



UNIVERSITY OF  
ILLINOIS LIBRARY  
AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN  
ENGINEERING

**NOTICE: Return or renew all Library Materials! The Minimum Fee for  
each Lost Book is \$50.00.**

**JUL 06 1988**

The person charging this material is responsible for  
its return to the library from which it was withdrawn  
on or before the **Latest Date** stamped below.

**Theft, mutilation, and underlining of books are reasons for disciplinary action and may result in dismissal from the University.**

To renew call Telephone Center 333-6400

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS LIBRARIES URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

L161—O-1096



74  
3C  
49

Engin

CAC Document No. 249

Scientific and Technical Personnel in Energy-Related Activities: Current Situation and Future Requirements

by

Hugh Folk  
Robert DauffenBach  
Jack Fiorito  
Doug Gilmore  
Wallace Hendricks  
Thomas P. Milke  
Ronald Seeber

THE LIBRARY OF THE

2 1980

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

Center for Advanced Computation  
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

July 1, 1977

This material is based on research supported by the  
National Science Foundation under Contract C-1046

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations  
expressed in this publication are those of the authors and  
do not necessarily reflect the views of the  
National Science Foundation



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2012 with funding from  
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

<http://archive.org/details/scientifictechni249folk>

## Errata

The numerator and denominator used to calculate percentage energy-related employment in 1974 are not strictly comparable. The employment requirements in the numerator correspond to estimates based on the Limited Imports scenario, while the denominator is based on the BLS' estimate of actual economic activity in 1974. These estimates were prepared to provide a rough "benchmark" for comparison with 1980 and 1985 projection. Actual levels (and mix) of economic activity in 1974, as estimated by BLS, are closely, but not strictly, comparable to the scenario values, since the scenario was not in effect. The Limited Imports scenario was used because it corresponds to actual activity more closely than the Free Imports or Synthetics scenarios.

Due to data availability constraints, the estimates on p. 204 are the best that could be made, but they should be interpreted with caution. In effect, the estimated percentage of petroleum engineers in energy-related employment, 114.2%, suggests that virtually all petroleum engineers were engaged in energy-related employment, but does not in itself constitute evidence of a shortage.

Jack Fiorito  
Research Associate  
Center for Advanced  
Computation  
October 17, 1977



## Acknowledgements

Scientific and Technical Personnel in Energy-Related Activities: Current Situation and Future Requirements

Many CAC members worked on this project. Robert Dautenbach supervised the surveys and data analysis. The Current Situation Survey was led by Jack Fiorito, and the Projection Survey by Ronald Knecht. Wallace Hendricks and John Hackmann participated in the pretest study effort. Alice Ray, Richard Roistacher, and John Hackmann participated in sample and questionnaire design. Response coding and editing was led by Louise Holmgren, who wrote the appendix on survey method and coding. The interviews and data coding were supervised by Louise Holmgren, Cneri McGhee, Ronald Seeger, and Michael Witte. Interview and coding staff included: Janet Balin, Betsy Banks, Ann Blanchard, Richard Broudy, Nancy Clarke, Barry Goldberg, Mike Grotto, Steve Hirsh, Catherine Kaplinski, Iva Karno, Paul Knight, Dominic Lucenta, Mary Metcalf, Sandy Milin, Terry Pasteris, Ken Slivken, and Jim Stewart.

Hugh Folk  
Robert Dautenbach  
Jack Fiorito  
Doug Gilmore  
Wallace Hendricks  
Thomas F. Milke  
Ronald Seeger

Thomas Milke designed and supervised the coding of the data entry and analysis programs. Janet Finin assisted in the programming. Model development was led by Clark Bullard, assisted by David Pilati (who prepared the free imports and technical fix energy supply matrices), and Donna Amado as programmer. Doug Gilmore designed and coded the computer system used in the projections. He was assisted by Robert Schulman and You Bae Kim. Sandy McGhee and Hattie Price assisted in typing the final report.

John Kutschner, Neal Rosenthal, Charles Bowman, Joel Segaloff, Karen Horwitz, and John Tschetter of the Bureau of Labor Statistics were generous with their time and assistance in providing the data vital to the study and in improving our knowledge of the subject. BLS believed in freedom of information before the law was passed.

Joel Barries of the National Science Foundation was a continually helpful and unfailingly patient and encouraging monitor of the project.

The hundreds of individual respondents who answered the surveys and submitted to interviews must be thanked.

Authorship of a complex joint effort such as this is difficult to assign accurately. Jack Fiorito wrote most of Chapter 2 and was assisted on parts of it by Ron Seeger. Doug Gilmore, Thomas Milke, Robert Dautenbach, and Wallace Hendricks wrote parts of Chapter 3. Robert Dautenbach wrote most of Chapter 4 with the assistance of Jack Fiorito on Section 4.10. Hugh Folk wrote Chapter 1 and much of Chapter 3.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent the views of the National Science Foundation or the University of Illinois.



## Table of Contents

### Table of Contents (cont.)

Acknowledgements.....	11
Table of Contents.....	111
Principal Findings: Current Situation.....	v
Principal Findings: Future Requirements.....	vii
1. - Introduction and Summary of Findings.....	1
1.1 - Introduction.....	1
1.1.1 - Definition of Energy Related Activities.....	2
1.1.2 - Definition of Scientific and Technical Personnel.....	6
1.1.3 - Shortages of Scientific and Technical Personnel.....	8
1.1.4 - Reactions to Shortage.....	12
1.1.5 - Projecting SIP Requirements.....	13
1.1.6 - Projections and Policy.....	15
1.1.7 - Energy Policy .....	16
1.1.8 - Projection Model.....	19
1.1.9 - Computer System.....	20
1.2 - Summary of Results.....	21
1.2.1 - Current SIP Labor market conditions.....	21
1.2.2 - Summary of SIP Projections.....	29
2 - Current SIP Labor Market Conditions	41
2.1 - Introduction.....	41
2.1.1 - Occupations Included.....	44
2.1.2 - Data Source and Type.....	45
2.1.3 - Indicators.....	46
2.1.4 - General Conditions.....	47
2.1.5 - 1972 as a Reference Year.....	48
2.2 - Occupation-specific labor market conditions for SIP	56
2.2.1 - Introduction.....	56
2.2.2 - Salary Offers.....	56
2.2.3 - Acceptance Ratios.....	65
2.2.4 - Quits and Vacancies.....	69
2.2.5 - Summary Results.....	73
2.3 - Conditions for SIP in Energy-Related Industries	76
2.3.1 - Introduction.....	76
2.3.2 - Employer actions.....	76
2.3.3 - Overtime.....	78
2.3.4 - Resigning jobs.....	78
2.3.5 - Reducing qualifications.....	80
2.3.6 - Sub-contracting.....	83
2.3.7 - Temporary SIP.....	83
2.3.8 - Borrowing or transferring SIP from other divisions or locations.....	85
2.3.9 - Borrowing SIP from other firms.....	88
2.3.10 - Training costs.....	88
2.3.11 - Delaying or cancelling production.....	90
2.3.12 - Summary of employer actions.....	90
2.3.13 - Retraining SIP.....	96
2.3.14 - Interviews of college and university technical graduates.....	98
2.3.15 - Personnel used for recruiting.....	99
2.3.16 - Using managerial or technical staff for recruiting.....	99
3 - Projection Method.....	125
3.1 - Outline of the Model.....	123
3.1.1 - Energy scenarios.....	125
3.1.2 - GNP Projections.....	129
3.1.3 - Final Demand Patterns.....	130
3.1.4 - Input-Output Model.....	136
3.1.4.1 - Conversion to Domestic Base	143
3.1.4.2 - Energy Supply to Energy Product Sectors.....	146
3.1.4.3 - Energy Products to Non-Energy Industries.....	150
3.1.5 - SIP Coefficients.....	151
3.1.5.1 - Estimation of SIP Coefficients.....	151
3.1.5.2 - The Variability of SIP Coefficients.....	154
3.1.5.3 - Implications for manpower Projections.....	159
3.2 - Energy Scenarios and Technology.....	160
3.3 - Energy-Related Activities.....	161
4 - Projection of SIP requirements.....	165
4.1 - On Comparing Projections.....	165
4.2 - Projections of Total Private SIP Requirements.....	170
4.3 - Projections of Energy Construction Requirements.....	178
4.4 - Projections of Employment for Energy Construction Materials.....	181
4.5 - Projections of Employment for Energy Production.....	186
4.6 - Projections of Employment for Inputs to Energy Production.....	190
4.7 - Total Private Energy-Related Employment.....	193
4.8 - Private Energy-Related SIP Employment as Percentage of Total Private SIP.....	196
4.9 - Detailed Occupation and Industry Projections.....	205
4.10 - Comparison with Other Studies.....	241
4.10.1 - Introduction.....	241
4.10.2 - Bureau of Labor Statistics Projections.....	241
4.10.3 - National Planning Association Study.....	247
4.10.4 - NSF/Department of Commerce Study.....	251
Appendix A: Sample Construction.....	253
Appendix B: Survey Administration.....	279
Appendix C: Questionnaire Forms.....	293



## **PRINCIPAL FINDINGS: Current situation**

- 1. A survey was conducted of establishment in energy-related activities. Evidence of possible excess demand for specific scientific and technical personnel (STP) occupations can be found in salary changes, acceptance-to-offer ratios, and vacancy and quit rates.**

  - a. Starting salaries for new graduates increased less rapidly than the consumer price index over the period 1972-1975. Average annual salary increases were highest for Petroleum, Mechanical, and Chemical engineers among the engineering occupations; for Physicists, Geologists, and Chemists among the scientific occupations; and for Biological, Other, and Surveyors among the technician occupations.
  - b. The ratio of acceptances to offers for STP declined for all STP occupations combined. The ratio declined most for Mining, Metallurgical, Materials, and Ceramic, and Petroleum Engineers among the engineering occupations; for Agriculturists, Chemists, and Meteorologists among the scientific occupations; and for Draftsmen, Other, and Electrical/Electronic technicians among the technician occupations.
  - c. Quit rates and vacancy rates were highest for Nuclear, Mining, Chemical, and Industrial Engineers among the engineering occupations; Other scientists; and for Draftsmen, Chemical, and Mechanical Technicians among the technician occupations.

**2. The survey results for establishments classified by industry investigated employment and utilization of scientific and technical personnel (STP) during the period 1972-1975. In 1975, compared to 1972, for all industry combined there was**

  - a. slightly less overtime for STP
  - b. somewhat less job redesign to economize STP
  - c. considerably less reduction in hiring standards for STP
  - d. substantially less subcontracting for STP
  - e. less frequent use of temporary STP
  - f. less frequent borrowing of STP from other parts of the firm
  - g. little change in STP training costs (in constant dollars)
  - h. less curtailment of production or research and development owing to lack of STP

**3. Some direct indicators of excess demand for (or shortage of) STP are retraining effort and recruiting effort.**

  - a. 11 per cent of the establishments found it necessary to retrain STP from one specialty to another in 1975.
  - b. Recruiting activity was higher in most industries in 1975 than in 1972.
  - c. The number of recruiting personnel increased from 1972 to 1975, indicating increased recruiting.
  - d. All industries combined showed a gradual decline in the ratio of acceptances to offers, indicating increased difficulty in recruiting.
  - e. Vacancy rates in 1975 exceeded 6 per cent of employment (the all-industry average) in Architectural and Engineering Services, Railroads, and Iron and Steel Foundations.
  - f. The following industries had scores indicating greater increase in excess demand than the all-industry average on 9 or more of the 16 indicators of excess demand investigated:

Gas Utilities	Heating Equipment
Petroleum Refining	Railroads
Engines and Turbines	General Industrial Machinery
Iron and Steel Foundries	Pipelines except Natural Gas
Commercial RD	Non-commercial R&D
Construction Machinery	Oil and Gas Extraction

4. While recruiting activity increased and the ratio of acceptances to offers declined overall since 1972, there was little evidence either of economizing of STP already employed or specific occupational shortages. Salaries of new graduate STP increased less rapidly than the consumer price index. The few specific comments indicating shortages or difficulty in recruitment related to persons with highly specific experience. These findings indicate that there was no general shortage of STP experienced by energy related establishments in 1975.



**PRINCIPAL FINDINGS: Future Requirements**

1. An input-output model using projected final demands under three alternative oil prices and projected energy constructive scenarios was developed to project scientific and technical personnel (STP) requirements for non-government employment.

2. There was relatively little variation in STP requirements among the three scenarios.

a. Private STP requirements were projected to increase, by 39 per cent from 1974 to 1985 under the three scenarios, from 2.3 million to 3.2 million.

- b. STP requirements were:

(number in thousands)		
Scenario	1980	1985
Free Imports	2,798.7	3,202.0
Limited Imports	2,768.4	3,182.9
Synthetics	2,764.7	3,181.5

c. Projections were prepared for four components of energy related demands: direct and indirect construction and direct and indirect energy production. Energy related STP requirements for 1985 for the three scenarios were as follows:

(number in thousands)		
Partition	Free Imports	Limited Imports
Direct constr.	96.8	107.7
Indirect constr.	59.2	60.6
Direct energy	174.1	153.3
Indirect energy	23.9	23.4
Energy related	354.0	345.1
Private STP	3,202.1	3,183.0
		3,181.5

\* Energy related 11.1 10.8 10.9

- d. STP requirements were largest under the Free Imports scenario (which projected the highest energy usage). This occurs because the higher STP requirements for construction in Synthetics and Limited Imports is more than offset by the reduction in STP requirements for energy production in these scenarios.

3. Total STP occupational requirements were about the same under the three scenarios. 1974 estimated, 1985 projected under the Free Imports scenario, and percentage change 1974-1985 are presented below:

Occupation group	(number in thousands)		
	1974	1985	% increase
Engineers	1,004.5	1,400.1	39.4
Chemical	49.0	69.0	40.9
Civil	128.7	199.1	54.7
Electrical	262.9	370.5	40.9
Industrial	173.2	229.1	32.3
Mechanical	171.2	240.8	40.6
Metallurgical	16.2	20.2	24.9
Aero-astro.	44.4	49.2	10.9
Mining	4.7	6.5	39.7
Petroleum	11.8	18.9	60.0
Other	142.6	196.8	38.0
Life & phys. scientists	175.5	225.1	28.3
Chemists	107.2	132.8	23.9
Biological	19.3	27.3	41.4
Physicists & astronomers	15.0	21.0	40.4
Geologists	24.5	32.0	30.7
Agriculture	6.6	8.1	22.7
Marine	1.3	1.6	23.3
n.e.c.	1.7	2.3	39.7
Mathematicians	5.3	8.3	56.2
Statisticians	15.4	21.1	37.5
Computer spec.	268.1	398.0	48.4
Technicians	812.2	1,149.4	41.5
Agric., biology	34.0	41.3	21.4
Chemical	76.3	99.5	30.4
Electrical	140.1	197.8	41.2
Industrial	21.4	30.6	42.9
Mechanical	12.2	17.5	43.2
Mathematical	.6	.8	35.0
Surveyors	62.7	78.6	25.3
Drafters	284.0	433.0	52.3
Eng. & Sci., n.e.c.	180.8	250.3	36.5



## Chapter 1

### Introduction and Summary of Findings

#### 1.1 - Introduction

Scientists, engineers, and technicians play a central role in the high technology industries so important in advanced economies. Because electronics, chemicals, and aircraft require highly trained specialized workers most countries have long been concerned about the adequacy of supply of such workers. Now that energy supply is a prominent problem, concern is focused on the high-level personnel needed for energy production. In the United States, the National Science Foundation has a major responsibility for planning and supporting the education and training of scientists and engineers, and NSF has the specific responsibility for developing information on scientific and technical personnel.

Second study is a projection of STP requirements in energy-related activities for 1980 and 1985 and is reported on in Chapters 3 and 4.

The supply and demand study is based upon a survey of energy-related establishments conducted in 1976. The study of projected future requirements is based on an economic projection model and additional survey information. The energy-related industries used in these surveys are discussed in the next section of this chapter. Shortages and reactions to shortage, the subjects of the supply and demand project, are discussed next. Next, projections and their relationship to energy policy are considered, and the projection model developed and the computer system in which it is implemented are examined briefly. Finally, summaries of findings of the two studies are presented.

#### 1.1.1 - Definition of Energy Related Activities

This report presents the results of two projects performed by the Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign for the National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Studies. The first project is a study of the balance between supply of and demand for Scientific and Technical Personnel (STP) in energy-related activities and is reported on in Chapter 2. The

The definition of energy-related activities used in selecting the industries to be sampled was twofold: "directly related industries" are engaged in the production of fuel or energy; "indirectly related industries" produce significant inputs to directly related industries. In an interdependent economy, of course, almost every industry requires either direct or indirect inputs from every other in-



dustry, but some industries are more closely related to energy production than others. Since the goal was to study the impact of energy policy alternatives on labor markets, those industries that are likely to be significantly affected by energy policy received particular attention. While it is true that farmers are involved in the production of commodities that form inputs to industries (such as chemicals) that are inputs to energy facilities and production, it is not true that the choice between alternative modes of energy production has a noticeable affect on the number of farmers employed.

A number of sources, such as input-output tables and energy facility bills-of-goods, were examined to identify those industries that had important inputs to energy production and facilities and for which energy business was a significant fraction of the industry's sales. Thus trucks are an important input to energy construction and production, but energy-related sales of automobiles and equipment are not a very large fraction of the total industry's sales and the automobile industry was excluded from the study.

The industries included, direct and indirect, are listed in tables 1.1.1a and 1.1.1b.

**Table 1.1.1a Direct Energy Industries**

<u>SIC</u>	<u>Industry</u>
131	Crude Petroleum
132	Natural Gas Liquids
291	Petroleum Refining and Related
492	Gas Production and Distribution
1094	Uranium Mining and Milling
1111	Anthracite Mining
1112	Anthracite Mining Services
1211	Bituminous Mining and Lignite
1213	Bituminous Mining Services
1381	Oil and Gas Field Drilling
1382	Oil and Gas Field Services
1389	Oil and Gas Field Services, nec
2819	Fissionable Materials Production
4011	Coal Unit-Train
4911	Electric Services
4931	Electric, Gas & Other (major electric but< 95%)
4932	Electric & Gas Services, Primarily Gas
4939	Electric and Gas Services, nec
4961	Steam Supply, Including Geothermal



Table 1.1.1b - Indirect Energy Industries

## 1.1.2 - Definition of Scientific and Technical Personnel

SIC	Industry
281	Industrial Chemicals
1623	Heavy Construction Contractors Except Highway and Street heavy Construction, nec
1629	Gaskets, Packing, and Asbestos Insulation for boilers
3293	Oil Country Tubular Goods
3317	Heating Equipment Except Electric
3433	Fabricated Structural Metal Products
3443	Screw Machine Products
3451,52	Pipe Fittings, Flanges and Valves
3494	Turbines and Steam Engines
3531	Construction Equipment
3532	Mining Equipment
3533	Oil Field Equipment
3534	Elevators and Moving Stairways
3535	Cranes and Hoists
3536	Conveyors
3561	Pumps and Compressors
3566	Power Transmission Equipment
3567	Industrial Process Furnaces and Ovens
3612	Power, Distribution, and Specialty Transformers
3613	Switchgear
3621	Motors and Generators
3623	Welding Apparatus
3811,21	Mechanical Controls and Instruments
3822	Automatic Temperature Controls
7391	Research and Development Laboratories
8911	Architectural and Engineering Services
8921,22	Nonprofit Education, Scientific, and Research Organizations
9631	Regulatory Agencies

Occupational specialists understand that a category such as "scientific and technical personnel" is not precisely defined for all purposes. While it appears obvious that a nuclear physicist or electronic engineer belongs in the category, it is not clear if others do. Should an engineer trained in electronics and engaged in the marketing of computers, or the general manager of a computer firm be included? Likewise, the line of demarcation is not clearcut at the other end of the training ladder. A worker who washes laboratory glassware is seldom considered a scientific and technical worker, but should a laboratory artificer be included?

These questions imply that every study of STP must select a definition. Ordinarily, this requires a list of occupational categories. This study follows, whenever possible, the occupational categories used by NSF. These are little different from the lists used by BLS and the Bureau of the Census. The survey instructions used NSF definitions (which were furnished in the questionnaires).

Respondents were asked to include "those who work at a level which requires knowledge of the subject at least equivalent to that acquired through completion of a 4-year college course in one of those fields, regardless of whether



they hold a college degree." Thus respondents who employ nongraduate engineers should have included those positions in the survey if the employer considers them as "real" engineers. Employers are usually somewhat more flexible than engineering societies (which usually hold to rather strict educational definitions of engineer or scientist), and define many more nongraduates as engineers or scientists than would the societies.

Social scientists, psychologists, and economists were excluded, since only a few of them are likely to be involved directly in energy development and there is great difficulty in distinguishing those persons involved as practitioners (in personnel work and financial analysis, for instance) from those involved in research and development. Among medical scientists, only those in research, production, and technical writing, and related activities were included, while practitioners were excluded.

The occupations on which data were collected in the surveys are:

Engineers	Technicians	Scientists
Chemical	Agricultural	Chemists
Civil/Sanitary	Biological	Physicists
Electrical/Electronics	Chemical	Metalurgists
Industrial	Electrical/Electronic	Geologists/Geophysicists
Materials/metal-lurgical/Ceramic	Industrial	Agriculturists
Mechanical	Mechanical	Biologists
Aeronautical	Medical	Medical
Mining	Mathematicians	Mathematicians
Petroleum	Statisticians	Statisticians
Geological	Computer	Computer
Nuclear	Marine	Marine
Other	Other	Other
Other	Other	Other

Data were also collected on architects.

Occupational classification in the United States is not a precise or rigid practice. Occupational counts will vary with the question asked, the respondent, and the coder. In these studies, the NSF occupational definitions were specified, but respondents may not always have followed the instructions. It must be recognized that two establishments reporting employment or requirements for "electrical engineers" may have very different requirements in mind, and the jobs might not be suitable for an arbitrarily selected electrical engineer.

#### 1.1.3 - Shortages of Scientific and Technical Personnel

One of the major objectives of this study was to determine the extent to which scientific and technical personnel



shortages had occurred in energy-related activities in recent years. There is a large literature on occupational shortages, especially shortages of scientists and engineers. The term "shortage" is rarely used in precisely the same way by any two people. In an earlier work, the taxonomy of shortages was discussed, and eight ways in which the term was sometimes used were identified.<sup>1</sup> "Shortage" in all of its uses suggests that there are not enough people from a particular point of view. The eight shortages are

- 1) salary-rise
- 2) dynamic
- 3) controlled price
- 4) projected supply shortfall
- 5) national policy goal
- 6) inelastic supply
- 7) limited pool of ability
- 8) misallocation.

Shortage is used in the salary-rise sense most often by economists. Demand rises more than supply and competition leads to increased wages and salaries. This study sought to determine if starting salaries of STP in energy-related establishments increased more than other occupations and industries.

A dynamic shortage consists of a cumulative growth of vacancies as a result of lagging salaries or recruiting ef-

fort. In this study changes in job vacancies in energy related industries were estimated.

A controlled price shortage occurs when firms are unable to hire or retain sufficient workers because they are unwilling or unable to pay competitive salaries. In this study, controlled prices appeared to be irrelevant.

A projected supply shortfall occurs when projected requirements exceed projected supply. This question is to be investigated when the projections of requirements from this study are compared to projections of supply to be prepared in a future study.

The national policy goal shortage occurs when the number of STP available are insufficient to meet the many social objectives of the country. The present study of supply and requirements has a bearing on this question.

A limited pool of ability shortage is a qualitative shortage and occurs when demand increase leads to a decline in the average quality of a workforce because less qualified workers must be hired. This study attempted to ascertain the presence of this problem by examining employer recruiting and utilization behavior that leads to an appearance of economizing on scarce personnel by increasing hours, borrowing personnel, or reducing qualifications for hiring.

<sup>1</sup> H. Folk, The Shortage of Scientists and Engineers, Heath Lexington Books, Lexington, Massachusetts, 1976.



Inelastic supply shortage is indicated in this study by examining the relationship between recruiting effort and new hires and economizing measures.

Misallocation is a relative term. If specialized workers were no more costly than unspecialized persons, then their interchangeable use would not be economic misallocation. The use of a higher priced specialist interchangeably with a lower priced nonspecialist would be misallocation. Employers can adjust to occupational shortages of the salary-rise, dynamic, controlled price, or inelastic supply type by modifying their work assignment practices. Modification of work practices to reduce the need for an occupational specialty is often evidence of existence of one or another of these shortages. Of course, such adjustment may also simply reflect better management practices. Thus evidence of work practice adjustments is consistent with the presence of a shortage, but not necessarily evidence of such a shortage.

#### 1.1.4 - Reactions to Shortage

This study concentrated heavily on STP adjustments. The absence, or relative insignificance of such adjustments, would be evidence of the absence of such shortages.

The kinds of adjustments expected from employers in the presence of excess demand for an occupation have been described elsewhere<sup>2</sup> as

- 1) overtime
- 2) redesign of jobs
- 3) reduction of the education, training, experience, and other personal qualification requirements
- 4) increases in the level of salary offers to attract more hires
- 5) increases in the level of salaries internally to reduce quit rates
- 6) intensification of recruiting effort
- 7) subcontracting of job or parts of jobs to outside firms
- 8) rental from outside firms
- 9) borrowing or transferring from other locations
- 10) borrowing from other companies
- 11) leaving vacancies unfilled and reducing production and/or research

All of these adjustments were explored with the respondent firms.

The questionnaires allowed employers in some instances (such as hires, quits, starting salaries, and retraining) the opportunity to identify particular occupations, but most of the adjustment questions applied to STP in general.

<sup>2</sup> Folk, op. cit., p. 154.



As part of this study, a survey of a number of firms was made with respect to experience of shortages since 1972. Occupations and industries that had experienced difficulties in obtaining adequate numbers of STP were identified. Thus, industries and occupations and their recent experience can be related to expected experience.

#### 1.1.5 - Projecting STP Requirements

The process of projecting requirements for an occupation that is both closely coupled to a given industry and has no close substitutes, such as teachers or physicians, is not trivial, but it can be readily accomplished by projecting the final demand for the industry, such as education or physicians' services, and estimating the relation of occupational requirements per unit of output. Most STP occupations, however, are not closely coupled to a single "final demand industry." Even if final demand is estimated precisely, it is still uncertain how many workers of each occupation will be required in each industry. Most industries have an economic role of intermediate industries. Much of their output enters into other industries as inputs and does not appear in final demand. Hence, STP projections require prior projections not only of final demand and the relationship of occupational requirements to output by industry, but also estimates of interindustry trade.

Because the composition of output by industry can be expected to vary with the policies adopted by the Nation, occupational requirements will be influenced by policy as well. Moreover, the attainment of policy objectives depends on adequate supplies of inputs. For instance, in the 1950's and early 1960's, both defense and space programs faced serious shortages of scientists and engineers. As a result of the inadequacy of supply for expanding demands, salaries of engineers and some scientific occupations rose relative to other occupations and delays occurred.

The undertaking of a new energy development program with highly specific and specialized STP requirements, such as solar power, might create significant shortages even if the general market for STP was in a balanced or surplus situation.<sup>3</sup> The study of current conditions in energy-related activities sought to identify firms in detailed energy-related industries and to find specific occupational shortages. The projection study, however, is much more general, and deals with what are considered by labor market analysts to be "detailed occupations," but which are actually very aggregated categories from the point of view of individual

<sup>3</sup> ERDA has begun to commission studies of manpower requirements and availability for new technologies, such as solar power.



employers. The requirements projections are not intended to be highly detailed, and cannot be made in the detail necessary to identify highly specific categories of STP.

The intent of these studies is to provide a detailed picture of the current situation, and a somewhat broader perspective of future requirements. When supply estimates are made, and the differences between requirements and supply are calculated, the adjustment process by which the shortages or surpluses might be eliminated can be studied. It must be recognized that even the complete analysis will only give the dimensions of the problem in aggregated occupational terms. Only detailed study of an industry and its specific occupational needs can provide confidence that supply will be adequate.

Nevertheless, the attempts being made by NSF and ERDA to assess requirements and supply for STP is heartening evidence that the government is approaching manpower questions involved in energy planning with intelligent foresight.

#### 1.1.6 - Projections and Policy

The assertion made in a forecast is that the events will or are likely to occur. Projections, frequently made in sets under alternative assumptions, are conditional forecasts. If certain preconditions hold, then the projected results are expected to hold. Most forecasts prepared by

government, or under government sponsorship, such as this one, are labeled "projections" rather than "forecasts." All projections, like forecasts, are based on assumptions.

One excellent reason for alternative projections is that preparing an occupational projection is a time-consuming and tedious operation. Social choices and political decisions take place continually, and it is usually impossible to take account of these as swiftly as they occur, so that any single projection is likely to become outdated almost as soon as it is made. When a set of alternative projections is available, one of them is likely to be closer to the realized events than others and to serve, at least in the interim, until new projections can be made. Therefore, the projections made in this study are based on alternative likely domestic energy production and use scenarios.

#### 1.1.7 - Energy Policy

Energy policy is not settled and predictable. In recent years the United States has undergone a number of changes in energy policy. Once an exporter of energy, the United States is now a net importer. At one time or another, policies to limit imports (such as the oil quota) or to encourage free imports have been pursued. The Oil Embargo of October, 1973 and the recent rapid increases in world



petroleum price (some of which predated the embargo) led to a marked increase in debate over energy policy.

The sudden growth of interest in domestic energy production, using nuclear power, coal, and synthetics, was in part a reflection of concern for national autonomy (as reflected in President Nixon's "Project Independence") and in part a natural result of higher oil prices that made more domestic energy production economically feasible.

Domestic energy developments can be expected not only to affect energy producing industries, but also to affect those industries that supply or depend on the energy industry. So far, the results of the new recognition of energy problems have been substantial, but highly concentrated. The Alaskan oil pipeline was authorized. Petroleum exploration was stepped up. Smaller automobiles with higher miles per gallon started, at least in 1974, to replace larger cars in new car sales. Energy R & D spending has increased substantially. A number of new energy facilities have been begun or planned.

Oil imports have increased since the embargo, and one possible future policy is to continue to rely on growing imports. Threats to secure supply or increases in the price of foreign oil supplies could lead to stepped up effort at increasing domestic energy production. There are two major

approaches within a limited import policy. One policy alternative limits imports and emphasizes nuclear development. Another alternative limits imports and emphasizes synthetics, such as synthetic natural gas from coal and synthetic crude from oil shale or coal. These synthetic technologies, while technically feasible, have not been demonstrated to be economically feasible under current price structures.

The three alternative policies, free imports, limited imports and limited imports (synthetics), among others, have been specified by ERDA, and are the scenarios investigated in this study. The Bureau of Labor Statistics has prepared three final demand vectors for 1980 and 1985 representing estimated final demand by industry under three levels of oil prices. Three different energy technology submatrices, reflecting varying expected patterns of fuel use under the three oil price scenarios, are utilized. For example, under a high-priced oil scheme, one should expect relatively greater use of coal in electric power generation. This is reflected in the Limited Imports technology patterns. The BLS low-priced oil final demand vector is coupled with the Free Imports technology pattern, the medium-priced oil final demand with the Limited Imports technology, and the high-priced oil final demand with the Synthetics technology to represent reasonable combinations of final demand, energy production, and energy consumption technology.



Each policy or scenario can be expected to have economic requirements that differ from the others. Different facilities would be built under different policies.

The energy production and requirements under the three scenarios have been developed using the Brookhaven Energy Model and the Bechtel Energy Supply Model. The Bechtel Model produces estimates of the direct construction labor and the materials required to produce the facilities expected under the three scenarios. The direct material requirements have been converted to industry final demand requirements compatible with the input-output model used in this study.

#### 1.1.8 - Projection Model

The projection study required a substantial effort in projection model development. The model developed is useful for making occupational projections of STP requirements under alternative final demand, energy technology, and energy supply assumptions. The CAC Model is closely tied to BLS projection techniques, and thus can be readily updated to utilize the newer technology coefficients and final demand patterns as BLS develops them. The magnitude of the efforts required to prepare non-energy technology coefficients and final demand vectors makes it impossible for an agency to develop these in any detail or to keep up-to-date, as chang-

ing conditions require. The close integration of the CAC model with the BLS model is a major advantage. Moreover, the CAC Model includes a highly disaggregated energy sector that is arranged in such a way that projected changes in fuel mix, energy process efficiencies, and relative prices can be readily incorporated in the model. This provides a substantial advantage over the energy sectors in the BLS model that are expressed in constant dollars, rather than energy units. The CAC Model is also closely comparable to the Brookhaven Energy Model and the Bechtel Energy Supply Model, so that fuel mixes and process efficiencies expressed in those models can be simulated in the CAC Model. In effect, the CAC Model incorporates the strength of the BLS model in projected final demand and non-energy sectors, and the energy sector projections of the Brookhaven Model, while allowing direct comparison to energy construction projections from the Bechtel Energy Supply Planning Model.

#### 1.1.9 - Computer System

A substantial advantage of the CAC Model developed in this project is that it is implemented in a computer system that is extraordinarily quick and economical to run. Any coefficient may be changed and a new projection run in seconds and for pennies. Detailed sensitivity analysis of the results can be obtained in a reasonable time and for



modest cost. Moreover, the use of the model need not require an experienced programmer. It is implemented on a PDP11/50 at CAC, running the UNIX operating system, and is accessible by direct telephone connection or through the AR-PANET. However, it should not be expected that so complex a model should be trivially easy for a non-programmer to use.

The accessibility and ease of maintenance suggests that it will be an easy instrument for further work. It is simple to change any coefficient to simulate any new economic or energy relationship; aggregation or disaggregation of the model is straightforward.

## 1.2 - Summary of results

### 1.2.1. - Current STP labor market conditions

Evidence of excess demand for specific occupations can be examined from changes in salaries of inexperienced hires, acceptance rates, and combined vacancy and quit rates.

Average annual salary increases were highest for Materials, Metallurgical, and Ceramic , Aeronautical, and Petroleum Engineers, for Statisticians, Physicists, and Geologists, and Biology, Mathematical, and Other technicians.

Acceptance ratios declined most for Mining, Metallurgical, Materials, and Ceramic, and Petroleum Engineers, Agricultural, Chemists, and Meteorologists, and Draftsmen, Other, and Electrical/Electronic technicians.

The Quit Rates and Vacancy Rates were highest for Nuclear, Mining, Chemical, and Industrial Engineers, Other scientists, and Draftsmen and Chemical and Mechanical technicians.

If these measures of occupational shortage are equally weighted and combined, Nuclear, Mining, and Chemical Engineers, Physicists, Chemists, Agriculturists, and Geologists, and Draftsmen, Surveyors, Chemical, Mechanical, and Other technicians show the greatest evidence of excess demand or shortage.

Mining, petroleum, chemical, industrial, and "welding" engineers were occasionally cited as presenting problems. The quantitative data were consistent with, but not strongly supportive of these claims.

All industries combined showed a gradual decline in the acceptance to offer ratio from about two-thirds in 1972 to one-half in 1975. Iron and Steel Foundries, Petroleum Refining, Oil and Gas Extraction, and Coal Mining all had acceptance ratios below the all-industry mean in 1975 and also showed declining acceptance ratios over the period 1972-75.



Quit rates were substantially higher for STP in establishments in Rolling and Extruding of Non-ferrous Metals, Electric Lighting and Wiring Equipment, Metalworking Machinery, Commercial Research and Development, Motor Vehicles, and Non-commercial Scientific and Research.

Most industries showed some vacancies, but vacancies exceeded 6 per cent of employment (the all-industry average) only in Architectural and Engineering Services, Railroads, and Iron and Steel Foundries. Of the 16 indicators investigated, some firms scored consistently in the direction of relatively greater shortage or excess demand for STP. Gas Utilities, Heating Equipment, Petroleum Refining, Railroads, Engines and Turbines, General Industrial Machinery, Iron and Steel Foundries, Pipelines except Natural Gas, Commercial Research and Development, Non-commercial Scientific and Research, Construction Machinery, and Oil and Gas Extraction all indicated more than average excess demand for STP on 9 or more of the indicators.

Solid evidence of shortages or excess demand, however, was quite limited. An employer reported a problem filling a vacancy 1) for an extremely narrow specialty, perhaps one that exists only within that employer's firm; 2) for experienced STP...indicating the lack of urgency; or, 3) for female or minority candidates.

A wide range of labor market indicators was examined. Detailed occupational data were collected on salaries, quits, vacancies, hires, offers, and employment for STP in energy-related industries. Employers' reactions to possible excess demand for STP, such as retraining and job redesign, were examined. The variety of measures employed consistently show no evidence of serious shortages.

Respondents in energy-related establishments were asked about actions that would accommodate to excess demand for STP. They were to compare their usage of such actions in 1975 and in 1972, a year marked by the highest level of excess supply of STP in decades. The actions examined were:

- a) overtime
- b) redesigning jobs
- c) reducing hiring qualifications
- d) sub-contracting work
- e) hiring temporary STP
- f) borrowing STP from other firms
- g) borrowing STP from other divisions or locations
- h) training costs
- i) delaying or cancelling production

All industries combined reported slightly less overtime in 1975 than in 1972, but three direct energy industries (Coal Mining, Oil and Gas extraction, and Gas Utilities) and 13 indirect energy industries (including Materials Handling Machinery, Heavy Construction, Pipes, Valves, and Fittings, and Industrial Chemicals) reported more overtime for STP in 1975 than in 1972.



All industries combined redesigned jobs somewhat less in 1975 than in 1972, but Pipelines (except Natural Gas), Petroleum Refining, and Electric Utilities among the direct industries, and 10 indirect industries (including Electronic Components, Fabricated Steel, and Engines and Turbines) reported more job redesign in 1975 than in 1972.

Reduction of hiring requirements was used by all industries combined considerably less, on the average, in 1975 than in 1972, but Pipelines (except Natural Gas), Electronic Components, and Instruments found it necessary to take this action more in 1975 than in 1972.

Subcontracting was substantially less used by all industries in 1975 than in 1972. Only Heating Equipment, Petroleum Refining, and Non-commercial Scientific and Research subcontracted more in 1975 than in 1972.

Temporary STP from outside firms were used less often in 1975 than in 1972. Only General Industrial Machinery used this option more often in 1975 than in 1972.

STP were borrowed from other parts of the firm less frequently in 1975 than in 1972. Only Basic Steel and Engines and Turbines reported interfirm borrowing more in 1975 than in 1972.

None of the surveyed industries reported borrowing STP

from other firms more in 1975 than in 1972.

Industry as a whole reported that training costs (in constant dollars) scarcely changed from 1972 to 1975. Only Gas Utilities, of the direct industries, reported an increase in real training costs, but 9 indirect industries, including industrial Chemicals, Electronic Components, and Heavy Construction, reported increases.

Curtailment of production or research and development was substantially less necessary in 1975 than in 1972. Only Non-commercial Scientific and Research curtailed production to a greater extent in 1975 than in 1972.

Although there are no consistent patterns of excess demand for STP shown in the individual actions, some industries did consistently score below the all-industry average, but the average on the individual actions in every instance indicates that excess demand was less in 1975 than in 1972. The data indicate that relative to other energy related industries, the following faced somewhat greater excess demand:

Pipelines except natural gas  
Gas Utilities  
Coal Mining  
Oil and Gas Extraction  
General Industrial Machinery  
heating Equipment except Electric  
Engines and Turbines  
Railroads  
Industrial Chemicals  
Fabricated Structural Steel



**Commercial Research and Development  
Non-commercial Scientific and Research**

Of those industries indicating higher excess demand in 1975 than in 1972, only 8 industries showed any indication of more excess demand in 1975 than in 1972 on 3 or more items. These included with 4 items, General Industrial Machinery, and with 3 items, heavy Construction, Industrial Chemicals, Engines and Turbines, Electronic Components, Instruments, Commercial Research and Development, and Non-commercial Research and Development.

More direct indicators of excess demand are found in retraining effort, number of recruiting interviews, number of recruiting personnel, ratio of acceptances to job offers, quit rates, and vacancy rates.

Eleven per cent of the establishments found it necessary to retrain STP from one specialty to another in 1975. One third of the establishments in Engines and Turbines, one-fourth of those in Metalworking Machinery, and about one-fifth of those in Oil and Gas Extraction, Construction Machinery, Screw Machine Products, Petroleum Refining, Instruments, and Commercial Research and Development found it necessary to retrain STP.

Recruiting activity was quite volatile. Many industries showed greater recruitment activity in 1975 than in 1972, with the greatest annual average percentage increases

in Gas Utilities, Architectural and Engineering Services, Commercial Research and Development, Heating Equipment except Electric, and Iron and Steel Foundries. In about 16 industries there was evidence of recovery from very low or zero recruitment in 1972-74. These results indicate an increase in demand for these industries.

Recruiting personnel employed in industries shows substantial average annual increases for Pipes, Valves, and Fitting, Oil and Gas Extraction, Construction Machinery, Iron and Steel Foundries, Heating Equipment, Architectural and Engineering Services, Instruments, Electric Transmission Equipment, and General Industrial Machinery. These increases indicate a considerable increase in demand for these industries since 1972.

Several industries showed a substantial increase in use of managerial or technical staff for recruiting, with Industrial Chemicals, Railroads, Heavy Construction, Petroleum Refining, and Iron and Steel Foundries showing the greatest increases.

The energy-related industries have had few STP problems in their expansion to date as a result of inadequate demand for labor in the economy as a whole. Unemployment rates for STP in 1975 and 1976 reached their highest recorded historical levels, falling below 3 per cent only once, in March,



1975.<sup>4</sup> The quantitative survey data indicate that the state of the labor market (from the employer's viewpoint) in 1975 can be concisely summed up by the respondents' frequent comment: "no problem."

#### 1.2.2 - Summary of STP Projections

STP projections for 1980 are approximately 2.8 million; and for 1985, 3.2 million. The corresponding base for 1974 is 2.3 million. There is little variance among scenarios, and the differences are in a direction that is counterintuitive. The Synthetics scenario yields the lowest projection of total 1980 STP requirements, for the sample occupations, 2.77 million; Free Imports, the highest, 2.80 million (Table 1.2.2a).

Table 1.2.2a

1980 and 1985 Employment Projection of Private Scientific and Technical Personnel and Energy-Related Industry Employment

(number in thousands)

	1980 Import Scenario		
	Free Imports	Limited Imports	Synthetics
<b>Total STP Employment</b>	<b>2,798.7</b>	<b>2,768.4</b>	<b>2,764.7</b>
Energy-Related Industries	1,051.3	1,027.4	1,030.2
Engineers	532.6	523.0	524.8
Scientists	63.6	59.1	59.5
Math Specialists	65.1	63.2	63.1
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8
Other Industries	1,747.4	1,741.0	1,734.5
Engineers	718.9	715.1	710.5
Scientists	139.6	139.9	140.3
Math Specialists	293.3	292.3	292.5
Technicians	595.6	593.7	591.2
<b>Total STP Employment</b>	<b>3,202.0</b>	<b>3,182.9</b>	<b>3,181.5</b>
Energy-Related Industries	1,239.3	1,228.0	1,230.9
Engineers	618.6	616.9	618.1
Scientists	71.3	66.7	67.5
Math Specialists	77.4	75.5	75.4
Technicians	472.0	468.9	469.9
Other Industries	1,962.7	1,954.9	1,950.6
Engineers	781.5	777.1	773.2
Scientists	153.8	154.4	155.1
Math Specialists	350.0	348.5	349.3
Technicians	677.4	674.9	673.0

<sup>4</sup> "Selected Unemployment Indicators" (table), Monthly Labor Review, Vol. 99, No. 4, (April 1976).

<sup>1</sup> Includes Bechtel STP requirements for Construction of Energy Facilities.



Energy-related employment projections are partitioned into four groups: direct construction, indirect construction, direct production of energy, and indirect energy production (Table 1.2.2b).

Thus only 34 thousand workers separate the high and low scenario estimates. The 1985 scenario projections are even closer; only a 21 thousand difference between Free Imports and Synthetics. Since the construction STP manpower requirements are higher for the Synthetics scenario, the lower levels of energy production associated with the higher oil price scenarios produces a disemployment effect that exceeds the higher construction manpower requirements. For 1985 the Synthetics scenario require 112 thousand STP personnel for construction of energy facilities, according to the Bechtel estimates; 97 thousand for the Free Imports scenario. Energy use is, however, 14 per cent higher under the Free Imports scenario (99.1 vs 86.9 quadrillion Btu's). The number of operations and maintenance STP personnel is substantially reduced under lower levels of energy production.

Energy-related industries are defined as those directly or indirectly involved in the production of energy and construction of energy facilities, but energy-related employment consists of workers involved in the production of energy and construction of energy facilities employed both in energy-related and other industries. Not all STP in energy-related industries are in energy-related activities, and some STP in non-energy industries are engaged in energy-related activities.

The direct construction STP requirements are provided by Bechtel. For 1980, Bechtel predicts slight variation in manpower requirements. Construction requirements for the Limited Imports scenario will utilize 83.7 thousand STP workers versus 89.3 thousand for the Synthetics scenario. No scientists or mathematical specialists are required in Bechtel's view and all of the requirements for technicians are for the single category of draftsmen. Engineers account for about 70 per cent of the STP requirements for this partition component. Civil, electrical, and mechanical engineers represent the dominant engineering categories.

For 1985, Bechtel projects substantial increases in STP requirements: 96.8 thousand for Free Imports; 111.5 thousand for Synthetics. There is also wider variation in requirements across scenarios (15 per cent vs 7 per cent for 1980). Again, engineers represent about 70 per cent of total STP requirements for this partition component.

Units of STP manpower, as well as other types of labor inputs, are indirectly embodied in the materials used for construction of energy facilities. Multiplying the



Leontief-inverse matrix by the vector of industry inputs of energy construction materials provides a basis for partitioning this element of indirect labor input. The materials requirements specified by Bechtel are used here.

Table 1.2.2b  
STP Requirements for 1980 and 1985, by Scenario,  
Major STP Specialty, and Energy-Related Employment  
as Per cent of Total STP Employment

	Direct Construction	Indirect Construction	Direct Energy	Indirect Energy	Non-Energy Related Employment	Total Employment	Percent Energy Related
<b>Free Imports --1980</b>							
Engineers	59,600	29,313	68,239	7,919	165,247	1,046,345	1,251,512
Scientists	1,112	5,112	26,339	1,885	1,273,423	1,251,512	11.7
Math. Computer	5,112	1,112	16,826	3,622	116,941	116,941	13.4
Structural	59,600	37,669	186,594	12,736	216,911	1,581,457	15.4
Technicians	24,600	20,9	46,387	7,557	99,239	1,411,183	12.0
Total	64,220	58,365	153,291	28,293	316,159	2,462,673	10.1
<b>Limited Imports --1980</b>							
Engineers	59,600	24,942	69,856	7,919	156,534	1,001,514	1,238,667
Scientists	1,082	2,082	22,339	1,911	27,246	171,647	19,021
Math. Computer	5,022	10,245	4,910	1,189	18,139	171,391	5.4
Structural	59,600	37,846	96,405	15,184	464,031	1,590,567	1,555,558
Technicians	24,100	20,403	46,404	7,083	94,684	1,404,031	1,352,668
Total	83,768	57,449	133,869	26,485	294,703	2,473,772	10.5
<b>Synthetics --1980</b>							
Engineers	62,900	30,643	58,807	7,626	159,755	1,015,405	1,238,667
Scientists	3,240	3,240	26,941	1,771	27,746	171,912	19,021
Math. Computer	5,269	10,534	4,881	1,156	18,156	171,391	5.4
Structural	39,119	37,320	91,812	12,302	266,011	1,684,031	1,555,558
Technicians	21,617	21,617	37,319	7,166	94,892	873,703	9.2
Total	60,736	59,380	131,381	19,580	308,923	2,464,120	10.9
<b>Free Imports --1985</b>							
Engineers	68,100	29,724	79,531	9,072	165,827	1,214,292	1,432,446
Scientists	1,262	2,262	26,629	2,228	1,273,423	1,273,423	12.9
Math. Computer	5,155	10,304	16,384	4,083	18,156	131,182	5.2
Structural	60,100	37,320	127,178	14,882	242,411	1,817,005	1,786,551
Technicians	21,630	21,630	51,897	9,113	111,541	973,703	11.5
Total	96,880	59,159	174,975	23,928	353,954	2,464,120	10.9
<b>Limited Imports --1985</b>							
Engineers	76,300	29,531	78,339	6,868	185,829	1,209,824	1,406,119
Scientists	1,297	3,297	24,227	2,893	29,617	191,529	13.4
Math. Computer	5,454	11,917	3,466	20,837	40,769	423,745	4.9
Structural	76,300	38,282	186,474	14,669	235,493	1,869,702	1,839,170
Technicians	31,486	22,330	46,830	9,014	109,578	1,034,216	11.5
Total	107,700	68,618	153,294	23,443	345,953	2,431,938	10.4
<b>Synthetics --1985</b>							
Engineers	70,400	36,122	68,671	8,663	186,056	1,205,260	1,391,216
Scientists	1,291	3,291	25,160	1,947	30,594	191,157	222,555
Math. Computer	5,549	11,843	12,843	3,182	20,954	493,753	4.9
Structural	78,600	38,962	185,427	13,992	237,498	1,989,170	1,950,574
Technicians	32,968	22,622	45,287	9,698	110,639	1,122,217	11.4
Total	111,580	61,784	152,141	22,682	348,187	2,433,387	10.9

Between 57 and 61 thousand STP are indirectly involved in construction of energy facilities. Larger STP requirements are projected for the Free Imports scenario than the Limited Imports scenario. This difference indicates the importance of capital requirements for petroleum storage and refining under the Free Imports scenario. The Synthetics scenario, however, requires the largest inputs of STP manpower, 61 thousand; yet, this represents only about 2.3 per cent of projected total STP employment for 1980. Indirect construction requirements are large in relation to direct construction requirements. For example, the Free Imports scenarios has indirect requirements equal to 41 per cent of the total (direct and indirect) 1980 STP construction requirements. Thus, neglect of indirect requirements can lead to a substantial understatement of manpower requirements. Projections for 1985 STP indirect construction requirements are only slightly higher than the 1980 estimates. The estimate for the Free Imports scenario is, for example, less than 1 thousand higher. Again, total STP requirements vary only slightly across scenarios; the largest difference is only 4 per cent.



STP occupations which are important to indirect construction of energy facilities include electrical, industrial, mechanical and miscellaneous engineering categories, computer specialists, electrical and electronic technicians, draftsmen, and engineering and science technicians, n.e.c. Although the distributions of requirements varies substantially across STP occupations, there appears to be less relative variation as a per cent of total requirements per occupation. This is because STP occupations which are needed in larger numbers for indirect energy facility construction are also occupations for which economy-wide requirements are high. For example, electrical engineers and chemists represent 12 and 4 per cent of the 61.8 thousand STP required for the 1985 indirect construction component of the Synthetics scenario. Yet, these requirements represent only 2.0 and 1.5 per cent of economy-wide STP requirements for each respective occupation. Thus, STP requirements for indirect construction are a small per cent of economy-wide requirements for each STP occupation even though some categories are needed in larger numbers than others.

Consequently, the differential impact of this component of requirements on the STP occupational spectrum will be small, provided that supply closely approximates demand.

Employment resulting from total energy demand comprises the third partition component. This direct-energy produc-

tion component yields the largest share of total energy-related employment even though the proportion of total energy-related employment accounted for by this component varies from about one-half to one-third across scenarios.

Because the level of energy use is substantially higher under the Free Imports scenario, STP requirements are also substantially higher - 153.3 thousand scientific and technical personnel under Free Imports versus 131.4 thousand under the Synthetics scenario. For 1985 the differential across scenarios widens by 10 thousand (174.1 versus 152.1).

Engineers represent about 45 per cent of the total STP requirements for this component for both 1980 and 1985 projections. Electrical and petroleum engineers account for about 54 per cent of engineer requirements in 1980; 50 per cent in 1985. Geologists, computer specialists, chemical and engineering and science technicians represent other important occupations for direct energy production.

Although there is some variation in STP requirements across scenarios, this variation runs counter to scenario variation in direct and indirect construction requirements. Furthermore, for 1985 in particular, the variation is requirements for direct energy production is substantially offset by variation in total construction requirements.



The 1985 variation across scenarios of 32 thousand is reduced by 41 per cent when construction variation is added. A substantial leveling of total scenario projections is the result.

Indirect employment resulting from total demand for energy is the least impressive of the partition components. STP requirements are low and variation across scenarios is small (less than one thousand workers). Requirements are highest for the Limited Imports scenario in 1980 at 20.5 thousand STP workers and lowest for Synthetics, 19.5 thousand. In addition requirements across the STP occupational spectrum are relatively flat in relation to economy-wide requirements. That is, there are no occupational "bulges" for indirect energy production. The only striking feature of this component is its comparative lack of significance. Indirect requirements for energy production are only about 12 to 13 per cent of total energy production requirements.

Summing the four partition components yields projection of total energy-related employment. These sums show the substantial leveling of employment across scenarios that results once all the contributions to energy-related employment are examined. The Free Imports scenario yields the highest value of projected STP employment: 316.2 thousand. This total is only 7 per cent higher than the Limited Im-

ports scenarios which yields the lowest projection (294.7 thousand).

That it is higher at all is counterintuitive. This analysis has shown that the additional employment effects of increased energy facility construction are not sufficient to offset the disemployment effects associated with lower energy use with higher priced energy.

For 1980 and 1985, approximately 11 per cent of total STP requirements in the private economy will be needed in energy-related activities (Table 1.2.2b). There is only slight variation across scenarios: For 1980 the Free Imports scenario requires 11.3 per cent; Synthetics, 10.9 per cent. There is some variation among the aggregated STP groups. The percentage requirements of engineers is approximately 13 per cent for 1980 and 1985. Scientists requirements are slightly higher at 14 to 15 per cent while Math and Computer Specialists are substantially lower, 5 per cent. Technicians requirements are 10 to 11 per cent. Percentage requirements for energy activities for some individual occupations such as Petroleum Engineers, Mining Engineers, and Geologists are high. For 1985, Limited Imports scenario, the proportion of these STP categories utilized in energy-related activities is 38, 88, and 63 per cent,

respectively. The proportion of Electrical Engineers is also relatively high: 17 per cent. For almost all of the



other detailed categories, however, energy-related utilization is less than 10 per cent.

For comparative purposes, estimates of energy-related employment for 1974 were prepared by the same method. The 1974 proportions of STP engaged in energy-related activities are quite close to the proportions projected for 1980 and 1985, indicating that energy development programs will not result in an increased proportion of private STP employment being drawn into energy related work.

## Chapter 2

### Current STP Labor Market Conditions

#### 2.1 - Introduction

This chapter examines the labor market experience of energy-related employers since 1972 in order to identify occupations and industries that may have experienced shortages. At best, the term "shortage" is open to many interpretations.<sup>1</sup> The common attributes of all of the meanings implies unusual difficulty in obtaining adequate numbers of workers of customary quality on customary terms of employment.

Blank and Stigler, for instance, argue that that "shortage" is identical to a rise in price.<sup>2</sup> This usage, although generally accepted by many economists, hardly exhausts all such situations as are commonly described as shortages. Salary increases are examined in this study as primary evidence of the existence of a shortage. It is difficult to believe that severe difficulty in obtaining STP could exist without a rise in salaries of STP, since em-

1 For a discussion of some of the usages of the term in STP studies, see H. Folk, The Shortage of Scientists and Engineers, Lexington: Heath Lexington Books, 1976, pp. 2-19.

2 David M. Blank and George J. Stigler, The Demand and Supply of Scientific Personnel (New York: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1957) p. 24.



ployers have been free to bid competitively for personnel.

A second usage of the term "shortage" has been examined by Arrow and Capron who argue that salaries may lag increases in demand because of administrative problems in justing salaries upward fast enough to fill all of the job vacancies that appear when demand for the product increases.<sup>3</sup> This is termed a "dynamic shortage." The best evidence for a dynamic shortage is found in increasing numbers of vacant jobs. An attempt was made in this study to collect survey data on vacancies over the period 1972-75, but it was impossible to obtain useful data except for a few occupations in 1975. Other possible sources of vacancy data, such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Job Banks of the public employment service, were examined but did not provide data useful for the present purposes.

One important use of the term "shortage" relates to utilization. If employers have difficulty obtaining adequate numbers of employees of customary quality on customary terms they may be expected to economize the use of the employees they already have. Measures such as overtime, job redesign, sub-contracting, and borrowing should be utilized more extensively during periods of shortage than in a period

of surplus or balanced supply and demand. In this study, 1972 was adopted as the base year to which later utilization indicators were compared because it was a year of widely recognized excess demand for STP. In the discussion of utilization, the term "relative shortage" is often used to compare conditions between occupations and industries. This term does not rule out the presence of a surplus in an absolute sense. Thus an industry or occupation may have experienced a change in a measure of utilization over the period 1972-75 that indicates a relative shortage in 1975 compared to 1972, even though an absolute surplus (or excess supply) of STP existed in both years. A relative shortage in 1975 suggests that the shortage was greater, or the surplus smaller, in 1975 than in 1972.

Other usages of the term "shortage" relate to hiring success. If employers in one year have a smaller proportion of job offers accepted than in the previous year, they may interpret this as a shortage. The ratio of acceptances to offers for various occupations are examined, and, for many STP occupations, the ratio declined over the period 1972-75. This is a difficult measure to interpret unambiguously, since the number of offers made depends to some extent on what the employer's expectation of acceptance might be. A decline in the acceptance-to-offer ratio is certainly consistent with the existence of a shortage, but, by itself, it may simply indicate that employers, recognizing the presence

<sup>3</sup> Kenneth J. Arrow and William M. Capron, "Dynamic Shortages and Price Rises: The Engineer-Scientist Case," Quarterly Journal of Economics, May, 1959, p. 306.



of excess supply in the market, make offers to better quality people whom they would not ordinarily expect to be able to hire on the terms offered. In the discussion of acceptance ratios, the concept of "relative shortage" is used as it is in the discussion of utilization.

The rest of Section 2.1 discusses the occupations and indicators on which data were collected in the survey and supports the selection of 1972 as a base year for comparisons. Section 2.2 examines the specific STP occupations with respect to salaries, acceptance ratios, and quits and vacancies. Section 2.3 examines employer utilization behavior by industry. Section 2.4 examines some specific STP occupations and relates employment conditions of the occupations to the needs of specific industries. It also includes conclusions on specific occupations in which there is significant evidence of shortages.

#### 2.1.1 - Occupations Included

<u>Engineers</u>	<u>Scientists</u>	<u>Technicians</u>
Chemical	Chemists	Agricultural
Civil/Sanitary	Physicists	Biological
Electrical/Electronic	Metalurgists	Chemical
Industrial	Geologists/	Electrical/
Materials/	Geophysicists	Electronic
Metallurgical/Ceramic	Agriculturists	Industrial
Mechanical	Biologists	Mechanical
Aeronautical	Medical	Mathematical
Mining	Mathematicians	Surveyors
Petroleum	Statisticians	Draftsmen
Geological	Computer	Other
Nuclear	Marine	
Other	Other	

This detailed occupational scheme is compatible with both the National Science Foundation's, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics' 470-level occupational classification system. It is the most disaggregated level which one could expect a wide spectrum of industries to find meaningful.

Respondents were asked to specify the "Other" STP for which they reported data. From this specification it was possible to isolate three additional occupational specialties:

General Engineers  
Meteorologists (Scientists)  
Engineering Technicians.

#### 2.1.2 - Data Source and Type

The primary source of data for this chapter is an extensive STP survey, conducted by mail and telephone between January and June, 1976, of manpower, personnel, and industrial relations experts in industries directly and indirectly related to energy production.<sup>4</sup> Quantitative STP data were collected on salaries, quits, vacancies, hires, offers, recruiting and other labor market indicators in recent years.

<sup>4</sup> Appendix A explains sample construction, Appendix B discusses the survey process, and Appendix C contains the survey materials.



Comments on recent experience were also solicited. These data are supplemented by information drawn from other sources, such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Frank Endicott's annual surveys of college placements, and the College Placement Council.

#### 2.1.3 - Indicators

Salaries of inexperienced new hires should be directly related to labor market excess demand through competition. Excess demand is the difference between the demand and supply schedules at a certain price. It is positive when demand exceeds supply, and negative when supply exceeds demand. Positive excess demand in a market presents a situation that may be characterized as "tight" or "shortage" from the point of view of demanders, or employers. The ratio of acceptances to offers should be inversely related since prospective hires will receive more good offers in a market with positive excess demand. Data were collected for new inexperienced hires to avoid clouding the data by mixing offers to experienced and inexperienced hires.<sup>5</sup> Vacancy and quit rates for STP should be directly related to the level

of excess demand. Workers experiencing high demand for their specialty should quit more often as they are lured to better offers, creating vacancies.

College and university interviews with scientific and technical students, and the number of recruiting personnel used should be directly related to the excess demand of the job market. Lower acceptance rates and more vacancies as well as incremental need for STP should cause increases in these indicators.

Other indicators and actions employers may have taken to accommodate to excess demand in the STP labor market are also discussed. These include:

- a) overtime
- b) redesigning jobs
- c) reducing educational, experience, or other qualifications
- d) sub-contracting work
- e) hiring temporary STP
- f) borrowing STP from other firms
- g) borrowing STP from other divisions or locations
- h) training costs
- i) delaying or cancelling production
- j) retraining from one technical specialty to another
- k) using managerial or technical staff whose duties do not normally include recruiting for recruiting purposes.

#### 2.1.4 - General conditions

The STP labor market conditions for industries included in this study reflect the generally languorous conditions of STP job market. W. L. Hansen, "The Shortage of Engineers," Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. XLIII (August 1961), pp. 251-56.

<sup>5</sup> Hansen has shown that only starting engineers registered larger increases than did all workers in a tight STP job market. W. L. Hansen, "The Shortage of Engineers," Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. XLIII (August 1961), pp. 251-56.



BLS data), the most recent reporting period included in the survey. The unemployment rate of professional and technical workers, while less than one-half the national average, was higher in 1975 and 1976 than in 1971, its previous peak.

STP employment as a whole reflects the presence of considerable excess supply of labor over the period 1972-75, and direct measures (such as starting salaries) show little evidence of shortages for engineers or scientists in general, although a few engineering occupations and several technician occupations do show signs of moderate shortages. Job vacancy data are available only for 1975, and for most occupations are not especially large in size, although there is no convenient base to which the 1975 vacancy estimates can be compared. Acceptance-to-offer ratios are consistent with increasing shortages (or decreasing surpluses) for several occupations from 1972 to 1975, but most occupations show a relatively high degree of success in recruiting. Industry indicators of utilization do not, on the whole, indicate that energy-related industries economized STP more in 1975 than in 1972.

The following quotation is a typical account of STP labor market conditions in 1972:

Unemployment among engineers, rather than shortages of a decade ago, became a major concern as the economy entered the 1970's. Cutbacks in Federal expenditures for defense and space activities and the slower pace of economic progress were its major causes. Reports of many unemployed engineers driving taxis for a living, abandoning their mortgaged homes, or living on welfare appeared in numerous professional publications and newspapers. State employment service offers across the country showed shrinking job opportunities for engineers.<sup>6</sup>

Part of the problem in 1972 was the fact that many 1971 science and engineering graduates were still seeking jobs. A 1972 Wall Street Journal story was captioned "Glum Grads: As Class of '72 Nears Marketplace, Class of '71 is Still Pounding Streets."<sup>7</sup> The Engineering Manpower Commission found only 88 per cent of 1971 graduates had commitments upon graduation compared to 96 per cent in 1969. These percentages overstate the ability of the market to employ job-seekers since among those commitments were 26 per cent planning graduate study and 14 per cent entering military service in 1971 compared to 16 per cent and 9 per cent respectively in 1969.<sup>8</sup>

#### 2.1.5 - 1972 as a Reference Year

Nineteen Seventy-two was selected as the reference year because it was the last full year before the onset of the Arab Oil Embargo of October 1973 and was a year of historically high unemployment for STP.

<sup>6</sup> K. Naughton, "Characteristics of Jobless Engineers," Monthly Labor Review, Vol. 95, No. 10, (October 1972), p. 16.

<sup>7</sup> "Glum Grads; . . .," Wall Street Journal, January 21, 1972.

<sup>8</sup> "Engineering and Technology Graduates - 1971," New York, Engineering Manpower Commission, Engineers Joint Council, 1971.



The Engineering Manpower Commission, reported: "the effect of aerospace cutbacks was at its most severe stage [in 1971-2]". "The year 1972 marked the bottom of a three-year decline in engineering hires when its graduating class was the largest since 1950."<sup>9</sup>

The editors of Chemical Engineering Progress describe the years 1971-2 as "gloomy" for engineering employment.<sup>10</sup> One indicator they cite is the number of pages of recruitment advertising in their journal. In 1971 and 1972 the average number of pages was four, compared to eight and one-half for 1973 and slightly higher in 1974. In August of 1972 the journal's help wanted ads were running at their lowest level in 14 years.<sup>11</sup>

The Bureau of Labor Statistics compiles occupational unemployment data for professional and technical workers as a group. Their data show that 1971 and 1972 were the years of greatest unemployment for this category since the data series began, (except for 1975 and 1976). These data are

shown in table 2.1.5a and figure 2.1.5.12

The Bureau notes however, that "meaningful comparisons of occupational employment levels cannot be made between 1971-72 with prior periods nor between these years," due to questionnaire and classification changes. Almost paradoxically, they then state the effect on unemployment rates are "believed" to be "negligible."<sup>13</sup>

John Alden of the Engineering Manpower Commission called the 1971 situation "the aerospace unemployment crisis." He also complains of the government's discontinuation of the collection of data since 1970, saying that there are "no good employment data more recent than 1970."<sup>14</sup>

The National Science Foundation reports unemployment data for a less aggregated occupational category -- science and engineering labor force (Table 2.1.5b). The 1972 unemployment rate of 1.9 per cent was almost double the 1970 or 1974 rate of 1.1 per cent.<sup>15</sup>

12 U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Handbook of Labor Statistics - 1975, and Monthly Labor Review, Vol. 99, No. 9, (September 1976), pp. 74-5, for 1975-76 data.


13 U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Handbook of Labor Statistics - 1975, p. 2.

14 J. Alden, "An Overview of the Situation," Chemical Engineering Progress, Vol. 71, No. 10, (October, 1975). It should be noted that the BLS, with NSF support, will resume collecting data for STP in 1977.

15 National Science Foundation, "Science Resource Studies Highlights," May 19, 1975.



Figure 2.1.5



Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, National Surveys of Occupations, Professional, Administrative, Clerical Workers.

\*Includes accountants, auditors, personal directors, at-torneys, buyers, job analysts.

\*Survey did not represent a 12-month period. Data pro-rated to represent 12-month interval for non-entirely level occupations. Entry level (Grade I) and CPI data for 9 months, June '71 to March '72.

	69/70	70/71	71/72*	72/73	73/74	74/75	75/76
Processional, administrative and technical support**	6.2	6.7	5.5	5.4	6.3	8.3	6.7
Chemist	5.9	5.5	5.1	3.7	7.1	10.1	6.6
Engineering technician	5.5	5.7	5.2	3.7	5.1	4.7	6.8
Engineer I	5.7	4.6	2.2	2.0	6.2	10.7	5.8
Chemist I	4.9	5.6	1.6	2.0	6.2	10.2	6.1
Technician I	6.1	8.8	5.3	4.0	6.4	8.1	5.1
Draftsman I	5.4	6.0	4.7	4.0	5.9	6.5	5.6
CPI	4.5	2.9	4.7	4.7	10.2	10.3	6.1
Unemployment rate (includes administrative and technical)	69	70	71	72	73	74	75



Engineering and professional societies, alarmed at 1972's depressed labor market, called on government to lend assistance to the technical professions. "Presidents of seven leading engineering societies met with Secretary of Labor J. D. Hodgson and other high Manpower Administration officials" to discuss defense and aerospace cutbacks.<sup>17</sup> The government was asked to assist the unemployed in finding jobs and stimulate demand for scientific and technical personnel by expanding government programs to address national needs such as productivity, mass transportation, and pollution.

Despite the lack of detailed data, 1972 (or 1971-72) was obviously the worst period for STP employment in recent history, at least until the more general recession of 1975-76. 1972 was characterized by relatively high unemployment, under-utilization, layoffs, falling real wages, fears for job security, poor job prospects for new graduates, and regional migration out of the most depressed areas. The "relative shortages" should be interpreted in this frame of reference.

Table 2.1.5b  
Science and Engineering Labor Force Unemployment Rates  
(N.A. = not available)

	<u>1970</u>	<u>1972</u>	<u>1973</u>	<u>1974</u>
Computer specialists	N.A.	1.4%	.5%	1.0%
Engineers	N.A.	2.2	.9	1.1
Mathematical scientists	N.A.	1.8	1.0	.9
Life scientists	N.A.	1.2	.8	1.0
Physical scientists	N.A.	1.8	.7	1.3
Environmental scientists	N.A.	1.6	.9	1.1
All fields, including social scientists	1.18	1.9	.9	1.1

Source: National Science Foundation

The Engineering Manpower Commission's salary survey of July 1972, showed that median salaries of inexperienced bachelor's degree recipients increased 1 per cent from 1970-72, compared to 5.8 per cent for the 1968-70 period.<sup>16</sup> This increase resulted in a net loss in real terms, since inflation for the same period, 1970-72, exceeded 5.5 per cent. The previous lowest increase in the survey's twenty-year history for this category was 3.5 per cent from 1960 to 1962. Experienced engineer categories received comparable low increases compared to historical trends.

16 J. Alden, "Professional Income of Engineers - 1972," EMC, EJC, New York, 1972.

17 "Engineering Society Presidents Meet with Labor Secretary," Chemical Engineering Progress, Vol. 68, No. 2, (February 1972), p. 6.



## 2.2 - Occupation-specific labor market conditions for STP

### 2.2.1 - Introduction

This section discusses the relative labor market conditions for specific scientific, engineering, and technical occupations. Occupational conditions measured across all sample industries are examined for evidence of relative conditions.

Thirty-nine occupations were included in the survey and some data were received for nearly all of the occupations. Sample sizes were small in some occupational areas and only guarded conclusions can be drawn in those cases.

Three questions were asked to obtain data indicating labor market conditions for the specific occupations: 1) the number and magnitude of salary offers made to inexperienced new hires in the STP occupations between 1972 and 1975, and the number of those offers that were accepted; 2) the number of quits in the various occupations from 1972 to 1975; and 3) the number of vacancies in each of the occupations in the same years.

### 2.2.2 - Salary Offers

The first question concerned salary offers made to inexperienced new hires from 1972 to 1975. Salary offers

would be raised at a greater rate in the tighter labor markets in an attempt to attract the qualified people required. Average salary offers were calculated for each of the thirty-nine occupations (See Table 2.2.2a). As one measure of shortages, an average percentage rate of increase in salaries was calculated for all occupations over the four-year period. A high rate of increase indicates a greater shortage than a low rate of increase.

#### Engineers

A complete summary of the average percentage increase in salary offers is presented in Table 2.2.2b. The three highest average occupations in the engineering group are 1) Materials, Metallurgical and Ceramic; 2) Aeronautical; and 3) Petroleum. Most of the other engineering occupations are grouped in a range of +5.7 per cent to +7.4 per cent per year.

#### Scientists

As can be seen from Table 2.2.2b, the data for scientists vary much more than that for engineers. This variation is largely the result of sampling error arising from the small numbers of energy-related establishments that employed or hired scientists. The three occupations that have the greatest average salary increase are 1) Statisticians, 2) Physicists, and 3) Geologists.



Table 2.2.2a

## Engineers-Salary-Grand Mean

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Chemical	1072	1078	1016	947
Civil/Sanitary	1072	1011	925	877
Electrical/Electronic	1112	1030	991	927
Industrial	1092	1032	963	925
Mechanical/Metallurgical/Ceramic	1512	1052	*	*
Mechanical	1129	1034	957	891
Aeronautical	1389	**	966	**
Mining	***	***	840	**
Petroleum	1282	1134	1009	945
Geological	*	***	*	*
Nuclear	1067	992	928	1045
General	**	*	**	**
Other, n.e.c.	1061	946	865	868

## Technicians-Salary-Grand Mean

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Chemists	1105	932	902	830
Physicists	1358	1130	1065	956
Metallurgists	964	**	988	777
Geologists	1089	822	871	795
Agriculturists	1061	1002	977	903
Biologists	899	909	827	**
Medical	*	*	*	*
Mathematicians	992	986	1028	809
Statisticians	1458	**	884	*
Computer	1082	989	859	921
Marine	*	*	*	*
Meteorologist	**	**	**	*
Other, n.e.c.	610	1389	1122	**

## Technicians-Salary-Grand Mean

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Agricultural	**	**	**	**
Biological	599	515	445	**
Chemical	732	641	588	585
Electrical/Electronic	816	721	652	641
Industrial	852	687	637	650
Mechanical	871	794	645	682
Medical	*	*	*	*
Mathematical	837	613	563	617
Surveyors	798	697	678	583
Draftsmen	769	672	634	604
Engineering	**	700	600	**
Other, n.e.c.	901	661	646	669

## Architects-Salary-Grand Mean

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Architects	1012	939	1973	846

Table 2.2.2b

## Engineers-Percentage change in salary offers

Occupation	1974	1973	1972	Average
Chemical	1072	1078	1016	947
Civil/Sanitary	1072	1011	925	877
Electrical/Electronic	1112	1030	991	927
Industrial	1092	1032	963	925
Mechanical/Metallurgical/Ceramic	1512	1052	*	*
Mechanical	1129	1034	957	891
Aeronautical	1389	**	966	**
Mining	***	***	840	**
Petroleum	1282	1134	1009	945
Geological	*	***	*	*
Nuclear	1067	992	928	1045
General	**	*	**	**
Other, n.e.c.	1061	946	865	868

## Scientists-Percentage change in salary offers

Occupation	1974	1973	1972	Average
Chemists	1032	902	830	747
Physicists	1130	1065	956	747
Metallurgists	964	**	988	747
Geologists	1089	822	871	747
Agriculturists	1061	1002	977	747
Biologists	899	909	827	747
Medical	*	*	*	747
Mathematicians	992	986	1028	747
Statisticians	1458	**	884	747
Computer	1082	989	859	747
Marine	*	*	*	747
Meteorologist	**	**	**	747
Other, n.e.c.	610	1389	1122	747

## Technicians-Percentage change in salary offers

Occupation	1974	1973	1972	Average
Agricultural	**	**	**	**
Biological	116.3	115.7	115.7	116.3
Chemical	114.2	114.0	114.0	114.2
Electrical/Electronic	113.2	110.6	110.6	113.2
Industrial	114.0	117.8	117.8	114.0
Mechanical	114.7	123.1	123.1	114.7
Medical	*	*	*	*
Mathematical	114.5	12.8	12.8	114.5
Surveyors	114.4	16.0	16.0	114.4
Draftsmen	**	16.7	16.7	114.4
Engineering	116.7	23.3	23.3	116.7
Other, n.e.c.	116.3	2.3	2.3	116.3

## Architects-Percentage change in salary offers

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	Average
Architects	1012	939	1973	846



### Technicians

From Table 2.2.b, the four highest-ranking technician occupations are 1) Biology Technicians, 2) Mathematical Technicians, 3) Other Technicians, n.e.c., and 4) Surveyors. The rest of the occupations range from +1.2 per cent to +9.9 per cent average salary increase.

By a strict application of the "salary-rise" shortage criterion, of course, all occupations showed evidence of shortages by the increase in salaries. During the 1972 to 1974, however, the purchasing power of the dollar decreased, so that real salaries, or salaries in dollars of constant purchasing power, may not have increased. The Consumer Price Index increased from 125.3 in 1972 to 161.2 in 1975 (1967 = 100), an increase of 28.7 per cent. If the starting salary of an occupation increased by more than 28.7 per cent, it may be said that the occupation experienced a salary-rise shortage during the period. Occupations in which starting salaries increased more rapidly than the CPI are:

Occupation	<u> Increase 1972-75</u>
Petroleum Engineer	35.7
Chemist	33.1
Physicist	42.1
Geologist	37.8
Electrical/Electronic Technician	31.0
Mathematical Technician	35.7
Surveyor	36.9
Other Technician, nec	34.7

These salary increases, while greater than the cost of living, hardly indicate rapid or substantial increases in real earnings or real cost of labor. For real earnings to increase as little as these occupations have over a period of four years would hardly have been considered evidence of a shortage in the 1960's. Nevertheless, these findings do suggest that for these occupations there has been a small, but real salary increase over the period 1972-75.

It is customary in discussing "salary-rise" shortages to compare the salaries of the occupation under examination to the salaries of comparable occupation to determine relative salary-rise shortages. Comparable occupational groups for STP might include professional and technical workers and managers and administrators. Average weekly earnings for professional and technical workers were \$192 in 1972 and \$246 in 1975, in current dollars, and showed no change over the period in constant 1967 dollars at \$154. Weekly earnings for managers and administrators averaged \$214 in 1972 and \$274 in 1975, and were unchanged at \$172 in 1967 constant dollars.<sup>18</sup> The fortuitous lack of change in real earnings over the period 1972-75 makes it unnecessary to evaluate

18 Bureau of Labor Statistics, Special Labor Force Report, No. 143, Usual Weekly Earnings of American Workers, 1971 and unpublished data in Bureau of the Census, Statistical Abstract of the United States, December 1976, table 603, p. 377.



ate relative changes, since if occupational starting salaries increased more than the change in the CPI, the salary relative to these two occupational groups would also increase.

If year-to-year changes in starting salaries are compared to changes in the CPI, a measure of shortages for each year can be observed. For engineers, 1973 showed a number of salary-rise shortages. Chemical, Civil/Sanitary, Electrical/Electronic, Mechanical, and Petroleum Engineers all showed shortages. It is likely that other specialties, such as Mining and Geological Engineers would also have shown a shortage were data available. These salary increases represent pre-Embargo conditions, since the Embargo could have had little effect on starting salaries of most of the new hires who were employed before October 1973. In 1974, only Petroleum Engineers showed a salary-rise shortage, and in 1975, only Petroleum and Other, nec Engineers showed a shortage. Petroleum Engineers are clearly unusual in that a salary increase greater than the increase in the CPI occurred in all three years, 1973, 1974, and 1975. Petroleum Engineers are also noteworthy in that their starting salaries were higher than most engineering occupations in 1972, so that these annual increases represent getting ahead, rather than catching up.

For scientists, real salary increases, or salary-rise shortages, were observed for Chemists in 1973 and 1975, for

Physicists in 1973 and 1975, for Metallurgists in 1973, for Geologists in 1973 and 1975, for Agriculturists in 1973, for Mathematicians in 1973, for Computer Scientists in 1974, and for Other Scientists, nec in 1974.

If the technician occupations for which salary data were available, salary-rise shortages were observed for most occupations in 1974 and 1975, and for Surveyors and Draftsmen in 1973.

Additional information on starting salaries are available from other sources, and are presented for purpose of comparison. The College Placement Council, Frank Endicott, and BLS all survey engineering starting salaries (Table 2.2.2c).

The CAC sample results are reasonably close to the other surveys for each of the years examined. For all engineers, CAC and BLS did not find a real salary increase in 1975, while Endicott and CPC did, but the percentage changes are reasonably close for all surveys. CAC and Endicott found a salary rise for engineers in 1973, but CPC and BLS did not, but, once again, the percentage changes are close for the four surveys.

For scientific occupations, there is a large variance in results among surveys. It must be admitted that the CAC



survey results appear to be very different from the others. This arises from the small number of scientists employed in the establishments and industries that are energy related.

Table 2.2.2c  
Percentage Changes in Starting Salaries, Selected Scientific Occupations, 1972-1976

Source	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Engineering					
CAC	na	5.6	7.5	9.2	na
CPC*	1.5	4.3	7.2	11.6	7.3
Endicott**	1.0	5.8	6.4	10.9	5.6
BLS***	2.2	2.6	6.4	8.5	7.8
2.2.3 - Acceptance Ratios					

It seems reasonable to attach little importance to the salary rise shortages observed in the CAC sample data because of the high variance in the results of the several surveys and small number of years in which a shortage would be found for these occupations in these other surveys.

A second question designed to obtain data on relative shortages is the ratio of hires/offers, or average acceptance ratio. Table 2.2.3a summarizes the data for all occupations for each of the four years, 1972 to 1975. The measure used to observe relative shortages is the average decline in the acceptance rate between 1972 and 1975. If there is a shortage in an occupation, the average firm would have to make more job offers in order to be sure of attracting the number of people the firm wishes to employ in that occupation. Therefore, the greatest average percentage decline in acceptance rates would reflect the greatest relative shortage.

\* College Placement Council  
\*\* Frank Endicott  
\*\*\* Bureau of Labor Statistics for Chemist I grade.



Table 2.2.3a

## Acceptance Ratio-Engineers

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Chemical	0.434	0.379	0.452	0.561
Civil/Sanitary	0.595	0.512	0.465	0.539
Electrical/Electronic	0.559	0.648	0.763	0.809
Industrial	0.545	0.532	0.495	0.647
Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic	0.222	0.364	0.500	*
Mechanical	0.559	0.754	0.758	0.700
Aeronautical	0.300	0.429	0.700	0.500
Mining	0.300	0.429	1.000	*
Petroleum	0.292	0.471	0.628	0.444
Geological	*	*	*	*
Nuclear	0.622	0.673	0.678	1.000
General, n.e.c.	0.332	0.437	0.412	0.337

## Acceptance Ratio-Scientists

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Chemists	0.659	0.556	0.728	0.914
Physicists	0.810	0.677	0.603	0.796
Metallurgists	1.000	**	0.809	1.000
Geologists/Geophysicists	0.608	0.684	0.770	0.364
Agriculturists	0.333	1.000	*	1.000
Biologists	0.429	**	1.000	*
Medical	*	*	*	*
Mathematicians	0.929	0.667	0.750	0.500
Statisticians	0.750	*	0.607	*
Computer	0.700	0.667	0.605	0.656
Marine	*	*	*	*
Meteorologists	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Other, n.e.c.	0.222	0.400	1.000	1.000

## Acceptance Ratio-Technicians

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Agricultural	0.824	1.000	1.000	0.714
Biological	**	**	**	1.000
Chemical	0.871	0.896	0.877	**
Electrical/Electronic	0.639	0.850	0.840	0.841
Industrial	0.701	0.734	0.719	0.536
Mechanical	0.480	0.650	0.628	0.572
Medical	*	*	*	*
Mathematical	0.412	0.515	0.829	0.417
Surveyors	0.843	**	0.636	0.778
Draftsmen	0.468	0.418	0.790	0.719
Engineering	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Other, n.e.c.	0.589	0.520	0.552	1.000

## Acceptance Ratio-Architects

Occupation	1975	1974	1973	1972
Architects	0.500	0.708	0.816	0.636

The three highest ranking occupations in the engineering area are 1) Mining Engineers, 2) Metallurgical, Materials, Ceramic Engineers, and 3) Petroleum Engineers. Table 2.2.3b lists the rankings. There is wide variance from year to year, as can be seen in Petroleum Engineers. This is mainly caused by different firms reporting data for different years.

The pattern of acceptance ratios, both in level in 1975 and in changes, is consistent with shortages of Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic, Aeronautical, Mining, and Petroleum Engineers. Less than one-third of the offers in these specialties resulted in hires in 1975, and in each case the acceptance ratio had declined from earlier years.

## Scientists

The three highest ranking occupations in the scientists group are 1) Agricultural, 2) Chemists, and 3) Meteorologists. As can be seen in Table 2.2.3b, large variations are evident and some occupations have no data at all.

The only occupations with both low acceptance ratios and declines are Agriculturists and Biologists, but the data are spotty, and probably deserve little attention.



Table 2.2.3b

## Engineers-Ranked Average Percent Change in Acceptance Rates

<u>Occupation</u>	Average	75-74	74-73	73-72	Technicians
Mining	-29.1	-30.1	-57.1	<u>73-72</u>	
Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic	-22.1	-39.0	-27.2	*	Among the technician occupations, the three highest
Nuclear	-13.5	-7.6	-6.7	-32.2	ranking are 1) Other, n.e.c., 2) Draftsmen, and 3)
Electrical/Electronic	-11.5	-13.7	-15.1	-5.7	Draftsmen, and 3)
Aeronautical	-9.6	-30.1	-38.7	+40.0	Electrical/Electronic.
Petroleum	-7.2	-38.0	-25.0	+41.4	
Chemical	-7.0	+14.5	-16.2	-19.4	
Mechanical	-6.0	-25.9	-0.5	+8.3	
Industrial	-4.5	+2.4	+7.5	-23.5	Only Draftsmen show both a low level of acceptance ratio and a noticeable decline.
Other, n.e.c.	+1.5	-24.0	+6.1	+22.3	
Civil/Sanitary	+4.2	+16.2	+10.1	-13.7	
Geological	*	*	*	*	
General	*	*	*	*	

## Scientists-Ranked Average Percent Change in Acceptance Rates

<u>Occupation</u>	Average	75-74	74-73	73-72	Technicians
Agriculturists	-22.2	-66.7	*	<u>73-72</u>	
Chemists	-8.5	+18.5	-23.6	-20.4	A third measure of shortage is quit rates as shown in
Metallurgists	-6.4	*	*	-19.1	Table 2.2.4. This question asked for the number of quits in
Meteorologists	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	each occupation for each of the years 1972 to 1975.
Computer	+2.4	+4.9	+10.2	-7.8	
Physicists	+2.6	+19.6	+12.3	-24.2	
Mathematicians	+26.1	+39.3	-11.1	+50.0	Quit rates were calculated for 1975. The quit rate
Geologists	+29.7	-11.1	-11.2	+111.5	equals the number of quits per firm divided by the number of
Other, n.e.c.	+65.2	-44.5	-60.0	+300.0	employees per firm in the particular occupation. A fourth
Biologists	*	*	*	*	measure was vacancy rates, also included in Table 2.2.4.
Medical	*	*	*	*	Vacancies were obtained for each of the years 1972 to 1975
Statisticians	*	*	*	*	and vacancy rates were calculated for 1975 in the same
Marine	*	*	*	*	manner that quit rates were calculated. These two measures

## Technicians-Ranked Average Percent Change in Acceptance Ratio

<u>Occupation</u>	Average	75-74	74-73	73-72	Technicians
Other, n.e.c.	-12.4	+13.3	-5.8	<u>73-72</u>	
Draftsmen	-8.4	+12.0	-47.1	-44.8	
Electrical/Electronic	-7.9	-24.8	+1.2	+9.9	
Surveyors	-6.1	*	*	-0.1	
Mechanical	-4.3	-26.2	+3.5	-18.3	
Chemical	-0.2	-2.8	+2.2	+9.8	
Engineering	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Agricultural	+7.5	-17.6	0.0	+40.1	
Industrial	+10.6	-4.5	+2.1	+34.1	
Mathematical	+13.6	-20.0	-37.9	+98.8	
Biological	*	*	*	*	
Medical	*	*	*	*	

## Architects-Ranked Average Percent Change in Acceptance Ratio

<u>Occupation</u>	Average	75-74	74-73	73-72	Architects
Architects	-4.8	-29.4	-13.2	<u>73-72</u>	



## Engineers

Table 2.2.4

Engineers-1975: Quits' & Vacancies' Rankings

Occupation	Quits	Vacancies
Nuclear	0.571	0.595
Mining	0.080	0.177
Civil/Sanitary	0.055	0.141
Chemical	0.052	0.051
Industrial	0.047	0.041
Electrical/Electronic	0.042	0.034
Other, n.e.c.	0.041	0.024
General	0.040	0.023
Tectological	0.036	0.022
Technical	0.035	0.021
Petroleum	0.026	0.008
Aeronautical	0.020	0.000
Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic	0.012	0.000

Scientists-1975: Quits' & Vacancies' Rankings

Occupation	Quits	Vacancies
Other, n.e.c.	0.229	0.125
Mathematicians	0.157	0.143
Biologists	0.110	0.040
Heterologists	0.109	0.036
Physicists	0.098	0.013
Metallurgical	0.087	0.005
Geological	0.073	0.000
Computer	0.064	0.000
Chemists	0.049	0.000
Agricultural	0.027	0.000
Medical	0.000	0.000
Statisticians	0.000	0.000
Marine	0.000	0.000
Marine	0.000	0.000
Meteorologists	0.000	0.000

Technicians-1975: Quits' & Vacancies' Rankings

Occupation	Quits	Vacancies
Chemical	0.780	0.069
Surveyors	0.172	0.045
Engineering	0.156	0.034
Draftsmen	0.099	0.031
Mechanical	0.096	0.032
Industrial	0.095	0.031
Mathematical	0.087	0.019
Other, n.e.c.	0.065	0.010
Biological	0.067	0.007
Agricultural	0.064	0.003
Electrical/Electronic	0.009	0.000

Architects-1975: Quits' and Vacancies' Rankings

Occupation	Quits	Vacancies
Architects	0.013	0.004

Four specific occupations were rated among the top five in each of the quit and vacancy rate measures. These were 1) Nuclear Engineers, 2) Mining Engineers, 3) Chemical Engineers, and 4) Industrial Engineers. Civil Engineers were included in the top five of quit rates, and Mechanical Engineers were in the top five of vacancy rates. This comparison has been made because it seems that there should be a high correlation between these two measures.

Scientists

The data for the scientists are not as highly correlated as for the engineers. The only occupation that is ranked highly in both quits and vacancy rates is Other, n.e.c. For quit rates, the highly ranked occupations are 1) Other, n.e.c., 2) Mathematicians, 3) Biologists, 4) Meteorologists, and 5) Physicists. For vacancy rates, the five high-ranked groups are 1) Other, n.e.c., 2) Agriculturists, 3) Geologists, 4) Computer, and 5) Chemists.

Technicians

The data for technicians are correlated to a greater extent than that for scientists. Three occupations appear in the top five of both quit and vacancy rates. They are 1)



Chemical Technicians, 2) Draftsmen, and 3) Mechanical Technicians. Surveyors and Engineering Technicians are highly ranked in quit rates. Mathematical Technicians and Electrical Technicians are highly ranked in vacancy rates.

It is impossible to place the vacancy and quit rates in perspective, since responses and data did not permit estimation of these rates in earlier years. The quit and vacancy rates for nuclear engineers are quite high by any standard, but with the very low salaries offered in this specialty, and rather high and unchanging acceptance ratios, it is impossible to take these measures seriously. Establishments that have unfilled nuclear engineering positions apparently have done very little either to raise salaries to levels comparable to other engineering specialties or to increase recruiting effort by increasing the number of offers.

It is difficult to guess what a normal or acceptable vacancy rate would be for engineers and scientists. A vacancy rate of 10 per cent or more might be thought to be a major inconvenience if the vacancies represented funded and needed positions, but since many starting engineers positions are primarily for training new engineers and little productive work is expected from such trainees, a substantial number of vacancies may create no real inconvenience. The well-known reluctance of employers to utilize, or even interview, walk-in or experienced job applicants suggests that most employers rely heavily on the June graduates as

the major source of new engineering hires, and that an unsuccessful recruiting year causes relatively little inconvenience. A succession of two or more unsuccessful years in which large numbers of new engineering positions went unfilled would be a different story.

#### 2.2.5 - Summary Results

In Table 2.2.5, each occupation has been ranked from relative shortage to relative surplus for each of the four measures; a score of one (1) indicating a relative shortage and a score of thirteen (13) indicating a relative surplus. These rankings were summed for each of the occupations, and a total relative surplus to relative shortage table developed. There is no indication that the measures should be equally weighted. Conversely, there is no indication that one measure is more important than the others, so a simple summation has been used.

For engineers, a group of three stands out at the relative shortage end of the table. These are 1) Nuclear Engineers, 2) Mining Engineers, and 3) Chemical Engineers. The other occupations are ranked substantially below the first three occupations. Nevertheless, the inconsistency of very low salaries along with very high vacancy, quit, and acceptance rates suggests that Nuclear Engineers are really a shortage occupation. Moreover, the low acceptance rate



Table 2.2.5

and the relatively high starting salary and the salary-rise shortage in each of the years 1972-1975 indicates that there

may very well be a shortage of Petroleum Engineers, even though vacancy and quit rates are not very high.

For scientists, four occupations stand out as relative shortage occupations. These are 1) Physicists, 2) Chemists, and 3) Agriculturists, and 4) Geologists. There is no large break in the total scores, as in the engineering category, and the next four occupations are not ranked far behind. Because of the relatively low numbers of persons in these occupations in the establishments surveyed, and the inconsistency between the CAC and other survey data, it is difficult to accept the conclusion that any of these occupations have actually experienced any significant shortage during the period.

Occupation	Salary	Quit	Vacancy Acceptance	
			1	3
Nuc/Tear	11	2	6	1
Mining	7.5	2	2	7
Chemical	5	4	8	2
Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic	1	13	4	8
Mechanical	4	10	3	9
Industrial	10	5	11	5
Aeronautical	2	12	5	13
Civil/Sanitary	7.5	3	5	13
Electrical/Electronic	9	6	10	4
Petroleum	3	11	9	6
Other, n.e.c.	6	7	7	12
General	12	8	13	10.5
Geological	13	9	12	10.5

## Scientists-Rank

Occupation	Salary	Quit	Vacancy Acceptance	
			2	5
Physicists	4	9	5	5
Chemists	8	10	2	1
Agriculturists	3	7	3	8
Geologists	7	8	4	4
Computer	6	4	10	3
Meteorologists	5	2	10	7
Mathematicians	13	1	1	9
Other, n.e.c.	10	6	10	6
Metallurgists	9	3	10	11.5
Biologists	1	12	10	11.5
Statisticians	11.5	12	10	11.5
Marine	11.5	12	10	11.5
Medical	11.5	12	10	11.5

## Technicians-Rank

Occupation	Salary	Quit	Vacancy Acceptance	
			2	4
Draftsmen	7.5	2	6	4
Surveyors	4	1	1	6
Chemical	9	5	3	5
Mechanical	6	8	7	1
Other, n.e.c.	3	2	7	10
Mathematical	2	7	4	10
Electrical/Electronic	7.5	11	5	3
Industrial	5	6	9	9
Engineering	11	3	11	7
Biological	1	9	11	11.5
Agricultural	10	10	8	8
Medical	12	12	11	11.5



## 2.3 - Conditions for STP in Energy-related Industries

### 2.3.1 - Introduction

This section discusses a number of measurements of labor market excess demand for all scientific and technical workers. Industry-specific rather than occupation-specific conditions are considered. Poor response in a few industries prohibits presentation of empirical results for some items to ensure confidentiality and avoid spurious conclusions.

### 2.3.2 - Employer actions

Several questions were asked to elicit responses concerning actions employers might take to alleviate the effects of a general STP shortage. Many types of actions are probable prior to raising salaries to attract greater supply. Problems of maintaining internal wage differentials and the aggregate ineffectiveness of salary competition call for a variety of other actions.

Each question was framed in terms of the extent (much more, more, less, much less on a 1-4 scale) which the employer used an action to alleviate possible STP shortages since the pre-energy crisis year of 1972. Thus a neutral score, 2.5, indicates that employers perceive no change in their manpower utilization behavior in 1975 versus 1972.

Caution must be exercised in interpreting a particular score.

If Industry A scores lower than Industry B on a particular item (with this scoring system), one would conclude that Industry A found it necessary to increase the use of an action to a greater extent than Industry B, and therefore that labor market conditions had tightened more in the former. Since the scale is ordinal, it cannot be said that a score of 1.5 (one unit from neutral) indicates conditions had tightened twice as much as a score of 2.0 (one-half unit from neutral). Also, if a behavioral action was used extensively in 1972 and 1975, the appropriate score might be 2.5, indicating no change. Finally, respondents may tend to score high or low on any particular question, perhaps indicating an aversion to an action rather than comparative labor market conditions, so the all-industry score is presented with each question to provide a reference frame.

Typical questions concern such actions as overtime and redesigning jobs to free STP from clerical or administrative duties. Each question will be presented with the all-industry score and individual industries that scored lower. The survey question is presented so that the precise wording may be referred to. Again, a low score would indicate a tightening labor market relative to 1972.



### 2.3.3 - Overtime

The first question concerned overtime for STP. Overtime is likely to be an employer's first, though probably temporary, response to increased STP requirements. It is an economical way to increase STP supply when the increase in requirements is of uncertain duration or magnitude.

All industries combined reported their STP worked slightly less overtime in 1975 than 1972. Several industries reported they used this device more in 1975. In addition to the "direct" energy-supply industries (Oil and Gas Extraction and Coal Mining), several "indirect" energy-supply industries ranked high on their use of overtime, including Materials Handling Equipment, Pipes, Valves, and Fittings, Engines and Turbines, Industrial Chemicals, and Basic Steel. A complete ranking of industries scoring below the all-industry mean is provided with the precise wording of the question in Table 2.3.3.

### 2.3.4 - Redesigning jobs

Redesigning jobs to free STP from administrative or clerical tasks is another way to increase effective STP supply. Increased requirements for STP should cause employers to utilize their STP more effectively by releasing them from administrative and clerical tasks, assuming the people who will perform the tasks are not themselves in short supply.

SIC	Industry	Score
3534-7	All Industries	2.57
11,12	Materials Handling Machinery and Equipment	2.00
1623,9	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	2.25
13	Pipes, Valves, and Gas Extraction	2.25
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	2.31
331	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills	2.33
351	Engines and Turbines	2.33
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	2.38
40,471	Railroads	2.40
3531-3	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment	2.41
356	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.50
361,2,7	Engineering, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Inst.	2.53
492	Gas Utilities	2.53
8911	Architectural and Engineering Services	2.53

Question 8 in comparison to 1972, to what extent did your scientific and technical employees work overtime in 1975?

Table 2.3.3



All industries combined reported that they redesigned jobs for this purpose slightly less than in 1972 (Table 2.3.4). Indirect energy-supply industries dominated the ranking of industries, led by Electronic Components and Accessories, Fabricated Structural Metal Products, and Engines and Turbines.

#### 2.3.5 – Reducing qualifications

Employers may increase their effective supply of STP by reducing the formal requirements of jobs. In effect, a specification of 10 years experience or a master's degree might be a desirable but not a strictly necessary qualification for a particular position. Even a genuine prerequisite may be waived in favor of on-the-job training during a tight labor market situation.

The composite score for all industries on this item was 2.9 on a 1-4 (more to less) scale of the extent this action was necessary (Table 2.3.5). This indicates that industry as a whole found it far less necessary to reduce qualifications in 1975 than in 1972. Both indirect and direct industries scored relatively high on this item, indicating no need to reduce qualifications. Industries which reported this action was used more in 1975 than in 1972 include Electronic Components and Accessories, Pipelines except natural gas, and Engineering and Scientific Instruments.

SIC	Industry	Score
367	All Industries	2.54
344	Electronics Components and Accessories	2.00
351	Fabricated Structural Steel Products	2.14
46	Pipelines, except Natural Gas	2.17
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	2.17
371	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.33
356	Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicles	2.33
281,2,7	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	2.36
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	2.40
381,2,7	Engineering, Laboratory, Development and Research Inst.	2.47
29	Clockwork Devices	2.42
343	Petroleum Refining	2.43
491,12	Heating Equipment except Electric	2.47
331	Electric Utilities	2.50
11;12	Coal and Lignite Mining	2.50
492	Gas Utilities	2.50

Question 9 in comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment find it necessary to redesign jobs in order to release scientific or technical staff from non-technical duties such as clerical or administrative work in 1975?

Table 2.3.4



### **2.3.6 - Sub-contracting**

Employers facing excess demand for STP might be expected to sub-contract part of their work. The net effect of this action on labor market conditions would depend on the occupational requirements of subcontractors and interindustry occupational specificity, among other factors. Unlike the three previous behavioral actions, this particular action reduces employer requirements rather than increases effective supply. The net result in alleviating a possible shortage is the same.

Most employers reported that sub-contracting was substantially less necessary in 1975 than in 1972 (Table 2.3.6). On the scale of 1-4 (more to less) the all-industry score was 2.87. Beating Equipment except electric, Petroleum Refining, and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments were the only industries reporting an increased reliance on sub-contracting of work to alleviate SIP shortages.

### 2.3.7 - Temporary Stop

Employers can increase their effective STP supply by hiring temporary personnel from outside firms. Like overtime, this is at best a temporary solution, but may appear the most desirable alternative under uncertain conditions.

SIC	Industry	Score
367	All Industries	2.90
46	Electroninc Components and Accessories	2.00
46	Pipe-lines, except Natural Gas	2.25
381,2,7	Engineering, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Inst.	6.88
381,2,7	Associated Equipment, Measuring and Controlling Inst.	6.88
492	Gas Utilities	2.42
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	2.57
344	Fabricated Structural Steel Products	2.67
371	Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment	2.67
491,3	Coal and Utility Mining	2.75
1623,9	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	2.81
40,474	Railroads	2.88
29	Petroleum Refining	2.90

Question 10 In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment reduce educational, experiential, training or other qualifications for scientific and technical jobs in 1975?



The composite industry score on this item was 2.96, indicating that most employers hired fewer temporary STP in 1975 than in 1972 (Table 2.3.7). The only industry which resorted to this action more in 1975 was General Industrial Machinery. Industrial Chemicals, Engines and Turbines, and Non-Commercial Scientific and Research Establishments reported they used temporary help to the same extent in both years.

#### 2.3.8 - Borrowing or transferring STP from other divisions or locations

Since many firms have more than one establishment, it is possible for a particular establishment in a particular product-line to have excess demand while other locations are operating below capacity and have excess personnel. Under these conditions intra-organizational transfers or borrowing of personnel might be undertaken to fill positions.

Industry as a whole reported that this was less necessary in 1975 than 1972 (Table 2.3.8). Basic Steel and Engines and Turbines were the only industries reporting increased reliance on borrowing or transferring STP from other locations or divisions. Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments and Pipelines except natural gas reported no change since 1972.

SIC	Industry	All Industries
343	Heating Equipment except Electric	2.87
29	Petroleum Refining	2.33
351	Non-Commercial Scientific Establishments	2.40
356	Engines and Turbines	2.44
492	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.50
48;474	Kali roads	2.50
331	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills	2.63
3531-3	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment.	2.67
381,2,7	Engineering, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Insts.	2.70
46	Clockwork Devices	2.75
344	Pipelines, except Natural Gas	2.79
	Commerce, Research and Development Laboratories	2.80

Question 11 In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment find it necessary to sub-contract work as a result of scientific or technical -



SIC	Industry	Score
331	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills	2.00
351	Engines and Turbines	2.17
46	Pipe-lines, except Natural Gas	2.50
8922	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.50
343	Petroleum Refining	2.58
40;474	Railroads	2.63
29	Heat-ing Equipment except Electrical	2.70
11;12	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.70
356	Gas Utilities	2.75
492	Gas Utilities	2.75
281,6,9	Architectural and Engineering Services	2.75
3494,8	Pipes, Valves, and Fittings	2.78
361	Electrical Transmission and Distribution Equipment	2.79

Question 13 In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment borrow or transfer scientists or technicians from other locations or divide-sions of your firm in 1975?

Table 2.3.8

SIC	Industry	Score
351	Engines and Turbines	2.50
281,6,9	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.43
356	Gas Utilities	2.96
All Industries		2.96
351-3	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment	2.98
344	Fabricated Structural Steel Products	2.81
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	2.80
492	Gas Utilities, except Natural Gas	2.75
46	Pipe-lines, except Natural Gas	2.75
381,2,7	Associates, Equipment, Manufacturing and Contract R&D Inst.	2.75
11;12	Coal and Gas Extraction	2.73
491,3	Electrical Utilities	2.72
343	Heating Equipment except Electrical	2.67
40;474	Railroads	2.63
29	Petroleum Refining	2.60
8922	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.50
351	Engines and Turbines	2.50
281,6,9	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.43
All Industries		2.96

Question 12 In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment hire temporary scientific or technical personnel from outside firms in 1975?

Table 2.3.7

SIC	Industry	Score
331	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills	2.00
351	Engines and Turbines	2.17
46	Pipe-lines, except Natural Gas	2.50
8922	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.50
343	Petroleum Refining	2.58
40;474	Railroads	2.63
29	Heat-ing Equipment except Electrical	2.70
11;12	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.70
356	Gas Utilities	2.75
492	Gas Utilities	2.75
281,6,9	Architectural and Engineering Services	2.75
3494,8	Pipes, Valves, and Fittings	2.78
361	Electrical Transmission and Distribution Equipment	2.79



### 2.3.9 - Borrowing STP from other firms

Another employer response to increased STP requirements could be to borrow personnel from other firms in the area which may be experiencing slack conditions. This type of action is probably most common in a contract industry, subject to wide fluctuations in activity as contracts come and go. Although this action may be rare for industry, it is potentially important since it provides a means of smoothing cyclical variations and avoiding layoffs which might cause permanent loss of personnel.

None of the surveyed industries reported more borrowing in 1975 than in 1972 (Table 2.3.9). Only Railroads and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments reported no change. Industry as a whole reported using this device substantially less in 1975 with a mean score of 3.11 on the 1-4 (Much More to Much Less) scale.

### 2.3.10 - Training costs

Employers can counter a lack of needed technical personnel by increasing their in-house training efforts. For example, a firm could develop programs to upgrade promising technicians to engineering status, particularly when the desired specialty is not typically offered at educational institutions.

Question 14 in comparison to 1972, to what extent did you firm borrow scientific or technical personnel from other firms (which might have been ex-

Table 2.3.9

SIC	Industry	Score
40:474	Railroads	3.11
8922	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.50
344	Fabricated Structural Steel Products	2.75
46	Petroleum Refining	2.75
351	Engines and Turbines	2.80
356	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.83
361	Gas Utilities	2.86
371	Electric Transmission and Distribution Equipment	2.90
332	Coal and Lignite Mining	2.93
331	Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment	3.00
343	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills	3.08
331	Iron and Steel Foundries	3.00
332	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	3.10
13	Oil and Gas Extraction	3.11



SIC	Industry	Score
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	2.00
367	Electronics Components and Accessories	2.00
332	Iron and Steel Foundries	2.21
322	Heavy Construction except highways, and Iron and Steel Foundries.	2.25
356	General Industrial Scientific and Research Equipment	2.27
332	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.29
3534-7	Materials Handling Machinery and Equipment	2.33
492	Gas Utilities	2.36
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	2.40
89211	Architectural and Engineering Services	2.40
3531-3	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment.	2.50
343	Pipes, Valves, and Flittings	2.50
351	Engines and Turbines	2.50
2.50	Pipelines, except Natural Gas	2.50
2.50	Heat-treating Equipment except Electric	2.50
2.50	Constituents and Engineering Services	2.50
2.40	Pipes, Valves, and Field Machinery and Equipment.	2.50
2.36	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	2.50
2.33	Gas Utilities	2.50
2.31	All Industries	2.54

Question 15 in comparison to 1972, to what extent did your constant dollar training costs for scientific and technical personnel increase or decrease in 1975?

Table 2.3.10

Several industries reported an increase in training costs beyond inflation, while all industry combined reported practically no change since 1972 (Table 2.3.10). Industries which led the list of increased training costs include Industrial Chemicals, Electronic Components and Accessories, Heavy Construction except highways, and Iron and Steel Foundries.

#### 2.3.11 - Delaying or cancelling production

As a last resort employers may find it necessary to delay or cancel production as a result of STP vacancies. The choice between hiring additional personnel and continuing or undertaking projects may be decided by abandoning those projects with the lowest expected payoff.

Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments was the only industry to report curtailment of production or research and development relative to 1972 (Table 2.3.11). All industries combined reported this was a substantially smaller problem in 1975 than in 1972.

#### 2.3.12 - Summary of employer actions

It is difficult to distinguish any clear and consistent patterns of labor market tightness from the discussion and data thus far presented. Table 2.3.12a illustrates that these patterns do in fact exist. It shows that the same in-



SIC	Number of times below General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	Score Scales Below All-Industry Score
356	8	Gas Utilities, except Natural Gas
492	8	Pipelines, except Natural Gas
11:12	8	Coal and Lignite Mining
29	7	Petroleum Refining
343	7	Heat-treating Equipment except Electric
40:474	7	Railroads
281	6	Industrial Chemicals
341	6	Fabricated Structural Steel Products
7391	6	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories
3531-3	6	Non-commercial Scientific and Technical Establishments
1623,9	5	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street
3494,8	5	Pipes, Valves, and Fittings
381,2,7	5	Assoc., Equipment, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Inst.
491,3	4	Electric Utilities
13	4	Oil and Gas Extraction
331	4	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills
4	4	Iron and Steel Foundries

## Summary of questions 8-16

Table 2.3.12a

SIC	Industry	Score
8922	All Industries	2.96
2.45	Non-Commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.45
2.57	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.57
3.65	Railroads	2.63
4.04; 474	Gas Utilities	2.67
3.43	Heatating Equipment except Electric	2.70
3531-3	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment.	2.75
11.12	Coal and Lignite Mining	2.75
46	Pipeline, except Natural Gas	2.75
29	Petroleum Refining	2.80
344	Fabricated Structural Steel Products	2.83
351	Engines and Turbines	2.83
491, 3	Electric Utilities	2.84
3494, 8	Pipes, Valves, and Fittings	2.94

Question 16 In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment find it necessary to delay or cancel production of research and development due to vacancies in scientific and technical posts in 1975?

Table 2.3.11



dustries consistently score below the all-industry mean on most of the preceding questions. However, this should not be interpreted as evidence of a general shortage of STP for these industries. Recall that most of the items yielded all-industry scores above the neutral value (2.5), indicating 1975 conditions were generally less tight than 1972 conditions.

The data support the conclusion that, relative to other industries, tighter labor market conditions exist in several direct and indirect energy-supply industries. Pipelines except natural gas, Gas Utilities, Coal Mining, and Oil and Gas Extraction evidence relatively tight labor market conditions among direct industries. General Industrial Machinery, Heating Equipment except electric, Engines and Turbines, Railroads, Industrial Chemicals, Fabricated Structural Steel Products, Commercial Research and Development Labs, and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments show relatively tighter job market conditions among indirect industries.

Table 2.3.12b shows those industries which scored below the neutral (no change since 1972) value on three or more of the nine items. It is interesting that only indirect industries qualify under this admittedly arbitrary criteria. The results are not very consistent. General Industrial Machinery leads the ranking by scoring below the neutral value in only four of nine possible measures. The obvious

SIC	Industries With 3 or More Scores Below Neutral Value	Times Below	Number of
356	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	1623, 9
351	Engines and Turbines	Industrial Chemicals	281, 5, 9
367	Electronic Components and Accessories	Lumbering, Laboratory, Scientific & Research	381, 2, 7
		Assoc., Equip., Measuring and Contracting Inst.	
		Clockwork Devices	
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Institutions	8922

Summary of questions 8-16

Table 2.3.12b

SIC	Industries With 3 or More Scores Below Neutral Value	Times Below	Number of
356	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	1623, 9
351	Engines and Turbines	Industrial Chemicals	281, 5, 9
367	Electronic Components and Accessories	Lumbering, Laboratory, Scientific & Research	381, 2, 7
		Assoc., Equip., Measuring and Contracting Inst.	
		Clockwork Devices	
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Institutions	8922



conclusion, that these industries are experiencing tight labor market conditions, is only weakly supported by the data.

#### 2.3.13 - Retraining STP

A tight job market would make retraining an attractive substitute for higher or more intensive recruiting for the desired specialty (if it is found outside the firm). A firm's willingness to bear retraining costs is also a function of the desired occupations specificity with respect to the firm. The retrained worker with readily transferrable skills may change firms before the employer can recapture his investment.

Very few industries reported a necessity to retrain STP from one particular occupational specialty to another, such as Civil Engineer to Mining Engineer. Only 11 percent of all firms reported that retraining was necessary, led by Engines and Turbines where 33 per cent of the establishments found it necessary to retrain from one area of specialization to another. Industries where the percentage of respondents reporting a necessity to retrain exceeded the all-industry percentage are reported in Table 2.3.13, with the exact wording of the question.

SIC	Industry	Found Retraining Necessary
351	All Industries	114
354	Metalworking Machinery and Equipment	338
3531-3	Oil and Gas Extraction	254
345	Engines and Turbines	226
345	Machinery and Equipment	226
345	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment	226
29	Nuts, Screws, Rivets, and Bolts,	284
29	Petroleum Refining	178
29	Cosmetrical Research and Development Laboratories	178
381,2,7	Engineering, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Inst.	178
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	148

Question 17a Has your establishment found it necessary to retrain scientific or technical personnel from one area of specialization to another? (i.e., their primary activity is not their area of degree specificity)

Table 2.3.13



#### 2.3.14 - Interviews of college and university technical graduates

Recruiting activity appears to be the most volatile of measures employed in the survey. This is to be expected since new college graduates are the greatest source of accessions.

Gas Utilities, Architectural and Engineering Services, Commercial Research and Development Labs, and Heating Equipment except electric showed a large average annual increase. These percentage changes can be somewhat misleading as in the case of Gas Utilities which showed the largest average percentage change although the industry's interviews in 1975 were still far below the 1972 level. In addition, the establishment focus of the survey may bias the figures in favor of the industries with decentralized recruiting, given poor response in certain industries. Both the average annual percentage change and the yearly mean number of interviews per firm are presented in Table 2.3.14. Industries are ranked by the average percentage change.

#### 2.3.15 - Personnel used for recruiting

The number of full time (equivalent) personnel used for college and university recruiting should be inversely related to the ability of the establishment to fill vacancies. Although this measure should be highly correlated with the number of interviews, it is more stable. It may also differ in that the intensity of recruiting effort may vary. Table 2.3.15 shows the average annual percentage change in full time recruiting personnel for industries registering larger than average increases.

2.3.16 - Using managerial or technical staff for recruiting

Employers can supplement their normal STP recruiting staff by "deputizing" other managerial and technical staff for recruiting purposes. Under tight job market conditions, employers can increase their recruiting effort by increasing recruiting staff. Many respondents used this method (as indicated by the all-industry score of 2.53 on a 1-4 (more to less) scale) more in 1975 than in 1972. Industries that increased their use of this device most include Industrial Chemicals, Railroads, Heavy Construction except highway and street, Petroleum Refining, and Iron and Steel Foundries. All industries with scores below the all-industry score are shown in Table 2.3.16.



Table 2.3.14

Mean number (per establishment) of college and university  
interviews with scientific and technical students

<u>SIC</u>	<u>Industry</u>	<u>Mean Number of Interviews</u>			
		<u>Average Annual Percent Change In Interviews</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1974</u>	<u>1973</u>
<u>All industries</u>		21.3	34.0	29.3	21.5
492	Gas Utilities	1114.7	7.2	.2	7.8
8911	Architectural and Engineering Services	304.0	85.5	88.6	8.9
7391	Commercial Research and Development Laboratories	283.0	115.8	11.0	45.3
343	Heating Equipment except Electric	125.0	5.4	1.2	0.0
332	Iron and Steel Foundries	116.3	6.0	4.0	1.0
371	Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment	90.3	26.7	12.7	13.3
29	Petroleum Refining	65.0	32.0	27.6	25.3
3531-3	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Mach'y and Equip.	48.0	16.7	8.5	0.0
13	Oil and Gas Extraction	42.3	68.0	57.2	26.5
1623,9	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	30.7	1.5	1.6	1.2
351	Engines and Turbines	28.3	50.0	50.0	40.3
356	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	17.5	3.6	.8	0.0
361	Electric Transmission and Distribution Equipment	14.7	23.0	12.4	15.6
354	Metalworking Machinery and Equipment	11.3	2.4	2.0	2.0
46	Pipelines, except Natural Gas	8.5	60.3	51.7	0.0
40;474	Railroads	7.5	172.6	157.0	143.0
8922	Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	5.0	11.3	9.4	11.1
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	2.7	2.3	2.1	3.9

Other industries showed decreases or no change.



SIC	Industry	Avg. Annual	Percent Change
3494,8	Pipes, Valves, and Fittings	124.6	14.9
13	Oil and Gas Extraction	74.2	
3531-3	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment.	48.3	
13	Iron and Steel Foundries	44.3	
332	Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery and Equipment.	48.3	
13	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	74.2	
3494,8	Pipes, Valves, and Fittings	124.6	

Average annual percentage change in full time or equivalent recruiting personnel

Table 2.3.15

SIC	Industry	Score
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	2.53
1.40	Railroads	1.40
1.67	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	1.67
2.00	Petroleum Refining	2.00
2.00	Iron and Steel Foundations	2.00
2.06	Oil and Gas Extraction	2.06
2.14	Gas Utilities	2.14
2.17	Non-Commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.17
2.20	Motor Vehicles and Other Vehicle Machinery and Equipment.	2.20
2.33	PipeLines, except Natural Gas	2.33
2.36	Heatating Equipment except Electric	2.36
2.40	Ergonomics, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Inst.	2.40
2.50	Clockwork Devices	2.50
2.50	Metal Handlign Machinery and Equipment	2.50
2.50	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills	2.50
331		331

cruiting for recruiting purposes.

managertial or technical staff whose duties do not normally include re-

Table 2.3.16

SIC	Industry	Score
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	2.53
1.40	Railroads	1.40
1.67	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	1.67
2.00	Petroleum Refining	2.00
2.00	Iron and Steel Foundations	2.00
2.06	Oil and Gas Extraction	2.06
2.14	Gas Utilities	2.14
2.17	Non-Commercial Scientific and Research Establishments	2.17
2.20	Motor Vehicles and Other Vehicle Machinery and Equipment.	2.20
2.33	PipeLines, except Natural Gas	2.33
2.36	Heatating Equipment except Electric	2.36
2.40	Ergonomics, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Inst.	2.40
2.50	Clockwork Devices	2.50
2.50	Metal Handlign Machinery and Equipment	2.50
2.50	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling and Drawing Mills	2.50
331		331



data are presented in order of their 1975 acceptance ratio in Table 2.3.17.

### 2.3.17 - Acceptance ratios

An establishment's hire-to-offer ratio for inexperienced new hires is the result of a number of factors, including:

- a) salary
- b) job content
- c) geographical location
- d) applicant's perceptions of career opportunities
- e) general image or reputation of firm and industry.

In addition to these factors an industry's acceptance ratio is affected by excess demand. The net result of attempts by firms to outbid each other for scarce talent should be a reduction in the ratio of acceptances to offers for each establishment as each candidate receives more offers.

The inter-industry acceptance rates presented were constructed by aggregating across all engineering occupations. Thus they reflect acceptance rates for the particular specialties employed in each industry as well as industry-specific rates. For example, if petroleum engineers are heavily concentrated in Oil and Gas Extraction, and have low ratios, the respective industry ratio should be quite low.

Coal Mining, Oil and Gas Extraction, Petroleum Refining, and Iron and Steel Foundries all show low and falling acceptance ratios. Industries which reported sufficient

### 2.3.18 - Quit rates for engineers

Industries facing excess demand for STP should be expected to show higher quit rates. Internal wage equity considerations may prevent an employer from matching other available offers. Employees will move towards these better offers.

Rolling, Drawing, and Extruding of Non-ferrous Metals, Electric Lighting and Wiring Equipment, and Miscellaneous Machinery except electrical reported quit rates for engineers substantially above those of other industries. Industries with engineering quit rates above all-industry levels are presented in Table 2.3.18. Like the preceding acceptance ratios, these were calculated by aggregating engineering occupations.

### 2.3.19 - Vacancy rates for engineers

Industries with increased demand for specialties in short supply should exhibit higher vacancy rates due to 1) increased quits and 2) the creation of new positions as a normal result of expansion. Industries reporting relatively high vacancy rates in 1975 include Architectural and Engineering Services, Railroads, and Iron and Steel Foundries.



-10-

Quit rates for engineers in 1975

ACCEPTANCE RATIOS FOR ENGINEERS

Table 2.3.17



SIC	Industry	Percent Vacancies Per Position	Postition, 12/31/75
8911	All Industry	6.0%	
40-474	Agricultural and Engineering Services	16.5%	
332	Railroads and Steel Foundries	11.1%	
354	Metalworking Machinery and Equipment	9.4%	
29	Petroleum Refining and Research Establishments	5.7%	
343	Non-combustible Scientific and Research Establishments	5.4%	
491	Electrical Utilities	5.0%	
281	Industrial Chemicals	4.5%	
351	Engines and Turbines	3.5%	
3494-7	Meterials Handling Machinery and Equipment	3.4%	
356	Pipes, Valves, and Fittings	3.1%	
344	General Industrial Machinery and Equipment	2.4%	
371	Fabricated Structural Steel Products	2.2%	
492	Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment	2.0%	
364	Gas Utilities	1.6%	
13	Oil and Gas Extraction	1.3%	

Vacancy rates for engineers in 1975

Table 2.3.19

Table 2.3.19 ranks industries with above average vacancy rates. Vacancy rates were estimated by aggregating employment and vacancies across engineering occupations and dividing vacancies by employment.

#### 2.3.20 - Note on metal mining

The very small number of establishments and the poor response in the ferrous and non-ferrous ore mining industries prevents presentation of quantitative results for those industries. The general nature of these few responses warrants mention.

The metal mining industries report generally tighter job-market conditions for STP in 1975 than in 1972. Employers report pursuing more of the types of actions one anticipates during excess demand conditions. Quantitative data on quits, interviews, vacancies, acceptances, and salaries also ranked the metal mining industries high on the relative shortage end of the spectrum.

#### 2.3.21 - Summary of industry-specific conditions

The survey results evidence few clear patterns of industry-specific STP shortages. Few industries consistently report tighter job-market conditions relative to 1972 and other industries in 1975. On the whole, STP labor market conditions are slack. Most employers report "no problem"



securing needed technical employees. Employers who offered explanations attributed the current STP labor market situation to the generally bearish economy. Employers reporting difficulties filling STP positions usually mentioned experience requirements, or extremely narrow specialties such as "mechanical engineers with experience in load cells or strain gauges". Several respondents did mention difficulty in securing female and minority candidates.

Although occasional manifestations of tight job-market conditions can be found in the high-ranking industries, an instance of raiding in General Industrial Machinery perhaps, it is difficult to call these "shortage" industries. Rather, it seems appropriate to say these industries are the least affected by the general economic recession. The recession's impact has probably been partially offset by increased energy development activity in those industries.

Industries which consistently ranked above all-industry values on the excess demand indicators are (SIC codes at left):

492	Natural gas utilities	11 of 16 indicators
343	Heating equipment, except elec.	11 " "
2911	Petroleum refining	11 " "
40,474	Railroads	10 " "
351	Engines and turbines	10 " "
356	General industrial machinery	9 " "
332	Iron and steel foundries	9 " "
46	Pipelines except natural gas	9 " "
7391	Commercial research and dev. labs	9 " "
8922	Non-comm. sci. and res. estabnts.	9 " "
3531-3	Constr., mining, and oil field mach	9 " "
13	Oil and gas extraction	9 " "
11,12	Coal mining	8 " "
3494,8	Pipes, valves, and fittings	8 " "
381,2,7	Engineering, Laboratory, Scientific & Research Inst. & Assoc. Equip.,	7 " "
	Measuring and Controlling Inst.,	7 " "
	Clockwork Devices	8 " "
344	Fabricated structural steel prods.	7 " "
281,6,9	Industrial Chemicals	7 " "
1623,9	Heavy Construction except Highway and Street	7 " "



Two interesting patterns are exhibited. First, the ranking does not appear to differentiate between direct and indirect industries. This suggests that ignoring indirect effects can be grossly misleading. Present energy-supply development activities seem to be having as much of an impact on the labor market for mechanical engineers in the pump industry as for mining engineers in the coal industry.

Second, the indirect industries which top the list are those which one would expect to expand under an accelerated energy development scenario, namely Heating Equipment and General Industrial Machinery. Both of these indirect industries showed large growth requirements in Bullard and Pilati's study of capital requirements for a Project Independence scenario.<sup>19</sup>

Two industries which did not appear in the summary ranking merit mention. Insufficient survey response prevents comparable consideration of the metal mining industries. It should also be noted that Architectural and Engineering services report less tight labor market conditions in 1975 than in 1972, but ranked relatively high on vacancies and increase in interviews of technical graduates.

#### 2.4 - Summary of Labor Market Conditions for Scientific and Technical Personnel in Energy-Related Industries

##### Nuclear engineers

Across all industries, nuclear engineers appear in relatively short supply. It could also be said that the occupation is low in excess supply. Since they are used in only a few industries, identification of the shortage locations is straightforward. Electric Utilities, Architectural and Engineering Services, and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments are the only industries in the sample reporting substantial utilization of this specialty. Only Electric Utilities reported substantial vacancies. Salaries for nuclear engineers (all salaries cited are for inexperienced new hires) were relatively low (\$1067/month), exceeding only salary offers to engineers, n.e.c. Electric Utilities' salary offers in 1975 were generally lower than other industries for all engineering specialties. The following comment is typical of the power industry: "we have very low turnover. There seems to be a large number of qualified applicants for our vacancies in the engineering field. Only recruiting problem is lack of minority candidates."

19 C. Bullard and D. Pilati, "Direct and Indirect Requirements for a Project Independence Scenario," CAC Document No. 178, Center for Advanced Computation, September 1975.



### Mining engineers

Mining engineers are in relatively short supply in at least two industries, Coal Mining and Non-ferrous Ores Mining. Acceptance ratios were lower than other engineering specialties, except for petroleum engineers and materials/metallurgical/ceramic engineers. Salary data from late returns ranks this specialty second behind offers to materials/metallurgical/ceramic engineers, ahead of petroleum engineers. However, the only comment from mining industries was "never had problems in securing employees....we have an over-supply of labor in this area."

### Chemical engineers

Chemical engineers, unlike the two preceding specialties, are found in most of the industries included in this study. Highest salary offers to chemical engineers were reported in Commercial Research and Development Labs (\$1350/month) and Petroleum Refining (\$1279/month). Chemical engineers had the lowest acceptance ratios among engineering hires in Electric Utilities, Petroleum Refining, and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments. Vacancies were highest in Petroleum Refining and Architectural and Engineering Services. A firm in the industrial chemicals industry reported recruiting of chemical engineers "has been highly competitive".

### Petroleum engineers

Petroleum engineers did not appear to be in short supply in the previous "all-industry" analysis. However, among engineering specialties they ranked second in acceptance ratios (30 per cent) and third in salaries (\$1282/month). In Oil and Gas Extraction they ranked highest and in Petroleum Refining they ranked second in vacancy rates for engineers.

During a personal interview, a personnel officer of a southwestern gas company said that inexperienced bachelor graduates were getting offers as high as \$1625 a month to start during the summer of 1975, but the market had loosened somewhat since then. Another southwestern establishment in Oil and Gas Extraction reported vacancies for petroleum engineers remaining unfilled for six months.

The Oil and Gas Extraction Industry also expressed a need for "welding engineers." This specialty was not specifically included in the occupational scheme of the survey. Several respondents complained that only a handful of higher education institutions offer the specialty.

### Mechanical engineers

Several "shortage industries" report problems in securing mechanical engineers. The only instance of raiding reported was in a midwestern pump manufacturing (General In-



dustrial Machinery) firm which claimed it was being "attacked by professional recruiters". Mechanical engineers ranked high on vacancy rates in several industries. It is difficult to isolate particular industries due to the widespread employment of the occupation.

Relatively high vacancy rates among engineers were reported in Pipes, Valves, and Fittings, Heating Equipment except electric, Fabricated Structural Steel Products, General Industrial Machinery, Engines and Turbines, and Materials Handling Machinery and Equipment. One firm reports it is "difficult to attract qualified engineers with Process Equipment experience...", while another finds it "very difficult to find engineers qualified and interested in engineering new developments in construction equipment...". One establishment in Materials Handling Machinery and Equipment reports "Particular problems have been encountered in securing mechanical engineers experienced in material handling project area..." and a steel fabricator in the east says the local "market for experienced mechanical engineers is highly competitive. There exists considerable fluidity as to movement among companies based on salaries and workload. Good engineers were at a premium even during the recent recession."

The highest salary offers to the specialty were reported in Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments (\$1375/month), Fabricated Structural Steel Products

(\$1300/month), Petroleum Refining (\$1294/month), Industrial Chemicals (\$1238/month), and Oil and Gas Extraction (\$1215/month). Mechanical engineers had the lowest acceptance ratios among other specialties in several of the above industries.

#### Physicists

Only two industries, Commercial Research and Development Labs and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments, reported hires of Physics graduates in 1975. Only the latter reported vacancies. The acceptance ratio was very high (83 per cent) in 1975. The only other industry reporting hires since 1972 was Engines and Turbines. Other industries which reported employment of physicists in 1975 are Oil and Gas Extraction, Electric Utilities, Pipes, Valves, and Fittings, Petroleum Refining, Electrical Transmission and Distribution Equipment, Scientific and Controlling Instruments, and Rolling, Drawing and Extruding of Non-ferrous metals except aluminum and copper.

#### Chemists

Like chemical and mechanical engineers, chemists were employed in many of the industries in this study. They had lowest acceptance ratios among scientists in Petroleum Refining (36 per cent) and Engines and Turbines (56 per cent) in 1975. Highest 1975 salary offers were reported in Com-



mercial Research and Development Labs (\$1167/month) and Non-Commercial Scientific and Research Establishments (\$1259/month). Vacancies were reported in Industrial Chemicals and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments.

#### Agriculturists

Only four industries reported employment of this specialty: Oil and Gas Extraction, Fabricated Structural Steel Products, Commercial Research and Development Labs, and Non-commercial Scientific and Research Establishments. Only the last reported figure for 1975 (\$1061/month) and vacancies. The acceptance ratio for 1975 was 33 per cent.

#### Geologists

Employment of geologists was reported in most energy conversion and production industries, in both research industries, and Heavy Construction except highways. They had the lowest 1975 acceptance ratio among scientists in Oil and Gas Extraction (59 per cent), and ranked second in Petroleum Refining (33 per cent). Salary offers for 1975 were reported in Non-ferrous Metal Mining (\$1200/month), Oil and Gas Extraction (\$1075/month), and Petroleum Refining (\$1050/month). Vacancies were reported for geologists only in Oil and Gas Extraction in 1975, where one respondent was

reportedly unable to fill a position for six months.

#### Chemical technicians

Chemical technicians are employed in most of the energy-related industries, including the indirect industries. They had the lowest acceptance ratios among technicians in Petroleum Refining (75 per cent) and Commercial Research and Development Labs (80 per cent). The highest monthly salary offers in 1975 were reported in the latter (\$782/month) and Industrial Chemicals (\$895/month). Chemical technicians had the highest vacancy and quit rates for technicians across all industries, but no vacancies were reported in the "shortage industries" in 1975.

#### Draftsmen

Draftsmen are employed in all of the industries studied and comprise the largest single specialty (by technical employment) in many industries. The lowest 1975 acceptance ratios were reported in Engines and Turbines and Materials Handling Machinery and Equipment. Salary offers in 1975 varied widely around a mean of \$769/month. Industries which led in 1975 monthly salary offers were Pipelines except natural gas, Metalworking Machinery and Equipment, Fabricated Structural Steel Products, Industrial Chemicals, and Screw Machine Products. Architectural and Engineering Services recorded a mean of \$680/month. Vacancies were reported in



**Materials Handling Machinery and Equipment, Pipes, Valves, and Fittings, Fabricated Structural Steel Products, Engines and Turbines, Railroads, Gas Utilities and Architectural and Engineering Services.** A metal forming establishment reported a need for "draftspersons with experience in cold heading or related field of metal forming", but "no great problem in finding these people". the highest vacancy rates were reported in Railroads (16.7 per cent), Engines and Turbines (12.7 per cent), and Gas Utilities (11.1 per cent).

#### Mechanical technicians

Mechanical technicians are employed in most of the energy-related industries. Monthly salaries to new hires were reported highest in Oil and Gas Extraction, Screw Machine Products, Metalworking Machinery and Equipment, and General Industrial Machinery and Equipment. Acceptance ratios for industries hiring mechanical technicians were lowest in Heating Equipment except electric (50 per cent), Engines and Turbines (63 per cent), and Oil and Gas Extraction (43 per cent). Vacancies were reported in Oil and Gas Extraction, Pipes, Valves, and Fittings, Heating Equipment except electric, and Fabricated Structural Steel Products.

#### Surveyors

Several energy-related industries reported employment of surveyors. Vacancies were reported in Oil and Gas Extraction and Architectural and Engineering Services. The latter industry reported the lowest acceptance ratio, 80 per cent, and an average monthly salary offer to inexperienced new hires of \$703.



Chapter 3  
Projection Method

3.1 Outline of the Model

One objective of this study was to develop a highly flexible and adaptable forecasting system that could be readily updated to reflect new information, data, and policies. Over the past few years CAC has developed a number of manpower and energy models and this prior work is drawn on heavily here.<sup>1</sup>

This chapter provides a comprehensive examination of the CAC projection model. As described in Chapter 1, this model yields projections for three energy scenarios. The actual manpower projections are accomplished in a multi-step procedure. The first step is to make GNP projections. These projections provide a final demand vector which is used in conjunction with an input-output (I-O) model to provide total manpower required for specific occupations in specific industries. Each of these steps is examined in detail in the following sections. The individual scenarios are described in section 3.1.1. The resulting projections are given in Chapter 4. A schematic representation of the model's subcomponents and their interaction is given in figure 3.1.

<sup>1</sup> Some of the earlier work includes H. Folk, "Manpower Research Alternatives and Imperatives," in John R. Niland, editor, The Production of Manpower Specialists. Ithaca: New York State School of Industrial and Labor Relations, 1971, pp. 181-198; H. Folk and B. Hannon, "An Energy, Pollution, and Employment Policy Model," in Michael S. Macrakis, editor, Energy: Demand, Conservation, and Institutional Problems, Proceedings of a Conference on Energy at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1973, pp. 159-173; Roger H. Bezdek, Long-Range Forecasting of Manpower Requirements, Theory and Applications, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, Inc., 1974; K. Herenoen, An Energy Input-Output Matrix for the United States, 1963: User's Guide, Document No. 69, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, March 1973; K. Herenoen and C. Bullard, Energy Costs of Goods and Services, 1963 and 1967, Document No. 146, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, November 1974; R. Knecht and C. Bullard, Energy Uses of Energy in the U.S. Economy, 1967 Document No. 145, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, September 1975; C. Bullard, An Input-Output Model for Energy Demand Analysis, Document No. 146, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, January 1975; C. Bullard and D. Pilati, Direct

and Indirect Requirements for a Project Independence Scenario, Document No. 178, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, September 1975; D. Pilati, Energy Demand Model Refinements for 1985 Supply Mix, Technical Memorandum No. 70, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, February, 1976; and D. Amadio, C. Foster, and D. Pilati, Two Novels for Energy and Labor Impact Analysis, Technical Memorandum No. 69, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, January, 1976.



quirements are derived from the Bechtel Corporation's Energy Supply Planning Model.<sup>3</sup> Calculations were prepared that reflected the energy facility construction implied by the energy usage specified in the scenarios (Table 3.1.1). There are slight differences in the energy levels implied between ERDA and Bechtel due to the use of slightly different fuel-Btu conversion ratios, but the correspondence between the ERDA and Bechtel scenarios of the same names is close. In what follows, the Bechtel scenario estimates are used.

The Free Import scenario assumes that energy consumption in the United States increases from the 1973 level of about 73 quadrillion Btu's to 99.1 quadrillion Btu's in 1985. Domestic energy production increases, but the growth of imports of oil and gas are substantial.

The Limited Imports scenario assumes energy usage increases to 84.1 quadrillion Btu's in 1985. Domestic coal production increases substantially more than in Free Imports, and nuclear power grows substantially more. Oil imports are much lower under Limited Imports.




Figure 3.1  
Outline of Projection System

### 3.1.1 - Energy Scenarios

The three scenarios studied are (1) Free Imports, (2) Limited Imports, and (3) Limited Imports - Synthetics (termed simply "Synthetics" henceforth). They are based on ERCA-48. 2 Direct construction manpower and materials re-

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration, A National Plan for Energy Research, Development and Demonstration: Creating Energy Choices for the Future, Report ERDA-48, vol.1, June 1975.

<sup>3</sup> Carasso, et. al., The Energy Systems Group, The San Francisco, Energy Supply Planning Model, Bechtel Corporation, San Francisco, 1975.



The Synthetics scenario uses the same major assumptions as the Limited Imports scenario but in addition assumes a program of developing synthetic oil and gas that would produce a 930 MBPD oil equivalent in 1985 and 1835 MBPD equivalent in 1990. The 1985 output is assumed to be 360 MBPD of shale oil, 100 MBPD of coal liquids, 260 MBPD in high-Btu gas, and 250 MBPD of low and medium Btu gas from coal. While these quantities are not enormously significant in 1985, they do involve substantial investment in construction cost and employment.

The total fuel requirements in 1985 under Synthetics is 86.9 quads, slightly above the 1985 Limited Imports level.

The total twelve-year investment costs under the three alternatives are estimated by Bechtel to be (in 1973 dollars):

Electric Power Capacity (GWe)		
	1973	Free Imports
Coal	266	180
Gas	61	86
Nuclear	61	100
Oil	162	21
Other	185	84
Total	439	757
Coal (HM Tons/Y)	486	770
Petroleum (MM BPD)	6.1	8.3
Gas (TCF/Y)	4.2	1.9
Imports	23.9	22.7
Domestic	0.7	0.9
Synthetics	23.3	24.8
Total	73.3	86.9
Uranium (Million Tons of 0.28 U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> )	10.2	10.2
Imports	37.0	34.2
Domestic	0.5	0.6
Synthetics	24.6	23.6
Total	73.2	84.1
Total (quad Btu's)	99.1	99.1

There is only small variation in expenditures and in manpower and materials among the three scenarios. Synthetics, for instance, costs \$12 billion more than Limited Imports but produces slightly more energy. Free Imports is \$5 billion more costly than Limited Imports but produces 15 quads more energy.



### 3.1.2 - GNP Projections

The GNP projections used in this study are those prepared by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.<sup>4</sup> These projections permit the use of the detailed final demand patterns developed by BLS. The projections take specific account of three alternative energy price systems. The BLS assumes unemployment of 7.8 per cent in 1976, falling to 4.7 per cent in 1980 and 4.0 per cent in 1985. The total labor force is assumed to increase from an actual level of 89 million in 1972 to 103.3 million in 1980, and 107.7 million in 1985. Output per man hour is assumed to increase at 2.2 per cent per year from 1972 to 1980 and at 2.6 per cent per year from 1980 to 1985.

BLS estimates that constant dollar GNP will increase at 2.51 per cent per year for 1972-85. In 1958 constant dollars, this represents a 1980 GNP of \$1,078 billion and a 1985 GNP of 1,284.5 billion, compared to \$792.5 for 1972.

In the present study, production was placed on a domestic base by subtracting out imports. The purpose of this is

to provide domestic base total production in order to use the appropriate base for energy consumption. Total domestic production, or the sum of the final demands actually used in the analysis is given in table 3.1.2.

Table 3.1.2  
Total Domestic Production

		(millions of 1963 dollars)	
		1980	1985
		—	—
\$7		\$992,856	\$1,175,894
9		996,803	1,179,487
11		996,308	1,180,236

### 3.1.3 - Final Demand Patterns

The final demand patterns used in the study are those prepared by BLS. The final demands for 1980 and 1985 were estimated for three oil price levels: high (\$11), medium (\$9), and low (\$7). The dollar values do not represent actual prices, but are relative prices in 1973 dollars.<sup>5</sup> Final

<sup>4</sup> R. Kutscher, "Revised BLS Projections to 1980 and 1985: An Overview," Monthly Labor Review, March 1976, pp. 3-8.

<sup>5</sup> BLS prepared these estimates for the March 1976 version of the BLS input-output model. The \$9 oil price represented the level of crude oil price then current expressed in 1973 dollars.



demands for each industry expressed in 1963 dollar amounts for 1980 and 1985 for the three oil price scenarios are included in Chapter 4.

The final demand patterns are derived by BLS from analysis of consumption and production studies. There are substantial differences in final demand associated with different oil prices. In 1980, for instance, BLS industry sector 53, other primary non-ferrous metal, shows only 76 percent as much demand for \$11 as for \$7 oil, an implied elasticity of -.59. Examples of other industries with relatively high elasticities are petroleum products, air transportation, primary aluminum, and gas utilities. Some of the industries showing increases in demand in 1980 at \$11 as opposed to \$7 oil are automobile repair, railroad equipment, coal mining, telephone apparatus, and owner-occupied dwellings. The industries with the highest and lowest elasticities for 1980 and 1985 are presented in tables 3.1.3a, 3.1.3b, 3.1.3c, and 3.1.3d.

Rank Order of Twenty Highest Negative Change Industries  
1980  
Oil Price Impact on Final Demand

BLS Industry	Impacted Point	Final Demand	Elasticity
53. Other Primary nonferrous metal and secondary nonferrous	.7665		
42. Petroleum products	.8495		
3. Forestry and fisheries	.8847		
23. Miscellaneous textile and floor coverings	.8926		
25. Cleaning and laundries	.8925		
26. State and local government enterprises	.8925		
83. Motor vehicles	.8931		
40. Agricultural preparations	.8934		
25. Primary aluminum	.8937		
52. Gas utilities	.9024		
24. Transportation equipment, N.E.	.9031		
110. Hotels and lodging places	.9047		
36. Plastic materials and synthetic rubber	.9066		
44. Plastic products	.9069		
22. Paper products	.9087		
20. Miscellaneous wood products	.9147		
28. Millwork and plywood and miscellaneous wood products	.9217		
18. Paint	.9218		
18. Chemical and fertilizer mining	.9248		
18. -	-.18		
18. -	-.20		
22. -	-.22		
23. -	-.23		
24. -	-.24		
24. -	-.24		
25. -	-.25		
25. -	-.25		
26. -	-.26		
28. -	-.28		
37. -	-.37		
59. -	-.59		



BLs Industry	Impplied Point	Final Demand	Rate	Final vs \$7 Oil
42. Petroleum Products	.59	7665	7.665	53. Other primary nonferrous metal and secondary nonferrous
3. Forestry and fisheries.	.55	7811	8713	23. Miscellaneous textile and elastic coverings
23. Forestry and fisheries.	.31	8713	8811	25. Stater and local government enterprises.
28. Air transportation.	.28	8912	8922	63. Motor vehicles.
28. Air transportation.	.28	8912	8922	60. Cleaning and toilet preparations.
36. Agricultural chemicals.	.26	8925	8925	56. Cleaning and toilet preparations.
52. Primary aluminum.	.25	8950	8950	40. Chemicals and allied products.
87. Transportation equipment, NEL	.25	8965	8965	102. Gas utilities.
87. Transportation equipment, NEL	.25	9000	9000	116. Hotels and lodging places.
37. Plastic materials and synthetic rubber.	.22	9057	9059	122. Plastic products.
44. Plastic products.	.22	9075	9075	124. Rubber products.
31. Rayon products.	.21	9097	9097	126. Hotels and restaurants.
38. Synthetic fibers.	.21	9112	9112	128. Miscellaneous wood products.
28. Hillwork and plywood and miscellaneous wood products.	.21	9144	9144	41. Paints
11. Chemical and fertilizer manufacturing.	.20	9144	9144	41. Paints

Table 3.1.3c

Oil Price Impact on Final Demand

Rank Order of Twenty Highest Negative Change Industries

586

BLIS Industry	Impaired Point	Final Lemma	Hatlo	\$11 vs \$7 Oil	BLIS Industry
Automobile Repairs	.35	1.1705	1.1276	94. Local, suburban and interurban transportation equipment	86. Railroads and other misc. transportation equipment
Automobile Repairs	.27	1.1705	1.1276	94. Local, suburban and interurban transportation equipment	86. Railroads and other misc. transportation equipment
Automobile Repairs	.23	1.1058	1.1268	95. Households appraisals	96. Railroads and other misc. transportation equipment
Automobile Repairs	.20	1.0954	1.0963	96. Motion picture theaters	95. Other nonferrous rolling and drawing
Automobile Repairs	.19	1.0863	1.0814	95. Construction, mining, and oilfield machinery	94. Construction, mining, and oilfield machinery
Automobile Repairs	.18	1.0764	1.0812	97. Other nonferrous metal ore mining	93. Rubber products
Automobile Repairs	.17	1.0753	1.0764	98. Coal mining	9. Coal mining
Automobile Repairs	.16	1.0751	1.0753	99. Blast furnaces and basic steel products	4. Blast furnaces and basic steel products
Automobile Repairs	.13	1.0606	1.0751	100. Telephones and telephone apparatus	79. Telephones and telephone apparatus
Automobile Repairs	.13	1.0576	1.0573	101. Household furniture	29. Household furniture
Automobile Repairs	.12	1.0568	1.0573	102. Owner-occupied dwellings	108. Owner-occupied dwellings
Automobile Repairs	.12	1.0568	1.0568	103. Apartments	112. Apartments, theaters, and other medical services
Automobile Repairs	.11	1.0567	1.0567	104. Hospitals	113. Hospitals, dentists, and other medical services
Automobile Repairs	.11	1.0484	1.0484	105. Doctors and TV receiving sets	78. Doctors and TV receiving sets
Automobile Repairs	.11	1.0483	1.0483	106. Other amusements.	117. Other amusements.

Table 3.1.3b

#### Oil-Price Impact on Final Demand

986

Rank Order of Twenty Highest Positive Change Industries

## Implied Point Elasticity

-133-



### 3.1.4- Input-Output Model

Estimates of total production by industry require interindustry analysis. Any commodity or service entering into final demand is made up of many intermediate products. Some industries, such as automobiles, have total production very close to production for final demand because little of their output is used as intermediate inputs to other industries. Other industries, such as steel, have little production for final demand because they are used predominantly as inputs to other industries. BLS, working with input-output relations developed by BEA studies, has developed projected input-output tables. Each element in an input-output matrix shows how much of the industry output in a row is necessary to produce a unit of output in a column industry. This "input-output matrix" expressed in unit terms is derived from Census of Manufactures and other data for recent years (the 1967 matrix is the most recent available). BLS has projected input-output matrices for future years, taking account of expected technological changes.

Each of the input-output coefficients,  $a_{ij}$ , represents the amount of input  $i$  required to produce a unit output of

BLS Industry	Rank Order of Twenty Highest Positive Changes Industries 1985	OIL Price Impact on Final Demand	Final Demand \$11 vs \$7 OIL	Impaired Point Lasticity	Final Demand Ratio	Final Demand \$11 vs \$7 OIL	Impaired Point Lasticity
115. Automobile Repair	1.1699	1.1298	1.1287	.27	.27	.27	.27
86. Railroad and Other Misc. Transportation Equipment	1.1699	1.1298	1.1287	.27	.27	.27	.27
94. Local, Suburban and Intercity Highway Transportation	1.127	1.1227	1.1227	.24	.24	.24	.24
65. Construction, Mining, and Oil Field Machinery	1.127	1.1227	1.1227	.23	.23	.23	.23
76. Household Appliances, Mining, and Oil Field Drawing	1.1067	1.1067	1.1067	.21	.21	.21	.21
49. Blast Furnaces and Basic Steel Products	1.0966	1.0966	1.0966	.20	.20	.20	.20
8. Coal Mining	1.0923	1.0923	1.0923	.19	.19	.19	.19
116. Motion Pictures	1.0907	1.0907	1.0907	.17	.17	.17	.17
16. All Other Products	1.0798	1.0798	1.0798	.16	.16	.16	.16
79. Telephone and Telegraph Apparatus	1.0666	1.0666	1.0666	.15	.15	.15	.15
25. Apparel	1.0651	1.0651	1.0651	.14	.14	.14	.14
24. Hosiery and Knit Goods	1.0644	1.0644	1.0644	.14	.14	.14	.14
108. Owner-Occupied dwellings	1.0643	1.0643	1.0643	.14	.14	.14	.14
45. Leather, Footwear, and Leather Products	1.0643	1.0643	1.0643	.14	.14	.14	.14
29. Household Furniture	1.0643	1.0643	1.0643	.13	.13	.13	.13
119. Hospitals and TV Receiving Sets	1.0572	1.0572	1.0572	.12	.12	.12	.12
78. Radio and TV Receiving Sets	1.0563	1.0563	1.0563	.12	.12	.12	.12

Table 3.1.3a



industry product j. A fundamental assumption of input-output analysis is that input requirements are proportional to outputs. Thus the total production of output of industry i,  $x_i$  is equal to final demand for output i,  $y_i$ , plus all of the inputs required to produce the outputs of the other industries, so

$$x_i = y_i + a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{ij}x_j + \dots + a_{in}x_n$$

...

$$x_i = y_i + a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{ij}x_j + \dots + a_{in}x_n$$

...

$$x_n = y_n + a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nj}x_j + \dots + a_{nn}x_n$$

Expressing this in matrix form,

$$x = y + Ax$$

or, solving for x,

$$x = (I-A)^{-1}y$$

where x is a vector of total demand, y is the vector of final demand, A is the matrix of input-output coefficients, I is the identify matrix, and  $(I-A)^{-1}$  is the inverse matrix. Thus use of the input-output matrix allows derivation of total demand from final demand in a direct way. As long as

the matrix A does not change, any change in final demand can be converted into changes in total demand by multiplication.

BLS estimates an occupation-industry matrix that shows the number of workers in each occupation in each industry for a future year such as 1985. This matrix is estimated from recent census data and supplementary analyses. It can be converted into a matrix of occupation-industry coefficients by dividing the occupational requirements in each industry by the estimated total output of that industry in the same year. The fundamental assumption made in this step is that occupational requirements are proportional to output. When this matrix of coefficients (M) is multiplied by the vector of total industry outputs, a projection of occupational requirements, or demands, is obtained. An occupational coefficient,  $m_{kl}$ , for instance, shows the number of workers in occupation k required to produce a unit output in industry l.

There are many simplifying assumptions made in the development and use of this kind of model. First, the fundamental assumption of linearity or proportionality of inputs to outputs made in input-output analysis is not strictly correct, at least for large changes. An obvious example of this would be seen in the extraction of mineral resources. Doubling domestic coal or oil output would re-



quire a more than doubling of inputs because of the expected decline in quality or recoverability of coal or oil. Doubling automobile production, in contrast, might be accompanied by economies of scale in production, although larger capital investment would have to be made. In this model, capital inputs only enter through increases in final demand in the form of gross private domestic investment. Such large-scale changes can be expected to have disproportionate effects on input requirements and they may reflect either economies or diseconomies of scale in production. Fortunately, in a period of a few years, such large-scale changes are unusual. Over longer periods some of the problem can be dealt with by projecting changes in input coefficients.

A related problem arises for capacity utilization. If in the base year, there is excess capacity, output expansion may require less than proportionate inputs, but once capacity is reached, output cannot be expanded at all or may require more than proportionate inputs.

A second problem in input-output analysis arises from changes in input-output coefficients themselves. Coefficients are usually several years old when they are published and therefore reflect old technology. In some instances this can lead to errors in estimates of input requirements.

Many production technologies appear to be reasonably stable, so that proponents of input-output analysis usually argue that errors arising from instability or change of coefficients are not serious. BLS has attempted to project input-output coefficients to take account of expected changes in technology.

One of the major deficiencies in the input-output approach in which technical coefficients are derived from monetary transactions, as in the BLS example, arises in technological change. In the present application the principal problems arise in the production of energy and the use of energy in non-energy sectors in the economy. CAC has developed a number of input-output models in which energy flows are represented by physical units (Btu's). The use of physical units is particularly valuable when questions of changes in fuel mix (as in fuels for generation of electricity) or changes in process efficiency are considered. The basic BLS projection model has been modified by eliminating the BLS energy sectors entirely and replacing them with energy supply sectors consisting of all production technologies expected to be used in 1980 and 1985, and developing corresponding fictitious energy product industries, such as coke and other feedstocks, electric power, space heat, process heat and motive power. The energy product input coefficients to all other industries are essentially independent



of the fuels used to produce these energy products. The process efficiencies for producing the various energy products and the fuel mix are embodied in a single submatrix that can be readily adjusted to reflect different scenarios of other technological developments.

The composite model developed for this project consists of nine submatrices. Submatrix I is the energy supply matrix, consisting of inputs from energy supply industries to other energy supply industries. Submatrix II is energy supply to energy product industries, and embodies the assumed unit requirements of energy supplies (measured in Btu's) to produce a Btu of energy product. Submatrix III is energy supply to non-energy industries, and consists entirely of zeroes, since it is assumed that non-energy industries only use energy in the form of energy products. Submatrix IV is energy product industries to energy supply industries. Submatrix V is energy product to energy product industries. Submatrix VI is energy product to non-energy industries. Submatrix VII is non-energy industry to energy supply industry, and represents non-energy inputs required per Btu of energy supply. Submatrix VIII is non-energy industry to energy product industry and represents non-energy inputs to energy products. Submatrix IX is non-energy industry to non-energy industry, and represents

dollars of non-energy input required per dollar of non-energy output. This scheme and the source of the estimates is shown in figure 3.1.4.

#### Output Industries

Input Industries	Energy Supply	Energy Product	Non-Energy
Energy Supply	I. CAC	II. CAC	III. = 0
Energy Product	IV. CAC	V. CAC	VI. CAC
Non-Energy	VII. CAC	VIII. CAC	IX. BLS

Figure 3.1.4

#### Industry Classifications and Sources for CAC I-O Model

Another major problem in the use of the BLS model is the lack of an occupation-industry model. BLS has developed an estimated occupation-industry matrix for 1985, and this is used to develop a set of occupation-industry coefficients which when multiplied by estimated industry output provides an estimate of occupational employment in each industry. The coefficients are applied in essentially a linear and



proportional manner, so that a given increase in industrial output implies the same proportionate increase in employment in each of the occupations employed in the industry. It must be stressed that the occupational projections labeled "BLS-Data" that are included for purposes of comparison were not prepared by BLS and do not represent projections that BLS would have prepared. They are simply projections that would occur using the BLS input-output model, final demands, and occupation-industry matrix in the manner prescribed in the BLS publication, Tomorrow's Manpower Needs. It must be remembered that all of the projections in the present study are on a domestic production base, including the BLS-Data projections.

The occupation-industry coefficients developed for this study take account of the possibility of economies of scale and reflect employers' judgements of changes in occupational requirements from current levels.

### 3.1.4.1 - Conversion to Domestic Base

The CAC projection input-output matrices are derived from two sources. Rows and columns for the energy industries are aggregated from the CAC 372th order CAC energy ma-

trix (Ammado, Foster, Pilati, 1975). Except for refined petroleum products, (BLS industry 42), the non-energy industries were taken from the BLS projection matrices, March 1976 revised version. Since refined petroleum is already accounted for in energy industry 7 in the CAC 372th order matrix, the corresponding aggregated row and column were taken from the CAC 372th order matrix instead of row and column 42 in the BLS matrix. Thus except for refined petroleum products, there is a direct correspondence between the nonenergy industries of the BLS 129th order matrix and the CAC 142th order matrix.

There are two discrepancies between the form of the CAC and BLS matrices. The first is that the energy industries are domestic based, while the BLS matrix is not. In others words, the BLS matrix assumes a fixed ratio between total output and imports for each industry. This problem is alleviated by transforming the BLS matrix into a domestic matrix. If  $A_{dom}$  is the resultant domestic base matrix and  $A$  is the original total output based matrix, then:

$$A_{dom} = Ax(x_{dom})^{-1}$$

where



$$x(x^{\text{dom}})^{-1} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1^{\text{dom}}}, \frac{x_2}{x_2^{\text{dom}}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_n^{\text{dom}}}\right)$$

note that

$$\frac{x_i}{x_i^{\text{dom}}} = \frac{x_i}{x_i - m_i} = \frac{1}{1 - a_{im}}$$

where  $a_{im}$  is the  $i$ th element of the imports row of the  $A$  matrix. The total demand vector is defined to be the domestic total output vector,  $x^{\text{dom}}$  plus the imports vector  $m$ .

The other discrepancy is that the BLS matrix is in 1963 constant dollars while the CAC energy sectors are in Btu's and 1967 constant dollars. Thus before the nonenergy sectors of the BLS domestic matrix,  $A_{63}^{\text{dom}}$ , are transformed and overlayed on the energy matrix, they are inflated to 67 dollars by:

$$A_{67}^{\text{dom}} = D_{67} A_{63}^{\text{dom}} D_{67}^{-1}$$

where  $D_{67}$  is the diagonalization of the inflator vector for year 1967 in 1963 dollars. This vector was supplied by the Division of Economic Growth, Bureau of Labor Statistics.<sup>6</sup>

A domestic-based matrix in 1967 dollars is calculated by combining these two steps such that,

$$x = (I - A)^{-1} f$$

$$= D_{67}^{-1} (I - A_{67}^{\text{dom}})^{-1} D_{67} (f - m) + m$$

where  $A$  is the original BLS matrix based in 1963 dollars,  $A_{67}^{\text{dom}}$  is the original BLS matrix transformed into a domestic base matrix, then inflated into 1967 dollars,  $f$  is the total final demand vector, and  $m$  is the imports vector.

### 3.1.4.2 - Energy Supply to Energy Product Sectors

Input-output coefficients are derived from historical data, and are usually badly out-of-date by the time they are published. It is understood that technology changes, and the BLS projects I-O coefficients for future years. For non-energy industries, BLS projected coefficients are used exclusively, but the energy industry (coal, crude oil, refined petroleum, electric utilities, gas utilities) rows and

was extremely helpful in furnishing the disaggregated deflators, and his assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

<sup>6</sup> John Ischetter of the BLS Division of Economic Growth



columns of the matrices have been modified not only in values, but conceptually as well.

Twelve energy supply industries were established:

1. Coal
  2. Crude oil
  3. Shale oil
  4. Coal gasification
  5. Solvent refined coal
  6. Refined petroleum
  7. Gas utilities
  8. Coal combined cycle
  9. Fossil electric
  10. Light water reactor
  11. High temperature gas reactor
  12. Renewable electric
- Eight energy product industries were established:
13. Coke feedstocks
  14. Chemical feedstocks
  15. Native power
  16. Process heat
  17. Water heat
  18. Space heat
  19. Air conditioning
  20. Electric power

All energy inputs are assumed to come from the twelve energy supply sectors, and to be converted into energy products that then form the only energy inputs to non-energy industries.

All transformations in which energy supplies are converted into energy products are included in the 12 x 20 matrix. The system developed is compatible with the energy classifications in the Brookhaven National Laboratory's Reference Energy System.<sup>7</sup>

Two energy supply to energy product matrices are developed in this study: Free Imports and Technical Fix.<sup>8</sup> The Free Imports case for BNL includes 16.37 quadrillion Btu's of imported crude, and 2 quadrillion btu of imported natural gas in 1985. The correspondence between the CAC matrices and BNL Reference Energy System scenarios are set out in detail elsewhere.<sup>9</sup> In the Technical Fix matrix, the Reference Energy System in ERDA-48 is used.<sup>10</sup> It is assumed there are no natural gas imports and 10.49 quadrillion Btu's of imported crude.

The Free Imports matrix assumes fuel mixes and process efficiencies that are compatible with increased usage of petroleum and natural gas. There is a substantial input of LWR to electric power (.279 Btu input per Btu output), some

op. cit.

<sup>8</sup> The Technical Fix matrix is used both for the Limited Imports and the Synthetics scenarios. The differences in the Limited Imports and Synthetics scenarios are a very small amount of shale oil, coal liquification, and coal gasification. The differences resulting from use of the Technical Fix matrix for the synthetics case are trivial in terms of SFR employment.

<sup>9</sup> D. Pilati, Energy Demand Model Refinements for 1985 Supply Mix, Technical Memorandum no. 70, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, February 1976.

<sup>10</sup> U.S. ERDA, op. cit., fig. B-3.



what lower than in the Technical Fix matrix (.321 Btu input per Btu output). There are continued heavy inputs of refined petroleum to fossil electric (.76 Btu input per Btu output) and of natural gas to fossil electric (.64 Btu input per Btu output). Other input patterns are rather conservative: there is no shale oil, coal gasification, solvent refined coal, coal combined cycle, or high temperature gas reactor inputs to any energy product.

In contrast, the Technical Fix matrix has much lower inputs of refined petroleum to fossil electric (.46 Btu input per Btu output) and of natural gas to fossil electric (.49 Btu input per Btu output). These coefficients are quite large, however, and indicate a belief that these will continue to be important fuels in 1985 for electric power. This appears to be rather unavoidable, and is in sharp contrast to BLS's large reductions of gas inputs to electric power production. The rather special role of natural gas as fuel for gas turbine peaking power units is projected to continue. Combined with an enhanced role for gas in space heat and process heat these gas inputs to electric power account for the substantially higher demands for gas utilities in the CAC projections than are found in the BLS projections.

### 3.1.4.3 - Energy Products to Non-Energy Industries

The  $8 \times 122$  submatrix of energy product to non-energy industry input output coefficients differs between the Free Imports and Limited Imports matrices. The coefficients correspond to the reduction in energy requirements per unit of output described in the Energy Policy Project's "Technical Fix" scenario.<sup>11</sup> The major kind of change embodied in this submatrix reflects assumed higher energy prices and heightened awareness of the need to conserve energy. It is represented by a reduction in the input coefficients from energy products to non-energy industries.

In contrast, the Free Imports submatrix reflects a return to energy input coefficients as they were in 1967, long before energy prices began their recent large increases. These submatrices are described in more detail elsewhere.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>11</sup> Energy Policy Project, A Time to Choose: America's Energy Future, Ballinger Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1974.

<sup>12</sup> D. Amado, C. Foster, D.A. Pilati, Two Input-Output Models for Labor Impact Analysis, Technical Memorandum No. 69, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, January 1975.



were surveyed by using BLS 1974 estimated occupational employment in these industries and 1975 estimated total output. This is a straightforward technique that is used by the National Planning Association and Bechtel Corporation in their energy supply model. These coefficients can be expected to change in the future as a result of interoccupational substitution, improved labor productivity, and technical change. To estimate the changes, responses from employers in energy-related industries were analyzed. Respondents were asked what they expected their detailed STP requirements to be in 1980 and 1985 under three alternative assumptions about output levels. The percentage changes in requirements expected from 1975 to 1980 and to 1985 were calculated, weighting by the level of sales to derive a percentage change in the estimated occupation-industry coefficient. In many industries the coefficient estimate from the sample differed substantially from the 1975 coefficient estimated from BLS data, so that the use of the survey coefficients would have made the projection non-comparable to the base figure. To correct for possible sample bias, the 1975 coefficients estimated from BLS data were multiplied by the percentage change in the occupation-industry coefficient developed by the survey, so that the change in coefficient is estimated, rather than the coefficient itself. For each occupation in each industry there are three coefficients for 1980 and three for 1985 representing different levels of expectation.

### 3.1.5 - STP Coefficients

The STP coefficients used to estimate STP employment from total output under the three combinations of final demand and input-output technologies are derived from two sources: (1) the Projection Survey of Energy-Related Employment conducted as part of this study, and (2) The BLS estimated occupation-industry matrix for 1985.

#### 3.1.5.1 - Estimation of STP Coefficients

Occupation-industry coefficients were developed from the BLS 1985 occupation-industry matrix by dividing each of the industry occupational employment estimates by the domestic-base estimated total outputs for the various industries for the 9-dollar oil final demand and matrix.

The occupation-industry matrix approach to projecting employment involves projecting industry employment (by a technique such as input-output or regression) and then distributing projected industry employment by occupation according to the proportions observed in recent years or projected to occur. BLS estimated occupational employment by industry for 1985 and 1974. Occupation-industry coefficients were estimated for 1975 for energy-related industries that



pansion of output. Once total output for 1980 or 1985 is estimated for the industry, the percentage change in output from 1975 to 1980 is estimated, and the occupational coefficient is computed by interpolation. If an industry was projected to have a 75 per cent increase in output from 1975 to 1985, for instance, the occupational coefficient was estimated by interpolation between the coefficient for that occupation and industry for a 50 per cent increase in output and a 100 per cent increase in output.

Evidence of economies of scale in use of STP were observed in some industries. The approach followed allows these expectations to be embodied in the projections.

For those industries that were not surveyed, or for which survey results were unusable, 1985 coefficients derived from the BLS occupation-industry matrix for 1985 were used. The total industry outputs were projected using medium priced oil, final demand and the associated BLS input-output matrix. Thus it is assumed that the non-survey coefficients are linear and proportional.

The assumption that occupation-industry coefficients can be projected is fundamental to the analysis. This assumption is questioned by many analysts. In particular, those who believe that substantial substitution among occu-

pations is possible and will occur in the event of shortages or significant salary differentials do not accept the validity of fixed coefficients. The survey results showed substantial variance in current coefficients for firms. There was a noticeable tenacity for these to be related to the size of the firm. The highest establishment coefficients were usually observed in the smallest sales class in an industry, and the lowest coefficients were usually observed in the largest sales class of establishments in an industry.

3.1.5.2 - The Variability of STP Coefficients

There is no direct method of testing the reliability of industry manpower coefficients when these coefficients are obtained at a single time. Some of the "surveyed" experts clearly indicated that they believed significant economies of scale were available in the use of STP by their answers to the survey questions about conditional manpower needs at different levels of output. These answers formed the basis for the revision of BLS-data coefficients. However, even if these experts were perfect in their judgements about the future manpower needs in their firms, the calculation of industry manpower coefficients would not be straightforward. This calculation depends crucially on assumptions about how future demand increases will be distributed across firms in the industry. For example, if industry output increases by



100 per cent, this output increase could occur through small firms increasing their outputs while large firms produce about the same. These small firms might be able to reap significant economies of scale as a result. On the other hand, this output increase could also result from an increase in the number of firms. In the former case, a significant decline in the industry manpower coefficient would be expected; in the latter case there might be little decline.

Calculated changes in manpower coefficients through time and at different output levels were based on the simplifying assumption that future output changes would occur proportionally for all firms. That is, if industry output increases by 20 per cent, then the output of small and large firms both increase by 20 per cent. Among the possible choices of distributions, this appeared to be the most reasonable.

To investigate the impact of any distribution assumption, it is necessary to analyze the variability of STP coefficients within industries. If there is little variability, the distribution question is moot. If there is substantial variability, it is important to determine whether

this variability occurs across size classes of firms (indicating economies of scale) or within size classes as well (indicating significant substitution possibilities at the same output level).

The variability of STP coefficients within industries was examined by aggregating the data for the 39 detailed occupational classifications into the classes of engineers, scientists, and technicians (architects were excluded). Coefficients for each establishment were calculated for each occupational class on a full time equivalent (FTE) per thousand dollar basis. Coefficients for each of the twenty-six BLS sectors surveyed were calculated and the distributions plotted and examined visually to note patterns in variability. Data were plotted on the basis of labor intensity per establishment versus total sales in 1975. Since occupational inventories were obtained in both the current situation and future requirements surveys, these responses were combined to increase the sample size. The ratio of the industry-wide coefficients in the requirements survey were plotted against the same data for the current survey as a check on the calculations as well as to establish that the two surveys were indeed drawn from the same sample and no significant biases exist. For all three occupational classes, the slope of the line is close to one, indicating that both surveys arrived at approximately the same industry



coefficients. The only significant deviations from the lines occurred in industries where the sample size was very small. In order to minimize this problem, further analysis on the establishment coefficients was conducted only on the five industries with the largest response frequencies. This group includes crude petroleum, new highway construction, petroleum refining, electric utilities and miscellaneous professional services.

Examination of the distribution of individual firm coefficients for these five industries in each of the occupational groups yielded similar results. In general there is significant variation among the coefficients. In all cases the standard deviations of the coefficients are larger than means. The greatest variation is within the establishments doing the smallest dollar volume. In each case, the highest labor intensity is found within this group. At the same time, the largest firms contain only low labor intensive establishments. This confirms the hypothesis that there are economies of scale with respect to use of scientific and technical personnel. This also suggests that the use of an industry labor coefficient for a particular job class will be accurate at different levels of output, but only so long as the sample that the coefficient was derived from approximates the sales distribution of the industry universe. If a change in output requires a significant

change in the proportion of output produced by a particular size class of establishments, a significant change in the labor intensity of the industry as a whole may result. This will be likely if the output distribution is shifted to either the extremely large or extremely small end of the distribution.

Given the larger variance among the coefficients for small size firms than large size firms and the lack of any data on capital, any attempt to quantify the relationship between output levels and labor requirements at the establishment level appears fruitless in this study. However, the correlations between the three classes of labor have been investigated. In new highway construction, electric utilities, and miscellaneous professional services these correlations were weak. In crude petroleum and petroleum refining, however, the simple correlations between the number of engineers, scientists, and technicians per dollar of output ranged from .97 to .99.

This indicates that those establishments that utilize scientific and technical personnel are likely to use all of them in rather large numbers and those which use no STP in a given classification are likely to not use any STP at all. This is probably due to the fact that research and development units are included in the industry as well as estab-



lishments that are primarily production operations. This is a definitional problem caused by the aggregated level of analysis represented by the BLS industry groups and may be a significant source of error if changes in total demand are not in proportion to the mix of R & D and production activities in the current economy.

### 3.1.5.3 - Implications for STP Projections

The high variability of establishment coefficients must cause serious concern for those who would use occupation-industry coefficients for projecting STP requirements. This is particularly important in projecting, because it is possible that the low coefficient firms might grow rapidly and the high coefficient firms slowly and that the industry coefficient would as a result decline. The reverse could happen as well, so that the size of the future industry occupational coefficient depends on the composition of the industry as well as its level of output. If it turns out, on further examination, that the high variance of establishment occupational coefficients cannot be explained by inappropriate aggregation of firms into industries, differences in locus of STP activities in headquarters and establishments, or other rational practices, then the rationale for occupational projections would be seriously weakened. What this means, of course, is that if the occupational employment

pattern of an employer is arbitrary or judgemental, and is not dictated by technology and product, it is impossible to predict with any confidence what future employment will be even if the level of output of the firm can be projected.

It is hoped that disaggregation of major industries into R&D and non-R&D subindustries will reduce within establishment variation in STP coefficients. During a future phase of analysis, the data will be reprocessed so that R&D and non-R&D quasi-establishments will be defined for major industries. It seems reasonable to expect that much of the variance of STP coefficients among establishments in a single industry will be associated with variance in the degree of R&D activity within the establishment. If so, then the disaggregation of the model into R&D and non-R&D constituent subindustries should reduce within-industry coefficient variance, and improve the credibility, if not the reliability, of the coefficients.

### 3.2 - Energy Scenarios and Technology

The three energy scenarios, Free Imports, Limited Imports, and Synthetics imply different final demand patterns and technology. In the Free Imports case, the BLS low-priced oil final demand pattern and the Free Imports tech-



nology matrix are used. This matrix reflects 1967 energy efficiency. In the Limited Imports case, the BLS medium priced oil final demand and the Technical Fix input-output matrix are used. This matrix involves substantial improvements in energy utilization technology and reflects the fuel mixes and process efficiencies implied in the ERDA scenarios. Finally, the BLS high-priced oil final demand vector and the Technical Fix matrix are used in preparing the Synthetics scenario projections.

### 3.3 - Energy-Related Activities

The model takes into account many of the sources of energy-induced economic change. Final demand estimates are based on three different oil prices. Input-output coefficients reflect both changing fuel mixes and process efficiencies in energy production and use. Occupation-industry coefficients reflect both employer expectations and changing levels of production. Energy construction reflects varying energy supply level and composition under the three scenarios.

The model, however, does not explicitly represent the R&D expenditure and STP requirements to attain the Technical Fix technologies in the energy consuming industries. It is

doubtful that the degree of reduction in energy consumption per unit of output implied by the Technical Fix matrix could be attained without increases in R&D activity and employment in the consuming industries, and such an increase is not necessarily included in the occupation-industry coefficients implied by the 1985 BLS estimates of occupational employment by industry.

The industries identified as energy-related in the survey are those involved directly in energy construction and production, and those that provide important inputs to these industries. Far more industries are energy-related in some degree. In the projections of energy-related STP employment, only the employment that arises from energy construction and production is desired, and not industry totals. Total employment from the direct and indirect energy industries used in the surveys are not used as energy-related employment. Rather employment is projected by using four groups of activities:

- I. Direct Energy Construction ("Direct Construction")
- II. Intermediate Demand due To Energy Construction ("Indirect Construction")
- III. Total Energy Demand ("Direct Energy")
- IV. Intermediate Demand due to Final Demand for Energy ("Indirect Energy")



Employment in Direct Energy Construction (or "Direct Construction") is obtained from the Bechtel Energy Supply Planning Model that specifies a construction program to provide future fuel supplies.

Employment arising from materials demand, or "Indirect Construction," is derived from the material inputs specified in the Bechtel Model processed to provide a vector of final demands that is in turn multiplied by the I-O inverse matrix to produce an estimate of total production that is multipled, in turn, by the occupation-industry coefficients.

The total energy demand (or "Direct Energy") is all employment in the energy industries, except for that generated by the materials requirements for energy construction and is the total demand for the energy industries induced by all GNP except for materials requirements for energy construction mentioned above. Multiplying the energy industries' employment coefficients by the respective total energy demand yields total employment in the energy industries arising from this modified GNP final demand vector.

Intermediate employment requirements due to final demand for energy (or "Indirect Energy") is estimated by multiplying the final demand of the energy industries by the

Leontief inverse to obtain total demand, though the total demands in the energy industries are zeroed since they have already been counted in the preceding case. Employment is determined by multiplying the total demand vector by the occupation-industry coefficients.

Total energy-related employment is the sum of the four categories of energy-related employment for each STP occupation. This is then compared to total STP employment estimated for the entire economy to produce the percentages of total employment by STP occupation. If the employment generated in other industries due to nonenergy GNP final demand minus energy investment is added to the sum of energy related employment above, the total is equal to total employment. Thus the five classes do not overlap and the classification is complete.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> Clark Bullard and Doug Gilmore developed this scheme and proved its completeness.



2) Differences in total demand necessary to achieve this final product mix.

Chapter 4

Projection of STP Requirements

4.1 - On Comparing Projections

The CAC projection technique for determining STP requirements in 1980 and 1985 has been described in Chapter 3. This chapter presents the results of these projections as well as BLS data base projections for 1980 and 1985.

The assumptions of the BLS data base projections correspond approximately to the CAC Limited Imports Scenario (henceforth CAC LIS).<sup>1</sup> The aggregate domestic final demand in the CAC LIS and the BLS data base projections are identical. Final demands are also identical when they are classified into the 129 sectors used in the input-output matrix.

There are two major sources of difference between the CAC and BLS data base projections:

- 1) Differences in manpower coefficients for individual industries and occupations, and

-----  
<sup>1</sup> The BLS data base manpower coefficients are those implied by using the final demand vector and BLS employment projections. The CAC manpower coefficients were calculated using the results of the CAC forecast survey to adjust the BLS implied coefficients.

Table 4.1a gives a summary of the sectors which exhibit the largest differences between the BLS data base projections and the CAC LIS scenario projections. Total aggregate demand is 1.6 per cent lower in both 1980 and 1985 under the CAC projections than the BLS data base projections. As would be expected, the sectors which account for this difference are largely "intermediate." The major discrepancies occur in petroleum products, utilities and government sectors. The differences in utilities and government in the two forecasts are in part definitional. The CAC model lumps government generation of energy into the energy sectors. Thus, total demand in the government enterprise sector should be lower in the CAC projections. This also indicates that the discrepancy between the CAC and BLS data base projections for electric utility total demand is even greater than is indicated in Table 4.1a. A significant portion of this difference is explained by the much heavier reliance on natural gas in the CAC projections. The total demand for natural gas is 20 per cent higher in 1980 and 34.6 per cent higher in 1985 than the BLS-data projections.



Table 4.1b provides a comparison of total demand by sector under each of the three CAC scenarios for those sectors which exhibit the largest differences. Total aggregate demand is higher under the Free Imports scenario (1.4 percent in 1980 and 1.5 per cent in 1985) than the Limited Imports scenario; it is approximately the same under the Synthetic and Limited Imports scenarios. The impact of altering the scenario is basically the same for both 1980 and 1985. Total demands for crude petroleum, petroleum products, motor vehicles and utilities are increased substantially under the Free Imports scenario. Since total demand increases in all three major energy sectors (petroleum, electricity and natural gas), this projection indicates a much more energy-intensive technology than would exist under the Limited Imports scenario.

The effect of an increase in the price of oil (the Synthetics scenario) is in the opposite direction. The total demand for petroleum products, motor vehicles and electricity falls. However, this impact is not perfectly symmetrical to a price decrease (the Free Imports scenario). The demands for crude petroleum and natural gas either increase or at least remain the same.

	1980 DIFC DIFC (BLS base)	1985 DIFC DIFC (BLS base)	1985 DIFC DIFC (BLS base)	1985 DIFC DIFC (BLS base)	Total (all industries) -33692
Gas Utilities	+ 3304	+20.0	+ 6046	+34.6	- 1.6
Misc. Businesses	- 1527	- 4.4	- 2054	- 4.8	-33.5
Other Fed	- 1192	-29.9	- 1612	-34.4	-34.4
State and Local	- 4083	-29.5	- 5563	- 1.6	- 1.6
Total (all industries)	-33692				

TABLE 4.1a: Limited Versus BLS Domestic Total Demand (in thousands of dollars)



In each of the sections which follow, projections are given for both BLS-base data and CAC alternative scenarios. To reiterate, these projections will differ for three reasons: different scenarios, different input-output coefficients for the same final demand scenario, and different manpower coefficients. In each case an attempt is made to present the projections so that it is possible to differentiate the basic reasons for the different projections. Thus projections are made with BLS coefficients and BLS demand patterns; CAC coefficients and CAC demand patterns; and, BLS coefficients with CAC demand patterns. The latter two projections are projected on a scenario basis.

Total STP employment, energy and construction employment, employment for materials for energy production, employment for energy production, employment for inputs to energy production, total energy-related employment, and energy-related STP employment as a percentage of total STP employment are discussed in separate sections below.

#### 4.2 - Projections of Total Private STP Requirements

Sections 4.2 to 4.8 of this report examine the CAC scientific and technical manpower projections. Alternative concepts of energy-related employment are used. First, STP projections are presented for 1980 and 1985 by what is denoted as "energy and related industry" employment or ERI.

TABLE 4.1D: Domestic Import and Synthetic Total Demand Versus Free Import and Synthetic Total Domestic Total Demands (in thousands of dollars)

	1980	1985	Free Import Difference	Free Import Difference	Synthetic Difference	Synthetic Difference	Crude Petroleum	Maintenance Const.	Cleaning Preparations	Petrochemical Products	Construction Machinery	Motor Vehicles	RR & Misc Vehicles	Electric Utilities	Gas Utilities	Total (All Industries)
+ 4077	+ 27.1	+ 758	+ 5.0	4991	+ 28.8	+ 1677	+10.3									
+ 781	+ 3.2	+ 321	+1.3	+ 846	+ 3.2	+ 409	+ 1.6	+ 581	+ 4.9	- 559	- 4.8	+ 697	+ 4.9	- 665	- 4.7	
+ 4077	+ 27.1	+ 758	+ 5.0	4991	+ 28.8	+ 1677	+10.3	+ 11062	+ 40.6	- 1416	- 5.2	+ 15125	+ 49.4	- 975	- 3.2	
								- 307	- 3.0	+ 235	+2.3	- 511	- 4.2	+ 407	+ 3.3	Construction Machinery
								+ 4377	+ 5.6	- 5194	- 6.7	+ 4922	+ 5.9	- 5591	- 6.7	Motor Vehicles
								- 309	- 7.1	+ 271	+6.2	- 357	- 6.5	+ 413	+ 7.5	RR & Misc Vehicles
								+ 5253	+18.2	- 2284	-7.9	+ 6539	+17.0	- 3790	- 9.9	Electric Utilities
								+ 3211	+16.2	+ 358	+1.8	+ 3603	+15.3	21	0.0	Gas Utilities
								+ 29239	+ 1.4	- 5283	-0.3	+ 37640	+ 1.5	- 3675	- 0.1	Total (All Industries)



With this concept, STP employment in industries which are closely related to energy-producing industries, in an industry transactions sense as important suppliers to the energy industries, are included with STP manpower directly employed in energy-producing industries. This concept does not measure the number of STP workers directly and indirectly related to energy production. Instead, it measures employment in those sectors of the economy which have been identified as important for energy production.

For measurement of direct and indirect STP manpower which is energy-related a partition of the input-output matrix has been developed to provide estimates of various components: (1) construction of energy facilities; (2) materials for energy facility construction; (3) total energy demand; (4) interindustry transactions to energy producing industries; and (5) balance of the economy. The sum of (1) through (4) is called energy-related employment (ERE). The manpower projections for each of these categories are presented in sections 4.3 to 4.8. Section 4.9 provides STP projections classified by major industry groups and section 4.10 presents a comparison with the findings of other studies.

Before examining the projections of economy-wide STP requirements, a brief comment on the scope of the definition

of energy activities is in order. The energy activities which are addressed are energy production activities, both direct and indirect. Direct requirements are generated by construction of energy facilities and operations and maintenance of those facilities. Indirect requirements are generated through supply of material inputs from non-energy producing industries to construction and operations and maintenance. Outside the scope of this definitional framework are energy conservation and environmental activities. Thus engineers and scientists working in the automotive industry to develop a more efficient carburetor are not defined to be within the scope of this study. A recent survey of scientists and technical personnel (S-260) by the U.S. Bureau of the Census used this more inclusive concept of energy activities. It is not surprising that their survey found a significant portion (14 per cent) of the STP workforce to be engaged in energy activities.

Also excluded from the projections are the STP workers directly employed by government, except for those STP working in government enterprises engaged in the production of energy which are treated as part of the corresponding utilities industries in the CAC model, rather than as part of government enterprises as they are in the BLS model. Only private sector STP employment is projected. Furthermore, the analysis excludes social scientists from the projections since the occupations of this group are not represented in



the sample industries in significant numbers. Thus, if the CAC projections are to be compared to other studies, it is necessary to subtract government employed STP and the social science categories of economists, psychologists and other social scientists from the other studies. Medical scientists, practitioners, and health technologists are also excluded. Science and engineering teachers are treated both by BLS and CAC in the corresponding teaching professions and are not included in the STP concept used here. The following STP categories are included in the sample domain:

- A. Engineers: Chemical, Civil, Electrical, Industrial, Metallurgical, Mechanical, Aero-Astronautical, Mining, Petroleum, and Other;
  - B. Scientists: Chemists, Physicists and Astronomers, Geologists, Agricultural, Biological, Marine, and Life and Physical, n.e.c.;
  - C. Math Specialists: Mathematicians, Statisticians, and Computer Specialists (includes Programmers);
  - D. Technicians: Agricultural and Biological except health, Chemical, Industrial, Mechanical, Engineering and Science, n.e.c., Draftsmen and Surveyors.
- Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 show economy-wide projections of STP manpower for 1980 and 1985 by import scenario. BLS data base projections are also given. The latter result from straightforward use of BLS manpower coefficients, inverse-matrix, and final demand sectors.
- The tables are subdivided into energy and non-energy related sectors. Subtotals are provided for four aggregated categories of STP employment. The tables show STP projections for 1980 of approximately 2.8 million; for 1985 3.2 million. The striking feature of the projection results is how little variance there is between scenarios. The ordering of the projections by scenarios is also counterintuitive. The Synthetics scenario yields the lowest projection of total 1980 STP requirements, for the sample occupations, 2.77 million; Free Imports, the highest 2.8 million. In fact, only 34 thousand workers separates the high-low scenario estimates. The 1985 scenario projections are even closer; only a 21 thousand difference between Free Imports and Synthetics. Since the construction STP manpower requirements are higher for the Synthetics scenario, the lower levels of energy production associated with the higher oil price scenarios produces an disemployment effect that exceeds the higher construction manpower requirements. For 1985 the Synthetics scenario require 112 thousand STP personnel for construction of energy facilities, according to the Bechtel estimates; 97 thousand for the Free Imports scenario. Ener-



gy use is, however, 14 per cent higher under the Free Imports scenario (99.1 vs 86.9 quadrillion Btu's). The number of operations and maintenance STP personnel is substantially reduced under lower levels of energy production.

For 1980 scenario results are somewhat lower than those obtained with the BLS data base projections. The lowest scenario projection is only 1.4 per cent less than the projection results obtained from the BLS data base.

For 1985, CAC scenario projections yield higher levels of STP employment. But again the margin is small; less than 1 per cent. The significant difference between the BLS data base results and CAC's lies in the "mix" of employment between and within the two industry divisions. CAC coefficients yield higher intensities of engineering employment in energy and related industries. Proportional utilization of math specialists and technicians is lower whereas the scientists proportion is approximately equal to the BLS data base value. Projected scenario employment is 50 to 61 thousand (36 to 49 for 1980) lower for non-energy related industries.

Thus, the CAC manpower coefficients yield greater STP intensity in energy and related industries and, within those industries, a higher intensity of engineering employment. A similar pattern of higher STP intensity for energy-related industries is found in both the 1980 and 1985 projections.

#### BLS Data Base

	Import Scenario	Free Imports	Limited Imports	Synthetics	Total STP Employment
Energy-Related Industries	1,051.3	1,027.4	1,030.2	1,019.8	2,798.7
Engineering	532.6	523.0	524.8	488.4	2,768.4
Manufacturing	523.0	519.1	59.5	62.4	2,764.7
Other Industries	1,747.4	1,741.0	1,734.5	1,783.9	2,803.7
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	
Scientists	65.1	63.2	63.1	73.8	
Engineers	63.6	59.1	59.5	62.4	
Math Specialists	59.1	52.4	52.4	488.4	
Other Professionals	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	1,030.2	
Technicians	390.0	382.1	382.8	395.2	



#### 4.3 - Projections of Energy Construction Requirements

This discussion of direct energy construction requirements begins the presentation of the partition results. Energy-related employment projections are partitioned into four groups: direct construction, indirect construction, direct production of energy, and indirect energy production. The direct construction STP requirements are provided by Bechtel (Tables 4.3a, b, and c).

For 1980, Bechtel predicts slight variation in manpower requirements. Construction requirements for the Limited Imports scenario will utilize 83.7 thousand STP workers versus 89.3 thousand for the Synthetics scenario. No scientists or mathematical specialists are required in Bechtel's view and all of the requirements for technicians are for the single category of draftsmen. Engineers account for about 70 percent of the STP requirements for this partition component. Civil, electrical, and mechanical engineers represent the dominant engineering categories.

For 1985, Bechtel projects substantial increases in STP requirements: 96.8 thousand for Free Imports; 111.5 thousand for Synthetics. There is also wider variation in requirements across scenarios (15 per cent vs 7 per cent for 1980). Again, engineers represent about 70 per cent of total STP requirements for this partition component.

2 Includes Bechtel STP requirements from the Limited Imports Scenario.

1 Includes Bechtel STP requirements for Construction of Energy Facilities.

1985 Employment Projection		of		Scientific and Technical Personnel (in thousands)		Energy-Related Industry Employment (in thousands)		Free Imports		Limited Imports		Synthetics		BLS Data Base	
		Project Scenario													
Total STP Employment	3,202.0	3,182.9	3,181.5	3,179.8											
Energy-Related Industries	1,239.3	1,228.0	1,230.9	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Lumber	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	75.5	75.4	84.7
Other Industries	1,962.7	1,954.9	1,958.6	2,011.8	781.5	777.1	773.2	799.7	153.8	154.4	155.1	155.9	350.0	348.5	349.3
Engineering															
Engineering	1,230.9	1,228.0	1,239.3	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Manufacturing	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	75.5	75.4	84.7
Construction	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Transportation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Trade	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Finance	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Government	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Services	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Residential	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Agriculture	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Health	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Education	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Religious	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Entertainment	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Transportation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Accommodation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Residential	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Health	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Education	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Religious	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Accommodation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Transportation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Residential	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Health	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Education	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Religious	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Accommodation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Transportation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Residential	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Health	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Education	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Religious	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Accommodation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Transportation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Residential	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Health	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Education	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Religious	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Accommodation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Transportation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Residential	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Health	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Education	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Religious	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Accommodation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Transportation	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Food	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Residential	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3	618.1	616.0	66.7	71.3	66.7	66.0	77.4	472.0	468.9
Health	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	1,168.0	618.6	616.3</									



Table 4.3a

Occupation	1980	1985
Chemical Engineers	0.8	1.1
Civil Engineers	18.2	21.6
Electrical Engineers	20.7	24.3
Mechanical Engineers	11.4	13.5
Mining Engineers	0.1	0.2
Nuclear Engineers	0.8	1.9
Geological Engineers	3.6	2.9
Petroleum Engineers	3.3	2.7
Other Engineers	9.7	6.8
Designers and Draftsmen	24.6	28.7
Supervisors, Managers, Foremen	12.7	13.9

Table 4.3b

Occupation	1980	1985
Chemical Engineers	0.7	1.0
Civil Engineers	18.6	25.0
Electrical Engineers	20.0	28.3
Mechanical Engineers	11.6	14.4
Mining Engineers	0.1	0.2
Nuclear Engineers	1.0	1.2
Geological Engineers	3.6	3.0
Petroleum Engineers	3.5	2.6
Other Engineers	0.7	0.6
Designers and Draftsmen	24.1	31.4
Supervisors, Managers, Foremen	12.6	13.7

Table 4.3c

Occupation	1980	1985
Chemical Engineers	1.3	1.3
Civil Engineers	19.6	25.8
Electrical Engineers	20.8	28.9
Mechanical Engineers	12.5	15.0
Mining Engineers	0.2	0.3
Nuclear Engineers	1.0	1.2
Geological Engineers	3.4	2.8
Petroleum Engineers	3.1	2.4
Other Engineers	1.0	0.9
Designers and Draftsmen	26.4	32.9
Supervisors, Managers, Foremen	12.8	14.2



#### 4.4 - Projections of Employment for Energy Construction Materials

Units of STP manpower, as well as other types of labor inputs, are indirectly embodied in the materials used for construction of energy facilities. Multiplying the Leontief-inverse matrix by the vector of industry inputs of energy construction materials provides a basis for partitioning this element of indirect labor input. For this projection the materials requirements specified by Bechtel were used. Tables 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 list the 1980 and 1985 projections for 29 categories of STP manpower.

Six projection values for each occupation and each projection year result from application of the three scenarios and two manpower coefficient matrices (CAC and BLS Data-Base coefficients). Table 4.4.1 shows that a sizeable number of scientific and technical personnel are indirectly involved in construction of energy facilities: 57 - 61 thousand using the CAC manpower coefficient base. Interestingly, in comparison to the Limited Imports scenario, larger STP requirements are projected under the Free Imports scenario. This testifies to the large capital requirements for petroleum storage and refining under the Free Imports scenario. The

Syntetics scenario, however, requires the largest inputs of STP manpower, 61 thousand; yet, this represents only about 2.3 per cent of projected total STP employment for 1980.

Table 4.4.1  
Comparative Scenario Employment Projections  
Materials for Energy Facility Construction  
1980

	<u>PI</u>	<u>FI*</u>	<u>LI*</u>	<u>LI</u>	<u>SY</u>	<u>SY*</u>
1 engineers, chemical	860	825	818	773	846	799
2 engineers, civil	1464	1219	1416	1175	1531	1235
3 engineers, electrical	6821	6262	6613	6039	6597	6315
4 engineers, industrial	7412	7133	7362	4665	4913	5311
5 engineers, metallurgical	1043	902	1026	866	1091	931
6 engineers, mechanical	5619	5432	5866	5461	5651	5156
7 engineers, aero-astronautic	634	618	634	618	652	646
8 engineers, mining	216	215	215	212	211	219
9 engineers, petroleum	231	293	198	227	193	227
10 engineers, other	4815	3795	4632	3753	5154	3952
11 chemists	2286	2077	2220	1996	2311	2076
12 physicians and astronomers	324	315	319	308	333	323
13 geologists	487	577	408	466	441	462
14 agricultural scientists	32	31	31	31	32	32
15 biological scientists	60	57	58	55	60	55
16 medical workers, exc tech	89	90	87	87	90	90
17 mathematicians	239	216	234	221	245	231
18 statisticians	4707	4614	4761	4568	4934	4723
19 computer specialists	15	13	14	12	14	12
20 marine scientists	33	32	32	31	33	34
21 life, physical scientists nec	167	169	105	106	119	111
22 agt., biolog. tech exc health	1227	1158	1164	1165	1203	1163
23 chemical tech	3437	3534	3356	3422	3517	3574
24 electrical, electronic tech	800	680	791	679	829	712
25 industrial engineering tech	757	421	770	418	816	430
26 mechanical engineering tech	13	13	13	13	13	13
27 math. & technol. and tech	561	765	533	732	552	756
28 mathematical tech	8920	8773	8869	8017	9480	9339
29 surveyors	4874	4494	4802	4381	5050	4666
<b>totals</b>	<b>58365</b>	<b>52366</b>	<b>57447</b>	<b>51687</b>	<b>60736</b>	<b>53722</b>

PI: Free Imports Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 FI: Free Imports Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.  
 LI: Limited Imports Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 LI\*: Limited Imports Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.  
 SY: Synthetics Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 SY\*: Synthetics Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.



It is worth noting that indirect construction requirements are large in relation to direct construction requirements. For example, CAC coefficients for the Free Imports scenario yield indirect requirements equal to 41 per cent of the total (direct and indirect) 1980 STP construction requirements. Thus, neglect of indirect requirements can lead to a substantial understatement of manpower requirements.

Table 4.4.2  
Comparative Scenario Employment Projections  
Materials for Energy Facility Construction  
1985

	<u>FI</u>	<u>FI*</u>	<u>L1</u>	<u>L1*</u>	<u>SY</u>	<u>SY*</u>
1 engineers, chemical	866	784	853	758	861	766
2 engineers, civil	1565	1073	1561	1056	1544	1079
3 engineers, electrical	6935	6255	7285	6526	6623	6737
4 engineers, industrial	6531	4533	6758	4768	6944	4793
5 engineers, metallurgical	1167	845	1152	882	1175	898
6 engineers, mechanical	5917	5211	6036	6163	5497	5497
7 engineers, aero-astronautic	581	546	590	554	596	559
8 engineers, mining	217	221	218	222	226	226
9 engineers, petroleum	220	314	190	237	183	238
10 engineers, other	4763	3461	4086	3557	4297	3620
11 chemists	2257	1947	2322	1956	2358	1962
12 physicists and astronomers	352	322	364	331	369	337
13 geologists	476	583	426	405	422	406
14 agricultural scientists	28	26	27	27	27	27
15 biological scientists	60	54	61	54	62	55
16 medical workers, exc tech	93	90	94	90	95	91
17 mathematicians	239	227	242	230	246	234
18 statisticians	5023	4774	5118	4638	4912	4794
19 computer specialists	15	11	15	16	15	16
20 marine scientists	35	33	36	34	37	35
21 earth, physical scientists nec	110	112	109	112	111	114
22 aero, bioloy tech exc health	1219	1223	1209	1174	1165	1168
23 chemical tech	3599	3632	3751	3640	3894	3894
24 electrical, electronic tech	853	703	875	739	808	742
25 industrial engineering tech	691	416	711	427	720	434
26 mechanical engineering tech	13	13	14	13	14	13
27 health technol and tech	548	747	527	717	531	720
28 mathematical tech	9645	8871	9697	9160	10124	9318
29 surveyors	5152	4542	5297	4623	5116	4794
Totals	59152	51593	60618	52601	61763	53493

FI: Free Imports Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
FI\*: Free Imports Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.  
L1: Limited Imports Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
L1\*: Limited Imports Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.  
SY: Syntactics Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
SY\*: Syntactics Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.

BLS-Data Base coefficients lead to lower projected values of indirect STP construction requirements of about 6 to 7 thousand STP per scenario. This difference is caused solely by differences in employment-per-dollar estimates. Among the individual occupations, the largest differences occur among engineering and technician categories, principally electrical, industrial and mechanical engineers, engineers, other, draftsmen, and engineering and science technicians, n.e.c.

Projections for 1985 STP indirect construction requirements are only slightly higher than the 1980 estimates. CAC coefficient base estimate for the Free Imports scenario is, for example, less than 1 thousand higher. Again, total STP requirements vary only slightly across scenarios; the largest difference is only 4 per cent. The difference in CAC versus BLS-Data coefficients widens for 1985: CAC total STP requirements are 7 to 8 thousand higher for 1985 versus 6 to 7 thousand for 1980.



STP occupations which are important to indirect construction of energy facilities include electrical, industrial, mechanical and miscellaneous engineering categories, computer specialists, electrical and electronic technicians, draftsmen, and engineering and science technicians, n.e.c. Although the distributions of requirements varies substantially across STP occupations, there appears to be less relative variation as a per cent of total requirements per occupation. This is because STP occupations which are needed in larger numbers for indirect energy facility construction are also occupations for which economy-wide requirements are high. For example, electrical engineers and chemists represent 12 and 4 per cent of 61.8 thousand STP required for the 1985 indirect construction component of the synthetic fuels scenario. Yet, these requirements represent only 2.0 and 1.5 per cent of economy-wide STP requirements for each respective occupation. Thus, per occupation, STP requirements for indirect construction are a small percentage of economy-wide requirements even though some categories are needed in larger numbers than others.

Consequently, the differential impact of this component of requirements on the STP occupational spectrum will be small, provided that supply closely approximates demand. For the indirect construction component of ERE, it is seen that rather large numbers of scientific and technical personnel will be needed, that there is little variation in

requirements between scenarios, that CAC coefficients of manpower per-dollar-of-output yield higher projections than unmodified BLS manpower coefficients, that 1985 projections are not significantly higher than 1980 projections, and that indirect construction does not cause a disproportionate impact on STP requirements relative to total requirements, per occupation. Thus, relative demand levels between occupations are essentially unaffected by the indirect construction requirements.

**4.5 - Projections of Employment for Energy Production**  
Employment resulting from total energy demand comprises the third partition component. This direct-energy production component yields the "lion's share" of total energy-related employment even though the proportion of total energy-related employment accounted for by this component varies from about one-half to one-third across scenarios. Tables 4.5.1 and 4.5.2 show manpower requirements by detailed STP occupation for 1980 and 1985.

Because the level of energy use is substantially higher under the Free Imports scenario, STP requirements are also substantially higher. CAC manpower coefficients yield 153.3 thousand scientific and technical personnel under Free Imports versus 131.4 thousand under the Synthetics scenario. For 1985 the differential across scenarios widens by 10 thousand (174.1 versus 152.1).



Table 4.5.1  
Comparative Scenario Employment Projections  
Energy Production  
1965

	<u>F1</u>	<u>F1*</u>	<u>LI</u>	<u>LI*</u>	<u>SY</u>	<u>SY*</u>	<u>F1</u>	<u>F1*</u>	<u>LI</u>	<u>LI*</u>	<u>SY</u>	<u>SY*</u>
1 engineers, chemical	6661	7534	5774	5560	5376	5382	8498	8496	6668	5984	6354	5659
2 engineers, civil	5343	5165	4421	4252	4146	4216	6334	6334	5646	5573	4613	5453
3 engineers, electrical	24344	24843	22442	19258	21179	21179	27572	25152	21401	24110	19668	19668
4 engineers, industrial	3655	3845	3309	3116	3224	3064	4753	4053	3522	3300	3676	3476
5 engineers, metallurgical	279	302	241	236	241	232	321	324	277	239	279	252
6 engineers, mechanical	7654	8536	6855	6936	6867	6764	896	8746	7666	7666	7345	7124
7 engineers, aero-astronautic	113	136	111	111	104	10	15	17	0	0	13	0
8 engineers, mining	1052	2667	1469	1831	1464	1633	2249	2557	1843	2265	1967	2295
9 engineers, petroleum	12706	18016	10718	14115	11067	14747	13559	20832	12342	16067	17646	17655
10 engineers, other	5499	4309	5019	4539	4913	3444	7378	6446	7378	6446	63716	6161
11 chemists	7156	5511	5498	5498	5367	5367	6598	7661	5903	5614	5530	5689
12 physicists and astronomers	359	496	349	387	229	390	579	569	453	437	435	457
13 geologists	26137	16246	20559	16933	21516	21516	26726	17455	22455	10552	10552	24466
14 agricultural scientists	57	21	47	17	44	16	70	57	0	0	54	0
15 biological scientists	90	86	79	69	76	67	93	109	74	74	73	0
16 mathematicians	662	304	245	242	227	235	301	329	225	242	233	256
17 statisticians	782	754	731	650	724	631	863	833	787	866	783	664
18 computer specialists	16882	11151	9289	8902	9333	6823	12682	10955	12625	11007	9625	11007
19 marine scientists	152	165	126	134	122	134	107	107	155	129	151	156
20 life, physical scientists nec	44	36	32	26	24	30	53	53	35	23	34	22
21 agric., biolog, tech exc health	58	63	44	48	45	59	66	49	49	51	53	0
22 chemical tech	10991	12165	9763	8965	9558	8749	12113	12339	9477	12114	5277	5277
23 electrical, electronic tech	5605	5658	4662	4573	4662	4573	6461	6477	5554	5419	5747	5160
24 industrial engineering tech	308	286	229	217	212	206	432	312	314	225	267	218
25 mechanical engineering tech	36	37	33	26	32	25	37	38	34	26	34	25
26 mathematical tech	22	24	14	19	14	4	27	24	6	23	6	0
27 surveyors	4333	4909	3712	4164	3639	4065	5156	5866	4446	4935	4455	4621
28 draftsmen	14552	15955	12305	12702	12024	12556	15619	17741	13457	14468	13150	14564
29 engineers, science tech nec	16322	13651	9205	10451	9167	10516	153668	17476	15345	15244	15242	15245
<b>Totals</b>	<b>15429</b>	<b>17161</b>	<b>131361</b>	<b>131361</b>	<b>131361</b>	<b>131361</b>	<b>151346</b>	<b>151346</b>	<b>151346</b>	<b>151346</b>	<b>151346</b>	<b>151346</b>

F1: Free Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 F1\*: Free Imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.  
 LI: Free Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 LI\*: Limited Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 LI+: Limited Imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.  
 SY: Synthetics scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 SY\*: Synthetics scenario; BLs Coefficient base.

F1: free Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 F1\*: free Imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.  
 LI: Limited Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 LI+: Limited Imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.  
 SY: Synthetics scenario; CAC Coefficient base.  
 SY\*: Synthetics scenario; BLs Coefficient base.



ELS coefficients yield substantially higher projections, particularly for the Free Imports scenario. For 1980, there is an 18 thousand STP worker differential; for 1985, 17 thousand. The principal difference lies in projections of petroleum engineers and geologists. For 1985, CAC coefficients yield 7 and 8 thousand fewer of these STP workers, respectively. Respondents to the CAC survey of energy establishments simply predicted lower rates of utilization of petroleum engineers and geologists at the higher levels of energy production associated with the Free Imports scenario. Non-linearity of per-dollar-of-output manpower coefficients was particularly acute for these two occupations.

Engineers represent about 45 per cent of the total STP requirements for this component for both 1980 and 1985 projections. Electrical and petroleum engineers account for about 54 per cent of engineer requirements in 1980; 50 per cent, in 1985. Geologists, computer specialists, chemical and engineering and science technicians represent other important occupations for direct energy production.

Although there is some variation in STP requirements across scenarios, this variation runs counter to scenario variation in direct and indirect construction requirements. Furthermore, for 1985 in particular, the variation is requirements for direct energy production is substantially

offset by total construction requirements variation.

The 1985 variation across scenarios of 32 thousand is cut by 41 per cent when construction variation is added. A substantial leveling of total scenario projections is the result.

#### 4.6 - Projections of Employment for Inputs to Energy Production

Indirect employment resulting from total demand for energy is the least colorful of the partition components. Tables 4.6.1 and 4.6.2 present these results for 1980 and 1985. STP requirements are low, variation across scenarios is small (less than one thousand workers), and the CAC coefficients results are essentially equivalent to the BLS values. Requirements are highest for the Limited imports scenario in 1980 at 20.5 thousand STP workers and lowest for Synthetics, 19.5 thousand. In addition requirements across the STP occupational spectrum are relatively flat in relation to economy-wide requirements. That is, there are no occupational "bulges" for indirect energy production. The only striking feature of this component is its comparative lack of significance. Indirect requirements for energy production are only about 12 to 13 per cent of total energy production requirements.



Table 4.6.1  
Comparative Scenario Employment Projections  
Inputs for Energy Production  
1980

	FI	FI*	LI	LI*	SY	SY*	
1 engineers, chemical	999	9b4	1063	1069	991	978	
2 engineers, civil	644	851	843	819	816	816	
3 engineers, electrical	1751	1717	1726	1690	1665	1629	
4 engineers, industrial	1559	1228	1542	1217	1497	1173	
5 engineers, metallurgical	121	113	122	113	120	110	
6 engineers, mechanical	1264	1273	1267	1274	1241	1229	
7 engineers, auto-astronautic	64	83	62	81	60	79	
8 engineers, mining	60	54	62	56	53	53	
9 engineers, petroleum	31	19	34	19	29	18	
10 engineers, other	1178	1093	1167	1075	1135	1044	
11 chemists	1432	1416	1533	1517	1414	1398	
12 physicists and astronomers	148	147	149	149	141	146	
13 geologists	82	85	82	85	79	82	
14 agricultural scientists	42	42	45	45	42	41	
15 biological scientists	74	73	78	76	72	71	
16 mathematicians	60	60	56	59	56	56	
17 statisticians	119	110	117	109	111	104	
18 computer specialists	2642	2914	2755	2826	2636	2706	
19 marine scientists	5	6	5	5	5	5	
20 life physical scientists nec	22	22	21	21	26	26	
21 life physical tech nec health	101	101	103	103	97	97	
22 chemical tech	1664	1665	1821	1822	1659	1660	
23 electrical, electronic tech	1052	1003	1028	979	984	940	
24 industrial engineering tech	166	159	174	163	162	155	
25 mechanical engineering tech	87	67	69	67	64	66	
26 mathematical tech	4	5	4	5	4	5	
27 surveyors	490	666	480	651	467	635	
28 accountants	2447	2486	2426	2362	2399	2362	
29 engineers, science tech nec	1546	1541	1561	1554	1483	1473	
<b>Totals</b>	<b>26223</b>	<b>19506</b>	<b>26137</b>	<b>19163</b>	<b>19506</b>	<b>19163</b>	

FI: free imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

FI\*: free imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.

LI: limited imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

LI\*: limited imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.

SY: synthetics scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

SY\*: synthetics scenario; BLs Coefficient base.

FI: Free Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

FI\*: Free Imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.

LI: Limited Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

LI\*: Limited Imports scenario; BLs Coefficient base.

SY: Synthetics scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

SY\*: Synthetics scenario; BLs Coefficient base.

Table 4.6.2  
Comparative Scenario Employment Projections  
Inputs for Energy Production  
1985

	FI	FI*	LI	LI*	SY	SY*	
1 engineers, chemical	1178	1142	1246	1211	1141	1141	
2 engineers, civil	1025	926	997	900	864	864	
3 engineers, electrical	1050	1057	1069	1037	1056	1056	
4 engineers, industrial	1057	1045	1017	1017	1053	1053	
5 engineers, metallurgical	1137	1137	1291	1291	1153	1153	
6 engineers, mechanical	1057	1037	117	117	115	115	
7 engineers, aero-astronautic	1057	1038	1497	1399	1473	1473	
8 engineers, mining	94	90	66	65	65	65	
9 engineers, petroleum	69	71	73	67	69	69	
10 engineers, other	1377	1167	1326	1116	1116	1116	
11 chemists	1594	1566	1664	1630	1537	1537	
12 physicists and astronomers	1177	1172	172	167	165	165	
13 geologists	1062	102	101	101	96	96	
14 agricultural scientists	442	442	45	45	44	44	
15 biological scientists	82	78	83	79	74	74	
16 mathematicians	1175	1177	77	77	71	71	
17 statisticians	123	123	132	132	127	127	
18 computer specialists	3499	3557	3662	3317	3164	3164	
19 marine scientists	6	6	6	6	6	6	
20 life physical scientists nec	25	24	23	23	22	22	
21 phys. diag. tech exc health	116	116	116	116	116	116	
22 clinical tech	1983	2124	2124	1923	1923	1923	
23 electrical, electronic tech	1275	1179	1200	1109	1066	1066	
24 industrial engineering tech	1197	1067	106	106	101	101	
25 mechanical engineering tech	93	75	92	73	93	73	
26 mathematical tech	5	6	5	6	5	6	
27 surveyors	571	770	545	736	541	734	
28 accountants	2956	2795	2900	2764	2653	2653	
29 engineers, science tech nec	1814	1836	1774	1764	1764	1764	
<b>Totals</b>	<b>23928</b>	<b>24959</b>	<b>23443</b>	<b>22464</b>	<b>22664</b>	<b>21705</b>	



#### 4.7 - Total Private Energy-Related Employment

Summing the four partition components yields projection:

or total private energy-related employment. Tables 4.7.1 and 4.7.2 present these results. These tables illustrate the substantial leveling of employment across scenarios that results once all the contributions to energy-related employment are examined. The Free Imports scenario yields the highest value of projected STP employment: 316.2 thousand. This total is only seven per cent higher than the Limited Imports scenarios which yields the lowest projection (294.7 thousand).

That it is higher at all is counterintuitive. This analysis has shown that the additional employment effects of increased energy facility construction are not sufficient to offset the disemployment effects associated with lower energy use with higher priced energy.

**Totals**

Table 4.7.1  
Comparative Scenario Employment projections  
Energy-Related Employment  
1980

	FI	FI*	LI	LI*	SY	SY*
1 engineers, chemical	9340	10139	8375	6101	8724	8453
2 engineers, civil	25851	25415	25295	24870	26150	25798
3 engineers, electrical	53766	51523	46987	50549	46678	
4 engineers, industrial	12629	9865	12224	6998	12702	5149
5 engineers, metallurgical	1444	1316	1359	1230	1452	1274
6 engineers, mechanical	26357	25641	25347	25211	26564	26168
7 engineers, aero-astronautic	731	745	727	703	742	716
8 engineers, mining	26248	24356	1935	21659	1935	2256
9 engineers, petroleum	16268	21629	14238	17656	14394	18453
10 engineers, other	16593	14254	16317	15668	16500	13839
11 chemists	9667	10612	9265	8964	8639	
12 physicists and astronomers	671	558	797	644	603	654
13 geologists	26570	26799	16737	21112	17432	22062
14 agricultural scientists	131	94	123	52	118	
15 biological scientists	224	216	214	206	206	195
16 medical doctors	411	454	371	373	352	
17 statisticians	1140	1127	1063	979	1000	966
18 computer specialists	18410	16679	1645	16236	16503	16222
19 marine scientists	173	184	145	151	142	152
20 life, physical scientists nec	99	90	65	75	65	79
21 airt., biolog tech exc health	266	273	252	258	251	257
22 chemical tech	13081	15080	12748	11957	12419	11612
23 electrical, electronic tech	10294	10374	9483	9263	9375	9176
24 industrial engineering tech	12274	11133	1189	1055	1164	1074
25 mechanical engineering tech	880	525	692	511	936	527
26 mathematical techn	39	37	23	22	30	22
27 surveyors	5444	6423	4725	5567	4656	5477
28 draftsmen	50419	51464	47659	49361	50654	
29 engineering, science tech nec	16742	19086	15363	14955	15748	16595
<b>Totals</b>	316151	327556	294660	291749	306923	297065

FI: Free Imports Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

FI\*:

Free Imports Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.

LI: Limited Imports Scenario; CAC Coefficient Base.

LI\*:

Limited Imports Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.

SY: Synthetics Scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

SY\*: Synthetics Scenario; BLS Coefficient base.



**4.8 - Private Energy-Related STP Employment as Percentage of Total Private STP Employment**

For 1980 and 1985, 11 per cent of total private STP requirements will be in energy-related activities. There is little variation across scenarios: For 1980 Free Imports requires 11.3 per cent; Synthetics, 10.9 per cent. There is some variation among STP groups. About 13 per cent of engineers are in energy-related activities in 1980 and 1985. Requirements for scientists are slightly higher at 14 to 15 per cent, while Math and Computer Specialists are substantially lower at 5 per cent. Technicians requirements are 10 to 11 per cent. Percentage requirements for energy activities for some individual occupations are high. In 1985, for Limited Imports, Petroleum Engineers, Mining Engineers, and Geologists utilized in energy-related activities are 38, 38, and 63 per cent respectively. The proportion of Electrical Engineers in energy-related activities is also relatively high: 17 per cent. For almost all of the other detailed categories, however, energy-related utilization is less than 10 per cent.

Tables 4.8.1 through 4.8.6 summarize the scenario projection results of energy-related employment for the four major subdivisions of STP employment, showing, for each scenario, projected employment and percentage distribution by partition component.

**Table 4.7.2**  
Comparative Scenario Employment Projections  
Energy-Related Employment  
1985

	FI	FI*	LI	LI*	SY	SY*
1 engineers, chemical	11342	11524	9797	9953	9656	9969
2 engineers, civil	31124	29445	31575	33023	32283	32283
3 engineers, electrical	60865	57764	63270	58096	62752	59567
4 engineers, industrial	12565	9792	12197	9300	12312	9335
5 engineers, metallurgical	1566	1487	1564	1235	1505	1265
6 engineers, mechanical	29661	29895	29534	26974	29961	29566
7 engineers, aero-astronautic	692	635	694	639	698	643
8 engineers, mining	2735	30449	2735	2760	2495	2889
9 engineers, petroleum	16649	23168	15143	18926	15452	20664
10 engineers, other	18217	13898	17452	13194	17317	13492
11 geologists	16798	11368	9950	9400	9725	9373
12 geoscientists and astronomers	1109	1063	989	934	969	953
13 geologists	21332	29111	17982	22678	19378	25052
14 agricultural scientists	140	68	126	70	142	67
15 biological scientists	270	224	253	207	263	262
16 mathematicians	471	496	391	404	390	417
17 statisticians	1241	1182	1161	1157	1011	1011
18 computer specialists	26904	26331	19485	17789	19390	17649
19 marine scientists	205	204	176	166	172	172
20 astrophysicist, physical scientists nec	113	93	95	81	94	69
21 astrophysicist, biolog tech exc health	292	293	274	276	272	275
22 chemist, chem tech	15623	16319	15662	12575	15244	12284
23 electrical, electronic tech	11355	11059	10505	11366	10739	10140
24 industrial engineering tech	1462	1246	1246	1366	1145	1365
25 mathematical engineer	621	529	837	525	847	532
26 mathematical tech	44	19	42	19	41	19
27 surveyors	6316	7305	5518	6391	5457	6216
28 draftsman	56961	58168	57653	57693	59643	59275
29 engineer, science tech nec	16449	21669	17437	18499	17697	18913
<b>totals</b>	<b>352956</b>	<b>362454</b>	<b>345053</b>	<b>345103</b>	<b>339613</b>	

FI: Free Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

FI\*: Free Imports scenario; ELS Coefficient base.

LI: Limited Imports scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

LI\*: Limited Imports scenario; ELS Coefficient base.

SY: Synthetics scenario; CAC Coefficient base.

SY\*: Synthetics scenario; ELS Coefficient base.



Detailed Analysis of Import Categories - 2023										
Category	Sub-Category	Import Value (USD)	Non-Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy	Total Imports	Percentage	Imports by Region	Imports by Type	Imports by Origin	Imports by Status
Renewable Energy	Solar Panels	500,000	120,000	80,000	200,000	10%	North America	Photovoltaic Cells	China	Shipped
Renewable Energy	Wind Turbines	450,000	90,000	70,000	160,000	8%	Europe	Turbine Components	Germany	Shipped
Renewable Energy	Battery Storage	300,000	60,000	50,000	110,000	6%	Asia-Pacific	Li-ion Batteries	Japan	Shipped
Renewable Energy	Hydroelectric Equipment	250,000	50,000	40,000	100,000	5%	Middle East	Waterfall Components	Iraq	Shipped
Renewable Energy	Tidal Power Systems	150,000	30,000	20,000	80,000	4%	Africa	Flow Measurement	Nigeria	Shipped
Renewable Energy	Geothermal Generators	100,000	20,000	15,000	60,000	3%	Oceania	Thermal Sensors	Australia	Shipped
Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Conversion	80,000	15,000	10,000	50,000	2.5%	Latin America	Wind Turbine Components	Argentina	Shipped
Renewable Energy	Hydrokinetic Devices	50,000	10,000	8,000	40,000	2%	Other Regions	Flow Measurement	Other	Shipped
Non-Renewable Energy	Crude Oil	1,200,000	300,000	200,000	1,000,000	55%	North America	Crude Oil	USA	Received
Non-Renewable Energy	Natural Gas	800,000	200,000	150,000	750,000	40%	Europe	Natural Gas	Russia	Received
Non-Renewable Energy	Coal	500,000	150,000	100,000	650,000	30%	Asia-Pacific	Coal	China	Received
Non-Renewable Energy	Petroleum Products	300,000	100,000	80,000	500,000	25%	Middle East	Petroleum Products	Iraq	Received
Non-Renewable Energy	Refined Oil	200,000	80,000	60,000	400,000	20%	Africa	Refined Oil	Nigeria	Received
Non-Renewable Energy	Propane	100,000	50,000	40,000	200,000	10%	Oceania	Propane	Australia	Received
Non-Renewable Energy	LPG	80,000	40,000	30,000	150,000	8%	Latin America	LPG	Argentina	Received
Non-Renewable Energy	Gasoline	50,000	30,000	20,000	100,000	5%	Other Regions	Gasoline	Other	Received
Industrial Specialties	Chemical Compounds	400,000	100,000	80,000	320,000	18%	North America	Chemical Compounds	USA	Received
Industrial Specialties	Plastics	350,000	90,000	70,000	280,000	15%	Europe	Plastics	Germany	Received
Industrial Specialties	Metals	300,000	80,000	60,000	260,000	14%	Asia-Pacific	Metals	China	Received
Industrial Specialties	Glass	250,000	70,000	50,000	200,000	11%	Middle East	Glass	Iraq	Received
Industrial Specialties	Rubber	200,000	60,000	40,000	180,000	10%	Africa	Rubber	Nigeria	Received
Industrial Specialties	Polymer	150,000	50,000	30,000	130,000	7%	Oceania	Polymer	Australia	Received
Industrial Specialties	Leather	100,000	40,000	30,000	110,000	6%	Latin America	Leather	Argentina	Received
Industrial Specialties	Wood Products	80,000	30,000	20,000	100,000	5%	Other Regions	Wood Products	Other	Received
Consumer Durables	Automotive Parts	500,000	150,000	120,000	450,000	25%	North America	Automotive Parts	USA	Received
Consumer Durables	Electronics	450,000	140,000	110,000	420,000	23%	Europe	Electronics	Germany	Received
Consumer Durables	Household Goods	350,000	120,000	90,000	300,000	17%	Asia-Pacific	Household Goods	China	Received
Consumer Durables	Office Equipment	300,000	110,000	80,000	250,000	15%	Middle East	Office Equipment	Iraq	Received
Consumer Durables	Medical Devices	250,000	100,000	70,000	200,000	12%	Africa	Medical Devices	Nigeria	Received
Consumer Durables	Transportation Equipment	200,000	90,000	60,000	170,000	10%	Oceania	Transportation Equipment	Australia	Received
Consumer Durables	Consumer Electronics	150,000	80,000	50,000	130,000	8%	Latin America	Consumer Electronics	Argentina	Received
Consumer Durables	Leisure Goods	100,000	70,000	40,000	110,000	6%	Other Regions	Leisure Goods	Other	Received
Commercial Services	Logistics	300,000	100,000	80,000	250,000	15%	North America	Logistics	USA	Received
Commercial Services	Warehousing	250,000	90,000	70,000	220,000	13%	Europe	Warehousing	Germany	Received
Commercial Services	Supply Chain Management	200,000	80,000	60,000	180,000	11%	Asia-Pacific	Supply Chain Management	China	Received
Commercial Services	Consulting Services	150,000	70,000	50,000	140,000	9%	Middle East	Consulting Services	Iraq	Received
Commercial Services	Research & Development	100,000	60,000	40,000	110,000	7%	Africa	Research & Development	Nigeria	Received
Commercial Services	Customer Support	80,000	50,000	30,000	100,000	6%	Oceania	Customer Support	Australia	Received
Commercial Services	IT Services	70,000	40,000	20,000	100,000	5%	Latin America	IT Services	Argentina	Received
Commercial Services	Financial Services	60,000	30,000	15,000	100,000	4%	Other Regions	Financial Services	Other	Received
Total		5,000,000	1,500,000	1,200,000	4,300,000	100%				

Office Impôts Réceptions -- 1980

Table 4.8.1



SYNTHETICS SCENARIO PROJECTS--1980

TABLE 4.B.3

	Direct	Inadirect	Total								
Biofuels	62,900	30,643	50,607	7,626	159,775	1,075,485	1,235,260	12.9			
39.4	19.2	36.7	4.8	100.0	100.0	1,075,485	1,235,260	12.9			
0.0	3,207	11.5	22,921	2,773	82.1	6.4	27,900	271,913	199,813	14.0	
Scientists											
0.0	5,269	28.7	10,284	2,883	16,356	337,162	356,518	5.2			
Math and Computer Specialists	0.0	56.0	15.3	16,356	160.0	160.0	206,032	1,584,560	1,790,591	12.5	
39.00	39.119	91,812	122,202	91.8	120.0	120.0	206,032	1,584,560	1,790,591	12.5	
Technicians	26,400	21,617	39,569	7,306	41.7	7.7	94,892	879,560	974,452	9.7	
0.0	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	
Total	89,360	60,736	131,381	19,508	308,923	2,464,120	2,765,843	10.9			

FREE IMPORTS PROJECTS--1985

TABLE 4.B.4

	Direct	Inadirect	Total								
Biofuels	68,100	28,782	75,953	9,072	75,953	9,072	285,827	1,214,292	1,400,119	13.3	
36.6	15.4	15.4	43.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Scientists	0.0	3,263	28,679	2,028	28,679	2,028	33,970	191,175	225,145	15.1	
0.0	9.6	9.6	43.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Math and Computer Specialists	0.0	5,355	13,546	3,715	13,546	3,715	22,616	404,789	427,405	5.3	
25.7	21,830	37,320	122,178	122,178	122,178	122,178	111,541	1,037,878	1,149,429	11.8	
Technicians	28,780	19.6	51,097	9,113	46.5	6.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total	96,800	59,158	174,075	23,928	353,954	2,848,134	3,202,086	11.1			



Lumitested Impacts Projected Scenarios--1985										
	Direct	Indirect	Constitutive	Direct	Indirect	Relative	Relate	Total	Non-Benigny	Percent
Engineers	76,380	29,531	78,338	6,868	36,8	100,0	1,209,024	1,394,053	13.3	
Scientists	41.2	16.0	36.0	4.8	100.0	191,528	221,145	13.4		
Math and Computer Specialists	0.0	3,297	24,227	2,093	7.1	100.0	403,150	423,987	4.9	
Subtotal	76,380	38,282	106.2	57.2	3,466	20,837	1,803,702	2,039,185	11.5	
Technicians	31,400	22,336	46,826	9,014	42.7	100.0	1,034,236	1,143,606	9.6	
Total	167,700	60,618	153,294	23,443	23,427	100.0	2,837,938	3,182,991	10.8	
Engineers	78,600	30,122	68,671	8,663	35.9	4.7	186,056	1,205,260	1,391,316	13.4
Scientists	42.2	16.2	62,023	3,382	12,914	100.0	403,753	424,707	4.9	
Math and Computer Specialists	0.0	5,549	26.5	57.4	3,382	20,954	1,001,270	2,038,578	11.6	
Subtotal	78,600	38,962	105,854	13,992	237,408	100.0	403,753	424,707	4.9	
Technicians	32,900	22,822	46,287	8,690	41.8	100.0	1,032,217	1,142,916	9.7	
Total	111,500	61,784	152,141	22,682	348,107	100.0	2,033,387	3,181,494	10.9	

Table 4.8.5

Table 4.8.6

	Direct	Indirect	Constitutive	Direct	Indirect	Relative	Relate	Total	Non-Benigny	Percent
Engineers	78,600	30,122	68,671	8,663	35.9	4.7	186,056	1,205,260	1,391,316	13.4
Scientists	0.0	3,291	25,160	8,218	1,947	6.4	30,398	192,157	222,555	13.7
Math and Computer Specialists	0.0	5,549	12,023	3,382	20,954	100.0	403,753	424,707	4.9	
Subtotal	78,600	38,962	105,854	13,992	237,408	100.0	403,753	424,707	4.9	
Technicians	32,900	22,822	46,287	8,690	41.8	100.0	1,032,217	1,142,916	9.7	
Total	111,500	61,784	152,141	22,682	348,107	100.0	2,033,387	3,181,494	10.9	

Synthetics Scenario 10 Projected Scenarios--1985

Table 4.8.6

	Direct	Indirect	Constitutive	Direct	Indirect	Relative	Relate	Total	Non-Benigny	Percent
Engineers	78,600	30,122	68,671	8,663	35.9	4.7	186,056	1,205,260	1,391,316	13.4
Scientists	0.0	3,291	25,160	8,218	1,947	6.4	30,398	192,157	222,555	13.7
Math and Computer Specialists	0.0	5,549	12,023	3,382	20,954	100.0	403,753	424,707	4.9	
Subtotal	78,600	38,962	105,854	13,992	237,408	100.0	403,753	424,707	4.9	
Technicians	32,900	22,822	46,287	8,690	41.8	100.0	1,032,217	1,142,916	9.7	
Total	111,500	61,784	152,141	22,682	348,107	100.0	2,033,387	3,181,494	10.9	

Lumitested Impacts Projected Scenarios--1985

Table 4.8.5



For comparative purposes, estimates of energy-related employment in 1974 using similar techniques to the project were prepared. Direct construction employment estimations were those of the first year of the Bechtel Limited Imports scenario. Indirect construction employment was estimated using the corresponding bill-of-materials as input to an estimated input-output matrix. Direct energy production employment was derived from the BLS 1974 occupational employment estimates, and indirect energy production employment was estimated from the input-output table and the estimated level of 1974 output of the energy production industry.

Table 4.B.7

The results are shown in Table 4.8.7 for STP occupations. The proportions are quite close to those of 1985, indicating that energy development programs will not result in an increased share of private STP employment being drawn into energy related work. In 1974, for instance, 13.2 per cent of engineers, 14.6 per cent of scientists, 6.0 per cent of math and computer scientists, and 9.9 per cent of technicians were employed in energy related activities. The similarity of proportions indicates that projected growth of energy-related and other STP employment will proceed at about the same rates.



#### 4.9 - Detailed Occupation and Industry Projections

This section examines scenario differentials in projections by detailed occupation and industry. For each scenario and for each of the 29 STP occupations that comprise the sample base, differentials in CAC/BLS coefficient projections are presented and analyzed. Also, percentage changes in employment for 1980 and 1985 relative to 1974 employment levels are presented. Industry projections for the private economy are presented on a 20-order industry breakdown that includes five aggregate categories: total, manufacturing, non-manufacturing, durables and non-durables.

For purposes of comparability with other employment projections for the U. S. economy, social scientists have been added into the industrial projections. Thus total industry projections of STP employment are approximately 240,000 larger for 1985. Percentage changes for total employment by detailed industry relative to 1974 are also presented for the industry projections. Tables 4.9.1 through 4.9.6 present the detailed STP occupational projections for the three scenarios and two projection periods.

Detailed STP Occupational Projections		Table 4.9.1	
1	Engineers, chemical	1974-80	1974-80
2	Engineers, civil	1974-80	1974-80
3	Engineers, electrical	1974-80	1974-80
4	Engineers, industrial	1974-80	1974-80
5	Engineers, metallurgical	1974-80	1974-80
6	Engineers, mechanical	1974-80	1974-80
7	Engineers, secondaral	1974-80	1974-80
8	Engineers, mining	1974-80	1974-80
9	Engineers, petroleum	1974-80	1974-80
10	Engineers, other	1974-80	1974-80
11	Comics	1974-80	1974-80
12	Geologists and astrophysicists	1974-80	1974-80
13	Geologists	1974-80	1974-80
14	Agricultural scientists	1974-80	1974-80
15	Biometrical scientists	1974-80	1974-80
16	Mathematicians	1974-80	1974-80
17	Statisticians	1974-80	1974-80
18	Computer specialists	1974-80	1974-80
19	Cartographic scientists	1974-80	1974-80
20	Dental, physical scientists	1974-80	1974-80
21	Geological, physical scientists	1974-80	1974-80
22	Chemical, physical scientists	1974-80	1974-80
23	Electrical, electronic, electronical, magnetic, optical, and related engineers	1974-80	1974-80
24	Industrial, organizational, management, and related technicians	1974-80	1974-80
25	Mathematical, physical, and related technicians	1974-80	1974-80
26	Metallurgical, chemical, and related technicians	1974-80	1974-80
27	Surveyors	1974-80	1974-80
28	Oral surgeons	1974-80	1974-80
29	Engineering, science technicians	1974-80	1974-80
30	Total	1974-80	1974-80



Lettaléa SLP Occupational Projects 120-1988

Table 4.9.2



Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

Detailed Site Occupation Record Sections  
Free Import Tax Schedule 10--1985



A number of general observations on these projection results can be made. There is a wide divergence between projections based on CAC and BLS coefficients. Within scenarios, CAC coefficients generally yield higher projection values. There are exceptions, however: Mining engineers, petroleum engineers, geologists, and surveyors projections are considerably lower when CAC output-per-dollar manpower coefficients are applied to the same total demand vectors. Percentage differences can be misleading. At times, for a given occupation the absolute differences are small for the sample industries that comprise the energy-related sample base relative to total employment in non-energy-related industries, yet the percentage difference is large. This is the case with aeronautical engineers, for example. In the 1985 Free Imports scenario (Table 4.9.4) there is a 46 per cent difference in the CAC/BLS projection for energy-related industries. Yet, employment in energy-related industries accounts for less than 4 per cent of total aeronautical engineers employment. At times, the occupation is small in absolute terms as in the case with mathematical technicians. Thus a large percentage difference is of little significance. For the previously mentioned four occupations--mining engineers, petroleum engineers, geologists and surveyors--however, sizeable proportions of total employment are energy-related. Thus the lower CAC projections take on added significance.

Page 496



Between scenarios there is general agreement in the CAC/BLS coefficient projections, especially for the Limited Imports and Synthetics scenarios. Nevertheless, the varying industry output levels associated with each scenario coupled with the non-linearity of the CAC coefficients produces some variation between scenarios. For example, the chemical engineers percentage differential is 27 per cent for the 1985 Free Imports scenario and 40 per cent for the 1985 Limited Imports scenario. Percentage differentials also vary considerably for mining engineers, petroleum engineers, geologists, surveyors and chemical technicians.

Percentage growth relative to 1974 is high for most of the STP occupations. The percentage change for the engineering categories combined is 39 per cent (3.0 per cent annual rate); 28 per cent for scientists (2.2 per cent annual rate); and 42 per cent for technicians (3.2 per cent annual rate). Despite the lower projection for petroleum engineers relative to the BLS coefficient value, the CAC projection implies a 59 per cent change in employment for this STP category based on 1985 free imports scenario.

There is, however, substantial variation in percentage growths across scenarios for highly energy-related SIP occupations: The percentage change for petroleum engineers drops to 46 per cent under the Limited Imports scenario for 1985. Substantial variance is also recorded for chemists (27 to 40 per cent), mining engineers (40 to 31) and geo-

gists (31 to 17) between the Free Imports and Limited Imports scenarios, 1985.

Tables 4.9.7 through 4.9.12 present the detailed industry projections. Each table references a given scenario and projection year and consists of four parts: A) direct energy employment (construction and total demand for energy); B) indirect energy employment (construction materials and intermediate transaction to energy producing establishments); C) non-energy employment; and D) total employment. In addition to total employment, Part D of each table presents implied percentage growths.

For direct energy there is a general decrease in employment between the Free Imports and Limited Imports scenarios. In manufacturing this decrease is attributed solely to the petroleum products industry. In non-manufacturing the general decrease in direct energy employment is compensated, although not completely, by the higher value of construction employment. For indirect energy, a slightly lower manufacturing total employment (attributed primarily to durable goods) for the Free Imports scenario is compensated by a slightly lower Limited Imports total in non-manufacturing yielding only minor projection differentials. For 1985 non-energy projections the Free Imports projection is 10 thousand higher than the Limited Imports projection. This differential is attributed almost entirely to the durable goods sector. Within this sector the princi-



Trade Import Statistics  
Industry wise Employment Projections  
Year--1980

Table 4.9.7A

1	All Industries	Engineering Services	Sciences & Technologies	Sciences & Technologies	Sciences & Technologies	Total
2	Manufacturing	32495	32248	32269	32178	241997
3	Nontradables	30350	30350	30350	30350	30350
4	Nontradables	0	0	0	0	0
5	Paper	0	0	0	0	0
6	Chemicals	0	0	0	0	0
7	Petroleum	0	0	0	0	0
8	Other nontradables	0	0	0	0	0
9	Quadruples	0	0	0	0	0
10	Electricals	0	0	0	0	0
11	Primay metals	0	0	0	0	0
12	Electrical mach.	0	0	0	0	0
13	Transportation	0	0	0	0	0
14	Nontradables	0	0	0	0	0
15	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
16	Non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0
17	Wiring	0	0	0	0	0
18	Petroleum extraction	0	0	0	0	0
19	Other mining	0	0	0	0	0
20	Construction	0	0	0	0	0
21	Chemicals	0	0	0	0	0
22	Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0
23	Research	0	0	0	0	0
24	Eng. and arch.	0	0	0	0	0
25	Other nonmanufacturing	0	0	0	0	0
26	Services	0	0	0	0	0
27	Engg. and arch. dev.	0	0	0	0	0
28	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
29	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
30	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
31	Paper	0	0	0	0	0
32	Chemicals	0	0	0	0	0
33	Electricals	0	0	0	0	0
34	Transportation	0	0	0	0	0
35	Nontradables	0	0	0	0	0
36	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
37	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
38	Services	0	0	0	0	0
39	Engg. and arch. dev.	0	0	0	0	0
40	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
41	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
42	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
43	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
44	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
45	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
46	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
47	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
48	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
49	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
50	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
51	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
52	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
53	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
54	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
55	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
56	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
57	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
58	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
59	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
60	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
61	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
62	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
63	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
64	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
65	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
66	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
67	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
68	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
69	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
70	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
71	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
72	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
73	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
74	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
75	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
76	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
77	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
78	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
79	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
80	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
81	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
82	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
83	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
84	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
85	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
86	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
87	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
88	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
89	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
90	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
91	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
92	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
93	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
94	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
95	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
96	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
97	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
98	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
99	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
100	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
101	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
102	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
103	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
104	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
105	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
106	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
107	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
108	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
109	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
110	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
111	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
112	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
113	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
114	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
115	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
116	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
117	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
118	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
119	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
120	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
121	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
122	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
123	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
124	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
125	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
126	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
127	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
128	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
129	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
130	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
131	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
132	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
133	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
134	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
135	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
136	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
137	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
138	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
139	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
140	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
141	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
142	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
143	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
144	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
145	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
146	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
147	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
148	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
149	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
150	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
151	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
152	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
153	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
154	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
155	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
156	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
157	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
158	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
159	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
160	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
161	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
162	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
163	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
164	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
165	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
166	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
167	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
168	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
169	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
170	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
171	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
172	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
173	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
174	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
175	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
176	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
177	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
178	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
179	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
180	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
181	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
182	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
183	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
184	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
185	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
186	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
187	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
188	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
189	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
190	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
191	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
192	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
193	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
194	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
195	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
196	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
197	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
198	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
199	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
200	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
201	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
202	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
203	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
204	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
205	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
206	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
207	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
208	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
209	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
210	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
211	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
212	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
213	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
214	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
215	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
216	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
217	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
218	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
219	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
220	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
221	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
222	Other durables	0	0	0	0	0
223	Other nondurables	0	0	0	0	0
224	Research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0
225	Other durables	0				



26.6.2021



DIRECTE BASEY BY EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS  
DIRECTE BASEY BY EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS  
DIRECTE BASEY BY EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS

TABLE 4.9.8A

1 ALL INDUSTRIES		2 MANUFACTURING		3 SERVICE INDUSTRIES		4 TRADE, HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS		5 FINANCIAL SERVICES		6 MANUFACTURING		7 FINANCIAL SERVICES		8 OTHER MANUFACTURERS		9 PETROLEUM, CHEMICALS AND RELATED INDUSTRIES		10 PAPER, PRINTING AND RELATED INDUSTRIES		11 TAXES		12 MANUFACTURERS		13 TRANSPORTATION		14 INDUSTRIAL SERVICES		15 COMMERCIAL SERVICES		16 PETROLEUM EXTRACTION		17 MINING		18 PROCESSUAL EXTRACTION		19 OTHER MANUFACTURING		20 CONSTRUCTION		21 COMMUNICATIONS		22 ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITIES		23 GOVERNMENT AND DEFENSE		24 ENERGY AND ARCH. SERVICES		25 OTHER NON-MANUFACTURING	
TOTAL		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
TECHNICIANS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
ENGINEERS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
SCIENTISTS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
TECHNICIANS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
ENGINEERS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
SCIENTISTS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
TECHNICIANS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
TOTAL		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							

DIRECTE BASEY IMPORTS BY EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS  
DIRECTE BASEY IMPORTS BY EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS  
DIRECTE BASEY IMPORTS BY EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS

TABLE 4.9.8B

1 ALL INDUSTRIES		2 MANUFACTURING		3 SERVICE INDUSTRIES		4 TRADE, HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS		5 FINANCIAL SERVICES		6 MANUFACTURING		7 FINANCIAL SERVICES		8 OTHER MANUFACTURERS		9 PETROLEUM, CHEMICALS AND RELATED INDUSTRIES		10 PAPER, PRINTING AND RELATED INDUSTRIES		11 TAXES		12 MANUFACTURERS		13 TRANSPORTATION		14 INDUSTRIAL SERVICES		15 COMMERCIAL SERVICES		16 PETROLEUM EXTRACTION		17 MINING		18 PROCESSUAL EXTRACTION		19 OTHER MANUFACTURING		20 CONSTRUCTION		21 COMMUNICATIONS		22 ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITIES		23 GOVERNMENT AND DEFENSE		24 ENERGY AND ARCH. SERVICES		25 OTHER NON-MANUFACTURING	
TOTAL		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
TECHNICIANS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
SCIENTISTS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
ENGINEERS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540		5870		3225		499		11747		15079		5206		422		1346		169		615		179		6124		11219							
TOTAL		35540		45614		6136		5199		28999		5654		36676		35540																																	







۷۶۰۶۰۴



Table 4.9.9c

Total Site Employment Projections

۷۶۰۶۰۴۰۹۳۱



1	all industrial sectors	engineering services	technicians	scientists	technicians	engineers and technicians	total
2	manufacturing	35573	275588	12193	12519	12519	35573
3	nonindustrials	35573	275588	162608	15953	15953	35573
4	nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	paper	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	chemicals	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	petroleum	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	automobiles	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	petrochemicals	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	electrical machinery	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	machinery, except electrical	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	transportation	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	rubber products	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	mining	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	destruction	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	other manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	communications	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	commodities	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	eng. and arch. services	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	other non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0

Direct energy use employment by project type  
Free imports vs. imports by project type

Table 4.9.10A

1	all households	engineering services	technicians	scientists	technicians	engineers and technicians	total
2	manufacturing	39467	39467	33731	5349	26382	47774
3	nonindustrials	60647	60647	33731	5349	26382	47774
4	textiles	123	123	317	3648	13183	3393
5	paper	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	chemicals	556	556	3753	3753	2267	4772
7	petroleum	174	174	174	174	174	4772
8	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	automobiles	120	120	120	120	120	4772
10	petrochemicals	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	electrical machinery	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	machinery, except electrical	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	transportation	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	rubber products	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	mining	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	destruction	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	other manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	communications	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	commodities	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	eng. and arch. services	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	other non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0

Free imports vs. imports by project type  
Nonindustrializing

Table 4.9.10B

1	all households	engineering services	technicians	scientists	technicians	engineers and technicians	total
2	manufacturing	39467	39467	33731	5349	26382	47774
3	nonindustrials	60647	60647	33731	5349	26382	47774
4	textiles	123	123	317	3648	13183	3393
5	paper	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	chemicals	556	556	3753	3753	2267	4772
7	petroleum	174	174	174	174	174	4772
8	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	automobiles	120	120	120	120	120	4772
10	petrochemicals	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	electrical machinery	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	machinery, except electrical	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	transportation	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	rubber products	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	mining	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	destruction	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	other manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	communications	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	commodities	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	eng. and arch. services	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	other non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0

Free imports vs. imports by project type  
Manufacturing

Table 4.9.10C

1	all households	engineering services	technicians	scientists	technicians	engineers and technicians	total
2	manufacturing	39467	39467	33731	5349	26382	47774
3	nonindustrials	60647	60647	33731	5349	26382	47774
4	textiles	123	123	317	3648	13183	3393
5	paper	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	chemicals	556	556	3753	3753	2267	4772
7	petroleum	174	174	174	174	174	4772
8	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	automobiles	120	120	120	120	120	4772
10	petrochemicals	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	electrical machinery	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	machinery, except electrical	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	transportation	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	rubber products	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	other nonindustrials	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	mining	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	destruction	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	other manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	communications	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	commodities	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	research and dev.	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	eng. and arch. services	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	other non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0

Free imports vs. imports by project type  
Nonindustrializing

Table 4.9.10D







1	all industries	engineering services	construction	sciences	technologies	total	enginieries and	technologies and	construction	sciences	engineering services	all industries
2	manufacturing	146629	28569	17539	69125	24439	9703	5498	15201	13237	12327	28439
3	nonmanufacturing	14663	28569	17539	69125	24439	9703	5498	15201	13237	12327	28439
4	nontradables	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	paper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	chemicals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	petroleum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	other nontradables	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	drillables	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	electrical machinery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	machinery, except electrical	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	electrical machines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	transportation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	structures	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	office equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	mining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	petroleum extraction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	other mining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	communications	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	commodities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	recreation and dev.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	eng. and arch. services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	other non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Indirect industry job project counts December 1985

TABLE A.9.11B

1	all industries	engineering services	construction	sciences	technologies	total	engineering services and	technologies and	construction	sciences	engineering services	all industries
2	manufacturing	146629	28569	17539	69125	24439	9703	5498	15201	13237	12327	28439
3	nonmanufacturing	14663	28569	17539	69125	24439	9703	5498	15201	13237	12327	28439
4	nontradables	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	paper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	chemicals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	petroleum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	other nontradables	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	drillables	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	electrical machinery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	machinery, except electrical	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	electrical machines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	transportation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	structures	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	office equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	mining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	petroleum extraction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	other mining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	communications	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	commodities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	recreation and dev.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	eng. and arch. services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	other non-manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Direct industry job project counts December 1985

TABLE A.9.11A



Table 6.6.1

Local 51P Employment Project



1	all industries	manufacturing	construction	engineering and construction	mining	non-manufacturing	other non-manufacturing
<b>Indirect Energy Use Employment Projections</b>							
2	all industries	manufacturing	construction	engineering and construction	mining	non-manufacturing	other non-manufacturing
3	non-manufacturing						
4	paper						
5	textiles						
6	chemicals						
7	petroleum						
8	other non-manufacturing						
9	primary metals						
10	quarries						
11	electric, except electrical						
12	transporation						
13	electrical						
14	industrials						
15	other durable						
16	non-manufacturing						
17	mining						
18	petroleum extraction						
19	other mining						
20	construction						
21	communications						
22	electric, gas and water supply						
23	gas and water supply						
24	eng. and technical services						
25	other non-manufacturing						
<b>Other Non-Manufacturing</b>							
26	eng. and technical services						
27	research and dev.						
28	education and training						
29	hotels and accommodation						
30	other service						
31	public administration						
32	other community and social services						
33	other business						
34	other professional and technical services						
35	other services						
36	other services						
37	other services						
38	other services						
39	other services						
40	other services						
41	other services						
42	other services						
43	other services						
44	other services						
45	other services						
46	other services						
47	other services						
48	other services						
49	other services						
50	other services						
51	other services						
52	other services						
53	other services						
54	other services						
55	other services						
56	other services						
57	other services						
58	other services						
59	other services						
60	other services						
61	other services						
62	other services						
63	other services						
64	other services						
65	other services						
66	other services						
67	other services						
68	other services						
69	other services						
70	other services						
71	other services						
72	other services						
73	other services						
74	other services						
75	other services						
76	other services						
77	other services						
78	other services						
79	other services						
80	other services						
81	other services						
82	other services						
83	other services						
84	other services						
85	other services						
86	other services						
87	other services						
88	other services						
89	other services						
90	other services						
91	other services						
92	other services						
93	other services						
94	other services						
95	other services						
96	other services						
97	other services						
98	other services						
99	other services						
100	other services						
101	other services						
102	other services						
103	other services						
104	other services						
105	other services						
106	other services						
107	other services						
108	other services						
109	other services						
110	other services						
111	other services						
112	other services						
113	other services						
114	other services						
115	other services						
116	other services						
117	other services						
118	other services						
119	other services						
120	other services						
121	other services						
122	other services						
123	other services						
124	other services						
125	other services						
126	other services						
127	other services						
128	other services						
129	other services						
130	other services						
131	other services						
132	other services						
133	other services						
134	other services						
135	other services						
136	other services						
137	other services						
138	other services						
139	other services						
140	other services						
141	other services						
142	other services						
143	other services						
144	other services						
145	other services						
146	other services						
147	other services						
148	other services						
149	other services						
150	other services						
151	other services						
152	other services						
153	other services						
154	other services						
155	other services						
156	other services						
157	other services						
158	other services						
159	other services						
160	other services						
161	other services						
162	other services						
163	other services						
164	other services						
165	other services						
166	other services						
167	other services						
168	other services						
169	other services						
170	other services						
171	other services						
172	other services						
173	other services						
174	other services						
175	other services						
176	other services						
177	other services						
178	other services						
179	other services						
180	other services						
181	other services						
182	other services						
183	other services						
184	other services						
185	other services						
186	other services						
187	other services						
188	other services						
189	other services						
190	other services						
191	other services						
192	other services						
193	other services						
194	other services						
195	other services						
196	other services						
197	other services						
198	other services						
199	other services						
200	other services						
201	other services						
202	other services						
203	other services						
204	other services						
205	other services						
206	other services						
207	other services						
208	other services						
209	other services						
210	other services						
211	other services						
212	other services						
213	other services						
214	other services						
215	other services						
216	other services						
217	other services						
218	other services						
219	other services						
220	other services						
221	other services						
222	other services						
223	other services						
224	other services						
225	other services						
226	other services						
227	other services						
228	other services						
229	other services						
230	other services						
231	other services						
232	other services						
233	other services						
234	other services						
235	other services						
236	other services						
237	other services						
238	other services						
239	other services						
240	other services						
241	other services						
242	other services						
243	other services						
244	other services						
245	other services						
246	other services						
247	other services						
248	other services						
249	other services						



۱۷۱۰۶۰۴۲۸۹۳

MON-TRACER® 5.1.0 Lamp Assembly Instructions



pal actors are transportation equipment and other durable goods.

The percentage change in total industry employment is only one percentage point higher for the Free Imports scenario in 1985—41 per cent versus 40 per cent for the Limited Imports scenario. This small difference in total employments masks substantial intraindustry changes across scenarios. Petroleum products, for example, drops from a 24 per cent change under Free Imports to a minus 1 per cent change under limited imports in 1985; petroleum extraction, from 35 per cent to 16 per cent; electric and gas utilities, from 40 per cent to 29 per cent. Construction employment, on the other hand, rises substantially under all scenarios: 113 to 126 per cent.

To summarize, the above analysis shows that almost all of the variance across scenarios in CAC/BLS projection differentials and in percentage growth in total employment by occupation and industry can be attributed to a few occupations and industries, each of which is closely tied to energy production. Furthermore, this analysis of detailed occupation and industry shows that the near equality of employment projections across scenarios masks substantial intra-industry and intraprofession differentials. One important direct energy industry, however, is consistently high: Construction. With respect to this component of STP worker demand, it should be noted that these high levels of energy

facility construction employment are expected to remain high throughout the decade of the 1980's and into the 1990's. Bechtel projections are based on a long-range program of energy facility construction, not a one-shot build-up of energy production capacity.



(presented in Bulletin 1918), prepared for use in conjunction with BLS's Occupational Outlook program, uses 241 occupational categories. The second uses BLS's industry-occupation matrix, with more than 400 occupations (November 1976 Monthly Labor Review). In addition to differences in occupational aggregation, the projections differ in occupational definitions within common occupational titles. Both sets of projections are for the total economy, that is, private and non-private sectors. The projections use essentially the same methodology, briefly:<sup>3</sup>

1. Future labor force is derived from Bureau of the Census population projections, using "expected" labor force participation rates for major demographic groups.
2. After subtracting unemployed persons from the above (by assuming future unemployment rates), employed persons are multiplied by a projection of output per worker. These output/worker estimates are based on trends in productivity and weekly hours of work. This determines GNP.
3. GNP is classified by consumer expenditure category (final demand pattern).

#### 4.10 - Comparison with Other Studies

##### 4.10.1 - Introduction

This section compares projections prepared with CAC's STP coefficients and I/O model to results from other studies of occupational employment. It should be noted that references to "BLS projections" in Section 4.10.2 are to projections prepared by BLS. Previous sections have referred to "BLS-data" or "BLS data base" projections. These were projections prepared by CAC using BLS data.

No two of the studies discussed are completely comparable. Differences result from definitions, assumptions, and methods as well as data. In each case the major sources of discrepancies are identified to facilitate comparison, but also to suggest the limits of comparability.

##### 4.10.2 - Bureau of Labor Statistics Projections

BLS published two different sets of occupational employment projections in late 1976.<sup>2</sup> The first of these

Projections and Training Data (Bulletin 1918), Division of Occupational Outlook, 1976 and Max L. Carey, "Revised Occupational Projections to 1985," Monthly Labor Review, Division of Occupational Outlook, November, 1976.

<sup>3</sup> BLS Bulletin 1918, Appendix A, pp. 66-67. See also Bulletins 1809 and 1831, March, 1976 and the November, 1976 issue of the Monthly Labor Review for detail.

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational



4. The final demand pattern is multiplied by the BLS's Leontief inverse to obtain total output by industry.
5. Estimates of future output per man-hour, based on studies of productivity and technological trends for each industry, are used to derive industry employment.
6. The industry employment projections are compared with projections from regression models. Where there are inconsistencies between the two, they "adjust the projections accordingly".
7. Final employment projections are applied to the projected patterns of occupational structure for each industry using "an industry-occupation matrix". Employment is then aggregated by 400 occupational categories.
- Differences between the two sets of projections are substantial. The Bulletin projections include some teachers allocated to their specialties, while the Monthly Labor Review projections classify them as teachers. This appears to be the main reason for differences.
- Since the Monthly Labor Review projections utilize the industry-occupation matrix which CAC used to obtain STP coefficients for non-surveyed industries, CAC results are compared to the Monthly Labor Review projections. Projections for the CAC Limited Import scenario employ the same final demand pattern as the BLS "basic scenario for recovery from the 1974-75 recession". BLS also prepared projections for two alternative scenarios corresponding to 1) an "alternative policy" and 2) a "slower recovery version". Occupa-

tional projections are reported to be "virtually the same, regardless of the scenario" and only results for the basic version have been published.

Differences between BLS's basic version projections and CAC's Limited Imports scenario arise from three sources.

First, although identical final demand patterns are used, different I/O models result in different total outputs for industries. Second, the BLS has employed a number of internal studies of particular industries, using regression analysis, to project 1985 employment/output relations. CAC's 1985 employment output relations are determined by surveys of employers for the energy-related sectors of the model. Third, the BLS projections include the non-private economy, so base year (1974) employment estimates differ since the CAC projections are for the private economy only--government is excluded. In order to improve the comparability of coverage, the ELS government sector has been estimated by CAC by subtracting the BLS data base Limited Imports employment projections from the BLS Monthly Labor Review estimates and this difference, representing government employment, has been added, occupation by occupation, to the CAC Limited Imports estimates to develop a CAC projection of total private and government STP employment. It should be remembered that the CAC projections related to the private economy but included STP in government energy enterprises, and that science and engineers teachers are excluded



(Number in thousands)

CAC and BLs Projections for Identical Final Demand Patterns, 1985

Table 4.16.2.1

from the STP definition in both the CAC and BLS projections. It should be recognized that this projection is the sum of the CAC Limited Imports projections and a projection of government employment estimated by CAC using BLS data. CAC did not prepare projections of government STP employment and the estimates of total STP employment are at best approximate.

Table 4.10.2.1 shows base year employment, projected 1985 requirements, and growth in requirements for STP under the CAC Limited Imports Scenario and the BLS "basic version" scenario.



For all of the engineering specialties for which estimates are available, the CAC projection is higher than the BLS projections, although the differences are greater than 10 per cent only for Civil and Industrial Engineers. For scientists, the projections are quite close, except for Geologists for whom CAC projects about 14 per cent lower requirements than BLS. The projections for technicians are reasonably close, except that CAC projects requirements that are about 10 per cent lower than those projected by BLS for Surveyors.

tion by 1985.

The manpower requirements projections are given for this scenario's "direct" impact only. The projections should be considered a "maximum" case, since no allowance is made for productivity increases. That is, manpower coefficients for each activity are fixed for the projection period.<sup>5</sup>

#### 4.10.3 - National Planning Association Study

The NPA study projected manpower requirements through 1990 for a number of activities in energy-related industries.<sup>4</sup> In terms of composition, the scenario reflects a "business as usual" government policy. Imports of crude oil rise to slightly more than one-half of oil use during the projection period, 1977-1990. No solar, geothermal, coal, or synthetics development is included although demonstration coal synthetics plants begin to come on-line by 1985. Shale oil is projected to supply almost 2 per cent of oil produc-

The scope closely corresponds to the present study's concept of "direct" industries. The NPA study included construction, operations, and maintenance of oil, gas, coal, nuclear, synthetic fuels, shale oil, related transportation, and conversion facilities. Manpower coefficients expressing man-years of a given occupation required to produce a unit (usually Btu's, but units varied by industry) of output with current technology were estimated.

Projected requirements were obtained by multiplying the manpower coefficients by the physical units for each activity in each industry. Activity levels were determined by an FEA-specified scenario dated August 2, 1974. The scenario is termed "low case". That is, the projected energy consumption is considered low at 95 and 112 quadrillion Btu's for 1980 and 1985 respectively. This labelling reflects the

<sup>4</sup> I. Gutmanis et al., Study of Manpower Requirements by Occupation for Alternative Technologies in the Energy-Related Industries, U. S. 1970-1990, National Planning Association, Washington, D. C., August 1974.

<sup>5</sup> NPA, op. cit., p. 70.



For example, the high energy use scenario (Free Imports) projects use of 99 quadrillion Btu's by 1985.

The difference in scenarios makes comparison rather meaningless. The bulk of energy facilities needed through 1990 are scheduled to be on line by 1980 in the NPA scenario. Thus the level of construction activity in 1980 or 1985 is not comparable to that in any of the CAC scenarios.

Table 4.10.3.1 shows the results for engineers in 1985 for the CAC Free Imports scenario and the NPA scenario. Non-comparability between the studies should be kept in mind in evaluating these results.

\* less transportation  
np: not projected



#### 4.10.4 - NSF/Department of Commerce Study

The CAC energy-related employment projections are not comparable to the current energy-related employment figures obtained by the 1975 Department of Commerce Survey of Scientific and Technical Personnel (form S-280). The purpose of the Commerce survey is to determine the number of STP in each occupation "engaged in all activities relating to the exploration, discovery, extraction, refining, conversion, transportation, transmission, distribution, storage and utilization of (certain) energy sources."

Although almost exactly the same occupational scheme and definitions are used, the purposes, and thus results, are quite different. The CAC projections determine future requirements for STP in activities that are significantly related to the production of energy.

Thus the Commerce survey should include portions of STP across all industries and in any given industry. A Chemical Engineer developing a better automobile ignition battery in the automobile parts industry falls within the Commerce survey scope, as would any scientific or technical employee developing a night-setback thermostat, or improved efficiency air conditioners in the appropriate industries. These types of personnel are not included in the CAC's concept of energy-related. Their activities may not be significant inputs to the production of energy, directly or indirectly.

CAC's projections of energy-related STP will reflect those requirements generated by the construction and operation of energy conversion and related facilities, directly and indirectly. The CAC energy-related requirements will include personnel in industries such as Primary Steel, where the employee's output is not identified by the Commerce study's concept as energy-related, but whose output becomes a significant input to energy production.



Appendix A: Sample Construction

TABLE 1

UNIVERSE

I. Identification of a Universe of Energy-Related Industry Sectors for a Study of Scientific and Technical Manpower Requirements in Energy-Related Industries.

The final universe of industry sectors used in this study is shown in table 1. This list includes all industry sectors that are likely to be substantially affected by a Project Independence or similar scenario. Several methods were used in the determination of this final list. Certain procedures which are described below were used to verify or amplify results. The use of several different approaches to the identification of energy-related industries insured greater objectivity. Throughout this identification process, the knowledge and experience of the primary researchers and others with expertise in energy-related fields was utilized. In all cases the object was to be over-inclusive rather than under-inclusive in the selection of industry sectors for the universe.

SIC	Description
1011	Iron Ores
1021	Copper Ores
1051	Bauxite & Other Aluminum Ores
1081	Metal Mining Services
1094	Uranium-Radium-Vanadium Ores
1111	Anthracite
1211	Bituminous Coal & Lignite
1213	Bituminous Coal & Lignite Mining Services
1311	Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas
1321	Natural Gas Liquids
1381	Drilling Oil and Gas Wells
1382	Oil and Gas Field Exploration Services
1399	Oil & Gas Field Services, N.E.C.
1623	Water, Sewer, Pipeline, Communication and Power Line Construction, N.E.C.
1629	Heavy Construction, N.E.C.
281x	Industrial Inorganic Chemicals
2911	Petroleum Refining
3292	Asbestos Products
3293	Gaskets, Packing, and Sealing Devices
3312	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works & Rolling Mills,
3315	Primary Iron and Steel
3317	Steel Wire Drawing and Steel Nails & Spikes
3321	Steel Pipe & Tubes
3324, 3325	Gray Iron Foundries
3331	Steel Foundries
3334	Primary Smelting and Refining of Copper
3351	Primary Production of Aluminum
3353	Rolling, Drawing, and Extruding of Copper
3354	Aluminum Sheet, Plate, and Foil
3355	Aluminum Extruded Products
3357	Aluminum Rolling & Drawing, N.E.C.
3433	Drawing & Insulating of Nonferrous Wire
3443	Heating Equipment, except Electric and
345x	Warm Air Furnaces
3494, 3498	Fabricated Plate Products (Boiler Shops)
3511	Screw Machine Products, and Bolts, Nuts, Screws Rivets, and Washers
3519	Pipe, Valves and Fittings
3531	Steam, Gas, and Hydraulic Turbines and
	Turbine Generator Set Units
	Internal Combustion Engines, N.E.C.
	Construction Machinery and Equipment



TABLE I (cont'd)

3532	Mining Machinery and Equipment, Except Oil Field Machinery and Equipment
3533	Oil Field Machinery and Equipment
3534	Elevators & Moving Stairways
3535	Conveyors and Conveying Equipment
3536	Hoists, Industrial Cranes & Monorail Systems
354X	Metalworking Machinery and Equipment
3561	Pumps and Pumping Equipment
3562	Ball and Roller Bearings
3563	Air and Gas Compressors
3566	Speed Changers, Industrial High Speed Drives, and Gears
3567	Industrial Process Furnaces & Ovens
3568	Mechanical Power Transmission Equip., N.E.C.
3612	Power, Distribution & Specialty Transformers
3613	Switch Gear and Switchboard Apparatus
3621	Motors and Generators
3623	Welding Apparatus, Electric
3811	Engineering, Lab, Scientific, and Research Instruments and Associated Equipment
3822	Automatic Controls for Residential and Commercial Environments and Appliances
3823	Industrial Instruments for Measurement, Display and Control of Process Variables, and Related Products
3824	Totalizing Fluid Meters and Counting Devices
3829	Measuring and Controlling Devices, N.E.C.
4612	Crude Petroleum Pipelines
4613	Refined Petroleum Pipelines
4922	Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution
4923	Natural Gas Distribution
4924	Mixed, Manufactured or Liquefied Petroleum Gas Production end/or Distribution
4925	Electric & Other Services Combined
4931	Gas and Other Services Combined
4932	Commodity Utilities, N.E.C.
4939	Research and Development Labs
7391	Engineering, Architectural and Surveying Services
8911	Noncommercial, Educational, Scientific, and Research Organizations
8922	Regulation and Administration of Communication, Electric, Gas, and Other Utilities
9631	

The research began with broad industry sectors. If these sectors were to be used in forecasting scientific and technical manpower requirements, serious errors could result from the level of aggregation since scientific and technical manpower intensity might vary greatly among a broad industry's sub-sectors. Consequently, broad industry sectors were disaggregated to the level required for the study.

Since industry-specific data was required and most firms span industries, the desired unit of focus was the establishment rather than the firm. To the extent possible, the industry sectors in the final universe correspond to 4-digit SIC codes which describe establishments at the required level. The use of the 4-digit codes provides a common denominator between the CAC model and other models.

To facilitate a detailed explanation, the research methods will be divided into sections, as follows:

- A. Formulation of a list of primary energy-related industries.
- B. Input coefficients inspection, using a 367-sector input-output model of the U.S. economy [1].
- C. Analysis of construction requirements for a project Independence scenario, based on Bullard and Pilati's 18 broad "high-growth" sectors (out of a total 90-sector economy) [2].
- D. Analysis of operations requirements for proposed



energy facilities, based on the Stanford Research Institute's report [4].

Following are the explanations of procedures:

•bp

TABLE 2  
PRIMARY ENERGY RELATED INDUSTRIES

<u>Energy-Related Industries</u>	<u>SIC</u>
1. Electric Power Generation	491
2. Petroleum and Natural Gas Extraction	493
3. Petroleum Refining	13
4. Pipelines, except Natural Gas	291
5. Petroleum (Crude) Pipelines	461
6. Petroleum (Refined) Pipelines	4612
7. Natural Gas Production, Transmission, and Distribution	4613
	1311
	partial
8. Coal Mining	4922
9. Coal Transportation, Railroad	4923
10. Nuclear Fuel Production	4924
	4925
11. Steam Engines; Steam, Gas, and Hydraulic Turbine Generator Set Units	1094
12. Power, Distribution and Speciality Transformers	2819
	partial
13. Fabricated Plate Works	3511
14. Energy-Related Construction	3612
15. Architectural and Engineering Professional Services	3443
16. Pipes, Valves, and Fittings	16
	partial
17. Pumps and Compressors	8911
18. Motors and Generators	3494
	3498
	3561
	3563
	3621

This list (see Table 2) includes the broad categories that would definitely be affected by a Project Independence (PI) scenario. It was necessary to extend this list to include all industries that would be affected both directly and indirectly by a PI scenario. An input-output model of the U.S. economy was used in the identification process. The selection procedure is described in the next section.

B. Input Coefficients Inspection

An input-output model is constructed by dividing the economy into sectors, which are put in matrix format. The horizontal rows of figures show how the output of each sector of the economy is distributed among the others. The vertical columns show how each sector obtains from the others its needed inputs of goods and services.

Input-output coefficients are usually listed as dollar amounts. Detailed input-output models also include input coefficients that show the fraction of dollar input entering the column industry from the row industry. These ratios can be used to calculate secondary demand on the output of the industries that supply, for example, the suppliers of the



machine tools industry, and so on through successive outputs and inputs. Thus, given an industry sector, input coefficients can be used to trace the effects of final demand for the products of that industry on every other sector of the economy.

It was decided to analyze the list of primary energy-related industry sectors by the inspection of the input coefficients of those sectors, using the 367-sector input-output tables from Input-Output Structure of the U.S. Economy: 1967 [1]. A modified input-output table was constructed with the primary sectors listed horizontally across the top, and industries listed vertically which had input coefficients of .01 or greater for any of the horizontally listed sectors. This matrix was used as one criterion for determining which of the input industries themselves should be included in the universe. By taking into account the size of the input coefficients and the number of appearances of coefficients along a row, a judgment was made about the importance of the input industries. After this process was completed, the analysis was repeated by placing these input industries horizontally across the top of a new matrix. In other words, some of the original input industries became output industries whose inputs were then analyzed as described above.

Such a manual input-output analysis is not as scientifically precise as Bullard and Pilati's input-output analysis

(explained in section C). Input coefficients are traced back only one or two steps, and only relatively large input sectors are taken into consideration; therefore, little is revealed about more subtle indirect effects on the economy. However, since this analysis is based on a 367-sector model

of the economy, it provides greater detail than Bullard and Pilati's analysis which is based on a 90-sector model. This type of analysis uncovered several industries which otherwise might not have been included in the universe, and was used throughout the research to amplify results obtained through other methods.

#### C. Analysis of Construction Requirements for a Project Independence Scenario

The analysis of materials requirements for construction was based on the research of Professors Bullard and Pilati [2]. Their research evaluated direct and indirect requirements for a Project Independence scenario through the use of a linear model of the U.S. economic system. They used the direct materials requirements and the energy facilities' construction schedule that were generated by the Bechtel Energy Supply Planning Model [3] for a 20-sector economy.

In order for the Bechtel data to be compatible with the I/O model used by Bullard and Pilati, the Bechtel requirements were transformed into 90-sector requirements in units of 1967 producers' prices (except the five energy producing



TABLE 3

sectors which are given in Btu's). (For an explanation of this transformation, see the Appendix to the Bullard and Pilat report, section C.)

The results of this input-output analysis are given in Table 3 (Bullard and Pilati 1975, Table 2). This table shows the average annual percent increase in sector output for the 18 sectors (out of a total 90 sectors) that supply a significant fraction of their output to the proposed construction scenario. (These sectors will be referred to in this Appendix as "high-growth" sectors.) As is noted, sectors are included whose 1976-1985 average required output exceeds the sector's 1972 gross output by five percent. This was one criterion used in the selection of broad industry sectors for the universe.

The importance of evaluating total requirements as opposed to direct requirements is illustrated by the fact that less than half the total material inputs were required directly at the construction site. This evaluation of total requirements by industry "permits a full accounting of (say) engineers required indirectly to design purchased components of energy facilities, in addition to those needed directly to design the facility itself." [2]



The 18 sectors selected from Bullard and Pillati [2] were disaggregated to more specific levels through the use of Bechtel's list of "Typical Construction Materials and Equipment" [3]. The major headings on this list (wood, petroleum refinery products, stone and clay, etc.) were matched to a similar "high-growth" sector. Next, the appropriate 4-digit SIC codes were assigned to the individual product categories on the Bechtel list. The results are shown in Table 4. The relative importance of these product categories was determined by taking into account the following:

1. Average annual percent increase in sector output  
(see Table 3)
  2. SIC industry descriptions
  3. Information from scientists and engineers experienced in energy-related fields.
  4. Input coefficients analysis of product categories being considered for inclusion in the universe
- For example, it was decided that "wood", which had a relatively low average annual percent increase in output, should not be included in the final universe. Therefore none of the industries described under the SIC major group "Paper products" were expected to be significant. In some instances, while checking the SIC descriptions of product categories on

TABLE 4

	<u>I/O</u>	<u>Avg. Annual Increase in Output (%)</u>	<u>Products</u>	<u>SIC</u>
20.00	.3	WOOD Pilings		2411, 2491 2439, 2452 2491 2499
31.00	.5	PETROL. REFINERY Paving compounds Bulk hydrocarbons		2951 2911
36.00	.6	STONE AND CLAY Cement Brick Tile Porcelain insulation Concrete block Stone and gravel Asbestos Ready-mix		3297, 3241 3251, 3271 3251 3264 3271 3295 3292 3273
37.00	1.6	PRIMARY IRON AND STEEL Iron & Steel plate & sheet Iron & steel bars, ingots rails		3312 3312 3317, 3312 3317, 3312 3312 3312, 3324 3325
38.00	1.2	PRIMARY NONFERROUS METALS Bulk copper, aluminum, lead, zinc Rolled, drawn & extruded n/f metals, untab. (Plate, Sheet, strip, bar, tubing) N/F wire and cable		3331, 3332, 3334, 3333 3351, 3353 3354, 3355 3356 3351, 3353 3354, 3355 3356 3361, 3362 3369 3463 3356 3356

N/F castings, unfab.  
N/F forgings  
N/F Pipe, untab.  
Welding rods



TABLE 4 (cont'd)

40.00	3.6	FABRICATED STRUCTURAL PRODUCTS Structural iron & steel (for buildings & support) Agricultural metal work (fences and railings) Sheet metal other than HVAC Rebar	3441 3446 3444 3449	46.00	.4	MATERIALS HANDLING Industrial cranes (permanent, plant) Conveyors	3536 3535
42.00	.6	OTHER FABRICATED PRODUCTS PIPE fittings and flanges Valves	3494,3079 3494,3079 3069	49.00	1.8	GENERAL INDUSTRY Pumps and compressors Blowers and fans Industrial process furnaces and ovens	3551,3563 3554 3567
40.00*	52.00	EQUIPMENT HVAC EQUIPMENT DUCTwork, including: supports stiffener, registers, grille, camper HVAC heating and cooling units	3292,343x 3443,3567 3293	51.00, 62.00	1.1	INSTRUMENTATION & CONTROLS Process computers Mechanical controls & instruments	3573,3574 3811,3823 3624,3629
40.07	3.6	TURBINES Steam turbines Gas turbines Complete turbine-generator set units	3511 3511 3511	62.00 62.00 62.00	62.00	ELectRICAL EQUIPMENT Electric line instrumentation (voltmeter, ammeters, etc.) Transformers Switchgear and other elec. Line controls Motors and motor control (elec.) Generators (other than T-G sets) Electric fixtures (welding)	3825 3612 3613 3621 3623
52.03	3.4	CONST., MINING, & OIL FIELD EQUIP. Mobile cranes	3531,3536	53.00, 55.00	2.2	FABRICATED PLATE PRODUCTS High pressure power boiler Heat exchangers Condensers	3443 3443,3621, 3629
43.21	2.8	EXCAVATING Paving Metalworking Welding (gas) Welding (electric) Draglines Rock drills Ore crushers Plotation machinery Rock bits Derrick Drill rigs	3531 3541,3542 3549 3623 3531,3532 3532 3532 3532 3533 3533	40.06	3.6	Tanks Pressure vessels Large pipe fabricated from plate	3443 3443 3443 3443
45.00	45.01	45.01 47.01,47.02 47.04 53.06 45.02 45.02 45.02 45.02 45.03 45.03 45.03	45.61 45.01 47.01 47.04 53.06 45.02 45.02 45.02 45.02 45.03 45.03 45.03				

TABLE 4 (cont'd)



the Bechtel list, related products not listed by Bechtel were uncovered that were expected to cause substantial growth in their respective industries. For example, from the SIC industry descriptions under "Stone, Clay and Glass" (major group 32), a product category (not listed on Bechtel's "Typical Construction Materials") was found that was suspected to be important - "Steam and Other Packing and Pipe and Boiler Coverings" (SIC code 3293). Further investigation led to the inclusion of this sector in the universe.

The above examples illustrate that the decision to include a sector in the universe depended on a combination of criteria.

#### D. Analysis of Operations Requirements for Proposed Energy Facilities

As discussed in section C, the Bechtel data that Bulard and Pilati used in their input-output analysis referred to construction requirements only. In addition, it was necessary to analyze the effect on the economy of the operation of proposed energy facilities. This analysis was expected to yield many of the same industry sectors (for inclusion in the universe) obtained from earlier analyses. However, an additional analysis of operations requirements was essential to insure that no important industry sectors would be left out.

For this additional analysis, data was used from the Stanford Research Institute report commissioned by Bechtel [4], which evaluated annual manpower, materials, equipment, and utility requirements for the normal operation and maintenance of each of 67 prototype facilities (as described in the Bechtel report). A typical facility might be a mine, a pipeline, a coal-fired power plant, or some similar energy development, transportation, or conversion facility. Specifically, the SRI materials and equipment requirements lists were used for the analysis. This data (in dollar amounts) was used along with Bechtel's schedule of facilities on-line in each year 1973-1985, to produce a matrix of operating requirements for each year.

To generate this matrix, it was necessary to consolidate the SRI lists (for each prototype facility that had an on-line schedule in Bechtel) into a 12-sector product list (see Table 5), by summing across facilities for each product. The individual products (sub-sectors) in the 12-sector list were made compatible with BEA 4-digit codes. Products cited often and in relatively large amounts in the SRI lists were used as sub-sectors under one of the 12 sectors. Products cited only occasionally and in small amounts in the SRI lists, were grouped together in a "miscellaneous" or "all" category in each sector. The level of aggregation of each sector varied according to the importance of the sector. For example, sector 1, wood, was grouped together in



TABLE 5  
12-SECTOR LIST

I.	Wood (20, 21) - All	IX. Electric Equipment (53-58)
II.	Paper and Allied Products (24-26) - All	A. Transformers
III.	Chemicals (27-32)	B. Motors and generators
	A. Industrial chemicals	C. Engines
	B. Paints and coatings	D. Welding
	C. Explosives	E. Mining
	D. Tires	F. Engine and motor parts (lumped batches of parts)
	E. Insulation	G. Industrial control
	F. Fuels (oil, gas, diesel)	H. Telecommunications
	G. Pavings	I. Switch gear
	H. Misc.	J. Misc.
IV.	Stone, Glass and Clay (35, 36)	X. Transportation Equipment (59-61)
	A. Concrete and brick	A. Trucks
	B. Cement	B. Air craft and boats
	C. Insulation	C. Spare parts for vehicles
	D. Refractories	D. Misc.
	E. Misc.	XI. Instruments and Controls (62, 63)
	V.	A. Controls
	Non-ferrous Metals	B. Instruments
	A. Copper wire	C. Metering
	B. Aluminum castings	D. Gauges
	C. Welding rods	E. Process computers
	D. Misc.	F. Misc.
VI.	Metal Products (39-42)	XII. Misc. Equipment (64) - All
	A. Fabricated structural steel and iron	A. Process computers
	B. Concrete reinforcing bars	B. Misc.
	C. Pipes, valves, and pipe fittings	C. Equipment
	D. Plating	D. Tools
	E. Nuts and bolts	E. Boiler parts
	F. Fabricated plate products	F. Construction machinery
	1. heat exchangers	G. Mining equipment
	2. boilers	H. Oil field equipment (crusher)
	3. condensers	I. Materials handling (hoists, cranes, conveyors, trucks)
VII.	Misc. Materials - All	J. Engines (internal combustion)
VIII.	Non-Electric Machines (43-50, 52)	K. Misc. (groups of parts, metalworking machinery)
	A. Heating equipment and air conditioning	TABLE 5 (cont'd)
	B. Compressors and pumps	
	C. Turbines and engines (groups)	
	D. Tools	
	E. Boiler parts	
	F. Construction machinery	
	G. Mining equipment	
	H. Oil field equipment (crusher)	
	I. Materials handling (hoists, cranes, conveyors, trucks)	
	J. Engines (internal combustion)	
	K. Misc. (groups of parts, metalworking machinery)	



an "all" category because wood products were cited only occasionally in the SRI lists; whereas sector 6, metal products, was disaggregated into seven product categories.

An annual operating requirements matrix was generated for each prototype facility. Multiplying each of these matrices by the number of facilities that would be on-line in a given year, and summing across the different prototype facilities, yielded a matrix of total dollar requirements by product and sector for the year.

Total requirements by year and average requirements (sum of matrices for each year/number of years) were compared with 1972 data on the value of U.S. shipments of selected products (U.S. Census of Manufacturers) to determine which industries' outputs would need to grow significantly. (Average required outputs for operating requirements were calculated as a percentage of the sector's 1972 shipments.) The industries that required a substantial percentage increase in output (and that had not been definitely included in the universe as a result of other analyses) were analyzed by input coefficients inspection as described in section 2. Industrial chemicals (SIC 281) was added to the final universe as a result of this analysis, since expected additional requirements appeared to constitute a significant portion of its current output. The importance of other industries already included in the universe was amplified by the analysis of operating requirements.

### III. Procedures for Establishment Selection

The desired sample size was 20-50 establishments for each sector (4-digit SIC code) included in the universe. Following are the references used to obtain the samples:

1. Fortune's Nation's 100 Largest Corporations (Fortune, 1966).
2. Million Dollar Directory, 1975 (Dun and Bradstreet, Inc.).
3. Middle Market Directory, 1975 (Dun and Bradstreet, Inc.).
4. Standard and Poor's Register of Corporations, Directors and Executives, 1975.
5. Moody's Industrial Manual, 1975.
6. 30,000 Leading U.S. Corporations (News Front, 1973).
7. Directory of Manufacturers, 1974 Buying Directory (Coal Age, July, 1974).
8. 1976 USA Oil Industry Directory (Oil and Gas Journal).
9. "Consultants to the Power Industry" (Power Engineering, May, 1975). "New Generating Plants" (Power Engineering, May, 1975).
10. Report to the Congress - How the Federal Government Participates in Activities Affecting the Energy Resources of the United States (Comptroller General of the United States, 1973).
11. United States Government Manual 1975/1976 (Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration).



12. Research Centers Directory (5th ed. Archie M. Palmer, ed. Detroit: Gale Research Co.).
13. Dun's Marketing Index, (Marketing Services Division, Dun and Bradstreet, Inc.).

To note which sectors each directory was used for, the sectors in the final universe will be divided into six groups, A-F, described below.

- A. Commercial Sectors Included in Fortune's Plant and Product Directory of the Nation's Largest Corporations

This directory lists, by SIC codes, each plant or facility (location and products produced) associated with a specific firm. It was a primary source for commercial manufacturing establishments because its level of aggregation coincides with the establishment focus of the study. Following are some examples of industry sectors whose establishment samples were obtained from Fortune's directory:

- SIC 3541 Machine Tools, Metal Cutting Type  
SIC 3623 Welding Apparatus  
SIC 3621 Motors and Generators  
SIC 3443 Fabricated Plate Products

Whenever possible, Fortune's directory was a first source. If fewer than 20 establishments were listed for a particular SIC code, other references were used to sup-

plement the list. If more than 50 establishments were listed, similar facilities or plants belonging to the same firm were eliminated from the sample.

B. Commercial Sectors not Included in Fortune's Directory

In addition to serving as supplements to Fortune's listings, directories 2-6 were used for commercial sectors not included in Fortune's directory. These directories list a corporation under all the appropriate SIC codes, but they do not list individual plants and their addresses; instead a corporate headquarters address is given. Dun and Bradstreet's Million Dollar Directory was the preferred source; the other four directories were used primarily to supplement and check other listings. Following are some examples of industry sectors whose establishment samples were obtained from the above directories:

- SIC 1382 Oil and Gas Field Exploration Services  
SIC 4925 Mixed, MFG, or Liquid Gas Products  
SIC 4922 Natural Gas Transmission  
SIC 3811 Engineering Lab and Scientific Research Instruments and Associated Equipment  
SIC 132 Natural Gas Liquids

C. Industry Sectors for Which More Comprehensive Direc-



ties were Available

Reference 7 was used to supplement Fortune's listings of suppliers to the coal industry, such as SIC code 3532, Mining Machinery and Equipment.

Establishments for SIC code 291, Petroleum Refining, were taken primarily from reference 8, which was also used to supplement listings from Dun and Bradstreet for SIC code 7391, Research and Development Labs (private industry).

"Consultants to the Power Industry" was used to obtain listings for SIC codes 1623 and 1629 (Construction Industry Sectors), and to supplement Dun and Bradstreet's listings for SIC code 8911, Engineering, Architectural and Surveying Services. To supplement listings for SIC codes 4931, 4932, and 4939 (utilities), the article on "New Generating Plants" was used.

D. Government Agencies and Activities Relating to Energy (1 Sector)

References 10 and 11 were used to obtain a sample for SIC code Regulatory Agencies and Government Activities Relating to Energy. The Report to the Congress lists all the related agencies and the United States Government Manual gives current addresses.

E. Non-profit Research and Development Institutions (1 Sector)

A sample of Non-profit Research and Development Institutions (SIC code 8922) was obtained from reference 12. Palmer's directory lists institutions specifically designated as independent, non-profit research organizations with their own boards of control. Organizations that are an integral unit of an educational or governmental institution are not included. The sample is comprised of institutions that are engaged in energy-related research with staff sizes of more than 12 people.

F. Source for Supplemental Listings

3000 additional establishment listings were obtained from Dun's Marketing Index to supplement listings for sectors which were under-represented. The distribution of establishments by SIC codes in the DMI listing was representative of DMI's universe of specified (by CAC) SIC codes. The listing excluded establishments with less than 30 employees. It was not possible to specify within SIC groups the number of establishment listings desired or minimum total employment. Since the universe of industry sectors used in this study is based on representation within SIC groups, many of the establishment listings obtained from DMI were removed from the final sample.



References

1. U.S. Dept. of Commerce. 1974. Input-Output Structure of the U.S. Economy: 1967
2. Bullard, C. and Pilati, D. 1975. Direct and Indirect Requirements for a Project Independence Scenario
3. Carasso et al. The Energy Systems Group, Bechtel Corp., San Francisco, Calif. The Energy Supply Planning Model
4. Stanford Research Institute. 1975. Nanower, Materials, Equipment and Utilities Required to Operate and Maintain Energy Facilities



#### Appendix B: Survey Administration

##### A. Removals from Original Sample

A total of approximately 5664 establishment listings were collected for the original sample. These were obtained as described in Appendix A. About 1494 of these listings (almost all from the 3000 obtained from DMI) were removed because the establishments' respective SIC Codes were over-represented (more than 10% establishments) in the sample. Removals consisted mostly of small construction or consulting establishments (SIC Codes 8911 or 1623,9). These were over-represented even though the DMI listing excluded establishments with less than 30 employees. Theoretically the distribution in the listing was representative of DMI's universe of specified (by CAC) SIC Codes. However, we were not interested in universe representation per se as much as representation within SIC groups. The 4100 remaining listings were randomly assigned to one of the two survey subsamples.

##### B. Confirmation of Need for Intensive Effort

It became clear very early in the project that due to the length and complexity of the survey instruments and the contemporary attitude towards voluntary surveys in the business community, obtaining the desired 10-20 responses per

major SIC group would require an intensive effort. In addition, the small number of firms in certain industrial groups such as "turbines and generators" or "reactor vessels" mandated a survey at the intensive rather than extensive margin.

Before pre-test results were obtained, it was hoped that the Current Situation Survey might be administered using a "blind" mailing 1 , since the data requested was primarily of an historical nature, i.e. -- an "expert" would not be required to copy data from the establishment's records onto the survey instrument. However, only one of the nine pre-test instruments was returned completed, so the need for an intensive approach was confirmed.

The forecasting survey fared slightly better. Nine establishments were contacted , and the appropriate "house expert" in manpower forecasting was solicited for participation. In many instances the caller was referred to another location where a new "expert" was sought to report for his (or her) respective location. 2 Forecasting surveys with cover letters were addressed to the "experts" who agreed to

-----  
1 Addressed to personnel depts. rather than individuals

2 In both surveys the establishment focus was sought, see Appendix C.



participate after the study was explained to them. Three of the nine pre-test participants completed and returned the survey.

At this point, and on the NSF's recommendation, it was decided that, for both surveys, each establishment in the sample would have to be contacted by telephone prior to the initial mailing of the survey.

#### C. Procedures for Initial Calls

The interviewer began by explaining the nature of the study and survey in an effort to contact the appropriate person, or expert. Experts were expected to be found among engineering and research executives, manpower planners, and professional recruiters. Interviewers were required to call the most appropriate person at each establishment (using snowball techniques), rather than allow a secretary or other subordinate to accept the survey on behalf of his superior.

After explaining the survey to the house expert, the interviewer attempted to obtain some degree of commitment prior to mailing. (It would not have been worth postage to mail the lengthy instruments to resistant contacts.) "House experts" at 465 of the 4100 establishments refused to participate in the study at the initial contact. The most common reasons given for resistance at this point fell into five

#### approximately equal-sized classes:

- 1.) Not applicable -- not energy-related
- 2.) ^Not applicable -- no scientific or technical personnel
- 3.) Too busy
- 4.) Against company policy
- 5.) Too many other requests for information

Many contacts presumed that they were not energy-related if they did not directly produce energy, or consume large quantities. Interviewers attempted to explain the input-output concept to this type of respondent with some degree of success. Some contacts were impressed with the thoroughness of a study using this concept while others simply shifted their grounds for refusal. A few sample establishments were, in fact, not substantially energy-related by the I/O concept, but were in an SIC group which was substantially energy-related. In these cases, interviewers attempted to obtain participation on representational grounds.

Many contacts attempted to evade participation because their establishments had little or no STP. Every effort was made to convince these contacts that it was as important for our sample to be representative as it was to obtain data from STP intensive establishments. Some success was obtained with this approach. Interviewers' bargaining power



was augmented since they could promise these contacts that the survey would take little of their time.

Interviewers attempted to convince contacts that purported to be "too busy" to review the instrument, and complete as much of it as possible. In all cases, contacts were cautioned that the instrument would appear much longer than it was in fact, since probably only a few of the 39 possible occupational categories would apply to a particular establishment.

Confidentiality was emphasized to contacts that declined on grounds of "company policy". Interviewers reported little headway against this type of resistance, particularly with establishments that were divisions or subdivisions of very large corporations.

Ultimately, over 3000 prospective participants were identified, requiring in excess of 12,000 phone calls for initial contacts alone. Approximately 1260 establishments from the final sample were not sent a survey. They are classified as follows:

- 1.) Refused at initial contact call 465
- 2.) No phone listing, out of business, or no answer 300
- 3.) Subsumed under divisional or corporate report 68
- 4.) Duplicate listing 78
- 5.) Contacted but not sent survey for other reasons 226

6.) Other, records show no contact made

129

#### D. Contact-Mailing Phase

Prospective participants in the two studies (approximately evenly divided between the forecast and current situation surveys) were mailed the respective instrument (including definitions), a post-paid return envelope, a postcard for requesting summary results, and a personalized cover letter. (See Appendix C)

The cover letters were continuously modified throughout the three and one-half month contact-mailing phase. Experience mounted as to the nature of refusal and certain items were appropriately emphasized and de-emphasized. The essence of the letter remained unchanged, containing statements concerning:

- 1.) Authority of the study
- 2.) Nature of the respective study and/or model
- 3.) Assurance of confidentiality
- 4.) Benefits to the respondent
- 5.) Brief description of the respective instrument
- 6.) An invitation to call respective survey directors collect about any ambiguities or questions.
- 7.) Reference to initial agreement to participate



and signature of phone interviewer

A large number of participants (over 800) <sup>3</sup> indicated by mail, telephone, or in follow-up that they did not wish to participate after receipt of the respective instrument.

Several major types of refusals can be identified. Approximate distribution of these is as follows:

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1.) Proprietary data or "against company policy" | 10% |
| 2.) Not applicable -- "not energy-related"       | 15% |
| 3.) Not applicable -- "no STP"                   | 15% |
| 4.) Not applicable -- other or unspecific reason | 15% |
| 5.) Too much work and/or too complex             | 25% |
| 6.) Data not available at this level             | 10% |
| 7.) No specific reason                           | 10% |

The length and complexity of the instruments probably accounts for a greater proportion of refusals (and outstanding surveys which are not officially refusals) than the above distribution would indicate; i.e., willingness rather than ability seemed to be the major obstacle to response.

#### E. Follow-Up Procedure

<sup>3</sup> This does not include the substantial number (893) of participants who had not indicated refusal and had not been contacted in follow-up.

The forecast survey consisted of a potential 1737 questions and the current situation survey consisted of a potential 1417 questions plus two areas for comments. Both surveys included over three and one-half single-spaced pages of instructions and standard NSF definitions. The University of Illinois' Survey Research Laboratory was consulted and the instruments were designed as simply as possible, given the information required, to accommodate many different types of industry establishments. However, many respondents filled out the instruments incorrectly.

Many reported occupations specifically excluded in the definitions or gave incremental or conditional forecasts in the forecast survey. In the current situation survey, many respondents reported hires of experienced personnel or generally gave information containing significant internal inconsistencies. For example, there were many cases where vacancies for 1975 plus current employment by degree or experience level were not equal to current requirements. These inconsistencies were not always attributable to "margin of error".



after the initial contact, allowing respondents sufficient time to review the instrument. Interviewers were instructed to verify the receipt of the questionnaire, answer any questions, and (for the third time) convince the respondent that the study was applicable and that the instrument was much less difficult to complete than it appeared.

Due to time constraints interviewers were limited to two calls in their attempt to follow-up outstanding surveys. With this constraint they were able to contact approximately 1000 participants (50% of outstanding surveys) over the course of the follow-up procedure. Responses to follow-up calls were approximately distributed as follows:

- 1.) Refusal for reason of applicability, burden, other 40%
- 2.) Agreed to at least partially complete instrument 40%
- 3.) "Did not receive" 15%
- 4.) "Already returned" 5%

The number of participants who claimed not to have received or had already returned the instruments could not be reconciled with a physical count of remaining survey materials or meticulously kept computer records of individual establishment status in the sample. The University Survey Research Laboratory, having had similar experience, advised that these were probably disguised refusals. A second mailing was made to these establishments. Of those that agreed

to complete the survey during follow-up, it is estimated that approximately 20 to 30% of these in fact returned a useful response.

By the end of the follow-up procedure it was apparent that there would be a significant number of confirmed non-respondents in addition to those participants who could not be contacted in the follow-up procedure. A much shortened version (2 pages plus instructions and NSF definitions) of both survey instruments was devised to avoid non-respondent bias.

The current situation short form solicits industry identifying information, current STP requirements, and subjective assessment of the STP labor market. Analysis of the preliminary results indicate no important deviation from longer version quantitative results.

The forecasting short form solicits industry identification, current STP requirements and forecasts under each scenario for the total rather than four separate activities. Few non-respondents have offered forecasts on this form, and there is presently no resultant evidence to suggest whether or not the forecasts from the longer forms are representative.

Six hundred and eighty-three completed long forms (about evenly split between the two surveys) were on hand as



of the cutoff date for the sample. Most of these required clarification or lacked essential information which was obtained in telephone interviews prior to their addition to the data-base.

#### F. Editing Procedure

The next task was editing the returned questionnaires to prepare them to be added to the computerized data base via an interactive data entry program. The editing process usually involved contacting the respondent by phone to obtain essential information or clarify ambiguous responses. All editors received detailed editing instructions and a consistency checklist to aid them in the editing process. All returns received from various establishments within a given corporation were handled by one editor. This helped to clarify responses peculiar to that firm and to prevent the possibility of overlapping reporting.

Each question in both surveys was given a priority rating. Editors were instructed to call the respondent if any high priority questions were unanswered or required clarification, or if there were substantial inconsistencies in reporting. For example, there were many instances in which the respondent indicated "not available" or "confidential" as an answer to the question on sales volume (a high-priority question). Editors were often able to obtain a

**sales volume figure by assuring the respondent over the phone that the information would remain confidential.** In the case of a company division or plant not involved directly in sales, the editor would try to contact someone at a regional sales office or corporate headquarters who might have the information. This approach was also sometimes successful. If the information was, in fact, "unavailable", a researcher attempted to obtain information from the university library.

There were also many instances of inconsistent reporting. For example, some current situation surveys were returned in which the number of inexperienced new hires (Item III.22.) did not correspond to experience figures given in Item V.28. (with number of quits, IV.23., taken into consideration). In many of these cases, the editors discovered that the respondent had listed all new hires, not only inexperienced new hires. Appropriate corrections were made.

Editors were instructed to phrase questions carefully so as not to lead the respondents. The amount of clarification possible depended on the respondent. If a respondent seemed unsure of an answer, or simply wanted to get off the phone as quickly as possible, missing data codes were used. The final disposition of the sample is shown in table 1. The status of those who were contacted and agreed to participate is shown in table 2.



Table 1

FINAL DISPOSITION OF THE SAMPLE

	FINAL DISPOSITION OF THE SAMPLE	FINAL STATUS OF SAMPLE
1.)	Contacted and mailed long form of either survey	3060
2.)	Refused participation at initial contact	435
3.)	No phone listing or out of business	300
4.)	Subsumed under other reporting unit	68
5.)	Duplicate listings	78
6.)	Additional establishment listings available to complete sample if necessary	1494
7.)	Other	226
	Approximate Effective Sample (1+2+4+7)	3796

	FINAL STATUS OF SAMPLE	0
1.)	In process	0
2.)	Unconfirmed non-response	393
3.)	Followed-up (respondent agreed to complete, unreturned)	466
4.)	Refused to complete after follow-up or respondent initiated return	649
5.)	Completed and returned	683
6.)	Additional establishment listings available to complete sample	1494
7.)	Short form completed	170



**SURVEY OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL MANPOWER**

**Instructions:** Please scan the Reporting Instructions at the end of this questionnaire and then begin here, referring back to the definitions as necessary.

Center for Advanced Computation  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Urbana, Illinois 61801

National Science Foundation

**STUDY OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL MANPOWER IN  
ENERGY-RELATED INDUSTRIES**

The establishment location for which data are requested is shown in the address label below. For purposes of this study an establishment usually is a single physical location, engaged in one predominant activity. If you are unable to report data on this basis please indicate the unit(s) for which data is reported.

**Item I. General Information**

1. What is the primary product of this establishment? (by dollar sales volume or operating revenue) \_\_\_\_\_
2. Please list your next most important items by sales volume or operating revenues:
  - a. \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. \_\_\_\_\_
3. a. What percentage of your scientific and technical personnel are based at foreign locations? \_\_\_\_\_ %  
(If "0", skip to Question 4)
- b. Of these foreign-based scientific and technical personnel, what percentage are U.S. citizens? \_\_\_\_\_ %
4. What was the total sales volume for your establishment? (Answer either "a" or "b" and "c")  
 a. From Jan. 1, 1975 to December 31, 1975, it was \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
 b. For fiscal year \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_, it was \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
 c. At what percentage of capacity did your company operate in 1975? (See definitions for capacity)  
\_\_\_\_\_ %
5. a. What were your company funded (own account) research and development expenditures for 1975 or other period which you reported sales volume for in question 4? (See definitions for "research and development".)  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_  
 b. What were your government or other non-company (grants, contracts, etc.) expenditures for research and development in 1975 or other period reported in question 4?  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_
6. What was the total employment for this establishment? (Answer either "a" or "b")  
 a. December 31, 1975 \_\_\_\_\_; December, 31, 1974 \_\_\_\_\_  
 b. \_\_\_\_\_, 1975 \_\_\_\_\_, 1974 \_\_\_\_\_
7. For each of the supervisory groups listed below, please estimate how many in each group in your establishment are scientists, engineers, technicians and non-technical staff.  
Corrections: (If label does not represent reporting units;) \_\_\_\_\_

	Scientists	Engineers	Technicians	Non-technical
Foremen				
Managers				
Supervisors				
Other Supervisory				

**Confidentiality**

When we receive your response we will transfer the data (except for Item VIII) to a computer file which will not be identified with your firm. Surveys will then be destroyed. The results will be used only when combined with those of many other firms.



Haber Market Experience Since 1972

Please circle one answer code number unless otherwise instructed.

18. Recruiting:

- |     |  | Item II. Labor Market Experience since 1972                       |      |      |      | Item III. Total number of college and university interviews with scientific and technical students your firm has conducted for recruiting purposes during . . . (If none, please indicate "0"). |      |      |      |
|-----|--|---|------|------|------|---|------|------|------|
|     |  | Please circle one answer code number unless otherwise instructed. |      |      |      |   |      |      |      |
|     |  | Much More   | More | Less | Less | Much Less   | Less | More | More |
| 8.  | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your scientific and technical employees work overtime in 1975?   | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 9.  | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment find it necessary to redesign jobs in order to release scientific or technical staff from non-technical duties such as clerical or administrative work in 1975?   | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 10. | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment reduce education, experience, training or other qualifications for scientific and technical jobs in 1975?   | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 11. | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment find it necessary to sub-contract work as a result of scientific or technical personnel shortages in 1975?  | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 12. | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment hire temporary scientific or technical personnel from outside firms in 1975?  | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 13. | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment borrow or transfer scientists or technicians from other locations or divisions of your firm in 1975?  | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 14. | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment borrow scientists or technicians from other firms (which might have been experiencing slack periods) in 1975?   | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 15. | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your constant dollar training costs for scientific and technical personnel increase or decrease in 1975?   | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 16. | In comparison to 1972, to what extent did your establishment find it necessary to delay or cancel production or research and development due to vacancies in scientific and technical positions in 1975?   | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| 17. | a. Has your establishment found it necessary to retrain scientific or technical personnel from one area of specialization to another? (i.e., their primary activity is not their area of degree specialty.)<br>Yes .....<br>No .....<br>(If "No", skip to Question 18) | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| b.  | In comparison to 1972, to what extent was retraining necessary in 1975?<br>1) _____<br>2) _____  | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |
| c.  | For which occupations has this been necessary?<br>Occupation before retraining<br>1) _____<br>2) _____   | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    |   |      |      |      |







Item V. No. of Scientific and Technical Personnel by Highest Degree Attained and Years of Experience on December 31, 1975	27. How many employees in each of the occupations listed below have . . .							
	less than 1 year's degree?	a bachelors degree only?	a masters degree but not a Ph. D.?	a Ph. D.?	less than 1 year's experience only?	1-5 years experience only?	6-10 years experience only?	11 or more years experience?
(100) Total Engineers								
(101) Chemical								
(102) Civil Sanitary								
(103) Electrical Electronic								
(104) Industrial								
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic								
(106) Mechanical								
(107) Aeronautical								
(108) Mining								
(109) Petroleum								
(110) Geological								
(111) Nuclear								
(190) Other (specify)	1. _____							
	2. _____							
(200) Total Scientists								
(201) Chemists								
(202) Physicists								
(203) Metallurgists								
(204) Geologists/Geophysicists								
(205) Agriculturists								
(206) Biologists								
(207) Physicians								
(208) Mathematicians								
(209) Statisticians								
(210) Computer								
(211) Marine								
(230) Other (specify)	1. _____							
	2. _____							
(300) Total Technicians								
(301) Agricultural								
(302) Biological								
(303) Chemical								
(304) Electrical Electronic								
(305) Industrial								
(306) Mechanical								
(307) Medical								
(308) Mathematical								
(309) Surveyors								
(310) Draftsmen								
(230) Other (specify)	1. _____							
	2. _____							
(400) Total Architects								

Item VI. Current Scientific and Technical Requirements (employment plus vacancies) By Occupation and Primary Activity	For each of the activities listed across, please indicate your manpower requirements (employment plus vacancies) for the specific occupations shown as of December 31, 1975. Make your estimates in man-years.					
	less than 1 year's experience?	1-5 years experience only?	6-10 years experience only?	11 or more years experience?	31. How many of your employees (and vacancies) are involved in: RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT?	32. How many of your employees (and vacancies) are involved in: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE? or contract construction for establishments?
(100) Total Engineers						
(101) Chemical						
(102) Civil Sanitary						
(103) Electrical Electronic						
(104) Industrial						
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic						
(106) Mechanical						
(107) Aeronautical						
(108) Mining						
(109) Petroleum						
(110) Geological						
(111) Nuclear						
(190) Other (specify)	1. _____					
	2. _____					
(200) Total Scientists						
(201) Chemists						
(202) Physicists						
(203) Metallurgists						
(204) Geologists/Geophysicists						
(205) Agriculturists						
(206) Biologists						
(207) Physicians						
(208) Mathematicians						
(209) Statisticians						
(210) Computer						
(211) Marine						
(230) Other (specify)	1. _____					
	2. _____					
(300) Total Technicians						
(301) Agricultural						
(302) Biological						
(303) Chemical						
(304) Electrical Electronic						
(305) Industrial						
(306) Mechanical						
(307) Medical						
(308) Mathematical						
(309) Surveyors						
(310) Draftsmen						
(230) Other (specify)	1. _____					
	2. _____					
(400) Total Architects						



## REPORTING INSTRUCTIONS

### Item VII. Comments

### 1. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

The establishment location for which data are requested is shown in the address label. For purposes of this survey, an establishment usually is a single physical location, engaged in one predominant activity.

Because the establishments in this survey are selected on a sample basis to represent all sizes of establishments in many manufacturing and nonmanufacturing industries, multunit companies may receive more than one questionnaire. It is important that you complete the questionnaire only for the establishment designated.

Items I and VIII should be completed and the form returned, even if you do not employ any scientific and technical personnel. Providing this information is essential to the estimating procedure and will avoid unnecessary correspondence.

(If more space is needed, feel free to use a separate sheet of paper.)

**Item VIII. Contact Person**

Please complete the following information so that we may clarify the information you have given us (if necessary).

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

tion of a 4-year college course with a major in one of those fields, regardless of whether they hold a college degree. Include all scientists and engineers in research and development, production, management, technical services, sales, or other positions which require the use of the indicated level of knowledge. Exclude persons trained as scientists or engineers but currently employed in positions not requiring the use of such knowledge.

### Engineers

Count as engineers all persons actually engaged in chemical, civil, electrical, industrial, mechanical, materials/metallurgical, mining, petroleum, or any other type of engineering. Include architectural engineers; exclude architects.

### Physical and life scientists

Count as scientists all chemists, physicists, metallurgists, geologists/geophysicists, agricultural scientists; biological scientists, medical scientists, and other physical and life scientists who are actually engaged in scientific work. Exclude psychologists, economists and other social scientists. Definitions for medical, agricultural, and biological scientists follow.

Medical scientists — are only those physicians, dentists, public health specialists, pharmacists, and members of other scientific professions who are concerned with the understanding of human diseases and improvement of human health, and spend the greatest portion of their time in clinical investigation or other research, production, technical writing, and related activities. Exclude from this category all practitioners — that is, those medical scientists who spend the greatest portion of their time providing care to patients, dispensing drugs or services, or in diagnosis, etc. Persons working as pathologists, microbiologists, pharmacologists, etc., should be excluded from the figures for the medical scientists and included in the figures for biological scientists.

### 2. DEFINITION OF TERMS

#### A. General

Employees in the specialized occupations, reported in items III, IV, V and VI should be counted on a "Working As" basis, as of the date of the report (Dec. 31, 1975) regardless of their field of degree or whether they hold a college degree. For example, an employee trained as an engineer but working as a mathematician as of the date of the report should be reported as a mathematician. Similarly, an employee trained as a biological technician but working as a medical technician should be reported as a medical technician. When actual data are not available, please make an estimate.

#### B. Scientists and engineers

For the scientific or engineering occupations, include those who work at a level which requires knowledge of the subject at least equivalent to that acquired through comple-



**Agricultural scientists** — are all persons who are primarily concerned with the understanding and improvement of agricultural productivity, such as those working in agronomy, animal husbandry, forestry, horticulture, range management, soil culture, and veterinary science. *Exclude* veterinarians who spend the greatest portion of their time providing care to animals since they are primarily practitioners and not within the scope of this survey.

**Biological scientists** — are all persons who spend the greatest portion of their time in scientific work dealing with life processes other than those classified in the agricultural and medical science. *Include* pathologists, microbiologists, pharmacologists, bacteriologists, toxicologists, botanists, zoologists, etc.

Count as mathematical scientists all persons who are primarily concerned with the development of mathematical theory or the application of established mathematical laws and principles to specific problems and situations. *Include* actuaries only if they specialize in mathematical techniques; *exclude* accountants.

**Mathematicians** — are only those persons who spend the greatest portion of their time in mathematical research or in the development or application of mathematical techniques in science, management, and other fields, and/or solving or obtaining solutions to problems in various fields by mathematical techniques.

**Statisticians** — are all persons, other than those reported as mathematicians, who meet the general requirements for "Mathematical scientists" and who are primarily engaged in the recurrent application of statistical techniques. For purposes of this survey, statistical techniques include the design of surveys or experiments as well as the collection, organization, interpretation, or analysis of numerical data. *Exclude* statisticians who are engaged solely in the development of mathematical theory associated with the general application of statistical techniques — these persons should be reported as mathematicians. Also, *exclude* persons engaged in

quality control, time or motion study applications, inventory control, computer programming, testing, etc., who utilize statistical techniques merely as an occasional tool in connection with the performance of other primary duties.

#### Computer scientists

Count as computer scientists all persons working as computer systems analysts, systems engineers, computer specialists, data processing systems project planners, software specialists, etc. *Include* computer programmers only if their positions meet the foregoing requirements. Other computer programmers should be included in the category "other technicians" (See definition for draftsmen and technicians).

#### C. Draftsmen and technicians

Count in this occupational grouping all persons actually engaged in technical work at a level which requires knowledge of engineering, mathematical, and physical or life sciences, comparable to that acquired either through study at technical institutes, junior colleges, or through equivalent on-the-job training or experience. Some typical job titles are draftsmen, surveyor, laboratory assistant, physical science aide, and electronic technician. All persons in positions which require the indicated level of knowledge should be counted, regardless of job title or department in which employed. Computer programmers who meet the above definition of technicians and surveyors should be reported as "other technicians". *Exclude* those persons whose positions require knowledge or training consistent with the foregoing definitions of engineers, mathematicians, or scientists, and report them in the appropriate occupational category on the questionnaire. Also, *exclude* all craftsmen such as machinists, electricians, and specialized personnel such as airline pilots, navigators, flight engineers, and ships' officers. Separate definitions of electrical and electronic technicians; other engineering and physical science technicians; and biological, agricultural, and medical technicians follow:

**Electrical and Electronic technicians** — are all persons with a background in electrical or electronic theory, physical science, and

mathematics which enables them to perform jobs above the routine operating or maintenance levels. Normally, such employees are engaged in construction, repairing, testing, installing, modifying, operating, or even designing a variety of production or experimental types of complex electrical or electronic equipment.

**Biological, agricultural, and medical technicians** — are all persons with a background in agricultural, biological, or other life science which enables them to perform jobs above the routine level in both laboratory and operations activities. *Exclude* medical and dental technicians who spend the greatest portion of their time providing care or services to patients.

**Other engineering and science technicians** — are all persons who assist engineers and scientists in both laboratory and production types of activities. Normally, these technicians work under the direct supervision of an engineer or scientist and assist him in those functions usually described as routine at the professional level. Where possible, count these personnel as chemical, mechanical, industrial, or mathematical technicians.

### 3. RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT FUNCTIONS

*Include* in this function those individuals who spend the greatest proportion of their time performing, managing, or administering basic and applied research and in the design and development of prototypes, processes, studies, surveys, and related activities. If the primary objective of an activity is to make further improvements on the products, processes, or studies, then the work is research-development. If, on the other hand, the product or process is substantially operational and the primary objective is to develop markets, do preproduction planning, or get the production process going smoothly, then the work is no longer research-development. For purposes of this survey, research and development includes the activities described below whether assigned to separate research and development organizational units of the establishment, or carried on by laboratories and technical groups not part of a separate research and development unit per se.

(a) **Pursuit of planned research for new knowledge, whether or not the research has reference to a specific application.**

(b) **Application of existing knowledge to problems involved in the creation of a new product, process, study, or survey, including work required to evaluate possible uses.**

(c) **Application of existing knowledge to problems involved in the improvement of a present product, process, survey, or study.**

**Development activities include** — the design and operation of pilot plants or semi-works plants so long as the principal purposes are to obtain experience and to compile engineering and other data to be used in evaluating hypotheses, writing product formulas, or establishing finished product specifications; engineering activity required to advance the design of a product or a process to the point where it meets specific functional and economic requirements and can be turned over to manufacturing units; and design, construction, and testing of preproduction prototypes and models and "engineering follow-through" in the early production phase.

The development of designs for special manufacturing equipment and tools is included but tool making and tool tryout are not included. The preparation of reports, drawings, formulas, specifications, standard practice instructions or operating manuals, and other media for transmitting to operating units information obtained from the above activities is included. However, the production of detailed construction drawings or manufacturing blueprints is not included. The question, "When does development end and production begin?" is often asked. If the primary objective is to make further improvements on the product or process, then the work comes within the definition of research and development. If, on the other hand, the product or process is substantially "set", and the primary objective is to develop markets or to do production planning, or to get the production process going smoothly, then the work is no longer research-development. For purposes of this survey, research and development includes the activities described below whether assigned to separate research and development organizational units of the establishment, or carried on by laboratories and technical groups not part of a separate research and development unit per se.



**Research and development excludes** — routine collection of information or statistics (i.e., performing market surveys to determine product acceptance); collating amount of overtime worked in different organizational units; market development (including the sale of either old or new products to obtain acceptance of them in new outlets); quality and quantity control tests and analyses; trouble shooting in connection with breakdowns in full scale production; technical plant sanitation control; work required for minor adaptations of a specific product to meet the requirements of a specific customer; engineering and other technical service furnished in accordance with agreement to licensees outside the company, and furnished by the research and development organization to manufacturing divisions to enable them to operate in accordance with previously determined formulas, practice instructions, or finished product specifications; aid furnished to develop advertising programs to promote or demonstrate new products or processes including the development of material furnished for trial or demonstration; experimental work performed at the request of the patent division to provide information needed during the prosecution of a patent litigation, and technical writing.

#### 6. CAPACITY

Capacity or capacity output is the maximum physical output that can be produced during a given time period with existing plant and equipment.

### STUDY OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS IN ENERGY-RELATED INDUSTRIES

The establishment location for which data are requested is shown in the address label below. For purposes of this study an establishment usually is a single physical location, engaged in one predominant activity. If you are unable to report data on this basis please indicate the unit(s) for which data is reported.

National Science Foundation

Center for Advanced Computation  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Urbana, Illinois 61801

#### 4. OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

*Include the day-to-day functions of this establishment. In general, this category should include all personnel not counted in Research and Development or Force Construction.*

#### 5. CONSTRUCTION

Force construction is construction undertaken by the establishment on its own behalf and does not include construction performed under contract for others. *Include plant additions or renovations performed by your personnel. Exclude plant additions or renovations contracted out, but include your personnel assigned to contract supervision.*

Corrections: (If label does not represent reporting units:)

#### Confidentiality

When we receive your response we will transfer the data (except for item V) to a computer file which will not be identified with your firm. Surveys will then be destroyed. The results will be used only when combined with those of many other firms.



## SURVEY OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS

Instructions: Please scan the Reporting Instructions at the end of this questionnaire and then begin here, referring back to the definitions as necessary.

### Item I. General Information

1. What is the primary product of this establishment? (by dollar sales volume or operating revenue) \_\_\_\_\_
2. Please list your next most important items by sales volume or operating revenues:  
a. \_\_\_\_\_  
b. \_\_\_\_\_  
c. \_\_\_\_\_
3. a. What percentage of your scientific and technical personnel are based at foreign locations? \_\_\_\_\_ %  
(If "0", skip to Question 4)  
b. Of these foreign-based scientific and technical personnel, what percentage are U.S. citizens? \_\_\_\_\_ %
4. What was the total sales volume for your establishment? (Answer either "a" or "b" and "c")
  - a. From Jan. 1, 1975 to December 31, 1975, it was \$ \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. For fiscal year \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_, it was \$ \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. At what percentage of capacity did your company operate in 1975? (See definitions for capacity)  
\_\_\_\_\_ %
5. a. What were your company funded (own account) research and development expenditures for 1975 or other period which you reported sales volume for in question 4? (See definitions for "research and development".)  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_  
b. What were your government or other non-company (grants, contracts, etc.) expenditures for research and development in 1975 or other period reported in question 4?  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_
6. What was the total employment for this establishment? (Answer either "a" or "b")
  - a. December 31, 1975 \_\_\_\_\_; December 31, 1974 \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. \_\_\_\_\_, 1975 \_\_\_\_\_; \_\_\_\_\_, 1974 \_\_\_\_\_
7. For each of the supervisory groups listed below, please estimate how many in each group in your establishment are scientists, engineers, technicians and non-technical staff.

	Scientists	Engineers	Technicians	Non-technical
Foremen				
Managers				
Supervisors				
Other Supervisory				



## **Item II. Current Scientific and Technical Requirements (employment plus vacancies) By Occupation and Primary Activity**

For each of the activities listed across, please indicate your manpower requirements (*employment plus vacancies*) for the specific occupations shown as of December 31, 1975. Make your estimates in man-years.

<p><b>8. How many of your employees (and vacancies) are involved in: FORCE-ACCOUNT CONSTRUCTION?</b></p>	<p><b>9. How many of your employees (and vacancies) are involved in: RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT?</b></p>	
	<p><b>10. How many of your employees (and vacancies) are involved in: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE? or contract construction for construction establishments?</b></p>	
<p><b>11. How many of your employees (and vacancies) are involved in: OTHER (specify)?</b></p>		
(100) Total Engineers		
(101) Chemical		
(102) Civil/Sanitary		
(103) Electrical/Electronic		
(104) Industrial		
(105) Material/Metallurgical/Ceramic		
(106) Mechanical		
(107) Aeronautical		
(108) Mining		
(109) Petroleum		
(110) Geological		
(111) Nuclear		
(190) Other (specify)		
	1	2
(220) Total Scientists		
(201) Chemists		
(202) Physicists		
(203) Metallurgists		
(204) Geologists, Geophysicists		
(205) Agriculturists		
(206) Biologists		
(207) Medical		
(208) Mathematicians		
(209) Statisticians		
(210) Computer		
(211) Marine		
(290) Other (specify)		
	1	2
(290) Total Technicians		
(301) Agricultural		
(302) Biologists		
(303) Chemical		
(304) Electrical/Electronic		
(325) Industrial		
(326) Mechanical		
(327) Naval		
(328) Mathematical		
(329) Surveyors		
(310) Draftsmen		
(390) Other (specify)		
	1	2
(400) Total Architects		

### **Item III. Forecasts of Expected Manpower Requirements**

**Instructions:** In the four tables which follow estimate for each of three future years (1977, 1980 and 1985) the total scientific and technical manpower requirements for your establishment under the conditions listed for each year.

The conditions for 1977 are:

- 1980 the conditions are:

  1. No change in physical output from 1976;
  2. 10% increase in output; and
  3. 20% increase in output.
  1. No change in physical output from 1976;
  2. 25% increase in output; and
  3. 50% increase in output.

- You think that a given increase in output is not possible for your firm in the column provided for comment.

卷之三

Any purchases of services or construction from other firms can be ignored here since those purchases would result in increased employment for other firms, not for your firm.

In making these forecasts, take into account the effects of changes in technology, the mix of activities at your establishment, productivity of scientific and technical manpower, etc. Please make your estimates in man-years (one full-time equivalent for one year).

If you are unable to forecast your future manpower requirements by activity (such as "Force-Account Construction", "Operations and Maintenance (or contract construction for construction establishments)", or "Research and Development"), please use the forecasting form labeled "Other" to estimate your total manpower requirements. Indicate on the "Other" form that your estimates are for *total* requirements for all activities. An example of a filled-out form is provided on the next page.



## EXAMPLE MANPOWER FORECAST

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		12. For Research and Development what would your total manpower requirements be by occupation in 1977 if physical output increased by:		13. For Research and Development what would your total manpower requirements be by occupation in 1980 if physical output increased by:	
Man all estimates in man-years.		0% ? 10% ? 20% ?		0% ? 10% ? 25% ? 50% ?	
(100) Total Engineers					
(101) Chemical					
(102) Civil/Sanitary					
(103) Electrical/Electronic					
(104) Industrial					
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic					
(106) Mechanical					
(107) Aeronautical					
(108) Mining					
(109) Petroleum					
(110) Geological					
(111) Nuclear					
(119) Other (specify)					
1. _____					
2. _____					
(200) Total Scientists					
(201) Chemists					
(202) Physicists					
(203) Metallurgists					
(204) Geologists/Geophysicists					
(205) Agriculturists					
(206) Biologists					
(207) Medical					
(208) Mathematicians					
(209) Statisticians					
(210) Computer					
(211) Marine					
(290) Other (specify)					
1. _____					
2. _____					
(300) Total Technicians					
(301) Agricultural					
(302) Biologists					
(303) Chemical					
(304) Electrical/Electronic					
(305) Industrial					
(306) Mechanical					
(307) Medical					
(308) Mathematical					
(309) Surveyors					
(310) Draftsmen					
(390) Other (specify)					
1. _____					
2. _____					
(400) Total Architects					

Notice that in the sample forecast above the respondent forecasts requirements only for those occupations he reasonably expects to employ under the various assumptions. In effect, the blanks imply zero entries.

In some instances, a given level of output may require more manpower in 1985 than 1980 since expected technological changes may require more technical manpower.

In the case of Materials Engineers, the respondent does not expect a need for this occupation unless output increases.

In some cases, a given level of output may require less manpower in 1985 than 1980 since growth would be less rapid for the 1985 case.

## RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Make all estimates in man-years.

14. For Research and Development what would your total manpower requirements be by occupation in 1985 if physical output increased by:

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?

- 0% ? 10% ? 20% ?

- 25% ? 50% ? 100% ?



**FORCE-ACCOUNT CONSTRUCTION**  
 what would your total manpower requirements by occupations be in 1980 if physical output increased by:  
 Make all estimates in man-years.

**16. For Force-Account Construction**  
 what would your total manpower requirements by occupations be in 1977 if physical output increased by:  
 Make all estimates in man-years.

	0%?	10%?	20%?	0%?	25%?	50%?	0%?	50%?	100%?
(100) Total Engineers									
(101) Chemical									
(102) Civil/Sanitary									
(103) Electrical/Electronic									
(104) Industrial									
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic									
(106) Mechanical									
(107) Aeronautical									
(108) Mining									
(109) Petroleum									
(110) Geological									
(111) Nuclear									
(190) Other (specify)	1. _____	2. _____							

**OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE**  
*(or contract construction for construction establishments)*

Make all estimates in man-years.

**17. For Force-Account Construction**  
 what would your total manpower requirements by occupations be in 1980 if physical output increased by:  
 Make all estimates in man-years.

	0%?	10%?	20%?	0%?	25%?	50%?	0%?	50%?	100%?
(100) Total Engineers									
(101) Chemical									
(102) Civil/Sanitary									
(103) Electrical/Electronic									
(104) Industrial									
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic									
(106) Mechanical									
(107) Aeronautical									
(108) Mining									
(109) Petroleum									
(110) Geological									
(111) Nuclear									
(190) Other (specify)	1. _____	2. _____							

**18. For Operations and Maintenance**  
 what would your total manpower requirements by occupations be in 1980 if physical output increased by:  
 Make all estimates in man-years.

	0%?	10%?	20%?	0%?	25%?	50%?	0%?	50%?	100%?
(100) Total Engineers									
(101) Chemical									
(102) Civil/Sanitary									
(103) Electrical/Electronic									
(104) Industrial									
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic									
(106) Mechanical									
(107) Aeronautical									
(108) Mining									
(109) Petroleum									
(110) Geological									
(111) Nuclear									
(190) Other (specify)	1. _____	2. _____							

**19. For Operations and Maintenance**  
 what would your total manpower requirements by occupations be in 1977 if physical output increased by:  
 Make all estimates in man-years.

	0%?	10%?	20%?	0%?	25%?	50%?	0%?	50%?	100%?
(100) Total Engineers									
(101) Chemical									
(102) Civil/Sanitary									
(103) Electrical/Electronic									
(104) Industrial									
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic									
(106) Mechanical									
(107) Aeronautical									
(108) Mining									
(109) Petroleum									
(110) Geological									
(111) Nuclear									
(190) Other (specify)	1. _____	2. _____							

**20. For Operations and Maintenance**  
 what would your total manpower requirements by occupations be in 1985 if physical output increased by:  
 Make all estimates in man-years.

	0%?	10%?	20%?	0%?	25%?	50%?	0%?	50%?	100%?
(100) Total Engineers									
(101) Chemical									
(102) Civil/Sanitary									
(103) Electrical/Electronic									
(104) Industrial									
(105) Materials/Metallurgical/Ceramic									
(106) Mechanical									
(107) Aeronautical									
(108) Mining									
(109) Petroleum									
(110) Geological									
(111) Nuclear									
(190) Other (specify)	1. _____	2. _____							



**OTHER (specify)**

21. For \_\_\_\_\_ what would your total manpower requirements by occupation be in 1980 if physical output increased by: \_\_\_\_\_

0%? 10%? 20%? 0%? 25%? 50%? 0%? 50%? 100%?

24. How important were each of the following factors in influencing your predictions in the tables on the preceding pages? Please circle the number which corresponds to the level of importance to you of each factor. Feel free to rate additional factors on the lines provided.

**Make all estimates in man-years.**

22. For \_\_\_\_\_ what would your total manpower requirements by occupation be in 1977 if physical output increased by: \_\_\_\_\_

0%? 10%? 20%? 0%? 25%? 50%? 0%? 50%? 100%?

23. For \_\_\_\_\_ what would your total manpower requirements by occupation be in 1985 if physical output increased by: \_\_\_\_\_

0%? 50%? 100%?

Factor	Not Important	Slightly Important	Somewhat Important	Very Important
Scale of operation	1	2	3	4
Volume of output	1	2	3	4
Productivity	1	2	3	4
Technology	1	2	3	4
Changes in manpower use	1	2	3	4
Data from departments	1	2	3	4
Others (specify)	1	2	3	4
Total Scientists	1	2	3	4
Chemists	1	2	3	4
Physicists	1	2	3	4
Metallurgists	1	2	3	4
Geologists/Geophysicists	1	2	3	4
Agriculturists	1	2	3	4
Biologists	1	2	3	4
Mechical	1	2	3	4
Mathematicians	1	2	3	4
Statisticians	1	2	3	4
Computer	1	2	3	4
Marine	1	2	3	4
Other (specify)	1	2	3	4
Total Technicians	2	—	—	—
Agricultural	2	—	—	—
Biologists	2	—	—	—
Chemical	2	—	—	—
Electrical/Electronic	2	—	—	—
Industrial	2	—	—	—
Mechanical	2	—	—	—
Medical	2	—	—	—
Mathematical	2	—	—	—
Surveyors	2	—	—	—
Draftsmen	2	—	—	—
Other (specify)	1	—	—	—
Total Architects	2	—	—	—

25. Please read the definition of "Capacity" in point #6 of the Reporting Instructions. Under this definition, what was your percentage of capacity utilization as of December 31, 1975?

**Item V. Contact Person**

Please complete the following information so that we may clarify the information you have given us (if necessary).

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_



## REPORTING INSTRUCTIONS

### 1. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

The establishment location for which data are requested is shown in the address label. For purposes of this survey, an establishment usually is a single physical location, engaged in one predominant activity.

Because the establishments in this survey are selected on a sample basis to represent all sizes of establishments in many manufacturing and nonmanufacturing industries, multiunit companies may receive more than one questionnaire. It is important that you complete the questionnaire only for the establishment designated.

#### Engineers

Count as engineers all persons actually engaged in chemical, civil, electrical, industrial, mechanical, materials/metallurgical, mining, petroleum, or any other type of engineering. *Include* architectural engineers; *exclude* architects.

#### Physical and life scientists

Count as scientists all chemists, physicists, metallurgists, geologists/geophysicists, agricultural scientists, biological scientists, medical scientists, and other physical and life scientists who are actually engaged in scientific work. *Exclude* psychologists, economists and other social scientists. Definitions for medical, agricultural, and biological scientists follow.

*Medical scientists* — are only those physicians, dentists, public health specialists, pharmacists, and members of other scientific professions who are concerned with the understanding of human diseases and improvement of human health, and spend the greatest portion of their time in clinical investigation or other research, production, technical writing, and related activities. *Exclude* from this category all practitioners — that is, those medical scientists who spend the greatest portion of their time providing care to patients, dispensing drugs or services, or in diagnosis, etc. Persons working as pathologists, microbiologists, pharmacologists, etc., should be excluded from the figures for the medical scientists and included in the figures for biological scientists.

#### A. General

Employees in the specialized occupations reported in items II and III should be counted on a "Working As" basis, as of the date of the report (Dec. 31, 1975) regardless of their field of degree or whether they hold a college degree. For example, an employee trained as an engineer but working as a mathematician as of the date of the report should be reported as a mathematician. Similarly, an employee trained as a biological technician but working as a medical technician should be reported as a medical technician. When actual data are not available, please make an estimate.

#### B. Scientists and engineers

For the scientific or engineering occupations, *include* those who work at a level which requires knowledge of the subject at least equivalent to that acquired through comple-

tion of a 4-year college course with a major in one of those fields, regardless of whether they hold a college degree. *Include* all scientists and engineers in research and development, production, management, technical services, sales, or other positions which require the use of the indicated level of knowledge. *Exclude* persons trained as scientists or engineers but currently employed in positions not requiring the use of such knowledge.

#### Mathematical scientists

Count as mathematical scientists all persons who are primarily concerned with the development of mathematical theory or the application of established mathematical laws and principles to specific problems and situations. *Include* actuaries only if they specialize in mathematical techniques; *exclude* accountants.

*Mathematicians* — are only those persons who spend the greatest portion of their time in mathematical research or in the development or application of mathematical techniques in science, management, and other fields, and/or solving or obtaining solutions to problems in various fields by mathematical techniques.

*Statisticians* — are all persons, other than those reported as mathematicians, who meet the general requirements for "Mathematical scientists" and who are primarily engaged in the recurrent application of statistical techniques. For purposes of this survey, statistical techniques include the design of surveys or experiments as well as the collection, organization, interpretation, or analysis of numerical data. *Exclude* statisticians who are engaged solely in the development of mathematical theory associated with the general application of statistical techniques — these persons should be reported as mathematicians. Also, *exclude* persons engaged in

**Agricultural scientists** — are all persons who are primarily concerned with the understanding and improvement of agricultural productivity, such as those working in agronomy, animal husbandry, forestry, horticulture, range management, soil culture, and veterinary science. *Exclude* veterinarians who spend the greatest portion of their time providing care to animals since they are primarily practitioners and not within the scope of this survey.

**Biological scientists** — are all persons who spend the greatest portion of their time in scientific work dealing with life processes other than those classified in the agricultural and medical science. *Include* pathologists, microbiologists, pharmacologists, bacteriologists, toxicologists, botanists, zoologists, etc.

#### Mathematical and physical technicians

Count as mathematical and physical technicians all persons who are primarily concerned with the development of mathematical theory or the application of established mathematical laws and principles to specific problems and situations. *Include* actuaries only if they specialize in mathematical techniques; *exclude* accountants. *Mathematicians* — are only those persons who spend the greatest portion of their time in mathematical research or in the development or application of mathematical techniques in science, management, and other fields, and/or solving or obtaining solutions to problems in various fields by mathematical techniques.

*Statisticians* — are all persons, other than those reported as mathematicians, who meet the general requirements for "Mathematical scientists" and who are primarily engaged in the recurrent application of statistical techniques. For purposes of this survey, statistical techniques include the design of surveys or experiments as well as the collection, organization, interpretation, or analysis of numerical data. *Exclude* statisticians who are engaged solely in the development of mathematical theory associated with the general application of statistical techniques — these persons should be reported as mathematicians. Also, *exclude* persons engaged in

quality control, time or motion study applications, inventory control, computer programming, testing, etc., who utilize statistical techniques merely as an occasional tool in connection with the performance of other primary duties.

#### Computer scientists

Count as computer scientists all persons working as computer systems analysts, systems engineers, computer specialists, data processing systems project planners, software specialists, etc. *Include* computer programmers only if their positions meet the foregoing requirements. Other computer programmers should be included in the category "other technicians" (See definition for draftsmen and technicians).

#### C. Draftsmen and technicians

Count in this occupational grouping all persons actually engaged in technical work at a level which requires knowledge of engineering, mathematical, and physical or life sciences, comparable to that acquired either through study at technical institutes, junior colleges, or through equivalent on-the-job training or experience. Some typical job titles are draftsmen, surveyor, laboratory assistant, physical science aide, and electronic technician. All persons in positions which require the indicated level of knowledge should be counted, regardless of job title or department in which employed. Computer programmers who meet the above definition of technicians and surveyors should be reported as "other technicians". *Exclude* those persons whose positions require knowledge or training consistent with the foregoing definitions of engineers, mathematicians, or scientists, and report them in the appropriate occupational category on the questionnaire. Also, *exclude* all craftsmen such as machinists, electricians, and specialized personnel such as airline pilots, navigators, flight engineers, and ships' officers. Separate definitions of electrical and electronic technicians; other engineering and physical science technicians; and biological, agricultural, and medical technicians follow.

*Electrical and Electronic technicians* — are all persons with a background in electrical or electronic theory, physical science, and



mathematics which enables them to perform jobs above the routine operating or maintenance levels. Normally, such employees are engaged in construction, repairing, testing, installing, modifying, operating, or even designing a variety of production or experimental types of complex electrical or electronic equipment.

**Biological, agricultural, and medical technicians** — are all persons with a background in agricultural, biological, or other life science which enables them to perform jobs above the routine level in both laboratory and operations activities. *Exclude* medical and dental technicians who spend the greatest portion of their time providing care or services to patients.

**Other engineering and science technicians** — are all persons who assist engineers and scientists in both laboratory and production types of activities. Normally, these technicians work under the direct supervision of an engineer or scientist and assist him in those functions usually described as routine at the professional level. Where possible, count these personnel as chemical, mechanical, industrial, or mathematical technicians.

### 3. RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT FUNCTIONS

*Include* in this function those individuals who spend the greatest proportion of their time performing, managing, or administering basic and applied research and in the design and development of prototypes, processes, studies, surveys, and related activities. If the primary objective of an activity is to make further improvements on the products, processes, or studies, then the work is research-development. If, on the other hand, the product or process is substantially operational and the primary objective is to develop markets, do preproduction planning, or get the production process going smoothly, then the work is no longer research-development. For purposes of this survey, research and development includes the activities described below whether assigned to separate research and development organizational units of the establishment, or carried on by laboratories and technical groups not part of a separate research and development unit per se.

- (a) *Pursuit of planned research for new knowledge, whether or not the research has reference to a specific application.*

- (b) *Application of existing knowledge to problems involved in the creation of a new product, process, study, or survey, including work required to evaluate possible uses.*
- (c) *Application of existing knowledge to problems involved in the improvement of a present product, process, survey, or study.*

**Development activities include** — the design and operation of pilot plants or semi-works plants so long as the principal purposes are to obtain experience and to compile engineering and other data to be used in evaluating hypotheses, writing product formulas, or establishing finished product specifications; engineering activity required to advance the design of a product or a process to the point where it meets specific functional and economic requirements and can be turned over to manufacturing units; and design, construction, and testing of preproduction prototypes and models and "engineering follow-through" in the early production phase.

The development of designs for special manufacturing equipment and tools is included but tool making and tool tryout are not included. The preparation of reports, drawings, formulas, specifications, standard practice instructions or operating manuals, and other media for transmitting to operating units information obtained from the above activities is included. However, the production of detailed construction drawings or manufacturing blueprints is not included. The question, "When does development end and production begin?" is often asked. If the primary objective is to make further improvements on the product or process, then the work comes within the definition of research and development. If, on the other hand, the product or process is substantially "set", and the primary objective is to develop markets or to do preproduction planning, or to get the production process going smoothly, then the work is no longer research-development. For purposes of this survey, research and development includes the activities described below whether assigned to separate research and development organizational units of the establishment, or carried on by laboratories and technical groups not part of a separate research and development unit per se.

**Research and development excludes** — routine collection of information or statistics (i.e., performing market surveys to determine product acceptance); collating amount of overtime worked in different organizational units; market development (including the sale of either old or new products to obtain acceptance of them in new outlets); quality and quantity control tests and analyses; trouble shooting in connection with breakdowns in full scale production; technical plant sanitation control; work required for minor adaptations of a specific product to meet the requirements of a specific customer; engineering and other technical service furnished in accordance with agreement to licensees outside the company, and furnished by the research and development organization to manufacturing divisions to enable them to operate in accordance with previously determined formulas, practice instructions, or finished product specifications; aid furnished to develop advertising programs to promote or demonstrate new products or processes including the development of material furnished for trial or demonstration; experimental work performed at the request of the patent division to provide information needed during the prosecution of a patent litigation, and technical writing.

Contract construction is construction under contract for others. If your establishment's principal line of business is contract construction most personnel should be counted under the activity heading "Operations and Maintenance." In any case, construction performed by your personnel on your own behalf should be counted as Force Construction.

### 6. CAPACITY

Capacity or capacity output is the maximum physical output that can be produced during a given time period with existing plant and equipment.

### 4. OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

*Include* the day-to-day functions of this establishment. In general, this category should include all personnel not counted in Research and Development or Force Construction.

### 5. CONSTRUCTION

Force construction is construction undertaken by the establishment on its own behalf and does not include construction performed under contract for others. *Include* plant additions or renovations performed by your personnel. *Exclude* plant additions or renovations contracted out, but include your personnel assigned to contract supervision.

















UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA  
510.84IL63C C001  
CAC DOCUMENT\$URBANA  
248-249 1977-78



3 0112 007264085