

John Adams Library,



IN THE CUSTODY OF THE BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY.



SHELF Nº ADAMS 230.6

FN909 5.12.37. 150











John Adams 1794

SHORT HISTORY

OF THE

BRITISH EMPIRE,

FROM MAY 1792

TO THE

CLOSE OF THE YEAR 1793.

By FRANCIS PLOWDEN, LL.D.

AUTHOR OF THE NATIVE RIGHTS OF BRITISH SUBJECTS, JURA ANGLORUM, ETC.

Ne quid fals dicere audest, ne quid veri non audest. CICERO.

PHILADELPHIA:

PRINTED FOR MATHEW CAREY, NO. 118, MARKET-STREET.

AUGUST 4, 1794.



Y ADARS 1.30, 6

INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER.

 ${
m TH}\,{
m E}$ History which I have undertaken the arduous task of writing, is interesting to the Public, in proportion as the events of it involve the internal peace, energy, and welfare of this Country. The general good of the State is the common point to which all ministers, of all times, and in all circumstances, have always pretended to direct their different fystems of meafures; but there has been, within these last twenty months, such a variety of events, fuch contrariety of judgment, fuch fingularity of public measures, that the mind is nearly overset in tracing the origin, or in calculating the confequences of them. No just conception can be formed, no fair opinion can be adopted, no profitable inference can be drawn from any, even of the leading facts, if taken fingly, and confidered upon the naked grounds of their infulated merits. The whole train of operations must be collected into one view, and each link of the chain examined with scrupulous, but unbiassed severity. The plot of the piece is not so new, as the winding up of the catastrophe threatens to be alarming. The general utility, then of tracing events to their causes, of viewing them in their immediate effects, and following them through their remote operations, becomes, in the prefent period, interesting to the country beyond measure or precedent.

It has been referved for the punishment of the present generation, to undergo the fatal experiment of reducing the theory of modern philosophy *into practice. The baneful emanations from

^{*} By this term, I mean the aggregate of the doctrines of Rousseau, Voltaire, Diderot, &c. who, improving upon their freetkinking predecessors, have devoted their lives to seducing mankind into the

Pandora's box were but faint prefages of its direful effects. Since the fatal eruption from this philosophical receptacle of modern doctrines, the political fystem of Europe seems to have undergone a general change. The old and true principles are derided, denied, and abandoned: new and false maxims are adopted and supported. Folly, fear, and malice operate variously upon the multitude, and, in the general alarm and consusion, the voice of truth is nearly stifled.

France had long been the feat of modern philosophy; and unfortunately for that country, and for the world at large, its theories had convinced but few, of the dreadful evils which its practice and fuccess have caused all to lament. The destructive infection was beginning to spread abroad, before the full eff. Ets of the grand experiment had been completely known at home. In the delution of its vifionary success, some infatuated zealots were found daring enough to attack the very existence of the British Constitution, though fortunately they were not fulliciently aftute to difguife their delign. Their publications produced various effects in an unfettled state of the public mind. To some, they represented the Constitution as a system of abfurdity and inconfiftency; to others, of defpotism and tyranny; in some they created contempt, in others hatred; in most they raifed doubts, in all alarms. It is difficult to determine, whether the progress of the evil were more forwarded by the illjudged exertions of individuals to oppose it, or by the impunity with which, for a time, it was permitted to spread.

In the early feafon of this political ferment, I applied my retired thoughts to an impartial investigation of the origin, nature, and effects of our Constitution, and in the cool study of her lineaments, form, and features, I traced in her a simplicity congenial with nature, a structure calculated to survive the ravages of time, and a harmony productive of every human blessing.

My admiration begat a love for the Constitution; and when

mockery of Christian Revelation, and the adoption of a system of Atheisia and Licenticulaels.

I beheld her so rudely affailed by Mr. Paine, I could not resist the impulse of raising even my seeble hand in her desence. I entered the lists clad with no other than the simple desensive armour of civil freedom; for such only is to be found in the arsenal of the British Constitution. Yet I beheld with astonishment and with alarm my fellow-combatants rush forth against the enemy, encumbered with foreign arms and weapons, which for the last happy century had been disused by Britons. I dreaded the return of their unruly coursers and scythed chariots amongst their own ranks. And henceforth I pledge to my countrymen my most determined efforts to exterminate for ever the fatal use of these anti-constitutional weapons of destruction.

When I lately published the book which I entitled Jura Anglorum, I did it with the immediate and direct view of representing the Constitution in its genuine colours. I deemed no other defence of it necessary against a man who would ridicule it by denying its very existence. In that work I have fully committed myself to my country upon the true principles of its Constitution, and have thereby contracted a duty to maintain and vindicate them to my latest breath.

Mr. Burke in the year 1770 most judiciously observed,*
"That in the silent lapse of events, as material alterations have been insensibly brought about in the policy and character of go"vernments and nations, as those which have been marked by the tumult of public revolutions." That there has lately been brought about a material alteration in the policy and character of this government and this nation, the most obstinate blindness alone will not discover. Nec tam pertinaces fore arbitror, ut clarissimum solem sanis atque patentibus oculis videre se negent. What the ultimate effects of such alteration may be, I will not even hazard a conjecture. I know too well that the task of denouncing suture evils is often dangerous, generally fruitless, and always invidious. But as far as the alteration has hitherto been operative, every man must sooner or later see the events which

^{*} Cause of the present Discontents: viz. in 1770.

it has produced; for every man by being somehow affected must feel their consequences, and it therefore behoves every man to form a right judgment upon them. To this end have I undertaken to fubmit to the dispassionate review of my countrymen, the fystem and detail of measures carried on during the last twenty months, as the most important period of our national In taking up our history from the month of May, 1792, I shall chiefly rest upon such events as may affect in their consequences the fate of the Constitution of the Country, more than the actual administration of its Government: and as this period comprises the time from the publication of my Jura Anglorum, which was a mere exposition of the actual state of the Constitution when its very existence was called in question; so it throws upon me the necessity of examining into the causes of every apperance of deviation in practice from the theory of those principles, which I there laid down as its true basis.

1 too

I have always cone ived the British Constitution to be founded upon a democratic basis, the free will and consent of the people*: that the monarchy and ariftocracy, the other two component parts of that Conflitution, are emanations and creatures of that original fource of human power: nd from this base alone can I view a monarchy or an aristocracy either take root, or acquire vigour and permanency. The democratic part of the Constitution, which voluntarily for the most wife and salutary purposes, shared its power with the monarchy and aristocracy, will ever feel an interest in preserving that which it so providently conferred. Hence that admirable equipoife of the three powers, which upholds the stupendous structure; but its origin, foundation, and fecurity rest in the free choice and consent of a wordered free people. To weaken but in idea this foundation, would

question

why is

* Not so Mr. Burke: who says, that the democratic and aristocratic parts of our Constitution are founded upon the Crown as their effential basis: from the Crown do they originate, and by the energy of that main spring alone must they be set in action. Vid. Appeal, p. 45.

endanger, if not overthrow, the most puissant aristocracy, and shake, if not unprop, the firmest throne that ever sustained a monarch. But thus fecured, it may bid defiance to the rudest assaults of open violence, as well as to the insidious attacks of difguifed malice or mifguided zeal. The people of England are too sensible of the blessings of their Constitution, madly to ex-dout hal pose themselves to the unmeasurable evils of a pure democracy; but they will also preserve themselves from simple aristocracy, and from unbalanced monarchy. In the composition of the three, they alone rest their security: the experience of ages justifies the happy mixture; and to perpetuate the equilibrium to the latest posterity, it requires but that degree of vigilance in its guardians, which is necessary to detect the designs of those who daringly invade, or those who may from ignorance or malice mifrepresent the Constitution.

Mr. Paine in attempting to debauch the people into the mania of a pure democracy directed all his efforts towards convincing them, that because they exercised not the whole, they therefore possessed no share whatever in the powers of our Constitution. I then stood forward to repel this daring attack, merely by stating to the people the rights and powers which they had retained to themselves, and those which they had delegated to the other two component parts of the legislature. I then said, that * " the object of this delegation of power was, to render " the diffolution of government as difficult as politible; and the " perfection of its execution was that stupendous equipoise of tring the

"power, that renders it almost morally impossible that one hundous

" branch of the legislature should outbalance another."

Having stood forward to repel the attacks of those who denied the existence of a Constitution in this country, I cannot feel myfelf free to retire from the challenge of others, who appear to have mifunderstood or wilfully to have traduced it. My alarms for the public fafety become too ferious, when I perceive dogmas

^{*} Jura Ang. p. 154,

propagated and countenanced by those to whom the public looks up with confidence, which go to deprive our Constitution of its fundamental vigour and peculiar perfection.

In developing the principles of the Constitution of England, any observation upon, or even reference to the actual administration of the Government might have seemed irrelevant to the fubject. But in the application of those principles to the system of measures actually pursued within the last twenty months, it becomes indifpenfably necessary to speak of the advisers as well as the actors of the various scenes. I know the full extent of my duty to the King, of attachment to the Constitution, and love to my Country. I mean never to lose fight of any of these confiderations in the task I have undertaken; and I now once mudi Egelism, for all assure the individuals whom I shall have occasion to mention in the following sheets, that I intend no personal adulation nor censure; but merely to use the common right of every Englishman, to discuss and examine public acts, public writings, and public speeches.

It is a political aphorism, that to a reflecting people there can be no other partiality for any particular ministers, than a conviction of their earnestness and ability to follow up and support the genuine principles and spirit of the Constitution. The people of England are an indulgent and a patient judge. Prodigal of their confidence, they are tardily roused at the abuse of it. their generofity, they feldom give to the score of malice what the most indulgent candour can refer to error of judgment. there is a moment of misfortune and fuffering, in which fimplicity itself cannot be missed. Recovered from the shock of a quick transition from prosperity and ease to dismay and wretchedness, they inquire upon reflection, as Memmius did of old, " But who are these men that have placed themselves at the helm *?" I have a firm reliance upon the cool and deliberate verdict of

Chather too

^{*} At qui funt ii qui rempublicam occupavere? Homines sceleratissimi, immani avaritia, nocentissimi, iidemque superbissimi. Sal. de Bel Jug.

Englishmen; and when matter of fact is plainly told, the decision of their judgment will, I am confident, receive effect.

Such is the alteration of the public mind fince I wrote my late work, that, if I had it now in hand, I should doubt of the fafety of publishing those passages in it, which I (perhaps weakly) then judged to be the most emphatically constitutional of the I should probably have dropped my present pursuit: but it is sometimes wife to borrow instruction from our opponent: fas eft & ab hoste doceri. I shall therefore avail myself or Mr. Burke's justification for hazarding the attempt +. " It is " an undertaking of fome degree of delicacy to examine into the a cause of public disorders. If a man happens not to succeed " in such an inquiry, he will be thought weak and visionary: " if he touches the true grievance, there is danger that he may " come near to persons of weight and consequence, who will " rather be exasperated at the discovery of their errors, than " thankful for the occasion of correcting them. If he should be " obliged to blame the favourities of the people, he will be con-" fidered as the tool of power; if he cenfures those in power, he " will be looked upon as an instrument of faction. But in all " exertions of duty fomething is to be hazarded. In cases of tu-" mult and diforder, our law has invested every man, in some " fort, with the authority of a magistrate. When the asfairs of " the nation are distracted, private people are by the spirit of that " law justified in stepping a little out of their ordinary sphere. "They enjoy a privilege of somewhat more dignity and effect, " than that of idle lamentation over the calamities of their coun-"try. They may look into them narrowly; they may reason " upon them liberally; and if they should be so fortunate as to " discover the true source of the mischief, and to suggest any pre-" bable method of removing it, though they may displease the " rulers of the day, they are certainly of fervice to the cause of "Government." It is a common affertion, that the truth of

⁺ Vid. Mr. Burke's Thoughts on the Causes of the Discontents in the Year 1770, pages 1 and 2.

history, is only the portion of a succeeding, and therefore of a disinterested generation. Such history may be a faithful portrait of form, feature, and character; it may keep memory alive; it may stimulate ambition; but it cannot regulate, correct, nor improve the conduct or principles of the actors in scenes long since closed. In regions of despotism, where slattery or servility are the preservatives of existence, truth may not be found in the mouth of the over-awed annalist; but in the free Constitution of Great Britain, I trust truth may yet be spoken, truth may yet be published, truth may yet be operative.

Before I enter upon the narrative of events which have diftinguished the period of my intended history, I feel it a duty to notice the rise, progress, and effects of certain opinions, sentiments, or prejudices, which appear to have paved the road for the whole train of evils which now afflict our suffering country.

The year 1789 was remarkable for the most astonishing of all revolutions, that of France. The general impression of horror, under which it is now viewed by all ranks of people, creates an impossibility to speak of it with that temperate precision which the circumstances of its first year's existence might have war-It will be foreign from my purpose to enter into its origin or progrefs. Suffice it to fay, that whether the nature or the abuses of the ancient Government contributed more to the revolution, it was principally planned, and has been uniformly supported and carried on by men of the most consummate abandonment, profligacy, and impiety. It was, however, rather fingular, that Mr. Burke, who in his public and private capacity had been the avowed and steady friend of the Revolution of America, should stand forth as the first and most implacable enemy to that of France. His Reflections on the Revolution of France, though written with more than his usual brilliancy and eloquence, brought forth Paine's Rights of Man as an answer, and kindled that political flame of controversy which has been productive of the evils we all now forely lament, and of which no mortal hath yet foreseen the end.

From Mr. Burke's character and rank in life, from the influence of his opinions upon his fellow-fubjects, it does not feem unreasonable to have expected from him sentiments at least confistent with the most manifest and general leading points of our Constitution. To account for any deviation in his books from this obvious tract of duty I shall not attempt. If the plea of ignorance can serve him, he may rest his defence upon it, and I shall content myself with having endeavoured to expose and counteract the effects of his delusive eloquence upon a very great part of this nation; to the effects of which I attribute the whole series of missortunes which we now bewail.

In the enchantments of rich imagery Mr. Burke bewilders his loyal reader, and under the warmest professions of his own attachment to Government he beguiles the unguarded and unsufpecting into principles the most destructive of the British Constitution. Thomas Paine on the other hand, taking every advantage of Mr. Burke's denial of the first principles of civil government, secured the considence of the multitude by perfuading them into the easy belief of the true origin of civil power; he had the address to make profelytes of them to undeniable truths, in order to seduce them into the most mischievous of errors.

As Mr. Burke's Reflections upon the Revolution of France appeared in the year 1790, it will be but candid, in making any observations upon them, to confine (if possible) our ideas to the previous circumstances of that revolution which could alone have given ground for what he has advanced upon it. Few persons, I believe, at this hour think or feel upon that singular event as they may have thought or felt at the period of Mr. Burke's first publication. Without any pretensions to a more prophetic or intuitive endowment than my neighbours, I profess from the first revolutionary symptom in France to have been decidedly of opinion, that it would end in consustion, destruction and horror. I still profess what I then admitted, that the nature of the French Government was intrinsically bad, that the

abuses of it were become intolerable, and that the political and moral fystem of the whole kingdom called loudly for a general reform. Such circumstances must supply the steady, peaceful, and inactive, as well as the speculative, turbulent, and seditious, with strong arguments, just motives, and plausible reasons; weapons, in the hands of the profligate and impious fatally de-Aructive, as in the hands of the virtuous they would have enfured peace and bleffings to the country in the cause of which they were employed. These sentiments I publicly declared within some months after the publication of Mr. Burke's Reflections:* "That the general abandonment of all revealed religion by the " highest ranks and armies of France, had, more than any other cc cause, been productive of the present revolution; that it had a been planned, carried on, and supported by the most avowed " atheifts and deifts of that kingdom; and had been uniformly " difrelished and opposed by all those who were actuated by any " impulse of religion or morality. The suppression of every re-" ligious institution, the degradation of the clergy, and the fanc-"tification of the ashes of Rousseau and Voltaire, were the in-" famous proofs of these melancholy truths." When I make this avowal of my own fentiments upon the early stages of the French Revolution, I would not even hint an imputation to others, that their differing in opinion from me upon the subject involved either folly or criminality.

I conceive many of my countrymen to be so enraptured with the charms of liberty, that they nobly sympathize with every sellow-creature in the real or imaginary enjoyment of it. What wonder then, if many of them, at the dawning of the French Revolution, rejoiced at the struggle of a powerful nation to throw off the yoke of despotism which had gailed them for centuries? In fact, had the effort been made by men of respectability; had the members of the Constituent Assembly been true to their trust, in following the instructions (cahiers) of their electors; had they not been seduced by the fallacy and impiety

^{*} Case stated by the author, page 15, published 1791.

of levelling philosophers, and philosophizing levellers, France probably would enjoy at this hour a Government and Constitution nearly refembling our own, which would have commanded the admiration and respect of the universe. The latter scenes of this bloody tragedy have unfortunately confirmed my judgment, and I believe changed those of most others, who differed from me upon the subject of the French Revolution.

Much elucidation upon this subject will arise out of the reflections upon the spirit and conduct of this country in the reign of Elizabeth towards those who struggled against the absolute, though legal and long conftituted Governments of Spain and France. So far from its being then reputed criminal to commend a republican form of government, or to sympathize with those who were struggling to enlarge and secure their liberties, even by force, against their lawful Sovereign, that the public and private efforts of this nation were mainly conducive to the final establishing of the Republic of Holland, and to the procuring of an honourable capitulation to the valiant defenders of La Rochelle. Nay, fome not unuseful observations may be drawn from the conduct of the counter-revolutionary Mr. Burke, in the late contest of our American colonies to throw off the mild government of their parent country. In his hard-laboured attempt to justify his fentiments and conduct upon that great struggle of the colonists to establish a free and independent republic, he even boasts *, that, as " they had taken up arms from one "motive only, that is, our attempting to tax them without their " consent; he certainly never could and never did wish the colonists " to be subdued by arms." It was furely less criminal in an Englishman to sympathize with a foreign nation struggling against the despotism of an arbitrary monarchy, and to exult in the success of their arms against other foreign powers confederated to keep them in their ancient flavery, than to justify the rebellion Nonhace of his fellow-subjects against the best of sovereigns, and to wish fuccess to their arms against the mildest of governments.

^{*} Appeal, 38, 39.

In reflecting upon the Revolution of France (as far as it had proceeded in 1790) Mr. Burke has taken occasion to deliver a political code of doctrine upon our own Constitution, which has operated a very general (I wish I could add innoxious) effect upon this country. And, as he observes himself*, "men some times make a point of honour not to be disabused, and had "rather commit a hundred errors than confess one," so has he in his subsequent works followed up, confirmed, and defended these doctrines, which it remains for me to prove, have brought such mischief and evils upon the empire of Great Britain.

Mr. Burke tells us, that in writing his Reflections + " he " proposed to convey to a foreign people not his own ideas, but "the prevalent opinions and fentiments of a nation renowned " for wifdom, and celebrated in all ages for a well understood " and well regulated love of freedom: this was the avowed pur-" pose of the far greater part of his work." And he adds, \$\pm\$ " It is clear, he is not disavowed by the nation whose sentiments " he had undertaken to describe. His representation is authen-" ticated by the verdict of his country." This is not the first verdict obtained by dint of eloquence. Mr. Burke has certainly reason to boast of his success upon this score; but he generously waves the glory. "Had his work, says he, been re-"cognized as a pattern for dexterous argument and powerful " eloquence, yet if it tended to establish maxims, or to inspire " fentiments, adverse to the wife and free Constitution of this " kingdom, he would only have cause to lament, that it possessed " qualities fitted to perpetuate the memory of his offence; ob-" livion would be the only means of his escaping the reproaches " of posterity." That Mr. Burke did actually deliver in his Reflections the opinions and fentiments of the people of England, I must ever deny. That the fentiments and opinions, which he there displays, were afterwards adopted by the majo-

^{*} Letter to a Member of the National Assembly.

⁺ Appeal, p. 3.

[‡] P. 4.

rity of the people of England, I reluctantly admit; for, in admitting it, I behold the lamentable revival of a spirit and of principles for more than a century estranged from Britain.

Mr. Burke himself has faid, that nothing "ought to be more "weighed, than the nature of books recommended by public So recommended, they form the character of the By this test I mean fairly and boldly to try his own He has lately fpoken unequivocally and repeatedly upon the nature of our Constitution; I also have lately committed my fentiments to the public upon the same subject *. I profess my principles to be the antipodes to his. I must therefore believe, as I do not mean wilfully to abandon my cause and my reputation, that principles fundamentally at variance with those of my book are fundamentally false. Upon their falsity or truth I am fairly at iffue with Mr. Burke: the public will judge upon the subject; for if I am correct, the difference of opinion, fanctioned as it is, interests them not lightly +. In such case, I might say, † Non nunc agitur de vectigalibus, non de sociorum injuriis: libertas & anima nostra in dubio est.

^{*} Letter to a Member, p. 30.

⁺ Vid. Appeal, p. 29.

[‡] Cato's speech apud Sal. Bel. Catal.

The Doctrines and Principles of the BRITISH CONSTITUTION, as maintained and supported by

Mr. BURKE AND THE AUTHOR.

The doctrine, that the fovereignty whether exercised by one or many, did not only originate from the people, but that in the people the same sovereignty constantly and unalienably resides, tends in my opinion to the utter subversion not only of all government in all modes, and to all stable securities to rational freedom, but to all the rules and principles of morality itself.

Appeal, 56, 57.

The true and real basis of civil or political power or fovereignty which exists in each state, is the original agreement, compact, or contract of the fociety or community, which forms that state, to depute and delegate the rights, which were in them individually in the state of nature, to those whose duty it should become to rule, protect, and preserve the community; for in them the fovereignty of power to alter, change, amend, and improve the constitution and government of the community indefeafably refides. The Acts of 4 and 6 Ann make it treasonable, not to think, but to express a thought to the contrary. Jura, 63. 157.

It would be prefumption to superadd many observations to the words of the statute. "If any person shall, by writing or "printing, maintain or affirm that the Kings and Queens of this "realm, with and by the authority of Parliament, are not able to make laws and statutes of sufficient validity to limit the "Crown, and the descent, inheritance, and government thereof, "every such person shall be guilty of high treason." And i have said in Jur. Ang. p. 164, "In the present constitution of "our Government, there can be no Act of the People which is not an Act of Parliament, nor any Act of the Parliament which is not an Act of the People.

All things in this his (Dr. Price's) fulminating bull are not of so innoxious a tendency. His doctrines affect our Constitution in its vital parts. He tells the Revolution Society in this political Sermon, that his Majesty is almost the only lawful King in the world, because the only one who owes his crown to the choice of his people. This doctrine, as applied to the Prince now on the throne, either is nonsense, and therefore neither true nor false, or it affirms a most unfounded, dangerous, illegal, and unconstitutional position.

Reflections, p. 16, 17.

That our Sovereign owes his crown and station to the free affent of the people, which is the efficient cause of every free Constitution, I take to be true, found, and genuine Revolution doctrine, and as such was it expressly delivered by Mr. Locke immediately after the Revolution had taken effect. "Thefe which remain, I hope, are fufficient to establish the throne of our great restorer, our prefent King William, to make good his title in the confent of the people; which being the only one of all lawful Governments, he has more fully and clearly than any prince in Christendom." And Bracton, after enumerating the duties of our King, fays, " for this end was he created and elected.

Jura, 167. 316.

No one, who knows Mr. Burke, will believe him ignorant that the principles of the British Constitution are almost the only free principles of any Monarchy in the world. No one, who knows him not, can suppose, that he wilfully traduces the obvious meaning of Dr. Price, in order to impose upon the nation. All agree, that Bracton in the 13th, and Mr. Locke in the 17th century, neither affirmed unfounded, dangerous, illegal, nor

unconstitutional positions, in advancing what Dr. Price has repeated, and Mr. Burke denied.

His Majesty's heirs and suc--ceffors, each in his time and order, will come to the Crown with the same contempt of their choice, with which his Majesty has succeeded to that he wears. -Whatever may be the success of evafion in explaining away the grofs error of fact, which supposes, that his Majesty (tho' he holds it in concurrence with the wishes) owes his Crown to the choice of his people; yet -nothing can evade their full ex--plicit declaration concerning the principle of a right in the people to-choose, which right is directly maintained and tenacioufly adhered to.

Reflections, 20.

It is very certain that by far the greatest part of the people of England do now believe and maintain, that both his present Majesty and the late King William became entitled to the fovereignty of this Country upon those principles, which from the days of King William have been called Revolution princiciples; not that they were formed, given, or even established by the Revolution; but that the Revolution was effected No Sovereign, in by them. fact, from King Egbert to his present Majesty, has ever owed his Crown to any other, than these identical principles.

Sura, 167.

His Majesty can be little pleased with Mr. Burke, for infulting any part of his fubjects with the affurance that he holds his crown in contempt of any of those, whose consent, as Mr. Locke fays, can alone make good his title to it, and without whose consent his government would not be lawful. What can be so unconstitutional as to attempt to deprive the Crown of its only true and folid basis? On what other ground will he secure the throne, but on the choice and confent of a free people?

ourselves to be entangled in the are commonly said to have been

It is far from impossible to in As for all the other rights, reconcile, if we do not fuffer liberties, and privileges, which mazes of metaphyfical fophiftry, the use both of a first rule and an occasional deviation: the facredness of an hereditary principle of Government, with a power of change in its application in cases of extremity, if we take the measure of them at the Revolution, the change is to be confined to the peccant part only, to the part which produced the necessary deviation; and even then, it is to be effected without a decomposition of the whole civil and political mass, for the purpose of originating a new civil order out of the first elements of society.

Reflections, 29.

acquired, secured, or confirmed unto us at that period, by the Bill of Rights, or otherwise; it appears evident, that nothing more was in fact gained by the people at the Revolution, than an express acknowledgment or recognition by the Sovereign, that the people were entitled to, and might for ever enjoy, those rights, to which without any fuch acknowledgment, or recognition, they had an indefeafible title; not co-eval and coequal with, but prior to the Sovereign's title to the Crown: for the rights of the people preceded the original compact upon which fociety was formed, and the rights of the Sovereign were granted by the people for their preservation.

Jura, 169.

If this doctrine of Mr. Burke can be at all understood, it implies the grossest absurdity and most palpable contradiction. A necessary deviation from a rule imports an impossibility to observe: the actual deviation then becomes an act of necessity: that precludes free will, without which neither election nor confent can even be conceived. And Mr. Locke, who knew something of reasoning and something of the true Whig principles as well as Mr. Burke, compliments this very King William upon his making good his title to the throne, not by the necessary deviation from the old rule of succession, but by his being preferred to it without any other right than that of the consent

of the people: the only title to any lawful government. Swinish indeed must be the multitude, that can digest such husks of argument upon necessity. No, they will ever believe that William the Stadtholder was seated on the throne of England by the free choice and consent of their ancestors, as his Majesty is, and his successors will be, by the like free choice and consent of the nation.

It is indeed difficult, perhaps impossible, to give limits to the mere abstract competence of the fupreme power, fuch as was exercifed by Parliament at that time: but the limits of a moral competence, subjecting even in powers more indifputably fovereign, occasional will to permanent reason and to the steady maxims of faith, justice, and fixed fundamental policy, are perfectly intelligible, and perfectly binding upon those who exercife any authority, under any name, or under any title in the state. Reflections, 28.

At this moment, this principle The fovereignty of power ever did, and now does, unalienably reside in the people, exists, because it is universally and invariably true: and it must for ever have existed, with the same force and efficacy that it now does, because universal truth excludes all degrees. From this invad riable and ever operative principle have arisen all the various changes, innovations and improvements, which have at different times been effected in our Constitution and Government by the means of reformation and revolution. Jura, 130.

This avowal of the actual exercise of power by Parliament deseats the idea of a nevergary deviation from the rule. And Mr. Burke, by admitting this only to be a mere abstract competence of the supreme power, again shes in the face of the Act of Ann, which never could have made such abstract competence the subject of a positive law, and much less of high treason.

So far is it from being true, that we acquired a right by the Revolution to elect our Kings, that if we had possessed it beThe public steps which were then taken by the nation were probably suggested and recommended by Lord Somers, and

fore, the English nation did, at they certainly were not groundthat time, most folemnly re- ed upon our having renounced abdicate it for nounce and themselves and all their posterity for ever. These gentlemen may value themselves as much as they please for their Whig principles; but I never defire to be thought a better Whig than Lord Somers, or to understand the principles of the Revolution better than those by whom it was brought about, or to read in the Declaration of Right any mysteries unknown to those, whose penetrating style has engraved in our ordinances, and in our hearts, the words and spirit of that immortal law.

any rights at the Revolution: on the contrary, they were adopted for the express and avowed purpose of keeping alive the genuine constitutiona, principles, upon which the right of the people to alter the fuccession and government was exercifed at the Revolution; and upon the prefumption, that the Church of England could not be brought into danger by the propagation or maintenance of those principles.

Jura, 181.

Reflections, 27.

- Here Mr. Burke cutsoars the highest flights of Toryism. The idea of a nation possessing a fundamental right of altering its Government at one time, and divesting itself of it at another, is truly new. Our Revolution then of 1688 not only operated a change (by necessary deviation from the rule) in the tenure and descent of the Crown of England, but also in the very essence of social nature. For as long as society shall last, there must be Government, and whilst there is Government, it must be in its nature alterable by the community which framed it. I should be guilty of treason were I to deny this of the British Govern-For to limit the Crown, and the descent, inheritance, and government thereof, as the nation pleases, opens every possible avenue to alteration that human ingenuity can devise.

The two Houses, in the Act The declaration by the Na-

King William, did not thank tional Convention, of the cir-

God that they had found a fair opportunity to affert a right to choose their own Governors, much less to make an election the only lawful title to the Their having been Crown. in a condition to avoid the very appearance of it, as much as possible, was by them considered as a providential escape. They threw a politic well wrought veil over every circumstance tending to weaken the rights, which in a meliorated order of fuccession they meant to perpetuate; or which might furnish a precident for any future departure from what they had then fettled for ever.

Reflictions, 25.

cumstances, that on this occafion fummoned them to the exercife of their inherent and indefeafible rights, which I call the verdict of the nation, so far from being calculated to suppress or dissemble the matter of fact, appears to have been worded with the most cautious intention of handing down to the latest posterity a full and faithful statement of the facts, which induced them to make, and would induce posterity to approve of and support these alterations in the Constitution and Government of the Coun-They make this exposition, or rather boast of the circuinstances, as tending to vivify and confirm, not to weaken the the rights, which in the meliorated order of fuccession they meant to perpetuate. And the Acts, which they engrafted upon this declaration, are the strongest evidence of our ancestors wishes, to keep alive and active the principles upon which they passed them.

Jura, 179.

Whatever reluctance Mr. Burke may now feel to unveil or disclose the truth of facts and principles, he does much injustice to our ancestors in attempting to fix that imputation upon them. They even boasted of the rights they then exercised; and perpetuated with all possible caution the precedent on which they acted, not only to justify their own proceeding, but to sanction posterity to follow the example, in case they should ever be exposed to the like melancholy ccasion. They had no other idea of perpetuity in the Act of Settlement, than of entailing the Crown in the Brunswick line, under certain conditions. And to say, that this may not be opened, or broken in upon, by a future Act of Parliament, is treason, by the Act of Ann, which Act was passed for the better security of her Majesty's Person and Government.

It would be to repeat a very trite story, to recal to your memory all those circumstances which demonstrated, that their accepting King William was not properly a choice; but to all those who did not wish in effect to recal King James, or to deluge their Country in blood, and again to bring their religion, laws, and liberties into the peril they had just escaped, it was an act of necessity in the strices moral sense in which necessity can be taken.

Reflections, 23.

Some persons may also formerly have been prepossed of the idea, that the Revolution was an act of necessity in the strictest moral sense in which necessity can be taken; and that it never should furnish a precedent for any departure from what they had then fettled for ever. Through fear and anxiety therefore, lest in these prepoffessions the genuine principles of the Revolution might merge and become extinguished, the Nation at different times has taken the most effectual means to perpetuate the spirit and principles of the Revolution to the latest posterity.

Jura. 180.

Even after the abdication of King James, his perfonal exclusion was not an act of necessity, but of choice and consent. The nation might have recalled him either with or without new conditions. But much less was the election of William Prince of Orange an act of necessity. For how or why was the Nation necessitated to give him a life estate in the crown, even after the death of his wife, when all relation was diffolved between him and the crown; and to open the law in his favour to the prejudice of the protestant heir at law the Princess Ann? Who without Mr. Burke's quick and fertile conception of necessities, and dim-fighted tardiness to discover an efficient consent in the people, can find out by what fort of necessity the nation passed over the iffue male and legal heir apparent to the crown, even being protestant? Was it an act of necessity to credit the tale of the warming pan; or to wrap it up with its contents in a politic well-wrought veil? This in fact was the only circumstance which our ancestors did not bring forward to the broadest daylight. Was it another or the same act of necessity which compelled and obliged the nation to compliment the Stadtholder of Holland with a limitation of the crown, in default of Issue of the Princess Mary and Ann, to the heirs of his body, in preference to the present Brunswick Family as descendants of the Princess Sophia? But Mr. Burke has discovered the strictest moral necesfity for the Nation's making this voluntary limitation of the crown to the heirs of the body of a Dutch Stadtholder upon any woman whomsoever, unqualified even with the condition of Protestantism. A reluctance to admit of true principles will always drive to falfity and folly.

In the famous law of 3 Car. I. called the Petition of Right, the Parliament fays to the King, Your subjects have inherited this freedom, claiming their franchises, not on abstract principles as the rights of men, but as the rights of Englishmen,

By the Bill of Rights, the Nation afferts generally, that abuses and encroachments were made, or attempted by the Crown in open and direct violation of the ancient and indefeasible rights of the people. And therefore the operative part of that

and as a patrimony derived from their forefathers. Selden and the other profoundly learned men, who drew this petition of right, were as well acquainted, at least with all the general principles concerning the rights of men, as any of their discoursers in our pulpits or on your tribune.

Reflections, 46.

flatute, which relates to these rights and liberties, does not enact any thing new by way of grant, or even confirmation of those rights and liberties, to the people; but it consists of these singular words: They do claim, demand and insist upon all and singular the premises as their undoubted rights and liberties.

Jura, 192.

What absurdity Mr. Burke would impose upon the public by this argument, I cannot even conjecture. Would he have Englishmen claim English liberties, as the rights of man, or even social man, which are common to all mankind and not peculiar to Englishmen? I defy Mr. Burke's ingenuity and eloquence to frame a more pointed, concise, and absolute claim (even upon abstract principles) than this of our ancestor's claim of their sundamental rights and liberties. Their presace is, that their monarchs had heretofore encreached upon them. Their claim: We claim, demand, and insist upon the premises as our undoubted rights and liberties.

The ceremony of cashiering Kings, of which these gentlemen talk so much at their ease, can rarely, if ever be performed without force. It then becomes a case of war and not of Constitution. Laws are commanded to hold their tongues amongst arms; and tribunals sail to the ground with the peace, they are no longer able

Since the first institution of civil or political government upon earth, their never existed, in my opinion, an instance in which the transcendency of this sovereign right in the people was so clearly demonstrated, as in our Revolution of 1688; for in that temporary dissolution of Government, which was occasioned by the abandonment or

to uphold. The Revolution of 1688 was obtained by a just war, in the only cafe, in which any war, and much more a civil war, can be just.

dereliction of it by the executive power, the people in reality and practice carried their rights to an extent far beyond the fpeculative allowances of the most unconfined theorifts:

Fura, 198.

What is obtained by war, is acquired by conquest. Locke did not look up to conquest for a good title to King William. And Mr. Burke cannot be ignorant, that Parliament ordered even a bishop's book to be burnt, for teaching that King William's right to the throne had been acquired by conqueft. 12 2 70 2 1 1 2

The speculative line of demarcation, where obedience ought to end, and refistance must begin, is faint, obscure and not eafily definable. It is not a fingle act, or a fingle event, which determines it.

In the English Constitution, the power of the Sovereign or King is confined or limited to that of the law: beyond this limitation the very relation ceases: confequently there is no King nor Sovereign, Reflections, 43: there the passive obedience and non-refistance of the subject to him is out of the question, as is self-evident. Fura, 473:

Here is an open and unequivocal revival of the doctrine of passive obedience and non-resistance. It can only have effect in absolute monarchies. (Jur. Ang. 471.) "In regal Govern-" ments was this doctrine engendered, fostered, and reared; and " when our Kings wished or attempted to lerect themselves into " regal arbitrary fovereigns, they attempted at the same time to " transplant; it into this country?" We unto such attempts by " fovereigns or subjects! ा । इस्ती

Kings in one sense are the fervants, of the people, because their power has noother rational end, than that of the general advantage: but it is not true, that they are in the ordinary fense (by our Constitution at least) any thing like servants; the effence of whose situation is to obey the commands of some other, and to be removable at pleasure. But the King of Great Britain obeys no other person; all other persons are individually, and collectively too, under him, and owe to him a legal obedience.

Reflections, 41.

Those who trace the King's fovereignty from the immediate appointment of the community, undervalue and contemn the people, in proportion, as they substract from the majesty of their appointee: for the refufal of the absolute honours to the prince, is the disavowal of the relative honour to the people. I shall, therefore, hereafter confider the submission and respect due from the subject to the Sovereign as a civil duty and obligation, which every member of the community is indispenfably obliged to perform, under the penalties which the State has annexed to the crime of high treason.

Jura, 218.

Here Mr. Burke-diffembles no longer his doctrines; he is explicit, and formerly subjects both houses of Parliament to the absolute and sole will of the King. The Nation can only act collectively through their representatives in Parliament; and, if they collectively owe the King a legal obedience, then may he impose law upon them: the consequences of such doctrine are rather serious both to the King and People.

Unquestionably there was a revolution in the person of King William, a small and temporary deviation from the strict order of a regular hereditary succession: but it is against all ge-

The inherent rights and incumbent duties of individuals, and of the community, of which I have before spoken, will, when candidly viewed, I hope, sufficiently justify, and for ever nuine principles of jurisprudence, to draw a principle from a law made in a special case, and regarding an individual person.

Reflections, 23.

establish the principles upon which our ancestors effected the Revolution, and their posterity to this day cherish and support it in its consequences and effects.

Jura, 193.

What Mr. Burke calls a temporary fmall deviation, was the most arbitrary, wide, voluntary settlement that ever was made of a crown. Extinguishing the legal rights of an unossending, unheard infant, giving rights to a stranger who had neither claim nor pretensions; and not only imposing a condition on the tenure of the crown, which depended upon the uncontroulable obligation of submitting the understanding of the Sovereign to the revelations of religion, but also precluding the old line of succession from the very possibility of holding their ancient inheritance, by conforming with the condition of its present tenure. I wonder not that Mr. Burke's antagonists denominate him truly an unprincipled Whig, who can discover no principle in our Revolution of 1688.

I believe, Sir, that many on the Continent altogether miftake the condition of a King of Great Britain. He is a real King, and not an executive officer. If he will not trouble himself with contemptible details, nor wish to degrade himfelf by becoming a party in little squabbles, I am far from sure, that a King of Great Britain, in whatever concerns him as a King, or indeed as a I have already given you to understand, that there is a very noted sentence, a favourite maxim or rule in the civil: "That which pleases the prince has the effect of a law." The laws of England admit of no such maxim, or any thing like it. A king of England does not bear such a sway over his subjects as a King merely, but in a mixt political capacity: he is obliged by his coronation

rational man, who combines his public interest with his personal fatisfaction, does not possess a more real, folid, extensive power than the King of France was possessed of before this miserable Revolution. The direct power of the King of England is confiderable. His indirect and far more certain power is great indeed.

Letter to a Member, 67.

oath to the observance of the laws, which fome of our Kings have not been able to digeft, because thereby they are deprived of that free exercise of dominion over their subjects in that full extensive manner, as those Kings have, who preside and govern by an absolute regal power; who, in pursuance of the laws of their respective kingdoms, in particular the civil law, and of the aforesaid maxim, govern their subjects, change laws, enact new ones, inflict punishments, and impose taxes at their free will and pleafure, and determine fuits at law when and as they think fit. Jura, 319, and quoted from Fortescue.

Here are two new paradoxes for the folution of Mr. Burke's disciples: I profess myself inadequate to their solution. I know no power in the Crown which the Conflitution does not give and recognize; and which therefore must be direst and positive. Much less do I conceive, that an indirect power proceeding from unknown or unavowed causes, should be more certain than the direct power which all know and all acknowledge. with forrow and indignation do I hear Mr. Burke boast of the unbounded effects of any power in our Crown. I have been in the old fashioned habit of placing the fleurity both of king and subject in the direct limited power of the Crown. This contrast of the absolute government of France against the limited monarchy of England by Fortescue, is boldly inverted by Mr. Burke, who thinks, I presume, the legislative power in the individual to be

the necessary support of a modern king of England, to whom the nation collectively owes a legal obedience question and a second

ลง หางที่ 1 (วงการ ตุ้งมีมากรายเทคหาย เคาการ ยาว่า วาวแอง 2

and not the glory of this age, that every thing is to be difcussed. (Refl. 17.) The British Constitution may have its advantages pointed out to wife and reflecting minds: but it is of too high an order of excellence to be adapted to those which are common. It takes in too many views, it makes too many combinations, to be fo much as co opreh: nded by fallow and superficial understandings. Profound thinkers will know it in its reason and spirit. The less enquiring will recognize it in their feelings and their experience.

It has been the misfortune, Wherever misrepresentation of truth has existed, and that misrepresentation has been attended with mischievous confequences, discussion alone can cure the evil. I openly avow this to be the intent of my making this publication; and with this view am I induced to make the most public and unequivocal profession of those principles which have engendered, nurtured and maturat d our Conflitution; and which, if strictly adhered to, must everpreserve it in full vigour, and so perpetuate it to the latest posterity. I am very far from wishing to draw a veil over the Appeal, 113. principles which justified the alterations in the Constitution of our Government at the Revolution. Fura, 168.

This veiling and mysterious principle of Mr. Burke tends first to blind, then to irritate, and finally to mislead the multitude. The knowledge of their rights will encourage them to preserve them. If they be ignorant of what they have, they will attempt to acquire what they are not entitled to. And hence the catastrophe of disorder and confusion. Mr. Burke did not always fee through that hazy mift which now dims his fight.

He could formerly see; that * "In all disputes between the people "and their rulers, the presumption was at least upon a par in sa"vour of the people. Experience may perhaps justify me in going
"further: Where popular discontents have been very prevalent,
it may be well affirmed and supported, that there has been ge"nerally something sound amiss in the Constitution, or in the
conduct of Government. The people have no interest in discorder. When they do wrong it is their error, not their crime.
But with the governing part of the state, it is far otherwise.
They certainly may act by ill design, as well as by mistake."
The confirmation of ignorance is a very new mode of correcting error.

Upon the publication of Mr. Burke's book, the readiness, if not avidity, with which the majority of the country imbibed his principles, was as aftonishing to the observer as it was alarming to the reasoner upon the consequences. The first fruits of his extraordinary political mission were truly superabundant: the harvest returned a hundred fold ; and if the purity of his zeal could admit it; we should pardon the emotion of vanity that the number and quality of his profelytes might raife in his breaft. He had the address, or the power or the good or the bad fortune to feduce the public into the conviction, that every variance of opinion upon the policy or propriety of every measure of Administration, became the unequivocal and irrevocable test of hostility or enmity to the British Constitution: hereby attempting from henceforth to divide the nation into two parties, which he discriminated by the new and emphatical appellations of modern and ancient Whigs. His own words will best explain the meaning of the terms. - +" The modern Whigs in Parlia-" ment, who are so warm in condemnation of Mr. Burke and "his book, and of course of all the principles of the ancient "Constitutional Whigs of this country." In defiance however of this fulminating bull of excomunication against all the diffen-

^{*} Thoughts on the Cause of the present Discontents, sab. init.

⁺ Appeal, 95.

ters from Mr. Burke and his book and his principles, some sew who dared express their dissent and protest against them, stood firm to the principles of the ancient Constitutional Whigs of this kingdom. They were not the larger, but time and reason, and events will shew, whether they were not the sounder part of the community.

The most stupendous powers of Mr. Burke's eloquence werenow experienced in the fudden and general fubmission of the nation to his new political paradoxes: henceforth the whole fystem of measures began to be directed by the newly acquired spirit of this regenerated fystem. It will be scarcely credited by posterity, that at the close of the 18th century, the condemnation of Mr. Burke and his book upon the Revolution of France, and of his principles, became in the eyes of the British Nation the test of turbulence, faction, and fedition. The man, who can talk of a fwinish multitude because it is illiterate, who sets his face against the reformation of all abuses in Government, and hazards doctrines pointedly destructive of the genuine principles of the Constitutional Whigs of this kingdom, may well be expected to perfecute with unrelenting rigour the different focieties that had been established under the denominations of Friends of the People, Friends to a parliamentary Reform, or the Liberty of the Press, and the Whig and other Clubs for constitutional information. All these, together with the non-approvers of Mr. Eurke and his book, are promiscuously involved in the general anathema, exterminated from the pale of the Constitution, and proscribed as her avowed and determined enemies. Such was the enthufialm of this new and numerous coalition, such their confidence in their newly acquired firength, that policy and prudence were not even attended to. Instead of separating and dividing their opponents, they foolifhly encreased their numbers, by connecting all the malecontents of the kingdom in one common coufe of opposition to themselves, and of course, as Mr. Burke says, to all the principles of the ancient Constitutional Whigs of this country. I carry not my scepticism to the height of those, who

have denied the existence of any enemies to our Constitution amongst us. Such I hold every man, who adopts the levelling doctrines of Paine, and every man, who supports the spirit of arbitrary power: such I hold every man, whether he attempt to establish in this country a pure republic or an absolute monarchy: but such I do not hold every man, who is a friend of the people, or a friend to parliamentary reform, or to the liberty of the press, or a member of the Whig club, or of the Society for procuring constitutional information.

Ministers should be more fully and more practically convinced than other men, that there is a tenacious quality of adhesion in all popular discontents, by which they entwine and incorporate upon approximation. At this hour their was a ferious call upon them, to prevent the increasing union, and consequently strength of the malecontents. This could only be effected by difengaging from the general cause of murmur, all those who really had originally, and who might then still retain different wishes from others, who aimed at the total subversion of the prefent establishment. The nation would then have known who were friends, and who enemies to the Constitution. would have ceased the artful, but wicked and mischievous system, of confounding a wish to reform the popular representation in parliament with that of subverting the Constitution: of viewing all exertions to correct the abuses, as so many attempts to destroy the principles of Government; and of representing love and friendship for the people, as incompatible with respect and loyalty for the fovereign.

No human institution can be brought to that degree of perfection, that it shall not at times require some amendment and improvement; and plain reason shews us, that those are ever the most sincerely attached to the institution, who are most sorward to amend and improve it, as its defects, abuses, or vices shall appear. There cannot surely be so scasonable, so proper, so necessary a time to apply the remedy, as when the descale threatens to attack the vital parts and become insectious. I have heretofore

faid, * " to prove that any human institution has attained its ne plus ultra of perfection, is to produce internal evidence of a radical deficiency or vice in the system; and to prove a continued progress in the melioration or improvement of a system, is conclusive evidence, that the ground-work of the superstructure is in its nature firm and permanent. I have endeavoured to trace and mark the advances which our Constitution has been gradually making, since its first institution, towards the perfection of civil liberty; and in this progress do we find the furest earnest of suture improvements, as the exigencies of times and circumstances shall require them."

It was in the direct spirit of Mr. Burke's sweeping anathema, that every idea, every wish, every attempt to reform the reprefentation of the people in parliament, or to befriend the general rights of the people, from which alone has arisen the British Confitution, has been holden out, as an intention, defign, and effort to fubvert and annihilate it. To condemn Mr. Burke and hisbook, became a renunciation of Magna Charta and the Bill of Rights; to refift the principle of arbitrary and absolute power over the people, was the war-whoop of rebellion; and a good wish to the happy establishment of a better order of things in France, was an unequivocal determination to overthrow the whole system of our present establishment. To the magical charms, or overbearing powers of Mr. Burke's eloquence the whole nation almost, for a time, bowed down and submitted. But I still boast of the reluctance and indignation, with which I ever beheld him wave on his triumphant pennant, the too confident device, Qui non est probis contra patriam est. Such, however, for the (il.-fated) moment, was the mark of the beaft. Whether Thomas Paine would level all distinctions, Mr. Fox propagate his ideas of a revolutionary Whig, Mr. Erskine standup for the rights of juries, or Mr. Grey promote a parliamentary reform, they were all equally confounded in the condemnation of Mr. Burke and his book, and of course equally involved in the

[·] Jam Ang. p. 609.

whigs of this country. Though the dreams of Britons may be general, they are transient, and their waking reflections will do away the delusion.

The spirit of a system of Government is not only to be read in the specific acts of an Administration, but it pervades and directs every measure which the country, under its influence, pursues and adopts. In this mifguided spirit of attributing the whole disafters of the country to the wishes and exertions of the friends of the people, and the promoters of a parliamentary reform, we have beholden parochial meetings throughout the kingdom, established, as their resolutions generally run, to preserve themselves against the horrid attempts of daring and feditions men, who, under the specious pretence of reformation, wish to subvert the Constitution and Government of the country: To fuch of you, my countrymen, who now fee, or who ever have feen the necessity of fuch a reform, who now are exerting, or who ever have exerted yourselves in bringing it to bear, I apply, whether you now have, or ever had a wish, intention, or design to overturn the Constitution, or annihilate the Government of the country. If, on the contrary, you wish and expect, that the Constitution should receive strength and vigour from the adoption of the measure, in what spirit, in what principle do you vary from him who brought three feveral motions, to attain this great end, before parliament, and the third of them, when he was, as he still is, at the head of his Majesty's councils? Enemies to their country are liable to, and ought to be made to feel the full feverity of the laws. Would not more timely rigour towards fate delinquents have diminished the discontents, which still subfist in the nation? it were not unconstitutional, if it were not seditious, but if it were commendable and patriotic, to bring motions into the House of Commons for abolishing the corruption of our popular reprefentation, what specious reasoning, what state imposition shall conwince you, that to meet with a view and intention of following up the fame object, is to convene upon purposes of sedition and tumult? If the end of your meeting be constitutional, your actual assemblage is legal. Free thoughts upon political subjects are congenial with the spirit of a free Constitution: they are conducive to its preservation; they are essential to its existence. The present perfection of our Constitution was not effected by one government, nor in one century: it boasts the transcendent peculiarity of gradual and distantly progressive formation.

Throw your eyes, my countrymen, upon the pages of past hiftory, view the various revolutions of empires, and trace their most stupendous effects up to their original sources; the whole is but a vapid narrative, and a cold uninteresting speculation in comparison of the present political state of Europe. Believe me, the first and only effectual security against licentiousness, is the moderate and fure enjoyment of fair liberty. Dreadful are the consequences of convincing the people that they know not their own fituation; that their remonstrances are slighted; that the prospect of their grievances being redressed, is desperate. Extensive, ruinous, and awful are the circumstances which have forced the late discussions upon political and civil freedom. truth and justice rise out of reflection, are invigorated by discusfion, and triumph when displayed to the broad light of conviction. How glorious is it for our Constitution, in this critical moment of fevere and hostile investigation, to continue to command the love and attachment of those, who are blest with it, and the refpect and admiration of all who know it; that no other alteration is even wished to be introduced into it, than a closer conformity in the practices of popular election with its effential and unalterable principles! For the man either knows not, or wishes to subvert the Constitution of his country, who questions this elementary principle of it-That the people partake in the legiflation, and consequently are emphatically bounden to the observance of the laws, by the free representation of their representatives in parliament. As far then as the fact deviates from the principle, fo far the practice is vicious and corrupt; and whoever feriously wishes to preserve the Constitution in its full purity

and vigour, must necessarily wish to correct the vice, and prevent the corruption. Will the friends of a reform be silenced and quieted, by admitting the necessity, and denying the expediency of the measure? Can they be compelled to believe the evil practical, and the remedy but an airy vision? Will the people of England be terrified out of their conviction by the thundering veto of Mr. Burke? Unlimited and unknown wretchedness will overwhelm our country, whilst to condemn Mr. Burke and his book, is to condemn all the principles of the ancient Constitutional Whigs of this country.

I will not affert, that the awful moment is arrived, in which the delusive veil of art can no longer withhold the reality from our eyes: but I will affirm, that the present moment is of dire It feriously behaves us, not only to rescue the purity of our Constitution from the rude violence of anarchy, but also from the more dangerous, because more latent efforts of misguided zeal, or masked defence. To secure to the Crown its constitutional prerogatives, and to ourselves our constitutional rights and privileges, are purposes not only reconcileable, but so connected, that every measure that weakens the one, necessarily endangers the other. It is time to dispel the magic of that eloquence, which has so long presented, through a false medium, an inverted form of our Conftitution, base upwards. Its undisguised beauties will inspire us with ingenuity and ardour, to give new security for the continuance of the bleffings, which it is calculated to confer. For this purpose, we must view facts as they arife, we must explore their sources, and follow them in their tendencies. Cool and dispassionate throughout, let the voice of reason, and decision of truth and justice, display the superior excellence of a system, which is, of its nature, proof against the violence of fedition, and the more fatal attacks of eloquent mifrepresentation.

Before I proceed, my countrymen, I feel an irrefistible impulse to redouble my attempts to impress you with a just sense of the consequences, which must ensue from your perseverance in

Mr. Burke's principles. I am not the voice of party, turbulence, or faction. I love and revere the Constitution of my country. When it was openly attacked, I hope I defended it upon the true and proper grounds; I see it now in more danger than I then did: and I should be justly stigmatized with the most dastardly baseness, were I now to desert the cause, because its desence had become more difficult and hazardous. In combating the open enemies of the Constitution, I was sure of the wishes, countenance, and support of all those, who professed themselves friends to their country. In attempting to secure the Constitution against the destructive measures of its beguiled friends, and beguiling (though disguised) enemies, I have to disposses feelings, unrivet prejudices, and conquer the stubborn pride of mental error and ill directed zeal.

If, in the preservation of the British Constitution, you place your fecurity against the anarchy, confusion, and horrors of your Gallic neighbours; it behoves you feriously to revise the political creed of Mr. Burke, which now feems to have acquired fo powerful an influence on the measures of public policy. Theabfolute and arbitrary power of the French monarchy created and fed that volcano of abuses, which in its dreadful eruption has defolated its own, and menaced defolation to all furrounding kingdoms. This new Thaumaturgus and evangelist of royalty, has revealed a new mystery to his submissive devotees, that the indirect power of the King of England is great indeed, and more extensive than what the King of France was possessed of before this miserable revolution. Will you believe it, my countrymen? I will not. What the direct power of our King is, we all know, that know the limits and boundaries of the law. But what his indirect power is, which is more extensive than the arbitrary will of an individual legislator, I have not yet difcovered in any ancient or modern commentator upon our Constitution. It was an anomalous planet, discovered by the penetrating fagacity of an apostate Whig, upon the verge of his grand climacteric. But if it do exist, it becomes us to make

our observations upon its motions, with more than ordinary accuracy: it behaves us to guard against the devouring heat of its perihelion. The very relation of causes and effects will rouse Britons into the most alarming cautions, how they admit the introduction, or permit the existence of a power in their crown more extensive than that of the sourch Henry, or the source that Louis of France*.

I shall now endeavour to withdraw the curtain, and display the truth in naked (though melancholy) facts.

* I wish Chancellor Fortescues's xxxvth chapter of The Inconveniencies in France by Means of the absolute Regal Government, to be read by all Mr. Burke's neophites: though it may too immediately concern the multitude, to interest his attention.

CHAPTER II.

MAY, 1792.

CONTENTS.

General opening.—Resolutions in the Commons for the gradual abolition of the Slave Trade.—Free thoughts thereupon.—The flourishing state of the Revenue .- Original cause of Lord Thurlow's resignation of the Seals .- The case of the Royal Scotch Burghs, and the Riots of Dundee. - Mr. Fox's motion for retealing the penal Statutes against the Unitarians lost .- Petition of the Electors of Westminster, grounded upon the trial of Mr. Rose, against official interference in the Elections. - Introduction of Mons. Chauvelin, and his Mentor, the Bishop of Autun, as Ambassador from the King of the French.—Court Mourning for the King of Sweden.—Reflections on his Murder.—Chauvelin's Memorial to Lord Grenville about the War causes a Proclamation not to serve against France.—Publication of Paine's Rights of Man, 1st and 2d parts.—Information against him.—Proclamation against seditious writings, and warm debates upon it in both Houses.—The rights of Juries established by the Libel Bill.—Convention of the Kings of Prussia and Hungary.—They call upon all the States of the Empire for their quota against France, except Saxony and Hanover.

THE opening of this period of our History represents to us the glowing clouds of a sultry evening, that forebode a night of storm and horrors. We had, perhaps, indulged with too luxuriant a confidence the assurance of perpetuating the blessings of a long continued peace. The ingenuity, spirit, and credit of our manufacturers and merchants had so frequently poured an

overflow of revenue into the treasury, that for some years it had been the new and flattering task of the Minister, to apply the welcome furplus in lightening the overgrown weight of the national incumbrance. Elated with this flattering exuberance of the revenue, Mr. Pitt, upon opening his budget for the current year*, very ingeniously profited of the circumstance to divert the dazzled eyes of the nation from the heavy expences of the Ruffian and Spanish armaments, which had cost the nation about 2,000,000l. to make good the damage of fome few thoufand pounds, done to a British Captain by the detention of his. veffel at Nootka Sound; and to leave Oczakow to the imperious Catherine, which we had threatened by force to fecure to the Porte. Upon this memorable occasion, the Chancellor of the Exchequer triumphantly boasted in the House of Commons, that the intricacy and mystery of finance no longer existed: and in a display of the most brilliant eloquence, enforced the reasons upon which they might found a probable opinion of the permanence of fuch furplus. In the unufual glow of this national prosperity, little was it to be wondered at, that the very luxuriance of the plant which produced the fruit, should for want of pruning run into some wildness and disorder.

In the present disposition of the British nation to discountenance efforts to promote the general cause of civil freedom, from an apprehension of producing unforeseen or unintended consequences, it becomes a matter more of necessary attention, than of curious observation, to reflect that the question of the Slave Trade, which had engaged the minds of the public for some years, was super-eminently liable to all the objections which have been raised by Mr. Burke, and adopted by the nation, against the discussion of any general or fundamental point of civil liberty. When this question was first started, he had not yet taught the nation † that desirines limited in their present

^{*} On the 17th February, 1792.

⁺ Appeal 98.

application, and wide in their general principles, are never meant to be applied to what they first pretend. Mr. Wilberforce will not refuse to inform us, what ulterior views he had in introducing, nor Mr. Pitt in supporting the subject in Parliament. And who will not readily admit, that no doctrine was ever wider in its general principles, than that which inculcates the unlawfulness of the Slave Trade? Taken up upon the pure abstract rights of humanity, it evidently hazards the property of individuals, deeply affects the navigation and commerce of the nation, and immediately involves the difmemberment of the British Empire. And yet, within the lapse of five short years, the nation has beholden with rapture, the rival talents of Administration and Opposition combined in this instance, to reduce private interest and national policy under the great superseding principles of focial freedom: it has feen the question supported with enthusiasm, by all that was powerful in the cabinet, and brilliant in the fenate; and opposed only by the operative and perfevering ingenuity of felf-intereft. A truly practical leffon of the propriety and advantages of extending the freedom of every oppressed part of the community, of reforming abuses, and abolishing long functioned usages, that militate against the principles of civil liberty. For, upon these principles alone has the abolition of the Slave Trade been taken up by Mr. Wilberforce, and supported by Messes. Pitt, Fox, and other humane friends of their African brethren.

Upon the 1st of May, Mr. Pitt presented to the House of Commons, a string of resolutions for the gradual abolition of the Slave Trade, which were sanctioned by the House and carried up to the Lords. But the cause met with more opposition in this House than it had in the Commons. Many reasons have been alledged for this opposition to the resolutions in the Lords: but, as at this time, sew of the Peers had been under the necessity of committing themselves upon the question of keeping up the African slavery in our colonies, many of them probably, now formed their opinions upon it, more from their feelings

upon the general complexion of the times, than from the nature, reasons, and exigency of the case. However, in the debate in the Lords on the 8th of this month, those who wished to oppose, or to protract the abolition of the Slave Trade, carried the question, That the evidence upon the case should be heard at the bar of the house, and not in an upstairs committee; by which means very little progress was made in the business during the course of the sessions.

It is fearcely possible to conceive a subject of discussion more pregnant with confequences of the utmost import to the State, than the abolition of the Slave Trade. For it is obvious, that all the reasons against that traffic, which are drawn from the essential nature of human beings, or from the indispensable obligations of moral justice, or from the positive injunctions of the Christian code, militate only against the purchase of the slaves, because they ultimately militate against the state of slavery for which they are purchased. Yet has the House of Commons voted the protraction of the Slave Trade to the 1st day of January, 1800, and allowed a premium upon the importation of female flaves, with the express view of supplying the islands with a sufficient number of both fexes, to perpetuate the lystem of slavery, by encouraging the breed and home traffic, rather than the importation of these black herds from the coast of Africa. legislature can sanction the means of attaining an iniquitous end. If, on the other hand, it be confidered, that, in our islands, the general proportion of blacks to the number of whites, is as fifteen to one; or, in other words, that, in the island of Jamaica, three hundred thousand black human beings are the property of some hundred individuals, who compose a part of twenty thousand free inhabitants of that island; we shall tremble at the consequences of agitating any other questions concerning them, than those of regulation and tenderness. If we reflect on the nature of the individuals who compose this mass of people, and cast a melancholy eye over the now defolated and disconfolate island of St. Domingo, the Eden of the western world, we shall scarcely discover a mean point of security between the dreadful extremities of abandoning the property, and emancipating the enflaved cultivators of the soil. Yet, in defiance of all these consequences, have the humane advocates of the African slaves stood boldly forward in the cause of freedom.

Before this important question receives its final decision, it should be completely divested of the stern compulsion of conscientious morality, that shuts out the sympathy of human nature, and forbids the exercise of discretion and election. If the subject be once brought to a matter of mere civil investigation, the mist of enthusiasm will be removed, that magnifies the size of all reasons, and mifrepresents the shape of every objection. Then, and not till then, will the advocates for the abolition of the Slave Trade fee the fuil force of the reasons of their opponents for its continuance, viz. the impossibility of keeping up the culture of their plantations without it; the advantages of navigation, opulence, and industry, arising from it to their mother country; the improvement of the situation of the slaves by being removed from a more unhealthy climate, secured from cruel and despotic tyranny, and rescued from the horrors of barbarism and idolatry; the injustice of invading private property without indemnification; the danger of calumniating the acts of the proprietors, their ancestors, and the governors and legislators of the country, by supporting the system of slavery: and above all, the confequences which the flaves must draw (for they have thought) from our open declaration of the criminality of the practice of enslaving them.

If at a future day the Slave Trade shall be abolished, as an unchristian trassic, and the emancipation of our colonial slaves shall follow that abolition as a just and necessary consequence of the principle that produced it; the indemnification and satisfaction of the injured proprietors will become a serious consideration to the minister of sinance. On one hand will be established claims for the loss of property resumed by the State, after the most unequivocal confirmation of it to the individual possessor;

and on the other will be heard protestations against a contribution towards reimburfing the expences of enflaving and bartering for their fellow-creatures, in defiance of the ordinances of God; as if a feducer, forced by the laws from the object of his criminality, should demand of the State a reimbursement for the price of her ruin. If, upon the abolition of the Slave Trade, a confequent emancipation should follow, cordial must be the prayers of our West Indian Planters for the continuance of the present Minister. For he, who has so warmly espoused the claims of these Africans to their natural rights, and who, in the year 1786, proposed to faddle this nation with the sum of one million, to buy up a right of nomination to parliament from individuals, which the Constitution presumed impossible to exist, would not, without full indemnification, invade the property of individuals, confirmed to them by the franding laws of the land, and the express acts of the legislature.

The flourishing state of our commerce at this time, cannot be more clearly ascertained than by the actual increase of the revenue, which in the current week exceeded the corresponding week in the preceding year by the fum of 118,034l. 6s. At the same time, a report was made by the commissioners under Mr. Pitt's bill for the liquidation of the national debt, that they had applied to that reduction the sum of 8,677,850l. This increase of the revenue appears to be the chosen touchstone of Mr. Pitt's popularity: it certainly is the most gratifying circumstance, that can footh the vanity, or foften the labours of a minister of finance; but grofs is the error of those, who attribute it to any other cause, than to the energy, spirit, and credit of our manufacturers and merchants. So wedded was the Chancellor of the Exchequer to his favourite plan of reducing the national debt, by the application of the annual furplus of the revenue, that to the disapprobation of one part of it, is immediately to be traced Lord Thurlow's refignation of the great seals of England. In a committee of the House of Lords, upon the bill for appropriating a certain sum annually for paying off the national debt, the Lord Chancellor, although approving of the object of the bill, ftrongly objected to that clause of it, which enacted, that no future loan should be made without being provided for at the time. He reprobated the arrogance and infolence of dictating to future parliaments, and the futility of directing how future ministers should make their loans; concluding his speech by this emphatical affertion, that the folly of the project could only be equalled by the vanity of making the attempt. The strong sense and integrity of the Chancellor would not permit him to lend his fanction to a clause of fuch a tendency, and he divided with twenty-one against twentyfeven, who, with Lord Grenville, supported it. Fortunately for the country, this great man had not been initiated in Mr. Burke's doctrines of settling precedents for ever, from which no parliament foould ever depart. As, from this act of opposition to a favourite measure of the Minister, the public dates the loss of Lord Thurlow's abilities in the cabinet and on the bench, justice claims, from every well-wisher to his country, a tribute of grateful admiration to this true and unshaken patriot. The piteous tales of official fycop's ants, that attempted to shew the necessity of removing a man from his Majesty's councils, who opposed every thing, and proposed nothing, betrayed their folly and weakness in the attempt to justify the measure. The essential and important duty of the Chancellor is to examine, state, and to be in fome fort responsible for the legal effects, and constitutional tendency of every bill that comes into the House of Lords. ability and faithfulness to discharge this duty, he receives credit from the Crown by his appointment; his peers look up to him for it in the guidance of their parliamentary conduct; the public rest their assurance and acquiescence in this same confidence. What a strange perversion of duty would it be, that because a Chancellor, in the discharge of this watchful and superintending office, should discover an illegal effect, or unconstitutional operation in a bill affecting the army, navy, finance, or commerce of the country, he faculd be called upon for new plans and measures in these several departments! The people of England will ever

cherish and revere, as a martyr to their rights and liberties, the man who facrifices his interest and his preferment to this rigid exercise of his constitutional duty.

Some years ago, fifty out of the fixty-fix Royal Scotch Burghs had by petitions to the House of Commons demanded redress against feveral grievances under which they laboured; and when Mr. Sheridan brought in a bill for removing the cause of their complaints, the grievances were admitted by the House to be of the utmost magnitude; and, in order to procure full proof of their existence, the House entered into a resolution, on the 27th of May 1791, that, early in the next fession, it would take into confideration the flate of the Royal Burghs of Scotland. On the 18th of April, Mr. Sheridan, in consequence of this resolution, moved the House to go into a committee, for enquiring into the grievances complained of, but the motion was lost by a majority of above two to one. These Burgesses having thus failed in their attempt to effectuate the resolution of the House, which was to investigate the nature of their grievances, on the 30th day of April, Mr. Sheridan presented a petition from them, to be heard by counfel at the bar of the House, to prove the existence of the grievances they complained of. To the reception of this petition Mr. Pitt and Mr. Dundas objected, upon account of the informality of the proceeding: the Speaker, however, decided against the informality, and quoted a precedent in favour of the petitioners, of a late fimilar petition from the East India Company: the question having been put, that the petition be received, it was negatived. The effects of these petitioners being baffled in every attempt to bring their grievances under the fair confideration of the legislature, were as much to be expected as they were to be dreaded. On the 3d of May, at Dundee, and feveral other places in Scotland, the Secretary of State was burned in effigy, with feveral labels issuing from his mouth, emphatically expressive of the situation and resolutions of persons labouring under grievances, and thut out from all redrefe. Having effected this purpose, the mobs dispersed without surther outrage.

The House of Commons had, in fact, come to the resolution of examining into the nature of the grievances, under which these Burgesses laboured, before Mr. Burke had warned them against any reform of abuse, or any redress of grievances. * " Let those who have the trust of political or of natural autho-" rity ever keep watch against the desperate enterprises of innova-" tion: let even their benevolence be fortified and armed." Though the abuses of the Gallic demagogues may have irritated the nerves and steeled the heart of Mr. Burke, and of his followers, and of the approvers of his books and of his principles; yet did they not lighten the burthens of these petitioners, nor convince them, that the calamities of a foreign country should prevent the removal of their own grievances. If in the cautionary view of our neighbours' wretchedness we are to seek an earnest of our own welfare, let the fatal examples of the rapid transition from the voice to the action of a discontented people, raise a serious and guarded alarm in the breaft of every well-wifher to his country. Our Constitution, in guarding against the intemperate resolutions and desperate measures of irritated associations, most providently interposed the subject's right of petitioning Parliament against grievances. But the right of the subject to petition evidently imports the duty of Parliament (not to grant the prayer) but, to receive and examine into the merits of the petition. Unmeasurable will be the mischief of clogging this mode of redrefs with difficulties, or of throwing difrepute or suspicion upon the exercise of this constitutional right of the fubject. Even Mr. Burke formerly faid, with more truth and less effect than he now might, +" Where popular discontents have " been very prevalent; it may be well affirmed and supported, that " there has been generally something found amiss in the Constitution " or in the conduct of Government."

Such a hold had the execration of the French Revolutionists, now acquired upon the generality of this nation, that it had be-

^{*} Appeal, 116.

on the present Discontents, p. 416.

come the ground of Mr. Burke's new Constitutional Catechism, that because follies, crimes, and horrors were committed in France, therefore, no errors were to be corrected, no abuses reformed, no grievances redreffed in England. Mr. Fox, in a very full house, brought forward his long promised motion in favour of the Unitarians, for the repeal of certain statutes, by which they were subjected to heavy and severe punishments. He grounded a strong, eloquent, and ingenious speech upon the injustice of intolerance and perfecution for religious opinions, that were purely speculative. Mr. Burke, however, discovered in Unitarianism the whole volcano of a French Revolution; and in a fpeech of uncommon animation, variety, and eloquence, difplayed every circumftance that had difgraced or polluted the progress of that Revolution from its beginning: he proved from the toasts given at a dinner of Unitarians, that they were deeply infected with the Revolutionary Gallomania—a stigma which he also openly attempted to affix to the Opposition benches of the House. But the most singular of his arguments was, that the statute was too bad for execution, and therefore needed no repeal. A prouder day of triumph to Mr. Burke could not have happened: a very large majority gave into his arguments, and the motion was loft. In this debate most of the eminent speakers took a part. The future annalist of the most credulous age would be discredited in reporting, that Mr. Burke had, in a full debate, excited the horror and indignation of the House of Commons against the French Revolutionists, for having compleated the measure of their iniquities, by superadding to the rest, the crime of religious persecution, in order to convince a British Senate of the necessity of keeping upon their statute books, acts of the most penal rigour against purely speculative opinions of religion*. The leading speakers, who followed him, opposed the motion, to avoid the scandal which the repeal

^{*} The effect of this act (9 & 10 William III.) is more extensive than it is generally thought: for it not only applies to all those who do not believe the mystery of the blessed Trinity, according to the

of fuch an act might create in the church: and, in order to fecure the Unitarians from the guilt of fedition and treason, against which the Constitution and laws have provided a full remedy, they found the necessity of holding over them in terrorem, disabilities, penalties, and pains, for denying revealed dogmas and mysteries of religion above the natural grasp of man's limited comprehension, to which no human authority can therefore demand submission.

To discountenance every idea of reform, and to check, in limine, every enquiry into the parliamentary representation, appears now to have become regularly systematical. The difference is wide between the acquittal of a charge after inveftigation, and a fullen refusal to go into an enquiry. Power may fcreen the culprit from trial; innocence will ever court enquiry. Mr. George Rose, one of the Secretaries to the Treasury, had, in the last Westminster election commissioned Mr. Smith, a publican, to open his house for the entertainment of the voters for Lord Hood, the unfuccessful candidate. It appears, that the faithful Secretary, upon the close of the poll, was rather less forward to make good his payments, than he had been to pledge his responsibility to the honest publican. After many fruitless applications for payment of a moderate charge, Mr. Smith wisely preferred the verdict of his countrymen to the precarious dependance upon official promifes. He brought an action against Mr. George Rose in the King's Bench, which was tried before Lord Kenyon and a special jury, and obtained a verdict against the Secretary for one hundred pounds, In the course of this trial it had been proved, that an application had been made by Mr. Smith to the Secretary of the Treasury, for the remission of an excise penalty which he had incurred; but which had never been levied upon him as long as he had remained an election agent for the liberty of Westminster; yet, that when he had

Athanasian exposition or explanation of it, but against all those who do not admit of the drvine authority of the Old and new Testament, &c.

ceased to act, it had been levied upon him. Upon the strength of these and some other similar facts, a petition to the House of Commons was drawn up, and figned by more than one thousand electors of Westminster, praying an enquiry into the reported interference of persons high in office in the election for Westminster, and into the illegal abuses of the laws, by the remission of penalties, &c. Mr. Fox, after the petition had been read, made a very long and pointed speech upon the nature, principles, and purity of popular elections, and upon the abusive influence of official power, which he ended with a motion, that the petition should be referred to a committee to examine into the matter thereof, and report the same to the House, as it should appear to them. The motion was negatived without any debate, by a division of eighty-one against thirty-four: Messrs. Pitt, Dundas, Rose, and other servants of the crown divided with the majority.

In the beginning of this month, M. de Chauvelin was introduced to his Majesty as ambassador from the King of the French. He was very young, and supposed to be warmly attached to the democratic party in France. Whether they miftrusted the inexperience of his youth, or doubted he firmness of his principles, he was ushered into the diplomatic corps under the unprecedented tutelage of an official Mentor, M. de Talleyrand, the bishop of Autun. This prelate was the first bishop in France, who by the civic oath withdrew himself from the jurisdiction and communion of the See of Rome. He was followed in his fchifm by three prelates only, out of one hundred and thirty-two. A rare and unprecedented example, worthy of better times, that so large a portion of a flourishing and long established clergy, should facrifice honours, pomp, and opulence to the fevere calls of duty in deprivation, exile, and indigence. The confidential letter, which was written on this occasion, by the late unfortunate monarch of France to the King of England, was strongly expressive of his pacific dispofition, and of his hopes, that no circumstance would ever break through the amity of the two courts.

A general court-mourning was about this time announced for the death of the unfortunate Gustavus III. King of Sweden, who was murdered, on the 16th of March, at a masquerade, by Baron Ankarstrom, a military officer. This murder of a King, at a time when the prevailing power in France had expressed the most marked execration of royalty, and was generally suspected to propogate their anti-basilican spirit through every country which was open to their intrigues and treachery, was by many zealous opposers of the French Revolution, attributed to the wicked machinations of their emissaries. The representation acquired credit from the known zeal, with which this monarch had promoted the armed combination against France.

The Swedish Revolution of 1772, by which Gustavus had established an absolute monarchy upon the ruin of the aristocratical powers of his kingdom, was ever supposed to have been planned in the cabinet of Versailles, where this unfortunate monarch had fpent feveral months previous to his accession to the throne. Being naturally fond of absolute power, he retained an affectionate regard for that court, through the influence and intrigues of which he had acquired it, and from which he received an annual fubfidy, till their late financial diftreffes put a stop to the payment. On the other hand, many of the Swedish nobility still kept up an indignant resentment for the loss of their influence in the state, which, though filently, they determinately waited for an opportunity to regain. The King had constantly supported his power by the unpopular means of a standing army and exorbitant taxes. Averse as he was for summoning a diet, his necessities compelled him to it, in the beginning of the prefent year. He unadvifedly islued a proclamation for affembling the diet only three weeks previous to its meeting, for the express purpose of preventing deliberation in the choice of the representatives: and instead of the capital, he ordered them to meet at Geffle, a solitary town on the Gulf of Bothnia, which

during the whole of their deliberations, was furrounded with mercenary foldiers. Both the Public and the King were difappointed in the result of the meeting. No reform was effected, nor cenfure passed upon the King for entering into a war, without the confent of the States, which was an infraction of the new as well as of the old Constitution. In return, the diet only granted the King a part of the supplies he demanded. Thus unfatisfactorily ended this diet, which proved so immediately fatal to the monarch. The nobles and the people reprobated the idea of entering into the confederacy against France; their country was then grievously oppressed with taxes; and they could not be brought to confent to weaken it still more, by the additional waste of its blood and treasure, in order to support or revive a government, which had been fo instrumental in fettering them with the galling chains of unlimited monarchy. It is generally afferted, that some personal and private resentments, from the King's having deprived one of his noblemen of an advantageous match, which he procured for a court-favourite, co-operated also with the general discontent, to bring forward that conspiracy of the nobility, to which this unfortunate monarch fell a victim. I have faid thus much of Sweden, merely to detect the falfity of the affertions, that the murderer was an emissary from the Jacobins at Paris. Are not their crimes sufficiently numerous, to withhold their enemies from fuch false charges?

The first public act of the French ambassador to our court, was the presentation of a memorial to Lord Grenville, which stated the reasons, why France had declared war against the King of Hungary and Bohemia; insisting particularly upon the right, which France claimed, to change and model her own government, without the interference of any foreign power; and, upon the same principle, holding out a guarantee to all other nations at peace with her, that the French will ever respect their laws, their usages, and all their forms of government. The memorial closed with a claim of the observance of the treaty of commerce,

of the 26th of September, 1786, on the part of England, particularly as to the refraining from any hostilities. This memorial produced almost immediately a royal proclamation, prohibiting any of his Majesty's subjects to arm or act at sea against the French, under any foreign commission or power whatsoever, and enjoining a strict observance of the treaty of commerce in every respect.

Mr. Burke's Reflections upon the Revolution in France appeared in the year 1790; and early in the year 1791, was published Thomas Paine's Rights of Man, being an Answer to Mr. Burke's Attack on the French Revolution. The public are too fully apprifed of the nature and tendency of these two works, to expect any fresh comments upon them: incredible was the avidity with which this book of Thomas Paine was read by the middling and lower classes of people. The draught was too palatable for those to resist, who knew not its poisonous quality. By impunity, its credit extended; and, in less than a year, more than fifty thousand impressions of it had been circulated through the kingdom. It is a matter of notoriety, that in many places, it was fold for four pence, and in others distributed gratis, to those who hesitated at paying their groat. Though Paine during this time lived publicly in London, and enjoyed the fatisfaction of feeing under his own eyes this unprecedented circulation of his book, and propagation of his doctrines, he braved and defied the arm of justice, which had not as yet been attempted to be raifed against him; not a fingle process had been instituted against publisher, printer, or seller of these libellous doctrines. Secure in his impunity, and flushed with the success of his first publication, he published a Second Part of the Rights of Man, combining Principle and Practice. This work, though written perhaps with more audacity and malice, then the first, was notwithstanding an innocuous performance, in comparison of his first publication. For the mischievous effects of the sirst work, were not increased by the lecture of the second; nor was the Second Part of the Rights of Man fought for by those who had

neither seen nor relished the first. When, however, the servour of the first proselytism had abated, and the credit of this levelling evangelist was on the wane, his Majesty's ministers, informed by Mr. Burke, that these * writings deserved no other than the refutation of criminal justice, directed the Attorney General to file an information against Thomas Paine for his libellous publications; which was accordingly done in Easter term; and in order to dispose the minds of the Nation to these necessary though late acts of justice, a proclamation was published, on the 21st of the current month, against the publication and sale of seditious writings, with strong injunctions to all persons to inform against those who should be guilty of such daring attempts, &c.

Scarcely had the proclamation been published, when M. Chauvelin, the French ambassador, presented an official declaration to Lord Grenville, by which he complained, that certain expressions in it appeared to give credit to the erroneous opinions, propagated by the enemies of France, both as to the hostile intentions of Great Britain towards France, and the treacherous designs of France, to promote sedition and confusion in the kingdom of Great Britain. It was expressive of the most pacific and honourable dispositions of France towards this country, and it produced an answer from Lord Grenville, which was afterwards read in the National Assembly, that breathed the strongest sentiments of peace and amity, with an unequivocal engagement from our King, directly and positively to maintain the treaty of navigation and commerce between the two nations.

This proclamation, fingular as it was, occasioned very warm and interesting debates in both Houses of Parliament, and became the test, upon which Mr. Burke's profelytes read openly their recantation of their former opinions, and enlisted formerly under the banner of his doctrines. The proclamation was more opposed and disapproved of in the Commons than in the Lords, though the number and consequence of the persons, who on this

occasion seceded from those, with whom they had formerly acted, was proportionably greater in the Lords than the Commons. The arguments, by which the proclamation was opposed in both Houses, by those who had the steadiness to judge, and the firmness to act upon their old principles, in this hour of alarm, were nearly the same. That the Ministers of the Crown had, through the most criminal neglect or timidity, permitted the free circulation of Paine's books, against which they admitted the proclamations to be aimed, and were confequently responsible for all the evil consequences produced by it in the nation. That by neglecting to enforce the laws, in repressing tumult and disorder, whilst they would be effectual, they had permitted the evil to acquire fuch strength, that they were now justly doubtful of their efficacy, and therefore had recourse to the extraordinary and hazardous attempt, to check by royal proclamation, what they had neglected to repress by the constitutional means of legal process: by which they had vilified the Constitution, and exposed the prerogative to difgust and contempt. That they meanly attempted to screen their weakness and fear to prosecute the writer or publisher of these seditious writings, under an insidious pretence of ignorance, as if Thomas Paine were unknown to be the author, or J. S. Jordan the publisher of them. That it was establishing in a free country a system of espionage, widely foreign from the spirit of the British Constitution, which was never intended to be supported by spies and informers. That this proclamation was the most unequivocal avowal of the weakness and timidity of Ministers, and the direct way of ensuring to the author that confequence, which neither his merit nor his impunity would have otherwise acquired.

In the prevailing rage for discountenancing all popular attempts to enlarge or strengthen the liberty of the subject, it is a ground of surprise, though of infinite consolation to Englishmen, to restlest, that by the steady and undaunted efforts of some real friends of the people, the great and important rights of juries to determine upon the whole question at issue was finally established by

the Libel Bill. And what in the present circumstances added much to the astonishment of the calm observer, was, that the bill was brought in by Mr. Fox, and was the primary and favourite object of those associations which had given such alarming umbrage to Government. In the Lords, the opinion of the twelve Judges was taken, and was decisive against the bill. The Chancellor and Lord Kenyon supported the opinion of the Judges with great warmth; but it was ably and successfully opposed by Lords Camden, Loughborough, Grenville, and others. On this triumph of liberty in so critical a juncture, justice demands from every true Briton an honourable testimony of gratitude to the brilliant talents, the undaunted and persevering patriotism of that truly constitutional advocate, Mr. Erskine, to whom, above any other, this country owes the invaluable boon.

Whatever fecret alliance or connection this country may have formed with the continental powers against France, yet the appearance of neutrality was kept up. The courts of Vienna and Berlin had avowed openly their convention relative to the affairs of France, and on the 17th of this month, the Kings of Prussia and Hungary delivered a joint declaration to all the ministers at the diet of Ratisbon, excepting those of Saxony and Hanover; in which they pressed the different States of the Empire for their quotas and contributions, to preserve the Empire against the threatened invasions of France. It was ill received, and reluctantly and only in part complied with.

CHAPTER III.

JUNE, 1792.

CONTENTS.

Riots in Edinburgh—Burning of Mr. Dundas in effigy—Third reading of the National Debt Bill—The nature and fate of the New Forest Bill—His Majesty's Speech from the Throne—Parliament prorogued to the 30th August—Resignation of the Chancellor—The Seals in Commission—Outrages committed at Paris on the 20th—Proclamations in consequence—A projected union between the Dissenters and Roman Catholics in Ireland—The policy of the Roman Catholics in conducting their affairs, and particularly in securing the interest of Mr. Burke.

EVEN the late royal proclamation proved ineffectual in counteracting the popular discontents in North Britain, particularly against the Secretary of State for the Home Department. On the 4th of this month, the day annually allotted for the joyous celebration of his Majesty's birth day, the Lord Provost and Magistrates of Edinburgh, apprehensive that the popularity of the Right Honourable Secretary Mr. Dundas would not stand the humour of the multitude, which the feu de joie, and other illuminations that loyalty had prepared on this sestive occasion would assemble, directed all persons to keep their servants, apprentices, and workmen within doors, on the King's birth-day. It had been well known, that a complete suit of clothes, and all other external ornaments of dress had been ordered from London, to decorate an essign of the Right Honourable Secretary.

in the most scrupulous similitude to the original, which they meant to commit on this night to the flames. The knowledge of this circumftance put the magistrates, otherwise much alarmed at the discontented spirit of the people, on their guard to provide a larger military force than usual, to suppress any riot or tumult that might break out on the occasion. On the evening of the birth-day, the populace became much irritated by the appearance of so many soldiers parading the streets, whilst no attempts were made to break or interrupt the peace of the city. The dragoons galloping through the streets, to disperse the curious and hitherto unoffending multitude, increased their numbers; they soon began to set the military at defiance, though headed by the Lord Provost and other magistrates: they drove several sentinels from their posts, and burnt their boxes. About midnight, they disperfed gradually, and fourteen of the most active rioters were apprehended and confined in the castle. On the next day, every appearance of riot having fubfided, the dragoons were fent back to their quarters, about two miles from the town. But in the evening, a very great mob affembled in the neighbourhood of St. George's square, whither they carried in procession the estigy of Mr. Secretary Dundas, which they first hanged, and then committed to the flames, amidst the shouts of the surrounding multitude. They infulted, and pelted with stones and other missive weapons, both the military and those who attempted to divert them from their riotous and feditious purposes. They had broken all the windows of the house of the Lord Advocate (Mr. Robert Dundas, the fon-in-law of the Secretary), but were forced by the military to defift from their apparent intent to demolish it. Being dispersed from this scene, they soon rallied again in St. George's square, and had actually begun to demolish the house of Mrs. Dundas, the mother of the Right Honourable Secretary, when they were fired upon by the foldiers, but without any effect. Upon this they grew more outrageous; and being perfuaded that the foldiers' musquets had been charged only with powder, they attacked them with more affurance, and with every occasional weapon which their fury administered. The soldiers upon their second fire killed seven of the rioters, and wounded several. After this the mob dispersed without attempting any farther outrage. But on the third day, in the evening, they assembled again in a very riotous manner, and began to demolish the house of the Lord Provost in St. Andrew's square. They were interrupted in their attempt by the timely intervention of the military, who had the happiness this night of dispersing the rioters without the necessity of firing upon them: they secured ten of the most active among them. On the following day, the Lord Provost convened a general meeting of the citizens; a step which had not been taken for thirty years before; where several resolutions were entered into, to preserve the peace of the city, which fortunately has never been interrupted since that time.

This mifguided rabble had, it feems, attributed the refufal of the House of Commons to examine into the nature of the grievances of their free Burgesses, after having undertaken to do it, to the fole influence of Mr. Secretary Dundas, and had therefore pointed their whole refentment against him and his family. An awful trait of the precariousness of popular favour. For if a title can be raifed to popularity, he has the fuper-eminent claim unto it, whom nature has endowed with every focial and beneficent disposition to please and gratify, and upon whom fortune has lavished the means of indulging it. Since the happy destruction of the fystem of favouritism in this country, the rare phenomenon of the concentration of fo much royal favour in one individual, as in the person of Mr. Dundas, has not appeared-At one and the same time, Secretary of State for the Home Department, Treasurer of the Royal Navy, a Lord of Trade and Plantations, and the directing Commissioner of the Board of Control for the management of the affairs of the East Indies. The Herculean labour of executing these numerous, arduous, and important offices, is furely more than adequate to the moderate appointments of 16,000l. which the Right Honourable Secretary is faid annually to receive from the National Treasury:

Multifarious as were the appointments of the Duke of Bucking-ham under James I. the patronage annexed to them was infignificant when compared to that which Mr. Dundas now commands in England, over Scotland, and throughout India and all its concerns and dependencies. But as the political exigencies of the prefent fystem of Administration seem to require very strong measures, it became a necessary part of that plan to supply at least the oftensible advisers of them with the surest means of commanding popularity, which alone could render them palatable to the nation.

It has been before remarked, that the opposition which the Chancellor had given to Mr. Pitt's National Debt Bill, had brought on the necessity of his resigning the Great Seals of England. When that Bill was read a third time in the House of Peers, and was passed, Lord Rawdon, who with Lord Stormont and many others again opposed it as a measure of extreme prefumption, arrogance, and inefficiency, faid, "One mischievous " confequence of it the country was already apprifed of, viz. "that it had been the means of depriving the public of the fur-" ther fervices of the noble and learned Lord on the woolfack, " whose great abilities and known integrity had deservedly ren-" dered him the object of universal admiration and esteem." He had not however as yet actually refigned; when his opposition to the third reading of the New Forest Bill in the Lords precipitated his removal from the woolfack, before the Cabinet could negociate for a more accommodating successor. His objections against the Bill were, that it had been brought into the House without the confent, and militated strongly against the realinterests of the Crown, at a moment, when, if ever, the rights and prerogatives of the Crown ought, particularly by that House, to be supported; and that it contained several clauses of a mischievous and unconstitutional tendency. This New Forest Bill, which has been always looked upon as the favourite child of Mr. Secretary Rose, was goaded through both Houses of Parliament, under the pressure of various and weighty objections. But the

strong light in which the Chancellor and others exposed the lurking designs and anticonstitutional tendency of the Bill on the third reading, bassled all the views of its persevering parent, and it was no more brought forward. Lord Portchester concluded his speech against the Bill in the Lords, by declaring that his Majesty had not proper materials before him, to enable him to form a judgment, and give a sound and wise consent to its passing. But the provident Secretary, from his personal knowledge of the claims of individuals, and the rights of the Crown upon the New Forest, in bringing in the Bill, had given the public too large a credit for being as deeply conversant with the subject as himself.

On the 15th of the month, his Majesty, after having given his royal affent to eighteen bills, made a gracious Speech from the Throne. In our principles of the constitutional responsibility of Ministers, the King's Speech is ever considered as that of his Minister; no wonder, then, that it contained so pompous an eulogy of the National Debt Bill, upon which the marked disapprobation of the Chancellor had thrown so much discredit. "I have also observed with the utmost satisfaction the measures "which you have adopted for the diminution of the public "burdens, while you have at the fame time made additional " provision for the reduction of the present national debt, and " established a permanent system for preventing the dangerous " accumulation of debt in future." His Majesty was also very emphatic in affuring his people, that it would be his principal care to preferve to them the uninterrupted bleffings of peace. He then prorogued the Parliament, to the 30th day of the enfuing August.

After the resolute and pointed disapprobation by the Chancellor of some of the favourite measures of Administration, it was not to be supposed, that the majority in the Cabinet should any longer expose their plans to the condemnation of the man, in whose integrity and judgment the nation had ever placed the most unreserved confidence. The Parliament was now prorogued, and no immediate necessity arose for finding out a suc-

ceffor to the woolfack; but for the fake of the equity of the nation, the Seals could not be kept up in the breast of the Minnister, to await the doubtful close of the chapter of accidents, or the uncertain result of negociation, till the next meeting of Parliament. Accordingly, on the 15th of the month, his Majesty constituted Sir James Eyre, Sir William Ashhurst, and Sir John Wilson, Lords Commissioners for the custody of the Great Seals of England.

The war between France and Austria was carried on with various success in Flanders, in the different skirmishes which happened, though nothing decifive was even attempted by either party: disturbances, in the mean time, of the most alarming nature convulsed the capital of France. On the 20th of the month, the department of Paris appeared at the bar of the National Assembly, and informed them, that a multitude of 100,000 persons of both sexes, armed with pikes, swords, musquets, and even artillery, were marching towards the Thuilleries. They foon arrived, and were admitted to the bar of the Assembly, with ten or twelve pieces of cannon. They proceeded in order through the body of the Assembly, to the Carousel, in front of the palace, repeating the civic oath as they went. Although there was a confiderable military force in the palace, which could well have defended it; yet, to avoid confusion and bloodfhed, about four o'clock the gates of the palace were thrown open, and immediately all the apartments were filled with the mob, to the number of more than 40,000. They placed the red cap of liberty upon the head of the King, forced him to drink out of a bottle to the health of the Nation, and grossly insulted him by the most insolent and audacious questions, assuring him that he should not long enjoy his pretended right to exercise the veto. The Queen, on this trying occasion, behaved with a dignified condescention, that extorted from the mob a respect and deference which was neither expected nor intended. The Mayor of Paris, with much difficulty, cleared the apartments about nine o'clock of the fame night, without any further outrage having been committed. On the the 22d, his Majesty published a proclamation concerning the transactions of the 20th; in which he spiritedly represented to the Nation, that a misguided mob had, with artillery, rushed into the guard-room of the palace, broken open the doors of his apartments with axes, and endeavoured to extort from him his fanction to two decrees, which he had constitutionally refused to give; that though he were ready to facrifice his personal repose, yet he never would facrifice his duty, and was refolved to his last breath to afford the Constituted Authorities an example of courage and firmness, which could alone save the empire. This was followed by a cold proclamation from Petion, the Mayor of Paris, declaring that the laws ought to be respected, which prohibited the meetings of armed citizens. No enquiry was, however, instituted respecting the authors or the perpetrators of these outrages. The impunity of fuch public offenders bespeaks loudly the weakness or the malice of the magistracy.

In the course of this month some serious efforts were made in Ireland, to establish a political union between the body of Diffenters and that of the Roman Catholics. The former were highly indignant at the general fystem of government that had been carried on for years in the kingdom; the latter had long grouned under the galling pressure of the severest code of penal laws that had ever difgraced a Christian legislature, and they had been recently wounded by the most humiliating rejection of their petition to parliament, to be admitted to a participation of the elective franchife. Though the spiritual doctrines of the Prefbyterian and the Roman Catholic widely differ from each other; yet such, fortunately, was their due sense of the difference between civil and religious obligations, such their improved ideas of the British (or Irish) Constitution, that one common view, one common interest, led them on to almost an enthusiastic cooperation in emancipating their country. They had both learned their respective parts of Mr. Grattan's political aphorism. That the Irish Protestant should never be free, untill the Irish Catholic

should cease to be a slave. In the present situation of the British empire, and under the existing circumstances of the Irish nation, the discontent and consequent irritation of three out of sour millions of its inhabitants became an object of serious alarm to Government, especially when there was a prospect of the body of the Dissenters coalescing with this bulk of the nation. Such a coalition could not fail to give regular, preconcerted, and perhaps deep-planned movements to a body of men roused into action merely by the soreness of their present sufferings. There were not wanting men of solid thought, strong reasoning, and unshaken determination to instill into this vast mass of people, awaking from a long lethargy of inaction and wretchedness, the pleasing and self-convincing verities, that a free nation cannot be taxed that is not represented, nor bounden by laws, in the framing of which they do not concur.

By what particular manœuvre the coalition was for the prefent warded off, I cannot trace. Government was certainly very anxious to prevent it, though nothing, I believe, contributed fo much towards it, as the very warm part which the Diffenters openly took in the fuccesses of the French Revolutionists, and the undisguised predilection that some of their less considerate associates professed for a republican form of government. These sentiments staggered the generality of the Roman Catholics, the extent of whose views went only to be admitted to an equal share of the existing constitution of government, from which they were excluded; but in no shape to lend their assistance to change or demolish it, much less to frame a new one upon any other foundation.

The address and policy with which the Irish Roman Catholics couducted their efforts in every stage to procure their freedom, have ever appeared to me truly admirable. They were fully sensible that the frantic excesses of the French Revolutionists, and the unwarrantable exertions of their imitators and abettors within these kingdoms, had raised an inseparable bar at at this time to every extension of liberty by way of resorm or

redrefs. They were fully aware, that Mr. Burke had founded the alarm, and fortified and armed the benevolence of Government against the desperate enterprises of innovation. * That he did not discern how the present time came to be so very favourable to all exertions in the cause of freedom: And they were too observing not to remark the influence which Mr. Burke's books and principles had lately produced upon the nation. They therefore most judiciously committed the management of their concerns to Mr. Burke, jun. in order to fecure through their agent, the advice, the countenance, and the support of the father. Their most fanguine expectations were gratified. They became exempted from his general ban and anathema against innovators and reformers. And he was fatisfied, that the doctrines which the Roman Catholics applied to their own case, though wide in their principles, were not meant to be carried further than they at first pretended. In a word, he wrote a most liberal, ingenious, and eloquent letter to his friend, Sir Hercules Langrishe, upon the reasonableness of the Roman Catholics' claim to the elective franchise; to the effects of which letter, perhaps, under God, that body of his countrymen owe the portion of liberty which they now enjoy. Thus fecured under the impenetrable Ægis of Mr. Burke's fanction, from any imputation of Gallic democracy in their efforts to procure their emancipation, they took the great work in hand, and proceeded in it with prudence, caution, and energy. They were graciously absolved from the necessity, in this inftance, of adopting the credence or following the example of their conductor and protector. They could not, like him, † really think they lived in a free country; nor confider the treasure of their liberty, rather as a possession to be secured, than as a prize to be contended for. The measures which in this contention they purfued, will make the subject of future pages, as they may occur in their respective time and order,

^{*} Reflections, p. 79.

⁺ Reflections, p. 79.

CHAPTER IV.

JULY, 1792.

CONTENTS.

Defeat of Tippoo Sultan and peace in India—France declared in danger, and arms—Notification of the King to all the Powers of Europe—Particularly folicitous for the friendship of Great Britain—Their Ambassador's note to Lord Grenville—Evasive answer of our Court—Convention of Pilnitz—Death of Leopold—Francis declared Emperor—The Courts of Vienna and Berlin engage in open war against France—The declarations of their reasons for war. Manifestocs of the Duke of Brunswick—The principles of the Confederates in engaging in the war—Meeting and resolutions of the Scots meeting on the reform of the Burghs—Addresses upon the proclamation—Flying camp at Bagshot.

IF an unufual continuance of peace—if an un-interrupted enjoyment of power—if the unlimitted confidence of the fovereign and the people—if the daily increasing commerce, the brilliant termination of a successful war—if the very distresses of our neighbours gave additional strength to the arm of Government, they redouble also the obligation of Ministers to secure to the Nation, if not an increase, at least the continuance of the blessings which naturally flow from them.

Advices were during this month received of the fignal victory gained by Lord Cornwallis over Tippoo Sultan, which produced overtures of peace from the latter: a ceffation of arms

between the two armies was fettled, and guaranteed by the transmission of the two sons of Tippoo to Lord Cornwallis as hostages. By the definitive treaty of peace, three crores and thirty lacks of Sicca rupees were agreed to be paid to the allies, and one half of the dominions which had been in the possession of Tippoo at the commencement of the war, were ceded to the allies adjacent to their respective boundaries and agreeably to their election. These concessions were insisted upon and accepted by Lord Cornwallis, as effectual to preserve the suture peace of India from being interrupted by Tippoo or any other power.

The political fituation of France, at this time, draws our attention to a vast variety of circumstances, that in their confequences involve the dearest interests of our own and every other kingdom of Europe. She was hitherto only engaged in war against the Emperor. But the principles, or rather politics, which have fince produced the general federacy against France, were from henceforth working a filent, though violent effect. The French forefaw the gathering storm, and adopted vigorous measures to resist it. The legislative body passed a set form of decrees, for arming the kingdom whenever it should be in danger, as they declared it then was. The King addressed a letter to the National Assembly, to exhort them to internal peace and harmony, as the fure means of repelling the hostile attacks of any foreign enemies. He fent a formal notification to all the powers of Europe, by which he disavowed and protested against all the acts which the French princes had done in his name, as to making loans of money, entering into negociations with foreign courts, and levying troops. He professed his attachment to the Constitution, which he had freely accepted, and sworn to defend; and affured them of his determination to make use of all the force put into his hands against the enemies of France, whatever pretexts might be employed to countenance the armed affemblics of the emigrants, or to support them in their hostile proceedings. The minister soon after announced to the Assembly the confederacy of Vienna and Berlin against their country, and that they would soon be attacked by an army of 150,000 men.

France had, on every occasion fince the commencement of its revolution up to this period, expressed the most anxious solicitude to preserve a good understanding with this country. Nor were there any terms so humiliating or harsh, to which she did not ever appear ready to submit, in order to ensure this grand and primary object. Nothing can be more emphatically expressive of these sentiments, than the note which M. de Ckauvelin presented upon this subject to Lord Grenville; in which, for preferving the tranquillity of Europe, which would never be interrupted if France and England united to maintain it, the King of the French urges his Britannic Majesty zealously to employ his good offices with his allies, to prevent them from granting to the enemies of France, directly or indirectly, any affistance. He complains of the measures taken by the court of Vienna to engage the Prussians in a quarrel foreign from their interests, and intimates that similar attempts were successfully made upon the republic of Holland. He further complains of the menaces employed to draw the different members of the Germanic body from that prudent neutrality, which their political fituation and their dearest interests prescribe to them; and of the engagements taken with the different fovereigns of Italy to determine them to commence hostilities against France: he laments the intrigues which have armed Russia against the Constitution of Poland, and which announces a great conspiracy against all free States, that threatens inevitably to plunge Europe into a general war. He invites him to employ in his wisdom, and in the plenitude of his influence, the means compatible with the independence of the French Nation, to stop, whilst yet it might be effected, the progress of this combination, which threatens equally the peace, the liberty, and the happiness of Europe, and particularly to prevent from acceding to this combination, those of his allies, whom the may wish to draw

into it, or even those, who may already have been engaged in it by fear, artifice, and the different pretexts of a policy equally false and detestable.

Whatever favourable disposition our court might have selt towards the general armed confederacy, which it may still have thought prudent to diffemble, a less fatisfactory answer could not have been given to M. de Chauvelin's note. The rawest novice in politics will perceive an obvious difference between the interference with the internal affairs of an independent state, and the intermediation of a third power to prevent or close a rupture between contending fovereigns. The former as evidently incroaches upon the rights and independence of other fovereigns, as the latter acknowledges and recognizes them. The only fatisfaction, however, attempted to be given to this official note, was, "That the fame fentiments which engaged " his Majesty not to interfere with the internal affairs of France, " equally tended to induce him to respect the rights and inde-" pendance of other fovereigns, and particularly those of his " allies." This evalive answer to the French ambassador sufficiently bespoke the approbation with which England viewed the measures of its allies against France.

It has not hitherto, and perhaps never will be certainly known to the Public, what the direct and full purport was of that convention which was holden at Pilnitz, in the course of the year 1790. I shall hazard no conjectures; but shall conclude, that where I see a vast federative combination of great powers against France, it must have been formed at the only meeting which has taken place between the leading members of that confederacy, who from that time have co-operated in no other public measures than those which they have pursued against France.

The late Emperor Leopold finished his short reign by almost a sudden death on the first of March. Grievous suspicions of French poison had also been entertained upon his death, at so very critical a moment; but an authentic narrative of his case

Pilnitz.

did away that impression. He was succeeded by his son Francis I. who was proclaimed Emperor at Frankfort, on the 5th of July. The first act of his reign was to declare his cordial accession to the treaty of Pilnitz; and from henceforth the courts of Vienna and Berlin joined in public hostilities against France.

The court of Vienna published a declaration or manifesto of the reasons which induced her to take up arms against France. The first of these regarded the nature of the protection afforded to the emigrants, which, through mifrepresentation, had given much umbrage to France. The next touched that spirit of anarchy and violence now reigning in France, of which it had become necessary for a concert of princes to check the progress, in order to oppose the introduction of it into their states. That it depended on those who reign at present over France to make this concert cease immediately, by respecting the tranquillity and rights of other powers, and to guarantee the effential basis of the French monarchical form of Government against the infringements of violence and anarchy. That France had fent an army of one hundred and thirty thousand men to the borders of the Austrian Netherlands, whilst Austria had not even ten thousand men to defend them. In a word, that whilft France was loudly complaining (without reason) of other powers for interfering in the consequences of their new Constitution, they were endeavouring to fubvert all Governments, by spreading all over Europe seduction and infurrection.

The King of Prussia also published an exposition of the reasons which had determined him to take up arms against France. His manifesto was more diffuse than that of Austria, and entered more particularly into the suppression and invasion of the rights and possessions of the German princes of Alface and Lorrain, and the violation of the treaties that united France to the German Empire. It particularly noticed the mischievous consequences of propagating antimonarchical principles: and that the unprovoked attack of his ally, the King of Hungary and Bohe-

mia, in his Belgic provinces, he looked upon as an invalion of the German Empire by French troops, and, consequently, as an unequivocal declaration of war by France against his ally, with whom he had entered into a defensive alliance.

These acts or manifestoes of the allied powers produced a confiderable fermentation at Paris. The country was publicly declared to be in danger: and the most vigorous measures were immediately adopted to recruit the army and strengthen the frontiers. A royal proclamation was published, which set forth in a very strong light the dangers to which the country was exposed. The consequence was a profusion of volunteers and recruits of all ages and qualities, pouring down upon the frontiers with the ardour of the most frantic enthusiasm.

It is well known that Coblentz was the general rendezvous of all the French emigrants. Here they had affembled, to the number of near twenty thousand; and the King of Prussia, on his arrival, was received as their faviour. The natural vivacity of the French disposition had already anticipated the reduction of their country to the unlimited power of their former monarch, and the whole ancient order of things. The reigning Duke of Brunfwick had the command of the combined armies, which were destined for the great enterprise of invading France. But before he began his march from Coblentz, in order that the whole world might fully know the views and spirit of his glorious misfion, he published a declaration or manifesto, in his own name; in which he first generally recapitulated the reasons which had induced the Emperor and the King of Prussia to combine their forces against France. "To these high interests," says he, " is " added another important object, and which both fovereigns " have most cordially in view, which is to put an end to that "anarchy, which prevails in the interior parts of France; to " put a stop to the attacks made on the throne and the altar; and " reftore to the king his legitimate power, &c." Then, as commander in chief of the two armies, he difavows any pretence to enrich themselves by conquest; and disclaims any intention to meddle with the internal Government of France. But in case of their making any resistance, when summoned to surrender, or when attacked; or of their not preventing conflagrations, murders, and pillage; or of their removing the King and Royal Family from Paris; or of their attempting to sorce or insult the palace of the Thuilleries; or of their offering the least violence or outrage to their Majesties or the Royal Family: then does he sulminate his maledictions upon the devoted land; he denounces instant death to the rebels taken in arms; decapitation and confiscation to the members of the departments, districts, and municipalities; military execution to the members of the national assembly, magistrates, and all the inhabitants of Paris; and total destruction to their guilty city.

Notwithstanding the raging sherceness of this thundering menace, the Duke of Brunswick was still haunted with the reproaching qualms of lenity; and before a symptom of the effects of his first manifesto could be perceived, in less than forty-eight hours he sends forth a second to confirm and heighten the terror of the first; declaring besides, "that is, contrary to all expectation, by "the persidy or baseness of some inhabitants of Paris, the King, "the Queen, or any other person of the Royal Family should be carried off from that city, all the places and towns whatsever, which shall not have opposed their passage, and shall not have flopped their proceedings, shall incur the same punishments as those inslicted on the inhabitants of Paris; and their route shall be marked with a series of exemplary punishments, justly due to the authors and abettors of crimes, for which there is no "remission."

However carefully the different parties to the convention of Pilnitz conceal from the eyes of curiofity and of interest, the origin, basis, and springs that set the vast sederative machine in motion, yet cannot the public be blind to the measures they have actually pursued, nor ought the faithful annalist to lose sight of the principles upon which they profess to have entered into the federacy, upon which they boast of having undertaken and con-

tinued a most destructive war, and upon which they have succeeded in engaging this country, as well as most other powers of Europe, in the fatal alliance. Truth and justice are never at variance. All parties disavow the right, and disclaim the intention of interfering with the internal Government of France; and in the same breath, they insist upon the abolition of that change in their internal Government which the nation had called for, and which the King himfelf had accepted and confirmed by oath. They dictate the mode and place in which these alterations are to be brought about, or new arrangements formed in the internal Government of the kingdom. They threaten to enforce the execution of their interfering mandates, not by the flow, cold, and inefficient process of criminal law; but by the bold energy of military justice, by the summary and indiscriminate destruction of whole bodies, municipalities, towns, cities, departments, and provinces. No difavowal of thefe principles has as yet been attempted by the confederated powers. Their inability indeed to reduce them to practice has been fufficiently manifested. They boast of their meritorious aid in affifting to check the confusion and suppress the anarchy of France. Thus did certain confederated powers force their officious fervices upon Poland in 1773, to heal the fufferings of that diffracted kingdom; and they generously rewarded their own meritorious interference, by the difmemberment and partition of a great part of that unfortunate empire.

Great Britain had not hitherto appeared upon the stage in this eventful tragedy: her part, intended to be the principal and most interesting of the piece, was cautiously reserved for the latter scenes of the catastrophe: yet had the prompter (perhaps imprudently and prematurely) divulged too much of the spirit and intrigue of the plot: * "If ever a foreign prince enters into France, "he must enter it as into a country of assassing. The mode of civilized war will not be practifed; nor are the French, who ast

^{*} Burke's Letter to a Member of the National Affembly, p. 45.

"upon the present system, entitled to expect it. All war which is not battle, will be military execution. This will beget acts of retaliation from you; and every retaliation will beget a new revenge. The hell-hounds of war on all sides will be uncoupled
and unmuzzled." When a criminal is forewarned that there can
be no remission for his crime, his remaining liberty will of
course be used to ward of the threatened execution: he will be
less delicate in the suture means of self-preservation, than he had
been in contracting the original guilt. What loss of blood have
not these state menaces occasioned in France!

Notwithstanding the Burgesses of the royal Scotch Burghs had failed in their late attempts to bring their grievances under the confideration of the legislature, they could not thus abandon a cause which they knew to be founded in truth, and therefore entitled to justice. The steady perseverance of conscious rectitude is powerfully operative. The delegates from the different Burghs had met and deliberated for feveral days upon the subject at Edinburgh. The cool and conflitutional spirit with which they proceeded in this grand work, was a most exemplary pattern for all perfons labouring under grievances from which they think themselves entitled to be freed. On the 26th of the month, they thought proper to publish to the world at large the real views and motives for their undertaking to bring about this necessary reform in the Burghs: they were justly indignant at being represented (as the fashion had become) as turbulent and feditious men, aiming at the subversion of Government and the destruction of the Constitution. "The Convention," they fay, " of Burgesses, met for the purpose of obtaining from the wis-"dom and justice of the British legislature a reform in the in-"ternal government of the royal Burghs of Scotland, think " it their duty at this time to declare, that they entertain the " most loyal attachment to our most gracious Sovereign and il-" lustrious family, the deepest sense of the superior excellence of " the British Constitution, to maintain for ever the principles " on which it is established, and to defend it from every inno"vation by which it may in the flightest degree be hurt or injured."

In this and the preceding months, addresses upon the late proclamation were poured in from most counties, boroughs, and towns in the kingdom. For a great part of this month, a flying camp of about five thousand men was kept up on and about Bagshot-Heath. The Duke of Richmond, who had projected the scheme, was the commander in chief, and signalized himself in this army by his attention to the discipline of the men, and the feverity of the fervice which he made them undergo during this fhort campaign. It had been originally intended to have formed this camp before the 14th of the month, which was the anniversary of the French federation; but whether it were apprehended, that fuch an appearance would argue an expectancy, and therefore infure fome riot or diffurbance on that day, or that the necessary camp equipage and other preparations were fill incomplete, the day passed over without a shadow of turbulence throughout the nation. The expenses of this encampment to the nation exceeded one hundred thousand pounds. The Cabinet must have known how near we were to an actual war, and were confequently impressed with a due sense of the advantages of manœuvring five thousand men for a fortnight to prepare them for actual fervice, and to inure them to the hardships of a continental campaign, by anticipating some of the more common wants of water and other necessaries on the arid heath of Bagshot.

CHAPTER V.

AUGUST, 1792.

CONTENTS.

Case of Poland—Effects of Brunswick's manifesto in Paris—The King's letter to the Assembly—Proposals for deposing the King—The Elector of Cologne and Duke of Wirtemburgh join the confederacy—Pacific declarations of Great Britain—The impolicy of the combined Princes in proclaiming Louis insincere in accepting the Constitution—La Fayette denounced and acquitted—facobins move the question of the King's deposition—The horrors of the 10th of August—The King confined in the Temple—Lord Gower, the Ambassador, leaves Paris—Proposal for a National Convention—Fresh declarations of the belligerent Powers—March of the Prussians into France—Capture of Longwy—Surrender of Verdun—Mr. Pitt made Lord Warden of the Cinque Ports—Le Brun the French Minister states the dissositions of all the European powers towards France—Accession of the Landgrave of Hesse Cassel to the federacy.

WHATEVER may have been the particular stipulations of the different contracting parties in the convention of Pilnitz, it is certain that the basis of their agreement must have been some general and sundamental principle, in which they all concurred. The King of Prussia is openly acknowledged to have been a principal party in that sederacy: the Czarina was also known to have acceded to it, though she had as yet taken no public measure which unequivocally bespoke her engagement. It is a fair deduction, then, that a principle of action in which both

these powers have openly joined, so far from militating against the spirit of that convention, actually made an essential part of that formidable and hitherto mysterious concert of Princes. For the credit of the cause and the honour of the reigning sovereigns of Europe, let it be prefumed, that no principles against the increase or stability of civil freedom formed the basis of that confederacy. Yet the lamentable case of the virtuous Polanders overpowers the mind with awful hesitation. The subscription which was fet on foot about this time in England for the support of the Polish Revolution, justifies my introducing this subject; though this country has as yet taken no public step either to prevent or ensure their subjugation to a foreign yoke. The liberality of Britons towards their distant brethren, struggling in this glorious cause of civil freedom, bespeaks a zeal for liberty, which ought to be cherished and encouraged, as the very mucleus of the British Constitution. The views of the Conventioners at Pilnitz probably extended to all Europe, though they have hitherto been mysteriously kept behind the curtain. The actual destruction of the Polish Constitution, and the powerful efforts to crush that of France, too strongly bespeak an intention or a wish to weaken and destroy every Constitution which might enjoy a larger portion of freedom, than these federated sovereigns were disposed to measure out to the multitude.

Even the counter-revolutionary Mr. Burke has done justice to the glorious event of the Polish Revolution. * "Here was " a state of things, which seemed to invite and might perhaps " justify bold enterprises and desperate experiment. But in what " manner was this chaos brought into order? The means were as striking to the imagination, as satisfactory to the reason and " foothing to the moral sentiments. In contemplating that " change, humanity has every thing to rejoice, and to glory in; " nothing to be assumed of, nothing to suffer; so far as it is gone, it probably is the most pure and desected public good,

"which ever has been conferred on mankind." Scarcely had Poland and its patriotic fovereign begun to tafte the bleffings of their happier regeneration, when the imperious Catharine, without a shadow of pretence (unless from the approximation of ii. berty, which she never meant to admit into her empire), invades the republic with an army of fixty thousand men, and threatens to back them in case of resistance with an additional force of ninety thousand. The Polanders, not conceiving that the internal regulation of their Government could afford any pretence to a foreign power to make war upon them, and having no holtile defigns upon any of their neighbours, had totally negloated even to think of warlike preparations, Encouraged, however, by their truly heroic prince, they made a most resolute stand against these despotic invaders, and, under a great inferiority of numbers and discipline, gained some very signal and brilliant advantages. The King, however, finding the contast to be so very unequal, was unwilling to oppress his beloved subjects for resources, which now began to fail him. That same benevolent disposition which had prompted him to co-operate in the Revolution, now urged him to spare the fruitless effusion of his fubjects' blood; he fummoned a council of all the Deputies that were then at Warfaw, and communicated to them the last dispatches from the Empress, which peremptorily insisted upon absolute and unqualified submission. He particularly grounded the necessity of their submitting upon the unprovoked but irrefiftible union of Austria and Prussia with Russia, to subdue, if not to difmember, and divide their kingdom. From henceforth that unfortunate country was degraded again into her former flavery, and may thenceforth be looked upon as a province to the Russian Empire.

Since Great Britain is now in alliance with Pruffia, it will be highly proper to remark, that the latter had also entered into a defensive alliance with Poland so lately, as on the 23d of April 1790: by which it had been expressly stipulated, "That the contracting parties should do all in their power to guaran-

tee and preserve to each other reciprocally the whole of the " cerritories which each other then possessed. That in case of "menace or invasion from any foreign power, they should affish " each other with their whole force, if necessary. That if any " foreign power whatever should presume to interfere in the " internal affairs of Poland, his Pruffian Majesty should consi-" der this as a case falling within the meaning of the alliance " and should affift the republic according to the tenor of the " foregoing article, that is, with his whole force." * It will be be readily supposed, that Poland, upon the first intimation of hostilities, claimed from the court of Berlin the performance of a treaty to recently entered into, with the express view of upholding the Constitution, which they were then framing. But his Prusian Majesty, in answer to their application, told them that the treaty was dated previous to the new Constitution, which had established a new order of things, and that therefore he held himself at Gived from his engagement. It interests us also to know, that his Prushan Majesty had been confidentially consulted about the formation of the new Conflitution of Poland, and that he had actually suggested and recommended some of the obnoxious acts, which the Empress complained of, in her declaration against the Poles; and that when the new Constitution of Poland was proposed to his Majesty he gave not the flightest intimation, that the new order of things would diffolve his alliance: fo far from it, that on the 17th of May, 1791, Mr. Goltz, the chargé des affaires from Berlin, was commissioned formerly to announce to his Polish Majesty, the King of Prussa's entire approbation of the n.w arrangement in Poland. In the federative spirit of this age

^{*} Those who justify our present war against Prance by the respect we owe to treaties, will do well to examine, what we are bound by treaties to do for Poland and Dantzie, particularly as to the protecting and preserving them whole and entire, &c. Vide Treaty of Cliva, and those of 1436, 1474, 1631, 1655, 1659, 1707, 1725, &c.

of open and secret alliances, the aptitude of the contracting parties to perform their engagements is not the last nor the least object of diplomatic attention.

In tracing the facts and events which naturally arrest the obfervation of an historian, it becomes frequently necessary to recal
the attention of his reader to the spirit and principles from which
they proceed. In the beginning of this month, Europe beheld
more than three hundred thousand men in the field, with the
avowed view of supporting or subverting the Revolution of
France. It must be remembered at the same time, that the Government of France was sounded upon a limited monarchy;
that this situation of France had given rise to the Convention of
Pilnitz, had excited the philippics of Mr. Burke, and the indignation and execration of this country against that system, armed
Prussia and the Empire, and cemented many private confederacies
amidst subordinate powers, which the uncertainty of the grand
issue still keeps locked up in prudential secrecy.

No fooner was the manifesto of the Duke of Brunswick received in Paris, than immediately the King wrote a letter to the National Affembly, expressive of his surprise and contempt of the performance, of his general love of peace, his fincere attachment to the Constitution, and his determined resolution to oppose the hostile efforts of the combined powers. The mischievous effects of this manifesto upon France are without measure or calculation. The King's letter was read in the Assembly, and a motion for fend ing it to the eighty-three departments was rejected. It was urged by Isnard and Thuriot to be be a mass of salfehood and infincerity, and that, in the present moment of alarm and danger, his known duplicity ought not to be trusted. At this moment were admitted to the bar of the Assembly the Envoys from the Commonalty of Paris, with Petion at their head, who demanded, in the name of the forty-eight fections, that the King should be excluded from the throne, and that the management of affairs during the interregnum should be entrusted to responsible ministers, until a new King should be elected by a National Convention. He

recapitulated every circumstance, from the beginning of the Revolution, that could render the King odious and suspected by
the Nation: that he too had taken a part against them in the
Convention of Pilnitz, and was indefatigable in his attempts to
bring about a counter-revolution. His speech, which was in
writing, was delivered in to the President; but the Assembly
came to no resolution upon it. In the evening of the same day,
the King sent to acquaint the Assembly, that the Elector of Cologne and the Duke of Wirtemburgh had joined the armed
confederacy.

On the ensuing day (August 4th) the marine committee demanded, that thirty-three fail of the line should be put into commission, in consequence of the reports of a British armament. But the Affembly rejected the application, thinking it unwife to provoke Great Britain to hostilities, after she had so recently renewed her affurances of neutrality to their ambaffador. A fleet at fea and a camp, in time of peace, are not very usual fights in England: however, the Cabinet of Saint James spoke peace; and the ruling power in France gave ready credit to what it earneftly wished. The Jacobins had now acquired a decided ascendancy over the mob; and they were resolved to profit of the existing circumstances, to crush all the constituted powers. This may with propriety be called the opening scene of that dreadful tragedy of blood and horiors, the final catastrophe of which we still look up to with shuddering dread. Without flying out with Mr. Burke into enthusiastic exec ations of the new Constitution of France, it must be allowed, that the small portion of power allotted by it to the supreme executive power, was but ill calculated to enfure permanency to fo vast a machine. The wish and defign of ruining the benevolent and ill-fated Louis XVI. could but proceed from the malice of the murderous Jacobins ; but a prime intent of this hiftory is to examine how far the conduct of the concerted princes may have provoked, forwarded, multiplied, and prolonged the barbarous enormities of those cruel men. Infulting to humanity would be the feeling for the fufferers

of the present calamities, which was not active in bringing about a speedy and lasting end to their miseries.

All the measures of the Jacobin party in France have been planned with the most artful precautions, as they have been executed with the most ferocious resoluteness. Whatever may have been the principles or the plans of the princes fettled at Pilnitz, we are now to look for their effects in the open and concerted measures of the leading parties of that convention. The unfortunate Louis had been unaccountably (if not basely) abandoned by the bulk of the French nobility, who had embodied and embarked openly with the combined powers against France. Whatever remained of respectability in the nation, if they did not, like the emigrant nobility, wish for the complete restitution of the ancient despotism, yet they were more fanguine to give permanency to a Constitution, which should ensure them a limited and hereditary monarchy in the person of their beloved sovereign. These latter were more formidable to the Jacobins than the former. Both the Emigrants and Constitutionalists, though they cordially despised and execrated each other, yet agreed in the common wish to preserve the dignity and safety of their sovereign, whom the Jacobins were determined to ruin. They profited of the fatal errors and folly of the combined powers, who, by their proclamations, had unequivocally afferted, that the King was not sincere in accepting the Constitution. The truth or falfity of the affertion was of little import. It sufficed for the Jacobins to hold him out to the nation as combining with foreign powers to reduce France by force of arms either to a strange yoke, or to worfe than their ancient flavery. Whatever party in France might have still wished, with the emigrant nobility, for the re-establishment of the ancient unqualified power of the Crown, could not avow themselves abettors of the immediate cause of the enemies who were marching into the kingdom in open war. All those who had sworn to support the Constitution, were by their oath committed to defend it, against those who by an open armed confederacy were attempting to destroy it. Thus, by this ill-judged and fatal declaration, the real cause of royalty in France was irretrievably deprived of the possibility of any open or efficient support. If the affertion were true, it could but add comfort, not encouragement to a party awed and terrified into absolute inactivity: if it were false, it could but animate the real and respectable friends of the unfortunate monarch, to exert their efforts against the avowed and armed enemies of his limited powers, who countenanced and supported the abettors of his ancient unqualified authority. By the direct spirit of this armed consederacy was the ill-sated Louis deprived of any possible support either from the devotees to the old aristocracy, or the promoters of a temperate system of liberty. Thus the triumphant Jacobins sound no force bold enough to withstand them, no influence sufficiently powerful to suppress them.

The fystem was now brought to its crisis. The general La Fayette, who, from a real love of liberty, and not from any defign or even apprehension of mischief to his country, had risqued his life and facrificed his fortune in the cause of the Revolution, became suspected of incivism; in other words, was known to difrelish the violent and destructive plans of the Jacobins. He was accordingly denounced to the Affembly, but upon feveral divisions was honourably acquitted. The acquittal of this enemy of the Jacobins fermented the disappointed party into a paroxysm of despair. They unsheathed the sword, threw away the scabbard, and determined to try their force upon the desperate and decifive question of the King's deposition. No attempts of bribery, feduction, or intimidation were left untried. The falling monarch, on the 7th of the month, made his last proclamation to his subjects, which breathes the purest sentiments of a patriot King. It appeared to produce no other effect than to afford the Jacobins a fresh opportunity of holding him out to the multitude, as coalescing with the armed confederacy against the liberties of the nation.

The grand and fatal question of deposition or forfeiture stood for the 9th of the month: but the agitation of the public mind

in Paris was too great to permit the question to be fairly difcuffed in the Assembly. The purport of this history will not allow me to detail the awful and terrific events of the 10th of August, when the Thuilleries were assailed by the ferocious and armed mobs of the federates of Marseilles, and desperadoes of Paris; when the King was inveigled to quit his palace, and feek an afylum for himself and his family in the perfidious arms of the Assembly; when he withdrew in his person from his most faithful supporters, the animating object of loyalty, and the fanctioning folace of desperate desence; when the faithful friends and body guards of this unfortunate monarch were facrificed in defending the deferted station of their affrighted or confused fovereign; when the ferocity of a barbarous mob had been fatitiated with the bloody infults upon the mangled corpfes of their fellow-citizens; when the declining glories of the French monarchy set beneath the portentous horizon of confusion, slaughter, and destruction.

The confusion consequent upon the horrors of the 10th of August, continued for some time, and many atrocities were daily committed upon individuals. The National Assembly declared the King suspended, and that both he and his whole family should remain as hostages to the nation: they were accordingly committed under a strong guard to the Temple, from whence there was no possibility of escape. Upon the deposition of the King, the British Ambassador the Earl of Gower left Paris, having made as public a declaration, as circumstances would permit, of friendship and neutrality on the part of his Court.

In order to render this change in the internal Government of France palatable and permanent, it was thought expedient to invite the French Nation to form a National Convention: accordingly the conditions for its formation were fettled and published: and soon after a very minute and elaborate declaration (prepared by Condorcet) was circulated through all the departments, as an exposition of the motives upon which the French National

Affembly had proclaimed the convocation of a National Convention, and pronounced the suspension of the executive power in the hands of the King.

All the belligerent powers prefuming or pretending that they were carrying on a war of principle, wearied the public with declarations and manifestos, that generally set forth a partial detail or aggravated account of the measures and proceedings of the adverse parties, and feldom failed to expose their own cause by some gross inconsistency or notorious falsity. Thus, a fresh manifesto of the Emperor and King of Prussia concludes, by their foleinn declaration to Europe, that in the just war they had undertaken, they expressly renounce all personal views of aggrandizement; and to France, that they meant not to interfere with its internal administration; but that they were resolved to re-establish order and give protection to those who should submit to the King, (who was not deposed at the time this was written); to punish, in a ffriking manner, all resistance to their arms; to deliver up Paris to the most terrible justice, if any attempt of violence should be offered to any of the Royal Family; and to secure an establishment for the King and his family, and brothers, in some frontier town of his kingdom, from whence he might exercise his ancient right and powers, and effectually render the kingdom again submissive to his supreme authority.

The declaration of the Princes, which appeared within a few days after this manifesto, was an inflated philippic and oftentatious menace, little calculated, alas! too sooth the irritation of their incensed countrymen. In order to gain credit, and secure their considence, they assure them, that they had left the kingdom, not so much from a desire for their own personal safety as that of the King. The emigration from our country, was to make ourselves the safeguard of his Majesty. They recapitulate and confirm the manifesto of the Emperor, and King of Prussia, and of their hero the great Duke of Brunswick. They introduce upon the scene new parties to the consederacy, that hitherto

were not publicly known to have acceded to it; "the Kings "of the House of Bourbon, our august cousins: our much "honoured father-in-law, the Nestor of Sovereigns: the He"roine of the North, our sublime protectress: and the young "heir of the unfortunate Gustavus." They re-echo the protestation of all these confederated Sovereigns against interfering with the internal government of the kingdom, and declare that, under their auspices, their only object is to restore the ancient (unlimited) Monarchy, the ancient laws, manners, &c.

All the plans for the campaign having been fettled, the Pruffian troops began their march from Triers, on the 11th of the month; and, by the end of it, Longwy, a finall, but well fortified town, had furrendered to General Clairfait, who commanded an army of about fixteen thousand men; it was bombarded for about fifteen hours. Some accounts state, that the garrison confisted of one thousand five hundred; others of three thousand five hundred men. Verdun was also summoned, and surrendered to the Duke of Brunswick, without resistance. The Governor of Longwy was accused of having delivered up the town through treachery. The Governor of Verdun was compelled to do it by the inhabitants: but he would not survive the disgrace, and shot himself with a pistol.

The administration of Mr. Pitt has ever been remarked for the frequent occasions which he had enjoyed of extending his patronage to his friends. Such of them, in particular, who, by his bounty, were removed from the precarious state of expectancy, became urgent with their disinterested patron to secure, at least, some provision of independence for himself, against the evil day of change or retribution. With much difficulty was he prevailed upon to break through his maiden vows of disinterestedness, and accept of the appointment of the Lord Warden of the Cinque Ports, lately vacant by the death of the Earl of Guildford.

Towards of the close of this month, M. le Brun, the French minister for foreign affairs, in order to tranquillize the agitated minds of that nation, thought it advisable to lay before them their real situation, with respect to foreign powers. He stated, that Sweden was unequivocal in declaring its neutrality, and marked intention not to enter into the general league of powers against France: that Russia had been able to do no more than threaten, though fhe did not attempt to difguise her hostile intention: that Spain, Naples, and Sardinia were in the like fituation: that the generality of the Germanic Body showed a firm reluctance to take arms against France: and that Holland and England never ceased to promise the most persect neutrality. Such were the avowed views and open professions of these different powers: it was, however, remarked, that about this very time the Landgrave of Hesse acceded to the confederacy, and headed a body of feven thousand and ten Hessians, with a large train of artillery, to Treves. It was not credited that the Landgrave, even on this occasion, went out of his accustomed line of mercenary fervice: but it was generally believed, that this body of troops was paid for, by some of the powers of Eutope, whose adverse wishes and hostile intentions towards France were kept concealed behind their public protestations of neutrality and forbearance.

In this general convulsion of the principal powers of Europe, the British Cabinet was in reality, or affected to be, under as little alarm or concern as if the affairs on the Continent could not even remotely affect the sate of the British Empire. The several members of administration were dispersed over the country, as widely as their different pleasures and pursuits could separate them. Mr. Pitt, however, as Lord Warden of the Cinque Ports, remained chiefly at his newly acquired castle of Walmer on the Kentish coast; where the couriers from the Continent had occasionally orders to call in their route to town.

[95]

CHAPTER IV.

SEPTEMBER, 1792.

CONTENTS.

Effects of the Duke of Brur swick's manifesto, at Paris—Precautions taken by the Jacobins, before the massacres of September—
Massacre of some thousands at Paris—Thionville besieged—Infamous treatment of the French emigrants—Proscription of the French clergy—Their reception and humane support in England—The reasons of their exile—National Convention established—Doctor Priestley and Mr. Paine chosen members—Paine examined at Dover, by the custom-house officers—Inaction and distresses of the Prussian army—The Duke of Brunswick proposes an armistice—Conferences with Dumourier—Sardinia invaded—Chamberry and Nice taken—Government of Ireland—Roman Catholics' petition rejected by Parliament—Their delegation and congress—Opposed by the Grand Juries, &c.—This an attempt to overawe the Sovereign and Legislature.

THE furrender of Verdun to the fummons of the Duke of Brunswick, seems to have completed the delirium of rage which his thundering manisesto had given rise to. Paris became a scene of alarm and agitation: the ruling demagogues seized the moment of terror, to hurl destruction upon their domestic enemies; and artfully converted the threats of the consederated powers into the ready ministers of their own bloody vengeance. The stall prediction was recalled to their minds, "* that if ever a foreign prince enter into France, he must enter it as into a country

of affassins. The mode of civilized war will not be practifed; nor are the French who ast on the present system entitled to expest it." The confirmation of it was enforced upon them from the Prince's manifestos, and its verification detailed in the most exaggerated accounts of Prussian massacres, barbarities, and cruelties. Duke of Brunswick was represented as having passed Chalons: the less firm were intimidated with the idea of his immediate arrival at Paris, to execute his threatened vengeance upon that devoted city. The general difmay, terror, and confusion of the capital, supplied the sanguinary Jacobins with easy means of glutting themselves in the blood of all those whose rectitude or virtue stood in the way of their tyrannous and licentious designs. The community of Paris decreed the gates of the city to be shut; fummoned all citizens to arms; then, under pretence of fearching for arms and ammunition, they instituted domiciliary visits, which afforded an opportunity, in the dead of the night, of forcing away from their beds, to prifons, those persons whose known virtues might fecure them respect and influence upon the public: and, horrid to relate! in the course of three days, they murdered, without accufation or defence, in cold blood, some thousands; amongst whom were several of the most learned, respectable, and virtuous subjects, particularly of the clergy, of that once flourishing kingdom of France! Some few, who had been confined to prison on the 10th of August, were also the unhappy victims of this general execution; amongst these was the Princess Lamballe, whose head was carried upon a pike to the Temple, to terrify and shock the royal prisoners. If any circumstance can add horror to deeds of such atrocity, it was the cool, premeditated, and infulting manner, in which they were perpetrated. The murderers impanelled a mock jury of twelve of their accomplices, before whom the wretched victims were called out in order, and underwent a fort of fummary examination for some seconds: the verdict or judgment generally pronounced was, He must be set at liberty; which was the word of command to usher the unfortunate prisoner into the outward apartment, where he was immediately affaffinated by a band of ruffians, who were paid for their deeds of blood at the rate of twelve livres per head. In order to check inquiry, and prevent detection, the mangled corpfes were immediately stripped of their clothes, their pockets rifled, and were then regularly carried off in carts, which had been previously engaged for this service. The savage regularity in the execution, bespeaks a preconcerted system in this bloody tragedy, from persons above the immediate perpetrators of the foul deeds. There appears not a doubt, but that the horrors of the 10th of August, and the atrocities of the 2d, 3d, and 4th of September proceeded from the same source. In reflecting with indignation and horror upon these more than savage barbarities, we cannot form a conjecture where so many hired affaffins should be found to perpetrate the atrocious deeds, at which a common executioner would have fickened and recoiled; we are lost in astonishment, that 400,000 nerveless Parisians, petrified with sear and terror, should have permitted these murders to have been committed, without interruption, for three days successively. But we can never fufficiently lament, that the merciless Jacobins, who gloried in wading to their tyrannous ends through the best blood of their fellow-citizens, should have been supplied by the confederates with the only fure means of executing their fanguinary project. They magnified their dangers from the external enemy; they totally subdued the cowed spirits of the effeminate Parisians, by convincing them that the Duke of Brunswick was on the point of realizing his vindictive menaces of demolishing their city, of delivering up all its inhabitants to military execution, and of oppressing the piteous relicts of his faturated vengeance with a worse than their ancient despotism. In weak and depraved minds, even the diffant view of personal danger eafily works off the fympathetic horror of feeing others fuffer. Thus artfully did these determined Jacobins impose upon the affrighted multitude, a folicitude only to ward off the menaced carnage and devastration of their confederate enemies. Mr. Burke has wifely faid, that there is a boundary to men's passions when they ast from feeling; none when they are under the influence of imagination.

It is foreign from the purpose of this History to follow the motions and fuccesses of the combined armies, to which, as yet, Great Britain had not openly acceded. But its prime end requires me to trace, in the actions of the united fovereigns, those principles of their confederacy, which they still conceal behind the veil they had drawn over them at Pilnitz; but which must influence their conduct in the prosecution of the war, as well as the conduct of all other powers that have fince become parties to the grand alliance. Dumourier, who commanded the French army, with very inferior force, contrived to prevent the progress of the enemy, and to defeat every view that had brought the combined armies into the field. The small town of Thionville was befieged, in vain, for feveral weeks, by the Prince Hohenloe and the chief body of the French emigrants: at this fiege did these unfortunate men first find reason to lament the cause they had embarked in. Every circumstance of the war called upon their fervices before all others; and it would be injuffice to them not to allow, that the checking of their ardour was one of the greatest humiliations they were reduced to submit to. They offered to reduce the town by themselves, if the commander in chief would fupply them with proper ordnance for the fiege. This was refused, and during the whole of the campaign they were constantly kept in the back ground in the most inglorious and mortifying inaction. They received no pay during their fervice; were difbanded at the close of the difgraceful campaign; were pillaged by the Pruffian foldiery, though a part of the King of Pruffia's army, and were most inhumanly excluded from the cartel for the exchange of prifoners. Having no mercy to expect from their countrymen, they had the Aronger claim upon the protection of the fovereign under whose banner they were fighting. Some few, who had been furprifed by the enemy, were taken and actually executed like common malefactors. This treatment of the French emigrants was little calculated to give transparency to the veil of the mysterious Convention of Pilnitz: it bespeaks something more deep and dark in the consederacy, than the public has as yet perceived or perhaps suspected.

The execution of the decree for banishing all the nonjuring clergymen to Guiana, who should not have quitted the kingdom in fourteen days from the passing of that decree, poured thousands of these venerable exiles from Normandy, Picardy, and Britanny, upon our coasts of Kent and Sussex. Misery and diftrefs give the fufferers a full claim to the humanity of Englishmen. Such is the amiable and just tribute all pay to our countrymen. The tenderness and benevolence with which these diffressed exiles were received upon their landing, impressed them with unexpected ideas of British sympathy: every where they were welcomed, cheered, comforted, and relieved. old rivality of the two Nations were forgotten, and our difference from that very religion, for which they were perfecuted, was fwallowed up in the general philanthrophy for our fuffering Never was an opportunity of exercifing heroic charity more eagerly embraced; never was benevolence conferred with more glowing fenfibility, nor received with more dignified gratitude. In the present melancholy variety of wretchedness, with which, it feems, Heaven visits most regions of the civilized world, it will not, furely, be imputed to the prefumption of this country, that they look up with confidence to an all-merciful Being to deal out his vengeance more sparingly upon the land that has been the afylum of fuch venerable victims of prefecution. The public and private donations, upon which thousands of these respectable exiles have subsisted in this country, since their proscription from France, sufficiently bespeak the interest which the Nation takes in their fufferings. Though the naked plea of wretchedness be a precept to British philanthrophy, it is but justice to this persecuted clergy, to apprize their benefactors of the motives and necessity of their exile.

The test proposed to them was an oath to submit to the civil constitution of the Clergy. The nature and tendency of this oath has been grofsly misconceived, and maliciously misrepresented, by many; to the great prejudice of those who have refused to fubscribe to it. The philosophizing party in France, who grounded their fuccess in abolishing every idea of Christian revelation upon the previous destruction of all the respectable clergy, were too refined to unmask their designs till the people were prepared for fo daring an attempt. They knew that religion could not long survive the destruction of Church Government; and therefore, under the fanctimonious pretence of reducing it to its ancient form, they artfully transferred to the civil power the whole pure spiritual jurisdiction, which the Christian Church has uniformly, through all ages, maintained to be holden immediately of Christ, and to be transcendent to, and independent of all temporal authority. This felf-created lay power assumed the right of deposing, displacing, and suspending from all spiritual powers and faculties both the Bishops and inferior clergy; of curtailing and enlarging the limits of their spiritual jurisdiction; of abolishing the old and creating new bishopricks and parishes; of conferring, by their election, the power and right of exercifing the ministry of the Gospel; of superseding the authority of the Holy Councils, and annulling the primacy of jurifdiction, which, as Roman Catholics, they admitted in the Bishop of Rome. To subscribe, then, to the oath of submission to this civil constitution of the Clergy, was, in effect, to deny the divine establisament of a church upon earth; it was to renounce the spiritual hierarchy by which it has been and ever will be maintained; it was to allow that the spiritual power and jurisdiction, which they had hitherto exercifed over their flocks, were usurped or invalid; it was to admit, that a felf-constituted lay tribunal could annihilate those powers which it had not given, and abfolve the flocks from their obedience to their lawful pastors; it was to subject the divine mission and ministry of the Gospel to all the changes and fluctuations of temporal governments; it

was to raife the intrigues, passions, and artifices of popular demagogues and tyrants, above the authority of œcumenical councils of the church; it was to substitute a profane and im_ pious prostitution of their facred characters to lay usurpers, in lieu of that submission to the supreme Bishop of Rome, by and through which (in the Roman Catholic tenets) they hold communion with the universal Church of Christ upon earth. Such is the oath, for the reculancy of which the nonjuring clergy of France have been perfecuted, as refractory and rebellious; for which hundreds have been already martyred in that kingdom, and for which thousands in this and many other countries of Europe have emulated the constancy of the primitive Christians, in giving luftre and dignity to the fufferings they undergo for their faith. It will be well for the modern liberal deriders of fanaticism, and scoffers at priestcraft, to review impartially the horrid impieties, the blasphemous atrocities with which the profane miscreants of France, fince the expulsion of their confcientious clergy, feem to have braved the vengeance of the Almighty. The crimes and offences of the abandoned flocks proclaim the glorious eulogies of their perfecuted pastors.

In vain is this respectable clergy calumniated by their enemies, for having resisted the civil power, and lawful constituted authorities of the State. It is notorious, that they had peaceably submitted to a reduction of their livings, little short of annihilation; that they offered their unequivocal submission to every change or alteration which the authorities for the time being should choose to make in the civil establishment of their religion, either by the abolition or subtraction of tithes and other temporal possessions, by the repeal or annulling of their temporal dignities and civil immunities or otherwise, provided they would leave untouched and inviolate that sacred deposit of faith, of which, with their spiritual jurisdiction they had received the guardianship and trust, which they could only surrender into the hands from which they had received them; and which they

could not of themselves transfer nor abandon but with their lives.

On the 21st of this month the National Convention was formally declared to be conflituted, and the fecond National Assembly was of course dissolved. "Thus ended," fays Brissot, " after a year's existence, that stormy legislature under which "the public spirit made such a rapid progress, and the French-" nation marched with giant strides towards a republic." And thenceforth commences, what the French, in boafted confidence, term the reign of liberty and equality: what their enemies, in derifion and hatred, call that of anarchy and tyranny; what the unbiassed observer, in fear and trembling, beholds as the refiftless force of a mighty empire, vitiated to the heart, by the early infection of modern philosophy; provoked to the most cruel outrages of human nature, by the vindictive menaces of its impotent opposers; and forced into strength and permanency, by the perfidious declarations, the ill-judged plans, and worse-directed operations of their open enemies. It was the boast of the French, to have collected from the whole universe, into the National Convention, whatever talent and spirit could be found to enlighten the intellects, invigorate the freedom, and enfure the welfare of mankind. From this country they felected the Rev. Dr. Priestley and Mr. Thomas Paine. The former very prudently declined; the latter very eagerly accepted of the no-If Mr. Paine had been thought guilty of feditious or treasonable practices against the State, and if Government had been desirous of checking the progress of the evils which they fo loudly complained of in their late proclamation, by the exemplary punishment of the avowed fomenter of the mischief, he certainly might eafily have been prevented from quitting the king-His election for the department of Calais was well known in England; for the custom-house officers, immediately upon Messirs. Paine's and Frost's arriving at the inn at Dover, in consequence of an information against them, examined their bage gage for prohibited articles. This ceremony was performed by

the collectors in a manner totally unknown before in this country. They examined all their papers, fealed and unfealed; and upon their remonstrating with them upon the illegality of custom-house officers' seizing private papers, which were not things under their cognizance, they replied, that they were authorized to do it by the late proclamation. Pity it is, that the impotent resentment of government should be shown against such a man, in the illegal and unconstitutional acts of their officers, when the laws and constitution fully enabled them to punish the guilt of sedition or treason (if it existed), in the most awful and exemplary manner.

Whatever perfidious policy, or weak judgment, or felf-diffidence, may have induced the Duke of Brunswick to decline giving battle to the enemy, no ingenuity can justify his leading an army of feventy thousand men into the most deserted part of the enemy's kingdom, without fecuring for them a proper supply of provisions, and a ready and safe retreat. Two months had not expired fince this thundering menacer had by his manifestos announced on his arrival, death and destruction to all that should not fubmit to his fummons. But now, alas! his army had but advanced a few leagues into the enemy's territory to its own destruction; it had drawn upon itself their contempt for its inaction, and their deteftation from its rapaciousness, plunder, and pillage: it had been four days without bread, the want of which, drove the starved foldiers to the unwholesome food of unripe grapes. The wetness of the seasons, superadded to all other causes, produced in the Combined Army a general sickness, that is faid to have proved fatal to every third man, particularly of the Prussians and Hessians, who ever signalized themselves by their adroitness in pillaging, and their voraciousness in devouring the fruits of their plunder. Within three days after the meeting of the National Convention, the Duke of Brunswick proposed an armistice, and defired a conference with the French General Dumourier. Under the peculiarity of the existing circumstances, it was natural for all parties to form surmises and

hazard conjectures concerning the purport of this convention. But there again, as at Pilnitz, the public was not to be initiated into the facred mysteries. As, therefore, nothing certain respecting the conference of the two Generals can be afferted, it behoves us to be more attentive to the subsequent movements and operations which must in their nature have reference to it.

The King of Sardinia, who was a party to the Convention of Pilnitz, and had uniformly and fystematically opposed the French Revolution in every stage, was supposed by France to have entered into a fresh plan and confederacy to invade that country; they accordingly declared war against him. General Montesquieu, with a considerable army, marched into Savoy, and was soon invited to take possession of Chamberry. About the same time General Anselme, supported by Admiral Truguet's squadron of nine sail of the line, entered and took possession of the city and country of Nice.

In the prefent and preceding months the internal affairs of Ireland became pregnant with importance to the British empire. The whole fystem of Government in this kingdom has hitherto appeared to me a political paradox. It enjoys the same Constitution as Great Britain; and from the peculiar folemnity of their celebrating the annual commemoration of the Revolution of 1688, they appear to outrun, if possible, our zeal in profesfing their attachment to the principles of this Revolution. And yet, fays Mr. Burke, * " I fhall not think that the deprivation " of some millions of people of all the rights of citizens, and " all interest in the Constitution, in and to which they were " born, was a thing conformable to the principles of the Revo-Supposing the principles to have been altogether " the same in both kingdoms, by the application of those prin-" ciples to very different objects, the whole spirit of the system " was changed, not to fay reversed. In England it was the " ftruggle of the great body of the people for the establishment

Letter to Sir Hercules Langrishe, p. 40.

"of their liberties, against the efforts of a very small faction, who would have oppressed them. In Ireland it was the establishment of the smaller number, at the expense of the civil "liberties and properties of the far greater part, and at the expense of the political liberties of the whole. It was, to say "the truth, not a revolution, but a conquest." These great truths the Roman Catholics of Ireland had long felt, and were by the increasing liberality of the times, and the sanctioning authority of Mr. Burke, now emboldened openly to claim their rights arising out of them.

The national prosperity and welfare of Ireland has been retarded in proportion as the mass of the people has been kept, on the principles of conquest, in a state of servitude. But from the earnestness of some men in power to continue this system, there is but too much cause to lament, with Mr. Burke, that for any confideration it should be thought "necessary to deprive the a body of the people, if they adhere to their old opinions, of "their liberties, and of all their free customs, and to reduce them " to a state of civil servitude." Perhaps it is not the least misfortune of Ireland, that those who are immediately intrusted with the administration of its government, should possess too large a share of the confidence of the British Cabinet. It is a notorious fact, that emoluments under Government to the amount of 150,000l. per annum, are enjoyed by the three families of Foster, Fitzgibbon, and Beresford. In the scale of the Irish government these carry a large preponderancy of patronage and influence. We question not the magnitude of the services, which their country has thus splendidly rewarded; but it is natural for fuch a coalition to be warm and even ingenious in proferving and strengthening their political power in the state. Any alteration of the lystem under which it was acquired must weaken and disperse it. The real principles of the British Conflitution are peculiarly unfavourable to fuch monopolies; reliftance then to the introduction of these principles in Ireland was to be expected.

In the course of the last session of Parliament, the Roman Catholics had prefented a petition to the House of Commons, to admitted to a participation in the constitution of their native country, which had been indignantly rejected by the House. The Catholics were too fensibly impressed with the justice of their claims to abandon them upon this treatment. At a numerous meeting of their body in Dublin, they voted thanks to those few members, who had afferted the subjects' right to petition, and had supported their application to Parliament; they came to a refolution of perfevering in the same loyal and constitutional courfe, which had hitherto been purfued for the removal of prejudices, and the attainment of that inestimable privilege, without which all others are precarious and delufive, the right of elective franchife. There they figned a full and unequivocal declaration of their religious and civil tenets, in order to fatisfy their Protestant brethren, that they held no principle whatsoever, incompatible with their duty as men, or as fubjects, or repugnant to liberty, whether political, civil, or religious. And that the complete fense of their whole body might be fairly collected, upon the choice and prudence of the measures that should be adopted for effectuating this great end, a circular letter figned by Mr. Edward Byrne (the most opulent merchant in Dublin), as Chairman of the Sub-Committee of the Roman Catholic body, was Sent to every county in Ireland to choose and appoint delegates from their respective counties, to convene at Dublin, for the purpose of framing a suitable petition to his Majesty from the whole body, stating all their grievances, and particularly praying for the right of the elective franchife, and an equal participation in the benefits of the trial by jury. This peaceable, legal, and conflitutional mode of acquiring the fense of the people aggrieved, in order to approach the Throne with proper energy and respect, was too efficient a step towards procuring relief, not to alarm those whose influence, power, and patronage must decrease in proportion as three fourths of the people should be admitted to the participation of the Constitution.

On this occasion the opposite junto, in their alarm, were as little delicate in their efforts to preserve, as they may have been in the means of acquiring their power. Through most counties of the kingdom, the Grand Juries, upon which no Roman Catholic could ferve, were, by their interest, easily so formed as to fall into the most implicit adoption of their views and wishes. The Lord Chancellor Fitzgibbon, whose patrimonial estates are in the county of Limerick, attended personally at the meeting of the Grand Jury for that county; and Mr. Foster, the Speaker of the House of Commons, was Foreman of the Grand Jury of his own county of Louth, which issued similar declarations, in which they expressed, in the strongest terms, their abhorrence of the wicked and daring attempts of circulating the letter figned by Mr. Byrne, which complains of a partial administration of justice, and of their being oppressed to slavery, and which tended to rouse the Catholics to disturb the peace of the Kingdom, by the illegal and unconftitutional affociation of a Popish Congress, in the metropolis, to overawe the legislature. They, therefore, came to three resolutions: 1st, That the national prosperity depended upon the continuation of the Popery laws, particularly those which vest the right of Franchise in the Protestants exclusively; and that fince those laws had been frequently called into operation, the progress of the national prosperity had been more vigorous and rapid. 2dly. That the allowing to Roman Catholics the right of voting for members to serve in Parliament, was destructive of the constitution, and settlements of the crown, and of their connexion with Great Britain. 3dly. That, with their lives and fortunes, they would oppose every attempt towards such dangerous innovations, &c. All the other Grand Juries, excepting two or three, iffued either the same, or nearly similar declarations and resolutions. This was the last struggling effort of a desperate junto, to secure a political bias upon the country, which was not growing too large even for their own ambitious grasp. Never, furely, was a more indecent effort attempted to prejudge the merits of an aggrieved people; to interpose the political influence of the counties between the petitions of the subjects at large and the Crown: and to overawe and predetermine the legislature against the recommendations, which his Majesty might think proper to make, (and which he did in fact make) in favour of his loyal, peaceful, and aggrieved Roman Catholic subjects of Ireland. This first failure of men in power in their hackneyed attempts to metamorphose the wishes and struggles of the people for relief, into sedition and conspiracy against the State, was a happy omen to Ireland, and the welcome herald of her dawning liberty. Notwithstanding this inveterate and powerful resistance, the Roman Catholics proceeded coolly, advisedly, and firmly in pursuing the grand object of their claims. About this time, Mr. Burke jun. arrived in Dublin, to resume his office of Agent for the Roman Catholic Body of Ireland.

C H A P T E R VII.

OCTOBER, 1792.

CONTENTS.

Great power of the Governor General of India—Mr. Shore appointed to succeed Lord Cornwallis—The conduct of the combined Princes afforded means and strength to the Jacobin party—Reports of treachery and bribery in the Prussians—Their method of carrying on the war—Fraudulent mode of acquiring provisions—Duke of Brunswick's third manifesto—His folly in negociating—His disgraceful retreat—France declared out of danger—French conquests, in Germany, of Spires, Mentz, Franckfort—Report of a letter from the King of France, that caused the retreat of the King of Prussia.

In the present system of governing our East Indian possessions, the Governor General is invested with powers of unlimited extent and importance to Great Britain: he may involve this nation in war, and oppress the most extensive part of the British empire with all the dreadful calamities attendant upon that scourge of mankind. When upon the nomination of Lord Cornwallis, Mr. Fox, in the House of Commons, reprobated that system, as unconstitutional and dangerous, which vested such absolute and immense power in the hands of the Governors of India, his Majesty's Ministers opposed to it the well known private virtues, worth, and dignity of the noble peer who was to exercise it. The spirit of the British Constitution formerly knew no such grounds of engrafting absolute and unlimited power upon the virtue and discretion of the individual who was to exercise it. Blest as we now

are in the person of the first executive magistrate, with the best of Kings, the best of Fathers, the best of Husbands, the best of Friends, the best of Men; yet the Constitution, with this sure earnest of prudential exercise, has not, therefore, entrusted him with fuch absolute power. It was indeed fince the investiture of fuch powers in the Governors of India, that Mr. Burke has taught us, that " he is far from fure that a King of Great Britain does not possels a more real, solid, extensive power, than the King of France was possessed of before this miserable revolution. direct power of the King of England is considerable. His indirect, and far more certain power is great indeed. A fudden change feems to have taken place in the principles and fystem of governing India. Last year, military were the only fit men to govern India; this year, they are of all the most unfit. Mr. Shore was the person fixed upon to succeed the Marquis of Cornwallis. He had, partly from ill-health, and partly from a difgust of the meafures lately purfued in India, retired from active life, and fettled his private arrangements for ending his days in retirement upon a very moderate fortune, which, highly to his credit, he had brought with him from Alia, after having enjoyed confiderable appointments there termed lucrative.

Since our unhappy country is now fatally involved in the war, which, in the experiment of one shortmonth, has afforded such a melancholy earnest of its effects, we become too nearly interested in the turn of its events, to pass over in silence the conclusion of its first inglorious and destructive campaign. The time and the manner of proclaiming this war were, perhaps, the only circumstances, in the indefinite possibility of events, that could so quickly and so effectually have secured to the Jacobin party in France the means of accomplishing their nesarious and tyrannous design. The Crown could not be completely destroyed, but by the previous abolition of the aristocracy, both in the party of the Emigrants and in that of the Constitutionalists; nor could this abolition be effected, but by measures the most violent and shocking to the feelings of human nature. The invasion of a powerful,

vindictive, and ferocious enemy, avowing themselves friends to the monarch, and declaring the monarch a friend to them, supplied them with a plea, not of plaufibility but of necessity for depriving him of his liberty: the same principle fanctioned the necessity of confiscation, seizures, and murders, whilst a standard was erected on the French territories, round which their fworn enemies were encouraged, by threats and the most delusive promises, to rally. These melancholy truths were seen by all, but the infatuated persons whom they most concerned, in the instantaneous establishment of the power of the Jacobins upon the declarations and first movements of the combined armies. The overthrow of monarch, the captivity of the King with his whole family, the feizure of the forces, revenue, and authority of the whole country, were objects, which otherwise time, intrigue, and struggle could have alone fecure'. Thus fuddenly and powerfully armed, the Jacobins were enabled to repel the efforts of their public, and crush the designs and power of their domestic enemies.

In the unexpected and unaccountable conduct of the combined armies, in the inglorious close of the campaign, it was to be expected, that the most difgraceful reports concerning their retreat should be circulated, even without full grounds for their authenticity. I cannot find sufficient vouchers to authenticate the current belief of treachery in the King of Prussia, and of bribery in the Duke of Brunswick; that the facts had been traced up to the corruption of their ministers and mistreffes; and that they had openly been upbraided for it, both by the Emigrants and the Austrians. These being more interested and fincere in the cause than the Prussians, had frequently pledged their honour and lives to ensure a complete victory, if they might be permitted to engage the enemy without the concurrence or support of the Prussians. Jealousy and rivalship, it is true, might have rejected these offers, as well as corruption and perfidy. It cannot, however, be denied, that notorious advantages over the enemy were lost or neglected, and a most ignominious and destructive retreat was the conclusion of the campaign.

It was commenced by the most presumptuous declamations and vindictive menaces, and it was supported by species of fraud which had never before difgraced the warfare of a civilized nation. They had promifed as ample protection to those Frenchmen who should submit to their summons, as they had threatened vengeance against those who should oppose their progress. They renounced pillage, and fwindled the unrefifting inhabitants out of provisions for their armies. One of these swindling and infulting instruments of fraud was fent by Dumourier to the National Convention, as a specimen of the good faith, and flattering pledge of the bleffings which the fuccess of the fedeated princes would heap upon France. "The village of Hans, " in Champagne, has delivered for the Pruffian army 117 sheep, " the value of which his Majesty, the King of France, engages " to pay when his facred person shall be at liberty, and order " re-established in his States. In faith of which I give the spe-" cial guarantee of his Majesty, the King of Prussia, which may " be exchanged for the value of the faid in a proper time and " place." Signed, The Duke of Brunfwick-Lunenbourg, Sept. 29.—But we were before told, that the mode of civilized war would not be practifed, nor were the French who acted on the prefent system intitled to expect it. It was concluded by the most humiliating negociations, and ignominious retreat. The commander of the combined armies, unwilling or unable to keep the field, betrayed greater weakness in negociating with the French General, than he had in losing every advantage which he brought into the field. Having experienced the extreme mischief of his first manifestos, he was frantic enough to rest the basis of his negociation upon a third manifesto, in which he recapitulates the two former, and forebodes the immediate fall of the empire of France, from the infatuation of those who assume to themselves the title of deputies chosen by the Nation, to seeure its rights and its happiness upon the most solid basis. Heassures the French Nation, that the allied fovereigns never will depart from the the firm resolution of restoring to his most Christian

Majesty, liberty, safety and royal dignity, or of exercising just and exemplary vengeance against those who shall longer dare to infringe them. That invariably attached to the principle of not intermeddling with the interior government of France, they still persist in requiring, that his most Christian Majesty, as well as the whole royal family, shall be immediately set at liberty, &c. The publication of this piece of inconsistency and folly can alone force us to believe, that the Duke of Brunswick could have been so weak and rash in the face of famine, sickness, impotency, despair and disgrace.

General Manstein, on the part of Prussia, was charged with carrying on the negociation with General Dumourier. It appears from their correspondence, which is authentic, that personal interviews and conferences had been had between the Duke of Brunswick and the French Generals. Dumourier persisted in the impossibility of negociating upon the basis of a manifesto, carrying with it menace and war, that could only tend to irritate a free people; and which must therefore break asunder the thread of negociation. "It does not," fays he, " at all enter the fense of " that which has been talked of between us for the last four days, " it even destroys it completely: it is even contradictory to the " conversation, with which the Duke of Brunswick honoured " Adjutant General Thouvenot." The purport of these conferences, to which Dumourier's letter alludes, was the most abject and unequivocal undertaking of the Duke of Brunfwick, on behalf of the combined powers, to be fatisfied with a grant of the smallest portion even of nominal power to the unfortunate Louis; less, said he, than that of a King of England, a King of Mahrattas, a Stadtholder, a principal Tax-gatherer, in short, any allowance that could afford them a pretext for retiring. Let this mendicant fupplication be compared with the language even of the last manifesto, which had not preceded it more than three days. Then let cool reason judge of the rectitude of principles that could be thus proftituted and abandoned. That Constitution of France, against which the Convention of Pilnitz had been

entered into, for the destruction of which above 100,000 men were then in arms, and to the execration of which most of Europe had been worked up by art and power, was a larger boon, than these humbled menacers now demanded; and in their degraded impotency it was refused. A ferious lesson to Great Britain, now a principal party to the confederacy against France, to weigh the original principles upon which she embarked in the cause, the probability of failing in the attempt, and the neat fum of advantages, even in fuccefs. The want of provisions, the wetness of the season, and the sickness of the army, concurred to render the retreat of the Pruffians as destructive as it was disgraceful. It is generally believed, that the French Generals, either from collusion or humanity, spared them in their retreat. Serious accusations were carried to the Convention upon this head, particularly against General Arthur Dillon. But, as Dumourier undertook to justify him, it was probably agreed upon, in the negociation between the Generals, that no molestation should be offered to the retiring army. Verdun and Longwy were given up; the fiege of Lisle was raised; and thus ended this inglorious campaign. The French declared their country out of danger, as foon as the combined armies had evacuated it. They, however, pushed their conquests far into Germany: and, in the course of this month, Custine reduced the three important cities of Spires, Mentz, and Frankfort.

The King of Prussia and his General, the Duke of Brunswick, will never clear their reputations from the suspicions with which their conduct of this important campaign has covered them. Nor will the brutal ferocity of their troops be ever forgotten, who pillaged with equal barbarity the French patriots as enemies, and the French emigrants as their allies. It has, however, been said, that the late unfortunate Louis was prevailed upon to press, by letter, the withdrawing of the combined troops, as the only means of saving the lives of himself and family; and that this letter, delivered to the commander in chief in the course of the negociation between the Prussian and French Generals, was the

immediate cause of their retreat. Be it so. Yet a letter so recently received, and so little expected, could not have produced the different manises from engaging the enemy, when they commanded every advantage over them, or have driven them into disadvantageous posts, or have deprived them of provisions, or have rendered the men and horses sickly. The King of Prussia and the Duke of Brunswick are not blamed nor contemned for having retreated from France, when they were no longer able to hold the field, but for having, by their extreme folly or treachery, brought their armies and the cause to such a ruinous alternative.

C H A P T E R VIII.

NOVEMBER, 1792.

CONTENTS.

Successes of the French in Brabant—Case of the Belgians resisting the Emperor Joseph—Flight of the court from Bruxelles—The Archduchess leaves behind the Emperor's grant of the Joyeuse Entree—Effects produced throughout Europe by the success of of the French arms—Addresses of British subjects to the National Convention—Decree of Fraternity—Our Ministers alarmed about Holland—Lord Auckland's Memorial to the States—Disposition of the public towards France—Judge Ashhurst's Charge to the Grand Jury—Reslexions thereupon.

If HE brilliant and rapid fuccess of the Republican arms in the very outset of their career, produced the most stupendous and satal consequences throughout all Europe. It gave radical firmness to the party who had seized the powers and means of government in France; it emboldened them to insult their neighbours in presumptuous defiance, to outrage human nature with impunity, and to revile in blasphemous impiety, the Deity itself. The consederated princes, whose sanguinary menaces had provoked the irritation, as their disgraceful discomsture had crowned the triumphs of these ferocious Republicans, were so blinded to their insatuation, that they sought neither to correct nor abandon the principles which had led them to the brink of ruin; but satally succeeded in plunging their affrighted neighbours also into the devouring eddy of destruction. Before the close of the

current month, the dominion of the Republic was rapidly extended from the Alps to the Rhine, from Geneva to the mouth of the Scheldt. The victory of Jemappe secured the conquest of Brabant and Flanders; and the most numerous and best appointed armies of the universe where every where slying from the undisciplined, naked, but enthusiastic troops of the victorious Republic. How tardy are governments to see their too presumptuous considence, to correct their mistaken measures, and to adopt remedies appropriate to the evils that threaten them!

The House of Austria had but recently secured, by the power of the fword, the allegiance of the States of Brabant and Flanders. They had rifen to shake off the yoke of the Emperor Joseph, who, too confident of his arbitrary strength and power, had as imprudently as unjustly, invaded the rights of these subjects, and attempted to oppose upon them innovations in their religion, and deprive them of their fundamental privileges, upon the condition of which, they had originally put themselves under the protection of the House of Austria. The favourite, but satal policy, of not yielding to the wifhes, or claims of the people, whilft a Government thinks itself su nciently strong to resist them by force, was here exemplified in a most striking manner. The brave Belgians, though heretofore too weak to withstand the power of the imperial arms, were too spirited to abandon their claim to their rights and privileges: they perfifted in demanding in peace, what they could not maintain by war. The Government, though without ground for denying the justice of their claims, added infult to the grievance of withholding them by the mere power of oppression; they exposed their weakness and infamy in their manner and time of acceding to the demand. After the taking of Mons, all was fear and confusion at Bruxelles. The French emigrants, and every dependant upon the Court, were at one time on their flight: the latter had fecured whatever boats, horses, and carriages they could, to transport themfelves and their effects: and the dread of falling into the hands of the patriots, had completely cleared Bruxelles of every one

who had avowed and supported the principles of the confederated princes against the Republic. The Archduchess Mary, removed with the Court to Ruremonde: her husband, the Duke of Saxe-Teschen, was with the army; and, if general accounts may be credited, had conduced not a little to the fatal difgrace of its overthrow at Jemappe. In her flight she left a melanlancholy monument, of the reluctance with which Government yielded to the just voice of the Belgian people; and in it an important lesson to all fovereigns, that to withhold the rights of their people, is to destroy at once their own power and authoriry. She addreffed two dispatches to the Belgian people: one of them to announce her intention of holding her court at Ruremonde; the other to communicate to them the confirmation of the charter of their liberties, called the Joyeuse Entree. In this difgraceful flight of the Court, from the approach of the victorious hero of Jemappe, little fagacity is wanting to trace the concessions of Government to their immediate cause. However, in the very hour of defertion and flight, the affures the people of his Majesty's intention to make justice always the basis of his reign, and had therefore empowered her to declare, that he would inviolably maintain the Brabantine Constitution, and the Joyetse Entree. An earlier attention to this justice might have increased the regret of the Brabanters at the departure of the Archduchess, and mitigated their joy at the arrival of Dumourier.

The rapid and fuccessful progress of the French arms, seems to have electrified all Europe with a sympathetic stroke of liberty. The cause of France was made common with every complaint of grievance, and the unwillingness to examine and redress them, an open sederacy with despotism, to oppress the general cause of civil freedom. Throughout the Belgian provinces the reluctance and tardiness of the Emperor to admit and confirm the Brabantine Constitution, sound a quick and palatable remedy in the success of the cause of France: the patriotic party in Holland sought, in the same source, an alleviation of their grievances against the overgrown power of the Stadthol-

der, which the arms of Pruffia had recently increased. In England, particularly at Sheffield, the friends and supporters of a Parliamentary Reform conceived that they beheld in the success of the French arms, a fure earnest of their own and fellowcitizens' free choice in the free members of a free parliament. In Scotland, the disappointed Burgesses who had in vain importuned parliament to take under their confideration the abuses of the Royal Burghs, looked up to the happy iffue of the French invasion of the Netherlands, for the correction and redress of the evils they complained of. In Ireland, the Roman Catholics concluded, from the fuccessful energy of the French Revolutionists in giving laws to their own nation, that an united people could by no laws be excluded from the Constitution and Government of their own State: and the Dissenters, and other friends of liberty, connected the destruction of one abusive Government in France, with the necessary abolition of every other Government that was supported by any fort of abuse whatever, These are the feelings of natural fympathy: the actual existence of the fore creates the fenfation: and the unfkilfulness of the physician drives the desponding patient to his own imaginary modes of relief.

Such were the fuccesses, and the effects of the successes of the French arms. At home there was no party either sufficiently strong to resist the Jacobins, nor sufficiently resolute to attempt it. They produced several pretended and forged letters to criminate their unfortunate monarch of counter-revolutionary plots: and by these manceuvres they had disposed a spirities public to an acquiescence at least in his predetermined sate. Measures were accordingly taken to bring on his trial.

There had long existed at Paris, a society or club of British subjects, who took a very sympathetic, if not an action part in the success of the French Revolution. Upon the news of the conquest of Brabant, they celebrated the joyful tidings in a very magnificent and general festival; and afterwards addressed the Convention upon the subject. Some other addresses from British subjects

were offered to and accepted by the National Convention, in congratulation of their fuccesses. One of them was presented from the Constitutional Society of London, by their deputies Joel Barlow and John Frost, who, at the same time, entreated their acceptance of one thousand pair of shoes, as a patriotic offering to the brave foldiers of liberty.

The National Convention was fo elated with the irrefiftible

progress of their arms, and so confident of the propriety and rectitude of every measure proposed for its adoption, that it seems to have thought deliberation a drudgery beneath the elevated dignity of Republicans. In the inconfiderate hear of enthusiasm, it passed by acclamation, a decree, "that the National Convention " declared, in the name of the French Nation, that they will 19. Nov. a grant fraternity and affistance to all those people who wish to " procure liberty; and that they charge the executive power, to " fend orders to their Generals, to give affiftance to fuch people " as have fuffered, or are now fuffering in the cause of liberty." This decree of fraternization was the most impolitic measure that the Convention could possibly have adopted; it gave the direct denial to all their former professions of not interfering with the internal governments of other kingdoms, and of not extending their own by foreign conqueits. It raised just suspicions, that the fomenting disturbance and fedition in foreign countries, had become a systematic principle of the French Republic, and, confequently, afforded their neighbours plaufible excuses for not admitting them to affociate or fettle in their dominions.

It appears that our Ministers began now to look with an eve of alarm upon the rapidity and extent of the French conquests: Brabant, Flanders, and Liege had been fubdued, and feemed perfectly disposed to fraternize with their conquerors. It was well known, that in Holland a very confiderable party of malecontents fought an opportunity of declaring themselves openly against the Prince of Orange. Lord Auckland was, therefore, directed to assure their High Mightinesses, that, as the theatre of war was brought to near to the confines of their republic, his

1792

Majesty was both ready and determined to execute, with the utmost good saith, the treaty of 1788. The States, in their answer to this declaration from our Court, professed the strongest belief, that no hostile intentions were conceived by any of the belligerent powers against them. The native phlegm of the Hollander begat, in the more peaceful and steady, a real reluctance to believe activity necessary to save their country: an insuperable hatred of the court party induced the more active to dissemble their expectancies of what they most ardently wished. Hence the frequent observation, that we had officiously forced their High Mightinesses even into a war of desence, against their own wishes and inclinations.

It was now the determination of our Cabinet, to suppress no longer their approbation of the principles of the grand confederacy. But it was first requisite to dispose the Nation to a proper acquiescence in them. The multitude oftener act from seeling than judgment: whom they hate, fear, or contemn, they eagerly perfecute, and are rarely delicate in the means, when they find the opportunity of fatiating their vengeance. A fupreme abhorrence of the French Government had been fuccessfully implanted in the hearts of most persons in this country, nearly two years before the period of the current month: Mr. Burke then told them, that the French * " had put over their country an insolent "tyranny, made up of cruel and inexorable mafters, and that "too of a description hitherto not known in the world. The " powers and politics by which they have fucceeded, are not "those of great statesinen, or great military commanders, but "the practices of incendiaries, affaffins, house-breakers, robbers, " spreaders of false news, forgers of false orders from authority, " and other delinquencies of which ordinary justice takes cogni-" zance." Unfortunately, none of their intermediate acts have tended to foften the features of this high-coloured portrait. In the excefs of their horrors, lay the extremity of their folly in the

^{*} Mr. Burke's Letter to a Member, p. 69.

miode of refisting the French Revolutionists. Every measure directed against them, or their supporters, or their admirers, however unwise, illegal, unconstitutional, or unjust, became sanctioned in the object of its direction. Artful advantage was taken of this disposition; every wish, every word, and every action, that was disagreeable to ministers, was hurled into the devouring vortex of the French Revolution, and represented to an affrighted nation as mingling with the torrent that threatened destruction to our political fabric.

Mr. Justice Ashhurst, on the 19th instant, delivered a charge to the Grand Jury, in which he very ably and pointedly fet forth the advantages of our Laws and Constitution, and lamented that "there were men in this country of corrupt principles and " wicked intentions, who appeared to be very much diffatisfied "with our system of Government, and published libels on our " Constitution daily; when this was the case it was necessary for " the members of the state to find out, and pursue, and punish such " wicked persons. It would be a reformation in the state that such " corrupt members should be cut off, to prevent others from fol-"lowing their train." This respectable Judge, in looking forward, spoke the language and the spirit of the Constitution. But the unpunished and uninterrupted circulation of the Rights of Man for two years, was not grounded upon the recommendation which he thought necessary for the preservation of the State. In fact, the learned Judge, with all the delicacy that his official fituation permitted, could not refrain from noticing the inefficient measures pursued by Government to check the evil. " authors who had published such writings, however, notwith-" flanding the proclamation, had perfifted in their conduct, which " proved that what had been done was not quite effectual to pre-" vent the further progress of such feditious writings." On the next day, however, a new, and, in the prefent circumstances, a very fingular measure was fet on foot to encourage and countenance the execution of the laws. This was the establishment of an Affociation at the Crown and Anchor Tavern, of which, in 'ts order and time, we shall have future occasion to speak.

CHAPTER IX.

DECEMBER, 1792.

CONTENTS.

Great Britain openly enters into the armed Confederacy—Second Proclamation—Parliament convened within fourteen days after having been recently prorogued to January—The militia called out—The tower fortified—King's speech—Debates upon the address to the Throne—Mr. Fox's speech against the war—His motion to send over a person to treat with the Executive Power of France—Alien and Assignat Bills—The French offers to preserve peace—Maret sent over with indefinite powers—Trial of the French King—The dangerous system of establishing Clubs and Associations—Paine found guilty of libelling the Constitution—The effects of Mr. Reeves's Association brought before the House by Messes. Fox and Grey.

WE are now come to the introduction of Great Britain upon the stage. We unfortunately are not disinterested spectators, merely to adapt our temporary seelings to the performance of the actors: we have a deep concern in the working of the plot; our dearest interests are involved in the unravelling of the piece; and the dropping of the scenic curtain will but usher into reality, the train of miseries and distresses which the performance of our part in the drama will have entailed upon us. After such recent and repeated avowals of the right of France to form, alter and model its internal Government, without the interference of any other state, after such unequivocal declarations of our intended neutrality, and the warmest professions of amity and good understanding, it was a task of no small inge-

nuity to engage the dispositions, as well as to involve the interests of this country in the armed combination against France. The free and unchecked circulation of the worst of levelling doctrines which had brought France to its present state of violence and confusion, had operated their full effect upon those amongst us who were fusceptible of the mischief: they had created in them a contempt for aristocracy, and a dislike to monarchy: the evil was fatally augmented by the propagation of other doctrines running into the opposite extremes, which were sure to forward the very mischief they were (perhaps) intended to prevent. Such a war was not to be undertaken upon open principle: it was not, therefore, to be supported by reason. Appeal was to be made to the passions; the pathetic case of a virtuous, persecuted monarch, put in contrast with the ferocious cruelties of a licentious and frantic populace, had fuccefsfully feized the feelings of a British audience; and we all know how weak is the resistance of cool and stern reason, against the pre-occupancy of animated passion. This is in nature, and the public was prepared for it by the words and example of Mr. Burke. * " We " are so made as to be affected at such spectacles with melancholy " fentiments upon the unstable condition of mortal prosperity, and " the tremendous uncertainty of human greatness: because in those " natural feelings we learn great lessons: because in events like " these, our passins instruct our reason: when kings are hurled " from their thrones by the supreme Director of this great drama, " and become the objects of infult to the base, and pity to the good." The passions of the public having been thus raised, and their reason being subjected to the instruction of their passions, every wish or effort to improve, strengthen, or preserve the rights of the people, or to keep the power of the Crown within the limits of the Constitution, became equally feditious, disloyal, and treasonable. To a people so impressed, no measure against the object of their irritation could become a subject of discussion or hesitation. Passion is transient: Veritas autem æterna.

^{*} Reflections, p. 119.

The Proclamation of the 21st of May, alledged that the evil which it complained of had been permitted to acquire a degree of strength that threw the whole empire into a state of alarm and ferment, The addresses, which it excited from the people, bespoke, however, the loyal difposition of the nation, and in proving the facility with which the evil could have been timely remedied, afcertained the degree of guilt or folly of those who saw, disdained, or neglected the opportunity. In order, however, to render the intended measures palatable to the nation, it became necessary to devise fome domestic urgency for entering into a war, that could be supported upon no political justice, encouraged by no prospect of interest, nor undertaken without at least the appearance of violating our express professions and promises. His Majesty was accordingly advised to iffue a new proclamation. The inefficacy of the first proclamation sufficiently appears from the language of the fecond: "That nothwithstanding the royal " proclamation, evil-difposed persons were still continuing, with " the utmost industry, to attempt the subversion of the Laws and " established Constitution of this realm, and to destroy all order " and government therein." It must, at the same time, be remembered, that Thomas Paine, against whom the first proclamation was avowedly aimed, was permitted to live here, with impunity, for near two years after the publication of his works, and recently to go unmolefted to take his feat in the National Convention of France.

If credit be given to the words and actions of his Majesty's Ministers, the political state of the kingdom, which depended upon the vigilance and energy of their measures, was, at this time, in the convulsed agonies of a mortal disease. Without any external hostilities either to make or resist, without the conviction or even accusation, of any person for attempting to raise internal sedition or insurrection, without the example of one pain, penalty, or punishment having been insticted upon a person guilty of turbulence or rebellion, the Ministers thought themselves warranted in advising his Majesty, by proclamation, to call out and embody

the militia, and to convene the parliament (as in cases of actual invasion and rebellion he is only enabled) at an earlier period than that to which it flood prorogued. Bounties were offered to landsmen and seamen. Naval armaments were put into preparation in all the dock-yards; the army was drawn into a focus round the metropolis: and the Tower was put into a posture of defence.* The proclamation unequivocally stated, " that the " utmost industry was still employed, by evil-disposed persone " within this kingdom, acting in concert with persons in foreign " parts, with a view to subvert the laws and established Consti-" tution of this realm; and to destroy all order and government " therein; and that a spirit of tumult and disorder, thereby ex-"cited, had lately shewn itself in acts of riot and insurrection." These are the supposed facts, upon the existence of which the very legality of the proclamation was founded; for the Crown is only enabled to convene a parliament fooner than the time to which it stands prorogued in the cases of actual invasion or rebellion. No one ever questioned the fact of invasion: and no one kas, as yet, discovered that of rebellion. The Legislature, for grave reasons, restrained the King's prerogative upon this subject in all cases except two, which are, in their nature, of full notoriety, and therefore feemed to have been particularly cautious that no Ministers might ever draw out the militia upon frivolous or false pretences. But how can insurrection or rebellion exist, but by the overt acts of individuals? And how can it be credited that these are known to Ministers, and that the perpetrators of them remain purpofely or defignedly concealed or fanctioned by impunity?

His Majesty's speech from the Throne, at the meeting of the Parliament, was a mere echo of his proclamation. In it he says,

* If the Tower had been intended seriously to be put into a posture of desence, a very different plan should have been adopted. At present it is more desenceless than ever: the dead work of the walls is increased, which, therefore, facilitates an attack; and the embrasures are so constructed, that a gun cannot be brought to bear out of them upon any point within the extent of the ditch.

"I have carefully observed a strict neutrality in the present war on the continent, and have uniformly abstained from any inter"ference with respect to the internal affairs of France." The address to his Majesty upon the speech was moved in the House of Lords by Lord Hardwicke, and seconded by Lord Walsingham: in the Commons, by Sir James Saunderson the Lord Mayor, and Mr. Wallace. In both Houses it was debated upon nearly the same grounds. In the House of Commons, Mr. Fox, after a very long, eloquent, and constitutional speech, moved an amendment to the address, which was negatived by a majority of two hundred and forty.

As the minds of the public are now quieted upon the grounds of alarm, which then agitated them, they may, after a year's experiment of the measures then projected, examine them without bias; and decide upon them without partiality or suspicion of disloyalty. On this occasion, Mr. Fox proved himself the most sagacious statesmen, the ablest senator, and the firmest patriot. If there exist in the breast of any man, at this hour, a feeling for the actual fituation of his country, let him read over, and calmly confider, Mr. Fox's speech upon that memorable occasion, and his mind will be instantly decided upon the awful crisis of the present moment. He declared that the speech and proclamation were grounded in falsebood, and that the Ministers who had framed the speech, had made the affertion with a full conviction. of their falsehood: that they had calumniated the people of England, by accusing them of insurrestions, which had no existence but in the brains of those who had finisher views in publishing these fictions. He very pointedly enforced the danger of destroying, as the present policy was, the middle order of men, who were equally adverse to pure democracy as to despotism. A fystem of extremes was established, of the most dangerous consequences. Those who dread republicanism, say for shelter to the crown. Those who desire reform, and are calumniated, are driven by despair to republicanism—the evil most to be dreaded. He was ready to stand in the gap between the wild projects of new-fangled theories, and the dangerous revival of exploded and condemned doctrines, though from these latter he feared the worst consequences. He connected the spirit of Government with the actions of its avowed agents. He confidered the nature of Mr. Reeves's * affociation, and quoted the doctrines published and circulated by this learned chairman, that inculcated the juro divino right of Kings, which would have been treasonable in the years 1715, and 1745: and this in a manner perfeelly new and instructive-" Have you not read the Bible? Do " you not know, that it is there written, that the King is the Lord's " anointed? But did you ever hear of his having anointed a Re-" public?"-He preffed upon the Ministers the danger of sporting with the feelings of the people; of raifing their alarms, to draw from them the foothing expressions of agitated loyalty; and warned them against stunning the public mind, with repeated shocks of fiction, into the insensibility of a real attack. He deprecated in the strongest terms, the idea of going to war with France, because we disapproved of its form of Government. He infifted that reason required we should first attempt to negociate, before we plunged the nation into war. If the ends we proposed to obtain by arms, could be acquired by negociation, the Nation demanded negociation, as an act of justice. And the Ministers who refused even the attempt, were responsible to their country, for the lavish waste of its treasure, and the wanton and cruel effusion of its blood. Every misery of a most destructive and ruinous war, would be justly laid at the door of those, who, from obstinacy, pride, or folly, would not use the means, pressed even upon them by their very enemies, to prevent and avert the evil. It was infulting to the plain fense of man, and shocking to humanity, to sport with the fate of empires, and facrifice the lives and fortunes of their citizens, to the frivolity of punctilio. Can they find a power to war against, with whom

^{*} He is Chief Justice of Newfoundland, and enjoys some other places under Government.

they cannot treat? Can they fettle cartels and armiffices, (as in war they must) with those with whom they may not prolong, and perpetuate them? Can they infure the infallible and perpetual fuccess of their arms, and prophecy to their country, that this, or a worse executive government in France, shall not humble, and force them to fue for the peace, they now fo infolently and haughtily deny the possibility of treating for? To judge from the first fruits of the crusade against France, he trembled, and blushed to look forward to the probable iffue of our plunging into the difgraceful ruin. Let but negociation be attempted, and its failure would infure his vote for the war. Negociation had lately prevented a rupture with Spain. And, after his Majesty had told us in his speech, that he has uniformly abstained from any interference with respect to the internal affairs of France, what other reason can there be for not saving this country from war, by negociating with the executive power of France, (we interfere not with its form), but because the republic has not been anointed with the holy chrism of Rheims? He expected to be in a minority, but a minority had recently faved the country from a war with Ruffia. Were he alone, he would still raise his single voice to avert from his country the ruin and destruction, that the weak, if not wicked designs of Ministers, were bringing upon it. Whatever might be the intoxication of the moment, he faw the hour of reflection not far off, that would convince his countrymen of the preference of an honourable antitode, to an expensive, painful, and humiliating cure. In his usual manly manner he added, that he would not content himfelf with deprecating the acts of the Ministers, but he would pledge himfelf, for the fystem of measures that he would propose and support in hours of agitation like the present. If the Diffenters showed a tendency to discontent, on account of any partial oppression, he would repeal the Corporation and the Test Acts. They complained of nothing else: and their affectionate fervices were of more consequence to the flate, than their exclufion from corporations was of benefit to it. If any persons

were tinctured with a republican spirit because they thought the representative Government was more perfect in a republic, he would endeavour to amend the representation of the Commons, and to prove that though not chosen by all, they should have no other interest than to prove themselves representatives of all. If there were men diffatisfied in Scotland, with the internal government of their Royal Burghs, he would examine their grievance, If in Ireland, the great body of the people and afford redrefs. stated what was the fact, that they were excluded from, and demanded to be admitted to a participation of the Constitution of their country, he would liberally admit their claim to right and justice. He lamented forely that Government should have hitherto refused to grant, what would have been then thought the result of choice and liberal policy; but which, on the eve of a public war, must appear to be the forced effect of necessity and fear. Were he, in the present hour of alarm, to iffie a proclamation, it should be to invite every man who had a grievance, to bring it to the bar of the House of Parliament, in full confidence of having it fairly investigated. These were the subsidies he would offer to Government. What instead of this was done? Complaints were suppressed, the aggrieved calumniated as soditious, redress rendered desperate, the freedom of thought, speech, and the press, subjected to the judgment of ignorant, interested, and spiteful informers, and the disapprobation of an arbitrary unjust, and ruinous system of measures, converted into a treasonable attempt to subvert the Constitution and Government of the country. He repeatedly inculcated, what should be ever engraven on the hearts of all Ministers: That the Ministers of one country ought to treat with whomfoever they found in poffession of the power of another. That those who would only treat with powers de jure, and not with those de facto, could not excuse themselves to their country for the treasure that should be lavished, and the blood that should be spilt, in the consequences of their obstinacy and arrogance. Their commission and delegation is to superintend and preserve the rights, and welfare of

their own country, not to interfere with the forms and rights of the internal governments of others.

Mr. Burke, whose passions on this, as on some other occasions, instructed his reason, spoke for a considerable length of time in his usual philippic strain against France; and concluded, that the present question was not whether an address should be presented to the Throne, but whether there should be any Throne at all. He threw out as ill-founded as it was an ill-natured allusion, to Catiline's designs upon Rome. The difference of the present from the then situation of this nation, may have inverted Mr. Burke's doctrine, and taught men's reason to instruct their pasfions. When they shall impartially have reviewed the result of one year's experiment in this fatal confederacy, their reason will find conviction in the accomplishment of those predictions of Mr. Fox, to which their passions formerly prevented them from affenting. Let them now faithfully cast up the current account of the year; let them labour to counterbalance in credit the black column of debtor of twenty millions;* let them weigh the advantages gained over the enemy abroad, against those we have ourselves lost at home; let them compare the then flourish. ing state of our commerce, with the present distressed situation of our manufacturers; let them contrast the past discontents of the people with the Government, and their clamours against the necessary contributions to its support, with their present melioration of their condition, and their readiness to submit to the payment of nearly an additional million of annual taxes. Let them oppose the arrogant refusal of our Ministers to treat with the French about peace, to their vigour and exertions in supporting the war. Let them look back at our vaunting threats to crush, by anticipation, the power which the fatal experiment has increased and fortified. Let them compute the future by our past losses and misfortunes; let them cast up the sum total of

^{*} The moneys raised last year, and what will be ultimately requisite to clear all expenses hitherto incurred by the war, cannot fall far short of this estimate.

our fure miseries, distresses and disgrace; let them calculate upon fair data, the improbability of obtaining the end of the war, which is the subjection of France. Let them prove, by unerring operations, the impossibility by any issue of the war, of compensating this country for the waste of blood, treasure, and happiness, even already sacrificed to the ruinous and delusive object. And then let them learn to estimate public men by the effects their measures produce upon the country.

On the third day of the Parliament, Mr. Fox made a motion in the House, to address his Majesty to send a person to treat with those, who exercise provisionally, the functions of the Executive Government in France, touching fuch points as may be in discussion between his Majesty and his allies, and the French nation. He observed, that the question having been narrowed by the discussions of the two former days, he made this motion with the intention that it might be entered on the Journals of the House, for the purpose of showing to the country, that an attempt had, on the first opportunity, been made by the repreprefentatives of the people, to avert the calamities of war by negociation. He was as little, as any man in that House, disposed to commend the late proceedings of France, nor did he admit the prefent, to be the most desirable time, for recognizing those powers; but it was an unfortunate necessity; this was the first opportunity of forwarding the measure, and the sooner it was adopted the lefs remarkable it would be. After a very long debate the motion was negatived without a division. prudence and propriety of Mr. Fox's motion will only be feen and fairly judged, in the painful moment of humiliation, which will faithfully disclose the full waste of the blood and treasure, that the adoption of it would have prevented.

This fystem of horror and enmity against France, having been established, although no formal declaration of hostilities had been made, the Parliament proceeded to pass the Alien Bill, and the Bill to prohibit the circulation of promissory, and other notes, orders, undertakings, or obligations, for the payment of any sum,

or sums of money, created and issued under, or in the name of any public authority in France. Opposition was given to each of these Bills, in both Houses, chiefly upon the same grounds, viz. that they were direct infringements of the Treaty of Commerce; that they were hostile measures whilst we professed neutrality towards France; and that they were founded in the salse supposition of existing danger in our own country.

In the course of the month, Mons. Le Brun, the French Minister for Foreign Affairs, made several attempts to open a negociation with our Ministers, to preserve a good understanding, and to prevent an open rupture between the two countries. Instructions were given to M. de Chauvelin, and fresh agents were fent over with directions to make any overtures and conceffions that should be found effectual to avert the miseries of a war. All proved ineffectual; for about the close of the month, M. Le Brun made a report to the Convention on the state of affairs with England. He faid that contrary winds had kept back the refult of the last step taken by the Executive Council, which was the special commission given to M. Maret, to admit almost of indefinite terms to prevent the war. He speaks slightingly of the naval armaments of England, and complains much of the Alien and Assignat Bills, as infractions of the Treaty of Commerce, which they had hitherto scrupulously observed, but from which they fhould in future hold themselves released; that they had, accordingly, directed their Ambaffador to make this reprefentation to Lord Grenville. It is confidently reported, that M. Maret had it in his instructions, unequivocally to offer to our Ministry these three points: first, that the navigation of the Scheldt should be given up; fecondly, that the French troops should not approach the Dutch territories, within a given distance; and that the decree of the 19th of November, should be either altered or repealed. When the ostensible reafons for undertaking a war are thus previously removed, by the concession of the enemy, then none but the most suspicious motives can induce Ministers to sacrifice the peace, treasure, and

welfare of the country, to their fecret or wicked views. A great part of the month was taken up by the National Convention in the trial of the unfortunate Louis. His impending fate deeply affected the feelings of this whole nation. Several expedients and experiments were fuggested in both Houses of Parliament, for averting the sentence which seemed doomed to be passed upon him; but none were adopted, merely from the sear of irritating the ferocious Jacobins to an earlier and severer sentence against him. It would have been difficult to provoke the Executive Council of France, more than they at this time were, at our conduct in passing the Alien and Assignat Bills, in increasing our armaments, both by land and sea, in refusing to negociate with their Ambassador, and above all, in haughtily rejecting the proposals, which they had humbled themselves in making, by their extraordinary envoy Maret.

There cannot be a more melancholy, though at the fame time more incredible proof of the infatuation of Ministers, than that, with the fatal example before their eyes of France having been brought into its present situation by the means of clubs, they should countenance and encourage that very system throughout this kingdom. The motive of their meeting was indifferent as to the effect of fetting the example. It would, if hereafter found necessary to forbid those self-constituted clubs, associations, or conventions, be a difficult task to rest the legality of their meeting upon the purity of the motives of the affociates. Mr. Reeves's affociation had fet out upon the most false, wicked, and dangerous grounds that could be devised. They boast of their being formed into a club for the express purpose of preserving themfelves against the horrid attempts of daring and seditious men, who, under the specious pretence of reformation, wish to subvert the Conflitution and Government of their Country. What can be more false, than that all those who wish to bring about a reform in Parliament, wish to subvert the Constitution of their Country? What more wicked, than thus to calumniate and criminate their fellow subjects, who are peaceful and loyal? What more dangerous to

the state, than to establish such a system of enmity amongst citizens, and fomenting it by means obviously open to retaliation, and immediately tending to the horrid effects of irritation, revenge and despair? It was but on the 18th of the month, that Mr. Paine's trial came on, in which he was found guilty, by a special jury, for having libelled the Constitution. He had openly and unequivocally avowed himself its enemy, and exerted the full power of his talents to make what profelytes he could to his feditious doctrines; and if the evils and dangers complained of in the proclamations had a real existence, they owed it undoubtedly to the tardy and inefficient punishment of the author and propagator of the doctrines that produced them. Henceforth there appeared less zeal in punishing real delinquents, than in affixing the imputation of fedition and treason to those who difapproved of the measures of Government, or incurred their displeasure, by wishing for a Parliamentary Reform. According to the new doctrine of Mr. Reeves's affociation, it was holden out to the nation as illegal and unconstitutional to meet for the purpose of considering and discussing the state of the popular representation in Parliament, in which every voter, and every Individual is in fact deeply interested. It was, therefore, judged to be the prudent, the effectual, the legal, the constitutional mode of checking and correcting the evil, to establish these counter meetings and focieties throughout the kingdom, founded upon no other authority, function, or power, than the momentary (perhaps ill-grounded) conviction of individuals, that the prefent system of popular representation should be kept on foot for the improvement or prefervation of the Constitution, and that the contrary opinion was a proof of an actual defire or attempt to subvert the laws, government and constitution of the country.

So feriously were the most illiberal, scandalous, and unconfitutional motives for holding these associations beasted of and propagated, that Mr. Fox and Mr. Grey thought it necessary to notice them in the House; and now perhaps, if our possens have ceased to instruct our reason, the real import and tendency of them

may be confidered without bias or prejudice. One of the first publications made by Mr. Reeves, the arch-patriarch of these affociates was, faid Mr. Grey (on the 17th) not only calculated to excite fedition, but absolutely pointed out the class of persons against whom the loyal fury of the mob should be directed. The extermination of the Diffenters was their aim, and the publication alluded to afferted, "That the Presbyterians had been "the cause of the disturbances in America; that by them the ex-" pences of the American war had been incurred, and that the " Birmingham Doctor (Priefiley) was more infamous even than " Paine." Mr. Fox on the fame day, produced to the fame house, a circular invitation to one of these loyal meetings at Staines, which ended with these words, destruction to Mr. Fox, and sis Jacobin committee. On the 24th, Mr. Fox, in giving his affent to the augmentation of the army, as he had before done to that of the navy, faid that he did it upon this only principle, that we ought to be prepared either to engage in war, or to negociate with effect for peace. He then took occasion of mentioning to the House, the difinishal from the army of two officers of rank and merit, Lord Edward Fitzgerald, for being supposed to favour the cause of France, when we were in a state of neutrality with her; and of Captain Gawler, for having refused to withdraw his name from the Society for Constitutional Information, to which some of the first characters of the nation belonged. These different circumstances bespeak their own tendency, and shew the extent of the spirit which produced them. He questioned not the prerogative of the Crown to dismiss any officer from the army; but thought that the exercise of it applied to fuch purposes, became an object worthy of the confideration of that House.

C H A P T E R X.

JANUARY, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Maret's second mission from the Executive Power of France still rejected—Spain's treaty of neutrality with France—Carter, a bill-sticker, condemned to six months imprisonment—Crichton, a tallow-chandler, for uttering seditious words in liquor—Messes. Erskine and Pigott removed, and Messes. Graham and Anstruther made Attorney and Solicitor General to the Prince of Wales—Death of the King of France—Dismissal of the Ambassador, and other measures taken thereupon—Exertions in Ireland to reform the representation of the people in Parliament—The Association of the Friends of the Constitution, Liberty, and Peace, under the Duke of Leinster—Bishop of St. David's Sermon—Resestions thereupon.

FEW domestic occurrences of this month are of any particular importance to the general purport of this History. The Parliament proceeded in passing the Alien and Assignat Bills. M. Maret, who had returned again from France with enlarged powers of negociating for peace, had several conferences with our Ministers, who still rose in their tone of disdainful rejection. The example of Spain, which had, in the preceding month, entered into a treaty of neutrality with the Executive Power of France, was strongly urged as a precedent for our negociating without humbling ourselves in the eyes of Europe. The Spanish negociation was so emphatically the immediate act of the

Crown, that it was actually commenced under the administration of the Count d'Aranda, and completed under that of the the Duke d'Alcudia. All, however, was in vain: it was our inevitable fate to be plunged into the miseries and disgrace of this mysterious and ruinous confederacy. Maret returned to France under the mortification of having failed also in this second mission to the British Cabinet. Lord Loughborough, who in all the late debates upon the relative situations of Great Britain and France, had been prominently zealous in supporting the measures proposed by Ministers, was honoured with the Great Seals of England, and called to succeed Lord Thurlow on the woolsack.

As the Minister had been either unable or unwilling to make an example of any person who had been guilty of those acts of riot and infurrection, which had induced the necessity of drawing out the militia, they found that the present affection of the pubblic mind could not be kept up without the most exemplary and rigorous punishment of future delinquents. The first unfortunate victim of this regenerated feverity, which had disappeared with the memorable Jeffries in the last century, was one Carter, who was indicted and found guilty of having unlawfully published a fcandalous and feditious libel, intituled "An Address from " the London Corresponding Society to the other Societies in "Great Britain, united for the purpose of obtaining a Reform " in Parliament." The address was an answer to that of Mr. Reeves's Affociation: and this wretched Bill-flicker was fentenced to fix months imprisonment for having pasted up the address at the corner of St. Giles. The next example was Daniel Crichton, for uttering treasonable words against the King: he was proved to have faid, that he would have no King here, they had no King in Scotland: and the same two witnesses who proved the uttering of the words, proved also the intoxication of the man who uttered them: he had come up the night before from Scotland, to be bounden apprentice to a tallow chandler: honourable testimony was made in court by a respectable clergyman of

his general good character, and he himself expressed the most sincere compunction for having, in an unguarded moment of intoxication, uttered words, which, in his full restection, he would not ever have spoken: he was sentenced, however, to three months imprisonment. The punishment of such low and insignificant individuals, would scarcely support the system of criminating every wish for a parliamentary reform. Considence was to be withdrawn from men of brilliant talents and tried patriotism, whose zeal for the persection of the Constitution prevented them from abandoning even the hopes of correcting its sew desects. Mr. Erskine and Mr. Pigott were removed from the honourable appointments of Attorney and Solicitor General to his Royal Highness the Prince of Wales: and Messrs. Graham and Austruther were appointed in their lieu.

The confined plan of this History will not allow me to enter into a minute detail of the melancholy execution of the unfortunate King of France. The question for his execution was carried, in the Convention, only by a majority of five votes. his death, which happened on the 21st of the month, he showed an example of the most heroic fortitude and christian submission to his unjust fentence. Blood-thirsty and cruel as was the conduct of the regicide party to this amiable and virtuous monarch, it is much doubted whether they would have had the boldness to attempt, or the power to carry fo unjust and bloody a defign into execution, if they had not been enabled to pave the way for it, under the pretext of securing their country from the imprudent and inhuman menaces of the Duke of Brunswick. Some hopes were entertained that Dumourier, who was at this time at Paris, would have profited of his influence with the people, to have attempted a rescue of the injured monarch. No shew appeared of such an attempt. Twenty thousand men were under arms: and the shocking some was cloud in the most awful fear and silence. Upon the melancholy report reaching this country, the Court was immediately ordered into mourning for his late most Christian Majesty. M. Chauvelin was, by an order of the King in Council, directed to depart

this realm, on or before the 1st of February: and a message was sent by his Majesty to both Houses of Parliament, directing the correspondence between M. Chauvelin and the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, together with the order of Council in consequence of the atrocious act lately committed at Paris, to be laid before them; and intimating, that his Majesty, in the present situation of affairs; thought it indispensably necessary to make a further angmentation to his forces by sea and land, for maintaining the securities and rights of his own dominions, for supporting his allies, and for opposing the views of aggrandizement and ambition on the part of France, which would be, at all times, dangerous to the general interests of Europe, but are peculiarly so when connected with the propagation of principles which lead to the violation of the most facred duties, and are utterly subversive of the peace and order of all civil society.

Whatever may be the impressions upon the passions or minds of men, in the awful moment of great, wonderful, and terrific events; they are in their nature, transient, and momentary, as were the causes which produced them. They may indicate the dispositions of the persons affected: but they can afford no ground for engrafting upon them any measures intended to be general and permanent. Truth and reason, which are ever confiftent and invariable, can alone support and justify either the alteration or execution of laws, which were founded in their basis. True it is, that the kingdoms of Great Britain and Ireland, are separate and independent of each other: they have separate legislatures, and separate laws: but they have an union of interests, an union of affection, an union of allegiance to the fame Sovereign: they know but one spirit, one principle, one form of Constitution. Great Britain and Ireland are convertible terms. Difloyalty, fedition, and treason, are inapplicable to one, if they be not applicable to both: the nature of the crime is common to both: the pius or the minus in the offence to the Sovereign, may be determined by peculiarity of circumstances, not by variety of foils. Let us then first in one kingdom contemplate the British Legislature, impervious to every application for a reform of Parliament; let us view the Government of Great Britain, prosecuting for sedition, every man, who speaks, or writes, or publishes his own, or others' thoughts, in favour of such reform; let us view the multifarious Clubs and Associations, regularly established through the kingdom of Great Britain, under the fanction of Government, to preserve us against the horrid attempts of daring and seditious men, who, under the specious pretence of reformation, wish to subvert the Constitution and Government of the Country: then let us turn our eyes to Ireland.

Here we see a regular delegation, deputed from three fourths of a people, aggrieved by being deprived (amongst other rights) of that of voting for members of parliament, (though discountenanced and opposed by most of the men in power of that kingdom) laying before their Sovereign, in respectful confidence, the sum of their grievances, and graciously received by the common father of his people*. Here we admire the tender anxiety of a truly patriot King, recommending to that very parliament, to take into their ferious confideration, the case of his Roman Catholic subjects, whose petition they had the year before refused to receive. Here we behold a virtuous affociation of true patriots, headed by the first nobleman of the kingdom, combining their joint efforts to bring about a reform in the popular reprefentation in parliament, entering into this, amongst other resolutions: "that the representa-" tive part of our legislature is not derived from the people by the " free and general election which the fundamental principles of our " Constitution require, and the state and condition of this nation " would warrant. †" Here we behold the House of Commons re-

^{*} On the 2d of January, the Delegates from the Catholic Body of Ireland were introduced by Mr. Dundas at St. James's, and they prefented their address, which was graciously received: they were Meilis. Byrne, Keogh, Devreux, Bellew, and Sir Thomas French.

[†] This respectable meeting, at which the Duke of Leinster prefided, was called the Affociation of the Friends of the Constitution, Liberty, and Peace. The last of their resolutions was, that every perion becoming a member should subscribe the following declara-

folving itself into a committee to examine into the state of the representation of the people in parliament. Are we hence then to
conclude, that the wishes, efforts, and attempts of Britons, to
improve by a temperate reform, the representation of the people
in parliament, are seditious and treasonable; and that the Sover
reign and Parliament in Ireland, countenance, support, and encourage these very acts of sedition and treason?

The melancholy fimilarity of circumstances, between the recent execution of the French monarch, and that of our first Charles, whose martyrdom is commemorated on the 30th day of January, feemed naturally to excite the public expectation of fome more than ordinary exertion from the pulpit, on this folemnity, revived as it was, by the fresh application of such appropriate matter. Doctor Horsley, the Bishop of St. David's, was chosen to preach this annual fermon: and upon the motion of the Archbishop of Canterbury, he was thanked for it by the House of Lords, and defired to print it for the instruction and edification of the public. But, in the House of Commons, Mr. Sheridan, in his speech upon the reported sedition of the country, took an occasion of expressing a very different opinion of this discourse of the learned prelate: "It opened," he said, "with comments upon the vanity of political disquisitions alto-" gether, and concluded with an anathema on those who did not " agree with him in political opinion, reviving all the flavery of " passive obedience, and non-resistance." At a time when Go-

tion: "I folemnly promise and declare, that I will, by all lawful "means, promote a radical and effectual reform in the representa"tion of the people in Parliament, including persons of all reli"gious persuasions: and that I will unceasingly pursue that object,
"until it shall have been unequivocally obtained. And, seriously
"apprehending the dangerous consequences of certain levelling
"tenets and seditious principles, which have lately been diffeminated, I do surther declare, that I will result all attempts to
"introduce any new form of Government into this country, or in
"any manner to invert or impair our Constitution, considing of
"King, Lords, and Commons."

vernment was by proclamations, and every other exertion of power, forcing upon the nation the belief that the Constitution was in danger, the charge of reviving the dangerous and unconstitutional doctrines of passive obedience and non-resistance became really ferious. At the beginning of the present century, the maintenance of these doctrines from the pulpit, had brought on the trial of Dr. Sacheverell; whose impeachment, as Mr. Burke fays,* " was undertaken and carried on for the express " purpose of stating the true grounds and principles of the Re-" volution, which the Commons emphatically called their foun-" dation." It is well known, that he was found guilty upon the articles of impeachment by what Mr. Burke calls a fleady and prevalent majority of Whig Peers. + " The folemn judgment of " the House of Peers, against Dr. Sacheverell, must, in my opi-" nion, make it absolutely unlawful for any British subject in "future, openly to deny, or disapprove of the Revolution prin-" ciples, or publicly to maintain those, which are commonly " called the tory principles." As these articles exhibited against Dr. Sacheverell, are become the legal test of tory principles, I feel a peculiar call of duty ‡ to enable my readers to form their

* Appeal, p. 55. † Jura Ang 185.

I Little did the author expect, and still less did he deserve, the honourable mention which this respectable and learned prelate has made of him in the appendix to this fermon. The merit of intention in writing his Jura Anglorum, and the aim at candour in treating every subject which concerns the church establishment, which his Lordship has so kindly allowed him, he particularly wishes to avail himself of, on this, and on every future occasion that may call him before the public. It would be an abandonment of both, not to warn the public of the dangers to which he fees the nation exposed from the revival of Toryisin, and not to remark, that the zeal of the reverend prelate for that part of the Conflitution which had been openly and rudely affailed, had led him into a dangerous excess of unconstitutional doctrines in support of it. The learned prelate will allow, that fince the author has, in the work which his Lordship has condescended to commend, said, (p. 472.) "that passive obedience and non-resistance could never by possibility " have been applicable to, or practicable in the English Governa own judgment upon the political doctrines delivered by the kearned prelate in this discourse. This cannot be better effected,

"ment;" his apprehensions and alarms of an intended change in that Government were not groundless, when he found these very doctrines ensorced from the pulpit, by a person commanding most deservedly the esteem and veneration of the Nation.

The immediate reason of the author's work having been noticed by this learned prelate, was the infertion of a quotation from Calvin, cited from Philanax Anglicus. 'The quotation from Calvin appears to the Reverend Prelate to have been misrepresented by mutilation: and he enters into a full and elaborate scholium upon the words of Calvin, to shew, that they import no doctrine, principles, nor fentiments against royalty: and he fays, very justly, that the author will not be displeased, that the memory of a great man should be windicated from an unfounded acculation. No injustice of intention, nothing worse than a very pardonable mistake is imputed to him. author holds himfelf equally responsible for the quotations which he adopts from others, as for the affertions he makes himself, But circumstanced as he was, he selt it to be a more delicate mode of conveying certain truths to the public by the mouths of others than by his own. He thought that he could more delicately tell the public, that the doctrines of divine right, passive obedience, and nonrefistance, had been the received protestant doctrine of the Church of England, in the words of the Bishop of Worcester, than in those of a private individual, who was not a member of that church: and that "the authority of those venerable men, from whom it was " derived, gave it a firm and laking hold in the minds of the " clergy: and being thought to receive a countenance from the " general terms in which obedience to the civil magistrate is or-" dained in feripture, it has countenanced in our days, and it may " be feared fill continue to perplex and mislead the judgment of " too many amongh us." (p. 134.) For these reasons, the author dealt more largely in quotations throughout that work than he could otherwise have reconciled either to his inclination or judgment.

The words of Calvin which were quoted, as applied by Philanax Anglicus, are, "Abdicant enim se petescate terreni principes dum insurgunt contra Deum: imnio indigni sint qui cerseantur in hominum numero: petius ergò conspuere opertet in illorum capita quam iis parere ubi sic proterviunt, ut welint spoliare Deum suo jure." The learned prelate undertakes to prove, that Calvin meant no more by these words, than

than by comparing them with those of Dr. Sacheverell's sermon in the year 1709.

that God was to be obeyed before man. They ever did convey to the understanding of the author, as they still do, an indecent and irreverend idea of Royal Power; uttered by an overheated republican, with the express view of instilling into his followers a contempt and disgust of Kingly Power. They appeared to him false and dangerous, because they generally made the Sovereign's offence to God, the immediate act of abdication of his own authority over his subjects; thus converting subjects into judges of their King's conscience, and arbiters of their own obligation to obey him. The author emphatically reprobated these principles as inapplicable to the British Constitution; however congenial they might be found with those of the Republic of Geneva or of modern France. The author cannot apply the doctrine to the possible repetition of the circumstance at this day in this country. If he may, without offence or indelicacy, hypothetically state an order from a King of Great Britain to an individual to worship an idol, which was the case of Daniel, the conclusion of the author would be, that he affected a power he could not possess, in commanding a finful act: but not that in fo doing, he abdicated any power he before enjoyed. could by no means justify the personal insult to the Sovereign, on account of the moral obligation of the subject in such case to resist the finful order. Abdicare je potestate, according to the author's conception, is the act of abdicating a power, which is possessed by the abdicating person: now, no Sovereign could have had a power to command an offence to God: and what he never had, he could not abdicate, as is evident. The author cannot, therefore, underfiand, by these words of Calvin, a mere spiritual exhortation to his disciples, to prefer their duty to God before the unlawful commands of man. The abdication and non-enjoyment of a power are widely different. The author allows to every description of persons, who systematically follow the doctrines of any man, the superior advantage of rightly understanding their genuine spirit: he defends not his interpretation of Calvin's words, but merely declares, that the manner in which he understood them, was the reason why he introduced them as relevant to the subject he was then treating.

The first Article of the Impeachment against Dr. Sacheverell was,

"That he, the faid Henry "Sacheverell, in his faid fer-"mon, preached at St. Pauls, a doth fuggest and maintain, " that the necessary means used " to bring about the faid happy. "Revolution, were odious and " unjustifiable: that his late "Majesty, in his declaration, " disclaimed the least imputa-"tion of refistance: and that " to impute resistance to the said « Revolution, is to cast black " and odious colours upon the " the faid Revolution." fourth and last article ends thus: " And that his faid ma-" licious and feditious fuggef-"tions may make the stronger "impressions upon the minds " of his Majesty's subjects, "he, the faid Henry Sacheve-" verell, doth wickedly wreft and pervert divers texts and " passages of Holy Scriptures."

Passages from the Bishop of St. Davids' Sermon.

God to his own secret purpose directs the worst actions of tyrants, no less than the best of godly princes. Man's abuse, therefore, of his delegated authority is to be borne by refignation, like any other of God's judgments. The opposition of the individual to the Sovereign Power, is an opposition to God's providential arrangements. In Governments, which are the worst administered, the Sovereign Power, for the most part, is a terror not to good works, but to the evil, and upon the whole, far more beneficial than detrimental to the subject. But this general good of Government cannot be fecured upon any other terms, than the fubmission of the individual to what may be called its extraordinary evils, (p. 17.) St. Pauls represents the earthly Sovereign as the vice-gerent of God, accountable for misconduct to his heavenly master, but entitled to obedience from the subject.

[147]

CHAPTER XI.

FEBRUARY, 1793.

CONTENTS.

France declares war against England and Holland—Our views in going to war, not avowed—The National Convention announces their reasons for declaring war—King's message to both Houses—Debates on the addresses moved thereupon—Mr. Fox's motion upon the grounds of the war—Dumourier enters Holland—Breda, and other towns besieged—Two thousand English Guards sail for Holland: and twelve thousand Hanoverian troops sent thither, to be under the command of the Duke of York—War-like preparations general throughout the empire—Four fencible regiments in Scotland resolved upon, instead of a militia—A militia proposed and adopted in Ireland, of sixteen thousand men—Five thousand men voted to augment the forces of that Kingdom—Mr. Gratan's caution to Ministers upon this augmentation of forces.

IT is the usual reply to all complaints against the ruinous war, in which we are fatally involved, that it is defensive, and therefore unavoidable on the part of Great Britain. True it is, that the first actual and express declaration of hostilities proceeded from the French Republic, when on the second of the month, the Convention decreed, that on account of the multiplied acts of hostility and aggression, (which were detailed in the Convention) the French Republic was, from that time, at war with the King of England, and the Stadtholder of the United Provinces. This open and manly conduct of the republic, was certainly more honourable and just, than the system of intended

infults, and avowed aggressions, with which this country provoked France, to the necessity of declaring hostilities. a humiliating circumstance for Great Britain to be outdone in candour, by any nation: peculiarly fo by the French in their present situation. It is beneath the spirit and principle of a Briton first to provoke, and then to assume the merit of being forced to the combat, by the acceptance of the challenge. The mean attempt could never have been made, but to dissemble truth or cover infamy. If the principles and views of the confederated powers, which we have coalesced to forward, will stand the test of honeit investigation, why not disclose them to the nation that risks its welfare in their support? Government, to this hour, either knows them not, or dares not avow them. The late proclamation of his Majesty, published at Toulon, which expresses a hope, that the other powers have the same moderate views in their exertions against France, which he has, bespeaks the ignorance of them on one hand: and on the other, the actual confederacy in a war of such magnitude, forbids the surmise of its being hazarded upon unknown principles, and with uncertain views. It is notorious, that Austria and Prussia, in making war against France, whilst it had a Constitution, must have had different views, than when they declared, that their intention was to re-establish royalty, upon the principles of that very Constitution. This policy of forcing France into the declaration of hostilities, was only for the infidious purpose of avoiding a declaration of the principles, upon which we entered into the war: a purpose as diffionourable to our enemy, as diffionest to ourselves. has been the late fatality of our countrymen, to be seduced by doctrines and opinions, which they cannot defend, and which they blush to avow. Mr. Burke has been explicit in detailing the principles, views, and motives of this war. He complained, indeed, in the debate of the 12th instant upon the war, that the progress of his opinion had been too slow upon the Nation; though now the full blown mischief had effectually alarmed

them into their full adoption. * " These madmen to be cured, "must first, like other madmen be subdued. Never shall I think "any country in Europe to be secure, whilst there is established in the very centre of it, a state (if so it may be called) found-" ed upon principles of anarchy, and which is, in reality, a col-" lege of armed fanatics, for the propagation of the principles of " affaffination, robbery, rebellion, fraud, faction, oppression, and " impiety." If, however, the fword be drawn to fubdue these madmen, to correct their morals, and to prevent the infection of their principles, the nation had furely a right to know the end to be attained by this vast expense of their blood and treasure: they were entitled to examine the grounds of this extraordinary miffion, to cure diseases, correct vice, and stem immorality by the dint of the sword. This is a spirit of chivalry very costly, and very precarious; a crusade to be warily preached up, and encouraged. Are then the madness, the anarchy, the vices of .the. French, a reason why Great Britain is to sacrifice her peace, treasure, blood, and prosperity? Is their cure or correction to. terminate the war? I blush, however, to contrast the manly, and fystematic conduct, of these very madmen, with our own.

They published a declaration, that the King of England had withdrawn his ambassador from France, and refused to acknowledge the ambassador of their Republic; that the British Government had obstructed the purchase of corn, arms, and merchandize made by French citizens, and agents of the Republic, and laid an embargo on vessels bound for France, prohibited the circulation of assignats, subjected French citizens to inquisitional vexations, and refused them residence in England, in violation of the treaty of commerce: that England had greatly increased its forces by land and sea, whilst at peace with every power in Europe, and boasted in parliament, that France was the hostile object of its armaments: that the British Ministers had uniformly returned their proposals and offers of

peace, with haughtiness, disdain, and arrogance: that they had sent a squadron into the Scheldt, to interrupt their warlike operations in the Netherlands: that the King of England had concluded a treaty with Austria and Prussia, their enemies, so recently as in the last month of January, and had drawn into the league against their Republic, the Stadtholder of the United States, who had since taken similar preparatory steps for hostilities against them: that they are necessitated to look upon these acts of the British Court, and of Holland, as acts of hostility, and equivalent to a delaration of war. It is to be lamented, that England has not been equally explicit in avowing and declaring the reasons and motives for the acts of aggression, with which the French Republic so publicly charge her.

The whole system of aggression and defence, and the detail of the reasons, principles, views, motives, and ends of the war, were fully entered into by both Houses of Parliament, in the debate upon the same day on which his Majesty's message concerning the war, was delivered by Lord Grenville to the Lords, and by Mr. Pitt to the Commons. Similar amendments were proposed in both Houses, to the addresses moved for to the Crown upon the message. These amendments went to express a cordial co-operation of the respective Houses in prosecuting a just and necessary war, in order to procure a safe and honourable peace; but, which should import no approbation nor fanction to ministers, for having through their imprudence or obstinacy involved the country in a war, from which it might be now too late to extricate it, otherwise than by the success of their arms or the basest of concessions. The chief supporters of these amendments in the Lords, were the Marquis of Landdowne and the Earls of Lauderdale and Stanhope; in the Commons, Meffrs. Fox, Sheridan, and some few others, whom Mr. Burke tauntingly, on this occasion, termed the dwindled phalanx * of Opposition.

^{*} Mr. Sheridan, in his reply to Mr. Burke, observed, that it was but lately that the Right Honourable Gentleman had bestowed this appellation upon the Opposition: he, however, gloried in it, for the

Here was again an accumulation of triumph to Mr. Burke, in the fure and general (though according to him, but too flow) operation of his * great lessons: because, in events like these our passions instruct our reason. The servants of the Crown very fuccefsfully excited the feelings of the members against the French, as a horde of affaffins, thieves, and regicides, then eafily discoloured every measure that had been adopted by them. Of all the reasons reported to their Convention for their declaration of hostilities, one only was positively denied, which was the conclusion of a treaty with the Emperor in the month of January. The production, however, of the papers concerning it, when called for by Lord Lauderdale, was refused. The Ministers admitted a negociation for a general armed combination against France, but disavowed any view or intention of interfering with her internal affairs, or of imposing upon her and particular form of Government. They represented several acts of the French Government as aggressions on their part; and infifted, particularly, that their decree of fraternization, their entry of Scheldt, and conquests in Brabant, Savoy, and Germany, were each a sufficient ground for declaring war against They admitted, that even after Chauvelin had been ordered away, Maret had returned with fresh proposals for ensuring peace; but that he had not been accredited, nor his propofals received: yet they affumed the merit of more patience, indulgence, and experiment in their efforts to avert the war, than the justice of the case, or the importance of the crisis, would perhaps justify. Refusing to accredit any Envoy from the existing Government of France, with whom they possibly could treat, they boasted of a special commission sent over to Lord Auckland to negociate for peace with Dumourier, who had received his command of the French armies from that very Provisional Executive Council, to which they denied the power of deputing

form implied a body of men compact in its formation, and acting with union and vigour.

^{*} Loassilions, p. 119.

an accredited Envoy. Appeal was, on the other fide, made to the notoriety of facts, and the undeniable conclusions from avowed principles. The recal of our Ambassador from Paris was ftated as a declaration of hostilities, within the express meaning of the fecond article of the treaty of commerce: that the prohibiting the exportation of corn to France, when other foreign markets were open, and the Alien Bill, were specific violations of the same treaty: the disgraceful expulsion of the French Ambaffador was an open declaration of hostilities. The question was forcibly put by Lord Lanfdowne, "Who are the aggref-" fors?-They who kept a Minister, or they who dismissed him; " they who offered to explain, or they who refused to hear; they "who offered to go on and trade in amity," or they who prohi-" bited the exportation of grain to them, whilst open to all the " rest of the world?" By this war we were making a common cause with Austria and Prussia, who had never hitherto avowed their views and principles, and we might, perhaps, be drawn in to join them against the will of the Nation, to impose a government upon France, a purpose which we had still the grace formally to difavow. Ministers had caused or permitted the alarms and passions of the Nation to be raised and inflamed. They have committed us in a war, and they dare not avow the causes of it, nor tell us on what terms peace might have been preferved, or may hereafter be procured. The event of the last campaign, and the example of the American war were ferious mementos to Minifters, that we may be compelled to make peace on terms lefs advantageous than could have been obtained without unsheathing the fword. All these who spoke for the amendment to the addrefs, uniformly expressed their earnestness in carrying on the war vigorously, whilst we were unfortunately involved in it; but persisted that they could not, in truth and justice, join in an address, which afferted it to be an unprovoked aggression on the part of France.

Mr. Fox and his friends were resolved to make one more effort to afford their country an unequivocal proof of their pa-

triotism, in discountenancing the necessity of the war: he accordingly proposed a string of resolutions, that tended fairly to discriminate the grounds of the war, which the Ministers avowed, from those which they disavowed; in order that the nation might, at all times, know how near they approached to the end, which was proposed by the war, and when the propriety or exigency might arise of making either a separate or a general peace. Mr. Fox candidly alledged, that his object for making these motions, was to procure a declaration of the precise grounds upon which Gentlemen had voted for the war; for, from many circumstances, he was induced to believe, that the real objects of our Ministers in going to war, were those which they disclaimed; and that those which they avowed, were only pretexts. Since, however, none of the resolutions went to the merits, but only to a manifestation of the reasons and grounds of the war, the same objections did not seem to lie against them, as might be raised against a motion of censure or disapprobation of the measure. The motion, however, produced a very heated debate, less interesting than any of the former debates upon the subject, by the repetition of old arguments; but superabounding with invective and malevolent infinuation. The House divided upon the motion, forty-four for, and two hundred and twenty-fix against it. The war being now irretrievably entered into, and Dumourier having failed in all his efforts to negociate for peace, entered Holland with his victorious troops, and foon reduced Breda to a capitulation: Klundert, Williamstadt, and Maestricht were besieged: and Bergen-op-Zoom, Tholem, and Steenberg were blockaded at the same time. Two thousand British guards were sent over to Holland, under the command of the Duke of York: and a body of twelve thousand Hanoverians were ordered to march immediately towards Holland, to be under his Royal Highness's command.

Warlike preparations were forwarded throughout every part of the empire. In Scotland, Mr. Dundas had proposed to establish a militia: but the plan was abandoned, and sour regiments

of fencibles were resolved upon in lieu of it. Lord Hillsborough moved, in the House of Commons in Ireland, for leave to bring in a Bill to establish a militia of fixteen thousand men: and on the same day, the Chancellor of the Exchequer moved to raise an additional force of five thousand men: both motions were agreed But upon the latter, Mr. Grattan wished to know precisely the purpose of this augmentation: whether to assist Great Britain in the French war, or to guard against the danger of domestic infurrection. He observed, that with this augmentation of the army, and the establishment of the militia, the Irish forces would amount to thirty-three thousand men, a force unprecedented in that kingdom. He added, that it was in reliance upon the candour of that House that they would give every necessary redress to the complaints of the people, that he agreed to the augmentation. He warned them against the fallacious hope, that the force, which they now received, would enable them to despise or reject the wishes and voice of the people. Ireland would never be more coerced by force.

Of all the powers that coalesced against France, Russia seems to have been the most politic and refined. She engaged to supply large subsidies, ten sail of the line, and whatever troops should be wanted to support the cause. It is believed, that she fent some pecuniary relief to the French Princes. She certainly paid marked honours to the Count of Artois, whilst in Russia, and fent him in a frigate to join his brother and the other emigrants in Germany. The ships have not as yet appeared in our seas, and her men have been lately known to march to no other country, than to subdue and to enflave the unfortunate Poles. yet, within her territories, she has been more rigorously severe in guarding against the propagation of the French principles than any sovereign in Europe. On the 8th of this month, she fent off the French ambassador, banished every Frenchman and woman from her states, who refused, upon oath, to renounce the present power of Government in France, and prohibited the importation of French books, journals, and newspapers into any part of the Ruffian Empire.

CHAPTER XII.

MARCH, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Mr. Sheridan's motion and speech concerning sedition—Secession of Mr. Burke and others from the Whig Club—The Budget—Mr. Sheridan's speech thereon—Traitorous Correspondence Bill—Money attached in the Bank, supposed to belong to the French Government—Loan of 4,500,000l.—12,000 Hanoverian troops subsidized by England—The Minister charged with delay in succouring Holland—The turn of fortune in favour of the Allies—Lord Auckland's memorial to the States General upon the turn of fortune—Dumourier defeated in three engagements—Evacuates Brabant and Holland—Archduke Charles enters Bruxelles—Joy at the departure of the French—Affairs of Ireland—Defenders—Declaration of the Dungannon association—Report of the Lords on the troubles in Ireland.

THE spirit of espionage and information, first engendered by the proclamation, since openly softered by Mr. Reeves's association, and certainly not discountenanced by Government, had now grown into such strength as to produce consequences of the most alarming nature. The agitated minds of the public were daily more and more inflamed, by the most terrifying accounts of domestic insurrections and deep-laid plans to destroy the Constitution. The dwindled Phalanx of Opposition was so epenly, so grossly and so considently abused and calumniated,

that to many their very names were fynonymous with the term of traitor and enemy: even in the very houses of parliament, prejudices, alarms, and fears, had operated upon many a conviction, that to disapprove of the war against France, was treason to England; that to examine or enquire into the grounds of public measures, had almost ceased to be the constitutional duty of a Senator; and to divide with Opposition, was but little short ofrallying under the standard of sedition and rebellion. Any scheme against the state, in which such able men as those who composed the Opposition had concurred, would have been truly alarming. The rank, talents, and respectability of the conspirators, had such been the case, were indissoluble ties upon Ministers to drag them forth to the justice of their offended country. Now that the fever of ala m has abated, and men are allowed to reflect upon the tendency of public measures, without incurring the fuspicion or guilt of sedicion, it will not shock the loyalty even of Mr. Burke to affert, that known acts of riot and insurrection must make kno vn some criminal perpetrators of them, that conspiracies and treasons cannot be discovered without the knowledge of the conspirator or traitor. Will he not allow, that the severity of the law loses its energy in ceasing to be exemplary? In justice, therefo e, to the calmuniated people of Great Britain, to rescue the public mind from the agitation of imaginary dangers, and to reestablish the confidence of a deluded people in the executive powers of Government, Mr. Sheridan moved in the House, that an humble address be presented to his Majesty, praying that his Majesty may be pleased to give directions, "that there be laid before the House of Commons, all the information which may " have come before his Majesty on the subject of sedition, in or-"der that it may be referred to a committee of this House, &c." With respect to the late supposed redition, and disposition to infur ection, and the larking treason, of which so much was said, and to much more seemed conjectured and suspected, there were three circumstances to be considered, and three points of view in which the subject ought to be placed. The first was, that the danger had been real. The second was, that the whole was a false alarm, really entertained by Government; in which case, the propagation, although unfortunate, was yet honest. The third was, that the whole was founded on a systematic plan, laid by Government, for deluding the sense and finally subduing the spirit of the people. In any of these suppositions, the only mode of satisfying the justice of the people, quieting the public alarms, or justifying the Ministers to the Nation, was to institute a committee of enquiry. In a very long and animated speech, he entered largely and clearly into each of these distinct points. Mr. Lambton seconded the motion: a very violent debate ensued, in which Mr. Fox, Mr. Wyndham, and Mr. Burke took the chief part. The motion however was negatived without a division.

That Ministers had purposely raised these alarms, in order to feduce the Nation into a war, which in cool reason it would have reprobated, is a fact, perhaps, at all times, out of proof; in vain is it argued against the feelings of interested parties at the time, and will only gain impartial credit from a future unbiaffed review of the facts, which both parties now admit, and variously represent. Certain it is, that no individual, from that time to this, has been profecuted for any act of riot or infurrection, or for any rebellion or treason, that could have given rise to any danger, or that ought to have caused any alarm in the country. The Ministerial party admits the fact, but attributes it not to the want of guilt, but to the impropriety or danger of punishing the criminal. The Opposition rest the innocence of the country upon this very pretended forbearance of Government to punish the culprit. This spirit of forbearance, whether grounded on mildnefs, prudence, or policy, has not fince continued very general; for there is fcarcely a bookfeller, connected with opposition, that has not, fince that time, been profecuted for having fold the works of Thomas Paine, at fome time within the two years, during which they were fo generally circulated with impunity.

Few circumstances mark more strongly the inveteracy of the political prejudice, with which Mr. Fox was at this time viewed

by the public, than the secession of forty-five members from the Whig Club; amongst whom were Messrs. Burke and Wyndham. Their pretence for seceding was, on account of the following resolution, which had been entered into on the 20th of the preceding month, viz. " That the club think it their duty, at this extraordinary juncture, to affure the Right Honourable " Charles James Fox, that all the acts of mifrepresentation which " have been so industriously used of late, for the purpose of ca-" lumniating him, have had no other effect upon them, than that " of confirming, strengthening, and increasing their attachment " to him." Upon this resolution, no difficulty nor objection could arise against Mr. Fox, but in the minds of those, who gave credit to the calumnies; and if they really believed, or knew him to be guilty of what he was accused, it was their duty to do fomething more than to withdraw themselves from a club, of which he was a member. In matters of fedition and treason, connivance, suppression, and permission are not wholly innocuous in this country: our laws make a serious crime of misprission of treason.

The Minister having succeeded in plunging the Nation into a war with France, by concealing from their fight both the principle and the end proposed to be attained by it, his next concern was, to suppress from their view, the necessary hardships that must attend it. Accordingly, in opening his budget for the current year, he took the average of the last four years successful peace, as the foundation for his present estimate; presumptuously flattering the nation with the delufive prospect of an increasing revenue, notwithstanding a ruinous war. The unprecedented number of bankruptcies, which have marked the present as the most inauspicious year to the trading interrest of this kingdom, has but too fatally detected the fallacy of this speculation. the fequel of the events of this history will prove the melancholy fullity of his boast of the prospect of our success in the prosecution of the war. Mr. Sheridan observed on the Minister's speech of this day, that it had little novelty, except the novelty of

introducing, in a day devoted to figures, all the arts of declamation. He had fuddenly laid down his pencil and flate, and, grasping his truncheon, had finished with an harangue more calculated for a General of a heated army, going to storm a French redoubt, than a Minister of finance, discussing accounts, the fober hour of calculation, with the stewards and attorneys of a burthened and patient people. Wherever he saw exertion and eloquence so misplaced, he always suspected there was some weakness to cover in the subject itself. He was the more led into the apprehension, by some very alarming hints the Right Honourable Gentleman had dropped, concerning new connections still to be formed. It seemed, the expensive corps of 12,000 Hanoverians were not the only foreign troops we were to pay. New subsidies and foreign mercenaries were announced, and in a manner that feemed to avow, that Government were adopting the general principles of the Austrian and Prussian confederacy. It requires an extraordinary degree of fagacity to find out the necessity of a more extended alliance, and more numerous forces to protect our Dutch allies from the invasion of the French, to fecure to them their right by treaty to the exclusive navigation of the Scheldt, and to preserve ourselves from the menacing effects of the fraternizing decree of the 19th of November. are these the avoived grounds for undertaking the war. irritation of the public mind was fystematically to be still kept up, and the strongest measures at home were the instruments of this fatal delufion. The plain fimple law of treason, settled in the 25th year of our third Edward, which, for 500 years, had been unexceptionably found effectual against all attacks and attempts upon the Constitution, was to be opened, after the fanction of fo many centuries had put its venerable feal upon it, to the admission of a variety of new crimes and offences, (as was observed by Mr. Fox in the House) framed merely for the purpose of lending support to the false ala m of sedition and treason, which Ministers had found necessary to excite in the country. The Attorney General moved for leave to bring

in the Traitorous Correspondence Bill; the outline of which he opened to the House to the following effect: That it was in future to be made high treason, 1st, To supply the existing government of France, or any persons in alliance with them, with arms or military stores, or to purchase any thing for them or any of them. 2dly, To purchase lands of inheritance in France, to invest money in any of the French funds, or to lend money. on any fecurity in France. 3dly, To go from this country into France, without licence of his Majesty and the privy Seal. 4thly, For a British subject to land in Great Britain without a paffport or leave, or else to deliver himself to the next magistrate, to undergo an inquifitorial examination, and faithfully to disclose where he had been, whither he was going, the reason of his journey out and home, and give furety to any amount required for his good behaviour. 5thly, To underwrite infurances upon ships and goods bounden from France to any part of the world. Messirs. Fox and Erskine very pointedly reprobated the Bill, as utterly repugnant to the principles of freedom, justice, and policy, militating against the interest of this country, and against the spirit of its fixed laws and conftitution. Leave was however given to bring it in. The great superseding principle of State necessity fanctioned this and every other public or private measure that could be forced into the fatal chain of French concerns. facred, and hitherto unviolated deposit of property in the bank of England, was no longer a fecurity to the individual, and the fum of 100,000l, deposited there, by the respectable house of Bourdieu and Cholett, was attached by the Attorney General, upon a supposition of its being property belonging to the persons exe cifing the powers of government in France. Mr Burke had long taught the necessity of these strong measures: * " There " is no fafety for honest men, but by believing all possible evil of " evil men, and by acting with promptitude, decision, and steadi-" ness on that belief." Calm reason and resection will inform

^{*} Letter to a Member, p. S.

us, that the hardship, cruelty, or injustice of violent measures ever rest with those who brought on the necessity of adopting them. The Traitorous Correspondence Bill was hardly combated by the gentlemen of the Opposition in every stage, upon the grounds of its obscurity, inconsistency, and manifest injustice; it was carried through the House, though no two of the Law Members agreed in their interpretation of the operation and effects of the different clauses; but the advocates for the war found it a necessary previous step to reconcile the minds of the public to the war, and it had all the appearance of an attempt to engraft a general belief of past guilt upon this specious necessity of preventing it in future. The Chancellor of the Exchequer has ever claimed a peculiar confidence from the public, for his minute and trusty attention to every object of finance. But Mr. Fox proved in the House, so forcibly, that Mr. Pitt admitted, that in negociating the loan of 4,500,000l. he had suffered, by the terms he had made, an evident loss to the public, and of course a gain to some individuals of 200,000l. Although in this instance a great minister of finance were ex confesso taken in, or over-reached in his bargain, yet it is ardently to be wished that future loans may neither augment patronage, nor supply the Minister with the lubricous means of purchasing support in those fatal measures which induce the necessity of taxation.

When on the 15th inft. in the committee upon the extraordinaries of the armies, the Minister brought forward the first resolution for subsidizing 12,000 Hanoverian troops, destined for the assistance of Holland, Lord Fielding charged him with the most criminal neglect in delaying to give assistance to Holland. He was supported by Major Maitland, who pressed upon the Minister facts and dates, which are more stubborn arguments than those of reason and censure, be they ever so pointed and just. Ministers had expressly acknowledged that on the 17th of December they considered the French as an enemy in the state of hostile preparation. They knew the desenceless state of Holland, and the danger that threatened it. Yet they only entered into the

negociation for subfidizing the Hanoverian troops on the 22d of February, and 1956 guards failed from Greenwich on the 23d of February. "Was this," faid he, "an exertion becoming " a great and powerful nation, at so critical and important a " criss?" By the delay in sending the guards, they were nearly lost in a storm. They were sent out to a post where there was, at that time, no prospect of victory; and had not the progress of the French arms been providently checked by the Austrians and Prussians, who had raised the siege of Maestricht, they must, in all likelihood, have fallen into the hands of Dumourier, as did the garrifons of Klundert and Breda. Ministers, however, could claim no credit for this turn of fortune, for, at this time, they were ignorant of it. The Chancellor of the Exchequer endeavoured to repel these charges of negligence, by stating, that the necessary preparations for such enterprises, had taken up the intermediate space of time from December to February. The public, however, have still to learn, how the space of eight weeks can be found necessary to transport so small an handful of men, ready armed and formed, from England to Holland, and they fee little other preparation necessary to put in motion this Hanoverian body of troops, than the mere ceremony of subsidizing them; which in plain English, imports nothing more than a change of paymaster. The King of Great Britain in future pays the troops, which the Elector of Hanover before main-The British treasury saving during the subsidy, to that of Hanover, the charges of all those standing troops of the Electorate, which Great Britain takes into pay; there must some, where have existed an unaccountable rage for negociating, that could fo ingeniously have spun out for two month, a treaty between the King of Great Britain and the Elector of Hanover, by fiscal difficulties, diplomatic objections, or any other dilatory means whatever. It is far from my intent to derogate from the merit of our brave foldiers who went over to Holland; and I do not, as is generally done, attribute the favourable turn of fortune to their arrival; they have, and ever will, execute with

distinguished bravery and resolution every order given to them; and I glory in faying, that they have uniformly through the whole campaign, most defervedly acquired the love and admiration of their allies, and the dread and equal admiration of their enemies. On the 19th of this month, the Court published at the Hague, a detail of events, that states the fair and real cause of this change in the affairs of the armies. " After the check "which the French invasion into our republic met with, the " fuccess of the allies against them, fince the first of this month "has been fo rapid, that it surpasses even the general expecta-"tion. The French armies twice beaten by the Imperial troops, " and once by the Prussians, have abandoned the bombardment " of Venlo, raised the siege of Maestricht, have evacuated " Ruremonde and its intrenchments, Aix-la-Chapelle, and Liege. "All the French troops have retreated into Brabant; and in " quitting the dependencies of Bois-le-duc, which they invested, "they loft a great part of their artillery; even the fiege artillery " is in the hands of the conquerors. Their forces are reduced " by a great number of killed, wounded, and prisoners. This " has happened within a week's time; and according to all pro-" bability, must change the face of affairs in such a manner, as " to make those act upon the defensive, who, not long before, " imagined they could carry every thing before them by offen-"five means. The road that lead to those advantages acquired " by the allied powers, was the victory gained by General Clair-"fait on the 1st of March, near Aldenhoven."

Soon after the publication of this paper, by the authority of the Court, Lord Auckland, our Ambaffador at the Hague, who had been to wait upon the Duke of York, on his landing at Dort, presented a memorial to their High Mightinesses, to congratulate with them upon this successful turn of fortune. It is curious to observe, with what dexterity Lord Auckland retorts upon the French, the obvious advantage they had lately taken of the Duke of Brunswick's thundering and inessicient manifestos against their nation. His Lordship excels in profiting of

the whimsical turns of fortune. He says, "That the sundry "manisestos, by which they (the French) anticipated the conquest of the Republic, being cruel and menacing in their
principles, would, at present, produce nothing but contempt,
if their short appearance had not been attended with violence
and cruelty, evidences of the ruin and universal destruction
which would have been most unavoidably the result of their
fuccess."

In the course of the month, Dumourier was forced to abandon his conquests in Holland in order to rally his forces in It was the last effort which he had to make to retain the possession of the Netherlands. Three very bloody and obstinate engagements took place between Dumourier and the Prince of Saxe Cobourg. The French were forced to retreat to Bruxelles, and on the 24th they evacuated that city, and the rest of the Austrian territories. About the same time, also, they retired from Breda and Gertruydenberg, and wholly evacuated the Republic of Holland. The month of March was an unprecedented scene of carnage. Above 30,000 men were slain in the different engagements that took place in the course of it. The re-conquest of Belgium was entirely effected without any affistance of the British troops. The Arch-Duke Charles, the brother of the Emperor, who had been lately created Lieutenant Governor and Captain General of the Low Countries, entered Bruxelles, and was received amidst the joyful acclamations of the people. It is to be observed, that the Commissioners, sent by the Convention, had, as Dumourier complains in a letter to it, " oppressed the Belgians by every species of vexation, violated " the facred rights of their liberty, impudently infulted their re-" ligious opinions, and robbed and plundered their churches for "the fake of the pitiful lucre of the facred veffels." They therefore exulted more in their retreat, than they had rejoiced at their first entry into Brabant.

The fpirit and feelings of the people at this time in Ireland, announced ferious grounds for alarm; the Diffenters and Indea

pendent party became daily more firm and resolute in their efforts to bring about a fair representation of the people in Parliament, and rested upon the emancipation of the Roman Catholics, as the corner stone of this great national object. The Roman Catholics had come before Parliament with the strongest recommendation from the Crown, and were confidently and firmly awaiting the refult of their deliberations. The doctrines of Thomas Paine, which had been so generally propagated with impunity through the lowest classes of the people, were operating their destructive effects in the rapaciousness and cruelties of their wretched and infatuated profelytes *. These unfortunate wretches, who called themselves Defenders, were by those who dreaded the fuccess either of the Roman Catholic petition, or the refolution to examine into the abuses of the popular reprefentation, which were both before Parliament, most maliciously mifrepresented, and were even openly spoken of in Parliament, as the hired instruments for intimidating the legislature into concession. The Dungannon Affociation thought proper to make an unequivocal declaration of their principles, to repel the ill-founded fuspicions. They published a series of the most constitutional resolutions of their attachment to the King, Lords and Commons; and particularly, that they highly difapproved of Republican forms of Government, as "applied to this King-

^{*} In the summer of the year 1791, I learnt with sorrow, that Mr. Paine's Rights of Man were so generally distributed about Ireland, that persons were hired to read them to such as could not read themselves, and that their adoption had become very general. I then said, Case stated, p. 19. "The lower class of the Irish, I understand to be a race robust and hardy, and of a very irritable disposition and nature; they are now indolent in extreme poverty, from being debarred the common resources of industry; and are averse to all laws, from having felt the constant pressure of such only as are galling and severe. It is scarely possible for these instanted zealots for sedition and anarchy, to have sound more ready materials to operate upon, than persons of this description so so circumstanced."

"dom, and they rejected with abhoriency those principles which " have a tendency to dissolve all government, and to destroy every wife and falutary distinction in society." They expreffed the fatisfaction with which they beheld Parliament engaged, and pledged to look into the state of the popular representation; that a complete reform of it was essential to the peace; liberty, and happiness of the people; and they solemnly pledged to their country, and to each other, the firmest perseverance in all conflitutional measures, till that great end should have been unequivocally obtained. The Roman Catholic bishops, and feveral gentlemen of landed property, not only published declarations against these Defenders, but entered into associations for apprehending and profecuting them. Yet could they not do away the fuspicion thrown upon them by some persons interested in keeping up the delusion, who had, as Mr. Burke fays, * " a " disposition to carry the imputation of crimes from persons to descriptions, and wholly to alter the character and quality of the of-" fences themselves."

A Committee of the Lords had been appointed to inquire into the causes of the disorders and disturbances which prevailed in several parts of the kingdom, and the Lord Chancellor was appointed by the Lords Committees to make the report on the 7th of the month; the first part of which consisted of an apology for bringing it forward so early; although they had not had time to make full enquiries (the Roman Catholic Bill was now pending in the House of Lords.) They proceeded to state, that from what the Committee should discover, these Desenders were all of the Roman Catholic persuasion, poor and ignorant, and sworn to secrecy; not appearing to have any distinct object in view, and yet that their measures appear to have been concerted and conducted with the utmost secrecy, and a degree of regularity and system, not usual in people in such mean condition, as if directed by men of superior rank. That sums of money had

^{*} Letter to Sir Hercules Langrishe, p. 19.

been, and continued to be levied upon the Roman Catholics at their chapels, and elsewhere throughout the kingdom; and a circular letter was annexed to the report, which enclosed a plan for a general fubscription, which had for its object the raising a fund for defraying the heavy and growing expenses incurred by the General Committee, in conducting the affairs of the Catholies of Ireland. They annexed, also, another letter from a Mr. Sweetman, to a person at Dundalk, concerning a relation of Mr. Nugent's, confined there under an indictment; and that it appeared, that this person, to whom the letter was written, had employed an agent and counsel, to act for persons accused of being Defenders. Yet after all this infinuation of Roman Catholic guilt, levying money, and giving affiftance to the accused, the Committee thought it their duty to state, That nothing appeared before them, which could lead them to believe, that the Body of the Roman Catholics were concerned in promoting, or countenancing these disturbances. They further stated several facts of meetings, both armed and unarmed, at Belfast and Newry; that feditious pamphlets were constantly published, extolling the example of France; that prayers were made from pulpits, for the fuccefs of the French arms; that armed bodies had uniforms in imitation of the French, with harps on the buttons under a cap of liberty, instead of a crown; that more gunpowder had been fent to these places than could be wanted for ordinary purposes; all which circumstances were intended to overawe the legislature, and procure a parliamentary reform. The Committee forebore mentioning the names of feveral perfons, lest it should in any manner affect a criminal prosecution. The Parliament proceeded in the Roman Catholic Bill, though nothing more was hitherto done upon the reforution to examine into the state of the popular representation in parliament. This fystem of alarming, by infinuation and misrepresentation, and calumniating a whole people, by criminating no individual, feems not to have been confined to one fide of the channel.

C H A P T E R XIII.

APRIL, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Proceedings on the Traiterous Correspondence Bill-Power in the Crown to prohibit British subjects from returning into their country-Mr. Sheridan's motion to address his Majesty to disavow Lord Auckland's Memorial to the States General-Five Millions Exchequer Bills issued to support Commercial Credit-General Fast-Vote of Credit for 1,500,0001.—Dumourier sends the Commissioners prisoners to Clairfait—His address to his Army-Cobourg's Proclamation in favour of the Constitution of 1789—Dumourier narrowly escapes, and goes over to the Austrians-Congress of Antiverp-Cobourg's first Proclamation revoked by a second—The war resumed—The Roman Catholic Bill passes in Ireland-The state of that Body, and their method of procuring relief-Mr. Keogh's Speech to the Catholic Convention-The Bill, with its exceptions-The Chancellor against it-Owns that he yields to necessity in consenting-Public rejoicings for its paffing.

THE domestic occurrences of this month, were chiefly consequences of those measures, which had been adopted in the preceding. Strong opposition was made in the Commons to the Traitorous Correspondence Bill, and to most of the new clauses and amendments which were introduced into it: for since its first introduction by the Attorney General, it had nearly changed both its form and substance before it even passed that

House. On the third reading Mr. Fox was very emphatic in his condemnation of it: he faid, "It was a Bill, which, with " one exception, was the most unjust in its principles, inadequate " in its provision, and tyrannical in its effects, that ever passed "that House—one for which there was nothing like a precedent " either in policy, justice, or humanity." In the course of the debates upon this Bill, a question was put to the Solicitor General, by Mr. Grey, Whether the Crown was empowered by law, to issue any proclamation, forbidding the entry into this country of a British subject, not convicted of a crime?-To which the Solicitor General answered affirmatively-for regulating the general policy of the country. At this answer Mr. Fox took fire, and in a strain of uncommon animation, proved its falsity and danger. "I am fure," faid he, " the King has no fuch power, " and never ought to have, and never will have, unless this House " shall scandalously neglect its duty." Upon Mr. Pitt's justifying the answer of the Solicitor General, which he also did with great warmth, Mr. Fox in reply faid, "I am justly alarmed when I " hear fuch fentiments from fuch a quarter; for it is not his "own opinion merely, that the Honourable Gentleman is " speaking; I say, I am justly alarmed for the liberties of my "country, when such exploded doctrines upon the King's pre-" rogative are attempted to be revived; doctrines, to explode " which the best treasure of this country was expended, and the " pureft blood fhed."

Well may these doctrines appear strange and alarming to those who have not subscribed to Mr. Burke's lessons upon the powers of the British crown: they cannot shock those, who, with him, see a more real, solid, and extensive power in the King of Great Britain, than the King of France was possessed of before this miserable Revolution. It is well known that a King of France, could by his edict, send any of his subjects into banishment; he might therefore keep them in banishment, by preventing their return into his kingdom. But it is equally well known, that the King of England cannot force any of his sub-

jects out of the realm, he cannot even compel them to accept of a foreign Embassy, lest the power of sending them upon such dignified missions, might be perverted to the purpose of keeping them in honourable exile. It is indeed known to English Lawyers, that the Crown may grant a writ of ne exeat regno, to keep a subject within the jurisdiction of the courts of law; but they know not the form of a writ of ne ingrediatur regnum. There may be cases in which a British subject ought not to be permitted to evade the rigour of the law, none in which he should be precluded from coming within its justice. Several British subjects were actually detained on board vessels at this time off Dover, by the actual exercise of this hitherto unknown power in the Crown, till they should receive passports or licence, to land on their native shore. How cruel, how unjust, how unconstitutional would it not be, to detain a British senator or officer (for there is no exception) who had been upon foreign fervice, from landing in his own country where his prefence was immediately necessary, either for public, or his own private bufiness!

An objection was taken by Mr. Adam, to that clause of the Bill, which provided that any offence committed against the act might be laid and tried in any country, and that the party accused or impeached, should be indicted, arraigned, tried, convicted, or attainted, by such like evidence, and in such form, as counterfeiters of the King's money. He maintained, that this clause militated against all the analogies of the English law, and all principles of justice. In all crimes of high treason, for supporting or abetting the King's enemies, which was the case of the Bill, the accused was allowed a copy of his indictment ten days before trial; a list of the jury, and a list of the witnesses to appear against him, and who could not be less than two in number; he was also allowed counsel in his behalf: but in the case of counterfeiting the King's coin, the whole was confidered as fimple felony, of which the evidence of one witness was sufficient to convict: no counsel to address the jury, no list of jurors nor of witnesses. There was much hardship in multiplying treasons, infinitely more in thus facilitating the means of conviction. He therefore moved an amendment, the substance of which was, that persons accused under this act, should be tried according to the 7th of William III. and the 7th of Ann, which provide for trials of high treason, in case of giving aid and comfort to the King's enemies. The motion was negatived, by one hundred and ten, against thirty-two, that voted for the amendment.

In the course of the debates, the Ministers, and Law Officers of the Crown, were forcibly urged to declare, whether the Bill were declaratory of the old, or introductory of a new law of treason: this they declined answering: their refusal afforded the opposers of the Bill this unanswerable dilemma—If it be declaratory, explain in what part of the statue of Edward III. this Bill is substantially contained: if it be a new and enacting law show the facts upon which you found its necessity. It has been the spirit and practice of our ancestors, whenever they have, on any occasion, found a necessity for opening the marked and known line of treason, as settled by the statute of Edward III. in the year 1350, to state the specific ground of necessity, and not to extend the operation of the new law of treason, beyond the continuance or duration of that necessity.

Mr. Sheridan had some time before given notice to the House, that he meant to make a motion of censure upon Lord Auckland, for the memorial which he had presented to their High Mightinesses, on the 5th of the current month; and on the 24th, he moved an address to his Majesty, to express the displeasure of that House at the memorial: that it departed from the principles upon which the House had concurred in the measures necessary for the support of the war: praying his Majesty publicly to disavow so much of the said memorial as contained a declaration of an intention to interfere with the internal government of France; and which expressed menaces against the perpetrators of sacts, of which neither this, nor any foreign nation can have cognizance; which compelled this country, either unjustifiably to carry

on the war, for the subversion of the present government of France, or disgracefully to seek peace, by an ignominious negociation with the very government we have insulted and stigmatized in our public acts: and that these menaces tended to give to the present war, a peculiar barbarism and serocity, by provoking and reviving a system of retaliation and bloodshed: and finally, to represent to his Majesty, how deeply the reputation of his Majesty's Councils was interested, in disclaiming these unjustistable, and, they trusted, unauthorized denunciations of vengeance, so destructive of all respect for the consistency, and of all considence in the sincerity in the public acts of his Ministers, and so manifestly tending at once to render the principle of the war unjust, the conduct of hostilities barbarous, and the attainment of homourable peace hopeless.

The memorial alluded to, is to the following purport: "It " is known, that towards the end of the month of September " last year, his Britannic Majesty and your High Mightinesses, " gave, in concert, a folemn affurance, that in case of the immi-" nent danger, which then threatened the lives of their most " Christian Majesties, and their family, should he realized, His " Majesty, and Your Mightinesses, would not fail to take the most " efficacious measures to prevent the persons, who might ren-"der themselves guilty of so atrocious a crime, from finding "any afylum in your respective dominions. This event, which " was foreseen with horror, has taken place, and the divine ven-" geance seems not to have been tardy. Some of those detestable " regicides, are, already, in such a situation that they may be sub-" jested to the sword of the law. The rest are still in the midst a of a people, whom they have plunged into an abyss of evils, "and for whom famine, anarchy, and civil war, are preparing " new calamities. In fhort, every thing we fee happen, induces "us to confider as at hand, the end of these wretches, whose madness and atrocities, have filled with terror and indigna-" tion, all those who respect the principles of religion, morality, " and humanity. The underligned, therefore, fubmit to the

"enlightened judgment and wisdom of your High Mightinesses, whether it would not be proper to employ all the means in your power, to prohibit from entering your States in Europe, or your Colonies, all those members of the felf-titled National Convention, or of the pretended Executive Council, who have discretily or indirectly participated in the said crime: and if they should be discovered and arrested, to deliver them up to justice, that they may serve as a lesson and example to mankind."

Mr. Sheridan made a very long, eloquent, and pointed speech, upon the arrogance, impolicy, and mischief of this memorial. Mr. Pitt desended and justified it in general: though he explicitly disavowed the principles attempted to be introduced into the war by Lord Auckland, which must have rendered peace impossible. The question being called for, Mr. Sheridan's motion was rejected by a very large majority.

The commercial credit of this country, had, by the diffresses of the war, been reduced to fuch an alarming degree of embarraísment, that it became necessary for Government to support it, by some speedy and efficacious means. A committee had been appointed, to examine into the commercial credit of the country, and they had reported, that it would be necessary, for the support of it, to issue Exchequer Bills, for 5,000,000l. at 21d. per cent. per diem, which were afterwards made isluable to commissioners, to be by them made out, under certain regulations and restrictions, for the affiftance and accommodation of fuch perfons as might apply for it, and who should give, to such commissioners, proper fecurity for the fums that might be advanced for a limited time. These were the early effects of the war. Though the fagacious, and never diffident Secretary of State for the Home Department, roundly afferted in the House, in the debate upon the report of the committee, that the evil complained of, and proved to exist, was so far from having been brought on by the war, that the prefent embarrassments arose from the prosperous state of the country at large. The very circumstance of the present stagnation was a proof of the spower and energy of this country. In

the course of the month, his Majesty sent a message to both Houses of Parliament, expressive of his intentions to prosecute the war with vigour and energy: he proclaimed a general fast, to draw down the blessings of Heaven upon the success of our arms, in so just a war; and a vote of credit passed for 1,500,000l.

The defection of Dumourier to the Austrians, was at first supposed to have turned the whole scale of affairs on the continent. On the 2d of the month, he fent eight commissioners from the National Convention, under a strong escort to General Clairfait. They were, faid Dumourier, specially commissioned by the National Convention, to arrest and conduct him a prisoner to their bar, and on any resistance, to have him asfassinated on the road. But, said he, "I have been before hand "with them, in fecuring these commissioners and their deputies " asmy prisoners." Dumourier adds, in his letter, " that he was "that inftant about to move, with the trusty part of his army, " in order to destroy all those who may further oppose them-" felves to the public good of France, and to give to that dif-" tracted kingdom permanent peace and tranquillity." Bournonville headed this commission. It was supposed that Dumourier would, after this, have immediately moved with his army to the interior parts of France. He addressed his army in a short, nervous speech. " It is time," faidhe, "for our army to discharge its vow, to purge France of its assassins and disturbers, and to restore to our unhappy country, the repose which she has lost by the crimes of her representatives. We must preserve the Constitution we have fworn to maintain: we cannot be free but with good laws: if otherwife, we shall be slaves of crimes." He afterwards made and published a long address to the French nation, in which he paints the anarchy, cruelty, and wickedness of the rulers of France, in the strongest colours. "But," fays he, "we have a rallying point which can stifle "the monster of anarchy: it is the Constitution we fwore "to maintain in 1789, 1790, and 1791: it is the work of "a free people; and we shall remain free and shall recover

"our glory by refuming our Constitution.." He tells them alfo, that their generous enemies had engaged to suspend their march, and not to pass the frontiers till his brave army should have terminated the internal diffentions of the kingdom. cheerfulness, bravery, and resolution with which Dumourier had found his army execute all his former commands, gave him reasonable expectations that, in the present crisis, they would not abandon him. In this confidence the Prince of Saxe Cobourg backed the address of Dumourier, by a proclamation in his own name, to the French nation. He first panegyrizes the General as a great and virtuous man, who truly loves his country: he then declares, that Their Imperial and Prussian Majesties are filled with esteem for the French nation, so great, so generous, &c. and that he will support by all the force that was entrusted to him, the generous and beneficent intentions of General Dumourier and his brave army, to give to France her Constitutional King, the Constitution which she had formed for herself, and of course the means of rectifying, if she should find it imperfect: he pledged his honour, that he would not come upon the French territory to make conquests, but solely and purely for the ends above specified.

The peculiar misfortune of this war has been, that not one of the combined powers has ever candidly avowed the principles upon which they undertook it, or acted on any emergency with the confistency and uniformity of a regular and honourable system. This proclamation of the commander in chief in April, was little compatible with those of the commander in chief of the preceding month of July. Now the whole force of the combined armies was to co-operate in re-establishing that very Constitution which they were then pledged to abolish and destroy. On the other hand, we beheld in the French an uniformity of principle, and an invariable source of enthusiasm, always tending with superior and unprecedented energy to the same open and avowed point. Of the many thousand men, of which the army of Dumourier consistent, he found not one thousand, upon experiment, to second his

design. He was, therefore, obliged to consult his own personal fafety, and with some hundred dragoons went over to the Austrian army. This was a ferious lesson to the confederated powers, of the spirit which pervaded the French Republic. It should have taught them, that an external enemy served but to rally their divided interests, and resistance to give energy to their united efforts. The first impressions of this fond delusion committed the Prince of Saxe Cobourg in undertaking to reestablish the Constitution of 1789: and betrayed Lord Auckland into that incautious and arrogant memorial, which was fo warmly debated in the Commons. It was natural for his Lordship to foresee a most prosperous harvest in Dumourier's coming over: he had not long before negociated with him in fecret: it is not then furprifing that the luftre of fuch an example, should have elevated his sympathy into this high tone of address. The real state of the case appears to be, that whilst Dumourier's army thought that the Convention had fent to arrest him on account of his retreat, which they knew he had made in a most masterly manner, they refented it as an insult to themfelves, and made it a common cause with their General: but when they discovered, that by sending Bournonville, and the other commissioners, to the Austrians, he was in concert with the enemy of their country, they turned upon him, and endeavoured to feize him. He was covered in his flight by his dragoons, fourteen of whom were shot by their fellow-soldiers.

When Dumourier had arrived at Mons, he requested to attend the congress that was then assembling at Antwerp: but the interest even of Lord Auckland could not produce him that honour. At this congress-were present, the Prince of Orange and his two sons, and his exclicency Vander Spiegel; the Duke of York, and Lord Auckland; the Prince of Saxe Cobourg, and Counts Metternich, Starenberg, and Mercy Dargenteau, with the Prussian, Spanish, and Neapolitan Envoys. The particulars of this congress were brought over by Sir James Murray, but have never yet been made known to the public.

From the imeasures adopted after the meeting, it appears, they resolved to commence a plan of active operations against France, and not to entangle themselves with the engagements so very recently entered into by the Prince of Saxe Cobourg: for within four days from the publication of his first proclamation, he revoked and annulled it entirely by a fecond, by which he declared a cessation of the armistice, and that he had given orders for recommencing the war with all the energy and vigour of which victorious armies are capable. The total repeal of the first proclamation of the Prince of Saxe Cobourg, can leave no doubt, but that the re-establishment of the Constitution of 1789, was no longer the object of the confederates: it concerns Great Britain not lightly, to know the new object, for which the war from this time was carried on. Was it the restoration of the ancient unlimited monarchy, or the difmemberment of the kingdom by the combined fovereigns? Our Ministers have denied it to be a war of extermination, or of vengeance.

During this and the preceding month, the Roman Catholic Bill passed through the two Houses of the Irish Parliament. It was brought in by Mr. Secretary Hobart, which bespoke it to have been first framed and modelled according to the wishes and intentions of Government, who certainly could afterwards be no more responsible for the fetters with which it was clogged in its progress through the Houses, than for any other measure, which is carried by a decided majority of a free and independent Parliament. After the honourable and paternal recommendation from the Throne, of the case of his Majesty's Roman Catholic subjects, a very different expression was to be traced in the features of the public, than what was observable during the preceding fessions. It is to be remarked, for the fair understanding of this notable act of legislative justice, even at a time, which-Mr. Burke did not fee so peculiarly favourable to the extension of civil freedom, that the Roman Catholic body (which they themselves affert to consist of 3,500,000 persons) had, in their first exertions, entrusted the mode and management of their application for relief to Lord Kenmare, and about threescore gentlemen of landed property, who were, or at least were supposed to be, under his direction and influence. The slow progrefs which was made towards the attainment of their emancipation under this commission, was attributed by the body at large, to the want of judgment, firmness, and energy in those, who had undertaken it. The act in favour of the Roman Catholics, which was boafted to have been lately procured by the influence of these Gentlemen, extended only to the right of catholics taking apprentices and of keeping schools, the power of protestants intermarrying with catholics, and of catholics being called to the bar; a removal certainly of some hardships, to which some of the body were certainly exposed. But it left about their necks the millstone of slavery, the want of the elective franchise, and fair trial by jury: without these, all buoyancy in the element of freedom was impossible. The body at large felt an aggravation of their disappointment after the paffing of this act, which affected so few of them, in the infult of being called upon for a vote of thanks to the indulgent legislature for the liberal boon; which was, notwithstanding, both in and out of Parliament, hinted and fometimes expressly afferted to have been a bill granted to fixty eight addressing Gentlemen, not to three millions of an oppressed people. Hence originated the measures of collecting the full sense of the Catholic body, by delegates from each county, and of applying no more to the Castle, but immediately to the source of mercy and justice, to the common Father of his People. They confided with reason, that his Majesty's ear would be ever open to hear the complaints, and his heart disposed to relieve the sufferings of his affectionate and loyal subjects. I cannot fo justly express the spirit and views with which the Roman Catholics proceeded in their efforts to attain this revival of their liberty, as in the words of the Gentleman, who was the most active in devising and profecuting the means of their attaining it: in these we shall not only read the facts and circumstances, but we shall also learn

the impression which they made upon the body itself. Mr. Keogh, a man of that strong and firm mind, which could cherish and improve the true spirit and ideas of civil freedom, in the deprivation of all its enjoyments, was deputed to England, to negociate an opening in their suture measures: and when, upon his return to Ireland, he met his brethren, at their Convention in Dublin, amongst other things most worthy of attention and consideration, he said:

"It would be tedious to relate the various struggles of the "Catholics of Ireland, to present to parliament their petition: "that numerous and diffressed body, could not find access, and " this too was a new triumph to those, in whom we used to con-" fide. What shall we think of that man, who might be adored " by three millions and a half of people, who might be of the " utmost importance in the state, and even to our gracious mo-"narch, by the confidence of the people; yet was contented " to descend from that station, in order to strut at a levee, the " contempt of every spirited man, to live despised, and die ne-" glected, and to have his name only known to posterity, as the enemy and traitor to the Catholics of Ireland? The state of the Catholics was indeed melancholy—no ray of hope from any " quarter. The loyal and respectable, and spirited Catholics of " Cork, those men, who, though borne down by the penal code, "when hostile fleets were on the coast, came forward to expose " their lives in defence of their country, disdaining then to speak " of relief; these men offered an humble address to the present " Lord Lieutenant, in which they expressed a hope that their past " conduct might procure them some relaxation of that dreadful " code of the laws. His Majesty's representative in this kingdom " declined to receive this humble expression of loyalty, because " it was accompanied with a hope of relief. A fecond application "was made—a deputation waited on the Secretary, with the " Penal Laws, and humbly entreated some relaxation from any " part of that dreadful code: this application never was ho-" noured with any answer whatsoever. Speaking of the Penal

"Code, I must digress to say, it was a sketch that was pre-" fented to the Secretary, and we now find a very imperfect one. "For a late publication, "The Digest of the Popery Laws," " the United Irishmen, and their respectable chairman, the Ho-" nourable Simon Butler, demand our warmest gratitude. I own, " feeling as I did, reftraint and disability, on every side, our rich " degraded, and our poor oppressed, yet my idea of that dread-" ful fystem was imperfect until I saw that publication. " return to the subject: Every application failing here, the Ca-"tholics proftrate without hope: the General Committee "thought it a duty they owed their Sovereign, to endeavour, "through his confidential fervants, to make known their fitua-"tion, to try that last effort, before they should resign their " trust, and tell the Catholics of Ireland, that the result of all "their loyalty and exertions, to obtain a restoration to the a common advantages of the focial condition, was despair, total "and unqualified despair. Accordingly, one of their body was deputed to go to London, in September last; there an applica-"tion commenced, and continued till Christmas, in which the " person deputed received the exertions and able assistance of a 66 respectable Gentleman, well known to them, (Mr. R. Burke.) " From the appearance of this negociation, there was every rea-" fon to expect, that although a great and vast catalogue of re-" strictions would be retained, yet sufficient would be removed " to afford protection to all the classes of our people, to our " houseless peasantry, to give a pledge of future benefits, and " to render it unanimously and sincerely grateful. " jects were, the Bar without restriction; High Sheriffs and " Magistracy in counties, and Grand Juries, and a share in the " elective Franchise. Our applications were favourably attended " to, and we had flattered ourselves all decided in our favour. "In this stage of the business, when the negociation was car-

"ried on three months—when it was just closed—a certain of noble Lord, who had used every effort, for four years, to keep us back—dreading lest the people should be relieved, notwith-

"flanding his conduct, then came forward, to promote that fa-"mous address—and to induce the fixty-eight to subscribe, many of whom were totally ignorant of the negociation going on at the foot of the Throne.

"Thus stands our obligation to these Gentlemen, and to the Bill, with which the promptitude and obsequiousness of their loyalty has been rewarded.

"It must be said, indeed, on their behalf, that they were promised a Bill to contain much greater benefits. How were
they treated? An outcry was set on foot, by men under influmence of the Castle, against our relief. These fixty-eight DUPES
were told, Gentlemen, 'you see there is a great outcry, we
cannot do what we promised—we can only now open the Law,
and that with many and degraded restrictions. I believe the
other objects contained in the Bill, will not be much inse sisted on.'

"Having stated some past transactions, I now come to what is more pleasing—that is, to state my opinion, that the time is not remote, when we shall meet to join with heart and voice, in the sincerest gratitude to Parliament, and to Government. However unfavourable some things appear, I am persuaded it is not intended to doom you to slavery, and that a wife government will adopt the patriotic measure of restoring you to the Constitution of your country.

"When that day arrives, and it will foon arrive, you will then prove your just and unseigned gratitude to your deliverers, to Government, to the Legislature, to the illustrious men who espoused your cause in parliament—to the virtuous, patriotic, and enlightened citizens of Belfast—the first, (let it never be forgotten) who came forward in a body, to apply to Farliament for our relief.

"While we pretend to honour, gratitude, or virtue, or have any claim to freedom, let this live in our memory, and be inprinted on the memories of our children.

"To Derry, we owe much: their decisions, though more

" limited, yet were honourable testimonies of their good will,

" and expressions of their sentiments, in the previous debate,

6 breathed liberal and manly principles.

. " My reason for thinking the time of deliverance approaches

" is, that it is impossible, on any other principle, to account for

"the conduct observed towards us. The proceedings of those

"who made the Penal Code, were confistent and systematic:

"they might be unjust and cruel, but they acted like men who

" had a plan. When they deprived us of liberty, they also doomed

" us to ignorance, and prevented our receiving education at

"home, or daring to receive it abroad.

"Indeed, they went a little farther, or rather laid the founda-

"tion for our disfranchisement, by the surrender of their own li-

" berties. The plan was but the more fystematic. But, as

"things stand at present, unless our emancipation is intended,

" all is incongruous.

"Why, in God's name, year after year, were the eloquence

" and abilities of Ireland, exerted in giving lectures in College-

"Green (reduced into practice by the establishment of an inde-

" pendent legislature)—to prove the bleffings of liberty, and the

" curse of slavery?

" And, left we should mistake, both are defined: we are told,

"that flavery confifts in being governed by laws, to which we

"do not confent by ourfelves, or reprefentatives.

"We look to ourfelves, and our expiring peafantry, and fee

" the truth verified.

"They tell us, taxation and representation should be insepa-" rable: we feel the effects of the contrary. We are told, that

" every man is born free, and that wealth, nay life itself, is not

worth poffeffing without liberty. We fee, indeed, the gentle-

"man who used these very words (one of the first in talents and

"connections of this country) vote for rejecting our petition

" for the right of franchife. But the truth is, if his conduct be

" inconfistent, his doctrine is unquestionable, and, though in-

15 stilled with less ability, would work conviction. Every Catho-

"ic in Ireland, whose library only extends to a magazine, or an old newspaper—reads their beautiful orations—we are to a man convinced.

"We look to America—to France—to the Netherlands—"to all Europe—and ask each other, why it is, that we, who are as faithful subjects as any King in Europe can boast—why are we thus reduced to flavery? for flavery it is—as defined to us by high authority—and that without crime—Why have our equals, our inferiors, our tenants, and even our servants, privileges which are denied us?

"Is it that we disagree about the elements in the sacrament? "With equal justice might the Copernican system be set up, and sworn to, as a test for civil and political liberty. From those considerations I am convinced, that it is not their intention, nor can it be, to doom you to a perpetual deprivation of the elective franchise: were it so, another and a very different mode would govern the conduct of our rulers. They continue, indeed, to talk of something which we are told, is to exclude us from the Constitution for ever, and which they call the protestant ascendancy—which they assert was sounded on the principles of the Revolution of 1688, though the word was never heard of till 1792."

The paternal recommendation of the Catholics' case from the Throne, operated instantaneously in their favour. The general good and happiness of the Kingdom, conquered in the breasts of most, the bias of early prejudices. Intolerance and oppression, after a faint struggle, ceded the palm to liberality, reason, and justice. The inveteracy, however, of some, was not to be overcome even in the agony of their despair: whatever could be saved to them from this wreck of their monopoly, they secured by exceptions from the broad and liberal relief which the first form of the Bill held out. Some of these exceptions were admitted, others were rejected. It is curious to observe, to what a degree of sublimation, the boon of the granting clause is refined by being thrown into the alembic of exceptions, introduced

to rectify the spirit of the Bill. This enacted, "that it shall, and may be lawful for Papists, or persons professing the Popish " or Roman Catholic Religion, to hold, exercife, and enjoy all " civil and military offices, and places of trust or profit, under " his Majesty, his heirs, and successors in this kingdom." However liberally the capacity of enjoyment is conceded to the Catholics, very fingular caution has been taken to cramp the liberality of his Majesty, in dealing out the favours. For it is particularly enacted, that nothing in the act shall extend to enable any Roman Catholic, to fit or vote in either House of Parliament, nor to be Lord Lieutenant, Lord Deputy, or other chief Governor of the Kingdom, Lord Chancellor, Keeper or Commissioner of the Great Seal of the Kingdom, nor to enjoy a feat on any of the Benches of the three Courts of Record, nor to be a Judge of the High Court of Admiralty, nor Master or Keeper of the Rolls, nor Secretary of State, Keeper of the Privy Seal, Vice Treafurer, or Deputy Vice Treasurer, Teller and Cashier of the Exchequer, nor Auditor General, Lieutenant, or Governor, or Custos Rotulorum of Counties, Secretary to the Lord Licutenant, Lord Deputy, or other Chief Governor of the Kingdom, nor Member of the Privy Council, nor Prime Sergeant, Attornev General, Solicitor General, Second and Third Sergeants at Law, nor King's Counfel, nor Mafters in Chancery, nor Provost or Fellow of Trinity College, nor Post-Master General, nor Master and Lieutenant General of the Ordnance, nor Commander in Chief of his Majesty's Forces, nor a General on the Staff, nor Sheriff, nor Sub-Sheriff of any County; nor to hold any office or employment of truft, or confidence, that can be established by the Lord Lieutenant and Council, under the 17th and 18th of Charles II. Notwithstanding this tantalizing exclusion from the encouraging rewards of every profession and calling, which were now opened to them, the Roman Catholics were gratefully fenfible of the inestimable bleshing they were admitted to, in the elective franchife, and fair trial by Jury.

The debates upon this important subject, were too copious to

report, and too interesting wholly to omit. I shall therefore notice but such parts of the speeches of the different Gentlemen, who took part in them, as disclose or confirm the detail of facts, which it is the duty of the impartial annalist to record.

The spirit and disposition of the Chancellor towards the body of the Roman Catholics, cannot be so impartially known, as from his own words in the debate upon the address to the Throne. They will also speak for those, who, like the Grand Jurymen of the Counties, could be dictated to, and influenced by his authority. "I did not," faid he, " expect that any fet of " men, would have dared to approach the Throne, with a grofs " and malignant deception upon the Father of his People. T " therefore feize this first opportunity, to reprobate and de-" tect it." Then, in a very long and heated philippic against the body and doctrines of the Roman Catholics, he added: "If any man can be so wild, as to look to a total repeal of "the Popery Laws of this kingdom; if any man can be fo "wild, as to defire to communicate the efficient power of a " free Protestant, to a great majority of the people of Ireland, " professing the Popish Religion; I do not scruple to say, that "it is an abfurd, and a wicked speculation. I am satisfied, " that as long as the nature of men continues to be what it is, " it is utterly impossible that a zealous Catholic can exercise the " efficient powers of Government in support of a Protestant " establishment in Ireland, or in support of her connection with " the Protestant Empire of Great Britain; and therefore, if I " am the fingle man to raise my voice against such a project, I " will refult it."

This irritation and foreness of mind upon the effects of the Catholics' Petition to the Throne, seem to have been equally selt by the Speaker of the House of Commons, though he did not express himself so strongly upon it as his colleague in opprasing the Roman Catholic claims. As the Bill proceeded, it we indeed observed, that the opposition to it was not so violent and determined even from the Chancellor, as upon the first agitation

of the question: for reports after this were rumoured, that doubts had been conceived in the British cabinet, of the policy of granting the Seals of Ireland to any other than an Englishman; and some extravagant notions began to gain credit in that kingdom, that the acceptance of appointments, involved a call of concurrence with the wishes of those who granted them.

At the fecond reading of the Bill in the Commons, the Right Honourable Mr Foster, after apologizing for breaking silence, undertook in the first place to prove, that the conduct of the Grand Juries, in declaring against the admission of the Catholies to the participation of the Constitution, bad not only been proper, but necessary and perfectly constitutional. He further faid, "Gentlemen have thanked the Irish Minister for this measure; "he could not thank him for it, though he could for many " others: from his foul he confidered it as the prelude and cer-"tain forerunner of the overt row of the Protestant Esta-6 blishment. The British Minister was the first to rouse this quef-" ti n, and he was not well a vised." He then attributes the fall of the country from unknown prosperity to the present state of alarm, mifery, and danger, not to " French transactions; they " have not been felt here, at least not till very lately: it arises a from the fears and agitations into which this kingdom has been " thrown, by the roufing and supporting this claim." The oppofition to the Bill in both Houses, was confined to very few: five or fix made up the formidable number in the Commons: there were, in fact, but two d'ssentient voices against bringing in the Bill; and in the Lords, the Archbishop of Cashell alone, openly supported the opposition of the Chancellor: his grace had committed himfelf to this opposition, by his formal declaration in the House, that the Roman Catholic relizion was a religion of knaves and fools. The same principle seemed to affect the whole opposition to the Commons; for Mr. Ogle expressly said, that the introduction of the Bill was, " the mandate of the British Minister. Let that Minister make his experiments at home, where he may be supposed to know something

"of the country, Let him try, will an English Parliament abolish the Test Act? He knows they will not. Why then fould he presume to direct the Protestant Gentlemen of Ireland to abandon their situation in the State?" This situation was the monopoly of the whole power of the country.

The fituation of the Catholic body up to this time in Ireland, was compenduously described by Mr. Hardy: " The major part " of the people of Ireland, at one time leaning on the Crown " for fupport, then looking for protection from some enlightened A Protestants: then following some persons of rank of their " own persuasion, then fixing their eyes on Dissenters, then on " both Houses of Parliament: and after all, turning their backs " on the Ministry of their own country, and flying to the foot " of the Throne for relief." Mr. Egan, after having stated, that he had formerly presented a loyal and dutiful petition from the Roman Catholics to that House, and that on the very next day the Administration expunged it, and its reception from the journals, with reviling calumny and precipitation. "But," faid he, " we now hear " his Maj sty's paternal benignity and liberality recommending " from the Throne, those complaints which you rejected from "the House of Parliament. I see the Sovereign of his people" " in effect, the vindicator of my conduct, the reprover of his Ad-" ministration and the advocate of his oppressed subjects." And Mr. Curran, adverting to these very circumstances, said, "Their " petition was rejected by those who called themselves their Re-" prefentatives: the next year that petition passed over that par-" liament, and approached the Throne. Had it been rejected " there, there remained only one other Throne for mifery to in-"voke; and from that last and dreadful appeal, let it never be " forgotten by Irish gratitude, that we have been saved by the a piety and compassion of the Father of his people." The Honourable T. H. Hutchinson said, "He would not state the " resolutions of the different Grand Juries, or what had passed "at the meetings of several of the counties, in consequence of " this plan; but would only remind the House, that their gene

"ral tendency went to form a perpetual bar against the Catholic " to all his pleas, and all his expectations, and to exclude him " forever from the pale of the Constitution. He did not lament " those proceedings, because they had produced the scene, in " which the committee were then engaged: the Catholics, firm " in the pursuit of their object, had not yielded even to the au-" thoritative opinions of those very respectable bodies. Too " bold to be intimidated, and too proud to be coerced, they be-" came animated, not awed; they fought protection at the foot " of the Throne, and had returned under the stamp of the royal " recommendation, through whose wise and benevolent interpo-" fition, we had now adopted their complaints." Besides these speakers, Mr. Grattan, Sir Hercules Langrishe, Mr. Conolly, Mr. D. Browne, Major Doyle, the Provost of the College, Mr. Secretary Hobart, and several others, delivered themselves in fentiments of the most admirable humanity and constitutional love and zeal for their country, in favour of the recommendation from the Throne. Doctor Duigenan, almost alone, vented the most embittered horror of the Roman Catholic Religion and Body, that could be expressed in an exaggerated detail of every false, scandalous, or malicious report, that had ever been raised or published against them. Such, however, was the liberality of the House, as to be little affected by the narrative.

Before this Bill was committed in the House of Lords, the Lord Chancellor, though his first effervescence had rather cooled, in a speech of considerable length, and of uncommon virulence against the Roman Catholics, pointedly animadverted on their past conduct, which he endeavoured to prove, by a long statement of historical sacts, ought to be the most powerful inducements to the House, to reject all their demands. If he consented to the Bill, it was because the situation of the country now rendered it necessary. He then endeavoured to prove, that the religious sury and bigotry of Papists in Ireland, was now as great as it ever had been; and that there was a moral impossibility for Protestants and Catholics to agree in political interests. He

accused them of wishing to annihilate the present system of reprefentation, and to found it on a baftard King. "In earlier "periods of Governments," faid he, "the Irish never thus "loudly complained of any one English act of oppression. " was of late, the present most fantastical idea that now prevails " among them had its birth, for that it feemed to him, that the "heavy hand of God was at this time stretched over the land. "Every one now looks upon his neighbour with a jealous eye, thinking him an impediment in his own way to power and pre-" eminence." The Archbishop of Cashell vehemently opposed both the spirit and principle of the Bill. It was, however, ably and humanely supported by other Prelates on the Bench. The Bill was passed, to the general joy and satisfaction of the kingdom at large, and the Catholic Delegates prefented an address to his Majesty, and to the Lord Lieutenant, expressive of their gratitude for its having passed into a Law. Amidst the general and peaceable testimonies of the public joy, for the emancipation of the bulk of the Irish Nation, the Corporation of Dublin granted the freedom of their city to Dr. Duigenan, as an honourable testimony of their gratitude to him, for his steady zealous, and persevering opposition to the Bill, in every stage of it.

C H A P T E R XIV.

MAY, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Mr. Grey's motion for a R. form in Parliament—Twenty-three petitions presented for it; some of them signed by 15,000 persons—Two days debate thereupon—Rejected by 282 against 42—Scotch Catholic Bill—Mr. Dundas declares his intention of establishing a Scotch Militia—The Irish Militia—Opposition at sirst against it—Penalties on Catholics entering into the service of Great Britain, by Land or at Sea—Battle of Maulde—Camp at Famars taken by the combined forces—Furnes taken by the French—Tobago taken from them—Mentz invested by the King of Prussia—The emigrant legion of the De la Chatre.

FEW things could have more clearly proved the prevalence of the prefent spirit of opposing public petitions against grievances, than the division upon Mr. Grey's motion for a Reform in Parliament, after two days debate; the numbers being 42 for the reform against 282 who opposed it. It would be useless to attempt to follow the different speakers upon this great and important subject. Their arguments upon it can receive no additional force, no further elucidation; whilst our passions continue to instruct our reason, we must patiently look for the change of reason in the turn of events that may excite a difference of passions. Circumstances may happen that will dispose some, and alarm others to as upon truths, that have hitherto remained mere speculative and inoperative affections of the mind; when

the dread of meeting conviction will be less than that of rejecting investigation; when the feelings of the people shall mark more pointedly the proper time for confidering their grievances, than the judgment of their representatives. The attempt has been made at all times; in times of war, and in times of peace; when commerce flourished; when its credit was expiring: when the minds of men were eafy and free; when they were foured and vacillating; in the hour of invasion and rebellion; in the glow and transport of loyalty and triumph. Ingenuity can fearcely devife a possible novelty of circumstance under which this reform has not been brought forward and rejected. Mr. Pitt's speech on the second day of these debates, chiefly rested upon the impropriety of the present moment for attempting any fort of reform. Many others also rested their opposition to the motion upon the fame ground. Twenty-three petitions were prefented to the Commons on the first day of these debates, by different members; some of them signed by many thousand names (as far as fifteen thousand). Mr. Burke was amongst the most forward to oppose Mr. Grey's motion; and vehement as he is in warning this country against every fort of innovation or reform, he could, with undeniable reasons (though with unaccountable inconfiftency) encourage and forward in his own country, without danger of following the example of France, the strongest measure of reform that has been effected since the establishment of the British Constitution; the admission of above three out of four millions to vote for their representation in Parliament. In England he could not fee what was to clear to him in Ireland, that * " because wisked men of various descriptions are " engaged in feditious courses, the rational, siber, and valuable part of one description, should not be included their sober and ra-"tional expectations."

The body of Roman Catholics in Scotland, which confifts of about twenty thousand, chiefly of the lower order of society, had

and a fant Letter to Sir Hercules Langrishe, p. 8.

made frequent applications to Government, to be relieved from the hardships of a very severe penal code, under which they laboured. A deaf ear had been constantly turned to their application; generally upon pretext of the inveteracy of the prejudices of that kingdom against them. This illiberal idea was kept up much longer than necessary, not only to the prejudice of the suffering Catholics, but to the scandal and calumny of the Scotch nation. Mr. Dundas, however, upon being persuaded that such a measure of liberality might now insure him some popularity in the country, consented to the introduction of a Bill, which passed without any opposition, by which the Roman Catholics of Scotland were put nearly upon the same sooting as those of England, upon taking the same oaths of allegiance prescribed for English Roman Catholics. The news of its passing into a law, gave general satisfaction and joy throughout the kingdom.

At the beginning of the fession, mention had been made in the House of Commons, by Mr. Dundas, of an intention of establishing a Militia in Scotland. Nothing had been done since that time upon the subject, and Major Maitland now put the question to the Right Honourable Secretary, whether he had, or had not abandoned the idea? He answered, that late as it was in the session, he assured the should take some step towards effecting it. None, however, was openly taken.

The Militia Bill passed the Irish Parliament with little opposition. An attempt, however, was made by that party, which had so zealously opposed, and so reluctantly yielded to the Roman Catholic Bill, to exclude the Roman Catholics from appointments in the Militia. Though the system was cordially adopted in many counties; yet in others, serious effects were apprehended from the resistance which was offered against it. These difficulties were, however, but of short duration; obvious reasons occured to perfuade the people of every description to enter freely into the Militia; the steady and peaceable were eager to lend their aid to strengthen the hands of Government, and secure the peace and tranquillity of the country; the discon-

tented and active found in the legal possession and use of arms, the fure defence against oppression, and the ready means of redrefs. The crooked policy of the party, from whose tenacious gripe as much power feemed to be wrested, as was conferred upon the Roman Catholics, had nearly exposed the country to very serious danger. Unable to prevent the liberality of Parliament from admitting the Roman Catholics upon an equal footing with the Protestants into the Militia; they at first successfully exercifed their influence and power in the country to fill up all the appointments with Protestants, to the utter exclusion of the Roman Catholic gentlemen, in those very counties where they were most numerous. This gross partiality began to operate very seriously upon the Catholic peasantry, from amongst whom the Militia-men were principally ballotted; the partial system was necessarily abandoned, and the different corps of Militia were then quickly and peaceably completed.

The importance of this populous nursery of flout and valiant recruits both for the land and sea forces of Great Britain, is too great to justify my silence upon a subject of such national confequence, upon which the public feems to have given into an error of no small moment. It is a generally received idea, not only throughout Great Britain, but in Ireland itself, that since the passing of the Roman Catholic Act, the army and navy of Great Britain are as open to Irish Roman Catholics, as to any other description of his Majesty's subjects. The Irish Parhament has, indeed, declared Roman Catholics capable of any military office and employment: but it is to be remembered, that Ireland has no permanent military body (except now the militia) subject to their constant jurisdiction; the troops of Great Britain upon the Irish establishment are merely subsidized, as it were, by Ireland; they are paid out of the Irish treasury, and during their relidance in that kingdom, are under the temporary controll of the Irich Parliament. There is no Irish navy. Every Irish as well as English Roman Catholic, who wishes to serve his country, either in the army or navy of Great Britain is p.o-

hibited by the statute (I Geo. I.) to do it, without renouncing his religion by oath: if he refuse to take this oath of renuncia ation within a limited time, he is not only expelled from the fervice, but he incurs also a civil death, by being rendered incapable of bringing any action at law, or fuit in equity; of being a guardian, executor, or administrator; of taking a legacy or deed of gift; of being in any office in Great Britain; of vot ing at any election for members to ferve in parliament; and shall, moreover, forfeit the fum of 500l. to any person that will inform against him. If candour and good faith be to be holden with the loyal f bjects, who tender their fervices to their country, press-gangs and recruiting serjeants should be preceded by heralds, to announce the pains and penalties which those who retain the faith of their ancestors, will incur, by being forced or enlisted into the service of their country. It is well known, that upwards of seventy thousand recruits were raised in Ireland during the American war; and, as the Legislature has now declared the Irish Roman Catholics capable and worthy of serving their King and Country, these observations will not be found irrelevant to the subject of this History.

There would be little need of offering bounties of fifteen guineas per man for enlisting recruits, if the recruiting ferjeants were properly instructed to display the liberal and noble and irresistible terms of the Irish Roman Catholics' engagement. "Welcome, brave, loyal and free soldiers! Long live the King, the happy and free Constitution! Welcome into your long lost rights; your liberty of person, of property, of fervice, and of conscience. Your loving, your generous, your glorious country calls for your services, to crush the tyranny, flavery, and wickedness of your Gallic neighbours. Which of you can withstand the enthusiastic glow of such a call? To crush tyranny, and give to mankind that liberty which you yourselves enjoy in such an eminent degree. Live the cause of British freedom! Once enlisted, my brave fellows! you will be happy to sacrifice your all to support it. For the mo-

ment of your engagement, is the word of command to renounce your religion; the practife of it you will be difpented
with, or marshalled by beat of drum to a better and purer fervice. Whilst you remain under the jurisdiction of the British
Parliament you shall be civilly dead, that you may suily know
the benefit of returning into your own free and blessed country;
and should fortune bless you with prize-money, sive hundred
pounds of it will reward the good services of a neighbourly
informer, that you did not make a timely renunciation of
your religion."

On the 22d of February, in the House of Peers, Lord Farnham proposed an amendment to this clause of the Roman Catholic Bill, by rendering its operation conditional, until Great Britain should pass a similar law, to open the army and navy to Catholics throughout the whole British empire. But the Chancellor opposed the amendment; "for," faid he, "it could " not be supposed, that his Majesty would appoint a man to such " a post, until the laws of the empire should fully qualify him " to act in every part of it. It was more than probable, a fimi-" lar law to this would be adopted in England before the lapfe " of two months, and on this ground the amendment would be " wholly unneceffary." The learned Lord forgot, that the Act of Geo. I. applies its rigorous effects expressly to foldiers and feamen, as well as to officers. Eleven months are now elapfed fince this liberal promise was holden out, and the severity of the law is still fully operative against every Roman Catholic who renders himfelf liable to it, by engaging in the military or naval service of his country.

On the 8th of the month, the combined armies engaged the French near Maulde, in which the British troops turned the fate of the day in their favour; and on the 25th, the combined forces under the command of the Prince of Saxe Cobourg and of his Royal Highness, deseated the enemy, and drove them from the strong and important camp of Famars, of which they took possession. The French entered without resistance the small town of Furnes,

and threatened Oftend. The island of Tobago was taken from The king of Prussia had been for a long time bethe French. fore Mentz with an immense army. The Royalists rose in a formidable body in Britany, and gained feveral advantages over the Republicans. About the close of the month, a body of 600 French emigrants was raifed in England, and taken into our pay; they were commanded by the Count de la Chatre, but were not embodied till they arrived at Oftend. An offer was made by Government to embody five legions of French emigrants, of 600 men each; but the disagreements among themselves, their objections to the commanders intended to be placed over them, or their coldness or despair in the cause, deseated the intentions of Government, and this fingle legion of Monf. de la Chatre, was in the course of several months, with great difficulty formed.

CHAPTER XV.

JUNE, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Mr Birch's motion in the Commons, about a rumoured scarcity of arms—Debates in the Lords upon Lord Auckland's Memorial—Lard Stanhope's motion for printing our Treaties with the Continental Powers—Dumourier in London—Valenciennes besteged—Support given in Ireland to Commercial Gredit—Tractorous Correspondence Bill passed in Ireland.

FEW things of any material confequence occurred during the course of the current month, either in or out of Parliament. Mr. Birch, in confequence of the rumoured scarcity of arms, and knowing the fact to be, that several regiments of militia and regulars had applied unsuccessfully for arms, moved in the House of Commons, that an account be laid before the House, of the quantity of small arms in store in the Tower. Mr. Rose opposed the motion; and Mr. Birch consented to defer it. On this occasion, Mr. Sheridan asterted, without being contradicted, that it was rumoured and credited, that there were not, at that time, more than two or three thousand stand of small arms in the Tower, whice, if a fact, was a criminal neglect that ought to be enquired into, and traced to those who had been guilty of such neglect. It was, to him, an additional proof, that the whole of the late tremendous preparations and formidable engrenchments

of the Tower, against internal dangers, were mere fiction and pretence.

The confideration of Lord Auckland's memorial to the States. General had been several times attempted to be brought before. the Lords, by the Earl of Stanhope: on the 17th instant, he made his promised Motion in a very full house. His speech, on. this occasion, was chiefly grounded on the idea, That all Memorials, holding language of ill-timed menace, only ferved to irritate and provoke retaliation. Such had been the proclamation of General Burgoyne to the Americans; such those of the Duke of Brunswick to the French. He pointedly reprobated the idea of appropriating to our cause the avenging arm of Divine Providence: the fuccess of war was not to determine the justice of the cause in the decrees of the Almighty, any more than the morality and virtue of individuals were to be estimated by their temporal prosperity in this life. Lord Grenville defended the Memorial, as grounded in the spirit of the Ambassador's Instructions, the Speech of his Majesty, and the Addresses of both Houses of Parliament upon it. His Royal Highness the Duke of Clarence took an opportunity, in this debate, of expressing his fentiments upon the war. Not conceiving that any thing cruel in its tendency, or oppressive in its nature, could originate with a British subject, he had been willing to attribute the composition of this Memorial to the Austrian Minister, who had signed it jointly with our Ambassador. He was forry that his conjecture was groundless. With regard to the war, though he thought it had commenced on the principles of justice and necessity, he found neither of those principles to warrant its continuance; he had the satisfaction to see the avowed object of the war obtained. The danger which had threatened Holland, was completely removed; there had ceased, therefore, the immediate and only avowed cause, for which we had undertaken the war. Lord a Auckland offered nothing new in his own defence, which he rested upon what had fallen from the Secretary of State. The Chancellor was very animated in support of his old friend's Me(though with Lord Scarborough's referve upon its profecution) till the honour of this country to its allies were, in its fullest extent discharged, and their security ensured. In reply to the Chancellor, and the other servants of the Crown, who had spoken upon the subject, the Earl of Guildsord said, "he rose to answer a question that had been that day more than once put by discrete noble Lords. It had been asked, with whom were Ministers to treat for peace? In answer, he would say, that their fundaments of send armies against, and could not find out persons fit to negociate a peace with." An amendment of Lord Grenville's to the Earl of Stanhope's motion, which went to approve of the Memorial, was put and carried without a division.

With the same vigilance with which the noble Lords, who reprobated the Memorial of Lord Auckland, attended to the honour of the Country, did they, on a future day, exert themselves in endeavouring to fecure its fafety. The Earl of Stanhope moved for the printing of certain Treaties, which this country had entered into with the powers of the Continent. This was opposed by Lord Grenville, to whom the Duke of Norfolk replied, and forcibly reprobated the unprecedented and dangerous delay of laying fuch important papers before the House, for the opinion of their Lordships: there were no less than three treaties, offensive and defensive, entered into between this country and confiderable continental powers, figned in May last, and not prefented to the House till within two or three days of the close of the fession. His Grace was desirous that they should be printed, that the country might know to what extent the war was about to be carried; and that Ministers were hazarding a long continuance of calamitous evils, by entering into the views of a variety of continental powers. The motion was lost. Thus closed the fession of a parliament, convened upon the strength of facts, which are univerfally known not to have existed; * and supported throughout by an implicit confidence, and unlimited credit to Ministers for an equal necessity of enforcing and suppressing the grounds of the strongest measures.

Nearly about the time that the combined armies laid regular fiege to Valenciennes, Dumourier came to London. Whether any public or private speculation brought him over is not ascertained; he remained but two days in town, and was forced to quit the kingdom under the provisions of the Alien Act.

The ruinous consequences of the war spread over every part of the British empire; Government found itself obliged, in Ireland, to lend support to the drooping credit of the very first commercial powers; and Parliament undertook to make good the sum of 200,000l. which the Bank had advanced to mercantile persons, with interest at five per cent. The Parliament of Ireland passed also a Traitorous Correspondence Bill, similar to that passed in Great Britain.

^{*} The words of the A? (30 Geo. 2. c. 25. fec. 46) by virtue of which this parliament was convened, and the militia drawn out and embodied, are in case of actual invasion, or upon imminent danger thereof, or in case of rebellion. It is then correct to state, that neither of these cases was known to have existed.

A 7 14

7.73

CHAPTER XVI.

JULY, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Mr. Reeves's affociation—Their publications and doctrines—Up-wards of two thousand such affociations formed—Lord Hood's Fleet in the Mediterranean—Lord Howe has the command of the Channel Fleet—Conde, Mayence, and Valenciennes taken—Unsuccessful descent at Martinico, by Admiral Gardner—Convention Bill in Ireland—Thoughts relative to it—Libel, Givil List, and Pension Asts passed in Ireland.

ALTHOUGH the Parliament had closed the fession, it was still found requisite to keep awake the spirit of alarm and agitation, which had seized the Nation from the month of December. The means of attempting this, were as fingular as they were new. As the measure was not in its nature transient, but is, in some degree, still operating its effect upon the public, it is peculiarly incumbent upon me, to apprize my reader of its origin, nature, and tendency. Mr. Reeves's affociation now thought proper to bring before the public, a collected fum of their meritorious fervices to the country, with an unequivocal avowal of their views and proceedings. They, accordingly, published a confiderable volume of their affociation papers, with a well written preface, which bespeaks the pen of talent and informa-And when we throw our eye over the lift of the eighteen names, which form their committee, from the known abilities, knowledge, and respectability of the individuals who compose it, I will readily own, that an implicit credit might have been ex-

pected from the Nation for every measure they should adopt. It is therefore certainly a hazardous, and, probably, an invidious talk, to speak otherwise than in commendation of the proceedings of fuch respected members of this community. But, amicus Plato, magis amica veritas. In the collected view and review of the late public measures, I cannot help seeing a concerted league to introduce or revive, in this country, a spirit and principle disavowed fince the æra of our Revolution, in order to engraft upon them a system of measures, that would have received neither life nor vigour from the old stock of the British Constitution. I view Mr. Burke, as the Æolus of this league. He formally anathemises every man who condemns him and his book, as condemning, of course, all the principles of the Constitutional Whigs of this Kingdom. His zealous colleagues or imitators fet out upon he same claim of infallibility of doctrine, and confidently announce to the public * that they can have no enemies, but such as the law would term offenders. Their chief aim in this preface, is to convince the public, + that none of the King's Ministers knew, or heard of this affociation, till they faw the first advertisement in the public prints. It was planned without their "knowledge, and has been conducted, to the present moment, " without their aid. The Minister had no more to do with this " affociation, than of the two thousand, and more, that were " formed in other parts of the Kingdom." So peculiar a folicitude to deprive his Majesty's Ministers of any share or merit in establishing and forwarding an institution, to which none but public offenders of the laws of their country, could be enemies, bespeaks a degree of doubt, either as to the truth of the affertion, or the propriety of the fact. If, however, we reflect upon the closeness with which Ministers were urged in the House of Commons, to state the grounds of the strong measures, they were then taking, and that they were either unable, or unwilling to admit, or disclose them; we must necessarily allow some closer,



^{*} Preface, p. iv. † Ibidem.

and more intimate connection with Ministers, than ordinary, that could have authorized men of fo much respectability, to declare unequivocally to their country, that * it was known, "that " emissaries were paid by France, to stir up sedition; and engineers " fent to affilt in military operations; that a revolt was planned in " the beginning of December, when the Tower was to have been " seized: the agents in these designs, whether French or English, " were likewise known." If such things were known, either through Ministers to these associators, or through these associators to Ministers, the country had a call, an irrefistible call for example and vengeance against the delinquents. These acts, which are so publicly declared to be known, were acts of the highest and rankest treason: and by the I Ed. 6. it is enacted, that concealment or keeping secret any high treason, shall be from henceforth adjudged, deemed, and taken misprision of treason, and the offender therein, shall forfeit and suffer as in cases of misprission of treason, as heretofore hath been used. I wish not to throw responfibility where none is affumed. The affociators have very explicitly undertaken to avow and defend their different publications, and have boafted not lightly of the effects they have already produced. + " These papers consist of two classes. The first are " fuch publications as the fociety ordered to be printed, after "they had been perused and approved by the committee. The " fecond confifts of tracts that were put to the prefs, without the " fpecial direction or approbation of the committee, by a person " in whom the committee confided. It was endeavoured, by such " publications as the prefent, to counteract the poison that had " been disseminated, and to restore the minds of the people to " that tone of good fense, which had ever been the characteristic of this country. The fuccess fully answered the expectation: " by these means falsehood was refuted; sophistry exposed, and " fedition repelled: the peculiar happiness of our Constitution "was displayed; defigns of pretended reformers were examined;

^{*} Preface vi.

[†] Page xi.

" and the principles of civil fociety were fully opened and ex" plained."

As the different papers are not published in the order of their respective classes, the public may be at a loss to know to what class each paper ought to be referred. The Committee has, however, generously undertaken the responsibility of both classes. The re-publication of a work written by an author of no mean repute, evidently argues a more unequivocal, and reflected approbation of the doctrines contained in it, than the first publication of a new production. More ferious inference is, therefore to be drawn from the Committee's adopting and re-publishing the doctrines of Mr. Soame Jenyns, upon the basis of our Constitution, than from their instructing the nation, through the mouth of Thomas Bull, that the oily chrism gives civil power, and that God alone makes Kings*. No man who wishes to preserve the spirit and being of the British Constitution, will surely at this day be hardy enough to deny, that the democratical part of that Constitution consists of, and is supported by the free representation of the people in Parliament. To what other end can the people be told, that such representation is impossible, and that it is no part of the English Constitution, unless it be for the wicked purpose of destroying all confidence in the House of Commons, depriving it of its respect, and diverting the people from looking up to their representatives for redress in all their grievances? Was this, above all others, a time to teach the people of Great Britain, that + " the corruption of the Members of

* Vide No. I. Second Part of Association Papers, p. 4.

[†] No. IX. Affectation Papers, p. 129. Thoughts on a Parliamentary Reform, by Soame Jenyns, Efq. Having committed myfelf to the public last year, upon this, amongst other constitutional questions, I cannot help quoting from my work, the doctrine I there adopted, by way of an apology for having said so much upon these publications of Mr. Reeves's Association. The danger becomes really serious, when we are told, that above two thousand such Associations are already formed. Appendix to Lex Parliamentaria, p. 433.

"the House of Commons will always increase in proportion to their power, because they have more to sell, and are more necessary to be bought? Those who cannot make a shift with
such a Parliament, must have none.

"Let us now see what would be the effect of this independent." "Parliament, if obtained. By an independent Parliament, in "the language of the present times, is to be understood a Par-" liament, in which the majority would oppose any Administra-"tion: now no arguments are necessary to prove, that with " fuch a Parliament, no public business whatever could be trans-" acted, nor any Government fublish. But it will be faid, This " is not what is wished for, but one in which the Members " shall be always ready to support the measures of Ministers "when right, and to refift them when wrong, unawed and unin-"fluenced, and guided only by the dictates of their own judg-"ment and conscience. This, indeed, is what every wife man. " would defire, but no wife man will expect to fee, as no fuch. " affembly, if numerous, ever existed in this or in any country," " from the beginning of the world to the prefent hour; nor ever-" can, unless mankind were melted down and run in a new mould: " as they now are formed, in every numerous affembly, there-

quoted in Jura Ang. p. 450. "There is nothing ought to be so dear to the Commons of Great Britain, as a free Parliament; that is, and House of Commons every way free and independent either of the Lords or Ministry, &c. free in their persons; free in their estates; free in their elections; free in their returns; free in their assembling; free in their speeches, debates, and determinations; free to complain of offenders; free in their prosecutions for offences, and therein free from the fear and influence of others how great soever; free to guard against the encroachments of arbitrary power; free to preserve the liberties and properties of the subjects; and yet free to part with a share of those properties when necessary, for the service of the public: nor can be be justly esteemed a representative of the people of Britain, who does not sincerely endeavour to defend their just rights and liberties, against all invasions whatsever.

" must be some who have no judgment, and others who have " no conscience, and some who have neither: take away self-" interest, and all these will have no star to steer by, but must fail " without a compass, just as the gales of favour or resentment " of popular absurdity, or their own, shall direct them: a Mi-" nister, therefore, must be possessed of some attractive influence " to enable him to draw together, these discordant particles, and " unite them in a firm and folid majority, without which he can " pursue no measures of public utility, with steadiness or success. An independent House of Commons is no part of the Eng-" lish Constitution." Such are the ideas of the necessity of the corruption and venality of a British House of Commons, taught and maintained in this new palladium of our Constitution. Undue influence over the judgment of the representatives is neceffary, according to them, to the very existence of the Constitution. * Parliaments have ever been influenced, and by that means our Constitution has so long subsisted.

As to the doctrine of Thomas Bull, which thefe leaguer's revive, adopt, and inculcate, if it mean any thing, it means, in the common and accepted terms of the English language, that the King of Great Britain reigns over his people jure divine, or that he is immediately appointed King by God, and not by the people: and which of the eighteen members of the committee will fland forth, like Sir Robert Filmer, the avowed champion of this doctrine, and rashly attempt to Un Locke those revolution principles upon which alone the Constitution stands? Consident as these leaguers are, in denouncing all their enemies as offenders against the law, it is proper to weigh their loyalty in the scale of their pretended doctrine. On the 11th of December, 1792, this Club de Surveillance, kindly undertook to remind the good people of England, whom they had taken in tow, to conduct fafely into the haven of the Constitution, that the notion of a libel may be applied to any defamation whatever. Hawk. P. C. l. 1. c. 73.

That a Libel is a contumely or reproach published to the defamation of Government. Com. Dig. Libel A. That malicious defamations of any persons, especially a magistrate, made public by either printing, writing, figns or pictures, in order to expose him to public hatred, contempt, or ridicule, are punishable as libels. Bl. Com. 1. 4. c. 4. -And these Constitution-mongers tell the same good people of England, that their representatives in Parliament are necessarily corrupt, and must be both bought and sold. That it is physically impossible that their representatives should be so unawed and uninfluenced, as to be ready to support the measures of Ministers when right, and to resist them when wrong. That the greatest part of the House of Commons can have no other star to steer by, than self-interest; and that majorities in that House must necessarily be formed by the ministerial influence; that an independent House of Commons is no part of the English Constitution; that the Constitution has hitherto subsisted by the ministerial influence of the Commons; that the reason why we cannot preserve the wealth, honour, power and dominion which we once enjoyed is, because the means of ministerial influence are no longer fufficient to fatisfy the demands of ambition and the hunger of faction. If the Commons of Great Britain, who have sometimes exercised their power in punishing a severe or difrespectful observation in a newspaper, shall choose to acquiesce in this exaggerated mass of calumny and disgrace, there needs no great fagacity to foretell the early extinction of the respect, dignity, and power of their House; and the immediate and necessary mistrust, contempt, and ridicule of the constituents for their representatives.

Lefs dangerous to the Constitution of this country were the doctrines of Thomas Paine, which denied its existence, than of these affociated leaguers, which teach the necessity of such abuses. Dangerous at all times is a system of Clubs and Associations under the blind influence of any demagogues: but what is not to be apprehended from the joint efforts of two or three thousand Associations, established for the avowed purpose of disfusing,

through the Nation, such dangerous and salse doctrines concerning the Sovereign's title to the Throne, and such base and scandalous calumnies and libels, against the House of Commons?

The attention of the Cabinet was now drawn chiefly to the operations of the fleets and armies, by which the power of the Gallic Republicans was to be crushed. They had long before fent out a confiderable fleet to the Mediterranean under Lord Hood. And in order to fecure every advantage to this country, which could be procured at fea, they committed the command of the Channel fleet to Lord Howe, an officer of uncommon skill in naval tactics, who had already fignilized himfelf in America, by the superiority of his abilities and the warmth of his zeal, in crushing the powers of a nascent republic. They were flattered with the accounts of the various successes in different quarters. The fettlements of Miquelon and St. Pierre had furrendered, without refistence, to the British arms. Condé had surrendered, after a long blockade, to the Duke of Wirtemburgh, as had the city of Mayence to the King of Prussia, who permitted the garrifon to march out with all the honours of war, carrying their arms, baggage, and other effects, on condition of not ferving for one year against the allied armies. They, accordingly, marched immediately against the Royalists in the Vendée and elsewhere. Valenciennes capitulated after a siege of near two months. The garrifon was reduced from 11,000 to 4,500 men; and the allies during the fiege, threw 489,800 shells and balls into the town. Accounts not fo flattering were at this time received from the West Indies. Admiral Gardner had on the 14th of June, landed some troops on the island of Martinico; he had taken a fort, and had been joined by a confiderable number of Royalists: a most unaccountable mistake had happened, considering the finall number of men which he had landed; the British troops fired upon each other, and killed above one hundred and fifty men. A dispute also arose between the Admiral and the General; and they difgracefully quitted the island on the 17th of the same month. Sufficient details of this unfortunate exmediate cause of its failure. It seems to have been either too long deserred, or unadvisedly undertaken, without any preconcerted plan or preparation. When the Admiral sailed from the island to America, to avoid the hurricanes, the beach was covered with the unfortunate Royalists, who had joined him upon his landing, imploring him to transport them out of the reach of their cruel enemies: they were inhumanly left to their sate, and, it is supposed, that our appearance upon the island for three days had caused the greatest part of them to be executed. Of such dire consequences are either dilatory or half-planned measures against so irritable and determined an enemy.

The collecting of the fense of the Roman Catholic body in Ireland by election of delegates, and their deputation to the Throne, although attended by no fymptom of turbulence or insurrection throughout the kingdom, was a measure so unexpectedly efficacious in procuring their relief, that the Chancellor was determined to prevent a possible repetition of such a surprise. He accordingly brought in a Bill to prevent the election or appointment of unlawful affemblies, under pretence of preparing or presenting petitions or other addresses to his Majesty or the Parliament. This most extraordinary act recites, that the election or appointment of affemblies purporting to represent the people, or any description or number of the people, under pretence of preparing or prefenting petitions, complaints, remonstrances, declarations, and other addresses to the King, or both or either of the Houses of Parliament, for alteration of matters established by law, or redrefs of alledged grievances in church and state, may be made use of to serve the ends of factious and seditious persons, to the violation of the public peace, and the great and manifest encouragement of riot, tumult, and diforder: and it enacts, that all fuch affemblies, committees, or other bodies of persons, elected or otherwife, constituted or appointed, are unlawful assemblies: and that all persons giving or publishing notice of the election to be made of such persons or delegates, or attending, voting, or

acting therein, by any means, are guilty of a high misdemeanor. The act ends with a declaration, that nothing in it shall be construed to prevent or impede the *undoubted right* of his Majesty's subjects to petition the King or Parliament for redress of any public or private grievance.

It behoves every man to abstain from irreverent observations upon a public act of any Legislature, to which his Majesty has given his Royal consent. This act of the Irish Parliament is expressly founded upon the possibility of those actions being abused, which are thereby rendered unlawful, and which were, therefore, lawful before its passing. A British subject, to whom they are still lawful, may be allowed to throw out some few remarks upon the nature of that liberty, which Englishmen enjoy, of petitioning against grievances, and the rights which that liberty necessarily bestows. The example of a sister kingdom may, possibly, render some preventative reslections upon the subject not wholly nugatory.

It always was the undoubted right of Englishmen to petition the King, or both or either of the Houses of Parliament against any public or private grievance: this right is founded in the very effence of the Constitution: it cannot, therefore, be extinguished without a grievous violation of the Constitution. If, on any occasion, it has been found necessary by the legislature to impose certain conditions upon the exercise of it, they were only calculated to preserve the right itself in greater security. Thus we see after the restoration of Charles II. that the Parliament were of opinion that tumultuous and other diforderly, foliciting and procuring hands by private persons to such petitions had been made use of, to serve the ends of factious persons, and had been a great means of the late unhappy wars, confusion, and calamities in the Nation. It therefore provided, that no perfon should procure above twenty names to any one petition, without the confent of three justices, or of the major part of the Grand Jury and that no petition should be actually presented by a larger number than ten, under the penalty of one hundred pounds and

nine months imprisonment. Even these checks upon the exercise of this right were so jealously viewed by the nation, that it was declared, by the Bill of Rights, at the Revolution, that it is the right of the subjects to petition the King, and all commitments and prosecutions for such petitioning are illegal.

Where the end is lawful, all necessary means of attaining it are also lawful. The subjects of England, who, by this act of I William and Mary, have a general unqualified right to petition the Crown, cannot know the nature of a public grievance but by communication with each other upon the subject; nor can this be had without assembling or meeting: if, therefore, the meeting of any number of subjects for this purpose were to be declared an unlawful meeting, and the persons so meeting, or promoting such meeting, were to be arrested, and become guilty of a high misdemeanor, Englishmen would be then liable to commitments and prosecutions for petitioning the King, against the express provision of the Bill of Rights. The drawing up and signing of the petition is evidently included in the right of petitioning. To render the inchoate act unlawful, is to make the completion of it criminal.

If the most facred rights of Englishmen, that can only be exercised by the assemblage of several persons, can be wrested from them upon the bare possibility of some mischief happening from any number of persons meeting together, there will be an end of their Constitution, and they may bid an everlasting sarewell to all the rights and liberties they now enjoy. However it may be thought by some, that in Ireland licentiousness is of nearer kin to liberty than in England, we Englishmen have full considence in our Legislature, that the peaceful and temperate manner in which we have, for this last century, exercised that right, shall not ground a pretext for depriving us of it, merely because there is a possibility of our abusing it hereafter. As well might we be deprived of the right of choosing our representatives in Parliament, because popular elections may be made use of to serve the ends of factious and seditious persons, to the violation of the public

peace, and the great and manifest encouragement of riot, tumult, and disorder. By that very spirit, in which our ancestors thought, for a time, that the exercise of the right should be somewhat restrained and regulated, because it had been used in a tumultuous and disorderly manner, do we conside that it would be enlarged and confirmed (were it desirable) to us for the regularity and order with which we have uniformly exercised it.

When we reflect, that the patrons of more than two thousand affociations have, under the countenance and rewards of the British Ministry, broached doctrines so emphatically consonant with the spirit and words of the Irish Convention Act, the apprehenfion of a like experiment in this country cannot be groundlefs. It is notorious, that feveral meetings of the focieties called the Friends of the People, and Friends of the Liberty of the Prefs, were had, at which, resolutions and declarations were published as the acts of these societies. Those who admitted of their reasons, of course found them constitutional; those who thought them feditious or treasonable, condemned the Government of the most criminal timidity or neglect, for not executing the rigour of the laws against the delinquents, who were all known and open to the justice of their offended country. This superintending association of Mr. Reeves has made the avowal of having formed itfelf for the preservation of the public security, and of the Constitution itself; for uniting and engaging to lend assistance to the civil Magistrate, in discovering and bringing to justice, offenders of the most dangerous description, those who endeavour to subvert the very basis of our civil rights and of our focial happiness. Association Papers, No. IV. Bowles's Answer to the Declaration of the Friends to the Liberty of the Press: And instead of forwarding or procuring the profecution of any of those who were ready to avow the publication, and stand the trial of its loyalty by their peers; it affumes a power of general accusation and general condemnation of their countrymen, without the form or pretence even of a pub-"Wicked men," fay they, "by the means of clubs " and affociations, have been spreading among the simple and ig" norant, feditious opinions, destructive of good government and " the happiness of us all. Good men affociate to counteract these " evil defigns, to support good Government and to continue to " us our present happiness. To affociate in the forms in which " they do (as appears by the printed papers exhibited to this fo-"ciety) is always feditious and very often treafonable: they all " appear to be offenders against the law. To meet as is now pro-" posed, for suppressing sedition, for propogating peaceable opi-" nions, and for aiding the magistracy in subordination to the " direction of the Magistrates, the law allows it, and the time re-" quires it. Then, as if a public grievance could by possibility exist but in the effect of some act of the Legislature or of Government, against which they have a right to petition, and, confequently, to confult about, they very distatoriously assume to pronounce, that, " the Society, after full consideration of the na-" ture of private meetings, formed with a design to take cognizance " of what is transacted by the Executive or Legislative Powers of " the country, are of opinion, that all fuch meetings are irregular."

Notwithstanding leave had been given by the House of Commons, in Ireland, upwards of two months, to bring in a Bill to improve the representation of the people in Parliament, still no farther progress had been attempted to be made till within very few days of the close of Parliament; when Sir Hercules Langrishe observed, " it was submitted to the discussion of Parlia-" ment at a period of the fession so sar advanced, that must preclude " all possibility of its present success." He complained, that it had too long kept up the agitation of the people, and he was unwilling " it should be laid by, as it were, to ripen by fermentaes tion. He could not prevail on himself to let it go in reference " to the people with the authority of fuch respectable Members "as those who patronized it, altogether unquestioned and unconstroverted; at least without stating some of those arguments " which had impressed upon his mind a conviction of the inexpe-" dience and danger of the measure." When we reflect upon the confident affurance with which the people of Ireland looked

up to Parliament at the commencement of the session for a reform in their representation, we cannot but shudder at the possible confequences of an animated people being so severely disappointed in their sondest hopes and proudest expectations. If ever they again meet in any number, to devise or concert measures for conveying the sense either of that disappointment, or of their original grievances to the Throne or Parliament, it must be in contempt and violation of the Convention Act: an awful alternative, which must quickly decide the policy of this new and strong measure.

In order, however, to meet the wishes of the people to a certain extent, the Parliament passed a Libel Bill, similar to that passed in England: they also granted to his Majesty, a civil list establishment of 225,000l. per annum, by which they limited the power of the Crown to grant pensions in the whole amount to 80,000l. per annum, and not to exceed in any one grant 1,200l. unless to the royal family, or on an address: by this act, also, the allowance for secret services was stinted to 5000l. per annum. They likewise passed a very popular act, for excluding from the House of Commons, certain officers and pensioners under the Crown.

C H A P T E R XVII.

AUGUST, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Domestic effects of the war in France—The French rise in arms—
Their forces amount to 1,0,22,902 men—Lord Howe's and the
French Fleet at sea—Our trade unprotected at sea—Plan of the
British Cabinet for attacking West Flanders—Order for artillery, ammunition, and stores by the Duke of York—Demurred to
by the Duke of Richmond—Three weeks interruption to the
plan—The camp of Casar taken—The redoubt of Lincelles
stormed by the Guards—The Duke of York arrives before Dunkirk—Summons it—General O'Meara's answer—Operations
before the Siege.

POWERFUL and unprecedented as was the present combination of armed forces against France, which, at this time, amounted to more than four hundred and ten thousand men, besides the navies of England, Spain, and Holland, it appears incredible and unaccountable, that the French Republicans should have resisted even to this hour. But the melancholy truth is, that the combination of their enemies is the very circumstance that gives them strength, and our prosecution of the war defeats its own ends, if those ends really be, as they are avowed, for crushing the power of the French Republic. Strong, violent, and sanguinary measures, are the only means by which they can forward and strengthen their present revolutionary Government;

and the war alone can supply them with plausible pretexts, and ready opportunities for carrying them into execution. Whatever may be the ultimate views, or in whatever point of novelty they may wish to rest their future Government, the previous necessary step is a total and radical change in the principles and habits of the Nation. The war enabled them to confiscate the property of every man they chose to suspect: it supplied the treasury with all the specie that was above ground in the kingdom; the war drew off from fober industry, the active citizens; it inspired them with a spirit of barbarism and ferociousness: the war united all jarring interests at home; it infused into men a pride in becoming unlike their enemies; it prompted then to renounce and revile every idea of religious worship, and shake off the last link of the chain, that had hitherto connected moral virtue with their focial engagements: the war had cheapened the value of their lives, and worked them up into a delight in bloodshed; the war had converted the most tyrannous acts of cruelty and injustice, into the necessary exertions of republican virtue and energy. Barrere, in the Convention, reported from the committee of public welfare, that they would, on the next day, present to them a new and efficient plan of military measures. "Tactical wars," faidhe, "do not suit a free Nation. The wars of Kings resemble "tournaments, which last as long as the patience of the people " can support them. The war of the people ought to be a tor-" rent, a flood of liberty." On that day, therefore, (Aug. 16) the people of France declared, by the mouth of their representatives, "that they would rife in one body, in defence of their liberty, of equality, and of the independence of their territories, and their " Constitution." Their new and last Constitution they had accepted indivisibly, on the 10th of the month. Their forces, which were at this time either embodied, or ordered to be immediately embodied, amounted to the incredible number of 1,022,902 men.

Under all these difficulties from their exernal enemies, the French Republicans were, at the same time, pressed with the most

BATTETE

alarming infurrections from the Royalists in the Vendee, and the desection of the city of Lyons, which had declared itself openly against the Convention. Marseilles had followed the example of Lyons; and Bourdeaux was generally suspected of the same disaffection towards the reigning powers in France. In the accession of every enemy, foreign or domestic, a new pretext and opportunity arose for pillage and slaughter.

The expectations of this nation were greatly raifed upon the knowledge of Lord Howe's being at sea, when a French squadron was certainly out of Brest. There is an innate confidence of fuccess in Englishmen, whenever their fleets have an opportunity of engaging the enemy. This affurance is often pushed beyond reason and prudence, but it is always grounded on the fair presumption of the superior conduct and discipline of British seamen. It was represented in the French Convention, that the British Admiral had declined meeting a republican fleet, and had basely returned into port. It is generally supposed, that this French fleet was superior in number to the British, but it is certain, that our prudent Admiral did not expose his fleet even to the chance of suffering from the superiority of the enemy. Though the Nation had been now upwards of fix months at war, as little attention appeared to be given either to the protection of our own trade, or to the annoyance of that of the enemy, as if we were in the fecurity of a profound peace. Whatever difference of opinion there might have existed upon the propriety or the necessity of beginning the war, there was but one fentiment of carrying it on with vigour in every department, when it was once begun. At one and the fame time, Julien de Thoulouse announced to the National Convention, that one hundred, and forty-three merchantmen had arrived fafe from the colonies, in the different ports of the republic, and that they had brought home fix thousand foldiers; and a deputation from our Jamaica merchants waited upon the Lords of the Admiralty, to represent to them the defenceless state of that island, and to learn, if possible, what force had been fent by Admiral Gardner, to convoy the homeward bound trade, then on their passage, worth more than four millions; they had the piteous consolation of learning, that the safety of this valuable fleet had been consided to the protection of a single sifty gun ship. But credit seemed to be given to the affertion of many divines, that Providence had acceded to the combination against France; eighty-nine vessels from Lisbon and Oporto had been waiting for convoy since the beginning of May, and they were entrusted at last to the protection of the Flora frigate; both sleets, however, arrived safe.

In confidering the progress of our arms on the continent, less attention will be paid to the motions of the armies, than to the general views and plans of the campaign, which can be traced up to our Cabinetathome. Both our officers and men have invariably behaved, upon all occasions, with the resolution and bravery which have ever distinguished the British troops. There can be no other anxiety for them, than lest their valour should be wantonly facrificed to the unavailing projects, ill-judged plans, or the rash enterprises of the prime rulers of the war. No sooner had Valenciennes capitulated, than the British Ministry communicated to the heads of the armies, their peremptory orders for that part of the combined forces, which were in the pay of Great Britain, to attack the west side of French Flanders, in order to become mafters of the towns of Dunkirk, Bergues, Graveline, and Calais. This command of the coast would keep open all fupplies, and effectually prevent the possibility of being either furprifed or furrounded. Whereas, at present, the line of communication, which the enemy commanded from Lille to the fea, afforded them every opportunity of harraffing the Austrian Netherlands, and obliged the allies to keep up a very confiderable force in those parts, to prevent a surprise. The sole objection to the enterprise was the lateness of undertaking it. Had the campaign opened with this plan, the alliedarmies might then have proceeded, fafely and regularly, from the fea-coast, in their progress into their enemy's country, and have avoided the fatal losses

and difgraces, which they afterwards fuffered. It is useless to notice the difference of opinion upon the propriety of this plan, since the wishes of the British Cabinet were in fact submitted to, though decidedly, it is said, against the opinions of every General, to whom the project was communicated: it will be fruitless to speculate upon the probable result of better plans, that never were adopted.

The welfare of the public is too deeply engaged in the fate of the present war, not to feel severely, not to reflect deeply, not to speak freely upon its effects and consequences. They enjoy a privilege of somewhat more dignity and effect, than that of idle lamentation over the calamities of their country. The English Nation has an innate predilection for their Royal Family; it is their boast, to be led on to victory by the gallant issue of their beloved Sovereign. They know no referve to their confidence, no boundaries to their credit, for his intrepidity, refolution, and bravery. But they look to the combination of councils, as well as of forces, for a fupply of that experience, which the bleffings of a ten years peace have deprived the warlike youth of an opportunity of acquiring. The candour and fvmpathy of the English Nation will not permit his early laurels to be blighted by the form which others raifed, and which he could not avoid.

The war on the continent, from this time, affumes a new and unprecedented form: the plans of operations were dictated to the commanders of the armies by a diftant cabinet, neither on the fpot to feize the advantages of the ever-fhifting turns of fortune, nor composed of men of any military knowledge or experience. Too great, however, were the dependencies of the allies upon the British Government for subsidies, for supplies, and for shipping, not to receive, though with reluctance, the plans imposed upon them by the British Cabinet. In all human events and circumstances, one principle of action is invariably and unexceptionably to be followed. Though the adoption of a plan be flow or doubtful, its execution must be ever prompt and vi-

gorous. No sooner had it been determined, in council, that the armies were to be separated, and that the Duke of York was to undertake the attack of West Flanders, with the British, Hanoverian, Hessian, Dutch, and some Austrian troops, than he instantly sent over to the Master of the Ordnance, an exact list of the ordnance, ammunition, and stores, which were requisite for the siege of Dunkirk, and which he particularly directed to be ready for the time at which his Royal Highness intended to be, with his army, before that town; and without which it was impossible for him to attempt the siege with any prospect of success.

The noble Duke, at the head of the ordnance, though no man's ideas had been fo extended as his own, in the plans of home fortifications and felf-defence, it is faid, was startled at the magnitude of the order: he instantly sent for the principal store keeper, and other officers of the Warren, to know if they had the quantity required, and could embark it within the time mentioned. They undertook the order, and actually on the next day, by uncommon exertions, the whole demand of ordnance, ammunition, and ftores, was on the water edge, ready for embarkation. But his Grace, still doubting of the safety of thus difarming the country, which he faw in real, or would reprefent in imaginary danger, remonstrated to his Royal Highness upon the inexpediency of supplying his demand at that time. weeks were confumed in the correspondence between the Commander in Chief of the British Forces, who had ordered what ordnance and ammunition he found requifite for the most urgent service of the country, and the Master General of the Ordnance, who chose to demur to the command. In the mean time the ammunition and stores were again deposited in the storehouses, lest they should be damaged by lying thus exposed on the open shore. His Royal Highness, to avoid warning the enemy of his intentions, filled up the time of this extraordinary negociation, with the army of the Prince of Saxe Cobourg. But in vain; for the enemy during this very period, either knowing or

fuspecting the views of the British Cabinet, increased the garrison of Dunkirk, by an augmentation of twelve thousand troops.

In this interval, the combined armies drove the French from the famous strong camp of Cæsar, at Bourlon, behind Cambray, which they took possession of, and immediately abandoned. The Austrian General summoned the republican Governor of Cambray to furrender, which he refused. The combined armies then marched towards Menin, where the British troops gave a fignal proof of their cool bravery and resolution. Three battalions of the guards, confifting of one thousand one hundred and twenty-two men, were ordered under General Lake to march to the fuccour of fome Dutch troops at Lincelles. Upon their arrival, they found a redoubt of uncommon fize and strength, occupied by five thousand French, who had dislodged, and routed the Dutch from that post. General Lake, says the Gazette, " embraced a resolution worthy of the troops he com-" manded. He advanced under a heavy fire, with an order and " intrepidity, for which no praise can be too high. After firing "three or four rounds, they rushed on with their bayonets, " fformed the redoubts, and drove the enemy through the village, "who loft eleven pieces of cannon, two of which had been " taken from the Dutch, and have not fince appeared in that quarter." In this action Colonel Bosville was killed, and some hundreds of our brave troops killed and wounded. The fame Gazette informs us of the importance of this victory, for which fo much gallant blood was spilt: " The works of Lin-" celles have been destroyed, and the post left unoccupied."

Three weeks had now elapsed since the capitulation of Valenciennes, when the Duke of York having at last received intelligence, that the ammunition and ordnance that he had demanded, were at length permitted to be shipped, began his march towards. West Flanders on the 20th of the month. On the 23d, he summoned the republican general O'Meara, to surrender the town of Dunkirk to his Britannic Majesty; to which

fummons, on the next day, the republican general fent the following answer:

" General,

"Invested with the confidence of the French Republic, I have received your summons to surrender an important city.

"I answer by affuring you, that I shall defend it with the brave republicans, I have the honour to command. O'MEARA."

Besides the heavy artillery for the siege, which had not as yet arrived, a grand flotilla of gun-boats was promifed by our Cabinet to co-operate in the fiege: the most folemn assurances were given to his Royal Highness, that, unless delayed by contrary winds, this flotilla should certainly be in the bay of Dunkirk on Saturday the 24th inftant. The wind had been favourable for fome time, yet the reinforcement did not fail from Woolwich till Monday the 26th, on which day Admiral Macbride, who was then in London, and who was to command that expedition, received his final orders. The public was, in the mean time, amufed with the details of the bravery and intrepidity of our troops in skirmishing, and in repelling the forties of the enemy; who, it was now found, were fixteen thousand strong. In one of these attacks, the Gazette of the 26th says, "The ardour of " the troops carried them further in the purfuit than was intended, " fo that they came under the cannon, of the place by which means " confiderable loss has been fustained." On this unfortunate occasion, the Austrian General Dalton, and Colonel Eld; of the Coldstream regiment of guards were killed. On the 27th, fome heavy stores and artillery were landed off Nieuport; and three days after Major Huddieston arrived at Ostend, with a further fupply of artillery, ammunition, and stores, for the reduction of Dunkirk. The French gun-boats greatly annoyed our troops during the whole of the time that our forces were within their reach. Admiral Macbride was therefore difpatched to London, where he arrived on the 31st, to enforce the necessity of sending immediately, a naval force of gun-boats, bomb-veffels, and other light craft, as well as more forces to co-operate with the befleging army.

CHAPTER XVIII.

SEPTEMBER, 1793.

CONTENTS.

The Duke of York had treated secretly with the Governor of Dunkirk for its Delivery—The plot detected—Naval support promised—Surprise and defeat of General Freytag, who, with Prince Adolphus, was taken prisoner—Effects of this general Defeat—The army rallies, upon learning the success of General Beaulieu near Ypres—The Dutch sty from Menin—The French fall upon Ghent and Bruges—Le Quesnoy surrenders at discretion—Toulon gained over by Lord Hood—He enters and takes possession of the Town, Harbour and Flect, in the name of Louis XVII.—His Proclamations—Lord Hervey forces Tuscany out of its neutrality—Sir Gilbert Elliot Commissioner at Toulon.

BESIDES the general reliance which the Duke of York had in the intrepidity of his troops, and the full persuasion, that upon his arrival he should find the necessary artillery, in case he should be under the necessity of undertaking the siege; he had also an expectation of being admitted into the town by a golden key. He had kept up a secret correspondence with the former governor, General O'Moran, nor did he till his arrival know, that the plan had been discovered, and that General O'Moran was removed from his post (he has been since executed for the treachery). Although General O'Meara, who had at first succeeded his countryman, O'Moran, in the command of the gar-

rison, answered the summons of the Duke of York, yet the besieged had, at that time, actually removed O'Meara from the chief command, not choosing to repose so important a trust in a soreigner, and a countryman of the person who had so recently engaged to betray them.

On the 3d of the month, his Royal Highness received an express from England, that two sifty gun ships, some frigates and bomb ketches were under sailing orders for Dunkirk; and he began now to make sascines, gabions, and other necessary preparations for the siege. Since the siege of Dunkirk was the savourite measure of the British Cabinet, and had been resolved upon by them in the month of July, it is a matter of more than surprise to the public, that no naval sorce was ordered, nor artillery provided for the siege, till the month of September. Such gross delay and neglect cannot have existed, without the most criminal responsibility in some departments.

The nation is equally astonished, that there should have been fuch a total and unaccountable want of intelligence throughout the army, that the movements of General Houchard, with thirtythree thousand men, to raise the siege of Dunkirk, which was formally announced in the Convention on the 25th of the last month, should have been unknown or not provided against. The covering army of General Freytag was furprifed and totally routed, before the Duke of York was even acquainted with the approach of the enemy. The first intelligence he received of it, was by a note written with a pencil. At the same moment a fortic from the garrifon was announced, and a most precipitate retreat was the consequence. The loss of British troops in the confusion of such a surprise, was fortunately not very great; though his Royal Highness very narrowly escaped being surrounded and made a prisoner. All the ammunition and stores were either left to the enemy, or thrown into the canal: the fine train of artillery, which had moved fo reluctantly from Woolwich-Warren, was only landed to become the prey of the enemy, or to be lost to us. Sixty-four of the heavy cannons were thrown nto

the canal; seven were buried in the earth, and forty-three left on the field. In the retreat of the Hanoverians, his Royal Highness Prince Adolphus and General Freytag were both wounded and taken prisoners. Nothing can more strongly bespeak the extreme confusion of the Hanoverian army, than the circumstance of their General and our Prince falling into the hands of the enemy. Our Gazette fays, that a patrole of cavalry " which ought to have been in the front, having taken " another road, they went into the village of Rexpoede, through "which one of the columns was to pass, but which was then " occupied by the enemy." What a melancholy fituation for a commander in chief, upon whose orders an army of eighteen thousand men were to retreat, rally, or fight! himself disobeyed or abandoned by his patrole! ignorant of the fituation either of his own or the enemy's forces! a whole column of his army unwilling, or unable to obey his orders! the Gazette (if the meaning of its writer can, by any laboured conftruction, be extracted from his words) feems to admit this extremity of panic, disorder and confusion, by attributing the recapture of his Royal Highness and the Field Marshal " to the intrepidity and presence " of mind of General Walmoden, who, upon discovering that "the enemy were in possession of Rexpoede, had immediately " collected a body of troops, attacked it without hesitation, and 5 defeated them with great flaughter." The intelligent compiler of the Gazette informs us also, " that, in these repeated engage-" ments, nothing could exceed the fleadiness and good behaviour " of the troops." If the flaughter made amongst them be the criterion of this steadiness, it is a strong, but a too melancholy proof of the fact. Above 3,500 Hanoverians were killed, befides very fevere losses in every other corps that composed this covering army.

Nothing could equal the general panic and consternation caused by this unfortunate and disgraceful retreat at Ostend. General Ainslie, the commandant ordered an immediate embargo on all vessels, from the transports in the harbour, down to the

smallest fishing-boats. The military chest was actually put on board, and it was a general expectation, and perhaps as general a wish, that the British troops should have returned to their native country. In great national misfortunes, like thefe, it is impoffible to repress the sentiments of the public upon them. difgrace and loss which this nation suffered from this fatal attempt upon Dunkirk, brought into the minds of every one, that the enterprise had been imposed by the British Cabinet upon the generals of the combined armies, who had decidedly and unanimoufly reprobated the plan; and that the dispatch, vigour, and resolution in the execution, had been counteracted and defeated by the very persons who had concerted and infifted upon the attempt. Not only humanity shudders at the loss of so many brave men, who fell upon this inglorious occasion, but Great Britain feels also a heavy loss in the fruitless waste of its trea-Immense is the cost of so much ammunition, stores, and ordnance, transported at such a heavy expence. By our subsidiary treaties with Hanover and Hesse Cassel, the sum of thirty pounds is paid by the people of Great Britain for every subfidized foldier that falls in the war *: thus by the fall of 3,500 Hanoverians, on the 8th of this month, Great Britain became indebted to the Elector of Hanover, on one day, in the enormous fum of one hundred thousand guineas. A Landgrave of Hesse Caffel might not, on such an accumulation of wealth to his treafury, feel that poignancy of grief that rends the heart of our humanc sovereign, upon the loss of so many of his beloved subjects. The flying army of the Duke of York, which had not been under cover for five nights, was at length rallied by the seasonable assurance, that the Austrian General Beaulieu had relieved Ypres, and totally defeated the French army in that quarter, which had in consequence fallen back to Bailleuil. Several days after this shameful defeat, Admiral Macbride arrived with his fquadron

^{*} It is a well known circumstance, that the subsidy dealers of Germany can procure a recruit for one ducat, when twenty guineas cannot purchase the service of one able-bodied man in England.

off Nieuport. His Royal Highness then took up his head quarters at Dixmude, from whence Sir James Murray, the Adjutant General, wrote to Mr. Dundas on the 14th, "that he took the "opportunity of Captain Robinson, of the Brilliant frigate, failing to England, to inform him, that the Dutch posts on the "Lys were forced by the enemy on the 12th. In consequence of this, the troops of the Republic have abandoned Menin, and have fallen upon Bruges and Ghent. His Royal Highness meant on that day to march to Thouroute."

The effects of this flight of the Dutch troops, immediately after the general retreat of the British army, added more vigour and energy to the enemy, than difmay or despair to the confederates. The fuccesses of Beaulieu reanimated them in some degree; and the furrender of Le Quesnoy at descretion, happened about the same time. Although our armies could not acquire the intelligence, till the Adjutant General wrote his famous Gazette Extraordinary of Sept. 11, that the enemy had, under General Houchard, collected "force for the relief of Dunkirk " from every quarter of the country, from the armies of the "Rhine and the Mozelle, and particularly that which had oc-" cupied the Camp de Cæfar." Yet they were not long ignorant of the admission of Lord Hood into Toulon, which was a circumstance, that did not so nearly interest them, as the collection and advance of Houchard's forces. This fatal furprise is the more unaccountable, when we reflect, that the general rendezyous of the enemy was on Mount Cassel, within view of, and not 15 miles distant from Freytag's army. The French entered Furnes, and in two days, with the help of the inhabitants, removed from the town all the stores which had been left there by the British army.

Lord Hood, who commanded the English squadron in the Mediterranean, seems to have been sent out upon an uncertain, if not a forlorn hope, of making good any landing on the coast of France; for he had not in his whole sleet, a land officer to take the command even of a fortress, when he entered Toulon, as ap-

pears by the appointment of Captain Elphinstone to such a command. He had been cruizing for some time off that port, and had dispatched a frigate, with a flag of truce, to Marseilles, which did not come within gun-shot of the town, but delivered a proclamation from Lord Hood, containing proposals for restoring Monarchy, and with it peace to France. It had not the defired effect upon the Marfeillois; nothing, therefore, was further attempted upon that city. His Lordship sent a similar proclamation to Toulon, and the frigate was favourably received in the harbour. The proclamation was preceded by a preliminary declaration, which stated, that " if a candid and explicit decla-" ration in favour of Monarchy should be made at Toulon and "Marfeilles, and the standard of Royalty hoisted, the ships in " the harbour difinantled, and the port and forts provisionally " at his disposition, so as to allow of the egress and regress with " fafety, the people of Provence should have all the assistance " and support his Majesty's fleet could give; and when peace " fhould take place, the port, fhips, and stores should be restored " to France." The Proclamation was addressed to the town and inhabitants of the South of France; it fets out with painting a very horrid picture of the present anarchy and tyranny of France: his Lordship tells them, " a situation so dreadful sensibly afflicts " the coalesced powers, they see no other remedy but the re-" establishment of the French Monarchy. It is for this, and " the acts of aggression committed by the Executive Power of " France, that we have armed, in conjunction with the coalesced " powers." He then assures them, that he comes to offer them the force with which he was entrusted by his Sovereign, to crush, with promptitude, the factions, to re-establish a regular Government in France, &c. These declarations of our motives for the war, are not strictly confonant with those which Mr. Pitt avowed in the House of Commons. Our Ministers at home declare, that we enter into the war to defend our allies, and not to interfere with the internal government of France; they instruct our admirals at the head of our ficets, to pronounce to

Europe, that we have joined the confederacy to crush the present power in France, and restore its ancient monarchy.

The answer of the Inhabitants of Toulon was a declaration that they were tired of the present Constitution, and demanded that of 1789; they would accordingly proclaim Louis XVII. King according to that Conftitution, and in every other particular agree to the propofals of Lord Hood; who thereupon published a second proclamation to this effect: "That whereas the Sections of Toulon have, by their Commissioners to me, " made a folemn declaration in favour of Monarchy, have pro-" claimed Louis XVII. fon of Louis XVI. their lawful King, " and have fworn to acknowledge him, and no longer fuffer the "despotism of Tyrants, who at this time govern France, but " will do their utmost to establish Monarchy, as accepted by the " late Sovereign in 1789, and restore peace to their distracted " and ruinous country: I do hereby repeat, what I have already "declared to the people of the South of France, that I take " possession of Toulon, and hold it in trust only for Louis "XVII. until peace shall be re-established in France, which I " hope and trust will be soon."

As the people of this country pay and suffer so severely for the war, they are urgently called upon to look closely into the views and consequences of it. It is a matter of curious observation, that within the space of fix weeks, during which no change in the principle of the war could have taken place, Valenciennes was surrendered to the Duke of York, in the name of the Emperor, his Royal Highness summoned Dunkirk in the name of his Britannic Majesty, and Lord Hood entered and seized upon Toulon, in the name of Louis XVII. Each of these three places equally belonged to the late King of France. An uniform system or principle in the consederated invasion of that kingdom, could not have given occasion to such variety of title or claim. What saith or consistency can be discovered in these acts of our Government? We countenance, and take into pay, the French emigrant nobility, who were prescribed by the Con-

flitution of 1789, and we rear a standard in support of that very Constitution; our good ally the King of Prussia, keeps in prison La Fayette and Lameth, the most zealous supporters of that very constitution, which we proclaim at Toulon. How long will Great Britain join in a war of such separate and discordant interests? If the sederacy be formed upon a common principle, the concealment of it from the nations which support it, is a strong suspicion, that it will not bear the fair light of day. Nations will not for ever suffer a night of darkness.

The advantages of the furrender of Toulon, though not taken' possession of in the name of the King of England were turned to quick account by our Minister. So unusually numerous had been the late profelytes to his influence, that he was overwhelmed in despair at the insufficiency of his means to satisfy the demands of ambition, and the hunger of faction: for by these means alone we are taught by more than two thousand affociations instituted for supporting a due execution of the laws, can we arrive at the summit of wealth, bonour, power, and dominion. The refervoir of these means was actually dry, when the alarmed zeal of the Chancellor called upon his difinterested patriotism to accept of the Seals without any stipulation for a possible retreat. Inscrutable, as unexpected, are the ways of Providence in affording the means of preferving and forwarding the ends of its favourite institutions. That the re-possession of a French town by the French Monarch, should supply a British Minister with an increase of the necessary means of preserving the British Constitution at fo critical a juncture, was a mystery disclosed only to the illuminated affociators under Mr. Reeves, and to those, blest like himself, in fact or defire, with some consoling beams of ministerial influence. The first fruits of this seasonable harvest, were confecrated to foothe the alarms, and remunerate the convictions: of the immaculate Baronet Sir Gilbert Elliot. He was appointed, by the King of Great Britain, to a splendid, honourable, and powerful fituation in a French town, belonging to the French Monarch, with a falary of 7,500l, per annum, to be paidout of the British treasury; he was made commissioner to Toulon; an appointment unknown in English history. Admirable was the ingenuity of our constitutional Minister in fertilizing this new fupply of means. The relative, as well as positive merits of the Chancellor were not to go unrewarded; his nephew, a barrifter at law, was well entitled to share the profits of his uncle's prudent convictions of the necessity of this extensive and costly war. The overwhelming deluge of bankruptcies, one of its first effects at home, was a grateful shower of Danae to the Chancery. The office of purse-bearer was naturally confided to the fidelity of the nephew, and from the lamentable ruin of many, became to him worth 1500l. per annum. He was appointed Commissary General to Toulon, with a stipulation for forty shillings per diem of half-pay for life. Besides these a long list of subaltern well-wishing, and fairly promised profelytes, were largely gifted out of these trust estates of the infant French Monarch. The œconomical system of half-pay will, perhaps, fecure the gratitude of the appointees during their lives, to their friendly benefactor. The trust, however, indemnify Great Britain for all the immediate advances made upon it. may be not unfairly prefumed, that the tardiness of Ministers to meet the Parliament in fuch national embarrassiments, has been occasioned by their wishes and expectations to extend the means of that influence, which is necessary to unite the members in a firm and solid majority, without which they can pursue no measures of public utility with steadiness or success, by the capture of Dunkirk, St. Maloes, or fome other parts of the French territories, fo providentially favouring the increase of the necessary influence of the British Minister. This naturally accounts for the resolution of the British Cabinet after the capture of Valenciennes to divide the forces: here British blood, and British treasure were fpent, to procure national advantages for a foreign people: Auftrian, not British commissioners, were sent to Valenciennes.

How industriously have the docile pupils of Mr. Burke, laboured to verify the doctrines of their infallible master, that the power of the King of England is more folid, real, and extensive, than what the King of France was possessed of before this miserable revolution. They are resolved, that in suture, no empty, vain title, shall disgrace the escutcheons of our Monarch. In suture, the territorial possessions of the French Monarch, shall at least seed the prerogative of the King of Great Britain, France, and Ireland.

[233]

CHAPTER XIX.

OCTOBER, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Energy and activity in the French—Siege of Maubeuge raised—
French army 170,000 strong—Nieuport defended by Major
Matthews—Cowardice of the Dutch—The lines of Weissenbourg
forced—Lord Howe in Torbay—Treaties with Sardinia and
Naples—Fresh Treaty with Prussia—Negociation to bring Denmark into the confederacy—Genoa forced out of her neutrality—
Tuscany forced by England to declare war against France—Manifesto of our King—The Emprunt Force—British property
seized, and British subjects arrested—Death of the Queen—Mr.
Burke's Reslections thereupon.

home, to keep up the irritation of the Nation against the French Republicans; and however largely the ministerial prints, and the affociation publications may, for this purpose, have indulged in invective and imprecation against that nation, and every measure adopted by it; yet is it a truth of too satal consequence to this unfortunate country, that our anger has precipitated us into a labyrinth of ruin and disgrace. Such, in sact, was the infatuation of our deluded countrymen, that our failures of the preceding month had produced no other effect upon their minds, than the increase of a blind, and desperate abandonment of their cause to those, who were seeking to justify their error in engaging them in the war, by multiplying the difficulties of extracting them out of it. Those who disapproved of the commencement of the war, were more anxious than its advocates for its conclu-

fion; but they faw no other road to honourable peace, than by carrying it on with vigour, when it was once begun. Though comparisons may be always odious, they are not always fruitless. Let the tardiness of co-operation from our Board of Ordnance and Admiralty, be contrasted with the spirit and energy of that Government, which has raifed our pity, or excited our indignation: thousands of troops conveyed in waggons, drawn by posthorses, to the place of defence with unknown celerity, bespoke the real earnestness with which their Executive Council attended to the welfare of the Nation. Their victorious General Houchard was, with his whole Staff, put under arrest, for not having purfued with advantage, the discomfited enemy in their precipitate and disorderly retreat. Their success was punished, because it might have been more complete: here the causes of a failure, which could scarcely have been worse, were not even looked into. The contempt of the example which humbles us, is the extremity of folly. The Warren, and Dock-yard of Woolwich, are less distant from Dunkirk, than the Rhine, or the Moselle. Wurmfer, and even Brunswick, are more terrible enemies to France, than the oppofers of Mr. Reeves's Affociations to Great Britain.

The expectation of the whole confederacy feemed to hang upon the fate of Maubeuge, which the Prince of Saxe Cobourg had, for fome weeks, blockaded with an army of 70,000 men. He was attacked by the French, and though the Austrians behaved with their usual steadiness and bravery, they were forced to raife the fiege, and crofs the Sambre. After this defeat, the Prince became feriously apprehensive for the fate of Flanders, and applied for a reinforcement of 50,000 men. The French army of the North, now confifted of 170,000 men. They again entered Furnes, and laid fiege to Nicuport: they were, however, fortunately checked by the steadiness and resolution of Major Matthews: he opened the fluices, though opposed by the Magistrates, and, with a handful of men, defended the town till reinforcements arrived. Such was the terror through all Flanders, of an invafion, that immense quantities of stores were de-Arroyed, to prevent their falling into the hands of the enemy.

Such of the Dutch troops as were engaged before Maubeuge, difgraced themselves by the most infamous slight: whether it were from disinclination to the service, or from want of discipline or courage, these troops have never stood with the steadiness of soldiers: they appeared, nevertheless, to have been piqued at the reslections of the combined armies, upon their retreat from Menin: and, through the interest of their Hereditary Prince, they insisted upon General Beaulieu's being called to a court martial, for not having supported them, as it was pretended he might. The notoriety, however, of the good conduct of this veteran General, and of the dastardly behaviour of the sugitive Dutchmen was such, that they were prevailed upon prudently to drop the attempt to disguise their own cowardice, by criminating the brave Beaulieu.

More favourable accounts were received from the army of the Rhine. General Wurmfer, after an engagement of eleven hours, forced the lines of Weissenbourg, and took the French camp by assault; the Duke of Brunswick attacked them, at the same same time, in the rear. They took possession of Weissenbourg and Lauterbourg, and pursued the French to the gates of Strasbourg: the carnage was dreadful: full 15,000 fell in the course of the day.

The nation had not their usual consolation of repairing their missfortunes at land by their activity or success at sea. Lord Howe had spent the autumn, as he had the summer, in defending the entry of Torbay: he once was in sight of the French sleet for twenty-four hours; but the risk of engaging it was too great for his prudence to hazard in such a critical juncture. He was perhaps directed to avoid the insection of republicanism by coming into contact with any French vessel. This he seemed cautious of avoiding, and brought back his sleet, and the crews of every vessel, persectly untainted to his old anchorage in Torbay. It was, indeed, said, that their superiority in sailing and running away prevented the British Admiral from coming to close quarters with the enemy: but the active spirit of the British seamon

was with much difficulty formed to this new system of nautical operations: energy and action, it might have been thought, too much resembled the serocious republicans; and might, perhaps, have prevented the channel seet from learning the new manœuvre of entering Brest Harbour, as the Mediterranean squadron had that of Toulon.

Negociation was the general panacea for all our evils. It feemed to be the determination of our Cabinet, that if France could not be conquered, no nation of Europe should, at least, boast of the advantage of having prudently avoided the rash attempt. The miseries of such an undertaking should not be partial: the balance of power would not admit it; this ultima ratio regum superfeded the sovereign will of every independent state. means were employed to draw other states into the confederacy, and the accession of every ally was a fresh knot to entangle Great Britain the more in this system of ruinous perplexity. Threats, promifes, force, bribery, manifestos, all means were employed, so they had but the general object of crushing the revolutionary Government of France. Sardinia demanded of us anannual subsidy of 200,000l. and a constant fleet on their coasts, to defend their country against the aggressions and invasions of the French Republic: it was granted: to last as long as an inch of Savoy, or any of their territory, should be possessed by the French. The continuance of fuch a treaty, may, peradventure, be more earnestly wished for by Sardinia than the repossession of their whole territory from the hands of their enemies. Our treaty with Naples is a guarantee to each other of their respective States: an undertaking not to lay down arms till all places are reftored, which shall be taken during the war; to act in concert in the Mediterranean; Naples shall furnish six thousand men, to be paid by England; England shall keep a respectable fleet in the Mediterranean; and Naples shall provide four ships of the line, four frigates, and four smaller ships of war.

Since the Parliament of Great Britain is not confulted in making treaties with foreign powers, but is only called upon to ratify, approve, and enforce the engagements, in which the Mi-

nister shall have thought proper to involve the Nation; the activity of the Cabinet was much more remarkable in negociating pretexts for continuing the war, than in haitening a peace by the vigour of our arms. Not fatisfied with the subfissing treaty with Prussia, that had first involved us in the fatal confederacy, a new treaty was formally figned on the 14th of July last, between their Britannic and Prussian Majesties, not to lay down their arms but by common confent, and not until restitution is obtained for any depredation which France may make upon either of the said parties, or of their friends or allies. Here is a perpetuation of war to Britain, as long as France shall be an enemy to any State that Prussia may choose to call a friend. Thus is the country under the direful necessity of supplying the exorbitant charges of a most expensive war, as long as any State of Europe shall think proper to continue hostile to France, either from public or private policy, to weaken and exhaust the resources of this kingdom, or to continue the advantages of our fubfidies to our less opulent allies. What a dreadful alternative for Great Britain, that it cannot withhold the fupplies for a ruinous war, without forfeiting the credit and faith of every Nation in Europe! Well, wifely, and virtuously did Mr. Fox urge the Parliament, to prevent his Majesty's Ministers, during the recess, from entering into new engagements, which might render the conclusion of an honourable peace more remote and difficult. Many true patriots, like his Royal Highness of Clarence, were induced to vote for the war, because the faith of our treaty with Holland called upon our concurrence in the defence of that Republic: the condition of the treaty having been complied with, the cause of war had ceased; and now justice to ourselves, obliged us to lay down the arms, which justice to our allies had made us take up. the Minister had found one treaty so efficient in prevailing upon the majority to commence the war, he naturally concluded, that a multiplicity of treaties would reconcile a still greater majority to its continuance.

Our Ambassador at Copenhagen entered into a correspondence of argument with Count Bernstorss, the minister of the Danish cabinet, upon the propriety and necessity of their entering into the armed confederacy against France. Hitherto, the prudent Dane has not been argued out of his neutrality; what other means may, hereafter, be attempted to induce or force him from it, time will disclose.

The republic of Genoa, having large property in France, was induced to observe the strictest neutrality, to depart from which would be the ruin of the principal citizens of that State. The Government itself is said to receive, upon loans to France, the annual sum of 1,400,000 livres. Notwithstanding this, Mr. Drake, the British Envoy to that State, peremptorily insisted upon an immediate and unqualified declaration of hostilities against France: no indemnisheation was, however, offered for the sure loss, in that case, of the property of their citizens or the State. This spirited, though small republic was not to be bullied into destruction and ruin, and has declared, that if she be to be forced from her neutrality, she never can take part with those who have threatened her with such unprovoked injustice.

Our Envoy at Florence, Lord Hervey, undertook not only to intimidate the Grand Duke of Tuscany out of his neutrality, by fending off the French Ambassador, M. de la Flotte, in twenty-four hours, but to dictate to him the internal regulations concerning the French remaining within his territories, which the British Cabinet insisted upon. This was singular conduct in Great Britain towards the brother of the Emperor, who was the first engaged in the war against France, and who must have known the true interests, and had more influence over the conduct of his own brother, than any foreign power whatever. The Grand Duke, however, relinquished the system of neutrality, and declared war against the Republic on the 10th instant.

The ingenuity of our Ministers was nearly exhausted by the variety of measures they had adopted to augment and justify this armed confederacy. One only experiment remained to be tried;

this was, to commit the faith, credit, and justice of the Nation to a public manifesto. Melancholy had been the precedents of their affociates in this line of operation. They had, however, the advantage of improving upon their attempts, correcting their faults, and avoiding their errors. The people of this country had a well-grounded right to expect precision, firmness, and confistency in such a declaration from the Throne. It was published with immediate reference to the furrender of Toulon, but it is filent as to any approbation or confirmation of the proclamations and treaty of Lord Hood with the Toulonese, the basis of which was the acceptance of the Constitution of 1789. It declares the objects of the war to have been, from the beginning, " to repel an unprovoked aggression, to contribute to the imme-"diate defence of his allies, to obtain for them and for himfelf, a "just indemnification, and to provide, as far as circumstances " will allow, for the future fecurity of his own fubjects, and of " all other Nations of Europe." Hitherto there is nothing new in this avowal, but the matter of indemnification to ourselves and our allies. This is certainly an artful, though very necessary provifo, to be introduced into this declaration of our fystem; and will, upon future explanation of the courts, in case of success, afford an uncontrovertible ground of equity, upon which the different claims upon the trust fund may be settled and adjusted. In all trufts, the first provision secured is for the payment and indemnification of the expences and charges of the truft. Welladvised trustees never release their trust till this act of justice be first complied with. Neither Toulon nor any other part of France, seized and holden in trust for Louis XVII. can ever be discharged of this indemnifying quality. A design of making conquefts upon France has been repeatedly difavowed by all the combined powers. The trust of conquering France for Louis XVII. is undertaken at the risk of those who have accepted of it, if the fund which shall hereafter come into their hands shall prove infufficient to answer their costs and charges. Great indeed must be the accossion of French property to ourselves and cotrustees, which will satisfy the claims of those, who have thus kindly and generously undertaken the management of the concerns of this infant Monarch.

The manifesto expresses, that his Majesty wishes ardently to be able to treat for the re-establishment of the general tranquility with a Government exercising a legal and permanent authority, animated with the wish for general tranquillity, and possessing powers to enforce the observance of its engagements. This general definition of a Government may be made pliant to every construction that a Minister shall choose to put upon it. It will equally exclude as admit of a negociation with the present Republic of France, and will justify a treaty upon any ground of popular prejudice or favour, that the supple Proteus will cringe to.

It speaks of the restoration of monarchy, but neither makes it the pretext for continuing the war, nor the condition of an honourable peace. A great part of this Proclamation deals in invective against the persons to whom it is addressed, and serves no end but that of provoking their irascibility, and driving them to fresh acts of violence, inhumanity, and vengeance.

No circumstances, since the commencement of the war, seem to have irritated the Convention more than, what they called our treacherous attempts upon Dunkirk, Marfeilles, and Toulon. They converted all to their own purposes. Our negociations and treaties paved the way for further confifcations, not only of the men, whom they fay we corrupted, but whomsoever they chose to connect with them. It was, therefore, a most improvident act to publify, in the Gazette, Lord Hood's unfuccessful treaty with the Marseillois. Scores were murdered upon suspicion of having treated with him. The unadvised seizure of Toulon made such an impression upon the mass of the people, that the new party of Danton, notwithstanding their enormities and cruelties, were now looked up to with love and confidence. By their Emprunt force, they brought into the Treasury all the hard cash in the kingdom: by this fweep, which was the boldest act of public robbery ever attempted, the Executive Government had, at once, possession

of 41,666,666l. sterling, as a fund for the war, and a basis for an enlarged paper circulation. Soon after this, they passed a decree of confiscation of all British property in France, and put all British subjects under arrest.

The height of popular irritation, was the moment in which the inhuman Jacobins perpetrated their deeds of blood and horror. It was on one and the same day, that their army routed seventy thousand veteran troops, headed by the best generals of Europe, before Maubeuge, and their Convention butchered the respectful relict of their murdered Sovereign. If any thing could add to the inhuman and unjust treatment of this unfortunate and respectable Princess, it was the insulting mockery of a trial, and the bringing her own infants as witnesses against her, for crimes even out of physical possibility. The heroic and Christian fortitude, with which this venerable Queen underwent the long trial of humiliation and fuffering, that preceded her execution, revives, in these days of infidelity, the animating examples of primitive Christianity, when the pride of the heathen was overcome, and the mild spirit of the gospel propagated in the blood of the humble and constant martyr. It is but justice to this much beloved, and much respected victim to licentious sury, to rescue her injured character from the impious aspersions of her pretended encomiast, Mr. Burke. Could that man, who tells us, that a * true humility, the basis of the Christian system, is the low, but deep and firm foundation of all real virtue, be so forgetful of his heroine and of himfelf, as to make her vanity survive all her virtues, and the heathenish vice of suicide extinguish, in her last moments, the grace of Christian consolation: † in the last extremity, she will save herself from the last disgrace, and if she must fall, she will fall by no ignoble hand. Could the man, who glories in the Christian religion as his beast and comfort, plant the sharp antidote against disgrace concealed in the besom of a Christian Princess, who added titles of veneration to those of

^{*} Letter to a Member, p. 93. + Reflections, p. 112.

enthusiastic distant and respectful love? Classical, fabulous, or heathenish, as may be the occasional wanderings of this sublime writer, it was not tolerable in the awful view of our transit to the great tribunal, to substitute the false pride of an Ethnic matron, for the Christian dignity of submitting to a death of ignominy after the example of our divine Master. The only insult, at which his most Christian Majesty and his royal consort felt indignant during their captivity, was the suspicion of this very crime of suicide * with which Mr. Burke, to his own shame, and to the scandal of the Christian cause, has crowned the virtues of his delightful vision.

* When Valazé, one of the Brissotine party, was condemned, he chose to follow the recommendation of Mr. Burke, and stabbed himself with a poniard; which circumstance produced an immediate decree of the Convention, that all persons under accusation should be deprived of the means of preventing the justice of their country by the crime of suicide.

C H A P T E R XX.

NOVEMBER, 1793.

CONTENTS.

The effect of the Manifesto upon the French—The Dutch answer it—State of Toulon—Disagreement between the Spanish and British Admirals—Unsuccessful expedition to Corsica—Violation of the Rights of Nations, in the Port of Genoa—Lord Howe chases a French fleet, which escapes him—Lord Moira's expedition resolved upon, with 10,000 British, and 6,000 Hessian troops, to penetrate by St. Maloes, into the interior parts of France—Brisset's party, and Egalité murdered—French successes on the Rhine.

THE manifesto, which is said to be the genuine, and a favourite production of Mr. Pitt, produced no other effect in France, than a contempt for the shuffling ambiguity of that part of it which declares a readiness to treat with any efficient Government, whilst we resuse to treat with their Executive Council; and of pity for the impotent arrogance of assuring them, that we will not insist upon the full price, but will abate considerably of what we might in justice demand of them for restoring their ancient monarchy. It produced, however, an immediate answer from the States General, which was a re-echoed avowal of all the reasons and motives for continuing the war, which are expressed in the declaration, excepting as to one of those motives, upon which they have very emphatically enlarged. The

British manisesto states, that one of the objects which his Majesty had in continuing the war was, to obtain for his allies, and himself, a just indemission: which the Dutch improve upon, by saying, that their ultimate object was to obtain a just indemnistration for the enormous extenses occasioned to the Republic, by the viclence of their common enemies.

The fituation, in the mean while, of our troops at Toulon, was very precarious: the Republican army daily increased, and constantly annoyed the town and the out-posts: reinforcements were frequently landed: upon this occasion five thousand Imperial troops were taken into our pay: the motly garrifon composed of British, French, Spanish, Neapolitan, German, Sardinian, and Portuguese troops, exceeded fourteen thousand men. Very frequent accounts from Toulon were fent over and published in the Gazette, if it were but of a skirmish of two hundred men; and in order to prepossess the Nation with a favourable idea of this new acquisition, the health, spirits, and safety of the garrison, were regularly blazoned in all the pomp of official confidence. As our fhrewd and trufty Admiral had fucceeded fo unexpectedly in negociating his entry into Toulon, he was too sanguine in his expectation of extending this new fystem of gaining ports, along the whole coast of France. He fent four ships of the line with private instructions to the different ports of France, two to Brest, one to L'Orient, and the other to Rochfort. In the multiplicity of tongues which were fpoken by the different corps, frequent mistakes in the orders, produced no small confusion. Disagreements also arose to a confiderable height between the Spanish and the English Admirals, concerning the disposition of the French ships: the Spanish claiming as nearest of kin to the infant Monarch, and in virtue of their old Family compact, the more immediate power and controul over the Trust Fund, which consisted of Bourbon proparty: the British Admiral urged the circumstances of acquisition and poffession as the stronger claims to management and the prior right of indemnification, for the rifks and charges of procuring the property.

Lord Hood finding that the inactivity of so many vessels in the harbour of Toulon would not much forward the general fervice, fent off a small squadron to extend his conquests into Corfica. General Paoli had promifed to co-operate by land whenever the ships should appear off the coast. The expedition failed for two reasons, which the Gazette announces in more words than were absolutely necessary to inform us, that the Corsicans would do nothing, and the British squadron could do nothing against the town of Florenze, which they were fent to take. Though the Gazette account be particularly diffuse in attempting to reconcile the failure of this expedition to the patient people of Great Britain, who are naturally fond of reading the successes of their fleets; the most efficient cause of the failure has been unfortunately forgotten by the compiler, which would have instantly soothed every disappointment. This was the fatal error of adopting the exploded system of battering, instead of negociating their entry into the town. Posterity, however, will be indebted to them for the discovery which they have made in this unsuccessful attempt upon the town of Florenze: viz. that a frigate may receive more damage than she can do against land batteries of superior weight of metal, elevated above her: and that the distances from the batteries to the ships, and from the ships to the batteries are reciprocal and equal. For we are informed, by this instructive Gazette, that although a close and powerful cannonade had been kept up by the squadron, till a quarter before eight, no visible impression was made: and yet that the ships of the squadron were much damaged; and therefore, upon the joint opinion of five British captains, that there was no prospect of fuccess, the fignal was made for discontinuing the attack. In the present regenerated spirit of the British Constitution, credulity on one fide of the question, seems not to have been confined within this island. "The Alcide is not materially damaged " in her masts or rigging, but the Ardent and Courageaux have suf-" fered very confiderably in both, from being exposed to the raking, " fire of the town of Florenze, though every information had affured "me, the distance of that place was too great for guns to have any effect." Pity it was, that there were not some friends of the people at Toulon, to have questioned the truth of this information, as they had that of an intended attack upon the Tower of London, in December 1792. The squadron had been lying in the gulf of Florenze from the 21st to the 30th of the month, during which time, it is presumed, by the ignorant, which is ever the greatest part of mankind, that the distances could have been ascertained, at which the fleet could either annoy the enemy, or be annoyed by them. The mighty force of artillery, which did so much damage to our fleet, consisted of two mortars, sour twenty-four pounders, two eight pounders, and one four pounder, in the redoubt of Fornilli; and four mortars, and nine twenty-four pounders in the town of Florenze.

The powers of Lord Hood appear to have exceeded whatever had been before delegated to any British Admiral: every act of his, from this Proclamation to the Toulonese, to his sailing from their port, must be looked upon as the acts of those, from whom he received his orders. It was an improvement upon the old fystem of war, for a British squadron to enter a neutral port and capture their enemy's veffels, lying under the protection of a neutral power. Eight ships of the line, and fix frigates from Toulon, entered the port of Genoa. The Scipic, of 74 guns, ranged along fide the Modeste, a French frigate at anchor in the port, and fummoned her to strike. The French answered with a broadfide. The Scipio returned two broadfides, and boarded the frigate. Part of the crew were cut to pieces in attempting to defend her, the rest escaped by swimming to the shore. Two French cruizers shared the fate of the Modeste. In any former war, this would have been the most direct and flagrant violation of the laws of patiens. It was a fingular example fet by that Government, which lately upbraided the French for having exposed all their neighbouring nations to the repeated attacks of ferecious anarchy, the natural and public enemy of all public order.

Providence seemed at length, to have afforded Lord Howe a

favourable opportunity of reviving the worn-down spirits of his fleet, and regaining the declining confidence of his friends and patrons. An extract was fent up to the Admiralty, from the log-book of the Montague, the last ship come into port from Lord Howe's fleet; that on the 18th inft. in the morning, a French squadron, confisting of seventeen fail, nine of the line, and the rest frigates, was seen bearing down on the British Aeet; that at ten o'clock they hauled their wind, on which Lord Howe made fignal for a general chase. At fix in the evening, the Montague sprung her top-masts, and was obliged to bring to, being then, according to computation, three leagues a-stern of the French squadron, and five miles a-head of Lord Howe's fleet. Incredible was the exultation of the nation at the receipt of this news: the failures at Dunkirk, Maubeuge, and Corfica were forgotten; every past misfortune, every pending hazard, were fwallowed up in the anticipated furety of annihilating, by by this capture, the remaining maritime force of France. Lord Howe, after three weeks absence, emerged from the mist that had enveloped both fleets, and brought back all his own ships safe into port. He received the well-earned laurels for having prevented any of them from falling into the hands of the ferocious republicans. The British fleet was consoled in the assurance, that the French were still quicker in running away, than we could be in purfuing them.

The year was drawing towards a conclusion, and the Minister was not a little anxious to improve the balance of the yearly accounts, which he must soon lay before Parliament. No very large profits had arisen out of any of his ventures: immense sums had been advanced, and lost in Flanders: the whole equipment for the Channel service had returned no gain: the profits of the Mediterranean treaty were still doubtful, and still costly: the advances made in foreign engagements could not yet make any return: confidence and credit began to decline, and the approaching hour of accounting to the Nation, made him resolve upon hazarding, what he had been long pressed and urged

in vain to attempt. The Earl of Moira, had, in the course of the month of June, expressed histopinion, that the only effectual measure for restoring monarchy and peace to France, would be to give substantial succour to the Royalists within the kingdom. Innumerable applications and offers upon this subject had been made to the Minister in the course of the year. The original objection against this plan must have been, that it did not exactly fall in with our lately avoid fystem of indemission. Cities that might fall into the hands of the Royalists, even with our affiftance, could not be taken and holden either in the name of the Emperor, as Valenciennes; nor in that of the King of -Great Britain, as Dunkirk was furnmoned; nor in trust for Louis XVII. like Toulon. The Earl of Moira, not being -initiated in all the political fecrets and private alliances of the -Minister, had expressed the opinion of a judicious and brave officer, and of an upright and fincere patriot; and he then exprefied his readiness to attempt the execution of the plan, which his judgment directed him to propose for the good and honour of his country. His fervices were then accepted; and were to be called for, when the better judgment of the Minister should point out the favourable moment of success. Dispatch in execution favoured too much of republican energy; cautious progression was the true mode of enfuring effect to prudent measures: the Fabian system could alone counteract that of anarchy and con-Unus home nobis cunctando restituit rem. after much confultation, infinite reluctance, and some preparation, it was publicly refolved upon, to fend out an expedition, under Lord Moira, to the interior of France, by the avenue of St. Maloes, which was to be immediately attacked and tak n; he was to have with him 10,000 British, and 6000 Hestian troops.

The accounts which were in this menth published by the National Convention, were very unfavourable to the cause of the Royalists. But as no credit was to be given to this horde of liurs and affashins, it might have been an auditional induce-

ment to their enemies, to act upon the contrary supposition of their fuccess. Although some of their accounts have certainly been exaggerated, yet, unfortunately for this country, too much truth of our fufferings and difgraces and of their own success, has been first announced from their Assembly. From hence we learnt the condemnation, by the Revolutionary Tribunal, and the execution of Briffot and his whole party, in thirty-seven minutes, which fufficed to strike of the heads of these criminals, and to dig a grave for federalism; this was the crime for which they were condemned; and that the infamous monster of baseness and iniquity, Egalité, suffered some days after for the same cause; that the Republicans had discovered and defeated a plot for delivering up Strasburg to the combined forces; and that, after having executed several hundreds for being concerned in it, they had raifed the fiege of Landau, and gained very fignal advantages over the combined armies of the Rhine.

CHAPTER XXI.

DECEMBER, 1793.

CONTENTS.

Mr. Muir and Mr. Palmer sentenced to be transported for seditious practices, in attempting to bring about a Reform in Parliament—Accusation and acquittal of Mr. Hamilton Rowan—Trial and acquittal of the Proprietors of the Morning Chronicle—Convention at Edinburgh—Heads of them arrested—Part of St. Domingo surrendered by the Royalists, in the name of Louis XVII.—Plot of Strasburg discovered—Attempt to entangle Switzerland in the general Confederacy—America preserves her neutrality—Turkish Ambassador in London—Enumeration of National Missortunes.

NOTWITHSTANDING the many and important occafions which called upon the attention of Government in the feveral theatres of war, in which we are unfortunately engaged, the most unrelenting spirit of prosecuting every exertion at home to procure a Parliamentary Reform, was kept up and enforced by examples of unprecedented rigour. This favourite object seems to have been lately pursued with more regularity and perseverence by its advocates in Scotland, than in any other part of the British Empire. Mr. Muir was the first perfon found guilty of sedition, for the part he had taken at different meetings, convened for the purpose of bringing about this reform: he was fentenced by the Court to be transported beyond the feas, to fuch place as his Majesty, with the advice of his Privy Council, should judge proper, for the space of fourteen years. Mr. Palmer was also condemned to be transported for seven years, for a fimilar offence. The feverity of these sentences, which, it is to be prefumed, were intended to deter people from pursuing the same object, did not produce the effect which was intended or expected. Mr. Muir was conveyed in the dead of the night on board a King's ship in Leith Roads. Most of the societies in that part of the Kingdom immediately published declarations of their resolutions to persevere in their peaceable endeavours to procure a reform of the abuses of which they complained, amiable qualities of the individuals who were condemned, excited a general sympathy for their sufferings; and the general predilection for the opinions upon Parliamentary Reform, to which they were looked upon as martyrs, provoked discussions upon the very question. Messrs. Muir and Palmer have been some time confined in chains, with the common felons, on board the hulks at Woolwich.

An attempt was made, in a most extraordinary manner, to draw Mr. Hamilton Rowan, of Ireland, into a like sentence: he had scarcely arrived at Edinburgh when he was apprehended as a seditious person, and taken before the Sherist, where he underwent a very minute and inquisitorial examination. The petition of the Procurator Fiscal to the Sherist for the warrant to arrest him, set forth, that meetings had been called and holden in that country for the avowed purpose of overturning the Constitution, and that Mr. H. Rowan, being Secretary of the United Irishmen in Dublin, had sent over an address from them to the persons styling themselves the Convention of the Friends of the People in Scotland, and this with a wicked and malevolent intention of sorwarding the most seditious purposes. Nothing seditious being proved against Mr. H. Rowan, he was dismissed upon giving bail to the amount of 3,000 merks.

The acquittal of Mr. H. Rowan put a stop, for some short

time, to the frequency of accusations and informations in Scotland against persons, for being friends and well-wishers to a Parliamentary Reform. In England, however, the spirit of information and profecution, which had been blown into fuch an unconstitutional flame by * Mr. Reeves's associators, received a most powerful and timely check in the good fense, uprightness, and firmness of an English Jury. The Attorney General had filed an information against Mr. Lambert and others, for printing and publishing a seditious libel in the Morning Chronicle, which confisted of a bare infertion, without any comment, of the proceedings and refolutions of a fociety for political information, holden at Derby in July, 1792. After a trial of many hours, the Jury, at feven o'clock in the evening, went to Lord Kenyon's house with a special verdict Guilty of publishing, but with no malicious intent. His Lordship telling them that he could not record this verdict, the Jury withdrew, and, at five o'clock in the morning, returned with a general verdict, Not guilty. It is faid, that Mr. Reeves, the affociator, was a very anxious attendant upon this trial, and was heard in court to have declared, that no defeat of the combined armies, no loss of fleets could be so prejudicial to the fystem of this affociation, as the acquittal of the defendants. This was the first prosecution ever brought-against the propietors of this instructive, learned, and truly constitutional newspaper since its first institution.

A very numerous affociation met at Edinburgh, who styled themselves a Convention of Delegates for obtaining Universal. Suffrage and Annual Parliaments: many persons of great respectability belonged to it: after several meetings, in different places in that city, Messrs. Gerald, Margarot, Sinclair, Calander, Ross Sen. and Jun. Skirving, and Brown, Members of this

^{*} It is impossible to speak in too flattering terms of the sensible, eloquent, and constitutional speech, which Mr. Erskine made on the 22d of last January, at the Meeting of the Friends to the Liberty of the Press, when they met to protest against Mr. Reeves's and such Associations for the general purpose of information and prosecution.

Convention from different focieties in England and Scotland, for obtaining a reform of the representation in Parliament, were taken into custody, by order of the Sheriff, and carried before him to be examined. This was the first society that had declared its principle of the specific mode of reform which they aimed at: they rather displeased some well-wishers to the general cause of reform, by affecting an imitation of the French Convention in their title, and in their mode of addressing each other in their meetings, by the appellation of citizen. These circumstances, though trivial in themselves, and not insringing any laws of the land, might, perhaps, with, more prudence and policy have been omitted. They appear to have been adopted by men in the moment of irritation, at being foiled in a variety of legal attempts to bring their grievances under the confideration of Parliament; in order to attract attention by circumstances altogether new, though in themselves innocent and legal. It bespeaks, particularly in the cool and wary character of the Scotch, a firm and unshaken determination, to persevere, against every possible prejudice, in their legal and constitutional efforts, to procure a a reform in the representation of the people in Parliament.

In the long dearth of joyful tidings, the feelings of the good people of the metropolis were gratified, by the unaccustomed found of the Park and Tower guns, to announce to them, not the defeat of the French army, nor the capture of their fleet, nor the success of Lord Moira's expedition, but the landing of some hundred men on the now desolated Island of St. Domingo. The fort of St. Nicholai, which was possessed by the Royalist party, who were too weak to keep it, had surrendered it up in the name of Louis XVII. to a detachment of British troops from Jamaica. Jeremie, which was called the key of the Island, had followed the example.

The doctrine of Trusts, was not equally understood by the Germans as by us: our late avowal, that indemnistration was an object for continuing the war (it could not have been our object in commencing it) throws indeed some light upon the sub-

ject; for the fure means of indemnification are to possess the property out of which the reimbursement is to arise. The city of Strasburg offered to submit in this manner, to General Wurmser; but he not understanding the finesse of the system, soolishly declined entering upon this condition, and gave the citizens six days, to propose other conditions: in this interval 45,000 republican troops arrived, the plot was discovered, and avenged in the best blood of Alsace.

Our negociating Minister was indefatigable in his efforts to strengthen the confederacy against the enemy, which had hitherto. baffled every effort and exertion against them. Lord Robert Fitzgerald was directed to perfuade, or intimidate the Swifs Cantons out of their neutrality; but hitherto the attempt has proved unfuccessful. The prudence and firmness of the American States, have hitherto kept them from entering into an alliance with France, as well as induced them to refift the attempts of our Cabinet to draw them out of their neutrality. To complete, in fhort, the fystem of a general confederacy of all the powers of Europe, we are gratified by the new and unprecedented fight of a Turkish Ambassador in London. This preference of the Divan to our court, we hope is grounded in some treaty beneficial to the commercial interests of the country: but as every fresh consederate, in this satal combination against France, is an additional winding to the labyrinth, no well wither to his country, can hope to fee the crusade embellished by the Ottoman Crescent.

The first year of this disastrous and calamitous warfare is now closed. The enormous price of the dearly bought experience is the least of the evils we have to lament. The foul sickens at every view that presents itself. Never did a system of meative pronounce so harsh, so lamentable a condemnation of the advisers. Well, indeed, may Britain weep at the catastrophe.

A Viction The defenceless and abandoned Royalists, every where vanquished and butchered: moved down at Lyons, from one hunbery glocustry and forty, to twenty-five thousand souls: unable to gain the

America

fea-shore, either to be succoured or transported: fresh accounts of unarmed multitudes of twenty thousand, butchered in cold blood: Earl Moira's expedition dropt, from conviction of the improbability of its succeeding against the activity and energy of the enemy. Toulon difgracefully abandoned, before witnesses from every nation in Europe, with the piteous boast of having left the arfenals and ships in flames, and the unmanly regret of our impotence to extend the devastation. The armies of the Rhine driven back with unheard of flaughter, traverfing, with giant strides, the ground which by inches they had gained, and drenched with the blood of melancholy victory. An enraged enemy glutting their infatiable vengeance in the blood of their royal countrymen, immolated to the menacing pride and cruelties of an inefficient federacy of crowned heads in the cause of Royalty. At home credit on the wane; bankruptcies innumerable; manufactures at a stand; the poor out of work; the middle classes staggering under the pressure of existing, and threatened with an accumulation of fresh taxes; the rich soured at the enormity of their present payments to the State, and forced, by the fympathy of human nature, to the daily increasing contributions to their own poor, as well as the numerous diffressed emigrants from France, whose exile we have hitherto foftened, but whose return we have rendered desperate. No prospect of success; no advantage seen in it, if obtained. The prosperity of the nation vanished, its happiness destroyed, its welfare endangered. Some frighted into discontent and dismay, by the boundless prospect of existing miseries; others sourced and irritated at the calumny of fedition and treason: many fearing the propagation and prevalence of French principles: more dreading the energy of French arms, and the feducing examples of French fuccesses: all bewailing past losses and misfortunes: none catching a ray of future conquest: all groaning under the throbbing pangs of national diffreiles and calamities: except these, who, By their feafonable convictions, have secured to themselves honours, preferments, and wealth, from the continuance and extenfion of their country's wretchedness,

CHAPTER XXII.

CONCLUSION.

THOUGH such be the gloomy situation of public affairs, we must not encourage the despondency which it is too likely to excite. We have faithfully detailed the events which have led to it, and we think it is impossible to overlook the principle to which those events are ascribable. The character of the public mind may, in general, be collected from public measures: if it be tainted with prejudice, it will be found favourable to measures unfound in their principle, and ruinous in their tendency. When the powerful convictions of the public judgment are made to yield to the influence of an infidious eloquence, or rendered subservient to the projects of a Machiavelian fystem, it becomes incompetent to the duty of watching over the public interests, it is neither disposed nor capable of fuggesting the measures of found policy, and is often too deeply interested in the success of the worst of measures to restrain their adoption, or to avert their consequences. of the principle is fuddenly felt in the calamities, with which it is attended. In vain can we hope relief from the evils we now feel, or fecurity from their increase, but by calmly and dispassionately investigating the causes which have led to them. Let us individually endeavour to eradicate from our minds, those opinions which we may have allowed to acquire a growth, that over-shadows the distates of unbiassed truth and justice. Let us, each individually confider, whether we have not allowed our immediate and particular interests to influence our public conduct, and, with a view to a temporary advantage to ourselves, given our fanction to mea-

fures, which, unless timely checked, may put in hazard those blessings, which a Constitution founded like the Constitution of England, not on the visions of a heated or distempered imagination, but on principles, which unchecked in their operation by the arm of violence or mifreprefentations of calumny must necessarily produce. Let us, spurning the seditious opinions of a Prine, and regardless of the brilliant eloquence of a Burke, look at our Constitution as we received it from our ancestors. Let us, with minds ftrongly impressed with the history of those times, which gave to our country the illustrious family which now graces the throne, decide whether the prerogatives allotted our Monarch by the Constitution do or do not exceed those of the ancient monarchy of France? Let us, from the history of those days, which defined the rights and duties of a House of Commons, collect, whether independence of character and integrity of principle be an effential, or a departure from its original inflitution; and when we shall have traced in the wisdom which directed, and in the firmness which effected the Revolution, that line of demarcation which separates prerogative from privilege, let us endeavour to ascertain the motives which could induce, and the nature of the policy which could encourage dostrines, that traduce the character, and betray the real interests of our Constitution. Viewed in its genuine form, it strikes by its majesty, and charms with its simplicity. It bears not the terror of indefinite prerogative, nor alarms by the indulgence of unreferained privilege. The knowledge of its principles are the feeds of public order and tranquillity. It is but from the traduction of its genius and pirit, that danger can be apprehended. True to its principles, internal diffension could never disturb us, external violence might in vain affail us. Whether this monument, the work of ages, has not of late been defaced by the opinions of persons in fituations too commanding of attention not to give force and extent to their fentiments, is a point of enquiry in which every individual is deeply concerned. Whether those opinions were the result of a malignant-intent, or the effects of an imperfect knowledge of the

subject, however the difference of motive may weigh in the scale of morality, it has not, I am afraid, in any degree varied the political consequences. Assi ming, that the Constitution of England has prescribed boundaries to the prerogative, we reject as asperfion, if not as fedicion, the doctrine which afferts that those bounduries have been passed. Assirming, that an independent House of Com nons does make a part of the British Constitution, we reject, as calumny, the opinions which maintain the contrary. But hen we reject such doctrines as unconstitutional, we cannot feel ourfelves wholly indifferent to any mark of public attention conferred on those who have maintained or favoured them; for in the confequences of that attention we trace the principle of no small portion of the existing discontent. To dispel the gloom which obscures the public judgment, the strongest lights of truth and reason are necessary. Such lights are not to be expected from those who have wiifully favoured the delusion. Under these circumstances, it were a want of gratitude to the individual, it were a want of regard for the public interest, o overlook that manly intrapidity, which in the hour of the most formidable and accumulated prejudice stood between the country and the agitation and alarm und r which it acted. When the language of perfonal invective was substituted for fair discussion, when the strongest personal provocation might have drawn the individual from the vindica in of the Constitution to the vindication of his own character and conduct, we found Mr. Fox difregarding every danger, but that which might affect the Constitution of his Country, flating, illustrating, and vind cating its principles, with a force of eloquence, which nothing but a genuine fense of its value could have inspired. When malice would paint him hostile to the Government of his country, his desence were instructions for rendering that Government impervious to all affaults. Diffaining the alvantage which he might have derived from the ruin of a rival statesman, we found him anxious to avert that very mischief which would alone infure it. Charged with enmity to our Constitution, he vindicated its perfections: charged with the

ambitious views of displacing his rival, he instructed him in those leffons which could alone render him worthy to retain his fituation. Conve fant with the various resources of his country, and its gene al attachment to the Constitution, he execrated the policy which gave ground to our enemies to confider us a divided people, and reprobated the measures which tended to divide us. A steady adherence to the Rights of Nations is, at all times, fomething more than a duty; it is the foundest policy; it gives lustre to success, and dignity to adversity. He strenuously opposed that policy, which rende's justice pliant to the indefinite variety of human events. His pursuits in life had not led him to study our Penal Code in its minute detail; his mind, however, was too curious in its general refearches to have overlooked its principles, which involved the lives and liberties of his fellowsubjects. On a question of widening the Criminal Code, we found him illustrating the policy of our ancestors in simplifying the law of treason, with a power which the most learned and acute of his opponents found irrefistible. His opposition to a war for procuring objects which were attainable by negociation is well known; and we now feverely feel the confequences of rejecting, as the language of faction, the dictates of found judgment and pure patriotism. In vain was the necessity aggravated in description; in vain did eloquence attempt to mislead his judgment, by sastening on his passions; no art could conceal from him the real interests of his country: he saw them distinctly through the hazy mist of prejudice and passion; and he stated prophetically the effects of departing from that system of neutrality, which would at once have fecure i and improved them. Whilst passions instructed reason, he was unable to bear up against the tide of opinion: though lamenting the event of the contest, we found him anxious to avert the accomplishment of his predictions. With the voice of patriotifin, he exhorted those who had the conduct of the war, to display the greatness and energy of their country, in the wisdom and vigour of conducting it. Here we will paufe. Though few may confider themselves competent to decide upon the necessity of .

BOOKS,

Published by M. Carey, No. 118, Market-Street.

AN IMPARTIAL HISTORY

OF THE

FRENCH REVOLUTION;

From its Commencement to the

EXECUTION OF THE QUEEN, AND THE DEPUTIES OF THE GIRONDE PARTY.

EXTRACT FROM THE PREPACE.

"The authors have prefumed to affix to their title, the epithet Impartial; and the reason is, because they cannot charge themselves with seeling the smalles bias to any party, but that of truth and liberty; and they flatter themselves, that their readers will find not only every circumstance fairly represented, but every censurable action, whoever were the authors or actors, marked in its proper colours. If it was necessary to make a declaration of their own principles, they would say, they are neither tory nor republican—They love liberty as English whigs and execrates every criminal act, by which so noble a cause is endangered and disgraced.

"In the present serment of the public mind, they cannot slatter themselves with the hopes of seeing this claim universally acknowledged. On the contrary, they are well assured that these pages will not be acceptable to the zealots of either party. But when time shell have dissipated the clouds of political deception, and appealed the tumult of the passions, they will, with some considence, expect that verdict from public opinion, which candour and moderation seidom sail to

receive."

Extract from the Critical Review, January 1794, 12th Page,

"We have certainly derived much pleasure, and acquired much information from the perusal of these volumes; and we think them, both for matter and style, worthy the attention of all who interest themselves in events, which have so justly excited the curiosity and associations mankind."

(Price a Quarter Dollar.)

SHORT ACCOUNT OF ALGIERS.

Containing—A description of that country—of the manners and customs of the inhabitants—and of their several wars against Spain, France, England, Hol and, Venice and other powers of Europe—from the usurpation of Barbarossa and the invasion of the Emperor Charles V. to the present time—with a concise view of the origin of the war between Algiers and the United States.

Em ellished with a map of Barbary, comprehending Morocco, Fez, Algiers,

Tunis, and Tripoli.

SHORT ACCOUNT OF THE MALIGNANT FEVER,

Lately prevalent in Philadelphia, with a statement of the proceedings that took place on the subject in different parts of the United States. To which are added, accounts of the plague in London and Marseilles, and a list of the dead in Philadelphia, from August, to the middle of December, 1793.

BY MATHEW CAREY.

(Price Half 2 Dollar in biue paper-Taree Quarters of a Dollar bound.)

BOOKS PUBLISHED BY M. CAREY.

(Price Nineteen Dollars and one-fifth.)

AMERICAN MUSEUM,

From its commencement in January, 1787, to its termination in December

1792. Twelve volumes 8vc.

The American Museum is not only eminently calculated to diffeminate political, agricultural, philosophical, and other valuable information—but it has been uniformly conducted with taske, attention, and propriety. If, to these important objects be superadded the more immediate design, of rescuing public documents from oblivion, I will venture to pronounce, as my sentiment, that a more useful literary plan has never been undertaken in America, nor one more deserving of public encouragement."

GEN. WASHINGTON.

(Price One Dollar Thirty-three Cents.)

YOUNG MISSES' MAGAZINE.

Containing—Dialogues between a Governess and several Young Ladies of Quality her scholars. In which each Lady is made to speak according to her particular genius, temper, and inclination—Their several faults are pointed out, and the easy way to amend them, as well as to think, and speak, and act properly; no less care being taken to form their hearts to goodness, than to enlighten their understandings with useful knowledge. A short and clear abridgment is also given of sacred and prophane History, and some besions in Geography. The useful is blended throughout with the agreeable, the whole being interspersed with proper reflexions and moral Tales.

Translated from the French of MADEM. LE PRINCE DE BEAUMONT. In Four Volumes.

Extract from the Critical Review, August 1737, p. 177.

"When it is remembered how much the happinels of fociety depends upon the education of its u dividuals, we shall be pardoned for taking notice of one of the best works that has been written to that end; since, however trisling it may at first appear, it is certainly important in the main. The intention of these dialogues is to give a just way of thinking, speaking, and acting to young people, according to their different stations of life; and they every where contribute to enlighten the understanding, and to form the heart to goodness. Here we find the useful and agreeable happily blendid, a short and clear abridgment of facred and prophase history, and some lessons in geography.

(Price 62 1-2 Cents.)

CHARLOTTE—A TALE OF TRUTH.

A Novel-By Mrs. Rowson, of the New Theatre, Philadelphia; Author of Victoria, the lequilitor. Fifle de Chambre, &c.

Litrael from the Critical Review, April 1791, p. 468.

"IT may be a Tale of Truth, for it is not unnatural, and it is a tale of real diffrefs—Chariotte, by the artifice of a teacher, r. commended to a fehool, from lumanity rather than a conviction of her integrity, or the regularity of her former conduct, is enticed from her governess, and accompanies a young officer to America. The marriage ceremony, if not forgotten, is pouponed, and Charlotte dies a marryr to the inconfrancy of her lover, and the treachery of his friend. The fituations are artiefs and affecting—the descriptions natural and pathetic; we should feel for Charlotte it such a perion ever existed, who for one error, fearcely, perhaps, deserved to severe a punishment. If it is a fiction, poetic instice is not, we think, properly difficulted."







9.7 - •

Cleaned & Oiled



