

Aramaic Scripts for Aramaic Languages

PETER T. DANIELS

Classical Syriac

The origin of Syriac script is not fully clear, though its development across the centuries of its flowering can be followed fairly easily thanks to dated colophons (Hatch 1946). The fullest discussion of Syriac paleography is Pirenne 1963.

Three kinds of consonants

There are three main varieties of Syriac writing. Oldest is the *Estrangelo*; during the Golden Age there came about a schism in the Syrian church, on Christological

ACKNOWLEDGMENT: I am extremely grateful to Bob Hoberman for his careful reading of and manifold improvements to the treatment of Classical Syriac.

TABLE 47.1: *Syriac Consonants*

TRANSLIT- ERATION	IPA	NAME	NUM. VALUE	ESTRANGELO				SERTO			
				Uncon- nected	Connected right	Connected left	Medial	Uncon- nected	Connected right	Connected left	Medial
ʾ	[ʔ]	ʾālap	1	Ⲁ	Ⲁ	—	—	Ⲁ	Ⲁ	—	—
b, b/bh	[b, v]	bēt	2	Ⲃ	Ⲃ	Ⲃ	Ⲃ	Ⲃ	Ⲃ	Ⲃ	Ⲃ
g, ġ/gh	[g, ɣ]	gāmal	3	Ⲅ	Ⲅ	Ⲅ	Ⲅ	Ⲅ	Ⲅ	Ⲅ	Ⲅ
d, ḍ/dh	[d, ð]	dālat	4	Ⲇ	Ⲇ	—	—	Ⲇ	Ⲇ	—	—
h	[h]	hē	5	Ⲉ	Ⲉ	—	—	Ⲉ	Ⲉ	—	—
w	[w]	waw	6	Ⲫ	Ⲫ	—	—	Ⲫ	Ⲫ	—	—
z	[z]	zayn	7	Ⲭ	Ⲭ	—	—	Ⲭ	Ⲭ	—	—
ḥ	[ħ]	ḥēt	8	Ⲯ	Ⲯ	Ⲯ	Ⲯ	Ⲯ	Ⲯ	Ⲯ	Ⲯ
ṭ	[t̤]	ṭēt	9	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ
y	[j]	yūd	10	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	Ⲳ
k, ḳ/kh	[k, x]	kāp	20	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ
l	[l]	lāmad	30	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	Ⲷ
m	[m]	mīm	40	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ
n	[n]	nūn	50	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	Ⲻ
s	[s]	semkat	60	Ⲽ	Ⲽ	Ⲽ	Ⲽ	Ⲽ	Ⲽ	Ⲽ	Ⲽ
ʿ	[ʕ]	ʿē	70	Ⲿ	Ⲿ	Ⲿ	Ⲿ	Ⲿ	Ⲿ	Ⲿ	Ⲿ
p, p̣/ph	[p, f]	pē	80	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ	Ⲱ
ṣ	[s̥]	ṣādē	90	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	—	—	Ⲳ	Ⲳ	—	—
q	[q]	qōp	100	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ	Ⲵ
r	[r]	rēš, rīš	200	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	—	—	Ⲷ	Ⲷ	—	—
š	[ʃ]	šīn	300	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ	Ⲹ
t, ṭ/th	[t]	taw	400	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	—	—	Ⲻ	Ⲻ	—	—

grounds, with the Persian (East) Syrians becoming Nestorian Christians and the Roman (West) Syrians Monophysite (or Jacobite) Christians. From the fifth century, these two communities had nothing to do with each other, and the scripts of their manuscripts diverged, the forms being called *Nestorian* and *Serto* ‘simple’ respectively; there is also a very square variety used in Christian Palestinian Syriac manuscripts, which adds a reversed *p* Ⲱ for a Greek labial stop (Müller-Kessler 1991). Moller (1988), however, denies that every Syriac manuscript can be thus classified.

Each community applied its own system of vocalization to the consonantal script.

TABLE 47.2: *Syriac Vowels*

NESTORIAN (EASTERN)		JACOBITE (WESTERN)		NAME	TRANSLITERATION
<i>Diacritic</i>	<i>With b</i>	<i>Diacritic</i>	<i>With b</i>		
ܐ	ܐܒ	ܐ	ܐ or ܐ	ḥbāšā	i, ī
ܐ̇	ܐܒ̇	ܐ̇	ܐ̇ or ܐ̇	rbāšā karyā, zlāmā qašyā	ē
ܐ̈	ܐܒ̈	ܐ̈	ܐ̈ or ܐ̈	rbāšā	
ܐ̈̇	ܐܒ̈̇	ܐ̈̇	ܐ̈̇ or ܐ̈̇	rbāšā arrikā, zlāmā pšiqā	e
ܐ̈̈	ܐܒ̈̈	ܐ̈̈	ܐ̈̈ or ܐ̈̈	ptāḥā	a
ܐ̈̈̇	ܐܒ̈̈̇	ܐ̈̈̇	ܐ̈̈̇ or ܐ̈̈̇	zqāpā	ā
ܐ̈̈̈	ܐܒ̈̈̈	ܐ̈̈̈	ܐ̈̈̈ or ܐ̈̈̈	ʿšāšā rwiḥā	o, ō
ܐ̈̈̈̇	ܐܒ̈̈̈̇	ܐ̈̈̈̇	ܐ̈̈̈̇ or ܐ̈̈̈̇	ʿšāša	
ܐ̈̈̈̈	ܐܒ̈̈̈̈	ܐ̈̈̈̈	ܐ̈̈̈̈ or ܐ̈̈̈̈	ʿšāša allišā	u, ū

Syriac writes the same twenty-two consonants as Hebrew (SECTION 46), but the ductus has become cursive. Most of the letters of each word are connected, so that some letters take on slightly different shapes according as they are attached to their neighbors or not; eight of the letters never connect to the following letter (for the Es-
trangelo and Serto scripts, see TABLE 47.1; for the Nestorian script, see TABLE 47.3).

Words are separated by spaces, but sometimes common phrases can be written closed-up, and series of particles are often written as a unit. In Serto, ʾalaph and lamadh assume each other's angle at the beginning or end of a word: ʾl- initially is ܐܠ, and lʾ finally is ܠ.

Certain vowels are consistently notated using the consonantal script alone: every final ā and ē is marked by ܐ̈̈̈̈, every ī by ܐ̈̈̈̈̇, and every ū, u, ō, and o by ܐ̈̈̈̈̈̈ (except in the two words ܐܠ *kl* [kul] and ܐܠܬܐ *mtl* [mettul]). ܐ̈̈̈̈̈̈ and ܐ̈̈̈̈̈̈̇ also represent the diphthongs *aw* and *ay*. In words of Greek origin, α *a* is often written ܐ̈̈̈̈̈̈̇, and ε *e* and αι *ai* sometimes ܐ̈̈̈̈̈̈̈̈̈̇.

Arabic written in Syriac script is called Garshuni.

Diacritical points

A number of diacritics came into use to notate phonological and morphological properties of the Syriac language (Segal 1953). The outlines of *d* ܐ and *r* ܐ (which were very similar throughout the history of the Semitic abjad) converged, and the two letters are distinguished by a dot below or above, even in the oldest inscriptions. From earliest times, also, a plural noun or feminine(!) verb (but not an adjective) is in most instances marked by a pair of dots (*syāmē*)—if there is an *r* in the word, they replace its dot, ܐ̈̈̈̈̈̈̇; otherwise, they appear wherever they will fit: ܐܠܬܐ *malkā* 'king', ܐܠܬܐ *malkē* 'kings'. They are used even when the singular and plural are spelled differently.

Several native Semitic words could often be written with the same consonants, and where such forms represent nouns of common origin, or different forms of a sin-

gle verb, some sort of differentiation was required. This at first took the form of a single dot placed over a letter to indicate a “fuller, stronger” syllable (usually with the vowel *a*), and under it to mark a “finer, weaker” vocalization, or none at all: ܚܕܐ *‘bd* [ʕva:ða:] ‘a work’, ܚܕܐ *‘bd* [ʕavda:] ‘servant’; ܩܬܠ *qtl* [qa:tel] ‘he kills’ or [qattel] ‘he murdered’, ܩܬܠ *qtl* [qʔal] ‘he killed’. The latter sort of differentiation was generalized to mark morphological distinctions even when those specific vowels were not involved—ܫܡ *sm* [sa:m] ‘he placed’. This system is already in place in the earliest dated Syriac manuscript (411 C.E.); with the schism and the Conquest in the seventh century, further specification of vowel quality became essential, and the system of vowel points found in TABLE 47.2, first column, began to emerge. The system was perfected in East Syrian manuscripts of the ninth century.

In the West Syrian sphere, the pointing system was at first maintained; but Jacob of Edessa (later 7th century), showing how complicated it had become, proposed inserting vowel letters into the consonantal text. This scheme was never used. Instead, the vowel letters of Greek could be placed alongside the Syriac consonant letters (TABLE 47.2, second column)—above or below as space dictated; the odd orientation of the vowel signs is explained by the Syriac scribal practice of writing downward on the page, left to right (90° counterclockwise from the direction of reading). The date of introduction of the Greek vocalization cannot be established more certainly than before 1000. The vocalization systems of Syriac (etc.) are described in Morag 1961.

A further sign sporadically found is the *linea occultans*, which occasionally marks a vowelless consonant, but more often an unpronounced consonant; it is placed above or (more recently) below the affected letter, ܡܕܢܬܐ *md(n)tʔ* [məðitta:] ‘city’; but a line below could also represent a “fuller” pronunciation.

There are also two optional dots that indicate stop versus fricative pronunciation of the six plosive consonants *b g d k p t*: *quššāyā*, a dot above, marks the stop; *ruk-kākā*, a dot below, marks the fricative (Segal 1989). Only a stop following a vowel or an “underlying” vowel can be fricativized, so *rukkākā* is an important indicator of morphological information.

The first lines of the two Syriac samples might look as follows, fully vocalized:

ܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ
 ܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ

SAMPLES OF CLASSICAL SYRIAC

ESTRANGELO

ܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ
 nyhbw ʔtyrʔbʕ ʔtwʔtʔ bkr tymdq ʔnzʕd nyrmʔ nyšʔnʔwʕ
 ܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ ܕܢܝܪܡܢܐ
 ʔnrʔhʔ ʔrʔpsd ʔtwʔtʔ bkr nwmylšw ʔnkh ʔswmn ms

rwsd	mryhl
------	-------

1. mnhwn. wqdmyt dswryy³ hw dyhb lhyrm dšwr
 2. men-hôn w-qaḍm-āyaṭ d-sūryāyâ haw d-yaḅ l-ḥîrām d-Šôr
 3. by-them and-first-ly of-Syriac DEMONST that-he.gave to-Hiram of-Tyre

—From Ishodad of Merv's commentary on Genesis (9th century),
quoted in Coxon 1970: 16.

ʔybrʔw ʔyyrws ʔyrbʔld ʔmkʔ ʔhktšm

1. *Transliteration:* sepr^ʾ hlyn dlwtn. db^ʾtr^ʾwt^ʾ dḥdr^ʾyn.
 2. *Normalization:* seprê hālên da-lwāt-an d-b-aṭrawwātā da-ḥdār-ayn
 3. *Gloss:* scripts those that-at-us that-in-places that-around-us
1. mnhwn. mn mšmlyn wgmyryn mnhwn dyn ḥsyryn
 2. men-hôn man mšamlên wa-ḡmîrîn men-hôn dên ḥassîrîn
 3. from-them some complete and-perfected from-them however incomplete
1. wbšyryn. wspr^ʾ mš^ʾmly^ʾ lkl ṭwps^ʾ mtltmn^ʾ blšn^ʾ
 2. wa-bšîrîn w-seprê mšamlayyâ l-kol ṭûpsâ meṭlaṭmânâ b-leššânâ
 3. and-imperfect and-scripts complete to-each type pronounced with-tongue
1. ʾtwṭ^ʾ dmršm^ʾ bktb^ʾ lhwn mštkḥ^ʾ ʾkm^ʾ dlywny^ʾ
 2. atûṭâ d-meṭrašmâ ba-ktābâ l-hôn meštakḥâ akmâ da-l-yawnâyâ
 3. letters that-inscribed in-writing to-them existing such.as that-of-Greek
1. wrwmy^ʾ wʾgwpṭy^ʾ wʾrmny^ʾ. wspr^ʾ ḥsy^ʾ lʾ hw^ʾ
 2. w-rômâyâ w-eguptâyâ w-armânâyâ w-seprê ḥassîrê lâ wâ
 3. and-Latin and-Egyptian and-Armenian and-scripts incomplete not (was)
1. lkl ṭwps^ʾ mtltmn^ʾ blšn^ʾ byty^ʾ ṣwrt^ʾ dmtktb^ʾ
 2. l-kol ṭûpsâ meṭlaṭmânâ b-leššânâ baytâyâ ṣûrtâ d-meṭkatbâ
 3. to-each type pronounced with-tongue proper form that-written
1. lhwn mštkḥ^ʾ ʾkm^ʾ dl^ʾbry^ʾ wswryy^ʾ wʾrby^ʾ
 2. l-hôn meštakḥâ akmâ da-l-ʿebrâyâ wa-sûrâyâ w-arbâyâ
 3. to-them existing such.as that-of-Hebrew and-Syriac and-Arabic

‘As for the scripts (used) by us or our neighbors, some are complete and perfect, but others are incomplete and imperfect. For complete scripts, each distinct sound has its own written letter, as in Greek, Latin, Coptic, and Armenian; but incomplete scripts do not have, for each distinct sound, their own written form, as in Hebrew, Syriac, and Arabic.’

—*Bar Hebraeus* (1225/6–1286), “*Book of Rays*,” tractate 4, chap. 1, sec. 1
 (Moberg 1922: 191–92, 1907: 3–4).

Bibliography

ARAMAIC

- Abbott, Nabia. 1939. *The Rise of the North Arabic Script and Its Qurʾānic Development with a Full Description of the Qurʾān Manuscripts in the Oriental Institute* (Oriental Institute Publications 50). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gruendler, Beatrice. 1993. *The Development of the Arabic Scripts: From the Nabatean Era to the First Islamic Century According to Dated Texts* (Harvard Semitic Studies 43). Atlanta: Scholars Press.
- Klugkist, A. C. 1982. "The Importance of the Palmyrene Script for Our Knowledge of the Development of the Late Aramaic Scripts." In *Arameans, Aramaic and the Aramaic Literary Tradition*, ed. Michael Sokoloff, pp. 57–74. Bar Ilan, Israel: Bar-Ilan University Press.
- Rosenthal, Franz. 1939. *Die aramaistischen Forschungen seit Theodor Nöldeke's Veröffentlichungen*. Leiden: Brill.
- Rosenthal, Franz, ed. 1967. *An Aramaic Handbook* (Porta Linguarum Orientalium 10). 2 vols. in 4 parts. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

CLASSICAL SYRIAC

- Brockelmann, Carl. 1960. *Syrische Grammatik*, rev. ed. Leipzig: VEB Verlag Enzyklopädie, repr. 1976 (1st ed., 1899).
- Hatch, William Henry Paine. 1946. *An Album of Dated Syriac Manuscripts*. Boston: American Academy of Arts and Sciences.
- Moberg, Axel. 1907–13. *Buch der Strahlen: Die grössere Grammatik des Barhebraeus. Übersetzung nach einem kritisch berichtigten Texte mit textkritischem Apparat und einem Anhang: Zur Terminologie*. Vol. 1, *Einleitung, Traktat I–III*, 1913; vol. 2, *Einleitung und zweiter Teil*, 1907. Leipzig: Harrassowitz.
- . 1922. *Le livre des splendeurs: La grande grammaire de Grégoire Barhebraeus. Texte syriaque édité d'après les manuscrits avec une introduction et des notes* (Acta Reg. Societatis Humaniorum Litterarum Lundensis 4). Lund: Gleerup.
- Moller, Garth I. 1988. "Towards a New Typology of the Syriac Manuscript Alphabet." *Journal of Northwest Semitic Languages* 14: 153–97.
- Morag, Shelomo. 1961. *The Vocalization Systems of Arabic, Hebrew, and Aramaic: Their Phonetic and Phonemic Principles* (Janua Linguarum Series Minor 13). The Hague: Mouton.
- Müller-Kessler, Christa. 1991. *Grammatik des Christlich-Palästinisch-Aramäischen*, part 1: *Schrift-*

- lehre, Lautlehre, Formenlehre* (Texte und Studien zur Orientalistik 6). Hildesheim: Olms.
- Nöldeke, Theodor. 1904. *Compendious Syriac Grammar*, 2nd ed., trans. James A. Crichton. London: Williams & Norgate. (German orig., 1898; 1st ed., 1880.)
- Pirenne, Jacqueline. 1963. "Aux origines de la graphie syriaque." *Syria* 40: 101–37.
- Segal, Judah B. 1953. *The Diacritical Point and the Accents in Syriac* (London Oriental Series 2). London: Oxford University Press.
- . 1989. "Quṣṣaya and Rukkā: A Historical Introduction." *Journal of Semitic Studies* 34: 483–91.

THE WORLD'S
WRITING SYSTEMS

New York Oxford
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

1996

Edited by
Peter T. Daniels
and
William Bright

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Oxford University Press.
Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
The World's Writing Systems / Edited by Peter T. Daniels and William Bright.
1. Writing—History—Oxford, 1996.
I. Daniels, Peter T., editor. II. Bright, William, 1928–
411—dc20 P111.W714 1996 99-0227