Nouns.—Men and gods are masculine; women and goddesses are feminine; other nouns are neuter. Feminine nouns are neuter in the singular. Kurukh in this respect agrees with Telugu.

There are two numbers, as in other connected languages. There is not, however, any proper plural form of neuter nouns, the singular form being used for both numbers. If it is necessary to express plurality of neuter nouns, some word meaning 'many,' 'all,' etc., is added; thus, man-guthi, tree-all, trees. Compare Mundari.

The plural of rational nouns is formed by adding ar. Compare Tamil ar, Kanarese aru, Telugu āru, etc. Thus, āl-ar, men; mukkar, women; ālī-guthi-ar, wives; dādā baggar, father many, fathers, and so forth. Khadd-kharrā, offspring, means 'child-sprout', and is neuter.

Case suffixes are added immediately to the base as is also the case in Muṇḍārī. In the case of masculine nouns, however, we have two bases in the singular. The simple base is used in an indefinite sense. When definiteness should be expressed, a suffix as is added. Thus, $\bar{a}l$, a man; $\bar{a}l$ -as, the man. Both bases are then inflected in exactly the same way. The final as in $\bar{a}l$ -as corresponds to Tamil an.

The usual case suffixes are, accusative n; dative $g\bar{e}$; ablative $t\bar{\imath}$; genitive gahi; locative $n\bar{u}$.

The dative and the accusative are usually distinguished in the same way as in other connected languages. The two cases are sometimes confounded in the south, in Bamra, Rairakhol, Sambalpur, and Raigarh. This state of affairs is probably due to Aryan influence. Compare Göndi.

The dative suffix $g\bar{e}$ must be compared with Kanarese ge, Telugu ki, ku. It also occurs in the form $k\bar{e}$. The final vowel is long. The Kurukhs have come into their present home from the Bhojpuri country, and it is not improbable that the form of the dative suffix has been influenced by the Bhojpuri $k\bar{e}$.

The accusative suffix is n, an, or in. The form n is used after vowels; in after definite masculine bases ending in as and after the plural suffix ar. In other words the accusative ends in an. In Bamra, Sarangarh, and Rairakhol we also find a fuller

KURUKH. 413

form nu. Compare Kanarese annu, Telugu ni and nu. The occasional use of the accusative as a dative is due to Aryan influence.

The suffix of the ablative is $t\bar{\imath}$. An n is inserted before $t\bar{\imath}$ in words ending in a vowel, and often also in other cases. This n is always preceded by the same vowel as in the accusative. The ablative can therefore practically be formed from the accusative by adding $t\bar{\imath}$. The n which precedes $t\bar{\imath}$ in such cases is, however, different from the suffix of the accusative and must be compared with the n in ablative suffixes such as Tamil ingu, inde, Kanarese inda, and so on. The suffix $t\bar{\imath}$ itself is apparently connected with Kui tai. The suffix $t\bar{\imath}$ is often added to gus, with. Thus, $\bar{a}l$ - $t\bar{\imath}$ or $\bar{a}l$ -gus- $t\bar{\imath}$, from a man.

An instrumental is formed by adding $tr\bar{\imath}$ or $tr\bar{\imath}$. This suffix seems to be connected with Telugu $t\bar{o}da$, Tamil and Kanarese $\bar{o}du$, with. The initial t in the ablative and instrumental suffixes is, therefore, probably identical with the inflexional increment d, tt in Kanarese, Tamil, etc. Compare Kanarese mara-d-alli, Tamil mara-tt-il, in a tree.

The genitive suffix gahi has several other forms. We find ghi, ghē, gē, kē, ē, hi, and i. Ghi is for instance used in Patna State; ghē in Rairakhol and Sarangarh; gē in Pal Lahera and Bamra; kē in Bamra, Rairakhol, Sarangarh, and Raigarh; ē in Rairakhol; hi in Sarangarh, and i in Raigarh. The corresponding form in Malto is $k\bar{\imath}$. The forms gahi, ghi, gē, kē, and kī are only varieties of the same suffix. Bishop Caldwell has compared the Telugu yokka and the Hindōstānī kā, kī. It would be more natural to think of Bhojpurī kāi, and it is quite probable that this suffix has influenced Kurukh gahi. The parallel forms ē, hi, and i seem to show that the original suffix only consisted of a vowel. The initial g or k may be due to the influence of Bhojpurī though its origin is possibly different. There is in many Dravidian languages a suffix gu, ku. Thus, Tamil paḍa-gu, a boat; kiṛa-ngu, a root, etc. It is possible that the existence of such a suffix has materially strengthened the influence of the Bhojpurī suffix.

The locative suffix $n\bar{u}$ corresponds to Malto $n\bar{o}$ and Telugu nu in inta-nu, in the house, etc.

In the vocative the suffix \bar{o} , ay, or $ay\bar{o}$ is added. Thus, \bar{e} urbay \bar{o} , and urbay \bar{o} , O master. The vocative singular of feminine nouns ends in ai, and the interjection and is changed to anai. Thus, anai mukkai, O woman. When women talk to women the prefix ana becomes $\bar{a}n$ in the singular, and $an\bar{e}$ in the plural. Thus, $\bar{a}n$ khai, O daughter; and khaiguthiar- \bar{o} , O daughters.

Adjectives.—Adjectives do not differ in form from nouns. Thus, mechā, height, and high. Nouns denoting qualities and verbal nouns are freely used as adjectives. Thus, pannā, iron; pannā taṛṛī, iron sword; onnā, eating; onnā ālō, eatable things. In other cases adjectives are formed from nouns by adding antā, being in, or the Aryan loan-word lekh'ā, like. Thus, ull-antā nalakh, daily work; khēsō lekh'ā kichrī, a blood-like, i.e., reddish, garment. Compare also Relative participles, below. Leka is used in the same way in Mundārī.

Adjectives are not inflected unless they are used as nouns, in which case they take the usual case suffixes.

Comparison is effected by putting the compared noun in the ablative. Thus, urbas $j\tilde{o}khas-t\bar{\imath}$ $k\bar{o}h\bar{a}$ taldas, the-master the-servant-from great is; $\bar{a}s$ ormar- $t\bar{\imath}$ $k\bar{o}h\bar{a}$ talyas, he all-from great is.

Numerals.—The first numerals are:-

- 1. ort, masculine and feminine; ortos, definite masculine; ond and onta, neuter.
- 2. irb, definite irbar, masculine and feminine; end, neuter.
- 3. nub. definite nubar, masculine and feminine: mund. neuter.
- 4. naib, definite naibar, masculine and feminine; nākh, neuter.

The numerals for 'five' and following are borrowed from Aryan dialects.

Ort, ond, one, must be compared with Tamil oru, onru; Telugu okadu, ondu. The Korava dialect of Tamil has ort, ond, just as Kurukh.

The final b in *irb*, two; *nub*, three; *naib*, four, must be compared with v in the corresponding Tamil forms. Thus, *irbar*, two, is identical with Tamil *iruvar*. Kanarese has *ibbaru* which seems to be derived from a form such as *irbar*. The neuter form *end* seems to correspond to Telugu and vulgar Tamil *rendu*. Compare also Tamil *irandu*, Kanarese *eradu*.

Nubar, three, corresponds to Tamil mūvar, Kanarese mūvaru. The initial n is probably due to the desire to differentiate the original labial m from the following b. The neuter mūnd is most closely connected with Tamil mūnru, mūndru, mūndu.

Naibar, four, corresponds to Tamil $n\bar{a}lvar$, Kanarese $n\bar{a}lvaru$. The corresponding neuter $n\bar{a}kh$ most closely agrees with Kanarese $n\bar{a}lku$, Telugu $n\bar{a}lugu$.

It will be seen that the numerals are more closely connected with the corresponding forms in Tamil and Kanarese than with those in Telugu.

The words $g\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ and $ot\bar{a}$ or otang, piece, are often added to numerals; thus, end- $g\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ and end- $ot\bar{a}$, two pieces.

Ordinals are formed by adding $ant\bar{a}$, $nt\bar{a}$, or $t\bar{a}$; thus, $endt\bar{a}$, second; $m\bar{u}ndt\bar{a}$, third; $n\bar{a}kht\bar{a}$, fourth. 'First' is $mundt\bar{a}$; compare Tamil mudal, Telugu modata, first.

Pronouns.—The personal pronoun of the first person is $\bar{e}n$, plural $\bar{e}m$ and $n\bar{a}m$. Compare Old Telugu $\bar{e}nu$, I; $\bar{e}mu$, $n\bar{e}mu$, we; Old Tamil $y\bar{a}n$ and $n\bar{a}n$, I; $y\bar{a}m$, $n\bar{a}m$, we; Old Kanarese $\bar{a}n$, $y\bar{a}n$, I; $\bar{a}m$, we. The form $\bar{e}m$ excludes, and $n\bar{a}m$ includes, the person addressed. In this respect Kurukh agrees with Tamil, Telugu, etc., as against Kanarese.

 $N\bar{\imath}n$, thou; $n\bar{\imath}m$, you; $t\bar{a}n$, self; $t\bar{a}m$, selves, have the same forms as in Old Kanareso. The possessive pronouns are enhai, my; emhai, our; ninhai, thy; nimhai, your;

tanhai, plural tamhai, own. Before nouns denoting relationship, however, abbreviated forms are used, and such words are very seldom used without such a prefixed possessive. The abbreviated forms are identical with the base which appears before the locative suffix $n\bar{u}$. The final consonant is, however, assimilated to the following sound in various ways, and an e is changed to i when the following syllable contains an i or y. Thus, embas, my, or our, father; $iny\bar{o}$, my mother; tandas, his son; $n\bar{e}k$ tambas, whose father, etc. A similar set of pronouns is used as prefixes in High Tamil, viz, em and nam, our; um, your; and tam, their. Compare Introduction (p. 293).

With regard to the demonstrative pronouns, Kurukh agrees with Telugu in using the same form for the feminine and neuter singular. As, he, and $\bar{a}d$, she, it, are also apparently most closely connected with Telugu $v\bar{a}du$, he; adi, she, it. Compare Tamil $ava\underline{n}$, he; adu, it; Kanarese avanu, he; adu, it. $Abr\bar{a}$, those things, on the other hand, corresponds to Kanarese avugalu, Tamil aveigal.

 $N\bar{e}$, who? is only used for masculine and feminine nouns, but is itself neuter. Thus, $n\bar{e}$ barchā, who came? $\bar{E}k\bar{a}$, which? is used as an adjective; thus, $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ ālas barchas, what

man came? E- $k\bar{a}$ is probably a compound, consisting of the interrogative adjective \bar{e} and an interrogative particle $k\bar{a}$.

Endr, what? endra, what? of what kind?

The interrogative pronouns are made indefinite by adding the indefinite particle im. Thus, ēk'am, anyone; indr'im, anything, etc.

Verbs.—Nouns and adjectives, including participles, are often used as verbs. Thus, urban, I am master; urbai, thou art master; $\bar{\imath}d$ pudd \bar{o} , this will be too short; $n\bar{\imath}n$ $k\bar{o}hai$, thou art great; $hechk\bar{a}$, bound; $hechk\bar{a}ch\bar{a}$, it was bound.

The usual verbal noun ends in $n\bar{a}$ and is regularly inflected. Thus, $esn\bar{a}$, the breaking, to break. It has already been remarked that such verbal nouns can be used as adjectives; thus, $onn\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}l\bar{o}$, eatable things; $mu\bar{n}jrn\bar{a}$ $ujjn\bar{a}$, perishable life. An adjectival participle used in connexion with the word $b\bar{i}r\bar{i}$, time, takes the suffix \bar{o} ; thus, $\bar{o}n\bar{o}$ $b\bar{i}r\bar{i}$, eating time. This \bar{o} is probably identical with the suffix \bar{u} which forms nouns of agency and relative participles of the present tense; thus, is'us, the breaker; $\bar{i}r\bar{u}$ $\bar{a}las$, a seeing man, a man who sees. The suffix of the past relative participle is $k\bar{a}$; thus, $R\bar{a}nch\bar{i}-n\bar{u}$ $kundr-k\bar{a}$ khaddas, the boy who was born at Ranchi. This form is also commonly used as a noun; thus, $\bar{a}nk\bar{a}$, 'said' and 'word.'

Other nouns and participles are formed directly from the base. Thus, $\underline{kh}\bar{a}p\bar{a}$ or $\underline{kh}\bar{a}p\bar{a}$ - $g\bar{e}$, in order to tend; $esn\bar{u}$, esnum, $esn\bar{u}t\bar{\imath}$, and $esn\bar{u}tim$, in the act of breaking, breaking.

Thus, es'ār, es'ār kī, and es'ār darā, having broken. Usually, however, the ordinary tenses are used instead. Thus, es'on ka'on, I will-break will-go, I will break and go; ēn eskan ki (or darā) barchkan, having broken I came. A is used instead of kī in Pal Lahera and neighbourhood. See below.

There are three simple tenses, the present, the past, and the future.

The suffix of the present tense is da, third person plural na. Compare the Kanarese present participle ending in uta. When the subject is of the feminine or neuter gender, the d-suffix is only used in the second person and in the first person plural when the person addressed is included.

The usual suffix of the past tense is a ch; compare Telugu chi, si; Gōṇḍi chi, si; and sa in the Korava dialect of Tamil. Transitive verbs, however, commonly drop the ch; compare the suffix i of the conjunctive participle in Kanarese and Telugu. After n the suffix ch becomes j. By adding $k\bar{a}$ to the base of the past tense we get the past participle. The k of this suffix precedes the personal terminations in the first and second persons masculine, and the second person feminine and neuter. Examples are, $ba'an\bar{a}$, to speak; $b\bar{a}chas$, he said: $p\bar{\imath}tn\bar{a}$, to kill; pitkan, I killed; pityas, he killed: $esn\bar{a}$, to break; eskan, I broke; es'as, he broke: $nann\bar{a}$, to do; $na\bar{n}jkan$, I did, etc.

The characteristic of the future is o; compare the u- and v-suffix of Tamil and Kanarese. Thus, es'on, I shall break.

The persons differ for gender. The only exception is the future where there is only a difference in the third person singular. The singular neuter is also used for the plural neuter. The first and third persons feminine are only used in the conversation of women among themselves. In conversation with men the masculine forms are used instead.

The personal terminations are as follows:—

First person. Singular n; plural m.

Second person. Singular ai, fem. and neut. i; plural ar, fem. ai, neuter i.

Third person. Singular as, fem. and neut. \bar{i} , past \bar{a} ; plural ar, fem. ai, neut \bar{i} , and \bar{a} . The neuter termination is dialectically d or t. See below.

The termination of the first person plural when the person addressed is included is at.

It will be seen that the personal terminations are mainly identical with those used in Kanarese and connected languages.

The imperative ends in \bar{a} ; thus, $es'\bar{a}$, break. The feminine singular and the neuter imperative ends in ai; thus, es'ai, break. The plural imperative in the talk of women to women ends in \bar{e} ; thus, $n\bar{\imath}m$ $es'\bar{e}$, break ye.

A polite imperative is formed by adding $k\bar{e}$ to the base; thus, $es'k\bar{e}$, please break. Other tenses are formed by means of auxiliaries.

A present definite is formed by adding l to the infinitive in \bar{a} and conjugating like an ordinary present. Thus, $es'\bar{a}-l-dan$, I am breaking. Another present definite and an imperfect are formed from the infinitive ending in \bar{a} by adding the Bihārī verb $l\bar{a}gab$, inflected as a Kurukh verb.

It has already been mentioned that adjectives and participles can be conjugated as ordinary verbs. Thus, the past participle eskā, broken, is inflected as follows:—

Sing. 1. eskan, f. iskin. Plur. 1. eskam, f. iskim.

eskai, f. & n. iskī.
 eskar, f. eskai, n. iskī.

3. eskas, f. & n. iskī. 3. eskar, f. eskai, n. iskī.

By adding the present and past tenses of verbs meaning 'to be' to such forms we obtain a perfect and a pluperfect. Thus, ās eskas bē'edas, he has broken; šn eskan ra'chkan, I had broken. The literal meaning of such forms is 'he is a man who has broken,' 'I was a man who has broken,' respectively.

Kurukh possesses a passive voice, formed by adding r to the base. Thus, $esrn\bar{a}$, to be broken. The passive verb is regularly conjugated. Thus, esrdan, I am broken; esr'kan, I was broken; esr'on, I shall be broken.

Causative verbs are formed by adding $t\bar{a}'an\bar{a}$, to the base. $T\bar{a}'a$ becomes $t\bar{o}$ in the future, and often $t\bar{a}$ in the past. Thus, $est\bar{a}'adan$, I cause to break; $est\bar{a}'achkan$ or $est\bar{a}chkan$, I caused to break; $est\bar{o}'on$, I shall cause to break.

Other causatives are formed by adding d or $b\bar{a}'an\bar{a}$ to the base; thus, $onn\bar{a}$, to eat; $ondn\bar{a}$, to feed: $sikhrn\bar{a}$, to learn; $sikh\bar{a}b\bar{a}'an\bar{a}$, to teach.

Kurukh has no proper negative verb. Mal, $mal'\bar{a}$, or $mall\bar{a}$, not, is simply prefixed to the ordinary tenses. Thus, $mal'\bar{a}$ eskan, I did not break; mal chich'as, he did not give. In the imperative $amb\bar{a}$, fem. $amb\bar{a}i$, $amb\bar{e}$, is prefixed. Another negative particle is $arg\bar{a}$, $arg\bar{i}$, not yet.

There are, besides, three negative verbs which are regularly inflected. They are malaan, malyan, or malkan, I am not; $baln\bar{a}$, not to know; and $poln\bar{a}$, not to be able.

The prohibitive ambā is sometimes also inflected; thus, ās ambdas bardas-nekk'ā, he shall not come.

417

In a similar way arga, not yet, may be conjugated, in which case the verb itself is put in the infinitive ending in \bar{a} . Thus, $\bar{a}s$ argas $bar\bar{a}$, he has not yet come.

It is hoped that when the preceding remarks are borne in mind the reader will be able to easily understand the forms occurring in the specimens. For further details Mr. Hahn's grammar, mentioned under Authorities, should be consulted.

The grammatical sketch which follows represents the Kurukh spoken in Ranchi, Palamau, and Singbhum, and probably also in Manbhum, from which district no materials have been forwarded. The dialect spoken in Hazaribagh is apparently also identical. Several lists of Standard Words and Phrases have been forwarded from that latter district, but all were so much mixed with Aryan words and forms that it would have been useless to print them. The best one was stated to represent the language of the Korwās, who in Hazaribagh are Kurukhs by clan. It agrees well with the grammatical sketch printed above.

Of the three specimens printed below, the two first have come from Ranchi, and the Rev. Ferd. Hahn, the well-known author of the Kurukh Grammar, has been good enough to prepare them. The third specimen has been forwarded from Singbhum. A list of Standard Words and Phrases, hailing from Palamau, will be found on pp. 647 and ff., below.

KURUKH SKELETON GRAMMAR.

I.—NOUNS.—Al, a man; alas, the man; mukkā, a woman; allā, a dog.

	1	Singular.	Plural.	Singular.	Plural.	Singular.	Plural.
Nom.	āl.	àlas.	ālar.	mukkā.	mukkar.	allā.	allā-quihi:
Acc.	dian.	ālasin.	ālarin.	mukkan.	mukkarin.	allan.	allā-guthin.
Dat.	āi-gē.	ālas-gē.	ālar-gē.	mukkā-gē.	mukkar-gē.	allä-gē.	allā-guthi-gg.
Abl.	ઢા-લ.	ālas-ti.	ālar-tī.	mukkanti.	mukkar-ti.	allā-ti, allantī.	allā-guțhi(n)ti.
Gen.	či-gaži.	ālas-gahi.	ālar-gahi.	mukkā-gahi.	mukkar-gahi.	allā-gahi.	allā-guihi-g-hi.
Los.	āl-nā.	ālas-nū.	ālar-nū.	mukkā-nū.	mukkar-nū.	allā-nū.	allā-guțhi-s.

II.-PRONOUNS.

	I.	We, exclusive.	We, inclusive.	Thou.	You.	Self.	Solves.
Nom.	is.	ča.	nām.	mīn.	nīm.	tän.	tān.
Acc.	engen.	emen.	naman.	ningan.	niman.	tangan.	taman.
Dat.	engā(-gē)	emā(-gē).	naṅgā(-gē).	#iṅgā(-gō).	nimā(-gō).	tangā-gā.	tamā(-gē).
Gen.	etāsi.	embei.	nanhai, namhai.	ninkai.	nimhai.	tanhai.	tamhai.
Loc.	où-mā.	em-në.	nam-ni, nanni.	nin-nü.	หร่านะ	tan-nü.	tam-nü.

	_	She Ta	Т	нву.	
	He.	She, It.	Masc. and fem.	Neut.	
Nom.	80.	ād.	ār.	abrā.	In the same way are inflected is, this, fem. id, plur. ir,
A 00.	Ecin.	ādin.	ārin.	abran.	ibrā; kūs, that there (far off), fem. kūd, plur. kūr, kubrā. The forms ā, that;
Dat.	ār-gē.	ādi•gā.	ār-gē.	abrā-gē.	i, this; w, that there, are used as adjectives before singular nouns. Before
∆ bl.	Te(in)-ti.	ādi(n)-tī, ād-tī.	ār(in)-tī.	abrantī.	plural nouns the neuter plural is used as an adjective.
Gen.	Se-gahi.	ādi-gahi.	ār-gahi.	abŗā-gahi.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Loc.	āe-nū.	ād(i)-nū.	ār-nā.	abrā-nā.	

	Who ?	WhatP	Any one.	Anything.	
Nom.	n8. 1	endr, endrā.	ēk'ām, nēk'ām.	endr, endr'ādim.	Ekdā, what? which? is in- flected as endrā. The same
Acc.	nēkan.	endran.	nēk'ānim.	endr'ānim.	is the case with sbaggi, hew hany, how much? sods, how many ones P etc.
Dat.	nikā-gi.	endr(3)-g8.	nāk'ām-gē, nēkā-gem.	endr'ām-gē, endr'im-gē.	,
∆bl.	nšk(an-)ti.	endr(ā)-ti, endran-ti.	nšk'antī.	endr'am-ti.	
Gen.	nēkhai.	endr(ā)-gahi.	nēkhai-dim.	ondr'ām-gahi, ondr'im-gahi.	
Loc.	nõk-nü.	endr(&)-n\$.	nēk'im, nēkkai-nūm.	endr'ām-nū.	

¹ No is used for musculine and feminine nouns, but is itself neuter. The genitive is not before nouns denoting relationship.

III.-VERBS .-

A .- Finite Verb .- Essa, to break.

Verbal nouns.—Esnā, the breaking; es'ā, es'ā-gā, to break.

Relative participle. - Isu, a breaker ; eskā, broken.

Adverbial participle.— Eenä, cenäti, emphatic cenum, cenätim, breaking; ce'ā khane, on breaking.

Conjunctive participle.—Es'ar, es'ar ki, having broken.

	Present.	Past.	Future.	Imperative.	
Sing. 1. 2. 3.	esdan, fem. es'ën. esdai, f. isdi. esdas, f. is'i.	oekan, f. oe'an. oekai, f. ieki oe'ae, f. oeā,	es'on. es'oe. es'ōs, f. es'ō.	00°4, f. 00°4i.	The neuter verb has the same form as the feminine singular; thus, sim icki, you broke; sord is'i, they break.
Plur.	cedam, f. os'ēm.	eskam, f. es'am.	es'om.		Drogs.
1 incl. 2. 3.	esdat. esdar, f. esdai. esnar, f. esnai.	oekat. oekar, f. oekai. os'ar, f. os'ai.	es'ös. es'or. es'ör.	os'ā, î. se'ē.	

Present Definite.—Es'āldan or es'ālagdan, I am breaking.

Imperfect.—Es'ālakkan, fem. es'ālagyan, I was breaking.

Perfect.—Eskan bê'edan, fem. iskin bê'en, I have broken; the principal verb is inflected as the ordinary past in the second person. The first person plural is eskam bê'edam, fem. iskim bê'êm; the third person is, singular eskas bê'edas, fem. iski bê'ê; plural eskar bê'esar, fem. eskai bê'enas.

Pluperfect .- Eskan ra'chkan, fem. iskin ra'ch'an, I had broken. Other persons as in the perfect.

Formation of the past tense.

Verbal noun.		PAST.	
vertal noun.	Maso.	Fem.	-i
ānnā, ay.	änkan.	ānyan.	Irregular are ka'and, go ; kirkan, I went
barna, come.	ba rchkan.	barch'an.	kēras, he went: kō'onā, to take away ookkan, I took away: winā, to plough
eki inā, give.	chickkan.	okick'an.	usekan, I ploughed: khō'end, to measure kho'kan, I measured: khossad, to dig
nannā, do.	n añj kan.	සගත්ර යන.	khottkon, I dug: poend, to rain; posed it rained: neind, to pain; neind, pained: onnd, to drink; ondhan, I drank etc.

B.—Auxiliary and defective verbs.

	I am.	I am.	I am not
g. 1.	bë edan, f. bë ën.	taldan, talyan, f. tal'ēn, talyēn.	maikan, f. malyan.
2.	bë edai, f. bi idi.	taldai, talyai, f. taldī, talyī.	malkai, f. malki.
8.	be odas, f. bi i.	taldas, talyas, f. tal'i, talyā.	malkas, f. malki.
1 exel.	be edam, f. be em.	toldam, talyam, İ. tal'8m, talyöm.	malkam.
1 incl.	bë edat.	taldat, talyat.	malkat.
2.	bē'edar. t. bē'edai.	taldar, talyar, İ. taldai, talyai.	malkar, f. malkai.
3•	bë'enar, f. bë'enai.	talnar, talyar, f. talnai, talyai.	malker, f. malkei.

Maldan and malyan, I am not, are inflected as taldan, talyan. Hākdan, I am; and ra'adan, I am, I remain, are regular. Ra'anā is inflected in all tenses.

Passive voice.—Formed by adding r to the base and conjugating throughout. Thus, serdan, I am broken; ser'kan, I was broken; ser'on, I shall be broken.

Causal verbs.—Formed by adding ta'a. Thus, esta'adan, I cause to break; past esta'achkan er estachkan, future esta'on.

Particles.—Mal, mal'ā, malla, not; ambā, f. ambai, ambā, do not; argā, argī, not yet; im, dim, d, emphatic; nā, dnē, indefinite; kā, interrogative, etc.

By adding nokk'd to the present tense a kind of conditional is effected. Thus, codon nokk'd, I may, I am allowed to, break.

LINGUISTIC SURVEY OF INDIA

COMPILED AND EDITED BY

G. A. GRIERSON, C.I.E., PH.D., D.LITT., I.C.S. (RETD.)

● MOTILAL BANARSIDASS
BUNGALOW ROAD, JAWAHAR NAGAR, DELHI-7
NEPALI KHAPRA, VARANASI, (U.P.)
ASHOK RAJ PATH, (OPP. PATNA COLLEGE) PATNA (BIHAR)

With kind permission of Govt. of India.

FIRST EDITION 1927

REPRINT 1967

Price Rs. 1250/- (\$ 200) for the complete set.

PRINTED IN INDIA BY SHANTILAL JAIN, AT SHRI JAINENDRA PRESS,
BUNGALOW ROAD, JAWAHARNAGAR, DELHI-7 AND PUBLISHED BY
SUNDARLAL JAIN, MOTILAL BANARSIDASS, BUNGALOW FOAD,
JAWAHARNAGAR, DELHI-7

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS
DELHI :: VARANASI :: PATNA