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## PREFACE

The History of Greek Sculpture, 600-323 b. C., forms one of the subjects of examination in the classical school at Oxford. The only collection of ancient authorities on this subject available for study is that of Overbeck (Die antiken Schriftquellen zur Geschichte der bildenden Künste bei den Griechen, Leipzig, 1868). Since this work aims at completeness, it contains some thousands of passages which are not necessary for such study of Greek sculpture as is required of University students, while, on the other hand, it provides neither translation nor commentary. I have, therefore, at the request of Professor Gardner, selected such passages as appeared from their intrinsic interest or difficulty to require special study by those offering the subject for examination, adding some few to which attention has been called since the publication of Overbeck's work. As a rule, the inscriptions of artists (which may be read in Löwy's Inschriften griechischer Bildhauer) have not been included, except in a few cases where the matter
or form of the inscription seemed to make this desirable; to those which are merely signatures reference is made in the discussions of date which follow each heading where necessary. In order to save space many passages have been omitted in which the text presents no difficulty of translation and has no descriptive interest: a list of the works mentioned in such passages is appended to the account of each sculptor.

Since this book is not intended to fill the place of systematic histories of sculpture, such as those of Overbeck and Collignon, notes are not given where a reference to those works can be supplied, and references to periodical and current literature are in general not given except where the book or article quoted has appeared within the last two years, or where it seems worthy of consultation in addition to the text-books. It has not been thought necessary to devote much space to questions of textual criticism ; the passages are quoted from the standard texts of each author with but few divergences.

The author desires to express his sincere thanks to Professor Gardner for his constant help and encouragement, and for the thorough revision to which the proof-sheets were submitted by him as they issued from the press.
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## INTRODUCTION

## § 1. HISTORICAL SKETCH.

The earliest works of Greek literature dealing with the subject of Sculpture were the practical treatises of artists whose aim was to lay down a canon of proportions applicable to the human figure. Of these the first was the 'Canon' of Polykleitos (mentioned by Galen, No. 163), which dates from the latter half of the fifth century, and took the form of a commentary on the 'doryphoros' of the same master. If we may judge by the only quotation preserved (v. No. 163 note), it attempted a mathematical demonstration of the proportions which produce beauty in the human frame. Polykleitos had many followers in the branch of literature which he founded ${ }^{1}$, amongst whom we may select for remark Euphranor (No. 230), and Menaichmos, an artist briefly referred to by Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 80 in the words 'Menaechmi uitulus genu premitur replicata ceruice ; ipse Menaechmus scripsit de sua arte.' His date cannot be fixed with certainty, but he may probably be assigned to the fourth century B.C.

The history and criticism of sculpture became objects of a new interest in the days of the early Peripatetics and their many-sided literary activity. Aristotle himself
${ }^{1}$ Vitruu. VII. Praef. 14, gives a list of writers who 'praecepta symmetriarum conscripserunt.'
is the author of some interesting criticisms of painting ${ }^{1}$, and in Eth. vi. $1141 a$, IO, mentions Pheidias and Polykleitos as the masters of their respective crafts-sculpture in marble in the first case, bronze-casting in the second. His successors in the Peripatetic school seem to have collected biographical material for the history of sculpture. Quasi-genealogical tables showing the succession in schools of philosophy were drawn up, and it would seem that artistic pedigrees were traced in the same manner. It is probable that DURIS of Samos, a pupil of Theophrastos, was among the first to take up these studies; we find him quoted by Pliny as the authority for an anecdote told of Lysippos. The collection of anecdotes and à àoфө́́ $\gamma \mu a \tau a$ was a favourite occupation with the Peripatetics; it has left marked traces in the conventional history of Painting as seen in Pliny's thirtyfifth book. No doubt, too, the numerous writers $\pi \in \rho \grave{ }$ $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$ to whom this period of learned activity gave birth, contributed somewhat to the history of Art.

The most important works, however, for our purpose were still those of men who were themselves sculptors. Xenokrates, a member of the school of Lysippos (v. Part IV, § 2 ad fin.), is mentioned by Pliny as an authority both on sculpture and painting, and may with much probability be identified with the artist of the same name known to us from inscriptions found at Oropos and Elateia (Löwy I 35 abc). If this be correct, he was an Athenian by birth, the son of Ergophilos; his 'floruit' must be placed about the middle of the third century B.C. Pliny couples with his name that of Antigonos, one of the sculptors employed by Attalos I of Pergamon on the memorials of his victories over the

[^0]Gauls (No. 261). From a notice relating to the Nemesis of Agorakritos at Rhamnus (No. 137 note) we learn that he was a native of Karystos ; and Wilamowitz therefore identifies him with Antigonos of Karystos, the author of lives of the philosophers and of a $\pi a \rho a \delta o ́ \xi \omega \nu ~ \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}$. We may with much probability attribute to one or other of these writers the series of criticisms tabulated in § 2, which clearly proceed from an admirer of Lysippos, and take no account of early sculpture. Beside criticism of style, however, these writers certainly gave a statistical account of the works of the great artists; they wrote of painting as well as of sculpture, and Diogenes Laertios (vii. I88) speaks of a picture whose existence is unknown to Xenokrates and even to Antigonos.

The work of Antigonos called forth a reply from the pen of Polemon of Ilion, a widely-travelled man, who wrote numerous guide-books to the places which he visited. He flourished in the reign of Ptolemy Epiphanes (204-181 B.C.), and is probably to be identified with the person of the same name and origin who obtained $\pi \rho o \xi \in \nu i a$ from the Delphians in 176 B. C. (Dittenberger, Syll. 198). The title of one of his works is given as rà $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \delta a i ̂ o \nu ~ к a i ' A \nu \tau i ́ y o v o v, ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ n a m e d ~ a u t h o r ~ b e i n g ~$ a Mitylenaean by birth, who wrote $\pi \in \rho \grave{\imath}$ aya $\lambda \mu a \tau 0 \pi o \omega \omega \nu$. We seem to hear an echo of the controversy in the passage of Zenobius (O.S.836) referred to above, where the statement of Antigonos as to the inscription on the Nemesis of Rhamnus is met by a counter argument introduced by the words ov $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \delta \epsilon^{1}$. To each of the great artistic centres of Greece-Olympia ${ }^{2}$, Delphi,

[^1]the Athenian Akropolis-Polemon devoted a special work. He busied himself with the collection of inscriptions bearing on the subjects of his study, and hence earned the sobriquet of ó oт $\boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$ око́тas. Other тєрıท $\eta$ тaí were Heliodoros of Athens, whose work de Atheniensium anathematis is mentioned by Pliny, and Hegesandros of Delphi, from whom the notice preserved in No. 3 I is quoted by Athenaios. Alketas also wrote an account of the offerings at Delphi (v. No. 196 note).

The next phenomenon of importance in the history of art-criticism is that of the comparative method employed by the literary critics. It would seem that especially at Pergamon, where the royal house accumulated arttreasures of all periods-it became the fashion to draw up chronological tables of the great authors, to each of whom a brief criticism—often a catchword-was assigned; and we find unmistakable traces of an arrangement of sculptors and painters in parallel series ${ }^{1}$. Robert has endeavoured to show that the Canon of ten sculptors given by Quintilian (§4) was drawn up at Pergamon as the counterpart of the famous Canon of the Ten Orators, but it seems clear that that Canon is itself of later origin than was formerly supposed ${ }^{2}$, and that we are only justified in attributing to the Pergamenes the formation of a list or Canon of sculptors of indefinite number arranged chronologically, with a fixed scale of appreciations. The great importance of their work lies in the fact rightly pointed out by Robert, that they put an end to

[^2]the exclusive primacy of Lysippos, and brought earlier sculpture and with it Pheidias in to the place of honour which they merited. To this school of critics belong Cicero (106-43 b.c.), Dionvsios of Halikarnassos (temp. Augustus), and above all Quintilian (35y5 A.D.).

The last century before Christ produced one more book written by an artist which was of importance. This was the work in five volumes by Pasiteles dealing with 'nobilia' or 'mirabilia opera in toto orbe,' as the title is given by Pliny. The author was a Greek sculptor born in Magna Graecia, who became a Roman citizen in 87 B.C., and is twice spoken of by Pliny as a contemporary of Pompey the Great (r06-48 B.C.).

With Pasiteles closes the series of professional writers on art ; henceforward we have to deal with the encyclopaedic writers of the Roman period, who draw their information from the copious stores of Greek learning. The first of these is Varro ( $116-27$ B.C.), quoted by Pliny as a cardinal authority, in the sphere of whose allembracing activity art was naturally included, although we have no direct testimony to the existence of a special 'History of Art' amongst his works. No doubt biographies of the great sculptors found a place in the gallery of 'Imagines' which he formed.

We may pass rapidly over the Augustan period, briefly mentioning the geographical work of Strabo and the treatise of Vitruvius on architecture, both of which furnish information relating to our subject, and, after noticing the work of C. Licinius Mucianus 'ter consul' (for the last time in $72 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{D}$. ), who was relegated by Nero to an honourable banishment as proconsul of Asia, and wrote a popular account of his province and
its sights which seems to have been tinged by a taste for the marvellous, proceed at once to deal with the elder Pliny (23-79 A.D.), our capital authority for the history of sculpture and sculptors. It is characteristic of his great work ${ }^{1}$, the Natural History in thirty-seven books, published in 77 A. D. and dedicated to Titus, the Imperator and co-regent, that sculpture and painting find a place as branches of mineralogy-since the last five books treat of metals, minerals, rocks and precious stones, with their uses in medicine, daily life, and art.

The sections important for our purpose are the following :-
(1) xxxiv. ${ }^{1} 5-48$. On the art of bronze-casting, portrait statues, famous colossi, \&c.
(2) xxxiv. 49-93. A history of bronze-casters. Pliny opens with a chronological table of the masters of the art (v. infr. §4), followed by special notices of Pheidias, Polykleitos, Myron, Pythagoras. Lysippos and his school, -with a series of criticisms collected infr. §2-to which are appended short notes on Telephanes, Praxiteles, and Kalamis. This takes us to §71, after which we have an alphabetical list of artists and their works extending from $\S \S 72-83$, followed by notes on the Pergamene artists and Boethos (\$84). Pliny then gives three short alphabetical lists, comprising
(a) Aequalitate celebrati artifices sed nullis operum suorum praecipui ( $\$ 85$ ).
(b) Qui eiusdem generis opera fecerunt ( $\S \S 86-90$ ). Amongst the subjects enumerated the term 'philosophi' frequently appears ; this seems to refer not to statues of famous philosophers, but to portraits of civilians in the garb of daily life.

[^3](c) (Qui fecerunt) athletas et armatos et uenatores sacrificantesque ( $\$ 9$ I).
Miscellaneous notes ( $\$ \S 92,93$ ) complete the account of bronze-casting.
(3) $x x x i v .140,141$. On the use of iron in sculpture.
(4) xxxvi. 9-43. On sculpture in marble. After a historical section, beginning with the earliest sculptors, and dealing chiefly with Pheidias and his pupils, Praxiteles, Skopas, and their contemporaries ( $\$ \S 9-31$ ) and some miscellaneous notes ( $\S 3^{2}$ ), Pliny enumerates briefly some of the most famous works of sculpture preserved at Rome, notably in the 'monumenta' of Asinius Pollio, the 'porticus Octauiae,' the 'horti Seruiliani,' and the Palace of the Caesars on the Palatine ( $\S \S 33-38$ ). A group of miscellaneous notes ( $\$ \S 39-43$ ) brings the section to a close.

The question as to the sources whence Pliny drew his information is a difficult one to answer. He tells us in his Preface (§ 17) that the Natural History embodies the results of a reading which extended to 2000 volumes, and that 100 'exquisiti auctores' were employed in its composition. The Preface is followed by a series of Indices, giving for each book a table of contents and a list of 'auctores,' in which Latin authors are first enumerated, then Greek. Two facts seem to be clearly established by the study which Brunn and others have devoted to these Indices:-
(i.) The Roman authors are mentioned in the order in which they were used.
(ii.) The Greek authors are often grouped according to their subjects ; in such cases only one was (generally speaking) directly or at least constantly used by Pliny, who places his name either first or last on the list.

The second principle has an important application in the present case. In the Index to Book XXXIV we find a list of Greek authorities on sculpture ${ }^{1}$ terminating with the name of Pasiteles-the others are Menaichmos, Xenokratec, Antigonos, Duris, and Heliodoros-while in those of Books XXXIII and XXXV the name of Pasiteles heads the list of Greek writers on art and in the Index to Book XXXVI the same author figures early in the list and seems to be the only source of information on sculpture. We are therefore entitled to assume that Pasiteles was the chief authority-the 'exquisitus auctor'-among the Greeks consulted by Pliny in these sections. But it does not follow that he did not also consult the other authors above-named; Duris is quoted by name in No. 24I, and the criticisms which seem to proceed from Xenokrates or Antigonos may be immediately derived from those authors. Among the Roman authors the name of Varro, which appears in the Indices of Books XXXIII-XXXVII, may clearly be recognized as that of the chief authority on art. Indeed, Mucianus is the only other writer named in the Indices who can have contributed much information on the subject of sculpture. But there can be no doubt that a large element in the sections under discussion consists of information drawn from miscellaneous sources and from Pliny's own observation. This is especially true of the notices of works preserved at Rome, with regard to which Pliny notices any changes in the place of exhibition made by the Emperors down to Vespasian. There is no adequate ground for the supposition that catalogues of the principal collections in Rome were

[^4]made by Vespasian's order and were among the authorities used by Pliny.

The younger Pliny has left us an amusing account of his father's studious habits ( $E p$. iii. 5). From sunrise to sunset he amassed notes and filled commonplace books -' nihil enim legit quod non excerperet.' Even in his bath 'audiebat aliquid aut dictabat'; and on his journeys he was constantly accompanied by a shorthand writer. The question has been debated whether in the sections on sculpture we have a collection of such scattered notes as the younger Pliny describes, arranged as far as possible under heads-or whether Pliny copies as far as possible from a single source with occasional insertions. The latter view is maintained by Oehmichen, who tries to show from the construction of the alphabetical lists and other signs that Pliny copied from an alphabetic dictionary of artists, written by Pasiteles and translated by Varro, making numerous additions referring to his own times. But this is more than doubtful, since Pliny himself tells us that the title of Pasiteles' work was 'quinque uolumina nobilium operum in toto orbe,' which cannot have been a dictionary of artists, nor is the rule that the order $\mathrm{KX}, П Ф, \Theta \mathrm{~T}$ is preserved in the Latin lists without exceptions. Analogies to both the methods of composition mentioned above may be drawn from other parts of Pliny's work, and it is probably safer to assume that the chronological table and alphabetical lists are both the handiwork of Pliny, while the notices of individual artists are to be referred to his miscellaneous sources, of whom Varro and Pasiteles are no doubt the chief. On the criticisms of the great bronze-casters see $\S 2$.

Among the Greek writers of the following generation
the names of Plutarch (circ. 46-120 A.D.) and his somewhat younger contemporary, the rhetorician DION Chrysostomus of Prusa, deserve mention, since both display an interest in art and furnish information of value, although the accuracy of the last-named is doubtful.

The second century A.D. supplies one authority of capital importance. This is PAUSANIAS, a native of
 books, of which the fifth at least was completed in 173 A.D. The honesty of Pausanias is a matter of hot dispute, and his detractors seek to prove that, although he speaks as an eye-witness, his work is in fact a compilation from earlier sources, amongst which the work of Polemon (v. supr.) is supposed to hold the chief place as an authority on works of art. This view is not, however, confirmed by a comparison of the fragments of Polemon with the work of Pausanias, and the tendency of recent criticism ${ }^{1}$ has been to absolve Pausanias from the charge of dishonesty, and to regard his account of his travels as generally credible, though not to exclude the use of literary sources in the work of composition. It is specially noticeable that the objects of interest which he describes belong either to the period previous to $150 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. or to his own time. Whatever conclusions may be drawn from this fact, there can be no question as to the value of Pausanias' descriptions so far as they go, although the affectations and archaisms of the language in which they are clothed render them unattractive. The style and tone of Herodotos are imitated throughout. Pausanias is the latest author who deals professedly with

[^5]art-criticism, but much valuable information is to be gleaned from the littírateurs and compilers of his generation and that which succeeded it. Lucian (born 125 A.D.) was keenly interested in sculpture of all periods, and has left us some just and striking criticisms, of which No. 67 is a good specimen. Two of his numerous writings may be singled out for mention. The first is the Eikóves, in which an ideal beauty ('Panthea') is constructed by a synthetic process, four masterpieces of sculpture and a like number of pictures being called into requisition. The first-named are-the Knidian Aphrodite of Praxiteles, the Aphrodite ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathrm{K} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi o \iota s$ of Alkamenes, the 'Sosandra' of Kalamis, and the Lemnian Athene of Pheidias, and the special points of beauty in each are noted. In the $\Phi_{\llcorner\lambda} \circ \psi \epsilon v \delta \delta^{\eta} s$, a satire on the appetite for the marvellous, the scenery of the ghost-story is laid in a house filled with works by the great masters-the diskobolos of Myron, the Harmodios and Aristogeiton of Kritios and Nesiotes, the diadumenos of Polykleitos, and a realistic portrait by Demetrios.

Before we leave the writers of the Second Sophistic, we must mention among other sources Athenaios, whose $\Delta \epsilon i \pi v o \sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ 's seems to have been published later than the death of Commodus (192A.D.); DIOGENES LaERTIUS, whose lives of the philosophers contain biographical details of some importance; and KalliSTRATOS, who took up a branch of literature of which the two Philostrati were the masters, the application of rhetoric to the description of works of art ; whether real or imaginary, may be and has been disputed. Reference is made to his descriptions of statues on pp. 161, 172.

To the period of the Second Sophistic belong also the writings of those among the early fathers of the Christian

Church, who for apologetic or controversial purposes touch on the subject of Greek art. Their statements must, however, be received with caution, as neither Tatian, who devotes a section of some length in his treatise 'contra Graecos' to the enumeration of criminal or disreputable characters to whom statues had been raised; nor Athenagoras, who in his 'Libellus pro Christianis' deals with the principal 'idols' and their makers, were critical as to their sources of information. Nor is the testimony of Clement of Alexandria above suspicion.

The classical literature of Greece expired with the ancient religion, and among the last writers of declining Paganism we may briefly mention the rhetoricians Libanios and Himerios, who occasionally notice works of art. Meanwhile lexicographers were storing the mutilated remains of ancient learning, derived ultimately from the Alexandrine cities, and recast by such commentators as Didymos in the Augustan age and Symmachos somewhat later. Some fragments of these compilations have reached us in the annotated texts of the poets, and notably in the Scholia Vetera on Aristophanes.

In the voluminous literature of Byzantium only one name need detain us-that of Niketas Akominatos of Chonai in Phrygia, who seems to have been genuinely interested in the art-treasures removed from Greece to Constantinople. Both in his historical writings and in his special treatise 'On the Statues at Constantinople,' he has left us descriptions turgid in style and possibly not too accurate, but yet of distinct value. He lived circ. $1150-1210$ A.D. His somewhat older contemporary, John TZETZES, was a thoroughly uncritical and
inaccurate writer, whose interest is merely in anecdote; no passage from his writings is included in this selection.

No mention has been made in this summary of the Anthology as a source of information on sculpture. Among the epigrams of all periods which find a place in it many have reference to works of art, but few of these are of any value, since the greater number are not descriptive but purely 'epideictic' in character. Two epigrammatists alone deserve to be named, and both belong to the Hellenistic period. These are POSEIDIPPOS (not to be identified with the comedian), whose 'floruit' may be placed circ. 250 B.C., and Antipater of Sidon, of whom Cicero (De Or. iii. 194) speaks as recently deceased in $91 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.

## § 2. THE CRITICISMS OF THE GREAT BRONZE-CASTERS.

Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 54 sqq. (Phidias) primus artem toreuticen aperuisse atque demonstrasse merito iudicatur. (Polyclitus) consummasse hanc scientiam iudicatur et toreuticen sic erudisse ut Phidias aperuisse ; proprium eius est uno crure ut insistere ut signa excogitasse, quadrata tamen esse ea ait Uarro et paene ad exemplum. (MYRON) primus multiplicasse ueritatem uidetur, numerosior in arte quam Polyclitus et in symmetria diligentior ; et ipse tamen corporum tenus curiosus animi sensus non expressisse, capillum quoque et pubem non emendatius fecisse quam rudis antiquitas instituisset. (Pythagoras) primus neruos et uenas expressit capillumque diligentius. (Lysippus) statuariae arti plurimum traditur contulisse capillum exprimendo, capita minora faciendo quam antiqui, corpora graciliora sic-
cioraque, per quae proceritas signorum maior uideretur. Non habet Latinum nomen symmetria quam diligentissime custodiuit noua intactaque ratione quadratas ueterum staturas permutando.



The above criticisms, abstracted from Pliny's account of the great bronze-casters, and from Diogenes Laertius, unmistakably form a connected series. They correspond to a parallel series of criticisms on the great painters - especially Apollodoros, Zeuxis, Parrhasios, Euphranor, Aristeides, Apelles (v. O. S. 1641, 1647, 1724, 1802, 1779, 1900)-which are couched in the same technical language. Catchwords of criticism such as the use 'hic primus . . ' (borrowed, no doubt, from the literature $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ of the Hellenistic and later periods), and the phrase 'plurimum arti contulit' recur in both series, and the technical and professional character of the criticisms themselves shows them to proceed from an artist or a school. The mention of Varro seems to show that Pliny derived them directly from him ${ }^{1}$. But we must go beyond Varro in the search for their origin. Furtwängler notes that the critic had two main points in view :
(1) $\rho v \theta \mu o ́ s$ and $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{a}$. Both are mentioned in the fragmentary note on Pythagoras preserved only by Diogenes. 'Symmetria' which 'non habet Latinum nomen' is prominent in Pliny, and 'numerosior' seems to be a translation of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \cdot v \theta \mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$.

[^6](2) Naturalism in details, such as sinews, veins, and especially hair.

These are precisely what we should expect from an artist of the school of Lysippos; and accordingly it is not surprising to find that the series leads up to Lysippos as the goal of progress in sculpture. From this standpoint Pheidias was the first to 'reveal' the art (cp. 'artis fores apertas,' xxxv. 61 of the painter Apollodoros); Polykleitos expounded it more fully, but left somewhat to be desired in the proportions of his squarely built figures; Myron is placed above Polykleitos, because there was more variety in his attitudes and therefore in his proportions ; Pythagoras succeeded where Myron had failed, in the treatment of hair and similar details, while Lysippos surpassed his predecessors in all points. A Greek artist, then, subsequent to Lysippos but influenced by his school, must be the author of the criticisms. Robert held that Xenokrates (v. supr.) fulfilled the conditions; but some indications appear to point rather to Antigonos of Karystos. It is to be noted that Pliny and Diogenes Laertius, both of whom preserve portions of the criticism on Pythagoras, also distinguish two artists of the name. Now Diogenes certainly read the work of Antigonos, which he quotes, ii. $1_{5}$ ( $=$ O.S. 435), and ix. 49 ( $=$ O. S. 466 ). Moreover, it may perhaps be inferred from the fact that Pausanias knows only one Pythagoras, that Polemon corrected the error in his polemic against Antigonos. In xxxv. 68 Pliny quotes 'Antigonus et Xenocrates qui de pictura scripsere' for a statement regarding Parrhasios-a form of expression which in such a writer as Pliny might well be the equivalent of 'Antigonos, quoting Xenokrates.' It seems highly probable that the same pair of authors
are to be recognized in the 'artifices qui compositis uoluminibus condidere haec' of No. 180. 'Alii,' in the same passage, may perhaps refer to Polemon.

## § 3. THE CANON OF SCULPTORS.

Quint. xii. 10. 7 Similis in statuariis differentia. Nam duriora et Tuscanicis proxima Callon atque Hegesias, iam minus rigida Calamis, molliora adhuc supra dictis Myron fecit. Diligentia ac decor in Polyclito supra ceteros, cui quamquam a plerisque tribuitur palma, tamen, ne nihil detrahatur, deesse pondus putant. Nam ut humanae formae decorem addiderit supra uerum, ita non expleuisse deorum auctoritatem uidetur. Quin aetatem quoque grauiorem dicitur refugisse nihil ausus ultra leues genas. At quae Polyclito defuerunt, PHiDJaE atque Alcameni dantur. Phidias tamen dis quam hominibus effingendis melior artifex creditur, in ebore uere longe citra aemulum, uel si nihil

The same variety reigns among sculptors. For the works of KALlon and Hegesias are stiff, and closely resemble Etruscan sculptures, those of Kalamis are less rigid, and those of Myron yet more supple. In accurate workmanship and in grace Polykleitos is unsurpassed; although, however, many authorities award him the palm, yetlest he should be accounted perfect-it is thought that he lacks dignity. For while he imparted to the human form a grace beyond nature, he failed, as it seems, to express adequately the majesty of the gods. Moreover it is said that he shrank from the treatment of mature age and attempted nothing save beardless cheeks. But the qualities lacking in Polykleitos
nisi Mineruam Athenis aut Olympium in Elide Iouem fecisset, cuius pulchritudo adiecisse aliquid etiam receptae religioni uidetur: adeo maiestas operis deum aequauit. Ad ueritatem Lysippum ac Praxitelen accessisse optime adfirmant: nam Demetrius tanquam nimius in ea reprehenditur et fuit similitudinis quam pulchritudinis amantior.
are assigned to Pheidias and Alkamenes. PheiDIAS, however, is thought to have displayed higher art in his statues of gods than in those of mortals : in ivory indeed he would be without a rival, had he only made the Athena at Athens or the Olympian Zeus in Elis, whose beauty seems to have added somewhat to the received religion; so adequate to the divine nature is the grandeur of his work. It is asserted that Lysippos and PraxiTELES most successfully aimed at truth to nature, while Demetrios is blamed for excess in this respect ; he attached more value to precise resemblance than to beauty.

Who is there among those who pay attention to these minor arts who does not feel that the statues of KANACHOS are too rigid to be true to nature? Those of Kalamis are stiff, it is true, but more supple than those of Kanachos ; those

Cic. Brut. 18. 70 Quis enim eorum qui haec minora animaduertunt, non intelligit CANACHI signa rigidiora esse quam ut imitentur ueritatem; CALAMIDIS dura illa quidem, sed tamen molliora quam CANACHI; nondum Myronis satis ad
ueritatem adducta, iam tamen quae non dubites pulchra dicere: pulchriora etiam Polycliti et iam plane perfecta, ut mihi quidem uideri solent?
of Myron have not attained complete fidelity to nature, but they may without hesitation be pronounced beautiful: while those of Polykleitos are yet more beautiful and indeed, in my own opinion, quite perfect?

Strab. viii. 372 тà Пodv-
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu, \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \epsilon-$
 ठíov $\lambda \in \iota \pi o ́ \mu \in \nu a$.

The statues of Polykleitos are artistically speaking the most beautiful of all, but in magnificence and sublimity they are surpassed by those of Pheidias.

On the school from which these criticisms proceed v. supr. § I. Although Quintilian selects ten names, which form a parallel series to that of the Ten Orators (he enumerates eleven painters in § 3), we are not to suppose that a classical Canon of Ten Sculptors had been formed. Cicero adds Kanachos, Dionysios of Halikarnassos (No. 87), Kallimachos, Lucian (No. 67), Kritios and Nesiotes, all in passages which betray the influence of the same school of criticism. For the parallel series of painters see Quint. xii. 10. 3. A comparison of the two series will show that the criticisms are of a wholly different order to those tabulated in §2. They do not bear on technical points, but embody a broad appreciation of style, and are often illustrated by a catchword ('pondus' 'decor,' 'diligentia,' 'ueritas,' ' $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \theta_{o s, '}$ in the Canon of Sculptors ; 'cura,' 'ratio,' 'facilitas,' 'gratia,' in that of painters may be mentioned). Pheidias and Polykleitos take the place of Lysippos as the masters of their art,
while even earlier sculptors, who are passed over in silence by the professional critics, obtain due recognition.

## §4. PLINY'S CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE (xxxiv. 49 sqq.).

B.C.

448 Phidias Atheniensis ... floruit . . . Olympiade Lxxxirl, circiter CCC urbis nostrae annum, quo eodem tempore aemuli eius fuere Alcamenes, Critias, Nesiotes, Hegias,
432 et deinde Olympiade lxxxvii Hagelades, Callon, Gorgias Lacon,
rursus lxxxx Polyclitus, Phradmon, Myron, Pythagoras, Scopas, Perellus. Ex his Polyclitus discipulos habuit Argium Asopodorum, Alexim, Aristidem, Phrynonem, Athenodorum, Demean Clitorium, Myron Lycium.
400 Lxxxxv Olympiade floruere Naucydes, Dinomenes, Canachus, Patroclus,
372 cii Polycles, Cephisodotus, Leochares, Hypatodorus,
364 cilii Praxiteles, Euphranor,
352 cvil Aetion, Therimachus.
328 cxili Lysippus fuit, cum et Alexander Magnus, item Lysistratus frater eius, Sthennis, Euphron, Eucles, Sostratus, Ion, Silanion-in hoc mirabile quod nullo doctore nobilis fuit, ipse discipulum habuit ZeUXIaden-
296 cxxi Eutychides, Euthycrates, Laippus, Cephisodotus, Timarchos, Pyromachus.
156 Cessauit deinde ars, ac rursus Olympiade cLvi reuixit, \&c.
The above list is printed as Pliny gives it, although it is not free from mistakes in orthography. Kritios appears as Critias, Patrokles as Patroclus, Daippos as Laippus (owing to a confusion of $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ in the Greek source). The table is set forth by Pliny in fulfilment of a promise made by him in xxxiv. 7. He desires to confute those who speak of bronzes of the best period as 'Corinthia' and proceeds 'Corinthus capta est Olym-
piadis CLVIII anno tertio, nostrae urbis DCVIII, cum ante saecula fictores nobiles esse desissent, quorum isti omnia signa hodie Corinthia appellant. Quapropter ad coarguendos eos ponemus artificum aetates. Nam urbis nostrae annos ex supra dicta comparatione Olympiadum colligere facile erit.' 'Nam' in the last sentence is elliptical, and implies 'I give Olympiads only, for . . .' We may therefore be prepared to find that Pliny's table is his own construction, but also that it is derived ultimately from Greek sources. A parallel series of dates forms the skeleton of Pliny's account of painting in xxxv. 60 sqq.indeed the note '(Ol.) cviI. Aetion Therimachus' appears to have been erroneously transferred from xxxv. 78 where it recurs in the history of painting, to which it properly belongs - and we are justified in inferring that the Greek authority followed by Pliny placed the earliest bronzecasters of importance in Ol 83 , the earliest painters in O1. 90 , since in xxxv. 54 Pliny prefaces the history of painting by the words 'Non constat sibi in hac parte Graecorum diligentia multas post Olympiadas celebrando pictores quam statuarios ac toreutas, primumque Olympiade LXXX, cum et Phidiam ipsum initio pictorem fuisse tradatur,' \&c., while in xxxvi. I5 he says (of sculpture in marble) 'non omittendum hanc artem tanto uetustiorem fuisse quam picturam aut statuariam, quarum utraque cum Phidia coepit octogensima tertia Olympiade' (the words refer to No. 25, q. v.). The words with which Pliny closes the list ('cessauit deinde ars,' \&c.) imply nothing as to period to which his authority for the dates belonged, although they may be held to prove the importance of the works of Antigonos and Xenokrates, which would no doubt carry the history of sculpture down to the point at which Pliny marks its
decline. It is possible that Apollodoros of Pergamon was the chronological authority, but perhaps unlikely that he would have entirely passed over Pergamene art, while he gave the dates of his own contemporaries, many of whom are mentioned under OI. 156. But the list is full of serious errors, and the most rational explanation of its origin appears to be that Pliny excerpted the dates of a few important artists and grouped their 'aemuli' and 'discipuli' with them. Thus we have the fixed date Ol. $83=$ PhEIDIAS-determined perhaps by the 'floruit' of Perikles or the completion of the Olympian Zeus-with whom are grouped on the one hand his teacher Hegias, and the contemporaries of the latter, Kritios and Nesiotes, on the other his pupil and rival, Alkamenes. Again Ol. $87=$ Ageladas-a date fixed by the erroneous impression as to the plague commemorated by No. 43. Kallon follows him as his contemporary. The next date, Ol. yO, is clearly that of Polykleitos, fixed by the burning of the Heraion Ol. 89. 2, with whom were grouped amongst others Myron and Pythagoras, because they followed him in the series of criticisms discussed in § 2 , and no independent date could be found for them. We cannot in all cases trace the origin of the dates and combinations, but Pliny himself tells us that that of Lysippos was fixed by the 'floruit' of Alexander, and the equation Ol. $12 \mathrm{I}=$ Eutychides is doubtless based on the foundation of Antioch (Ol. 120). (Cp. No. 254.) It is therefore safer to regard one date only in each group as due to Pliny's source, while the rest must be received with caution as the result (in most cases) of his own uncritical combinations.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

C. I. A. $=$ Corpus Inscriptionum Atticarum (Berlin, 1873-).
I. G. S. = Inscriptiones Graeciae Septentrionalis (Berlin, 1892-).

Löwy $=$ Löwy, Inschriften griechischer Bildhauer (Leipzig, 1885).
Dittenberger, Syll. = Dittenberger, Sylloge Inscriptionum Graecarum (Leipzig, 1883).

Brunn, $K . G_{.}{ }^{2}=$ Brunn, Geschichte der griechischen Künstler (ed. ii, Stuttgart, 1889).

Brunn-Bruckmann $=$ Brunn-Bruckmann, Denkmäler griechischer und römischer Sculptur (Munich, 1888-).

Coll. $=$ Collignon, Histoire de la Sculpture Grecque (vol. i, Paris, 1892).
F. W. = Friedrichs-Wolters, Bausteine zur Geschichte der griechischen Plastik (Berlin, 1885).

Furtw., Meisterwerke $=$ Furtwängler, Meisterwerke der griechischen Plastik (Berlin, 1894).

Num. Comm. = Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, A Numismatic Commentary on Pausanias (London, 1887).
Gerhard, $A . V .=$ Gerhard, Auserlesene griechische Vasenbilder (Berlin, 1840-1858).

Helbig, Führer = Helbig, Führer durch die öffentlichen Sammlungen klassischer Alterthümer Roms (Leipzig, 1891).
$O v .^{4}=$ Overbeck, Geschichte der griechischen Plastik (ed. iv, vol. i, Leipzig, 1892 ; vol. ii, Leipzig, 1893).

Overbeck, Kunstmyth. $=$ Overbeck, Griechische Kunstmythologie (Leipzig, 1871-).
O.S. $=$ Overbeck, Schriftquellen zur Geschichte der bildenden Künste bei den Griechen (Leipzig, 1868).
J.H.S. = Journal of Hellenic Studies (London, 1880-).

Class. Rev. = Classical Review (London, 1887-).
M. d. I. $=$ Monumenti inediti pubblicati dall' Instituto di Corrispondenza Archeologica (Rome, 1829-1885).
A.d. I. = Annali dell' Instituto di Corrispondenza Archeologica (Rome, 1829-1885).

Jahrb. = Jahrbuch des kaiserlich deutschen archäologischen Instituts (Berlin, 1886-).
Ath. Mitth. $=$ Mittheilungen des deutschen archäologischen Instituts in Athen (Athens, 1876-).

Röm. Mitth. $=$ Mittheilungen des kaiserlich deutschen archäologischen Instituts, römische Abtheilung (Rome, I886-).

Antike Denkmäler $=$ Antike Denkmäler, herausgegeben vom kaiserlich deutschen archäologischen Institut (Berlin, 1887-).
A.Z. = Archäologische Zeitung (Berlin, 1843-1885).

Rev. Arch. $=$ Revue Archéologique (Paris, 1860-).
Gaz. Arch. $=$ Gazette Archéologique (Paris, 1875 -).



## PART I

## THE BEGINNINGS OF GREEK SCULPTURE

Nos. 1-41.

## § ı. THE DAIDALIDAI.



The above scheme is presupposed by a group of notices in Pausanias relating to the several artists (v. infr. Nos. I-21). It was in all probability framed in order to claim the honour of the cradle of sculpture for Athens, as represented by Daidalos, a mythical figure of Attic legend. He was the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\omega} \nu v \mu n$ of the deme $\Delta a \iota \delta a-$ גiôal, and the $\gamma^{\prime}$ vos of the same name (to which Sokrates belonged), and was inserted in the royal pedigree as grandson of Erechtheus. He is also connected by legend with Crete and Sicily, but it is unlikely that there was an historical Cretan artist of the name, as Kuhnert supposes.

## 1. DAIDALOS.

1. Diod. iv. $76 \Delta a i 80 \lambda o s$

 $\mu$ evos' ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ रà $\rho$ viòs Mitionos
 ... катà ठ̀ т̀ेv тஸ̂v àa入$\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ката⿱ккєท̀̀ тобоиิто
 $\nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \in \nu$, $ั \sigma \tau \epsilon$ тоѝs $\mu \in \tau a \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma-\quad$ in after times the fable was

 à $ү а \lambda \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ о̊ ооtóтата тоі̂s
 $\tau \epsilon$ үà $\rho$ av̉tà кal $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \pi a \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, ка仓 каӨó入оv тךрєîv тो̀v тоv̂
 ठокєîv єivaı тò катабкєvaбө૯̀v


 Хєîpas ठ̀เatєтацévas $\pi$ оьิิע,
 à $\nu \theta \rho \omega$ ítots' oi $\gamma$ à $\pi \rho$ ò тov́тov тєХขitтає катєбкєv́a̧ov та̀ à $\gamma a ́ \lambda \mu a \tau a$ тоîs $\mu$ è $\nu$ ö $\mu \mu a \sigma \iota$
 каөєıиє́vas каì таîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho a i ̂ s$ $\kappa \in \kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta \mu$ е́vas.
told of him that the statues which he made were like living beings ; for they saw and walked, and, in a word, exercised every bodily function, so that his handiwork seemed to be a living being. And being the first to give them open eyes, and parted legs, and outstretched arms, he justly won the admiration of men : for before his time artists made statues with closed eyes and hands hanging down and cleaving to their sides.

The foregoing account of Daidalos is repeated with slight variations by many ancient authors. The name seems to cover the transition from the primitive Giavov, with limbs imperfectly, if at all, indicated, to the type seen in the so-called early 'Apollo' figures.
2. Paus. ix. 40. $3 \Delta a t-$
 тav̂tá ėสтtv ėv Botwtla,




 $\mathrm{K} \nu \omega \sigma$ ใots. тара̀ тои́тоเร ס̀è каi

Of the works of Daidalos two are in Boeotia, namely Herakles at Thebes and Trophonios at Lebadeia, and there are also two statues of wood in Crete, Britomartis at Olus and Athena at Knossos. The Knossians also possess the



 $\Delta \eta \lambda$ iots 'Aфpooitins $̇ \sigma \tau i v$ ov̉ $\mu$ ќya छ́óavov, $\lambda \in \lambda v \mu a \sigma \mu$ évov









 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'А $A \sigma \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \Delta \eta \lambda \epsilon \varphi$.
dance of Ariadne, which is mentioned by Homer in the Iliad, a relief in white marble. And the Delians have a small wooden image of Aphrodite, which has lost its right hand through lapse of time, and terminates below in a square block instead of feet. I believe that Ariadne received it from Daidalos, and that when she followed Theseus she carried away the image from her home: and the Delians relate that when Theseus was parted from her he dedicated the image of the goddess to the Delian Apollo.

The above list comprises various images of high antiquity, regarded with great reverence from their long association with the cults to which they belonged, and linked by tradition with Daidalos as the earliest of known sculptors. It is possible that the first-named is represented on silver coins of Thebes of the fifth century (B. M. Cat. xii. 1-8, Num. Comm. p. 111). The coin represents Herakles advancing with club and bow ; the artist has, however, translated the figure into the style of his own time. The 'dance of Ariadne' is mentioned in $\mathbf{\Sigma} 590 \mathrm{ff}$ : :-

[^7]There too did the famous halting god fashion a dance, [like unto that which once in broad Knossos Daidalos devised for Ariadne of the lovely locks.]

The last two lines are an interpolation, probably of the sixth century (Kuhnert, Dädalos, pp. 205 ff .). The work was a plastic representation of a dance in honour of the Cretan goddess Ariadne, for which we may compare the votive bronzes found at Olympia (Furtw., Bronzefunde, p. 24 f.; cp. Helbig, Das Homerische Epos, fig. 67). Note that with this exception the works of Daidalos enumerated by Paus. are all góava, i.e. wooden images.
3. Paus. ii. 4.5 đò $\delta$ ह̀ í $\epsilon$ о̀े $\nu$

 каi $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ ío §óavov $\gamma v \mu \nu \grave{\nu}$







At Corinth.
4. Skylax, p. 39, 4 Fabr.
 €้ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \beta \omega \mu$ о̀s $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta े s$





At Soloeis in Sicily.
5. Paus. i. 27. I кєîтa؛
 ... ठí申роs ỏkえao̊ías, $\Delta a เ \delta ̊ a ́ \lambda o v ~$ . . . $\pi$ оí $\mu$ а

The temple of Athena Chalinitis is beside the theatre, and near it is a nude wooden image of Herakles, which they assert to be a work of Daidalos. But the works of Daidalos are stranger still to look upon, although there is a kind of divinity resting even upon them.

On the edge of the promontory stands a magnificent altar of Poseidon. On the altar are carved figures of men, women, lions, and dolphins. It is said to be the work of Daidalos.

At Athens; the temple is the Erechtheion.

6．Plat．Hipp．mai． 282
廿ačv oi àvठेคlavtoтotó，vivv




As the sculptors say that Daidalos，were he now to be born and to make statues such as those by which he won his fame， would be laughed to scorn．

Other plastic works ascribed to Daidalos ：－
Herakles at Pisa（Apollod．ii．6，3）．
Herakles on the borders of Messenia and Arkadia（Paus． viii． 35,2 ）．

Artemis at Monogissa in Karia（Steph．Byz．s．v．）．
Offerings of the Argives in the Heraion（Paus．ix．40．4）．
A figure brought from Omphake to Gela in Sicily（id．ib．）．

## 2．ENDOIOS．

7．Paus．i．26． 4 ＂Evóotos


 Tá入ق 日ávarov $\langle\pi \eta \kappa o \lambda o u ́-$ $\theta \eta \sigma \in \nu$ ès Kрท́ттข тои́тоv


 $\delta$ è＂Evóolos．

Endoios was an Athenian by birth，and a pupil of Daidalos，whom he followed to Crete when he was exiled on account of the murder of Talos；by him is a seated statue of Athena， with an inscription to the effect that Kallias dedicated and Endoios made it．

Although tradition claimed Endoios as a native of Athens，it is probable that he was really an Ionian，since we find him at work at Ephesos and Erythrai，at a time when the stream of influence ran from East to West，and he uses the Ionic alphabet in an inscrip－ tion found on the Akropolis（ $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \tau$ ．＇${ }^{\prime} \rho \rho$ ．1888，f．208）．The statue here mentioned may with some probability be identified with the seated figure of Athena from the Akropolis，published in Lebas－ Reinach，Voyage Archéologique，Pl．II，I and elsewhere（v．op．cit． p．51）．Kallias，the dedicator，was the son of Phainippos，and one of the richest men in Athens．He was a violent opponent of the Peisistratids，and was victorious at Olympia in $\mathrm{Ol}, 57=552 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$ ．
8. Athenag. Libell. pro Christ. 17, p. 19, 8 Schw. то̀




For the image of Artemis at Ephesos was the work of Endoios, a pupil of Daidalos.

Pliny (N.H. xvi. 214) informs us that this statue was commonly held to be of ebony, but that Mucianus, who was proconsul of Asia and published an account of its sights, found it to be of vine-wood.
9. Paus. viii. 46. 4 गฑ̂s



This work stood in the temple of Athena Alea at Tegea, until it was brought by Augustus to Rome and dedicated in his Forum.

The image of Athena Alea, made entirely of ivory, the work of Endoios.
10. Paus. vii. 5. 9 ย̌שтt At Erythrai there is also
 Пo入ıáóos vaòs каіे ă $\gamma a \lambda \mu a \xi u ́-$




 غ̀тєкцаиро́ $\mu \in \theta a$ єivat . . . кая
 каì " $\Omega \rho a เ s$, ai $\pi \rho i \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$
 $\lambda \epsilon ข \kappa \circ$ v̂. a temple of Athena Polias, and a colossal wooden image, seated upon a throne, holding in each hand a spindle, and having a circular crown on its head. This I conjectured to be a work of Endoios from various tokens, notably its resemblance to the Graces and Seasons which stand before the entrance in the open air and are of white marble.

## 3. DIPOINOS AND SKYLLIS.

11. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 9 Marmore sculpendo primi

The first to win fame as sculptors in marble were
omnium inclaruerunt Dipoenus et Scyllis geniti in Creta insula etiamnum Medis imperantibus priusque quam Cyrus in Persis regnare inciperet, hoc est Olympiade circiter L. Hi Sicyonem se contulere, quae diu fuit officinarum omnium talium patria. Deorum simulacra publice locauerunt iis Sicyonii, quae prius quam absoluerentur artifices iniuriam questi abiere in Aetolos. Protinus Sicyonem fames inuasit ac sterilitas moerorque dirus. Remedium petentibus Apollo Pythius respondit, 'si Dipoenus et Scyllis deorum simulacra perfecissent,' quod magnis mercedibus obsequiisque impetratum est. Fuere autem simulacra ea Apollinis Dianae Herculis Mineruae (quod e caelo postea tactum est).

Dipoinos and Skyllis, who were born in Crete while the empire of the Medes still lasted, and before Cyrus became king in Persia, i.e. about the fiftieth Olympiad ( $=5^{80}$ B.C.). They repaired to Sikyon, which long remained the home of all such crafts. The Sikyonians contracted with them for statues of the gods, but before they were completed the artists complained that they were ill used and departed to Aetolia. Immediately Sikyon was attacked by famine, barrenness and dire calamity. When they asked relief, the Pythian Apollo answered that it should come ' when Dipoinos and Skyllis should finish the statues of the gods,' a favour which cost them dearly in rewards and attentions. These statues represented Apollo, Artemis, Herakles, and Athena (which last was afterwards struck by lightning).

The date is only approximate, since Cyrus became king in 560 B.C. The calculation may be based on a fact recorded by the

Armenian historian Moses of Chorene，who states that Ardashir （ $=$ Cyrus）captured from Croesus（in 546 B．C．）three statues of gilt bronze representing Artemis，Herakles，and Apollo，of which the Herakles at least was a work of Dipoinos and Skyllis．The four statues named by Pliny have been supposed to have formed a group representing the capture of the Delphic tripod by Herakles，but were more probably temple－statues．Pliny states that Ambrakia， Argos，and Kleonai were＇full of the works of Dipoinos，＇and that Parian marble（ $\left.\lambda v \chi^{v i} i \boldsymbol{\eta}\right)$ s）was the material employed．

12．Paus．ii．I5．I（At（At Kleonai）there is
 vâs，тò ठ̀ à ă $ү a \lambda \mu a$ ミкúv入ıôos

 ठѐ каl дvvaîка е̇к Гópтvעos
 тঠ̀v $\Delta$ íтоเขоу каі̆ $\Sigma \kappa u ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \nu$ éк тท̂s үvvaıкós of тav́тทs $\gamma \in \nu$ é－ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ ． the image is the work of Skyllis and Dipoinos． Some hold them to have been pupils of Daidalos， while others will have it that Daidalos married a woman of Gortyn，and that Dipoinos and Skyllis were his sons by this wife．
$\mu a 0 \eta \tau u ̀ s{ }^{\delta 1} \mathbf{k}$ єivaul］of $\mu \in ́ \nu$ is understood，as in No． 26.

13．Paus．ii．22． 5 （At Argos）$\Delta$ เoбкоúp $\omega v$ vaós，

 Mváivovs，$\sigma \grave{v} v$ ס̀є́ $\sigma \phi เ \sigma \iota v a i$



 vov каіे тои́то七s，ठ̉入ใүа ठेє каl

（At Argos）is a temple of the Dioskouroi，and statues of themselves and their sons，Anaxis and Mnasi－ nous，as well as the mothers of these，Hilaeira and Phoibe，the work of Di－ poinos and Skyllis，made of ebony．Their horses too are sculptured mainly in ebony，but partly also in ivory．
14. Clem. Al. Protr. iv. 42 इxúdııs каi $\Delta i ́ t o l v o s$ . . . катєєкєvага́тŋу . . . то̀v
 óplàta кal тò тîs Movvixias


Skyllis and Dipoinos made the statue of Herakles at Tiryns, and the wooden image of Artemis Munichia at Sikyon.

## 4. THE SPARTAN SCULPTORS AT OLYMPIA.

(Hegylos, Theokles, Medon, Dorykleidas.)
15. Paus. v. 17. 2 tàs

 นóvıos $\mu$ èv кal ovitos, «atpòs

 $\Delta$ iтоиขоу $\lambda$ tүєтаи.
16. Paus. vi. 19. 8 (The treasury of the Epidamnians) éXet $\mu \in ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda o v$ àve $\chi^{0}-$






 $\pi a เ$ oे́ $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ тà èì rov̂ $\pi$ óxov гра́ццата.
17. Paus. vi. 19. 12 M $\epsilon-$



The Hesperids (in the Heraion at Olympia), five in number, were made by Theokles, also a Spartan, the son of Hegylos: he too is said to have been a pupil of Dipoinos and Skyllis.
(The treasury of the Epidamnians) contains the heavenly sphere supported by Atlas, and also Herakles and the apple-tree of the Hesperids, with the serpent coiled about it. These too are of cedar-wood, the work of Theokles, the son of Hegylos, who is stated by the inscription on the sphere to have assisted his son in the making.

The Megarians who live on the border of Attica built themselves a treasury,

каi à $\nu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a \quad$ à $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ 's and dedicated offerings


 Zєv̀s òè ̇̇vtav̂日a кal ì $\Delta \eta$ táveıpa каі̆ 'AXe入@̣os каі̀

 каl̆ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a ̂ s$ ă $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$, äтє ov̉ซa
 $\pi а \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{a} s{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ell \hat{0} a s$ àvdкєเтat





 Méómv av̉тoîs $\Delta เ \pi о$ ivov каĭ

wood inlaid with gold, representing the fight of Herakles against Acheloos. There is Zeusand Deianeira and Acheloos and Herakles, and Ares assisting Acheloos. There was also at one time a statue of Athena as the ally of Herakles; but it now stands beside the Hesperids in the temple of Hera. On the pediment of the treasury is wrought the battle of the gods and giants. The Megarians would seem to have possessed these offerings from great antiquity, since they were made for them by Medon, the Spartan, a pupil of Dipoinos and Skyllis.

The language of Pausanias is not explicit as to the inclusion of the pediment-sculptures among the works of Medon. Fragments of them were discovered at Olympia (see F.W. 294, 5).

Méठov aưroîs] MSS. Dóvras. The name seems an impossible one, and should no doubt be corrected in accordance with the next No. Brunn makes the contrary change, reading $\mu \notin \nu \Delta o ́ v r a ~ f o r ~ M e ́ o ́ o \nu-~$ tos in No. 18.
18. Paus. v. 17.1 Tท̂S



In the temple of Hera there stands an image of Themis as mother of the
 $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$, ү'́vos $\mu$ èv $\Lambda а к є \delta a \ell-$


 каї ठо́рv каі $\mathfrak{a} \sigma \pi \ell \delta a$ éXоvбаע
 єival Méóovtos, тoûtov סé

 тoîs av̉тoîs. . . . . Tà $\mu \mathrm{e} v$ ס̂̀̀
 каі $\chi \rho v \sigma$ о̂.

Seasons. It is the work of Dorykleidas, a Spartan by birth, and a pupil of Dipoinos and Skyllis. The Athena wearing a helmet and holding spear and shield, is said to be the work of Medon, who, as is alleged, was the brother of Dorykleidas, and was a pupil of the same masters. The above-named works are of gold and ivory.

The Heraion, which was the oldest temple at Olympia, contained other works of archaic sculpture besides those above mentioned. The Seasons, to which allusion is made in the above passage, were the work of Smilis (v. infr. No. 23). The Athena of Medon is that mentioned in No. 17. On the disposition of these works in the Heraion, see Wernicke, Jahrb., 1894, p. 105 ff., who believes that the temple was converted into a kind of museum at the time of Nero's visit to Olympia.

## 5. TEKTAIOS AND ANGELION.

19. Paus. ii. 32. $5 \mu a-$
 таíov каl 'A $\bar{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\text { e }}$ í $\omega$ vos, of $\Delta \eta$ $\lambda$ loเs è $\pi$ oín $\sigma \alpha \nu$ тò ă $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$ тov̂
 каl Tєктаїоs тара̀ $\Delta เ \pi о$ оу каì $\Sigma \kappa u ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \delta ̀ \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ ̀ ̀ \iota \delta \alpha a x \theta \eta \sigma a v$.
20. Plut. de Mus. 14



Kallon was a pupil of Tektaios and Angelion, who made the image of Apollo for the Delians, and Angelion and Tektaios learnt their art from Dipoinos and Skyllis.

The image of him which is set up at Delos holds in its right hand a bow and
 $\tau \in \rho \hat{̣}$ Xápıтas，тஸ̂v गฑ̂s $\mu$ ov－of whom has a musical



 бто́цать би́pıуүа． hẹr lips．

This statue of the Delian Apollo is represented on several coins of Athens，Num．Comm．CC．xi－xiv．

Athenagoras mentions an Artemis（apparently also at Delos）by the same artists．Their date may be fixed by that of their pupil Kallon（v．infr．No．52），and the style of the Apollo at about 540 B．C．

## 6．KLEARCHOS．

21．Paus．iii．17． 6 ग̂s
 $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ a ̆ \gamma а \lambda \mu a ~ ' \Upsilon \pi a ́ t o v ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o i ́-~$ ๆтаь，талаเо́татоу $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta-~$


 $\mu \epsilon \rho \omega ิ v$ ка日＇aúvò éккáбтоv
 каі̆ ทิ入o七 $\sigma v \nu$ ย́Xovбเข av̉rà $\mu \eta े$

 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma เ \nu$, òv $\Delta<\pi o l \nu o v ~ к а i ~$
入ov фабiv civat $\mu a \theta \eta \pi \eta \eta$ ．

On the right hand of the goddess of the Brasen House there is an image of Zeus the Highest，the oldest of all works in bronze；for it is not wrought all of one piece， but each part is separately beaten out，and all are held together by rivets that they may not fall asunder．They say that the image was made by Klearchos of Rhegion， who（according to some） was a pupil of Dipoinos and Skyllis，but according to others of Daidalos himself．

The technique here described was known as＇$\sigma \phi v p \dot{\eta} \lambda a r o v$. ＇The best－known example was the golden colossus of Zeus，dedicated by

Periander at Olympia. A divergent tradition as to Klearchos is preserved by Paus. vi. 4, 4, who states that Klearchos was the pupil of Eucheir, and Eucheir of Syadras and Chartas, the Spartans. He also states that Klearchos was the master of Pythagoras of Rhegion (Part II. § 2. 1), which would fix his date approximately at $520 \mathrm{B.C}$.

With the Daidalidai we may class the two artists whose names follow.

## 7. SMILIS.

22. Paus. vii. 4. 4 tò है


 à уа́лцать тєкцаі́роьто* є̇тть



 és тò ľбоv áфíкєто.

The temple of Hera at Samos may be reasonably thought one of the oldest in existence, notably because of the statue; for it is the work of an Aeginetan, Smilis the son of Eukleides. This Smilis was a contemporary of Daidalos, but never attained the same height of fame.

The statue is represented on coins of Samos (Gardner, Samos and Samian Coins, Pl. v, I-9) ; it was richly draped, and held fillets in each hand. Smilis was perhaps a Samian by birth, as is indicated by the statement of Pliny, N.H. xxxvi. 90, that the 'labyrinth of Lemnos,' i.e. the Heraion of Samos, was the work of 'Smilis et Rhoecus et Theodorus indigenae' (v. infr. No. 32 note).
23. Paus. v. 17. 1 ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\mu \in ́ v a s ~ e ̀ \pi l ~ \theta \rho o ́ v \omega \nu " \Omega \rho a s ~ e ̀ t r o l \eta-~$ $\sigma \in \nu \delta \Sigma \Sigma^{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{\lambda}} \mathrm{cs}$.
(In the temple of Hera) are the Seasons seated on thrones, the work of Smilis.

At Olympia, v. supr. No. 18.

## 8. CHEIRISOPHOS.

24. Paus. viii. 53. 7 (At (At Tegea) there is a Tegea) 'A $\pi$ ód $\lambda \omega \nu$ os vaòs каì









入íQov $\pi \epsilon \pi о \imath \eta \mu$ évos.
temple of Apollo and a gilded image, made by Cheirisophos, a Cretan by birth, whose date and teacher I do not know. But the residence of Daidalos at the court of Minos made the Cretans long famous for the making of wooden images. Beside the Apollo stands a portrait of Cheirisophos in marble.

## § 2. THE SCULPTORS OF CHIOS.


25. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. II Cum hi essent, iam fuerat in Chio insula Melas sculptor, dein filius eius Micciades, ac deinde nepos Archermus, cuius filii Bupalus et Athenis uel clarissimi in ea scientia fuere

Before their time the sculptor Melas had already lived on the island of Chios, and after him his son Mikkiades and his grandson Archermos, whose sons Bupalos and Athenis were the most famous masters

Hipponactis poetae aetate, quem certum est LX. Olympiade fuisse. Quodsi quis horum familiam ad proauom usque retro agat, inueniat artis eius originem cum Olympiadum initio coepisse. 12. Hipponacti notabilis foeditas uoltus erat, quam obrem imaginem eius lasciuia iocorum hi proposuere ridentium circulis, quod Hipponax indignatusdestrinxitamaritudinem carminum in tantum, ut credatur aliquîs ad laqueum eos compulisse. Quod falsum est. Complura enim in finitimis insulis simulacra postea fecere, sicut in Delo, quibus subiecerunt carmen, non uitibus tantum censeri Chion, sed et operibus Archermi filiorum. 13. Ostendunt et Lasii Dianam manibus eorum factam ; in ipsa Chio narrata est operis eorum Dianae facies in sublimi posita, cuius uoltum intrantes tristem, exeuntes hilarem putant. Romae signa eorum sunt in Palatina aede Apollinis in fas-
of their craft in the time of the poet Hipponax, who is known to have lived in the 60th Olympiad (540 B. C.). If their line is traced back to the great-grandfather, it will be found that the art took its rise at the beginning of the Olympiads. Hipponax was remarkable for the ugliness of his face, for which reason they exposed his portrait in wanton mockery to jesting crowds, until Hipponax in indignation turned the weapons of his bitterest satire against them with such effect that-as some believe-he drove them to hang themselves. This is not the case: for they afterwards made many statues in the neighbouring islands, as for example in Delos, where their work bore a metrical inscription, stating that Chios was famed not only for its vines but also for the works of the sons of Archermos. The people of Lasos display an Artemis fashioned by their hands;
tigio et omnibus fere quae fecit diuos Augustus. Patris quoque eorum et Deli fuere opera et in Lesbo insula.
and it is stated that in Chios itself there is a figure of Artemis, made by them and set on a high pedestal, whose expression seems gloomy as one enters her shrine, and cheerful as one departs. At Rome their statues stand on the gable of the temple of Apollo on the Palatine, and on almost all the temples built by Augustus the Divine. Their father's works, too, were to be seen both in Delos and on the island of Lesbos.
hi] Dipoinos and Skyllis. The words follow No. 1 .
Melas] In all probability the local hero of Chios, son of Oinopion. The confusion may have arisen from the misunderstanding
 $a ̈ \sigma \tau v$ ' in the inscription quoted below (No. 26 note).

Olympiadum initio] Pliny erroneously reckons 60 years (a full life) to a generation.

Lasii] A variant is 'Iasii.' Iasos is in Caria, Lasos in Crete.
in fastigio] Either (I) 'on the gable.' The figures would then be $\grave{\kappa} \kappa \omega \tau \dot{\prime} \rho t a \operatorname{such}$ as those from the temple of Aegina, $F . W .84,85$. Or (2) 'in the pediment.' Petersen conjectures that a fragmentary kneeling Amazon from the Villa Ludovisi (Röm. Mitth. iv. 86 f.) formed part of the group.
26. Schol. Ar. Av. 573 $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \tau \tau \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\nu} \mathrm{N}$ íкクข каі тд̀ $\nu$
 $\mu 0 v$ रá $\rho$ фабъ тòv Bovmádov

The representation of Victory and Love with wings is of recent origin : for according to some it

 रрафоv，ттๆขท̀े є่ $\rho \gamma a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ тท̀ N íкпข．
was Archermos，the father of Bupalos and Athenis， according to others，Aglao－ phon the Thasian painter， who represented Victory winged．

It is natural to combine with this notice the so－called＇Nike of Delos，＇now in the Central Museum at Athens（Brunn－Bruckmann， 36）．The plinth，which almost certainly belongs to it，bears an inscription variously restored（Löwy 1）．Lolling＇s restoration reads ：－

But Mr．Ernest Gardner（Class．Rev．1893，p．140）has shown cause for regarding the readings［üyan］$\mu a$ in 1 ．I and Mé $\lambda a v o s 1.3$ （for which he reads $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \overline{\text { s }}$ ）as impossible．An inscription from

 from the second half of the sixth century B．C．

фaor］For the omission of oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ cf．No． 21 ad fin．
＇Ayдaoфف̂vra］The father of Polygnotos．See Brunn，K．G． $11^{2}$ ． 10.
27．Paus．iv．30． 6 Bov́－Bupalos，a celebrated тa入os $\delta$ ह́，vaov́s тє оiкобоиク！－
 $\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma a l, \Sigma_{\mu v \rho \nu a i o t s ~ a ̈ \gamma a \lambda \mu a ~}^{\text {a }}$




 $\lambda \eta \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$ ． temple－architect and sculp－ tor，in making a statue of Fortune for the people of Smyrna，was the first，so far as I know，to represent her with a circular crown on her head and that which the Greeks call＇Amalthea＇s horn＇in one hand．
$\zeta \uparrow ิ a . . . \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma a \imath]$ Of sculpture generally，as 广థ̣a $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi є \iota \nu$, later ऽ $\omega$ үрафєєiv，of painting．$\zeta \hat{\omega} a=$＇figures＇in art generally，so of a statue in No．35，where see note．
28. Paus. ix. 35. 6 ס̃ซtเs
 $\pi \rho \hat{t o s ~ X a ́ p ı \tau а s ~ \eta ้ ँ т о ь ~ \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma a s ~}$ ทे $\gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \quad \mu \mu \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu o s$, ov̉X



 каì $\Sigma \mu v \rho v a l o t s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{̣}$

 àעáкєเขтal, тéx $\frac{1}{}$ Bovmá入ov

 $\pi a ́ \lambda o v ~ к а і ̀ ~ a ข ̊ т a \iota . ~$

I could not discover who was the first man to represent the Graces nude, either in sculpture or in painting. For in old times both sculptors and painters represented them draped. And at Smyrna in the temple of the Nemeseis there stand dedicated golden Graces above the images, the work of Bu palos. There are also statues of the Graces by Bupalos in the chamber of Attalos at Pergamon.

A base with the fragmentary inscription... [eipy]á $\sigma(\sigma)$ ato Xios found at Pergamon (Fränkel, Die Inschriften von Pergamon, No. 46) may have belonged to the latter group.

## §3. EARLY WORK IN METAL.

## 1. GLIAUKOS OF CHIOS.

29. Hdt. i. $25 d \nu \in \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$



 $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ èv $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ áva $\theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,
(Alyattes) dedicated at Delphi a large silver bowl and a stand of soldered iron, one of the most remarkable offerings to be seen at Delphi, the work of Glaukos

Г入av́кov тồ Xiov $\pi$ oínua，âs $\mu$ оv̂vos 8 ท̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega$

of Chios，who was the sole inventor of the soldering of iron．

Alyattes reigned $617-560$ в．c．The date given by the chrono－ logers for Glaukos（ $\mathrm{Ol} .22=672$ B．C．）is consequently too high．

30．Paus． x ． 16.1 T $\hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ סè



 кратйроя．тои̂то Гגаи́коv $\mu$ év




 кє́vтроเs，$\mu$ óvך ठ̀̀ ̀̀ кó入入a





 $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \phi р а к т а \iota, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma เ \nu ~$
 Фึ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ èv к入ในакь ol àva－ $\beta a \sigma \mu \hat{l}^{\circ}$ тà ठ̀ è ė̉á $\sigma \mu a \tau a$ тov




Of the offerings sent by the kings of Lydia none remained but the iron stand of the bowl of Alyattes．This is the work of Glaukos of Chios，the inventor of the soldering of iron；and each plate of the stand is joined to the next， not with pins or rivets，but with solder alone，which holds them together and acts as a binding material to the iron：and the form of the stand is like that of a tower in the shape of a truncated cone resting on the broader base：the sides of the stand are not entirely closed，but there are cross－ bars of iron like the rungs of a ladder，while the up－ right plates are bent out－ wards at the top，and thus form a support，on which the bowl rests．
31. Ath. v. 210 C Г入av́кov . . тov̂ Xíov tò èv $\Delta \in \lambda \phi o i ̂ s$




 кратท̂pas каì ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ бкєúv.

The stand of Glaukos of Chios at Delphi is really remarkable, by reason of the small figures carved upon it as well as other animal and vegetable forms, while bowls and other vessels can be placed on it.

Instances of similar forms are found in early pottery and bronze ; cp . the representation on a Phoenician silver bowl (M. d. I. ix. 31. I). The decoration (friezes of animals, plant-forms, \&c.) is in the style of Phoenician metal-work and Corinthian vases (cp. Brunn, Griechische Kunstgeschichte, I. chap. 3).

## 2. THE SCULPTORS OF SAMOS.

(Rhoikos, Theodoros, Telekles.)
32. Paus. viii. 14. 8 The first to cast statues

 кós $\tau \epsilon$ Фı $\lambda$ éov каі Өєóówроs
 in molten bronze were the Samians Rhoikos, the son of Phileas, and Theodoros, the son of Telekles.

Rhoikos and Theodoros were architects as well as sculptors. Hdt. iii. 60 states that Rhoikos built the Heraion at Samos, while Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 90 attributes what is probably the same building under the name of the 'labyrinth of Lemnos' to Rhoikos, Theodoros, and Smilis. Theodoros made a silver bowl for Croesus (conquered 546 B.C.), and the famous ring of Polykrates (died circ. 520 B.C.) ; and this date accords with an inscription found on the Akropolis of Athens ('Eф. 'A $\rho \chi$. 1886, Pl. vi. 5, Coll. I, Fig. 72), which reads $\Theta_{\epsilon} \dot{[ }[\delta \omega \rho]$ os är $[a \lambda \mu a$ émoi $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}]$ ] in Ionic characters.
33. Paus. x. 38. 6 ©єо-

 $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \mu$ éva' èv òè 'A $\mathrm{A} \tau$ émıòos

I can find no trace of any work by Theodoros, at least in bronze; but in the temple of Artemis at

Tท̂s 'E $\phi \in \sigma$ las $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ o ̂ k \eta \mu a ~$

 $\beta \omega \mu o \hat{v}$ тท̂s Пршто日роуías

 коข каl $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i k \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$

 калоขิбь.

Ephesos, at the approach to the chamber containing the paintings, there is a marble cornice above the altar of Artemis Protothronia, as she is called, and among other statues on the cornice there is a figure of a woman, standing close to the end, which the Ephesians call Night. This is the work of Rhoikos.
34. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 83 Theodorus, qui labyrinthum fecit, Sami ipse se ex aere fudit, praeter similitudinis mirabilem famam magna subtilitate celebratus; dextra limam tenet, laeua tribus digitis quadrigulam tenuit translatam Praeneste, tantae paucitatis ut miraculo fictam eam currumque et aurigam integeret alis simul facta musca.

Theodoros, the builder of the labyrinth, cast his own portrait in bronze at Samos. This is famous, not only because of the marvellous likeness, but also because of the minuteness of the work; in the right hand is a file, while the left held in three fingers a tiny fourhorse chariot, now removed to Praeneste, so minute and marvellously wrought that a fly, made with it, covered team, car, and driver with its wings.

The rationalistic explanation of this story is that the statue held the symbols of Theodoros' cunning as goldsinith and gem-engraver
the latter being a scarab engraved with the design of a chariot. As, however, a precisely similar object is attributed to one Myrmekides, an artist of unknown date, whose skill in minute
workmanship was proverbial (cp. vii. 85 Myrmecides . . . inclaruit quadriga . . quam musca integeret alis. xxxvi. 43 M. cuius quadrigam cum agitatore operuit alis musca), and (doubtfully) to Pheidias himself by Julian, Epist. 8, p. 377 A, the story is in all probability entirely apocryphal.
miraculo fictam] Sillig's correction of 'miraculo pictam' of the best MS. The rest have 'totam.' For ' miraculo' cp. ix. 93 reliquiae . . . miraculo pependere pondo DCC.
35. Diod. i. 98 T $\eta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in a$

 тоîs इauíots тò тov̂ 'A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega$ -



 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{E} \phi \epsilon \sigma \circ$ vinò $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi 0 \hat{v}$
 $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \in \in \nu \tau a$ ठ̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \omega-$
 ย̇עòs тò $\pi a ̂ v ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \kappa а т \epsilon \sigma \kappa є v-$
 épyafías тарà $\mu$ èv тоîs
 єбӨaı, тарà ס̀ toîs Alyvitioıs $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$. . . $\tau \grave{\partial}$








Telekles and Theodoros the sons of Rhoikos, who made the statue of the Pythian Apollo for the Samians. The story runs that one half of the image was made at Samos by Telekles, while the other half was fashioned at Ephesos by his brother Theodoros, and that when the parts were joined together they fitted so exactly that the whole figure appeared to be the work of one artist. This method of working was never practised by the Greeks, but was in common use among the Egyptians. And the statue at Samos, being made in accordance with the Egyptian system, is bisected by a line which runs from the crown of the head through the centre of the figure to

катà тò тлєîotov $\pi а \rho \epsilon \mu \phi \epsilon \rho е े ร$ тoîs Aǐvatiots, ©̌s àv tàs $\mu$ èv $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a s ~ e ̌ \chi o \nu ~ т а р а т є т а \mu e ́ v a s, ~ т \alpha ̀ ~$ ठ̀̀े $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \eta$ ঠ̀ıaßє $\beta \eta \kappa$ ќта.
the groin, and divides it into precisely equal and similar halves. They say that it resembled Egyptian works as closely as possible, with its arms hanging by its sides and its legs parted.
góavov] The word is used not in the restricted sense of a wooden image found in Pausanias, but with the general meaning 'statue.' Cp. No. 112.
 the frieze of the Erechtheum are called $\zeta \stackrel{\uparrow}{a}$ CIA. I. 322, $\zeta \varphi^{\circ} \delta \iota a$ CIA. I. 324 C .

## 3. GITIADAS OF SPARTA.

36. Paus. iii. 17. 2
 тоіттаь, По入ьои́хоv ка入оv-





 үa $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ ठè $\tau \hat{\varphi} \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \hat{\varphi}} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$



 тоv $\theta$ vуатє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ à $\rho \pi а \gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ каì
 à $\pi 0 \lambda v ́ \omega \nu$ т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ ठิ $\epsilon \sigma \mu \omega ิ \nu$. . .



Here there is a temple of Athena, who iscalled Wardress of the city and also Goddess of the Brasen House. The Spartans caused both the temple and the image of Athena to be made of bronze. The work was done by Gitiadas, a native of Sparta. On the bronze there are wrought in relief many of the labours of Herakles, and of the exploits which he performed of his free will, and the deeds of the sons of Tyndareos, amongst others the rape of the daughters of Leukippos:
 $\tau a ̀ ~ v i \pi о \delta \delta^{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$, vi $\phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu$ ol $\sigma \theta \eta^{\eta}$ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ठ̇ıà тov̂ àépos ह̈ $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \in \nu$.

 трітך каі Побєเঠิఱิv, à ठ̀̀
 סокєโิข $\theta$ ย́as ă $\xi$ เa.
and there is Hephaistos releasing his mother from her bonds. Perseus is bound for Libya to fight with Medusa, and the Nymphs are giving him gifts-a helmet, and the sandals which were to bear him through the air. The story of the birth of Athena is also represented, and Amphitrite and Poseidon. These are the most prominent, and, to my thinking, the most remarkable of the reliefs.
ivravi日a] On the Akropolis of Sparta. The statue is represented on coins of Sparta and Melos (Num. Comm. N. xiii-xv). The body is in the form of a column divided into horizontal bands. It is uncertain whether the reliefs decorated these bands-representing woven patterns-or the walls of the temple.
"Hфauras] Hera hurled Hephaistos down from heaven, and in revenge he presented her with a chair from which she could not rise. Dionysos made him drunk, and brought him back to heaven to release her.
37. Paus. iii. 18. 7 Tà $\delta \hat{\text { è }}$
 трі́тоঠ̀єs $\chi$ алкоі̂ . . . ข่тд $\mu$ ѐv


 каi av̉тol тє́ $\chi$ vך каl тà


Among the notable sights of Amyklai are certain bronze tripods. The first tripod is supported by an image of Aphrodite, the second by one of Artemis. Both the tripods and the sculptures which adorn them are the work of Gitiadas.

Paus. mentions a story that these tripods were dedicated from the spoil taken in the first Messenian war (in the eighth or seventh century B. C.), but this is clearly impossible. There was a third tripod, the work of Kallon of Aegina (v. infr. No. 53) ; but we cannot be certain that it was dedicated at the same time.

## 4. BATHYKLES OF MAGNESTA.

38. Paus. iii. 18. 9 By the hand of Bathy-

 $\kappa \lambda a i ́ o v, a ̉ \nu a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ е̇ $\pi \epsilon \xi \in \iota \rho \gamma a \sigma-$

 'Aртє́ $\mu$ ঠооs. made the throne of Apollo at Amyklae, are certain votive offerings made after the completion of the throne - Graces and an image of Artemis Leukophryne.

The throne is described at length by Pausanias in the following sections (see the reconstruction by Furtw., Meisterwerke, p. 706). Bathykles was employed to utilize the present of gold sent by Croesus to Sparta in the decoration of the temple of Apollo at Amyklai.

Aєuкoфpúvŋs] Artemis Leukophryne (or Leukophryene) had a famous temple at Magnesia on the Maeander, the home of Bathykles (Dittenberger, Syll. 171, 84, Tac. Ann. iii. 62).

## § 4. THE EARLIEST PORTRAITS OF ATHLETES.

39. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 16 Effigies hominum non solebant exprimi nisi aliqua illustri causa perpetuitatem merentium, primo sacrorum

It was not the custom to represent the features of individuals unless they had earned immortality by some special distinction.
certaminum uictoria maxumeque Olympiae, ubi omnium qui uicissent statuas dicari mos erat, eorum uero qui ter ibi superauissent ex membris ipsorum similitudine expressa, quas iconicas uocant.
40. Paus. vi. 18. $7 \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau a \iota$





 тเабтàs катаүшขıбацє́vov, $\mu เ a ̣$ â
 avitaı кєโิvтat $\mu$ èv ai €ikóves ov̉ тро́бш тฑ̂s Olvoцáov к\{ovos,

 Аіүเขךтой кขтарїбоу, каі̀
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau เ \nu$.

The first of such was a victory in the sacred games, and especially at Olympia, where it was the custom to dedicate statues of all victors, while in the case of those thrice victorious the actual features were portrayed. Such statues are called 'iconic.'

The first portraits of athletes dedicated at Olympia were those of Praxidamas of Aegina, victorious in boxing in the 59th Olympiad ( 544 B.C.) and Rhexibios of Opus, victorious in the pankration in the 6rst Olympiad (536B.C.). These figures stand not far from the pillar of Oinomaos, and are made of wood. The portrait of Rhexibios is of fig-wood, while that of the Aeginetan is of cypress, and has suffered less than the other.
rर̂s Oivoutiov kíovos] A wooden column at Olympia, carefully preserved as a relic of the palace of Oinomaos (Paus. v. 20.6).
41. Paus. viii. 40. I In the market-place at

 of Arrhachion, the pankra-

таукратьабто仑̂, тá $\tau \epsilon$ à $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ tiast, which is archaic in ápXaios каl ov̉X ทัккเซта ${ }^{2} \pi i$ style, and especially in $\tau \hat{\oplus} \sigma \chi \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \tau \iota^{\circ}$ ov̉ $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{a} \sigma t \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ attitude. The feet are not
 $\pi а \rho u ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \rho a ̨ ̣ ~ a i ~ \chi \in i ́ \rho \epsilon s ~ a ̈ \chi \rho t ~$



 ขimò то仑̂ Х ${ }^{\circ}$
 ôv́o $\mu$ èे 'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota$ 'áनt taîs $\pi \rho o ̀$

far apart, and the hands hang down by the sides as far as the buttocks. The portrait is made of marble, and it is alleged that there was an inscription painted upon it ; but this has disappeared through lapse of time. Arrhachion gained two Olympic victories in the Olympiads which preceded the 54th ( 564 B.C.).

Paus. (vi. 15.8) also mentions a portrait of the Spartan Eutelidas, victorious as a boy in wrestling and the five contests in the 38 th Olympiad ( 628 B.C.). But the date rests on his own inference, and is inconsistent with the statement of No. 40. The statue was, however, archaic, and the inscription no longer legible.

## PART II

## ARCHAIC AND TRANSITIONAL SCULPTURE

Nos. 42-95.

## § ェ. THE ARCHAIC SCHOOLS.

## 1. ARGOS.

(a) Ageladas.

Date.-Determined by (r) his statues of Olympic victorsAnochos 520, Kleosthenes 516, Timasitheos executed in 507 (Hdt. v. 72) ; (2) the inscription of his son (or slave) Argeiadas on the base of a chariot-group dedicated at Olympia by Praxiteles, ミvpakí́tos . . . kaì Kapapıvaíos (Löwy 30). Kamarina was destroyed and its inhabitants removed to Syracuse in 484 B. C. Pliny states that he was the teacher of Myron and Polykleitos, but this is improbable in the first case, and impossible in the second. The statement that he was the teacher of Pheidias (No. 43) has no early authority.
42. Paus. iv. 33. 2. (At (At Ithome.) The image Ithome.) тò $\delta \epsilon \frac{a}{a} \gamma a \lambda \mu a$ тov of Zeus is the work of


 $\sigma \eta \nu i \omega \nu$. paktos.

The Messenians were probably transferred to Naupaktos about $46 \frac{1}{0}$ (whether we read тeтápтẹ ĕтєt in Thuc. i. 103. I or adopt the earlier date ( $46 \frac{9}{8}$ ) for the revolt of the Helots, as is done by Wilamowitz, Aristoteles und Athen, ii. 295), so that we must not take the words of Pausanias as a note of chronology. The statue is represented on the coins of Messene, Num. Comm. PP. iv, v.
43. Schol. Ar. Ran. 504 Melite is a deme of



Attica, where there is a celebrated temple of Hera-




 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon v \in \tau 0$ кarà tòv $\mu \epsilon$ ' $\gamma a \nu$ dol- at the time of the great $\mu o ́ v$. plague.

The Scholiast refers to the plague of $4^{\frac{30}{20}}$, but this would give far too late a date. A plague about 500 B.C. is attested by the epitaph $\lambda o t] \mu \bar{\varphi}$ Өavov́ons $\epsilon i \mu i \quad[\sigma \hat{\eta}] \mu a \operatorname{Mvp}(\rho) i v \eta s(C I A$. i. 475).
44. Anth. Plan. iv. 220.

 $\mu \in \nu^{\circ}$ à $\mu l a \lambda \omega \tau$ रús,
à ठ̀ фépet ma入ápaıs $\beta$ áp- $^{\prime}$



$\beta$ ápßıтоу, à Kavaxâ ó $^{3}$


## Antipater of Sidon.

Here stand we Muses three; one bears in her hand the flutes, one the barbitos, one the lyre. The Muse of Aristokles holds the lyre, that of Ageladas the barbitos, that of Kanachos the reeds that make music.

On Kanachos and Aristokles of Sicyon v. infr. Nos. 49 ff. The $\chi^{\epsilon}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\prime} \lambda}$ ) S may be illustrated by the 'School' vase of Duris (M. d. I. ix. 54) ; the $\beta$ ápßıтos by Benndorf, Griech. und sicil.Vasenbilder, xli. 2.
45. Paus. x. IO. 6 Tapay $=$






The bronze horses of the Tarentines and the captive women are offerings from the spoils of the Messapians, who are barbarous neighbours of Tarentum ; they are the work of Ageladas of Argos.

At Delphi.
46. Paus. vi. 10. 6






 тє єiкóva каі тд̀v ท̊víoxov.







K $\lambda \in \sigma \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \eta s \mu^{\prime}$ à $\nu \in \in \eta \eta \kappa \in \nu$ ó Пóv-

 $\Delta$ tós.

Next to Pantarkes is the chariot of Kleosthenes the Epidamnian. This is the work of Ageladas. Kleosthenes was victorious in the 66th Olympiad ( 516 B.C.), and dedicated portraits of himself and his charioteer along with his team. The names of the horses also are inscribed, Phoinix and Korax, and the trace-horses on either side, Knakias on the right and Samos on the left. And on the chariot is the following couplet :-

Kleosthenes of Pontos from Epidamnos dedicated me, when his team won the victory in the noble games of Zeus.

Other works:-
Zeus as a child and Herakles as a beardless youth, in bronze, at Aigion (Paus. vii. 24.4).

Athlete-statues at Olympia :-
Anochos of Tarentum, victorious in the foot-race, Ol. 65 (520 B. С.) (Paus. vi. 14. II).

Timasitheos of Delphi, twice victorious in the pankration, executed at Athens in $507 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. for participation in the treason of Isagoras (Paus. vi. 8.6).

## (b) Glaukos and Dionysios (Simon).

Date.-See notes on Nos. 47, 48.
 àvaӨŋ́щата Mıкv́Өоv то入入à тє





 тapà ठ̊è тov̂ vaov̂ тov̂ $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ d̀nov
 $\theta \eta \kappa \in \nu$ ẳ $\lambda \lambda a$, Kóp $\eta v$ тท̀v $\Delta \eta$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho о$ каі 'Афродіт $\quad \nu$ Гаעv-











 тоเऽ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota, ~ N \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu a ~ ठ ̊ e ̀ ~ a ̀ \phi \epsilon-$ $\lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ фагì каı тav̂тa. то̂̂s
 อขึสเข 'Apyєiots, $\Delta$ เovvaí¢ $\tau \epsilon$



The offerings of Mikythos I discovered to be many in number and separated from each other. Close to the statue of Iphitos stand the following offerings of Miky-thos-Amphitrite,Poseidon, and Hestia. They are the work of Glaukos of Argos. On the left-hand side of the great temple he dedicated another group of figuresKore the daughter of Demeter and Aphrodite and Ganymede and Artemis, the poets Homer and Hesiod, and again the gods Asklepios and Hygieia. Among the offerings of Mikythos is a figure of Agon bearing leaping-weights. And beside this figure are Dionysos and Orpheus the Thracian and an image of Zeus. These are the work of Dionysios of Argos. It is said that other statues were dedicated by Mikythos at the same time, but that they (like others) were

 vvaıv ó Míxvөos.
carried away by Nero. Nothing is recorded as to the teacher of the artists Dionysios and Glaukos, who were Argives by birth; but their date is shown by the fact that Mikythos dedicated their works at Olympia.
Mikythos reigned at Rhegion as guardian of the sons of Anaxilas $478-467$ B. C., and then retired to Tegea. Fragments of the inscription have been found (Löwy 31) ; it appears that the statues were erected about 460 B. C.
'A $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{v}]$ A personification of 'Contest.'
$\dot{\Delta} \lambda \tau \hat{\eta} p a s]$ The leaping-weights used by the Greeks resembled dumb-bells. Theyare frequently represented on vases, e.g. Gerhard, A. V. 260.
äүaдца $\Delta$ iós Beardless (Paus. v. 24.6).
48. Paus. v. 27. I $̇ v \delta$ è av̉兀oîs каì тà àvaтєӨ́́vтa Є̇ซтìv ข๋тठ̀ тоv̂ Фóp $\mu เ \delta o s$, ôs ṫк Mat-
 Г'́ $\lambda \omega \nu a$ тòv $\Delta \epsilon เ \nu о \mu$ évovs, каi

 द̀s tàs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ a ̀ \pi o \delta ̀ є \iota к \nu u ́-~-~$

 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ v a t ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau a v ̂ т a ~ e ́ s ' O \lambda \nu \mu \pi\{a \nu$,
 ä̀ $\lambda \lambda a$ és $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ$ ús. 2. Tà ठè




Among them are the offerings dedicated by Phormis, who crossed over from Mainalos to Sicily and joined Gelon the son of Deinomenes, in whose service and afterwards in that of his brother Gelon he performed remarkable exploits in war and raised his fortunes to such a height that he was enabled to dedicate these offerings at Olympia, and others to Apollo at Delphi. His offerings at Olympia consist

 olov тov̂ 'Apyєív, тà òєútepa






'Apkàs Maıvá入ıos, vv̂v ठè ミvpaкórıos. charioteers, one of whom stands beside each horse. The first of the two horses with its groom is the work of Dionysios of Argos, while the second pair are by Simon of Aegina. The first of the two horses has an inscription on its side, of which the former part is unmetrical, running as follows:-

Phormis dedicated me, once an Arkadian of Mainalos, but now a Syracusan.

Gelon reigned $485-476$ в. C., Hieron $476-467$ в. с.

## 2. SIKYON.

Kanachos.
Date-He is coupled with Ageladas in No. 44, and with Kallon of Aegina by Paus. vii. 18. 10 ; cp. Cicero's criticism, quoted Introd. § 3. The temple of Apollo at Branchidai (v. No. 49) was destroyed by Darius in 493 B. C. (Hdt. vi. 19). His brother Aristokles (Paus. vi. 9. 1) was the founder of a school which Paus. traces to the seventh generation.
49. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 75 Canachus (fecit) Apollinem nudum qui Philesius cognominatur in Didymaeo Aeginetica aeris temperatura, ceruomque una ita uestigiis suspendit ut linum

Kanachos made a nude Apollo, which bears the name of Philesios and stands in the Didymaion, in bronze of Aeginetan composition, and with it a stag, supported on its
subter pedes trahatur, al- feet in such a way that terno morsu calce digitisque retinentibus solum, ita uertebrato dente utrisque in partibus ut a repulsu per uices resiliat. Idem et $\kappa \in \lambda \eta$ tiSovtas pueros.
a string can be passed beneath them, while heel and toe alternately retain their grip. The teeth of the mechanism are jointed in such a manner that each recoils in turn when driven home. He also made statues of boys riding on racehorses.

The statue is represented on coins of Miletos (Overbeck, Kunstmyth., Apollon, Münztafel i. 22 ff.) holding stag in r., bow in 1., and there is a small copy (without the bow) in the Brit. Mus. Bronze Room.
suspendit] Used in the less common sense 'supported from below,' not 'dependent from above.' Cp. xxxiii. 69 tellus ligneis columnis suspenditur (in mines).
solum] solum 'only' and sorlum 'the surface of the hand' (lit. ground), are both possible.
repulsu] For this use cp. xi. 164 (of a snake) dentium repu.su uirus fundit in morsus (quoted by Petersen, A. Z. 1880, p. 23). The principle of the mechanism described seems to be that 'heel and toe' were provided with 'teeth' which fitted a semicircular groove in the hollow of the hand. Thus, while the foot could not be dislodged, 'heel' and 'toe' could be alternately set free, and the string passed from end to end. The temple of Apollo Philesios was at Branchidai, near Miletos. The statue was removed by Darius (not Xerxes, as stated by Paus. viii. 46. 3, cp. Hdt. vi. 19), but restored by Seleukos Nikator (312-281 B. C.).
50. Paus. ix. 10. 2 (At




(At Thebes) there is a hill on the right of the gate, sacred to Apollo: both the hill and the god are called Ismenian, because the river






 $\theta \epsilon \tau о$, ои $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á $\eta$ of бофla каі






Ismenos passes by it. The image is equal in size to that at Branchidai and exactly similar in appearance; and whoever has seen one of the images and learnt the artist's name needs no great skill to discern that the other is the work of Kanachos, when he sees it ; there is this difference, that the statue at Branchidai is of bronze, while the Ismenian Apollo is of cedarwood.
51. Paus. ii. 10. 4 (At Sikyon) ä入入os є̇ $\sigma \tau i v$ 'Aфроठítทs iepós . . . 5. тò $\mu$ èv ồे ăуалла каөض́нєуоу Kávaхos




 $\lambda o v$.
(At Sikyon) there is another shrine of Aphrodite. . . . The seated image was made by Kanachos of Sikyon. It is wrought of gold and ivory, and wears a circular crown on its head, while it holds in the one hand a poppy and in the other an apple.
For the Muses of Kanachos and Aristokles, v. No. 44.

## 3. AEGINA.

(a) KALlon.

Date.-An inscription found on the Akropolis of Athens (Löwy 27) reads Ká $\lambda \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{~A} i[\gamma \iota \nu i, \tau \eta s]$, and may be assigned to the opening years of the fifth century B.c. He is coupled with Kanachos by Paus. vii. 18. 10 and with Hegesias by Quintilian (v. Introd. § 3 ).
52. Paus. ii. 32. 5 (At (At Troizen) on the


 үа́



V. supr. No. 19.
53. Paus. iii. 18. 7 दो $y$ At Amyklai are tripods



 $\Delta \eta ́ \mu \eta \tau \rho o s$ ё $\sigma т \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$.

Akropolis is a temple of Athena, called Sthenias. The wooden image of the goddess was made by Kallon of Aegina, who was a pupil of Tektaios and Angelion. of bronze; the third is by Kallon of Aegina, and beneath it stands an image of Kore the daughter of Demeter.

The others were by Gitiadas, v. supr. No. 37 note.
(b) Onatas.

Date.-(1) An inscription found on the Akropolis of Athens (CIA. iv. 2. 373, 399; cp. Jahrb. 1888, p. 271) reads 'Ovátas è éoiñev, and is earlier than the Persian destruction in 480 в. с. (2) The base of No. 59 lies partly under the foundations of the temple of Zeus at Olympia, begun circ. 460 B. c. (3) No. 58 was dedicated after the death of Hieron in 467 B. .c. (4) 0 . is coupled with Hegias and Ageladas by Paus., and dated in the generation succeeding the Persian wars (Nos. 54, 58).
54. Paus. viii. 42. I Tò





The other mountain, Elaion by name, is about thirty stades further removed from Phigalia, and there is a cave there sacred to
${ }_{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{i} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda a i \nu \eta \mathrm{~s}$. . . 3. Demeter, who is called 'the


 $\tau a ̊ \lambda \lambda a \quad \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ кєфал $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\nu}^{*}$ кєфа-
 каไ ठоако́vт $\omega v$ тє каі ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Өทрíшy єiкóvєs $\pi \rho о \sigma є \pi \epsilon ф и ́ к є-$




 Mé̀alvav ठè è éovouáoal фa-

 original image as follows. The goddess was seated on a rock, and was in form like a woman except for her head: she had the head and mane of a horse, and forms of serpents and other creatures sprang from her head; she was dressed in a tunic which reached to her feet ; in one hand was a dolphin, while the bird in the other was a dove. They say that she got the name ' Black' because the goddess herself wore black raiment.

The statue was destroyed by fire, and the worship neglected by the Phigaleans, who were visited with famine, and commanded by the Pythian Apollo to renew the cult. Paus. continues:-
'Ovátav tòv Míxcuos Al-

 $\Delta \eta ́ \mu \eta \tau \rho o s . .$. то́тє ठì̀ ó ảv̀̀ $\rho$
 $\mu \eta \mu a$ то̂̂ àpXalov छ彑oávov, тà





They persuaded Onatas, the son of Mikon of Aegina, to make them an image of Demeter for a certain sum of money. Then this man discovered a painting or copy of the old wooden image, and partly with the aid of this, but chiefly, as the story goes, by visions
＇E入入áóa è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a t \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ r e v e a l e d ~ t o ~ h i m, ~ m a d e ~ a ~$ Míjóov． bronze image for the Phi－ galeans，about a genera－ tion later than the Persian invasion of Greece．

Brunn thinks that the visions were designed to cover an adapt－ ation of the statue to more advanced artistic canons．

The cult of the Black Demeter was a relic of primitive horse－ worship，v．J．H．S．xiv．pp． 138 ff．（Cook）．The statue was destroyed by the falling in of part of the cave＇s roof before Pausa－ nias＇time．

55．Paus．viii．42． 7 тоิิ ठ̀̀＇Оขáta тоúтоv Пєрүащךขoîs
 $\theta a \hat{\mu} \mu a$ èv $v$ тoîs $\mu$ ádıбтa $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in-$


The Pergamenes possess a bronze Apollo by this Onatas，which is very re－ markable both for its size and its artistic excellence．

This work may be referred to in an Epigram of Antipater（Anth． Pal．ix．238），who addresses it as＇$\beta$ oúrats＇$=$＇hulking lad．＇A base from Pergamon（Fränkel，Inschriften von Pergamon，48）appears to have belonged to this statue，which was no doubt acquired by Attalos I，who bought Aegina in 210 B．C．for thirty tal．（Polyb．xxii． 18）．The inscription may be restored［Ovátas］$\Sigma \mu i \kappa \omega \nu$ os Aiyıvítクs


56．Paus．v． $27.8 \delta$ ठè









The Hermes，who carries the ram under his arm，and has a leathern cap on his head，and wears a tunic and cloak，was given to the god by the Arcadians of Phe－ neos．The inscription states that Onatas of Aegina made it，assisted by Kalliteles．I
 то̂̂＇Ováta $\mu a \theta \eta$ тทेs $\hat{\eta} \pi a i ̂ s ~ \delta ~$ Ka入入เтé $\lambda \eta s{ }^{\eta} \nu$.

At Olympia．
57．Paus．v． 25.12 Өáбьo七 $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ a v e ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu ~ " Н \rho а к \lambda є ́ a ~$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os $\mu$ èv ठ̀ท̀ тov̂ ảyd́入นaтós



 $\gamma \in$ โ̂ov
 $\grave{\epsilon} \xi \in \tau \in \lambda \in \sigma \sigma \in \nu$
 ขaıєтd́ $\omega$ ע．
тঠ̀v o̊è ’Ovátav тô̂тov ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，
 o้vта Alyıvalas，oviòєvòs ṽбтє－
入ov тє каіे ${ }^{2} \rho \gamma а \sigma т \eta \rho$ iov той ＇Atтıкоขิ．
suppose that Kalliteles was a pupil or son of Onatas．

The Thasians dedicated at Olympia a statue of Herakles：both the figure and the base were of bronze．The statue is ten cubits in height，and holds a club in its right hand and a bow in its left．On the offering is inscribed the fol－ lowing couplet ：－

Onatas，son of Mikon， fashioned me，himself a dweller in Aegina．

This Onatas，though the style of his sculpture is that of Aegina，I should place second to none of Daidalos＇successors and the Attic school．

The distinction between the Aeginetan and Attic schools is pre－ supposed by several passages of Pausanias，collected by Overbeck，
 a third school，that of Argos and Sikyon；the words re kai，how－ ever，are clearly not disjunctive，but serve to identify the descend－ ants of Daidalos with the épyaarípıoy＇Atrıкóv．

58．Paus．viii．42． 8 Hieron died before dedi－ ＇I＇́́ $\omega \omega$ vos ठ̀ à àmoӨavóvtos $\pi \rho o ́-$ cating the offerings，which
$\tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu \pi \rho i \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi}$＇O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi i \varphi \varphi \Delta i t$ he vowed to Olympian àva日єîva؛ тà àva日グ́цатa â


 татрós＇＇Ováta каì таи̂та тоเท́цата．каі ѐтเүра́ $\mu \mu а т а$

 бóv тотє viкท́бas，Zєv̂＇Oגv́ $\pi \iota \epsilon, \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ả $\gamma \omega ิ \nu a$
 $\lambda \eta \tau \iota$ ठè ôts，
 бато $\pi$ ais $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \in ́ \theta \eta \kappa є$
$\Delta \epsilon t v o \mu e ́ v \eta s$ тatpòs $\mu \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ इvракобíov．
 $\gamma р а \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
viòs $\mu$ év $\gamma \in$ Míкшขos＇Ovátas $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$
 vaเєт $\omega \nu$ ．

 ＇Ayenáóav àv $\sigma v \mu \beta a l \nu o \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v$ ＇Apyєîov．

Zeus in return for the victories of his horses，and Deinomenes his son fulfilled his father＇s vow：these offerings are also works of Onatas．At Olympia there are two inscriptions，one above the offering，running as follows ：－

Hieron，erstwhile victo－ rious at thy solemn games， Olympian Zeus，once with the chariot，twice with the single horse，bestowed these gifts on thee，and Deinomenes his son set them up to be a memorial of his father，the Syracusan．

And the other reads thus ：－

Onatas，son of Mikon， fashioned me，having his dwelling in the island of Aegina．

It would follow that Onatas was contemporary with Hegias of Athens and Ageladas of Argos．

Hieron died 467 B．c．He was victorious with the single horse， Ol． 73 and 77 （ 488 and 472 в．C．），with the chariot， $\mathrm{Ol} .78=468$ в．С． The chariot only was by Onatas，the other figures by Kalamis （v．No．85）．
59. Paus. v. 25.8 ยै $\sigma$ тt

 баце́vov той "Eктороs ès $\mu$ оуо$\mu a x i a v$ ăvo̊pa ${ }^{\circ}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a$ тòv


 каі $\mathfrak{a} \sigma \pi i \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\oplus}{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \notin \nu \iota^{\circ}$ àm-

 $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ \nu$ Є̇ $\sigma \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \omega े s$ ह̇s т $\nu$
 $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ à $\rho \iota \theta \mu$ о̀v ö้ бт $\omega \nu$ ỏктஸ́, тòv үàp èvatov av̉тติv,



 vovt тоे оैvоца́ देбть $\gamma є \gamma р а \mu-$ $\mu \in ́ \nu o v, \gamma^{\ell} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha$ ठ̀ к̀ каì тои̂то





 iєpóv фабьv єival тòv ổpvı $\theta a$ каl à $\gamma \gamma$ é $\lambda \lambda \in t v$ àvtévat $\mu \in \grave{\lambda} \lambda o \nu-$


 тav̂t' à $\nu \in ́ \theta \eta \kappa a \nu$

There are also offerings dedicated in common by the whole Achaean race: they represent the warriors who accepted Hector's challenge to meet a Greek in single combat and faced the drawing of lots. They stand near the great temple, armed with spear and shield : and opposite them on another base is set Nestor, who has cast each man's lot into the helmet. Those for whom lots are being drawn are eight in number, for the ninth, viz. Odysseus, is said to have been removed by Nero to Rome. Of these eight, Agamemnon's name only is inscribed: and that is written from right to left. The warrior, who bears a cock as the device on his shield, is Idomeneus, the descendant of Minos. Idomeneus traced his descent to Helios, the father of Pasiphae : and the bird is said to be sacred to Helios, and to give warning when the sun is about


Пé $\lambda о \pi о$.
 үраццє́vov, ó ס̀̀ à $\gamma a \lambda \mu a \tau о \pi о \iota o ̀ s$


 ната каі то́ঠ́' 'Оขа́та
 тaîõa Míкшy.
scription, too, on the base which runs as follows :-

To Zeus the Achaeans dedicated these statues, descendants of Pelops the godlike, son of Tantalos.

Such is the inscription on the base, and the artist's name may be read on the shield of Idomeneus :-

Many are the works of Onatas, the cunning craftsman, whom Mikon begat in Aegina, and this is among them.

At Olympia. Fragments of the bases have been found, v. A. Z. 1879, p. 44. The nine heroes stood on a semicircular base, Nestor on a round one.
60. Paus. x. 13.10 Tapavтîvot ठè каl ắ $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ठิєкáтךข ès $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ov̀s àmò $\beta a p \beta \alpha \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$

 Alүเขๆто̂, каì * Ka入入ıтê $\lambda$ ovs тоv̂ ovvepyov̂*- єiкóves ठ̀è каi $\pi \epsilon \zeta \widehat{\omega} \nu$ каі $i \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \beta a \sigma \iota-$
 Пеvкєтíots аи́щцахоs, ovitos




The Tarentines also dedicated at Delphi a tithe of the spoil taken from the barbarous Peuketians: the offerings are the work of Onatas of Aegina and his assistant Kalliteles. There are figures of horsemen and footmen, and of Opis, king of the Iapygians, who came to the aid of the Peuketians. He is represented as having been killed in

 ФалávӨov ठ̀є $\lambda 申$ is.
the fight, and over his dead body stand the hero Taras and Phalanthos of Lakedaimon, and not far from Phalanthos is a dolphin.
 suggested by No. 56. Phalanthos, the founder of Tarentum, was shipwrecked and carried ashore by a dolphin, which he rides on the coins of Tarentum.

## (c) Glaukias.

Date.-See notes on his works, all of which were at Olympia. The alphabet of the Theagenes inscription would date it circ. 450 B.C.
61. Paus, vi. 9. 4 тò ă $\rho \mu a$

 $\Delta \epsilon \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ àva $\theta \in i ̂ v a \iota \Gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\varphi} o \nu^{*}$ каl ס̊ хро́vos тои́тழ̣ тஸ̣̂ Гє́ $\lambda \omega \nu$ и́






The chariot of Gelon bears an inscription, stating that Gelon, the son of Deinomenes, of Gela, dedicated it: and the date of this Gelon's victory is the 73rd Olympiad (488 B.C.). Glaukias of Aegina made both the chariot and the portrait of Gelon himself.

Gelon became tyrant of Syracuse and ceased to be $\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ os in 485 B.C. Paus., believing that this took place in 491 B. C., argues that this must be a private person.
The inscription, found at Olympia, reads $\Gamma \in \lambda \omega y$ detvouéveos
 second line only.) Paus. therefore misread the third word. The tyrant's chariot was victorious, $01.73=488$ B. C.
62. Paus. vi. Io. 1 '̀ $\pi i$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ Next to those aboveтoîs катєเ入є $\gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o t s ~ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ mentioned stands Glaukos
ó Kapúбтıos Гла仑̂коs ．．3．．of Karystos．His portrait rov̂ Г入av́кov ठ̀є тो̀v єiкóva was dedicated by his son
 Г入avкías òè Aǐıvグтクs èmol－
 ठिıàs тарє́Хєтає $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，о̃ть

 $\pi \epsilon ф v к \omega ́ s$ ． Aegina；the figure presents the appearance of a man boxing for practice，since Glaukos was the most con－ summate boxer of his time in the art of using his arms．

Other athlete－statues by Glaukias were those of
Theagenes of Thasos，victorious in the pankration，O1． 75 and 76 （ 48 o and 476 B．c．）（Paus．v．11．2）．A fragment of the base was found at Olympia（Löwy 29）．

Philon of Korkyra，victorious in boxing twice（epitaph by Simonides，who died 467 B．C．）（Paus．vi．9．9）．
（d）Anaxagoras．

63．Paus．v．23．I（At Olympia）$\pi a \rho \epsilon \xi$ เóvtı $\delta$ ถ̀ $\pi a \rho a ̀$


 ä $\rho к т о \nu$ è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi а \nu \tau \iota ~ a ̈ \gamma а \lambda \mu \alpha ́ ~$







 ．．．3．．．тò ठè ă $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$ év
（At Olympia）Passing by the entrance to the council－ chamber，one may see a statue of Zeus，bearing no inscription，and turning to the north，another statue of Zeus，which faces the east，and was dedicated by the Greeks who fought at Plataea against Mardonios and the Persians．On the right hand of the base are inscribed the names of the cities which took part in the battle．The image

 pas Alyเขท́тクs.
dedicated by the Greeks at Olympia was made by Anaxagoras of Aegina.

After 479 B. c.

## 4. ATHENS.

(a) Antenor.

Date.-(I) An inscription from the Akropolis of Athens ('E $\phi$. 'Apx. 1886, Pl. vi. 4, cp. Jahrb. 1887, p. 146) reads N'́ap oos àv[ ['́O $\eta \kappa \in \nu$
 [aै $\gamma_{a} \lambda \mu a$ ], and probably dates from the close of the sixth century. On Eumares the painter, see $O . S .377$. It cannot be proved that the statue published with this base in Antike Denkmäler, i. 53, was originally connected with it. (2) No. 64 must have been erected after $510 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.
64. Paus. i. 8. 5 ov̉ $\pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega$







 тои́тovs äтє $\lambda a ́ \phi v \rho a$, катє́$\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu$ v̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ 'A $\begin{aligned} \\ \pi\end{aligned}$ 'Avtioxos.

Not far off are the statues of Harmodios and Aristogeiton, who slew Hipparchos. The one pair are the work of Kritios, while the older ones were made by Antenor. When Xerxes captured Athens after the Athenians had deserted the city, he carried them away as spoils, and Antiochos afterwards restored them to the Athenians.

Antiochos Soter, $281-261$ B.C. According to others Seleukos (Val. Max.) or Alexander himself (Pliny, Arrian) restored the statues, which stood in the upper part of the Kerameikos on the ascent to the Akropolis.

## (b) Kritios and Nesiotes.

Date.-Three inscriptions have been found on the Akropolis (Löwy 38-40), of which the first is from the base of No. 66. From these we recover the true form of the name Kritios (Kritias in the MSS. of Plin., Paus., and Lucian). Their date is 460 B.C. or earlier. No. 65 is dated 477 B. C. by the Parian marble.
65. Lucian, Philops. 18


 мата ध̈бтทкеข, of тирауvoкто́yоь.

Pass by the statues on the right as you enter, amongst which stand the slayers of the tyrant, the handiwork of Kritios and Nesiotes.

Paus. mentions Kritios only in No. 64. On the date v. supr. They replaced the portraits removed by Xerxes. Restored copies exist at Naples and elsewhere, and the group is depicted on Athenian coins and on Panathenaic amphora. See Ov. I', Figs. 26-28.
66. Paus, i. 23.9 ảvóptáv-





Among the portraitstatues which stand next to the horse is that of Epicharinos, who practised the race in armour, by Kritios.

The inscription (v. supr.) gives both names. The nature of the contest must have been inferred from the attitude and costume of the figure, since the inscription does not read (as was formerly supposed) 'Eтьхарivos отлıтодоро́нos. The second word gave the father's name.
67. Lucian, Rhet. Praecept. 9 єiтd́ $\sigma \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ ט́бєє §ŋ入ov̂v éкeivovs тov̀s àpXaiovs



Then he will bid you imitate those ancient orators, setting before you stale models of speeches hard to imitate, like the

 каไ тติข ддфф Крітьоу каґ $\mathrm{N} \eta \sigma \iota \omega ́ т \eta \nu$, à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \phi \iota \gamma \mu$ éva каі̀
 $\beta \omega ิ s$ ḋпотєтацє́va таîs $\gamma \rho a \mu$ $\mu$ aîs.

On Hegesias, v. infr.
áкр. àmoт. таîs үраццаîs] refers to the prominent use of straight lines and surfaces, imparting severity of outline to the figure. Cf. Lucian, Zeurxis 5 tò àmoreivaı ràs ypa
(c) Heglas (Hegesias).

Date.-An inscription from the Acropolis ( $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \tau$. 'A $\rho \chi$. 1889, p. 37 f.) reads 'Hyias é $\pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, and appears to be of the same period as Löwy 38 (Kritios and Nesiotes). The stone was damaged by fire, probably in the Persian destruction ( $480 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.). The artist is coupled with Kritios and Nesiotes by Lucian in No. 67, and with Kallon by Quintilian, and was the teacher of Pheidias according to a certain emendation by Otfried Müller of the text of Dion Chrys. 55, p. 169, 4 Dind. (HIIOY for HחOY). The form Hegesias is found in Lucian, Quintilian, and Pliny.
68. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 78 Hegiae Minerua Pyrrhusque rex laudatur, et кє $\kappa \eta \tau \ell$ Sovtes pueri, et Castor et Pollux ante aedem Jouis Tonantis ; Hegesiae in Pario colonia Hercules.

The Athena and King Pyrrhos of Hegias are noted works, also his boys riding race-horses, and his Kastor and Polydeukes, which stand before the temple of Jupiter the Thunderer; by Hegesias is a Herakles in the colony of Parium.

Hegesiae] Hagesiae MSS. Pliny has derived notes from different sources referring to the artist under two names. 'Pyrrhus
rex' must be a mistake of Pliny, and refers to Neoptolemos, the son of Achilles, by his second name.
kel $\begin{aligned} \text { ritovees pueri] Overbeck suggests a comparison with }\end{aligned}$ funeral monuments, such as the 'rider of Vari' (Ath. Mitth. 1879, Pl. iii), but Olympic victors are equally probable. Cp. Nos. 85, 239.
in Pario colonia] Augustus founded a military colony at Parium on the Propontis.

## 5. ELIS.

## Kallon.

Date.-The inscription of No. 70 from Olympia (Löwy 33) is posterior to 496 B. C., showing the influence of the Samian immigrants at Rhegion in its Ionic dialect.
69. Paus. v. 25. 4 oi The Messenians dediMєбनท́vıo . . . єiкóvas és cated statues of bronze at 'O $\lambda v \mu \pi i a \nu$ àvé $\theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu \chi^{a \lambda \kappa \alpha ̂ s, ~ O l y m p i a, ~ r e p r e s e n t i n g ~ t h e ~}$ नùv $\delta$ §̀ aùrô̂s тòv $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa a \lambda о \nu$ тоv̂ Х०مov̂ каіे тòv aủえךтท́v. chorus, the trainer and the flute-player. The original inscription indicated that they were offerings of the Messenians dwelling on the strait: afterwards Hippias, called by the Greeks the Wise, composed the elegiac lines inscribed on the monument. Thestatues are the work of Kallon of Elis.

The chorus was lost by the foundering of the ship which carried it across the straits of Rhegion. Zankle became Messene in 494 B. C.
70. Paus. v. 27. 8 (At Olympia) ov $\pi \dot{o} \rho \rho \omega$ ठ̇ $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ of the people of Pheneos is
 ̇̇бті̀ äуалиа, кทри́кєьov Hermes holding the herald's


 Ká $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu a{ }^{\prime}{ }^{H} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon ิ 0 \nu$. upon it states that Glaukias of Rhegion dedicated it, and Kallon of Elis made it.

The inscription reads [rגavki]at $\mu \epsilon$ Ká ${ }^{2} \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \epsilon[\hat{a} \quad F] a \lambda \epsilon i[0] s$


## 6. NAUPAKTOS.

## Menaichmos and Soidas.

71. Paus. vii. 18. $9 \Pi$ т $\rho \in \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \iota \delta$ ठ̀ ó A



 єîXє тıцd́s. . . IO. tò $\mu$ è̀


 ठ̀̀ Mévalхдоs каl इotòas єíруа́бауто тєкцаі́роутає ठѐ бфâs Kaváxov тô̂ $\Sigma$ เкvळvlov

 ทi入ıкiav viavt́pous.

Augustus bestowed on the people of Patrai, amongst other treasures from the spoil of Kalydon, the image of Artemis Laphria, which was held in honour on the Akropolis of Patrai down to my own time. The goddess is represented as a huntress, and the statue was made in gold and ivory by Menaichmos and Soidas of Naupaktos: it is inferred that they were slightly later in time than Kanachos of Sikyon and Kallon of Aegina.

Studniczka (Röm. Mitth. 1886, p. 277 ff.) maintains that the Artemis of Naples (F.W.442) is a copy of this work, and that it is
represented on coins of Augustus (loc. cit. Pl. x. infr.). But there seems no doubt that the true type is given by the coins of Patrai (N'um. Comm. Q. vi-x). For Artemis represented as an Amazon at this early period, cp. the relief from Asopos, A. Z. 1882, Pl. vi.

## §2. THE SCULPTORS OF THE TRANSITIONAL PERIOD.

## 1. PYTHAGORAS.

Date.-(1) The inscription on the base of the portrait of Euthymos (v. infr.) (Löwy 23) reads Пvөayópas इápos. This shows that Pliny and Diogenes Laertius are wrong in distinguishing two sculptors of the name, one from Rhegion and the other from Samos. Pythagoras was doubtless one of the Samians who emigrated to Zankle on the fall of Samos in 496 B. C., and became subject to Anaxilas of Rhegion. (2) Astylos (v. infr.) was victorious Ol. 73-75 ( 488 -480 в. C.) ; as he described himself as a Syracusan in Ol. 74-75, and Paus. says that the statue was of Astylos Kpotшvárŋs, it must have commemorated the first victory. (3) Euthymos (v. infr.) was victorious OI. 74, 76, 77 ( $484,476,472$ B. C.). On his supposed teacher Klearchos, v. supr. No. 21 note.
72. Plin. N.H. xxxiv. 59 Uicit eum(Myronem)Pythagoras Rheginus ex Italia pancratiaste Delphis posito, codem uicit et Leontiscum; fecit et $\sigma \tau a \delta ̊ \iota o ́ \delta \rho о \mu о y ~ A s-~$ tylon, qui Olympiae ostenditur, et Libyn <et> puerum tenentem flagellum eodem loco, et mala ferentem

Pythagoras of Rhegion surpassed him (Myron) with his pankratiast dedicated at Delphi, with which he outdid Leontiskos also; he also represented the runner Astylos, a work which is shown at Olympia, also a Libyan and a boy holding a whip, likewise at Olympia,
nudum, Syracusis autem claudicantem, cuius ulceris dolorem sentire etiam spectantes uidentur, item Apollinem serpentemque eius sagittis configi, citharoedum qui $\Delta$ fкatos appellatus est, quod, cum Thebae ab Alexandro caperentur, aurum a fugiente conditum sinu eius celatum esset. Hic primus neruos et uenas expressit capillumque diligentius. 60. Fuit et alius Pythagoras, Samius, initio pictor, cuius signa ad aedem Fortunae huiusce diei septem nuda et senis unum laudata sunt; hic supra dicto facie quoque indiscreta similis fuisse traditur.
and a nude figure bearing apples, a lame man at Syracuse, the pain of whose wound seems to be felt by the spectator,also an Apollo transfixing the serpent with his arrows, and a musician with his lyre, which was called 'the Just,' because, when Thebes was taken by Alexander, a fugitive hid his gold in its bosom, where it remained concealed. He was the first to represent sinews and veins, and to bestow attention on the treatment of hair. There was another Pythagoras, a Samian, who began life as a painter. His works, seven nude figures and one old man, stand by the temple of Fortune to this day and are famous; the story runs that his countenance too precisely resembled that of the other Pythagoras.

Leontiscum] Pliny seems to regard Leontiskos as an artist. He was really a native of Messina, victorious in wrestling at Olympia, whose portrait was made by Pythagoras (Paus. vi. 4.3).

Astylon] A Krotoniate runner, thrice victorious in the single and double course. On the two latter occasions he proclaimed himself a Syracusan as a compliment to Hieron (Paus. vi. 13. 1).

Libyn ... flagellum] Two alterations are here made in the text-et inserted, and flagellum for MSS. tabellam. Furtwängler suggested similar but somewhat more violent changes. 'Libys' must be Mnaseas 'the Libyan' of Kyrene, a victor in the race in armour, whose portrait by Pythagoras stood at Olympia (Paus. vi. 13.7) ; 'puer tenens flagellum,' his son Kratisthenes, on whom v. infr. No. 73. Pliny translated $\pi a i ̂ \partial a$ in his Greek authority by ' puerum,' instead of ' filium.'
elaudicantem] Generally supposed (after Lessing, Laokoon c. 2) to mean Philoktetes. The participle in Pliny's use often covers a proper name, and probably points to a Greek source. Cf. catagusa ( $=$ катá ${ }^{\prime}$ ovora) in No. 189 , which may mean Hekate.
citharoedum] The кitapwós both played and sang to the lyre. This was a portrait of one Kleon of Thebes (Ath. i. 19 B).
hic primus] v. Introduction, § 2.
alius] Probably identical with the first. See the explanation given above.
 кaì тоv̂ Kvpףvaiov Kрatıซөє́-




 бヒ́ov тоv̂ $\delta \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \omega s$, $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \lambda \eta$ -



 रópov тéx $\nu \eta$.

There is also the bronze chariot of Kratisthenes the Kyrenian; both Victory and Kratisthenes himself are mounted on the car. It is plain that his team has won a victory; and it is said that Kratisthenes was the son of the runner Mnaseas, to whom the Greeks gave the surname of 'the Libyan.' His offerings at Olympia are the work of Pythagoras of Rhegion.
V. supra note on No. 72, Libyn . . . flagellum.
74. Dion Chrys. 37. IO $\mu \in ́ \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \quad \mu \in ́ \nu \tau 0 \iota$ ovito九 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ their position and place,
 ... Tó $\gamma \in \epsilon \in \pi^{\prime}$ av̉roîs civaı bronze immoveable, even

 Пep $\sigma \in$ ús. though they have wings, like the Perseus of Pythagoras.
oìrot] =statues (ol àyôplánres).
חutarópou] It is possible that the name is merely a slip, and that Dion was really thinking of the Perseus of Myron (No. 88, note).
75. Tatian, c. Graec. 54 $\pi \omega ิ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o v ~ \chi \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ v ~ a ̉ \delta є \lambda \phi o-~$
 of Подvขєíкovs каl 'Eтєо$\kappa \lambda$ ќous o̊ $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тà $\sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \alpha$
 Пvөауо́рає катаßоөрю́баутєя бvvaтód入vтє тŋ̂s какías тà

76. Varro, L. L. v. $3^{1}$ Europa . . . quam ex Phoenice Mallius scribit taurum exportasse, quorum egregiam imaginem ex aere Pythagoras Tarenti fecit.
77. Diog. Laert. viii. 46


 $\mu$ о̂̂ каі $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho l a s ~ є ̇ \sigma \tau о \chi a ́ \sigma-~$



Is it not shameful that ye honour among yourselves the shedding of brothers' blood, when ye look upon the figures of Eteokles and Polyneikes, and do not bury them and Pythagoras who made them and destroy therewith the memorial of their crime?

Europa, who, as Mallius says, was carried away from Phoenicia by a bull; both were represented by Pythagoras in a magnificent bronze group at Tarentum.

Some say that there was another Pythagoras, a sculptor, of Rhegion, who iş thought to have been the first to aim at rhythm and proportion, and yet another, a sculptor of Samos.
puөpov̂ кal $\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i a s]$ The latter is the system of proportions observable in the human frame at rest, the former the system of changes producing a constant harmony of the parts of the body when in motion. The knowledge of the one is the static, of the other the dynamic, of sculpture. See Introduction, § 2.

Other portraits of Olympic victors by Pythagoras :-
Euthymos of Lokroi Epizephyroi, victorious in boxing, Ol. 74, 76, 77 (484, 476, 472 B. c.) (Paus. vi. 6. 4). The inscription (Löwy 23) reads:-





DROMEUS of Stymphatos in Arkadia, victorious in the long footrace (Paus. vi. 7. 10).

Protolaos of Mantineia, victorious in the boys' boxing match (Paus. vi. 6. 1 ).

## 2. KALAMIS.

Date.-(1) He was employed with Onatas on the offerings of Hieron dedicated by Deinomenes after 467 B.C. (2) He was employed by Pindar, who died at a great age in 44 I B. C. (3) His place is next to Kanachos and Kallon in the Canon of Sculptors (v. Introd. §3). See notes on Nos. 78 and 83 .
78. Paus. i. 3. $4 \pi \rho \partial े ~ \delta \grave{~}$








Before the temple is an image of Apollo who is called the Averter of Ill, made by Kalamis. They say that this name was given to the god because he put an end to the plague which afflicted them at the time of the Peloponnesian war by means of an oracle from Delphi.

Athens．Paus．reproduces a common error founded on the vivid impression left by the great plague of $4 \frac{30}{29}$ ，which effaced all others from the popular memory．V．note on No． 43.

79．Strab．vii． $319^{\prime} A \pi o \lambda-$

 oṽ Mápкos $\Lambda$ eúко入入os тòv




Apollonia possessed a shrine of Apollo on a small island from which M． Lucullus carried away the colossal statue of Apollo， the work of Kalamis，and dedicated it on the Capitol．

Apollonia］On the Black Sea，a colony of Miletos．
tòv ko入oơóv］According to Pliny，N．H．xxxiv．39，it was 30 cubits in height，and cost 500 talents（ $£ 125,000$ ）．

80．Paus．ix．22．I＇s $\delta$ ह̀






 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\omega} \mu \omega \nu$ ．

As to the surname of Hermes＇the Bearer of the Ram＇their story is that Hermes averted a plague from them by carrying a ram round the city wall ：to commemoratethis，Kalamis made an image of Hermes carrying a ram on his shoulders．

At Tanagra，where the ceremony was repeated yearly by a youth re resenting Hermes．

On monuments supposed to represent this statue，v．F．W． 418 ， 419，and Ov．I＇． 280 （figs．75，76）．

81．Paus．ii．10． 3 โ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda-$




At the entrance is a statue of the god，beard－ less，in gold and ivory，by Kalamis：he holds a sceptre

 тòv $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \notin \rho o v$. other a cone of the cultivated pine.

In the temple of Asklepios at Sikyon.
82. Paus. v. 26. 6 тapà Beside the Athena stands
 Ník $\eta^{*}$ тav́rŋv Mavtıvê̂s avé- cated by the Mantineans. Өєđav . . . Ká入auts ठ̀̀ oùk




It is said that Kalamis represented her without wings in imitation of the old image of the so-called 'Wingless Victory' at Athens.

At Olympia.
т $\mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ 'Amtépou] Really Athena Nike, popularly called 'Niкn "Amrepos.' Her temple stands on the south-west bastion of the Akropolis.
83. Lucian, Eikóves 6

 тд̀ $\mu \epsilon \iota \delta$ (а $\mu a \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ òv каі̀ $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta$ òs

 à $\nu a \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}_{S} \pi a \rho a ̀ \tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \Sigma \omega \sigma \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \delta \rho_{\rho}$,



Kalamis and the Saviour of Men shall adorn her with shamefacedness, and she shall have the noble, unconscious smile of the goddess, and shall borrow the trim and modest folds of her garment from the Saviour of Men; only she shall not, like her, have her head covered.

From Lucian's picture of an ideal beauty, 'Panthea.' This so-called 'Sosandra' is generally identified with a statue of Aphrodite, which, according to Paus. i. 23. 2, was dedicated by Kallias
（possibly the wealthy Athenian of that name known as $\dot{\delta} \lambda_{a \kappa k o ́-}^{-}$ $\pi$ गovoos，who flourished circ． $480 \mathrm{B.C}$. ）at the entrance to the Akropolis；since Lucian speaks of the＇Sosandra＇as seen by all who ascended the Akropolis．In Dial．Meretr．iii． 2 he speaks of a dancer，praised for the beauty of her ankles and her rhythmical motions，as though she were the Sosandra of Kalamis．

84．Paus．v．25． 5 тоîs èv Motún ßapßápots＇Aкрa－ үаขтivol катабтávtes ès $\pi$ ó̀ $\epsilon-$ mov каì 入єíav тє каì 入áфvр́a à $\pi^{\prime}$ av̉t $\omega$ ข $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ ảvé $\theta \in \sigma a \nu$ тov̀s $\pi a i ̂ \partial a s ~ e ̀ s ' O \lambda \nu \mu \pi i a \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$ Ха入койs，$\pi \rho о т \epsilon$ ivovтás тє тàs


 є้้каऽоv，каì ès av̉rov̀s катà тà av̉ァà єỉไєv ס́ $\lambda o ́ y o s$.

The Agrigentines having gone to war with the bar－ barians of Motya，and taken much booty and spoil from them，dedicated at Olympia the bronze boys，who are extending their right hands， and seem to be addressing prayer to the god．I con－ jectured them to be the work of Kalamis，and such was the tradition concern－ ing them．

In a previous section（\＄2）Paus．ascribes to Motya（the later Lilybaeum）the geographical situation of Motyca（the modern Modica，in the S．E．corner of Sicily）；but the first named must be the town here referred to．It is，however，suggested that Motya was misread by Paus．for Motyon，a fort in Agrigentine territory recaptured from Duketios in 451 B．C．（Diod．xi．92）．

85．Paus．vi．12．I $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ tov





 vikaıs＇О $\lambda v \mu \pi เ а к а і ิ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i v ~$


Hard by is a chariot of bronze，and a man mounted upon it，and beside the chariot stand race－horses on either hand，and boys are seated upon the horses． These commemorate the Olympic victories of Hieron，







 oi $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$. offerings were not sent by Hieron himself, but the debt was paid to the god by Deinomenes, the son of Hieron. The chariot is the work of Onatas of Aegina, while the horses on either side and the boys seated on them are by Kalamis.

Cp. No. 58 note.
86. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 71 Habet simulacrum et benignitas eius. Calamidis enim quadrigae aurigam suum imposuit, ne melior in equorum effigie defecisse in homine crederetur.

There is also a statue which bears witness to his kindness. For he placed a charioteer of his own on a four-horse chariot of Kalamis, lest the artist who excelled in representing horses should be thought to have failed in his treatment of the human figure.

Praxiteles (perhaps the elder of that name, v. infr. No. 189 note) is referred to. Kalamis was specially renowned for his horses, Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 71 Equis semper sine aemulo expressis, Prop. iii. 9. 10 Exactis Calamis se mihi iactat equis.
87. Dion. Hal. de Isocr. p. 522 R. ठокєĩ $\delta$ ठ̀ $\mu \circ \iota \mu \eta े$

 Подขклєítov тє каl Фєьốov

I think that it would not be wide of the mark to compare the oratory of Isokrates to the art of Polykleitos and Pheidias,
$\tau \in \dot{\chi} \nu \eta$, катà тò $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ка؛ with its grandeur and

 каi Ka入入ıцáхоv тท̂s $\lambda \epsilon \pi т o ́ т \eta-$ тоs ëvєка каіे тท̂s Xápıтоs.
breadth of style and sublimity, and that of Lysias to the art of Kalamis and Kallimachos, with its delicacy and grace.

On Kallimachos, v. No. 153 .
Other works by Kalamis :-
Zeus Ammon at Thebes, executed for Pindar (Paus. ix. 16. i).
Dionysos at Tanagra, of Parian marble (Paus. ix. 20.4).
An Erinnys at Athens, v. infr. No. 208.
Alkmene (Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 7r).
Hermione, dedicated by the Spartans at Delphi (Paus. x. 16. 4).

## 3. MYRON.

Date.-According to Pliny he was the pupil of Ageladas and rival of Pythagoras, on whom v. supra. His son Lykios seems to have been employed on work of importance in 446 B.C. (infr. No. 147).
88. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 57 Myronem Eleutheris natum, Ageladae et ipsum discipulum, bucula maxime nobilitauit celebratis uersibus laudata (quando alieno plerique ingenio magis quam suo commendantur). Fecit et canem et discobolum et Perseum et pristas et satyrum admirantem tibias et Mineruam, Delphicos pentathlos, pancratiastas, Herculem qui est

Myron, born at Eleutherai, also a pupil of Ageladas, was made famous chiefly by his cow, whose praises are sung in wellknown lines-for there are many whose fame rests not on their own genius, but on that of others. He also made a dog and a quoit-thrower, and Perseus and sawyers, and a satyr gazing in wonderment at the flutes and Athena,
apud Circum maximum in winners in the five contests aede Pompei Magni. Fe- at Delphi, pankratiasts, a cisse et cicadae monu- Herakles which stands by mentum ac lucustae carminibus suis Erinna significat. 58. fecit et Apollinem quem ab triumuiro Antonio sublatum restituit Ephesiis diuos Augustus admonitus in quiete. Primus hic multiplicasse ueritatem uidetur, numerosior in arte quam Polyclitus et in symmetria diligentior, et ipse tamen corporum tenus curiosus animi sensus non expressisse, capillum quoque et pubem non emendatius fecisse quam rudis antiquitas instituisset. the great Circus in the temple of Pompey the Great. Erinna too mentions in her poems that he made the gravestone of a cicada and a locust. He also made an Apollo, which was carried away by Antony the triumvir and restored to the Ephesians by Augustus, after a warning conveyed in a dream. He is thought to have been the first to extend the province of lifelike representation in art; his art was more rhythmical than that of Polykleitos, and his proportions more carefullystudied, yet he too expended his care on the bodily frame, and did not represent the emotions of the mind. His treatment too of the hair of the head and body showed no advance on the rude attempts of early art.

[^8]bucula] The cow stood on the Akropolis of Athens, but was afterwards removed to the Forum Pacis at Rome. Thirty-six epigrams upon it are preserved in the Anthology, but they give no information of any value.
canem] Benndorf corrects this to 'Ladam,' thinking the mention of an important work called for in this place. V. infr. No. 92.
discobolum] V. infr. No. 93.

Perseum] Paus. i. 23.7 mentions Múp $\begin{aligned} & \text { vos } \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma e ́ a ~ r o ̀ ~ e ́ s ~ M e ́ d o v a a \nu ~\end{aligned}$ "Pyov cipyao $\mu$ evov in describing the Akropolis of Athens. From the use of the perfect participle ('P. after his exploit') we learn that this was a single figure. For another explanation v. the following note.
pristas] Some editors translate 'sea-monsters,' which would be 'pristes.' If the reading is right we may ( 1 ) connect the word with 'Perseum,' the carpenters forming part of a group representing the enclosure of Danae and Perseus in the chest (Mayer, Ath. Mitth. 1891, p. 246), or (2) interpret with reference to the game of see-saw (Murray, Class. Rev. 1887, p. 3). Löschcke corrects 'pyctas,' 'boxers.'
satyrum . . . Mineruam] The words of Pliny leave it an open question whether 'Mineruam' is governed by 'admirantem' or by 'fecit.' In the latter case it is still possible to combine both figures in a group. Paus. i. 24. 1 describes a group on the Akropolis of Athens as follows :-





Here Athena is represented in the act of striking the Satyr Marsyas, because he took up the flutes when the goddess wished them to be thrown aside.

Brunn would read émtov̂ซa 'advancing upon' for $\pi$ aiovora, which might however have an inceptive sense ( $=$ ' on the point of striking ${ }^{\prime}$ ). A group of monuments figured in $O v . I^{4}$, Fig. 73 (p. 269) seems to represent the group alluded to by Pausanias and Pliny.
cicadae monumentum] An epigram in Anth. Pal. vii. 190 by Anyte mentions a tomb erected to a locust and cicada by a girl named Myro, whom Pliny has confused with the sculptor.
multiplicasse ueritatem] This seems to mean that M. increased the number of situations in which the human figure could be represented with truth to nature beyond those current in his time. And this interpretation is certainly borne out by what we know of his works. It is also held to mean that he, as it were, 'raised nature to a higher power'-i.e. seized the moments when nature
displays an abnormal activity. This might pass as a fair criticism of his works, but is hardly contained in the words of Pliny.
numerosior in arte] Probably to be explained with Overbeck
 in sculpture, see No. 77 note. It could also mean (I) that there was more variety in his subjects than in those of P . (cp. Quint. v. 10. 10 numerosum opus), though this seems to be already implied in the previous words, or (2) that he was more prolific (cp. Plin. N. H. xxxv. 130 (Antidotus) diligentior quam numerosior).
et . . . diligentior] These words have created much difficulty since the 'canon' of Polykleitos was renowned as a model of proportions. Several alterations of the text have been proposed, of which the simplest is the omission of 'et'; the words will then mean 'his mastery of rhythm was greater than Polykleitos' accuracy in proportion'; but it seems doubtful whether this expression is good Latin; it would be improved by the further omission of 'Polykleitos.' (Substitute 'his' for 'Polykleitos' in the translation given above.) But the true solution of the difficulty seems to be that the series of criticisms which Pliny borrows (v. Introduction, § 2), proceeds from a pupil or admirer of Lysippos, and places Myron higher than Polykleitos, whose proportions are disapproved (v. infr. No. 24I).
89. Paus. ii. 30. $2 \theta \epsilon \omega ิ y$


 тє каi тò خoเтòv $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$.

The Aeginetans honour Hekate above all deities. Their image is the work of Myron; it has but one face, and the rest of the body is likewise one.

Alkamenes (v. infr. No. 129) was said to be the first to represent Hekate in threefold form.
90. Strab. xiv. 637 т $\rho$ ía


 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ o̊ $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma \tau$ òs Kaîoap єis

There were three colossal statues by Myron, standing on one base, which Antony removed. Augustus, however, restored two



 vaî́rкоv.
of them, the Athena and the Herakles, and set them up on the same base, but removed the Zeus to the Capitol, where he had built a shrine for it.

Originally at Samos.
91. Paus. ix. 30. I тò ठ̀є a้yалиa ảvét $\theta \eta \kappa є \quad \Sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda a s$
 тต̂v Múp $\omega \nu$ os $\theta$ éas $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$


 $\mu \in \nu$ os tov̀s Mivúas.

The standing image of Dionysos, which is the most remarkable of the works of Myron after the Erechtheus at Athens, was dedicated by Sulla. It was not his own property, but was taken by him from the Minyai of Orchomenos.

On Mount Helikon. The Erechtheus here referred to is supposed to have formed part of a group on the Akropolis described
 large statues of bronze, representing men facing each other in single combat.' The combatants were Erechtheus and Eumolpos.
02. Anth. Plan. iv. 54.

 ©vио́v, е̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ акрота́тч vєvpà таөєis ôvvхц,
 Múpov, è $\pi i$ таעлi xapágas бө́цать Пьбаlov тробסокínv $\sigma \tau \epsilon ф$ ávov. (b) $\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{p \eta} \quad i \lambda \pi i \delta \partial o s \quad 2 \sigma \pi i v$, gives.
äкpots $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \quad \chi$ Eide- $\quad \mathrm{He}$ is full of hope, and $\sigma \iota \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \mu a$
 $\theta \in \nu$ éк $\lambda a \gamma \delta ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
$\pi \eta \delta \tilde{\eta}^{\sigma} \sigma \iota \quad \tau \alpha ́ \chi a \quad \chi^{a \lambda \kappa \grave{s}}$ ย̀ $\pi \ell$ бтéфо૬, ov̉ס̀ $\kappa a \theta \in \xi \in \epsilon$
 натоs ఉкขтє́ $\rho a$. on his lips is seen the breath that comes from the hollow flanks; anon the bronze will leap to seize the crown, and the base will hold it no longer ; see how art is swifter than the wind!

Ladas was probably an Argive (since Paus. saw his statue in the temple of Apollo Lykios at Argos), and was victorious in the long foot-race at Olympia.
93. Lucian, Philops. 18 Surely, said I, you do
 фクेs тòv Ł̇ாเкєкvфо́та катà тò
 $\mu \in ́ v o v$ єis тो̀v ठิєбкофо́роу,






thrower who stoops in the attitude of one who is making his cast, turning round toward the hand that holds the quoit, and bending the other knee gently beneath him, like one who will rise erect as he hurls the quoit? No, said he, for that quoitthrower of whom you speak is one of the works of Myron.

Reproduced in many copies, of which the best is in the Palazzo Lancelotti, Rome (Coll. I, Pl. xi).

Quintilian (ii. 13.8) says of it, 'Quid tam distortum et elaboratum, quam est ille discobolus Myronis'-'What can be more strained and artificial in its attitude than the famous quoit-thrower of Myron ?'
94. Prop. ii. 31. 7 And about the altar Atque aram circum stete- stood Myron's herd, four rant armenta Myronis kine from the master's Quattuor artifices, uiuida hand, statues full of life. signa, boues.
aram] In the colonnade of the temple of Apollo on the Palatine.
95. Petron. 88 Myron, Myron, who could almost qui paene hominum ani- catch the souls of men and mas ferarumque aere com- beasts and enchain them in prehenderat, non inuenit bronze, found no heir. heredem.
animas] The principle of animal life, not the mind; hence there is no contradiction with Pliny's 'animi sensus non expressisse.' Cp. the epithets ' $\epsilon \mu \pi \nu 0 \varepsilon$,' No. 92 , ' uiuida,' No. 94.

Other works by Myron :-
Apollo at Agrigentum, carried away by Verres (Cic. Verr. iv. 43. 93).

Herakles, taken from Heius the Mamertine by Verres (Cic. Verr. iv. 3. 5).
Olympic victors :-
Lykinos of Sparta, victorious in the chariot-race.
Timanthes of Kleonai, victorious in the pankration.
Philippos of Pellana in Arkadia, victorious in the boys' boxingmatch.

Chionis of Sparta, victorious in the foot-race, Ol. 29-31 (664656).
[The 'drunken old woman' attributed to Myron by Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 32 belongs to a later artist of the same name ; see Weisshäupl, 'E $\phi$. 'A $\rho \chi$. 1891, p. 143.]

## PART III

## THE AGE OF PHEIDIAS AND POLYKLEITOS

Nos. 96-181.

## § i. THE ATTIC SCHOOL.

## 1. PHEIDIAS.

(a) Life.

The statements that he was the pupil of Hegias (Part I. § 1.4 (c)) and Ageladas (No.43) rest on the authority of Dion Chrysostom (as emended) and the Scholia on Aristophanes respectively. Pliny's 'floruit' (Ol. $83=448$ B. C.) may be based ( 1 ) on that of Perikles, (2) on the completion of the Olympian Zeus.
96. Plin. N. H. xxxv. For tradition tells that 54 Cum et Phidiam ipsum initio pictorem fuisse tradatur clipeumque Athenis ab eo pictum. Pheidias himself began life as a painter, and that there is a shield at Athens painted by him.
clipeum] It is suggested by Urlichs that this refers to the inner surface of the shield of Parthenos. This is, however, in contradiction to the words of Pliny in No. 106. Panainos, the brother of Pheidias, painted the inner surface of the shield carried by the Athena of Kolotes at Elis.
97. Plut. Perikl. 13 As the buildings rose,



 ข์ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ गो $v$ ठि $\eta \mu เ ซ \nu \rho-$ үlà тñ кал入ıтєхข!¢̣, $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma т а ~$ Өavuáotov ịv тд̀ тáxos . . . the workmen vied with each other that the quality of their work might be enhanced by its artistic beauty. Most wonderful of all was

 Фєьòías.
the rapidity of construction. Pheidias managed everything, and was his(Perikles') overseer in all the work.

Plut. refers to the architectural and artistic works carried out under Perikles.
98. Plut. Perikl. $3^{1}$

 фíगos ठ̀ $\tau$ т̣̂ Пєрเклєî $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́-$

 モ้ $\sigma \chi \in \nu$ ÈX $\theta$ рoùs $\phi \theta$ ovov́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs, oi

 Пєрıклєî крıтท́s, Mévตvá тıva

 $\sigma \iota \nu$, aitoú $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ä $\delta \in \iota a \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi t$
 Фєєठ̊iov. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta ̀ \epsilon \xi a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v$ ò




 үа́бато каі̀ тєрьє́ $\theta \eta к \in \nu$ ঠ̀ Фєto̊ías, $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$ то̂ $\Pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ $\kappa \lambda \notin o v s, ~ \overleftarrow{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ v v$ òvvatòv





Pheidias the sculptor accepted the contract for the statue; and being a friend of Perikles, with considerable influence over him, he became an object of jealousy and acquired many enemies, while the democratic party made his case a test of the probable disposition of the jurors towards Perikles. They suborned one Menon, an assistant of Pheidias, and caused him to sit as a suppliant in the market-place and demand assurance of pardon, in order that he might accuse Pheidias or give evidence against him. The people listened to the man's charges, and there was a trial in the assembly; but the charge of theft was not proved; for Pheidias had by Perikles' advice originally fitted the gold
 Фєьòíav каі̀ $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \theta$＇õть тク̀ $\nu$
 a่ $\sigma \pi$ 亿̂̀ $\pi \circ เ \omega ิ \nu$ aข̉тov̂ тเขà
 фалакро仑̂，$\pi \in ́ \tau \rho о v$ è $\pi \eta \rho \mu$ évov $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ д̀ $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \quad \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega े \nu$, ка؛ то仑̂ Пєріклє́ovs єiккóva
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \mu a \zeta ̧ o ́ v a . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta ̀ ̀ ~ \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ Tท̂s Xelpós，àvarєเขov́ons ठópv

 $\nu \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，olov ่̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho v i \pi \tau \epsilon เ \nu$ ßоv́лєтає тท̀v ס́ $\mu о$ เóт $\eta \tau а$ тарафаเขоцќ－ $\nu \eta \nu$ ėкатє́ $\rho \omega \theta \in \nu$ ，ò $\mu$ èv $\nu$ ov̂v Фєioías єis тò ठ̀єбرштท́pıov


 $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ ย̇X $\neq \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \pi а \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
to the statue，and fastened it upon it in such a manner that it was quite possible to take it off and deter－ mine its weight，which Perikles ordered the ac－ cusers to do．But the fame of his works caused Pheidias to be the victim of jealousy，notably be－ cause，in representing the battle of the Amazons on the shield，he had intro－ duced a figure of himself as a bald old man lifting up a stone in both hands， and a very fine portrait of Perikles fighting with an Amazon．The attitude of the arm，however，which is levelling a spear across the face of Perikles，is in－ geniously contrived with the intention，as it were，of concealing the likeness of which a glimpse is shown on either side．Pheidias then was cast into prison， where he fell sick and died －or，as some say，was poisoned by his enemies， in order to bring discredit upon Perikles．

## 

aủrov̂ tuvd $\mu \circ \rho \phi \dot{\eta} v$ ] Clearly seen on the so-called 'Strangford shield' in the British Museum (Brit. Mus. Catalogue of Greek Sculpture, p. 99), where, however, the figure holds an axe. Aristotle, $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ ouvpavov $399^{\mathrm{b}}$, tells a story to the effect that the shield contained a hidden mechanism by which, if the head were removed, the whole statue would fall to pieces.
99. Schol. Ar. Pax 605
 Хоутоs таข̂тá ф $\quad \sigma \iota^{\circ}$ каї то̀ ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a \tau \grave{\partial} \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\jmath^{\prime}} \mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a ̂ s$ Є̇ $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \theta \eta$ єls $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega ̀ \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu$ . . . каi Фєıסías ó тоıŋ́таs, סógas $\pi a \rho a \lambda о \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \tau \partial े \nu$





 ठ̊ف́pov.

Philochoros, writing of the archonship of Theodoros, says:- ${ }^{6}$ The golden image of Athena was placed in the great temple. The artist, Pheidias, was thought to have been guilty of peculation in respect of the ivory used for the serpent's scales, and was put on his trial. He fled to Elis, where he is said to have accepted the contract for the image of Zeus at Olympia, and, after completing it, to have been put to death by the Eleans in the archonship of Pythodoros.'

Theodoros was archon 438 B. C., Pythodoros 432 B.C. Both names are corrupt in the text. It is impossible to reconcile this story with that given by Plutarch. From the words of Ar. Pax 605
 outbreak of the Peloponnesian war, we may infer that the later date ( 432 B. C.) for the trial of Pheidias is the correct one. The question, however, still remains whether the Olympian Zeus is earlier ( $456-448$ в. C.) or later ( $438-432$ B. C.) than the Parthenos. On the one hand, (1) it seems improbable that the temple at Olympia, completed in 456 B. C., should have remained for eighteen years without a statue; (2) Pliny dates Panainos, the brother of

Pheidias，Ol． $83=448$ B．C．，possibly by the completion of the statue，in the construction of which he took part；on the other， （1）Dörpfeld（Olympia，Textband ii．pp．16，20）considers that some architectural peculiarities of the base are imitated from that of the Parthenos；（2）Pliny may simply date Panainos by his brother．
（b）WORKs．
I．In Athens．

100．Paus．i．28． 2 xwpis

 $\mu \eta ́ \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu, a ้ \gamma a \lambda \mu a^{3} A \theta \eta \nu a ̂ s \chi a \lambda-$ коvิv ảmò M $\eta$ ́ $\delta \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$＇̇s Mapa－ Өف̂va ふ̉тоßávт $\omega v$ ，тє́X $\nu \eta \Phi_{\epsilon t-}$
 סos $\Lambda a \pi \iota \theta \hat{\nu} \nu$ трòs $\mathrm{K} \in \nu \tau a v ́ p o v s$ $\mu a \chi \eta \nu$ каі ő $\sigma a \quad$ ä入入a єิ $\sigma \tau i v$
 баu Мขิv т $\hat{\varphi}$ ठєे Mvt таข̂тá тє каi тdे 入oเ $\pi \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega ิ \nu$ है $\rho \gamma \omega \nu$ Пар－ páбtov катаурáұаı тòv Ev̉ท́vo－

 тov̂ крávovs ả̃ò इovvíov $\pi \rho \circ \sigma-$


Beside those which I have enumerated，the Athe－ nians have two offerings from the tithes of spoil taken in war；one is a bronze image of Athena from the spoils of the Per－ sians who landed at Mara－ thon，the work of Pheidias ； the battle of the Lapithai and Centaurs on the shield， and the other reliefs are said to be the work of the engraver Mys ；and both these and his other works are said to have been de－ signed for him by Parrha－ sios，the son of Evenor． The point of the spear and crest of the helmet of this Athena are visible even to mariners，as they approach from the side of Sunion．

This statue is usually called the Athena Promachos，a name directly applied to it only by the Scholiast on Dem．Androt． 597 R， and in CIA．iii．1． 638 （circ． 410 A．D．）．The epithet is inap－
propriate to the pose of the statue, which is represented on coins of Athens (Num. Comm. Z. iii-vii) standing between the Propylaia and Erechtheion.

גéyovar topev̂aat Mîv] Mys and Parrhasios both belonged to the generation following Pheidias, and contemporary with the Peloponnesian war. The designs on the shield were therefore a later addition. The Scholiast on Aristid. Panath. p. 320 attributes it to Praxiteles, which Furtwängler accepts as the true tradition, referring to the elder Praxiteles.
àmò Zouviou . . . oúvouta] The Akropolis being invisible from Sunium, we must not connect $\bar{\eta} \delta\rangle \eta$ with the words ànò Sovviov, which give the direction of approach only, but take it closely with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma-$ $\pi \lambda$ ह́nvatv. It merely emphasises the idea that the statue could be seen before landing.
101. Niket. Chon. Isaac. Ang. et Alex. F. p. $73^{8}$ B d̉入入à каі тө̂v áyopaíwv oì








 خ̀ $\sigma \tau о \lambda \eta े ~ к а і ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \tau v \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta ~$ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\imath} \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu . . . \mu \mu \tau \rho a$
 iкаขิิs aủтท̀v $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \in \sigma \phi เ \gamma y \in \nu$.






But the more drunken among the crowd also dashed in pieces the image of Athena, which stood on a column in the forum of Constantine. In stature it rose to the height of about 30 feet, and was clothed in garments of the same material as the whole statue, namely, of bronze. The robe reached to the feet, and was gathered up in several places. A warrior's baldric passed round her waist and clasped it tightly. Over her prominent breasts she wore a cunningly-wrought garment, like an aegis, suspended from her shoulders,






 $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ रो $\delta \in \iota \nu o ̀ \nu \quad \kappa a \theta v ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \in \nu$


 $\pi \omega \nu, \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \leqslant \varsigma \stackrel{j}{\eta} \nu \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, $\mu \eta े ~ Ł \pi i \pi a \nu ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ кра́vєє $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon-$ $\chi о \mu \hat{\epsilon} \eta$, дे $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каí ть $\pi а \rho \epsilon \mu-$




 $\kappa є \phi а \lambda \eta े \nu ~ \eta ु \rho є ́ \mu \alpha ~ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \kappa \lambda เ \nu 0-$


and representing the Gorgon's head. Her neck, which was undraped and of great length, was a sight to cause unrestrained delight. Her veins stood out prominently, and her whole frame was supple and, where need was, well-jointed. Upon her head a crest of horse-hair 'nodded fearfully from above.' Her hair was twisted in a plait and fastened at the back, while that which streamed from her forehead was a feast for the eyes: for it was not altogether concealed by the helmet, which allowed a glimpse of her tresses to be seen. Her left hand held up the folds of her dress, while the right was extended towards the south and supported her head, slightly inclined in the same direction, with the gaze of both eyes fixed on that quarter.

Gurlitt (Analecta Graeciensia, Graz, 1893, p. 99 ff.) has shown that a note by Arethas (archbishop of Caesarea 907 A.D.) on Aristid. Or. 50, p. 408, 15 J (quoted by Overbeck, Schriftquellen 690, cp. 640) refers to the 'bronze Athena' of Pheidias, then in the Forum of

Constantine. Niketas describes the destruction of the same statue by rioters in 1203 A. D. The description seems to be accurate, with the exception of the closing words ; the right hand originally held a lance.
102. Paus. i. 28.2 Tติv ${ }^{\text {en }} \mathrm{p}-$ $\gamma \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ Фєเòívv $\theta \in ́ a s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~$

 $\Lambda \eta \mu \nu$ ias.

The most remarkable of the works of Pheidias, an image of Athena, called the Lemnian, after the dedicators.

On the Akropolis. The dedicators were no doubt the Athenian colonists sent to Lemnos between 451 and 448 B. C. A statue at Dresden, combined with a head at Bologna, seems to represent the type (Furtwängler, Meisterwerke, Pl. I, II. pp. 4-36).
103. Lucian, Eikóves 4 Lyk. Which of the





 каіे тарєเติy тò à $\pi a \lambda \partial े \nu$ каì ค̂̀va $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о \nu \grave{\eta} \Lambda \eta \mu \nu$ la $\pi a \rho \epsilon-$ $\xi \in \iota$ каі Фєьঠ̀́as. Lemnos, whereon Pheidias deigned to inscribe his name? . . . Pheidias and the Lemnian goddess shall bestow on her the outline of her countenance, her delicate cheeks and finely proportioned nose.

References to this statue have been found in the words of Pliny (v. infr., No. 119) as to an Athena called 'the Beautiful,' and in a passage of Himerios to the effect that Pheidias did not always represent Athena armed, but also without a helmet.
104. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 54 Phidias praeter Iouem Olympium quem nemo

Pheidias, beside the unrivalled Zeus of Olympia, made also of ivory the
aemulatur fecit ex ebore aeque Mineruam Athenis quae est in Parthenone stans．

On the Athena Parthenos（the name is applied by Paus．v． 11．Io），see $O$ v．I ${ }^{4} .350 \mathrm{ff}$ ．，Coll．I． 538 ff ，where references are given to the earlier literature of the subject．

105．Paus．i．24． 5 av̉тò
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o v ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \tau a \iota . ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma ఱ ~$

 тєpov ס̀̀ тov̂ крávovs $\gamma \rho \hat{\pi} \pi \epsilon \in s$


 каí oi катà тò $\sigma \tau \in ́ \rho \nu 0 \nu$ ŋ̀ $\kappa є \phi а \lambda \eta े ~ M \epsilon \delta o v ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu \tau o ́ s$
 है $\sigma o \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \pi \eta \chi^{\omega} \nu$


 à $\sigma \pi$ ís $\tau \in$ кєîтаи，каі̀ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ íov
 $\delta^{\prime}$ àv＇Epıx日óvıos ouvtos ó
 то仑̂ ảyá入นатоs è $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu$ éva


108．Plin．N．H．xxxvi． 18 Phidiam clarissimum esse per omnis gentes，quae

The statue itself is made of gold and ivory．On the middle of the helmet rests the figure of a Sphinx； and on either side of the helmet griffins are repre－ sented．The image ofA thena stands erect，and wears a tunic reaching to the feet． On its breast is represented in ivory the head of Me－ dusa，and a Victory about 4 cubits in height stands on one of its hands，while in the other it holds a spear ： at its feet rests a shield，and close to the shield is a serpent，which no doubt represents Erichthonios；on the base of the statue the birth of Pandora is wrought in relief．

No one doubts that Pheidias＇renown extends through all lands where

Iouis Olympii famam intellegunt, nemo dubitat, sed ut laudari merito sciant etiam qui opera, eius non uidere proferemus argumenta parua et ingeni tantum. Neque ad hoc Iouis Olympii pulchritudine utemur, non Mineruae Athenis factae amplitudine, cum sit ea cubitorum uiginti sex, -ebore haec et auro constat,-sed in scuto eius Amazonum proelium caelauit intumescente ambitu parmae, eiusdem concaua parte deorum et Gigantum dimicationes, in soleis uero Lapitharum et Centaurorum, adeo momenta omnia capacia artis illi fuere. In basi autem quod caelatum est Пavঠ́فpas $\gamma \in \dot{v} ย \in \sigma \iota$ appellant; di sunt nasce〈nti adsta〉ntes xx numero. Uictoria praecipue mirabili, periti mirantur et serpentem sub ipsa cuspide aureum ac sphingem.
the fame of his Olympian Zeus is heard; but in order that those too who have not seen his works may know that his praises are merited, I will bring forward some minor proofs which establish only the fertility of his invention. And to this end I shall employ, not the beauty of the Olympian Zeus, nor the grandeur of the Athena which he made at Athens, though she is 26 cubits in height, all of ivory and gold-but the fact that on her shield he wrought in relief the battle of the Amazons on the convex surface, and the combats of gods and giants on the concave side, while on her sandals he represented those of the Lapithai and Centaurs; so true was it that every spot furnished a field for his art to fill. The subject of the reliefs on the base they call 'Pandora's birth' ; Gods, twenty in number, are present at the scene. The Victory is

> specially marvellous, but connoisseurs admire also the golden serpent at the foot of the spear, and the sphinx.
intumescente ambitu parmae］If the sense of＇ambitus＇＝ ＇circumference＇be pressed，this will mean that a band of relief ran round the edge of the shield；but Pliny may have strained language in order to obtain an antithesis to＇concava parte＇in different words，and used＇ambitus＇like the Greek d$\downarrow$ is，possibly even as a translation of that word，which is used both of the tyre of a wheel and of a closed circle like the sun＇s orb．The Strangford shield represents the whole surface as covered with reliefs．See A．H．Smith，Brit．Mus．Catalogue of Greek Sculpture，p． 99.
dimicationes］The plural seems to point to single duel－scenes， and it is a priori probable that only the inner edge was decorated． The same will apply to the groups of Lapithai and Centaurs．
momenta］Lit．a particle sufficient to turn the scale，and so a mathematical particle or point；cp．xviii． 333 sol catidie ex alio caeli momento oritur．Then，in the language of literary cri－ ticism，a division of a subject．Quint．v． 10.71 ordo rerum tribus momentis consertus est．Hence its use in art－criticism，as here．
nasce〈nti adsta〉ntes］MSS．nascentes．Al．corr．〈ad〉sunt nascenti．
sub ipsa．．．．sphingem］MSS．ac sub ipsa cuspide aeream sphingem．

107．Plut．Perikl．I3 ó $\delta \vec{\epsilon} \quad$ Pheidias made the golden


 image of the goddess，and his name is inscribed as the artist＇s on the slab． үраттаь．
iv rû $\sigma \operatorname{Ti} \lambda_{n}$ ］The words have been supposed to show that the column which supports the right hand of the＇Varvakeion＇statuette （Ov．I ${ }^{4}$ ，Fig．94），and appears on other monuments（op．cit．p．352）， represents an original support．But this would be expressed by

108. Thuc. ii. 13 ảné $\phi a \imath v \epsilon$
 коvта тáлаขта бта日щòv Хрv-
 єival ä ${ }^{\pi} \alpha \mathrm{v}$.

He (Pericles) pointed out that the image bore 40 talents' weight of refined gold, which was all removeable.

Thucydides, as a contemporary authority, is no doubt right as to the weight (later authorities give 44-50 tal.).

109. Paus. i. I4.7 $7 \lambda \eta \sigma$ iov Hard by is a temple of



 the work of Pheidias.

In the deme of Melite. The temple was built by Perikles (Curtius, Stadtgeschichte von Athen, p. 177).
110. Paus. i. 24. 8 тоิ $\nu \alpha o \hat{v}$ '̇бтi $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ ' $А \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$


 $\pi \omega \nu \quad \beta \lambda a \pi \tau<0 ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тो̀ $\nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ àтотрє́ $\psi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ס $\theta \in \grave{s} \varsigma \in i \pi \epsilon \nu$ èk тท̂s $\chi$ б́pas.

Beyond the temple is an Apollo of bronze: the statue is said to be the work of Pheidias; it is called the Apollo of the Locusts, because, when the land of Attica was ravaged by locusts, the god promised to drive them away.

## II. IN ELIS.

111. Paus. v. Io. 2 è $\pi$ oเ $\eta \theta \eta$




The temple and image of Zeus were erected from the spoil taken by the Eleans, when they reduced Pisa and



 $\mu а \rho т v \rho \mathfrak{a v}$ ข̇тò тоv̂ $\Delta$ เòs $\gamma \in-$ урацнє́vov тоîs $\pi \circ \sigma \ell$, $\Phi \epsilon i \delta$ Łas Xapulíov viòs 'A $\theta \eta$ -











 тоîs $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota \imath \nu \theta \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ v o \nu . ~ \delta \delta ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~$
 $\mu \in \nu$ ós Ł̇бтเข o̊ ảยтós. Xpvбov̂











the other dependent cities which joined in revolt against them. That Pheidias was the sculptor of the image is proved by the inscription graven beneath the feet of Zeus :
Pheidias, son of Charmides, the Athenian, made me.
Now the god is seated on his throne, and is made of gold and ivory: on his head rests a garland which imitates sprays of olive. In his right hand he bears a Victory, also of ivory and gold, which holds a fillet and has a garland on its head; and in his left there is a sceptre inlaid with every kind of metal; the bird which is perched on the sceptre is the eagle. The sandals of the god and likewise his robe are of gold. On the robe are wrought figures and flowers; these latter are lilies. The throne is diversified with gold and precious stones and ebony and ivory ; and there are figures upon it, painted and sculptured.

оขбติข тарєхо́щєขає $\sigma \chi \tilde{\mu a}$ катà êкабтоข тои̂ $\theta$ คóvov $\pi$ óba.


 $\pi a i ̂ \delta e ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \in ̇ \pi i к \kappa เ \nu \tau a \iota ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i ́ \omega v$ ขㄲò $\Sigma \phi \iota \gamma \gamma \omega ิ \nu$ ท̀ $\rho \pi a \sigma \mu e ́ v o \iota, ~ к а \grave{~}$











 таи̂та $\mu \mu \eta{ }_{\mu} \mu a \tau a^{*}$ ov̉ $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho \pi \omega$



 єiòos Паvтápкєı 入є́үоvбเv, $\mu \in \iota-$
 та́ркүข таเôıкà єivaı тоиิ $\Phi_{\epsilon \iota-}$


 ถં $\gamma \delta \mathbf{\circ}$



There are four Victories in the attitudes of the dance on each leg of the throne; and two others at the foot of each leg. On each of the front legs rest Theban youths in the clutches of Sphinxes, and beneath the Sphinxes are the children of Niobe, whom Apollo and Artemis are shooting with arrows. Between the legs of the throne are four bars, each of which extends from one leg to the next. On the bar which faces the entry are wrought seven figures. For the eighth disappeared in some mysterious way. These must be representations of the old contests ; for the contests for boys had not been instituted in Pheidias' time. They say that the boy who is binding his hair with a fillet is like Pantarkes in countenance, and that Pantarkes was a youth of Elis who was beloved by Pheidias. Pantarkes won the victory in the boys' wrestling-match
$\mu \in \nu 0 s \pi \rho o ̀ s$ ' $A \mu a$ Sóvas. àpı $\theta \mu$ м̀̀s









 $\theta \rho o ́ v o v \pi а \rho є \rho \chi$ о́ $\mu \in \theta a^{*}{ }^{2} \nu \nu$ 'О $\lambda \nu \mu-$





 Пavaívov үpaфás. . . . 7. è $\pi i$


 $\mu$ щ̀v Xápıras тои̂тo ờ " $\Omega \rho a s$,
 Oŋua ồ tò vinò тô $\Delta l o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$
 калои́ $\mu \in \nu=\nu$ Өралíov, 入є́ovтás

 'A $\mu$ a̧óvas, тò 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quvaí } \omega \nu \\ & \pi \rho \omega- \\ & -\end{aligned}$
 фv́خovs. 8. '̇สì ठ̀ ̇̀ тov̂ $\beta$ á $\theta \rho o v$ тоข̂ тд̀v Өро́vov тє ảvéxovtos
in the 86th Olympiad (436 B.C.) On the remaining bars are represented Herakles and his troop engaging the Amazons in battle. The number of both parties amounts to twenty-nine; and Theseus has a place among the allies of Herakles. The throne is supported not only by the legs, but also by pillars standing between the legs and equal to them in number. It is not possible to enter beneath the throne, as one goes into the interior of the throne at Amyklai; for at Olympia there are screens like walls which bar the ingress. Of these screens that which is opposite the door is covered with plain blue enamel; but the rest of them are decorated with paintings by Panainos. On the uppermost part of the throne Pheidias has represented above the head of the image the Graces and the Seasons each three in number. The stool upon which the feet of Zeus rest

 Х $\rho v \sigma \hat{a}$ тоьๆ́भата, ả $\nu a \beta \in \beta \eta \kappa \omega ̀ s$

 тарà ठ̀દ av̉тòv Xápts' тaútŋs





 каl ' $А \pi о ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu$ 'A $\rho \tau \epsilon \in \mu \iota \delta$,

 'А $\mu \phi ь \tau \rho і т \eta$ каї Побєє $\delta \hat{\omega} v, \Sigma_{\epsilon}-$








 Өท́боцая то̀̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau a{ }^{\circ}$



 то̀v тòv $\theta \in \grave{v} \nu$ цápтvpa ès то仑̂ $\Phi \epsilon เ \delta ิ(o v \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta \theta a b$

(which in the Attic dialect is called 'thranion') is decorated in relief with golden lions and the battle of Theseus and the Amazons, the first deed of valour performed by the Athenians against an alien race. On the base which supports the throne of Zeus and all its adornments, are figures of gold-Helios mounted on his chariot, Zeus, Hera, Hephaistos and beside him Charis : next to her is Hermes, and next to Hermes, Hestia; after Hestia comes Eros, who is receiving Aphrodite as she rises from the sea ; and Persuasion is crowning her. Apollo, too, is represented on the base with Artemis, and Athena and Herakles, and at the end of the base are Amphitrite and Poseidon and Selene, riding on a horse, as I hold. Some, however, have said that the goddess is mounted on a mule, not a horse, and they tell a foolish tale about the mule. The measurements




 катабкฑิ廿ає кєраขvóv фабєข,


 ả $\gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \mu a \tau о \mathrm{~s}$, тоข̂то ov̉ $\lambda \epsilon v \kappa \hat{̣}$,

 $\mu e ́ \lambda a v a \quad \lambda i$ Oov Пapiov крךтís,




















of the Olympian Zeus in height and breadth are, as I am aware, recorded, but I will not praise those who measured them; for the measurements which they give fall far short of the impression which the statue makes on a spectator. For they say that the god himself bore witness to the consummate art of Pheidias; when the statue was completed, Pheidias prayed the god to give a sign, if the work was well-pleasing to him; and immediately (they say) a thunderbolt fell on the very spot, on the floor, where the bronze urn stood in my own day. The whole of the floor in front of the image is paved not with white but with black marble. This black pavement is surrounded by a border of Parian marble, which keeps in the oil which streams from the statue. For it is oil which is best fitted to preserve the statue at Olympia, and protects the ivory against damage

 $\mu$ éva.
from the marshy atmosphere of the Altis. But in the case of the so-called Parthenos on the Akropolis of Athens it is not oil but water which keeps the ivory sound. For since the Akropolis is dry owing to its great height, the statue, being made of ivory, requires water and the moisture which water gives. At Epidauros, when I inquired as to the reason why they pour neither water nor oil into the Asklepios, the attendants of the temple told me that both the image of the god and his throne stood over a well.

On the Olympian Zeus and the monument representing it see $O v$. $\mathrm{I}^{4} .356 \mathrm{ff}$, Coll. I. 528 ff . and references there given.
 and the temple was completed in 456 B. C. (Purgold, A. Z. 1882, p. 184).
 wood inlaid with gold. Others suggest decorative knobs.

Nikar . . . кai "Apтєдıs] The lower section of the leg was decorated with two Victories, probably back to back, above which were four others, no doubt in relief, with joined hands. The Sphinxes supported the side-rails and the Niobids decorated the side-surfaces of the seat.
 eight 'ancient' contests.
 context, and the statement is entirely incorrect. Robert transfers
the words to the end of the section and reads oük äpa, regarding them as part of (Polemon's) argument against the identification of Pantarkes with the figure on the bar: ' P . was victorious as a boy in 436 B. C., he could not therefore have been a boy when Pheidias was at Olympia (sc. in 448 B. C.).' Late authorities repeat a story that Pheidias inscribed 'Пavтápкns кádos' (after the fashion of the vase-painters and others) on the finger of Zeus.
iv 'A 'Aúkגaus] v. No. 38 note, and see Furtwängler's reconstruction, Meisterwerke, p. 706.
'́púuata] Murray, followed by the German excavators, interprets this of the barriers enclosing the section of the 'cella' in which the statue stood (see Olympia, Plates, I. xi, xii). It is supposed that the paintings were on the inner surfaces. But Mr. Ernest Gardner (J. H. S. xiv. 2) shows that the screens enclosed the space between the legs of the throne.
 relief from Galaxidi, Gaz. Arch. 1879, Pl. xix.
$\left.\tau \bar{\varphi}{ }^{\text {' }} \mathbf{\prime} \sigma \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \stackrel{\varphi}{\omega}\right]$ By Thrasymedes of Paros, v. No. 231.
112. Strab. viii. $353 \mu \mathrm{k}-$
 то̂̂ $\Delta$ เòs そ̌óavov ô ė̃oiєt

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os, $̀ \mathrm{~s}$ каlтєр $\mu \epsilon \gamma і \sigma \tau о v$ övtos тои̂ עєஸे ठокєîv ăбто-





 тòv vєє́v. 354 àvévpaчav òé тเves тà $\mu$ ét $\rho \alpha$ тov̂ छoávov
 द̀ $\xi \in i ̂ \pi \epsilon$. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ठ̀ $\sigma \nu \nu \in ́ \pi \rho a \xi \epsilon$


The greatest of these offerings was the statue of Zeus, made of ivory by Pheidias the son of Charmides the Athenian ; this is of such colossal size that, although the temple is a very large one, the artist seems to have failed to observe proportion, and has represented the god seated, but almost touching the roof with his head, thus creating the impression that should he rise and stand upright he would unroof the temple. Some writers have recorded the measure-
 бvvepyo入áßos，$\pi \rho \partial{ }_{s}$ тìv тоvิ
 ко́ $\sigma \mu \eta$ кь каі̀ $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \eta ̄ s$
 үрафаіे пол入аl тє каіे $\theta a v \mu a-$

 ठో七v，ठิเóть $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ тòv Пávaเขov єiтє $\pi v \nu \theta a \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \ell$
 тท̀v єiкóva тоv̂ $\Delta$ เós，öтเ т
 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a v$ тои́т $\omega \nu$＂
 $\nu \in \hat{v} \sigma \in \mathrm{~K} \rho \circ \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathfrak{i}(\omega \nu$
 рผ́баітто ăvактоя
 $\delta^{\prime}$ ह̇ $\lambda \epsilon \in \lambda \iota \xi \in \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu \pi o \nu$.
ments of the statue，and Kallimachos mentioned them in an iambic poem． Pheidias received much assistance from his nephew and fellow contractor，the painter Panainos，in the decoration of the statue and especially of the drapery in colours．Several remark－ able paintings by him are to be seen in the temple． An anecdote is told of Pheidias to the effect that he replied to Panainos（who inquired of him after what pattern he intended to re－ present Zeus），＇by the patternexhibited by Homer in the following lines ：－

So spake the son of Kronos and nodded his dark brow，and the am－ brosial locks waved from the king＇s undying head； and he made great Olympos to quake．＇
góavov］In the general sense＇statue，＇not necessarily of wood． Cp．No． 35 ．

нírpa］Calculated by Adler（Olympia，Textband ii．p．13，note 1） at seven times life－size．The base measures 6.55 metres in breadth and 9.93 metres in length．

Mávatvos］Paus．and Plin．agree that he was the brother of Pheidias See Brunn，K．G． $\mathrm{II}^{3} .33$ ．
113. Quint. x. 10. 9 Pheidias, however, is Phidias tamen dis quam thought to have displayed hominibusefficiendis melior artifex creditur, in ebore uero longe citra aemulum, uel si nihil nisi Mineruam Athenis aut Olympium in Elide Iouem fecisset, cuius pulchritudo adiecisse aliquid etiam receptae religioni uidetur; adeo maiestas operis deum aequauit.
114. Dion Chrys. xii. 14
 кal таעтахо仑̂ $\pi \rho a ̂ o s, ~ o l o s ~$


higher art in his statues of gods than in those of mortals: in ivory indeed he would be without a rival, had he only made the Athena at Athens or the Olympian Zeus in Elis, whose beauty seems to have added somewhat to the received religion ; so adequate to the divine nature is the grandeur of his work.

But our Zeus is peaceful and mild in every way, as it were the guardian of Hellas when she is of one mind and not distraught with faction.
115. Paus. vi. 4.5 ס $\delta$ ह̀ $\pi a$ îs








Let us also mention the youth binding his hair with a fillet for the sake of Pheidias and his skill in sculpture, since we have no means of knowing whose portrait it was that Pheidias here represented.

Identified by Löschcke and others with Pantarkes (v. No. III).

入aфv́pळv тஸ̂v èк Kopкúpas 'Aфроঠírŋs vaòs . . . каì тク̀v

 $\chi \rho v \sigma o v, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \chi \eta ~ Ф \epsilon เ ช ิ i ́ o v, ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ ठè
 $\beta \eta \kappa є$.

Behind the colonnade erected from the spoils of Korkyra there is a temple of Aphrodite; and the goddess in the temple is called Urania and is made of ivory and gold, the work of Pheidias. With one foot she is treading on a tortoise.

At Elis.
Plut. Coni. Praec. 32 explains the tortoise as a symbol of silence in the wife.

## III. MISCELLANEOUS.

117. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 53 Uenere autem in certamen laudatissimi quanquam diuersis aetatibus geniti, quoniam fecerant Amazonas, quae cum in templo Dianae Ephesiaedicarentur, placuit eligi probatissimum ipsorum artificum (qui praesentes erant) iudicio, cum apparuit, eam esse quam omnes secundam a sua quisque iudicassent ; haec est Polycliti, proxuma ab ea Phidiae, tertia Cresilae, [quarta Cydonis], quinta Phradmonis.

Sculptors of the highest fame, though of different ages, were brought into rivalry, since all had made statues of Amazons, which werededicated in the temple of Artemisat Ephesus. Itwas determined therefore that the most highly approved should be selected by the derision of the artists themselves, who were present. It then appeared that it was the one which each placed second to his own, namely that of Polykleitos. That of Pheidias came next, that of Kresilas third, [that of Kydon fourth], and that of Phradmon fifth.

The anecdote is valueless ; but statues of Amazons would be natural offerings to the temple which they were said to have founded, and Amazons by the artists named may well have stood there; possibly the four in question may have formed a single existing offering. On the types traceable to these statues see Michaelis, Jahrb. 1886, p. 14 ff., Pl. i-iv. and Furtwängler, Meisterwerke, p. 286 ff.
quarta Cydonis] Kresilas (No. 148) was a native of Kydonia in Crete, and hence might be called Kí $\delta \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Pliny misinterpreted the term as the name of an artist.
Phradmonis] Dated Ol. $90=420$ B.c. by Pliny. His other works were:-(1) Portrait of Amertas of Elis, victorious in the boys' wrestling-match at Olympia (Paus. vi. 8. 1) ; (2) a group of twelve kine, dedicated by the Thessalians to Athena Itonia from the spoils of the lllyrians, in bronze (Anth. Pal. ix. 743). He was a native of Argos.
118. Lucian, Eiкóves 4 тो̀v
 ঠорат〔¢ ... 6. ёть каі бто́иатоя
 т ̀̀v av̉XÉva, $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ' A \mu a$ Góvos $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu \nu(\pi a \rho \in ́ \xi \epsilon \iota)$.

Cp. Nos. 83, 103, 127.
119. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 54 Phidias . . . fecit . . . ex aere uero praeter A mazonem supra dictam Mineruam tam eximiae pulchritudinis ut formae cognomen acceperit ; fecit et cliduchum et aliam Mineruam quam Romae Paulus Aemilius ad aedem Fortunae huiusce diei dicauit, item duo signa quae Catulus in eadem aede

The Amazon who is leaning on her spear . . Pheidias too shall borrow from his Amazon the setting of her mouth and her neck and bestow them on her.

Beside the Amazon mentioned above, Pheidias made in bronze an Athena of such surpassing beauty that she received the surname of 'the Beautiful' ; he also made the Keeper of the Keys and another Athena which Aemilius Paulus dedicated at Rome close to the temple of Fortune, where it stands to this day,
palliataetalterum colossicon nudum, primusque artem toreuticen aperuisse atque demonstrasse merito iudicatur.
two draped statues which Catulus dedicated in the same temple and a colossal nude figure. He is justly held to have been the first to reveal theart of sculpture and to point out the path to his successors.

This Athena is commonly identified with the Lemnia, No. Io2.
formae] It is not necessary to emend 'formosae,' since 'cognomen formae,' 'the appellation of Beauty,' could mean 'the name Beautiful,' or 'forma ' might mean ' Beauty itself' ; cp. iracundiam, No. 223. Jahn suggests that Pliny is translating ' Mopф'́.'
cliduchum] $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta o v \chi \chi o \nu$. Two interpretations are possible: (1) a priestess. The temple-key was the symbol of the priestess, and the adjective $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta o u ̄ \chi o s$ is thus applied. Cp. No. 228, and for the use of the word in poetry Aesch. Supp. 291, Eur. I. T. 132. (2) The Athena Promachos. The work is mentioned in a list of Athena statues, and Ar. Thesm. 1140 speaks of Athena $\hat{\eta} \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ ë $\chi \in \iota$
 Promachos as 'keeper of the keys' of the Akropolis.
primusque . . . iudicatur] On the series of criticisms to which this belongs, v. Introduction, § 2.
toreuticen] ropeutiкìv,sc. TÉ $\chi \nu \eta \nu$. Pliny takes the word from Greek sources in which it has the general sense of sculpture, not the special sense of repoussé-work in metal. Thus in his list of authorities he mentions 'Antigonus qui de toreutice scripsit,' and cp . xxxv. 77 neque in hac (pictura) neque in toreutice ullius qui seruierit opera celebrantur.
120. Paus. X . IO. $1 \tau \hat{\varphi}$


 Owviov Ëpyov te日ŋ̂vą tàs
 'A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \kappa a i ̀ ~ đ \nu ो \rho ~ \tau \omega ̂ v ~ \sigma т \rho a-~$

On the base of the wooden horse there is an inscription which states that the statues were set up from the tithe of the spoils of Marathon; they represent Athena and Apollo, and one human
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu ~ ท ่ \rho \omega ́ \omega \nu ~ к а \lambda о \nu \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu \nu ~ ' Е \rho \epsilon-$ $\chi$ Өєv́s тє каі Ке́кроұ кай Пало̀í $\omega \nu$ каі $\Lambda \epsilon \omega ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а \grave{~}$




 катà $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon v \mu a$ ếõoбav тò èк $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\nu} \nu$. o̊ ס̀è Me入ávOov






figure, that of Miltiades the general, besides the socalled heroes Erechtheus, Kekrops, Pandion, Leos, Antiochos (the son of Herakles, by Meda the daughter of Phylas), also Aigeus and Akamas, one of the sons of Theseus; these gave their names to the Attic tribes as the oracle of Delphi prescribed; there are also Kodros the son of Melanthos and Theseus and Phileas, who are not among those by whose names the tribes were called. The above-mentioned were made by Pheidias, and aregenuinely part of the offering from the spoils of Marathon.

At Delphi.
катd̀ $\mu$ ávтєчцa] Kleisthenes submitted 100 names, from which the oracle selected ten (Aristotle, 'AӨ. По入. c. 21, 6).
¢idéas] So Curtius for $\Phi$ dudeís of MSS.
á $\left.\lambda \eta{ }_{\eta \in i} \lambda o ́ \gamma \psi\right]$ Statues of the eponymi of the three new tribes
 were Antigonos I and his son Demetrios Poliorketes (added 307 B. C.) and Ptolemy Philadelphos (285-247 B. C.). (So Paus.; Beloch believes that we should substitute the name of Ptolemy Euergetes (247-221 B. C.).
121. Paus. vii. 27. 2 катळे



By the road to the town itself is a temple of Athena built of the local stone, and





 'AӨךvás тà à ád $^{\lambda} \mu a \tau a$.

Tiेv $\left.\pi \boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{v}\right]$ Pellene in Achaia. iv IIAarauis] V. next No.
122. Paus. ix. 4 . 1 Платає-


 $\sigma \phi і َ \sigma \iota \nu$ 'AӨŋvaîot गฑ̂s èv Mapa$\theta \omega ิ \nu \iota$ d̀тévєєцаע. тò $\mu$ èv ठ̀̀
 Х $\rho v \sigma о \nu$. $\pi \rho$ ó $\omega \omega \pi$ оу $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ of каі Хєîpes द̈крає каі̀ $\pi$ óżєs $\lambda$ ( $\theta$ ov






 тoıท́ $\sigma a s$.
the image is of ivory and gold: they saythat Pheidias made it before he made the statues of Athena on the Akropolis of Athens and at Plataea.
$\mu a \zeta o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota ~ \Pi \rho o ́ v a o \iota ~ \pi о \imath \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ H e r m e s, ~ c a l l e d ~ t h e ~ ' G o d s ~$
 Hermes is said to be the work of Pheidias.

At Thebes. The temple is the Ismenion.
124. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. ${ }^{15}$ Et ipsum Phidian tradunt sculpsisse marmorea Ueneremque eius esse Romae in Octauiae operibus eximiae pulchritudinis.

Tradition tells that Pheidias himself also worked in marble, and that there is an Aphrodite by his hand of surpassing beauty in the gallery of Octavia at Rome.

Octauiae operibus] Built by Augustus in the name of his sister Octavia. A colonnade (Porticus Octauiae) enclosed two temples (of Jupiter and Juno) and a public library (Curia Octauiae). It was destroyed by fire under Titus.
125. Demetr. de Eloc. 14


 àкрıßès ä $\mu a$.

Cp. No. 87 .

The oratory of the school which followed them is like the works of Pheidias; it is at once sublime and precise.

## 2. THE PUPILS OF PHEIDIAS.

## (a) Alkamenes.

Date.-He is represented as a rival of Pheidias in Pliny's chronological table under Ol. 88 ( 448 в. C.), by Paus. in his account of the west pediment of Olympia (No. 134), and by Tzetzes, but (more probably) as his pupil by Pliny in the alphabetical list (v. No. 135) and in Bk. xxxvi. Putting aside the sculptures at Olympia, the only certain date is that of No. 133 ( 403 B.C.), which accords with the latter version. Suidas calls him a Lemnian, Tzetzes an islander; but their authority is of little value.
126. Plin. N. H. xxxvi 16 Alcamenen Atheniensem, quod certum est, docuit (Phidias) in primis nobilem, cuius sunt opera Athenis complura in aedibus sacris, praeclarumque Ueneris extra muros, quae appellatur 'Aфpooím $\boldsymbol{e}^{2} \nu \mathrm{~K} \eta$ ' $\pi$ тоя. Huic summam manum ipse Phidias imposuisse dicitur.

It is certain that Pheidias was the teacher of Alkamenes the Athenian, an artist of the first rank, whose works are to be found in many of the temples at Athens: by him is also the famous statue of Aphrodite without the walls, called the 'Aphrodite in the Gardens.' Pheidias himself is said to have put the finishing touches to this work.
quod certum est] Should be taken with 'docuit,' not (as by Robert, who is disposed to favour the Lemnian origin of A.) with ' Atheniensem.'
iv Kímois] The gardens on the banks of the Ilissos, to the southeast of the Akropolis.

The type is generally recognized in the so-called Venus Genitrix (F.W. 1208). See Ov. I4. 437.
127. Lucian, Eikóves 6 тà
 à $\nu \tau \omega \pi \grave{\alpha} \pi a \rho$ ' 'А $\lambda \kappa \alpha \mu$ évovs каl
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \in ́ т ь ~ \chi \in\llcorner\rho \hat{\nu}$ ӑкра каі̀ кар-


 тav̂тa.

Cp. Nos. 83, 103, 118.
128. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. ${ }_{17}$ Certauere autem inter

The cheeks and prominent parts of the face he shall borrow from Alkamenes and the Goddess in the Garden, and furthermore the hands and the symmetry of the wrists and the delicacy of the taper fingers he shall take from the same goddess.

Both pupils competed in representing Aphrodite, and
se ambo discipuli Uenere facienda uicitque Alcamenes non opere sed ciuitatis suffragiis contra peregrinum suo fauentes.

Alkamenes bore the palm, not by the merit of his work, but by the votes of his city, whose people supported their townsman against an alien.
ambo discipuli] The other was Agorakritos of Paros (No. I36). Both were pupils of Pheidias. There is no reason to identify this Aphrodite with $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ K $\dot{\eta} \pi o t s$.
129. Paus. ii. 30. 2 ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \kappa \alpha-$

 $\eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \chi о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ d̀ $\lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda о \iota \varsigma, \hat{\eta} \nu$ 'Aөךvaîo калоvิбıข 'Е $\pi \iota \pi v \rho-$
 'A $\mathrm{A} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \circ \mathrm{N}$ Níkทs тòv vaóv.

Alkamenes was in my opinion the first to represent Hekate by three figures joined to each other. The Athenians call his statue 'Hekate on the Bastion': it stands beside the temple of Wingless Victory.
 See Miss Harrison, Mythology and Momuments, p. 378.
'Arrépou Nikخs] Athena Nike, v. No. 82.
130. Paus. i. 20. 3 rov̂





 бov̂.

Close to the theatre is the most ancient precinct of Dionysos: within its walls are two temples and two images of Dionysos - one the Dionysos of Eleutherai, the other that which Alkamenes made of ivory and gold.

Represented on coins of Athens, Num. Comm. CC. 1-3.
131. Cic. N. D. i. 30 Athenis laudamus Uulcanum eum, quem fecit Alcamenes, in quo stante atque uestito leuiter apparet claudicatio non deformis.
132. Paus. i. I. 5 ย̈ $\sigma t \iota$ ठ̀̀

 Ov́pas éX $\omega \nu$ оv้тє ӧрофоv" Mapठóvเóv фабเข av̉тòv $̇$ є̇ $\mu \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$


 тоขิтó $\gamma \epsilon$ ó M $\hat{\eta} \delta ิ o s ~ \epsilon \ell ้ \eta ~ \lambda \in \lambda \omega \beta \eta$ $\mu \in ́ v o s$.

We admire the Hephaistos made by Alkamenes at Athens, in whom, though he is standing upright and clothed, lameness is slightly indicated in a manner not unpleasing to the eye.

On the way from Phaleron to Athens is a temple of Hera which has neither door nor roof: they say that it was burnt by Mardonios, the son of Gobryas. The image which now stands there is said to be the work of Alkamenes : in that case it certainly could not have been damaged by the Persians.

Mapóbrov] In 479 B. C.
Petersen identifies the type with that of Overbeck, Kunstmyth. Pl. xv. 20.
133. Paus. ix. 11. 6

 vட̊a ті̀v тติv трเа́коута ката-


 ко入оббоข̀s èmi ти́тоv, $\lambda$ í $\theta$ ov тоข̂

Thrasybulos, the son of Lykos, and the Athenians who joined him in putting an end to the tyranny of the Thirty, having made Thebes the starting-point of their return, dedicated a colossal relief representing Athena

 the shrine of Herakles．

тupavvíీa тगेv Tิ̂v тplákovta］404－403 B．C．
ko入ogoovs ．．． $\boldsymbol{\lambda} i \theta$ ov ］The simplest alteration of the corrupt text



134．Paus．v．10． 8 Tà $\mu \grave{\mu} v$

 $\sigma \theta \epsilon v$ av̉rôv＇А入кацévovs àv－




 үá $\mu \varphi$ т $\pi \rho$ òs Kєขтav́pous $\grave{\eta} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$ ．



 ảuv́vшv Kaıvє̀̀s т̣̂̂ Пєเpí日甲，
 $\pi \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau$ тò̀s Kєעtav́povs．Kév－



The pediment sculptures of the front are by Paionios； those of the back are by Alkamenes，a contemporary of Pheidias，and second only to him in the sculptor＇s art． His pediment－sculptures represent the battle of the Lapithai and Centaur＇s at the marriage of Peirithous． In the centre of the pedi－ ment is Peirithous：on one side of him is Eurytion， who has seized the wife of Peirithous，and Kaineus， who is helping Peirithous， on the other is Theseus defending himself against the Centaurs with an axe． There are two Centaurs， one of whom has seized a maiden，the other a beau－ tiful boy．

On the pediment sculptures of Oiympia and their restoration v．$O v$ ．I ${ }^{4} .349 \mathrm{ff}$ ．，Coll．I． 436 ff ．and references there quoted．The style of the west pediment forbids us to assign it to the pupil of

Pheidias and artist of No. 132 ; we should have to assume an earlier Alkamenes (perhaps the Lemnian of Suidas). But Paus. may have been misled by a baseless tradition. See No. 175 note.

HetpiOous] The figure is on a larger scale than the rest, and must represent a god, probably Apollo.

रोे रuvaîka] Deidamia.
135. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 72 Alcamenes Phidiae discipulus et marmorea fecit et aeneum pentathlum, qui uocatur 'Еукрьขо́цєขоs.

Alkamenes the pupil of Pheidias made statues in marble, and a victor in the five contests in bronze, called 'the Chosen Athlete.'

Klein would correct éyरpcípevos ('anointing himself'), and connect with the statue at Munich, M.d.I. xi. 7, but this is not probable.

Other works by Alkamenes:-
Ares at Athens (Paus. i. 8. 4).
Asklepios at Mantineia (Paus. viii. 9. 1).
(b) Agorakritos.
136. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 16 Eiusdem (Phidiae) discipulus fuit Agoracritus Parius et aetate gratus, itaque e suis operibus pleraque nomine eius donasse fertur. Certauere autem inter se ambo discipuli Uenere facienda uicitque Alcamenes . . . Agoracritus ea lege signum suum uendidisse traditur, ne Athenis esset, et appellasse Nemesin; id positum est Rhamnunte pago Atticae, quod Uarro

Agorakritos of Paros was also a pupil of Pheidias, who was attracted by his youthful beauty, and so is said to have allowed his name to appear on several of his own works. Both pupils however entered into competition with representations of Aphrodite, and Alkamenes bore the palm ; Agorakritos accordingly sold his statue, as the story goes, on the condition that it should not remain
omnibus signis praetulit. at Athens, and called it Est et in Matris magnae Nemesis ; it was set up at delubro eadem ciuitate Rhamnus, a deme of Attica, Agoracriti opus. and was preferred by Varro to all statues. There is also a work of Agorakritos in the temple of the Mother of the Gods in the same city.
certauere] V. No. 128.
Nemesin] V. infr. No. 137.
Matris magnae] Paus. i. 3. 5 ascribes this statue to Pheidias himself.
137. Paus. i. 33. 2 (At (At Rhamnus) there is Rhamnus) $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma t \nu$ a temple of Nemesis, who ípóv, ท̂ $\theta \in \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega$ -







 $\mu$ évots ग̉yov és tootaíov $\pi \mathrm{ol}-$ $\eta \sigma$ เv. тои̂tov Фєıठías тòv



 ở $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á $\lambda a^{*}$ тaîs ถิєे $\chi \in \rho \sigma i ̀ \nu$


implacable enemy of insolent men. It would seem that the barbarians who landed at Marathon incurred the wrath of the goddess: for thinking in their pride that Athens lay as a prize at their feet, they brought Parian marble for the erection of a trophy as though they had accomplished their end. This marble was wrought by Pheidias into a statue of Nemesis. On the head of the goddess rests a crown bearing stags and small

 тои̂то то̀ ă үадца $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$










 8. таи̂та áкךкоњ̀s Фєıঠ̊ías










 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ os каl veavias èquiv étєpos.




images of Victory ; in her left hand she holds an applebranch, in her right a bowl, on which Ethiopians are represented. Neither this nor any other ancient statue of Nemesis is represented with wings. Next I will describe in order all the reliefs on the base of the statue, premising for the sake of clearness what follows. They say that Helen was the mother of Nemesis, but that Leda suckled and reared her; and the people of Rhamnus agree with all the Greeks that Zeus and not Tyndareos was her father. Pheidias, having heard this account, has represented Helen being brought by Leda to Nemesis, and also Tyndareos and his sons and a man standing by with a horse, Hippeus by name. Agamemnon also is there and Menelaos and Pyrrhos, the son of Achilles, who was the first to take Hermione, the daughter of Helen, to wife. Next in order on the base comes
a youth named Epochos and another youth; of these I could learn nothing except that they were the brothers of Oinoe, after whom the deme is called.

катафроvíбаvтєs] A Herodotean use. Cp. Hdt. i. 66 катифроий-

Tetías] Antigonos of Karystos (Introduction, § 1) mentioned a tablet suspended from the statue with the inscription 'A $\mathbf{\gamma}$ ораík $\rho$ ıros Mápıos ėmoiך $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Others (probably Polemon, cp. Wilamowitz, Antigonos von Karystos, p. 10) retorted that Pheidias had allowed his favourite to inscribe his name on the work which was really his own.
äүал $\mu \mathrm{a}]$ Ten cubits in height, according to the Lexicographers. Fragments have been found at Rhamnus, and are published in Ath. Mitth. 1890, Pl. xv (Rossbach).
 early type of the winged Artemis holding a stag in each hand (often called the 'Persian' Artemis) was used in the decoration of the circlet. Cp. Dümmler ap. Studniczka, Kyrene, p. 106, n. 102.
 lished in Jahrb. 1894, Pl. i-vii (Pallat).

חúppos] Neoptolemos.
138. Paus. ix. 34. I $\pi \rho \grave{\nu}$



 ovos, каl és tòv коเขòv бvvíađıข





Before arriving at Koronea from Alalkomenai, the traveller comes to the temple of Athena Itonia : it derives its title from Itonos, the son of Amphiktyon, and it is there that the federal assembly of Boeotia meets. In the temple are the statues of Athena
'Aүоракрítov, цаӨךто̂̂ ठ̊è каì Itonia and Zeus, made of
 bronze, the work of Agorakritos, a pupil and favourite of Pheidias.

Perhaps represented on a gem, Müller-Wieseler, Denkmäler, ii. 226.
(c) Kolotes.
139. Plin. N. H. xxxv. 54 Panaenum, qui clipeum intus pinxit Elide Mineruae, quam fecerat Colotes discipulus Phidiae et ei in faciendo Ioue Olympio adiutor.

Panainos, who painted the inner surface of the shield of an Athena at Elis made by Kolotes, the pupil of Pheidias, and his assistant in the construction of the Olympian Zeus.

Mineruae] Paus. vi. 26. 3 says that the statue was attributed to Pheidias, and that the goddess bore the device of a cock on her shield. It was of gold and ivory.
140. Paus. v. 20. I ยैสть





 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ las тòv K $\omega \lambda \omega \dot{T} \eta \nu^{*}$ of ठ̀ $\pi о \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu о \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \sigma \pi о v \delta ̊ \hat{\eta}$
 фаіขоvбเข övта аข̉тóv, $\mu a \forall \eta$ -





Here there is a table, upon which the garlands are set out for the victors. The table is made of ivory and gold, and is the work of Kolotes. Kolotes is said to have been a native of Herakleia, but those who have made a special study of sculptors show him to be of Parian origin, a pupil of Pasiteles, who in his turn was taught by ... <on the front) are represented. . . . and Hera and Zeus and


 катà ठ̀єे éкaréfav $\pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \rho a ́ v$,



 тшу каl $\Delta$ tóvvбоя Пєрбєфóvך







the Mother of the Gods and Hermes and Apollo together with Artemis. On the back is the ordering of the contest ; on one of the sides Asklepios and Hygieia, one of the daughters of Asklepios, as well as Ares and beside him Agon, on theother side Pluto, Dionysos, Persephone and the Nymphs, one of whom carries a ball; as to the key which is held by Pluto, they tell the story that the abode called Hades is kept locked by Pluto and that no one will ever return from it.
ivtav̂өa] In the ojmıcөódouos of the Heraion at Olympia.
 duction, § 1).
aủròv $\delta เ \delta a x \hat{0}$ var . . .] The name of the master is omitted (avirodi$\boldsymbol{\delta} a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} v a t$ is suggested). The lacuna may have contained the names of another pair of deities, possibly Athena and Herakles. Cp. No. Iro.
'Aývv] Cp. No. 47 note.
Other works by Kolotes :-
Asklepios at Kyllene (of ivory) (Strab. viii. 334).
Portraits of 'philosophers' (Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 87).
(d) The Sculptures of the Parthenon.
141. Paus. i. 24.5 ṫs ठे тòv vaóv, $\partial \mathrm{\nu}$ ПарӨєขติขa obvoนá-

The sculptures in the pediment, as it is called,

 ảeтoîs кєîтal, тávta ès गो̀ v


 $\gamma \eta$ ท. temple known as the Parthenon, are all concerned with the birth of Athena, while at the back of the temple is represented the strife of Athena with Poseidon for the land.

See $O v . I^{4} .400 \mathrm{ff}$. and references there given ; also Furtwängler, Meisterwerke, pp. 223-260, and A. H. Smith, Brit. Mus. Catalogue of Greek Sculpture, pp. 101-132.

## 3. PRAXIAS AND ANDROSTHENES.

142. Paus. x. 19.4 Tفे ठ ठ̀
 каі $\Lambda \eta \tau \omega$ каі ' $А \pi о ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ каi
 $\Delta$ tóvvбós тє каì ai रvvaîкєs






 то仑̂ èv тoîs ảєтoîs кó $\sigma \mu$ оv è $\pi$ ol-




In the pediment are represented Artemis, Leto, Apollo and the Muses, the setting Sun, Dionysos and the Thyiades; the earliest of the figures were made by Praxias of Athens, a pupil of Kalamis; but as a considerable time elapsed during the construction of the temple, Praxias, as his destiny was, succumbed to fate, and the remainder of the pediment-sculptures were executed by Androsthenes, also an Athenian by birth, but a pupil of Eukadmos.

From the temple of Apollo at Delphi, built in the early years of the fifth century B. C. No fragments of the temple-sculptures have been recovered by the French excavators.

## 143．Eur．Ion 190 ff．

190 ไòov̀ т $\alpha \nu \delta{ }^{\prime}$＂ $0 \rho \eta \tau 0 \nu$,
 хрขбє́als å $\rho \pi a \iota s$ ס́ $\Delta$ iòs $\pi a i{ }^{\circ}$
$\phi(\lambda a, \pi \rho o ́ \sigma t \delta$ ถै ö $\sigma \sigma o t s$.
 195 то仑 $\pi a v o ̀ v ~ \pi v \rho i ́ \phi \lambda$ ектоv ai－
$\rho \in t$ тts＇$\hat{\alpha} \rho^{\prime}$ ôs＇ُ $\mu a \hat{\imath} \sigma t \mu v=$ Өє乇́єтаи тара̀ тท́vals à $\sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ ' I o ́ \lambda \alpha o s, ~ o ̂ s ~$ коเขov̀s alpó $\mu \in \nu 0$ о $\pi$ óvovs 200 ठิị́ $\pi$ aเồ $\sigma v \nu a \nu \tau \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ；

каi $\mu a ̀ \nu ~ \tau \alpha ́ v o ̂ ̀ ~ a ̈ \rho \eta \sigma o v ~$
 $\pi v^{\circ}$
 т $\rho \iota \sigma \omega ́ \mu а т о \nu$ ả̀кáv．

205 таขтą тоь $\beta \lambda$ е́фароv ס̊८ผ́－ $\kappa \omega$ ．бкє́廿a\＆к入óvov èv тט́－ $\pi 0 \iota \sigma$
$\lambda a i ̂ v o \iota \sigma \iota \Gamma \iota \gamma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$.

 $\lambda \alpha \dot{\delta} \omega$
210 yopyติाเv $\pi d \lambda \lambda$ ovaav ไै－ $v \nu ;$
 $\theta$ єóv．

See！behold yon mon－ ster！＇Tis the hydra of Lerna，whom the son of Zeus is slaying with his golden scythe．Look，friend， look！

I see．And beside him stands another who uplifts a blazing torch；can it be he whose tale is told beside my loom，the spearman Iolaos，who shares with the son of Zeus his toils and drains the bitter cup at his side？

And oh！behold yon knight bestriding hiswinged steed；he is slaying the mighty three－bodied form that breathes fire．

My eye turns every way． Behold the rout of Giants carved on the marble wall．

We see it all，good friends．
Dost thou then note her who shakes o＇er Enkelados her Gorgon shield ？

I see Pallas，mine own Goddess．

тí $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, кєраvуòv
 $\Delta$ iòs


Мі́цаута пирі̀ катаı $\theta$ a入оі̂．
каì Bро́ніоs ä̀入оу
 $\beta$ áктроьs
 $\chi \in$ v́s．
 which is accepted by most editors．The word does not exist．

The chorus approaches the temple of Apollo at Delphi and describes the metopes．The following are the groups：－（1） Herakles，the Hydra，and Iolaos（vv．190－200）；（2）Bellerophon and the Chimaira（vv．201－204）；（3）Athena and Enkelados（vv． 209－21I）；（4）Zeus and Mimas（vv．212－216）；（5）Dionysos and a Giant（vv．217－219）．

## 4．LYKIOS．

Date－（1）L．was the son of Myron，and is called by Polemon ap．Ath．xi． 486 D a Boeotian of Eleutherai．Eleutherai became Attic in 460 B．C．or a little later．（2）No． 147 seems to be posterior to 446 B．C．（3）No． 146 may have commemorated a victory gained circ． 431 B．C．，v．note．（4）Autolykos was victorious in the pan－ cration in 421 B．C．

144．Plin．N．H．xxxiv． 79 Lycius Myronis disci－ pulus fuit，qui fecit dignum praeceptore puerum suf－ flantem languidos ignes，et Argonautas，〈et〉Autoly－ cum pancratii uictorem，

Lykios was the pupil of Myron and made a figure of a boy blowing the dying embers of a fire worthy of his teacher，and statues of the Argonauts and a por－ trait of Autolykos the victor
propter quem Xenophon symposium scripsit Lycius et ipse puerum suffitorem.
in the pankration, who is the hero of Xenophon's ' Banquet.' Lykios also represented a boy offering incense.
discipulus] Really his son, v. the following Nos.
puerum sufflantem . . . puerum suffitorem] The twe may Mituce deffere perhaps be identieat Pliny's notes being derived from different sources. Both must be distinguished from No. 145 .

Autolycum] The notice is out of its place in the text of Pliny, who attributes the statue to Leochares.
145. Paus. i. 23. 7 каi Other works too I re-




member to have seen on the Akropolis of Athens, such as the bronze boy of Lykios, the son of Myron, holding the sprinkler of lustral water.

The basin stood in front of the temple of the Brauronian Artemis, the water being used to sprinkle worshippers.
146. Paus. v. 22. 2 (At Olympia) $\pi a \rho \alpha ̀$ ठè $\tau \dot{\text { d }}$ ' $1 \pi \pi o-$






 'AХıл入єن̀s тарє́Хєтає каl б



(At Olympia) beside the so-called Hippodamion is a semicircular marble base upon which stand figures of Zeus with Thetis and Dawn who are praying for their children. These are in the centre of the base, while Achilles and Memnon, standing one at each end of the base, are already drawn up in the attitude of warriors about to fight.

тà av̉rá, à $\nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ \beta a ́ p \beta a \rho o s ~ a ̉ \nu o ̂ \rho i ̀ ~$






 $\Delta \eta t 申 \circ \beta$ os. 3. тav̂tá ̇̇бтıv



 Xaiots v์nò тov̂ $\Delta$ iòs тoîs $\pi 0 \sigma$ i.
 $\mu \epsilon \theta a, \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ह́vl $\pi \delta \dot{\nu} \tau \tau$
 ко́иая.


 víov ঠ̀єкátav.

There are other pairs drawn up in the same manner, a barbarian being pitted against a Greek in each case. There are Odysseus and Helenos - the chiefs most renowned for their cunning in each army, Menelaos and his old enemy Paris, Diomedes and Aineias, Deiphobos and Telamonian Ajax. These are the work of Lykios, the son of Myron, and were dedicated by the people of Apollonia on the Ionian sea. There is also an elegiac inscription engraved in antique characters under the feet of Zeus :-

Here we stand as memorials of Apollonia, founded by Phoibos of the unshorn locks on the Ionian sea, whose people conquered the borders of the Abantes' land, and here by god's grace set up these offerings from the tithe of the spoils of Thronion.

[^9]үpáp $\mu a \sigma$ ．．．ápxaioss］i．e．in the Attic alphabet，replaced by the Ionic in the archonship of Eukleides（ $403 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$ ．）．

147．$\Delta \in \lambda \tau$ ．＇A $\rho \mathrm{X}$ ．1889，Dedicated by the knights p． 179 of $i \pi \pi \eta \hat{s}$ àmò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ from thespoils of the enemy． $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ ，im $\pi a \rho \chi о и ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon-$ The cavalry was com－

 $\theta \in \rho \in ⿱ 亠 乂 寸 ~ M u ́ \rho \omega \nu o s . ~$ manded by Lakedaimonios， Xenophon and Pronapos． Lykios of Eleutherai the son of Myron，made the statues．

From the base of a group of two horsemen which stood at the entrance to the Propylaia，referred to by Paus．i．22．4．He seems to have misinterpreted the inscription，as he writes，＇I cannot tell whether the statues of horsemen represent the sons of Xenophon， or are merely decorative．＇Lakedaimonios may be identified with the son of Kimon（Thuc．i．45）．The monument seems to have commemorated the reduction of Euboia after its revolt in 446 B．C．

## 5．KRESILAB．

Date．－Four inscriptions exist，of which three were found on the
 Kploiגas｜énoingev．Dated circ． 450 b．C．See No． 148 note．（2）



 Somewhat later than（2）．Besides these（4）Löwy 45，found at
 ＇Eppucvés．｜Kpqoìias émoinoé Kvòaváz［as］．Probably rather later than the Athenian inscriptions．

148．Plin．N．H．xxxiv． 74
Cresilas（fecit）uolneratum deficientem in quo possit

The works of Kresilas are a man wounded and dying，in whom the spec－
intelligi quantum restet animae et Amazonem uolneratam et Olympium Pe riclen dignum cognomine, mirumque in hac arte est quod nobiles uiros nobiliores fecit.
tator can feel how little life is left, and a wounded Amazon, and Perikles the 'Olympian,' worthy of his name. The marvel of this art is, that it has made men of renown yet more renowned.
uolneratum] Paus. i. 23. 3, in describing the Akropolis of
 'a bronze portrait of Diitrephes shot with arrows.' Paus. identifies the subject of the portrait with the Athenian general mentioned in Thuc. vii. 29 (414 B. C.) and viii. 64 (4II B. C.). Ross conjectured that the inscription (I) quoted above belonged to the statue mentioned by Paus., and that this was identical with Pliny's wounded man. The character of the lettering, however, compels us to date the inscription (v. supr.) too early for the Diitrephes of Paus., so that Furtwängler (Meisterwerke, p. 278) is probably right in referring it to an elder Diitrephes, father of Nikostratos (Thuc. iii. 75, iv. 119, 129). Possibly the statue is represented on a b. f. lekythos figured in Furtw. op. cit. p. 280.
quantum restet animae] For 'quantum' = 'how little,' cp. Cic. Q. Fr. i. 2. 8 sed haec tibi praecipiens quantum profecerim non ignoro, Hor. Sat. ii. 9, 81 in scobe quantus | consistit sumptus?

Amasonem] V. No. 111 note.
Periclen] The busts in the British Museum (F.W.481), in the Vatican, and at Munich are copies of this work. The inscription (supr. No. 2) was found on the Akropolis in 1889.
nobiles] Either 'famous' (the usual sense of the word in Pliny) or, as Prof. Gardner suggests, a translation of $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i o s$, perhaps from an epigram.

Other works:-Inscription (4) belongs to an offering to Demeter Chthonia. Anth. Pal. xiii. 13 preserves an inscription from an offering to Pallas Tritogeneia. A 'doryphoros,' ascribed by Pliny to Ktesilaos (best MSS.), probably belongs to Kresilas, since a 'wounded Amazon' is ascribed to the same artist.

## 6. STRONGYLION.

Date.-The inscription of No. 149 (Löwy 52) reads Xatpé $8 \eta \mu$ os
 been recently erected when the work was mentioned by Ar. $A \%$ 1128 (acted 414 B. C.).
149. Paus. i. 23. 8 l $\pi \pi$ os ठè ó калоúpevos òov́pıos àvdкєเтац $\chi^{\text {aлкоиิs . . . } \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \tau а ц ~}$








Another offering consists in a bronze figure of the so-called Wooden Horse. The story of that horse is that it contained the bravest of the Greeks, and the bronze horse is in accordance therewith, for Menestheus and Teukros are leaning out of it, and the sons of Theseus also.

On the Akropolis of Athens.
Schol. Ar. Av. 1128 preserves the first five words of the inscription. The whole, including the artist's name, was discovered in 1840 (v. supr.).
150. Paus. i. 40. 2 (At

 iєрóv . . . ă $ү а \lambda \mu$ д́ тє кєîтая


(At Megara) not far from this spring is an ancient temple; and in it there is an image of Artemis called 'the Saviour.' This Artemis was made by Strongylion.


Represented on coins of Megara (Num. Comm. A. 1).
Artemis 'the Saviour' was so called by the Megarians, because in 479 B. C. she deceived a party of Persians by night and caused them to shoot all their arrows at a rock, so that they fell an easy prey in the morning.
151. Paus. ix. 30. I taîs



 iँ $\pi$ тovs ă $\rho \iota \sigma \tau a$ elpya $\mu \mu$ évov.

There is a group of statues of the Muses. Three are by Kephisodotos, and as many more by Strongylion, an artist whose oxen and horses are of remarkable excellence.

On Mount Helikon.
152. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. Strongylion made an 82 Strongylion (fecit) Amazonem quam ab excellentia crurum Eüкı $\eta \mu$ оу appellant, ob id in comitatu Neronis circumlatam. Idem fecit puerum quem amando Brutus Philippensis cognomine suo illustrauit.

Amazon called 'Ev̂́кıquos' from the beauty of the legs, and for that reason carried from place to place in Nero's train. By the same artist is the boy on which Brutus, the hero of Philippi, by his admiration shed the lustre of his name.
oognomine suo] The statue is thrice referred to by Martial as ' Bruti puer.'

## 7. KALLIMACHOS.

Date.-The Erechtheion (v. No. 154) was completed in 408 B. C. Vitruvius (iv. 1. 10) makes K. the inventor of the Corinthian Capital. On the inscription Ka入入ipaxos énoieя on an archaistic relief (Löwy 500), see Furtwängler, Meisterwerke, p. 202 ff.
153. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 92 Ex omnibus autem maxume cognomine insignis est Callimachus semper

Of all artists Kallimachos is the most remarkable for the epithet applied to him. He continually subjected
calumniator sui nec finem habentis diligentiae, ob id 'catatexitechnus' appellatus, memorabili exemplo adhibendi et curae modum; huius sunt saltantes Lacaenae, emendatum opus, sed in quo gratiam omnem diligentia abstulerit.
his own work to the severest criticism and bestowed endless labour upon it, for which reason he was called ' the man who put his art into the crucible,'-a memorable warning that even diligence must have its limit : his dancing maidens ofSparta is a work of flawless precision, but one robbed of all its charm by the excessive labour spent on it.
calumniator sui] Calumnia $=$ 'pedantic self-criticism,' in the writers of the Silver Age (cp. however Cic. Fam. ix. 2. 3). See Quint. x. 1. I15 (Caluum) nimia contra se calumnia uerum sanguinem perdidisse ; also Quint. x. 3. 6, viii. Prooem. 3.
catatexitechnus] The significance of the epithet is well illustrated by Dion. Hal. de ui Demosth. 51, who says that sculptors and painters do not fritter away their labour on the representation of tiny veins, feathers, down, \&c., nor катaтijкєข (lit. melt down) eis raût:I Tùs TÉXvas.
saltantes Lacaenae] Probably Karyatides (cp. No. 184), i. e. maidens of Karyae in Laconia, who danced at festivals of Artemis. Perhaps the group of 'Neo-Attic' reliefs treated by Winter ( 50. Winckelmannsprogramm, p. 97 f.) may serve to illustrate this work.
gratiam] In No. 87 入emrítクs and Xápıs are mentioned as characteristic of Kallimachos. The contrast. however, in that passage is with a 'broad treatment.'
154. Paus. i. $26.6 \lambda \chi^{\prime} \chi^{20 v}$




Kallimachos made a golden lamp for the goddess. This Kallimachos, though in art he fell short



 $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \quad a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \kappa а т \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$

of the first rank，so far excelled his rivals in in－ genuity that he was the first to bore marble，and gave to himself－or caused others to give him－the name of＇the man who put his art into the crucible＇

т̂̂ $\theta \in \hat{\varphi}]$ Athena Polias．The lamp hung in the Erechtheion． A golden palm served as a chimney．

прผิтos íTpúmๆ $\sigma \epsilon]$ i．e．he introduced the use of the＇running borer．＇This was not used in the Parthenon sculptures，according to Puchstein（Arch．Ans．1890，p．110）．

155．Paus．ix．2． 7 П入aral－The Plataeans have a $\epsilon \hat{v} \sigma t \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ vaós $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} p a s . . . \quad$ temple of Hera．Here there
 каӨท́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ Ka入入íuaxos є̇тoí－by Kallimachos．They $\eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon^{*} \mathrm{~N} v \mu \phi \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \quad \delta ิ \epsilon \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad$ call the goddess＇the Bride．＇ $\theta \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ ．．．ỏvоцáऽovбıv．

## 8．SOKRATES．

156．Paus．i．22． 8 катà

入atov óvoцáSovб九，каi Xápıтаs



At the very entrance to the Akropolisstand Hermes －called Hermes of the Gateway－and the Graces， both said to be works of Sokrates the son of So－ phroniskos．

From Paus. ix. 36. 3 we learn that they were draped, and from
 But the work cannot be identified with the original of the archaistic relief in the Vatican (A. Z. 1869, xxii).

## 9. PYRRHOS.

157. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. Pyrrhos represented Hy80 Pyrrhus (fecit) Hygiam gieia [and] Athena. [et] Mineruam.

A statue of Athena Hygieia is mentioned by Paus, on the Akropolis near the portrait of Diitrephes (No. 148 note). The inscrip-

 (Perikl. 13) states that Perikles erected the statue (which was of bronze) to commemorate the healing of his favourite slave, who had fallen from the roof of the Propylaia, by a remedy prescribed by Athena in a dream. (V. next No.) The inscription appears to be some years later than the building of the Propylaia (437-433 B. C.).

## 10. STYPPAX.

158. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 81 Styppax Cyprius uno celebratur signo, splanchnopte ; Periclis Olympii uernula hic fuit exta torrens ignemque oris pleni spiritu accendens.

Styppax of Kypros owes his fame to a single statue, the 'roaster of entrails': this represented a slave of Perikles the Olympian, roasting entrails and kindling a fire with a blast from his swollen cheeks.

From Plin. N. H. xxii. 44 we learn that the slave was identical with the one mentioned in the note to the last No., and that his statue was of bronze.

## 11. THE SCULPTURES OF THE ERECHTHEION.

159. C.I.A. i. $324 \mathrm{~cd}=$ Löwy 526 .
. . . тòv tò $\delta]$ ópv éXำта
$凶 \triangle$
 тарà тòv $\theta$ ю́pака ${ }^{[4 \Delta} \triangle$

 крои́oyta $H \Delta \Delta$

 $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega$
 " $\pi \pi$ "

$$
\otimes \Delta
$$


 $\sigma$ गи́ $\lambda \eta \nu$ ṽ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$
$H \Delta \triangle \Gamma \vdash \vdash$
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mid[\mathrm{X} \circ] \nu \tau a$

 $\lceil\tau \grave{j}] \nu \beta \omega \mu \dot{v} v$

$$
凶 \Delta
$$

 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mid\left[\pi \epsilon^{\prime}\right] \pi \tau \omega \kappa є$ $\sim \Delta \Delta \Delta$
кєфа́лаเov ál[ $[\gamma a] \lambda \mu а т о \pi о$ оикои . . . XXXHHH $\triangle \Gamma$ $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mid[\mu \mu] a$. . . $X X X(X) H H H H F I$


$[\tau \epsilon \sigma \tau] \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha u \dot{v}[\tau] \hat{\varphi}$
$H \mid[\Delta \Delta]$

$\pi \lambda[\eta ̀ \nu \mid$ тоĩ $\nu \grave{\eta} \mu \iota o ́ \nu]$ oเv . . . . . . $ณ \Delta \Delta \Delta$


Dr.
To ... for the man holding a spear ..... 60
To Phyromachos of Kephisia for the youth beside the breastplate ..... 60
To Praxias, resident at Melite, for the horse and the man seen behind it who is turning it ..... 120
To Antiphanes of Kerameis, for the chariot and the youth and the pair of horses being yoked ..... 240
To Phyromachos of Kephisia, for the man leading the horse ..... 60
To Mynnion, resident at Agryle, for the horse and the man striking it. He afterwards added the pillar ..... 127
To Soklos, resident at Alopeke, for the man holding the bridle ..... 60
To Phyromachos of Kephisia, for the man leaning upon his staff beside the altar ..... 60
To Iason of Kollytos, for the woman at whose feet the child has fallen ..... 80
Total expenditure on sculpture ..... 3315Received, 4302 dr. I ob.Disbursed, the same sum.
To . . . for the young man writing and the man who is standing beside him ..... 120
To . . . resident at Kollytos, for . . . and the chariot (but not the pair of mules) ..... 8o
To Agathanor, resident at Alopeke, for the woman beside the chariot and the pair of mules ..... 180
$X\left(\chi_{\text {í入ıо }}\right)=1000, H($ Нєкато́v $)=100, \Delta($ ঠ́є́ка $)=10, \Gamma$ $(\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon)=5, \wedge^{\boxed{\omega}}(5 \times 10)=50, \vdash=1$ drachma, $1=1$ obol.

From the accounts of expenditure on the building of the Erechtheion ( $407 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.). The names are those of the workmen, who executed the individual figures at sixty drachmas each. The composition was no doubt the work of a first-class artist, who furnished models ( (ítrot), and was paid at a higher rate. See No. 221. One drachma $=$ about 10 d. or a franc. Artists whose name is followed by that of their deme are Attic citizens, those described as 'resident at . . ' are aliens. Attempts to reconstruct the scene, and to identify some of the figures with existing fragments (BrunnBruckmann $3^{1-33)}$ have been made by Bergk, Zeitschrift für Alterthumswissenschaft, 1845, p. 987 ff., and Stephani, A.d. I. 1843 , p. 286 ff . On the fragments see $F$. W. 812-820.
[ma]pakpoviovta] Since àvaкрov́t $\boldsymbol{y}=$ to 'pull up' a horse with the bridle (Xen. de Eq. 11. 33) таракрои́єı may mean to 'turn' the animal.

## § 2. THE ARGIVE SCHOOL.

## 1. POLYKLEITOS.

Date.-(1) The inscription from the base of the portrait of Kyniskos (v. infr.) (Löwy 50) may be dated circ. 440 B. C., and the Amazon (No. 117), if really contemporary with that of Pheiciias, would belong to the same time. The inscriptions from the portraits of Pythokles (Löwy 91) and Xenokles (Löwy 90), whose alphabet shows increasing Ionic influence, are generally attributed to the younger P., but Furtw. thinks that they may date from the Peloponnesian war (Meisterwerke, p. 415). (2) Plat. Protag. 3IIC makes Polykleitos a contemporary of Pheidias, and in the same dialogue, 328 c , represents his sons as contemporary with those of Perikles. (3) The Hera at Argos (No. 161) is posterior to 423 B. C., when the temple was built; hence Pliny's date, OI. $90=420$ B. C. (4) The memorials of the Spartan victory at Aigospotamoi ( 405 B. C.) were for the most part executed by the pupils of Polykleitos;
but the tripod at Amyklai (No. 160) was his own work, unless we assign it to the younger $P$. (The works assigned with probability to the latter artist are placed last, Nos. 165,166 .)
160. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 55 Polyclitus Sicyonius Ageladae discipulus diadumenum fecit molliter iuuenem centum talentis nobilitatum ; idem et doryphorum uiriliter puerum fecit, quem Kavóva artifices uocant lineamenta artis ex eo petentes ueluti a lege quadam, solusque hominum artem ipsam fecisse artis opere iudicatur. Fecit et destringentem se et nudum telo incessentem, duosque pueros item nudos talis ludentes quiuocantur $\mathfrak{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$ ja入ļovtes et sunt in Titi Imperatoris atrio-hoc opere nullum absolutius plerique iudicant-item Mercurium qui fuit Lysimacheae, Her-
 arma sumentem, Artemona qui $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ф о \rho \eta \tau o ́ s ~ a p p e l l a t u s$ est. Hic consummasse hanc scientiam iudicatur et toreuticen sic erudisse ut Phidias aperuisse. Proprium eius est uno crure ut

Polykleitos of Sikyonwas a pupil of Ageladas; his works were :-a youth with boyish forms binding his hair, famous for its price, 100 talents ; also a boy of manly form bearing a lance, called 'the Canon' by artists, who draw from it the rudiments of art as from a code (so that Polykleitos is held to be the only man who has embodied art itself in a work of art); also a man scraping himself and a nude figure hurling a javelin, and two boys, also nude, playing with knucklebones, which are called 'the Dice-players' and stand in the hall of the Imperator Titus, considered by many to be the most faultless work of sculpture-also a Hermes which was at Lysimacheia, a Herakles at Rome, a captain putting on his armour, and a portrait of Artemon called 'the Man
insisterent signa excogi- in the Litter.' He is held tasse, quadrata tamen esse ea tradit Uarro et paene ad exemplum.
to have brought the bronzecaster's art to perfection and to have expounded sculpture, as Pheidias revealed it. Peculiar to him is the device by which his statues step forward with one leg. Varro, however, states that theyare squarely built and seem almost to be made on a uniform pattern.

Sicyonius] P. describes himself as'Apyeios on the base of the portrait of Pythokles, and this is corroborated by Plato and Pausanias. Naukydes (v. infr.) was also an Argive. Daidalos, Kanachos, and Alypos (v. infr.) show that the school afterwards transferred itself to Sikyon, which was the home of Lysippos. Possibly therefore Pliny's version is a kind of anachronism (Furtw. Meisterwerke, p. 416 f.), unless P. was a Sikyonian by birth who gained the citizenship of Argos.

Ageladae discipulus] Chronologically impossible, v. supr. p. 33.
diadumenum] A copy found at Vaison is in the British Museum (Catalogue of Greek Sculpture, p. 266). See F. W. 508.
molliter iuuenem . . . uiriliter puerum] The antithesis may be Pliny's own, or borrowed from an epigram.
doryphorum . . . quem navóva uocant] MSS. insert et before quem, but it has been omitted in accordance with No. 163 note. The best copy (from Pompeii) is at Naples (F.W. 503).
destringentem se] A translation of àmoそ̌vópevoy-an athlete scraping himself with the strigil after anointing.
telo incessentem] The MSS. read 'talo,' but this can only be retained if with Benndorf we regard the phrase as a mistranslation
 'standing upon' by 'incessens,' ' pursuing.' A large basis in the form of a knucklebone was found at Olympia, and Benndorf believes that it supported a statue of Katpós ('Opportunity') here referred
to. But it is better to accept Benndorf's alternative suggestion 'telo'; on the meaning see Wölfflin, Archiv für lateinische Lexikographie, 1894, p. 105 ff., who quotes Ov. Met. xiv. 402 saeuisque parant incessere telis and Plin. $\boldsymbol{N}$. H. xxxvii. III fundis e longinquo incessunt.

Titi Imperatoris] Titus received the title Imperator in 72 A.D.
ávๆтîpa] A Dorian title (cp. $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta k o v \tau \eta!p, ~ \dot{a} \rho \mu \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ at Sparta). The word is not to be regarded as an attribute of Herakles (Urlichs, Wochenschrift für klassische Philologie, 1894, Sp. 1299).
Artemona] An engineer employed by Perikles at the siege of Samos ( 440 B. C.). Being lame he was carried about ( $\pi \epsilon \rho 九 \phi o \rho \eta \tau o ́ s$ ) in a litter.
hanc scientiam] The art of bronze-casting.
uno orure] The typical attitude of Polykleitan statues is that in which the figure is coming to rest on one leg (uno crure, not uni cruri ' resting its weight on one leg ').
quadrata] As compared with the more slender figures of Lysippos. V. Introduction, § 2.
ad exemplum] 'unum' is inserted in the inferior MSS. But the same sense may be obtained by laying stress on 'exemplum,'

161. Paus. ii. 17. 4 (At




 Xápıгаs ëX $\omega \nu$ каì ${ }^{\circ} \Omega \rho a s$ è $\pi \in เ \rho-$

 бкท̂ттроу. . . . ко́ккขуа ठє̀

 ท้ $\rho a \quad \pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o v$ тท̂s ${ }^{*} \mathrm{H} \rho a \mathrm{~s}$, हैs

(At Argos) the image of Hera is colossal in size, seated upon a throne: it is made of gold and ivory, and is the work of Polykleitos; on her head is a crown adorned with Graces and Seasons ; in one hand she holds the fruit of the pomegranate, in the other a sceptre. They say that a cuckoo is perched on the sceptre, and tell the story that Zeus, when he loved
 â $\sigma a l$ ． form of that bird，and was pursued and taken by her as a plaything．

The base was laid bare by the American excavators in 1892 ．
The statue is represented on coins of Argos（Overbeck，Kunst－ myth．iii，Münztafel iii．1，2）．A passage of Tertullian（de Cor．Mil．7）， of doubtful authority，seems to imply that Hera wore a garland of vine－leaves，and that a tiger＇s skin was spread over her footstool， in allusion to Dionysos and Herakles．

162．Paus．iii．18． $7 \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$
 ．．．8．．．．＇Aplotavòpos $\delta$ è Пáptos каi Подúклєıтоs＇A $\rho$－
 $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ €̌Хovaav $\lambda$ úpav，$\Sigma \pi \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \nu$ $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$, Поли́клєเтоs òè＇$А ф \rho о-$

 $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ тє vinè $\rho$ тоѝs ä̀入入ovs
 Alyòs потаноîs àvєтє́ $\theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．

At Amyklai there are bronze tripods．（Tosupport these）Aristandros of Paros made a figure of a woman holding a lyre，which is called＇Sparta，＇and Poly－ kleitos of Argos an Aphro－ dite called＇the Aphrodite of Amyklai．＇These tripods are larger than the others and were dedicated from the spoils of the victory at Aigospotamoi．

тpitiodes］The earlier and smaller tripods were used by Gitiadas （No．37）and Kallon of Aegina（No．53）．Aristandros may have been the father of Skopas（infr．Part II．§ 1.2 （a））．


183．Galen，de plac．Hipp． et Plat． 5 то̀ ठ̀ к кá入入os ov̉к




Chrysippos holds beauty to consist in the proportions not of the elements but of the parts，that is to say，of finger to finger and of all

ठaктúdov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta a ́ к т v \lambda o v ~ \delta ̊ \eta \lambda o-~$
 тро́s тє $\mu є \tau а к а ́ \rho т ь о \nu ~ к а і ~ к а р-~$ $\pi o ́ v, ~ \kappa a \grave{~ т о v ́ т \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \eta ̂ \chi v \nu, ~}$ каì $\pi \eta$ и́ $\epsilon \omega$ s $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta \rho a \chi$ lova каì $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha ́ ⿱ 亠 䒑 ⿱ 亠 乂 兀 a ~ к а \theta a ́ \pi \epsilon \rho ~$ ह̀v т仑̂ Подขклєítov каขóvи


 бө́цатоя ঠ̊ Поли́клєเтоऽ，є้рүч
 ovpүク́ซаs ävঠ̊plávтa кат⿳亠 тà то仑̂ 入óyov тробта́yцата，каі

 үрациа кауо́vа．
the fingers to the palm and wrist，and of these to the forearm，and of the fore－ arm to the upper arm，and of all the parts to each other，as they are set forth in the Canon of Polykleitos． For Polykleitos，when he had taught us all the pro－ portions of the human figure by means of that treatise，confirmed his the－ ory by a practical illus－ tration and made a statue according to the dictates of the theory，and called the statue，like the treatise， his＇Canon．＇

The identity of this＇Canon＇with the doryphoros is shown by the anecdote told of Lysippos，who used to say that the doryphoros of Polykleitos was his master（Cic．Brut．86．296）and by Quint．（v． 12. 21）who states that sculptors took it as their model．An expression is quoted from the theoretical treatise by Philon $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \beta \in \lambda о \pi о$ оiк $\omega \hat{\nu}$
 ＇Beauty，he said，was produced from a small unit through a long chain of numbers．＇The system given by Vitruv．iii．I does not agree with the statues of Polykleitos．Kalkmann（53．Winckelmanns－ programm）connects it with the canon of Euphranor（No．230）on very slight grounds．

164．Plut．Quaest．Conu． ii． 3.2 Поли́к $\lambda \epsilon เ \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta \delta \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta s$

 үі́ขтта．

Polykleitos the sculptor said that the work was most difficult，when the clay came under the nail．
örav iv övux к.. $\boldsymbol{\text { . } . ] ~ I f ~ t h e s e ~ w o r d s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ t a k e n ~ l i t e r a l l y ~ t h e y ~}$ may be interpreted, (I) of the nail of the artist, with which he works over the surface of the finished model ; (2) of the nail of the model itself, which is the last detail to be finished. But this would require $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roís övv६. It is possible that the phrase (which was a proverbial one, cp. the use of $\dot{\xi} \xi 0 v u x i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ Ar. Fr. 230 Bgk . and Dion. Hal. de



Other works by Polykleitos :-
The Amazon (v. No. i17).
Herakles and the Hydra (Cic. de Or. ii. 16. 70).
The Kanephoror (Cic. Verr. iii. 4. 5).
Portraits of Olympic victors:-
Kyniskos of Mantineia, victor in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 4. 11); the inscription Löwy 50.

Works ascribed to the younger Polykleitos (v. infr. p. 192) :-
165. Paus. ii. 20. I (At
 $\mu \epsilon \nu o d \Delta t o ̀ s ~ M e i \lambda \iota x$ lov, $\lambda i ̂ \theta o v$

(At Argos) there is a seated image of Zeus the Merciful in white marble, the work of Polykleitos.

Stated by Paus. to have been set up in expiation of a massacre in 418 b. c., in which case it would be a work of the elder P. But the material (marble) is not that employed by him, and the massacre may have been the ' $\sigma \kappa v \tau a \lambda \iota \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{s}^{\prime}$ ' in 370 B. C. (Diod. xv. 58 ).
168. Paus. ii. 24. 5 ¢̣ковоó-


 Aŋrov̂s кal̀ 'Apré $\mu$ เठेos $\pi \in \pi \circ \ell-$



On the summit of the mountain stands a temple of Artemis Orthia, in which are images of Apollo, Leto and Artemis of white marble. These are said to be the work of Polykleitos.
rov ópovs] Mount Lykone in Arkadia. Ascribed to the younger P. on the ground of the material.

Portraits of Olympic victors :-
Aristion of Epidauros, victor in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 13. 6). Inscription Löwy 92.

Thersilochos of Korkyra, victor in the boxing-match (Paus. vi. 13. 6). Coupled by Paus. with the last.

Antipatros of Miletos, victorious in the boys' boxing-match in the time of Dionysios I (probably 388 B. C.) (Paus. vi. 2. 6).
(Pythokles of Elis, victor in the five contests (Paus. vi. 7. 10). Inscription Löwy 91.)
(Xenokles of Mainalos, victor in the boys' wrestling-match (Paus. vi. 9. 2). Inscription Löwy 90.)

The case of the two last-named is doubtful. V. supr. ad inito, and Furtwängler, loc.cit.

## 2. THE FAMILY AND SCHOOL OF POLYKLEITOS.

## (a) The Family of Patrokles.



Naukydes and Daidalos describe themselves as sons of Patrokles in their inscriptions (Löwy 86, 88). A Polykleitos, brother of Naukydes, is mentioned by Pausanias (No. 173), who (though Robert believes him to be the elder P.), must be in reality the younger artist of the name; on whom see Part iv. § 2.1 (b). The relationship of the elder Polykleitos to the other members of the family must remain uncertain. He may have been the brother of Patrokles.

Date.-Patrokles was employed (v. No. 172) on the Spartan memorial of Aigospotamoi ( 405 B. C.). Pliny dates him 400 B.C. Naukydes seems to have worked with the elder Polykleitos at Argos (No. 170), and his inscriptions (Löwy 86, 87, see especially note on the latter inscription) show that he was at work in the early years of the fourth century. His younger brothers belong to the next period. His pupil Alypos, however, was employed on the memorial of Aigospotamoi (No. 172).
167. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 91 Athletas autem et armatos et uenatores sacrificantesque (fecit) . . . Patrocles.

Patrokles made statues of athletes, warriors, hunters, and sacrificers.
P.'s place is with the artists of the second grade, who are classified according to their subjects (Introduction, § 1).
168. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 80 Naucydes Mercurio et discobolo et immolante ariem censetur.

The fame of Naukydes rests on his Hermes, his quoit-thrower, and his figure sacrificing a ram.

The last named is usually identified with a figure standing in the precinct of Athena Ergane on the Akropolis of Athens, described by Paus. in the following No.
169. Paus. i. 24. 2 кєîtaย



 $\Lambda а ф v \sigma \tau i \varphi$ калоv $\mu \in ́ \nu \varphi, \pi а \rho \alpha ̀$ ' $\mathrm{O} \rho \times$ о $\mu \in \nu$ iots, тov̀s $\mu \eta \rho$ рѝs катà
 ès av̉rov̀s кalouťvovs ópą.

There stands also Phrixos, the son of Athamas, who was borne to Kolchis by the ram. He has sacrificed the animal to some god, probably to him who is called Laphystios at Orchomenos, and having cut out the thigh-pieces after the Greek fashion, is watching them as they are consumed by the flames.

Zeus Laphystios was worshipped on Mount Laphystion in Boeotia and at Halos in Achaia Phthiotis, where human sacrifices, of which that of Phrixos and Helle (for whom the ram with the golden fleece was substituted) was the prototype, were offered in historical times (Hdt. vii. 197).
170. Paus. ii. 17.5 גє́yєтаи
 Navкóơovs ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a^{*} \mathrm{H} \beta \eta s$, è $\lambda \in$ фаитоs каì тои̂тo каì хрибov.

It is said that beside the Hera there stands an image of Hebe, the work of Naukydes. This is also of ivory and gold.

The Hera is that of Polykleitos at Argos (No. 16I). Both figures are represented on bronze coins of Argos (Overbeck, Kunstmyth. iii, Münztafel iii. I).
171. Paus. ii. 22. 7 (At (At Argos) beyond the

 vaós, इкóta ôe тò ă $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$



 Подvкגєítov Navкúə̀ŋs* Mó$\theta \omega \nu 0$ s $^{*}$.
temple of Eileithuia is a temple of Hekate, and the image is the work of Skopas. This is of marble, and the bronze figures which stand opposite to it also represent Hekate. One was made by Polykleitos, the other by Naukydes, the brother of Polykleitos*, and son of Mothon*.

M60 wvos] The text is corrupt, since the inscription quoted above shows that Naukydes was the son of Patrokles. Klein suggests $\mu a \theta \eta r \eta$; but this would involve a lacuna for the teacher's name.

Other works:-
A portrait of the poetess Erinna (Tatian, c. Graec. 52).
Athlete statues :-
Baukis of Troizen, victorious in wrestling (Paus. vi. 8. 4).
Cheimon, victorious in wrestling (Paus. vi. 9. 3).
Eukles of Rhodes, grandson of Diagoras, victorious in wrestling (Paus. vi. 6. 2). Inscription Löwy 86.

Portraits by Alypos, pupil of Naukydes :-
Symmachos of Elis, victorious in boxing (Paus. vi. I. 3).
Neolaidas of Pheneos in Arkadia, victorious in the boys' boxing-match (id. $i i_{\text {. }}$ ).

Archidamos of Elis, victorious in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 1. 3).

Euthymenes of Mainalos in Arkadia, victorious in the boys ${ }^{\circ}$ wrestling-match (Paus, vi. 8. 5).
(b) The School of Polykleitos.


The first five names are from Pliny's list in the chronological table; Alexis, a pupil of the younger Polykleitos, and Dinon (wanting in best MS.) have been omitted. The rest are from Pausanias.

Date.-Nos. 4, 5, 7, 8 were engaged on the memorial of Aigospotamoi ( 405 B. C.), No. 8 also on a memorial of an Argive success against Sparta in 414 B. C. (No. 173).
172. Paus. x. 9. 7 Дакє-
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \grave{\alpha} \pi$ ' 'A $\theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu \quad \Delta$ เó $\sigma$ -


 'Aрьттокрітоข бтєфаขоv́цєขоs




The offerings of the Spartans from the spoils of the Athenians consist of figures of the Dioskouroi, Zeus, Apollo and Artemis, beside Poseidon and Lysander, the son of Aristokritos, on whose head Poseidon is placing a garland, and Abas who acted as diviner to Lysander
$\tau \eta ̀ \nu \Lambda v \sigma a ́ v \delta ิ \rho o v ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \ell \delta a$
 тòv ${ }^{\circ}$ E $\rho \mu \omega \nu a$ Єєóкобноs тоเท́-



 $\gamma$ €íov, каl ó $\mu$ ávтıs тéx $\nu \eta$ Пíowvos èк Kaגavpєias тท̂s
 ка؛ $\Delta \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ a s, \delta \mu e ̀ \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu " A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu i \nu$ $\tau є$ каі Побєเঠิఱิva єlрүа́бато,




 $\tau \hat{v} \nu \kappa a \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ ö $\sigma 0 ь \sigma \nu \gamma-$
 тà ধ̇v Alyòs motauois $\hat{\eta}$ av̉rติv

 'Aракòs $\mu$ ̀v каà 'Epıávөךs, ס










on the occasion of the victory, and Hermon who was the helmsman of Lysander's flag-ship. This Hermon was destined to be portrayed by Theokosmos of Megara, because he had been enrolled as a citizen of Megara, the Dioskouroi are by Antiphanes of Argos, and the diviner is the work of Pison of Kalaureia, a possession of Troizen. Dameas made the Artemis and the Poseidon, besides the portrait of Lysander, while Athenodoros made the Apollo and the Zeus: both Athenodoros and Da meas were Arkadians from Kleitor. Behind the figures already enumerated are other offerings, the portraits of all who assisted Lysander at the victory of Aigospotamoi, whether Spartans or allies. These are the following :-Arakos, a Spartan, and Erianthes, a Boeotian * * * beyond Mimas, next is Astykrates and Kephisokles, Hermophantos and Hikesios of


 Ev̉ßolas 'Aрıбтоклє́a тє Kapúбтьоу каì Av̇тóvouov 'Ерєтрь́́a каl 'Apıซтóфаутоу Kорivөıov





 рías каl Кө́цшу Мєүарє̀̀s каі̀


 ПvӨóòotos Kopivөlos каl 'A $\mu$ -

 vเкоs oi Дакєдацио́vıоь. Патрок入є́ovs òè кaì KaváXov фабìv


Chios, Timarchos and Diagoras of Rhodes, Theodamos of Knidos, Kimmerios of Ephesos and Aiantides of Miletos. These figures were made by Tisandros, and those which follow by Alypos of Sikyon. These are Theopompos of Myndos and Kleomedes of Samos and two Euboeans-Aristokles of Karystos and Autonomos of Eretria, and Aristophantos of Corinth and Apollodoros of Troizen and Dion of Epidauros in Argolis. Next to these come Axionikos an Achaean of Pellene and Theseus of Hermione and Pyrrhias the Phokian and Komon the Megarian and Agasimenes the Sikyonian, while Ambracia, Corinth and Leukas are represented by Telykrates and Pythodotos the Corinthian and Euantidas of Ambrakia. Last of all come the Spartans, Epikyridas and Eteonikos: these are said to be the work of Patrokles and Ka nachos.

Өєо́кобноя] V. No. I78.
ข́mèp тои̂ Mípavтos] The lacuna which precedes these words may
 'beyond Cape Mimas' (i.e. in Ionia) is to be distinguished from the town of the same name in Boeotia.
173. Paus. x. 9. 12 रòv
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The Sibyl also foretold that in the battle fought between the Spartans and Argives for the possession of the district called Thyrea, neither side should gain the victory. The Argives however claimed the advantage in the fight and sent to Delphi a bronze horse representing the Wooden Horse of Troy: this was the work of Antiphanes of Argos.

The battle was fought in $414 \mathrm{B.C}$. (Thuc. vi. 45 ). This favours the earlier date for No. 238, on which Antiphanes was engaged (q. v.).

Other works by pupils of Polykleitos :-
ARISTIDES, chariot-groups (Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 72).
KANACHOS, portrait of Bykelos of Sikyon, victorious in boxing at Olympia (Paus. vi. 13. 7).
(c) The Sculptures of the Heraion at Argos.
174. Paus. ii. 17. 3 àpxı
 $\nu \alpha o v ̂ \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma o v \sigma เ \nu$ Eùnó $\lambda \in \mu 0 \nu$ 'A $\rho$ -


Eupolemos of Argos is said to have been the architect . . . The subjects which fill the spaces above the

 $\Theta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ка̀ Гเүа́ขтшv $\mu$ áx $\eta \nu$

 ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$.
columns are taken partly from the legends of the birth of Zeus and the battle of the Gods and Giants, partly from the story of the Trojan war and the fall of Ilion.

The temple was restored after its destruction by fire in 423 B. C. The site has been recently excavated by the American School at Athens, and fragments of the metopes discovered. See Waldstein, Excavations at the Heraion of Argos, 1892, who however (p. 18) appears to take Paus.' words to be a description of the pedimentsculptures. This would be à è év tois d̀єтois in the language of Paus., while tà itrè rov̀s kiovas unmistakably refers to metopes.

## § 3. OTHER ARTISTS.

## 1. PAIONIOS OF MENDE.

Date.-Dependent on that assigned to the Nike at Olympia (Nos. 175, 176), on which see commentary.
175. Paus. v. 26. I $M \in \sigma-$ ซทvíav ठè тต̂y $\Delta \omega \rho t \in ́ \omega \nu$ of Nav́тактóv тотє тара̀ 'А $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \eta-~\end{aligned}$









The Dorian Messenians who formerly received Naupaktos from the Athenians dedicated at Olympia a statue of Victory on a pillar. This was the work of Paionios of Mende, and was set up from spoils taken from the enemy when the Messenians were at war with the Akarnanians and the

 $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ 'A $\theta \eta v a i \omega v$, каl оủк देтьүрáчає тò övоца тิิv $\pi о \lambda \epsilon-$

 үє каі 'Акаруávшу ov̇ס́éva Є̌ $\chi \in \iota \nu$ фо́ßov.
people of Oiniadai. Such at least is my view : but the Messenians themselves assert that the statue is a memorial of the engagement on the island of Sphakteria in which they fought beside the Athenians, and that they did not inscribe the name of the enemy on the monument for fear of the Spartans, while they had no fear of the Akarnanians or the people of Oiniadai.
$\pi$ ттє] Probably in 460 B. c. V. note on No. 42.
' $\mu \mathrm{o}$ I Soreiv] Paus.' view is improbable, because the Messenians were forced to beat a retreat by night from Oiniadai, which they left empty-handed (Paus. iv. 25. 9, 10). The expedition took place in 452 B. C.
 Elis remained the ally of Sparta. The engagement at Sphakteria took place in 424 B. C., the rupture between Elis and Sparta in 420 B. C. The date of the Victory therefore probably lies between these years.
176. Löwy, I. G. B. 49 Meбनávเo七 каи Navтd́ктьь





The : Messenians and Naupaktians dedicated to Olympian Zeus as a tithe of the spoil of their enemies. Paionios of Mende made the statue and was a successful competitor in the construction of the gablefigures for the temple.

From the original of No．175，preserved in the Museum at Olym－ pia．See F．W．496，497．The later of the dates given on No． 175 is supported by the style．
rảkpotipia］Figures of Victory in gilded bronze，which stood on the extremities of the gable（Paus．v．10．4）．It is impossible to give to this word the sense of＇pediment－sculptures，＇i．e．évaétıa（C．I．A． iv． $297^{\text {b }}$ ，Kavvadias，Fouilles d＇$^{\prime}$ pidaure，241，98，\＆c．）．See next No．

177．Paus．v．10． 6 Tà $\delta$ ठ̀े

 โ $\pi \pi \omega \nu$ व̆ $\mu\llcorner\lambda \lambda a$ є̈ $\tau \iota \mu \in ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a$ ， каі то̀ є̈́pyov то仑 ठоо́भоv тара̀
 ठ̇̀ áyd́入儿атоs ката̀ $\mu \in ́ \sigma o \nu \pi \epsilon-$



 इтєро́т $\eta, \theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ каi aṽтך



 $\tau \in \in \sigma \sigma a \rho \in s . \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ ర̀є av̉兀óv $\in \mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \nu$


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \tau \in \in \tau а к т о$ ข่ $\pi \grave{o}$ тои̂ Olvo－


 $\tau \iota \mu \grave{s} \pi о \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \mu a ́ \lambda เ \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \in \tau \alpha ́$


The sculptures of the front pediment represent the moment before the chariot－ race of Pelops with Oino－ maos，and the preparations for the contest on both sides．Just in the centre of the pediment is an image of Zeus，and on the right of Zeus is Oinomaos with a helmet on his head，and beside him stands his wife Sterope，who was also one of the daughters of Atlas． And Myrtilos who drove the chariot of Oinomaos is seated in front of the team； this consists of four horses． After him come two men； they have no names，but were doubtless also told off by Oinomaos to tend the horses．Close to the end of the pediment reclines Kladeos，who in various ways enjoys higher honours




 av̂Өเs ถ̀ àєтòs кáтєเซเข ย̇s бтєขóv, ка̀े катà тоиิто 'A入-





 èv roîs ảєтoîs è $\sigma \tau$ П Пatwvíov,

in Elis than anyriver except Alpheios. On the left of Zeus are Pelops and Hippodameia and the charioteer of Pelops and his team, and two men, doubtless also grooms employed by Pelops. Here again the lines of the pediment converge, and at this point Alpheios is represented. The charioteer of Pelops is called Sphairos in the Troizenian account, but the guide at Olympia asserted that his name was Killas. The sculptures of the front pediment are the work of Paionios, a native of Mende in Thrace.

On the east pediment of Olympia, v. Ov. I ${ }^{4} .309 \mathrm{ff}$., Coll. I. 436 ff .
áyduaros] Paus. seems to think that the figure of Zeus in the centre represents a statue. This may have been due to the fact that Zeus takes no part in the action, and has no attention directed to him by the other figures.
 MSS. Paus. refers in all such cases to the spectator's right or left.
ävopes 8vo] A mistake of Pausanias. The figures are those of an old man and a maiden.
K $\lambda$ d́deos] Furtwängler and others reject this explanation (as well as Alpheios) on the ground that personifications of rivers are a product of the Hellenistic age, and regard the figures as those of spectators, but Treu justly points out that the river-gods Selinus
and Hypsas appear in human form on contemporary coins of Selinus (Head, Historia Numorum, Fig. 91, Gardner, Types of Greek Coins, Pl. ii. 16).
Mat $\omega$ viou] If the attribution of the west pediment to Alkamenes (No. 134) is improbable, that of the east pediment to Paionios is impossible, owing to the difference of style between the pedimentsculptures and the Victory. The account given to Pausanias by his guides may have arisen from a misinterpretation of the inscription of the Victory (No. 175), àкрштipıa being translated 'pedimentsculptures.' An unsuccessful competitor was invented in the person of Alkamenes.

## 2. THEOKOSMOS OF MEGARA.

Date.-His statue of Zeus at Megara (No. 178) was incomplete on the outbreak of the Peloponnesian war ( 432 b.c.). He was employed in the construction of the Spartan memorial of Aigospotamoi ( 405 B. C.) (No. 172). His son Kallikles made a portrait of Diagoras of Rhodes, the famous $\pi \epsilon p$ toooviкns, and his grandson Apellas (Paus. vi. 1. 6, cp. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 56), a portrait of Kyniska, daughter of Archidamos, king of Sparta (inscription Löwy 99, dated circ. 370 B. c.).
178. Paus. i. 40. 4 (At Megara) द̀s тঠे то̂́ $\Delta$ tòs тé-
 'Oגvرாtєîov vaós zǫTt $\theta \in a ̂ s$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \theta \eta v a l o v s, \quad$ ह̀v $\$$ каl vavбìv àvà $\pi a ̂ v$ étos каì

 . . . тب̂̀ ò à àүá $\lambda \mu a \tau t ~ \tau о v ̂ ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о v$ è $\lambda$ '́фаvтоs каl रрv-

(At Megara) at the entrance to the precinct of Zeus called the Olympieion is a remarkable temple: the image of Zeus however was never completed, because the war between the Peloponnesians and Athenians, in the course of which the latter devastated the territory of Megara every year with their fleet and army, interrupted its construction. This image of Zeus has a

 $\chi \omega ́ \rho \iota o y, \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ठิє of






 $\Delta$ เós.
and plaster ; they say that it was the work of Theokosmos, a native of Megara, and that Pheidias assisted him in its construction. Above the head of Zeus are figures of Seasons and Fates; and behind the temple lie half-wrought blocks of wood. These Theokosmos was about to adorn with ivory and gold in order to complete the image of Zeus.

## 3. NIKODAMOS OF MAINALOS.

Date.-Androsthenes (v. infr.) was victorious in O1. 90 ( $=420$ B. C.). The inscription from the portrait of Damoxenidas (v. infr.) belongs to the fourth century.
179. Paus. v. 25. 7 दे $\pi i$ ठ̀ то仑̂ av̉тov̂ teíXovs . . . кaì
 ávtes $\gamma v \mu \nu 0$ í, тaîôes $\mathfrak{j} \lambda c k\{a \nu$.





 a入iov $\tau \in \chi \nu \eta$.

On the same wall are two nude figures representing Herakles as a boy. One of these appears to be shooting with arrows the lion of Nemea. This group-both the Herakles and the lionwas dedicated by Hippotion of Tarentum, and is the work of Nikodamos of Mainalos.

Other works (all at Olympia) :-
Athena, wearing aegis and helmet (Paus. v. 26.6).
Athlete statues:-
Androsthenes of Mainalos, victor in the pankration, Ol .90 ( $=420$
B. C.) (Paus. vi. 6. 1).

Damoxenidas of Mainalos, victor in boxing (Paus. vi. 6. 3). Inscription Löwy 98.

Antiochos of Lepreon, victor in the pankration (Paus. vi. 3. 9).

## 4. TELEPHANES OF PHOKIS.

180. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 68 Artifices qui compositis uoluminibus condidere haec miris laudibus celebrant Telephanen Phocaeum ignotum alias, quoniam Thessaliae habitauerit et ibi opera eius latuerint, alioqui suffragiis ipsorum aequatur Polyclito Myroni Pythagorae. Laudant eius Larisam et Spintharum pentathlum et Apollinem ; alii non hanc ignobilitatis fuisse causam, sed quod se regum Xerxis atque Darii officinis dediderit existimant.

The artists who have composed set treatises on this subject bestow extraordinary praise on Telephanes the Phokian, who is otherwise unknown, since he lived in Thessaly and his works remained unnoticed in that country, but is placed by their own testimony on a footing of equality with Polykleitos, Myron and Pythagoras. They praise his Larisa, his portrait of Spintharos, a victor in the five contests, and his Apollo. Others assert that this was not the cause of his lack of fame, but rather the fact that he devoted his talents to the service of Xerxes and Darius.

Phocaeum] Probably ' of Phokis,' possibly ' of Phokaia.'
artifices] Perhaps Xenokrates and Antigonos (v. Introduction, § 1). The selection of the names-Polykleitos, Myron, and Pytha-goras-seems to suggest that he had a place in the series of bronzecasters of whom Pliny quotes criticisms (Introduction, § 2).

The names of Persian kings are given at random (Xerxes 485465 B. C., Darius 424-405 в. C.).

## 5. THE METOPES OF OLYMPIA.

181. Pus. v. 10.9 er $\sigma \tau \downarrow$ ठदे èv '()גvرشía кal "Нраклє́ovs


 тоv̂ vós, каi тà $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta i o \mu \eta j o ̈ \eta \nu$
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 $\mathrm{K} \nu \omega \sigma \hat{\text { en }}$ тav̂pov, каì on $\rho \nu \iota \theta a s$ тג̀s दे $\pi i \quad \Sigma \tau v \mu \phi \eta ́ \lambda \varphi$ каі ès
 'Aрүєía 入є́oyta.

Most of the labours of Herakles are represented at Olympia. Above the door of the temple is the hunt of the Arkadian boar and the fight with Diomedes the Thracian and with Geryon at Erythema, and Herakles about to receive Atlas' burden and the same hero clearing the land of dung for the Eleans. Over the back door of the temple is Herakles stripping the Amazon of her belt and the hunting of the stag and of the bull of Knossos, and the birds of Stymphalos, and the hydra, and the lion in the land of Argos.

Fragments of all these metopes, and of a twelfth belonging to the west front, and representing Kerberos, have been discovered at Olympia (Cv. I ${ }^{4} .332$ ff., Coll. I. 429 ff.).
"Athavtos . . . $\left.\mu \mathrm{i} \lambda \lambda^{2} \omega \mathrm{cv}\right]$ Paws. has inverted, the oder of the names Herakles is in reality represented as upholding the heavenly globe, while Atlas approaches with the apples of the Hesperides.

+ The apples.
L


## PART IV.

# SCULPTURE IN <br> THE FOURTH CENTURY. 

Nos. 182-260.

## § 1. THE ATTIC SCHOOL.

## 1. THE FAMILY OF KEPHISODOTOS.

(a) Kephisodotos the Elder.

Date--Since the younger K . was the son of Praxiteles, it is inferred that the elder was his father. He may however have been his elder brother, since Pliny dates him Ol. $102=372$ B. C., and the cult of Eirene (No. 184) was introduced at Athens in 375 B. C. His sister was the wife of Phokion (402-317 B. C.).
182. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 87 Cephisodoti duo fuere ; prioris est Mercurius Liberum patrem in infantia nutriens; fecit et contionantem manu elata, persona in incerto est.
183. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 74 Cephisodotus (fecit) Mineruam mirabilem in portu Atheniensium et aram ad templum Iouis Seruatoris in eodem portu, cui pauca comparantur.

There were two sculptors named Kephisodotos; by the earlier is a Hermes nursing the infant Dionysos. He also represented an orator addressing his audience with uplifted arm ; the name however is uncertain.

Kephisodotos was the sculptor of a remarkable statue of Athena in the harbour of Athens, and an altar in the temple of Zeus the Saviour in the same harbour, which has few rivals.

Paus．i．I． 3 describes the precinct of Zeus（Soter）and Athena （Soteira）at the Piraeus，and mentions two statues of bronze，one of Zeus，with sceptre and Victory，the other of Athena，with spear． These are generally identified with the works referred to by Pliny．

184．Paus．ix．16．I $\Theta \eta-$


 X $\in i ̂ \rho a s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̀ \gamma a ́ \lambda \mu a t o s ~ к а і ~$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu$ ヨєขофөิข єiрүа́бато ＇AӨrıvaîos，Ka入入ıбтóvıкos ठè
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At Thebes there is a sanctuary of Fortune：and the goddess bears in her arms the child Wealth． The Thebans allege that the hands and face of the statue were made by Xenophon of Athens，and the rest of the figure by Kallistonikos， a native of Thebes．It was an ingenious device of theirs to place Wealth in the arms of Fortune，as his mother or nurse ；and no less ingenious was that of Kephisodotos，for he made for the Athenians an image of Peace bearing the child Wealth in her arms．

From Paus．i．8． 2 we learn that the statue of Peace and Wealth stood beside the statue of the Eponymi on the Areopagus．It is reproduced on coins of Athens，Num．Comm．DD．ix，x，and the so－called Leukothea in the Glyptothek at Munich（F．W．1210）is a copy．

185．Paus，ix．30．I taîs



 К $\eta \phi \iota \sigma$ oóóтоv．

The first group of statues of the Muses are all the work of Kephisodotos．A little farther on is another group，of which three again are by Kephisodotos．

On Mount Helikon. The remaining Muses of the second group were by Strongylion (No. 151) and Olympiosthenes.

## (b) Praxiteles.

Date.-Plin. gives O1. 104 (364 B. C.), and this is the only recorded date, except for the doubtful statements of Vitruvius (vii. Praef. 12), that he was employed on the Mausoleion ( 353 B. c.) and of Strabo (xiv. 641) that he made an altar for the temple of Artemis at Ephesos (after the fire of 356 B.C.). As the date of his sons in Pliny's table (Ol. $121=296$ B. C.) seems to be too late (v. infr. (c)), his career may perhaps be placed circ. $370-330$ B.c. The only inscription (Löwy 76 from Leuktra) falls towards the close of this period, and belongs to a portrait. On the various dates assigned to the Hermes of Olympia see No. 193 note.
186. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 20 Praxitelis aetatem inter statuarios diximus, qui marmoris gloria superauit etiam semet. Opera eius sunt Athenis in Ceramico, sed ante omnia est non solum Praxitelis uerum in toto orbe terrarum Uenus quam ut uiderent multi nauigauerunt Cnidum. Duas fecerat simulque uendebat, alteram uelata specie, quam ob id praetulerunt quorum condicio erat Coi, cum eodem pretio detulisset, seuerum id ac pudicum arbitrantes; reiectam Cnidii emerunt immensa differentia famae. 21. Uoluit eam a Cnidiis postea emercari

In my account of the bronze-casters I have mentioned the date of Praxiteles, who surpassed even himself by the fame of his work in marble. His works may be seen at Athens in the Potter's Quarter, but the Aphrodite, to see which many have sailed to Knidos, is the finest statue not only by Praxiteles, but in the whole world. He had made and was offering for sale two figures of Aphrodite, one whose form was draped, and which was therefore preferred by the people of Kos, to whom the choice of either figure was offered at the same price, as
rex Nicomedes, totum aes alienum, quod erat ingens, ciuitatis dissoluturum se promittens ; omnia perpeti maluere, nec immerito ; illo enim signo Praxiteles nobilitauit Cnidum. Aedicula eius tota aperitur, ut conspici possit undique effigies dea fauente ipsa, ut creditur, facta; nec minor ex quacumque parte admiratio est. . . . 22. Sunt in Cnidoet alia signa marmorea illustrium artificum, Liber Pater Bryaxidis et alter Scopae et Minerua nec maius aliud Ueneris Praxiteliae specimen, quam quod inter haec sola memoratur. Eiusdem est et Cupido objectus a Cicerone Verri, ille propter quem Thespiae uisebantur, nunc in Octauiae scholis positus. 23. Eiusdem et alter nudus in Pario colonia Propontidis, par Ueneri Cnidiae nobilitate. Romae Praxitelis opera sunt Flora Triptolemus Ceres in hortis Seruilianis, Boni Euentus et Bonae Fortunae simulacra
the more chaste and severe, while the other which they rejected was bought by the Knidians, and became immeasurably morecelebrated. King Nikomedes wished to buy it from the Knidians, and offered to discharge the whole debt of the city, which was enormous: but they preferred to undergo the worst, and justly so, for by that statue Praxiteles made Knidos famous. The shrine which contains it is quite open, so that the image, made, as is believed, under the direct inspiration of the goddess, can be seen from all sides: and from all sides it is equally admired. There are in Knidos other statues by artists of the first ranka Dionysos of Bryaxis, another Dionysos and an Athena by Skopas - and there is no greater testimony to the Aphrodite of Praxiteles than the fact that amongst all these it is the only one thought worthy of mention. By Praxiteles also is the Eros which
in Capitolio, item Maenades et quas Thyiadas uocant et Caryatides et Sileni, in Pollionis Asinii monumentis et Apollo et Neptunus.

Cicero cast in the teeth of Verres, which formerly drew travellers to Thespiai, and now stands in the gallery of Octavia, also another nude Eros in the colony of Parion on the Propontis, whose fame equals that of the Knidian Aphrodite. The works of Praxiteles preserved at Rome are :Flora, Triptolemos and Demeter in the gardens of Servilius, figures of Good Luck and Good Fortune on the Capitol, where are also Maenads and Thyiades, as they are called, Karyatids, and Sileni ; lastly Apollo and Poseidon in the gallery of Asinius Pollio.
inter statuarios] See No. 189.
Uenus] See Nos. 187, 188. Athenaios says that Phryne (No. 196) served as a model, while Clement of Alexandria tells the same story of Kratina. The statue is represented on coins of Knidos (Ov. II ${ }^{4}$. Fig. 156). On the existing copies v. F.W. 1215. A fine head is published in Antike Denkmäler i. 41.

Nicomedes] N. IIl, king of Bithynia, 90-74 B. C. The debt was due to the forced contribution levied by Sulla in 84 B. C.

Bryaxidis ... Scopae] See No. 2 (a), (b).
Cupido] Paus. ix. 27. 3 tells us that it was of Pentelic marble. It was transferred to Rome by Gaius, restored to Thespiai by Claudius, and finally transported to Rome by Nero, where it was destroyed by fire in 80 A. D. Cicero mentions it in Verr. iv. 2. 4 and iv. 60. 135, while inveighing against Verres for robbing Heius of Messana of another Eros by Praxiteles. The statue was presented
by P. to Phryne, and dedicated by her in her native town (Ath. xiii. 591 B). Thespiai was dismantled by the Thebans in $37 \frac{3}{2}$ B. C., and (apparently) not restored until after Chaironeia, so that Phryne must have been born before the former year, and must have dedicated the Eros while the town was still subject to Thebes.
alter nudus in Pario] Represented on coins of Parion ( $\mathrm{Ov} . \mathrm{II}^{4}$. Fig. 152).

Flora] The figure doubtless represented Kore (Persephone), and was mistaken for Flora because holding a garland to crown Triptolemos, whose departure was represented by the group.

Boni Euentus et Bonae Fortunae] 'AyaOòs $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ and 'Ayaf̀̀ Túx $\eta$.

Thyiadas] Attic maidens, who joined in the orgies of Dionysos on Parnassos.

Caryatides] Spartan maidens of Karyai in Lakonia, who danced at festivals of Artemis. See No. 153 note.
187. Lucian, Eikóves 6
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Now he will allow you to see the growth of the figure as he constructs it piece by piece, taking the head only from the goddess of Knidos. The hair and forehead and the finelypencilled eyebrows he will allow her to keep as Praxiteles made them, and in the melting gaze of the eyes with their bright and joyous expression he will also preserve the spirit of Praxiteles.

Cp. Nos. 83, 103, 118.
188. Lucian, "Epwtes I3


The goddess stands in the midst of her shrine, and

סрvтa\& . . . ขiпєрท́фavov каì a disdainful smile plays $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \rho o ́ t t ~$ y'́ $^{\prime} \omega \tau t$ кккрòv vino- gently over her parted lips. $\mu \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \omega ิ \sigma \alpha$.

From a description of the shrine at Knidos mentioned in No. 186.
$\left.\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} p o o^{\pi}\right]$ The word is properly applied to the grin of a dog, and hence to a smile in which the lips are parted and the teeth appear.

189. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. Praxiteles too, though 69 Praxiteles quoque mar- he was more prolific and more felicior, ideo et clarior therefore more famous as fuit, fecit tamen et ex aere pulcherrima opera, Proserpinae raptum, item catagusam, et Liberum patrem ebriolatum nobilemque una Satyrum quem Graeci $\pi \epsilon \rho-$ ßоךтóv cognominant, et signa quae ante Felicitatis aedem fuere Ueneremque quae et ipsa aedis incendio cremata est Claudii principatu marmoreae illi suae per terras inclutae parem item stephanusam, pseliumenen, canephoram, 70. Harmodium et Aristogitonem tyrannicidas, quos a Xerxe Persarum rege captos uicta Perside Atheniensibus remisit Magnus Alexander. Fecit et puberem Apollinem subrepenti lacertae cominus sagitta insidiana sculptor in marble, produced works of great beauty in bronze-the rape of Persephone and also her restoration, as well as Dionysos merry with wine, and with him the celebrated Satyr called by the Greeks 'the World - famed,' and the statues which stood before the temple of Good Fortune, and the Aphrodite which, like them, was destroyed by fire when the temple was burnt in the reign of Claudius, a statue as fine as her world-famous peer in marble; also a woman with ai garland, another putting on her bracelets, and a third bearing a basket, and Harmodios and Aristogiton the slayers of
tem, quem бavpoктóvov uocant. Spectantur et duo signa eius diuersos adfectus exprimentia, flentis matronae et meretricis gau- ander the Great after the dentis ; hanc putant Phry- conquest of Persia. He nen fuisse deprehenduntque in ea amorem artificis et mercedem in uoltu meretricis. Habet simulacrum et benignitas eius. Calamidis enim quadrigae aurigam suum imposuit, ne melior in equorum effigie defecisse in homine crederetur.
the tyrant, which were captured by Xerxes, king of Persia, and restored to the Athenians by Alexalso represented Apollo as a boy lying in wait for the lizard which steals up to him and ready to strike with his arrow at close quarters (known as the Lizardslayer). Two of his statues also which portray opposite emotions are notable sights; they are the Weeping Ma-
tron and the Rejoicing Harlot ; the latter is supposed to represent Phryne, and one may detect in it the passion of the artist and his reward depicted in the countenance of the harlot. There is also a statue which bears witness to his kindness. For he placed a charioteer of his own on a four-horse chariot of Kalamis, lest the artist who excelled in representing horses, should be thought to have failed in his treatment of the human frame.
catagusam] катáyovaav. Either (1) Hekate 'bringing back' Persephone from Hades ; or (2) 'the spinning-girl.'
ebriolatum] Suggested by Milani for 'Ebrietatem' of MSS. ( $=\mathrm{Mé}^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ).
signa... fuere] Cic. Verr. iv. 2. 4 and Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 39 call these 'Thespiades,' and the first-named writer mentions that they were brought by L. Mummius from Thespiai. Probably they represented the Muses. Cp. Varro, L. L. vi. 2 Thespiades deae, Musae, a Thespiis Boeotiae oppido.
stephanusam] бrєфávovaav. Possibly Nike holding a garland.
pseliumenen] $\psi \in \lambda \iota o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. $\psi \in ́ \lambda \iota o \nu=$ bracelet.
canephoram] So Urlichs for 'ephoram' of the best MS. Another MS. reads 'oporam' $=$ ómं $\quad$ pay (Autumn).

Harmodium et Aristogitonem] Erroneously ascribed to Praxiteles by Pliny. See No. 64 noțe.

Apollinem] On existing copies see $F$. W. 1214 .
duo signa] Not necessarily grouped. The description may have been borrowed by Pliny from an epigram.

Phrynen] See No. 196.
Habet simulacrum, \&cc.] See No. 88. Attributed, but not with certainty, to the elder Praxiteles.
190. Paus. viii. 9. I tò $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$
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The other is a temple of Leto and her children; Praxiteles made their statues in the third generation after Alkamenes. On the base which supports them are represented the Muses and Marsyas playing the flute.

At Mantineia. Three slabs from the base were discovered in 1887, and published in Bull. Corr. Hell. 1888, i-iii. See Ov. $11^{4}$. 6I f. Figs. 160, 161. As all the Muses were (probably) represented, we must read Mov̂oal for Mov̄oa in the text of Paus.
101. Paus.i. 23.7 кal'Ap- There is also a temple

 ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu$. teles.

The inscriptions with inventories of treasure from the Akropolis
 ä $y a \lambda \mu a$ тò ò ő $\theta o ́ v$. The latter must be that of Praxiteles, and since the first is also called tò $\lambda_{i} \theta_{\text {ıvov }}$ ẽors, it seems to follow that the latter was of bronze or some other material, not marble. Robert supposes, but without sufficient reason, that it was of gold and ivory, and the work of the elder Praxiteles. Studniczka identifies it with the original of the 'Artemis of Gabii' in the Louvre (BrunnBruckmann 59). It was clothed in actual garments.
192. Paus. x. 37. I Tท̂S


 $\eta \lambda \eta$, $\mu 0 \hat{\imath} \rho a$ ö oैous $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho a$, каіे





 тो̀v $\mu \varepsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta v$ रvvaîкa тò ă $у а \lambda \mu$.

On the right of the city, and about two stades distant from it, stands a high rock, a fragment of a mountain, and upon it is built a temple of Artemis: the statue is the work of Praxiteles; it holds a torch in the right hand and a quiver hangs from the shoulder; beside it, on the left, is a dog; and it is taller than the tallest woman.

At Antikyra, on the coins of which city the statue is represented, Num. Comm. A. xiv.
103. Paus. v. 17. 3 Хро́vழ





In later times other offerings were dedicated in the Heraion. Amongst these was a Hermes of marble, bearing the infant Dionysos, the work of Praxiteles.

At Olympia ; discovered May 8, 1877. See F.W. 1212.
S. Reinach (Rev. Arch. 1888. p. I ff.) conjectures that the work was symbolic of a peace concluded in 363 B. C. between Elis (represented by Dionysos, Paus. vi. 26. 1) and Arkadia (represented by Hermes), while Furtw. Meisterwerke, p. 531, refers it to an alliance between the oligarchs of Elis and Arkadia in 343 B. C. (Diod. xvi. 63).
194. Paus. ii. 21. 8 (At (At Argos) the temple Argos) Tò ठè íєpòv Tîs $\Lambda \eta \tau o v ̂ s$ ё $\sigma \tau i l$ Mèv ov̉ $\mu a \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \rho o-~$





 दُ $\xi$ ả $\rho \chi \eta \hat{\eta}$.
of Leto is not far from the trophy; the image is the work of Praxiteles, and the figure of a maiden standing by the goddess they call Chloris, asserting that. she was the daughter of Niobe, originally called Meliboia.

Represented on coins of Argos, Num. Comm. K. xxxvi-xxxviii.
 ठ̂̀ óòòs àmò тov̂ Прvтavєíov
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There is a street leading from the Prytaneion called the Street of Tripods; the place takes its name from the shrines large enough to support tripods, which stand upon them. These are of bronze, but they contain very remarkable works of art, amongst which is a Satyr, of which Praxiteles is said to have been extremely proud.

厄ัгоv] Robert's correction for MSS. $\theta \in \omega \hat{y}$.

The story ran that Phryne exacted from Praxiteles a promise to give her his most beautiful work, and entrapped him into declaring his own preference by a false report that most of the works in his studio had been destroyed by fire. He coupled this Satyr in his inquiries with the Eros, which she chose and dedicated at Thespiai.
196. Paus. x. I5. I Фрv́vŋs ठ̀̀ єiкóva è $\pi$ íx $\rho$ voov Праछเ-
 кai oûros' àváӨ $\eta \mu a$ ठ̀è av̉тท̂s


A gilded portrait statue of Phryne was made by Praxiteles, who was also her lover ; and the portrait was dedicated by Phryne herself.

At Delphi. Athenaios (xiii. 591 B) tells us on the authority of Alketas, who wrote a guide to Delphi, that this statue stood between those of Archidamos, king of Sparta, and Philip of Macedon, and

197. Paus. i. 43. 6 (At





 ס̀เáфорá ধ̇бть катд̀ тav̉гà тоîs

(At Megara) Next to the shrine of Dionysos is a temple of Aphrodite. In it are Persuasion, and another divinity, whom they call Consolation, works of Praxiteles, and Eros, Yearning and Desire by Skopas. The forms of the three differ as their names, and also their functions, differ.
$\epsilon ' \delta \bar{\eta}]$ Overbeck's correction for MSS. $\epsilon i \delta \bar{\eta}$, 'if indeed their functions differ as their names do.'
198. Diod. xxvi. Fr. ad

 $\tau \eta ̂ s ~ \psi v \chi \eta ิ s ~ \pi d \dot{d} \theta$.

Praxiteles, who with consummate art informed his marble figures with the passions of the soul.

Other works:-
Apollo, Leto, and Artemis at Megara (Paus. i. 44. 2). Represented on coins of Megara, Num. Comm. A. x.

Hera, Athena, and Hebe at Mantineia (Paus. viii. 9. 3).
The Twelve Gods in the temple of Artemis the Saviour, at Megara (Paus. i. 40. 3). Cp. No. 150. Sometimes attributed to the elder Praxiteles on account of the association with Strongylion.

DIONYSOS at Elis (Paus. vi. 26. 1). Represented on coins of Elis, Num. Comm. p. 74.

Tyche at Megara (Paus. i. 43. 6). Represented on coins of Megara, Num. Comm. A. xiv.

TROPHONIOS at Lebadeia, similar in type to Asklepios (Paus. ix. 39. 4).

Satyr in the temple of Dionysos at Megara (Paus. i. 43. 5).
Aphrodite and Phrvne at Thespiai (Paus. ix. 27. 5).
Aphrodite at Alexandria in Karia (Steph. Byz. s.v. 'A入є $\xi a y \delta \rho \in i a$ )
Warrior with Horse on a tomb at Athens (Paus. i. 2. 3).
Danae, the Nymphs, and Pan (Anth. Pal. vi. 317, Plan. iv. 262).
Strabo (xiv. 64I) mentions P. as the artist of the altar in the temple of Artemis at Ephesos, while Vitruvius (vii. Praef. 12) enumerates him amongst the sculptors of the Mausoleion. Kallistratos describes an Eros (Stat. 3), a Dionysos (Stat. 8), and a Diadumenos (Stat. II), professedly by Praxiteles, in rhetorical style.

Works of doubtful origin :-
199. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 28 Par haesitatio est in templo Apollinis Sosiani, Niobae liberos morientis Scopas an Praxiteles fecerit, item Janus pater in suo templo dicatus ab Augusto ex Aegypto aduectus utrius manus sit, iam quidem et auro occultatus. Similiter in curia Octauia quaeritur de

The same doubt arises as to whether Skopas or Praxiteles made the group of Niobe's children meeting their death in the temple of Apollo Sosianus ; and again, to which of these artists is to be attributed the Janus brought from Egypt, and dedicated by Augustus in his own temple,

Cupidine fulmen tenente; id demum affirmatur, Alcibiaḍen esse, principem forma in ea aetate.
which is now coated with gold. The same question is debated with reference to the Eros holding a thunderbolt in the Council-chamber of Octavia; all that is positively asserted is that the figure represents Alkibiades, the reigning beauty of that time.

Apollinis Sosiani] C. Sosius, a legatus of Antony, commanded in Syria 38 B. C., and was pardoned by Augustus after Actium. He built a temple to Apollo on the Palatine.
Niobae liberos morientis] On the existing copies of this group see $O v$. II $^{4}$. Book iii. ch. 4, and F. W. 1247-1259.

Janus pater] This was no doubt a double-faced bust of Hermes which served as the Roman Janus.

Alcibiaden] The popular tradition, involving a chronological error of half a century.

Works attributed to the elder Praxiteles:-
200. Paus. i. 2. $4{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \in \lambda-$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \mu \pi \hat{\nu} \nu . .$. каl $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iov






At the entrance of the city is a building where the processions are arranged, and near it is a temple of Demeter ; in this are statues of Demeter herself and her daughter, and Iacchos holding a torch; and on the wall is an inscription in the Attic alphabet stating that they are the work of Praxitcles.
écel ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o} v \tau \omega v$ ] By the Dipylon gate of Athens.

үра́ $\mu \mu a \sigma$ เv 'Aтtıкois] Superseded by the Ionic alphabet in 403 B. C. Unless we attribute the work to the elder Praxiteles we must suppose with Köhler that the inscription was re-engraved in the Attic alphabet under Hadrian, when such antiquarian revivals were not uncommon, or with Löschcke, that as the inscription was on the wall it had no real connection with the group.
201. Paus. ix. 2. 7 Пла-
 $\theta$ ө́as ä $\xi$ ıos $\mu \in \gamma \in \in \theta \in \iota \quad \tau \in$ каì '̇s

 катєь入 $\eta \mu$ évov $\sigma \pi a \rho \gamma$ ávots, oia

 Tєлєíav калоv̂б८. тєтоíŋтаь
入íӨov ठ̊è ả $\mu$ о́тєра тоv̂ Пєขтє-



The Plataeans have a temple of Hera, remarkable both for its size and for the statues which adorn it. At the entrance is Rhea, bearing to Kronos the rock rolled up in swaddling clothes, as though it were the child which she bore. Hera they call 'the Goddess of Wedlock'; she is represented by a colossal standing figure. Both are of Pentelic marble and are the work of Praxiteles.

The temple of Hera was erected $42{ }^{7}$ B. C. (Thuc. iii. 68).
202. Paus. ix. II. 6 © $\eta-$ $\beta$ aiots $\delta$ è $\tau$ d̀ èv roîs deroîs





 $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A v t a i ̂ o \nu ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \eta ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о$ (птає.

The pediment-sculptures were made for the Thebans by Praxiteles, and represent most of the Twelve Labours of Herakles, as they are called ; the hunting of the birds of Stymphalos, and the cleansing of the land of Elis are wanting, and in their place is the wrestlingmatch of Antaios.

Without a paraliel among the works of the great Praxiteles, and possibly to be connected with the Athena and Herakles of Alkamenes, dedicated in the same temple 403 B.C. (No. 133).

For the connexion of Praxiteles (perhaps the elder) and Kalamis see No. 189 ad fin.
(c) The Sons of Praxiteles. (Kephisodotos the younger and Timarchos.)

Date.-Three inscriptions (Löwy 108-110), one from the portrait of Menander (L. 108), one from that of a priestess of Athena Polias (L. IO9), and one from a pair of portraits at Megara (L. IIO), may be dated at the close of the fourth century. Lycurgos (No. 205) died 323 B. C., Menander in 291 B. C., Myro flourished circ. 284 B. C. Two further inscriptions (Löwy III, 112, from portraits) of Kephisodotos only seem rather earlier, showing K. to be the elder brother.
203. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 24 Praxitelis filius Cephisodotus et artis heres fuit. Cuius laudatum est Pergami symplegma nobile digitis corpori uerius quam marmori impressis. Romae eius opera sunt Latona in Palatii delubro, Uenus in Pollionis Asinii monumentis et intra Octauiae porticus in Iunonis aede Aesculapius ac Diana.

Kephisodotos was the son of Praxiteles and the heir of his talent. Much praise has been bestowed on his famous group of interlaced figures at Pergamon, where the pressure of the fingers seems to be exerted on flesh rather than marble. His works preserved at Rome are a Leto in the temple on the Palatine, an Aphrodite in the gallery of Asinius Pollio, and an Asklepios and Artemis in the temple of Juno within the colonnade of Octavia.
symplegma] Formerly supposed to mean a group of wrestlers, but almost certainly of an erotic character.
204. Paus. viii. 30. 10

 $i \in \rho o ̀ \nu \sum \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ è $\pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \Delta$ tós.

 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \sigma เ \nu$ тî $\mu$ èv $\dot{\eta} \mathrm{M} \epsilon \gamma \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \eta$
 $\mu i o ̂ o s ~ \Sigma \omega \tau \epsilon i \rho a s$ ă $\gamma a \lambda \mu a^{*}$ таи̂та $\mu \in ̀ v ~ \lambda i ̂ \theta o v ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \Pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o v ~$ 'Aөךvaîor Kŋфıбóঠотоs каi


Close to the portico on the Eastern side is a temple of Zeus called the Saviour, which is surrounded by a colonnade. Zeus is seated on a throne, and beside him stand on the right Megalopolis, and on the left an image of Artemis the Saviour. These are of Pentelic marble, and are the work of the Athenians Kephisodotos and Xenophon.

At Megalopolis. The precinct of Zeus Soter, discovered by the English excavators, is dated by Dörpfeld considerably later than the foundation of the city ( $371 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.). The work must therefore belong to the younger K . The statue is represented on coins of Megalopolis, Num. Comm. V. I.
205. Plut. Uita x. Or. There are wooden por-
 то̂̂ $\tau \in \Lambda v \kappa о u ́ p \gamma o v ~ к a i ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu v i ̂ \omega ̂ \nu ~ a n d ~ h i s ~ s o n s, ~ H a b r o n, ~$ av̉тov̂ "A $\beta$ рюvos $\Lambda v к о$ v́pyov, Lykurgos and Lykophron, Avкóфроvos, às єlруáбavto made by Kephisodotos and TímapXos каі K $\eta$ фьбóóotos of Timarchos, the sons of
 Praxiteles.

Other works (1) by Kephisodotos only :-
Portraits of the poetesses Myro and Anyte (Tatian c. Giraec. 52).
'philosophers' (Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 87).
(2) By Kephisodotos and Timarchos:-

Enyo in the temple of Ares at Athens (Paus. i. 8. 4).
Kadmos of Thebes (Paus. ix. 12. 4).

Portrait of Menander (Löwy 108).
Not to be identified with the statue of Menander in the Vatican (F. W. 1622), which must have matched that of Poseidippos, (whose plays were not performed in M.'s lifetime), and is moreover too large for the inscribed base.

## 2. SKOPAS AND THE SCULPTORS OF THE MAUSOLEION.

(a) Skopas.

Date.-S. may have been the son of Aristandros of Paros (v. No. 162), employed on a memorial of Aigospotamoi ( 405 B. C.). He was employed on the restoration of the temple of Athena Alea at Tegea (destroyed by fire 394 B. C.) and on the Mausoleion (begun about 353 B.c.).
206. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 25 Scopae laus cum his certat. Is fecit Uenerem et Pothon qui Samothrace sanctissimis caerimoniis coluntur, item Apollinem Palatinum, Uestam sedentem laudatam in Seruilianis hortis duosque campteras circa eam, quorum pares in Asinii monumentis sunt, ubi et canephoros eiusdem. Sed in maxuma dignatione delubro Cn . Domitii in Circo Flaminio Neptunus ipse et Thetis atque Achilles, Nereides supra delphinos et cete aut hippocampos sedentes, item

The fame ofSkopas rivals that of these artists. His works are Aphrodite and Desire at Samothrace, to which the most reverent worship is paid, the Apollo of the Palatine, and the famous seated Hestia in the gardens of Servilius between two pillars: a precisely similar pair may be seen in the gallery of Asinius Pollio, where is also the basketbearer of Skopas. But the highest reputation is enjoyed by his group in the temple of Cn . Domitius in the Flaminian Circus, representing Poseidon him-

Tritones chorusque Phorci et pistrices ac multa alia marina, omnia eiusdem manu, praeclarum opus, etiam si totius uitae fuisset. Nunc uero praeter supra dicta quaeque nescimus Mars etiamnum est sedens colossiaeus eiusdem manu in templo Bruti Gallaeci apud circum eundem, praeterea Uenus in eodem loco nuda Praxiteliam illam antecedens et quemcunquealium locum nobilitatura.
self,Thetis,Achilles, Nereids seated on dolphins, huge fish or sea-horses, also Tritons and the rout of Phorkys and sea monsters and many other creatures of the sea, all by the same hand; a group which would have been remarkable had it been the work of a lifetime. As it is, beside those above mentioned and others of which we know not, there is by the hand of the same artist a colossal seated figure of Ares in the temple of Brutus Gallaecus close to the same circus, besides a nude Aphrodite in the same place which surpasses the famous Aphrodite of Praxiteles and would make any other spot famous.
his] Praxiteles and the younger Kephisodotos.
Apollinem Palatinum] The great temple of Apollo on the Palatine was built by Augustus $36-28$ B.C. to commemorate the victory of Actium. In the Ciuriosum Urbis Romae it is called 'Aedes Apollinis Rhamnusii,' which shows that the Apollo was brought from Rhamnus in Attica. The statue is represented on coins of Nero (Overbeck, Kunstmyth., Apollon, Münztafel v.47, 48, 50, 51) ; there is a copy in the Vatican (Helbig, Fiuhrer 267). Cp. Prop. ii. 31. 6 Pythius in longa carmina ueste sonat.
campteras] кацлтіраs, Lat. metae, the pillars at the turningpoints in the race-course. Von Jan corrects 'lampteras,' 'candelabra.'

Neptunus ipse] Cn. Domitius Ahenobarbus built a temple to Neptune in the Circus Flaminius circ. 35-32 B. C. As he held the post of legatus pr. pr. in Bithynia $40-35$ B.C. he may have brought the work from his province, where there was a famous temple of Poseidon at Astakos ( lbia). The subject of the work described by Pliny is the progress of Achilles to the Isles of the Blest.

Bruti] D. Junius Brutus Gallaecus erected a temple to Mars after his triumph over the Gallaeci and Lusitani in 132 в. с.
207. Paus. viii. 45. 4
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The old temple of Athena Alea at Tegea was built by Aleos; in later times the Tegeans caused a large and remarkable temple to be erected to the goddess. The previous building was suddenly attacked by fire and destroyed in the archonship of Diophantos at Athens and the second year of the ninety-sixth Olympiad (395 B. C.). The temple which is standing at the present day is far superior to the other temples in the Peloponnese in size and magnificence. The first order of columns is Doric, the next Corinthian; and outside the temple stand columns of the Ionic order. I was told that the architect was Skopas of Paros, who was the sculptor of many
'I $\omega \nu$ íav тє каì Kapíav є̇поíŋбє.
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ خे $\theta$ भ̆pa тov̂ v́ds тov̂
 катà $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma о \nu ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ v ́ o ̀ s ~$
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 ठ̀̀ èpya Парiov.
statues in different parts of Greece proper, and also in Ionia and Karia. In the front pediment is represented the chaseof the Kalydonian boar; the boar is placed almost exactly in the centre, and on the one side are Atalanta, Meleagros, Theseus, Telamon, Peleus, Polydeukes and Iolaos, who assisted Herakles in most of his labours, and the sons of Thestios and brothers of Althaia, Prothous and Kometes. On the other side of the boar is Epochos supporting Ankaios, who is already wounded and has dropped his axe, and beside him are Kastor and Amphiaraos, the son of Orkles, and beyond them Hippothous the son of Kerkyon the son of Agamedes the son of Stymphalos; while Peirithous comes last of all. The sculptures of the back pediment represent the battle of Telephos against Achilles in the plain of the Karkos. . . . Beside the image of Athena stands on
the one side Asklepios, on the other Hygieia. Both are of Pentelic marble, and are the work of Skopas of Paros.
"Adeos] An Arkadian hero, the mythical founder of Tegea.
Tà $\delta \dot{e}$ év roîs $\dot{\text { éceois] }}$ Fragments of these sculptures were discovered in 1879, of which the most important are two male heads and the ead of the boar. See $O v . I^{4}$. p. 28, and references there given.
208. Schol. Aeschin. Timarch. 747 R т $\rho \in i ̂$ ท̉ $\sigma a \nu$ av̂тa


 Пápเos èmoín $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ ย̀к тоv̂ $\lambda v \chi \nu$ íтоv $\lambda(\theta) v, \tau \eta े \nu$ ठ̇є $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta \nu$ Kd́入a $\mu$ ıs.

These were the three deities called 'the Awful Goddesses' or the Eumenides or the Erinyes: two of them (one at each side) were made by Skopas of Paros of Parian marble, while the central figure was by Kalamis.

Paus. i. 28.6 says that these figures had no attributes such as the snakes, \&c. described by Aischylos.
$\lambda u x$ vitov $\lambda\left(\theta_{0} \mathrm{ou}\right]$ Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 14 informs us, on the authority of Varro, that the name $\lambda v \chi \nu i T \eta s$ was given to Parian marble because it was hewn by lamp-light in the quarries of Paros. Lepsius thinks that the name is derived from the transparency of the lower and finer strata.
209. Strab. xiii. 604 c̀v
 $\Sigma \mu \iota \nu \theta \notin \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ 'A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ iєро́v, каl тò $\sigma u ́ \mu \beta o \lambda o v ~ \tau \grave{~ \tau \grave{̀ ~} \nu}$


In this town of Chryse is the temple of Apollo Smintheus, and the symbol which preserves the derivation of his name, i. e. the

 тоข̂ Пapíov.
mouse, lies at the foot of the statue. They are the work of Skopas of Paros.

Xpúou] Afterwards known as Alexandria Troas, on certain coins of which city the statue appears to be represented (Baumeister, Denkmäler, Fig. 1742).
210. Strab. xiv. 640 övт $\omega v$


 à $\rho \chi$ रióos à $\rho \chi$ रîá è $\sigma \tau \iota$ §óava,

 ì $\delta$ ' 'Орт兀уia $\pi \alpha \rho є ́ \sigma т \eta к є \nu ~ є ́ к а-~$


There are several temples in the place, some of earlier and some of later date. In the earlier temples are early statues, in those of later date works of Skopas. There is Leto holding a sceptre, and beside her stands Ortygia with a child on each arm.
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \delta \pi \varphi]$ The grove Ortygia near Ephesos.
'Opruyia] The nurse of Apollo and Artemis, here represented as infants.
211. Paus. vi. 25. I kp $\mathrm{k} \pi \mathrm{is}$




 òvo $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ Sovaı.

Within the precinct is a base, and on the base a bronze figure of Aphrodite seated on a bronze goat. This is the work of Skopas, and is called Aphrodite Pandemos.
rov̂ тepévovs] The precinct of Aphrodite at Elis, which contained the Aphrodite Urania of Pheidias (No. 116). The statue is perhaps represented on coins of Elis (Ov. 11 ${ }^{4}$. Fig. 137).
212. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. The length of the whole 95 Uniuerso templo longi- temple is 425 ft ., and the
tudo est ccccxxv pedum, breadth 225 ft . It contains latitudo ccxxv, columnae 127 columns, each furnished cxxvii a singulis regibus factae $1 x$ pedum altitudine ex iis xxxvi caelatae, una a Scopa.
by a king, 60 ft . in height : of these $3^{6}$ are decorated with reliefs, which in one case are the work of Skopas.
templo] That of Artemis at Ephesos, restored after the destruction by fire of the old temple in 356 B. C. See Newton, Essays in Art and Archaeology, p. 210 ff.
caelatae, una a Scopa] The reliefs in most cases decorated the lowest drum only, above which was an Ionic shaft of the usual type. Hence Curtius and others read 'imo scapo' $=$ ' on the lowest drum.' The date of the building, however, and the style of the existing fragments ( $F . W .1242$, 1243) make it quite possible to retain the MS. reading.

Other works :-
Asklepios (beardless) and Hygieia at Gortys in Arkadia (Paus. viii. 28. 1).

Hekate at Argos (No. 171).
Herakles at Sikyon (Paus. ii. io. i). Possibly represented on coins of Sikyon (Num. Comm. H. xi.)

Athene Pronaia at Thebes (cf. No. 123).
Artemis Eukleia at Thebes (Paus. ix. 17. 1).
Dionysos and Athena at Knidos (No. 186).
Eros, Himeros and Pothos at Megara (No. 197).
A Bacchante, described at length by Kallistr. Stat. 2; cp. Anth. Pal. ix. 774.
(b) Leochares.

Date.-Six inscriptions (Löwy 77-82) mostly fragmentary, and in some cases possibly the work of a much later Leochares (Löwy 320,321 ), have been found at Athens. The most complete may be dated circ. 350 B. C. Another inscription from a series of portraits executed by Leochares and Sthennis (v. § 3 (b)) in common (Löw'y 83 ) is somewhat later (temp. Alexander).
213. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 79 Leochares (fecit) aquilam sentientem quid rapiat in Ganymede et cui ferat parcentemque unguibus etiam per uestem puero, Iouemque illum Tonantem in Capitolio ante cuncta laudabilem A pollinem diadematum, [Lyciscum mangonem, puerum subdolae et fucatae uernilitatis].

Leochares represented the eagle which feels what a treasure it is stealing in Ganymede, and to whom it is bearing him, and using its talons gently, though the boy's garment protects him. He also made the famous statue of Zeus the Thunderer on the Capitol, a work of unequalled excellence, and Apollo wearing a fillet, [and Lykiskos the slave-dealer, and a boy in whom all the craft and cunning of the slave are embodied.]
aquilam . . . Ganymede] Probably reproduced in a group in the Vatican, F.W. 1246.

Lyciscum mangonem] This is the reading of the best MS., but as the passage occurs in the alphabetical list of the sculptors, it is very probable that we should accept the reading of other MSS. ' Lyciscus Langonem.' Lyciscus will then be another artist (identified by Klein with Lykios, Part II. § 1. 4), and Lango the name of the boy. Martial (ix. 51. 5) couples a statue of that name (' Langona uiuum') with the 'boy of Brutus' (No. 152).
214. Paus. v. 20. 9 ย̈สt



 Xa入кर̂ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu о$ т таis ठокоі̂s.



Within the Altis is a circular building called the Philippeion. On the summit of the Philippeion is a bronze poppy-head which holds the rafters together. This building stands close to the egress by the Pry-






 бv̀v ठ̀è av̉тoîs 'A $A$ v́vtas ó
 ̇̇бть каі таи̂та $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \chi a ́ \rho o v s ~$

 סíkクs єloiv ai єiкóvєs.
taneion on the left hand. It is made of baked bricks, and is surrounded by columns. It was built for Philip after the ruin of Greece at Chaironeia. In it stand portraits of Philip and Alexander, together with Amyntas the father of Philip. These are of ivory and gold and are the work of Leochares, as are also the portraits of Olympias and Eurydike.

The foundations of this building have been discovered at Olympia, and show that all the figures were standing (A. Z. 1882, 67 sqq.).
215. Plut. Uita X . Or. Isocr. 27 àváкєเтat ò’ av̉тov̂


 ѐ $\pi เ \gamma \in ่ \gamma \rho а \pi т а \iota$
 † $\eta \nu \tau \in \pi \rho \circ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$
 $\theta$ gais.

216. Uitruu. ii. 8. II (At Halikarnassos) In summa arce media Martis fanum habensstatuam colossiquam

A bronze portrait of him stands at Eleusis in front of the porch; it was dedicated by Timotheos the son of Konon, and bears the following inscription :-

Timotheos, for friendship's sake and in honour of hospitality, dedicated this portrait of Isokrates to the Goddesses.

The work of Leochares.
(At Halikarnassos) in the centre of the summit of the citadel stands a temple of Ares, containing a colossal
àкрóл $\iota \theta$ ov dicunt, nobili statue of the kind termed an manu Leocharis factam. 'acrolith,' the handiwork of Hanc autem statuam alii the famous Leochares. This Leocharis, alii Timothei putant esse.
statue, however, is supposed by some to be the work of Leochares, by others to be that of Timotheos.
akpó $\lambda_{c} \theta_{0}$ v] A statue of which the head and extremities only were of marble, the rest being of wood, gilded or otherwise decorated. Cp. No. 122. On Timotheos see (d).

Other works:-
Zeus on the Akropolis of Athens (Paus. i. 24. 4). Possibly represented on coins of Athens ( Ov . $1 \mathrm{I}^{4}$. Fig. 165).

Zeus and Demos at the Piraeus (Paus. i. 1. 3).
(c) Bryaxis.

Date.-An inscription found at Athens ( $\Delta_{e} \lambda_{\tau}$. 'A $\rho$ x. 1891, 34 ff ., 55 ff .) from a base with figures of horsemen in low relief (Bull. Corr. Hell. 1892, Pl. vii) reads Bpúa $\xi \iota s$ émón $\sigma \epsilon \nu$, and may be dated circ. 353 B. C. Seleukos Nikator (No. 217) became king of Syria in 312 B. C., but the portrait may be of earlier date.
217. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 73 Bryaxis Aesculapium et Seleucum fecit.
218. Liban. Orat. 61 каí


 ঠั่ $\rho \eta$ Хเงติขa . . . $\grave{\pi} \pi a \lambda o ́ т \eta \tau a$ $\delta \epsilon ́ \rho \rho \eta s \grave{\epsilon}^{\ell} \nu \lambda i \theta \omega, \zeta \omega \sigma \tau \eta ̂ \rho a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i$



Bryaxis represented Asklepios and Seleukos. klepios and Seleukos.

Imagination bringsbefore my eyes that form, the bowl, the lyre, the tunic reaching to the feet, the delicacy of the neck in the marble, the girdle about the bosom which holds the golden tunic together, so that some parts fit

 He seemed as one that sang.

Froma description of the Apollo at Daphne near Antioch, described as a work of Bryaxis by Cedren., Hist. Comp. 306 B; from Theodoret, Hist. Eccl. iii. 11, we learn that it was of wood, gilt. It is represented on coins of Antiochos Epiphanes (Ov. II ${ }^{4}$. Fig. 167). See Büttner-Wobst, Historische Studien Förstemann gewidmet, 1894.

Other works:-
Asklepios and Hygieia at Megara (Paus. i. 40. 6).
Dionysos at Knidos (No. 186).
Zeus and Apollo with lions at Patara (Clem. Al. Protr. iv. 47). Clement also gives the name of Pheidias as the reputed artist of these figures.

Five colossal statues of Gods at Rhodes (Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 42 ).
Pasiphae (Tatian, c. Graec. 54).
Clem. Al. (Protr. iv. 48) quotes Athenodoros to the effect that the statue of Sarapis in the Sarapeion at Alexandria (perhaps set up by Ptolemy Soter) was the work of ' another Bryaxis, not the Athenian.' See Michaelis, J. H. S., 1885, 289 ff .

## (d) Timotheos.

Date.-Kavvadias places the inscription of Epidauros (No. 221) in the earliest years of the fourth century on account of the inconsistencies in the use of the Ionic alphabet ; but Foucart and Gurlitt have shown that 375 B.C. is the more probable date. Timotheos was at work on the Mausoleion 353 B. C., and must have been a well-known sculptor when the temple at Epidauros was built.
219. Plin. N.H. xxxvi. The Artemis in the 32 Timothei manu Diana temple of Apollo on the Romace est in Palatio Apollinis delubro, cui signo Palatine at Rome is the work of Timotheos; the caput reposuit Auianius head of this statue was reEuander. stored by Avianius Evander.
C. Auianius Euander] A Greek sculptor brought by Antonius to Alexandria and by Augustus to Rome after Actium. Cp. Cic. Fam. vii. 23. 1, xiii. 2. 1.
220. Paus. ii. 32.4 tov̂ ठิॄ





The image of Asklepios was made by Timotheos; the Troizenians, however, assert that it represents not Asklepios but Hippolytos.

At Troizen. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. gI also classes Timotheos among the artists who made statues of 'athletes, warriors, hunters, and sacrificers.' (Cp. No. 167.)
221. Kavvadias, Fouilles d'Épidaure, 24I. 36 T $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta$ धєоs



 äтєроข аієтд̀v $[\mathrm{X}] \times$ 日日 $==$


Timotheos contracted to construct and furnish models for 900 drachmae; his security was Pythokles. . . He also contracted to furnish akroteria for one of the gables for 2240 dr. His security was Pythokles.

From the inscription recording the expenses incurred in building the temple of Asklepios at Epidauros, discovered in 1885. On the symbols v. No. 159 note. -stands for ten drachmae, $\mathbf{B}$ for $\mathbf{H}$.

тúmos] Models, no doubt, for the pediment sculptures. The extant remains of these are published by Kavvadias, op. cit. Pl. viii. and $x$.
ákpwтípua] The figures which stood upon the two gable-ends and the four corners of the temple. Those of the other gable were the work of one Theotimos, who received the same sum (1.97). The mounted Nereides, Kavv. op. cit. Pl. xi. 16, 17, probably represent the two side àкрштípta of the west pediment. Winter (Ath. Mitth. 1894,160 ) points out the close resemblance of one to the group of Leda and the Swan in the Capitol (Helbig, Führer 454) which he attributes to Timotheos.

## (e) The Mausoleion.

222. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. The rivals and contem30 Scopas habuit aemulos poraries of Skopas were
eadem aetate Bryaxim et Bryaxis, Timotheos, and Timotheum et Leocharen, de quibus simul dicendum est quoniam pariter caelauere Mausoleum. Sepulcrum hoc est $a b$ uxore Artemisia factum Mausolo Cariae regulo, qui obiit Olympiadis CVII anno secundo. Opus id ut esset inter septem miracula hi maxime fecere artifices. Patet ab austro et septentrione <centenos〉 sexagenos ternos pedes, breuius a frontibus, tota circumitu pedes ccccxxxx, attollitur in altitudinem XxV cubitis, cingitur columnis XXXVI. Птєро́v uocauere circumitum. Ab oriente caelauit Scopas, a septentrione Bryaxis, a meridie Timotheus, ab occasu Leochares, priusque quam peragerent regina obiit. Non tamen recesserunt nisi absoluto iam, id gloriae ipsorum artisque monumentum iudicantes, hodieque certant manus. Accessit et quintus artifex. Namque supra $\pi \tau \in \rho \delta \dot{v}$ pyramis altitudine inferiorem Leochares, who must be treated in a group since they were jointly employed on the sculptures of the Mausoleion. This building is the tomb erected by Artemisia, his widow, for Mausolos, prince of Karia, who died in the second year of the 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Olympiad ( $35^{1}$ B.C.). That this work is among the Seven Wonders is due mainly to the abovenamed artists. Its frontage on the north and south sides measures 163 feet, while the façades are shorter; the total circumference is 440 feet, the height twenty-five cubits; it is surrounded by thirty-six columns. This colonnade is called the 'Pteron.' The sculptures of the east side are by Skopas, those of the north by Bryaxis, those of the south by Timotheos, and those of the west by Leochares. The queen died before the building was complete; but the artists did not abandon the work
aequat, uiginti quattuor until it was finished, congradibus in metae cacumen se contrahens. In summo est quadriga marmorea quam fecit Pythis. Haec adiecta CXXXX pedum altitudine totum opus includit.
sidering that it would redound to their own glory, and be a standing proof of their genius; and to this day they vie with one another in their handiwork.

They were joined by a fifth artist. For above the colonnade is a pyramid equal to the lowerstructure in height, with a flight of twenty-four steps tapering to a point. On the apex stands a fourhorse chariot in marble, the work of Pythis. This addition completes the building, which rises to the height of 140 feet.

On the Mausoleion see $O v .1 I^{4}$. $100 \mathrm{ff} ., F . W$. 1221-1239.
caelauere] Not ' worked in relief,' but in the broad sense 'sculptural,' a Latin equivalent for торєuтьк' in the broad sense (Nos. I19, 160).

Mausolo] The date of his death, according to Diod. xvi. 36, was 353 B. C. He reigned twenty-four years.
(centenos)] Omitted in MSS., but necessary if the total of 440 ft . be correct.
xxv cubitis] So best MSS. Various alterations have been made in order to account for the total height of 140 ft . Trendelenburg thinks that Pliny's total is incorrect, and that the height was in reality only fifty cubits $=75 \mathrm{ft}$. No architectural remains of a high substructure have been discovered.
ab oriente ... Leochares] Brunn (Sitzungsberichte der hayr. Akad. 1882, p. 114 ff .) has endeavoured to assign to each sculptor his share in the reliefs preserved. But it is doubtful whether the work
of four hands can be distinguished, and the slabs which B. attributes to Bryaxis appear to belong to the east front, and therefore to Skopas.
inferiorem] Sc. altitudinem, which should perhaps be read. It would be more natural to supply 'pyramidem'; and it is suggested that the 'pteron' may have rested on a pyramidal substructure.
quadriga marmorea] Supposed to have contained the colossal portraits of Mausolos and Artemisia in the British Museum. But see P. Gardner, J. H. S. xiii. p. 188 ff.

## 3. OTHER ARTISTS.

(a) Silanion.

Date.-(i) His portrait of Plato (No. 224) was dedicated by Mithradates, who died 363 B.c. (ii) Apollodoros (No. 223) was a pupil of Sokrates (died 399 B. C.), and according to Plat. Symp. 137 C was a boy in 416 B. C. On the other hand, Pliny's date (Ol. $113=328$ B. c.) is supported by the fact that (iii) Satyros (v. infr.) seems to be identical with the athlete victorious at the Amphiaraia at Oropos (I. G. S. 414), which were reorganized $32 \frac{9}{8}$ (Delamarre, Revue de Philologie, 1894, 162 ff.). Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 51 mentions that he had no teacher, but one pupil, Zeuxiades. Z. made a portrait of the orator Hypereides, who died 322 b. C. (Löwy 483).
223. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 51 Silanion Apollodorum fudit, fictorem et ipsum, sed inter cunctos diligentissimum artis et iniquom sui iudicem, crebro perfecta signa frangentem, dum satiari cupiditate artis non quit, ideoque Insanum cognominatum ; hoc in eo expressit nec hominem ex aere fecit sed iracundiam;

Silanion cast in bronze a portrait of Apollodoros, who was also a sculptor and the most painstaking of his craft, as well as a severe critic of his own work, who often broke in pieces finished statues, in his insatiable longing for ideal perfection, and was therefore called 'the Madman': this trait Silanion depicted
et Achillem nobilem idem epistaten exercentem athletas.
in his portrait, and cast in bronze not a man but Rage personified. He also made a famous statue of Achilles and a trainer exercising his athletes.
fictorem] Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 86 enumerates him amongst the sculptors who executed portraits of 'philosophers.'
sed] Not adversative, but intensive. Cp. Juv. v. 147 boletus domino, sed qualem Claudius edit, with Mayor's note.
nec hominem . . . sed iracundiam] Not necessarily borrowed, as Jahn supposed, from an epigram, since the turn of expression is a common one in Latin. Cp. Cic. Att. vii. 136 non hominem sed scopas solutas, Petron. 43 discordia non homo, and (in the language of criticism) Quint. x. 1. 112 (Cicero) non iam hominis nomen sed eloquentiae habeatur, i.e. Cicero was called 'non homo sed eloquentia. ${ }^{\text {2 }}$
224. Diog. Laert. iii. 25 In the first book of the
 $\mu о \nu \epsilon v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Фа $\beta \omega \rho$ ivov ф'́ $\rho \in$ - is recorded that Mithraтаı, õть Mı日рaôárךs ó Пє́ $\rho \sigma \eta s$ dates the Persian dedicated ávópıávтa Пגáтшעos àv́́ $\theta \epsilon \tau$ a portrait of Plato in the єls тŋ̀v 'Aкаöךцíav каi '̀ $\pi$ 't- Academy with the follow-
 ßárov Пє́ $о \neq \bar{s}$ Mov́raıs єiкóva dates the Persian, the son
 $\nu i ́ \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi о$ í $\eta \sigma \epsilon$. of Rhodobates, dedicated to the Muses a portrait of Plato, made by Silanion.

Probably reproduced by the bust in the Vatican, Jahrb. 1886, Pl. vi. 2.
225. Plut. Quaest. Conu. v. I. 2 गो̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \pi \epsilon \pi a \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu \eta v$ and admiration on the statue
 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o v$ á $\rho \gamma v \rho^{\rho} o v ~ \tau \iota \sigma v \mu \mu \hat{\imath} \xi a i \quad$ ance the artist is said to have


 ŋ̀ठó $\mu \in \theta a$ каі $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta о \mu \epsilon \nu$. mixed some silver, in order that the bronze might receive the appearance of a human being passing away in death.

From Plut. de aud. poet. iii. 30 we learn that this was a work of Silanion.

Other works:-
Theseus at Athens (Plut. Thes. 4).
Sappho taken from the Prytaneion at Syracuse by Verres (Cic. Verr. iv. 57. 126). Probably reproduced by the bust in the Villa Albani (Jahrb. 1890, Pl. iii).

Korinna (Tatian, c. Graec. 54).
Athlete-statues at Olympia :-
Satyros of Elis (v. supr.), twice victorious in boxing (Paus. vi. 4. 5).

Telestas the Messenian, victorious in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 14. 4).

Damaretas the Messenian, victorious in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 14. II).

Silanion was also the author of a work on proportions (Vitruv. vii. Praef. 12).

## (b) Sthennis of Olynthos.

Date.-Olynthos was destroyed 348 B.C., after which date he may have received Athenian citizenship. He was employed with Leochares on the portrait-group already mentioned (2 (b)) (Löwy 83 ), and dated circ. 320 b. C. In an inscription from Oropos (Löwy 103 a) he describes himself as 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { nvaios, which points to a date }\end{aligned}$ later than 318 B. C., when Oropos became independent of Athens. (Before this date Attic artists use their demotic names.) Pliny dates him Ol. 113 (328 B. C.).
226. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 90 Sthennis Cererem, Io-

Sthennis made statues of Demeter, Zeus, and Athena
uem, Mineruam fecit, qui sunt Romae in Concordiae templo, idem flentis matronas et adorantis sacrificantisque.
227. Strab. xii. $5 \cdot 46$ òs . . . ย̇d $\lambda \omega$ ( $\Sigma เ \nu \omega ́ \pi \eta) ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ v ี \sigma \tau \epsilon-~$ роv . . . vimò ムevкóлдov . . .




which stand in the temple of Concord at Rome ; also weeping matrons, and figures engaged in prayer and sacrifice.

Sinope was twice captured, the second time by Lucullus, who carried off a statue by Sthennis representing Autolykos, whom the inhabitants regarded as the founder of the city and revered as a god.

Lucullus captured Sinope in the Second Mithridatic war ( 72 в. C.).

Athlete-statues at Olympia :-
Pyttalos of Elis, victorious in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 16. 8).

Choirilos of Elis, victorious in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 17. 5).

## (c) Euphranor of the Isthmos.

Date. -Pliny dates him Ol. $104=364$ B.C. His portraits of Alexander and Philip (No. 228) must be dated previous to the death of the latter ( 336 B. C.). The inscriptions of his son Sostratos (Löwy 105, 106) (whom Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 51 dates Ol. $113=328$ B. C.) belong to the end of the fourth or beginning of the third century.
228. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 77 Euphranoris Alexander Paris est in quo laudatur quod omnia simul intelli-

By Euphranor is a statue of Alexander (Paris). This work is specially admired, because the cye can
guntur, iudex dearum, amator Helenae et tamen Achillis interfector. Huius est Minerua Romae quae dicitur Catuliana, infra Capitolium a Q. Lutatio dicata, et simulacrum Boni Euentus, dextra pateram, sinistra spicam ac papauera tenens, item Latona puerpera Apollinem et Dianam infantis sustinens in aede Concordiae. Fecit et quadrigas bigasque et cliduchon eximia forma, et Uirtutem et Graeciam, utrasque colossaeas, mulierem admirantem et adorantem, item Alexandrum et Philippum in quadrigis.
detect in it at once the judge of the Goddesses, the lover of Helen, and at the same time the slayer of Achilles. By the same artist is the Athena at Rome called 'the Minerva of Catulus,' dedicated by Q. Lutatius below the Capitol, and a figure of Good Luck holding a bowl in the right hand and an ear of corn and a poppy in the left, also Leto holding in her arms the newborn infants Apollo and Artemis (in the temple of Concord). He also represented chariots with four and two horses, and a priestess of surpassing beauty, and Valour and Hellas, both of colossal size, a woman in an attitude of wonder and adoration, also Alexander and Philip in four-horse chariots.
in quo laudatur] Probably borrowed by Pliny from an epigram.
Q. Lutatio Q. Lutatius Catulus dedicated the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus (restored after its destruction by fire in 83 B. C.) in 78 в. С.

Boni Euentus] To judge by the attributes, this statue originally represented Triptolemos, not 'A yaOo's $\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, as the 'Bonus Euentus' of Praxiteles (No. 186). It may be represented on various imperial coins and gems. See Furtwängler, Meisterwerke, p. 281, Fig. iii, note 2.

Latona puerpera] Commonly identified with a group appearing on several coins of Ephesos and other cities in Asia Minor, and reproduced in a statue in the Torlonia gallery at Rome ( $\mathrm{Ov} . \mathrm{Il}^{4}$. Fig. 172); but see Reisch, Festgruss aus Innsbruck an die Philologenversammlung in Wien, 1893.
cliduchon] $\kappa \lambda \epsilon t \delta \delta \hat{\chi} \chi o y$. The temple-key was the mark of the priestess. Cp. No. 119 note.
229. Dion Chrys. 37. 43

 ©̃ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ т̀̀̀ Ev̉фрávopos ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ фаябтоע;

What was there to prevent the portrait from being tall? What was there to prevent it from being firm on its feet, like the Hephaistos of Euphranor?
tòv ávסpidavra] A portrait of Agesilaos, king of Sparta.
It is possible that Dion Chrysostom has substituted the name of Euphranor for that of Alkamenes (v. No. 131).
230. Plin. N. H. xxxv. 128 Eminuit longe ante omnis Euphranor Isthmius Olympiade CIIII, idem qui inter fictores dictus est nobis. Fecitet colossoset marmorea et typos sculpsit, docilis ac laboriosus ante omnis et in quocunque genere excellens ac sibi aequalis. Hic primus uidetur expressisse dignitates heroum et usurpasse symmetriam, sed fuit in uniuersitate corporum exilior et capitibus articulisque grandior. 129 Uolumina

In the 104th Olympiad (364 B.c.) Euphranor of the Isthmos far outshone his rivals. He has already been mentioned amongst the sculptors, and made colossal statues, works in marble, and reliefs. He was an eager and painstaking student, who maintained a constant level of excellence in every department. He is considered to have been the first to represent heroes in their full majesty, and to master the science of pro-
quoque composuit de symmetria et coloribus.
portion; his bodies, however, were too slender, and his heads and limbs too large. He also wrote works on proportions and colouring.
This account refers primarily to Euphranor as a painter, but the criticisms may no doubt be regarded as applicable to his sculptures.
expressisse dignitates heroum] The reference may be to his painting of Theseus, of which he said that 'the Theseus of Parrhasius was fed on roses, but his own on beef' (Plin. N. H. xxxv. 129).

Other works:-
Apollo Patroos at the Piraeus (Paus. i. 3. 3).
Dionysos, of which a copy stood on the Aventine at Rome (Löwy 495).
(d) Thrasymedes of Paros.

Date.-The inscription of Epidauros (No. 232) may be dated circ. 375 b. C. See note on Timotheos (2 (d)).
231. Paus. ii. 27. 2 (At Epidauros) тồ ठ̇̀ 'А $А \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta_{-}$ $\pi เ \circ \hat{v}$ то̀ ă $\gamma a \lambda \mu a \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta \in \iota \quad \mu \in े \nu$ $\tau \circ$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \eta^{\nu} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ' $\mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu \pi i \circ v \Delta เ \partial ̀ s$








(At Epidauros) the image of Asklepios is smaller by one half than the Olympian Zeus at Athens, and is made of ivory and gold; the inscription states that it is the work of Thrasymedes the son of Arignotos of Paros. The God is seated upon a throne and holds a staff in one hand, while he extends the other above the ser-

кv́шv таракатакєíцєขоs $\pi \epsilon$－pent＇s head．A dog is also
 è $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$＇A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ éotiv On the throne are repre－
 Xímaıpav каĭ Пєрбєùs à $\phi \in \lambda \omega ̀ \nu$
 sented in relief the exploits of Argive heroes，viz．the con－ test of Bellerophon with the Chimaira，and Perseus，who has decapitated Medusa．

The statue is represented on coins of Epidauros（Num．Comm． liii）．According to Cic．N．D．iii．34．83 Dionysios I of Syracuse ordered the golden beard to be removed on the ground that it was unseemly that Asklepios should be bearded while his father Apollo was beardless．The reliefs published by Kavvadias，＇$£ \phi$ ． ＇A $\rho$ X．1894，Pl．i（the first also Brunn－Bruckmann 3），though not direct copies，may serve to give an impression of the style of the original．
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Thrasymedes contracted to execute the roof above and the inner doorway as well as that between the columns for 9800 drachmae． His securities were Pytho－ kles；Theopheides，and Agemon．

On the inscription see No． 22 I．
 In its construction ivory was used of the value of 3070 dr ．（1．65）， and（apparently）golden nails of considerable value（ 1.105 ff ．）．
 the columns of the $\pi \rho$ ó $80 \mu \mathrm{os}$ ．See Kavvadias，Pl，i A．

The wood employed in the construction of the doors was that of the pine，box，and lotus（1．45）．

## (f) Polyeuktos.

233. Plut. Uit. x. Or. (Demosthenes) asked for Dem. 44 aiтŋ́баs $\tau \epsilon$ ураниа-
 ... тò दे $\pi i$ т $\uparrow$ S єiкóvos aủtov̂


$\epsilon ้ \pi \epsilon \rho$ Ї $\sigma \nu \nu$ ค์ $\omega \mu \nu \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$,




 $\beta \omega \mu$ र̂ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \quad \Delta \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \quad \Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, ข̊ $\pi \grave{\partial}$ Подขєv́ктоv $\pi є \pi о \iota \eta \mu \in ́ v \eta$.
a tablet and wrote the elegiac couplet, which the Athenians afterwards inscribed upon his portrait. It runs as follows:-

Hadst thou, Demosthenes, had might as strong as thy resolve, the war-god of Macedon had never subdued the Greeks.

The portrait stands near the enclosure and the altar of the Twelve Gods, and is the work of Polyeuktos.

We learn from Plut. Dem. 31 that the statue had clasped hands ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon ~ t o u ̀ s ~ \delta a k т u ́ \lambda o v s ~ \sigma v \nu e ́ \chi ~ \chi \omega \nu ~ \delta i ' ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ ). It cannot, therefore, be directly reproduced by the statues at Knole (Michaelis, Ancient Marbles, p. 417) and in the Vatican (F.W. 1312) which hold a roll in their hands. They may, however, be mediately derived from the original of Polyeuktos.

## (g) Demetrios.

Date.-Two inscriptions from the Akropolis (Löwy 62, 63) belong to the first half of the fourth century (the first about $380 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.). On a third v. No. 234 note.
234. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 76 Demetrius Lysimachen (fecit) quae sacerdos Mineruae fuit lxiiii annis, idem et Mineruam quae musica

The works of Demetrius are a portrait of Lysimache, who was for 64 years priestess of Athena, an Athena called 'the Musical,'
appellatur - dracones in because the snakes of her Gorgone eius ad ictus aegis tinkle in response citharae tinnitu resonantidem equitem Simonem qui primus de equitate scripsit. to the notes of a lyre, and a portrait of the knight Simon, who was the first to write a treatise on horsemanship.

Lysimachen] According to Paus. i. 27. 4 the statue stood close to the Erechtheion, and was about a cubit in height. An inscription from the Akropolis (Löwy 64) has been held to belong to this
 Adáva : but the breadth of the foot-print ( 20 cm .) is too great for a height of one cubit.
musica] So inferior MSS. ; the best has 'myetica.'
Simonem] An Athenian cavalry officer, mentioned in Ar. Eq. 242.
235. Lucian, Philops. 18




 єì̀єs троуá⿱宀тора, фалаขтโav,

 трíxas èvias, è $\pi i \neq \eta \mu o v ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$ $\phi \lambda \epsilon \in \beta a s$, av̉roav $\theta \rho \omega ์ \pi \varphi$ \% \% $\mu$ оเov, êкeє̂vov $\lambda$ éy $\omega, ~ \Pi e ́ \lambda \lambda เ \chi o s ~ \delta ~$ Kopivelos $\sigma \tau \rho a t \eta y o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i v a t ~$ ठокєіً.

Have you not seen, said he, as you came in a beautiful portrait-statue standing in the court, the work of Demetrios the maker of men? If you have seen beside the running water a figure with a fat paunch and a bald head, wearing a cloak which leaves him half exposed, with some of the hairs of his head flowing in the wind, and prominent veins, like the very man himself, that is the one I mean. It is supposed to represent Pellichos the Corinthian general.

On the context v. Introduction, § I. In § 20 Lucian calls the artist Demetrios of Alopeke, a deme of Attika, and speaks of him as oủ $\theta$ єomotós tıs, à $\lambda \lambda$ ' àv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ omotós-' a maker not of gods but of men.'

Mé $\lambda \lambda . x{ }^{\prime} s$ ] A Corinthian of this name is mentioned by Thuc. i. 29 .

## § 2. THE SCHOOL OF SIKYON.

## 1. THE YOUNGER SONS OF PATROKLES.

(a) Daidalos.

Date.-Two inscriptions (Löwy 88, 89) exist. The original of the first is lost, but the second (from Olympia) must be dated early in the fourth century. The victory commemorated by No. 239 was won in 400 B. C. Eupolemos (v. infr.) was victorious in 396, Aristodemos (v. infr.) in 388. The victory commemorated by No. 238 was probably won in 369 B. C., unless the reference was to mythical times, when the monument might be as early as 392 B.C.
236. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. Daidalos, who is also 76 Daedalus et ipse inter mentioned with praise fictores laudatus duo pueros destringentes se fecit. among the sculptors in marble, represented two boys scraping themselves.
fictores] Here used in the narrower sense of 'sculptor in marble,' opp. statuarius, ' worker in bronze.'
destringentes se] àmogvopévovs, athletes scraping the ointment from their bodies with the strigil. See Lysippos, No. 241.
237. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. Daidalos represented 35 Uenerem lauantem se Aphrodite in the bath. Daedalus (fecit).

In the temple of Juppiter in the 'porticus Octauiae'; perhaps the original of the numerous statues of Aphrodite crouching in the bath. But see F.W. 1467, who attributes the work to a later Daidalos, a Bithynian artist of the third century.

238．Paus．X．8． 5 ＇$\phi \in \xi \xi_{\eta}$
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 є̇入óvtєs．

Next in order come the offerings dedicated by Tegeans from the spoils of the Spartans．These con－ sist in figures of Apollo， Victory and the native heroes of Arkadia，Kallisto the daughter of Lykaon，and Arkas who gave his name to the country，and the sons of Arkas，Elatos and Aphei－ das and Azan，and after them Triphylos．There is also a statue of Erasos the son of Triphylos．The figures were the work of （1）Pausanias of Apollonia， who made the Apollo and Kallisto，（2）Daidalos of Sikyon，who made the Victory and the figure of Arkas，（3）Antiphanes of Argos，and（4）Samolas the Arkadian，the latter of whom made the figures of Tri－ phylos and Azan，and the former those of Elatos and Apheidas and Erasos． These offerings were sent by the Tegeans to Delphi， when they had made pri－ soners of the Spartans who invaded them．

At Delphi. Referred by Brunn to 369 B. C., when Sparta suffered severe reverses at the hands of the Arkadians (Diod. xv. 62). But the closing words appear to refer to the legend recorded by Hdt. i. 65 , which falls in the mythical period.

Pausanias and Samolas are otherwise unknown, on Antiphanes v. Nos. 172,173 note. His pupil Kleon was the artist of several athlete-statues at Olympia, amongst which were two of the earliest ' Zâves' or small bronze statues of Zeus set up from the proceeds of fines. Paus. dates them Ol. $96=388$ B. C. One of the bases exists (Löwy 95). The base of another portrait (Kritodamos, Paus. vi. 8. 5) (Löwy 96) may be dated circ. 350 B. C.
239. Paus. vi. 2. 8 हैv ठे
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 'Hлєíoเs.

In the Altis, beside the statue of Timosthenes stand portraits of Timon and his son Aisypos, represented as a boy mounted on a horse. The reason is that the son's victory was won with a race-horse, while Timon was proclaimed victor with his chariot. The portraits of Timon and his son were the work of Daidalos of Sikyon, who also made the trophy set up by the Eleans in the Altis to commemorate their victory over Sparta.
The victory took place in 400 B. C. when Agis invaded Elis, but was dislodged from Olympia, which he had occupied (Paus. v. 4. 8).

## (b) Polykleitos the younger.

Date.-(1) He was a pupil of his elder brother Naukydes (No. 171 and Paus. vi. 6.2), who (Part III. § 2.2 (a)) worked at the close of the
fifth and beginning of the fourth century．（2）The inscription from the portrait of Aristion（Part II．§ 2.1 ad fin．）（Löwy 92）dates from the first half of the fourth century．Another inscription（Löwy 93）from Thebes is inscribed on the same block and in the same characters as an inscription of Lysippos．It belonged to a portrait of Timokles， victorious in the chariot－race at the Herakleia，and also at the Baбi $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota a}$ of Lebadeia（instituted 371 B．C．），while that of Lysippos belonged to a portrait of Konidas，victorious in the pankration at the Pythia．Dittenberger（I．G．S．2532，2533）pronounces both posterior to $316 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$ ．，and the inscriptions are therefore probably restorations ；the portraits may have had no original connexion． （3）Antipatros（Part II．§ 2.1 ad fin．）was probably victorious in OL． $98=388$ в．С．；No． 240 is posterior to 371 в．C．；and No．165， if a work of the younger P．，is posterior to 370 B ．C．

240．Paus．viii．31． 4 тov̂ Within the enclosure is $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ ßó入ov ठ́є＇̇ $\sigma \tau t \nu$ ėvтòs $\Phi_{\imath}$入íov $\Delta$ iòs vaós，Полvк入єítov $\mu$ ल̀v тov̂＇Apүєíov тò ă $y a \lambda \mu \alpha$ ，





a temple of Zeus，the God of Friendship．The image is the work of Polykleitos of Argos and resembles Dio－ nysos in type ；for it is shod with buskins，and holds in one hand a cup and in the other a thyrsus，upon which is perched an eagle．

тоиิ $\pi \epsilon \rho \not \beta \dot{\circ} \lambda o v]$ That of Demeter and Kore at Megalopolis．
Other works：－See Nos． 165 ，166，to which may be added：－ Hekate at Argos（No．171）．
Athlete－statue at Olympia：－
Agenor of Thebes，victorious in the boys＇wrestling－match （Paus．vi．6．2）．Dedicated by the Phokians，since A．＇s father was $\pi \rho \dot{\xi} \xi \in \operatorname{vos}$ of Phokis at Thebes．

## 2．IYSIPPOS．

Date．－The inscription（Löwy 94）from the portrait of Troilos （v．infr．）mentions his second victory（probably 368 B．c．），and the statue may not have been erected immediately．On the inscription

## GREEK SCULPTURE

from Thebes (Löwy 93) see under Polykleitos the younger (I (b)). Athenaios tells an anecdote of Lysippos connected with the foundation of Kassandreia ( 316 B. C.). An inscription (Löwy 487) copied from an older original reads इénevoos ßaaideús. Aúvintos غ̇пoít : but the portrait may have been executed before Seleukos assumed the royal title in 312 B. C. Pliny's date is $01.113=328$ B. C., determined by that of Alexander.
241. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 61 Lysippum Sicyonium Duris negat ullius fuisse discipulum, sed primo aerarium fabrum audendi rationem cepisse Eupompi responso. Eum enim interrogatum, quem sequeretur antecedentium, dixisse monstrata hominum multitudine, naturam ipsam imitandam esse, non artificem. Plurima ex omnibus signa fecit, ut diximus, fecundissimae artis, inter quae destringentem se, quem Marcus Agrippa ante Thermas suas dicauit mire gratum Tiberio principi. Non quiuit temperare sibi in eo, quanquam imperiosus sui inter initia principatus, transtulitque in cubiculum alio signo substituto, cum quidem tanta populi Romani contumacia fuit ut theatri clamoribus reponi

Duris asserts that Lysippos of Sikyon had no master, but originally worked as a bronze-caster, and was inspired to attempt higher things by an answer of Eupompos. That artist, when asked which of his predecessors he followed, pointed to a crowd of men, and replied that Nature herself and no artist was the true model. Lysippos produced more works than any other artist, being, as was mentioned above, extraordinarily prolific. Among them is the youth scraping himself, which M. Agrippa dedicated in front of his baths. The EmperorTiberius conceived a wonderful passion for this statue, and was unable to restrain his desire, although in the early years of his reign he practised self-
apoxyomenon flagitauerit control. He transferred it princepsque quanquam to his bedchamber and set adamatum reposuerit. Nobilitatur Lysippus et temulenta tibicina, et canibus ac uenatione, in primis uero quadriga cum Sole Rhodiorum ; fecit et Alexandrum Magnum multis operibus, a pueritia eius orsus. Quam statuam inaurari iussit Nero princeps delectatus admodum illa, dein, cum pretio perisset gratia artis, detractum est aurum pretiosiorque talis existimabatur etiam cicatricibus operis atque concisuris in quibus aurum fuerat remanentibus. Idem fecit Hephaestionem Alexandri Magni amicum, quem quidam Polyclito adscribunt, cum is centum prope annis ante fuerit, item Alexandri uenationem, quae Delphis sacrata est, Athenis satyrum, turmam Alexandri, in quaamicorum eius imagines summa omnium similitudine expressit; hanc Metellus Macedonia subacta transtuanother statue in its place, but the populace of Rome showed such displeasure that the Emperor restored it in response to the clamour of the theatre in spite of his passion for $i$ t. The fame of Lysippos rests also on his drunken flute-player and on his dogs and hunters, but especially on the fourhorse chariot, with the Sun executed for Rhodes. He also made many portraits of Alexander the Great, beginning from his boyhood. The last-named statue the Emperor Nero, who admired it extremely, ordered to be gilded. Afterwards, since the charm of the work had vanished, though its value had increased, the gold was removed, and it was esteemed more valuable in this state even though scars and incisions which had contained the gold still remained. Lysippos also made a portrait of Hephaistion, the friend of Alex-
lit Romam. Fecit et quadrigas multorum generum. Statuariae arti plurimum traditur contulisse capillum exprimendo, capita minora faciendo quam antiqui, corpora graciliora siccioraque, per quae proceritas signorum maior uideretur. Non habet Latinum nomen symmetria quam diligentissime custodiuit noua intactaque ratione quadratas ueterum Staturas permutando, uolgoque dicebat ab illis factos quales essent homines, a se quales uiderentur esse. Propriae huius uidentur esse argutiae operum custoditae in minimis quoque rebus.
ander the Great, which some ascribe to Polykleitos, although he lived about a century earlier, also Alexander's hunt, dedicated at Delphi, a satyr at Athens, a troop of Alexander's horse, in which he introduced portraits of his friends which displayed a marvellous likeness : this work was removed to Rome by Metellus after the conquest of Macedonia. He also represented four-horse chariots of several kinds. He is said to have done much to advance the art of sculpture in bronze by his careful treatment of the hair, and by making the head smaller and the body more slender and firmly knit than earlier sculptors, thus imparting to his figures an appearance of greater height. There is no Latin name for the 'canon of proportions' which he carefully observed, exchanging the squarelybuilt figure of the older artists for a new and untried system. He was in
the habit of saying that they had represented men as they were, while he represented them as they appeared to the eye. The extreme delicacy of his work even in the smallest details, would seem to be its most individual feature.

Duris] V. Introduction, § I.
Eupompi responso] E. was a painter of Sikyon, whose date appears to fall at the close of the fifth and beginning of the fourth century (Plin. N. H. xxxv. 64, 75), so that if the saying is rightly ascribed to him, it cannot have been addressed directly to Lysippos.
ut diximus] V. No. 242.
destringentem se] Reproduced by the Apoxyomenos in the Vatican, F.W. 1264 .

Alexandrum Magnum] V. No. 243.
quam statuam] Almost certainly to be taken, with Urlichs, of a statue of Alexander as a boy. Others suppose the words 'fecit ... orsus' to be misplaced, and refer 'quam statuam' to the chariot of the Sun; but though there is some probability that this was removed to Rome, Pliny would scarcely describe it by the term 'statua,' which is properly applicable to a portrait in bronze.

Alexandri uenationem] V. No. 244 -
turmam Alexandri] V. No. 245.
Metellus] Q. Caecilius Metellus subdued the pretender Andriskos in Macedonia ( 146 B. C.), and hence received the name Macedonicus.

Statuariae arti] Clearly used in the narrow sense of 'sculpture in bronze,' exclusively practised by Lysippos. In this use the term is opposed to 'sculptura' = sculpture in marble. Cp. xxxv. 156 plasticen matrem . . . statuariae sculpturaeque dixit Pasiteles. xxxvi. 15 non omittendum hanc artem (marmoris sculpendi) tanto uetustiorem fuisse quam picturam aut statuariam.
sicciora] The word is used of the trained athlete, whose body is free from unhealthy humours and superfluous fat. Cp. Varr.

Logist. Fr. 27 R. Persae propter exercitationes puerilis modicas eam sunt consecuti corporis siccitatem ut neque spuerent neque emungerentur sufflatoue corpore essent.
quadratas ueterum staturas] With special reference to the canon of Polykleitos. Cf. No. 160 ad fin.
quales essent . . . quales uiderentur esse] Assuming that the natural sense of the words is the true one, we must interpret them to mean that while Polykleitos and his school had represented the human body in its actual proportions, Lysippos employed such proportions as to produce the impression received by the eye (quales esse uiderentur $=$ oiot ópêעtat). Many authorities, however, (after Otfried Müller) suppose 'quales uiderentur esse' to be a mistranslation by Pliny of oiovs éoikev cival $=$ as they ought to be; the meaning will then be that Polykleitos was a realist, Lysippos an idealist. The conception was familiar in art-criticism. Cp. Arist.
 ठѐ ó óoiovs єїкаऽєข.
argutiae] Cf. xxxv. 37 Parrhasius . . picturae dedit primus argutias uultus, elegantiam capilli. argutus = clear to the senses, and so clearly defined, clearly cut. Cp. Verg. G. iii. 80 argutum caput (of a horse). Hence 'argutiae operum' here refers to clearly cut, delicate outlines.
242. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 37 Insignia maximeet aliqua de causa notata uoluptarium sit attigisse artificesque celebratos nominauisse singulorum quoque inexplicabili multitudine, cum Lysippus MD opera fecisse prodatur, tantae omnia artis, ut claritatem possent dare uel singula, numerum apparuisse defuncto eo, cum thesaurum effregisset heres, solitum enim ex manipretio cuius-

Let it be our pleasure to touch on works of special excellence or with special cause for remark and to record the names of famous artists, since the multitude of single works is innumerable. Lysippos alone is said to have produced 1500 works, all of such artistic value that each would have sufficed by itself to make him famous. The number became known
que signi denarios seponere aureos singulos.
after his death, when his heir broke open his strongbox, since it had been his custom to set aside a piece of gold from the price of each statue.

From the preface to Pliny's account of sculpture in bronze.
denarios] Pliny no doubt refers to the gold stater, worth twenty drachmae. The Roman gold denarius (xxxiii. 47) was worth twentyfive silver denarii, the silver denarius being considered equivalent to an Attic drachma.
243. Plut.de Alex. Magno
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When Lysippos first made a portrait of Alexander with his countenance uplifted to heaven, just as Alexander was wont to gaze with his neck gently inclined to one side, some one wrote the following not inappropriate epigram :-

The man of bronze is as one that looks on Zeus and will address him thus: O Zeus, I place earth beneath my feet, do thou rule Olympos.
For this reason Alexander gave orders that Lysippos only should make portraits of him; since Lysippos only, as it would seem, truly revealed his nature in
 $\sigma \theta a \iota$ Өє́入ovtєs ov̉ סıєфv́лatтov av̉rov̂ тò ả $\rho \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \pi \grave{\nu} \nu$ кal $\lambda \epsilon о \nu$ т $\omega \hat{\delta} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$.
bronze, and portrayed his courage in visible form, while others in their anxiety to reproduce the bend of the neck and the melting look of the eyes failed to preserve his masculine and leonine aspect.

On the portraits of Alexander, see $F . W .1318$ and Köpp, 52. Winckelmannsprogramm (1892). From Plut. de Is. et Osir. 24 we learn that the statue here referred to held a spear.
244. Plut. Alex. 40 тоข̂то
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Krateros erected a memorial of this hunt at Delphi. He caused figures of bronze to be made, representing the lion, the dogs, the king in combat with the lion, and himself coming to the rescue ; some of these were made by Lysippos, the rest by Leochares.

Kparєpós] A general of Alexander, afterwards allied with Antipater, killed in battle $321 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.

As $\omega x$ áp $\eta_{\text {s] }}$ V. § 1.2 (b).
245. Arrian, Anab. i. 16.7 Макєठ̊óvตv ठ̀è тต̂v $\mu$ èv ย̇тaí-

 Oavov, каl тои́тшу Халкай

 $\sigma เ \pi \pi \% \nu \pi о \imath \eta$ баь.

Of the Macedonians there fell about twenty-five of the king's guard in the first onslaught. Bronze portraits of these stood at Dion, made by Lysippos by order of Alexander.
 Since the dead were buried on the field of battle (Arr. i. I6. 5) Pliny's story as to the resemblances can hardly be correct. According to Vell. Pat. i. II. 3 a portrait of Alexander himself formed part of the group.
246. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 40 Talis et Tarenti (Iuppiter) factus a Lysippo XL cubitorum. Mirum in eo, quod manu, ut ferunt, mo-bilis-ea ratio libramenti est-nullis conuellatur procellis. Id quidem prouidisse et artifex dicitur modico interuallo, unde maxime flatum opus erat frangi, opposita columna. Itaque magnitudinem propter diffcultatemque moliendi non attigit cum Fabius Verrucosus, cum Herculem, qui est in Capitolio, inde transferret.

Such too is the Zeus of Tarentum made by Lysippos, which is forty cubits in height. It is remarkable from the fact that although, it is said, a touch of the hand will turn itso cunningly is it balanced -no storm can overturn it. The artist is said to have provided against this by interposing a pillar at a short distance on the side from which it was most necessary to break the force of the wind. And so on account of the huge size of the figure and the difficulty of attacking it, Fabius Verrucosus did not lay hands on it when he removed from Tarentum the Herakles which stands on the Capitol.

Talis] Colossal in size.
Fabius Verrucosus] Q. Fabius Maximus, the opponent of Hannibal, took Tarentum 209 B.C.
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 $\tau є \lambda \epsilon ́ \theta \omega$ ．
 $\tau \iota \alpha ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \iota ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$,
 фалакра̀ $\pi \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ；
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POSEIDIPPOS．
Who and whence was thy sculptor？From Si－ kyon．His name？Lysip－ pos．And who art thou？ Occasion，the all－subduer． Why dost thou tread on tiptoe？I am ever running． Why hast thou wings twy－ natured on thy feet？I fleet on the wings of the wind．Why dost thou bear a razor in thy right hand ？ To show to men that I am keener than the keenest edge．And thy hair，why grows it in front？For him that meets me to seize，by Zeus．And why is the back of thy head bald？Because none may clutch me from behind，howsoe＇er he desire it，when once my winged feet have darted past him． Why did the sculptor fashion thee？For thy sake，stranger，and set me up for a warning in the entry．

From Kallistr．Stat．3，who describes the statue at length，we learn that it originally stood at Sikyon（whence it was afterwards removed to Constantinople）．K．also states that it stood on a globe， as do Ausonius and Tzetzes．Himerios（Ecl．xiv．1）mentions that in the left hand it held a balance．But these late authorities seem to have added characteristics and attributes to the original
type. See the monuments published by Curtius, A. Z. I875, PI. i, ii, who shows that the personified Karpuis was a figure specially connected with athletic contests.
248. Strab. vi. 278 (At Tarentum) тà $\mu \notin \nu$ катє́ $\phi \theta \epsilon \iota-$




 б८кós, $\Lambda v \sigma i \pi \pi o v$ є́pyov, àváөךца Маگípov Фаßiov тоv̂

(At Tarentum) Some works were destroyed by the Carthaginians when they captured the city, and others carried awayas spoils by the Romans, who took forcible possession of them ; among the latter was the colossal bronze Herakles on the Capitol, the work of Lysippos, dedicated by Fabius Maximus, who captured the city.

Magínov $\left.\Phi_{7} \beta i=v\right]$ V. No. 246 note. The statue was removed to Constantinople 'in the consulship of Julian,' probably 322 A. D. (Suid.), and placed in the Hippodrome. It is described in the following No.
249. Niket. Chon. de Sign. Constant. 5 катท́pєเสто
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The great Herakles then begotten of three nights lies mighty and mightily fallen, he who was seated on a basket, whereon was strewn the lion's skin. There he sat with no quiver hung about him, with no bow in his hand and no club to defend him, but extending his right leg and right arm as far as he
 yóvv кal тク̀v $\lambda a \iota a ̀ \nu ~ \chi \in i ̂ \rho a ~ ' ~ ' \pi ~ ' ~$ ảyкติעos द̨pєiôov, єiтa тò خot-
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could, and with his left leg bent at the knee. His left arm was supported at the elbow and the forearm raised, and on the palm of the left hand he was resting his head gently, full of despondency. His breast and shoulders were broad, his hair thick, his buttocks fat, and his arms brawny, and his height was such as Lysimachos might have supposed the original He rakles to reach, when he fashioned of bronze this, the choicest jewel of his art, first and last, of such colossal bulk that the string which enclosed its thumb might serve as a man's girdle and the shin of its leg was tall as a man.

катipetitro roivuv] In the sack of Constantinople by the Franks in 1202 A. D.

Aucípaxos] A mistake of Niketas for $\Lambda \dot{v} \sigma \iota \pi \pi o s$.
250. Mart. ix. 44

Hic, qui dura sedens porrecto saxa leone
mitigat exiguo magnus in aere deus, quaeque tulit spectat resupino sidera uultu

He who sits here tempering the hardness of the rock with the outstretched lion's skin, a mighty god imprisoned in the tiny bronze, and gazes with upturned eyes at the stars which once
cuius laeua calet robore, dextra mero, non est fama recens, nec nostri gloria caeli :
nobile Lysippi munus opusque uides.
he bore, whose left hand is hot with the club, and his right with the wine-cup, enjoys no upstart fame, nor is his fame that of a Roman chisel. 'Tis a famous work and offering of Lysippos which thou seest.

This statue was known as 'Herakles Epitrapezios,' i.e. it served as a table-decoration. According to Stat. Silv. iv. 2. 35, 6 it was less than a foot in height. Martial states that it belonged successively to Alexander, Hannibal, Sulla and Novius Vindex. It appears to be more or less faithfully reproduced in various works enumerated by Weizsäcker, Jahrb. 1889, p. 109.
tulit] While Atlas fetched the apples of the Hesperides, Herakles supported the heavens.
251. Strab. x. 459 $\dot{\eta}$ Alyzia, in whose territory 'Adv乌̌a . . . ка日' $\eta \nu v$ żatt is a harbour sacred to $\mathrm{He}-$



 $\nu \omega \nu \tau เ s, \pi а \rho a ̀$ тóтоу кєєце́vovs
 rakles and a precinct from which a Roman commander removed to Rome the labours of Herakles, the work of Lysippos, which had become displaced through the desolation of the district.

## 'Advstia] In Akarnania.

On monuments which appear to reproduce these groups see $O \%$. $11^{4} .144$ and references.

Other works:-
Zeus at Sikyon (Paus. ii. 9. 6).
Zeus Nemeios at Argos (Paus. ii. 20. 3).

Zeus and the Muses at Megara (Paus. i. 43.6). The inscription from Megara published in Ath. Mitth. 1885, p. 150, may have belonged to this work.

Poseidon at Corinth (Lucian, Jup. Trag. 9).
Dionysos on Mount Helikon (Paus. ix. 30. 1).
Eros at Thespiai (Paus. ix. 27.3).
Herakles at Sikyon (Paus. ii. 9. 8).
Sokrates (Diog. Laert. ii. 43).
Praxilla (Tatian, c. Graec. 52).
Aesop and the Seven Sages (Anth. Plan. iv. 332).
Pythes of Abdera (Paus. vi. 14. 12).
Athlete-statues at Olympia :-
Polydamas at Skotussa, victorious in the pankration, Ol. $93=$ 408 в. c. (Paus. vi. 5. 1).

Troilos of Elis, victorious with the two-horse chariot, and with a team of four colts, $\mathrm{Ol}, 102=372$ B. C. (Paus. vii. 4. 1). Inscription Löwy 94.

Cheilon of Patrai, twice victorious in wrestling (Paus. vi. 4. 6).
Kallifrates of Magnesia, twice victorious in the race in armour (Paus. vi. 17. 3).

Xenarkes of Stratos, victorious in the pankration (Paus. vi. 2. 1).

## 3. LYSISTRATOS.

252. Plin. N. H. xxxv. 153 Hominis autem imaginem gypso e facie ipsa primus omnium expressit ceraque in eam formam gypsi infusa emendare instituit Lysistratos Sicyonius frater Lysippi, de quo diximus. Hic et similitudines reddere instituit ; ante eum quam pulcherrimas facere studebant. Idem et de

The first artist who took plaster casts of the human face from the original, and introduced the practice of working over a wax model taken from the plaster, was Lysistratos of Sikyon, the brother of Lysippos, who has already been mentioned. He also instituted the practice of rendering portraits with lifelike precision,
signis effigies exprimere inuenit.
while previous artists had striven to make them as beautiful as possible. He also discovered how to take casts of statues.

## 4. THE FAMILY AND SCHOOL OF LYSIPPOS.

(a) Daippos, Boedas, Euthykrates, Tisikrates.
253. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. The sons and pupils (of 66 Filios et discipulos Lysippos) who survived reliquit (Lysippus)laudatos him were Daippos and artifices Daippum et Boedan, sed ante omnis Euthycraten, quanquam is constantiam potius imitatus patris quam elegantiam austero maluit genere quam iucundo placere. Itaque optime expressit Herculem Delphis et Alexandrum Thespiis uenatorem, et proelium equestre, simulacrum ipsum Trophonii ad oraculum, quadrigas compluris, equum cum fuscinis, canes uenantium. Huius porro discipulus fuit Tisicrates et ipse Sicyonius, sed Lysippi sectae propior, ut uix decernantur complura signa ceu senex Thebanus et Demetrius Rex, Peuces-
nized merit, but above all Euthykrates, although he followed his father's unflinching conscientiousness rather than his refinement of taste and rested his claim to popular favour on a severe rather than an effective style. He was thus eminently successful in representing Herakles (at Delphi) and Alexander as a hunter (at Thespiai), and a cavalry engagement, and the image of Trophonios which adorns his own oracular seat, many four-horse chariots, a horse with forked poles, and a group of hounds. His pupil again
tes Alexandri Magni seruator, dignus tanta gloria.
was Tisikrates also a native of Sikyon, but in closer contact with the school of Lysippos, so much so, that many of his works can barely be distinguished from those of that artist. Such are the sage of Thebes, King Demetrios, and Peukestes, who saved the life of Alexander the Great, and richly deserved to be immortalized.

Daippum] Dated by Pliny Ol. $121=296$ B. C. Works:-
' Perixyomenos,' i.e. an athlete scraping himself ( $=$ apoxyomenos), Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 87.

Athete-statues at Olympia :-
Kallon of Elis, victorious in the boys' boxing-match (Paus. vi. 12.6).

Nikandros of Elis, twice victorious in the double foot-race (Paus. vi. 16. 5).

Boedan] Pliny, N. H. xxxiv. 73, attributes to him a 'praying figure' (adorans). The so-called 'Praying Boy' at Berlin (BrunnBruckmann 283), if it is not a reproduction of this work, may serve as an illustration of the type.

Euthycraten] Besides the works here mentioned, Tatian (c. Graec. 52, 53) mentions four female subjects-Anyte of Tegea (floruit circ. 300 B.C.), Mnesarchis of Ephesos, Thaliarchis of Argos, and חavvuxis (so Jahn for חavtevxis, an impossible name).
constantiam] Urlichs and Brunn translate 'boldness,' an idea hardly conveyed by the word and inappropriate to the 'austerum genus.' Blümner translates 'perseverance,' i.e. in details. Cp. No. 241 (of Lysippos) argutiae . . . custoditae in minimis quoque rebus, and this is probably nearly right, though the paraphrase given in the text may represent the meaning more exactly.
uenatorem] Kekulé would place a comma before this word, and seek the original in that of the Meleager of the Vatican. I3ut it is
more probable that it should be taken closely with 'Alexandrum.' In this case we may interpret (I) a single figure in hunting costume, or (2) a group of hunters. Urlichs, taking the latter interpretation, connects with this 'equum cum fuscinis,' a horse carrying either (1) forked sticks for the support of the hunting-nets, or (2) threepronged hunting-spears - the word is applied to Poseidon's trident -and 'canes uenantium.'
proelium equestre] No doubt from the wars of Alexander. The mounted Alexander in bronze from Herculaneum ( $10 . I^{4}$, Fig. 183) has been referred to this group.
equum cum fuscinis] See above note on 'uenatorem.' Jahn corrects 'coquum cum fiscinis,' a cook with baskets. 'Genre' figures of this nature exist (Clarac, 879, 2244, 2245).

Tisicrates] Pliny, N. H. xxxiv. 89 attributes to him a chariot and pair, to which another sculptor, Piston, added a female driver.
senex Thebanus] Possibly Pindar.
Demetrius Rex] Demetrios Poliorketes became king 307 B. C., and died 283 B . C

Peucestes] A member of Alexander's body-guard, who saved his life in the attack on the city of the Malli.

## (b) Eutychides.

Date.-Antioch (v. No. 254) was founded by Seleukos Nikator in $300 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.
254. Paus. vi. 2. 6 Eủrv- Eutychides of Sikyon,
 $\pi \omega \bar{i} \delta \in \delta \iota \delta a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \Sigma v ́ \rho o t s ~$
 $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \gamma a \lambda \mu a, \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda a s \pi_{\alpha} \rho a ̀$
 a pupil of Lysippos, made a statue of Fortune for the Syrians who live on the Orontes, at whose hands it receives great honour.

From John Malalas, pp. 201 and 276 Bonn, we learn that the figure (representing the Fortune of Antioch) was seated 'above
 by the statuette in the Vatican, F.W.I 396.
255. Plin. $N . H$. xxxiv. Eutychides represented 78 Eutychides (fecit) Eu- the river Eurotas. Of this
rotam, in quo artem ipso figure it has often been amne liquidiorem plurimi said that art has made it dixere.
more liquid than the river itself.

An epigram of Philippos (Anth. Pal. ix. 709) on this statue speaks of the bronze as vidaros íypótepoy-more liquid than water. Pliny seems to derive his notice from a similar epigram-probably
 92 b).

Other works:-
Dionysos in the collection of Asinius Pollio (Pliny, N. H. xxxvi. 34).

Athlete-statue at Olympia :-
Timosthenes of Elis, victorious in the boys' foot-race (Paus. vi. 2. 6).
(c) Chares of Lindos.
256. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 41 Ante omnes autem in admiratione fuit Solis colossus Rhodi, quem fecerat Chares Lindius Lysippi supra dicti discipulus; LXX cubitorum altitudinis fuit. hoc simulacrum, LVI post annum terrae motu prostratum, sed iacens quoque miraculoest. Pauci pollicen eius amplectuntur, maiores sunt digiti quam pleraeque statuae, uasti specus hiant defractis membris, spectantur intus magnae molis

The greatest marvel of all, however, was the colossal figure of the Sun at Rhodes, made by Chares of Lindos, a pupil of Lysippos mentioned above. This figure was 70 cubits in height and after standing 56 years was overthrown by an earthquake; but even as it lies prostrate it is a marvel. Few men can embrace its thumb: its fingers are larger than most statues, there are huge yawning caverns where the
saxa, quorum pondere sta- limbs have been broken, biliuerat eum constituens. and within them may be Duodecim annos tradunt seen great masses of rock, effectum MCCC talentis, by whose weight the artist quae contigerant ex apparatu regis Demetrii relicto morae taedio obsessae Rhodo. gave it a firm footing when he erected it. The story runs that twelve years were occupied in its construction, for which the artist received 1,300 talents, produced by the sale of Demetrios'siegetrain, which the king abandoned when he raised the siege of Rhodes through disgust at its protraction.

The siege of Rhodes was raised by Demetrios Poliorketes in 303 B. C., while the recorded dates of the earthquake range from 227 B. C. to 222 B. C. The colossus was therefore erected circ. 280 B. C. There is no foundation for the common belief that it bestrode the entrance to the harbour of Rhodes.

Pliny, N. H. xxxiv. 44 also mentions a colossal head by Chares, dedicated by P. Lentulus Spinther cos. 57 B. C. on the Capitol.

Other members of the school of Lysippos were :-
Phanis, a pupil of Lysippos, to whom Pliny, N. H. xxxiv. 80 attributes one work-'epithyusan' = èmt日iovoav, a woman sacrificing.

Xenokrates, pupil of Tisikrates, or, according to other accounts, of Euthykrates. See Introduction, § 1.

Kantharos of Sikyon, pupil of Eutychides and father of Alexis, enumerated by Pliny, N. H. xxxiv. 50 amongst the pupils of Polykleitos, by whom we must in this case understand the younger. According to Pliny (N. H. xxxiv. 85) he was an artist of merit, but not of special distinction.

Athlete-statues at Olympia :-
Kratinos of Aigeira, victorious in the boys' wrestling-match (Paus. vi. 3. 6).

Alexinikos of Elis, victorious in the boys' wrestling-match (Paus. vi. 17.7).

## § 3. OTHER ARTISTS.

## 1. HYPATODOROS AND ARISTOGEITON OF THEBES.

Date.-Pliny's date ( $\mathrm{Ol} .102=372 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.) is probably somewhat late, since an inscription from Delphi (Löwy 1or) uses the Boeotian alphabet, and must therefore be dated early in the fourth century, and this is confirmed by the probable date of No. 257 (v. note).
257. Paus. x. 10. $3 \pi \lambda \eta-$
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Near to the horse are other offerings of the Argives, consisting in statues of the leaders of the expedition which accompanied Polyneikes to Thebes, Adrastos the son of Talaos, and Tydeus the son of Oineus, and the descendants of Proitos, Kapaneus the son of Hipponous and Eteoklos the son of Iphis, and Polyneikes and Hippomedon, Adrastos' sister's son ; and hard by is represented the chariot of Amphiaraos and Baton, who has mounted the chariot and drives the horses, besides being otherwise intimately associated with Amphiaraos; last of all comes
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Alitherses. These are works of Hypatodoros and Aristogeiton, and were made, according to the Argives, from the spoils of the victory which they and their Athenian allies gained at Oinoe over the Spartans. It was, in my opinion, in memory of the same victory that the Argives dedicated statues of the chieftains whom the Greeks call the Epigonoi. For their statues too stand there. Sthenelos and Alkmaion and after them Promachos and Thersandros and Aigialeus and Diomedes; and between Diomedes and Aigialeus stands Euryalos.

At Delphi. rov̂ ïntov refers to the 'wooden horse' of Antiphanes, No. 173. The victory referred to is obscure, but must have been gained in the course of the 'Corinthian war' of $392-387 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.

Paus. (viii. 26. 7) attributes to Hypatodoros a colossal bronze Athena at Aliphera in Arkadia. Polyb. iv. 78 couples with the name of H. that of Sostratos, whom Pliny in the chronological table dates $\mathrm{Ol} .113=328$ в.с.

## 2. BOETHOS OF CARTHAGE.

Date.-The original of the group representing a boy strangling a goose (No.258) appears to date from the early Hellenistic period.
258. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. Though Boethos is more 84 Boethi quanquam ar- famous for his work in silver,
gento melioris infans *ui he is the artist of the boy summa*anserem strangulat.
strangling a goose with all his might.
argento] Boethos was a 'caelator' or тopevti's in the narrow sense of the word, a worker in repoussé in precious metal.
infans... anserem strangulat] On copies of this group see F. W. 1587, who places it in the Hellenistic period.
ui summa] The best MS. has sex anno (corr. annis), inferior MSS. eximiae. Bücheler detected the fact that 'sex' concealed 'ui.'

25ө. Paus. v. 17. 4 Паи-




A gilt figure of a nude boy is seated before the Aphrodite; it is the work of Boethos' chisel.

Wieseler corr. émiкuprov 'bent,' and brought this work into connexion with the existing figures of a boy removing a thorn from his foot. See reff. given by $O v . \mathrm{II}^{4}$. 184. But the evidence for the change is slender.

A statue of Asklepios as a child is ascribed to Boethos in two epigrams (Anth. Pal. App. 55, 56).

## 3. ARISTODEMOS.

260. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 86 Aristodemus (fecit) et luctatores bigasque cum auriga, anus, Seleucum regem, habet gratiam suam huius quoque doryphorus.

The works of Aristodemos are: wrestlers, a two-horse chariot with its driver, old women, king Seleukos; his warrior with the spear too has a charm of its own.
anus] Since the best MS. spells the word 'annus,' Urlichs wishes to construct another artist's name, but without much probability.

Seleucum regem] Seleukos Nikator, king of Syria 312-281. Tatian, c. liraec. 55 attributes to A. a portrait of Aesop, to which original Brunn would trace the existing portraits. See F.W.1324.

## APPENDICES

Nos. 261-271.

## APPENDIX I.

## THE SCHOOLS OF PERGAMON AND RHODES.

## 1. THE SCHOOL OF PERGAMON.

Date.- The following table shows the succession in the Pergamene dynasty:-


Of the four artists mentioned by Pliny in No. 261. (1) Phyromachos was the maker of a statue taken by Prusias of Bithynia in a war with Attalos I; (2) Antigonos was the object of a controversial work of Polemon (v. Introduction, § 1), who flourished 220-170 B.C. ; (3) the name of $\ldots$ yovos (whether Antigonos or Isigonos [Epigonos] is uncertain) appears on inscriptions from monuments commemorating the victory of Attalos I over the Gauls (Fränkel, Inschriften von Pergamon 22 ${ }^{\text {b }}, 29$ ). Hence the group of artists named by Pliny evidently belongs to the reign of Attalos I. The same is true of Epigonos (Fränkel, Nos. 19, [22 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ? 29?] 31, 32) if indeed he is to be distinguished from 'Isigonos.'
261. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. The battles of Attalos 84 Plures artifices fecere and Eumenes with the Attali et Eumenis adversus Gauls were represented by

Gallos proelia, Isigonus, agroup of artists-Isigonos, Phyromachus, Stratonicus, Antigonus qui uolumina condidit de sua arte.

Phyromachos, Stratonikos and Antigonos (who was the author of works treating of his art).

Attali et Eumenis] A. is certainly Attalos I, but it is disputed whether E. is the first or second of that name. It seems clear that the latter must be meant, although his successes in war do not appear to have been important, and the inscriptions (Löwy 154) of Pergamon all refer to the victories of Attalos I over the Gauls and Antiochos Hierax (the first apparently 241 B. C.; the chronology is obscure).

Isigonus] As this name is not otherwise known, and Pergamene
 this name should be here restored. See note on No. 263.

Phyromachus] Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 80 mentions a four-horse chariot driven by Alkibiades as the work of Phyromachos; while in xxxiv. 88 he states that one Nikeratos represented 'Alkibiades and his mother Demarate sacrificing at the kindling of the lamps.' It was formerly supposed that these artists worked in the fifth century; but
(1) Phyromachos is shown to have worked at Pergamon by No. 261, and by P'olyb. xxxvii. 27, Diod. xxxi. 46, who mention an Asklepios taken from the Nikephorion at Pergamon by Prusias I of Bithynia.
(2) Nikeratos made a statue dedicated at Delos by one Sosikrates to commemorate the victories of Philetairos, brother of Eumenes II (Löwy 147), and a Pergamene inscription (Fränkel 132) of the reign of Eumenes II is restored [Niкŋ́patos] Eúkтínovos 'A $\theta[\eta \nu]$ aios $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, on the authority of Tatian, c. Graec. 53, while in a collection of inscriptions published in 1543 is one from a portrait of Eumenes at Pergamon by N. (Löwy 496).
(3) An inscription from Delos (Löwy 118) reads Nıkíparos фvро́ $\left[\right.$ [ахоs 'A $\begin{array}{l}\eta \nu a] i o t ~ e ́ m o i ́ \eta \sigma a y . ~\end{array}$

It is therefore quite possible that the two works mentioned above are to be attributed to these artists, and had reference to Alkibiades' victory at Olympia. (See Bursian, Sitzungsberichte der bayr. Akad. 1874, 139 ff.) Other works of Nikeratos were: - Portraits of the Argive poctess Telesilla and of Glaukippe (Tatian, loc. cit.),

Asklepios and Hygieia in the temple of Concord at Rome (Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 8o), portraits of athletes, \&c. (id. ib. xxxiv. 88).

Stratonicus] Probably to be identified with a famous silversmith (caelator) mentioned by Plin. N. H. xxxiii. 156, and stated by the same author (xxxiv. 90 ) to have represented 'philosophers' and 'scopas' -apparently $=\sigma \kappa \hat{\omega} \pi a s$, satyrs or 'grutesques.'

Antigonus] Identified by v. Wilamowitz with A. of Karystos (Introduction, § 1).
262. Paus. i. 25.2 tjò̀s
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Close to the southern wall is to be seen the 'war of the Giants,' as it is called (they at one time inhabited Thrace and the isthmus of Pallene), and the battle of the Athenians against the Amazons, and the battle with the Persians at Marathon, and the destruction of the Gauls in Mysia. All these were dedicated by Attalos, and each figure is about two cubits in height.

T仑̂ reixee] Of the Akropolis at Athens.
On existing figures from these groups see $O v .1 I^{4} .234$ f., F. W: 1403-1411. The question whether these are originals (so the authorities quoted above) or copies from bronze (so Milchhöfer and S. Reinach (Rev. Arih. 1889, 18) is a very doubtful one. Plutarch (Anton. 60) records that a figure of Dionysos from the Gigantomachia was blown down by a storm and fell over the south wall of the Akropolis. This seems more likely in the case of a bronze.
263. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 88 Epigonus omnia fere praedicta imitatus praecessit in tubicine et matri inter-

Epigonos followed his predecessors in mosi of the subjects which I have named, and surpassed them with
fectae infante miserabiliter blandiente.
his trumpeter and his infant pitiably cngaged in caressing its murdered mother.
praedictal The passage comes from the list of those 'qui eiusdem generis opera fecerunt'-usually portraits of athletes, 'philosophers,' \&c.
tubicine ... blandiente] Ulrichs conjectured that 'tubicine' referred to the Dying Gaul of the Capitol ( $F$. W. 1412), beside whom lies a horn ('liticen' would have been a more correct translation of $\dot{\sigma} a \lambda \pi เ y \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} s$ (Urlichs) or кєрav入ís (Reinach) ). Michaelis (Jahrb. 1893, p. 119 ff .) identified the group of mother and child with the Amazon (from the group described in No. 262) at Naples (F. W. 1411), which is grouped with an infant in early descriptions and a sixteenth-century drawing. Petersen has shown, however (Rom. Mitth. 1893. p. 26I ff.), that the child was the work of an early restorer, afterwards removed. Moreover, Amazons are never represented as mothers. S. Reinach (Revue des Études Grecques, 1894, p. 37 ff .) suggests that a group of a Gaulish mother and child formed part of the series represented by the Dying Gaul and the so-called 'Arria and Paetus' (F. W. 1413 ).

The inscriptions of Epigonos (v. supr.) all point to the reign of Attalos I.
264. Ampel.Lib. Memor. viii. I4 Pergamo ara marmorea magna, alta pedes quadraginta cum maximis sculpturis ; continet autem gigantomachiam.

Discovered by the German excavators 1878-1883. The inscrip-
 [ $\Delta t o]$ vorr $[a i \delta \eta s]$ (?) [Meveкp]airns (?), and point to the reign of Eumenes II, the greatest builder among the kings of Pergamon. On the reliefs, (1) Gigantomachy, on the outer face of the substructure, (2) story of Telephos, inside the colonnade, the fragments of which are now at Berlin, see $O v .1 I^{k} .261 \mathrm{ff}$. and references (especially Brunn, Jahrbuch der preuss. Kunstsammlungen, 1884).

## 2. THE SCHOOL OF RHODES.

A number of artists' signatures (Löwy 159-205) have been found on the island of Rhodes. Hiller v. Gärtringen (Jaho bb. 1894. p. 23 ff.) has shown that these fall into two groups, (1) belonging to the latter part of the third and earlier part of the second century B. C. This period closes with the political decline of Rhodes after 168 B.C. ; (2) belonging to the first quarter of the first century B.C. The literary notices of Rhodian art are scanty.
(a) The Sculptors of the Laokoon.
265. Plin. N. H. xxxvi. 37 Nec deinde multo plurium fama est, quorundam claritati in operibus eximiis obstante numero artificum, quoniam nec unus occupat gloriam nec plures pariter nuncupari possunt, sicut in Laocoonte qui est in Titi imperatoris domo, opus omnibus et picturaeet statuariae artis praeferendum. Ex uno lapide eum ac liberos draconumque mirabiles nexus de consilii sententia fecere summi artifices Agesander et Polydorus et Athenodorus Rhodii.

There are many more whose fame is not preserved. In some cases the glory of the finest works is obscured by the number of theartists, since no one of them can monopolise the credit nor can the names of more than one be handed down. This is the case with the Laokoon, which stands in the palace of the Imperator Titus, a work to be preferred to all that the arts of painting and sculpture have produced. Out of one block of stone the consummate artists Hagesandros,Polydorosand Athenodoros of Rhodes fashioned Laokoon, his sons, and snakes marvellously entwined about them, after deliberation among themselves.

On the Laokoon v. $O v . I^{4} .296 \mathrm{ff}$. and reff., $F . W .1422$.
in Titi imperatoris . . . domo] The Laokoon was discovered in 1506 A.D., not in the spot which tradition points out (in the Thermae of Titus), but in the 'Sette Sale,' corresponding to the Palace of Titus.
ex uno lapide] The Laokoon is constructed of six blocks, but the joins are so carefully concealed that even Michael Angelo could only detect three, and Pliny's account was no doubt popularly current in his time. Cp. No. 266.
de consilii sententia] Those who uphold a late date for the Laokoon maintain that these words mean 'by a decree of the Emperor's cabinet,' although no historical ground or occasion can be alleged. The phrase is however a common one, especially with writers of the Silver Age, in applied uses (cp. Sen. Ep. vii. 5. II quidquid honeste fit, una uirtus facit, sed ex consilii sententia; quod autem ab omnibus uirtutibus comprobatur . . . optabile est) and would be quite appropriate in a rhetorical passage such as the present. There is therefore no necessity to seek an explanation in 'the $\beta$ oud $\eta$ of Rhodes' (Jahn) or 'the friends of the artists' (Mommsen).

Agesander et Polydorus et Athenodorus] The inscriptions of Athenodoros are published in facsimile by Förster, Jahrb. 1891, p. 191 ff ., and treated by Hiller v. Gärtringen (loc. cit.), who shows that they are contemporaneous with an inscription in which L. Licinius Murena Imp. ( 82 B. C.) and (possibly) Sulla are mentioned. They therefore belong to group (2). The three artists may have been brothers, or Hagesandros may be the father of the others. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. 86 attributes to him portraits of 'feminae nobiles.'

## (b) The Sculptors of the Farnese Bull.

Date.-Hiller v. Gärtringen (Ath. Mitth. 1894, 37 ff.) publishes an inscription from Magnesia on the Maeander which reads
 imperial period. If the father of the artist be identified with the sculptor of the bull, that work must be dated somewhat later than the Laokoon.
286. Plin. N. H. xxxii. Asinius Pollio with char33 Pollio Asinius, ut fuit acteristic keenness and deacris uehementiae, sic quotermination resolved that his
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que spectari monumenta gallery should be an object sua uoluit. In his sunt . . . of generalinterest. Init stand Hermerotes Taurisci, non the Hermerotes of Tauriscaelatoris illius sed Tralliani . . . 34 Zethus et Amphion ac Dirce et taurus uinculumque ex eodem lapide, a Rhodo aduecta opera Apollonii et Taurisci. Parentum hi certamen de se fecere, Menecraten uideri professi, sed esse naturalem Artemidorum.
kos, not the silversmith but the sculptor of Tralles, also Zethos, Amphion, Dirke, the bull and the rope-all made from one block of marble, and transported from Rhodes, the work of Apollonios and Tauriskos. These artists occasioned a rivalry of parents, for they declared that Menekrates was nominally, but Artemidoros really, their father.

On this group, discovered in 1456 in the Thermae of Caracalla see $O v . I^{4} . B_{k}$. v. c. 3 and reff., $F . W .1402$.

Hermerotes] Busts with double heads-Hermes on the one face, Eros on the other. Cp. Hermathena.

Taurisci] On Tauriskos as a painter v. Brunn, K. G. $\mathrm{II}^{2}$ 193, $1^{2} 330$.
parentum hi certamen] This is merely a rhetorical way of expressing the fact that their adoptive father's name was Mene-



## (c) Aristonidas.

Date.-The inscription of his son Mnasitimos (Löwy 197) belongs to the earlier group mentioned above.
267. Plin. N. H. xxxiv. The artist Aristonidas, 140 Aristonidas artifex desiring to represent the cum exprimere uellet Atha- madness of Athamas giving
mantis furorem Learcho way to remorse after he had filio praecipitato residentem hurled his son Learchos paenitentia, aes ferrumque from the rocks, mixed iron miscuit ut robigine eius per with copper, in order that nitorem aeris relucente ex- the iron rust might suffuse primeretur uerecundiae the brightness of the copper rubor. Hoc signum exstat and portray the blush of hodie Rhodi. shame. This statue is still to be seen at Rhodes.

Athamantis furorem] A. was visited by Hera with madness and murdered his son Learchos.
aes ferrumque miscuit] The story can scarcely be true, as the amalgamation of the metals would be a matter of great difficulty, nor would it produce the desired effect. Cp. No. 225 for a similar story.

## APPENDIX II.

## DAMOPHON OF MESSENE.

Date.-Since D. worked mainly at Messene and Megalopolis, it was formerly supposed that he lived in the fourth century B.C., when the first-named city was restored and the second founded by Epameinondas ( 371 B.C.). Fragments of the works described in No. 271 were, however, discovered at Lykosura in Arkadia in 1889 (see Kavvadias, Fouilles de Lycosura, 1893, Part I). Dörpfeld (Ath. Mitth., 1893, 219 ff. ) considers that the temple to which they belonged was erected not earlier than cent. II-I B. C., and others (especially Robert) attribute the sculptures on grounds of style to the Roman period. Kavvadias defends the earlier date.
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 ... 7. $\Delta a \mu о \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о s$ ठ́́ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota$
 тарà Meбनŋทíots . . . 10.
 $\mu \alpha \lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau} \alpha$ ä $\xi \iota a$ тồ 'A $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \iota \hat{v}$

The most remarkable work in the market-place of Messene is an image of the Mother of the Gods, of Parian marble, the work of Damophon, who restored the Zeus at Olympia with the greatest possible precision when the seams of the ivory opened. This Damophon also made the statue of Artemis, called Laphria, for the Messenians. The most numerous
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and remarkable of their works of art are to be found in the Sanctuary of Asklepios. In one part stand images of the god and his children, in another those of Apollo the Muses, and Herakles, the city of Thebes, and Epameinondas, the son of Polymnis, besides Fortune and Artemis of the Dawn. The marble statues are the work of Damophon -who, so far as I know, was the only Messenian sculptor of repute-while the portrait of Epameinondas is of iron, and is the work of a different artist.
if $\Lambda$ афрía ка入ovpivn] Probably represented on coins of Messene, Num. Comm. P. iii. For the scheme associated with this title cp. No. 71.
209. Paus. vii. 23. 5 Al-
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At Aigion there is an ancient precinct of Eileithuia; the image of the goddess is clothed from head to foot in a fine woven garment, and is made of wood, except the face, hands, and feet, which are of Pentelic marble ; one hand is extended, while the

 $\mu о ф \omega ิ \nu \tau o ́ s ~ द े \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̈ y a \lambda \mu \alpha$ 7. गท̂s Elגє८Өvías ov̉ цакрà̀




 $\phi \eta \sigma i \nu$.
from this Eileithuia is a precinct of Asklepios, containing images of Hygieia and Asklepios. On the base is inscribed an iambic verse, which states that Damophon of Messene wrought them.

סậßas] MSS. read $\delta \bar{a} \delta a$, , but the plural is used in the mythological explanation which follows in the text of Paus., and on the coins of Aigion, which seem to reproduce this work (Num. Comm. R. vi, vii), the goddess holds two torches.
 Aigion, Num. Comm. R. ix-xi.
270. Paus. viii. 3 I. 1 tò
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At the opposite or western end of the colonnade is an enclosure sacred to the great Goddesses. Before the entry are represented in relief on the one side Artemis, on the other Asklepios and Hygieia. Of the great Goddesses, Demeter is made entirely of marble, while the Saviour, so far as her garments are concerned. is of wood; each figure is, I suppose, about fifteen feet in height. Damophon of Messene made
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the statues, as well as the small female figures which stand before them, clothed in tunics reaching to the ankles, and bear each a basket full of flowers on her head. They are said to be the daughters of Da mophon; but those who refer them to a divine origin believe that they represent Athena and Artemis gathering flowers with Persephone. Beside Demeter stands Herakles, about a cubit in height; this He rakles is stated by Onomakritos, in his poems, to be one of the so-called Idaian Daktyloi. Before them stands a table, on which are wrought in relief two Seasons, Pan holding a pipe, and Apollo playing the lyre. There is an inscription relating to them, which states that theyare amongst the first of the gods. Nymphs are also represented on the table; there is Neda carrying the infant Zeus, and Anthrakia, also an Arkadian nymph, hold-

кai 'Aфpooit $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}$ iєpóy' . . 6. ing a torch, and Hagno áyá入 $\mu a \tau a$ ठè è $\nu \tau \hat{Q} \nu \quad \nu a \hat{e} \Delta a \mu 0-\quad$ with a pitcher in one hand
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bears a pitcher, from which water, no doubt, is supposed to be flowing. There is also a sanctuary of Aphrodite within the precinct of the great Goddesses. Damophon made the images in the temple; there is a Hermes of wood, and a wooden image of Aphrodite, which also has hands, face, and feet of marble. The goddess received the surname of Machanitis.

At Megalopolis. For akrolithic sculpture in the fourth century, cp. No. 216.

ミóreepa] The Arkadian appellation of Persephone.
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The sanctuary of Despoina is four stades distant from Akakesion. The images of the goddesses themselves, Despoina and Demeter, and the throne whereon they are seated, and the footstool beneath their feet, are all of one block; and no part of the


 тà $\pi a ́ v \tau a ~$ è $\sigma \tau i v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \lambda i ́ \theta o s . ~$

 ратоs $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma เ \nu$ av̉тòv $\grave{\epsilon} \xi \in v \rho \in ⿺ ิ \nu$


 тò 'А $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$















 ката́кєเтає ки́шข, oia؛ ӨПрєúvєข





decoration, either of their garments, or of the throne itself, is made of a separate block, or fastened with clamp or solder, but the whole is one block. This block was not imported, but (as they say) they found it by digging a hole within the precinct at a spot indicated by a vision. Each of the images is about equal in size to that of the Great Mother at Athens ; they also are the work of Damophon. Demeter bears a torch in her right hand, while she has laid the left on Despoina; Despoina bears a sceptre and ' cista,' as it is called, in her lap; with one hand-the right-she holds the 'cista.' On each side of the throne is a figure; beside Demeter stands Artemis, clad in a deer-skin, with a quiver on her shoulder; in one hand she holds a torch, in the other two snakes; beside Artemis lies a bitch, like those used in hunting. Close to the image of Despoina stands
 каì єival тต̂v Tเтávตv калоv- in full armour; the attend$\mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ кaì $\tau \grave{\nu} v$ "Avvtov . . . ants of the temple say that 6. . . . $\tau \grave{a}$ ठ̀è ès Kov́p $\quad$ tas, Despoina was reared by
 $\pi \epsilon \pi о i \not \eta \nu \tau a l$, кaì $\tau a ̀$ és Kopú- the number of the Titans, ßavtas $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \epsilon$ 'vovs $\epsilon \pi i \tau o \hat{v}$, as they are called. The $\beta a \dot{a} \theta \rho o v . . . \tau \grave{a}$ és tovíovs legends of the Kouretes, $\pi a \rho i ́ \eta \mu \iota$ є̀ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$. who are represented beneath the images, and of the Korybantes, who are wrought in relief on the base, I omit, although I know them.

тîs M ${ }_{\eta \tau \rho o ́ s] ~ B y ~ A g o r a k r i t o s, ~ N o . ~}^{136}$ ad fin.
The temple described was discovered (at Lykosura in Arkadia) in 1889. The sculptures preserved, including the heads of Demeter, Artemis, and Anytos, are published by Kavvadias (Fouilles de Lycosura, Athens, 1893). See also Ov. II ${ }^{4} \cdot 487 \mathrm{ff}$.

## THE END.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Poet. 1448 a, 5, 1450 a, 26 ; Pol. v (viii). 1340 a, 35.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For other possible cases cf. Urlichs, Ueber griechische Kunstschriftsteller, pp. 34 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is assumed by Preller, who assigns Fr. 21-23 to the work.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See §4, Nos. 87, 125, and the collection of passages in Brzoska, De Canone decem oratorum, pp. 81 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the authors quoted by Susemihl, Geschichte der griechisi hen Litteratur in der Alexandrinerzeit, ii. 485, note 110 , and 675, additional note on chap. $x x$, pp. 521-523.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ His History of his own Times in thirty-one books is lost.

[^4]:    ' Sculpture is rendered by 'toreutice,' on which use see Nos. 119, 160 and notes.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ See especially Gurlitt, Ueber Pausanias (1890) ; Heberdey, Die Reisen des Pausanias (1894).

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ That Varro is quoted, as it were, incidentally does not prove that he was the authority only for the sentence containing his name. Furtwängler compares a similar quotation from Cato in xvii. 86.

[^7]:    
    
     єข่реі́刀
     'Aptásyn.]

[^8]:    Ageladae . . . disoipulum] Possible, but not very probable, since the similar statement in regard to Polykleitos (No. 160) cannot be true.

[^9]:    'Atrol $\lambda \omega$ nàrau] A. was a colony of Corinth on the coast of Epiros, almost opposite Korkyra. The victory over the Abantes of Euboia and capture of Thronion probably took place about 43 I B. C.

