as vnto my husband: would this excuse her? no, it would rather adde unto her crime. So the Romish harlot, committing spirituall fornication with her Idols, when shee goeth about to colour her crime with this vermillion, I give divine honour indeed to Images, but yet not as vota God. What doth shee else but adde carnall impudency vnto spirituall vnchastitie? A filthy stopple for a more filthy bottle. Besides, which is more vnreasonable, he maketh things to be of a contradictory oppoficion, which are one and the same in nature : for to give diuine honour to the creature, is not Idolatry (faith hee) but to worship a creature as God, is Idolatry: whereas in veric - all a time / harry ships a lite to the mine

creature as God; and to worship a creature as God, is no more nor lesse, then to give divine honour vnto it, as any

man of vulgar sense may easily discerne.

9. Scripture: for if none were Idolaters, but they which accounted the Idols which they worshipped, to be very Gods: then were not the Israelites Idolaters, when they adored the golden Calfe in the Wildernesse: nor the tenne Tribes, when they offered facrifice to Ieroboams Calues at Dan, and Bethel: nor the lewes, when they bowed the knee, and burnt Incenfe to the Image of Baal; for they did not esteeme these Images as very Gods, but in them the two former worshipped the true God, and the latter the God of the Sidonians, which was the same : and yet all these are condemned as Idolaters in the Booke of God: nay, many of the Heathen themselues were to be freed from Idolatry; as the Athenians, who on that Altar which was dedicated to an vnknowne God, worshipped ignorantly the true God, which made the world, and all things that are therein, as Saint Paul declareth, Alts 17.23. and the Ephesians, who worshipping the great Goddesse Diana, did not ascribe divine power to the Image, which was like vnto a great pillar full of dugs, but vnto nature represented by that Image, or rather God the nourisher and conserver of nature, & of all things in nature : and the rest of the wifer rancke of the Gentiles, who as some of the Romith Writers themselves confesse, worshipped vnwittingly that same God, which was preached by the Apostles: and though they let before them divers Images, yet their meaning was to worship in them the true God.

to. Fathers: for all of them with one consent define I-dolatry to be nothing else, but the attributing of divine honour to the Creatures, as Thomas Aguinas out of them all concludes, that this is Idolatry, quando honor foli Deo debitus, exhibetur creatura, that is, when that honour which is onely due vnto God, is bestowed vpon a creature. Hee that would see the Fathers particular definitions hereos, let him reade the places quoted in the Margent, which for breuity sake I over-

Exod. 32. 1.Reg.14. 1.Reg.18.19.

1. Cor.10.7. 1. Reg.14.9. Ier.7.9.

Acts 17.23. Acts 19.27. Hier . proxx. com in Epift.ad Ethel. Mant. Falix in Octanio. Ferus in Act. cap.17. Caiet.in z.part. Tho.g. 25. art. 3. Ambrofin Epift. ad Ephef.ca.5. Aug. de Trinit. lib. I. cap.6. Aqui.in Epift.ad Ephifo.s.l. ct.3. Tertul. in Luc. cap.4. Cypr. ad Fortun. Greg. N Morat. in laud. Basil.

2

passe. Chris Nativit.

inuoca-

Catech Trid.in explic.pracep.1. passe. And to conclude, to see how grosly this Issuite doth erre from the scope of truth, and how vnaduisedly he brings in that fond addition (as vnto God) the Catechisme of the Councill of Trent doth plainly affirme, that the Heathen set vp vnto God the Images of divers creatures, & that the Israelites worshipped the true God in the golden Image of the Calfe. These are the two vaine cuasions of these two great pillars, whose workes are approued by the censure of the Church, to bee wholly Orthodox, and to containe nothing

contrary to the Catholike verity.

11. But enough of them: let vs leaue the two Cubs in their holes, and come to the hunting of the olde Foxe, the Idolatrous Church it selfe. That the Church of Rome attributes divine honour to creatures, appeareth by this, because trust, and confidence, inuocation, vowes, sacrifice, adoration, all which are given by them vnto creatures, are all parts of divine honour, and worship: For trust and confidence, the Prophet Ieremie so appropriateth it to the Lord, that he denieth it to all other, Ier. 17.5,7. Cursed be hee that trusteth in man, and maketh flesh bis arme, and withdraweth his heart from the Lord. And then he addeth: but, bleffed bee the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope the Lord is: And S. Peter more plainely, I. Pet. 5. 7. doth bid vs , to cast all our care upon God. Now if all confidence, and our trust, or care, is to be reposed in the Lord, then there is no part not piece thereof to bee bestowed upon any creature, and that as all, so onely it belongeth to the Lord. Christ himselse teacheth, Math. 4.10. interpreting that place of Deut. 6.13. and 10.20. for whereas Mofes faith, Thou shalt fearethe Lord thy God and serue him. Christ the best Expositor of the Law that ever was, himfelfe being the end, and perfection of the Law, doth thus alledge it, adding this word (onely) vnto the Text, Thou Shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him onely shalt thou ferue: Whereby he evidently declareth, that all the parts of Gods worship are to bee restrained by this word onely, that is, that they so belong vnto the Lord, that it is facriledge, if not Idelatry, to communicate them to any other, and so of

Ter. 17.5.7.

1. Pet. 5.7.

Math. 4.10. Deut.6.13. & 10.3C.

inuocation, vowes, sacrifice, and adoration: the Scripture Psal.50.15 doth ascribe them to God, as parts of his worship: and therefore by the same rule, onely to God, and none esse: the reason hereof, is given by the Lord himselfe, Esai.42.5. I am Exod.22.20 the Lord (saith he) this is my name, and my glory will I not give Exod.20.5. to any other; but his worship is his glory: therefore no part Act.10.26. Apoc.19.10

12. To this also, consent all the Fathers: Ierome faith, If we must put our confidence in any, let vs have our affiance in God alone. And Basil, As it is meete to worship nothing beside God, so wee must fixe our hope in one God. Augustine thus. Saints are to be honoured for imitation, and not to be adored for Religion. Epiphanius thus. Let Mary bee bononred, but not adored: let the Father, Sonne, and holy Ghost bee adored. Lastly, Am. brose determines the poynt most effectually, thus. Is any so mad (faith hee) that will gine to the Earle the bonour of the King? Yet these men (marke this, you idolatrous broad of Babylon) doe not thinke themselves guilty, who give the honour of Gods name to acreature; and leaving the Lord, adore their fellow servants, as though there were anything more reserved for God. This is infl your case, and therefore by the judgement of this good Father, you stand as guilty before Gods judgement seat of Idolatry.

therefore see in particular, how these parts of Gods worship, are by the Religion of the Church of Rome, assigned ento creatures, and to what creatures they are assigned, that the Strumpet of Babylon may have no mantle to cover her encleannesse. I might here begin with the Pope himselse, and shew how hee is made an Idoll in the Church of Rome, and worshipped as God: yea, takes to himselse the titles of God, and suffers himselse to be called God, and receiveth adorations, prostrations, and kissing of the seete from all his sollowers: as is testified by their owne corrected Canon Law, and divers of their learned Doctours: whereby hee doth plainely shew himselse to be Antichrist, according to S. Pauls description. I might shew also how good workes, to wit,

Pfal.50.15.
loc12.32.
Rom.10.14.
Efay 19.21.
Exod.22.20.
Exod.20.5.
Act.10.26.
Apoc.19.10.
Efay 42.5.
Hier. Exech.14.
c.14.
Bafil in Pfal.7.
Aug. de vera
Reliz.c.53.
Epiphan.haref.
79.
Ambrof. Rom. 1.

Aug. Steuch.
tom.3.l.2. de donat. Constant.
Moscon de maiest.milit. Eccles.
lib.2,6ap.4.

Bel. de Sacram. Lb.2.c.1. almse-deedes, pilgrimages, workes of supererogation, vowed chastity; voluntary pouerty, Monkish obedience, which they esteeme the chiefest good workes, are made Idols, in that they repose the confidence of their heart, and the hope of saluation in them, through the power of meriting, which they ascribe voto them: as also how they turne their Sacraments into Idols, by teaching, that they conferre grace, Exopere operato, by the very worke done: and that effectively, actively, and immediatly, they produce in the heart, the grace of regeneration, and iustification, which is the proper and immediate worke of the Godhead: but I passe ouer these, & many other things, because they admit in shew some probable exception, though no found confutation; and I infift in those things onely, in which every Ideot, and almost Infant, may discerne most grosse and palpable Idolatry. And those are these fine in number, the bread in the Sacrament, Images, Reliques, Angels and Saints departed. And laftly, the Croffe and Crucifix: of which in order.

14. The bleffed Sacrament of the body and bloud of our Lord Iesus Christ, ordayned for a perpetual remembrance of his death and passion; and for the strengthning, and nourishing of the soules of the faithfull, to eternall life, is transhaped by them into a most horrible Idoll. For this they teach and practise, that that very thing, which to all the senses, is but bread, being but lately moulded and knead by the Baker, is to be worshipped, and adored with divine worship, because (forsooth) after consecration, it is the true and naturall body of Christ. And therefore at the Priests elevation of the hoast, they all fall downe upon their knees, and worship it with great devotion, and expect from it forgivenesse of their sinnes, and all manner of earthly and temporall blessings, and whosoever resuleth to doe this, is an Heretike.

15. Their Apologie is, that there is a reall and naturall presence of Christs body and bloud in the Sacrament, and therefore not the bread, but the body of Christ, into which the bread is transubstantiate, is worshipped of them, and so they thinke, to free themselves. To which I answere, that if

Bel de Sacram.
Euchar.l.4.cap.
29.
Coster Enchir.
de Euchar.
Rubric. in Miffal.Rom.

that were certaine, then their defence was just, and their practice godly, and we in calling them Idolaters, for this cause should bee flanderers of the truth: but seeing the contrary is rather certaine, to wit, that Christ is not corporally in the Sacrament, but in heauen; and that the bread remagneth still true bread, both for matter and forme after consecration: they cannot be excused from notorious Idolatry, in worshipping a piece of Bakers bread, in stead of Christ, the eternall Sonne of God: for to the outward lenses, it beareth the shape, taste, figure, and colour of bread. This is certaine, and to the vnderstanding, in reason it is bread, because accidents cannot be without a substance: this is as certaine, and to faith it is bread, because the Word, which is the foundation of 1. Cor. 10. & 11 faith, so callethit, after the words of confectation, neither is there any Scripture to abouch the contrary, faue that which may well receiue our interpretation as well, yea, better then theirs, as the best learned amongst them confesse: for, Bel- Bel de Euchar. larmine confesseth, that it may justly bee doubted, whether the Text (this is my body) be cleare inough to enforce transub-Hantiation. And Scotts and Cameracenfis thinke our opinion Scot. 4.d. 11.9.3. more agreeable to the word of institution: and thus they have against them sense, and reason, and faith, and for them onely Camer quest. in a doubtfull Exposition of two or three places of Scripture; and therefore three to one but they are guilty of Idolatry.

16. Besides, graunt that there is a reall transubstantiation of the bread and wine, into the body and blood of Christ:yet the accidents of bread and wine remaine vnchanged, and the forme and shape. Now, howfoeuer the learned may here distinguish their worship, from the outward accidents, to the inward substance; yet the common people are notable so to doe, but worship confusedly the outward accidents together with Christ contayned under them; and so in that respect, are Idolaters also: for accidents be creatures as well as substances: Yea, and Bellarmine also doth allow them so to de: for thus he writeth; Dinine worship doth appertaine to Bel, de Euchar. the Symboles and signes of bread and wine, so farreforth as they lib.4. c.29. are apprehended, as being united to Christ whom they containe.

126.3.6.23.

4.94.6. Art.2.

Euen as they that worshipped Christ vpon earth being clothed, did not worship him alone, but after a fort his garments alfo. Here is a braue straine of Diuinity, they worshipped Christin his clothes, therfore they worshipped Christs clothes: So Christ is worshipped under the formes of bread and wine: therefore the formes of bread and wine must be worshipped. This is like the Affe, which bore vpon his backe the Image of Is: and when men fell downe before the Image, he thought they worshipped him: but hee was corrected with a cudgell for his fawcinesse; and so are they worthy for their folly, that cannot distinguish betwixt a man and his garments, Christ and the fignes of Christ, but promiscuously confound the worship of the one with the other. Rather therefore may we thus conclude: they which worshipped Christon earth, did not worship his garments that he wore: therefore they which will worship Christ in the Sacrament, must not worship the outward Elements; and so it will follow, that as it had beene Idolatty in any to worship the garments of Christ: so it is in the Romanists, to worship the accidents of bread and wine. 17. Laftly, let it be supposed, that there is such a reall pre-

fence of Christ in the Sacrament; yet according to the doctrine of the Church of Rome, no man can be certaine when it is, because it depends upon the intention of the Priest: for thus they teach, if the Priest should say the words of consecration, without intention to consecrate the bread and wine, he should essent nothing: or if hee intend to consecrate but one hoast, and there chance to be two, or more, then nothing is consecrated at all: and so the intention of the Priest, being uncertaine to the people, there must needes be an uncertaine adoration: and the Priest oftentimes intending nothing lesse, then the matter it selse which hee hath in hand, there must needes be certaine and undoubted Idolatry: for if the bread and wine be not essentially consecrated, as they are not without the Priests intention, then Christ is not really present; and so nothing is worshipped, but the bare bread: for remedy

hereof, they have deuised two poore shifts: one, that the people must adore your a condition, to wit, if the due forme

Sum.Rofel.verb. Luchar.3,nu.47 Fr. Quand.4. d.13.Pro.6.

in confecrating bee observed: the other, that an actuall intention is not necessarily required, but onely a vertuall, that is, when an actuall intention to consecrate, is not present at the very time of confecration, by reason of some vagation of the minde, yet it was present a little before, the operation is in vertue thereof.

18. This is Bellarmines. But to the first, I answere, That though the people ought to doe so, that is, adore vpon condition; which notwithstanding. is a thing neuer heard of before in any divine worship, and implieth necessity of danger; yet because not one amongst a thousand doe so, having neuer heard that distinction once named in their lives, nor vnderstanding what it meaneth, therefore they are for this neuer a whit freed from Idolatry. To the second, I answere, that oftentimes the Priest hath neither actuall nor vertuall intention: for what intent had the Monke Bernhardine, that poy- Egnat. foned the hoaft, to the intent that he might poyfon the Emperour Henry of Lucenburgh, as he also did at the instigation of Robert King of Sicily? What intent had that Priest, that Spondan Annal, either did, or would have poyfoned Pope Victor the second, Eccles.an. 1035as witnesseth Baronius? or those Priess that poyloned William Archbishop of Yorke? for hee was poyloned at the Masse, by the treason of his owne Chaplins: both with that, which was in the Chalice. If the Priest bee an Athick, as many of the Popes themselves were, what intention have they of consecrating Christs body, when they beleeve not that Christ hath a body, or that there is a Christ now living in the heavens, and fitting at the right hand of his Father, to be present in the Sacrament? or what intention can they have to doe that which the Church doth, when as they beleeve not, that there is a Church: but that all Religion is a fable, and a matter of policy? Here must needes be groffe and notable Idolatry, by their owne confession: for I argue, Ex concessis, that is, out of their owne grounds. So that we must justly conclude, notwithstanding all their distinctions and shifts, that the Church of Rome, in worshipping the consecrated hoast, and that with such worship, as is due voto God, is guilty of Artolatry, that

Volateran. Houseden. Math Parif. 2.

is, of worthipping a piece of bread, in flead of God, then which, what can be more Heathenish and palpable Idolatry?

Concil.Trid. decret. de Imag.

Vide Vafq. Iesuit.de adorat.

Bel. d Imag. cap.20. Durand.lib.3.1 sent. d.9. 9.2. Alphon s.de Ca. ftro.verb.Imag.

Bel. de Imag. cap.20.

Ibid.cap. 22. 23. 24.

19. Secondly, wee indite them of Idolatry, for that they teach, that Images are to bee worshipped with divine worship: and in their practice, they give vnto stockes and stones the honour which belongeth vnto God. For this is their do-Etrine, that the Images of the bleffed Trinity, and of Christ, and of the Virgin Mary the mother of Christ, and of other Saints, are to be had and retained, especially in Churches: is sque debitum honorem & venerationem impertiandam, and that due bonour and worship is to be given unto them: they be the words of the Councill of Trent. Now, what that due honour and worship is, that is a great question among them: some thinke it is the same which appertaineth to the persons whom they represent; as if it be the Image of God or Christ: then it is to be worshipped, lutria, that is, with the highest degree of worship: if of the Virgin Mary, then with a little lower degree called umepsenia, if of the Saints, then with the lowest, which they call Janea', and this is the tenet of many of their Rabbies (as reporteth Vasques the Iesuite) to wit, Aguinas, Caietane, Bonauenture, Alexander, Coster, and divers others. Others thinke, that the Image is not to be worshipped at all: but onely the Samplar before the Image. Of this opinion were Durandus, Alphonsus de Castro, and some others, but it is confuted by Catharine, and veterly reiected by Bellarmine. A third fort were of opinion, that Images ought to bee worshipped in themselves, and properly with a lesse honour then the Samplar; and therefore that no Image was to be worshipped with Latria. Of this opinion were Peresius, Catharinus, Sanders, Gabriel, &c. But the Councill of Trent, which is their Church, in the wordes of the decree, and Bellarmine which is their chiefe champion, doe manifestly incline to the first opinion: forthis is the summe of his propositions: First, that the Images of Christ, & the Saints are to be worshipped, not onely by accident, and improperly, but even by themfelucs, and properly: so that they doe limit the worship, as they are Images; and not onely as they stand in stead of their

patternes. Secondly, that in truth and deed, Images may be worshipped with the same kind of worship which belongeth voto their patternes, improperly, and by accident, and so with Latria in that condition. Thirdly, and lastly, that though this betrue, yet especially in the pulpits, and before the people, it is not to be faid that Images are to bee worshipped with this kind of worship, but rather the contrary. Heere is excellent divinity: the people must not bee taught the truth, nay the contrary rather, which is a lie, and that in the pulpit: beholde here a doctor of lies, and that by his owne confession, whilst he goeth about to maintaine Images, which Habacuk cal- Habac.2.18. leth, doctors of lies. Hab. 2.18.

20. This is the summe of their doctrine. Out of all which these three conclusions doe arise. First, that the blessed Tri-

P. esertim in concione ad populum.

nity, that facred and incomprehensible deitie, by their do-Etrine may be pictured on a wall, and worshipped in or at an Image; yea, that fuch an Image ought at least improperly bee worshipped with the same worship that is due vnto God himfelf:as whe they picture God the Father in the similitude of an old man, & God the Son in the likenes of a yong child, & God the holy Ghost in the likenesse of a Doue, which the Scripture in the second Commandement condemneth as Idolatrie; and that the intendment of that Commandement is not against the Images of false gods onely, as the Romanists would have it, but also of the true Ichouah: Moses the best expounder of himselse, teacheth most plainely, Deut. 4.16. when hee saith, Take heed that you make not to your selues any grauen Image, or representation of any figure: for you saw no Image in the day that

the Lord spake unto you in Horeb, out of the midst of the fire: and the Prophet Esay confirming the same exposition, saith, To whom will you liken God? or what similitude will yee (et up unto him? Esay 40.18. as if he should say, it is impossible to repre-

fent God by any likenesse or similitude. If they reply that they worship not the Image, but God in the Image, I answer, First, that the very Image of God is an Idoll by this Commandement : and therefore, the erecting of it to a religious vie,

Efay 40.18:

is Idolatry. Secondly, that it is false which they say, that they worship.

22. The

worship not the Image, but God in the Image : for their do-Arine is contrary, as hath beene shewed, and their practice is contrary, as shall be declared hereafter. Thirdly, though it be true which they alledge, yet the worshipping of God before an Image, is Idolatry: for when the children of I frael worshipped the two golden calues at Dan and Bethel, they were not fuch calues, to worship the outward calues, but God in them: and therefore the worship of them is called, the feare of lehewah: and yet they are branded for Idolaters in that respect. The like I might say of the golden Calfe in the wildernesse, and of that Image which Micha erested. Fourthly, and lastly, that the true God worshipped in or before an Image, is made an Idol, because (as Saint Augustine saith) they that worship God in any other fort then he hath appointed, worship not bim, but that which Aug. de consens. they had fayned, that is, an Idoll of their owne fancy: and therefore the Scripture faith, when the Iewes worshipped God in the golden Calfe, they turned God into the likenesse of a beast

Euangel.lib.1. 6ap.18.

1.Reg.17.28.

Iudg, 17.

Pfal. 106.20.

which eateth graffe.

21. The second conclusion to bee observed, is, that by their doctrine the Images of Christ are to be adored with such worship, as is belonging vnto Christ himselfe, though improperly: and that this worship is to be bounded within the compasse of the Image, without relation to the Samplar. By which is euident: First, that by their owne confession they are Idolaters improperly: and secondly, that trust and confidence is to be put in such an Image, & vowes, and prayers, and sacrifices to be made vnto it : for all these are parts of divine worship, which must be confined to the Image, and so they are not improperly, but properly Idolaters; and then by this we may fee that the caucat inferted in the decree of the Councill of Trent, is but a meere sophistication: for whereas the decree saith, that Images must be so worshipped, that trust and confidence be not placed in them: in affirming that some ought to bee worshipped with Latria, they enjoyne plainely, that men should repose their trust and confidence in them: and thus they bewray the fraud of the decree, and their owne fectet impiety.

22. The third conclusion is that same Hyperdulia, or extraordinary reuerence, which they fay is due to the Image of the blessed Virgin; and that Dulia, or common service, which they affigne to the Images of other Saints, is no leffe then Idolairy, let them extenuate the matter neuer fo much by titles and distinctions; for it is religious worship : all which is due vnto God onely, Reuel. 19. 10. and 22. 9. The Angel would not suffer Iohn to performe any outward worship voto him, nor so much as prostrate himselfe before him; but commanded him to worship God; and yet Iohn knew him to be but an Angel, and therefore meant not to give him any thing but outward reuerence, yet he refused it vpon this ground, that all religious worship, both outward and inward, belongeth onely vnto God. So Mardochee the lew refused to bow the knee to Haman the Agagite, because the honour which was given vnto him, was more then civill; for he was made a petty God: and therefore in the fragments of Hester, this reason is given by himselfe, I did it, because I mould not preferre the honour of Hester 13.14. aman, before the glory of God, and would not worship any but thee, my Lord: therefore, the bowing of the knee, or profirating of the body after a religious manner, is due onely vnto God, and is part of divine worship: to bow the knee, and prostrate the body after a ciuill manner, is lawfull to Kings and Princes, and others that are either exalted in government, or endued with excellent gifts and graces of God; but to doe the same in a religious respect, is proper onely to God, because it presupposeth the knowing of the heart, an omni-prefence, and an infinite power to helpe. And thus this same Hyperdulia, and Dulia, wherewith they worship the Image of the Virgin, and other Saints, is open and plaine Idolatty: and the rather, because it is seldome or neuer seuered from Latria. that is, the inward worship of the soule, which, as they say, is onely due vnto God: 2s by their practice more plainely shall appeare. 23. Thus we see the marrow and mystery of their doctrine

discouered: now let vstake a view of their practice. And first, what worship can bee more performed vnto God, then they

Reuel. 19.10 and 22:9.

give vnto their Images? they kneele to them, they pray to them, they vow vowes to them, they offer offerings to them,

they sweare by them, they prostrate their bodies before them: let Polydore Virgill, one of their owne sworne fauorites, who would not, we may prefume, speake any thing too lauishly of his owne, but rather bee sparing in their disgrace, describe

Polyd. Virgil.de Inuent.l.6.c.13.

their manners. Men (faith hee) are growne to that madneffe, that this part of piety is little differing from flat impiety: for there are very many of the rude and ignorant, which worship the very Images of wood or stone, of marble, or brasse, or pictures painted upon the walls, not as figures, but even as if they had verily sense. and doe put more trust in them, then they doe in Christ, or other Saints, to whom they are dedicated. Thus doth this man describe the practice of their Idolatry; and much more might be found in him to that purpose, but that the Spanish Cenfours have taken order by their Index Expurgatorius, that

Cornel. Agrippa de vanit.cap.57.

he shall not doe them much hurt. But if this mans testimony will not serue the turne, let vs heare another of the same ranke, a man of great learning and judgement, Cornelius Agrippa, who thus complaineth: The corrupt manner, and falle Religion of the Gentiles, hath infected our Religion, and hath brought into the Church Images and pictures, with many vaine ceremonies of externall pompe, none whereof was found among st the first and true Christians. Hence wee began to bring into our Churches dumbe Images, and to place them upon Gods Altars with great renerence, and whither we account it unlawfull for a man, the true Image of God, to ascend, thither me translate senselesse Images: to them we bow our heads, give kisses, offer lights, hang up gifts, apply myracles, & buy pardons of them. To conclude, to them we goe a pilgrimage, to them we make vowes, them we inwardly worship, and not only ontwardly adore, neither can it be uttered how great superstition; that I may not say Idolatry is nourished amongst the rude and ignorant people, in Images, the Priefts winking thereat, and reaping thereby no small gaine to their purses. Adde vnto these Cassanders testimony, another learned cassand. consult. man of an indifferent spirit. It is more manifest (saith he)then that it needeth any long explication, that the worship of Images

de Imag.

bath

bath too much prevailed, and that the affection, or rather supersition of the people, hath beene too much fanoured in this regard: fothat Christians seeme to be nothing inferiour to Pagans, in the adoration, which they vied to exhibite to their Idols, and in the vanity, which they shewed in making and adorning their Images. And a little after, he bringeth in a faying of Gabriel Biell, to Gab, Bielin Can, this effect. The blockish errour of certaine men is so great, and lect. 49. they are so affected to Images, that they thinke that some divine grace, or fanctity resideth in them, by which they are able to worke myracles, and give bealth: and for that cause they worship them, to the end, they might obtaine some such benefit at their hands: and their simplicity is so great, that they worship with greater denotion faire Images then foule, new then old, those that are adorned with gold and purple, then those that are naked and bare: yea, they make vomes, and binde themselves to goe a pilgrimage, now to this, now to that Church, in regard of certaine Images, supposing that greater vertues doe shine forth in one then another.

24. Thus doth this learned man, together with the two former, describe the miscrable Idolatry of the Church of Rome, which is committed by the worshipping of Images. They were all three Romanists, and no doubt, but would speake of their owne fauourites as fauourably as they could; and therefore we may well imagine, that their impiety was farre more groffe, when it wrested out of their owne mouthes this plaine confession. But if a Protestant should speake, hee would tell another tale, and make them march in equipage with the Pagans in Idolatry, as Cassander after a fort confesseth; and that not without great reason: For first, the Lastan. defal. Paynims, when they bowed to flockes & stones, pretended, that they worshipped not the Images, but them, after whose likenesse they were figured, as testifie Lastantins, Augustine, Chrysostome, and Sencca. Now the Romanists doe vie the very same excuse to cloke their Idolatry, that they worship not in Epist. ad Ethe Images properly, but God, Christ, Angels, Saints in them, and at them. Secondly, the Paynims Idols are described in the 115. Pfalme, To have eyes and not to see, eares and

Relig.lib, 2.6.20. Aug. in Psal. 113.con.2. & in Pfal.96. Chryfoft.hom. 18. Senec. q. nat. lib. I. cap. 45. Pfal 115.

not to heare; Moses, and not to smell, &c. And finally, to have no breath in their nostrils: but the Romish Images are in cvery respect like vnto them: let them shew that their Images can heare, see, speake, smell, and goe, better then theirs, and then we will not say their Idols to be like to theirs.

Polyd. Virgil. bifter. lib.7.

Henr. Hunting. lib.6. Baron.tom.40. adannum. 975. S. 12.

25. Peraduenture they will instance in the Roode of Winchefter, which in the yeare of our Lord 1475, at a solemne Councill there holden about the marriage of Priests, spake in the behalfe of Dunstane against the poore Priests, or in the Image of our Lady, that bade Saint Bernard good morrow, when he came into the Church, as it is reported : or in that Image of Saint Nicholas at Chefter, which ysed to mooue the hand to bleffe the people: or in that Image of our Lady, neere to the Abby of Ramfey, which vied to sweat when it was offered vnto; and happy was he that could get any of that sweet sweat into his handkercheife, for it was of soueraigne vse for many purposes and cures; But that they begunne to be halfe ashamed of such fables, especially, seeing Polidore Virgil, a man not meanely affected towards their Religion, censured the first to be a Legerdemaine of Dunstane to worke his purpose, and reported that divers others vsed to doe the same at that day. And S. Bernard in a lefting answere discouered the fecond, when he answered, that it was against the Canons, for her Ladyship to speake in the Church being a woman. And Downamde An- the Bishop of Chester discredited the third, when he manifefled in the open Market place, that the Image was made with such a deuice, that at the pulling of a certaine string the hand would moone vp and downe. And the last, every olde man and woman in the Countrie could tell to bee but a juggling tricke of a crasty Priest, first anounting the Image without, and then heating it within (for it was hollow) with a chafingdish of coales, from whence grew out that soueraigne and excellent (weat.

tich.li.I c.7. pa. 102.

> 26. Thirdly, the Paynim plants a tree, and after cuts it downe, and with one part thereof hee warmes himselfe, with another part he rosts his meate; and of the third hee makes himselse a God, as the Prophet Esay saith, and when he hath

Elay 44. 15.16.

done,

done, he censeth that Image, and lighteth Tapers before it, and falls downe and worships it. They of the Romish Church doethe very same by their Images in enery respect. Fourthly, the Paynims fay, that Images were Elements or Letters to Athan, orat, con. know God by, and they vsed Images and other ceremonies Genies. to procure the presence of Angels, and celestiall powers : the Romanists say and doe the same; they say that Images are Lay-mens Bookes, and that their worship of them doth procure the faucur of those heavenly things whose representations they beare. Lassly, Paynims put their trust and assiance in their Idols : so doe the Romanists in their Images, as appeareth by the confectation of them, and their Prayers vnto them: thus they consecrate the Image of the bleffed Virgin, Sanctifica, Deus, hanc formulam, &c. O God, sanctifie this forme In pontifical. of the ble sed Virgin, that it may minister the succour of whole some helpe to thy faithfull people, that hurtfull thunder and lightnings may be speedily awayded, inundations of maters, commotion of warre, &c. may be suppressed. Againe, thus they consecrate the Image of Saint Iohn the Evangelist, Grant, that all which behold this Image with denotion, and make their prayers before it, may be heard: for what necessity soener they pray, let this Image be a holy expulsion of denils, an advocation of Angels, a protection of the faithfull, &c. Why should not affiance bee placed in these Images that are thus qualified? But heare their prayers; To the Image of Veronica they pray thus,

Haile, holy face, imprinted on a clout, Purge vs from ail sinne within and without; And joyne vs in the fellowship of the blessedrout. Bring vs to that Country, O boly Picture, Where we may see the face of Christ, which is most pure. Be unto us a safe belpe, a sweet refreshing; And comfort vs enermore with thy bloffing: That no force of enemie may vs annoy; But that me may eternall rest enioy.

Chemnit.exam. Concil. Trident. de Imagin.

Before the samous Image of the Lady of Laurette, men and women of all forts fall downe and pray, when they are

Turfell. Ieluit. de virg, Laur.

in any danger, or extremity : to her they go a pilgrimage, and affor ne as they come neere to the Towne of Lauretto and behold the place where the Image is, they fall downe and worship, and so they doe againe at the Temple dore, and after in the Temple they humble themselues in a most servile and base manner : by all which it is euident, that they affic and trust in the Image; as that Falkoner did, who being vpon the gallows ready to be hanged, for suspition of conuaying away his Mafters Hawke, by onely conceiuing a prayer in his heart, unto this Ladie of Lauretto, the Hawke came gingling in the ayre, at dlight vpon the gallowes, and so the poore man escaped the halter. He that will reade the fine Bookes of Tur-Telline the Ichuite, concerning this Lady, shall easily perceive that the is made a Godde ffe amongst them, and worshipped with the very same worship which is due vnto God. And thus it is as cleare as the Sun, both by their doctrine and practice, that the Church of Rome by worthipping of Images, is guilty of heather sh and abominable Idolatry.

3.

Vasq.de adorat.

li.z.dis.4.cap.2.

Bona.z.d.g.ari. I. 9.4. Aquin, in 3.d.9. q.I. art.I. Bell.de Imag.li,2 6a.21.

27. Concerning the Reliques of our Sauiour Christ and the Saints, whereof their Church hath infinite numbers, there is no lesse Idolatry committed to them, then was before vnto Images. For first, some of them confeste, that Reliquia eodem modo atque imagines sant adorande, Reliques are to bee worshipped after the same manner as Images. And therefore if there be Idolatrie in the one, it must also be needs in the other: for whether the worship be not to be restrained to the Image and Relique, but to be referred to the things whereof they are representations and parts, as the forenamed Iesuite thinkes, with some other: or whether it is to be confined to the matter of the Relique, and forme of the Image, without further relation, as Bonauenture, Aquinas, yea, and Bellarmine himselfe, with many other, seeme to allerre : yet it is Idolatry both waies, because in both, religious worship is giuen to the Creatures, in the one simply, in the other respectiuely, which indeed somewhat qualifieth the heate of the disease, but doth not at all cure the roote of it.

28. Secondly, others are of opinion, that there is greater czulc

cause of worshipping Reliques, then Images: for (say they) a man cannot worship an Image, but his thoughts must needs be caried up to the contemplation of him whose Image it is, but reliquia solum adorantur ratione contactus, quo sunt quodam- Bona. Ibid. modo santtificata & consecrata: Reliques are to be worshipped. onely in respect of their touching of Christ, or the Saints, by which they are after a fort santtified, and consecrated, and therefore they may be worshipped simply by themselves, by reason of that san-Elification without Christ or the Saint, of whom they are faid to be fanttified. Here the former qualification for Images is taken away from Reliques, and therefore the Idolatry is more groffe, yea, in regard of this contact on, some of them are not ashamed to say, that the very wormes of the bodies of the dead, Vasq. Ibid. 1.3. are to be worshipped with a right intention, and with a fin- c.8.nu.114. cere faith. Thirdly, not to stand upon prinate opinions; the determination of their representative Church, the Councill Concil. Trident. of Trent, doth proue the worshipping of Reliques to be Ido- Decret.de Reliq. latry: for it doth not onely condemne those which refuse to Santtor. giue worship and honour to them in any respect: but euen those also, which opis impetranda cansa, To obtaine helpe by them, doenot bonour them. Now hence thus I reason: to put our trust and confidence in any creature, is Idolatry: but to seeke for helpe at the Reliques of Saints, is to repose trust and confidence in creatures; therefore by necessary consequence, the worship of Reliques is Idolatry, because thereby they feeke for helpe; and so the Church of Rome is by the sentence of their owne Councill, guilty of this foule sinne: and this Councill of theirs, is guilty before God and man, of proteching, maintaining, and authorizing the same: a farre greater guilt then the former; by how much, according to the rules of Law, the Author of a sinne is euer more guilty then the Actor.

Aquin. Ibid. Marsil. in 3.9.8. Vasques de adora.lib.3.dif.4.c.I

21. Fourthly, their practice doth make this more euident: for as Cassander ingeniously confesseth, In these last times too cassand consult, much is attributed to the Reliques and memories of Saints, in so de venerat. Remuch as the better fort of men, and those that were most zealous, liquiar. bane placed the summe, and substance, as it were, of Religion, in

searching out such Reliques, adorning them with gold, and lewels, and building temples and memorials for them; and the worser and wickeder fort have reposed faile confidence in the foolish and Superfluous worshipping of them. Here we see the practice both of the better and the worfer fort of people, that is, indeed, of all for the most part, in the worship of Reliques; the one esteeming it the chiefest part of Religion and piety; and the other relying wholly vponit, as the onely meanes, for the purging away their finnes, and so an occasion to harten them in the fame; because they thinke, as long as they performe this dutie, they may sinne freely. If any man say, that the ignorance and mildoings of some, is not to bee imputed as an errour to the Church: I answer, that it is not some, but all generally, for the most part, that are thus affected: and therefore Cassander condemnes both good and bad, as guilty of this crime. But graunt, that many are otherwise minded; yet for all that, it cannot be denied, but the greater part are in this ranke: and that is inough to proue their Church Idolatrous, because, according to the Logicall axiome, every denomination is to be taken from the greater part; neither is it a personall errour, but a dogmaticall polition derived from the grounds of their Religion, as from the Councill of Trent, which alloweth to worship them, Opis impetranda causa, for to obtaine helpe of them, and from the rest of their great Divines: Some of whom would have them to bee worshipped with the same worship with Images, some with a greater reuerence then Images ob contactum: and they that mince it finest, with a religious reuerence, which they call adoration and veneration: in all which is that, in a fort, either openly or couertly allowed by their doctrine, which is practifed by their people.

30. But let vs search a little surther into their practice. The custome of the Church of Rome, is to take the bod es, ashes, or bones of Saints out of their graves, and to adorne them with gold, and filuer, filke, veluet, and such like, and to carry them about in publike processions, and supplications, and to shew them to the people, to be touched, kissed, gazed ypon, and adored as a singular and meritorious service

to God: is not this Idolatry? They teach, that God doth tye his grace and vertue to those Reliques, whereof they are partakers, that adore them with due reuerence, and offer precious gifts vnto them: yea, they promise vnto such many indulgences, and Pardons for finnes. Is not this Idolatry? Againe, they teach, that their prayers are better, and more effectuall, and acceptable vnto God, if they bee made before the Reliques of Saints, and therefore their practice is in times of necessity, to goe a pilgrimage to such places, where the most famous Reliques are; because they are perswaded, shat their prayers shall bee there soonest heard of God: yea, they make men beleeue, that the Eucharist hath a great deale ofholinesse added vnto it, if Reliques of Saints bee included within the Altar. Is not this Idolatry? They light vp candles, and fet up before them Tapers, which S. Ierome calleth Ido- Hieron. Epift.ed latria insignia, the Enlignes of Idolatty, and cause them to Ripar. burne, euen at noone day; and that as they fay, in resemblance of the golden candlesticke, which alwayes burnt before the Arke, Exod. 2.5. but indeede rather in imitation of Exod. 2.5. the heathen, who vsed to burne Tapers before their Images, in the Temples of their Gods : and therefore, me thinkes, it is strange, that Bellarmine should make this an argument why Reliques are to be worshipped, because Tapers and Candles are fet vp before them: wheras rather he should conclude, that Idolatry is comitted before them, because of this. Againe, in their solemne orthes, they touch not onely the Bible, but the Reliques of Saints, & so deuide the religion of an oath, which is a principall part of Gods worship, betwixt God-and them, against which a plague is denounced by the Prophet Ze- Zephan. 1.5. phany, Zephan. 1.5. And it is also condemned by the Prophet Ier. 5.7. Ieremie, Ier. 5.7. And a reason is given of it in Chry softomes 12. Opus imperfect. Homily vpon S. Mathew: at least if it be Chryfostomes; He in Math.hom. 12 that smeares by any thing beside God, deisies that thing, and there. by makes himselfe an Idolater. Is not this then Idolatry? Lastly, whereas informer times, where lesse superstition preuziled, they placed the Reliques of Saints under the Altar, as Ambrof. in Epift. brose witnesseth of the Reliques of Geruasius and Protasius.

Baruch.6.18. Virgil. Anead. I Bel. de reliquiis, Sanct.1.2.6.3.

cassand.consult. de Reliq.p.162.

Bel.de Reliq lib.

Caffand, Ibid.

Aug.d oper.monach.cap 28. Gregor.l b.3. Epist.&c.

Ille (Christus videlicet) super altare, qui pro ommbus passus est, istisub altari qui ipsius redemptisunt sanguine. Christ was vpon the Altar, who suffered for all, the Reliques of them vnder the Altar, who were redeemed with his bloud: yet now (as Cassander well notes) contrary to the ancient custome, their practice is to place the bodies of Saints vpon the Altars, which place is only proper for the body of Christ. Is not this horrible Idolatry? And yet Bellarmine would hence proue, that they are to be worshipped, because they are placed vnder the Altar, because (forsooth) the Altar is to be worshipped:but what if the worshipping of the Altar be Idolatry too, as without question it is, if the Altar be a dead creature, and a heape offiones, or wood? This is to adde drunkennesse to thirs, and to couer a filthy wound, with a more filthy plaister: besides, the first bringers in of that custome, though they placed them under the Altar in a religious manner: yet neuer meant, that they should bee worshipped by those that approched vnto the Altar, or that they did conferre any fan-City to the Eucharist. Thus we have a short view of their supersitious and Idolatrous practice in this point.

Etity to the Eucharist. Thus we have a short view of their superstitious and Idolatrous practice in this point.

31. Fiftly and lastly, that they may be voyd of all excuse and pretext, an infinite number of their thus worshipped Religious are more followed counterfair, not true members.

liques, are meere false and counterfait; not true members, parts, or remainders of any Saints, but fained imposures of couctous Priests, and often the members not of men, but of baser creatures. At this day (saith Cassander) when the world seemeth to be full of Reliques, it may bee feared, lest upon diligent examination, most detestable frauds and impostures be found out, as it appeared sometime to S. Martin, who found a place honoured in the name of an holy Martyr, to be the Sepulcher not of a Martyr, but of a wicked Robber. This kinde of cousonage began to spring in Augustine and Gregories times: for the one complaineth of certaine Hypocrites, that caried about, and boasted of the members of Martyrs, sitamen Martyrum, is so be they were Martyrs. And the other affirmeth, that certaine Greeke Monkes being found digging vp the dead bodies of men, in a certaine field of Rome, confessed that they meant to carrie

carrie their bones into Greece, as the Reliques of Saints.

32. But since Popery grew to persection, this is also growne to such a height of impudencie; that it is a wonder to heare what strange Reliques are to bee seene in the world. S. Peter being buried whole in one place, to wit, at Rome in Baron. Annal. the Vaticane, as Baronius writeth: yet is found halfe in another; to wit, in via Ostiensi, as Onuphrius testifieth: and for all this, a part of him is to be seene at Constantinople, as Bellarmine himselse confesseth. Nay further, besides these three places, his head is reserved in a fourth, to wit, in the Laterane Church at Rome, by Onuphrius testimony. And besides this whole head, another piece of his head, in the Church of Saint Praxis; by the same Author: and in a fixt place, one of his iaw-bones, with his beard, is kept for a monument: this is at Portiers in France, as witnesseth Calvine: his teeth are not confined to his jawes; nor yet at one place, but to bee feene in many places; at Rome in the Church of S. Vincent, and Anastafius and the holy Croffe, and S. Sauiour, and S. Lamrence without the walls: his braine is to be seene at Genenah, at least wife if a Pumice Rone be his braine : for it is nothing else. Many of his bones are to be seene at Treuers, a piece of his shoulder at Rome in the Church of the holy Crosse, a toe in the Church of S. Praxis, and the joynt of another in Eng. Eraf. pereg. relig, land, at the Lady of Walfingham, as Erasmu testifieth; as it erge. were of a Giants toc: these, with many moe, be the Reliques of S. Peter: all which are held in great honour and worship of them. Now either S. Peter must bee a Monster, or many of these counterfait Reliques.

33. But S. Peter is not alone in this, for Christ himselfe is abused: three præpuces, or foreskinnes of his are showne, and worshipped in three divers places : so foureteene nailes, sit.reformand. when as he felt but three; three coats, whereas bee had but one, and that without seame, for the which the souldiers cast losts: two titles that were set vpon the Crosse, soure poynts of the speare wherewith his side was pierced, fiue Linnen c'othes, wher with he was wrapped, and many fuch like trumperies. Nay, there is scarce a Saint that is any thing famous,

tom. 1. 6 3. an-2069.6 324. Onuphr.de sep tem vrb. Ecclef. Bel. de Ecclef. triump. lib. 2. cap.4. Onuphr. 1bid.

Caluin.admonit. de Reliquys.

Onuphr. Ibid. Caluin. Ibid.

Caluin. de neces-Eccles.

Luther.postil.in Euangel.sest.exalt.ciusis.

Abbas Amelm. Chemnit exam. Consil. Trident. de Reliquis.

to whom they do not faine two or three bodies. Saint Bar. baries head is to be seene in so many places, that sure, she must needs have beene a monfler, if all those were true members. Saint Apollonia had so many teeth, being all soueraigne medicines for the tooch-ache, that when King Edward labouring of that disease, sent through England, for all her teeth to be brought vnto him, two or three hog sheads could not hold them that were found out. It is reported and recorded of a certaine Relicke-monger that boassed, that hee carried about in a box some feathers of the hole Ghost. Now, another merry companion stealing away these feathers, foisted in, in their roome, certaine coales: The other not perceiuing the coozenage, the next day began to vaunt of his holy relickes, and to shew them to the people, which stood ready by to adore them with great reuerence: but when he opened his caske, he found nothing but coales: whereat, being nothing amazed, like a skilfull Artisan, he turned Cat in the pan, and told the people, that those coales were taken from under the Grediron of Saint Laurence, when he was broyled to death: thus any thing will ferue their turnes for relickes, when need requireth: and so more then Egyptian is the blindnesse of the Popish crue, that they beleeve all to bee true, and take all this trash for good ware.

that touched him, or that hee had any thing to doe withall, but is turned into a Relicke: as the Manger wherein hee was laid at his birth, is to be seene in Rome at Saint Mary Maior: the Linnen cloth wherein he was swadled, at Saint Paul: and another piece at Saint Saniour in Spame: his Cradle and the Shirt which his mother made for him, at the same place: the Pillar which he leaned vnto, when hee disputed with the Doctours, and the water-pot in which he turned water into wine, which are to be seene at duers sundry places, to wit, at Ramenna, at Pisa, at Andegauum, and in Spaine at Saint Saniours, the Table which he eate his last Supper on, at Saint Saniours, the Table which he heed at Saint Saniours in Spaine, the Knife wherewith he killed the Passeouer, at Treners, the Cup

wherein

wherein he gaue the Sacrament of his bloud to bee drunke, at Saint Maries neere Lyons, which Cup also is to bee seene among the Heluians, in a certaine Monasterie of the Augustine Friers: so the dish wherein the Paschall Lambe was put, is visible at Rome, at Genua, and at Arles: so the towell wherewith he wiped his Apostles seet, is to be seene in Rome at S. Iohn Laterane, and yet the same is shewed at Aqua in Germanie, and at Saint Cornelius, with the marke of Indas his soote imprinted in it: a piece of one of those loaues wherewith Christ miraculously fed the multitude in the desart, is yet extant at Rome, at Saint Maria Nova: and another piece at

Saint Saujours in Spaine.

34. What should I speake of the Crosse sound out by Helena? whereof, as Histories report, she gaue one part to her Son Constantine, which was placed on a porphyrie pillar in Constan. tinople: and the other part enclosed in a filuer case, shee sent to the Bishop of Hierusalem to be kept. It is admirable to see, into how many pieces and patches it is now subdivided: one man was able to beare it sixteene hundred yeares agoe: and now fixteene men (nay, if Isaid a hundred, it were not amisse) are scarce able to earry the fragments of it : yea, they would load a whole ship, and that of good burthen. The nailes, as I faid before, which were but three, as all know, have spawned cleuen more, and are become foureteene, whereof two are to be seene at Rome, one at Saint Hellens, another at Saint Crosses, a third at Venice, a fourth at Sene, a fift at Colne in Germanie at the three Maries, a fixt at Treuers, a seuenth at S. Dennis in France, an eight at S. Sacell, a ninth with the Carmelites, a tenth among the Biturigions, an eleventh in an Abby, &c. his crowne of thornes is divided into so many portions, that either it must needs be of huge bignesse, or else fome of those fragments which are taken for parts of it, must needs be meere impostures: his seamelesse Coathath begot diversothers; for one is to be seene at Argentolium, another at Treners, a third the Turke hath with him. I should bee too long in this friuolous argument, if I should reckon vp all the reliques of our Saujour Christ that are notoriously extant,

and to all which, worship and adoration is performed.

35. As for the Virgin Maries relicks, they are also very many and notable; her haire and her milke are referued in fo many places, that neuer any woman gaue so much milke in all her time of bearing, as she did in that time that shee gaue fucke : her Smocke is bad in great honour both at Carnutis, and also at Aque in Germany, and is carried upon the end of a pole to be worshipped; it is of that bignesse, that the blesfed Virgin should seeme by it to have been a woman of an incredible stature: which is the more to be wondred at, because Iosephs breeches, which are carried with the smocke in the same pompe, are so little, that they would fit a little boy or a dwarfe : her Kerchiefes are to be seene, one at Treners, an. other at Lisium in Italie: a little Crosse-cloth of hers is at Bo. nonia, her girdle at Pratum, and another at Monferrat, her Slippers at Saint Saniacquery, her Shoo at Sanfloridum, her Combes, one at Rome, another at Vesontium, her marriage Ring is at Perusum (as if then mariage was made with Rings, as it is at this day) her Garments, or at least, pieces of them are to be seene at so many places, that the Virgin Maries wardrobe might compare (if all were hers) with the greatest Princeffe in the world; foure pictures are to be seen of her, which Saint Luke himselfe drew.

36. What should I reckon up the Relicks of other Saints, which are all of the same nature, though not number? Saint Michaels Sword and Buckler wherewith he ouercame the diuell, is a monument of great estimation, they are kept at great Saint Michaels in France, a place much frequented by Pilgrims. And what kind of things are they, thinke you? marry, the sword is like a Childes dagger that hee weareth at his back, and his Buckler like a little cupping-glasse: or like the bosse of a Bridle: sit weapons (without doubt) for an Archangell, and more fit for him to fight with the Diuell withall? What should I speake of the Relicks of Saint Iohn Baptist? breuity bids me passe them ouer: and yet I cannot chuse but relate one or two which are most famous: as that singer of his wherewith he poynted vnto Christ, when he said, Behold

the

Behold the Lambe of God: this finger is multiplied; for it is to be seene at Vesontium, and at Thelosse, and at Lyons, and among the Bituriges, and at Florence, and neere Matiscone. Behold a wonder, sixe singers on a hand, or else one singer begetting sine more, and so turned into sixe, both alike miraculous: one of his shooes is in Paris among the Carthusians, behold here another miracle, it was stolne away upon a time, and another of a sodaine came in the roome thereof: sure, a shoo will neuer bee wanting, as long as there be Shoo-makers in Paris.

- 37. Such like are the relickes of the rest of the Saints, as of Peter, and Paul, and lobn, and lames, with the other Apo-Ales, the Popish Churches are replenished with the, and some of them are in divers places at once, after a miraculous maner, asthe Altar whereon Peter said Masse, is both to bee viewed at Rome, and at Pifa, his staffe is at Colne, and at Treuers. Andrew hath one bodie to be seene at Thologse, and another at Melphis : Iames the lesser, and Philip, haue each of them two bodies, one of them at Tholoffe, another at Rome : fo also have Simon and Inde: Bartholomewis to be seene at Naples: and yet for all that, his body is at Rome too, in a Church dedicated vnto him. Mathem hath three bodies, one at Patanium, another at Rome at Saint Mary Maior, and a third at Treuers: the Cup wherein Iohn the Euangelist drunke the poyson, after he was condemned by Domitian, is both at Bononia, and at Rome to be seene. Saint Anne hath three bodies, one at Apla, another at Province, and a third at Lyons: and so her head is in three places, at Treners, at Turen, and at Thuring. Lazarus the Brother of Marie is like wife a Gyant of three bodies, one of them is at Massilia, another at Angustodune, and the third at Auelona.
- 38. It would fill a volume, if I should reckon vp all their strange relicks. Let him that desireth to see more of them, reade Caluins Tractate, called Admonitio de Reliquis, where he shall finde a whole thraue of them. I for this time end with three or source braue ones for the nonce, which are worthy neuer to be forgotten. It is written of Dunstane the Abbot

Guillel. Malmfbur. lib. 2. de Pontif. Vincent, lib.25. сяр.33.

Derger annot in Catalog heret. an.1559.fol.17.

Belton. obseruat. lib. 2. c. 85.

> Augast. de unitat. Eccles.

of Glastenbury, that as he was hallowing a certaine Church, he beheld the right thumbe of Editha, then Abbesse of Wilton, as shee was croffing and bleffing her forhead, and much delighting therein, heetooke it into his hand, and faid, Neuer might this thumbe peristr. By vertue of which prayer of his, after Edithaes death, when all her body was turned into ashes, that thumbe, and another part of her body, which it seemeth, he had bleffed too, were found fafe and found, and so became Reliques. We read also of a certaine Monke, who gave out, that he had brought from the East some of the sound of the Bels, which hung in Salomons Temple, and that he could shew among other Reliques, some of the haires which fell from the Seraphicall Angell, when hee came to imprint the five wounds of Christin S. Frauncis body. Yea, some of them are not ashamed to shew to the Pilgrimes that goe to lerusalem, a three cornerd stone, which they beare them in hand, is that very stone, whereof Dauid spake, saying, The stone which the builders refused, is the head of the corner. Lastly, at Cour cheuerin neere vnto Blois, is kept for a monument the breath of S. Ioseph, which he breathed, when he claue wood being a Carpenter: at Burgos in Spaine, is shewne a Crucifix, whose nailes and beard are cut every moneth, they grow fo fast, and in these excrements, they say, is great vertue. At Rome is to bee seene the fore-skinne of our Saujour, which was cut off at his circumcifion; and in Galicia (as Pilgrimes report) are preserved some of the feathers of certaine chickens, which are of the race of that Cocke, which crowed when Peter denied his Master. And at S. Denis, is to bee seene the Lanthorne which Indas caried in his hand, when he came to betray his Master, with a number other such like. These bee their goodly Reliques which they would have men to worship with such great denotion: of all which, or at least, of most of them, we may truly anough that which Augustine faith of miracles, that they are vel figmenta mendacium hominum, vel portenta fallacium spirituum, That they are nothing but mere impostures, and coozening devices, either of divels or of avaricious Priests, Friers, and Monkes, to nouzle the people in

in superstition, and to line their owne purses with crownes.

39. The bare narration of them is sufficient to bewray their falshood, but much more the Logicall contrariety and naturall repugnancie that is among them, which all the wit of man is not able to reconcile. And last of all, their nouelty for most of these Reliques, was never heard of in this world for three hundred yeeres aft r Christ; so that it may justly be wondred where they lay hid all that while, and by what meanesthey were found out at last, or how they could continue so long. If they say, they were discourred by divine reuelation, as the bodies of Gernasius and Protasius, to S. Ambrose, Ambris spist. of Stephen and Nichodemus, to one Lusianus, and the head of John Baptist to two Monkes, and the bones of Abacuk and Michaus, to a certaine Bishop: and the body of S. Barnabe, with the Gospell of S. Mathew vpon his brest. I answere: that though all these were true, yet they were not reucaled zozomen.lib.7. to that end that they should be worshipped : neither yet did cap.vlt. the God of truth euer by his testimony bolster out such notorious lyes as are found in the Romish Reliques. We may confidently therefore conclude, that most abominable Idolatry is committed in the Church of Rome, by the worshipping (st least) offalse Reliques, whereof there is such a swarme : for the greatest part of their Reliques being counterfait, the greates part of the worthip which is done vnto them, must needes be Idolatry.

40. The lesuites, to this objection of ours, give two answeres; one is Bellarmines, who flatly denieth the Reliques in Churches to be counterfait, because none are received but by the authority of the Bishop of Rome. And as for the multiplicity of bodies, hee faith, that the parts of them are often found in divers places, and by a figure of speech, are called by the name of the whole. But the lesuites answere, by his leave, is idle and friuolous: for first, all these fore-named false Reliques are not caried about by private men, but found in their Churches; and therefore if authorized by the Popes holinesse, the more shame for him, and the more certainty for vs, that he can erre! like a sinfull man, even sitting in his chaire of doctrine. And

Aug. confeff. lib. 9. cap.7. Epist. Lucian. Bedade sex ætatibus.

Bell. de Eccles. triumph.li.z.c.4

secondly, .-

Valques de adorat.lib.z.cap.8. disp.z.

fecondly, though it were true which he faith, concerning the bodies of Saints, yet it cannot hold in other things, as in John Baptists finger and his shooe, and the nailes of Christs crosse, and the Virgin Maries milke, and such like. Therefore Valques the Iesuite hath deuised another answere, and that is, Though the Reliques be uncertaine and false, yet if they bee worshipped, it is no sinne, but a good worke, Because, as it is not the sinne of Idolatry (saith hee) to worship a beame of light, under which the dinell lurketh, when a mantaketh it for Christ: lo if a man worship a false Relique, supposing it to bee some true part of a Saint, Merito sua denotionis non caret, He wanteth not the merit of his deuotion. But this answere is not onely friuolous, but impious: for by the same reason the lewes should be cleared from sinne, when they crucified Christ, because S. Paul saith, they did it through zeale, though not according to knowledge, Rom. 19.2. and the Gentiles, when they put Christians to death, because our Saujour saith, that in so deing, they thought they did God good service, lohn 16. 2. but they both finned notwithstanding most grieuously. And their owne rule is, that ignorantia non excusat à toto, sed a tanto, that is, ignorance doth not excuse the whole fault committed, but onely lessens the guilt of it. I conclude therefore, that notwithflanding all that can be faid, yet in the worshipping of Reliques, is committed manifest and dete-

Rom. 19.3.

Ioh 16.2.

Grable Idolatry.

41. Neuerthelesse, we protest against the slanders of our aductsaries, that albeit wee abhorre all salse and countersait Reliques, and resuste to worship with adoration those that are true: yet for these last sort, when they are certainely knowne vnto vs, wee give vnto them a due honour and reverence: that is, wee consesse them to bee holy as the members of Christ, and Temples of the holy Ghost, if they bee the parts of Saints and Martyrs: wee say with S. Augustine, That their memories are to be celebrated to the end (not that they may be worshipped but) that thankes may be given to God for their vistories, and me may be stirred up to the imitation of their crownes, by calling upon God to our helpe. We acknowledge with Caselander,

Aug. de ciuit. lib.8.c.vlt.

fander, that Vowes and Pilgrimages vnto places, famous for the Relickes of Martyrs, were in olde time profitable, Whilest that the memory of the Martyrs was yet fresh and certaine, and as long as God by undoubted myracles did manifest, that their Soules did line, who were thought bee dead : and whilest all these things were referred to this end, that the Christian faith which they by dying professed, might bee confirmed ( as it were) by these signes. Yea, we affirme with Agrippa, that as the abuse of Relicks is an execrable mickednesse; so the irrenerent contempt of true Relickes is a detestable heresie: onely the matters we speake against, are these corruptions : first, a superstitious confidence in the worship of true Relickes : secondly, a facriligious forging of false one's : and thirdly and laftly, an immoderate expending our riches in adorning and guilding the bones and Relickes of dead men; when in the meane while we suffer the poore that live, to famish for hunger, or to go naked for want of clothes. As the heads of Pe ter and Paul are inclosed in so much filuer as weigheth foure Com.Piz. li. 8. thousand pounds, besides Iewels and precious stones, of inestimable price: And the golden Tombe of Thomas of Canterbury was couered with Diamonds, Vnions, and Carbun- Erascoll. de gecles, the basest part of it was Gold. How much better might reg. Rei. ergo. these treasures have been imployed to the reliefe of the poore, and other charitable vses! This is all we finde fault withal; and this is inough to prooue them fenfl. se and blinde Idolaters, and their Church, which maintaineth all thefe things, an Idolatrous synagogue.

42. The fourth maine arme of Idolatry iffuing out of the body of the Romish Church, and the roote of their religion is, their doctrine and practice touching the Inuocation of Saints and Angels. They maliciously slaunder vs, and say, that we are enemies to the Saints, and that we deny them all honour and reuerence, comparing vs therefore to the Caianian and Eunimian Heretickes, for our wicked and facrilegious contempt of the Saints of God, as they falfly affirme : but. we returne this reproch upon themselves, and confidently affirme, that they wrong the bleffed Saints more in making

Caffand. confult. de reliquis.

Agrip. de vanit.

4.

them.

Reuel. 7.

then we doe in giuing them too little, if that were true that we did so: for what can be more injurious to the honour of those blessed Creatures, who live in the presence of God, and sing Halelniab to him continually, with all honour, praise, and glory be ascribed to him that sitteth upon the Throne, and to the Lambe for enermore: whose chiefe joy and delight is to advance the honour of the eternall God, then to have any part of that honour due unto him ascribed unto them? they had rather be devested of all theirs, then any jot of his glory should be diminished. More injurious therefore are they to the Saints, if they give them too much, and that

in derogation from God, then we, if we give them too little.

them Idols, and giving them more honour then is their due.

Gal. 1.13.

Luke 1.48.

Marke 14.9. Prou. 10.6.

Reucl. 4-10.

43. But wee deny that wee give them too little; wee give them their due honour and respect. For first, we give God thankes for them, and for the benefits which God vouchafed by them to his Church; as the Church glorified God for the conversion of Saint Paul, and Paul gave God thankes for the graces of the The Salonians. Secondly, we highly extoll and commend the Saints departed, and magnifie the graces and gifts of God in them; we eaccount them bleffed, as the Virgin Marie prophesied of her selfe, that all Nations should call ber bleffed : And wee keepe a perpetuall and a reverend remembrance of them, as our Saulour promised to that Morie, that powred the boxe of precious oyntment vpon his head. And Salomon confirmethalfo to all the just, that their memoriall should be bleffed. And thirdly, we propound them as examples for imitation, that their vertues may bee as patternes for ys to imitate, and their falls as markes to make vs warie: and their conversation as guides and directions for vs in the way to the heavenly Canaen. Thus much honour we willingly attribute vnto the Saints: but no further dare we goe, lest we derogate from the honour of God; and in so doing, not please or content, but vexe and gricue the blessed Saints, who cast downe their Crownes; that is, Arippe themselves of all honour, before the Throne of him that liveth for ever. But the Church of Rome are so laugh and prodigallin their honou-

ring

ring of them, that they despoyle God of his honour, and inuest them there with, committing Idolatry vnto them. And this they doe three waies principally, first by outward adoration; secondly, by inuocation; and thirdly, by reposing their trust and confidence in their merites and mediation.

44. Touching outward adoration, which confideth in thele things principally, to wit, in bowing the knee, proftrating the body, dedicating Temples, consecrating Festivall daies, and making vowes to the Saints departed; all which Bell de cultu outward worship the Romish Church alloweth, and the Po- sant, lib. 3. pish crue affoord vnto the Saints departed: it cannot by any meanes bee esteemed any whit lesse then a practice of Idolatry, feeing by their owne confession, it is more then a civill adoration, and being more then civill, it must needes be religious: for there are but two kinds of worship commanded by the law of God, the one enjoyned in the first Table, namely, the divine worship of God: and the other in the first commandement of the second Table, which is the honour wee ought to give to our superiours. Now this worship of Saints must of necessity be one of these; but it is more then civis (fay they) therefore it must needes bee a religious and divine worship. For thus I reason, This worshipping of Saints is either an iniunction of the first Table, or of the second, or of neither: if they fay, Of the first, then it is diuine and religious worship, for the first Table of the Law containeth under it onely divine and religious duties, the object whereof is God himselfe, and none other, as the seuerall precepts thereof doe declare: if they fay, Of the second, then it is meere civill and politike, for the second Table is onely a bond of civill duties: if they fay, It is of neither, the it must needs be a wil worship, deuised by their own braines, and not warranted by the word of God: which is also confessed by Eckius in his Enchiridi- Eckius Enchir. on, and infinuated by the Councill of Trent, when in fetting downe that decree, it alleageth no Scripture; but onely the cret. de Inuocat. ancient custome of their Church, consent of Fathers, and de- Sanctor. crees of Councels.

Concil.Trid.de-

45. But to the poynt: I say, that seeing by the rule of

Gods word, we find but two kinds of worship, one religious and divine, contained in the first Table; the other civill and humane, injured in the second: If therefore the worship of

Aug. de ciuit. lib. 10.

-

Aug.cont. Fauft.

li.10.cap. 21.

Saints be not a meere civill worship, belonging to the second Table of the Law, then it must needes be religious, and pertaine to thefirst and so consequently Idolatrous. This twofold worship and no more is approved by Saint Augustine, who by that diffinction of narpera, and sexera, putterh difference betwixt the worship that must bee given to God, and that civill honour which is due vnto men : for by Junera', hee meaneth that kind of worship and honour, which wee may and must performe to those that excell either in place of authority, oringifes and graces of God, which is meerely bumane and civill, and by Autreia, that duine worship which the creature oweth vnto the Creatour onely: and that former is that which he alloweth onely to the Saints: and that in that acception of the word which is before specified, to wit, as it is a civill and humane worship, as appeareth more evidently by that which he affirmeth in another place, in these words, Colimus Martyres eo cultu dilectionis & societatis, quo & in hac vita coluntur Sancti Dei homines, sed illos tanto denotius, quanto securius post incerta omnia superata. In which words it is plaine, that the kinde of the worship exhibited to Saints triumphant, and Saints militant, is all one, but the extension of it is greater to the one then the other, according to the proportion of gifts and graces, more apparent in one then the other.

Bell, de Sanctor. beat.li,1.ca.12,

46. But the Romish Doctours, and principally the Iesuites, adde a third kinde of worship, yea, a sourth to these two; albeit therein they neither agree with themselves, nor with their sellowes, as it commonly falleth out when men build vpon their owne fancies, (a rotten soundation) and not vpon the word of God, which is the ground of truth. For Beharmine saith, that there is a civill worship due vnto men, for some civill respect of their Sanctity and holinesse, which he calleth dulia; and a divine worship proper onely vnto God.

God, which he calleth latria : and that middle hee subdiujdethinto two degrees; the first, he saith, is dulia, proprie dicta, fo properly called, which agreeth to the Saints; and the fecond Hyperdulia, which belongeth onely to the humanity of Christ, and the blessed Virgin his Mother: and so hee maketh foure distinct kinds of worship, whereof two are without the compasse and reach of Gods Commandements, and therefore I know not where to place them, except in the diuels. The like doctrine is deliuered by Vasques another lesuite, and Canissus, and almost all the rest of that Iesuiticall rabble : but marke their harmony ; Bellarmine faith, that Canif. Catechif. this worship of Saints is Cultus, and therefore an acte of Re. ligion, though in a secondary respect. Vasques denyeth it flatly to be an set of Religion at all, but of some other vertue. Thomas Aguinas, Bonauenture, Gabriel, and Albertus, are of mind, as testifieth Vasques, that it is one and the same kind of worship wherewith wee honour men, aduanced in civill dignity, and the Saints; and that the difference is in the degrees of proportion, not in diversity of kind. And in this they fully consent with vs, as also with Saint Augustine, and with the truth; but this is contradicted by Bellarmine, Vasques, and all the rabble of the lesuites, as may appeare in the places before quoted.

47. Paludamus makes three kindes of Hyperdulia; the first due to the humanity of Christ, for it selfe; the second, to the Vasa. Ibid. bleffed Virgin: & the third, to the rest of the Saints: but as for dulia, that he applyeth onely to that honour which we owe to all reasonable creatures, except the damned : but this is crossed by all the rest. Againe, Durandus (as Vasques reporteth) is of opinion, that the worship of Saints departed, and men, in civill dignity, proceedeth from one and the same vertue, Vasq. Ibid. and differeth onely in the act applyed vnto the degrees of excellency. But Bellarmine, Valques, and all of that stampe, renounce veterly that opinion, as I have shewed. Lastly, Vasques that acute Iesuite, as they brag of him, affirmeth, that the worship of Saints is not an act of Religion : and yet in the same Chapter he calleth it cultur facer & religiosus, A boly

Vasq de ador. li. 1.ca.2.dif.5. Bell. ibid.lib. I. Vafq. 1bid.lib. 1 , cap.I.dif.6.

and

and religious wership; then which, what can be more contradictory? for if it be a religious worship, then must it needs be a worship of religion, and an act of religion; and if no worship of religion, then no religious worship: for coningata (by the rule of Logicke) se inuncem ponunt & tollunt. And that which is to be e noted about all the rest, here is constrained to deuise a new special habite of vertue, to which this worsh p of Saints may be referred, neuer heard of before, neither in Morall Philosophy, nor yet in divinity: and that without name, and so without nature and being, except in the Iesuites braine onely. Thus were may see how errour, like Protess, turneth it selfe into many shapes, and at last is strangled with it owne halter.

Vasq. les. 1bid.

48. But that this outward adoration of Spints departed is Idolatrous, appeareth ouer and aboue that which hath beene said, by these reasons : first, because they a cribe unto them a presence, not onely in one place, but in all places where they are worshipped : fecondly, a power of hearing, seeing, and helping : and thirdly, an ability of knowing and feeing the heart: all which imply an infinite power, and such as is properly divine. And therefore it is nothing but a vaine shift, when they say they give divine worthip to God, and no more but a certaine kinde of service to the Saints, when in truth they give that which is Gods to the Saints, besides touching, kneeling, and prostrating the body in a religious manner. Peter refused to haue it done vnto him by Cornelius: and the Angell rebuked lobn twice for offering it vnto him: if it had beene lawfull, sure, they would not have resused it; for neither did Cornelius take Peter to be a God, but for a holy man: nor Iohn, the Angell for the Creator, but for an excellent creature, as enidently appeareth in the Texts; and therefore they intended not to worship them as Gods : yet because the manner of their worship was more then besitting a creature, having in it a touch of divine worship and religion, therfore it was reiected; the one faying, Stand up, for I my selfe

ama man; infinuating, that a man must not bee religiously adored: and the other, See thou doe it not, for I am thy fellow

Seruant:

A&\$ 10.26.

Reuel. 19.22.

fernant, implying thereby, that Angels, and if Angels, then Saints are but our fellow-seruants, and therefore not to bee worshipped with any part of dinine and religious worship.

49. To the example of Peter, Bellarmine and Vasques Bell. de Sanctor. giue two answers, the one out of Hierom in his Booke against Vigilantius, that Cornelius was worthily corrected by Peter, because he thought some divine thing to be in him about othermen: the other out of Chrysostome vpon this place, that it was no fault in Cornelius to exhibite, but modesty in Peter to refuse that honour which was due vnto him. Bellarmine is indifferent which of these two answers we take; and therefore without propounding his owne judgement, leaves the to our choyce, and yet the one of them over-turneth the other; for Hierom faith, it was a fault in Cornelius; Chrysoftome, that it was no fault : Hierom, that Peter did well in reprouing Cornelius; Chrysoftome, that he did not reprodue him at all, but of modesty refused the honour given to him. What reason had he to leave these to our choyce, being thus contrary? It plainely sheweth, that he knew not what to answere. Therefore Vasques the Iesuite renounceth Hieroms answere vpon this ground, that Cornelius knew the true God, before Peter came vnto him, and therefore could not erre fo groffely, as to ascribe any divinity to a mortall man, and infifts upon Chrysoftomes, that hee did it for modesty sake : but by as good warrant we may reiect Chrysoftome, as he doth Hierom : especially, seeing our reason is as effectuall; for Peter gives this reason of his denyall, for I my selfe am a man : which must needs be the medium of a fillogifme, thus to be concluded: No religious worship is to be given to man; but I my selfe am a man, therefore thou doest euill to worship me. Here is not a frayning at courtelie for modefly fake, but a plaine renunciation of Cornelius his fact as vnlawfull : if it had been a tricke of modesty onely, he should rather have said thus comparatiuely, I am not worthy of this honour from such a man as thou art, or such like: but in saying, I my selfe am but a man, he infinuateth, that Cornelius did more then he ought to do.

50. If they say, I but though you thus escape from Chry-P 3 Softome.

beat.li.I.ca.14. Vosques de adorat.li.I.dif.5.c.3 fostome, yet Hieroms interpretation will hold you sast: I answere, Besides Vasques reason where by he rejecteth Hierom, that it maketh nothing against vs, but for vs rather, & against them, because Hierom seemeth to condemne as idolatrous, all such adoration of Saints, wherein any part or propertie of the diuine nature is attributed vnto them: but the Romanists in kneeling and prostrating their bodies to the Saints, ascribe the properties of God vnto them, to wit, either to be present in many places at once, or to heare, being as farre remote from them as heaven is from earth, and to know the heart, and to have power to helpe, &c. all which preperly are proper vnto God.

51. To the example of lohn and the Angell, the former two Iesuites oppose also a double answere : first, that the Angell did appeare vnto Ichn in that maiesty, that he might bee thought to be Christ himselfe: And therefore that Iohn was rebuked, not for the errour in his adoration, but for his errour in the person adored. This answere Vasques names onely, and then reiects as friuolous: But Bellarmine propounds it as good and authenticall. Which shall we believe in this case? Mary, fauing his rouerence, though hee be now a Cardinall, the plaine Ieluite is to be preferred before him, both becaule this answere is croffed and contradicted by the second; and alfo, because the Iesuite giues a reason of his rejection. And the Cardinall goeth to it by downe-right authority, as if, because he is their chiefe Rabbi, hee may say what hee list: his reason is, because Iohn did truely know him to be an Angell, and not God, and therefore that there was no errour in the person. Secondly, they answere, that the Angell would not now, as in time before, be worshipped of men, because now God was become man, and by his incarnation brought such dignity to the nature of man, that the very Angels should doe reuerence vnto it, not be adored and reuerenced by it; especially of Christs Apostles, and Princes of the Church. To which I answere, first, that by this allegation it must needes follow, that Angels are not now to bee adored in the Church of Christ, howsocuer they were before; which is contrarie to their

their owne doctrine, and generall tenent of their religion. And secondly, if not Angels, then much lesse the Saints, who at their highest, though they be made like, yet are farre inferiour to the Angels in excellency of graces, and gifts. And thirdly, the reason wherewith the Angell, after he had reproued lohn, directeth him to the right object of religious worthip, doth ouerthrow this exposition; for he saith, Worship God: he doth not say, Forbeare to worship me, because your nature is dignified by the incarnation of the Sonne of God: but forbeare, because I am not God; and all divine and religious worship belongeth vnto him. And thus notwithstan. ding all that is yet said, all religious kneeling and profirating the body to the Saints, is Idolatrous.

Bell. de Sanctor. be t.li I ca.13. Vasques de adora, lib I. dif. 5. c. 3

52. As for the dedicating Temples, confecrating Festivall daies, making vowes to them, they are all within the same compasse, and that partly for the reasons before specified, being acts of a religious worship : but especially, because the Bell. decult. doctine of their Church is, that these things are so properly directed vnto the Saints, that the end of their consecration is determined in them. And therefore Bellarmine reprodues their opinion, which say, that Temples cannot properly bee erected to any, but to God: and affirmeth, that they may be dedicated directly vnto Saints, and that vowes may bee made to them determinately; and so also Holy daies consecrated: which cannot be any lesse then plaine Idolatrie : seeing, as Saint Augustine faith, [wi convenit Templum, ei convenit facrificium, to whom a Temple, to him a Sacrifice belongeth. And seeing the Scripture in many places to Rifieth, that vows must onely be made to God; I am not ignorant of their euasion, that they doe not dedicate Churches to Saints, as they are Temples; but as they are Basilica, that is, stately buildings for memorials of the Saints: and that a Vow is made to God in signum gratitudinis erga authorem, & primum principium omnium bonorum, as a figne of our thankfulnes to God the authour, and first cause of all good things : but to the Saints, as a signe of gratefulnesse towards our mediatours and Intercessours, by whole meanes wee receaue benefits from

Santt. 11.3.0.4. & lib.3.ca.9.6 cap. 16. Idem, Ibid.ca.4.

Aug. de ciuit. lib. 22.CA.10.

Numb.30.3. Deut.23.21. Efay 19.21.

God.

God. And that the honour of the holy day, though it immediately pertaineth to the Saints, yet mediately and seconda-

rily it amounteth to God.

53. These be Bellarmines goodly, but scarce godly diffinctions : for these, and such like as these are, heevseth as engines to vndermine the truth, and as vizards to couer the face of vgly falshood. But they may well bee ouerthrowne with this one blast, that the holy Scripture neuer taught them, neither have they any warrant from Gods Spirit : and therefore they are rather to be accounted forgeries of a frothy wit, then fruits of truth. But let vs examine them a little : A Church is dedicated to God as it is a Temple; and to a Saint, as it is a Basilica. Why then it seemeth, that either sometimes it is a Temple, and sometimes not a Temple, according to the fancie of those that approach vnto it; or else it is alwaies a Temple, and yet alwaies a Basilica too, and then the honour must be divided betwixt God and the Saints: let them take which they will; the first is impiety, the second Idolatry. Againe, for Vowes, though we vow chiefly vnto God, and secondarily to the Saints; yet the fame worship in nature is given to these as to him, onely it is not in the same degree : but Idolatry is to afford any part of Gods worship to a creature, as hath beene shewed. And lastly, touching feast daies, if they be immediately applied to the honour of the Saint, and in a mediate and secondarie respect to God, as his distinction importeth, then the creature is ado ed not onely with the like worship in nature, but with a higher degree then God himselfe. And thus the mist which he seeketh to cast ouer mens eyes, by the subtiltie of his distinctions, is quickly dispelled, afsoone as the light of truth sheweth it selfe: and therefore as Ixion, imbracing a cloud, in Read of Inno, begat a monfrous off-spring: so, the entertaining of those cloudie distinctions, without deciphering them to the quicke, hath bred, and doth breed most of those monstrous errors in the Church of Rome. Thus we see, that this outward adoration is tainted with most groffe Idolatrie.

54. The second branch of their Idolatrie to the Saints, is,

by Inuocation and Prayer directed vnto them. For, Prayer is a proper and peculiar part of Gods worship, and therefore not to be given to any other besides, without a plaine touch of Idolativ: for the commandement of God is in the Olde Testament, Call upon me in the day of trouble, not upon my An. Pfal 50.15. gels or my Saints, but vpon me : and that he alone is to bec inuocated, the reason sollowing declareth, and I will deliner thee .: from whence ariseth this conclusion, he alone is to be inuocated by prayer, that is able to deliver vs in the day of trouble: but God alone can doe that, therefore he alone is to be prayed vnto, Againe, it is the commandement of our Sauiour Christin the New Testament to his whole Church, that it should thus pray, O, our Father, not, O, our mother, nor, O, our brother, nor, O, our fifter, nor, O, our fellow-feruanis, as the Popish Church prayeth: but, O, our Father. If there had been any necessity of praying to Saints, sure, our Sauiour would here haue prescribed it, where he setteth downe a perfect forme of prayer to be vsed in his Church for ever. Infinite be the places of Scripture tending to this end; neither is there fo much as one precept or example in the whole Booke of God, that either injoyneth or approueth Inuocation of Saints, as Caffander confesseth; albeit his inference therevp- cassand. consult. on is absurd, that therefore it may be done, because, as there art. 21. is no mandate nor example extant to marrant it, so there is no prohibition to interdict it : as if it were not necessarily required, that as all our actions, so our prayers should bee grounded vpon faith, without which it is not onely impossible Iames 1.6. to please God, but also, what soener we doe, is sinne; but saith is Heb.11,6, grounded vpon the word of God only, It commeth by hearing, Rom. 14.23. faith the Apostle, and hearing by the word of God. How then can the Inuocation of Saints bee but vaine and vnprofitable; yea, impious and dangerous, seeing it is without faith, and so without all hope of Gods acceptance?

Rom. 10.17.

55. Suarez and Salmeron, two famous Ichuites, confesse suar in 3. Thom. as much as Cassander: for the one saith, that we never reade 9.52.dif.42.sec x that any directly prayed unto the Saints departed, that they should Saimer.com.in t pray for them: and the other, that the Innocation of Saints is Tim.2.dij.8.5.

not expressed in the New Testament, because it would have beene a harsh precept to the lewes, and dangerous to the Gentiles. Thus, here are three, and those not of the meanest, that acknowledge the invocation of Saints, not to bee found in Scripture. And yet Bellarmine and Eckim, and Cofter, and others are not ashamed to wrest divers places of Scripture to prooue it : but with what impudency of spirit, and cuill successe, I shall not neede to shew, being sufficiently discouered by others, and the very fight of them being a sufficient refutation.

56. As for his reason which he braggeth to be ynanswerable, me thinkes, it halts of all foure: for, because we entreat Bell, de Sanctor. Gods children here in this world to pray for vs, doth it therebeat. li.1.ca.19. fore follow that we must pray vnto them, being departed out of this world? By the same reason it may bee inforced, that we ought to give almes vnto them, and entertaine them into our houses, and wash their feete, and comfort them, and aduise them, and preach vntothem : for all these duties of charity wee performe to Saints militant. If they fay, Why, but they are remooued from vs, and also from their bodies; and therefore as they stand not in neede of our charity, so wee cannot extend it vnto them: The same answere cutteth the throte of this argument, they are so farre exalted about vs, and seuered from all commerce with our affaires, that though we vsed their prayers here on earth, yet it is in vaine to inuocate them in heaven, our prayers, as our deeds of charity, being not able to firetch fo farre. This I take to be a sufficient folution to that vnfoluble argument: Albeit, we have also another answere in readinesse, to wit, that there is not the same reason of the inuocation of Saints in heaven, as of the mutuall prayers of Gods children on earth, but a great difference; here we know one anothers necessities, therethe Saints know not our wants; here we are present with them whom we request to pray for vs; but we are not present with the Saints in heaven, northey with vs; and therefore the one is a fruite of charity, but the other a practice of piety and religion : here one living man may request anothers helpe by word of mouth

Esay 63. 16. 2. Reg. 22.20.



mouth or letter: but inuocation of Saints is often performed by the secret desires of the heart, without the veterance of any speech : here we fland as fellow members in our prayers, and make request for each other, not in our owne names. but in the name of Christ our Mediatour : but when men inuocate the Saints in heaven, they make them more then their fellowes, euen mediatours of intercession betweene them and God. Laffly, for mutuall praying for one another here, wee have both an expresse commandement, and plaine examples in the word of God; but for praying to the Saints, wee have neither commandement, not example, not promise in the

whole Scripture.

57. But that I may leave these things, and come to the poynt in hand, that Saints are made Idols by innocation, may appeare by these reasons: First, because they ascribe vnto them an omnipotent power to know the heart, which is a peculiar property belonging vnto God onely, Ier. 17. 10. Ier,17.10. Secondly, an infinite presence in all places, and that at once: for when one prayeth to Saint Peter at Rome, another at Paris, another at London, another at Constantinople, and all at one instant, must not he needs be present in all those places, or else how can he heare their prayers? Third'y, a divir e power to helpe and deliuer all those that call vpon them, and that not onely from outward calamities, but from inward finnes and corruptions. Fourthly, 2 property belonging onely vnto God. namely, to bee beleeued in : for how shall they call vpon him in whom they have not beleeved, faith S. Paul, Rom. 10, 14? Rom. 10.14. And laftly, a divine worthip, which is facrifice for prayer, is a spiritual sacrifice of Christians, Heb. 13.13. which, as all know, Heb. 13.13. pertaineth onely vnto God. And as Saint Angustine well con-Mal, 1.11. fesseth, when he faith, We doe not ordaine Priests, nor offer fa- 1. Tim. 2.8. crifices to the Martyrs, because it is unfit, undue, and unlamfull. and due onely to God alone : and againe in the same Chapter, becamfe not they, but their God is our God.

58. Against these arguments our aduersaries make a shew of opposition (and but a shew) after this manner : First, that they doe not ascribe to the Saints an omnipotent power, or

Aug.de ciuit.li cap. 27.

Bell. de Santtor. beat.li.1.ca,20.

Coffer. Enchir.

Philem. 1.5.

Mat 5.8.

Reucl. 6.9.

Acts 2 . 7.

an infinite presence by inuocating them; for they say, Beatifica visione, by that blessed vision of God, they doe behold all things which pertaine vnto them to know in him, tanquam in speculo, as it were in a glasse. Secondly, that the Saints helpe, not as Authours, but as instruments and impetrators. Thirdly, that wee may believe in them, though not as in God, but as in our Patrons and Protectours. And that Bellarmine proueth by Hierome vpon the Epifile to Philemon, on these words, When I beare of thy love and faith which thou hast towards the Lord lesus, and towards all Saints. Lastly, that Prayer is an improper kind of facrifice, so called by a figure

of speech, and not so, being in it owne nature.

59. But all these are but meere shifts, which may in some fort blanch the foulenesse of this errour to the dim eyes of the ignorant; yet those that are quicke-sighted, can easily discerne their falshood : and therefore that I may a little discouer their juggling, I answere to their objections in order; to the first three things: First, that this glasse, in which all things are said to be seene, is no where to be found in the Scripture, and therefore is a plaine forgerie of their owne braines: for we reade, Mat. 5. 8. That the pure in heart are therefore blessed, becamse they shall see God. From whence it may be gathered, that Visio beatifica est beatitudo videntium: In the blessed sight of God consists the happinesse of the Saints: but that thereby they should behold, as it werein a glasse, all things which they would, is no where to be found in the Scripture of God. Secondly, it is contrary to Scripture: for Revel. 6.9. it is faid, that the Saints under the Altar cry, How long, Lord, boly and true, wilt thou not revenge our blond! and Acts 2. 7. It is not (faith our Saujour to his Apostles) in you to know the times and feafons, which the Father hath put in his owne power. And that this is meant not onely of the Saints in this life, but also in heaven, appeareth by that which Christ saith, Mat. 24. 23. that the Angels know not the houre of the last Judgement: & if not the Angels, much leffe the Saints: but all these things doe in some fort pertaine vnto the, as well as the prayers of the living : and yet it is plaine, that they fee them

not in that glaffe. Thirdly, if that be certaine, that they fee in this glaffe the prayers and necessities of their suppliants; Why doth Coffer and others affirme, that they attaine this know- coffer Enchir. ledge by the relation of Angels? and yet they affigne to eucry man but one Angell: furely, that Angel is well employed in ascending and descending cuery houre to carry newes to the Saints. And why doc others fay, that the Saints are after a fort by a wonderfull celerity of their glorified nature in euery place? This is a wonderfull celerity indeed, if it were poffible for them to be so; and yet be it as they would have it, it cannot enince an audience of those prayers which are made ar one and the same instant, in divers and farre distantiplaces. And lastly, why doe some others affirme, that they have this knowledge not by the vision of God, but by ordinarie and continuall reuelation from God? If by vision, then not by reuelation: and if by reuelation, then not by vision. And thus, like men in the darke, they fight with one another, and whilest they for sake the light of the truth which shineth in the word of God, they fall into grieuous errours, h ammered out of their owne fancies, if they say it is no matter how they know our wants: but certaine it is that they doe know them: they take that for granted which is the question to be proued, & which by no sufficient argument they can enince.

60. To the second objection I answere, that most ignorant persons esteeme the Saints, to whom they pray, as the very authours and givers of those good things which they pray for, without any respect vnto God, saue that they thinke hee hath put them into their hands to be edistributed at their pleasure; and therefore they pray to one for their Horses, and to another for their Hogs, and to a third for their Kine, &c. Yea, not onely the simple sort doe this, but it is the doctrine of their Church, as may appeare by this rime in their autho-

rized Service-Bookes, to the bleffed Virgin.
In te pluit, inte fluit Deus suam gratiam;

Ergo tua nobis plue gratia clementiam: Ad beati tui Nati transfer prasentiam, Et cunstorum delictorum confer indulgentiam.

kinde

That is: Godraines bis grace abundantly on thee:

Of that thy grace let vs partakers bee:

Bring vs unto the presence of thy Sonne,

And pardon all the sinnes which we have done.

Here the Virgin Marie is not made the Imperrator, but the giver of grace: and so are the rest of the Saints, as shall

more fully appeare in the next Section.

Aug.Tractat. in

Idem ser.de temper. 131.

61. To the third I answere, that to beleeve in a creature. is to deifie that creature. For as Augustine well obserueth. Wee beleene the Apostle, we doe not beleene in the Apostle: and we believe the Church, and not in the Church: and therefore in the Apostles Creed, where we say, I beleeve in God; wee doe not say, I beleeue in the Catholicke Church, but I beleeue the Catholicke Church: whereby is plainely infinuated, that none but God is to be beleeved in, because to beleeue in a thing, is to put our trust and confidence in that thing. As for that place in the Epistle to Philemon, it maketh nothing for this purpose; for there the word Faith is referred to the Lord Iesus, and Loue to the Saints : neither ought Saint Hieromes authority incre prejudicate vs in this interpretation, then it doth them in many fuch like, whom they reiectasthey do the rest of the Fathers, at their pleasures: efpecially, seeing no man else besides himselfe is of that minde: at least wise, if he understand by faith, to believe in the Saints, and not to beleeve them onely: the one whereof is properto the Creator, the other to the creatures.

62. To the last I answere, that Prayer is properly one of the facrifices of the New Testament; for here the facrifices are not corporall, but spirituall, as may bee produed in generall by that which our Sauiour saith, Iohn 4. God will be worshipped in Spirit, and truth. And in particular, by comparing Mal. 1.11. with 1. Tim. 2.8. for whereas Malachie prophecying of the Kingdome of Christ, had said that Incense, and a cleane offering should be offered to God in enery place: Paul sheweth what is meant hereby, when he commanded to lift up pure hands unto God in enery place. But suppose that it were improperly called a sacrifice, yet it looseth not the knot; for all

Mal,1.11. 1.Tim.2.8.

kinde of facrifices, both proper and improper, corporall and spirituall, are due onely vnto God: for to whome belongeth a Aug. de civit, li. 8 Temple and Altar, to him belongeth a sacrifice, saith Saint Au. cap. vlt. gustine : but no Temple or Alter, proper or improper, is to be built, or fet vp to any but to God: and therfore no facrifice is to be offered but to him.

63. Laftly, touching the authority of the Fathers, which are alleaged to frequently by Bellarmine, to prooue the Inuocation of Saines: and from which Cassander would draw this conclusion, That it was not credible that those holy men would admit any dollrine or custome, which they supposed to bee contrary to the Enangelicall and Apostolicall doctrine, or detract any thing from the glery of God, or the merit of Christ, when as they underwent so beause conflicts for Christs sake, Here, (not to keepe the Reader in sulpense, referring a fuller satisfaction to this argument to a more fit place) foure things are to bee noted; first, that for the space of two hundred yeares after Christ, the Intercession and Inuocation of Saints, were do-Chines vnknowne vnto the Church: and therefore they alledge no Father within that compasse, saue Dionisius Areopa- Dionis. Areopag. gita: Cap. 7. Ecclef. Hierarch: which booke, as diners o. ther that goe under that name, Illyricus hath proved to bee counterfeit by impregnable reasons. And Ireneus, Lib. 5. contra Heres. who saith, that the Virgine Mary was made the heres.lib.s. Advocate of the Virgine Eue: by which hee could not meane that Ene did pray vnto Mary here on earth, seeing Mary was not then borne when Eue lived: nor that the Virgine Mary did pray for Eue whilst shee lued, because then shee her selfe was not : both which must needes be, if by this testimony the Invocation of Saints should be proved.

64. Secondly, those Fathers that lived in the next two hundred yeares, speake of this matter very variously and doubtfully, as if it were a doctrine which they knew not what to fay to, & were not fully resolued in. Thirdly, of those Fathers which he alleageth, though in some places they seeme to allow that custome which was then brought into the Church: yet in other places they disallow the same. Yea, and they are disapproued

Eccles.Hier.c.7.

Iraneus cont.

Oriz.in Epist. ad Rom. lib. 2. 6 bom. 3. in Cant. Nazian, de laud. Gorgon. & Orat. 1.cont. Iulian.& Orat. de Basil. & epitap. patris. Hier. Epift. ad Nepetran. Aug. de cura pro mort.cap. 16. 6. 13. GinPsal. 108.

disapprooued also of others that lived in the same age. Thus true Athanasius condemneth Invocation of Saints, Orat. 2. & 3. contra Arianos: and salle Athanasius alloweth it, Sermon. in Euangel. de Sanctissima Despara. Basil approve thit, but Nazianzene doubteth of it, and Epiphanius that lived also about that time, vtterly condemneth is. Chrysostome in seme places seemeth to allow of it; in others, he speaketh against it: and to doth Augustine, and the rest, as you may see at large prooved by Chemnitius in his examine of the Councill of Trent. And that which is not to be forgotten, they alleage many salle and counterfeit Bookes, under the name of the Fathers, as Dionysius Areopagita. Ecclesiast. Hierar. Athanas. Serm. de Sanctissima Deipara. Chrysost. hom. ad pop. 66. and many others of the like impression, as the same Chemnitius

chemnit.exam. de Inuoc. San Et.

hath learnedly and vnanswerably prooued.

65. Laftly, those Fathers which doe defend this Inuocation, yet do not defend it as it is now practifed in the Church of Rome: for first, the Fathers, if they did allow of this Inuocation, yet it was in their prinate denotions, not in the publike Leiturgie of the Church : for it cannot bee prooued. that in any of the ancient Leiturgies this Invocation was vsed, vntill Gregorie the firsts time (for as for that which was called Chry (oftomes Masse, all know it is a bastard brat, and not a true Child of that good Father) but in the Church of Rome it is practised in their publicke service, and so is come from a matter of private devotion, to a generall practice of Religion. Secondly, the Fathers, though they may seeme to haue prayed sometimes vato the Saints, out of the heate of their deuotion; yet it was but now and then, and as it were, by the way, whereas their ordinary prayers and denotions were directed vnto God : but in the Church of Rome the Saints are more prayed vnto, then God; he hath the least, and they the greatest share in their devotion; witnesse the Letanie of the bleffed Virgin Marie, and the Marie Pfalter, and their Common practice. Thirdly, the Fathers, albeit they directed their prayers sometimes to the Saints, yet they reposed most confidence in their prayers to God, and in the mediation

of Christ, as appeareth by that which Chrysoftome faith: Ad chrysoft, bom. 12 Deumnon offictio, &c. We need no Porter, nor Mediator, nor in Maib. Minister, to bring vs to God: (ay but, Miscrere mei Dens, etc. And in another place hee faith, that when wee pray our felnesto Idem hom. de God, wee obtaine more, then when others pray for us. But the prof. Enang. superstitious Romanists thinke to speede better when they pray to the Saints, then when vnto God: And therefore they are not ashamed to say, that we must appeale from the Court of Godsiustice, to the Court of his Mothers mercy. Fourth- Bernardine in ly, the Fathers did not fo much as dreame of any merits of Supererogation which should be in the Saints, and by them should be communicated vntovs; but all the interest and benefit which we have to & in the merits of the Saints, in their iudgement, was, by vertue of the Communion of Saints: that as the members of one body enigy the strength and vigor that is in each other; fo the members of Christ militant, Aug.in Pfal, 130 receiue a certaine benefit from the gifts of God, bestowed vpon the Saints triumphant, and doe as it were merite by Caffand. consult. their merits, because they are all members of one and the same mysticall body. But the Romanists hold, that the Saints doe supererogate, that is, having more merits then they need themsclues, doe conferre some of their superabundance vpon their poore brethren that want. Fiftly, the Fathers, when they spake of praying to the Saints, did not speake positively, but tropically, and figuratively, by hyperbolicall, and Rhetoricall Apostophers, as may appeare plainely in the Orations of Nazianzen, and other of their writings : But the Romanists conclude positively, and doctrinally, without any Rhetoricall figures, or Hyperbolicall elocutions. Sixtly, as Cassander confesseth, when the Fathers said to the Saints, Orate pronobis, they meant, V tinam oretis pro nobis, Would to God they would pray for vs; and so they were rather wishes, then prayers: But the Romanists admit no such extenuation. but flatly affirme, that wee ought directly to pray vinto them as our Patrons, Protectors, and Intercessors. And lastly, the Fathers relyed not vpon the intercession of Saints, except there were in themselves a care and conscience of a godly life ::

de Inuoc. San Et.

life: but in Popery, notorious, wicked, and vngodly persons, that neuer thinke vpon amendment of their lines, but perseuere in their sinnes without repentance: yet doe assure themselues to bee saued by the merits and intercession of the Saints. And thus, how so euer they make a shew of Fathers, to cloke their Idolatry with alsyet the Fathers, if they be rightly vnderstood, are as much different from them, as blacke isfrom white. And the Fathers might bee free from Idolatrie, when as they remaine guiltie.

66. The third and last way whereby they turne the blessed Saints into Idols, is by putting their trust and considence in their merits and mediation: which kinde of spirituall worship is due only vuto the diuine Maiestie, as hath been shewed. The truth of which affertion may be proued, first, by their doctrine, & secondly, by the publike practice of their Church. Touching their doctrine, to omit the impious, impudent, and blasphemous opinions of their Monkes and Friers, who have egregiously exceeded the bounds of all pietic in this point; less the Romanists should say, that they were but private mens conceits, and not the received doctrines of the Church; I will onely relate some sew sentences out of their most publicke and athenticke writings.

Lumbard lib. 4. dift. 45.

67. And to begiff with Peter Lumbard; he faith, that the Saints decintercede for vs both by their merite, and by their affection: therefore we pray unto them, that their merits may helpe vs, and that they would will our good; became, if they will it, Ged also will will it, and it shall be done. Thus hee makes Gods will to depend vpon theirs, and not theirs vpon Gods; and consequently, more trust to be reposed in them, then in God. Alexander Alensis, the most ancient of the Schoolemen. writeth, that the Saints are to bee prayed voto for three caufes: First, eyther for our powerty in meriting, that where our merits faile, others may patronize us: or for our pouertie in contemplation, that wee not being able to behold the highest light in it selfe, may behold the same in the Saints: or for our powertie in louing, because the efficacie of prayer ariseth from denotion: and for the most part, an imperfect man doth feele himselfe more effelted

Alex. Alensis
in 4. Sentent. q.
92. memb. 1:

fetted towards the Saints, then towards God. Secondly, for the glory of the Saints, that whilft wee obtaine that which wee defire by their suffrages, wee may magnifie them. And thirdly, for the reuerer ce of God, that a sinner that dares not come unto him in his owne person, may fly to the Saints, and implore their belps. Bonanenture affirmeth, that the Saints by their merits have not Bonauent, in 4. onely defermed happinesse and glory to themselves, but aiso by their sentent. D. 45. merits of supererogation, have power to helps others that pray unto them. And againe he faith, He that was before vnworthy. by praying to the Saints is made worthy, Aquinas giverh this reason for praying to the Saints; Quia vitima reducun. Aquinas suplentur in Deum per media: Because the extremes are reduced to God by the meanes, therfore Gods benefits are contraved vnto vs by the meanes of the Saints. Biel faith, that we ought to fly to the refuge of the Saints, that we may be saved by their merits and prayers: and he faith further, that God hath given halfe bis Kingdome to the bleffed Virgine, the Queene of heanen; as Assucrus promised to Queene Ester: and so retayning instice to himselfe, he hath graunted mercy to her to be exercised. And vpon this ground, is that saying of Bernhardine, that we Bernhardine in must appeale from the Court of Gods instice, to the Court of his marial. Mothers mercy. But Antoninus, the Archbishop of Florence is more playne then them all: for hee telleth vs, That it must needs be, that to whom soener the ble sed Virgin turneth her eyes, they must be instified and saued. And againe, that Christ is not only an Aduocate, but a ludge: and therefore a sinner dareth not approch unto him; but that God hath provided us of an Adnocastreffe, which is sweet and milde, and in whom is no bitternesse. And againe, Mary is that Throne of grace, spoken of Heb.4. 16. to whom we must approch with confidence, that we Heb. 4. 16. may obtayne mercy, and find grace, in the time of neede: Againe, he calleth the Virgin Mary, the gate of heauen, because what. focuer grace ener came out of heaven into the world, came out by ber meanes: and what soener thing entreth into heaven, must enter by her : and so he calleth the other Saints, Portas coli: The gates of beauen. Because by their prayers they carry vs into Sotus in confess. heaven. Sotus faith, that the Saints are condintors, and Cathol.

3 . part. 98. 72.

Gabr. Biel. fu-

Antonin.part. 4.

Sous in confess. Cathol.

cohelpers in the worke of our saluation. Many such-like blasphemous sayings might bee alledged out of their subtile Schoolemen, whereby it cuidently appeareth, that in those dayes the poore ignorant Romanists were taught to repose the trust and confidence of their saluation, in the merits and mediation of the Saints; yea, and that more then in Christ: as that publike Picture which was extant in many of their Churches doth more fully prooue: when as Christ our Sauiour was painted like a sterne man casting darts, and the people flying for succour to the Virgine Mary, who interposed her selfe, and shewing her Sonne her brests, received his darts in her garment. If this bee net, besides Idolatrie, hor-

68. But what? is the doctrine of latter times any whit

rible and fearefull blasphemy, let all men judge.

concil. Trident. Seff. 9.

Catechif. Roman.

Cofter Enchir.

Viega in Apocal.

concion.pa.681. Bell, de Sancter. bent lin ca.20.

Oforius tom. 3.

purer? no verily: for the Councill of Trent, that was called in pretence to this end, to reforme abuses in the Church, and to restore Religion to some puritie, doth approoue and confirme all these grosse opinions of the Schoole Divines : for thus it decreeth; that it is good and profitable, humbly to inuocate the Saints, and to fly to their prayers and succour for the obtayning of bleffing from God in Christ. And that wee may see the meaning of this Decree, the Romane Catechisme, which was made by the commaundement of the Bishop of Rome, doth more expressely affirme, that the Saints are therefore to be called upon, because they pray continually for the saluation of men: and God bestoweth many benefits upon us for their merit and grace sake: and that they obtaine pardon for our sinnes, and reconcile vs into the fauour of God. And for the refining Iefuites, they have not yet refined this errour: for, Cofter writeth, that the Saints are to be innocated, both, that they may mediate our cause to God, and also, that themselves may beloe vs. Viega, another Iesuite, saith, that they are as it were the dores, by which an entrance is opened to us unto the most holy places in beauen. Oferius, another of the same stampe, affirmeth, that Godginethus all good things by the intercession of the Saints. And lastly, to make up the messe, Bellarmine himselfe, that is more wary then all the rest, doth not blush to say, that Gods

Rell. de Sanctor.

beat. l. 1. 6. 29.

predestination is helped & supported by the prayers of the Saints, because God hath determined to use their prayers for the effecting of mans saluation. Behold here a Map of the Romish doctrine. Who can now choose but account them Idolatets, when they thus teach the people, That all blessings descend upon them by the meanes of the Saints; and so encourage them to repose their confidence in their merits?

69. But from their doctrine, let vs come to the practice of their Church, and we shall see this more cleerely: and heere some sew examples shall serve for a taste; for to propound all in this kinde, would bee both tedious and needlesse. Thus therefore in their publike Service Bookes, Rosaries, and Bre-

uiaries, they pray vnto the Saints:

To Saint Paul.

Vouch safe to bring thy humble suppliants to heaven after the end of this life, to whom thou hast revealed the light of truth.

To Saint lames the greater.

Haile, ô singular safeguard of thy pilgrims, bountifully heare the prayers of thy servants, helpe them that wor-ship thee, and bring them to heaven.

To Saint Thomas thus:

Vouchsafe to establish us, thy suppliants, in his faith, by handling of who, thou deserveds to acknowledge to be God.

To Saint Iohn.

Haile, ô holy Apostle of our Lord Iesus Christ, I intreat thee by his love who chose thee out of the world, that thou wouldest deliver me, thy unworthy servant, from all adversitie, and from all impediments of body and soule, and receiving my soule at the houre of death, wouldest bring me to life everlasting.

To Saint George thus:

Hee same us from our sinnes, that wee may rest with the

blessed in heaven.

Here Saint George is made a Saulour, and that from sinne: and so either Christ is cleere put out of his office, or George loyned with him in his office.

3 Againe,

Againe, to Saint Erasmus.

Graunt, that by thy merits and prayers, we may ouercome all the snares of our enemies, and be freed from the pourty of body and minde, and from eternall death.

To Saint Christopher.

O glorious Martyr, Christopher, bee mindefullof vs to God, and without delay, defend our body, sense and honor, thou that deservedst to carry in thine armes over the Sea, the Flower of beauen, cansevs to avoid all wickednesse, and to love God with all our hearts.

To Saint Colmus and Damianus.

O most holy Physicians, who shine in heasien most cleerely by your merits, preserve vs both from bodily plaque and disease, and also from the death of the soule, that we may line in grace, untill me enter into heauen.

To Francis the Fryer, thus:

O Francis, sunnes light, singular crucified Saint, &c. bee thou to us the way of life, make satisfaction for us alway,

shew to Christ the marks of thy wounds.

This Frier Francis they make equall to Christ: and therefore they say, that Christimprinted his five wounds vpon him, as if he also were to suffer for the world, and redeeme mankind, and that they were alike in all things: as those blasphemous Verses of two shamelesse Iesuites, Turselline and Bencisus doc declare.

Exue Franciscu sunica lacerag; qui Franciscus erat jam tibi

Christus crit. Francisci exuvys (liqua licei) indue Christum : iam Franciscus erit, qui modo Christus erat.

Posteuine bibl.

felect. pag. 295.

Boz de sig.eccles.

tom. 2. pag. 200.

cucullo:

70. What should I trouble thee (gentle Reader) with any more of this trumpery? their Bookes are full of such-like prayers, if any please to read them: and that we may plainely fee, that they put their trust and confidence in them, not onely the words doe sufficiently signifie, but also the liberall indulgences their Popes have annexed to the devout fayings of fach Orisons: As Pope Sixtus hath promised eleven thousand yeeres pardon to them that shall say a certaine prayer before the Image of the Virgine Mary, beginning thus; Ane santta Mater Dei, &c. But to leave the rest of the Saints, and to come to the bleffed Virgine, whom with Epiphanius, we bleffe and honour, but in no case worship: it is 2 wonder

Epiphan. üb. 3.

wonder into what an abominable Idoll they have translated, not her (for shee abhorres their impietie,) but the Idea and fancy of her, which they have deuised in their owne braynes: for they call her the Queene of heaven, the Mother of mercy, the Gate of Paradife, the Life and hope of a sinner, the Light of the Church, the Lady of the world, the Aduocatresse and Mediatrix of mankinde: yea, they say, that the death Bernhardinandpassion of Christand the holy Virgine, was for the re- mariale, demption of mankinde; and that the also must come betwixt God and vs for the remission of sinne; and that her Sonne Pet. Galatin. and she redeemed the world with one heart: as Adam and Ene de arcan cathol. fold the world for one apple. And thus they io yne the Virgine verit. pag. 515. Mary with Christ in the office of our redemption, and so make her equall with him, which were somewhat tolerable, if they could flay there, but they climbe higher in impudency, and not onely match her with Christ, but fet her about him: For they tell vs of a vision, How Christ preparing to judge the world, there were two Ladders fet, that reached to heauen ; the one red, at the top whereof Christ fate; the Spec. exempl. other white, at the top whereof the Virgine Mary fate: and d. 7. 11, 4. when the Friers could not get vp by the red Ladder of Christ, but euermore fell downe, Saint Francis called them to the white Ladder of our Lady, and there they were received. And a late Tesuite hath set forth to the view of the world certaine carolus Scriban. Verses, wherein he preferres the milke of our Lady, in many respects, before the bloud of Christ: yez, they subject Christ, now raigning in the heavens, to his Mothers command: as it is fung in some of their Churches:

> O happy Virgine, that our sinnes dost purge, Entreatethy Mother, and thy Sonne doe vrge; Command him, though Redeemer that he be. By right of Motherhood, which is given to thee.

71. And this the Rosarie of the Virgine Mary doth more euidently manifest : for Dominicke, who was the first inuentor of it, ordayned that fiftie Ane Maries should be recited, and at eucry tenth, one Pater nofter, which together make a Rosarie:

alias, clarus Benarscius.

Gab. Biel lest. 80. in Canon mille. Cassand. consult. pag. 155.

Rosarie: and for this purpose the same Dominicke framed five and fiftie Stones or Beades, and hung them together on a Aring, betwixt euery ten little ones, one great one, and called them Patriloguia, as it were prayers to the Father; which he might more properly have called, Matriloguia, prayers to the Mother: for here are ten Aues, to each Pater nofter. And this was the originall of praying vpon Beads. Now out of these Rosaries, sprung there Mary Psalters: for three Ro. faries, to wit, an hundred and fifty Aue Maries, and fifteene Pater nofters, make one Mary Pfalter, because, forfooth, the Pfalter of David confifts of so many Pfalmes: and to the fraternitie of this Pfalter, and the fayers thereof, were given by divers Popes, as Sixtus the fourth, and Innecent the eighth, threescore thousand yeeres of indulgence, and plenary remission both from the punishment and fault, one, in the time of life, and one in the houre of death. Is not heere, I pray you, the worship of the Virgine Mary exalted aboue the worship of Christ? who can doubt of it, seeing the proportion is ten to one, fifty to fiue, an hundred to ten, an hundred and fifty to fifteene? And no maruell, if it beethus in their prayers, seeing it is as euill or worse in their deeds: for whereas wee have one Church, or religious house, dedicated to Christ, we shall finde ten dedicated to Mary the Mother of Christ: and so the Mother is advanced above the Sonne, and yet she but a woman of flesh and bloud, saued by her Sonne, and bleffed by that faith which shee had in him, and hee the Sonne of God, as well as the Sonne of Man, the Saujour and Redcemer of mankinde.

Cassand. consult.

72. But the most horrible Idolattic and blasshemy of all the rest, is to be sound in another Mary Psalter of theirs, compiled (as they say) by Bonauenture, and authorised in the Church of Rome: wherein they apply all the whole Psalter of Danid, to the Virgine Mary; and wheresoeuer they finde the name, Dominus, Lord, they put in, Domina, Lady: as for example, in the third Psalme, for, Lord, how are my foes increast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast; they say, Lady, how are my foes more ast say.

O Lady, correctme not in thy mrath. And in the 31. Plalme, for, Bleffed are they, O Lord, whose sinnes are forginen; they say, Bleffed are they whose hearts love thee, O Virgine Mary; for their sinnes shall be forginen them by thee: and so cleane through the Plalter. If any desire to see the gulse and dunghill of Super-stition and Idolatrie, practised in the Church of Rome, under the Inuocation of Saints, let him but read this one Psalter, which alone, if there were no other argument, is sufficient to convince their whole Church of open and notorious Idolatrie: and that, Cassander himselfe consesses in the place above quoted.

73. Thus they exalt the Virgine Mary aboue Christ, and equall her with God: yea, which is horrible to speake, and fearefull to be recorded, they place her aboue God himselse: for they teach, that a man may appeale to the Virgine Mary, not onely from a Tyrant, and from the Diuell; but even from God himselse. This writeth Bernardine de Busto, about 120. yeeres since, and his booke was authorised by Pope Alexander the sixt, and yet remaines so fatre from all disallowance, that it is appropued by Possenine, as a learned and godly booke. Out of which it must needes follow (which I tremble to veter) that by their doctrine, the Virgine Mary is greater then God; because every appeale is from the lesser to the

greater.

74. But no maruell, if they preserve Mary to Christ, that is, the Mother before the Sonne, seeing they doe as much to two Fryers, Francis and Dominicke. He that would see how Francis is muched and exalted about Christ, let him read the booke of his Conformities, and hee will be associated at their madnesse. Also, of Dominicke they write most strange things, and such, as Christ neuer did the like: as for example; Christ rayted but three dead (saith Antonium:) but Dominicke rayted three at Rome, and forty that were drowned in a River neere to Tholosse. Christ being made immortall after his resurrection, entred twice into the house, the dores being shut: but Dominicke, being a mortal man, entred into a Church in the night, the dores being shut, that he might

Bernardine de Busto. marial. p. 3. ser. 3. pa. 96 Possèuine in Appar. sacr. Pet. Mathaus com. sub constit. Pii. 2. pag. 20.

Antonin. part.3. tit. 23.6.1.5.3.

and

not waken the brethren. Christ had all power committed vnto him in heaven and earth: and Dominicke did partake the same powe rwith him; for the Angels served him, the Elements obeyed him, & the Divels trembled at him. Christ was the Lord absolutely, and by authority : but Dominicke principally, and by possession. Christ was laid at his birth in a Manger, and wrapped in clouts to keepe him from cold: but Dominicke being an infant, would often get out of his bed, and as if hee abhorred all delights of the flesh, lye vpon the bare ground. Christ neuer prayed, but hee was heard, if he would; except in the Garden, when heeprayed that the Cup might passe away from him, where praying according to his sensual part, would not be heard according to reason: but Dominicke neuer desired any thing of God, but it was graunted vnto him. Christ being borne, a Starre appeared in the East, which directed the Wife-men to him, and foreshewed that he should be the light of the world : but Dominicke being borne, and ready to bee baptized, his Godmother saw a Starre in his forehead, foretelling a new light of the world. Lassly, Christ loued vs, and washed away our finnes by his bloud : fo did Dominicke; for hee whipt himselfe thrice euery day with an Iron chayne, and drew bloud each time out of his fides: once for his owne finnes, which were very small; the second for those that were in Purgatory; and the third for those that live in the world. Is not Dominicke heere in some things equalled, and in others preferred before our bleffed Saujour, Iesus Christ?

75. And thus to passe over all their sale and counterseit Saints, which eyther never were in rerum natura, or were not such as they make them: as Christopher, George, Catherine, and such like. For it is a true saying of Augustine, or of some other; Multorum corpora honorantur in terris, quorum anima torquentur in inferno: The bodies of many are honoured on earth, whose soules are tormented in hell. And to omit that the Pope may erre in the canonizing of Saints, it being grounded upon salse miracles: as Caietane acknowledgeth, and others; though Bellarumine be of another minde,

Bel.de beat. Sanct.lib.1.ca.9.

Caiet, trast. de concepció Indulg. Bel. de eccles.tri-\*mp.l. 1. c. 9.

and laboureth to produe the contrary : but (God wot) with very shallow reasons, as any may discerne that will but read him. To omit (I fay) all this, by that which hath beene fayd it is most cleere, that under the doctrine and practice of Innocation of Saints in the Church of Rome, lyeth lurking most abominable Idolatry.

76. The last principall branch of Idolatry, maintayned and practised in the Church of Rome, is the adoration and worshipping of the Crosse. Now by the Crosse they understand, eyther the true Crosse of Christ, together with any part or portion thereof; or the picture or image of that Crosse, whether it bee materiall and permanent, or transeunt and formall onely. Of both which this is the doctrine of the Church of Rome: that not onely that Crosse whereon Christ dyed, but euery picture and representation of it, whether grauen or paynted, or expressed in the ayre, with the hand and fingers, is to be kiffed and adored. This is the position of Vasques the lesuite; and hee saith, that it is the doctrine and faith of the Romane Church. And the same is avouched by Bellarmine, and confirmed by many arguments (weake 1.3.c.,27.28.29. ones, God wot) in three whole Chapters: wherein hee laboureth to prooue; first, that the Crosseit selfe: secondly, that the Image of the Crosse: and thirdly, that the signe of the Crosse, are all to be worshipped: and with what kind of worship? Aquinas refolues vs in that point, whe he affirmeth, Aquin. Sum. that the very Crosse of Christ, whereon he was crucified, is to bee part. 3. art. 4. worshipped with dinine worship: for two causes; both for the representation or resemblance it bath to Christ; as also, for that it touched the body of Christ: but the signe of the Croffe or Crucifix, is to be worshipped with latria, onely in the former respect. And this is still the doctrine of their Church: for neither is it taxed in their late editions for errour, nor contradicted by any other Romish Doctor. Yea, a chrys: a visitat. late famous Papist, and a professor of Divinitie, doth plainely deverb. demiconfirme the same: dedicating his booke to Pope Clement the eighth, for he faith in playne words, that' they worship the Crosse with the same worship wherewith they adore Christ him-

Vasques de Adora, l. 3.c. 2. Bel. de Imag.

ni,10m.4 1.6.c.7

selfe: and that they pray unto the Crosse, as unto him that was crucified onit, and repose the hope of their saluation upon it.

77. And this is the doctrine of the Romish Synagogue at this day; and their practice is correspondent thereunto: for they kneele vnto the Crosse, they kisse it, they creepe vnto it, they pray vnto it; yea, they repose the hope of their saluation in it: as appeareth in that sorme of prayer vsed in their Masse booke:

All haile, ô Crosse, our onely hope; in this time of Lent, doe thou increase righteousnes in good men, and graunt pardon to sinners.

Now that this is heathenish Idolatrie, may appeare by these reasons: First, because outward religious adoration is given to a piece of wood, or brasse, or gold, or some other matter. Secondly, because divine worship, even latria, which Augustine saith, is proper onely vnto God, is given to a creature: for such is the Crosse, at the best. Thirdly, because they pray vnto it, as vnto a living thing. Fourthly, because they repose the hope of their salvation in it. And lastly, because many, if not all of these Reliques, which are believed to bee fragments of Chriss Crosse, are false and counterseit; as hath beene shewed already. In all these respects the Crosse is made an Idoll, and the worshippers of it are no better then Idolaters.

78. Ob. I, but the Crosse touched Christ, and therefore it is to bee worshipped with divine worship. R. So did the Manger wherein hee lay, being an infant; and the Graue wherein he was layd, being dead; and the Pillar whereunto he leaned, being whipped; and the Asse whereon hee rode, being in his iourney to the City: yea, so did the wombe of the blessed Virgine his Mother, before hee was borne: and yet they will not say that any of these are to bee worshipped with latria. I am sure the Apostles cannot bee found to have given any maner of religious worship to any of these things, much lesse divine worship: though I deny not, but that the true Reliques of Christ, and those things that any waies pertayned vato him, were reverenced without doubt by his friends,

Aug.in Fauft. lib: 15.

friends after his departure; and so farre we also willingly condescend vnto them; but that any religious worship was given vnto the, they can neuer prooue. Ob. I, but the Croffe was the Bell. de Imag. instrument of Christs passion, and Mans redemption, and the lib.2. cap.27. Altar of that great Sacrifice, and the Ladder by which Christ ascendedinto heaven; therefore it is to bee worshipped. R. So was Indas an instrument of Christs passion, and our redemption: as Saint Augustine teacheth, when he saith, that Aug. in Pfal. 34. Indas was elected by Christ, to the end, that by him bee might fulfill our redemption; and so was Pilate and Caiphas: yet these are not therefore to be worshipped, vnlesse wee will reuiue the old herefie of the Carnians and the Marrionites. And fo was the Speare that let out his heart bloud, which was the cap. 18. price of our redemption; and yet they themselves doe not giue divine worship vnto it for that cause, albeit they make an Idoll of it, as hath beene declared. Ob. I, but many Bell.de Imag. mysteries are signified by the Crosse: as first, Christian per- lib.2.cap.27. fection, in the longitude, latitude, height, and profunditie of it: the profundity fignifying faith; the height, hope; the latitude, charitie; and the longitude, perseucrance. Secondly, the effect of Christs passion: the highest pecce of wood fignifying, that heaven was opened, and God pacified: the lowest, that was fastned in the ground, that hel was emptied, and the Diuell conquered: the ouerthwart peece, that the whole world was redeemed, &c. Thirdly, the vniting of Iewes and Gentiles: the two armes of the Crosses vnder one title, representing the vnion of two people vnder one head. These, and divers other mysteries are hidden under the Croffe, therefore it is to be worthipped with dinine worthip. R. Suppose that all these mysteries were there to be coceiucd; vet to fay, that therefore it is to be worshipped, is a silly reason, and scarce besitting the learning of Bellarmine : for by the same argument, all their Sacraments, and many other things, should be worshipped with divine worship. Ob. I, but the Crosse mas miraculously found out by Helena: and that not before Constantines time, when it might safely bee worshipped; and it was reuealed to bee the true Croffe, by euident

Aug. de bares. Iran.li.1.ca.29.

Ambref de obitu

dent miracles: therefore it is to be worshipped with divine worship. R. Graunt all this to be true, which not withstanding may probably be questioned: yet that this doth not produc that the Crosse is to be worshipped, Helenes owne example doeth shew: for as Ambrose writeth, Shee worshipped not the wood of the Crosse, but him that hung upon the wood, because this (saith he) is a beathernsherrour, &c. neither can they ever produc that it was therefore revealed, that it might be worshipped.

79. Did euer any man read more pittifull arguments? the

Bell. ibid.

rest which hee vseth are all of the same stampe. Ob. I, but a Crucifix is like vnto Christ, saith hee, therefore it is to bee worshipped with latria. R. But the Virgin his Mother was more like to him, and yet they give not vnto her so high a worship. Ob. I, but the Fathers held the Crosse in great

reuerence, and the Image of the Crosse, and worshipped them. R. True, they reuerenced them, and held them in great estimation: but yet there was no worship given vnto them, vntill neere 400. yeeres after Christ. About that time began this superstition: for in Saint Ambrose time it was not

crept in, as appeareth by that testimony before alledged:
not in Arnobius time, who plainely affirmeth, that they did
not worship Crosses. Againe, those Fathers that did adore

them, did not worship the Crosse or the Crucifix, but him
Hierom in epit. that hung vpon the Crosse; as may appeare by Hieroms testi-

mony concerning Paula, who faith, that shee falling prostrate before the Crosse, morshipped as if shee had seene the Lord there banging before her: by which it is playne, that she worship-

ped not the Crosse, but the Lord. And Ambrose also witnesset the same, when he calleth it an heathenish errour, and the vanitie of micked men to worship the Crosse. But the Romanists

Ambros.de obitu Theedos.

Arnob. lib. 8.

Paula.

teach, that the Crosse it selfe, and the Crucifix are to be worshipped, and that with the highest worship. Ob. I, but many and strange miracles have beene wrought by the signe of
the Crosse; therefore it is to be worshipped. R. The argument is naught: for if every worker of miracles should

he worshipped with divine adoration, then all the Apostles might

might challenge this honour vnto them. So might lannes and lambres that refisted Moses. Yea, so might Antichrist himselse: for his comming is with lying signes and wonders : lying, not onely in respect of their substance, which is sometimes counterfeit : but also in respect of the end, which is to seduce, when the miracle for substance may bee true: and this is both Saint Chrystoftomes and Saint Augustines exposition of that place : besides, the myracles that were done thes. 2. at or before this figue, were effected by the power of the fa en and inuocation of Christ crucified; and not by the bare figne of the Croffe, as most of the Fathers consesse, and all of them doe secretly infinuate. And therefore the figning of themselves with the Crosse, was a secret kinde of inuocation of Christ crucified, as Bellarmine himselfe acknowledgeth. And thus it followeth, that those myracles which they talke of: as the driving away of Divels, and overcomming morrall enemies, and fuch like, are not to be ascribed to the figne of the Crosse, but to inuocation, and prayer, and faith in Christ crucified.

80. Ob. I, but the Apostle Paul saith, God forbid that 1 should rejoyce in any thing, but in the Crosse of our Lord lesus Christ: and, He tooke the handwriting that was against us, and nayled it on his Croffe : and, he fet all things at peace through the bloud of his Croffe, &c. therefore it is to be worshipped. R. The consequent of this argument sas good as the former; for how can it follow, that because the Crosse was the instrument of our redemption, therefore it should be adored? The weaknes of this sequell is before discourred. Besides, by the Croffe is understood most commonly in the Scripture, eytherthe whole worke of Christs passion, or afflictions and persecutions for Christs sake; neyther of which, especially the latter, are to be adored with divine adoration. In a word, there is nothing they can alledge, that doth carry with it any shew of found reason, to hide the shame of their Church in this open Idolatrie, and yet they labour tooth and nayle for it; but they profite but a little.

. 81. Wee confesse that there was a holy and commendable

Chryfoft. in 2. Aug. de ciuit. lib. 20. 6. 19.

Aug. fer. 19. de eand. Cyril. ca. techis. 13. Nazian. ad Nemef. Orig. cont. Celf. Eufeb. bift, 1.8.6.7.

Gal, 6. 14. Gal. 2. 14. Gal. 2. 20. vse of the transeant signe of the Crosse in the primitive Church: to wit, as a badge of Christian profession, to signifie that they were not ashamed of their crucified God, which the heathen and wicked lewes vsed to cast in their teeth: and so of the permanent Croffe erected in publike places, to be as it were a trophee and monument of the exaltation of him that dyed on the Crosse. But now Popery hath turned this laudable vse of the Crosse into Paganish abomination; and hath given to it that honour which belonged to him that dyed vpon the Crosse: and therefore wee most justly accuse them of foule Idolatrie, and finde them guilty without all controversie, and that not onely in this last enditement, touching the Crosse, but also in the source former heads. And therefore the conclusion is by necessary consequence most firme and true, that seeing the Church of Rome is thus many wayes guiltie of Idolatry, therefore it is to bee abandoned and forfaken, and that religion which maintayneth this impiety, worthily to be abhorred.

## MOTIVE. VIII.

That Religion which implyeth manifold contradiction in it selfe, and is contrary to it selfe in many things, cannot be the true Religion: but such is the Religion of the Church of Rome: ergo, &c.

I. Y T is an old faying and true, Oportet mendacem effe me-A morem: It behooueth a lyar to have a good memory, lest he crosse himselse in his tale, and so discouer his falshood. This saying is verified in our Aduersaries the Romanists, whose Religion, being nothing else but a bundle of lyes, and a horch-porch of olde herefies, croffeth it felfe in many fubstantiall poynts, and thereby reuealeth the manifold errours and falsities that lurke in the bosomethereof. That this is true, the discourse ensuing thereof (I hope) shall make so manifest, that they themselves shall not be able to gain fay it.

2. The

2. The Maior proposition in this argument is of such eui- MAIOR. dent verity, that by no shew of reason it can possibly be contradicted; confidering that truth is alwayes, and in cuery part, like to it selfe, and agreeing, consenting, and conspiring with it felfe, as a perfect body, wherein there is such a fweet harmony of all the members, that one is not contrary to another, but all tend to one and the same end, and vnite their forces together, for the good of the whole: for which cause the Orator defineth truth to bee that which is simple and cic. offic. lib. 1. Syncere. And the Poet faith, that it seeketh no corners. To Terent. which Saint Bernard alluding, thus writeth, Non amat ve\_ Bernard.infer. ritas angulos, non ei diuersoria placent, in mediostat, &c. i. It loueth no corners, by-mayes doe not please it, it standeth in the mide. And therefore the Ancients in their Hierogliphicks represented truth by the picture of the Sunne, not onely in respect of the puritie and clarity of it; but also in respect of the simplicitie and unitie. Duplicia enim & multiplicia sunt pag. 430. veritaticontraria; (i) Duplicity and multiplicity, are contrary to verity. But fallity, errour, and lying, 1s full of doubtings, windings, and contrarieties, like a dreame in the night, the end whereof, for the most part, is neuer agreeable to the beginning. And this is that which the Philosopher teacheth, when he faith, that Mendacium de seipso duplex est; A lye is double of it selfe. And as Chrysostome noteth, Mendacia si non habent quem decipiant, ipsa sibi mentiuntur; Lyes, if they haue not one to deceiue, they deceiue and beguile themselues. So that it must needes follow, that that Religion which infoldeth in it selfe contradictions, and contrarieties. cannot be the truth, but must of necessitie be lying and erronious.

Pierius valer. Hieroglypb.l.44.

Aristotle.

Cprysoft. Super Math. 21.

3. I therefore leave the Maior thus cleared, and come to the proofe of the Minor, or second proposition, which is, that the Religion of the Church of Rome is replenished with many contradictions, and is at variance and discord in it selfe, and therefore cannot fland: as our Saujour concludeth of an house or a kingdom. Andro shew this to be true, let vs first be-

MINOR.

gin with the Sacrament: in the doctrine whereof are enwrapped many absurd contradictions; as for example.

I. Contrad.

4. It is a ground and principle of their Religion, and of ours, and of the truth, that Christ our Saujour cooke verily and truely, flesh of the Virgine Mary, and had a true humane body, like to vs in all things, finne onely acepted: and therefore that this body of his had all the demensions and circumferiptions of a body, and all the properties and qualities naturally belonging thereunto. This ground of truth the Church of God nath ever defended against all Heretikes of former and latter times, that impugned the lame: to wit, the Marionites, the Manichees, and the Eutychians, with divers others, that thought, and taught erroniously concerning the humanity of Christ: affirming that he had no true, but a fantasticall body. Now this error is in outward appearance condemned by the Church of Rome, and adjudged as a damnable herefie. But if we looke into other of their doctrines, and necessity consequences that may be derived therefrom, we shall finde, that they crosse their owne positions, and hold in substance as much as the olde Heretikes did.

Bell. de Euchar. lib. 3. cap 19. Coster Enchirid.

5. For in their doctrine of the Sacrament they teach, that Christ gaue his owne naturall body with his owne hands to his Apostles, when he said, This is my body: by which it must needs follow, that he both kept his body to himfelfe, fitting at the Table, and also give it to his Apostles: so that at this first Supper there were thirteene bodies of Christ; for every one (by their doctrine) had the true natural body of Christ wholly communicated vnto him. Now how is Christs bodie heere a true naturall body, being in thirteene places at once? From hence thus I reason: A true naturall body is circumscribed, and can be but in one place at once: but by the Popish doctrine of transsubstantiation, Christs body was in divers places at once; therefore it was no true naturall body. And to the doctrine of Transubtrantiation doth contradict and overthrow the doctrine of the truth of Christs humane

humane nature: and that, not onelvafter it was glorifyed, whereof, peraduenture, there might be some better shew of reason, but cuen whilst it was here vpon the earth, subject to all humane sinlesse infirmities, yea, to death it selfe. And this conclusion is not ours, but S. Augustines: that is, Take away from bodies (faith he) space of place, and they will bee no where, ad Dardan. and because they will be no where, therefore they will not be at all. And againe, in the same Epistle he saith, speaking of Christ. that is. We must take heed that me do not so build up the Divinitie of Christ a man, that we take away the truth of his body. But the Romanists destroy the truth of Christs humanitie, by giving vnto it an essentiall being and subsisting in many distant places at once; and make it no body in truth, by denying vnto it a certayne circumscription of one singular place at one time, which is a necessary accessarie to all quantitiue bodies.

6. Bellarmine, to salue this contradiction, labours maine- Bell, de Euchar. ly, Aretching all the Arings of his wit to the highest Araine, lib. 3.c. 4.5. euen till they cracke againe: but all his labour is not worth a rush: euery childe may say that he doth but tryfle; for first, hee faith that Christs body is but in one place locally, but in many places facramentally. Secondly, that it is in the confecrated hoaft, definitine, and not circumscriptine; definitely, and not circumscriptiuely. Thirdly, (not satisfying himselfe with this cuasion neither) he faith, that it is in the Sacrament, Tanquam Desu est in loco, As God is in a place: that is, by a supernaturall presence onely. Lastly, he flyeth to Gods omnipotency, and disclayming all naturall respect, saith, it is a miracle: fo that (in truth) he knoweth not what to fay, one

part of his speech thwarting and crossing another. 7. For if the body of Christ bee in the Sacrament, sacramentally onely, then it is not, either definitely, as Angels and Spirits are said to be, or divinely, as God is: for, sacramentally to be in a place, is to bee there by way of relation, and not by corporall existence, as all know; and so we say, that Christs body is there present. Againe, if it be definitively, then it cannot be a substantiall body, subsisting of parts, and members, and quantitie, as they fay Christs body doth in the

Aquin.p.1.q.

Bell. de Euchar. lib.3. cap. 2.

Sacrament: because it is proper to Spirits, and intellectuall essences, to bee in a place after that manner, and not to bodyes; as their learned Aguinas telleth vs; and if it bee there after the manner of Gods presence, then it cannot ber there after the manner of a body; vnleffe with the Anthropomorphites, he will impiously ascribe a body vnto God. And lastly, touching Gods omnipotency, and the miracle arising therefrom, Bellarmine himselfe acknowledgeth, that God cannot doe that which doth imply contradiction; for that is to bee vnlike to himselfe, and to deny himselfe: but these things are contradictories, a body with quantity, that is, with just length, bredth, proportion, fitting at the Table, and at the fame time, the same body without length, bredth, or proportion, hidden in the bread; a body visible, and yet the same invisible at the same instant: a body with position and fituation of parts, and yet the same without position and situation of parts, included in every crumme of the hoaft. Yez. laftly, one body fitting at the Table with his Apostles, speaking, breathing, spreading his hands, and full of infirmicie: the other in the flomacks of his Disciples, neither speaking, ner breathing, nor flirring, nor subject to infirmitie. Now compare the termes together: Sitting, and not fitting: vifible, and inuifible: with fituation, and without fituation: one, and not one, and all at the same instant and moment of time, are groffe contradictions, which, as Bellarmine confesfeth, Almighty God himselfe cannot reconcile, who by his omnipotent power is able to doe all things: but this is nothing, and therefore is rather to be accounted a defect of impotency then an effect of omnipotency : Dicitur enim Deus omnipotens faciendo quod vult, non patiendo quod non vult. i. For Godis sayd to be omnipotent, by doing that which he will, not by suffering that which be will not.

du. de ciuit. lib. 5. cap. 10.

8. From hence it must needes follow, that heere can bee no miracle; and that not onely because miracles are extraordinary works of God; and this change of substances is ordinary in enery Sacrament, as they say: and miracles are not contrary, but aboue or beside nature; but this is state con-

trary, not onely to nature, but to God himselfe, the Authour and Creator of nature : and miracles are alwaies sensible, but this is insensible, and cannot bee discerned by any outward meanes: but alf) for that no miracle can imply contradiction in it selfe, as this must needes doe, if it were as they would haue it. For when Aarons Rodde was turned into a Serpent. it left to be a Rodde; and when it turned into a Rodde, it left to be a Serpent. And when the Water was turned into Wine, it lest to bee Water, it was impossible that it should have beene both Water and Wine at one time, in one and the same respect; or a Rodde and a Serpent at once. And so of all other miracles, there is not one to be found that enwrappeth contradictions. Besides all which, Saint Augustine concludeth peremptorily, that Sacraments may have honour, ut Religiosa: but not amazement vt admiranda, as miracles. And Thomas Aquinas more plainely faith, Ea que contradictionem Aquin.p.1.q.24. implioant, sub divina potentia non continentur. i. Those things art.3, which imply contradiction, cannot fall under the power of God.

Aug. de trinit. cap. 10.

Cyprian. fer.de cæna dom.

9. They reply, that they teach no more then Cyprian did, thirtecene hundred yeeres fince, who said that Christ did beare himselfe in his owne hands at the last Supper. I answere, that Cyprian in that place, & the rest of the Fathers elsewhere. did often yfe hyperbolicall speeches, to extoll the dignity of the Sacrament, and to shew the certainty and efficacy of our communion with Christ, and of our spirituall eating of him: but they never meant so as the Romanists doe; that Christ bore his reall, naturall, substantiall body in his owne hands, and gaue it to his Apostlesafter a fleshly manner. For Cyprian expoundeth himselfe in another place; when hee saith, that Sacraments have the names of those things which they signifie. And Saint Augustine more plainly faith, that Christ did beare bimselfe in his owne hands after a sort. If it had beene really, and substantially, what neede hee have added, after a fort? for this word, as they vie to speake in Schooles, is, Terminus diminutiuus, qui realitati vbique detrakit. A diminitine terme, which detracteth from the realtie and true being of a thing. And

Cyprian.epist. 202. ad Enodiu. this speech, Christ bore himselfe in his owne hands after a sort, is all one with that in another place, After a certaine manner the Sacrament of Christs body, is Christs body. So that it is playne, that when the Fathers said, Christ bore himselse in his owne hands, they meant nothing, but that he bore in his hands the Sacrament of himselfe: and thus this first contradiction is irreconciliable. I come to a second, and that in the Sacrament, which is no leffe palpable.

2. Conirad.

Bell. de Euchar. lib.3.cap. 7.

Phil. 2. 7. Heb. 2. 17. Heb. 4. 25.

10. It is a principle of their Religion, and of the truth it selse, that Christafter his resurrection ascended into heauen, and there filleth a place, and hath figure, forme, and disposition of parts, and is circumscribed within a certaine compasse, according to the nature of a body. This is Bellarmines owne affertion, and it is consonant to sound doctrine. confirmed both by manifest Scripture, and vniforme confent of ancient Fathers: for Scripture, Christ is said to bee like vnto vs; and not barely like, but like in all things, that is, both in nature, and in the qualities and quantities of nature. And to put the matter out of doubt, onely one thing is excepted wherin he is not like vnto ys, and that is Sinne, whereby he is absolutely left to bee like vnto vs in all other things. And lest any should thinke, that that was true onely whilst he was here vpon earth, the Apostle in the forenamed places applyeth it to him being in heaven: for hee faith, Wee have not an High-priest which cannot be touched with our infirmities, and therefore let us boldly goe unto the throne of grace: where the Apostles argument were of no force, if he were like vnto vs here on earth, onely in the flate of his humilitie, and not also now, being in heaven, in the state of glory: for finfull man might thus reply, True, Christ was like our nature whilst he hued amongst vs; but now, being glorified, he hath put off our nature, and therefore we dare not prefume to come vnto him. Yes, saith the Apostle, le is still like vnto vs, and hath not put off our nature, but the infirmities of our nature onely, which were the fequels of finne, as we also shall doe when we shall be translated into heauen after the resurrection. And this Saint Luke more plainely auoucheth, when he faith,

saith, that after he had bleffed them, he departed from them, and was carryed up into heaven: and that whilft they beheld, he was taken up by a cloude out of their sight. Where we see plainely Act. 1.9. a locall motion of Chrift from earth to heaven; and therefore there must needs be of him a locall situation in the heauens. As also Saint Peter in expresse words doethaffirme. when he faith, that the heavens must containe or receive him, Act. 3.21. untill the time of restauration of all things. Thus this doctrine is consonant to holy Scripture.

11. Now let vs fee how k was entertayned by the ancient Fathers: thus they write. Athanasius: When Christ said, I Athanas, in disgoe to the Father, he spake of the humane nature which bee had assumed: for it is the propertie of him to goe and come, who is circumscribed with certaine limits of places; and for saking that place where it was, commeth to the place where it was not. Nazianzene saich, Wee professe one and the same Lord, passible in the flesh, impassible in his Godhead; circumscribed in body, vncircumscribed in deity; the same both earthly and heavenly, visible, and inuifible; comprehended in place, and not comprehended. Againe, Christ as man is circumscribed, and contayned in place; Christ as God is uncircumscribed, and contagned within noplace. Augustine faith, Christ, as man, according to his bo. dy, is in a place; but as God, filleth all places. Cyril faith, Though Christ hath taken from hence the presence of his body: yet in the maiestie of his deitie, hee is alwayes present. Fulgentius saith, One and the same Christ, a locall Man of a Woman his mother, who is the infinite God of God his Father. Vigilius the Martyr, Christis in all places, according to the nature of his deitie: but is contayned in one place, according to the nature of his humanity. Damascene, The difference of natures in Christ is not taken away by their union in one person: but the proprietie of each nature is kept safe. Leo, one of their Popes, Christ hath united both natures together by such a league, that neither glorification doth consume the inferiour nature, nor assumption doth diminish the superiour. To these I might adde many more, but these are sufficient to produc, that this doctrine, touching the truth of

put. contra Ar.

Nazianz. ad Cledonium.

Ibid.

August. in Ich. tract. 21. Cyrilin 10h.lib. 6. C. 14. Fulgent. ad Thrafimind. Vigil. contra Eutych. lib. 4.

Damasc. apud. Euggrium lib. 2. bifter.c. 4. Leo. in (olemn. natiuit. fer. I.

Christshumanitie, now glorified in the heavens; that he hath

retained our nature with all the proprieties, sinne onely and infirmities excepted, is concordant both with holy Scripture,

and with the vnited opinions of all reserrend antiquitie. 12. Now this doctrine is croffed and contradicted by that

Bell. de Euchar. lib. 3. c. 5.

Bell, Fbid.

other doctine of theirs, touching, Transubstantiation, and the carnall and corporall presence of Christin the Sacrament. for this they teach, that the body of Chriff is in the Sacrament with the whole magnitude thereof, together with a tive order and disposition of parts, flesh, bloud, and bone, as he was borne, lived, crucified, rose againe: and yet they say that the same body in the Euchariff, though it hath magnitude and extention, and disposition of parts agreeable to the forme of an humane body, neuerthelesse doth not fill a place, neither is to bee extended nor proportioned to the place which it possesset : here be pregnant and manifest contradictions, Christ hath one body, and yet many bodies: euen as many as there are consecrated hoasts in the world, that is, it may be a thousand bodies at once; and so his body is one, and not one at the same time. Againe, this body is in heauen in a place, and the same body at the same instant is on the Altar, without being compassed about with place to be in heaven, and to be in earth at one instant, are contradictory propositions, being vnderstoode of finite substances, and not of that infinite effence which filleth all places : for they imply thus much, to be in heauen, and not to be in heauen; to be in earth, and not to be in earth, which be the rules of Logicke, and Reason the mother of Logicke, cannot be together true. Againe, at one moment of time to be aboue, and yet below, to bee remooued farre off, and yet bee neere adioyning, to come to one place, and yet not to depart from an. other, are so meerely opposite to each other, that they cannot be reconciled. And lastly, a body to have forme, magnitude, extention, and disposition of parts, and yet not with these to fill a place, is as much as to say, it is a body, and yet not a bodie, it is in a place, and yet not in that very same place: these are contradictions so evident, that it is impossible for the wit of man to reconcile them.

13. Notwith-

13. Notwithstanding the aduocates of the Romish Synagogue labour might and maine in this taske, and by many arguments endeauour to reunite these oppositions : first, by Gods omnipotency : secondly, by the qualities of a glorified body: and thirdly, by arguments from the discourse of reason. From hence they thus argue, All things are possible to God, and therefore this is possible; neither is there any thing excepted from the omnipotency of God, faue thefe things, Que facere non est facere, sed deficere (as Bellarmine speaketh) thatis, which to doe, is not to doe, but to vindoe. and doe argue rather impotency then potency, of which fort (that one body should be in many places at once, is not, saith he) because it is not in expresse words excepted in Scripture, as to lve and to denye himselfe are. To this I answere, first, that albeit the Scripture doth not expresly except this from Gods omnipotency, to make one body to bee in two places at once, yet implyedly it doth, for it denyeth power or rather weaknesse to God to doe those things which imply contradiction : of which kinde this is, for one body to be in many places at once. And Bellarmine himselfe saith, that this is a first principle in the light of nature, every thing is, or is not, which being taken away, all knowledge faileth. Secondly, I answere, that the power of God is not so much to be considered as his will, nor what he can doe, but what he hath reucaled in his word, that hee will doe; for if wee argue from his power to the effect, Wee may denise God (saith Tertulian) to doe any Tertul.aduers. thing, becamfe he could doe it. And therefore the same Authour faith, Dei posse, velle eft, Dei nonposse, nolle. God can of stones raise vp Children vnto Abraham (saith Iohn Baptist.) Now if any should hence conclude, that any of Abrahams children were made of stones, in a proper speech, all would thinke him to have no more wit then a stone. And to this accordeth Theodoret, when hee faith, That God can doe all Theod, Dial. 2. things which hee will, but God will not doe any of these things which are not agreeable to his nature. But for to make a body to be without quantity, and a quantity to be without dimension, and dimension without a place, that is as much to say, a body

Bell. de Euchar. lib. 3. cap. 3.

2.Cor.1.19.

Bell, de Euchar. lib. 2. cap. 2.

Prax.cap.10.

P[al. 135,6.

body without a body, and quantity without quantity, and a place without a place, is contrary to Gods nature; and therefore cannot bee agreeable to his will, and so hath no correspondence with his power. And lastly, I answere, that it is no good reason to say, God can doe such a thing, therefore he dothit; but rather thus, God will doe fuch a thing, therefore he can doe it: and thus the Scripture teacheth vs to reaion: What soener pleased the Lord, that did hee in heaven and in earth, and not whatfoeuer hee could doe, but whatfouer it pleased him to do; and the Leper said to our Saujour Christ. Master, if thou wilt, theu canst make me cleane: not, if thou

canst, thou wilt; but if thou wilt, thou canst.

14. Secondly, whereas they object that Christs bodie after his glorification, is indued with more excellent qualities then any other naturall body, by reason of that super-excellent glory wherewith it is adorned aboue all others, and thereby as he came to his Apostles, the dores being shut, and rose out of his grave, notwithstanding the stone that lay vpo it; and appeared vnto Paulon earth, being at the same time in heaven: fo he is in the Eucharist after a strange and miraculous manner, and yet is in heauen at the same time. I answere first with Theodoret, that Christs bodie is not changed by his glorification into another nature, but remaineth a true bodie. Aug-ad Dardan, filled with dinine glory : And with Augustine, that Christ gane onto his flesh immortality, but tooke not away nature : and in another place, That though Christ had a spirituall body after his resurrection, yet it was a true bodie, because he said to his Disciples, Pulpate & videte, feele and see; and as his body was then after his refurrection, foit is now being in the heauens. Secondly, that when hee came out of the grave, the Hierom. in Pfal. Angell removed the flone, with Hierome, and luftine Martyr: and when he entred into the house, the dores being shut, that the dores and walls yeelded unto him a passage, as unto their Creator, with Theodores, and Cyrill: and that when hee appeared vnto Paul going to Damaseus, if it was in the aire, or on the earth (as it may be doubted) that then this body was not in heaven at the same instant ; for farrebee it from vs so

Theodores. Dial. · 2. p. 268.

Epift. 57.

Idem ad confen. Epift. 146.

104. Iustin Martyr quest. 117. Theodor. Dial. 2 cyril Alex. in Ioh. lib. 12. cap. 53.

to pin vp our Lord in the Heauens, that he cannot be where he pleaseth. And this is Thomas Aquinas opinion in expresse Aquin. Sum. q. words, which Bellarmine as expresly contradicteth.

15. Thirdly, by discourse of reason hee thus laboureth to reconcile these contradictions, and thus disputeth: God be- Bell. de Eucher. ing but one simple and invisible effence, is in infinite places at lib. 3. c. 3. once, and he might create another world, and fill it with his presence, and be in two worlds at one instant : and the soule of man is wholy in every part of the body, and God is able to conserue the soule in a part that is cut off from the body: therefore it implieth no contradiction to be in two places at once : againe, one place may containe two bodies, and yet be not two places, but one; as when Christ rose out of the graue, the Sepulchre being shut; therefore one body may be in two places at once, and yet not two bodies, but one. Laftly, there be many other mysteries of religion as strange and difficult to be conceived as this, and yet are beleeved, there-

fore this also is to be beleeved as well as they.

16. A miserable cause sure that needeth such desences: the weakenesse of these reasons argueth the seeblenesse of the cause : for, who knoweth not, but that there is no similitude betweene the infinite God and a finite Creature, nor any proportion betwixt a Spirit and a body: and that a posse, ad esse, from may bee, to must bee, is no good consequence? Adde that one place cannot hold two bodies, nor euer did, except they were so vnited, that in respect of place they made but one: And lastly, that all those mysteries of Religion which he nameth, to wit, the Trinity, the Incarnation, the Refurrection, the Creation, and Annihilation, &c. haue their foundation in holy Scripture, and therefore are to be received as doctrines of truth, though transcending the spheare of nature and reason: but this strange mysterie of Transubstantiation hath no ground in Scripture, as he himselfe confesseth: Bell, de Euchar, and therefore it is not to be beleeued as the other are, without lib.3. cap. 23. better reasons then he bringeth for the desence thereof: but like lips, like lettuces; fuch as the couse is, such are the defences, both nought and weake, as any maninay fee that is not mufled

57. art. 6. ad 3.

mussed with errour; and thus this second contradiction remaines irreconciliable.

17. Athird contradiction is also in and about the Sacra-

3. Contrad. .

ment, which is this, they teach that the matter in Sacrament is partly the outward Elements, and partly the thing figuified and represented by them; and that betwixt these there is a certaine relation and similitude, as in Baptisme the outward figne which is water, and the thing signified, which is the bloud of Christ, make the matter of that Sacrament, or the outward wasting, by water, and the inward, by the Spirit, and the relation is; as the water washeth and purgeth away all filthinesse of the body, so Christs bloud purgeth away both the guilt and filth of sinne from the soule : and so in the Eucharist, the Elements of Bread and Wine, together with the bodie and bloud of Christ, are the matter of the Sacrament, and the relation is, as those elements doe feed, nourish, and strengthen, and cheare the bodie of man; so the body and bloud of Christ doe feed, nourish, and strengthen, and cheare the soule vnto eternalilise; and as those elements mustbe caten and digested, or else they nourish not : so Christ must also be eaten, and, as it were, digested, and after a sort conuerted into our substance, or else he is no food vnto our soules. This is the very doctrine of the Church of Rome, and it is agreeable to the truth, for Bellarmine thus speaketh, Species illa significant quidem cibum spiritualem, sed non sunt ipsacibus spiritualis, that is, The signes in the Scrament signific our spirituall foode, but they are not the spirituall foode it selfe. And in another place he faith, that signum in Sacramento rei signata similitudinem gerit, The signes in the Sacrament doe beare the similitade of the thing signified. And in the same Chapter hee sayth more plainely, that God would never have ordained one thing to signifie another, unlesse it had a certaine analogie or similitude

Bell.de facram.

Eb.1.cap.18.

Bell. de Euchar. -lib.4.cap.6.

I dem de Sacra. lib.1.cap.11.

Lumb.li-4.dift.1

Hugolib.1.part.

mith it. And herein he accordeth with the Master of sentences, who defines a Sacrament thus, To be a visible forme of an invisible grace, bearing the Image of that grace. And with Huge, who saith, That a Sacrament is a corporall or materiall element, propounded outwardly to the senses, by similitude represen-

ting,

ting, and by institution signifying, and by Sanstification containing some innisible and spirituall grace. And that this relation is in esting and nourishing, Bellarmine in another place confest thin direct words, when he faith, that That Same outward Bell, de Euchar. eating in the Sacrament, doth signific the inward eating andrefreshing of the soule, but is not the cause thereof : and that that is so necessarie a condition, that without it we should not be partakers of that divine nourishment. And to this agreeth Saint Augustine, who plainely affirmeth, that if Sacraments had not a certaine similitude of those things whereof they are Sacraments, they were not Sacraments at all. And what this similitude is he declareth in another place, where hee faith, that We recease visible meate in the Sacrament; but the Sacrament is one thing, and the vertue of the Sacrament is another. And Thomas Aguinas giveth this as a reason why Bread and Wine are the fittest matter of this Sacrament, becausemen most com- Aqui.pa.3.9.74. monly are nourished therewith: his words are these, As water is assumed in the Sacrament of Baptisme, to the vse of spirituall washing, because corporall washing is commonly made by water: so bread and wine wherewith most commonly men are nourished, are taken up in the Sacrament of the Lords Supper, to the use of the spiritualleating. By which it followeth, that if water did not wash, it was no fit element for the Sacrament of Baptisme : so, if bread and wine doe not nourish, they are no fit fignes for the Lords Supper: and for this cause our Saujour at the institution of this Sacrament, gave this commandement to his Disciples, that they should take and eate: and the Apo- 1. Cor. 10.21. file calleth it the Lords Supper, and the Lords Table. 1.Cor. 11,20.

18. This therefore is their own doctrine, and it is grounded vpon the truth. But liften a little how they contradict this by their miraculous monster Transubstantiation ; for when they say that the substance of the bread and wine is viterly changed into the body and bloud of Chriff, and that onely the accidents remaine: I would faine know of them how thefe outward signes doe nourish the bodie: can the accidents of bread and wine nonrish the substance of the bodie? must there not be a similitude and proportion betwixt the nourish- simili-

lib.4.cap.6.

Aug. Epift. 23. ad Bonifac.

Fdem in Ichan. tract. 16.

Simile nutritum

feeding,

Aristot. de anima. 2.

ment, and the thing nourished? but betwixt accidents and a substance there is no similitude nor proportion. Aristotle telleth vs as much, when he faith, that Foode doth nourish, as it is a substance, and not as an accident. Now if the outward signes doe not nourish the body, what analogic is there betwixt them and the things fignified? or why were they ordayned to represent the spiritual refection of our soules by Christ, if they minister no corporall refection vnto our bodies? or how can they represent that whereof they beare no similitude? for, as in Baptisme, if the nature and substance of the water were taken away, and onely accidents did remayne, so that it could not wash, nor clense the body, without doubt, it could be no fit figne to fignifie the inward ablution of the foule, by the bloud of Christ. So they that take away the nature and substance of the Bread and Wine, and leave bare accidents, make it, without all question, a dead and livelesse Sacrament,

not fit to represent so high a mystery.

19 Behold now the contradictions: first accidents without a substance, that is to say, accidents, and no accidents; for therefore they are called accidents, because they adhere, and are joyned to a substance, in which they have their subfistance, & ypon which they have their dependance: so that, take away their fubflance, and they preferrly furcease to bee accidents. For, Aristotle faith, Accidentis esse est in esse: The essence of an accident is to bee in a subject. Secondly, two parts of the Sacraments, the visible elements, and the inuisible grace, & yet but one part of the same Sacrament: for the elements bee taken away, and accidents onely remayne; therefore two parts, and not two parts. Thirdly, the externall matter of the Sacrament is the outward elements: and yet there are no elements at all; and so elements, and no elements, matter, and no matter. Fourthly, the outward elements are fignes of the inward grace, and the same by their doctrine being but accidents, are fignes of the outward elements, which are signes of the inward grace: and so they are fignes of the fignes, rather then of the thing fignified. Lafly, the outward feeding by bread & wine, represents the inward

Arist. metaph. 4b.5. text. 35. feeding, by the body and bloud of Christ: & yet there is no outward feeding by bread and wine, because there is no bread and wine, except they will make accidents to feede a substance : which is against all reason : for the Philosopher Arift. de gener. faith, that Ex is dem nutrimur, ex quibus sumus, wee are nou- lib. 2. rished by the same things, of which we consist but we do not confift of accidences, but of substances.

20. Out of this snare they seeke to ridde themselves by a double cuasion; first, they say, that accidents may be without a fubicet, though not naturally, yet by the supernaturall power of God. This is Bellarmines: and hee prooueth it by two instances; first, because Saint Basil affirmeth, that That light which was created the first day, was without a subject: and secondly, because as the substance of Christs humanitie had no subsistance in it selfe, but in the word; so, though an accident naturally doth inhere in a fubicet, yet supernaturally it may bee, and yet not inhere. To this I answere : first, that though Saint Basil be of that opinion, yet Saint Augustine is not; for he thought it to be a spirituall and no naturall light. Nor Beda, Lyranus, and the matter of sentences, who supposed it to be a bright and lightsome cloude, which was carried about, and gave light vnto the world. Nor Damascene, who supposed that this light proceeded from the element of fire, as an effect thereof. Nor yet the Fathers, who though they differed in their opinions touching this light, yet none of them were of Saint Basils mind, to thinke that it was an accident without a subject. Now, why should we beleeue Saint Basil herein, more then S. Augustine, venerable Bede, Damaseene, or the rest? This therefore is but one prouate mans opinion, croffed by many others, and so maketh little for his purpose.

Bell.de Euchar. lib.3.cap.24.

Ang in Gen.ad Lit.lib.I.ca.3.

Damas.de fide lib. 2. cap. 7.

21. Secondly, I answere, that though the humanitie of Christ had no subsistance in it selfe, yet by reason of the vnion with the God-head, it was sustained and vpholden by it : but there is no such vnion betwixt the accidents in the Sacraments, and the body and bloud of Chrift, that the body and bloud of Christ should sustaine and vphold those accidents: and therefore they themselves say, that they are not sustained

by the body of Christ, but by the extraordinary power of God; and so this instance maketh nothing for this purpose neither. Lastly, I answere, that we are not so much to consider what God can doe by his omnipotent power, as what he hath done heretofore, or what he hath said hee will doe hereafter: let them therefore shew, that accidents have beene without a substance in times past, or that God hath said, hee will have them so to be, and then wee will yeeld vnto them; but sill then wee have more reason to hold conclusions of nature not crossed by religion, then to relye vpon supernatural imaginations.

Aquin.par.3.q.

22. The second enasion is by Aguinas, who affirmeth that supernaturally, the accidents of bread and wine may nourish. because they recease miraculously the strength and vertue of a substance, and that they doe nourish he proueth, because by the same reason they may be turned into the substance of the body, by the which they are turned into ashes & wormes: and also because wee see by experience that the body is nourished by the signes in the Sacrament : to which a short anfwere will suffice: for first that there should be such a miraculous nourishing by accidents, hath no ground either in experience, or in Scripture. And secondly, he should rather conclude, because the body is nourished by outward elements, and they are often converted into ashes and wormes, therefore they are not bare accidents but substances, then that therefore bare accidents may nourish: for let the reader judge whether concludes more reasonably, we, when we say the elements doe nourish the body: therefore they are bodily substances; or they that thus reason, the elements do nourish the bodie, therefore accidents without a substance may nourish: and thus the faare is not broken, neither are they escaped.

4. Contrad.

23. A fourth contradiction, and that about the Sacrament, they hold that the wicked and reprobate recease the body and bloud of Christin the Sacrament, and yet reape no benefit thereby to their owne soules, but rather sudgement and damnation, as if the merits, grace, and vertue of Christ could be separated from his person: or as if a man could recease life

life, and yet not live, fanctification, and not be fanctified, righteousnes, and not be righteous, redemption, and not be redeemed : for all these is Christ made voto vs, Life, Righteousnes, Santtification, and Redemption, as the Scripture tellifieth. Bellarmine spendeth one whole Chapter in this argument, to proue that the wicked receive Christ in the Sacrament, and therevpon exprelly affirmeth, that though they receive him, yet they receive not his juffifying grace, nor his merits, nor the fruit and effect of his death and passion together with him. Of the same mind is Aquinas, & the rest of their Diuines. Now this position is contrary both to Scripture, Fathers, and to their owne divinity. To Scripture, for our Sauiour saith in expresse words, Whosveuer eateth my flesh, and drinketh my blond, hath eternall life, and I will raise him up at the last day. And againe, He that eateth my flest, and drinketh my blond, dwelleth in me, and I in him. But fay they, The wicked, yea, the reprobate, eate the very flesh, and drinke the very bloud of Christ. Therefore conclude that they have eternall life, and dwell in Christ, and Christ in them: neither can they escape by saying, that the spiritual eating of Bell. de Euchar. Christ by faith, and not the eating in the Sacrament is here lib. 1. cap. 6. understood, seeing they doe all (for the most part) interpret this place of the Sacramentall eating and drinking; but more plaine (if it be possible) is that of S. lohn, Ioh. 5.12. He that hath the Sonne, hath life: and hee that hath not the Sonne of God, hath not life. From which place thus a man may reason, He that hath Christ, hath eternall life, but hee that receiveth Christ verily & truely, as all the wicked do in the Sacrament by their doctrine, hath Christ : therefore the very reprobate, euen Indas himself, hath eternal life, & is saued: for either they must deny that they receive Christ in the Sacrament; or else they must grant, being convicted by these Scriptures, that together with him they receive eternall life.

25. They reply to this two things, first, that the wicked receive Christ onely Sacramentally, and not Spiritually, and therefore they have no benefite by him: and secondly, because they receive him vnworthily, therefore they receive 1. Cor. 1. 30.

Bell. de Enchar. lib. 1. cap. 13.

Aquin. 3. q. 80. art. 1, 6 3.

Ioh. 6.54. 56.

Aquin.3.p.q.79.

their owneiudgement and not faluation, not discerning the body and bleud of Christ. To which I answere, that though they receive Sacramentally, and vnworthily, yet by their doctrine they receive very Christ: and so by these Scriptures it must needs follow, that they also receive the fruite and effect of his death, which is life and faluation. Adde hereunto, that the termes here vsed are generall, both in respect of the perfons that receive, and also the manner of receiving, without any such exception or distinction, as they deuise: and therfore I conclude, that it is as impossible to make a separation betwixt Christ and his saving grace, as to separate the Sunne from light, fire from heate, or the sou'e from naturall life.

Orig. in Mat.
cap. 15.
August. de Civit.
lib, 21. c. 25.

Idem in Sent. Jent. 339.

Theoder. in Pfal. 32. Cyril. in 10h. lib. 4. Bafil. in.Pfal. 3.

26. Thus this polition is an oppolition to Scripture, foit is also to the opinions of the Fathers. To give a taste of some two or three, Origen faith, That Christ is that true meat, which who soener eateth shall line for ever, which no wicked man can eat. Augustine more effectually faith, Hee that is in the vnity of Christs body, that is, amember of Christ, he is truely said to eate Christs body, and drinke his bloud. Note, hee faith trucky, to lignifie that all other eate him falfly, that is in shew and not in substance. And in another place yet more plainely, Hee which disagreeth from Christ, doth neither eate his flesh, nor drinke his bloud, though he take the Sacrament of so great athing to his indgement. Theodores as plainely faith, That Christ is meate for his owne sheepe onely, that is, his elect. And Cyrill, that as many as eate his flesh, have life in them, being joyned to him who is life it selfe. And Basill saith, that they which are fed with the foode of life, to wit, the bread that came downe from heaven, have an inward mouth of the minde whereby they eate that spirituall food. Many more such like sayings might be heaped together to this purpose, which for breuity sake I passe ouer:all which are contrary to that Romishposition, that the wicked eate and drinke the very body and bloud of Christ; which they must needs doe, if the bread and wine, after the words of confecration, be changed into the very body and bloud of Chrift.

27. Lastly, it is contrary to their owne divinity: for they hold,

hold, that the parts of this Sacrament, as of all others, are two, to wit, the matter and the forme: the forme in this Sacrament is to the whole word of consecration, together with the sense thereof: the matter is the whole element, with the fignification thereof. As for example, in the Eucharist, the matter is the species of Bread and wine, containing voder them the body and bloud of Christ: and the forme is (for this is my bodie, this is my bloud. ) Now, hence I thus reason. The wicked either receive the whole Sacrament, or they receive it not; if they do, then there is no difference betwixt the faithfull and them, for they receive no more; and why should not they be faued then as well as they? if they do not, then either they receive not Christ at all, because we are sure they receive the outward Elements: and therfore if any thing be wanting, it must needs be the thing signified, or there are more parts then these two of the Sacrament. Againe thus, if the wicked receiue Christin the Sacrament, and yet not the vertue of Christ, then they receive not the whole Sacrament; because the vertue of the Sacrament is in the Sacrament, as the vertue of every thing is in the thing it selfe. And so it followeth, that the wicked in the Sacrament receive Christ, and yet not Christ : the whole Sacrament, and yet but a part of the Sacrament: and that there are but two parts of it, and yet more then two. Obserue (gentle Reader) these contradictions, and wonder.

28. Againe, Transubstantiation is contradicted both by the 5. Contrad. doctrine of adoration of Images, and by the Canon of the Masse: by the doctrine of adoration of Images thus; they teach that divine adoration is to be given to the pictures, of Christ, and God the Father, because they represent their most excellent and divine persons, and yet they would have the very body and bloud of Christ to be in the Sacrament transubstantiated, because some of the Fathers pretend to say, that it is to be adored with divine worship. Now, if it bee true that they fay, that Images of God the Father, and of Christ our Sauiour, ought to be adored with divine worship, because they represent their persons: then it must bee false, that therseore

Pell de Sacram. lib. 1. cap. 18.

the bodie and bloud of Christ are really and carnally in the Sacrament, because it is to be worshipped: for, why may not those mysteries of bread and wine instituted by Christ, to put vs in mind of his death and passion, bee, for such their essectional representation, adored and worshipped with dimine worship, as well as Images and pictures for their representation, especially seeing they carrie a more exact resemblance and linely signification of him then any picture can doe? Here is a plaine contradiction betwixt the proofe of their Transubstantiation, and their doctrine of adoration of Images, standing upon these termes, An Image must be worshipped, because it represented the person of Christ: but the Sacrament is not to bee worthipped, though it represents Christ more fully then any Image, except he be corporally and substantially present in it.

6. Contrad.

29. Secondly, it is croffed by the Canon of the Maffe diuers waies : First, by the praier that is vsed before the elevation, where the Priest desireth God to behold the same sacrifice with a propitious and fauourable countenance, like as the sacrifices of Abel, Abraham, Melchizedech &c. If Christ were really offered by the Priest, hee need not pray that God would be propitious to that facrifice, for in him hee is euer well pleased : neither can his sacrifice be possibly difrespected of God, being of infinite merite and price to satisfie the rigour of his Fathers iustice : it were therefore either horrible blasphemy in their Masse to equalize this absolute sacrifice of Christ, with the imperfect sacrifices of Abel, and Abrabam, which stood in need of Gods mercifullacceptation: or it is false, that Christ is really sacrificed in the Masse : one of the two must needs be either blasphemy in the Canon of the Masse, or falshoodin their doctrine of Transubstantiation.

7. Contrad.

30. Againe, by another prayer which is vsed in the consecration, where the Priest prayeth, that God would command those things to be carried by the hands of the holy Angell vp to the high Altar, into the fight of the divine Maiestie. Nowby these words (those things hae) cannot bee understood Christ, neither in Grammaticall construction, nor in any religious.

SPEED OF

ligious sense: for in true Grammaticall Latine, he should have said, if he had ment Christ, either hune, this; or hoc, viz. sacrificing this facrifice, and not hac, these things : for though the elements be two, yet by their own doctrine whole Christ is in each of them, and therefore cannot bee spoken of in the plurall number, as if he were either divided in himselse, or multiplied to more then himselfe: & in the construction of religionit can be no lesse then blasphemy to imagine, that an Angell must carry up Christinto Heauen, and present him there vpon the high Altar to the divine maiestie; for it implieth in him either inability, or vnwillingnesse to present himselse: to say he is vnable, is to deny him to be God, and so Almighty: and to fay he is vn willing, is to deny him to bee our high Priest and Mediatour, to whose office it onely pertaineth to offer up the facrifices of the faithfull under the Gofpell, as the Priest in the law of Moses might onely offer the facrifices of the law, and enter into the most holy place, to make reconciliation for the people: fo that it remaineth, that the composer of the Masse could not understand by (hae) these things, Christ himselfe: but the elements Bread and Wine which are a representation and commemoration of that one all-sufficient sacrifice on the Crosse: and so either the Masse is erronious, or Transubstantiation a false doctrine; for if the Masse be true, then Transubstantiation is false; and if Transubstantiation be true, then the Masse is false.

31. Thirdly, it is croffed by their manifold croffings, vied 8. Contrad. by the Priest in the Masse: for, if Christ in person bee really present, as a complete sacrifice, what neede such signings or croffings by the earthly hands of a finfull Pries? is hee fanctified by them? that were blasphemy to thinke. He necdeth no san diffication, being the Holy of holies. Is the diuell driven away by these meanes? that is a greater blasphemy to beleeue: for hee once conquered the divell, in such fort, that he dareth neuer meddle with him any more. And yet the blasphemous Iesuites are not afraid to affirme, that the divels may, and doe so come necre to their Sacrament, that they Suarez. tom. can both earry it away, and abuse it also. Surely, if this bee 3. 4.55. sett. 13

true,

rue, then the diuels know, Christ is not there; for they durst not come so necrevate him sacrificed on the Altar, by whose true sacrifice on the Crosse, they received such a deadly wound. Lastly, is God put in minde of his Sonnes sacrifice on the Crosse, by their crossings of him upon the Altar? This is impudency to thinke: for Almighty God cannot forget the sacrifice of his owne Sonne; neyther can his Crosse bee any whit dignified by their crossings. Which way socuer they turne them, here is eyther impietie in their Masse, or salsity in their doctrine of Transubstantiation.

9. Contrad.

Cenfur. Colon.
pag. 140, 141.
Becan. disput.
pag. 199.
Trident. Concil.
seff. 6. cap. 6.
Bell. de Instit.
lib. 1. cap. 13.
Ibid. cap. 17.

32. Thus much touching the contradictions in the Eucharist. Now let vs see their concordance in other Articles of their Religion; and that with greater breuitie. And first in their Article of Justification, therein there lucke foure maine contradictions: First, they say, that the first instification, when a man of uniust and wicked, is made inst and good, is the free gift of God, and deserved by no precedent works; and yet they lay againe, that aman doth prepare and make himselfe fit for this iustification by certayne alts of faith, Feare, Hope, Repentance, and the purpose of a new life. Yea, Bellarmine doth not flicke to say, that this faith instifieth by may of merite, and deserueth forginenesse of sinnes after a certaine manner. And all of them teach, that those dispositions and preparations arise partly from grace, and partly from free-will, as two feuerall and deuided agents, and that it is in the power of mans will, eyther to accept that grace of God, or to refuse it: as hath beene at large discouered in the fourth Reason. Now heare the contradictions: If it bee meerely Godsfree gift, then it is no wayes mans free-will: and if it bee any waies mans free-will to prepare himselfe, then it is not every way Gods free gift: For it is not in this case, as in other externall donations: the King may give a pardon freely, and yet the prisoner may have power to receive or to refuse the pardon, because the pardon is one thing, and the prisoners will onother: but in theiustification of a finner, the gift it selfe is the very change of the minde, and the will, and the whole man: for it is (as they (ay) when a sinner is maderighteous, and an uniust man is auade

madeinst: and so the will hath no power to reject it, when God effectually gines it; nor power to accept it, till God alterand change it by his grace. And hence it followeth, that to say it is Gods free gift, and yet that we in part prepare our selucs thereunto by our owne free-will, implyeth contradiction, as also this, to merite it, and yet to haue it freely given : if it be any wayes of merite, then it is not every way free. Merite in the receiver, and freenesse in the giver, can in no respect

Rand together.

33. Another contradiction in this Article, is this; that they 10. Contrad. fay a man is iustified by his works; and yet for all that, he is iuthfied by grace too. Both these propositions they percmpcorily defend, and take it in great scorne, that we charge them to be maintayners of works against grace: and call vs loud Lyers, in casting that imputation vpon them. But by their leaues, they maintaine either works against grace, or else they breathe hote and cold out of one mouth (which the Satyre could not endure) and speake contraries, let them choose whether: for the holy Ghost himselse placeth these two Works and Grace, in diametra'l opposition; If it be of grace, it is no Rom. 11.6. more of works, or else were grace no more grace: but if it bee of works, it is no more grace; or else were worke no more worke. Here we see a manifest opposition betwixt grace and works, fo that one doth exclude the other, and this in our election: and therefore much more in our instification, which is but an effect thereof: for election hath nothing to doe with our good works, according to our doctrine, nor without euill, according to theirs: but instification hath respect vnto our finnes and cuill deeds; and therefore much greater must bee the opportion in this then in that : & greater reason that here works should be excluded by grace, then in the other.

34 Bellarmines exception is , that the Apostle here exclu- Bell. de Iustif. deth onely the works that be of our felues without grace, before we be justified: but as for those that come after, they are works of grace, and therefore be not excluded by grace, but may well sand together. To which I answere three things: First, that the Apostle hath no such distinction, but speaketh

lib. I. cap. 2I.

generally of all works; and therefore according to the olde

rule, Vbi lex non distinguit: Where the law distinguisheth not, there we must not distinguish. To say therefore that it is both by grace and works, is to confront the Apostle, and to fasten vpon him a flat contradiction. Yea, it is to extinguish grace veterly: for as it hath beene before alledged out of Augustine, grace is not grace in any respect, except it bee free in euery respect. Secondly, that the Apostle meaneth works after grace, and such as proceed from faith, as well as works of nature, appeareth by another like place; where works are also excluded, and opposed to the free gift of God, that is, to grace: and that the Apostle intendeth works of grace, appeareth by the reason following, in the next verse, (For me are his morkmanship, created in Christ to good works.) Now in this last place, works of grace must needs be understood, because he saith, we are created in Christ Iesus vnto them : and therefore the same also must necessarily bee meant in the former: vnlesse wee will say, that the Apostle, orrather the holy Ghost, disputes not adidem. Lastly, I answere, that in Abrahams iustification, who was the Father of the faithfu'l, and his iustification a patterne, how all his spirituall posteritie should be justified, works of grace are excluded: for at that time, of which the Apostle there speaketh, Abraham was regenerate, as Bellarmine himselfe acknowledgeth: and yet his works are excluded: therefore works of grace are meant by the Apostle. I, but replyeth the same Cardinall, when the Apostle saith, that Abraham was justified by faith, and not by works, he exclude the hofe works which Abraham might doe without faith: for they which have faith, yet doe not alwaies worke by faith: as when they finne, or performe meere morall duties, without relation to God. But this is no better then a meere shift, without any ground of reason or truth: for if it bee true which the Scripture faith, that what soeuer is not of faith, is finne; then those morall works, which hee mensioneth, being not of faith, are no better then finnes, and so need not to bee excluded by the Apostle; for they ex-

clude themselues. Besides, it is manifestly false, that a just,

and

Ephel. 2.8.

Rom. 4. 2.

3cll. Ibid. c. 19.

and faithfull man, doth any worke, which is not finne, wherein he hath not relation vnto God; if not in the particular act. yet in the generall purpose of his minde: for, every morning he prayeth to God for the direction of all his wayes, and that all his works may be sauctified by his Spirit. And thus it appeareth, that in faying, wee are justified by grace, and yet by works too, they speake contraries.

35. A third contradiction in this Article is about their 11. Contrad. works of Preparation, which, they say, goe before the first iustification: these they call, vertuous dispositions, good qualities, good preparations, merits of congruitie; and that they haue a dignitie of worke in them: and yet they say agayne, that no good works goe before the first iustification : belike then they are both good, and not good, by their doctrine: and therefore thus I argue: If they be not good, why do they call them good? if they bee good, then it is vntrue, that no good works go before the first instification of a sinner : either in the one, or in the other, they must needs erre; and in holding both, the one part of their de Arine croffeth the other.

36. Fourthly, they say, that faith alone doth not justify: and yet, notwithstanding, they say, Fide Catholica Christiana eaque sola hominem instificari, nulli unquam negauerunt nec negant Pontifici. That no Papisteuer hath, or doth deny, that a man is justified by the Catholike Christian faith, and that alone. This is the affertion of Miletus, against Hesbusius; and it is not condemned by any of the rest; but his booke approued as contayning nothing contrary to their Catholike Religion : and foit seemes to be one of their Catholike doctrines. And Bellarmine infinuates asmuch, though not in playne Bell, Ibid. speech, yet by necessary consequence, when hee faith, that faith is the beginning, and first roote of instification. Now if it be so, then as soone as a man hath faith, justification is begun, and taketh roote in him, euen before he hath any other grace: and if it hath taken roote, then it is eyther whole iuflification, or a peece thereof: but a peece it cannot be; for it is indivisible; therefore, eyther whole or none. For grant there be degrees in instification (as they say) which never the-

Bell. de Iustif. l. I. cap. 21. Bishop. contra Refor. Cathol. art. 35.

12. Contrad. Bell. de Iustif. lib. 1. cap. 13. 0/er. Dom. 23. post Pentes. Milet. contra Hesbus.

lesse they are never able to prooue: yet they bee degrees of persection, not of essence: as a man is a man as soone as hee is borne, though not a perfect man before hee come to complete age, stature, and strength. So their supposed iustification, is instification in the roote, though not perfect and absolute, vntill it come to ripe age. I speake in their language, because I deliuer their owne doctrine. Now how can these two contraties bee reconciled? Faith alone doth not instify, and yet faith alone doth iustify ? If they say, that they speake of one kinde of faith, and we of another, they say nothing to the purpose: for even that any saith alone should instify, is contrary to their owne positions, who affirme, that the former cause of our iustification, is the inherent righteousnes of works: and not the righteousnes of Christ, apprehended by faith. And thus I leave the Article of justification at jarre with it selfe, to be atoned by their best wits, if it be possible.

Bell. de Iustif. lib. 1. cap. 18.

13. Contrad.

Aug. lib.4. in Julian. cap. 3.

Nazianz. orat. in sanct. Lauac.

37. Let vs come to their doctrine of workes, and see how that agreeth with it selfe: and here first they hold, that works done before faith and regeneration, are not good workes, but sinnes. This is proued by them out of Saint Augustine, who affirmeth, that the workes of unbeleeners are sinnes: and if the workes of vnbeleeuers, then of all other wicked men which bee not regenerate; seeing, as the same Father else-where speaketh, Impy cogitant, non credunt, the wicked doe not beleeue, but thinke; they have but a shadow of faith, without substance. It may be produced also by that generall and infallible axiome of the holy Scripture, What soener is not of faith, is sinne: but the workes of wicked men are all voye of faith, and therefore are no better then sinnes in the fight of God, be they neuer so glorious and beautifull in the eyes of men. Or as Gregorie Nazianzene faith, As faith without workes is dead, To workes without faith are dead; and dead workes are finnes, as appeares, Heb. 9. 41. Besides, Bellarmine confirmeth the same by reason, because they want a good intention to direct their workes to the glory of the true God, whome they are ignorant of. To which I adde another reason drawne from our Sauiours owne mouth, Mat. 7. Because an enill tree cannot bring forth forth good fruit: but every man, til he be ingrafted into Christ, is no better then an euill tree, and therefore cannot doe a

good worke.

38. This is their doctrine, and it is found divinitie : but fee how they crosse it ouer the face with a contrary falshood; for the same men that teach this, notwithstanding affirme, that the workes of Infidels are good, suo genere, in their kind: Bell, de iustif.lib. fo they are good, and not good; finnes, and yet good works: 4. cap, 16. but this is in their kind, fay they, that is, Morally, and not Theologically. I, but morall vertues in the vnregenerate are (by their owne principles) sinnes: how then can they be good any waies? Can finne, which is a transgression of Godslaw, and simply in it owne nature euill, be in any respect good, as it is sinne? But to take cleare away this scruple, another of them auoucheth, that they are not onely morally, but even Theologically good; for he faith, that fuch works as are done Salmer. Jefuit. by the light of nature onely, without grace, doe dispose and make a man in some sort fit to instification, though it be longe & valde remote, remotely, and a farre off; for he that yeeldeth obedience to morall lawes, is thereby leffe vndisposed and repugnant to divine grace. Now, how can sinnes dispose or prepare a man for iustification? is God delighted with finnes? Either therefore they are not sinnes, or they doe not dispose to iustification, neither farre nor necre: or which is the prefent contradiction, they are finnes and not finnes, good and not good, at one time, and in one and the same respect. And to put the contradiction out of all question, the Councill of Trent in the seuenth Canon of the fixt Session, enacteth as concil. Trident. much, and denounceth Anathema to all that fay the contra- Seff.6, ca.7. rie: the words are these, If any man shall say, that all the works which are done before instification, by what meanes scener they are done, are truely sinnes, or deserue the hatred of God, let him be Anathema. And Andradius the interpretor of that Coun- Andradius. cill, authorised by the Fathers of the same, doth more perspicuously explaine the meaning of that Canon, when hee faith, that men without faith, destitute of the spirit of regeneration, may doe workes which are voyde of all filthine se, free from all fault,

tit. 15.pag.41.

and

owne

and defiled with no sinne, and by which they may obtaine saluation: then which, what can be more contradictory to that which before was deliuered, that all the workes of Infidels and vnbelecuers are finnes, be they neuer so glistering with morall vertue? or more agreeable to the olde condemned errors of lufine, Clemens, and Epiphanius, who affirmed that Socrates, and Heraclitus were Christians, because they lined according to the rule of reason: and that the Grecians were instified by Philosophie: and that many were saued onely by the law of nature, without the lame of Moses, or Gospell of Christ?

39. Againe, their doctrine of doubel merit, the one of

Congruity, the other of Condignity, as they terme them, is not onely contrary to the truth, but to it selfe. For this they teach, that the merit of congruity, which the Councill of

14. Contrad.

Trent calleth the preparations and dispositions to iuslification, is grounded vpon the dignity of the worke, and not vpon the promise of God: but the merit of condignity requireth both a dignity of the worke, and the promise of God to bee

compend. Theol. lib. 5. cap. 11.

Bell, de Iustif.

lib. I. 6ap. 21.

dist. 27.

9.114.art. 2. Cofter. Enchir. pag. 284.

grounded vpon, or else it is no merit. This is Bellarmines plaine doctrine, and is confonant to the relidue of their Do-Cours, both Schoole divines, and others: for thus they define the merit of congruity, It is that by which the subiect is disposed, that it may receive grace according to the reason of Gods instice. Here is onely instice required, and not any promise to Galriel. 3. Sent. the merit of congruity; though (I must confesse) Gabriel Biel somewhat crosseth this definition, when he saith, that when a man doth what in him beth, then God accepteth his worke, and powreth in grace, not by the due of Instice, but of his liberalitie. Aquimas.2.2 a. And Aquinas, who affirmeth, that when a man veth well the power of free-will, God worketh in him according to the excellencie of his mercy. But yet they all agree in this, that the merit of congruity is not grounded vpon any promise, as the merit of condignity is, but onely vpon the worthings of the worke done. Nowhere lurketh a flat contradiction, for by this it should follow, that the merit of congruity should bee more properly amerit then that of condignity. Which Bellarmine denyeth in the same Chapter, because this dependeth vpon it owne dignity, and hath no neede of a promise, as the other hath: and so should bee also more meritorious and excellent then the other; being neuerthelesse but a preparation and beginning to iustification, and the other the matter of iustification it felfe. And that a manthat hath no grace welling in him, but onely outwardly mouing him, nor is yet iustified, should have more power to deserve and merite, then he that is fulfilled with grace, and fully instified. Thus error, like a Strumper, bringeth foorth a monstrous brood of absurdities: but let vs proceede.

40. Their merite of condignitie bringeth foorth a like monter; for they build it vpon two foundations, whereof one ouerwhelmeth the other : to wit, the dignity of the worke, and the promise of God: for what can be more contrary, then mercy and iustice? Now if it depend vpon the dignity of the worke, then it is a due of iuffice: and fo they call it, Meritum ex institia. A merite by iustice : but if itrely vpon the free promise of God (as they callit,) then it must needs be, Meritum ex misericordia. A merite by mercy: for Gods promise is a voluntary fruit of his mercy. They answer, that they may both weil stand together: for, say they, God dealeth with vs, as we deale with our hyred labourers; meagree with them for a certayne price, for doing a peece of worke, and when they begin, we give them an earnest penny; and when the worke is done, according to the covenant, we give them their wages: So dealeth Almighty God with Christians; he first maketh a covenant with them, that for labouring in his Vineyard they Mat. 20. 2. shall have a penny, that is, eternall life: then he giveth them the earnest of his Spirit, to assure them thereof: and lastly, at the end, when they have done their worke, hee payeth them their wages. But by the Jesuitesleaue, this similitude is lame of all foure: for first, when a man hyreth a labourer, and bargaineth with him for wages for his worke : this is a couenant of iu-Rice, and no promise of mercy: and therefore if he performe this bargaine, hee is not therefore called mercifull, but iust: but Almighty God, as they themselves consesse, promiseth freely, and is bound to none: and therefore this must needs

15. Contrad.

Bell. de Iustif. lib. 1. cap. 21. Coster. Enchir. P12.284.06. Aquin. I. 2. 9. 114. art. 6. Bell. de Instif. lib. 5. cap. 14. Cofter. Enchir. pag. 285. Bell. de Justif. lib. 5. cap. 17.

Ephes. 1.13. Apoc, 22, 12. Iob. 35.7.

be a worke of mercy, and not of iustice. Secondly, there is a proportion betwixt the labourers worke and his hire: but betwixt the Kingdome of heauen, and our good works, there is no proportion, no more then betwixt a finite, and an infinite thing: or a drop of a Bucket, and the huge Ocean. And thirdly, a man standeth in need of his workman & his worke: but God hath no need of vs. And hence it must of necessitie follow, that the labourers hire is a debt of inflice: but a Christians hire is a reward of mercy. And so I conclude, that being of mercy, it cannot be of justice too; or if it be of justice, it cannot be of mercy too: for what neede justice, if it bee of mercy? and what need mercy, if it be of iustice? And therefore if this merite of condignitic be grounded vpon the worth and valour of the worke done (as they teach) then it cannot bee grounded vpon the promise of God, as they teach also; because the dignitie of the worke requires it as a due by iustice, and the gracious promise of God imparts it, as a reward ofmercy.

16. Contrad.
Bell. de lustif.
lib. 5. cap. 21.
Consil. Trident.
Sesf. 6. c., 13.
san. 4.

41. Againe, in their merite of congruitie, there is another contradiction: for they teach, that the whole dignitie of the worke dependeth upon grace; and therefore that it is not so much man that meriteth, as Gods grace in man. And yet the same affirme, that this grace doth not inhabitare: that is, dwell in a man; but onely outwardly moone, and helpe him: and that it is in mans power, either to accept, or reiect the same. Now how can the power of meriting issue from grace alone, and the whole dignitie of the worke depend vpon grace, when as that grace is not in vs, and when as our owne free will is the chiefe worker, being able of it felfe, either to valocke the dore, and let it in, or barre the doore, and shut it out? And besides, if the whole dignitie of the worke depend vpon grace, why doth he call it, Meritum incheatum & imperfectu? A lame and unperfect merite? Is Gods grace lame and imperfect? eyther therefore that grace dwelleth in vs, and is the chiefe worker, or else it doth not wholly depend vpon grace. And againe, if it wholly proceede from grace, then it is not a lame and imperfect, but a complete & perfect merite.

Sec,

Bell. 1bid.

See, I beseech you, how falsehood needeth no other Engine but it selfe to ouerthrow it.

Lastly, concerning works, their doctrine is, that the good works of the regenerate are fully and absolutely iust, and perfettly good: and yet neverthelesse, that they may grow in goodnesse, and are also mixed with many veniall sinnes. If they Bell de Iustif. bee perfectly good, how can they grow in goodnesse? and if lib. 4. cap. 10. they increase in goodnesse, how are they perfectly good, seeing that onely is perfect, to which nothing can be added? If they say, that this perfection is but begun, and not finished; why then also, they should say, that they are impersectly perfeet: or elfe, they pull downe with one hand, that which they build with the other. And againe, if they, most holy and iust men, have their works intermixed with many veniall fins, for which they need to cry daily, Forgine vs our trespasses, how can they either perfectly fulfill the law of God, or doe fuch works as may bee able to abide the censure of Gods iustice, seeing Saint Iames faith, that he that transgresseth one Commande- Iam. 2.10. ment, is guiltie of the whole law, because he violateth the body of iustice, contained in the law? as he which hurteth the little toe, doth herein wrong the whole bodie. It is a contradiction therefore, to fay, that the works of the regenerate are perfect, and yet are intermingled with many sinnes: and it is all one, as if a man should say, that his face is perfectly cleane, and yet hath many spots: or that the bodie is perfectly in health, and yer is pestred with many diseases.

43. And thus much of good works. Now, besides these, 18. Contrad. there are certaine works in their Religion, which are more then good, and more perfect then perfection: these be their works of Supererogation, arifing from three grounds: First, Bel. de Monach. when the Saints in this life do more good works, then are ne- lib.2, cap. 6.7. cessarie for themselves to the attainment of saluation. And secondly, when they suffer more and greater punishments then are due voto them for their sinnes. And thirdly, when they undertake, not onely works, commanded by the law of God; but also, such as are commended by the Euangelicall Councells: as, voluntary pouertie, abstayning from marriage,

17. Contrad. Concil. Tridens Seff. 6. cap. 11. can. 25.

and regular obedience. These superabounding actions and passions of Gods Saints, are the works of supererogation. spoken of, and magnified so much in the Church of Rome: which both are the Churches Treasure, mingled with the fuperabounding merits of Christs passion; and are layd vp in a Treasury, the keyes whereof and dispensation is committed to the Pope of Rome, that hee, either by himselfe or his Factors, may dispence them at his pleasure: that is, to them that will buy the same for money: and also (as they say) are more excellent, and perfect, and meritorious of a greater reward. This blasphemous doctrine might easily be wouded to death? by the Sword of the Spirit: for it is contrary to all Religion, & to the experience of euery mans owne conscience. But I leaue this to others, who have, or shall meddle in this argument: my taske is to shew, how it contradicteth both it selfe, and other doctrines of their Religion.

Bell. Jbid.

44. It selfe, thus: They teach, that works of supererogation, grounded vpon Euangelicall Counsels, are (as you have heard) more excellent, perfect, and meritorious, then those which are done in obedience to the law of God: and that in three respects: First, comparing scuerall Counsels with seuerall Precepts which concerne the same matter: As, to sell all and give to the poore, is a more excellent worke, then any commanded in that Precept, Thou shalt not steale. And the Counsell of Single life, is more perfect then the Precept, Thou shalt not commit Adultery. As if men could bee more perfect then God had commanuded, or then Christ himselfe was, whose righteouses consisted in this, in being obedient to his Fathers will. Or then the Angels, whose perfection consisteth in executing the Commandements of God. Or, as if the law of God was not a perfect rule of righteousnes. Secondly, comparing the state of men, obseruing Euangelicall Counfells, with the flate of them that onely yeeld obedience to Precepts: as if a man could be in a higher and happier estate then they are, which love God with all their heart; and their Neighbour as themselues: which is the summe of the Law. And thirdly, (marke this) comparing Counsells with any Precept

Heb. 10.7. Píal. 103.20.

precept whatfocuer, even with that great Commaundement of the Law, Thoushalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, Mat. 22.37.38. and with all thy strength. As if a man could love God more then with all his heart, and with all his strength. Gods childrenlabour for so much, let them take the more for their shares. Hence they conclude, that in respect of matter, the Precept is good; but the Counsels better: and in respect of Rell, de Mona b. the end, the fulfilling of Precepts hath a reward; but the ex- lib. 2, cap. 7. ecution of Counsels hath a greater reward. This is their plaine doctrine. And vet neuerthelesse the same men teach, that the perfection of a Christian man consisteth essentially, in the observation of Precepts: and instrumentally, in the obseruation of Counsels. And secondly, that the Precepts of charitic are the ends whereunto Counsels are ordayned; and the works of Counsels are but the way and meanes for the better keeping of the Precepts. Now to the purpose. How can works of supererogation bee more perfect then works of obedience? Counsels then Precepts? seeing persection confifeth in the one instrumentally, and in the other essentially, and Precepts are the end of Counsels, and not Counsels of Precepts? Is an instrumentall perfection greater then an efsentiall? or the meanes more perfect then the end? This is contrary to naturall reason: for Aristotle saith, Maius bonum oft finis, quam qued finis non oft. The end is a greater good then that which is not the end : and the instrument is never so perfect as the effence of a thing.

45. Againe, it croffeth another of their doctrines thus : They teach, that though the law of God beepoffible to bee kept by the regenerate, & the works of the faithfull be fimply and absolutely iust: yet they are mixed with many venials sinnes: and therefore there is none so just, but that finneth fometimes, and hath neede to vse that petition in the Lords Prayer daily, Forgine vs our trespasses. According to that generall axiome of Sain: Iames, In many things wee sinne all. Yea, Bellarmine himselfe affirmeth, that the regenerate may fall into many deadly finnes, and that hee cannot possibly a uoyd veniall finnes, Nisi prinilegio singulari: Bat by a singular lib. arbit. l. 6.6.10

Bell. Ibid. c. 6.

Aquin. 2. 2. 9. 184. art. 3. Idem. Ibid. q. Salmer. c. 7. pag. 37.

Aristot. Rether. lib. 1. cap. 7.

19 Contrad. Concil. I rident. Seff 6. C. II. can. 25. Bell. de Fuftif. lib. 4. cap. 10. Idem. de Grat. & lib arbit. 1.5. Et de ben, oper. in partic l 1. E. 6. Iam 3. 2. Udem de grat. &

primiledge.

priniledge. Which priviledge hee cannot instance to have beene granted to any man living or dead, except Christ only, who was God & man. Observe now the contradiction: (to omit that this necessitie of funing doth overthrow the possibility of sulfilling the law, and doth imply an impessibilitie) how can these two extremes be reconciled? The regenerate cannot performe all they should do, & yet do performe more then they should do. They cannot awayd veniall fins, and yet can supererogate. It is as much as to fay, that a man is not able to pay his owne debts, but must aske pardon for them: & yet hathability to pay another mans, far greater then his owne. Or, an Archer cannot by any means shoot home to the marke Eyet with the same Bow & Arrowes, sent forth by the same Arength of his arme, he can shoote farre beyond the marke. He that is tainted and stained with many venial finnes, in that respect, is not perfect: but hee that docth supercrogate, is more then perfect: For so they say, when they give a higher degree of perfection to these works, then to the perfect obedience of the law. If they say, that veniall sinnes doe not hinder the perfection of good works: I answere, that neverthelesse, they hinder the perfection of the worker: & if they slick fall to the worke it selfe, they hinder that alto: as the least spot of inke blemisheth the whole face, and the lightest discase disableth the health of the whole body. Eyther therefore they must deny them to besinnes, and so spots & defects. in the foules of the regenerate: or they must confesse, that they are not so perfect as they should be. And how then can they be more perfect then they should be?

Bell. de Monach. lib. 2. cap. 2.

20. Contred.
Bell.de Monach.
lid. 2. cap. 8.
Salmer.tom. 5.
craft. 5.
Bell.de Monach.
lid. 2. sap. 2.

46. Further, they teach, that one degree of supererogating perfection, is the vow of Monasticall pourty, renouncing all propriety in worldly goods, and holding in Common the vie of temporallehings: and yet they say, that the state of Bishops, who possesses and goods, and enjoy the propriety of them, is more perfect then the state of Monks; who deprine themselves thereof, because Bishops have alreadie atchined this perfection, and Monks are but in the way to it. From which ground a man may thus argue: If perfection

Action consist in voluntary pouerry, which is an alienation of all proprietie of worldly goods; then Bshiops, pe steffing Lordships and revenues, are not more per ect then Monks, that have renounced all: and if Bishops, possessing, be more perfect then Monks, not possessing, then perfection confisteth not in the alienation of all proprietie of worldly goods. One or the other must needes bee faile, except hee will place perfection in two contraries: to wit, poffessing, and not posfeffing. And the rather may this absurditie appeare, because, askethem, why Monks are more perfect then other men? they will answere, because they remoone from them all impediments of their love to God (in which ranke they place worldly wealth) and confectate themselves wholly to Gods seruice. By which reason, Bishops cannot bee more perfect then either they or other men, because they retayne those impediments: and fo by their doctrine, doe not wholly consecrate themselucs to Gods service.

47. From their actions, let vs come to their passions: to wir, their Satisfactions, or, as Melanchton calleth them, Satispassions, wherein there are as fat and foggie contradictions, as in the former. For, first they teach, that Christ hath made a perfect and full sufficient satisfaction for all the Elect; and chat his death was a sufficient price for the redemption and expiation of the sinnes of the whole world: and that his fatisfaction was of infinite valew, &c. This they affirme in semblance of words, because, if they should not, all men would cry shame vpon their Religion. And yet, in truth, they ouerthrow the same by another crosse doctrine of our owne satisfaction : for the same men say, that Christ hath onely satisfied for the fault of our finnes, and the eternall punishment due vnto them: but wee our selves must satisfie for the temporall punishment, eyther here on earth, by necessary afflictions, or in Purgatory, by the indurance of those paynes which are there ordayned to purge men withall. Now what can be more contrary then these two propositions? Christ hath made a full latisfaction for vs; and yet we must also satisfie in part for our selues. If Christs satisfaction be full and persed, then

21. Contrad.

Concil Trident.
Seff. 4. c. 8.
Bed. de pænit.
tib. 4. cap. 14.
Cofter Enchir.
\$22.395.

Concil. Trident. Seff.4, cap. 10. 6.12. & 13. Bek. de pænie. lib 4.cap.4 & 3. & 7. Bell. de bonis
oper. in partic.
t. 3.62p. 6.

it hash payd the whole debt which we ought: but if we must pay part of the debt, then is not this fatisfaction full and perfcet. That the temporall punishment is a part of our debt. Bellarmine himselfe consesseth, writing voon the first petition of the Lords Prayer, where hee giveth this one reason, why sinnes are called debts : because he which breaketh the Law, is a debter, to undergoe the punishment which the Law requireth. But the Law bindeth transgreffors, not onely to eternall, but alfo to temporary and transit ry punish nents. As a Suretie therfore, that payeth for his friend, owing an hundred pound, fourescoreand ten, leaving the remainder to the debter himselfe to be satisfied, cannot be sayd, to have made full satisfaction: So, if any parc of our debt is to be payed by our selves, Christ, our all-sufficient Surety, cannot be sayd to have made a full satisfaction. But they answere, that this our satisfaction is wrought in vs by Christ; and is so in vs, that it is not of vs. but of Chrift. And again, that it is nothing but an infrumet ordained by God, to apply Christs death unto our selves, and so to expiate the punishment of our sinnes instrumentally, and not causally. To which I answere: First, that this inwrappeth another contradiction: far, if it bee Christs in vs. and not ours of our selves, then it must needs be the satisfaction it selfe, and not an instrument to apply it: for one and the fame thing cannot bee both the instrument to apply, and the

Concil. Trident. Seff. 4. cap. 8.

Bell. de penit. b. 4. cap. 14.

contradiction remaineth still as fast tyed as ever it was.

48. Againe, they say, that our fatisfactions, when they are at the best, are imperfect, and no wayes proportionable to the instice of God: for when we sinne, we offend him, who is an infinite God; and what society we have, it is but a small and sinite thing: and therefore there must needes bee an imperfect.

thing that is applyed. But of this seemore in the sourth reason. And secondly, though it be from Christ, yet that is but in part; because, as they teach, it is not onely in every mans power, eyther to admit, or to exclude the grace of God, and the efficacy of Christs merite, by his owne free-will: but also, for that it is wrought by our selves, and vpon our selves, cooperating with grace at least. And thus the knot of the

Rell, de panis. Ub.4, cap. 1.

perfect compensation from vs to God, depending rather vpon bis mercifull acceptation, the any proportionable satisfaction. This is their doctrine. And yet they teach allo, that there is an equalitie and proportion betwixt Gods inflice, and our fatisfactory works: and that they are in some fort of infinite valew, by reason of the infinite power of Gods Spirit dwelling in vs, from which they proceede. And thus by their doetrine they are perfect, and not perfect ! infinite, and finite : haue equality and proportion, and yet haue no equality nor proportion to Gods iuslice. Either therefore they are not of infinite valew, though they proceede from the Spirit: 'orif, because they doe proceede from the Spirit, therefore they are of infinite value, then they cannot bee imperfect. Let them choose which they will, they have a Wolfe by the cares. 5-149. Frither, they teach, that the passions of the Saints doe not onely profite themselves, but also others, whether living or dead; not so much, by example, for their edification, as also, for their satisfaction, by redeeming them from temporall punishment. Which doctrine is not onely contrary to Saint Gregory, one of their owne Bishops, who taught that Christs sufferings are herein distinguished from the sufferings of all others; because hee suffered without sinne, and all men fuffered with finne: but also to the received doctrine in their Church, which holders, that the right cousnes by imputation, whereby we say a man is justified, is a meere fiction and Chimericall concert. For a man (fay they) cannot be righteous by another mans righteousnes, nor wise by another mans wiscdome : and so not instified by Christs righteousnes imputed vnto him. Cannot a man be justified by Christs righteousnes imputed? & can satisfaction be made by the passions of the Saints imputed ? Is the death of Christ of lesse price & force then the sufferings of the Saints? The righteousnes of Christ imputed, is a Monster in Religion; & yet the satisfa &ion of the Saints imputed, is with them a Catholike doctrine. And thus with one doctrine they establish imputation, and with the other, pull it downe againe. With one breath they condemne it, and with another they iustifie it.

Idem de pænis. lib. 4. cap. 7.

23. Contrad. Bell. de Indulg. lib. 1. 6ap. 2.

Grez. de Valent. de Indulg. c. I.

Greg. Maz.

24. Contrad.

B.H. de Pur at.

lib. I. cap. 7.

Sessi Trident. Sessi 14. ca. 2. Bell. de extrem. unfl.lib.1.cap.8

Beil. de Purgat.

50. Icyne vnto Satisfactions, their bastard Purgatotie: for out of this doctrine, That men mul fatisfie in them telues, and for themselves, for the temporall pun shment of their finnes, fpringerh Purgatory: because, when they have not fatisfied to fficiently in this life, then (as they teach) they must make up that which is wanting in the life to come, in the fire of Purgatory. This doctrine of Purgatory is directly oppofite to their Sacrament of Extreme unstion: for there they teach, that by this Sacrament all the reliques of finne are veterly abolished and wiped away. Si qua delicta fint adhuc expianda abstergit (saith the Councell of Trent:) If any sinnes remaine unpurged, or to be satisfied for, this Sacrament wypeth them cleane away. And the Councell of Florence affirmeth, that the effect of this Sacrament is, Sanatia anima: The head ling of the Soule. And Bellarmine concludeth, that therefore the fine Senses are anounted, because they are, as it were, the fine doores, by which sinnes enter in vnto the soule: to wit, that there might bee a generall purgation of all finnes which remayne. This is their absolute doctrine: and yet the same men affirme, that Purgatory is ordayned to purge away the reliques of finnes, which in our life time wee haue not satisfied for: and that many finnes sticke io fast and close vnto vs, that we carry them with vs out of this life, to bee purged in that purging fire. This is also their doctrine. Now I would aske of them, if all the reliques of fin be wyped away by this annoynting Sacrament, then what vie is there of Purgatory? and if the reliques of sinne bee to bee purged in Purgatory, then what vie of this Sacrament? Either therefore this fire doth dry vp the vertue of that facramentall Oyle, or this facramentall Oyle doth quench that fire, They will fay, peraduenture, that eyther all ate not anounted with this Oyle: or that some that are anounted, by their owne infidelity and impenitency, barre out the vertue thereof. Or, laftly, that the sinne being remitted, yet the temporall punishment due vnto it, is to bee payd in Purgatory. To which I reply: first, that it is against the rule of their owne Religion, that none that are anounted with this Oyle, should goe to Purgatory: for

for then a very small number should goe to that place, seeing their Priests are so diligent, for their owne belly sake, that they seldome suffer any to passe away wi hout this Pasport. Secondly, for them which barre out the efficacy of the Sacrament by their owne infidelity or impenitency, not that purging fire of the Suburbs, but the deuouring fire of Hell it felfe is prepared, as they themselves acknowledge. And thirdly, if there be not a purging away of some filthy staines of sinnes from the soule by that fire, but onely a satisfactory punishmenr, why do they call it a Purgatory? Nay, and why doeth Bellarmine thus define it to bee a place, wherein, as it were in a Prison, after this life those soules are purged, which mere not sufficiently purged in this life; to the end, that being so purged, they may enter into heaven, whither no polluted thing can have admittance? And thus it remaynes a necessary conclusion, that either the reliques of sinne are not clensed away by Extreme unition, and for that Sacrament is of no force: or, if they be, they are not then purged in Purgatory: and fo that fire muft needes be quenched. -51. But if this Oyle will not ferue to extinguish Purgato-

Bell. de Purgat. lib. 1. cap. 1. & lib. 2. cap. 1.

Popes Pa-dons, which will (at least) evacuate and empty it, that there shall be no suell for that fire. For they teach, that a Pardon or Indulgence, is the remission of temporal punishment, due for actual sinnes, out of the dispensation of the Churches Treasury. Thus doth Töllet define it, and Bellarmine, and Gregory de Valentia, adding onely, that it is by meanes of application, of the superabounding satisfaction of Christ and the Saints, made by him that hath authority thereunto. Now none hath authority thereunto but the Pope onely, and such as are delegated by him to that purpose: for the keyes of this Treasury were committed to Peter, and his Vicar, saith Oscrius, another Iesuite: and from them is derived to Cardinalls,

Archbishops, Bishops, and other inseriour Clarkes. And the Pope by his iurisdiction, may absolue all that are in Pur-

gatory from the paine, and so empty Purgatory at once, saith

Antoninus, the Archbishop of Florence: which if it be true,

rie, because the fire burneth so hot: let vs adde vnto this the

25. Contrad.

Tollet. Infruet.
Sacerdotum.
lib. 6. cap. 21.
Bell. de Indulg.
lib. 1.
Greg. de Valen.
tom.4. pag. 1846

Osor. tom.4.con.
in publ. Iubil.

Autonia. part. 3. tit. 22. c. 5. § 6.

then

T 4

then either the Pope is vnmercifull, if he can, and will not: for who would fuffer fuch a number of poore foules to bee fo cormented, when with a word of his mouth he might release them? or, if hee would, and cannot, then their doctine is false of his absolute Iurisdiction. Let them choose whether they will. But of this, more hereafter. Now to the purpose. Some of them hold, that the paynes of Purgatory hold but ten yeeres: some, an hundred: some, two hundred: they that stretch them farthest, yet say, that they must end at the day of Iudgement: because then, all must bee Sheepe or Goates, none betwixt both, of middle nature, as Bellarmine affirmeth. Well, then, if Purgatory dure no longer, then we shall not neede to seareit no more, then that fire which the Philosopher calls, Ignis fatuus. For let any man goe to Venice, and say but a prayer of Saint Augustine, printed in a table, and he shall have \$2000. yeeres pardon: that is longer then the world is like to endure by their owne confession, and therefore longer then needes. This indulgence was granted by Pope Boniface the eyghth. Or, if Venise be too farre a iourney, let him stay at home, and but nod the head at the Name of Iclus, and hee shall have twenty yeeres pardon for enery time. I would nod twenty times a day if this were true, and that commeth to 7300, in the yeere. O how a man may disappoint this Purgatory, if he have any wit in his head! This Indulgence came from Pope John the two and twentieth. Or. if this be also too great a matter, let a man weate but an Agnus Deiabout his necke, and thinke onely in his heart on the Name of Iesus at the houre of his death, and hee shall have plenary forgiuenes of all his sinnes. And for them that are there already, they are helped out daily, or at least may bee, by the Suffrages and Masses of those that are aline: and if any remaine there, the fault is in the Priests, that say not Maffes fast enough: and the reason of that is because they receive not money fall enough: for there is the common Proverbe most true, No penny, no pater noster. To conclude, in the yeere of Iubile a perfect and full pardou is graunted to all that defire the fame, or on whom the Pope will bestow it:

Afor. tom. 5.

Barrad, in con-

cord. Euang.

therefore the foules in Purgatory cannot be excluded. Now if all these things stand true, then Purgatory must fall: for who would fall into Purgatory, that may thus eafily preuent it? or who would suffer any of his friends soules and ac- pag. 601. quaintance to lye burning there one houre, when it is in his power thus to redeeme them? Either therefore the doctrine of Pardons is falle and fayned, of elle Purgatory is no better then a scarcrow.

26. Contrad. Bell. de Purgas. lib. 2. cap. 9.

7.71 1,29.

52. Adde to these, that soules onely are tormented in Purgatory, and not bodies : but bodies sinne as well as soules : and some sinnes are committed by the whole man, to wit, bodie and soule together: and therefore the body is not free from the relicks of finnes, no more then the foule, especially from obligation ynto temporall punishment. How can then these relicks bee purged away in this fire, when as the one part of man, which standeth in neede of purging as well as the other, neuer commeth thither? Bellarmine sawe this contradiction well enough, and therefore labours to falue it by a false position, driving out one nayle of error with another: to wit, That sinne is onely an act of free-will; and therefore, after the dissolution of the body and soule by death, Bell. Ibid, remayneth onely in the soule, and not in the dead body. But this is first false: for albeit properly it is the soule that sinneth, yet the body also sinneth, by being an instrument of the soule in finning: and he himselfe saith, that some sinnes cannot bee committed but a toto composito, by the whole man, And if the bodie doe not sinne as well as the soule, I wonder why it is punished both in this life, with corporall diseases and plagues, and after death, with putrefaction and deprivation of life, and in the day of sudgement, with eternall torment in hell fire. Secondly, if it were so, that a dead carkasse had no relique of finne in it, yet in that it was an instrument of finne, it is lyable to temporall punishment, which is the chiefe ground of Purgatory, as hath beene shewed. And therefore I conclude, that either the body goeth to Purgatory as well as the soule; or else a sull satisfaction is not made for the temporall punishment: or at least, that the fire of Purgatory is but an ima-

nary and witty conceit to keepe men in some awe, and to maintaine their owne pride and pompe.

27. Contrad.

Bell. de Purgat. lib. 2. cap. 18.

August de verbis Apostol. ser. 7.

Nazian in laud. Cefarii. Ambro de obitu Theod & Valent. in August confes. lib.9.6.13.

Cassand. consult. art.24. Bell. de Purgat. lib. 2. cap. 18.

53. Next vnto Purgatory, is Prayer for the dead, which is both the mother and daughter of that fire; for assit is vpheld by Purgatory, a weake and imaginary foundation; fo it vpholdeth Purgatory, a paper building: neuertheleffe it is overturned by it owne poyfe and weight. For this they teach, That the prayers and suffrages of the lining, doe nothing profite those that doe enjoy bleffednesse, as the Martyrs, and such like: according to that of Saint Augustine, Iniuriam facit martyri qui orat pro martyro: He dothwreng a Martyr, that prayes for a Martyr : nor the damued whether they be in the lowest Hell, as reprobates, or in Lymbo, as vnbaptized Infants: but onely the foules in Purgatory. And yer notwithstanding, they both alledge the authorities of ancient Fathers, to prooue the prayer for the dead, who prayed for those whom they assured themselves obe in beauen: and also by their owne doctrine and practice declate, that they have vied to pray for the damned. As touching the Fathers, Nazianzene prayed for Cesarius, and Ambrose for Theodosius, Valentinian and Saint Augustine for his mother. And in the ancient Leiturgies of the Church, prayers were made for Patriarks, Prophets, Martyrs, and the beffed Virgine Mary her selfe: yea, for the Popesalfo: as for Pope Leo for example; and yet they thought all these to be in the flate of bleffednesse, as it appeareth in the same places where. these prayers are expressed: and therefore Cassander, their iudicious reconciler, calleth those prayers, Testimonies of charitie towards the dead, congratulations of their present loyes, and professions of their faith and hope, concerning the immertality of their soules, and resurrection of their bodies; net supplications for their releasement out of Purgatory, as our Romanifts imagine. Now hence thus we reason': It the Fathers prayed for them who were in possi ffion of bleffednes, then their testimonies serue nothing for their purpose, who effirme, that foules in Purgatory are onely benefited by fuch prayers: and if foules in Purgatory bee onely benefited by such prayers,

prayers, as they fay, then they deale impertinently and deceitfully to bring in the testimony of the Fathers for maintenance of such prayers; in the one, bewraying the imbecillitie of their cause; in the other, the weaknesse of their judgements, and in both croffing themselves in that which elev would build vp, as the builders of Babel did. Neyther doth this onely bewray their fraude in misapplying the authorities of the Fathers: but also it implyeth a playne contradiction: for they teach, that though wee ought not to pray for the foules of the Saints that are in heaven, yet wee may pray for the refurrection and glorification of their bodies, which notwithstanding are not tormented in Purgatory, but asleepe in their graues. And so it followeth, that by their doctrine we may not pray at all for the Saints departed, and yet wee may pray for their bodies, which are the one halfe of them. And againe, we may not pray for anythat are dead, except they be in Purgatory; and yet we may pray for the bodies of the dead, that are not in Purgatory, but in their graues.

54. If they reply as Bellarmine doth, that we may pray for 28. Contrad. the Saints in Heauen, not for release of any paine, but for in- Bell. de Purgat. crease of their glorie, either of their soules presently, or of lib. 2. cap. 18. their bodies futurely, at the Resurrection: then (I say) they contradict themselves againe. For, how doe the Praiers of the living doe no good to any, but those that are in Purgatorie; whereas they are meanes to increase the glorie of their foules, and to procure the consummation of their bodies glorie also? As for their practice in praying for the damned, Damascene reporteth, that Gregorie the Pope absolued Tra- Damas in orac iane, and a Martyr Falcenilla from the paines of hell: and al- de defunct, forelateth out of the historie of Palladius, that Saint Maehary demanded of the dead skull of an Idolater, whether the Praiers of the living did good voto them in Hell or no: to whom the skull should answere, When thou offerest vp Praiers for the dead, we in the meane time feele some refreshing. The like wee read of Indas, in the Legend of Saint Brandon. Bellarmine indeed reiecteth this Tale of the skull as a Fable, Bell, Ibid. but yet he gain faith not the deliuerie of Traiane, by the prai-

Antonin part. 3. tit.22.c.5.5.6. Aquin.in 4. dift. 45. 478.2. q.2.

ers of Gregorie. But Antonimus, the Archbishop of Florence, approoueth the first as an authenticall Storie, so doth Aquinas the last, and frameth this answere thereunto: that the Toules of the damned receive no mitigation of their paine by the Praiers of the living; but onely a certaine vaine and deceitfull ioy: and the Schoolemen deuise strange reasons, how this should be brought to passe, some saying that Traian, by the vertue of Gregories Praiers, returned to life, and did penance, and so obtained pardon, and glorie: others affirming that his foule was not fimply absolued from the guilt of punishment, but that his paine was suspended vntill the day of Judgement: others imagining, that his soule was not freed from Hell, but from the torments of Hell; so that he should remaine there, but should feele no paine: Aud lastly, Bernardine rejecting all these opinions, and concluding that Train was not definitively condemned, but conditionally, to wit, the divine Wisdome fore-seeing that Gregorie should pray for him, and therefore to have deferred his damnatorie sentence. Thus they labour in by-paths that forfake the way of Truth, and wander they know not whither. But to the point, either that is false, that soules in Purgatorie are onely helped by the Prajers and Sacrifices of the living, or this, that by them the damned may be either released or refreshed.

29. Contrad.

55. Laftly, both the Doctrines of Purgatorie and Praier for the dead, are directly croffed by their Canon of the Masse: for there those dead persons for whom Praier is made, are faid to rest in Christ, and to scepe the scepe of peace; and yet here they say, that none are to be praied and sacrificed for, but those onely that are in Purgatorie. What, is there then any rest in Purgatorie? is to be cormented restlessely in those burning flames, which in their judgement are equall for extremitie, and anguish (excepting onely continuance) to the paines of Hell, to be at rest, and to sleepe in peace? is Purgatorie become a Paradise? and the skirts of Hell the suburbes of Heauen? this is new & strange Dostrine: and yet this must needes bee, if both their practice of praying for the dead in their Masse, and their doctrine of the same in their bookes beetrue. 56. Con-

56. Concerning inuocation of Saints, it is intangled with 30. Contrad. divers absurd contrarieties: for first if it beetrue, which the former Doctrine requires, that wee must pray for the Saints which are in bliffe, that their glorie may bee increased : then it is false that wee must pray vnto them. For if they stand in need of our Praiers, as they doe, if by them their glorie is increased, then they should pray vnto vs, aswell as wee vnto them: and if they stand in need of our helpe being in Heauen, how can they helpe vs being on Earth? if we be Mediatours for them, how are they Mediatours for vs? True it is, that here below one man prayeth for another, because they fland in need of one another; but by another Doctrine which is also the truth, the Saints enion the fight and presence of Idem de Sand. God, and therefore are most blessed, for in him they enioy all fulnesse of ioy and glorie; so that nothing can bee added to that happinesse, which in their soules they enjoy: and thereforeone of these two necessarily are false, either we must not

pray vnto them, or we need not pray for them.

57. Againe, they alleage testimonies out of the olde Testament, to producthe inuocation of Spints, as that Praier of Moses, Remember, O Lord, Abraham, Isaac, and Iacob, thy fernants: and Ier. 25. If Moses and Samuel stand before mee, my foule should not bee to this people: and Gen. 48. 16. and lob the 51. & 2. Machabees 15. with divers others: and yet they teach, that before Christ, there was no Saint in Heauen, but cap. 14. all in Lymbo. Now, if they were in Lymbo, and could not help themselues, vatil the Mediatout came, how could they help others? and if they did not enjoy the presence of God themfelues, how could they be certified thereby, as by a glaffe, of the necessities and Praiers of the living? so that it must needes follow, that either the Saints were not praied vnto, or else if they were, then they were in Heauen, and not in Lymbo. Especially, seeing Bellarmine consesseth, that the Saints in Lym- Bell. Toid. lib. x. bodid not ordinarily know the necessities of the living : that be cap 20. ing a prerogative of perfect blessednesse: neyther tooke care of humane affaires, nor were protectors of the Church, as the Saints in Heaven are. Bellarmine indeede, seeing this absurditie,

Bell. de Purgat. lib. 2. cap. 18.

beat, lib. I. cap. 2.

31. Contrad.

Bell. Ibid. lib.1º cap. 19. Ecchius Enchie. cap. 14. 15. Cofter. Enchir.

acknowledgeth, that for the reasons afore alleaged, it was not a custome in the olde Testament to direct their Praiers purposely to the Saints; but in their praiers to God, to alleage the merits of the Saints: but herein hee both crosseth himfelse, and all his fellowes: for if it be so, why doth he and they produce testimonies out of the olde Testament, to produc their inuocation which is made directly vnto the Saints?

32. Contrad.

Bell. 16id.

58. Lastly, they affirme that no Sairts may bee worshipped publikely, that is, in the name of the Church, vale ffe hee be canonized by the Pope, for the avoiding of milprisson: and yet they confesse that none were car onized till 800. yeeres after Christ, by Pope Leo the third, and also that it is lawfull privately to worthip any of whose sanctity I have an opinion: now I would glad y know, if this bec a way to awoide missaking, why was it forborne so long? or why is it not veged privately aswell as publikely if can-nization were necessary 800. yeeres after Christ, to audide mistaking, then there was much milt king before, or elfe this reme dy would not have beene hatched: and if it was necessary in the publicke feruice, then is it wuch more in private devotions, feeing private men are more propente to talle suppositions, then & whole congregation is : and fo this new doctrine of canonization, not onely condemneth the Idolatry of former times, in the inuocation of Saints, but also openeth a wide doore to private superflicion, in that kind: and to indeed ere ffeth and undermine hit seife: for Pellarmine confesseth out of Sulpitins, hat the people did long celebrate one for a mariyr, who after appeared, and tolde them that hee was damned: and Alexander the third reprehendeth certaine nien, for giung the honour of a martyr, to one that dyed drunke; and no doubt hu many fuch Saints are in their Martyrologe at this day, not with standing their canonization: fo that by canonizing, they preuent millaking, & by guing liber y to private invocation, they give occasion, if not cause of mistaking: then which, what can be more contradictory?

Bek. de Saust. beat lib. 1. cap. 7.

33. Contrad.

59. Againe, when they barre all children that are vobaptized, out of Heaven, and confine them to Limbo, there to en-

duic

dure the punishment of losse for euer : doe they not contradict another doctrine of theirs, which teacheth, that men dying without the baptisme of water, if they have baptismum flaminis, vel sanguinis, that is, either suffer martyrdome for Christs sake, or, bee regenerated by his Spirit, and so have a desire to bee initiated by that Sacrament, but are preuented by some meanes, may not with standing goe to Heauen: for if want of baptisme bee a sufficient cause to keepe from Heaven, then it is so as well in men growne, as in infants : and if it bee not a sufficient cause, to shut vp Heaven gates against men of yeeres, then how can it be to yong infants? especially, seeing infants by their doctrine, are equall to men in two things: first, that they may bee martyrs, as well as they: as the children whom Herod flew in Bethleem, are celebrated in their leiturgies: and secondly; that they may bee sandified as well as they, as John Baptist was in his mothers wombe: and in these two are precedent voto them, first, that they are void of actuall transgressions, with which, men of yeeres are infinitely. stayned, and so necrer to Heaven, then those: and secondly. though they have no delire of baptisme in themselves, yet they are devoted thereunto, both by the defire of their parents, and by the purpose and intent of the Church. And therefore, all confiderations, being equall in the persons, and the oddes remaining, if there be any on the infants fide, it can bee no lesse then a direct contradiction, that children ynbaptized cannot bee faued, and men unbaptized may bee faued: for it implieth thus much in effect, that the outward baptisme of water is necessary to saluation, and yet the outward baptisme of water is not necessary to saluation.

by them all, to bee in it owne nature finne, and yet they all confesse, that it is malum, an euill, and vitium, a vice. Is any thing naturally euill, which is not sinne for a vice in Philoso-concil, phy, that is not a sinne in divinity? This is strange divinity. Self.s.

The name of euill, we know, is vsed of annoyances, crosses, and afflictions; but these are naturall euils, and not morall; but to doceuil, can bee said of nothing, but sinne; and how-

34. Contrad.
Bell. de amif.
grat. of flat. peccat.lib. 5. cap. 7.
Concil. Trident.
Seff. 5.

seeuer it bec true, that vice is rather the habit, then the act of sinne; yet because it is the habit, is it therefore less sinfull then the act? nay, is it not more finfull, seeing it groweth out of many actes, and is confirmed by custome, and almost turned

the

to nature? In this therefore they are most contrary to themselues, when they grant concupiscence to bee of it ownenature an euill, and a vice, and yet not a finne: for nothing is naturally euill, but that which swarueth from good: nor any thing vice, but that which is contrary to vertue. Now all morall good and vertue is within the compasse of the Law of · God, and all morall euill and vice, a transgression of that Law; therefore, it cannot but follow, that concupifcence being a morall euill and vice, and therefore a transgression of the Law of God, should bee cleared from being sinne, of it owne nature, especially, seeing as Origen saith, This is the nature of sinne, if any thing bee done, which the Lawforbiddeth: and Bede, that all that swarneth from the rule of right eousnesse, is sinne: and Casarius Gregory, Naianzens brother, that sinne is every assay to resist, and enery resistance it selfe against vertue. And Saince Augustine, that therefore a thing is sinne because it ought not to bee done, and that to doe any thing amisse, is to sinne: but cuery moral leuill and vice is forbidden by the Law, swarueth from the rule of rightcousnesse, is a resistance against vertue, and a thing that is done amisse, and ought not to bee done : therefore is also sinne in it owne nature. They have no wayes to helpe themselves out of these briers, but by the distinction of properly and improperly, which they fay, they fetch out of Saint Augustine, as if concupiscence in the regenerat should be sinne improperly, and not properly, by which the contradiction is not taken away: for they fay, that it is not sinne at all in it owne nature, but onely cuill: now, if it bee improperly sinne of it owne nature, then it is some way sinne: and so

that proposition is false, that it is not since at all: and besides therefore it is said by that distinction in Saint Augustine, to be improperly sinne, because it is not come to so high a persection, as other sinnes are, by being without consent of will: neuerthelesse, hee neuer meant, but that it was a transgression of

Orig.in Rom.7.

Bedain 1.10h. Cafar.Dial.3. apud Nanzianz.

August. de not. & grat. cap. 14. & contra Iulian. lib. 4. cap. 3.

the Law of God: and so a sinne in it owne nature: as may appeare by almost infinite places, in his bookes: as for instance one for all, Concupiscence (faith he) is not onely the punishment of sinne, and cause of sinne, but euen sinne it selfe, because there is in it a rebellion against the Law of the minde: and therefore hee calleth it a concupifcentiall disobedience, which dwelleth in our dying members: and in other places, an exill quality, vitious defires, unlawfull lusts, &c. Therefore Saint Augustine, when he called it fin improperly, neuer dreamt that is was not a tranfgreffion of the Law, but either that it was not so high a degree of finne, as those which are done with consent of will; or that because the guilt of it is taken away by baptisme in the regenerate; as hee speaketh in another place, Concupiscence is not called finne in fuch manner, as finne maketh guilty, because the guilt thereof is released in the Sacrament of regeneration. And thus this distinction rightly understood, standeth them in no flead, to keepe their dollrine from manifest contra-

diction. This subject might bee prosecuted in many more points of their Religion, but I conclude with these two, Antichrist, and the Bishop of Rome, which I joyne together in this discourse, because in truth they are all one: and though they differ in name, yet they agree in nature: one egge is not liker to another, nor milke liker to milke, then the Pope is to Autichrist. As touching Antichrist therefore thus they confesse, that by mysticall Babylon in the Reuelation, is meant Rome, and by and by with an other contrary blast, they puffe away that againe, and affirme, that Rome is not Babylon. The first is an ouched in plaine termes, both by Bellarmine, and Viega, and Ribera, two other Iesuites, and the whole colledge of the Rhemiss, and divers others, convicted by the evidence of Arocal 17. 8. truth: and the second is infinuated by a necessary consequence out of another position: for they say, that Iernsalem shall bee the leat of Antichrist: and in so saying, they inferre necessarily, that Ierusalem is mysticall Babylon, and not Rome, because the whore of Babylon is set foorth in the Scripture, to be the seat of Antichrist: and it must need bee so, seeing shee is called the

Aug. contra 74. lian.lib.5.c.3.

Idem de ciuitat. lib. T. CAB. 25. & contra Fulian.lib.6. ap. 7-& de Joh trast. 41.6 denupt. & concupifc.lib. I. Cap. 25.

Idem centra Inlian, lib. 2.

35. Contrad.

Bell. de Rom. Pentif 1.3.6.13. Viega, Sup. Apocal.II.v.12. Rhem. Annot. in Ribera com.in Apocal. 14.

Bell. de Rom.

the mother of all fornications, that is, of Superstition and Idolatry, of all Atheisme and heresie : and feeing shee maketh drunke the kings and inhabitants of the earth, with the golden cup of her fornication, and is died red, and made drunke with the bloud of the Saints, and of the martyrs of Iefus: And laftly, seeing Antichristmust bee one of the seuen heeds, to wit, the last of the Romane beast, and the last King of the Romane Empire, though not called the Romane Emperour, 23 Pontif. 1,3.0,15. Bellarmine himselfe consesseth. All it ese things considered. and laide together, it must needs bee inferred by necessary consequence, that the whore of Babylon cannot choose but bee the seat of Antichrist; and if it bee so, then either Ierusalem is not the fest, where this man of sinne must raigne; or by Babylon is not meant Rome, but lerusalem : let them choose which: one of these is apparantly false.

36. Contrad.

62. Againe, when by Babylon they understand Rome, they restraine it to heathenish Rome, under the persecuting Emperours; and say, that it is not meant of Rome Catholicke and Christian, but of Rome Ethnick and Heathenish. Now if Rome be Babylon, and Babylon the seat of Antichrist, as hath beene proued out of their owne confession, how can Rome heathenish, vnder the Emperours, be it, when as they all agree, that Antichrist shall not come, vntill a little before the end of the world? That state of Rome, which they speake of, is past about a thousand yeeres since, and Antichristis not yet come according to their doctrine. Necessarily therefore it followeth, that either Antichrist sate there then, and sois come long agoe; or else that Rome was not Babylon, whilft it was under the heathen Emperours, but is, or shall be after it hath received the Christian faith.

Bck-de Rom. · Pontif , 1.3. c. 13.

> 37. Contrad. 3dem 1.3.cap,5. 2. Thef. 2.8.

63. Againe, the Romane Empire must bee removed before the comming of this great enemie: this, all our aduerfaries yeeld vnto: and most of the ancient fathers so interpret that place, When he that hindereth, shall be taken out of the way: and yet the flate of the Romane Empire, vnder the Emperours, is made by them the whore of Babylon, and the seat of Antichrist: and so the Romane Empire by their doctrine. is both veterly abolished before the raigne of Antichrist, and

yet is not abolished at all.

63. Againe, they teach, that Enoch and Elias must come and preach against Antichrift, and at length convert the Iewes: and yet they also affirme, that the Iewes shall receive Antichrist for their Messias. If the Iewes shall receive him & cap. 12. for their Messias, how shall they bee converted by Enoch and Elias to the true Messias? And if they shall be converted, how doe they receive him for their Messias? If they shall be conuerted before Antichrifts comming, and then fall away from Christ; then this could not be done by Enoch and Elias, who, by their doctrine, must come in Antichrists time: and yet it seemeth by them, they must be called before; because Bellarmine confesseth, that Antichrist must be an Apostate, and the head of the Apostacy: but the Iewes cannot make an Apostacie before they be called. Againe, if they shall bee converted in Antichrists time, how shall Antichrist raigne three yeeres and an halfe, when all his Subjects shall be taken from him? And laftly, if they shall bee called after the destruction of Antichrist, as indeed they suppose, the how can that be, seeing the destruction of Antichrist shal not be but immediately Ibid. cap. 9. before the end of the world, euen fine and fortie daies, and no more? Shall a whole Nation bee converted in so short a time, and being converted, continue no longer, to make profession and practice of their conversion? Thus one absurditie being granted, a thousand follow.

64. Befides, they say, that Antichrift shall bee borne of the Tribe of Dan: and yet the lewes shall receive him for their Messias. Whereas notwithstanding it is a manifest and confessed thing that the Iewes looke for their Messias out of the Tribe of Iuda, and not out of the Tribe of Dau. To stoppe which gap, they are driven to fay, that indeed he shall truly rise out of the Tribe of Dan, but shal faine himselse to be of the Tribe of Indah, and of the familie of Danid. As if the lewes were so credulous to beleeve his report without proofe: or that they kept so sleight an account of their Genealogies, in which neuer any people were more scrupulous:or, as if a diffinctio of

38. Contrad. Bell. de Roman. Pontif. 1. c. 6.

39. Contrad. Ibid. cap. 12,

their

their Tribes remained to this day, their Genealogies being defaced so long since by Herod: and themselves scattered through all Countries of the world. Either therefore he must be of the Tribe of Iuda, in truth, more then by a counterseit simulation; or else the lewes will never receive him.

40. Centrad.

Antichrist, and the Iewes his peculiar Subicces: and yet they also confesse, that hee shall bee the iast Emperour of Rome, though not called by that name; and therefore that the Romanes shall bee his Subicces. From whence I thus conclude; that if the Romane Empire bee the Dominion of Antichrist, then it is not likely that hee should bee the expected Messian of the Iewes, nor that Ierusalem should be his seat: for neuer any Romane Emperour kept his Imperial residence in that Citie: and if the Iewes bee his Subicces, and Ierusalem the seat of his Kingdome, then it cannot bee that hee should be the last Emperour of Rome.

41. Contrad.

seepug.539

Boz. tom. 2. de fiz. ecclef. l. 28. eap. vlt.
Fran. Vistor. Re-lest. 4, nu. 6.
Occham. quest. de Sum. Pontif. eap. 9.
Bell. de Rom.
Pontif. üb. 4, c. 6.

66. And thus much of Antichrist in generall. Now of the Bishop of Rome in particular; who, if he be not, as we con-Stantly beleeve, this very Antichrist; yet, (as Gregory their owne Pope confesseth) is his fore-runner, because hee claimeth to himselfe the title of Vniversall Bisbop. Concerning the Pope, their presumptionis, that hee cannot erre in any case, in those things which pertaine to faith, nor yet which concerne manners, when he teachethiudicially, and speaketh out of his Chaire: and yet they doe not deny, but that hee may bee an Hererike. This is confessed by most Popish Doctors: as Bozius, who faith, that he may be an Heretike, yea, write, teach, and preach herefie. And Victoria, that in dispensing against the Decrees of Councils, and former Popes, bemayerre and grieuously sinne. And Occhain, that many things are contained in the decretals, which fanour of herefie. And it is to faintly denyed by Bellarmine, that his denyall is almost as good as a confession; for he faith, that it is probable, and may godlily be beleeved, that the Pope cannot be an Heretike. Hee doth not refelutely auouch it, but only conjecturally expend his opinion: but yet denieth not, but that hee may erre in tome

some causes as a private man. And it is a Decree of their owne, that the Pope may bee found negligent of his owne and his brethrens faluation, and draw innumerable people D.4. Si Papa. with him into hell. This groffe and manifest contradiction they labour to cover by a filly and ridiculous distinction: for thus they creepe betwixt the barke and the tree: The Pope may erre as hee is Man, but not as he is a Pope. Orthus, He may erre in his private opinions, but not e Cathedra, by way of definition. Or thus, Hee may erre when hee instructeth a particular Church: but he cannot when he purposeth to direct the Vniuersail Church. Or thus, Hee may erre in the premises, but not in the conclusion. But this is a senselesse and ridiculous shift. For first, if hee may write, teach, and preach, and decree herefie, as out of Occham and Boxius: and erre in his Dispensitions, as out of Victoria, then he may erre iudicially, and è Cathedra; for these are judiciall exercises of the Chaire, Secondly, if the Pope may be an Heretike, as he is a Man, and haue a found faith, as he is a Pope; then as hee is 2 Man, hee may goe to hell, and as he is a Pope, to heaven: but both must goe to heaven or to hell together; therefore both of them either erre or not erre together: and if he crre in his owne private judgement, how can hee but erre in his publike determinations, which are but fruits of his judgement; especially, seeing the maine promise whereupon this. infallibilitie of the Popes Chaire is grounded, was made not onely to the Office, but also to the person of Peter? Luk. 22. 32. I have prayed for thee that thy faith may not faile: 25 Bellarmine himselfe confesseth, and Augustine, Chrysostome, Theophilact, and others of the Fathers expound it as a personall priviledge, without any mention of his Office: nevertheleffe, let it belong to his Office, if that will doe them any Chryshom. 83. good : then I fay, What reason is there, that the priviledge in Mat. of Peters person should not be derived to the Pore, as well as his Office, feeing they claime the latter by the same title, by which Peter had the former? and if Petersfaith could not faile, neither in himselse, nor in his Office, by veitre of that promise; then the Pope, if he be Peters successor, must be in

Bell. de Rom. Pontif. lib. 4. c. 3 Auz. de correp. & grat. cap. 8. Theophil. in cap. 22. bic.

the same case, that is, neither to erre personally nor indicially: or if he erre one way, then also to bee subject to error the other. Lassly, experience hath taught, that Popes may erre, euen as they are Popes, and that indicially: yea, and also have beene condemned for Heretikes: As Honorius the first, whom three generall Councils condemned for a Menothebite. And Iohn the two and twentieth, who was constrained to recant his indgement touching the soule, by the Vniversitie of Paris. And Iohn the three and twentieth, who was condemned for an Heretike, by the Councill of Constance, for denying the immortality of the soule. And divers others, who not onely in their private opinions, but in their publike doctrines, have taught and maintained notorious errours.

Eras.annot.in E.Cor.7.

42. Contrad.

67. Another doctrine of theirs is, that the Pope is the head of the Church, and yet they denie not, but sometimes the Popeis no true nor found member of the Church: how can hee be the head of the Church, that is no found member thereof? nay, no member at all, not so much as the taile, as the Iewish Rabbines call the Bishop of Rome, in disdaine: except their last distinction helpe them, quatenus Papa, and quaterus homo, I know not how they will rid the mielues out of this snare; and yet that will not helpe them, neither in this case: for is it likely, that Christ will make a reprobate, the head of his Church, and commit the custody of the same to an Atheist, an Heretike, or an Epicure, or a Necromancer, or a monster of nature, as all stories call lohn 12. and as many of them have beene? Surely either as he is a Pope, he is not the Churches head: or as hee is a man, hee must needs be a member of the same. If they say, that wee give vnto a King the same title of head and governor of the Church, who not withstanding is often a tyrant, and waster of the Church, and a very reprobate: I answere, that in attributing these titles of dignity to Kings, wee doe not positively fet downe what every one is: for if hee bee a destroyer of the Church, hee is not an vpholder ofit; but what every one ought to bee in regard of his office: but the Romanists absolutely set it downe, that though

though the Pope be a wolfe, wasting the flocke of Christ, and though hee lead by his doctrine and example, infinite soules with him to hell, yet hee is still actually the head of the Church, quatenus Papa, and no man may fay vnto him, Why

doe you fo?

68. Againe, it was decreed by two Councils, and those 43. Contrad. assembled, authorized, and confirmed by Popes themselues, that the Councill was aboue the Pope; and yet the Councill of Laterane, under Pope Leo the tenth, decreeth perempto- Bell. de Concil. rily, that the Pope is about all Councils: & for also most of the moderne Romanists affirme. Now if the decrees of Councils law fully affembled, and approoued by Popes, beethe do-Arines of the Church, then here is one de Arine quite contrary to another, one Councill opposite to another, yea, one Pope to another: which is no new nor drange thing, but ordinary in the Church of Rome. As witnesse, Pope lohn the two and twentieth, and Pope Nicholas, about the question of our Saviours manner of possessing earthly goods: and Pope Celestine, and Pope Innocent the third, in the question of divorce, in the case of heresie: and Pope Pelagius, and Pope Gregory the first, in the question of putting away the wines of Subdeacons; one of these crossing the other judicially, and one gainsaying, what the other defended. And most notorious is that which divers Chronologers testifie of Pope Stephen the fixt, how hee decreed in a Councill, that they who were ordained Bishops by Pope Formosus, his predecessour, were not ordained lawfully, because the man was wicked, by whom they were ordained; & therfore he did vnordain them, and reordaine them againe: thus Stephen indicially croffed Formosus, and hee againe was crossed and condemned by Pope lohn the ninth, even for this fact, and his new ordainings matched with new baptizings.

69. Lastly, they constantly maintaine, that the Pope is 44. Contradnot Antichrist; and yet they affirme that hee is the Vicar of Christ heere on earth; a flat contradiction : for the word Antichrist signifieth not onely an enemie ynto Christ, but also one that taketh ypon him the office and authority of Christ:

concil. Conftant, co Bafill. lib.2. cap.17.

Sigebert. Polos Sigonius de reb. Ital. lib. 6. Luitprand. ver. per Europ.gest. lib. 1. cap. 8. Concil. Rauen. anno Dom. 898.

the proposition and, affording naturally, and properly, both fignifications, as appeareth in these two wordes arlineiueros, an opposite, and a volvaclos, a Proconfull: in the first whereof it signifieth, opposition, and the second substitution. Now then, if the Pope bee Christs Vicar generall on earth, then he is in the last sense Antichrist: and beeing so in the last sense, it is most likely that hee is also the same in the first: because the Antichist spoken of in the Scripture, is described to be such a one as is not an open and outward, but a couert and disguifed enemic, having two hornes like the Lambe, that is, counterfeting the humility and meeknesse of Christ, and making a glorious profession of religion, with a shew of counterseit holinesse, when notwithstanding hee speaketh lyes in hypocrifie, and vetereth wordes like the dragon, and is the greatest enemy to Christ Iesus and his Gospel that euer was: so that in that hee is Christs Vicar, hee is Antichtist, by their owne confession, in that sense, and being so, is probably Antichrist also in the other: because the true Antichrist must bee both the one and the other. And so for the conclusion of this point, wee have not onely the mystery of iniquity, that is, Antichristianisme in the manifold contradictions and oppositions thereof, but euen Autichrist himselse lurking in his den, professing himselfe and his followers to beethe onely true Church of God, and pretending himselfe to be the Prince of the couenant, as Saint Ierome speaketh, that is asmuch as to say, the Vicar of Christ: and without doubt, as the sweet harmonic in Christian Religion, and every part thereof with it telfe, is a pregnant argument of the infallible truth thereof: fo the miserable oppolition and contrariety in the Religion of the Church of Rome, and that most of the doctrines therein contained, either with themselues, or with other, as I have in part here shewed, leaving a fuller demonstration thereof to some other that shall more deeply fearch into them, doe enidently cuince, that it is the Religion of Antichrist; and therefore not onely to be sufpected, but euen to bee abhorred of all them that love the truth, or that defire the faluation of their soules.

Apoc.13.8017.

## The IX. MOTIVE.

That Religion, whose doctrines are in many points apparently opposite to the word of God, and the doctrine of the Gospell, cannot bee the trueth: but such is the Religion of the Church of Rome, ergo &c.

I IN the Chapter going before, I have shewed how the A Romish Religion is contrary to it selfe, that is, salshood to falshood: now in this, my taske is to demonstrate, how it croffeth the word of God, that is, fa' shood to truth; which being proued, I hope, no man which is not drunke with the poifonous cuppe of the whoore of Babylons fornication, will doubt of the vanity and falshood thereof. Now my purpose is not to enter into the lists of disputation, and confute their opinions by ffrength of argument, (that combate hath beene valiantly performed by many of our Champions:) onely my intent is, first to shew how their doctrines cotradict the plain text of Gods word, and secondly, to wipe away their subtle and intricate distinctions, whereby they labour to make a reconciliation betwixt the word of God, and their opinions; which shall be my onely taske in this Chapter: for it is to bee noted, that there was neuer any generation so happie, or rather so miserablein diffinctions, as the Romanists are: they maintain their kingdomes by distinctions, by them they blind the eyes of the simple, dazle the vnderstanding of the vnaduised, set 2 glose vpon their counterfeit ware, couer the deformity of their Apostate Church; and lastly, extinguish the truth, or at leastwife, so darken and obscure it, that it cannot shine so brightly asit would: but in feeking to extinguish the light of truth, they distinguish themselves from the trueth: and as Iacob by his party-coloured stickes, occasioned a brood of party-coloured sheepe and goates: so they, by their fond distinctions, b. ing foorth a party-coloured and counterfeit Religion: as I trust to lay open to the world, in this discourse following.

2. The major or first proposition beeing without all controughs. Minor.

trouersie, I passe ouer in silence, and come to the minor or second proposition, which is, that the Religion of the Church of Rome in many dostrines is apparently opposite to the word of God.

Mat.4.10. Mat 6.9. 1. Pet. 5.7. Pfal.50.15. Ier,17.5,7. 3. The Gospell teacheth, that first one onely God is to bee inuocated and worshipped, and that after that manner which he hath appointed in his word, and that all the confidence of our saluation is to bee placed in him a one: but the Romanists command not onely to inuocate God, but also Angels and Saints departed, and in time of danger to expect helpe and succour from them, and to repose our trust and confidence in them also.

Bell. de Roman. Pontif.l.3.6.23. 4. Bellarmine distinguisheth and saith, that God alone indeed is to be worshipped, and inuocated with that kinde of adoration, which is due onely vnto God: but yet the excellent creatures may bee honoured, and some of them inuocated, not as gods, but as such as are Gods friends, that is, with an inferiour kinde of worship.

Answere. Aquin. p.3 .9. 25.art.3.6.4. Consil. Trident. Seff. 13. cap.5. Gregor de Va-Vent, de Idolat. £,2. cap.7. Cerem.Rom. Eswlef.l.I. tit.7. £quin.2.2.9. 96.art.4.0 part 3.9.25.art.4. Pontif. Rom. part.z. tit. de benedict. noue Trucis.

5. But these distinctions cannot extinguish the truth : for first they give by name the highest worship that can bee, to wit, Latria, to the Image and reliques of Christ and the crosse, and to a piece of bread in the Sacrament, infomuch that Gregory de Valentia, a famous Iesuite, and Bellarmines compeere, is in this regard driven to fay, that some kinde of Idolatric is lawfull. Secondly, if they should deny this, yet their doctrine and practice doth apparently proclaime asmuch : for when they say to their Agnus deis, It breaketh and quasheth all sinne as Christs blond, doe they not equall them to Christ? when they place their hope and confidence in Saints and reliques; doe they not equall them to God? when they pray, that by the merit of a golden, filner, or woodden croffe, they may be freed from sinne committed, doe they not equall it with our Sauiour that dyed on the croffe? when they defire at the Saints hands grace and glory, doe they not equall them to the God of grace, and glory? when they call the bleffed Virgine the Queene of Heauen, and give voto her one halfe of Gods. kingdome, even the halfe of mercy, doe they not equall her

trucis.

Breuiar. Rom.in
kymn.ad beat.
virzin. Apoft.
martyr.& in
offic. defunct.
Biel. leA.80.in
expof.can, miss.

to her maker? Lastly, when they offer facrifice to reliques and Images, as namely, burne frankincense, set up tapers, offer the calues of their lippes, doe they not equal them to God? for all these dneties are proper and peculiar parts of Gods service; and therefore in attributing them to creatures, they give unto them plainely that service and worship, which belonges to God alone.

6. The Gospell teacheth, that remission of sinnes, and euerlasting life, is bestowed upon us freely, not for any works or merits sake of our owne, but for Iesus Christs sake, the only begotten Sonne of God, who was crucified for our sinnes, and rose againe for our instification: But the Romanists teach, that wee are instified and saued, not by Christs merits onely, but in part for Christs sake, and in part for our owne

contrition, obedience, and good works.

7. Bellarmine answereth, that their doctrine is falsely charged, to say that sinuers are instifted, partly for their owne Pont, 1:6.3.6.23. works fake, and partly by Christ: for (faith hee) by adistinction there bee three kinde of works; one, of those that are performed by the firength of nature onely, without faith and the grace of God: another, of such as proceede from faith and grace, but not from a man fully juffified: and therefore are called works of Preparation; as Prayer, Almes, Fasting, Sorrow for finne, and fuch like: and the third, of fuch which are done by a man iuslified, and proceede from the Spirit of God dwelling in his heart, and sheading abroad charity in the same. Now concerning the first, hee acknowledgeth that we are not iustified by them, by the example of Abraham. Rom. 4. and therefore that they most impudently belye their doctrine, that fasten this opinion vpon them. As touching the second, he saith, that these works Preparative are not meritorious of reconciliation and instification by condignity and iustice: yet in as much as they proceede from faith and grace, they merite after a fort; that is, obtaine remission of finnes. The third fort of works hee boldly and confidently affirmeth, to merite not remission of sinnes, because that was obtayned before: but euerlasting glory and happinesse, and that truely and properly. 8. This

Missal.Rom.tit. de rit. seruand. in celebr. miss.

Efay 63.3.
1. Ioh. 1.7.
1. Ioh. 2.2.
A&. 4.14.
Rbsm.Col. 1.24.
Biel.3.d. 19.
art. 2. cond. 5.
Bell. de Purgat.
lib. 1 cap. 14.
Bell. de Rom.
Pont. lib.3.c.23.

Answere.

8. This Bellauminian diffinction may be diffinguished by two effentiall qualities: first, Folly; secondly, Falsehood. Folly: for it maketh nothing to the taking away of the Antithesis before mentioned : for when as he confesseth, that the second kinde of works doe merite remission of sinnes after a fort, and the third eternall life absolutely, what doth he but acknowledge that which wee charge them withall, and which himselfe rejected a little besore as a slaunder? namely. that wee are instified and faued partly by our owne merits, and partly by the merits of Christ: for the Gospell saith, We are faued by Christs merits alone: and he faith, We are faued by our owne merits also. And thus the folly and yanivy of

his distinction euidently appeareth.

Concil. Trident. Self. 6, can. I.

Bell. de Iustif. lib. 4. cap. 20. Sa'mer. til. 5. pag. 41. Concil Trid. 32 1 6. can. 7.

9. The falschood sheweth it selfe in two things: fust, in that hee affirmeth, that they doe not teach, that works done before grace doe merite any thing at Gods hand: for though it be a Canon of the Councill of Trent, charged with an Anathema, If any man should say, that a man may bee instified by his owne works, wrought by the power of nature, without the diuine helpe by Christ lesus: and Bellarmine secmeth to affirme as much in this place. Yet Andradius, that famous Interpreter of that forenamed Councill, one of the most learned men of his age, and that knew well the mysteries of that Councill, doth tell vs, that by dinine helpe the Councill vnderstood not the grace of regeneration, and speciall workeof Gods sanctifying Spirit, but heroicall motions, stirred up in the vnregenerate and vnbeleevers : and that by this speciall helpe they might doe works void of all fault, and meritorious of faluation. And Bellarmine consesseth in other places. that they are good, suo genere: that is, morally: and Salmeron the Iesuite, that they dispose and prepare a man for iustification : and the same Councill of Trent in the seuenth Canon following, doth curse them that shall fay they are sinnes, or that they deserve the hatred of God. Now if these kinde of works be good in their kinde, and preparatives to justification, and not finnes, nor deserving the hatred of God, but fuch as whereby the Heathen were faued; then it is a probable false

falsehood in Bellarmine, when he faith by their doctrine, that these works doe not justifie, nor helpe any thing to the justification of a finner.

10. Secondly, it is faife also which he affirmeth, concerning the second kinde of works: to wit, of preparation, that though they proceede from faith and grace, yet they doe not justifie: for Bellarmine in another place doth not stick to fay, that this faith iustifieth by way of merite, and deserueth lib. 1. cap. 13. forgiuenes of finnes after a certaine manner: and here in this Idem. cap. 17. place, that these works proceeding from faith, doe merite after their manner, and obtaine temission of sinnes; which if it be true, then it must needes befalse which he sayd before, That they make not our works to concurre with the merits of Christ, for the remission of sinnes, which is the point of opposition: and that which also he affirmeth here, That these works doe not justifie, feeing remission of sinnes is of the verie essence of iustification: for none haue their sinnes forgiuen, but they are justified; and none are justified, but they have their sinnes forgiven: they concurre in one, if they bee not one and the same: And therefore if these works merite remission of sinnes, they must needs also merite instification. And thus Bellarmines diffinction doth no waies free their doctrine from opposition to the doctrine of the Gospell.

11. The Gospell teacheth, that hee which repenteth and heareth the promise, ought to beleeue it, and bee perswaded, that not only other mens fins, but even his owne are pardoned for Christs sake, and that he doth please God, and is accepted of God, and in this faith ought to come vnto God by prayer. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that a man mult alwaies doubt of the remission of his sins, and neuer be assured thereof; which doubting (as Chytraus truely speaketh) is plainely repugnant to the nature of faith, and a meere hea-

thenish doctrine.

12. Bellarmine answereth here not by a distinction, but by a negation, denying flatly that the Scripture teacheth any fuch doctrine, that a man may be affured of the remission of his finnes, and his reconciliation with God: and this hee feeBell. de Iusiif.

Mark. 1.15. Ephef. 3. 12. Heb. 4.16. Heb. 10.19,12. Mat. 9. 3. Mat. 9. 22. Ioh. 16.33. Rom. 8. 15. I. Ioh. 3. 14. Chytraus. com in Reucl. cap. 9.

Eccles. 9.

meth to produc by two arguments; one, because it is contrary to other plaine and maniscst places of Scripture: another, because all Gods promises almost have a condition annexed vnto them, which no man can justly know whether hee hath fulfilled or no.

13. It is good for Bellarmine here to vse a plaine negation:

Answere.
Concil. Trident.

Seff. 6.cap.9.

for their doctrine is so manifest, that it will admit no distinction: the Councill of Trent hath put that out of all question and distinction. For it teacheth in expresse words, that no man ought to perswade and assure himselfe of the remission of his sinnes, and of his instification; no though he be truly instified. and his sinnes be truely and really pardoned. This doctrine is so euident, that Bellarmine could neither distinguish, as his cuflome is, nor yet denyit; and therefore hee freely confesseth it: and yet Gropper condemned it as an impious doctrine: and Catharinus, at the Councill of Trent, defended the contrary, that the childe of God, by the certainty of faith, knoweth himselse to be in the state of grace. And so did also Dominius a Sote, and divers others of their owne stampe. But there is great cause why the Church of Rome should maintaine this doctrine of doubting very peremptorily: for as Chemnitims well obserueth, all the Market of Romish superstitious wares is built vpon this foundation: for when as the confcience, being taught to doubt of faluation, doth feeke for some true and found comfort, and not finding the same in faith, through the merits of Christ, then it flyeth to it owne works, and heapeth vp together a bundle of superstitious observations, by which it hopeth to obtaine fauour at Gods hands: hence arise voluntary vowes, Pilgrimages, Inuocations of Saints, works of Supererogation, private Masses, sale of Par-

dons, and a number such like trash: and when as yet they could not finde any sound comfort in any of these, at last was Purgatory sound out, and redemption of the soules of the dead out of that place of torment, by the suffrages and prayers of the liuing. Now the Romanists, searing less these profitable and gainefull wares, whereby an infinite tribute is

Gropper. Catharin. assert. & Apolog. Domin. Soto. Apol. cap. 2.

Chemnit. exam. pars 1. pag. 183.

red out of their Church this doctrine of certainty of faluation by faith, of which if mens consciences bee once perswaded, they will never repose any more confidence in those su-

perflicious trumperies.

14. But we, with Luther, may boldly fay, that so odious Luther in Gen, and impious is this doctrine, that if there were no other er- cap. 41. ror in the Romane Church but this, we had just cause of separation from them: and with Chytraus, that it is repugnant to the nature of faith, and a meere heathenish doctrine. For it doth not onely nourish mens infirmities, who are too much prone to doubting, but even encourage them thereunto, and teachthat we ought to doubt. But that we may come to the point, is not this indeede the doctrine of the Gospell, that wee should not doubt of our saluation? why then doth our Saviour command all to repent, and beleeve the Gospell? By Mat. 1,15 which he plainely teacheth, where true repentance goeth before, there beleefe in the Gospell, that is, assurance of forgiuenesse of sinnes by the bloud of Christ, doth follow: and that wee ought cuery one to be thus affured, feeing this is a precept Euangelicall, which doth not onely give charge of doing the thing commanded, as the Law doth; but also inspireth grace and power to effect it : as Saint Angustine well informeth vs, when he faith, The Law was ginen, that grace & liter, might bee sought; and grace was ginen, that the Law might bee fulfilled. Why doeth Saint Paul fay, that the Spirit of God Rom. 8.15. witnesseth vnto our spirits that wee are the sonnes of God? Neither is this witnesse of the Spirit a doubtfull and vncertaine certificate: for Saint Paul, in the words going before, calleth it, the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father, and not the spirit of bondage, to feare any more. When therefore God doth thead abroad his Spirit into our hearts, crying, and making vstto cry, Abba, Father, in faithfull, not formall prayer, that is a certaine testimony to our spirits, that we are the sonnes of God. For as Saint le- Hierom, in Gal, rome well noteth, Wee never durft call God, our Father, cap. 4. but upon conscience of the Spirit dwelling in vs. Neither doc we euer voon this ground call God, our Father, but with-

Aug. de Spiritu

all we are, or ought to be perswaded that we are his children.

15. Againe, why doth hee say in another place, that all they which beleeve the Gospell, are sealed with the holy Spirit of promise? Are Gods children sealed? and can they not see nor know the Seale? Is not this one vie of a seale, to confirme a covenant, assuring the certainty of the performance

Ich. 4. 13.

Ephes. 1.13.

thereof to him to whom it is made? Yea, doth not Saint Iohn fay, Hereby we know that we dwell in him, and he in vs; because he hath given vs of his Spirit? And againe, doth not the holy Ghost so affect this knowledge of instification and saluarion to a many selfer that he denver hit to all others? To him that

Reuel. p. 17.

Chost so ascribe this knowledge of iustification and saluation to a mans selfe, that he denyeth it to all others? To him that ouercommeth, I mill give a whote stone, and in the stene a new name written, which no manknoweth save he that receive thit. What is this white stone, but the absolution and remission of a sinner? what is the new name written init, but the childe of God? This no manknoweth, but he that hath it; therefore he that hath it, knoweth it. What can be more plaine? And yet this is the exposition almost of all Divines upon that place. To omit all other testimonies, doth not the Scripture now teach this dostrine, touching the certainty of saluation?

16. I but, saith Bellarmine, all Gods promises, for the most

part, are conditionall, and no man can certainely know whether he hath performed the condition; and therefore cannot assure himselse of the promise. To which I answere, that albeit, in regard of our infirmitie, we are not able to fulfill the conditions required in Gods promises: yet wee are affured that we shall fulfill them, through him that strengthneth vs: and fo, as the Apostle Paul said in one place, Wee can doe nothing, no, not so much as thinke a good thought. Yet in another place he saith, I can doe all things through Christ that strengthneth me. So may we say of our selues, We cannot do any thing of our selves: yet in Christ Iesus wee can doe all things: By his might wee can keepe his Commandements; though not perfectly, yet fo, as our defects are made up by his perfection, and our endeuours accepted in his mediation: for his fake it is given vnto vs, not onely to beleeue in him, but also to suffer for him: and by his neuer-fayling

2. Cor. 3. 5.

Phil. 4, 13.

Phil. 1, 29.

grace,

grace, and enerlasting love, we are affored that we shall perseuere vnto the end. And therefore Origen faith , that it is impossible that that which God hath once quickned, should either by himselfeor any other bekilled. Thus there is no condition required of the children of God; but they are affured that they can performe it, though not in full measure, and by their owne ftrength, yet in that measure which God will accept. and by the Brength of his Spirit which dwelleth in them, and fanctifieth them to doe his will. And thus this third Antithesis is nothing empeached by Bellarmines cauill.

17. The Gospell telleth vs, that there is but one onely propitiatory Sacrifice in the world, which is Christ Iesus the Sauiour of the world, who offered vp himselfe once, and no more, for to take away the sinnes of his people. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that every Masse is a propitiatory Sacrifice for the quicke and the dead : and that euery Prieft, can. 1.2.3.4. as often ashe faith Maffe, doth offer vp Christ vnto God the

Father, as a Sacrifice for finne.

18. Bellarmine here distinguisheth againe, and faith, that Bell, de Rom. indeede there is but one onely propitiatory Sacrifice in the Pontif. 1.3.c. 23. world, to wit, that which was once offered vpon the Croffe; but yet that one Sacrifice may be reiterated in mysterie by the same high Priest Christ Iesus, by the hands of a carnall Priest. And againe, he in another place diffinguisheth this Sacrifice into bloudy & unbloudy, & faith, that there was but one bloudie Sacrifice of Christ, and that on the Altar of the Crosse: but there are many unbloudy facrifices of the same Christ in their dayly Masses. And lastly, that the sacrifice of the Masse is but an application of the Sacrifice of the Croffe vnto mens soules, for the 2 Auall remission of sinnes purchased by Christ ypon the Crosse.

19. Here is much adoe to little purpose, three distinctions, Answere. and all not worth a rush: for all of them are mutually contrary to each others, and vaine, and frinolous in themselves: first, if the sacrifice of the Masse bee a repetition of the sacrifice of the croffe, then it is not an application of it: for it is one thing to reiterate, and another to apply: and therefore if the Priest

Phil. I. 6. Orig. in lerem. bom. I.

Heb.9.25.28. Heb. 10.12.14.

Concil. Trident. Seff. 6. cap. 2.

Idem de missa. lib. I. cap. 25.

doth

Hcb.10.

doth reiterate it, then hee doth not apply it: and if hee doth applyit, then hee doth not reiterate: for to reiterate, is to doe againe that which was done before, and to apply, is to make vse of that which was done, but not to doe it againe. Beside, if the masse bee a repetition, and application of the sacrifice of the croffe; then how is it an vibloudy facrifice ? can that bloudy facrifice bee repeated and applied after an vabloudy manner?that is asmuch as to fay, it is a sacrifice, and yet not a facrifice, especially no propitiatory facrifice: feeing as the Apostle speaketh, without the shedding of blond, there is no remission of sinnes. Againe, if the masse be a repetition of that bloudy sacrifice of Christion the crosse, then it is a repetition of Christs death, and a crucifying of him againe; for the facrifice of Christ, and the death of Christ is all one: and if it bee so, then it must need s be bloudy as well as that : for the repetition of a thing, is the doing of the same thing againe. And loftly, if it bee an application of it, then it cannot bee a repetition of it: nor indeed the same in specie with it; for the application of a thing, is not the thing it selfe in any reason : and thus these diffinctions are at civill warre with each other : and indeed like deadly enemies doe cut each others throats-

Lumbard. lib.4.

Ell. 12.

Concil. Trident. Seffazz v.cap.I.

20. But let one of their own learned mafters, Peter Lumbard, conclude this point for vs; who faith that Christ dying upen the croffe, offered himfelfe, & is sacrificed dayly in the Sacrament, because in this Sacrament there is a comemoration of that sacrifice of the crosse which was once offered : this he spake, convicted by the truth. And the Councill of Trent also in another place doth almost, if not fully speake asmuch, when it favith, that Iefus Christ left to his Church a sacrifice, by which that bloudy fact'fice which bee made upon the croffe, might bee represented, and the memory thereof continued: which if it be true, then being convicted by their owne consciences, and consessions, it remaines, that that dostrine which holdeth that the moffe is a true, reall, propitiatory facrifice, is opposite to the doctrine of the Gospell, which teacheth the contrary: and forth, fourth Antithesis is safe and sound for all that Bellarmine can say against it,

21. The

21. The Gospell teacheth, that both parts of the Sacrament are to bee ministred to all Christians; and of the cup it sayth express, Drinke ye all of this: but the Church of Rome hath decreed, that none should drinke of the cup, but the Clergie, and that the people should content themselves with the other part of the Sacrament.

22. Bellarmine distinguisheth of the word (Alt) and saith, By it is not to be understood all the saithfull, but the Apostles onely; which hee producth by Saint Marke, who sayth, that they dranke all of it, that is, all the Apostles which sate at table with our Sautour Christ, and not all the Christians that

beleeued in him.

23. But to his distinction, (not all Christians, but all Apostles) I answere, that this is Bellarmines conceit, or rather deceit; and hee borrowed it of Andradius the samous expositer of the Councillos Trent: but it is a miserable glosse (woe bee to it) that so soully corrupts the text: first, the sathers understood by the universall All, all the saithfull; and that the Apostles heere in this great action, were not Pastors, but sheep, Christ himselfe the great shepheard, beeing the distributer and divider of this Sacrament. I shalknot need to repeat their words, they are so evident and ordinary. Let the margent direct the Reader to them, if they defire satisfaction in this point.

24. Secondly, many of their owne Doctors so interprete it, as Thomas Aquinas, Durand, Biel, Alphonsus de Castro, Lorichius, the author of the glosse, and divers others. Cassanders testimony shall stand in stead of all the rest: he sayth plainly, that the Westerne Church believed for a thousand yeeres, that our Samour Christ gave this Sacrament to his disciples, representing the persons of all the faithfull: and he addethreasions, why the wine as well as the bread was to bee received; both for a more sull representation of the passion of Christ, and signification of our sullspirituall nourishment in Christ, and signification of our full spirituall nourishment in Christ, and also the sull and perfect redemption of our bodies and soules, by the body and soule of our Saurour. This Cassander repeats to have beene the opinion of the Latine & Greeke Church, for

Concil-Trident. Scf.5. cap.1.

Pontif.l.3,c.23.

Answere. Cyprian. de cæna Domin. Basil. de baptis. Cyril. catesbism. my/2.4. Theodor. I.Cor. 11.6 12. Theophil. I. Cor. Aug. in Joh. tract, 26 Ambrof.I.Cer. H. Chryloft.hom, 13. 1/2. Cor . -Hier.in I.Cor.IL Aquin.1. Cor.II Durand.Ration. l. 4.cap.55. Biel.in Can. lett. Alphon Contra bære[.6.6. Lorichius de pub missa.

Givilla.

art. 22.

Cassaud.Cenfult.

Rimony

the space of a thousand yeeres. What an vpstart distinction then is this of Bellarmine, who notwithstanding ceaseth not to bragge that they have all antiquity on their side?

25. Thirdly, wee haue Saint Panl thus interpreting the words of his Lord and Master, who spake nothing but by the direction of the Spirit: for whereas our Sauiour sayth, Drinke yee all of it, Saint Paul sayth, Let a man examine himselfe, and so let him eat of this bread, and drinke of this cup. But all must examine themselues before they come to the sacrament: therefore, all are enjoyned to drinke of the Sacramental cup: let vs chuse then whom wee will beleeue, whether Saint Panl or Bellarmine: for Saint Paul interprets this All one way, and Bellarmine cleane contrary.

26. Lastly, reason it selfe disapprooueth this exposition : for first I would aske him, whether that which our Saujour commanded to bee done at his la & Supper; were to bee done once and no more, or often, even to the end of the world? If hee fay once, and no more, the words will confute him which fay, Doethis in remembrance of me: if often, then by All, he meant northe Apostles onely; for the Apostles lived not till the end of the world. Againe, if by All was intended the Priests onely, then not onely the people should bee excluded from the cup, but from the bread also: for if in these words. Drinke yeall of it, hee speaketh to Priests onely, then in these words, Eat yeall of it, hee speaketh to none but Priests: for they are both spoken to one and the same persons. And thus the people should have no part of the Sacrament at all: for the same All that is in one, is in the other. And to conclude, if the Apostles stood heere in stead of Pastors or Priests, why did they not minister the Sacrament? It is the part of a Prieft, as hee is a Prieft, to minister the Sacrament to others, and when hee receiueth it himselse, then hee standeth for a common Christian, and not for a Priest, for a sheepe, not for a shepheard. But they did not minister, but receive; therefore they flood nothere for Priests, but for the whole body of the faithfull. And thus this distinction being battered by the te-

1.Cro. 11.

stimony of fathers, consession of their owne Doctors, authority of Saint Paul, and strength of reason, doth fall to the ground like Babel: and this fift Antithefis is nothing weakened by Bellarmines Sophistrie.

27. The Gospell teacheth, that true repentance, or conuersion to God, is an earnest forrow of heart for sinnes commit- Luk. 24.47. ted, and faith perswading that they are certainely remitted Ad. 26.18. for Christs sake. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that concrition indeed is one of the parts of repentance; but they faine that it meriteth a remission of sinnes, and to it they adde auricular confession not commaunded by God, & satisfaction, or voluntary workes, by which they fay, that the punishments of sinne are satisfied, & that these also may be redeemed by

money and purse-penance. All which whole doctrine is very blasphemous against the merit of the Son of God, who onely

made satisfaction for our finnes.

28. Bellarmine heere from distinguishing, falleth to rayling, and accuse the vs of manifest lying and falshood, in laying that to our charge which wee are not guilty of : but whether is the lyar hee, or wee, let the Reader judge. First therefore, that true repentance is not a bare forrow of heart for fin, but fuch a forrow, as is joyned with, and ariseth from faith, appeareth by this, because contrition without faith, leads to desperation, and not to saluation, as the wofull examples of Cain, Esau, and Iudas declare: and therefore the Romanists themselues doe not exclude all manner of faith from repentance, but onely that faith which apprehendeth remission of finnes by Christ, which speciall faith, whereby remission of finnes is beleeved and obtained, is joyned with repentance, Luke 24.47. Alt. 26.18. This is our doctrine, and it is warranted by the holy Scripture: though it pleaseth Bellarmine to fay, that it is a bare affertion, without Scripture.

29. As touching their croffing of it, wee need fetch no other proofe, then from the Councill of Trent, which in ex- concil. Trident. presse words denounceth Anathema to those that make this seff.4. sap. 3. faith, whereby wee beleeue the remission of our sinnes, ane- can.4. cessary ingredient into true repentance : and yet it propoundeth reconciliation and remission of sinnes to such as doe re-

Mark.1.15.

pent: let all the world therefore know, to the eternall shame of the Romish Religion, that temission of sinnes, and reconciliation, by their doctrine, may bee obtained by repensance, without faith, then which, what can bee more opposite to the Gospell of Iesus Christ?

30. If they reply that they make faith the foundation of repentance, I answere, why doe they then exclude it out of repentance? is the foundation no part of the house? yes, it is the chiefest part: either therefore it is not the foundation of repentance, or els it is necessarily required to the effence of it; one or the other must needs bee false; but heere is the mystery of this iniquity by faith they meane nor a beliefe of the remission of our sinnes by the bloud of Christ, which is the true Euangelical spith, but a general perswasion of the truth of their Religion, and a particular conceit, that he which performeth the worke of penance, in the three parts thereof, shall thereby obtaine pardon of his sinnes, and reconciliation with God.

31. Secondly, whereas hee fayth, that wee doe not fatiffie for the eternall, but for the temporall punishments of our finnes, either heere in this life, or in Purgatory : hee speaketh nothing for the clearing of their doctrine from epposition to the Gospell: for the Gospell teacheth, that Christ our Redeemer hath made a full and perfect farisfaction for the finnes of all the world, yeelding a fufficient and worthy recompence and contentinent to God for them: and therefore, they which fay that wee must give any mainer of latisfaction our selves, whether for the temporall or eternall punishment due vnto them, doe euidently croffe the doctrine of the Gospell. And this Aquinas one of their owne illumined Doctors, doth in effect confesse, when hee sayth, that the passion of Christ was a sufficient and superabundant satisfaction for the sinne and quilt of punishment of mankind, his passion was as it were a price or paiment, by which we are freed from both thefe obligations: to bring. in then the foggy mist of humane satisfactions, is to eclipse and darken the glory of Christs all-sufficient redemption.

squin fait.3.

lob. 1.29.

L. Joh. 2.2.

32. Thirdly, whereas hee findethfault with Chytrans, for

faying.

faving without proofe, that auricular confession is not commanded of God, and yet hee himselfe doth not proue it is; we might drive out one naile with another, and returne vpon him his owne answere: but I reply further, that divers of his owne fellow Doctors haue auouched asmuch: for Maldonate, Erasmus, the gloffe in Gratian, and Gratian himselfe, and Rhenames, with divers others, are of the same minde, as may apreare in the texts quoted in the margent, whose wordes I forbeare to set downe, because I shall have occasion to handle the same in a more proper place; one thing I cannot omit, that the testimony of Rhenanus is so plaine, that our aduersaries, not able to give answere sufficient vnto it, have by their peremptory authority said, Deleatur, let it bee blotted out: as they deale also with Polidore Virgill in the like point, and with all other that fland in their way.

33. Laftly, the redeeming of penance by the purse, though Bellarmine shuffle it ouer neuer so cunningly, yet is so palpable an abuse, and so contrary to the doctrine of the Gospell, that the very naming of it, is a sufficient declaration of the foulnesse of that Religion, which maketh a mart of sinne, and fetteth repentance, which is the gift of God, to sale, for a little earthly droffe, and exchangeth punishment due to the body

and soule, for a little pinching of the purse.

34. The Gospell teacheth, that marriage is permitted and set free for all men, both Priests and people; and that the prohibition of marriage and meats is a doctrine of Deuils. But the Romish Religion forbids marriage to a great part of men, to wit, Priests and Monkes, and commands to abstaine from

certaine meates, vpon certaine dayes.

35. Bellarmine excepteth, and faith by a distinction, that when the Apostle sayth, Marriage is honourable amongst all men, hee meaneth not all in generall, for then it should bee honorable betwire the father and the daughter, the brother and the lifter; but onely those that are lawfully joyned together, which they that are bound with a vow, cannot bee.

36. It is a frange fore-head, (but no maruaile, sceing it X4 is

Maldon, Sum. 9.18.art.4. Eraf.epistol. de emabil, eccles concord. Gloss: apud Grat. Decret, de pænitent. diflinet. Rhenan prefat. in arg. Tertul, de panit.

1.Tim.4.3.

Bell. de Romin. Pontif. 1.3.6.23

virginia

is the fore-head of the whore of Babylon) when the Scripture fayth, Marriage is honorable amongst al men, to exempt their Votaries from this honour, as if they were not in the number of men, but beafts; and as the affertion is strange in impudency, so the reason is more strange in folly : for though the father may not marry the daughter, nor the brother the fifter, without incest; yet the father may marry, and the daughter may marry, and the brother, and the fifter alfo, fo that they take those that are not prohibited by the Law of God, and nature. Now let him shew that Gods Law forbiddeth Votaries to marry, and then hee fayth fomething to the purpole: but by his owne confession, together with many of his pewfellowes, the prohibition of marriage is no divine, but humane ordinance and institution: yea, the Councill of Trent it selfe calleth it but an Ecclesiasticall Law; and therefore not a Law of God, but a decree of the Church.

Cassand.consult. art.23. Bell de Cleric: 86.1. cap.19. Aquin, 2.2, 9.88 MYL, II. Gratian.conf.26 9.2. Esponsa.in tit. C. I. Concil. Trident. Seff.24. Socrat.histor.lib. 12.cap.8. Synod. sexta 18 Trudo.can.13. Hierom, 2d Oce-

37. Adde to this impudency and folly, his croffing of all antiquity: for in the Councill of Nice, Paphantius alleadgeth this place of Scripture, against those that went about to take away the vse of marriage from the Clergie; and in the fixt generall Synode, it is expressely applied to the same purpose. And Ierome, in desence of Charterius a married Bishop, produceth the same text.

38. As touching Chrysostomes speech to Theodorus the Monke, alledged by Bellarmine, though it seemeth a little to sauour them at the sist view: yet in another place he cleereth himselfe from that suspition: for he saith plainely, that Marriage is so honourable and precious, that a man with it may ascend into the sacred Chaire of a Bishop. What hath Bellarmine got now by Chrysostomes testimony? Surely this: If all that Chrysostome saith, bee sound doctrine, then it is an error in the Church of Rome, to inhibite all that are consecrated by holy Orders, from the vie of the marriage bed: For by Chrysostome, Bishops may marry. Saint Augustues testimonies, alledged by him in the one and twentieth Chapter, are little to the purpose: for in the first he saith plainely, that the Church of God doth not so bid marriage; but onely preferre

Aug.contra Fauft.lib.30.c.6

Br.eyift. 83. C. 2.

virginitie before it, as a greater good: and in the fecond hee approoueth onely abstinence from meates, and that from all in generall; and that to this end, for the castigation and mortification of the body: and not eyther for merite fake, or that it is a thing vnlawfull, or that wee may glut our felues with fome kinde, and may not so much as touch others, vpon paine of herefie, which is the doctrine of the Church of Rome. This is all that S. Augustines words import; which, as they doe not deliver them from opposition to the Gospell, fo they manifestly imply these two conclusions: first, that the Synagogue of Romeis not the Church of God, for it forbiddeth marriage to Priests, not as a lesser good, but as a thing simply euill. And secondly, that they maintaine in this their Church that doctrine, which of S. Paul is called, The doctrine of Diucls: for they forbid both Meates and Marriage at sometimes, and to some persons, as things sinfull and vnlawfull. And whereas the Fathers, almost in generall, say, that it is better for fuch as have vowed continency to marry, then to fall into the fire of lust; they conclude filthily, to their eternall difgrace, It is better for a Priest to play the whoremonger, and keepe a Concubine, then after his yow of continency to be coupled in wedlocke.

29. But Bellarmine couereth her nakednesse (whereof he Bell, quo supras is, as it seemeth, somewhat ashamed) with a figge lease of a distinction: for he saith, that fornication is not simply better then marriage, but in respect that a man hath before entred into a vow, in which regard to marry after the vow, is a greater finne then to commit fornication: and this hee proueth by an example from a married woman, whosehusband is eyther continually abfent or ficke, fo that hee cannot performe the marriage debt vnto her. It is not fayd vnto her, It is better to marry then to burne; but shee ought to keepe her faith to her husband, and by fasting and prayer keepe vnder and tame the concupiscence of her nature : and therefore, faith hee, that precept or permission, Let him marry, is not spoken to all, but only to such as are free, and not if they be

bound and haue giuen their faith vnto God.

Cyprian. lib. I. cap. II. Tertul, lib. de monogam. Cofter. Enchir. Cap. 17.

3.

39. To which I answere two things: First, I aske him. whether this vow which yeetalke of, be onely against marriage, or against all manner of incontinency? If they say that it is the vow of chastitie, and that it is against all manner of incontinency, then how can it bee that it should bee broken more by marriage, then by fornication, by having a wife, then by keeping a whore? and that to marry, in respect of the yow, should be a greater sinne, then to commit whoredome? especially, seeing marriage is Gods ordinance, and fornication, of the Diuels inflitution: that an honourable and holy estate, and this a filthy and vgly sinne. If they say that the vow is against marriage onely, then what a Religion is Popery, that teacheth her people to vow against marriage, and not against fornication ? against wives and husbands, but not against whores and varlets? Surely that Religion that maintaineth this, cannot be of God.

40. Secondly, to his example I answere: Marriage cannot be inioyned to her that is married already, albeit her hufband bee eyther absent or impotent: for that is contrary to Gods ordinance, Mal. 2. 14. Mat. 19.5. But the vow of fingle life is not Gods ordinauce, especially in so high a degree as marriage is: for at the most it is but a Council, whereas the other is a flat Precept to all that cannot containe: and besides, they that are married, may expect the blessing of God vpon them, vling the meanes for their restraint in a godly manner, and begging continency at Gods hand; because they are in a calling ordayned by God: but they that are in a vow, who either enter rashly, or are thrust in against their wills, and contrary to Gods Commandement, not being able to abstaine, but proudly presume vpon their owne strength, how can they hope for Gods bleffing vpon them, to frengthen them against the temptations of the sless? And thus this example, together with the diffinction it felfe, maketh no whit to the inflifying of their doctrine; but that it fill remayneth in plaine contrariety and opposition to the Gospell of Iesus Christ.

41. The Gospell teacheth, that there is one true and solide founda-

foundation, vpon which the Church of God is built, to wir, our Lord and Sauiour Iesus Christ. But the Romish congregation cryeth out, that Peter, and the ordinary succession of Popes, and the Church of Rome, is the soundation of the whole Church, and that the Church is built vpon them, and not vpon Christ alone.

42. Bellarmine distinguisheth of foundations, and saith, that Christ is the primary and principall foundation of the Church: but that doth not hinder, but that there may be secundary foundations: and for proofe thereof he alledgeth, Ephes. 2.20. where it is said, that we are built the foundation of the Prophets and Apostles: and, Apoc. 11. 14. where the twelve Apostles are made the twelve foundations of the

Church.

43. To which I answere three things: First, that though it be truely, that the Apostles bee the secundary foundations of the Church, layd vpon Christ the true Rocke and foundation, as twelve goodly stones, and that vpon them the Church is built, to wit, ypon Christ primarily and principally, and vpon them fecundarily: yet it doth not take away the Antithefis of their doctrine to the Gospell: for they say, that Peter is the onely fecundary foundation, and that hee, as the chiefest stone, is layd next vnto Christ; and the rest of the Apostles built immediately vpon him, and mediately by him vpon Christ. But those Scriptures say, that the twelve Apo-Ales are twelve precious flones, laid one by one vpon Christ. and not one vpon another: and twelve foundations equally proportioned to each other, and not one placed ypon the top of another: and so it is true, that as the prerogative of the onely fingular foundation belongeth to Christ, so the honour of being secundary foundations, is equally deuided among the twelve Apostles: and so Peter in this respect hath no greater prerogative then the rest. And therefore this distin-Rion deliuers them not from the snare, seeing that it maketh all the twelve Apoliles altogether joynt-foundations of the Church: and they would have Peter to bee the onely foundation next vnto Christ, vpon whom both the Church of God,

1.Cor. 3, 11. Act. 4. 11. 12. God, and the Apostles themselves are built.

Aug. de verb. Dom. fer. 13. Greg. Ny Jen. in testim. ex veter. testam. de trinit. contra Iudaos. Cyril. detrin !. 4. Chrys. in Mat. hom. 55. Ambr. in Ephef. CAP. 2. Hilar. de trinit. (ib. 3. Bell. prafat.con. trouers, de sum. Pent. Elay 28. 16. I. Pet. 2. 6. 8.

44. Secondly, I answere, that when the Apostles are said to be foundations of the Church, it is not meant of their persons, but of their doctrine, as witnesse almost all the Fathers: for concerning person, it is true which Saint Paul saith, No man can lay any other foundation beside that which is layd, Iesus Christ, 1. Cor. 2.11. But the Romanists would not have the doctrine of Peter, but the person of Peter to be this foundation: and for proofe thereof Bellarmine fetcheth this argument from the Prophet Efay, saying, Behold, I will lay in Sion a stone, a sure foundation : which is a player and manifest Prophecie of Christ, and not of Peter, as the Apostle Peter himselfe expoundeth it : where, by the way, we may note the feareful outrage of these Romish Rabbies against the truth of God, and the God of truth, whilft, to the end they may aduance their Popes dignity by Peter, they wrest and peruett the Scriptures, and apply the Prophecies belonging to the Sonne of God, to his feruant Peter, and so make Peter himselfe, nay, the holy Ghost, a Lyar. It were not credible, that fuch blasphemous thoughts and words should nestle in the heart, and iffue out of the mouth of any, but that the Apostle Saint Paul hath fore-told vs, that in the time of Antichrift, because men would not receive the love of the truth, that they might be saued: therefore God would send them strong delusions, that they should beleeve lyes, &c. But to the point: If Christs person be the onely true foundation of the Church, in whom all the building, being coupled together, groweth vnto an holy Temple in the Lord: and that not the persons, but the doctrine, and faith of the Apostles, are those secundary foundations which the Scripture speaketh of, as hath beene proued out of the Fathers: then the opposition is vndefeasible, namely, that there is but one person the foundation of our Church, which is our Lord and Saujour, the Sonne of God, Christ lesus; and yet that Peters person should be the foundation of the Church also together with Christ.

2.Thef.2.10.11

Bphcf. 2. 21.

45. Thirdly, I answere, that both in truth, and also in proprietie of speech, there can bee but one foundation of

one building: those stones that are layd next to the foundation, are not properly a secundary soundation, but the beginning of the building youn the foundation; and for that cause, when Peter, and the rest of the Apostles are called twelve foundations, it cannot bee vnderstood, that they were any wayes properly foundations of the Church, either first or second: but that our Saujour, who is the substance and subject of their doctrine, is the onely true and fingular foundation of the Church, and that there is none other besides him: forif, when it is faid that we are built vpo the foundation of the Prophets and Apostles, is meant the doctrine of the Prophets and Apostles, as must needes bee, because the Prophets are coupled together with the Apostles, which lived not in the Christian Church, and therefore could not be personall foundations of it, and Christ crucified is the substance of their doctrine: then it must needes follow, that the Apostles meaning is nothing elfe, but that we are built vpon Christ, whom the Prophets and the Apostles preached and beleeved in, And thus S. Hilary vaderstood it, and Saint Ambrose, and Anselmus, who giving the foundation of the Church to Peter, expoundethir sometimes of his faith in Christ, and sometimes of Christ himselfe, in whom he beleeved. And thus doe also Salmeron the Issuite, and Cardinall Caietane in their com. Salmeron. mentarics ypon that place, and Peter Lumbard, together with the glosse vpon the place, interpret. And so this distinction of a primary and secundary foundation, hath no foundation in the word of God.

46. The Gospell teacheth, that no Apossle or Bishop, or other Minister of the Gospell, is superiour to another of the same ranke, or hath greater power and authority then another, in respect of their ministerie; but that all Ministers in their feuerall degrees, have equall power of preaching the Gospell, administring the Sacraments, binding, and looking: But the Bishop of Rome challengeth to himselfe a supreme power ouer all other Bishops, and ouer the whole Church, and braggeth that he hath by right, a title to both the fwords, both spirituall and temporall, and that both jurisdictions doe

Hilar. de Trin. lib. 6. 6 lib. 2. Ambrofe. Anselmus.

Caietane. .

Luk. 22, 26. Ioh.20.22,23. Mat. 18.18. I. Cor. 3.4.5.

crigi-

originally pertaine to him, and from him are conveyed to others &c.

47. Bellarmine heere first consesset, and secondly distinguishers: hee confessets, that the Bishop of Rome hath a supreme power ouer all other Bishops and the whole Church: and denyeth that eyther those places here quoted, or any o-

ther doe producthe contrary.

48. To which I answere: first, that whereas out of Luke 22.26. and 1. Cor. 3. 4. he extr cletta disparity, and an inequality: I answere, that no man denyothit; and therefore he fightesh with his owne shadow: hee should produe not a bare superiority, which wee confesse, but a superiority in the same degree; as of one Bishop to another, and that in power, not in execution, wherein standard the point of opposition.

49. Secondly, whereas he faith, that though the power of remitting and recayning finnes, and binding and loofing, was communicated to all the Aposses, yet Peter was ordayned chiefe Pastor ouer them all; because our Samour Christ sayd vnto him alone, Feede my sheepe: and. To thee will I give the Keyes of the Kingdome of heaven: lanswere, that in this hee crosseth both himselfe, the Fathers, and the truth : himselfe, for elsewhere hee confesseth, that the keyes, both of Order and Iurisdiction, were given to all the Apostles indifferently : and therefore it must needes follow, that Tibi dabo clanes, was not spoken singularly, to Peter, but generally, to them all: for if Christ gaue the keyes to them all, as he confesseth, them without doubt he promised them to them all, or else his word and his deede should not accord together. And againe, hee acknowledgeth that all the Apossles had both power and commission to feede the sheepe of Christ, when ( Mat. 28. ) he bade them all, Goe, teach and baptize: and they all did put that commission in execution : therefore it must needes follow, that no fingular power was given to Peter, when as Christ said vnto him, Feede my sheepe, vnlesse we will say, that the rest had not the same commission.

Bell. de Rom. Pontif. l.1.6.22.

Epprian, de simplicit.prelat, 50. The Fathers: for Saint Cyprian faith plainely, that all the Apostles were the same with Peter, indued with equal fellow-

ship both of honour and power, and that a primary was given unto Peter, that the Church might appeare to be one. Saint Hilary is of the same minde, You, O holy and bleffed men ( saith he ) for the merit of your faith, have received the keyes of the king dome of heaven, and obtained a right to binds and loofe in Heaven and earth. Saint Augustine Saith, that if when Christ Said, To thee will I give the keyes of the kingdome of Heaven, he spake onely to Peter, then the Church hath not the power of the keyes: but if the Church bath it, then Peter receining the keyes, represented the Church. And laftly, Leo, one of their owne Popes, con- Leo fer. 3, de unifelleth asmuch, when hee affirmeth, that the strength of this werf assuran sua. power of the keyes, passed unto all the Apostles, and the constitution of this decree unto all the Princes of the Church.

51. Laftly, the truth: for when the Apostles strone for superiority, Christ, who is truthic felfe, and would not have concealed so necessary a trueth, if it had bene a cruth, vpon so fit an occasion, neuer preferred Peter, but exhorteth all, and so Peter also, to equality and humility: yea, not onely so, but expressely forbad all king-like and monarchicall superiority amongst them; and not onely tyrannicall, as Bellarmine would haue it, as may euidently appeare by comparing Luk. 22.26.

with 1. Pet. 5.3.

52. Thus hee confesseth their doctrine: next he commeth to diffinguish ofit, namely, that their Apostolicall power was equal in respect of the people, but yet not equal betweene themselves; in which respect Peter was not onely a common Passour with his sellow Apostles, but extraordinarily pastor pastoru, a Pastour of the Pastours, that is, of the Apoliles theselves: this is his distinction: but it is idle and vaine, as may appeare by this reason: because, if he were the chiefe Pastour of the Apostles, then he either ordained them to their offices. or fed them with his doctrine, or gouerned them by his authority, or did some part of the office of a Pastour vnto them: buthee neither ordained them; for Christ himselfe did that: Ioh.20,27, norfed them with doctrine; for they were all taught of God, Act, 2.3. and equally received the holy Ghost, which did lead them into all cruth: nor governed them; for they fent him, hee did not

Hilar.de Trin.

Aug. in Iohan. tract.50.

fend them, and called him to an account, he did not call them; and therefore was no wayes to be esteemed their Pastour and

super-intendent, but their equall, and Co-Apostle.

53. And whereas hee defendeth the extrauggant of Pope Boniface (which is so rightly termed, for containing a most extrauagant doctrine from the truth ) hee must needs defend this double iurisdiction by the speech of Peter to our Saujor, Ecce duo gladii, behold, heere are two swords, and his answere to the same, It is enough: with how absurd a collection it is, let his owne fellowes bee Judges. Franciscus de Victoria, Stella, Maldonate, Arias Montanus, and Suares the Iesuite: All which, with many others, reject this collection of theirs, as most absurd, and impertinent: I conclude, if Pope Beniface did extrauagate in that extrauagant, in the application of this place, why doe they hold, that the Pope cannot erre iudicially? If hee did not, whydoe so many learned men of his owne fide contradict him? Either sure the Popes two swords are ru-Aie, and cannot bee vnsheathed, or els hee would never suffer his authority to bee thus diminished, not onely by his encmies, but even by those that fight vnder his owne banner. And thus this Antithesis also stands unblemished, for all that is yet faid to the contrary.

54. The Gospell teacheth, that there is but one Mediator betwixt God and man, even the God-man Iesus Christ, and that hee beeing the onely Propitiatour, is also the onely Mediatour. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that as many Saints as are in Heaven, so many Mediatours and Intercessours week have to God; and among the rest, the blessed Virgin, the mother of our Lord, whom they call their Advocatresse, Deliveresse, Mediatresse, Saviouresse, and Comfortuesse.

Bell.de Sanctor. beatit.l,1.0,20.

10

1.Tim.2.5.6.

I.Ioh.2.1.

Ioh.14.13.

Ioh.14.6. Heb.9.15.

by a threefold diffinction: first hee sayth; that Christ indeed is the onely Mediatour of redemption, because hee onely made reconciliation betwixt God and vs, by paying the ransome for our sames; but neuerthelessethe Saints are Mediatours of intercession, by praying for vs. This he barely affirmeth, without any proofe; and therefore it seemeth he would have vs take it vpon his word for current coyne, without any tryall:

tryall: but wee have learned out of Gods word, to try the spirits, and to weigh all such ware in the balance of the Sanctuary: and therefore finding by the Scripture, that Christ did not onely pay the ransome for our sinnes, but also, that hee maketh request for vs. and not finding in all the booke of God, that the Saints in Heaven either doe present our prayers vnto God, or make request for our particular necessities, wee have instead to reject this distinction, as too light ware, and

as counterfeit coyne.

56. I but (fayth hee) the Saints triumphant pray for the Saints militant, therefore they are their Mediators. I answere. Though it be granted that they do pray for them in generall, which indeed is not denyed; and in particular, which can neuer be proued, yet the argument hath no good consequence, that therefore they should bee our Mediatours: for (as Bellarmine himselfe confesseth) A Mediatour must bee a middleman, differing from each party at variance, after some fort: but the Saints triumphant are not medie, betwixt God and vs, both because in presence they are alwayes with God, and neuer with vs, and also in semblance more like to God, then vnto vs, for they are perfectly happy, holy, and righteous, we beeing miserable, sinfull, and wicked : and in knowledge they are fatisfied with heauenly objects, and have no participation with humane affaires: being therefore thus far remooued from vs, and so neere knit vnto God in all these, by his owne rule, they cannot any wayes becour Mediatours, neither of redemption, nor intercession.

57. His second distinction is, that Christ is called the onely Mediatour, because hee is the Mediatour, not onely in regard of his office, but also of his nature, for that hee is in
the middest betwixt God and man, hee himselse beeing God
and man. To which I answere, that it is most true which hee
sayth, but yet it is both contrary to that which hee himselse
hath deliuered elsewhere, and also ouerthroweth that which
hee holdeth heere; for the first, he laboureth to proue in another place, that Christ is the Mediatour onely in respect of his
humanenature, and here hee sayth, in respect of both natures:

Ball. de Christo Mediat. l.5.0.5.

how

boureth:

Bell. de Christo 13.4.

23. Ich. 10.

Tolet, comment.

how can these bee reconciled? mary, by another distinction: It is one thing (sayth hee) to bee a Mediatour in respect of per-Mediat. L. s. cap, son, and another thing inrespect of operation: in the first. Christis the Mediatour by both natures in the second, by his humane nature onely. As if hee did not operate and worke the Mediation in the same respect that hee is Mediatour. I, but hee will fay, the chiefe worke of our redemption was the death of Christ, but the God-head cannot dye: therfore; &c. I answere, Though Christ died as he was man, yet the person that died, was God and man: for (as Tolet his fellow Issuite and Cardinall obserueth) Christ dyed not as other men, in whose rowcritis not, either to hold the foule in the body, or to recall it backe againe, being expelled: but Christioyned his foule and body together at his pleasure: as hee that holding a sword in one hand, and a scabbard in another, pulsit out, or thrusts it in at his pleasure. By which it is plaine, that though Christ dyed in respect of his man-hood, yet the author of his death, was his God-head, & so he is our Mediatour in both natures. Secondly, he ouerthroweth his own politio, by this diffir ctio: for first, if Christ bee the only Mediatour in respect of office. and of nature; then the Saints are no wayes our Mediatours: for if they bee, they must bee one of these two wayes, valesse wee will fay, that they doe that which belongs not vnto them, but like busy-bodies, are pragmeticall in anothers charge: which, farre beeit from vs to thinke of those bleffed creatures; but both these wayes (he sayth) Christ is the onely Mediatour; therefore the Saints, by his owne conclusion, are no Mediatours at all.

53. His third distinction is, that therefore Christis called the onely Mediatour, because hee prayeth for all, and none for him: but the Saints are such Media: ours, that they themselves frandin need of a Mediatour. I answere, that therefore they are no Mediatours at all: for if the Saints in Heauen fland in need of a Mediatour themselves, then it must necessarily follow, that they are not Mediatours at all: for they that are parties, cannot bee empiers. And this is that which Saint Augustine plainely affirmeth (though Bellarmine la-

stag: contra 1:ar.1.2 cap.8. boureth to distort his words to another sense) when he sayth, He, for whom none intreateth, but hee intreateth for all, is the onely true Mediatour. And thus it is cleare, that the doctrine of the Church of Rome, touching the mediation of Saints, is directly contrary to the doctrine of the Gospell.

59. The Gospell teacheth, that Christ Icsus hath made a sull and perfect satisfaction for all our debts, and so is our sull and perfect Redeemer: But the Church of Rome teacheth, that Christ hath satisfied but in part for our debts, to wit, neither for all our sinne, nor for all the punishment due vnto all our sinne, and so that he is not our sull and perfect Redeemer.

ded in holy Scripture, that our adversaries themselves acknowledge it in generall to bee true: for Aquinas, where the Apostle sayth, I suffer all things for the Elects sake, that they may also obtains the saluation which is in Christ less, asketh this question, What, was not the passion of Christ sufficient? and answereth to the same, Yes; as touching the working of saluation. And Bayus sayth, that there is but one satisfaction onely vinto God, and that of Christ: yea, Bellarmine himselfe acknowledgeth asmuch in generall; for hee affirmeth, that the merit of Christ is sufficient to take away all sinne and punishment: neither dare any of the rest for shame in plaine words deny the same: because, if they did, many manifest texts of Scripture would convince them of impiery and heresic.

61. And that the other is the doctrine of the Church of Rome, the Councill of Trent will wienesse, which thus desineth: When Godsergineth a sinner, hee forgineth not all the punishment, but leaneth the party by his owne workes to satisfie, till it bee mashed away. Yea, they affirme not onely, that we our selves must satisfie for the temporall punishment, but also for the relikes of sinne, and for the fault it selse; yea, for that punishment that should bee suffered in hell, excepting the eternity: yea, so impieus and shamelesse are some of them, whose bookes are not with standing authorized by the Church of Rome, that they affirme, that Christ dyed onely for originall Y 2

11 1.Ioh.1.7. 1.Ioh.2.2. Efay.53.4.5.

Aqui in 2. Tim.

Bayus de Indulg. cap.vlt. Bell. de Purgat, lib.9.cap.10.

concil. Tridenc.
Seff. 4. fish Iulio
cap. 8.
Catechifm.Rom.
traft.defatisfact.
Tapper.explicat.
art.Lovan.art.8
Vegalib. 13.aap.
36.

Aquin 10m.17.
Opef 58, Sacra.
Altar.cap.1.
fol.41.(ol.2.
Tapper. tom. 1.
art.6.pag.154.
Biel.3. Dift, 18.
& 19.
Scot. Durand.
Bicl.in Suarcs
tom.1.difp.4.
fell.11.

sinne, and that the satisfaction of Christ descrueth not the name of a satisfaction for our sinnes. Let the world judge now, whether these pesitions of the Church of Rome bee not state contrary to the Gospell of Iesus Christ: for the Gospell attributeth to Christ all sufficiencie of meriting and satisfaction: but these fellowes make him a Satisfier party parpale for the sinne, but not the pun shment, & yet not for all our sinnes neither, but for a part of them: as for originall, & not actuall; or isfor actuall, yet for mortall onely, and not for veniall. And this is the Romish Religion, though palliated with the name of Catholicke, and hidden from the sight of the common people, under the vaile of an implicite saith: which, if they should but see, they could not chuse but abhorse.

Bell. de Purgat.

62. For the healing of this wound, Bellarmine applyech his wonted playster of a distinction. Christs satisfaction (sith he) is in vertue sufficient, but not in act efficient, except it bee applied by our satisfaction; and therefore that there is but one onely actuall satisfaction, which is ours; which by the grace and efficacy of Christs satisfaction, taketh away the punishment of our sinne, and maketh a just recompence to God for the same.

63. But this distinction sirst undermineth it selse: for if Christs bee a satisfaction, then it is an actual satisfaction: is to be enot an actual one, then it is none at all. Did not he actually dye, and rise againe? Did not hee actually by that death of his, satisfy Gods instice for all the Elect? Doth not the strength and efficacy of his death stretch it selse backward to Adam, and forward to the last beleving child of Adam upon earth? If all this bee true, then it must needs bee intolerable blasphemy, to say, that actually there is no satisfaction, but our owne, and that Christs satisfaction which hee made for our sinnes, is indeed no satisfaction, except it bee by the meanes of ours, which must apply it, and as it were, give efficacy unto it.

64. Againe, the ground of his distinction is absurd: for where doth the Scripture make our satisfaction a meanes to

Rom.10.17.

Ephes. 3.17.

1.Cor.10.16. Gal.2.27.

apply Christs satisfaction vnto vs? It telleth vs of other meanes of application to wit, outward, the Word and Sacraments: inward, faith in respect of vs, and the Spirit, in respect of God: but no where of this new-deuiled meanes, which they talke of: and befides, how can our fatisfactions apply Christs vnto vs, whereas they are both satisfaction, and that to God, and that for our finnes? Nay, when as hee fayth, that our satisfaction, doth include the satisfaction of Christ in it. and so both together make but one compound satisfaction : if they be of one nature, how can one apply the other? If they bee one in mixture, and composition., how is the one seuered from the other? These bee absurd inconsequences, and irreconciliable.

65. Lastly, if the strength & power of satisfying, which is in our sufferings, is wholly from the grace of God, & the vertue of Christs satisfaction, why doe some of them hold, that a man by power of nature, without grace, may beeable to fatify for Venial finnes, and expell them? nay, why doth Bellar- fett. 11. mine say, that a righteous man hath right to Heauen, by a two- Bell. de Purgat, foldtitle; one of the merits of Christ, by grace communicated vnto him; and another of his owne merits? By which, he plainely divideth our merits from Christ, and ascribeth a satisfactory power to them, equall to the death of Christ it selfe, and that without the helpe of grace. Nay, why do they not fay plainly, that Christ hath satisfied for vs, without any intermixing of our owne; but that their wisedome perceiued, that then Purgatory, Masses, Penance, Romish pardons, yea, and the Popes Kitchin it selfe, and the very marrow of all their Pompe, shall fall to the ground? And this indeed is the very ground of this blasphemous do-Arine.

Scot. Durand. Biel. in Suares 10m.1.diff.4.

lib.I.cap.10.

66. Doctour Bishop, milliking this distinction, as it Bishops anfeemeth, flyeth to another. In finne ( fayth hee ) there swere to Maare two shings, the one is the turning away from God, ster Perkins rewhom wee offend: The other is, the turning to the thing, formed Cathofor the love of which wee offend. Now, the turning away from GOD, both the finne, and the eternall paine, due

Aquin. suplem.

due unto it, are freely through Christ pardoned: but for the pleasure we tooke in sinne, wee our selnes are to satisfie, and according to the greatnesse thereof, to doe penance. Thus dreameth Doctor Bishop: but let his owne fellow Do ctor waken him, and he of greater credit then himselse: Agninas it is, who rejecteth this diffinction, as nothing worth, and giveth this reason of his reie eting, because satisfaction answereth not to sinne, but according as it is an effence to God, which it hath not of converting to other things, but of auerting and turning from God. And furely his reason is passing good: for to vse the Creatures, and to loue the Creatures, is not finne: but to vse them disorderly, and to love them immoderately: which disordered vse, & immoderate loue, is the very turning, and anerson from God: and therefore, to fay, that wee fatisfy not for our aversion from God, but for our conversion to the creatures, is to say, either that wee satisfy for that which is no sinne, or els, that some part of sinne is not an aversion from God: both which, are equally absurd, and Doctor Bishop cannot give a third: and therefore his distinction is a meere foppish dreame, without head or foote.

12 Act. 4, 12.

67. The Gospell teacheth, that there is given no other name under Heauen, whereby wee must bee saued, but the name Icsus. But the Church of Rome propoundeth vnto vs other names to bee faued by, as, the Virgin Mary, the Saints, and Martyrs, yea, Francis, and Dominick, &c. For they make them Mediatours of intercession to God for vs: which office belongeth only vnto Christ, as hath been shewed; and they teach, that we are faued by their merits, aswell as by the merits of Christ; and that as there are divers mansions in Heauen, fo among the Saints there are divers offices; some haue power ouer one thing, some ouer another, as Saint Peter against infidelity. Saint Agnes for Chastity. Saint Leoward for Horses. Saint Nicholas against ship-wracke. Saint James for Spaine. Saint Denis for France. Saint Marke for Venice, &c. Yea, they would make men beleeue, if a man, being otherwise a vyler sinner, dye in the habit of Saint Francis, or Saint Dominick, &c. must needes goe ftraight to heauen, withwithout any more adoe: and that, as it may seeme, though

he hath neyther faith nor repentance.

68. Lastly, they are not ashamed to say, that the death and paffion of Christ, and of the holy Virgine together, was for the redemption of mankinde: and as Adam and Eue sold the world for one Apple; so Mary and her Sonne redeemed the world with one heart : and therefore as they called him Saujour, so her Saujouresse: as him Mediator, so her Mediatresse: as him the King of the Church, so her, the Queene. If this be not to repose the confidence of our saluation vpon other names, besides the Name of Jesus, let the world be judge.

Galat. de arcan. Catholic . weritat-Briget. Reuel. pag. 21.

Yet for all this, they thinke to couer this their filthinesse by a distinction: for they say that they doe not flye to the Saints, as authors and givers of good things; but as Impetrators and Intercessors. To which I answere, that to omit their doctrine which hath at large beene discouered before, the very forme of their prayers doth extinguish this distinction: for when they cry and say, O Saint Peter, have mercy on me, Saue mee, Open mee the gate of heaven, Gine mee patience, Giue mee fortitude, &c. And to the blessed Virgine, O Mediatrix of God and men: ô Fountaine ofmercy, Mother of grace, Hope of the desolate, Comforter of the desperate, &c. receive this my humble petition, and give me life enerlasting: And to Saint Paul, Vouch safe to bring vs. whom thou hast caused to know the light of truth, after the end of this mortality, thither, where thou thy selfe art: Doe they not make them authors and givers of these things? Yes, in word, (laith Bellarmine) but not in sense: for the meaning of these Bell, Ibid, petitions is, that by their prayers and merites they would obtaine of God these good things. But, alas, how should the common people vnderstand their meaning, seeing the found of their words are so playne to the contrary? Againe, why doe they not propound their sense in playner termes, but leave it thus involved under darke riddles, to the great offence of thousands? And lastly, how harshan interpretation must this needs be in the eares of all men, Gine me everlasting life, that is, Pray to God that he would give mee it? If a man Should Y 4

Bell. de SanEt beatit, l. 1.6.13.

should speake so in his common talke, no man would vader-stand him otherwise then his words sound: how much lesse can these spiritual matters be otherwise understood then they are spoken? Surely this shift is so silly, that if it might stand good, what might not a man speake, and yet excuse it sufficient'y after this manner? And though the Councill of Trent seeme to graunt to the Saints the power onely of intercession, as Bellarmine also doth: yet the Romane Catechisme, set soorth by the commandement of the Pope, and decree of the saints the power of Mercy, Grace, and Donation of benefits. Whereby it appeareth, that this is not the opinion of some private men, but the received and approoved doctrine of the Church. And thus this distinction vanisheth before the truth, as snow against the Sunne.

Eastchif. Rom.

13 Rom. 12. 1. 1. Pet. 2. 13.

lob. 19. 11.

70. The Gospell teacheth, that every soule bee subiett to the higher powers: and that we submit our selves unto all maner of ordinance for the Lords sake, whether unto King or unto Governours, &c. And our Sauiour himselfe consessent, that Pilate had power over him from God, when he saith, Then couldest have no power at all against me, except it were given thee from above. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that neyther the Pope himselfe, nor any of his Clergie, are subject to the temporal power of Princes, eyther to be judged of them, or punished by them, no not in cases of sact, when they are guilty of haynous crimes; as of Treason, Murther, Thest, &c.

71. This doctrine, though it bee contradicted by many learned Doctors of their owne side: as, Occham, Marsilius, Pataninus, Barclay a late French Lawyer, and others, yet is maintayned by their Popes and Cardinalls, Iesuites, and Canon Lawes, which are the very synewes of Popery, as not onely true, but necessary to saluation: and therefore we may well call it, The doctrine of their Church. For Popes: Iohn the two and twentieth commaunded Augustimus Triumphus of Ancona, to write a Booke, wherein he maintaineth this position, That all the power of Emperours and Kings is subdeligate, in respect of the power of the Pope. And againe, what all secular power is to be restrayned, enlarged, and exe-

Lugaft. Triump. de potest eccles. q. 4. art. 1.

cuted at the commaundement of the Pope. This is the affertion of that man, who was authorifed first to write by Pope John the two and twentieth, and after, his Booke was fet foorth, by the priviledge of Gregory the thirteenth. So that here we have two Popes maintayning this doctrine. Clement the first was of the same minde, who affirmeth, that hee, and the rest of the Popes, had a soueraignity and superiority ouer the Empire: and vpon that ground he diffanulled all the Sentences and Processes made by Henry the seventh Emperour. And so also was Boniface the eighth, who in that famous Canon, Vnam santtam, &c. directly affirmeth, that the Temporall authority must be subject to the Spirituall; and that it is necessary to faluation, to beleeve that every humane creature is subject to the Pope of Rome. Now the rest of the Popes must needes be of the same minde, or else they should condemne these of error, and that speaking definitively, which is contraty to their Religion. And so indeede that they are, Bellarmine their Champion, in his late Booke against Barelay the Lawyer, doth manifestly declare, who most impudently maintayneth this polition with all his wit against that learned man: as also in his last doting Apologie against our King, wherein, without doubt, he is authorised, and as it were tapped on the backe, and called, A good childe, by the Popes Holinesse himselfe.

72. Thus we see this doctrine maintayned by the Popes and their Lawes. Let vs fee also what the Cardinalls and the Iesuites say vnto it. Cardinall Baronius, a notable clawer of Baronius, the Popes, holderb, that the Pope hath-power directly ouer Princes, agreeing with Bozins and Triumphus: but Cardinall Bellarmine, with others on his side, quallifieth the matter, and Bell. de Rome faith, that the Popes power ouer Princes in temporall matters, is not direct, but indirect, as depending upon his spirituall power, and in order & relation vnto that. Let vs leave thefe two Cardinalls fighting about direct & indirect, and come to the other Iesuites. Gregory de Valentia saith, that the Pope is subject to none; but that by a certaine hereditary right he is cap. 21, exempted from all humane jurisdiction. Tellet affirmeth, that there may bee in the Church many holier and learneder then

Clement. lib. 2. de sentent. & re indicat. can . 2.

Extrauag. Com. lib. I. de maior. & obedient. c. unam Sanctam.

Pontif. lib.5. cap. 4.0 5.

Greg. de Valers. tom. 3. Com. Tollet.in Iob.

Turrian. de

the Pope, but none superior or equall vnto him in dignitie. Turrian the Iesuite saith, that Christ hath translated all his Eccles, l. 1. c. 3. Kingdome on earth vpon the Pope, who beareth his person, and carryeth his Image. And laftly, all of them, fike lines in s circle, meeting in a Center, ioyne in this, that the Pope hath power to depose Kings, to translate Kingdomes, and to conferre them vpon others, if it seeme to him necessary for the good of mens soules.

Bell. de Cleric. сар. 28.

Emanuel. S1. Aphoris.confes. verb. Cler.

Maynard de Priviledg.eccles. art. 17. nu. 10.

73. Thus we have their doctine concerning their Head, the Pope. Now let vs heare what they say touching the body that hangs upon his head, their Clergie: Kings are not now any more Soueraignes ouer Clerks (faith Bellarmine,) and therefore Clerks are no t bound to obey them by Gods law or mans, except it be in respect of directine lawes. And Emanuel Sa. affirmeth, that a Clergie man cannot be a Traytor, though beerebell, because he is no subsect. And it was long agoe the doctrine of the Fryers; continued by the Iesuites, that the King was not Lord over the Clergie; but that the Pope was their Lord: and therefore though a Clergie man had committed theft, murther, or treason, yet hee ought not to bee called in question, Houeden, Hen. 2 much lesse punished for it by a temporall Magistrate: but ought to be judged by Ecclefiasticall Judges, & in the Ecclesiasticall Court: and if hee were convict, hee should lose his Orders, and so being excluded from Office & Benefice Ecclefiasticall: if after this he incurred the like fault, then might he be judged at the pleasure of the King: yea, they goe so farre, that if any offence were committed by divers persons, 2mongst whom there were one Clergie man, none of the offenders were subicct to temporalliurisdiction. And thus we fee, that neyther the Pope nor his Clergie will bee subicet to these higher Powers, to which the Gospell commaundeth all men to submit themselves.

74. How will they distinguish here? Mary, they have two distinctions to helpe this doctrine out of the myre, and yet all too weake. First, they say, that when the Apostles, Paul and Peter, commanded every soule to bee subiect, &c. they meane generally, that all subjects should obey their su-

peri-

Pontif. 1.2.0,29.

periors, whether Spirituall or Temporall: and not that every one should particularly bee subject to the King or secular power: which interpretation is first flat contrary to the text: for both Paul and Peter mention expressely Kings and Princes, and such as have the right of the sword: which they would never have done, if Kings should have beene subject to Popes, and not Popes to Kings: for then they would have instanced in Popes, and not in Kings: and though Christians were falsely accused of treason and rebellion to Princes, yet this could not be a sufficient reason to mooue the Apostles to conceale so necessary a truth, especially seeing they write to Christians and not to Infidels.

75. Secondly, it is contrary to reason: for if Peter, and so the Pope, his pretended successor, had beene in their iudgement superiors to Kings, then surely Peter himselfe, writing not onely to the people, but also to the Elders of the Church, as appeareth, I. Pet. 5. 1. would never have enioyned them all to the obedience of the Civill Magistrate, but would have referred some to his owne jurisdiction, and bidden them all, both Magistrate and people, to submit themselves vnto him, as the head of the Church: or if he for modestie might forbeare this imperiall iniunction; yet, without question, Paul (had he beene of that minde) would not have sent euery soule to bee subject to Kings, but would haue told them, that Kings and all should be subject to Peter: but seeing that neither of them both doth it, neither here nor elsewhere; and it is, as they thinke, so necessary a thing to be belecued of all men, it is most evident that they never meant it.

76. Thirdly, and lastly, whereas the Apostle Paul commaundeth euery soule to be subiect, wee may conclude, that if the Pope be a soule, or have a soule (for some of them have thought that a man had no more a foulethen a beaft) then he must be subject. And this conclusion a learned man, that was afterward a Pope himselfe, made, when he plainly confessed, that the Apostle did not except animam Papa, the Popes soule from this subjection. I omit heere S. Chry softomes and Occumenius exposition of the same place; both which affirme, that Rom. 13, 1.

Aneas Silvius in gest. Concil. Basiliens. Chryloft. & Oecumen.in

Aug. Confes.lib. 3. cap. 8. Nazianz in erat. thers. ad subdit. & Imper. Gregor. lib. 2. indict.11.6.109. Bell. de Rom. Pontif. lib. 2. GR. 29.

Mat. 12.

by every soule, the Apostle included both Priests, Monks, and Apostles, and that this subjection was not contrary ynto piety. And to this exposition subscribe most of the Fa-

77. Secondly, they distinguish vpon that place of John. where our Saujour confesseth himselfe to be under the power of Pilate, to be judged by him, and fay, that eyther it is to bee vnderstood of a permissive power graunted by God, without the which, no not sinnes can be committed : with Cyrilland Chrysoftome: or, if of the power of iurisdiction. with Augustine and Bernard, that then Pilate had power ouer Christ, not simply, but by accident: to wit, as he was reputed to bee a private Iew, and so no more then a meere man: by which ignorance of the person, his power was instified to be lawfull; as if a Ciuill Magistrate should condemne a Clerke in the habite of a Lay man, not knowing him to be a Clerke, he should be free from blame. To which I answere: First, that the power of the Emperour, though a heathen, was lawfull, and ordayned by God, as they themselves confesse, and as the Scriptures in many places prooue: but Pilates power was from the Emperour, therefore it was a lawfull, & not a lawlesse power; and so not only by permission, but also by ordination. Secondly, if it were onely a power by permission, then Pilate had sinned in executing that power vpon Christ: but because of the mistaking of the person, therefore hee faith, he was free from fault: as a Ciuill Magi-Arate, that should judge a Clerke, taking him for a Lay man. And so one part of his answere crosseth the other. Lastly, I answere, that though Pilate might erre in the person of Christ, yet Christ could not erre in the power of Pilate, who affirmeth of it plainely, that it was of God; and soit was indeede, in respect of the power it selfe, though the abuse of it, in the condemning of an Innocent, was a sinne: and so from the Diuell, and not from God.

78. The Gospell teacheth, that before regeneration wee

14. Fphel. 2. I. Rom. 6, 13, Luk. 15. 31. & 9.60.

are dead in sinne, and have no more power to mooue in any worke of grace, then a dead carkasse hathin the works of nature:

nature : and therefore can neither will not doe that which is good. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that a man yoregenerate is not spiritually dead, but wounded, like the man that fell among theeues betwixt Ierico and Ierufalem: or, like 2 Prisoner with setters on his hee'es : or, like a Bird entangled in a lime-bush: and therefore that there is remayning in him fo much power, both in his will and understanding, that being but helped a little by grace, hee can begin his conversion, and so deserue a more plentifull grace of sustification. All this they affirme: then which, what can bee more contrary to the Gospell of Iesus Christ, which saith, that wee are starke dead in finne, and are not able to thinke a good thought of our, sclues: but that all our sufficiency is in God, and that he worketh in vs both the will and the deed, &c?

79. Bellarmine heere likewise endeuoureth to escape by Bell. de grat. a double diftinction: First, he faith, that a sinner, because he is spiritually dead, cannot of himselfe, or by his owne power, recouer life, or prepare himselfe thereunto: but yet being preuented and helped by grace, he may cooperate with God that quickneth him: for that he doth not, as being dead, but

as having a vitall vertue inspired into him by God.

80. For answere whereunto, let me propound vnto him this question, namely, Whether this spirituall life, which is the first degree of a sinners conversion, bee inspired into him altogether by the Spirit of God, without the helpe of his owne will? or whether it arifeth partly from grace, and partly from his will. If he faith, Altogether from the Spirit, without the helpe of his will: then how doth the finner cooperate with God in his first conversion? If he say, Partly from grace, and partly from fice-will: then how is the finner dead, when yet he doth worke towards the obtayning of his owne life? Can a dead min cooperate at all, much more towards his ownelife? I, but hee is preuented and excited to grace, and so doth worke: but then I would know, whether in that first exciting and stirring up, hee doth worke with Gods Spirit? whether he be active in that first motion, or passive onely? If active, then hee is not dead: if passive, then the first degree

Concil. Trident. Seff. 6. cap. 1. can. 6. zell. de grat. & lib.arbit.cap.10. Salmer . 10m. 13. pag. 32. 6 38. Cofter. Enchirid. pag. 204. Ecchius, Enchir. Molyn. difp. 12-

Lbarbit.l.6.c.13

Aug. de grat. & Ib. arbiter.c.17.

Idam. cap. 20. 1dem. Enchirid. GRP. 32.

without the coadiution of this free-will: for this excitation and stirring vp of his will, is the first sparke of spirituall life in a finner; and this is that which S. Augustine affirmeth, faying, that God without vs worketh in vs to will, (that is the first sparke of life:) then worketh with vs. and helpeth vs when we doe witt. (this is the second.) And againe. The will is first changed from euill to good, and helped when it is good. And againe, He prepareth the good will that is to be helped; and helpeth it when it is prepared. In all which passages, the first conversion of a sinner is ascribed to God alone, and mans will is a dead thing that mooneth not: but the succeeding works are attributed iountly to God and vs. And this is the very doctrine of the Gospell: which Bellarmine plainely crosseth by his distinction, though subtilly hee seemeth to doe nothing lesse: for he saith plainely in another place, that in the act of our connerlib. arbit, lib. 6. sion, mill is truely free, and determine thit selfe, though God mone eap. 15. & lib.4. and apply it to the worke. And another compareth the will to an eye in a darkeplace, which though it see not, yet can see as foone as light commeth, because in it selfe it hath the faculty of seeing: then which, what can bee more contrary to the Gospell? the one affirming, that a finner is dead before his regeneration: the other, that he is but halfe dead, and wounded, and hath some power, and therefore life in himselfe to grace and rghteoufnes.

degree of his conversion and spiritual life is only from grace,

Bellarmine perceiving the weaknes of this distinction, flyeth for succour to another: and that is, though a finner be dead to grace, yet hee is aliue to nature; and so is not altogether dead: and that by the power of that naturall life, hee being helped by grace, can cooperate with God in his conversion: and therefore that the similitude of a dead man - doth not in every respect agree vnto the vnregenerate; because a dead man hath no life in him at all; but a man varegenerate hath notwithstanding the life of nature in him. But this is more absurd then the former: for, first it is plaine, that the varegenerate are as dead in respect of grace, as a dead carkasse is in respect of nature : for they have no more ability

Bell. de crat. & сар. 16. Alex.part.z.m.I art.2.ad. I.

to the workes of grace, then a dead man to the workes of nature. A dead man hath no appetite or desire to naturall things: no more hath the vnregenerate to spirituall things. A dead man hath no understanding of the things of this world: no more bath the vnregenerate of the things that are of God. A dead man cannot move the members of the body, nor vie the naturall faculties of the foule: no more can the vnregenerate moone one haire bredth to Heauen-ward, nor vie any graces of the Spirit. A dead man hath no fense, nor feeling, though hee beeneuer fo sharply handled, feeth not, though the Sunne shineth neuer so bright, heareth not, though a trumpet be founded in his care: no more can the vnregenerat feele the wounds of Gods Lawes, heare the found of the Gospell, nor see the cleare light of truth that shinethround about him. Laftly, in a dead man, there is a separation of the soule fro the body: so, in the vnregenerate, there is a separation of Gods Spirit from the soule, which is the soule of the soule. For this cause S. Aug. likened the vnregenerate man, to the Shunamites sonne, beeing dead, whom the Prophet Elizem raised from death, to life; and others, to Lazarus flinking in the grave, or, to the widowes sonne of Naim, lying dead; vpon the beare; or, to lair wi daughter, that was dead in the house: noting three degrees of finnes, one more notorious then the other, yet all in the flate of death, vntill Christ by his Spiric shall inspire life into them: and this is the perfect analogy and proportion, betwixt a dead man, and a finner: and therefore Bellarmines exception is falle, that they doe not agree in all things: for there is nothing, wherein they doe agree, not, if the comparison bee rightly proportioned.

82. Secondly, if they did disagree in other things, yet in this, wherein lyeth the life of the similitude, they must needs agree, that as a dead man hath nothing, whereby he can helpe himselfe, for the recovery of his life: so man spiritually dead, hath nothing in him, no faculty, or power of the foule, whereby he can any way further the obtaining of his couerfio. And this was Saint Augustines opinion, agreeable to the Gospell: for his words are plaine, concerning Pauls conversion, that he lib.arbit.cap. 50

Aug de verbis Apostel ser. II.

Aug. de grat.

Iustin.Martyr.

was called from Heauen, and by that mighty and effectuall calling converted. Gratia Deisola erat: It was onely the grace of God. And no otherwise did lustine Martyr conceive thereof, when hee sayth, That as to have beeing at the first, when wee are created, was not of our selves: so to choose and so low that which is pleasing to God, is (not by vs) but by his perswading, and moving vs to the faith. In this therefore, which is the point of the question, the similitude holds most Grongly, and so Bellarmines exception is nothing to the purpose.

82. Thirdly, and laftly, it is most absord of all, which hee fayth, that because a finner liveth naturally, therefore he moueth towards grace, more then a dead carkas to nature, which hath no life at all: for in respect of grace, it is all one to have no life at all; and to have no life of the Spirit. For nothing can worke aboue the compasse of it owne beeing. Naturall life cannot transcend the Spheare of nature, nor any way moue to the Spheare of grace. For as Plants that live the vegetatiue life, cannot arise to the sensitive life, which is in beafts, nor they to the rationall, which is in men t So neither can these arise vp any whit to the life of the Spirit, which is in Gods Saints, till a new life bee inspired into them: which new life, as it is the conversion of the soule to God, so it is the foundation of all spiritual actions, seeing life in every kinde is the foundation of all the actions in that kind. For vntill there bee life in a plant, it doth not grow, vntill it bee in a beaft, it doth not moue nor feele, votill in a man, hee doth not thinke, speake, or remember; and so vntill this life of the Spirit bee in the foule, it cannot will, nor worke 2ny thing that is good. Therefore I conclude, that though a finner live naturally, yet beeing dead to grace, that that life doth no more helpe to his conversion, then the sensitive life of a beaft doth to the obtaining of reason, or the vegetative life of a Plant to the obtaining of sense.

84. The Gospell teacheth, that all should read the Scriptures, for so our Sauiour chargeth; and his Aposles Paul and Peter, and Iohn, charge not Priess onely, but all others. And Abraham sendeth the rich Gluttons brethren to Moses, and the

Yeh. 5. 39. Col.3.16. 1. Pct. 3.15. 1. Ioh 2.12.13.

15

Luk.16.19.

the Prophets. And the Eunuch is not rebuked, but approued by Philip, for reading the Prophesie of Esay. And the Bereans are commended for examining Pauls doctrine by the Scripture; which should never have beene, if it had not beene lawfull for them to doe it. This is the doctrine of the Gospell, most plaine and cuident. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that all men mull not read the Scripture, to wit, Laymen, except they bee permitted by their Ordinary, because pearles are not to bee cast amongst swine : nor a sword, or a knife put into a childes hand; nor occasion of errour offered to the ignorant, nor matter of offence to the weake: as also, because they are more obscure then can be evnderstood of the Laicks, and common fort of people. Thus they paint ouer the foule wrinkled face of Iezabel, with false colours: but yet the contrariety is plaine. All ought to read the Scriptures: and some ought not to read the Scriptures. The one is the doctrine of

Iesus Christ: The other, of the Pope and his Church.

85. But Bellarmine distinguisheth two wayes. First, that there is a double way of knowing the Scriptures; one by hearing, and another by reading. The first is commanded to all, and therefore necessary to be vsed of all. But this latt is not commanded to any, but to the Clergie, and those whom they shall thinke fit to read them with profit, and without danger. But who feeth not, that when our Saujour willeth to fearch the Scriptures, bee speakerh of reading? And when the Bereans examined Pauls fermon by the Scriptures, they did it by reading. And when Abraham remittech Dines brethren to Moses, and the Prophets, hee sendeth them to reading. For Moses, and the Prophets were dead in their persons, and lived onely in their writings. And lastly, when the Apostles wrote their Epissles to the severall Churches, they wrote them to this end, that they might bee read of all. For so Saint Paul chargeth the Colossians, after they had read the Epistle, that they themselves would cause it also to beeread in the Church of the Laodiceans. Besides, if it bee a dangerous thing for the ignorant to read the Scriptures, for feare they should peruert the sense, & so fal into heresie, or impicty; then

Acts. 8 28. Acts 17.1 1.

Bell. de verbo Dei.lib.z.cap.15 Azorius Instit. moral. 1.8, c. 26. Concil. Trident. Regul. 4. Ind. libror. Cofter Enchirid.

much more dangerous is the hearing of it, seeing there is no preaching so pure as the word it selfe; man ever mixing some dregs of his own corruption, with the pure wine of the word; nor any preacher fo fincere, but he doth often erre: and fo the hearer being debarred from trying his doctrine by the touchstone of the Scripture, must needs irrecoverably fall into errour.

Bell. que supra.

86. Secondly, hee sayth that there are two kindes of Readers. One that read with fruit and profit; others that read without fruit, year ather with hurt. Now the Scripture may bee read of the first, but not of the second. But I would know of him againe, who hath that power to discerne betwixt these two. Doe they know the heart of a man? Or, can they prophecy of that which is to come? If they cannot doe these things, then they ought not to locke vp the Scriptures from. any, vpon this furmise; but permit the vse of that which is good to all, and leave the successe to God. Againe, because Some peruert the Scripture to their damnation, shall therefore all bee forbidden to reape comfort by it? Because the theese robs, and kils with his sword, shall not therefore an honest man vse one for his owne defence? Because the Spider sucks. ap poylon out of the flowre, therefore shall not the Bee suck honey? This is to take away the vse of all good things. For as the Poet fayth, Nil prodest quod non ladere possit idem: Nothing so profitable in the vse, but in the abuse may be hurtfoll and nuisant.

Quid de trift. lib.2.

Espenseus com. m. tit.c. 1.0 2.

87. Lastly, are the ignorant common people more subject to erring and herefie, then the learned? Let Espensaus, alearned Bishop of their owne, informe him to the contrary. I remember (sayth hee) that an Italian Bishop told me, that his countrey-men were scarred from reading the Scriptures, lest they should become beretikes: as if herelies did spring from the fludy of the Scriptures, and not rather from the neglect and ignorince of them. And if he will not beleeve him, let another learned Romanist step out & tel him, that very few ignorat perfons were the authors of herefie: & another, that learned men & indued with great wits, fall by their pride into herefie: so that he

diphonf. do caft. keref.l.1.cap.13 Maid n. in Ewangel:

need not so much seare, lest heresie should build her nest in the besome of the poore ignorant man, as lest like the Eagle shee should flye alost, and set her selse in the top of the high Cedars of the Church.

88. But what doe I stand to ouerthrow this vaine exception, since it is no better then a meere deception, consuted by the practice of their owne Church? for without difference, any that will pay for it, beeing neuer fo ignorant, might haue a licence to read the Scriptures. And we had heere in England in Queene Maries dayes, 2 Romish indulgence, that hee that could dispend a certaine reuenue by the yeere, might read the Bible in English, as is reported by Master Cartwright, in his answere to the Presace of the Rhemes Testament, So that is as cleare as the day, that it is not the fruit and benefit that shou'd come to the Reader, that they regarded, but the profit and gaine that fhould accrue to their owne purses : neither was the feare of erring the cause of their prohibition: but rather the feare of too much knowledge, left thereby the groffe and foule abominations of their Church should bee discourred and so come to bee abhorred and detested.

89. The Gospell teacheth, that none can forgive fins, but God: because sione is a preuarication of Gods Law; and therefore none can remit it, but hee against whom it is committed. Vpon which ground venerable Bede writing vpon these words of the fift of Luke, Who can forgine sinnes, but God? fayth, that the Pharifes said truely therein : because no man can forgiue sinnes, saue God alone: who also forgiueth by them to whom hee hath committed the power of the keyes: and therefore Christ is proued to bee truely God by this, that hee can forgiue sinnes, as God: and it may be proued further to bee true, because our Saviour himselfe approoueth of that speech of theirs, nor shewing any manner of dislike thereunto. And therefore Saint Ambrose affirmeth plainely, that to forgine sinnes, is not commento any man with Christ. This is 16. (fayth he) the onely office of Christ, who tocke away the sinne of the world. And Cyprian as directly; Onely the Lord can take pitty, and grant pardon to sinnes which are committed against him.

Cartwrights anfwere to the Preface of the Rhemes Testament.

16-Elay 43. 25. luk.5.21.

Bedain Luk. 5.

Ambros. epist.

Cyprianser.de

Gregor, de Valent tom, 4, pag. 1876. Eman. So in Aphorif. Tolet, lib. 6.c. 21

Rell. de Burgat. liv.2. cap. 16. Suarez. 10m. 4. disp. 50. sect. 3.

Aquin. Suplem. in 3.part. q. 25. art. 1.

But the Synagogue of Rome teacheth, that though this power bee originally and fundamentally in Christ, yet he hath committed the same to his Vicar the Pope; and from him it is derived to Cardina's, Bishops, and inscriour Priests, under the commission and authority of the keyes: and that not ministerially, and by way of declaration onely, which wee confesse: but absolutely, and indicially, and as Christ himselfe; and that not onely to the living, but to the dead also, that are in Purgatory. For it is a rule without exception amongst them, that all fatisfactory punishments may bee released by a pardon. And it is as sure that a pardon for any manner of sinne may bee obtained for a price. And therefore there is a certaine rate set downe for all kinde of sinnes, as Murther, Incests, Sodomy, Sacriledge, &c. And Aquinas thus reasoneth, If Christ might release the fault, without any satisfaction, then so may it be that the Pope. By which wee see, that according to their doctrine, the Pope hath asmuch power to forgive fins. as Christ himfelse hath; which if the Scribes and Pharises liued and heard, they would cry out, O blasphemie. This is the expresse doctrine of the Church of Rome.

90. For the making good of this doctrine, they have a double distinction, answerable to the double manner of remitting sin, vsed in their Church; one touching the absolution of a sinner, by the Priest, in their Sacrament of penance. The other, touching the Popes induspence, out of the Sacramet, grouded upon the treasure of supererogatory works, which they say, is in the Church, and consequently, in the Popes dispensation. Concerning the first (they say) that Christ absolueth a sinner by his owne power; but the Priest, by the power of Christ, committed vnto him in that samous Legacy, Whose sinner yee remit on earth, they are remitted in Heaven.

Perr. Lumba d. lb. 4. dist. 13. 91. To which I answere two things. First, that heerein they cotradict their ancient schoole. For Peter Lumbard, one of the masters of the schoole, doth plainly affirme, that such only are worthily absoluted by the Church, who are absoluted in Heauten: because by the error of man, it may so happen, that hee shat seemeth to bee cast out of Gods family, bee still within a

and he who may be thoght to remainewithin, is not withflanding cast out. And that therefore God absolueth differently from the Church; God by remitting the finne, & purging the foule from the blemish thereof, and freeing it from eternall punishment; the Church by declaring who are absolued by God. By which not onely his opinion is manifest, that the Priest hath no absolute power of absoluing a sinner, buttonely of declaring that hee is absolued, which is our doctrine: but also his reason is inuincible, that because the Priess may erre in his absolution; therefore hee hath no such absolute power committed vnto him. And that wee may not thinke that this Master of theirs is without schollers, the glosse of their decrees doth set down asmuch, when it sayth, Dimittantur, id est, dimissa oftendantur: Let them bee forgiuen, that is, let them bee declared to bee forgiuen. Which , because it speakes too boldly; therefore their judicious Censurers have caused it either to bee blotted out, or compelled it to speake otherwise.

Distinct 13.cap.

Index.expurg.
Belg.edit. Greg.

92. Secondly, I answere, that this doctrine is crossed by it selfe. For they do not professe any other absolution, but such as may be hindered by the party to by absolued, to wir, if by want offaith, or repentance, he put an inuifible bar to ftop the power thereof. Now, if the finner may hinder his own absolutio, then the Priest hath no power to absolue him, except he be fiely disposed for the receiving of it; & this disposition is meerly from God: and therefore in God is the onely power to absolue; and in the Priest, onely to declare who is absolued, and that conditionally, if he be thus qualified, and have no barre to hinder. For if the power of absoluing, or not absoluing, depend upon the putting in, or taking away the barre of impenitency; then he onely can judicially absolue a sinner, that can give him repentance: but neyther the Pope, nor any mortell man is able to doe this, as the Scripture testifieth in many places: and therefore neyther Pope nor Priest can abfolue a finner any further then by a declarative sentence."

93. Lastly, it crosseth their owne practice: for they teach, that dead men, dying in excommunication, may be absolued:

Bell- de Indulg. lib. 1.cap. 14. Tollet. Instruct. facerdot.l.1.c.16 and they practife the same, to wit, as Bellarmine saith, when it is discourred, that the partie was errenicusly excommunicate: and, as Tollet saith, when he shewed manifest signes of contrition before his death: in which case their absolution can been no more than a declaration that hee did repent, and that he is absoluted before the tribunal seat of God.

Bell. Ibid. lib. 1.

94. And thus this first distinction will hold no water. Let vs heare the second. Touching the Popes power to pardon out of the Sacrament, this it is: They fay, that the Pope doth not by his pardon take vpon him, cyther to remit the guilt of finne, or the eternall punishment due voto it: but onely the temporall punishment which it ought to sustaine, eyther here in this life, or in Purgstory. But I answere, that hee which can remit any part of the punishment due vnto sinne, can also remit the guilt it selfe: for guilt and punishment are vnseparable companions; insomuch, as in the Hebrew tongue, Sinne and Punishment are notified by one and the same word. to shew, that where the one is, there the other is also: and therefore he that can pardon the one, may also release the other. Adde hereunto, that to pardon a finne, is nothing else (as our common phrase of speech, together with reason, teacheth vs) but to remit the punishment thereof: as when the King, in the Court of luffice, pardoneth a Malefactor, he releafeth him from the punishment which by the law he should suffer : so in the Court of Conscience, he that doth remit any part of the punishment due by Gods Law voto a finner, (as the Pope doth undertake to doe) by the same labour doth remit so much of the guilt it selfe. And so this distinction falleth to the ground, being as feeble and brittle as the former.

Luk. 17. 10.

Bell.de Monach. Ub.1.cap.13. Salmer. 10m.7. 208, 97.

of. Lastly, the Gospellteacheth, that when we have done all that we can, yet we may say, that we are unprofitable servants, Lake 17. 10. But the Church of Rome teacheth, that a man may doe more then he ought, and then the law requireth: and so may say, and thinke himselfe to be, not onely a profitable, but more then a profitable servant: for hee may (say they) supererogate: now hee doeth supererogate, who layeth

layeth out more then he received: as he that to the precepts of Christ, adjoyneth the commaundements of the Church; and to the precepts of the law, the counsels of the Gospell.

96. Bellarmine answereth first out of Saint Ambrose, Bell. de luftif. that it is to bee understood of us whilst wee are in the state of na- lib. 5. cop. 5. ture, and not of grace: as if by nature we are unprofitable, but by grace profitable: but our Saujour speaketh this to his Disciples, who were now in the state of grace, and not of nature. And Saint Ambrose his meaning is nothing else but this, that Ambros. in Luk. our naturall imbecillity, though it be sanctified, yet it is not abolished by grace: and therefore that we, in regard thereof, are still bound to remember, that when we doe all we can, yet

lib. 8. cap. 17.

we are unprofitable.

97. Secondly, he answereth, that we are vaprofitable indeede; but to God, not to our selues, which hee saith, is Beda's interpretation: but hee leaveth out that which followeth in Beda: for so farre is hee from building hereupon the merite of works, that he faith plainely, that by whose mercy cap. 17. we are presented, that we may humbly (eru: him; by his gift wee are crowned to raigne with him. By which it is euident, that if wee be profitable to our selves, it is because God accepteth our service, and in mercy rewardeth the same; not because wedeferue any thing at his hands. To omit that the word Seruants hath relation to Masters, and not to themselves: and therfore in saying they are unprofitable sermants, it must needs be underflood in respect of God, and not of themselves.

Bedain Luc.

98. Thirdly, hee answereth out of Saint Augustine, that we are faid to bee unprofitable in respect of the conemant of the law: but in respect of the free couenant of grace, me may be profitable, and more then profitable. But this is Bellarmines fraudu. lent collection, and not Saint Augustines intention : for he saith onely, that we can require no reward for our labour, though we have kept all the commandements, unlesse God of his free grace had covenanted with vs to reward vs. He faith not that wee are made profitable by grace, eyther to God our Master, or to our selves. And therefore in another place he disclaimeth veterly all profite and merite in our selues, when he sith, Lord,

Aug. fer. 3. de

Aug. in Pfal. for 142.

for thy Names sake quicken me, in thy righteousnes, not in mine; not because I bane deserned it, but because thou are mercifull. Thus this generation is not ashamed to wrest and wring the godly Fathers, to make them speake to their purpose.

99. Lastly, hee answereth out of Saint Chrysostome, that our Saujour saith not, Yee are unprositable sernants; but bids them fay so of themselves, to teach them humility, and to avoyd pride. But how doe this follow, that because Christ biddeth vs to fay lo, to anoyd pride, therefore we are not so? yea, rather therefore we are fo : for would he bid vs to lye? Chrychyfoft. ad pop. foftome himselfe in another place cleereth this doubt , when he faith, that all that ever wee doe, we doe upon dutie: for which cause Christ sayd, When yee have done all, say, yee are unprofitable serwants: So that Chrysoftome did not onely thinke it fit for vs to fay fo for humility fake, but also that wee were fo in

Antioch. hom.53

Bernard, de triple truth and indeede. Let Saint Bernard, for an up shot, wipe asuffed.

way this distinction: Wilt thon (saith he) say, that Christ hath taught thee to say so for humility sake? true, indeed it was for humility; but what, against truth? And thus none of these shifts and distinctions can deliver this doctrine from opposition to the Gospell: for it followeth ineutably, if the best be no better then ynprofitable servants, then none can worke such works, whereby hee may not onely merice for himselfe eternall life, but having a surplusage of redundant merits, bestow some of them for the supplying of others wants. 100. And thus wee have a short view of the cleere and manifest oppositions that are betwirt the doctrines of the Gospell, and the doctrines of the Church of Rome. And we fee with what subtill and intricate distinctions, they labour to reconcile them together: but truth is naked, and needeth no such shiftings. Both the one and the other therefore; namely, their direct opposition to the Gospell on the one

fide, and their elaborate diffinctions, to make good their cause on the other, doth euidently euince the conclusion of this ninth demonstration, that that Religion, which is built apon such desperate and dangerous principles, cannot be the truth of Christ, but the doctrine and Religion of Antichrist.

## The X. MOTIVE.

That Religion which nourisheth most barbarous and grosseigno. rance among & the people, and forbiddeth the knowledge and understanding of the grounds of the Christian faith, cannot be the truth: but this doth the Romish Religion: ergo. &c.

1. IN the first proposition of this Argument, the Romanists Maior. I hold the Wolfe by the eares, not knowing whether it be betterto graunt, or to deny it: for if they graunt it to bee true, it will flye in their faces, because they are guilty of the contents thereof: and if they deny it, it will bite them by the fingers: for all men will condemne them of shamelesse impudency, for denying so apparant a truth. Therefore as the beast, which Pling calleth Amphi/bana, so it slingeth both wayes. But of two cuils the leffer : they must of necessitie deny it, or else they must condemne their owne practice of impietie: which fure they will not doe, though for their labour they gaine to themselves that name, which so frequently and imperiously they impute vnto vs, Shamelesse Heretikes: they speake it of vs in the spirit of malice: but it shall be prooued of them by found reason, and that in this demonstration ensuing, by Gods assistance.

2. For the confirmation therefore of the first proposition a word or two, though what socuer can be spoken thereof, is but to adde light vnto the Sunne: First therefore the Scripture standeth foorth, and condemneth ignorance so plainely, that nothing can be more euident. Salomon telleth vs, That Pro. 3, 35. they which bate knowledge, lone death. And the Prophet Esay, Esays, 13. That the people were carryed into captinitie, because they had no knowledge. And the Prophet Hofea, That they were destroyed Hofea 4. 6. for lacke of knowledge. Our Sauiour affirmeth, that the cause of erring in the Sadduces, was the ignorance of the Scripture. Mat. 22.29. And Saint Paul coupleth these two together in the Genales, Darkned cogitations through ignorance, and strangers from the Ephos. 4, 184 life of God: where he plainely the weth, that ignorance and de-

fine ion

Ioh. 17.

struction are inseparable companions, as sanctified knowledge and saluation are. And (to omit infinite other passages of holy writ) our Saujour directly concludeth, that he which knoweth his Masters will, and doth it not, shall bee beaten with many fripes: and he which knoweth it not, and therefore doth it not, shall be beaten too, but with fewer stripes. By which he giweth vs to know, that though some kinde of ignorance may excenuate and lessen the fault; yet none, especially if it bee of matters which we are bound to know, and may be attayned vnto, doth excuse from all fault, but is blame-worthy and punishable by Gods instice.

3. Thus speakes the holy Ghost in the Scripture, and doubtles in reason it must needs be so; for wherin doth a man differ from a beaft, but in reason and understanding? and wherein doth one man differ from another, but in the enlightning of reason by divine knowledge, which is the matter subject of true Religion? Religion being nothing else but the knowledge and profession of the divine truth: the want whereof must needs be a subuerter and destroyer thereof. A Physicion that is ignorant of the grounds of his Arte, we account a Mountebanke and Imposter. And what, I pray you, can they be leffe, that professe ignorance, and that in the most dissicult Art of all other, the Art of Christienitie? Besides, all consesse that ignorance is a desect and blemish of the foule, and that the more knowledge a man hath, the neerer he is vnto perfection, because hee is the more like vnto God: but the chiefe end of Religion is to purge away the blemishes, & to make vp the breaches of the scule, & to renue Gods Image defaced therin, that fo we may be made like vnto him, euen perfect, as he is perfect. How can then true Religion teach ignorance, which is such an enemy vnto perfectio? or how can that be true religion, which nourisheth ignorance, & incoyneth it vnto most of her professors & followers.

Aug. Epift, 119.

4. Let the fathers bee Iudges of this cause. Saint Augustine sayth in one place, that Ignorance, as a naughty mother, bringeth forth two wicked daughters, falshood, and don bting. And in another, that the knowledge of God is the engine, by which the structur.

Chryseft. in polit-

Cantic.

structure of charity is built up. Saint Bernard sayth, that both Bernard, in the knowledge of God, and of a mans selfe, is necessary to salua. tion. For as out of the knowledge of a mans selfe, commeth the feare of God, and out of the knowledge of God, the love of him: fo on the contrary, from the ignorance of a mans selfe, commeth pride, and from the ignorance of God desperation. Saint Chryloflome fayth, that knowledge goeth before the imbracing of Vertue, because no man can faithfully desire that, which beeknoweth not, and enill wak nowne, is not feared. The like fong fing all the rest of the Fathers, whose testimonies I thinke needlesse to accumulate, being fo wel knowne to all men.

5. And that they may bee veterly without excuse , heare Aquin, 12.9.75. what their owne Doctours affirme. Aquinas contesseth, that art a. omnis ignorantia vincibilis est peccatum, fi sit eorum, que aliquis soire tenetur. All vincible ignorance (that is, which may bee avoided) is sinne, if it bee of those things, which a manis bound to know. But fuch is the ignorance maintained in the Church of Rome, not onely vincible, but affected, wilfull and voluntary. Bellarmine also acknowledgeth, that ignorance is a disease and wound of the soule, brought in as a punishment of originall sinne: And confesseth out of Saint Augustine, that it is peccat.lib , 6.cap. the cause of errour. For, Two enils are brought into the world, (sayth Saint Augustine) by originall sinne: ignorance, and difficulty; from which, two other fountaines of euils doe arise, to wit, 18. error, & griefe. For ignorance bringeth forth error; and difficulty, griefe. And our Countrey-man Stapleton telleth vs plainely, that Zelus sine scientia, est vehemens cursu in denio, in quo quantò curris velocius, tanto a via aberras longius, & peccas absurdins. Zeale without knowledge, is a violent course in a wrong way, wherein the swifter weerunne, the further wee wander. and sinne the groslier. Thus they themselves write, and therefore I wonder how the same men should dare to allow that which in their own consciences they condemne; or nourish that in the people, which they confesse to bee a sinne, a wound, and disease of the foule, and the way to perdition. I know not how they will distinguish and shift off that sying of Saint Paul: Bleffed is he that condemneth not himselfe, in

Bett. de omiss. grat. & flatw 8.6 9. Aug. de lib. arbitr.lib.3.cap.

Staplet. promp. moral. Dom.6. post Pasch. text.

Church

that which hee alloweth : valefle it bee either by faying, that they condemne not ignorance in all, but onely in the Lay people: as if Lay people had not souls to saucaswel as Priests: Or that they allow of it, not simply in regard of it selfe, but in respect to a further good, to wit, the increase of deuotion:as if euill were to be done, that good might come thereof; which Saint Paul giueth a God forbid vnto, and fayth, that their damnation is just, that are of that minde. I leave therefore this first proposition, confirmed by Scripture, reason, Fathers, and their owne Doctours, and come to the second, wherein out of their owne grounds, they shall bee convinced of this

groffe impiety.

6. That the Romish Religion doth nourish and maintaine most grosse and barbarous ignorance amongst the people. and take from them the key of knowledge: First, their owne confessions: Secondly, their doctrines: And thirdly, the fruits and effects of both in the whole rabble of their multitude. Priests, and people shall enince. For their confession. The Rhemists doe plainely confesse, that knowledge in things wee pray for, is not required of Christians, but that ignorance is to bee preferred before it: and that ability to professe the particulars of our faith, is not necessary, no; when possibly we are to dy; in the defence of the same faith. How contrary is this to that which Saint Peter teacheth, that every man be ready to give an answere of the hope that is in him? Hofus faith, that to know nothing, is to know all things, and ignorance of most shings, is best of all. How contrary to that which our Sauiourteacheth, This is e-

ternall life, to know thee, and whom thou haft sent Iesus Christ? The same Hosius, with Stephyliu, and others, commends the Colliers faith to be the onely faith, whereby every vnlearned man may trye the fpirits, resist the Denill, judge of the right sense of Scriptures, and discerne true doctrine from false, &c. And what was the Colliers faith? Mary, being at the point of death, and tempted of the Deuill, answered, I beleene and dye in the faith of Christs Church, Being againe demanded, what the faith of Christs Church was, answered, that faith that I hold. And thus hee beleeved as the Church beleeved, and the

Rom. 3. 8.

Minor.

Rhem . Annotat. 11 I. Cor . 14.15 Luk. 12-11.

Ioh.17.3.

Hof. contra prolegom. Brens.1.3 page 116. Stipiyl. Apolog. pa:t.1. pag. 53. Pigh. Hierarch. lib. I. cap. 5. Iacob de Graph. desil part. 1.1.1. cap. 26. nu. 34. Antonin. part.1. 111.5.cap.2. 51.

Church as he, and yet he neither knew what the Church, nor hinselse beleeved. This is a brave faith, and worthy to bee canonized to all posterity, for conquering the Deuill. But what if the Deuill departed from the Collier, not because hee was scarred with his bugbare faith, but because he perceived him fafe enough intangled in his fnare, and so needed not to tempt him any more, being already sure enough his owne? Where was his faith then? Sure I am, it is farre vnlike to that faith which the Scripture speaketh of, which is often called by the name of knowledge, and not of ignorance, as Esay 53.

11. lohn 17.3.

7. Againe, another affirmeth plainely, to wit, Linmood their Lawyer, that for simpler people it is sufficient to beleeve the sum trinitat. articles of the, faith implicite: that is, confusedly, and infoldedly, and not distinctly, and plainely: as a bottome of yarne folded together, which lieth in a small compasse, and not raueled out at the length, that it may bee seene and discerned in euery part. And their Angelicall Doctour, Aquinas, compareth Aquin.2.2, q. 20 Gods children to asses, and their teachers to oxen (because it is art.6, said in the first Chapter of lob, that the exendid plom, and the asses fed by them) & that it is sufficient for them in matters of faith to adhere unto their superiours. And in the same place, hee concludeth, that a man is bound to know no more explicitely, but the Aritcles of the faith. As for all other doctrines of Religion conteined in Scripture, it is enough to beleeue them implicitely. And againe in another place hee fayth, that Idem 2.2. q.82. knowledge doth occasionally hinder denotion, and therfore, that art.3 simple men and women, that are voyd of knowledge, are for the most part most inclined to denotion. But I confesse, he speaketh this of fuch knowledge as is not fanct fied, but puffethyp: how be it hee should then have ascribed the impediment of deuotion, vnto the pride that accompanieth knowledge, and not to knowledge. Hence grew that notorious celebrated prouerbe of the Romish Synagogue, that Ignorance is the mother of denotion. And it goeth for current amongst them all, as yetvacontrolled. But how opposite is the very sound thereof to that which holy Scripture teacheth, that ignorance is the mother

Linwood in glof. in c. ignor. de

Mat. 22. 37.

mother of errour, and of folly, Prou. 7. 7. and of destruction, Hof. 2.6. Thus wee have their open confession : and what

should follow, but their open condemnation?

But peraduenture the Iury requireth fuller euidence: bet them list therefore to their doctrines : divers whereof cither directly maintaine ignorance, or at least by necessary consequence drive thereunto: and they are such, as are not the particular opinions of private men, but the appropried do-Arines of their Church; so that a man cannot bee an entyre Romanist, but he must needes subscribe vnto them; and subferibing vnto them, must also needs confesse, that that monstrousignorance which is in the Church of Rome, dothissue out of their corrupt fountaine. To come therefore vnto them.

I.

9. The first doctrine that breedeth and nourisheth ignorance amongst them, is their locking vp the Scripture in an vnknownetongue, that the common people, being ignorant of the learned tongues, may not be able to read them, much leffe to understand them to their comfort : which that is fo, hath beene partly declared already, and may further bee demonstrated: for Bellarmine affirmeth, that it is not necessary for the Scripture to be translated into our Mother tongue. And Azorius, another Iesuite, going a step surther, saith, that it is not expedient for the sacred volumes to be translated into Mother tongues, because thereby the unitie of the faithfull should be de-

trimented, and diners causes of errors and heresies would spring up. And Salmeron, a third lesuite, descending yet a ftayre

Rell. de verbo Dei.lib, 2.cay.15

Azor. Inflit. mera!. 1.8.6.26.

Salmer. comin I. Cor. 14.

lower, faith, that the translation of the Scripture should be onely erilinguis, of three tongues, that is, Hebrew, Greeke, and Latine, in honour of the Trinitie. Or, as another faith, Because

Rell de verbo Dei. lib. 2.c.15.

those three tongues were onely sanctified upon the Crosse. Hereconcil. Trident, vpon the Councill of Trent decreeth, the olde vulgar Latine Seff. 4. decret. 2. Translation of the Bible to be onely authenticall, and alone to bee viedin all publike Lectures, Disputations, Preachings, and expositions. And though Pope Pius Quartus forbade onely (as Bellarmine faith) such to read the Scripture, as had not licence thereunto ginen them by their Priest or Confessor, to wit,

fuch as could receive no damage, but profit by their reading:

yet Pope Clement the eighth, as another Icluite confesseth, Azor. In Bit. tooke away all faculty of giving licence to any to read the moral, 18,0,26. Scripture, or to retaine with them the common Bibles, or any parts of the Old and New Testament in the Mother tongues: To that (as wofull experience hath taught) it was in times past in this Land, and is now in those places where the bloudie Inquisition is exercised, a sufficient marke of an Heretike, and cause of fire and saggot, to bee found with a translated Bible in their houses or hands. This is their doctrine, which how it ingendreth and

nourisheth ignorance, who seeth not? seeing first it locks up the fountayne of knowledge, that few or none of the common fort can drinke of the waters thereof: cleane contrary to that famous saying of learned Origene, who compareth Orig. in Mat. 4. the Scripture to Iacobs Well: where not onely Iacob and his contra Celsum. Sonnes, that is, the Learned; but also the Cattell and the Sheepe, that is, the rude and the ignorant doe drinke and refresh themselues: but these men barre out the poore sheepe, and drive them away from the waters of life, to no other end, as it may be thought, but that they should pine away with thirst, and liue and dye in blindnesse and ignorance. For if all sound and true knowledge is to be found in holy Scripture, and therein is the whole counfell and will of God reuealed vnto vs, fo farre foorth as it concerneth our faluation, it being the Epiftle Greg. Epift. 84. of the great lehouah to his poore Subjects, to enforme them of his will and pleasure, how should they possibly clime to this true and fauing knowledge, who are debarred from the place and meanes where it is to found and had, and not permitted to reade this Letter, or heare it read vnto them? contrary to that doctrine of Nazianzene, who faith, that all Christians Nazianz hom.4.

not able, heare others read unto them the word of God. II. If they reply, and fay, that it is enough for them to know the Traditions of the Church: I answere, that if there were as certaine ground for their Traditions, to prooue them the word of God, as there is of the Scripture, then this allegation might carry fome thew of reason; but the vaccreainty,

ought to come to Church, and there read themselves, or if they be Dom. Epipban-

nouelty, mutability, and absurdity of many of them, doe

Agrip. de vanit. cap. Theolog. Dante. canto.29.

plainely shew, that it is no safe course to repose the strength of our saluation vpon them, but rather to flye to that foundation which is immooueable. If they fay, that the people must be content for their knowledge to depend vpon their Priests, and to draw it from their lippes, and fo by that meanes may attayne a sufficient measure of instruction: I answere, that the Priests are for the most part as ignorant as the people, as shallbe shewed afterward: and if any be furnished with gifts. yet they seldome teach the people; and when they doe, they preach, in stead of Gods word, their owne inventions, idle tales, and meere tales, and fables: witnesse Cornelius Agrippa. and Dante their Poet, two no great enemies, but fast friends to Popish Religion. Now if a man should bee constrained to sup vp whatsocuer every sottish Priest, or idle Fryer, or crastie Iesuite doth belch foorth, without examining, doubtlesse hee should sucke downe much poyson, in stead of wholsome iuyce. If they say, that there is multiplicity of good Bookes written to this end, to instruct the people in the grounds of Religion, and to stirre them vp vnto godlines and devotion: I answere, there is indeede a great number of such Bookes, Which are so farre from gendring found knowledge, that they are no better then baits of Antichrift, serving to allure men, vnder sh:w of deuotion, vnto Idolatry, and Apostacie from God: for if they were found and true, why should Gods Booke, which without all question is most sound, bee prohibited, and they admitted? Why is it not lawfull to examine them by that rule? and why should all Bookes else, which any thing make against their Religion, be suppressed? and by great penalties forbidden? Surely this sheweth, that all their Bookes of deuotion are but rotten stuffe, and meere hypocriticall devices to deceive the simple.

12. Lastly, if they say, that all our translations are false and erronious; and therefore that our Bibles are not the word of God: I answere, that indeede it is impossible to haue a Translation so exact & persect, that no fault nor impersection shuld be found therin: neuertheles, the chief faults in our trans

flations.

flations are, for the most part, in respect of proprietie of words and phrases, which are nothing repugnant to holy doctine or good life, and not in any materiall or substantiall poynt of faith : and those also are not frequent, but heere and there dispersed, which can no waies hinder the profite to be gathered by the rest of the Scripture: and if for some corruption in translations the Bible should not bee read, then none but the originall Hebrew and Greeke should bee in vie; for all translitions are imperfect: yea, their so much extolled vulgar, authorized by the Councill of Trent, wherein the Diuines of Louane observed many errors: and Isidorus Clarins, a Spanish Monke, professed that hee found eight thousand bibl. fau'ts, though for his plaine dealing hee was plagued by the Inquisitors: and after that it was decreed authenticall by the Councill, (athing worth the noting) yet it was corrected and castigated, by the authority and commaundement of fixe Popes successively. Nay, the Hebrew and Greeke copies theinselues should not bee permitted; for euen they, if wee will beleeue the Romanists, are full of corruptions: but as Bellarmine saith of the corruptions in the Hebren text, so wee may truely of the imperfections in our translations, Non funt tanti momenti, ut inijs qua ad fidem & bonos mores pertinent, sacra Scriptura integritas desideretur: that is, they are not of fuch moment, that they can hinder the integrity of the Scripture in those things which pertaine to faith & good manners.

tendfor their vulgar Latine Bible, that it should be onely authenticall, seeing many Romisherrors are thereby maintained, which in the truth of your originall haue no colour of desence. And so this doctrine doth not onely vpholdignorance in the simple, but also hereste among the learned. As for example, to produc the intercession and patronage of the Virgine Mary, they alsedge that text of Genesis, falsely translated, Ipsa conteret caput Serpentis: She shall bruise the Serpents head: whereas the Hebrem truth hath most euidently, He, or It, meaning the Seede of the woman, and not Shee. Againe, to produc their Masse Sacrifice, they alledge that of

Fran. Luc. pre. fat. in annot. in bibl.
Amand. Polan.in
Didascal.pag.4.

Bell, de verbo
Dei, lib, 2, cap, 2.
& 7.
Greg, de Valent.
analyf, l. 18. c. 1.
Pintus. com. in
Dan, 7. & 13.
Sacrabof, Iefin
defen, decret.
Con. Trid.p. 1. c. 2
Rhemenf, annot,
in prafat, in
nou, leftam.

Gen. 3.15.

Gen. 14. 18. Obtulit. Protulit.

fieth, to offer: but to bring foorth; and the conjunction caufall is also wanting. They externate original sinne by the corrupt translation of that text, Gen. 8. 21. For whereas in the

Gen. 3.21.

corrupt translation of that text, Gen. 8. 21. For whereas in the original it is, Figmentum cordus of tantam malum: The frame of the heart is onely cuill: their translation hath, The cogitation of mans heart is prone vnto cuill. To produe their inuocation of Saints, they obiest that of lobs, thus translated.

Gen. 14. 18. Melchizedek obtulit panem & vinum : erat enim

facerdos: whereas in the Hebrem text is no word that figni-

lob. 5. 1.

Ad aliquem Sanctorum convertere: which in the Hebrew is not an affirmative proposition, but an Ironical Interrogation: thus: To which of the Saints will thou turne? To prove that

Eccles. 9. 1.

no man can be sure of the remission of his sinnes and saluation, they alledge that corrupted text, Eccles. 9. 1. Nescit homo virum amore vel edio dignus sit: whereas in the originall, it is nothing but thus, No man knoweth love or hatred, all things are before him. That their Church cannot erre, they labour to produce by the promise of our Sauiour, Ioh. 14. 26. where

their translation thus speaketh, Spiritus sanctus suggeret vobis omnia quaeung, dixero vobis: but in the originallit is,

Joh. 14.26.

Ephes. 5.32.

Heb. 13.

Meb. 9. 23.

That Matrimony is a Sacrament, they produe by that place, Ephel. 5. 32. where their translation hath, a Sacrament, for a Mysterie. So for their Merite of works, they produce, Heb. 13. where, in their translation, the word Merite is vsed, which is not extant in the Greeke. So to produe, that after Baptisme there remaine no Relikes of sinne, they vse that text, Heb. 9. 28. Christus semeloblatus est ad multorum exhancienda peccata: now where all is drawne out, there nothing remaineth and yet in the original there is no such word. Lastly, the Councill of Trent it seife, to product that the Church may dispense with the Sacraments, contrary to Christs institution, and after them, abuseth that text, 1. Cer. 4.1. where the

Ministers are called, Dispensatores mysteriorum Dei: whereas the Greeke word, sinsoropo , importeth no such matter. Thus

3.Cor. 4.1.

wee see great cause why they should sland vpon this vulgar Latine onely, because it affoords vnto them such pregnant proofes

2.

proofes for the defence of their groffe errors. It defends them and their errors, therefore they have reason to defend it. And thus by forbidding the Scriptures to bee read of the people, they multiply ignorance; and by allowing onely their Latine

translation for authenticall, they hatch herefie. 14. Secondly, their doctrine which commandeth Prayers to be made publikely and privately in an vnknowne tongue, tendeth to the same end: for though, touching private prayers, they agree not amongst themselves; some affirming, that the people ought not to say their Pater nofter, Aue Ma- Ledes. Ief, lib.de ria, and Mattens in any tongue but the Latine, because this hath beene the ancient custome of the Church, as they pretend. Others, that it is lawfull to pray in our natiue tongues: but yet if we doe pray in Latine, it is not vnfruitfull. Notwithstanding, their continuall practice sheweth their most approduct opinion: for among them all, you shall hardly finde one in an age that vieth any other but Latine prayers : but as for publike prayers in the Church, it is the doctrine of the Councill of Trent, armed with a curse, that no part of the Diuine Seruice and publike Leiturgie bee celebrated in a knownetongue. Now how can this but noozle the people in ignorance, when they are taught to babble out in their de- Dei.lib.2.6ap.16 uotions, like Parrats, without understanding what they say? Surely this must needes bee a blinde devotion, and an ignorant zeale, when the tongue shall pray, or rather prace, and the heart not understand what it vetereth: for if true deuction be a religious offering vp of the whole man, both body and foule, and every facultie and part of both to God, by way of spirituall sacrifice; then certainely that cannot bee true devotion, but blinde delusion, when the affection and the tongue shall bee lifted up in prayer, and in the meane while the vndet flanding shall be idle, not knowing what the affection and tongue doth: seeing the proper worke of the intellective part of the soule is to know and understand, which by this meanes it is deprived of. And this is that which both Aquinas, their Angelicall Doctor, purposely confesseth, and Rabbi Bellarmine also himselfe, though vnawares: for the

qua lingua.scrip. leg. cap. 3. Rhem. in I. Cor. 14. pag. 451. Salmer. com. in I.Cor. 16.dif.30. Azor. instit.moral.l. 8. c. 26. Cofter. Enchirid. cap. 19.

Concil. Trident. Seff. 22.6.8.6 9. Bell. de verb.

Aa 2

Aquin. com. in I. Cor. 14. Bell. de verb. Deilib, 2.cap. 16

Act. 3.17. Rom. 11. 2. Ioh, 16, 2,

one saith, that he which understandeth not what he prayeth, is deprined of the fruit of his denotion: and the other, that except the prayer be understood, no consolation at all can be reaped thereby. Ignorance therefore most needes bee cherished by this doctrine, seeing the vnderstanding, which is the seat of knowledge, is muffled, and the bell fruit that can arise hencefrom, is blinde zeale, and ignorant deuotion: by which the Iewes crucified Christ, & the Gentiles persecuted the Church of Christ, and taught, that in so doing, they did God good service : for devotion without zeale, is like an Arrow shot out of a childs Bow, which falleth to the ground without doing hurt or good: and zeale without knowledge, is like a Shippe carryed with full winde and displayed Sailes, without a Pilot to sterne and guide it in the right course.

15. Thus for the maine doctrine. Now the accessarie attending vpon it, is more dangerous then the maine it selfe: for they are taught, not onely thus to pray, but that these prayers are meritorious of faluation; and that hee which faith a certaine number of them, shall have thus many dayes, and thus many yeeres pardon: as 3000. dayes, for faying a short prayer in the Primer: ten thouland dayes for saying five Pater nosters before the Vernacle: twenty thousand dayes, for saying a short prayer at the Leuation : yea, a hundred yeeres, for saying our Ladyes Psalter enery Saturday: yea, fine hundred yeeres for faying a short prayer which Saint Gregory mades and a number fuch like, as hath beene before fufficiently difcovered. Now if pardon of sinnes and salvation may be merited by mumbling vp every day on their Beades these short and uncouth prayers, what need any feeke for further knowledge in the word of God? If these bee sufficient (as they make the people beleeue) then all further inftruction must needs be thought vnnecessary : and so it cennot choose bnt follow, that a deluge of blindnesse and ignorance must needs ouerflow the world, as wofull experience hath taught to bee true in those places where the Romish Religion prevaileth.

16. Thirdly, they teach, that Images and Pictures are Lay mens Bookes, wherein they must read, and with the which they

Nicol, Salic, Antidot. anima. Salisbur. primer. bors bea. ia Maria. Euang. Roman.

tut. & vit. 10m.

Lal. Zecchius

Summor. Theol.

& caf confe.t. 2.

hom. pag. 16.17.

hom . 2.

c. 90. art. 18. Fenardent, 16.

I. cap. 3.

they must content themselves, without searching at all into the Booke of God. This doctrine taught Gulielmus Peral- Perald Sum virdu three hundred yeeres fince, faue that hee joyned the Scripture and Images together; for thus he writeth, As the Scriptures be the Bookes of the Clergie: fo Images and the Scripsure are the Bookes of Lay men: where hee equalleth a dumbe and dead Picture, to the speaking and lively Scriptures: the worke of man, to the Word of God. But Lælius Zechius, a learned and famous Dinine of latter time, goeth further, and faith, that Images are the onely Bookes for them that bee unlearned, to draw them to faith and knowledge, and mitation of dinine matters. Yea, another Fryer, that liveth in Paris at this day, or at least, was aliue very lately, goeth yet a degree further, and affirmeth, that Lay men may more eafily learne diume mysteries by contemplation of Images, then out of the Booke of God: and all these are (as they Hile them) most Catholike and holy Bookes. But what should I search further into these petty Disciples? whereas the grand Doctor himselfe hath this proposition in expresse words, Melius interdum docet pi- Bell, de Imag. Etura, quam scriptura: A Picture doth better inkruct some. lib. 2. cap. 10. times, then the Scripture.

16. This is their Doctrine. Now what fruits doth it bring foorth? Surely the best fruit is ignorance, a worse then that error, and the worst of all superstition and and idolatry : for howfoeuer, we deny not that there may be an historicall and civill vse of Pictures, either to put vs in minde of our absent friends, or to represent some observable history and notable deede done, or to stirre vs vp to the imitation of the vertues of Godly men and women: yet we constantly affirme, that to make them the Bookes of Laymen, either to be inffructed by them alone, without the Booke of God, or to finde better and more perfect instruction in them then in it, is to inwrap the people in a cloude of foggie and mistic ignorance, and to hood-winke their eyes, that they should not see the bright shining light of truth: for where is all sound & sauing knowledge to bee found, but in the holy Scripture? whither doth our Saujour Christ send his Disciples, but ynto them? he doth

Pfal. 19. 7.8.

not say vnto them, Gaze vpon Pictures, for they be they that testifie of me : and , In them yee shall finde eternall life : but , Search the Scriptures, for, &c. And the Prophet Danid, that it is the Law of Godthat gineth wisedome unto the simple; and that converteth the foule, and giveth light unto the eyes: and not the Pictures of Abraham, Isaac, and Iacob, or of any of the Prophets. And therefore though a man may be inflructed by a Picture, touching a thing done; yet most certaine it is, that more excellent, and more perfect instruction is gotten by the Scripture : for let an vaskilfull man returne neuer so often to the beholding of his Picture, it will alwaies represent the same thing vnto him; and if any scruple or doubt remaine in his minde, it can answere nothing for the explication thereof: whereas in holy Scripture, that which is obscure in one place, is explained in another; and that which in one Chapter we cannot conceive, in the next following it may be is so cleerely set downe, that a childe may discerne it without erring: so that as a man may discouer his meaning by fignes and becks, yet it is not so effectuall as if he veter it by word of mouth: so Pictures may teach, but yet Scripture teacheth more fully and effectually. And therefore to tye the people to these dumbe Bookes, and discharge them from searching into the Booke of God, is to deprive them of the chiefest meanes of knowledge, and so to foster them in ignorance.

Eabak. 2. 18.

ignorance, an Image also is a teacher of lyes, as the Prophet-Habakuk calleth it, and a mother, and a nurse of superstition, and Idolatry. For first, how many Pictures are there in their Churches, of Monsters, and miracles that never were? As of Saint George, killing the Dragon. Saint Christopher, carrying Christ vpou his shoulder, over the Ford. Saint Catherine tormented vpou the wheele, and disputing with the Philosopher. Saint Dunstane holding the Divell by the nose, or lip, with a paire of Pincers. Saint Denis carrying his owne head in his hands, being strooke off. Saint Dominick burning the Devils Lingers with a Candle, which hee made han to hold, will.

he, nill hee. And an infinite number such like, which either neuer were extant in the world, or were not such, neither ever did worke such seates as are represented by their Pictures. Two Pictures I cannot passe ouer in silence, which I have seen and observed with my owne eyes : the one at the Church of Ramsey, in Huntington-shire, neere adioyning vnto that, quendam a famous and rich Abbay. In this Church, in the lowest window, in the right Ile, is a picture of a paire of Ballance, in one skole whereof is the Deuill, and in the other, a woman, and the woman is more finfull then the Deuill, ouerweighing him cuen to the ground. Behold, a Lay mans book, whereat wife men may wonder, fooles may laugh, and women may bee inraged, and every one may read the folly and prophanenes of those times. Sure I am, heere is little instruction for the foules health. The other is in the Cloifter window of the cathedrall Church of Peterberough, where is painted out at large the history of Christs passion. In one place whereof our Saujour Christ fittech with his twelve Apostles, eating his last Passeouer: which because it was vpon the Thursday night before Easter, commonly called Maundey Thursday: therefore they picture before him in a dish, not a Lambe as the truth was, but because it was Lent, (O miserable blindnesse) three pickerels: so that now the Paschall Lambe is turned into a Paschall pickerell, and all forsooth, to nourish in the people, the superstition of the Lent fast. For if they should fee Christ eating flesh in Lent, what an incouragement would thisbe (thought they) for the people to doe the like?

18. And thus Images may wel be called Laymens bookes. But what bookes, you fee, even fuch as reach lyes, and fuperflition, & no found and true inftruction. I could heere relate how that Saint Dunstane put life (by a trunke for footh) into the Image of the Virgin Mary, and made her speake against the marriage of Priests, when that controversie could no otherwise bee decided. And how the Image of the Crucifixe Boz.de sig.lib.14 vsed to speake to Saint Francis, to the end, to give authority cap. 3. to the order of his fraternity: and that ypon two Images in a Church at Venice, the one of Saint Dominick, the other of

tit,23.5.1.S.I.

Guliel. Miniat. Episcop. Ration. Dinin. caffand, confult. pag. 176.

Antonin, part.3. Saint Paul, were written these words: On Pauls: By this man you may come to Christ. On Dominicks: But by this man you may doe it easilier: because Pauls dostrine led but to faith, and the observation of the Commandements: but Dominicks taught the obternation of Councils which is the easier way. All this, and asmuch more might be produced to this purpose. But I conclude the point with the censure and confession of their owne Caffander, who out of the writings of William Bishop of Miniatum concluded with him, that as if officious lyes should bee added to the boly Scrip: ures, there would remaine no authority nor weight in them. So, no errour nor fallhood should be tolerated in Images and Pictures in the Church, feeing that an errour not relisted is received for a trueth. And in the same place, the same Cassander doth bewaile the abuse of Images in the Church of Rome, affirming that superstition was too much pampered thereby & that Christians were nothing behind the Heathe, in the extreme vanity of framing, adorning, and worshipping of Images. Thus fare Cassander: out of which we may perceive the chiefe lessons that are learned out of these Lay bookes, to wit, ignorance, superstition, and Idolatry. And therefore, no maruaile if all these vices raigne in the midst of their Church, as plentifully, as among it the Heathen themselves.

19. Fourthly, they deliver for found do ctrine, that whereas Saint John Sayth, that they which have the anointing of the holy Ghost, know all things: Hee meaneth not, that every one should have all knowledge in himselse personally, but that euery one that is of that happy fociety, to which Christ promiled and gaue the holy Ghost, is partaker of all other mens graces and gifts in the same holy Spirit to saluation. And thus whereas Saint Iohn meaneth, that enery true Christian, both by the outward preaching of the word, and by the inward vnction of the Spirit, hath a diffinct knowledge of all things necessary to saluation: They say, that it is sufficient, if he be partaker of another mans knowledge, though he be empty & voyde himselfe. Then which, what can be a greater nourisher ofignorance, and quencher of knowledge? For if I may bee faued by anothers mans knowledge and faith; And if it bee not required that I should know al things necessary to salua-

Abemift, in Ioh. 3.30.

tion in my owns person, but may have a share of another mans knowledge, what need I greatly seeke for knowledge my seise? And why may I not repose the hope of my saluation vpon other men? And heereby wee may observe their grosse absurdity. In the case of institution they teach, that wee are not made righteous by the righteousnesse of Christ, imputed vnto vs, though hee bee the head of the body of the Church, and the Spirit that animateth it, proceedeth from him; and yet heere they say, that a man may be made wise and knowing, by the knowledge of other their sellow members in the same body, abiding in the vnity of Christs Church. What is this, but to advance themembers about the head, or at least, to forget themselves, not caring what they say, so that they maintaine the cause they have in hand?

20. I, bu: Saint Augustine Sayth, If thou love vnity : for thee also bath he, who seemer hath any thing init: it is thine which I haue: it is mine which theu hast. And againe in another place hee sayth: When Peter wrought miracles, he wrought them for me, because I am in that body, in which Peter wrought them. In which body, though the eye feeth, and not the eare: and the eare beareth, and not the eye: yet the eye heareth in the eare, and the eare seeth in the eye, esc. Therefore, all the grace and knowledge that is in any other of Gods Saints, either living, or dead, is ours by participation: And so, that which was sufficient in them, for their faluation, is also enough for vs, for ours, though wee haue little, or none of our owne. Thus reason our Rhemists, in the place before quoted. But Ianswere first, with our reuerend, learned countrey-man, Doctor Fulk, that Saint Augustine understandeth that place of Saint Iohn, of an actuall, and personall knowledge, inspired by the holy Ghost, concurring with the outward ministery of the Church, and not of any generall knowledge infused into the Church, to bee transfuled, and dispersed among the members, by an imputative participation. Secondly, if a man may know by another mans knowledge, why may not a manbee righteous by anothers righteousnesse? And if the knowledge of our fellow members may bee imputed to vs, that wee thereby may bee saide to know, why may not the instice of our head bee so

August trast. in: Iob.32. Idem in Psal.. 130.

imputed:

imputed vnto vs, that thereby wee may bee made iuft? Thefo things are fo paralell, that the one being granted, the other needsmust follow. Thirdly, and lastly, that communic n which is betwirt the members of a body, either naturall or mysticall, is not an actuall translation of gifts from one to another: but either a participation in the fruit of those gifts, or a generating of the like in others, by doctrine, example, exhortation, prayers, and such like meanes. And so wee may truely say, that every one that is in the body of Christ, respeth fruit and benefit, by all the graces and gifts that euer haue, or shall belong to any member thereof, though not for merit, yet for comfort, instruction, edification, and increase of grace. Andagaine, as one candle lighteth another, and one Reele sharpeneth, and whetteth another: So wisedome and grace is deriued from one to another; either by naturall commerce of speech, or patterne of example. Thus much did Saint Augufine intend, and no more: and therefore, it never came into his minde to thinke, (as these idle braines would make him) that the knowledge which refided in the Saints of God, is actually in all Gods Children, or that they are partakers of their gifis and graces, to their faluation. For he that will be faued, must beleeue for himselfe, and know for himselfe, and line godly for himselfe. If hee doe all these things by a proxy, hee must also goe to Heauen by a proxy, and not by himselfe. This do-Etrine therefore is a manifest breeder and maintainer of such groffe ignorance, as both Saint Augustine and all other holy men haue alwayes condemned for a sinne.

21. A fife doctrine from whence ignorance springeth and ariseth, is their prohibiting of Lay men to dispute, touching matters of saith, and that under paine of excommunication. This Nauarre propoundeth as the doctrine of their Church, neither is it contradicted by any other. Aquinas geeth surther, and sayth, that it is unlawfull to dispute of matters of faith, in the presence of those that are ignorant and simple. And Bellarmine taketh away from the people, all power of iudging of their Pastours doctrine, saying, that they must beleene what soener they teach, except they broach some new doctrine, which

Nauar. Encbir.
in 1. præcept.cap.
11.
Aquin.2.2 q,10
art.7.
Eell.de verb. Dei
Interpret l.3.6.8

which hath not beene heard of in the Church before. And if they doe fo, yet they must judge of them no otherwise, then by referring them to their ordinary Pastour, which is the Pope, to whose definitive sentence they must yeeld full consent, with- Idem de Cleri. 4 out further examination. Nay, he most shamefully affirmeth, 1,cap.7. that if their ordinary Pastour teach a falshood, and another that is not their Pastour, teach the contrary truth : yet the people ought to follow their Pastour erring, rather then the other telling the truth. And thus the poore people must rely al their knowledge vpon their Pastours, and may not in any case examine and try their Spirits, whether they be of God, or no, cleane contrary to the Precept of our Sauior, 10h.5.39. Search the Scriptures: And to the practice of the Bereaus, who examined Pauls doctrine by the Scriptures: And to the counsell of Saint Iohn to all, To try the Spirits. Now, who feeth not that this confirmeth, and cherisheth the people in ignorance? For if they may not dispute about any matter of faith themselves, nor heare others that are learned so to doe, nor examine the doctrine of their ordinary Pastours, but beleeue whatsoeuer thev teach, bee it true, or false: what remaineth, but that they should lye, and tumble in ignorance, and superstition, feeing the ordinary meanes of getting knowledge, and finding out the truth, is taken from them? For when they are bound to swallow downe all the doctrines on the one side, and may not fo much as heare, or read the reasons of the other, nor weigh them together in the Ballance of judgement, how is it possible that they should ever finde out the truth?

Ioh.5.39 AC.17

Ioh.5.1

22. Wee confesse with Saint Paul, that the weake are not to bee admitted to controversies of disputation. But what dispu- Rom. 141E tations? Mary, about needlesse questions, touching matters indifferent, as meat and drinke, and difference of dayes, as the Apostle explaineth himselse in the same Chapter: Or soolish, and vnlearned questions that ingender strife, and are not profita- 2. Tim:2:22: ble to edification. But if the disputation bee concerning mat- 1, Tim. 1,48 ters of faluation, and disquisition of a necessary truth, then are none to bee excluded either from reasoning, or hearing. For

Saine

1.Pet.3. 15.

Mat. 22. 23. Act.9.29.

Act.17.17.

Act. 19.8.9.

Saint Peter requireth of every man, that hee be able to give an answere to every one that asketh a reason of the hope that is in him. And therefore to dispute : for what is to dispute, but to giuea reason? And our Saujour disputed with the Pharises and Sadduces, in the audience of the people, touching the refurrection, and the greatest Commandement of the Law, and his humane, and divine nature. And so likewise did Saine Paul with the Grecians and with the lewes; convincing them by arguments out of the Scripture, That Iefus was the Christ, and that there was no way to saluation, but by faith in his Name. From such disputations as these, none was debarred; but every one was, and is bound to feeke a firme refolution, that hee bee not carried about with every winde of do-Etrine. True it is, every simple man and woman ought not

Theodoret. bifto. 6.4.6.17.

Bierom.in epift. ad Paulin.

presently to rush out into arguments of disputation, nor too peremptorily to talke of deep mysteries in Religion: for then it may bee faid vnto them, as Saint Basill is reported to have answered the Emperors Cook, Tunm est de pulmentis cogitare, non dinina dogmata concoquere: It is thy part to looke to thy fauces, and dainty diffies, and not to boyle (in thy shallow wit) heavenly mysteries. And therefore, they must, as Saint Ierome speaketh, not lacerare Scripturam, teare in pieces the Scriptures, by their ignorant interpretations, and applications of it: Nor docere antequam didicerunt: Teachothers, before they haue learned themselnes: But like Pythagoras schollers, keepe filence long, till they be wel grounded in knowledge:neuershelesse, all this while, they must not be barred from hearing others discourse of these high matters; nor from reading their arguments, pro & contra: nor at lengthalfo, when they are come to some perfection, from arguing, and reasoning with the adversary: For this is the high way to knowledge and understanding, the Lord bauing promised to all those that pray voto him, and doe his will, whether they bee Priefts, or people, the illumination of his Spirit, and power to discerne of doctrines. They that deny therefore this liberty vnto the Joh.7.17. & 16. people, doe barre them out from all found knowledge, and imprison them in a gaole of ignorance, blindnesse, and super-23. Laffly, flition.

13.

23. Lastly, their braue doctrine, touching Implicite faith, doth tend to the same end, and bring forth the same effect, and that more effectually then any of the reft. For thus they teach, that it is not necessary for a Layman, to know any more, by Molan. tract, 3. a distinct knowledge, sane some few capitall heads of Religion, as, c.27.ant.15. that there is one God, and three persons. That Christ is come in Bonauent. she flesh, and redeemed vs from our sinnes, and shall com againe to indgethe quicke and the dead, &c. As for the reft, it is sufficient to give affent vnto the Church, and beleeue as it beleeueth, though they know not what it beleeueth; yea, that they are not bound expresly to beleeue all the Articles of the Apostles Creed, which is not with standing nothing els but a briefe summe and Epitome of Christian Religion, and one of the principall grounds of the Catechisme. And this is the Colliers faith spoken of before, so much commended by many of their greatest Clarks. Now how can this but nourish most grosse ignorance? For when the people are perswaded that such ashort scantling of knowledge is sufficient, and that it is enough for their saluatio, if in a reverence to the Church, they beleeue as it beleeueth : what reason haue they either to labour to get any further knowledge, or to increase and grow vp in that which they have attained vnto? Surely, in matters of Religion, so great is the auersenesse of our nature, that wee are all so farre from endeuouring to get more then is needfull, that few seeke for so much. And therefore they that bound our knowledge within fo narrow limits, cherish this corruption, and by speaking pleasing things vnto it, lull it asseepe in the bed of ignorance. But in the meane time, how contrary is this to the word of God, let the world indge, seeing the Apostle prayeth for the Colossians, that they might bee fulfilled with the knowledge of Gods will, in all misedome, and spiri- Col. 1.10.11. tuall understanding: and that they might increase in the knowledge of God: Whereas these sellowes would have Gods people to bee empty of knowledge, and in stead of growing, to stand at a stay, resting vpon the supposed knowledge of the Church. And whereas the same Apostle saith vnto the Thesfalonians, I would not have you ignorant, brethren, speaking in 1. Theff. 4.13 the

people!

the same place, of very high and deepe mysteries: as the state of the dead, the resurrection and assiudgement: they on the contrary say to their people, Wie would have you ignorant, brethren. These things are so grosse and shamefull, that is the Church of Rome were not the whore of Babylon, she would blush, that any such imputation should justly be laid vnto her charge.

24. These beethe Romish doctrines, which directly, and

naturally ingender, and maintaine ignorance: and therefore no maruaile, if aflood of ignorance doth ouer-flow the field of their Church, seeing it bursteth sorth by so many spowts. Letys take a view therefore a little of the effects and fruits of these doctrines, both in the Clergie, and the people. Touching the ignorance of the Romish Clergie, what it hath beene in former ages, and is yet at this day, though the Iesuites bragge neuer so much of their new learning, and labour to wipe away that staine from their faces, let their owne writers speake. Platina the Popes Secretary thus complaineth of the horrible corruption and ignorance of the Priests in his dayes: Quanta sit anaritia Sacerdotum, &c. How great is the conetousnesse of Priests (sayth he) and especially of those that bee in chiefest anthority! how great leachery, how great ambition and pompe, how great pride and idlenesse, how great ignorance both of themselues and Christian doctrine, how little Religion, &c! I need not declare, when they themselves doe so openly proclaime it, as though they fought praise thereby. This complaint hee maketh in the lite of Marcellius the first, and the like in Denis the first : Boniface the fift : Stephen the third, and Gregory the fourth. The like complaint or worse, maketh one Bredenbachius, Deane of the Church of Mentz, in Germany, in the time of Charles the fourth, and yeere of our Lord, 1370. The Law (laith he) is departed from Priests, Counsell from the Elders, Charity from Prilates, Religion from Monks,

Discipline from Clerks, Learning from teachers, Study from Schollers, &c. and in the end he buisteth foorth into this exclamation, O times! omanners! most troublesome and miserable simes! reprobate and micked manners both of Clergie and

Platin,invit, Marcelli,

Bredenbach in Jue peregrin hist.

Guliel. Conquest.

people! Mathem Paris faith, that in the time of William the Math. Paris. in Conqueror, Clerks were so unlearned in England, that such that understood their Grammar, were a wonderment to their fellowes. And for Italy, Espensaus, a learned B shop, saith, that it was told him by an Italian Bishop, that his Countrymen did not Andie the Scriptures, but the Civill and Canon Lawes, becanse that was the shortest cut to Bishopricks, Cardinalships, and bigheft dignities on earth. Touching Spaine, thus complaineth Aluarus Pelagius, that the Bishops there committed thousands of soules to some young Nephewes of theirs, to whom a man would bee loth to commit two peares to bekept. And for Germanie, let vs heare their owne complaint, that most v sually Bishops centu grauam, advanced to the Order of Priesthood, unlearned Idiots, unfit, gra. 47. vile, and ridiculous persons. The like might bee showne in all other Countries : but this is sufficient for a tafte of the miserable ignorance of the Romish Clergie, deriued from their owne confession.

Espens, com, in Tit.c. I. & 2.

Aluar. Pelag. de planet. Eccles. lib- 2, art. 200

25. And therefore we neede not wonder, when we read of many Popes that were veterly vulearned, euen so farre, that they knew not the Grammar: as that Pope that faid (as it is reported) Fiatur, in stead of Fiat: and being told, that it was false Latine; answered, that in spight of Priscian, and all Grammarians, it should be euer after canonized for true Latine. Or that Pope, to wit, Gregory the fixth, who being Laziard, Epit. ignorant of Latine, was faine to have another confecrated cap. 183. with him, to helpe to say Masse. Or that Pope, to wit, Zachary, that condemned Virgilius, a Bishop of Germany, for Auent. Annal. faying, there were Antipodes. Or Paul the second, that determined all them to bee Heretikes, that named the word Academy. Or, Bennet the ninth, who when hee was made Pope, was a childe, about ten yeeres old: and therefore could Bare, anno. 2033 not have any great store of wisedome in him at those yeeres. And if Popes have beene thus ignorant, which are the heads of the Church, no maruellif Cardinalls, which are the necke, and Archb shops and Bishops, which are the shoulders, and Priests and Fivers; which are but the armes and legges; bee voyd of all vnderstanding: for how can the members bee

lib. 3 . Platina.

nu. 6. . Glab Rodulph.

wise, if the head be a foole? And therefore when wee'read, that Lois Marsilius, an Augustinian Fryer, being asked, what the two strops of the Bishops Miter signified? answered, that they represented, that the Bishop never understood the Old nor the New Testament. We have no cause to wonder at it: neyther when that we read, that a certain Priest was of this mind, that what soener was in print, was as true as the Gospell. Nor when we heare another of them fishing the Virgine Maries name out of the first Chapter of Genesis, where it is written, that God called the gathering together of the waters (Maria) &c. Nor another, that produed our Saujour Christ to take away the sinne of the world, because the word lesus was written in Hebrew with the letter w, Sin, in the midft. But what need I insist in particulars, seeing this was one of the rules of a whole Order, called the Franciscane, or Minorite Fryers & Nescientes non curent discere literas: Let not the ignorant regard to know letters. Of which rule they ever were most ob-Teruant: from whence springs that elegant Epigramme.

Canifi bene tu, ne te vlla occidere possit Litera: nam nulla est litera nota tibi.

That is in English thus:

Prevented well thou hast the letter that doth kill: For not a letter wilt thou learne, to stop this ill.

26. Now then lettheir Bozins vpbrayd vnto vs the ignorance of all Arts, and bragge of their Iesuites learning aboue Boz de fig.eccles. all others. And let their Possenine, and Grester, and Tanner doe the same: yet they shall never shew a whole Order amongst vs, and that of Clergie men, that make a profession of Ignorance. As for the eminence of the Iesuites learning; whereof they bragge so much, we enuy it not vnto them, so that they will not make themselves the onely engrossers of learning, but suffer vs, poore men, to retayle some part with them, with whom we may boldly fay, that for number and quality, we have them that are every way equall, if not superiour vnto them.

27. But to leaue their Clergie, and come to the multitude of their Lay people, which are they indeede amongst

lib. 12. C. 12. Poffen. biblioth.

whom we may see the true fruit of these their doctrines. It is both strange and lamentable to behold the prodigious ignorance of most, both men and women, that live vnder Popery, and have received the marke of the Beast on their foreheads: for as the Priests teach, so the people follow, like a flocke of sheepe following their Belweather; the one commending ignorance, the other imbracing it with all their hearts. Some of their owne Writers affirme, that the Common people, for the Vincent. de fine most part, amongst them, knew not the Articles of the faith: mundi, and if they knew not the Articles of the faith, how canthey giue a reason of them? which Saint Peter requireth of euery man. As the multitude of the Ninenites knew not their right hand from their left; so this rabble know not the right hand of Religion from the left. As for the maine points of the Catechisme, how can they know them, whereas they are ignorant of the grounds thereof? For the Lords Prayer, the ten Commandements, and the Creede, they rumble vp in the Latine tongue, not understanding one word what they speake. They fay Pater nofter, and Credo in Deum, and yet they know not what Pater meaneth, nor what Crede fignifieth. Yea, for the most part, they so mistearme the words thereof, that their language is more like to the babling of Infants, or rather the prattling of Parrats, then the speech of men. Neither is this ignorance onely to be found among the basest of the people. which have no teaching and education, but even amongst the better fort of them, that are well borne and brought vp : and after so strange and strong a fashion, that by no meanes can they be withdrawne from this inveterate and continued custome of their Ancestors. Hence springeth all that grosse superstition which is vsed of them: 25, creeping to the Crosse, falling downe before Images, adoring and beautifying them with new-fashioned garments, running a Pilgrimage to this Saint and that, fetting vp Tapers before their shrines, wearing about their necks the beginning of the Gospell of Saine Iohn, as a preservative against the Divell, and the herbe Veruine, being croffed and bleffed, again blafts, the white Pater nofter, and the little Creede, with an infinite number of Bb fuch

fuch like superstitious vanities, whereof there is neyther head nor foote. Would any that are not plunged ouer head and eares in ignorance, put any affiance in such trumperie? and yet herein is all the Religion of the vulgar, who repose even the hope of their saluation in these things, and thinke it a greater sinne to neglect or omit one of these Ceremonies, then to breake any of the Commandements of God.

28. Now let any man judge whether this can be the true Religion, which nourisheth this barbarous and monstrous ignorance and superstition amongst the people: and whether that can be a good tree, which bringeth forth such bitter and sowre fruits. This is the conclusion which groweth out of the

premises, by necessary consequence.

## The XI. MOTIVE.

That Religion which was never knowne nor heard of in the Apofiles time, nor in the Primitive Church, cannot be the truth: but such is the Romish Religion in most points thereof: therefore that cannot be the truth.

The Romanists triumph in no one thing so much, as in the antiquitie of their Church and Religion: and therefore they cast evermore into our teeth, that our Religion is but vp-start, and our Church of yesterday, even since Luthers time, being never extant in the world before. But herein they play but the Sophisters: for if they speake of true antiquitie, we will in owne issue with them in this point, and doubt not but to produe that theirs is the vp-start Church, and their Religion the new Religion, in those points where in they differ from vs: and that our faith and Religion was taught and prosessed by Christ himselfe, and his Apostles, and exercised and maintained in the pure and primitive Age of the Church. For the cleere manifestation of which point, is is first to be observed, that there is a double antiquitie; one primary, another secundary: Primary is that, which was from

the beginning, though discontinued and interrupted by the corruption of times. Secundary is that, which indeede is aged and gray-headed, but yet reacheth not to the spring head. Thus our Saviour Christ controlleth the law of Divorce Mat. 19.8. thoughit was aged and of long continuance. eyen two thousand yeeres old; yet Nonsic fuit ab initio : It was not so from the beginning: where we see that Dinorce was old and full of yeeres, and yet farre from true antiquitie: for true antiquitie is that which is derived ab initio, from the beginning. In regard of this, it is truely faid of Tertullian, Ve- Tertull. rum quod primum, falsum quod posterius: That which is first, is alwaies true, and that which commeth later, is false: but in respect of the other, it is also as truely spoken of Saint Augustine, Est mos diabolicus, ve per antiquitatis traducem com- Aug. nou & vet mendetur fallacia: It is a divellish custome, that error should testa. quaft. 114 be commended by the descent of antiquitie. Secondly, it is to be obserued, that no antiquitie, be it neuer so ancient and hoare-headed, is to be reuerenced or regarded, if it bee not grounded ypon the truth of the Scriptures: and that which seemeth nouelty, if it bring Scripture for it warrant, is truely ancient, and hath true, certaine, and vnresistable authoritie: the reason is given by Aquinas, Because the Law of God pro- Aquin, 2. part. ceedeth from the will of God, and therefore may not be altered by quest. 97. art. 3. custome proceeding from the will of man: whence it is, that no custome ought to preuaile against the Law of God. To which purpose is that of Tertullian, Heresies are to bee conninced, not so much by noneltie, as by verity: what soener sanours against the truth, that shall bee heresie; yea, though never so ancient. And of Cyprian, If onely Christ is to bee heard, wee ought not to regard what any before us bath thought fit to bee done; but what Christ, who is before all, hath first done: for we must not follow the custome of man, but the truth, of God: and in another place, Custome without truth, is nothing but antiquitie of error. Vpon this ground also Clemens Alexandrinus, in an Oration to the Gentiles, (who pretended antiquitie for their errors, as the Romanists now doe, saying, that they, and their Fathers before them, were borne and bred in that Religion, and there-Bb 2 fore

Tertull.lib.de veland, virginib.

Cyprian.lib.2.Epift.3.ad Cacilium.

Idem ad Pompeia.cont.Epift. Steph. Papa. clem. Alex.orat. ad Gent.pag. 4. 1. Aug.de baptisme paruulorum. fore will not now give it over, saith, Let vs stye custome as a rocke, or the threates of Charybdis, or the fabulous Syrenes, for it cheaketh aman: it turneth from the truth, it leadeth from life, it is a snare, a hellish gulfe, an evill sanne, &c. And Saint Augustine, Truth being knowne, custome is not to be followed: for our Saviour didnot say, I am custome, but, I am truth. Now vpon these grounds were offer to ioyne issue with them: First, that they have no true and primitive antiquitie for their Religion: and secondly, though some of their opinions be of long continuance, yet being not warrantable by Scriptures, they ought not to prejudice, by a conceit of novelty, that primitive and Apostolicall truth, which by corrupt time hath beene interrupted. And this I hope to discourse so plainely in this Argument following, that no indifferent reader, that seemeth not forestalled with prejudice, shall depart vnsatissied.

Maior.

A& 20.

2. Concerning the first proposition, Itake it to bee of an undeniable truth: for, without all question, all truth was taught by the Aposties to the Primitive Church, and no part thereof was lest vnreuealed: for so Saint Paul faith in plaine tearmes to the Elders of Ephesus, I bane delinered unto you the whole counsaile of God. Now if hee delivered to them the whole counsaile of God, then no part of his counsaile, that concerned the mysterie of Christian Religion, was vndeliuered. Besides, it is as certaine that that Church, which next fucceeded the Apostles, was the most pure and absolute Church, whether for dochrine, or manners, matter, or forme, that ever was in the world: and therefore to degenerate from that, must needes be, to degenerate from the puritie and fan-Aity of Religion. And againe, it cannot bee denyed, that though some heresies were broached, even in the Apostles times, and were coetanea Apostolorum, as Tertullian noteth: and though the primitive age of the Church, after the Apofiles, was most pestered with Heretikes, yet euermore the truth prevailed, both in regard of birthright; and predominance. And therefore they that will plead antiquitie, must both prescribe from the Apofiles time, and must have a good title also to hold by; for these two things are necessarily required

Tertull prascrip.

quired to a iust prescription, as the Lawyers speake, Bonus titulus: A good title: and, Legittimum tempus: A lawfull time. A good title is that which is warranted by the divine Law: and a lawfulltime is that which is fetcht from Christ Iclus and his Apostles: both these, concurring together, are an inuincible argument of the truth: The first proposition therefore must needes be infallibly true.

3. And so I leave it, and come to the second proposition: Minor. the truth whereof shall bee manifested in two poynts: first, in respect of the outward face and fashion of their Church : and secondly, in respect of the principall doctrines, which are proper vnto them, as they are the Romish Synagogue.

3. For the first. The outward face of the Church decideth it selse into three branches: first, into the persons that exercise preeminence and authoritie in it: and secondly, into the intifdiction and authoritie exercised by those persons: and thirdly, into the outward ceremonies thereof. In all these the Church of Rome is degenerate from the Primitiue and Apo-Rolicall puritie.

4. The principall persons of the Romish Hierarchie are these. The Pope first, as the ring-leader: next, the Cardinals, his Counsellors of state: then Archbishops and Bishops, his affiftants: and laftly, the shaueling Priests his vassals: to which body may be added, as excrements, an infinite rabble of religious Orders: as Monks, Fryers, and Hermits, with such like : and of Fiyers, the Dominicanes, the Franciscanes. the Austinians, the Ambrosians, the Minorites, the Gilbertines, the Crossebearers, the Cisterensians, the Blacke, the White, the Gray, the Bare-footed, the Begging, with a numbermore: and to conclude, the lesuites, which as they are the taile of all the rest for the time, so they are the head of all the rest for villanous conspiracies, bloudy plots, divellish denices, and hellish practices. Now of all these (Bishops onely excepted) wee finde not so much as any mention, neither in the writing of the Apossles, nor in the age next succeeding after them: for though the name Pope, Papa, being a word of the Syracusan Language, and signifying as much as Pater, Bb 3 Fatber.

I.

Azor.lefuit.Infitut.moral.p.2 l.s.c.vlt. Masson præf. in l.6.de vitis Pontif.p.271.

Azor. Iesuit.Infin.moral, p.2.l. 4.c.1. Pold Virgil.de inuent. recum, l. 4.c.270.

Father, be of great antiquitie, yet, as a lesuite of their owne confesseth, with others, it was a common name to all Bithops, as appeareth both in Cyprian and Ruffinus, till Gregory the feuenth, in an affembly held at Rome, decreed, that onely the Bishops of Rome should bee called Popes. But as touching Cardinals, the matter is more grosse: for the first birth and originall of that name can be derived no higher, then eyther from Gregory the first time, or Pope Siluester, or Marcellus, or Pontianus, by their owne confession: and therefore some of them ingenuously acknowledge, that the Order of Cardina's is not exture dinino, by Gods ordinance, though others, no leffe foolishly, then impudently, would fixe their foundation vpon these words of the Scripture, Dominisunt Cardines terre, The hinges or the pillars of the earth are the Lords. Therefore Cardinals are of God: which is as good a confequent, as his that would prooue, that Heretikes ought to be put to death by Scripture, because Saint Paul said, Hareticure hominem deuita, &c. as hath beene shewed before. As for the name of Bishops, wee deny not but it is found in Scripture, and so Archbishop may also be warranted by the same authositie, as fignifying nothing else but a chiefe Bishop: but how farre the Romish Archbishops and Bishops are degenerate from their office, described by the Scripture, all the world can witnesse: for the Scripture Bishops were diligent Preachers, these are idle Prelates: they were persecuted, these are perfecutors: they were humble persons, these are proud Princes: they were holy men, seeking onely the advancement of the Kingdome of Christ, these are profane worldlings, seeking their owne gaine, and pompe, and carnall honours: all this is confessed of them, and lamented by Espensaus, one of the fame ranke, who thus writeth, It was no leffe a wonder inolde times (faith he) to be called a Bishop, and not to preach, then he is now as rare as a monster, who is seen to performe that dutie: and againe, Iknow (faith he) some learned Bishops, who standing upon their Gentilitie, for sooth, and greatnesse, holdit a matter of servitude and basenesse, to be exercised in preaching, because their predecessors were not accustomed thereunto.

Espensin 1.Tim. digres 1.2.0.2.

1. Pet.2.5.

5. As touching Priests in the new Testament phrase, all Christians are called Priests: and they, whose office it is to dispose the mysteries of the Gospell, Ministers, and Elders, Reu, 6.8.15.10 and Pastors: but now, none may have that name, but their anounted Shauelings, who (as they say) create their Creator, by five conjuring words, and offer him vp vpon the altar, as a Sacrifice propitiatorie for the quicke and the dead. For albeit the word Priest is derived from presbyter, which signifieth an Elder, and in that sense might well be giuen to the Miniflers of the new Testament, yet, because it is in common yse of speech, taken for one appointed to sacrifice, which in Latine is Sacerdos, and in Greeke lepsus: And because the Ministers of the Gospell are not once named by these termes in the new Testament, therefore they that in this signification terme the Ministers of the Gospell by the name of Prieste, degenerate from the true meaning of the Scripture: but what should Ispeake of the name, seeing the office of these Shauelings is so contrarie to that function which was practifed by the Apostles and Disciples of Iesus Christ? for the Apostles are neuer said to sacrifice Christ on the Altar, as these Shaue. lings are pretended to doe. Their office was to minister Aci-TUP 221, but not to factifice; they received of the Lord, and gaue unto the people : but these create a Sacrifice of themselues, and then offer it vp to the Lord. Here then is a plaine declining of the Romish Priests from the true Ministers of the Primitive Church, both in name and office.

6. Laftly, concerning Monkes, Fryers and Hermites, they are names neuer heard of in the Apostles time, nor in the purerage of the Church. The first Hermite was one Anthomy, who lived three hundred yeeres after Christ, who taught others that state of life, and learned it of none, as confesseth Bellarmine: Monkes had no being in the best times of the lib.2.cap.5 Church, sayth Agrippa; though Bellarmine is not ashamed Agripede vanit. to say, that the Apostles were the first Monks in Christianity; scient. c. 62. Id. 1who notwithstanding lived not alone in cels, but went about bidem. the world preaching the Gospell, & some of them had wives also, both which are contrarie to the Monkish profession, but

Fryers are yet of a far later impressió. The orders of Dominick & Francis sprung vp vnder Innocent the third, in the time of the Laterane Councill, about the yeere 1220. For when Pope Innocent would not be perswaded to confirme to Dominick his order of preaching Fryers, hee dreamed that the Church of Laterane was ready to fall, and that Dominick came in , and with his shoulders under-propped it. Vpon which dreame he presently sent for Dominick, and granted his petition : and fure not vaine was that dreame: for had not Fryers beene the vpholders and chiefe Pillars of the Popes Church, it had fallen long ere this. The croutched Fryers, otherwise called the crosse-bearers, sprang vp about the same time for Pope Innocent, railing an army against the Albingenses, whom the Pope accounted for Heretikes, cauted the fouldiers to be figned with a croffe on their breft, whereupon they were called erosse-bearers, or croutched Fiyers. All the other orders of Fryers, which amount, as some reckon them, o an hundreth at leaft, are most of them of later institution. And most true is the affertion of Wielif, that Fryers were never knowne in the world, before the yeare 1 200.

7. The Iesuites tooke their beginning about threescore and sisteneyeeres since: For in the yeare 1540, their order was sirst consistened by Pope Paul the third, to Ignatius Loyola the lame souldier, the chiefe Father and Patriarch of that viperous brood, at the request and intercession of Cardinall Contarenus: so that they are not yet beyond the bounds of a mans age, and neuerthelesse they are growne to such maturity of crast and deceit, that all other orders are but nouices to them: they are the onely sellowes of the world for subtill practices, and daring enterprises, and now the chiefest props of the Papall sea: For Dominick was weary of bearing that burden, and for the ease of himselfe, suffered Loyola to put vnder his shoulder; and so now all the burden lyeth vpon himself him hold vp stiffely therefore, or els all will goe to

wracke.

134

8. But now to the purpose. Where were all these orders in the Apostles times, and in the Primitiue age of the Church?

Then

3//

Then men reloyced to be called by the Name of Christ; now these sellowes glory to be called by the name of Dominick, or Francis; and as if Christians was too base a name for them, they will bee called lesuites, of Iesus they say the Sonne of God: but more truely of Bar-less the Sorcerer, that with. Ads 13.6. flood the preaching of Paul, & was a peruetter of the fraight wayes of the Lord: or of a French weapon called Gefus, wherewith these same bloudy Traitours vse to murther kings and Princes, if they withstand their purposes: whereuponis that elegant Epigram:

- A Gesis sunt indita nomina vobis, Qua quia sacrilegi Reges torquetis in omnes Inde sacrum nomen, sacrum sumpsistis & omen.

9. But to shut vp in one word all the villany of these monstrous late-borne orders of Fryers, let Aretine an Italian Poet describe them. Frate (fayth he) in Italian is a Fryer: euery letter of which word doth represent the nature of that generation: for Furfanto, a thiefe: Ribaldo, a filthy Ribald: Afino, an affe: Traditore, a Traitour, & Eretico, an Heretike: All together make the true and perfect definition of a Fryer: Or as Lincolniensis defineth him, A dead carcase risen out of his grave, wrapped in a winding sheet, and carryed among men by the Deuill. But my purpose is not to bring vpon the stage their filthy and abominable lives; hee that will fee that, let him read Clemangis, in his booke of the state of the Church, which hee wrote about two hundreth yeeres since: And Cornelius Agrippa, of Agrip. de vanit. the vanity of Sciences: And Pelidere Virgill, and Aluarus Pelagine, and Palingenius, with Ariefto an Italian Poet, &c. and he shall finde matter not onely of wonder and admiration, but also of griefe and lamentation, that the Church of God should bee so long pestered with such fithy dregges; but it is sufficient for this place to have showne, that neither their name nor orders were once heard of in the Primitiue Church.

10. Thus much touching their persons. Now for the iu- flan, 65 risdiction exercised by these persons, how not onely transcendenr, but repugnant it hath beene, and is at this day, to that of

Clemanz. de fla: tu.ecclef.pag.47. 53.56. Polid. Virgil. de Inucnt.1.7.c.5 Aluar. Pelag. de planetil. 2, art. z C 72.83 Paling.Leo.Vira go Sagit. Arioft, Orland. furicso. Cant. 14

fohan, à Capifir. de Papa, & ecclef.authorit. Extrauag. in Ioh.22. Rod. Cupers de ecclef.pag. 61. nu.52. Chriftop. Marel. in Concil. Later. Clement.in Proæm.in gloss.

Auentin.lib.7.

I.Pct. 5.3.

Acts.1.15. Acts.2.29. 2.Pet.1.10. Lorinus com. Juper Acta. pag. 62.

Luk.22.26.

the Apostles and Primitive Church, their both Lordly titles, and tyrannous practice doth clearely demonstrate. For their titles, which of the Apostles either assumed to himselfe (which they might have justly done, if it had beene their due ) or received from others these titles: Vniversall Bishop, Head of the Church: High Priest of the world: Prince of Priests, and Christs Vicar upon earth, &c? But the Pope of Rome doth challenge to himfelfe all these, yea, more then these, that he is, as it were a god vpon earth, having fulnesse of power, and yet more, aque ac Christus Deus, A God as well as Christ, a beeing of the second intention, compounded of God and man, and yet more. Deus vindilla, a God of revenge, and another god upon earth; and laftly, Stupor mundi, the wonderment of the world, neither God nor man, hut a neuter betwixt both. Could fuch intolerable pride euer enter into the heart of a man? or could the tongue of any wight living dare to belch out such horrible blasphemies? Surely none but hee that is that man of sinne, who sitteth in the Temple of God, as God, and to whom is given a mouth to blaspheme the God of Heauen, and in whose fore-head is written this name of blasphemy, Deus sum, errare non possum: I am God, I cannot erre. But to the point; Did euer Peter, whose successour the Pope claimeth to bee, challenge to himselfe any such titles? or did euer any of the other Apostles, or any Bishop in the Primitive Church, for the space of three hundreth yeeres? Peter was so farre from this pride, that hee giueth charge to all Elders of the Church, that they should not behaue themselves as Lords over Gods heritage. And in that very place hee equalleth himselfe to the rest, and the rest to himselfe, calling himselfe a fellow Elder: and in another place hee calleth all the Disciples his brethren; yea, all the Ilraelites his brethren, and all Christians his brethren: behold his humility. But the Pope acknowledgeth no brethren, but the Cardinals, Patriarkes, and Archbishops: Emperours and Kings are his children, and not his brethren; behold his pride: neither did the rest of the Apostles challenge to themselves any suchtitles of dignity. For they had learned of Christ their Lord and matter, not to Lord it over others, but to humble themthemselves, that they might bee exalted. And let them name but one Bishop of the Primitive Church, that tooke vpon him any of these glorious titles, yea, of the Bishops of Rome themselues.

11. Wee deny not, but that some of the ancients have yeelded vnto the Bishops of Rome great and honorable titles, but first this was in respect of their vertue, learning and integrity, and not in respect of any preeminence of iurisdiction. Secondly, wee find none of these titles which I speake of, attributed vnto them, but onely the Apostles successours, and Apostolicall Bishops, not heads of the Church, vniuerfall Bishops, high Priests of the world, &c. which the later Popes haue vsurped. And thirdly, if at any time they were, yet the same titles of honour which were ascribed vnto them , wee Bafflepifl. 55 finde g'uento other Bishops, aswell as to the Bishop of Rome, Sidon. Apoll, in as to Saint Ambrose by Saint Basill; and to one Lupus a B. shop lib. 6. epift. in France, by Sidonius Apolinaris: And to Fontellus another Bishop in France, by the same man: To Basill by Nazianzene: To Athanasus, who is saluted by the name of high Bishop and chiefe Priest: And to Cyprian who was honoured with this stile, The Bishop of the whole world. Neither can it bee denyed, but these titles grew by little and little to be attributed to the Bishops of Rome, after the first three hundreth yeers of the Church, though they came not to perfection till the perfeet renelatio of Antichrift, in the Apostolical sea: but this can neuer bee proued, that either in the Apostles times, or in two hundreth yeeres next succeeding after, euer any Bishop arrogated to himselfe, or any other ascribed vnto him any of these arrogant titles.

12. A sufficient argument whereof is this, that Bellarmine propounding this as his last reason to proue the principality of the Pope, draweth it from the great and famous titles which are attributed vnto him, and spending a whole Chapter in that purpose, alledgeth not one testimony older then Damasus the Bishop of Rome, who was elected to that sea in the yeere 369. Surely if he could have found out more ancient proofes, he would have after his manner fluffed the Chapter

Bell. de Rom. Pontif. 1.2, 6,37.

wish

Gregor.epi.l.4 6.32. & l.6.c. 24. & l.4.c.34

Platina. Naucler, vol, 2 gen, 20 Cuspinian.

Paulus Diaconus de gestis Longobard 46.37

Roffens. in confult. Assert. Luther. verit. 8

Balt. Castill.lib.

with them: but in that hee produceth none, it is euident that hee knew none, & indeed that there were none to be known. Nay, Gregory the great, one of their owne Pepes, that lived fixe bundreth yeeres after Christ, not onely execrated the name of vniverfall Bishop, which John the Bishop of Constantinople vsurped, calling it a name of blasphemy, and a proud and superstitious title, and him that vsurped it, a fore-runner of Antichrist; but also plainely anougheth, that none of his predecessors vsed that prophane denomination: by which the proud times of Antichrist were marked out. Also Platina, Nauclerus, Cuspinian, with many other Historiographers, affirme, that Bonsface the third, and Gregory the second, obtained of the Emperour Phocas, by great labour, the name of Head of the Church: A strange and tyrannical title, neuer publikely vsurped, before that time, in the Church of God; but nownew created by Phocas, who having killed his Lord Mauritius, and his children, exercised a tyranny in the kingdome of the world, and begate this tyrannicall appellation in the kingdome of the Church. But of all other records of antiquity, most pregnant is the Canon of the Africane Council, to condemne the nouclty of these ambitious nominations: of which thus writeth one Roffensis. These are the words of the Councill: Let not any, no not the Romane, be called an vniner-(all Bishop. For that age (sayth he) which was neere to the Apostolicall times, studied modesty and humility; such a glorious title pleased not that Councill.

wee may conclude with our Saniour Christ, that It was not so from the beginning: They are new and vostart, in respect of true antiquity, ensignes of that pride and arrogancy, which reigned in the Prelates of the Church, in those latter times, and badges of Antichrists kingdome, whereat Peter and Paul would blush for shame, if they were aliue, as it was merrily spoken by the Duke of Vrbanes Painter, when he had drawne their Pictures of a ruddy and high colour.

14. But leating their titles, let vs take a short survey of their practice: And first let it bee showne, that Peter, or Paul,

or any the rest of the Apostles, or any Bishop of Rome, or of any other place, did euer take vpon them to depose Kings, and dispose of their kingdomes, and to translate them from one to another, and to absolue subjects from their oath of alleageance, and children from the bond of obedience to their parents, to dispense with the Law of God, to have sole power of decision of controuersies, to challenge the right of appeales from all countreyes of Christendome : And lastly, to exercise not onely spirituall, but also temporall coactine iurisdiction. But all this power hath beene in former times, and is at this day practifed by the Bishop of Rome, and that with that rigour and vehemency, that it is a wonder that they doe not blush, so much to degenerat from those, whose successors they claime to be: But no maruaile; for els he should not shew himselse to bee that Antichrist, except hee did advance himselse aboue all that is called God on earth: For this is a special marke of that man of finne.

15. But let History, the light of time, make cleare this point. Pope Hadrian the fourth reprooued Frederick Barbarosse the Emperour, of insolency and arrogancy, in an Episse written voto him, for fetting his owne name before his, and Slahor, Lis, 81, checked him also very bitterly, for holding his stirrup on the wrong side; and when hee came vnto him in the Church of Saint Marke in Venice, to bee absolued from his excommunication, commanded him to prostrate himselfe upon the ground, and then fet his foote on his necke, with these words, Super aspidem, &c. Thoushalt walke upon the Aspe and Cocatrice, and shalt tread upon the Lyon and Dragen. Did Peter euer doe the like? Gregory the seuenth caused Henry the sourth, comming in all humility to submit himselfe vnto him, with his wife and childe, to dance attendance at his gate, bare-footed, and bare-headed, for the space of three dayes, ere hee would grant them any accesse vnto him. Did Peter euer doe the like? Celestine the third, being about to crown Henry the fixt Emperour, set the imperial Diademe on his head (as some fay) with his foote, and kicked it off with his foote againe. Did Peter euer doe the like? Innocent the second caused his

Naucler, 2.generat. 29. Helmold, chres. Naucler.vol.2. generat.25.

Auent.l.s. Vesper.an.1080 Naucler.gener. 37.

Naucler. gener. 39.

Idem gener-40. Cuspinian.vita Henrici & Philippi.

owne Picture with the Emperours, to bee set vp in the Palace of Laterane, himselfe sitting in his Pontificall Throne, and the Emperour kneeling before him, and holding up his hands unto him, as vnto God. Did Peter euer doe the like? Gregory the third deposed Leo, surnamed Iconomachus, for defacing Images set vp in Churches to bee worshipped. Pope Stephen deposed Childerick King of France, and set vp Pipin in his roome, for no haynous offence by him committed, but onely because hee was in his judgement unprofitable for the kingdome. Gregory the seuenth, called Hildebrand, would have deposed Henry the fourth, and have advanced Rodolph Duke of Sueuia into his throne, but that Gods instice preuented his purpose, by bringing Rodolph to an untimely end, and the Pope himselse to a miserable and searefull destruction : yet afterwards the same Henry was surprised by his owne sonne Henry the fift, at the inspiration of the succeeding Popes, and depriued, and imprisoned, and brought to his graue. Hadrian the fourth discharged the subjects of William King of Sicilia, of their oath and alleageance, because hee would not yeeld Apulia to the Pope, for inlarging of Saint Peters patrimony. Alexander the fift excommunicated the Emperour Frederick, as also he had done his predecessour Hadrian, and thundred out great curses vpon him, and sent letters abroad to all Princes and people, to raise tumults against him, for punishing some dissolute persons of the Clergy, and claiming by warre, some rebellious Cities in Italy, as they pretended. Innocent the third excommunicated Philip, and raised vp Otho against him, feeking to dispossesse him of his kingdome : and after, when Otho was inuefled with the Empyre, hee fet vp Frederick the sonne of Henry the sixt against him, and deposed Otho. Honorius the third persecuted this Frederick, deprived him, and stirred up his subjects against him, & absolued them from their faith, oath and alleageance: And the like also did Gregory the ninth, and Celestine the fourth, and Innocent the fourth, against the same man. After the same manner was sersued King John of England, by the fore-named Innocent the Yourth, because hee banished the Monks that had chosen Stephen

phen Langton to bee Archbishop of Canterbury, contrary to his minde.

16. What should I reckon Raymundus Earle of Tholouse? Or Conrade the son of Frederick the second? Or Mamphred the bastard sonne of Frederick? Or Peter King of Arragon? Or Philip the faire, King of France? Or Henry the seventh. who being persecuted by Clement the fift, was at last poyso- cuspinian, ned in the Eucharist by a Iacobine Fryer, suborned to worke Nauclerus. that feate? Or Lewes of Banary, Charles the fourth, or Wencestam, or George King of Bohemia, or lobn King of Nanarre, all which were grieuously perfecuted, if not veterly deposed by fundry Popes? And lastly our late Queene of famous memory, whose life was not once or twife, but often assaulted by the Popes instruments, and her kingdome, so farre as lay in the Popes power, taken from her, and translated to the Spanish faction? Did euer Peter doe the like?

17. But to descend from Kings to Bishops: the Pope doth challenge to himselfe the fulnesse of power ouer all other Bishops, & that the fountaine of jurisdiction, & the authority of the keyes is resident onely in his person, and that all other Bishops are subdelegate under him, and receive their power from him, and that they ought to receive their investitures from himalone. Did Peter euer doe the like? No: Hee efteemed all the rest of the Apostles his equals: and so our Sauiour Christinioyned an equality and parity to be among the Apofiles, albeit they had a superiotity over the seventy disciples: and all Bishops are the vindoubted successors of the Apostles, witnesse Irenaus, Cyprian and Hierome : and therefore muft needs have equall power of iurisdiction, as those from whom they received it were equall: this Saint lerome auoucheth in direct termes, when hee fayth, Vbicunque fuerit Episcopus, &c. Wherefoeuer he be Bishop, whether at Rome, or at Eugubium, &c. hee is of the same merit, and of the same Priestbood. And Saint Cyprian: Episcopatus unus est, cuius à singulis pars in solidum tenetur. The Bishopricke is one, where of every Bishop hath a found and entyre part.

Mat. 18.1. Mark.9.35 .-Luk.9.46 .. Irenaus 1.5. Cyp.epift. 75.60 69 .. Hierom.epift.ad! Euagrium. Hierom.ad Eueg. 1011 2. Cypr. de unisa. escles.

18. Againe, the Pope claimeth a Soueraignetie ouer a

Councill

R. Cupers de Eccles. p-31.nu.28 Iohan, de turrecrem. sum. lib.3. cap.64.

Idem. 11.2.04,26

Councell, and that not onely to call it at his pleasure, and to dissolue it againe when hee will; but also to allow and approue what he lusteth, and to disanull whatsocuer is distastefull ynto his humorous palate: in which respect it is set downe as a ruled case amongst them; that, Although in a generall Councell, the universall Church is represented, insomuch that nothing is greater then a Councell, notwithstanding, the Pope surpasseth the same in all manner authoritie; and therefore if the whole world fould give sentence against the Pope, yet the Popes sentence is to be stood unto, and all other rejected: And the reason is giuen, because hee is of greater persection then the whole bodie of the Church beside. Did euer Peter doethe like? In that Councell of the Apostles and Disciples in the eleventh of the Alls, when as divers Christians of the Circumcifion contended against him for preaching, and baptizing Cornelius and his houshold at Cesarea, which were of the Gentiles, he did not arrogate this supereminencie to himselfe, that he was their chiefe, and head, and therefore ought not to be called to an account by them: but that they ought to subject themselves to his power, as one that could not erre: no, he doth no such matter, but meekly rendreth a reckoning of his carriage in this bufineffe, and submitterh himselfe to their censure. So, Alts 15. when the Apostles, and Elders of the Church came together in a Councell to decide that great Controuersie then mooued in the Church about Circumcilion, Peter behaueth not himselse as a ludge, nor taketh vpon him any authoritie aboue the reft : but as one of the Apossles giveth his opinion, and the determination of the question is set downe not under his name onely, but in the name of the Apossles, Elders, and brethren, that were present; yea, James was president of that Councell, and not Peter, if we will beleeue Gerson, and Lyran of their owne, and Chryloftome of the ancients.

Gerson.tom.4 in prop. vtilit.ad exter. Schism. Lyr. in Acts 15. Chrysoft. in Acts bom. 23.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. 24 ...

19. Againe, the Pope taketh vpon him to exempt Clarks, though offending by Murder, Treason, Thest, Adulterie, or such like, from all temporall Courts of Princes, and punishment of the Laytie, except the Church proceed against

them

them first, and make them no Clarkes. Thus, Pope Nicholas the first wrote to Michael the Emperour. Christian Emperors baue no right at all, to make any inquisition for Monkes, vnlesse it be in fanour to pittie them. Thus Thomas Becket, Archbi-Thop of Canterburie, quarrelled with Henrie the Second, for this cause principally, as both Houeden, and Fabain report, for that the King went about to punish such of the Clergie, Fab.par.7.6.237. as were malefactors, by the temporall Lawes of the Land: which the Archbishop veterly denyed to be lawfull. For this he said, that if a Clarke being within holy Orders, were accufed of any crime, hee must bee judged by Ecclesiasticall Iudges; and if he were conuict, he should lose his Orders, and To being excluded from Ecclesiastical office and benefice, if after this he incurred the like fault, then might he be judged at the pleasure of the King and his Officers. This was that proud Archbishops challenge against his Soueraigne Henry the Second, for defence whereof, as also for other trayterous demeanors, being tumultuoufly killed, hee was canonized a Saint at 'Rome.

Epist. Nich ad Michael. Imper.

Hourden.Hen.z.

20. And that you may fee that this practice of theirs is agreeable to their Doctrine, Bellarmine himselse concludeth, Bellar, de Chri-That Kings are not Superiours unto Clarkes, and therefore that ciscap.28. they are not bound either by Gods, or mans Law to obey them; saue onely, in respect of Lawes directine, and that the Imperiall Law ought in matters criminall to give place to the Canon Law: which is as much as to fay, that not the King, but the Pope is the Lord of the Clergie. Did Peter euer doe the like? No, he both in his owne person submitted himselfe to the temporall power, when he paid Tribute at his Masters Commandement, and when he under-went Aripes and imprisonment for the Gospels sake, without making any such challenge of exemption: and also when he gaue in charge to all others, e- Ad.4.3. uen his fellow Elders, to submit themselves to Kings and Su- Ad.5.40. periours for the Lords sake. Sure it is, that hee which payd a 1. Pet. 2.13. Tribute of monie, much more ought to pay a Tribute of obedience: and he which commanded others to obey, would not

should be returned vpon him: Phiscian, heale thy selfe: and lest his practice should looke one way, and his doctrine another, which was vnst for any, much more for an Aposle.

21. Laftly, did eucr Peter challenge to himselfe any such power and preeminence about the Scriptures, as to dispense with the Law of GOD at his pleasure, and to take away and abrogate what hee list in the same? But the Pope taketh vpon him this also: for these be their owne posicions: That the Pope may dispense with the Law of God, and against the Apostle, and against the new Testament upon a great cause, and that he may take away the Law of God in part, but not in whole. Yea, that hee can ex iniustitia facere institiam: turne sinne into righteousnesse: and de facto. Some of them have dispenst with divers Commandements of the Law, with Incest, with Murther, with Thest, with Treason, Adulteries and fuch like; as hath beene before sufficiently declared, and may further be prooued, if it were not a thing both knowne and confessed. To shut vp the poynt: certaine it is, that Peter neuer exercised any such Iurisdiction, cyther in part or whole, as here is claimed by the Popes: and if hee had it, and did not shew it eyther by doctrine or practice, he was not to carefull of the Church of God as hee should bee, to hide from them so necessarie atruth: but if he had it not, then doe the Popes both uniustly deriue it from his chaire, and wrongfully vsurpe that which by no right belongeth vnto them . Now in that which I say Peter neuer did the like, let Paul and I ames and Iohn and all the rest of the Apostles, yea, the whole Primitiue Church be included within the same proposition, and it is as fully true as in that one particular: and therefore it must necessarily follow, that the Romish Iurisdiction hath no footing nor founding in the whole Primitiue Church, but is like a Monster borne out of time, deformed and mifshapen in every part thereof.

22. In the third place, if we consider the outward ceremonies now vsed in the Church of Rome, we shall yet more cleerely see their declining from the Primitive antiquitie; for a taste whereof, I instance first in their Latine Service, which Bellarusine himselfe consessed, was not in vse in the Apostles

Michael. Medina. Christian. parwnefil 7.c.17 Grat.par.1.p.76 Abbas Panorm. extr.de diuortiys cap fin.

Felin de constitut cap stat. Canon & de maiorit & obedientia cap, sia.

3.

Bell.l.de verbo Dei,cap.16.

times: and Lyranus goeth a flep further, and fayth, that in the Lyran. Com. in 1. Primitive Church and long after, all things in the Church were performed in the vulgar tongue: the same is acknowledged by Aquinas, and Caietan writing vpon the same place, and Cassander as learned and judicious a Papist as their side af- caf Leiturg. 18 fordeth; yea, Platina himselse pointeth out the very time & penult. when, and person by whom this was first commanded to wit, by Vittalians the first, about the yeere fixe hundred, threescore & ten. What need we more to enince the noueltie of this Ceremonie, seeing wee hauc so many of their owne confessions? and no maruell, if they confesseit, seeing else they should have contradicted most of the ancient Fathers. whose testimonies are so cleere in this point, that they admit no exception, as the places quoted doe manifestly declare.

23. Secondly, I instance in their praying vpon beades: which came in, as Polidore Virgilaffirmeth, in the yeere of our Lord, 1040. being the device of one Petrus a French Eremite; but the Rosarie was deuised by Fryer Dominick long after: that is, fiftie Ane Maries & five Pater nofters, for which purpose he framed fine & fiftie stones, which were so hanged together on a ftring, that betwirt euery tenne sinali stones, Aug.expos.2.in one big one was interposed; &this he called a Patriloquie. Out Pfal, 18. of which, as yet a later invention sprung, the Marie Pfalter; for three Rofaries, that is, an hundred and fiftie Aue Maries, and I s. Pater nofters make a P falter: because, for sooth, Danids Psalmes were so many in number: these, areconfessed nouelties, and therefore I needenot to infift any longer in them.

24. Thirdly, I vrge their festivall dayes, which as they are full of superstition, so are they of nouell and late institution :as for example, the feast of the conception of the Virgin Marie, not that whereby shec conceived Christ, but whereby she was conceived by her Mother, and also the feast of her affumption, and of her visitation, and of herpresentation: the first whereof their Iesuite Suarez con- Suarez in The. feffeth not to have beene clearely knownein the world five par. 3 9.27. art. hundreth yeeres firee, nor received by generall confent, till 1.0 2. almost three hundreth yeeres after : so that by his confession

Origen.comera Celsum.lib.8. Aug. Epift. 121. ad Probum. c.g. Amb.com.in 1 Cor.14. Chryfoft. in eundem locum. Basilin P Saliz 3. Polydor. Virgil. 1,5.Inuent.c.9 Speculum Rosar. Alanus.

Sixtus Senensis.
Bibl, l.2. tit.
Mariæ transit.
Baron.annot.48.
34.9.

it is not much about two hundreth yeeres old: and indeed it was publikely iniouned by Sistas quartus, Anno 1480. The second, their Sixtus Senensis confesseth, that it was not found among the Latine Fathers: and Baronius, that it is not confirmed either by Canonicall Scriptures, or by the writings of ancient Fathers: and in a constitution of the Council of Mentz, where it is named, this addition is withall found in the bookes of Charolus Magnus. (Touching the assumption of Mary, wee lease it to bee questioned.) Now this Councill was in the yeere 800, whereby it is evident, that all that time it was no publike ordination of the Church. The third was in-Ritured by Urbanus Sextus, which though Antoninus afficmeth, was never received nor kept, yet it was the invention of a Pope, and that of no great antiquity. And indeed why should it not bee observed, if the Pope cannot erre? or if it be not fit to bee observed, how is it true, that the Pope erreth not in defining matters of Religion? The fourth was ordained by Paulus the second anno 1466, as they themselves will not deny.

other festivall dayes, of the same nature and sampe: as the feast of Corpus Christi, of the invention of the Crosse, of the dedication of Churches, of All soules, and a number such likes all which are confessed nouelties; for in the Apostles times and Primitive Church, during the space of foure hundred yeeres, none of these were once heard of. The seast of the Croffe was Gregory the fourths invention, anno 828, and Corpus Christi day was first ordained by Pope Vrbane the fourth, about the yeare 1264. as confesseth Bellarmine himselfe, who of his Apostolicall power gaue spirituall wages and special pardon to all that should personally observe the houres of this holy solemnity, as at Mattens an hundred dayes pardon, at Masse asmuch, and so at first and second Euen-song, at the houres of prime, of tierce, of fixth, of noone, of complete, fourty dayes apiece: and thus in like manner for the whole weeke following.

25. Besides these of the Virgin Mary, they have many

Polid. Virg. 1.6. Inuent, c.8.

Bell. de Euchar.

Legendaurca bistor.Sacram,

26. The annuall feasts of dedication of Churches grew, from

laide:

from a linister imitation of Constantine the great, who because Euseb. de vita hee kepta solemne day at the dedication of a certain Church, constant,1.14

389

Princes actions are the peoples directions) to solemnize euery yeere a noly day upon the day of the dedication of their Church. And all Soules was the deuice of one Saint Odyll. who as they write, in Cicilia, in the Ile of Vulcane, heard the voyces & howlings of Deuils, which complained with great griefe, that the foules of them that were dead, were taken a- Legenda aures, way out of their hands by almes and prayers : whereupon this seaft was ordained, wherein prayer should be made for al Soules. And as for this, so for the other, they deuised strange miracles to win credit voto them : which plainely argueth their nouelty, in that they stood in need of miracles to confirme them: as for example, touching the invention of the holy Croffe, they fable that it was first found in Paradife by Seth the son of Adam, to whom Michael the Angell gaue a branch of the forbidden tree, which hee planted vpon the grave of his Father Adam, which tree beeing after found by Salemen in mount Libanus, was translated vnto his house, and there beeing worshipped by the Queene of Saba, and foretold to bee the tree whereon the Saujour of the world should bee hanged, and by which Ierusalem should bee defroyed, was therefore taken downe, and buried deepe in the ground by Salomon; in which place afterward the Iewes diging a pit for a poole to water their cattell, found this tree, from which such vertue arose to that poole, that the Angels descended to mooue the water, so that the first that bathed himselse therein after the motion, was healed of his disease what soeuer it was as wee read Iohn 5. Now upon this tree was Christ crucified, which being afterward buried againe in

the earth, was found out by Queene Helene the mother of Constantine, through the discouery of one Iudas a Icw, who was converted to the Christian faith, by the sweet sauour that arose from the Crosse, and the quaking of the earth: and then that Crosse was discerned from the two other Crosses of the theeues, by restoring life to a dead corps, whereupon it was

which hee had built, therefore it was received as a Law, ( for

laide: and the Deuill cryed in the aire, that this Indas had betrayed him as the other had done his Master Christ. By these strange miracles they dignify that holy seast, and indeed shew it to bee nothing els but a meere fable: and forsooth, all this they setch out of the Gospell of Nichodemus.

27. So, for the dedication of Churches, they tell vs this miracle, that when a Church of the Arrians was hallowed by Christian men, and the reliks of Saint Fabian, Saint Sebastian, & Saint Agathe brought into it, the people being affembled, heard suddenly the fearefull gronings & gruntings of an hog running vp and downe, inuifibly, and feeking a paffage out of the Church, and for three nights to gether, rumbling in the roofe with an hideous noise; which ( lay they ) was nothing but the banishing of the Denill out of that Church, by the hallowing and dedicating of it. Who would not then observe devoutly this feast, seeing the benefit is so great, that commeth by the thing it felfe, whereof it is a memorial! ? But let vs leave these fables to their golden, or rather leaden Legend of lyes, as their owne Canus termethir, and shut vp the point, that both these heere named, and a number such like festivall dayes, more precisely honoured and observed in the Romish Church, and with greater denotion then Gods holy Sabbath it felfe, are new inventions, as sprung vp from superflition, fo ordained to maintain the same, and have no ground either of true antiquity to countenance them, or holy Scripture to vphold them, but Iewish fables, Apocrypha writings, old wives tales and forged miracles.

28. Fourthly, I require satisfaction for their ceremonies vsed in both the Sacraments, as sits sin the Eucharist: their pompous circumgestation of it to bee seene, viewed and adorted, which Cassander acknowledgeth to have beene (Prater veterem morem & mentem hand long o tempore industane.) Beside the custome and meaning of aniquity, and brought in of late time. And Bellarmine also, to have beene first ordained by Urbanus the south; their mixture of water with the wine, and separation of seauen from the bread, came both in from Pope Alexander the scuenth, as witnessed both Polidore Virgis?

caffand-confult.
art.22.
Rell de Euchar.
l.4c.vlt.
Polyd, Virg. de
Inuent. l.5.6.9.
Durant. de Rit.
t.26.27.

and Durantius. Yea, and Bonauenture doth confesse, that Bona, in 4. fent. this practice of mixing of water cannot be e read of in all the Scriptures, nor found in the first institution of the Sacrament. Their not breaking the bread out of a loafe, but giving it in small cakes, Salmeron the Icsuite acknowledgeth to be contrary to the ancient practice of the Church. Their dipping the confecrated hoste in the cup, Suarez another Icsuite yeel. deth not to have beene vsed by our Saujour Christ, and therefore must needs bee an Innovation. Their putting the Sacrament not into the hands, but into the mouths of the communicants, the former Salmeron doth freely confesse to bee an schion contrary to the first institution. Lastly, their various and ridiculous gestures, murmuring, dopping, staring, crosfing, &c. with the strange garments vsed by the Priests, in the time of their administration. Six, of Priests in signe of persection, because in sixe dayes God created Heauen and earth : nine for Bishops, in token that they are spirituall, like the nine orders of Angels: and fifteene for both, in token of the fiscene degrees of Vertues: No man can bee so simple, but must needs see that they were neuer vsed by Christ himselfe, or his Apostles, and therefore must of necessity be grosse and palpable Innouations.

29. From the Euchariff, let vs looke backe to the ceremonies of Baptisme: and first, to their baptizing of Bels, and of Gallies and Ships: secondly, exorcisme and exufflation: thirdly, anointing with cyle, and croffing; and fourthly, falting and spittling; & lastly, threefold Immersion, or dipping the Infant: all which are palpable nouelties so confessed by the Romanists themselves: neither can they ever shew that these ceremonies were either commanded by Christ, or practised by John Baptift, or the Apostles: and though some of them, as the crosse and anointing are of great antiquity, and were then and may bee still lawfully vsed as things indifferent : yet in their Church, where such an opinion of necessity is laid vpon them, that Baptisme is not effectuall without them, they are meere Innouations, no wayes warranted by any anti-

quity.

dift.z.part.z. dub.5.

Salmer fel. in 1: Cor. 10. difp. 17. Suarez.tom.z. disp.73. sett.3 Salibid difp. I.

Alphonf. de cafe. berefil,3

Azor.Inflit.moral.part.i.l.7 e.i.2 Sozom.histor.l.7 g.i.9

30. Lastly, I propound as their feasting, so their fasting dayes, together with the manner of fasting vsed amongst them: as first, the Lent tast of fourty dayes, which their Iesuite Azirius confesseth not to bee of divine ordinance; and the variablenesse of the vse thereof doth prooue no lesse: some Churches continuing the fame full fixe weeks, as the Illyrians, Lybians, Egypt and Palestina: some seuen weekes, as they of Constantinople, with the nations adioyning : some but three weekes, and those dispersed within the six or seven, as occasion served: some againe three weekes, immediatly going before Easter: and lastly some two onely, as the followers of Mortanus; all this is recorded by Sozomene in his History: by which it is euidently euinced, that this fast was no Apostolicall inflitution, nor yet any childe of true antiquity; for if it had, there could not have been any such variety in the observation thereof.

Polid. Virg. In. nent. l.6. c.3 Platina in vita Galixti.fol.27

Aug.epift, 86

Primas, in Rom. 14 Socrat.l. 5. c.22

31. Secondly, their fast of 4. times, comonly called Ember weekes, was first deuised by Pope Calixius, as would witnes Polidore Virgill, if he were not gelded by these strange book. purgers: but though he be silent, yet their own Platma telleth asmuch. Thirdly, their tying of falts to certaine fet dayes, as the fourth and fixt day of the weeke, was not allowed in Saint Augustines time; for hee thus writeth against Vrbicus, that Aroue for the Saturdayes fast: I read in deed that wee are commanded to fast, but which ought to bee the dayes of our fasting, I finde not prescribed in the Enangelicall or Apostolicall writings: nor in his scholler Primasius his age; for thus sayth he, There is no Law fet down concerning fasting, but as every man can or will: nor in Socrates time, who lived about the yeere 440; for hee plainly testifieth, that the rites and observations offasling, were by the Apostles left to euery mans free liberty and choyce.

32. Lastly, their manner of sasting, which is, twice to refresh their bodies on the sasting day at noone, by a small dinner, and at night by a short supper: Bellarmine himselfe confesset to bee contrary to the ancient custome, which was, to cate but one meale on the sast day, and that a supper: and

Pett. de bonis cper in particul.

doth

doth also give divers reasos of this mutatio: As first, that though it bee tolerated in their Church, yet it is not commanded: Secondly, that those customes which are not grounded vpon Gods word, may by ecclefiaflicall Lawes bee varyed according to the diverfity of time & place: & thirdly, that when the ancients broke off their fast at the ninth houre, they vsed to dyne at the fixt, that is noone; and therefore when as many doe ordinarily dyne at the third houre, they may by like proportion breake off their fast at the sixt : these be Bellarmines reasons to maintaine this Innovation: whereby we may both behold what filly props hee hath to vehold his rotten cause, and also that by his owne confession this is a meere nouelty: and therefore he concludes, that notwithstanding these forenamed reasons, yet they doe better, who after the ancient custome eate nothing till the ninth houre, and in Lent till the euening. And thus wee see how in the principall ceremonies of their Church, they have degenerate from the vsage and cu-Rome of all pure antiquity.

33. Thus much of the outward face of their Church: Now let vs examine a little their doctrines, wherein they differ from vs, which are the finewes and nerues thereof: & here I might referre the Reader ouer vnto our learned and godly Countryman Doctour White, lately deceased, who in his high-way to the true Church, objecteth eight points, wherein the moderne Church of Rome hath varyed from that which formerly was maintained: notwith flanding I will also a little touch vpon the same strings, adding somewhat more both in points and proofes, then is there delivered, that the Reader may have al-

so heere some satisfaction concerning these matters.

34. First therefore it is an article of the Romish faith, that the Virgin Mary, whom wee honour as a blessed woman, and the mother of our Lord, was conceived and borne without the staine of original sinne. This doctrine was decreed from an article of faith in the Councill of Basil, in the yeere 1431. and afterwards was approoued by the Councill of Trent, and by Pope Sixtus the sourch: yea, and all that take any degree in the prosession of divinity in the valuers of Paris, first,

Concil.Basil.sess.
36
Concil.Trid.sess.
5.&6
Sixt.4.c. cum
præexcelsa.
Salmer.Iesuit.
Com.in Rom. §
Disp.42

Inveare,

chryf. in Mat. hom.45. & in loh.hom.20 Bernard. epift. 174

Salm.lef.com.in
Rom.5.difp.51
Can.loc.l.7.c.t
3
Dom.Bannes.
part.1.in Tho.q
1.art 8. dub.5
Turrecrem. de
confec.d.4. nu.2
Salm. quo supra.
Bell.de amiss.
grat. & stat.pec.
l.4.c.15

sweare that they will defend this prerogative of the Virgin Maty. Now that this is a nouelty, appeareth, first because it was not received as an article of faith, before the Councill of Basill: Secondly, because the Fathers generally, either viterly denie it to bee a truth, or at least doubt of it, Saint Chrysostome flatly denyeth it. Saint Bernard calleth it in plaine termes, a nouelty. Caietane reckoneth fifteene fathers to haue beene of a contrary opinion, others two hundreth, others three hundreth, as witneffeth Salmeron the Iesuite; and lastly, Canus peremptorily affirmeth, that all the Fathers contradieledit. Andit is to be noted, that whereas Bellarmine produceth twelue Fathers for the proofe thereof, not one of them doe directly affirme it, except one or two. Thirdly, because the Elder Schoolemen with one consent disappropued it, as Dominicus, Bannes, Turrecremata, Thomas Aquinas, Bonauenture and others, in so much that in this point they are driven to this groffe shift. That yonger dinines are more apprehensine of truths, then were the more ancient Doctours. Beliarmine, I confesse, in this point accuse thys of slendering their doctrine, because hee sayth it was neuer held in their Church as an article of faith, as wee fay it is: but by his leave, if it was the decree of one Councill, though not confirmed by the Pope, as he faith the Councill of Basill was not, and was allowed by another Councill confirmed by the Pope, to wir, the Councill of Trent, as an holy opinion, and agreeable to the Catholike faith, and approved by divers Popes, as hee confesseth, and defended generally in their Church, not onely by doctrine, but by a solemne observation of a sestivall day in memoriall thereof: then surely it cannot bee lesse then an article of their faith: or if that terme missike him, a generall Romish opinion, which is enough for our purpofe.

35. Againe, it is another article of the Romish faith, that divine service should bee in the Latin tongue: this to be contrary to all antiquity, I have already declared a little before, and therefore I thinke it not needfull here to repeate it; onely this is to bee marked, that till the Pope of Rome began to shew himselfe to be Antichrish, that man of sinne, the mystery

of whose name is the number 666, which according to Ire. naus coniecture is Azleiros. Till then (I fay) this Latine service was net publikely received, but euer fince; as if the Pope would discouer himselfe to bee that enemy, pointed at by concil. Trid. fest. that Prophecy, hee-will have all the prayers of the Church to bee Latin, and hath fixed an Anathema vpon cuery one that shall dare to affirme the contrary.

22.6,8.6.9

36. Againe, it is another doctrine of the Romish Religion, that the Lay people may not read the Scriptures, nor keepe them in their mother tongue: which to bee contrary to the ancient custome of the Church, three reasons demonstrate. First, their own confession; for Azorius the Jesuite confession, that the Scriptures in the Primitive Church were to be published throughout all nations, and therefore were made common by the three most common and famous languages: and againe, Wee confesse (sayth he) that in Ierome and Chrysostomes times, the Lay people were exercised in reading the Scriptures, because they were written in those languages which they understood, And Ledesima another Iesuite, that the Bible was translated into the Latine tongue presently after the Apostles times, and that to this end, that all might understand the Scriptures. And Espensaus sayth, that it is manifest by the Apostles doctrine, Col. 3. 16. and by the practice of the Church, that the publike vie of reading the Scriptures, was then permitted to the people. And further, that the Iemes instructed their children at fine yeeres of age in the Scriptures, and therefore that Christians might bee ashamed to be careleffe therein: and this (hee fayth) was not onely his complaint, but the complaint of the ancient Fathers. And lastly, Cornelius Agrippa affirmeth, that it was a decree in the Nicene Councill, that no Christian should be without a Bible. Tius we have a quadron of their owne Doctors, acknowledging this to bee a nouelty.

Index 1. probib. edit. a Concil. Tridoreg.4.

Azor . Ief. Inflit. mor.p.1.1,8,c. Bell de verbo di,1,2,0,15 Azor, quo Cupra Ledef, lef. 1, de divin.scrip,c, 31

Espens, com. in Tit.c.2

Agrippa de vai nitate c. 100

37. Secondly, the generall confent of the Fathers demon-Arate the same: for the Councill of Nice, as it is alledged before out of Agrippa, decreed, that no Christian should be without a Bible: and Sain: Augustine alloweth the vie of the Scrip- Eder. Occorom. tures to all, when hee fayth, that they are not jo hard, but that b.bl.l.1.p.52

Chrysoft.hom-3
de Lazaro, & 9
inepist.ad Colos.
& hom.2. in
Mat. & 10.in
Ish.
Hierom.in Nahum.c.3
Idemin Pfal. 86

Orig. in Mat. 4. & contra Celfum.

Nazian. hom.4 Dom.Epiphan.

Aug. de doctrin. Christ, l. 2. c. 5

Theod.l.5. de corrig. Gentium affectibus.

Guliel, de Reco

every one by his study and diligence, may attaine to so much knowledge in them, as shall further him in his saluation: and Chrysostome in many places exhorteth all, both men and women, learned and ignorant, yeavery tradefinento get Bibles, and to read them; for though they understand not what they read, yet they gaine to themselves some sanctity by the reading of them. And Ierome perswadeth not onely men, but women, to fly to the mountaines of the Scriptures, laying, that though there be none to teach them, yet their indeuour shall bee accepted of God: and in another place hee fayth, that Plato wrote not to the people, but to a few, fer scarse three understand his workes: but Christ our Lord wrote by his Apostles, not to a few, but to the whole people. Origen compareth the Scripture to lacobs Well, wherein drinke not onely lacob and his children, that is, the learned; but the sheepe and oxen, that is, the rude and simple. Nazianzene affirmeth, that Christians ought to read the Scriptures, or if through ignorance they cannot, then they must gine eare to others. Many other testimonies I could alledge; but these are I thinke sufficient to shew, that in the age when these holy men lived, this doctrine was never hatcht, nor heard of, and therefore must needs beean addle egge of a later layer.

38. Thirdly, & lastly, the manifold translations of the Bibles into sundry languages proueth the same; for to what end were they translated, if they might not bee read? This Saint Augustine affirmeth, when hee sayth, that the holy Scripture proceeding from one tongue, beeing through the diners tongues of interpreters, farre and wide dispersed abroad, became knowne to the Gentilesto their saluation. And Theodoret as plainely. The Hebrew bookes were translated into all languages, which are at this day vsed in the world. Chrysostome is consessed to have translated some parts of the Scriptures into the Armenian tongue; and Vlphias into the Gothicke; Charles the sist caused them to be translated into the French tongue; and Charles the great into the Germane: Alfred, king of this Island, the Psalter into the English tongue; and at this day the Moscouites, Armenians, Egyptians, & Ethiopians, have their publike

prayers

prayers and Scripture in their vulgar and iknowne tongues. Now these ancient translations doe cuidently proue this Romish doctrine to bee an Innouation.

39. Againe, it is another doctrine in the Romith faith, that Priests and Ministers of the Gospell ought not to marry, and that marriage is an inseparable impediment to holy orders: some of them most grosly affirming, that the vow of single life is so essentiall to Priesthood, even by the Law of God, as that it is no more lawfull for any person to permit the Clergy to marry, then to license a man to steale. But they which speake more remissely, say, that though it bee a positive Law, yet it is Apostolicall, and therefore ought to bee obserued in the Church, inuiolably; and the reason is given by Bellarmine; Because great purity and sanctity is required in the office of 0.18.619. factificing, but in the act of marriage, there is mixed a certain impurity and pollution, which though it be not sinne, yet it proceedeth from finne, and maketh a man carnall, and fo vnfit for divine offices.

40. This is their doctrine, which to have no ground in true antiquity: first their own confessions beare witnesse: and secondly, the light of history. For their confessions: one of them sayth, that marriage of Priests is not prohibited, either by Legall, Enangelicall, or yet Apostolicall authority but by Eccle. hasticall onely: another, that many hundreth yeeres after the A- Espens. Com in. postles, by reason of want of others, Priests were marryed: ano. Tit.c.1. ther, that if wee exclude the Church Lawes, and stand onely to that which wee have from Christ, it cannot bee procued by any reason or authority, that speaking absolutely, a Priest sinneth in marrying, or that holy order is an hinderance to marriage, either as it is an order, or as it is holy: others, that in the most ancient times of the Church, and after the Apostles deaths, Priests had their wines. And lastly, their owne glosse and marginall obferuation vpon the same, (though they bee now both made pag. 317. dumbe by their expurging Index) speake asmuch : for in them we finde this proposition: Anciently Priests were permitsed to marry.

Concil.Trid, fell. Cassand. consult. art.23. Turria. Iesuit, de dogmat, charact. Aquin.2,2,9.88

Bell.de Cler.l.I.

Gratian . Cans. 26:9.2.Can.Sor.

Cajetan. tom 1 .. traff.27. Scot. 4. d.37. Polid Virg. Inwent.l.5. c.4. Cofter. Enchir. Paypus. Index exaurg.col.208; Epiphan. de.bre .. ref. l.I.

41. For history, to omit the Priests and Prophets of the

clem. Alexand. Brom. L.7. Euseb. eccl. bist. 1.3.6.30. Acts 21.9.

Maniuan. Fast. Nazianz. Mo. nod. Synef.epift.ad Euopt. & Nicephor. l. 14. c. 55. Athana Sepistad Dracont. Euseb.1.4. C.23. Gratian dift. 56 Binius tom. 2. concilin rita Gregor. Papirius Maso. de Evisc. Rom. Platina. Viccinis.

old Testament: Peter, whose succeffours they claime to bee. carryed a wife about with him in his preaching, which was put to death at Antioch, for confessing lesus Christ, as witnes both Clemens Alexandrinus, and Eusebius: which writers do also affirme that Paul had a wife also, and left her at Philippos, a City of Macedonia, that hee might with leffe cumbrance, preach the Gospell abroad. That Philip the Euangelist was marryed, Saint Luke testifyeth in the Acts of the Apostles, for it is said there, that he had foure daughters which were Prophetisses: thus was it in the first age of the Church: then afterward we read, that Hilary a French Bishop was marryed: and of Saint Basils Father, that hee was a Bishop, and in the state of marriage held that function: and the like of Synesiss the Bishop of Ptolemais: and Athanasius reports that Bishops and Monks lived marryed, and had children : and Eusebiun, that in the Easterne Churches it was counted a yoke too heauy to bee borne, to binde Church-men from marriage : yea Gratian boldly affirmeth, that except they will brand some of the Popes with baffardy, and adultery, they must confesse that Bishops were and might then bee marryed: for Gregory the first was grand-child to Pope Felix the third: and Alexander the fixt had two sonnes, begotten of his ownebody : and Boniface, Felix, Gelasius, and Agapetus were all sonnes of Bishops: yea their owne Vicelius reckoneth vp a number both of Bishops and Priests, that in the Primitive Church were marryed. In briefe, though in all ages the Deuill by his instruments laboured to bring difgrace vpon Gods holy ordinance of marriage, and by that meanes to make way to adulteries, fornications, and vnlawfull lusts; and some learned and godly fathers were too lauish in commending virginity beforemarriage; yet they were alwayes gainfaide by other some as learned & godly as themselues, who God stirred up for the desence of his own ordinance: neither was it euer propounded as a Law, vntill Pope Siricius time, who was the first that forbad, and interdicted Priests to marry; and afterwards Pope Nicholas the first, or as some thinke, the second, about the yeere 867, did the like; against whose proceedings Haldericus the Bishop of Ausbrough, wrote that learned and pithy Epistle, whereof mention is made before: and yet it was not vniuerfally received, vintill the time of Pope Caliatus, about the yeere 1108. History is so cleare for this matter, that it admitteth no inst exception; and thus both by their owne confessions, and by the light of history, this doctrine is convinced of nouelty.

42. Another article of the Popes Creede is concerning Images, to wit, that God himfelfe may bee represented by, and worshipped before an Image; and that the Images of Christ and the Saints are to be adored with the same worthip which is due voto their patternes: or at least wise that they are to be worshipped in or at the Image: This is the generall doctrine of that Idolatrous Church: which that it hath no true warrant from antiquity, is so cleare, that none that is but meanely feene in ancient writers, can doubt thereof. For fift, in the Church of the Iewes it was vnlawfull either to make any Image of God, beeing an inuifible, and incomprehenfible efsence, or to worship the Image of any other thing whatsoeuer: this was the prescript of the second Commandement, which was no ceremonial Law: As Azorius and Vasques, two Iesuites, have not ashamed to averre: but morall and naturall, as the grand-lesuite Bellarmine confesseth, and may be further confirmed by the sentence of Varro, alledged by Saint Augustine in his fourth book de Cinitate: who sayth, that the Iewish nation worshipped God without any Image, & that they had no Image in the Temple ordained for worship. Also Tosephus doth write, that when Cains the Emperour would have caufed his statue to have been set up by Petronins to be worshipped in the Temple of Ierusalem, the Iewes had rather expose themselves to present death, then to admit that which was forbidden by the Law.

43 Secondly, in the age of Iesus Christ, and the Apostles, there was no precept nor example for the worshipping of I-mages, neither did they commend vnto the Lay people Images and Pictures, as sittest bookes for their capacities, but the word preached and committed to writing, by which they

S. Concil.Trid.seff.
25. Bell.de Imag,l.2
Azor.Instit.
mor.
Vasques. Iss., de
adorat. lib.

Azor.Instit.mor.
p.1 l.9.c.6.
Valq. 1ef. de
ador.l.2. dist. 4:
c.4.
Bell. de Imeg. l.
2.c.7.
Aug.de civit.l.4.
Ioseph. Antiq.
l.18.c.2.

fhould a

should bee brought to saluation. And when as they abolished the worship of Idols, and brought in the worship of the true God, wee doe not read, that either they translated those Idolatrous statues to the worship of the true God, or substituted other Images of God himselfe, for of holy men to sueceed in their roome; but taught that God who is a Spirit, ought to bee worshipped in Spirit and truth. Now surely is that beene so necessary as the Church of Rome maketh it, our Sauiour Christ and his Apostles would never have concealed it from them.

Theodor. de baref.l.1.

Iren. l. 1. c. 23.

Aug. de heref.

Clem: Alexand. firom.1 6. Origen. contra Celjum.

concil.Eliber.
:an.38:
Polid.Virg.l.6.
:.13.
Trigen.contra.
:elfum.l.7.

44. Thirdly, the age also after the Apostles, was free from Images: for amongst those Ecclesiasticall rites, which are recorded to have been vsed in the first 3 00, yeeres after Christ, there is not so much as any mention made of Image-worship, except it bee amongst those that were condemned for Heretikes: as the followers of Simon Magus, who worshipped his Image, and of his harlot Selene; and the Disciples of Basilides, whom Irenaus affirmeth to have vsed Images, and Inuocations: and the Carpocratians, and Gnosticks, who burned incense to the Images of Christ and Paul, Homer and Pithagoras, &c. as testifyeth Saint Augustine : but the true Church of God condemned these, and abhorred all such kind of worship; and therefore amongst the accusations which the Heathen obiected to Christians in that age, this was one, that they professed a Religion without Images, as witnesse both Clemens Alexandrinus, and Origen; the one whereoflined 200. yeeres after Christ, and the other 240. which trueth their Cassander confesseth in direct words, that at the first preaching of the Gospell, there was no publike vse of Images in the Church.

45. Fourthly, in the next age of the Church, after the three hundreth yeare that Images were not approued, wee have the witnesse of the Councill of Eliberis, which decreed that no Image should bee made in the Church, less that should be adored which is painted on walles: and of Ierome, who assirted that it was condemned of all ancient Fathers: and of Origen, who called that worship, a foolish and adulterous profanation: and

of Epiphanius, who finding a painted Image in a Church, rent it Epiphan.epift.ad downe, and said that it was against the authority of the Scripture, that any Image should bee in the Church: and of Augustine, who condemned the vse of them in Churches as vnlawfull : and lastly, of Gregory their owne Pope, who allowing onely an historicall vse of them, forbad them to bee worshipped: as testifieth Agrippa. Indeed wee confesse that there was in these Primitive times of the Church, an historicall vse of Images: as may appeare by that statue of our Saujour at Cefarea, mentioned by Ensebins: and the Pictures of Peter and Paul in the Euseb, 17. c,140 same author: and of the good shepheard seeking the lost sheepe, painted vpon their Chalices, in Tertullian. But wee shall never finde in any good author, that either they were received into Churches, or worshipped in any religious manner.

Aug.in Pfal.114

& cpift. 119. -Cassand. consult. art.21.

Agrip. de vanit. 6.70.

Tertul.lib.de pudicitia.

46. Lastly, it is a knowne and confessed truth, that Images were neuer generally received & injoyned vpon the Church, untill the fecond Nicene Council which was eight hundreth yeeres after Chtist: and also that the decree of that Councill was abrogated by another Councill held at Frankeford, not long after; so that it is manifest, that the petigree of this bastard is of no great continuance, not fetched from the Primitiue Church, which is the thing we have in hand to prooue, but springing vp in the more corrupt times, when superstition had darkned the light of true Religion, and almost banished it out of the world.

47. Another article of their Religion is, that the Pope hath 2 supremacy of power over all, even Princes, not onely in spirituall matters, but euen in temporall: which to bee a late denice, not warrantable by true antiquity, may be eafily demon-Arated. For you those words of Saint Paul, Let enery soule Chrysoft. & Debee subject to the higher powers. Chrysostome and Occumentus Write thus: That whether it bee a Priest, or a Monke, or an Apofle, hee must bee subject to the civil Magistrate: for this subje-Etion doth not overthrow piety: and if an Apostle, then the Pope: 15 Aneas Siluius who was after a Pope himselse, inferreth: Espessin tit. 6. yea Espensaus goeth further, and sayth, that not onely Chry-Dd Costome.

6.

cumen in epift. ad Rom. c.13. Aneas Sylvina in gest.concil. Bafil.

Ambrosorat in Auent.
Aug.confess.1.3.

Gregor.l.2.In.
dict.11.2.100.

Bell. de Cieric.

cufan.l.2 concord.c.17.

Salmer. in 1. Pet. 5. disput. 8.

Malm de gest. Keg. Angl, l.3.

Toftome, but Theodoret, Theophilast, and all the Greeke Do-Cours : and in the Latine Church, Saint Gregory, and Saint Bernard did from that place teach, that energy Apostle, and Prophet, and Priest, was commanded to acknowledge subiection vnto Emperours. Saint Ambrose sayth plaine'y, that the Church lands, and Church men them selues did pay tribute to the Emperour, and if tribute then subication: Saint Augustine fayth, that it is generale pallum societatis humana, obedire Regibus fuis: The generall couenant and bond of humane focietie, to obey Kings. If the Pope then bee a man, by Saint Augustines rule, hee must bee subiect : yea Gregory the fiest him. selfe anoucheth plainely, that power over all men is committed by GOD, Dominorum meorum pietati, to the picty of my Lords: where hee not onely subjecteth all, none excepted to the Imperial power: but also calleth the Emperour his Lord: but now the Pope is the Emperours Lord, and not the Emperour the Popes, as Bellarmine speaketh without blushing, when he fayth. Non funt amplius Reges Clericorum superiores, &c. Kings are not any longer superiours to Clerks: and therefore Clerks are not bound to obey them by Gods Law: and thus in generall, the Pope had not this supremacy, till Gregories time.

48. For particulars, one part of this supremacy is, that the Pope is absolutely about a Councill, which notwithstanding was condemned by the Councils of Constance, and Basill. And as Cardinall Cusanus confesseth, was not acknowledged in the dayes of Saint Augustine: Pope Gregory, and other Fathers and Councils, which lived before the first six hundreth yeere. Another part is, that appeales should be comade to the Pope from all places, which the Councils of Chalcedon, Africke, Mileri, and Constantinople viterly withstood and interdicted. A third is, that peculiar cases of conscience should be reserved to the Popes consistory, which their owne Salmeran confesseth to have not been evsed in the time of Cyprian, who lived two bundreth and sourty yeeres after Christ. A sourth is the claime of Investitutes, which by consent of history was brought in first by Pope Hildebrand, as witnesse Malmsbury,

Naugle-

Nauclerus, Sigibert with others. Afift, authority to depose and molest Princes, which no Orthodoxall Father for the space of 1000, yeeres, taught or appropued, as sayth their owne Barclay; and the first Pope that practifed this, was Hildebrand, lurnamed Gregory the seuenth, as witnesseth Espenfans; or at the highest Gregory the third, who attempted this rebellious practice against Leathe Emperour, for defacing Images, as Platina confesseth. A fixt, a supereminent prerogatiue in calling Councils, and diffeluing the Acisthereofatlis pleasure, both which are notorious nouelties : for the first eight generall Councils were called by Christian Emperours, and the decrees of Councils were of fo facred authority, that the better fort of Popes in the purer times, put great Religio in changing them, or varying from them in any respect; witnes Aneas Silvius, Victorine, and Cardinall Cusanus. Lattly, a feuenth, the fountaine of Episcepall Iurisdiction, challenged to reside in the Pope alone, and from him to bee imparted to other Bishops at his pleasure, which was a doctrine not known in Saint Cyprians time, nor in Saint Teromes as hath beene shewed before. In a word there is no colour of antiquity for any part of this transcendent Iurisdiction, and yet the very soule and life of Popery consisteth therein.

49. Of the same stampe is their doctrine of receiving the Sacrament under one kinde, and withholding the cup from the people: this was first decreed by the Council of Constance, and afterward established by the Trent conventicle, and hath euer since beene practised in the Church of Rome, under paine of excommunication. But that it is a groffe innovation, wee need no further testimony then of the two foresaid Councils, the one whereof fayth, that in the Primitive Church both kinds merereceived, and that this custome of one kinde onely came afterwardin : and the other friketh with anathema, all them that shall say that the Catholike Church hath not altered this 1.2. c. 24. 6 28 . custome upon inst causes: by which words it confesseth, that there is an alteration of ancient custome; now what the causes were of this alteration, I will not here report, let the Reader behold them in Bellarmine, Gerson and Lyranus, and won-

Naucl, 2. gener. 36. Sizebert, an 774 Barclaus contra monarch.1.6. Espens. in Tim. Plat. Greg 3.

403

Card. Cufan. concord. cathel. 1.2.6.25. Anea. Sylu.de Concil, Bafil fol. Fra. Victor. Relec . 4 pag. 182. Culan concord. Cathol.l.2. c.20. Cyp. lib. de vnit. eccies. Hieron ad Euage tom. 20

7.

Concil. Constant. Seff.13. Concil. Trid. Sell.21.c.2. Bell. de Euchar. Gerson contra bares.com. sub viraque spec. tom:1.24.17. Lyran. in I. Cor. der II.

Dda

caffand.confult. ert.22.

Lyturg, Mar. pag.62. Conflit. Clement. pag. 145.

Leo fermon, quadrag 40 G 61 de confecrat. D.2. C.comperimus 6.4.0.5.8. Gregor.3. in &pist.ad Boniface. Durand. Ration. 6.4.6.53. Biel in Can lett. 1.52. Caictan. in 3. part. Them. 9,80 Ert. 12.9.3. Thom. Aquin. p. 2.9.80.art.12.

der that Christs ordinance, & the generall custome of the primitiue Church should be altered & annihiled vpo so sleight, friuolous and foolish grounds: adde vnto these Councils the wirnesse of their owne Cassander, who directly affirmeth, that this custome of communicating under one kinde, innaded not the Latin Church, vntill the yeere of our Lord, 1300. To the same purpose might bee alledged their owne ancient Lyturgies, the decrees of their owne Popes, and the generall doctrine of their schoole, and lastly, the consent of Fathers, all which doe most clearly proue this doctrine to be a nouelty, if not an heresie: Their Lyturgies are plaine, that the cup was ministred to the people, and not appropriated to the Priests, as may be feene in them: Among their Popes Leo the first, Gelasius, Gregory the great, and Gregory the third, doe all directly conclude the same doctrine: yea the last of the soure commandeth, that euen Lepers, if they bee Christians, which should not bee admitted to our owne Tables, yet should not bee barred from the participation of the body and blood of Christ. For school-Gregor. Dialog. men, Durand, Biell, Caietane, doc with one consent auouch. that all without exception, were to drinke of the cup; because God is no respecter of persons; and that this custome of communicating with both kindes, indured long in the Churcha And whereas Thomas Aquinas fayth, that to avoid irreverence it is wifely observed in certaine Churches, that the blood should not be received of the people, but of the Priests onely. It is to bee marked first, that hee sayth in certaine Churches, by which he confesseth, that it was not vniuerfally received in his dayes: and secondly, that it is wisely observed, by which hee infinuares, that before time it was not observed, but indiscreetly neglected.

50. Laffly, for the Fathers, it would bee too tedious to recite all their testimonies, onely therefore I referre the Reader to the places quoted in the margent; or if he defire to behold at one view all their opinions, to Pleffeis first booke, & tenth Chapter of the Malle, where he shall finde a whole catalogue of them: I will content my selfe with one onely saying of Chrysostime, in his eighteenth Homily, vpon the first to the

Corin-

Corinthians, hee thus writeth: Sometime there is no difference betwixt the Priest and the people, as to wit, at the receiving of the sacred mysteries; for all are admitted to them alike: for though in the old Testament it was not lawfull for the people to eat of the same things with the Priests: yet the matter is otherwise now: for one body, and one cup is propounded unto all. This doctrine therefore is an Innovation by the judgement of all these.

51. Transubstantiation commeth in the next place, which though they labour tooth and naile to procue to bee of great antiquity; yet we have the testimony of Scotus, of Tonstall, and of Biell, who assire that before the Councill of Lateran, which was in the yeere 1215. Transubstantiation was no dostrine of saith, and that it was free for all men, till that time to follow their owne coniecture, as concerning the manner of of the presence. Lumbard also sayth, that he is not able to define what manner of connersion is in the Sacrament: and Bellarmine himselfe confesses, that the name transubstantiation, was first found out, and brought into the world by the Laterane Councill: though hee labour to prove that the thing it selse was beleeuedlong before. And thus howsocuer this bastard Babe was borne before, yet it is not denyed but that it was then Christened.

52. And how long before was it borne I pray you? Marry Bellarmine alledgeth two Councils, both held at Rome; one wnder Nicholas the second: the other under Gregory the sequenth: in both which Berengarius was constrained to abiture his heresise (as he calleth it) and to subscribe to this article, that the bread and mine after consecration, are changed into the very body and blood of Christ: but concerning the Councill, under Gregory the seuenth, wee have sufficient to doubt whether there were any such or no: sust, because the acts of sarius. It are no where to bee found; and secondly, because the same cil. 3. Pope Gregory is reported by Cardinall Benno, to have doubted, whether the opinion of Berengarius, or of the Church of Rome were more sound: And for the other Councill under Nicholas the second Bellarmine himselfe consessed, that Bell. de 1,2,c.2.

chrysost.bom.18

8.

Scot. Script.

Uxou.4.d.10.q.

1.

Tonfial.de verit.corp.& fang.
pag.46.
Bicl. Canon.lett.

41.

Lumbard .l. 4.

9.difintt.z.a.
Bell. de Eucharl.3.c.23,

Surius, tom.concil. 3. Benno Card, in vita & geft. Hildebrandi. Bell. de Euchar. 1,2,c.2. and not transubstantiation, and so indeed in both of them, not the manner of the presence, which is transubstantiation, but the realty thereof was in question: But let it be granted that it was decreed in these two Councils, yet the antiquity is not very great, for the eldest of them was but in the yeere, 1059.

See Doctour
Morton Protestant appeale,
1.2.c.2.
See Doctour
White, pag-347.

53. As for the opinió of the Church, from the firstage of it, vntill these times, thogh Bellarmine produceth many testimonies of the Fathers, yet either they are counterfeit, or little to the purpose, or at least wise misapplied & understood: whereas the testimonies of the same Fathers, & others produced by vsagainst his doctrine, are so plaine, direct and peremptory, that by no sound reason they can be auoided. I may not ouerburden the Reader with a repetition of them, they may finde the els-where at large discoursed: so that thogh the inst time cannot bee assigned when this errour sprung in the Church: yet it is a nouell doctrine, borne since the purertimes of the Gospell, and growing in stature and strength till the Laterane Councill, and then taking it name and sull persection.

9.

concil.Trid. fell. 6.c. 6.can. 8. Bell. de missa. l. 2. 6.9.

54. Their private Masses may be ranked in the next place, I meane such private Masses, wherein the Pricst alone doth participate the Sacrament without the people. This is a do-Ctrine and practice in the Church of Rome, as may appeare both in the Councill of Trent, where it is appropued for Cathelike and lawfull: and in Bellarmine, and others, which haue their mouthes full of arguments to defend the fame: but I will not meddle with their arguments, onely my taske is to prooue it to bee a nouelty; which I may well doe by these three reasons: First, because it is contrary to our Saujours first influttion: Secondly, to the writing and practifing of the Aposses: and thirdly, to the example of the Primitive Church. That it is contrary to Christs first institution, it is evident, because Christ at his last Supper did not take the bread and wine alone, his Apostles beholding, and looking on, and consecrate them, and so eat and drinke them himselfe, but gaue both the Elements vnto them all, and bade them eat and drinke

407

drinke them in remembrance of him: this was the first in titution of the Sacrament, which ought to be a patterne to the Church of God for euer. But Bellarmine fayth, that it was but Bell. de miffa, to an affirmative precept of our Sauiour, & therefore did bind no 2.6.10. further then the circumstance of time, place and person would permit; and that to communicate in the Sacrament, was no efsentiall part thereof; and therefore might bee omitted upon occasion. To which I answere, that though it bee false which hee fayth, touching communicating in the Sacrament, that it is no effentiall part thereof: for the contrary may be prooued both by Scripture, which calleth the whole Sacrament a Communion, I. Cor. 10. and by analogy of the Passeouer in the Law, which was to bee esten of all: & by the confession of their owne learned Schooleman Gabriel Piel, who sayth, Gabriel Biel, in that the consecration in the Eucharist is ordained for the vse, canon. lett. 26. which is the eating of it, as unto the next end after a fort: yet it tit. f. is sufficient for our purpole, that he consesseth that it is a variation from the first institution, and therefore without que-Stion an Innouation.

57. Secondly, that it is contrary to the doctrine and praclice of the Apostles, appeareth by this, because the Apostle Saint Paul sometimes calleth the ministration of the Sacrament, a breaking of bread, and that through housholds. By which Acts 2,46. is necessarily infinuated a distribution and dispensation of it to others, besides the Priest: Sometimes the communion or com- 1. Cor. 10.16. munication of the body and blood of Christ. Yea the Apostle fay: h plainly, that weethat are many, are one bread, and one body; because wee are partakers of one bread; but if it bee priuate, then there is no communion, neither are there many, and neither is the bread which is made of many graines of corne, nor the wine crushed out of many grapes, a representation of the mysticall body of Christ, (as all dinines confesse) aswell as of the naturall: if there bee no mysticall body, that is, no Congregation to participate. Last'y, Chrysostome wri . Biel. ibidem. ting vpon I. Cor. II. fayth, that this was the fault which the Apostle blameth in the Corinthians, because they made that

Dd4

der

cassand.consult.

ait.24.

private which was the Lords: for the Supper of the Lord

(fayth hee) ought to bee common.

56. Thirdly, what the example of the Primitive Church was after the Apostles, the ancient Lyzurgies then in vse doe declare: in none of which can wee finde any colour for this practice: which euidence caused iudicious Cassander to confesse that solitary Masses are most manifestly confuted by the ancient Greeke Lyturgies: and that which hee fayth of the Greeke, may bee justly averred of all the other ancient miffals, that were in vse of the Church, and are extant in the writings of the Fathers, as (bry oftomes, Ambroses, Gregories, and fuch like: yea the Canon of the Romith maffe it selfe is againft this errour: for it is said there: As many of vs have beene partakers: and Bleffe O Lord thefe Sacraments to vs, which wee haue received. Now how can this bee faid without mock-

57. But besides these Lyturgies, wee haue the plaine testi-

age, when there is nonepresent but the Priest.

chryfost. bom. 3. in Ephef. C.I.

monies of ancient Fathers: one Chrysoftome for breuities fake shall stand insteed of all: hee thus propoundeth the custome of the Church in his time: The dayly oblation (fayth he) is made in vaine, when there is none to participate: and again, Who foeuer is not partaker of the mysteries, stand by as a foolish and wicked man. This is flat contrary to the Romilla practice, where the Priest masseth alone, & the people kneele, by knocking, their brests, and lifting vp their eyes to their breaden God: you see then there was no fuch custome in Chrysestomes time: and this further may be confirmed by the tenth Canon of those that are called Apostolicall, which doth forbid any to be prefent, but such as doe communicate; saying, that they are disturbers of the order of the Church: the same Canon also is repeated and confirmed in the Councill of Antioch, cap. 2. And in the Councill Nannetense, it is said, that it is a ridiculous thing to murmure to the walles, that which should belong to the people. Bellarmine himselfe acknowledgeth, that it is a more perfect and lawfull Masse, where communicants are present, then where they are absent: & so doth Cardinal Humbertus and Walafred; all which laide together caused Erasmus and Cassan-

Bell. de miffil.2. C.IO. Humbert.contra libel. Nicat. Monachi. Erasin de concord, Eccles. Caffand confult. 611:24.

der in expresse words to affirme this practice to bee a nouelty, not instituted by Christ, nor vsed either in the Apostles times, or in the Primitive Church.

58. The next point may bee touching the facrifice in the Maffe, for they teach, that there is offered vp by the Priest a true reall propitiatory sacrifice for the quick and the dead: this is the direct doctrine of the Romish Church, canonized in the Councill of Trent: which doctrine how true it is, I will not dispute, onely I am to shew how new it is, which may appeare: first, in that throughout all the new Testament, where there is any mentio made of the Lords supper, there is not one word spoken of a sacrifice: for neither doth our Saujour himselfe say, that hee offered a facrifice, when he first instituted it, neither doth Saint Paul call it by that name, when hee deliuers the full doctrine thereof to the Corinthians : neither doth Saint Luke affirme, that the Apostles offered a sacrifice. when they put in practice; but onely that they broke bread from house to house: now if this had beene so essentiall a part of the Eucharist, as the Romanists make it, yea if it had beene any part at all, our Saujour Christ and his Apostles, would neuer have concealed it from the Church.

59. If they obiect, that though a sacrifice bee not mention ned, yet it was acted both because Melchizedek was a type of Christ, and he offered bread and wine, and a to because these words, Hoc facite, Doe this: asmuch as Sacrificate, facrifice: I answere, that neither did Melchizedek offer bread and wine; but brought it foorth onely to the refreshing of Abraham, and his fellowers; as the Chaldy Paraphrate, the Greeke: interpretours, losephus, Cyprian, and Chrysoftome doe interpret the place; and the words themsclues in the originall, doe import: neither doth the verbe facere signific to sacrifice in that place, feeing as every schoole-boy knoweth, then it should bee construed with an Ablatiue, and not with an Accusative case, as heere it is; and this they themselves doe evidently prooue, when they cannot agree among themselues, in which action of the Sacrament confisheth the essence, and perfection of this facrifice: whether in the eleuation, which

IO.

cencil, Trid. [eff. 6.6an.3.

Sours thought to belong vnto it: or in the confectation, as Suares; or in the oblation, as Ecchius; or in the intinction, as Canus; or in the dispensation and distribution, as others; or in the consumption, as Bellarmine, and Ledesima: and so they know not where to fixe the center thereof, having indeed no sooting in the whole circle of our Sauiours example.

Acts 13.2.

Occumen. In loc.
chryfoft. ibid.
hom. 37.
Syrus ibid.
Lyran. ibid.
Dionyf. Carthuf.
ibid.
Caietan. ibid.
Suidas in Lexico

Genebrard.in
Lyturg. Apostol.
6.7.
Leuit.23.16.

60. And as for the word rellapy viles, vied by Saint Luke, it signifieth no more but Saera administratio, the performance of holy duties, or the seruing of God in any fort of religious worship, as the Fathers doe all interpret the place; and not to offer a sacrifice, as Erasmus translates it; or to say Masse, as our Rhemists would interpret it; for then the Angels should say Masse in Heaven, because they are said respects. Heb. I. which some of them are not ashamed to say they doe: but I beleeue it all alike, as I doe that tale in their Legend of Bees, finging Masse in their Hyue about the hoste, put in by a woman, to make her swarmes to thriue. Againe, of the like nature is that fond conclusion of Genebrard, who because by the Leuitical Law God comanded Cakes of new corne to be offered vpo the day of Pentecost, which is there called, A new offering: therefore the Apostles sung the first Masse vpon that great day of Pentecost, Act. 2. and that lames being Bishop of Hierusalem, was the chiefe actour therein. This is so groffe, that it needs no refutation; and it sheweth plainely the point I ayme at, that there is no colour for their Masse in the new Testament, seeing they are constrained to setch it out of the old, especially by so ridiculous and strange a dedu-Stion.

61. Secondly, if wee consult with the primitive and pure antiquity, wee shall never sinde any just testimony for the Romish propitiatory facrifice, for the expiating, and purging away of sinness for albeit the Fathers doe often call this Sacrament a facrifice, yet their meaning is not that it is a true, proper, and reall sacrifice, but onely either a commemoration, and representation of the facrifice of Christ, sinished on the Crosse, or an application, and obsignation of the same to the faithfull receivers: which to bee their intendment, may

be gathered, first, from their owne testimonies, which are so cleare and direct, that I shail not need, saue to referre the Reader to the places quoted in the margent. And secondly, by Lumbard their prime Schooleman, and profeit Epitonuzer of the Fathers, especially of Saint Angustine, who sayth expressly, that that which is offered and consecrated by the Priest, is called a sacrifice and oblation, because it is a commemoration and representation of the true sacrifice, made upon the altar of the Crosse. And thirdly, by their constant ascription to the sole facrifice of Christ, the onely power of propitiation and satisfaction for sinnes : which if it be true, then when they call the Mosti, A propitiatory facrifice, they must of necessity understand not a reall facrifice, but onely a representation, and application of that onely true facrifice on the Croffe, belides which there is no other externall and corporall facrifice in Lactant epitem, the new Testament: as Lastantius plainely witnesseth, when hee fayth, that those things which are wrought by the fingers, or done without a man, are not true sacrifices.

62. Laftly, if it bee granted, that many of the ancients did speake of a proper and true facilifice, yet the theatricall pageant of the Romish Masse carnot bee understood by them, both because in the ancient description of the rites and oders of the Church, found in Instine Martyr, Dionysius, Ckry. fostome, Augustine, and others, no such histriouical representation in ceremonies, gestures, words, and acts is to be seene; Platin, vita and also because the Romish Doctours themselues confesse, Sixti., that the rites and ceremonies therein vled, were not in the A. Rupert, de divin, posses times, but crept in by little and little, and were parche effect 2, c.2. together at divers times, and by divers persons, as their fancies led them : now the finewes of the Masse consisteth in these; and therefore, these beeing confessed nouelties, how is it likely that the thing it felfe should be of a different nature?

63. The fire of Romish Purgatory is a bird of the same feather, it was neither kindled in the Scriptures, neither is it found in ancient Councils, or in the writers of the Primitive Church: nor yet in those that next succeeded the age there for Innderstand here Romish Purgatory, to wit, such as is taught

Chryloft.hom 17 ad Hebraos. Theophilatt.ia 10. ad Heb 203. Aug in lib. lent. prosper. Idem de side ad Petrum, c. 18. Idem de ciuit. Lumbard. lib.4. diftinct.12.

dininor. In fit.

Durand. 1.4. C.T. Walafred.dercb.

I ! ...

Greg. Valent.
lib. de Purgat.
Bell., de Purgat.
l.t.c.3.
Concil. Trid. Seff.
fub Pio 4.

& maintained in the Church of Rome at this day, as an article of faith, and is thus described: A fire of hell adiopning to the place of the damned, wherein the soules of the faithfull departing in the guilt of veniall sinnes, or for the more full satisfaction of mortall sinnes already remitted, are tormented: which is nothing differing from the punishment of the damned, in respect of the extremity of the paine, but onely in respect of continuance of time: the confession of this Purgatory (layth Bellarmine) is a part of the Catholike faith, and it is decreed by the Council of Trent, to the same purpose.

64. Concerning this Purgatory, if wee consult with the places of the scripture, alledged by them for the maintenance thereof, wee shall find them either friuolously, or falsly produced: for either they are allegories, which can affoord no strong conclusion in reasoning: or they are veterly of another sense, except they bee wrung and stretched beyond their lether, which to bee true may appeare; First, because none of them directly fay, that there is a Purgatory: secondly, the consequence extracted from the, is of so ambiguous an Interpretation, that if one interpreter conceine them that way, two are of a contrary judgment: thirdly, because they crosse one another in the Interpretation of them, as for example, Bellarmine obiects, Mat. 5.25. which lansenius interprets cleane in another sense, so Mat. 5.22. produced by Bellarmine, is consuted by Suarez, and Maldonate, so 1. Cor. 3.2. is not vnderstood of Purgatory, by Pererius, and so of all the rest: now how can an article of faith bee built out of these texts, when neither the words themselues doe plainly affirme it, nor by necessary consequence either in the opinion of Fathers, or ioynt judgement of their owne Doctours, it can bee deduced from them : and thus there is no fewell for this fire to bee found in Scripture divine.

Ianfen, concord, in locum. Suareztom.4.in Thom.dilput.45 Maldon.in loc. Perer.in Gen.6.

Nazian. in laud. Cæfar. Ambrof.de obitu 'I beodof. & Valentinii. Aug. confess.l. 9. 6.13.

65. Touching Councils it is to be noted, first, that the ancientest Council, which is alledged for the proofe hereof, is the third Councill of Carthage, which was about the yeere, 398. Secondly, the most of them speake not of Purgatory, but of prayer for the dead, which might bee done, and was done,

Without

without any conceit of Purgatory: for they prayed for them of whose present possession of Heauen, they doubted not, as hath beene shewed before. Thirdly, that the first Councils alledged to mention Purgatory, and decree it for a doctrine Bell. de Purgat. of faith; were the Laterane under Innocent the third, the Flo- 1.1.0.5. rentine under Eugenius the fourth, and the Tridentine under Pius the fourth, in the yeere 1563. and this sheweth directly, and from their own confession, that it is an article of no great antiquity.

66. Laftly, concerning the Fathers it cannot bee denyed, but that many of them speake of a purging fire : but it is to be observed in them, that they most of them, intended not the lieb. dap. 6. Romish Purgatory; but the fire of the day of Doome, as the tellimonies of Bafill, Ambrose, Hilary, Origen, Lattantius, and lerome, all alledged by Bellarmine doc euince, if wee will either examine them, by the contexts of the places themselves, or give credit to their owne Doctors, so expounding them; or vide Doctour to Bellarmine himselfe, who in divers places crosseth himselfe, and yeeldeth asmuch as wee auouch: Besides it is to bee ob- lace appeare serued, that many of the Fathers supposed that the Saints departed, did neither receive reward nor punishment till the last day , but were kept in certaine hidden receptacles till that time: and therefore they could not dreame of Purgatory, which is an intermediall punishment, ending at the day of judgement. Of this opinion were Irenaus, Instine Martyr, Tertullian, Origen, Lastantius, Clements, & many others of the greatest ancients. Againe, it is to bee observed, that Augufine, whom they challenge for the greatest parrone of this fire, yet defineth nothing determinately of it, but speaketh doubtingly, and problematically; and if he affirme it in some one place, he leaveth it in suspense in others, and veterly denyeth it in a third: as for example, in his Enchiriden he speak eth thus waveringly: Such a thing is not incredible to bee after 69. this life, but whether it be or no, it may be doubted : and in a fer- Idem de verbis mon hee seemeth veterly to deny it, when hee sayth: There Apost ferm, 18, are two places, and there is not a third, we are ignorant of a third, yeare finde in the Scripture that there is none such. Againe, ic

Morton Procestant appeale,

contra Luther.

is to bee observed, that those Fathers which dee parronize this Purgatery, yet propound it not as an article of faith, but a a free opimon to bee received or contradisted, as men thought good, or faw reason; and these also were none of the most ancient: For Bellarmine climmeth no higher for it, then to Athanasius Basill, and Gregory Nazianzene: for as for Dyonisius, all knew him to bee a counterfeit; but those liued after the age of the Primitive Church : as for those Fathers which hued in those purer times, there is not a fillable found in them for the defence thereof. Laftly, it is not to bee Roffenf. art. 18. forgotten, that their owne Roffen fis doth auerre, that wholoquer shall read the Greeke Fathers, shall finde none, or very rare mention of Purgatory; and that all the Latine Fathers, did not at the first apprehend it; and that it was not a long time vniuerfally beleeved in the Church, but came in by little and little. The fethings laide together, dee demonstratively shew, that Romish Purgatory, was not an article of faith in the Primitive Church, but a late device, brought in by a vaine feare, and false and lying apparitions, and maintained ever after by the finell of gaine and profit, which accreweth thereby to the Popes purse, and for the maintenance of his pompe and pride, which otherwise would soone fall to the ground.

1 2.

67. Lastly, to tye vp for breuities sake many points in one bundle, prayer for the dead, as it is ysed in the Church of Rome, hath no ground of antiquity: For though it cannot be denyed, but that it hath beene an ancient custome in the Church, and frequently vsed by the ancient Farhers, yet their manner of praying was not of that nature, as it is now in the Romish Synagogue: For first the Ancients prayed for those whom they were perswaded to bee already in blisse; as hath beene formerly declared : but the Romanists fay, that such prayers are availeable onely for soules cormented in the fire of Purgatory, and that wee may not pray either for the blefsed, or the damned. Secondly many of the Ancients suppose that all soules were referued in a certaine secret place, from the presence of God, which they called Abrahams bosome, Paradife.

Bell. de Purgat, 1.2.6.18.

Paradie, the port of security, the outward court of Heanen, &c. And therefore could not pray for their delinerance from Purgatory as the Romanists doe. Thirdly, when the Ancients did pray for the Saints departed, they did it ( as Bellarmine confesseth) not in regard of any misery wherein their foules were, but for the glorifying of their bodies in the day. of the generall resurrection; but the Romish prayers are onely for those that are in paines, that they might bee dehuered. Laffly, the Ancients speake of the matter doubtfully; as Saint Augustine, with a peraduenture, and as of a laudable custome received in the Church, but not as a doctrine of absolute necefficy; but the Romanists obtrude it as an article of faith, and call them Heretikes that deny the same: and therefore though in generall, prayer for the dead bee ancient, yet Romish prayer is an Innovation declining from antiquity, both in the object and subject, manner and end.

68. So auricular confession is of like nature with the former: For we confesse that confession was ancient, but Auricular Romish confession is but a late vostart, both in respect of the absolute necessity of it, which was brought in by Pope Innocent the third, beeing before accounted but onely profitable, and not necessary, as in the Councill of Cabilon: secondly, in respect of the prinatenesse; for Maldonate a Iesuite con. feffeth, that for a long time in the Primitive Church, there was none but publike confession: thirdly, in respect of the exact enumeration of all finnes, with the circumstances, which implyeth an impossibility: for their owne Rhonanus confesseth, that this is a deuterosis, or late invention of the Schoolemen: neither indeed can a patterne bee given of it in all antiquity: And lastly, in respect of the merite, which by the Church of Rome, is ascribed to the very act done thereof, of which there is not the least mention in any of the Ancients: In a word what need wee feeke further, feeing wee have the freeconfession of their Glosse vpon Gratian, who affirmeth, that this auricular confession is more truely saide to have beeneordained by a tradition of the Church, then by any authority, eis. ther of the old or new Testament.

Ibidem.

Aug. de ciuitat. 1.21.6.27.

13.

Synod Cabilon 2 6.33. Ma'donat. Sum 9.20.art.1.

R'senan. Argum. in Tertul.de pie.

Bulla pii quart.
pro forma Iuramenti, annexed to the
Councill of
I rent.
Concil, Trid, feff.
7. can. I.
Suarez Ief. tom:
3. difput. 12. feft.
I.
Caffand. confult.
art. 13.

Suarez.que supra. Bell.l.2.c.24.

Durand, in 4.
dift.26. q.3.
Borauent, in 4.
dift.3. ert.2.
Gregor.de Valent, de num facram.(ap.3.
Caffand. confult.
ert.13.

69. So the exact number of seven Sacraments, which is an article of the Trentish Creede, sortifyed with the greatest curse against all that shall say, that there are either more or fewer, is indirectly confessed to beea nouelry, by the Iesuite Suarez; for hee fayth, that the Councill of Florence did but insinuate this truth, and the Councill of Trent did expressely define it, by which it is evident, that it was but an infinuation, in the Councill of Florence, and no article of faith, till the Councill of Trent, and therefore an Innovation: And directly by Caffander, who fayth, that untill the dayes of Peter Lumbard, wee shall scarce finde any author, who set downe a certaine and definite number of Sacraments: and to put the matter out of doubt, it is confessed that this truth, ( as they call it ) is not found in the Scripture, but founded vpon Ecclesiasticall tradition: And although Bellarmine laboureth to prooue out of Scripture, them seuen, & none els to be properly Sacraments, yetitis with as euill successe, as Tyrabosco the Patriarke of Venice, did extract the just number of seuen, from the miracle of fine loanes, and two fishes: For first his owne Pew-sellowes disclaime, some one, some another of them; as Durand: doth Matrimony to bee properly a Sacrament, because it hath not the vertue of conferring grace: and Bonauenture, extreame vnction to bee instituted by Christ: and Aleusis; and Hobrot, did the like, touching confirmation, and also because his proofes are so friuolous oftentimes, that a recitation of them is a sufficient resutation: as for example, to prooue that there is a promise of fauing grace, in the conferring of orders, he alledgeth I. Tim. 4.14. and 2. Tim. 1.6. where Timothy is charged and admonished, not to negle &t, but to fir up the grace that was inhim, which was given to him by prophecy, with the imposition of hands of the Eldership. Here indeed is grace given to Timothy at his ordination : but first, it could not bee fauing grace, because hee was before that converted, and beleeved, as it appeareth, Alts 16. but rather is to bee thought to bee the extraordinary gift of the holy Choft, as Saint Paulplainly infinuateth, 2. Tim. r. And secondly, though it should bee saving grace, yet it is not promised to all others, though it ANCIE

werethen given to Timotheus, neither were all that received holy orders, partakers thereof: for then Nicholas the Deacon should have beene sanctified being an hypocrite. Who seeth not then how weakely hee hath produed this to bee a Sacrament out of holy Scriptures; and this may seeme for a talle of the rest of his proofes, which are most of them of the like naturc.

70. Againe, the doctrine of Indulgences, to wit, that the Pope hath power out of the Churches treasury, to grant relaxation from temporall punishment, either heere, or in Purgatory is so new an article, that divers of their own Doctors. doe confesse, that there is not any one testimony for proofe thereof, either in Scriptures, or in the writings of ancient Fathers, but that the first that put them in practice in that manner, as they are now vsed, was Pope Boniface the eight, anno 1300. neither could they bee any older then Purgatory, being extracted from the flames thereof: which hash beene already prooued to bee a meere nouell invention : so that the child cannot be old, when as the Father is not gray-headed; and that the matter may bee without contradiction; reade Burchardus, who lived about the yeare of our Lord, 1020. And Gratian, and Peter Lumbard that came after, who all speake of satisfaction and penance, and commutation, and relaxation of penance, but yet have not a word of these Romish Indulgences: whereas if they had beene then extant, they would neuer haue passed them ouer in silence, especially in the discoursing upon these points, whereupon they have their necessary dependance.

71. Last of all, their doctrine, touching merite of workes, may bee branded with the same marke. For first, though the word merite bee often vsed by the Fathers, yet ordinarily it is not taken in that sense, which the Romanists vseit in, as wit- Staplet. prompts neffe both Bellarmine, and Viega, and Stapleton: and if they fer. 5. poft. paff. did not, yet manifold examples out of their owne writings, would prooue to be true : Secondly, the full streame of their Aug. confession. doctrine doth make against the proud conceit of merite : for c,13. erin Pfal. they ascribe all to Gods mercy, and Christs merits, esteeming 142.

15.

Antonin.part. I. Sum.tit. 10 6.3. Agrippa de vamuat c.61. Roffens.in artico 28. Luther. Caiet.tract. de Indulg.c.1. Alphon (, beref. verbo Indulg.

76. Viega de sustific. Bel.de liber . Arbit.1.6.c.5. Ambrof. Super P[al. 118. [er. 20

cyprian martyr.
Hieronym, ctesiph.
Gregor. l. 1.
hom. 11. super
Ezech.
Bernard.cpist.
310.

Viega de muritis, q.7, pag. 816.
Bell. de iuflif. lib.
2. cap. 1.
Waldensis sacramental sit. 1. c. 7

Conclusion.

their owne best workings and sufferings, vnworthy of the cuerlasting and celestiall reward: they never dreamt of that ambitious doctrine, taught in the Church of Rome, that our good workes are absolutely good, and truely, and properly meritorious, and fully worthy of eternall life. Let their books be viewed, and nothing can bee more apparantly cleare then this is. Thirdly, the termes of congruity, and condignity, were deuifed but of late dayes, by the subtill Schoolemen, who notwithstanding could not agree among themselues, touching the true definition & distinctio of their own books, by which it appeareth, that it was not then any Catholike or vniuerfall truth. Lastly, their owne Doctours terme the merite of congruity, a new invention, and that other of condignity, no Catholike nor ancient doctrine, and the whole doctrine of meriting, to have beene first made an article of faith, by the Councill of Trent: all which laide together , prooue it moft clearely to bee of no great flanding, northey of any understanding that were the first forgers and deuters thereof.

72. Thus wee haue sixteene points, wherein the new Romish Religion hath degenerated from all pure antiquity, to which many more might bee added, but these are sufficient to euince our conclusion, which is this, that seeing the Romilh Church hath neither in matter nor forme, substance nor accidents, any fure ground, either from Scripture, or the do-Etrine of the Primitive Church; but is veterly vnlike to it in many substantiall respects; therefore it cannot bee the true Church of God, but an harlot in her flead: and their Religion not of God, but of men; and consequently, that wee in deelining from them, and conforming our selves, both in doctrine and manners, to the Primitiue patterne, are not fallen from the Church, but to the Church, and that theirs is the new Religion, and not ours. And thus wee see what all their bragges and clamours, touching the antiquity of their Religion, and the nouelty of ours come vnto; feeing there is no one thing more pregnant, to prooue the falshood of their Religion, and the Apostacy, and Antichristianity of their Church, then this is. And to conclude, as wee would thinke him not well in his wits, that having beene long sicke, and after regained health, should say, that sicknes was more ancient then health, whereas he should rather say, that hee had recovered his old health, & that his new Ismate sicknesse was dispossessed of his lodging, though it had kept it long; so in all reason it is maduesse to thinke the reformation of the Church, and reducing of Christian Religion to the ancient health to bee more nouell and new, then the horrible sicknesse and apostacy, wherewith it was long, not onely insected, but almost over-whelmed. And this is suft our case with the Church of Rome, but I leave them to bee healed by the beauenly Phistian himselfe, Iesus Christ our Saviour, whose wholesome Physicke must cure them, or nothing will.

## MOTIVE XII.

That Church which maintainet bit selfe, and the Religion professed by it, and seeketh to disaduantage the adversaries by unlawfull uniust, and ungodly meanes, cannot bee the true Church of God, nor that Religion the truth of God, by the grounds whereof they are warranted to act such denilish pratices; but such is the practice of the Romist Church, and therfore neither their Church, nor their Religion can be of God.

Tisa wonder to see what deuises, sleights, impostures, and deuisish practices the Romanists have, and now at this day doe more then ever vie, to vphold their rotten Religion, & to ensure mens minds with the forlorne superstitio, their kingdome being ready to fall, they care not with what props they vnder-shore it, and the truth prevailing against them, they care not with what engines, though fetched from bell it selfe, they vndermine it, so that they may any wayes batter the walles, or shake the soundation thereof. My purpose is in this Chapter to discover some of the Sathanical practices of these subtle Enginers, I meane, the session and Priess, and

other rabble of Romish proctors: It is not possible to reckon them vp all, being so many and various: such therefore (God willing) shall be heere discouered, as are for villany most notorious, for impudency most shamelesse, and for certainty most perspicuous; and by them let the Christian Reader that loueth the truth, judge of their Religion and Church, what it is

Major.

2. The first proposition of this argument is grounded ypon three principles: one of nature, another of resion, the third of Scripture: nature teacheth, that contraries are cured. that is expelled by contraries, as hot dileafes by cold medicines, and cold by hot, light by darkenesse, and darkenesse by light. Now trueth and falshood, good and cuill, godlinesse, and vngodlinesse, are thus contrary; and therefore naturally expelling each other, they cannot bee meanes of each others preservation: that cannot then bee the trueth, which seeketh to with-hold it selfe by falshood, nor true Religion, which is a doctrine according to godlineffe, which maintaineth it felfe by vniust, vngodly and wicked practices : this is natures voyce to which reason subscribeth, when it concludeth, that it is not onely improbable, but impossible that Vertue should feake for Vices helpe, to fortifie it selfe withall; or trueth for falshood to maintaine it : seeing the chiefe essence of Vertue is to fly Vice, and of Trueth, to bee free from Falshood. Plntarchs Morals, Aristotles Ethicks, Tullies Offices, and all practicke of Philosophy auoucheth this to be true: but if from nature and reason, the hand-maides, wee ascend to Religion the Mistris, wee shall finde in Scripture this vadeniable maxime, Euillis not to bee done, that good may come of it: and therefore they which shall doe so, Saint Paul sayth, Their damnation is inft: whence it followeth, that deuilish and mischieuous practices, vindertaken for defence of Religion, and warranted by the grounds thereof, doe both argue a rotten Religion; (for like mother, like daughter, according to the Prouerbe:) and also prooue the professours and practicers thereof to bee lyable to the just demnation, alloted by the Spirit of God to such wicked persons: there is no euasion from this

Rom. 3.8.

Minor.

conclusion, except they say that their practices are not euill; which whether they bee or no, the particulars of the second proposition, shall propound to the judgement of him that will with an indifferent eye looke unto them, and so I leave this sits proposition, fortified with three strong rampiers of Nature, Reason, and Religion; and come to the second, wherein the pith and marrow of the argument consistent.

3. That the Church of Rome is guilty of such vngodly courses, for the maintainance of it selfe, and their Religion, though miserable experience doth sufficiently prooue, yet because whilst things are considered in grosse, they hide much of their worth and weight; therefore it shall not be amisse to display them in particular, and to offer them by retaile to fuch as have a minde to apprehend the true value of their counterfeit wares. In these sixe particulars therefore ( to emit many other) I arraigne them as guilty before God and men: first of horrible treason : secondly, of cruell murther: thirdly, of damnable periuty: fourthly, of groffe lying: fift, of impudent and malicious flaundering: and laftly, of apparent forgery; and these be the propps and pillars of their Religion, by these they labour to procure credit to themselves, and disgrace to vs : and with these weapons they fight against all that oppose themselves against their damned opinions.

4. Touching their treasons, periuries, and cruelties, they are sufficiently discouered in the first and second reasons before going, to which I reserve the Reader for his sull satisfaction: onely note, that as their practices have been notorious in these kindes, so they are derived sundamentally from the grounds of their Religion: notorious I say, for who hath not heard of the soule treacheries and conspiracies, practised by Popes, and their Agents against Kings, Emperours: some they have deposed, some prisoned, some murthered, some expelled their kingdomes, some betrayed into the hands of their enemies, some persecuted and undermined, and that by treacherous plots, and hellish devices; to omit all others, and to confine my speach to our owne Countrey: the pretended Spanish invasion, in the years 1588, by that great Armado,

compounded of 138. great ships, addressed by the Popes instigation, who blessed and Christened it with the name of an inuncible Nauie; and way made by the Issuites and Seminaries, who like Pioners and secret spies, indeauoured to vndermine the state, to spie out all conveniences for the enemies,

ster. This I say, in which Romanists onely were actours: Iesuites Plotters, and the Pope the Abotter: (for Catefby, Percie, Rookwood Winter Grant, and the relt, were ranke recusants. Garnet, alias Walley, alias Roberts, alias Darcie, alias Farmar, alias Philips: (was ever any honest, that had so many names ) Hall, alias Oldcorne: Tesmond, alias Greeneway, and others, were professed lesuites: and Baynham was sent to Rome, to giue notice to the Pope of this bloudy practice, whereupon folemne prayers and supplications were made by his direction for the good successe thereof. These I say, doe witnesse fufficiently, that treason is an ordinary practice amongst that generation, for the maintenance of their Religion & pompe, and that they thinke it a lawfull and laudable act fo to doc, it being the common doctrine of the Issuites and Canonists, that if a King be excommunicate, either ipfo fatto, (as he is, if hee bee an Herer ke by their doctrine) or by denunciation from the Pope, then his subjects are no surther to obey him, but to rebell against him, yea depose and kill him, if by any meanes they can: and though they dispence with their allegiance, during the necessity of time, yet it is with this limitation,

and to prepare mens hearts and hands to give assistance to them. The Irish rebellion blowen by the bellewes of Rome, animated by Doctour Saunders, and other Priests, sent to incourage the rebels against their lawfull Prince: or as Coster the Iesuite consessed, to be helpers to them in matters of conseinence: and lastly, the last horrible hellish, never sufficiently to be detested, Powder-treason, (which if it had come to execution, as it was neere to the point, would have beene enrolled for ever amongst the wonders of the world: and now the wonder is that nature could afford such monsters, to devise such a villany: or that any should bee so beforted, as to approoue of that Religion, which was the mother of such a mon-

Coster. Acolog.

quoad

30, 11,000

quoad, vntill they bee of sufficient power, and have fit opportunity to worke their purpose. This pernicious doctrine flowed from the mouthes and pens of Sunancha, Creswell, alias Philopater mariana Lupus, Tresham, Bellarmine, Emanuell Sa; and almost all the rest of that treacherous generation.

5. Againe, their periuries are also so notorious, that I need not to infift your them: for who knoweth not that Canon of the Councill of Constance, which decreeth, that faith is not to bee held with Heretikes : and that sentence of a Pope reported by Guimardine, that the Church is not bound with oathes: and that common doctrine of the Iesuites, that a subiect is not tyed by his eath, to obey his King excommunicated : and who hath not read of Pope Engenius, with his Legate Iulian, animating the King of Hungary to breake his league with Amurath the Turke, and of Atto Archbishop of Mentz, perfidiously against his oath, betraying Albert Count of Franconia, into the Emperour Lodowick the fourths hands: and of Rodulph, Duke of Snenia, instigated by the Pope, to salssife his oath of alleageance, to Henry the Emperour, and of Burghard, Archbishop of Magdeburge, released of his oath to his owne citizens, by Pope lobn the 23. And of Sigismund the Emperour, who was constrained by the Pope, to falsifie his oath, given to John Husse, and Ierome of Prage, for their safe conduct to the Councill of Constance, and of Pope Zacharie: Boniface the the fixt, and Benedict de la Lune, who vnbound the French men from their oath of obedience to their Kings: and of Gregory the seventh, with other succeeding Popes, who did the like to the Germanes, in respect of divers Emperours: and lastly of Pius Quintus, that excited the subjects of Queene Elizabeth, to the breach of their faith, and open rebeliion : a'l which doth show, that they make no conscience of periury, fo that they may maintaine thereby their Hierarchie and Religion, which to bee so, this one testimony will sufficiently beare witnesse out of the French Chronicles, when aleague was made between Charles the ninth, and the Prince of Condy: the lesuites (sayth the author) cryed out dayly in their sermons, that peace was not to bee made with Heretikes, and being Ee 4

being made, was not to bee kept, that it was a godly thing to

lay violent hands on those vnpure persons,&c.

3.

Auent.lib.5.
Abbas Vrsperg.

Math. Paris in Henr. 3. Pet. de vineis 1.2. epiff. 10.6 20. Culpinian, vita Freder. 2.

Causabon epist.

ad fronten. pag,

6. Lastly, their murthering cruelty, exercised against all that stand in their way, is so notorious, that I need not to stand upon it: the examples of Henry the Emperour, marked out by Pope Hildebrand, to bee murthered by the tumbling down of a great stone vpon his head, in Saint Maries Church, though with euill successe; for the Varlet himselfe that was fuborned to doe this feat, tumbled downe headlong together with the stone, and so was crushed in pieces before the Emperour came into the place. The poyloning of Frederick the lecond, by the secret practice of Innocent the fourth, and of Conrade by the meanes of the same Pope : and of Lewes of Banary, by the appointment of Clement the fixt, and of Henry of Lucemburgh, by a Iacobine Fryer of Saint Dominicks order: and that (Ohorrible impiety) in the bread of the Sacrament, mixed with adamantine duft : and of Tohn of England , by a Monke of Swinestead Abbay: & of Heary thethird of France, Rabbed by a Iacobine Fryar : and of Henry the fourth, murthered by Rauillac, that Douill in humane shape, who beeing demaunded by the Judges, why he committed that horrible act, answered without blushing, Because the King went about to aide the Protestant Princes of Germany, contrary to the Popes minde, whom hee didbeleeue to be a God upon earth: and of Parry, Lopez, Squire, with many other, which were suborned to murther our late Queene; and of Faulx, that was prepared with a match kindled at Rome, and a thecuish Lanthorne to blow up the Parliament house. These examples I say, with many or her, that might bee produced, doe euidently euince them, to make no conscience of shedding blood, and murther, for the maintenance and defence of their Religion.

7. Which that it may yet further appeare to be true, consider the infinite numbers of Hugonets, that is, Protestants, which have been staine in France alone, for refusing the marke of the beast. In the Low Countreyes, 36000, at least, are knowne to have been put to death by the Duke of Alba, for not yeelding in all things to the Romath Religion. The like

perfe-

persecution hath beene in other Countreyes, and is still at this day, where their bloody inquisition taketh place, by the which in thirty yeeres (as iris recorded by Authors of Suffici- Balaus de astis ent credit) a hundred and fifty thousand Christians were mi- Pontif. ferably murchered: and that which is to be noted, it rageth against none but Protestants, so that even in Rome, a man may bee either Iew, Turke, or Infidell, or what els, and bee neuer questioned: but a Protestant, hee cannot be, but with danger of his life. What should I speake of the multitude of poore innocents, that were in this land of ours, adjudged to the stake, in the five yeeres raigne of Queene Mary. Smithfield, Colchefter, Coventrie and Norwick, and almost all the other great townes, beare witnesse of this their cruelty, and the Innocent blood of these poore soules, doth stil cry for vengeance against them.

Vergerius.

8. And yet all this is nothing to those horrible and outragious Massacres, whereby whole multitudes haue beene butchered like sheepe in a flaughter house, witnesse that miferable flaughter made of the Albigenses, by Fryar Dominick, ard Simon Monfort, which going aftray from the truth, (if all Platina Innobe true which is written of them) these butchers did not labor cent 3. to reclaime by perswasions and gentle meanes, but oppressed them by armes at the first, and so sent them packing to hell without repentance: witnesse also that searefull Powder treafon intended, not executed, which if it had taken effect, fuch a massacre of men, and those of highest place and worth, had beene made as neuer yet the Sunne faw the like. And laftly, wiene fe that dreadfull moffacre in France, under Charles the ninth, when in one night were murthered at Paris many thousand Protestants, with the illustrious Admirall of France; and at Lions, and other places, within one month, as some fay 40000, 25 others about 30000. The greatest and most grieuous persecution in the Primitive Church, is not to bee compared to this; for it is recorded, that under Dioclesian, 17:00. were martyred in one month; but behold heere the number doubled, that we might certainly know and beleeue that the Pope is that true and great Antichrift, under whom, 1-

Hosiand, Cents.

and by whose meanes the greatest persecution that euer befell the Church of God should happen.

Bell.de Rom.
Pontif.l.s.c.6.

9. Neither is there doctrine any whit dissonant from their practice: for thus Bellarmine deliuereth it in plaine termes: as in a Christian, the Spirit is to rule, over the flesh, & to chastise it, and keepe it under, yea sometimes to undergoe death it selfe, as in the Martyrs: so the spirituall power residing in the Church (that is in the Pope) is to bridle and restraine the temporall, by all meanes what soener, if it rebell against it: yea the Cardinall Como, in his letters to Parry the Traitour, animateth him to the murther of the good Queene, by his damned position, that it is meritorious to kill a King excommunicate: and some of them goe yet deeper into hell, and entitle it an heroicall act, that is, no ordinary meritorious worke; but such an extraordinary exploit, as none but men of a more then humane Spiri: can performe; and for which an higher place in Heaven is reserved, then for common merits. Can this Religion now bee of God, that is thus maintained by treachery, periury and blood. shed? Is not this Church rather the purple coloured harlot, spoken of in the Reuelation, embrued and dyed red with the blood of the Saints, then the true Catholike Church of Christ? These things are so notorious, that Inced not further enlarge them.

4.

Lesisita Sicar.

to. Leauing thereforethese, I come to the three last wicked meanes, whereby they maintaine their Religion: vpon which is I insist somewhat longer, let the Reader beare with mee, for so the nature and nouelty of the matter requireth. Their next practice then to defend their Church and Religionis, by grosse and palpable lying and falshood; yea, so grosse and palpable that any civill honest man would blush to be reputed the author of such fables, which they obtrude vpon silly people, as verities, necessary to be believed, and which they like simple creatures give faith vnto, as much as vnto the Gospellit selfe: and neither is the one or the other any manuale, seeing Saint Paul prophesied long agoe, that on the one side Antichvist his comming should be according to the efficacy of Sathan, in all power, in lying signes and wonders: and on the

2. Theff. 2,9.

other, that God would send upon them that received not the love 2, Thess. 11, of the truth, strong delusion, that they should beleene lyes: so that by this prophecy, one of the chiefest props of Antichrists kingdome, mutt bee lyes: and therefore the Church of Rome making no conscience thereof, she weth it selfe to be no better then the Synagogue of Antichrist: If they say that they doe it to a good end, namely, to maintaine the truth: I answere with Iob: Nunquid Deus indiget mendacio vestro, vt pro illo loquamini dolar? Doth G O D stand in need of yourlye, that you lob. 13.9should speake deceitfully for his cause? no, he will surely reprodue you for it: and with Saint Augustine: Cum humili- Aug.de verbis tatis causamentiris, si non eras peccator, antequam mentireris, Aposol. mentiendo efficieris quod enitaras: that is, If thou tellest a lye for bumility fake, (or for the truths fake ) if thou wert not a finnerbefore, by lying thou art made that which then didft awoid: what can bee more pithily spoken for the reproofe of chefe men, who by faishood, pretend to establish the truth, and by lying to vphold their Religion : and if neither the Scripture, nor this holy Father, are regarded by them; then let them heare the censure of the Heathen Cicero, who concludeth, that in virum bonum non cadit mentiri emolumenti sui causa: It falleth not to a good man to lye, no not for his owne profite sake: what are they then in his account, who make a common practice to lye for their aduantage? But lest, I should bee thought to accuse them fallely, and in reproouing their lying, to fall into the same vice my felfe; let vs take a short view of some of their notorious vntruths, which are sparsed in their bookes, And heere to omit their lying Revelations, lying priviledges, false Canons, forged donations, counterfeit deuices, lying marryrologies, all which are stuffed with notorious falsities, and that by the confession of their owne Doctours: I will insist onely upon their lying miracles, wherein they vaunt themselves as amarke of their Church, and wherewith they labour to vphold most of their erronious opinions.

11. And first touching their miraculous transubstantiatio,

Cic. Offic. 3.

Antonin.part.I. 6.8. tit.2. Salmer in Rom. 5.dif.52. Eipencer. com. in tim. Dig. I. Canus loc. L.I. 0.6.

Bezius de signis l. 14.6.3.

and adoration of the Sacrament: not finding in Scripture fufficient proofe for it: it is strange to fee how many monstrous miracles they have devised for to win credit thereunto. Bozim, a man of great fame amongst them, telleth vs these three tales: first, that Anthony of Padua, caused his horse to kneele downe and worship the holy hoast, by which strange sight, a fout Heretike was converted to the true faith. And secondly, Saint Francis had a Cade Lambe, which vsed to goe to Masse, and would duely kneele downe at the eleuation, and adore. And thirdly, that a certaine deuout woman, to cure her Bees of the murren, and to make them fruitfull, put a confecrated hoast into the Hiue, which when after a time shee tooke vp. shee not onely found a miraculous increase, but saw also a Arange wonder, the Bees had built a Chappell in the Hiue, with an Altar, and windowes, and doores, and a fleeple with Bells, and had laid the hoaft upon the Altar, and with a heauenly noyse flew about it, and sung at their Canonicall houres, and kept watch by night, as Monkes vie to doe in their Cloiflers. Who would not beleeve now but that the hoaft is to be adored if hee be not more senssesse then a horse, or a Bee, or a Cade Lambe? But if this be true, why are Mice so prophane, that they dare rendit with their teeth? And why doth not the Popes Hackney kneele downe, and doc reuerence vntoit, when hee carrieth it on his backe, accompanied with muletters, and horse-keepers, and Courtisans, and Cookes, with fumpter-horses, and all the baggage of the Court, as oft as his Holinesse is to trauell abroad; when hee himselse followeth, mcuted vpon a goodly white palfrey, accopanied with Cardinals, Primates, Bishops, & Potentats? Is more honor to be giuen to Christs Vicar, then to Christ himselfe? Or was Anthomies horse more religious then all the Popes horses? yeathen the Pope himselfe, and all his traine? And if the hoast bee so foueraigne a preservative for Bees, why doe any good housewives suffer their Bees to perish, seeing they may have the hoaft for God amercy, or at least wife for a very small price? In the booke of the conformities of Saint Francis, wee finde this miracle: On a time Fryer Francis saying Masse, found a Spider

Ishan. Monluc. Mih. de Rel. ad Reg. Mat. Fulmen Bruturn. pag. 12,69 13.

Lib. conformit. Sandi Francis.

Spider in the Chalice, which hee would not for reuerence to the Sacrament cast out, but drunke it vp with the blood : afterward rubbing his thigh, and scratching where it itched, the Spider came whole out of his thigh, without any harme to either : O strange miracle; and yet not so strange as this, that Christs bloud in the Chalice, should poyson Pope Victor; except Francis a Fryer were more holy then Victor a Pope: or the blood in one Chalice, were of greater force then in the other: but peraduenture the Priest in the one, had no intention to turne the wine into blood, as the Priest in the other had: and then weeknow there can be no convertion: but no maruaile if this be true, seeing in the festival of Corpus Christiday, we read as great a wonder as this: to wit, of a Priefl, that hauing lost the hoast in a wood, as hee came to housell a woman that was ficke, and having whipt himfelfe for his negligence, went backe to feeke his Lord God, and at laft spying a pillar of fire, that reached from the earth to heaven, ran thereunto. and found Gods body at the foot of that pillar, and all the beafts of the forrest about it, kneeling ontheir foure knees, and adoring it with great denotion, except one blacke horse, which kneeled but on one knee, and that blacke horse (sayth the story) was a fiend of hell, who had turned himselfe into that shape, that men might steale him, and bee hanged, as many had beene. This as it was reported to bee done not far from Exbridge in Deuon-shire: so it was as solemnely read in the Church, and as verily beleeved, as any miracle that ever Christ wrought: who can doubt now, but that the bread in the Sacrament, is really changed into the body of Christ, and the wine into his blood, if this be true.

12. Againe, to proue their do Arme of concomitance, that is, that whole Christ is under both formes of the Sacrament: Bellarmine produceth examples out of Surius: Vincentius, mento Euchar. and Alexander Aleusis, where miraculously out of the bread 1.4.c. 14. being broken, blood plentifully flowed to the view of the beholders: which if they were true, as may very wel be doubted, yet enince not that doctrine: for those miracles might be wroght rather for the couldto of profane & irreligious recei-

Bell de Sacra -

uers, & confirmation of Christian Religion, against all enemies therof, whether Infidels or Heretikes, then for the proof of the reall presence, or cocomitance of both parts of the Sacrament, under one figne: but that they were not true, wee haue iust cause to suspect, seeing the eldest of those miracles are litle aboue a hundred yere old, at what time true miracles were vtterly ceased in the Church, & salseones were soisted into their roomes, as witnesseth Lyranus, who fayth, that the people in his time were notably deceined by falsemiracles, forged by Priests, and their complices for gaine: and Canus, who affirmeth, that writers in his time forged many things of purpose, and suggested false miracles, to pamper the peoples humor, and to gaine credit to Religion: and in that respect taxeth diverte grave authors, for their pronenesse in satisfying the peoples appetite: and Agrippa, who sayth, that the writers of histories making godly lies, did counterfeit Reliques, frame miracles, and deuise terrible

fables: let these goe then amongst the rest, for at least suspe-

13. Further for the proofe of their Canonization, and in-

Agrip, de vanit.

Lyran in Dan.

Canus loc. l. 11.

14.

c.6.

3.

Baron. anno

Vita Bernard, l.1.c,13.

Canus loc.l.11.

Delrio diqu.
magic.tom.2.
l.4.c.1.
Antonin.2. part.
tit 24.c.2.
Boz. de fignis
l.15.c.3.

uncation of Saints, it is a wonder to see how many wonders they have deuised. For to omit that which Cardinall Baronus reports as a truth, out of Guillerinus, and Vincentius, touching Saint Falbert, that because he was a most deuout worshipper of the Virgin Mary, therefore shee came vnto him in his

cted, if not convinced falshoods.

ficknesse, and gaue him her brests to sucke: as also how shee came to Saint Bernard in his sicknesse, to visit him, accompanied with Saint Lamrence, and Saint Benedist: and to omit how Saint Dominiek caused the Deuill to hold the Candle to him, till it burnt his singers: which Canus brandes with the stampe of a ridiculous sable: and how Saint Dunstone pulled the Deuill by the nose, or by the lip (as some other say) with a paire of Pincers; which beeing as ridiculous as the former, yet is allowed by the Iesuit Delrio for truth: to omit also how their Saint Francis had the sine wounds of Christ printed in his sless had a Angell, with the nailes slicking therein, and continually bleeding till his dying day: that hee vsed to

ride in the aire, in a fiery charrot, talking with Christ, and

Mary,

Mary, and Iohn, and accompanied with innumerable Angels: and that the birds would heare him preach, with great deuotion: and a wolfe was converted by him, whom he called brother wolfe, and ledde him about with him in his journey; as also to omit how Saint Denis had his head stroken off, and afcer carried it two miles in his hands: the like to which is written of Infinian the Monke. Saint Othisa, Saint Fulcian, and Saint Victorice : and how Saint Nicholas in his infancy, lying in his cradle, of himselfe fasted Wednesdayes and Frydayes, and would not take fuck: and how Saint Patrick causeda stollen sheepe to bleat in the belly of him that had eaten fol.261. it: and how Saint Bede preached to the Rones, and they an-

fwered his prayer, and faid, Amen, venerable Bede.

14. To omit I say all these and many more, as beeing ordinary and common tales in euery mansmouth: I will onely commend vnto the Readers admiration, some few more rare, and yet no whit leffe strange: as for example, a Parrate being like to bee surprized by a hauke, flying ouer the shrine of Saint Thomas of Canterbury, cryed miraculously, Saint Tho- of Caunterb. mas, helpe mee : and presently the hawke fell downe dead, and the Parrat escaped; so the samous Virgin of Lauretto, when as a certaine man was on the ladder, ready to bee hanged, being accused falsely for purloyning his masters hawke, assoone as hee did but thinke of her in his heart, and defire her helpe, 1.4. the hawke came foorth with langling in the aire, and houering over his head, and at last light vpon the gallowes, and so freed the poore man from the halter. Saint Christophers staffe beeing pitched into the ground, began presently to beare leaues, whereupon eight thousand men were converted to the faith of Christ, A Nunne called Beatrix, running away with her Paramour, lived certaine yeeres in a publike brothel-house; but because shee was a deuout worshipper of our Lady, our Lady her selfe supplied her roome in the Nunnerie, and was taken for Beatrix, all the time of her absence. Saint Christines tongue was cut out of her head, and yet thee Legend, Lomspake notwithstanding, and her brests beeing cut, insteed of blood, milke issued. Saint Brice beeing accused to bee the

Breuiar. Roma pii quimi.

English feast de Sancto Ni-Legend. Anglic. Capgrau.leg.fol, 35.

Turfell lefuit.

Legend.Santti christopher.

Cafarius Hesters. bash.1.7.6.35.

father:

сарочано.

father of a base childe, caused the said child, being but thirty dayes old, to speake, and consesse that Brice was not his sather: the same also is said to carry hote coales in his bosome, without burning his stess or clothes. Saint Aidus espying eight wolves that were forchungry, gave them eight lambes of meere compassion, which afterwards by prayer hee obtained safe and sound out of the wolves bellies. Saint Adrian beeing called vpon by a boy that was beaten, the Masters hand was stayd in the aire, so that hee could no more touch him.

Legend Iacob. de vorag.

15. What should I tell you of Saint Patrick, that droue with his staffe, all the venemous beasts out of Ireland; or of Saint Rech, who beeing licke of the pestilence in a wood, was fed by a hound, that brought him enery day bread from his masters table: or of Saint Lupe, or Low, who shut vp the Deuil in a tankard all night, that came to tempt him, so that he howled and brayed most hideously, and in the morning the holy man let him out: or of Saint Dunfton, whose Harpe hanging on the wall, founded melodiously, without touching, this Antheme, Gaudent in colis anime sanctorum : and of Saint Martin, who beeing faying Masle, a tongue of fire came, and sate vpon him, as it did vpon the Apostles : or of Saint Germaine, who comming to the sepulchre of one of his disciples, beeing a good while dead, asked him how hee fared, and if he would no longer goe with him, to whom the other answered and faid, that hee was well, and that all things were to him fost and sweet, and that hee would no more come hither : or of Saint Barbara, who turned the sheepe of a certaine sheepheard that bewraied her to her father that fought for her, into locufts? But if you would have a lye with a latchet, looke into the Legend, of the Annunciation of our Lady, there you shall read of a certaine Knight, who betaking himselfe into an Abbey, was long learning the Ane Mary; and whereas hee could not get any further then the two first words of the Angelicall falutation, at last he dyed, and was buried, and upon his graue sprung vp a right faire flowre deluce, in cuery leafe whereof, was written in letters of gold, Ane Marie, and the root of this

this floure was found to issue out of the mouth of the sayd Knight, because as he had those words alwayes in his mouth, while he was aliue, fo they grew out of his mouth being dead. Let all the Poets match this fable if they can, and yet Ouid commeth somewhat neere it in his two tales of Hiacynthus, and Aiaxboth, which were fabled to be turned into two Ouid. Metamor. Aowers, with the two first letters of their names imprinted in 10, 10, 613. them, and thus by strange and incredible fictions they maintaine the superstitious inuocation of Saints, the ordinary conclusion almost of their legends being this; then let vs pray to this worthy Saint and glorious Martyr, that he will pray to God for vs, that by his merits wee may have pardon and forgiuenesse of our sinnes.

16. Againe, to perswade to the worshipping of relicks, they tell vs divers such like tales: As that a yong man falling off his horse and breaking his neck, was brought to the graue of Saint Hyacinth the Polonian, and presently revived. And a Mayde, who had a yong Heifer dead by some misfortune, Senerin. de vite made supplication at the Tombe of this Saint, and when she returned home, 2 Butcher being fleying the Heifer, it first began to stirre the fleyed foot, and then to lift up the head, and at last to rise vp as sound as euer it was. We reade in the french Chronicles taken out of Turpin a Popish Writer, that Nichol. Gilles king Dagobert robbed other Saints of their relicks, to entich anno 645. the Temples of Saint Denis, Saint Rustick, and Saint Eleutherie, whereupon it came to passe that there arose great debate betweene the Saints, for those Saints which he had spoiled, as Saint Hilary, Saint Fremin, and others, loyned themselves with the Deuils, and craued and of them to carry away the foule of the good king to hell: but he called to his help the other Saints which he had enriched, who refisted so valiantly the Deuils and the Saints wronged, that they tooke away from them his foule and carried it to Paradife. Who? which hath any spark of grace would not enrich the Temples, and relicks of these three Saints, seeing their power is so great to deliuer aman from the Deuill? But this next of Saint Fremin is full as strange; for the golden Legendreporteth, that

4.

miracul Sanets Hyasintb.lib.I. ca.19.6 lib.2.

Legend, Invent.
of the body of
Saint Fremia
mortus.

after the Sunne had miraculously fent his beames through a stone wall vpon Saint Fremins graue, and thereupon they had digged to finde out his body, there issued thence such a sweet fmell, as they weened they had been in a Paradife: which odour spread itselfe not onely through the city of Aniens where the body lay, but also vnto divers other cities, the fweetnes whereof as it mooued much people to bring their oblations to this glorious Saint, so it cured some a far off (as the Lord of Bargency) from their diseases: but when this body was taken up and carried in the city of Aniens, flrange wonders were wrought: for then the Elements mooued, (fayth the flory) the Snow that was at that time great on the earth was turned into pouder and dust: and the Ice that hung on the trees became flowers and leaues, and the meadowes became greene: and the Sunne which by his course should goe low that day, ascended as high as it vseth to be on Saint John Baptifts day in Summer: and as men bare the body of this Saint, the trees enclined and worshipped it, and all manner of ficke persons what malady soeuer they had, received health, at the Invention of the bleffed body of Saint Fremin.

Legend Sancti Stephani.

17. Another as strange a tale wee have touching the relicks of Saint Stephen, and Saint Lawrence: for when as one of them was buried at Rome, the other at Constantinople (fayth the Legend) the Emperours daughter which was possessed with an euill Spirit, was brought to touch the relicks of Saint Stephen, but the Diuell within her cryed, that he could not be dispossessed, except the body of Saint Stephen was translated to Rome: whereupon meanes was made that Saint Stephens body should be carried to Rome, and in liew thereof, Saint Lawrence his body should bee brought to Conffantinople. Now in the way as they were making this conueyance, the city of Capua gat the right arme of Stephen, and builded their Metropolitane Church in honour thereof: but when they were cone to Rome, they would have borne the body of Saint Stephen to the Church of Saint Peter ad Vincula, but they that bare it were not able to goe any further: and then the Deuill in the mayd cryed out, Ye trauaile for nought, for he Chall they bare the body thither, and then the mayd touching the body was presently made whole, and Saint Lawrence as reioycing at the comming of his brother, and smiling, turned himfelse into the other side of the Sepulchre, and made roome for him to lye in: and when the Greekes would have taken vp the body of Saint Lawrence to carry it to Constantinople, they were stroken dead, till the Pope and his Clearks by their prayers revived them againe: who would not now worship the relicks of Saints, if such great myracles be wrought by them.

18. But to conclude this poynt, and not to trouble the reader with too much of this pedling stuffe: thus they labour to gaine worship to Images, honour to the Crosse, and Crucifix, and credit to Purgatory, and prayer for the dead, and countenance to popish single life, as of many hundred examples which might be produced, let these sew suffice. Saint George being put into a frying pan full of boyling lead, made but the figne of the croffe, and he was therein refreshed as if he had bin in a bath. Saint Margaret was swallowed up of the Diuell in the figure of a Dragon, but making the figne of the crosse in the Dragons belly, the Dragon burst, and out came Saint Margaret safe and sound. It is sayd also that she took the Diuel by the haire of the head, and beate him about the eares. Saint Goodreck with the figne of the croffe tamed Wolues and Serpents in such fort, that they lay with him by the fire side without offering any hurt. Saint Christopher being a Giant of twelve cubits in height, determined with himfelfe to serue none but the greatest King in the world : and therefore being in service to a great King, he spied him crosfing himfelfe against the Diuell: wherefore perceiuing that he was afraid of the Diuell, he went to serue him as one greater then the former, and perceiving that the Diuell avoyded the fight of a croffe, he asked him, why he did so? to whom the Diuell answered, that there was a man called Christ which was hanged on the Crosse, in scare of whom, as oft as he faw the figue of the Crosse he fled from it, for which cause Ff 2 Saint

would

Saint Christopher perceiving that Christ was a greater King, renounced the Denill, and betooke himselfe to the scruice of Christ, and was called Christopher, whereas before his name was Reprobus. He that would read a merry tale indeed, let him read the Legend of Saint Christopher: As for a fearefull tale, let him goe to Saint Brandons Legend: all the old wives tales that ever were told in a chimney corner, are not to be compared to these two.

19. It is no newes amongst them for Images to speake, nod the head, shake the hand, sweat, and such like: or at least for some crasty Priest to worke these seates, to delude the

people. The Image of the blessed Virgin Mary is sayd to have spoken divers times, to Saint Bernard, when she bad him good morrow, whereupon the good man should answere, that she broke the Canons of the Church, because it was forbidden that a woman should speake in the Church. To Saint Hyacinth, who slying from the Tartarians, the Image thus cryed vpon him with a loud voyce, O my sonne Hiacinthus, doest thou escape the hands of the Tartarians, and leavest me and my sonne to be cut in pieces, and trampled under feet: To whom Hiacinthus answering, O glorious Virgin, this thy Image is too heavy, how shall I be able to carry it? The Virgin replyed, Take it, for my sonne will lighten the burden. And to Alexim, who staying long in the Church-porch, the Image of our Lady spoke and bade the Sextenlet him in. So the Crucifix is sayd to have spoken to Thomas Aquinas, when he was praying before it in

great deuotion, Thou hast written well of me Thomas, what re-

ward therefore will thou have. And to the Councill at Winche-

fter gathered together about the question of Priests mariages

in these words, Take Dunstans wayes unto you, for they are

the best. Polidere Virgill, otherwise a strong Papist, yet imelt

out this to be Dunstanes legerdemayne, and so may any other that hath not lost his senting: and thus we have two talking Images, that the Heathen might not goe before them in this,

who by Bellarmines confession out of Valerius Maximus, had

two also that spoke, the one of Inno Moneta, at the taking of

Veyes, which being asked in iest by a Souldier, whither she

Seuerin, de vita & mirac. Sancti Hyacinth.lib.1.5 cap.13.

Legen.Lombard.

Breuior. Rom. in fest. Sancti Thom de Aquin. lect. 5. etia vita eius.

Polydor. Virgil. Histor.

Bell, de Sanet, beatit, lib, 2. sap. 13. would goe to Rome, answered, that she would: the other of Fortune, who vitered these words, Yee have rightly seene mee

O nation, and rightly dedicated mee.

20. But that Images vsed to beck, and mooue their heads.

and flir their hands, there are so many examples that it would be lest labour to stand vpon them, onely the image of Saint Nicholas at Westchester, may discover the trick of all the rest. for at the burning of it in the Market-place of the city, there was discourred this trick of fast and loose, the Image was made with such a device, that if one slanding behinde, did pull a certaine string which was in the back part thereof, it would mooue the hand, as if it bleffed the people. The like may we thinke of that Roade of Poyters, which when William Longshampe Bishop of Ely lay a dying, did piccously weepe and lament, so that the teares fell downe from his eyes, as it had been a floud of water, but no meruaile, for as they fay, it was the accustomed vie of that Roode alwayes to mourne when a Bishop departed. A pretty story there is in one of the Legends of the Virgin Mary, of a woman who prayed deucutly to that Image for the delivering of her sonne out of prison, and when thee faw that her prayers preuailed nothing, thee tooke away from the Virgin her sonne out of her armes, and carryed it home, whereupon our Lady presently went to the prison and deliuered the womans sonne out of hold: then the woman (fayth the tale) brought backe the Virgin Maries sonne, and gaue it her againe, saying, O blessed Virgine now thou hast restored my sonne unto mee, behold againe thy sonne vato thee, and so both parties were well pleased.

21. For Purgatory, and prayer for the dead, there be infinite cales deutled, of all which we may give the same censure which Saint Augustine doth of lying myracles, that they are vel figmenta mendacium hominum vel portenta fallacium spirituum, either fictions of lying men, or wonders of deceitfull spirits, of the first kind was that reported by divers authors of certaine Priests, that dwelling not far from the sea side, tooke a number of sea-crabs, and tyed vnto each of them in coniesturis. light, and so put them youn dead mens graves in the Church- Erasm. in epist.

This is knowen to be true by many of the inhabitants yet liuing.

Heneden.

Aug. de vnitat. eccles.16.

Ioh. Rivius de Spect. & apparit. Andreas offind

Ff 3

yards,

yards, to make the poore country people beleeue that Spirits. did walke: but in one place, the legerdemaine was deprehended, for the Carpenters and Masons comming the next day after all Soules night to worke, found among the timber and broken bricks some of the Crabs, with their candles on their backs extinguished, whereby the knauery of this miracle was discovered. Of the second kind it may be was that recorded by Saint Gregory in his Dialogues, (if that booke be his as is iufly doubted, for he telleth there a tale of a Beare which was commaunded to keepe the Hermite Florences sheepe, a thing not sayouring of Saint Gregories spirit, or if it did, then it argueth him to have been too credulous of lying reports, (as he is taxed by their own Canus) of a foule appearing vnto a Priest that bathed himselse often in a water, & officiously pulling off his shoes, with an earnest defire to enjoy his prayers and oblations for his deliuerance, which being performed, when he returned to the bath and found the foule no more there, hee concluded, that his prayers had delivered him out of Pur-

cap.6.

gatory.

22. In one of these two ranks are all the strange stories published by these Dialogues, Beda, Brigitta, Dionisus, Carthusianus, touching walking ghosts, for eyther they were jugling tricks of imposters to deceive the simple, or deceits of deuils to delude the learned: and this may in no poynt more playnely be proued, then against Priests mariages, for the difgracing whereof, and aduancing single life aboue it, an Idoll at Winchester must speake as before hath been shewed. The Emperour Lemes the second must be tormented in Purgatory, because he would not regard the admonitions of Gabriell the Archangell against Priests mariages. Emma mother to Saint Edward King of this land, must goe bare-foot vpon nine burning plowshages of yron, to try her chastity, and Alwynes the Bishop of Winchester, with whom she was sufpected to have committed fleshly villany, but she was borneouer them betwixt two Bishops, so that she needed not to hurt her feet. A yong Nunne being got with child by a yong Monke, two Midwines must come from heaven, being sent

Rincent.

Malmesbury.
Marian.Geot.
Capgrane.

by Henry Murdach, then lately Bishop of Yorke, and discharge her of her childe without paine, and take it from her, so that it was neuer seene more; & very likely, forfa priuy or a fishpond might meet with it by the way, as it had done a number more in former & later times: A thousand such lies as these shall you find in their Legends, and martyrologies, and other bookes: insomuch, that Espensans alearned Bishop of their owne, doth freely confesse, that no stable is so full of doung, as the Legends Espensans in 2. are fall of fables; yea that very fictions are contained in their por- tim.4. teffes: and Canus another learned writer, that the Pagan Histriographers did more truely write the lines of Emperours, then the Christians did the lines of Saints: and that in the golden Legend, there are monsters for miracles, rather then true miracles: and that hee which wrote this booke, was a man of a brasen face. and a leaden heart.

Canus loc. lib. 1 %

23. Thus it is euident by the confession of many learned of their owne fide, that these bee lying tales, coyned as hely deceits, as some of them terme them, but more truely, as deuilish devices, not to maintaine the truth, but errour: for how can that bee the truth which standeth in need of lying to maintaine it : Caietane a Cardinall, and a great learned divine fayth, that the credit of the Romish miracles dependeth vpon the report of men, who may deceive others, and bee deceived themselves: and Antoninus the Archbishop of Florence, calleth the visions of Bernard and Briget, touching the conception of the Virgin Mary, fantastick visions, and mens dreams: why should wee then beleeve them to bee true, when as they themselues beleeve them not.

Pia fraudes. Agrip.de vanit. 6.97.

Caiet.opusc. de concept. Virg. Antonin.part. I. c,8111,30

24. If they object and say, why may not these miracles be as true as those which are reported by many of the ancient Fathers: and seeing famous miracles have beene in all ages of the Church, why should these last ages bee suspected for fals. Bel.de notis ecty, more then the former? I answere first, that those Fathers chemselues, which were reporters of such miracles, yet did repose no such confidence in them, as to build their faith vpon them, as the Romanists doe: for Saint Augustine sayth, Quifquis adbuc, &c. Whosoener yet seeketh after monders, that hee

cles.lib.4.c.14.

22.6.8. Idem tract. 13. in Ieban.

chryfoft.bom.29 in Math.

Tertul, contra Marcum. lio. 3. 5.3. & depræ-, Scriptic.44. Moldon, in Mat. 7.22.

Mat. 24. 24. 2. Theff, 2,9.10

Antonin.part.1. tit. 8.c.2.

Canus loc.lib. 11.c.6.042.658. louan.1563.

Aug.de civit.lib. may beleeve, is himselfe a great wonder, who when the world beleeue th, doth not beleeue: and in another place: Contra iftos mirabilirios, &c. Against these miracle-mongers, my God hathmade mee wary, laying there shall arife in the last dayes false Prophets, working signes and wonders, that they might lead into errour, if it were p Mible the very Elect. And Chryfostome, or who focuer els was the author of those learned homilies on Mathem, proueth that the true Church of Christ cannot bee discerned or knowen by signes, or other meane, but enely by the Scripture: and that the working of miracles is more found among false Christians, then true: & Tertullian say in plainly, that the Heretikes did raise the dead, cure maladies, & for etell things to come: the same is affirmed by Chryfostome, Ierome, Eutheuius & Theophilast; as witneffeth Maldonate the Jesuite: by which it appeareth, that rhe Fathers thought miracles were not to bee regarded, except they were wrought for the confirmation of the truth: and that a miracle was to bee examined by the doctrine, not the doctrine by a miracle: and therefore, that they are not any proper and true markes of the Church, as the Romanists make them: nay that they are rather markes of Antichrist, and his Church, as both our Saujour and Saint Paul plainely auouch; fo that by this their great bragge of miracles, they giue vs this strong advantage against them, that their Pope is Antichrift, and their Church Antichristian, which otherwise wee should want.

25. And secondly I answere, that they themselves reject diverse miracles of the fathers, as fantastick visions, and mens dreames; so doth Antoninus call the vision of Bernard and Briget, in the question of the Virgin Maries conception: and Canus taxeth Gregory and Bede with this: that they missed the marke now and then, who wrote miracles, talked of and belceued among the vulgar: that is, which they received by hearefry, and not by any eye-witnesse, or found proofe: now why should wee be restrained from that liberty towards the rest, which they take towards Gregory and Bede: especially seeing many of their miracles are fuch, as no reasonable man would euer beleeue, and deserue rather the splene then the braine :

as for example Saint lerome reporteth this to bee one of Sains Anthonies miracles; how Anthonie travailing in the wilder- Hierom. in vita nesse, to seeke out Paul, the Hermite met with a Centoure, (halfe a man, and halfe a horfe) who spoke to him, and shewed him the way; and by and by when the Centaure was gone, meet: th him another Monster like a Satyre, with a hook nofe, and hornes on his head, the lower part of his body like a goar. offering him a branch of palme : whom Anthony asking who he was he answered; I am a mortall creature, an inhabitant of the wildernes, such an one as the Gentiles deluded with error, called a Saiyre; and I am come as an Embassadour from my flocke, to be seech you to pray to God for vs, whom week now to bee come for the saluation of the world, whose sound is gone through the earth: if this bee true, that there are such monsters; or it they bee, that they beleeve in Christ, and so may bee saved : let vs beleue then all that ener the Poets have written of Ixion, Polyphemus, Pan, Silenus, & other such like mosters: Gregory Nissen Writeth, touching Thammaturgus, that the Virgin Mary, and Saint Iohn camedown from heave to him, & taught him his creed: which is as likely to bee true, as that which the Poets write of Apollo, that taght Afculapins the rules of Phylick: or the Rabbines of the Angell Sanbaffer, that was Adams Schoolmaster.

Gregor. Ny ffen. orat. de vita Thaumaturgi.

Bernard. de vita Malachia.

26. Saint Bernard, in the life of Malachias ( if at least that booke bee Saint Bernards) telleth vs of Malchus the teacher of Malachias, how heerestored hearing to one that was deafe, and how the patient confessed, that when the holy man put his fingers into both his eares, hee felt as it were two pigges issuing out of them. Againe hee reporteth, that a certaine Prior of the Regular Friers, seing Malachie the Bishop to have many servants, but few horses, gave voto him the horse that hee rode on which beeing a restie iade, and setting hard, at the first the Bishop found him so, but ere hee had ridden farre, by a wonderfull change, hee prooued a very excellent and precious palfrey, ambling most sweetly: the like tale wee reade in the Dialogues alcribed to Gregory, of a horse, which a Noble-man lent to Pope Iohn, which Gregor dialog. beeing a very gentle, sober nagge, when as afterward

5.

the noble mans wife should bee set vpon him, hee pust and pranced, and stampt most strongly, disdaining that a woman should sit vpon his backe, which had carried the high Priest of the world: much like to King Alexanders Bucepbalus, which being bare, would carry any groome quietly; but when his trappings and furniture was on, then hee would endure none but Alexander. The writer of the life of Saint Berxard, relateth a pretty wonder, done by that holy man at the dedication of a Church, when as the place was so filled with multitude of flies, that the people could not enter into it without great annoyance : Saint Bernard vling no other meanes to destroy them, said onely, I excommunicate them; and presently the next morning they were all found dead on the floore. Doth this sauour of Saint Bernards holinesse : or can any man bee so madde as to thinke, that so holy a man would denounce excommunication, ordained to separate from the Congregation open and finfull men, against poore filly flies: fure hee hath no more wit then a flye, that will beleeue this: fo that notwith fanding the ancient miracles recor ded by the Fathers, yet the Legendary Romish miracles are not freed from groffe and notorious falshood.

27. Another practice of theirs to win credit to their Religion, and difgrace to ours, is flaundering and calumniating both our Religion, and the professours thereof: and that so groffely and falfely, that their owne consciences could not chuse but say secretly vnto their tongues, thou lyest, when they were writing them in their bookes: but they deale like theeues, who to cleare themselves from suspition of robbery, raise vp bue, and cry against true men: or like harlots, that lay the imputation of dishonestic vpon sober matrones, to the end that they themselves might bee thought chast and honest: so beeing full of fores and blemishes themselves, they feeke to cour their owne shame, by discovering ours. Which if it were in truth, though their enuy was never the leffe, yet their finne was not so great: but beeing notorious and outragious lyes, they plainely show that they care not what they belch fooith, so they staine vs with the filth thereof; and that

they

I.

they have learned that Matchauillian tule, andatter calumniari, to flander boldly; because though the wound bee healed,

yet a scarre remaineth.

28. Their flanders are darted either against our persons, or the government of our Church, or our doctrines: let vs take a short view of all these: and first for their personall slanders; they flander all of vs in generall, with the ignominious titles of solifidians, nullifidians, nudifidians, Infidels, worse then Turkes,&c. yea and say that wee haue no faith, no Religion, no Christ, no God; and what not that either malice can deuise, or enuy and rage vtter? These sanderous reproches are fet abroach by rayling Parsons in his booke of the three conuersions, and almost in all other of his discourses; and by Ma- Kellison survey. them Kellison, who was of a sudden start up from spigget to the 1.4. Pulpit, a buttery diuine; and by Wright another of the same Wright.art.2. fer, and all the brood of ranke mouthed Iesuites: who as if natureisme they were all bitten with one madded they were all bitten with one madde dog, raue alike against our Religion, and the professours thereof: but God bee praifed, with cuill successe; for their calumnies are so transparent, that he that doth but meanly understand the grounds of our Religion cannot but turne the lie vpon their heads.

29. But let vs heare their reasons why we are all Infidels: mary they propound two principall ones, and those very Arong, as they thinke s first they say that all learned Proteflants are Infidels, because they build their faith vpon their owne private exposition of Scripture: and secondly, that ignorant Protestants are Infidels, because they rely their faith vpon their Ministers credit. To the first, I answere two things, firft, that wee doe not interpret the Scripture by our own priuate judgements, but by the Scripture it felfe: for some places are so plaine, & those principally that contain the grounds of Religion, that they need no exposition, as Saint Augustine Aug in Ishan. witnesseth, saying that quadam in Scripturis, &c. There be some trad. 50. things in the Scripture (omanifest, that they require rather a bearer then an expounder: and what those things are, the same father declareth in another place, where he fayth, that in those

Parsons 3.com -

Idem de doct. Christ.l.2.c.9.

things which are plainely set downe in Scripture, are found all those points which containe faith and manners: and those things which are obscure and hard in Scripture, we do not expound by any forraine or private interpretation, but by conferring them with other more plaine and perspicuous places : and so except they say that the Scripture it selfe is of a private interpretation, they cannot condemne vs of that crime. Now that this is the best way of interpreting, let the same Augustine informe vs, who fayth, That there is nothing contained in hard places of Scripture which is not to be found most plainely vttered in others: and Chry Coftome, who affirmeth, that the Scripture expoundeth it selfe, and suffereth not the Reader to erre: and Bafill, who telleth vs, that the fethings which be doubtfull, or feeme to be conertly spoken, in some places of holy Scripture, are expounded by other plaine places. Of the same minde are the rest of the Fathers: and so wee expound the Scripture no otherwise then all the ancient Fathers vsed to doe, and then indeed it ought to bc.

Idem Ibid.l.2.

Chryfost, bom, 12 in Gen.
Basil. quæst.
compt. explic. q,
267.
Hier. com. in
Isay. c. 19.

30. I, but wee follow not the judgement of the Church, (say they) which hath the onely key of interpretation committed vnto it: if they meane by the Church the fathers, we may justify our selves by condemning them of the same fault: they deale with them as the Iewes dealt wirh their wives, if they please their humors they hold vr to them; but if they croffe or thwart them, they sue out a bill of duorce against them, and put them away: nothing is more common then this in all their writings: and therefore it needs no instances to prooue it: if they meane the Councils; why, by their owne teaching, no Councill is of sufficient authority except it bee confirmed by the Pope: nor any decree or interpretation to bee entertained without his approbation. Therefore they must needs meane the Pope alone, and if they doe so, then we confesse that wee have just causes not to tye our faith to his girdle, nor our vnderstanding to his braine: seeing many of that ranke haue beene open Heretikes, some notorious Atheifts, all men and therefore subject to errour: yea seeing the body of their Church is an Apostate harlot, and the surmised head

head on earth, that man of sinne, the great Antichrist, spoken of in the Scriptures. If to vary from him then, and his Baby-lon, in our exposition of Scripture, bee private interpretation, wee confesse our selues guilty, but in all other respects cleare and innocent.

31. Secondly, grant that wee doe in some points follow on our owne private exposition, yet wee are not therefore Insidels: for then most of the Fathers should bee insidels as well as wee: for there are sew of them which have not sometimes privately, yea and falsely expounded the Scriptures: as their owne Doctors confesse. Canus saying that they spake with a bumane spirit, and erred sometimes in things which aftermard have appeared to appertaine to the faith: and Possenine, that there are some things in the Fathers, wherein unwitingly they disented from the Church; either therefore they must tax them with insidelity as well as vs, or cleare vs as well as them, if althe force of the argument hang upon this pin, that therefore wee are Insidels, because we privatly expound the Scriptures.

Canus loc. 1.76 c.3. Posseu. biblioth. select.l.12.c.23.

32. To the second, viz. that all vnlearned Protestants are Insidels, because they rely their faith vpon the credit of the translatours, I answere three things; first, that they doe not rely their faith vpon the credit and fidelity of any translatour, but partly vpon the iudgement and authority of the Church, which receive th such translations, and alloweth them, and is able to iudge of them: and partly and principally vpon the word translated, which containeth such holy and heavenly doctine, as none that readeth, or heareth it, can chuse but acknowledge the Maiestie of Gods Spirit speaking in it.

33. Secondly, if our people are therefore Infidels, because they cannot examine the translations by the Hebrew and Greeke, and doe therefore rely their faith vpon the translatours credit: then Angustine was an infidell, who knew neither of these languages, but was as it is written of him, monoglossos: and then many godly Doctours and Fathers of the Church were Infidels, who for the most part were allignorant of the Hebrew tongue, and some of them of the Greeke

Theodor, de curation, Gracor. affection.lib.5. also:and lastly then all the godly Christians in the purer times who both read and heard the Scriptures translated into their mother tongues were insidels, for they all relyed their faith upon the word translated, but not for the translators sake, who might erre in translating many places, but for the sound, holy, and heavenly doctrine therein contayned.

34. Thirdly, if this maketh men infidels to relye their faith vpon man; then the ignorant Romanists must needs be all infidels, whose implicite, Colliarlike saith is grounded onely vpon the Church: that is not onely vpon the Pope, who is in power the whole Church, but also vpon enery ordinary Paftor, be he Iesuite, or Priest, or Frier, or any other, whom they are (according to their dininity) bound in conscience to beleeue what socue they teach, as hath been shewed: now this is to rely their faith vpon the sidelity and credit of man, and therfore the blame of infidelity salleth vpon them more justly then vpon vs: and thus this accusation of theirs, that we have no faith, no religion, no God, no Christ, but are plain Insidels, in many accessive and area standard.

is a most notorious and open sander.

35. Thus generally they flander our religion, and the professors thereof, but not content therewith, they set vpon particular persons, and those that are most eminent in our Church, either in authority of place, or excellency of learning, that like Captaines march in the head of the ranks. For to omit their horrible raylings against Kings, Princes, Magistrates, Nobles, and men of high place, that any wayes oppofed themsclues to the Romish Monarchie, whose glorious vertues were so resplendent, that the mist of their slanders cannot darken the lustre thereof: Lord how they raue and rage against the ashes of Luther, Oecolampadius, Zwinglius, Caluin, Beza, and other worthie champions of our Church. Of Luther they write, that he was an Apostate Friar, that through enuy, pride, and ambition, fell from them, because the office of publishing Indulgences, was taken from the Monks of his order, and translated vnto the preaching Friers; and that he had conference with the Diuell about the private Masse, and was taught by him that it was vulawfull; and that

Cechleus in affis Lutheri.

2.

Bell de notis

in a disputation at Elipsia he vetered these blasphemous specches, This cause was neither begun for God, nor shall be ended for God: and that his life was incestuous, and he himselfe a campian. Rat. 5 notable wine-bibber, and his death infamous and fearefull, he going to bed merry and drunke, and being found the next morning dead, his body being black, and his tongue hanging forth as if he had been strangled; and that after his death his body so sanke that they could not endure to carry it to his graue, but threw it in a ditch : and that the Deuils departed from many that were possessed, and came to his funerall. These and many other strange fistions they have set vpon the stage, for the disgracing of the life, death, and memory of that bleffed instrument of God.

Thyrraus Icf. d Damon, part. I the (.99.

36. For Calain, they report, that he was branded on the Reinold. Calaino back by the Magistrate for his Sodomiticall and brutish lust; turcif, l.2.p.259. and that he dyed in despaire, calling vpon the Diuell, swearing, curling, and blaspheming most miserably, being possesfed with the lousie disease, and wormes so increasing in an impostume, or most sinking vicerabout his priny members, that none of the flanders by could any longer indure his Ainke. The like flander they lay vpon the life of Beza, who Idem Ibid. they say in his youth was an effeminate, wanton, luxurious Poet, and deserved as much shame for his filthy life as Calmin had done. Zwinglius was flaine (fay they) by Gods infl indgement in the warre against the Catholicks. Oecolampadius dyed suddenly in the night: and Carolastadius was murthered by the Deuill.

37. Further they tell how Luther went about in vaine to restore to life one Mesenus that was drowned, by whispering and murmuring in his eare; and how he would have cast our Bell, de notis ecthe Deuill out of a certaine mayd, but was in danger to be clef.lib.4.ca.14. flayne by him; and how Calnin compacted with one Bruleus to fayne himselfe to be dead, that to shew the lawfulnesse of his extraordinary calling, he might miraculoufly rayse him to life againe, and that he produed dead indeed, and deceived his expectation, and made him a knowne impostor. I hus they belch forth their venome against these good men, that through

Cochleus in actis Luiberi.

Staphyl. in Ab-Solut , respons.

Bilfee. vita Caluini. cap.13.

through their sides they might wound the Gospell and truth which they prosessed, but with what likelyhood of truth I pray you marke and judge, and because matters of sact can be prooued by no other euidence but by witnesse, except God miraculously discouer them to the world, and witnesses also must be impartiall and without exception, or else their testimonic is of no moment, let vs therefore compare those that speake for them with these that are against them, and try whether described for edit.

Sleidan commen. lib 16. Lonicer. theat. histor.pag.244.

Eraf.epist.li.11.
ep.1.ad Card.
Eborac.

38. Sleidan writeth of Luther, that his death was most sweet and comfortable, sull of heavenly prayers, and godly exhortations, at which were present the Earle of Manssield, and other Noble men, Instus Ionas the Schoolemaister of his children, Michael Caleus, Iohannes Aurisaber, and many more, who testissed the same to be true: and Erasmus reporteth of his life, that it was approoued with great consent of all men, and that the integritie of his manners was such, that his very enemies could finde nothing in him that they might calumniate: which to be true, may appeare by this, that Fisher the Bishop of Rochester his profest adversary writing against him, doth not in all his booke once tax him of misdemeanour, or of any notorious crime, which he would surely have done, if any either just cause, or light suspicion had beene ministred vnto him.

Reynold Caluino turenf.l.2.c.11.

Nichol Gelaf, in epift. prafix. com. Caluino in Ef.yam. 39. Touching the life and death of Calvine, Beza who was his familiar friend, and dayly affociate, affirmeth, that the one was full of holinesse and good works, and voyd of scandall; and the other sull of peace to himselfe, and comfort to his friends and beholders. Nicholaus Gelassus writeth of his death, that he was at that time so farre from blaspheming and cursing, that the day before his death he called all the Ministers of the city together, and tooke his leave of them with most holy and louing speaches, and the next day gave over his life, dormient similar quam morienti, more like to one that slept then that dyed.

40. Zwinglius was slaine indeed in the warre against Romanists, but that doth not proue either his life to have beene

vicious

vicious, or his doctrine erroneous, for then good losias should be condemned for an vngodly king, who was flaine in warre 2. Chron, 35: by the Egyptians: and they must needs bring their owne Doctor Sanders into the same imputation, and that by greater reason, who was slaine in the Irish war, not one'y against Protestants, but like a perfidious traitour against his owne countrey and Soueraigne. Oecolampadisss whom they accuse to have died suddenly in the night, albeit that kind of death hath and might befall Gods deare children, as it did that good Emperour Theodosius, of whose saluation, Saint Ambrose neuerthelesse maketh no doubt : yet Simon Gryneus who was present at his death, and Wolfangus Capito that lived at that time, report, that he lay fick fixteene dayes, and before his death exhorted all that were present to prayer and constancie, and after he had sung the fiftieth Psalme throughout, he gaue vp the ghost, with much assurance of Gods fauour. As for Caroloftadius, though we have no witnesses of his life and death extant in print (as far as I have read) yet it is most likely that this report of his death commeth out of the fame mint, feeing it issued out of the mouth of his sworne enemies, and those that hated him. Beza himselfe confesseth the errours of his youth, but they were whilft he was a Romish affeeted and vnconverted, and yet no fuch great matters neither, as might vtterly blemish his good name, for they were not lasciulous acts, but wanton poems, the froth of youth: but let them touch him if they can after he became a Protestant, malice it selse is not able to cast any durt of scandall vpon him.

41. Now compare our witnesses with theirs, theirs were enemies, ours friends: theirs led with malice, ours with love: theirs absent, curs present: theirs report that which they had by hearefay (if they did not rather deuise then receive) ours tell nothing but that whereof they were eye-witnesses: now judge whether malice be not more prone to slander, then friendship to flatter; and whether an enemy is not ever more forward to desame, then a friend to maintaine credit; and whether is more likely to lye, a malitious soe in disgracing,

Plantus.

Bell. denotis eccl.1.4 c.14. or a louing friend in commending; and lastly whether deserueth better credit those that are absent, and fetch their report from other mens mouthes, or those that are present, and speake vpon their owne knowledge, and beholding. Surely the doubt may easily bee resolued, if we consider either that which the Poet fayth, &c. One eye witnesse is more worth then tenne eare witneffes, or that which their own Bellarmine fayth, Stultum est, &c. It is a foolish thing to beleeve those that are absent rather then those that were present : or that which reason it selse, grounded upon Religion, telleth vs, that malice is more prone to lye and discredit an enemie, then loue and friend-Thip is to defend a friend, feeing an cuill affection in a wicked man is perfectly euill, but a good affection in any man is imperfectly good. These testimonies being thus weighed in an euen ballance, wee haue greater reason to beleeue Sleydan, Erasmus, Gelasius, Melanchton, Capito, Gryneus: then Cochlans, Surius. Belsecas, or Schusselburgus, though not a Romanift, yet as great an enemy: or any of these rayling Rabsakehs, who cared not what they wroght against our persons, so that they might springle disgrace vpon our Religion thereby.

42. But wee, if wee would vrge this argument against them, and indeed as oft as wee doe it, wee produce not for witnesses their enemies, but their close friends, and profest fanourers of their Religion; as Polonus, Platina, Onuphrius, Luitprandus, Mauclerus, Sigonius, Baronius, &c. all which doe report of their owne Popes, that many of them were fuch monsters of men, as the Sun never faw greater : neither Sardanapalus, nor Nero, nor Heliogabalus, nor Scilla, nor Catiline, doe goe before many of them in cruelty, gluttony, luxury, and all manner ofvices, infomuch as it grewinto a Prouerbe, that hee which would represent the most compleat villaine that could be imagined, his next way were to make the picture of a Pope: now these are not our flanderings of them, as theirs are of vs: but the constant reports of those that were sworne subjects to the Sea of Rome: and therefore would have rather with Shem, cast their cloakes upon the naked filthinesse of their noly Fathers, then with Cham laughed at the same, had it not beene so notorious and famous, that it could not bee hidden.

43. To conclude, that not onely by probable conjecture, but by euident proofe their flanders may appeare : wee have two notable arguments of the same; the first is that strange tale spread abroad in Italy, touching Luthers death, before he Lonicer. theat. was dead : how in his sicknesse hee desired the body of our bistor. pag. 246. Lord to bee communicated vnto him; and after when he faw his end approach, entreated that his body might bee laide on the Altar, and worshipped with divine honours: and how at his buriall Almighty Godraiseda great noyse and tumult, and that the holy hoste hung in the aire, and in a thunder that his body was taken out of his graue, and nothing left but a flinke of brimstone, which had well nigh stifled all the standers by. This tale was published before Luthers death, and a copie thereof came into his owne hands, which he read with a glad heart, and detested the blasphemy therein contained. The like Bezaepistante stander was raised vp touching Beza his death, long before Annet.iu nouum hee dyed, and came also into his hands, as may appeare in his testam. Epissle before his annotations vpon the new Testament; by which wee may see what manner of reports they bee, which are deuised by these Romanists against vs; and ex unque leonem, by this judge of the rest. The second is the confession of that perfidious Apostate Bolsek, who (as it is reported) in a publike Synode with weeping eyes, acknowledged with what vniust and flanderous reproches he had loaden Calnine. and that all which hee had written of him to his difgrace, was false and votrue : now what Bolseck did against Calnine, wee have just cause to thinke to hane beene the practice of the o. thers, against the rest of the fore-named godly men, and all other of our profession, knowing that old Prouerbe to bee true, that though the wound of a mans good name be healed. yet a scarre will euer remaine. Let this suffice, touching their personall flaunders, though much more might bee added: for their malice in this kinde, is of an vnlimitable extent.

44. Secondly, they calumniste our government, and that which two notable falle acculations : first, of vniustice, both Sander lib, de

in Schismat.

in the substance of the Lawes, enacted against them, and secondly, of cruelty in the execution of the same Lawes: but it is an easie matter to discouer their flanders, and to justificour state from both these imputations: for touching our Lawes; first of all they are of that nature, that except they will condemne all the statute Lawes that cuer were made, either in this, or any other common wealth, they cannot condemne them of injustice: they were not made in a corner, or deuised by the braine of any Licurgus, Solon, or Numa Pompilius, pretending the conference and counfell of some divine power, to gaine authority vnto them; but by the whole state of the kingdome affembled in Parliament, the Lords spirituall and temporall, with the Commons, a select company, gathered out of the wifest, sagest, and discretest persons of the whole land: and that which is the happinesse of this kingdome aboue others, not rashly or suddenly, but after mature and graue deliberation: neither by the Prince alone, without his subjects, nor the subjects alone, without their Prince, but by both confenting, subscribing, ratifying, and appropuing the same. Now doe they imagine any man to bee so simple, as vpon their bare word to condemne Lawes, thus made as vniust, and not rather to condemne them as vniust slanderers, and impudent sycophants, that thus rage against a whole state, vpon a privat malitious spirit? especially seeing no Law bee it neuer so iust, doth please the humour of malefactors, that would gladly live without Law, that their wickednesse might goe vnpunished: for the Law Iulia could not please adulterers, nor the Law Cornelia murtherers, nor the Law Reminia promooters: and yet these Lawes were neuer the worse for that such malesactors dishked them; but they rather the more desperate, for accusing the Lawes of iniustice : as if a theefe condemned of a robbery, should cry out that the Law was vniust, by which hee was condemned: so these sellowes being guilty of treason against the Prince and state, have no wayes to cloake themselves, but with this out-cry, the Lawes are uniust; whereas they should rather keepe themselnes inneinnocent, and then the Lawes would never take no hold of them.

45. Secondly, if it bee true which Thomas Aquinas fayth, that then Lawes are said to bee inst; first, when they are made Aquin. 1.2.9.96 for the common good: secondly, when they exceed not his power art. 24. that maket b them; and thirdly, when they have their due forme, to wit, when the burthens are imposed on the subject, with a certaine equality of proportion in order to the common good: then our Lawes are just and good Lawes; for they are made by full authority in Parliament, they tend to the conservation of the Kings Maiesty, and whole Common-wealth intranquillity and peace: and their penalties are so proportioned, that by the gentle punishment of some sew, the whole state is preserued.

46. Thirdly, they themselves were occasioners at least, if not causers of those Lawes that were made against them; for the Bull of Pius Quintus, which came roaring into this land, in the tenth yeere of Queene Elizabeth, whereby the Queene was accurfed, and deposed, and her subjects discharged of their obedience and oath, was the root of all this mischiese: for it caused the first Lnw made, anno 13. Elizabeth, and not onely gaue occasion to it, but bred recusancy in ordinary Romanists, which vsed to come to Church before time, and sedition and rebellion in the Priests and Iesuites, and some eminent persons; yea and manifold bloudy practices, by treason against her Maiest es sacred person, and the state. These perilous effects procured other Lawes to be deuised, more seuere and Arich then the former, again A reculants, feditious books, Iesuites and Priests, that beeing borne Englishmen, should goe beyond sea, and take vpon them the Romish Priesthood, and so returne into these dominions to infect her loyal fubiects with the poylon of their doctrine : and what were the causes, I pray you, fince his Maiesties comming to the Crowne, of the reuiuing those former statutes, and enlarging them in some points; and of the new oath of Allegiance,

which hath stirred up so many pens to write both for it, and

against it? were not the treasonable practices of many Ro-Gg 3 milh mish male contented persons? sure it was high time to countermyne against them, by some Christian politike Lawes, when their malice was growen to that hight, that they cared not what mischiese they wrought, so that they might worke their willes: by all which it is evident, that they may thanke themselves for those Lawes, and not our state, which were drawen vnto the making therof, with vnwilling minds, and more vnwillingly to their execution. So that as according to the old saying, Good Lawes spring out of enill manners; so from the sountaine of these search these Lawes which

they so calumniate.

47. Fourthly, the Lawes thus occasioned by their owne villany, doe not run vpon them with violence, but they defperatly runne vpon the point of the Lawes: for if they keepe themselves at home in quiet, they might enion the liberty of their Conscience, without any danger from the Lawes, saueonly a gentle mulch imposed ypon them, for refusing to communicate with vs in the feruice of our Church : and if like fugitine children they should flee from their own natural mother, vnto a step-dame in forraine Countreyes, and there receine vpon them not onely the Character of Romish Priesthood, but also into them the poyson of treason, they might Ray there still, without any coaction from the Lawes: for they were directed onely against such as beeing priested, returned into their countrey to practise treason, and to withdraw the peoples hearts from their obedience, and reconcilethem to the Church of Rome. So that the Law is but like a naked sword, held foorth by the hand of the state for it own desence, which these desperate Priests run voluntarily vpon, and kill themselves: and therefore they themselves are guilty of selfe-murder, and not the Law of injustice.

48. Fiftly, and lastly, this matter may be yet more evident, all men know that there was never any Law hitherto enacted in this kingdome, to put to death any Romanist for his Religion; except hee either passed over the Seas, and returned backe with the order of Priest-hood, his heart beeing full of

treason, and his hands of violence, as was said before, or gaue entertainment to such traytours in his house a certaine time, that lurked for an opportunity to doe mischiefe, or moued feditions or rebellion in the State, let them name but one in these 57. yeares of our late Queens and now Kings regiment. that hath been punished with death meerely for his religion, and wee will confesse them not to be such malicious sinderers, albeit in truth to punish Idolaters, and such as perswade to an Apostacy from God, with death, is no vniust law, but euen grounded vpon the law of God it selfe, Deut. 13.5. 8, 9. our lawes then are so farre from exceeding the bounds of iustice, that they rather offend in comming too short thereof, which indeed is the lesse offence of the two, because it approcheth neerer to the medium; and that our Law-givers knew right well, which moved them to be rather too remisse, then too extreame.

49. Adde hereunto that all of them, both priests and people, chat are absolute Papists, must needs nourish secret treason in their hearts, what soeuer they pretend in outward shew, for every true Romanist is bound to obey the Iesuite or Priest, informing him vpon paine of damnation; and the Iesuite errant must obey the President resident of his Colledge, and beat his direction, and the Colledge Gouernour must obey his superiour of the order, and he setcheth his influence from the Pope; fo that if the Pope being the highest Spheare moue in a violent motion, and command to depose or kill the King, as Pins Quintus did our late Queene, then all the inferiour Spheares must be caried about with the same kind of agitation, and if they make either a trembling or retrograde motion, they are not fit Planets for the Romish Spheare, and thus in Queene Elizabeths time all the rabble of them, I meane both Priests and absolute lay Papists were traytors either in heart, or act, because the first mouer by his Bull moued that waves, and so if they are not at this day by refusing the oath of allegiance, yet if the Pope should shoot out his thunderbolt, and actually excommunicate and depose our King, shey must needs either renounce their allegiance, or their Gg 4 Romish

Panormit. capin homin. extra de judicijs. Gregory de Valent. tom. 3. in I hom. difp. 1. q. 12. Bunnes in 2.2. q. 12. Romish saith, which bindeth them to this necessity; albeit most of their owne Doctors confesse that the King is excommunicate ipso satto, and then they need not exspect any personall denunciation of the sentence, but may and must if they see opportunity, without any further direction, rise vp in armes, and pull him out of his Throne, thus which way socuer a man looke, he shall spy Treason in their religion, and insticc in our lawes.

50. Touching cruelty in perfecution of them (which is the second crime whereof they accuse the government of our state) it is so shamelesse an accusation, and so sarre from shew of truth, that there is none that either dwell amongst vs, or that know the mild and gentle administration of this common-wealth, but will with one voyce and verdict condemne it for a notorious slander. But for surther direction of them in this point, and for stopping of the mouthes of those malicious persons, that out of the rancour of their hearts towards vs have vttered forth these vntruths, let these sew observations be remarked, first, that never any of them hath bin put to death in this kingdome, but by forme of instice and due proceeding according to the lawes, whereas the Protestants have binstaine by them partly by treason, and partly by massacres, and that in great multitudes, as is before declared.

51. Secondly, that such as have thus by law been executed amongst vs were so handled, not for their religion, but either for treason or rebellion, or some other notable crime, which to be true, this one reason doth sufficiently prooue, because there was no law ever yet made in this land to punish Romish recusants by death, if they kept themselves within the limits of their religion, and did not slye either beyond sea, and there become Priests, or remayning at home, entertaine such persons into their houses, and mayntaine them against the State: whereas they on the other side have committed to the edge of the sword, and the sury of the fire infinite Protestants onely for their religion, without any other crime or cause layd to their charge, as the six Articles in Henry the eights time, and the miserable burning of many poore soules in Queene Ma-

ries quinquenie, onely for denying to subscribe to the doctrine of Transubstantiation, doth apparently enince.

53. Thirdly, that in all Queene Elizabeths time by the space of 44. yeares and vpwards, there were executed in all not aboue 180. Priests, receivers and harbourers of them: and fince king lames came to the Crowne of the latter fort not any, and of the former not much about a dozen, (I speake within compasse) except those Powder-villaines, who they themselves dare not for shame but confesse that they received a iust reward for their demerits, whereas in Queene Maryes fine yeares raigne, neere vpon three hundred persons were cruelly put to death for religion, as the publicke actes and records of our Church do testifie. Fourthly, that all the punishment inflicted vpon our recusants, if they kept themselucs peaceable and quiet from actuall treason, and entertainement of traytors, was, and is, but a pecuniary mulch, and that fo gentle, that there is sufficiently lest vnto them to mayntaine themselves and their families in good estate, except either they leeke to feduce others, or refuse to abjure the land. being convicted and pass hope of amendment, or denye to take the oath of allegeance being offered voto them: their goods are not conficate, their bodyes not imprisoned, their persons not banished, their liues are not taken from them, onely a certaine portion of their lands and goods is forfeited, and that redeeme a number of them at a low and easie rate, what punishment could be more remisse, whereas when the fword was in their hands, as now under the bloudy Inquisicion; not a small mulct, but a proscription; not goods, but liues; not restraint, and limitation, but imprisonment, bonds, and viter ruine and destruction doth serve their turne.

Statut. Elizab. anno 21. 6 35+ & lacob. 4.

54. Fiftly, that many of their Bishops and Priests have not onely been freed from all seuere punishment, but also intreated after a kind and fauourable manner: to begin with the late Queenes raigne, of fourteene Bishops that with stood her Vide Episcops proceedings in matter of religion, and all of them refused to Elien. Respons. set the Crowne vpon her head, except Oglethorp the Bishop of Carbeil, nine lived at their liberty, without restraynt of Tortum pag.

ad Matheum

their

their persons, vnlesse they account this a restraint, to be committed to the free custodie of their friends, as some of them were, and lived in great case and abundance all their life, and dyed with age or ficknesse, as nature required, and not by any extremity of juffice shewed vnto them. Three of their owne accord forlooke the kingdome, not being inforced by any superiour command: and two, to wit, Wat fon of Lincolne, and Boner of London, were committed to prison, but so, that in their prisons they wanted nothing that either might scrue for necessity or pleasure, liberty onely excepted; then after about the middle of her raigne, Hart and Bosgraue, and Rifhton, and Norton, foure Isluites, being in the hands of the State, and deferuing by the lawes to be punished, yet by denying that one vnconcluded article of the Popes omnipotent Supremacy in temporall matters, obtained of her Maielly not onely life and freedome from punishment, but liberty and free power to dispose of themselves in any forceine countrey at their pleasures; and at the latter end of her mercifull reigne. the Castles of Wishbish and Fremingham, wherein divers of their chiefest ring-leaders were in custody, do beare witnesse how mildely they were dealt withall, their life having been there more casie and pleasant, and their maintenance more plentifull then most of the Students and Ministers among vs in their best prosperity.

55. Neither hath our vertuous King since his rightfull posfessing of the imperiall crowne of these vnited kingdomes,
been any whit more sharp and seuere, but rather more gentle
and remisse, vntil their hellish Powder-plot wrung from him,
and the State some small addition to these former lawes, yet
farre remote from all bloudy or cruell purpose against them.
For to omit his Majessies exceeding elemency extended to
them all in the beginning of his raigne, pardoning some, aduancing others to dignities & offices in the common-wealth,
releasing the fines and mulests to all, and giving by his Proclamation free liberty to all Jesuites and Seminary Priests, to
be free from the penalty of the Lawes, so that they departed
the kingdome within a certaine prescribed time; even at this

day, and ouer fince his Maicsties royall regiment, those Priests that have been apprehended and might juffly be put to death by tenour of the lawes, yet have and might be pardoned, if they would but renounce the Popes temporall foueraignetie, and receive the oath of alleageance, as the example of Blackwell their Arch-priest, and of divers besides doth testifie.

56. Thus gently are they handled with vs, and yet they complaine, whereas when they were armed with authority, all the Bishops and Ministers that refused to conforme themfelues to their religion, presently either were apprehended, imprisoned, burned, and most cruelly and tyrannically vsed, or constrained to forsake the kingdome, and seeke reliefe and fuccour in forreine countries, there was no remedy nor releasement but either deny their faith, or dye, choose they which.

57. And for their dealing at this day, where the Inquisition prevaileth, is any one suffred to live among them that is but once suspected to be of our religion? is not every such a oneeither murdered in secret, or brought to the stake in publick? the miserable butchery that is made of poore Protestants by rhese tigres, is lamentable to speake, and almost incredible to be beleeued, and were it death onely it might be accounted. a fauour, but to be stripped not onely of all a mans goods, but also of his apparell, and a poore Beadles threed-bare gowne to be put on his back, and to be releeued by almes, as famous. Cranmer the Archbishop of Canterbury was, to be almost starued with cold, and eaten with lice, as deuout Latimer was, to be kept in a close slinking filthy prison, having for his bed a little pad of fraw, with a rotten coucring, as worthie Hoopen was, to be whipt, and scourged, and stockt, and pend up in Little ease, 2s divers were by bloudy Bonner: these cruelties were more cruell then death, and yet these were the mercies of those mercilesse tyrants; yea, they not onely raged against the living, but the dead also, for they caused the bones of Bucer and Fagins to be raked out of their graues, and to be burned for Heretikes: a practife many times vsed by Popes, and Romish Prelates, which notwithstanding the very Heathen abhorred:: Anno 1555. Inlii 3. abhorred: nay that whi h is both strange and ridiculous: one Iames Trenisam a Protestant, dying in the Parish of Saint Margaret, in Lothbery, and being buried in Moore-field, the same night his body was taken out of the grave, and his sheet taken from him, and lest naked: and beeing againe buried, a fortnight after, the Summoner came to his grave, & summoned him to appeare at Paules before his ordinary, to auswere such things as should bee laide to his charge, heere is malice mixed with folly and cruelty in the highest straine.

Plutarih.

Plutarin.

Breue Pauli Quinti. anno 1606. Eccle. Anglican. trophæa impre. Romæ, cum priuileg. Gregor. 13

58. Now if it be a kinde of mercy to dispatch one quickly that must dye, what mercy is this in these men, that inflict so many deaths vpon poore Protestants, euen whilst they live? and if as Plutarch fayth, Nemo nisi malus loculos mortuorum violabit; none but hee that is wicked will wrong the sepulchres of the dead: what wicked wretches are these, and cruell beasts, that spare not the dead bones, nor seare to offer violence to our graues? and yet for all this they are not ashamed to accuse vs of persecuting them, and to call our handling of them, by the name of a most cruell and terrible persecution: as Paulus Quintus doth in his first briefe to his falsenamed Catholikes, in the yeere 1 606. yea they have not blushedto write, and to publish in print, and so set foorth in Pictures, that many of them have beene here in England, wrapped in Beares skinnes, and baited with dogges, tome tyed to horse maungers, and fed with hay, others to have their bowels gnawed out with dormice, included in basons, with a number of such like horrible and fearfull kinds of torments. which the Sunne neuer yet beheld in this kingdome, nor euer shall behold. I trust.

the Lawes and Statutes of this Realme, and from the comparing of their dealing towards vs, with ours towards them, this conclusion doth necessarily arise, that theirs is the bloudy and persecuting malignant Church, and not ours: and that by their malicious imputing to vs that great crime, they prooue themselves to bee open and notorious slanderers, and so to persecute vs not onely with the sword, but also with their ve-

4.

mous tongues, which according to the Propher Davidse speech are sharper then razours, and more virulent then the the fling of the Aspe, or poylon of the Cocatrice; I pray God

amend them, and lay not this sinne to their charge.

60. The third obiect of their flaundering tongues, is our Religion it selfe, with the doctrines therein contained, where their practice is either to deriue sophisticall conclusions. from fuch points of doctrine, which we indeed held: or to lay to our charges such doctrines as we never intended : and these false forged conclusions, they make show to extract out of our owne bookes: but it is either by mistaking the meaning of the Author, or by wilfull peruerting his wordes and fense: or at least by culling some incoherent sentences heere and there, that seeme to make for their purpose, contrary to the whole scope and drift of the writer: or lastly, by blemishing our whole Religion by some sinister or exorbitant opinion, maintained by some one or other, vnaduised fellow, though it bee contrary to the whole current of all other writers on our fide : as if for one mans errour wee were all flat Heretikes; or because one souldier playeth the dastard, therefore the whole army were cowards. These bee their tricks of Legerdemaine, by which they indeuour to difgrace our Religion, and to countenance their owne: but Veritas magna eft, & pranalebit. I hope so to dispell and scatter these mists by the light of truth, that they shall vanish like smoake, and the truth bee more resplendent, like the Sunne comming out of a cloud.

61. To the purpose : first, they exclaime that our Religion is an enemy to good workes, and that wee esteeme of Concil. Trid. them as not necessary to saluation; which damnable errour, some of them ascribe vnto vs, as our direct doctrine, others as a consequence of our doctrine, and our secret meaning: but that both are lying flanders, I appeal first to our dochine it selfe, which is so cleare in this point, that no man can. doubt thereof, but hee that is mussed with malice: for this we hold, that though faith be alone in the worke of instification, Granida Donoyet that faith ever worketh through love : and is great with rum of cruing.

Bell de Iuflif. 1.4.0.1. Kellifors. fur ney.

Melancthon.lib.
de visit. Saxon,
Chemnit.loc.cap.
de oper.renat.
Caluin.Instit.l.1
c.16.
Polan.thes.de
boxis operib.nu.

Luther. in Gen.

Idem Ibid. c.22.

Idem tom.4. fol. 109.

Idem pag. 167.

good workes, as a woman with child, which it bringeth forth also when occasion serueth: and that if it bee distoyned from good workes, it is but a dead carkas of faith, yea the faith of Devils and hypocrites, and not of the elect. And this as it is the constant doctrine of all our divines: so is it principally of Luther, whom our aduersaries accuse as the chiefest enemy to good workes: for thus hee writeth in one place touching the efficacy of faith: Faith is a lively and powerfull thing, not an idle cogitation, swimming upon the toppe of the heart: as a fowle vpon the water, but as water heated by fire, though it remaine water still, yet it is no more cold, but hote, and altogether changed: so faith doth frame and fashion in a man another mind, and other senses, and altogether maketh him a new man. Again in another place he fayth, that the vertue of faith is to kill death, to damne hell, to be sinne to sinne, and a deutll to the deutll: that is, to be sins poison, and the Deuils confusion. Thus hee speaketh concerning the powerful efficacy of that true instifying faith, which wee rely our faluation vpon, and they condemne as a nulli-fidian portion. And touching good works, their necessity and excellency, heare how divinely he writeth in one place : Out of the cause of instification, no man can sufficiently commend good workes: in another, One good worke, proceeding from faith, done by a Christian, is more pretious then heaven or earth, the whole world is not able to give a sufficient reward for one good worke; and in another place: It is as necessary, that godly teachers doe as diligently vrge the doctrine of good workes, as the doctrine of faith, for the Deuillis an enemy to both: what can bee spoken more effectually for the extolling of the excellency of good workest: and yet these fellowes make Luther the greatest adversarie to them.

62. Secondly, I appeale to themselves, many of the greatest D ctors, amongst whom doe cleare vs from that imputation: Maldonate. The Protestants doe say, that instifying faith cannot bee without good workes: Viega. The Protestants affirme, that instification of sanctification are so inyned together, that they cannot be parted: Stapleton: All Protestants, none excepted, teach, that faith which instificth, is linely working by cha-

Maldon.com.in Ioh. 3.15. Vioga de Iusif. 1.15. c 5. Stapl. de Iusif. 1.9.c.7.

€ 1,3.6.5.

rity, and other good workes. Lastly Bellarmine. The Protestants Bell. de Iustif. lay, that faith cannot stand with enill workes; for hee that hath a purpose to sin, can conceine no faith for the remission of his sin: and that faith alone doth instifie: but yet is not alone: and that they exclude not the necessity, but onely the merite of good morkes, nor the presence, but the efficacy to instifie. Now then, with what face can they bolfter out this flaunder against our doctrine, and accuse vs to be like the Simonian Heretike, who taught, that a man need not regard good workes: and Eunomians, who defended, that perseuerance in sinne, did not hinder saluation, so that wee beleeved. This is the first blasphemie against our Religion, wherein they doe not so much thwart vs,as croffe theinfelues: and that one may fee yet more clearely, this to bee a malicious flaunder: hearken what Bellarmine Bell. de Inflif. 1. fayth concerning Luthers opinion of Christian liberty: Lu. 4.c.4. ther seemeth (sayth he) to teach, that Christian liberty consistes h in this, that a godly conscience is free not from doing good workes, but from being accused or defended by them: & let Luther himself speake againe: By faith (sayth he) we are freed not from works, Luther.tom. 1. but from opinion of workes, that is, from a foolsh presumption of Pag. 472; instification, to bee obtained by morkes: by all which we may eafily judge of the meaning of those sentences objected: (Faith alone doth saue: and infidelity alone doth condemne: and where faith is no sinne can hurt nor condemne:) that they are to be voderstood, partly of sinnes before instification, and partly of fuch finnes after, as defroy not faith, nor raigne in the belecuer, nor are perseuered in, but repented of, and laboured against, and thus our Religion is instified by the very aduerfaries thereof, from this great crime imputed vnto it.

63. Againe, they accuse vs as maintainers of this doctrine, that all the workes of iust men, are mortall sinnes, and of this they make, Luther, Calume, and Melancthon to be Patrones: but with what shamelesse impudency, let the world judge. To begin with Caluine, these be his words: Dum sancti ductu Spiritus, &c. (i.) Whilft being holy, wee walke in the wayes of the Lord, yet least being forget full of our selues, wee sould waxe proud, there remainreliques of imperfection, which may minister peccat.

Bell. de Iustif. 1.4.C.I. Luther in affert. art.31.32.36. Caluin Inftis.1.3 C.12. C. l.4. C.9. Melanct.loc. de onto vs, matter of humiliation: againe, the best worke that can be wrought by inst men, yet is besprinkled and corrupted with the impurity of the sless, and hath as it were some dregs mixed with it: let the holy servant of God chuse out of his whole life, that which he shall thinke to have been emost excellent, let him well consider every part thereof, hee shall without doubt sinde in one place or other, something which savours of the slesses corruption, seeing our alacrity in well doing is never such as it ought to be, but our weakenes great in hindering the course: although we see that the blots, where with the Saints workes are stayned, are not obscure, yet grant that they are but very small workes, shall they not offend the eyes of God, before whom the starres themselves are not pure? we have not one worke proceeding from the Saints, which if it be

censured in it selfe, doth not deserve a just reproofe...

64. In the other place obiected, hee wri eth thus: Qui serio tanquam sub conspectu Dei, & c. (i.) They which shall earnestly as in the sight of God, seeke the true rule of instice, shall finde for certainty all the words of men, if they be censured by their owne dignity, to be nothing but pollution and filthines: and that which is commonly called righteousnesse, to be before God meere iniquity: that which is counted integrity, to be impurity, and that which is esteemed glory, to be ignominie. Let the Reader now judge what notorious lyars these bee to fasten this opinion vpon Caluin, whose wordes I have sincerely and fully set downe, that every one may see their false dealing: for in what one place nameth hee mortall finne? or what one word tendeth to that end? The worse termes hee giveth to good workes in the first place are these: That they are sprinkled with imperfection, mixed with the dregs of the flesh, stained with corruption: and in the second, that they are filthines, iniquity, pollution and ignominy: but how? first, if they bee examined by the Brict rule of Gods iustice: secondly, if they bee compared to Godsrighteousnesse: and thirdly, if they bee considered in their ownemerite and worth without the merite of Christ, whereby both their staines and imperfections are couered, and an excellent dignity given vato them.

65. And indeed what I pray you doth Calnine say herein,

but that which the Fathers said before? I will propound two or three ynto you in flead of al the rest: Woe be to cur righteousnesse ( layth Saint Augustine) if God remouing his mercy, should fearch into it: and againe, All our righteousnes standeth rather in the remission of our sinnes, then in any perfection of instice. Our best righteousues (sayth Saint Bernard) if it be any, is right perhaps, but not pure, unleffe happily we thinke our selues better then our Fathers, who no leffe truely then humbly faid, All our righteonsnes is as a defiled cloth. The holy man lob (sayth Saint Gregory) because he saw all the merite of our vertue to be in vice if it be strictly indged by the eternall Indge, did rightly adde in; If I will contend with him, I shall not be able to answere him one of a thousand. Lastly, all beauty (fayth Arnobius) in Gods presence is but deformity, all righteousness but unrighteousness, all frength but weakenes, all riches but beggery. These Fathers, with all the rest, say no lesse then Caluine, nor Caluine no more then they; and therefore they must either bee condemned with him, or bee justified with them. Now if any man should say, that they affirmed, that our best works were deadly sinnes, all men would condemne him for a liar: so may we iufly fay of our malicious adversaries, in imputing that opinion to Caluine, which hee neuer meant, nor yet the words will beare, and also which in all his writings hee directly croffeth.

66. That which hath beene spoken concerning Caluine, may be eapplyed to the institution of Luther and Melancthon: who are so farre from esteeming good workes, to bee mortall sinnes, that they extoll them hyperbolically, as hath beene already manisested. Luther indeed sayth thus: That a good worke, done after the best manner that can be, yet is a veniall sinne, according to the mercy of God, and a mortall sinne, according to the institute of God: but what of this? doth he therefore say, that it is a mortall sinne, simply, as they would have him? no, in no case: for first, hee callethit a good worke, which hee would never have done, if hee had indged it no better then a sinne: secondly, hee sayth, that it is mortall, according to the institute of God, and veniall by the mercy of Hh

dious

God, which is the very same that all the Fathers affirmed before, intending by mortall, not that which is a high degree of finne, but that which in it owne nature deserueth death. Thirdly, Luther himselfe sheweth what his intendment is in the article going before, where he fayth, that not the good worke it selfe, but the desect in the worke is truely sinne, because it is an omission of that precept, Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart. By all which it is cleare, that Luther doth not condemne a good worke, but the euil in the worke, and that though God doth in mercy pardon the cuill for the goods sake, being a fruit offaith, so he might juffly condemne the goods for the euill fake that cleaueth vnto it, being a fruit of originall sinne: so that Luther standeth cleare and innocent from this crime layd to his charge in all true judgement, and they fland guilty of a most foule flander imputed vnto him

by their malice.

67. Thirdly, they flaunder vs, that we flaunder God, by making him the author of sinne. This accusation, Bellarmine, Campion, Stapleton, Vasques, Feuardentius, and all the lesuiticall rabble lay to our charge. And they accuse Calnine, Luther, Peter Martir, and all other Protestant-writers as guilty thereof, but vpon what ground, and with what shew of reafon, let them declare vnto vs, for it passeth the reach of our capacities to finde out any such doctrine, either direct in plaine words, or indirect by logicall consequence in any of them; some direct doctrine I am sure Calnine thus writeth: The cause of sinne is not to be sought out of mans will, out of which the roote of euill ariseth, and in the which sinne resideth. And in his Comment vpon the Epistle of Saint Iames, more plainely he affirmeth, that God is not the author of sinne, and that enils doe not proceed from any other roote, but from the euill concupifcence of enery mans owne heart. And this doctrine he iterateth againe and againe many score of times in his bookes. Peter Pet. Mart: com. Martyr in like maner is most direct against this blasphemous doctrine, for thus he saith, That opinion of the Libertines which maketh God the author of sinne, is a most detestable opinion, then which, nothing can be imagined more wicked, nor a more compen-

in 2. Sam. 16.22.

dions way to hell; And in the same place he concludeth, that? though nothing in the world, no, not sinnes themselves come to passe besides Gods will and providence, yet he is not truly to be called the cause of sinne, but all shat can be sayd, is that he is such a cause, which is tearmed by the Logicians, the remooning or hindering cause, that is, not efficient, but deficient rather, which in truth is no cause at all. Luther is as direct: for he auoucheth this proposition in many places, God is not the author of sinne. Luther in Gen. And this same is the constant affirmation of all our Divines, fo .32.38.109. What a shamelesse forehead then have our malicious adverfaries, that dare lay vnto our charge this blasphemy which we all detest and abhorre?

- 68. I but (say they) though in word you say so, yet by consequence from your doctrine it may be necessarily gathered, that you hold the contrary: for you all teach, that God concurreth with a finner in the acting of his fin by his powerfull prouidence, and not onely foreseeth, but decreeth, dispofeth, and determineth in his wisedome all the sinnes of men according to his will; and by his fecret working blindeth their minds, and hardneth their hearts, that they cannot repent. This we confesse is our doctrine, if it be rightly vnder. flood: for we teach, that God doth not barely permit sinne to be done, but decreeth before to permit it, and in the act worketh by it, and ordereth and disposeth it to his owne ends, yet so, that he neither approueth of it, nor is in any respect the cause of the malignity thereof; and herein we consent both with the ancient Fathers, and with most of their owne Doctors.
- 69. Touching the Fathers, Saint Augustine shall be the mouth of all the rest: thus writeth he, Sinne could not be done, ad Laurert.cap. if God doth not suffer it, and he doth not suffer it against, but with 100 & 101. his will, and being good as he is, he would never suffer any thing to be ill done, but that being also Almightie, he can do well of that which is euill. And in the next Chapter, God doth fulfill the good purposes of his owne by the euill purposes of euill men. And in another place, God doth worke in the hardening of the Idem contra Iumicked, not onely by his permission and patience, but also by his

Aug. Enchirid.

lian.lib.5. cap.3.

Idem de grat.co lib. arbitr. ad Valent.cap.20.

Idem de corrept. & grat.cap. 14.

power and action through his mightie providence, but yet most wife and inst. And in another place, Who may not tremble at these indgements, where God doth worke in the hearts of wicked men what soener he will, rendring to them not with standing according to their deferts? And againe in another place, As God is amost holy Creator of good natures, so hee is a most righteous diposer of euillwills, that whereas those euillwills doe ill use good natures, he on the other side may well use the enill wills themselues. Thus Augustine is our Patrone in this Doctrine; and if we be Heretikes, he is one too.

70. But let vs heare their owne Dostours speake, When

Hugo de Sancto victore, de facr. 1.1.c.12.part.4.

Perer.com.in. Gen. 18. disp. 8.

Bartol. Medin in 1.2.9.93.ar.6

9.I. Durand.2.dift. 37.9.1. Aquin. in Ro.9. Eanus loc.lib.2. cap.4. Vegalib.2.c.15. de expos. concil. Trident. Suarez de varis opus. Theol. 1.4.

disp.1.6.2. Aug Epift. 48, ad Vincent.

God doth good, and permitteth euill (sayth Hugo) his will appeareth, seeing he milleth that which should be, both which he doth, and which he permitteth, both his operation and his permission are his will. God worketh many things (sayth Pererius) within bim that is hardened, by which he is made worse through his owne fault: he stirreth up diners motions either of hope, or feare, lust, or anger, and sendeth in divers doubtfull and perplexed imaginations, by which he is pusht forth unto enill. A sinner (saich Medina) when he sinneth, doth against the will and law of God in one case, and in another not: he doth indeed against his signified will, but against the will of his good pleasure he doth not, nor against his effectuall ordination. No sinne falleth out besides the will and Mayer 2.dis.45. intention of God (say Mayer, Durand, Aguinas, and other.) God (sayth Canus) is the naturall cause of all motions, year even in enill men, but not the morall cause, for he neither counselleth nor commandeth enill. Lastly, to conclude with two famous Icfuits, Vega, and Suarez: the first fayth, that though God doth not command, counsell, approve, or reward sinne, yet be doth will and worke it together with vs: and the second, that God worketh the act of sinne, but not the malice thereof. This is the very doctrine of Caluine, and Martir, and all Protestants: so that if wee be guilty of this blasphemous consequence, to make God the author of sinne, they also must needs be in the same

> case: but Saint Augustines distinction will cleere vs both. When God delinered his Sonne, and Indas his Master to be crusified, why is Godinst, and man guilty (sayth he) but because

though

though the thing was the same which they did, yet the earse was not the same, for which they did it: or if this distinction will not suffice, their owne Iesuites will helpe vs out: In sinne there are two things to be considered ( sayth Vasques) the act and defect: the act is to be referred to God, but not the defect in any case, which ariseth from the corrupt will of man: or the act and the maligni. ty thereof, (as fayth another Iesuite) or the materiall part of sinne, which is called by the Schoolemen, subiecture substratum, the vnder-laide subiect: and the formall, which is the prauity and anomy of the action; the one of these from God, the other from man: or laftly, if none of these will serue the turne, yet our owne distinction will acquite vs, to wit, that Almighty God doth so will & decree mans fin, not as it is sin, but as it is his owne iust judgement upon sinners, for their punishment, and the demonstration of his iustice. And thus our do Rrine is free from the conception of this vile Monster, & their calumniation is as varighteous against vs, as the dealing of God about the fins of men, is most righteous and just. And thus those somewhat too harsh sayings (I contesse) of Luther, Swinglius and Melancthon are to bee understood, and no otherwise, that the treason of Iudas came from God, aswell as the conversion of Paul: charity will confirm the wordes according to the speakers intendement, and not stretch their intendement to the strift tenter of euery word and syllable.

71. Fourthly, they accuse vs of blasphemy against the Sonne of God, for denying (as they say) that hee is Deus ex. Deo, God of God: against the doctrine of the Nicene Creed. and this they call the Atheisme of Caluine and Beza: a palpable sinder: for neither Caluine nor Beza did euer imagine, much lesse vtter the same in that sense which they say to their charges: for let Bellarmine their sworne adversarie speake for them: Caluine and Beza teach (sayth he) that the Sonne is of himselfe in respect of his escape, but not in respect of his person, and they seeme to say, that the essence of the Deity in Christ, is not begotten, but is of it selfe: which opinion (sayth he) I see not why it may not be called Catholike. Heere Bellarmine telleth

Vasques in Thom. 1.2.q. 79. art. 2.cop 5.

40

Campian, Rai. 8
Rhem. annot, in
Ioh. 1.
Posseuin, denotis verb. Dei, l. 3
c. 74.
Rell. de Chist
lib.c. 2. cap. 9

vs truely, what their opinion was, and doth acknowledge it to be atrue Catholike doctrine : and yet in the same Chapter hee contemneth Caluine, for his manner of speaking of it, and of intolerable saucinesse, for finding fault with the harshnes of the phrase vsed by the Nicene Councill, God of God: Light of light. Marke (I pray you) his absurdity, it is Catholike, and yet it may not bee spoken: it is true, and yet it is to be blamed. May not a Catholike de ctrine bee spoken then? or must the truth bee smothered? This is such an inconsequence, as neither reason nor Religion can any wayes beare withall; and for his faucy dealing with the Nicene Councill, all that ever he saythis, that it is durum dictum, a hard phrase: yet so, that hee confesseth it may receive a good and commodious interpretation, if it be understood in the concrete, that Christ who is God, is of the Father that is God: the word being taken personally, and not in the abstract; as if the essence of the Deity of the Sonne should bee from the Father, which is entirely subsisting, in, of, and by it owne eternall, incomprehenfible, and most glorious nature: and this without question was the true intendement of the Councill; for els it had not confuted, but fauoured the blasphemous heresie of Arriu, against whom it was affembled, which Calnine and Beza doe not any wayes croffe, but onely bring vnto it a fit and fauourable exposition. Thus we have Bellarmine, Calvines and Bezaes patrone in this doctrine, though full ill against his will: and not onely him, but Ribera, and Gregory de Valentia, two other no meane Rabbies, both which doe conclude, that the Sonne as he is a person, is of another; but as he is a simple Ens, is not of another: and that the Essence doth not beget the Essence, but the Father the Son: so that either they are flanderers of the truth; or their Catholike doctrines may bee Atheisme and blasphemy.

Riber, com, in Heb.1. Gregor, de Valent, de trinit.l.1 c.22.& l.2.6.17.

5.
Bell in prafat.
sontrou de Chrifts.
Posseum, de notis divini verbi,

l.3.p.78.

72. Againe, they accuse Calvine of another blasphemy against our Lord and Sauior Iesus Christ, to wit, that he should make him inferiour to his Father, in respect of his Deity. This is Arrianisme indeed, as Bellarmine calleth it; or Atheisme, as Possenine, if it were to bee found in Calvines divinity, or any other:

other: but it is as farre from him thus to thinke , as it is from their malice to speake the truth. This is all that Caluine affirmeth, that the Father is God, per excellentiam, that is, after a more excellent manner. And what errour I pray you is in this? doth hee not speake of the personall relation that is betwixt the Father and the Sonne, and not of the nature and effence of the God-head that is in both, of equall dignity and excellency? This is cleare both by the former article, wherein he floutly anoucheth him to bee aillogos, God of himselfe, and not to receive the essence of his Deity from his Father, and so not to be inferiour to his Father in that respect : and also by infinite places in his books, where he directly maketh the Son Iehouah, equall to the Father in dignity, excellency, eternity, and all other properties of the Deity : therefore he speaketh this in respect of the person of Christ, in which consideration the Father that begetteth, respected with the Sonnethat is begotten, may truely bee faid to have a certaine priority of order, and to be God after a more excellent manner. Here is now neither Arrianisme, nor Atheisme, nor indeed any error in Caluines doctrine; but malicious lying and flaundering in in these lesuites accusation.

73. Nay, that Caluine may bee cleared from all suspition of errour, and those fellowes condemned as notorious slaunderers: Tollet one of their owne fraternity affirmeth, that Athanasius, Basil, Nazianzene, Hilary and Origen, all strong maintainers of Christs divinity, and profest enemies to Arrius heresie, interpret that place, Iohn 14. My Father is greater then 1: in Caluines sense. Maldonate another Iesuite, in his Maldonat, comcommentary vpon Iohn, addeth to these, Epiphanius, Cyrillus, in Ioh. 14. Leontius, Chrysoftome, Theophilact, and Euthemius, as patrones of the same opinion: yea, and this last Iesuite himselse subscribeth to their exposition: for he sayth, that the Father is greater then the Sonne, in that respect that hee is the Sonne: for the Name of the Father is more honorable then the Name of the Son: and the Schoolmen say asmuch as Calume, when they ascribe to the Father authority, and to the Sonne Subauthority. What is this but to say, that the Father is God after a more excellent

Tollet. com, in 100,14.

Elasm. in prafat. ad libros Tertul.

Hh4

manner?

manner? Now then if this were neither Atheisme, nor Arrianisme, nor herefie in the Fathers, nor in their owne Doctors: why should it bee branded with those infamous titles in Calinine? I see no reason, but that malice is blinde, and that the hatred they bare to that good man, made them to say, and do they cared not what, so they might wound his credite thereby.

74. Luther is likewise traduced by them, as a denyer of the bleffed Trinity: and that, because the word Trinity is said to diffike him, for which cause he dispunged out of the Germane Lyturgies, this forme of prayer: Santta Trinitas, vnus Deus, miserere nostri; a notorious calumniation: for Luther indeed blotteth out of the Germane prayers, a certain torme like vnto that objected, but not so as he setteth it downe: for the word vsed in the Germane tongue, signifieth rather a triplicity, then a Trinity; which mooued Luther in a desire to maintaine the pure doctrine of the Trinity (as Iunius obserueth) to blot out that word, thinking it a dangerous matter, to whe fuch a word in so holy and high a mystery. By which praclicehe is so farre from impugning or denying that bleffed principle, that he sheweth hunselfe rather a zealous defender and maintainer thereof, and in a word, to discouer their falfity and his innocency. List how divinely, and foundly, and orthodoxally he writethelfe-where of that mystery: Vnitas Trinitatis est magis una, &c. The unity of the Trinity is more one, then the unity of any creature, euen mathematically, nevertheles this unity is a Trinity, or the diminity of three distinct persons: that every person is the whole divinity, as if there were no other; and yet it is true that no person is the sole divinity, as if there were no other. Againe, vpon the transfiguration of Christ, Mat. 17. hee thus commenteth: Heere the whole Trinity doth appeare to the confirmation of all the faithfull: Christ the Son in a glorious forme, Godthe Father by his voyce, declaring his Sonto be God, and the holy Ghost in the bright cloud ouer-shadowing them. Againe, we believe (fayth he) that there is one God, the Father begetting, the Sonne begotten, and the holy Ghost proceeding from the Father and Son: we determine such a plurality in God, which

Auther.tom.1..

Idem tom. 4.

Idem tom.i.in Gen.c.11.pag.

is of an undivided substace, & an indivisible unity: 29ain, the my\_ 1bid. in cap. 2. stery of the Trinity was discoursed in the beginning of the world, Pag 65. after, understood by the Prophets, and lastly, plainly renealed by the Gospell, when our Sauiour commandeth to baptize in the name of the Father, of the Son, and of the holy Ghost. A number of such like places might bee alledged out of his workes, wherein most constantly hee auoucheth that dostrine which our and his vnequall aduerfaries accuse him to bee an enemy voto. Let enuy it selfe now bee judge, whether this bee nota flander, when as they both falfify those sayings, out of which they would derive their accusation, and conceale those which they knew to be a siuft defence and apologie for his inno-

cency.

75. Againe they condemne Beza, and Martyr, and other Protestants, for denying the omnipotency of God : and why? because forsooth they say, Quod facta vt infecta sint facere nequeat; Hee cannot make those things that bee done, to bee vadone. An absurd inserence: for that affertion, that God can not make those things that bee done, to be vindone, doth not destroy, but build vp the omnipotency of God: seing as Bellarmine himselse acknowledgeth, Facere contradictoria, non est essicere, sed desicere; to doe things contradictorie, is not to effect and doe, but to faile and vndoe; and therefore an argument of impotency, tather then of omnipotency; and for that cause Pererius another lesuite auoucheth the same doctrine with vs in these words; God is said to be omnipotent, not onely because he can dowhatsoever is contained in the world, but also because nothing is impossible unto him, except that, which to be done implieth contradiction : what an impudent flander then is this, to fay that wee deny Gods omnipotency, by affirming, that hee cannot make that to bee vindone, which is done? especially, seeing wee say further with Tertullian and Saint Tertul. aductit. Augustine, that therefore God cannot do it, because be will not Prax c.10. doit: he cannot therefore deny himselfe, nor make that to be vndone, which is done, because hee will not; and he will not, because it would rather be an argument of weakenes, then a power in him so to doe.

Possenine de notis verb. diuin.l. 3.c.cap.8.

Bell. de Euchar. 1.1.6.14.

Perer.com.in Gen. 17 ...

Aug. de civit. 1;

76. Againe, they challenge Caluine of denying the immortality of the foule. And why thinke you? Because they would make him to fay, that the soules of the iust are kept in certaine secret receptacles, till the day of Judgement, and doe not till then inio the presence of God. Another palpable flander: for first Caluine doth not say so: secondly, if hee did, yet it doth not follow thereupon, that hee denyeth the immortality of the soule : for the first, let Caluine first speake for himselfe, and then let his adversaries also speak for him. Touching the place where the soules of the iust remaine after death, he affirmeth plainely in divers places, that they line with God, and enjoy the happy felicity of his kingdome, though their perfect happine se is deferred, till the second comming of Christ, when their bodies and soules shalbere-united, and made partakers of the same bleffednes. This he testifieth not in one or two, but in many places: how therefore can they lay to his charge, that opinion, touching fecret receptacles, where soules are reserved till the day of the resurrection?

Caluin aduers. libertin. (-22. & in Psychoparmibia, & com, in 2. Cor. 5.8.

Bell. de teatitud. Sanct.l.2, C.I.

77. Mary (fayth Bellarmine) in two respects: first, because he maketh Christ alone to have entred into the Sanctuary of heaven, and there to present the prayers of the people, resting in the otter court, to God: & secondly, because he sayth, that the Saints departed are ionned together with vs by faith: therefore ( sayth Bellarmine ) He must needs deny that they see God, see-Idem lib. 1.6.1. ing where faith is, there is not fight. But his conclusion in both istalle, though the premises be true : for as the Atrium, or

veter Court of the Temple, to which Calvine alludeth, was a

28.

part of the Temple: so by proportion, the viter Court of Riberain Exed. Heaven is a part of Heaven: witnesse their owne Ribera, expounding that place of Exodus, whereunto Calnine alludeth: and therefore Calvine, if hee did say so, doth not banish the

iust soules out of Heauen, but onely placeth Christ our high Priest, betwixt God and them. But what if hee speake onely of the Saints living, and not departed, and meane by the vtter Court, not any part of Heauen, but the Church militant heere on earth? If this be true, what shamelesse slaunderers

Caluin. Instit. 1.3.6.20.

are these fellowes, to wring a sense out of Caluine, whereof there

there is no show in the words? let the place be consulted and viewed, and their malice and impudency will appeare most notorious.

78. Againe, that faith which hee speaketh of in the second place, is nothing else, but their stedfast beliefe and expectation of the resurrection of their bodies, which liveth in the faithfull soules separated from this mortality, vntill the full accomplishment of their happinesse, aswell as in the Saints militant: neither can I conceive any absurdity in this, that the Saints departed should have faith in this respect, seeing they must need shaue hope: which two Theologicall vertues are so perplexed together, that one cannot bee without the other: and therefore Clemens Alexandrinus calleth hope the blood of faith: and Saint Paul fayth, 1. Cor. 13. that faith and hope shall cease together, when charity shall survive and remaine. If then the Saints departed hope for the refurrection of their bodies, why may they not bee said also to beleeue it, and yet for all that be in heaven too?

79. Neither is the other place obie Aed out of Caluine by Bellarmine any whit repugnant to this doctrine; for though he fayth, that it is a foolish andrash part to dispute curiously, what the place is, that the Saints possession Heaven, and when ther they inion the full iones of hemien, or no: yet in the very same place hee affirmeth, that they are in the presence of Christ in Paradise, and that they onely expect the fruition of that promised glory, which their bodies also shalbe posses-

fors of, at the comming of Christ.

80. Thus we have heard Caluine speake for himselfe. Let vs now heare his enemies, speaking for him in this case, then which there cannot be a stronger argument of his innocency: and in this, two may stand for all. Bellarmine is the first, hee directly confesseth, that Caluine placed the soules of the Saints in heaven, even before the comming of Christ; and to him subseribeth Fenardentius, another lesuite, who affirmeth that this Fenard. Theewas Calnines opinion, that the faithfull, when they should depart out of this world, doe behold God neere unto them, and as it were set before their eyes. And thus Caluine is quit from this

Caluin, Inflit. 1.3.6.25.5.6.

Bell.de Christo. 64.6.15.

mach, 1.8. c.8.

Vasques tom. 1.

in Thom.dlfp.19

ther. Script. l.I.

C.I.NH.I. Staplet.de au-

blioth.l.g.

enditement by the witnesse of his profest aduersaries.

81. Secondly, let it be granted ( which neuerthelesse can no wayes be prooved) that Calvine held this opinion, touching the residence of soules in some secret place; yet it doth not follow, that therefore he denyed the immortality of the Soule. For then Origen, Iustine, Martyr, Tertullian, Irenaus. Lactantisus, Victorinsus, Chry (ostome, Theodoret, Theophilact, Ambrose, Bernard, and divers others of the ancient godly Fathers, should be enwrapped within the same errour, who all held that opinion touching soules departed, and yet were as farre from gain-faying, or once imagining any opposition to Sixt. Senens, bithe foules immortality, as these backbiting Shemics are

from charity and truth.

82. Another lowde and lewde flander of theirs against our Religion, is, that it maintaineth and warranteth rebellion and disobedience against lawfull Princes. Which if they could prooue, wee would confesse that our Religion was naught, seeing Gods word commandeth every soule to be subiest to the higher powers: but yet not worse then theirs, which is, without all contradiction, guilty of this crime, which they impute vnto vs, as hath beene prooued: but let vs heare their proofes: they are of two fores; first, from the doctrines of some of our learned writers; and secondly, from the practice of our professors: In the first kind they obiect Caluine, Beza, Luther, Knox, Buchanan, Goodman; yea and Munster also with his Anabaptists: all which let vs briefly examine, and begin with the last, and so goe backward.

83. Munster with his Anabaptists, maintained indeed such rebellious doctrines: but were they Protestants? or did ever any Protestant give credit, coutenance or allowace vnto the? No, Bellarmine himselfe confesseth the contrary, when hee sayth, that the opinion of the hereticall Anabaptists, was abhorred not onely of Catholikes, but also of Caluine. Yea Caluine and Luther wrote each of them a booke against their impleties. It is impious wickednesse then for any to object to Protestants, the opinion of those rebellious and giddy Anabap-

Rom. 13.1.

Bell de Laicis 1,3,6,20

ANN 0 15845

84. Touchng Goodman, Knox and Buchanan, we ingenioully confesse, that the two last went too farre in diminishing the authority of Princes, and that the first was impious in animating subjects against their Soueraignes : but withall, wee give them to know this, that they are condemned of all good men in this their rebellious affertion : and that by a publike Act of Parliament in Scotland, Buchanans booke was called in, and censured as contrary to sound doctrine: and the like censure is given by all godly Protestants, against Knox, or any other that maintaine the like.

85. And now I would faine understand of these fellowes, what are these three in comparison of the whole Church of Procestants, that they should blemish our Religion by their exorbitant opinions; and to the many hundred of Protestant writers, that abhorreall such doctrine, and clearely auouch the contrary. If it be a good plea in them, to say, that the opinion of some private men ought not to prejudice the Religion of the whole Church; then it may also by good right serue our turnes in the case of these three, seeing the rule of equity requireth ve feras legem quam fers, that every one should bee subject to that Law which hee himselfe maketh. In sum, here are with vs but three, that can be touched: but with them are multitudes, not onely of inferiour Priests and Iesuites, but of Cardinals and Popes, that are guilty of this crime: ours, are private men condemned by all others: with them, publike persons authorized by their places and chayres, and priniledged from errour: with vs, writings of no authoritie: with them, Bulles, decrees, and bookes with priviledge and publike allowance. Lastly, with vs, the whole streame of our Religion tendeth to the maintenance of obedience, and condemning of all treason and rebellion : but with them the very grounds of their Religion doe warrant and vphold the contrary, as is manifeftly produed heretofore.

86. Concerning Luther, Calvine and Beza, how farre they were from this pernicious do ctrine, let their owne words and writings testify: Luther first: Gouernment (fayth he ) is a cer- Luther tom. 5. taine divine vertue: and therefore God calleth all Magistrates in Gen.cap.27.

Idem tam.z.in Gen.cap.33.

Idem tom. 1.in Gen.cap.9.

were a god incarnate. Againe, in another place; We doe not flatter the Magistrates, when we stile them most gracious, and most mighty: but from the heart we renerence their order, and their persons ordained to this office. And in another place; Though somethinke (sayth he) the government of man over man to bee a tyrannous vsurpation; because allmen are naturally of like condition: yet we that have the word of God, must oppose the commandement and ordinance of God, who hath put a sword into the hand of the Magistrate, whom therefore the Apostle calleth Gods Ministers.

gods, not for creation, but for administration and government, which belongeth onely to God: therefore he that is ar uler, is as it

Caluin.Instit. lib.4.cap.20.

Idem com.in Dan.6.22.

87. Caluine in divers places delivereth this do Arine : that not onely good and godly Kings are to be obeyed, but also wicked ones, because in them is stamped and ingrauen the image of divine Maiestie: neyther can any one sentence be picked and culled out of all his bookes, yea, though it be frayned to the vetermost, and wrung till it bleed, that but sauoureth of rebellion: except that may, perhaps, which hee speaketh concerning an impious King, that rifeth vp against God, and seeketh to rob him of his right: how such a one doth bereaue himselfe of his authoritie, and is rather to be spit at then obeyed. But this also being rightly understood, maketh nothing to that purpose: for first, he doth not say that such an one is to be bereaued of his authoritie, but that he bereaueth himselse: and secondly he meaneth, that hee is rather to bee spit at and defiled, then to be obeyed in that particular, wherein he commandeth any thing contrary to the dignitie and maiestie of God. What hurt now, I pray you, is in this doctrine? Or rather what found truth is not in it? saue that there is a little harshnesse of phrase, which might have beene well omitted; and yet this is all that the Romish adversaries can charge Calvine withall.

88. Laftly, for Beza, if I should produce all his excellent sayings, whereby he doth maintaine the authority of Princes, and obedience of subjects: I should trouble the Reader too long: let this suffice, that his greatest enemies cannot obiect

against

against him, any one thing tending to the impeachment of Royall authoritie, except they groffely bely him, which is no new thing with them; lyes and flanders being one of the chiefe props of their Kingdome. Thus our doctrine affordeth them no hold for this accusation.

89. Againe, they challenge Calaine for imputing vnto our Lord and Sauiour some staine of sinne; not by expresse words, but by consequence: because he said, that when in the Bel. de Christo garden he prayed, Father, if it be possible, let this suppe passe from me, neverthelesse, not as I will, but as thou wilt: hee corrected and revoked his prayer suddenly vttered : therefore (fay they) he must be tainted with sinne, seeing he did something that might be corrected: the like crime they lay to the charge of Luther, and all other learned Protestants, for say- Ibid. ing that in Christs humane nature there was some ignorance residing, and that he grew vp, and increased in knowledge, and had not the full measure of knowledge at his birth, as they would have it. We grant the premises to be true, to wit, that this is the doctrine of Calnine, Luther, and other learned Protestants: but neuerthelesse we say, that the conclusion is a malicious flander: for first, many of the fathers, yea most, were of the same opinion with vs: as also some of the popish Doctors themselues, that there was ignorance in Christ, and that his knowledge grew and increased together with his age, according to that of Saint Luke: Hee increased in wife- Luk, 2.52. dome and stature, and in fauour with God and men. And yet none of them did once imagine, that this was in him either a sinne or a fruit of sinne; grounding vpon that text of Scripture, Heb.4.15. that Christ was like unto us in all things, sinne onely excepted: nor ever was that errour imputed voto them for that cause. Heare some of them speake in their owne words. Ambrose sayth thus. How Christ increased in wisdome Ambrose de Inthe order of the words doth teach, his proceeding in age, is his car cap.7. proceeding in wisdome. And therefore Saint Luke sets his growth in age. First, that thou mightest know that it is spoken of him as he is man. And Chrylostome thus, The wisemen gaue bonour not to his childhood, understanding nothing, but to his di-

lib.4.cap.I.

Chrysest in Mat.

uinitie

Maldon.com, in uinitie knowing all things: and Maldonate doth confesse, that 21at,24.

Athanasius, Gregory, Nazianzene, Theodores, Cyril, and the authour of the imperfect worke on Mathem, did al teach, that Christ as man, was ignorant of the day of Judgement. Neyther do these fathers alleadged by Bellar. for the contrarie opinion, in truth deliuer any thing elfe, if they bee rightly vnderstood : for most of them, when they say, that our Saujour was full of grace, knowledge and wisedome, from his verie conception, and that hee did not increase and grow therein as other men, they speake eyther of his person in the concret. or of his divine nature apart, as their owne words alleadged by him doe clearely shew. And to this opinion Thomas A. Aquin.part.3.q. quinas, their grand Schooleman and angellical Doctor, setteth his hand and seale. For thus hee writeth, Though I have elsewhere written otherwise, yet it is to be said (sayth bee) that in Christ there was scientia acquisita: knowledge acquired or gotten, which is properly knowledge according to the measure of

man: and that not onely in respect of the subject receiving, but al-

9-AT 6.4.

so of the cause agent, &c.

Perer.com.in Gen. 6. difp. 4. Suarez. tom. I. Thom.q.g.art.1.

difp.24.

90. Secondly, alignorance is not fin by the doctrine of their own schoole. For that ignorace which is called pura negationis of pure negation, doth not oppugne the flate of innocencie, seeing that it was in Ada before his fal, and is now in the Angels in their perfection, & may be in any without the spot of fin: as witnesse Lumbard, Aquinas, Pererius & al their learned Doctors for the most part: yea their Iesuite Suarez telleth vs. it is not to be called ignorance at al. Because ignorance (sayth he) doth not signific enery want of knowledge, but the privatio of that knowledge, which ought to be in a subject according to the state of the nature thereof: as man is not to bee called ignorant, because he wanteth angellicall knowledge, so Christ was ignorant of none of these things; which was behoofefull for him to know, in respect of the dignitic of his person: so that of two kindes of ignorance, one, of pure negation; that is, when a man knoweth not some thing, which hee is not bound to know, and the other of wicked disposition, when a man is ignorant of something which he ought to know. This last is a finne.

a sinne, but not the first : and therefore to say that Christ was ignorant of some things in his humane nature, and that hee increased in knowledge, as in age, is not to impute vnto him

any blot either of originall or actuall sinne.

91. For the other part of the objection, wherewith Calwine is touched, concerning our Saujours correcting of his owne speech, it is no other then that which Ierome before him many hundred yeeres vetered; and Origen also, two famous fathers of the Primitive Church : the one affirming, that Christ returning to himselfe, auonched, that as he was the Sonne of God, which hee had staggeringly spoken, as hee was a Origen tract in man: the other, that he recalled his defire, and as it were thin- Mat. king better upon it, said, Not as I will: yea they themselves acknowledge asmuch, for Bellarmine sayth, that when our Sa. Bell de Christs niour prayed, Let this sup passe from me, but not as I will, but as thou wilt, it was asmuch as if he should have said: Volo vt non fiat voluntate naturali, quod voluntate deliberata volo vt fiat: I will that it may not come to passe, to wit, by my naturall will, which by my deliberate will, I defire may come to paffe. Here is a plaine correction, as Caluine calleth it, or a returning to himselse, as Hierome; or a reuocation of his natural defire by a more aduised desire, as Origen: the like interpretation is given by lansenius, Pererius and Maldonate; all agreeing in this, that they admit of a correction and revocation of his naturall, inferiour, humane wil, by his spirituall, superior, divine will: and yet without all blemish and suspition of sin; this correction presuposing no corruption. So that either Caluine must be excused, orthemselves must bee in wrapped within the folds of the same fault. But this is their rancour against that good man, and all other of our side, that which is orthodox in the Fathers, and themselves, is notwithstanding herefie in vs; because they looke vpon vs thorow the spectacle of malice, but upon themselves with the eyes of selfe-love.

92. And to cleere him altogether, and ridde him out of their hands, the most received doctrine both by Calume and all our whole Church concerning this point is; that this was Perkins on the not in our Saujour Christ, either a rebellion of the sensuall

part of the soule against the rationall; as Pererius maketh ir, which is in the voregenerate, nor of the sless against the spirit, as Iansenius would have it, which is in the regenerate, nor a repugnance of Christs will as he was a man, to his will as he was God, which Maldonate stemeth to assime: but onely the strife of two contrarie desires in the humane soule of Christ for dominion, both which notwithstanding were good and holy, though the one not so good as the other, and in that respect, this desire to avoid death, which was the lesse, might without any great offence, bee said to bee corrected, when it yeelded vnto that other which was more excellent.

92. Lassly (to omit a number more of their slanders in this kind) they charge our Religion it selfe, to lead to loosenesse and sensualitie by divers doctrines thereof: especially these soure, to wit, freewill, instification by faith alone, perseuerance in grace; and impossibilitie to keepe the Commandements: but with what spirit of malice, let the indif-

ferent Reader consult and judge.

93. First, for our doctrine touching the inabilitie of freewill, doth it lead a man to loosenesse? nay, rather doth it not teach him to deny himselfe, and to seeke for all grace and goodnesse from God? humilitie and prayer are the fruits of this doctrine, and not loofnesse and libertie: and to make it cleare to any fingle eye: We teach that a man is onely voyde of freewill to grace before his regeneration, and that hee is passive onely in the very act of regeneration but after his will being quickned, and furred up by Gods spirit, he willeth and worketh forth together with the spirit of God, his owne saluation. Now, sew or none there are, that are Christians. but presume, though falsely, that they are regenerate: and therefore this doctrine cannot give libertie to any to sinne, but rather bindeth them fast to obedience; nay, dothnot their doctrine rather open a gappe to libertie? For when they teach, that it is in a mans power either to accept or reiect the grace of God offered vnto him: What doth this but incourage men to deferre their repentance & conversion, feeing it is in their power to accept it when they lift?

II.

483

94. Secondly, how can the doctrine of iustification by faith alone tend to loosenesse, seeing we teach, that faith is neuer seuered from good workes, not instification from sanctification, nor a right beliefe from an veright life, as hath beene shewed, and that they which seuer and part those things which God hath coupled together, seuer themselves from the mercie of God, and merit of Iesus Christ? With what brow of brasse then can they call this a solifidian portion, and a doetrine of libertie? I, but manietake libertie hereby to lead a loofe and wicked life, building vpon this ground, that they are justified by faith alone, and so they neglect all good workes. True indeed, many such there are: but is it from our doctrine? is it not rather from their mistaking of it? So the Capernaites tooke offence at our Saulour Christs heavenly doctrine, Ich.6. touching the spiritual eating of his flesh, and drinking his bloud: infomuch that many of them deparred from him: was his dostrine therefore erronious? or were not they rather ignorant in misconstruing, & impious in peruerting the same? So is it with this mysterie of iustification, which is the verie doctrine of Iesus Christ: if any by mistaking it, or by taking vp one piece of it, and leauing another, doe animate themselues vnto sinne, is the doctrine to be blamed? and not they rather, that diffort it to their owne shame and confusion? In a word, if this were a just exception against this doctrine, then no doctrine either of their's or ours, or the Gospellit selse might bee freed from this challenge. For as there is no herbe so sweet and wholsome, but the Spider may fucke poylon out of it, aswell as the Bee hony: so there is no truth fo facred and holy, but an vngodly minde may peruert and make it an occasion of his impietie. Thus, the grace of God is turned into wantonnesse by many (as Saint Inde saith:) the word of God is the sauour of death unto death. Yea, Christ Iesus our blessed Lord and Saujour is a falling, and a stone to 1. Pet. 2.8. stumble at, and a rocke of offence: so the doctrine of lustification by faith alone, may be an occasion of libertie and no otherwise: that is not properly, or by any effect issuing from it selfe, but accidentally, and by the malignitie of the obiect whereupon it worketh. Ii 2 95.

I 2.

ABO.

95. Thirdly, our doctrine of perseuerance, though rayling Wright fayth of it, that Epicurus himselfe could not bane found a better ground to plant his Epicurisme : nor Heliogaba. lus have better patronized his sen nalitie: nor Bacchus and Venus have forged better reasons to inlarge their dominion: yet, to any fing ceye (for his eyes are double-fighted with malice, 28. Witches eyes are foid tobe) it is rather a strong bridle to restraine from sensuality and Epicurifine, and a bond to bind to obedience, then a provocation vnto finne: for when men are perswaded that sincere faith, true charitie, and saving gracecannot be loft, it will cause them to take heed how they fall away, left they proue themselves to have beene hypocrites before, and their faith and charitie notto have beene true, but fained: for he that falleth from God whom he pretended to ferue, to the Deuil by an actual Apostasie into sinne, plainly proueth that hee had never the feed of the spirit sowne in him, nor the habit of charitie in his soule: this is then a bridle to withhold men from sinne, and not a spurre to pricke them forward vnto it. And therefore whereas they fay that menwill thus reason: If I be the child of God, I cannot fall away: therefore I will doe what I lift. The contrarie is rather true, that every child of G o D, yea, every one that is perfwaded that hee is the childe of G O.D. will reasonthus from the grounds of this doctione: I will not doe what I lift, neither will I give my selfe over vnto sinne, lest I provemy felfe by my falling into finne, not to be the child of God, but an hypocrite. Addehereunto, that as we teach, that truefaith and charitie cannot bee veterly extinct in the elect: So also we teach, that this faith and charitie must bee nourished and preserved by the practice of all holy & Christian duties: and therefore they which neglect the confernation of their faith, and charitie, and feek to extinguish them by the lusts of the fielh, it is a figue that they never had these graces ingrafted in their soules. And what perswasion can be more effectual, I pray you, to stirre vp men vnto godlinesie, then this is? -

96. So we may truly answere concerning the fourth doctrine objected, namely, the impossibilitie of keeping Gods.

Commandements, which though it be true in some part, albeit, not as they flanderously impute vnto vs. For wee hold that the regenerate person is able in some measure to keepe Gods Commandements, though not to that perfection which the Law requireth, exacting of euery one of vs the love of God with all our heart, soule and strength; yet this cpeneth not, but rather stoppeth the gap vnto fleshly libertie. For, is any man so madde as to say, I will give over all care of keeping Gods Law, because I am not able fully and exactly to performe it? rather every one that hath but a reasonable foule will thus determine, Because I am not able to performe perfect obedience to God, therefore I will indeuour to doe what I can, that my imperfections and wants may bee made vp by the perfect obedience of my Saujour. All men will account him a wilfull wicked wretch, who being greatly indebted, because he is not able to discharge the whole summe, therfore will take no care to pay any part thereof, which he is able to doe, but lay all vpon his fureties backe: fo we condemne him for a desperate and damnable person, that, because he is not able to satisfie the whole debt of Gods Commandements, therefore will not indevour to pay as much as he can : besides, we teach withall, that though this perfection be not attained vnto in this life, yet there must be a continuall growth and increase in grace and goodnesse in all that belong to God, that at length, after this life ended, they may doffe off the olde man, with the inabilities and corruptions thereof, and attaine to the highest degree of perfection in the life to come: the fruit of this doctrine then, is not sensuall libertie, but Christian humilitie, not a prouocation to sinne, but an incentiue, and spurre vnto godlinesse.

97. Thus I have propounded vnto the view of the Chriftian Reader, a short Epitome of the great volume of their
slanders, datted forth by them, both against our persons, our
government, and our Religion it selfe: all which indeed is
but a taste and say of that, which might be spoken in this subiest, and which require than entire worke for the discouring
of ther malice in this kinde: and surely, I thinke that labour

might be well bestowed in searching this stinking puddle to the bottome, and discovering their malice, so to the beholding of all, that men might fee their poylon, and beware of fuch Serpents: and high time it is to lay hand to this plough; for a double danger ariseth from this dealing of theirs. First, it confirmeth their owne followers in their hatred against the truth, and the professors thereof. For they are perswaded, that whatsoeuer is written or spoken by a Priest or Iesuite, is certainly true, it being allowed (as all their writings commonly are) by the authoritie of the Church, and the Censors and visiters appointed for that purpose, and therefore account it a deadly finne once to call the credit thereof into question. And secondly it inueigleth and seduceth many vnsettled Protestants: Whilest reading such lying Pamphlets, they are either not able to discerne their falshood, or not carefull to examine the truth by contrarie euidences: to preuent both which dangers, it would be a worke much beneficialled the Church of God, and profitable to the cause of Religion, if some zealous Protestant would vndertake this taske, in a ful iust volume to decipher their malice, and discouer their sanders to the ful:but I leave that to the guidance of Gods wifedom, & proceed in my purposed discourse to the next point.

98. Their last trick is forgerie, for when neither by treacherie, nor cruelty, nor periume, nor lying, nor sladering, they can worke their wils, but that their Religion groweth enery day more odious then others, at last as the most desperate practice of althe rest, they fal to forging like Physicions, that seeing their patient in a desperate case, minister vnto him desperate medicines, that shal either ridde him of his disease, or of his life, and that quickly: such a medicine is this, which if it take not place to cure their sicke Religion, it will doubt-lesse vtterly ruine and vndermine the soundation thereof, and depriue it of the vitall spirit. And this last wee haue rather cause to hope, then they the first: seeing it hath pleased God to reueale to the world the mischieuous mysteries of their Indices expurgatory: which who seems shall but duly consider, must needs indge their cause to lye a bleeding, and ready

to give vp the ghost, when they are driven to such miserable thitts for the defence thereof.

99. The common Lawes, and civill Courts punish forgerers with flitting their nofes, branding their foreheads, cutting off their eares, pillorie, imprisonment, and divers other fuch like fearefull censures : the Ecclesiastical Lawes are as seuere against such persons; and the very Heathen. Tally condemned Gabinius as a light and loofe person, for infringing the credit of the publike Records of the Citie, and commendeth Metellus as a most holy and modest man, because when hee faw a name but blurred in the tables, he went to Lentulus cicer.orat.pro the Pretor, and desired a reformation thereof, and a better Archia Pueta. care to be had in their custodie. By all which we may see how great and odious a crime forgerie is; and in what ranke they are to be reputed by all Lawes, that defile their consciences with fo foule a finne.

100. Of which, that the Church of Rome is guiltie, is so manifest, that none, that hath either read their Bookes of Controuerlies with judgement, or seene their three chiefe Iudices Expurgatory, one of Rome, another of Spaine, the third of Antwerp, can make any question. And if any desire to be fully fatisfied concerning their dealing in this kind, let them have recourse to Doctor lames his learned and laborious discourse, where he shal see this wound searched to the quicke, and the corruption thereof discouered to the whole world, and so searched and discouered, that by all their wit and policy they shal never be able to hide the filthines thereof: notwithstanding, that the Reader that hath not that booke, may have a little tafte of their dealing, and affurance of the truth of this my proposition: I will offer vnto his view a few inflances of their forgerie, and those so plaine and palpable, that by no colourable excuse they can be auoyded.

101. Forgerie is committed two wayes, first, by counterfeiting, secondly, by corrupting; counterfeiting false Records, and corrupting true. Touching counterfeiting, take foure instances in stead of fourescore, and those out of Bellarmine Bell. de amiss. onely: first, those twelve Treatises intitled, de Cardinalibus grat, lib. 6.cap. 2.

none of Cyprians, and yet, the same Bellarmine alleadgeth them ordinarily to proue many points of his Religion, under Cyprians name; as to proue the Virgin Marie to bee without finne, and Baptisme to be necessarie to saluation, and that the Sacraments containe grace in them, and that there are more Sacraments then two, with divers other points. Secondly, the

Christioperibus, are resolutely censured by Bellarmine, to bee

Idem de miss ib.2.64P. 12.

Bell.de Christol. Commentaries vpon Pauls Epistles ascribed vnto Saint Am-1.6.10. & de cle- brose, are censured by Bellarmine, peremptorily to bee counric.lib.1.cap.15. terfeit. And yet, the same Bellarmine produceth them to proue traditions, Peters supremacie, Limbus Patrum: that one may be holpen by anothers merit, and that Antichristis a certaine man, and in a word, most questions controverted. Thirdly, liber Hypognosticon, Bellarmine concludes, that it is none of Saint Augustines, yet hee alleadgethit as Saint Augustines, to proue Euangelicall Councels : so Liber ad Orosum is confessed by Bellarmine, to bee none of Saint Augufines, and yet hee is alleadged by him in another place, to proue the Booke of Ecclesiasticus authenticall. Lastly, the Commentaries vpon the Epiftles that goe vuder the name of Saint Ierome, are judged by Bellarmine to been one of his, and yet he produceth testimonies out of them, to proue the necessitie of traditions, Peter to be the rocke of the Church, and that children may without their parents consents enterinto a religious Order. And this is ordinarie, not onely in Bellarm, but in all other of their writers, as you may see particularly and plainly discourred in Doctor lames his Treatise, touching the corrupting of Scripture, Councels and Fathers, by the Prelates and pillars of the Church of Rome. By which wee may note: First, their conscience, in that they know them to be Bastards, and yet obtrude them as true borne. Secondly, their fraud, in that when they make little for them, or it may be against them, then they brand them with counterfeit : but when they speake on their behalfe, then they are as true as Reele: and thus with a blunder of counterfeit Fathers, they dazle the eyes of the ignorant : but the wife will judge difcreetly, and learne to discerne the Lion by his paw.

102. Touching their corrupting of true Authors, I will vrge against them but foure examples as in the former: but those most famous, and three of them corrupted by their most famous Iesuite Bellarmine. The first is of Chrysoftome, in his seuenteenth Homily vpon Genesis, where he readeth, Shee Belde verb. Dei, shall observe thy head, and thou shalt observe her heele: where- lib.2.cap.12. as, as Philip Montanus a learned and iudicious Divine of their owne confesseth, in the original tongue of Chrysostome it is read autos, he, and not auto shee, which is also agreeable to the Hebrew and Greeke fountaines of the Bible. O, but because this reading in the translated Copie maketh for the worship of the Virgin Mary, therefore in our Iesuites divinitie it must be preferred. The second is out of Chrysoftome too, in his Sermon of Inventius and Maximus, whom Bellarmine, to proue Bell. de Relig. that the relickes of Saints ought to be worshipped, bringeth sand. 2.2.3. in thus speaking, tumulos Martyrum adoremus, let vs worship the sepulchres of Martyrs: whereas indeede, the word in Chrysoftome is adornemus: let vs adorne and garnish their sepulchres: as both the originall Greeke and the Latine trans. lations, that are of any standing, doe read it. The third is of Cyril, who is not onely changed and altered, but plainly difmembered by them: for whereas hee writeth thus excellently concerning the power of faith: This faith which is the gift and grace of God, is sufficient to clense and purge, not onely them which find themselves somewhat ill, but also those which are verie dangerously diseased, &c. The Spanish Index hath consured him, and commanded these words to bee blotted out, with this peremptorie charge, Extextu deleantur illa verba. The fourth and last is of Cyprian in his Booke, De bono patientia. where, for gustatam Eucharistiam, they read, to maintaine patientia. the idolatrous circumgestation of the Eucharist, gestatam: contrarie to their owne copies: as on the contrarie, in Lea ser. 14. de passione, for gestemus, Bellarmine readeth gustemus: and thus they turne Cat in panne, as the Prouerbe faith, and with the Apotheraries art, put quid pro quo. 103. Thus they handle the Fathers, putting words into

their mouths that they never spake nor meant, and that in no

Cyril in Esay lib. L.cap.I.

Index Hiftan. Gaspar Qui rog. pag.62.

Cyprian, de bono Bell de Euchar. lib.2.cap.28.

few places of their writings. And as for later Writers, their Indices Expurgatory are sufficient testimonies of their purging, expunging, wiping out, and foysting in what they list into their Bookes: it is a profest, allowed and maintained practice of theirs, which at the first was kept in darknesse, as a worke of darknesse by secret conueyance: but after that by Gods prouidence it came to light, is now publikely defended as a thing not onely lawfull and commendable in it selfe, but also profitable for the Church of God, so that there needs no further proofe of their forgerie and falsification in this kind, seeing we have consistentes adversarios. Onely for a conclusion, let vs a little consider the reasons that are vsed by these good men, for the desence of this their dealing.

Grounds of the olde and new Religion. ro4. One May an English Priest out of Gretzer, & Possenine, the author (as it is supposed) of the grounds of the old and new Religion, in the latter end of that Booke, taking vpon him to answere Master Crasham, that laid to their charge the same crime that I now doe, answereth three wayes. First, that it is a practice both lawfull and commendable. Secondly, that if it be vnlawfull, we are more guiltie of it then they. And lastly, though they meddle with new Writers, yet the Fathers workes are sincere and free from all corruption.

105. To whom I reply briefly thus, that as touching his last answere which concerneth the Fathers, it is manifestly false, as I have alreadie discouered in soure particulars, and is by Doctor Iames in his Booke in many more, and I doubt not but shall be more fully ere long made knowne to the world: and therefore, though that there was no rule prescribed by the Councell of Trent, for the purging of the Fathers, as of yonger Writers: Yet it followeth not but that they might doe it without rule, which also Gretzer the Icsuite perceiuing to be true, seeketh to mend the matter by a fine distinction, by which indeed he marres it veterly, and that is, that the Fathers workes, as they are Fathers, need no purging, but being considered as Sonnes, their words may bee corrected and cenfured by the Church; or not as Fathers, but as Fathers-in-law; for when they feed the Church with found and wholfome doctrine.

Gretzer de iure more probib. lib.2.c.9.& 10. pag.321.328.

Non vt patres, sed vt vitrici.

doctune, they are Fathers : if otherwise, Fathers-in-law : thus by this fine distinction he granteth, that when a Father speaketh any thing which they account false doctrine, he may be corrected, or rather corrupted, for then they esteeme him not a Father but a sonne : nor a true Father, but a Father-in-law; fo that it is apparently falle, which our new Author affirmeth.

that none of the Fathers are corrected by them. 106. Secondly, touching his middle answere, that if it be a fault, we are more guiltie thereof then they. I answere, that that is as falle as the former, for let it bee granted, that some Bookes are corrected by some Protestants; yet first, they are the deeds of private persons, and not the acts of the Church, not at all approved, much leffe authorized by the Church, as theirs are: nay, all of founder judgement in our Church doe asmuch condemne that practice in our owne, as in any else. Secondly, such corruptions or corrections are not frequent with vs, but rare and seldome. I dare boldly say, for one place altered by vs in any Writer, there are twentie by them, as their owne expurging ludices doe beare witnesse: and for this, I challenge any lesuite, or Romish Priest what soeuer to the encounter. Thirdly, most of those Bookes which they lay to our charge, to have beene corrupted by vs, as Augustines Meditations, Granadoes Meditations, The conversion of a Sinner, The Christian Directorie, Osianders Enchiridion, with other more, are not corrected in the originall themselves, but in their translations into cur Language, some things are left out, some added, some changed and altered, as the Translators thought good; whereas they corrupt the verie Texts and originall Copies of most Writers without difference. Fourthly, we seldome alter or change any Books in the translation, but withall we eyther confesse in the beginning of the said Bookes, or professe in the publishing of the same, this correction or alteration: but they have practifed this in secret, by certaine Enquisitors appointed to that purpose, the mysterie of which art was long hid from the World, and had still lien in darkneise, had not the providence of God, for the Iunius prafat in good of the Church, first discouered the Belgieke Index by Indic. Belgie.

mere accident to that godly and bright starre of our Church. Iunius, who made it presently knowne to the world: and at this day few there are that understand the mysteries of that art: so closely and cunningly doe they conuay their matters:as for the Books themselves they do seldome or neuer acknowledge their correction in the forefront and beginning of them as wee doe, but by all meanes labour to hide and conceale the same. Lastly, though some amongst vs, have more rashly, then wifely, falsified some Writers of lesser note, in some few things: yet they have not medled with the Fathers nor Councels: neither have they done it, to gaine anything thereby in disputation, but onely to keepe the common people from infection: whereas they spare none, neither Fathers nor Councels, nor moderne Writers: and that, not so much lest the common fort should bee infected, as that the learned might be depriued of those weapons wherewith they might fight against them, and wound their cause. Seeing the case now fo stands, that hee which can muster up together the greatest armie of Authours, to fight under his colours, is thought to have the best cause: their dealing then with vs, is like that of the Philistims against the Israelites, who despoyled them of all weapons and instruments of warre, that they might dominiere ouer them with greater securitie; but ours is not so towards them. And therefore, both in this, and all the former respects, it is a miserable vntruth and a desperate cuafion, to say, that wee are more guiltie of this crime then they are.

107. Lastly, whereas in his first answere, hee pleadeth the lawfulnesse of the sact, let vs heare his reasons to move thereunto, and in the interim remember, that in proving it to bee lawfull, hee consesset hit to bee done. But why is it lawfull? Mary, first, because the Church being supreme Iudge on earth, of all Controversies touching saith and Religion, hath authoritie to condemne Heretikes. And therefore also, the workes of Heretikes: and if this, then much more to correct and purge their Bookes, if by that meanes shee can make them profitable for her vse, and beneficiall to her children.

To which I and were two things: Firth, that it is not the Church that doth this, but the facred Inquisitors, to wit, certaine Cardinals and Lawyers deputed to that office, who for the most part are so farre from being the Church, that they are often, no found memb rs thereof: It it be faid, that they have the rauthoritie from the Pope, who is vertually the whole Church: why doe they then speake so darkly, and say the Church hath this authoritie, when as, they might in plaine termes say that the Pope bath it; but that hereby they should display the seeblenesse of their cause, and the sillinesse of this reason? for thus it would thand: Why, is it lawful for Books to be purged? because the Pope thinkes it lawful. And must not he needs think so, when the Authors crosse his triple crowne. and speake against his state and dignitic? Adde hereunto, that it is a fallacie in reasoning, when that is taken for granted, which is in question. For we denytheir Synagogue to be the true Church: and much more the Pope to bee the supreme ludge: and therefore till those things be proved, the reason is of no effect.

108. Secondly, most of those things which are purged by them, are so farre from being heresies or errours, that they are, the most of them, sound doctrines offaith, grounded vpon the authoritie of Gods facred truth: for they blos out many things, in both olde and new Authours, that they themselves dare not accuse to bee hereticall: as that place in Saint Cyril before mentioned touching the power of faith, which is no more in direct termes, then that which is faid in the Scripture, Act. 15.15. that faith purifieth the heart: and that in the Basil Index of Chry stome, The Church is not built upon a man, Index Expurbut upon faith: and those propositions which are common gat. Belgic. p. 300 ded by the Dutch Index, to be wiped out of the Table of Ro. bert Stephens Bible: to wit, that sinnes are remitted by belee. using in Christ: that he which beleevesh in Christ, shall not die for euer; that faith purifieth the heart: that Christ is our right consnes: that no man is suft before God, and that repentance is the gift of God: with a number of like nature. These they purge out of Stephens Index, which not withflanding are directly, and in

impress. Argenta.

as many words recorded in the Booke of God; and so it may infly be thought, that they are so farre from clensing Bookes from the drosse and dregs of errour, that they rather purge out the pure gold and cleare wine of truth, and leave nothing but

dregs and droffe behind.

109. His second reason is, because nothing is more dangerous to infect true Christian hearts, then bad Bookes. Therefore it is not onely lawfull, but needfull and behoouefull to the Church of God, that such Bookes should bee purged, and burned too, if it bee so thought meete by the Church, to the end that the sinceritie of one true faith and Religion might be preserved. I answere, all this is true which he saith : but are they herefies which they purge? no, they are found and orthodox opinions, for the most part, as hath beene proued in the answere to the former reason. And doe they it, to keepe Christian men from infection?no, their chiefe end and drift is, to deprive their adversaries of all authorities that make against them, that so they might triumph in the antiquitie of their Religion, and noueltie of ours: which is one of their principall arguments which they vie (though with euill fuccesse) for desence of their cause: dealing herein as Holosernes did with the Israelites, at the siege of Bethulia, breaking the Conduits, cutting the pipes, and flopping the passages, which might bring vs prouision of good and wholsome waters, out of the cisternes of olde and new Writers; this is their purpose and no other, whatsoeuer they pretend : for if they meant any good to Gods people for preuenting of infection, they would have purged their lying Legends of infinite fables, their Canon Law of horrible blasphemies, and their Schoolemen of many strange opinions. Yea, they would have condemned the Bookes of Machianel, and of that Cardinall that wrote in commendation of the vnnaturall sinne of Sodomie, and a number such like filthy and deuillish Writings. which are printed and reprinted among them without controulement. And againe, is it vnitie in the true faith and religion that they feeke? no, it is conspiracie in fa'shood, and consent in errour: and not vnitie in the truth : till the Romish Religion

Religion bee proued to bee the true Religion (which can neuer be) this reason is of no force, to instifie their proceedings. Lafly, is it Christian policy? no, it is deuilish subtletie, and crastie forgerie: for the case so stands betwirt them and vs. as in a tryall of land betwixt partie and partie: wherein hee that bringeth best euidence and witnesse, carrieth the cause: now, if one partie either suborne false witnesses, or corrupt true, or forge euidences to his purpose, or fassisse those that are extant, all men will count him as a forger, and his cause desperate, and judge him worthie the Pillorie: so betwixt vs the question is, who hath the right faith, and the best title to the Church. Our cuidences are first and principally Gods Word, then the writings and records of godly men in all ages: now then, they that shall purge, pare, raze, blurr, falfify or corrupt any of these, must needs bee thought to bee subtle and crastie companions, and not honest and plaindealing men. The case then thus standing, this practice of theirs cannot be termed Christian policy, but plaine subtlety. to give it no worse a name.

110. His last reason is drawne from the practice of the Church of God in all ages, which hath alwaies forbidden the Bookes of Heretikes to be read, and condemned them to the fire:and to this purpose he produceth divers fit and pertinent authorities: to which I answere, first, that he fighteth herein without an aduersarie: for we confesse, that this was a necesfarie and commendable practice, to prohibit, condemne, burne and abolish all such Bookes as tend to the corrupting of the Christian faith, and also to preuent them in the birth; that they may not come to light: but yet for all that, this alloweth not their purging and paring of Bookes: for they cannot give vs one example in all antiquitie of this dealing, except it bee drawne from Heretikes, whose practice it hath Bel.deverb.Dei,

beene to depraue the Scriptures themselues, and the Decrees lib. 2 cap.7. of Councels, and the Bookes of ancient Fathers, as witnes- Idem de Pont, seth Bellarmine in many places of his workes, and Sixtus Se- Sixtus Senens.

nensis, and almost all other of their side.

111. Secondly, the Fathers condemned onely the Bookes pag 262.

lib.4.cap. 11.

bibliothlib.4 5.

of Heretikes: but our holy Inquisitors condemne not onely those whom they call Heretikes, as Caluine, Luther, Beza, Melanthon; but mangle and purge the Fathers themselves, and their owne deare children, whom they dare not condemne for Heretikes, as this Author himselfe consesset; those they chop and change, writhe and weing, bend and bow as they list: which is so much the more intolerable, because being profess Romanists, they durst not vary from the received opinions of the Church of Rome, except mere conscience inwardly, and some forcible reason outwardly mooned them thereunto,

112. Thirdly, and laftly, the Fathers, when they condemned any Heretike, or hereticall Booke, did it openly to the view of the World, and not fecretly in a corner, not afcribing vnto them other opinions then they held, eyther by adding vnto, or detracting from their writings : But our Romish correctors, like Owles, flye by moonshine, and so closely carrie their businesse, that they would have none to discry them: yea, they denie and abiure this trade, I meane, in respect of the Fathers, and in a word, they make almost all Authours to speake what they lift: for if any thing diflike them, deleatur, let it be wiped out, or at least mutetur, let it bee changed: or addatur, let something bee added voto it, that may change the sense, and turne the sentence into a new mold: of all these their Iudices Expurgatory afford plentifull examples : fo that they can no wayes colour their forgerie and falle dealing by the examples of the Fathers, or Primitiue Church, For this is a new tricke of legerdemaine, of the Deuils owne invention, found out in this latter age of the World, which hath beene verie fertile in frange deuices.

his vaine and idle reasons, to be suller consuted of him whom it more neerely concerneth, and whose credit is touched by him: Hence two necessarie conclusions doe arise: one, that they are guiltie of forgerie and corrupting of Authours, by their owne consessions and secondly, that they adde hereunto impudencie and shamelessenesse, which is alwayes the marke

of an Heretike, and that first, in defending their owne vniust and false dealing by reasons, as if their wits were able to rum, non est maintaine that fnow was blacke, and the Crow white: and froms. fecondly, intranslating the crime from themselves vnto vs, without all shew of reason, not caring what they say, so they fay fomething, for the honour of their mistresse, the whore of Babylon, and defence of her cause.

114. Now then, seeing it is manifest that they labour to Conclusion, vphold their Religion, by these vnius, vngodly and deuillish practices, as treason, crueltie, periurie, lying, flandering and forging, this conclusion must needes bee of necessarie confequence; that therefore their Religion is not the truth of God, northeir Church the true Church of God. It is the judgement of their owne learned lesuites touching this last crime (that Posseuine. wee may convince them out of their owne mouthes) that forging of false Treatises, corrupting of true, changing of Scriptures, and altering of mens words contrarie to their meaning, be certaine notes of heresie: what can the Church of Rome be then lesse then hereucall, that not onely doth all this, but now at length professeth and maintaineth the doing thereof as lawful and profitable?

## MOTIVE XI II.

That Religion, the doctrines whereof are more safe both in respect Gods glorie, mans saluation, and Christian charitie, is to bee preferred before that which is not so safe, but dangerous: But the doctrine of the Protestants Religion is more safe in all those respects, and of the Papists more dangerous : ergo, that is to be preferred before this, and consequently this to bee reielted.

THe first proposition is so euident and cleare, that our Maior. A adversaries themselves will not deny it, neither can it by any good reason bee excepted against: for as it is in bodily physicke, that medicine is alwayes preferred, which bringeth Kk with

with it lesse danger to the life of the patient, and if it misse curing, cannot kill: so is it in the spirituall physicke of the foule, which is Religion: that doctrine deserueth best acceptance, which is most fale, and least dangerous for the foules health. And as desperate medicines, if they be applyed by a skilfull Physicion, argue a desperate case in the patient, so desperate doctrines proue a desperate cause. Neyther will any wayfaring man, when two wayes are offered voto him. the one whereofisfull of manifold perils, and the end doubtfull, the other safe from dangers, and the end certainly good, not choose rather the safer and certainer way, and leave the other : fomen like Pilgrimes, trauelling towards the heavenly Canaan, the way of Poperie on the one fide, and of Protestancie on the other being set before them, if they bee well in their wits, will choose rather that way, which is both the fafer in the passage, and the certainer in the end. There is no doubt then in this first proposition, and therefore let vs leaue it thus naked without further proofe, and come to the second, and examine whether our Religion, or the Romish is the fafer, that all men may imbrace that which by euidence of demonstration shall appeare to be so, and resule the contrarie: and here notwithstanding all the former pregnant arguments, whereby the falfitie of their Church and Religion is plainly discoucred, wee put our selues againe vpon a lawfull tryall, and referre our cause to the judgement, not of twelve men, but of the whole world; that if our euidence bee good, wee may obtaine the day, and the mouthes of our adversaries may be stopped: if not, we may yeeld as conquered, to beeled in triumph by them to Rome, yea to the Popes owne palace to kissehis feet, and receive his marke on our foreheads.

Minor.

2. That the Religion of the Church of Rome is not so safe as ours, may appeare by comparing our principall doctrines together: and first to begin with the Sacrament. That the bodie of Christ is truely, really and effectually present in the Eucharist, both they and we hold, grounding upon that text of Scripture (this is my bodie:) but concerning the maner of this presence, the Romanists hold, that it is by transubstantia-

tion : we by a spiritual presence, which not withst anding is true and reall, both in relation to the outward fignes, and to the faith of the Receiver. Now, fee the dangers that arife

from their doctrine, which are not incident to ours.

2. First, if there be not a corporall presence of Christ, and 2 reall Transubstantiation, as they suppose; then this doctrine leadeth to horrible and groffe Idolatrie : for they must needs worship a piece of bread, in stead of Christ. And this not onely, if their docttine bee falle, but being supposed to bee true, in case hee that consecrateth, be not truly a Priest, or have not an intention to consecrate, as oftentimes it falleth out : for in both these cases, by the grounds of their owne Religion, there is no change of substances; and therefore, as much danger of Idolatrie, as eyther of a false Prieft, or of a true Priests false intention. But in our doctrine there is no fuch danger, and yet as true, reall and powerfull an existence of Christs bodie in the Sacrament, as with them, if not more: sceing the more spirituall a thing is, the more powerfull it is, according to the rules of reason: for wee are not in danger to worship a creature in stead of the Creatour : but wee worship the Creatour himselse, euen Iesus Christ our Redeemer, who is there present after a spiritual manner, and that as reuerently, devoutly and fincerely, as they doe a piece of bread.

3. Secondly, by this doctrine our adverfaries incline to favour the Capernaites, who had a conceit of a corporall and fleshly eating of Christs bodie, and give iust cause to the Pagans to flander Christian Religion, to bee a blondy and cruell Religion. Whereupon the Fathers, to crosse the one, and stop the mouth of the other, tought, that Christs speech in the fixt fecrat.part.3. of lohn, was to be understood spiritually, and not carnally; diff.2. and that it was a figure, and not a proper speech. But our do-Etrine doth give no such occasion, eyther to the Heretikes on the one side, or to the Pagans on the other, neyther hathit any confanguinitie with the Capernaites; and yet wee retaine as certaine and powerfull a participation of our Sauiours bodie and bloud as they doe. I know, they thinke to escape from this rocke, by a distinction of visible and inuisible ea-

Gum i Angel,tit. Eucharist. Bel. de Sacramet. in Gen.cap.27.

Theoph. in Ioh. 6 Aug. in Psal.58. Gratian de conting: as if the Capernaites dreamed that Christ would have his bodie to bee eaten visibly, but they inussibly, that is (say they) spiritually: which indeed is no cuasion, for an inussible eating is a true eating. As when a blind man eateth, or a seeing man in the darke, and cannot therefore be called a spiritual leating, but a corporall: neyther doth this free them from approaching necre to the Capernaites, though they somewhat differ from them, nor from giving just cause of offence to the Heathen; from both which our doctrine gives hill and persect securities.

giueth full and persect securitie.
4. Thirdly, and lastly, their doctrine of transubstantiation

doth not onely countenance, but confirme the ancient heresies of the Marcionites, Valentinians and Eutychians, that impugned the truth of Christs humane nature, for they taught that he had not a true, but a phantasticall bodie; and what do our adversaries but approve the same indeede, though they seeme to detest it in word? when they teach that his bodie is present in the Sacrament, not by circumscription, nor determination, but by a spirituall and divine presence, quomo do Dem est in loco, as God is in a place, which is asmuch as to say, that his bodie is not a true bodie, but a spirituall bodie, that is, indeed a phantasticall bodie. Againe, the bread which they say is the bodie, is not bread in truth, but in shew, after it is consecrated: for there is nothing of bread, but the mere accidents without a substance, according to their doctrine; and so it is in all reasonable construction no better then a phantaflicall thing, feeming to the outward fense, to bee that which in truth it is not. Why may not those Heretikes then reason from these doctrines thus? If Christs bodie be a spirituall bodie in the Eucharist, and the bread be phantasticall bread, then why might not his bodie be so also, when he was on the earth? But the former is true by your doctrine, O ye Romanists, therefore why may not the latter, which is our doctrine, be also true? But none of these Heretikes can have any such aduantage from our doctrine, which teacheth that Christ, in respect of his humane nature, is resident in the heamens, circumscribed by place, and that hee is present in the Sacrament,

Bell.de Eucharist.lib.3. cap.4.

Sacrament, by the efficacie of his innifible, and powerful grace, after a spiritual manner, as Saint Augustine speaketh, and Augurast so in that both the bread remaineth bread after consecration, and the bodie of Christremaineth still a naturall bodie, after the resurrection, retaining still the former circumscription, as Theodo- Theodoret Diaret auducheth: this taketh away all aduantage from Heretikes, which their doctrine doth manifellly give vnto them. For these causes Petrus de Alliaco the Cardinall doth confesse, that from our doctrine no inconvenience doth seeme to ensue : if it could be accorded with the Churches determination. And Occham, that it is subject to lesse incommodities, and lesse resugnant to holy Scripture. Thus wee see, that in this first doctrine log. Theolog, touching the Eucharist, there is more securitie, and lesse danger in our doctrine and Religion, then in theirs.

10h. in v. 8. c. 1 2.

log. 2.105.

Pet de Alliaco A 9.6. act.2. f. Occa. in centie

1 5 I come to a second point, which is, touching the merits of works: whereby the Romish Religion doth cast men into three eminent dangers which by our doctrine they are free from. First, of vaine glory: for when a man is perswaded that there is a merit of condignitie, in the worke which hee hath wrought, how can be choose but rejoyce therein, and conceiue a vaine-glorious opinion of his owne worthinesse: as the proud Pharife did, when he bragged that he had fasted and prayed, and payd his tithes? feeing it is impossible, but that the nature of man which is inclinable vnto vaine-glory, and selfe-love: if it have a conceit of any selfe-worthineste, should bee puffed up with a certaine inward ioy, and pride: and therefore Chryfostome taketh it for wholesome counsel. to fay, that wee bee unprofitable sernants, lest pride destroy our Chrysin Lucoi7 good morkes.

6. Secondly, of obscuring and diminishing Gods glorie and Christs merits. For where merit is, there mercie is excluded: and where something is ascribed to man for the obtaining of saluation, there all is not ascribed vnto Christ: and although they colour the blacke visage of this doctrine, with a faire tincture, to wit, that all our merits are from Gods mercie and grace, and that our good workes are dyed in

Kk 2

Christs

Christs bloud, and thence receive all their vertue, and vigor: vet it is but a false pretence: false, because they acknowledge some meries before grace, as those of congruitie, and such as iffue from grace, yet in part, to be of nature as wel as of grace. as hath beene alreadie discouered: and a pretence, because, if they receive all their vertue from Gods grace and Christs bloud, then they are not to be termed our merits, but Go is: neither can bee said to deserue any thing at Gods hand of a proper worthinesse, as they teach, they doe, but of Gods grace and mere mercie, which they disclaime: a false pretence then is this, and doth not free them from this danger of diminishing Gods glorie: and this also is confessed by S. Augustine, who sayth, that we line more safely, if we attribute all wholly to God, and deenot commit our selves parily to God, and partly to our selves: this the Romanists doe, they divide flakes betwixt God and man, grace and nature, Christand Adam.

Aug. de bono perseuerant.c.6.

Ter. 17.9.

Iob.9.28.

Aug. de peccat. mer it. & remis. lib.2.cap.10. Greg. morall. lib.9.cap.1.

7. Thirdly, of making the best uncertaine of their saluarion: for as they teach, no man can bee certaine of his owne righteouluesse, nor of the goodnesse of his workes, by reason of the manifold defects that cleave vnto their bett deeds, and also in respect of the vascrutable deceit of mans owne heart, which is hidden not onely fro the eies of other men, but cue 3 from a mans owne felfe, and is knowne onely vnto God, as the Prophet leremie telleth vs, and also in respect of the Brick rule of Gods instice, whereby they must bee examined. For which cause, the holy man lob sayth of himselfe (according as it is in the vulgar Latine translation) I was afraid of all my workes. And Saint Augustine fayth, and affirmeth the same; That though lob mas a righteous man, yet be bemselfe was afraid of himselfe. And Gregory speaketh to like effect, when hee fayth: That the boly in in lob, because he saw all the merit of our vertue to be faultie, if it be strictly indged by him which indgeth within, Sayth rightly, If I will contend with him, I shall not bee able to answere one for a thousand. Now, that which befell righteous lob, how can it but bee incident to all Gods children? So, that though they talke of meritorious workes in

gene-

generall, yet no man can be fure that his workes in particular are such: and therefore no man, be he neuer so just, can be fure of his faluation. I speake not here of that certaintie which is by faith, which they deny, but that affurance which is by hope, which they confesse: for a man cannot possibly hope that he shall by saued by his workes; when as he cannot possibly know, whether his best workes are such as deserue the fauour or disfauour of God. And if it is the propertie of all Gods children by the example of lob to be afraid of their workes, then how can they repose any hope and confidence in them? now all this is so enident, that Bellarmine himselfe is Bell.de Iustif. driven after his long disputes, and much adoe in strengthening lib. 5, cap. 7. their doctrine of inherent rightcousnesse, and merit of workes, to confesse ingeniously, like a good honest man, (being as it were forrie for all that hee had faid ) that because of the uncertaintie of their ownerighteousnesse, and the perill of vaine glorie, the safest way is to repose our whole trust and confidence in the onely mercie and goodnesse of God. But this wee teach men to doe, that flying from all vaine confidence in their owne merits, they may repose themse'ues onely on the mercy of God in Christ Iesus; and therefore ours is the Safest way.

8. And if ours be the most safe, then theirs is most dangerous, which alfo S. Bernard in as plaine termes afficmeth, when Bernard in Plalhe fayth: Periculosa habitatio illorum, qui in meritis sperant, qui habitat. periculosa quia ruinosa: dangerous is the dwelling of those which trust in their owne merit, it is dangerous, because it is ruinous. Now, who that is wife, will not chuse to walke in the safest way, and refuse the dangerous? or to dwell in a strong and fafe house, which will keepe out the wind and weather; and not bee ouerblowne with any tempest, rather then in a ruinous cottage, which every blast is able to overturne?

9. The doctrine of Freewill is of like nature ; for is it not concil, Trid. fef. dangerous (thinke you) to ascribe some power to mans own will for his conversion? as the Church of Rome doth, when it teacheth, that it is in mans free choice to accept, or reiest Gods grace offered unto him: and so eyther to be or not to be converted.

6.cap.3. Bell. de grat. & li.arb.5.1.5.c.29 Cofter Enchirid. de lib.arbitr.

loh.15.5.

2.Cor.3.5. Philip.2.13.

Bel.de grat.& lib.arbi.li.4.c.15

Belilid.

Aug. de corrept. & grat.cap.11. I dem de grat.& lib.arbitr.ca.17.

Audis it not a fafer course to ascribe all to grace, and nothing at all to will? and to lay with our Saujour Christ, that without him we can doe nothing: and with Sain: Paul, That wee have no sussiciencie in our selves, to thinke a good thought, as of our selues. And againe, That it is God which worketh in vs both the will and the deed of his good pleasure. In the one, God hath all the glorie of the worker in the other, man is equalled with God, will with grace, yea preferred before it, for they not onely teach, that grace and will are like two men carrying one stone, neyther of them adding any strength unto the other. and both free when they will, to cast off the burthen: but also, that Gods grace and working dependeth upon mans will, not mans will upon Gods grace. For thus they are not ashamed to say, euen the best and most judicious amongst them. Livet in eodem prorsus momento temporis, & natura Deus & voluntas o. perari incipiant, tamen Deus operetur, quia voluntas operatur. won contra: i. Though the God of nature, and free mill, beginne to worke together, at the same instant : yet God worketh, because the will worketh, not the will, because God. Now, is not this not onely to equall, but also to subject Gods grace to mans will. and to make the creature more powerfull then the Creator? For by this doctrine, if a man himselfe bee willing, and giveadmittance to grace, he may be faued; but if God bee neuer fo willing to faue vs, if we our felues willnot entertaine his fauing grace, all his labour is vaine; and fo mans will must needs be of greater power and efficacie, then Gods grace: which: how dangerous, yea impious a thing it is to affirme, let all men judge. I am fure Saint Augustine was of another mind, who szyth not as the Romanists, that it is left to our free. choice, either to vie, or to refuse the grace of Christ, that-Randeth at the doore of our heart, to bee let in or thrust outas we list: but it is (sayth he) by grace, not onely that we can doewhat we will, but that we are willing to doe what wee can, and againe, He worketh in vs to will mithout our sclues, but when meare willing, then he worketh together with vs.

to. But yet, this is not all the danger which ariseth from this doctrine, though even this is of sufficient searce, to terrifice

any godly man from imbracing it; but there is more perill in. it then so: the maine danger of it is this, if it bee not pure Pelagranisme, as it may well bee thought, yet it marcheth on the verie edge of the banke, to that if the foor doe but flip, it is presently in the gulfe of that herefie: for what did Pelagias hold, which the Church of Rome, in this doctrine of freewill, doth not eyther directly maintaine or approch nere vnto? he extolled mans nature, as that a man without the name of Christ might bee faued by freewill: fo doe they: for Andradius telleth vs from the Councel of Trent. that Heathen Philosophers having no knowledge of Christ, were instified onely by the law of nature. Hee taught that it was in mans free will, to give entertainment or repulse to Gods grace: so doe they. Hee affirmed that a man might prepare himselfe to grace by his owne naturals, without any speciall worke of the spirit: so do they. Hee, to cloake all with some colourable pretence, confessed, that notwithstanding all this; there was a necessitie of grace required to all good actions. For thus he fayd (as witnesseth Saint Augustine) We so prayse nature, that we alwayes adde the helpe of the grace of God: fo doe they; albeit they frine for the freedome of mans will: yet they date not but speake of grace, and grant vnto it some office in a mans convertion, and therefore labour to reconcilenatures will, and Gods grace together: Which neuertheleffe in fine they are neverable to do but are driven to confesse, that it passeth the capacitie and apprehension of mans wir and understanding. Howbeir, both Pelagius and they understand by this grace, nothing but a thing that is common both to the wicked, and the godly. This to bee the grace which Pelagius required, Saint Augustine testifieth, and no other to be that which our Romanists speake of, witnesse Bellarmine, who affirmeth that the first grace of a sinners conversion, is but onely a persmading, which doth not determine the will, but. inclineth it in manner of a propounding object. And Coster, coser Enchid. that calleth it not grace dwelling in the foule, but only an outward delib.arbitr. impulsion, or mation knocking at the doore of the soule, and not opening the dore it felfe: as the Scripture fayth, that God ope- Act. 16:16.

Aug.denatur. Cos grat.cap.39. Idem Epist. 107. Idem cont. Pelaz. & Celeftin. C1p.32. Andrad orthod. . expli.lib.3. pag.277.

Aug. ilida.

Bel. de lib. arbit. lib.4.cap.15. Benius cap.20. pag.353. Aug. Epift. 100 .. Bell.de. grat. & lib.arbi.l.6.c.15.

ned the heart of Lydia, but perswading freewill to open: and fo standing at the Porters reuersion, and like a poore man wayting his leafure: much like vnto the attending of Henrie the Emperour at the citie gate, three colde winters dayes barefoote, and barelegged, till it pleafed the Pope to let him in. Thus humble grace must attend, till pride will be pleased to open the dore vnto it. I will not say, that in all this Papisine and Pslagianisme are all one, but (that they may see how loth we are to wrong them in the least circumfiace) this is too too apparent that they incline by this doctrine verie nighto the borders of it, and almost touch the skirts. Who then will not thinke it a dangerous doctrine? And what madde man will voluntarily come to a person insected with the pestilence, when hee may well passe by him in further distance? or walke in the verie brinke of a steepe banke, where if hee doe but tread awry he falleth into the Sea: whereas he emay walke safely further off, without any feare or danger? our doctrine therefore touching freewill afcribing all vnto God, and nothing vnto man, and submitting the will of man to the grace of God, hath no affinitie but opposition and contrariene to Pelagius herefie, is therefore the taler, and of everie wileman to be imbraced, rather then theirs, which leadeth vs apparently into all these dangers.

and dangerous doctrine, and giveth no fecuritie to the conficience of a penitent finner. For first, what safetie is there in a mans owne satisfactorie workes, when as all the actions and passions of a Christian (bee hee as absolute and perfect a man as possibly may be) by reason of the manifold defects and imperfections, which cleave vnto his best workes, are far short of that which they should be, and vtterly unproportionably to Gods instice? and this they themselves denie not for the Rhemists grant, that every man (bee hee never so inst) yet because he liveth not mithout venial sinnes, may truly, and ought to say this Prayer, Forgine vs our trespasses. But venial sinnes are sinnes, and stand in need of pardon; and Gods instice requireth such a satisfaction, as is in everie respect persect:

there-

Rhemin Mat.

4.

therefore our owne workes being tainted and stained with such sines, cannot stand in proportion with it. Is it not a dangerous thing then to trust to our owne satisfactions; which by their owne consession are subject to veniall sinnes? and is it not more safe to rely upon his satisfaction only which is free from all staine of the least sinne, and able to answere the strict instice of God in every respect?

12. Secondly, the Satisfaction which Christ hath made, not onely admitteth no exception, but is of infinite merit and valew, to answere the infinite infice of God: but the satisfactions of a mortall man admit many exceptions, and are, if they were perfect, of a finite and limitable nature; and therefore cannot bee proportioned to the infinite iustice of God: whether is it more fafe then to trust to an infinite fatisfaction, that is, without all exception, or to a file which may many wayes be justly excepted against? I know, their cuation is, that indeed it doth require an infinite vertue, to fatisfic for the euerlasting punishment of sinne: but the temporall punishment being limited, may bee satisfied for, by a temporail fatistaction: a mere collusion: for first, if a temporall paine, or finite action, can merit and purchase an everlasting reward, as they teach, why should not the same redeeme from an euerlasting punishment? their confession the one condemneth their affertion in the other: and because they deny that our merits of latisfaction can rel cafe from hell, they must a'fo of necessitie deny, or at least b'ush to auouch, that our merits of purchase are of sufficient valew to deserve heaven; hell? and heaven being as of equall diffance from man, to of equal merit or demerit to man. Secondly, satisfaction is not to be respected, to the quantitie of the temporal punishment inflicted, but to the inflice of him that inflicteth it : and fo though the temporal punishment be equalled by the penance ofa finner: yet the justice of God which is infinite, is not satisfied nor equalled, and therefore the greatest penance connot be termed a satisfaction to God : but Christs satisfaction being infinite, equalleth the inflice of God. Who would not then rather choose this, to rely vpon for his saluation, then , the.

. 4.13.art.1.4d.1

Aquin. supplem. the other? Themas Aquinas seeing this, ascribeth an infinitie to humane facisfactions, in respett that they are informed by grace: but therein hee croffeth both all his fellowes and their doctrine it selfe : for if they be infinite, then they must needes answere aswell for the eternall punishment as for the temporall, which they denie.

Vide D. Morton Protestant ap. peale 1.2.cap.15. n birepag 249. way to the true Church.

13. Thirdly, they themselves cannot agree about their fatisfactions, as whether they beeby precept and commandements: or only by counfell and perfection, and not commanded:whether the vertue of fatisfying bee in the outward or in. 5.7. and Dollor ward alt, or in both; whether they scrue to take away the temporall punishment onely, or the gilt of the sinnes, or the punishment of hell excepting the eternitie: whether they be so neces-Sarie, that there can beeno absolution without them, or that 2 finner may be absoluted by his contrition, and confession, without penal fatisfaction: and laftly, whether the leaft fatisfaction be sufficient for the greatest temporall punishment; or that a due proportion is to be observed. All these intricate questions are exagitated in this doctrine: some holding one thing, some another, without any just, and sure resolution: what a dangerous thing is it then to relye vpon these vncertainties, which they themselves are not able to bring into grounded principles? how much safer is it to repose our selues wholly vpon that blessed satisfaction of our Lord and Saujour Iesus Christ, in which neyther Romanist nor Protestant could ever find any ambiguitie or doubt; but that it is of absolute necefficie for mans saluation, of infinite efficacie to appease the wrath of God, and of proportionable dignitie to the iuflice of God?

14. Laftly, after they have with one hand firetched the worthinesse of their satisfactions to the highest straine : yet they pull them downe againe with the other, and make them of no force: for let the satisfaction bee what it will, yet the Popes pardon can dissolue the bonds thereof : for it is a ruled case in their Religion, that all satisfactorie punishment may bee released by a pardon: and this pardon may bee obtained by saying not onely ouer certaine prayers, visiting certaine Chur-

: Swarteg. tom. 4. #isp.50. sett.3.

ches, worshipping certaine relickes, and kneeling to certaine pictures, &c. but also by disbursing certaine monie out of their purses, that may come to the Popes purse and coffers. Behold now the greatest danger of this doctrine, thy satisfa-Ction is released and made no satisfaction, and it is released by him of whom thou maist justly doubt whether hee hath authoritie so to doe, and whether he may erre in doing it : & Indalgent, hee doth it de facto, not examining whether thou bee truly penitent or no: but whether thou hast payd thy penitentiall tax or no; and that which is worst of all, hee so grantethit, that it is alwayes reuocable, at his fecret pleasure : so that satisfaction is made no satisfaction, by the Popes pardon: the Popes pardon is made no pardon, because it is bought with monie; (thy monie perish with thee, that thinkest this gift of Act.8, 20. the holy Ghost can bee obtained with monie:) and the mony is cast away : because the Pope may both erre in his pardo. ning, and also reuoke his pardon when he list, without giving any notice of the cause vnto the partie: what securitie can a Christian conscience find in these vnsure principles? How much safer a course is it to rely voon Christs satisfaction onely, which is a true fatisfaction indeed: not difanulled by any act eyther of God or man: not pardoned but performed, not purchased by monie, but by faith which is more precious then gold: and lastly, not reuocable by any power in heaven or in earth, but standing firme as an everlasting foundation, for. the faluation of the elect?

15. Touching Antichrist, whether side doth more incline to take part with his Apostacie, and is in most danger to bee inthralled to his dominion, let any indifferent man judge: for wheras it is granted by all, both Romanists and Protestants, yea, and Fathers also, that Antichrist should bee a Monarch clayming an vniuerfall iurisdiction throughout the whole World, and should also challenge to himselfe an infallibilitie of judgement: Protestants abhorring all such manner of subjection, and renouncing all such power in any creature, . cannot possibly fall into the Antichtistian gulfe. But Papists Bell.de Rom. professing the Pope to be the fole Monarch of the Church, and Pontifeb. 1. c. 5.

Gerson. 2. par. de

1. Pet.1.7.

5 ..

Cefter de Pontif. Salmer . in Epift. Pauld Sp. 11.0

6.

his indgement to bee of infallible trath in the defining of matters of faith, must needs bee in more danger to bee in Autichrists Kingdome: we cannot be saues to Antichrist, because we admit no gouernment like vnto his in the Church, nor any such peremptorie power of not erring in the gonernment. But they professing a government and a power in that gouernment, to agreeable to the flate of Antichrift, may fulpect themselves to bee fallen into that Apostacie, as they are indeed over head and cares. Our religion then is more secure in this respect: whereas theirs, by their owne principles, hath some affinitie and correspondence therewith: and Antichrift himselse may be in their Church, but cannot by a-

ny probable coniecture be in ours.

16 Againe, for the Article of Inuocation of Saints, the Romanists that hold the affirmative, are in many respects subject to many more and greater dangers then the Protestants which hold the negative: for first, if their doctrine bee not true, they manifestly detract from the glorie of the Creasour, and give the same, vnto his creatures. Whereas if our doctrine bee falle, wee onely detract from the glorie of the creatures, and giue it ynto the Creatour. Now, by how much it is a greater sinne to doe injurie to the Creatour, then to the creature, by so much the more dangerous is their dostrine, then ours: and as it is safer to ascribe that glory to God, which is due to man, then to manthat, that is due to God; fois there more safetie in our doctrine then in theirs.

17. Secondly, in respect of charitie: if they erre in this opinion, then doe they turne the holy Saints of God into abominable Idols, and so offer that wrong vnto them, which, they being lealous of Gods glorie, of all things most detest: as the examples of Paul and Barnabas, and of Peter, and the Angell declare: but if wee erre, wee onely being lealous that Gods glorie may not be communicated to any other, deprive them of a little worship, which wee thinke belongeth vnto God, and in the meane while esteeme them as blessed Saints. and honour them by praying God for them, imitating their godly examples, and keeping an honourable remembrance

Act 14.15. A& 10.26 Lieuch. 19.10. of them in our Churches. Now in charity, whether is a greater wrong to the Saints, to turne them into Idols, that is, into deuils, or for zeale of Gods glorie, to take from them a little of their due honour?

- 18. Thirdly, in respect of conscience : if they doe sinne in this, it is the horrible and fearefull sinne of Idolatrie, which being spirituall adulterie, causeth a diuorce betweene God and his Church : and is , if not in malignitie above herefie, yet heresie in the highest degree: for it robbeth God, not onely of his glorie, but of his effence, and lifteth vp another into his throne: but our finne, if it bee a finne, is at the highest, euen in the reputation of their flander, but heresie, and that in the lowest degree, consisting in matter of circumstance touching the worship of the creature, and not in any fundamentall point of Religion, that concerneth the Deitie. Now, whether conscience should be more afraid of this or of that, I thinke no man doubteth that hath a conscience.
- 19. Fourthly, we are fure that we cannot inuocate any but the true God: for our Prayers are made vnto the bleffed Trinitie, and to none other. But they are in danger to pray vnto false Saints in flead oftrue, yea, vnto those that eyther never were in rerum natura, or that are in hell: for the being of many of their Saints, is grounded upon their Legends and humane Stories: Sum. Rossel. ert. which were subject to lying & erronious deceit, & the sainting of many that are inrowled in that Kaleder, dependeth vpo the Popes canonization, which they themselves cannot deny but is subject to errour; in so much that Cajetane, a learned and famous Romanist, is reproued by Catharinus another of the same stampe, for calling in question the pretended miracles for the Virgin Maries conception, faying, That if one Saint be doubted of, the rest also may bee doubted of; and therefore, that no man can inuocate or worship them, without manifest perill of Idolatric. Now many of their Saints may bee iustly doubted of, if not worthily rejected (Saint Augustines saying being notorious) that many that are tormented in hell with the Deaill, are morshipped by men in earth. Therefore their inuocation must needs be dangerous.

in canonizat.

Canloc.li.5 c.5. Plati in Bonif 8. Cathar.aduers. noua dozmat. Caiet.p.127.

Bell. de Sauct. beat.l.b.1.cap.9 20. Lastly, that God heareth our prayers, no man doubteth: but how the Saints hearethem, whether by the declaration of Angels, or reuelation of God, or in the glasse of the Trinitie, they themselues are not able to determine; what wise man now will relye his faith vpon such vncertainties? and not choose rather to make his prayers to God, who, wee are assured, heareth them, and knoweth the heart, rather then to them of whose knowledge and presence wee haue just cause to doubt.

21. The worship of Images and relickes doth plunge the practisers thereof, into many apparent dangers, which the resusers cannot fall into. As first in shew, at least they cannot but be judged breakers of the second Commandement, which forbiddeth the worship of any Image, of what sower is in heave, earth or Sea, that is, in the whole world: but they worship many and divers Images, of all forts: therefore in shew must needs be transgressors of the second Commandement. Neyther can they rid the selves fro this crime, but by new devised distinctions of satria and dalia, Idoll and Image, the one being of Heathen gods, the other of Christian Saints. And in a word, some of them are driven to say, that this Commandement was no part of the morall Lam, but a mere ceremoniall precept, pertaining onely to the semisor.

Vasques de ado. rat.lib.2. disp.4. cap.4.

uised distinctions of latria and dulia, Idoll and Image, the one being of Heathen gods, the other of Christian Saints. And in a word, some of them are driven to say, that this Commandement was no part of the morall Law, but a mere ceremoniall precept, pertaining onely to the lewish Church, as hath beene Thewne before: vpon what quicklands, and fhelfes are they driven, that cannot clearethemselves from Idolatrie, but by fuch desperate distinctions, which have no foundation in the word of God? the Commandement prohibiteth all worship of any Image, yea, of the Creator himselfe, and all his creatures: they come with their niceties of distinctions, and would make vs beleeue, that not all worship, but that onely which is called latria, is forbidden: nor all Images, but the Idols of the Heathen; that is, of fuch things as neuer were: nor to all people, but the lewes onely. I am fure, we in shew at leaft, and (in verie deed,) in truth, doe give more reverence to this Commandement: for plainly and directly, without di-Ringuishing, diminishing, altering, or any wayes wringing the precept, wee condemne all worthip of all Images, in all people

people whatfoeuer, as impious and Idolatrous: fo that wee are in no danger of transgressing this Commandement, as

they are, if their distinctions helpe them not out.

22. This danger is in respect of God, another followeth in respect of conscience. The Paynims worshipped stockes & stones, that is, dead and liveleffe things, as both the Prophet Esay, and the Prophet David doe plainly auouch, Now, doe not the Romanists the like? for let it bee granted, that their Images and those of the Heathen differ essentially, and that in truth: our Romanists worshippe not simply stockes and stones, but the things represented by them; yet this cannot bee denyed, but that in outward appearance, their worsh ppe hath great resemblance to that of the Heathen. For when they fall downe before the croffe, and fay, All haile, O Croffe, our onely hope, &c. as it is in their Masse Bocke, and, Thou onely art worthy to beare the ransome of the World: what doe they, but at least in shew worship a stocke and a livelesse thing as the Paynims did? and when they fay, they worthippe not the thing it selfe, but the thing represented by the Image, (as in the Crosse, Christ that was crucified on the Crosse,) what do they but excuse themselves by the same reason which the Panims did? for Seneca layth, that by Iupiter standing in the Ca- senec. quest. napetoll, with lightning in his band, they understood the preserver and governour of all things: and Perefius a learned Papist affirmeth, that few or none among the Gentiles thought their Idols Perestrad. part. to be Gods: yea, Saint Paul himselfe telleth vs, that the Altar at Athens was dedicated to the same God that Paul preached, Acts 17.23. though unknowne unto them. So that in the matter it selfe, and in the manner of excuse, they are (without all doubt) cousen-Germanes to the Paynims: and if they bee not in the gulfe of their Idolatrie, yet they confine verie neere voon the Coasts thereof: whereas wee more wifely march aloofe, and are afraid to approch any whitneere voto them. This I speake by way of supposition, if their Image-worship bee not the same with the Paynims: but if it be, (as it is indeed, as hath beene proued before) then with the heedlessefish, they are leaped out of the frying pan into the fire : they are not any longer in

Efay 44. Pfal.115.

tur.1.2.6.45.

3.pag.45.

the danger, but in the mischiese it selfe: let them choose which

of these they will, one they must needs fall into.

23. Thirdly, if wee respect charitie, this doctrine is in danger to breake the cords thereof, by giving a double offence, one to their owne filly ignorant seduced people : for they not being able to diffinguish of their schoole diffinctions, latria and dulia, proper and improper worship, nor to put a difference betwixt the Image and the famplar, which it representeth, and being warranted to fall downe before the Image, doe ordinarily fall into Idolatrous worship: which is fo common and notorious a thing among the ruder fort, that Polidore Virgil, Cassander, and Agrippa, all profest patrones of Popish superstition, doe say, that it is an ordinarie matter. A monderful superstitio that is nourished by Images, & so apparent, that Agrip. de vanit. it cannot be denied. Now if this were a scandall taken, and not given, they might in some fort bee excused: but it is evidently, not onely occasioned, but caused, by reason that both the doctrine is involved with fo many intricate questions and di-Ainctions, that it is impossible for an ignorant person to discerne thereof, and also because the Image it selfe (as the Prophet Habacuck telleth vs) is a teacher of lyes. For which cause, as Polidore Virgil reporteth, the Fathers, of all vices, condemned the worship of Images, for feare of Idolatrie; the most execrable vice of all. The second offence is to the vnconuerted Iemes, who are most zealous in this point of the Law against Images, insomuch (as lesephus reports of them) they did hate the verie Images of men in their Heathenish Trophees, as being forbidden them by God. Now it is well concluded by a judicious obseruer of the Westerne Religions, (and without doubt is a most true observation; ) that there is no one thing, in outward respects, that doth ingender in the Jewes such a detestation of Christian Religion, and keepe them from being converted, as the worship of Images in the Church of Rome; for they, and that by good reason, may thus

dispute, If this Religion of Christians were of God, then they would not oppose themselves to the expresse Commaundement of God in worshipping Images, which he hath so plain-

Poly l. Virg. Inuent.li.6.ca.13. Cassand.consult. art.21: cap.57.

Polyd. Virg. quo supra.

Ioseph. Antiquit. libas.cap.11.

S. EDW. S.

ly forbidden: but they oppose themselues to Gods Commandement, and worship Images; therefore their Religion cannot bee of God. Hence it is, as the former learned Relator doth report, that at Rome, though all the Iewes in the Citie are constrained once a yeere to come to a Christian Church and there heare a Sermon, for their pretended conversion: yet when as a Fryer, before the beginning of his Sermon, holdeth vp a Crucifix, and prayeth vnto it, in their open fight, they are more alienated from the Christian faith by this odious spectacle, then all the reasons and arguments that he can vie, are able to perswade them to the same. Behold ewo dangerous and fearefull scandals which arise from this doctrine : one, to their owne weake ones, of which our Saujour faith. that it were better for a man, that a milstone were hanged about his necke, and that hee were throwne into the Sea, then that hee should offend one of them: the other, to the obflinate lemes, whose conversion shall be so beneficiall to the whole world, as that Saint Paul callethic life from the dead. Now our Re- Rom. 11.15. ligion is farre from giving any such offence to one or other,ejther in this, or any other point thereof, if it bee not vecerly misconstrued and misconceiued.

24. Againe, in their worship of Relickes, there is no securitie at all, both in feare of Idolatrie, which may bee well committed to them, if they bee true, in giving them a higher measure of adoration then they themselves allow of, which is easie to bee done by the ignorant multitude : and also in feare of worshipping salle relickes, in slead of true, whereof there is no small number in the Church of Rome, as hath bin alreadie declared: and lasty, in feare of neglecting the true members of Christ, by a too sumptuous prodigalitie towards the bones of (I cannot tel what) dead men, or other creatures, as is most vsuall in their Church, and that in great excesse: in which respects, it is without question a more safe course, that all such Relickes were buried under the earth, with due honour of Christian sepulture, then that they should thus indanger both godly pietie & Christian charitie. And this is the conclusion of their Cassander, who sayth, that it is more safe,

 $Ll_2$ 

8.

rather

rather bonourably to burie those corruptible relickes, and to draw the World to the worship of their spiritual relickes, which neither time can corrupt, nor fraud counterfeit.

Canus loc.1.3.6.3

25. Againe they hold and teach, that traditions are to bee concil. Trid. Self. honoured, with equall affection and denotion, as is due vnto the A decret.do. Can. olde and new Testament : and that there are many things belonging to the doctrine and fairn of Christianitie, which are neyther expressely nor obscurely contained in the Scriptures. And therefore, by their owne consession, they build many do-Erines of their Religion vpon tradition onely, without Scripture, and acknowledge, that without tradition many of them would reele and totter. The Protestants hold the contrarie, and constantly affirme, that the Scripture is an all-sufficient directorie, and a most absolute and perfect rule for faith and manners: and therefore that wee ought not to relye our faith vpon anything, but Scripture alone. Now lervs confider, and examine whether of these two doctrines are more safe for aman to repose his soule vpon. And that our doctrine is so, may appeare first by the nature of the question it selfe, which is controuerted betwixt them and vs: for the question is not, whether the Scripture bee the Word of God, or no: therein wee shake hands, as an undoubted truth: but whether traditions bee the Word of God or no : the affirmative they hold, wee the negative, and that by great and throng grounds, which our adversaries themselves cannot deny, but that they carriegreat shew of reason and probabilitie. Now whether is the fafer course, to relye our faith voon those principles that are vnquestionably Gods Word: or vpon those that are controuerted, disputed, and called in question? Any.man that goeth about to buy a purchale, will fooner venture vpon fuch a title which was neuer cal'ed in question, nor can indeed bee doubted of, then vpon a broken, disputable, and vudecided title: he will looke twice vpon his pennie, before he part with it, in such a case, lest caueat emptor proue him to bee of little discretion, and teach him to repent when it is too lete. This is the case of everie Christian; wee are to buy the truth, and not to sellit, as Salomon counselleth. Now who will not, that hath

Pro.23.23.

hath any graine of wisedome in his heart, rather lay out his monie, that is, his soule and conscience ( which as Augustine callethit, is numisma Dei, Godscoyne, because his Image is im. Aug. in Psal. 65. printed therein) for the purchase of that truth, which is without all exception in the holy Scripture, then for that which is faid to be in traditions, but mixed with many doubts and ambiguities? It is a rule in Law, that abundans cautela non nocet: a man cannot be too warie in making fure his title to any thing whatfoever. How much more then should it prevaile in cases of conscience, where the damage is not of house and land, but of our foules, which to eucry man ought to be more precious then the whole world? Here is an euident direction for our choice, if we eyther loue the truth, or our own foules which must live by it.

26. Secondly, it may appeare by the perpetual certaintie of the holy Scripture, and variable vacertaintie of vawritten traditions: for the Scripture was ever the same fince it was Scripture, and so shall continue to the end of the World, no man daring to alter or change it, to adde thereto or detract ought therfrom, for feare of the curse denounced against such presumption. But Traditions are, and haue beene euer most variable and vnconstant: some that have beene held for Aposto'ical traditions, being veterly abrogated and abolished: as threefold immersion, or thrice dipping in baptisme for significa- canustocilis c.5 tion of the Trinitie: giving the Eucharist to infants, which was vsed 600. yeeres in the Church: standing in publike Prayers at Eafter and Pentecost, and such like: and some altered and changed, as deferring Baptisme untill the feasts of Idem l.1.c. 19. Easter and Pentecost, into baptizing vpon any occasion; fasting vpon Wednesdayes and Saturdayes, into Wednesdayes and Fridayes; and so many ancient constitutions dispensed withall by the pretended Apostolicall authoritie of the Church of Rome, as is confessed by them. And that this is an vncontroulable truth, that one famous example of the contention betwixt the East and West Churches, touching the observation of Easter, doth euince: for the one side pretended a tradition from Saint Iohn and Saint Philip: the other from Saint Peter and

Maldon in 10h.6 Durant de ritib. lib.3.cap.24.

Binius tom. I. Concil.fol. 247. Braius Schol, in lib.7.constit. Clem.649.24.

Saint Paul. Now if some traditions beethus vncertaine, subiect to change, abrogating, dispensing, and abolishing, all must needs bee of the same nature : and if all bee of that nature, then there can be no securitie in conscience, to suspend our faith vpon them: the safest way therefore is, to relye vpon Scripture alone; the fulne se whereof Tertullian adored, and of the authoritie whereof whatsoeuer was destitute, Ierome indged to bee nothing but vaine babbling: and besides the which, who focuer teacheth any doctrine of faith, Saint Au-

gustine pronounceth anathema against him.

27. Thirdly, and lastly, by the infallible truth which shineth in the Scriptures, as the Sunne in the firmament, wherein no errour euer was found, no spots or blemishes, as in the Moone of traditions: no deceit, nor misleading, vnlesse in sence peruerted, as by Heretikes to their owne destruction: but many traditions have beene as erronious and deceitfull in themselves, so the causes of much errour in the Church: witnesse Papius, who (as Eusebius testifieth) broched many exorbitant doctrines, under pretence of tradition from the Apofiles, and drew manie Ecclesiastical Doctours moved by his antiquitie (for he was Disciple to Iohn) into the errour of the Chiliasts; and all the ancient Heretikes almost: who slying Weston de triplic. from the Scriptures, did shelter themselves under the pretext eyther of philosophicall principles, fained gospels, or forged traditions: and hereof, many ancient traditions themselues giue pregnant euidence, as those alleadged by Clemens Alexandrinus, to wit, Infification by philosophie, Repentance after death, Preaching the Gospell to the wicked in hell; which the Romanills themselues condemne : or that of Cyprian, touching anointing to bee vied in Baptisme, and mixing wine with Aug. cont. cref. mater, which Saint Augustine rejected as erronious : or that of Iraneus, who faith, that it was a tradition, that Christ suffered at fifiie yeeres of age; which is disallowed by all sound authoritie, and convinced of errour by the Scripture it selfe. Of this kind a number more might bee produced, if need required: but these are enough to inferre the conclusion, that traditions are not of that infallible truth as the holy Scripture is,

Tertul. contra Hermog.cap.22. Hierom com,in tit.cap.I. Aug. cont. liter. Petil.1.3.c.6.

Enseb. 11.3.0.33.

bo.offic.l.3.c.22.

Clem. Strom.

consum. Iraneus contra bæreses.

but rather subject to errour and falshood; and therefore it can bee no part of Christian wisedome, to repose our faith ypon them; for it is to build vpon a sandie foundation, which will

deceive the building, in time of need.

28. Auricular confession hath as little securitie in the practice of it, as any of the former doctrines: for first it implieth inpossibilitie of performance, by requiring a persect enumeration of all particular sinnes, both secret, and open, and that vpon danger of damnation, the absolution being frustrate, if this condition bee not observed. Now, because no manis able to performe this, therefore no mans conscience can be asfured of the remission of his sinnes by that sacramentall medicine: whereas on the contrarie, hee that confesseth his knowne sinnes to God, and forsaketh them with a generall detestation of all other vnknowne, though many escape his remembrance, yet by Gods promise is sure to find mercie: Prou.28.13. which is the doctrine of the Protestants. This is possible and easie to be done. The other impossible, and improbable: and that many learned of their fide have ingeniously confessed, as Cassander, Rhenanus, with divers others. And albeit the Fathers of the Trent Councell in shew seemed to qualifte the matter with this limitation, that other sinnes which do not come into the mind of the partie confessing, diligently thinking upon them, are understood as generally included in his confession: yet the Iesuite Suarez confesseth, that the Priest cannot remit any one sinne, except the penitent confesse all that hee ought to confesse: and Maldonate another Iesuite, that because the Priest can remit no sinnes but such as he heareth con- 9.10. art. 8.3. feffed, therefore hee that must remit all, must heare all. And it is plaine, that what soeuer the Councell spake, yet it meant no otherwise, by the reason which they give for necessitie of confession: which is, that the penitent may bee judged whether he hath sinned or no: and if hee have, in what kind, and degree, to the end that proportionable penance may be joyned to his offence: and therefore it is required, that not onely the act of sinne, but all the circumstances bee discouered. (Who, Suar, 10m. 4. what, to what end, bow, by what helpes, where, when) which are disput. 12.5.1.

10.

Cassand. consult. art.11.pag.82. Rhenan, argum. in Tertul.de pæ. nit.Concil.Trid. sess.14.6ap.5. Suar.tom.4.disp.

Maldon.Sum.

the seuen circustances attending vpon enery actio. Now how can the Priest indge of the nature, qualitie, & quantitie of the sin, except he know it with all the circumstances? & if he know it not, how can he enioyne a competent satisfaction? And if no satisfaction be enioyned, then no remission eyther of the sinne, or at least releasement from the temporall punishment thereof can be obtained. What a snare are mens consciences brought into by this intricate doctrine? How much freer and securer a course is it to confesse necessarily to God alone, voluntarily to the Pastor, in cases of districts of conscience, and want of instruction, and penalty to the Church in publike, for satisfaction not of God, but of men, for some publike offence committed? This is the decrease of Protestants; which as it is free from impossibilitie, so, it is full of safetie.

29. Secondly, their doctrine leaueth the conscience in doubt, whether the sinne bee truly pardoned or no, by the absolution of the Priest: for the Priest being a man, is vnable to fearch into the heart of a finner: and so consequently may erre in the vse of the key: for if the Confessor bee an Hypocrite, though he make a true relation of all his finnes with all their circumstances, and be therefore absolued by the Priest: vetitis certaine, that such an one is not absolued in Heauen. but stands lyable to Gods judgement : because there must be by their doctrine, aswell contrition intheart, as confession in the mouth or else no pardon can follow: but a Priest cannot discerne of the heart. Nay further, many, if not most of their Romish shauelings, are vnable to judge of the nature and qualitie of fin, much more of the quantitie and degrees thereof; &c fo consequently can neither impose a just or proportionable fatisfaction, without which no releasement; nor make the partie vnderstand the case hee standeth in : that hee may take vpon himselse voluntarie penance: or if need bee, purchase indulgence from the Pope. In all which respects, it is danger to trust our soules vpon such a slipperie soundation: but heethat confesseth to God his sinnes, and expecteth pardon at his hand onely, is sure that hee discerneth the secrets of the heart, and that be shutteth, and no man openeth, and openeth, and World condemne, hee is on a fure ground: and if hee condemne, though all the World acquite, hee is in a miferable case. In this doctrine there is no vncetaintie, but strong comfort to the penitent sinner, and terrour of conscience to the obstinate and vnrepentant.

- 30. If they say, that the absolution of a Priest is certaine, valeffe there bee a barre in him that confesseth, because our Saujour faith, Whosoevers sinnes you remit, they are remitted, and who soeners sinnes yee retaine, they are retained. I answer, that first, de facto, the Priest may erre, but God cannot : Secondly, he cannot choose but erre in absoluing, if the penitent doe erre in confessing, which hee is verie likely to doe: and thirdly, that when God purposeth to absolue a sinner, no barre can hinder the performance thereof: yea, hee infuseth grace into his foule, to hate his finne, and power to forfake it. Is it not better then to trust vnto God then to man, and fafer to confesse our sinnes to him that hath absolute power to pardon them, then to a Priest whose pardon depends vpon the vncertaintie of a mans true confession? These things be so cleare, that no reasonable man can doubt of the truth of them.
- ble grounds in holy Scripture; but auricular Romish consession to a Priest, is by the iudgement of their greatest Clarkes, taken up onely by a tradition of the Church and not by any authoritie of the olde and new Testament: witnesse their Canon Law, Panormitane, Peresius, Petrus Oxoniensis, Bonauenture, Medina Rhenanus, Erasmus with many more: and though the new Iesuites, and Rhemists auouch the contrarie, yet they but therein crosse their sellowes, as learned and wise, as themselves, and yet are not able to alleadge any one direct proofe of their opinion. Now is it not a safer practice to build upon Scripture then tradition, that is, upon God then man? And to chuse that kind of consession which no man doubteth to be warranted from God, rather then that, which the Patrones thereof themselves are atvariance from whom it com-

Canon de pænit.
Panormit, sup. 5.
de fænit.
Peres. de tradis
part. 3.consid.
Caraz. in Sixt
Ouand. 4.d. 16.
pro. 2.
Rhen, Annot ad
Tertulde, pænit.

meth?

meth? who that hath eyes, feeth not which of these is rather to be chosen?

II.

32. Touching Purgatorie, it breedeth divers dangerous consequences, as to their holy Pope first, who taketh vpon him to have plenarie power ouer all creatures, especially ouer the foules in Purgatorie, which the Canonists call peculium Papa, the Popes peculiar: for it proueth him eytherto bec a lying Prophet, or a cruell Tyrant: if hee have full power over them, why doth hee let so many thousand poore soules lye frying there without release? His suffering them to continue in that cruell torment, argueth him either to want power to relieue them, or mercie to put that po wer in execution: both which are unbefeeming qualities for Christs Vicar. If they reply against this (2s Antoninus doth) and say, that in respect of his absolute Iurisdiction, he may absolue all that are in Purgatorie: but if we regard the orderly execution thereof, in that respect the Pope may not, nor ought so to doe : I say againe, But why ought hee not? if it bee in his power? is it for feare to fill Heauen too soone with Saints? but that would be a great bleffing, for then the confummation of all things would the sooner come : or is it for feare lest the justice of God should be fully satisfied by a proportionable punishment? But the Popes indulgence can helpe that, for hee hathin his Treasurehouse such a surplussage of Saints merits, that can serue to make good what socuer is wanting in their behalfe: and the Pope by their doctrine hath authoritie to dispence & dispose of these merits, at his discretion. Or is it for feare lest purgatorie should be emptied, and so hee should lose one part of his Kingdome? But our Saujour contented himselfe with heauen and earth to be under him and his dominion; and Saint Paul attributes to his regiment things under earth, that is, in hell: and wil his Vicar needs haue a larger dominion then his Master? But indeed this is the true reason. For if hee should make a goale deliuerie, out of this infernall prison; then his chiefest sway were gone, yea, and his reuenue too. It stands ypon him therefore not to bee pleased to deliuer any out of chese paines, vnlesse he bee well pleased for his paines: and if

hee

Anton.part.3, tit.22.cap.5. §.6.& 7.

Mat. 28.19 Phil. 2.10.

hee bee so, then the soules shall flye out of that place to heauen in whole troupes, as they say they did, at the Prayer of a certaine holy man, &c. In their leaden Legend this danger Legenda. lighteth vpon the head of their head, the Pope, which, according to their doctrine, can by no meanes be avoided : it were better then for him to forgoe his profit which ariseth by purgatorie, then to vndergoe fuch foule discredit.

22. Another dangerous consequence ariseth hencefrom, to all the professors of Religion in generall: that is, a seareful presumption and securitie of sinning, when they are perswaded, that after this life they may be released from the paines of purgatorie by the prayers, almesdeeds, Masses and other meritorious workes of the living: for who would bee afraid to finne, or carefull to make his faluation fure in this life with feare and trembling, when hee beleeueth, that by giving a fumme of monie at his death for Masses and dirges to be sung for his foule, he shall be certainly deliuered out of purgatory? This must needs cast men into manifest presumption, if not of all finnes, yet of veniall finnes, and ordinarie offences, which are to be purged by that fire, as they teach. Is not our do-Etrine more found and safe, that informeth vs, that such as die in their finnes, finke downe to the lowest Hell, as hopelesse after death to bee relieued by any thing that can bee done for their fakes by the liuing? doth not this teach men betimes to bee wife? and to finish up the worke of their saluation before the night come? and make their peace with God, whilest they are here in the way of grace, not deluding their soules with a fond expeltation of other mens denotions? Sure it is, that the opinion of purgatorie and prayer for the dead, must of necelsitie nourish a presumption of veniall sinnes at the least, which our doctrine adjudgeth to hell, without repentance, aswell as any other: and because few are able to distinguish betwixt mortall and veniall finnes, but judge them veniall which are to Gods judgement mortall, as their Iesuite Coster confesseth, when hee fayth, that that may seeme a light offence unto man, Coster Enchirid. which is haynous in Gods sight: therefore it must needs also bee in danger to breed a fecret presumption of mortall sinnes also.

de fide special.

And so, whilest they have a blind conceit of the suburbes, which is Purgatoric, they cast themselnes into the Citie it

selfe, which is hell.

34. Lastly, this may be demonstrated to the conscience of any, not prejudiced with a blind zeale to the Romish Church by this reason: for that neyther Purgatorie nor Prayer for the dead, can directly be proved out of Scripture, as hath bin proued before concerning Purgatory, and is apparent concerning prayer for the dead; there being neither precept nor promile, nor direct example in the whole volume of Gods Booke for the same, as is confessed by their owne Bredenbachius: and besides having no sound foundation in the consent of ancient Fathers, as hath beene also prooued; but being founded vpon

Osiander li. Papa non Papa, c.17.

Malden. com.in Luc. 16.

Perer de magia lib. I. cap. II. Salmer com in Rom. 5. disp. 52.

Kom.14.23.

I 2.

vaine apparitions, and frange reuelations of foules departed, which many of the Fathers were of opinion could not bee, as testifieth Maldonate, one of their owne Issuites: for feare, lest under that colour we should be drawne to superstitions: and others thought, that Denils did faine them selues to be the soules of dead men, as witnesseth Pererius another lesuite : yez,and some of their owne Doctours haue beene perswaded, that all apparitions about Churches, are eyther demoniacall or phantasticall: whereas on the contrarie, our doctrine of two places is direct in Scripture, and was neuer denied by any authoritie, either of olde or new Diuines: I meane possitiuely, that there is a Heauen and a Hell: wherefore, this wee may safely beleeue, and repose our soules vpon: but to entertaine the beliefe of the former is as dangerous to the conscience, as doubtfull to the vnderstanding : seeing hee that doubtingly undertaketh any action, is condemned as a sinner, because hee doth it not in faith : Faiths obiect being Gods Word alone, and not the vncertaine conjectures of humane opinions, much lesse the vaine apparitions of dead ghosts.

35. Againe, their doctrine of the absolute necessitie of baptisme, excluding thereby infants from Heauen, and confining them to a Prison in the brimme of Hell, there to indure the euerlasting punishment of losse, is a dangerous doctrine, both in respect of pietie towards God, and charitie towards

our neighbour, and certaintie to a mans conscience: and consequently our doctrine that holderhithe contrarie, is more fafe, in all those respects. For touching pietie, it is a great imbasing to Gods mercie, and a detracting from the glorie of his grace, to thinke that Almightie God should in iuflice cast away the infinite myriades of vnbaptized infants, or that his fauing grace is so tyed to the cutward Sacrament, that he cannot, or at the least, will not faue any without it: the first of these is confessed by many of the learned Romanists Caiet.in 3. Thom. themselves, to be, à Deimisericordia alienum, not agreeable 9.68. art. 1.6.2. to the mercie of God, which exceedeth not onely the deferts, dift. 4 q.2. but euen the hopes of men. The second is confirmed, by a Gerson part. 2. p. due comparing of the olde couenant of the Law, with the 303. new couenant of the Gospell: for if it be true, that children dying under the Law uncircumcifed, were faued by the faith of their Parents (as Saint Bernard thinketh) yea, and is also Bern. Epifl. 77. agreeable to the tenure of the Scripture: for many children dyed in the Wildernesse without the Sacrament of Circumcision: it being omitted for those fortie yeeres by Gods own allowance: and David hearing of the death of his childe, before hee had received the out ward character of Circumcision. as may be gathered out of the Text, did solace himselfe with 2.Sam. 12. this confidence, that the childe was faued. Then it must needs follow, if the same priviledge be not granted to the children of Christian Parents, that the couenant of the Gospell is not. solarge, as the couenant of the Law: nor Gods mercie so bountiful to Christians, as to lemes; nor the merits of Christfo effectuall after his comming in the flesh, as they were before: by all which the glorie of the Go'pell and grace of Chrifis much defaced, and the vnbounded Ocean of Gods mercie limited and flinced.

36. Touching charitie, is it not an vncharitable conceit, to despaire of the saluation of poore infants dying without Baptisme? and that, both towards the infants themselves, who though they are borne in original sinne, yet are innocent from actuall transgressions; and towards the Parenis, who being themselues within the couenant, hereby are deprined

Biel in 4. Sent. Bel.de baptis,lib. I.SAP.4.

ad Hugin. de S.

of that chiefe comfort of the couenant, which is, that God is not onely their God, but the God of their feed : and towardes the Church, that hereby is robbed of a great part of her children, and made vnable to present young infants to her Husband Christ Iesus. Children are little beholding to them for this doctrine, Parents leffe, and the Church, the mother of the faithfull least of all. And indeed so farre is it from charitie, that it is full of damnable crueltie.

37. Lastly, touching the perilous consequences that follow vpon this doctrine, I need name but these three, to wit, first, that it maketh God more mercifull to men of yeeres, then vnto tender infants: for they teach, that men of yeeres (as Valentinian the Emperour) may be faued, by the Baptisme of the Spirit, or by the Baptisme of bloud, which is Martyrdome, though they want the Baptisme of water: but infants, albeitthey may have the Spirit of sanctification, even in the wombe, as Iohn Baptist had, and may be Martyrs according to their opinion, as the children that Herod caused to be slaine, yet if they want the Sacrament of water, they adjudge them peremptorily to be banished from Gods presence for euer, Now then children and men being in the same predicament: either the one must be admitted to Gods sauour aswell as the other, or it must needs follow, that God is partial and more fauourable to the one then the other. If they fay that men, though they haue not the act of Baptisme, yet they have votum, a desire

vnto it, which being intercepted by some sodaine accident,

is supplied by inward grace: I answere with Bellarmine, that

as another mans sinne was the cause of the damnation of infants, so other mens faith sufficeth them unto baptisme. Why should then the defire of one man be of more efficacie to his faluation, then the defire and purpose of the Church for the saluation of infants? To this purpose their owne learned Schoole-

man sayth, that the child before it bee baptized, is in some fort

partaker of the Sacrament of Baptisme, enen by the faith of the Church, which hath vowed him thereunto. And Bonauenture, as

hee is reported by Cassander, sayth, that infants are disposed

unto Baptisme, not according to any act of their owne, but accor-

Bell de baptif.l. I cap 6. Mat. 2.

Rell.de baptif.l.1 cap.9.

Aquin.z.part.q.

cassand. de bapsis.Infant.fol. 148. par. altera.

68. 6 69.

ding to the ast of other: because the mercie of God imputeth to them as their owne will, the will of another. Infants therefore fland still in as good case in enery respect, as men of yeares, if not in better, both being vnbaptized, and the one dedicated to God by their owne defire, the other by the purpose & defire of the Church: and therefore, either these may bee saued aswellas they, or else God is not so mercifullto them, as to these: which is no lesse then impietie to thinke, and blasphemie to pronounce.

38. Another wicked consequence that followeth vpon this doctrine, is, that it maketh God the Father, the Sonne, and the holy Ghoft, even that bleffed Trinitie, that is the fountaine of all truth and goodnesse, to be lyars and teachers of vitruth. For God the Father layth to Abraham, I will bee Gen. 17.7. thy God, and the God of thy feed. And that this is not to bee vinderstood of the feed of Abraham according to the flesh onely, to wit, the lemes, but much more of his feed according to the Spirit, which are faithfull Christians, may appeare both by that which is in the verie same place, where it is called an enerlasting couenant, and by Saint Pauls testimonie, Gal 3.14. who affirmeth that the bleffing of Abraham was to come on the Gentiles through Christ Iesus, aswell as on the Iewes. God the Sonne fayth, Suffer little children to come onto me, for of fuch Mat 19.14. is the Kingdome of Heaven: plainly affirming that the Kingdoine of Heauen is pertaining to little children, and not barred vp against any, as our Romanists teach it is, against such little ones as dye without baptisme. Our Saujour faith without exception, that the Kingdome of Heauen belongeth vnto them: they, as it were to make him alyar, bring in an exception and fay, that except they bee baptized, not Heaven, but Lymbus belongeth vnto them. And the holy Ghost by the mouth of Saint Paul fayth, That the children of beleeuing 1. Cor. 7.14. Parents are holy: the reason is, because the root is holy, and cherefore the branch must needs be holy: and if children may be boly before they be baptized, then by the same rule they may goe to Heaven before they be baptized: for as no man without boline Te can see God: so with holine se none can be banished out of the sight

of God. And thus this doctrine giveth the lye to cuery perfon of the bleffed Trinitie.

29. If they say, that it is our Saujours doctrine, that except a man be borne againe of water and the holy Ghoft, hee cannot enter into the Kingdome of Heauen, and therefore, all those generall promises are to bee restrained by this exception (if they bee baptized: ) I answere out of Bellarmine, that God is not tyed to his Sacraments, but can saue them by his especiall grace: as also witnesse divers others of their learned Doctours. And therefore, whereas our Saujour faith, Except a man be borne againe, &c.it must needs be understood by another exception, to wit, of cases of necessitie, where Baptilme cannot be obtained, and is not contemned: for not

Caffand.de bap. tis.infant.par altera fol.128. 134.

Rell de Christo

lib.4. cap. vlt.

the want, but the contempt of Baptisme is damnable.

40. The third and last inconvenience that ariseth from this doctrine, is, that it is the mother of divers strange paradoxes and groffe absurdities, as not onely of Lay mens Baptisine, yea, of Pagans, and that in scorne, but also of changing the true element into lee, or broth, or puddle water, and that which is most strange, of baptizing the childe in the mothers wombe before it bee borne, or ripping vp the mothers belly, in case the child be in danger of death, &c. some of all which absurdities are held by them all, and all by some. Is it not then more fafe to hold that opinion which is more respe-Etiue to Gods glorie, agreeable to Christian charitie, and free

from all these dangerous consequences?

41. To conclude, omitting many other of their dostrines, which might easily bee shewne to stand in the same case of dangerous tenure, and hath in part alreadie beene manifested; as their doctrine of set fasts, implicite faith, veniall fins, dispensations with others more: I propound for the last instance, that doctrine of doctrines, the verie groundcell of their ruinous Religion, touching the veritie, authoritie and fingularitie of their Church, which they vaunt and bragge to be the onely true Catholike Church of Christ, and to have a preeminence ouer the Scriptures, and without the which to be no possibilitie of saluation: that there is no safetic in these

I 3-0

positions many reasons will enince, as first, if it should bee true, that out of the bounds of that Church, none could bee saued then those famous Churches of Asia, which were in Pope Victors time, that opposed themselves against the predominance of the Church of Rome, were all damned, wherein flourished many holy Martyrs that gaue vp their lives for the testimonie of Iesus. Then Saint Cyprian and all the Bishops of Carthage, to the number of fourescore, that in a Councell at Carthage set themselves against Pope Stephen and his Councell, were damned : and Saint Cyprian must bee no longer a Martyr but a Schismaticke; and then S. Augustine with the whole Church of Africa and troupes of Martyrs and Confessors should not bee crowned with bliffe, but tormented in hell, for they reiested the yoke of the Bishop of Romes authoritie, and would not admit that any should make appeales from them to Rome. This horrible and vncharitable inconvenience doth arise from that dismall doctrine, The Church of Rome is the onely Catholike Church, and out of it there is no hope of saluation: now that these holy and heavenly Martyrs and Confessors of Iesus Christ were out of it, appeareth by their most received definitions, of a Catholike and a Schismaticke: A Catholike (faith Bellarmine) is Bell. de Eccles. he, that is (ubiect to the one Pastor the Pope, whereby hee maketh the essentiall forme of a Catholike, to be his union and coniunction with his head the Pope: and a Schismatike (sayth Tol-Sacerdot.l.i.ca. let ) is hee that doth separate bimselfe from the head of the Church and the Vicar of Christ. I assume but Cyprian, Augustine, and those other famous Bishops did not acknowledge. any subjection to the Pope, but separated themselves from his dominion; therefore they were by their doctrine no Catholickes but Schismatickes, and consequently out of the Church, and so out of saluation: a damnable conclufion.

milit.lib.3.ca.2.

42. Secondly, they peremptorily auouch, that none of vs being not members of their Church, can bee saued: we on the contrarie charitably believe, that many of them that are igmorantly members of their Church, if they hold the founda-

Mm

2.Thes.3.14.

2.Thef. 3.14.15

Aug.init. Epift. 162 Glorio. & Elensio, &c.

tion of Iesus Christ, and depend voon his merits, not their owne, so that their ignorance be simple and vnaffected, may bee faued. And hereupon they conclude, that it is fafer to bee of that Church, wherein by our owne consession, a man may be faued: then of that to which they denie all hope of faluation : butitis a conclusion made by consusion. For who seeth not, that that is more likely to be the true Church, which is animated with charitie, then that which is void of charitie? and that it is fafer to harbour vadecher wings, that is charitably affected even towards her enemies, then vnder her, that is so miscarried with enuie, that she committeth all to the pit of Hell, that are not of her fellowship and profession : especially, seeing Saint Paul chargeth the Thessalonians, that If any man obey not the Gospell, they should note him with a letter and have no companie with him, that hee may bee asbamed, yes they should not accout him as an enemie, but admonish him as a brother? If then it be safer to thinke charitably of those that are without, then veterly to condemne them all: then it must be also safer to bee a member of our Church then of theirs. And to make the matter more cleare, Saint Augustine is flatof our mind; to thinke more Christianlike of Heretikes (as they repute vs) then they doe : for writing against the Donatist's thus he fayth, They that defend their false doctrine without obstinate boldnesse, especially, if they be not such as have beene authors of those errours, but either received them from their Parents, or were seduced by others, and doe carefully seeke the truth. being readie to be reformed, a soone as they shall see their errours: such men are not to be efteemed as Heretikes. Thus writerh Saint Angustine, whereby hee condemneth the practice of the Church of Rome, and instifieth ours as more agreeable to the rule of charitie: and thus, that reason whereby the Iesuites seduce many ignorant persons, falleth to the ground, and maketh more against them, then for them. 43. Thirdly, if the Churches authoritie bee aboue the au-

43. Thirdly, if the Churches authoritie bee aboue the authoritie of the Scriptures, then are men to bee preferred before God, and that which is subject to errour, before that which can neither erre nor deceive: for the Church confiles

of men: but the Scripture is immediately from God, and the Church may erre, though not in fundamentall points: but the Scripture cannot erre, no not in the least titte : the truth of this allegation is grounded ypon those reasons. First, because euery particular Church may erre, as is confessed, and therefore the whole Chuchin generall may erre also: for such as is the nature of the parts, is the nature also of the whole. Secondly, Councels, which are their Church representative, haue erred, as is notoriously knowne to all, and confessed by Saint Augustine, who sayth, that the decrees of prouinciall Aug.de baptis. Councels are subject to reprehension. Yea, former generall cont. Donatist. Councels may be corrected by them that follow, as the Councell of Arimine, by the Councell of Constantinople, the second of Ephefus, by the Councell of Chalcedon: the Councell of Carthage, by the first of Nice, and the second of Nice, by the Councell of Franckeford. Thirdly, the Pope, that is, the Head of the Church, hath erred; this is also confessed, therefore the bodie can claime no better priviledge: but sayth the same Augustine, There is no doubt of the truth of any thing Idemibid. which is contained in the Scripture. Therefore, who can doubt to place the resolution of their faith, as the safest course on the Scripture, rather then on the Church; especially, seeing no particular writer of the holy Scripture can be taxed with the least errour but many particular parts of the Church, whether we respect the imagined head, which is vertually the whole Church, in their estimation, or the chiefe members in groffe, as the Councels, or the deuided joynts, as particular Congregations, may infly be challenged, as tainted with divers errours in doctrines of faith.

44. Lafly, the Church of Romemay be the whore of Babylon, and fo the See of Antichrift, if not necessarily as wee auouch, yet coniecturally as no man can denie; because spirituall Babylon is said to bee a Citie situate vpon seuen hils, and not onely so, but that raigned ouer the Kings of the earth; both which notes directly agree to the Citic of Rome: but the Church of Protestants cannot by any likelihood bee that whore, seeing neither of those markes doe in any respect Mm 2

lib.2 cap.3.

belong

14.

belong vnto it. Is it not safer then, to rest our selues in her boseme, which by alprobabilitie is an honest Matrone, then in her armes, which is a suspected harlot? If Casar would have his wife to bee without suspicion, then everie Christian had need to looke to his faith, whereunto he is as it were married by the Spirit of God, & wherby he is married vnto Christ, that it be not onely sincere, but also free from all suspicion or likelihood of errour.

45. Thus we see, in these sew maine points of the Romish Religion, compared with our contrarie affertions, that it is a farre safer course, to been Protestant, then a Papill: let all indifferent persons judge and discerne betwixt vs: and I pray

God direct them by his Spirit to choose the truth.

46. There is one thing yet remaining, whereby this may further appeare, and so amend of this whole discourse: and that is, that there is no one point of doctrine wherein they differ from vs, but is contradicted by some of their owne learned Writers shaking hands with vs, and crossing their owne Pew-fellowes: whence from, ariseth not onely another strong argument of greater securitie in our Religion then in theirs, which hath the suffrages of the greatest enemies to vphold it, but also of vnresistable truth, which worketh so vpon the consciences of the aduersaries thereof, that it forceth them, will they, nill they, to acknowledge it, now and then, as the Deuill himselfe was constrained to confesse Christ sea such be the Sonne of God. I might write a whole Volume of this point alone; but I will propound here onely some sew instances, and so shut vp this Treatise.

47. Protestants teach that a man is instified by saith alone, whereby the righteousnesse of Christis imputed vnto
him: and not by the inherent or adherent righteousnesse of
his owne workes: the same is confessed by Thomas Aquinas,
who sayth, that no man is instified with God by his workes, but
by the habit of faith insused: and againe, that there is in the
workes of the Law, no hope of instification, but by faith onely:
and by Pighius, who holdeth, that there is in vs no inherent
righteousnesses whereby weemay bee instified, but that our instifi-

Again in Gal.3.
left.4. & in Rom
3.left.4. & in I.
Tim.1.left.3.
Pighius cont.
Rat.fron.cont.3.

I.

calien.

cation is by Christs righteonsnesse imputed untous: and hy the Divines of Collen, who affirme, That the righteousnesse of Antididag. colo. Christ imputed onto vs, and apprehended by faith, is the princi- Pag. 29. pall cause of our instification: and by Cassander, who appro- cassander consul. ueth of our doctrine of iustification by faith alone, and im- art. 4. de lustif. puted righteousnesse, So that mee exclude not from this faith, repentance, amendment of life, new obedience, &c. Lastly, by Ferus Stapulensis, Peraldus, and divers others, yea, almost all of them, when at the point of death they come to the point of tryall, flye to this facred anchor of Christs righteousnesse alone, renouncing all righteousnesse in themselves, as the famous example of Stephen Gardiner declareth, who lying on his death-bed, reposed himselfe on the righteousnesse of Christ only, for his saluation: and being told, that it was contrarie to his former resolution, answered, that though it was the truth, yet that gappe was not to bee opened to the people.

48. The Protestants hold, that our best workes are stayned with so many imperfections, that they cannot merit any thing at Gods hand, except it be hell fire and damnation; and that though God of his mercie reward good workes with eternall life, yet it is not for any condignity that is in them, but for Christs sake, into whom the partie working is ingrafted and made a member. Many learned Romanists are of the same opinion: Bellarmine sayth, that in regard of the uncertaintie of our owne right cousnesse, and danger of vaine glorie, the safest way is, to put our considence in the sole mercie and goodnesse of God. Waldensis writeth, Hee is a sounder Dinine, a faithfuller Catholicke, and more agreeing to the Scriptures, lib.2 cap.2. that simply denieth merits, and sayth that the Kingdome of Heaven is from the mere grace and will of the giner; not from any defert of the Receiver. Of the same opinion was Albertus Pighius, as witnesseth Bellarmine. Ferus sayth, What seuer God ginethus, is of grace, not of debt. If therefore thou desire to hold the grace and fanour of God, make no mention of thy merits. The same hold Gregorius Ariminensis, Durandu, Stella, with many more, renouncing all the new Rhemish

20

Bel.de Iustif. lib.5.cap.7. Walden.tom.3. de sacram.c 7. Bell.de Iustif. Ferus in Mat. ca7.20.ver[.1. Greg. Ariminej. p.1.d.17.9.1. art.2.p.89-Durand.q.2.dift. 27.2.200.

Stellain Lucs.7

Mm 3

do Arine

doctrine of merits of condignitie tought by the Schoole, & fourbished over by the Councell of Trent, and refining lefuites. All these being sworne subjects to the Church of Rome, yet being constrained by the conscience of the truth, doe as fully and perfectly maintaine our doctrine, as if they were the rankest Protestants in the World.

3.

Bel.de grat. & lib. arbit. b s.c.5. Lumbard. lib.2, dist.25.

Dom. Bannes 2. 9.24.

Ariminenf. 2.d. 29.art. 2. Suarez de auxil. grat. lib. 3.6.20.

4.

Durand.4.d. II. 9.1.

Scot. 4. d. 11. 9.3 Occam in Certilog. I heolog.

. grt. 22:

49. Protestants denie all free will to grace, before it bee quickned and lived by Gods Spirit. Many learned Romanists teach the same doctrine. Laurentius Valla (as Bellarmine reports) mished that the name of free-will were utterly taken away. The Master of Sentences auduched, that free-will, before grace repaire it, is pressed & ouercome with cocupiscence, & hath weaknesse in enill, but no grace in good; and therefore cannot but sinne damnably. Dom. Bannes afficmeth, that it is false, and worsethen false, that any man without the speciall and supernaturall helpe of God, can be able to doe a supernaturallact. Ariminen sis calleth the Remish doct ine of free-wil, Pelagianisme. The Ichite Suarez Sayth, that divers Romanists say, that it is a rash and hereticall opinion to affirme, that when grace is equally offered to two, that one of them could be converted, and not the other. What could any Protestant say more?

50. Transubstantiation, circumgestation, and subtraction of the Cuppe, are denyed by many of their owne fide, as well as by vs. Durand layth, It is great rashnesseto thinke, the bodie of Christ, by his dinine power, cannot bee in the Sacrament, unlesse the bread be converted into it: and therefore that be holdeth the contrarie, onely for the Churches determination. So also sayth Scotus, There is no Scripture to enforce Transubstantiation, except ye bring the Church of Romes exposition. Occham fayth, that that opinion, that the substance of the bread remaineth, is subject to lesse inconveniences, and lesse repugnant to reason and holy Scripture. Cassand. consult. Stome of circumgestation of the hoast (sayth Cassander) may be left with greater profit to the Church, if it bee wisely laid downe: both because it is but a new invention, as also, because it seruethrather for pompous oftentation, then for any godly denotion: and so (as Albertus Crantzius sayth) is contrary to Christs infisthe Mointin Mengions,

institution. Pope Gelasius (witnesse Gregorie of Valentia) faid, that the substance of the bread and wine in the Eucharist doe not lose their nature. Touching abstraction of the Cuppe, their learned Cassander acknowledgeth, that for the space of athousand yeeres after Christ, the people communicated in both kindes: and that in Greece and Armenia they doe still: and the best Catholickes earnestly desire a reformation of this matter in the Church of Rome. And Durand their Schooleman, Durand. Ration. that the receiving in one kind onely, is not a full sacramentall re- 1.4.6.55. ceining: for though that in the consecrated hoast Christs blond bee contained, yet it is not there sacramentally, in that the bread signifieth the bodie, and not the bloud; and the wine the blond, and not the bodie. Of the same mind were Alexander Alensis, Albertus magnus, Biel, with others more: this last affirming, that in the Apostles times all did receive the wine aswell as the bread, because God is no respective of persons. The second, that it is of greater vse and profit to the faithfull: and the fi ft, that it is a matter of greater merit. Thus all these Schoolemen doe protestantize in this point.

51. Amicular confession is denied by Protestants, to be necessarie for the remission of sinnes, and to bee commanded by God. The same is accerted by Panormitane, Peresius, Bonauenture, Medina, Rhenanus, Erasmus, Caietane, &c. all of them concluding with one voyce, that it is a doctrine derived onely from a positive Law of the Church, and not from the Law of God; yea, and the last that is named (to wit Cardinall Caietane his bold to say, that it is so farre from being commanded, that every one should be shriven, before hee come to the Communion; that the contrarie is infinuated by the Apostle, where hee Sayth, Let a mantry himselfe: And Gratian confesieth; that Ambrose, Angustine, Chrysostome, Theophilast, and other Greeke Fathers thought, that secret confession was not necessarie. And lastly, Acosta, a samous Icsuite, auoucheth, that it would be well for the Indians, if the bond of confession might panit, d.s, cap. bee taken away, lest they should bee constrained to commit so many and so grienous sacriledges.

Mm 4

Greg. de Valent. Lb.2. de Idelat. cap. 5. Caffand. consulta art. 22.

Albert, mag in 4. Sent. d ft.8. art.13. Alex Alens.9.51 Biel in can. teet 52.

Panor Super 5. de pænit. & remissicap. omnes. Peres. de tradit. part. 3 consid. 3. Ouand.4.dift, 16 pro. 2. Refert. Henric. Sum.p.206. Rhenan annot. ad Tertul.de | ceniteut. Erasm.annet.ad Hierom. de obitu Fabiol. Caiet.in 3. Thoms 9.80.art.4. Grat.dccret.de Inpanit. Acostalib.6.de procurand. 7n-52. dor sal.c.16.

Bell. de Indulg. lib.1.64p.4.

O ..

52. So the Romish do ctrine of fatisfactions is veterly condemned by Protestints; and not onely by them , but by many of their owne learned D Hours : for the Diaines of Louaine (as Bellarmine witneffeth of them) and others, did certainly defend, that the sufferings of Saints cannot bee true fatisfactions, but that our punishments are remitted onely by the personall Satisfaction of Christ. And Panormitane layth, that aman may be inwardly so penitent and contrite, that he shall need no satisfaction at all, but may bee absolued presently without any penance doing. And another, that the treasure of Indulgences dath confift onely of the merits of Christ, and not of the fatisfa-Etions of Saints, because the merits of Christ are of infinite valew.

Fide Mortons Apol.lib.2.cap. 12.9.398.

7.

Caffand.confult.

Polyd. Virgil de Inuent.l.6.c.13.

Index Belgic. in Polyd. Virgil. Holcot lec. 157. in Sapient. Durand. 3.d.g. verb.aderat. Sixt. Senen. bibl. lib.z.annot.247. Abbas Vriperg. anno 733. Rhegino an. 794 pag.30. Concil. Mozunt.

anno 1547. (ub Sebaft.c.41.0 43. 8.

53. Protestants condemne the worship of Images, taught and practifed in the Courch of Rome, but they are not alone therein : but have many Romanists for their abetters and companions. Cassander concludeth out of Saint Augustine, that there were no Images in all the Churches of his Diocesse. And Polydore Virgil writeth, that by the testimonie of Ierome it appeareth, how in a manner all the ancient Fathers condemned. the worship of Images for feare of Idolatrie : thus speak th he in his vacorrupted editions, but in his later editions, his tongue is tyed by the Belgiske Index: others, as Holcor, Durand, Alphonfus, flacly affirm, that no worship at all is due to. an Image, neither is it lawfull to worship it: divers Councels also decreed the sume, as the ancient Councell of Eliberis propounded this onely remedie again? Idolatrie, that no los iges Alphons. heres. Bould bee painted in Churches: but this Councell was not Romish, for Poperie was then scarce in the Embrio: therefore of later time a mere Romijh Councell, to wit, that of Franckford, confishing of many Romish Bishops and the Popes owne Legates, condemned all morship of Images: and a later yet, to wit, the Councell of Monte, held in the yeere 1549. decreed, that the Image it selfe was not to bee worshipped : but that by the Image of Christ, men should bee stirred up to adore Christ: which is constrarie to the new professed doctrine of the Church of Romest

54. Many Romanis, as well as Protestants, reiect the intercession

tercession and inuocation of Saints, as an Article not found eycher in the olde or new Testament. In the olde Testament (layth Salmeron) The Patrierchs vsed not to be inuocated, both because they were not in perfect estate of blessednesse, and also, because there had beene then a danger of Idolatrie, to offer that honour untothem: And for the new Testament, the same Iesuite consesseth, that this article is not expressed, because the Ideibid. disp. 1. Iewes would have thought it anhard matter to invocate Saints departed, and the Gentiles would have taken occasion to have thought, that the worship of new Gods had beene prescribed ento them. Of the same opinion was Ecchius, who peremptorily affirmeth, that the innocation of Saints departed, is not comman- Faber Stapul. ded in the holy Scripture. And Faber Stapulonsis thus writeth, pref. in Euang. I would to God, that the forme of beleeuing might bee fetcht from the Primitive Church, which consecrated so many Martyrs to Christ, and had no scope but Christ, nor imployed any worship to any, saue to the one Trinity alone.

55. That a Christian may bee certaine of his owne standing in present grace, and of his future saluation, is the do-Etrine of Protestants, denyed by the Church of Rome, and yet approved by many of her deare children : as for example: Enery one that beleeveth, seth that he doth beleeve (sayth Dominisus Bannes) A Christian man, by the infallible certaintie of in Tiom. 2.2. faith which cannot bee deceined, certainly knowsth bimselfe to have a supernaturall faith (sayth Medina.) Some spirituall men may be so certaine that they are in grace, that this their assurance shall be free from all feare and staggering (sayth Vega, reported by Gregory de Valentia. And touching affurance of eternall life, the same Medina sayth; that hee would have enery beleener certainly to hope that he shall obtaine eternall life. And of the same opinion are al the rest of them, saue that they will have this certainty to be of hope, and not of faith : and so the difference is in words, and not in the thing; for they make it to be without doubting or wavering, firme and affured, aswell as we.

56. That concupiscence is a sinne in the regenerate, is affirmed by Protestants; contrary to the received doctrine of Salmer com in 10m.2.dijp.8.

Ecchius Enchir.

9.

Dom. Bannes 1-359 ..

Medine 1.2.q. 112,art.5.

Greg.de Valent. 10m.2.p.957. Medina que sio-Staple' de Iufli. lib.9.cap. 11. Dom. Bannes 22 9.18 art. 9.

IO. .

the

Riber.com.in Het . 12.

Yanner exam. S. sed quia.

Stap. de concupiscent.in Renat. lib. 3.6ap 2. Bel.de inftif.l.4. cap. 13. cassand. confut. art.2.

11.

Grat. Caus. 26. g.z.Can.Sors. Espens.com.in Tit, cap. I. Caiet.tom.1. tract.27. Pius 2. Epift. 321. Or apud Plat, in cius Panor. de Cler. coniug, c.chi olim Caffand, conful, art.23. Eras.annot.in 1. Tim. 3.P.533.

the Church of Rome: & yet many Romanists themselves shake hands with the Protestants in this point : as Ribera a lesuite. who writing vpon the twelfth of the Hebrewes fayth, that by sinne hanging fast upon, is meant the concupiscence of the flesh against the holy Spirit, which the Apostle vseth often to call by the name of sinne: and Tanner, another Iesuite, acknowledge-Hun. Reuel.c. 13. ing that conceptifeence in the regenerate, is called sinne by the Scripture, sayth, that it is a great wickednesse, to traduce as blasphemous, the manner of speech, true in it selfe, and imitating the Scriptures: yea, and Stapleton calleth it a certaine iniquitie, and obliquity not onely against the dominion of the mind, but also against the Lawof God. Now Bellarmine telleth vs. that what seever is contrarie to the Law of God, is mortall sinne. Cassander playeth the Protestant in direct termes in this point: for he sayth, that if we respect sinne as an iniquitie or disease, which must be resisted by the spirit, lest it burst forth into unlawfullacts, concupiscence is not unfitly called sinne: but if we respect it as an offence to God, and guiltinesse to which punishment and damnation is answering, it is not thus sinne in the regenerate.

57. Touching marriage of Priess, which the Church of Rome condemneth, as execrable, filthie and abominable;& we allow as holy and lawfull : we have their owne Doctours on our side, and against their owne mother. Gratian sayth, that marriage of Triests is not prohibited eyther by legall, or Euangelicall, or yet Apostolicall authoritie, but by Ecclesiasticall onely. Espenseus sayen, that for many hundred yeeres after the Apostles time, by reason of the want of others, Priests were married. Caietane affirmeth, that if wee stand onely to the tradition of Christ and his Apostles, it cannot appeare by any authoritie or reason, that holy order can be any hinderance to marriage, eyther as it is an order, or as it is holy. Pius the second, one of their owne Popes, affirmeth, that it is better for a Priest to marry, then to burne, though hee have vowed the contrary: and that there be many reasons to forbid Priests marriage, but more to allow it. Panormitane, Cassander, Erasmus, doe all agree, that in regard of the monstrous and filthy effects that follow a

vowed

vowed single life, it were better both for Gods glory, and the awording of scandall in the Church, that libertie of marrying were granted to allmen. And Espenseus and Agrippa doe grieue and blush, to behold rather Concubines and Stewes to bee permitted to their Clergy, then lawfull wines.

58. The Popes Primacie, or rather Supremacie in all affaires, and ouer all persons, challenging the jurisdiction of Amongse Cathonkes both swords, and authorize of supreme sudjectures in a contract to be amother both (words, and authoritie of supreme Iudicatures in cases faith to Believe: 7 of controuerfie, and interpretation of Scripture with an infal- cath. Church shat libilitie of Indgement, is the veric foundation of Poperie: & wererre, nor ag yet the same is razed not onely by Proteslants, but by many of their owne ranke, that are both by name and profession Papists. Concerning his temporal Iurisdiction, so stiffely maintained by Bellarmine and the Iesuits; our Wishich Priests affirm:, that this power was never given unto Peter. Espensaus condemaethie in direct tearmes. Tolesanus confesseth, that for two hundred yeeres after Christ, it was never read, that Christians attempted any thing against their Emperours: and that this was not for want of thrength ( as Bellarmine would have it: he sayth, that even then they did not attempt any such thing, when in number and strength they might make their party good: but in this extolled their Religion above all other, by defending this most holy doctrine, That all men ought to obey the Magistrates. The notable and learned Treatises of Barclay, a French man, Blackwell, Warberton, &c. our Countrey-men, all profest Romanists, doe peremptorily and plainely by ma- below that ny reasons confute the same. Touching his spirituall jurisdi- campfowe, it he Rion, though there bee fewe of them that gain-fay that, yet Gregory the great, one of their owne Popes, may stand in stead of many, who, by many letters, both to the Emperour, pift. 32-3, -38-39 But on and Bishop of Constantinople, sheweth, that no man ought to be an universall Bishop oner therest; calling that name in detestation, vaine, proud, prophane, blasphemous, mischienous, Antishriftian, against the commandements of God, and decroes of Councils; and peremptorily fayth, that he is a follower of Sathan, and a fore-runner of Antichrist, that assumeth it to him-Selfe.

Espens. com, in . Tit.c.I. Agrip. de vanit. cap.64.

ノン

rall Councell ap salond in to sa popes definition Libel. Colleg. interpre Wishish in Respon of S ad ordin. Iefuit. Lures patron.gen.p.15. withou Espens.co. in Tit. Counc 3. degres. 10. are de f Tolos. de repub. or infl. 26.c.7. & 10. Bee Bell. de Rom. aroud a Pontifl.s.c.7. (found of poperr as Bea here fattely affi eth. FEIS You a matter of faith 1 define mittient Gregmag.l. 4. E- Count opinion Jome.

Aquin. in 1. Tim. 6. lect. 1. Antonin. Sum. part. 3 41.18. 6.3 5.3. Gerfon de Co. mun sub vira. que specie. 3.0.29 p.292. Perefius. Bel deverbs Dei, 1.1.6 2. Viet.relett. 4. num. 6.

Alphons. de Cast. 115) 100 - 1,1.6.4. aduers. meres. Bozius tom. 2. de sig. Ecclef. l. 28. c.vlt. Almayn. Quin

59. And that the Pope is not the supreme Judge in the Church, nor of infallible judgement, but the Scripture only; many of them are of opinion aswell as we. Aguinas saith, that the doctrine of the Prophets and Apostles is the rule of our understanding. Antoninus laith, that God hath spoken but once, and that in the holy Scripture, and that so plentifully, to meet with all temptations, and all cases that may fall out. Gerson sich, that the Scripture is the rule of our faith, which being well underfood, no authority of men is to be admitted against it. Gonradus Clingin saich, that the Scripture is the infallible rule of truth. clinging loc. lib. yea the measure and Indge of the truth. Peresius sith, that the authority of no Saint is of infallible truth, for that honour is due only to the Scripture. Yea, Bellarmine their Ring-leader confesseth the Scripture to be the most certaine, and most safe rule of faith. Franciscus Victoria saith, that the Pope, in dispensing against the Decrees, Councels, and former Popes, may erre and grienously sinne. Alphonsus de Castro diucth deeper, and faith, that enery man, year the Pope, and that as he is Pope, and Pastor of the Church, may be deceived. Bozius pierceth yet deeper, and faith, that the Pope may be an Heretike, yearrite, teach, and preach herefie. And lastly, Almayne faith, that the power of nos erring in the faith is not alway in the Pope. Are not all these now Protestants in this point? But for suller satisfaction in this point, I referre the Reader to the reverent and judicious Deane of Winebester, Doctor Morton, with others, who have largely and learnedly discoucred this matter in their writings. few instances therefore shall suffice for this time, to perswade

60. The like might bee shewne in all other points: these that it is farre more safe to subscribe to the Religion of Protestants, then of Romanists: seeing we hold nothing, which many of their owne ranke and order doe not maintayne afwell as we; and what, I pray you, could mooue them thus to doe, being sworne subjects to the Church of Rome, but the euidence of truth, which shined so cleerely to their conscienaces, that they neither could, nor durft gaine-fay the fame?

## Conclusion.

Now then, gentle Reader, these things being thus cleerly proued, viz. First, that the Religion of the Church of Rome giueth open libertie to finne. Secondly, that it maintaynoth by the grounds therof, things forbidden by all lawes, Divine, Naturall, and Humane. Thirdly, that it imitateth the Iewes in those things, wherein they are enemies to Christ. Fourthly, that it derogateth from the glorie of Gods mercy, and efficacy of the merits of Christ, in the worke of our redemption. Fiftly, that it refuseth to bee tryed by the Scriptures, and will be judged and tryed by none, but it felfe. Sixtly, that it is at defiance and profest enmitie with the sacred Scriptures. Seuenthly, that it maintayneth groffe and palpable Idolatrie. Eightly, that it is contrary to it selfe by manifest contradictions. Ninthly, that it is apparently opposite to the Gospell of Iesus Christ. Tenthly, that it nourisheth grosse and barbarous ignorance amongst the people. Eleuenthly, that it was never knowne nor heard of in the Apofiles time, nor in the primitive Church. Twelfthly, that it vpholdeth it felfe by vnlawfull, vniust, and vngodly meanes : and laftly, that it is dangerous and vnfafe, both in respect of Gods glorie, mans conscience, and Christian charitie. I say, all thefe things being thus cleerely demonstrated; what remayneth, but that wee abhorre the same, as the Religion of the great Whore, and her Paramour Antichrift, who with their cup of fornications, and vaine pretext of Peters authoritie, haue beforted heretofore all Nations of the earth? and cleave to the sinceritie of the Gospell, taught and professed in the Church of Protestants, which is free from all these imputations; for it neither giueth libertie to sinne, nor maintayneth any thing that is valawfull, nor imitateth the Iewes; aferibeth all the worke of our redemption to Gods mercy, and Christs merits onely, defireth to bee tryed and examined by the Scriptures; reuerenceth the fulnesse and perfection thereAug.Epist.ad Hieron. Ambros.in Ser

E. Cor. 4.

of; abhorreth all shew of Idolatrie, is not at enmity and opposition, but keepeth a sweet harmony with it felfe : doth not croffe the Gospell, not so much as in shew: condemneth and labourethagainstignorance; is agreeable to the doctrine of the Apostles, and primitive Church; maintayneth it selse by no volawfull meanes: and lastly, hath great safetic and securitie, in the profession thereof. Good Christians must beclike good Gold-fmiths, who will not take a piece of gold of any mans word, but will trie it by the touch-stone, and weigh it in the ballance. The Truth is like gold: it behoueth all therefore, to trie it and weigh it, before they entertayne it into their foules, lest they receive in sead of pure mettall, that which is counterseit and light; trie therefore these two Religions. which of them hath the truth, and without partialicie or affection retayne the good, and reject the counterfeit : remember that the truth of Christians (as Saint Augustine saith) is more beautifull incomparably, then Helene of the Grecians : and that it alone (as Saint Ambrose saith) freeth; alone saueth; alone washeth; and therefore though it be hid in a deepe pit (as the Philosopher said) yet it is diligently to be digged for, of all them that desire the saluation of their soules. In a word, let not the darke mists of error and superstition blinde thine eyes, but open them wide to the beholding of the bright light of truth, that shineth round about thee, and know, that if the Gospell be hid, it is hid to them that perish, in whom the god of this world hath dazeled their mindes, that they should not see the light of the glorious Gospell of Iesus Christ. I desire no more credit at thy hands, then the cuidence of these reasons produced do require : and therefore if they be true, then yeeld affent vnto them for thine owne soules sake : if they be false, declare for my foules fake wherein the falshood lurketh, and I will be as ready to recant, as theu to refute. The Lord of his mercy anoint both our eyes with the eye-salue of his Spirit, that we may fee the truth, and supply them with the oyle of his grace, that we may bow and bend voto it, and firengthen vs with his divine power, that wee may conftantly professe and perfeuere

feuere in the same to our lives ends: faxit Deus for his only begotten Sonne, and our only blessed Sauiour Iesus Christs take, to whom be all honour, power, maiestie, preeminence, and dominion, for ever and ever.

A M E N.

Soli Deo unitrine sie laus in sempiternum.

## FINIS.

## To the Reader.

Thus it happeneth (gentle Reader) by an ineuitable necessity, where the fee Author cannot be present at the presse. Amend therfore I pray thee these faults escaped, and coner them with the mantle of charity: But is simulice picke quarrell, let it know, that there is nothing alledged, which in substance shall not bees sussified, though peraduenture it may faile in circumstance. Farewell.

ERRATA.

Age 2. line 18. for twelde, reade thirteene. p. 5. l. 35 necessaria, r. necessario. p. 4 l. 27. Prictus, r. Prierias. p. 10, l. 33. Torelius, r. Tecelius. p. 28. 35. or r. for. p. 41. l. 37. them, r. him. p. 43. 1, 18. corruptions, r. conceptions. p. 44. 1, 17. Scotus, r. Sotus. p. 48. 1. 3. venalia, r. venale. p. 52. 1. 2. first, r. fift. p. 65. 1. 7. candelabro, r. candelabri.p. 71. 1. 15. Gregory the eleuenth, r. Vrbanus sextus, l. 17. Paul the second, r. Sixtus quartus. p. 78. l. 2. sound, r. found. p. 81. l. 3. Acarus, r. Acacus. p. 88.1.19. fabulis, r. tabulis. p. 90. l. 34. operaturo, r. operatur. p. 98.1.2. infinite, r. finite. 1. 16. meere, r. more. p. 121. l. 12. third, r. fourth. p. 148. l. 20, Church, r. Iudge. p. 151.l.3. guides,r.guided.p.159.l.31.scriptuarii,r.scripturarii. p. 167.l.20,to be spoken Christ,r. to be spoken of Christ.p.183.l.21. word,r.words.p.192.l.1. Moses,r.noses. p.159.l.12.contraction, r.contaction.p.205.l.12.Lusianus, r. Lucianus, p.207.l.34. Eunimian, r. Eunomian. p. 211.l.24. Paludamus, r. Paludanus.p.221,1.17.& 18.make a full point after fancies, and a comma after wants. p.224.1.9.examinc,r.examen.p.225.l.26.Apostophers,r.Apostrophes. p.2 28.l.30.Alexander Alensis the r.Alex. Alenfis one of the.l.37. effected. r. affected. p.237.l.12. Carnians, r. Cainians. p.238.l.26. Paulæ.r. Paula. p.242.l.12.Marionites, r. Marcionites. p.243.l.9. that is, r. that, we must &c. p.250.l.28. pulpate, r. palpate. p.252.l.10.wasting,r.washing.p.261.l.2.sacrifizing,r.sacrificiú.p.266.l.12.former,r.formall.p. 276.l.4.first,r.fift.p. 282. l.2.martyro,r.martyros.p.294.l.6.monothebit,r.monothelit. p.296.l.t.propofition,r.præpolition.p.298.l.s.that first one,r.that one.p.302.l.18.Dominius, r. Dominicus, p.314. l.2. ye,r.they.p.315.l.2.built the,r.built vpon the. 1.16. truely, r.true.p.319.l.1. primary,r.primacie. p.320. 1.9. with, r. which.p. 335.1.22. beare, r. beere. 1.23. sinners, r. sinners. p. 353.1.29. of your, r. of the.p. 354.1.35.















