Rules how to Compose











.

## GIOVANNI COPERARIO

a facsimile edition of a manuscript from the library of the earl of Bridgewater (CLRCA 1610) NOW IN THE HUNTINGTON LIBRARY san marino, california with an introduction ВУ

## manfred f. Bukofzer

ernest e. gottlieb



los angeles, 1952

Copyright 1952 by ERNEST E. GOTTLIEB Musical Literature (Printed in the United States of America)

### 284211

# INTRODUCTION

## life and works of GIOVANNI COPERARIO

The author of the Rules how to compose enjoyed a high reputation in the 17th century as a composer of instrumental and vocal music. That he was also a theorist seems to have been completely unknown at the time since his treatise remained unpublished. He was praised and remembered especially for his fantasias or fancies for viols which Playford, many years after the composer's death, called "incomparable." It was during the lifetime of Coperario that the Renaissance tradition of the English madrigal and the ayre for voice and lute (or viols) gave way to the stile nuovo of Italian music. While madrigal and ayre had arisen originally under the influence of Italian and French music the impulse emanating from Italy after 1600 was felt as an entirely new departure. Although musical life flourished in England, Italy was nevertheless recognized as the musical center of Europe. This is tacitly acknowledged in the fact that the English musician John Cooper deemed it advisable or even advantageous to Italianize his name to the high-sounding Giovanni Coperario. We do not know whether he had the intention of passing himself off as a born Italian, but he certainly succeeded in confusing later historians who mistook him for one.

Of what is known about the life of Coperario only very little can be said to be definite. The year of his birth has been conjectured as c. 1570. It has been claimed, on undisclosed evidence, that he was a Londoner.<sup>1</sup> Since the days of Burney and Hawkins nearly all reference works report that Cooper changed his name in Italy where he is supposed to have studied. Plausible as this assumption is, especially in view of his numerous compositions with Italian titles, it must be noted that we have no documentary proof for a sojourn in Italy. Roger North in his *Musicall Gramarian*<sup>2</sup> makes no reference to

an Italian journey but states curtly that Coperario was "plain Cooper but affected an Italian termination." Even less substantiated is Jeffrey Pulver's report that Coperario participated in the performance of an early opera in Italy. Pulver's story grows taller as he recounts it: he speaks in one version<sup>3</sup> of "one of the earliest references to Opera," and in another version<sup>4</sup> of "the production of the first Opera in Italy" which would mean presumably the performance of *Dafne* in Florence. The information is based entirely on hearsay and should not have been allowed to enter the columns of a biographical dictionary unless presented with the greatest reservations. The published excerpts from Italian archives do not mention Coperario. More important than the inconclusive argument ex silentio is the fact that if Coperario was familiar with the modern recitative of the Florentine opera, his own music certainly shows no trace of it. It is true that some of his vocal compositions call for solo voice and lute accompaniment, but to confuse the style of these ayres with that of the monody would perpetuate an error of long standing.

The first plainly established fact in Coperario's life is the publication of the Funeral Teares in 1606, written in memory of the Earl of Devonshire. We learn from it that Cooper was in some way associated with a noble patron and had by this time adopted his "Italian termination." In 1607 he was paid for some songs he had composed for a feast of the Merchant Taylors in honor of James I. In the following years he contributed music to several masques and thus came in contact with the prominent poets and musicians of his day. Direct evidence of his friendly relations with Thomas Tomkins comes to light in the dedication of one of Tomkins's madrigals (Songs, 1622) to "Master John Coprario." There has been some doubt whether he wrote music for Beaumont's Masque of the Inner Temple and Gray's Inn (1612), but two tunes entitled Cupararee or Gray's Inn Masque<sup>5</sup> remove that doubt. Coperario's employment at the court of James I must have begun some time before 1612 since he was music instructor to Prince Charles, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E. H. Meyer, English Chamber Music, London, 1946, p. 149. <sup>2</sup> Roger North, The Musicall Gramarian, ed. Hilda Andrews, London, [1925], p. 10. North revised and enlarged the text later in his Memoires of Musick, ed. E. F. Rimbault, 1846.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jeffrey Pulver, "Giovanni Coperario alias John Cooper," in The Monthly Musical Record, 57 (1927), p. 101. <sup>4</sup> Jeffrey Pulver, A Biographical Dictionary of Old English Music, 1927. <sup>5</sup> British Museum, Add. MS 10444.

future Charles I, and to Prince Henry, who died in 1612. Upon the "untimely death of Prince Henry" Coperario wrote his Songs of Mourning (1613) to poems by the versatile Thomas Campion, poet, doctor of medicine, composer, and writer on the theory of English "Poesie" and music.6 Coperario and Campion collaborated in the same year also in the masque honoring the Earl of Somerset. Further proof of their association comes to light in the Rules how to compose, as will be shown at the end of this introduction. The last two pieces printed during the lifetime of Coperario are two anthems in William Leighton's Teares or Lamentacions of a Sorrowful Soule (1614). Four fancies appeared posthumously in the Durch collection XX Konincklyche Fantasien (1648). The court records for 1626 indicate that Alfonso Ferrabosco the younger succeeded Coperario as Composer of Music to the King. It can be safely assumed that Coperario died in that year.

New information about Coperario's position at the court can be gathered from a petition to Charles I in 1625 in which one John Woodington states that he had been employed "in Coperario's music" for three years.<sup>7</sup> Coperario must have been in charge of a special group of musicians known by his name within the King's Music. Furthermore, he entertained some relations with the household of Francis Clifford, fourth Earl of Cumberland. This we glean from a household account which records payment to Coperario for a lyra viol in 1614.<sup>8</sup> It may also be mentioned that one of the catches of Dr. Boyce<sup>9</sup> refers to one "John Cooper." Whether the person alluded to is the composer can be decided only on the basis of the complete text.

Coperario was the teacher of William Lawes, who is best known for his instrumental works. It has often been claimed that Henry Lawes, too, was the pupil of Coperario, but there is no positive evidence for this statement.<sup>10</sup> However, the *Rules how* to compose establish at least an indirect relation between the two musicians.

At this point it seems appropriate to give a brief description of the treatise.<sup>11</sup> The manuscript consists of forty unnumbered folios<sup>12</sup> written by one hand. It belonged originally to the library of John Egerton, first Earl of Bridgewater, and passed later into the possession of the Huntington Library in San Marino, California. By permission of the Huntington Library the manuscript (call number EL 6863) is reproduced here in facsimile in its original size. The original binding in limp vellum is inscribed "Gio:Coprario"13 and "J. Bridgewater" in the hand of the owner. Originally, the title page read only "Rules how to Compose." The name of the author was subsequently supplied at the top of the page by J. Egerton who entered his own name under the title. After he had been made Earl of Bridgewater (in 1617) he signed the book once more by his new name in justifiable pride. This accounts for the signature "J. Bridgewater" written in bolder letters but with fewer flourishes. The double signature proves that the manuscript must have been in Egerton's possession before 1617 and thus gives the latest possible date for the compilation of the treatise. The watermarks of the paper can be dated between 1594 and 1614.14 On the basis of this evidence the manuscript has been assigned the date of c. 1610.

The Rules are written in a very clear, yet quite characteristic, hand, obviously in fair copy. There are but few scribal mistakes. The vertical lines separating the examples are drawn by ruler and the lay-out of the music betrays careful planning. It has not been possible to determine whether or not the treatise is an autograph since no authenticated specimen of Coperario's handwriting has been available for comparison. A manuscript collection of fancies in the British Museum<sup>15</sup> is listed in the catalogue as "apparently autograph," but no reasons for this assumption are given. If the claim be true, our treatise cannot be an autograph because it is written in a different hand. The Coperario manuscripts in the Library of Congress (ML 96 C 7895) are described also as autographs in the Annual Report for 1920 and 1938 respectively, but again it is not stated on what grounds the claim is made.<sup>15a</sup> Since the handwriting differs from that of the "apparent autograph" in the British Museum and from that of our

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Campion was a member of Gray's Inn and it may be there that the collaboration between the two began. <sup>7</sup> Walter Lincoln Woodfill, Music in English Social History, c. 1535.c. 1640, University of California, Berkeley, Ph.D. Dissertation 1940 (typewritten), p. 196. The reference may be found in Historical Manuscripts Commission, Reports, Cowper Mss XXIII, 195. <sup>8</sup> Woodfill, op. cit., p. 255. Bolton Mss of the Duke of Devonshire, Chatsworth, Derbyshire, No. 95, fol. 242v. <sup>9</sup> British Museum, Add. MS 31463, fol. 54v. <sup>10</sup> See the cautious remark by Willa Mc-Clung Evans, Henry Lawes, Musician and Friend of Poets, The Modern Language Association of America, New York, 1941, p. 24, note 15. <sup>11</sup> The Rules have been discussed previously only in Evans, op. cit., p. 24, and Bukofzer, Music in the Baroque Era, New York, 1947, p. 383.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> For the sake of reference the folios have been numbered in our facsimile. <sup>13</sup> Note the spelling "Coprario" which is used not only in this treatise but also in the *Funeral Teares* and *Songs of Mourning*, printed presumably under the supervision of the composer himself. The spelling "Coperario" is fairly frequent in the music manuscripts of the time, and since it has been generally adopted by later writers it has been retained here even though the other spelling may be the more authentic one. <sup>14</sup> The watermark agrees with No. 481 of Edward Heawood, Watermarks, 1950. The specimen in Heawood is dated 1602, but similar examples are mentioned from 1594 to 1614.—I am indebted for this information to Mr. Herbert C. Schulz, Curator of Manuscripts at the Huntington Library. <sup>15</sup> Add. MS 31416. <sup>15a</sup> The Annual Report for 1938 (p. 135) draws attention to the annotation "This fancie I have prickt in other booke" at the head of one of Coperario's fancies. It is tempting to assume that the "T" of the annotation was the composer, but one cannot rule out the possibility that it was a copyist.

treatise a delicate situation arises. Obviously only one of these manuscripts can be an autograph though conceivably none of them is. The question can be settled only on the basis of some more reliable evidence.

The circumstance that the treatise belonged to the Bridgewater library adds one more facet to the biography of Coperario. In all likelihood the treatise was written for or at the request of Egerton. Egerton in turn establishes the link between Coperario and Henry Lawes since the latter was charged with the musical instruction of the Egerton children. Very possibly Lawes saw and read Coperario's treatise in the Bridgewater library though it is unlikely that he made use of it in his instruction. The Rules deal with four-part writing, suspensions, and imitation-topics that would be decidedly oldfashioned to a composer of continuo songs. As a representative of a younger generation of English composers Lawes had an entirely different outlook toward Italian music. While Coperario accepted its superiority without question Lawes made some bitter comments on his countrymen for being "so sated with what's Native, that nothing takes their eare but what's sung in a Language which (commonly) they understand as little as they do the Musick."<sup>16</sup> To prove his point he set to music a table of contents in Italian which made "a strange medley of Non-sense," and passed it off as a rare Italian song. With this practical joke Lawes went perhaps to more trouble than the occasion warranted, but it is indicative of his attitude. It would not have occurred to him to Italianize his name.

What rank does Coperario hold as composer? A fair answer to this question can be given only after all of his music has been thoroughly examined. If the impression gathered from a few key works can be trusted he would seem to belong to the very essential group of composers who are responsible for keeping high the level of the average production. He is in other words a first-class second rater. This evaluation may have to be revised as reprints of his music become more plentiful. In the absence of a complete bibliographical survey his total output can only be guessed at. The bulk of his music exists only in manuscript, the instrumental fancies forming the largest single group of compositions. A detailed catalogue of sources containing fancies can be found in Meyer,<sup>17</sup> but it excludes compositions for the keyboard. From the numerous manuscripts that would complement Meyer's list only the following may be

mentioned:

Oxford, Bodleian Library MS 26459-60<sup>18</sup> British Museum, Royal MS 24 k 3 Add. MS 31416

Library of Congress, MS ML 96 C 7895 New York Public Library, Drexel MS 5624 Library of Western Reserve University, Musical Fragments

(bound into a copy of David and Lussy, La Notation Musicale, Paris, 1882)

Several of these items have not previously been discussed in the literature about Coperario. Egerton MS 3665, which has only recently been acquired by the British Museum, is an extremely big collection of English origin, containing madrigals and fancies by Italian and English composers. Coperario is represented by a group of twenty-one villanelle for three voices and a group of forty-six fancies for five parts.<sup>19</sup> The manuscript in the Library of Congress consists of two sets of part-books (five each), the first presenting twenty-four fancies with Italian titles and a few untitled compositions (all by Coperario), the second containing fifteen fancies by Thomas Lupo and five by Coperario. Both sets duplicate many of the keyboard versions found in Egerton MS 2485. The manuscript pages bound into a copy of David's and Lussy's Notation musicale in the library of Western Reserve University are of little practical value because of their fragmentary state, but they do include sections from one or more fancies by Coperario.<sup>20</sup>

More than a hundred fancies by Coperario are known to exist, but modern reprints are as scarce as the originals are numerous.<sup>21</sup> The style of the fancies is still essentially polyphonic. With its imitative texture, equivalence of parts, and careful treatment of the dissonance it partakes in the tradition of Renaissance music. Yet there are also indications of a more progressive style or, if one wishes, of the dissolution of the older style. The parts frequently form augmented triads in first inversion, or favor such melodic progressions as diminished and augmented intervals. There is an unmistakable shift of emphasis from contrapuntal to harmonic combinations which are sought for their own sake. The harmonic richness is heightened by occasional passages

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Preface to Ayres and Dialogues, 1653, quoted by Evans, op. cit., p. 198. <sup>17</sup> E. H. Meyer, Die mehrstimmige Spielmusik des 17. Jahrhunderts, Kassel, 1934, p. 135. See also the thematic catalogue on pp. 149-152.

Egerton MS 2485 Egerton MS 3665

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Quoted in Meyer, op. cit., p. 25, but not in his list. <sup>19</sup> Bertram Schofield and Thurston Dart, "Tregian's Anthology," in Music and Letters XXXII (1951), p. 205. <sup>20</sup> For a brief description of the manuscript insertions see Bukofzer, "A Notable Book on Music," in *The Broadside*, published for the Associates of the Libraries of Western Reserve University [Cleveland, O.] No. 1, 1940. <sup>21</sup> For examples see Meyer, English Chamber Music, p. 262, and Gerald Hayes, King's Music, London, 1937, p. 60.

in somewhat nervous rhythms which differ from the even pulse of Renaissance music. In short, it is the stirring and imaginative music of a transition period, not yet quite set in its goals and on the point of breaking away from the moorings of tradition.

The colorful harmonic combinations in Coperario's music are not his personal property. They belong to the English idiom of the period and abound in the music of the English madrigalists, especially that of Weelkes. Coperario's vocal compositions, less numerous and apparently less known in his day than his fancies, have not yet been accorded the attention they deserve. They seem to have been widely distributed in manuscripts of the time, of which no systematic survey has yet been made. The villanelle that have come to light in Egerton MS 3665 disclose a new side of Coperario's vocal music. Another little-known collection of Italian madrigals in the Huntington Library (EL 25 A 46-51) contains nine Italian compositions for five and six voices by the composer. Some of these have only an Italian incipit, the remainder of the music being without text. Since the incipits recur as titles of fancies these versions may throw some light on the relation between vocal and instrumental compositions and on the question which of the fancies are arrangements of vocal models and which are originals. Coperario's madrigals have not been included in the volumes of The English Madrigal School nor do any of his songs appear in The English School of Lutenist Song Writers. This omission is deplorable.<sup>22</sup> It is true that the Funeral Teares appear to be duets rather than solo songs. However, Coperario informs us that in all compositions but the last dialogue the second voice is only optional and "may be added if any shall affect more fulnesse of parts." The Songs of Mourning, however, are straight solo songs.

The manifold problems of Coperario's vocal music cannot be discussed here, but one point, small in itself, but of symbolic significance, should be made. The fourth song of the *Funeral Teares* is a setting of *In darkness let me dwell*—the same poem that inspired John Dowland to one of his best songs. Dowland's setting appeared in print four years after that by Coperario in *The Musical Banquet* (1610). The two songs begin in almost identical manner and there can be hardly any doubt that the earlier setting served Dowland as a point of departure. Numerous other parallels, especially with regard to the declamation of words, furnish interesting material for a comparative study of how two song composers dealt with the same text. However, in the last analysis the resemblances in certain details are less important than the dissimilarities in approach. Dowland rises far above Coperario in musical eloquence, imagination, and poignancy. The comparison illuminates in a flash their fundamental difference in artistic temperament.

## the rules how to compose

The Rules how to compose show us Coperario from a hitherto unknown side, that of musical theory. It will become evident upon reading the treatise that its author was a practical musician rather than a theorist. His illustrations make much better musical sense than those usually found in theory books, but clear organization and systematic presentation of ideas are not exactly his forte. There is a strange disproportion between the abundance of musical examples and the brevity, if not insufficiency, of the verbal explanations. The Rules are nevertheless an interesting and in many respects unique document of musical theory, not only for what is discussed but also for what is taken for granted. And we shall discover the apparent paradox that some of the fundamental concepts that are taken for granted are not, as one would assume, traditional, whereas those which are treated at length are not entirely new. This strange mixture of traditional and new ideas is, in fact, the outstanding characteristic of the work.

The treatise falls into five parts which, in modern terminology, may be summarized in the following manner:

- I. Intervals and Melodic Progressions (fols. 1v-4)
- II. Harmonic Progressions (fols. 4v-11)
  - a. Root Progressions
    - b. Chords of the Sixth
- III. Diminution or Division of Parts (fols. 11v-18) a. In one part
  - b. In the bass (four parts)
- IV. Suspensions (fols. 18v-36)
  - a. On a rising bass
  - b. On a falling bass
  - c. Special Suspensions
  - d. Syncopation
- V. Imitation (fols. 36v-40)
  - a. With overlap
    - b. Without overlap
    - c. With countersubjects

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The songs O sweet flower and So parted you may be found in English Ayres, Elizabethan and Jacobean, ed. by Peter Warlock and Philip Wilson, Oxford University Press [1931], vols. IV, p. 18 and V, p. 16 respectively. — For a brief discussion of Coperario's songs see Peter Warlock, The English Ayre, London, 1922.

It will be seen that the topics are not always as clearly kept apart as the above outline suggests; sometimes certain subjects are briefly touched upon in one part and taken up again in another, or they appear out of order within one part.

## I. INTERVALS AND MELODIC PROGRESSIONS (fols. 1v-4)

The treatise opens with two tables listing the possible combinations of consonant intervals or concords" first from the bass upward and then from the treble downward. This tabulation of intervals differs from countless similar tables in earlier treatises in one significant point. Renaissance theorists always relate the intervals, even those of the bass, to the tenor, the primary and central voice. This practice may be seen in Zarlino's Istitutioni Harmoniche<sup>23</sup> and Morley's Plaine and Easie Introduction to Practicall Musicke (1597).<sup>24</sup> Although he wrote only a little more than a decade before Coperario, Morely presents strictly the Renaissance view in this matter. The idea of counting intervals from the bass upward-now the "normal" procedure-marks a turning point in contrapuntal theory. On its very first page the treatise breaks with Renaissance tradition by shifting the emphasis from the tenor to the bass. It is quite consistent with this novel approach if later on in the treatise the order of examples is determined by bass progressions. The same principle is observed in the early writings on thorough bass which have otherwise little in common with the Rules. We shall have occasion to return to this point in the further discussion.

The tabulation is followed by a list of consonant and dissonant intervals, called here "perfect" and "imperfect chords."<sup>25</sup> There is no connection between this list and the next rule in which Coperario, suddenly turning to part-writing, proscribes parallel octaves and fifths. Skipping back to intervals he then gives rules for "what chords parts are to use" or for what is now called the spacing of chords. Here again the wording implies that the bass is considered as the voice of reference.

The section on "How to com from a discord" (fol. 3) discusses dissonances in terms of melodic progressions. Of special interest is Coperario's remark that "in diatonic songs" a sharpened note in the bass calls for a sixth, not the octave and fifth.

The rule is stated in a rather obscure manner, but it makes very good sense. It means that whenever the bass contains a leading note it must be harmonized by a sixth chord because the triad in root position would be diminished. The bass may function as leading note by virtue of its position in the diatonic scale, as f-sharp in the key of G, or may become a leading note "accidentally" by the insertion of a sharp, as c-sharp in the key of G or b-natural in the key of F.<sup>26</sup> It is interesting to note that two early theorists of the basso continuo, Bianciardi (1607) and Sabbatini (1628), state the same rule.<sup>27</sup> The direction that bass notes with a sharp demand automatically sixth chords (even if no figure is given) is in spite of its primitive and purely empirical nature a very efficient guide for the realization of unfigured basses. Modern editors have often sinned against the rule in their realizations.

Coperario then pursues the question of accidentals further and shows how they should be applied to melody: an ascending line calls for sharps (and naturals), a descending line for flats. This consideration of the direction of the melodic line indicates that Coperario has not yet abandoned the modal concepts of the 16th century. Melody is to him something flexible, something that has not yet crystallized in one key. However, he specifically exempts from his rule melodic progressions in "chromatic songs" which by their very nature destroy the modal characteristics. His distinction between diatonic and chromatic songs is important as it gives theoretical recognition to two styles of writing which the madrigalists were practicing at the time. Indeed, in chromatic songs the accidentals have ceased to be what their name implies; they have become essentials which cannot be omitted. As if to prove this point Coperario is careful throughout the treatise to supply all accidentals.

The rules about accidentals contain also the direction that the third should be made sharp (=major) if the bass moves to a cadence or halfcadence. The manner in which the bass progressions are specified can be easily misunderstood. The skip of "a sharp third" downward means a skip of a third down to a note with a sharp, as from a to fsharp. A sharp third descending is therefore a *minor* third (see also fol. 32); conversely, a sharp third ascending, as from a to c-sharp, would be a *major* third (see also fol. 23). The confusion resulting from this ambiguous, if logical, terminology is compounded further by the reference to "making

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Here quoted after the first edition, Venice, 1558; III, 58, p. 241.
<sup>24</sup> Reprint of the Shakespeare Association, London, 1939, p. 129.
Morley's table is no more than a literal translation of the passage in Zarlino. It is one of the numerous instances where Morley helps himself to the ideas of others. <sup>25</sup> Note that in this period "chord" always means interval.

 $<sup>^{26}</sup>$  Coperario always cancels a flat by means of a sharp which does double duty as sharp and natural.  $^{27}$  Cited in F. T. Arnold, The Art of Accompaniment from a Thorough Bass, London, 1931, pp. 75 and 111.

the third sharp" which has a different meaning altogether.

On fol. 3v Coperario begins the discussion of part writing, or rather a limited aspect of it. This would have been the proper place to deal with "unlawfull" consecutives. Instead, he takes up similar motion between parts, especially what is now called "hidden" or "covered" parallels in the outer voices. Most of the rules are conventional and self-explanatory, but his advice to avoid skipping from the octave to the sixth is very uncommon and more restrictive than usual. He gives no reason for the restriction nor does he mention the fact that in the example the sixth appears to a "sharp" bass note. Since no hidden parallels are involved the rule belongs to an altogether different category. If stated without qualifications it can be understood only as a special case of the much more general rule that skips in similar motion are less good than those in contrary motion. In this connection is should be pointed out that contrary motion is not even mentioned in the treatise.

The final section of the first part (fol. 4) illustrates typical cadences with suspensions. This matter is treated again and much more fully in the part dealing with suspensions. However, the main purpose of the passage under discussion is to show the use of the cadence in two parts to which the suspension is an incidental, though indispensable, corrollary. It may be anticipated here that certain examples of this section appear also in another treatise of the time, which will be discussed at the end of the introduction.

The first part of Coperario's treatise is less clearly organized than the other parts. It gives the impression of a rather diffuse compilation written without a definite idea of what to include and what to omit.

#### II. HARMONIC PROGRESSIONS (fols. 4v-11)

The title of the second part, "What chords parts are to use in Contrapoinct," raises a point of terminology. Counterpoint means writing in noteagainst-note style, all notes being of equal value (usually a semibreve). The theorists of the Renaissance keep alive the original meaning of the term which is derived from *punctus contra punctum*, and Coperario follows their footsteps. Part writing in note-against-note style, especially in four voices, looks on paper like chordal or harmonic progressions,<sup>28</sup> but it would be ill advised to call them homophonic or monodic, as certain modern writers do. Chords in the modern sense did not exist in Renaissance theory; they were combinations of intervals, and there was not even a clear term for triad since "chord" or "accordo" meant interval. Zarlino and most of his fellow theorists concentrate on counterpoint in two parts and deal with that in three or more parts merely by way of extension. The preoccupation with two-part counterpoint, which not even by implication could be termed "chordal," proves how misleading it is to apply terms suggesting chordal concepts or melody and accompaniment.

Coperario stays essentially within the framework of Renaissance theory, yet he differs from preceding writers in two vital points: (1) he completely dispenses with two-part counterpoint and exemplifies in four parts only, and (2) he regulates the progressions by means of the bass instead of the tenor. These innovations are historically important as they give concrete evidence of how harmonic or chordal thinking gradually encroaches on the concept of intervallic combination. In Coperario's treatise noteagainst-note writing is seen in the process of becoming harmonic writing-"counterpoint" in the old sense changes before our eyes to "harmony." It is for this reason that the contents of the second part can be summed up under the modern heading of "Harmonic Progressions." It must be kept in mind, however, that Coperario has as yet no clear or consistent conception of how a bass functions in a harmonic setting. A glance at the examples convinces us that the order of bass progressions follows melodic rather than harmonic considerations. Coperario moves us step by step from the smaller to the larger intervals as though the bass were a tenor. A modern theorist would probably start with such "natural" bass progressions as fourths and fifths.

The characteristic mixture of progressive and retrospective features again calls to mind the early theorists of the basso continuo who take a very similar attitude. In his broadside *Breve regola per imparar a sonare sopra il basso* (1607) Bianciardi presents his examples in the order of bass progressions rising successively from the second to the sixth.<sup>29</sup> It is difficult to say whether or not this parallel is due to a direct influence. The harmonic approach was in the air and could have been adopted quite independently by Coperario. But even if he was conversant with the early Italian documents on thorough bass the point is that he applies the harmonic approach to music without continuo either

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Such passages were commonly employed in Renaissance music for purposes of emphatic and solemn declamation.

 $<sup>^{29}</sup>$  Arnold, op. cit., p. 75. There are some other, but less striking similarities.

by a misunderstanding or in a deliberate attempt to reconcile two opposed concepts in an eclectic manner.

Part II can be subdivided into two sections, the first dealing with what now is called root progressions (fols. 4v-9), the second with chords of the sixth (bols. 9v-11). Taken as a whole the second part forms in some ways a logical sequence to the first one. In the first part the composer shows among other things how intervals can be combined to form chords; now he shows how such combinations may be connected to form harmonic progressions. He describes the progressions by giving first the movement of the bass, the most important voice, and then the movement of the treble (for which he affects the term canto). The remaining voices must take what ever intervals are left over to fill in the harmony. The emphasis lies clearly on the outer voices and on the principle of structural contour which was to become so essential in baroque music. The reference to "the inner parts" on fol. 8v confirms that Coperario recognized the functional difference between outer and inner voices.

The examples of section IIa illustrate mainly the usual root progressions which need no further comment.<sup>30</sup> But they include also a succession of "thirdrelated" chords which always involve a crossrelation (fol. 5v, Ex. 1). Such progressions were





nothing uncommon in the 16th century, especially with Flemish and English composers, but in the second part of the century certain composers began to avoid them and the theorists followed suit. Zarlino, who views cross-relations with obvious displeasure, forbids their use in two-part writing altogether and allows them most reluctantly in more than two parts only in case of necessity.<sup>31</sup> What is remarkable about Coperario's example is the fact that he treats it so casually like any ordinary progression and loses no word of caution about its use. Moreover, he places the cross-relation in the outer voices where it is most audible. Such conspicuous employment of cross-relations is characteristic of English music which abounds with harmonic effects of this sort and, as we shall see presently, with clashing dissonances. At this place the composer cannot hide his English background,—in other words, Mr. Cooper speaks louder than Signor Coperario.

Section IIb which carries the title "How to use a 6 in Contrapoinct," illustrates the use of sixth chords. Here again the progressions are described in terms of intervals though their effect is chordal. The unit of the beat has shifted now from the semibreve to the minim, and the composer expressly remarks that the rules apply only to movement in notes shorter than the semibreve. In a first group of examples the sixth chords alternate with triads, and in a second group two sixth chords are shown in succession. The sixth chords appear always on minims. We find two examples of cross-relation, again in the outer voices (Ex. 2). Their harmonic



effect is even more striking than before because of the faster tempo. It is curious that Coperario seems to consider them as perfectly regular while he takes exception to a much more innocuous progression on fol. 10a.<sup>32</sup> Here the transition from a third to an augmented fourth in similar motion is marked as "faultie" and a corrected alternate version is given. The apparent contradiction between laxness in one case and strictness in the other seems to indicate that in this particular instance the composer was concerned more with the horizontal aspects of part writing than with the vertical result. This in turn is inconsistent with his basically harmonic approach.

#### III. DIMINUTION OF DIVISION OF PARTS (fols. 11v-18)

Part III, "Of Division," teaches how simple progressions can be made more elaborate by ornamentation. The art of melodic embellishment or diminution was an adjunct to part writing in the contrapuntal theory of the time and was sometimes taken

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Two slips of the scribe must be corrected: in the description of the first example on fol. 4v the text reads incorrectly "tenor first an 8, next a 3" instead of "first a 5;" and in the third example on fol. 5 the lower of the two alternate notes must read b-flat, not d (which would make consecutive fifths with the bass). <sup>31</sup> Zarlino, op. cit., III, 30, p. 179. The passage is now easily accessible in English translation in Source Readings in Music History, ed. Oliver. Strunk, New York, 1950, p. 238.

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  This folio contains another error in the text: line 2 should read "Canto first maie use a 13" (not 15).

up in separate books.<sup>33</sup> The embellishments had not yet crystallized in a set of stereotyped figures and consisted of freely inserted passing notes which did not essentially modify the musical texture or the function of the structural intervals. The inclusion in the treatise of a section on division is not in itself remarkable. It is again the treatment of the subject which is in certain respects unusual. The material falls into two sections, (a) division in one part, and (b) division in the bass (four parts). The first of these deals in quite conventional manner with the division of single intervals, (the third, fourth, and fifth) in one voice. The musical illustrations lie in treble range, but the text makes clear that they apply just as well in any other range. Compared with other treatises on division Coperario's selection of intervals is very limited. Other writers cover far more ground and include examples ranging from the repeated note to the octave. Moreover, his embellishments are extremely modest in comparison with the flamboyant passaggi of certain Italian falsettists.<sup>84</sup>

In the second section Coperario approaches his subject in a different and unprecedented manner. Although the examples of the first section would apply to the bass too he adds a separate series of four-part examples illustrating division in the bass. To single out the bass in this context is a very unusual procedure which once more testifies to Coperario's interest in the harmonic approach. Some of the examples give the impression of figurative harmony, that is to say, of harmonic progressions animated by melodic movement. While the aim is not consistently and perhaps not consciously realized it remains nevertheless true that the point of departure is a harmonic progression which by means of division is made to resemble contrapuntal texture. This is a noteworthy reversal of emphasis. In Renaissance music melodic elaboration was merely an optional ornament of a contrapuntal structure; now it is on its way to become a structural element of composition.

The third part completes and complements the material treated in the two preceding parts: after the formation of chords (Part I), and the connection of chords (Part II), the animation of chords by melodic movement would come in natural sequence. However, this outline holds only in the most general and broad terms since it considers only the progressive side in Coperario's discussion.

Turning now to the examples we should note first that the various forms of divisions may be applied in a slow as well as a fast tempo. Most examples appear in parallel versions in semibreves and minims respectively. There is one significant restriction concerning the use of dotted notes "in a songe" (fol. 13v) which implies that dotted rhythm in very short note values was permissible only in instrumental music and was considered unidiomatic for the voice. Such rhythms are indeed found very commonly in keyboard works, but they do occur also in vocal compositions and in the examples offered by Conforto.

The four-part examples illustrating the breaking of the bass begin with simple progressions in which treble and bass move together in parallel motion with the inner parts holding-a grouping that stresses the structural contour of the setting. The divisions create numerous dissonances of short duration which are admissible as passing or neighboring notes. In certain cases, however, they go far beyond the normal practice of passing notes. The illustrations on fols. 16v-17 show that Coperario pays little attention to the fact that there may be several dissonances in a row (Ex. 3b). He obviously regards the ornamental notes as purely melodic, and therefore unessential, elaborations of the underlying harmonic progression which he is careful to put down first in its unornamented form (Ex. 3a). The



Example 3

free ornamental notes which can be called "passing" only in a wider sense since they "pass" from one dissonance to another, may lead to further harmonic complications, as shown in Ex. 3c. Here the tenor employs a stereotyped cadential formula, known as the "consonant" fourth, but more accurately described as the "cadential fourth" (this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> A brief survey of these books and the literature on the subject may be found in Bukofzer, "On the Performance of Renaissance Music," in Proceedings of the Music Teachers National Association, Series 36, 1941, p. 225. See also Imogene Horsley, "Improvised Embellishment in the Performance of Renaissance Polyphonic Music," in Journal of the American Musicological Society, IV (1951), p. 3. <sup>34</sup> See for example Giovanni Luca Conforto, Breve et facile maniera d'essercitarsi a far passaggi, Rome, 1603 (?), facsimile reprint ed. J. Wolf in Veröffentlichungen der Musik-Bibliothek Paul Hirsch, No. 2, Berlin, 1922.

term will be adopted henceforth). The idiom consists of a four-three suspension prepared on the weak beat either in a six four combination (Ex. 3d) or by moving stepwise to a dissonant fourth (Ex. 3e). In both cases the resolution is normal. It will be seen that the complication in Ex. 3c arises from the fact that the idioms of Exs. 3b and 3e are employed simultaneously. Each one is commonplace in itself, but their combination causes the parts to rub hard against one another. Such "frictions" would generally be avoided in written counterpoint, but would be permissible in performances with improvised embellishments and in improvised counterpoint. Coperario's examples codify to a certain extent the practice of improvised elaboration. In addition, they often point to idioms found in instrumental music. Some of the more complex examples strongly resemble intavolature of vocal compositions. An early example of this practice may be seen in an organ intavolation by Andrea Antico (1517) which is based on the frottola Per dolor mi bagno by Marchetto Cara.35 The opening progression of the frottola assumes an obviously instrumental character in the intavolation (Ex. 4). The resemblance



Example 4

with Coperario's illustrations (see Exs. 3a and 3b) leaps to the eye, and in this connection it may be well to remember that Coperario's fancies include instrumental arrangements of vocal compositions.

The longest and most elaborate example of the section (fol. 18) illustrates the use of division in several voices in alternation. Here again the music looks instrumental and at the same time fairly contrapuntal (Ex. 5). It is, in fact, the most genuinely contrapuntal example we have seen so far, because of the imitation between bass, tenor, and treble (there is, in addition, a brief snatch of imitation in the alto). It is interesting that Coperario accounts for the passage not in contrapuntal terms but on the basis of filled-in intervals. From these we can extract the underlying progressions which are given in schematic form in Ex. 6. Coperario makes no

mention of the irregular resolution of the suspended f in the next-to-the-last measure of Ex. 5. The f is resolved by a quarter note instead of a half note and



Example 5

the note of resolution (e-flat) clashes therefore with the b-natural of the treble. For a moment it forms a fleeting augmented triad in first inversion—the favorite cadential idiom in early baroque music.



Example 6

The schematic reduction in Ex. 6 shows how this dissonance is derived from a perfectly normal use of the cadential fourth.

#### IV. SUSPENSIONS (fols. 18v-36)

We come now to the longest and at the same time most rewarding part of the treatise. It deals with suspensions and syncopated notes which Coperario groups together under the misleading title "Of Ligatures." His use of the term is at variance with the commonly accepted meaning. Ligature is a term of mensural notation denoting a figure of two or more notes written in one, and this is the only sense in which it is employed by Morley and others. It could be argued that Coperario may have thought of the analogy between ligature and tie, but the explana-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Both the vocal and instrumental version of the music appear side by side in K. Jeppesen, Die italienische Orgelmusik am Anfang des Cinquecento, Copenhagen, 1943, App. 9\*.

tion seems lame because he avoids ties and places the held-over notes directly on the bar line. Moreover, ligature refers always to at least two notes, tie to only one note which is split by the bar line. Whatever it was that moved Coperario to choose his title—confusion or an unsuccessful parading of learning—, in the body of the text he abandons the precious term and speaks plainly of "holdings."

The fourth part can be divided into four sections. Section IVa (fols. 18v-25v) deals with suspensions on a bass rising in the order of intervals from the second to the sixth. Section IVb (fols. 26-31v) continues with suspensions on a bass falling in the order of intervals from the second to the fifth.<sup>36</sup> We see that the organization by bass progression obtains in the first two sections as in the foregoing parts. In section IVc (fols. 32-34) Coperario singles out for discussion certain uncommon suspensions and special idioms. They include the "false" (diminished) fifth, the sixth and fifth used together, the sixth in conjunction with the cadence, and the seventh in the approach to the cadence. The section is only loosely organized. For example, the sixth in the cadence does not, strictly speaking, come under the heading of suspensions at all, as it is not itself a suspension (though it serves to prepare one). The idiom of the joined sixth and fifth appears first in the examples of section IVa (fol. 21v) before it is made a special issue. On the other hand, we look in vain here for a discussion of the diminished seventh which would logically complement that of the diminished fifth. Instead, the diminished seventh is taken up in section IVb. Section IVd, finally, sets down rules for progressions in which the bass moves in syncopes "against the time." This section, too, belongs to suspensions only improperly since the "holdings" of the bass may look like suspensions but need not necessarily be dissonant.

The examples of the fourth part would be worthy of a detailed study, but it must suffice to summarize the salient points. Above all, Coperario is concerned more about the context in which suspensions may occur than about the type of suspension used. His method of presentation differs from that of most other writers. While the latter usually take up the various types of suspensions one by one (the seventh, the fourth, the second below, etc.), Coperario sets up a musical context by specifying a particular progression of the bass and then goes on to show how it can accommodate suspensions. Since most cases admit of more than one kind of suspension he is forced to repeat the same suspensions over and over again. In his purely empirical approach he presents a bewildering number of possibilities which the student would have a hard time to remember. This method may not be good pedagogically but it is certainly sound from the musical point of view because it calls for a great variety of concrete examples.

As to the harmonic context of the suspensions it is significant that Coperario does not make the customary distinction between what is now called 'good" and "bad" suspensions and that he seems oblivious of the vast difference between the smoothness of the one and the harshness of the other. He accepts the dissonances and rich harmonies caused by uncommon suspensions without a word of comment and peppers his examples with dissonant cadential idioms and cross-relations which stand more in need of explanation than the suspensions he purports to illustrate. In his evident delight in pungent harmonic combinations Coperario differs radically from Morley and other writers. None of the earlier theorists, not even those of the basso continuo, have admitted so wide a range of harmonic possibilities. He codifies and tacitly sanctions the characteristic harmonic licenses of the contemporary English madrigal. His free dissonance treatment testifies to the disintegration of the harmonic concepts of Renaissance music and this fact makes the fourth part of the treatise a unique document of supreme historical interest.

The very first illustration of section IVa (fol. 18v) is indicative of Coperario's approach. He begins with an unusual suspension of the ninth, one of the "bad" suspensions (Ex. 7). Its dissonance is





all the more pronounced as the suspension is a minor ninth and forms at the same time a diminished fifth with the alto. Only after he has discussed the holding on the ninth does Coperario turn to the more usual suspensions of the fourth and the seventh. But even his examples of "normal" procedures are made complex by his desire to produce striking harmonic combinations. Sometimes they are noteworthy not so much for their dissonances as for the direction of harmonies. A case in point is the deceptive cadence in which, as Coperario puts it, the bass

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Note that the descending intervals do not go beyond the fifth as in Renaissance theory the skip of the sixth downward was not normally allowed.

means "to change the ayre,<sup>37</sup> and to deferr a close" (fol. 20). More often, however, the examples are extraordinary because of their free treatment of the dissonance. Coperario arrives at the dissonance not arbitrarily but by extending the established procedures and traditional idioms in an ingenious manner. The dissonances arise not from the suspension proper (which conforms to rule), but primarily from the notes surrounding the suspension. For example, on fol. 21 (Ex. 8) Coperario resolves the



Example 8

seventh in the first measure guite normally to the sixth, but at the same time introduces the passing note f in the bass so that in effect the seventh resolves to another dissonant combination. The first beat of the second measure brings yet another dissonance, the augmented triad in first inversion which we have met before and shall meet many times again. It is prepared here by a suspension of the e-flat, held over from the preceding measure. When the *e*-flat is correctly resolved down the treble has already taken the fourth to the bass as part of the idiom of the cadential fourth which leads to the cadence and to the final resolution. Each one of the idioms just described is normal in itself, but if used in conjunction, as they are here, they result in a row of no less than five dissonances on successive beats-an amazing illustration of continuous friction produced by logical conduct of each voice. And all this is incidental to an innocent example of a suspended seventh, so incidental in fact that the attendant frictions are not even mentioned!

It should be observed that the unit of the beat in Ex. 8 is the quarter note and that the harmony changes at the same pace. The importance of what we now call harmonic rhythm was clearly recognized in Renaissance theory. Vicentino distinguishes three types of suspensions or "syncopes," *sincopa major, minor* and *minima,* which correspond to our suspensions of the whole note, half note, and quarter note.<sup>38</sup> By the latter half of the

16th century the slow sincoba major in whole notes had become antiquated and was used only for special purposes. The suspension moving in half notes was the most common of the three and is found especially in the motet and Mass. The fast suspension in quarter notes belonged primarily to secular music and appears in madrigals and instrumental compositions. Several theorists of the time actually explain the difference between sacred and secular styles on the basis of slow and fast suspensions.<sup>39</sup> Coperario does not expressly refer to the distinction but he presents his examples often in a slow and a fast version. He sometimes specifies the note value at which the bass should move and thus sets the proper pace for the various types of suspensions. Not all types lend themselves to being written both ways.

The illustration of fol. 21v (Ex. 9)<sup>40</sup> purport to





exemplify the use of the sixth instead of the fifth over a rising bass, but they actually show the sixth and fifth joined together (six-five chord) to which Coperario devotes a separate section later on. The next set of examples on fol. 22 (Ex. 10) demon-



Example 10

strates how the sixth may alternate with the fifth in the familiar chain of chords in root position and first inversion. The example is remarkable not for the point illustrated, but for the dissonant approach to the cadence by means of an augmented triad which appears on the strong beat without preparation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> In this context "ayre" is the equivalent of "mode." <sup>38</sup> L'Antica Musica ridotta alla moderna prattica, Rome, 1555, fol. 29v. The term sincopa, used also by Zarlino and others, indicates that the theorists of the time thought of suspension in terms of rhythm rather than harmony. The length of the sincopa was always defined by the sum of preparation plus suspension proper. Hence the note values mentioned in the early theorists are twice those of modern terminology (syncope of the semibreve = half-note suspension).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> See for example Pietro Pontio, Ragionamento di musica, Parma, 1588, p. 154, and Morley, op. cit., p. 132. <sup>40</sup> The first note of the bass on fol. 21v is obviously a scribal error and must be disregarded.

Even more striking are the progressions on fol. 23 (Ex. 11) which would be unthinkable in normal Renaissance practice. Here the bass rises a "sharpe



Example 11

third" and causes the suspended interval to become a diminished fourth (between the outer voices). Characteristically, Coperario ignores the fact that the suspension is a diminished interval though he takes pains to point to the much less startling diminished fifth in the tenor.

Section IVb also contains a number of unusual progressions. The most important of these is the diminished seventh which appears, like the diminished fourth, in connection with a "sharp" note in the bass (fol. 26v, Ex. 12a). If the passage is compared



Example 12

with the beginning of Weelkes's madrigal O care, thou wilt despatch me (Ex. 12b) it will become clear how closely the Rules mirror the practice of the English madrigal.<sup>41</sup> Coperario restricts the use of the diminished seventh to slow suspensions. If the bass moves in shorter note values (fol. 27) the harmonic rhythm is too fast for such dissonances and will tolerate only sixth chords.

In case the bass falls many seconds in succession two kinds of progressions are possible in slow as well as fast tempo, (1) a chain of suspensions (fol. 27v), or (2) a chain of alternating sixths and fifths (fol. 28). Although the second kind involves syncopes rather than suspensions proper Coperario speaks of holdings.<sup>42</sup> A special case of the first kind is illustrated on fol. 28v (Ex. 13). Here the bass falls conjunctly in semibreves to some very harsh and awkward suspensions in which the tone of resolution is present in another voice. Such disso-



#### Example 13

nances would be justified in a madrigal only "to signific hardness, cruelty or other such effects" which "exasperat the harmonie."<sup>43</sup> This is the only place of the treatise where Coperario cautions against the use of dissonance, if only by the remark that "this waie is used butt seldome." He fails to draw attention to the unusual harmonic context of the suspensions. The same is true of the following examples. The suspended seventh on fol. 29 (Ex. 14) is regu-



#### Example 14

lar in itself and would not call for comment, were it not for the fact that it appears as double suspension in conjunction with a diminished fifth.

The last item discussed in the section (fol. 31v) is what Coperario calls "a staie" in the bass. This unusual term refers to the prolongation of the bass note by means of a dot. The "stay" should not be confused with the syncope. In contrast to the latter the note making a "stay" enters on the strong beat and is held to form a half-cadence.

In section IVc Coperario takes up some special combinations individually. The first of these is the suspension of the diminished fifth (fol. 32) which arises, like the other suspensions on diminished intervals, from a "sharp" interval of the bass (Ex. 15). Coperario chooses to illustrate only one kind



Example 15

of diminished fifth, namely that created by the sharpened leading note of the minor mode. He ig-

43 Morley, op. cit., p. 177.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Weelkes's composition contains also a passage with a diminished fourth corresponding to Ex. 11 (compare the last statement of "deadly dost thou sting me"). A progression even more reminiscent of Coperatio's example occurs in the second part of Tomkins's madrigal Weep no more at the words "Ay me, I die." Another madrigal by Tomkins, Too much I once lamented, begins exactly like O care, thou wilt by Weelkes (Ex. 12b) with a diminished seventh. <sup>42</sup> Note that the equivalent of suspension is used in the sense of syncope while it is usually the other way around (see note 38). The exchange of terms indicates that syncope and suspension were not yet clearly differentiated.

nores that the major mode, which is the one usually discussed by the theorists of the Renaissance. The musical examples combine the diminished fifth with some violent cross-relations in which the conflict between mode and key can very closely be observed. They are further proof of Coperario's "Englishry."

The next combination is the fifth and sixth used together or what is now called the six-five chord (fol. 32v). In spite of its brevity this passage is of great significance for the development of contrapuntal theory. The six-five suspension differs from all others in that its dissonance lies between the upper voices only and does not involve the bass. Its most common form, shown in Ex. 9 above, outlines a harmonic progression which in the course of the 17th century developed into the stereotyped cadence  $II_{s}^{g} \cdot V \cdot I$ . At the stage at which we observe it with Coperario it is still a dissonant contrapuntal combination requiring preparation. The circumstance that Coperario deems it necessary to single it out for discussion is it itself noteworthy. Most of his predecessors pass over it in silence in the tacit and perfectly valid assumption that it is sufficiently covered by the general rules governing suspensions. Morley makes at least a brief, if specific, remark about the combination calling it "the best manner of closing."44 Indeed, although it may be found also in intermediate and sequential progressions, it has usually the function of leading up to the cadence, and it is this cadential function that made it later so valuable an element in the harmonic cadence. That Coperario discusses the combination more fully than any other theorist before his time could be taken as another sign of the growing interest in harmony. On the other hand, he accounts for it by intervals and in a cumbersome and confusing fashion, as will be evident to anyone who reads his directions without looking at the music. We find here, as in previous parts of the treatise, a conflict between certain progressive harmonic concepts and the conservative categories in which they are explained. The time was not yet ripe for the appropriate terms and Coperario was certainly not the man to develop them. At any rate the section shows that special treatment of the six-five suspension was justifiable from the standpoint of Renaissance theory, though it may not have been the orthodox procedure.

In the examples two types are distinguished, one which resolves to a cadential fourth (Ex. 16a), and another which resolves either directly to a cadence (Ex. 16b) or to an intermediate progression or halfcadence (Ex. 16c). The first type is self-explanatory but the rules for the second type warrant some comment. Coperario stipulates that the first note of the bass must be stationary. This is an entirely un-



Example 16

essential provision because the bass could just as well move a step upward, as it usually does in the preparation of the six-five suspension and as Coperario himself admits in an earlier illustration (Ex. 9). As described by Coperario the second type is merely a special and moreover decidedly uncouth solution of a progression that Ex. 9 gives in its most common form. The real difference between the two types lies not in the way they are approached, as Coperario believes, but in their respective resolutions to either a six-four combination or a five-three combination. While Coperario is correct in saying that the two types are "cleane contrarie" to one another, his criteria are irrelevant and confused. He nevertheless deserves credit for being the first theorist to recognize the distinction.

It may be pointed out parenthetically that the sixfive suspension receives scant attention, if any, even in modern textbooks of 16th-century counterpoint. In Knud Jeppesen's book<sup>45</sup> which is based in exemplary fashion on the music itself, though mainly that of a single composer, the combination appears several times in the examples, but it does not exist as far as the text is concerned. R. O. Morris<sup>46</sup> refers to the combination without specifically naming it, and his explanation is not satisfactory in all points. The book by A. T. Merritt<sup>47</sup> offers a succint discussion of the suspension and a clear example of its common form. What is probably the most extensive treatment to date may be found in the textbook by G. F. Soderlund<sup>48</sup> who devotes to it no less than six chap-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Morley, op. cit., p. 143. He exemplifies the idiom by a progression in semibreves though it is much more commonly used in minims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Counterpoint, translated by Glen Haydon, New York, 1939. <sup>46</sup> Contrapuntal Technique in the Sixteenth Century, Oxford, 1922, pp. 37 and 40. <sup>47</sup> Sixteenth-Century Polyphony, Cambridge, 1939, p. 150. <sup>48</sup> Direct Approach to Counterpoint, New York, 1947, chapters 38-43. See also the review of the book by Joseph Kerman and his remarks concerning the six-five chord in Journal of the American Musicological Society, I (1948), p. 40.

ters. Here we meet again with the distinction between the regular form of the chord and its combination with the cadential fourth which we know already from Coperario. Soderlund concludes his discussion with a review of exceptional cases, but some of these are improperly classified as six-five chords.—

To return now to Coperario's treatise: next on the list of special idioms is the use of the sixth instead of the fifth in the cadence (fol. 33). The heading of the section gives no clear idea of the importance of the topic. Coperario demonstrates that the sixth may prepare a cadential fourth, but what he does not say is that this preparation may bring about an augmented triad in first inversion. Here is further proof of Coperario's interest in pungent harmonies: as many as three out of his four illustrations make use of this dissonant cadential idiom which may be approached with or without preparation and may occur in slow as well as fast tempo (Ex. 17). In addition, they throw some light on the





origin of the idiom. Giovanni Gabrieli was one of the first composers to give it wide currency, and his treatment suggests that it was conceived as an extension of the cadential fourth. Coperario's treatise now confirms this interpretation from the theoretical side. The idiom is of particular interest as it admits within the framework of the traditional four-three suspension an unprepared dissonance on the strong beat, introduced for its strong harmonic effect and the additional force it imparts to the cadence. It is one of the most characteristic formulas of early baroque music and plays a far more important role than the dominant seventh chord.

This remark brings us to the next idiom, the seventh in the cadence (fols. 33v-34). At this point a discussion of the dominant seventh chord in cadential position might be expected, but the examples soon convince us that the chord was as yet far from having a strictly cadential function. It leads up to the cadence without appearing in the cadence proper. Neither here nor at any other place of the treatise does the dominant seventh ever partake in the penultimate chord. The four-three suspension still holds an unchallenged monopoly of the final cadence. In the examples the dominant seventh is always prepared. Again, Coperario says nothing about the noteworthy harmonic context: two versions of essentially the same music are given, one with a conspicuous cross-relation (Ex. 18a), and another with a chromatic progression (Ex. 18b).



The two notes forming the cross-relation appear in the second version in the same voice and thus cause the chromatic step. Another example (Ex. 18c) is remarkable for the clash between e-natural and e-flat, a friction involving a simultaneous cross-relation, so typical of English music.

Section IVd, which concludes the fourth part, takes up syncopation in the bass (fols. 34v-36). Coperario himself stresses the difference between the former sections and the present one by stating: "Hetherto the other parts have heldd uppon the Bass, now the Bass holds uppon the rest of parts." This would seem to be an appropriate place for the discussion of the only regular suspension not previously dealt with, namely the suspended second in the lowest voice resolving to the third below. Actually, however, the combination is not even alluded to though it appears incidentally in one of the examples. Coperario is concerned mainly with the circumstance that the bass "goes against the time" or

the tactus and cares little whether or not the resulting syncopes are dissonant. Only if the bass descends can it form a normal suspension, yet even under this condition the strangest combinations may result. The first illustration (Ex. 19) shows some





very peculiar dissonances. It would be far fetched to justify them as suspensions because the laws of suspensions are honored here more in the breach than in the observance. A more plausible explanation would be to regard them as survivals of the archaic syncopation dissonance. This may be seen in the fact that normal and consonant progressions could be restored if the outer voices were pushed back a half note. The other examples demonstrate various forms of consonant syncopation with its familiar alternation of fifths and sixths in sequence. The illustration on fol. 35v (Ex. 20) has little in



Example 20

common with the general topic of the section as the dissonances shown here arise from passing minims, not from syncopation.

By way of conclusion our attention may be directed to a small, if diverting, point in Coperario's language. On fol. 35 the tenor is said to come in "a halfe note" after the bass, in other words half a semibreve later. While the term is evidently bound up with the unit of the tactus it nevertheless coincides with modern American usage. What is more, Coperario does not stand alone in this respect: Charles Butler avails himself of the same term in the same context.<sup>49</sup> Thus two reputable Englishmen are seen to adopt a word which our British confreres object to so ardently as utterly foreign and un-English. At the risk of making them "quaver" the point must be made that for better or for worse the term has most respectable and unexceptionable English ancestors.

### V. IMITATION (fols. 36v-40)

The fifth and last part of the treatise is entitled "How to maintayne a Fuge" and discusses a topic that evidently can be taken up only after suspensions have been covered. As before, Coperario makes no effort to be pedagogical. He starts in directly with imitation in four voices which a beginning student would find very difficult. Several of Coperario's terms call for an explanation. First the term fugue itself: it could mean either canon or imitation, but is employed here only in the latter sense. A motive used in imitation was known as "point" -a translation of the Italian punto.<sup>50</sup> A composer writing in imitation could thus literally be said to "make a point." The entry of the consequent voice or the reiteration of the point in the various voices was termed "report." Coperario speaks of it only once in passing (fol. 39v), but Butler gives a full definition.51

Coperario's rules of imitation are as brief as they are general and would hardly be suitable for the tyro in their lack of specific directions. Nevertheless Coperario covers more ground than is immediately apparent since he manages to touch on three kinds of imitation. He advises the student to examine the voices and see which of them may begin first. The



Example 21

entries should follow each other in quick succession "for to sooner you bring in your parts with the fuge, to more better will it shewe." The remark implies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> The Principles of Musik, London, 1636, p. 64. In another context Butler as well as Morley speak of whole notes and half notes in the sense of major and minor seconds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Vicentino, op. cit., fol. 79v. <sup>51</sup> Butler, op. cit., p. 72.

that closely spaced imitation or what we now call stretto was regarded as a nicety of composition. In the music of the 16th century overlap of points had an important function not only in climactic sections of the composition but also in the opening statement. Coperario illustrates his "point" by grouping the four voices in two pairs which state the same music at different times (Ex. 21). In each pair the consequent voice enters before the first one has finished stating the subject. This imitation with overlap obtains in all examples of the first section (fols. 36v-38). The consequent voice may enter with the first part sounding either the octave, fifth, third, or unison. These intervals should not be confused with the intervals at which the voices may imitate. Coperario says nothing about the latter, but in his examples he admits imitation at no other intervals



than the octave (unison) and fifth. If a point is brought in twice in succession (fol. 37v-38) the imitative entries should be set off by a rest. This is sound advice since such a rest is indeed "a great grace to a part, and to a fuge." In his illustration Coperario makes use of the same motive as in Ex. 21 and presents it in expanded form with slightly changed counterpoint.

The second kind of imitation, that without overlap, may be written if the points do not lend themselves to more compact treatment (fols. 38v-39, Ex. 22). It is technically easier than the first kind, and Coperario's wording suggests that he thinks of it as a somewhat inferior solution to be adopted only faute de mieux. A note of warning is added that the subject must not be long lest the distances between the entries grow too wide and the music become tedious or "too single."

If the subject proceeds in slow note values, or if it is unsuitable for overlap "because of the hardness of the report" a third kind of imitation, that with countersubjects, may be employed (fols. 39v-40). This type is called "double fuge." The rules do not add anything new except for the direction that the countersubject should be set off from the main subject by a short rest (Ex. 23).<sup>52</sup> It should be noted



Example 23

that, contrary to expectation, the two subjects are not invertible (note the fifth after the second bar line in Ex. 23). Coperario does not even raise the question of invertible counterpoint although he extols the writing of "double fuges" as a sign of "great art." In his final remark about imitation he

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  In the transcription the bar lines have been adjusted to an even 4/2 time which Coperario uses himself toward the end of the example. The partitions of Coperario's score do not represent bar lines in the modern sense although they may often coincide with them.

strikes a somewhat nostalgic tone revealing that the end of a certain musical phase had been reached: "there hath so many been made alreadie, as that hardlie one shall invente a single reporte to be easilie, and sweetlie brought in, butt it hath alreadie bene invented before."

The examples of the last part are more complete and self-contained than any of the other parts. It must be admitted, however, that the part writing is at times more labored than fluent and when the composer speaks of "forcing the parts to agree' his description may be just a shade too literally true. The musical examples look very much like the opening sections of fancies. Although the fancy is never mentioned it seems fairly clear that Coperario had the fancy in mind when he wrote the part on imitation. Also the themes with their characteristic repeated notes in the rhythm of the canzona suggest the fancy. It remains to be seen whether any of the examples in the treatise are borrowed from actual compositions.

### summary

If we look back over the treatise as a whole we discover a number of fundamental discrepancies both in subject matter and method of presentation. The first three parts deal with elementary topics while the last two go into special fields in a specialized manner. If the treatise was intended for the instruction of beginners it would serve its purpose only moderately well since too many things are taken for granted, not to mention the four-part examples which presuppose a solid knowledge. Needless to add, if we consider what is really involved in composition the Rules no more teach "how to compose" than most treatises on the subject do. A student was expected to grow up in and with music and constantly refer to his practical experience. A good case could be made for the thesis that the Rules are a textbook for the teacher, that they give a summary compiled for Coperario's own use in the instruction of Egerton (or possibly Prince Henry). This thesis would explain the omission of such topics as could be easily supplied by oral instruction.

More significant than the discrepancy in subject matter is the conflict of ideas which comes to light in the manner of presentation and which reaches down to the roots of Coperario's position. We have seen that new concepts appear in traditional guise and vice versa. What makes the treatise so important historically is the novel approach to certain principles of composition. Emphasis on the bass is as it were the *leitmotiv* which is sounded at the very beginning and which later on governs the order of the examples. We witness harmonic concepts in statu nascendi and see how they gradually pervade the musical thinking of the time. The rise of harmonic interest becomes even more apparent in the central part dealing with suspensions. No theorist before Coperario has codified suspensions in so rich a harmonic context. Unfortunately, he hardly ever discusses or justifies the unusual and often highly dissonant combinations, but merely sets them down. It is only the examples that make the treatise an advanced document of musical theory. There is no hint that Coperario was really conscious of a radical change of principles such as that proclaimed by Monteverdi in his programmatic statement about the seconda prattica.<sup>53</sup> The progressive ideas appear as it were only in their molting stage. They must be seen against the conservative countercurrents of which we list only the following: (1) Coperario has as yet no conception of chords and accounts for them as combination of intervals; (2) he considers the dissonance mainly in terms of suspensions (though he includes unprepared dissonances in his examples); and (3) his discussion of imitation shows continued interest in polyphonic texture (though the second part of the treatise points in the opposite direction). In the three points just outlined Coperario's attitude is essentially that of a Renaissance composer in spite of the fact that some of his musical examples militate against such classification.

These observations bring us to the decisive point: Coperario was evidently not yet in the position to resolve the conflict between progressive and traditional ideas and this inability reflects the conflict of his own historical situation. Coexistence of contradictory concepts is the hallmark of transition periods. What is true of Coperario's musical style is equally true of his treatise. Both betray the signs of a transition period. If Coperario is accused of wavering between two epochs he certainly stands convicted. But his inconsistency applies to his music as well as his theory so that in his inconsistency at least he was consistent.

## campion and coperario

It has been the purpose of the foregoing commentary to describe and assess Coperario's contribution to musical theory. In the course of the dis-

<sup>53</sup> Monteverdi's proclamation and the commentary of his brother may be found in translation in *Source Readings*, p. 405. cussion certain theorists have been cited who may have influenced Coperario, but in no case could a direct dependence be proved. There are, however, some very striking, and certainly unexpected parallels between Coperario's Rules and A New Way Of Making Foure Parts in Counter-Point by Thomas Campion<sup>54</sup> which can be explained only by direct borrowing. Campion's little pamphlet has remained relatively unknown in the shadow of his more famous works. Its date of publication is uncertain,<sup>55</sup> but since the author was an almost exact contemporary of Coperario-he died six years before the latter in 1620—it may have been written at about the same time as the Rules. We recall that Coperario and Campion must have met at the very latest in 1612, the year Prince Henry died, but their acquaintance may go back many more years. However this may be, there can be no question that their treatises are directly related. This fact can be explained in three possible ways: (1) they may have worked out certain topics together; (2) the one may have borrowed from the other; or (3) both may have borrowed independently from a third source, at present unknown.

Campion admits in his New Way (p. 218) that he is dependent to some degree on the German theorist and composer Sethus Calvisius, the author of several important treatises.<sup>56</sup> No title is mentioned, but the work in question can be easily determined: nearly all examples of the third part (pp. 219ff.) are copied verbatim from Calvisius's main treatise MEAOHOHA sive Melodiae condendae ratio (1592). Since it was customary to borrow without express acknowledgement it is not surprising to find that certain other passages are not original, but go back to Zarlino as well as Calvisius. However, none of these recurs in Coperario's treatise.

The correspondence between the *Rules* and the *New Way* comes to light most clearly in two passages. The first of these is the brief section at the bottom of fol. 3v advising against falling from the octave to a sixth in similar motion. The last two of Coperario's examples exactly duplicate those cited by Campion (p. 220), but Campion's text differs somewhat. It reads: "Note here that it is not good to fall with the Base, being sharpe in *F* from an eight unto a sixt." This explanation adds an important qualification to what Coperario has loosely stated as a general rule. Campion forbids the progression only in those cases where the bass is sharp. With

this restriction the rule makes much better sense than in Coperario's version and it is quite possible that Coperario omitted the qualification by mistake.

The second parallel is found on fol. 4 of the Rules and pp. 210-211 of the New Way. It should be noted that the two parallel sections stand directly together in the Rules as though they were continuous, whereas they come at widely separated places in the New Way. The concordance applies this time not only to the examples but also to the wording. The first five examples of cadences of fol. 4 are identical with those given by Campion. The latter presents them in a different sequence and adds an alternate version without ornamental resolution, but the music is nevertheless the same. Campion's version of the text runs: ". . . the Base intends a close as often as it riseth a fift, third or second and then immediately either falls a fift, or riseth a fourth. In like manner if the Base falls a fourth or second: and after falls a fift, the Base insinuates a close, and in all these cases the part must hold, that in holding can use the fourth or eleaventh, and so pass either into the third or tenth." It will be obvious upon comparison that the one version merely slightly paraphrases the other. Certain single words have been changed, e.g. "insinuates" takes the place of "means to," either in the desire for elegant variation or perhaps even in an attempt to cover up the sameness of wording.

There are a few other and less conclusive analogies which the reader may discover for himself and which need no discussion here as they do not essentially change the picture. Much more significant is another parallel in a very fundamental matter: the treatises agree in considering the bass as the principal voice of the musical setting. This is indicated externally in the fact that Campion, too, groups his examples in the order of bass progressions. But as to the recognition of the bass Campion leaves Coperario far behind. He begins his treatise with a brief discussion of the four parts in the course of which the bass is described as "the foundation of the whole song" (p. 195). This passage is taken straight out of Zarlino<sup>57</sup> and Calvisius. Campion then continues on his own and pursues the idea in a most radical manner: "Having now demonstrated that there are in all but foure parts, and that the Base is the foundation of the other three, I assume that the true sight and judgement of the upper three must proceed from the lowest, which is the Base." He inserts a little historical aside to the effect that in former times the tenor was the principal voice and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Reprinted in Campion's Works, ed. Percival Vivian, Oxford, 1909. The page numbers quoted in the following refer to this edition. <sup>55</sup> Vivian tentatively suggests a date of c. 1617, but gives no definite reason for his assumption. <sup>56</sup> For a general survey see K. Benndorf, "Sethus Calvisius als Musiktheoretiker," in Vierteljahrsschrift für Musikwissenschaft X (1894), p. 411.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Zarlino (op. cit., III, 58, p. 239) calls the bass "fondamento dell' harmonia," but, unlike Campion, he draws no conclusions from this designation and still regards the tenor as the central voice.

"theame"<sup>58</sup> to which the other parts had to be adapted. But to Campion this practice is contrary to the "true nature of Musicke." "I will plainely convince by demonstration that contrary to some opinions the Base containes in it both the Aire and true judgement of the Key, expressing how any man at the first sight may view in it all the other parts in their originall essence" (p. 195). This is indeed a new and revolutionary thought, stated in strong language. Campion seems to be fully aware of his break with tradition. He justifies the elevation of the bass to the rank of the principal voice by a reasoned account and does not merely imply it by way of examples, as Coperario does in the *Rules*. Thus Campion draws a distinct line between his own concepts and those of the musical Renaissance.

To complete the picture, another and even more astonishing passage relating to inversions must be quoted. Campion recognizes not only the inversion of intervals (p. 201)<sup>59</sup> but also that of chords, at least in principle. In his discussion of "sharp" notes in the bass he makes the following pronouncement: "if the Base shall use a sharpe, as in F sharpe; then we must take the sixt of necessity, but the eight to the Base may not be used, so that exception is to be taken against our rule of Counterpoint; To which I answere thus, first, such Bases are not true Bases,<sup>60</sup> for where a sixt is to be taken, either in F sharpe, or in E sharpe [natural], or in B or in A the true Base is a third lower,<sup>60</sup> F sharpe in D, E in C, B in G, Ain F, as for example [Ex. 24]" (p. 204).



Thus spake Campion anticipating in essence the doctrine of the inversion of chords. To be sure, he states it purely in terms of intervals, yet his assertion that the lowest note of a sixth chord is not the real bass, the true fundamental being a third lower, gives theoretical recognition to the principle underlying the doctrine. Campion was ahead of his time by more than a century, and his idea was to remain without consequence historically until it was rediscovered by Rameau. How Campion arrived at the idea is a mystery which calls for a most careful scrutiny and re-examination of his treatise.

To return now to the comparison of the New Way with the Rules: it is obvious that while they both stress the function of the bass they do so in very different fashion. Compared with Campion's radicalism Coperario's position seems almost weak and conciliatory. In spite of this difference, however, the treatises are undoubtedly directly related and thus raise the question who borrowed from whom. Unfortunately, all inferences that can be drawn in this matter rest on shaky foundations and are inconclusive. Campion talks in the first person singular and is not a little proud of himself when he says for example: "might I be mine own Judge, I had effected more in Counterpoint, then any man before me" (p. 201). The passage refers to his "in-fallible rule" of counterpoint, not to his recognition of the bass, but in general his is not the language of an imitator. His ideas are so highly original and radical that one is tempted to assume that only a musical amateur, such as Campion was, would rush in where theorists fear to tread, not fully realizing the revolutionary effect the ideas could have. Campion is certainly the more articulate of the two; he presents a logical explanation while Coperario dispenses with verbal comments and operates mostly with examples. These observations seem to support Campion's claim to priority. If this be true, it must have been Coperario who borrowed. In this case the Rules would lose much of their originality. Coperario may have copied some examples from two different places of the New Way and put them down in the Rules in direct succession. Of course, the or der of examples proves nothing in itself, but under the above premise it would find a simple explanation.

However, there is another consideration which cannot be said to speak in favor of Campion. The two treatises stand in a reciprocal relation with regard to progressive and conservative features. Campion is definitely more explicit and advanced in his theoretical statements about the leading function of the bass, but when it comes to practical music he is no match for Coperario. All that he teaches in his "infallible" method is the plain note-against-note setting ("counterpoint") by means of an arithmetical rule of thumb which automatically assures contrary motion in perfect triads. His musical examples are extremely conservative and hardly equal even the second part of the Rules. In addition, Campion takes exception to cross-relations which he defines as four notes "the one being considered crosse with the other" (p. 220). He faithfully echoes the conservative ideas of Zarlino in as much as his examples

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> This is an early instance where "theme" is used as a musical term in the English language. The only earlier instance recorded in Murray's New English Dictionary is Morley, op. cit., p. 86, but with Morley the word is still a metaphor borrowed from rhetoric. The term was known also in Italian, see Zarlino, op. cit., III, 55, p. 228. <sup>59</sup> The passage is not entirely clear; Campion refers to intervals that are found "in sight" under the bass and read an octave higher,—a practice highly reminiscent of English discant. <sup>60</sup> Italics not in the original print.

are copied literally from Calvisius who in turn merely paraphrases what Zarlino says about the subject.

Coperario, on the other hand, is much less radical in his theoretical recognition of the bass, but much more progressive in his musical examples. The unresolved conflict of ideas which characterizes the *Rules* is no less pronounced in the *New Way*. Campion's treatise, too, shows the signs of a transition period, but the emphasis is exactly reversed: Campion holds the stronger position with respect to theoretical recognition, Coperario with respect to practical application. Now, if one assumes that practice precedes theory, as it usually does, Coperario may have the priority after all. We do not have at present enough historical information to arrive at a definite conclusion. Whatever the final decision may be, the one treatise certainly complements the other, and now that the *Rules* are available for study we are put in the position to understand more fully the contradictory trends in musical theory at the beginning of the 17th century.

## manuscript

ø





18:10 Pr:3. 8. Giobanni Obrario. Lules how to Compose gerton & Bridgewater

Concords from the Bafs uppward Hlamic i folfaut Dlafolre Frant the 5 6 Gfolreut Bfabmi Golfaut. Flam ; the 3 Afaut Bfabri: Dlafelre, the 3 5 6 Elami Gfølreut Alamire ifolfaut, the Dlafstre Haut Gfolreut. Bfalomi Sthe Cfolfaut A ami ifaut... Alamire, the Bfalomi Gamut; or Sthe 35,0 Dlajohre. Elami.

Concords from Canto downeward D'la solve Bfabri Atlamire. Ffaut ? the ? Gfolfaut Alamire Elami S the 3 Holvent Dlafstre, the 3, Bfabmi if solvent Cfolfaut the 3 Alamire ffaut Elami. G folrent Elami Dlafolre Bfallowi 2 the 3 ffaut. Alamire the 3 Dlafotre Golfaut. Gfolvent of the 30 Élami ifolfaut Bfabai.

A vnifon is good fo it be in a minim, or a chrochett butt a vnifon is better fo the one hold, and the other be going from thence.

Dertect chords

7 : 5 : b. B: ochuves io: 17 . 12 : 19: 13: 20: 15 : 22 :



octaves

Two eights, and two fifts, ore their obtaves are unlawful.

What chords parts are to ofe. if Canto vfe the 8, Alto vfes the 5, Tenor othe 3.

if Canto upe the 12, Alto upes the io, Tenor the B

if Canto ver the io; Alto ver the o, Tenor the s.

if Canto vje the s, Alto vjes the 3, Tenor must vje the vnifon with the Bafs, or els's Alto maie vje the vnifon with Canto, and then Jenor must vje the 3.
How to com, from a Discord use a 4, or 11, your next note must be a 3, or io. if you Use a 9, your next note must be the 8. if you use a 7, your next note must be the d. if you if you ife a z, your next note must be the 3. if you ofe a fallfe fift, your next note must be the 3. in Diatonic Jongs, but the 8 is not to be taken in Diatonic Jongs, but the 8 underneath the io, or elfs the unifor of the 3. Meither is the s to be ufd, but the 6 in feed of the s. if the Jong be flate in Rfabri afcerd with Elami Sharpe, and defected with Elami flate, except it be a s, or 12. if Bafso rife a z, t, or fall as, or a Sharpe 3 then the is, or 3 if it ascend should be made sharpe. No pært ought to defeerd with ffaut, Golfaut, or Gfolvent Sharpe, neither ought you to defeerd with Bfabmi Sharpe, if the fong be flatt in Bfabmi, except chromatic fongs in the which of necessitie you shall be forced, by the reason they will descend sharpe, and ofe either 5, or 8. But in Jongs Diatonic you must shunn to defeerd with sharps in Ifart, cfilfart, giblreut, and Bfabmi sharpe Jo the Jong be flatt in Bfabmj.

Lules of vijing, and falling one with another It is not good to rife with the Bafs from a 12 unto an \$, or from as 6 unto a Mather is it good to fall with the Bajs from an o unto a 12, or from a 5 unto an o as for example. you ought to shunn for to vife with the Bafs from & d, unto an o, likewife you mare doe well in ghunning to fall with the Bass from an & vato a b. as for example.  $\frac{1}{2 + 4} + \frac{1}{2} +$ 

if Bafso meanes to make close. The Bafs meanes to make a close when he rifes a 5, 2, or 3, and then take a 5, or vifes a 4. Likewije if the Bafs fall a 4, or 2, and then fall a 5, he meanes to vse a close, then that part must hold, which in holding, car vie the 11, or & with the Bafs is the nget note rifing, or falling, and then you must use either the 7, or w. as for example "here the is is ofd J-NJ J the 3 is ufd. Here The holding configts in the 4, or 11

Uhat chorte parts are to ye in Contrapoinet. if the Bafi rife a =, Canto demands a io, next in s. Alto first an s, next as, Tenor first an B, next . if couldo use two is together, Alto uses an o, next carts when a viz, and aupt a is, Alto must whe the is, and then an s, TEnor must use the s, next the 5. if Carlto which the is, and night the 12, Alto must the 12, next the is, tenor must whe the is, next the g.

if the Bafs fall in = Canto maie first use the 8, next the io, Alto the s, next the 8, Texor the 3, next the s. if Canto first use the is, and next the 12, Alto demands first the 8, not the is, Jenor the s, next the 8. if Canto vfc two is together, Alto first demands the 5, next the 8, Tenor the 3, or 8, next the s. if Canto first whe the 12, nget the 15, Alto where first the is, next the re, Tenor uses the s, next the is.

if the Bals fall a = can't first main ife an 8, next a io, Alto main iste the s, next the 8, Tenor artes the =, next the s is canto are first the io, next the or, Elto ofes the 8, nost the is, tenor the , nost the 8. if Canto whe two is together, Alto first when s, next the 8, Tenor the 3, next the s. if canto first whe the 12, next the 15, Alto first when the is, next the 12, Jenor the 8, next the is. HU A **A**\_\_\_ 4 Ā

if the Bass rife a 3 Carto maie first vse theis, next the 8, Alto first the 8, next the 3, Jenor first the s, next the 3. if Canto first whe the 12, next the is, Alto first when the is, next the 8. Jenor the 8, next the s. if Canto vie two is together. Alto first vies the 8, next the s, Tenor uses the s, next the 3. if Canto whe first the is, next the 12, Alto ofes the 12, next the io, Fenor ofes first the io, next the 8. 1 7 4 4

if the Basis fall is 4 Canto first marie use an of next the is, Alto the s, next the s, Tenor the 3, next thes: if Canto tigt whe the is, night the 12, Alto must whe the o, next the is, Tenor the s, next the o. if Canto first use the s, next the is, Alto must ofe the 3, next the B. Tinos must just use the Unifon with the Bass, next the s. if casts ofe first the 12, next the 13, Alto desthe io, next the 12, tenor ofer the s, next the io. 0 1 0 1 9 

·....

if the Bass vije a & Carlo first maie vse the is, next the 8, Alto the 8, next the s, Teror the s next the 3. if Canto first whethe 12, next the is, Alto when the is, next the B, Tenor the B, next the s. if Canto first use the is, next the iz, Alto uses the iz, next the io, Tenor the io, next the o. if Canto first ofe the is, next the rz, Alto ofes The 12, next the is, Jenor the &, next the s 1 # 6

if the Bafs fall a s, you main the thefam chords, the which you ofe when the Bafs rifes 1, 1#0 ð

X

-

if the Bass rise a , you main ofe the fame chord's, the which you ofe when the Bass falls a f. v . d HJ 0 J -\* 3 10101 J

if the Bass fall an &, you mail mie lett Canto rife from the & unto the 17. Alto maic rife from the i vato the is to be hold his s, Tenor mare vije from the 3 unito the 12. Or elfs you mail lett your parts stand still, as lett Canto the 8, next the is, Alto the s, next the 12, Tenor the 3, next the is. The Bass falling it is not good for the inner parts for to fall with him, but when Bajso falls a parte maje rife, and it will shew well.

if the Bajs vife an 8, Canto first naic whe is, and negt the 8, or elfs holding the is next marie whe the io, Alto first when the iz, next the s, Tenor when the io, next the 3. The Bafs vifing, it is not good for any other parts for to rife with him, but when Baiso rifes another parts marie fall, and it will goe well. 0

A d'in contrapsicot is yed when the Bajs falls a 3, or rijes a 3, 2, or t. if the Bafs fall a 3 and then rife a z, Canto firt main type a is, can't the 13, Alto the 8, next the is, Ferror the s, next the if can'ts first use the 12, next the 13, Alto must use the is, next the 13, Tenor the 8, next the is. if Canto vfc first the s, next the is, Alto must whe the s, next the 8, Tenor the 3, next the b. if Canto ve two is together, Alto must ve first the 3, rest the 8, Tenor the 7, next the b. 010 400 -0 1014441 These rules shewing how to use a b in Contrapoint are onlie to be observed in minims, and chrochetty, je femibreves you must nott vie thefam.

if the Bass rife a 3 and then fall a z Canto first main where a 15, next the is, Alto the is, next the 8 he must divide, and then whe the is, Jenor the 8, next the s. if Canto whe the 13, next the 12, Alto must whe the 13, next the is, Tenor the is, next the 8 he must divide, and then who the is. then we the io. if Canto use two is together, Alto first mare use the 8 next the 5, Tenor the 6, next the 3. 46,404 The last example is faultie, and the fault is between Canto, and Alto in the 3 note: Alto vises with Canto vsing a false 4, wherefore you must use divide the second note in Alto, and cause him for to vise unto the io, and then com down, and whe the io, as it appeareth in the first example.

if the Bals rife finds for y notes together, Canto maie afeer with him wfing all is, Alto first must if an & scondlie a d, thirdlie a 3, next a s Tenor first a s, secondlie an o, thirdlie a d, next a 3. if Canto first vse the 15 secondlie the 13, thrivelie the io, next the 8, Alto must use io for three notes together, and then vse the 5, Tenor must vse the stightle Jecondie the 8, thirdlie the 8, next the 3.

× .

if the Bass vise a t, or fall a s and then fall a z, next rise a 3, Canto first maie use the 17, and secondlie, and third lie use two 13 together; and next the is. Allo first uses the 12, secondlicthe is and thirdlie the is, next the  $\theta$ , Tenor first uses the  $\theta$ , secondlie the 3, thirdlie the  $\theta$ , and next the s. if the Bass fall a s the sam is hikewise to be issuerved, as if the Bass should rife a t.

of Division.

if anie parte rife a 3 you maie divide the first note into equal notes of proportion, or elf you mare hold the first note with a pricke.



if anie part fall a 3 then you maie divide the first note into equal notes of proportion, or else you maie hold the first note with a pricke.

if arice part vife a 4, you maic divide your first note into three notes, the first note divided must be halfe, and the other two must be the other halfe or clips you maie hold the first with a pricke, and then the rust must be the quarter.

into three notes, and the first note must be hatte, and the rest must be the other halfe, or elfs you mare hold the first with a price, and let the other two be the quarter.

if arie part rife a s, the first note maie be divided into four notes, or elfs you maie hold the first and the third with prickes. but if they rife in quavers you mare not vice them with a pricke in a fonge.

×.

if any part fall a s you maie divide the first note into foure notes, or elfs you maie hold them, especiallie the first, and third note with pricker, occept it be in quavers.

if the Bafs rife a 3 in devision, Canto maie rife teaths with him Abto first must hold in the 8, next whe the 5 Tenor must first hold his 5, and next whe the 3. 1111.4 4 4 4 4 2: 9 9

if the Bafs fall a 3 in devision, Canto marie fall with him in io, Alto must hold first his 5 next vse the 0, Tenor must hold first the 3, and next vse the s.

if the Bass fall a + dividing his first note, Canto maie fall with him wfing is, and the vest of parts maie hold, or stirre go it be without faults. J. 0 0

if the Bass rife a q dividing his first note Canto mare use tenths, and fall with him, the rest of parts must hold. AF. X U 

if the Bafs fall offifte in devision, and com not unto a close, you must use in the rest of parts to sett unto the first note of the Bafs until he com to his fift note, and if the Bafs descend foure chrocchets you make use in the rest of parts a similareve, if quavers then a minim, Or 4 4 -0 3 0

•

•

Y

Or els if the Bass fall a s in devision lett the part which they the s unto the first note ufe the & unto the third note of the Bass. 0.0 

if the Bals rife as in Serion lett Canto ve the is, and hold and then the the io, Alto must afe the 12, and next the 8, Tenor the io next the s. 0 60 0 1000009 

if the Bafs vfe scribreves some of parts maie divide, and goe from the 3 in the 5, or from the s into the 8, or from the 8 into the 5, or from the s into the 3, or visc from the 8 volto the 15, and so they mare divide their semibreves either into crocheter, or quavers. 

of Ligatures if the Baferile a = how the if the Bafs rife 3, or + feconds, or after a Z rife a 3 or fall a q, or a 3 the part which yes the is must hold, and next ye the B. This holding is uppor a g. XB. 100J NB. 160 J 0 19 00000 0,000 The is must hold when the Bass afeends 3, or a Seconds, and then fills meanes to make a kinde of close uppon the third afeending note 

if the Bafs vife a z how the 12, or 5 mare hold. if the Bafs rife the Jeconde to and then is a t, or fall a s: or if the Bafs rife a z, and then fall a t, or s, or a gharpe 3, lett the part hold which uses the 12, or s, and then whe the io. This holding is uppon the q, and II. 1901000191000 10 10 10 10 10 10 The 12, or s holds when the Bafs rifes, and will have his second afecading note to be made a close. 

if the Bafs rife a z how the Small hold. if the Bafs rife a =, and there rife another thanks =: or if the Bafs rife a z, and then rife a z, or fall a z, litt the bart hold which if the B, or is, and then ye'a b, or 13; if the Bafs rife two z', and then fall a s. This holding is uppor a 7. 1102 LB. 00,0590 \$3. \*\*\*\*

03 if the Bals rife a Z how the O marie hold 20 if the Bafs rife a z, and then fall at meaning for to change the ayre, and to deferr a close let the 8 hold, and then use the b. This holding is uppor a >. 0 KB: NB. 20.04-

and then fill a 3 the B, or is maic hold and the proto the shirt at of the Bafs either the 3° is. Here the is holds vfing L'are the & holes ufing a 7. A 9. NR. ŧÝ J 0 ٢ 0 XB. 4 4
or chrocchests the 15, or 8 maic hold, and then whe worto the third note of the the 12 or S.

the holding is uppor a 7



if the Bafs rife two z in minims, or chrochder, and then fall a s, you mare use unto the jecond note of the Bags a b for a s. 40 1 4 4 4 0 ÷ KB. (). KB. ft. by a 0 104 2:,,,,,,,,,,,,

if the Bafs rife many z, lett the part which when the 5 divide, and there where a b, and so hold as it appeareth in the Jeror in the tollowing example. 00 10 10 0000000 xB. Jo Jo o o o q b o mo 1 1 000 0 0 0 0 0 

a =, or fall a =, a +, or a s lett your put which vfes the 1=, or s divide, and then ye a 6, or 13 holding. the fam he must vfe the is, or This holding is uppon the 11, and 4.



if the Bals rife a sharpe 3, the part which ofes the 12 must divide, and then ofe the 13, holding the fam the must next of the is. The part which fill ifes the 8 must hold and then defeered with the false fift Unto the 3. This holding, is uppon the 11. 4

if the Bafs rife a 4 , and then fall a z; or if the Bafe rife a + and then rifes another z, or fall a sharpe 7, or a s the part which where the is must hold, and then whe the b, as it appeareth in the two first scores: but in the three last the is holds and then vses the b saking downe to a 3 mading, a close. This colding is uppon the 7. \*9 , 9 0 1 , 9 0 0 , 9 0 0 J J 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

if the Bafs rife a 4, and ther fall a 3 the part which uses the is, or 17 maic hold, and then use the 13, or b. This holding is upon the 7. 1.4.1.1.4.0.4.0 4 0 19 10 0 100 4

if the Bafs rife a 5 and then fall a 3, 4, or s, or vite a 2, or 4 lett the part which when the 15, or 8 hold, and then ife a io, or 3. This holding is uppon the 11, and 't. NB. NB. 10x00 10x00 0 0 1 0 0- 1 001400 10 010.0010014000 

if the Bafs rife a & the part which vies the 12 must hold, and then vie the 13 This holding is uppon the >. \*B. \*0 10 J q ¢ 1.4.0 10 \$1.0 Ø Xt 0 0 0 1,00 -10

if the Baß rife a z, and then a 3 next falls a z and makes a close Canto is first to ye the is, and then the 13 NR. 4 0 ð 7 0 0 4 1/

if the Bafs fall a z, and then rife a t, or s or fall a 3, t, or s, the is or 3 must hold next ye the is, or 3 agayne. The holding is uppor the + , and 11. 

it the Bafs tall a sharpe = in famibreus and then rife a = lett the past which is the s, or 12 divide and use a 6, or 13 holding. the fam he must use a 6, or 13 agayne. This holding, is upper a faller. 000090401 0 XO N \$

if the Bafs fall a tharpe z in minims, or chroichets, and then tile a z agayne the fecond note of the Bajs demainds a b for .1.1.1.1.1.4.4

if the Bafs fall many seconds in femilireves or minims, the part which ofes the s must divide and then ofe the b, holding, the fam you must ofe the b agains, on till you com write the last the of the Bafs and then you must be the s, Øγ The holding is upper the >. J ø ð ð 0

Or if the Bafs fall manie fronds you maie beginn to devide with the d, and then vse the s, holding, thesam you must use the. I agayne agayne the holding is uppor the 6 0 W O

if the Bafs tall manie z in femibreves Canto main hold wring, is, and Tenor will beginn with a s, and then yee a b. This waie is ofd but feldome. This holding, is upon the 11. 000 0 NB 0-000 60000 J

if the Bafs fall a 3 and then fall a z, or vife a Sharpe z, the part which rsfes the s, or 12, muft hold, and then vse. the 1, or 13. This holding is uppor the 7.  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$ 

chrocchets and then rife a 3 in minims or chrocchets and then rife a 2 the part which ofer the 12, or 5 must held, and neget ofe a 12, or 5 agayne unto the 3 note of the Bafs This holding, is toppon a ? 1 0. + 8 NO N KB. WZ 14 ð

if the Bass fall a 4, the part which rfes the 8 must hold, and then whethe This holding, is uppon the 11, and q. <u><u><u></u></u></u> 

if the Bafs tall a s, and then fall a z, or vife a ghaspe z the 3, or is must hold and then ofe the b, or 17. fomctimes you maie choose ofseciallie if the Bass fall as in minims, or chrocehets, and then rife a 3 as it appeareth in Canto in the last crample. This holding is uppon the 7, and iq. 1.0.10.00.000 1999 00.100 1.04010010044444  $\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{10} \cdot$ 

if the Bags fall a s, and then rifes a 3 to make a close the is, or 3 mais hold and next when the 13, or b, a steror els the 3, or io, and then come wato the b, or 13 agayne. This holding, is verson the 19, and ?. 4 J XB.

It the Bafs fall a t, or rife as meaning for to made a staic the 8, or is must hold, and next whet the 7, or 10. if the Bafs fall a z, the io, or 3 must hold if the Bafs rife a z, then the 12, or 5 must hold. if the Bafsvije and the 17, or & must hold. This holding configts offon the q, and n.



How to use a falle fift. if the Bafs fall a Sharpe 3, and then vife a z, the part which ofes the 3, or is mufhold, and then com unto the is, or 3 agayne. if the Bafs rife a Sharpe z, and then rife another z, the part which uses the 8, or 13 muft hold, and then whe the 3, or io. This holding is uppon the falle 5. 1.00.000 40.000 00 0 10-1010 10 10911 H0 10 J 1

.

How to ye is, and & together. if the Bafs rife a z"then the d, or 13 must hold, and ther whe the u, or & then hilding the fam you must whe the is, or 3, the other & must rife a z, and night thes. if the Bass fall a 3 the is, or 3 maie hold and then is the u, or 4 to com who the 7, or is going the other 3 must vife a 2, and next whe the s. if the Bats rife a q then the part which uses the 8 or is must hold, and then whe the u, or y to com who the z or is hilding, the part which where the is must then whe the s, next the s. 1000 NB. NB. NB. 0104010 in the two last feores you must note the Bals holding of his first note, and the next is a minim. in the first (of the two last examples) the Bals rifes az, and then falls a s. ja the last the Bags rifes a 4, and in these two the b, and s are ufd both together in Jeverall parts, and cleane contrarie to the other three first examples.

How to use the b in stee of a s in a close. The b in steed of the s is most commonlie of if the Bass vife to his close with seconds, or fall a z as it appeareth in the third score. SB. 4 ð SB. 0 1 0 4 NB. 0 1.1 H 10 H. 0 4

How to ife a 7. ilf the Bafs fall a z, 7, or s, or rife a z, or a t, meaning to make a close, that part which in rolaing can whice the 7, or 14 with the Bafs in the next note rifing, or falling, and next the b, and then the s. 010 0 11 90 XB. XB. Odoodooo B. D TOR ON ON .0



what choras are to be usa when the Bass descends seconds, and goes adainst the time holding. his notes. if the Bags descend seconds lett Canto ofe all is, and Alto, and Tenor must goe as many 3 and & together to themselves as possibly they thall be able. 2.10 1.1 Hetherto the other parts have helded uppon the Bafe, now the Bafs holds uppon the regt of parts.

Another ware if the Baft fall manie uneven Jeconds. Canto still must goe is with the Baft, and Tenor come after the Bafs a halfe note first using a s, and then a b. Alto will be forced to take many unifons with the rest of party, by the reason of his going thorough all the parts. 4. 4 WO

if the Bags defeend feconds, and hold nis first note, and the rest be minims, you main afcend in Canto either from the 12, io, or 8 Unto the 15 and hold untill you can use the 17, and then descend with the Bass in 17. - 1· 1 9 9 11 0.0

what chords are to be ufd, when the Bafs afcends Jeconds, and goes against the time, holding hris notes. if the Bafs afcend feconds, lett Canto of call io, and afcerd with him, and Tenor must first of the 5, and next the b, and must for with the time contrarie to the Bafses time. 100000 -0 0

How to maintayne a fuge. when you have chosen your fuge, you must examine all your barts, and see which of them make beginn first, for to sooner you bring in your parts with the fuge, to more. better will it shewe. After the leading part your fuges either must be brought in uppon's, 8, 3, or unifon, and then looke or your two leading parts where you make bring in the 3 part, and then you must led them three goe together, until the 4 part be brought in, being brought in you must contrive it to as that you mail convenientlie come to a close, and to leave the fuge, and goe to fome other ayre, or elfs fome other fuge. 7 After the first point is finished by the Bass, or before if it possible, if you will maintayne another, then what part soever be leader the rigt of parts must helpe to full, and you must make a Bafs of purpose for to agree with the leading fuge, and lett one part rest after another, so there be three parts still going.

Another Example.





if you will twike ofe the fuge in all the parts, thence you must after the Bass once hath off the fuge, frame him of purpose according to the parte wherin you we the fuge, with all you must observe, that your parte mais rest rest before his comming in with the fuge, which is a great grace to a part, and to the fuge. 100



if a point be long, and techious by the venfor you if a point be long, and techious by the hardness of the report to be brought in fuddentye, you must invent another point to goe with him, first you must rest, and then com in vefor 5, 3, or 8, or unifor, with any other you must not com in, and then you must use 3, and d: a is, and 8 you mais ye for you com unto a 3, or 6 instantize agayne: then you must frame two parts in Jush forte, that fo foone as shall be possible to bring in your other two resting parts.
This fuffice of maintagning of Souble fuges is most util of Exactlent autdors, for in fingle fuges there can no fuch great art be shewed butt on the in the invention thereof: Befides' there hath so many bene made alreadie, as that hardlie one shall invente a fingle reporte to be eafilie, and sweetlie brought in, butt it hath alreadie bene invented before. Another Example. 4..... 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 11110





300 copies of Rules How to Compose published by ERNEST E. GOTTLIEB have been designed and produced at the COLE-HOLMQUIST PRESS Los Angeles

The text of 'Rules How to Compose' is set in Kenntonian and Libra Light. The facsimile pages are lithographed. The paper stock is Cortlea book and Charcol paper

۵ .

.

·







f781.61 C788

MT 40 .C75 1952

Not the but the pose

Coperario, John, 1570 (ca.)-1626.

Rules how to compose

284211