







A

SERMON

Preached at

White-Hall,

April the 4th, 1679.

By JOHN TILLOTSON D. D. Dean of Canterbury, and Chaplain in Ordinary to His Majesty.

Published by His Pajesties special Command.

Thai: Tiennes. 1679.

· LONDON,

Printed for Brabazon Aylmer, at the three Pigeons over against the Royal Exchange in Cornkil: And William Rogers, at the Maiden-head over against St. Dunstan's Church in Fleetstreet. MDC LXX IX.

D X 1

1

SERMON

1 100017

क्षेत्रकारी राष्ट्रकारी, राष्ट्रक

By Jo at Theorem D. De Danie Centrum, and Caspinia in Ordina, to the assign.

Language I to the and the control of the market

LONDON

Principle of the management of the state of

SERMON

Preached at

WHITE-HALL

April the 4th, 1679.

i John iv.i.

Beloved, believe not every Spirit, but try the Spirits whether they are of God: because many false Prophets are gone out into the World.

His caution and counsel was given upon occasion of the false Prophets and Teachers that were risen up in the Church, who endeavoured to feduce men from the true Doctrine of the Gospel delivered by the Apostles of our Lord and Saviour. And these teaching contrary things could not both be from God and therefore St. John calls upon A 2 Chri

Christians to examin the Doctrines and Pretences of those new Teachers; whether they were from God or not. Believe not every Spirit; that is, not every one that takes upon him to be inspired and to be a Teacher come from God: But try the Spirits; that is, examine those that make this pretence, whether it be real or not; and examin the Doctrines which they bring: because there are many Impostors abroad in the World.

This is the plain sence of the Words: In which there are contained these four Propositions.

First, That men may, and often do, falsly pretend to Inspiration. And this is the Reason upon which the Apostle grounds this Exhortation: Because many false Prophets are gone out into the World, therefore we should try who are true, and who are false.

Secondly, We are not to believe every one that pretends to be inspired, and to teach a Divine Doctrine: This follows upon the former: because men may falsly pretend to Inspiration, therefore we are not to believe every one that makes this pretence. For any man that hath but considence enough, and conscience little enough, may pretend pretend to come from God. And if we admit all pretences of this kind, we lie at the mercy of every crafty and confident man, to be led by

him into what delusions he pleaseth.

Thirdly, Neither are we to reject all that pretend to come from God. This is sufficiently implied in the Text; for where the Apostle says, believe not every Spirit, he supposeth we are to believe some; and when he saith, try the Spirits whether they be of God, he supposeth some to be of God, and that those which are so, are to be believed. These three Observations are so plain that I need only to name them, to make way for the

Fourth, Which I principally designed to insist upon from these Words. And that is this, That there is some way to discern mere pretenders to Inspiration, from those who are truly and Divinely inspired: And this is necessarily implied in the Apostles bidding us to try the Spirits whether they are of God. For it were in vain to make any trial, if there be no way to discern between pretended and real

Inspirations.

Now the handling of this will give occasion to two very material Enquiries, and useful to be

So and the diposition at a real

resolved.

7000

Joseph Doctrines: Inthose which really are from God, and those which lonly pretend to

II. To whom this judgment of discerning doth

I. J. How we may differn between true and counterfeit Doctrines and Revelations? For the clearing of this I shall lay down these following Propositions.

That Reason is the faculty whereby Revelations are to be discerned; for to use the phrase in the Text, it is that whereby we are to judg what Spirits are of God, and what not be a For all Revelation from God supposeth us to be men, and to be indued with Reason; and therefore it does not create new Faculties in us, but propounds new Objects to that Faculty which was in us before. Whatever Doctrines God reveals to men, are propounded to their Understandings, and by this Faculty we are to examin all Doctrines which pretend to be from God, and upon examination to judg whether there be reason

to receive them as Divine, or to reject them as Impostures. More hand and reduced the

2. All supernatural Revelation supposeth the truth of the Principles of Natural Religion. We must first be assured that there is a God, before we can know that he hath made any Revelation of himsfels: and we must know that all his Words are true, otherwise there were no sufficient reason to believe the Revelations which he makes to us: and we must believe his Authority over us, and that he will reward our obedience to his Laws, and punish our breach of them; otherwise there would neither be sufficient obligation nor encouragement to Obedience. These, and many of their things, are supposed to be true, and naturally known to us, antecedently to all supernaturally known to us, antecedently to all supernaturally known to us, antecedently to all supernaturally

force with usage stady paragrami of an Bomb vl and Religions must necessarily be governed by the Principles of Natural Religions that is, by those apprehensions which men naturally have of the Divine perfections, and by the clear Notions of good and evil, which are imprinted upon our Natures. Because we have no other way to judg what is worthy of God

ral Revelation, otherwise the Revelations of God would fignify nothing to us, nor be of any

God and credible to be believed by him, and what not, but by the natural notions which we have of God, and of his effential perfections: which, because we know him to be immutable, we have reason to believe he will never contradict. And by these Principles likewise, we are to interpret what God hath revealed; and when any doubt ariseth concerning the meaning of any divine Revelation (as that of the Holy Scriptures) we are to govern our selves in the interpretation of it, by what is most agreeable to those natural Notions which we have of God, and we have all the reason in the World to reject that sence which is contrary thereto. For instance, when God is represented in Scripture as having a humane shape, eyes, ears and hands, the Notions which men naturally have of the divine Nature and Perfections do sufficiently direct us to interpret these expressions in a sence worthy of God, and agreeable to his perfection: And therefore it is reasonable to understand them as rather spoken to our capacity, and in a Figure, than to be litterally intended. And this will proportionably hold in many other cases. other cases. with the first of the sales of the

4. Nothing ought to be received as a Revelation from God which plainly contradicts the Principles of Natural Religion, or overthrows the certainty of them. For instance, it were in vain to pretend a Revelation from God, That there is no God, because this is a contradiction in terms. So likewise to pretend a command from God, That we are to hate and despise him; because it is not credible that God should require any thing of Reasonable Creatures so unsuitable to their Natures, and to their Obligations to him: Besides, that such a Law as this does tacitely involve a contradiction; becaule upon such a supposition, to despise God would be to obey him; and yet to obey him is certainly to honour him. So that in this case to honour God, and to despile him, would be the same thing, and equal contempts of him. In like manner, it would be vain to pretend any Revelation from God, That there is no life after this, nor rewards and punishments in another World: because this is contrary to those natural apprehenfions which have generally possest mankind; and would take away the main force and fanction of the divine Laws. The like may be faid, concerning any pretended Revelation from God, which evidently contradicts those natural Notions

Notions which men have of good and evil; as, That God should command; or allow Sedition and Rebellion, Perfidiousness and Perjury; because the practile of thele would be apparently destructive of the peace and happinels of Mankind; and would naturally bring confusion into the World: But God is not the God of Confusion, but of Order, which St. Paul appeals to as a Principle natural. ly known. Upon the same account nothing ought to be entertained as a Divine Revelation which overthrows the Principles of natural Religion; because that would take away the certainty of Divine Revelation it self, which supposeth the truth of those Principles. For instance, whoever pretends any Revelation that brings the Providence of God into question, does by that very thing. make such a Revelation questionable. For if God take no care of the World, have no concernment for humane affairs, why should we believe that he makes any Revelation of his Will to men? And by this Principle Moses will have false Prophets to be tried: Deut. 13. 1. If there arise among you a Prophet, and giveth thee a sign or wonder, and the sign or the wonder come to passibereof he spake unto thee, saying, Let us go after other Gods, and let us ferve them; thou shalt not bearken unto. the. of crobs

the words of that Prophet: And he gives the reason of this, ver. 5. because he hath spoken unto you to turn you away from the Lord your God, which brought you out of the Land of Egypt. Here is a case wherein a falle Prophet is supposed to work a true Miracle, to give credit to his Doctrine; (which in 6 ther cases the Scripture makes the sign of a true Prophet), but yet in this case he is to be rejected as an Impostor: Because the Doctrine the teacheth, would draw men off from the worship of the true God, who is naturally known, and had manifelted himself to the people of Ifrael in fo miraculous a manner, by bringing them out of the Land of Egypt. So that a Miracle is not enough to give credit to a Prophet who teacheth any thing contrary to that natural Notion which men have, That there is but one God, who only ought to be worshipped.

Trine and Revelation, without good evidence that it is so that is, without some Argument sufficient to satisfy a prudent and considerate man. Now supposing there be nothing in the matter of the Revelation that is evidently contrary to the Principles of Natural Religion, nor to any former Revelation which hath already received a

T-357 317

greater and more folemn attestation from God) Miracles are owned by all Mankind to be a fufficient Testimony to any Person, or Doctrine, that they are from God. This was the Testimony which God gave to Moses to Satisfy the people of Israel that he had sent him; Exod. 4. 1,2. Moles faid. They will not believe me, nor hearken unto my voice; for they will say, The Lord hath not appeared unto thee. Upon this God endues him with a power of Miracles, to be an evidence to them, that they may believe that the God of their Fathers, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob hath appeared unto thee. And all along in the Old Testament, when God sent his Prophets to make a new Revelation, or upon any strange and extraordinary message; he always gave credit to them by some Sign or Wonder which they foretold or wrought. And when he sent his Son into the World, he gave Testimony to him by innumerable great and unquestionable Miracles, more and greater than Moses and all the Prophets had wrought. And there was great Reason for this; because our Saviour came not only to publish a new Religion to the World, but to put an end to that Religion which God had instituted before. And now that the Gospel hath had the confirmation of such Miracles

cles as never were wrought upon any other occasion, no Evidence inferior to this can in reason controul this Revelation, or give credit to any thing contrary to it. And therefore though the false Prophets and Antichrists, foretold by our Saviour, did really work Miracles, yet they were so inconsiderable in comparison of our Saviours, that they deserve no credit in opposition to that Revelation which had so clear a Testimony given to it from Heaven, by Miracles, besides all other concurring Arguments to confirm it.

6. And Lastly, No Argument is sufficient to prove a Dostrine or Revelation to be from God, which is not clearer and stronger than the Difficulties and Objections against it: Because all Assent is grounded upon. Evidence, and the strongest and clearest evidence always carries it: But where the evidence is equal on both sides, that can produce nothing but a suspence and doubt in the mind whether the thing be true or not. If Moses had not constitted Pharaoh's Magicians by working Miracles which they could not work, they might reasonably have disputed it with him who had been the true Prophet: But when he did works plainly above the power of their Magick and the Devil.

41

to do, then they submitted, and acknowledged that there was the Finger of God. So likewise, though a person work a Miracle (which ordinarily is a good evidence that he is sent by God) yet if the Doctrine he brings be plainly contrary to those natural Notions which we have of God, this is a better objection against the truth of his Doctrine than the other is a proof of it; as is plain in the case which Moses puts, Deut. 13. which I mentioned before.

Upon the same account no man can reasonably believe the Doctrine of Transubstantiation to be revealed by God: because every man hath as great evidence that Transubstantiation is false, as any man can pretend to have that God hath revealed any such thing. Suppose Transubstantiation to be part of the Christian Doctrine, it must have the same confirmation with the whole, and that is. Miracles: But of all Doctrines in the world it is peculiarly incapable of being proved by a Miracle. For if a Miracle were wrought for the proof of it, the very same affurance which a man hath of the truth of the Miracle, he hath of the falshood of the Doctrine, that is, the clear evidence of his senses for both, For that there is a Miracle wrought to prove, that

that what he sees in the Sacrament is not bread but the body of Christ, he hath only the evidence of his senses; and he hath the very same evidence to prove, that what he sees in the Sacrament is not the body of Christ, but bread. So that here ariseth a new controversy, whether a man should believe his senses giving testimony against the Doctrine of Transubstantiation, or bearing testimony to the Miracle which is wrought to confirm that Doctrine: For there is just the same evidence against the truth of the Doctrine, which there is for the truth of the Miracle. So that the Argument for Transubstantiation, and the Objection against it, do just ballance one another; and where the weights in both Scales are equal, it is impossible that the one should weigh down the other: and consequently Transubstantiation is not to be proved by a Miracle; for that would be, to prove to a man by something that he fees, that he does not see what he sees.

And thus I have endeavoured, as briefly and clearly as I could, to give fatisfaction to the first Enquiry I propounded, viz. How we may discern between true and counterfeit Revelations

family one one of the control of the

and Doctrines? I proceed now to the

- II. To whom this judgment of Discerning does ap-H. pertain. Whether to Christians in general, or to some particular Person, or Persons, authorised by God to judge for the rest of mankind! by whose judgment all men are concluded and bound up. And this is an enquiry of no Imall Importance; because it is one of the most fundamental Points in difference between Us and the Church of Rome. And however in many particular Controversies, as concerning Tran-Substantiation, the Communion in one kind, the Service of God in an unknown Tongue; the business of Indulgences, the Invocation of Saints, the Worship of Images, they are not able to offer any thing that is fit to move a reasonable and considerate man; yet in this Controversy, concerning the Judge of Controversies, they are not destitute of some specious appéarance of Reason which deserves to be weighed and considered. Therefore that we may examine this matter to the bottom, I shall do these three things.
 - 1. Lay down some Cautions and Limitations whereby we may understand how far the generality of Christians are allowed

to judg in matters of Religion.

- 2. I shall represent the grounds of this Principle.
- 3. Endeavour to satisfy the main Objection of our Adversaries against it: And likewise to shew, that there is no such reason and necessity for an universal infallible Judg as they pretend.

I. I shall lay down some Cautions and Limitations, by which we may understand how far the generality of Christians are allowed to judg.

in matters of Religion.

First, Private Persons are only to judg for themselves, and not to impose their Judgment upon others, as if they had any Authority over them. And this is reasonable, because if it were otherwise, a Man would deprive others of that liberty which he assumes to himself, and which he can claim upon no other account, but because it belongs to others equally with himself.

Secondly, This liberty of judging is not so to be understood as to take away the necessity and use of Guides and Teachers in Religion. Nor can this be denied to be a reasonable limitation;

C because

because the knowledge of revealed Religion is not a thing born with us, nor ordinarily supernaturally infuled into men; but is to be learned, as other things are. And if it be to be learned, there must be some to teach and instruct others: And they that will learn, must be modest and humble; and in those things, of which they are. no competent Judges, they must give credit to their Teachers, and trust their skill: For instance, every unlearned man is to take it upon the credit of those who are skilful, That the Scriptures are truly and faithfully translated; and for the understanding of obscure Texts of Scripture, and more difficult points in Religion, he is to rely upon those, whose proper business and employment it is to apply themselves to the understanding of these things. For in these cases every man is not capable of judging himself, and therefore he must necessarily trust others: And in all other things he ought to be modest; and unless it be in plain matters, which every man can judg of, he ought rather to distrust himself than his Teacher: 2. 2. 1 (2009)

And this respect may be given to a Teacher without either supposing him to be infallible, or making an absolute resignation of my judgment

נורביינו ,

to him. A man may be a very able Teacher (suppose of the Mathematicks) and fit to have the respect which is due to a Teacher, though he be not infallible in those Sciences: and because Infallibility is not necessary to such a Teacher, it is helther necessary nor convenient that I should absolutely refign up my Judgment to him For though I have realon to credit him, within the compass of his Art, in things which I do not - know, I am not therefore bound to believe him in things plain contrary to what I and all mankind do certainly know. For example, if upon pretence of his skill in Arithmetick; which I ram learning of him, he should tell me, That twice two do not make four, but five; though I believed him to be the best Mathematician in the World, yet I cannot believe him in this thing: Nor is there reason I should; because I did not come to learn this of him, but knew as much of that before as he or any man else could tell me. The cale is the same in matters of Religion; in which there are somethings so plain, and lie follevel to all capacities, that every man is almost equally judg of them: As I shall have occasion farther to shew by and by.

Day W

" Thurs

Thirdly, Neither does this liberty of judging exempt men from a due submission and obedience to their Teachers and Governours. Every man is bound to obey the lawful Commands of his Governours: and what by publick consent and Authority is determined and established, ought not to be gainfaid by private Persons, but upon very clear evidence of the falshood or unlawfulness of it. And this is every mans duty, for the maintaining of Order, and out of regard to the Peace and Unity of the Church; which is not to be violated upon every scruple and frivolous pretence: And when men are perverse and disobedient, Authority is Judg, and may restrain and punish them.

fourthly, Nor do I fo far extend this Liberty of judging in Religion, as to think every man fit to dispute the Controversies of Religion. A great part of people are ignorant, and of so mean capacity, as not to be able to judg of the force of a very good Argument, much less of the issue of a long Dispute: and such persons ought not to lengage in disputes of Religion; but to beg God's direction, and to rely upon their Teachers; and above all to live up to the plain dictates of natural Light, and the clear Commands of God's

Word.

Word; and this will be their best security. And if the providence of God have placed them under fuch Guides as do seduce them into Error, their Ignorance is invincible, and God will not condemn them for it, so long as they sincerely endeavour to do the will of God so far as they know it. And this being the case of many, especially in the Church of Rome, where Ignorance is so industriously cherished, I have so much charity as to hope well concerning many of them: And seeing that Church teacheth and enjoins the people to worship Images, it is in some fence charitably done of them not to let them know the fecond Commandment, that they may not be guilty of finning against so plain a Law. Having premised these Cautions, I proceed in. orthe in will as your little our it is not than

this Principle of our Religion, viz. That we allow private persons to judg for themselves in matters of Religion.

Joseph all in the deline of the land of the land of

First; Because many things in Religion, estpecially those which are most necessary to be believed and practised; are so plain that every.

.

man of ordinary capacity, after competent instruction in matters of Religion (which is always to be supposed) can as well judg of them for himself, as any mans or company of men in the - world can judg for him. Because in these he hath a plain Rule to go by, Natural Light and clear Revelation of Scripture. And this is no new Principle of the Protestantsy but most expressy owned by the antient Hathers it Whatever things are enecessary, are plain, saith St. Chrysostom. All things are plainly contained in Scripture which concern faith and a good life, faith St. Austin. And nothing rucan be more reasonable than that those things which are plain to every man should be left to every man's judgment For every man can judg of what is plain; of evident Truth and Falshood, Vertue and Vice; of Doctrines and Laws plainly delivered in Scripture, if we believe any thing to be fo, which it is next to madness to deny. I will refer it to no man's judgment upon earth to determin for me, Whether there be a God or not? Whether Murder and Perjury be Sins? Whether it be not plain in Scripture, That Jesus Christ is the Soul of God, That he became man, and died for us, and rose again.

again. So that there is no need of a Judg in these cases. Nor can I possibly believe any man to be so absolutely infallible, as not to call his infallibility into question, if he determins any thing contrary to what is plain and evident to all mankind. For if he should determin that there is no God, or that he is not to be worshipped, or that he will not punish and reward men, or, which is the case that Bellarmine puts, that Virtue is Vice, and Vice Virtue; he would hereby take away the very soundation of Religion; and how can I look upon him any longer as a Judg in matters of Religion, when there can be no such thing as Religion if he have judged and determined right.

Secondly, The Scripture plainly allows this liberty to particular and private Perfons to judg for themselves. And for this I need go no farther than my Text, which bids men try the Spirits whether they be of God. I do not think this is spoken only to the Pope or a General Council, but to Christians in general; for to these the Apostle writes. Now if St. John had be lieved that God had constituted an infallible Judg in his Church, to whose Sentence

and Determination all Christians are bound to submit, he ought in all reason to have referred Christians to him for the tryal of Spirits, and not have left it to every man's private judgment to examine and to determine these things. But it seems St. Paul was likewife of the same mind; and though he was guided by an infallible Spirit, yet he did not expect that men should blindly submit to his Doctrine: Nay, so far is he from that, that he commends the Bereans for that very thing for which I dare lay the Church of Rome would have check'd them most severely, namely, for searching the Scriptures to see whether those things which the Apostles delivered were so or not: This liberty St. Paul allowed; and though he was inspired by God, yet he treated those whom he taught like men. And indeed, it were a hard case that a necessity of believing divine Revelations, and rejecting Impostures, should be imposed upon Christians; and yet the liberty of judging, whether a Doctrine be from God or not, should be taken away from them. Thirdly,

Jbirdly of Our Adversaries themselves are forced to grant that which in effect is as much as we contend for Forthough they deny a liberty of judging in particular points of Religion, yet they are forced to grant mentaliberty of judging upon the whole. When they of the Church of Rome would perswade a Fam, or a Heathen; to become a Christian; or a Hereticki (as they are pleased to call us.) to come over to the Communion of their Church, and offer Arguments to induce them thereto; they do by this very thing, whether they will or no, make that man Judge which is the true Church, sand theatrue Religion. To Because it would be ridiculous to perswades a man to turn to their Religion, and to urge him with Reasons to do so, and yet to deny him the use of his own judgment whether their Realons be fufficient to move him to make fuch a change. Now, as the Apostle reasons in another case, If men be fit to judge for themselves in folgreat and important a matter as the choice of their Religion, why should they belthought univerthy to judge in lesser matters? They tell us indeed that a man may use his judgment in the choice of his Religion; but when he hath 11 5, once

once chosen, he is then for ever to relign up his judgment to their Church : But what to rerable reason can any man give, why a man should be fit to judge upon the whole, and yet unfit to judge upon particular Points? especially if it be confidered suthar no man can make a discreet judgment of any Religion, before he hath examined the particular Doctrines of it, and made a judgment veoncerning them. Is it credible to that God Thould give a man judgment in the most fundamental and important matter of all wiz. to diftern the true Religion, and the true Church, from the falfe; for no other end but to enable him to chuse once for all to whom the should refight and inflave his judgment for ever? which is just as reasonable as if one should say, That God had given a man eyes for no other end, but to look out once for all, and to pitch upon a discreet person to lead him about blindfold all the days of his life. I come now to the and important a matter as the choice of oncic

answer the main Objection of our Adversaries against this Principle; and likewise to shew that there is no such Reason and necessity for an

an universal Intallible Judge, as they prefend. Now their great Objection is this If every man may judge for himself, there will be noot thing but confusion in Religion, there will be no end of Controversies: so that an universal infallible Judge is necessary, and with out this God had not made sufficient provision for the affurance of men's Faith, and for the peace and unity of his Church: Or, as it is expressed in the Canon Law, aliter Dominus non videretur fuisse discrettes, otherwise our Lord had not seem'd to be discreet. How plausible soever this Objection may appear, I do not despair but it men will lay aside prejudice; and impart tially confider things, to make it abundantly evident, that this ground is not sufficient to found an Infallible Judge upon and there's fore in answer to it, I desire these following particulars may be confidered: Joseph of like W

First, That this which they say, rather proves what God should have done according to their fancy, than what he hath really and actually done. My Text expressly bids Christians to try the Spirits, which to any man's sense does imply that they may judge of these matters: But the Church of Rome says they

- Leves

 D_2

may

may not; because if this liberty were permitted, God had not ordered things wisely, and for the best, for the peace and unity of his Church. But, as the Apostle says in another case, What art thou, O man, that objectest ac gainst God? will open it about the last of the

Secondly of If this Reasoning be good; we may as well conclude that there is an universalt infallible Judge, set over the whole World in all Temporal matters, to whose Authority all mankind is bound to submit. Because this is as necessary to the peace of the World, as the other is to the peace of the Church. And men furely are every whit as aprito be obstinate and perverse about matters of Temporal Right; as about matters of Faith. But it is evident in fact and experience that there is no fuch univerfal Judge, appointed by God over the whole World, to decide all Cases of temporal Right; and for want of him the World is fain to thift as well as it can. But now a very acute and scholastical man that would argue that God must needs have done whatever he fancies convenient for the World should be done, might by the very same way of Reason. ing conclude the necessity of an universal infalmay

infallible Judge in Civil matters, as well as in matters of Religion: And their aliter Dominus non videretur fuisse discretus, otherwise God had not seem'd to be discreet, is every whit as cogent, and as civil, in the one Cafe as the other.

Thirdly, There is no need of such a Judge, to assure men in matters of Religion; Because men be sufficiently certain without him. I hope it may be certain and clear enough That there is a God; and That his Providence governs the World; and That there is another Life after this, though neither Pope nor Council had ever declared any thing about these matters: And for Revealed Doctrines, we may be certain enough of all that, is necessary, if it be true which the Fathers tell us, That all things necessary are plainly revealed in the Holy Scriptures. 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11

Fourthly, An infallible Judge, if there were one, is no certain way to end Controversies, and to preserve the unity of the Church; unlesseit were likewise infallibly certain, That there is such a Judge, and, Who be is. For till men were fure of both these, there would still be a Controversie, whether there be an infallible Judge, and who he is. -10

And

And if it be true which they tell us, That without an infallible Judge Controversies cannot be ended, then a Controversie concerning an infallible Judge can never be ended. And there are two Controversies actually on foot about an infallible Judge; One, Whether there be an infallible Judge or not? which is a Controversie between Us and the Church of Rome: and the other, Who this infallible Judge is? which is a Controversie among themselves, which could never yet be decided? And yet till it be decided, Infallibility, if they had it, would be of no use to them for the ending of Controversies. Fifthly, There is no fuch absolute need; as is pretended, of determining all Controversies in Religion. If men would divest themselves of prejudice and interest; as they ought, in matters of Religion, the necessary things of Religion are plain enough, nand men would generally agree well enough about them: But if men will fuffer themselves to be byassed by these, they would not hearken to an infallible Judge, nif there were one; or they would find out fome way or other to call his Infallibility into question. And as for doubtfull and lesser matters in Religion, charity and mutual for-1. DOIA

the Church as peaceable and happy, as perhaps it was ever designed to be in this World,

without absolute unity in Opinion. E will a si

Sixthly and Lastly, Whatever my be the inconveniences of mens judging for themselves in Religion, yet taking this Principle with the Cautions I have given, I doubt not to make it appear, that the inconveniences are far the least on that fide. The present condition of humane Nature doth not admit of any constitution of things, whether in Religion or Civil matters, which is free from all kind of exception and inconvenience: That is the best state of things which is liable to the least and fewest. If men be modest, and humble, and willing to learn, God hath done that which is fufficient for the affurance of our Faith, and for the peace of his Church, without an infallible Judge: And if men will not be so, I cannot tell what would be sufficient. I am sure there were Heresies and Schisms in the Apostles Times, when Those who governed the Church were certainly guided by an infallible Spirit. God buth appointed Guides and Teachers for us in matters of Religion, fisch w and

and if we will be contented to be instructed by them in those necessary Articles and Duties of Religion, which are plainly contained in Scripture; and to be counselled and directed by them in things that are more doubtfull and difficult, I do not see why we might not do well enough without any infallible Judge on Guide. The revise synthesis and Dent drive

But still it will be said , who shall judge what things are plain and what doubtfull? The answer to this, in my opinion, is not difficult. For if there be any thing plain in Religion, every man that hath been duly instructed in the Principles of Religion can judge of it, or else it is not plain. But there are some things in Religion so very plain, that no Guide or Judge can in reason claim that Authority over men, as to oblige them to believe or do the contrary; no, though he pretend to Infallibility; no, though he were an Apostle, though he were an Angel from heaviene S. Paul puts the case so high, Gall 1. 8. Though we or an Angel from beaven; preach any other Gospel unto you than what you bave received, let him be accurfed: which plainly supposeth that Christians may and can judge when ...] = 1:

when Doctrines are contrary to the Gospel. What? not believe an Apostle, nor an Angel from beaven, if he should teach anything evidently contrary to the plain Doctrine of the Gospel? If he should determine Virtue to be Vice, and Vice to be Virtue? No; not an Apostle, nor an Angel; because such a Doctrine as this would confound and overturn all things in Religion. And yet Bellarmine puts this very Case, and says, If the Pope should so determine, we were bound to believe him, unless

we would sin against Conscience.

I will conclude this Discourse by putting a very plain and familiar Case; by which it will appear what credit and authority is fit to be given to a Guide, and what not. Suppose I came a Stranger into England, and landing at Dover, took a Guide there to conduct me in my way to Tork, which I knew before by the Mapp to lie North of Dover: Having committed my self to him, if he lead me for two or three days together out of any plain Road, and many times over hedge and ditch, I cannot but think it strange, that in a civil and well-inhabited Country there should be no High-ways from one part of it to another:

Yet Yet

Yet thus far I submit to him, though not without some regret and impatience. But then if after this, for two or three days more he lead me directly South, and with my face full upon the Sun at Noon-day, and at last bring me back again to Dover Pere; and still bids me follow him: Then certainly no modely do's oblige a min not to dispute with his Guide, and to tell him, furely that can be no way because it is Sea. Now though he set never so bold a face upon the matter, and tell me with all the gravity and authority in the world, That it is not the Sea but dry Land under the species and appearance of Water; and that whatever my eyes tell me, having once committed my self to his guidance, I must not trust my own fenses in the case; it being one of the most dangerous sorts of Insidelity for a man to believe his own eyes rather than his faithfull and infallible Guide: All this Moves me not; but I begin to expostulate roundly with him, and to let him understand that if I must not believe what I see, he is like to be of no farther use to me; because I shall not be able, at this rate, to know whether I have a Guide, and whether I follow him, or not. In short, I tell

tell him plainly, that when I took him for my Guide, I did not take him to tell me the difference between North and South, between a Hedge and a High-way, between Sea and dry Land; all this I knew before, as well as he or any man else could tell me; but I took him to conduct and direct me the nearest way to York: And therefore after all his impertinent talk; after all his Motives of Credibility to perswade me to believe him, and all his confident sayings, which he gravely calls Demonstrations, I stand stiffly upon the shore, and leave my learned and reverend Guide to take his own course, and to dispose of himself as he pleaseth; but firmly resolved not to sollow him. And is any man to be blamed that breaks with his Guide upon these Terms?.

And this is truly the Case, when a man commits himself to the Guidance of any person or Church: If by virtue of this Authority, they will needs perswade me out of my senses, and not to believe what I see but what they say; that Virtue is Vice, and Vice Virtue, if they declare them to be so: And that because they say they are infallible, I am to receive all their Dictates for Oracles, though never so evident-

ly false and absurd in the judgment of all Mankind: In this case there is no way to be ridd of these unreasonable People, but to desire of them, since one kindness deserves another and all Contradictions are alike easie to be believed, that they would be pleased to believe that Insidelity is Faith, and that when I absolutely renounce their Authority I do yield a most persect submission and obedience to it.

Upon the whole matter, all the Revelations of God, as well as the Laws of men, go upon this prefumption that men are not stark fools; but that they will confider their Interest, and have some regard to the great concernment of their eternal falvation. And this is as much to secure men from mistake in matters of Belief, as God hath afforded to keep men from sin immatters of Practice. He hath made no effectual and infallible provision that men shall not sin; and yet it would puzzle any man to give a good Reason, why God should take more care to secure men against Errors in Belief, than against Sin and wickedness in their Lives.

I shall now only draw three or four Inferences from this Discourse, which I have made, and so conclude.

1. That

1. That it is every mans Duty, who hath ability and capacity for it, to endeavour to understand the grounds of his Religion. For to try Doctrines is to inquire into the grounds and reasons of them: which the better any man understands, the more firmly he will be established in the Truth, and be the more resolute in the day of Trial, and the better able to withstand the Arts and affaults of cunning Adversaries, and the fierce storms of Persecution. And, on the contrary that I man will foon be moved from his stediastness who never examined the grounds and Reafons of his belief. When it comes to the Trial, he that hath but little to fay for his Religion will probably neither do nor fuffer much for it.

2. That all Dostrines are vehemently to be suspected which decline Trial, and are so both to be brought into the light; which will not endure a fair Examination, but magisterially require an implicite Faith: Whereas Truth is bold and full of courage, and loves to appear openly; and is so secure and confident of her own strength, as to offer her self to the severest Trial and Examination. But to deny all liberty of Enquiry and Judgment in mat-

.

paragement to Truth that can be, and a tacite acknowledgement that she lies under some disadvantage, and that there is less to be said for her than for Error.

I have often wonder'd why the People in the Church of Rome do not suspect their Teachers and Guides to have some ill design upon them, when they do so industriously debar them of the means of Knowledge, and are so very loth to let them understand what it is that we have to fay against their Religion. For can any thing in the world be more suspicious than to perswade men to put out their eyes, upon promise that they will help them to a much better and more faithfull Guide? If any Church, any Profession of men, be unwilling their Doctrines should be exposed to Trial, it is a certain fign they know fomething by them that is faulty, and which will not endure the light. This is the account which our Saviour gives us in a like Cafe, It was because mens deeds were evil that they loved darkness rather than light. For every one that doth evil hateth the light; neither cometh he to the light, lest his deeds should be reprowed:

ved: But he that doth the truth cometh to the light, that his deeds may be made manifest

that they are wrought in God.

3. Since Reason and Christianity allow this liberry to private persons to judge for themselves in matters of Religion, we should use this privilege with much modesty and humility, with great submission and deference to our Spiritual Rulers and Guides, whom God hath appointed in his Church. And there is very great need of this Caution, fince by experience we find this liberty fo much abufed by many to the nourishing of Pride and self-conceit, of Division and Faction; and those who are least able to judge, to be frequently the most forward and confident, the most peremptory and perverse: and instead of demeaning themselves with the submission of Learners, to assume to themselves the authority of Judges, even in the most doubtfull and disputable matters.

The Tyranny of the Roman Church over the Minds and Consciences of men is not to be justified upon any account; but nothing puts so plausible a colour upon it, as the ill use that is too frequently made of this natural

Privilege :

Privilege of mens judging for themselves in a matter of to infinite concernment, as that of their eternal happiness. But then it is to be confider'd, that the proper remedy in this Case, is not to deprive men of this Privilege, but to use the best means to prevent the abuse of it. For though the incenveniences ariling from the ill use of it may be very great, yet the mischief on the other hand is intolerable. Religion it self is liable to be abused to very bad purposes, and frequently is so; but it is not therefore best that there should be no Religion: And yet this Objection, if it be of any force and be purfued home, is every whit as strong against Religion it self, as against mens liberty of judging in matters of Religion. Nay I add farther; that no man can judiciously embrace the true Religion, unless he be permitted to judge, whether that which he embraces be the true Religion or not.

4. When upon due Trial and Examination we are well-settled and established in our Religion, let us hold fast the profession of our Faith without wavering; and not be like Children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with

with every wind of Doctrine, through the sleight of men, and the cuming craftiness of those who lie in wait to deceive. And above all, let us resolve to live according to the excellent Rules and Precepts of our holy Religion; let us heartily obey that Doctrine which we profess to believe. We, who enjoy the Protestant Religion, have all the means and advantages of understanding the Will of God, free liberty and full scope of enquiring into it, and informing our selves concerning it: We have all the opportunities we can wish of coming to the knowledge of our Duty: The Oracles of God lie open to us, and his Law is continually before our eyes; his word is nigh unto us, in our mouths, and in our hearts; (that is, we may read it and meditate upon it) that we may do it: The Key of Knowledg is put into our hands, so that if we do not enter into the Kingdom of Heaven, it is we our felves that shut our selves out. And where there is nothing to hinder us from the knowledg of our Duty, there certainly nothing can excuse us from the practice of it. For the End of all knowledg is to direct men in their duty, and effectually to engage them to the performance of it: The great business of Religion 10,15-64

ligion is, to make men truly good, and to teach them to live well. And if Religion have not this effect, it matters not of what Church any man lists and enters himself; for most certainly, he had man can be faved in none. Though a man know the right way to Heaven never so well, and be entered into it, yet if he will not walk therein, he shall never come thither: Nay, it will be an aggravation of this man's unhappiness, that he was lost in the way to Heaven, and perished in the very Road to Salvation. But if we will in good earnest apply our selves to the practice of Religion, and the obedience of God's holy Laws, his Grace will never be wanting to us to so good a purpose.

Thave not time to recommend Religion to you at large, with all its advantages. I will comprile what I have to lay in a few words, and mind them at your peril. Let that which is our great concernment be our great care, To know the Truth and to do it, To fear God and keep his Commandments. Confidering the Realonableness and the Reward of Piety and Vertue, nothing can be wifer: and confidering the mighty affiltance of God's Grace, which he is ready to afford to us, and the unspeakable satisfaction

faction and delight which is to be had in the doing of our duty, nothing can be easier: Nothing will give us that pleasure, while we live; nothing can minister that true and solid comfort to us, when we come to die: There is probably no such way for a man to be happy in this World; to be sure, there is no way but this, to escape the intolerable and endless miseries of another World.

Now God grant that we may all know, and do, in this our day, the things that belong to our peace, for his Mercies sake in Jesus Christ; To whom with the Father and the Holy Ghost be all Honour and Glory now and for ever. Amen.

There is now in the Press, a Learned Trail of the Pope's Sepremary, in Quarto; By Dr. Jure Luri of Trunty Coth Ig in Cambridge.

To be fold for Practice of A D. Service through





