

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

#### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

#### **About Google Book Search**

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/

## CONTENTS.

## SERMON I.

Of the Security of a Virtuous Courfe. Page 7

From Proveres x. 9.

He that walketh uprightly walketh furely.

SERMONII.

From PROVERBS iii. 17.

Her ways are ways of pleafantness, and all her paths are peace. She is a tree of life to them that lay hold of her; and happy is every one who receiveth her.

S E R M O N III. and IV.

Of the Goodness of God. Pages 49-68

From PSALMS XXXIV. 8.

O taste and see that the Lord is good. Blessed is the man that trusteth in him.

SERMON V.

Of the Resurrection of Lazarus.

Page 93

From JOHN zi. 43, 44.

And when he had thus spoken, he cried with a loud voice,
LAZARUS COME FORTH. And he that was dead
same forth bound hand and foot with grave clothes.
And his face was bound about with a napkin. Jesus
says to them; Loose him and let him go.

SERMO.



#### ERMON S

ON THE

SECURITY AND HAPPINES

VIRTUOUS COURSE.

GOODNESS or GOD,

AND THE RESURRECTION OF LAZARU

TO WHICH ARE ADDED,

S E R M O N S

ON THE

CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE,

As Received by the different Denominations of CHRISTIANS.

BY RICHARD PRICE, D. B. L.L.D. F.R

AND PELLOW OF THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETIE AT PHILADELPHIA AND BOSTON.

PRINTED at BOSTON, BY E. W. WELD AND W. GREENOUGH

For JOHN WEST, BOOKSELLER & STATIONER, No. Cornhill.-1794

Good . BX 9843 · P845 541 1794 Buhr Gradburg Gift Karl R. and Mark W. Frankena 12/14/98

TO THE

CONGREGATION

O F

PROTESTANT DISSENTERS.

ASSEMBLING AT THE

GRAVEL PIT MEETING HOUSE,

IN HACKNEY,

THESE DISCOURSES,

RUBLISHED AT THEIR REQUEST.

ARE DEDICATED.

► AT THEIR OBLIGED AND

AFFECTIONATE PASTOR,

Richard Price.

felves: to wink at offensive truths; and to practife unfair arts with their own minds, This is entirely inconsistent with the character of an upright man. He endeavours to be faithful to himself in all that he thinks and does, and to divest his mind of all unreasonable biasses. He is fair and honest in all his inquiries and deliberations, ready to own his mistakes, and thankful for every help to discover them. He wishes to know nothing but what is true, and to practife nothing but what is right. He is open to conviction, indifferent where he finds truth, and prepared to follow it wherever it can lead him. He is often disciplining his heart, fearthing into the principles of conduct within him, and labouring to detect his faults in order to rectify them.

Further. Uprightness includes in it candour, fairness, and honesty in all our transactions with our fellow creatures. An upright man may be depended upon in all his professions and engagements. He never, in any affair, goes beyond the limits of justice and equity. He never deceives or over reaches. He is true to his promises, and faithful to every trust reposed in him. All his gains are the gains of virtuous industry. All falsehood and lies, all low cunning and fraudulent practises are his abhorrence.—
In short; he maintains a strict regard to ve-

racity in his words, and to honour in his dealings. He adheres stedfastly in all circumstances to what he judges to be rightest; and best; and were it possible for you to look through his soul, you would see the love of goodness predominant within him. You would see benevolence and piety governing his thoughts. You would see him within the inclosure of his own breast, as honest and worthy as he is on the open stage of the world.

Such is the character of the man who walks uprightly. I am next to shew you

how furely he walks.

In order to acquire a just notion of this. it is proper we should take into consideration, first, the fafety which such a person enjoys with respect to the happiness of the present life. Nothing is plainer than that, if we regard only our temporal interest, an upright course is the safest course. In order to be fensible of this, you should think of the troubles which men very often bring upon themselves by deviating from integrity. is very difficult to go on for any time in difhonesty and falsehood, without falling into: perplexity and distress. A man in such a course suspected every body, and is suspected. by every body. He wants the love and efteem of his fellow creatures. He is obliged to be continually on his guard, and to use

arts to evade law and justice. He walks in the dark along a crooked path full of snares and pits.—On the contrary. The path of uprightness is straight and broad. It is smooth, open, and easy. He that walks in it walks in the light, and may go on with resolution and considence, inviting rather than avoiding the inspection of his fellow creatures. He is apprehensive of no dangers. He is afraid of no detection. He is liable to none of the causes of shame and disgrace. It is an advantage to him to be observed and watched. The more narrowly his conduct is examined, the more he will be loved and respected.

A person, for instance, who, in the affairs of trade, deviates from truth and honour, is likely to fink into great calamities. Want, and trouble, and infamy often prove his lot. Most of us have been witnesses of this. many instances are there of persons who, forfaking the plain path of uprightness, have entangled themselves beyond the possit ility of being extricated, and involved their families in the deepest misery; but who, probably, had they been honest, would have efcaped every difficulty, and passed through life eafily and happily. We know not, indeed, what we do when we turn aside from virtue and righteousness. Such a train of consequences may follow as will issue in the

loss of all that is valuable. It is past doubt, that, in every profession and calling, the way of uprightness is the most free from perplexity. It is the way of peace and satisfaction. He that keeps in it will at least avoid the pain of a reproaching conscience. He is sure of enjoying his own approbation; and it may be expected that his worldly affairs will go on smoothly, quietly, and comfortably.

This puts me in mind of defiring you to confider particularly, that an upright conduct is commonly the most sure way to obtain success in our worldly concerns. You will observe, that I say it is the most fure way; not that it is the Jhortest. There are many more expeditious ways of getting mo-ney and acquiring fortunes. He that will violate the rules of justice, or break the laws of his country, or not scruple to take false oaths, may eafily get the start of an upright man, and rife in a little time to wealth and preferment. It is often in a man's power, by a base action, to introduce himself at once into ease and plenty. But wretched are those men who secure any worldly advantages by fuch methods. There is a canker at the root of their successes and riches. What they gain is unspeakably less than what they lose. It is attended with inward anguish, with the curse of heaven, and in-

conceivable

conceivable future danger.—But though it must be thus acknowledged, that there are shorter ways to profit and success than by walking uprightly, there are certainly none so sure. Universal experience has proved that (agreeably to a common and excellent maxim) "honesty is the best policy." It may be slow in its operations; and, for this reason, many persons have not patience enough for it. But it is in the end generally certain. An upright man must recommend himself by degrees to all that know him. He has always the greatest credit, and the most unembarrassed affairs. There are none who are not disposed to place a. confidence in him, and who do not choose to deal with him. The difadvantages therefore, already mentioned, under which he. labours, are counterbalanced by many great. advantages. He may not be able to thrive fo fast, nor perhaps so much as others. He is obliged to deny himself the gains which others make by the wrong practices common in their trade; and, on this account, he may be under a necessity of contenting himself with small gains. But it must be confidered, that he can feldom fail of a tolerable subsistence, attended with comfort and the truest enjoyment of himself. Though his gains may be fmall, they are always fweet. He has with them an eafy conscience,

science, the blessing of God, and security against numberless grievous evils. And the smallest gains of this sort are infinitely preferable to the greatest gains that can be obtained by wrong methods.

Thus you fee that, with respect to our interest in this world, he that walketh uprightly walketh surely.——Let us next consider the security which an upright conduct gives

with respect to another world.

After this life is over we are to enter on another world. The most sceptical principles give us no fufficient reason for denying Whatever may be true of the order. and administration of nature, it must be possible that there should be a future state. And, if there is, it is highly probable, that it will be a state of much greater extent and fonger duration than the prefent. Nothing, therefore, can be of more consequence to us than to know by what means we may fecure the best condition, and the greatest safety in it: And it is not possible to doubt, but the practice of religious goodness is the proper means to be used for this purpose. If any thing is clear, it is so, that the upright and the worthy, in all events, and through every period of duration, must stand the best chance for escaping misery and obtaining happiness. That our happiness hereafter may depend on our conduct here is certain. because

because we find, in the present state, that the happiness of every successive period of human life is made to depend, in a great measure, on our conduct in the preceding periods. The happiness of mature life depends on the habits acquired and the pains taken in early life; and mature life spent in folly and vice generally makes a miferable old age. It is, therefore, very credible that a virtuous conduct may have an effect on our condition hereafter.-No one, indeed, can well carry infidelity fo far as to deny, that, if there is a future state, it is likely that the righteous will fare better in. it than the wicked. All we observe of the government of the Deity, and all that we can learn with respect to his character, leads us to believe that he must approve righteousness and hate wickedness: And, in the same proportion that he does this, he must. favour the one and discountenance the other. We see, in what lies before us of the conflitution of the world, many great evils: annexed to wickedness; and many great bleffings annexed to righteoufness; and we fee, likewise, in the one, an essential tend-ency to produce universal evil, and, in the other, an effential tendency to produce universal good. This demonstrates to us the holy disposition of the Author of nature; and what we ought to reckon upon this, that

that he will manifest this disposition more and more; and that the scheme of moral government, now begun, will be hereafter completed. To act righteously is to act like God. It is to promote the order of his cre-It is to go into his constitution of It is to follow that conscience which he has given us to be the guide of our conduct. It must, therefore, be the likeliest way to arrive at happiness, and to guard against misery under his government. The accountableness of our natures, and our necessary perceptions of excellence and good defert in virtue, demonstrate this; nor is it at all conceivable, that we do not go upon fure grounds when we draw this conchision.—But there is much more to be here faid. There are many reasons which prove, that the neglect of virtue may be followed by a dreadful punishment hereafter. presages of conscience; the concurring voice of mankind in all ages; our unavoidable apprehensions of ill desert in vice; and the distresses now produced by it, are enough to lead us to expect this. The Christian religion confirms this expectation in a manner the most awful, by teaching us that the wicked shall be turned into hell, with all that forget God; that they shall be excluded from the fociety of wife and good beings; and punished with everlasting destruction from the presence B 2

presence of the Lord and the glory of his pow-. er. It is, at least, possible this may be the truth. The arguments for a righteous government in nature, and for the truth of Christianity, have, at least, force enough to prove that it is not certain but that wickedness will produce the greatest losses and evils in another world; and that, confequently, there is a real and inconceivable, danger attending it.—Consider, now, that an upright life is a sure preservative from this danger. If all who forget God and practife iniquity are hereafter to be rejected. by the Deity, and to be configned to everlasting destruction; if, I fay, this should prove to be the truth, the good man will be fafe, and the wicked man undone. But should all that reason and Christianity teach us on this point prove a delusion; still a good man will lose nothing, and a bad man will get nothing. Nay, a good man, even in this case, will gain a great deal: For he will gain all that satisfaction which goodness generally brings with it in this life, and which vice must want.

Thus you fee what fecurity an upright man enjoys. He goes upon even and firm ground. He has on his fide all good beings; the convictions of his conscience; the order of nature; and the power of the Deity. It is impossible he should be deceiv-

ed

ed in thinking, that it is right to adhere inviolably to the laws of righteousness. Should there be that execution of Divine justice on wickedness which we have been taught to expect, he will have nothing to fear. The worst that can happen to him is better than the best that may happen to an unrighteous man. The best that wicked men generally expect is the loss of existence at death; and this is the worst that can happen to a good man. But upon the one, it will come after a life of shame, and disease, and folly; and on the other, like the pat night after a day spent in peace, and talth. and honour, and useful labour. - I need not tell you what a recommendation this is of a course of uprightness.—It is our surest guard in all events; our best shelter against evils under God's government: Safety is what every person, in the common concerns of life, values and feeks. Here alone is it to be found completely and certainly. Nothing but virtuous conduct can preserve us from the danger of God's displeasure, and of ruin after death. Without it we must fland exposed to the severest calamities that can come upon reasonable beings.

I will conclude this discourse with the fol-

lowing inferences.

First, From all I have said we learn, in the plainest manner, how much we are bound

bound in prudence to walk uprightly. This appears to be prudent if we regard only our present interest. The way in which an wpright man walks (it has been shewn) is plain and open. It is so easy to find it, that we can never fwerve from it while we retain an honest desire to keep in it. It is liable to no hazards; and it is always pleafant and joyous. More compendious ways, I have acknowledged, we may fometimes find to wealth and power; but they are full of danger, and he who forfakes integrity in order to go into them, and thus, by a shore cut, to get at worldly advantages, acts likea man who forfakes a quiet and fure path inorder to run the risk of being lost among quickfands, or of breaking his neck by go-ing over rocks and precipices. If, therefore, we love prudence, we shall not, in our temporal concerns, ever fwerve from uprightness.

But we have reason to apprehend that we shall exist in another state; and if we consider this, we shall be forced to conclude from what has been said, that the prudence of a virtuous course is greater than can be expressed. If this life is not our whole existence, some precautions ought to be used with respect to the state that is to succeed it; and the best precaution is the practice of true piety and goodness. If there is a life to come.

come, it will, in all probability, be a state of retribution, where present inequalities will be fet right, and the vicious fink into infamy and mifery. The practice of virtue is, in this case, our security. It is the image of the Deity in our fouls; and what we ought to reckon upon is, that nothing amis will ever happen to it. Let us then adhere to it in all events. Let us endeavour, in this instance, to use the same prudence that the children of the world use in their affairs. What pains will they take, and what precautions will they employ, to avoid any dangers which they foresee, or to prevent evils which may possibly come upon them!— There is a danger hanging over us, as moral agents, greater than any this world can threaten us with; a danger dreadful and unutterable; the danger of falling into the punishment of sin, and of losing eternal happiness. Were there ever so hard and expensive a method proposed to us of being fecured against this danger, it would be our wifdom cheerfully to practife it .- But true goodness affords us, not a hard and expenfive, but a cheap and easy method of being fecured against it. Walking uprightly will add to our present comfort, at the same time that it will preserve us from future danger., What is required of us, in this instance, is only to part with our follies and diseases;

and to make ourselves happy now, in order

to be fafe for ever.

All I have been faying is true, though there should be the greatest uncertainty with respect to the principles of religion. I have been all along fpeaking on the supposition of fuch an uncertainty, in order to fet before you, in a stronger light, the wisdom of being virtuous, and the folly of a finful course.—But if we will suppose that there is no such uncertainty: If we will suppose it not only possible, but probable or morally certain, that the principles of religion are true; that Christianity comes from God; and that, agreeably to its assurances, all who are now in their graves shall hereafter hear the voice of the Son of God, and come forth; those who have done good to the resurrection of life, and those who have done evil to the refurrection of damnation. If, I fay, we suppose this to be the truth, how great will the wisdom of a virtuous course appear. and how shocking the folly of wickedness

There are, probably, few speculative and inquiring men who do not sometimes find themselves in a state of dejection, which takes from them much of the satisfaction arising from their faith in very important and interesting truths. Happy, indeed, is the person who enjoys a flow of spirits so even and constant as never to have experi-

enced

enced this. Of myfelf I must say, that I have been far from being fo happy. Doubts, and difficulties have often perplexed me, and thrown a cloud over truths which, in the general course of my life, are my support and consolation. There are, however, many truths, the conviction of which I never lose.—One conviction in particular remains with me amidst all fluctuations of temper and spirits. I mean my belief of the maxim in my text, that he who walketh uprightly walketh furely. There has not been, a moment in which I have found it possible. to doubt, whether the wifest and best course I can take is to practife virtue, and to avoid guilt. Low spirits only give new force to this conviction, and cause it to make a deeper impression. Uncertainty in otherinstances creates certainty here; for the more dark and doubtful our state under God's government is, the more prudent it must be to choose that course which is the Safest.

I will only farther defire you to confider on this subject, with what serenity of mind a good man may proceed through life. Whatever is true or false, he has the consciousness of being on the safe side; and there is, in all cases, a particular satisfaction attending such a consciousness. A man who knows himself in a safe way, goes on with

composure

composure and boldness—Thus may you go on in a course of well-doing. You have none of those calamities to fear to which others are liable. If the doctrines of religion are true, you will be completely happy through the Saviour of mankind. But should they not prove true, you will not be worse off than others. I have shewn, on the contrary, that you will still be gainers.—

Your loss, in short, can be nothing.

Your gain may be infinite.—Forfake, then, every thing to follow righteoufness. Never confent to do a wrong action, or to gratify an unlawful passion. This will give you a security that is worth more than all the treasures of the earth. You may also, on all principles, entertain the apprehension that the gospel has given right information concerning the abolition of death, and the happiness reserved for the faithful, in the future kingdom of Jesus Christ. That person must have considered the arguments for Christianity very superficially, who does not see, that they amount to an evidence, which is at least sufficient to give a just ground for this apprehension; and consequently, for a hope the most animating and glorious. Let us cherish this hope; and endeavour to keep the object of it always in fight. The slightest GLIMPSE of that ETERNAL LIFE which the New Testament promifes.

promifes, is enough to elevate above this world. The bare possibility of losing it, by sinful practices, is enough to annihilate all temptations. Wherefore; let us be stedfast and immoveable, always abounding in the work of the Lord, for a smuch as we know that our labour may end in a blissful eternity; but, happen what will, CANNOT be in vain.

C

SERMON



# SERMON IL

Of the Happiness of a virtuous Course.

### 

# PROVERBS iii. 17.

HER WAYS ARE WAYS OF PLEASANTNESS, AND ALL HER PATHS ARE PEACE. SHE IS A TREE OF LIFE TO THEM THAT LAY HOLD OF HER; AND HAPPY IS EVERY ONE THAT RECEIVETH HER.

N my last discourse I represented to you the security of a virtuous course. In doing this, I was led to touch upon its tendency to make us most happy, as well as most secure, under God's government.—I shall now insist more particularly on this subject; and endeavour to give you a distinct account of the principal arguments and sacts which prove the happiness of virtue; meaning, on this occasion chiefly, its present happiness.

The

The ways of wisdom (my text fays) are ways of pleasantness; and happy is every one that receiveth her .- Previously to any examination of the actual state of mankind. we may perceive a high probability that this affertion must be true. Virtue is the image of God in the foul, and the noblest thing in the creation; and, therefore, it must be the principal ground of true happiness. the rule by which God meant that we should act; and, therefore, must be the way to the blifs for which he intended us. That Being who gave us our fense of moral obligations, must have designed that we should conform to them; and he could not defign this, and at the same time defign that we should find it most for our advantage not to conform to them. This would have been to establish an inconfiltency in the frame of nature; and acting in a manner which cannot be supposed of that Supreme power which, in every other part of nature, has discovered higher wifdom than we are able to comprehend.

But waving such rensonings, let us apply surfelves to the confideration of the actual state of mankind in this respect. And,

First, Let us consider, that by practising virtue we gratify the highest powers in our natures.—Our highest powers are, undoubtedly, our sense of moral excellence, the principle of reason and resection, benevolence

to our fellow creatures, and the love of the Deity. To practife virtue is to act in conformity to these powers, and to surnish them with their proper gratifications. Our other powers, being inferior to these, and of less dignity, the happiness grounded upon them is also of an inferior nature, and of less value. Reason is the nature of a reasonable being; and to affert that his chief happiness consists in deviating from reason, would be the same as to say that his chief happiness consists in violating his nature, and contradicting him-self.

Secondly, In connexion with this we ought to remember, that virtue, in the very idea of it, implies health and order of mind. The human foul is a composition of various affections standing in different relations to one another; and all placed under the direction of conscience, our supreme faculty. When we are truly virtuous, none of these affections are suffered to err either by excess or defect. They are kept in their proper subordinations to one another. The faculty that was made to govern preserves its authority: and a due balance is maintained among our inward powers. To be virtuous, therefore, is to be in our natural and found state. is to be freed from all inward tumult, anarchy, and tyranny. It is to enjoy health, and order, and vigour, and peace, and lib-

erry; and, therefore, the greatest happinefs.—Vice, on the contrary, is flavery, diforder, and fickness. It distorts our inward frame, and unfettles the adjustments of our minds. It unduly raises some of our powers, and depresses others. It dethrones conscience, and subjects it to the despotism of blind and lawless appetites. In short; there is the same difference, in respect of happiness, between a virtuous and a vicious foul, as there is between a distempered body and a body that is well; or, between a civil state where confusion, faction, and licentiquifness reign; and a state where order prevails, and all keep their proper places, and unite in submission to a wife and good legislature.

Again thirdly; It is worth our consideration, that, by practising virtue, we gain more of the united pleasures, arising from the gratification of all our powers, than we can in any other way. That is, in other words; our moral powers, when prevalent, encroach less on the inferior enjoyments of our natures than any of our other powers when they are prevalent. In order to explain this, I would defire you to consider, that the course most favourable to happiness, must be that which takes from us the least that is possible of any of the gratifications and enjoyments we are capable of. We can take no course that will give us an

C 2

equal and full share of all the gratifications of our appetites. If we will gain the ends of some of our affections, we must facrifice If, for instance, we will rise to fame and power, we must give up ease and We must cringe and truckle, and do violence to some of our strongest inclinations. In like manner; if we make money our principal pursuit, and would acquire wealth; we must often contradict our defires of fame and honour. We must keep down generofity and benevolence, and the love of fenfual indulgences. We must pinch, and toil, and watch, and eat the bread of carefulness.—An ambitious man must facrifice the gratifications of the covetous man. A covetous man, likewise, must facrifice the indulgences of a man of pleasure: And a man of pleasure those of the ambitious and worldly minded.—Since then, in every course of life, there is such an interference between the several objects of our affections. that comfe in which there is the least of it, must be likely to make us most happy. And it is certain, that there is less of it in a virtuous course than any other. Virtue brings with it many exquisite pleasures of its own (as I shall presently observe more particularly) and, at the same time, does not necessarily encroach on other fources of pleasure. It is the very best means of obtaining the ends

ends of most of our lower powers and affections. It is, for instance, the best means of gaining honour and distinction among our fellow creatures; for the virtuous man is always the man who is most honoured and loved. It is, likewise, one of the best means of becoming prosperous in our affairs, and gaining a competent share of worldly bleffings; for, agreeably to a maxim which we hear often repeated, "honesty is the best policy." A virtuous man is the man who is most industrious, and likely to be most encouraged and trusted in every trade and profession.-In short; it is a part of virtue to make use cheerfully of all the materials of happiness with which Divine bounty has supplied us. There is no lawful and natural pleasure of which it does not leave us in possession. It is favourable to every innocent pursuit, and an excellent friend to every just and laudable undertaking.

These observations remove entirely the objection to the happiness of virtue, taken from its requiring labour and circumspection, and obliging us to restrain our passions, and to practise self-denial. It is indeed true that virtue requires this: But you should recollect, that it is by no means peculiar to virtue. I have on the contrary, been shewing that it is less applicable to virtue than

to any other object of pursuit.-What labour and self-denial do men often practise in pursuing same, or honour, or money? What a sacrifice does the man of pleasure make of his health and fortune; and to what fatigues does he often put himself?-It is, therefore, the utmost injustice to virtue, to imagine that the restraint of inclination, and the practice of felf-denial, are peculiar to it. These are common to virtue and vice, and necessary whatever course we take. -It would be very unreasonable to mention as an objection here, that virtue may oblige us to facrifice to it even our lives. For this is what happens perpetually in vicious courfes. Thousands are every day dying martyrs to ambition, to lust, to covetousness, and intemperance. But feldom does it happen, that virtue puts us to any fuch trial. On the contrary; its general effect is to preferve and lengthen life.

It ought to be particularly observed on this occasion, that, in comparing the influence of different courses on our happiness, we should consider the influence they have on our moral and intellectual powers, as well as our other powers. Conscience is one important part of our natures. To leave it out, therefore, in forming a scheme of enjoyment, or in determining what course will bring us most happiness, would be preposterous

posterous and wild. That a course of conduct obliges us to run counter to our sense of moral good and evil, and to give up the fatisfactions founded on this sense, ought to be allowed its just weight in judging of the happiness of an agent; and to be considered as a circumstance diminishing his pleasures, in the same manner as if he ran counter to any of his other powers, or gave up any other gratifications.—Now, every species of vice interferes directly with our fense of moral good and evil. gratifies one part of our natures at the expense of our judgment and reason; and this is as much an argument proving its hurtfulness; as if it opposed our desires of eafe, or honour, or any of our other particular affections. There is, therefore, on this account, a fevere and cruel felf-denial in vice. At the fame time that it encroaches on many of the lower springs of action, it puts a force upon the highest. It obliges us to deny our consciences; and, these being most properly ourselves, it obliges us to practife a more proper and unnatural felfdenial than any denial of passion and appetite.

But to fay no more on this head. What I have meant chiefly to inculcate is, that the course most conducive to happiness must be that which is most agreeable to our whole natures; and that, this being evidently true

of a virtuous course, it follows that it is our

greatest happiness.

Hitherto you have feen, that I have argued for the happiness of virtue from the considerations, "that it affords our highest powers their proper gratifications; that it implies health, and liberty, and order of mind; and that it is more agreeable than any other end we can pursue, to all parts of our natures taken as making together one system." There is a great deal more to be said, to which I must re-

quest your attention; for,

Fourthly, It deserves your consideration, that much of the pleasures of vice itself depends on some species or other of virtue combined with it. All the joys we derive from friendship, from family connexions and affinities, from the love and confidence of our fellow creatures, and from the intercourse of good offices, are properly virtue dus joys: And there is no course of life which, were if deprived of these joys, would not be completely miferable. The enjoyments, therefore, of vicious men are owing to the remains of virtuous qualities in them.-There is no man fo vicious as to have nothing good left in his character; and could we conceive any fuch man; or meet with a person who was quite void of benevolence, temperance, good humour, fociableness, and honour :

honour; we should detest him as an odious monster, and find that he was incapable of all happiness. Wickedness, when considered by itself, and in its naked form, without any connexion with lovely qualities, is nothing but shame, and pain, and distress. the debauchee enjoys any thing like happiness, it is because he joins to his debauch. ery fomething laudable; and his tender and focial feelings are not extirpated. like manner; if a covetous man has any thing besides perplexity and gloominess in his heart, it is because there are some virtues which he practifes, or because he disguises his covetousness under the forms of the virtues of prudence and frugality.—This then being the case; since even the pleasure that vice enjoys is thus founded upon and derived from virtuous qualities, how plain is it that these constitute our chief good; and that the more of them we possess, so much the more must we possess of the sources of pleasure?—The virtuous man is the most generous man, the most friendly, the most goodnatured, the most patient and contented. He has most of the satisfactions refulting from fympathy, and humanity, and natural affection; and so certain is it, that fuch a person must be the happiest, that the wicked themselves, if in any respect happy, can be so only as far as they either are the same that he is, or think themfelves the same.

Fifthly, I have already observed, that virtue leaves us in possession of all the common enjoyments of life. It is necessary now to add, that it goes much beyond this. -It not only leaves us in possession of all innocent and natural pleasures; but improves and refines them. It not only interferes less with the gratification of our different powers than vice does; but renders the gratification of many of them more the cause of pleasure. This effect it produces by restraining us to regularity and moderation in the gratification of our defires. Virtue forbids only the wild and extravagant gratification of our defires: That is, it forbids only fuch a gratification of them as goes beyond the bounds of nature. and lays the foundation of pain and mifery. As far as they were defigned by our Maker to yield pleasure, we are at liberty to indulge them; and farther we cannot go without losing pleasure.—It is a truth generally acknowledged, that the regular and moderate gratification of appetite is more agreeable than any forced and exorbitant gratification of it. Excess in every way is painful and pernicious. We can never contradict nature without fuffering, and bringing upon ourselves inconveniences.—Is there

there any man to whom food and sleep are fo pleasant as to the temperate man? Are the mad and polluted joys of the fornicator and adulterer equal to the pure and chaste joys of the married state? Do pampered and loaded appetites afford as much delight as appetites kept under discipline, and never palled by riot and licentiousness? Is the vile glutton, the loathsome drunkard, or the rotten debauchee, as happy as the sober and virtuous man who has a healthful body, a serene mind, and general credit?

Thus is virtue a friend even to appetite. But this is not the observation I intended to infift on. What I meant here principally to recommend to your attention was, that virtue improves all the bleffings of life, by putting us into a particular disposition for receiving pleasure from them. It removes those internal evils which pollute and impair the fprings of enjoyment within us. It renders the mind eafy and fatisfied within itfelf, and therefore more susceptible of delight, and more open to all agreeable impressions. It is a common observation, that the degree of pleasure which we receive from any objects depends on the disposition we are in to receive pleasure. Nothing is sweet to a depraved taste; nothing beautiful to a diftempered eye. This observation holds with particular force in the present case. Vice.

deltroys

destroys the relish of sensible pleasures. It takes off (I may say) from the fruit its slavour, and from the rose its hue. It tarnishes the beauty of nature, and communicates a bitter tincture to every enjoyment.—Virtue, on the contrary, sweetens every blessing, and throws new lustre on the sace of nature. It chases away gloominess and peevishness; and, by strengthening the kind affections, and introducing into the soul good-humour and tranquillity, makes every pleasing scene

and occurrence more pleasing.

Again, fixthly; Let us confider how many peculiar joys virtue has which nothing else can give. It is not possible to enumerate We may, on this occasion, recolall these. lect first those joys which necessarily spring from the worthy and generous affec-The love of the Deity, benevolence, meekness, and gratitude, are by their nature attended with pleasure. They put the mind into a serene and cheerful frame, and introduce into it some of the most delightful fensations. Virtue consists in the exercife and cultivation of these principles. They form the temper, and constitute the character of a virtuous man; and, therefore, he must enjoy pleasure to which men of a contrary character are strangers .- It is not conceivable, that a person in whom the mild and generous affections thrive, should not be in a more happy state than one who counteracts and suppresses them; and who, instead of feeling the joy which springs up in a heart where the heavenly graces and virtues reside, is torn and distracted by an-

ger, malice, and envy.

But farther; Peace of conscience is another bleffing peculiar to virtue. It reconeiles us to ourselves as well as to all the As nothing can be fo horrid as to be at variance with one's felf, fo nothing can be so delightful as to be at peace with one's felf. If we are unhappy within our own breafts, it fignifies little what external advantages we enjoy. If we want our ewn approbation, it is of little consequence how much others applaud us. Virtue fecures to us our own approbation. It reduces to harmony, under the dominion of conscience all our jarring powers. It makes our reflections agreeable to us; and the mind a fund of comfort to itself.

Again; A sense of God's savour is another source of pleasure which is peculiar to virtue. The Divine government is an object of terror to a wicked man. He cannot think of it without trouble: But a virtuous man derives his chief consolations from hence. He is conscious of acting in concert with the Deity, of obeying his laws, and of imitating his persections. He, therefore,

exults in the affurance of having him on his fide, and of being under his Almighty protection. He knows that the Sovereign of the universe loves him, and is his unalterable friend.

Once more. A virtuous man possesses the hope of a future reward. Every one knows how mighty the power of hope is to invigorate and cheer the mind. There is no fuch hope as that of the virtuous man. He hopes for a perfect government in the heavens: and this comforts him amidst all the diforders of earthly governments. hopes for a refurrection from death to a bleffed immortality. He expects foon to take possession of a treasure in the heavens that faileth not; to receive an incorruptible inheritance; to exchange ignorance and doubt for knowledge; and to be fixed in that world where he shall join superior beings, and be always growing more wife, and good, and great, and happy, till some time or other he shall rife to honours and powers which are no more possible to be now conceived by him, than the powers of an angel can be conceived by a child in the womb. -This is indeed an unbounded and ravish-If Christianity is true, we have abundant reason for it. Christ came into the world to raife us to it; and the most distant

distant glimmering of it, is enough to eclipse all the glory of this world.

Such are the fingular bleffings of the vir-

tuous man.

Let us, in the next place take into confideration fome peculiar qualities of the happiness now described. This will complete our view of this subject, and render it unnecessary to add any thing to convince an attentive person of the truth I am infisting upon. Virtue has a great deal of peculiar happiness; and that happiness has many excellent qualities which belong to no other happiness .- It is, for instance, more permanent than any other happiness. The pleafures of the vicious are transient; but virtue is a fpring of constant pleasure and satisfaction. The pleasures which attend the gratification of our appetites foon palk They are gone for ever after the moment of gratification; and, when carried to excess, they turn to pain and disgust. But: nothing like this can be faid of the pleasures of virtue. These never cloy or satiste.—
They can never be carried to excess.— They are always new and fresh. They maybe repeated as often as we please without losing their relish. They are such as will not only bear repetition and reflection, but are improved by them: They will go with us to all places; and attend us through every changing scene of life. No inclosures of stone or iron, no intervention of seas and kingdoms can keep them from us. They delight alike at home and abroad; by day and by night; in the city and in the desert.—The aid of wine and of company is not necessary to enable us to enjoy them. They are, in truth, enjoyed in the greatest perfection when the mind, collecting itself within itself, and withdrawing itself from all worldly objects, fixes its attention only on

its own state and prospects.

It follows from these observations, that the happiness of virtue is a more independent happiness than any other. It is, if I may fo fpeak, more one with the foul; and, therefore, less subject to the operations of external causes. The pleasure arising from the consciousness of having done a worthy action, of having relieved a distrest family, or fubdued our anger, our envy, or our impatience; this is a pleasure which enters into the very substance of the foul, and cannot be torn from it without tearing it from itself, and destroying its existence.—All other pleasures are precarious in the highest degree. We have but little power over them; and they may be taken from us the next moment in spite of our strongest efforts to retain them. But the joy connected with right action, with a felf-approving heart, and the

the hope of a glorious eternity, no accidents can take away. These are inward blessings which are not liable to be affected by outward causes; and which produce a happiness that is immutable, and not possible to be lost, except with our own consent.

There is nothing that the ancient philosophers have taken for much pains to inculcate, as the importance of placing our happiness only in things within our power. we place it in fame, or money, or any external good, it will have a most deceitful foundation, and we shall be liable to perpetual disappointment: Whereas, if we place it in the exercise of virtuous affections, in tranquillity of mind, in regular passions, in doing God's will, and the hope of his favour : we shall have it always at our command. We shall never be liable to disappointments. We shall find true rest to our souls; and be in a fituation like to that of a person lifted to the upper regions of the atmosphere, who hears thunder roll, and fees lightnings flash, and the clouds spread below him, while he enjoys ferenity and funshine.

I must add, that the happiness of virtue is a pure and refined happiness. It is seated in the mind. Other happiness has its seat in the body. It is the happiness of angels.—Other happiness is the happiness of brutes.—It must, therefore, be also the most solid.

the

the most substantial and exalted happiness. I observe this, because I believe the generality of men are disposed to look upon no happiness as solid, which is purely spiritual. What I have just said affords a demonstration of the contrary. The most exalted happiness must be that of superior beings, of angels, and of the Deity. But this is a happiness that is spiritual, and which has no connexion with the gratifications of sense. The happiness of the virtuous, therefore, being of the same kind, it must be the most real and substantial.

To fay no more on this head. Let me defire you to confider, that the happiness of the virtuous man continues with him even. in affliction. This is one of the most distinguishing properties of this happiness. tue, as it increases the relish of prosperity, blunts likewife the edge of advertity. It is,. indeed, in advertity, that the power of virtue to make us happy appears to the greatest advantage. It kindles a light in the foul in the darkest seasons; and very often produces then the highest bliss, when animal nature is at the lowest, and other joys have deserted us.—There is, in this respect, a most striking difference between the condition of the virtuous and vicious man. In advertity the vicious man becomes completely wretched. He has no comfortable reflections

reflections to support him; no protecting Deity to trust in; no prospect of future bleflings to encourage him. Wherever he turns his eyes, all is confusion and distress. Reason and conscience have him to themfelves, and inflict the sharpest sufferings.-But the virtuous man, in adverfity, may rejoice and exult. Whatever he now fuffers, he may be affured that all will end happily. When flesh and heart sink under him, faith, and hope, and charity, unite their influence to fustain him. A heavenly voice whispers peace to him when all about him speaks terfor; and the confolations of God delight his foul when the springs of worldly comfort are dried up.—Particularly; in the folemn hour of death he has reason to be composed and cheerful. That is the hour which feals the vicious man under ruin; but it confirms and perfects the happiness of the virtuous man, and fets him free forever from pain and danger. He can, therefore, look forward to it without disturbance, and meet it joyfully.-Religious and virtuous principles, if they have their due efficacy, will enable us to die with dignity and triumph. They will change the aspect of the king of terrors into that of a friend and deliverer, and cause us to desire and welcome his stroke.

Thus have I shewn you that religious virtue is our chief good. And we may now with

with full conviction, take up the words of my text, and fay with Solomon, That her ways are ways of pleasantness, and that all her paths are peace; that she is a tree of life to them that lay hold of her; and that happy is every one that receiveth her.

I will only farther defire your attention

to the following inferences.

First. How wrong is it to conceive of religious virtue as an enemy to pleasure? This is doing it the greatest injustice. It is, without all doubt, the very best friend to true pleasure.-Were we indeed to judge of it from the stiffness and severity of some who pretend to it, we might be forced to entertain a different opinion of it. But. fuch persons do not shew it us in its true They mistake its nature, and are ftrangers to its genuine spirit.—One part of the duty it requires of us, is to accept thankfully every innocent gratification of life, and to rejoice evermore. Instead of driving us, with the wretched votaries of superstition, into deserts and cloisters, and making us morofe and gloomy; it calls us. out into fociety, and disposes us to constant alacrity and cheerfulness.

Secondly. What strong evidence have we for the moral government of the Deity? You have seen that he has so constitanted nature that virtue is, by its necessary tendency. tendency, our greatest bliss. He is therefore on the side of virtue. By establishing the connexion I have been representing between it and happiness, he has declared himfelf its friend in a manner the most decisive. What we see takes place of this connexion in the present life is the beginning of a moral government; and it should lead us to expect a future life, where, what is now begun will be completed—where every present irregularity will be set right—virtue receive its full reward, and vice its full punishment.

Lastly. What reasons have we for seeking virtue above all things? You have heard how happy it will make us. Let us then pray for it earnestly; and despise every thing that can come in competition with it. If we have this, we can want nothing that is desirable. If we want this, we can have nothing that will do us any substantial fervice.—Go then all ye careless and irreligious men. Take to yourselves your money, your honours, and polluted pleafures. I would defire VIRTUE only. There is nothing else worth an eager wish. Here would I centre all my cares and labours. May God grant me this, and deny me what elfe he pleases. This is his choicest blessing; his best and richest gift. This is that tree of life whose leaf never withers, and whose fruit will revive us in every hour of dejection,

cion, cure all our maladies, and prolong our existence to endless ages; for, as St. Paul speaks, if we have our fruit unto holiness, our end will be EVERLASTING LIFE.

SERMON



# SERMON III.

Of the Goodness of God.

#### PSALMS xxxiv. 8.

O TASTE AND SEE THAT THE LORD IS GOOD. BLES: ED IS THE MAN THAT TRUSTETH IN HIM.

THE titles CREATEST and BEST, have, in all ages, been applied to the Deity. We are led to this by the unavoidable fentiments and perceptions of our minds. The first and uncreated Being must be the GREATEST; and the GREATEST must likewise be the BEST; for true greatness includes in it goodness. Almighty power, universal dominion, and infinite knowledge, considered by themselves, can excite no other emotions than awe and terror. They have in them none of that dignity which engages veneration, except they are accompanied

with benevolence. This is the crown of all the attributes of the Deity. It is this finishes his character; and nothing can be of: more importance to us than a thorough conviction of it, and just fentiments concerning If we either do not believe it, or entertain unworthy apprehensions of it as partial or capricious: Some of the principal comforts of our existence must be lost; and our religious fervices must become an abject and illiberal drudgery and superstition. not, therefore, be better employed than in endeavouring to explain and prove to you God's goodness; and this I propose to make my business in the present discourse; after which, I shall, in a future discourse infift particularly on the practical improvement of it.

This is a subject of a very extensive nature; and, were I to enter into a particular and full discussion of it, I should take up a great deal of your time. But I shall endeavour to avoid prolixity, and aim only at making fuch observations as appear to me most useful and important, without entering far into abstruse inquiries.

GOODNESS, when applied to the Deity, may be confidered in two views. fignify either the principle itself of goodness; that is, that benevolence of disposition which leads to the communication of happiness,

piness, or it may fignify, the exercise of this principle in the actual communication of happiness. We use, in common language, the word goodness sometimes in the former of these senses, and sometimes in the latter: and it is of some importance that we take care to distinguish them. Much may be faid of the principle of goodness in the Deity which cannot, without great impropriety, be applied to the exercise of it in the creation and government of the world.—In particudar, it may be justly faid of God's goodness, in the former fense, that it is necessary. His nature is benevolence; and a disposition to communicate happiness is inseparable from it. There is as much a physical impossibility of his wanting this disposition as there is of his wanting power or knowledge, or even existence. But the same cannot be said of his goodness in the latter sense. Though the disposition to communicate happiness is necessary in him, yet the exercise of it (that is, every act proceeding from this disposition) is perfectly free. And this is one of the chief observations to which I would defire you to attend on this subject. You should always think of God's goodness as an unconstrained and free goodness. All its effects proceed not from irrefiltible necessity, but from voluntary choice. He has it in his power not to confer on his creatures the bleffings -

bleflings they enjoy: Nor is it the least objection to this, that the principle of goodness within him is, as I have faid, necessary. We experience in ourselves that the principles or motives of action within us are necessary, though our actions themselves are free. Thus, self-love is essential to us. We can no more divest ourselves of it than we can of our beings. But the actions derived from it are free; and we have a power not to perform them. In like manner; the preference of virtue is absolutely necessary; but, at the same time, we find that we can, if we please, determine not to follow this preference. In other words; dispositions and views, arising from unavoidable principles in beings, are only the motives and occasions of their determinations. They only flew how an action is to be accounted for not its efficient cause. This must always be the felf determination of the agent.—It has, I know, been objected to this, that it tends to destroy the immutability of God's moral perfections. But no objection can be less reafonable. In lower instances, we cannot wish for any greater certainty than that which depends only on the voluntary determinations of agents in particular circumstances. It would, indeed, be intolerably abfurd to imagine that the Deity is not good immutably, because he is so freely; or to conclude, that

he will make his creatures miserable, because it is in his power to do it. Nothing can be more certain than that perfect righteousness will never act unrighteously, or perfect goodness cruelly: And this is not the less a certainty, because it is founded on choice, and not on any physical necessity. Were this the foundation of certainty in this case; or, were the Deity good in all his actions by the same necessity by which he exists, we could not perceive any moral excellence in his goodness; and it would be as impossible to think it an object of gratitude, as to think so of his eternity and immensity.

But I have dwelt, perhaps, too long on this observation. I will, therefore, hasten to defire you to remember, in connexion with it, that God's goodness is not to be confidered as a propenfity within him, of which no account is to be given from REASON-This is a notion which some have entertained of it. But nothing can detract more from its honour. According to this opinion, public happiness and public misery are the same to intellectual discernment. A reasonable being; as such, is incapable of preferring the one to the other; and, there ore, necessarily void of benevolence, except as. the effect of some bias or affection within him, prior in the order of our ideas to reafon, and independent of it. It is not wif-E 2. dom.

dom, then, or intelligence, that makes the Deity benevolent; for wildom, according to this account, can never give rise to a preference of any ends, but is employed only in directing to the best means of attaining an end.—It is surprising that such a sentiment should have found a place in the minds of able and ingenious men. Indeed, I can scarce. ly think, that we are certain of any thing, if it be not true that goodness, considered as a principle of action, is a disposition that arises necessarily in an intelligent nature.\* And I wish you to remember, in opposition to this opinion, that God's goodness is a reasonable goodness; a principle founded in reason, derived from reason, and under the direction of reason in all its operations. In mankind there are two springs of our affections-instinctive determination, and reason.-But we must take care to remove the former entirely from the Deity in our conceptions. of him. He can possess nothing analogous to any of the instinctive principles and inclinations which have been given us. pure and perfect reason; and perfect reason is in him the true spring of every moral principle which we ascribe to him: particularly,

\* A particular discussion of this question, together with a more full account of the proof here infifted on, of God's goodness taken from its connexion with his intelligence, may be found in my Treatife on Mor-

als, chap. i. iii. & x.

particularly, of his infinite goodness. He pursues general happiness as his end, because it is in itself a right end, and worthy of his choice.

But this leads me to mention to you the chief argument which proves the goodness of God. It is included in his intelligence. Benevolence is an affection which arises as necessarily in an intelligent nature, as self-love does in a fensitive nature. Moral distinctions are founded in truth; and every being who perceives truth, must perceive them. The Deity, therefore, who perceives all truth, must perceive them in all their extent and obligation, and be more under their influence than any other being .- The chief of all moral destinctions is this-" that it is right to communicate happiness, and wrong to produce mifery." This distinction, therefore, in particular, God, as intelligent, must perceive; and the perception of it is the vety same with the approbation of beneficence, and the disapprobation of its contrary-I cannot think of a stronger arguments It shows us that the principle of benevolence in the Deity is implied in his perception of truth; and that it is just as certain that he is good, as it is that we fay right when we fay, that " happiness is better than misery."

It may, I know, be inquired here, whether (though it thus appears that God is benevolent) lent) there may not be some opposite princia ples in his nature (like those in ourselves) which may have a tendency to lead him astray from benevolence?—In answer to this, it should be remembered, that the argument I have mentioned not only proves that he is benevolent, but that he is fo, as much more perfectly than any other being, as his intelligence is more perfect; or, that he is as much more under the influence of benevolence, as he knows truth and right better. In reality, truth and right, and goodness are bimself; and the Scriptures affert what is more literally just than is commonly apprehended, when it tells us, that "God is love."—The natures of happiness and misery are such, that a pref-. erence of one of them to the other must arise in every mind in proportion to the degree in which they are known. The natures of things have their foundation in the nature of the Deity. In him, therefore, every preference or affection that has its foundation in the natures of things must take place in its completest and highest degree; and, more particularly, the principle of rectitude must, in him, for this reason, be sovereign and perfect, and not uncertain, feeble, and precarious as in inferior beings.-In a word; fince benevolence is a part of the idea of intelligence, it follows, with the plainest evidence, that the Supreme intelligence must be

be original and fupreme benevolence; or fuch a benevolence as nothing can turn 4-

fide, or deceive, or counteract.

The fuspicion, therefore, that there may be principles in the Deity which interfere with goodness, and incline him to malevolence, is unreasonable and groundless. His nature is perfect and eternal reason; and in such a nature there can be no tendencies which are not derived from reason; much less, any that clash with reason. He is what he is necessarily: But the same necessity cannot, without a contradiction, be supposed to be the ground of the approbation of beneficence, and, at the same time, of biasses inconsistent with it.

The causes that lead us aftray from goodness are partial views, the impulses of passion, defects of power, and private interest. But he cannot be influenced by any of these causes of deviation. He is omniscient; and, therefore, subject to no partial views. He is self-existent; and, therefore infinitely removed from the possibility of all instinctive principles. He is Almighty; and, therefore, incapable of being disappointed or controled. He is independent and self-sufficient; and, therefore, can have no interest separate from that of the beings he has created.

The argument I have now insisted on is, I believe, that to which men have always chiefly owed their belief of the goodness of the Deity. What most naturally leads us to apply to him this attribute, seems to be our apprehension of excellence in it; or the discernment we have that it is right to communicate happiness, and wrang to give pain.—But I should not be excusable, did I not turn your thoughts to another argument of the utmost consequence. I mean, that taken from the effects of goodness which we see in the creation.

These two arguments united are, I think, when duly attended to, sufficient to give us

complete fatisfaction.

Our reasonings on this point, from the natures of things, are confirmed by observation and experience. When we consult our own ideas (without attending to what takes place without us) we perceive a necessary union between infinite power, wisdom, and goodness. Where there is infinite power, there must be infinite knowledge; and, since moral distinctions are (as I have said) founded in truth, where there is infinite knowledge, there must be infinite goodness.—There can be nothing so encouraging and joyous as the resection on this truth. But the joy it gives is greatly increased by the additional resection, that there is the same

Tame union of these attributes in the constitution of the world, that we see in the natures of things, and find in our own ideas. The state of the creation actually corresponds to what, in this inflance, reason, independently of experience teaches us to believe of the first cause. Wherever we see power and skill displayed, we also see goodness displayed. Wherever we see design, we see it to be not only wise design, but kind defign. The primary tendency of all the laws of nature, with which we are acquainted, is to happiness and enjoyment. The fruits of benevolence are scattered through the world; and, therefore, the Maker must be benevolent. An universe fo harmonious and fair, fo orderly and beautiful, and so peopled with numberless varieties of living beings, all rejoicing in existence, all liberally provided for, and enjoying bleffings suitable to their natures and situations.—Such an universe could never proceed from an evil, or felfish, or malicious being.—Every new object we meet with, every new discovery we make, and every step we advance in the knowledge of God's. works, afford us new reasons for admiring the glory of his perfections, and for adoring and praising him.

But I am fenfible it will be objected here—Is there not evil in the world as well as,

good?

good? and how can this be accounted for, if the Author of the world is perfectly good? Would he not, had this been true, have excluded from the creation every groan and pang?—These are inquiries which offer themselves naturally to every person in thinking on this subject. Were I to attempt making as particular a reply to them as their importance deserves, I should go much beyond the limits which I have preseribed to myself. I am in hopes, however, that you will think the sollowing brief observations sufficient.

First. It should be remembered, that the evil which there is in the world is overbalanced by good. We should, in this case, judge of the intention of the Deity by what prevails in his works; and this, without doubt is happiness! Though we often see! many individuals fuffering pain, and fometimes groaning under heavy calamities, yet this is by no means the general state of the All the tribes of animals about us were plainly made for happiness; and their natural and ordinary state is a state of health, and some degree of enjoyment. Pain and distress are out of the common course of nature: and this causes them to be over-rated. and magnified whenever they happen. One bad fit of illness is remembered and talked of during life, though compensated so far as

to be almost annihilated, by many years of health, and ease, and comfort.—Indeed, I believe the excess of enjoyment above absolute misery, in the existence of all living creatures, is much greater than we are willing to allow; and the latter, could we compare it fairly with the former, and judge of it properly, would appear no more to us than the shades are in a fine picture, or the

discords in a grand concert.

But secondly. It should be considered that a great part of the evil in the world appears plainly to be the necessary means of good, and to be intended for this purpose. -The former observation would be alone fufficient to vindicate God's goodness in the permission of evil; for it is extremely unreafonable to imagine, that every being ought, during its whole existence, to be exempted from every degree of pain. What goodness requires is the production of happiness; and this is equally produced, whether the happiness of a being is so much enjoyment unmixed with pain, or the same degree of enjoyment, confisting of a clear excess of pleasure above pain. But we need not rest in this observation. The pains mixed with the enjoyments of beings are necessary to those enjoyments. They appear to be defigned, not for their own fakes, but always for the fake of some good connected with them, or of

fome

fome particular benefit to the beings who fuffer them.—The pains of hunger, for instance, are necessary to put us upon taking food. The pains, occasioned by a wound, or by diseases, are necessary to engage us to take proper care of our bodies; and, without fuch pains, we should so far neglect ourfelves, as to be in danger of perishing by every malady that feized us, and by every accident we met with. In like manner; the pains of felf reproach and remorfe are necessary to restrain us from wickedness, and to keep us in the path of virtue and duty. these, and numberless other instances, our pains are falutary and kindly intended. They are remedies for evil, and preservatives from danger and mifery; and, therefore, instead of furnishing an argument against God's goodness, they are as much

proofs of it as any of our pleasures.

In connexion with this I must mention to you, that many of the evils we complain of are effects of regulations and establishments in the universe which are necessary to produce the greatest happiness. It is absolutely necessary, that the affairs of the universe should be governed by general laws, operating uniformly and invariably in given circumstances. Were not this the constitution of nature, there would be no such thing as a regular course of nature; no one would

would know what to expect from any thing he did; and there could be no prudence, no forelight, no room for the exercise of any of the active powers of beings. But, at the same time, it is obvious, that the confequence of such a constitution must be, in fome instances, pains and fufferings. consequence, for instance, of the universal operation of the law of gravitation must be fometimes broken limbs and untimely deaths: But he that should, for this reason, allow himself to wish there were no such law; or that it were suspended whenever it might do any harm; would wish to have the whole frame of nature unhinged, and the general happiness destroyed, only for the fake of preventing a few bad accidents. To the same purpose, it may be observed, that the ends of goodness require that there should be in the universe creatures of all orders; and that there should be a dependence of their states on one another. without this, there would be no sphere of agency for beings; no room for the exercife of benevolence by doing good to our fellow creatures; nor, consequently, any possibility of the practice of virtue in that instance of it which brings us nearest to the perfection of the Deity. A variety, therefore, of orders of beings; a dependence of them on one another; and, in general, imperfections

perfections and fubordinations among them. and a precariousness of state, are necessary to render that happiness possible which confifts in the exercise of the rational and moral powers of beings. But it is obvious, that from hence must arise a liableness to calamities; and, in many circumstances, the distress of individuals, or sometimes, perhaps, of a whole species.—In short. Exclude from the world that liberty which we often fee fo dreadfully abused: Exclude from it all wants and subordinations, and dangers, and losses: Set all beings on a level, and emancipate them entirely from the influence of one another's agency; and you will leave no creature any thing to do. You will lay the whole rational creation asleep, and exclude from it all that happiness which is most worth producing.

These observations are, in my opinion, a satisfactory answer to the objection I am considering. It appears that the evil we see is inconsiderable, compared with the good; and that it may be the necessary consequence of a constitution formed, in the best manner, to produce the greatest happiness. Notwithstanding all the abatements to be made on account of the uneasiness and troubles in the world; a just estimate of its state will convince us, that it is an effect of inconceivable goodness. In order to make answer

answer to the notion of malevolence in its author, it is, in truth, necessary to reverse it in almost every instance. I wish I could here represent to you properly, what you might have expected would have been the state of the world, had misery been the ul-

timate intention of the Deity.

EVIL would, in this case, have appeared to be the aim of nature in all its appointments; and GOOD, we should have found (as we do evil now) to be always the confequence of either some regulation for producing general misery, or of some unnatural violence and perversion.—All design in the frame of nature we should have seen to be cruel defign; and all that wifdom of God in his works which we now admire and adore, we should have dreaded and cursed as a contrivance to extend distress, and to render pain more pungent and permanent.-The ordinary state of every being we should have found to be (not a state of ease and enjoyment) but of trouble, dejection, and anguish. The lower animals, and all inanimate nature, instead of being made to minifter to our delight and accommodation, would have been made to annoy and harrass us. The bee would have been without her honey, and the rose without its fragrance. The fields would have wanted their cheerful green and gay flowers. The fire would have F 2 fcorched

fcorched without warming us. The light of day would have dazzled without cheering us. Every breath of air would have cut us like the point of a fword. The appetites and fenses would have been the instruments of torture, and never of pleasure to us, except when turned out of their common course by incidental causes. Every touch would have felt like the rubbing of a wound. Every taste would have been a bitter; and every found a fcream. Our imaginations would have prefented nothing but frightful spectres to us. Our thoughts would have been the feat of a deep and constant melancholy; and our reason would have served only to shew us our wretchedness .- What we now call gratification would have been nothing but a relaxation of torment; and we should have been driven to the offices necessary for selfpreservation, by an increase of sufferings occasioned by neglecting them. Or if, at any time, any feelings of delight were granted us; they would have been (as the paroxisms of pain are at present) transient and rare, and intended only to fet a keener edge on mifery, by giving a taste of its contrary. -In the present state of the world our pains, when they become extreme, foon make an end of either themselves or us: But, in the state of things I am imagining, there would have been no fuch merciful appointment ; for

for our bodies, probably, would have been fo made as to be capable of bearing the feverest pains; and, at the same time, we might have been deterred from self violence by knowing, that the consequence of hastening death would be getting sooner into a state of misery still more dreadful, and which should NEVER come to an end.

But it is high time to stop. I know you must hear with horror this representation. Such, however, be assured, and infinitely worse than I can paint, would have been the condition of the world had it been made for misery. The real state of it is, I have shewn, totally different; and from hence it is impossible not to conclude, that the Maker of it is benevolent.—We may then rejoice in our existence. We may look up to heaven with triumph. Verily, God is good. This is the dictate of reason. This is the voice of all nature.

I have much more to fay on this subject; and I should now go on to make some farther observations, in order to assist you in forming just ideas of God's goodness. But these observations, together with a general recital of the effects of God's goodness, and the practical improvement of it, shall be reserved for another discourse.



## SERMON IV.

Of the Goodness of God.



### PSALMS xxxiv. 8.

TASTE AND SEE THAT THE LORD IS GOOD. BLESS-ED IS THE MAN THAT TRUSTETH IN HIM.

IN a former discourse from these words, I endeavoured to explain and prove to you the goodness of God. I then insisted, among other arguments, on the traces of goodness, as well as of wisdom, which we see in the works of God. Happiness, I observed, prevails in nature; and all that we know of its constitution and laws shews, that the power which gave birth to it, and which presides in it, is benevolent.

There is one objection to this argument which I will just take notice of, before I proceed to what I principally intend at this

ime.

time.—It may occur to some "that, since "what we see of nature is comparatively "nothing, it cannot afford sufficient ground "for any certain conclusion. A malevolent being may sometimes be the cause of hap- pines, as a benevolent being may of sufferings. A single act cannot be enough to give a proof of the character of an a- gent, otherwise totally unknown to us. "From what takes place in a point and a "moment, no judgment can be formed of what takes place universally and eter- nally."

In answer to this objection, I would defire

you to confider,

First, That, in all cases, it is most reasonable to judge of what we do not know by what we do know.

We are sure, that happiness prevails in all that we see of nature; and however little that is, it affords a fair presumption, that happiness prevails likewise in the rest of nature.

But secondly, This evidence from fact is confirmed by arguments, taken from the reasons of things, and the nature of the first cause, as represented in my former discourse: And, however unsatisfactory this evidence might otherwise have been, it amounts, when taken in this connexion, to one of the strongest proofs. But,

Thirdly,

Thirdly, The truth is, that this evidence. even when taken by itself, is, in a high degree, satisfactory, and that the objection I have stated has no tendency to lessen its weight.—The following observation will, I think, shew this.-Whatever the character of the Deity may be, his works must, upon the whole, correspond to it. Particular exceptions to this correspondence may possibly take place, at particular times, in particular districts; but they must, without doubt, be fingular and extraordinary. If mifery, therefore, is the end of the Deity, there must have been the greatest chance against our being cast into that part of the creation in which misery is not prevalent. And, this chance is the same, whether the creation be more or less extensive.—For this reason, I cannot help reckoning the improbability almost infinite, of our happening to have been brought into exiltence in that period of duration and district of the universe in which goodness is displayed, if, indeed, goodness is not the character of the Deity.—This confideration, added to the other arguments on which I have infifted, make my thoughts fo easy on this most interesting question, that I can scarcely wish for more satisfaction.

I will now request leave to add a few obfervations to guard you against misapprehensions of God's goodness, after which I will proceed to an account of some of the principal effects of it, and the influence which the belief of it ought to have on our tempers and practices.

I have already observed, that the goodness of God is not to be considered as a propensity in him of which no account can be given, and which produces its effects necessarily. On the contrary; I have shewn, that it is implied in the perfect intelligence of the Deity; and that it is to be considered as under the direction of reason, and as always operating, though certainly, yet freely.

ways operating, though certainly, yet freely. It follows from hence, that we should confider it as a holy and just goodness. This observation appears to me of particular importance. If it is not remembered, we shall be in danger of entertaining very groundless expectations from this attribute. God's goodness, when moral agents are considered as the objects of it, is not a disposition to make all happy indiscriminately, at any rate, and by any means. Were this true, it would not be an excellence becoming the dignity and wisdom of a Being perfectly reasonable.—On the contrary; it is a disposition to make the upright and worthy happy, preferably to others. It is a principle which, in all its exertions, is directed by a regard to rectitude, and an aversion to moral evil. The end of it is, indeed,

indeed, happiness. But it is the rightest happiness. It is happiness enjoyed in the

practice of virtue.

In connexion with this, I would observe, that our expectations from God's goodness should be regulated by what we see to be the established order of nature. This, in all cases, is the best guide of our expectations and reasonings.—We are, in the highest degree, incompetent judges of the method in which Divine goodness ought to pursue its end, and it is presumptuous and foolish to lay a stress, in this case, on any theories that we can form. We are no less unqualified for governing worlds than we are for making them; and yet this is what in our vain imaginations we are continually doing. Let us study to acquire a juster sense of our own insufficiency; and learn to submit our understandings to that Supreme intelligence which includes in it Supreme benevolence, and which, we may affure ourselves, will conduct all events in the best ways to the best issues.—If we will judge by what lies before us, we must be satisfied that the plan of the Divine government is tomake the happiness of reasonable beings to depend on their own endeavours; and also within certain limits, on the agency and benevolence of their fellow-beings. The chief bleffings of existence do not fall to our share

Thare of course, without any solicitude of our own. They are offered to our acquisition, not our acceptance; and the condition of our having them, is our earning them by the exercise of the powers given us. Our fellow-creatures, likewise, are often the conveyers of them; and their voluntary instrumentality is, in numberless instances, made to be not only the channel by which they are communicated, but the condition on which they are granted.—There is no part of the constitution of nature that deserves more of our attention than this. Objections to it are apt to rife within us, and we may be ready to ask-" Why does the Deity feem to grudge us blifs by suspending it on fuch conditions, and making it so precarious?"—But, in reality, it is an instance of perfect wisdom. The natures of things render this method of treating moral agents necessary; and it is the method of treating them which must, in the end, produce the greatest good. I have observed this in my former discourse,\* and, therefore, will say no more of it now.

Again; I would caution you against expecting, that this attribute should, in every single instance, produce the greatest possible effect. If we see that happiness is intended and

<sup>\*</sup> See, likewise, the tenth of these discourses.

and goodness displayed in the frame of the world, we should be fatisfied. To complain because more happiness has not been produced, and more goodness displayed, would be very unreasonable. It would be complaining on a ground not possible to be removed; and giving way to a disposition not possible to be satisfied: For, were the quantity of happiness produced ever so great, there would be still room for more happiness. Had there been never a figh, a pang, or a groan on this earth, we might have imagined that there should have been higher pleasfures enjoyed by its inhabitants; or at least, that it should have been better stocked, and made larger. Had we been happy to the utmost extent of our capacities, we might have thought that we should have had greater capacities; and had we been ANGELS, we might have thought that we should have been ARCHANGELS .-- Away then with all fuch complaints. If we see God to be good, let us not ask, why he is not better? feel that he has made us happy, let us not be fo ungrateful as to murmur, because he has not made us happier.—It is probable that, upon the whole, an infinite fum of happiness is produced; but we are by no means judges in what degree it ought to be produced in particular instances. The goodness of God, like all his other attributes, must, in many respects,

respects, he incomprehensible to us. We do not know (as I have already intimated) what measures are best to be pursued, or what laws are best to be established, in order to gain its ends most effectually and completely. General regulations may be necessary, which may fometimes produce great calamities; and executions of justice may be proper, which may appear to us fevere. Let us never forget our own ignorance. There is no topic from which we are apt to argue more wantonly than from God's goodness. be strange, indeed, if we could discover how the affairs of the world ought to be administered; or if beings, with our narrow views, were to meet with no difficulties in contemplating the measures taken by Infinite Wifdom to bring about infinite happiness.

Again; we must conceive of the goodness of God as impartial and universal. It does not arbitrarily distinguish some from others, we nout regarding reason and sitness. It has no favourites, except such moral agents as best practise righteousness. It slows in numberless streams to all living beings, according to their different capacities. It has produced innumerable worlds which it continually supports and maintains. It shines through all nature. It embraces and

bleffes the whole creation.

Once

Once more. It is immutable and everlasting. It can never fail or change. It has existed from eternity, and it will continue to eternity; still slowing, and yet never exhausted; still giving, and yet having infinitely more to give; the spring of all other goodness, and the cause of all order, joy, and perfection.

But these observations anticipate the account which I have proposed to give of some of the chief effects of Divine goodness. This representation must be brief, and extremely desective; but it will answer my intention, should it be the means of leading you, for a few moments, to attend a little more closely to this subject, and to join with me in endeavouring to awaken our gratitude to the Deity, by recollecting carefully, on this occasion, what it is we owe to him.

A prospect here offers itself to us the most delightful.—All nature replenished with the effects of uncreated and everlasting goodness: An universe boundless in extent, and to which all that we can imagine is nothing, built on purpose to be the seat of bliss! Myriads of starry worlds, and countless hosts of living beings, brought forth by one muniscent Parent to partake of his bounty; all dependent on his providence, supported by his power, and provided for by his care and love!—With what admiration must we

reflect

reflect on the wife and good order of that fystem to which we belong? How does it declare, as far as we can carry our views, the benevolence of its Omnipotent Maker? Every region of this earth we fee abounding with inhabitants; and fo overflowing is Divine goodness in this part of nature, that it has brought into existence every different kind and form of animal life that we can conceive to be possible. There is no chasm in the chain of being from reasoning man down to the inanimate vegetable. Every rivulet, every leaf, and almost every atom of matter about us is peopled. What then must we think of the surrounding planets? Are not they also stocked with a like variety of happy inhabitants? If on this earth the Parent of all has been fo munificent, what nobler fcenes of being and blifs may we fuppose to be exhibited in the remoter districts of the creation.—But let us confine our thoughts to those objects which are most near and familiar to us.

It has been just observed, that every region of the earth abounds with i habitants, and that no order of beings, below man, seems here to have been lest unproduced. The sea and the rivers are stored with number-less tribes of creatures, who have segans adapted to their respective exigencies and places of residence. The dry land is appro-

priated to other orders of beings, who have also the best provision made for their subsistence and preservation. The air, likewise, has its proper animals, of various kinds, that cut their way through it, and are furnished with suitable powers and faculties. All these discover signs of happiness. display the exuberant goodness of their Maker. He maketh his fun to shine upon them; and continually feeds, protects, and cherishes them.—He sendeth the springs into the valleys which run among the hills. By them the fowls of heaven have their habitation which sing among the branches. He causes the grass to grow for cattle, and herbs for the service of man. He maketh the darkness and it is night, wherein all the beasts of the forest do creep forth. The young lions roar after their prey, and ask their meat of God. The sun ariseth; they gather themselves together, and lie down in their dens. The earth is full of his riches. So is the great and wide sea, wherein are creatures innumerable. These all wait upon him. That which he giveth them, they gather. He openeth his hand, and they are filled with good. Pfal. civ.

But let us fix our thoughts particularly on MAN.—The flightest examination will confince us, that we are indeed wonderful inflances of the goodness of our Maker. Every circumstance of our situation, and

every

every power of our minds affords us some proof of this. By the various degrees of enjoyment arifing from the fenses and appetites of the lower part of our natures, we are enabled to conceive what the enjoyment is of the creatures below us. But we have many fources of happiness greatly superior to any they possess. - We have powers of IMAG-INATION, by which we are rendered capable of the pleasures arising from the perception of harmony, order, and beauty.-We have MEMORY, by which we are able to recal and revive past scenes and enjoyments.-We have a capacity of looking forward to futurity; and thus of guarding against expected evils, and of alleviating present inconveniences by the anticipations of HOPE.—We have LANGUAGE, by which we can make known our thoughts to one another, and enjoy the benefits of focial intercourse and communication .- We have PUBLIC AFFEC-TIONS, which prompt us to purfue the happiness of our fellow men, and furnish us with the joys flowing from love and fympathy; from friendship, generosity, and mutual kindness.-We have REASON, by which we can investigate truth, see the hand that formed us, contemplate his works, and cause all nature about us, and every inferior order of beings to contribute to our defence and comfort .- We have LIBERTY and CONSCIENCE. by

by which we can perceive the eternal differences of moral good and evil; and, by conforming our actions to them, procure the inexpressible satisfaction arising from self-applause, the consciousness of imitating the Deity, and the hope of his approbation and favour.

These are some of the distinguishing privileges which place us at the head of this world, and lay the foundation of our peculiar happiness.—It is true, each of them may, through our folly, become the cause of evil to us. But fuch evils must not be charged on our Maker. The unhappiness we bring upon ourselves by misconduct, it would be wicked to impute to him. Our crimes are no part of his constitution. The powers with which we are endowed were defigned to be advantages to us, though we often turn them into occasions of mischief. Liberty, language, and reason are the greatest bleffings, though they often produce, in consequence of our perverseness, distresses, and calamities. We should learn to judge of every gift and appointment of the Deity by its effential tendencies, and general effects, and not by any incidental confequences arifing from them.-Who can doubt but that fire, air, and water, are unspeakable benefits, though fometimes they break out into conflagrations, deluges, and hurricanes ?-In truth,

the evils as well as the bleffings of life. These evils are either kind provisions against greater evils; or the result of laws necessary to the being of the world, and useful in their design and operation.—I made this observation in my last discourse, and endeavoured to explain and illustrate it. It is an observation on which great stress has been justly laid by all the best writers on this sub-

ject.

The tragical events in human life produced by ungoverned passions, by the abuse of reason, and other causes, are, without doubt, very flocking. But I feldom feel myself moved by them to question the goodness of God. What makes such events impress us so much is, their being out of the ordinary course of things. We generally See that they take their rife from a wife and kind constitution; and if, in any instance, we cannot see this, they are only single facts standing in opposition to millions.—Look at that man who has ruined his fortune and broken his health by his vices. you be disposed to censure the Creator on his account? Or would you have had him make the world in fuch a manner as that wickedness should have gone unrestrained and unpunished ?—Had this been done, you would

would indeed have had reason to doubt his

goodness.

Look at another person who is now cursing his existence under the misery of a disordered imagination, and the despair and horrors of a deep melancholy. You cannot but view him with compassion, and think his case shocking. But consider that it is not likely you can be better than the Being who gave you your compassion. Consider. likewise, that perhaps this person has, in former life, enjoyed more happiness than is equivalent to his present sufferings; and that, in future life, he may again be happy, and find reason to be thankful for what he now endures. Suppose, however, the worst. His case is plainly singular. Had God intended misery, we should all of us have been fuch wretched beings.

But to return to the account I was attempting to give you of the effects of God's

goodness to us.

It was God that raifed us out of nothing, and brought us forth to enjoy the light of life, and to view this glorious theatre of nature. To him we owe these thoughts that wander through eternity; and those high faculties by which we claim kindred with angels, and which qualify us for acting by the same rule with him, and for loving, serving, and adoring him—It is he that continually preserves and

and maintains us: Without him we cannot fubfist one moment. Every breath we draw; every step we take; every thought we think, depends entirely upon him. Every place we are in, and every instant of our duration is crowded with his mercies. It is his raiment clothes us, and his food that nourishes us. It is he that shelters us in our houses, refreshes us in sleep, watches over us in danger, and defends us against the evils to which we are exposed. It is he that fupplies our returning wants, that cheers our hearts among our friends, and that delights us in every agreeable object and scene. From him we derive every gratification which we receive by our fenses; every benefit we owe to our fellow-creatures; every hope that expands our breafts; and every convenience which renders our lives comfortable. There is, in short, no advantage which we can procure for ourselves, no joy that fprings up in our hearts, no bleffing that crowns our existence, which does not come from him, the Giver of every good and perfect gift.—He takes care of us at those seasons when we are incapable of taking any care of ourselves. He dispenses bleffings to us when we cannot reflect whence they come; and, in numberless instances, goes before our wishes, and prevents us with his benefits.—He follows, with his goodness.

goodness, even the wicked and undeserving. The most inexcusable ingratitude cannot easily divert its course. His hand upholds and conducts us when we are so blind and insensible as not to acknowledge it. He promotes the happiness of those base people who can spend their days without addressing any acts of homage to him, or ever thinking of worshipping and thanking him. He does good to the evil and unthankful, and sends his rain on the just and the unjust. Mat. v. 45.

Above all things; he has blest us with the Gospel, and sent Jesus Christ to save us. This was an instance of goodness to sinful creatures which exceeds all our comprehenfion.—When mankind had corrupted their ways, and lost the knowledge of the one true God, Jesus Christ descended from heaven to call them back to their duty, and to redeem them from all iniquity. This is love, the Scriptures tell us, not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and fent his Son to be the propitiation for our fins.—In consequence of that fall, or degradation of man, related in the book of Genefis, and referred to throughout all the subsequent parts of the Bible, we had lost immortality, and became subject to that evil of death which we have all of us in profpect. And it is impossible to fay what this would have been to us, had not infinite goodness provided for us a Saviour who, by giving himfelf

himself up to death, has delivered us from death, restored us to our forfeited happiness, and laid a foundation for the exercise of sull favour to all true penitents. By giving us Christ, God has, indeed, given us every thing necessary to raise us to the highest dignity and glory—the clearest light—the best account of our duty—the strongest motives to right practice—great and precious promises—and, particularly, the promise of a resurrection from death to a new life of endless bliss, in that suture kingdom of Jesus Christ, into which will be gathered all the virtuous and worthy among mankind.

Such are the effects of the goodness of God to us; such the blessings we owe to him; I must add, that our sense of these blessings will be rendered more intense, if we can reflect that we have duly improved them, and been led by them to true piety and right-To this important end he coneousness. ducts us by every proper method; urging us by his authority; inviting us by his promises; admonishing us by the remonstrances of ministers and friends; assisting us by his grace; and sparing us, from year to year, with much patience and long fuffering. And when, in consequence of these advantages, we have been engaged to refolve upon amendment, and to begin a life of virtue, he continues his grace and influence to carry us

H

on in our courfe, and to promote our endeavours after constant improvement, till we are taken out of this world to receive our reward.-But what will this reward be? What is the happiness reserved for all the upright and virtuous?—No language can describe this. No imagination is capable of conceiving it. Be glad in the Lord, ye righteous; and shout for joy, all ye who are upright in heart. All have reason to be thankful for existence; but you have reafon for exultation and triumph. happiness will never come to an end. to be renewed in brighter regions, and there to go on increasing to all eternity. you continue in this world the presence of God is always with you, and nothing amiss can happen to you. And when you have finished your course here, you will be taken to that world where all tears will be wiped away from your eyes; where the hand of death shall never again reach you; where you shall join superior beings, and be for ever improving under the eye and care of the Almighty.—This will be the finishing effect of God's goodness to mankind; and to this issue of all present events no one of us can fail attaining who does not render himself unsit for it, and unworthy of it by vicious practices and habits.

The practical improvement of this subject is ver j obvious, First.

First. It has a tendency to fix our minds in a state of tranquillity and satisfaction. Did blind fate, or fickle fortune, or a relentless tyrant govern all things, our condition would be deplorable. We could consider no object with pleasure, and all about us would appear dark and desolate. But we are infinitely happier. Perfect goodness is at the head of the world; and, therefore, all may be expected to take place in it that the most benevolent mind can desire.

Secondly. It is obvious, that the goodness of God is the proper object of our warmest praises. We must be lost in insenfibility, if we can contemplate it without feeling ourselves prompted to adoration and thanksgiving. What can engage our gratitude and love, if original and fovercigue goodnali villenne d-Aboro la no proporty of our natures by which we are more distinguished from the creatures below us, than the capacity of feeing and acknowledging God's goodness. How shocking then are the characters of those men who discover no fense of it; and who, (though they live by the Deity, and depend every instant on his care) yet willingly forget him, and neglect all religious worship? What can be more shameful; or shew a heart more void of just feelings and sentiments? Ingratitude:

is one of the basest vices; and, certainly, ingratitude to the best of Beings cannot be less base than any other kind of ingratitude. On the contrary; he ought to be the first object of our gratitude, and a disposition to acknowledge him in all our ways ought to be the governing principle within us.-Nothing bestows more dignity on a character than an unaffected and ardent piety; nor is any thing more reasonable and becoming. But how little of it do we fee? I have just said that the capacity of acknowledging the Deity is one of our chief distinctions from the lower animals; but multitudes about us choose to give up this distinction. They can enjoy the bleffings of life without lifting up their fouls to the Donor of them. They can think of the Being who is the cause of all joy and the fountain of all good without being kindled into devotion.

Thirdly. The goodness of God shews us the folly and baseness of sin. All moral evil is an abuse of the love, and disobedience to the authority of that Being who is always doing us good, and whose character comprehends in it every excellence which can be a reason for affection and veneration. It is slighting and offending our best Benefactor, and turning the very blessings we derive from him into instruments of opposition to him.—Had we a just ingenuity of temper,

nothing

nothing would have a stronger tendency to produce in us a deep contrition for our sins, than the reslection, that by them we have counteracted and affronted perfect goodness; nor would any thing impress us more in favour of virtue, than the consideration that it is a concurrence with the views of Infinite Goodness, and that by it we please and obey our all-benevolent Parent and Preserver. If we feel that we are not influenced by considerations of this kind, we want one of the most proper springs of virtue, and we may be sure that our characters are

fadly defective.

Farther. The goodness of God ought to be imitated by us. It cannot but be his will that we should be merciful, as he is merciful, and do good to one another as he does good to us all. It should be our ambition to act thus; and, as far as possible, to employ our little power in the same manner that God employs his unlimited power. No being can have a higher or nobler ambition. What gives lustre to all God's attributes is his goodness. This chiefly is the excellence that makes him amiable. He has given us the power of acquiring some degree of the same excellence. Let us not neglect or abuse so transporting a privilege of our natures. Let us strive to copy into our own hearts the benevolence of our Ma-

H<sub>2</sub> ker,

ker, by cultivating in ourselves every kind affection, and studying to relieve the pains and to increase the happiness of all about us. Thus shall we be his genuine offspring, and secure his particular favour and protection.

Lastly. The goodness of God should engage us to put our trust in him. I am led particularly to observe this by the latter part of the verse I have taken for my text.-Oh! taste and see that the Lord is good. Blessed is the man that trusteth in him. God made us to make us happy. He directs all events in the best manner, and for the best purposes. The whole creation is his family, over which he is continually watching. Innumerable beings are every moment brought forth by him to exist forever the objects of his liberality. With what confidence should we commit our whole existence to this Being, and give up ourselves to his disposal? How should the reslection that he reigns, revive our hearts, and dissipate our anxieties? What may we not hope for from his boundless goodness? How fafe are all our interests under his management ?-Let us, however, take care not to forget an obser-vation which was made at the beginning of this discourse. Let us remember, that our expectations from God ought to be regulated by a regard to his JUSTICE. Though he loves his creatures, he must hate the wicked.

wicked. Sin is the subversion of that order, and an opposition to those laws by which the world subsists; and, for this reason, even goodness requires that it should be punished, and that virtue should be made the universal ground and condition of happiness. An ill man, therefore, can buildno hopes on the goodness of God. To trust in him, at the same time that we counteract his will, and live in guilt, would be a

wretched folly and prefumption.

But to conclude the whole.—Let us, with one heart, give glory to God, and celebrate his praises. Let us rejoice in his government, and never shrink from any thing our duty to him requires. Let us love him with all our fouls, and with all our strength, and let our love to him shew itself by loving all his creatures.—His mercies are more than we can number; and it is not possible for us to make him any adequate returns .-Oh! fing unto the Lord a new fong. (Pfal. ciii.) Sing unto the Lord all the earth. Sing unto the Lord. Bless his name. Shew forth his salvation from day to day. Give unto the Lord the glory due unto his name. Say among the Heathen that the Lord reigneth.—Let the heavens rejoice and the earth be glad. Let the fields be joyful and all that is therein. For the Lord is good; his mercy is from everlasting, and his truth endureth to all generations. Bless

92

Bless the Lord ye his angels that excel in firength. Bless the Lord all ye his hosts; ye ministers of his that do his pleasure. Bless the Lord all his works in all places of his dominions.—Let the whole creation join in raising a song of praise to him.—Bless the Lord, Ob! my soul.

SERMON



## SERMON V.

Of the Resurrection of Lazarus.

## JOHN xi. 43, 44.

AND WHEN HE HAD THUS SPOKEN, HE CRIED WITH A LOUD VOICE, LAZARUS, COME FORTH.

AND HE THAT WAS DEAD CAME FORTH BOUND HAND AND FOOT WITH GRAVE CLOTHES. AND HIS FACE WAS BOUND ABOUT WITH A NAPKIN. JESUS SAYS TO THEM; LOOSE HIM, AND LET HIM GO.

MY design from these words, is to make a few observations on the miraculous fact related in them. This is one of the most remarkable of all our Saviour's miracles. It is related by the Apostle John with a simplicity of style; and the main circumstances attending it are told with a minuteness, and, at the same time, a brevity, that cannot but impress an unprejudiced mind. Had a per-

fon who knew he was endeavouring to gain belief to an imposition which he had been concerned in contriving, given us this narrative, it would have been told in a very different manner. It would, probably, have been drawn out to a greater length. particular mention would have been made of times, places, and persons; and some affected apologies and colourings would have been introduced to give it plaufibility, and to guard against objections. But, instead of this, we find it a narrative plain and artless in the highest degree, without a circumflance that shews an attempt to give it any dress, or an expression that betrays a design: to furprise and deceive. In short; the astonishing miracle, which is the subject of this narrative, is told us exactly as we should expect an honest but unlettered man, who had been familiarized to miracles, to relate a hids of this kind, to which he was conscious of having been an eye and ear witness.

It has been thought strange that the other Evangelists have omitted to give us an account of this miracle. Several reasons have been assigned for this omission, which I will

just mention to you.

It should be considered, that none of the Evangelists appear to have aimed at giving us a complete account of all our Saviour's miracles. It should be considered farther,

that.

that this miracle was performed in the interval of time between our Saviour's going into the country beyond Jordan, and his going up to his last passover; and that this was a more private part of his ministry, concerning which the other Evangelist's have faid little. But what deserves most to be attended to, is, that the Evangelists must have felt a particular delicacy with respect to the publication of this miracle. First; because it was a miracle performed on a friend, in a family with which our Saviour was intimate. And fecondly; because Lazarus might still be living, at the time they wrote their Gospels, and might be subjected to great inconveniences by having his name mentioned as the subject of such a mir-This, however, was a reason which cannot be supposed to have existed when John wrote. There was a tradition among the Fathers, that Lazarus lived thirty years after his refurrection; and John did not write his Gospel till at least forty or fifty years afterwards. Lazarus, therefore, most probably was not then alive; and John, for this reason, must have been more at liberty. to give an account of his refurrection.

It feems proper farther to mention here, that St. John, as he wrote last, wrote also on purpose to give a supplement to the other Gospels. He had read these Gospels, and

finding

finding that some important particulars were omitted in them, and others not fully enough related, he composed his Gospel to supply their defects. John's Gospel will appear particularly striking when viewed in this light. Whoever will compare it with the other Gospels must find, that he is generally careful to avoid repeating accounts which the other Evangelists had given before him; and that the bulk of it is a relation of facts and instructions about which they have been filent. The account I am now to confider is one instance of this. Though extremely short, confidering the magnitude of the fact, it is given us more fully than most of the accounts of Christ's other miracles; and we cannot employ ourselves more profitably than in confidering it.

What may be first worth your notice in this miracle, is the character of the person on whom it was persormed. Our Saviour had a particular affection for him. He calls him his friend in the 11th verse of this chapter; and the message which was sent him to acquaint him with his illness was expressed in these words: Lord, Behold him whom thou lovest in sick. We may well believe, that a person who was thus distinguished, must have been endowed with some very amiable qualities. John tells us farther,

ther, that he had two fifters, whose names were Martha and Mary; and that they lived together in a village called Bethany, within fifteen furlongs of Jerusalem. Lazarus was taken ill, our Saviour was at a confiderable distance from Bethany. was natural for Martha and Mary, knowing the particular affection he had for their brother, to hope that he would exert those miraculous powers, by which he had cured others, in recovering this his friend. They, therefore, fent to him to inform him of their brother's fickness, hoping that he would foon come to them, and give them relief. But, we are told, that, after receiving the message, he staid two days in the place were he was. The reason of this delay was, that he chose Lazarus should die before he got to Bethany, because he intended, for the fuller manifestation of his Divine Mission, to raise him from the dead. Had he been on the fpot when Lazarus died, he would have fuffered, perhaps, some troublesome importunities; nor, I think, would it have looked fo well for him to have permitted Lazarus to die while he was with him, and after that to raife him from the dead.

Secondly. The humility which our Lord discovered on this occasion is worth our notice. After staying two days where he was when he received the account of Lazarus's

fickness, he told his disciples that he was refolved to go into Judea, and invited them to go with him, informing them, at the same time, of the death of Lazarus. The words in which he gave this information are a little remarkable. Ver, 11. Our friend Lazarus sleepeth, and I go to awake him out of sleep. He does not fay, Lazarus is dead. would have been too harsh. Nor does he fay; I go to raise him from the dead, and thus to display my great power. A deceiver would, probably, have used some boasting language of this kind. But he, avoiding all oftenta-tion, expresses himself in the gentlest and fimplest language, faying only, "that Lazarus was afleep, and that he was going to wake him."—Another circumstance to the same purpose, is his ordering the stone to be removed from the mouth of the sepulchre just before he ordered Lazarus to come forth. He might, undoubtedly, have commanded the stone to roll away of itself; and, perhaps, a bold impostor would have been represented as doing this. But our Lord did not multiply miracles needlessly, or do any thing for the fake only of shew and parade. Again; the manner in which he refers this miracle to the will and power of God, requires our attention. After the stone was taken away, he made, we are told, a folemn address to God; and, lifting up his eyes, faid,

faid, Father, I thank thee that thou hast heard me.. This implies, that his ability to work this miracle was the consequence of his having prayed for it. Throughout his whole ministry, he was careful to direct the regards of men to the Deity, as the fountain of all his power. His language was; The Father who dwelleth in me, he doth the works. I can of mine own self do nothing. I came to do the

will of him that fent me.

Thirdly. We should take notice in the account of this miracle, of the tenderness and benevolence of our Saviour's disposition. It is faid, that when he faw Mary weeping, and the Jews also weeping, he groaned in his spirit, and was troubled. And it is added, as a circumstance particularly observable, that HE likewise wept. Jesus wept. Ver. 35. The remarks which, we are told, the spectators made on this, are very natural. Some, imagining that his tears flowed from his concern for the death of his friend, faid, Behold how be loved him. Others, wondering that, as Lazarus was his friend, he had not exerted the miraculous powers, by which he had cured others, in curing him, faid; Could not this man who opened the eyes of the blind, bave caused that even this man should not have died? Ver. 37.—The reason of his weeping could not be his forrow for the death of Lazarus; for he well knew that he

he should soon restore him to life: But, most probably, his sympathy with the forrow of Lazarus's friends, heightened by reflections, to which, on this occasion, he might beded, on death and its attendant evils. might, likewise, be much impressed (as we find he was at other times) by observing the perverseness discovered by some of the Jews who furrounded him, and by his forefight of the calamities that threatened them. Wehave an account of his weeping, on another occasion, in Luke xix. 41. where it is said, that when he came near to Jerusalem and beheld it, he west over it. In these instances we fee plainly the workings of an ardent benevolence; and we may infer from them, that it is by no means below the characterof a wife man to be, on certain occasions, so far overcome by his affectionate feelings, as to be forced into tears. This happened to our Saviour on the occasions I have mentioned; and he only appears to us the more. amiable for it. Wretched, indeed, is that philosophy which teaches us to suppress our tender feelings. Such a philosophy, by aiming at elevating us above human nature, finks us below it. Our Saviour was greater than any human being; and yet we find that even he wept. How foolish then would it be in us to be ashamed of any similar tenderness into which we may be forced? A **ftoical** 

Roical infensibility is certainly rather a vice than a virtue. At no time does a person appear more levely than when conquered by his kind affections, and melted by them into tears. Let us then learn to despise all pretensions to a wisdom which would take from us any of our natural fensibilities; remembering, however, to take care to keep them always, as far as we can, under proper refraint. It is neither a fin nor a weakness to fall into tears; but it is wrong to weep like persons who have no hope, or who are not fatisfied with God's will. Our passions have been wifely and kindly given us; and our duty is, not to eradicate but to regulate them, by fo watching over them, as never to fuffer them to lead us into any excesses that would betray an impotence of mind, and a dissidence of Providence.

Fourthly. The DIGNITY of Christ, in working this miracle, deserves our attention. How great did he appear in his conversation with Martha before he got to the sepulchre; and, particularly, when he declared of himself that he was the Resurrection and the Life, and that he who believeth in him, though he were dead, yet shall he live? How great did he appear when, after addressing himself to the Deity, he cried out with a loud voice, at the sepulchre, LAZARUS COME FORTH? And when, in consequence of this

this call, Lazarus immediately awoke from death, and shewed himself in perfect health? What a manifestation was this of his glory, and how evidently did it prove that the power of God dwelt in him?

But this leads me to defire you to attend to the assurance this miracle gives us of the Divine mission of Christ. We can scarcely conceive of a more wonderful exertion of power, than the instantaneous restoration to life and health of a person whose body was putrifying in the grave. He that did this must have been sent of God. It is wholly inconceivable, that a deceiver should be able to produce such credentials. It is only the power which gave life that can thus restore it, and re-unite our souls and bodies: after a separation. We may, therefore, asfure ourselves, that the person who worked this miracle, and who possessed such an abfolute command over nature as Christ difcovered, was indeed, what he declared himfelf to be, a Messenger from heaven to save: mankind, and that great Messiah whose coming had been promised from the beginning of the world.

It has been urged by unbelievers, that, granting the reality of miracles, they are no proof of the truth of doctrines, there being no connexion between a display of supernatural power and truth. The stress which

which unbelievers have laid on this objection is mere affectation. Did they believe the miracles, they would, whatever they may pretend, find themselves under a necessity of receiving the doctrines of Christianity; and, it will be time: enough to answer this objection, when a man can be found, not a functic, who can honestly say, that he believes the miracle in particular which is the subject of this discourse, but does not believe the doctrine which it was intended to prove.

But what deserves more particular notice here is, that it appears from this miracle, that Christ is hereaster to raise all mankind from death. Just before he performed it, Martha having said to him, Lord, if those hads been here, my brother had not died, he told her, in order to comfort her, that her brother should rise again. She, not understanding him, replied, I know that he shall rise again at the resurrection at the tast day; to which he answered, with a voice of unspeakable dignity, I am the RESURRECTION and the LIVE. He that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosever liveth and beliveth in me shall never die.

That is, "I am the person by whom manikind are to be raised from the dead, it signifies not whether he that is my true disciple is dead or alive. If he is dead, he shall live again; and if he is alive, his ex-

istence

istence shall be continued to him beyond the grave, and his difmission from this world shall be his introduction to a better world. where he shall never die."---- After making this declaration, and to demonstrate the truth of it by giving a specimen of that power by which he was to effect the universal refurrection, he walked to Lazarus's grave, and raifed him from the dead.—What evi-have, in the Gospel History, accounts of his raising from the dead two other persons; and, after being crucified and buried, he rose himself from the dead and ascended to heaven.—These facts exhibit him to our senfes as indeed the RESURRECTION and the LIFE. No doubt can remain of a doctrine thus proved.—Give me leave to hold your attention here a little longer.-In John v. 256 our Saviour, we are told, faid to the Jews; Verily, verily, I say unto you, the hour is coming, and NOW 18, when the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of God, and they that hear shall live. Soon after uttering these words. he faid again, as we read in the same chanter, verse 28. The hour is coming when all that are in their graves shall hear the voice of the Son of Man, and Shall come forth. that have done good to the refurrection of life; and they that have done evil to the resurrection of damnation.

In the circumftances which attended the refurrection of Lazarus, our Saviour feems to have referred to these declarations, and to have intended to verify and exemplify He cried, we are told, ver. 43, with a loud voice, like, perhaps, to that by which he had faid he would hereafter raise at the dead, LAZARUS come forth; and in a moment he did come forth.—Thus will the whole world, at the last day, hear the voice: of the Son of God. Thus will he then burst the bars of the grave, rescue from the king of terrors his priloners, and call to life the Head of all nations, ranks, and times. How awful this prospect! How consoling and elevating to good men, amidst the waste that **Menth** is continually making around them? What reason have we to value our relation to that Deliverer, to whom, under God, it is owing? And how ought we to triumph. in the affurance he has given us, that, though we must soon lose our powers in death, we: hall hereafter recover them; fpring up from the dust at his command, new made and improved; and, with all the faithful, enter (not on fuch a life as that to which Lazarus was reftored) but on a glorious and endless life in the heavens.

Before I proceed\* I shall here request your attention, while I briefly consider the objections

Here this fermon was divided into two fermons.

tions which unbelievers have made to the account given by St. John of this miracle.

Sufficient notice has been already taken of feveral of these objections; but there are some which have not been mentioned, and on which it will not be improper to make a few remarks.

It has been asked, whether there is suffisient reason to believe, that Lazarus was,
really dead. The answer is, that he died,
not suddenly, but of an illness that increased,
gradually, and lasted several days—that, in
this case, there is no danger of mistaking the
signs of death—that his friends had buried
him; and, therefore, must have affured themselves of his death—that he had been in his
grave four days; and that, had he not been,
dead, the napkin which we are told, was,
tied round his face, and the grave clothes,
and filletings with which he was bound,
would alone have been sufficient to kill
him.

It has been farther inquired, how, if he was bound hand and foot, as St. John tells us, he could, on our Saviour's call, come forth out of the grave. The answer is obvious. Upon the supposition of the reality of the miracle, there can be no difficulty in conceiving it carried so far, as not only to bring Lazarus to life, but to present him also out of the grave before the spectators. But

were it necessary to suppose the miracle not carried thus far, the objection would deferve little regard, because founded on an ignorance of the manner of burying among the ancients. The graves among the Jews and other nations in former times, were caves hewn out of rocks, in the fides of which the dead, after being embalmed, were deposited without coffins. When, therefore, by our Saviour's order, the stone was taken away from the mouth of Lazarus's sepulchre, it is possible that his corpse might be exposed to view; and when it is faid, that he came forth bound hand and foot, the meaning may be, not that he walked out of the sepulchre; but that he raised himself up in the side of the cave or cell where he was laid, and flid down from it upon his feet, and there continued till he was unbound and could walk about.

But the chief difficulty which occurs in confidering the account of this miracle is, the effect which, we are told, it had on the chief priests and Pharisees. Instead of being properly impressed by it, we read, verse 53, that, after taking counsel together, they determined to use all possible means to put Jesus to death. They even went so far as to think of measures for putting Lazarus himself to death. Similar to this, according to the Gospel History, was the general conduct

conduct of the leading Jews with respect to our Lord. Instead of being engaged by the increasing glory of his character, and the overpowering evidence of his miracles, to strike to him, they were only stimulated to greater rage, and made more desperate in their resolution to crush him: And this may seem a pitch of wickedness so diabolical as to exceed the limits of human depravity, and, therefore, to be incredible. I am in hopes, however, that you will think otherwise, when you have attended to the follow-

ing observations.

It is a previous: observation, necessary to be attended to, that the Jewish rulers appear to have been convinced of the supernatural power and prophetical character of our Lord. This the Gospel History plainly tells. John xii. 42. Among the chief rulers also many believed on him; but did not confess. him, because they loved the praise of men more than the praise of God. We know, says Nicodemus (the ruler who came to Jefus by night) that thou art a teacher come from God, for no one can do the miracles thou dost except God be with him. John iii. 2. On hearing the report of this miracle in particular, the language of the chief priests and Pharisees was; What do we? for this man doth many miracles. If we let him thus alone, all men will believe in him. John xi. 47. When we read, that

that they did not believe in him, the meaning is, that they did not receive him, and fubmit to him as a messenger from heaven; and what, therefore, is to be accounted for is, not so much their want of faith in him, as their rejection and persecution of him notwithstanding their faith.

In order to explain this, I would defire

you to confider,

First. The general character of the Jews. In every age they had been infamous for their perfecution of the prophets who were fent to them. About this time, more especially, it appears that they were arrived at a pitch of wickedness which went beyond common depravity. Josephus says, that he believed "there never existed, from the be-" ginning of the world, a generation of men " more profligate than the body of the Jew-"ish leaders and nobility were at the time "Jerusalem was besieged by the Romans:" And if they were then so vicious, it is not likely they were of a different character forty years before, when our Lord preached to them.

Secondly. The provocation our Lord gave them should be considered. It is remarkable, that it does not appear that he ever expressed himself with particular warmth except when he spoked of these men. Against the Scribes and Pharisees we find him

always declaring a most pointed and irreconcilable indignation. He charged them with being guilty of almost every vice that could stain a human character; and, particularly, with religious hypocrify, doing all their good works to be feen of men; pretending uncommon fanctity, and making long prayers, but devouring widows houses; straining out a gnat, but swallowing a camel; careful not to omit any punctilio of a ceremony, and paying tithe of mint, anife, and cummin, but neglecting the weightier matters of the law, justice, mercy, and fidelity; binding heavy burdens on others which they would not touch with one of their fingers; compassing sea and land to make one proselyte, who, when made, became tenfold more a child of hell than themselves; claiming an absolute authority over the conficiences of the people, while they taught for doctrines the commandments of men, and corrupted the law of God; loving greetings in the markets, and the chief feats in fynagogues, and studying (by going about in long robes, praying in the corners of the streets, sounding a trumpet when they gave alms, and enlarging the borders of their garments) to appear outwardly righteous, while inwardly they were like whited fepulchres, full of dead men's bones and of all uncleanness. In short, their character, according

cording to our Lord's representation of it, was completely detestable; and, perhaps, the account we have of it has been providentially given us to prevent our wondering at the violence of their opposition to our Saviour, notwithstanding all they saw and knew of his miraculous powers. He even declared a preference to them of publicans and sinners, of thieves and harlots, who, he assured them, were more likely to enter into the kingdom of the Messiah than they were.

His discourse in the 23d chapter of Matthew, is particularly worth your attention on this occasion. In this discourse he denounces the judgments of heaven upon them for their wickedness, calling them blind guides, and a generation of vipers, who could not escape the damnation of hell. He pronounces seven times the words, WOE UNTO YOU SCRIBES AND PHARISEES, HYPO-CRITES; and concludes with faying, there was no remedy for them, but that on them would come all the righteous blood which had been shed from the beginning of the world; that is, a punishment so dreadful as to bear to be so expressed. Thus did he hold them up to public detestation as enemies to the progress of truth and virtue, and a body of pious knaves destined to destruction: And the effect must have been the ruin of their credit and authority. Could there have been

a provocation more intolerable? In truth, the wonder is, that they bore him so long as they did; and the probability is, that they would have brought him to a quicker end, had it not been for an awe produced in their minds by the splendor of his miracles, united to their apprehensions of danger from the people, who, we are informed, all took him for a prophet, and were ready for a revolt in his favour.

But let us farther confider what they must have done, and how much they must have relinquished, had they struck to him. They must have made themselves the disciples of the Son of a Carpenter, followed by twelvemean fishermen, without state or pomp, or even a place in which to lay his head. They must have descended from their seats of power and influence, and placed themselves under the direction of an enemy who had unmasked and exposed them, and from whom they could expect no mercy. bove all, they must have acknowledged themfelves the wicked wretches he had declared them to be, and given up their ambition, their hypocrify, and their vices. strange, that even miracles, whatever conviction they might extort, did not produce this effect? Perhaps, indeed, there is not now a country under heaven in which, in fimilar circumstances, our Lord would not

meet with fimilar treatment. Suppose, for instance, that in ITALY, a prophet was to arise and to go about preaching repentance to the inhabitants; calling them from the worship of the host, of images, the Virgin Mary, and the faints, to the worship of one God; reprobating Popery as a system of fuperstition, and spiritual fraud, and domination, injutious to the effential interest of men, by teaching a way of being religious without being virtuous, and of getting to heaven without forfaking vice; and, at the same time, delivering woes against the public teachers and rulers, as hypocritical corrupters of true religion, as supporters of idolatry and falsehood, and enemies to the improvement and happiness of mankind.—Suppose, I say, this now to happen in ITALY; what can you imagine would be the effect? What evidence would be fufficient to engage the Pope, the Cardinals, and the different orders of Priests, to listen to such a preacher, and acknowledge his authority; to renounce their usurped honours and dignities; to give up the abuses to which they ewed their wealth and their confequence. and to reform their doctrine and manners? Would not the whole force of clerical and civil power be exerted to filence and crush him as soon as possible? Would miracles themselves, unless employed for the K 2 purpose

purpose of protecting him, long preserve him? Would he be perfectly safe, even in this country, were he to come to us, and to attack established corruptions, provoke the vicious in high places, and unmask religious prevaricators, the supporters of abuses, and the enemies of reformation in the manner our Lord did in Judea? The observation I am now making has been verified by the experience of all past ages. Such is the power of criminal prejudices, and fuch the stubbornness, and often the fury of vicious men interested in maintaining abuses, that reformers, however powerful their admonitions have been, and eminent their characters, have feldom long escaped persecution and violent deaths. Provocations unspeakably less than those given to the Jews by our Saviour, have every where produced the same effects. In ATHENS, the poisoning of SOCRATES. In BRITAIN, the burning of CRANMER, LATIMER, RIDLEY, &c.

But this is by no means all that is to be faid in answer to the objection I am considering. In our Lord's circumstances with respect to the Jews, there was much that was peculiar, and that can never again exist in any country. In order to understand this, you must recollect, that all the Jews were, in the time of our Saviour, eagerly and impatiently looking for the Messiah promised

mifed in their facred writings; and that the only notion they had of this Messiah was,\* thathe would be a temporal prince, and a great conqueror, who would come with a train of splendid courtiers and figns in the heavens, fet himself at the head of a mighty army, deliver them from the Roman yoke, restore them to their long lost liberty, and elevate them to the fovereignty of the world-Their leading men, in particular, reckoned on being the most favoured men in his kingdom, on having their confequence among the people confirmed and enlarged, and enjoying, in the greatest abundance, pleasures, preferments, honours, and riches. When, therefore, they heard the fame of Jesus, and faw the displays of his supernatural power; they could not but be led to conclude, that he might prove the Messiah, or, at least, that the nation would take him to be fo : and, as he had avowed himself their adverfary, this would necessarily alarm them. It was impossible they should not dislike such a Messiah—a Messiah who was continually warning the people against them, and who had funk their credit-a Messiah who had

made

<sup>\*</sup> This opinion was not confined to the Jews. "There had been, Suetonius tells us (Vefpaf chap.

<sup>4.)</sup> THROUGH ALL THE EAST, an ancient and confiant expectation, that at that time fome one from

<sup>&</sup>quot; Stant expectation, that at that time some one from
" Sudea should obtain the empire of the world."

made humility, felf-denial, repentance, and heavenly-mindedness, the conditions of his favour—a Messiah who publicly threatened them, who had pronounced them the worst of mankind, and declared, that instead of sharing inthe happiness of the Messiah's reign, they would be excluded from it, become victims of Divine justice, and suffer a punishment sharper than any that had been ever inslicted.

It is true that, with wonderful prudence, he avoided declaring himself the Messiah. The effect of fuch a declaration would have been producing tumults which must have defeated his views. The proper time for this was after his departure from this world, when it would be impossible to mistake it for a call to rebellion. But the rulers of the Jewsamust have expected, that he would foot quit his referve, publish his pretensions, and fet up his standard; and the more he diftinguished himself, the more they must have apprehended, that he might do this with a success that (either by enabling him to execute his threats, or by bringing the Roman power upon them) would occasion their Thus circumstanced, every miracle ruin. he wrought, every testimony he received of popular favour, and every difplay he made of his prophetical character, could, in their depraved minds, have no other effect than

to increase their alarm, to work them up to greater violence, and to render them more desperate in their attempts to provide for their own security by destroying him.

Our Lord's parable of the vineyard let out to unfaithful husbandmen, delivered not long before his crucifixion, affords a particular confirmation of these observations. this parable, he intimates to the chief priests and elders of the people that, in spite of all their efforts, he should rife to universal power; and that the consequence would be, his falling upon them (like a great corner-stone) and grinding them to powder. And we are told that they understood his meaning, and were so exasperated by it, that they endeavoured immediately to feize him, but were deterred by the people. See the 21st chapter of Matthew, from the 25th verse to the end.

In fhort; Jesus, after raising Lazarus: from the dead, became possest of an influence among the people which would, had he availed himself of it, have been irresistable. They \* were ripened by it for an infurrection.

<sup>\*</sup>The disposition of the Jews at this time to rise in favour of every pretender who offered himself to them as the temporal deliverer they expected in the Messiah, is well known. It was this chiefly, as Josephus says, that produced the war which ruined them; and it was our Lord's disappointing their

furrection, and the flightest encouragement would have brought them together to fight under him, and to proclaim him their great Messiah. The hypocrites who, in the tone, and with the authority of a prophet sent from God, he had proscribed, could not obferve this without terror. Their danger appeared to be increasing with every increase of his popularity, and growing more imminent in proportion to the proofs he gave of his Divine mission. They could not but reckon, that as he rose they must sink; and that either be or they must perish. This produced a contest singular and unparalleled. Our Lord gave it up by yielding to their power. It was a great mistake to think, that his kingdom was a temporal kingdom, or that he had any worldly viewer.

views, by refuting to be made a king, and fuffering himself to be taken and condemned, that made the

himself to be taken and condemned, that made the people turn at last against him.

"The Jewish people," (says Dr. Lardner, in hiscollection of Jewish and heathen testimonies to the truth of Christianity, chap. iii. sect. 7.) "had met: "with many disappointments from our Lord; and "and yet, when he entered into Jerusalem in no great- er state than riding on an ass, they accompanied the Son of David. Blessed is the King who cometh in the name of the Lord. And Jesus, not assuming then the character of an earthly Prince, was a fresh disappointment to them, and lest deep regular."

He did not come for flaughter and triumph, like the favage conquerors of this world, but to fuffer and to die; and it was necessary that his death should be a public death. His own resurrection (the ground of all human hope) could not otherwise have been properly ascertained. He, therefore, made a voluntary surrender of himself to his enemies; and, to fulfil the counsels of Providence,\* submitted to be publicly contidenced.

Thefe

\* Their fuccess in taking and condemning him led them to conclude they had obtained a complete victory over him, and delivered themselves from the danger with which he had threatened them. the events which foon followed proved the contrary. He cofe to all power in heaven and earth; and, in a few years after this, fent his armies to destroy these murderers. Vengeance came upon them to the uttermost; and his prophetical denunciations were fully verified .- Josephus tells us, that twelve thousand of the Jewish nobility perished at the siege of Jerusalem; that the vengeance of heaven appeared plainly to be upon them; and that, in his opinion, all the calamities which had ever happened to any people from the beginning of the world, were not to be compared with those which befel the Jews at this time. Multitudes, he fays, were crucified by the Romans before the walls; and so great was the number of those who thus suffered, that room was wanting for crofles, and croffes were wanting for bodies.

These observations seem to be a full an-Iwer to the objection I have stated. And they explain what is said in Matth. xxvii. 18. that it was from ENVY the chief Priests and Pharisees had delivered him; that is, from a jealousy of his popularity, and a dread of its effects: And, also, what we are told (in a passage already quoted) these chief priests said, on hearing of the resurrection of Lazarus, What do we? for this man doth many miracles. If we let him thus alone, all men will believe in him, and the Romans will come and take away both our place and nation. John xi. 47, 48.

There are two reflections which are naturally fuggested to us by these observations.

First. We should consider how striking a proof they give us of the truth of our re-Had Christ been a deceiver, he would have fallen in with the prejudices of his countrymen. He would have offered himself to them as just the Messiah they expected and wanted; for it was only in the scheme of such a Messiah the views of a deceiver could be gratified. He would have endeavoured to ingratiate himself with the chief priests and rulers, encouraged their ambition, and flattered their vices. have heard how differently he acted; how he provoked, instead of soothing, the Jewish rulers; and threatened, instead of flattering them;

them; and thus made himself odious and terrible to them in the highest degree. There cannot be a stronger argument for his Divine mission. If there is any person who does not feel the weight of it, he must be either very much prejudiced, or very inattentive.

Secondly, We are led, by the observations I have made, to reflect on the wisdom of Divine Providence, in ordering the circumstances which attended the introduction of Christianity into the world. Had the body of the Jewish leaders and priests (and consequently the nation in general) received Christ, the evidences of our religion would have been much diminished. A suspicion would have been unavoidable, that it was an imposition contrived by the Jews, and which had made its way in the world by the power and policy \* of the Jewish state.

But

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Had the great body of your nation, and efpecially the rulers of it in the time of Christ, embraced Christianity; as it was a religion which
forung up among yourselves, it would have been
faid at this day, that it was a contrivance of those
who had it in their power to impose upon the common people, and to make them believe whatever
they pleased; and that your Scriptures which bear
testimony to Christ had been altered to favour the
imposture. Whereas the violent opposition which
your nation in general, and the rules of it, made
to Christianity, will forever put it of the power

But I have gone far beyond the bounds I intended in speaking on this subject.

Let us now pause a moment, and endeavour to bring back our thoughts to the refurrection of Lazarus.—Never, except when Jesus himself rose from the dead, was a scene so interesting exhibited on the stage of this world. The confideration of it should engage us to exercise faith in Christ as our Saviour, and to rely on his power to deliver us from the all-devouring grave. His exhortation to his apostles just before his last fufferings was : You believe in God. Believe also in me.—Thus also, in his words, would I now exhort you.- "You believe in God." He is the ONE SUPREME, and the cause of all the causes of your happiness. 46 But believe also in Christ." He is the one Mediator, and the chosen Minister of God's goodness to you. As in Adam all died; so in him shall all be made alive. 1 Cor. xv. 22. he will descend again from heaven, not to labour and fuffer, but to gather the fruits of his labours and fufferings; not to die, but to destroy death, and to change these our vile bodies.

<sup>&</sup>quot; of unbelievers to fay, that it was a scheme which the founders of it carried on in concert with any human powers." See the letters lately addressed to the Jews by Dr. Priessley, in which, with a force of persuasion they ought to feel, he invites them to an amicable discussion with him of the evidences of Christianity. Fifth Letter, p. 45.

bodies, that they may be fashioned like unto his glorious body, according to that mighty power by rubich he is able to subdue all things to himfelf. Phil. iii. 21. Ås the Father hath life in himself, so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself. John v. 26. We have been contemplating a striking proof of this. As his call brought Lazarus to life; so will it, hereafter, bring to life you and me, and all mankind. At his coming the sea shall give up the dead that are in it, and death and the invisible state shall give up the dead that are in them. He shall sit on the throne of his glory, and before him shall be gathered all nations to be judged according to their works.—He shall separate them as a shepherd divideth his sheep from the goats-The righteous he shall place on bis right hand; the wicked on his left. To the former he will say—Come, ye blessed of my Fa-ther, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world. To the latter, Go, ye curfed, into everlasting fire.—God grant, fellow Christians, that we may be prepared for this folemn time. A step more may bring us to it. Death is pressing hard towards us; and when it comes, the curtain will drop which hides from our view another world, and these scenes will open upon us. The intervening time of lying amongst the dead, our imaginations are apt greatly to misrepresent. There may be, to our perceptions,

ceptions, no difference, whether it is four days, as in the case of Lazarus, or a thou-fand ages. Let us then be stedfast in every good purpose, never, while in the way of our duty, desponding under any troubles, or weeping as without hope, forasmuch as we know that our Redeemer sweth, and will stand at the latter day on the earth; and that though our bodies must putrify in the ground, and worms devour them, yet in our flesh we shall

fee God. Job xix. 26.

And now, before I dismiss you, let me defire you to join with me, in taking one more view of what paffed at Lazarus's grave. is pleafing, in the highest degree, to set before our imaginations that scene.-Christ declares himself the resurrection and the life; and then walks to the grave. In his way to it (observing the sorrow of Lazarus'a friends, and reflecting on the calamities of human nature) he falls into tears. arrived at it, he orders the stone at the mouth of it to be taken away; and (in answer to Martha, who objected that the smell would be offensive) he fays, that if she believed, she should see the glory of God-He solemnly addresses the Deity, and thanks him for hearing him-The spectators stand around big with expectation—He cries with a loud voice, Lazarus, come forth-Immediately he came forth, and shewed himself alive. Con-

. . . . .

ceive, if you can the astonishment this produced. Think, particularly, of the emotions of Lazarus's friends. What delight must they have felt? How joyful must it have been to Martha and Mary to receive their beloved brother from the dead? With what ecstacies must they have embraced him, and welcomed him to the light of life? How, probably, did they fall down before Jesus in gratitude and wonder?

But let not our thoughts stop here. Let us carry them on to the morning of the universal resurrection. What happened now was a faint resemblance of what will happen then.-How gladly will virtuous men open their eyes on that morning, and hail the dawning of an endless day? With what rapture will they then meet, congratulate one another on their escape from danger and trouble, and unite their voices in praising their Deliverer? What will be their joy to exchange corruption for incorruption, and weakness for power; to take leave of fin and forrow, and lose all their maladies; to throw off their fetters, recover perfect health and liberty, mount up on high to meet the Lord in the air, and draw immortal breath?

Oh! bleffed period!—Come Lord Jesus. Come quickly. And when thy voice shall hereafter awake all the dead; may we find

L 2 this

## 126 RESURRECTION OF LAZARUS.

this happiness ours; and be taken, with all we have loved here, to live with thee for ever.

SERMON



# SERMON VI.

Of the Christian Doctrine as held by all Christians.

## 1 TIMOTHY, i. 11.

THE GLORIOUS GOSPEL OF THE BLESSED GOOL

The religion we profess, the character of it given by St. Paul in these words. It is the glorious Gospel of the blessed God. It is a heavenly gift, important and interesting in the highest degree. Nothing, therefore, can be more proper than that we should examine it carefully, and endeavour to understand clearly its nature and contents. All our attachment to it without this must be unmeaning and absurd. My present design is to give you some

## 148 OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE.

fome affiltance in making this examination, by answering, in the best manner I can, the following inquiries.

What is the Gospel? What instruction does it convey? What is the information which renders it a GLORIOUS GOSPEL WOR-

thy of the bleffed God?

The word Gospel, as you well know, is derived, both in the English and the Greek languages, from two words, which fignify good news. The very title given it, therefore, in my text, intimates to us its general nature and defign. It is a communication of good tidings to mankind from the bleffed God.

Before I enter upon an account of the particulars of this information, my views in this and some following discourses require me to observe to you, that there is a great diversity of opinions among Christians on this subject. The different accounts which have been given of the Gospel of Christ are indeed numberless; and they have given rise to many great evils; particularly, the two following.

First. An objection to Christianity has been founded upon them on which great stress has been laid; and which, I fear, has prevented some from giving the evidence for it a patient and favourable hearing. It has been urged that, if the Gospel was in-

deed

deed a revelation from heaven, it would be fo clear and explicit as to leave no room for such differences, and to preclude all disputes about its meaning, a dark revelation being, as unbelievers fay, an inconsistency, which implies a reflection on the perfections of the Deity, and equivalent to no revelation. Those who make this objection go upon the supposition, that God can be the author of no information which is capable of being misunderstood, and, consequently of creating disputes. There cannot be a more groundless supposition. God conveys information to us by our reason, as well as by revelation. The light of nature is a light derived from him as well as the light of the Gospel; and there is no more reason to expect that the one should be so clear as to exclude mistakes and disputes than the other. While we continue such frail and fallible creatures as we are, it is impossible that we should not be in danger of falling into differences of opinion, and fometimes into gross errors; and to complain of this would be much the same with complaining because we are not made omniscient and perfect beings. There is not a principle of common fense that has not been controverted, nor a truth discoverable by the light of reason of which different accounts have not been given, and which has not been mifconceived

conceived and perverted. And yet no one ever thinks of inferring from hence that reason is not the gift of God, or that it is not a valuable gift. There is just as little reason for drawing the like inference concerning Christianity from the different opinions, and the disputes among its professors.

But there is another answer to this objection which is more to my present purpose; and which I shall take notice of, after mentioning the next great evil arising from the disputes among Christians. I mean, the embarrassments they occasion in the minds of

many good men.

It is impossible, when plain and honest men hear the different parties among Christians contradicting one another in the manner they do; one faying, this is the Gospel of Christ; and another saying the contrary; and all positive and dogmatical: It is, I say, impossible that, in such circumstances, a plain man, unaccustomed to inquiry, should not be puzzled, and thrown into a state of perplexity and distraction. Most of these parties lay the greatest stress on their accounts of the Gospel; and too many go fo far as to connect falvation with them, and to confign to hell all that do not receive them. I should do an essential service could I remove the stumbling blocks which these litigations throw in the way of common Christians.

Christians, And my chief intention, present discourse, is to attempt the thewing you, that Christians, of all p however they may censure one an and whatever opposition there may se be in their fentiments, are agreed in al is essential to Christianity, and with r to all the information which it is its cipal defign to communicate. appear, it will fet our minds at ease a the controversies that take place in Christian church, and enable us to with an equal eye of charity and can on all our fellow Christians: And it also effectually remove that objection Christianity which I have mentioned.

In attempting this, I will recite to those doctrines and facts of Christia which all Christians believe, and which so plainly revealed as to exclude the p bility of disputes about them; after wh I will shew you the nature of the different among Christians, in order to prove the doctrines universally received are that are effential.

In the first place; the Gospel teaches that there is only one living and true Gospel teaches. This is a fundamental doctrine which to New Testament holds forth to us in almost every page. There is but one being good says Jesus Christ, that is God. There are

fays

fays St. Paul, Gods many; but to us there is but one God, the Father. Many of our fellow Christians, indeed, maintain doctrines which feem to clash with this effential doctrine; but they all profess to believe it, and with fo much zeal, as to be greatly offended whenever they are charged with contradicting it. Though the Divine nature, according to them, confilts of three persons; and the Son (one of these persons) consists of two natures; yet these three persons make but one being. If there is a palpable abfurdity in this, it only proves that the Gospel teaches the Divine Unity so decisively as to force every Christian to acknowledge it, however inconfistent with his other opinions his acknowledgment of it may be.

But farther; the Gospel teaches us, with perfect clearness, that this one God is possessed of all possible perfection; that he is infinitely wise, powerful, righteous, and benevolent; that he is the moral Governor of the world, an enemy to all wickedness, and a friend to all goodness; and that he directs all events by his Providence to particularly as that the hairs of our heads are all numbered, and that a sparrow does not fall to the ground without him. It teaches us also to imitate, to serve, and to worship him, and to put our trust in him; and comprehends the whole of our duty in loving him

him with all our hearts, and in loving our neighbour as ourselves. It declares to us the necessity of repentance and a holy life; a future state of rewards and punishments; and a future period of universal retribution when all mankind shall be judged accord-

ing to their works.

There are no doubts about any of these particulars among Christians; and they include all that it is most necessary for us to know. But the doctrines which most properly constitute the Gospel are those which relate to Jesus Christ and his mediation. Here, also, there is an agreement with refrect to all that can be deemed effential; for there is no feet of Christians who do not believe that Christ was sent of God; that he is the true Messiah; that he worked miracles, and fuffered, and died, and rose again from the dead, as related in the four Gofpels; that after his refurrection he afcended to heaven, and became possessed of univerfal dominion, being made head over all things in this world; and that he will hereafter make a fecond appearance on this earth, and come from heaven to raife all mankind from death, to judge the world in righteousness, to bestow eternal life on the truly virtuous, and to punish the workers of iniquity.

M

These are the grand facts of Christianity, which Calvinists and Arminians, Trinitarians and Unitarians, Papists and Protestants, Churchmen and Dissenters all equally believe. More especially; with respect to the purpose of Christ's mission, we all equally hold that he came to call sinners to repentance, to teach us the knowledge of God and our duty, to save us from sin and death, and to publish a covenant of grace, by which all sincere penitents and good men are assured of favour and complete happiness in his future everlasting kingdom.

But to bring all nearer to a point.

The information which most properly constitutes the Gospel does not consist of: many particulars. It may be reduced to one: proposition. The word Gospel, I have. faid, fignifies GOOD NEWS; or (as the New Testament calls it) glad tidings of great joy to all people. And the New Testament, when it thus describes the Gospel, has one particular information in view. An information which is indeed completely joyful. I mean, the future coming of Christ to destroy death, and to reinstate us in a happy immortality; or in other words, the glad tidings of pardon to penitents, and a refurrection from death to eternal life through Jesus Christ. It is imposfible there should be any information so important as this; and all Christians believe it;

and

and maintain that the truth of it has been demonstrated by figns and miracles, and, particularly, by the refurrection of Christ, and his consequent ascension and exaltation.

This information includes all that we have any reason to be anxious about; and we should regard with indifference all disputes that leave us in possession of it; and there are no disputes among those who take the New Testament for a rule of faith which do not leave us in possession of it. A deliverance from death, through the power of Christ, to be judged according to our works; and, if virtuous, to enter upon a new and happy life which shall never end: This is the furn and substance of the Gospel; and, also, the fum and fubstance of all that should interest human beings. The evidence for it which the Gospel gives removes all doubts about it: and is fufficient, whether we believe any thing else or not, to carry us (if virtuous) with triumph through this world. What then fignify the differences among Christians about other points? Or of what consequence is it that they have different ways of explaining this point itself? Give me but the fact that Christ is the resurrection and the life, and explain it as you will. Give me but this fingle truth, that ETERNAL LIFE is the gift of God through Jesus Christ our Lord and Saviour, and I shall be perfect-

ly eafy with respect to the contrary opinions which are entertained about the dignity of Christ; about his nature, person, and offices; and the manner in which he faves us. Call him, if you please, simply a man endowed with extraordinary powers; or call him a fuperangelic being, who appeared in human nature for the purpose of accomplishing our falvation; or fay (if you can admit a thought fo shockingly abfurd) that it was the fecond of three coequal persons in the Godhead, forming one person, with a human foul, that came down from heaven, and fuffered and died on the cross: Say that he faves us merely by being a messenger from God to reveal to us eternal life, and to confer it upon us; or fay, on the contrary, that he not only reveals to us eternal life, and confers it upon us, but has obtained it for us by offering himself a propitiatory facrifice on the cross, and making satisfaction to the justice of the Deity for our fins: I shall think fuch differences of little moment, provided the fact is allowed, that Christ did rise from the dead, and will raise us from the dead; and that all righteous penitents will, through God's grace in him, he accepted and made happy forever.

In order to affift you in forming a just idea of the nature of the differences among Christians, I will dwell a little on some of them.

The

The chief of these differences have been those which I have just recited with respect to the person and offices of Christ, some maintaining his simple humanity; others his superiority to man and pre-existence; and others his supreme divinity. And, again; fome maintaining that he faves us only by his instruction and example, and government; and others, that he faves us by being the procuring cause of our salvation, and paying down an equivalent for it. Is it not obvious, with respect to these differences, that they affect not the doctrine it-felf of our falvation by Christ; and that however they are determined, the foundation of our hopes remains the same? I will endeavour to illustrate this by putting a fime ilar cafe.

Suppose a man to have lost a rich inherstance, and to be languishing under a distemper which will foon cut him off for ever from this world. Suppose, in these circumflances, a benefactor to appear, who brings with him, at the expense of much trouble, a remedy for the distemper, and administers it to him, faves his life, and at the same time restores him to his inheritance, and to riches, splendour, and happiness. Would he, in this case, be very anxious about determining whether his benefactor was a native or a foreigner, a private man or a prince? Or M 2 whether

whether the toil which he had gone throught to fave him was derived from his own spontaneous benevolence, or from an instrumentality to which he had submitted, in order to convey the benevolence of another? Though such inquiries might engage his curiosity, would he reckon them of great importance to his interest? Would he not, whatever the true answer to them was, have equal reason to rejoice in the service donehim, and to be thankful for it?

Another subject of dispute among Christians has been the origin of that state of fin and mortality in which we find ourselves, and which gave occasion to the coming of the Messiah. All agree in deriving it from an event called the FALL of man, which happened at the commencement of this But very opposite accounts are given by divines of the nature and confequences of this FALL; some taking the history of it. in Genesis in the strictly literal sense, and maintaining the doctrine of the imputation. of Adam's sin to all his posterity; and others denying this doctrine, and believing the account of the fall to be in a great measure allegorical. But, in reality, it does not much fignify whether we are able or not tofatisfy ourselves on these points. This is of no more importance in this case, than it would be in the case just mentioned, that a person

person dying of a distemper should be able to account for it, and to trace the events which brought it upon him. We find ourselves: frail, degenerate, guilty, and mortal beings. The causes under the Divine government which brought us into this state lie far out of our fight; and, perhaps, were a naked representation of them made to us we should be only perplexed and confounded. It is enough to know that a Deliverer has been provided for us, who has shed his blood for the remission of sins, and conquered death for every man, by submitting to it himself. Instead of quarrelling about Adam's fall, and losing our time and our tempers in litigations about original fin, imputed and inherent, we should learn to take our state as we find it, and to employ ourselves earnestly about nothing but fecuring that better state, that glorious immortality, to the affured' hope of which we have been raifed by the redemption that is in Christ.

I will further instance in the disputes about justification. There are no disputes which have disturbed the Christian church much more; nor are there any which can appear, to a considerate man, more unmeaning and trisling. The principal subject of these disputes has been the question, whether we are justified by faith alone, or by faith in conjunction with good works. You should consider.

#### 140 OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE.

confider, with respect to this question, that those who hold notions the most rigid, make justifying faith to be the feed and principle of personal holiness; and that there is no fect of Christians (however extravagant their doctrines may be) which has not some expedient or salve for maintaining the necessity, of good works. If they fay that personal holiness is not a condition of justification. they fay what amounts to the same, that it is a qualification which must be found in all justified persons, and that without it we cannot be accepted. If they fay that we are justified by faith alone, they add, that we cannot be justified by that faith which is alone (that is, by a faith not accompanied with good works) and that it is only on the virtuous believer, or the man who proves the truth of his faith by his works, that the grace of God in Christ will confer future happiness. How trifling then have been the controversies on this subject? As long as all acknowledge that it is only that faith which works by love, which purifies the heart, and reforms the conduct, that can justify us; of what consequence is it to determine the particular manner in which it justifies us? As long as all hold that the practice of rightcourners is necessary to bring us to heaven, what does it fignify whether it is necessary as the condition of heaven, or as an indifpensable qualification for it? Farther.

Farther. There have been violent-difputes about the future refurrection of mankind; fome maintaining that the very body which had been laid in the grave (and afterwards made a part, perhaps, of a million of other bodies) is to be raifed up; and others denying this, and afferting more rationally, that the doctrine of the refurrection relates more to the man than to the body, and means only our reviviscence after the incapacitation of death, or our becoming again embodied and living spirits in a new state of existence, it being, in their opinion, a circumstance of no consequence (provided the living agent is the same) whether the body is the same or not. In truth, it seems very plain, that our present and our future bodies must be essentially different. The one is flesh and blood. The other is not to be flesh and blood; for St. Paul tells us expressly, that flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God.. But be this as it will; the dispute on this subject is of no particular confequence. vided we know that we are to be raised up. we need not be very anxious to know with what bodies we are to be raifed up. There is no more reason for disturbing ourselves about this, than there would be (were we going to take possession of an inheritance) to disturb ourselves about the materials of the dress in which we shall enter upon it. Akin

### 142 OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE.

Akin to this subject of dispute is another which has much perplexed the minds of many good Christians, and about which they have given way to many very unreasonable prejudices. I shall hope that those who now hear me are superior to those prejudices; and, therefore, I will be explicit on this subject. The subject I mean, is "the " intermediate state between death and the " refurrection." The common persuasions is, that this intermediate state is to be a state of rewards and punishments. But many think the scripture account to be, that rewards and punishments are not to begin till the general judgment; and, consequently, that a suspension of all our powers takes place at Ceath, which will continue till the . morning of the refurrection, when the wick. ed shall awake to everlasting shame and contempt, but the righteous to life eternal. The observation I have made on the other subjects of dispute which I have mentioned. is particularly applicable to this. It is a dispute about the manner and circumstances of a scripture doctrine, and not about the doctrine itself. Let the fact be acknowledge ed (as it is by every Christian) that we are to be raifed up from death; and, if virtuous, to live forever in a better state through the grace of God in Christ: Let, I say, this fact be acknowledged, and we need not care, **fhould** 

should the truth be, that it is to be preceded by a state of sleep and infensibility. On this fupposition, death will only be rendered more awful; for when the exercise of our mental powers ceases, the flux of time ceases with it; the lapse of ages becomes no more than the tick of a watch, or the vibration of a pendulum; and were we never to be recovered, eternity itself would be nothing to us. Whether, therefore, there is an intermediate state or not, death will, to every man, be the same with an immediate entrance on another world, and that which many of our brethren are anxious aboutwill happen. This is evident if there is an intermediate state: And if there is not, it is equally evident; because, in this case, the moment of death will appear to be the moment of our refurrection, though myriads of ages may have intervened, and closing our eyes on this world will be opening them on the day of retribution, and feeing Christ coming to judge mankind, and to be admired in all the virtuous and faith-And, let me here ask, is not this a more pleasing prospect to good men, and a more dreadful one to wicked men, than the prospect of a long interval of delay and expectation in an intermediate state? Were you now going to embark for a voyage, would it be dilagreeable to you to think that.

that, whatever feas may intervene, the moment of your taking fail would to you be the very fame with the moment of your landing on the shore of a better country? Or, were you now stepping into bed after a fatiguing day, would it be disagreeable to you to know that a deep fleep will feize you, lock up all your powers, annihilate the night to you, and join the time of your lying down to the time of your getting up fresh and happy the next morning? I do not, however, mean to fay, that I believe this will be actually the case. There are texts of scripture which I cannot easily reconcile. to it. God only knows what the truth is in this instance. I only mean to fay, that the difference of opinion about it should give us no trouble. In a little time our doubts will be resolved, and death itself, that great teacher, will inform and fatisfy us.

But it is time to come to a conclusion.

The use we should make of what I have been faying, is to learn tranquillity and charity amidst the jarring opinions which prevail in the Christian church. None of them, you have heard, extend to fundamentals. In truth, there is but one thing fundamental, and that is, "an honest mind." But by fundamentals, I mean the doctrines which are most properly the fundamentals of the Christian

۶, ۶

Christian religion, and constitute the information which it was intended to communicate to us. He that runs may read these doctrines in the New Testament; and it is not possible to mistake them. Extremes the most distant, I have shewn you, agree in them, and leave us every thing that is essential to our support and comfort in passing through the world. Pardon to finful man; and a refurrection to dying men, are all that nearly concern us. These, according to all opinions, are affured to us by the Gofpel; and they make it, indeed, what my text calls it, a GLORIOUS Gospel. Glory be to God in the highest. On earth peace; and good will towards men. Let us then love one another, and embrace, with affection, our fellow Christians of all persuasions, making allowances for their mistakes and prejudices. Many of them will indeed look upon us with aversion, and judge hardly of us, if we do not receive their schemes of Christianity, and worship God as they do. But let us shew our superior wisdom and candour by not judging hardly of them.

I shall, in my next discourses, give you an account of these schemes of Christianity. In this discourse my intention has been to prepare you for this account, by inculcating this truth; that however great the differences among Christians are, and however N unreasonable

#### 146 OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE.

unreasonable many of their creeds may be, yet we are all agreed in what is most important; and, particularly, in believing that Christ has abolished death, and brought life and immortality to light; and that, through the grace of God, he will be the author of eternal salvation to all that obey him.

SERMON



# SERMON VII.

Of the Christian Doctrine as held by Trinitarians and Calvinists.

#### 1 TIMOTHY, i. 11.

THE GLORIOUS GOSPEL OF THE BLESSED GOD.

THE most important of all inquiries are those which relate to the being, perfections, and providence of God. Next to these in importance are the inquiries, whether there is sufficient evidence for the truth of the Gospel; and if there is, what instruction it communicates? In my last discourse I entered on the discussion of the last of these questions, and endeavoured to shew you, that amidst all their differences, Christians are sufficiently agreed with respect to those

those essentials of the Gospel which make it, indeed, what the word Gospel imports, glad tidings and a glorious Gospel. According to all schemes, it is a dispensation of mercy to finful mortals, conveying to them, through the ministry, death, and exaltation of Christ, the knowledge of God and their duty, pardon and favour, a resurrection from death, and a happy immortality. All \* accounts of Christianity agree, that it not only reveals and announces these inestimable benefits, but ascertains them by facts; and that Jesus Christ is the way, the truth, and the life, the conqueror of death, the future judge of mankind, and the author of eternal falvation to all that obey him. This, I have thought, a topic very necessary to be infifted upon and explained before I proceed to what I farther propose, which is, to give you some account of the different schemes of Christianity, and of that scheme in particular which I think nearest the truth. While, therefore, I shall be giving you such an account, I wish you to recollect what I have faid on this topic, and to carry along with you the reflection, that there is no scheme of Christianity received among its profesiors which contradicts the only doctrine about which we have reason to be very anxious; I mean, "the doctrine of falvation and eternal life by Jesus Christ." Were

Were a well authenticated deed brought to you which gave you a title to a good eftate, would you (while its general defign and purport were acknowledged) be very folicitous about the decision of any disputes relating to the causes to which you owed the deed, the meaning of particular clauses in it, or the character and rank of the friend by whose instrumentality you had received it? The Gospel is our title to immortality. It contains that covenant of grace which fecures it to us; and Christ is the friend by whose instrumentality it has been declared and confirmed, and will be bestowed upon us. While agreed thus far, let us not fuffer ourselves to indulge impatience or refentment, when we hear some saying that Christ was a mere man, others, that he was a fuperangelic being, and others, that he was God himself in union with a man; or when we are told by one party, that he died to make fatisfaction to Divine justice for the fins of the world, and by another party, that he died only to bear testimony to the truth, to prove and confirm the forgiving mercy of God, and to acquire the power of conferring upon us the bleffings of the covenant of grace.

But, though I would thus caution you against being shocked by the opposite opinions which are entertained among Christians, and prepare you for hearing, without being

N 2. disturbed,

disturbed, the account I shall give of them; I do not mean to intimate that it is of no consequence how we think about the points disputed among Christians. Though, for the reasons I have given, this is not of fuch consequence, as to justify that distress which some good men feel when they hear of opinions of the Gospel contrary to their own; yet it is, without doubt, the duty of every one, as far as he has abilities and opportunities, to endeavour to think rightly about these disputed points, and, by careful and impartial inquiry, to avoid gross errors. The better we are informed about the controversies among Christians, and the more correct our judgments, the more respectable we shall be, and also the more useful and valuable members of the Christian church; provided we take care to add to our knowledge brotherly kindness, and suppress in ourfelves every tendency to intolerance and uncharitableness.

The Johnton Stock

I have, therefore, thought, that after shewing you how far we are all agreed in our conceptions of the Gospel, it would not be improper to shew you how far Christians differ, and to give a brief representation of the principal schemes of Christianity which they have adopted. In executing this design, I shall give an account of only three schemes, because they are the principal, and

all other schemes may be ranged under one or other of them. Of these three schemes, two form extremes; and one a middle scheme. I shall begin with giving you an account of the two extremes, after which I shall make some observations on them, and then proceed to an account of that middle scheme, which I think the true one, and which I shall endeavour to support by some arguments.

The first of these two extremes just mentioned has been distinguished under the names of Athanesianism and Calvinism; and the other under the name of Socinianism. One of these carries our notions very high of Christianity; and the other sinks them very low. The differences between them.

respect four points.

First. The nature of the Deity.

Secondly. The nature and confequences of that fall of man which brought us into our present state.

Thirdly. The nature and dignity of Christ. And Fourthly. The nature of that interposition of Christ by which he is the Saviour of the world.

I shall first mention to you the chief particulars in the Athanasian and Calvinistic scheme, as far as it respects these four points.

With respect to the SUPREME DEITY, this scheme makes him to consist of three persons, the same in substance, and equal in power

power and glory. The first of these three persons, and the fountain of Divinity to the other two, it makes to be the FATHER. The fecond person is called the Son; and said to be derived from the Father by an eternal generation of an ineffable and incomprehenfible nature in the essence of the GODHEAD. The third person is the HOLY GHOST, derived from the Father and the Son; but not by generation as the Son is derived from the Father, but by an eternal and incomprehenfible PROCESSION. Each of these persons are (according to this scheme) very and eternal God as much as the Father himself; and yet, though distinguished in the manner I have faid, they do not make three Gods, but one God.\*

With

\* " In the unity of the Godhead there be three per-" fons of one substance, power, and eternity; the Fa-" ther, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. The Son begot-" ten from everlasting of the Father, very and eternal " God, of one substance with the Father. The Holy " Ghost proceeding from the Father and the Son, of so one substance, majesty, and glory with the Father " and the Son, very and eternal God." First, Second, and Fifth Articles of the Church of England.

"God the Father of Heaven; God the Son, Re-« deemer of the world; God the Holy Ghost proa ceeding from the Father and the Son; Holy, Bleffed, and Glorious Trinity, three persons and one

"God: Have mercy upon us." Litany.

"The Father is made of none; neither created on nor begotten. The Son is of the Father alone ;

With respect to the FALL of MAN, this scheme maintains the doctrine of original fin imputed and inherent, whereby every person born into this world deserves, before he has contracted actual guilt, God's wrath and future damnation. By original fin imputed, is meant the imputation of Adam's fin to alk his posterity: And by original sin inherent, is meant that fault and corruption of the nature of man whereby he is rendered prone to all evil, and fo averfe to all good as not to have the power of doing any thing acceptable to God, or by his own natural strength, of turning to God, or even preparing himself for calling upon God\*. fhort,

" not made, or created, but begotten. The Holy Ghost is of the Father and the Son; neither made, nor created, nor begotten, but proceeding."

"There are three persons in the Godhead, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost; and these three
are one God, the same in substance, and equal in
power and glory." Question Sixth in the Shorter
Catechism of the Reverend Assembly of Divines

"I believe, first, in God the Father, who made me and all the world. Secondly, in God the Son, who hath redeemed me and all mankind. Thirdly, in God the Holy Ghost, who sandifieth me and all the elect people of God." Church Catechism.

Divines have laboured to strew, that believing in these three Gods is consistent with believing in but one God. But what a riddle must this appear to a child?

\* " Original fin is the fault and corruption of the nature of every man engendered of the offspring:

short, this part of the scheme cannot be better expressed than it is in that catechism. which has been received as the standard of orthodox divinity among Protestant Dissenters, and which many of our good brethren still hold in high veneration. The words of this Catechism are, " That all mankind " fell in Adam, and were brought by his " transgression into a state of sin and of mis-" ery; that the sinfulness of this state con-" fifts in the guilt of Adam's fin, the want " of original righteousness, and the corrup-"tion of our whole nature; and that the " misery of this state consists in the loss of " communion with God, and in being under " his wrath and curse, and liable to all the " miseries of this life, and to the pains of "hell forever."+

With

<sup>&</sup>quot; of Adam, whereby he is very far gone from origiinal righteousness, and of his own nature inclined to evil; and, therefore, in every person born into this world, it deserves God's wrath and damnation." Ninth Article of the Church of England.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The condition of man after the fall of Adam is fuch, that he cannot turn or prepare himfelf by his own natural strength and good works to faith and calling upon God." Tenth Article.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Being by nature born in fin and children of wrath, we are by baptism made the children of grace." Church Catechism.

<sup>†</sup> Affembly's Catechism, Questions 16th, 17th, 28th, and 19th. "The fall brought upon mankind,

With respect to the THIRD great point, or the nature and dignity of Christ, this scheme teaches us that he consists of two natures; by one of which he is simply a man; and by the other, the fecond person in the TRINITY, of one substance with the Father, begotten from everlasting, and very and eternal God.\* These two natures are,

according '

" the lofs of communion with God, and his displeas-" ure and curfe, fo that we are BY NATURE children se of wrath, bond flaves to Satan, and justly liable to " all punishments in this world and in that which is " to come. And the punishments in the world to " come are separation from God, and most grievous 44 torments in foul and body without intermission in hell fire " for ever." Assembly's Larger Catechism.

" Man, by the fall, hath wholly loft all ability of " will to any spiritual good; so that a natural man, \* being dead in fin, is not able to convert himfelf, or to prepare himself for conversion." Scotch Confes-

fien of Faith, chap. 9th.

\* " The Son, of one fubiliance with the Father, "took man's nature, so that two whole and perfect " natures, the Godhead and manhood, were joined " together in one person, making one Christ, very "God and very man, who truly fuffered, was cruci-" fied, dead, and buried, to reconcile his Father to us. " and to be a facrifice, not only for original guilt, but " also for the actual fins of men." Second Article of the Church of England.

"The right faith is, that we believe that Jesus "Christ is God and man; perfect God and perfect " man; and yet that he is not two but one; one, by " taking the manhood into God, and unity of per-

" fon." Athanasian Creed.

according to this scheme, whole and perfect natures distinct from one another, but joined together in one person, making one Christ, very God, and, at the same time, very man. In the catechism just quoted, this is expressed in the following words. "The Redeemer " of God's elect is the Lord Jesus Christ, " who being the eternal Son of God, be-" came man, and fo was, and continues to be God and man, in two distinct natures, " and one person, for ever." I will here only add, that this union of two natures in Christ so as to make one person, has been called by a very peculiar name, in order to diftinguish it from the union of three persons making one nature in the Deity. It has been called, the Hypostatical Union.

The FOURTH great point about which I have faid that Christians differ, is the nature of that interposition of Christ by which he is the Saviour of the world. The scheme I am describing makes it to consist in a translation of the guilt of sinners from them to Christ, and his substituting himself for them,

<sup>&</sup>quot;I believe in one Lord Jesus Christ, begotten of his Father before all worlds; God of God, light of light, very God of very God; begotten, not made,

<sup>&</sup>quot; being of one substance with the Father, by whom all things were made; who, for us men and our

<sup>&</sup>quot; falvation, came down from heaven and was incarnate of the Virgin Mary, &c." Nicene Creed.

<sup>\*</sup> Assembly's Catechism, 21st Question.

and undergoing, in his own person, the punishment due to them, and thus purchasing their salvation by making satisfaction to God's justice, and offering an equivalent for it. But this is a part of this scheme so important, that it will be proper to trace it a little higher, and to give a more explicit

representation of it.

One of its leading doctrines is the doctrine of absolute and unconditional predestination. According to this doctrine, God has for his own glory (as our catechism tells us\*) foreordained whatever comes to pass; and, in particular, appointed a part of the fallen race of man to everlasting happiness, but left the greatest part to perish and to fink without remedy into everlasting misery. In order to bring about the falvation of the elected part, a covenant of redemption was entered into before the world began, between the three persons in the TRINITY (the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost) by which the fecond person engaged to make fatisfaction to the Godhead, and to offer on the cross a propitiatory facrifice, in order to expiate the offences of the elect, and to obtain for them the benefits of effectual calling, justification, fanctification, adoption, and future eternal glory. In pursuance of this compact, the second person in the Trin-

\* Question 7th.

ity came down from heaven, entered the Virgin's womb, and fuffered and died to fulfil (as the Articles of the Church of England fay) " the everlasting purpose of God, "whereby, before the foundations of the " world were laid, he had decreed by his " counsel to deliver from curse and damna-"tion those whom he had chosen in Christ " out of mankind; and to bring them, by " Christ, to everlasting falvation as vessels " made for honour." Wherefore, (as the fame articles declare) they are called in time, justified freely by God's grace, fanctified by his spirit, made his sons by adoption, walk religiously in all good works, and at length attain to everlasting felicity.\*

This is a brief recital of that fystem of Christian faith which has been generally called *Calvinism*: And you may observe, that it includes in it (besides the doctrines of three co-ordinate persons in the Godhead forming one nature, and of two natures in Jesus Christ forming one person) the five

following doctrines.

First. The doctrine of absolute predestination and election.

Secondly. The doctrine of original fin. Thirdly. The doctrine of the total impotence of man and irrefistible grace, in opposition to free will.

Fourthly.

<sup>\*</sup> Article 17th.

Fourthly. The doctrine of particular in opposition to universal redemption.

And Fifthly. The doctrine of the perfeverance of faints after being once called and converted.

These five doctrines have been called, by way of distinction and eminence, the FIVE POINTS. They are the points about which the sect called Arminians differ from Calvinists: And, in litigating them, volumes without number have been written, much zeal employed, and an infinity of what is most important in religion (I mean, charity and a good temper) has been lost. But there is one other point connected with those now specified, which forms an essential part of this system; and which in justice to it, ought to be mentioned. That is; the doctrine of justification by faith alone, and the imputed righteousness of Christ. All the orthodox confessions of faith agree in declaring that we are accounted righteous before God, not for our good works, but only for the merit of Christ. And this doctrine our Church Articles declare to be a most wholesome doctrine.\* And so important has

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;We are accounted righteous before God only for the merit of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ,

<sup>&</sup>quot;by faith; and not for our own good works or defervings. Wherefore that we are justified by faith

<sup>&</sup>quot; only is a most wholesome doctrine, and very full

it been held, that it has been called the doctrine by which, as it is received or rejected,, the church of Christ must stand or fall.\* You should remember, however, that those who maintain this doctrine do not mean that we may be justified without good works. For, though they say that our good works, cannot recommend us to God, and that "when done before the grace of Christ they have even the nature of sin;" yet they at the same time say, that they are necessary as fruits of a true and living faith; and, by a very nice distinction noticed in my last discourse, they affert, "that it is indeed faith."

" of comfort as more largely is expressed in the hom" ily of justification." Article 11th.

"Justification is an act of God's free grace, wherein he pardoneth all our fins, and accepteth us as.
righteous in his fight only for the righteourness of.

" Christ imputed to us, and received by faith alone." Assembly's Catechism, Quest. 33.

\* Articulus Stantis aut cadentis Ecclesia.

† "Works done before the grace of Christ and the inspiration of his Spirit are not pleasant to God,

" for a fruch as they fpring not of faith in Christ. "Yea rather, we doubt not but they have the nature.

" of fin." Thirteenth Article of the Church of England.

"Albeit that good works which are the fruits of faith and follow justification cannot put away our

"fins; yet are they pleafing to God in Christ, and firing necessarily out of a true and lively faith,"

&c. Article 14th.

" faith alone that justifies us, but not that faith which is alone."\*

But I will proceed no farther in this recital lest I should lead you too far into the labyrinth of church divinity. What I have said is sufficient to give you a just idea of the first of the two extremes in the systems of faith adopted by Christians which I have proposed to state. I should now proceed to state that scheme of Christian faith which makes the other extreme. But choosing to reserve this for the next discourse, I will now conclude with a few observations on the scheme just described.

I fancy that by some parts of it your good fense, as well as your feelings of benevolence, must be shocked. Many inquiries concerning it will, upon the least reflection, offer themselves to you, which cannot be easily answered. You may ask how we can be justified freely by the grace of God, if a full equivalent has been paid for our redemption? How is it possible that God should make fatisfaction to bimfelf for the fins of the world? And how, if three persons acting different parts, and sustaining different characters, are each of them equally God, there can be but one God? Or, if this is possible, and three persons make but one nature, how

Eides sola justificat sed non sides quæ sola est.

it can \* be possible that two natures should make but one person in Jesus Christ?——You might farther ask, how a sin committed at the creation can be imputed to those who did not commit it, so as to subject them to wrath and punishment? How, in particular, this can be true of innocent babes, of whom Christ says, that of such is the kingdom of heaven? How, if by denying to the greatest part of mankind the means of salvation, he has devoted them to eternal misery, he can be a just and benevolent being? And why, if we have not free will, and can do nothing, it does not follow, that we have "NOTHING TO DO?"

These, and numberless other questions may be asked concerning the doctrines taught by this system; and it seems indeed to be, in most parts of it, a system inconsistent with reason, injurious to the character of the ever blessed Deity, and, in the highest

<sup>\*</sup> Such it feems (in the opinion of Trinitarians) is this union of the divine and human nature in Christ, while yet the individuality of each is preferved, that it makes all that is true of the one equally true of the other. If this cannot be imagined, it must be impossible for an attentive person to join in one part of our established worship without shuddering. I mean that part of the Litany which supplicates the mercy of God—by his holy nativity and circumcision—by his fastings and temptations—by his agony and bloody sweat—by his precious death and burial.

highest degree, comfortless and discouraging. I will add, that it feems to me no less contrary to *scripture* than to *reason*; and I will just mention to you two instances of this.

The scriptures tell us that Christ died for all; that he was made lower than the angels, for a little time to taste death for every. man; and that he is the propitiation, not for the fins of Christians only, but for the fins of the whole world. But the advocates of this scheme tell us the contrary; that Christ died only for the elect, and that his dying for all means only his dying for fome men of all ranks and conditions—In like manner; the scriptures tell us that the one living and true God, is God the Father, of whom are all things, and who fent Christ into the world. This is life eternal, fays our Saviour himself, to know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom thou hast sent. There are gods many, fays St. Paul, and there are lords many, but to us there is but one God the Father of whom are all things; and one Lord Jesus through whom are all things. I. need not point out to you the repugnancybetween such declarations and some parts of the system I have represented. In truth, were any man (supposed unacquainted with the controversies which have arisen among Christians) to set himself to invent a system.

of faith so irrational and unscriptural as to be incapable of being received by Christians, he could scarcely think of one concerning which he would be more ready to fornt such a judgment. And yet-Oh! miserable imperfection of human beings—it is the fystem of Christian divinity which has been for many ages generally received in the Christian church. It is the system which formerly all our \* children were made to imbibe with their mother's milk, and to confider as most facred. It is the fystem inculcated in all established formularies of faith; and, particularly, in those of England, Ireland, and Scotland. And, what is worst of all, it is the system to which the established clergy in Britain and Ireland declare their affent on entering their office as public teachers, and without believing the very absurdest part of which, one particular creed pronounces that we cannot be faved.+

I must, however, observe to you, that this fystem is held by different divines with very

† In Scotland, if I am not mistaken, the clergy are required not only to declare their belief of this fre tem; but that they will " constantly adhere to it,"

that is, never grow wifer.

<sup>\*</sup> In the Church Catechism it is taught children with the addition of a doctrine very like to transatistantiation; for it is scarcely possible a child should have any other meaning when he is made to fare " that the body and blood of Christ are verily and in-66 deed taken and received in the Lord's supper."

different degrees of strictness, some carrying it much higher than others. For instance. Some divines have thought the three persons in the Trinity only three different characters under which the supreme Deity acts; or three different attributes of his nature; while others have maintained that they are three different beings united by a common consciousness.

In the doctrine of predestination some include reprobation as well as election; while others make reprobation to be only preterition: That is, not an appointment to damnation, but an abandonment of all the nonelected posterity of Adam by which they are left necessity.

essarily to perish.

According to some, the eternal decree of predestination respected men as fallen beings; and this class of divines have been distinguished under the name of fub-lapsarians. But according to other divines (called fupra-lapsarians) predestination was an arbitrary decree which respected men merely as creatures, and by which God, from his fovereign good pleasure only, without any consideration of Adam's fall, made some of his posterity to be vessels of honour, and the rest to be vessels of wrath and misery, just as a potter, from his good pleasure, forms different portions of the same clay for noble or ignoble purposes.

Again :

Again; with respect to those consequences of the fall in which that half of mankind who die in infancy, and between infancy and maturity, are involved; some divineshave maintained that, in confequence of the imputation of Adam's transgression, the taint of original fin, and the loss of original righteousness, they are so depraved and polluted, as to be the objects of God's vindictive justice, and subject to the pains of hell forever:\* While others of a milder stamp have only maintained that, if descended from wicked parents, they will be annibilated; and that, if the offspring of rightcous parents, they will be happy. This was the opinion of that eminent man, and excellent poet, to whom most of the congregations of Protestant Diffenters are indebted for the Psalms and Hymns they constantly use in public worship.

But I must restrain myself. I feel that I am in danger of tiring and perplexing you. I will, therefore, only add the following:

reflections.

First. How pernicious are the effects of civil establishments of religion? That fystem of faith which I have described, and a-

<sup>&</sup>quot; All mankind by the fall have lost communion with God, are under his wrath and curse, and so made liable to all the miseries of this life, and to the pains of hell for ever." Assembly's Catechism, Quest. 19th.

gainst which your feelings as well as your reason must revolute upheld by all the church establishments in Christendom, and the reception of it enforced by pains and penal-ties. This is true of even this land of dif-tinguished light and liberty. An act of Queen Elizabeth still in force inflicts on all who speak in derogation of the Book of Common prayer, fines for the first and second offence; forfeiture of goods for the third; and imprisonment for life for the tourth offence. An act of King William enacts, that "every person'educated in the "Christian religion, denying by writing, printing, teaching, or speaking, any one of the three persons in the Holy Trinity to be God, or maintaining that there are more Gods than one, shall, for the first offence, "be rendered incapable of holding any "office; and, for the second offence, be rendered incapable of bringing any action, or buying any lands, and suffer three years imprisonment." By speaking, therefore, to you in the manner I have now done, I should, at the time these acts passed, have exposed myself to great danger. But, thanks be to God, the times in this country are happily altered. We can now think as we please, and profess what we think: And though the laws continue the fame, we can rely on the generofity (not to fay the justice)

of the public for protection against them, while we keep within the limits of fair discussion and argument—But I am wandering

from the point I had in view.

I observed, that we may learn from what I have faid, the pernicious effects of civil eftablishments of religion. Had it not been for the support which the system I have described has derived from hence, it is scarcely conceivable that it could have stood its ground long in opposition to increasing light and knowledge. During the three first centuries from our Saviour's birth it was little known; nor did it gain a full fettlement till civil power took Christianity under its patronage, and the grand apostacy foretold in the Scriptures begun in the Christian church. Nothing, indeed, can be more horrible than the accounts in Ecclefiaftical History of the furious controversies which the introduction of this system occafioned, and the torrents of blood which were shed before its principal articles came to be generally received. I refer to the difputes between Athanasius and Arius, in the fourth century, which ended in the establishment of the present doctrine, "that Christ 46 and the Holy Spirit are consubstantial with "the Father."—The disputes between the Nestorians and their opponents, which ended in the establishment of the present doctrine

trine of the Hypostatical union.—The disputes between St. Austin and the Pelagians, which ended in the establishment of the present doctrines of predestination and original sin.—And to the dispute, whether the Holy Ghost proceeded from the Father only, which ended in the establishment of the present doctrine, "that he proceeds both "from the Father and the Son."

It is not possible to describe to you the -convulsions into which these disputes threw the Christian church in the fourth and fifth centuries; the Anathemas which the contending parties hurled against one another; and the dreadful rage with which the stronger party always harraffed the weaker party. fuppose I do not exaggerate when I fay, that in these controversies millions of human sacrifices were offered at the shrine of religious bigotry. In truth; ecclesiastical history in general, and this part of it more especially, is little more than a history of the worst passions of the human heart worked up by ecclesiastical zeal into a diabolical virulence and madness. Christians have lately grown wifer, and, I hope, better. We can now look back with aftonishment on those days of ignorance, and welcome the approach of that period when the Gospel shall be better understood, jargon give way to reason, and peace and tolerance prevail univerfally. This

This leads me to give you a necessary cau-

I have expressed, pretty strongly, my difapprobation of the system of Divinity which I have stated. But I would exhort you earnestly to avoid all uncharitableness with refpect to those of our fellow Christians who still hold this system. In consequence of the spread of the principles of humanity, it is now held by its warmest advocates with milder dispositions than formerly; and though, in the last century and the beginning of this, they would probably have devoutly burnt me, yet now there are few of them in whose hands I would not trust myfelf, without the least apprehension of being at all injured in my person, property, or liberty. We have had lately, among Protestant Dissenters, a striking proof of this change of temper in our Trinitarian and Calvinistical brethren, Not long ago, as I have just intimated, it would have been a point of piety with them not to tolerate ministers who profess, as most of the Presbyterian ministers now do, Unitarian principles. But had it been proposed to them to concur with such ministers in secking a toleration, they would have been shocked. This, however, has lately been the conduct of our Trinitarian brethren. They have joined with me and others in applications

tions to parliament, which at last proved successful, for granting the benefit of toleration to all Protestant preachers of all denominations, reprobating all penal laws in religion, disdaining to ask a liberty for them-selves which would not be equally enjoyed by *Unitarians* and *Socinians*, and even declaring a preference, could it have been obtained, of a toleration which would have given legal protection to the worship of all peaceable men of all fects and religions. Noth-

ing can do them greater honour.

Without all doubt, neither virtue nor good fense belongs exclusively to any one religious sect. We see continually that wise and worthy men fall into great mistakes, and are capable of receiving as facred the grossest absurdaties. But this is of little confequence; our acceptance with God depending on the fincerity of our hearts, and the faithfulness of our endeavours to find out truth. and not on the rectitude of our judgments. Many an Athanasian and Calvinist will hereafter rejoice in heaven, with many of those Unitarians and Armenians whom now, from mistaken views, he consigns to hell; and

By delivering this fentiment I have subjected myself to the Anathema in the 18th Article of the Church of England, which declares those "accursed 's who presume to say, that every man shall be saved 44 by the law or fect which he professes, if he be dili-

## 172 OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE

he will then be furprised at his own rashness. Let us, therefore, learn to respect one any other amidst all our differences.

What

"gent to frame his life-according to that law and "the light of nature; holy Scripture fetting out to "us only the name of Christ whereby men must be "faved." It is strange that our rulers can continue the imposition of this article, the Athanasian Creed, acc. &c.

The enemies of reformation do not sufficiently consider, that by opposing, in enlightened times, all attempts to remove such shocking blemishes from our established code of faith and worship, they expose the hierarchy to particular danger of a sudden and total overthrow. As a friend to the free progress of truth, and an enemy to all slavish hierarchies, I could almost wish they may persevere in their obsinacy.

I am fensible that the article just quoted may be understood to signify no more than that virtuous. Heathens, Jews, and Mahometans will be saved only through Jesus Christ. But this could not be the meaning of the framers of these Articles. It is probable that no such Catholic idea ever entered their minds, as the possibility of the salvation of virtuous men of all religions. Much less could they think that those Heretics might be saved in another world, whom they thought it their duty to burn in this world; and concerning whom the nation, in its public devotions, is ordered to declare, that they will, without doubt, perish everlastingly.

The decisions of the Reverend Assembly of Pref. byterian Divines, sitting at Westminster, are the same on these subjects, with those of the Church of England. Good works, they say, done by unregenerate men. since they proceed not from a heart purished by faith, are sinful, and cannot please God, or make a

a man

What is most to be lamented in the system I have stated, is its tendency to lead those who embrace it to lay an undue stress upon it, and to think that all who reject it deny the Lord that bought them, and are enemies

man meet to receive the grace of God; and yet the neglect of them is more finful and displeasing to "God." Affembly's Confession of Faith, chap. 16th, feck 7th. "Infants and others, if elected, are faved. "But all not elected, though called by the ministry " of the word, never come to Christ, and, therefore, cannot be faved : much lefs can men, not profeshing the Christian religion, be saved in any other way whatever, be they never fo diligent to frame their # lives according to the light of nature, and the law of that religion they profess; and to affert they may, is very pernicious, and to be detefted." thap. 10th, feet. 3d and 4th. Concerning all who specie fuch doctrines as thefe, and maintain opinions contrary to the known principles of Christianity, they fay, "they may be lawfully called to account. and proceeded against by the censures of the church, and the power of the civil magistrate; # who has authority, and whose duty it is to preserve unity in the church, to keep the truth of God pure sand entire, and to suppress herefy." But at the same time It is added, that in doing this, the civil enagistrate is to be only the executioner of presbytebies and fynods, " with whom he is to confult and advise, and to whom it belongeth to decide contro-# versies of faith, and to set down rules for the orme dering of the public worthip of God, and government of his church, and authoritatively to determine the same; which determinations are to be received, with reverence and fubmission, as coming . P. 2 M Brome

enemies to God and Christ. This contracts. their benevolence, and disposes them to view, with disgust, a considerable part of their Christian brethren, it being scarcely possible they should love those whom they think God Such uncharitableness is miserable and frightful. Let us avoid it as much as we It will be more inexcusable in us than it is in them, in proportion to the degree in which

" from a power which is the ordinance of God." Ik chap. 20th, fect. 4th. chap. 23d, fect. 3d. and chap. 91st, fect. 3d. How adverse to every principle of religious liberty and charity are these decisions? Many in this affembly had finarted feverely under the exercise of prelatical authority; and this should have led them to detelt fuch principles. But it appears from this Confession of Faith, and their subsequent conduct, that they wanted only to transfer the feat of church tyranny, and the powers of persecution from the bishops to themselves. In justice, however, to their characters, it should be considered, that their : . narrowness and intolerance were the faults of the age in which they lived. They had not yet escaped far enough from the darkness of popery, to enjoy the light and comfort of enlarged fentiments. venerable reformers, in particular, to whom we owe: our present Church Service, and the 39 Articles. were excellent men; and though, from a regard to what they thought to be faered truth, they would burn others, they proved that, from the same principle, they would also burn themselves-I must add, that this is an apology for them which renders their - fuccessors, in the present times, more inexcusable. The dark age is gone; and yet its errors and barbarities are continued to burden the consciences of good men, and to millead and diffrace the national worship.

which our fentiments are more liberal. And in this lies one unspeakable advantage of liberal fentiments. They open our hearts: to all about us, and communicate catholic: dispositions. By connecting the favour of God with nothing but an honest mind, and caufing us to think of him as a friend to every fincere inquirer, they leave room for the exercise of all the kind affections. They extirpate the wretched prejudices which make us flay of one another; and enable us to regard, with equal fatisfaction and pleafure, our neighbours, friends, and acquaintance, be their modes of worship, or their systems of faith, what they will.

But I have detained you too long. What? Fam next to proceed to is an account of the scheme of Christianity which has been commonly called Socialisms. This shall be re-

ferred for the next discourse.



## SERMON VIII.

of the Christian Doctrine as held by Unitarians\* and Socialans.

## TIMOTHY, i. 11.

THE GLDRIOUS GOSPEL OF THE BLESSED GODL

In discoursing to you from these words, I have proposed to give you an account of that Gospel here called by St. Paul, the glorious Gospel of the blessed God. In doing this I have proposed to shew you, First,

\*By Unitarians I mean those Christians who beseve there is but one God, and one object of religious worship; and that this one God is the Father only, and not a Trinity consisting of Father, Son and Ho'y Ghost. An Unitarian, therefore, may or may not be a believer in Christ's pre-existence; and it will

appear

First, What those Articles of the Christian faith are about which all Christians are agreed. This was the subject of my first discourse; and, in speaking to you upon it, I endeavoured to shew you, that all Christians are agreed with respect to what is most important in the information given us by the Gospel-That the differences among them are chiefly different modes of explaining those fundamental facts which all equally believe.—And that, confequently, thefe differences afford no just reason for any alarm to those Christians who may be unacquainted with the disputes which have taken place in the Christian church. We all believe, I observed, that the glad tidings which the Gospel brings are, Peace on earth. and good will towards men, by the promise it makes of pardon and favour, and a refurrection from death to an endless life, through that great Messiah who died and rose again. And this is all that can be interesting to us. as guilty and mortal creatures.

I proceeded from hence to give you an account of the different schemes of the Gospel which have been adopted by Christians.

appear in the fequel, that those who deny this doctrine have, on this account, no more right to this appellation than those ancient Heathens had, who, though they might believe in one Supreme Divinity, yet worthipped deisied human spirits. ians, after which I have proposed to give you an account of that scheme which, I think, the true scheme; and to endeavour

to support it by some arguments.

I have divided the different schemes of Christianity into three; namely, the Calvinistic, the Socinian, and a middle scheme between these two. I have already given a fufficient account of the first of these schemes: and I shall now give you a brief account of These schemes form the Socinian scheme. (as I observed in my former discourse) the -two extremes into which Christians have gone in their opinions of the Gospel. One: carries our notions very high on the narrow fide; and the other finks them as low on the contrary side. Against this last scheme shere are strong prejudices among many good Christians, and you will find that in two leading points I think it wrong: But that it maintains all that we need be anxious about in Christianity, and that confequently the prejudices against it have no just foundation, will probably appear from the following recital of its principal doctrines.

In order to go along with me here, you should carry in your minds the rough heads under which I have ranged the subjects of difference in the opinions of Christians. First, the nature of the Deiry—Secondly, the nature and dignity of Christ—Thirdly,

the

the fall of man, and its consequences—And, Fourthly, the nature and effect of Christ's

interposition.

First. With respect to the nature of the Deity, Socinians differ from Trinitarians and Calvinists in holding the doctrine of his UNITY with more strictness. In opposition to the doctrine of three persons make ing one God, they maintain that the essence of the Deity is simple and undivided; that God the Father only (and not the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost) is the true and living God, and the fountain of all power and persection in the universe; and that to elevate any other beings to an equality, with him is idolatry and impiety.

Secondly. With respect to the FALL, Socinians allow that there has been such an event, and that by it mankind have been brought lower in the scale of beings, and subjected to the impersections of the present state, and particularly to the evil of death.\* But they reject the doctrine of the imputation of

Adam's

There are some who give such interpretations of the account in the 3d chap. of Genesis and the subsequent references to it in the facred writings (and particularly in Rom. the 5th chap. and I Cor. 15th chap.) as make them no evidence of any such event (introductory of death) as is commonly understood by the FALL. But these interpretations, and the opinion grounded upon them are so singular, that I have not thought them worth particular notice.

Adam's transgression to his posterity, and such a total corruption of our natures by original sin as deprives us of free will, and subjects us before we have committed actual sin (and, therefore, even infants) to the displeasure of God and suture punishment.

Thus far I go entirely with them, as do many other Christians, who yet are by no means to be confidered as holding the opinions which most properly form the Socinian fystem of Christianity. What distinguishes this system is, the doctrine they maintain with respect to the two other subjects of difference which I have mentioned; or the dignity of Christ, and the sense in which he is our Saviour. With respect to the dignity of Christ, they hold that he was simply a man; and, confequently, that he had no existence before his birth and appearance in this world; maintaining, however, at the same time, that by the extraordinary powers with which he was endowed, and a communication of the Spirit of God to him without measure, he was raised far above common men, and distinguished so much from them as to be infallible and impeccable,\* and capable of becoming, immediately after

<sup>\*</sup> Such was the Socinian doctrine formerly. It will appear in the next discourse that modern Socinians, of the sirst character, do not carry their ideas of Christ so high.

after his refurrection, the Sovereign of angels, and the Judge of mankind. They have, in particular, gone so far in their ideas of the present dignity of Christ, though a mere man, as to affert that he is exalted to a fovereignty over all creatures, and become a proper object of religious worship and adoration. On this last point, however, they have been of different opinions: Many of them (and particularly Socinus) maintaining zealously that Christ ought to be invoked and worshipped, while others of them scrupled this. And so miserable has been the disposition of religious men, of all perfuafions, to intolerance, that even the Socinians formerly perfecuted one another on account of this difference; and there is too much reason to believe that Socious himfelf contributed to an imprisonment, which occasioned the death of an amiable and worthy man among his followers, who could not concur with him in this opinion.\* At present all that embrace Socinianism seem to be agreed (and, I think, very rightly) in condemning

<sup>\*</sup> See Mr. Toulman's Memoirs of the Life, Character, Sentiments, and Writings of Faustus Socious, p. 82, &c. See also Mr. Lindsey's Historical View of the State of the Unitarian Doctrine and Worship. A work which, while it gives the most humiliating view of the wretched blindness of many good men, manifests a candour in the author which does honour to his principles and character.

condemning the doctrine, as well as in reprobating the conduct of Socious in this inflance.

But, fourthly, with respect to the doctrine of our falvation by Jesus Christ, they hold that he is our Saviour by his example, by his instructions, and by that power to which he has been exalted to govern the Christian church, to raise mankind from death, and to bestow upon us the future reward of virtue. In other words; they make him a Redeemer and Deliverer, not only as he was the greatest of all teachers and reformers; but, likewife as he has been made, in consequence of his fufferings and death, the Conveyer of God's forgiving mercy and favour to mankind in a future happy eternity. They receive, therefore, in common with all other Christians, those great facts which are the foundation of the Christian religion—the wonderful miracles of Christ by which he proved that the fullness of the Godhead dwelt in him—his perfect innocence—his deep humiliation—his obedience unto death; even the death of the cross—his conquest of death -his afcension to heaven, and investiture with univerfal dominion—his prefent mediatorial kingdom; and his future descent from heaven to restore this part of God's creation, to destroy the workers of iniquity, and to gather the virtuous of all nations and times

into that kingdom which was prepared for them from the foundation of the world.— In short; he was, as they believe, that Son of God, and great Messiah, who had been promised from the creation, and was sent, in the fullness of time, to proclaim peace and favour to guilty men, to lead them to the knowledge of the only true God, and to assure them of his placable character, to set before them the best example, to publish the covenant of grace, to confirm this covenant by his blood, to shew us the path of life in his own refurrection, and to take upon him that invisible government which, according to the Scriptures, he is now carrying on, and which is to terminate in the extirpation of fin and death, and the establishment of an everlasting kingdom of peace and virtue in another world.

The enumeration of these particulars is enough to shew you that there is no reason for that aversion with which many good men think of this scheme of Christianity. One of its chief peculiarities has been mentioned under the former head. Under this head I must observe to you, that, while its advocates admit all the facts just recited relating to the doctrine of our salvation by Christ, and therefore all that any good man need be very anxious about, they reject the common modes of interpreting this doctrine,

and particularly the opinion, that he saved us by making fatisfaction to the justice of the Deity for our fins, and by fuffering in himself the very punishment due to sinners. in order to let them go free. He died for us, they say, not as a fubstitute, but as one man dies for another when he gives up his. life in order to serve him. He died, not to reconcile God to us; but, on the contrary. to assure us of God's love, and to induce urto be reconciled to God. He was a facrifice, and a Redeemer, not by offering an equivalent, or paying down a price, but by devoting himself in the cause of truth and virtue, and by fealing the covenant of grace, and the promife of pardon which he published with his blood.

In these affertions, there is a great deal that is true; for indeed nothing can be less reasonable than some of the explanations which have been given of our redemption by Christ. According to the most common of these explanations, sin being committed against an infinite being, is an infinite evil, and deserves infinite punishment; and, consequently, none but an infinite being could make satisfaction for it. Christ, being a man, making one person with the second person in the Trinity (and, therefore, having by this union infinite merit communicated to his sufferings) made this satisfaction by suffer-

ing

185

ing and dying on the cross. But he did not make it for all. On the contrary; though one drop of his blood was sufficient to purchase universal salvation, it was shed only for the elect; and the rest of mankind, having had no satisfaction made for their sins, were lest in the state into which Adam's fall brought them; that is, in a state which subjected them necessarily, unless redeemed, to everlasting misery.—God the Father was provoked, and required satisfaction. God the Son stepped in to appease him, and to make the required satisfaction by his vicarious sacrifice; excluding, however, from the benefit of it the greater part of mankind.

This is a just account of the ideas which many of our fellow Christians have entertained of the method of our salvation by Christ; and they lead us most absurdly to conceive of one part of the Divine nature as making satisfaction to another part of it; and, therefore, this other part as itself lest unsatisfied. It likewise follows from them, that our redemption having been bought, and the full price given for it, could not have been derived from the free grace of God. But, what is worst of all, in this account of our redemption is, that, by representing the Deity in the character of an angry and inexorable Judge, and Jesus Christ in the opposite character of a mild and be-

2 nevolent

nevolent Pacifier and Friend, it tends to transfer our love from that Being who is the Father of mercies, and the first cause of all good, to that Messenger whom he sent into the world to carry on the purposes of his goodness. Such sentiments as these cannot be condemned too strongly. It was necessary, that on this occasion, I should mention them to you, in order to guard you against them. They are a wretched misconception of one of the most important of all doctrines; and they must have a very unhappy effect on the tempers of those who receive them, with respect to that first and best, as well as greatest of all beings, who is the proper object, in all cases, of our first and best regards.

But though, even on the subject of our redemption by Christ, I agree in this instance with that denomination of Christians whose opinions I am now describing, I can by no means think of it in every respect as they do. I believe Christ to be a Saviour in a much higher sense than they allow. I view his character in a much higher light. He died, they say, to bear witness to the truth, and to confirm the doctrine he taught, by laying down his life for it; and he saves us by leading us to repentance and virtue, and by conveying, to penitent sinners, a remission of punishment, and suture happi-

ness.

nefs. I affent to this, but cannot think it the whole truth, as you will find, when I have given you an account of the THIRD of those schemes into which I have divided the opinions of Christians concerning the Gospel, and which I shall now proceed to explain.

After what I have already faid, a few

words will be fufficient for this purpofe.

This THIRD scheme agrees with the scheme last stated in all that relates to the nature of the Deity and the consequences of the fall; and also, in rejecting the doctrines of absolute predestination, particular redemption, irresistible grace, and justification by faith only. It differs from it principally on the two last of the four points I have mentioned; and I have called it the middle scheme, because, on these two points, it neither carries our fentiments so high as Athanasianism and Calvinism, nor finks them so low as Socinianifm. It makes Christ more than a human being; his character more than that of a reformer; and our falvation, by him, more than a mere conveyance of benefits. teaches that Christ descended to this earth, from a state of pre-existent dignity; that he was in the beginning with God, and that by him God made this world; and that by a humiliation of himself which has no paral-Iel, and by which he has exhibited an example

ple of benevolence that passes knowledge, he took on him flesh and blood, and passed through human life, enduring all its forrows, in order to fave and bless a finful race. By delivering himself up to death he acquired the power of delivering us from death. By offering himself a facrifice on the cross he vindicated the honour of those laws which finners had broken, and rendered the exercise of favour to them confiftent with the holiness and wildom of God's government; and by his refurrection from the dead he proved the efficacy and acceptableness of his facrifice. In a word; according to this scheme, Christ not only declared, but obtained the availableness of repentance to pardon; and became, by his Interpolition, not only the Conveyer, but the Author and the means of our future immortality. This was a fervice fo great that no meaner agent could be equal to it; and, in consequence of it, offers of full favour are made to all; no human being will be excluded from falvation, except through his own fault; and every truly virtuous man, from the beginning to the end of time (be his country or his religion what it will) is made fure of being raised from death, and made happy for ever. It is necessary to add, that in all this the Supreme Deity, according to the fame principles, is to be confidered

confidered as the first cause, and Christ as his GIFT to fallen man; and as acting under that eternal and selfexistent Being, compared with whom no other being is either great or good, and of whom, and through

whom, and to whom are all things.

This is the account of the Gospel which appears to me to be nearest the truth; and, as it is a mean between two opposite schemes, it is more likely to deserve our preserence. There are, however, some modifications of it which approach too nearly to the scheme first explained; and this, in my opinion, is true in particular of the account which has been given of the dignity of Christ, and the doctrine of our redemption, by the truly great and excellent Dr. Clarke. In the explications he gives of the doctrine of our redemption, he seems to have carried his ideas to substitution, satisfaction, and vicarious punishments: And he seems also to have held fuch a pre-sminence of Christ above all creatures, and fuch a peculiar manner of his derivation from God as is perfectly incomprehensible, and grounded on a misinterpretation of the language of Scripture. But I must not now dwell on such observations.

My business in what remains of these discourses shall be, to give you an account of the reasons which determine me to present this.

.

this third scheme of Christianity to the Socinien scheme. In doing this I shall confine myself to the two points I have so often mentioned, and endeavour to state my reasons, first of all, for believing that Christ, with respect to his nature or person, was more than a man; and, fecondly, for believing that, with respect to his office as a Saviour, he was more than a teacher and example. At present Iwill only make a few observations previous to the discussion of the first of these points.

First. I would point out to your notice a particular coincidence between Socinianism and the high Trinitarian doctrine. You will find, upon reflection, that there cannot be a more remarkable instance of a trite obfervation, "that extremes are apt to meet." According to the Athanasian doctrine, that Jesus who was born of a virgin, who bled on the cross, and who rose again, was simply a man, feeling all our wants, and subject to all our infirmities and fufferings. It is impossible that any one who has the use of his reason should believe that God was born, and suffered, and bled, and died. This was true only of the man Jesus. The contrary is too shocking to be even imagined; nor is it asferted by the advocates of the proper Deity of Jesus Christ. What they say is, that though Christ was very man, yet he was also very God; and when they fay he was very God.

God, they do not mean that he lost his nature \* as a man by a conversion of it into the substance of the Deity (this elso being an absurdity too gross to be admitted by any human mind) but that there was an union between it and the Divine nature, which gave value and efficacy to the fufferings of the man. The Socinians fay much the same; for they say, that God dwelt in Jesus and acted and spoke by him, that there was such an extraordinary communication of Divine influence to him as raifed him above other mortals, and rendered him properly God with us, that is, God manifesting himself to us, and displaying his power and perfections on earth in the person, discourses, and miracles of Christ. The advocates of the Athanasian doctrine cannot mean more than this by the union they talk of between God and Christ. They call it indeed an union of two natures into one person; an union which made the Godhead and the manhood one complex fubject of action and passion. But this is a lan-

\* Dr. Horsley (in a sermon on the incarnation lately published) has made an observation on this subject which seems curious. According to him the hypostatical union could not have taken place if the principle of individual existence in the man Jesus had not been that union itself; and the necessity of this created the necessity of the miraculous conception, a man produced in the common way, or (as he speaks) by the physical powers of generation, being incapable of such an union.

guage to which they cannot possibly fix any ideas: For, whatever they may pretend, they cannot really believe that any two natures, much less two natures so essentially different as the human and Divine, can make one person; or that there could have been fuch an union between Jesus and the Supreme Deity as to make it strictly true, that when Jesus was born, God was born; or that when Jesus was crucified, God was crucified. They are no more capable of believing this than the Papists, when they maintain tranfubstantiation, are capable of believing that the body of Christ may be eaten at one and the fame time in a million of places, or that Christ, at his last supper, really held his body in his hand, and gave it to his apostles. As far, therefore, as Trinitarians and Socinians have ideas, they are agreed on this fubject; and the war they have been maintaining against one another has been entirely a war of words.—What an instance is this of human weakness? There are no two parties of Christians who talk languages about Christ more seemingly opposite; one maintaining, zealously, that he was the eternal God, and the other that he was a man; and yet when their ideas are examined, we find that they coincide, the one making him, in reality, as much a mere man as the other, and the only difference being, that the one talk

a plain language about the union of this man to the Deity; and that the other run it up to a mystery which admits of no explanation or meaning. But what is a still more melancholy proof of human weakness is the stress which one of these parties have laid on their mysterious doctrine; and the horrid barbarity with which, in former ages, they perfecuted all who could not receive it. Even now, in this enlightened and happy country, there is (as you well know) a creed, in constant use, and obstinately retained, which declares, that, without doubt, all who reject this doctrine will perish everlastingly.\*

But

\* There is an opinion concerning Christ which I have thought not necessary to be noticed in these discourses. It is the opinion in which a very amiable divine (the late Dr. WATTS) fettled, after spending many years in perplexing inquiries, and taking much pains to keep within the limits of the doctrines commonly reckoned orthodox. It agrees with Arianism in the strange doctrine, as Dr. Watts calls it (see his Solemn Address to the Deity, in the 4th volume of his works) of a THREEFOLD Deity, confisting of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, in one undivided essence; and in maintaining, that the Saviour who died for us was a superangelic spirit, the first of God's productions, and the limit between him and his creatures, and not a mere man, as Athanafians and Socinians fay. But it differs from Arianism, in afferting 2 doctrine which feems even more strange than that concerning the Deity, which Dr. Watts rejected. I But fecondly; another previous observation which I would make is, that though, in opposition

mean, the doctrine of a two fold Christ, consisting of two natures in one person; or of two beings the self-existent Creator and a creature made, (as Dr. Watts speaks) into one complex being by an inestable union and indwelling, which renders all the same titles, attributes, and honours, equally applicable to both. See Dr. Watts's Treatise on the Glory of Christ as God-man.

The Arian part of this scheme (now generally distinguished by the name of the indwelling scheme) gave so much offence to Dr. Watts's more orthodox brethren, that the latter part of it could not fave him from their censures, or make him an object of their This should have taught him charity to all his less orthodox brethren. But it had not this effect. Concerning Socinians, he intimates, (in the Preface to his book entitled Orthodoxy and Charity upited) that the Scriptures did not warrant him to extend his charity to them; and that they are exposed to a sentence from which he prays that the grace of God may recover and preserve them. Poem also on Mr. Locke's Annotations, inserted among his Lyric Poems, he makes an apology for invoking the help of Charity to find Mr. Locke in heaven, by intimating, that he could not have done this had he not concluded from his explanation of Rom. v. 21. that he was not a Socinian. How strongly does this shew that allowances ought fometimes to be made even for uncharitableness? And what a proof is it of the unhappy influence of the prejudices to which we are all liable, and which often contract and darken the best minds?—These prejudices would be exterminated, and all Christians would respect one another, were the doctrine I have endeavoured to inculcate, in the first of these discourses, universally received.

opposition to the doctrine both of Trinitarians and Socinians, I look upon Christ as more than any human being, I do not prefume to be able to determine the degree of his fuperiority, or to know any thing of the particular rank which he held in God's universe before his descent from heaven. is a point which we have neither means for discovering, nor faculties for understanding. The Scriptures are in a great degree filent about it, informing us only that he was before Abraham; that he had glory with God before the world was; and that, through his intermediate agency, God made this world. I say this world, for you should never forget that when the Scriptures speak of the world they mean only this world, with its connections and dependencies; the facred writers having probably never carried their views farther, or formed any conception of those innumerable worlds and fystems of worlds, which have been discovered by the modern improvements in philosophy and astronomy. Those learned men, therefore, seem to me to have gone much too far, who (though they deny Christ's equality to his God and our God) yet speak of him as a Being who existed before all worlds, and as at the head of all worlds. This feems almost as little warranted by reason and Scripture as the doctrine which makes him the ONE SUPREME; and

and it makes the doctrine of his having humbled himself to death, even the death of the cross, to save this world, almost equally incredible. When in the Colossians he is styled the Image of the invisible Deity, and the First-born of every creature, the meaning is, that by the divine power which he displayed, he was a representation on earth of the ever blessed Deity; and that by rising from the dead he became, what he is elsewhere called, the First fruits of them that sleep, and the First born from the dead of human beings.

Thirdly. There is one previous observation more which I would recommend to

your particular confideration.

Admist all the speculations and controverfies about the person and offices of Christ, L wish you would never forget that the only obiect of religious worship is the one Supreme Deity. This, I think, a point of great confequence. There is no other being concerning whom we have fufficient reason to think that he is continually present with us, and a witness to all our thoughts and defires. There is, therefore, no other being to whom our prayers ought to be directed. It was to this Being that our Lord himself directed his prayers: And his language to us is, thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve, Matth. iv. 10. You shall ask me nothing. Whatever ye shall ask the Father

Ther in my name, he will give it to you, John xvi. 23. This is the Scripture rule of worship. We are to pray to God in the name of Christ; that is, as his disciples, and with a regard to him as the Mediator between God and man. To this purpose St. Paul exhorts us in Col. iii. 16. Do every thing in the name of Christ, giving thanks to God and the Father by him. The injunction to St. John, when he would have fallen down to worship the angel that shewed him the prophetical visions in the book of Revelation. we should consider as given to every Christian who is disposed to worship any being except the ONE SUPREME-See thou do it not. Worship God. All other worship is an idolatry which the Christian religion forbids. The proneness to it, however, among Christians, as well as Heathens, has been in all ages melancholy and shocking. The religion of Heathens confisted chiesly in the worship of human spirits, supposed to have been elevated, after their deaths, into a participation with the Supreme Deity in the government of the world. The religion of Papists is in a great degree the same. Their prayers are directed much more to the Virgin Mary, and deified human spirits called Saints, than to God.—Nor are Protestants guiltless. For, if the doctrine of the Trinity be false, what must the worship be that is grounded R 2

grounded upon it? How much must the reformed churches themselves want reformation?—Even Socinians have not kept clear of this great error of Christendom. You have heard that, in former times, they contended zealously for the obligation to invoke and worship Christ, though, in their opinion, not a creature only, but a mere man.

Suffer me here to address you in the words with which the apostle John concludes his first epistle—Little children, keep your-felves from idols. Adhere to the worship of the one living and true God, and admit no other beings to a share with him in your adorations. That grand apostacy among Christians which is predicted in the new Testament, consists principally in their falling into idolatrous worship.† This is that spiritual

\* It is remarkable that Socious, whose zeal on this point, was so great as to make him a persecutor, at the same time afferted that idolaters could not be saved. How happy is it for us, that even our own sentences here shall not condemn us hereaster, provided we are sincere?

† The learned Mr. Joseph Mede, in the last century, has given an intimation of "Some sin which the "whole body of the reformation is guilty of, but "which is counted no sin." And Sir Isaac Newton, in his Commentary on the Revelations, speaks of all nations having corrupted the Christian religion, and of a recovery of the long lost truth which is to be effected hereaster.—"I can, by no means conceive.

Ipiritual fornication for which the lews were so often punished; and which, according to all the best commentators, has given the name of the mother of harlots to the church of Rome. Avoid it then carefully and anxiously. You cannot be wrong when you follow, in this and other instances, the example of Jesus Christ.

It is the conviction that the true object of religious worship is God the Father only, that in a great measure makes us Protestant Disfenters. Let us keep on this ground. is impossible we should find better. There are probably fuperior invisible beings without number. But we have nothing to do with them as objects of our devotions. Our invocations in prayer must be confined to that one self-existent Being who governs all beings. There are other lords, but their authority is derived from him. There are other saviours, but they are his gifts; and of thefe.

(fays an excellent clergyman and valuable writer) " what it is these writers point at, except it be the " fupremacy of the God and Father of all, which " they might possibly believe to be a truth that has " been denied and loft by the general declaration of " the churches, that two other persons are his equals. "This is fo far from being looked upon as a fin, that " it is a fign of orthodoxy, and is a doctrine that per-" vades the whole reformation." See Reflections on the 15th chapter of Mr. Gibbon's History, &c. p. 73, by the late Mr. Henry Taylor, Rector of Crawley, and Vicar of Portsmouth, Hazis.

these, the sirst and best, is that Saviour who left heaven to deliver us from fin and death, and to lift us to a happy immortality. To this Saviour we owe an ardent gratitude; but the gratitude we owe to him is nothing compared with that which we owe to the God who gave him, and whom alone we know to be ever near us to hear and notice our prayers and praises.

Having made these previous observations, I shall next proceed to set before you some arguments which appear to me to prove the two doctrines of the pre-existent dignity of Christ, and his having performed a higher service for us than any being merely human could have performed. But this I must re-

ferve for some future discourses.



## SERMONIX.

Of the Pre-existence and Dignity of Christ.

## 1 JOHN, iv. 14.

WE HAVE SEEN, AND DO TESTIFY, THAT THE FATHER SENT THE SON TO BE THE SAVIOUR OF THE WORLD.

In discoursing to you on the different schemes of Christianity you may remember that (after shewing that we are all agreed with respect to the essentials of it, and the information which it was intended principally to communicate, and which is most interesting to us as sinful and dying creatures) I arranged the different sentiments which have been entertained concerning it under three schemes, each of which I stated, giving the preference to that which I did not know know how better to distinguish, than by calling it the middle scheme between Cal-

vinism and Socinianism.

My design, in what is to follow of these discourses, is to state the reasons which seem to me to shew that this scheme comes nearest the truth. At the close of my last discourse, I made some preparatory observations which I thought necessary; and, with this view, I

First pointed out to your notice a coincidence which there is, on the subject of Christ's dignity, between the opinions of Trinitarians and Socinians. Both make the Jesus, who bled and died on the cross, a mere man, but distinguished from common men by a miraculous conception, and a particular communication of Divine powers. In opposition to this doctrine, I have proposed to state the reasons which lead me to believe, that he was more than a man, and that he not only was endowed with extraordinary powers, but had existed, before his appearance in this world, in a state of dignity and glory.

Secondly; I defired you to observe that, while I believe this to be the truth; I do not mean to affert any thing with respect to the degree of our Lord's pre-existent dignity, this being a point about which the Scriptures are filent, except by saying, that God

made this world by him.

Thirdly ;

Thirdly; I defired you to observe, that whatever may be the dignity of Christ, or our obligations to him, the only object of our religious worship is that one Supreme Being who sent him into the world; and that all prayer directed to other beings is an idolatry which we ought anxiously to avoid.

I shall now proceed to state my reasons. for receiving that account of the Gospel to which I have given the preference. It differs, I have said, from Socinianism in two particulars. First, in afferting Christ to have been more than any human being. And, secondly, in afferting that he took upon him human nature for a higher purpose than merely revealing to mankind the will of God, and instructing them in their duty, and in the doctrines of religion. In difcourfing on these subjects, I have thought the words I have just read to you better adapted to my views than the words on which I grounded my former discourses. We have seen, and do testify, (says St. John) that the Father sent the Son to be the Saviour of the world.—These words imply the following very important truths.

First; that Jesus Christ was the Messenger of God the Father Almighty; and that, therefore, we are to ascribe to him ultimately all that Christ did, and all that we

we to him. The Father, my text fays,

Secondly, that this Messenger was one of peculiar excellence and dignity. By way of distinction, and in order to mark his peculiar eminence, he is called the Son. So likewise in the first verse of the Epistle to the Hebrews it is said that God, who at fundry times, and in divers manners, spoke in times past to the fathers by the prophets, hath in these last times spoken to us by his Son, by whom he made the worlds.

Thirdly; these words imply that Christ was sent into the world to perform for it a service of the last importance. He was sent to save it. The Father sent the Son to be the Saviour of the world.—These words, therefore, lead me to answer the following inquiries.

Ist. Whether the peculiar dignity of Christ, as pointed out to us in the Scriptures, means any more than what Athanasians and Socinians say; that is, his being a man, the same with ourselves, but in union with the Deity, and endowed with extraordinary powers?

2dly. What the nature was of that instrumentality in the work of our redemption, which is expressed when it is said, that God SENT him to be the Saviour of the world. And 3dly. Whether he is a Saviour in any other way than by his instructions and

• example.

First, let us inquire what reasons there are for believing that Christ's peculiar dignity, as described in the Scriptures, implies that he was more than any being merely human.

As one who wishes to be a candid inquirer after truth, I must here tell you, that I think the mere appellation (Son of God) applied to Christ decides nothing on this subiect. The manner in which he is so styled, in my text and other places of Scripture, implies, as I have just observed, his pre-eminence as a Prophet and Messenger from God; but the appellation, taken by itself and abftracted from the circumstances of its application, affords no proof of his being more than a man. It is indeed a phrase which has been deplorably misinterpreted; and on which a doctrine concerning God, the most unintelligible, has been grounded. By Trinitarians and Calvinists, it has been supposed to refer to an eternal derivation of one part of the Divine nature from another, or of the fecond person in the Trinity from the first; and their language is, that Christ was neither made nor created, but begotten from everlasting, and of one substance with the Father. And, even by many more rational divines, this

this phrase has been thought to refer to some peculiar manner in which Christ derived his existence from the Deity before all worlds. and by which he is distinguished from and raised above all the other productions of the Divine will and power. For this reason they think Christ is called the Only Begotten of the Father, there existing no other being derived from him in the same way; that is, by generation, and not by creation. There is scarcely any thing that strikes me more with a conviction of the infirmity of the human understanding, than the zeal with which this most groundless and absurd notion has been received by some of the ablest and best men. That it is wholly groundless will appear from the following confiderations.

First. With respect to the epithet only begotten applied to Christ as the Son of God, it is plain that it means no more than his being his beloved Son, as he is likewise often called in the Scriptures.—Thus is this epithet used in Prov. iv. 3. For I was my father's son tender and only (that is, peculiarly) beloved in the sight of my mother. And it is remarkable, that the Greek translators of the Old Testament frequently render the words, which in the original, signify only son, by beloved son,

It deserves your notice here, that Christ is styled God's first-begotten, as well as his only begotten Son; and that he is so styled plainly for no other reason, than that he was the first that rose from the dead. Thus, Rev. i. 5. Grace be to you from him which is, and which was, and which is to come; and from Jefus Christ the Faithful Witness, the First-begotten from the dead, and the Prince of the kings of the earth. And Col. i. 18. He is the Head of the church, the Beginning, the Firstborn from the dead, that in all things he might bave the pre-eminence. Can any one imagine that in these texts the facred writers had any view to the mode of Christ's derivation from the Father before all worlds? It is equally unreasonable to imagine, they had any fuch view when they apply the title Son of God generally to him, without any epithet. In reality, it is only a particular kind of phraseology used in the Scriptures; and which is frequently applied to many besides our Saviour. Angels are styled the Sons of God, Job xxxviii. 7. When the morning stars fang together, and all the sons of God shouted for joy. See likewise Dan. iii. 25.—Adam is called the fon of God, Luke iii. 38 .- Magiftrates are called the fons of God, Psal. lxxxii. 6. and John x. 34.—Ifrael is called God's first-born, Exod. iv. 22. And thou shalt say unto Pharaoh; thus saith the Lord; Israel is

my son, even my sirst-born. But this title is, in a more particular manner, applied to good men, and virtuous Christians, in the New Testament. As many as are led by the spirit of God, St. Paul tells us, are the sons of God; and if sons, then heirs; heirs of God, and joint heirs with Christ, Rom. viii. 14 and 17. So likewise, Rev. xxi. 7. He that overconeth shall inherit all things, and I will be his. God, and he shall be my son. And in John i. 12. it is said, that to as many as receive Christ, be gave the power to become the sons of God.

In short; according to the Scripture language, we are all the sons of God; but Christ is so more particularly and eminently. God is the Father of us all, as well as of Christ; but he is the first-born among many brethren, having God for his God no less than he is our God. I ascend (said our Saviour to Martha) to my God and to your

God, to my Father and to your Father.

The title Son of God then being applicable to Christ, with all the epithets added to it; were he only a man, nothing can be inferred from it with respect to his pre-existent dignity. And this will appear yet more plainly from considering, that he is styled in the Scriptures the Son of Man as well as the Son of God; and that both these titles took their rise from two remarkable prophecies in the Old Testament concerning the Messiah.

The first took its rife from Daniel; the 7th chapter and 13th verse. I faw in the night visions, and behold one like the SON OF MAN came with the clouds of beaven to the Ancient of Days; and there was given him dominion, and glory, and a kingdom, that all people, and nations, and languages, should serve him. The latter took its rife from the prophecy in the three first verses of the 42d chapter of Isaiah. Behold my SERVANT, (or, as it is quoted in Mat. xii. 18.) Behold my Son whom I have chesen, my Beloved, in whom my foul delighteth. I will put my spirit upon him, and he shall shew forth judgment to the Gentiles. But it will be proper to be more explicit here, and to obferve that Christ is called the Son of God on Three accounts in the New Testament.

First. On account of his miraculous conception. This is evident from Luke i. 35. The angel faid to her, The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee. The power of the highest shall overshadow thee. Therefore, that holy thing which shall be born of thee, shall be called the Son or God.

Secondly. On account of his resurrection. This appears from Rom. i. 4. Declared to be the Son of God with power by his resurrection. from the dead. And more plainly from Acts xiii. 33: The promise which was made to our fathers, God hath fulfilled to us their children, in that he hath-raised up Jesus from the dead,

as it is written in the second Pfalm. 'Thou

Thirdly. On account of his office as the In consequence of Isaiah's proph-Messiah. ecy just quoted, the phrase Son of God came. to be the most common title by which the Messiah was characterized among the Jews. So true is this, that it appears plainly in the. Gospel history that these two titles, the Son of God, and the Messiab, were synonimous among the Jews at the time of our Lord's public ministry. When St. John, at the end of his Gospel declares, that what he had. written was in order that they might believe that Jesus was the Christ, the Son of God; it is plain that he wies the phrase Son of God as only another phrase for the Christ, that is, the Messiab. The same is true of Nathaniel's declaration on feeing our Saviour. Rabbi, thou art the Son of God. Thou art the King of Ifrael. And also, of the confession made by the demoniacs mentioned Luke iv. A1. Demons also came out of many crying out, and faying, Thou art the Messiah the Son of God. When the Jews asked our Lord, Luke Art thou the Son of God? their xxii. 70. meaning undoubtedly was, Art thou the Meffiab? And thus that very question is expressed in Mark xiv. 61. Art thou the Mesfish, the Son of the bleffed?\*

<sup>\*</sup> The account here given of Christ's being called the Son of God, is nearly the same with that given

It would be wasting your time to fay more on this subject. Such is the true account of a phraseology in Scripture which has nothing in it that is not easy and intelligible; but which has, among Christians, produced some of the grossest conceptions of the Deity; and, among Insidels, exposed Christianity to ridicule and scorn.

Having thus shewn you that no conclusion, with respect to the doctrine of Christ's pre-existence, can be drawn merely from his being called the Son of God, I shall now state to you those reasons which influence my judgment in this instance, and which seem

to me to prove this doctrine.

First, I will observe that the denial of it seems, in a great measure, derived from narrow ideas of the extent of the creation, and of the connections and dependencies that take place in it. We are too apt to look upon ourselves as placed by ourselves on this globe, as unconnected with any superior world of spirits, and the sun and stars as made only for us. This is all miserable narrowness and short-sightedness. That earth, which appears to us so great, is (comparatively speaking) nothing to the solar system: The solar system nothing to the system of the fixed stars:

by Dr. Watts in his Treatife entitled, Ufeful and Important Questions concerning Jesus the Son of God freely proposed, with a humble attempt to answer them.

Aars: And the lystem of the fixed stars nothing to that system of systems of which it is # part. I refer now to some discoveries in the heavens which have been lately made. The planets are fo many inhabited worlds; and all the stars which twinkle in the sky somany funs enlightening other worlds. This no one now doubts. But late observations have carried our views much farther, by difcovering that this whole vast collection of worlds and fystems bears a relation to other collections of worlds and fystems; that our systems moves towards other fystems; that all the visible frame of fun, planets, stars, and milkyway forms one cluster of fystems; and that, in the immense expanse of the heavens, there are myriads of these clusters which, to common glasses, appear like small white clouds, but to better glasses, appear to be affemblages of stars mixing their light. This fets before us a prospect which turns us giddy; but, however astonishing, we have read fon to believe that all that it presents to us is nothing to the real extent and grandeur of the universe; for all these myriads of worlds, of fystems of worlds, and of assemblages of fystems being formed so much on one plan as all to require light, it is more than probable that fomewhere in the immensity of space, other plans of nature take place; and that, far beyond all that it is possible for us to descry

descry, numberless scenes of existence are exhibited different in this respect, and of which we can no more form a notion than a child in the womb can form a notion of the folar system, or a man born blind of light and colours. But I am in danger of going' farther than is suitable to my present purpose in speaking on this subject. What I have just said relates chiefly to corporeal nature; and my design has been to lead you' to this reflection—" That fince corporeal "nature is thus extensive and grand; in-" corporeal nature (that is, the intellectual u-" niverse) must be much more so." former is in itself of no value. The materialuniverse is the lowest part of created existence, and defigned only to be the feat and receptacle of living and spiritual beings. These spiritual beings rise above one another in endless gradation from the oyster to the ONE SUPREME. They have, undoubtedly, connexions and dependencies like those which are established in the material universe. Man, in particular, is only one link in this chain. It would be the greatest folly to imagine that he stands alone; or that he has no connexions with fuperior orders of creatures. His present state may be derived from these connexions; and the administration of the Divine government, with respect to him, may have a reference to them, and.

and be in some manner dependent upons them. The Scriptures, I think, teach us plainly that this is the truth, by the account they give us of the fall, of angels good and bad, and of the Messah. Nor can any doctrine appear more credible to a person who attends properly to the order and laws of the creation.

We see the whole of existence below us (that is, between man and nothing) filled with a variety, almost infinite, of different classes of beings all related and connected. Who can doubt whether all above us is alikefull?—Let us here think of the possible dig-

nity of fuperior intelligent beings.

It is self evident, that the Almighty Being who existed from eternity might have exerted his power from eternity; and to affert the contrary, is to affert that he must have passed an eternity without power.—But not to insist on this, it will come to the same to say, that from a time, in past duration, at a greater distance than any assignable, he has been exerting his Almighty power and perfect goodness. There are, therefore, reasonable beings who have existed from indefinite ages. During all these ages they have been growing and rising. What then must they now be? To what dignity must they have arrived? Of what consequence must their agency be in the creation?—We are

**OURSELVES** 

ourselves (should we not lose our existence by vice) to exist and to rise through eternal ages. What dignity then shall we our selves, some time or other reach? What importance must our agency some time or other acquire?

But to come to fome evidences more to

my present purpose.

I would observe, Secondly, that the history of our Saviour as given in the New Teftament, and the events of his life and miniftry, answer best to the opinion of the superiority of his nature.—Of this kind are his introduction into the world by a miraculous conception; the annunciations from heaven at his baptism and transfiguration, proclaiming him the Son of God, and ordering all to hear him; his giving himself out as come from God to offer his life for the tife of the world, and to shed his blood for the remission of sins; his perfect innocence and finless example; the wisdom which discovered itself in his doctrine, and by which he fpoke as never man fpoke; that knowledge of the hearts of men by which he could speak to their thoughts as we do to one another's words; his intimations that he was greater than Abraham, Moses, David, or even angels; \* those miraculous powers by which

<sup>\*</sup> But of that day knoweth no man; no, not the angele which are in feaven, neither the Son, but the Father only. Mark xiii. 32.

which, with a command over nature like that which first produced it, he ordered tempests to cease, and gave eyes to the blind, limbs to the maimed, reason to the frantic, health to the sick, and life to the dead; his surrender of himself to the enemies who took away his life, after demonstrating that it was his own consent \* gave them their power over him; the signs which accompanied his sufferings and death; his resurrection from the dead, and triumphant ascension to heaven.—Never was a character so august exhibited on the stage of this world.

\* See John xviii. 14, &c. There was unspeakable dignity in our Lord's conduct, as described in this passage. The band of officers and soldiers who came to apprehend him, struck by invisible power, were thrown backward and fell to the ground upon approaching him. After this it is probable they were afraid of again approaching him. He was, therefore, obliged to offer himself to them, and to desire they would take him. He faw now before him a dreadful scene of humiliation and torture. hours he was to be nailed to a cross, and to be held up, before a whole kingdom, as an object of infult. In these circumstances, it was proper there should be demonstrations given of his superiority and greatness. When he fuffered, nature feemed to fuffer with him. The earth shook, and the light of day withdrew itself. And, after hanging on the crofs a sufficient time, and crying with a loud voice, it is finished, he bowed his head and dismissed his spirit. This was dying as no one ever died. It verified his declaration that no one took his life from him; but that he gave it up of himself.

world.\*—The Evangelists have drawn it by the recital of facts only, without any appearance of art or effort. And when I contemplate it in all its circumstances, I am disposed indeed to cry out, in language similar to that of the Roman centurion who attended his crucifixion, "Surely this was a su-

perior being."

You must be sensible that I mention this as a presumptive argument only. It is indeed, a consideration of some weight with me, that had a superior being come down from heaven for some purpose worthy of his interposition, the events recorded of Christ are just such as we might have reasonably expected would have bore witness to his greatness, and marked his entrance into the world, his passage through it, and his departure from it. Some, however, of the sacts I have mentioned afford more than a presumptive argument. Such, in particular is that immaculateness of character which the Scriptures ascribe to him. This, I think, the Socinians in general allow. But is it conceivable that it could have belonged to a

mere

<sup>\*</sup> This is a subject which has been well treated by some of our best writers, and particularly by Dr. Newcombe, the tearned and excellent Bishop of Waterford, in his Observations on our Lord's conduct as a Divine Instructor.

mere man?\*—Another fact of the same kind is his raising himself from the dead. This he seems to have intimated when he said to the Jews—"Destroy this temple, and in three

\* Christ, if impeccable and infallible (as Socinians as well as other Christians have hitherto believed) must have been not simply a man like ourselves, but (supp fing him not to have pre-existed) an angelic being created on purpose at the time of his conception, and endowed immediately with the powers and knowledge of a fuperior being, without any of those previous acquisitions and gradual advances, which the natures of things, as well as the usual course of the Divine government, feem to require.-What can be lefs probable than a creation fo extraordinary ?- The creation of an Unique amongst men; and for a purpose too, which a man, fallible and peccable like ourfelves, might have answered as well; and in some respects, even better!—Compare with this, the defcent from heaven to give life to the world of a being who had before rifen to high powers .- How different, as to credibility as well as dignity, are the miffion and character of Christ according to these different accounts of them?

A modern Socinian of the first character and ability appears to have felt this difficulty, and, therefore, has suggested that Christ was peccable and fallible like ourselves; and so much so, as to have been misled by vulgar prejudices and capable of misapplying the Scriptures of the Old Testament—to have been conquered by the prospect of his crucifixion to a degree that shewed less fortitude than has been shewn by some common men in similar situations, though he foresaw his own immediate resurrection and the glory that was to follow it—to have been ignorant, before his baptism, of his own character as the Messiah; and,

expressly in John x. 11, 18. Therefore doth my Father love me, because I lay down my life that I may take it again. No one taketh it from me; but I lay it down of myself. I have power to lay it down, and I have power to take it again. This commandment have I received of my Father.—In all other places God is said to have raised Christ from the dead; and these words inform us how this is to be understood. God raised Christ from the dead by giving him a power to raise himself from the dead, and not only himself, but all the world; or (as it is expressed in chap. xx. 26.) by giving to the Son of man to have life

like the prophets that preceded him, even inferior in knowledge (except as far as he was taught by infpiration) to an enlightened man in modern times—See Observations on the Inspiration of Christ in the Theological Repository, vol. iv. p. 435, &c. The Scripture affertion, that Christ knew no sin, means much the same, according to this author, with St. John's affertion (1 John iii. 9-) that a true Christian cannot commit sin, that is, cannot commit any acts of gross sin.

However contrary this account of Christ may be to the general faith of Christians, I must think, that it shews the good sense of the writer, and is the only ground on which the Socinian dostrine is tenable.—The consequence, however, of thus lowering Christ before his death is the necessity of lowering him likewise fince his death. And, accordingly, this able writer, whose candour appears to be such as will not suffer

life in himself, that as the Father raiseth up the dead and quickeneth them, so might the Some quicken whom he will; the Father now judging no man, but having committed all judgment to the Son. It is in this sense, the Scripture tells us sometimes, that God is to raise the dead hereaster, while yet its common language is, that Christ is to raise the dead—But,

Thirdly, It feems to me that there are in the New Testament express and direct declarations of the pre-existent dignity of Christ. Of this sort I reckon the following passages—John i. 1. compared with the 14th verse. In the beginning was the word, and the word was made shelp, and dwelt among us.—John iii. 13. No one hath ascended up to beaven, but he that came down from beaven; even the Son of Manwho is in heaven.—John vi. 62. What, and if ye shall see the Son of man ascend up where

fuffer him to evade any fair inference from his opinions, has farther intimated (Ib. p. 458) that Christ's judging the world may mean less than is commonly believed, and perhaps the same that is meant in I. Cor. vi. 2. when it is faid, that the faints are to judge the world.—I hope, that some time or other, he will have the goodness to oblige the public by explaining himself on this subject; and when he does, I hope he will farther shew, how much less than is commonly believed, we are to understand by Christ's RAISING THE WORLD FROM THE BEAD.

be was before.\*- John viii. 58. Before Abraham was, I am .- And John xvii. 5. And now, O Father, glorify thou me with the glory which I had with thee before the world was.

The

\* In this chapter we find that our Lord took occasion, from the miracle of the loaves and fishes, to speak of himself as the true bread which was come down from heaven to give life to the world. The Tews understood this to be an intimation that he had existed in heaven before he came into this world, and therefore murmured at him and faid (ver. 42.) Is not this Jesus the son of Joseph, whose father and mother we know? How is it then that he fays, I came down from heaven ?-There is, in this case, a prefumption that the fense in which the Jews understood our Lord was the most obvious and natural sense. If, however, it was not, and the Jews had perversely misinterpreted his words, it was reasonable to expect that he would have faid fomething to correct their mistake: But, instead of this, we find that in his reply he repeated the same declaration in stronger language, and intimated that they had understood him rightly-verse 61. Does this offend you? What and if ye shall see the Son of Man ascend up where he was before?

A like observation may be made on the words in John viii. 18. Before Abraham was, I am. It is in this instance also a circumstance of some consequence. that these words were occasioned by an offence which Jesus had given the Jews by an expression which they thought implied, that he had existed in the davs of Abraham. Ver. 57. Then faid the Jews to him, Thou art not fifty years old, and bast thou seen Abraham? . Jesus answered. Verily, verily, I fay unto you, before 

The limits to which I must conside myfelf will not allow me to enter into a critical
examination of these texts. The interpretations which the Socinians give of them are
such as cannot easily occur to any plain man.
Some of them have said that Christ was taked up to heaven at the commencement of
his public ministry; and that it is to this
he refers when he speaks of his coming down
from heaven, and having been in heaven.
But this is a groundless affertion which has
been given up by modern Socinians, who
maintain

The whole context in which the words next quoted stands, is as follows .- These words spake Jesus, and lifted up his eyes to heaven and said. Father, the hour is come. Glorify thy Son that thy Son may also glorify thee; as thou hast given him power over all siesh that he should give eternal life to as many as thou hast given him. And this is life eternal that they may know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom thou hast sent. I have glorified thee on earth. I have finished the work which thou gavest me to do. And now, O Father, glorify thou me with thine own felf, with the glory which I had with thee before the world was .- It feems to me that in this paffage Christ has, with particular propriety and wisdom, added to the declaration that power over all flesh (or as he speaks in Matth. xxviii. 18. all power in heaven and earth) was given him, an intimation of his having existed before this world was made. Such a declaration must have otherwise appeared extravagant. For what could be more extravagant than to suppose that so high a power could belong. to fuch a helpless and impotent creature of yesterday as a mere man?—But more to this purpose will be faid presently.

maintain that these phrases fignify only Christ's having been sent of God into the world, and having had communications from him. By his being made flesh, they think no more is meant than that he was flesh, and appeared in the world as a man. By saying that he existed before Abraham, they think he only meant that his existence was intended before Abraham; and by the glory which he had with the Father before the world was, they understand the glory which he had, in the Divine forefight and appointment, before the world was.—I must own to you, that I am inclined to wonder that wife and good men can fatisfy themselves with such explanations.—But I correct myself. I know that Christians, amidst their differences of opinion, are too apt to wonder at one another; and to forget the allowances which ought to be made for the darkness in which we are all involved. Sensible of this truth, and hoping to be excused if I should ever express my convictions in too strong language, I proceed to recite to you some other texts which seem to be no less clear than those I have just quoted.—Heb. ii. 9. Jesus, who was made a little lower than the angels, that be might tafte death for every man. And verse 16. He took not on him the nature of (he helped not) angels; but he took on him (he helped) the feed of Abraham. Consider here how absurd it would be to mention, as an instance of condescension and merit in a mere man, that he submitted to be made lower than the angels, and that he assisted not them but the seed of Abraham?—Of the same kind, though not so expressive, are the words in Gal. iv. 4. God sent forth his San made of a woman; and in 1 John iv. 2. Jesus Christ is come in the sless. This language is perfectly proper on the supposition of Christ's pre-existence; but very improper on the contrary supposition: For how could a mere man be otherwise made than of a woman; or come otherwise than in the sless?

Again; 2 Cor. viii. 9. Ye know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that though be was rich, yet for our sakes he became poor that we through his poverty might be made rich.—When did our Lord posses riches? When did he exchange riches for poverty in order to make us rich? In this world he was always poor and persecuted.—But, in my opinion, the most decisive text of all is that in Phil. ii. and the 5th and following verses: Let the same mind be in you that was in Christ; who, being in the form of God, thought it no robbery to be equal with God, but made bimself of no reputation. There is an incoherence in these words, which shews

they are not a right translation; and it is generally agreed among the best commentators, that the true rendering is as follows. Who being in the form of God, did not covet to be honoured as God,\* but divested himself, and took on him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of men; and being found in fash. ion as a man, humbled himself to death, even the death of the cross. I have often confidered carefully the interpretation which the Socinians. give of these words; and the more I have confidered it, the more confirmed I have been in thinking it forced and unnatural. fense they give is this-Who being in the form of God (by the power which he possessed of working miracles) did not choose to retain that power, and so to appear like God, but divested himself of it, and took on him the form of a servant, and was made in the likenefs of men. Here they add the epithet on-DINARY, and read this passage as if it had been—and was made in the likeness of an on-DINARY man; and, being found in fashion as an ORDINARY man, humbled himself to death. -It is natural to ask here, When did Christ divest himself of the power of working miracles? The Gospel history tells us that he retained it to the last; and that he was never more diffinguished than when at his crucifixion

<sup>\*</sup> See Dr. Clark's Scripture Doctrine, of the Trine. ity, chap. xi. fect. 5. No. 934.

cifixion the earth shook, the rocks were split, and the fun was darkened:-Indeed the turn and structure of this passage are such, that I find it impossible not to believe, that the humiliation of Christ which St. Paul had in view was (not his exchanging one condition on earth for another) but his exchanging the glory he had with God, before the world was, for the condition of a man, and leaving that glory to encounter the difficulties of human life, and to fuffer and die on the cross. This was, in truth, an event worthy to be held forth to the admiration of Christians. But if the apostle means only that Christ (though exalted above others by working miracles) yet consented to suffer and to die like other men; if, I fay, St. Paul means only this, the whole passage is rendered cold and trifling, no more being faid of Christ than might have been said of St. Paul himself, or any of the other apostles.\*

The passages of Scripture which call Christ a man, and in which he is said to have been born, have been insisted

Are the who wishes to be affisted, in judging how far the texts which I have here quoted can be reconciled to the Socinian doctrine, should consult Mr. Lindsey's interpretations of them in the sequel to his Apology on resigning the vicarage of Catterick, Yorkshire; and also, the second of the two Essays in the fourth number of the Commentaries and Essays published by the Society for promoting the Knowledge of the Scriptures.

There remain to be quoted the texts which mention the creation of the world by Jesus Christ.—In Heb. i. 2. we read that God who in former times spoke to the fathers by the prophets, hath, in these last times, spoken to us by his Son, whom he hath appointed heir of all things; and by whom also he made the worlds. Again; in the Gospel of John i. 3. it is faid, that the word was in the beginning with God; and that all things were made by him; and that without him nothing was made that was made-And, in the tenth verse. That he was in the world, and that the world was made by him, but the world knew him not. -And in Col. i. 16. By him were all things

infifted upon as making strongly for the Socinian doctrine. But this is an argument which makes no impression upon me. According to all opinions, Christ was truly a man, and is properly so called. Had the Scriptures called him a man, and added that he was no more than a man, this question would have been decided; but they have, I think, plainly enough afferted the contrary.—That humiliation of Christ, and fuspension of his powers, which is implied in his being made a man and growing up, from infancy to mature age, subject to all our wants and forrows, is indeed, as to the manner of it, entirely incomprehenfible to us. But is this to be wondered at, considering our ignorance of the nature of matter and spirit and of the laws which govern the superior invilible world? Are we not continually witnesses to facts in some degree similar to this? In short; those who will believe nothing, the manner and causes of which they cannot comprehend, must be in the way to believe nothing at all.

things created that are in heaven and that are in earth, visible and invisible.\*

\* It is a circumstance a little discouraging in reciting this evidence from Scripture, that some modern Socinians would not be convinced by it, were it ever so clear and decisive. I find a proof of this in a late publication by a highly valued friend, and one of the most distinguished writers of the present times. Dr. Prieftly, in the Introduction to his History of the early Opinions concerning Christ, has given fuch an explanation of the texts which feem to declare the creation of the world by Christ as he thinks may reconcile them to the Socinian doctrine. But, at the same time, he intimates, that had this been the opinion of the apostles we should not be bound to receive it. "As it is not pretended, he fays, page 63, vol. & 44 that there are any miracles adapted to prove that "Christ made and supports the world, I do not see " that we are under any obligation to believe it mere-" ly because it was an opinion held by an apostle."-And p. 70. " It is not, certainly, from a few cafual " expressions which so easily admit of other interprestations, and especially in epifielary writings, that " we can be authorifed to infer that fuch was the fe-" rious opinion of the apostles. But if it had been " their real opinion, it would not follow that it was " true, unless the teaching of it should appear to be " included in their general commission, with which, " as I have shewn, it has no fort of connexion."

I have here, and every where else in these discourses, called the believers in the simple humanity of
Christ, Socinians, for want of knowing how better to
distinguish them. They choose to be called Unitarians. But they have no exclusive right to this title;
and former Socinians had no right to it at all; for they
concurred with Trinitarians in worshipping a deised
man.—It is an essential point of religion with me to
worship God only.

This is a fact that raises our ideas of the pre-existent dignity of Christ higher than any thing else that is said of him in the New Testament. But it is, in general, misinterpreted. In order to understand it properly, we should remember.

First, That the term world, in Scripture, means only this world; and that all things mean only all things belonging to this world. The apostles probably never thought of that plurality of worlds which has been lately discovered. Indeed, had their minds been thus far enlightened, they would only have been embarraffed, and no good end could have been answered.—This earth, with its inhabitants and connexions, cludes all of nature that we have any concern with; and it would be folly to imagine that the Scripture history and doctrines have any view to other worlds.—This obfervation is applicable to the account of the creation in the first chapter of Genesis; that account, most probably, being an account only of the creation of this earth, with its immediate dependencies.

Secondly. You should remember that the formation of this world by Christ does not imply creation from nothing, that probably being peculiar to Almighty power; but only an arrangement of things into their present

U order,

order, and the establishment of that course of nature to which we are witnesses.

You should remember Again. Christ is represented, not as the original Creator, but as God's minister in creation. God made the world (this is the language of Scripture) but he made it by or through Christ. The agency of Christ, in this instance, is represented as entirely instrumental, like that agency of his in working miracles, which he describes, when he fays, I can of mine own felf do nothing. The Father who dwelleth in me, he doth the works .- It is the constant method of God's government, as far as it falls under our notice, to employ fubordinate agency in bringing about events; and, had I wanted in this case the authority of Scripture, I should have thought it highly probable, that it was by fuch agency the changes among worlds are often produced; and, in particular, that this globs was reduced from a chaotic state to its prefent habitable form, that mankind and other animals were planted upon it, and the laws fettled by which it is governed.\*

I cannot help taking this opportunity to add, that the doctrine of God's forming

<sup>\*</sup> Even men, in the earliest stage of their existences possesses a power (constantly on the increase) of changing the face of nature, and of introducing on this globe new scenes of being and enjoyment, which is not totally unlike a power of raising new creations.

this world by the agency of the Messiah gives a credibility to the doctrine of his interposition to save it, and his suture agency in new creating it; because it leads us to conceive of him as standing in a particular relation to it, and having an interest in it.

It is time to proceed to the next argument which I would offer.—It appears to me, that the doctrine of Christ's simple humanity, when viewed in connexion with the Scripture account of his exaltation, implies an inconfiftency and improbability, which falls little short of an impossibility; and, consequently, that this doctrine not renders the Scripture unintelligible, but. Christianity itself incredible.—The Scriptures tells us that Christ, after his resurrection, became Lord of the dead and living; that he had all power given him in heaven and earth; that angels were made subject to him; and that he is hereafter to raise all the dead, to judge the world, and to finish the scheme of the Divine moral government with respect to this earth, by conferring eternal happiness on all the virtuous, and punishing the wicked with everlasting destruction. -Consider whether such an elevation of a mere man is credible, or even possible? Can it be believed, that a mere man could be advanced at once so high as to be above angels, and to be qualified to rule and judge this

this world? Does not this contradict all that we see, or can conceive of the order of God's works? Do not all beings rife gradually, one acquisition laying the foundation of another. and preparing for higher acquisitions? What would you think, were you told, that a child just born, instead of growing like all other human creatures, had started at once to complete manhood, and the government of an empire? This is nothing to the fact I am confidering.-The power, in particular which the Scriptures teach us, that Christ possesses of raising to life all who have died, and all who will die, is equivalent to the power of creating a world. How inconfiftent is it to allow to him one of these powers, and at the same time to question whether he could have possessed the other?—To allow that he is to restore and new create this world; and yet to deny that he might have been God's agent in originally forming it? According

\* Our brethren among modern Socinians seem to seel this difficulty; and therefore give a new interpretation of the Scripture account of Christ's present power and dignity.—Mr. Lindsey says, in his Sequel, page 466, &c. that the exaltation of Christ to God's right hand, far above all might and dominion, and every name that is named, not only in this world, but in that which is to come; angels, authorities, and powers being made subject to him; is spoken of in accommodation to the ideas of the Jews and Gentiles of those days who believed there were such spiritual beings

AND DIGNITY OF CHRIST.

According to some of the old Socinian writers, Christ, after his resurrection, reigned over all nature, and became the object of religious

beings as angels and demons, and means only the display of a Divine power in the support of the Gospel and its establishment among men. The throne to which Christ was advanced was, according to him, (1b. p. 249.) not any station of dignity in heaven, but the subjection of the world to his Gospel; and his glory with the Father, mentioned John xvii. 5. was the glorious success of the Gospel. His power over all flesh (John xvii. 2.) to give eternal life to as many as the Father had given him, was only his power " to af-" ford men full assurance of the benevolent purpose of "God to bestow eternal life upon them, and to fur-" nish them with the means of virtue that lead to it." P. 249. "Agreeably to the prejudices and imag-" inations of Jews and Gentiles, the subjection of all " mankind to the rules of piety and virtue delivered 66 by Christ, is shadowed out under the imagery of a " mighty king to whom all power was given in heav-" en and earth, placed above angels, principalities," &c. P. 473. However fingular these interpretations may appear, no one ought hastily to condemn them, without confidering what so excellent a man fays to justify them in the passages to which I have referred. It is probable that he interprets, in a like fense. Christ's declaration that he is the RESURREC-Tion and the Live, and understands by it only his being the REVEALER of a future life. But I shall indeed wonder if his good sense and candour will allow him to give the same sense to such texts as the following, -John vi. 40. And I will raise him up at the last Liv. - John v. 29. The hour is coming in which all that are in their graves shall hear the voice of the Son of U2 Man.

religious worship.\* It is surprising that men so enlightened on religious subjects, as to be the wonder of the times in which they lived, did not feel the extravagance there is in ascribing to a mere man an advancement. fo fudden and aftonishing. I do not think that there is, in Athanasianism itself, any thing more extravagant. It is a circumstance much in favour of Christianity that, instead of afferting any such doctrine, it teaches us that the same Christ who after his refurrection had all power given him in heaven and earth, possessed glory with God before the world was; and that, when he ascended to heaven, he only regained a former station, and entered upon a dignity to which he had long rifen, with fuch additions to it, and fuch increased powers as were the proper effect and reward of his having pasfed through human life to fave the world. The

Man, and shall come forth; they that have done good to the refurrection of life, and they that have done evil to the resurrection of damnation. Phil. v. 20, 21. Our conversation is in heaven, from whence we look for the Saviour, the Lord Jesus Christ; who shall change our vile body that it may be fashioned like unto his glorious body, according to the working whereby he is able even to subdue . all things to himself.

\* Christus ad dextram dei in cælis collocatus etiam ab angelis adorandus est. Catachesis Ecclesiarum Polonicarum, sect. 4. Omnem in cælo et in terra potestatem accepit; et omnia, Deo solo excepto, ejus pedibus sunt subjecta. Ibid.

The inconsistency of the Socinian doctrine will in this instance, appear more palpable, if we will consider what the merit was for which a mere man was thus exalted; and what the end was for which a step so extraordinary was taken, and an effort so violent made. His merit was, sacrificing his life in bearing witness to the truth; a merit by no means peculiar to him, many other men having done the same. The end was, the conveyance of blessings which would have been granted (because proper to be granted) whether conveyed by him or not. But, on this subject, a good deal more will be said hereafter.

Fifthly. The doctrine I am confidering lessens the usefulness and force of Christ's example.—He has, the Scriptures say, lest us an example that we should follow his steps. It was an example of blameless and perfect virtue; but he was, according to all opinions of him, qualified for exhibiting by high

<sup>\*</sup>This observation is applicable to the opinions concerning Christ which have hitherto been generally held by Socinians, who, in reality, make him more properly a fuperior being than a man, and differ from Arians chiefly by affigning, contrary to all that is credible, a different date to his existence.—Lately, some of them have lowered him into a man ignorant and peccable, and no way distinguished from the sommon men of his time, except by being inspired;

high endowments which we do not posses, and communications of the spirit without measure which we cannot expect; and it is. on this account, less fitted to influence us. On other accounts, however, it is more forcible in proportion to his superiority; and this is true, in particular, of his condescenfion, humility, meekness, and patience under fufferings. The greater he was, the more we are obliged to admire these virtues in him; and the more we must be incited to practife them .- But there is one part of his example which, being founded on his pre-existent dignity, is lost entirely in the Socinian scheme. I mean: his quitting that dignity, and degrading himself to the condition of a mortal man in order to fave men. This is an instance of benevolence to which we can conceive no parallel; which is probably the admiration of angels; and which (were it duly believed and attended to) would make us incapable of not being ourselves examples of condefcension and benevolence. This is the part of Christ's example which St. Paul has particularly recommended to our imitation in the passage in Philippians which I have already

and this, I am fensible, by bringing him down more to our own level, makes his example, in some respects, more an encouragement to us, and more sit to be proposed to our imitation. See the note in p. 221. ready quoted. Let this mind be in you which was also in Christ Jesus; who, being in the form of God, did not affect to retain that form, but emptied bimself of it, and took on him the form of a man and a servant, and became obedient to death, even the death of the cress. Wherefore, God bath highly exalted him, and given him a name that is above every name, that at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, and every tongue confess that he is Lord, to the glory of God the Father. I reckon this one of the most striking passages in the New Testament.—Let us comply with the exhortation delivered in it, and be always ready to spend and be spent in doing good, that we may rise as Christ rose.

I shall conclude with the following re-

flection.

If Christ was indeed possest of that superiority of nature which I have been afferting, how important must the service be which he came to perform. Would one so high have stooped so low to do only what a meaner agent might have done? I often feel myself deeply impressed by this consideration. The dignity of the service, and the dignity of the agent, imply and prove one another.—
Think, Christians, how dreadful the danger must be which Christ lest heaven to save you from: And neglect not so great a falvation. Remember that, at an expense greater than

## 36 OF THE PRE-EXISTENCE, &c.

can be described, you have been raised to the hope of a refurrection from death to an endless life of ever increasing happiness. Take care that you do not lose a benefit so transcendent, and fink at last into a death from which there will be no redemption. This cannot happen except through your own fault. But should it happen, Christ will not lose the fruits of his labour; for though you should have no share in them. others will, and myriads delivered by him from fin and death will hereafter unite in raising songs of praise and triumph, and ascribing bleffing, and glory, and honour, and power to the Lamb that was stain, and who bath redeemed us to God by his blood.



## SERMON

Of the Character of Christ as the the World.

## 1 JOHN, iv. 14.

WE HAVE SEEN, AND DO TESTIFY, THAT THE SENT THE SON TO BE THE SAVIOUR OF THE

I HAVE thought it a proof the duty of my office in this place you a particular account of my idea Gospel which we all profess, and of we build our hopes of a future has mortality. I have, already, proceed way in the execution of this Before I proceed farther, I must deto bear in mind as I go along, that, ing how liable I am to error, I feel no

fition to be very anxious about bringing you over to my opinions. The rage for profelytism is one of the curses of the world. I wish to make no proselytes except to candour, and charity, and honest inquiry. You must judge for yourselves: And should any thing I bave said in my former discourses, or shall fay in the present discourse, give you any affistance in doing this, my principal end will be answered. I can, in this instance, as in most others, with much more confidence fay what is not, than what is the truth. The Athanasian or Ealvinistic scheme of Christianity I reject with strong conviction. Socinian scheme also, on the two points which chiefly distinguish it, I find myself incapable of receiving. The reasons which determine my judgment on one of these points I have stated in my last discourse. I am now to state my reasons for not receiving the Socinian doctrine on the other of these points.

God, my text fays, sent his Son to be

the Saviour of the world.

I have observed that these words lead us to consider THREE particulars in the doctrine of our falvation by Christ.

First. The dignity of the Saviour. He was the Son of God. This has been explained.

Secondly.

Secondly. The nature of the instrumentality, expressed by his having been SENT.

Thirdly. The nature of the service, experessed by his having been fent to be the

SAVIOUR OF THE WORLD.

After I have said what I think necessary on the two last of these heads, you will be in full possession of my sentiments of the Gos-

pel.

I am first of all to give you an account of the nature of that instrumentality in the work of our redemption which is ascribed to Christ, when it is said, that he was sent of God to be the Saviour of the world. The following observations on this subject appear

to me of some importance.

In the communication of benefits from onebeing to another there are two forts of instrumentality. There is an instrumentality which (being constrained and passive) does not imply obligation to the instrument; and which, therefore, requires no gratitude except to the donor himself. And there is an instrumentality which (being spontaneous and active) does imply obligation to the instrument; and which, therefore, calls for gratitude to him as well as to the donor. Of the former fort is the instrumentality of a servant in conveying a benefit to another from his master. In this case, the servant being merely the conveyer, and having no choice with respect to the communication of the benefit, the person benefitted carries his views entirely to. the master, and considers him alone as the cause of the benefit. Of the latter fort is the instrumentality of one employed by another as a trustee to distribute his bounty. but who, at the same time, is left at liberty, and may be unfaithful if he pleases. In this. case, those who partake of the bounty feel obligation and gratitude to the trustee as well as to his principal. Room is left for the exercise of the free will and discretion of the trustee; and the reception of the bounty is made to depend on his benevolence and honour in such a manner that, but for these, the recipient would have lost it.

Of this last fort is the instrumentality employed by the Deity in the distribution of his bounty among his reasonable creatures. He makes them, not passive instruments, but trustees and voluntary agents, in conveying to one another the blessings of his goodness, He makes them instruments in such a sense that the blessings received shall come from them, as well as primarily from him. He makes them, in short, granters of benefits at the same time that they are conveyers. In no other way could there have been room for gratitude to inserior beings for any benefits,

You must be sensible, that the principal blessings of our existence are not received by

as immediately from the hands We fee that he acts by instrur Ave instruments in the materia by voluntary instruments in the In both, there is a fer of intermediate causes betwee Divine power, wildom, and which all causes terminate, on depend, and to which ultimatel their efficacy. Every reasonal agent, placed in fociety, and fur fellow creatures, is a trustee fo God's bounty. But, in the d. is subjected to no restraints c except fuch as his own pruden: may prescribe to him. He has being either flothful and treach igent and faithful; and, con either withholding happiness fr ereatures, or granting it.-We commissions from God (as Christ ) distress, and to feek and to fav is loft; and we should consider fent of God for this purpose. missions have been given us no cific orders or formal agreemer. men (to conceive thus, of even ( mission, would, I doubt, be co the ways of God as too like ou but by endowing us with power fellow creatures, by planting wi

## 844 OF THE CHARACTER OF CHRIST.

affections prompting us to it, and by placing us in fituations where we shall have opportunities for it. Beyond this we do not fee that the Deity goes in making moral agents instruments of his goodness; nor was it fit that he should. By constituting, in this manner, the plan of his universal government, he has given consequence to the agency of his reasonable creatures; for their agency would be of comparatively little consequence, were it employed merely in carrying benefits, the enjoyment of which did not depend upon, and was not at all derived from, the beings who convey them.—In short; by the method of government of which I am endeavouring to give you an account, his creatures are made a kind of deities to one another: They become real benefactors in the verv fame instances in which God is to be acknowledged as the Supreme Benefactor. Obligation to them takes place as well as to bim; and, while our first gratitude is due to him (the cause of all causes) gratitude becomes due likewise to those inferior beings, on whose free will, and spontaneous instrumentality, he has been pleased to suspend the fruits of his beneficence.—There is, therefore, in this part of the constitution of nature unspeakable wisdom and goodness. Had nature been otherwise constituted; had. no absolute dependence of the states of beings

on

on one another been establishin the universe no precarioution, no liableness to losses at were all the happiness of being to them, independently of the choice and endeavours to bless. Were this the plan of natural world would be little more to dead machinery. Moral againcapable of doing any good to be food and consequently of the greatest happiness cluded.

But to come nearer to the priew.

I fcarcely need tell you, the mentality I ascribe to Christ is our redemption is of the kind scribed. He was sent of God iour of the world in a manner to (his benevolence) the cause of as well as that original benevative beity from which all other lederived. He was the Convey and immortality to us, in such we owe them to him, as well a God.

This is a point of vast imperhaps I may not be able suffiplain it. I do not, however, the

ed with any peculiar difficulties; and if any one does, his difficulties must be owing to inattention and prejudice.-Were a good man, in the common course of life, to interpose, from principles of benevolence and pity, to fave you from a calamity which, but for his exertions, would have ruined you; would you find any difficulty in reconciling your obligation to your deliverer to your obligation to the Deity? Though your benefactor was a free agent in delivering you, and though probably you would have been undone but for his goodness; would you, on this account, think yourself less indebted to God's goodness? Would your feelings of gratitude to him interfere at all with your feelings of gratitude to the Deity? Would you not fay properly, that it. was God put it into his heart to help you: and that it was he fent him and provided him for you? Would you not consider him. as God's instrument; and would not this (while it left you under the full impression of gratitude to God) improve and heighten your gratitude to your friend?—Supposing then the truth to be, that Christ is the author of our Salvation in a way fimiliar to this; that is, supposing that, by a voluntary interpolition from obedience to God and benevolence to man, he has delivered us from

from calamities\* under which we might have perished; and that he condescended to be born and to suffer and die, not merely to convey, in the sense first explained, blessings to us, but likewise to obtain them; supposing, I say, this to be the truth, no objection to it can be drawn from the necessity of acknowledging the goodness of God in all our benefits, and ascribing to him the glory of them.

Let us, therefore, in the next place inquire whether there is sufficient reason for

believing this.

It appears, from what has been already faid, that this inquiry is the same with the inquiry whether Christ is a real Saviour or not. For if he only announces salvation to us; if, properly speaking, he obtains nothing for us; if no extraordinary blessings were sufpended.

\* God gave his Son in the same way of goodness to the world, as he affords particular persons the friendly affistance of their fellow creatures, when, without it their temporal ruin would be the certain consequence of their follies: In the same way of goodness, I say; though in a transcendent and infinitely higher degree. And the Son of God loved us and gave bimself for us, with a love which he himself sormpares to that of human friendship; though in this case, all comparisons must fall infinitely them." Bishop Butter's Analogy of Religion, natural and revealed, to the constitution and course frature, Part ii. chap. v. sect. 5.

pended on his benevolence, and we receive nothing on his account which we should not have otherwise equally had from God's goodness; if this is the truth, the importance of Christ as a Saviour is in a great degree lost, and instead of viewing him in the light of the Restorer of a world consigned to the grave, and the cause of etermal happiness to it, we must view him in the lower light of a Teacher, an Example, and a Martyr. I am satisfied that, according to the Scripture account, we are to view him principally in the former of these

lights.

Before I enter on the proof of this, it is necessary I should take notice of that distressed state of mankind which it supposes, and of the need in which they might stand of a Saviour. The whole Christian scheme is founded on the supposition of a calamity in which our race had been involved, and which has been generally termed the FALL of man. What the true and full account of this event is, it is probably impossible for us to discover, or even to understand were it communicated to us. It is recorded in the third chapter of Genefis, but in a manher so mixed with emblems (derived, perhaps, from the ancient hieroglyphical manper of writing) and consequently so veiled and obscure, that I think little more can be

learnt

Hearnt from it, than that there was a transaction, at the origin of our race, and the commencement of this world, which degrad ed us to our present state, and subjected us to death, and all its concomitant evils: The eredibility of fuch events in the creation cannot reasonably be denied. We see in what falls under our notice of the Divine government, that in confequence of the connexions which have been established. and the powers given to beings, events are often happening which involve, not only individuals, but states and kingdoms in callamity.—What numbers of beings are there who are continually entering upon existence and happiness in this world, but; by various causes, are cut off and perish? What numbers of individuals are there as mong mankind who have loft valuable priva Heges, and are reduced to want and difease. wher enjoying health and affluence? How many kingdoms, once happy in the poffel sion of peace, plenty, and liberty, have been plundered and ravaged, and at last conquered and ruined by favage oppressors and tyrants? Why should it be thought impossible; that even a whole species should also some times fall into calamity, and lofe valuable bleffings? What reasons can there be for expecting, that orders of beings should have their advantages absolutely secured to them, w hich

which will not likewife apply to individuals and to communities?—In the latter cafe, our fenses demonstrate such an expectation to be unreasonable. Should not this lead us to conclude, that it may be so likewife in the former case?

The views of those persons must be very narrow who can imagine that the connexions among God's creatures extend no higher than man. Undoubtedly, man himself is connected with higher orders of beings, and should be considered as only one link in a chain that reaches from inanimate matter to the Deity. And what revolutions.\* in particular circumstances, and among particular beings, these connexions may produce, we cannot know. It is enough to know, that, whatever they are, and whatever the loffes and fufferings may be which are fometimes occasioned by them, they are all under a perfect superintendency, and the refult of a plan contrived in the best manner for bringing about the greatest possible happiness.

\*The Scriptures seem to inform us of three great revolutions in the state of this habitable world.— First, the introduction of evil and of death among mankind, and a correspondent change in external nature, by the FALL.—Secondly, an increase of this evil, and a farther change in external nature by the DELUGE.—Thirdly, the destruction of death, the renovation of nature, and the undoing of evil by the Messiah at his future coming.

pinels.—Such would be our wisest mode of reasoning did the light of revelation offer us no information. But you must be sensible that revelation has not been silent on this subject. It acquaints us, as has been just observed, that a calamity has happened to the human race; that we have suffered by our connexions under God's government; that we were made for immortality, but lost it, and were brought down to our present sinful and mortal state.

. In Adam all have died. The fentence passed upon him has passed upon us all: Dust thou art, and unto dust thou shalt return. By one man (St. Paul tells us) fin entered into the world, and death by fin, and so death has puffed upon all men. The appearances of the world, and the circumstances of our condition are such as make it very credible that our state may be a fallen state. We find ourselves in a situation where we are exposed to numberless temptations, and where the practice of virtue is often attended with great difficulties. We see that all mankind have finned and come short of the glory of God.\* There is no one who must not

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Whoever will confider the manifold miseries and extreme wickedness of the world, &c. will think he has little reason to object against the Scrip-

<sup>&</sup>quot; ture account that mankind is in a state of degradation, how difficult soever he may think it to ac-

<sup>46</sup> count

not acknowledge himself a guilty being; who has not many transgressions to lament, and many inexcusable offences to repent of. Multitudes fall into atrocious vice. - May we not eafily believe, that fuch beings want a Saviour? A Saviour, not only to bring them to repentance and virtue, but to avert from them the consequences of past guilt, and to render repentance itself available to happiness !-This, however, is a point which has been much contested by the favourers of Socinianism. They maintain, that no Saviour could be wanted for this purpose, the perfections of God requiring him to receive repeating finners. A return to virtue supposed, pardon and happiness, they think, follow of course under the Divine government, whatever vice may have preceded it.—I cannot but think this a groundless affertion. It supposes, that the only end of punishment is the reformation of the offender. But there is in vice an intrinsic demerit which (independently of \* consequences) makes punishment proper; and it is rendered further proper by the necessity of vindicating the honour of God's

<sup>&</sup>quot;count for, or even to form a distinct conception of the occasions and circumstances of it.". See Bishop Butler's Analogy, &c. Part ii. chap. 5.

<sup>\*</sup> See a Review of the principal Questions and Difficulties in Morals, chap. 3.

God's broken laws, and of deterring beings who have not offended from wickedness. These are reasons for punishment which the reformation of the offender does not answer. And, in general, it feems fit, that in treating moral agents a regard should be had to what they have been, as well as to what they are; and that a distinction should be made bet ween the cases of innocents and penitents, as well as between the cases of penitents and impenitents. --- It is not, indeed, credible, that the connexion, established by the Divine laws between guilt and punishment, should be so easily broken as that every consequence of guilt should be immediately removed by repentance. contradicted by general and constant expe-When a person has lost a limb in a criminal pursuit, repentance will not reftore it. When he has wasted his fortune or ruined his health by his vices, repentance will not bring them back. In fuch cases, remedies may fometimes be found, or the compassion of friends may relieve; but the mischief generally remains, not-withstanding any alteration of conduct.

With our condition as finful and guilty, is connected our condition as mortal creatures. These are the two circumstances in our condition which make it a distressed condition. All men have corrupted their ways, and ex-

poled

posed themselves to the penalties annexed to guilt; and all men stand condemned to death. The Scriptures inform us that a deliverer from death was promised at the time it was introduced. (The feed of the woman shall bruise the serpent's head, Genesis iii. 15.) But this implies that, without a deliverer, we must have remained under the power of death, and confequently lost a future state. It seems a break in the thread of conscious existence, which cannot be usual in the transition of reasonable beings from lower to higher states. It is a catastrophe universally dreaded, threatening extinction, and bearing every appearance of being what the Scriptures make it, an adven-titious evil,\* and not an original part of God's plan.

Such

\* Some think the account in Genefic of the introduction of death to be an Allegory intended to teach, not a fact, but a moral lesson; and, confequently; they think the present mortal state of man to be not an adventitious state, but that for which he was at first intended. Were this true, it would be necessary to look upon Christ's saving the world by delivering it from death, as an interposition to save it from the state for which it was made, and in which the Creator had placed it; and it is, I think, an argument in savour of Christianity, that, by grounding our redemption on a fall, it has led us to juster conceptions. The following words in the Apochryphal book;

The following words in the Apochryphal book; entitled the Wifdom of Solomon, are very remarkable, Chap.

Such is the condition of man: A condition which, though it leaves abundant proofs of the wisdom and goodness of the Creator, shewsusthat we might have needed salvation. And it also shews us in what this salvation must consist. It appears that it must consist in the deliverance of guilty creatures from the connexion established by the Divine laws between guilt and punishment; and in the deliverance of mortal creatures from death.

This, therefore, is the FIRST argument I would use to prove that Christ was a Saviour in a higher sense than by being a teacher.

Chap. ii. 24. God created man to be immortal. He made him to be an image of his own eternity. Neverthelefs; through envy of the Devil, came death into the world; and they that held of his fide do find it. - The interpretation, in these words, of the account of the fall has been generally received by Jews and Christians : and it deserves notice, that Christ has referred to it in the words (John viii. 44.) The Devil was a murderer from the beginning, and the apostle John in the words (1 John ii. 8.) The Devil, sinneth from the beginning. For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that he might destroy the works of the Devil. And in the Revelation, by calling Satan, the old servent. But the clearest reference to this interpretation is in the epiftle to the Hebrows, chap. ii. 14. Forafinuch es the children are partakers of flesh and blood, he also bimfelf took part of the same; that, through death he might destroy him that had the power of death; that is, the Devil; and deliver them who, through fear of death, were all their lifetime subject to bondage.

teacher. Our cases as finful and mortal creatures required more than instruction. Instruction could only bring us to repentance. It could not make repentance the means of remission; or an exemption from the effects of guilt. It could not create a sit they had never offended. It could not raise from death, or restore to a new life.

I could, however, even allow all this; and still maintain that Christ was more than a teacher. For, granting the necessary availableness of repentance in all cases to favour and happiness, it may be asked to what degree of favour and happiness it is necessarily available? Must our imperfect virtue, a virtue preceded, perhaps, by atrocious wickedness, as well as accompanied with numberless infirmities; must fuch virtue be entitled to such favour as Christianity promises, including in it, not only pardon and a remission of punishment, but a glorious immortality; an eternal existence in ever increasing felicity and honour? If so, then indeed it will follow, that we can owe no more to Christ than instruction.—But there cannot be a shadow of reason for such an assertion. Even finless virtue can have no title to that superabundance of grace promised by Christianity. It might then have been made precarious,

and

and left to depend on a voluntary exert of benevolence in our favour.

But the main evidence on this point m be taken from the Scriptures. run this discourse to an immoderate leng were I to attempt to give you any particu account of those declarations of Scripts which might be here quoted.-Christ is st ed the propitiation for our fins. In bim, are told, we have redemption through his blow even the forgiveness of our sins. He made. life an offering for iniquity, shed his blood; the remission of sins, and appeared once in 1 and of the world for ever to put away fin the facrifice of himself.—I cannot think the fuch expressions signify only, that he dito feal the covenant of grace, and to assu us of pardon. Their obvious meaning feen to be, that, as the facrifices under the la of Moles expiated guilt and procused remition, so Christ's shedding his blood ar offering up his life was the means of remifion and favour to penitent finners.—Bu the declarations of most consequence, as: those which acquaint us that Christ cam: that we might have life, and that we might bave it more abundantly. That be laid dow his life for the life of the world .- That he :: that eternal life which was with the Father .-That by death he destroyed death; and than as by Adam came fin and death, so by Chris Mall

shall come the refurrection of the dead, and grace reign through righteousness unto eternal life. The New Testament is full of language to this purpose.—And, surely, it signifies that he is more than a prophet and reformer. It sets him before us as the Author of life to a race obnoxious to punishment and devoted to death; as their Deliverer from the grave, and the Restorer of a distressed world. It implies that our refurrection from death to an endless life, depended on his interpolition; and that, by uniting himfelf to our nature, passing through human life, and fuffering and dying as he did, he acquired the power of making us happy for ever.—Having been made perfect through sufferings, he became the Author of eternal salvation to all that obey him. He died for us, that whether we sleep or wake we should live with bim. Eternal life is the gift of God through bim-through him, not merely as the Revealer; but likewise as the Dispenser,\* and (under God) the Procurer of it.

Thirdly.

<sup>\*</sup> It is universally believed among Christians, that the power of dispensing to penitent sinners the blessings of the Christian covenant (that is, pardon and immortality) is a part of the reward of Christ's services and merit. And it was, indeed, a reward worthy of them, if his dispensing them, in consequence of his obedience to death, was, like all that we see of God's government, the means and the condition of the

Thirdly. I would defire you to confider that Christ is called the Saviour of the WORLD; that is, of ALL MANKIND; and that he could not be so merely as a prophet and a reformer. In these capacities, he can be the Saviour only of those who receive his instructions,

the enjoyment of them: And, I have no doubt, but this was chiefly the joy set before him for which he endured the cross, despising the shame, Heb. xii. 2. But if these were blessings which had not been lost; which could not be withheld without a violation of the Divine perfections, and which, therefore, penitent and virtuous men would have equally enjoyed with or without Christ; the service, the merit, and the reward all vanish. Mankind wanted only to be instructed and brought to repentance; and Christ, being simply

a man, was equal to no higher service.

It deserves particular consideration here, that none' who think a future state not to be discoverable by the light of nature, can think that human virtue gives a claim to a future immortality; for, on this supposition, there would be the same reason for expecting a future immortality that there is for believing the moral perfections of the Deity. But this is far from being the opinion of those who hold the Socinian doctrine. On the contrary; Dr. PRIBSTLEY afferts, that all the appearances of nature are against a future state; and, that the evidence for it rests solely on the mission and resurrection of Jesus Christ. cannot concur with Dr. Priestley in this opinion; but were it right, there would be no room for doubting whether (agreeably to the Scripture account) immortality is a bleffing which may have been loft, and afterwards regained through the redemption that is in Christ.

instructions, and to whom the instructe of his Gospel has reached; and consequently, all virtuous men before his coming, and all virtuous Heathens since his coming, can owe nothing to him. But the language of Scripture is, that he tasted death for every man. That the benefits he has obtained extend as far as the effects of Adam's fall.—And that by his obedience, the free gift came upon all men to justification of life.

Fourthly. It deserves your consideration, that the superiority of Christ's nature evidently implies, that he came to perform a service which no mere man could perform; and, therefore, greater than any service, consisting only in enlightening and reforming the world. The dignity of the agent, and the dignity of the service, prove one another, as I observed in my former discourse.

Admit that Christ was indeed the Life as well as the Light of the world: Admit that he was not only the Revealer and Conveyer; but the Obtainer of pardon and immortality to mankind. And a service will appear transcendent and unspeakable, adequate to that stupendous humiliation which was the means of it, and worthy of the interposition of that Messiah who was in the beginning with God.

But suppose that he came to do no more than a man could do—suppose that for no higher

higher service, he was so greatly rewarded as to have a name given him that is above every name, not only in this world, but in that which is to come, angels, authorities, and powers being made subject to him.—And the consequence will be introducing a disproportion between the means and the end (between Christ's service and his reward) which is entirely incomprehensible and incredible.

Let me farther ask. In what, according to the doctrine I am opposing, consisted that love of Christ, which PASSES KNOWLEDGE, mentioned by St. Paul; and that scheme of redemption into which he represents angels as stooping to look? The one is sunk down to a love that men have exercised; and the other into a scheme for teaching and reforming mankind that men could earry on.

This leads me to defire you to confider fifthly; that, according to this doctrine; Christ was a Saviour in no higher sense than that in which the Apostles, or any other useful teachers of religion, may be so called. But, would not the apostles have been shocked at any such ideas of them? St. Paul asks the Corinthians—Was Paul crucified for you? Plainly implying, that it was not possible for him to be crucified for them in any sense like that in which Christ was crucified for them.—In like manner had he been called the Saviour of the world as Christ was.

on account of what he did and endured to reach and reform the world; he would probably have replied with indignation— "Did Paul die for the fins of the world?" "Will Paul raise the world from the dead?"

Once more I would observe to you on this fubject (as I did in my former discourse on the subject of Christ's dignity) that the prejudices against the doctrine I am defending are derived, in a great degree, from inattention to the nature and the extent of the connexions and dependencies which take place in the creation. The plan of the Deity in governing his creatures is to fufpend their participation of his bounty on their agency, and to make their fpontaneous instrumentality the channel and the condition of the communication of the fruits of his goodness.—This is, certainly, the plan which all we see of the Divine government exhibits; and it should be carefully remembered, that what we see is in this case the best clue we can use in our inquiries, and that we cannot go upon fafer ground than when we judge that part of the Divine government which extends above man to be analogous to that part of it which lies before

It is here, I think, remarkable, that we are able to discover that the plan thus exhibited to our view is the best plan, because

it gives scope and weight to the agency of intelligent beings, and makes them capable of being useful to one another, and, therefore, of enjoying that happiness which asfimulates them most to the Deity.-I have made some of these observations at the beginning of this discourse; but they are of to much importance, that they can hardly be repeated too often.—Had there been no possibility of losses and sufferings in the creation; had all beings, and all orders of beings stood single and unconnected; and had their privileges been focured to them without depending on either their own exertions or the exertions of other beingshad this been the plan of God's government, this world would have loft its value and dignity. It would have been a world without room in it for generofity, for gratitude, for great achievements, and all the fublimest joys that can be felt by a reasonable creature.—Had, in particular, that fystem of orders of beings, in which probably man is a link, been thus constituted, that MESSIAH revealed by Christianity could have known nothing of the joy for which he endured the He must have been a stranger to the fatisfaction he felt when he faw of the travail of his foul; and he must have lost that addition to his happiness which he has derived from promoting our happiness.

## 464 OF THE CHARACTER OF CHRIST.

It is high time to relieve you from your attention to this important subject. In delivering my sentiments upon it I have said nothing of substitution, or satisfaction, or any of those explanations of the manner of our redemption by Christ which have been given by Divines. Some of these explanations are in the highest degree absurd, and I receive none of them, thinking that the Scriptures have only revealed to us the fact that God sent his Son to be the Saviour of the world, and choosing to satisfy myself with those ideas respecting it which I have laid before you.

Perhaps

\* " Some have endeavoured to explain the efficacy of what Christ has done and suffered for us beyond " what the Scripture has authorized. Others, probably, because they could not explain it, have been " for taking it away, and confining his office as Re-" deemer of the world to his instruction, example " and government of the Church. Whereas the 46 doctrine of the Gospel appears to be, not only that " he taught the officacy of repentance, but rendered it of the efficacy which it is by what he did and " fuffered for us; that he obtained for us the benefit " of having our repentance accepted to eternal life, How, and in what particular way it had this " efficacy, there are not wanting per fons who have " endeavoured to explain; but I do not find that the Scriptures have explained it. It is our wisdom "thankfully to accept the benefit without disputing "how it was procured."—Bishop Butler's Analogy of Religion, natural and revealed, to the constitution and course of nature, Part ii. chap. 5.

find out and to practife truth and right.

I will conclude with exhorting you,

Let reason be kept to, and if any part of the Scrip
ture account of the redemption of the world by
Christ can be shewn to be really contrary to it, le
the Scripture, in the name of God, be given up
But let not such poor creatures as we go on objecting to an infinite scheme that we do not see the
reasoning."

Ib.

out the concurrence of our own wills and endeavours. We do not see, in any case; that it is God's plan to force any one to be happy. The impenitent and vicious are incapable of happiness. Let us then forfake every evil way, and practife universal righteousness. There is no motive to this. which strikes my mind more strongly, than the reflection on the valtness of the danger implied in the vastness of the apparatus for faving us. How shocking will be our fate should any of us after all remain unfaved; and find that Christ lived and died in vain, as to any benefit we shall derive from him :-- I am sensible that there are some very wife and good Christians who think this cannot be the case ultimately with any human being; and that even the impenitent will (after a severity of future punishment proportioned to the different degrees of guilt) be recovered to virtue and happiness; and thus Christ's triumph over fin and death become at last universal and complete.—This is an opinion which the feelings of every benevolent man would determine him eagerly to embrace, could it be shewn to be consistent with the language of Scripture; and I dare not pronounce that it is not so. But God forbid, that any of us should risk upon it the existence of our immortal fouls; or fuffer fuch an expectation

## OF THE CHARACTER OF CHRIST.

to render us less fearful of the conseques : of vice. Our Saviour has declared ( it is one of the most awful declaration the Bible) that the hour is coming when that are in their graves shall hear the von the Son of Man, and shall come forth. who have done good to the resurrection of h but they who have done evil to the resurret of dannation, John v. 29.—What this D. NATION will be, and in what it will ter nate, is, at present, unknown and inci ecivable. The Scriptures lead us to the of it as a second death more terrible t the present, and sometimes call it everlast destruction, and compare it to a fire wh burns up and confumes what is thrown i. it. The bare possibility that these exp is signify total extermination is fright. and should be sufficient to deter effectual from wickedness. And if it does not, the is reason to believe that no certainty of suc punishment would have a much greater : fe&t.

Secondly. Let us, as far as we are conficious of having returned to our duty, roon Christ as our Saviour; and rejoice the hope of eternal life through him. Volume consider him as addressing us as he do his apostles in John xiv. 1. Let not you bearts be troubled. You believe in God. It lieve also in me. He is that word of God and

and great Messiah, who was made slesh, and dwelt among us; to bless us with light, instruction, pardon, and immortality; and it will be inexcusable not to carry about with us a deep sense of our obligations to him, and to honour and love him. But,

Thirdly. While we do this, let us take care not to overlook that first cause and givtr of all good to whose anteredent-love we we lefus Christ This is an admonition of the last consequence; and you must not be displeased with me for taking every occasion to inculcate it. Mankind have always been too prone to pay undue honours to inferior benefactors, and to terminate their views in fecond causes. It is this that has produced that base idolatry which, in all ages, has difgraced the world, and led even Christians to worship the creature rather than the Creator. Let us study to be wifer. Let us, in the bleffings of redemption, as well as all our other biefings, learn to center our views in God, and fly from every form of public devotion that has any other object than that one Being, of whom, and through whom, and to whom are all things .-We should honour Christ ardently as our Lord and Saviour; but we should honour him as having the same God and Father with ourselves, and never think of any thing so abfurd and shocking as elevating him who

was fent, to an equality with the felf existent Being who fent him.

Lastly. The doctrine on which I have infifted has a tendency to confole us under the troubles of life; and, particularly, under the distresses arising from the havock which death is making continually among our friends. Christ rose from the dead as the first fruits of them that sleep. He has affured us that fince he lives, we shall live alfo. Had we not been blest with this information, our prospect in circumstances of forrow would have been discouraging. We should have looked forward to death, not (as we now may) with hope and triumph, but with doubt and anxiety; and this king of terrors, instead of appearing a friend and deliverer, would have appeared an enemy and destroyer. Happy then is the lot of every true Christian. His religion kindles for him'a bright light in this benighted world; and enables him to descry beyond the grave á better world, and millions in it raised to honour and blifs, and uniting in taking up St. Paul's fong of triumph-Oh! death where is thy sting? Oh! grave where is thy victo-

<sup>\*</sup> What follows was occalioned by the death of one of the principal members of the fociety to which these discourses were addressed; and by the attendance of his family, the first time after his death, on the morning when this discourse was delivered.

## 270 OF THE CHARACTER OF CHRIST.

ry! Thanks be to God who giveth us the vic-

The apprehension of our liableness to fuch fufferings as fometimes attend a dying illness (and as the friend went through for whom some of us now appear in mourning) has a tendency to deject us. But we ought not despond. All is wisely ordered, and all will end well. While waiting for our last conflict, we should study to keep our minds undisturbed, committing our ex-Istence to him who gave it, resolving not to feel pain till it comes, attending to nothing anxiously but our duty, and looking forward with joyful hope to that period when, at the call of the Saviour of the world, we shall fpring up from the dust, and draw immortal breath, in those new heavens, and that new earth, where all the virtuous are so meet, and never more to feel pain of for-Wherefore let us comfort one another with these words.





