## Phonology

### 1.1. Consonants

Table I is a chart of the 24 consonantal phonemes of Dizi.

Table 1. The Consonants of Dizi

b	t t' d		k k' g
<b>£</b> β	s z	š Ž	
	ts'	c' j	
m w	n 1, r	y	h

These phonemes have allophones as follows:

/s/ may be voiced optionally before a voiced stop or word finally:

[bàsdàgò] or [bàzdàgò] 'he wants' [k'ankás] or [k'ankáz] PLURAL

unless /s/ is functioning as the object marker:

[aras] but not \*[araz] 'knife'

/z/, on the other hand, is never devoiced among native speakers
of Dizi:

[koliz] but not \*[kolis] 'dry'

When /n/ occurs finally in certain dependent verb forms as part of the tense/aspect marker, it is sometimes realized as [d], that is, as a nasalized voiced dental stop. It may be realized as [d] also when /n/ is the marker for the first person singular and the first person plural of dependent verb forms.

/ħ k'en bàsdàgò/ [n k'ed bàsdàgò] or [d k'ed bàsdàgò] 'He wants me to work!' /n šubn bàsdàgò/
[n šubn bàsdàgò] or [d šubd bàsdàgò]
'He wants us to die.'

 $[\eta]$  also occurs as an allophone of /n/, in free variation before /k/:

[k'ankàs] or [k'ankàs] PLURAL

or as a conditioned allophone following a vowel when /-n/ functions as the marker of the imperative:

[tàn] 'Give!' (note syllabic nasal: ŋ)

as opposed to

[katsn] 'Cook!'

### 1.2. Vowels

There are ten phonetic vowels in Dizi:

i u e e o e o a

Six of these  $[i, e, a, \land, o, u]$ , have distinct phonemic status. These vowel phonemes are realized as follows:

/i/ is usually realized as [i]. It is realized as [i], however, if it occurs in an adjective:

[ts'anız] 'black'

or if it occurs within a verb stem and comes between two consonants on a non-High tone syllable:

[alino] 'I sat'

/e/ is usually realized as [e]; however, [ $\epsilon$ ] sometimes occurs word initially:

[ɛdu] or [edu] 'mouth'

or medially, where it sometimes alternates with [a]:

[kebi] or [kebi] 'bird'

A further alternant is  $[\epsilon]$ , which is in between  $[\epsilon]$  and  $[\epsilon]$ :

[yelù] 'sand'

I should point out, incidentally, that  $[\epsilon]$  itself can contrast with  $[\iota]$ :

[mdeno] as opposed to [mdeno]
'I am eating' 'I got eaten'

/a/ is always realized as [a].  $/\Lambda/$  is always realized as  $[\Lambda]$ .

Word finally, /o/ is usually realized as [o]:

[k'eyo] 'he worked'

Between two consonants, /o/ is usually realized as [ɔ]:
[bɔrku] 'to swim'
378

However, this pattern is sometimes reversed, as in:

[bongo] 'to burn'

and the first person plural verb suffix is realized sometimes as [nno] and sometimes as [nno]. It is possible that this alternation may be a form of vowel harmony, but as yet, I have insufficient information.

/u/ is always realized as [u].

While [a] occurs as one of the allophones of /e/, it is also used to break up clusters of three or more consonants belonging to three different (structural) syllables:

[šub.kno] as opposed to [šub.ka.ń.no]
'I am dying' 'we are dying'

Here, it would probably be better to consider [a] as intrusive rather than phonemic.

# 1.3. Tone

Dizi is a register-type tone language with three levels of contrastive tone: Low (marked  $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ ), Mid (left unmarked:  $\mathbf{v}$ ), and High (marked  $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ ). While tone functions both lexically and syntactically, its lexical function is relatively unimportant since there are very few pairs of words differentiated by tone alone: I have found one pair of verbs differentiated by tone in some constructions, for example, and five pairs of nouns and pronouns which are minimal pairs by lexical tone:

 $\frac{\wedge i}{h-klan\dot{u}}$  'my dog' but  $\frac{n-klan\dot{u}}{u}$  'our dog'

Tone is more important syntactically; in nouns, for example, tone marks possessive constructions, a very important function given the SOV word order of the language. To give just one example,

yaàbà kìanàs sogo. man dog+OBJ he+saw 'The man saw the dog.'

contrasts with

yáàbà kìànàs sngo. man's dog+OBJ he saw. 'He saw the man's dog.'

I discuss the role of tone in the morphology of the verb below, on pp. 385 ff.

Monograph No. 5 Occasional Papers Series Committee on Ethiopian Studies

# The Non-Semitic Languages of Ethiopia

**Edited by M. Lionel Bender**Acting Series Editor, John Hinnant

the African Studies Center, MSU, E. Lansing, Mi. 48824

Copyright © 1976 by the Board of Trustees, Michigan State University

All rights reserved

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 76-42008

Printed in the United States of America

Published by the African Studies Center Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824 In cooperation with Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Illinois