Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2008 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation

http://www.archive.org/details/socialenglandrec02trai

SOCIAL ENGLAND

VOLUME I. OF

SOCIAL ENGLAND

CONTAINS

FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE ACCESSION OF EDWARD THE FIRST.

"The history of social England is a stupendous undertaking, and Mr. Traill has realised his heavy responsibilities. Few men are better fitted to edit a work so comprehensive and exhaustive, for his knowledge is exceptionally wide and his intellect is singularly lucid. . . . Naturally it was an important question how so complicated a theme was to be treated: Mr. Traill has decided—as we think, wisely, for what may be defined as an encyclopædical social history. There is methodical classification, with a distribution of the subjects among writers whom we may assume to be specialists."—*The Times.*

"The utility of such a work is obvious, its interest to all cultivated people enormous, and the scale on which the book is to be written, adequate."—*The Speaker.*

"Mr. Traill has, so far as we can judge from this first instalment of his SOCIAL ENGLAND, on the whole been fortunate in procuring the services of a competent band of contributors." —*Saturday Review.*

"SOCIAL ENGLAND is so admirably planned; the experts having charge of several departments are such masters of their subject; it is so excellently prefaced by Mr. Traill, that for a moment the boundary line between an encyclopædia and a history seems illusory, and in this case illuded."—*National Observer.*

VOLUME III.

WILL CONTAIN

THE PERIOD FROM THE ACCESSION OF HENRY VIII. TO THE CIVIL WARS. 1509—1642.

SOCIAL ENGLAND

A Record of the Progress of the People

In Religion Laws Learning Arts Industry Commerce Science Literature and Manners from the Earliest Times to the Present Day

By Various Writers

EDITED BY

H. D. TRAILL D.C.L.

SOMETIME FELLOW OF ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE OXFORD

VOLUME II.

FROM THE ACCESSION OF EDWARD I TO THE DEATH OF HENRY VII

SECOND EDITION.

CASSELL AND COMPANY, LIMITED

LONDON, PARIS & MELBOURNE

1894

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

LA BELLE
SAUVAGE

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER V.

THE CONSOLIDATION OF THE KINGDOM. 1274–1348.

	PAGE
The Three Edwards	1
The History of Wales. 613–1348	13
Religion: The Suppression of the Templars . . .	18
Legal Reform under Edward I.	32
Warfare	38
The Navy	42
Architecture and Art	47
The Universities	61
Science and Pseudo-Science: Astrology and Alchemy .	74
Medicine and Surgery	82
Literature: including the Miracle Plays . . .	86
Agriculture	92
Trade and Commerce	100
Public Health	114
Social Life	115
Authorities. 1274–1348	129

CHAPTER VI.

THE BLACK DEATH AND ITS EFFECTS. 1348–1399.

The Black Death	133
The Effect on Agriculture	137
The Years of Truce, and their Work	146

PAGE

Religion: Wycliffe 157

The Art of War 172

The Navy 182

Architecture and Art 194

Literature: Chaucer and His Contemporaries . . . 202

The Universities. 1348–1485 231

Public Health 239

Agriculture 242

Commercial History 252

Town Life 259

Social Life 265

Authorities. 1348–1399 274

CHAPTER VII.

THE CLOSE OF THE MIDDLE AGES. 1399–1485.

The Reign of Henry IV. 277

Owen Glendower's Rebellion 282

Religion: Wycliffe's Influence and Work . . . 287

The Reign of Henry V. 293

The Reign of Henry VI. 297

The Constitution under Lancastrian Rule . . . 309

The Reign of Edward IV. 314

The Reign of Richard III. 318

The Art of War 321

The Navy 334

Discovery and Exploration. 700–1485 347

Architecture and Art 360

Music in Mediæval England 367

Magic and Sorcery 370

Literature: The Chaucerian School 376

Agriculture 381

Industry and Commerce 393

Town Life 407

PAGE
Public Health 413
Social Life 416
Authorities. 1399–1485 438

CHAPTER VIII.

THE BEGINNINGS OF MODERN ENGLAND. 1485–1509.

The Reign of Henry VII. 441
The New Monarchy 452
The Balance of Classes 457
The Church 464
The History of English Law. 1307–1600 476
The Transformation of the Army 489
The Navy 494
Maritime Exploration: The Cabots 496
Art: Painted Glass, Sculpture, and Painting 498
The Universities 504
Scottish Poetry in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries 507
The Early History of Printing 527
The Growth of a Common English Tongue 537
Agriculture 544
Trade and Industry 550
Public Health: The Sweating Sickness 560
Social Life 565
Authorities. 1485–1509 573

Index 575

CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS VOLUME.

Beazley, C. Raymond, M.A., F.R.G.S., F.R.H.S., Fellow of Merton College, Oxford; Author of *Henry the Navigator* (Heroes of the Nations Series).

Blakiston, Rev. H. E. D., Fellow and Tutor of Trinity College, Oxford.

Clowes, W. Laird, Fellow of King's College, London; Gold Medallist U.S. Naval Institute.

Corbett, W. J., M.A., Fellow of King's College, Cambridge.

Creighton, C., M.A., M.D., Author of *A History of Epidemics in Britain.*

Duff, E. Gordon, B.A., Author of *Early Printed Books.*

Edwards, Owen M., M.A., Fellow of Lincoln College, Oxford; Lecturer in Modern History at Lincoln and Corpus Christi Colleges, Oxford.

Fletcher, C. R. L., M.A., Fellow and Tutor of Magdalen College, Oxford.

Hall, Hubert, F.S.A., Public Record Office; Author of *A History of the Customs Revenue.*

Hassall, A. H., M.A., Student and Tutor of Christ Church, Oxford, and Examiner in the School of Modern History; Author of *Bolingbroke* (Statesmen Series); Joint Editor of and Contributor to *Constitutional Essays;* Editor of and Contributor to *Periods of European History.*

Heath, H. Frank, Ph.D. Strasburg; Professor of English Language and Literature at Bedford College, London.

Hewins, W. A. S., M.A., Pembroke College, Oxford.

Hughes, Reginald, D.C.L., sometime Exhibitioner of St. John's College, Oxford.

Hutton, Rev. W. H., M.A., Fellow and Tutor of St. John's College, and Examiner in the Honour School of Modern History at Oxford; Author of *The Marquess Wellesley;* and of *The Misrule of Henry III., Simon de Montfort and his Cause*, and *St. Thomas of Canterbury* in *English History from Contemporary Writers.*

Maitland, F. W., LL.D., Downing Professor of Law in the University of Cambridge.

Oman, C., M.A., Fellow of All Souls' College, Oxford; Author of *The Art of War in the Middle Ages; Warwick the King-Maker; The History of Europe*, 476–918, etc.

Poole, Reginald L., M.A., Ph.D., Lecturer of Jesus College, Oxford; Assistant Editor of the *English Historical Review;* Author of *Illustrations of Mediæval Thought.*

Rockstro, W. S., Author of a *General History of Music; Life of Handel, Life of Mendelssohn*, etc.

Smith, A. L., M.A., Fellow and Tutor of Balliol College, Oxford.

Steele, R., F.C.S., Author of *Mediæval Lore;* Editor of *The Story of Alexander* and Lydgate's *Secrees of Old Philossoffres* (E.E.T.S.).

Symes, Professor J. E., M.A., Principal of University College, Nottingham.

SOCIAL ENGLAND.

CHAPTER V.

THE CONSOLIDATION OF THE KINGDOM. 1274–1348.

A. L. SMITH.
The Reign of Edward I.

Seldom in the history of a nation do the twin streams of political and social progress maintain an equal and uniform rate of speed. Now one, now the other, flows the more rapidly of the two. Trade and industry, arts and manners, may undergo a transformation while the history of politics is a comparative blank; or, conversely, an era of political activity may concur with a season of social and economic repose. The period we are now approaching is one of the latter kind: and even the social historian finds himself compelled to give his first attention to the policy and person of a single statesman-king.

The work awaiting Edward I. was of such variety and such magnitude as to surpass in permanent importance even that effected by Henry II. To reduce Wales, and to deal with Scotland; to settle on an enduring basis the judicial and the military system of England; to transform the old taxes into a new financial scheme; to cope with the eternal problem of Church and State, a problem then nearing an acute stage; to accept the principles of the Charters, and the lessons of the last reign, without hampering the royal power or strengthening the baronage; lastly, to find the true path for the progress of representative institutions, a path that even Montfort had missed: all this needed a strong man, as well as a wise and good one. Edward I., indeed, of all our sovereigns, if not absolutely the foremost, yet stands second only to Henry VIII. in strength of character, to Alfred or to Henry VI. in

righteousness; but in practical wisdom, in constructive insight, in justice of conception, second to none.

The Subjugation of Wales.

The reduction of Wales was the first need. The Welsh were a standing menace to England. They had seized the opportunity of every rising, against John, against the Regency, against Henry III. Their indomitable animosity necessitated the existence of great districts on the borders, where the Bohuns, Mortimers, and Clares were independent "Lords Marchers," and thought less of justice to the Welsh, or loyalty to the king, than of thwarting and defeating each other. The English kings had tried force and friendship, alike in vain. Llewellyn, Prince of North Wales, had been given a bride of the English royal house, and David, his brother, had been specially favoured by Edward; yet, in 1282, both revolted. Edward's vengeance was swift. In appealing to his people for men and money, he reminded them of the countless treasons of the Welsh; how, like foxes, they had troubled the land; how they slaughtered men, women, and children, burned castles and cottages, and feared neither God nor man. He invaded Wales; Llewellyn fell; David was solemnly tried and executed as a traitor and conspirator, a blasphemer and a murderer. Wales was assimilated to England, and English laws introduced. The process was slow, but by Tudor times it was complete. The story of the baby prince presented at Carnarvon to the Welsh, as their promised Prince of Wales, who could speak no English, shows that popular tradition rightly referred back to Edward I. the whole credit of the result.

Edward I. and Gascony.

From 1286 to 1289 Edward was in Gascony, securing that province, the last fragment of the great Plantagenet dominion in France. In 1293 Philip le Bel, by an unworthy trick, seized the strongholds of the province, and seemed to be designing a raid on English coasts. Edward again appealed to his people in 1295 against the King of France, who, "not content with his former fraud and iniquity," was now gathering a fleet and host "to invade the land and wipe the English name from the earth." At last, by Edward's marriage to Philip's sister Margaret in 1299, an accommodation was arranged.

In the meantime the chief constitutional results of the reign had been produced at home. The Statute of Mortmain (1279) checked the absorption of land by the Church, and consequent impoverishment of all landowners; and, therefore, of the Crown, the greatest landowner of all. Other statutes with the same view were that called De Donis (1285), which protected reversionary estates and incidentally established a system of entails, and the Act of 1290, Quia Emptores, which, in attempting to retain the profitable "incidents" of feudal tenure, opened the door to changes which overthrew the very basis of feudalism. Indeed, Edward's general aim has been defined by Bishop Stubbs as the elimination of the principle of tenure from the region of government. Hitherto political right, military power, social privilege, had all been distributed according to the distinctions between classes of tenants; the chief tenants alone made the laws, had armed retainers, and still kept private jurisdictions. Henceforth, this was to be altered. The great council of tenants in chief was to be expanded into a representative Parliament; feudal levies into a national army; and feudal franchises merged in royal and national justice. To effect this a thorough inquiry was made by what warrant in each case such franchises were claimed. The barons resented an inquiry into their title-deeds as an interference with rights of property. Earl Warrenne threw down an ancient rusty sword before the justices with the proud words, "See, my masters, here is my warrant." But this was a piece of acting; he submitted like the rest. Edward's judicial reforms, however, had also a constructive side. He completed the separation between the three courts, Exchequer, King's Bench, and Common Pleas. He defined the Assize Circuits, he provided new forms of legal remedy, to meet the growth of legal business, and so laid the foundation for the great Equity jurisdiction in Chancery, which has done so much for English social life. In 1289 he dismissed most of the judges for corruption. His banishment of the Jews the same year was not from mere bigotry, but from a determination to enforce the usury laws, to protect the coinage, and to destroy an agency by which the powerful dispossessed the smaller landowners. His military measures

Edward I.'s Legal Reforms: "Mortmain," "De Donis." "Quia Emptores."

Judicial Reforms.

included a strict inspection twice a year of the national militia, arranged in its classes from mailed knight to archer armed with dagger; a strict watch by night in all boroughs, and the duty of "hue and cry" at the sheriff's summons; the clearance of 200 feet on each side of all highways, a precaution against lurking footpads. The old caste distinctions of tenure he regarded as obsolete; all men who had property enough, were "distrained to take up knighthood," whether chief tenants or mesne tenants, and whether holding by military service or not. Similarly for his wars, he called on all classes alike to fight for their country, whether on the English coasts, or across Scotch or Welsh borders, or in Gascony or Flanders. But Edward's greatest title to the reverence of Englishmen is as the real creator of Parliament. Representative institutions had been advancing throughout the thirteenth century. John himself had been driven to call an assembly of representatives from every shire. The ministers had called four knights from every shire in 1254, and Montfort had added to his Parliament of 1265 two burgesses from each of certain boroughs; but it was Edward I. who completed the whole process by successive steps in 1275, 1282, 1290, 1294, and 1295—steps so steadily progressive as to prove he had a deliberate plan, and one which grew under his hands. It was he whose action determined that burgesses should sit with shire knights—a point on which turns the whole history of the House of Commons and its indestructibility. It was he who insisted on the great Estate of the clergy being represented like the barons, and the Commons and all the three Estates meeting at the same time and place. Thus, the "Model Parliament" of 1295 was the full working out of the maxim of his reign: "That which touches all should be approved by all." At the same time, Edward was steadily reducing the House of Lords to a very manageable number, and emphasising the fact that peerage depended not on tenure, but only on royal writ of summons.

Edward I. and the Church.

His determination that the clergy should not hold aloof from national burdens was manifested early in the reign. In 1279 the Oseney monk records with horror that "the clergy are to be treated even as the people are," and they had to pay a similar tax. This and the Mortmain Act were his reply to

the aggressive attitude which Archbishop Peckham had just assumed. In 1291 he had, by appeal to the Pope, got a tithe of ecclesiastical property. In 1294 he had openly told the assembled clergy to observe how the barons had, in view of the French war, undertaken both to fight and to pay, so that they who could do no fighting must at least pay. Twice they yielded, and twice a still heavier call was made on them. But at this juncture the Papacy had thrown down the gauntlet to the sovereigns of Christendom. The Bull Clericis Laicos forbade kings to take and churchmen to pay taxes on ecclesiastical property. Edward promptly outlawed the clergy. Unfortunately for the king, the same year, 1297, brought him into violent conflict with his barons. Bohun the Constable and Bigod the Marshal refused to serve in Flanders: "they would neither go nor hang," they answered his threat. The barons assembled in arms, "1,500 knights equipped for war": a force of some thousands in all. Edward had to compromise with the clergy: he would confirm the Charters, and they should make a voluntary gift. Then he sailed for Flanders.

Edward I. and the Barons.

But in his absence the barons combined again with clergy and people to add seven new articles to the Charters, and Edward had to ratify these at Ghent. The effect of this was to restrict tallage and such exactions within their old customary limits, and to lay down the principle that not the Crown, but Parliament, should have the whole power of taxation. The long struggle which opens with the Great Charter in 1215 thus closes, at least in one aspect. Principles then laid down were now accepted as final. It only remained to ensure this being acted on. But Edward was not a King John; nor was Winchelsey a Langton; nor did Bohun or Bigod rise to the moral stature of the Marshalls or Montforts. It was only on a narrow technical point that the two earls first opposed the king, and not until the Church and the nation had suffered three years of oppressive taxation. Their constitutional cry comes only as an after-thought; and but for the exceptional concurrence of difficulties that beset Edward, and the arbitrary actions to which this hurried him, they would hardly have succeeded.

There is, in fact, a certain theatrical air of unreality over

the whole attitude of the barons to the king in this reign. We are irresistibly reminded of Warrenne's rusty sword and empty vaunt. Their constitutional leadership was indeed over and done with, though it takes the nation another century yet to realise this. They are passing from feudal barons into ordinary nobles; becoming courtiers and officials instead of petty princes or leaders of provinces. Of the twelve greatest earldoms, no less than seven before Edward's death had come into the royal house by escheat or marriage alliance.

Edward I. and Scotland.

Throughout Edward's later life the sky had been growing overcast. With the Scotch war the sun of his fortunes set in cloud and storm. He had hoped that the betrothal of his own son to the young Queen of Scots, 1290, would peacefully unite the two kingdoms. But the same year she died. Many claimants to the throne sprang up. The Scots appealed to Edward to arbitrate. He appointed a meeting at Norham, 1291, and marched thither with a great army. His proceedings from that point it seems impossible for any Scotchman, even at the present day, to judge calmly. Yet there can be no doubt on the one hand that the competitors, and Scots themselves, as well as the public opinion of Christendom, regarded the English kings as having some overlordship over Scotland; that there were enough historical instances of homage done by Scottish kings to seem to support a feudal claim; that southern Scotland was closely akin to northern England, and had but little bond with the Celtic north; and that Edward's award, by which John Baliol, a Yorkshire baron, became King of Scots in 1292, was scrupulously just. On the other hand, Edward certainly pressed his feudal rights to the uttermost, and helped to make Baliol's position untenable; and when the Scots made alliance with France, he attacked them as allies of his enemies, sacked Berwick and Edinburgh, captured and deposed Baliol, and left Scotland under the heavy hand of Earl Warrenne, who had won the victory of Dunbar. His defeat of the Scots at Falkirk, and futile campaigns of 1299, 1300, and 1301, and his overwhelming march from end to end of the land in 1303, followed by the execution of Wallace for treason, murder, and sacrilege, acted as stern lessons to teach the Scotch patriotism and union. Scottish

nationality was the creation of Edward's tyranny. He did what he deemed his duty; but there are some mistakes which count almost as crimes. If anything could expiate such, it would be the unshaken heroism with which Edward pursued his purpose. Neither disaster nor mortal disease could turn him aside: ill as he was, he took a solemn vow, 1306, to avenge Robert Bruce's murder of Comyn and assumption of the crown. He died July, 1307, almost in the act of mounting his horse at the frontier town of Burgh-on-Sands, to march against the rebel Robert Bruce; and men believed that the great king, as if his iron will could defy death itself, had ordered that his bones should be carried in the van of his army till the Scots were utterly subdued. Two years before, he had secured from a new Pope the suspension of Archbishop Winchelsey, whom he could never forgive for supporting the Papal claim to over-lordship of Scotland, and whose action as head of the Church in 1297 he had never forgotten. The king skilfully contrived that the indignant repudiation of this claim should proceed from the assembled baronage of England. Thus when he died a great and manifold work seemed to have been accomplished.

The Work of Edward I.

He had preserved Gascony, conquered Wales, and (apparently) Scotland. The great days of the baronage were over: the boldest and last of mediæval declarations of Church independence had been defeated; he had transformed the Great Council and the system of taxation, and reduced feudalism to harmlessness; he had granted the people's demands without impairing the real power of the Crown, which was never before, or for two hundred years after, so strong as now, when it expressed and summed up the national will. And yet the tragic fate that seemed to mock all the Plantagenets foredoomed to futility much of Edward's most earnest endeavours. It was his aggression that first made Scotland into a nation: he had raised a spirit potent to wreck his own plans. Hardly was he dead before his own son showed how much Scotch independence would owe to the incapacity and neglect of Edward's own posterity. He had forced the clergy into his Parliamentary scheme; but in a few years from his Parliament of 1295 they had slipped out of their representation in Parliament, and taken refuge in their own Houses of Convocation. This same ironical fate brought

it about that the "Hammer of the Scots" should till recent years have had his history read through the distorting medium of Scottish sources; and that the king, who had taken for a watchword the motto "Keep faith," should be accused, by an almost inconceivable misreading of the events, of three gross breaches of faith with his subjects.

Has it more of the ludicrous or of the pathetic to read of the high hopes which his contemporaries had of Edward II.? With justice has the reign been made the subject of drama. The characters are strikingly contrasted: the idle, heedless, unworthy king, more to be pitied than wholly condemned; his dashing, sharp-tongued, pernicious favourite, Piers Gaveston; his brutal, sullen, implacable cousin, Thomas of Lancaster, incapable head of the jealous lords; the somewhat enigmatical figures of the two Despensers, the king's later confidants; and the dark under-plot of the vicious queen and her lover, Mortimer; the roll of murders, ending in the horrible story of Berkeley Castle and the "screams of an agonising king." From the first, Edward II. reversed his father's policy; he made truce with the Scots, and hurried south to his marriage with Isabella and their coronation; he recalled Gaveston, and heaped on him extravagant honours; for his sake he quarrelled with his father's old ministers. As early, as 1308 a bitter wrath had been kindled against the favourite, and the king had to consent to banish him, only to recall him next year. The Parliament held in 1309 presented an urgent demand for reforms, which the Lords took up, and by 1310 the king's authority was practically superseded by twenty-one Lord Ordainers. These drew up the Ordinances of 1311, besides again banishing Gaveston, and put the appointment and the power of war and peace in the hands of the baronage. When the king declared them null, the barons rose, captured, and beheaded Gaveston. After Edward's disgraceful defeat at Bannockburn, 1314, the Ordainers seized the reins completely. Thomas of Lancaster was supreme, but was too short-sighted or too traitorous to do anything. Private wars broke out; the administration was almost suspended; the Scots ravaged the northern counties. Robert Bruce,

The Reign of Edward II.

The Execution of Gaveston.

who had recovered his fortresses almost unopposed, now, by the capture of Berwick in 1318, completed his royal title. His marauders in 1319 took blackmail as far south as Ripon.

Meantime, the obscure struggles of the various factions among the barons continued, governed by the merest personal motives. It is typical of the times that the two Despensers (father and son), who from 1318 to the end of the reign took the place left vacant by Gaveston's death, posed as champions of constitutionalism, but for purely selfish objects; while the ferocious hatred felt for them by the other barons, which expressed itself in the old constitutional phrases of the Charter epoch, was really nothing more than jealousy and disappointed greed. The movement, indeed, arose in that hotbed of ancient hatreds and intrigues, the Welsh marches, and began in a quarrel over the Gloucester co-heiresses, the Despensers having secured the lion's share. In 1321 the peers of the land declared sentence of exile against the Despensers; but Edward, with unexpected promptitude, raised an army, struck down the Mortimers in the west, and defeated and captured Thomas of Lancaster at Borough-bridge. The mighty earl, "King Arthur," as Gaveston had called him, with a double sting in the allusion, the king's cousin, son of one queen, uncle of another, Earl of Lancaster, Leicester, Derby, Lincoln, and Salisbury, lord of many castles and honours, and of many hundred manors, had fallen at one blow. He was tried and condemned, and executed in his own castle of Pontefract. Thus was Gaveston's blood avenged by that of Lancaster; but this stain, in its turn, must be washed away by the downfall of Edward II. and his grandson, Richard II.; and the vindictive spirit thus aroused only drank its fill at last on the fields of Towton and Tewkesbury, or the scaffolds where died the last of the Poles, the Staffords, and the Courtenays under the Tudor axe. Edward was for a time supreme, and he dealt a blow at the Ordinances by declaring such laws must be made by a full Parliament, not by barons alone. This hit exactly the weak point in the Ordainers' conduct: they had tried to govern for the people, but not by the people. They had been blind to the great upgrowth of political consciousness

The Despensers.

in the nation. They were still at heart with the narrow exclusive baronage of 1258, and ignored the rise of representative Parliament in the interval. But their power to harm, despite Edward's triumph, was not exhausted yet. In 1323 he made ignominious peace with the Scots. In 1325 his queen and younger son, whom he had sent to France on a mission, joined Roger Mortimer, the fugitive rebel, and on September 24th, 1326, they returned "to avenge Lancaster, and punish the Despensers"; the barons, the Londoners, the bishops, the king's own brothers, all joined them. They took Bristol, and hanged the elder Despenser on a gallows fifty feet high, and the younger at Hereford. At the Parliament in January the mob clamoured for the king's deposition; the archbishop preached on the text "*Vox populi, vox Dei.*" The king was made to confess himself unworthy to reign; all renounced allegiance, and his son was proclaimed.

The Accession of Edward III.

On the 21st of September it was announced that Edward was dead in Berkeley Castle: murdered, we cannot doubt, and murdered by connivance at least of the adulterous queen and her paramour. These now ruled the kingdom for nearly four years. It is true Edward III. was crowned king, and that Henry of Lancaster was head of the Council; but it was Mortimer who took to himself all the Despenser estates, with the new title of Earl of March: who, through the queen, absorbed two-thirds of the Crown revenues: whose retinue of one hundred and eighty knights and assumption of the state of a "May-day king" provoked his own son's remonstrances, and persuaded the nation that he aimed at the throne itself. It was to little purpose that they had exchanged Edward and the Despensers for Isabella and Mortimer. The failure of the great host raised in 1328 to repel the Scots, and the inglorious terms of the "Foul Peace" of Northampton, were ascribed to treachery on the part of Mortimer. Still more clearly, in the trap laid for Edmund of Kent, the late king's brother, and his consequent execution, was seen Mortimer's handiwork. Already Henry of Lancaster had vainly tried to effect a rising which should throw off the favourite's yoke; but he had failed, and had to pay dearly for it. Thus when, at the instigation of the Lancastrian party, the young king cleverly entered Nottingham Castle at night

The Rule of Mortimer and Isabella.

by an underground passage, and arrested Mortimer, there went up a general cry of triumph from the whole land. He was tried by the Lords, condemned unheard, and suffered a traitor's cruel death at Smithfield, December, 1330. When his great-grandson married Philippa of Clarence, that destiny which made the Mortimers as it were the fated curse of the Plantagenet house began its final fulfilment. Richard, Duke of York, cousin and supplanter of Henry VI., was the son of the last heiress of the Mortimers; and the name of this powerful family only died out in the general destruction which involved both royal branches and the families allied to them. With the fall of Mortimer and the seclusion of Isabella the real reign of Edward III. begins.

Mortimer Executed.

In a later age, and even in modern times, that reign has often been looked back upon as a golden age of prosperity and glory. But even such a superficial view must recognise that from the year 1349 the picture of the reign assumes a more sombre colouring. From that year the mistakes of foreign policy, the cruel weight of taxation, Court intrigues and quarrels, political discontent, and ominous mutterings of a great social storm, force themselves into notice. But till then, one who saw, like Froissart, only the bright surface of things, had a stirring tale to tell. Edward had supported the raid of Edward Baliol into Scotland to dispossess the young king, David Bruce. In a few weeks Baliol wore the crown, but for a few weeks only. In 1333, the Scots, advancing to relieve Berwick, suffered the crushing defeat of Halidon Hill. The young King of Scots fled to France. Scotland submitted to Edward, and received Baliol back for a while. But it was too late now to revive Edward I.'s great plan. Stubbornly the Scots fought the English back, and in 1341 David Bruce returned to wear an independent crown. This support given by France to the Scots was, no doubt, the determining cause of the Hundred Years' War with France, which began in 1337 by Edward's claiming the throne in right of his mother, sister of the last king. This claim seems to a modern mind both ridiculous and insincere. But there were other meanings in it besides: to save the great Flemish

The Reign of Edward III.

Scotland.

The Hundred Years' War.

cities from French control; to assert the newly-declared "Lordship of the Seas" against Norman privateers; to strike a blow at the alliance of France with the Papacy, by a counter-alliance with the emperor and the German princes. But despite his array of allies, little was done in the first campaigns save the exploit of the sea-fight off Sluys, the first of England's glorious roll of naval victories. In 1345 the three years' truce was broken; next year was the year of Crecy, almost coincident with the great defeat of the Scots at Neville's Cross and the capture of King David. The taking of Calais, in 1347, led to another truce, which lasted seven years.

Characteristics of the Reign.

Thus these years witnessed a mighty outburst of national energy by land and sea, at home and abroad, in warfare and in commerce. The wars were taken up by national patriotism, were fought with the national weapon, the long-bow, and were won by the national character of the new English army. The men who won Crecy and Poitiers were mostly freeholders, serving at good wages, but also for love of "their natural lords," who led them to battle: combining thus the best points of the feudal levy, the national militia, and the new principle of mercenaries. Compared with the tumultuous feudal host of the French, it was a professional army; compared with their reluctant serfs, it was an army that could well face odds of five to one. This triumph of infantry over heavy cavalry was the death-knell of feudalism. What the English archers did in the fourteenth century, the Swiss pikemen did in the fifteenth, and the Spanish swordsmen in the sixteenth. At last the mailed and mounted knight who had dominated Europe for four centuries was seen to be an anachronism.

It was also during these years that the Commons can first be clearly seen sitting as a separate House of Parliament. It was the king's policy to flatter them into responsibility for the war; in 1338 he declared it "at the urgency of the Commons." But as early as 1340 the bill of war-costs had cooled their military ardour; they would make a fresh grant only as the price of a statute enacting that no charge or aid should be made henceforth save by Parliament. This Act completed the long series of steps, beginning from the forms used under the Norman kings, by which control over taxation passed

from the Crown to the people. Edward's need of money forced him to these and other concessions. The same need obliged him to abandon the siege of Tournai, and brought him into undignified collision with his own ministers. He seems to have suspected them of intercepting funds which ought to have been sent out to him. He returned home suddenly, landed at the Tower at midnight, dismissed chancellor, treasurer, judges, and other officials, and issued a series of violent charges against the two Stratfords. But the Peers stood by the archbishop; each Estate, Lords, Clergy, and Commons, urged grievances for which the king had to promise redress. He had to bow to the storm which he himself had raised; but six months later he coolly announced he had "dissembled, as he was justified in doing," and declared void the statutes just passed. This conduct marks the highest point reached by the royal prerogative in the fourteenth century, as the action of the Commons marks their attainment of an equal place beside the two other Estates. The re-opening of the war in 1345 led to heavy taxation; in 1347 the Florentine creditors of Edward were bankrupt; in 1348 the Commons refuse to be led into further approval of the war, and their statement of grievances rises to an unexampled tone of bitterness. But all political movements were suddenly stopped by the great plague which reached England in May, 1349. It fell like a thunderbolt upon national wars, political discontents, and social progress, paralysing them all. For two years Parliament and the Law Courts ceased, the corn rotted ungathered in the fields; and yet it was at this very time that Edward with lavish pomp was founding his Order of the Garter. Nothing could be a bitterer comment on the superficial view of this reign.

The Black Death.

O. M. EDWARDS.
The History of Wales.

In the year 613 a great battle was fought beneath the walls of Chester between Aethelfrith, King of Northumbria, and a host of Welsh princes, led by Iago, King of Gwynedd, and Selyf, King of Powys. Aethelfrith was victorious, and his victory was followed by the destruction of Chester, and by an Anglo occupation of the plain from which its walls and towers rose.

Chester had guarded the plain which divides the mountains of Wales from those of Strath Clyde; upon the strength of its walls depended the existence of the union of the two Welsh regions. In 577 the battle of Deorham gave the Saxons the Severn plain, thereby separating Cornwall from Wales; the battle of Chester separated Wales from Strath Clyde, and from 613 Wales has a distinct history of its own. For twenty years and more after the battle of Chester attempts were made to re-unite the two provinces; and the name of Cymry—"people of the same region"—was adopted by both sections of the Welsh people during this struggle. Though the national name survived in both provinces—Cymru and Cumberland—the re-union of north and west was regarded as hopeless early in the eighth century.

Welsh political history between 613 and 1284 consists of two great struggles—the struggle against the English, who were being gradually welded into one people; and the struggle of some able Welsh prince for an over-lordship over his fellow-princes. The geography of Wales is a picture of its history—its mountains separate it from England, and at the same time make internal union almost impossible. Both English king and Welsh prince were engaged in a hopeless struggle against the mountains.

Between 613 and 1066 three English kingdoms struggled for the over-lordship of England. Northumbria, Mercia, and Wessex had the supremacy, each in its turn, for a hundred years; and with each of these, in the day of its power, Wales had to contend. Aethelfrith of Northumbria separated it from the north; and the victories of Cadwallon could not break the power of the Northumbrian, or loose his hold on Chester. Offa of Mercia narrowed its boundaries on the east, and built a dyke from the mouth of the Dee to the mouth of the Taff. But it was during the supremacy of Wessex that the strife was bitter enough to force all Welshmen to unite against the Dane, who plundered the western shores, and against the West Saxon, who was ever trying to subdue the Welsh princes. Three great princes rose—Roderick the Great, Llywelyn ab Seisyllt, and Gruffydd ab Llywelyn. Roderick fell in battle against the English in 877; and the country swerved back to its old anarchy until Llywelyn ab Seisyllt arose. The battle of Aber Gwili made him

undisputed king of all Wales. He cleared the country of Dane and Saxon, and at his death, in 1027, he left Wales in prosperity and peace. After another interval of disintegration, Gruffydd ab Llywelyn rebuilt his father's power. The battles of Rhyd y Groes and Hereford made him not only supreme in Wales, but the terror of the English borders. He united with Aelfgar of Mercia, and taxed the power of Harold to the utmost extent when Wessex was at its strongest. The generalship of Harold and the treachery of the Welsh princes, who were jealous of Gruffydd's supremacy, destroyed the work of the great Welsh king. "Gruffydd, who had been invincible," the Welsh chronicler says, "the head and shield of the Britons, was destroyed by his own men."

Wales and the Norman Conquest.

Harold had not succeeded in uniting England when William the Conqueror came in 1066, otherwise the Norman Conquest would not have been possible. It is Harold's policy that explains the ease with which the eastern and southern portions of Wales were conquered by the Norman adventurers. He had placed partisans of his own in power—the family of Bleddyn ab Cynfyn—and these could not hold their own against the partisans of the great Gruffydd's family without English help. While this struggle was at its height in Wales, the Norman barons began to possess the valleys. Hugh of Avranches was placed in Chester, from the walls of which he could cast greedy eyes on Welsh land to the west, just as he had coveted Breton lands from the height of Avranches. At Rhuddlan, the fierce Robert, half Norman, half Dane, strengthened his position as the lord of the Vale of Clwyd, butchering the Welsh without mercy, slaughtering them like herds of cattle wherever he came up with them. The wise Roger of Montgomery obtained the castle and earldom of Shrewsbury, and his dominion was soon extended over the region of the Upper Severn and the Fyrnwy. From Hereford, its Norman earls penetrated along the valleys of the Wye and Usk to the Welsh mountains. The Clares and other families conquered the pleasant plains of Gwent and Morgannwg, and built castles along the south coast, and along the west coast as far as Aberystwyth. About 1081 it seemed as if the whole of Wales would become Norman.

What remained was the wild land guarded by Snowdon.

the Berwyn, and Plinlimon. Before this land could be conquered, two great Welsh princes turned back the Norman tide. In 1081 Gruffydd ab Cynan became prince of North Wales, and Rhys ab Tewdwr prince of South Wales. Gruffydd ab Cynan caught Robert of Rhuddlan, and beheaded him. The Normans of Brecon killed Rhys ab Tewdwr, but he was succeeded by his son Gruffydd ab Rhys, who was abler and more powerful than his father. Henry I. saw that the march lords could not hold their own, but he died before he could give them any effective help. During the anarchy of the reign of Stephen the Welsh princes became independent; and when Gruffydd ab Cynan and Gruffydd ab Rhys died, in 1137, their place was taken by Owen Gwynedd in North Wales and by Rhys ab Gruffydd in South Wales.

Wales and Henry II.

When Henry II. came to the throne, he saw the dangerous power of the two Welsh princes. He tried to break the power of Owen Gwynedd by detaching his brother Cadwaladr from him. He then determined to crush the Welsh princes at one blow; he marched along the eastern slopes of Berwyn, while Owen Gwynedd, Rhys ab Gruffydd, and the minor princes were encamped on the western slopes. The storms and the mountains fought against the English king, and he was forced to leave Wales.

Between the death of Henry II. and the accession of Edward I. the Welsh princes lost their last chance of establishing the independence of their country. Owen Gwynedd died in 1170, and Rhys ab Gruffydd in 1197, and their deaths were followed by the refusal of the princes to obey their successors. The Norman lords found themselves strong enough to renew their encroachments, and the Welsh boundaries again began to recede.

Wales and the English Church.

It was during this time of weakness that an attempt was made to win back the ecclesiastical independence of Wales. Before the end of the twelfth century Wales had been subjected to the archiepiscopal see of Canterbury. This had been done very gradually. When the newly-converted English decided at the Synod of Whitby, in 664, to accept Roman rather than British Christianity, the Church of England and the Church of Wales were separated by many important differences—

differences which found outward expression in tonsure and the date of Easter. In 809 the Welsh Church yielded, and the schism was at an end. The next step was to subject the Welsh sees to Canterbury. In 1107 a Bishop of Llandaff was consecrated at Canterbury; in 1115 a Norman was appointed to the bishopric of St. David's; and before 1143 the Archbishop of Canterbury had claimed jurisdiction over all Wales. Between 1198 and 1204 Giraldus Cambrensis made a last ineffectual struggle to secure the independence of the Welsh Church by reviving the metropolitanship of St. David's, which was erroneously supposed to have been once an archbishopric and the metropolitan church of Wales. Giraldus's effort came too soon even for temporary success; coming, as it did, a few years before the rise of the power of Llywelyn the Great. An interesting combination it would have been—the greatest organiser Wales has seen, and the gifted writer whose descriptions are still in many points vivid descriptions of his people.

Llywelyn the Great.

By 1210 Llywelyn ab Iorwerth—"Llywelyn the Great"—grandson of Owen Gwynedd, had established a supremacy over the parts of Wales that had not been conquered by the Normans. The Wales of Llywelyn included Anglesey and the country to the west of the Snowdon, Berwyn, and Plinlimon ranges. When his position in Wales was secured, he united with the English barons, and his rights were acknowledged by the English king in the Great Charter. When Llywelyn died, in 1240, the castles of Wales were his castles, and the princes of Wales were his vassals. After a short interval of disintegration, another Llywelyn—"Llywelyn ab Gruffydd," or "The Last Llywelyn"—became Prince of all Wales. He pursued his grandfather's policy of first securing his own position in Wales, and then of weakening the power of the English Crown by assisting the English barons. A marriage was arranged between him and Eleanor, the daughter of Simon de Montfort, the leader of the barons. When the barons were defeated by Edward at the battle of Evesham, Llywelyn had to make terms with the English prince. His betrothed wife, Eleanor, had been captured by the English at sea, and he remembered that his father had died in his attempt to escape from an English prison.

When Edward became King of England, in 1272, he saw

that the power of Llywelyn must be crushed, were it only in order to make the English barons obedient. He demanded homage of the Welsh prince, and homage was refused. Edward took advantage of a quarrel between Llywelyn and his brother David; and by 1274 Llywelyn was master of the Snowdon district only. The English administration of the rest of Llywelyn's country caused great discontent, and eventually drove the Welsh to rebellion. David began to fear that the Welsh princes would be utterly destroyed, and returned to his allegiance to Llywelyn. In 1282 Llywelyn and David declared war, and the former hastened to South Wales. The fall of Llywelyn in a skirmish near Builth made the last Welsh struggle for independence a hopeless one. Many of the petty princes took the English side, and the conquest of Wales became an easy matter. David was hunted down and subjected to the terrible penalties of treason; the precious portion of the true cross and the crown of Arthur were carried away. At Rhuddlan—whose ivied towers still stand on the bank of the Clwyd—the Statute of Wales was passed, in 1284. As far as possible the old Welsh law was retained, but the administration became perfectly English. The country was divided into six shires—Carnarvon, Anglesey, Merioneth, Flint, Cardigan, and Carmarthen—and governed in exactly the same way as the English counties. The king's sheriffs took the place of the petty Welsh princes, and the power of Llywelyn was vested in the king's eldest son as Prince of Wales.

The Subjugation of Wales.

By 1284 the subjection of Wales was complete. Archbishop Peckham visited the dioceses; Edward I. passed among the mountains as their lord. The growth of towns was encouraged, and Wales would perhaps have been eventually assimilated to England, had it not been for the region of great march earldoms that lay between the two countries.

C. RAYMOND BEAZLEY.

Religion in England, 1272-1348.

THE history of religion in England, between the accession of Edward I. and the Black Death, is strictly a part of the general story of Christendom. As on the Continent, so in England, this is the age of triumphant Catholicism passing into decline. The thirteenth century, the summer of Latin

Christianity, the mid-winter of Islam, unified the civilisation which in the twelfth century seemed moving towards the many-sided and divergent activity of modern life. Abelard's method, and his tendency to free thought, but used in an orthodox sense, reappeared in Aquinas, Albert and Duns, who used the language and methods of reason to establish orthodoxy. The friars and the inquisitors subdued the heretics and stirred the worldly to a religious revival. The Crusades languished in Palestine; but on one side the Crusading movement extended the religious empire of old Rome to the new, and, on the other, won back from the Moslem all Spain except Granada. The Church of Western Europe lost Byzantium in 1261; but in 1272–4, as Edward of England returned from Acre to London, all the islands and northern coasts of the Mediterranean, except a strip from Malaga to Cadiz, were Catholic lands once more, as in the days of Justinian. The Roman Christendom that had been centralised by Hildebrand was at the height of its power in the era which begins with Innocent III. and closes with Boniface VIII. The Papacy seemed victorious over all its older rivals—over the great Patriarchs, the bishops of Ravenna and Rheims, Cologne and Canterbury, Milan and Compostella; over the emperors, once, like Charles the Great or Henry III., the patrons, and now, after the days of Frederic II., the German instruments of the Apostolic See; even over national Churches, such as the English. A more serious struggle was to come; with the rising monarchies of the Christian Republic, with the towns and Parliaments of the new full-grown nations, France and England.

The Unification of Western Christendom.

This contest was provoked by Boniface VIII. In 1274, under Gregory X., the Pope seemed the friend of all his spiritual children; at the second Council of Lyons in this year even the Greek Church was for a moment reconciled to Rome. On all sides Latin Christendom was expanding: Iceland and Greenland had been brought into its federation since the eleventh century; in the thirteenth Franciscan missionaries preceded Marco Polo across Tartary to China, while Genoese seamen attempted to open up the African coasts and the Sea of Darkness; the Teutonic Knights began to convert Prussia; the German Hansa started their trading centre at Novgorod.

But during the life of Edward I. of England this expansion of Christian States came into conflict, on a far larger scale than in the twelfth century, with the Christian Church. His archbishops, Peckham and Winchelsea, struggle against a kingly overlord, as Becket had struggled against Henry II., as the Popes had struggled against the German kings, and were now, with Boniface VIII., struggling against nationalism in general.

Church and State.

All through the earlier part of the thirteenth century, from St. Hugh of Lincoln to Grosseteste, the Church of England * had pretty well expressed the mind of the people of England; the clergy, oppressed both by Pope and king, hammer and anvil, had led the popular movement for responsible, representative government. But now Edward's ideal of a strong island-empire, friendly with Rome, but independent of outside power, aimed at pressing religion, with other interests, into common subjection to a national unity expressed in himself He was resolved to have no divided sovereignty. As far as the clerical estate stood for an "imperium in imperio," his policy was to degrade it. Not only was Rome to be kept at arm's-length, and all its claims to homage and fealty and Scottish overlordship rejected, as William the Conqueror had rejected the Papal pretensions of his day; but the hold of the native English Church over land and chattels was to be shaken, its power of aggrandisement to be checked, its spiritual courts subjected to the law of the land.

Edward I. and the Church.

I. The history of Church and State under Edward I. is chiefly concerned with three legal enactments—the Statute of Mortmain in 1279, the writ Circumspecte Agatis in 1285, and the confirmation of the Charters in 1297. The separate and later battle of Pope and king over Scottish suzerainty, the ruin of the Templars in 1307–12, and the action of the Church under Edward II. and Edward III., either do not properly concern English religion at all, or belong to the purely social part of this section rather than to ecclesiastical politics.

* A body completely organised, with a hierarchy minutely regulated, legislating for itself, taxing itself, in its recognised assemblies, judicative and executive, and, though not as a corporation holding common property, yet composed of a great number of persons, each holding property. As an estate of the realm, its clergy acknowledge the headship of the king; as part of the Western Church, that of the Pope. (Stubbs, C. H., III., c. 19.)

1. And first of all as to Mortmain. Before the Norman Conquest a license from the Crown seems to have been expected for alienation into the "dead hand" of a spiritual corporation; but the alarm now felt lest all England should become Church property, enabled Edward, in 1279, to forbid such alienation absolutely. Land so granted was in forfeit to the lord, or, in his default, to the king, and the original law against grants in Mortmain was made more stringent in 1285. The clerical resistance seems to have fallen back on legal evasions.

Mortmain.

2. The second of Edward's restraints provoked a more open defiance. Perhaps all churchmen felt satisfied enough to be conservative on the land question—here they held the ground, and were only just withheld from monopoly; but in jurisdiction it was time to make a stand. In spite of Henry II.'s apparent failure, the civil courts had steadily gained on the episcopal. Before the death of Henry III. laymen had in great part replaced churchmen as royal justices; now, under Edward I., the Primate admitted the abstract right of the King's Bench to issue prohibitions. The Statute of Westminster the First, in 1275, was construed to direct that clerks charged with felony should not be surrendered to their ordinary till an inquest of the charge had been made; if found guilty, their real and personal estate was to go to the Crown. Ten years later, in answer to a petition of prelates for some relaxation of royal prohibitions, Edward by his writ Circumspecte Agatis, while seeming to guarantee the actual rights of spiritual jurisdiction, practically evaded the Church's claim in temporal contracts. He did not renounce these contracts, and his judges accordingly claimed them all as the exclusive property of the royal courts. More expressly the king forbade the bishops to infringe his prerogatives by touching cases of breach of contract and rights of patronage.

Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction.

3. Thus both in land and jurisdiction the older theocratic tendencies of society found their limit; but the lawyers' third attempt, to tax the clergy at the royal will, was a failure. Edward was apparently resolved to leave to his spirituality only a pre-eminence of money burdens. Not only did he gather representatives of the ordained in a central Parliament with

Attempts to Tax the Church.

the unordained; but he procured (about 1291) a new and higher valuation of Church property,* real and personal, and appointed commissioners for all the monastic, cathedral, and collegiate treasuries. Armed with this fresh knowledge, in 1291, under a Crusading agreement with Pope Nicholas IV., he demanded the tithe of ecclesiastical income, gathered it in for the Holy War, and three years after, in the brief pontificate of the Hermit Cœlestine V., seized the opportunity to require, in full Parliament at Westminster, one-half of the revenues of the Church. William Montfort, Dean of St. Paul's, sent to remonstrate, fell dead of fright at the king's feet; in the Convocation held within the royal palace, Sir John Havering proclaimed, in Edward's name, "If any oppose the king's will, let him stand up that he may be noted as an enemy of the king's peace." The Primate, Winchelsea, was in Rome, and the clergy gave way for the time, awaiting his return.

Again the tax was gathered, and next year Edward's cherished design of including the national Church in the national assembly was realised in the model Parliament of 1295. He had summoned the proctors of the First Estate to York and Northampton in 1283, to London in 1294; now the clerical grants, his main support, were to be an item in the supplies given by the whole nation in one Parliament, in one place, at one time, to the ruler of all estates in the realm.

Convocation.

The clergy, however, soon refused to vote save in their own clerical house and by separate grants. This they ultimately gained; from the middle of the fourteenth century Convocation always sits apart;† and the king was obliged to moderate his demands. But now, in 1296, Boniface VIII., by the Bull "Clericis Laicos," forbade the clergy to pay taxes of any kind to the laity, and so provoked the crisis of 1297. In full Parliament at St. Edmundsbury, Winchelsea, on behalf of Convocation, refused to vote any further moneys. Edward, in answer, placed the royal seal

* At £204.143 19s. 2d., without counting the goods of the Bishops of Lincoln and Winchester, and of Christ Church, Canterbury (separately reckoned: Winchester and Lincoln, £3,977 15s. 7d; Christ Church, Canterbury, £355 9s. 2d.).

† In 1341 the Crown—acquiescing in the rule that clerical tenths (£20,000 on Pope Nicholas's valuation of 1291) should be granted in provincial Convocations—ceased to insist on the attendance of the clerical proctors in Parliament, a custom which in the fifteenth century ceased altogether.

on all Church trusts and storehouses; and on the repeated refusal of the Synod at St. Paul's, the Chief Justice formally outlawed the whole body of the clergy, and the barony of the archbishop was seized for the king's use.

Meantime the nobles and merchants had likewise broken with Edward; Scotland was rising under Wallace; and the war in Flanders compelled the king's instant departure from England. But he dared not leave his throne and his son amid universal discontent. Winchelsea, who had led the constitutional as well as the clerical cause, was the first to profit by the royal repentance. Edward restored his barony, gave the heir of the kingdom into his charge, and prepared to renew the charter and respect the liberties of the Church. Like Elizabeth in 1601, he confessed himself misled; it had been in sorrowful reluctance that he had burdened his subjects. The Primate discovered that, though the Pope's bull forbade churchmen to obey a royal demand for money, it did not forbid them to volunteer their aid. The king, who just before embarking for Flanders, had begun the seizure of a third of clerical temporalities and forbidden the excommunication of his tax-collectors, had been foiled by the alliance of Pope and Primate, clergy and nobles, Scotland and France, against his dictatorship; and, in that alliance, the Church again appeared as the champion of freedom.*

After Boniface had fallen, and the Papacy had been moved to Avignon, Winchelsea was prosecuted in the Papal Curia, and the old alliance of Pope and king, broken by the Bull "Clericis Laicos," was renewed with Clement V., who absolved Edward from his oaths of 1297, and suspended the archbishop. Yet the last years of the reign are not without anti-Papal laws. Long after the Pope's claims of lordship over Scotland had been repudiated in 1301, the Statute of Carlisle, in 1370, attacked the abuses of foreign patronage, "provisions," "first-fruits," and "Peter's pence."

Edward I. reversed the policy of Henry III. by subjecting the Papal interests to the royal in the national Church. With this aim, he compelled the renunciation by his clergy of all words in Papal bulls prejudicial to the Crown's authority, and practically suppressed the elective rights of his cathedral

* As in 1341, when Abp. Stratford won peers the right of trial by their peers.

chapters. The weakness of Edward II. enabled Clement V. to put his nominees into English benefices, as Boniface VIII. had tried to do at York both before and at the time of his jubilee in 1300. Unlike Archbishop Romanus at that crisis, Edward II. played into the Pope's hands, and his father's policy of a Holy League in which the Pope should serve the king was not restored till the reign of Edward III.—till Clement VI., in 1345, groaned out: "If the King of England were to ask for an Ass-Bishop, we must not say him nay." In conclusion, let us take three typical instances of the struggle of the Roman and Royalist parties with the English in the national Church of this time:—

(1) In 1282 Peckham found one Meuling, a non-resident prelate of foreign extraction, Bishop of Lichfield, ordered him back to his see, and appointed the Archdeacon of Derby as an English-speaking suffragan, requiring the "Pope's man" to pay him one hundred marks a year and to consult him on all official acts.

(2) In 1333 Archbishop Meopham died of vexation partly caused by innumerable abuses of this sort, all springing from the same cause, the alliance of the Roman and English Courts. The abuses he was powerless to check till the league itself was broken up, and so they flourished, as we are told of a certain diocese in 1326:—

(3) "Out of fifty prebends in the gift of the Bishop of Salisbury, twenty-eight had been provided by the late and the present Pope—not more than three of their holders ever resided—and, to crown all, eight more were waiting under promise of prebends at the first vacancy."

The Church and the Nation.

II. The social aspect of Church history is the chief interest of these latter years (1297-1348) after the close of the struggle with Edward I. The higher clergy became more and more pliant as they felt their growing dependence on the Crown; the lower, except perhaps the parish priests, were fast losing all the spirit of the last revival of religion. Not a few traces of anti-clerical spirit among gentry and commons appear in the early fourteenth century; it is not simply against Papal interference or monastic over-growth, it is the beginning of a revolt against clerical influence in politics and society.

Edward I. had found an episcopal regency on his return from Palestine: next year Walter de Merton, Bishop of Rochester, leaves the Chancery to Bishop Robert Burnell. Yet episcopal influence decays during the reign; on the board of arbitrators on the Scottish claims nominated by the king in 1296 there are only four bishops. Again, the protests of the Lincoln and Carlisle Parliaments, in 1301 and 1307, against Papal (that is, hierarchical) claims over Scotland and Papal provisions in England remind us of the most independent language of the thirteenth century. Far more notable is the Canterbury riot of May, 1327, against clerical privilege; the Ilchester riot of 1348 against the Bishop of Bath and Wells; the Commons' petition of 1344 against clerical legislation for laymen; the appointment of the first lay Chancellor in 1340; the general and growing reluctance to pay tithes. The suppression of the Templars first foreshadows the general dissolution of monasteries in 1536-39; and the increasing dislike of the friars, and in a measure of all the "religious," warns us of a coming revolt not against abuses of the mediaeval religion so much as against that religion in itself. Yet in politics, in education, in care of the poor, in general influence, down to the smallest details of life, the Church, even in her decline, still penetrates to every corner of society.

The Church in Politics.

First among the proofs of waning clerical power let us take the scene at Canterbury in Edward III.'s first year. The Prior of Christ Church was summoned to help the bailiff and citizens in sending twelve men-at-arms to Newcastle against Robert Bruce. Lands held in free alms (frankalmoigne), replied the prior, could not be held liable to military service. On this, bailiff and citizens held a meeting "in the field by the House of Preaching Friars" and swore to nine articles. First, "to pull down all the tenements in Burgate down to the Mill." Second, "No one under penalties, imposed by the city, to live in the Prior's Houses." Third, "All rents of 200 marks and upwards to be levied for the city." Fourth "No one to buy, sell, or exchange drink or victuals with the monastery." Fifth, "All carts and horses from the Christ Church manors carrying victuals or stock for the monastery to be seized and held with their contents." Sixth, "Any monks (even the prior) coming out of the monastery

to be spoiled of goods and clothes and to be attached." Seventh, "To dig a trench at the great gate of the monastery, so that no one should go in or out." Eighth, "To allow no stranger to enter the church, except on oath to offer no gift, even at S. Thomas' Shrine." Ninth, "Each citizen swore that he would have from the same shrine, of the gold rings hung up by pilgrims, one for each finger of each hand."

So at Ilchester, in 1348, the Bishop of Bath and Wells was kept prisoner in the church for several hours, and his servants beaten and wounded in the churchyard by the mob.

These quarrels were tided over, but the records remain to illustrate the general rebellion against clerical privilege, and especially the weariness, expressed by Gregory X. in 1274, of the "unbridled multitude" of the religious, and by many thoughtful observers of the pride, avarice, riches, and worldliness of many bishops and monks.

From such records, too, we get a better insight into the alarm of fourteenth-century provincial councils at the grudging payment of tithes. The clergy, it is ordered, are to take away their tenth sheaf by the same road as the farmer. Sometimes they had been forced to cart on bypaths, not allowed to take any but the last shock left, and that often trampled by cattle. Personal tithes are to be paid out of the profits of trade and labour, even from mines.

Decline of Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction.

But it was in jurisdiction that the "laicising" movement was strongest. The Church courts were the Church's worst enemy, and their abuses were among the first marks of the attacks of the New Learning—of men like Chaucer and Wycliffe. Matrimonial and testamentary causes, actions for recovery of "spiritual payments" and for "cognisance of vice," and "correction of manners"*—these were the subject-matter of the bishops' courts, vaguely limited by the writs of Edward I., and it was against these as touching the laity, in any point, that the Commons petitioned in 1344, "That no motion made by the clergy to the injury of the laity might be granted without examination before the king and the lords." The dominance of the prelates in the House of Lords alone prevented an open breach between the Church law and that of the land.

* Spiritual payments—tithes and Church fees; moral cases—heresy, slander and usury, as well as adultery, etc.

But as the protest of 1344 is a sign of the coming end of clerical legislation for the laity, so the mortmain statute of 1279 and the Carlisle petition against provisors in 1307 are signs of the future jurisdiction of lay courts over the Church, the system of the Tudor revolution.

Next comes the first faint sign of the official anti-monastic movement on the part of European and Christian States—the first warning of a coming disestablishment of all monasticism.

Attack on the Templars.

It was in the autumn of 1307 that Edward II. was urged by Philip of France, and commanded by Clement V., to arrest the Knights Templars within his realm, as had been done in France. At first he wavered; wrote to Portugal, Castille, Sicily and Aragon (December 4) expressing his doubts, to the Pope (December 10) stating his belief in the faith and morals of the Order; but on December 20 he gave way, arrested all the Templars of England, and examined them minutely on the Papal charges. By the end of 1312 the military monks "of the Temple of God and of Solomon" had been suppressed throughout Christendom, if not "per viam justitiæ," as the Pope said, at any rate "per viam expedientiæ," and the bulk of their estates transferred to their rivals of the Hospital.

If this were all, it might pass as a mere piece of statecraft or the natural result of the final loss of Palestine in 1291; but the tales told by English witnesses have a social value as bearing on the national hate of secrecy, of foreign ways, of organisations in any way independent of the community and its rulers. The ruin of the military monks who affected to disregard English law as subjects of a foreign master, was typical of the approaching fate of the alien priories under Henry V., of the dissolution of all monkery, brought about by much the same causes in 1536–39. "Romam solam quaeritis," Glanvill: "Roma sola destruet vos." "We see you are but half our subjects," ran the sentence of Henry VIII.

Now by seven witnesses it was proved against the English Templars that the reception-rite was secret, by three more that the secret could not be discussed among themselves, far less among outsiders: four others swore they were forbidden to confess save to priests of their own order.

Another had heard of dreadful secrets: in Syria they received knights with blasphemy, spitting on the Cross; some

worshipped a cat-idol, a brazen head, a calf—others wrote and read that "Christ died, not for our sins, but for His own."

One Robert of Oteringham, a Franciscan, had been at Westerby twenty years before, when the Templars were arranging some relics; he had looked through a hole in the wall and seen a blaze. Next day he asked a brother what saint they worshipped; he turned pale: "On thy life, ask no more."

Another Templar, one Robert Bayser, had been heard groaning in the fields, "That ever I was born to deny Christ and hold to the Devil!"

There was a story of a Templar's little boy, asked by his father if he would join the Order, answering that he had seen a postulant forced at the sword's point to apostatise. At this the father murdered him.

The grandfather of one witness entered the Order in full vigour, and in three days was dead; a certain Walter Savage had likewise disappeared after two years; Adam de Heton knew of a boys' watchword, "Beware of the kisses of the Templars"; William de Berney had heard of one of their secret doctrines, "That man has no more a living soul than a dog."

One Roger, rector of Godmersham, had been warned by a brother, Stephen Quenteril, "If you could be Grand Master—yet never join us. We have three vows, known only to God, the Devil, and ourselves."

The vicar of Sutton had heard of a priest-Templar forbidden to consecrate in the mass; and a foreigner, one John de Gertia, had heard an old story from a woman named "Cacocaca, who lived near St. Giles, in London, hard by some elms," of secret, black and midnight chapters at Dinclee. There they worshipped a black idol with shining eyes and held the foulest orgies.

William Bachelor, before he disappeared, had been heard to exclaim, "I have lost my soul in the Temple." Several servants of Templars, caught spying, had been offered death or admission as the only choice, while refractory brethren were sewn in sacks and so drowned. Three deserters from the Order closed the evidence with personal revelations. They had been admitted with blasphemy, apostacy, and unnatural vice; men stood over them with drawn swords and forced them to deny Christ and to confess only the "Great God." The late Grand Preceptor, Brian le Jay, was a traitor to the

Crusaders, a scoffer at the faith, a secret Moslem. He "held the least hair in a Saracen's beard worth more than his whole body." The shuddering abhorrence of ordinary Englishmen was felt in the proverbial question and answer, "Are you a Templar? Then, were you in the belfry of Paul's, you would not see more misery than will be yours ere you die."

Suppression of the Templars.

On these grounds the proudest and richest among the Orders of religious chivalry was suppressed and ruined: but danger hardly less imminent threatened the preaching and begging friars. As the spiritual Franciscans developed their own principles and became the Fraticelli, they drew upon themselves the hate of Popes and kings, of all established interests; as the lower minds gave up their founder's ideal and sank into Christian fakirs, they seemed to degrade the common, the religious, life as it had never been degraded before. Every reformer like Langland, every man of the world like Chaucer, or reconstructive theorist like Wycliffe, came to regard the mendicant Orders as the readiest mark of attack. As early as 1274 Gregory X. had restrained their "unbridled multitude" to "all the four orders" noted in Piers Plowman. Boniface VIII., in 1301, forbade them to preach in parish churches without leave from the incumbent. Before the death of Henry III. Matthew Paris declared that friars had become more debased in one generation than Benedictine monks in three or four centuries. By the time of the Black Death their fall seemed only a question of time.

The Friars in Danger.

The Unpopularity of the Monks.

The Templars had gone; the friars, even the monks, were going. Of this wider anti-monastic spirit and its spread among all classes under the Edwards there is evidence enough, of which we have noticed some traces, and can only add, in this place, two illustrations: first, in the marked falling-off of religious foundations; second, in the history of Merton, the first Oxford College.

During the fourteenth century there were only sixty-four new monasteries and friaries, against more than 800 of older date (440 of the twelfth, and 296 of the thirteenth century); and even as early as 1274 Bishop Walter, of Rochester, the ex-Chancellor, laid down that the fellows of Merton College,

which he had just moved from his Surrey birthplace to the great English University, lost all the benefits of his endowment if they entered any order of religion.

Merton College: Its Significance.

For Walter knew how large a proportion, not only of the knights' fees but also of parish livings, had been appropriated to monasteries and chapters by this time; and he knew that, in consequence of this appropriation, a great part of England was not provided with the regular Church system, but served with substitutes; and that from the overgrowth of the "Regulars" and their abnormal and unnational system had arisen an undergrowth of practical abuses—absentee and pluralist "vicars," the farming of benefices, the new chantry system,* the consequent decay of local charities and local interest, all tending to produce a low type of hired mass-priest, in whom there was little of the pastor, the student, or the gentleman.

Like Wykeham, Waynflete and Wolsey, Merton seems to have aimed at a reformation of religion through education and works of charity, and his method was steadily followed by the wiser churchmen of the later Middle Ages. By the year 1400 there had been founded seventy-eight colleges and one hundred and ninety-two hospitals, and the fifteenth century added sixty schools and charitable foundations, as against no more than eight religious houses, to the roll.

The Decay of the Church.

In general, however, from the death of Edward II. the social decline of the Church was undeniable—in its relaxing hold upon politics and national life; in the deadness of its monastic orders (there is not one distinguished abbot in this time); in the beginnings of avowed dissent from its creed and system and of over-luxuriance in its architecture; in the decline of its missionary and crusading spirit, as evidenced by the new plan of "vicarious" pilgrimage; in the growth of superstitious abuses; and in the severance of the clergy from the new spirit in science and letters and faith, foretold in the prophetic work of Roger Bacon, of Chaucer, and of Wycliffe.

The Black Death.

But the Black Death marks the beginning of a far more serious severance—of the Church from the people—from the social movements which

* One of the earliest chantries seems to be that of St. Helen's, Worcester, 1288.

gather round the Peasant Revolt of 1381. Before this the clergy had not only helped to "enforce the status, and affirm the duty," of labour, but had engaged in the same industry and felt the same interests as the mass of the people. Clergy and laity as yet were a "community"; and, however much the union may have been impaired, it was only now beginning to break up. On the other side, the parish priesthood in Chaucer's day, as in the sixteenth century, was the abiding strength of the Church, the permanent and popular section of the hierarchy. And even in the early fourteenth century a movement was beginning towards a real reformation of religion. In education, in vernacular carols, hymns, and books of devotion, in works of charity, in readjusted dioceses, and extended parish organisation, the Church was slowly and tentatively adapting means to ends. Retrenchment was half the battle; and with 8,000 parish churches and some 40,000 clergy* of all grades and drawn from every class (including monks and friars), with revenues able to bear one-third of the national taxation, with almost a monopoly of learning, except for the bailiff class and a few lay politicians, poets, and story-tellers, with the sacred Latin still generally understood—for even the political songs are still in a "macaroni" of Latin and English†—with all this to work upon, the Church might fairly hope to reform itself, to save all by giving up a part.

Oxford and Cambridge Universities, the great training schools for clerks, were taking more organised shape in the new College foundations. Bishop Stapyldon, of Exeter, in 1314, Edward II. in 1326, and Robert Eglesfield and Queen Philippa in 1340, followed Merton's example with Exeter, Oriel, and Queen's Colleges. Bishop Hugh, of Ely, in 1280, transferred the system to Cambridge with St. Peter's College; and between 1347 and 1353 Edward Gonville and Bishop William Bateman, of Norwich, established Gonville Hall.‡ Even more significant is the clergy school of Bishop

* 29,161 about 1340, without mendicants—a number greatly reduced by the Black Death, but making 1 in every 52 of the people over 14 years of age.

† For examples of songs in a "macaronic" verse, *cf.* carol of A.D. 1500–30:

> "Now make us joye in this Feste,
> In quo Xtus natus est,
> A patre unig[illegible]
> Syng we to hym and say wel
> come,
> Veni Redemptor Gentium."

‡ These are only instances of new foundations, not a complete list.

Sawbridge, founded in Winchester between 1282–1305, and the vigorous attempts to enforce a regular system of catechising by the parish priests between 1270–1370.

The provision for lepers, orphans, and destitute poor by hospitals and alms; the rights of "corrody" or free maintenance in religious houses, and the use of nunneries as boarding schools for girls; the common-sense permission granted to labourers to work on the Holy Days, so that, on the average, 308 out of the 365 were available; the English versions of the Psalms, Gospels, and Epistles in 1275, in 1320, and (by Richard Rolle of Hampole) in 1349; the new cathedrals* and churches of the "Decorated" style—are all evidence that the Church, even at this time, and under such a Primate as Reynolds, was still alive.

The avarice of churchmen, the abuses of the Bishops' courts, the constant Papal interference, and the compulsory clerical celibacy leading to concubinage were the chief drawbacks on the Church's usefulness. The higher clergy were, on the whole, pure, and men like Kilwardby, Peckham, and Winchelsea were worthy leaders of English religion; but as the doctrine grew fixed that local or national reformation was heretical without the instance of Rome, men grew tired "both of the evils of the age and their remedies."

F. W. MAITLAND. Legal Reform under Edward I.

On Henry's death there followed some eighteen years which even at this day may seem to us the most brilliant eighteen years in the whole history of English legislation. At all events, if we are to find a comparable period we must look forward, for five hundred years and more, to the age of the first Reform Bill. Year by year King Edward I. in his Parliaments made laws on a grand scale. His statutes will not be in our eyes very lengthy documents; but they are drastic, and they are permanent. They deal with all sorts of matters, public and private, but in particular with those elementary parts of the law of property and the law of civil procedure which English legislators have, as a general rule, been well

* *E.g.*, St. Paul's, London, finished 1315 by Segrave; St. David's (with palace), 1328–47; and Lichfield, the best existing type of a fourteenth-century English church.

content to leave alone. Just for this reason they are exceedingly permanent; they become fundamental; elaborate edifices of gloss and comment are reared upon them. To this day, despite all the reforms of the present century, we have to look to them, and the interpretation which has been set upon them, for some of the most elementary principles of our land law. When all has been said that can be said for the explanation of this unique outburst of legislation, it still remains a marvellous thing.

Growth of a Legal Profession.

A professional class of English temporal lawyers was just beginning to form itself. We say "of English temporal lawyers," because for more than a century past there had been "legists" and "decretists" in the land. These legists and decretists constituted a professional class; they held themselves out as willing to plead the causes of those who would pay their fees. They did a large business, for the clergy of the time were extremely litigious. The bishop who was not perennially engaged in interminable disputes with two or three wealthy religious houses was either a very fortunate or a very careless guardian of the rights of his see. And all the roads of ecclesiastical litigation led to Rome. Appeals to the Pope were made at every stage of every cause, and the most famous Italian lawyers were retained as advocates. The King of England, who was often involved in contests about the election of bishops—contests which would sooner or later come before the Roman Curia—kept Italian canonists in his pay. Young Englishmen were sent to Bologna in order that they might learn the law of the Church. The University of Oxford was granting degrees in civil and canon law, the University of Cambridge followed her example. There was no lack of ecclesiastical lawyers; indeed, the wisest and most spiritual of the clergy thought that there were but too many of them, and deplored that theology was neglected in favour of a more lucrative science. And what we might call an ecclesiastical "Bar" had been formed. The canonist who wished to practise in a bishop's court had to satisfy the bishop of his competence, and to take an oath obliging him to practise honestly. The tribunals of the Church knew both the "advocate" (who pleads on behalf of a client) and the "procurator" or "proctor" (who represents his client's person and attends to his cause.)

In course of time two groups similar to these grew up round the king's court. We see the "attorney" (who answers to the ecclesiastical proctor) and the "pleader," "narrator," or "countor" (who answers to the ecclesiastical advocate). But the formation of these classes of professional lawyers has not been easy. Ancient law does not readily admit that one man can represent another; in particular, it does not readily admit that one man can represent another in litigation. So long as procedure is extremely formal, so long as all depends on the due utterance of sacramental words, it does not seem fair that you should put an expert in your place to say those words for you. My adversary has, as it were, a legal interest in my ignorance or stupidity. If I cannot bring my charge against him in due form, that charge ought to fail; at all events, he cannot justly be called upon to answer another person, some subtle and circumspect pleader, whom I have hired. Thus the right to appoint an attorney who will represent my person in court, and win or lose my cause for me, appears late in the day. It spreads outwards from the king. From of old the king must be represented by others in his numerous suits. This right of his he can confer upon his subjects—at first as an exceptional favour, and afterwards by a general rule. In Henry III.'s reign this process has gone thus far:—a litigant in the king's court may appoint an attorney to represent him in the particular action in which he is for the time being engaged: he requires no special licence for this; but if a man wishes to prospectively appoint a general attorney, who will represent him in all actions, the right to do this he must buy from the king, and he will not get it except for some good cause. The attorneys of this age are by no means always professional men of business. Probably every free and lawful man may act as the attorney of another; indeed, shocking as this may seem to us, we may, not very unfrequently, find a wife appearing in court as her husband's attorney.

Attorneys and Barristers.

The other "branch of the profession" grows from a different stock. In very old days a litigant is allowed to bring his friends into court, and to take "counsel" with them before he speaks. Early in the twelfth century it is already the peculiar mark of a capital accusation, that the accused must answer without "counsel." Then sometimes one of my

friends will be allowed, not merely to prompt me, but even to speak for me. It is already seen that the old requirement of extreme verbal accuracy is working injustice. A man ought to have some opportunity of amending a mere slip of the tongue: and yet old legal principles will not suffer that he should amend the slips of his own tongue. Let another tongue slip for him. Such is the odd compromise between ancient law and modern equity. One great advantage that I gain by putting forward "one of my counsel" to speak for me is that if he blunders—if, for example, he speaks of Roger when he should have spoken of Richard—I shall be able to correct the mistake, for his words will not bind me until I have adopted them. Naturally, however, I choose for this purpose my acutest and most experienced friends. Naturally, also, acute and experienced men are to be found who will gladly be for this purpose my friends or anybody else's friends, if they be paid for their friendliness. As a class of expert pleaders forms itself, the relation between the litigant and those who "are of counsel for him" will be very much changed, but it will not lose all traces of its friendly character. Theoretically one cannot hire another person to plead for one; in other words, counsel cannot sue for his fees.

Serjeants-at-Law.

Seemingly it was in the reign of Henry III. that pleaders seeking for employment began to cluster round the king's court. Some of them the king, the busiest of all litigants, kept in his pay; they were his "serjeants"—that is, servants—at law.' Under Edward I. a process, the details of which are still very obscure, was initiated by the king, which brought these professional pleaders and the professional attorneys under the control of the judges, and began to secure a monopoly of practice to those who had been formally ordained to the ministry of the law. About the same time it is that we begin to read of men climbing from the Bar to the Bench, and about the same time it is that the judges are ceasing to be ecclesiastics. If we look back to Richard I.'s reign we may see, as the highest temporal court of the realm, a court chiefly composed of ecclesiastics, presided over by an archbishop, who is also Chief Justiciar; he will have at his side two or three bishops, two or three archdeacons, and but two or three laymen. The greatest judges even of Henry III.'s reign are

ecclesiastics, though by this time it has become scandalous for a bishop to do much secular justice. These judges have deserved their appointments, not by pleading for litigants, but by serving as clerks in the court, the Exchequer, the Chancery. They are professionally learned in the law of the land, but they have acquired their skill rather as the civil servants of the Crown than as the advocates or advisers of private persons; and if they serve the king well on the Bench, they may hope to retire upon bishoprics, or at all events deaneries. But the Church has been trying to withdraw the clergy from this work in the civil courts. Very curious had been the shifts to which ecclesiastics had been put in order to keep themselves technically free of blood-guiltiness. The accused criminal knew what was going to happen when the ecclesiastical president of the court rose, but left his lay associates behind him. Hands that dared not write "and the jurors say that he is guilty, and therefore let him be hanged," would go so far as "and therefore, etc." Lips that dared not say any worse would venture a sufficiently intelligible "Take him away, and let him have a priest." However, the Church has her way. The clerks of the court, the Exchequer, the Chancery, will for a very long time be clerks in holy orders; but before the end of Edward I.'s reign the appointment of an ecclesiastic to be one of the king's justices will be becoming rare. On the whole, we may say that from that time to the present, one remarkable characteristic of our legal system is fixed—all the most important work of the law is done by a very small number of royal justices who have been selected from the body of pleaders practising in the king's courts.

The King's Courts.

Slowly the "curia" of the Norman reigns had been giving birth to various distinct offices and tribunals. In Edward's day there was a "King's Bench" (a court for criminal causes and other "pleas of the Crown"); a "Common Bench" (a court for actions brought by one subject against another); an Exchequer, which both in a judicial and an administrative way collected the king's revenue and enforced his fiscal rights; a Chancery, which was a universal secretarial bureau, doing all the writing that was done in the king's name. These various departments had many adventures to live through before the day would come when they would once more be absorbed into a High Court of

Justice. Of some few of those adventures we shall speak in another place, but must here say two or three words about a matter which gave a distinctive shape to the whole body of our law—a shape that it is even now but slowly losing. Our common law during the later Middle Ages and far on into modern times is in the main a commentary on writs issued out of the king's Chancery. To understand this, we must go back to the twelfth century, to a time when it would have seemed by no means natural that ordinary litigation between ordinary men should come into the king's court. It does not come there without an order from the king. Your adversary could not summon you to meet him in that court; the summons must come from the king. Thus much of the old procedure we still retain in our own time; it will be Queen Victoria, not your creditor, who will bid you appear in her High Court. But whereas at the present day the formal part of the writ will merely bid you appear in court, and all the information that you will get about the nature of the claim against you will be conveyed to you in the plaintiff's own words or those of his legal advisers, this was not so until very lately. In old times the writ that was drawn up in the king's Chancery and sealed with his great seal told the defendant a good many particulars about the plaintiff's demand. Gradually, as the king began to open the doors of his court to litigants of all kinds, blank forms of the various writs that could be issued were accumulated in the Chancery. We may think of the king as keeping a shop in which writs were sold. Some of them were to be had at fixed prices, or, as we should say nowadays, they could be had as matters of course on the payment of fixed court-fees; for others special bargains had to be made. Then, in course of time, as our Parliamentary constitution took shape, the invention of new writs became rarer and rarer. Men began to see that if the king in his Chancery could devise new remedies by granting new writs, he had in effect a power of creating new rights and making new laws without the concurrence of the estates of the realm. And so it came to be a settled doctrine that though the old formulas might be modified in immaterial particulars to suit new cases as they arose, no new formula could be introduced except by statute. This change had already taken place in Edward I.'s day. Thenceforward the cycle of writs must be regarded as a

closed cycle: no one can bring his cause before the king's courts unless he can bring it within the scope of one of those formulas which the Chancery has in stock and ready for sale. We may argue that if there is no writ there is no remedy, and if there is no remedy there is no wrong; and thus the register of writs in the Chancery becomes the test of rights and the measure of law. Then round each writ a great mass of learning collects itself. He who knows what cases can be brought within each formula knows the law of England. The body of law has a skeleton, and that skeleton is the system of writs. Thus our jurisprudence took an exceedingly rigid and permanent shape; it became a commentary on formulas. It could still grow and assimilate new matter, but it could only do this by a process of interpretation which gradually found new, and not very natural, meanings for old phrases. As we shall see hereafter, this process of interpretation was too slow to keep up with the course of social and economic change, and the Chancery had to come to the relief of the courts of law by making itself a court of equity.

C. OMAN. Warfare.

EDWARD I. is generally said to have learnt the art of war from Simon de Montfort, and the great earl was no doubt a practised warrior. His victory of Lewes, won with very inferior forces over a gallant enemy, shows that he had much more skill in tactics than his contemporaries. He knew how to keep an army in hand even when part of it was wavering, and had learned to keep a reserve back for the critical moment and to use it with energy. But Simon was still of the old school, trusting mainly to the charge of his mailed horsemen to win him battles, and looking to infantry as a secondary force. Lewes he won by a cavalry charge; Evesham was the hopeless endeavour of a gallant band of horsemen to cut their way through vastly superior forces.

Introduction of the Long-bow.

It was not from Simon, then, that King Edward learnt that judicious combination of the use of archery and cavalry, which had not been properly utilised since William I. first essayed it at Hastings. The device of bringing forward the bowmen under cover of the cavalry and using them to break up the enemy's

line and make gaps for the horsemen to enter, is first heard of in the Welsh wars. We read that it was first used against Llewellyn's host at Orewin Bridge, and again repeated against Welsh rebels in 1295. "The Welsh," says Nicholas Trivet, "set themselves fronting the force of the Earl of Warwick with long spears, standing close together with the butts of their lances planted in the earth and their points directed upwards. They quite broke the force of the charges of the English horsemen; but the earl well provided against them, for placing archers between his men-at-arms he so galled the spearmen that they wavered, and then put them to flight by a charge."

Edward's great achievement was the Battle of Falkirk. The forty thousand Scots of Wallace's army were nearly all spearmen, with a few mounted knights—less than a thousand in all—and a certain proportion of archers using the short-bow. Wallace drew his army up in a good position behind a marsh, in four great masses, and waited to be attacked. The King of England advanced with his horse in three divisions, and his archery in the intervals between them. The first division charged, but got entangled in the marsh and was driven off. The second division turned the morass, and chased away the Scottish archers and cavalry, but was checked by the pikemen, on whom it could make no impression. Edward then halted his horse, brought his archers to the front, and concentrated their fire on certain points in the Scottish columns. When they were well riddled, he sent his knights against the wavering points in the mass, broke in, and scattered the whole army to the winds with fearful slaughter. For the next two centuries similar tactics always proved effective against the Scots, whose horse were seldom numerous enough to cope on equal terms with the English, while their archers never learnt to use the long-bow with effect. Halidon Hill, Neville's Cross, Homildon, and Flodden were all variations on the same theme. The Scottish pikemen, able to beat off cavalry charges with ease, were helpless when exposed to the rain of archery, and always suffered fearfully from the obstinate courage which made them hold their ground under the shower of arrows till the inevitable cavalry charge found a weak point in the column, and when once it was broken into, the whole mass was cut to pieces.

Chain mail had sufficed for two centuries to arm the feudal horsemen of England. The peaked Norman helmet with the nasal had long been superseded by a larger helm covering the whole head, and usually flat at the crown; but the mail shirt remained as a sufficient protection for the knight's body. But at the same time that archery commenced to improve, and probably in consequence of that very improvement, the mail-shirt began to be replaced by heavier and more elaborate armour. Between 1300 and 1350 the general appearance of the knightly panoply changed completely; over the coat of mail a breast-plate of plate armour, forming a second protection for the body, was superimposed. Aillettes, or roundels, shielded the shoulders from downward cuts; arm-pieces and leg-pieces of plate protected the limbs. Such of the old chain-armour as was retained was hardly visible, being entirely covered by the extra casing of plate. The helmet once more became peaked, and was known as a bassinet, the neck was protected by a light falling piece of chain-mail, fastened to the bassinet at the top and to the shoulders at the bottom, and called the cammail. The superior protection secured by the new armour was won at the cost of mobility. The knight of 1360 was far more overweighted and less able to move with rapidity than the knight of 1260. His forces failed sooner; his balance both on horse and on foot was less easy to keep. A generation later, when men still persisted in overloading themselves with more armour, they became more helpless still; a knight who had been overthrown could not even rise to his feet without his squire's aid, and lay entirely at the mercy of his adversary. Not unfrequently men were stifled by the weight of their armour when they had fallen, and died without having received any mortal wound.

Improvements in Armour.

The armies which Edward III. and the Black Prince led over to France were not raised on the old principles of the feudal levy and the national militia, nor were they foreign mercenaries engaged purely for pay like the hirelings of John. A new system had now come into use for foreign wars, though the theory of the old universal liability to serve was still maintained for use in time of rebellion, or for border service against Scotland or Wales. The king habitually entered into indentures with his barons

Paid Soldiers.

and knights, agreeing to take them into his service, not for the short feudal forty days, but for long terms at liberal rates of wages, calculated according to the rank of the contracting party and the number and quality of followers that he brought with him. We have the pay-roll of the army with which Edward III. besieged Calais in 1346 preserved in its entirety, and know the rates of every man whom the king entertained, from his son, the Prince of Wales, down to the meanest light infantry soldier. The prince had one pound a day; thirteen earls and one bishop six shillings and eightpence each; forty-four barons and knights banneret four shillings each: 1,040 knights two shillings each; then came the bulk of the horse, 4,022 esquires and constables, who received a shilling a day. The bulk of the army was composed of archers, 15,480 on foot at threepence a day, 5,104 provided with horses for quick movement (not for fighting) who had double that sum. The rest of the infantry was composed of 4,474 Welsh pikemen at twopence a day. Besides these there were some 500 light horse ("hobbilers") and 300 gunners and engineers. This gives us an army of 5,600 horse and 25,000 foot. Such an effort was, however, very unusual; so large and well-equipped an army was probably never put into the field on any other occasion. As is well known, Crecy, Agincourt, and Poictiers were fought with very much smaller forces.

The troops which Edward III. habitually raised by contract with his barons and knights were, of course, far more expensive than the old feudal array; and the drain on the treasury was such, that in spite of the most liberal grants from Parliament, supplemented by many illegal methods of raising money, the king was always in debt. The many constitutional advances of the liberties in England in his day are all traceable to his incessant need to bargain with Parliament for more grants, by ceding some of the more obnoxious royal privileges.

For service against the domestic enemies within the four seas—Scots, Welsh, and native rebels—the three Edwards had generally recourse, not to calling out the whole forces of the shires under the sheriff, as would have been the case in an earlier century, but to "commissions of array," by which mandates were given to selected persons to press and put under arms a given number of men from such and such a

district. As by the assize of arms the men had already been compelled to furnish themselves with weapons and armour, the commissioner of array had only to choose and muster his force out of the persons liable to serve. Edward I. regularly paid all bodies of men called out under this system, but his weak and unbusiness-like son, and even Edward III. in his more penniless days, tried to throw the burden on the counties and towns which supplied the men. This was quite unconstitutional, and ere long Edward was compelled by Parliament to promise that all men levied under this system should be paid from the royal exchequer. In 1352 it was even provided that commissions of array should only be issued by the king after he had obtained the common assent and grant of Parliament; and that no man should be constrained to serve outside his own country save in cases of invasion by a foreign foe. At the same time it was enacted that all men chosen to serve in foreign wars should be at the king's wages from the day that they crossed the boundary of their own county.

W. LAIRD CLOWES. The Navy.

THE reign of Edward the First was as noteworthy as that of his predecessor for the lawlessness of much of the maritime population of England. In 1293 the riotous behaviour of the crews of a few private ships led to serious, though informal, hostilities between England and France. The dispute was provisionally settled in a manner characteristic of the age. It was arranged that on a given day the fleets of each side should meet at a given spot in mid-channel and submit the question to the decision of arms. An empty ship was anchored to mark the place for the conflict, and in due course English and French encountered one another, and the latter were badly beaten. Unfortunately the affair did not terminate there, for King Philip took up the quarrel of his subjects, and regular war immediately resulted. A few years later the revival of an ancient feud between the Cinque Ports and Yarmouth led to several very bloody encounters, one of which ended in the burning of above twenty Yarmouth ships, and greatly prejudiced the national cause in which at the time both the Cinque Ports and Yarmouth were assisting the king at Sluys. Significant also of the condition of the coasts are a statute of

1276 that modified the law of wreck, and the fact that for several years the Cinque Ports were in a state of private war with part of Edward's Continental dominions.

Reference has already been made to the granting by an English king of something very much resembling letters of marque. Actual letters of marque were granted in the time of Edward I. A certain Bernard d'Ongressill, a merchant of Bayonne, then an appanage of the English crown, was the owner of a ship, the *St. Mary*, which, bound from Barbary to England, and laden with almonds, raisins, and figs, was driven by stress of weather into Lagos in Portugal. While she was there at anchor some armed Portuguese from Lisbon boarded her, robbed d'Ongressill and his crew, and carried the ship and cargo to their city. The King of Portugal took one-tenth of the spoil and left the rest to the robbers. The merchant, who declared that he was the poorer by £700, prayed Sir John of Brittany, then Lieutenant of Gascony, to grant him letters of marque. A grant was accordingly made, empowering d'Ongressill, his heirs, successors, and descendants for five years "to mark, retain, and appropriate" the people of Portugal, and especially those of Lisbon, and their goods, wheresoever they might be found, until satisfaction should be had. This licence was confirmed by Edward, with the proviso that it should cease when restitution had been made, and that if d'Ongressill took more than he had lost, he should account for the overplus.

Their services obtained from Edward several new charters for the Cinque Ports. One relieved them from paying duty on such wines as they imported; another exempted their ships and rigging from taxation, and gave them other advantages. Their fleet was at this period commanded by one admiral, Gervase Alard, and four captains, with a rector or constable, and a master to each ship. The captains, who seem to have commanded squadrons, received 12d. a day, the masters and rectors or constables 6d., and the sailors 3d., as in previous reigns. The admiral received 2s. The masters also received 20s. for pilotage for the whole coast of Scotland and Ireland. What the sea stores of a ship were in 1290 may be gathered from a list of things purchased for a vessel that was to have been sent to bring the Princess Margaret from Norway—where, however, she prematurely died. The

provisions included wine, ale, corn, beef, pork, bacon, stock-fish, sturgeons, herrings, and lampreys, almonds, rice, beans, peas, onions, leeks, cheese, nuts, salt, vinegar, mustard, pepper, cummin-seed, ginger, cinnamon, figs, raisins, saffron, and gingerbread; and among miscellaneous articles were wax-torches, tallow candles, cressets, lanterns, napkins, wood, and biscuit, together with a banner of the king's arms, and a silken streamer or pennant. All king's ships, it would appear, flew the royal banner—red, with three golden lions—and probably also the flag of St. George; and it may well be that the whip or pennant, as a mark of a king's ship of war in commission, dates from about this time.

From this period comes to us a very remarkable document, which affords weighty evidence that Edward, if not his predecessors, formally claimed the sovereignty of the Narrow Seas, and regarded it as indisputable. It is not dated, but it must have been drawn up between 1303 and 1307, and it appears to have been the draft of an Anglo-French agreement or treaty. It begins: "Whereas the Kings of England, by right of the said kingdom from time to time, whereof there is no memorial to the contrary, have been in peaceable possession of the sovereign lordship of the sea of England, and of the isles within the same, with power of making and establishing laws, statutes, and prohibitions of arms, and of ships otherwise furnished than merchantmen use to be, and of taking surety and affording safeguard in all cases where need shall require, and of ordering all other things necessary for the maintaining of peace, right, and equity among all manner of people as well of other dominions as of their own, passing through the said seas, and the sovereign guard thereof, and also of taking all manner of cognizance in causes, and of doing right and justice to high and low," and whereas (to shorten the phraseology) the Kings of England had been in the immemorial habit of deputing their powers to their admirals and masters; and it concludes, *inter alia*, with an agreement that the King of France shall aid and abet the King of England in the maintenance of these his rights and powers, and with what almost amounts to a promise of satisfaction for an infringement of them by a certain "master of the navy" of the French king, one "Reyner Grimbald," who is better known in history as Grimaldi.

Some space has previously (Vol. I., pp. 309, 318) been devoted to a consideration of the claims of the Kings of England to the sovereignty of the Narrow Seas. The subject deserves continued attention, for it is impossible to doubt that the maritime jealousy of our monarchs, and the extraordinary pretensions which, even while they were powerless to enforce them, they put forward, had important influences upon the destiny of the race. It has already been shown that the claims in question are very ancient, and that there are grounds—though not absolutely convincing ones—for believing that they were admitted by foreigners in the days of Edward I. In the reign of Edward II. they were indisputably acknowledged. The proof is to be found in the prayer of three Flemish envoys who, in 1320, visited London to obtain redress for outrages which, during a long period, had, as was alleged, been committed by English sailors upon Flemish ships. One of the most flagrant of these outrages had been perpetrated "on the sea of England near Crauden," a place which Nicolas identifies with a small seaport about eight leagues west of Quimper, in Brittany, near the extremity of the Pointe du Raz; and it is significant that the envoys begged Edward "of his lordship and royal power to cause right to be done and punishment awarded, as he is lord of the sea, and the robbery was committed on the sea within his power as is above said." This recognition by the Flemings carries the more weight from its having been on their part entirely voluntary; and, as Nicolas points out, although it was their interest to fix the responsibility of the outrage upon England, it is not probable that an admission of a great national right would have been spontaneously made in order to attain the object in view, unless the right were regarded as lying beyond all question. By England the admission was clearly accepted as a matter of course; and the officers who conducted the resultant inquiry were ordered to examine into acts committed "by men of England on the sea of England, off the coast of Crauden, within the jurisdiction of the King of England." Crauden itself, it should be noted, did not form part of Edward's dominions. It was merely washed by the sea which was Edward's.

The Dominion of the Sea.

The dominion claimed, and thus formally acknowledged, was, however, still much more imaginary than real The

king's peace did not, save in theory, extend to all his own ports, much less to the waters which were out of sight of his coasts; and there was fully as much maritime lawlessness in his reign in the Channel and the North Sea as in the reign of any of his predecessors. In 1316, when the North Sea was, as usual in those days, swarming with pirates, six ships of war under Sir John Sturmy and William Gettour, "captains and admirals," were despatched to defend Berwick against the freebooters; but, instead of proceeding upon that duty, they dropped anchor in various ports along the coast, and plundered the neighbourhood. Ships of Holland, Hainault, and Norway committed repeated acts of aggression with comparative impunity; and the fleet of the Cinque Ports, whenever it was not employed by the king, was engaged in preying upon all sea-borne commerce without distinction of nationality, or in harrying the unfortunate inhabitants of Southampton, Lyme, Weymouth, and Poole. In 1314 complaint was made that a vessel, the *Blessed Mary*, belonging to Fontarabie, near Bayonne, had been driven ashore and plundered by seamen of Winchelsea, Rye, and Romney; and the king ordered an investigation; but in vain. The inhabitants of the Cinque Ports, by force and violence, prevented the inquiry from taking place, and it does not appear that the offenders were ever punished. Indeed, there was in England no power strong enough to oblige these highly favoured sea-rovers to behave themselves.

Trade must have suffered terribly. Upon the whole, nevertheless, the maritime commerce of the country increased. It was greatly encouraged by the scarcity which prevailed in England in 1315 and 1316, and which caused the king to hold out special inducements, and to grant advantageous privileges to the merchants of Sicily, Spain, and Genoa. There was also a growing trade by sea with Venice, through which great emporium England at that time, and for many years afterwards, chiefly obtained her spices and other Oriental produce.

In naval architecture several improvements were made at about this period. Two masts became common, and some process akin to the modern method of furling sails was adopted. Elevated stern-stages, or *bellatoria*, and fighting tops on the masts sprang into general use, and the rudder

was invented. The stern-stage, or *bellatorium*, which was destined to develop in the course of generations into the poop, was, on account of its elevation, the position assigned to the commander. It therefore contained the principal banner or ensign, and this, no doubt, is the reason why in all navies the national ensign still flies at the stern of a ship and not in some more conspicuous position. Another *bellatorium* was sometimes erected forward, and there became the origin of the raised forecastle. In the *bellatoria* were stationed the pick of the fighting men, and the apparatus for discharging Greek fire, stones, and other large missiles. The rudder of the early part of the fourteenth century did not materially differ from the rudder of to-day. It was of the same form; it was moved by means of a tiller, and it was affixed by means of pintles and gudgeons; but although, upon its invention, its advantages over the *clavus*, or steering paddle, must at once have been obvious, very few ships, and those only of the largest size, were fitted with it: and for long afterwards the paddle was much more usually met with. Sometimes a couple of paddles, one on each quarter, were employed. The sails remained of the same square shape as in earlier times; no fore-and-aft sails were added: and it does not appear that more than one sail at a time was hoisted upon each mast, though there is some slight evidence that larger yards and sails were occasionally used in fine, and smaller ones in foul weather.

R. HUGHES.
English Architecture under the three Edwards.

THE reigns of the first Edward, of his son, and of his grandson, together cover a space of a hundred and five years, and it is habitual to speak of the architecture of the entire period as belonging to the "Decorated" style. Chronologically this is accurate enough, if we strike off the last sixteen years; but the habitual phrase is unfortunate, as suggesting a breach of architectural continuity, which does not exist in fact.

The "Decorated" Style, so called

The truth is that the "Decorated" is not really a style at all. It is simply a rich and highly-cultivated variety of that style of Pointed Gothic which goes by the name of Early English. We look, therefore, in vain for anything which we can truly describe as "transitional

Early English," parallel to that "transitional Norman" which, a century earlier, bridged the change from Norman to Gothic, or even to that less strongly marked transitional which, a century later, ushered in the victory of the Perpendicular forms. If the nomenclature could be revised, it would be convenient to classify the whole of English Gothic by its window forms, which would give us the lancet style and the traceried styles, including plate tracery and bar tracery, plain and ornamental, the latter being subdivided according as the ornament is added or constructive. In such a classification the "Decorated" architecture of the Edwards would be referred exclusively to the last division, the period, that is, of constructively ornamental tracery; and it is in this meaning, and in this meaning only, that we hereafter use the word. This tracery, however, lends itself to further subdivision, according as it is flowing or geometrical. The geometrical is, of course, the older, having been extensively used in Early English times; in Henry's work at Westminster, for instance, where the ornament was not as yet constructive. But the flowing tracery did not by any means destroy the geometric vogue, and inasmuch as we constantly find both kinds of windows side by side in the same building, and with the same mouldings, and of the same age, the distinction is obviously useless for determining the chronological sequence.

English Gothic reclassified.

As might be expected from what we have said, the distinguishing characteristics of Decorated work must be looked for rather in details than in general form and outline. We note at once the larger size of the windows, marking the growing search after means to make a fuller display of painted glass. They are invariably divided by mullions, and the tracery, whether composed of circles, trefoils (pointed or natural) or similar regular figures, or running into flowing and irregular lines, is never Perpendicular. The divisions, too, are always cusped, and the cusps are wrought on the actual bar, not merely added on the soffit. The ornaments, such as appear on the capitals of columns, on the bosses or meeting-places of the vaulting groins, on finial and corbel and canopy, are much more numerous and rich than formerly The carving is less conventional; and, indeed, in the leafage and fruit of oak and vine and maple, fidelity

Characteristics of "Decorated" Work.

to nature is not infrequently attained at some expense of consistency.

Examples.

Leading examples of early Decorated windows showing geometrical tracery are to be found in the choir of Merton College Chapel, which may be assigned to the penultimate decade of the thirteenth century, being quite twenty years earlier than the sacristy, which the college muniments show to be of 1307. Similar work is to be seen in the windows of the passage to the chapter-house at York, and in some of those in the cathedrals of Exeter and Lichfield. All of these are aggregates of geometrical figures ingeniously put together, and all belong to the first twenty years, or thereabouts, of the reign of Edward I. A little later come the chapter-houses of York and Wells with window forms of the same type, a type which held its own down to the end of the Decorated style. Overlapping hardly describes the contemporaneous growth of geometrical and flowing tracery, for we find the purest flowing forms as early as 1290, as at Stoke Golding, in Leicestershire; while the contract for the famous window in St. Anselm's Chapel at Canterbury, which is the purest geometrical, was not given out till 1336. It is certain too that in the interval between these dates a practice had arisen—though one obtaining chiefly in Yorkshire and the Midlands—of alternating or mixing geometrical with flowing forms, which was followed in the Benedictine abbey at Selby and in St. Mary's Church at Beverley. The most elaborate stone lacework, such as that in the east window of Carlisle, as well as the most profusely ornamented mouldings, come a little later, the richest of all belonging to the troubled reign of Edward II. and the earlier years of his son. This work is rarely without the characteristic "ball flower" or the almost equally characteristic "four-leaved flower." These two ornaments are in England (though not in France) the peculiar signs of the Decorated period, belonging to it as the chevron belongs to Norman, and the violette to Early English work. The ball flower is of no great beauty in itself—a sort of half-opened round stone bud, showing a ball in the centre beneath the pinched but unbroken lip-like corolla. These ornaments, occasionally connected with a stem, are extensively used in the external decoration of spires and doorways, and, in spite

The Ball Flower.

of their intrinsic ugliness, have a very rich effect. The four-leaved flowers are more elegant, having four petals cut in high relief running from a centre sometimes raised and sometimes sunk. The architects of the early fourteenth century loved literally to smother their window frames with these ornaments; and in the south aisle of the nave of Hereford and at Leominster, in the same county, there are instances where the reticulations are so filled with ball flowers as almost to suggest (of course, in point of mass only) the plate tracery of the previous century. Few of the old patterns of ornament were retained, but, by exception, the crocket survived, though not in its old vigour, and the diaper also; and this last, in the dearth of coverings for wall spaces, flourished exceedingly.

A peculiarity of the Decorated period is the use of the double arched window, the inner arch being frequently very deeply foliated, and separated, by the whole thickness of the wall, from the outer one which carries the lights. This form is noteworthy, because it is never found in Perpendicular times. So, too, of the rose window, which, though less popular here than in France, and rarely given the place of honour in the east or west walls, yet takes with us very beautiful shapes. There are noble examples of these windows at St. Mary's, Cheltenham, at Westminster, and especially in the south transept at Lincoln, where the interlacing stems simulate the freedom of a briar rose, and show how far the builders have travelled since they put up the once masterpiece of plate tracery that looks down from the opposite transept. The Jesse window, the central mullion of which forms the trunk of the tree of the genealogy of Christ, is an equally common and characteristic feature of this period. The impression that above all others strikes one in this Decorated work is the passion for richness. The arcades which ornament the walls, the canopies over the tombs, the sedilia, the piscinæ, even such spires and towers as those of Lichfield, seem chiefly valued as vehicles for ornament. The style misses the grave beauty, the reserve, the laborious simplicity, of the Early English.

There is a certain cheapness in this reliance on ornamental detail which comes out somewhat painfully in the matter of mouldings. One would almost think that the "Decorated" masons found it too much trouble to cut the deep, shadowy

hollows and bold rounds of the earlier men. They favour the easier effects of the flat fillet, with the result that their mouldings are almost invariably few in number and feeble in expression. But the point of most marked inferiority in the style was its treatment of the supporting pillars. These lose their detached shafts; they are still clustered in outline, but the exquisite lightness of such piers as we see at Salisbury is gone. On the other hand, in the matter of vaulting, though they sometimes seem to have had spasms of timidity, the "Decorated" architects made a considerable advance. In building the chapter-house at York they got rid of the central pillar, and at Ely they invented a mode of covering the intersection of nave and transept which gave them a central space of the noblest proportions and unrivalled in elegance of design. The octagon at Ely, built by Alan of Walsingham in the last years of Edward II., is unmatched by any similar construction in England. It covers the entire width of nave and transept and the fluted fans which lead up to the lantern are of surpassing beauty. This feature of largeness comes out again in the nave of York. Like the lantern at Ely, the roof is of wood, but the effect is none the less satisfactory. At York, and still more at Lichfield, we note the tendency of the "Decorated architect to enlarge the clerestory at the expense of the triforium; but where, as in the choir bays at Ely (built by the same Alan of Walsingham), the old Early English proportions are preserved, the absolute high-water mark of elegance in proportion, combined with richness of detail, may be said to have been reached.

Sepulchral Art.

What may, perhaps, be best termed sepulchral art attained its zenith during this period. Simple slabs with a rudely-carved figure upon them seem to have been all that was attempted by the Normans. Wooden canopies adorned with leather were the rule in early English times. Wood was the material of the beautiful canopy placed over the tomb of Edward III. at Westminster, at the very end of the Decorated period, and of the simple roof which covers the monument of the Black Prince at Canterbury. The figures which were used for decoration were usually of metal—either brass or bronze gilt. Occasionally they were of stone, as in the group of tombs at Westminster, where Aylmer de Valence lies between Edmund Crouchback and his wife Aveline. Aylmer was

assassinated when in attendance on the "she-wolf of France" in 1323, and this tomb and its companions are not earlier than the reign of her son. It has a stone canopy, which is something of a rarity, and is well executed, as are the little figures of Aylmer's kinsmen on the base. But of all these monuments that which the monks of Gloucester erected to the memory of Edward of Carnarvon best deserves mention; not only for its intrinsic beauty, but because it became the type which, for two centuries, Gothic sculptors delighted to copy. It is, of course, more or less a wreck that we see now. The subsidiary statues are gone; but, as he lies in a seclusion made by the forest of tapering shafts and pinnacles and niches, decked with the richest ornament of the richest period of Gothic art, one almost ceases to wonder how it was that this weak and worthless creature came to be considered a hero and a saint. At any rate, his tomb is (as, indeed, it has been accounted for five centuries and a half) a model and a masterpiece.

There can be no doubt that considerable skill in the plastic art had by this time been acquired in England. Not only had materials for the Abbey work of Henry—glass mosaic, porphyry, and alabaster—been brought from abroad by Abbot Ware, but foreign artists and foreign knowledge had come with them. Thirty years later lived William Torel, who seems to have been an accomplished sculptor, and, however foreign in matter of name, he was "a goldsmith and a citizen of London." He certainly cast effigies of Henry and of Eleanor, "the queen of good memory," which have considerable beauty, though of a conventional kind; but a real likeness of Queen Philippa was carved in alabaster by Hawkin of Liège, whose name suggests an English artist trained in the queen's country of Hainault. An Englishman, too, seems to have made the effigies of Queen Eleanor that adorned the crosses erected by Edward in his sad pilgrimage from Nottingham to Westminister. Of these unique memorials to the memory of the wife who, when her husband was stabbed by a poisoned dagger, "sucked forth the poison with her balmy breath," three out of the original fifteen alone remain. These are at Geddington, Waltham, and Northampton; that at the first-named place being the least dilapidated. In form they

Portraiture in Stone.

resemble the famous Schöne Brunnen of Nuremberg, which is a contemporary work. The free copy by Mr. Barry at Charing Cross has sufficiently popularised the design, and certainly, in view of the prevailing hideousness of our modern monuments, the sculptor of the nineteenth century did not go far wrong in borrowing from his brother of the thirteenth. The English passion for portraiture doubtless found its best opportunity in modelling the "lively," that is, lifelike, statues in wood or wax which were laid upon the biers of distinguished persons. Masks from the dead face were frequently taken, and no pains were spared to obtain a good likeness. As the practice dates at least from the twelfth, and persisted as late as the last century, these effigies, had they been preserved, would have formed a series of priceless value, and shed a flood of light, not only on the artistic progress of the country, but in many dark corners of history. Unfortunately, only the more modern and worthless specimens have survived. The effigies of Edward I. and Eleanor, of Edward III. and Philippa, were still to be seen at Westminster as late as the time of Dryden; and Horace Walpole mentions that, though sadly mangled, some, including that of Elizabeth of York (a fifteenth-century work), were still recognisable The present survivors of his "ragged regiment" are all much later, the oldest being that of Charles II.

Portrait Masks.

But if we can only guess what was the state of the plastic arts under the three Edwards, we are almost totally in the dark as to the progress of the art of painting. The "liberate" rolls of Henry III.'s reign abound with orders for the painting or decorating of the oratories and chapels of that devout king. Nor is it likely that there was any falling-off in the art during the reign of Henry's more accomplished and more widely travelled successor. "Trees," no doubt "trees of Jesse" and the like, are among the objects mentioned, and unquestionably various polychromatic schemes of colour were used. The figures of saints in wood and stone had been painted and gilt for generations, but probably this should be treated as the work of the decorator rather than the artist. Several traces of foliage and similar ornament on the vaulting of sepulchral canopies which may be safely attributed to the reigns of the Edwards, suggest a certain progress in artistic feeling. So, too, of the fragments

Painting.

of fresco with a figure subject, recorded as the work of Master Waller, of Durham, at Westminster. These are to be found near the tomb of Eleanor, while more considerable remains can be with difficulty deciphered on the east wall of the chapter-house. These, though perhaps a fourteenth-century restoration of the thirteenth-century work, seem to represent the second coming of Christ, and suggest art in a childish, but not absolutely infantile, epoch. Their date is not, however, so certain as their dilapidation. Plenty of such work must have existed, but very little has come down to us. It is bad luck, for a fragment of the fresco of the coronation and marriage of Edward I. which Bishop Langton, of Lichfield, ordered to be painted on the walls of his palace, would have told us more than all the manuscripts. In fine, though we have abundant evidence of the advance of the painter's art in England, for the extent of that advance we must trust to faith rather than to sight.

Stained and Painted Glass.

The increased application of coloured glass, and the improvements in its design, are less open to question. The earliest painted windows, which were probably transitional Norman, were, no doubt, mere tesselation, which continued to be applied to the borders of lancets in the first period of Early English. Something more was attempted in the pre-Decorated, geometrical forms, when medallions, with figures rudely designed and dressed in the stiffest of draperies, made their appearance, together with conventional foliage. The colours are fine, particularly the ruby and two shades of blue, and a golden pot-metal yellow. In the Decorated period there were marked changes in this respect. The blues begin to fade; a cold emerald colour seems to have been invented, and also a new yellow of a lemon tint, which was applied to the surface of the glass. The old deep ruby glass remains the finest colour, and becomes far less uneven than in the Early English time, but even that gradually loses its depth of colour. The medallions, lately so popular give way to canopies and figure-subjects. The abrupt alternation of masses of variegated colour with masses of white glass becomes the leading fashion. There is an increased knowledge of drawing, particularly in the draperies, and the foliaged ornament becomes—perhaps this is the most characteristic change of all—almost naturalistic, as if copied from the actual

leaves of the ivy or the oak. Something of the same kind is observable in the missal-painting of the time; but the illuminator was not in the van of progress, nor was the scriptorium of the monastery a school where freedom of invention or a knowledge of perspective was highly prized.

The differentiation of the castle from the baronial residence made enormous strides under the Edwards. The moated grange and the castellated manor-house were fast superseding the private castle, while the castle was becoming more and more a great military and governmental fortress. Everything tended to depress the private building of castles during these reigns: the increased power of the Crown, the spread of subinfeudation, the love of comfort, and the beginnings of luxury. Now that the king could command a great mercenary army, it was hopeless for an individual to think of standing against him; while, with the increased security of the greater part of England, the risk of private violence was fast diminishing to zero. The great castles once more became royal, not only in theory, but in fact; and though some imposing edifices of the sort were undoubtedly erected by private enterprise, their erection seems generally to point to individual pride and ostentation, rather than to the desire of the owner for safety against all comers.

Domestic Architecture.

Before a castle could be built, the leave of the Crown, the *licentia kernellare*, was indeed required, but seems to have been given readily enough. Henry granted twenty, Edward I. forty-four, Edward II. sixty, and Edward III. a hundred and eighty of these licenses; but a very few of them refer to buildings of the impregnable type, or were castles of the first, or even of the second or third rank. On the Scottish and Welsh borders a strong house was still needed, and a strong man to keep it; but elsewhere the castle as a residence was an anachronism. Still, the finest castles in Great Britain were erected in this period. They were due to the initiative of Edward I. himself, and their design is alleged, although on insufficient evidence, to have originated with the king. To this design the name of Edwardian has in consequence been given, and is so far justified by the great works begun and planned, if not completed, by him.

Castles.

The Edwardian Fortress.

The new form of fortification, which superseded both the square Norman keep and the round juliette, was essentially concentric, consisting of two or more rings of defence lying one within the other. First comes the deep ditch or moat, then the outer wall, planted with towers at convenient distances, each pair commanding the curtain wall between them, so that assailants endeavouring to batter in the curtain (which was, of course, the weakest part) were exposed to a cross-fire. Inside there was another fortified wall, the space between the two walls being broken up with cross-divisions, so as to isolate a storming party which might have breached the outer defence. The keep was dispensed with, its place being taken by an open court, walled and towered at the corners, and having its hall, its chapel, and its living rooms and offices, built against the walls. Between it and the second line of defence there was sometimes a moat—always some work which had to be carried—and this second ward was usually of sufficient size to accommodate a herd of cattle, driven in when a siege was expected. Sometimes, as at Caerphilly, which was a private fortress, begun in the last years of Henry III., the water formed the chief part both of the first and second line of defence; but, of course, this was not often possible. Occasionally, too, the ground did not permit or require the complete encircling arrangement, as at Chepstow and Conway; but the desired result—a series of defences, each of which had to be successively carried, and each capable of resisting attack—was obtained none the less. The gateway which gave admittance to the castle was, of course, of the highest importance, and was an imposing structure. It was usually square, flanked by two drum towers, which commanded the approach, and the connecting parapet was either machicolated in the common fashion, or a sort of stone bridge was formed between the towers (remains exist at Neath and Pembroke) so as to serve the purpose of a bretache. In front there was a portcullis, then a door, and at the back of the gatehouse (in the most perfect form) a second portcullis and door. In addition, the vaulted roof, covering the intervening space, was pierced with meurtrières, or apertures, for convenience in spearing an enemy who had surprised the warder. Such a fortress, with its inner ward arranged like a manor-house, was a far more comfortable building than the old Norman castle to

live in, but it required a considerable garrison, and could only be maintained at vast expense. As a defensive work the castle had, in truth, very nearly reached perfection at the very moment when the discovery of gunpowder was about to render its perfection useless. The English castles of this kind in Wales, such as Conway and Carnarvon, Beaumaris and Harlech—not to mention Alnwick and Bamborough, Ludlow and Warwick—form, indeed, a series unmatchable in all Europe, surpassed only by the earlier Coucy in the East of France, and by the later St. Sauveur; and this last example was built, not by a Frenchman, but by John Chandos, the great English captain of Edward III.'s wars.

The Edwardian Dwelling-House.

Although in essentials the distinction between the castle and the residence was very marked, the residence retained, throughout the Decorated period, much of the outward semblance of the castle. It continued to be fortified, though its military appearance was frequently quite deceptive, its sole and inadequate means of defence being an easily-drained moat. Inside it was usually a courtyard, having the lodgings, the hall, and the stables disposed round the sides, an arrangement which continued in vogue long after castles, as means of defence, had been definitely abandoned. When there was no moat, a tower of refuge was sometimes built near the house, and on the Scottish border the tower was very often the house itself. In the greater part of England, however, there is little doubt that the moated grange was the prevailing fashion, and the contract for such a building at Lapworth has been preserved. We learn from it that the walls were to be very thick, that the outer door was to admit of a drawbridge being fixed to it, that there were to be base chambers with windows and fireplaces, and a principal hall, forty feet long, for strangers and retainers, with small rooms opening out of it. This hall or "sovereign room" was a universal feature, and, with its lofty double windows, is usually taken for the chapel; but, as Mr. Parker points out, the lay apartment can be readily distinguished by the seats in the window-sills. At one end of it was the minstrels' gallery, and at the opposite end the daïs, above which was a window of the solar or privy apartment of the lord. In the

The Moated Grange.

Interiors.

hall the fire was made on a hearth in the centre, the logs being kept in their place by andirons, an introduction of the Decorated period, while the smoke escaped by a louvre. But in the other rooms fireplaces were usual, and the chimney-shafts, which were round in the preceding reigns, now became octagonal. Sometimes there were stone arches to carry the timbers of the roof, sometimes wooden arches and wooden pillars, while the outside was either wooden shingle or slate. The walls were not unfrequently covered with wainscoting, and sometimes painted, as we read in the Romance of Guigamar:

> "La Chambre est paint tut entur
> Venus la devesse d'amur
> Fu très bein en la paintur."

Perhaps this mode of decoration might have come to something, but it was, unfortunately, superseded by the new fashion of arras or tapestry.

Coins.

The reign of Edward III. is, to the numismatist, a great epoch. The reigns of his two predecessors had been barren, although his grandfather's reign is famous as that in which the type of the King of England, as he was to appear on his coins, was fixed for two centuries. It is a boyish, beardless full face, with the hair falling from beneath an open "fleury" crown, in a long curl on either side of the head. It is purely conventional, bearing no trace of a resemblance to any Plantagenet that ever lived; but it did duty for ten kings of that race, and the first of the Tudors—remaining unchanged from the first coinage of Edward I. until the second or third of Henry VII. Then the arched crown appears, together with a genuine likeness, this time in profile, of the Tudor king. When Edward I. got back from the Holy Land, one of his first reforms was directed to the coinage. Clipping was universally prevalent, the Jews being supposed to be the worst offenders, though the statement that vast stores of clippings were found in their houses may be dismissed as being prompted by the hatred which led to their expulsion. At any rate, a vast number of both Jews and Christians, of the lower orders, suffered the cruel death of the coiner, and even a gentleman and a churchman like Guy, Prior of Montacute, was tried, convicted, and heavily fined. Seven years after the

Clipping the Coins.

king's accession new dies were delivered for pennies, halfpennies, and farthings. Groats were also issued: "gros Tournais Englays que valent veraynent quatre esterlings," though it is doubtful if they had much circulation. They were not very beautiful coins; the conventional head on one side, and on the other the cross with pellets, though in some struck at Berwick there is a boar's head in two of the angles. But Edward I., if he punished clippers, was himself guilty of debasing the coinage by reducing the silver in the penny about one per cent. Probably this was not the only depreciation of the coinage, for in Edward II.'s reign the Commons prayed the king that the money should be current at the value it bore in his father's time. The second Edward troubled little about such matters, and his coins, limited to pennies and subdivisions of a penny, are hardly distinguishable from those of his father; but the coinage of his son became famous throughout Europe.

Edward III.'s Gold Coins.

The seventeenth year of Edward III. is memorable for the new coinage. It was not only a new coinage, but a coinage in gold. Three pieces were struck —florins, half-florins, and quarter-florins;—the largest to be current for seventy-two silver pennies—fifty went to the pound troy—the weight to be that of two little florins of Florence. It was a handsome coin, and showed the king on his throne between two leopards, the cross on the reverse in a tressure. The half-florin, or one leopard, as it was called in the royal proclamation, showed that beast, crowned, carrying the banner with the arms of France and England quarterly flowing over its shoulders. The quarter bore a helmet on which was a lion passant guardant, crowned. They were handsome coins, but were ill received, merchants declining to accept a fiftieth of a pound of gold as equivalent to six shillings. They were, as we should now say, called in, and no doubt recoined, for they are extremely rare, not more than two or three of these florins being known to exist. Edward was, however, determined to have a current gold coinage, and at the end of 1343, the year (if we reckon from January) which had seen the appearance of the unpopular florins, he effected his purpose. The new issue was of nobles, maille nobles, and ferling nobles; the large coin passing at six shillings and eight pence, thirty-nine and a half going to the pound of gold.

The device was entirely new; and the coins, which were extremely beautiful, acquired immediate popularity. Edward is represented standing in his ship, the banner of St. George flying at the mast-head, in his right hand a sword, in his left a shield with the arms of France and England. It is not certain how the device came to be adopted. The notion that it was a claim to the dominion of the seas flattered, and flatters, the national sentiment; but it is probable that the design was intended merely to perpetuate the memory of Edward's success as an admiral, and has reference to the affair at Sluys, on Midsummer Day, 1340, where, under his personal captaincy, the English gained a victory over the French fleet. The popularity of the noble was European, so that there was great difficulty in keeping it in England; and in the two successive coinages which followed, the weight of gold was reduced to one-forty-second of the pound, without materially checking exportation. On all these pieces, up to 1360, Edward appears as, by the grace of God, King of England and France and Lord of Ireland. Afterwards, as a result of the Peace of Bretigny, the style of King of France was dropped, and Lord of Aquitaine inserted in its place in the noble. After that date the claims to France and Aquitaine appear on the pieces of larger denomination, the claim to France only, on the smaller. In his silver coins Edward made little change, but groats and half-groats were circulated as well as pennies, half-pence, and farthings.

The Noble.

But the popular feeling was all in favour of the gold coinage, and the Commons presented an article to the king asking him to issue a gold piece smaller than the quarter-noble. Their request received the royal assent; nothing, however, seems to have come of it. In like manner the royal attempt to establish an international circulation, founded on gold, between England, the country of the staple, and Flanders, the country of the manufacture of woollens, proved abortive. It is, however, a curious piece of evidence of the antiquity of the idea of a monetary union.

Rudiments of a Monetary Union.

H. E. D. BLAKISTON.

Learning: The Universities.

During the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries the whole intellectual life of the English nation is derived from, and centres in, the two Universities (I., 429 *seq.*), which served as places of higher education or *studia generalia* to the regular and secular clergy, and thus to nearly all professional men. The University of Oxford—whose claims to have been founded by King Alfred, St. Neot, and St. Grimbald were based on legal and literary forgeries, and are now known to be as mythical as the stories of Mempric, Brutus the Trojan, and the *Greeks* from *Crick*-lade—was already full-grown when St. Edmund Rich studied and taught there *c.* 1200. Thibaut d'Estampes, Robert Pullein, and the jurist Vacarius of Bologna lectured there between 1100 and 1150; and by 1190 Oxford *Masters* and *Clerks* were well known, and foreign students, such as Nicholas the Hungarian, to whom Richard I. granted an exhibition, were attracted. The early studies and customs are similar to those of Paris, and may well have taken shape after a recall of English students thence during Henry II.'s French wars; and Oxford gradually overtopped both Paris and Bologna. The earliest records of Cambridge are said to have been burnt by the townsmen in 1261; its origin may be attributed to a migration from Oxford in 1209. The Oxford students were subject only to the distant authority of their diocesan, the Bishop of Lincoln; Cambridge obeyed the nearer see of Ely; the bishop's commissary, the *Chancellor*, subsequently became an independent academic official with ecclesiastical powers. The studies, mainly theological and legal, were already supervised by the *Faculties* when Giraldus Cambrensis visited Oxford in 1187 to give a public reading of his work on the Topography of Ireland. The *degrees* were in the nature of licenses to teach, granted to the aspirant with great care and formality by those already qualified; and the necessary exercises both before and after graduation often took the form of lectures and disputations by which more junior students were instructed. Oxford in the thirteenth century had grown into a corporate society of teachers and scholars with a definite constitution and considerable privileges composed of learned guilds which promoted into their own higher grades candidates who had studied under their

Oxford Origin.

direction, by means of degrees, valid at first locally, but afterwards internationally. The full-blown teacher was a *Master*, *Doctor*, or *Professor*, and, when actually teaching, a *Regent*; the half-developed student, like the apprentice or the aspirant for knighthood, was known as a *Bachelor*; undergraduates were *Grammarians*, *General Sophists*, and *Questionists*. University buildings there were none till the old Congregation House was built in 1320; previously business was transacted in the adjacent church of St. Mary, or in St. Mildred's; lectures and other forms of instruction were given in large rooms called *schools*, mostly private or monastic property.

Independence of Authorities.

The masters of Oxford had no great difficulty in dispensing with the ordinary ecclesiastical superiors. They got rid of the Archdeacon of Oxford in 1346, and of their bishop after a complicated quarrel in 1368. They were not too polite to the Papal legates, though the Popes were the greatest patrons of universities. To the local abbeys they were fairly courteous. With the Dominicans, who settled in Oxford in 1221, and the Franciscans, who hurried after them in 1224, the relations were less harmonious; but the university availed itself of their excellent lecture-rooms and lecturers ("doing Austins" was a phrase for certain academical exercises three centuries after the suppression of the Augustinian friary), and eventually baffled their pretensions to be admitted to the theological degrees without the preliminary arts course on which Oxford education has always been based. With the city of Oxford the struggle was more prolonged, but the victory even more decisive. Oxford, situated centrally on a great waterway, had long been a prosperous market-town; and the citizens, as well as the Jewish quarter, revenged themselves for the loss of their former quiet by practising manifold extortions on the clerks. The latter were always ready to fight, though of the numerous outrages those committed by the townsmen were on a larger scale. In 1209 the students dispersed in disgust; but the Papal legate laid the town under an interdict, and soon forced it to recognise the immunity of the clerks from lay jurisdiction, to pay an annual fine (the first endowment of the university), and to submit to regulations moderating the cost of lodgings and provisions. In 1244 the clerks sacked the Jewry, and the

Town and Gown.

king quieted them by a decree consolidating the special powers of the Chancellor. The murder of a Scotch scholar in 1248 gave the university an opportunity of obtaining a fresh charter of privileges which included acts of submission from the townsmen and Jews. In 1264 occurred the migration to Northampton, whither Cambridge had also retreated; and only the prompt interference of the king prevented a permanent coalition there. An act of sacrilege by some Jews in 1268 paved the way to their final humiliation. In 1298 the knavery and violence of the townsmen led to a really dangerous riot; and in 1355 occurred the great conflict of St. Scholastica's Day, in which the town, without having received serious provocation, commenced a wholesale massacre, with the assistance of a band of two thousand rustics, "crying Slay and Havoc!" The clerks prepared to leave Oxford for ever, but the combined forces of the Church and the Crown reduced the town to subjection, and the Chancellor received as compensation an absolute control of the market and an annual act of submission to his authority which lasted into the present century.

North and South at Oxford.

There were also internal disorders, some arising out of the struggle for precedence between the Faculties, others due to the fact that young men coming from all parts of the country—Northerners and Southerners, Scotch, Irish, Welsh, and foreigners—did not leave behind them their local animosities. Hence, of the two officials delegated by the Masters to assist the Chancellor, one was the Northern and the other the Southern Proctor. Festivals of national or patron saints were suppressed, and jousts and tournaments kept at a distance. After the great secession to Stamford of the more studious and probably defeated Northerners, the king had to intervene to procure reunion. In 1385 they were still so sensitive that he had to prohibit the application to them of the designation of their allies, the Scotchmen; and till 1827 all candidates for a degree were statutably obliged to swear that they would never lecture at Stamford! Partly for similar reasons, no doubt, the scholars of the earlier colleges were generally selected from particular localities, and such connexions survive in some cases. Bloodshed was an usual feature of these disturbances, and a disorderly career at the university often developed into armed

brigandage on the king's lieges and was terminated by the dagger or the rope.

Cambridge.

The university of Cambridge, occupying a less central and more unhealthy situation, and having less powerful protectors, did not compete in popularity or privileges with the older society before the sixteenth century. It was not even formally recognised till it received the license of Pope John XXII. in 1318. The students were more homogeneous than at Oxford, the religious Orders less active there, and the number of eminent men produced by it insignificant during this period. Oxford *schools* were renowned as a "staple product" at a time when Cambridge was famous only for *eels*.

Life in Mediæval Oxford.

The mediæval undergraduate students were mainly lads of humble origin; though many older men, such as the monks or friars, shared their studies, and in rank they ranged from the poor scholar, who supported himself during term by the profits of licensed mendicancy or manual labour in the vacations, to the privileged sons of earls and nephews of bishops. At Oxford *c.* 1300 the number was about 3,000; for the estimate made by Archbishop Fitz Ralph of Armagh before the Consistory at Avignon in 1357, that there had been as many as 30,000 in his day, must be considered rhetorical. They lived in lodging-houses known as *halls*, where the meals were provided from a common fund and called *commons* (extra food was *battels*), while most scholars could rent a small chamber as bedroom and study. One of the inmates, usually a Master, was the *principal* of the hall, and was responsible for the financial arrangements and for the maintenance of order; and the post was not unprofitable. A *manciple* catered for the party; and in most cases some lectures were provided within the hall. From wills and inventories may be estimated the extent of a clerk's possessions, which often included musical instruments and lethal weapons, besides a few books, bed-clothes, and some cooking utensils. On full reading days lectures went on from an early hour in the morning to some time after the noon-day dinner; but there were many *non-legible* days. Daily attendance at Divine service was a matter of course. All the steps in a man's progress to his degree, especially the process of *Determination* for the bachelor,

and the *Inception* for the higher degrees, were marked by numerous *disputations* (a sort of *vivâ-voce* examination), attendance at or delivery of lectures, licenses, oaths, fees, ceremonies, and entertainments. The shorter vacations were usually, and the long vacation often, spent at Oxford and employed in private studies. A university education commenced at an early age with the acquisition of a working knowledge of Latin, the language of theology, law, and science, in the *Schools of Grammar*, where the text-books used were Terence and Priscian. To obtain the degree of B.A. required a four years' course of logic, and mastership was not reached till after seven or eight years of the seven arts and three philosophies (grammar, dialectic, rhetoric, music, arithmetic, geometry, and astronomy, with physics, metaphysics, and ethics), while the D.D. seldom attained his position of distinction before the twentieth year from matriculation. During the whole of this period the arts course was a severe one, and the discipline was really testing and the results brilliant. In the next century students of the type of Chaucer's clerks, Hendy Nicholas, John and Alein, and the loafers known as *chamberdekyns* were more common. The expenses of an ordinary university career of ten years ranged from £35 to four times that sum; but no doubt large numbers never proceeded to a degree. The more popular of the teaching masters derived an adequate revenue from their pupils' fees, which were paid terminally and known as *Collections*, a word still used for the examination at the end of a term's lectures.

The University Course.

The maintenance of poor clerks was an object which soon attracted the attention of the charitably disposed. The earliest attempts at endowment took the form of *chests*. The *Frideswyde Chest* was the capitalisation by Grosseteste of the fine paid annually by the abbey of Eynsham on behalf of the town of Oxford: and there were several legacies kept in coin in iron boxes, from which small loans were obtainable by the temporarily impecunious on depositing a valuable book, silver cup, or other article, sworn by the university stationer to fairly exceed in value the sum borrowed. Some of these funds showed a profit, probably unintentional, on this pawnbroking business; and before 1500 the total capital in circulation in this

The Origin of Colleges.

way was about 2,000 marks, an enormous sum for the time. The first regular exhibition fund originated in 1243 in a payment to be made by the priory of Bicester under the will of Alan Basset for two *chaplains* at Oxford. Bishop Kilkenny of Ely left money for a similar purpose to Barnwell Priory near Cambridge, in 1256. In 1249 Master William of Durham left 310 marks to Oxford University for the support of ten to twelve masters; and Sir John de Balliol carried out a penitential vow by maintaining a few poor clerks from the north in a sort of almshouse.

Merton College.

But the institution of the collegiate system in England is due to the brilliant administrative genius of Walter de Merton, Lord High Chancellor and Bishop of Rochester, who between 1262 and 1274 elaborated a scheme, by which he had intended to assign certain manors for the support of his eight nephews at the schools, into a complex foundation at Oxford, with statutes known as the *Rule of Merton*, from which most subsequent codes were more or less copied. This establishment was an adaptation to the promotion of general learning of the best features of the monastic system, and had already been successfully tried at Paris. The incorporated *Scholars* or *Fellows*, described as the *House*, *Hall*, or *College* (*i.e.*, corporation) of *Scholars of Merton*, were soon provided with a magnificent chapel (by the rebuilding of an impropriated parish church), a fine hall and kitchen, and common dormitories, from which corners were partitioned off to serve as private studies or *musaea*. The members were provided with instruction, pocket-money, clothes (then called *livery*), and all other necessaries. They swore to obey the rules of the house, and were obliged to take the usual arts course of logic, philosophy, etc., proceeding usually to the study of theology. A scholar vacated his place if he accepted a benefice or entered a monastic order. His conduct was reviewed minutely by his fellows at the *scrutinies*, or chapters, which resembled those of the religious Orders. The government was vested in the seven or eight seniors, at the head of whom was the *Warden*, who was charged specially with the care of the estates, and received considerable allowances for the exercise of hospitality. Other disciplinary, financial, or religious functions were entrusted to *Deans*, *Bursars*, and *Chaplains*. There were also some *poor boys*, who were

educated to fill vacancies as they occurred among the scholars. Many of the regulations were monastic in character; but there was not the same absolute uniformity of life, and the perpetual vows of poverty, chastity, and obedience were not required.

Other Colleges.

At Cambridge, Hugh de Balsham, Bishop of Ely, founded Peterhouse, after the Merton rule, though on a less adequate scale, in 1284. In the same year Archbishop Peckham had to visit Merton College severely in order to correct several abuses and violations of the Founder's Statutes. At Oxford four small colleges sprang up at once. The trustees of William of Durham in 1280, and Dervorguilla, widow of Sir John de Balliol, in 1282, turned their exhibition funds into incorporated societies, soon to be known as University and Balliol Halls. These endowments were increased by subsequent benefactions, amounting in the case of Balliol almost to a refoundation by Sir Philip de Somerville in 1340. Stapledon Hall (afterwards Exeter College) was the work of Walter, Bishop of Exeter, in 1314. Edward II.'s almoner, Adam de Brome, founded in 1324 a more extensive "House of Scholars of St. Mary at Oxford," soon called Oriel College, from some architectural feature in one of the original tenements. The founder himself became the first Provost, and secured the patronage first of the king, and then of Henry Burghersh, Bishop of Lincoln, who was not connected with the unpopular Despensers. The first statutes were modelled on those of Merton, but in 1326 a fresh set was issued which made a degree a necessary qualification for a scholarship. This society was self-governing, like Merton; the other three halls were only partially independent of their trustees as governors. In 1324 a Chancellor of the Exchequer founded at Cambridge a very similar institution, Michael House, now merged in Trinity College. In 1338 Clare Hall, absorbed an unsuccessful University Hall of 1326; and in 1337 Edward III. endowed munificently a "King's Hall of Scholars," which was also swallowed by Henry VIII.'s Trinity.

"The Queen's Hall of Oxford" (1340) was the erection of Robert de Eglesfield, chaplain to Queen Philippa. The statutes are very ecclesiastical in tenor, and provide for theological studies, certain religious services, and the elementary education of *poor boys* as well as the usual objects. Some of the

institutions are symbolical of the habits of the apostles, and some curious "canting" customs, such as the present of a needle and thread on New Year's Eve (*aiguille et fil*=Eglesfield) still remain. The next Oxford foundation was New College, in many ways a new departure, in 1379. At Cambridge between 1346 and 1352 the Hall of Valence Marie (now Pembroke) was endowed by the widow of Aymer de Valence, Earl of Pembroke; Gonville Hall (now Gonville and Caius), by Edmund Gonville and his executor, Bishop Bateman, of Norwich, who himself founded Trinity Hall for students of civil and canon law; and the "House of Corpus Christi," by a local guild of that name, under the patronage of Henry, the "good Duke" of Lancaster. Several of these establishments were quite humble, and often added to their revenues by letting their spare rooms to strangers, at first elderly, who were known as *perendinants*, or as *commoners*, since they paid for a place at the common table, to which the college farmers, or artisans, or friars were often invited as guests. The original buildings were mostly heterogeneous and unsystematic. All were intended to shelter that particular class of students in which the founders were interested from the temptations to idleness and vice to which young men living at a distance from their families were exposed in mediæval towns; and it speaks well for Merton and the "similar halls" that their members, possibly because almost entirely restricted to their college bounds, appear to have taken no part in the great riot of 1355.

"Commoners."

The Universities and the Benedictines.

The Benedictine monasteries, themselves for many centuries the chief guardians of learning, soon saw the value of this collegiate system. University teachers were generally abler than the local Masters of the Novices who taught in the cloister the *primitive sciences* of grammar, logic, and philosophy; but the Benedictines had no settlement at Oxford or Cambridge, and disliked the association of regular with secular clerks in halls or lodgings. In 1283 the abbey of St. Peter at Gloucester secured a benefactor, and their "nursery or mansion-place" for thirteen student-monks was soon enlarged by the addition of distinct hostels there for nearly every large Benedictine house in the South of England. The great northern abbey of Durham began about 1286 a separate Hall, which became very important

as Durham College, and was fostered by two Bishops of Durham, Richard de Bury, the greatest book-collector of the Middle Ages, who left his library to the students, and Thomas Hatfield, the great architect, who gave it a permanent endowment for eight monks and eight secular scholars. Both societies were originally supported by levies from the parent abbeys or cells; both perished at the Reformation, though remains of their buildings may be seen incorporated in Worcester and Trinity Colleges. The Benedictines kept an officer, the "Prior of Students," at either university; but at Cambridge there was no Hall till 1428. Oxford was more frequented by the religious Orders; and the Benedictines of Canterbury secured a house of their own, now included in Christ Church, from Archbishop Islip in 1363. The Augustinians and Cistercians, being able to lodge at St. Frideswyde's or Rewley, did not move till 1435 and 1437 respectively. The monastic students were comfortably maintained; but they became eminent as administrators and historiographers rather than as philosophers and theologians.

Durham College.

The universities thus afforded an open career to rich and poor clerks alike, and men who showed ability there often rose to the highest places in the kingdom. Among the earlier Oxford teachers were the three canonised bishops Edmund Rich (Canterbury), whose M.A. degree is the earliest recorded; Richard of Wych (Chichester); and Thomas Cantilupe (Hereford), Simon de Montfort's Chancellor and the last English saint; Ralph of Maidstone, Bishop of Hereford, who came with a migration from Paris in 1229: Francesco d'Accorso, invited from Bologna by Edward I. to lecture on Roman law: Bishop Cobham of Worcester, who founded the first university library in 1320, though the books had to be taken away by force from Oriel College in 1337; Archbishop John Stratford, and his brother Robert, Bishop of Chichester, both Chancellors of England; Richard FitzRalph of Armagh, the great opponent of the unscrupulous friars of the fourteenth century; William Shyreswood (died 1349), who wrote the chief text-book on logic; Robert Holcot, one of the most widely famed scholastic expositors of scripture, who, with Bradwardine, FitzRalph, and others, formed the circle patronised by Richard de Bury; and John Wyclif

Eminent Graduates.

(Master of Balliol, 1360), the last Schoolman and the first Reformer. But the most important set of men during this period is the group known as the Oxford Schoolmen, and of these the majority were connected with the Dominican or Franciscan Orders.

Schoolmen.

The original schoolmen, such as John Scotus Erigena, Roscellinus, Anselm, Peter Lombard, and Abelard, occupied themselves with speculation of a dialectical character in metaphysics and divinity, based on Aristotelian logic filtered through Porphyry and Boëthius. But this philosophy was completely transformed by the introduction into Western Europe, chiefly through Arabic and Latin versions, of Aristotle's Metaphysics, Physics, Psychology, and Ethics, and by the partly Neo-Platonic, partly Peripatetic writings of Arabian and Jewish philosophers. The new doctrines at first excited alarm, and were censured by a Council of Paris in 1209; but they were soon appropriated by theologians, and modified to suit the dogmas of the Church. The "Irrefragable Doctor," Alexander of Hales, a friar from Gloucestershire, taught at Paris before 1245; Robert Grosseteste, afterwards the famous Bishop of Lincoln, and the staunch protector of the clerks, attracted large crowds to the Franciscan schools at Oxford, built by their first English provincial, Agnello da Pisa. Grosseteste was a man of indefatigable energy and independence: he translated Aristotle's Ethics from the Greek, studied Hebrew and physical science, and gave Oxford scholasticism an European reputation. Among his pupils were Roger Bacon, and Adam Marsh, the "Illustrious Doctor," a man of multifarious interests and wide political influence.

Meanwhile, the newer Scholasticism had received more systematic treatment at the hands of Albertus Magnus, the "Universal Doctor" (and reputed magician), in his scheme of rational or philosophised theology, and from his pupil, St. Thomas of Aquino, the "Angelic Doctor," who effected the most perfect accommodation that was possible of the Aristotelian principles to ecclesiastical orthodoxy. The main doctrines of the *Thomists* were the immanence of universals and the demonstrability of the existence of God from the contemplation of the world as His work. Aquinas was a Dominican, as were two other eminent

Thomas Aquinas.

Oxford men, Robert Bacon and Archbishop Kilwardby; his chief disciple in England was the "Profound Doctor," Thomas Bradwardine, a fellow of Merton, designated Archbishop of Canterbury and of great influence as confessor to Edward III. Partly, no doubt, from jealousy this system was soon attacked by the Franciscan teachers, who, moreover, were imbued with the ideas of Averröes and of Neo-Platonism, which St. Thomas rejected dogmatically. They found a leader in John Duns Scotus, the "Subtle Doctor," an Oxford friar from Northumberland, who taught at Oxford, Paris, and Cologne, where he died at an early age in 1308. The *Scotists* or *Dunces* (a term afterwards misused), as his followers were called, attached immense value to logic as a science. Their strength lay in negative criticism; and while they demanded a strict faith in all the tenets of the Christian Church and the corresponding philosophical positions, they exercised considerable scepticism as to the arguments by which these were supported. Having destroyed the rational grounds of belief, they left nothing but the unconditional will of God, set over against the voluntary submission of the believer to the authority of the Church, as the basis of a man's religious convictions. The influence of Duns was so great in England that the system of Aquinas never regained popularity: the Franciscans became arrogant, and made themselves unpopular by proselytising from other orders and by enticing mere boys to take vows, against the wishes of their parents. A Franciscan Archbishop of Canterbury, John Peckham, protected them; but they excited a powerful enemy in Archbishop FitzRalph.

Duns Scotus.

The last of the Schoolmen proper, the "Invincible Doctor," William of Ockham (in Surrey), was also an Oxford Franciscan, and a pupil of Duns, to whose doctrines he applied his own principles of criticism. He took a prominent part in the struggle against Pope Clement VI., by whom he was imprisoned at Avignon and excommunicated; and he died at Munich *c.* 1349. In his voluminous political and theological works, he abandoned all attempt to harmonise philosophy and theology; and, denying that any theological doctrine was demonstrated by reason, made even the existence and unity of God solely articles of faith. By renewing the theory called Nominalism—namely,

Ockham.

that the particular thing alone has any real existence—he paved the way for the inductive method in the investigation of external nature and psychical phenomena.

The Study of the Classics.

Though some attempts were made to understand Greek, Hebrew, and Arabic writings, there was during this period nothing resembling the modern study of literature known as classical scholarship. The Schoolmen wrote in a barbarous jargon of Latin, and their arguments are cast in extremely technical and complicated forms derived from the syllogistic method of Aristotle. The great classical authors were, however, preserved in the libraries of the rich monasteries, as at St. Alban's, Glastonbury, York, and Durham. Richard de Bury obtained many manuscripts from Italy early in the fourteenth century; and wrote the "Philobiblon" on the book-collector's pursuits; but collections like his were rare before the time of Duke Humphrey. The monks of Durham College, even before De Bury's bequest, frequently received parcels of books from the fine library of Durham, of which the catalogues are preserved.

Miscellaneous Learning.

Ancient or contemporary history and geography were left mainly to the monkish chroniclers; the most popular work was the "Polychronicon," or Universal History of a Chester Benedictine, Ranulph Higden (d. 1364), which contains an extraordinary farrago ot popular delusions, as well as a vast amount of real information. French was taught in the schools of grammar as well as English, as the pupils were required to translate from Latin into either language.

Natural Science.

But of all the philosophers of this period, in which there are traces of interest, though few of advance, in scientific studies, the most encyclopedic was Roger Bacon, who, after devoting twenty years of patient labour and over £2,000 to scientific investigations, committed the mistake of joining the Franciscans at Oxford. He soon learnt that to confront authority with experience, or break away from the useless intricacies of scholastic metaphysics, was an unpardonable offence; and his work was thwarted at every turn till 1266, when the French Pope, Clement IV., heard of his researches and asked for a short account of his results. This was not yet composed; but the Papal mandate, undiscerning as it was, set

Roger Bacon.

Bacon free: and in fifteen or eighteen months he produced a comprehensive survey of the whole range of science, as science was then understood. Theology, grammar, mathematics, geography, chronology, music, the correction of the calendar, optics, chemistry, mechanics, and ethics are successively discussed. He intended to note every kind of natural phenomenon in connection with metals, plants, colours, animals, agriculture, and medicine. The whole of his work is marked by an appreciation of the function of applied logic, which it was reserved for his more fortunate namesake, Francis Bacon, to popularise. On many subjects, such as astrology and alchemy, Bacon shared the superstitions of the age in which a Pope wrote a treatise on the transmutation of metals; and in this he may plead excuses which are not available to a seventeenth-century inquirer. But when he insisted on the necessity of experiment in natural science, and of accurate versions in using Greek and Arabic treatises, he did more for the advancement of learning than if he had actually invented gunpowder, clocks, and telescopes, or explained the rainbow. Bacon was reimprisoned by Pope Nicholas IV., but released in 1292: his superiors managed to suppress his writings so effectually that nothing was printed till 1733. His name, with that of his friend, Friar Thomas Bungay, was traditionally associated with the Black Art; the tales told of his talking brazen head, and his moving statues, may be due to his unceasing efforts to obtain accurate geometrical and astronomical instruments, the scarcity of which, and of adequate translations, he often deplores. Robert Bacon, the influential Dominican, and John Baconthorpe, Provincial of the Carmelites (1329), who was called "the Averröist," from his attempts to reconcile the Arabian philosophy with the arguments of Aquinas, were respectively uncle and nephew of Friar Roger.

Grosseteste before Bacon, and Bradwardine after him, studied physical science and astronomy; and Bradwardine at least who, as a young man, had been one of Richard de Bury's secretaries, had a first-hand acquaintance with the works of Seneca, Ptolemy, Cyprian, Jerome, Augustine, Isidore, and the early schoolmen, and his treatise "On the Cause of God" is the source of much of English Calvinism. But scientific discovery rose only on the ruins of Scholasticism; and it

is not the least surprising of his achievements that the persecuted Oxford friar, even more hopefully than the philosophising Lord Chancellor, marked the destinies of the experimental method, and, with no magic but that of a single-hearted devotion to truth,

> "Saw the Vision of the world and all the Wonder that would be."

R. STEELE.
Science and Pseudo-Science, 1270–1340.

THE special feature of this period is the growth of interest in natural science. No doubt the knowledge of Nature diffused through the community, especially in the form of "old wife's sayings," had always been considerable; but up to this time there existed neither the means of getting information readily, nor of imparting it to any wide circle of learners. It is not that the disposition was wanting; on the contrary, we have a long succession of treatises, beginning in Baeda's time, dealing with popular science in the vulgar tongue, and valuable alike philologically and as showing the sciences in demand. But the circulation of these was limited to a few monasteries, and hardly ever reached the outside world. Now, however, new sources of knowledge had been tapped, new centres of study were crowded, and new means of propagation through the length and breadth of the civilised world were in their first outburst of life.

Astronomy.

Astronomy and Medicine, with their allies Astrology, Magic, and Alchemy, are the first sciences cultivated in any country, and most of the treatises above referred to fall under one of these heads. The medicine of the early English-folk consisted largely of the knowledge of simples and of charms, while their astronomy was devoted, as astronomy has been since the birth of time, to the calculation of the recurring religious festivals. Among mediæval Christians the system of fixing these was sufficiently complex. As is well known, the movable feasts depend on the date of Easter; and the necessity of making this an anniversary, and also a lunar festival, of insuring that it should not fall on the Jewish Passover, and of avoiding the Quartadeciman heresy, led to its being fixed for the first Sunday after the fourteenth day of the moon after the Vernal Equinox. Up to this period astronomers had been unable to get a proper length for the solar year, the

Equinox was yearly falling earlier than the calendar date, and the seasons of the year seemed to be falling into confusion. The priests of Bremen, indeed, on one occasion when a full moon fell between the true and calendar Equinox, kept Easter a month before the rest of the Christian world and earned for themselves the name of Pre-menses; but such heroic remedies were not for all, and one of Bacon's most pressing appeals to the Pope was for a reformation of the calendar.

The Eastern world—opened to us, not by the Crusades, but by the settlements in Sicily, Spain, Tripoli, and Syria, where Moslem and Christian lived in friendship side by side, and where the Jew was tolerated by both—had inherited and added to the scientific traditions of the Greek world, and the results of Eastern science were now laid open to the West by translations. A few translations from the Arabic were made in the early years of the twelfth century, but the great bulk of them were made in the early part of the thirteenth century. The new learning soon altered the character of the places where it was taught. Up to this time all learning had passed through the great monasteries, was received by monks, was in general limited to monks of one order, and was deeply tinged by the channels it passed through. The new matter, coming from Moslem sources through Jewish interpreters, was distinctively secular, and the Universities, just rising into prominence, gave an opportunity for its study. The international character of these bodies, maintained by the acceptance of each other's degrees, led to a fluidity of learning up to then unknown; but while the Universities were, and remained, secular bodies, most of their students and most of their teachers were studying with one object—to become better preachers. The preaching friars, black or grey, Dominican or Franciscan, were still in their early outburst of enthusiasm, ripened by a generation's experience. Owning neither corporate nor private property, they passed from place to place, gathering knowledge and experience, and using it at the will of their superiors, as teachers in the University, or as preachers in the market-place. Just as Anselm, Lanfranc, and Abelard had taught in a monastery to an audience of monks, so Albertus Magnus, Thomas Aquinas, Bartholomew Anglicus, Alexander Hales, Ricardus Rufus, and a host of other friars, taught in the mediaeval Universities, and were heard by friars and their novices.

We have, then, when forming our mental picture of England at this period, to take into account that in every village of our land, men skilled in the science of their time were using it, as they had been taught it, in illustration of every text they preached on, of every doctrine they taught, and that thus general notions of science were becoming familiar to the mass of the people. That science, however, bore but little relation to our own, and it now becomes our task to show of what nature were the beliefs thus spread among our forefathers.

Practical Astronomy had reached a state of great perfection, considering the imperfect instruments at the command of observers, and tables of over 1,000 fixed stars and planets had been drawn up in the East from an early period. One of these, probably the Persian tables of the eleventh century, fell into the hands of Roger Bacon, who (1267) calls them "Almanachs." Just at this period, too, the celebrated Alphonsine tables were drawn up at Toledo by Jewish astronomers from Arab sources. The English men of science were among the first in Europe to receive and spread the knowledge of Astronomy, and they speedily came to the forefront. The best known of them all is John of Halifax, whose treatise on Astronomy, founded on the Arabic of Alfaragan, exists in innumerable MSS., and ran through sixty editions in the first century of printing; while the works of forty writers, nearly all Oxford men, remain to attest the fruitfulness of this period. But the theoretical Astronomy of the day was fundamentally wrong, and had to be proved so by centuries of toil, dragged meanwhile at the heels of every charlatan of later days.

Astrology.

As we all know, people used to suppose that the earth was in the centre of the universe, and that the heavens lay round it in an enormous vault, revolving once every day. The fixed stars scattered over the sky were early gathered into constellations; the most notable of these formed a belt round the heavens called the zodiac, divided into twelve signs or constellations; within this belt the planets have their apparent path. Each sign of the zodiac was supposed to have its peculiar action on Nature, animate or inanimate, and to act on the other signs, and as the lines of force came near the earth or not, their effect on its inhabitants was great or small. At the moment of birth their effect was especially great, the most important being the sign rising in

the East, and that vertically overhead. The action of the planets, too, was of equal importance. To study it the heavens were divided into twelve equal portions, starting from a point depending on the position of the sun and moon at the instant of birth. This point was called the horoscope. To each division was assigned a part of the destiny of the child—fortune, marriage, war, death, etc. etc. Each of these houses or divisions was again divided and subdivided, planets were assigned to each subdivision, and if a planet chanced to be at the time in a fortunate subdivision of an appropriate house, the result was an enormous increase of its power. Thus the "Secreta Secretorum"—the most typical mediæval book remaining—tells us of the weaver's son who was born when Venus and Mars were in their own degree in the signs of Gemini and Libra, thus promising that he should be wise, courtly, of good counsel, and loved by kings; and who, accordingly rose through the most adverse conditions to be the king's vizier.

Another important office of mediæval Astrology was to pronounce on the proper time for doing anything, whether it were marriage, a journey, or a war. Thus if one wishes to succeed in war, commence when the house of the moon is vertically overhead, and when Mercury is in a favourable relationship to it. If one wishes to make a journey, arrange that the houses of journeying, and the constellations governing the cities to which one travels are in the ascendant, and the house which governs the object of one's journey should be directly beneath the earth. If one wishes to take medicine or to be bled, the astrologer again steps in. You cannot be bled while the moon is in Taurus or Pisces, nor in the new moon, nor if it is in conjunction with another planet in a watery sign, and you must look out for the position of Mercury and Saturn. For scarification a different set of rules prevail. Medicine is to be taken while the moon is in Libra, Scorpio, or Pisces, but it will be fatal if Saturn is in conjunction.

It will thus be seen that to start in life as a mediæval astrologer required a considerable amount of real astronomical skill, as well as an intimate knowledge of a vast number of rules, most of them arbitrary, or founded on ancient myths; and that in course of time an enormous mass of real observations, taken to check the tables used, would be accumulated.

In fact these observations ultimately led to the destruction of the system on which they were based. But it may be asked, What did the Church say to all this? Practically it said what an early English translation of the "Secreta Secretorum" says: "He that is a perfect student in this science may know and see perils that are to come of wars, pestilences, famine, and other things for which he may ordain remedy (and if thou canst find no remedy, it is good that thou pray heartily to God that He ordain remedy). For whatever evils the planets show in their working, good men may so pray unto God, by orisons, fasting, sacrifice, alms-deed-doing, and penance for their sins, that God will turn, resolve, and revoke all that men fear."

The Medical Art.

We have just seen how Medicine linked itself to Astrology; we now turn to its connection with Magic and Alchemy. Our forefathers brought with them to this land a belief in runes and spells, and when the medical man, at first a stranger, probably a Jew, settled among them, the cures he wrought were set down to the superior efficacy of his charms. A book of counsels to young practitioners (1300) gives curious sidelights on the manners of the time. It seems he was expected from a sight of the patient's urine, to pronounce on the age, sex, and malady, and that usually a preliminary trial of his ability was made by trying to impose upon him with some counterfeit liquid. He was cautioned to use long words that would not be understood, never to visit a patient without doing something new, lest the patient should say "he can do nothing without his book"; and, in short, to sustain a reputation for infallibility at all costs. Such men were not likely to combat popular beliefs, if they did not directly encourage them. Bacon quoted Constantine (the introducer of Arab medicine into Europe) with express approval of the use of charms. These talismans, said he, are not to be used because they can bring about any change, but because they bring the patient into a better frame of mind.

One often wonders that pretensions so utterly baseless as those of magic were not exploded at once. Several reasons prevented this from being the case. We must remember that this was an era of dawn when wonderful things were expected if one left one's own parish. It was a matter of every-day knowledge that there was a place in Ireland where men could not die,

cinnamon was shot from the phœnix's nest with leaden arrows, the Wandering Jew was alive and might visit one some day, and all the dreams of the Arabian Nights were happening somewhere. Learned men like Albert and his pupils were laboriously collecting stories of the properties of animals, plants, and stones, and verifying them when possible. The science of the age was as destitute of perspective as its art had been, and nothing, however marvellous, was, *primâ facie*, impossible to the men of the period.

Magic.

The Magic of our forefathers may be gathered from the Penitential of Theodore in the seventh century, where its practices are enumerated and their due penance allotted. Many of them are still common among the peasantry. The laws of Eadgar, Ethelstan, and Canute forbid it, punishing it as a crime when used as a means of inflicting personal injury on another, much as they would manslaying. A curious trial for witchcraft may be read in the life of Hereward the Wake. The Normans brought into England a new cycle of stories, such as the Melusine legend; and soon the tale spread how Herodias continued her unholy dance in the woods, sometimes confused with Diana, or with a certain Habunda. The progress of the story can be read in Walter Mapes, John of Salisbury, Matthew Paris, and the "Romance of the Rose." Women from all parts come to join in the revels. Then the story grew, and the Evil One was present at the gathering, and was adored with obscene rites. Lastly, men began to whisper of a compact between the necromancer and the fiend, and black magic was fully established in the popular imagination. Now the Church stepped in, and the crime became that of heresy, though in England it was still under the cognisance of the civil courts. But, side by side with this offspring of popular imagination was the White Magic of the age, largely composed of a knowledge of what may be called sympathetic properties of things—thus chrysolite, being clear and bright, typified wisdom. Accordingly the wearing of chrysolite brings wisdom. It is certain that a man who thought he could become wise by putting a piece of chrysolite in his right ear would be very slow to find that the charm was ineffectual. Other charms may be explained by self-hypnotism, etc., and by the action of drugs and fumes. Others, such as "tying the

knot," acted strongly on the mind of the person charmed. Others again are surrounded by such a network of ritual that failure is almost inevitable, or depend on rare conjunctions of planets. Lastly we must remember that till the invention of printing, books containing the necessary information rarely were in the hands of any one who desired to practise magic, but that they were invariably regarded as containing proved facts, unnecessary and perhaps unlawful to be repeated, which tended to throw light on the nature of things, and to explain hidden scriptural allusions. It must be admitted, however, that a class of magical books existed, whose charms relied on direct invocation of the enemy of mankind, and whose very titles, with one or two exceptions like "De Morte Animæ," have perished. During the fourteenth century an important change took place consequent on the attitude of the Church. All magic was now considered by it as the result of a diabolical compact expressed or understood. Such credulity as the Crusade of the Shepherds and the conspiracy of the lepers to poison all the wells of Christendom show, in the popular mind, made the charge of magic (which was now heresy) against the Templars easily believed. In 1324 we find a woman burnt alive for magic at Kilkenny—the first person burnt for heresy in Ireland; and several other records of the same date exist, such as John of Nottingham, the necromancer of Coventry, who died in prison before his trial, who made waxen images of the king and the Despensers. When we remember the science of the period, the men by and for whom it was collected, and the uses to which it was put, we cannot be surprised at the unquestioned belief in magic during the period.

Alchemy.

Alchemy, too, the speculative and practical science of the day, first makes its appearance in England at this period, brought with Medicine from its Eastern home. The earliest works translated from the Arabic were the Koran and a work on Alchemy at the middle of the twelfth century. The first names connected with Alchemy in England are those of writers on medicine; and the rise of alchemy at all was due to a mistaken analogy from medicine. As metals were considered to be all made of the same matter—sulphur and mercury—the differences between, *e.g.*, lead and silver were put down to a corrupt or diseased sulphur and

mercury. This is brought out in the fable of the king and his leprous brothers, told by Dastyn, the English alchemist (c. 1200), where the drug that restores them to perfect health is the blood of the king. The common demand from medical practice was a panacea. Accordingly, alchemists sought for a panacea which should expel the corruption from the sulphur and mercury of the imperfect metals, leaving them pure silver or pure gold. Undoubtedly, the writings of Roger Bacon—especially his "Opus Minus"—gave a great impetus to the study of Alchemy. He was, like the other friars, rather a theoretical chemist than a practical one. When Alchemy became practical it was at once recognised that the alchemists could not make natural gold, and they accordingly insisted that theirs was better. We can judge of the public feeling on the matter by reading the numerous proclamations against bad money. An old tradition connects our first and most beautiful gold coin with Alchemy. Raymund Lully was an ardent apostle of Christianity among the Moors, but finding they turned a deaf ear to him, he set himself to preach a crusade. Coming to England he found Edward III., who had just come to his power, was willing to aid; but funds were urgently needed—in what good cause are they not? Contrary to use, the preacher was willing to supply them. He asked for a room in St. Katherine's by the Tower, and a supply of lead, mercury, and tin, and in a few days turned out enough gold for an extended campaign. When the king got hold of the money, however, he broke faith with the simple brother, and used the money to fight the French with, imprisoning Lully till he made some more. Of course, this tale is untrue in all particulars—Edward's first gold coinage is in 1343, and Lully died years before Edward came to the throne; but it is certain that Alchemy was flourishing in England then. We have a writ dated 1329 for the seizure of Master William de Dalby and John le Rous, who have made silver by the art of 'Alkemony." Probably, however, the historical truth underlying this is that some fresh discoveries were made in the art of refining silver from lead, lead-mining being one of the great industries of England then. The warrants of appointment to the Mint mention at this time Alchemy as one of the sources of the precious metals. In a very few years the practice of Alchemy became so widespread that it became

a public danger, and "the craft of multiplying gold and silver" was declared a felony by statute in 1403.

C. CREIGHTON.
Medicine and Surgery.

The theoretical medicine and surgery of England in the earliest times were those of the Byzantine writers, whose works, or excerpts from them, had a place in the libraries of monasteries. One or more of the monks, sometimes the abbot, would devote himself to a study of these authors, and so become reputed as a leech. From the writings of Alexander of Tralles or of Paulus of Ægina, the English practitioner of the time would make a collection of receipts, prescriptions, or leechdoms, for the various injuries, wounds, and common maladies, substituting the native herbs when foreign drugs were not to be had. The resources of the native herbals were extensive, especially in the way of fomentations, cataplasms, or other outward applications, and in the form of decoctions; among the more potent herbs used in strong doses were pennyroyal, wormwood, feverfew, male-fern, sage, savine, sedum, betony, marsh-mallow, and costmary. King Alfred is said to have had sent him from the Patriarch of Jerusalem, by the hands of pilgrims returning from the Holy Sepulchre, a supply of Syrian drugs, including scammony, aloes, galbanum, ammoniacum, myrrh, and frankincense. The surgical instrument most used was the lancet in blood-letting. The days and hours for drawing blood, following the changes of the moon, were closely observed, and it passed as a maxim that there was no time for phlebotomy so good as the season of Lent, when the evil humours, having gathered during the winter, were waxing in the hollow vessels of the body, just as the sap was stirring in the trees and worts. Many other rules derived from the doctrines of the humours and the qualities (hot or cold, moist or dry), were joined to the several leechdoms or prescriptions, while an august authority was claimed for the whole collection, as in the Anglo-Saxon Herbal of Glastonbury, which was the work of Apuleius Platonicus, handed down from Æsculapius and Chiron the Centaur. A prescription, or regimen, might have a special vogue: Oxa taught one, and Dun taught another, while the

immemorial differences of the faculty were reflected in the words appended to a third, that "some teach it." None of the remedies were administered without ceremonial. While the medicine was being compounded, the patient would say twelve times over one of the Psalms beginning *Miserere mei, Deus*, then several *Paternosters*, "then drink the dose, and wrap thyself up warm;" or he would sing the Psalm *Salvum me fac, Deus*, then drink the draught out of a church bell, the priest finishing the cure with the prayer over him, *Domine sancte, Pater omnipotens*. It was on consecrated ground that remedies had most power—at the shrine of a saint, or after touching the bier of a holy man, or at a holy well. Mixed with the ceremonial of the Church was a good deal of more or less incongruous heathenism—the traditional folk-lore of the country, in the form of charms, magic, and star-craft. Much of the treatment was, of course, purely domestic, especially in the ailments of children.

It is clear from the cases preserved by monkish chronicles that the maladies of the Middle Ages had an unusually large element of hysteria in them, so that a proportionately large element of faith came not amiss in the course of treatment. But the extant leechdoms provided for all the ordinary maladies of our own day, as well as for the usual injuries, wounds, and sores,—for consumptions, cancers, stone, gout, epilepsy, St. Vitus's dance, palsy, lethargy, whooping cough, catarrh, ague, megrim, rheumatism, stiff joints, deformities, dropsies, jaundices, hæmorrhages, fluxes, ruptures, prolapses, worms, and external parasites. The resources of surgery were comparatively few, and the instruments simple; but, of course, splints and bandages were used, heat was applied by cauteries or by hot bricks, and it was known how to stanch blood, to extract missiles, to reduce dislocations, and to perform the simpler operations of cutting, trepanning, and the like.

The Sources of Medical Doctrine.

The medical and surgical teaching of the Byzantine authors, in English or Latin translations, or even in the original Greek, remained the groundwork of practice in the English monasteries from the time of Beda to the Norman period. A few of its numerous manuals have survived

the ravages of time and the final spoil of the monasteries, and are still to be seen in the libraries of chapter-houses or in other collections. The Byzantine teaching was succeeded by the Arabian, of which the more famous schools were at Salerno (from A.D. 1060) and Montpelier; and the Arabian medical writings in due time found their way to England, and became authoritative until the Reformation. Gilbert de Aquila, who was physician to Hubert Walter, Archbishop of Canterbury, is said to have studied at Salerno in the end of the twelfth century. When the archbishop was on his deathbed at one of his manors, on the way to Rochester, suffering from a carbuncle in his back, his physician declared that the disease might have been cured if taken in time; but, from his judgment of the urine, he had now no hope, and advised the prelate to make his peace with God. The satirist of that age, John of Salisbury, becomes more than ordinarily biting in his references to medicine. For his sins he was in the doctor's hands oftener than he wished, and he will not exasperate the profession by any original reflections of his own; he contents himself with quoting the sentence of Solomon, that medicine is from the Lord God, and a wise man will not despise it. Greed, he hints, and love of power or authority, are the besetting vices of the physician; and those vices we know to have been common among the clergy in general. Love of gain grew so upon the monastery leeches that they were led to wander too far afield in attendance upon patients, so that they were at length wholly interdicted from meddling with physic and surgery by a decree of Innocent II., in 1139, and again by a decree of the Council of Tours in 1163. By the canon law, in like manner, no Jew might give medical advice or physic to a Christian. But those decrees of the Church were easily evaded by the monks and by the Jews equally, probably because they had no competent rivals. There were Jews practising medicine at every Court of Europe; in the twelfth century the learned men of that nation were, indeed, the chief depositaries of the Arabian medical teaching, which was then the dominant authority. One of the Jewish physicians in England, a skilful and humane man, who perished in the massacre

Jewish Physicians.

of his countrymen at Lynn in 1190, seems to have stood for the Rabbi Ben Israel in "Ivanhoe."

When we next hear of physicians in England, it is in association with the Franciscan friars. Peter, rector of Wimbledon, physician to the queen (of Henry III.), is mentioned in a letter of Adam de Marsh to Grosseteste as a man of excellent reading and of great probity, by whose hands he wished his copy of Aristotle's "Ethics" to be returned. Another of the same period was Reginald de Stokes, of Oxford, "an honourable man of mature judgment, of advanced learning and skill in the arts and in medicine, whose knowledge of the world, circumspect discretion, mature discourse, and humble devotion made him worthy of trust." The Franciscan missionaries had been hardly a generation in England before they became identified with learning. The most famous of the order at Oxford was Roger Bacon, who included medicine in the wide range of his studies. Few of the physicians of that age, he said, knew astronomy, and so they neglected the better part of medicine. He applied, also, his chemical knowledge to the removal of diseases and the lengthening of life: he knew how to make tinctures and elixirs, among them a tincture of gold which was good for the renewal of youth.

The Friars in Medicine.

Roger Bacon was an innovator in medicine, as in other things, and he suffered for his too great zeal in mundane research. It is singular to observe the claim he makes, as if to conciliate the Church, that astrology had also an application to ethics: but its chief use was in medicine, and by the time that Roger Bacon had been dead a whole century a knowledge of astrology was everywhere admitted to be the qualification of an academical physician and as distinguishing him from a quack. There is nothing to show that John of Gaddesden, the first English writer on medicine (1316), was an educated physician in that sense, although he was a dexterous plagiary. But the physician in Chaucer was "grounded in astronomy," a science which taught him how to choose a remedy suited to the particular case—to the complexion or constitution of the individual, to the season, to the locality or climate—which was a very different thing from merely

Medicine and Astrology.

repeating the generalities of Avicenna. Even in plague itself, which was a practically uniform type of disease at all times and in all countries, it was necessary to resort to astronomy; and it was in the plague that this physician had made his money. Chaucer's physician corresponds exactly to a well-known physician of the time, John of Burgoyne, who passes as Sir John Mandeville. "They that have not dronken of that sweete drynke of astronomye," says Burgoyne, "may putte to these pestilential sores no fit remedies. . . . He that knoweth not the [astrological] cause, it is impossible that he heal the sickness." Chaucer's physician is richly clad, and so is Physic in the other poem of the time, "Piers Plowman"—in a furred hood and a cloak of calabre, with buttons of gold. The ploughman, however, thought that physic was hardly an honourable calling. "Murtherers are many leeches," he cries; "Lord, them amend!" and he looks forward to the time when the English would be so abstemious that Physic, having nothing to do, might sell his expensive costumes and "learn to labour with land, for lifelode is sweet." The best-known surgeon of the time was John Ardern, who practised first at Newark and then in London, in the latter part of the fourteenth century. He has left a manuscript treatise on the cure of fistulas of all kinds, in which he is himself pictured in gorgeous raiment; his instruments also are figured, and he gives the names of his patients, both lay and cleric, with many minute particulars of their sometimes compromising maladies, of the fees they paid him, and of the triumphs of his skill. Shortly after his time, the Barber-Surgeons were incorporated in a guild, both at London and York; and with these corporations the history of surgery enters on a new phase.

H. FRANK HEATH. Literature.

Besides the popular and Court romances mentioned in the last chapter of Vol. I. there is much of romance, though of course not chivalric, in the religious epic, which, especially in the south, underwent great development in the second half of the thirteenth century. The abbey of Gloucester is the centre of activity

for this form of literature, and the greatest variety of theme is noticeable, though little talent in the treatment. The growth of these legends in popular favour was greatly encouraged by the adoption of the French custom of reading the lives of saints in church on festival days, for which the way had been paved by Aelfric's rhythmical homilies. The metres used were threefold, viz., short rimed couplets, *rime couée* (Vol. I, p. 448), and a long-lined measure with a marked caesura in the middle, vacillating between the Alexandrine and the septenar, and generally called the Middle English Alexandrine. The second, originally a lyric measure, was never so popular with the religious poets as with the ballad-mongers, who adopted it about the same time (the end of the thirteenth century) for the chevalresque romance. The rimed couplet, which is the most important of the three, was the verse of the older versions of the "Assumptio Mariae" (*c.* 1250) and other subjects, *e.g.*, Evangelium Nicodemi. For the lives of saints, however, in the south the Middle English Alexandrine was chiefly used, and when this took the form of tetrameter it was often adorned with middle-rime which gave it the appearance of a strophe with cross-rime. The legends of St. Margaret (*c.* 1270), St. Catherine, and Mary Magdalen (rather later) were in this long-lined metre: that of Gregory and Mary Magdalene (Laud MS. 108, ed. E. E. T. S., 1887) in the same measure with division into short-lined stanzas by means of middle-rime. In the last quarter of the century these poems were collected into a cycle, consisting of a "Fragment of the Life of Jesus" and the lives of fifty-seven saints, those of England being very well represented. There was a second edition (MS. Harl. 2277) which increased the total number by half and arranged them in accordance with the ecclesiastical year, while a still later revision (1370-78), made in the same district, included the religious literature of every dialect. But as time goes on these poems lose more and more of their epic and take on a purely didactic character. Stories are taken from all parts of the world, saints of all ages and countries are admitted with equal honour, tales full of tender sentiment are found side by side with others full of the coarsest, vulgarest realism, whilst a constant tendency is seen to exaggerate the miracles, and to compensate for want of novelty by a

The Religious Epic.

Didactic Poetry.

sensational colouring. A striking instance of this is the increasingly important *rôle* which the Devil plays. The chronicle of Robert of Gloucester stands in close relationship to the literature just discussed, for not only are his verse (Middle English Alexandrine) and style those of the southern cycle, but he made use of these tales, especially the life of St. Thomas à Becket, together with Geoffrey of Monmouth and other trustworthier writers as the sources of his history. It is a dull and moralising book, which traces the story of England from Brutus (as Layamon had done, with far more poetry, if with rather less learning), first down to 1154, and afterwards to 1270-2 in two continuations. It was probably finished about 1300: Robert as a lad had seen the thundery weather in which the battle of Evesham had been fought not thirty miles away, when Simon de Montfort had lost his life and the barons their leader. When he grew up he became a warm patriot, who looked on the Norman Conquest as a Divine punishment, and on the royal victory at Evesham as a national calamity.

Robert of Gloucester.

Another "chronicle" written at Gloucester, rather later and even duller than Robert's, carries our history down to 1327 in its second edition.

More evidently didactic than either saints' lives or chronicles are the sermons and religious tracts, many of them in verse, written in numbers in the latter half of this century. No work could be more typical of this genre than that of William of Shoreham, a Kentish man, who had been made vicar of Chart-Sutton by Leeds, in the first quarter of the fourteenth century. He wrote theological treatises in the verse of the Poema Morale, or even *rime couée,* upon the sacraments, the commandments, the seven deadly sins, and other subjects, with depth of feeling and some insight, but little poetical power. From the same county, but somewhat later (1340), comes a popular treatise on morals, called "Ayenbite of Inwyt" (a syllable for syllable translation of the Latin words "Remorsus Conscientiae"). The author, Dan Michel, an Austin friar in Canterbury, but born at Northgate, based his work on "Le somme des Vices et des Vertues" (1279), by Lorrens, a work subsequently much imitated both in prose

William of Shoreham.

and verse. In the north the chief representatives of this kind of writing are a translation of the Psalms in rimed couplets, the favourite northern measure, written in the second half of the thirteenth century, the "Cursor Mundi" and Richard Rolle de Hampole's "Pricke of Conscience." The "Cursor Mundi" is a biblical history of the world in short rimed couplets† down to the finding of the cross by St. Helena, followed by an account of the Last Judgment. This idea of bringing together the chief points of Bible and Church history under one presentation was the same which underlay the arrangement of the mystery-cycles, that began soon afterwards to come into existence. The extreme zeal which made a hermit of Richard Rolle, who had studied theology at Oxford, is reflected in his "Pricke of Conscience," a work intended to present in liveliest colours the falseness and wickedness of the world, the hideousness of sin, the beauty of virtue. As in title so in treatment and subject it has much in common with the "Ayenbite of Inwyt," and was written about the same time. Richard wrote many other books, and still more were ascribed to him.

The "Cursor Mundi" and the "Pricke of Conscience."

What Richard Rolle was doing for the morals of the north and Dan Michel for those of Kent, that Robert Mannyng of Brunne or Bourne (1260-*c.* 1342) had already done for the Midlands in his "Handlyng Synne" (written 1303), a book based on an Anglo-Norman original, the "Manuel des Pechiez" of William de Wadington, a Yorkshireman. Like the northern poem, it is in short rimed couplets. In 1338 Robert finished a "History of England," chiefly based on Wace and the chronicle of Peter Langtoft. The first part based on Wace is, like the original, in short-rimed couplets, the second part in Alexandrines, also in imitation of the corresponding part of the "Brut d'Engleterre," the conclusion in twelve-lined stanzas of *rime couée*.

Robert of Brunne.

The kind of religions literature, however, which made the widest appeal at this time, was undoubtedly that in dramatic

* The sections dealing with the seven deadly sins and the seven gifts of the Holy Spirit are the basis of the second part of the Parson's Tale. This portion is not by Chaucer.

† The part dealing with the Passion, however, is in Septenars, which possibly points to a southern source for this portion.

form. The origin of the drama and the relation of miracle plays to mysteries, and of both to the lay drama will be dealt with in a later chapter; here a few words must suffice. The "mystery" was, strictly speaking, a play based upon the Bible Story, the "miracle" dramatised the life of some popular Saint: but in England both types were indifferently called miracle plays. And this was probably so because the earliest religious dramas acted in England, such as the "Norman Geoffrey of St. Alban's" play of "St. Katherine" (beginning of twelfth century), and those referred to by William Fitzstephen in his "Life of St. Thomas à Becket" (*c.* 1182), were either "repraesentationes miraculorum quae sancti confessores operati sunt, sive repraesentationes passionum quibus claruit constantia martyrum." It was only later that the English religious sense was reconciled to a dramatic treatment of Scripture itself, but the "mystery" became so popular finally that there are no plays extant with subjects so completely out of relation to the biblical narrative that they can be called "miracles" in the strictest sense. The first dramatic piece in the mother-tongue was a mystery called the "Harrowing of Hell," produced in the north-east Midlands about the middle of the thirteenth century. The action has much in common with the romance "disputacions," and in less degree with the Old English Dialogues, *e.g.*, that between "Solomon and Saturn," for it consists of a word duel between the risen Christ and Satan at the gate of hell. The whole, which is in short rimed couplets, shows clearly enough its intimate connection with the church ceremonial at Easter, from which this form of art had sprung five hundred years before. In the same way other mysteries grew up around the Christmas festival. These plays soon became so popular that at the beginning of the fourteenth century we find them collected into cycles beginning with the Creation and concluding with the end of the world, after dealing in turn with the main events of the Old Testament and the life of Christ. The several plays of each cycle had become traditionally connected with one or other of the guilds. These combined at the popular festivals of Whitsun, or more usually Corpus Christi (introduced in 1264), and in this way the labour and expense of production was divided.

The Religious Drama.

The chief existing cycles are those of Coventry, a complex of heterogeneous plays;* of Chester, in existence after 1328; of York (c. 1330), of which only five plays and a few fragments of others survive. There is a later York cycle, and a still later collection, that of Widkirk near Wakefield, both of which have these five plays and fragments in common. The metre of all except the earliest plays, which are in short rimed couplets, is a medley of this measure and of various more or less regular stanza forms. Tail rime is common, especially in the Chester cycle, and frequent in the York cycle is a dignified strophe, consisting of a quatrain of long alliterative lines with cross rime added, followed by a quatrain of four-accent lines with frequent alliterations (usually three) and rime order *a b b a*. This, like the work of Laurence Minot, shows West Midland influence at work.

Character of the Miracle Plays.

To modern readers the Chester plays, as left us by their editor, will doubtless seem in better taste, and their spirit a more fitting one, than those of York. The Towneley plays will bear the test of comparison even less successfully, for their authors were free from the restraining supervision of town-councillors and others. But it was easy for the mediaeval mind to allow, and even find pleasure in, the crudest contrasts. There is a constant juxtaposition of the strongest realism, coarsest humour, and an even mystical idealism in the art of the Middle Ages, but if we except the best work of Chaucer, their perfect fusion is never reached, at any rate in England. The good people of Wakefield, who witnessed the Shepherds' play, felt no shock in passing from a scene of the broadest and, as it would have appeared to our modern sentiment of reverence, the most profane buffoonery, to the song of the angels proclaiming the birth of the Saviour. The almost Titanic brutality and blasphemy of Cain, or the undignified spectacle of Noah knocked down by his irascible wife, was not felt to be less consistent with the tender pathos of such a character as the young Isaac in the Broome play; or with the general fitness of things in a body of drama, intended to display the deepest mysteries of the Christian Faith, than were the grinning devils on the parapets of Notre Dame with the rapt Saints ranged below them, or with the imbuing spirit

* Certainly not those traditionally ascribed to the Franciscans of Coventry.

of the House of God. The very refinement of the Chester Whitsun plays, their less vivid characterisation and larger moralising element, proves them to be a less perfect mirror of the people's every-day life and conceptions.

W. J. CORBETT. Agriculture.

THE first thing to do in order to understand the system of farming in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries is to get a clear idea of the composition of the typical great estate. This was very different from what we see now, for it consisted of a number of separate manors, not lying close together, but scattered up and down all over England, in such a way that hardly any one adjoined another. For example, in the so-called Domesday of St. Paul's, or collection of early extents relating to 1222, we read of eleven manors in Essex the property of the canons of the cathedral, no two of which lay closer together than four miles, while the average distance between them is over fifteen. Or, to take another example from one of the earliest court rolls that has yet been found: of eleven manors which in 1246 belonged to the Norman abbey of Bec, ten were in different counties, stretching from Dorsetshire to Northamptonshire and Norfolk. Nor were these estates by any means the most widely scattered, as some, like those of Merton College, Oxford, stretched from Northumberland to Kent. The result of this was that no one man could ever attempt to supervise a single estate, and that each manor had to be handed over to a separate agent or bailiff, from which the whole system has come to be called bailiff-farming.

Estates and their Management.

The Bailiff.

In appointing this bailiff, who held the leading place in the village, and who often lived in the manor-house, the greatest care was needed; for he was necessarily for the greater part of the year his own master, and everything depended on his skill and energy. Generally speaking, his duties were those of an overseer; but in this he had assistance, his peculiar province being to keep the accounts, and to see that nothing was bought or sold unnecessarily. In extraordinary matters or cases of great danger he might apply to the lord's head agent or steward for instructions; but this was not always possible, and as a rule a bailiff who could not

depend on himself was not thought a profitable servant. The steward's duties, in fact, though they included general superintendence, were rather legal than economic, and most of his time was taken up in journeying from one manor to another in order to hold the more important courts on behalf of his lord. How short his visits to any one manor usually were may be seen from the itinerary of the steward of the abbey of Bec, as set forth in his court rolls, who in 1247 between September 17th and October 9th visited six manors in the six counties of Wiltshire, Berkshire, Northamptonshire, Norfolk, Suffolk, and Surrey. Incidentally this also suggests that travelling was not at all difficult at this time, and that the roads as a rule must have been safe, for the stewards took large sums of money with them—an idea which is confirmed by the record we have of an equally rapid tour made in January, 1181, by the dean and two canons of St. Paul's to inspect their estates in Hertfordshire, Essex, and Surrey, when the party, though it was winter, covered over two hundred and thirty miles across country in twenty-two days, and held inquisitions in nineteen manors.

The Manor.

The typical manor which the bailiff had to look after consisted of a single village, in which all the land legally belonged to the lord, and all the inhabitants had to submit to his seigneurial jurisdiction. Not all the land, however, which was used for tillage was kept by the lord in his own hands, but only a portion—usually about a third—which was called his demesne. The rest of the arable was divided amongst the villagers, with whom also the lord shared whatever hay was grown upon the meadows and the grass and acorns to be found upon the wastes and in the woodlands. In return for this the villagers did not pay the lord any money-rent, but only rendered him various services. In the case of a freeman these were not very arduous, and no doubt there was always a tendency to commute them into a quit-rent; but in most manors there were very few freemen, and nearly all the villagers or tenants were of the unfree or villan class, whose services were much more burdensome. Chief among these services was the duty of cultivating the lord's demesne. In fact, in the typical manor theirs was the only labour that was available for this purpose, and to see that they did it properly was the chief duty of the bailiff.

Success in this, however, was by no means easy; for only a customary amount of labour could be demanded, and even this differed at different seasons of the year and among the different classes of villans. If for any reason the number of villans on the manor became too few, there was no way out of the dilemma, and the land had to be allowed to go out of cultivation. As a rule the services which could be demanded were of two kinds, distinguished into "week-work" and "boon-work." Of these, week-work (*dies operabiles*) was regular, and consisted of ploughing or reaping on the demesne or doing some other agricultural service for the lord for two or three days a week throughout the year, with most likely something extra during the harvest; while boon-work (*precariæ*), though fixed in amount, was irregular, and consisted in performing some such service as carting, whenever the lord might require it. Many villans had further to render a small tribute in kind—such as some eggs and two or three capons on the three great feast-days, or a quarter of seed-wheat once a year; but in return they often had meals of herrings and bread and beer provided for them when employed upon the demesne. All this, to a modern farmer, would seem a clumsy way of getting labour, and so no doubt it was; nor could it have worked at all if the bailiff had not been assisted in the work of superintendence by subordinates who were villans themselves, and who were chosen by their fellows as representatives to be responsible for them if they failed in doing their services. The most important of these were the reeve or provost (*præpositus*) and the hayward (*messor*), both of whom must often have found the office of making the others work anything but remunerative; for the court rolls in some cases tell us of villans who paid as much as twenty shillings to be excused from being reeve after having been elected. In the last resort, too, the villans as a whole were responsible for each other, so that the lord could fine the whole township if he failed to get satisfaction from his officers.

The typical holding of a villan was the "virgate," of about thirty acres; but some held more, and many much less, while there was a large class of cottars, or cottagers, who had little beyond a garden. None of these holdings, however, of whatever size, were cultivated separately, but, great and small alike, were worked together as one farm in conjunction with the

lord's demesne. Nor was this merely a matter of custom, but rather the unavoidable result of the very peculiar composition of these villan-holdings; for just as the typical estate at this date consisted of scattered manors, so the typical holding consisted, not of a compact block of land, but of a number of acre and half-acre strips scattered up and down the cultivated part of the village, in perhaps as many as sixty different places, and only divided from the equally scattered portions of other holdings by narrow "balks," or strips of unploughed turf. In some cases the lord's demesne may have been kept separate, but this was certainly unusual, and in any case it was cultivated on the same plan and as one with the villans' holdings.

Methods of Tillage.

The methods of tillage in use, according to Walter of Henley, were either the three-field or two-field system, as they have been called, according as the rotation of crops was effected either in three or in two years. The former seems to have been by far the commonest. To carry it out, the whole of the arable land in a village was divided into three great fields, and every year one of these produced wheat, another barley or oats, while the third lay fallow. Put in another way, the three years' course in any particular field would be as follows:—In January of the first year sow with barley; in August reap the barley; from September in the first year to June in the second leave the land fallow; in June plough up the fallow ready for wheat; in the autumn sow with wheat; in August of the third year reap the wheat; in the autumn plough up the land ready for the barley: in January of the fourth year sow again with barley. In most instances this rotation was regularly followed, but occasionally rye might be substituted for wheat. There were also two sorts of barley, the second being called "drageum," while three leguminous plants—viz., beans, peas, and vetches—were generally, but not extensively, cultivated. Crops of hemp and linseed are not unknown in the manorial records.

In preparing for the crop the land was usually ploughed twice; but as the great wooden ploughs were very cumbrous, the soil was not very effectually turned. Oxen, too, in teams of four or eight, were used to pull them, in preference to horses—possibly because, iron being dear, the latter were very

expensive to keep shod. Very little manuring was, as a rule, attempted, beyond marling in some localities, and the occasional folding of sheep on the fallows, while the art of drainage was equally backward. After sowing there was no harrowing or rolling, but the corn was sometimes hoed. In reaping, the crop was cut high on the stalk, and this gave a double advantage; for it prevented the wet straw and weeds from being carried, and at the same time left as much stubble as possible behind, either to be cut later for thatching and litter, or to be ploughed in instead of manure. The harvest as a whole usually took about six weeks, and directly it was over the whole stock of the village was turned promiscuously on to the stubbles. The amount of wheat harvested varied from sixteen bushels an acre on the best lands to four bushels, two bushels being the amount originally sown; but this was only in favourable years. Even so the average is less than a third of what would now be expected; nor did the other kinds of grain do any better. The next operations were the winter ones of threshing and winnowing—the latter being done chiefly by women—after which the grain was not as a rule sold, but carefully stored in the barns or granges, and sometimes in the churches: for even in good years there was not much more produced than would suffice to support the village till the next harvest, and there could never be any certainty that in the next year there would not be a scarcity. When wheat was sold, it fetched about 6s. a quarter, and barley about 4s. 3d.

Live Stock.

The live stock kept consisted chiefly of cattle and sheep, but there were also a few horses, and nearly every villan family had its pig, and lived largely off salt pork. In the summer all these were sent out, under common cowherds, shepherds, and swineherds, to feed in the woods and wastes, and ordinarily there was plenty of food; but in winter the majority of the cattle and sheep had to be killed, as there was little hay and no roots to feed them on. The draught-oxen, of course, were preserved, and just enough of all kinds to breed with; but even these were nearly starved, while in the spring, as there were no hedges, the calves and lambs could get no protection from the weather. In these circumstances it is not surprising that there were not many attempts to improve the breeds, and that the losses

were enormous, on the average as much as 20 per cent. a year. Sheep-keeping, however, in spite of all this, was probably the most profitable part of farming; for at this time England had a monopoly of the wool trade, and there was a constantly increasing demand for fleeces, which were exported to supply the looms of Flanders. As the sheep were small, the fleeces were very light, and often under 2 oz.; but what made wool-growing profitable was really the comparatively small amount of labour it required—an advantage which became doubly plain after the Black Death, and led eventually to a partial abandonment of the industry of corn-growing. As to the dairy and poultry departments of farming, it will be sufficient to say that every village engaged in them; but that, as the habits of making cheese and butter, and of keeping chickens, ducks, and geese, were universal, these products were always very cheap, and hardly ever sold.

Hitherto we have been describing the typical manor as it appeared to Walter of Henley at the beginning of the thirteenth century, and as in many cases it existed until long after the Black Death. But, as has already been noted (I. 450), even in the early years of Henry III. a great change was impending in many manors, and one that became more prominent as the thirteenth century advanced. This was the gradual disappearance of the villan as we have described him, with his obligation of rendering prædial services and of helping to cultivate his lord's demesne, and in his stead the substitution of a free class who worked for wages. One might perhaps have expected that so great a social revolution could be traced to some popular movement in favour of emancipation, and that, as the tone of society became gentler, the lords naturally had a tendency to free their serfs; but of this there is not much evidence. On the contrary, in the eyes of the law the villans remained serfs, certainly till their great revolt in 1381, and perhaps later; for neither then nor afterwards was there any clear admission of their freedom. Long before this, however, the great mass of them must have been free in the eyes of the bailiffs; for they had ceased to be tied to the soil, and the revolt itself, as will be shown later on, was only caused by an attempt to re-exact their services, which had become obsolete. The agency that effected this was neither sentiment nor even

Rise of the Free Labourers.

piety, but the self-interest of the average manorial lord; for, as has already been shown, it can never have been very easy to get the demesne properly cultivated, even when the duty was entrusted to the most energetic of bailiffs. Compulsory labour is proverbially ineffective, even when the labourer can be made to do whatever he is told; while on the manor the villans could always be setting up the customs, and claiming that they had done all that could be required of them. The very variety of the customs, too, made evasion easy, and by necessitating an inordinate amount of superintendence helped to lessen what small margin of profit there might otherwise have been. At the same time, the expenses of the lords were growing; for the age was one of progress, and civilisation brought greater luxury in its train. The chivalry, too, of the time, with its pomp and splendour, the prevalent taste for building, and a somewhat ostentatious charity, all demanded ready money, and this was just what the lords failed to get so long as their rents were only paid in labour.

Commutation of Villanage.

As a consequence, it became customary to commute the services of the more substantial villans for a money payment. At first this was only done provisionally, and the lord was left at liberty to exact either the money or the services, whichever might be the more convenient, while in any case he could fall back on the latter if the villans failed to produce the money. Even if he took the money, he was not independent of the villans; for he still had to find the labour necessary to cultivate his demesne, and this he did by engaging the same villans as hired labourers. But in this he gained largely, for he now got not only permanent servants who worked better, but servants who could be employed exactly when and as they were required. The villans, too, gained equally; for they now felt that their work was voluntary, and that it was remunerative.

The mutual advantages of the new system were indeed so obvious, that its provisional character was certain to disappear as soon as the lords grew confident that the commutation money would be regularly paid. In earlier centuries, when disturbances were common, this could hardly have been attained. This period, however, as already noted (I., 451), was a time of peace, and notable in agriculture for the growth of material prosperity; and so it was not long before money-

rents were permanently adopted by some lords, and gradually extended to all their tenants and every sort of service. The amount of rent paid varied, of course, with the size of the villan's holding, as had the older services, and to some extent according to the kind of services he had performed; but it was not often that it exceeded ten shillings a year, even for the holder of a virgate, while it was frequently much less. When once the commutation had taken place, and the lord had provided himself with sufficient labourers to work his demesne, he naturally did not much care whether the remainder stayed upon the manor or not. On the contrary, for a small extra fine he would usually be willing to let them seek employment elsewhere, if they considered it better than cultivating their holdings; and so in course of time it came about that a great number of villans took to migratory trades, and became detached from the land and as good as free. Another large body, by accumulating in their own hands the holdings thus vacated, gradually grew into a class of yeomen, well enough off to rival and often to take precedence of the genuine freeholders, and under no necessity of labouring for hire.

Wages and Earnings.

The villans who continued to work on the lord's demesne may be divided into two classes, according as they were employed regularly throughout the year, or only occasionally as extra hands. The regular servants kept on most manors included the ploughmen, the carters and drivers, the herdsmen, and the daye or dairymaid, all of whom worked for about 310 days in the year. For this the better sort were paid about 6s. annually, but this was the least part of their remuneration; for, in addition, each received a regular allowance of grain, varying from a quarter every nine weeks to one every fourteen, according to their employments. Occasional labourers, on the contrary, were paid entirely in money, and usually by the piece—6d. an acre for ploughing, 1d. for hoeing, 2½d. for mowing, and so forth, being ordinary rates. Women, too, were frequently employed, and could earn about 1d. a day. In this way it has been calculated that cultivation cost the lord about £1 an acre, a rate which not only left the labourers well off, but also paid fairly well. The whole system, however, depended on there being plenty of labourers who would accept

the ordinary wages, and this ceased to be the case in 1348; for in that year nearly half the labourers in England died, and as the survivors refused to take the old wages the landlords were almost universally ruined, and a new system of farming had to be adopted, known as the stock and land lease. This and the Great Plague, which led to its introduction, will form topics for another chapter.

HUBERT HALL.

Trade and Industry.

THE long and peaceful reign of Henry III. was not, as we have seen, in any large sense an age of industrial progress or commercial enterprise. The nation was, indeed, passing through a stage of transition, which was in itself unfavourable to commercial development; and, moreover, the whole system of trade regulation was excessively provincial and archaic. Hitherto its regulation had been, to a great extent, in the hands of local magnates, who vied with the Crown in imposing vexatious restrictions and intolerable burdens on the whole race of merchants; but with the accession of Edward I. a new force comes to the relief of oppressed industry, in the form of commercial legislation enacted "with the council and consent" of the Commons of England. As yet, moreover, apart from royal exactions and local customs, the imperial measures adopted for the regulation of trade had been of the most meagre character—an assize of bread and ale and cloth, which was, to trade, what the historical assizes of the twelfth century were to the land and police systems of the country. Henceforth trade was no longer to be regulated in the sole interests of the great landlords, but in those of the subjects at large; and the latter, having at last found their voices, used them to some effect in Parliament during the succeeding century. The beneficial effects of this centralisation of trade policy may easily be imagined, and the result is seen in the proceedings of Parliament which have been preserved to us.

Commercial Policy.

This new departure is not, perhaps, altogether surprising, for we have already seen in the case of the towns that the common interests of the mercantile community had inspired a very elaborate and fairly representative system of self-government. The new methods were adopted, and further

expedients were devised by the Commons. The pursuit of wealth had become a national and laudable industry, and the conditions under which it could be safely and profitably carried on were henceforth the especial care of the legislature; and so far from trade being fettered by these enactments, it was really released from many vexatious restrictions in the shape of local usages. This happy result was largely due to the active and enlightened foreign policy of Edward I. and his immediate successors. It is true that this policy was originally a warlike one, and that the king's diplomatic relations with Flanders were neither very patriotic nor very successful at their inception; and we have to deal with the further fact that the commercial policy of each of these kings in turn produced a constitutional crisis of the greatest gravity. In the reign of Edward I., himself a notable founder of new towns, the free cities of Europe reached the zenith of their political power and commercial prosperity, and the intermunicipal system of trade flourished in proportion. Thanks to the personal despotism of Henry III., the Crown had already assumed a nominal control over the foreign intercourse of the country. The carrying trade was, to a great extent, in the hands of the merchants of the Hanse, and the internal trade in those of the Jews and Flemings. All three bodies were strictly controlled and licensed by the Crown, and to these were now added the great commercial houses of Lombardy, such as the Friscobaldi.

The Edwardian Policy

The position assumed by Edward I. and his successors in regard to the interests of English commerce is a somewhat remarkable one. In their view the interests of the Crown were identical with those of the nation itself. The Jews were expelled, and the Lombards were patronised in their place. France was to be hemmed in between a dependent English ally in the north, and a flourishing English province to the south; and the whole fiscal arrangement was to be revised in order to harmonise with these conditions. Again, the king looked on the produce of the land, together with the wealth of the Church and of the towns, as available to relieve his necessities, either by means of direct taxation or by assignment to the alien financiers. Edward I. insisted that he was "free to buy and sell like any other," when the Commons

remonstrated at his illegal seizures of staple wares by way of purveyance or pre-emption: and from this time onwards, the plan of farming out the revenue collected at the outports to societies of foreign merchants was frequently resorted to. In fact, the importance of the foreign intercourse of the kingdom had become so great, that it could not safely be allowed to remain under the guidance of the gild-brethren of the free cities, especially when the feudal revenues of the Crown no longer permitted the king "to live of his own," and the control of trade offered an easy means of supplying the deficiency.

Edward II. reaped the fatal consequences of this arbitrary action, and the struggle was renewed and concluded during the first twenty years of the next reign. Henceforth the regulation of foreign intercourse, so far as it might be regarded as a question of diplomacy, was left to the discretion of the king and his council; but the material side of the subject, the protection of native exports, the taxation of foreign imports, and everything connected with what was afterwards known as the "balance of trade," was esteemed a proper subject of consideration for the Commons of England.

and Statecraft.

It may fairly be suggested that the Edwardian statecraft was intended to secure certain commercial advantages of which English merchants seemed to stand in need. The most important of these were, in the first place, a secure and profitable market for English exports; and next, an abundant and unrestricted supply of needful imports. In fact, to sell in the dearest market and buy in the cheapest was beginning to be recognised as an elementary principle of economics; only that the means taken to effect this desirable end were not of a very enlightened character. Aliens were encouraged to import freely, in order that their lucrative monopoly might be broken, while the conditions imposed were always such as to favour the native retailer. On the other hand, the prerogative and diplomacy of the Crown were actively employed for the regulation of the exchange, for the safeguard of the seas, and for the establishment of a Continental market for English staple-wares. The most striking feature in the commercial policy of Edward I. and Edward III. is the supersession of the old intermunicipal arrangements by an imperial policy, enunciated by treaties or by statutes of Parliament. The

great cities of England and the Continent still continued a useful correspondence to facilitate the collection or recovery of private debts, but they were not in a position to protect the national interests which they severally represented. Trade had begun to follow the flag. The English Admiralty had been established, and the doctrine of the sovereignty of the sea led to constant collisions betwixt the mariners on both sides of the Channel. Moreover, the old feudal relations with France had been rudely broken, and English merchants stood in special need of the passports or safe-conducts which were plentifully issued from the Chancery during these reigns.

Economic Legislation.

In an earlier period, the regulation of trade by the Crown had taken the form of occasional licences, which implied the advantage of the king's protection to all such as had paid a fine to obtain his "good-will." This patriarchal system doubtless worked well in a state of society in which the peace of an absolute monarch was the only bond of law and order, just as it is necessary to the present day in certain uncivilised countries; but the victory of the Crown over the forces of feudal anarchy, and the recent vindication of the ancient constitution in the statute of Winchester, and the reorganisation of the machinery of justice, made some further arrangement between the Crown and the merchants imperative. Although *Magna Carta* had expressly asserted the common-law right of merchants at large to freedom of traffic, provided that the usual regulations were complied with, it was still found convenient to obtain the good-will of the Crown by means of fines for charters of liberties or safe-conducts, and this practice continued in force till long afterwards. The chief consideration, however, was in respect of the imperial and local taxation, for which merchants were admittedly liable. The former species of exaction had usually taken the form of a tithe of all merchandise, a tenth or a fifteenth collected at the king's ports; but, in addition to this, there were seigniorial franchises to be reckoned with, and tolls or dues levied at fairs, markets, or at the city gates and quays.

The Customs Revenue.

The great achievement of the Edwardian commercial legislation was the consolidation of these arbitrary, uncertain, and scattered dues in the customs revenue of the Crown. In the first

place, the private branches were, as far as possible, acquired by the Crown, or strictly curtailed by the great inquest preserved in the surviving Hundred Rolls. The first Parliament at Westminster was induced to make a "great contract" with the Crown, by which the latter abandoned indefinite prises upon native exports of an earlier period, in exchange for a fixed scale of custom duties on wool, woolfells, and leather, which was henceforth known as the Great, or Ancient Custom; while the old scale of tolls upon wines imported by natives was likewise ratified as the Prisage. Before the end of the reign a similar contract was made with the alien merchants, whereby they obtained equal advantages with natives by paying an increased duty of 50 per cent. on wools and leather, together with a fixed tariff for cloths and wax, a tunnage of two shillings on the cask of wine, and a poundage on all other exports or imports. The New, or Petty Custom, as this tariff was called, was at first viewed with considerable jealousy by native merchants, but its success, both as a fiscal and commercial measure, was undoubted.

The Customs Revenue created by the Statute of Westminster and *Carta Mercatoria* was successfully administered by a highly organised staff of Custom-house officers. The out-ports of England became now, for the first time, in actuality, "the king's gates." A vigilant coastguard was maintained, the local authorities were overlooked; and, as a result, the condition of the harbours, quays, and streets was vastly improved. In the same way the Statute of Winchester cleared the roads leading to the great cities of the banditti which formerly infested them; the Statutes of London secured the good order of the city wards by day and night; and the persistent complaints of the obstructions and encroachments practised by riparian owners in the great waterways, were about to be the subject of practical legislation. By the Statute of Merchants, trade debts were to some extent secured, and a system of registration was permitted—the first step in the direction of a change in the whole composition of feudal society, by admitting the merchant to a place among the landed gentry.

The policy of the first Edward was pursued with still greater energy by the third of that name in other directions.

It is probable that his intentions were viewed with some distrust by a considerable body of his subjects; but although his policy is in some respects that of a *doctrinaire*, there can be no question as to the sincerity of his aims or the lasting improvements which he effected. Like his grandfather, Edward III. was bent on the extension of foreign trade, and the many facilities offered for this purpose are the chief feature of his commercial policy. Charters were granted or confirmed to merchants of Gascony, who imported wine, and to other branches of trade. Aliens were expressly protected by the Statute of the Staple, whilst a statutory fare for the passage between Dover and Calais was even fixed in their behalf. In spite of this encouragement by the Crown, we find that the foreign merchants laboured under the same local disabilities as of old, and in particular their sojourn for more than the customary forty days was keenly resented by the English Commons. We find also that the influx of foreign commodities, coupled with the success of the French war, had a tendency to demoralise English middle-class society, and before the end of the reign rigorous sumptuary laws had become necessary, with the ulterior object probably of protecting native industries. Another experiment of this king was more favourably received, namely, the settlement of Flemish weavers in England under the special protection and patronage of the Crown; but the most important of all his commercial projects was the scheme, long in preparation and finally elaborated in 1353, by which a Staple for English exports was brought under the direct control of the Crown.

Foreign Intercourse.

Since the settlement of the Customs Revenue in the reign of Edward I. the importance of the export trade which now flowed through one main channel was very evident to an intelligent sovereign as a means of revenue. The assessment of 1275 was not, however, sufficient to meet the necessities of the Crown in time of war, and as the king's claims to scutage, aid, and other feudal taxation, were still in hopeless abeyance, the temptation presented by the manipulation of the "sovereign treasure of the kingdom," in the shape of wool-sacks and bales of fells and hides, proved too great, even for a well-meaning king. Towards the end of the reign of Edward I. an imposition,

The Staple.

known as the Maltolte, of forty shillings had been levied on the sack of wool, and a constitutional crisis was provoked which ended in the confirmation of the charters in 1297, whereby it was clearly understood that in case of necessity the Crown must apply to Parliament for an extraordinary grant. Forty years later this necessity arose during the progress of the great war with France, and henceforth a Parliamentary grant of the subsidy of wools became the mainstay of the annual Budget. This unfailing source of revenue, whether as custom or subsidy, was the security for the financial dealings of the Edwards with Flemish or Lombard capitalists, and it was with a view to its utmost development that the Staple received the close attention of the Crown.

There can be little doubt that if the merchants of the Staple were not a recognised society as early as the thirteenth century, they formed a compact body of traders with distinct objects and interests at that period. At first, however, they exported wool and other staple wares to the great fairs of the Flemish cities without discrimination. For the protection of native interests it was thought desirable in the reign of Edward II. that a fixed Staple should be assigned for the sale of English exports. The monopoly which thus accrued to a single town, like Bruges, was soon found to be unbearable, and in 1353 the Staple was transferred to England, in the expectation, probably, that free competition amongst the foreign merchants who visited the English marts would tend to enhance the price of wool, and so diminish the burden of the indirect taxation in the shape of custom and subsidy, which fell upon the producer. At the same time the prosperity of the English towns, at which the Staple was appointed to be held, would be increased, and the greater volume of foreign imports would tend to lower prices and leave a balance in favour of this country.

The Ten Staple Towns.

By the famous Ordinance of the Staple ten English towns (p. 256) were assigned for the exclusive sale of wool. These were situated within easy reach of the coast, from Newcastle in the north to Bristol in the west, with separate Staples for Wales and Ireland. Each of these towns was linked with a convenient port, and in each a separate Court merchant was established, with a

mayor and officers and assessors. Here the wool was weighed and certified, and all disputes were settled, after which it was conveyed to the proper port, and after being tested by the king's officers, the Custom and Subsidy was exacted. No subject might export wool on pain of life or limb, while every inducement was offered to aliens to frequent the English marts. The immediate effect of this important measure was a great stimulus to the Staple trade, aliens exporting a greater bulk of wool than had ever before been recorded. The official restrictions imposed by the statute were, however, highly inconvenient, and the old jealousy of foreign traders, together with a great increase of smuggling, led to a compromise by which for the next ten years the Staple was mainly fixed at the new English colony of Calais.

Regulation of Trade.

In an earlier age the internal regulation of trade was the peculiar care of the local authorities. The assize of bread and ale was everywhere observed, and the election of local inspectors and the presentment of offenders against the assize are familiar details in manorial and municipal records. This close supervision over the quantity and quality of the wares exposed for sale in the villages and towns by local officers was clearly in the interest of the whole community, and it is characteristic of the new *régime* of imperial legislation that almost precisely similar measures were adopted by the Crown for the welfare of the subjects. Royal officers were appointed for the gauge of wines and the aulnage of cloths, and stringent edicts were enforced against such practices as forestalling or engrossing, and all other devices of middlemen to raise the price against the consumer. An attempt was even made to regulate prices, and the great distress which prevailed in the year 1316 was considerably aggravated by this disastrous expedient. But the chief and most legitimate object of attention to the Crown was the currency itself.

The Currency.

Ever since the royal revenue had become payable in specie instead of in kind, the greatest precautions were observed by the Treasurer and his staff to ensure a high standard of purity in the current coinage. The sterling money of England, famous throughout Europe for its purity, was the silver penny which passed from hand to hand by weight as well as by tale, a large proportion

of the coins in circulation being further subjected to the yearly assay or Trial of the Pyx at the audit of the sheriff's accounts in the Exchequer. In addition to these precautions, a very strict watch was kept on the operations of the royal moneyers, and a terrible example was made of such as were detected in malpractices. On occasion, the debased currency was called in, and a new coinage was issued, while very substantial improvements were effected in the reign of Henry III. in the establishment of the Exchange and the Mint, always a royal monopoly, but which now became for the first time an official department. Still greater improvements were effected in the first half of the fourteenth century, and treatises on coinage are extant which evince a considerable degree of scientific knowledge. But the great feature in the history of the currency at this period consists in the long array of ordinances by the king in council for its better regulation, beginning with an ordinance of 1248, and ending with an amended order in the year 1298, which may be regarded as completing the establishment of the Mint and Exchange.* The denominations of pounds, shillings, and marks were, of course, purely figures of account, but under Edward III. (p. 58) a double standard of currency, namely, gold and silver, was partially introduced, the former being represented by the well-known Flemish "Nobles" and Italian "Florins," following the experiment of a gold penny or "Royal" in 1248 (I. 437). A new evil had, however, begun to be felt since the middle of the previous century from the circulation of base foreign coins, which tended to drive out the good money. At a very early date such coins as besants had been passed by the foreign merchants in England, but now the country was flooded with base money introduced by foreign merchants. To remedy this evil, statutes were passed prohibiting the use of foreign coins, and alien merchants were required to bring with them a certain proportion of actual bullion in

* During the whole of this period this establishment was almost entirely recruited from that class of foreign experts whose connection with the coinage of this country is commemorated in the very name of sterling. In addition to their want of skill, the well-to-do London goldsmiths were doubtless unwilling to compete for the meagre pittance offered by the foreign farmers or contractors, and it was more than once found necessary to resort to the expedient of imprisoning native workmen by force, an exercise of authority which was one of the reputed liberties of the Mint.

payment for their purchases, while the exportation of English bullion was checked as far as possible. Finally, an entirely new coinage of gold and silver was issued in the year 1351.

Industry.

The progress made by the artisan class during the fourteenth century is one of the chief causes of the national strength and prosperity during the French wars, and there can be little doubt that this progress was largely due to the careful protection of the Crown and the enlightened legislation of Parliament. The planting of new industries in the reign of Edward III. was no rash experiment, but a continuation of an early and successful policy. There was naturally a certain display of jealousy at the patronage of Flemish weavers by the Crown, just as a similar sentiment prevailed in earlier and down to much later times, but there was a tacit agreement as to the benefits derived from this connection, and the English clothworkers were themselves in a highly favoured position.

Besides the colonies of Flemish experts in the western and eastern counties, other trades were settled in England, such as the clockmakers, and the elaborate sumptuary laws of the period were probably designed for the encouragement of native manufactures. In the case of native industries the goldsmiths' trade was entirely reorganised at the end of Edward I.'s reign, and the well-known trademark of the company was, by direction of the Crown, affixed to all silver plate. The remaining trades, however, were still individually regulated by their governing bodies, although all had benefited greatly by the diplomatic and legislative activity of the period.

The Gilds.

The towns of England in the fourteenth century were passing through a period of transition from a general to a special form of self-government for purposes of trade. By degrees all towns of any importance had already acquired the privileges that were essential to freedom of trade—exemption from the sheriff's farm, from local tolls, and from pleading outside the city; while the right to elect their own officers had given them already a political independence that was only forfeited by their misfortune or default. Before the close of the thirteenth century the whole body of traders had become subject to the jurisdiction of the central governing body, which in one aspect consisted

of the mayor and aldermen, and in another aspect of the gild merchant. The former body, with the Court of Common Council, exercised a sort of general control over the whole workings of municipal trade, and its functions were essentially legal and official. The latter was a democratic body parallel to the Common Council, but with the single mission of regulating the external and internal trade pursued by the gild brethren. This was formed out of the two great classes of merchant-traders and artisans, both of whom were on an equal footing, membership of the gild conferring equally the freedom of the borough and the legal status of burgess. It is probable, indeed, that the craftsmen, organised as early as the twelfth century, formed in most towns a majority of the gild brethren, and many foreigners and merchants residing at a distance from the town were honorary members (so to speak) of the gild merchant. In the fourteenth century the latter body ceased to possess sufficient vitality to satisfy the rapid expansion of the industrial interest, and the real supervision of trade fell into the hands of the craft gilds. Four distinct forces were thus at work with the common object of regulating trade in the interests of the whole community—the Crown, by legislative or executive process; the municipal body, by virtue of the liberties and free customs conceded by the Crown; the gild merchant, representing the customs of the merchants, and still surviving as an aggregate of craft gilds; and lastly, the individual craft gilds, by whom the regulation of trade was now conducted on new and scientific principles.

The Gild Merchant.

A typical craft gild in the fourteenth century contained three classes of artisans—masters, journeymen, and apprentices; and in spite of certain inequalities and hardships, the interest of all three classes was identical. The internal economy of such a gild had probably not varied much from that of a much earlier period, but the great influx of labour into the towns had emphasised the distinction between capital and labour, while it was essential that each craft should be so regulated as to provide employment for all its members. Another peculiarity of each craft was its isolation from surrounding fraternities. Thus the man who made bows must not provide arrows for the same; a cordwainer might not patch shoes nor a cobbler make them.

The Craft Gild.

Four separate crafts contributed to the making of a finished saddle and bridle: the joiner made the woodwork which was decorated by the painter; the saddler supplied the leather and the lorimer the metal trappings and appointments. Each craft had, as a rule, its own gild court and elective officers, and here all cases arising out of trade disputes or discipline were most conveniently determined. In some cases, indeed, the craftsmen could even claim to be tried by their gild court, rather than by the municipal authorities.

The duties of the gild officers were not confined to hearing cases brought for trial; they were actively engaged in the supervision of the workmanship and dealings of the craftsmen, particularly with a view to prevent frauds and misdemeanours, such as the use of improper weights and measures. In this way a very high standard of work was ensured, all "false" work, and "false" weights and measures and other tricks of trade being infallibly detected by these expert inspectors, and the offenders heavily punished. The importance of these precautions, in an age when skill supplied the place of capital, for procuring a connection in every trade will be obvious, and the Government had already set the example in another direction by a general insistence on fair dealing.

The Growth of the Craft Gilds.

The few essential craft gilds which are enumerated in the Exchequer Rolls of the twelfth century had reached the number of some fifty important "mysteries" in London alone before the close of the fourteenth. The titles of these gilds are sufficient to prove the high degree of civilisation and even of luxury which had been attained in this country before the middle of the fourteenth century. Manufactured articles in common use were no longer of necessity imported, and English craftsmen were able to hold their own with foreign artisans, though a number of the finer crafts were not successfully practised in this country until the immigration of the Protestant refugees in the sixteenth century.

It should be remembered, however, that the trades were not supported as in the present day by consumers of all classes, but chiefly by the Court and nobility and wealthy burgesses, and that the rural districts had little share in the luxury of the towns.

Aliens.

Side by side with these minute trade regulations, others were framed for the purpose of limiting the operations of foreign merchants to the importation of desired commodities and the export of surplus products. On no account were they to intermeddle with the native trade, either by retailing or by occupying any position of profit or trust. Thus no alien might be an innkeeper, and the outcry against the alien farmers and customers of the Crown was loud and irresistible under the second Edward. Moreover, the duration of their stay was supposed to be limited to forty days, during which period they must pay the "rightful customs" (an increase of fifty per cent. in the case of wool) on coming into the city, whilst sojourning there, on "going forth into the parts of England," on returning thence to the city, and on departing homeward. Besides this, they were bound to sell *all* their wares within the forty days allotted, to prevent them from "enhancing" prices. They were also expected to spend freely during their stay, and to facilitate this good object a host was usually assigned to them. Strict precautions were also taken against "coverture," or a secret agreement by which aliens conducted their trade through the agency of natives. On the other hand, this uncharitable policy could not be carried out in all its rigour, and many concessions were made by the Crown in spite of the jealousy and distrust displayed by an interested class of its subjects. The most important of these concessions were made, however, on behalf of the merchants of Aquitaine and the Calais Staplers as representing the colonial interest of England; and even the Hanse traders ceased to enjoy the same favour as of old. The pursuit of national wealth was beginning to be associated with the growth of national power, and the favoured German traders of the thirteenth century only shared the fate of the Dutch in the seventeenth.

Economic Doctrine.

At the end of the thirteenth and beginning of the fourteenth centuries the zenith of mediæval prosperity had been reached, and it becomes worth while to consider the nature of the economic doctrines through which this happy result had been attained. Like all other sciences of this period, economic science is a strange mixture of shrewdness and credulity; but there is one feature of it which stands out with great distinctness—the rough,

masterful policy by which the immediate interests of the Crown or of the individual, and the fancied interests of the nation, were pursued at the expense of every external interest. We may criticise this policy as we please, but the fact remains that it was successful at the time and for long afterwards. It may be that this is only a question of sentiment, but sentiment was a very powerful economic factor even in those days. English citizens in the fourteenth century insisted on a rigorous exclusion of foreign competition, but they shrank from the practice of "usury" as a deadly sin. The impression left on our minds is that they understood their own interests too well to be mistaken in this matter. Their distrust of alien competitors was prompted by the instinct of self-preservation, strongly developed, like every other instinct in a rude state of society, and their aversion from "usury" was equally caused by an instinctive desire to provide for the welfare of all alike. No place could be found for capitalists and financiers in their economic theory. This, at least, was the avowed object of the lay and spiritual rulers who desired to follow the traditional policy marked out by the great English kings, while the relations of an unworthy or necessitous sovereign with Jewish mortgagees and Flemish or Lombard farmers of the customs must assuredly have inflamed the passionate prejudices of their subjects.

The Feeling Against Usury.

The whole of the commercial history, and a large part of the constitutional history, of the Middle Ages is inextricably connected with this great problem, which may after all be interpreted in several different ways. In any case the subject is scarcely a profitable one, and we may turn with advantage to more pleasing topics.

The pursuit of art in the several branches of trade in which it played a part—architecture, metal work, embroidery—was alike honourable and successful. There was no scamping of work in any English industry, and the charges brought against English merchants in this and other respects by foreign purchasers may be regarded in the light of professional recrimination, or of a diplomatic device to secure some commercial advantage. The attention paid to an unequalled coinage, the marvellous precision and elasticity

The Effects of the Economic Policy on the Products

combined of the fiscal arrangements, a vigilant police, improved methods of conveyance, and a noble outlay upon public works—all these things bear witness to the same high purpose of a commercial policy.

and on the Producers.

But the most healthy symptom of the national life, though not always so regarded in its own day, is the desire to improve upon the sordid surroundings of an imperfect civilisation, which is witnessed in an ever-increasing attention paid by the great middle class to decoration and learning, dress and all the other comforts and adornments which help to make men's lives wise and beautiful. Even the period of depression and degradation which set in with the wanton war with France, and which was still further darkened by pestilence and political and social agitation, had its lessons and its compensations. But this harvest was not reaped until after the lapse of more than a hundred years from the close of the period before us, when the idea of a "national economy" begins for the first time to direct the commercial policy of statesmen and legislators.

C. CREIGHTON. Public Health.

THE otherwise weak rule of Edward II. was put to an unusual strain by a great famine in 1315-16. Prices of grain had been high for many years before, taxes had been heavy for the Scots wars, Bannockburn had been fought and lost in 1314. When the king lay at St. Albans Abbey, at Lawrence-tide, 1315, it was hardly possible to buy bread for himself and his household. The harvest of that year was greatly damaged by rains, and the winter was passed in misery and sickness, the diseases named being fever, dysentery, and "plague of the throat." The dead bodies of the peasantry were found by the roadsides; the dead in cities were buried in trenches, at all hours, canonical or other; the gaols were full of thieves; the people were driven to use horse-flesh, dog-flesh, and (it was whispered) even the flesh of children; and the starving felons in the gaols fell upon the thieves last brought in and tore them to pieces (p. 117). It is significant of the habits of the English at the time that one of the remedial measures was to restrict the quantity of grain turned to malt instead of bread. According to one annalist,

it was not until 1319 that the country came back to abundance; but it was not lasting, for in 1322 the king lost many of his men in Scotland by famine and disease; and such was the pinch in London the same year, that fifty-five persons, children and adults, were crushed to death in a scramble for bread doled out at the Blackfriars. The dole was on the occasion of a rich man's funeral. Whatever the common people suffered, the upper classes were living in luxury, and most of all the monks, who were at no period more splendid in their equipages and households.

SOCIAL LIFE.

The Jews.

In the reign of Edward I. the Jews came in for a large share of royal and legislative notice, not always to their advantage. In 1275 a parliament was called to sit at Westminster on October 6, when statutes were made to restrain the excessive usury of the Jews; and it was also enacted that they should wear a badge upon their upper garments, in the shape of the two tables of Moses' law. Probably it was time they were looked after, for Holinshed (quoting Nicolaus Trivet) says:

> "This yeere (1278) there was inquirie made in London for such as had clipped, washed, and counterfaited the king's coine, whereupon the Jewes of the citie and divers goldsmiths that kept the exchange of silver were indited; and, after, to the number of two hundred foure score and seventeene persons were condemned, and in divers places put to execution. There were but three Englishmen among them, all the residue were Jewes."

And, under 1279, he writes:

> "In this yeare the king took order for the amending of his monie and coine, which in that season was fowlie clipped, washed, and counterfeited by those naughtie men the Jewes, and other, as before, you have partlie heard. The king, therefore, in the octaves of the Trinitie, sent foorth commandement to all the sheriffes within the land, that such monie as was counterfeited, clipped, or washed, should not be currant from thenceforth; and, furthermore, he sent of his owne treasure, good monie and not clipped, unto certeine cities and townes in the realme, that exchange might be made with the same, till new monie were stamped. About the third daie of August, the first exchange was made of the new monie of pence and farthings; but yet the old monie went all this yeare, togither with the new, and then was the old coine generally forbidden, and commandement given by publike proclamation, that from thencefoorth it should no more be allowed for currant."

Of this coinage, Robert Mannynge of Brunne writes:

> "Edward did smite round peny, halfe peny, farthing.
> The crosse passes the bond of all throughout the ring:
> The king's side was his head, and his name written,
> The crosse side, what citie it was in, coyned and smitten.
> To poore man, ne to priest, the peny frayses nothing,
> Men give God aye the least, they feast him with a farthi g
> A thousand, two hundred, four score yeares, and mo,
> On this money men wondred, when it first began to goe."

Those "naughtie men the Jewes" did not confine their evil deeds to debasing the king's coin. Stow says (1278) that "The Jewes at Northampton crucified a Christian boy upon Good Friday, but did not thoroughly kill him, for the which fact, manie Jewes at London, after Easter, were drawne at horse tailes and hanged."

Yet again, on May 2, 1286, an organised raid throughout England was made upon the Jews, and they were laid by the heels until they had been fined by the king, who wanted money for an expedition into France. "It is reported that the Commons of England granted to the king the fifth part of their moveables, to have the Jewes banished out of the land; but the Jewes, to put the Englishmen from their purpose, gave to the king great summes of monie, whereby they tarried yet a while longer." But this did not last long; the popular feeling was too great against them: the king was unable, or unwilling, to protect them any longer, and the parliament which sat at Westminster in 1290 passed an Act of Banishment upon the unfortunate Israelites, whereby their immovable goods were confiscated, together with their tallies and obligations, but they had leave to carry away their money and movable goods; and Matthew of Westminster says that 16,160 of this oppressed people were thus banished.

Of this exodus, Holinshed (quoting the "Chronicon de Dunstaple") tells the following grim story:

> "A sort of the richest of them, being shipped with their treasure in a mightie tall ship which they had hired, when the same was under saile, and got downe the Thames towards the mouth of the river beyond Quinborowe, the maister mariner bethought him of a wile, and caused his men to cast anchor, and so rode at the same, till the ship by ebbing of the streame remained on the drie sands. The maister herewith enticed the Jewes to walk out with him on land for recreation; and, at length, when he understood the tide to be comming in, he got him backe to the ship,

whither he was drawne up by a cord. The Jewes made not so much hast as he did, bicause they were not ware of the danger. But, when they perceived how the matter stood, they cried to him for helpe: howbeit, he told them that they ought to cry rather unto Moses, by whose conduct their fathers passed through the Red Sea; and, therefore, if they would call to him for helpe, he was able inough to helpe them out of those raging floudes, which now came in upon them. They cried indeed, but no succour appeared, and so they were swallowed up in water. The maister returned with the ship, and told the king how he had used the matter, and had both thanks and reward, as some have written. But other affirme (and more truelie, as it should seeme) that diverse of those mariners, which dealt so wickedlie against the Jewes, were hanged for their wicked practise, and so received a just reward of their fradulent and mischievous dealing."

Gaunt famine many times stalked through the land, and its sister, pestilence, followed in its footsteps, notably in 1316–17, when there was a grievous mortality, so that the living could scarcely bury the dead; "so that, what by warre of the Scots, and what by this mortality and death, the people of the land were wonderfullie wasted and consumed. O pitiful depopulation!"

Famine.

The dearth began in 1289, and continued, more or less, nearly forty years; wheat rising spasmodically from 3d. to 10s. a bushel. In 1294 wheat was 30s. a quarter. In 1296 was another dearth, and this time chiefly of wine, "that the same could scarcely be had to minister the Communion within the Church." The great famine, however, was in 1315–16 (p. 114) when

"The beastes and cattell also, by the corrupt grasse whereof they fed, dyed, whereby it came to passe that the eating of flesh was suspected of all men, for flesh of beastes not corrupted was hard to finde. Horse-flesh was counted great delicates; the poore stole fatte dogges to eate; some (as it was sayde), compelled through famine, in hidden places, did eate the flesh of their owne children, and some stole others which they devoured. Theeves that were in prisons did plucke in peeces those that were newly brought amongst them, and greedily devoured them halfe alive."

But the king, Edward II., sent his writs throughout the realm, and commanded that no more wheat should be malted for ale making, which greatly relieved the distress.

Grievous murrains also attacked the live stock. These seem to have commenced in 1275, when "a rich man of France brought into Northumberland a Spanish ewe, as bigge as a calfe of two yeeres, which ewe being rotten, infected so the countrey, that it spread over all the realm. This plague of

murrein continued twenty-eight yeare ere it ended, and was the first rot that ever was in England." In 1318–19 there was a murrain among the cattle, which was so bad that dogs and ravens eating of their dead bodies were poisoned and died; and no man dare eat any beef. In 1325 there was a great drought, and the cattle and wild beasts died for lack of water.

Cost of Living.

Yet they had occasional periods of plenty, when provisions were reasonable: and, in looking at the following figures, we must multiply them by twenty, at least, to bring them to the value of our money. The following was the price settled by law to be paid for poultry, etc., in 1299: a fat cock, 1½d.; two pullets for 1½d.; a fat capon, 2½d.; a goose, 4d.; a mallard, 1½d.; a partridge, 1½d.; a pheasant, 4d.; a heron, 6d.; a plover, 1d.; a swan, 3s.; a crane, 1s.; two woodcocks for 1½d.; a fat lamb, from Christmas to Shrovetide, 1s. 4d.; and for the remainder of the year, 4d. [Stow.]

In 1314 provisions having grown somewhat dear, the matter was discussed early in the year in a parliament summoned at Westminster, when the prelates, peers, and commons there assembled, took into consideration the sad condition of the kingdom, and how to abate the excessive price of victuals, which, by reason of the late bad years, had grown so scarce that the ordinary people had much ado to live. The archbishops, bishops, earls, barons, etc., presented a petition to the king and his council praying that a proclamation might be issued, setting out the price of provisions in the manner following:

> "Because, they say, that oxen, cows, muttons, hogs, geese, hens, capons, chickens, pigeons, and eggs were excessive dear: that the best ox, not fed with corn, should be sold for 16s. and no more; and, if he was fed with corn, then for 24s. at most; the best live cow for 12s.; a fat hog, of two years old, for 3s. 4d.; a fat wether or mutton, unshorn, for 20d., or shorn, for 14d.; a fat goose for 2½d.; a fat capon for 2d.; a fat hen for 1d.; two chickens for 1d.; four pigeons for 1d.; and twenty-four eggs for 1d. And those who would not sell the things for these rates were to forfeit them to the king." (Cf. Stow, *sub anno.*)

Proclamation was made accordingly in every county, but the law of supply and demand was inexorable, and it could not be carried out.

In *The Vision of William concerning Piers Plowman*

in the reign of Edward III., we learn the diet of the small farmer of the time:

"I have no penny," quoth Piers, "pullets to buy,
Neither geese nor grice,[1] but two green cheeses,
And a few curds and cream, and a therf[2] cake,
And a loaf of beans[3] and bran baked for my children.
And I say, by my soul! I have no salt bacon,
Nor no cokeneys,[4] by Christ, collops to make.
But I have porets[5] and parsley, and many cole[6] plants,
And eke a cow and a calf, and a cart mare
To draw afield the dung, while the drought lasteth.
By this livelihood must I live till Lammas time;
By that I hope to have harvest in my croft,
Then may I dight thy dinner as thee best liketh.
All the poore people peas cods fetched,
Baked beans in bread they brought in their laps,
Chibolles,[7] chervils, and ripe cherries many,
And proffered Piers this present, wherewith to please *Hunger*."

[1] Pigs. [2] Unleavened. [3] This was called *horse bread*, as he says in another place, "With hound's bread and horse bread hold up their hearts!" [4] Lean fowls. [5] Leeks. [6] Cabbage. [7] Small onions.

The civic authorities in London looked well after the food of the people, and they had need so to do, for the old Letter books bring a deal of roguery to light, among bakers especially. Light weight was but natural, and when brought to book for the same they had "the punishment of the hurdle"; and on May 3, 1316, among other peccant bakers had up and punished was Alan de Lyndeseye, baker, who was sentenced to the pillory, because he had been convicted of baking pain demaign * that was found to be of bad dough within, and good dough without. And because such falsity redounds much to the deception of the people who buy such bread, he was committed for punishment."

These old Letter books tell us a great deal which we should not otherwise know of the accidents and offences of the time, and how they were dealt with. Here, for instance, is a case from the City Coroner's Roll, temp. Ed. I., in which we see a criminal taking sanctuary:

Accidents and Offences.

"On Monday next after Our Lord's Ascension in the year aforesaid

* "Bread of our Lord" (*panis dominicus*, p. 263): so called from having a figure of our Saviour impressed upon it.

(1276), the said Chamberlain and Sheriffs were given to understand that one Gervase le Noreys was lying dead in the king's highway in the Parish of Berkyngecherche, in the Ward of William de Hadestoke. Upon hearing which the said Chamberlain and Sheriffs went there, and by good and lawful men diligent inquisition was made how this happened.

"Who say that on the Sunday before, at the hour of Vespers, there arose a dispute between the said Gervase and one William de Lindeseye; whereupon the said William feloniously assaulted Gervase with a knife, giving him a wound in the left side of the back, etc., from the effects of which wounds he immediately died. After which, the said William forthwith fled to the Church, that is to say, the Chapel of St. Mary, Berkyngecherche. Being asked if they understand that any one else is guilty of causing that death, they say they do not. Being asked as to the goods and chattels of the said William, they say that for goods, he had one tabard,[1] of the value of tenpence, one hatchet, one bow with three arrows, value twopence, and one sheet, valued at fourpence; beyond which, he had no goods or chattels, as they understand. And the four nearest neighbours were attached by sureties."[2] (Riley, "Memorials," p. 5.)

[1] A short coat or mantle, open at the sides from the shoulder downwards, and reaching a little below the loins. [2] It was the usage to attach or exact sureties from each person present in the house where the subject of the inquest had died, as well as the neighbours living on either side of that house.

And here is an illustration of the procedure in a case of accident. It happened in 1277, and the victim was Matilda, wife of Henry le Coffeur, and

. . . . . "as the said Matilda was coming from West Chepe toward the Hospice, being drunk, she fell upon the pavement opposite the Church of St. Martin, and so broke her right arm. Upon being carried from that place to the house of the said Henry, her husband, she survived in a languishing state from that day until the Monday before Ash Wednesday next ensuing; on which day she died. They hold no one suspected. The body was viewed, upon which no injury appeared, except the arm broken, as aforesaid. And the two nearest neighbours were attached, each by two sureties. And the said Henry was attached, in whose house she died, by two sureties." (*Id.*, p. 11.)

The heavy offences such as forgery, etc., save one of highway robbery, for which the man was hanged, might seem to have come into being after 1348, as there are none recorded except assault cases. In 1304 Robert de Corvedale, clerk, was haled before the mayor and aldermen, because he had cursed Robert de Suttone, serjeant, in their presence:

"And the Mayor and Aldermen pardoned him for the said trespass this once. And the said Robert bound himself to give 40 shillings to the work at London Bridge, in case he should be found in future to offend against the said Mayor and Aldermen." (*Id.*, p. 53.)

In 1311, when Sir Richard de Refham was mayor, a clean sweep was made of persons of the class of Elmer de Multone, who was attacked

"for that he was indicted in the Ward of Chepe for being a common night walker, and in the day is wont to entice strangers and persons unknown to a tavern, and there deceive them by using false dice. And also, for that he was indicted in Tower Ward for being a bruiser and night walker, against the peace; and also, for being a common *rorere*." (*Id.*, p. 86.)

There were several other "roarers" had up at the same time; and this name for a roisterer lasted till the reign of Charles II. and later.

These minor offences may be classed as the police reports of the period: but far graver crimes were committed, notably the robbery of the king's treasury at Westminster Abbey, when money, plate, and jewels of the value of £100,000 were stolen in 1303. That it was done by some of the monks there can be little doubt, for part of the spoil was hid in the cloisters, which were sewn with hemp, and the gardener refused admission. The abbot and forty-eight brethren were sent to the Tower, and some of them were kept there for two years. The royal treasury was henceforth kept in safer custody.

Costume.

The dress of the labourer underwent little or no change, but that of the higher classes was exceedingly mutable, and the monumental effigies and brasses afford us even better examples, and are more trustworthy, than the illuminations in MSS., for there can be no doubt the persons are represented in their habits as they lived, correctly given even to the minutest detail.

The ladies had given up plaiting their hair in long tails, but rolled it up in a caul or net, sometimes made of gold thread; over this a veil was thrown, which was confined in some instances by a chaplet or coronet; and the wimple or gorget (or, as it was sometimes irreverently termed, "the towel") was still in use. If no chaplet was worn, the veil was skewered with pins. In the brass of Lady Joan de Cobham, in Cobham Church, Kent (A.D. 1320), she is represented with veil, wimple, and a plain dress, almost close-fitting, with tight sleeves buttoned all down the forearm. In that of the Lady Alyne, wife of Sir John de Creke (1325), in Westley Waterless

Church, Cambridgeshire, she wears veil and wimple, but her dress somewhat resembles the Saxon *gunna*, and she wears a half-mantle, secured across the breast by a cord. Even then the senseless long trailing dress was in vogue, and the following is a monkish satire on a proud woman:

"I have heard of a certain woman whose white robes dragged on the ground, and trailing behind her raised the dust as high as the altar and the crucifix. When, however, she would leave the church and lifted up her tail (train) on account of the dirt, a certain holy man saw a devil laughing, and adjured him that he should tell him why he laughed. Who said: 'A companion of mine was just now sitting on that woman's train, and he was using it as if it were his chariot; when, however, the woman lifted her train, my friend was shaken off into the dirt, and that is the cause of my laughing.'" (Percy Society, *Selections of Latin Stories*, ed. Wright, No. xvi.)

The dresses were worn long so as to cover the feet; but whenever these are shown, we find them daintily shod.

Women's Christian Names.

Women's Christian names about this period seldom included Mary or Maria, which is somewhat singular, but we have Christina or Cristina, Johanna, or Joan, Isabel, Matilda, Alison or Alice, Lucy, Petronilla, Agnes, Idonia, Avice, Claricia or Clarice, Evota, Richolda, Elecota, Anabilla or Annabel, Theophania or Tiffany, Massanda, Fynea, Desiderata, Massilia, Auncelia, and Godiyeva.

Male Dress.

Male civil costume in the time of the two first Edwards, judging from the MSS. of the time, was a long gown which came down to the feet, and was sometimes clasped round the waist with a girdle. They wore long leggings or stockings, richly worked with gold-thread, or else a tunic coming to the knees.

Of the materials of which they were made we have a list in an "inventory of cloths, seized in satisfaction for a debt due to London merchants from the Commune of Malyns" (1319):—

"Two vermilion scarlets, value 16 pounds. Also one cloth of brown russet, value 8 marks. Also, one cloth of mesne[1] blue, value 100 shillings. Also, one brown medley, value 8 marks. Also one sursie,[2] value 5½ marks. Also, one marbryn[3] brown medley, value 6½ marks. Also one murre[4] in grain, value £7 6s. 8d. Also one brown medley, value 6½ marks. Also, one vermilion medley in grain,[5] value 7 pounds. Also, one cloth of brown russet medley, value 6½ marks. Also, two sanguynes[6] in grain, value 15

pounds. Also one vermilion cheker, value 4 marks. Also, one cloth of Genoa, value 4 marks. Also, two aeoles[7] medley, value by the piece, 4 marks. Also, two medleys of Genoa, value by the piece, 50 shillings. Also, three short scarlets, value by the piece, 100 shillings. Also, five red medleys, value by the piece, 5 marks." (Riley, op. cit., p. 131.)

[1] Middling. [2] Probably *cursie*, a kerchief. [3] Marbled, mottled. [4] Murrey, dark red. [5] Dyed with cochineal. [6] Blood-red. [7] Probably an Italian cloth called *acoletus*.

Furs.

Furs, too, were worn as linings for cloaks and borders to garments, and in the charter granted to the pellipers or skinners of London in 1327, we get a list of them which shows that they were not very many: "miniver, bisshes" (made from a hind's skin), "popelle" (from the back of a squirrel in the spring), "stradlynge" (fur of the same between Michaelmas and spring), "scurelle" (squirrel), "beaveret" and "lambskin"; and stringent are the directions to the fripperers or phelipers (old-clothes dealers) that they should not vamp up old furs for new. They were staunch Protectionists then, for the parliament of 1337 enacted that "none should wear any cloaths wrought beyond the sea, or hereafter to be imported, except the king, queen, and their children," and also "that none should wear foreign furs or silks, unless he was worth £100 annual rent."

The bravery of apparel in the reign of Edward II. extended to the army, for Holinshed (quoting Caxton under date 1327) says, "At the same time, because the English souldiers of this armie were cloathed all in cotes and hoods, embrodered with floures and branches very seemelie, and used to nourish their beards: the Scots, in derision thereof, made a rime, which they fastened upon the church doores of Saint Peter toward Stangate, conteining this that followeth:—

> "*Longbeards, hartless; Painted hoods, witlesse;*
> *Gaie cotes, gracelesse; Make England thriftlesse.*"

Armour, in this period, was in a state of transition from the pure mail armour of the Normans to the plate and mail state, and thanks to the monumental brasses, we can easily trace the change. The first brass known of an English knight is that of Sir John d'Aubernoun (1277), in Stoke d'Abernon Church, Surrey. Here we find him in complete mail—hauberk, with *coif de mailles*, or hood, mufflers for the hands, and chausses, seemingly all in one piece; but he has *poleyns*

or *genouilliers* highly ornamented, and probably of *cuir-bouilli* to protect his knees. Over the hauberk he wears the *bliaus* or *surcoat*, which was sleeveless, and fastened round the middle with a cord. His small shield was suspended from an ornamented *guige* or strap, which passed over his right shoulder. His spear with its little pennon is by his right side, and a long, straight, broad-bladed sword, with plain cross handle, hangs by his left side from a belt resting on his hips. Roger de Trumpington (1289) is similarly accoutred, without the spear, which seldom again appears in a brass; his head rests on his *heaume*, and to his shoulders are attached those singular shield-like ornaments called *ailettes*.

In about thirty years there is a great change, as may be seen by the brass of Sir William Fitzralph (circ. 1320) in Pebmarsh Church, Essex. He still wears the coif de mailles, hauberk, mufflers and chausses, together with the *bliaus;* but the ailettes are discarded, and we have plates of iron buckled over the outside half of the arm from the shoulder to the elbow and wrist. These were called respectively *rerebraces* or *brassarts,* and *avant-bras* or *vambraces.* At the shoulders were circular plates of metal, or *roundels,* called *épaulières,* and similar but smaller plates at the elbows, called *coudières* or *coutes;* the *poleyns* are evidently of metal, and *jambarts* or *jambs* protected the front of the legs; these terminated in *sollerets,* or laminated plates, which gave with the feet.

In the brass of Sir John de Creke (circ. 1325) the *coif de mailles* is left off, and in its stead is a close-fitting helmet or *bascinet,*[1] to which is attached a *camail*, or hood of mail, which protects the neck and shoulders. The *bliaus* has given way to the *cyclas,* which was also sleeveless, was shorter in front than behind, and laced up at the sides of the body. Here for the first time we find rowelled spurs, all before having worn the plain prick spur. The *cyclas* soon gave way to the *jupon,* which was somewhat similar only shorter, and the same length back and front; and occasionally it was divided into the livery of the wearer, *i.e.*, the colours of his arms, or it was charged with the arms itself. Indeed, about this time they began to be very fond of showing their coats of arms. In a

[1] This, when first introduced, had no protection for the face, but we find a movable visor depicted in the brass (1347) of Sir Hugh Hastings at Elsyng Church, Norfolk.

fourteenth-century psalter Sir Geoffrey Loutterell, who died in 1345, is represented as on horseback, arming for a joust. The *housings* or *barding* of his horse, as well as a crest upon its head, are covered with his bend and martlets, his *cyclas* or *jupon*, his *ailettes*, his tilting *heaume*, his spear with its *pavon*, his shield, nay, even the pommel and cantle of his saddle, all bear his cognisance. His wife, who hands him his *heaume*, has on a dress one half of which bears his and the other half her own family arms. The great seals of Edward I. and II. are alike in their obverse; the housings of the king's horse in both cases bear the arms of England, and in both of the seals of Edward III. the same occurs. In the last (the Bretigny Seal) the *heaume* is crested with a lion: previously no crest had been used, the *heaume* being encircled with a coronal.

Heraldry had become a science, and the age of chivalry was in its prime: an age which, if only the lessons taught had been carried out, should have made society perfection. The knight should have taken as his model that "veray partitte gentilhome" "the good knight Christ," who, according to Piers Plowman, knighted the angels:

Knighthood.

> "For Crist, Kyngene Kyng,[1] Knytide tene,
> Cherubin and Seraphin, an al ye foure ordres.
> And gaf hem maystrie and miht in his Maiestie,
> And over his meyne made hem Archaungelis."

[1] King of Kings.

He was to be courteous and kind to everyone, no matter of what estate he was; he must be incapable of telling an untruth, or of doing any action in the slightest way inconsistent with integrity; to utterly scorn any mean act; to see no wrong done without endeavouring to set it right: to be chaste in his body and temperate and sober in his appetites: to be a devout Christian: to attend mass and confess whenever available; and last, not least, he was to be a true "squire of dames" in the highest and noblest sense of the phrase, neither doing them wrong himself nor suffering others to do so. Altogether the ideal knight should have been the perfection of humanity —a father to his people, a redresser of their wrongs.

According to Sir William Segar (*Honour Military and Civil*, Bk. ii., c. 3), a knight was made in the following manner:—A stage was erected in some cathedral, or

spacious place near it, to which the candidate for knighthood was conducted. Being seated in a chair of honour, he was asked whether he was of good constitution and able to undergo the fatigue required of a soldier; also, whether he was a man of good morals, and what credible witnesses he could produce to affirm the same.

Then the bishop, or chief prelate of the Church, administered the following oath:

"Sir, you that desire to receive the Order of Knighthood, swear before God, and this holy book, that you will not fight against his Majesty, who now bestoweth the order of Knighthood upon you. You shall also swear to maintain and defend all Ladies, Gentlewomen, Widows and Orphans; and you shall shun no adventure of your person in any war wherein you shall happen to be."

The oath being taken, two lords led him to the king, who drew his sword and laid it upon his head, saying, "God and St. George" (or what other saint the king pleased to name) "make thee a good knight," after which seven ladies dressed in white came and girt a sword to his side, and four knights put on his spurs.

These ceremonies being over, the queen took him by the right hand, and a duchess by the left, and led him to a rich seat, placed on an ascent, where they seated him, the king sitting on his right hand and the queen on his left. Then the lords and ladies also sat down upon other seats, three descents under the king; and being all thus seated they were entertained with a delicate collation, and so the ceremony ended.

Knights were of both religious and military orders, but at the time here treated the religious orders were vanishing, the Knights Templars being dissolved, and all the Templars in England seized on January 7, 1322 (p. 27).

A knight could be degraded, and his punishment was dreadful: as an example, we may take that of the Earl of Carlisle in 1322, whose story Stow tells as follows:

"About the feast of the Purification of our Ladie, *Andrew de Herkeley*, late made Earl of Carlyle, under colour of peace, fayned that he would marry *Robert Bruse* his sister. Whereupon, the King reputing him to be a Traytour, caused him to bee taken by his trustie friend Syr *Anthony de Lucie*, who sent hym in yrons strayght to London, where hee was iudged beefore Syr *Anthony de Lucy* in this manner. Hee was ledde to the Barre by an earle, worthily apparelled, with his sword girt about him, hosed,

booted and spurred, &c. Unto whom Syr *Anthony* spake in this maner: 'Sir *Andrew*' (quoth he) 'the King, for thy valiant service, hath done thee great honour, and made thee Earle of Carlisle; since which tyme, thou, as traytor to thy Lord the Kyng, leddest his people, that should have holpe hem at the battell of Beighland, awaie by the Country of Copland, and through the Earldom of Lancaster; by which meanes, our Lorde the King was discomfited there of the Scottes, through thy treason and falsenesse: whereas, if thou haddest come betimes, he hadde had the victorie; and this treason thou committedst, for ye great summe of golde and silver that thou receivedst of *James Dowglasse*, a Scot, the King's enemy. Our Lord the King will therefore, that the order of knighthood, by the which thou receivedst all thine honour, and worship uppon thy bodie, be brought to nought, and thy state undone; that other knights of lower degree may, after thee, be ware, and take example truely to serve.'

"Then commanded he to hewe his Spurres from his heeles, then to breake his sword over his head, which the King had given him, to keepe and defend his land therewith, when he made him Earle. After this, he let unclothe him of his furred Tabard, and of his Hoode, of his Coat of Armes, and also of his Girdle; and when this was done, Sir *Anthony* sayde unto him, '*Andrewe*' (quoth he), 'now art thou no knight, but a knave; and, for thy treason, the King will that thou shalt be hanged and drawne, and thine head smitten off thy bodie, thy bowelles taken out of thy bodie and burned before thee, and thy bodie quartered; and thy head being smitten off, afterwarde to bee set uppon London Bridge, and thy foure quarters shall bee sent unto foure good townes of England, that all other may be ware by thee.' And as *Anthony Lucy* hadde sayde, so was it done in all things, on the last daie of October."

At this time they imitated the fabled chivalry of King Arthur and his knights of the Round Table, at which none who sat could claim priority, which was supposed to have been held at Winchester, Camelot, and Caerleon. The rhyming "Chronicle of John Hardyng" (1279) tells:

"Howe syr Roger Mortymer was made earle of Marche at Kyllyngworthe, and set the rounde table of a thousande knyghtes, and as many ladyes:

"And in the yere a M was full then,
Two hundreth (also syxty) and nyntene,
When syr Roger Mortymer so began
At Kelyngworth the rounde table as was sene,
Of a thousande knyghtes, for disciplyne
Of yonge men, after he coude devyse
Of turnementes and iustes to exercyse.

¶ "A thousande ladyes excellynge in beaute,
He had there, also, in tentes hye above,
The iustes that they myght well & clerely se,
Who insted best there for theyr lady love;

For whose beautie it should the knyghtes move
In armes so etch (one) other to revie,
To gete a fame in playe of chivalrie.

¶ "This Mortimer was then lord Mortimer,
But in these iustes he held great feastes eche daye,
By fourty dayes conteined whole and clere,
At whiche one part of ladyes faire and gaye
Gave him the price of fame of all that playe;
Wherfore the Kyng to encrease his estate,
Proclaimed hym erle of Marche there create."

King Edward III. must needs have a Round Table of his own at Windsor, and began to build a place where to hold it —which was to be circular, and 200 feet in diameter. "Towards the finishing of this noble work, the king allowed £100 sterling to be expended weekly; tho' afterwards, by reason of his wars, he retrenched that allowance to £20 per week." And at Windsor he instituted the now premier order of chivalry in the world, the Order of the Garter, in 1344. Authorities differ as to the exact date of its foundation, some saying it was January 19; but Froissart, who was contemporary, says differently. Here is his version:

"In this season, the King of England toke pleasure to newe re-edefy the Castell of Wyndsore, the whiche was begonne by King Arthure; and ther firste beganne the table rounde, wherby sprange the fame of so many noble knightes throughout all the worlde. Than Kyng Edwarde determyned to make an order, and a brotherhode, of a certayne nombre of knyghtes, and to be called knyghtes of the blewe garter; and a feest to be kept yerely at Wynsore, on Saynt George's day. And to begynne this order, the kynge assembled togyder erles, lordes, and knyghtes of his realme, and shewed them his intencion; and they all ioyously agreed to his pleasur, by cause thei sawe it was a thyng moche honorable, and wherby great amyte and love shoulde growe and encrease; than was ther chosen out a certayne nombre of the moost valyantest men of the realme, and they sware and sayled to mentayne the ordynaunces, such as were devysed; and ye King made a Chapell in the Castell of Wynsore, of saynt George, and stablysshed certayne chanons ther, to serve God, and enduyed them with fayre rent. Than the Kyng sende to publysshe the feest, by his heraldes, into Fraunce, Scotland, Burgone, Heynault, Flaunders, Brabant, and into th' empyre of Almayne, gyveing to every knyght and squyer that wolde come to the sayd feest, xv. dayes of saufe conduct before the feest; and after the whiche feest, to begynne at Windsore, on saynt George day nexte after, in the yere of our lorde M.CCC.xliiii. and the quene to be ther, accompanyed with iii.C. ladyes and damosels, all of noble lynage, and apparelled accordingly." (Lord Berners' trans., I. 120.)

The installation of a Knight of the Garter is a most solemn function, every portion of the dress being presented to the recipient with a suitable admonition, similar in spirit to that given when the Garter is buckled on:

"To the honour of God omnipotent, and in memorial to the blessed Martyr St. George, tie about thy leg, for thy renown, this noble Garter: wear it as the symbol of the most illustrious order, never to be forgotten or laid aside; that thereby thou mayst be admonished to be courageous; and, having undertaken a just war, in which thou shalt be engaged, thou mayst stand firm, valiantly fight, and successfully conquer."

The foundation of this famous Order of Chivalry coincided in point of time with the birth in England of the instrument which was to be the destruction of chivalry—the gun—which has been supposed to have been first used by the English, at the battle of Crécy (p. 180). The first mention of gunpowder seems to have been in an indenture between John Starlyng, formerly clerk of the ships, galleys, barges, balingers, and other the king's vessels, and Helmyng Leget, keeper of the same, June 22 (12 Edw. III., 1338), which mentions: "un petit barell de gonpouder le quart' plein."

That the City of London had guns in their possession very early, we have testimony in an "Inventory of Munitions of War," provided by the City ("Letter-book F," fly-leaf):—

"*Item, in Camera Gildaulæ sunt sex instrumenta de latone, vocitata Gonnes, et quinque roleres ad eadem. It., peletæ de plumbo pro eisdem Instrumentis, quæ ponderant iiii^c libræ et dimidium. It., xxxii libræ de pulvere pro dictis Instrumentis.* (Also, in the Chamber of the Guildhall, there are six instruments of *latone*,[1] usually called *gonnes*, and five *roleres* to the same. Also pellets of lead for the same instruments, which weigh 4 hundredweight and a half. Also 32 pounds of powder for the said instruments)."

[1] Latten, a metal resembling brass.

AUTHORITIES, 1274—1348.

(*a*) GENERAL HISTORY.

Reign of Edward I.—Rishanger's *Chronicle* and Trivet's *Annals;* Matthew of Westminster; the Monastic Annals, especially those of Osney, Dunstable, and Waverley; the full and valuable Chronicle of Walter of Hemingburgh; these, with the Statute Book, the Royal Rolls, and Rymer's *Fœdera*, give a full and picturesque contemporary view of Edward I.'s reign. The Political Songs supply some touches, and the collection of writs in Stubbs' *Select Charters* is invaluable.

Modern Books.—Few, if any, periods of our history have been so grossly misrepresented as this reign. For the Scotch question, Burton's *History of Scotland*

may be taken as an impartial book, between Freeman's *Essay* on Edward on the one side, and Robertson's *Scotland under Her Early Kings* on the other. The Church quarrel, the constitutional growth, and the deeper aspects of the time, are best seen in Stubbs' *Constitutional History* and *Early Plantagenets*. For a good general view, see Tout's *Edward I.* (Statesmen Series).

Reign of Edward II.—The chief contemporary writers are: John of Trokelowe and St. Albans; the misnamed Monk of Malmesbury; the knight, Sir Thomas de la Moor; the diplomatist, Adam of Murimuth; and the continuator of Hemingburgh; these, and others, are given in the volumes of the Rolls Series on Edward II. Best modern accounts: Stubbs' *Constitutional History* and *Early Plantagenets* (last chapter), supplemented by Burton for Scotch affairs.

Reign of Edward III., 1327—1348.—The Chronicles of Walter of Hemingburgh, Adam of Murimuth, and Robert Avesbury, are the primary contemporary authorities, supplemented by a St. Albans Chronicle in the Rolls Series, the Lanercost Annals, and the Chroniques of John le Bel (so largely used by Froissart), and by the somewhat later works of Knyghton and Walsingham. The Rolls of Parliament and the Fœdera Collection give invaluable details. Of modern works, the most useful are: Longman, *Life and Times of Edward III.* (for social history and the wars); Bright, *History of England* (for full and accurate facts); Green, *History of the English People* (especially on social and literary subjects); and, above all, Stubbs, *Constitutional History*, Vol. II., which has in most parts, but not in all, superseded the account in Hallam's *Middle Ages*.

Wales.—*Annales Cambriæ; Brut y Tywysogion;* the works of Giraldus Cambrensis (Rolls Series); the *Ecclesiastical History* of Ordericus Vitalis; Royal Letters (Rolls Series); Welsh poems published in *Myfyrian Archæology*.

(*b*) SPECIAL SUBJECTS.

Religion.—*Chronicles of Edward I.*, ed. Stubbs, viz.: *London Annals*, Lambeth Continuation of the *Flores Historiarum*, *Encomium of Edward I.*, *Lives of Edward II.* by the Monk of Malmesbury, a Canon of Bridlington and Sir Thomas de la Moor. *Chronicle of Bartholomew Cotton*; *Flores Historiarum*, Vol. III.; Chronicle of John de Oxenedes; Peckham's *Register*, 3 vols. (all the above in Rolls Series). Wright, *Political Songs* (Camden Soc.). See also Thorold Rogers, *Six Centuries of Work and Wages;* Stubbs, works cited above, and prefaces to volumes above mentioned. Many interesting examples of Church usages are brought together in Cutts' *Dictionary of the Church of England* and Perry's *History of the Church of England*.

History of Law.—The authorities consist chiefly of (1) Statutes, (2) Reports, (3) Text Books. Of the Statutes there are many editions: the fullest is that published by the Record Commission. A series of Reports, known as *Year Books*, begins in the reign of Edward I. and ends in that of Henry VIII., but there are many gaps in the series; those of Edward I.'s reign are printed in the Rolls Series, and some of those of Edward III.'s reign are being edited in the same Series by Mr. Pike. The old printed editions of the other *Year Books* are extremely faulty. When the *Year Books* stop in Henry VIII.'s reign, we begin to get, for the first time, reports which are known by the names of their compilers, *e.g.* those of Dyer; the reports of Plowden and Coke are among the most celebrated. Of the text-books of the later Middle Ages, Littleton's *Tenures* is the only book of any merit: it comes from the 15th century. Coke, in his four *Institutes*, sums up a great part of the law of his own day and of earlier times in a very disorderly fashion. Much historical matter is to be found in Hale's various works and in Blackstone's Commentaries, and several portions of English law have in recent times found historians. The best general history is still that compiled by John Reeves.

Warfare.—Froissart, and other chronicles, *passim;* Hewitt, *Ancient Armour* (Oxford, 1860); Clarke, *Mediæval Military Architecture* (1886); Oman, *Art of War in the Middle Ages;* Köhler, *Kriegswesen in die Ritterzeit* (Breslau, 1889).

Naval Matters.—(As in c. III. and IV.) The evidence is very much scattered. Leading sources are the *Black Book of the Admiralty*, the *Pipe Rolls*, *Close Rolls*, *Patent Rolls*, and *Rolls of Parliament*, *Wardrobe Accounts*, *Acta Regia* (mostly published by the Record Commission), *Chronicles* of Flodoard and Melrose, *Chronique de Normandie*, Selden's *Mare Clausum* (1635, translated 1652), Prynne's *Animadversions*. Jal's *Archéologie Navale* is a useful modern authority; so is Nicolas' *Naval History*. Many of the authorities are still in MS.

Architecture and Art.—Ferguson, *Gothic Architecture*; Rickman, *Gothic Architecture*; Parker, *Glossary* and *Introduction to Gothic Architecture*; Murray's *Cathedral Handbooks*; Scott, *Mediæval Architecture*; Turner and Parker, *Domestic Architecture of the Middle Ages*; Willis, *Canterbury*; Stanley, *Westminster Abbey*; Eastlake, *Materials for a History of Oil Painting*; Clark, *Mediæval Military Architecture in England*; Winston, *Inquiry into the Difference of Style in Ancient Glass Paintings*.

Coins.—Willis' *Canterbury*; Ruding's *Annals of the Coinage of Great Britain*; Ackerman's *Manual*; Hawkins' *Silver Coins of England*; Kenyon, *Gold Coins of England*.

Learning and Science.—*The Universities*: Maxwell Lyte, *History of the University of Oxford*; Prof. T. Holland in Oxford Historical Society's *Collectanea, II.*; Anstey's *Munimenta Academica* (Rolls Series); A. G. Little, *Grey Friars in Oxford* (Oxf. Hist. Soc.); Bass Mullinger, *The University of Cambridge to* 1535; Willis and Clark, *Architectural History of the University of Cambridge*; Ingram, *Memorials of Oxford*; Rev. A. Clark, *Colleges of Oxford*; Brewer, *Monumenta Franciscana* and *Opus Tertium*, etc., *of Roger Bacon* (Rolls Series); *Catalogi Veteres Librorum Ecclesiæ Dunelmensis* (Surtees Society); Dict. of Nat. Biog. (art. *Bacon*, etc.). For the *Scholastic Philosophy*, Hauréau, *Histoire de la Philosophie Scholastique*; Ueberweg's or Erdmann's *History of Philosophy*; Poole, *Illustrations of Mediæval Thought*.

Alchemy, Astrology, etc.—Many early treatises in Latin on Alchemy are in the *Theatrum Chemicum* (1689). English tracts in Ashmole, *Theatrum Chemicum Britannicum*. The legal documents on Alchemy are collected in the *Antiquary* of Sept., 1891. None of the early English astrological works have been printed, but Cockayne (see below) contains much that survived the Conquest. Wright's *Popular Treatises on Science* shows the important position of Astronomy in mediæval science; see also Bacon, *Opera Inedita* (Brewer).

Medical Science.—*Leechdoms, Wort-cunning, and Starcraft of Early England*, ed. Cockayne (Rolls Series); Freind, *History and Physic from the time of Galen to the 16th Century* (2 vols., 1726); J. F. South, *Memorials of the Craft of Surgery in England* (ed. by Power, Introduction by Sir J. Paget). *Public Health.*—Creighton, *History of Epidemics in Britain*.

Literature.—Robert of Gloucester's *Chronicle*, ed. W. Aldis Wright (Rolls Series); Robert Manning of Brunne, *Story of England*, ed. F. J. Furnivall (Rolls Series); *The Harrowing of Hell*, ed. Dr. Eduard Mall (Berlin, 1871); *The York Plays*, ed. L. Toulmin Smith (Clarendon Press, 1885); *The Towneley Mysteries* (Surtees Soc., 1836); *Ludus Coventriæ*, ed. Halliwell (Shakespeare Soc., 1841); T. Wright, *Early Mysteries of the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries* (London, 1838); A. W. Pollard, *English Miracle Plays*, etc. (Clarendon Press, 1890); R. Genée, *Die Engl. Mirakelspiele, etc.* (Berlin, 1878); C. A. Hare, *Miracle Plays, etc.*, trans. by A. W. Jackson (London, 1880); J. L. Vilein, *Gesch. d. Dramas* (Leipzig, 1865-86, Bd. 12); A. W. Ward, *History of English Dramatic Literature*, 2 Vols. (1875); J. A. Symonds, *Shakespeare's Predecessors and the Drama* (1884); Henry Morley *English Writers*, Vols. IV. and V. (Cassell & Co.); Bernh. Ten Brink, *Gesch. d Englischen Litteratur*, Bd. II., i. Hälfte (Berlin, 1889); A. Brandl, *Mittelenglische Litteratur*, in Paul's *Grundriss d. Germ. Philologie*, Bd. II., Abth. I., Lief. 6 (Strassburg, 1892).

Agriculture.—Ashley, *Economic History*; Cunningham, *Growth of English Industry and Commerce*; Thorold Rogers, *Six Centuries of Work and Wages*, and

History of Agriculture and Prices; Walter de Henley, ed. Lamond (Royal Historical Society); *Domesday of St. Paul's*, ed. Hales (Camden Soc., 1858); *Custumals of Battle Abbey*, ed. Scargill Bird (Camden Soc., 1867); *Fleta*, ed. Selden; *Hundred Rolls* (Record Commission).

Commerce, etc.—Cunningham, *History of Industry and Commerce;* Ashley, *Economic History;* Thorold Rogers, *Agriculture and Prices*, Vols. I., II.; Hall, *History of the Customs' Revenue*, Vols. I., II.; Madox, *History of the Exchequer;* Cross, *The Gild Merchant; Monumenta Gildhallæ* (ed. Riley, Rolls Series); Jacobs, *Jews in Angevin England;* Karl Kunze, *Hanseakten aus England*, 1275—1412; Ruding, *Annals of Coinage*, Vols. I.—III.; *Report* of the Royal Commission on the Mint (Sessional Papers, 1849); The Red Book of the Exchequer, Vol. III. (ed. Hall, Rolls Series), shortly to be published.

Social Life.—Holinshed's *Chronicles;* Stow's *Annals;* Fitzstephen, *Description of the City of London* (ed. 1772); *The Vision of William concerning Piers the Plowman* (Clarendon Press, 2 Vols.); *Piers the Plowman's Crede* (London, 1814); Wright, *History of Domestic Manners during Middle Ages;* Day and Dines, *Illustrations of English Mediæval Costume* (London, 1851); *Selection of Latin Stories from MSS. of 13th and 14th Centuries* (Percy Soc.); Shaw, *Dresses and Decorations of the Middle Ages;* Fairholt, *Costume in England* (1846); Planché, *Cyclopædia of Costume* (London, 1879); Strutt, *Sports and Pastimes* (London, 1801); C. Boutell, *The Monumental Brasses and Slates of England;* Stothard, *Monumental Effigies of Great Britain* (London, 1817); Macklin, *Monumental Brasses* (London, 1890); Renton, *Heraldry in England Concisely Explained* (London, 1887); Planché, *The Pursuivant of Arms* (London, 1889); Boutell, *Heraldry, Ancient and Modern* (London, 1893); *Chronicles* of John Hardyng; Froissart's *Chronicle*, Lord Berners' trans. (ed. 1892); H. Riley, *Memorials of London in the 13th, 14th, and 15th Centuries* (London, 1868).

CHAPTER VI.

THE BLACK DEATH AND ITS EFFECTS. 1348–1399.

C. CREIGHTON.
The Black Death; its Ravages.

THE political history of the latter half of the fourteenth century is far from unimportant; but its greatest events shrink into insignificance in presence of that tremendous social calamity which changed the whole face of rural England, and, by transforming her agricultural system, gave a new direction to her industries, left a lasting impress on her laws, her arts, and her manners, and, in a word, profoundly and permanently affected the whole future course of her political, social, and economic life.

The Black Death which invaded this country in 1348, was the same disease that was afterwards known as the plague. From that invasion it had a continuous history in England down to the Great Plague of London, and was indeed the grand zymotic disease of the country for more than three hundred years. It was a peculiarly fatal infection, and, for the most part, quick in its execution. In later times about one-half of all that were attacked died, the fatality growing less and the course of the disease more chronic as an outbreak declined; but in the first great invasion it is probable that the deaths were many more than the recoveries, and it is known that the victims often died within twenty-four hours of the onset, and probably in most cases before the end of the third day. In later times, also, it was nearly always the poorer classes that died, perhaps because they had not the means of escaping from the infected spot as their betters did; but in the Black Death all classes died—the Archbishop of Canterbury and many wardens of City Companies in London, abbots and priors of monasteries, with a great part of the monks and lay brethren, the parish clergy, and the farmers or yeomen of the manors, as well as the labourers. There was no escaping from the Black Death by flight, unless those

escaped who took to the water in boats, just as many Londoners in the plague of 1665 passed the dangerous time on board vessels in the Thames. More men than women died, and more in the prime of life or of middle age than aged persons or children.

Its Symptoms and Character.

The one great and appalling symptom was the sudden appearance of risings or botches in the groin, or in the arm-pit, or in the neck; these were the natural lymph-glands or absorbent glands of those regions, enormously swollen, to the size of a hen's egg or larger, tense and painful, and occupied with a hard or dry substance which yielded not one drop of matter when lanced, and could not be made to break by poulticing. Many cases had also red or livid spots on the breast or back, which were of the worst possible omen, and were known as "God's tokens." Carbuncles were apt to form in the fleshy parts of the trunk and limbs; and there might be still a fourth class of external signs in the form of blains or small boils dispersed over the skin, which had a core as if they had been diminutive carbuncles. In some cases—but it would seem not in all—the skin around these various formations was red, hot, tender, and swollen; thus the thigh would be inflamed if the bubo were in the groin. Whenever the buboes broke or suppurated, as they were most apt to do towards the end of a plague-season, the patient's chances of recovery were greatly increased, while his recovery would be at the same time very slow. These were the external marks of the Black Death and of plague at all times and in all places. But the Black Death had another symptom, which indicated a special degree of malignancy—namely, vomiting or spitting of blood; it is mentioned by only one of our native chroniclers, a friar of Kilkenny, and mentioned by him in such a way as if it had not been a symptom of every case. One other great symptom, common to plague at all times, was the delirium or raving, which was sometimes gentle and sometimes violent, and by no means universal in either degree. As in the other infections which rank with plague in deadliness, Asiatic cholera and yellow fever, the last hours of the patient were often placid and conscious; but there was also a more militant type of symptoms with loud crying from the pain of the dry and tense

botches, and delirium, even to the extent of rising from the bed and rushing out of doors.

The Black Death is first heard of in the Crimea, at the siege of a small Genoese fort on the Straits of Kertch. The fort was a trading place of the Italian merchants engaged in the overland China trade by a northern route which left China close to the Great Wall and had its European terminus on the Volga and the Caspian, the Don and the Euxine. According to the rumour of the time, the Black Death arose in China from the putrefaction of innumerable unburied corpses; and it is known that the natural calamities of China —floods, droughts, and earthquakes, attended by famines and fevers and by an immense loss of life—were frequent throughout a whole generation preceding. It is natural to think of the overland caravan trade, which was then an extensive one, as the means of bringing the infection to Europe. At all events, it is significant that the Black Death is first heard of at one of the fortified posts of the China merchants, within which they had taken refuge with their goods from the depredations of the Tartar hordes. The outbreak of the plague had the effect of raising the siege; the Tartars dispersed all over the regions of the Black Sea and Caspian, and started the infection on its travels eastwards to the Central Asian khanates, as well as to Asia Minor, Syria, and Egypt; while it was brought to Constantinople by ships from the Euxine, and to Genoa by the very ship which rescued the besieged China merchants from the Crimean fort.

Its Origin and Route.

These events appear to have happened in the years 1346–47; by 1348 the disease was spread all over the shores of the Mediterranean; and in the beginning of August in that year it landed at Melcombe Regis, in Dorsetshire. Within a fortnight it was in Bristol, and soon after that in Gloucester; by the new year the whole diocese of Bath and Wells was feeling the want of priests to perform the last offices for the plague-stricken. London, in the one direction, was reached about the 1st of November, while in its south-western progress the infection had got as far as Bodmin shortly before Christmas. Early in the spring of 1349, the

Its Progress in England.

mortality began in Norfolk, and in the course of that summer and autumn it seems to have overtaken all other parts of England, being heard of in the abbey of Meaux, in Holdernesse, in the month of August. Wales, Scotland, and Ireland were all invaded in due course. In Ireland the disease was first seen on the shores of Dublin Bay in August, but whether of 1348 or 1349 is uncertain, and it was in Kilkenny during the Lent following. The chief part of the mortality in Scotland was in the year 1350. In London the epidemic is said to have ceased about Whitsuntide, 1349, and it was certainly on the decline by that time, April having been its worst month, as appears from the number of wills proved. It is said to have come to an end in the city of York in July, and all over England about Michaelmas, 1349; so that it would have lasted about fourteen months from its landing in Dorset, and perhaps from four to six or eight months at any given point of its progress, according to the number of people left alive and susceptible.

The Mortality.

Two-thirds of the parish clergy in Norfolk died, and at least one-half in the archdeaconries of Nottingham, the West Riding and the North Riding of Yorkshire; according to the new researches, the rates were similar in all England. In the monasteries, with the remarkable exception of Canterbury, the mortality was even greater than among the parish clergy. In London the highest mortality was over two hundred in a day, which would mean, according to the usual course of plague-epidemics in the capital in later times, a total mortality of some twenty thousand, or nearly one-half of the population. In Bodmin, fifteen hundred are said to have died, and in Leicester about eighteen hundred—in both cases about one-half of the estimated population; and these may be taken as fair samples of the towns. In the manor of Winslow one hundred and fifty-three tenants died, and it is reckoned that the proportion of deaths among the small farmers who served on the jury was three-fifths. The eastern counties suffered most, especially the city of Norwich, which was for many years after reduced from being the second city in the kingdom to the sixth place, with a population not more than one-third of what it had been before the Black Death. The whole of England, town and country alike, had probably lost from one-third to one-half

of its inhabitants. England was not so populous again until the reign of Elizabeth.

W. J. CORBETT.
Agriculture.

THE state of the agricultural classes in England during the first half of the fourteenth century, though not, perhaps, quite so prosperous and satisfactory as in the thirteenth, was still, as has been shown, steadily progressive. From the point of view of the peasantry, indeed, there was a very remarkable advance; for it was during this period that the first definite steps were taken towards the extinction of serfdom. In consequence, as we have already seen, by the middle of the reign of Edward III. there had arisen an entirely new and increasingly numerous class of labourers who worked for wages, and who, though not legally free, were for the most part so far their own masters that they sought work wherever they could find it. This great change, which on the Continent was not even initiated till some centuries later, in most countries was not completed till after the French Revolution. But in England it had begun so spontaneously, and, up to the period now before us, progressed so rapidly and smoothly and in such a variety of localities, that, when the year 1348 opened, there really seemed to be no reason why, in the course of another few decades, the spirit of liberty should not have obtained a complete triumph throughout the length and breadth of the country, and the ancient obligations of the serfs to render personal services on their lords' demesnes become entirely obsolete. Even the disastrous period of famine between 1311 and 1321, followed as it undoubtedly was by a decline in the number of the working population and a consequent rise in wages, does not appear to have materially deterred the landowners from continuing to adopt the new wage system in farming their estates, or to have tempted those who had already done so to revert to the older system of services when they found their expenses in wages much greater than they had originally expected. In fact, at this time all the signs served to point to continued progress, and there was hardly a cloud to darken the agricultural outlook unless it were the growing luxury and ostentation which became a feature in the life of nearly all classes of the nation

Rise of a Wages Class.

in the reign of Edward III.; while even this seemed to be justified by the constant growth of commerce and the still more extraordinary successes which attended our armies in the great French War.

The Beginning of the Peasant Revolts.

In a moment, however, all this was changed, and before 1349 had run its course all further hope of progress for some time to come had died away. For a struggle had been inaugurated between the labourers and their employers, which was to last for at least two generations, and which in its earlier stages even seemed likely to lead to a complete reaction and a general reintroduction of the discarded labour services. In the end such a retrogression was happily avoided, but for a time the fate of the labourers undoubtedly hung evenly in the balance. That so startling a change could ever have become a possibility demands an explanation; so now let us examine what can have produced so great a revolution.

Its Causes.

The immediate and most conspicuous, if not the only, cause to which it must be attributed is the great and unparalleled pestilence which swept over England in 1348 and 1349, carrying off about half the population, and which, not content with this, revisited the country no less than five times before the end of the century.

The Black Death.

In suffering from this scourge, England was by no means alone; for there does not appear to have been a country from China to the Atlantic that was not affected by its ravages. In none, however, were its ravages more extensive, the terror that it caused more overwhelming, or the social changes that it brought about more far-reaching.

The summer of 1349 was, in fact, the time of the most terrible mortality, the records of the months from April to September consisting of little else but notices of deaths, and that, too, both in town and country. Thus in London no less than four wardens of the Goldsmiths' Company had to be appointed in succession in the course of the year, and a new graveyard of thirteen acres, upon whose site the Charter House was afterwards built, had to be opened to bury the dead. At Colchester the wills of one hundred and eleven burgesses were registered, which implies that more than a third of the total number (which was about four hundred) had died; for the plague must have carried off many before they had

time to make a will. At Bristol the living were hardly able to bury the dead. At Leicester, "in the small parish of St. Leonard, more than 380 souls were carried off; in the parish of St. Cross, more than 400; in the parish of St. Margaret, more than 700; and so on in each parish in great multitudes." In some country districts, where the evidence has been most fully examined, it can even be shown that the disease was more virulent and deadly than in the crowded alleys of the towns. For example, with regard to East Anglia, at this time one of the most populous and prosperous districts in all England, we are told by Dr. Jessopp that in Hunstanton, a parish of some 2,000 acres, 172 tenants of the manor all died within eight months, including the parish priest; and that of these, seventy-four left no male heirs behind them, and nineteen others absolutely no blood relations at all to inherit their holdings. That in a similar way at Hadeston, near Norwich, there died fifty-four men and fourteen women out of a population of less than 400, and that in many cases their whole families must have perished with them: for, as the court rolls show, twenty-four of these holdings escheated to the lord. At Heacham, near Hunstanton, a dispute between a husband and wife about the latter's dower, was in April put down by the steward of the manor for hearing before himself and the homage at the next sitting of the court, which would occur in two months' time; but when the day came every one of the wife's witnesses was dead, and the husband also. These exact statistics from the court rolls are, however, perhaps hardly so eloquent as the absolute silence with which these months of pestilence are passed over in the otherwise unbroken records of many manors, showing that the courts had ceased to be held altogether, and that in all probability not only the steward, but also every one else who was capable of keeping the rolls, had succumbed. For when the records do begin again, it is usually in the scrawling handwriting of a novice, and in the most informal style. The finishing touch, if one is wanted, to this picture of death and disorganisation in the rural districts can be added from the records of the clergy, for in the Diocesan Institution books and monastic chartularies there exists a mass of unimpeachable evidence as to the extent of the mortality, both among the seculars and regulars. Thus in the diocese of Norwich, in July, 1349, alone, there were

209 institutions to benefices; while the total for the whole year ending March, 1350, was 863: that is to say, considerably more than two-thirds of all the livings in Norfolk and Suffolk became vacant in consequence of the plague; and it may be added that "at least nineteen religious houses in the same diocese were left without a prior or abbot." In the diocese of Ely, which contained about 145 benefices, ninety-two were vacated, and in some parishes five or six parish priests were instituted in succession during the year. In the monastery at St. Albans, out of sixty inmates forty-three died, while the chronicler Walsingham records that many religious houses were either completely desolated or left with only one or two members. Finally, the difficulty of finding competent successors to those whom the plague carried off seems to have caused quite as much trouble to the bishops as we have implied it did to the lords of the manors. For many parishes were long left without incumbents, and others had to be content with raw and illiterate youths or laymen who had only just been hurriedly ordained to supply the deficiency.

This brief sketch, short as it is, will have attained its object if only it has succeeded in showing that, though we may dismiss as an exaggeration Walsingham's assertion that only a tenth part of the people of England remained alive when the fury of the plague abated in 1350, there is nevertheless no real danger of our making a mistake if we estimate the total loss in life to the nation from the epidemic at about one-half of the population. This, of course, is a large but not too liberal figure, for it must be remembered that as usual the pestilence did not come alone, but was attended by its handmaidens dearth and starvation; and these also claimed their victims. For a time, indeed, cultivation became impossible, and "the sheep and cattle strayed through the fields and corn, and there were none left who could drive them." Harvests rotted in the ground, and the fields were left unploughed. The disorganisation of labour in fact was complete, and must be insisted on, for it is only after first grasping the great extent of the mortality of these years, and the extraordinary decrease in the number of men available for labour in the fields that consequently ensued, that we can adequately account for or even understand the subsequent course of agricultural history. When once this has been done, however, all becomes comparatively plain, and

it is easy to see what a formidable difficulty the landowners found themselves in as soon as ever the panic caused by the Black Death had begun to subside. For now instead of there being everywhere a fair abundance of labourers who were either willing or who could be made to work, there was everywhere a scarcity. The supply, too, of hired labour which was available to carry on the farming of the country, had not only absolutely diminished, but the demand for it on the part of the landowners had also relatively increased. For nearly all landowners must have had large quantities of land thrown upon their hands, owing to their tenants having died without leaving any successors; and this they were now obliged to work for themselves in addition to their old demesne lands proper, or else they must allow it to go out of cultivation and lie idle altogether. Consequently, even supposing that they could still count upon securing enough hands to work what they formerly farmed, they would none the less still be in want of extra hands, in addition to the number formerly employed before the plague, in order to work the extra area and thus make up for the loss of the rents and other fees which the disappearance of the tenants had entailed. In a similar way, even those landowners who had held fast to the old methods of farming, and never commuted the prædial services of their villans, now for the first time in many cases were obliged to have recourse to hired labour whether they liked it or not. For many manors were so depopulated and devastated by the plague that there was no longer a tenantry to be found on them either numerous enough or efficient enough to carry on the cultivation of the demesne with their services, and the farming never could have been kept up unless additional labour had been introduced. This, however, could only be secured by paying for it, for not even the most exacting landlord could have dared to increase, beyond what was customary, the amount of services due from those who survived; while it is very improbable that it would have been of any use at such a season as this to fall back upon their collective responsibility, although, as we have seen in theory, this would no doubt have been possible.

The Scarcity of Labour.

Just at this time, therefore, when the ranks of the hired labourers had been extraordinarily thinned, hired labour became the one thing that all landowners alike were most in

need of. In other words, the labourers having become indispensable, found themselves the masters of the situation, and the natural result of course followed. Their demands for wages increased enormously; in some cases they even more than doubled them, and yet they were not satisfied. Especially was this the case, as might be expected, in those employments where the rate of wages formerly paid had been exceedingly low, for now it took a great deal to induce any one to undertake any service that was more than usually exacting or disagreeable. Women, for instance, who before had done a great deal of the inferior kind of work for a penny a day and even less, now invariably demanded twopence, and sometimes even obtained threepence. As the poet, William Langland, who wrote only a few years later than 1350, tells us: "Labourers that have no land to live on but their hands, disdained to live on penny ale or bacon, but demanded fresh flesh or fish, fried or baked, and that hot and hotter for chilling of their maw; and but if they be highly hired, else will they chide and wail the time that they were made workmen." Of every quarter of wheat harvested, one-eighth had now to be paid over to the workmen as wages, instead of one-twelfth only as before the plague, while a further addition of thirty per cent. on the old rates had to be paid to get it threshed. Very little time had to elapse before such a state of things began to tell heavily on the landowners, and they were soon at their wits' end to know what to do, for one and all found themselves in a dilemma, and had to choose between losing their incomes by letting their fields lie uncultivated, or equally losing by attempting to cultivate them.

The Demands of the Labourers.

Women's Wages.

It could not be expected that any large body of men, when they found themselves in such a predicament, would long be content to submit passively to their evil fortune. The Berkeley, for instance, whose manor of Ham had become so depopulated that he had to hire "as many workfolk as amounted to 1,144 days' work" to gather in his harvest, must soon have lost patience and begun thinking of how things might be quickest restored to their old position. So also must the lord of Great Tew in Essex, whose tenants had once owed him 2,000 days' service in winter and 580 in autumn, for which, however, he had

The Landlords' View.

unfortunately accepted a commutation at the rates of a halfpenny and penny each respectively. Only a small proportion of these could now in all probability have been paid, while instead, even in winter, he had to give each labourer threepence for doing an equivalent amount of work, and much more in the busier season. It must be remembered, too, that in the eyes of the men of these times the increased demands of the labourers, however natural they may seem to us, must have appeared distinctly immoral. For what else was it but an attempt to take advantage of the necessity of others, an action which all mediæval teachers denounced, and which in many cases was even forbidden by legislation? It was very obvious, also, that in another way the new state of things was likely to become a danger to the country, for when the wandering labourer could find no landlord who was willing to pay him exactly what he demanded, he very easily turned into a "sturdy beggar," even if he did not go to greater lengths and take to the woods in the character of Robin Hood. The landlords, in fact, can have had very little difficulty in convincing themselves that the new state of things was not one which they could tolerate—was one, indeed, which they could not, consistently with a proper sense of their duty towards their country, allow to continue; and so they at once applied to Parliament—that is, to themselves under another name—to have it brought to an end by enacting that both the payment and receipt of higher than the customary wages should henceforth be illegal. To them, no doubt, this expedient seemed both the quickest and the simplest; in reality it was far otherwise, for it marks the beginning of the long quarrel between the capitalist and the wage-earner, which in one way or another has ever since continued to exist.

The Statute of Labourers.

The most celebrated of the legislative efforts made by the landowners in the direction of fixing wages upon what they considered to be a fair basis is that known as the Statute of Labourers, which was passed upon the first reassembling of Parliament after the plague, in 1351; but in reality this enactment was only a second edition of an ordinance which had been drawn up by the king as early as June 18th, 1349, when the plague had only just reached its height, and issued in the form of a proclamation so as to provide a summary remedy

for the grievances under which many of his subjects were already at that early date beginning to suffer. These, indeed, are well set out in the preamble which runs: "Because a great part of the people, and especially of Workmen and Servants, late died of the pestilence, many, seeing the necessity of Masters and great scarcity of Servants, will not serve unless they may receive excessive wages, and some are rather willing to beg in idleness than by labour to get their living;" while the eight chapters which follow are said to have been ordained in consideration of "the discommodity which of the lack especially of Ploughmen and such labourers may hereafter come." Chief among the remedies consequently provided were the following: That every man or woman, bond or free, able in body and within the age of threescore years, not having his own whereof he might live, nor land of his own about which he might occupy himself, and not serving any other, should be bound to serve the employer who should require him to do so, provided that the lords of any bondman or landservant should be preferred before others for his services. That such servants should take only the wages which were accustomed to be given in the places where they ought to serve in the twentieth year of the king's reign, that is in 1347, or the year before the plague; and that anyone who should neglect so to serve should be committed to gaol until he found a security. That any reaper, mower, or other workman, who should leave his service, should be imprisoned, and that none, under the like pain, should receive or retain him. That any workman demanding or receiving more than the accustomed wages should be prosecuted in the court of the manor where he was serving, and pay double as a penalty; while any lord promising to give such wages should be fined treble. That contracts for such wages should be unenforceable; and, finally, that no one should give anything, even under colour of alms, to valiant beggars, upon pain of imprisonment.

It would appear from the sweeping way in which the above provisions follow one another, that the authors of the ordinance were not much troubled with doubts as to the possibility of effecting what they wanted; but if so, they were soon undeceived. For already in the preamble to the statute of 1351 there is a confession that "it is given the king to

understand that the said servants have no regard of the said ordinance, but to their ease and singular covetise do withdraw themselves, unless they have livery and wages to the double or treble of what they were wont to take, to the great damage of the great men." The candour of this last phrase is certainly remarkable; but, nevertheless, there is no necessity for us to believe, as some have done, that the landlords were consciously unjust in trying to prevent the labourers from succeeding in their demands, or acted otherwise than under the honest belief that the introduction of a system of competitive wages, till then unknown in the country, would be merely a source of mischief. For it is not as if they tyrannously attempted to keep down wages at a time when the cost of living and prices generally were rising, without making any effort to allow for such a disturbing influence. On the contrary, they seem to have been fully aware that such a course would have been oppressive. For both in the ordinance and the statute they inserted clauses which were also intended to regulate prices. For instance, in the ordinance we read that "butchers, fishmongers, regraters, hostelers, brewers, bakers, pulters, and all other sellers of all manner of victuals, shall be bound to sell the same victual for a reasonable price, so that the same sellers have moderate gains and not excessive; and that if any be convicted of selling in any other manner, he shall pay the double of the same that he so received to the party damnified." In the statute they even went further, and regulated the prices of boots and shoes. In fact, what ought to be criticised in this legislation is not its want of justice, nor even its bad policy, but its obvious futility. To the impartial man of that day it no doubt seemed fair, and may well have seemed advantageous, but it is hard to believe that it ever had the least chance of succeeding. For even the landlords themselves, though they did not perceive it, must have been at heart its opponents, as they would have been the very first to object to a reduction being made in the prices they obtained for the products of their estates; and without this as a preliminary no permanent change could be expected, as without it the old rate of wages was no longer reasonable. It was the failure of Parliament to see this that had such

The Regulation of Prices.

The Futility of the Statute of Labourers.

bad results, and in the course of the next few years caused a widespread social discontent to be added to the other misfortunes which had overtaken the country. Instead of altering their policy and looking out for other modes of relieving the distress when they found that neither prices nor wages would diminish in obedience to their desires, the majority of landowners only urged upon the king the advisability of further increasing the severity of the Statute of Labourers. The labourers again became tied to the soil, and were forbidden to travel without letters of authorisation. Runaway labourers were ordered to be outlawed, and branded with an "F" for their falsity. Towns which harboured them were to be fined ten pounds. Even the slightest infraction of the law was no longer to be punished with a fine, but imprisonment without the option of bail was to be inflicted in every case. To enforce these laws universally was of course impossible, but in many instances the landlords did not flinch from the attempt, while Parliament kept constantly encouraging them and egging them on by repeatedly re-enacting the laws, and adding to the penalties and to the coercive powers of the justices. Every recurrence of the plague, in fact, and every outbreak of dearth or murrain, by renewing the disorganisation of labour, seems to have stirred up the Legislature to fresh activity, whereas by rights these calamities should have shown the Commons that they were running their heads against a brick wall, and that no amount of obstinacy on their side was ever likely to triumph over a stubbornness which in their opponents was born of necessity, and which, sooner than capitulate, would have recourse to rebellion if only sufficiently provoked.

A. L. SMITH.
The Years of Truce, and Their Work.

THE years of truce witnessed some important legislation, besides the Statutes of Labourers. In the three successive years, 1351, 1352, 1353, were passed the Statutes of Provisors, of Treason, and of Præmunire. Each of these was a vindication of national rights as against royal prerogative. Since the older Anglo-Saxon days when a king's life, like a subject's, could be atoned for by a money payment, there had come a great change in men's ideas about royalty. The Church rites

of coronation sanctified "the Lord's anointed;" the feudal theory exalted the suzerain in theory as much as it threatened to reduce him practically to impotence; the lawyers made almost a mystical creature of the king that never dies and can do no wrong, and is the fountain of justice and of honour. Treason became a crime for which mere death was too merciful a punishment, and a crime which seemed likely to become, as it had been in Rome under the dark shadow of Cæsarian tyranny, the complement of every other accusation. Now, at the prayer of the people, high treason was defined to consist in compassing the death or disgrace of any of the royal family, counterfeiting the king's seal or coinage, or slaying the great ministers in the exercise of their duty. Till the Yorkist and Tudor laws developed the iniquitous subtlety of "constructive treasons," the Act of 1352 remained a bulwark of the subject's liberties, and is the basis of the law as it now stands.

The Statute of Treason.

The two Statutes (p. 158-9) of Provisors and Præmunire dealt with the relation of England to the Papacy. Ever since the defeat of King John in the struggle over the election, in 1206, to the See of Canterbury, the Papacy had been steadily drawing to itself the appointments to English benefices and prelacies. It is strange at first sight to see this usurpation as marked under the strong rule of Edward I., as under the weak rule of Henry III. and Edward II. But the fact was, it was an irresistible temptation to the kings to make collusive arrangements with the Popes for division of the spoils between the crown and the Papacy. The Pope's interest pointed the same way. "Were the king of England to petition for an ass to be made bishop, we must not refuse him," is a saying attributed to Clement VI. The connivance went on at the expense of the English nation, and still more of the English Church, now filled with "provisors," or persons whom the Pope had intruded into ecclesiastical posts. Such men were often foreigners or absentees. It was this system at which the statute of 1351 (p. 159) struck a bold blow. But the very enactment of the first Præmunire Statute (of 1353), proves the failure of the earlier act, which it aimed at repeating in a more stringent form, while also forbidding, under pain of forfeiture the appeals to "any jurisdiction outside the realm." In vain

The Statutes of Præmunire and Provisors.

were the acts confirmed, amended, and enlarged, in 1365, 1377, 1390, and 1393. Their repetitions only register their failure. All that was finally effected was to put in the hands of the crown the weapon of præmunire, by which the Tudor kings were enabled to beat down the independence of the English Church, and to monopolise the plunder which hitherto had to be shared with the Popes.

The Statute of the Staple.

One other statute, the Statute of the Staple, 1353, also attests the popular influence in legislation. It ordered that wool and hides, tin and lead, should be sold only at certain staple towns, some in England and Wales, some in Ireland, with Calais and Middelburg (p. 256). This was partly to facilitate the levy of customs; partly to secure that inspection of the quality of the articles sold, which did not seem to the mediæval mind inconsistent with the trader's own interests. But partly, no doubt, it was an assertion of the rights of the commons in parliament to control indirect taxation, as their control over direct taxation had been asserted in 1340. To this end it was necessary to step in between the king and the assemblies of merchants, which were so ready, in return for monopoly, to allow his officers to raise the wool custom from the ancient rate of 10s. a sack to that of 30s. or 40s., or even more. If this was to go on, the commons' "power of the purse" would be an empty phrase. Hence came a battle over this point, decided, in 1362, by the enunciation of the principle that no charge should be set on wool but by parliament. Thus a great danger passed over; for at one time it had seemed that there would be a fourth estate, an estate of merchants.

The Burden of Taxation.

Other forms of indirect taxation, which under the firm and persistent remonstrances of the commons were abandoned, at least in principle, included, first, loans (and when a magnificent but impecunious king was the beggar, an abbey or a borough found it hard to refuse); secondly, came "commissions of array"—these a statute of 1352 stigmatised as illegal if taken without consent of parliament; but when a French fleet or Scotch army was descending on the land, the king would not be very patient of claims that the militia should serve only in its own county or at the king's wages; thirdly, there was purveyance, the royal right of taking goods and means of

conveyance at a low price. The right itself was burdensome enough, but the vast abuse of it made it intolerable. "They seize your cattle and pay with a stick of wood" (a tally). "At the king's approach, thanks to this accursed prerogative, there is general consternation; men fly to hide their fowls and eggs; I myself shudder for the people's sake" (it is Archbishop Islip who thus expresses himself). This, too, was somewhat alleviated after the statute against it in 1362. But all these extortions and all this struggle over various forms of taxation were the logical consequence of the defective fiscal theory of the age. Since the minority of Henry III., the cry had been more and more heard, "Let the king live of his own." In the closing years of Edward III. it was the watchword of the reforming party. It meant that for ordinary years the ordinary revenue, about £65,000 a year, should suffice. If there arose an extraordinary requirement, if a war required an extra grant, the king must come to parliament for it; it was not "his own" to take at will, but the nation's, to grant at discretion. This was neat and plausible, but it had two fatal flaws in it. The ordinary revenue did not suffice for ordinary years; and in the extraordinary years, parliament would never pay the whole war-bill, but would "aid" the king with some inadequate contribution. Hence in all years, ordinary or not, deficits accrue, the king recurs to purveyance, he promises to drop the abuses; but promises what he will not, and, indeed, cannot perform. It was well that the nation should learn the cost of war and glory; it was well that it should not win too easily its victory over the prerogative: it was well that the pressing needs of taxation should summon the third estate to take the lead of the other two, and that the battle of English liberty should continue to be fought on the broad simple ground of bargain between king and people. In all this lies the constitutional influence of taxation in the fourteenth century; it is regulative, not formative as hitherto.

Purveyance and Aids.

In the fourteenth century the system also and method of taxation underwent a complete revolution. Under Henry II. it had been a system of taxation by classes; the feudal class paid scutage; the freeholders hidage, or, later, carucage; the

New Forms of Taxation.

villan class, which in theory included the boroughs, paid tallage. After 1188, tenths from the clergy were added. But there were grave dangers in this severance of classes; and Edward I. made taxation like everything else, national and uniform. The feudal aids died out, and scutage and carucage with them. These were all land taxes; and the wealth of the country no longer consisted mainly in its lands. Tallage, again, though not strictly illegal after 1297, was felt to be both wasteful and oppressive; and was never taken after 1322. In their place came in the system of "tenths and fifteenths" levied on income and chattels, and the increased customs fixed at 2s. the tun of wine, and 6d. in the £ on other goods. This "tunnage and poundage" with the ordinary wool custom of 10s. on the sack, became an annual grant, and produced about two-fifths of the ordinary revenue. The total amount which could be raised with extra taxation in a year of great stress would be as much as £180,000.

Tunnage and Poundage.

In 1355 the French war broke out again, though in 1355, when asked if they would accept a lasting peace, the commons had shouted "unitedly and all together, 'Yes, Yes.'" The startling victory of Poitiers led to the peace of Bretigny in 1360, which assigned to England more than half the provinces of France. But the appalling ravages of the free companies in France had created in that country a new spirit of union and patriotism. The Black Prince had wasted his resources and ruined his health in the futile Spanish expedition, which replaced Pedro the Cruel for a while on the throne of Castile. In 1373 the Prince came home a broken man, his fair fame stained by the massacre of Limoges, and the fleet coming to his aid defeated by the Spaniards at Rochelle in 1372. The mocking phantom of English dominion had already faded away. Little was left but Bordeaux and Calais. Edward III. himself had already turned aside to other objects. By marrying four sons to the heiresses of the great English families, he had initiated a new domestic policy for the crown. Like so much that this selfish ruler did, it made a splendid show and lasted his time, but proved the ruin of his posterity. For with the great fiefs he brought into the royal house their unquenchable feuds; and to Edward III.'s policy must be traced back

The Latter Half of Edward's Reign.

the full disastrousness of the Wars of the Roses. His later reign was clouded by strife and omens of coming storm. His Queen, Philippa, died in 1369; and henceforth his mistress, Alice Perrers, ruled almost openly at court. The courtiers attacked the great churchmen like William of Wykeham, and for a time drove them from office. Above all, the Good Parliament of 1376, besides impeaching the chief courtiers, banishing Alice Perrers, and giving voice to the popular hatred and suspicion against John of Gaunt, brought in what might be called "the Grand Remonstrance" of the reign, a list of 140 petitions which throw a lurid light on the administration. The old feudal abuses have, it is true, ceased to be formidable; but the old grievances of Magna Carta, of the "Mad Parliament" in 1258, of the Ordinances in 1311, remain unredressed. New perils have appeared in the sheriffs' power of packing a parliament, and in the general animosity expressed against the Church for its ill-used wealth, its corrupt tribunals, and its foreign tendencies, and against the Papacy, from which already, in 1366, there had been a national revolt, the whole parliament repudiating John's act of homage and the annual tribute of 1,000 marks.

A new political weapon, and one which was to prove two-edged, has been invented, in impeachment. A new constitutional device for solving the great problem of all government, the control of the executive by the legislative, has been discovered, when the commons appoint ten lords of the reforming party to "enforce" the royal council; the first of a long series of steps destined to lead to cabinet government. Above all, a new force has at last appeared, to take the leadership out of baronial hands. For when John of Gaunt insolently reversed the parliament's measures as soon as it was dissolved, and even packed a new and servile parliament in the next year, and bought back the timid lords to their wonted time-serving, the death of Edward III., June 21, 1377, following closely on that of the Black Prince, introduced a minority, a political condition which always leads to a compromise. John of Gaunt was no longer supreme. The commons in the parliament of October, 1377, chose again the old Speaker, and returned triumphantly to their old constitutional positions.

Death of the Black Prince and Edward III.

The Decline of the Baronage and the Rise of the Commons.

The nation has at last learnt to do without the baronage as constitutional leaders. Henceforth the political extinction of the baronage is only a question of time and opportunity. By thus securing their right to submit royal ministers to a strict account, the commons had got a hold upon the administration. Their share in legislation had been similarly advancing throughout the century that had elapsed since they had been convoked by Edward I. for little more than assent to taxation and presenting of petitions. By a long struggle with his shifty grandson they had secured that their petitions should have an answer, that the answers should not be merely oral but formally recorded and sealed, and that the answer to each petition should be endorsed on the back thereof. Only one more step was required to make the petition into a Bill, and to win for them the initiative in legislation.

The Political Situation: the Lower Class and Wycliffe.

The victories of the commons, in the parliamentary sense, were after all the victories only of an aristocratic class. Below the small group of the county freeholders and the burgesses in towns came the great mass of the unrepresented, the villans and the unprivileged artisans. When these classes began for the first time to stir and to find expression for themselves—when in the Peasant Revolt, and the Lollard movement, and the poem of "Piers Plowman" they began to make themselves heard, it must have seemed a portent; as Roman augurs fabled before the Punic War, *bos locutus est.* As early as 1366 (p. 161) Wycliffe had published his book on "The Dominion of God," an attack on the current ecclesiastical theory of the subordination of state to church. He next appears condemning the papal usurpations of English benefices. Then he joined with John of Gaunt—strange alliance of a religious enthusiast with a corrupt courtier—to attack the temporal position and wealth of churchmen, and was cited before Courtenay, Bishop of London. The trial was broken up by an outbreak of the Londoners against John of Gaunt. Another trial in 1388 was interrupted by another popular riot against the Papal Bull. From this date Wycliffe, hitherto a reformer, became a revolutionary. He advanced to the very key of the church position in denying the doctrine of transubstantiation. He inveighed unsparingly against the standing army of the church, the

monks and the friars. He appealed from the churchmen to the people, and turned from Latin treatises to brief tracts in English. By these and his English version of the Vulgate Bible, and by his order of "poor priests," or travelling preachers (p. 169), he brought the most fundamental problems of mediæval thought down to the arena of popular discussion. He is the first of the roll of English prose writers; and his prose (p. 224) has already the best characteristics of English writing—brevity, force, and trenchant humour.

In 1384 he died. His followers, the Lollards, were at the height of their influence about 1394. The petition they then presented to parliament, condemns not only many church doctrines and rites, but also war and capital punishment, and trades in luxuries. Wycliffe's successors, his guiding hand removed, had allowed the movement to drift into wild socialism, and it soon became discredited. About 1390 every other man you met was a Lollard, according to Walsingham. But in 1401 Parliament was willing to pass the Act which provided for the burning of heretics. Wycliffe has sometimes been held responsible for the great rising of 1381, called the Peasant Revolt. But there are other causes quite sufficient to account for this. It is sufficient to bear in mind the great strain resulting from the sudden and enormous rise in wages and prices consequent on the Black Death on the one hand, and the increasing stringency of the Statutes of Labourers which strove to force back this irresistible rise on the other hand. As in all revolutions, many other influences co-operated. The disorganising and demoralising influence of the long war, the grievances of townsmen against their feudal lords, and of craftsmen against oppressive guilds, the circulation of doctrines such as those attributed to John Ball, and of watchwords borrowed from "Piers Plowman," must all be taken into account. In Kent, where, according to legal theory, there was no villanage the rising was political rather than social. It found its pretext in the hated novelty of poll-taxes; but the poll-tax of 1381 (p. 248) must be regarded rather as the signal than the motive cause of the rising. The remarkable features about it are its almost universal range, from Kent to Lancashire, from Norfolk to Devon; its extraordinary evidence of organisation and concert; the panic of the well-to-do classes, and

The Lollards.

The Peasant Revolt.

the precocious wisdom and courage of the young king. When Walworth, the mayor of London, struck down Wat Tyler at Smithfield, Richard II., a boy of fifteen, stepped forward to cry: "I will be your leader," and induced them to return home by charters of manumission, such as the day before at Mile End he had promised to the villans of the eastern counties. These charters, within three weeks, his advisers made him annul; and Parliament concurring in this treachery, made political capital out of the revolt by attributing it to administrative abuses, to taxation and purveyance, and official embezzlements. But one, at least, of its effects survived. It undoubtedly accelerated the transformation of villanage into copyhold tenure, and of bailiff farming into a leasehold system (p. 251).

The King and His Advisers.

The chief personage about the court since the death of Edward III. had been John of Gaunt; but 1381 had shown the detestation felt for him throughout the land. He betook himself in 1386 to Gascony, for another futile attempt to make good his right to the throne of Castile. To counterbalance the control exercised by his uncles, Richard II. had relied on Vere, Earl of Oxford, and Michael de la Pole, Earl of Suffolk. When the former was created Duke of Ireland, a vehement attack was made on the two favourites by both Houses of Parliament. The king's defiant answer that he would not at their will dismiss a single varlet from his kitchen was met by a significant reminder of the fate of Edward II. This cowed him: he bowed to the storm. Suffolk was impeached and dismissed. The king was put under a council to hold the regency for a year. But as soon as Parliament was dissolved he showed a bold front. He made a progress through the country to collect adherents; he appealed to the sheriffs to pack the next parliament; and he got from the judges a pronouncement that the commission was unlawful, and made to himself a party in London. But "London was mutable as a reed;" the sheriffs told him that the commonalty were against him; Vere's small army was defeated at Radcot Bridge; and a formal "appeal" of treason was made against the king's advisers by five great lords. These "lords appellant" were Thomas, Duke of Gloucester, the king's youngest uncle; Henry of Derby, son of John of Gaunt; Mowbray, Earl of Nottingham; Beauchamp, Earl of Warwick; and the Earl of Arundel. Under their influence the "Merciless

Parliament" met in February, 1388, and continued for four months at the work which earned it its title. A clean sweep was made of the king's friends. For nearly a year Richard bore the yoke without a sign; but on May 3, 1389, he entered the Council, announced that he was of age enough to govern (he was now twenty-three), and dismissed the appellants. But satisfied with the complete success of this sudden stroke, he soon recalled them to power; and a halcyon period set in: eight years of quiet popular and constitutional government. It was even an interval of peace with France, for the truce made in 1389 was cemented in 1396 by the king's marriage to a French princess. It was also occupied with important legislation: the old statutes against provisors and against mortmain were amended, and new Acts passed against "livery and maintenance." John of Gaunt now returned from Gascony, acted the part which he holds in Shakespeare's play, and laboured to keep peace in the royal family. Richard himself, with that singular adaptability of character which Shakespeare has drawn so subtly, was indulging the other side of his nature, his taste for music and books, art and pageantry.

Richard Assumes Authority.

But beneath the surface critical changes were going on. The arrogance of Gloucester grew yearly more intolerable; the death of the popular Queen Anne, in 1394, and the legitimation of the Beauforts, children of John of Gaunt by a mistress, broke up the royal family union. The king had completely won over two of the appellants, Henry and Nottingham, and had formed as a counterpoise the group of royalist nobles (the Hollands, Montacute, Scrope, etc.). An accident exploded the mine. A petition appeared from Parliament in 1396 attacking the administration of the household. The king indignantly demanded the author, whose name was Haxey; the commons, intimidated and apologetic, gave him up. Elated by this victory, and hearing that the three hostile appellant lords—Gloucester, Arundel and Warwick—had met at Arundel to concert their plans, the king struck his blow suddenly, and within three months Arundel had suffered a traitor's death, Gloucester was found dead at Calais, Warwick was banished. The Parliament reversed all the Acts of the "Merciless Parliament" of 1388; it granted the king the wool subsidy for his

The Growth of Absolute Monarchy.

life, and it completed his now despotic power by delegating its own authority to a committee of Lords and Commons. The English monarchy had suddenly become an absolute monarchy. It was impossible that such a reversal of the work of three centuries should be permanent. To complete his triumph, Richard had seized the opportunity of a quarrel between the two last of the appellants—Henry of Derby, and Mowbray of Nottingham—who had lately been created Dukes of Hereford and of Norfolk respectively. There was to be a public duel between them; it had just begun when the king interposed and banished both. On John of Gaunt's death Richard seized the Lancaster estates; Henry returned to claim his inheritance; the king was absent in Ireland. Henry had long been the most popular man in England, and doubtless had an understanding with the great nobles. All deserted to his side, and Richard on his return found all was hopeless, and abdicated September 29, 1399. The contemporary chronicler, the monk of Evesham, sees in Richard's fall the moral that "he who smites with the sword shall perish by the sword." Like Rehoboam, he had despised the counsel of old men and followed the young to do evil. Henry stood forth in Parliament to assert his right to the vacant throne as "descended in the right line from Henry III.," and as "sent by God to recover his right when the realm was in point to be undone for default of governance." Thus fell the mediæval form of monarchy and its assertion of absolutism, not to be heard again till national needs recalled it to a temporary life under the Tudors, and the Stuarts were misled into a factitious and fatal attempt to revive it, not only in practice, but in the form of a theory as offensive as that of Richard II. He had said that the laws were in his own mouth and breast, but his deposition closed the long struggle between the constitution set up by Edward I. and the older idea of royal prerogative. Before the next spring Richard was dead; but Pomfret Castle has kept its secret well, and the manner of his death is still unknown.

The Revolt of Hereford.

THE removal of the Papacy to Avignon in the beginning of the fourteenth century was attended by serious consequences affecting both the material resources and the public prestige of the Holy See. Avignon lay just without the French border, and the Popes of the "Captivity" (as this term of absence from Rome is called) were all Frenchmen. Some, indeed, might be, as the result of King Edward III.'s conquests, English subjects: but their attachment, as their language, was not the less French. The Papacy became the steady ally of France, and lost to a great extent its proud position of standing as a free and absolute power above all the courts of secular kingdoms. In England, especially after its armies had overrun and humbled France, a French Pope, identified altogether with French interests, could not be regarded with the same devotion as of old; and here, in the country which had been most loyal to the Holy See, the seeds of dissatisfaction grew silently into ill-will, which from time to time broke forth into outspoken complaint, and even into declared opposition. For England was the harvest-field from which the Papacy reaped its greatest profits. Now that the Pope was no longer resident in Italy, the income due from his possessions there was levied with greater difficulty, and rapidly shrank in amount. He was more and more dependent on gifts and exactions from the other lands of his obedience. France, however, by the second half of the fourteenth century was exhausted by warfare, Germany had little to spare, and the chief weight of the burthen fell upon England, which had to disburse to the Papal treasury sums largely exceeding its proportional due, were we to reckon only by population, as well as a yearly tribute of one thousand marks (partly levied in Ireland) inherited from the recklessness of King John.

R. L. POOLE. Religion.

England and the Papacy.

Nor did the country suffer from this direct taxation only, harassing as it was. Dispensations and other privileges were constantly required, and they could only be obtained by those who were willing to pay the charges imposed according to a fixed tariff regulating minutely the cost of each; and appeals to the Papal court not merely involved heavy expense, but they were open to a further objection on the part of English statesmen, since they appeared to them as a disparagement to the king's right of jurisdiction. It was not

disputed that certain causes might properly be removed to the Pope's cognisance; the complaint was that suits were brought before him, the judgment in which might extend to issues properly, it was held, amenable to the civil authority alone. But so much did the spheres of temporal and spiritual jurisdiction overlap, that it was hard to lay down a rule which should exclude the latter class of cases and leave only the right of appeal in those of which the legality was unassailed. For example, in 1358, the Bishop of Ely brought an appeal before the Pope, the sentence in which carried with it the excommunication of some members of the King's Council; but no sooner had the Papal commissioners reached England than they were imprisoned, tried before the judges, and at last put to death. This was a case which might be claimed on either side. And although appeals of a serious character were less numerous than they had been under King Henry III., they were still frequent enough, and often irritating enough—since the Papacy was in close alliance with the French monarchy—to produce constant friction. Hence in 1353 an ordinance of Praemunire (p. 147) was passed "against annullers of judgment in the king's courts" which forbade the prosecution in foreign courts of suits cognisable by the law of England. Thirteen years later a statute was passed which applied the prohibition by name to the Papal court; and, finally, in 1393 the great statute of Praemunire subjected all persons bringing bulls or other instruments from Rome to the penalty of forfeiture. The law was highly obnoxious to the Curia, but the Pope was not in a position to enforce its withdrawal. His protests were in vain, and appeals became less numerous. Still, the Pope's power of dispensation covered a good many of the causes about which appeals arose; and for the rest, it was not infrequent for him to send judges to act as his representatives in England, so that the foreign jurisdiction was not altogether excluded, though it was now exercised on English soil.

Statute of Praemunire.

The system which perhaps caused more discontent than anything else in the minds of those who wished for the efficient government of the English Church, was that which had come into practice with regard to the bestowal of preferments in it. The Pope was accustomed to make *provision* for the next presentation to a benefice during the lifetime of

the incumbent; or he would nominally, for special reasons, *reserve* to himself the right of appointment to a vacant post. He had also the unquestioned prerogative of nominating to bishoprics vacated by translation; and his policy was to translate bishops as often as possible, and so to obtain not only the fees and the firstfruits (or first year's income) of the bishop who was translated, but also those of the prelate who was appointed in his room. The grievance was not merely that the interests of the See or other benefice were likely to be neglected, but also that foreigners were frequently nominated, who were contented with the enjoyment of its revenues without being at the pains even of visiting England. In 1351 the Statute of Provisors prohibited the acceptance of Papal letters of provision, and handed over the patronage of benefices so dealt with to the king. But the law was constantly evaded, and all attempts at setting matters on a more satisfactory footing failed of any real success.

Provisors.

It is necessary to bear these facts in mind in order to understand how it was possible for a movement such as that set on foot by Wycliffe to attain even a transitory success. The Papacy, it was considered, was becoming more and more of a temporal institution, whose action might be criticised like the action of ordinary temporal powers, and was at this time judged with the greater jealousy on account of its association with the politics of France. The fourteenth century, moreover, witnessed a remarkable growth of national sentiment in the western States of Europe. The German Electors in 1338 asserted their right to choose a king whose title should need no confirmation by the Pope; and in the same year when two cardinals were sent into England, obviously in the French interest, to bring about a peace between England and France, the Archbishop of Canterbury himself denounced them from the pulpit. Each nation was resolved to manage its own concerns without interference from without, and the affairs also of the Church in each country were looked upon in the same light. The English Church—*Ecclesia Anglicana*—had always claimed for itself a distinct existence, and it was natural that the centrifugal tendency should be hastened by the present conditions of the Papacy. Nor is it to be forgotten in

Rome and National Sentiment.

this connexion that the reign of King Edward III. was marked by an increased use of the English language in preaching and for the purposes of devotion; and the more religion presented itself to plain people in an English guise, the more would the Latin ritual of the Church appear as a foreign importation. Thus a national patriotic sentiment might combine with political considerations and with a religious motive in pleading the desirability of resistance to the French dictation and the secular tendencies of Avignon. Of this complex of opinion Wycliffe was the spokesman. The thoughts which were in others' minds, and the views which descended to him by literary tradition, found their expression in his highly-trained Latin argument, or his nervous English invective; and if the substance of his exposition is largely borrowed, the form is still mainly his own. He put what was vague and undefined into a tangible shape, and drew up the case against Rome in clear propositions which could be taken up and fought for by his disciples.

John Wycliffe.

John Wycliffe was a Yorkshireman, and doubtless a member of the family which for centuries occupied the manor of Wycliffe-on-Tees. Born about 1320, he made his way to the College which had been founded at Oxford half a century before his birth by his neighbours, the Balliols of Barnard Castle, and in 1360-1361 held the office of Master of his College. He then accepted a living in Lincolnshire, which in 1368 he resigned in order to take one in Buckinghamshire, within an easier distance of Oxford. He appears, indeed, to have been frequently resident in the University from 1363 onwards, and was able by this means to satisfy the conditions required for the degrees of Bachelor and Doctor in Divinity. He took the higher degree sometime before 1374. It is generally believed, also—though the fact is by no means certain—that he is the same person with the John Wycliffe who was made Warden of Canterbury Hall by Archbishop Islip in 1365. This hall had been recently founded by the archbishop for a mixed body of monks and secular clergymen; but the association of these discordant elements proved unsatisfactory, and Islip, when he appointed Wycliffe, removed the monks and adapted the hall to the normal academic pattern. The next archbishop, Langham, who was himself a

Benedictine monk, recognised the injury done to the interests of his Order, and in turn deposed Wycliffe and his Secular colleagues. An appeal to Rome followed, but the representative of the Seculars, for some unknown reason, did not put in an appearance, and judgment naturally went against them. By the Papal decision of 1370, which was confirmed by the king in 1372, Canterbury Hall was left exclusively monastic. Considering that the hall had been originally designed in part expressly for the benefit of the monks of Canterbury, and that the plan of a mixed foundation had notably failed, the decision probably was the fairest one possible in the circumstances; but it is not to be denied that the Seculars had a grievance, and that this grievance may have directed Wycliffe's attention more distinctly to the abuses which he deemed to exist in the Roman Church. This latter inference, however, is not to be pressed too decidedly, since the identity of the warden with the reformer remains unproved—there were certainly two John Wycliffes living at the time—and the reformer's opinions on Church questions are known to have been developed some years before the dispute arose.

Wycliffe at Oxford.

Wycliffe's academical position stood high. He had not only amassed solid attainments in the school-learning of his day—in which, indeed, he was reputed to be unsurpassed—but he also possessed the gift of teaching and of drawing round him a band of disciples, so that, however far he separated himself from the authorised standard of theological correctness, he enjoyed an unvarying personal popularity at Oxford, where his following held its ground and called for energetic measures of repression at a time when his doctrines hardly survived in other parts of England. It cannot be doubted that the position he had arrived at with respect to the Papal power was already publicly known in 1366; for in this year, when the Parliament finally repudiated the payment of the yearly tribute to the Pope, it was he who was called upon to draw up a statement of the arguments in support of that action.

Wycliffe and the Papal Tribute.

The paper, from which we gather that Wycliffe was one of the king's chaplains, is of special interest from the light it throws on the course of his opinions on the great question of the relations of the ecclesiastical and civil powers.

He puts his statement to a large extent in the form of a report of seven speeches made by seven Lords in the Council when the discussion as to the tribute was raised. It is possible that the arguments brought forward at such a council may serve as the basis of Wycliffe's paper; but it cannot be seriously doubted that the paper itself—its plan, arrangement, and most of its reasoning—is to all intents and purposes Wycliffe's own production; and that the detailed arguments of the Lords are his arguments. Thus he makes one Lord deny the lawfulness of the Pope's receipt of tribute on the ground that Christ and his apostles held no property, and that the owning of property by the Church was the token of her decline from original purity. This is the doctrine of Evangelical Poverty, which was the watchword of Marsiglio of Padua, and of William of Ockham and the stricter Franciscans, and had animated them in their support of Lewis the Bavarian against Pope John XXII. a generation earlier. Another class of arguments relies on feudal principles. The payment of a tribute involves reciprocal obligations; it is a rendering of a "service" which implies the rendering of service in return. But the Pope, far from helping or protecting this country, aids its enemies: he can therefore have no claim to help from us. Here we have enunciated Wycliffe's leading principle of Lordship (*dominium*) as conditioned by service.

The Treatises De Dominio.

The full exposition of these two doctrines—of Evangelical Poverty and of Lordship—is found in the treatises *On the Lordship of God* and *On Civil* (or human) *Lordship*, the former of which may have been composed about this time. Lordship and service are necessarily correspondent terms; the one cannot exist without the other. A man cannot have lordship unless there be something upon which he can exercise it. God Himself was not Lord until by creation He had provided objects to be His servants. But God's lordship is distinguished from that of men by the two facts that it holds under its sway all creatures, and all on the same terms of service: for "God rules not mediately through the rule of vassals who serve Him, as other kings hold lordship, since immediately and of Himself He makes, sustains, and governs all that which He possesses, and assists it to perform its works according to other uses

which He requires."* The principle that all men were equal in the eyes of God—or, as Wycliffe would put it, that all held of Him, and on the same terms of service—was, of course, a commonplace of Christian doctrine. But Wycliffe transferred the conception from the religious to the political sphere. The rank which a man has in the sight of God must determine his rank, consequence, position, all that he has or is, in the sight of men. If by sin he forfeits the former, necessarily also the latter goes with it. In a word, in Wycliffe's formula, *lordship*, spiritual or temporal, *is founded in grace.*

This doctrine is not Wycliffe's own: he took it fully matured from the writings—possibly from the oral teaching—of Richard FitzRalph, who had been a Fellow of Balliol College, about the time of Wycliffe's birth, and who is known to have been resident in Oxford at least as late as 1333. He died Archbishop of Armagh in 1360. But FitzRalph had employed his doctrine of lordship as a weapon to assail the Franciscan doctrine of Evangelical Poverty. To abjure all holding of property was, in his mind, to run counter to the law which governed all the relations of man and man, as of man and God. Wycliffe sought to combine the two doctrines. He would go with FitzRalph so far as the definition of lordship was concerned, but into the further issues which he raised he could not follow him. On these points he stood firm with Ockham and the Fraticelli. It was only in the latter stages of his career that he broke away from his friendly attitude towards the Friars; and this he did, not on any ground of theory, but because the Friars were the hearty advocates of the Papal authority, which he came year by year more stoutly to resist.

Wycliffe's Communism.

Wycliffe's doctrine of lordship was powerfully affected by the teaching of St. Augustine as to the nature of sin: "Sin is nothing, and men, when they sin, become nothing." Evil is a negation, and those who yield themselves up to it cease to retain any positive existence. Clearly, then, they can possess nothing, can hold no lordship. That which they seem to possess is no real or proper possession at all; it is but the unjust holding of that which they must one day restore to the righteous. "From him that hath not shall be taken even that which he

* *De dominio divino*, i. 5.

seemeth to have." As thus the wicked hath nothing, so on the other hand the righteous is lord of all things. To that which he has not now actually, he has a potential right; and since every righteous man has this unlimited lordship, it follows necessarily that all goods must be held in common. Wycliffe's doctrine of community is one of the most express points in his system, and it is one which, we can hardly doubt, had more serious practical consequences than its author intended. Wycliffe, in truth, guarded it by important reserves as to the nature and value of human possessions. Civil society, he maintained, originated in sin, in the lust of acquisition; and civil lordship is only so far good as it is correlated with natural lordship; in other words, with the lordship based on the law of the gospel. Civil rulers are only justified in so far as they recognise the duty of "service," that is, of their corresponding obligations towards their subjects. Still the ideal remains, that no man should hold separate property, and that all things should be had in common.

Doctrine of Church Property.

If this was the ideal for all men, plainly it was such in the first degree for the Church. The Church, Wycliffe urged with Ockham, should hold no property; endowments were a hindrance to its proper work. It should be limited to its strictly spiritual province. The Papacy should revert to its primitive position of an exclusively spiritual power: "for to rule temporal possessions after a civil manner, to conquer kingdoms and exact tributes, appertain to earthly lordship, not to the Pope; so that if he pass by and set aside the office of spiritual rule, and entangle himself in those other concerns, his work is not only superfluous but also contrary to Holy Scripture." * If then the Church exercised functions which properly belonged to the State, it was the duty of the latter to vindicate its right over its own affairs. In such a case the State might resume possession of the lands and revenues held by the Church. But what if the Church should pronounce excommunication against its spoilers? Excommunication, is the answer, has no effect unless its object be already excommunicated by his sin. If he sin, he is already beyond the pale of Christian communion; if he have done righteously, no sentence of condemnation can alter his condition of grace. The example

* *De civili dominio*, i. 17.

illustrates well the clearness with which Wycliffe pushed to its logical conclusion his view that man's position, alike civil and spiritual, was determined solely by his personal relation towards God; only his own act of rebellion against Him could expel him from the Church. It was his own character, and not his office or rank, not any declaration made by another against him, that constituted him what he really was. The Pope himself, if unrighteous, lost his entire right to lordship. His decree, if contrary to the will of God, had no binding force. Wycliffe is careful to avoid saying a word against the existing Pope; but his devotion to him, which he expresses in terms of hearty loyalty, is no argument against the necessary right of resisting him if his commands should contravene Holy Scripture. It is evident that Wycliffe's general line of argument—setting aside his visionary communism, the drift of which was probably not at once perceived—fell in readily with the aims of those nobles who, like John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster, desired a large measure of confiscation of Church property. But for many years he did not pass beyond theory; it was not until the great schism in the Papacy began in 1378 that he came forward as a practical reformer, every day more vehement and uncompromising. For the present he is no more than the trained Oxford doctor, whose learning the Government might make use of in responsible employment in matters affecting the Church. In 1374 he was appointed by the Crown to the rectory of Lutterworth, in the archdeaconry of Leicester, a living which he held for the rest of his life; and a few months later he was sent on a commission to Bruges, in company with the Bishop of St. David's, and some others of less consequence, to treat with the Pope's representatives on the vexed question of "Provisions." That no permanent settlement was arrived at can hardly excite surprise; but it is possible that, besides the slight and temporary concessions which were then agreed upon, there were certain other articles of more solid value which were not at the time recorded, but were, in fact, laid before Parliament three years later.

Wycliffe in Public Life.

Wycliffe's career as a public man had now well begun. The duties of his country benefice, which we have no reason to believe that he neglected, did not prevent him from lecturing in theology at Oxford,

where a school was forming itself around him; and from time to time he made his appearance as a preacher in London, where his opponents allow his influence to have been powerful and lasting. It can scarcely be doubted that part of his popularity was derived from the vigour of his attack upon the endowments of the Church, and that in this attack he was looked upon as the instrument of John of Gaunt's anti-clerical party. If it was desirable to strike at the duke, it was a simple course to strike at him through Wycliffe. And so, in February 1377, probably in consequence of some sermon preached in London, he was cited to appear before the bishops in St. Paul's Church. He obeyed the summons accompanied by John and by the Lord Percy, the Marshal of England; and John was attended by four friars, doctors of divinity. The opposition of parties could not be more clearly marked; but an angry brawl between Wycliffe's supporters and Bishop Courtenay put a stop to any trial of the charges, the precise nature of which we are left to conjecture.

Steps had, however, been already taken to bring Wycliffe's obnoxious opinions before the Pope; and in May, Gregory XI., who had just restored the seat of the Papacy to Rome, executed five bulls reciting eighteen erroneous articles found in Wycliffe's writings, in which if he persisted he was to be placed in confinement to await the Pope's sentence. The articles are substantially accurate quotations from the treatise *Of Civil Lordship*, which itself embodied courses of lectures delivered at Oxford. They turn upon the questions of Church endowments, and whether the State has power to take them away; of excommunication, within what limits it may be lawfully denounced; of the authority of the Holy See, how far it is conditioned by the personal worthiness of its occupant. Wycliffe was charged with the errors of Marsiglio of Padua, the champion of the Imperial contest against Pope John XXII.; and the charge is, in effect, historically true, although it is likely that Wycliffe learned them not from Marsiglio but from his more scholastic fellow-worker, Ockham. The doctrine of Evangelical Poverty which they had set against the worldly magnificence of the Avignon Papacy, combined with FitzRalph's independently worked-out theory of lordship, furnished well-nigh the sum-total of Wycliffe's views as to the nature and conditions of the spiritual power.

Gregory XI.'s bulls were addressed to the king, to the ecclesiastical authorities, and to the University of Oxford. They reached England at an inconvenient moment. Edward III. had died on the 21st June, and the Princess of Wales, who presided over the government on behalf of the young king, appears to have been not less well disposed towards Wycliffe than was John of Gaunt, who was himself excluded from the new council. As soon as Parliament met, Wycliffe was asked to give his opinion as to the right of refusing to allow treasure to pass out of the country even at the Pope's command; and his answer is still preserved. As a matter of precaution, however, he was enjoined to keep silence on the subject. On the question of the Papal condemnation he was far from desiring to keep silence. He drew up a statement of defence on the articles incriminated, which he laid before the House; and though no immediate steps were taken by the Government for his protection, it is impossible to read the account of the various proceedings in his case which followed, without being persuaded that however greatly John of Gaunt had excited public hostility, and to whatever extent a share of this hostility might have been expected to fall upon his ally, Wycliffe at this juncture enjoyed in no small measure the support and confidence of Englishmen.

If the bulls against the popular Oxford teacher were received with slight favour at the Court, still less ready was his University to act upon them; and its reluctance was increased when the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Bishop of London issued a mandate to the Chancellor requiring that Wycliffe's opinions should be examined by the Oxford divines, and that he should himself be sent up for trial in London. The University thought for a moment of standing upon its privileges and refusing to receive the bull at all. It finally decided to order Wycliffe to keep within the walls of Black Hall while the question of his opinions was being examined. The report was substantially in his favour; his views, it affirmed, were correct, though expressed in terms liable to be misunderstood: so little inclined was the University to take up charges brought from without against one of its members.

Early in 1378, Wycliffe went to be heard in person before the bishops at Lambeth Palace; but the Princess of Wales anticipated the issue by sending a messenger the day before,

commanding them not to deliver sentence, and when Wycliffe actually appeared with a written defence expressed in some respects in more guarded language than he had hitherto used, there appeared also in his support a body of London citizens, with the rabble at their heels. It was impossible to proceed, and the bishops could do no more than proffer a mild request that Wycliffe would avoid discussing the obnoxious propositions. Thus Wycliffe was rescued by the London mob thirsting, as it seems, for the plunder of the Church. He was now looked upon no longer as the mere adherent of the hated Duke of Lancaster, but as the champion of the national rights of the Church in opposition to the encroachments, as they were deemed, of the Papacy.

Still, Wycliffe had not at all abandoned his support of John of Gaunt, and in the course of the year he was called upon, and he consented, to undertake his defence in a highly questionable cause. Two knights had escaped from the Tower of London, in which they were imprisoned for refusing to deliver up a prisoner whose release the duke demanded, and had taken refuge in the sanctuary at Westminster. Thither the duke sent a band of armed men to recapture them, and in the fray which ensued one of the two was slain and the other taken prisoner. The Bishop of London thundered excommunications, and John, to elude the hostility of the Londoners, had to contrive that the Parliament that autumn should meet at Gloucester. Here it was Wycliffe who supplied him with a set defence. In a state-paper which he afterwards incorporated in his book *On the Church*, he did not attempt to excuse the homicide, but he maintained strenuously the expediency of the violation of the sanctuary. He was so far in the right that the licence of asylum was open to frequent abuse; but the debatable antecedents of the case, apart from the violence with which it was attended, made it difficult to defend on general grounds of principle.

Wycliffe as a Religious Reformer.

The same year, 1378, marks a crisis in Wycliffe's life. The Papacy had been restored to Rome in 1377, and now, on the death of Gregory XI., a double election took place. Urban VI. was chosen Pope on April 7th; but the French Cardinals, desirous of being ruled by a Pontiff of their own race, with the further hope of returning to their beloved

Avignon, declared the election void, and in September set up an antipope, Clement VII., who re-established the seat of his Papacy at Avignon. The Great Schism thus begun lasted for more than forty years, two lines of Popes reigning side by side in irreconcilable hostility. The allegiance of the various nations was divided, and while England adhered to the Roman Pope, France, except for a short interval, steadily acknowledged his rival at Avignon. The shock caused to the fidelity of Christendom acted with momentous force upon Wycliffe. Long critical of the immense range of the Pope's authority, he now came seriously to question its rightness altogether, and soon became its declared opponent. It is probable that he now set himself with all his might to the task of spreading his teaching broadcast among the English people. For this purpose he made use of two agencies, the plan and execution of which constitute his principal claim to honourable remembrance. He sent out a number of "simple priests" or "poor preachers," and he supplied them with an English Bible to direct their teaching. It is possible that the beginning of the work reaches back to an earlier time; but the Schism gives the date at which Wycliffe found it more than ever necessary to make his reforms widely popular. At the outset the poor preachers no more than the earliest Friars conflicted with the parish clergy: the object was to teach the simple truths of the Gospel to those who were strangers to them. And in this promoting of the English language Wycliffe but went in harmony with the general impulse of his day, in which he had support in the example of high dignitaries both in Church and State. But when the preachers passed from their plain expositions to criticism and denunciation of what they deemed to be evils in the existing system of the Church, jealousy and strife were inevitable.

The "Poor Preachers."

The translation of the Bible made by Wycliffe and his disciples—the first complete version in English—gave their efforts powerful assistance, as it became widely diffused and read; for texts were ready at hand, and were eagerly caught up, which told in favour of simplicity and unworldliness, and rebuked the pomp and pride of endowments. In the meanwhile Wycliffe sent his message home by a multitude of short, pithy tracts and

Wycliffe's Bible.

sermons, in which he summed up the conclusions at which he had arrived in his ponderous and formal Latin treatises. His activity in the closing years of his life is almost incredible, since there is reason to believe that in seven or eight years, besides the translation of the Bible, he not only wrote nearly all his English works, but completed or revised a good half of his Latin writings, which may be estimated to fill a score of solid volumes of print.

Attack on Sacerdotalism

Working thus upon the popular mind, and turning his attack now no longer against the endowed clergy and monks only, but also against his former allies, the friars, he came by degrees persuaded that the root of the evils in the Church was to be found in the priestly power, and thus was led to assail the speaking symbol of that power contained in the doctrine of transubstantiation. It was the power, he felt, of "making the body of Christ" that declared most evidently the authority of the priest and contradicted most decisively that rule of equality among all Christian men, for which he found Scriptural evidence. If he could once disprove the accredited position with regard to the sacrament, the way was clear for the general reform of the Church system on the lines for which he earnestly strove; and thus in the summer of 1380 he ventured to make his public declaration that the elements in the sacrament of the altar suffered no *material* change by virtue of the words of consecration. He denied not the real presence of the body and blood of Christ, only the change of substance in the Host. He promulgated the heresy in Oxford itself, and the Chancellor of the University, William Berton, lost no time in summoning a body of theologians and jurists to take action upon it. The doctrine was unhesitatingly condemned, but no better evidence could be desired of the high academic reputation which its author held, than the fact that in this decree his name was not mentioned. Wycliffe at once appealed to the king, and John of Gaunt in hot haste sent a messenger to Oxford urging him to silence on the obnoxious subject.

and Transubstantiation.

In the following year, 1381, the rising of the peasants in the eastern parts of England might seem to point too plainly to the unsettling influence of Wycliffe's teaching. But such

an inference is not sufficiently warranted by the facts. There were reasons in the social condition of England to furnish an adequate account for the rebellion without the need of going further: and the circumstance that the insurgents vented their wrath in special against the Duke of Lancaster may be taken to offer a strong presumption that Wycliffe had no direct hand in inspiring the revolt. Still, his communistic views, however he might himself guard them with reservations, were only too well adapted to fan the flames of plunder when disseminated by less scrupulous disciples.

Wycliffe and Social Movements.

Archbishop Sudbury was one of the victims of the rising: his successor, Courtenay, a man of more resolute character, showed himself prompt in taking action against the doctrine which it was now impossible to let pass without a public challenge. He called a synod to enquire into the charges of false teaching at Oxford, which was held at the Blackfriars' convent in London—on the site of the present printing-office of the *Times* newspaper—on the 17th May, 1382. An earthquake, which troubled its first session and gave a name to the council, was joyfully interpreted by the Wycliffites as a manifest token of the Divine wrath. The heresy touching the sacrament was forthwith condemned, but here again no condemnation was uttered against Wycliffe himself: and we can only guess that now, as at Lambeth four years before, the influence of the Court was exerted to protect one who had proved so valuable a servant, or else that his personal ascendancy at Oxford was too great for it to be prudent to attack him. In support of the former view we may note that, just before the sitting of the council, he had no fear of addressing a powerful memorial to Parliament in support of far-reaching reforms in the Church. Still, while he himself escaped, unnamed and unsentenced, rigorous measures were taken against his followers. We are told, indeed, that he was afterwards tried by a Council held by Courtenay at Oxford, and that he abjured his doctrine: but this statement is accompanied by the professing text of his recantation, which is in fact a reassertion in English of the condemned doctrine: so that the story, which is otherwise unconfirmed, has in all probability arisen from a confused report of the Earthquake Council and the subsequent recantations of Wycliffe's disciples.

Nevertheless, his party at Oxford had received a heavy blow, from which it was some time in recovering; and it is likely that the leader, who was now rapidly aging, quitted the scene of his lifelong labours, and withdrew to the tranquillity of his Leicestershire rectory. Not his courage, but his physical strength, was failing. Yet he continued restlessly engaged in writing. The crusade undertaken in 1383 by the Bishop of Norwich against the adherents of the antipope, Clement VII., in Flanders, roused anew all his old fire, and he poured forth tract after tract in English and Latin, not merely against the lavish misuse of money in that futile enterprise, but also in defence of all the reforms in doctrine and practice on which his heart was set. The disaster of the crusade told strongly in his favour, and Pope Urban deemed it necessary to summon him before him. But Wycliffe was already crippled by a paralytic stroke, and the journey, even had he been willing to take it, was impossible. He laboured on at Lutterworth until the 28th December, 1384, when he was seized, while hearing mass, with a final stroke, and died two days later. He was buried in peace at Lutterworth. Nearly half a century later, in 1428, in execution of a decree of the Council of Constance passed in 1415, his remains were taken up and cast out. But his work was done; and if in England by that date his school had almost ceased to exist, he left behind him in Bohemia a tradition, which, through the animating influence of Hus, penetrated a nation and stirred it to an heroic resistance to the forces of Catholic Christianity.

C. OMAN. Warfare.

THE hundred years of war which commence with the struggle of Edward III. and Philip of Valois, and end with the expulsion of Henry VI.'s troops from France in 1453, were the time of the military supremacy of the English archer. The use which Edward I. had made of archery had not been lost upon his grandson, and it was by the arrows of his yeomen more than by the spears of his knights that the third Edward won his successes. His Scotch victory of Halidon Hill was purely an archers' battle; the English horse were hardly engaged, and the bowmen alone riddled and turned to flight the great masses of Albany's pikemen.

In the number of mailed horse that she could put into the field, England could never have vied with France, now that France had become a large and united kingdom, instead of the small State with which Henry II. and Richard I. had contended. The French habitually took the field with four or five times as many cavalry as the English. On the other hand, the English archery were a force to which France had nothing to oppose. By the fourteenth century they had attained a fearful efficiency: both in length of range and in penetrating force the arrow had a power which it would be hard to credit, were it not for the universal testimony of the chroniclers as to its doings.

Archery.

The cloth-yard shaft had a range of quite three hundred yards, and at this distance could pierce everything that was not covered with good armour. At shorter range it could penetrate even plate-armour and the complicated coverings laid one over the other which formed the knightly panoply. We hear of breast-plates pierced, of steel caps nailed to the owner's head, of leg and arm coverings easily shot through. It is true that his armour was still of much use to the knight: unless the shaft struck straight and fair it would probably glance off plate, though it would go through mail. But the one most certain way of disabling the horseman was to shoot at his horse, and this the archer soon learnt to do. The charger was either unprotected, or only partially covered on head and breast by iron plates; he was a large mark, and an easy one. The killing and wounding of a proportion of its horses wrecked the charge of any body of knights. Those that fell broke the line, but far worse were those that had received a wound, who turned off, plunging to right and left "with the arrows jangling in them," carried their unwilling masters off the field, and checked or overthrew even those whose horses had been more fortunate. Froissart tells us how the front of a charging squadron often went down entire, man and horse, when it received the first flight of arrows at short range. The wounded were more numerous than the dead, and many were not even wounded, but the sudden check and confusion brought down the horses, and threw the unwieldy knights out of their saddles, so that the whole line became a confused heap of plunging and kicking horses and men, striving with more or less success to get to their

feet again. After a few volleys and a few ineffectual attempts to close, the whole field in front of the line of archers was loaded with such a wreck of dead and wounded men and horses that succeeding squadrons could not get a fair ground to charge over.

It was the misfortune of France that the French infantry had never been noted for their skill in the use of missile weapons. The dismounted part of a French army were either the militia of the towns equipped with spear and mail-cap—as the English militia had been in the twelfth century—or the rude levies of the country-side armed with the miscellaneous weapons that had once been seen in the hands of the old English fyrd, or foreign mercenaries—Genoese cross-bowmen, and Biscayan or Gascon javelin-men. But the French kings had never attached any importance to their foot-soldiery. As Froissart says, speaking of the days before Crécy, "they never used to count anything more than the number of *heaumes couronnés*" (crested helmets) of knightly horsemen that followed them.

If Edward III. and the Black Prince had endeavoured to cope with their adversaries by leading charges of mailed horse against them, disaster only could have followed. The French were as gallant as and far more numerous than the English knighthood. It was the want of a sufficient force of cavalry that compelled them to give battle in a new style, acting on the defensive and making the infantry the more important element in the line of battle. The sole weak point of the archery was that, if unprotected on the wings, they might be taken at disadvantage and rolled up by cavalry assailing them from the flank. This was what had happened on the disastrous field of Bannockburn, where the archers, ill-placed, and not aided by the cavalry, had been taken in flank by Bruce's small body of horse and driven off the field. The cavalry, unaided by bowmen, had then proved unable to break the Scottish squares, and had finally grown demoralised and fled away.

Edward III.'s Tactics.

Edward III. never committed the fault of leaving his archery unsupported, or of employing cavalry without first preparing the way for them by the fire of his bowmen. His methods may be best illustrated by his management of the battle of Crécy.

There the English line was composed of two divisions, commanded respectively by the Prince of Wales and the Earl of Northampton. In each about two thousand archers and eight hundred men-at-arms were placed. The archers were drawn up in wedge-shaped formation, "like a (triangular) harrow," as Froissart expresses it. Between the wedges and on the flanks of them were the men-at-arms, a little drawn back, *au fond de la bataille.* The king kept in second line a reserve of two thousand archers and seven hundred lances, while between the two lines were scattered in small bodies somewhat more than a thousand Welsh and Cornish light infantry, armed with javelins and long knives. The position was on the slope of a gentle hill crowned by a windmill, and was covered at each end by two villages with enclosures, which made flank attacks difficult.

For the first time in English history, Edward made the majority of his knights and men-at-arms dismount. The sixteen hundred horsemen in the front line all sent their horses to the rear and acted in serried clumps as heavy infantry. So the line was composed alternately of triangular bodies of archery, and smaller squares of dismounted knights using the long lance. Only the seven hundred men-at-arms in the reserve remained on horseback.

Philip of Valois brought against the nine or ten thousand English an army at least five or six times as strong, and comprising as many mailed horsemen as Edward had troops of every sort. His front of battle, hastily and unevenly formed—for the fight was forced on against his wish by the ardour of the knights in his van division—was in four successive lines: first, a vanguard of Genoese crossbowmen, then a line of squadrons of mailed horsemen under the Counts of Flanders and Alençon, then in the third and fourth lines the rest of the horse and the unwieldy and ill-armed bodies of communal militia on foot.

The battle began with the rout of the Genoese, whose crossbows could make no impression whatever on the English line. The archers gave them back six arrows for every bolt, being able to let fly again and again while the Italians were winding up their clumsy weapons for a single shot. Moreover, the arrow had a longer range than the crossbow, and a not less penetrating power. Even had they not had

the additional disadvantage of going into battle with their bowstrings relaxed by rain, the crossbowmen could not have held their ground for long. But the really instructive and epoch-making incident of Crécy came when the second "battle" of French knights pushed to the front, riding through or over the routed Genoese. It had not yet been guessed that a line of archers would be able to stop a cavalry charge well pushed home, but this was now seen to be the case. Horses and men went down in heaps, a barrier of dead and wounded built itself up before the English front, and it was only here and there that small bodies of men, or even individual knights, were able to thrust themselves through the quivering mass, and close with the English men-at-arms who stood in support of the archery. Those who got to handstrokes with the dismounted knights were soon disposed of, while the rest, unwilling to retire and unable to advance, surged for some hours along the English front, seeking in vain to close, and losing more and more heavily from the archery as their masses grew more and more congested and helpless. Between the attacks the Welsh light infantry ran out from the intervals of the English line, and butchered the dismounted men who were struggling to gain their feet and get to the rear.

Without having moved a foot from their first position, the English slew off a quarter of the French host, and at last the whole mass turned bridle and rode off the hopeless field, to the great wrath of Philip of Valois, who still wished to continue the battle.

The Lessons of Crécy.

Crécy was an epoch-making field in the history of the art of war. It led to the discrediting of the use of cavalry charges all over Western Europe, much as the result of Sempach did in central Europe. On the English side it inaugurated the regular use of the man-at-arms as a dismounted soldier to cover the archery from flank attacks. For the future the English knighthood habitually sent their horses to the rear and shared the fortunes of the yeomanry on foot. For a hundred years our armies always endeavoured to receive battle under the same conditions as at Crécy, in a good position with flanks covered by wood, marsh, or houses, and

with an array composed of archery, interspersed with bodies of dismounted men-at-arms.

On the French side Crécy led to an even greater revolution in the art of war. Finding that he could not close, because his horse would infallibly be killed if he tried to ride in, the French knight, like the English, resolved to try his fortune on foot. When next the nations met in pitched battle at Poictiers, in 1356, the French king bade all his knights, save a picked vanguard and two small wings, to dismount, send their horses to the rear, cut short their long lances to six feet only, and advance on foot.

The inspiration was not a happy one on the part of King John, for at Poictiers (or rather Maupertuis as we ought to call the field) the English were in position on a rough hill-side covered by vines and brushwood and protected by lines of hedge. A dismounted knight was not suited for scrambling up a slope among tangled underwood. The vanguard of mounted men tried to get at the English through a gap in the hedge which covered their line, and were shot down by the archers who lined the front. The first line rolled slowly up-hill, and actually got to handstrokes with the English, but was beaten back. They fell back on the second line and threw it in disorder. Then the Black Prince made his men-at-arms mount and ride down into the confused mass, while a detached body, who had circumvented a wood to the side, came down and charged the French in flank. The result was crushing: the main body of the French took to their heels, got back to their horses and fled. Only the king's division in the third line stayed to fight, and were riddled with archery, and then trampled down by a charge of horse.

Poictiers.

The spirit of the French chivalry was so damped by the result of their second endeavour to cope with the English archery and dismounted men-at-arms, that for some years they never accepted another battle in the open field, but shut themselves up in towns and castles and suffered their enemies to march through the length and breadth of the land without having to risk an engagement. They fell back, in fact, on the superiority of the defensive over the offensive in the art of fortification which had continued ever since the eleventh

century. In 1373 the Earl of Lancaster was allowed to cross the whole of France, from Calais to Bordeaux, and to pass by the very gates of Paris without being assaulted. The policy of this abstinence on the part of the French was justified by the event—hunger, fatigue, and the cutting off of stragglers harmed Lancaster's army far more than a pitched battle would probably have done.

The only occasion on which the English got the opportunity of fighting an engagement on a large scale in these times was at the Spanish battle of Najera or Navarette. The usual results followed; the Spaniards of Henry of Trastamara were still accustomed to fighting on horseback, and only a small part of the army, moved by the councils of the French auxiliaries who served in their ranks, dismounted and fought on foot. When the fighting began, the Spanish wings, where the horse were placed, were shot down by the hundred and soon left the field, while the only obstinate resistance was made by the phalanx of knights on foot in the centre, who took some hard strokes before they were surrounded and overborne.

In the last years of Edward III., when the English cause fared so badly in France, the ill-success which followed the great victories of earlier years was not brought about by any great decline of the efficiency of the English, but by the cautious defensive tactics of their adversaries and the exhaustion caused in England by the long protraction of the war. The English ranks were more and more filled up with foreign auxiliaries, Flemings, Germans, Gascons, and the invincible archery made a small proportion in the host. But the reduction of the war to a series of long bickerings round fortresses was the thing that harassed the English most. The tactics of Bertrand de Guesclin, who was the soul of the French army, were to assault the small outlying garrisons on the frontier of Guienne. If left alone he took them, if a relieving army marched against him he made off, and laid siege to some distant stronghold where he was least expected. He fought by night surprises, ambuscades, escalades, and stratagems of all descriptions, but seldom or never in engagements in the open field. This system wore away the strength of the English, who were better suited for winning great battles than for carrying on long and harassing campaigns.

If the fourteenth century represents in the line of tactics in the open field the victory of the defensive over the offensive, of the line of archers and dismounted men-of-arms over the charging squadron, it represents in the line of fortification the beginning of the opposite tendency—of the victory of the offensive over the defensive. Castle-building had arrived at its highest pitch of perfection in England about the time of Edward I., and magnificent works like Carnarvon and Caerphilly represent the triumph of the builder over the engineer's attack. But in the reign of his grandson England saw for the first time the employment of the new engine which was ultimately to reduce the embattled castle to impotence. It was in the second quarter of the century that gunpowder first began to be used in Europe; first in Italy, shortly afterwards in France, and then in England. The first cannons—smaller firearms came in much later—were rude iron or brass engines, sometimes molten in a piece, but often made of bars welded together round a core afterwards removed, and hooped about with rings to keep them together. They were small, slow in fire, and very liable to accidents. The cast guns often burst from a flaw in the metal; the hooped guns still more frequently flew to pieces and scattered destruction around. The English reader will remember a typical disaster of the kind in the explosion of the hooped gun which burst into its component parts and slew James II. of Scotland at the siege of Roxburgh. Another cause of the comparative feebleness of artillery in its first days was the badness of its powder; the right proportions for mixing the saltpetre, sulphur, and charcoal had not yet been quite settled, and the impurity of the saltpetre which the chemists of the day produced was a perpetual hindrance.

The Art of Attack.

Cannon.

Still, when once cannon had been introduced into warfare the offensive found itself in possession of a weapon which placed it on quite a new footing as regards the defensive, and as years went on the advantage grew more marked, for cannon and powder gradually improved. Slow as was their fire—three shots an hour was fair practice for a big "bombard"—and comparatively weak as was the impact of their stone balls, they were yet able to beat down a castle wall, if they could be brought near enough and enabled to play long enough upon

it. The mangonels and perrières and rams of a preceding age had never had any such decisive effect. When the attacking party had once taken to employing guns against the besieged place, the defenders soon found that the counter-use of artillery was their best protection. Guns were, when practicable, mounted on the walls, and directed on the artillery of the attack, so as to overpower its fire, beat down the mantlets and palisades erected to cover it, and disable its gunners. But two things hampered the defensive use of cannon: the old town and castle walls were not, as a rule, sufficiently broad and strong to provide a secure platform for artillery, and even if the guns could be hoisted up, the attack could always concentrate more fire on a given space than the defence. The narrowness of the old walls was, however, the chief hindrance; the recoil of the discharged gun tended to throw it over; or if to avoid this the gun was fixed to its place, a few discharges began to loosen the stones of the wall and weakened the defence even more than the fire of the attack. In early days we hear of several occasions when the besieged had to slacken or cease their discharge of cannon because of the harm it was doing them.

The earliest record of the use of guns in the Hundred Years' War was on the French side; a fleet told off in 1348 to attack Southampton having been provided, as French archives show, with a *pot de fer,* and three pounds of powder (a not very magnificent provision!) for shooting iron bolts. Later in the same year a French Treasurer-for-War is found providing *poudres et canons* for the siege of the little English fort of Puy Guilliem in Guienne. The English were not much later in applying the all-important invention. In 1344 Thomas de Roldeston appears in charge of "the king's engines," and is directed to make powder for them. Three years later the same Thomas was ordered "to buy at once all the saltpetre and sulphur he could find for sale," to make into powder. He could only get together 700 pounds of the former at eighteenpence the pound, and 310 pounds of the latter at eightpence.

The one chronicler—Villani—who states that the English brought a few cannons into the field at Crécy, "which threw little iron balls and frightened the horses" is probably wrong. No English source mentions them: their use was only just commencing for siege purposes in the armies of Edward III.;

and their employment in the open field does not seem to have been contemplated. It is, on the other hand, quite probable that Froissart is correct when, in the next year, 1347, he states that King Edward placed some bombards in the fort which formed the central point of his lines of investment round Calais: cannon were used in position long before they became mobile and suited for the open field. But even in great fortresses guns were still very few: the Tower of London in 1360 mounted only four, all of brass: and ten years later Calais, now become the chief of English strongholds, had but fifteen; Dover, in 1372, had six. By this time, however, our armies were beginning to take a very small train of artillery about with them for siege purposes; the Black Prince in his great foray round France in 1356, which ended in the battle of Poictiers, used cannon against Romorantin, and took it by their fire, though he made no employment of them in the great battle which followed. In 1369 Froissart tells us that Sir John Chandos was *accustomed* to take about "cannons and springalds" with his host, which implies that the usage had become habitual. But these were, as before, for siege work; it is not till the fifteenth century that we find them employed in the field; we believe that we are not wrong in stating that Northampton was the first English battle where they were so used by native generals, and Formigny the first where they were used against us. In all the chief fights of that century—Agincourt, Verneuil, Cravant, Patay, St. Albans, Towton, we find none. At Castillon and Formigny the French used them to some effect; in the Wars of the Roses they were brought into use at Northampton—where their discharge was entirely frustrated by rain—Barnet and Tewkesbury, but had a decisive effect on none of these battles. The only one among those which we have cited where they really influenced the event of the day was at Castillon, where they were used from a carefully intrenched position, and proved effective in mowing down crowded charging columns who assaulted their front.

But the day of field artillery had not yet arrived. The characteristics of the fifteenth century, as well as of the fourteenth, were the predominance of the archer and the dismounted man-at-arms in the battle-field: the ever-increasing efficiency of artillery was only felt in sieges.

W. LAIRD CLOWES.
The Navy.

Edward III. and his family brought the English Navy to a pitch of glory such as it had never before attained. The King himself, the Black Prince, and the King's fourth son, John of Gaunt, repeatedly fought at sea, and by their supervision, as well as by their presence, benefited alike the general development and the spirit of the Service. Not undeservedly was Edward given by his subjects the title of "King of the Sea"; yet, owing to his Majesty's strange misapprehension of the real power of his country, and to his conviction, especially in later life, that the conquering mission of England had before it on land an even finer field than it had upon the waves, Edward's great naval victories produced no lasting direct results, and at the close of his long reign, his fleet, neglected for the sake of his army, had fallen into absolute decay.

For many years, however, the reign was one of steady naval progress, and of magnificent maritime successes. The battles of Sluys (or the Swyn), when the French fleet was almost annihilated, and of "Les Espagnols sur Mer," when the Spaniards were crushingly defeated, were victories which in any age would have been remarkable, and which could not but exert immense influence upon the ambitions and future policy of the race that won them, and that then, for the first time, learnt to know its power. Since Edward's letter announcing the issue of the action at Sluys is the earliest despatch of the kind in existence, and has, moreover, been much admired for its modesty and piety, a translation of it is printed below. The letter is addressed to him who was afterwards known as the Black Prince, and who was at the time ten years old. The original is in French:—

"Most dear Son" (it runs),—"We, considering well that you are desirous to hear good news of us, and how it has fared with us since our leaving England, would have you know that on the Thursday after our departure from the port of Orwell, we sailed all the day and the night following; and on the Friday about the hour of noon, we came to the coast of Flanders, off Blankenberg, where we had sight of the fleet of our enemies, who were all gathered together in the port of Swyne; and as the tide did not then suit to meet them, we remained there all that night. On the Saturday, the day of St. John" (the 24th of June, 1340), "soon after the hour of noon, with the tide, we, in the name of God, and in the confidence of our right quarrels, entered into the said port upon our enemies, who had placed their ships in very strong array, and who

made a very noble defence all that day and the night after. But God, by His power and miracle, granted us the victory over our said enemies, for which we thank Him as devoutly as we can. And we would have you to know that the number of ships, galleys, and great barges of our enemies amounted to one hundred and ninety, which were all taken except twenty-four only. These fled, and some of them have since been taken at sea. And the number of men at-arms and other armed people amounted to thirty-five thousand, of which number, by estimation, five thousand escaped, and the remainder, as we are given to understand by some persons who are taken alive, lie dead in many places on the coast of Flanders. On the other hand, all our ships, that is to say, the *Christopher* and the others which were lost at Middelburg, are now retaken, and there are taken in this fleet three or four as large as the *Christopher*. The Flemings were willing to have come to us at the battle, from the beginning to the end. Thus God, our Lord, has shown full favour, for which we and all our friends are ever bound to render Him grace and thanks. Our intention is to remain quiet in the river until we have made certain arrangements with our allies and our other friends in Flanders as to what shall be done. Most dear Son, may God be guardian of you. Given under our Privy Seal, in our ship-cog *Thomas*, Wednesday, the eve of St. Peter and St. Paul."

In Edward's time the narrow seas were far better policed than in the days of any of his ancestors, and piracy in consequence decreased, but it did not altogether disappear, nor were the coasts completely protected against hostile raids. About the year 1338, persons who had goods and chattels near the sea were directed to remove them for safety a distance of four leagues inland. In 1339 a French squadron appeared at Southampton, and summoned the town to surrender, but withdrew without effecting its purpose. Later in the same year, a body of French pirates burnt some fishermen's huts at Hastings, and alarmed Dover and Folkestone, while another body entered the port of Plymouth and burnt some ships there. Reprisals were, however, promptly undertaken by the English, who entered the port of Boulogne, captured several vessels, hung up a dozen French captains, and burnt part of the town Indeed, though the English coasts were harried much, the French coasts were probably the greater sufferers. The King more than once specifically reasserted the British claim to the dominion of the seas, and, it must be admitted, did more than any of his predecessors to substantiate it. So long as he persisted in this policy, trade flourished, but after 1360 the sea-borne commerce of

the country greatly declined; and the English naval disasters of 1372 and 1375 placed it, for many years afterwards, in a most precarious position. But that these disasters occurred and went unavenged was the fault of the Government rather than of the maritime spirit of the people. In 1360, a most disgraceful order was promulgated and sent to all the ports, directing that every vessel should be drawn up high on shore at a considerable distance from the water, so as to save her from the French, who were known to be in force at sea: yet, in that year, Nicholas of Lynn (or Lymne), a friar of Oxford and a good astronomer, is reported, in company with some other persons, to have made a voyage of discovery towards the North Pole (p. 357). He is said to have made his discoveries "by magic arts," for which we may, perhaps, read "exceptional skill in navigation." Whether the report of his having undertaken the expedition is deserving of credit cannot now be ascertained; but there is nothing antecedently improbable in it, and, if we accept it, we must place the name of Friar Nicholas at the head of that golden roll on which have since been inscribed the names of Frobisher, Davis, Hudson, Baffin, Back, Beechey, Franklin, M'Clure, Belcher, M'Clintock, Nares, Kotzebue, Wrangel, Nordenskiöld, and many more, the greatest of whom have been Nicholas's countrymen. Unfortunately, no account of the voyage remains.

Before the King left England, after the renewal of war with France in 1359, a measure which, at a later period of English history, had important developments, was adopted. In order to protect the national trade, the Council, with the consent of the native and foreign merchants who were summoned before it, but without the assent of Parliament, imposed a tax of sixpence in the pound on all merchandise imported or exported, so that a fleet might be maintained at sea. Another point of marine law that deserves notice is that from the records of certain proceedings of 1371, it appears that neutral ships carrying the property of the belligerent States of France and Spain were held liable to seizure, and that, in other words, free bottoms did not make free goods.

From the reign of Edward III. dates that most valuable record of ancient naval manners and customs, the "Black Book of the Admiralty," the more important contents of which are here summarised.

An Admiral, after receiving his commission, was to immediately appoint lieutenants, deputies, and other officers, who were to be well acquainted with the law and the ancient customs of the sea. He was then to ascertain from them the number and sizes of all the ships, barges, balingers, and other vessels in the ports, and the names of their owners. The deputies were also to discover by inquiry how many seamen available for defensive purposes were in the realm, so that the King might always know his force at sea. When a fleet was ordered to be equipped, the admiral, if a knight, was to be paid four shillings a day; if a baron, six shillings and eightpence; and if an earl, eight shillings and fourpence. For each knight in his retinue he was allowed two shillings; and for each esquire armed, one shilling; for thirty men-at-arms, £66 13s. 4d. a quarter; and for each archer sixpence a day. He was to take measures for the proper administration of justice in all causes brought before him, "according to the law and ancient custom of the sea"; for which purposes, and to assist him in the performance of his other duties, the King's letters were sent to mayors, sheriffs, and other officers, enjoining them to be obedient to him and his deputies.

As soon as a fleet was collected, the admiral was to choose the best ship for the King, or for the King's lieutenant, and this ship was called "the King's chamber." If the King himself were present, the next best ships were to be selected by the steward of the household—one for "the hall," in which presumably councils were held; one for "the wardrobe," or Royal storeship; one for "the larder," and a fourth for "the kitchen": and, if necessary, still other ships were to be taken by the steward. Should a son, a brother, or an uncle of the King be present, a good ship was to be provided for him. Before the admiral selected a ship for himself, he was to provide accommodation for the lords and captains about to be embarked, and for their stores. Every master and every constable of a ship was to be paid sixpence, and each mariner threepence-halfpenny a day, with an additional sixpence a week as a "reward"; and every "sea-boy" was to receive three-halfpence (some copies erroneously say twopence-halfpenny) a day; but for masters, constables, and boys, there was no fixed "reward."

Since the admiral was the commander of the sailors, and

was bound to support them in all their laws and customs, to defend them, and, if needful, to sue for their wages, he was awarded fourpence out of every pound paid to them; for which fourpence he had, in return, to carry at his masthead at night while the fleet was at sea, two lanterns, in order that the masters might know what course he was steering. If the King were in the fleet, the admiral was to approach his ship every evening, and to take the Royal commands as to the course to be steered during the ensuing twenty-four hours. If the King were not present, the same deference was to be paid to his lieutenant. The other ships were then to assemble round the admiral to learn the Royal directions. At night the King's ship, or that of his lieutenant, was to be distinguished by three large lanterns arranged triangle-wise, but more lanterns might, if his Majesty pleased, be carried. A vice-admiral was to carry one lantern. The station of the Vice-Admiral of the West extended from the Thames to the south-west, and while upon it he might carry two lanterns, as might the Vice-Admiral of the North when to the northward and eastward of the Thames; but one of the vice-admirals, when on the station of the other, was to carry only one lantern. If the admiral desired to call together the captains and masters of the fleet in order to consult them, he was to hoist half-mast high "a banner of council," on seeing which they were to go on board in their boats.

All goods taken from the enemy by persons receiving the King's wages were to be divided into four parts, of which the King took one, and the owners of the ships another. The remaining moiety was to be thus divided: to the admiral, if present at the capture, two shares; if not present, one share; to others present, the rest, the shares being, of course, proportionate to the numbers engaged. Of property taken by seamen not in the King's service, the King was to receive no part; but the admiral was to receive as before.

No man, when in an enemy's ship or country, was to touch the Holy Sacrament upon pain of being drawn and hanged, nor to commit sacrilege or rape upon pain of death. No master was to "cross his sail aloft" until the admiral had done so; but upon the admiral doing so, all vessels were to follow suit. Similarly no vessel was to anchor until the admiral had anchored; and when he had done so, all vessels

were to anchor as close to him as they conveniently could. At sea, also, they were to keep as close to him as possible; and no ship was to enter or leave a port by day or by night without his permission. When a ship sighted an enemy at sea she was to hoist a banner. If any ship were permitted to leave the fleet and met a strange vessel, she was to examine her cargo and papers: and, should it appear that the stranger was, or contained, property belonging to the enemy, she and her master were to be carried before the admiral, who was to release her if a friend, and to keep her if an enemy, "according to the custom of the sea." Should any vessel offer resistance, she was to be treated as an enemy, and carried to the admiral, but not to be pillaged nor needlessly damaged In the event of any ship being captured, no one was to presume to take her out of the fleet without the admiral's consent, upon pain of paying double her value. The captors of an enemy's vessel were entitled to the goods and armour on the hatches and upper deck, except the tackle and other things belonging to the ship's equipment, and except also what was exempted by the ancient customs and usages of the sea No seaman was to be beaten or ill-used, but offenders were to be brought by the captain or master before the admiral, to receive such punishment as the law of the sea provided.

In case of the separation of a fleet by stress of weather the masters were to follow the admiral to the best of their ability, upon pain of being considered rebels. On arriving in an enemy's port the admiral was to appoint a sufficient force to protect people sent for fresh water and other necessaries. When a castle or city was to be attacked, no one was to make an assault without the admiral's orders. Troops landed on an enemy's territory for provisions were not to proceed until the harbingers had first returned to them. No place was to be set on fire without the admiral's orders Soldiers and mariners were not to be landed unless accompanied by responsible officers, lest they might commit excesses. No boat, after the fleet had sailed, was to be sent back to a port without the admiral's permission. No ship "from pride, envy, or hatred," was to injure another. Search was to be made in ports for such thieves as stole anchors ropes, boats, etc. He who was convicted by a jury of twelve persons of having stolen an anchor or a boat to the value of

twenty-one pence, was to be hanged. Anyone stealing a buoy-rope attached to an anchor was to be hanged, no matter how small might be its value. For cutting a ship's cable, the penalty, in case any loss of life resulted, was death. If there were no loss of life, the offender was to make good the damage and to pay a fine to the King. If he were unable to do so, and if the owners prosecuted, he was to be hanged; but in this event he was not to be condemned at the King's suit, and there was not to be "an appeal of battle." The same penalties were prescribed for weighing an anchor without informing the master or crew, in case death, or the loss of the ship resulted. If a sailor were condemned to death for *stealing* the goods of aliens, the aliens, if not enemies, were to have the goods restored, provided that they did not insist upon the felon's execution. If a foreign ship were plundered and the crew ill-used at any port, the warden and six or eight of the leading persons of the port were to be arrested until the admiral had ascertained by whom the felony had been committed. If there were many ships in the port, the admiral was to take the masters and "bursers" and four of the crew, and to cause the ships to be searched until he found the criminals, or was informed by whom the robbery had been effected. Stealing an oar, anchor, or other small thing was punishable, upon conviction by a jury, with imprisonment for forty days; a second offence, with imprisonment for half a year; and a third, with hanging. No lieutenant of an admiral could, without a special warrant, try matters involving life and death. Divers minor offences, which are specified, were punishable with fine or imprisonment, or with both. If a man injured another in a quarrel and was the beginner of the fray, he was not only to make the other amends but to pay to the King a fine of five pounds, or lose the hand with which he had struck the blow, unless he obtained pardon from the King or "the High Admiral." Offenders were, pending communication with the admiral, to be imprisoned by the master of the ship. The master was to be assisted on such an occasion by the crew, and anyone refusing assistance was liable to the same punishment as the original offender. Process in the admiral's court against an absconded prisoner is described, and is said to have been settled in the time of Henry I.

If any ship that had been impressed for the King's service broke away, and if a jury were satisfied of the fact, the vessel was to be forfeited. A seaman refusing to serve at sea was punishable with imprisonment for one year for the first offence, and for two years for the second. Contracts between merchant and merchant beyond sea, or within flood-mark, were to be proclaimed before the admiral; and hue-and-cry or bloodshed within his jurisdiction was punishable with two years' imprisonment and a fine. Merchants having sometimes gone on board vessels entering a port to purchase the whole cargo, and having then sold it at a higher price than the original owners would have demanded, it was ordered that such offenders should be liable to imprisonment for half a year, and to a fine equal to the value of the cargo so purchased. The same penalties were awarded to purchasers in gross of corn, fish, and other provisions within flood-mark. If a warden of a port, or a water-bailiff levied unlawful customs, he was to be imprisoned and fined the amount so levied. If anyone sued a merchant or mariner for a matter cognisable by marine law, he was, upon conviction, to be fined. Goods found at sea as flotsam, or at the bottom of the sea, were to be reported to the admiral on pain of fine and surrender of the value of the goods. All deodands, as gold or valuables, found on a man killed or drowned at sea belonged to the admiral, who was to employ one-half for the soul of the deceased, and one-half for the benefit of the deceased's family, if any. Carpenters of ships taking extravagant salaries to the prejudice of shipping, were to be fined at the admiral's discretion. The exportation of corn without special licence, except to Bayonne, Bordeaux, Brest, and Calais, was punished with a fine equal to the value of the corn.

Cases in the Admiralty Court were, it is clear, always tried by jury; and a very extraordinary penalty was prescribed for the juryman who "discovered the King's counsel and that of his companions in a jury." His throat was to be cut, and his tongue drawn out by his throat, and cut from his head.

In Edward's day carpenters and pursers seem to have first attained to the dignity of naval officers. Large vessels carried two carpenters, and as a carpenter received sixpence a day, he was evidently regarded as an important person on board. The clerk, or "burser," received similar pay, which put him

on a level with the master and constable. All stores and provisions were placed under his charge; he sometimes provided them, and he also acted as ship's paymaster. A hint that, in certain circumstances, a kind of uniform was furnished, is provided by a note in the wardrobe accounts, to the effect that the King gave the master, crew, and soldiers of his galley, the *Thomas*, a coat of ray-cloth, apiece.

The ships of the period are recorded to have been armed with springalds, haubergeons, bacinets, bows, arrows, jacks, doublets, targets, pavises, lances, and "firing-barrels." These last were, there is little doubt, guns of some kind; and the mention of them suggests a brief inquiry into the first adoption of cannon and gunpowder into the English Navy. "It is manifest," says Sir N. H. Nicolas, "that cannon formed part of the armament of many ships as early as, and probably a few years before, 1338; that about 1372, guns and gunpowder were commonly used; that some guns were made of iron, some of brass, and others of copper; that there was a kind of hand-gun, as well as large cannon; and that gunpowder was formed of the same elements, and made nearly in the same manner, as at present. Among the stores of the hulk, *Christopher of the Tower*, in June, 1338, were three iron cannon with five chambers, a hand-gun, some article of iron (of which the name is obliterated in the Roll) for the cannon, and three old stone bags, no doubt bags to hold shot. The barge called the *Mary of the Tower* had an iron cannon with two chambers, and another of brass with one chamber. Two iron cannons 'without stuff' are also mentioned; and in the King's private wardrobe were two great guns of copper. Guns had, in some instances, handles; for among the King's expenses between 1372 and 1374, were payments for 'helvyng' eight guns. There are also numerous entries in the Naval accounts for those years relating to gunpowder and shot for guns, of which the following are the most material:—A small barrel of gunpowder, a quarter full; one hundred and eighty-four pounds of powder for guns, made from one hundred and thirty-five pounds of saltpetre and forty-nine pounds of live sulphur; and also two hundred and forty-two pounds of pure live sulphur. Payments occur to workmen for making powder and pellets of lead for guns at the Tower of London. There were purchased coal and five hundred of

'talwode' for casting the lead and drying the powder; four trays of wood, and brazen pots and dishes for drying the powder over the fire and by the sun: also leather bags to hold the same powder; two brass mortars, three iron pestles, twelve iron spoons to make leaden bullets; ten moulds of 'laton' to make the same; one pair of scales to weigh the powder: thirty small barrels with hasps and staples to hold the bullets: thirty small hanging locks for the said barrels; two hundred and twenty pounds of saltpetre; two 'sarces'; eighteen bellows; earthen pots and pans to dry the powder by the fire and sun; and willows for making charcoal."

Gunpowder was sometimes known as "krakes"; and Mr. Thomas Wright has found in a fourteenth-century MS. the following receipt for making it: "Prenez vostre psal-petre, si departez en 2, Apres la moyté departez en 2, e donc remeint la quarte partie. Encontre cele partie prenez soufre vif, issi que la soufre peise meins par un poy. Donque peisez charbons owelement encontre la quarte partie del psal-petre, e quant vous averez tut çeo fait, le braez bien en un morter e mettez en le cod." The chambers to cannon were movable breechpieces, which, being charged, were placed in the gun. A gun with a chamber of this kind, but of the fifteenth century, was shown at the Royal Naval Exhibition of 1891. It is formed of longitudinal bars of iron, hooped together with iron rings. The guns which had handles were probably small ones, to be mounted on iron forks and used as swivels. The guns were primed at the touch-holes and discharged by means of firing-irons heated to redness.

The expense of freightage was low in the fourteenth century. In 1370 the sum of £30 6s. was paid for a ship with a crew of thirty-eight men, carrying twenty soldiers and sixteen archers from Southampton to Normandy. In 1368 the transport from Dover to Calais, in thirty-nine ships and thirteen smaller vessels, of the Duke of Clarence, four hundred and fifty-seven men-at-arms, and one thousand two hundred and eighty horses, cost only £173 6s. 8d. But there had previously been a tendency on the part of the passenger-carriers to raise prices, for in 1330 it was enacted that, the keepers of the passage to France having increased their charges, no higher fare should in the future be exacted than the ancient rate of two shillings for every horseman, and

sixpence for each foot-passenger. Long before 1330, it may be of interest to add, a company called "The Fare Ship Company," existed at Dover, its business being the management of trans-channel traffic. The vessels of this company sailed according to a pre-arranged roster, each ship apparently making three passages, and then not making any more until all the other ships had done likewise. The company was governed by four wardens, who were empowered to enforce their regulations by the infliction of fines upon members or shareholders who failed to comply with them. The fines went, however, not to the company but to the King.

The relative commercial importance of the sea-ports of England during this period may probably be estimated with some degree of fairness from the number of ships supplied by the chief of them for the Calais expedition. According to a MS. list in the Cottonian Library, the ports, with the ships furnished, ranked as follows:—Fowey, 47; Yarmouth, 43; Dartmouth, 31: Plymouth, 26; Shoreham, 26; London, 25: Bristol, 24; Sandwich, 22, Southampton, 21; Dover, 21; Winchelsea, 21; Weymouth, 20; Looe, 20; Lynn, 19; Newcastle, 17; Boston, 17; Hull, 16; Margate, 15; Harwich, 14; Goford, 13; the Isle of Wight, 13; Ipswich, 12; Hook, 11; Grimsby, 11; and Exmouth, 10. The other ports furnished less than ten ships apiece, Portsmouth sending only 5, Poole only 4, Hartlepool only 5, and Cardiff and the Mersey only 1 each. Ranked according to the number of mariners furnished, the order is Yarmouth, Fowey, Dartmouth, London, Bristol, Plymouth, Winchelsea, Southampton, Sandwich, etc.

The reign of Richard II. was, upon the whole, disastrous both for the royal and for the commercial navy of the country. The royal navy was even more neglected than it had been in the last years of King Edward III.; and although a naval victory was won off Cadsand in 1387, the country's normal condition during this unfortunate period was one of terror—often of abject terror—lest the French should invade and conquer it. The defective discipline of the fleet may be judged from the facts that in 1377, when the Earl of Buckingham and Lord FitzWalter were supposed to be co-operating against the French at Brest, they were, in reality, fighting one against the other, and that, when the leaders did, in a half-hearted way, at length co-operate, the seamen mutinied. The

ships seem to have been transformed, too, into floating dens of vice and barbarity; and a very lurid light is thrown upon the sea manners of those days by the record that when, in 1379, Sir John Arundel's squadron was overtaken by a storm, sixty women who were on board were thrown into the waves to lighten the vessels. Some of these women had willingly accompanied the fleet; others had been forcibly carried to sea. Almost every year the coasts were insulted by the French. In 1380, according to some historians, the Spaniards entered the Thames and burnt part of Gravesend; yet the English Government refused to be aroused from its lethargy, and the most brilliant naval actions of the time were the fruit of the patriotism and gallantry of private individuals. John Philpott, Mayor of London, fitted out at his own sole cost a squadron to oppose the combined French, Scotch, and Spanish pirates who, in 1378, under John Mercer, ravaged the Yorkshire coast; and in 1385 the men of Portsmouth and Dartmouth, "hired," as Walsingham says, "by none, bought by none, but spurred on by their own valour and innate courage," put to shame the pusillanimity of the administration by fitting out an expedition against the French at the mouth of the Seine. At the very moment when the kingdom was most in danger, the Government permitted the greater part of the fleet, as well as an immense army, to leave England in furtherance of the Duke of Lancaster's selfish pretensions to the crown of Castile; and the consequences were that trade was almost ruined, and that such ships as remained in England were, for the most part, laid up in harbours across the mouths of which chains were drawn. Nor did trade suffer only by the inability of the Government to protect it; for the King arrested, from time to time, all such merchant-vessels as would suit his immediate purpose, and seldom thought of making either compensation or restitution to their owners. Yet acts that were designed for the encouragement of the trade of the country were passed under Richard II. One measure, which was adopted in 1390, and which foreshadowed the Navigation Laws of a much later date, enacted that "all merchants of the realm of England shall freight in the said realm the ships of the said realm, and not foreign ships, so that the owners of the said ships may take reasonably for the freight of the same." This statute not being

properly observed, the Commons in the following year petitioned the King that inasmuch as the navy of England was greatly weakened and impaired, no English merchant should be allowed to put goods or merchandise into a foreign vessel in any case where he could freight an English one, upon pain of forfeiting the goods shipped in a foreign bottom; and the King answered: "Let the statute thereupon made be kept and observed;" though it does not appear that his Majesty's utterance led to the slightest improvement. That the merchants were not always honest in their often expressed anxiety for the welfare of the country may be inferred from Walsingham's assertion that in 1383 a Genoese carrack, richly laden, was driven into Sandwich by stress of weather, and that though her cargo would have sufficed to supply the whole country with particular commodities, the merchants of London induced her to proceed to Flanders, lest the sale of the goods which they had on hand might be prejudiced by the bringing into the market of goods fresher and better.

R. HUGHES. Architecture and Art.

Before the mid-day splendours of Edward III.'s reign had been lost in the gloom and confusion of its close, the decadence of Gothic art had begun. But the processes of decay were slow, and the change from the free grace of the earlier, to the stiff utilitarianism of the later style, occupied forty years or more.

The Transition to Perpendicular.

The period usually assigned to the transition from Decorated to Perpendicular is from 1360 to 1399; but, as usual in such cases, the chronological line must not be too sharply drawn. Perhaps, indeed, it would be safer to shift the first date a little further back, for in Gloucester Cathedral we have typical mixed work which is certainly not much later than 1350, and by the end of the century the victory of Perpendicular forms was complete. It is probable that the "plague of Froissart," that most potent of all influences in the fourteenth century, had something to say to the rise and progress of the new architecture.

The Effect of the Black Death.

During the winter of 1348–49 the pestilence had swept off the workmen like flies, and the scarcity of labour was felt with prodigious severity in every department of the national life. More

than one attempt was, as we have seen, made by parliament to control wages, not only those of the hedger and ditcher, but of the skilled artisan, and in the twenty-fifth year of Edward III. the amended Statute of Labourers actually fixed the wages of "a master freemason" at fourpence per day. Such wages, no more than the pay of a corporal of Welsh auxiliaries (who, besides, had his dress, his long knife, and his rations for nothing), was not likely to secure the highest artistic skill; and though the statute must, to some extent, have remained a dead letter, so far as it had any effect at all it operated to drive the artificer out of the country. It certainly is significant that Perpendicular forms, which, of all that are included under the name of Gothic, make the slightest demand on the invention, should have come into vogue at the very moment when the craftsmen of original talent (at no time a too numerous class) had almost disappeared.

During the quarter of a century which followed the pestilence new foundations were few, the work which was undertaken being generally in the nature rather of remodelling than of fresh construction. Perhaps the earliest instance of this process of adapting the old work to the new fashion took place in the Abbey Church at Gloucester, an establishment which, in a time of almost universal depression, was specially fortunate in its finances. The body of the murdered Edward II., removed thither from Berkeley Castle by Abbot Thokey, continued, through a great part of Edward III.'s reign, to bring in a vast revenue. Hundreds and thousands of pilgrims came to worship at his tomb, and their offerings were spent, not on rebuilding the church, as the architects of previous ages would have done, but in recasing the surface, in forming new windows in the old walls, in inserting new glass, and generally, in clothing the twelfth-century body with a fourteenth-century dress. For such purposes nothing could have been handier than the Perpendicular forms, and, in particular, the Perpendicular panel. It does not, however, appear that any new forms of moulding were adopted at Gloucester, the architects being satisfied to repeat those of the preceding style. And we thus find the singular combination of mouldings that seem pure Decorated, and windows and walls that seem pure Perpendicular,

Gloucester Cathedral.

while a pure Norman skeleton, though unseen, supports both.

Characteristics of the Perpendicular Style.

It is not difficult to summarise the more obvious characteristics of the style that was in act to supersede all the Decorated forms. As its name implies, perpendicularity is its salient feature, and the chief instrument by which this effect is produced is the straight-sided panel. It is not, indeed, that the Perpendicular architects, the remodellers and converters of so many old buildings, invented panelling—they merely raised it from obscurity and gave it predominance. Instead of the panel being sparsely used to decorate comparatively small and narrow spaces, the whole surface inside and outside—wall and arch, screen, parapet, basement, and buttress, were now covered with it. Even the windows, when, later on, the style gets thoroughly logical, become simply an arrangement of these panels pierced to let in the light. But in the earliest time, no less than in the latest, the perpendicular lines are there. As a rule, the partitions go straight up from sill to window-top. They no longer bend and intersect above and cross each other in an ordered maze of springing curves. Even when minor arches are introduced into the window, the straight, upright mullions are generally forced through them, regardless of every canon of good taste, or else the perpendicularity is more queerly emphasised, by perching small panel-shaped openings on the heads of the larger lights. There is a certain consistency and strength of appearance in this upright stonework, and, as a vehicle for painted glass, these aggregates of panels—the only restriction on size being due to the fear of weakening the wall that supported the roof—were, no doubt, unrivalled. But they form a poor substitute for the elegant grouped lancets of the Early English, or for the flowing tracery of the Decorated style.

Perpendicular Doorways.

The doorways are of one set type—a depressed arch, the depression increasing as the style advances, set in a square frame, and the whole inclosed in a label, outlining three sides of a rectangular oblong. The sides usually carry shafts, the label-moulding and the spandrels being generally more or less ornamented with such things as shields, foliage, animals, or grotesques. The square frame and label are, indeed, characteristic features,

and entirely supersede the earlier segmental-headed doorways, although window-heads of that form are common enough. Stiffness and squareness extend their sway everywhere, ruling in things great and in things small, in things decorative and in things utilitarian. Every sort of detail, as well as the employment and arrangement of detail, is thus affected, and the divorce from Nature in the representation of all natural objects is almost absolute. An exception must be made, however, in regard to certain carvings in our western counties, which, though occurring in Perpendicular churches, and late in the style too, show an attempt to return to Nature. This work, which goes by the name of "Devonshire foliage," was no doubt a mere local development, probably due to the effort of some provincial artist to imitate in stone the wreaths of natural leaves and flowers, with which, on appropriate festivals, the church columns were decorated. With this exception the Perpendicular foliage is extraordinarily angular, not to say wooden. The crocket which we have noticed (I. 327) in the twelfth-century work of St. Hugh of Burgundy, lives on into late Perpendicular times, but it seems wholly to have forgotten the curled leaf from which it was derived. Yet, altered as it is, it looks almost an anachronism.

Perpendicular Ornament.

"Devonshire Foliage."

More characteristic is the so-called "Tudor flower," an ornament, we should say, that was in use before any Tudor aspired to an alliance with a Plantagenet, or a Plantagenet's widow. It is founded on the *fleur de lis*, alternated with a trefoil or ball, but the principal flower is more like a heraldic lozenge than a lily. It is poor in invention, but not infrequently has a rich effect, particularly in late examples, as, for instance, in Henry VII.'s chapel at Westminster, where the lily has an edging suggesting the fructification of the Ceterach fern, and the small intermediate flower becomes an elaborate triple primrose. The battlement as an internal ornament for screens, rood-lofts, and the like, though by no means unknown to the Decorated artists, becomes quite fashionable now, and the date of such work can, in general, be fixed by the horizontal moulding which runs along the edge. This, in the Perpendicular period, is continuous, and carried not only

The "Tudor Flower."

along the top but down the sides, while the Decorated masons cut it off at each opening. The mouldings differ greatly from those of the preceding era, looking as if the workmen no longer cared to do their best, as was their wont in the early days. In dealing with the Decorated style we noticed that there was a great falling-off in the depth and fineness of the cutting, but that the deterioration of workmanship, as well as the shallower forms, were to some extent compensated for by increased sumptuousness of surface ornament. In the Perpendicular style the mouldings are flatter still, and the pateræ, grotesques, animals, foliage, and the like, which adorn the wide shallow cornices, are rarely rich in effect or spirited in execution.

Of course, in the Transitional work these unpleasant features are not so strongly marked, but the promise of worse things is sufficiently apparent.

Transitional Examples of Perpendicular.

There are not many entire buildings, or entire parts of buildings, in this mixed or Transitional style, though additions and alterations in it are plentiful. Among the earliest are the choir and transepts of Gloucester, the cloisters and porch of the Treasury (formerly the Garter Chapter House) at Windsor, and Abbot Litlington's work at Westminster. William of Edington's church in the parish of the same name, dedicated in 1361, is one of the rare edifices which seem to have been wholly constructed in the Transitional time.

Edington Church.

Here we find, in the west front, a great window, which is neither quite Decorated nor quite Perpendicular in feeling. The reticulations are numerous, and the lower openings in the window-head, instead of being in the long panel shape, are so short as almost to form a hexagon. There are, indeed, no mullions carried right through from bottom to top, but from the tops of the lowest tiers of arches into which the window is divided, straight mullions start up, to affirm the Perpendicular principle. The small west windows of the side aisles are, indeed, hardly to be distinguished from work of the previous age, and their diamonded heads are a stiff example of a form of Decorated tracery, though one more common in lay buildings than in churches. But if the window-forms at Edington are neither frankly Decorative nor frankly Perpendicular, the doorway is frankly both; nor could

there be a more instructive example of the mixture of styles. The doors are not set in the square stone Perpendicular framework, but enclosed in the "segmental" headed Decorated arch. But the space between arch and door-head is filled with four typical Perpendicular panels, and the Decorated arch is itself enclosed in the square Perpendicular label.

This William of Edington was a great builder, and later on he began the modernisation of Winchester cathedral. William of Edington developed, in fact, into William of Winchester, and the Transitional of the rector, doubtless, became the almost full-blown Perpendicular of the bishop. He died in 1366, but not before he had begun to clothe the Norman bones of Winchester with Perpendicular flesh, and his task was carried on without a break, and with greater energy, by his successor. It is to that successor, William of Wykeham, whom we may count the last of the great episcopal architects of the Middle Ages, that we owe the modernisation of three-fourths of the cathedral. But against a wrong which the antiquarian finds hard to forgive, must be put, not only the imposing character of his work on the cathedral, but the construction of edifices like the chapels of Winchester and New College—really noble specimens of this ignoble style. Finest of all is the chapel at Oxford, which was begun in the first year of Richard II. and finished in the seventh year of the reign. As might be expected from its date, there is at least a hint of Transition in the building. The tall perpendicular mullions do not quite reach the window-tops unbroken, and the sub-arches spring from a central division as at Edington. A little later, this arrangement was abandoned, as in the choir of York Minster, which, though commenced as early as 1361, was not completed till 1408.

The Work of William of Wykeham.

New College Chapel.

The nave and western transepts of Canterbury, begun about 1380, also belong to the period of Transitional and early Perpendicular, but the distinguishing marks of the Transition are not very observable there. Though much of the work was contemporary with that of Winchester, Canterbury is much more full-blown in style. In both a Norman nave has been replaced by a Perpendicular one, but at Winchester this was effected by clothing the old piers with new ashlaring, the old mouldings being altered to look like

new; while in Lanfranc's nave, which was ruinous, they were pulled down and built anew from the foundation. Other well-known examples are the Chapter-house at Howden in Yorkshire, and the gatehouse at Thornton Abbey in Lincolnshire. The cloisters at Gloucester are generally spoken of as belonging to this period, though the windows are probably earlier. But the traceried cloister roof, the progenitor of the later wonders in the royal chapels, may safely be ascribed to some early Perpendicular architect.

Other Examples of Early Perpendicular.

The redeeming features of the Perpendicular style are its towers and its elaborate stone vaulting, to which may be added its timbered roofs. Few of these last belong to the best and earliest period, but many are very fine, their late date notwithstanding. The roof of the palace at Eltham belongs to the reign of Henry IV. That of St. Stephen's, Norwich, one of the richest in all England, was built under Henry VIII., and a majority of the wooden roofs (which are oftenest found in the eastern counties), date from the beginning of the sixteenth century. But one noble example of the fourteenth century remains at Westminster, the roof that covers "the great Hall of Rufus," the scanty remnants of whose Norman work have been brought to light in the last three or four years. The hall was practically rebuilt from its foundations in the last years of Richard, and retains to this day, both in the carving of the walls and the timbers of the roof, the form then given to it. Such open timber roofs are incomparably more beautiful than any vaulted work of the same period, and show that, in the matter of carpentering at least, we are not wiser than our fathers were five centuries ago.

Perpendicular Roofs.

Westminster Hall.

The lay architecture of the reign of Richard, and the last years of Edward III., is not very distinctive. The evolution of the country mansion from the castle went on, but it cannot be traced step by step with sufficient accuracy to admit of the story being told with anything like regular sequence. Taste and fancy played an increasing part, now that the uses of private war had finally ceased to be a dominating consideration. On the Scottish border alone was it necessary to live in a state of

Domestic Architecture.

alarms. Elsewhere the determining factor was the personality of the proprietor. As a result, we have the noble hall at Penshurst, forming part of what was essentially a mansion-house in the reign of Edward III., built at the very beginning of the Perpendicular period, and contemporary with the very earliest work at Gloucester: while at Bodiam, which was not begun till the middle of the reign of Richard, we have a type, though a late one, of the impregnable feudal castle. Subsequent alterations and additions, though they have left the hall at Penshurst comparatively intact, make it hard to trace the outline of the old buildings, but it is clear that Sir John Devereux's manor-house could never have been capable of military defence. On the other hand, in Bodiam, built by a veteran of the French wars, who had made a fortune by plunder, we have a stronghold that must have delighted the eye of a soldier and a free-lance. Massive walls, with round towers at the angles, and square towers in the centres of the sides, look down on a moat of prodigious width and depth, filled to the brim with water. The great gateway is reached by a narrow causeway, and a long drawbridge, defended by a barbican tower. The gate itself had three portcullises (one remains *in situ*), and the vaulted roof over the intervening spaces is pierced with meurtrières. Internally, the arrangement is like a compressed Oxford college. On one side are the chapel, and beyond it probably the stables, and on the other side of a small court the living-rooms, the banquet-hall, the kitchens and ovens. Between, and alongside of, these two types, there were, unquestionably, all sorts of buildings erected at this time. Some like Bolton Castle, in the North Riding, were obviously not intended for military purposes, though retaining the military form. Others, like Dartington Hall, in Devon, were purely private houses with extensive farm-buildings attached. Dartington is also remarkable as showing the persistence of old forms, for the windows (which are of four lights) are built with shouldered arches, recalling the shouldered lintels of Carnarvon Castle, and carry us back to the beginning of the thirteenth century, and the vogue of the purest Early English. But such buildings as Bodiam reflect the arrogance, or at least the eccentricity, of the individual rather than the feeling of the times. That was in the direction of

Penshurst and Bodiam.

Dartington.

greater comfort, as is shown by the spacious double courts at Bolton and elsewhere; and this feeling grew with internal wealth and quiet, and was promoted by the increased intercourse with the higher civilisation of Italy and France.

H. FRANK HEATH. Literature.

IN continuation of the remarks in the last chapter, it will be convenient to say a few words upon the further development of dramatic literature down to 1500. A few mysteries, such as "The Burial and Resurrection of Christ," and the "Conversion of St. Paul," were produced in the North and Midlands during the fifteenth century. The latter, in seven-lined stanzas, is interesting because its subject is new, and because it is divided into sections which foreshadow the later division of plays into acts. Parallel with these in time and place (East Midlands) there grew up a new species of drama which was the outcome of the mediæval love for allegory, and the personification of abstract ideas. The Morality was the first step towards secular drama, and it was a false one. But this excursion into an artistic *cul-de-sac* at least taught the playwrights independence. The fight of the Seven Deadly Sins and the Seven Cardinal Virtues for the human soul, a life-long battle, is the theme of the earliest and best Moralities. Humanity, his virtues and vices, are personified in the attempt to materialise what, after all, was only the general thought underlying the old collective Mysteries. This moralising tendency is even traceable in the *Expositor* of the Chester plays, and in the *Contemplacio, Veritas*, etc. of the Coventry "Salutation and Conception." The earliest extant * Morality (*temp.* Henry VI.) is the typical "Castell of Perseverance," the hero of which, *Humanum Genus*, is beset from his birth till his final dissolution, by *Mundus, Belyal, Caro*, and their henchmen. He is only saved at the last after an argumentative scene between Mercy and others, similar to one in the Coventry "Salutation." Other plays of the same type are "Mind, Will, and Understanding," "Mankind," "Mundus et Infans," and "Everyman." This last (*temp.* Edward IV.) was so popular

The Mysteries and Moralities.

* *Cf.* Wycliffe, *De Officio Pastorali* (c. 1378), ch. xv., p. 429, ed. Matthew; and Smith, *English Guilds*, p. 137 (first mention of a Morality).

that it was printed four times in the early sixteenth century. The Buddhist story of friendship tried, known to the West in the legend of Barlaam and Josaphat, forms the basis of the action, but the idea of suggesting the manner of a man's life by the light of his death-scene, instead of presenting its whole course, marks a real advance in dramatic art.

Lyric Poetry.

To return to the fourteenth century, we find in lyric poetry, not only a constant increase in complexity of stanza, but a growing tendency to imitate the sensuous beauty of the French singers. This is quite as true of the religious as of the lay lyric. Excellent examples of this kind of writing, religious, erotic, and political, are to be found in a MS. collection (MS. Harl. No. 2253) made in the fourteenth century. Among the best known political songs are those upon the evil times of Edward II.* and the famous songs of the north-countryman Laurence Minot. These last are ten in number, and celebrate the wars of Edward III. with burning patriotism and contemptuous hatred for the French, and still more for the Scotch. The verse he writes is of two kinds, a short-lined metre, sometimes *rime couée*, sometimes short couplets; and the fluctuating Middle English Alexandrine, with strong caesural pause connected by continued but not cross-rime into strophes, a typical measure for the wandering gleeman. In both forms he makes great use of alliteration, but naturally with more effect in the longer line.

Political Songs.

Ballad Literature.

The new national spirit found its expression, however, in other than political song. The "good old times" of the twelfth century, when the midlands were infested by outlaws, seemed to the men at the close of the next to be clothed with attractive, romantic colouring—a mantle cast over the reality by age. Round the stories of these men were gathered all the rough sense of justice, of revolt against oppression by the rich and the clergy, of sympathy with the struggling poor, which were a sign of the nation's new-springing life. Trappings of the court romances, incidents from the lives of historic and legendary heroes, were found useful in supplying details of colour and circumstance; while the very verse, the well-known ballad-metre, is but the worn-down derivative of the Septenar so common in

* "Pol. Songs," ed. T. Wright, Camden Soc., pp. 195 and 323.

southern romances. And thus arose the Robin Hood ballads in the country around their beloved Sherwood. They became so popular that they were mentioned by Langland with blame (P. Plowman, B. Passus V. l. 402) in 1377; and by Chaucer as much appreciated of Pandarus ("Troil. and Cres." v. 168) in 1382—the first mention that we find of them in literature. In close relation to these, standing midway between the true romance,* to the form and verse of which it has much similarity, and the purely democratic Robin Hood cycle, with the spirit of which it is saturated, is the "Tale of Gamelyn," a story Chaucer probably intended to use as basis for his "Yeoman's Tale." After his death it was included in the "Canterbury Tales" as that of the "Cook," which the author had left with no more than its opening. The same story was long afterwards used by Thomas Lodge for his novel "Rosalind" (1590), in its turn the source of "As You Like It." "Gamelyn" is written in much the same dialect as that of Chaucer, but in the south-west midlands a group of romances appeared about this time (the middle of the fourteenth century), which aimed at a large and popular audience by making use of the old alliterative, unrimed long line. The west had never come so completely under Norman and French influence as other parts of the country, and the old English measure had never completely died out. Both these facts, and the failure of the ordinary romance measures to reach any really artistic development in face of the linguistic disorder, rendered a revival likely; though phonetic changes in the language, the substitution of a logical for an artificial sentence-stress, and the change in many cases of word-accent, made, even here, an exact adherence to the old rules an impossibility. As it is, many Romance words are accentuated on the Germanic principle in these poems, though in ordinary usage this was not the case for more than a hundred years later; and naturally the total effect upon the ear is very different from the dignified roll of the older, slower line. The earliest and most important of these poems is "William of Palern," written by a poet named William, to the order of Sir Humphrey de Bowne (Bohun), Earl of Hereford (1355–61). Somewhat later, probably, is "The Chevelere

* Contemporary examples are:—"Octavian" and "Sir Ferumbras," the latter being partly written in the same verse as "Gamelyn," *i.e.* Middle English Alexandrine, with the addition of middle rime.

Assigne," an English version of the Lohengrin saga, based on the French "Chevalier au signe." Fragments of a Graal romance called "Joseph of Arimathea," and of an Alexander-romance in this metre, have also survived. Remembering this revival, it will only seem natural that William Langland, a man from the south-west midlands, writing his popular allegory in the second half of the century, should use the same measure. But of this more presently.

"Sire Gawain."

Also in the west, but this time probably in Lancashire, was the home of the poet who did most towards the higher development of this form of romance, and more for the beautifying of Middle English poetry as a whole, than any writer before Chaucer. He was born about 1330. Like young Chaucer, the poet of the "Gawain" was dominated by courtly ideals; like him he had a love for Nature in all her moods, and clothed both with that beauty of language and melody of line, for which he, like his young London contemporary, had so fine a sense. But, unlike Chaucer, he was not one of the world's great poets, for he always had a clearly realised didactic aim in his work, and did not trust to the innate quality of his subject, and still less to the innate purity of his mind, as sufficient assurances of a right tendency. He was only saved from being a commonplace allegorist by his love of form, and a rich fancy that saw in every aspect of life and nature a symbol of the higher life. His chief, and only non-religious work, "Sire Gawain and the grene Knight" (c. 1370), is the first of that school of romances of which "The Faery Queene" is the greatest example; in which the adventures of the heroes are all allegorical of the struggle of man against the world, the flesh, and the devil. "Sire Gawain" is one of Arthur's knights, and the story is of plighted word, of tried and victorious chastity; the whole adventure being due to the fairy Morgana, who intends it as a warning to Guinevere.* The verse is a skilful combination of the old alliterative line into strophes of unequal length, by means of a ballad-quatrain introduced by a line of one accent, rhyming with the second and fourth. Equally remarkable is his "Pearl," probably the

* Possibly the poet also had in mind the relations of Edward III. to the Countess of Salisbury, which led to the foundation of the Order of the Garter. —*Cf.* "Pearl," ed. I. Gollancz (David Nutt, 1891), p. xli., *ff.*

earliest of his extant works, an elegy on the death of his two-year-old child. He sees her in his vision, the personification of all that is pure and innocent, on the far side of a clear stream, which prevents him from approaching her; and a conversation between them finally leads him to resignation. The form into which the poem is cast is most complex, beautiful in itself, and most skilfully carried out, but scarcely suited to the simple innocence of the child who is his theme. The strophes are of twelve lines, with four accents, rhymed according to the scheme *abab abab bc bc;* the last word of every stanza in each section of the poem being repeated in the first line of the next stanza, and again as refrain. The sections, of which there are twenty, each with five strophes (the fifteenth has six), are also connected by the repetition of the same or some allied word, while the last line of the poem differs but slightly from the first. The verse is certainly Romance in origin, and, as Mr. Gollancz remarks, has much in common with the sonnet; at the same time, there is little doubt that the author learnt to know it from a rather older contemporary poet on the Welsh border, whose work shows the same qualities and characteristics less highly developed.* The names of both poets are unknown. Was the "philosophical Strode," to whom, with the "moral Gower," Chaucer dedicated his "Troilus and Cryseyde," the Gawain poet? Mr. Gollancz thinks it possible.† His "Cleanness" and "Patience" are didactic alliterative poems, written later, with vivid imaginative descriptions of the Flood and Jonah.

Chaucer: His Life and Training.

About the time that the elder poet was beginning to write, possibly in the house of some nobleman of Lancashire, the name of the young man, Geoffrey Chaucer, must often have been on people's lips at the court in London. He was one of the

* *Cf.* "Early English Poems and Lives of Saints," by Furnivall, Phil. Soc. Trans., pp. 118, 124, 130, 133.

† The methods of the two poets were sufficiently in contrast, but Chaucer can scarcely have failed to appreciate his contemporary's mastery of technique; and curiously enough both "Gawain" and "Troilus" are romances in which the plot turns on a mental conflict. In "Gawain" the hero is tried and is victorious in all essentials; in "Troilus" the heroine is tried and fails. Of such a plot "Gawain" is almost the first, certainly the finest example in England before "Troilus and Cressida." What more natural than that Chaucer should have dedicated his first attempt at mental analysis to its author, though even then the sly smile was not absent?—*Cf.* "Troil. and Cress.," v. 267.

yeomen or servants of the king's chamber, and had won golden opinions for himself by his character and appearance. He was attractive in person, he was good-tempered, and had a dreamy expression of face which seemed to suggest the deep feelings of which he was capable. This quiet mien, however, did not prevent him from being an excellent companion, complaisant and modest, but withal lively, though sometimes given to silence. Now and again he would show a roguishness which took his companions by surprise, and gave promise, could they have appreciated it, of the great humourist to come later. He was known to be devoted to his books; indeed, he would often try to woo the god of sleep by reading in his Ovid or some other old manuscript; and his friends had read many a roundel and virelai that he himself had written in French, and even in English. But he was no mere bookworm, for before he was twenty-one he had already seen a good deal of the world. He was sprung from a citizen's family: his father John was a wine merchant in Thames Street, and Agnes, his mother, a niece of Hamo de Copton, a moneyer. From earliest childhood he must have heard stories of the court and the great world that lay beyond London;* and, at the same time, have seen and heard much in his father's shop which recurred to him in after years, when he was painting the democratic life of the times in his Tales. The Chaucers seem to have been settled in Ipswich before the grandfather Robert came to London, and they must have been of Norman extraction, as the name shows (Chaucier=stocking-weaver). The poet was born about 1340, for in 1386 he described himself as forty years old and over, and as having borne arms for twenty-seven years. As a child he escaped the fearful Black Death of 1348-49, though it must have left an impression on his memory. When sixteen, or thereabouts, he was made *squier* (page) to Elizabeth of Ulster, wife of Lionel, Duke of Clarence, daughter-in-law to the king, and thus came into direct contact with the court. About three years later he went with Edward's invading army to France (1359), and so learnt something of the most terrible side of life. This expedition was not exactly distinguished for its heroic deeds, nor for the success which it won. But still it was full enough

* In 1338 his father had accompanied the King and Queen to Cologne and Antwerp.

of incident, which was new to Chaucer, and which certainly did not escape him in spite of the habit he had of walking with eyes cast on the ground. He took his part in those wearisome, useless marches and counter-marches through the north of France, and was present at the unsuccessful siege of Rheims, whilst the less busy hours not occupied in raids for booty and the like, were enlivened by hawking and feudal sports; till at last he was taken prisoner on one of these minor expeditions, and ransomed by the king (March 1, 1360) for a smaller sum than he paid at the same time for a horse.* This was a rich experience for a youth of twenty. He then became a valet of the king's household, having under his care the royal bed and board, for which he was rewarded in 1367 with a pension of 20 marks (£140) a year. The tone of society, as he saw it at the court, cannot have been without effect upon one who was so completely the child of his time as Chaucer.

The brilliant trappings of chivalry already on the decline, and therefore laying more stress on externals than the inner chivalric ideal; the increase in luxury in every branch of life leading to an overloaded ornamentation in architecture, decoration, dress, and gardening alike; the anxiety to keep in check the unauthorised emotions and the consequent increase in seriousness and worldly wisdom; all this coincident with the old chivalric forms helped not only to mould the personal character of the poet, but offered him many interesting types of humanity, such as a time of transition alone can produce. The relations of the various grades of society to each other, and above all, of men to women and of women to men, in each of them, could not fail to be of deepest interest to his humorous observing spirit. As we should expect from what has been said, the first † extant work from his pen, "The Boke of the Duchesse" (1369), is wholly courtly in style, and serious and romantic in method of treatment. The poem is in form of a vision after the approved

His Works.

* The former sum was £16, the latter, £16 13s. 8d.

† There is some divergence of opinion amongst authorities as to the chronology of Chaucer's works. Where this is so it shall be noted. Skeat, for instance, makes "Chaucer's A B C" the first work, and dates it 1366, followed by "The Compleynte to Pite" in 1367, whilst Brandl puts "The Compleynte" first, then the "A B C." "The Boke of the Duchesse" is thus third according to these two scholars. The order adopted in the text is that of Ten Brink.

fashion of the "Roman de la Rose," and, as in "Pearl," the lost beloved is made once more to meet in a beautiful landscape the man she has left behind. Here, however, the lady is the Duchess Blanche, wife of Chaucer's patron, John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster: and the verse, borrowed like much of the matter from Machault's "Dit de la fontaine amoureuse," is, with the exception of two short lyrical stanzas (ll. 475-86), in short rimed couplets. The poem has many of the faults of an early effort. Its many learned references, its long-spun allegories, its philosophical platitudes, all tend to destroy the effect aimed at. But a real power of characterisation, and the germs at least of the poet's later dramatic power, are evident. Though the speeches are too long and too full of digressions, the dialogue between the poet and the unknown knight is well conceived, but the retarded crisis intended to come as a surprise fails of its effect, because too long postponed. Among his early works may probably be included a roundel and a virelay (vi. 304, 305), both of which breathe the hopeless burning love expressed in the "Compleynte to Pite" (1370-72), the first poem in which Chaucer makes use of his famous seven-lined stanza, often called "rhyme royal," because it was subsequently used by James I. of Scotland. In this poem he tells how he intended to give "Pity" a petition against "Cruelty" for depriving him of his love, but found Pity dead, and Cruelty regnant. Eight years long, and more (*cf.* B. of D., l. 37 *ff.*), had Chaucer suffered from this hopeless passion. Brandl, on insufficient grounds, thinks the lady was the Duchess Blanche herself, and that Chaucer was asking for her generosity, not her love; certain it is that she was of far higher rank than he, and that he never spoke happily of his own relations to women. Here, again, the "Roman de la Rose" is the source of the main ideas; the verse, however, which consists of heroic lines of five accents, arranged thus, *ababbcc*, comes from Provence, though Chaucer has made it his own by the skill shown in its construction, and the consistency with which he uses a new rhyme for the last couplet (*cf.* Ten Brink, "Chaucer's Sprache," § 347).

Foreign Influences on Chaucer.

Already in 1370 he had been sent abroad on some important mission by the king, and in 1372-3 he was again despatched, this time to Italy, to conclude a commercial treaty with the Doge of Genoa. This

journey marks an epoch in his literary development, the commencement of what is often called the "Period of Italian influence," whilst the previous one is spoken of as that of French influence. In the same way, the years from 1385 to his death in 1400 are called the "English Period," or that of "Ripeness." These terms are useful if it is remembered that the words "Italian" and "French" are not mutually exclusive, but imply that the literature of Italy exerted in the second portion of his life an influence side by side with that of France, and taught him truths that he was unable to learn from the latter. He possibly met Petrarch at Padua during this sojourn in Italy, and from him he obtained, either directly or through Boccaccio, the story of the patient Griseldis, which he afterwards translated literally from the Latin, and still later made use of as the "Clerke's Tale" (II. 279). Dante and Boccaccio he studied carefully, borrowing from the latter two complete epics and any number of minor suggestions, and learning from the former much about the technicalities of his art. Petrarch's art was too refined and sophisticated to make much appeal to the sturdy manliness of the English poet; by Dante's greatness as a stylist he was deeply impressed, though scarcely capable of appreciating his genius to the full. Boccaccio, the least of the three as a poet, but greatest as a story-teller, was certainly the most sympathetic to him.

His Middle Life.

In 1374 Chaucer was made Comptroller of Customs in the port of London, a post which he filled unaided for ten years, in addition to which he was several times abroad on various errands, amongst others another journey to Italy in 1378. We know that in 1374 he was already married to a wife Philippa, was settled in a house near the city gate of Aldgate, and was rewarded by the Duke of Lancaster for the services of himself and his wife with a pension of £10 (£100 of our money). Whether the marriage was a recent one or no is uncertain. A Philippa Chaucer was one of the ladies of the Chamber to the Queen in 1366. Was Chaucer his wife's maiden name, and was she, perhaps, a descendant of the Richard Chaucer whom the poet's grandmother married as her third husband? or was the poet already married in 1366? Neither view is free from difficulties. On the whole the former seems to agree better with the known facts.

Fortunately the course of the poet's inner life is easier to

trace. About the time of the first Italian journey he passed through a mental crisis which cast at first a serious and religious tone over his thoughts and tastes, leading in time to a higher, more independent view of life, which made possible at a later date, when his inborn *Lebenslust* returned, the humoristic and kindly ironical view of men and things, which are the distinctive marks of his genius. This crisis may have been the result of many concurrent causes. The great religious revival under Wycliffe, which was then at its height, cannot have been without its effect. The weariness of spirit, induced by his secret unanswered love and the hollowness of an intriguing court-life, doubtless helped. Not least was the influence of Dante, which is seen in the legend of St. Cecilia, translated into "rhyme royal" at this time from the "Legenda aurea" of Jacobus à Voragine, possibly with the help of a version contained in another cycle of saints' lives, and incorporated later in the "Canterbury Tales" as the story of the second nun (III. 29). About this time too must be placed his translation of Innocent's "De Contemptu Mundi," now lost, though fragments were doubtless made use of in later works, and that of Origen's "Homilia de Maria Magdalena." This work is mentioned in the prologue to the "Legend of Good Women," but is not extant. Ten Brink would place here his "A B C," a free translation of "Le Pélérinage de la Vie Humaine," by Guillaume de Deguileville. The work is very uneven in quality, and has an artificiality about it which seriously detracts from its evidently earnest tone.

A New Phase.

The purely religious phase was not likely to last long with a man of Chaucer's temperament, but his next work, a translation of Boccaccio's "Teseide" into "rhyme royal," made between 1374 and 1377, was completely in keeping with his serious tone of mind. Though only fragments of the original version of "Palamon and Arcite" remain embedded in later poems, such as "Anelida and the False Arcite" and "Troilus and Cressida" (*cf.* Ten Brink, "Chaucer Studien," p. 39 *ff.* and "Englische Studien," II. 230), yet they are sufficient to show that it was treated throughout in the serious romantic tone. Two noble kinsmen, Palamon and Arcite, love and fight for the person of one lady, Emelye. Arcite is thrown from his horse at the moment

Palamon and Arcite.

of victory, and the vanquished Palamon wins the prize, which his brother, with the fuller knowledge of the next world, sees to be worthless (v. 76). In this spirit it was that Chaucer made about this time a prose translation of Boethius' "De Consolatione Philosophiae," a book which must have encouraged his natural tendency to intellectual scepticism, at the same time that it instilled him with neo-platonic ideas.

In this frame of mind the poet was found when John of Gaunt, his old patron, prompted him to produce his next two works. The first of these, "The Compleynt of Mars," an occasional piece, written in the spring of 1379, describes in skilful astrological allegory a recent court intrigue between John Holland (Mars) and Isabella of Castile (Venus), John of Gaunt's sister-in-law. Chaucer also began about this time his translation of the "Roman de la Rose," now lost (p. 220, *note*). That the latter parts of this especially were not calculated to encourage the romantic spirit is certain.

Anyway, from this time on, though he could be serious on occasion, and never lost his appreciation and honour for the "eternal womanly," yet he could never suffer the existence of anything bordering on sentimentality in his work, without placing in sharp contrast to it the other commonplace and material side of the question. This has been excellently pointed out by Ten Brink ("Chaucer Studien," p. 45). And so, when dissatisfied with his "Palamon and Arcite," which certainly none but his most intimate friends had seen, he reconstructed the whole tale in manner suitable for the mouth of the knight, and introduced many a humorous and ironical remark into the tragic love-story, which was not only consistent with the experienced old knight's character, but also with his own view of life. In 1377 Edward III. had died, and in January, 1382, the young King Richard married the Princess Anne of Luxemburg, daughter of the Emperor Charles V. Whilst the negotiations were still proceeding Chaucer wrote his allegory "The Parlament of Fowles" in support of the king's suit. The princess is represented as a hen eagle wooed by three tiercel eagles, who have come with the other birds under guidance of Nature to choose their mates on St. Valentine's Day. The tiercelets represent Richard II., a Bavarian prince, and a margrave of Meissen ("Eng. Studien," I., 288), with whom

The "Parlament of Fowles."

Anne had been betrothed at different times of her childhood. In the poem, Nature, who shows much in common with Boethius' Platonic conception of her, declares the "formel egle" (*i.e.*, the princess) old enough to make her own choice, and she accordingly decides to delay decision for a year.

The poem shows Dante's influence again at work. The garden seen in the poet's dream is as beautiful, but not as carelessly joyous, as the Garden of the Rose, for over the portal is an inscription of the same intent as the famous "per me si va nella città dolente" (Inferno III. 1), and he enters not alone as of yore with careless ease, but under guidance of the dead Scipio, as Dante had entered the Inferno with Vergil. The fragment of Cicero's "De civitate" known in the Middle Ages as the "Somnium Scipionis," had influenced Chaucer in this matter as it had Dante before him. The poem, however, does not lack an Aristophanic touch in the remarks passed by the other birds upon the royal wooing.

"Troilus and Cryseyde."

In the same year as his "Parlament of Fowles" most authorities agree in placing "Troilus and Cryseyde," the second of the epics borrowed from Boccaccio. In the "Filostrato" the Italian had enlarged an episode of the Troy saga into an important work. Chaucer, without altering the story in any important particular, and without shifting the centre of interest in the tale, changed this epic into a poem, no less important and, if somewhat less harmonious, yet showing a far deeper knowledge of human nature. "Troilus" is the first analytical novel in the English language, and loses nothing by comparison with the work of Richardson and George Eliot, whilst it gains in its total effect when compared with Shakespeare's play. This advantage which it shows over the latter work, however, is due to the greater suitability of the story for narrative rather than dramatic form, not to the superior genius of the earlier poet. The story is one of the tragic fate awaiting a gentle, lovable character, for whom the influences of time and present impressions are too great to be resisted. Cressida's grief at leaving Troilus is described with ironical compassion, yet not without sorrow for the weakness of mankind: Troilus, the fervent Romeo-like lover, learns at last to laugh at the pettiness and worthlessness of the world; and in Pandarus the dramatic development of the story is

centred, whilst the dash of naturalism is heightened in colour, but refined, with enormous gain in ironical humour, by making him an old man, instead of a young one as in Boccaccio. This was Chaucer's "litel tragedye," as he called it, praying God at the same time to grant him strength to write a "comedy," *i.e.* a story with a happy ending.

"The Hous of Fame."

The prayer was granted when he had written "The Hous of Fame," a vision-poem which shows the influence of Dante more strongly than ever. The poem was commenced December 10th, 1383, and is a playful, fantastic allegory, flowing over with good spirits, and yet showing beneath the surface an intensely personal, serious tone shadowing the unspoken dreams of the hard-worked poet. In many details of the poem we are reminded that Chaucer was thinking of the "Divine Comedy," and the "House of Fame" stands, as Ten Brink has finely remarked, in the same sort of relation to the former gigantic work of genius as the caprice of my lady Fame to the eternal justice of God. Chaucer fittingly returned to his old short-lined couplets for the last time in the fabric of this airy vision. In the next year the king allowed him to appoint a temporary deputy at the Customs-house, and three months later (February, 1385) this permission was made permanent. This date may be taken to mark Chaucer's entry upon his third and last period of literary activity, for the leisure which he had now gained led to the production of a number of important works—two of them being series of stories enclosed within a common frame, after the manner of the "Decamerone" and "Ameto," but neither of them ever finished. The "Legende of Gode Women," or "Seyntes' Legende of Cupyde," as he himself calls it, commenced in 1385, stands at the entrance of this period, and stretches out a hand to both past and future. It reminds us of his early work because its spirit is the purely chivalric and romantic one which he had left behind in his youth, and for the last time he here makes use of the allegorical vision. It shows the influence of Italy, for it consists of a series of tales connected by a slight bond into one poem, and it anticipates the "Canterbury Tales" in this respect as well as in its use of the heroic couplet. The idea of writing a set of poems in praise of women who had been the martyrs of love was the

The "Legende of Gode Women."

queen's, for he sings her praises in the carefully executed prologue as the leader of "the ladies good ninetene," as he does in the person of Alcestis, and under the figure of the daisy. The translation of the "Roman de la Rose" and the "Troilus and Cryseyde" had not pleased her, and Chaucer may well have felt bound to make amends by writing this work in return for her advocacy in the matter of the deputy at the Customs-house. It is at any rate curious that only nine of the whole series planned are in existence, and that the queen survived just that number of years after the commencement of the poem. The general plan of the work is based upon Boccaccio's "De mulieribus claris," and to the same poet is due the general form of the "Canterbury Tales," on which Chaucer was at work, and to which he was giving his main thought and energy at this time.

The "Canterbury Tales."

The "Decamerone" offered an example of a series of separate tales told by a company of men and women all come together with the same object: but here the likeness ceases. The object of the pilgrims was a worthy, not a selfish one, and the scene is ever shifting, not a quiet villa garden. The characters, too, are drawn from all sorts and conditions of men, except the very highest and the lowest, not all from the same rank of society as in Boccaccio's book. The idea of representing the various grades of the commonwealth, and of making them undertake a pilgrimage, is without any doubt due to Langland's "Piers Plowman" (*vide* infra, p. 226), but in the method of adaptation the master's hand is again visible, for the goal of their journey is not an abstract Truth, but the ancient city and cathedral of Canterbury with all its ecclesiastical and historical memories; and the power of characterisation is far greater and more dramatic than that of the Malvern poet, though even Langland had gone much further in this direction than the allegorical names of his personages imply. It is not impossible that Gower in a negative way had also helped to call this masterpiece into existence, for in 1383, or thereabouts, the "Confessio Amantis," Gower's great English work, had been commenced. This work came into direct competition with the "Legend of Good Women" in subject matter, and was far more ambitious in scheme and extent than anything Chaucer had yet

produced. Did "that last infirmity of noble mind," or at least the desire not to be overshot in his own particular province, act as a spur to the rather easy-going poet?

The Pilgrims.

Chaucer's motley company start from the Tabard Inn in Southwark, under guidance of "mine host," a man who is genial enough, but quite capable of preserving the requisite degree of discipline. His following consists of the perfect gentle knight, just back from the wars which he has waged in all parts of the world, who has laid aside his armour but not his rust-stained jerkin, to join this pilgrimage with his son, who is little more than a youth, is dressed in the latest court fashion, and is *au fait* in every point of chivalric etiquette. One servant only has this worthy knight, a sturdy yeoman-forester with arms well kept, well versed in woodcraft and the tales of Robin Hood. Another gentleman is the epicurean old Franklyn, well loved for his hospitality. The ecclesiastical profession is well represented. The prioress, "full simple and coy," is the most attractive of these. She is a very refined, amiable, and tender-hearted lady, who takes pains to be dignified, is very fond of her dogs, and is decidedly well favoured. With her was another nun, who acted as her chaplain, and three priests.* A monk there was who had but one fault, forgetfulness of the rules of his order, and an inordinate love of hunting. He was well mounted, well dressed, and well fed. Smooth-tongued Friar Hubert is no less impressive a personage, and his acquaintances are drawn from every class but the poor. The appearance of the summoner with his fire-red pimpled face, narrow eyes and loose morals, is as little attractive as that of his friend the effeminate pardoner, with his beardless chin, goggle eyes, dank yellow hair, and squeaky nasal voice. None the less, however, is the latter a good man of business, with a wonderful power of persuading people to buy his pardons. This unedifying group of clerics is contrasted with the unselfish, patient, zealous country parson, who is learned but poor, and "Cristes lore, and his apostles twelve, He taught, and

* This is inconsistent with the earlier statement (Prol., l. 24) that twenty-nine pilgrims assembled at the Tabard, for three priests would bring the number up to thirty-one. This is one of the evidences that the final revision even of the Prologue was never made. For the nun-chaplaincy *cf.* Sussex Archaeol. Soc. ix., p. 15: "An Episcopal Injunction to the Prioress of Easeburn in 1478," and Dugdale, Mon. III., p. 415, in a report on the Elstow nunnery.

first he folwed it himselve." It is not without meaning that this man is made brother to the ploughman whom Langland had taken as his hero, and that the tales should close with his sermon upon penitence as the "good way" for men to walk, on their spiritual pilgrimage. The canon and the canon's yeoman join the cavalcade as they near Canterbury.

The other learned professions are represented by an Oxford scholar, who cares more for books than aught else, and hence grows not fat; a serjeant of the law, a clever, learned, and experienced gentleman, who is of very different opinions with regard to money from the scholar, and a doctor of physic, equally fond of money, but a skilful practitioner, and a moderate liver. The manciple, who is quite as good a business man, and the wife of Bath, the naïvely outspoken autobiographer, much experienced in the holy estate of matrimony, belong to no particular group: the latter is too well-known a character to need further description. Business and labour find their representatives in a merchant, a sailor, a cook, a weaver, a dyer, an upholsterer, a haberdasher, a carpenter, a miller, a reeve, and the ploughman already mentioned. But these are little more than sketches, and either did not attract the poet so much, or he intended to reserve their nearer characterisation for the prologues of their respective tales; an intention which, however, never found fulfilment, if it ever existed. Finally there is Chaucer himself, a figure of distinct value in the composition, both from a realistic point of view, and because the consciousness we have of the poet's presence all through lends extra point to the irony and pathos of the tales. Thus there are in all thirty-four characters.

The Construction of the "Canterbury Tales."

The greatest care is taken not only to bring the various tales into the most effective contrast by the order in which they are recounted, but also to put into the mouth of each speaker just such a tale as shall thoroughly suit, and thus help to illustrate his or her character. In this way Chaucer was enabled to make use of the long literary experience and of much of the actual production of his whole life. Nothing was thrown away. The various phases, fashions, and modes of thought and work, through which he had passed, and which were his no longer, were thus no less useful than the work produced in the period

of full ripeness. With an intense dramatic sense, unequalled until the end of the 16th century, he made the varied sympathies and tastes of his long artistic development expressive of the characters of his personages, and turned in this way even the faults and weaknesses of poems written in the past to account. Thus the hazy, romantic, completely mediæval tale of "Griseldis" is given to the Oxford scholar: the well-meaning sermon on "Repentance" is put into the worthy parson's mouth; and with exquisite irony the interminably dull and moralising "Tale of Meliboeus," the "*litel* thing in prose," is told by himself, after the company have rebelled against the satirical skit upon the tales of the ballad-mongers for its wearisomeness. Out of the frying-pan into the fire, it seems to us, and did no doubt to him, but to the average mind of Chaucer's day the "treatise" was acceptable enough.

The "Canterbury Tales" and Chaucer's "England."

The work reflects, not only the society, but the literature of the time. Every type of mediæval writing is there—the chevalresque and the popular romance, sacred legend and epic saga, history and myth, fabliaux and lais, prosopopœia allegory and sermon.

The Metre.

And the verse is varied, according to the subject-matter. The tragic stories, such as the "Monk's Tale," are written in an octave stanza of French origin, with rime order *ababbcbc*. The pathetic ones, such as the "Clerk's Tale," in the famous Chaucer stanza, or "rhyme royal," which is, without doubt, of Provençal origin (*cf.* p. 209). In the "Rime of Sir Thopas" "rime couée" (tail-rime) is adopted: and, lastly, in the Prologue, nearly all the interludes and the majority of the tales (in all the best ones) the heroic couplet is the measure. The line is of the same structure as that in the octave stanza and the "rime royale," and the idea of combining such lines into rimed couplets was probably suggested by the Southern cycle of legends of saints, which were in Middle English Alexandrines, rimed in couplets (*cf.* supra, p. 87). It will be remembered that Chaucer first used this measure in his own legend-cycle "The Legende of Gode Women," the sub-title of which, "The Seyntes Legende of Cupyde," shows that the poet had the sacred cycle in mind. Finally, two of the tales, that told by Chaucer himself and that of the parson, are in prose.

The comprehensive scheme of this great work was, however, never carried out. Death came to the cunning artist before the poem was half finished; and though the arrangement of some of the tales in relation to the whole is clear enough, it will probably never be possible to assign to all their proper place. In some cases we may be sure that the poet himself had come to no definite conclusion. He seems originally to have intended that each pilgrim should tell four tales, two going and two on the return journey. Afterwards he determined to assign but half this number to each, but of this less ambitious plan not half was finished. This was the work on which Chaucer was almost wholly occupied from 1388 (the probable date of the Prologue) till his death in 1400—only twelve short years! His wife had died in 1387, for soon after we find him mortgaging his pensions. Philippa Chaucer may have been an unsympathetic but careful housewife. A new royal pension of £20, granted in 1394 but paid irregularly, still left him in debt, and the post of Clerk of the King's Works, held from 1389-91, had but temporarily banished care. The respite had, however, been well used in producing the ironical "Wife of Bath" and "The Merchant's Tale."

Chaucer's Later Works.

In 1391 he wrote his "Treatise on the Astrolabe," a book on astrology for his ten-year-old son Lewis. In the last ten years of his life must also be placed his unfinished "Quene Anelyda and False Arcyte," which contains fragments of the original "Palamon and Arcite" (*cf.* supra); his "Complaynt of Mars and Venus," translated from the French of Granson for the Duchess Isabella of Lancaster: his "Praise of Women" and the "Goodly Ballade of Chaucer," both addressed to the queen, if, indeed, they are his work. Two ballads of warning are addressed to Richard, whose unpopularity was rapidly bringing his downfall, and the humorous "Compleynte to his Purse" earned from the weak, good-natured king a letter of protection against his creditors in 1398. When Henry IV., the son of Chaucer's old patron, John of Gaunt, seized the throne next year, one of his first acts was to grant the poet another pension of twenty marks. With new hope Chaucer bought the lease of a house in the garden of St. Mary's Chapel, Westminster, for fifty-three years. The sale was completed on Christmas Eve, 1399; on October 25th, 1400, the poet was dead.

The works of which the names alone have survived are:—

Lost Works of Chaucer.

"The Book of the Lion," mentioned at the end of "The Parson's Tale"; "Origenes upon the Mandeleyne," mentioned in the "Prologue" to the "Legende of Good Women," l. 428; a translation of Pope Innocent's "De Miseria Conditionis Humanae," mentioned in the Cambridge MS. of the "Legende of Good Women"; and a translation of the "Roman de la Rose."*

Works Wrongly Attributed to Chaucer.

The following works were at one time supposed to be Chaucer's, and were consequently included in editions of his works. They are now known not to be so. "The Complaint of the Black Knight" is by John Lydgate; "The Cuckoo and the Nightingale" is similar to Chaucer in style, and takes its two opening lines from the "Knight's Tale"; "The Flower and Leaf" was written by a woman in the fifteenth century; Chaucer's "Dream" was first printed in 1598, and is certainly not his; "The Court of Love" was written about 1500; "The Testament of Love" and several short poems, included in the sixth volume of the Aldine "Chaucer," are likewise spurious.

Chaucer's Language.

A few words must be devoted to the language of Chaucer and of his time. In the second half of the 14th century, the struggle for supremacy between the Anglo-Norman dialect and the native English had finally been decided in favour of the latter. Indeed, Anglo-Norman had given way even at the court to the more fashionable Central French, and hence Chaucer says of the prioress who had no relations with the court:—

> And Frensh she spak ful faire and fetisly,
> After the scole of Stratford atte Bowe,
> For Frensh of Paris was to hir unknowe.

* Lines 1–1705 of the Glasgow fragment are now accepted as genuine by Kaluza ("Chaucer u. der Rosenroman, 1893") and Skeat ("Chaucer's Works," Vol. I., 1894). Kaluza also accepts l. 5811—end. The matter is far from settled. Lounsbury's defence of the whole ("Studies in Chaucer," II. 1. 166) is unreliable, and has been refuted by Kittredge ("Studies and Notes in Phil. and Lit.," Boston, 1892). Ten Brink ("Chaucer-Studien," p. 14*ff*., and "Gesch. d. engl. Litt. 4") rejected the whole; so did Skeat formerly ("Essays on Chaucer," Chaucer Society, No. 14) and Introduction to the Prioress's Tale, Clarendon Press Series). Lindner ("Engl. Studien," x. 163) argues for a composite authorship. Mr. A. W. Pollard, in his excellent little "Chaucer Primer," summarises the arguments for and against fragment A.

At the same time the battle had left its marks on the victorious tongue in the loss of inflections, the addition of a large number of Romance words to the vocabulary, a general state of uncertainty as to the position of the stress in the borrowed words, and even, through analogy, in many native ones. This last phenomenon was greatly to the advantage of the poets of that time, who were thus enabled, without any offence to the ear, to make use of either accentuation. The example, however, led to evil results, for imitators of Chaucer, living in a later time, when the area of this fluctuation was far less wide in colloquial speech, extended the liberty, for which they found a limited authority in their master, to the violation of all music and rhythm in their verse. The secret of Chaucer's versification lay in the skill with which he was able to combine the spirits of two so utterly diverse metrical systems as the Germanic and Romance. And this secret could never be discovered by counting of syllables and neglect of the laws of stress: hence the monstrosities of Lydgate. But the service done by Chaucer for English literature was more than a metrical one. Him we have to remember and thank, not only as the "Father of English Poetry," but also as the "Father of Literary English." His works had more influence in directing the form of the written language than those of any other writer, Wycliffe not excepted. The dialect which he spoke was that of London, *i.e.*, South-East Midland, and London was at that time the centre of the intellectual, commercial, and social life of England, even more than to-day, for then she had no rival Manchester, Liverpool, Glasgow, or Birmingham. It was from London and the royal court that the modern language of half the world sprang, not from the Universities, and not from the Church. And Chaucer was the directing and forming channel through which it was handed down to the use of future generations. An attempt has been made, but without success, to prove that the royal proclamations and other governmental documents were the chief agents in the production of a single literary language,* but though these, no doubt, were not without their effect, the main service must be ascribed to the poet. Wycliffe, doubtless, prepared the way for Chaucer by his polemical pamphlets, written in English, and

* *Cf.* Morsbach, "Ueber den Ursprung der N.E. Schriftsprache" (Heilbronn, 1888).

the literary language once established was further defined by the printing-press of Caxton, another Londoner by residence though not by birth. (*Cf.* c. VIII.)

Chaucer's Contemporaries.

AMONG the men whom Chaucer must have met at John of Gaunt's Palace of the Savoy was undoubtedly John Wycliffe. This almost sternly practical man, by far the greatest thinker of his age, must have made an impression on the Court poet, were it only by his fearlessness in thought and deed, and by the idealism which raised his every act above the commonplace. Yet wanting as he was in the artistic sense, it is no wonder that he exerted less influence on the work of Chaucer than on that of Langland, who cared far less for the form than for the spirit. His attitude as a thinker and a religious reformer can only be understood in the light of previous events in the history of the Church, and this side of his activity is dealt with elsewhere. In ecclesiastical politics he was the follower of Bishop Grossetête, who had already, in the thirteenth century, maintained the interests of the national Church in opposition to those of the Papacy. But Wycliffe, as a thinker, felt bound to find some philosophic basis for his action, and found it in an idealised form of the feudal theory of lordship based on reciprocity of service. This was his famous doctrine of Dominion: the development of a theory originated by Richard Fitzralph, Archbishop of Armagh. His final theological position was equally conditioned by his metaphysical thought, for his denial of transubstantiation was based upon the theory that annihilation was a fiction, and that it was not in the power because not in the nature of God to annihilate anything. Indeed, all his works, even his sermons, show this love of theories and illustrations gathered from his philosophical and scientific studies, for he was scarcely less well read in science than in metaphysics. This taste he owed to his early University training, possibly in some measure also to his Northern blood.

Wycliffe.

Wycliffe was a great and original thinker, a fierce opponent of superstition, and, in his later years, of the mendicant

friars,* but he was no stylist; yet, though our literature is not indebted to him for a single work of art, she owes to him many new ideas. He had worked out a complete philosophical system in a series of treatises of metaphysical, ethical, and political content, collected under the title "Summa Theologia," and remarkable, not so much for the originality of their thought, as for the manner in which he deduces and finds philosophic bases for his ideas. His "Trialogus" (published 1383) treats, in four books written in dialogue, of God, the world, virtue, sin and redemption, the sacraments, the servants of the Church (especially the mendicant friars), and the last judgment. It gives, in strictly scientific form, the latest results of his researches made during the translation of the Bible. He was the first to uphold the absolute and sole authority of Scripture, and this, together with his translation, had much to do with the influence which the style and thought of that Book has exerted upon our best literature ever since. In this sense he was a true precursor of the Reformation, though he did not anticipate the Protestant doctrine of justification by faith alone. He was aided in the vast undertaking of giving the Bible for the first time complete in the vulgar tongue to the English people by Nicholas Hereford, an Oxford man, who was teaching at Queen's College, when, in 1382, he had to flee the country before the storm which was already breaking on the Lollards. The larger part of the Old Testament was translated under his direction,† and when he suddenly left England to appeal to the Pope in person against the sentence of excommunication passed on him, the translation had been completed to Baruch iii. 20. Wycliffe was responsible for the remainder of the Old Testament, and for the Gospels of St. Matthew and St. Mark. The rest of the New Testament was possibly by another hand, working under Wycliffe's direction.‡

His Influence on Literature.

His Version of the Bible.

* He repeatedly brands them with the name of CAYM (Cain), taken from the initials of their orders: Carmelites, Austins, Jacobins (or Dominicans), Minorites (or Franciscans).

† Much of it was the work of his own hand, but part was done by others (*Cf.* Hermann Fischer: "Ueber die Sprache Wyclifs." Hallenser Diss., 1884.)

‡ *Cf.* Ernst Gasner: "Beitrage zum Entwickelungsgang der neuenglischen Schriftsprache," Inaugural Diss., Hanover, 1891.

No sooner was the work completed (1383-4) than its many imperfections became evident. The laudable desire to be faithful in the rendering of each word had led the translators into grievous Latinisms which had their source in the Vulgate Version they were using.

Participial constructions and the use of the Latin perfect passive were common, especially in the work done under the direction of Hereford, who was far more painfully literal in his rendering than his master ; so the work of revision began under Wycliffe's guidance. The task fell to John Purvey, but was not completed till 1388, four years after the master's death.

Wycliffe's English tracts and pamphlets stand in close relation to his translation of the Bible, for they constantly refer to the teaching found in that Book, and are written in the same strong and clear, if somewhat unformed, English. They are essentially moral, not theological, treatises, and deal with the same social and clerical abuses that had been matter for Walter Map's satire in the past, and were now the mark for Langland's irony. The most famous, because one of the most theological, called "The Wyket," speaks of the great temptation the faithful are under to leave the narrow path and the "strayte gate" which leads to "everlasting lyfe," and to wander into the "large and broad way" of belief in transubstantiation "that leadeth to dampnacion." The conception of human life as a pilgrimage, with heaven our home, has always been popular, but was especially so in a time when the Renaissance had not yet taught men to see the dignity and worth of the present life in the flesh.

Had the Government been willing to watch over and direct the impulses to thought and reform which had their source in Wycliffe, instead of crushing them, as they mercilessly did in the next century, England might well have seen a great advance made towards the establishment of a strong prose tradition some two centuries earlier than was actually the case. As it was, the fifteenth century had nothing to show but the beginnings of this in the sense of rhythm, and even occasional passion with which Malory was inspired by his rambles through the mystic jungle of Arthurian romance. It was longer still before argumentative prose took form.

In Wycliffe's day reform was far more engrossing than form; and artist though he was, this remark holds good for Wycliffe's great fellow-labourer, William Langland. Yet nothing could be more widely different than the temperaments, theories of life, and methods of work of these two men. Langland was every whit as much a man of ideals as Wycliffe, but his ideal polity is built up from the existing order of things by a reform of the individual. Both saw something rotten in the state of England; but Wycliffe found it in the system, Langland in the men who worked it. Could men be made perfect, then law might be neglected; but he had no touch of the leveller, and could feel no sympathy with the catch-phrases of John Ball. He saw no reasons for altering the *rôles* allotted to the various figures in the feudal system of society; he wished to inspire each with the desire to play his part manfully. "Rightful reason should rule you all," is his answer to the query about the existence of gentlemen in the days "when Adam delved and Eve span"; and testing them by this touchstone, he does not spare his blame of begging friars, lying pardoners, and such-like caterpillars of the commonwealth, or even of the king himself.

Wycliffe and Langland.

It is consistent with all this that the means he took of expressing his ideas was not a polemical pamphlet, but a dream allegory, in which this insistence on the importance of the individual, and his careful observation of men rather than Man, makes him a draughtsman of types of character, and a humorist rather than a logician. If as a reformer he is related to Wycliffe, he is quite as much the humorous dramatic poet whom Chaucer found suggestive. He came of a much humbler stock than either, and was probably in one of the lower orders of the priesthood. He had a wife and family, and does not seem to have had much personal intercourse with men outside his own home. He was probably born at Cleobury Mortimer, near the Malvern Hills (*c.* 1332), and was very likely attached as lector or exorcist to some chantry or mortuary chapel in London. That is about all we know of his life, yet there is no poet whose character is more clearly seen in his work. "The Vision of William concerning Piers the Plowman" is a religious allegory, which

"Piers the Plowman."

the poet was constantly revising and extending, probably up to the very last. The MSS. fall into three groups, of which the earliest (1362) is thirty years older than the latest (1393), by which time the poem had grown out of all compass, and had lost the little unity it originally possessed. The middle group of MSS. gives the poem as it was in 1377: certainly the most interesting and artistic stage of its development. "Piers Plowman" is divided into two main sections. The first, common to all three versions, is complete in itself, and, as literature, is the better. It tells in somewhat rambling fashion the pilgrimage of a company of men and women to the shrine of Truth, under the guidance of Piers the Plowman, who, as the poem proceeds, rises in the poet's conception from being only a representative English labourer to the type of Christ Himself. It is an allegory with a large number of digressions and discussions having small connection with the main action; but it is not mere abstract moralising allegory, like so much mediæval art. The popular seven deadly sins are introduced, but the scene of their confession before Repentance is a piece of true comic drama, in which the characters are no personifications, but living English peasants and mechanics. These concrete figures are as different from the abstractions of "The Dance of the Seven Deadly Sins," or of "The Induction," or even the romantic procession in "The Faery Queene," as Volpone is from King Hart. It is not till we come to such plays as "The Roaring Girl," or such poems as "The Jolly Beggars," that a parallel to them can be found. Another notable feature of the first part in the version of 1377 is the introduction of the fable of belling the cat, which first appeared in literature in a Latin and French collection of fables in a Paris MS. of the year 1333.* The beast-fable was, however, no new idea in England, and it formed a part of the Pre-Norman tradition which Langland represented. The cat typifies Edward III., the kitten Prince Richard, the "route of ratones . . . and smale mys myd hem," the Lords and Commons.†

The Successive Versions.

Structure of the Poem.

* The Latin version is evidently the older. The fable later became very popular all over Europe. It is found in La Fontaine.

† *Cf.* J. J. Jusserand, "Observations sur la Vision de Piers Plowman,"

The second section of the poem is that which varies most in the different versions. It is made up of three parts: the lives of Do-wel (*i.e.*, do your duty on earth), Do-bet (*i.e.*, translate the Bible and do deeds of charity), and Do-best (*i.e.*, become a fisher of men). In the second and third versions of the poem these parts are extended by a number of visions of theological and moralising import, such as that of Fortune, Nature, and Reason, that of Faith and Charity, and of the triumph of Piers, the whole concluding in deep depression and melancholy with the vision of Antichrist. Do-bet had closed triumphantly with the poet awakened by the clashing of the hopeful bells on Easter morning; but in the conclusion, Conscience, who has fled for refuge to the church, hard set by Sloth and Pride, starts out as a pilgrim through the wide world to seek Piers the Plowman, praying the while with tears for grace. That, after thirty years of labour and experience, was the utmost of the poet's hope. Thus the three greatest men of the time all thought of human life under the favourite figure of a pilgrimage, but Chaucer alone treated the conception in the modern spirit.

Do-wel, Do-bet, and Do-best.

It has already been pointed out that in form "Piers Plowman" belongs to that group of works produced in the West Midlands which revived in this century the Old English alliterative line, though in a freer form, which was largely the result of linguistic and accentual changes. At the same time, the tendency to introduce four instead of three alliterative syllables tended to hasten the conception of the old long line as two short ones: a conception which was destined to be confirmed when the ballad-singers added the ornament of end or even middle rime. Thus on the basis of such verse as Langland's there had grown up in the eastern counties, under French influence, the Middle English Alexandrine, as we find it in the Tale of Gamelyn, and from the use of middle rime a short-rimed couplet, of which the measure of "King Horn" is the typical example.

Langland's Verse.

In the third version of "Piers Plowman" Langland had spoken more plainly than ever of the ill-government of King

Revue Critique, 1879, II. Semestre, and Skeat's small edition of Piers Plowman, 1888, in which he accepts Jusserand's conclusions

Richard, but his next work was directed wholly as a warning to that unhappy palterer. "Richard the Redeless" was begun in August, 1399, when the king was captured, and closes with a welcome to Henry IV. The poem is from the third Passus, an allegorical beast-fable. It is in the same measure as his longer work, the central figure of which had become very popular, and had tempted other writers to imitation. Such a poem is the alliterative "Piers Plowman's Crede," written 1393–1400. By the same author is the "Complaint of the Plowman" (*c.* 1400), a poem in an eight-lined cross-rime stanza of four-accent lines, which in the sixteenth century was included in editions of Chaucer as the "Plowman's Tale."

"Richard the Redeless."

Imitators of Langland.

A very different person from any of the men we have been talking of was John Gower. Chaucer the artist, Wycliffe the reformer, and Langland the puritan, all in their way were before their time. They all—even Chaucer—had the mediæval limitations, but in greater or less degree their faces were set towards the dawn of modern life in the fifteenth century. Gower was always looking back. He could not help seeing that the times were out of joint; he could not help acknowledging the advantages of the new methods in literature used by Chaucer; but he only adopted the vulgar tongue as his instrument under the stress of competition, and he saw no hope for the land save in retraced footsteps. He was wholly conservative, wholly mediæval. He was a man of great learning and with considerable sense of style, but he had no instinct for variety. His English verse is fluent and harmonious, his language lucid, and even forcible at times, but he has no touch of brilliancy, no play of fancy, still less any imagination. He is earnest, sententious, and grave; he is never profound. He can describe realistically the vices of which the lover may be guilty, but he cannot delineate character. He can tell a story with some sense of proportion, yet if his original has failed to grip the dramatic kernel, Gower is unable to make good the omission. Indeed, he often allows himself to boil down the most effective passages of his original into a dry summary of contents. His best and most poetical work is undoubtedly to be found in his "Cinquante Balades" and a few other French poems which

Gower.

have come down to us. His natural elegance and polish of manner find in these short poems a peculiarly fitting form.* They are not long enough to make monotony of treatment possible, their complexity of form ensures sufficient variety of music, and their erotic theme keeps moralising at a convenient minimum. The majority were probably written early in life, though some, such as the envoi of the "Cinquante Balades," addressed to Henry IV., and the thirty-fifth balade, which clearly refers to the "Parliament of Foules," are evidently of much later date.

John Gower, born in the second or third decade of the century, was most probably a member of the family of Sir Robert Gower, a large landowner in Suffolk and Kent, and was till the latter years of his life closely connected with the southern county. He writes of Wat Tyler's rebellion as an eye-witness. He married late in life, and died in 1408 as an old blind man in the priory of St. Mary Overies (now St. Saviour's), Southwark, of which foundation he was a great benefactor. His first ambitious work was a long poem in French, now lost, on the virtues and vices, called "Speculum Meditantis." This was possibly written before the death of Edward III. Soon after Richard's accession he began (1381) another long moralising poem, which was not finished till near the end of the reign. This time writing in Latin elegiacs, with a tendency to punning and assonance, and no great regard to quantities, he described at length in the first book of the "Vox Clamantis" the peasants' revolt under Wat Tyler, making use of prosopopœia, as Langland had done in his fable of the rats and mice and his "Richard the Redeless." In the six following books, which only make up three-fourths of the whole in length, he proceeds to preach the need for a purer faith, the sins of the clergy and lawyers, the dangers of Lollard doctrine, the sensuality of the serf, and the avarice of the merchant. What with Chaucer gives rise to some humorous ironical trait in one of his characters serves Gower as material for sharp satirical invective. A sort of sequel to this poem is the "Chronica Tripartita," which gives in running Latin

His Works.

* Gower's "balades" are poems of three stanzas, each consisting of seven or eight lines, the last forming the refrain, followed by an envoi in four lines, thus *a b a b b c (b) C* thrice, followed by *b c b C* in the envoi.

hexameters a hostile account of Richard's conduct of affairs from 1386 till his death and the accession of Henry IV. In two MSS.* ten short poems follow (most of them Latin), which either inveigh against Richard or praise Henry of Bolingbroke.

The "Vox Clamantis."

In the "Vox Clamantis," after describing the evil condition of his own day, the poet continued with a picture of the five ages of the world, based on the vision of Nebuchadnezzar, concluding with a description of the seven deadly sins. He adopted the same plan, though allotting different proportions to the various parts, in his best-known work, the "Confessio Amantis" (1393),† an English poem of about 30,000 lines, in the same metre as the "Boke of the Duchesse." He compressed the first two subjects into the prologue, the third he expanded into the framework of the actual poem. Taking from the "Roman de la Rose" the idea of the author as a lover, he makes Genius, ‡ the priest of Venus, his confessor. The lovers' confessions make up the poem. Intermixed with much discourse on universal knowledge, philosophy, and morals, culled from the popular "Secreta Secretorum," Genius recounts a hundred and twelve stories, biblical, classical, and mediæval, in illustration of the seven deadly and more numerous minor vices into which a lover may fall. No work shows so clearly as this one the inconsistencies of Gower's character. The confessor is at one moment a true servant of the goddess, describing in sensuous detail the temptations of the lover, or in mystic subtleties the conventional code of love, as laid down in the "Roman de la Rose"; at the next he is the priestly exponent of science, religion, and morals. The poet and lover alternate constantly with the pedant and

* Those of All Souls' College, Oxon, No. xcviii., and the Cotton Collection (Tib. A. iv.), British Museum.

† It is now certain that the first edition of the poem was finished in 1393 (not the second, as used to be thought), and that the second, in which the dedication to Richard is replaced by one to Henry IV., was not published until after Bolingbroke's accession. This makes Gower's transference of allegiance easier to understand, and more accordant with his conservative character. *Cf.* C. F. H. Meyer: "John Gower's Beziehungen zu Chaucer u. König Richard II. (Bonn, 1889)."

‡ Genius, in the second part of the "Roman de la Rose," is father confessor to Dame Nature.

priest. The only really readable parts of the poem are the tales; their tone and substance, however, being sometimes curiously ill-fitted to point the good moral intended. With the tale of Dido in mind, as an illustration of carelessness, and remembering that all the poet's blame in "Canace" is for the father's rage, we shall not miss the full significance of the epithet Chaucer gave his friend. Whether the two poets were less friendly at the close of their lives, as has been said, is uncertain. Perhaps Chaucer's strictures upon "Tyro Appolloneus," and "such cursed stories,"* caused a coolness between them, but nothing can be argued from Gower's omission of the eulogy on his friend from the second edition of the "Confessio," for Chaucer was then dead, and it would have been meaningless to recommend him to cease writing on love. Equally inadequate is the suggestion that the plagiarism of either poet was the cause of the estrangement, if any, for where they told the same tale they evidently used a common source.† This is surely a case where "De mortuis nil nisi bonum" is consistent with a love of truth.

H. E. D. BLAKISTON. University Studies.

THE great plague known as the Black Death, which devastated England in 1349, made special havoc among the clergy. It is expressly noticed in the statutes which the Countess of Clare gave to Clare Hall in 1359, shortly before her death; and a similar wish to replenish the supply of educated men no doubt stimulated the efforts of other benefactors of learning. Of these, by far the most brilliant and original was the great architect and politician, William of Wykeham, who became Bishop of Winchester in 1366, and Lord Chancellor in 1367. He was probably not at the University himself, but rose to eminence as a man of practical ability. He was the leader of the conservative Church party against the movement in theology and politics associated with the name of Wycliffe. But he was anxious to combat an intellectual movement by

William of Wykeham.

* *Cf.* "Man of Lawe's Head-link," l. 77, ff.

† They both tell the tales of Troilus and Cressida, Florent, Constance, Ceyx and Halcyon, whilst the stories of the "Legend of Good Women" all recur in the "Confessio Amantis."

intellectual weapons only; and for this object he purposed to increase the production of capable men carefully trained at the centres of learning to support orthodoxy of every description. Walter of Merton and his imitators had devised means for the eleemosynary encouragement of promising students at the universities; and schools for the education in grammar of boys, too young to be matriculated with advantage, already existed in connection with the greater monasteries, such as Canterbury and York. But to Wykeham belongs the distinction of having combined and adjusted the requirements of elementary and higher studies by a scheme which marks him out as the founder of the English public-school system, since his day the almost universal method of education for the upper classes. Subsequent endowed grammar schools, from Henry VI.'s Eton College downwards, merely imitate Wykeham's arrangements; and the influence of his Oxford statutes may be as plainly traced in those of later foundations up to the sixteenth century as the ground-plan of his buildings in colleges of a date even more recent.

The Origin of the Public Schools.

Wykeham's school (St. Mary College of Winchester) was erected near his episcopal palace of Wolvesey. It was amply endowed for a warden, ten fellows, a headmaster, an usher, and seventy scholars, with chaplains and choristers. It was first started in 1373, but not finally installed in its spacious buildings till 1393. The Oxford college (St. Mary College of Winchester in Oxford) was for a warden and seventy poor scholars (to be rather older than the ordinary undergraduates), with ten chaplains and three other clerks and sixteen choristers for the chapel services. It commenced work about 1375, and took possession of *New College* in 1387. The founder continued to revise the statutes and safeguard the interests of his creations till his death in 1404, when he was buried in a splendid chantry in the nave of Winchester Cathedral, then lately rebuilt by him.

Winchester College and New College, Oxford.

The scheme of Winchester and New Colleges shows that Wykeham intended them to be "not merely eleemosynary institutions, but great ecclesiastical corporations." The buildings show a grand adaptation of the common monastic plan to a different and more public use. At Oxford the lofty

chapel and hall with gateway and muniment towers defended from the cold winds the large low quadrangle containing the sleeping-rooms and studies; the cloister on one side and the kitchen on the other are remote from interruptions. There was a large library, an audit-room; a brewhouse and a bake-house outside the gate; everything, in fact, which could be needed for the various wants of the members. The warden's residence, allowances, privileges, and provision for hospitality, are on a level with those of the abbot of a wealthy monastery. Every detail of the life of his scholars is minutely prescribed by Wykeham's statutes; in fact, perfection is the note of his whole design. The particular course of study to be followed within the college is marked out; and in this Wykeham, as "the first founder who contemplates any instruction being given to his scholars in college, is the founder of the Oxford tutorial system," by which the teaching in the Faculty of Arts has been almost exclusively carried on. All recognised branches of learning were to be encouraged at New College; of the seventy scholars, ten were to study civil law, ten canon law, two might devote their time to medicine, and two to astronomy, while the rest were to pursue arts or theology. The text-books of the arts students were still those of the old routine of grammar and logic, Donatus and the Latin Aristotle: of classical studies in the modern sense there was as yet no sign in England. A curious result of the strictness of Wykeham's rules survived till 1834, in the custom by which New College men could demand degrees without passing the ordinary examinations. This arose from the founder's prohibition to his students to sue for the "graces" or dispensations from the statutable conditions of residence, etc., which at last formed the only preliminaries to a degree. Wykeham provided that his Winchester scholars should have an exclusive right to places at Oxford; by this he secured a high standard of efficiency in the elements of learning. But in the preferences which he left to his own kindred instead of his estates, he placed his colleges under an obligation which was fertile in litigation and inefficiency.

Wykeham's aim was in a great measure successful. New College produced no learned men at first, but several able ecclesiastics, among whom the statesman, Henry Chichele, Archbishop of Canterbury, and William Wayntlete, Bishop of

Winchester and Lord Chancellor, themselves founded colleges after Wykeham's design. At a later period the careful grounding given by the school began to tell, and the pioneer of the Oxford revival of learning, William Grocyn, was a Wykehamist.

The Colleges of the 15th Century.

Wykeham's magnificence probably combined with other causes to daunt for a time intending patrons of learning, and it was not till nearly half a century later that Bishop Flemming of Lincoln, a Wycliffite turned ultra-orthodox, commenced the "College of the Blessed Virgin Mary and All Saints of Lincoln in Oxford" (*Lincoln College*), and this was quite immature till its refoundation in 1479 by his successor, a Cambridge man, Thomas Rotheram, Lord Chancellor 1474, and subsequently Archbishop of York. His idea was unique; he contemplated a collegiate church of theologians who were to strengthen the resistance of the University to heresies, presumably Wycliffism in particular. In 1437, Chichele built St. Bernard's College on the site now occupied by St. John's College, Oxford, as a small house for Cistercian student monks, and in 1438 a "College of the Souls of All Faithful Departed at Oxford" (*All Souls*) for a warden and forty fellows or scholars studying arts and philosophy or theology (24), and law canon or civil (16). The statutes follow those of Wykeham, though Chichele's special purpose of providing for the destitution of the clergy, and the peace of the souls of those slain in the French wars (which he had encouraged), renders the scope of his foundation more limited. At Cambridge a London parson, William Bingham, founded God's House (now merged in *Christ's College*), a very small institution for grammar students: while a few years later Henry VI. rivalled Wykeham by his two equally magnificent colleges of Eton and St. Nicholas (*King's*), though at first he had thought only of a school at Eton with a small house at Cambridge. The statutes, dated 1443, show that the young king intentionally established his school and college upon the ideal of the great bishop; and eminent as Eton has been since, it must be acknowledged that it was not in any way an original conception. *Queen's College* was founded soon afterwards under the patronage first of Margaret of Anjou, and then of Elizabeth Wydeville, but it was a very small affair; *St.*

Catherine's Hall (1475), even smaller, was the last foundation at Cambridge before the series of transformations which occurred in the Reformation period. At Oxford, Bishop Waynflete, some time headmaster successively of Winchester and Eton, founded *St. Mary Magdalen College* out of an old almshouse, the Hospital of St. John Baptist, on the same lines as New College, but with more stress laid on the study of theology, and with the first clearly defined division into senior and junior (*demy*) members of the foundation, with different studies and privileges.

Magdalen College, Oxford.

When Wykeham procured estates for his colleges by purchase on easy terms from monastic bodies, it was the first symptom of a growing discontent with the monastic system which found definite expression when another Bishop of Winchester, Richard Fox, was persuaded by Bishop Oldham, of Exeter, to found Corpus Christi College, Oxford, rather than "provide livelodes for a companie of bussing monks." Accordingly the ample revenues of All Souls', Magdalen, and King's Colleges were provided by the suppression of Alien Priories—that is, cells established as dependencies of the great French monasteries at a time when the kings of England were also dukes of Normandy. During the long wars with France, it was out of the question that English rents should be sent abroad; they were sequestrated under Edward III., and the total confiscation of 122 such cells, enacted in 1402, was completed in 1414. Chichele bought various lands from the Crown at a reduced price, and Henry VI. lavished on his noble foundations, though not the entire revenues which it is said his father had designed for one large college at Oxford, yet many broad acres wrested from the abbeys of Bec and Caen, from St. Peter de Conches and St. Nicholas d'Angers.

Revenues of Alien Priories.

In spite, however, of all these splendid endowments for arts, theology, and law, the decay of the Universities and of learning generally in England proceeded rapidly from the date of the Black Death till the introduction of classical studies under the early Tudors. This retrogression may be traced to various causes. In the first place the value of the older endowments had greatly decreased with the drop in the profits of agriculture.

The Decline of the Universities.

Durham College, for instance, provided with ample funds in 1389, was in great straits fifty years later. This deficiency was only partly made up by the new foundations. Secondly, the scholastic philosophy and theology had worn itself out, and there was as yet nothing to take its place. In consequence, the absorbing attention which was paid to the professional and lucrative studies of the civil and canon law was unchecked; and though Holcot and De Bury might speak scathingly of the civilians as Hagars, or enemies of God, there was no competing with a pursuit which not only gratified minds trained in scholastic subtleties, but also provided a royal road to the highest posts in administration or diplomacy. Thirdly, the various Statutes of Provisors, especially that of 1390, which were directed against the encroachments of the Popes on the rights of the holders of Church patronage, were found to discourage the pursuit of theological learning, at least in the Universities. No doubt the appointment of mere foreigners to the more valuable benefices was a serious wrong to Church and State; but it was felt to be quite as serious an evil when the claims of real students, which had been recognised by the Popes, were postponed to those of the uneducated relations of the patrons. In 1417 and 1438 Convocation tried to make a degree a necessary qualification for a large proportion of livings, and exemptions from the penalties of Præmunire were obtained by the Universities from time to time. This agitation is perhaps the only attempt ever made in England to secure that the "open career" provided to any poor but able youth should be made of value by the requirement for certain public posts of the certificate of the University education, to acquire which the gains of an ordinary profession have to be abandoned.

The Universities and the Papal Schism.

The Universities naturally became deeply involved in the two most difficult controversies of the time, and this also produced listlessness in matters more purely intellectual. The first of these disputes was about the Papal Schism, which also seriously damaged the cause of order generally. Paris, which was then regaining its activity, took the lead in the negotiations for restoring the unity of the Latin Church. Oxford was soon pledged to the Italian against the French claimant; but her main contention was a consistent demand

for a General Council to end the scandal. At Pisa an Oxonian graduate, a friar from Crete, was made pope as Alexander V., while at the Council of Constance, a former chancellor of Oxford, Bishop Halam of Salisbury, was one of the most active prelates present. Both Oxford and Cambridge displayed decidedly ultramontane tendencies during this period. Oxford resisted the visitation of Archbishop Arundel in 1411 to the verge of a serious conflict with the Crown, only arrested by the mediation of Prince Hal. In 1430, Arundel's attempt to visit Cambridge ended in the "Barnwell Process," after which the rights of the University were asserted by Martin V. The chief advocate of this ultramontanism was the eccentric Bishop of Chichester, Reginald Pecock, formerly a Fellow of Oriel College, whose objection to authority in intellectual matters eventually led him into the heresies which brought about his downfall and the repression of his doctrines.

The Universities and Lollardism.

Still more distracting was the ferment produced by the teaching of Wycliffe, which was a sort of mediæval "Oxford movement." It is sufficient here to point out with regard to Lollardism that at Oxford the disputes and councils it involved would have wrecked studies more secure than those of the later Schoolmen. Wycliffe's itinerant preachers were mainly Oxford masters of the less learned class, though his opinions were kept out of the Oxford schools, and the efforts of his supporters, Dr. Nicholas Hereford and Dr. Philip Repyngdon (afterwards Bishop of Lincoln, a Cardinal, and a persecutor), were so popular at Oxford that they were only silenced by the most high-handed measures of Archbishop Courtenay. The University was long embittered against the monks and friars, especially the Carmelites, to whose officiousness it ascribed the interference with its privileges; and Oxford continued to be the headquarters of the reforming movement till after Arundel's provincial council held there in 1406.

The final stroke was put to the old learning by the Wars of the Roses. Discipline was relaxed, the higher degrees were hardly ever taken, and the University of Oxford showed its weakness by the way in which it trimmed between Lancaster and York, with a Nevill and a Wydeville succeeding one another as chancellors. English learning suffered much from its isolation from the Continent, though Poggio Bracciolini and

some few eminent scholars came over early in the 15th century.

Libraries.

It must not, however, be overlooked that during this period the Universities were accumulating, not only endowments destined to increase in the remote future, with beautiful buildings, but also the tools by which the new learning might in time be operative in English education. Many distinguished Englishmen visited Italy, and heard of the new lights there. They collected books, and in many cases disposed of their collections nobly and wisely. Duke Humphrey of Gloucester, at the suggestion probably of his physician, Gilbert Kymer, a chancellor of Oxford, presented to the old University library from 1439 to 1443 so great a number of valuable MSS., that at last, with the help of Thomas Kemp, Bishop of London, a new library was erected over the superb Divinity School. Though the books were carried off at the Reformation, this part of the Bodleian still retains the name of Duke Humphrey. He was not only the patron of Occleve, Capgrave, Lydgate, and all that was in the least valuable in the English literature of the day, but also a man who saw that the classics were coming to the front. The great scholar Lionardo Aretino was among his friends; and the books which he gave to Oxford include besides philosophy, medicine, astronomy, and history (there is very little theology), specimens of pure literature such as the works of Ovid, Cicero, Seneca, Quintilian, and even Dante, Petrarch and Boccaccio.

Duke Humphrey's Library.

The learned and unfortunate John Tiptoft, Earl of Worcester, gave a valuable collection of MSS.; and another patron of learning, like Tiptoft a pupil of Guarino at Ferrara, was William Grey, Chancellor of Oxford 1440-42, and Bishop of Ely 1454. In his prolonged studies, under the Humanists in Italy, he had acquired 200 MSS., which he left to his old college, Balliol, where 152 of them are still preserved. The Cambridge University Library seems to have taken form about the same date, and Archbishop Rotheram was a liberal benefactor about 1475; but at Cambridge there are hardly any traces of classical literature. Such literature was to be found in England; for the monks of Durham in 1416 had copies of various works of Virgil, Ovid, Terence, Claudian, Juvenal, Lucan,

The Cambridge University Library.

and Horace, as well as the more ornate and popular Statius; but it was some time before the diffusion of taste, together with the re-introduction of Greek, produced the English Renaissance, the interest of which centres mainly in Oxford.

C. CREIGHTON.
Public Health.

BOTH sanitary and preventive, or quarantine, practices arose out of the experiences of the Black Death and of the outbursts of plague that followed it at short intervals. Scavenging of a kind there must have been long before; the force of public opinion, as expressed in the manorial and other courts, would have kept down nuisances offensive to the sight and smell. But the great difficulty, then as now, was the radical disposal of refuse. It was comparatively easy to deposit the clearings of scavengers in laystalls, or to throw offensive matter into the town ditch, or into the river, or the nearest standing water. London in the fourteenth century saved appearance well enough; it was known to foreigners as the "White City," which one of our poets, an admirer of things archaic, takes to mean "London small and white and clean." In a sanitary inquisition of the year 1343, it is worthy of note that the offensive latrines, dust-heaps, and the like, which were reported upon, were all, or nearly all, in the narrow lanes leading down to the Thames. The laystalls were outside the walls, or beyond the town ditch; in Henry V.'s time there was a common latrine on the Moor (the marsh, or fen, between Moorgate and Finsbury), which became so offensive that it was suspected of breeding sickness and was ordered to be removed. The shambles were inside the walls, not far from Newgate, and were a continual source of annoyance to the whole locality, both from the blood flowing in the kennels and from the transit of offals through the streets and lanes to the jetty at Barnard's Castle, from which they were thrown into the Thames. The first Sanitary Act ever made in this country was passed by the Parliament of Cambridge in 1388, and was chiefly directed against the throwing of dung, garbage, and other corruptions, etc., into ditches, rivers, and waters, whereby the air was rendered greatly corrupt and infect, and many maladies engendered. But it should be remembered that, with all these sources of contamination, the

town ditch of London contained "great store" of excellent fish until the time of Henry VIII., that the Thames ran clear and was frequented by salmon from the sea, and that at so characteristic a mediæval town as Chester, the Dee (which encircled two-thirds of the city, and received the refuse) had salmon fisheries of great value directly under the walls until long after the mediæval period. The real difficulties of sanitation do not arise until population begins rapidly to exceed its old limit, until suburbs begin to spring up in the old waste places where laystalls were wont to be, and until the river and its tributary streams can no longer absorb, so to speak, and oxidise the refuse of the town. So far as domestic sanitation is concerned, its difficulties were naturally greatest in the houses without curtilages situated in the poorer lanes and alleys, which were usually close to the walls, either within or without them. The houses of the richer citizens stood in gardens: but it appears from a Paston letter (fifteenth century) that the possession of a garden was no reason why there should not be a "draught-chamber" within doors.

The scavengers, who were said in the time of Elizabeth to be exercising their functions "as of old," corresponded more to inspectors of nuisances than to the actual carriers of refuse. Originally, the duty of removing refuse fell on the householder himself; but by the year 1540 it appears from the burgh records of Ipswich that men were appointed by the municipality to remove the town refuse and deposit it at four stated places without.

It is, of course, conceivable that our ancestors may have been more tolerant than ourselves of gross offences to the sight and smell. But while that is doubtful, it is further clear that they knew the same subtle or unperceived dangers of befouling the air, the water, and the soil with putrefying matter, excremental or other. The connection between infective or other diseases and such befouling is the explicit motive of the Sanitary Ordinance of Edward III. in 1371, of the Sanitary Act of Richard II. in 1388, of the Sanitary Ordinance of Henry V. in 1415, and of the Act of Henry VII. against the shambles in 1488–89. These Sanitary Ordinances are so few and far between that it may appear as if the English people in early times had been indifferent as

to sanitation; but it has been truly remarked by Hume that the frequency of a particular class of measures in the Statute Book goes to show, not so much that their object was attained in a high degree, having been a matter of special solicitude to rulers, but rather that negligence was so chronic and persistent as to demand incessant legislative checks.

Recurrence of Epidemics.

The effects of the Black Death in England did not end with the thinning of the population and the rise of wages. The whole national life was demoralised. The surviving rich fell into unheard-of luxury, vulgar display, and avarice; the monks added whole manors to their estates, and rivalled the secular lords in their style of living: the parish clergy deserted their cures to live in London "in Lent and Yule," taking service as clerks of the Chancery and Exchequer. Many of the people lived out of wedlock, others made unhappy marriages; few children were born, and the rising generation was brought up in indulgence and ignorance. Meanwhile, the king and his lords were engrossed with the wars in France. But the most disastrous consequence of the Black Death was that the seeds of bubo-plague remained in the country, to burst forth in widespread epidemics time after time. Langland, the realistic poet of the age, compares the prevalence of sickness to "the rain that raineth where we rest should." The second great epidemic, which fell most on the upper classes and the rising generation, was in 1361, the third in 1368–69, the fourth in 1375, the fifth in 1382, and the sixth in 1390–91. One or more of these may have been of some other type of disease than the plague, and there were certainly outbreaks of sickness during the same period (not counted among the six), which were due to scarcity or to spoiled grain and fruit. But, it is clear that plague of the same type as the Black Death—not so severe, doubtless, as in that primary visitation, but causing panic and mortality which called for the prayers of the Church and for plenary remission to the dying—formed part of the epidemics which were numbered to the *quinta pestis* in 1382, or the *sexta* in 1390–91. The last-named was, indeed, compared to the Black Death itself, and in the city of York is said to have destroyed eleven thousand, an incredible number, as it would have been three-fourths of the inhabitants. Many of the towns were much decayed;

probably none of them, except London, York, Bristol, Coventry, and Plymouth, regained the population they had in the first half of the fourteenth century until the Tudor period, and some of them, such as Bodmin, Sarum, and Leicester, not until late in the reign of Elizabeth. The old saying ran: "Lincoln was, London is, York shall be." The decline of Lincoln was certainly progressive, while that of Norwich, which came next to London before the great malady, was relatively even more marked. On the other hand, the county of Kent, which was the scene of the Peasants' Revolt in 1381, came to the front in population, with its various ports, doubtless from its proximity to the English possessions in France. The poll-tax of 1377 showed a population of about two millions and a half in all England, excluding Wales and the counties palatine of Chester and Durham. That was a generation after the Great Plague; but the numbers showed no recovery, for there is cause to think that the population before the mortality had been some four millions—a total which England did not reach again, or exceed, until after the Reformation.

W. J. CORBETT. Agriculture.

BEFORE proceeding to describe the final catastrophe of the Peasants' Revolt, towards which England was now hurrying, and the further impolitic steps on the part of the landowners, which brought them face to face with it, it will be pleasant to turn for a moment to the doings of those agriculturists who, even before this, had given up the struggle to keep down wages, and being not too wedded to old fashions to accept the inevitable, had already begun devoting their attention to devising other and more original methods by which to escape from the dilemma into which they had, by no fault of theirs, drifted.

Improvements in the Management of Land.

So far as we have gone, we have found the demesnes of the manors cultivated in one of two ways: either by the customary and unpaid labour of villans, who in return had holdings for nothing, or by the paid services of practically free labourers, who if they also had holdings, paid a fixed money rent for them to the lords, the rent in its origin representing a commutation of the older customary services. In either case it was the lord of the manor who found any

capital that might be required, and who, either in person or through a bailiff, directed the various operations of agriculture: chief among which, however unsuitable to the land, was the growing of corn. It is obvious that these two ways of using the demesnes were not the only alternatives which were open to their owners if they wished to make a profit on them: for they do not include either letting them on lease, which is nowadays the ordinary method, or using them as sheep farms, for which, in many instances, they were alone adapted. But neither of these ways had the sanction of custom: indeed, both were directly in opposition to the old manorial traditions, and so as long as the older systems worked smoothly there was very little chance of either being introduced. As soon, however, as the possibility of getting labourers to work on profitable terms vanished, and it became important to do with as few farm servants as possible, both these methods were seen to have attractions which outweighed the dominant aversion to trying novelties. For sheep farming almost dispensed with the necessity of having labourers, except in small numbers, while leasing transferred the burden of getting them from the shoulders of the landlord to those of his tenant. If, too, a demesne was not let in a block, but divided into a number of small holdings, even the tenants, who in this case would be small men, would, in all probability, be under no necessity of hiring labourers; for in most cases they would find their own labour, aided by that of their wives and families, sufficient. In fact, by adopting this plan, many of the free labourers, tempted by the idea of becoming their own masters, could be induced to give a reasonable rent for being allowed to work a piece of land which, at the bidding of a master, they would not have worked except at unreasonable wages. All these considerations put together could not fail in the long run to have some effect on the more clear-sighted of the landowners, and it is not surprising to find that on the better managed estates both sheep farming and the leasing of the demesnes came more and more into vogue at the very time when a great number of landlords seemed only bent on reactionary measures.

Sheep Farming.

The Leasing and Sub-division of Estates.

Of sheep farming not much need be said here, as it will

be necessary to discuss it at much greater length when we come to the fifteenth century, at which date it assumed the position of a leading national industry. It may, however, be pointed out that its introduction just at this time was particularly encouraged by Edward III.'s commercial policy. For that monarch not only paid the greatest attention to regulating and developing the export trade in wool, which had always been carried on between England and Flanders, but also did all in his power to persuade Flemish weavers to come over and settle in this country, and so founded a home manufacture for draperies, which soon increased so greatly in volume that it easily used up all the fleeces that could be supplied by the English farmer. Its introduction, too, so long as the country was depopulated from the effects of the Black Death, was undoubtedly a good thing: for in this way much land could again be turned to good account which must else have remained waste from lack of persons to till it. In the end, however, as we shall see, it was fated to cause a great deal of social discontent. For to carry it out it was necessary for the lord to withdraw his share in the common fields from tillage, and lay it down in grass; while he further not infrequently was tempted to enclose the whole of the manor wastes without sufficiently compensating his tenants for the loss of their rights of pasture which consequently ensued; and both these measures, by interfering with their customary means of gaining a livelihood, tended to disorganise the peasants' agriculture, besides greatly restricting their chance of obtaining employment.

New Social Dangers.

Letting the demesne on lease, on the other hand, was not attended by any of these drawbacks, but rather by substantial advantages; for it was to the introduction of this method of cultivation that England, in a large measure, owed the rise of that class of sturdy yeomen farmers who, for about two centuries, formed the backbone of the country. The change thus brought about was by no means so revolutionary as that which accompanied the introduction of sheep farming, and, indeed, had little direct effect on the manorial system. Its indirect effects, however, as has been well said, were of the highest importance; for it helped to break down the personal dependence of the tenantry on their lords, on which feudalism

was based, and set up a new middle class who had to trust to themselves, and who, in time, as they grew in wealth, gradually rose to a position not so very inferior to that of their former masters. It must not be supposed that this was accomplished all at once, or that the leaseholders on their first creation at once assumed the character and status of the later tenant farmers. On the contrary, it took some time, and the earliest leases were not at all like those with which we are now familiar. For nowadays when a tenant takes a holding, he is usually expected to find the capital necessary to work it from his own resources, the landlord considering that he has done the whole of his part of the bargain when he has supplied the bare land and farm buildings. But the new tenant-farmers in the fourteenth century could not have done this for none of them were wealthy men, and unless they had had the capital lent them in the form of the stock, both live and dead, which was already on the land and which the landlords no longer themselves required, they could not have undertaken to farm their new holdings. The leases, therefore, which they took were what have been termed "stock and land leases," in which both the land and everything required to begin cultivating it were let together, the tenant not only having to pay a yearly rent but being also bound on the expiration of his term to render up to his landlord the same amounts of seed-corn, live-stock, and implements as he originally received, or else their estimated value in money. The leasing of cattle or sheep on these terms had become quite common even before the plague, five shillings a year being an ordinary rent for a cow: and there are early instances of the leasing of demesne land in the same way, but it only became a common practice in the latter half of the fourteenth century. And here, perhaps, we ought to note that leasing the demesne to a tenant, who did not thereby acquire his lord's manorial rights, is not at all the same thing as leasing the whole manor to a "firmarius" or farmer with all the seigneurial rights entire, a practice which had for long been a special feature of some estates. For we often find the manors belonging to the Chapter of St. Paul's farmed out singly to the various canons: but this did not imply any abandonment of the system of cultivating under bailiffs. The stock and land lease proper, on

Stock and Land Leases.

the other hand, did, and when once adopted seems to have lasted on most estates for about fifty years, after which it was in its turn abandoned and its place taken by the ordinary form of lease for a life or years. Thus the Merton College estates were nearly all let on these stock and land leases for short terms soon after the Black Death, but at the beginning of the fifteenth century they had all been changed for leases for long terms of the ordinary kind. From this it would appear that it took about fifty years from the introduction of leases in any manor for a fairly substantial kind of yeomen to grow up, and that it was only after a certain amount of tutelage that the class really became self-dependent. A single instance will be sufficient to show what kind of stock was supplied to the tenant with the land. In 1360 Merton College let its lands at Farley, in Surrey, and "the tenant took nine horses and a bull, valued at 10s. each; ten cows, valued at 11s. each: four oxen, each at 18s. 5d.; twenty-four quarters of wheat, at 6s. 8d. a quarter; six and a half of sprig, at 4s.; three quarters and a bushel of 'finnestum vescosum,' at 4s.; three quarters three and a half bushels of barley, at 4s. 8d.; two of pease, and two of vetches, at 3s. 4d.; and forty-nine and a half of oats, at 2s." In all, that is to say the college supplied its tenant with capital to the amount of about £22, but this does not include either poultry or any agricultural implements, which in many cases were also supplied.

While some of the more versatile landowners were thus withdrawing from the direct cultivation of their estates, and more and more taking up the attitude of landlords subsisting on rents, the more conservative and pugnacious were still engaged in their uphill struggle with the untractable labourer. Year by year, however, the chances of success became more remote, the population, if anything, declining, until at last the exasperated and baffled employers determined on the desperate expedient of reverting wholesale to the personal services of former times. Not only did further commutation cease to take place, but manumissions and exemptions, which for years had passed unchallenged, were set aside, and all the ingenuity and learning of the stewards of the various manors was invoked to hunt up informalities and omissions in the court rolls, which might serve as pretexts to the lords for

Efforts at Reaction.

reinforcing their antiquated rights. The law, it must be admitted, was usually on their side; for whereas the lords could usually produce some old extent which would prove that the services had existed, there was usually very little evidence forthcoming on behalf of the labourers to show how they had escaped from performing them: and, even if there had been, it is by no means certain that it would have been attended to; for the place where such disputes had to be tried was the manor court itself, in which the steward presided, the king's courts always refusing to interfere in quarrels between the unfree and their lords. Parliament, too, as usual, could always be called in to assist the latter, and in the first year of Richard II.'s reign an act was passed, according to which no "exemplications made out of Domesday by virtue of which and of their evil interpretation of the same the villans affirm them to be quit and utterly discharged of all manner of serfage due as well of their Body as of their Tenures, . . . may nor ought to avail or hold place to the said Villans as to the Franchise of their Bodies; nor to change the condition of their Tenure and Customs of old time due; nor to do prejudice to their Lords to have their Services and Customs as they were wont of old Time." It is true this act in its terms only refers to claims to freedom based upon the evidence of Domesday, but if such a venerable record was not respected, it is not likely that any others that the villans could produce would be. Indeed, the statute seems devised to help the lords in any event, for it winds up by ordaining that they "shall have Letters Patent under the Great Seal, as many and such as they shall need, if they the same require." That they must have required them, and in great numbers, there can be no doubt, and very little either that, when they got them, they were of no avail. For the labourers had not stood out all these years to give in tamely in the end, and if the lords had the Crown and Parliament to back them, their opponents by this time had found allies in Langland the poet (p. 225), and in the followers of Wycliffe, the wandering friars, and John Ball, the mad priest of Kent, who one and all enthusiastically took up the cause of the poor, and preached the doctrine that the labourer was every bit as worthy of consideration as the gentleman. Ball even went further, and boldly took up a socialistic

The Beginnings of Revolt.

position, saying that things never would go right in England so long as goods were not in common, and so long as there were villans and gentlemen: and the popularity of this view was shown by the rhyme which everywhere passed from mouth to mouth, beginning, "When Adam delved and Eve span, Who was then the gentleman?" The bitterest feelings, in fact, soon became engendered among the peasantry, and a fierce spirit of resistance sprang up, which led to the formation of what would now be called agricultural unions and other formidable combinations against their employers. As the statute already quoted says, they did "menace the Ministers of their Lords both of life and member and, which more is, did gather themselves together in great Routs and did agree by such Confederacy that every one should aid other to resist their Lords with strong Hand." When once things had got to such a pass, very little more provocation was wanted to set the strong hand actually in motion, and this little was quickly supplied by the excessive taxation which had to be laid upon the country to repair the growing disasters of the French War.

The Outbreak.

In 1377, just before Edward III. died, the financial position of the kingdom had become so bad that a new expedient had to be invented, and Parliament voted a poll-tax of a groat, or four-pence, on all over the age of fourteen, both men and women, excepting veritable beggars. In 1379 this imposition was repeated and made more remunerative by being graduated from £6 13s. 4d. on wealthy nobles like the Duke of Lancaster, down to a groat on the ordinary labourer. Even so, "great grudging and many a bitter curse" followed on the levying of the money; but the last straw which broke down the patience of the peasantry altogether only came in 1380, when the graduation was abandoned and a new tax of three groats laid on every person, of whatsoever state or condition he might be, who had passed the age of fifteen. In money of the present day this would mean over fifteen shillings a head, so it is not hard for us to realise what a burden the tax must have formed on the slender resources of the mediæval cottar and farm labourer. Proportionately, too, it was on these classes that it weighed heaviest, and many must have echoed the complaint of the anonymous author of a political song who wrote: "To seek

silver to the king, I my seed sold: wherefore my land lieth fallow and learneth to sleep. Since they fetched my fair cattle in my fold: when I think of my old wealth, well nigh I weep. Thus breedeth many beggars bold; and there wakeneth in the world dismay and woe, for as good is death anon as so for to toil." Anyhow, fresh rhymes at once spread through the country summoning all to revolt and trample on their oppressors. "John Ball," the doggerel ran, "greeteth you all, and doth for to understand he hath rung your bell. Now right and might, will and skill. God spede every dele." The die, in fact, was cast, and the end of the following spring saw the whole of the peasantry of the home counties in insurrection, headed by their parish priests, and backed by the poorer inhabitants in the towns. The original outbreak began in Kent with the murder of a tax-collector by one Walter the Tyler, who afterwards marched to Canterbury to release John Ball from prison, and then upon London at the head of a large rabble, computed at 100,000 men, slaying every lawyer and burning all the manorial records he could find upon his way. But this movement must have been preconcerted: for as Wat Tyler, south of the Thames, was marching on Blackheath, north of the river the Essex men were marching towards Mile End, and the men of Hertfordshire towards Highbury. Riots, too, were going on all over the country—at St. Albans, at Bury St. Edmunds, at Winchester, Cambridge, and Norwich, at York, Beverley and Scarborough, in Surrey and Sussex, and even as far west as Devonshire. Everywhere, too, the rioters seem to have been animated by the same ideas, and to have demanded emancipation from the power of the great landowners, or, as their petition expressed it, the abolition of villanage as an institution, the reduction of rent to fourpence an acre, free access to all fairs and markets, and the establishment of a free peasant proprietary to be governed by the king alone, without the intervention of the gentleman.

When asked by their king at Mile End, "What will ye?" the Essex men shouted back, "We will that you free us for ever, us and our lands, and that we be never more named or held for serfs"; and it was in the same spirit that the villans round St. Albans forced the abbot to give up the charters which proved them to be bondmen, and broke in pieces the millstones which as bondmen they had been compelled to use.

At first it seemed as if the movement was likely to be successful, and so great was the general dismay that Richard II. even went so far as to have charters of emancipation and free pardon drawn up and presented to the men of Essex, with which they returned home rejoicing. Men, however, soon found that their panic was ridiculous, for the peasants quickly alienated the sympathies of the townsfolk by their violence. Thus in London they burnt the Temple and the palace of the Savoy, ransacked the Tower, and murdered the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Treasurer and the Chief Commissioner for the levy of the poll-tax. At Bury St. Edmunds they killed the prior, and all over the country they attacked the justices and the manorial officials. Many foreigners, too, lost their lives, while everywhere manor-houses and granges were pillaged and destroyed. Such proceedings as these could not long recommend themselves to the bulk of the nation, and so when the insurgents lost their leader, Wat Tyler, slain by the hand of the Lord Mayor of London, the crisis was over, and Richard II. found himself at the head of an army sufficient to stamp out the revolt in three weeks. The stubbornest resistance offered anywhere was in Essex, where Richard was confronted with his own charters: but he now only answered, "Villans you were and villans you are. In bondage you shall abide, and that not your old bondage, but a worse." And in this he was as good as his word. When Parliament met in the autumn, the question of enfranchisement was, indeed, submitted to it by the king and council, but only to be summarily rejected. As the Commons pointed out, the charters of enfranchisement granted by the king without their consent were entirely illegal, and this consent they never had given and never would give, "were they all to die in one day." On the contrary, they would do what in them lay to still further secure the continuance of villanage and increase the disabilities of the bondmen. To this the king consented, and together they passed statutes ordaining that all manumissions, releases, and other bonds made during the late tumult should be void, and that the council should provide a sufficient remedy for all who made complaints touching "charters, releases, obligations, and other deeds and muniments, burnt, destroyed, or otherwise eloined," on their furnishing sufficient proof of the said muniments so lost, and of

The Revolt Quelled.

the form and tenor of the same. Six years later they took even further precautions against the supply of villans diminishing, by enacting that, if any person, boy or girl, should have served at husbandry at the plough and cart till the age of twelve, from thenceforth they should abide at the same labour and that it should be illegal for them to be taught any other mistery or handicraft. Attempts were also made to prevent the children of the lower orders from being sent to school lest they should be advanced in the world by entering the Church.

How far was the Reaction Successful?

How far all these efforts to keep things stationary were really successful is not altogether clear. Some writers, indeed perhaps the majority, have assumed that they entirely failed, and that, though the revolt to all appearances was easily suppressed, the villans really gained their ends. For in their eyes the very number of efforts at repression is evidence of their practical futility. The adoption of an argument of this kind, however, is hardly convincing, while actual manorial records can be found which testify to the continued exaction of services all through the fifteenth century and far into the sixteenth. At Wilburton, for instance, in the Isle of Ely, no change was effected until Tudor times, and we read of royal manors where Elizabeth found serfs to emancipate in 1574. In reply it is easy to characterise such instances as exceptional, but they certainly are in keeping with the lament of Fitzherbert, that when he wrote in 1523 the country was still disgraced by the retention of villanage. On the whole, then, it seems more accurate to hold that no sweeping change followed the revolt, but that at the most it only accelerated changes already in progress, and assured for good and all their final triumph. The revolt, in fact, though it did not at once render serfdom a thing of merely antiquarian interest, must have more and more convinced the landowners that the game they were playing was not worth the candle. In increasing numbers they must have come to see that, though the labour services were of more value than the money payments for which they had been commuted, they would nevertheless be losers by their restoration; for there were methods of using their land now within their reach which were more profitable than either of the older systems, and which, when adopted, would secure

an income at the cost of far less trouble to themselves than could ever be hoped for if they continued to struggle on with unwilling agents in the old grooves. Such a view of the great revolt of course somewhat diminishes its importance, but only very slightly, and it must ever remain memorable as the first struggle on a large scale between capital and labour in England.

W. A. S. HEWINS. Commercial History.

Long before chapters on economic history found their way into text-books, every schoolboy was familiar with some of the changes of the fourteenth century which have exercised a great and enduring influence on English social life. The Black Death and the uprising of the peasants have never been wholly neglected by English historians, while the encouragement which Edward III. gave to the woollen manufacture has been the first introduction of many to the story of the growth of English industry. The development of commerce during the latter half of the fourteenth century, and the elaborate system of organisation to which it gave rise, are not inferior in interest or importance to these more striking topics. For the commercial legislation of this period was not the work of men dominated by a set of economic principles which they believed could show them how to overcome all the difficulties in the way of progress. When we read the statutes or the rolls of parliament, we are impressed with the absence of definiteness of aim or policy which characterises the legislation of this period. Principles of action which have now become axioms had to be found in the painful road of experience. In the fourteenth century, subjects such as the incidence of taxation, the best method of organisation, movements of currency and the foreign exchanges, bristled with difficulties which could not be surmounted by the easy method of ignoring their existence. The commercial world was less homogeneous than it is now, the administration of justice less pure, commercial integrity less common. Legislation was of necessity largely empirical, and it is partly to this fact that we must attribute the frequent and almost bewildering changes in the statutes. Acts of Parliament, again, were not so effectively administered as they are now; some were not

enforced at all. Complete efficiency in the strict administration of a statute was not necessary to indicate its probable results. A brief trial of a measure might justify its repeal or more thorough legislation on the same lines, according as experience showed that it was likely to be mischievous or the reverse.

The Wool Trade.

Little experience was needed to show that friendly relations between Flanders and England were necessary to the prosperity of both countries. A dearth of English wool stopped the Flemish looms; when the markets of Flanders were closed to the staple product of England, English wool-growers were threatened with ruin. At the commencement of the period with which we have to deal, the woollen trade had been depressed for some years; from 1336 to 1363 the price of wool was only once, in 1343, above the average price of the fourteenth century: in 1349 it fell to 1s. the tod, the lowest price touched during the century. The principal causes of the depression which we must briefly notice in order to understand subsequent legislation were four in number. The year 1328 was marked by a sudden reversal of the policy of the time. So far there had been a steady growth of the staple system. In that year, however, Edward decided to try an experiment in free trade, and all staples were abolished. Another statute to the same effect was passed in 1334. There can be no doubt that such an attempt was premature. In that age some regulation of commerce was necessary in the interests of the traders themselves. Confusion and uncertainty naturally followed, and in the absence of adequate means for their protection we may be sure that merchants would be unwilling to incur the risks of foreign trade. We may with confidence attribute part of the depression to this measure. In 1336 a still more serious blow was struck at the staple trade of the country by the prohibition of commerce between England and Flanders. From what has already been said about the importance of the Flemish market to English wool-producers, it is obvious that a prohibition must have been very injurious to both countries, and the measure of 1336 may be regarded as the second cause of the depression. Thanks to the good offices of James van Artavelde and Edward's need of Flemish aid in his war with France, friendly relations between England and Flanders were re-established, and in 1341 Bruges became the staple for

English wool. For the next four years there was an improvement in trade, and the cities of Flanders enjoyed great prosperity. The death of Artavelde (July, 1345), and the troubles which followed, again caused some falling off in the Flemish demand. Still there was no serious interruption to friendly intercourse, and in 1347 the Flemings resisted Count Louis' efforts to detach them from the English alliance. But in 1348 it was found necessary to expostulate with the cities of Bruges, Ghent, and Ypres, for trying to prevent Lombard merchants from buying English wool, their object evidently being to keep down prices by securing a monopoly of the demand for themselves. The troubles in Flanders during the year, culminating in civil war, practically put a stop to industry, and made Bruges anything but a safe place for business transactions. The natural consequence was a fall in the demand for wool. The depression in trade, therefore, which began with the abandonment of an old policy, was probably accentuated by the prohibition of 1336, and the civil troubles in Flanders during the following years.

The Black Death: and its Effects.

There was a fourth cause of great, though exaggerated, importance, viz., the Black Death. It would be easy to attribute to this visitation changes which were due to other influences. Had nothing of the kind occurred, there is no reason for supposing that subsequent commercial development would have been materially different. In his commercial policy Edward III. does not appear to have been influenced by the great calamity. The prices of the year 1349 show that it caused a restriction of the foreign demand for English goods and of the supply of foreign commodities. But so far as foreign commerce was concerned, the effect of the Black Death was immediate and temporary only. It had none of those far-reaching consequences in this sphere of economic activity which made it a turning point in agricultural history. The depression was, for the time, rendered more severe than it otherwise would have been. But it is noteworthy that the decline of trade was attributed not to its influence, but to the fact that the staple was out of the country.

The French War and Commerce.

The French war was not an unmixed evil so far as commerce was concerned. The wool subsidies, the purveyance of ships, the subordination of

trade to the exigencies of foreign diplomacy, the insecurity of travelling, the ruin and havoc of France, the withdrawal of skilled artisans from the exercise of their trades at home, no doubt operated as a serious check on economic progress. But indirectly the country gained. The Flemings would, probably, not have so readily accepted Edward's invitation if their own country had not been involved in civil dissensions, and if England had not been relatively a place of security. It is possible that the same causes left the way more open for the development of the English cloth manufacture. By the capture of Calais (August, 1347), followed by the defeat of the pirates in the Channel, England secured commercial advantages which, to some extent, outweighed the evils of the war. One of the greatest difficulties in the way of foreign commerce was the insecurity of the Channel owing to the ravages of pirates. It was no slight gain to convert the home of some of the worst of these robbers into a staple for English goods. The risks of trading were diminished, and English merchants enjoyed by one route comparatively secure ingress to Continental markets. Edward's constant need of money for carrying on the war had consequences of great importance in the economic sphere. It impressed upon him, in regard to the collection of the customs, the necessity of an effective organisation, the advantages of which were great, although his exactions were a severe strain upon the resources of the country. It made him more and more dependent upon his people: and whether or not he cared for the development of commerce, he was obliged to pay more regard to the interests of the trading classes. On the whole, therefore, it is probable that the French War hastened a commercial development which, in the ordinary course of events, would have been long delayed.

The Commercial Policy of Edward III.

It is clear, then, that the time was ripe for new measures in commercial policy. Trade was depressed, but the country had the means of starting on a career of great prosperity. The experiment had been tried of doing without staples for English goods altogether, and it had failed. Foreign staples had been tried with unsatisfactory results. A dispute with the Hanse merchants in 1350-51, in which not they, but the citizens of Bruges, were to blame, did not diminish the friction

with that city. If a foreign staple were desirable, England had possession of Calais: and it was now less necessary, in the interests of trade or the French War, to cultivate friendly relations with Flanders. Calais afforded easy access to France, and wool was so indispensable to the Flemish weavers that they would be obliged to take it on whatever conditions England imposed. As long as the staple was out of England it was impossible for the King's officials to secure that ample flow of wealth into the English exchequer which the French War rendered necessary. Hence we have the great Ordinance of the Staple (1353).

The Ordinance of the Staple.

The broad features of Edward's commercial policy are strongly impressed upon this important measure; and although there were some modifications in subsequent years, they remained substantially the same for 200 years. On the occasion of the great wool grant (1338) special ports in England had been appointed for shipment of the wool, and a similar arrangement was made by the new ordinance. The following were the staple towns and the corresponding ports:—Newcastle-on-Tyne, York and Hull, Lincoln and Boston, Norwich and Yarmouth, Westminster and London, Canterbury and Sandwich, Winchester and Southampton, Carmarthen, Dublin, Waterford, Cork and Drogheda. The mayor and constables of the staple, who were to be elected annually by the native and foreign merchants of the place, were to exercise jurisdiction over all persons concerned in the business of the staples, and their proceedings in all matters of debt and contract were regulated by the Law Merchant, and not by the common law or the customs of the town. On taking office they swore that "well and faithfully they would serve the king in the office to which they were chosen; that they would intreat the merchants of the same staple faithfully; and that they would do equal right unto all persons as well of this realm as unto strangers after the ordinances made by the king and his council and the Law Merchant." There was an important provision for the settlement of disputes. Two foreign merchants, one for the north, the other for the south of England, might be elected to sit with the mayor of the staple and watch the interests of alien traders. In trials, the jury was to consist of natives, if the parties to a dispute were natives of

foreigners, if foreigners; and if one was a native and the other a foreigner, the jury was to be composed equally of natives and foreigners. Alien merchants were treated very generously by this ordinance, but the policy with regard to them during the reign varied so frequently that we shall not further discuss its provisions. To give validity to contracts, the mayor of the staple was to attest them under the seal of his office, charging ½d. for every contract under £100, and 1d. for more than that amount. All merchants had liberty to buy and sell goods in any part of the country provided they were taken to the staple, and special exemptions were granted to certificated carriers. Forestalling and regrating were prohibited; and, in the staple towns, special streets or warehouses were appointed; the rent of the latter was to be fixed by the mayor and constables with four of the principal inhabitants. The customs duties were regulated and machinery provided for their collection, while the exportation of bullion was prohibited, except by merchant strangers, who might carry back the portion of their money which was not laid out in the purchase of English commodities. Such was the staple organisation. During the latter half of the fourteenth century the staple towns were frequently altered, and there were other changes in the ordinance from time to time. But the general policy, except in the treatment of foreign merchants, remained unchanged until the loss of Calais in 1558, which inflicted a death-blow on the staple system. It should be noticed that at this time the merchants of the staple consisted of all those, trading in the specified commodities, who took the required oath of obedience to the king's officials. They were less an exclusive trading company than an organ of administration. In the bitter controversy about the trading companies at the end of the sixteenth and during the seventeenth centuries, many adherents of the old system looked back with regret to the comparative free trade of those days.

At this time the resort of foreigners to England was greater than that of Englishman to foreign parts. Chaucer's "Schipman"

> "Knew well alle the havens, as thei were,
> From Gothland to the Cape of Fynestere,
> And every cryke in Bretayne and in Spayne."

So that he did not go very far from home. English merchants, indeed, frequented the marts of Flanders, and were to be found in the Mediterranean, but during the period under discussion, the bulk of the foreign trade of the country was in the hands of various bodies of foreign merchants. Of these the most important were the Hanse merchants, who had an extensive provincial organisation in England. So powerful were they, that in 1348 there was a complaint that one of their number had bought up all the tin produced in Cornwall during that year. The "Flanders galleys" already sailed from Venice and periodically visited England, bringing the manufactures of Venice and the produce of Persia and the Indies, and taking back the staple commodities of the country. By these and similar agencies all kinds of foreign commodities found their way to the great English fairs, whence they were dispersed through the country. Eastern produce, Italian silks and velvets, glass, furs and amber from north-eastern Europe, the fine linen and cloth of the Flemish cities, the wines of Gascony, Spain, and Greece, millstones and candles from Paris, iron from Norway and Spain, mercury from Spain and Transylvania, and many other commodities too numerous to mention were bought and sold in England.

Foreign Trade.

With the reign of Richard II. signs are not wanting of the approach of the "mercantile system," which dominated the commercial world from the days of Elizabeth to the publication of the "Wealth of Nations." We have already noticed the subordination of trade to foreign diplomacy. Under Richard II. we meet with the first Navigation Acts, which were no doubt rendered necessary by the injurious effect on the navy of Edward's purveyance of ships. They were imitated a few years later in Scotland; but they failed in their object, perhaps from the want of adequate means of enforcing them, but more probably because the time was not ripe for such an experiment. The Government could not call into existence a powerful mercantile marine by simply passing an Act of Parliament conferring a monopoly on English shippers to the exclusion of foreigners. Foreign merchants continued to resort to England and to carry away the staple commodities of the realm. But the prevailing jealousy of foreign merchants found expression in several Acts of Parliament; and, though

the measures varied considerably from time to time, there appears to have been a systematic attempt on the part of the merchant class to reverse the policy of Edward III., which had been, on the whole, favourable to foreigners. We can see the effect of this change more clearly in the fifteenth century.

Summary.

Looking through the Statute-book, our first impression is that commerce, during the latter half of the fourteenth century, was so cramped by absurd regulations that progress was well-nigh impossible. But the measures of this period were the result of practical efforts to cope with difficulties, by men who were untrammelled by any theoretical system. Edward III. took council with his merchants in making provision for the regulation of trade. Many of the means adopted will not bear the test of criticism from the modern economic standpoint. But it must be remembered that the conditions of every economic problem have changed since that era. Practically it will be found that there was much more freedom than at first sight appears. In many cases the merchants obtained what was of greater importance than freedom in a wild lawless age, viz., security. If we subtract from the statutes of Edward III. all those regulations which were intended for the protection of property, for the repression of piracy and smuggling, for securing fair dealing between man and man, the regulations to which reasonable objection can be made become greatly reduced in number.

C. R. L. FLETCHER. English Town Life.

In the period which succeeded the Black Death, little outward or visible change passed over English town life. It was already a settled thing that England was to be one kingdom in a sense in which no other country of Europe was at that time one. The danger that London would form an *imperium in imperio* such as Venice and Florence had formed within that geographical expression which men called Italy, the danger that the Cinque Ports would form a confederation as independent of the government at Westminster as the Hanseatic League was of the yoke of the Holy Roman Empire, was already past. Yet outwardly there was little difference to be recognised between the two kinds of municipalities. Almost all over

Europe the municipal form was tolerably similar, while almost as wide powers and even wider immunities were accorded to a citizen of London than to a citizen of Nuremberg. Would the titular head of the Germanic confederation, if he had ridden with his train of knights and followers into Lübeck or Augsburg, and sent his marshal, or the steward of his household, to choose lodgings for his suite in the houses of the citizens, by the simple process of putting a chalk cross on the doors, have found those crosses rubbed out, and "the men and serjeants with horses and harness," belonging to the royal party, ejected by force because it was "contrary to the liberties of the city"? Scarcely; yet this is what had happened in London a few years before the Black Death; and the Sheriff of London being indicted for "the said contempt within the verge" (*i.e.*, of the king's court) was triumphantly acquitted; and it was laid down that the mayor and citizens should in future "enjoy such liberty of livery of lodgings, within the city aforesaid, in such manner as their predecessors," etc.

Municipal Liberties.

The power of regulating trades and crafts seems also to have been completely in the hands of the municipalities, and it was not until the 16th century that the experience of the craft-guilds was taken up and embodied in parliamentary enactments binding on the whole kingdom, by which time town bye-laws had become stereotyped. But already the customs, both export and import, and the control of the wool trade, had become matters of national concern; and one finds that towns constantly had to petition the king for leave to impose a new port-due or a new toll at their gates or bridges, and that they were not unfrequently refused. Above all, coinage was in England, as it never was on the Continent, entirely a national and nowhere a private concern. The late Professor Freeman, in one of his luminous addresses to the Archæological Society, struck the right note when he said: "The history of Exeter is a lesser one than that of Nuremberg only because the history of England is a greater one than that of Germany." So it was; and by the time that our period opens, miserably behind the German, Italian and even Southern French cities as all English towns, except London, manifestly were, they were already fitting themselves to play their part actively in the harmony of English national life. And owing

to Edward III.'s French wars and constant need of money, owing also to the enormous development of the export ot wool, of which we had a practical monopoly, that part was likely to be a very considerable one.

English Seaports.

The whole commercial and industrial activity of England lay at present in the towns which dotted the eastern and southern coasts from the Wash to the Cornish headlands. Northward of this fringe, indeed, lay Hull, Newcastle, and the debateable town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, which was proud of possessing the longest bridge in England. This bridge, by the way, had to be frequently rebuilt, partly owing to the repeated inundations of the Tweed, partly owing to the Border warfare; and there were long periods during which it was suffered to lie in ruins, and "one half-quarter of pease" had to be allowed daily to "six cross-bowmen guarding the ferry of the Tweed" at Berwick. A toll of sixpence on each ship entering the harbour was granted in 1347 by the king towards the rebuilding of the bridge. To the west, too, lay the great port of Bristol and the somewhat less important Milford Haven, both being utilised chiefly as places of embarkation for Ireland, and, perhaps, already for pilgrimages to St. James of Compostella. By a charter of Edward III. (1373), Bristol was made into a county, because the burgesses complained that they were partly within the jurisdiction of the sheriff of Gloucestershire, partly within that of the sheriff of Somerset, and consequently liable to attend county courts, juries, assizes, and inquests at Gloucester and Ilchester respectively, to their great detriment, and petitioned "that Bristol be not burdened to send more than two men to parliament," as, perhaps, it had been asked to do as being situate within two counties—a strange instance of the contempt of our ancestors for the glorious privilege of heckling the King's Government!

But it was from Lynn to Falmouth that the real town life of 14th century England was concentrated. There stood the Cinque Ports, now expanding into a considerable confederation of associated towns, still surrounded with their ancient walls, still maintaining a rigorous and somewhat tyrannous control over the lesser lights in their planetary system, still jealously guarding their rights of fairs and markets; above all, still remaining the real nucleus of the naval power of England.

And the Thames, like a silver wedge driven into the heart of this strip of coast, separated the eastern associated towns from the Cinque Ports proper and their western dependencies. On the Thames, and within the jurisdiction of the lord mayor and aldermen of London, "from Staines to Yantlet Creek" (the first "conservators of the Thames"), the Yarmouth fishermen and the Sandwich sailors could meet without breaking each other's heads, or the peace of our lord the king, as it seems they not unfrequently did upon less neutral "lond and strond."

London Life in the Fourteenth Century.

Let us try for a moment to realise the life of 14th century London. The houses of mud and timber were beginning to give way to stone and even brick—bounties were given to persons who built with these new materials. Upper chambers were being added, called "solars," to the single-roomed houses of former days. These would be used for sleeping rooms, though we find no mention of "parlours," or talking rooms, *i.e.*, rooms where a rich merchant would meet his customers and discuss business, before the 15th century. The "shop" would still largely be in a booth outside the door of the house itself, while the goods which were displayed by day on the stall, or hung from the windows, were stored by night in the cellar. The solar was approached by a wooden or sometimes a stone staircase from the outside. Huge signs swung overhead, and were obliged to be at least nine feet above the level of the street, to allow of a man on horseback riding under them in comparative safety. Even at that height it must have been an unpleasant task in a high wind. Footpaths there were none; but the road was raised by a slope from the middle downwards to the two "kennels" (canallos), into which the filth of the streets was supposed to run. A little before our period that useful animal, the pig, had performed the office now performed by the dustman; but it had recently been ordered that "no swine be found about the streets and lanes of the city and suburbs"; if they are found, anyone may kill them, but the owner has the right of pre-emption of the carcase at fourpence. "And he who shall wish to feed a pig must feed it at his own house." [*Lib. Alb.* 235.] The most minute regulation for prices, for apprentices, for trade of every kind, prevailed; and almost sovereign power over every department of life was in

the hands of the lord mayor and aldermen. The duties of an alderman must have been heavy in a different sense from that in which they are heavy to-day. He was constantly obliged to go round testing measures and weights and wine cups; measuring the "ale stakes," *i.e.*, long poles fixed over the doors of the innumerable taverns, to see that they did not exceed the regulation nine feet; examining the mesh of the fishing-nets to see that they were two inches in width at the least ("as appears after inspection of the memoranda in the chamber of the Guildhall, namely, the lesser Guildhall"), and that no "gorce, chotnet, chofnet, nor kidel" was used in fishing. Not unfrequently he would be obliged to act as policeman, and to arrest "persons who should be so daring as to be found wandering by night about the streets of the city after curfew rung out at St. Martin's-le-Grand and St. Laurence, and at Berkyngchirche [All Hallows' Barking], with sword or buckler, or with other arm for doing mischief"; to shut the taverns and alehouses at the same time; to see that no suspicious persons were harboured therein. The mere testing of the bakers' materials, under the "assize of bread," must have been of itself a serious task to the city authorities. For instance, the "light bread which is called 'pouf' (puff?) ought to be of the same bolting (*i.e.*, fineness) and weight as wastel bread"; "and as to demeisne bread (*i.e.*, *panis dominicus*, from the image of Our Saviour stamped on it, p. 436), it should weigh the same for a halfpenny loaf as a farthing loaf of wastel except nine pennyweights, which may be lost in baking." One does not quite understand why our ancestors, who drunk such enormous quantities of beer, left the brewing business in the City—and in all other towns apparently—so largely in the hands of alewives, who retailed their own brew on the spot. It was reckoned a low calling, and woe to the alewife who infringed the "assize of beer." After repeated fines she stood in the pillory at Westchepe, where the beer-drinking mob would probably not be very merciful to her. The windows of the houses seem pretty generally to have been made of glass by this time; Edward III. chartered the Gild of Glaziers, but chimneys were long a luxury of the rich.

The Duties of Aldermen.

Pauli, in his "Bilder aus Alt-England," points out what a much greater effect the natural elevations of ground in old

London had on the eye than at present, when we hardly realise that St. Paul's stands on a really considerable hill, and that Fleet Street once crossed a considerable river, up which barges plied. Of the ground-plan of the present city it would still be possible for our ancestors to recognise Cheapside, Cornhill, Leadenhall Street, Thames Street, and perhaps Gracechurch Street. The mighty bridge of twenty arches that had been finished in the reign of King John, and which was spoken of as one of the wonders of the world, with its street of shops, its drawbridge in the middle, where the tolls were levied on "foreign merchants" passing up to the little wharf of Queenhythe, and over which frowned the Tower with the grim remnants of mutilated traitors fixed on spikes, was the scene in 1390 of a curious duel between Sir D. Lindsay, Earl of Crawford, and Lord Welles, Ambassador at the Scotch Court. The Scotchman, having been at the expense and trouble of crossing the kingdom under a safe-conduct from Richard II., deserved to win, and did win. But even London Bridge was in constant need of repair, and direct taxes, as well as charitable subscription, had to be resorted to by more than one of the Edwards to maintain it. Naturally the tendency of the city to extend westwards in the direction of the great abbey, within whose precincts the business of the law courts and of parliament was transacted, and southwards towards the Surrey hills (the scarcely less important business of bear-baiting, cock-fighting, and other less reputable amusements, were chiefly confined to Southwark; indeed, persons of evil reputation were regularly hunted out of the city, ferried across, and made to pay the boatman for transporting them), was continually showing itself, though one finds constant complaint of the almost impassable condition of the road from Temple Bar to Westminster. In the reign of Edward III. a special tax on all goods carried into the city, a sort of octroi in fact, was established for the repair of the roadway. From London Bridge radiated the great road to the west, and the high road to the Continent, along which Chaucer's "Canterbury Pilgrims" had to pass from the Tabard Inn at Southwark. The almost equally important "pilgrim's way" to Walsingham, in Norfolk, started from the eastern gate of the city; and as these two shrines had an European reputation, it follows that these roads were not

The Topography of Old London.

traversed by Englishmen alone: Jusserand quotes a decree of the Venetian senate authorising Lorenzo Contarini to visit from Sandwich the shrine of St. Thomas, while the Venetian galleys lay in that port; but he was to be sure to return to his ship the same day.

The Provincial Towns.

If other towns were far behind the London of the 14th century in splendour and extent of trade, we may be sure that they were tolerably accurate copies of its general principles of life internal and external. The same minute provisions for overseeing everyone from the cradle to the grave, the same publicity of life (the utter want of real privacy must have been the most serious discomfort of town-life in mediæval times), the same outward conformity to the ordinances of the Church, the same secret growths of scepticism with regard to those ordinances, whether displayed in the good-humoured bourgeois banter of Chaucer, or the more serious attacks of the followers of Wycliffe, meet us everywhere. The great churches of Sandwich and Winchelsey, St. Nicholas at Yarmouth, and St. Nicholas at Newcastle, were in their glory in the 14th century; the high tide of the Decorated style of architecture had already been reached; but the spirit of unity and brotherhood, which had animated the original building of these monuments, was already passing away. The strife of the various religious orders—monks, friars black and white, parish priests, hermits, pardoners, and pilgrimage mongers was degrading the ideal unity, and rending the seamless garment. And in secular matters within the towns a somewhat similar spirit was diplaying itself. The separate craft guilds were rising upon the ruins of the old Gilda Mercatoria, which had once embodied all the trading and industrial societies of each town. Although the municipal and parliamentary franchise still remained in the hands of all burgesses in nearly all cases, yet the time of the "charters of incorporation" was not far distant. When that time should come, the towns would be governed by a narrow oligarchy.

SOCIAL LIFE.

THE commerce of England gradually increased, in spite of the drain of money and men caused by the continual wars with France and Scotland, and the fearful depopulation caused by the Black Death,

in consequence of which, in London, extra graveyards of considerable extent had to be provided; one field near East Smithfield, and the "Spittle Croft" of over thirteen acres near the Charterhouse, where (according to an estimate which is certainly excessive) more than 50,000 bodies were buried in one year. And the spread of commerce was the more curious, seeing the little cockle-shells of boats with which the sea was ploughed, and the deficient knowledge of geography. In this, however, there was an awakening, for it was the era of Ser Marco Polo, of Odoricus, and of our own Englishman, Sir John Maundeville. It has been doubted whether he ever existed, but be that as it may, his "Voiage and Travayle" was a more popular book all over Europe than that of Marco Polo (whose travels Colonel Yule has completely verified), and represented the highest geographical knowledge then attained by the civilised world. Maundeville says he left England in 1322, and was absent for thirty-four years, during which time he travelled through Asia Minor, Armenia, Tartary, Persia, Syria, Arabia, Upper and Lower Egypt, Chaldæa, a large portion of Ethiopia, Amazonia, Lower India, and the greater part of Upper India, together with the neighbouring islands.

Foreign Trade and Travel.

To do him but justice, he does not speak of having seen all the wonderful beings he says existed in the parts he visited, merely saying he was told about them: and the one-eyed men, those that have no noses, those "whose heads do grow beneath their shoulders," and the Sciapodæ or "men that have but one foote, and they go so fast y^t^ is a great marvaill, and that is a large fote that the shadow therof covereth ye body from son or rayne when they lye uppon their backes," are simply the remains of the fables of Aristotle and Pliny, handed down. That they were thoroughly believed in can be seen at once by looking at any thirteenth- or fourteenth-century map, the most familiar example being the "Mappa Mundi," preserved in Hereford Cathedral (p. 359). This map could not well be earlier than than 1314, because in the boundary of France and Burgundy, Lyons is included in French territory, and it was not annexed to France until April 30, 1313; and other internal evidence goes to prove the date. In this map a mermaid occupies a very large portion of the Mediterranean; near

The "Mappa Mundi."

Alexandria are centaurs; near the Red Sea is the phœnix; by the Nile is a huge flying dragon, "Salamandra dracon venenosa"; and, luckily, close by it grows its antidote, the mandrake, found like a human being, which shrieks when drawn out of the ground. In Africa, also, are faithfully delineated all the monstrosities spoken of by Maundeville.

It is a curious fact about these old maps that they are mostly circular, and place Jerusalem in the centre of the world. Another thing is, that the habitable world is comprised in a circle drawn with a radius from Jerusalem to the Pillars of Hercules or the Straits of Gibraltar, and the East is placed where we show the North. In this Hereford map Scotland is an island, and the British Isles, which are of undue magnitude, are in the S.E. portion of the map. There seem to be very few towns in England and but one mountain range, the Clee Hills of Shropshire; and the whole is more of a fanciful picture than any aid to geography or navigation.

But no long voyages were made, though a good coasting trade was done; as, the roads being bad it was the best method of carriage. The coal trade was growing, and the "cattes" (as the coal ships were called) of Whittington and others were doing a good business. Stow mentions the use of sea-coal thus: "This yeere (1306) upon sundry complaintes of the cleargy and nobilitie resorting to the city of London, touching the great annoyance and danger of contagion growing by reason of the stench of burning sea-coale which divers fier makers in Southwarke, Wapping, and East Smithfield now used to make their common fiers, because of the cheapness thereof, and to forbeare ye burning of bavins and fire coale.[1] The king expressly commanded the Maior and Sheriffes of London forthwith to make proclamation that all those fier makers should cease their burning of sea coale, and make their fiers of such fuell of wood and coale, as had beene formerly used." This proclamation was never acted on, and we find on June 11, 1369, four men "chosen to hold the Office of Meters of sea coal coming into the City of London; and sworn that they would well and trustily make measure of coals so coming thither, taking for their trouble as from of old they were wont."

A large trade was done with France, Flanders, "Almaine,"

[1] Charcoal.

Italy, and Venice, as some considered not always to the benefit of the English (p. 344).

> "The grete galees of Venice and Florence
> Be welle ladene with thinges of complacence,
> Alle spicerye and of grocers ware,
> With swete wynes, alle manere of chaffare;
> Apes and japes, and marmusettes tailed,
> Nifles, trifles, that litel have availed,
> And thinges with which they fetely blere our eye,
> With thinges not enduring that we bye.
>
> . . . . .
>
> "Thus these galeise for this lykynge ware,
> And eting ware bear hens our best chaffare:
> Cloth, wolle and tynne, which as I said beforne
> Out of this land werste myghte ben forborne.
> For eeche other land of necessite,
> Have grete need to by some of the three;
> And we receive of hem unto this cooste
> Ware and chaffare that lyghtlye wol be loste."

Libel of English Policy, in *Political Songs* (Rolls Series), II., 192.

Piracy.

There was great fear of piracy, and the English shipowners made reprisals on the Norwegians, Prussians, Flemings, Scots, Spaniards, or Genoese—yet our coasts were very unprotected from foreign incursions: in fact, it was not till the reign of Henry V. that our sea-board was fairly safe from attack, and that our merchant vessels could go safely, because he was the first English monarch who had a navy sufficient to make his power effective.

In a few bold touches Chaucer paints the sailor riding upon a "rouncy" or hack, "as he kouthe, in a gowne of falding[1] to the knee," and he winds up his account with a compliment to his knowledge of the nearer coasts: he

> "Knew well alle the havens, as thei were,
> From Gothland to the Cape of Fynestere,
> And every cryke in Bretayne and in Spayne."

Wool and cloth were the chief articles of export, and the subject of much legislation. Tin was also exported, and some silver-mines were worked to a good profit in Devonshire. Many foreign merchants dwelt among us, the Flemings, Lombards, and Genoese—and the Lombards did so well, that

[1] A coarse cloth.

there was great jealousy of them, so that an occasional *fracas* took place between them and the citizens, which may, possibly, have had something to do with their money-lending interest being very high, as we learn from a guardian's account in 1374, where he credits himself for money expended on his ward: "four shillings being yearly paid for the use of every pound, according to the custom of the city."

Our merchants waxed wealthy; but never did a mayor before, nor since, entertain four kings at once, the nearest approach to it being the banquet to the Allied Sovereigns in 1814. But, in 1357, Henry Picard, Mayor, sumptuously feasted King Edward III., John of France, David (King of Scotland) and the King of Cyprus, besides all the king's sons (with the exception of the Black Prince, who was in France), and the suites attendant on the various royalties:

Lord Mayor's Feasts.

"And, afterwards, the sayd Henry Picard kept his hall against all comers whosoever, that were willing to play at dice and hazard. In like manner, the Lady Margaret, his wife, did also keepe her chamber to the same intent. The King of Cipres playing with Henry Picard in his hall, did winne of him fiftie markes; but Henry, being very skilfull in that arte, altering his hand, did after winne of the same king, the same fiftie markes and fiftie markes more; which, when the same king began to take in ill parte, although hee dissembled the same, Henry sayd unto him: 'My lord and king, be not agreeved, I covet not your gold, but your play, for I have not bid you hither that I might greeve you, but that, amongst other thinges, I might trie your play,' and gave him his money againe, plentifully bestowing of his owne amongst the retinue: besides, hee gave many rich giftes to the king and other nobles and knightes which dined with him, to the great glory of the cittizens of London in those dayes." [Stow.]

But he was as exceptional as was Whittington in the next reign. The ordinary merchant is thus sketched by Chaucer:

"A Marchant was ther, with a forked berd,
In motlee,[1] and hye on horse he sat;
Up-on his heed a Flaundryssh bevere hat;
His bootes clasped faire and fetisly[2];
Hise resons he spak ful solempnely,
Sowning[3] always thencress[4] of his wynnyng.
He wolde the see were kept[5] for anything
Bitwixe Middelburgh and Orewelle.

[1] A mixture cloth. [2] Neatly. [3] Tending. [4] To the increase. [5] Guarded.

Wel koude he in exchange seeldes[1] selle.
This worthy man ful wel his wit bisette,[2]
Ther wiste no wight that he was in dette,
So estatly was he of his governaunce
With his bargaynes and with his chevyssaunce."[3]

Condition of the People.

With commerce came enlightenment, and this, combined with the heavy taxation caused by perpetual war, more especially the two poll taxes, that in the 51 Ed. III. of 4d. on every head over fourteen years of age, and that in the 4 Ric. II. of three groats per head on the laity, caused great discontent among the labouring classes, and gave occasion for the great rising of the people under Wat Tyler and Jack Straw.

Crime and Punishment.

Judging by our own standard, there was very little crime, and very few cases are reported in the City records. Hanging was the punishment for murder, burglary, highway robbery, and gross theft; for forgery there was the pillory, which also was the punishment for fraud, but occasionally offenders were imprisoned, or set in the stocks. For the first offence of perjury the criminal had to stand on a high stool, *coram populo*, and confess his sin; for the second, he was treated to the pillory. This, coupled with a whetstone tied round the neck, was the punishment for telling lies and slandering. A woman, for child-stealing, was set in the *thewe*, a pillory especially for women; which was also the punishment for thickening the bottom of a quart measure with pitch, for selling putrid soles, for being a procuress, and for false accusation. It was also inflicted, in 1375, on a lady named Alice Shether [Riley, "Memorials," p. 385]:

"who was indicted for being a common scold; and for that all the neighbours, dwelling in that vicinity, by her malicious words and abuse were so greatly molested and annoyed; she sowing envy among them, discord and ill-will, and repeatedly defaming, molesting, and back-biting many of them, sparing neither rich nor poor, to the great damage of the persons and neighbours there dwelling, and against the Ordinance of the City."

In 1380 a very amusing case was heard at the Guildhall before the mayor, aldermen, and sheriffs, when two men were brought up

"and questioned for that, whereas they were stout enough to work for

[1] *Ecus*, French crowns. [2] Employed. [3] Agreement for borrowing.

their food and raiment, and had their tongues to talk with, they, the same John Warde and Richard Lynham, did there pretend that they were mutes, and had been deprived of their tongues; and went about in divers places of the city aforesaid, carrying in their hands two ell measures, an iron hook and pincers, and a piece of leather, in shape like part of a tongue, edged with silver, and with writing round it to this effect: '*This is the tongue of John Warde*,' with which instruments, and by means of divers signs, they gave many persons to understand that they were traders, in token whereof they carried the said ell measures; and that they had been plundered by robbers of their goods; and that their tongues had also been drawn out with the said hook, and then cut off with the pincers; they making a horrible noise, like unto a roaring, and opening their mouths; where it seemed to all who examined the same, that their tongues had been cut off, to the defrauding of other poor and infirm persons, and in manifest deceit of the whole of the people. . . ." (Id., p. 445.)

They acknowledged their imposture, and were sentenced to be kept in Newgate until orders should be given for their release, and to be exposed on the pillory on three different days, an hour each time.

The pillory was the punishment for cheating with false tables and dice, for slandering the mayor, for selling putrid conger, for pretending to be a physician, for sorcery, practising the art of magic and soothsaying; whilst anyone counterfeiting the licensed begging poor was to be put in the stocks.

The Religious Drama.

Although at this time there was no regular drama as we know it, yet there were religious plays, or *miracle* plays, as they were termed. The first of these of which we have any mention is the "Ludus de S. Katharina," which Geoffrey, who was afterwards Abbot of St. Alban's, caused to be acted at Dunstable, about the year 1100. The play itself has not come down to us, but Matthew Paris, who wrote about 1240, mentions it as a play of the kind "which we commonly call *miracula*." Fitz Stephen, who wrote some fifty years before, says: "London, in lieu of the ancient shews of the theatre and the entertainments of the scene, has exhibitions of a more devout kind; either representations of those miracles which were wrought by holy confessors, or those passions and sufferings in which the martyrs so signally displayed their fortitude." It is a question whether the regulation under date of 1258, in the "Annales Burtonenses," applies to strolling actors, or to jugglers, dancers, tumblers, musicians, or minstrels; still the word is plain enough. "It is permitted to give

food to actors because they are poor, not because they are actors; but their plays must not be seen nor heard, nor permitted to be acted before the abbot or the monks."

The amusements of the fourteenth century were not many, and these plays seem to have ranked among them. "Piers the Ploughman's Crede" says:

> "We haunten no tavernes, ne hobelen abouten
> At marketes and miracles, we meddley us never."

And Chaucer's "Wife of Bath" speaks of them thus:

> "Therfore I made my visitaciouns
> To vigilies and to processiouns,
> To prechyng eek, and to thise pilgrimages,
> To pleyes of myracles, and to mariages."

That they were performed on a stage, there can be no doubt, for in "The Miller's Tale"

> "Sometyme to shewe his lightnesse and maistrye
> He pleyeth Herodes,[1] up-on a scaffold hye."

We have no contemporary description of these scaffolds or stages, but Archdeacon Rogers, writing in the sixteenth century an account of the Whitsun plays at Chester, says:

"The maner of these playes were, every company had his pagiant or parte, wch pagiants weare a high scafold w'th 2 rowmes, a higher and a lower, upon 4 wheeles. In the lower they apparelled themselves, and in the higher rowme they played, beinge all open on the tope, that all behoulders might heare and see them. The places where they played them was in every streete. They begane first at the Abay gates, and when the first pagiante was played, it was wheeled to the highe crosse before the Mayor, and so to every streete; and soe every streete had a pagiant playing before them at one time, till all the pagiantes for the daye appointed were played, and when one pagiant was neere ended, worde was brought from streete to streete, that so they mighte come in place thereof, exceedinge orderlye, and all the streetes have their pagiantes afore them all at one time playeinge togeather; to se w'ch playes was great resorte, and also scafoldes and stages made in the streetes in those places where they determined to playe theire pagiantes." (MS. Harl., quoted in Wright, "Chester Plays.")

Painting.

The illuminations in psalters, etc., of this century show that the English were no mean artists, and could well hold their own with their

[1] In one of the Coventry plays, "The Pageant of Shearmen and Taylors," is a stage direction: "Here Erode ragis in the pagond and in the strete also."

continental brethren: and the remains of painting in *tempera* on the walls of churches, show very excellent draughtmanship. One undoubtedly genuine portrait of Richard II. has come down to us, and is probably one of the oldest portraits in England; this also is in *tempera*.

It is painted on an enormous block of oak, formed of several smaller planks skilfully joined together, and used to hang at the back of the Lord Chancellor's seat, on the south side of Westminster Abbey. There it continued until 1775, when it was taken down, because it was becoming injured by means of the wigs of successive chancellors, and removed to the Jerusalem Chamber. Here it remained until May, 1857, when it was removed to Manchester, and shown at the exhibition there. It was then plainly seen that the painting as it stood was not contemporaneous, but had evidently been painted over. In 1866 it went to the Portrait Exhibition at Kensington, and when that was over in August, the Dean of Westminster, urged thereto by Mr. Geo. Richmond, R.A., determined to have it cleaned. It was taken to the studio of an expert picture cleaner, Mr. Henry Merritt, and then it was discovered that it had been painted over in oil about 150 years previously. This was cleaned off, and underneath was found the original picture in *tempera*, very different from what it had been, with auburn hair and a fair complexion, such as the king really had, and it may now be seen, covered with glass, in the choir of Westminster Abbey, a beautiful specimen of 14th-century portrait-painting.

Music: The Minstrel.

The day of the troubadour had passed, and in his place came the minstrel, who was a person of honour and consideration. Edward III. had a grand company of them attached to his court: "5 trompetters, 1 cyteler (probably a performer on the dulcimer) 5 pypers, 1 tabrete, 1 maberer, 2 clarions, 1 fedeler, 3 wayghtes, or players on the hautbois"; and John of Gaunt, when living in princely style at Tutbury, issued a proclamation in the fourth year of Richard II. that a court should be held every year on the 16th of August to elect a king of the minstrels, try those who had been guilty of misdemeanours, and grant licences for the future year.

In the City of London letter-books we find several payments to minstrels, and see by them that they were rather

expensive. They are all in the reign of Edward III. "To 99 armed horsemen, 10 marks each. To a certain minstrel who rode with them, 100s." "To the minstrels and palfreymen of our Lord the King, £6." "To Nicholas Holbourne, citizen of London, for the cost of minstrels. £16 13s."

Chaucer very frequently mentions them, and their attendance on every occasion of rejoicing; and we learn from him, even among the limited number of Canterbury pilgrims, how very universal was the practice of music among the English people. The squire,

> "He koude songes make and wel endite,
> Just, and eek daunce and weel purtreye and write."

The prioresse was also musical:

> "Ful weel she soonge the service dyvyne,
> Entuned in hir nose ful seemely."

The frere was similarly gifted:

> "And in his harpyng, whan that he hadde songe,
> Hise eyen twynkled in his heed aryght
> As doon the sterres in the frosty night."

That the organ was then in use we find in the description of "Chaunteclеer," in the "Nonnes Preestes Tale," that:

> "His voys was murier [1] than the music orgon
> On messe [2] dayes that in the chirche gon."

But music shall be dealt with more fully in subsequent chapters.

[1] Merrier. [2] Mass.

AUTHORITIES, 1348—1399.

(*a*) GENERAL HISTORY.

The contemporary authorities are the *St. Alban's Chronicle* (as in c. V.) and the *Evesham Chronicle;* Knighton and Walsingham, both living under Richard II.; the French *Cronique de la Traison et Mort de Richard Deux*, the *Chronicon* of Adam of Usk, the *Annales Ricardi* and Capgrave's *Chronicle of England*, Gower's Poems, the *Political Songs* (Wright's ed. and Rolls Series), the *Rolls of Parliament*. To the modern authorities, as given at the end of c. V., may be added: Gairdner, *Houses of Lancaster and York;* and for Wycliffe and the Lollards, the preface to *Fasciculi Zizaniorum* (Rolls Series); and a good account of Richard II.'s reign in Lingard, *History of England.*

(*b*) SPECIAL SUBJECTS.

Religion.—The standard life of Wycliffe is still that published at Leipzig twenty years ago by Gotthard Lechler; but the English translation, which has appeared in three separate issues, is neither complete nor altogether satisfactory, and in its last edition (1884) has suffered a number of changes which remove it still further from the original. Among English lives that by Mr. F. D. Matthew, prefixed to his edition of Wycliffe's *English Works hitherto unprinted* (1880), deserves special mention; and the writer of the section dealing with Wycliffe in the present chapter, while his statements and opinions are based upon a study of Wycliffe's works and the records of contemporary witnesses, has made free use of his own sketch of *Wycliffe and Movements for Reform* (1889). The student will be rewarded by much of interest on the subject in W. W. Shirley's preface to the *Fasciculi Zizaniorum* (1859), though it requires correction in the light of more recent investigation. For Wycliffe's connection with politics no sounder guide exists than Bishop Stubbs in his *Constitutional History*, c. XVI. and XIX.

Law, Warfare, Naval Matters, Architecture, and Art.—As in c. V.

History of Universities and Schools.—The works referred to at the end of c. V., especially Maxwell-Lyte's *Oxford* and Clark and Willis's *Cambridge;* Kirby, T. E., *Winchester College;* Moberley, *William of Wykeham;* the (unedited) *Compotus Rolls of Durham College;* Macray, *Annals of the Bodleian Library;* articles in Dict. of Nat. Biography, especially on Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester.

Medicine and Public Health.—Father Gasquet's *Great Pestilence* (1894) deals fully with the Black Death. The other authorities are the same as those cited in c. V. See also Jessopp, *The Black Death in East Anglia*, "Nineteenth Century" Vols. XVI., XVII.

Literature.—B. ten Brink, *Geschichte der Englischen Litteratur*, Bd. 1 and 2 (Berlin and Strassburg, 1877-93); Brandl, Alois, *Gesch. d. mittelengl. Litteratur*, in Paul's *Grundriss*, II. 1 (Strassburg, 1889); *Altenglische Dichtungen des MS. Harl.* 2253, ed. Böddeker (Berlin, 1878); *Political Songs*, ed. T. Wright (Rolls Series); *Political Songs of England from John to Edward II.*, ed. and trans. by T. Wright (Camden Soc., 1839); William of Shoreham's *Religious Poems*, ed. T. Wright (Percy Soc., Vol. 28); *Pearl*, ed. and trans. I. Gollancz (D. Nutt, 1891); *Sir Gawayne and the Green Knight* (circ. 1360), ed. R. Morris (Early Engl. Text Soc., 1864); Chaucer's *Poetical Works*, ed. R. Morris (Aldine Poets, 1886); Chaucer, *Prologue, Knight's Tale and Nun's Priest's Tale*, ed. Morris and Skeat; Chaucer, *Man of Lawe's Tale, Prioresses' Tale, Minor Poems*, ed. Skeat (Clarendon Press); Kluge, *Gesch. d. Engl. Sprache*, in Paul's *Grundriss*, Bd. I., Lief. 5 (Strassburg, 1891); Ten Brink, *Chaucer: Studien zur Geschichte seiner Entwickelung*, etc. (Münster, 1870); Ten Brink, Chaucer's *Sprache und Verskunst* (Leipzig, 1884); Morsbach, *Ueber den Ursprung der N.-E. Schriftsprache* (Heilbronn, 1888); Dict. Nat. Biography, *Chaucer* (Prof. J. W. Hales). The critical edition of Chaucer's works, with Life, etc., by Prof. W. W. Skeat (6 vols., Clarendon Press, in progress) will be the standard edition of the poet. Wycliffe, *Works*, ed. by T. Arnold, 3 vols., Oxford, 1869-71; *English Works of Wiclif hitherto unprinted*, ed. F. D. Matthew (E.E.T.S., 1880); Wycliffe's *Latin Works*, ed. Buddensieg, Loserth, R. L. Poole, and others (Wyclif Soc., at present 17 vols., 1883-93); Morley's *English Writers*, Vols. IV.—VI.; Buddensieg, *Johann Wiclif u. Seine Zeit* (Gotha, 1885); Lechler, *John Wickliffe and his English Precursors* (see above); E. Gasner, *Beiträge zum Entwickelungsgang der neuenglischen Schriftsprache auf Grund der mittelenglischen Bibelversionen* (1891); Langland, *Works*, ed. Skeat (Clarendon Press); Jusserand, *Le Paysan Anglais au Moyen-Age et la Poème Mystique de Langland* (Paris, 1893); *Observations sur la Vision de Piers Plowman* (Paris, 1879); J. W. Hales, *Langland* (in Dict. of Nat. Biography); R. Kron, *William Langley's Buch von Peter dem Pflüger, Untersuchungen*, etc. (Göttingen, 1885); Rosenthal, *Langland's Metrik*) in "Anglia," I. 414; *Pierre the Ploughman's Crede and God Spede the Plough*, ed. Skeat (E.E.T.S., 1867).

Gower, *Balades and other Poems*, Roxburgh Club, 1818; *Minnesang*, etc., ed. Stengel, *Ausgaben u. Abhandlungen . . . der Romanischen Philologie*, No. LXIV., 1882; *Vox Clamantis necnon Chronica Tripartita*, ed. Coxe, Roxburgh Club, 1850; *Confessio Amantis*, ed. Pauli (3 vols., London, 1857); K. Meyer, *John Gower's Beziehungen zu Chaucer*, etc. (Bonn, 1889); S. Lee, art. "Gower," in Dict. of Nat. Biography.

Agriculture.—Thorold Rogers, *History of Agriculture and Prices* and *Six Centuries of Work and Wages;* Ashley, *Economic History;* Nasse, *Zur Geschichte der Mittelalterliche Feld-Gemeinschaft in England;* Vinogradoff, *Villainage in England;* Maitland, *Select Pleas in Manorial Courts* (Selden Soc.); Seebohm, *English Village Community:* Gomme, *Village Community.*

Industry and Commerce, 1349-1485.—For the commercial history in detail it is necessary to consult Rymer's *Foedera*, the Rolls of Parliament, and the Statutes of the Realm. Macpherson's *Annals of Commerce*, in addition to rather inaccurate abstracts of Rymer and other authorities, contains many useful details; and Thorold Rogers' *Agriculture and Prices*, with its exhaustive records of the prices of English and foreign commodities, is indispensable. *Die Hanserecesse*, ed. by Koppman, is a mine of information on the commercial relations between England and the Hanse towns. Ochenkowski's *Englands Wirthschaftliche Entwickelung im Ausgange des Mittelalters* and Gross' *Gild Merchant* are the most useful works on the Staple; and Cunningham's *Growth of Industry and Commerce* gives a good general view of English commerce in the fourteenth century. In general, also, see Ashley's *Economic History.* Many of the *data* are only to be found in various county histories and local records.

Town Life.—Merewether and Stephens, *History of Municipal Corporations;* *Liber Albus of London* (ed. Riley); Burrows, *Cinque Ports;* Historic Town Series; Jusserand, *English Wayfaring Life in the Middle Ages;* Pauli, *Bilder aus Alt-England;* Loftie, *History of London.* See also Stubbs, *Constitutional History;* Cunningham, *Growth of English Industry and Commerce;* Rogers, *Six Centuries of Work and Wages.*

Social Life.—Besides many of the works enumerated in the last chapter, reference may be made to Bevan's *Mediæval Geography* (London, 1873); *The Voiage and Travayle of Sir John Mandeville:* T. Wright, *Early Mysteries;* Hone, *Ancient Mysteries Described; Ludus Coventriae* (Shakespeare Soc., London, 1841); A. W. Ward, *History of English Dramatic Literature;* Harleian MSS., 2253 and 2013; Halliwell-Philipps, *The Harrowing of Hell* (London, 1840); Robert Mannynge of Brunne, *Handlyng Synne* (Roxburgh Club, 1862); *Fine Arts Quarterly Review* (Jan., 1867); Stanley's *Memorials of Westminster;* works by Rutherford and F. Hueffer on *The Troubadours;* Jusserand, *English Wayfaring Life* (see above); Sir W. Segur, *Honour, Military and Civil* (London, 1602).

CHAPTER VII.

THE CLOSE OF THE MIDDLE AGES. 1399-1485.

A. L. SMITH.
The Reign of Henry IV.

The Henry IV. of Shakespeare sums up in his dying speech the whole course of a singularly eventful career when, in a famous scene, he cries out that God knew by what crooked ways he had won the crown; "I myself," he adds, "know well how troublesome it sat upon my head." It is the story of honour perverted by ambition, and avenged by disappointment and remorse. His Scotch and Welsh wars were marked by disaster. His old friends, his own kinsmen, proved traitors. The nation which had once loved him, grew weary of his rule. His kingdom's great revenues, his own vast domains, melted away like fairy gold in his hands. His murdered rival seemed to live again in a mocking pretender, the false Richard. He slew his enemies only to find that men mourned their fate, and even canonised their memory. The crusading ideals of his youth were destined to be degraded into mere persecution: he was the first English sovereign who burned men in the name of religion. At first sight there is much that is inexplicable in the reign, much that is repulsive, much that seems weary and fruitless; little or nothing of the heroic, and no landmarks of progress.

Signs of a New Era.

Yet upon a closer view, features of interest and of promise reveal themselves. The Mediæval period is closing; its great ideas have faded. But a new era is dawning. The Church had already passed its climax of prosperity and independence; henceforth it prepares its own downfall by an even closer connection with the royal power. That royal power itself was beginning to show the influence of those theories and those events which were soon to cover all Europe with absolutist sovereignties. The new commercial classes, in whose support this absolutism was to

find its practical basis, begin to manifest themselves; they even have their heroes, a Whittington, a Jack of Newbury.

Most rapid change of all, the feudal baronage had been, even in the preceding century, transforming itself into a more modern nobility, intriguing for places and pensions, instead of taking up arms for local independence.

Moreover, the reign of Henry IV. is a time of beginnings. That changeful drama, the political suicide of the baronage, which only closed with the extinction of Poles and Nevilles upon the Tudor scaffolds, had for its first scene the massacre at Cirencester. There the whole body of citizens, the women being specially conspicuous, rose in fury against those great lords, Montague, Holland, Lumley, whose selfish insurrection aimed at wrecking the reign of peace just inaugurated (February, 1400).

Rise of Family Feuds.

Those implacable family feuds, which not even the bloodshed of Towton Field, or Barnet, or Tewkesbury, could slake, had taken their rise in the personal jealousies which had gathered about the court of Richard II., but were fanned into flame in the court of his successor. The central issue of the Wars of the Roses was the claims of the Duke of York. These claims had been fostered by Humphrey of Gloucester as a means to excite popular prejudice against his rivals, Suffolk and the Beauforts. Traced to its root, this rivalry had its origin in the position of the Beauforts supporting the Prince of Wales in 1410 against the king, the Prince's next brother, and the greater nobles and churchmen.

Ideas of Hereditary Right.

Again, the offence done in 1399 to contemporary belief in hereditary right was amply avenged in 1461. Bolingbroke set forth his claim as resting on God's grace, and on the fact "that the realm was in point to be undone for default of government;" but he went further, and challenged the Crown, as one descended by right line of blood from Henry III. This was probably not so much intended to suggest the worthless gossip about Henry III.'s son, Edmund of Lancaster, Edmund "Crouchback," being rejected from his rightful place, and the younger son, Edward, preferred in his stead. But the challenge did no doubt intend to discountenance the Mortimer claim, which rested on female succession as it came through Philippa,

heiress of Lionel of Clarence, Edward III.'s third son. The Lancastrian claim came through John of Gaunt, fourth son of Edward III., but was a claim through males. But Edward IV., who deposed Henry VI., was the great-grandson of that Roger Mortimer who had already been declared heir by Richard II., and whose little sons, aged eight and seven, now possessed a right, which a usurper less scrupulous than Bolingbroke would have found the means to remove by death. The same Yorkist claim had to be recognised, much against his will, by the first Tudor sovereign; and his Queen, Elizabeth of York, was crowned by a right of her own which to most men was probably better based than that of Henry her husband, heir as he was only to the weak Beaufort title.

Obscure Points in the Reign.

Nor, obscure and gloomy as it is, does this reign lack episodes and subsidiary questions which invite inquiry. The obscurity is partly due to the surprising way in which the primary authorities for the period differ on decisive points. Even where all substantially agree, as in bearing out the traditions as to the wild escapades of Prince Henry's youthful years, their testimony is hard to reconcile with other evidence, such as the facts of his strenuous military career and his active leadership of the council. Nor, though we may discern some of the causes of the unusual success of the Welsh insurrection (p. 282) continued through the whole reign, is it easy to thread the intricate maze of contemporary Scotch history, or to trace the connection of Scotch affairs with the rebellion of the Percies. Very startling, again, are the reversals of policy, by which, in the wild duel of the two great parties in France, an English army is sent now to support the Burgundians (1411), and now in the Orleanist interest to attack the allies of Burgundy (1412).

The Rule of Parliament.

With so much in it that is futile and resultless, the reign of Henry IV. has yet bequeathed one result of inestimable value for English liberty. Parliamentary government came at this time to its maximum. True, it outran itself, and after thirty-eight years of precocious development, fell into anarchy, and gave place to the two centuries of Yorkist, Tudor, and Stuart absolutism; but when the commons once more began, under Charles I., to assert their place in the constitution, it was to Lancastrian times that they looked back for their ancient

rights, and to Lancastrian precedents that they had recourse for weapons in the struggle.

Henry IV. had come to the throne pledged to abandon the evil ways of Richard III. He would not govern by his "own voluntary purpose or singular opinion," but by common counsel and consent. He was "the new Judas Maccabæus." He was the first king anointed from the holy flask miraculously presented to St. Thomas, and revealed again to Duke Henry of Lancaster. He was the "boar of commerce" foretold by Merlin as destined to "recall the scattered flocks to their lost pastures." But above all he was the chosen man alike of clergy, barons, and nation. Yet for all that, it was not the weakness of his title that gave the commons such a hold upon him from the first. It was the extraordinary difficulties of his position, and particularly the inexplicable financial difficulties, which beset him from the outset. Lord as he was of six earldoms, and of all the vast domains of the house of Lancaster, master of many confiscated estates, neither these nor the lands and revenues of the Crown sufficed to meet the expenses of garrisons and fleets, and of endless wars, and above all, the insatiable claims of those whose support he had to buy with promises beyond his means to fulfil. In his first year, the pensions already granted amounted to £24,000; a sum more than his whole royal and private revenues from land. Calais alone cost £30,000 a year; Ireland and Wales and the marches, at least as much again; the household, about the same. On the other hand, even with all the subsidies and grants of increased customs, which the most moving statements of the royal needs won from the reluctant commons, his average annual revenue seems to have reached little more than £100,000. The chief justice's statement to Parliament in 1401 showed that at least £150,000 was needed for the ordinary annual expenses of the realm.

Henry's Difficulties, especially in Finance.

No wonder then that the Commons made bold so early as 1401 to claim that redress should precede supply. This time the king refused; it was without precedent, he said; but nevertheless the victory lay with the Commons; for henceforth they made it the rule to announce their money grant only on the last day of the session, when the answers to petitions had been

Claims of the Commons.

declared. This gave the commons control of the purse; and with that must go practical control of the whole government. Hence we find them insisting on their freedom of debate, forcing the king to accept advice on details of administration, complaining formally of the king's household, the royal pensioners, and the abuse of "livery." We find the old cry against "aliens" revived on occasion of Henry's marriage to Joan of Navarre, 1403; the household restricted to £12,000 a year; and, above all, the names of those on the king's council submitted for the approval of Parliament. This last was the characteristic Lancastrian solution of that fundamental problem of politics, how to ensure harmony between the legislature and executive: how to give to the legislative power ultimate control over the executive without unduly hampering the latter. It was a better plan than that devised by the good Parliament in 1376, which was, occasionally to "enforce" the council by adding ten or twelve lords whom the commons could trust.

If redress before supply was the first maxim of financial control, hardly second to it in importance was that of audit of accounts. In 1405, after declaring that kings do not render accounts, Henry had to yield and allow auditors to be appointed; and from this date audit was never refused. The kindred practice of appropriating particular grants to particular purposes became more and more an unbroken rule; thus tunnage and poundage came to be appropriated for naval defence, the wool custom for maintenance of Calais and defence of the realm, and several sources of income were set apart for "the king's list" (the civil list, as it would be called now). Finally, the exclusive right of the Commons to originate all money grants was brought into notice through an accidental invasion of this right by the lords in 1407.

Loyalty of Parliament.

This prudent submission to parliamentary control brought its own reward. The commons meddled with the king's most intimate affairs; they cut down his grants and pensions, they expelled his wife's attendants, they told him his household was a set of rascals, their Speaker bored him with allegorical homilies. But amid universal treason and rebellion no shadow of treason was found in them. Over and over again they declared his title and confirmed the succession to his

sons. The solid strength of this tie between the royal power and the gentry, yeomen, and burgesses of the nation is best proved by the strong position into which Henry V. at once stepped, and the unswerving and generous loyalty with which his people seconded his far-reaching designs.

O. M. EDWARDS.
Owen Glendower's Rebellion.

THE causes of the rebellion of Owen Glendower were partly political, partly social, and partly national. Owen was the hero of the student, of the labourer, and of the Welsh yeoman who had a grievance against sheriff or lord marcher.

Between the death of Llywelyn in 1282 and the rise of Glendower in 1400 there had been three classes of rebellions in Wales. The first was the rebellion of the princes, who, in their jealousy of Llywelyn, had joined Edward I. The second, in 1294, was the widespread rebellion against taxes, when the king's collectors were hanged throughout the length and breadth of Wales. The third, in 1315 and 1322, was caused by the success of the Scotch, and by the Bruce's attempt to build up a Celtic empire.

Welsh Discontent.

By 1400 there were new causes of discontent. The justice and the lord marcher were more unpopular than ever, for the weakness of the Lancastrian central government enabled the lords to encroach on the territories of their Welsh neighbours, and to use the law for their own aggrandisement. "Bitter was the justice of the law; the injustice of the officers of the law was more bitter still." The great social upheaval, which in England took the form of the Peasant Revolt, had affected Wales also. Peasants flocked to the standard of Glendower after hanging their bailiffs, and Owen's bard sang the praises of the son of labour and of the plough. The national spirit was rising still, in spite of Sir Edward Llwyd's failure to unite with the Bruces. Welsh students flocked home from Oxford to fight under Glendower's banner.

Owen Glendower was a Welsh squire, whose property lay on the eastern and western slopes of the Berwyn. He had been a law student at Westminster, and was in the service of Henry of Lancaster before Henry ascended the English throne as Henry IV. In Lord Grey of Ruthin he had a grasping

and tyrannical neighbour, who was ever claiming some part of his mountain patrimony. He had appealed to the king in vain for justice, and he saw that Lord Grey was plotting his ruin by making him appear a traitor to the king.

The Early Stages of the Revolt.

In 1400 Owen attacked Lord Grey, and found that the whole of the Welsh land subjected to English sheriff and lord marcher alike was ready to rebel. Henry immediately recognised the danger, and with the activity which characterised the early part of his reign, he at once advanced into Wales. Owen retired into the fastnesses of Snowdon before him, and Henry had to retire without achieving anything beyond the destruction of Glendower's country, "the glen of the sacred waters," which had been left undefended. The king offered pardon, and the country became quiet for a moment. But the danger continued, and there was rigorous legislation against the Welsh; no Welshman was to remain in office, the Welsh were to be forced to repair their rulers' castles, no meetings were to be held without the permission of the English officers, and the activity of the itinerant bards was to be mercilessly repressed.

The justice of North Wales, and the custodian of the castles which guarded the north, from Chester to Carnarvon, was the headstrong and ambitious Henry Percy. In 1401 an attempt was made to break this line of castles by the capture of Conway, the key of mountainous Carnarvonshire. The castle was captured on Good Friday, when the garrison was in the town church, but violent Hotspur stormed it again. As long as Henry Percy held the castles, Owen's chief activity was further south, where the men of Cardigan and Carmarthen were ready to rise against the custodians of the castles which fringed their mountains. Percy's strength and almost empty exchequer were taxed to the full in the north, while Owen was vowing at Carmarthen that he would exterminate the English tongue. Before the end of the summer the king came to North Wales, then struck across Mid Wales to meet Glendower, sparing neither church nor child on his way, and stalling his horses near the high altar of Strata Florida Abbey, the resting-place of the kings of Wales. The land of the rebels was parcelled out among the loyal Welsh, and then, fearing winter, the king departed. Owen reappeared, as if

by magic, and, in the depth of winter, made another determined attack upon the northern line of fortresses. He was, however, obliged to raise the siege of Carnarvon, and to retire with his white banner and golden dragon; and Harlech was relieved by an army which marched from Chester through deep snow.

Owen and the Disaffected English Nobles.

Owen had revived the Bruce's dream of a Celtic empire. Before the end of 1401 he had begun to negotiate with Henry Percy, and his emissaries were on their way to the lords of Ireland and the King of Scotland. He aimed at uniting a number of powerful barons, dependent upon himself, against the king of England. The victory of the Fyrnwy, at the beginning of 1402, gave him Lord Grey as an ally; the great victory of Bryn Glas, in the summer of the same year, won Sir Edmund Mortimer to his side.

Owen had begun as the champion of the oppressed labourer:—

> "God and Mary ever shelter
> Every suffering son of toil."

But the widespread misery and injustice made his success so rapid and great that he assumed the title of "our most dread sovereign prince," and entered into an alliance with the discontented English nobles. In 1403 Henry was to be crushed by a great league. Glendower was to subdue the whole of Wales, and to march northwards to meet the Percies. Owen had not completed the reduction of Glamorgan, when the king marched rapidly and attacked the Percies before they could unite with the Welshmen, at Shrewsbury, in the summer of 1403. The defeat of the Percies foiled Glendower's first plan.

Owen, Prince of Wales.

But he continued to work with unceasing vigour. During the autumn of 1403 all the castles along the South Wales coast, from Kidwelly to Chepstow, were in the utmost danger; and an alliance was formed in 1404 between Charles VI. of France, and "Owen, by God's grace Prince of Wales, in the fourth year of our reign."

Owen, now Prince of Wales, aimed at dethroning Henry and placing the young Earl of March on the English throne. In this he was foiled by the capture of Lady Spencer. His

good fortune, for the moment, seems to have left him. Raising peasant armies was as easy as ever, but in battle after battle, especially in the disastrous battles of Grosmont and Mynydd Pwll Melyn, they were routed by an English army soon to be commanded by the young prince Henry. It was believed for the moment that Owen was dead, in spite of his magic. He disappeared; his poet plaintively inquires about his habitation, and calls him home from all parts of the world to rule the Welsh as their prince. Tradition says that Owen went no further than a cave on the wild coast of Merioneth.

His Rout and Disappearance.

In 1406 Owen Glendower is Prince of Wales again, with views as statesmanlike and plans as great as ever. In a letter written to Charles VI. in this year, he defines his aims. They were chiefly three. The first was the independence of Wales, with Owen himself as its prince. The second was the ecclesiastical independence of Wales, with a Welsh archbishop at St. David's. The third was the revival of learning in Wales by the creation of two universities, one in South Wales and the other in North Wales. Owen's plans stand out in strange contrast to the narrow, selfish plans of that cruel, sensual, degenerate age. He himself stands head and shoulders above the warriors and statesmen of that iron time. The truest description of his character is well known, though he is described as taking part in a scene which is not historical:—

Owen's Return and Plans.

> "In faith, he is a worthy gentleman,
> Exceedingly well read, and profited
> In strange concealments, valiant as a lion,
> And wondrous affable, and as bountiful
> As mines of India."

The negotiations between the self-created Prince of Wales and Peter de Luna, who got Charles VI. to support him as Pope Benedict XIII., are not uninteresting, were it only on account of the personality of the two men. Peter de Luna was a man of invincible courage, and Owen Glendower struggled against unforeseen disasters and difficulties with as much success as against the temptations of sudden gleams of good fortune. And his aims, after all, were not impossible. Wales had been independent before, and a hundred years' subjection had not

made Welshmen forget the fact. In his own country, and with a free hand, Owen could remedy the condition of the labourer who was his chief supporter, and who was now suffering from the tyranny of his foreign master and from the agricultural depression at the same time. During his time of power, Wales was ecclesiastically independent. Owen's bishops owed no allegiance to Canterbury, and he fondly hoped to see a Welsh archbishopric established by Papal authority. The Pope was asked to establish two universities in Wales, in order, for one thing, to please the students and bards who had done so much for Glendower. The character of Welsh literature had changed with the advent of Glendower. The love songs of Dafydd ab Gwilym, which mark the golden age of Welsh poetry, give place to the martial odes of Iolo Goch—a more masculine and vigorous literature, but with the grace of the fashion of it perishing. But Glendower himself believed in education. He knew that an independent church must draw its priests from the colleges of its own country, and his own love for reading made this student of Dante aim at giving his people intellectual as well as political freedom.

Owen and Welsh Literature.

His plans were, for the moment, doomed to failure. The great French army which landed at Milford Haven to help him, could not invade England and put an end to the struggle; it pierced as far as Worcester, and then the whole of England was roused. So vast a garrison could not be maintained in Wales as a purely defensive army, and it had to return. The weather fought against Glendower as it had fought against Henry. The condition of the labourer did not become immediately better. In 1407 the winter was so hard that nearly all the little birds died. Owen's magic, it was believed, could command storms, but he could not make the sun to shine and the wheat to grow. It became more and more difficult to find allies: the activity of young Henry crushed one rebellion after the other. Owen's Bishop of Bangor was taken with the Earl of Northumberland at Bramham Moor in 1408, and a vigorous warfare was maintained on the borders in 1409. From this time to Glendower's death in 1415, the Welsh prince maintained his independence in the old Wales of Llywelyn, though he was never allowed to remain in

His Failure.

His Death.

14851

perfect peace. Prince Henry often besieged one or other of his castles, and placed his own men within the walls. "But it availed nought, for Glendower came and put new men in the castle."

After the death of Glendower, Wales takes a new attitude towards England. Hitherto it had struggled for independence, now it begins to unite with some English party. Its military element, the wild element that had been used by Glendower in his later days, was drafted off to the French wars, and the wild Welsh fought for Henry at Agincourt as they had fought for Glendower at Worcester. When the French wars were over, the Welsh found employment in the Wars of the Roses, first taking the Yorkist side, and then, under the guidance of Jasper of Pembroke, supporting the Lancastrians in their darkest days, and finally seating the Tudor on the English throne. It was during the Tudor reigns that Wales was really subjected to English law. Owen Glendower and Jasper of Pembroke had, each in his day, ruled independently in Wales; and while no strong hand was present, robber chieftains ruled over wide districts. The Tudor made Wales into an integral part of the realm he governed.

England and Wales after Owen's Fall.

But the ideals of Owen Glendower were not forgotten. Tudor legislation Anglicised the landowner and the government official; the son of labour and the bard still dreamed that, like Arthur, Owen Glendower slept until the day came for the deliverance of his country. No one has taken such firm and lasting hold of the imagination of Wales.

WYCLIFFE'S power as a teacher rested upon his possession of two special gifts. In the first place, he was immensely thorough, and ready to take all possible pains before he satisfied himself of the correctness of his conclusions. He was not merely a profound theologian and philosopher, but his studies extended to almost every branch of learning which in his age formed part of the equipment of the trained schoolman. The classics were little cultivated in the fourteenth century, and Wycliffe's deficiencies in this department do not call for notice. But, on the other hand, he was skilled in the mathematical sciences,

R. L. POOLE. Religion.

especially optics, and even in medicine. As a master of his craft he had probably but few equals. To this high degree of competence he added, in the second place, an absolute sincerity in his pursuit of truth, which carried his hearers with him. To estimate his method and style of reasoning by comparison with those of the great schoolmen of the century before him is to do him grave injustice; for he lived in a time when the scholastic method was fast losing its vitality and becoming confused in a restless striving after infinitesimal distinctions. It is no disparagement to Wycliffe to confess that his philosophy did not rise above his generation: he knew its wants, and gave them satisfaction; it was not in philosophy that he was destined to strike out a new line.

Wycliffe's Influence and Work.

Nor, indeed, in the formal treatment of theology—except in his later teaching concerning the sacrament—does he sensibly depart from the method usual among his contemporaries. His novel views of what may be called theological politics—his doctrines of Lordship and of Evangelical Poverty—were not themselves original; and they were ingeniously superimposed upon, rather than assimilated with, the subject-matter of his theological exposition. Wycliffe acquired his ascendancy as a teacher not so much by what he innovated as by his complete mastery of the accredited system of divinity. Having won his position of authority, he was able to make use of it as a means for the propagation of the opinions he had formed in the course of his studies; and after 1380, even though his propositions touching the sacrament were officially condemned, the strength of his support at Oxford was such as to call for the most vigorous methods for its repression.

In May, 1382, as we have seen, the Council of Blackfriars condemned the heretical doctrine, and the Archbishop dispatched a Carmelite, Peter Stokes, to Oxford as his commissary, to put a stop to its dissemination. No mention was made of Wycliffe by name, but the intention of the mandate could not be mistaken. Besides, the university conceived itself affronted by the Archbishop's invasion of its privileges, and the old jealousy of Regulars and Seculars gave a turn in Wycliffe's favour. It was hardly an accident that the new chancellor, Robert Rygge, had just before nominated one of Wycliffe's loyallest followers, Nicolas Hereford, to preach before

the university: he now appointed another staunch Wycliffite, Philip Repyngdon, for the same office. Stokes went about in fear of his life, and durst not publish the archbishop's mandate. When he attempted to defend his commission, he was terrified by the appearance of men with arms beneath their gowns. Not only the chancellor, but both the proctors stood firmly against him. When, however, the Council summoned Rygge to London, his courage forsook him; he dissociated himself from any leaning towards the condemned doctrines, and was pardoned. He was supplied with a new mandate for the repression of Wycliffite teaching in his university, but at first protested that he dared not publish it. A royal order compelled him to do this; and so great a tumult arose in Oxford that Rygge went the length of suspending an orthodox disputant, and brought down upon himself a further peremptory mandate. Wycliffe's principal adherents were next suspended from their academical functions, and two of them, Hereford and Repyngdon, excommunicated. These implored in vain the protection of John of Gaunt, who would not be persuaded that the doctrine touching the sacrament was anything but detestable. The duke's alliance with Wycliffe's party was now finally dissolved. The reformers lost heart, and before the year was out, with the exception of Hereford, who seems to have gone abroad, they all recanted their errors. The strength of their school at Oxford was broken for many years.

The Fate of his Doctrines in Oxford,

While, however, in his university Wycliffe's doctrines had won the ears of the masters in most cases rather as theoretical positions which might be maintained with credit in argument, in the country at large it was their practical issues which attracted and held men's minds. Here they meant a resolute attack equally on the system of the Church and on its temporal endowments—not only a denial of certain dogmatic beliefs (in particular that of transubstantiation), but also of the authority of the priest (especially the power of excommunication). Besides these negative propositions, Wycliffe's disciples dwelt with emphasis on the clergyman's duty of frequent preaching, and urged the reading of the Bible as an obligation alike to clergy and laity. These were some of the practical forms

and in the Country.

taken by the teaching which claimed to re-establish the law of the Gospel in place of the tradition and authority of the Church. All through Wycliffe's later years, assisted by the too manifest existence of evils in the English Church as it then was, and still more by the unsettling effects of the Papal schism, his followers increased and multiplied. They were commonly known as Lollards—a word of doubtful origin, which certainly in Wycliffe's lifetime was considered a term of reproach, but which is now sanctioned by usage as their distinctive name without any offensive connotation.

The Lollards.

It is impossible to estimate their numerical strength. Knighton, a writer at the close of the century, says that every other man one met in the street was a Wycliffite; but he was a canon of St. Mary's, Leicester, and Leicestershire was the chief home of Lollardy. From Leicester the influence extended into Northamptonshire. There were Lollard settlements also on the borders of the counties of Gloucester and Worcester, and, at a later time, in Kent. Elsewhere, as in Herefordshire and Bristol, they seem to have been more scattered, but the prevalence of the opinions they maintained is abundantly attested by the steady support they received from the Knights of the Shires in Parliament. In 1382 a Statute was passed against heresy, but it was repealed at the petition of the Commons in the same year. The Lollards were reputed still to have friends at Court; and it is certain that a powerful party was at least willing to profit by the bias against clerical ascendancy which they set in motion. The measures taken against them were half-hearted, and an enquiry held by Archbishop Courtenay at Leicester in 1389 ended in the absolution of those who were charged with heresy. A remarkable evidence of their tenacity is found in the bill of twelve articles setting forth their conclusions in favour of reform both in organisation and doctrine, which was presented in the Parliament of 1395; some of the Lollards were compelled to abjure, and next year a council was held by the new archbishop, Arundel, which condemned their heretical opinions.

It may be conjectured that the reason for the unwillingness of the leading churchmen to proceed vigorously against the Lollards is to be found in the fact that the sincerity and honest hard work of the Poor Preachers in the country

districts were held to outweigh the errors charged against them. It is certain that the tolerant spirit shown by the bishops called forth the bitter reproaches of contemporary chroniclers, who commend only Despenser of Norwich for the resolution with which he frightened the heretics in his diocese into obedience by a threat of the stake. The sentence of burning was, however, not explicitly authorised by law in the case of heresy (as distinguished from open apostasy) until the reaction in favour of a sterner churchmanship began with the revolution which placed King Henry IV. on the throne. In 1401 Archbishop Arundel succeeded in passing the statute *de Haeretico* which provided machinery for dealing with heretics.

The Act de Haeretico Comburendo.

So urgent seemed the need for it that actually a few days before the statute became law, a Lollard clergyman named Sawtre was executed by burning. The Act itself had but little operation: Badby, a tailor of Evesham, was burned in 1410, and Wyche, a clergyman, thirty years later. Sir John Oldcastle and those who suffered with him in the reign of Henry V. (p. 293), though they were dealt with as ecclesiastical offenders, were so much mixed up with charges of treasonable designs that they can hardly be classed without qualification among the victims of religious persecution. The same remark probably applies to the great majority of the twenty persons so executed in the half-century following. In truth, as time went on, the religious element in Lollardy became subordinate to the political or social. Under the House of Lancaster the Lollards were valued as the allies of the Opposition in Parliament. In 1410 the knights of the shire sent up a petition for the confiscation of the lands of the bishops and greater abbots; a proposal frequently repeated by the Lollards, notably in the rebellion of Jack Straw in 1431. When King Henry V. came to the throne, a more determined policy was adopted against the Lollards. The danger with which they menaced the State had been set out in an influentially supported petition in 1406: now, in 1414, an Act was passed which armed the secular officers of justice with new powers co-ordinate with those of the spiritual authorities, and strengthened the procedure under the Act of 1401. With this statute legislation against the Lollards is completed. The sect soon lost still

The Secular Side of Lollardy.

more its religious characteristics, and, except in the case of a few older men, its adherents became confounded in the common herd of rebels against social order. If any thread of tradition connects the Lollards with the reforming movement of the sixteenth century, it is one so attenuated as to claim the notice of the antiquary rather than the historian. When an English Bible was once more asked for, no one thought of revising and modernising the translation of Wycliffe; the work was put in hand entirely anew.

The Wycliffite School at Oxford.

It has been already noticed that the fortunes of the Lollards at Oxford were in some respects different from those in the rest of England. The cloud which fell upon them in 1382 obscured them for many years; yet vigilant supervision of the books read in the university was still necessary. After the accession of Henry IV., the Wycliffite school again grew strong. How far it was actuated by jealousy of Archbishop Arundel's strenuous exercise of his authority, how far by the more local, if more intellectual, instincts of an academic party, cannot be said with certainty. Still it is clear that the Wycliffites had recovered their position, and now formed an important element in the university. In 1407 the archbishop held a council at Oxford, when not merely were stringent orders issued against the reading of Wycliffe's works, but an attempt was also made to regulate the studies of the place. Two years later the convocation of the university was induced to appoint a committee to examine Wycliffe's writings; the committee sat long, and at last reported only by a majority in favour of the condemnation of an exhaustive list of 267 articles. Disturbances arose in the university, and party feeling ran high; in 1409 Arundel sent a mandate to the chancellor, bidding him denounce heavy penalties against the Wycliffites. The university sullenly carried out its instructions.

Their Suppression and Decay.

The articles were ordered to be preserved in the public library; every graduate was to swear on admission to his degree that he would not maintain any of them, and every head of a college or hall that he would not admit into his society anyone suspected of heresy.

The Oxford Lollards as a body had held their ground firmly, but after this they rapidly declined. Bishop Fleming,

it is true, so late as 1427 founded a college with the express object of encountering the heretical movement, but it is likely that he thought of Oxford as he had known it twenty years before. A few expiring traces carry down the tradition even beyond the middle of the century: but as a vital force it was dead, and in its death the university decayed also the more quickly. For, whatever its theological aberrations, the school which Wycliffe founded embraced, on the whole, the more earnest and sincere members of the university. The stimulus he gave to independent thought, even though it led to perilous issues, was better than the stupor of mechanical routine under which the university remained sunk for generations afterwards.

A. L. SMITH.
The Reign of Henry V.

THE transformation of the wild Prince Hal of tradition into the austere, concentrated, and somewhat self-righteous King Henry V., has its counterpart in the change which came over the nation. Discontent, treason, and want of money are replaced by military enthusiasm and plentiful supplies. All was to be harmony; the body of Richard II. was moved to Westminster, and the heir of the Percies and the Earl of March and the Earl of Huntingdon were restored. Schism was to be put down, and the Lollards were struck at through Sir John Oldcastle, their head. Great captain as he was, and personal friend of the king, Oldcastle was arrested, tried, and condemned. The Lollards threatened that 100,000 men should meet in St. Giles' Fields in January, 1414; but the attempt was as great a failure as that of the Chartists in 1848. Oldcastle, who had escaped from the Tower, was declared an outlaw. In 1417 he was captured in Wales and hanged in St. Giles' Fields. He had become a great anxiety to Henry from his connection with the Scots, the Welsh rebels, the Mortimers, and the "mawmett" (puppet) still in Scotland—that is, the sham Richard. But with Oldcastle's stubborn defence and his death Lollardy had died out as a political and social force. Henceforth heretics were not to be left to the bishops in the first instance, but were to be proceeded against by justices of the peace.

Change in the National Temper.

Sir John Oldcastle.

But a startling event in 1415 showed that in some other respects things were not so quiet as they looked on the surface. On the eve of the expedition to France, amid the forces mustered at Southampton and from among the king's kinsmen and confidants, there was disclosed a plot which was at once a revival of the old union of the Mortimers and Percies with the Scots and Welsh, and a presage of the union of the claims of Mortimer and of York to the throne. The chiefs of the plot were Richard of York, lately created Earl of Cambridge by Henry V.; Lord Scrope, of Masham, the king's closest companion "at bed and at board, in council and in chase;" and Sir Thomas Grey, a North-country knight. Their plan was, as soon as the king had sailed, to carry off to Wales the young Edmund Mortimer, Earl of March. But the young earl rose superior to the casuistry of his confessors, and revealed the plot. The three chief culprits were executed.

The Mortimer Plot.

With no greater force of regular troops than 2,000 men-at-arms and some 6,000 archers, Henry set forth on Sunday, 11th August, 1415, for the conquest of a realm many times greater and more populous than his own. This daring ambition was not the mere rejoinder to the Dauphin's mocking present of a case of tennis-balls; it had probably been in his mind from the first. To it we may attribute much of his policy of general conciliation, his resolute crushing of all elements of disorder at home, his favourable offers to the Scots. There are stories, too, of doubtful authenticity, but pointing the same way. Henry IV. was said to have recommended war as a mode of strengthening the dynasty. The clergy were said to have urged it as a mode of diverting a threatened attack on Church temporalities. Within three months from his accession Henry's envoys in France were claiming his rights. The demands he made seem outrageous. They comprise, besides 2,600,000 crowns in money, all the provinces granted at Bretigny, 1360; all provinces which an English king had ever held or claimed; and all this without prejudice to his general claim to the crown itself of France. It is probable that in asking so much Henry meant to throw on the French the responsibility for the war. But it is certain, at the same time, that the woeful state of France seemed to him a Divine

Invasion of France.

Henry's Motives.

call upon him to restore order by force. "Never was there greater sin than now is in France," he said to the Duke of Orleans; "no wonder God is wroth at it."

In this view he had negotiated simultaneously with each of the two parties now rending France asunder; he was ready to marry Catherine of France or Catherine of Burgundy. His invasion had almost a religious character; there were to be no outrages. It was noticed that the king was stern to repress the usual licence of a camp as to language and conduct. He was equally careful to appear as rightful lord of Normandy. When Harfleur, the key of Normandy, was taken, on 22nd September, 1415, after five weeks' siege, the inhabitants were well treated. The march to Calais, foolhardy as it may appear, had probably a definite object as a demonstration in the eyes of France. On the march a man was hanged who had stolen a pax (this is the incident which Shakespeare utilises to make an appropriate close to Bardolph's career). The Battle of Agincourt itself (25th October) is easily explained by the incredible blunders of the French, and their blind contempt for their enemy, as well as by the deadliness of the English longbow and the excellent open formation of Henry's lines. But a battle in which some 3,000 archers and 1,000 men-at-arms defeated, with almost no loss, a fourfold number of all the chivalry of France, might well be claimed by the English invader as a judgment in his favour by the God of Battles. The effect of Agincourt was, in England, to revive the ancient war fever; in France, to produce an alliance with the Duke of Burgundy; in Europe, to bring Spain, Holland, and the Hanse League to Henry's side. In April, 1416, the Emperor Sigismund, as representative of the Council now sitting at Constance, came to Dover to bring about a peace between France and England. But when he left England in August, the pressure of circumstances had made him exchange the ancient league of his family with France for a treaty, offensive and defensive, with Henry against France. The reunion of Christendom, the suppression of heresy, the reform of the Church, which were the objects of the Council, were objects as near to Henry's heart. Henry's influence in the Council, through his envoy, Robert Hallam, Bishop of Salisbury, and later through Bishop Beaufort, was now united with

Battle of Agincourt.

Alliance with the Emperor.

that of Sigismund; and this joint English-German action defeated the chance of a French Pope, and secured the election of Otto Colonna, Martin V., 11th November, 1417.

Conquest of Normandy.

In 1417, with an army of some 10,000, Henry, beginning with Caen, reduced the chief towns in Normandy and Maine. Rouen, the second city of France, after a six months' siege, was taken January, 1419. The murder of the Duke of Burgundy by the Dauphin's men threw the new duke into Henry's arms. Paris itself, which was starving, welcomed him. In May, 1420, the great Peace of Troyes recognised Henry as present Regent and as heir of France, to the exclusion of the Dauphin; in June Henry married Catherine, daughter of Charles VI. In December the two kings and the Duke of Burgundy entered Paris in state.

Henry's Plan Hopeless.

Thus did Henry's great plan seem achieved. But in truth the hopeless part of his task had but just begun. The French already resented his curt and peremptory ways. "He made no answers but, 'It is impossible,' or 'It must be done,'" says the chronicler of St. Denys. In 1421 he was recalled from a month's stay in England by bad news. Clarence had been defeated and slain at Beaugé by Scots auxiliaries in the French service. The Duke of Brittany had joined the Dauphin. In vain did Henry endeavour to bring on a decisive engagement by pushing on to the Loire. Even reinforcements from England began to fail; "never was he in greater need," he told his people. The siege of Meaux cost him eight months; and the hand of death was already on him. He struggled hard to answer the Duke of Burgundy's call for help; but he had long suffered from ague, and now from dysentery, and he could not sit his horse. On August 31 he died at Vincennes.

His Death.

Among his last words were a charge to his friends to prosecute the cause to the end; "the guilt of bloodshed was not his, he had been assured by holy men before ever he drew the sword." As the penitential psalms were being read, the chaplain came to the words "Build Thou the walls of Jerusalem." The dying king was heard to say: "Good Lord, Thou knowest that my mind was to re-edify the walls of Jerusalem." He was, indeed, one of

the last great mediæval characters; mediæval in his sincere fanatical religiousness, in his strict, somewhat narrow legality, in his concentrated, passionate, impossible aims. For many a generation his exploits and memory were an inspiration to Englishmen. But to his immediate successors he left the fatal legacy of a hopeless foreign policy, an exhausted kingdom, and a royal house divided against itself.

CHARLES LE BIEN-AIMÉ had died seven weeks after his great son-in-law. Thus, in November, 1422, the English at Paris proclaimed the young Henry VI. as King of France; the Dauphin a little earlier was proclaimed as Charles VII. The English held the most important part of France; by military and political position, by their allies and by their own generals, they seemed to have much the stronger position. Yet from this date the revival of a national spirit in France, and the consequent expulsion of the invaders, was only a question of time. For the first six years, indeed, the statesmanship, the tireless energy, and the high moral qualities of Bedford availed to suspend destiny. In 1423 he made the League of Amiens with the two Dukes of Burgundy and Brittany, himself marrying the Duke of Burgundy's sister, and sealing the alliance by the victory of Crevant, which repelled the French from Burgundian territory. In 1424 the politic release of James of Scotland from his eighteen years of English captivity was followed by his marriage with Joan Beaufort, celebrated in his poem as—

The Reign of Henry VI.

Position of the English in 1422.

Bedford's Efforts.

> "The fairest and the freshest younge flower
> That ever I saw methought before that hour."

The king now tried to recall his Scots subjects from service in France. Their impetuosity and uncontrollable detestation of the English had sometimes led to disaster. Thus the Earls of Buchan and Douglas insisted on attacking the English at Verneuil (1424), and both fell in this great battle, which demonstrated once more that the long-bow still counterbalanced almost any inferiority in numbers. "At Agincourt were many more princes and people; Crevant was a pretty

affair; but Verneuil was the most terrible and the best fought of the three." This is the judgment of Waurin, the Burgundian chronicler, who was himself present on each field. In 1425 Maine and Champagne were subdued. In 1426 the invaders were still advancing further south. In 1427, despite reverses, they were still strong enough to undertake the great enterprise of forcing the barrier of the Loire. Salisbury, who, in a letter to the Londoners, was able to enumerate thirty-eight places captured that year, in October began to invest Orleans. Despite Salisbury's death and the resistance of the garrison, the city began to feel want. Sir John Fastolf's skilful defence of a convoy and his decisive victory, the "Battle of the Herrings," over the large assailing force of French, was one more timely proof of the English superiority in the open field. Orleans seemed doomed. Normandy, Maine, Picardy, the Isle de France, Orléanais, and Champagne were in English hands; as, in the south, were large parts of Guienne and Gascony. Brittany on the west, Flanders and the Burgundian territories on the east, encircled France with English. Charles VII.'s council was torn by intrigues; some even advised that the king should retire to Spain or to Scotland.

Siege of Orleans.

The English position, however, in spite of this appearance of strength, had already been undermined. For, even before the Treaty of Amiens, Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester, had married Jacqueline, heiress of Holland and Hainault, who had fled from her husband John, Duke of Brabant. Such a marriage had been a part of Henry V.'s policy. But Philip of Burgundy was cousin both to John and to Jacqueline, and heir presumptive to the territories of both. These territories, moreover, were, in a geographical sense, of vital importance to Burgundy. Bedford therefore had, in 1424, to pacify him by grants of other territories. But Gloucester persisted in invading Hainault; he harried Brabant, gave the lie direct to the Duke of Burgundy, and accepted a challenge to a duel with him. Gloucester had ruined Bedford's policy and effected absolutely nothing for himself. He left Jacqueline in Hainault, discarding her for Eleanor Cobham, one of her own ladies. In two months Burgundy was master of all. Bedford succeeded in averting the duel; but the mischief had

English Losses; Gloucester's Conduct.

been done. Jacqueline, moreover, had escaped to Holland, and was still keeping up her importunate claims.

In March, 1429, Joan of Arc, "the Maid of God," appeared at Chinon and convinced Charles of her Divine mission to relieve Orleans and take him to be crowned at Rheims. The former object she accomplished in ten days, the latter within three months. Bedford himself described her advent as a great blow, and as having "withdrawn their courage in marvellous wise"; such was their heathenish fear, he says, of this "disciple and limb of the fiend, called the Pucelle, that used false enchantments and sorcery." Probably her view was right that a resolute attack on Paris would now have struck a death-blow at the heart of the English power. But Charles VII. was as incapable of courage as he was of gratitude; in the first check he found an excuse for disbanding his troops. Thus, in 1430, the English were able to commit Paris to the regency of the Duke of Burgundy, and to bring over Henry, who had lately been crowned King of England. In May, the Maid, who had long felt her work was done, and had "wished the Lord would send her back to her father's sheep," who had lately heard miraculous voices warning her of the end, was taken captive near Compiègne. For just twelve months was she kept close prisoner, examined by officials of the Inquisition, threatened, insulted, entrapped, treated with inconceivable cruelty and treachery, driven to attempt her own life, and at another time to make a temporary recantation. At last, on 30th May, 1431, she was burned at the stake in Rouen market-place. The guilt must be shared between Burgundy, who allowed her to be sold to the English for 10,000 francs; Bedford and Warwick, who hated the creature who had foiled them; Charles, who might easily have saved his chivalrous preserver; the University of Paris and the Norman clergy, whose actuating motives must have been the lowest time-serving or sacerdotal jealousy. The English could hardly be expected to rise above the prejudice to which even Shakespeare is not superior; but no words can be too severe to express the infamy of their accomplices.

Joan of Arc.

Joan's Capture and Death.

The execution of Joan of Arc meant a temporary revival of English spirit. In December, 1431, Henry was crowned at Paris as King of France. But it was only temporary. Early

in the year the three Estates of England had signified their desire for peace, and before the year closed Burgundy had made truce with France. In November, 1432, died Bedford's wife, Anne of Burgundy, "the fair and good lady, well beloved of the people of Paris." Bedford offended Burgundy by marrying, four months later, Jacquetta of Luxemburg, a Burgundian vassal. This practically ended the Burgundian alliance, the mainstay of Henry V.'s policy. In 1434 the refusal of quarter on both sides, and repeated risings of the peasantry in Normandy, showed the cause was lost. At the Congress of Arras, 1435, the French offers to cede Normandy were flatly refused. The English would not renounce the crown; such a renunciation would stamp their whole past dominion as a tyranny, and would preclude a future attempt to regain it. But this refusal warranted Burgundy in coming over definitely to the French side. And this proved the final blow to the stout heart of the Duke of Bedford. In September he died at Rouen; a good general and good ruler, a strong man sacrificed to a hopeless task. "Noble he was by virtue as by descent: wise and liberal, both feared and loved" (Norman Chronicle). In 1436 the French recovered Paris; even Calais was besieged by the Flemings for a month. Where Bedford had failed, other commanders were not likely to succeed. One after another they resigned or died at their posts—the Duke of York, the old Earl of Warwick, the two Beauforts. But gradually Cardinal Beaufort's peace policy began to prevail. The Duke of Orleans was released in 1439, on a pledge that he would try to bring about a peace. Still the war lingered on under the Duke of York from 1439 to 1445. But in 1445 the Earl of Suffolk, a kinsman of the Beauforts, brought back Margaret of Anjou, a niece of Charles VII., to be the bride of Henry VI., but to be also the ruin of the Lancastrian dynasty. Fair as she was, high-spirited as her history shows her to have been, she was daughter of the impecunious René, and came without a dower. Men whispered that Suffolk had bought a queen not worth four marks at the price of a province; for he had been forced to purchase the truce and the marriage by the cession of Maine, as well as the surrender of claim to the French

Henry's Coronation at Paris.

Congress of Arras.

Death of Bedford.

Henry's Marriage.

crown. Henry was to be left in possession of Normandy and Guienne. But aggressions by the unpaid and disorderly English garrisons gave the French king a fair excuse; the Norman fortresses fell rapidly, and the Battle of Formigny, in 1450, broke the long tradition of English invincibility in the open field. By August, 1450, the news ran in England that "now we have not a foot of land in Normandy." The same fate rapidly overtook the ancient English holdings in Guienne. These now consisted of the coast-lands from Bayonne to near Rochelle, with a wedge of territory reaching inland some 80 miles. But when the three great southern houses of Armagnac, Albret, and Foix "turned French," Bordeaux itself surrendered. At vespers, on the 23rd June, 1451, the herald ascended a tower and formally cried aloud for "Succour from England." There was none to answer; a week later Dunois entered in triumph. Bayonne fell in August. Next year Talbot, Earl of Shrewsbury, the last of the fighting race of the Hundred Years' War, who had seen thirty-four campaigns, and who still lives in popular legends of the Garonne as Le Roi Talabot, was defeated and slain at Châtillon. Thus passed away the last remnant of the great inheritance with which Eleanor of Aquitaine had endowed the English crown just 300 years before. It is easy to see the evil which the connection had caused; but it is easy, too, to overlook the effect it had had in raising England out of its narrow insularity and in converting to enterprises abroad those fighting energies which, for the next thirty years, are diverted into the channel of civil war.

Loss of Normandy and Guienne.

Final Loss of France.

In military history, the Hundred Years' War decisively displaced cavalry by infantry, the feudal knight by the yeoman archer (p. 173); and it developed the application of artillery to siege purposes. Before its close, it was clear that the long-bow must soon yield to the musket, and that social order needed the support of standing armies.

Results of the War.

Its political effects had been to put together the splendid but hollow fabric of the Burgundian State, destined to endure a century, and to create for ever the intense patriotism of France—that patriotism which is a religion.

In English policy, neither under Edward III. nor under Henry V. had the war been mere military wantonness. The former had had a far-reaching though rather confused commercial aim underlying his attacks. The latter king had a very definite aim of reconstructing in Normandy another England, which would make him, indeed, "master of the narrow seas," and give him a decisive voice in European affairs. To this end many reforms were made, the gabelle and salt tax abolished, brigandage put down by patrols, English gentlemen were invited by offers of fiefs to settle in the country, and an attempt was made to colonise the four great seaports with English traders and artisans. The native manufactures were encouraged by bounties, and controlled by paternal regulations. A system of three Estates was set up on the English model, and to the Parliament thus constituted full powers of taxation were committed. The judicial system was re-modelled on the English assizes and local courts. Even a militia was established. Here, in fact, was a thorough and honest attempt to apply the Lancastrian experiment to this newer England—an attempt based, as in England itself, on the gentry, the clergy, and the official classes, without whose support, in fact, Normandy could not have been held so long. All the defects, moreover, which ruined the Lancastrian scheme of government at home were repeated here. The political basis was too narrow, the franchise too restricted, especially in the towns. The English settlers became French in one generation, the Norman nobles proved irreconcilable, and the local spirit was too strong to be mastered by an imported organisation. It was a bold experiment doggedly carried out; but it was tried too late.

Its Ulterior Objects.

Anglicising of Normandy.

From 1422 to 1447 the internal history of England turns upon the rivalry between Beaufort and Gloucester. As Gloucester ruined his brothers' policy abroad, so with the same arrogant self-seeking he ruined his family's chance of establishing their dynasty at home. He began by claiming the Regency in England; the lords would only allow him the title of Protector. He attacked every measure of his uncle, Henry Beaufort, Bishop of Winchester, who was now Chancellor, and raised the Londoners in arms against him. In 1426 Bedford

Beaufort and Gloucester.

had to return from France to mediate; and peace could only be made by the bishop resigning the seals, and absenting himself for two and a half years, on the ostensible plea of a crusade against the Hussites in Bohemia. Hard-pressed as the treasury was for money, Gloucester succeeded in wringing from it large sums as his salary, profitable wardships, and loans for his futile foreign schemes. When Beaufort returned to England in 1428, he had in the interval accepted a Cardinal's hat. Gloucester took advantage of the old jealousy against Papal legates in England, and of the recent irritation at the Papal attempt to get the Statutes of Provisors repealed. Beaufort had to conciliate the national feeling by submission; but by getting the king crowned next year, he forced his rival to renounce his office of Protector. However, on the whole, Gloucester still carried national feeling with him in his attacks on Beaufort in 1431 and 1432, and was able to place partisans of his own in the great offices of State, until his brother's return to England ousted him from the chief place on the council, and shamed him into a temporary sobriety and public spirit. It was only temporary; for in April, 1434, he was criticising his brother's conduct of the war; and Bedford sailed again to France to spend the last year of his life on his hopeless task. Bedford's death threw the Beauforts definitely on the side of peace; and to this the king inclined more and more. Gloucester therefore, as head of the war-party, and as patron of the Duke of York, encouraged the people to clamour for war while they refused to pay its cost, and to brand any attempts at peace negotiations as "treason" and "corrupt dealing." But his opponents were strong enough in the king's favour and the lords' support to repel his virulent attack upon them in 1439. Next year they retaliated by convicting his duchess of witchcraft, heresy, and treason; she had with magical arts melted a waxen image before the fire that the king's life might be wasted away; among her accomplices were a notorious witch, and a clerk of Oxford, "most famous in the world for astronomy and necromancy." Barefoot and bareheaded she had to do public penance for three days through London streets, and was then imprisoned for life, her accomplices being executed. Since 1435 her husband was presumptive heir to the crown; but this incident

Rise of a Peace Party.

seems to show his power was waning. When he proposed that Henry should marry a daughter of the Count of Armagnac, the Beauforts broke it off; and they sent all the men and money the kingdom could raise, not to succour York in Normandy, but to attempt a rival enterprise in Guienne under the Duke of Somerset (John Beaufort). When, however, the actual marriage took place with Margaret of Anjou, Parliament and the nation, reflecting Gloucester's attitude, were suspicious and mistrustful of the Beauforts and Suffolk their representative. It is clear that in 1446, Suffolk, with the aid of the young queen, laid his plans for the duke's downfall. The Parliament of 1447 was called to meet at Bury St. Edmunds, for London was still under the spell of "the good Duke Humphrey's" popular policy and popular manners. On Gloucester's appearing he was arrested with his retinue. The shock, acting on a man of his temperament, and of a constitution long impaired by debauchery, brought on a paralytic stroke; in five days he died. Dark rumours were current of his having been assassinated. But though there is a certain mystery about his and Suffolk's plots and counterplots at this time, there is little doubt his death was natural. Six weeks later his great rival the Cardinal followed him to the grave. Two days before his end he had a public funeral service performed over him, his will was read aloud, and he took solemn farewell of his household. Even in his last four years of retirement he had remained, as he had been throughout the forty-eight years of Lancastrian rule, the pillar of the State. His wisdom and devotion, his European influence, his immense treasures, had been given unsparingly in the public service. He was accused of personal and family ambition; he held lucrative patents from the crown, and was "the greatest wool dealer in the realm"; he was ostentatious and imperious. In these respects he was no better than his time. Nevertheless he is not unworthy of his place in the list of great clerical statesmen of the Middle Ages, a list which begins with Dunstan and closes with Wolsey.

Arrest and Death of Gloucester.

Death of Beaufort: his Character.

Very different must be the verdict on Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester, a notable instance of the worthlessness of contemporary fame. Headstrong,

Character of Gloucester.

unprincipled, and greedy, a braggart and a debauchee, he earned a cheap reputation by a certain munificence, and a rather superficial patronage of literature and art. But his insane egotism ruined the war policy of his great brothers. He used his popularity to wreck all projects of peace. His intrigues reduced the administration to impotence and bankruptcy, and roused a blind irrational prejudice against Suffolk and the Queen. Above all, he pushed the Duke of York into the position of a rival to the reigning king, and so made the Wars of the Roses inevitable.

Fall of Suffolk.

The fall of Suffolk was a sacrifice to Gloucester's memory. It was also the first step taken by the party soon to be identified with Richard of York. Suffolk, as now chief councillor of the king and the procurer of the French marriage, was made the popular scapegoat for the loss of Normandy as well as the cession of Maine and Anjou. An ominous sign was the murder of his coadjutor, Bishop Moleyns, at Portsmouth by the sailors. In the Parliament of January, 1450, Suffolk was impeached. In vain did he urge his services; that his father and four brothers had lost their lives in France, that he himself had spent seventeen years there under arms. The king had to abandon him; he was banished, but on his way to Calais was seized by ships of the royal navy, and after a form of trial his head was struck off on the gunwale of a boat. None who read his farewell letter to his young son will doubt that he died an innocent victim of popular prejudice and party rancour. Songs of the time still remain which show, in a horrible parody of the funeral service, the exultation with which the death of "the Fox" was received. Whether York's partisans were, as foreign opinion believed, the direct authors of this deed or not, at any rate it was followed by a strange event, which must be regarded as a direct challenge by the Yorkist party. On Trinity Sunday, 1450, a rising began in Kent under one John Cade (p. 382), who called himself John Mortimer, cousin of the Duke of York. Under this "Captain of Kent," "John Amend-all," the yeomen and gentry of Kent and Sussex rose in no tumultuous levy, but regularly arrayed under the constables of the hundreds. They formed a regular camp at Blackheath: they drew up fifteen formal articles of grievance, and demanded that York

Cade's Rebellion.

and his friends should take the places of the Suffolk party in the king's councils. Many in London, and eventually the king's own forces, were ready to fraternise with them. Cade was able to return to London, seize and behead Lord Say the Treasurer, and other unpopular officials. In Wiltshire about the same time, the Bishop of Salisbury, the king's confessor, was stoned to death by a mob. The government had to make terms; free pardons were issued to the rebels of Kent, Surrey, Sussex, Essex. They returned home. But Cade, remaining in arms, was slain by the sheriff of Kent a week later. In spite, however, of many executions, disaffection continued to show itself in these shires. And meantime the Duke of York threw up his duties in Ireland and came home to complain of mistrust, and to denounce the "lack of governance"; "he visaged so the matter that the king's household was right sore afraid." His position, in fact, was a very strong one. Not only was he heir presumptive, since Henry had no children, but, though his descent from Edward III. had to be traced through females, yet it was descent by an elder line than that of Lancaster; he had already in the eyes of men of that time a legal title to the crown superior to the king's, perhaps even an indefeasible title. Somerset could claim to be heir male of John of Gaunt, but only if the legitimation of the Beauforts by the canon law and by Parliament was to hold good against their deliberate exclusion from succession to the crown by Henry IV., and perhaps also by Richard II. At any rate, most men saw in York the true heir. For fifteen years also he had served in France and Ireland, with what, compared with the rest, seemed to stand forth as conspicuous success. His party was strong among the great nobles. He was brother-in-law to the four Nevilles, Lords Salisbury, Fauconberge, Latimer, Abergavenny, and to Humphrey Stafford, Duke of Buckingham, and Henry Percy, Earl of Northumberland; uncle to Mowbray, Duke of Norfolk, and Richard, Earl of Warwick. In his own person he represented the great house of Mortimer as well as the lineage of Lionel of Clarence and Edmund of York. Besides this, the Parliaments of 1450 and 1451 were strongly on his side. Thomas Young, member for Bristol. was sent to the Tower for petitioning that York might be

Return of Duke of York: His Claims.

declared heir. In 1452 York assembled an armed force to insist on the removal of Somerset as author of the loss of Normandy: but neither Kent nor London was ready to join him in arms, and he was forced to swear to use only legal means in future. Next year the final loss of Guienne, the king's going out of his mind, and the birth of a Prince of Wales, combined to stimulate York's action.

York Made Protector.

He was chosen Protector, and his rival was imprisoned. Salisbury was made chancellor, and Thomas Bourchier, another kinsman of York's, Archbishop of Canterbury. The king's sudden recovery after eighteen months, and the restoration of Somerset, forced the Yorkists to arm in self-defence, and the first of the fourteen battles between the two Roses was fought at St. Albans, 22nd May, 1455. The number slain was "some six score" only; but Somerset was among them. York was victorious; his partisans were replaced in office; and the king again faling ill, the Protector resumed his authority, but only for three months, at which date the king recovered. Then followed three years of suspense; the Duke of York "waiting on the queen and she on him" (Paston Letters). In March, 1456, a solemn "pacification" took place at St. Paul's, to which both parties marched in pairs, York hand in hand with the queen, and so on. This only meant a hollow truce, during which Warwick was gaining popularity as captain of Calais and warder of the seas. By September, 1459, the queen was ready; Salisbury was summoned to London; he mustered his Yorkshire tenants, sent for his son Warwick, repulsed a royalist force at Blackheath, and the two earls met York at Ludlow. But before the king's large army their scanty forces melted away; and they fled, Warwick and Salisbury to Calais, York to Ireland. Their return next June was a triumphal procession through Kent into London, followed by a decisive victory at Northampton, where many Lancastrian leaders fell, and the king was captured. In the subsequent Parliament York laid direct claim to the crown; but it is interesting to see that even then, though fourteen Lancastrian peers were dead or absent, the lords had the courage and common sense to resist, and to stand by their oaths to Henry. The result was a compromise:

First Battle of the Wars of the Roses.

York claims the Crown.

Henry to reign for life, York to be declared heir. But in a few weeks, the Yorkists had been defeated at Wakefield; the leaders' heads were fixed on the walls of York by Margaret, the Protector's head decorated with a mock crown. The queen, however, once more ruined her own cause by the army of plunderers which she now gathered from the borders, and which did indeed win for her the second battle of St. Albans, but was so unruly that she dared not bring it into London. While the queen parleyed, Warwick and Edward, Earl of March, pushed on from the west: and saved their cause by a few hours. For, "by counsel of the lords of the south," on 4th March, Edward IV. was proclaimed king. This was an immense stride taken by the doctrine of hereditary right; there was no recognition of the new king's title by Parliament till eight months later. In the interval was fought the skirmish at Ferrybridge, and the next two days the great battle of Towton (29th March). The disorganised Lancastrian host had retreated northwards, to gather fresh levies. But they were pursued by Warwick with his men of the Welsh borders, and the men of Kent. Edward joined him at Leicester with fresh troops who had flocked in from the southern and home counties, eager for vengeance upon the wild northern folk "of strange speech, given to rapine and devouring of spoil." The fight of Palm Sunday, 1461, was the most stubborn of all in these wars; it began at four in the morning and was only decided in the afternoon by the arrival of the Mowbray retainers, who came up after long marches from Norfolk just in time to take the right wing and outflank the enemy on the east. It was fought in driving snow, and as the fugitives pressed across the flooded meadows, "that day the river slew its thousands." The chroniclers told that 48,000 men were ranged on the Yorkist side, 60,000 on the Lancastrian; and that the heralds counted over 20,000 slain. These numbers can hardly be accepted. But it is certain that the Earls of Northumberland, Devonshire, and Wiltshire, and the Lords Clifford, Dacre, Neville, Wells, Manley, and many knights and squires, fell on the field or were taken and executed. Henry and Margaret fled north and finally into Scotland. Only a few fortresses still held out; Alnwick, Bamborough, and Dunstanborough in

York Beheaded.

Battle of Towton.

the north; Harlech in the west. The Nemesis of Henry IV.'s crooked ways, of Henry V.'s suicidal foreign wars, of Henry VI.'s favouritism and incompetency, had fallen upon the dynasty. England needed a strong ruler, and had found one in Edward IV.

Triumph of Edward IV.

THE Lancastrian reign, it has been truly said, saw the trial and failure of a great constitutional experiment. The most striking feature in this was the temporary harmony between the Council and the Parliament. From the accession of Henry IV. the Councillors were nominated in Parliament; their salaries, procedure, and rules fixed in Parliament. The result was a total cessation of the old hostility towards the Council. Its interference in justice, the relief it granted on petitions of all kinds, were no longer the subject of complaint as in the 14th century. The jealously guarded Statutes of the Staple and of Provisors were handed over to its discretion. The very power of taxation was entrusted to it, even to the details both of expenditure and of revenue. It became the regular practice for Parliament to grant a certain sum and leave the Council to raise it by loan on the security of the customs. This practice even lasted to 1447, outliving by ten years the real harmony between the two bodies. For in the bankrupt state of the finances, a method that had at least been tolerably successful could not well be dropped, at any rate as long as Beaufort lived, with his willingness to lend of his great wealth, and his established fame as a financier.

The Constitution under Lancastrian Rule.

Another feature in the constitutional experiment was the advance in the recognised position of Parliament itself. Freedom of speech was boldly claimed, and mercilessly exemplified by more than one long-winded speaker. In 1407 the commons secured their exclusive right to initiate money grants. Their petitions were to be turned into statutes without alteration, and this led to the use of "bills"—that is, petitions drafted in statute form. By deferring their grants till the last day of Session, they ensured that redress should precede supply. By earmarking particular funds they ensured the exact appropriation

Advance in Power of Parliament.

of their grants. By their niggardliness in granting, they ensured that a full audit of past grants should be rendered to them.

More interesting still is the Lancastrian attempt to purify the representative system at its source. By many statutes from 1406 to 1445, the sheriffs' manipulation of elections was checked. The return was to be made under the seals of the electors. A false return was punished by a fine of £100. Residence was made a qualification for election. Orderliness was aimed at in the rules that no yeomen were eligible as knights, and that none under 40s. freehold should give votes.

Acts to Regulate Elections.

Not without reason did Sir John Fortescue claim that the English realm in his day was a constitutional or limited monarchy. The *dominium* was *politicum* in that the king cannot legislate or tax without Parliament or sit as judge in his own courts of law. But it was *regale*, too—a real monarchical rule in the large powers entrusted to the Crown, in its extra-legal powers in case of foreign invasions, in its prerogatives of pardon and of equity, and in its hereditary character.

Fortescue's Political Theory.

The question is natural—Why was the attempt to make Parliament the direct instrument of government such a disastrous failure? The answer lies partly in the fact that the nation had not yet learnt the qualities needed for such a high stage of self-government, partly in the inherent defects of the representative system of the time.

Causes of Constitutional Failure;

In the first place the representation was incomplete. The commons represented an oligarchy of freeholders ruling over a vast unenfranchised body of villans and artisans. The commons themselves were a still more exclusive class of knights and burgesses, who tried to pass such tyrannical measures as that no one under the degree of freeholder should keep a dog, or that villans should not put their sons to school. By the statute of 1430, this despotism of the freeholders was riveted on England for 402 years to come (p. 387).

Incomplete Representation.

In the second place, the commons were still the slaves of the blindest prejudices. To them, the ruffian Thomas of Lancaster was still a saint, and

Popular Prejudices.

Humphrey of Gloucester a political martyr. When the local executive proved itself the victim of bullying nobles and truckling officials, they blamed the Crown and its ministers. When their own sumptuary laws and their laws against "regrators" proved futile, the only help they could see was a change of dynasty. When negotiations were made for peace they called it treachery—that peace which they had made inevitable by refusing to face the war bills.

Weakness of Parliament.

The third defect was the want of security for any permanence of the results of any one Parliament. A consciousness of this explains both their persistent tendency to see in some great noble a constitutional champion, and their eagerness for annual Parliaments and their long Sessions. It was a defect only to be remedied by Cabinet Government and an organised Civil Service.

Decay of Shire-System: Narrowness of Burgesses.

Meantime, the basis and unit of the representative system, the old shire-moot, was itself falling into decay. The rise of the Justices of the Peace stripped it of much of its power. It fell once more into the hands of the sheriff, who returned his own candidates or nominees of some great lord. In the same way, the boroughs tried to shake off their Parliamentary duties and the accompanying burdens; their internal rule became narrow and oligarchical; their elections often fell into the hands of the Corporation, and their representatives at Westminster were timid and unpatriotic as well as reactionary.

The drastic discipline of Yorkist and Tudor absolutism, the awakening effect of the Reformation, and the educating influence of the struggle against Charles I. and Laud, against Cromwell's Major-Generals, and against James II.'s Declarations of Indulgence, were needed before the English people could take up once more the great task of Parliamentary self-government with some prospect of hard-won but assured success.

General Character of Wars of Roses.

The Wars of the Roses have been described as a mere struggle of noble factions. As regards the actual fighting, from St. Albans to Tewkesbury, it is indeed characteristic that, as Comines noted, it was all done by the nobles and their retainers. But it would be a very superficial view which ignored

the deep-seated causes leading up to so obstinate a struggle, or failed to discern the momentous results issuing from it. Without the deep popular discontent against the dynasty, the Yorkist party could have hardly formed itself. Popular discontent was the outcome of the long drain of the French wars, their demoralising influence, and the humiliation of their closing stages. It was also the outcome of a premature strain put upon Parliamentary institutions, overshadowed as these were by sinister influences; the nobles are "the weeds in the fair garden," which must be "mowed down full plain" to let "the pleasant sweet herbes appear." It was the outcome, finally, of a long period of "lack of governance," shown most conspicuously in the bankruptcy of the central power and its failure to keep order at a distance. The revenue was, as to more than one-fourth, forestalled by "pensions to great lords and others." As early as 1433 there was a debt of nearly five times the revenue. New and "exquisite" means of taxation produced only mutterings of revolt. The judges themselves at one time had been unpaid for eleven years. The king had to live by purveyance; and this was one more charge in the long account the nation meant to settle with Suffolk and Somerset. "Ye have made the king so poor that now he beggeth from door to door"; but they "swear by Him that harried hell" that there shall be a reckoning. Worse still was the local anarchy. Such private wars as that in the west between Lord Bonville and the Earl of Devon, and that between Percies and Nevilles in the north, were common occurrences. The Paston Letters show us the state of Norfolk and Suffolk; organised and open murders, gangs of ruffians holding the roads, 1,000 men with guns assaulting and demolishing a manor-house, and the noble author of these proceedings acquitted because the sheriff has received orders by royal writ to empanel a favourable jury (such a writ, we learn incidentally, could be got for 6s. 8d. in London). The very scholars of Oxford and Cambridge "arrayed themselves in habiliments of war," and exercised a reign of terror and blackmail over the neighbouring counties. In fact, in the weakening of the central power a bastard feudalism had once more arisen in the great nobles their aim not provincial independence, but personal

Financial Disorder.

Local Anarchy.

Revival of Quasi-Feudalism.

aggrandisement and profit; and their instruments, the vast estates they held, the bands of men they "maintained" in their livery, and the Crown offices of which they acted as brokers. They kept almost royal state, each with his council, his writs, his assumption of the title of "Your Highness." We find the Duke of Norfolk appointing his men to be justices and sheriffs, empanelling his tenants on juries, exercising "notorious and horrible intimidation" over the courts of law, forcibly rescuing a murderer, buying up wrongful disseisins, besieging Caistor Castle with 3,000 men on a private quarrel, sending "his menial servants" to Parliaments. No wonder that to Paston in Norfolk the secret of success in life seemed to lie in securing such a great lord's favour; "get you lordship, for thereon hangeth all the law and the prophets." When the Star Chamber made it its object "to bridle such stout noblemen," it came none too soon for the general welfare.

Distributions of Factions in the War.

It was only natural that the geographical division of districts in the war should follow the lines of cleavage between the great houses. The Welsh marches went with the Yorkists as representing the Mortimer house. Norfolk and Suffolk were accounted for by the Mowbray influence. The great house of Neville carried Kent and Durham, Warwickshire and Wiltshire. That the great towns of the South, and the whole sea-coast, were Yorkist is explained by their looking to that party for stronger government and for better keeping of the seas, and by their hostility to the hierarchy regarded as largely identified with the reigning family. On the other side the Percies, Dacres, and Cliffords carried with them most of Yorkshire and Northumberland; the Earls of Westmoreland, too, though they were Nevilles, were of an elder line and were Lancastrian. The Tudors and Beauforts were strong in Wales. The chief lords in Bucks and Oxfordshire, in Stafford and Dorset, in Somerset and Devon, were Lancastrians. The old duchy of Lancaster had included the earldoms of Hereford, Derby, Leicester, Lincoln, Essex, and Northampton; and many retainers from these districts flocked to Queen Margaret's call. But a noble's estates rarely lay in a compact block, and there was much intermingling and confusion of parties. Thus in the North itself the Yorkists were strong

about Raby and Middleham, Sendal and Wakefield; while the Yorkist lord Lovel contested Oxfordshire with the Veres. On the whole it was a war of the more populous and more advanced South against the more baronial and wilder North.

Class Divisions.

To some extent, too, it was a class division; townsmen and traders under a few great houses against the bulk of the nobles and gentry and the higher clergy. It may also be represented as a duel between hereditary right and Parliament; or between the ideals of absolutist and of limited monarchy; or between a new order and the old feudal and ecclesiastical system.

But the simplest and best clue is the personal relations of the small circle of nobles. This explains the insignificant numbers in the battles, the balanced state of parties and the suddenness of the revolutions of fortune, the personal hatreds and cold-blooded ferocity of the leaders, and, lastly, the curious way in which trade and business, and even judicial administration, went on in their normal course all the time.

The Reign of Edward IV.

"The king should please the Commons in this cuntre; for they grudge and say hew that the king resayvith sych of this cuntre as haff be his gret ennemyes and oppressors of the Commons; and such as haff assysted his Hynes, be not rewarded. And it is to be considered; or elles it will hurt; as me semeth, by reason." This significant threat from a Yorkist partisan explains why for ten years after the first Yorkist king's accession, the changeful scene of rebellions, battles, and revolutions still went on. Once on the throne, Edward IV. meant to be no mere king of a faction. He would not, he said, show favour to one man more than to another; "not to one in England." But his old companions-in-arms had looked for a partisan triumph. To Warwick, the experienced soldier, sailor, statesman, diplomatist, Edward, a boy of nineteen, must have seemed an easy tool. As yet, indeed, the great earl and his house were indispensable. The unconquerable Margaret had landed in the North in 1462, and there was constant fighting around the northern castles till the last rally of the Lancastrians was crushed on the

fields of Hedgely Moor and Hexham in April and May, 1464.

To complete the security of the new dynasty, it was necessary to cut off the Lancastrians from their foreign allies. Truces had already been made with Burgundy and with Scotland. Warwick now planned an alliance with France by a treaty to marry Edward to the sister of the French queen. When he was on the point of sailing to France to negotiate this, Edward coolly announced that he had already been five months married to Lady Elizabeth Grey. She was the widow of a Lancastrian knight, and daughter of the Lancastrian Lord Rivers, himself "a made lord who had won his fortune by his marriage." The blow to Yorkist feeling and Neville pride was immense. But worse was to come. By skilful marriages, six of the Woodville family were raised up to the high nobility. Two more such elevations followed in 1466. The power of the Nevilles was still immense; for John Lord Montague, the second brother, had stepped into the confiscated estates of the Percies. But in the new group of the Woodvilles the king had raised a threatening counterpoise. Next he forbad the betrothal of his brother George of Clarence to Warwick's daughter Isabel. Finally, he sent Warwick on a fool's errand to conclude a peace with the king of France, while he was himself effecting a treaty of marriage and alliance with the Duke of Burgundy. All this had its natural result.

The Estrangement of the Nevilles.

In April, 1469, Yorkshire rose under one Robin of Redesdale, and like Kent under John Cade, complained of the king's near kinsmen being kept away from his council. Lords Latimer and Fitzhugh of the Neville blood joined the revolt, Warwick joined them from Calais, where he had hastily concluded his daughter's marriage to Clarence. Defeated at Edgecott, Edward was Warwick's prisoner. With characteristic grace and dissimulation, the king conceded all demands, and declared his conquerors to be his best friends. But when next year a new rising took place in Lincolnshire, the king, after an easy victory over the rebels at Lose-coat Field, professed to have discovered proofs of the complicity of Warwick and Clarence. Denounced as traitors, surprised by Edward's masterly promptitude

Popular Risings.

they fled to France, there to make common cause with Queen Margaret, Warwick's ancient enemy, his father's murderess. In September, 1470, within eleven days of Warwick's landing at Dartmouth, he was master of the kingdom and Edward was flying to Flanders. King Henry, poor shadow of a king, was brought from the Tower, and seated on the throne. But in March, 1471, by Burgundian aid, Edward was enabled to return, landing, as Henry IV. had landed, at Ravenspur, and declaring like him that he came only to claim his duchy. Men flocked to him, Clarence deserted to his side and London opened its gates to the popular king. On Easter Day, at Barnet, the battle in the mist with its strange incidents, decided for ever, by the fall of the King-maker and his brother Montague, the long strife of the two Roses, and closed the stormy history of the mediæval baronage in a typical confusion of bloodshed, treachery, and desperate courage. The defeat of Margaret's army at Tewkesbury, three weeks later, was a foregone conclusion. So, too, was the fate of Henry VI.; one more murder, the secret of which has been well kept by the dungeons of the Tower.

The Battles of Barnet and Tewkesbury.

Edward's position was now secure. But he had still an enemy on whom to be avenged, his own brother, "perjured Clarence," who stood in the way of the ambitious hopes both of Richard, Duke of Gloucester, and of the queen's kindred, the Woodvilles. Left to himself, Edward would probably have almost forgotten, as he had professedly forgiven, Clarence's past sins against him. But Clarence himself kept the court in turmoil and the country in alarm with his quarrellings and recriminations. He disputed fiercely the Neville inheritance with his brother, who had married Warwick's other daughter, Anne. He took the law into his own hands against a woman whom he declared to have poisoned his duchess. He persisted in maintaining the innocence of two of his own servants executed for sorcery, and for "casting the king's nativity." He had even declared the king a bastard. At last Edward arrested him. and fearing his intrigues with Burgundy and Scotland, had him attainted and executed in 1478. The story that he was drowned in a butt of Malmsey rests on a general agreement of the chroniclers.

The Duke of Clarence.

A contemporary French annalist declares that no king could long rule in England who did not embark on a foreign war. In 1475, Edward, with some 13,000 men, set out on the old adventure of an invasion of France. Bound as he was to this course by the terms of his alliance with Charles the Bold, Duke of Burgundy, Edward was, perhaps, also bidding for popularity. But Charles was in no case to give him effective support. Louis XI. seized the right moment to offer terms. He bought off his foe cheaply enough: £15,000 down, and a pension of £10,000 a year for life. Within three months the best army that had yet left English shores was back at home, and bitter murmurs were heard against the corrupt councillors on whom so shameful a failure was charged. But the times were growing such that men dared not murmur against the king. They submitted sullenly to the increasing severity of the new rule. They would rather, they said, see the devil in the Parliament-house than grant any more taxes; yet they had to endure that the Crown should make each man contribute "by way of benevolence what pleased him, or rather what displeased him." They had to endure while "the rich were hanged by the purse and the poor by the neck"; while the clergy were treated "as if bound to grant any demand of the king"; while Parliament was reduced to a practical nullity: and while the king ran unchecked that career of open profligacy and intemperance which brought him to a sudden death in his forty-first year (1483). He had entered on public life with high promise; a born general, a born popular ruler: sensual already and ruthless, no doubt, but capable of energetic action and of unbending purpose: affable and courteous, interested in art and literature, kindly to those around him, true to his ministers, gifted with a singular talent for detail and for organisation, fully alive to the new commercial spirit and its importance: a man of great gifts, mental and bodily. But the passions of that fierce time, his own self-indulgence, the defection of Warwick and Clarence, the promptings of evil favourites, ruined his character. He died a worthless and worn-out debauchee.

Edward IV. and France.

Close of the Reign.

The Reign of Richard III.

The reign and character of Richard III. possess a singular fascination. Brief as the reign is, it is crowded with dramatic incidents and unsolved historical problems. It is marked also by active and most significant legislation. The current view of his character seems to ascribe to him such superhuman villainy, that from Horace Walpole's "Historic Doubts" down to the present days it has invited a series of attempts to rehabilitate him. But on the whole these must be regarded as having failed. It is true, indeed, that More's account was derived from Morton, a bitter enemy of Richard. It is possible also that he was not the murderer of Clarence, and that his story of Edward's betrothal to Lady Elizabeth Butler, which would make Edward's issue illegitimate, is not a baseless calumny. It is clear, too, that he showed generosity to the widows of his victims; that he had great ability, courage, energy and foresight; that he had many of the qualities which might have made a great ruler. Moreover it must be remembered that he had been trained in a bitter school, and also that he found few or none whom he could trust. But when the utmost has been said for him, enough remains. The slaughter of Prince Edward at Tewkesbury, the murder of Henry VI. and of the two princes in the Tower, made Lancastrian and Yorkist alike abhor his memory. To them he was "the hell-hound that doth hunt us all to death." To the people at large, these murders, the executions of Hastings, Rivers, and Grey, the slanders cast upon his own mother, the cynical project of marrying his own niece in the face of all the bloodshed that lay between them, were crimes which proved too much even for that callous age.

Upon Edward IV.'s death, Richard, by a skilful use of the general jealousy against the Woodvilles, secured the person of the young king and his brother, and in a council meeting suddenly arrested Hastings, who was summarily beheaded on a log of wood in the Tower yard. On 25th June, by the busy aid of the Duke of Buckingham, he procured an invitation to himself to take the crown, as "the undoubted son and heir of Richard, late Duke of York"; "so that after great cloudes, the Sun of Justice and of Grace may shine upon us." But by September, the Duke of Buckingham had been alienated and driven to revolt, apparently by delay or refusal to assign

him the expected reward of his dishonour. Henry of Richmond was in Brittany preparing an invasion; and risings took place in the South, the Midlands, and the West. These were put down. The duke, whose army had found its way blocked by a great flood of the Severn, was taken and executed. The Parliament convened in January, 1484, ratified the king's title, and granted him tunnage and poundage and the wool custom for life. The clergy also granted him a tenth, and recognised his "most noble and blessed disposition." His foreign policy, too, had a certain success. He made a truce with Scotland, and by another with the Duke of Brittany, he drove Richmond to take refuge in France. He conciliated the Papacy by a promise of the old "filial and catholic obedience" of England. The year was spent in untiring efforts to secure his position, by reorganisation of the navy, by progresses through the country, by lavish grants to greedy lords, to important cities as York and Hull, even to yeomen whose barns were burnt, or a clerk who had lost his place. In particular he aimed at popularity in Yorkshire and the North. Never did a man work harder to avert inevitable destiny. But his hope and pride, his son Edward died suddenly, "so that his parents were almost insane with the sudden grief." By the queen's death some months later he lost the Neville connection, which was still a name to conjure with. Vere, Earl of Oxford, escaped from prison, and joined the exiles abroad. He had to call out the arrays in every shire to meet the constant threats of invasion. In his extreme need the king had even to over-ride his own recent statute against benevolences. Perhaps the joy which he expressed when at last Henry of Richmond actually landed at Milford Haven on the 7th August, 1485, indicated a real sense of relief that the crisis had come. It was soon over. The treachery of Percy and the Stanleys left Richard with no ally but John Howard, the man whom he himself had made Duke of Norfolk. At Bosworth, on August 22nd, Richard fell, fighting desperately to the end. The long strife had come to an end. Richard had fallen, as Edward IV. nearly fell, before a coalition of Lancastrians and Yorkists. Henry, the descendant of John of Gaunt, the Beauforts, and the French Queen Catherine on one side, was to marry Elizabeth of York, the descendant of Lionel of Clarence and the Luxemburg Duchess Jacquetta.

The Legislation of the Reign.

Stormy and troubled as the reign had been, it yet found time for legislation remarkably expressive of the time, and significant of the changes about to come. To improve judicial procedures, the qualification for jurors was raised to 20s. a year of freehold land. The import was forbidden of all articles such as silks, bows, woollen cloths, that could be made in England; the only exception allowed was printed books. The decay of archery was checked by prohibiting other sports and the use of the cross-bow. A royal post service was established by relays of mounted messengers. Consuls were appointed to assist English traders abroad. One Act, which protected purchasers against "secret feoffments," anticipates the principle of the great Statute of Uses of 1536: another, which abolishes benevolences, "which had ruined many men and left their children beggars," anticipated a constitutional result not finally secured till the Bill of Rights in 1689. The Act by which the first of the Tudors succeeded in putting down the abuse of "liveries" had been already laid down by his predecessor. The Tudor severity against vagabondage was but a repetition of Richard's measures to clear the roads infested by discharged soldiers. But "not even the tyrant's virtues could avail." His statesmanship was as ineffectual as his crimes. The last of the great Plantagenet house, who summed up with its evil many of its good qualities, fitly closes that strange family story.

The End of the Plantagenets.

The men of the twelfth century believed the line had sprung from an evil spirit in the guise of a beautiful lady. "From the devil we all came," said Richard I., "and to the devil we shall all go." And truly there was something almost demoniac in the brief and fiery career of Richard III., in his revolting unscrupulousness, in his fierce struggle against fate, and its sudden and furious ending. One after another the great kings of his race had wasted superhuman energies upon impossible tasks. Henry II. had vainly laboured to build up a continental empire, Edward I. to crush Scotch independence, Edward III. and Henry V. to make another England in Languedoc or Normandy, Richard III. to do violence to a nation's conscience. In their objects they had failed one after another, for all their force of will. But out of evil came good; they had achieved other objects beyond their power

to foresee; the rise of English self-government, of Scotch nationality, of French patriotism; and Richard III.'s failure meant the establishment of the undisputed title and the popular despotism of the Tudors.

C. OMAN. Warfare.

It is surprising to note how little change had been made in the art of war, either by the English or the French, in the long interval between the two great acts of the drama of the Hundred Years' war. Agincourt found the enemies much in the same position with regard to each other at which Poictiers had left them. The fighting in which each had been engaged in the meantime had not been very instructive; at Homildon the English had found their bowmen as effective as ever against the Scots, and had routed with ease a much superior force by the mere line of archery, the men-at-arms having hardly struck a blow. Shrewsbury fight had been the first pitched battle fought by Englishmen against each other since the bowman had become the arbiter of battle. It had been very bloody and obstinate, and since the combatants fought with the same weapons and the same tactics, had been settled by mere force of numbers. The French, on the other hand, had nothing to learn from the feudal bickerings of Armagnac and Burgundian against each other—save, indeed, the lesson of the campaign of 1411, when a small body of English auxiliary troops lent by Henry IV. to the Burgundians, won the battle of St. Cloud, and turned the fate of a whole campaign. Two extensive military experiments made against foreign enemies—Roosebeque and Nicopolis—had also not much that was instructive for the French. At the former the dismounted knights of France and the pikemen of Ghent, both fighting in massive columns, had met on equal terms, and the more heavily-armed column had ultimately trampled down and crushed the lighter. At Nicopolis the same tactics, tried against the light horse and disciplined infantry (Janissaries) of the Turks, had failed with fearful disaster, the mass of armour-laden knights having been exhausted after their first successful charges, and being unable to sustain a running fight with successive relays of foes who were individually their inferiors.

The only differences which may be noted between the character of the armies which fought at Agincourt and at Poictiers are comparatively slight. On both sides the men-at-arms were now more heavily armed than in the previous century. The last relics of the old mail armour had disappeared—the cammail round the neck being superseded by solid steel gorgets, and massive plate defences below the breastplate having been added to cover the thighs, in place of the mail skirt of the fourteenth century. The custom of fighting on foot had obliged the knight to drop his long lance and take to shorter and heavier weapons, among which the mace, glaive, axe, and halbert are prominent. Most of these weapons, and particularly the pole-axe, required two hands to wield them effectively, and so the shield had been almost discarded for actual use, and only survived for heraldic purposes. Beyond the change in armour there is only to be noted in the armies of Henry V. the fact that the proportion of archers to men-at-arms had increased: in the time of Edward III. it had sometimes been only two to one, seldom more than three or four to one; but in the fifteenth century it had risen to six or seven, sometimes even to ten, bows to each spear. At Agincourt, however, the proportion was only five to one—an exceptionally low one for the time.

Henry V.'s campaign of 1415 in France gave at first little promise of leading to great things. The capture of the single town of Harfleur wasted many weeks of time, and cost the lives of a fifth of the army. The march through Northern France which followed looked like a mad adventure, so small were the king's forces and so many the troops arrayed against him. After wandering for some days among the marshes of the Somme, Henry appeared likely to be lost by the way, or surrounded and starved long before he could find his road to Calais. If subsequent campaigns had not proved him to be a capable general, we should feel inclined to call the whole scheme of the march the inspiration of a reckless knight-errant.

Agincourt.

The event of Agincourt, however, may be considered to justify Henry's rashness, though a capable man in command of the French army might certainly have crushed the English, even without committing himself to a pitched battle. Having got between the English

and the only place where they could find safety and provision themselves, the Constable of France had the power of making King Henry offer him battle, but need not have accepted it on any terms which gave the enemy an advantage. By only sitting still he could ruin the English as securely as by attacking. The fact was, however, that no ordinary feudal noble at the head of superior numbers dared to refuse a battle if it was offered him: his own army would have fought without his leave if he had denied it. King Henry was no doubt aware of this when he drew out his little band and challenged the French to attack.

The strength of the English position lay in the fact that it was well protected on both flanks by villages and woods, and was exactly wide enough to allow the army to develop its full front, and no wider. But another fact gave an additional advantage: for a mile in front the ground was slippery ploughed field, soaked with the inordinate rains that had fallen in the past week. Henry's line was composed on the old plan that had been seen at Crecy: right, centre, and left each consisted of a small body of men-at-arms, flanked by two bodies of archers, drawn up in the triangular harrow-shape, and protected by a line of stakes.

The French repeated the mistakes of Poictiers. Once more they dismounted almost the whole of their men-at-arms, and formed them in three massive lines, one behind the other, on a front no broader than that of the English army. Only on the wings were small squadrons of mounted men under picked leaders, who were bidden to ride on ahead of the main body, and do their best to engage and clear away the archers, so that their comrades might advance unhampered. The fight commenced by the ineffective charge of these squadrons. Well-nigh every horse and most of the riders were shot down before they got near the stakes of the bowmen; hardly a man struggled in to perish fighting hand-to-hand. Then came the turn of the main body; with them the fact that really settled the day was the inordinate heaviness to which knightly armour had now attained. To walk a mile in full panoply of plate over sodden ploughed fields turned out to be an impossibility. For some time the first line lurched on, sinking to ankle, or even to knee, at every step. But by the time they arrived within arrow-shot of the English they

were utterly tired out, and stuck fast in the mud with the archery playing freely upon them. When the arrows gave out and the French had been well riddled, King Henry took the decisive step of bidding his whole army charge. His own men-at-arms must have been sorely hampered by the mud, and it was the onset of the archers with axe, mallet, and sword that settled the day. That unarmoured men should have prevailed over mailed men under the odds of six to one, and on plain open ground, is one of the marvels of history. But prevail they did; the chroniclers speak of the embogged knights as standing helplessly to be hewn down, while the archers "beat upon their armour with mallets as though they were hammering upon anvils," and rolled them one over the other till the dead lay three deep. Truly the knightly panoply was a deadly trap when once the wearer had grown fatigued!

The relics of the first French line were thrown back on to the second, which had now pushed forward, in its turn, on to the laboured ground through which their predecessors had struggled. The English followed hard on their heels, and a second slaughter was made, no less deadly than the first. The infantry and third line very wisely resolved not to meddle with the business, and left the field, save their leaders, the Counts of Merle and Dammartin, who refused to fly and went down to share the fate of their comrades in the second line.

So ended this astonishing battle, whose not least astonishing feature was that the whole English loss did not amount to a hundred men, though two great peers, York and Suffolk, were numbered among the dead: the former, who was a man of a stout habit of body, is said to have died not so much of his wounds as of fatigue and the weight of his armour. Meanwhile the French had lost ten thousand men, including well-nigh every commander of mark in the army, and those who had not fallen were nearly all prisoners. Agincourt had proved even more deadly than Poictiers, and for the reason that flight, comparatively easy in the lighter armour of the fourteenth century, was impossible in the weighty panoply of the fifteenth. If a man failed to struggle back and pick up his page and his horse at the rear of the battle, he was now doomed to death or capture.

Even Agincourt did not break the French of their inveterate belief in the power of the mailed knight, unaided by other arms, to break the English line of archers and men-at-arms mixed. The bloody fights of Cravant and Verneuil were new variations on the same theme, coming to much the same result, save in the mere detail of the exact amount of slaughter suffered by the beaten army. The "Day of the Herrings" was a somewhat varied instance in the same line of fighting. A very small English force (about one thousand archers, with one thousand two hundred Parisian militia fighting on the English side) was surprised in the open field while escorting a large convoy of provisions to the siege of Orleans. Beset by five times their number of men-at-arms, they had just time enough to form a *laager* of their waggons in a rough square. The archers got upon the carts, while the auxiliary French spearmen held the intervals between them. Against this extemporised fortification the Dauphin's cavaliers dashed themselves, with the usual ineffective result, and withdrew when a large proportion had been shot down.

From 1415 to 1471 England scarcely enjoyed a moment's peace, the Forty Years' War with France being almost immediately succeeded by the outbreak of the Wars of the Roses. From the point of view of military science the only discovery of first-rate importance in the whole period was the fact that after long years the French at last found out an effective way of dealing with the hitherto triumphant tactics of their opponents. Of first-rate generals very few were produced by either nation; the two English kings, Henry V. and Edward IV., are alone worthy of the highest commendation. Of capable hard-fighting officers who could conduct an army with discretion, but brought no new discoveries into the art of war, there were a considerable number on both sides—among Englishmen, Bedford, Salisbury, Talbot, and Warwick the King-maker might be mentioned—among the French the great condottiere-captains, Dunois, La Hire, Pothon de Xaintrailles, and the Constable of Richemont.

The great French war from 1415 to 1453 might fairly be described as a war of sieges from first to last, though there were a considerable number of battles to diversify the long story. Stated in the simplest form, the problem set before the English was to find out whether, with very small armies

and with a rather meagre supply of money, they could persevere long enough to capture, one by one, the thousand strongholds of a land which bristled with castles and fortified towns. The problem would have been a hopeless one from the first but for two facts: a considerable proportion of the French nation—the "Burgundian" party—throughout the North of France lent a more or less active aid to the invader, and the resources and taxes of the conquered districts of the country helped to maintain the English army. Henry V. and Bedford, like Napoleon, "made war maintain war." There are figures which show that King Henry only relied on England for about a quarter of his military expenses; the unfortunate districts of Northern France were made to pay and feed well-nigh the whole of his army. It is only thus that we can understand how the war was kept up so long; without their Burgundian auxiliaries, and without the taxes of Paris, Normandy, and Champagne, the English would have been wholly unable to maintain themselves in their conquests. When the Duke of Burgundy made his peace with the French king, and when the exhausted region of North France at last began to stir in revolt, the English attempt to hold down the country collapsed. That the war lingered so long after the treaty of Arras had drawn the Burgundians from the English side, can only be attributed to two causes—the exhaustion of the French, and the vast number of fortresses in Normandy, Maine, and Guienne which were still in English hands. If Henry V. and Bedford had taken laborious years to win these fortresses, it was now to take no less a time for Charles VII. to win them back. But after 1438 all the gains were on one side, and the English were—like the losing player at chess—merely persisting in playing out to the end a game that could only end in defeat however long it might be protracted. A mistaken sense of national pride made them persevere, and caused them to visit with wrath any statesman who, like the unfortunate Suffolk, tried to put an end to the war and retain some small remnant of territory instead of striving to win back the unattainable whole.

The depressing time from 1438 to 1453 when England was striving to maintain the French war from her own resources, trying to accomplish the impossible, yet mourning

at every tax that became necessary, and grudging every man that was sent across the Channel, is one of the most dreary periods of our history. How inadequate were the efforts made may be judged from the fact that the same nation which sent three thousand or four thousand men into the field to defend its last possessions in France at Formigny or Castillon, put 60,000 men in line a few years later at Towton in a deplorable civil war.

The Method of Recruiting.

The armies of Henry V. and those which, after his death, followed Bedford or Talbot were, so far as English troops were concerned, raised by the principle of contract. The peers or knights, who purposed to go to France, undertook to find so many hundred spears or bows while the Government took them into its pay. The leaders were recompensed by the grant of great lands and titles in France, while the archer and man-at-arms received high pay and had the chance of much plundering. Thrifty men like Sir John Fastolf made large fortunes out of the war, even when half their outstanding claims against the Crown had never been paid. As the years rolled by without a peace, there grew up a whole army of veteran mercenaries who had spent the best part of their lives in France. The return to England, when Normandy and Guienne were finally lost, of dozens of castellans who had lost their castles, and thousands of archers who had lost their pay and plunder, was not the least of the many causes which made the outbreak of the Wars of the Roses possible. For the noble verging toward rebellion, what temptation could be greater than the presence, at his elbow, of hundreds of trained soldiers out of employment? Every man of resources could, without trouble, procure for himself as many of the "waged men" or "household men" of whom we hear in the Civil War, as he could afford to keep up.

The End of the Hundred Years' War.

But before proceeding to speak of the Wars of the Roses a few words are needed to explain on the purely military side the loss of the last English possessions in France. The English force, small at the best, was cut up into so many garrisons —from the need of occupying every fortified place that was taken—that only 3,000 or 4,000 men were, as a rule, to be found in the field. Even a great undertaking like the siege

of Orleans only brought together 7,000 or 8,000. The native bowmen were largely mixed with foreign mercenaries, but there were still enough of them to form the line which had hitherto been unbreakable. But the French had at last forsworn the habit of letting the English get into array, and then attacking them in great masses. Beaugé, the first isolated French success, was won by pouncing on the men-at-arms when they were separated from the archers by a river, and were not expecting an engagement. Patay, the fight which broke up the English host that had beleaguered Orleans, had a similar character. The retreating army of Talbot was retiring on a position where its commander intended to receive battle, when the mounted men of the French vanguard charged in upon them "before the archers had time to fix their stakes." The unformed array was broken up, the whole battle lapsed into confused hand-to-hand fighting, and numbers carried the day. At Formigny, the engagement in 1450 which lost us Normandy, the little English army had time to form its line, in the old traditional style, with archers and lances supporting each other. But the French very wisely refused to charge it, and brought forward some cannon with which they commenced to play upon the English from a distance out of bowshot. This, after a while, provoked the harassed English to leave their position and rush on the cannon which galled them. The fighting then became confused and the lines were intermingled, but the day might yet have been saved when a new French force appeared on the field and fell upon the unprotected flank and rear of the English, who were now outside their position and engaged in the open. The arrival of this fresh corps settled the day, and the whole English army was cut to pieces; not five hundred men escaped out of four thousand. Castillon, the last battle of the great French war, was similar to Formigny, in that the English attacked, instead of waiting in position in the old style. The veteran Talbot, hoping to catch the French unprepared, essayed the desperate task of storming an entrenched camp, lavishly garnished with artillery, by the rush of a phalanx in which men-at-arms and archers were combined. The attempt was hopeless; the column of attack was blown to pieces, and though a few men got within the palisades the

result of the battle was never for a moment doubtful. Such was the end of the English attempt to hold France. A form of tactics which required the defensive to be assumed, lost its efficacy when the enemy refused to attack. When once the French learnt to stand back and force their adversaries to take the offensive, the methods of Edward III. and Henry V. began to prove comparatively ineffective.

It should not be unmentioned that siege artillery was regularly used throughout the French war, and proved far more effective than in the fifteenth century. Where a relieving army did not drive off the besiegers, the siege train did its work as a rule with success. The campaigns of 1451 and 1452, which expelled the English from Normandy and Guienne respectively, were both notable for the large amount of siege artillery used and the rapidity with which Jean Bureau, the great master gunner of Charles VII., battered the English out of stronghold after stronghold.

The Wars of the Roses.

Nothing, as we have already observed, is more extraordinary than the way in which England, which had found the greatest difficulty in providing armies of 3,000 or 4,000 men for the French war, was able to put really important forces into the field in the fratricidal Wars of the Roses. But the explanation of the phenomenon is not very hard: the national Government, in raising men for the struggle over-sea, took them into its pay for long periods, and had to maintain them far from home. The armies of the Civil War were tumultuary, hurriedly raised, and soon disbanded, and were mustered and kept together by the personal efforts of the lords and knights who had taken sides and knew that their estates and their heads depended on their being able to put as many men in line as possible. A typical army during this time consisted of three elements. First, came the "household men" of each lord, the professional fighting men whom he always kept about his person, largely veterans of the French war; second were the armed men whom both sides raised by the system of "commissions of array"; these levies ultimately represented the old national militia, but it was difficult to get together the shire force when two commissioners, each bearing mandates in the king's name, were engaged in enlisting men for different camps. The wise gave heed to neither summons, and waited for the event of

battle to decide which king they should acknowledge. In especial the towns preserved a most Gallio-like attitude, and permitted the rivals to tear each other to their hearts' content before giving their judgment as to who was their rightful lord. But the third and most important element in the armies of the day were the men gathered together under the system which was known as "livery and maintenance." This arrangement recalled the characteristics of pre-Conquest feudalism; it consisted in the knights and squires of each district binding themselves by written agreement to serve the great lord of their neighbourhood, to espouse his quarrels in every place, from the law-court to the battle-field, in return for the promise of his protection and assistance in any troubles of their own. The great lord gave his adherents his "livery;" that is, he allowed them to wear his badge—the Bear and Ragged Staff, the Stafford Knot, the White Swan, or whatever it might be—and engaged to "maintain" them; that is, to protect them and champion their cause. They, on the other hand, contracted to take the field under his banner with all the tenants and retainers that they could raise. An example will suffice to show the character of these bonds: in 1449 Walter Strickland, a Westmorland squire of considerable local importance, contracts with Richard Neville, Earl of Salisbury, to follow him to the field with all his tenants, "bowmen, horsed and harnessed 69, billmen horsed and harnessed 74, bowmen without horses 71, billmen without horses 76," in all a compact body of 290 men. The bond has a saving clause that the call to arms is not to infringe Strickland's loyalty to his sovereign lord the king; but this was a mere formality. In the early part of the war the Yorkists always pleaded that they were the king's best friends, and wished to deliver him from evil counsellors; in the second part of the war they had made a king of their own. When we see that a single squire could covenant to put nearly 300 men into the field, we can understand that a peer who had gained many such adherents and "given his livery" far and wide could bring several thousand men to the host. Every powerful personage in England practised the custom: the most extraordinary instance was perhaps when in 1459 Queen Margaret of Anjou went into Cheshire with the Prince of Wales and enlisted the whole of the gentry of the county,

The Method of Recruiting.

giving them all the badge of the White Swan. The army which fought at Blore Heath seems to have been composed almost entirely of these adherents in the queen's livery. It is obvious that when the system of livery and maintenance had once spread abroad, the power to raise the national forces by commissions of array became comparatively unimportant to the combatant parties. The great lords had the fighting strength of the counties already in their hands by this method, and a commission of array to put their followers under arms only legalised an already existing fact. Yet it was usual for the sake of legality to issue such a document, though Northumberland followed a Percy, or Warwickshire a Neville, not because he held the parchment, but because the local squirearchy were already bound to him, either as being his vassals or as wearing his livery.

The battles of the Wars of the Roses for the most part followed the type of which Shrewsbury fight had hitherto been the only example. Each side fought in the old orthodox English fashion, with a line composed of bowmen and men-at-arms intermixed, arranged in three great "battles." All the fighting was on foot, though in the last years of the French war the English might have learnt something from their opponents as to the advantage of keeping part of their men-at-arms mounted. The good and abundant supply of archery on both sides made the fighting bloody, but as the bowmen neutralised each other it was not they who won the field. Both sides found the arrows too hard to bear, and closed as soon as they could. The only battle settled by archery was Edgecott, where the Yorkists, being mainly Welsh, had few bowmen among them, and were beaten off the field by the overpowering shower of shafts from the northern rebels. At Towton the Yorkists had the best in the preliminary interchange of missiles, but as the armies soon closed and got to hand-to-hand fighting, it was not the bow which won the day but the bill and sword.

Artillery.

Artillery was largely used by both sides. At Northampton the Lancastrian entrenched camp was lined with guns, but small use was made of them, for a fierce storm on the battle morning flooded the entrenchments and damped the powder, so that few shots or none were fired. At Barnet both Edward IV. and Warwick were

well provided with guns; a desultory cannonade was kept up during the night that preceded the battle, but in the mist of the next morning neither general found his artillery of any use. At Tewkesbury Edward IV. is said to have employed cannon to harass the strongly posted Lancastrian left wing, in order to induce it to leave its position and charge. But the skirmish near Stamford, called "Lose-Coat Field," is the engagement where the guns seem to have been most effective. There the Lincolnshire rebels, who had attempted to surprise King Edward's camp, were scattered to the winds by the fire of massed artillery, and fled home without making any attempt to rally (1470). In the few sieges of the war the power of gunpowder asserted itself with unmistakable efficiency; the best known instance of its use was the occasion when Warwick battered to pieces the Norman walls of Bamborough, "so that great cantles flew into the sea," and then stormed the breaches which had been made by the new train of cannon that the king had cast in London during the preceding winter (1465).

Musketry.

It is noteworthy that the first use of the smaller firearms in England is to be found in the Wars of the Roses. In 1461 Warwick brought to the second battle of St. Albans a body of Burgundian arquebus-men, whom he had hired in Flanders: they did little service, and the chroniclers remark that the heavy squalls of wind which raged on that February day sufficed to blow out their matches and spoil their shooting. The second use of the arquebus was in 1471, when Edward IV. raised, also in Flanders, some hand-gun men to accompany him in the daring attempt to reconquer his kingdom which he was about to make. They landed with him at Ravenspur, but we have no particular mention of their doings at Barnet. In fact, the efficiency of the long-bow was still so great when compared with that of the arquebus, that it was not likely that the latter should gain any footing in England. Down to the middle of the sixteenth century the archer held his own against the arquebusier; on the few occasions when they met he had decidedly the advantage. In Elizabeth's reign, when firearms were already long established in use all over the Continent, archers were still found in the army which followed Leicester to Flanders, and in the fleet which scattered the

Armada. It was not till the seventeenth century that the long-bow finally disappeared; even as late as 1642 there was a proposal to arm some of the London militia with the old national weapon!

We have already mentioned that the Wars of the Roses only brought to the front one general of the first class, King Edward IV. Warwick the King-maker, the most prominent fighting-man of the period, was only a capable leader after the manner of many of the English commanders in the Hundred Years' War. He introduced no new ideas into the military art; nor could he boast, like King Edward, that he had never lost any battle in which he had engaged. If he failed by ill-luck at Barnet, it was rather by mistaken generalship that he lost the second fight of St. Albans.

The Yorkist king, on the other hand, never failed in any task that he undertook, from Northampton to Tewkesbury. Nor is the reason far to seek: he was not only a hard fighter and a genial leader of men, but he was one of the first commanders who learnt the value of time in war. Edward's marches were as noteworthy as his battles; his seizure of London by a forced march in the face of the Lancastrian army the week after St. Albans, the rapid descent which dispersed the Lincolnshire rebels in 1470, the long and toilsome chase after Queen Margaret, which culminated in his thirty-two-mile march on the eve of Tewkesbury, were all great feats of war. The armies of Edward's enemies seem always to have been commanded by a council of war rather than a single chief, and, wanting the impulse communicated by a single brain, they were never able to parry his rapid blows by corresponding alertness. Even the veteran soldier Warwick was in the campaign of Barnet completely outmatched and outwitted by his old pupil.

The Wars of the Roses did not on the whole bring about any great change in the art of war in England. The lesson was not a military but a political one, and it was a lesson which was not soon forgotten. The nation learnt that anything was better than a war of disputed succession, and gladly recognised anyone as king who could give it "strong governance," even if his title to the throne was so imperfect as that of Henry Tudor. And it was not less clearly seen that the crying evil of "livery and maintenance" must be made to

cease out of the land. The first and last efforts of Henry VII. were devoted to crushing this abuse (p. 493), and by the end of his reign there was no great baron left who could trouble England either by hordes of swashbuckling household retainers, or by confederacies of unruly squires and knights contracted to him by treaty, and wearing his badge on their sleeves.

Never again were armies raised like those of the Wars of the Roses to be seen in England. The next age saw as great a change in the composition of the English national forces as it did in their tactics and equipment. The Middle Ages ended at Bosworth Field.

W. LAIRD CLOWES.
The Navy.

VERY many technical terms which are used in the royal and mercantile navies of to-day were used in those of the beginning of the fifteenth century. We read in the various expense accounts of "shrouds," "stays," "backstays," "hawsers," "seizings," "tow-ropes," "bowsprits," "yards," "forecastles," "sheeves," "swivels," "slings," "davits," "leads," "sounding-lines," "buoys," "buoy-ropes," "head-ropes," "deadman's eyes" (dead eyes), "cabins," "breaming," "blocks," "tacks," "capstan spokes" (capstan bars), "hatches," "pumps," "poops," etc., all used apparently in the modern senses of the words. Vessels were measured by "ton-tights," or tons of burden, and seem occasionally to have been of three or four hundred tons, old measurement. The decorations were sometimes gaudy, if not tasteful, in the extreme. In 1400 one of the king's barges and her mast were painted red, and the vessel was adorned with collars and garters of gold, each collar encircling a fleur-de-lys and each garter a leopard; together with gold "lyames," or leashes, having within each of them a white greyhound and a gold collar. Another ship, called the *Good Pace of the Tower*, was also painted red, but her bulwarks, cabin, and stern were of other colours, and a large gold eagle, with a crown in its mouth, was placed on the bowsprit. The *Trinity of the Tower* was likewise red. Figures of St. George, St. Anthony, St. Catherine, and St. Margaret stood in the stern, together with four shields of the king's arms within a collar of gold, and two shields with the arms of St. George within the Garter. Two large eagles on a

diapered ground were painted in the cabin. The *Nicholas of the Tower* was painted black and "powdered" with white ostrich feathers, the "stems" and scroll-work being of gold. In one part of the cabin were large escutcheons of the king's arms and of the arms of St. George, and in another part was an image of St. Christopher. Many ships had their sails painted or worked with arms or badges; and when not embroidered or painted they were often parti-coloured. Vessels were also decked with numerous flags, banners, and pennants; so that a large fleet at sea upon a fine day must have been a magnificent sight.

Sir Harris Nicolas remarks as extraordinary that although, in the reign of Henry IV., England was never actually at war with France, the two countries were for several years in constant hostility one with the other. There was no regular peace, but there was a truce, which, though it was almost daily expected to end or be ended, was never formally broken. Yet the reign was full of sea-fights, coast-raids, piracy, and reprisals; and there could scarcely have been more bloodshed or less security had the nominal truce been non-existent. The English were generally to blame. With them the truce had been unpopular, because war had, on the whole, been rather profitable. They let slip, therefore, no opportunity for plundering the French ships and ravaging the French coasts. They even collected large fleets for these purposes; and many considerable actions were fought, in some, at least, of which the ships destroyed were to be numbered by tens and the men by thousands. The situation was complicated by the fact that the French were, in a more or less unofficial way, assisting the Welsh and the Scots in their struggle with England; so that, though there was truce between the monarchs, there was the utmost hatred between the peoples. The ambition of every Englishman, and of many an Englishwoman, was to fight a Frenchman. When, in 1404, the French appeared off the Isle of Wight, the inhabitants invited them to land and promised them six hours for rest and refreshment, if then they would vouchsafe the delights of a pitched battle; and when, in the same year, the French landed at Dartmouth, the women of the town fought bravely and assisted in their rout. Peace was not for kings and governments to arrange, save on paper. The English people made

war as of yore, the very existence of a Frenchman within their reach providing a more than sufficient inducement.

In the early part of his reign, being fearful of a regular war with France, and being in actual presence of one with Scotland, Henry IV., to avoid rendering himself unpopular by the imposition of a tax for naval purposes, prevailed upon the spiritual lords to give him a tenth of their property, and upon some of the temporal lords to voluntarily bear some of the charges for the maintenance of a fleet at sea. These arrangements proving insufficient, Henry, in 1401, caused instructions to be sent to the sea-ports, and to many other cities and towns, for the building in each of a vessel for the defence of the sea; but the Commons promptly protested against the issue of such orders without their consent, and frightened the king into cancelling his instructions. No adequate Royal Navy being consequently maintained, Henry, a year or two later, endeavoured to compromise matters by making contracts with the merchants and shipowners for the defence of the sea; but this experiment proved unsatisfactory, and at the end of 1406 the king made up his mind to depend no more upon the merchants, but to create for himself such fleet as he could, with the co-operation of the Commons. The failure of the attempt to do with merchant vessels what ought to have been done with warships is interesting, because similar attempts have often been made in England, and will no doubt be often made again. In the fourteenth century a merchant ship could be transformed—so far, at all events, as outward appearances were concerned—into a passable warship by the placing in her, at the cost of a few pounds, of fore, after, and top-castles—structures which, indeed, at that period formed no part of the permanent fabric, even of war-ships, but were removable at will, being only raised upon stanchions above the deck. Merchant ships had not, however, the structural strength of vessels built expressly for war; nor had merchant sailors the discipline, trustworthiness in action, and knowledge of arms that were to be gained by service under king's officers in king's ships.

A characteristic story illustrative of the ignorance of sea affairs that prevailed amongst landsmen, and of the superstitions of the time, is related by Walsingham. About the Feast of St. Martin (1406), he says, when the English ships

were going to Bordeaux, they entered a sea which had not been frequented by the sailors of this country, and four vessels belonging to Lynn were suddenly engulfed by a whirlpool, which existed somewhere in the Spanish Sea, and which, thrice every day, swallowed up the flood and vomited it forth again. As preservatives against such awful dangers, silver images of saints seem to have been very generally carried in ships; and as votive offerings after preservation from disaster, small silver ships were commonly vowed and given to noted shrines.

Henry IV. is the first who appointed to the office of "Admiral of England." Officers had previously been commissioned as "Admiral of the Southern, Northern, and Western Fleets," or of some of them; but in 1405 Sir Thomas of Lancaster, afterwards Duke of Clarence, was made Admiral of England.

Piracy.

The prevalence of piracy in the English Seas has more than once been spoken of (p. 183; I. 308, 413). Henry V., a chivalrous sovereign and an upright man, who took warm interest in all that appertained to navigation and commerce, did not wholly repress it, but, greatly to his honour, he did his best to do so. Impressed, in the year of his accession, with the importance of the subject, and anxious to put down piracy in England, irrespective of whether or not other Powers chose to concern themselves in the reform, he instructed the Chancellor at the opening of Parliament to call attention to the frequent infraction of truces upon the high seas, in the ports, and on the coasts of the realm, whereby many persons who were protected by undertakings, or who possessed safe conducts, had been killed, or robbed and pillaged to the great dishonour and scandal of the king and against his dignity; and to the fact that the offenders had been encouraged and supported by the people in many countries. It was in consequence enacted that such proceedings should be considered high treason; that a conservator of the truce should be appointed in each port to inquire into such offences, and to punish the parties; and that two lawyers should be joined in all commissions issued to that officer. Masters of ships, balingers, and other vessels were to swear before the conservator, previous to sailing, that

they would observe the truces; and that, if they captured anything, they would bring it into their port, and make a full report to him before the goods were sold. This measure was not inoperative; for, in 1415, two balingers of Newcastle, that had been fitted out against the Scots, captured two Flemish ships laden, as was alleged, with goods of the enemy, and carried them into Shields, whence they appear to have been seized by the conservator and taken up to Newcastle pending inquiry. This officer, though complained of by the captors, did his duty nobly, and, after ascertaining the facts, delivered up the prizes and everything on board of them to their Flemish owners. We do not, however, learn that the captors were otherwise punished. Piracy was further discouraged by an order of 1413 that no merchant vessels should proceed to sea singly; and by an arrangement of 1414 between England and Spain that for a year no armed ship belonging to either nation should leave port without first having given security not to molest the subjects or property of the other State.

Henry V. and the Navy.

Henry let slip no opportunity for increasing and improving his navy. He arrested, or impressed, ships and men; he built ships, and he purchased ships abroad. A very fine ship of his own, the *Holy Ghost*, was built in 1414 at Southampton, at a cost of £496. She was adorned with images of the supporters of the royal arms, a swan and antelope, and she is probably the vessel which is described as having borne Henry's motto, "Une sanz pluis." Another king's ship, the cog *John*, bore a crown and sceptre, and the royal crest, the lion of England crowned, as a truck, or vane, to the mast. Her capstan was decorated with three fleurs-de-lys, and she carried five smaller lanterns and one great one. By 1417 the country had a royal, as distinct from a hired, war navy of twenty-seven vessels, of which three, the *Jesu*, the *Trinity Royal*, and the *Holy Ghost*, were of the first class, eight were carracks, or large ships, six were nefs, or ships, and the rest were barges, or balingers. For these Henry seems to have permanently retained officers, for he granted annuities to the masters of each of them, paying £6 13s. 4d. a year to the masters of the great ships and carracks; £5 to those of the nefs; and £3 6s. 8d. to those of the balingers. At about the same period the private owners who provided vessels for

temporary service were encouraged by the issue of an order for the punctual payment of the "ton-tight" allowances—allowances at the rate of 3s. 4d. per ton per quarter on account of the wear-and-tear of hired craft. The Commons' petition which secured this order is remarkable as containing the expression "because the Navy is the great support of the wealth, profit, and prosperity of the realm." These words may well be accepted as the origin of those in the preamble to the modern Acts of Parliament for the maintenance of naval discipline. There the expression is that it is on the Navy that, "under the good providence of God, the wealth, safety, and strength of the Kingdom chiefly depend."

Another Commons' petition, noticed by Nicolas, throws light upon the manners and customs of the merchant seamen of the first quarter of the fifteenth century. A ship called the *Christopher*, of Hull, laden with 240 tuns of wine, while lying at Bordeaux and about to return home was, "by election of all the merchants, masters, and mariners of England there, chosen to be one of the admirals of all the fleet of England on the voyage to England for the security and protection of the whole fleet"; or, as we should now say, her captain was elected commodore of the homeward-bound flotilla. At this election all the merchants, masters, and mariners swore before the Constable of Bordeaux, according to the ancient custom at all times used, to remain by their "admiral" until they arrived in England. But the *Christopher*, being attacked on the passage, was basely deserted by her friends, and fell into the hands of Genoese pirates. The *Christopher's* owners represented that the capture of their ship was ruinous to them and disgraceful to the whole marine of England, and prayed that the owners of the other ships might be made responsible to them for her value. The king commanded that all who had been present in that fleet should be summoned before the Chancellor, who was, with the advice of three or four of the judges, to take such measures as he might deem fit: and power was given, not only to compel the cowardly merchants and masters to make good the loss, but also to punish them by imprisonment.

In 1416, as in later days, there were fishery disputes, the fishing industry of the country being already very extensive. It appears that for some

The Fisheries.

time previous to that year the fish had deserted certain parts of the English coasts, and that the fishermen had, in consequence, gone to the coasts of Iceland, Norway, and other lands. They must have fished in what are now called territorial waters, for the Norwegians and Swedes protested, and requested Henry to forbid his subjects from thus trespassing. The fishermen represented that, if the foreign requests were granted, great injury would result to the realm; and they begged Henry to ordain that fishermen might go where they would to fish and might fish as they pleased; but the king, being an enlightened sovereign and having no desire to attempt any infringement of the reasonable rights of other sovereigns, replied, "Le Roy s'avisera"; and so the matter dropped. Henry never, however, abandoned in the smallest degree the old pretensions of the English sovereigns to be monarchs of the waters nearer home; and, as the author of "The Libel of English Policie" (about 1430) explains, the reason of Henry V.'s great care for his Navy:

> ". . . . was not ellis but that he cast to bee
> Lorde round about environ of the See."

In this reign Portsmouth was fortified. In March, 1418, money was paid for building a tower there for the protection of the king's ships and the defence of the town and neighbourhood; and in 1421 further money had to be found for "building the new tower at Portsmouth," and for providing for the office of clerk of the king's ships. The port had been blockaded by the French in 1416. Hence arose, no doubt, the wise decision to strengthen it as a naval arsenal.

The general appearance and character of the seamen of the fourteenth century are charmingly described by Chaucer, who, in telling us of the Canterbury Pilgrims, says:

> "A shipman was there woned fer by west;
> For ought I wote he was of Dertemouth:
> He rode upon a rouncie as he couthe,
> All in a goune of falding to the knee.
> A dagger hanging by a las hadde hee
> Abont his nekke under his arm adoun;
> The hote sommer hadde made his hewe al brown:
> And certainly he was a good felaw;
> Ful many a draught of win he hadde draw
> From Burdeux ward while that the chapmen slepe;
> Of nice conscience toke he no kepe.

> If that he faught and hadde the higher hand,
> By water he sent him home to every land.
> But of his craft, to reken well his tides,
> His stremes, and his strandes, him besides,
> His herberwe, his mone, and his lodemanage.
> There was none swiche from Hull unto Cartage.
> Hardy he was, and wise, I undertake;
> With many a tempest hadde his berd be shake:
> He knew wel alle the havens as they were
> Fro' Gotland to the Cape de Finistere,
> And every creke in Bretagne and in Spaine:
> His barge ycleped was the *Magdelaine*.' (*Cf.* p. 257.)

Henry VI. neglected his Navy and his seamen, and disgusted the merchants by his lawless treatment of them. On one occasion he mortgaged the Customs of London and Southampton to the Cardinal of Winchester, and engaged by indenture to do his best to turn the trade to those ports to the detriment of others. On another, he seized all the tin at Southampton and sold it for his own profit. He also, in contravention of the statutes, granted to foreign merchants licences to transport wool, and favoured the Hanse Towns and the Italians to the prejudice of his own subjects. The king's naval and commercial policy led to tumults in the great commercial and shipping centres, and was the chief cause of his downfall, inasmuch as it alienated the fleet, and rendered it an easy matter for Warwick, in the interests of the Yorkists, to corrupt part of the Navy, and, without very serious opposition, to vanquish the rest. The Yorkists, on their part, followed exactly the opposite plan. They showed distrust of strangers, and they cherished English seamen.

Henry VI.'s Ships.

In 1843, Mr. C. D. Archibald discovered, embedded in the sands and shingle of the coast of the Isle of Walney at the mouth of Morecambe Bay, a number of very interesting naval relics, which, there is very little doubt, date from the reign of Henry VI. It is to be assumed that an armed ship had been wrecked upon this spot. Some of the relics deserve description. One was a gun about ten feet long. It was made of hammered iron, and was constructed upon the principle of the oldest guns of which we have any account. The tube or inner lining consisted of three plates of iron, each of one-third of an inch thick, disposed in cylindrical form and arranged longitudinally side by side, like

the staves of a cask, but apparently not forged nor welded together. These were strengthened or held in position by means of bands or hoops which had been driven on one after another, and then overbound at their points of junction with strong iron rings. But the extraordinary feature of this gun lay in the fact that it had two muzzles and two touch-holes; the breech being midway between the two ends, and the piece being capable of being fired in two opposite directions simultaneously. Near each muzzle was a ring for the purpose of suspending the weapon. A second gun was 2 feet long and of 2-inch calibre, formed, as in the other case, of longitudinal bars; these, however, were welded together as well as hooped. It had no trunnions or cascable, but, by means of staples, two large rings were attached to it, one on each side near the middle of its length. A cast-iron spherical ball suited to its calibre was found. Two other guns, of cast iron, were very short and heavy, and were conjectured to be "chambers," or movable breech-pieces. They were lined with iron tubes, and each contained a charge of powder. Yet another "chamber" had a wad of oakum over the powder. At the same place were found two iron tubes, 15 and 18 inches long respectively, which may have been the barrels of hand-cannon. Of shot there were discovered many specimens, including six of granite, varying from 3½ to 6 inches in diameter; one of grey sandstone, 6 inches in diameter; one of clay ironstone of the same size; one hammered-iron ball of 5 inches in diameter, weighing 18 pounds; a cast-iron 2-inch ball; a cast-iron 1-inch ball enveloped in lead; and two leaden balls apparently cast upon kernels. In one case the kernel was a flint pebble; in the other, a square piece of hammered iron. It is curious that in the present day some of the tribesmen on the northern frontier of India cast bullets in this way. Colonel Durand, during the Hunza-Nagar Expedition of 1891, was wounded with a ball the centre of which was a garnet. A large gun, described as a wrought-iron serpent gun of the period of Henry VI., was shown by the British Government at the Royal Naval Exhibition of 1891. It had two rings attached, and was 8 feet 6 inches long. The calibre was 4¼ inches, and the weight 8 cwt. 70 lbs. The appropriate iron spherical ball would have weighed between 10 and 11 pounds.

At Walney, from the same mass of wreckage, there was recovered a pair of compasses of a pattern so ingenious that it was at once adopted by one of the best nautical-instrument makers of fifty years ago, and is now as common as it doubtless was in the fifteenth century. The legs cross, and are so made that external pressure near the upper part will open them, while if it be applied below the crossing of the legs it will close them. The original compasses or "dividers" are now in the Hydrographic Office of the Admiralty.

A Hint to Inventors.

The adoption of guns on shipboard did not, for many generations, have the effect of doing away with bows and crossbows. In a MS. of one John Rous, a chantry priest of Guy's Cliff, who illustrated the life of his contemporary the Earl of Warwick, there is a picture of archers firing over cannon, which last are placed *en barbette*, so as to look over the bulwarks of the ship in which they are carried. Another picture in the MS. shows a ship which is identified as one that was fitted with streamers, etc., for Warwick, by William Seburg, painter, and John Ray, tailor, of London. The ship is clincher-built, with a rudder and roofed stern-cabin or round-house. In the bulwarks of the waist are apertures (not port-holes) through which cannon are pointed. The mainmast has shrouds, a top and one large square sail. The mizen is much smaller, and has one sail, which is reefed. The top is ornamented with the earl's device—a ragged staff. From above it floats what, in the bill (still preserved) of Seburg and Ray, is described as "a grete Stremour of forty yardes lenght, and seven yardes in brede, with a grete Bear and Gryfon holding a ragged staff, poudrid full of ragged staves, and a grete crosse of St. George." The St. George's cross was next the staff, and the other ornaments were in the fly. The "lymming and portraying" of these decorations cost £1 6s. 8d. Rous died in 1491. It is therefore clear that openings for guns in the bulwarks of ships were invented in England some years before the time at which they are commonly supposed to have been first devised by the French.

Naval Armament.

It is just possible that the germ of the present Union Jack may be found in a flag which appears to have been occasionally used during the minority of Henry VI. It seems

that at that period, or before, a favourite French ensign was a blue flag bearing a white, upright cross, and that John, Duke of Bedford, took this flag, and, surcharging the white cross with the red cross of St. George, adopted it, if not as an English banner, at least as his own. In the modern union, the white edging or fimbriation of the St. George's cross is wider than that of the Irish saltire. The reason of this is not certainly known; but it may well be that the edging of the St. George's cross is not a fimbriation at all, but a survival of the white French cross, and so of our old claim to the sovereignty of France.

The Beginnings of the Union Jack.

Edward IV. so much improved his fleet and so completely re-established the naval power of his country, that in 1475, in spite of the disorders and decadence of the previous reign, he was able to collect for his expedition to France no fewer than five hundred vessels. Fully appreciating the value of an extension of commerce, he spared no pains to encourage the numerous English merchants who had settled in the Low Countries; and made enlightened treaties with Denmark, Castile, Burgundy, and the Hanse Towns. Richard III. also paid attention both to the royal and to the commercial Navy of England, and took every care to promote trade and to preserve the dominion of the sea. In all probability, as Campbell suggests, these measures were primarily intended to strengthen the position of himself and his family; yet the people did not the less benefit. It is strange that, at the critical moment of his reign, he committed exactly the mistake which had been committed by Harold four centuries earlier. When invasion was pending he suffered his fleet to be laid up, because either he imagined that the danger had ceased to be pressing, or he experienced difficulty in maintaining the force at sea; and thus, although he possessed the necessary ships and men, and probably the devotion of the Navy, he was unable to oppose Henry where opposition would have been most likely to be successful.

The Navy under Edward IV.

A brief reference has already been made to "The Libel of English Policie," a treatise that was apparently written about 1430 (p. 340). It affords many valuable illustrations of the naval and commercial position of England in the fifteenth century;

and a short analysis of it will bring to an appropriate conclusion such survey as has been attempted in the preceding pages of the sea-life and aims of the people during the period which ended with the Battle of Bosworth.

The treatise, which is in verse, seems to have been first printed by Hakluyt, and is properly entitled "De Politia Conservativa Maris." The authorship is unknown; but the author was clearly a man of unusual patriotism and foresight. The general introduction runs: "Here beginneth the Prologue of the Processe of the Libel of English Policie, exhorting all England to keep the Sea, and namely the Narrowe Sea; showing what Profite commeth thereof, and also what Worship and Salvation to England, and to all Englishmen"; and there is a separate title to every chapter.

In the prologue the author shows both the utility and the necessity of England's preservation of the dominion of the sea; and says that the Emperor Sigismund, who went over to France with Henry V., advised that king to keep Dover and Calais as carefully as he would keep his two eyes. In the first chapter is a clear and concise account of the commodities of Spain and Flanders and of the commerce between those countries; and the writer remarks that neither country could live without the other; but that Spanish wool could not be wrought by the Flemings without an admixture of English wool: and that the trade of the two countries was, owing to their situations, precarious if both were not at peace with England. In other words, England, by keeping command of the seas, might influence the two most powerful commercial nations of that day. This truth, he declares, was expressed by the device on the gold noble of the eighteenth year of Edward III.:—

> "For four things our Noble showeth unto Me,
> King, Ship, and Sword, and Power of the Sea."

The second chapter treats of the commodities and trade of Portugal. The Portuguese, says the writer, were always our friends, and a good trade had always subsisted between us and them, though the stream of it was in his time beginning to be diverted to Flanders. He speaks of the commerce and the piracy carried on by the inhabitants of Bretagne; and describes the outrages which these people had been wont to commit

upon the English coasts, and especially upon the coasts of Norfolk. The merchants, he asserts, once represented to Edward III. that notwithstanding the peace between him and the Duke of Bretagne, the privateers of that duchy took their vessels; whereupon the king, by his ambassadors, complained to the duke, who, in reply, pointed out that the privateers belonged to St. Michael and St. Malo, which, although in his dominions, were unfortunately not under his obedience, being inhabited by people who would do what they pleased. Edward therefore directed Dartmouth, Plymouth, and Fowey to be fortified, and gave the inhabitants leave to fit out privateers to cruise off the coasts of Bretagne. This soon reduced the Bretons to such distress that the duke was glad to undertake thenceforward to answer for the good behaviour of his two lawless ports.

The fourth chapter concerns the commodities of Scotland, and the Scotch trade with Flanders. Scots' wool, the author shows, was not fit for being wrought until, like the Spanish, it was mixed with English. He further observes that household stuffs, haberdashers' wares, and all utensils of husbandry, even to cart-wheels and wheelbarrows, were carried home by Scots ships in exchange for Scots commodities; and that therefore England, being possessed of the Narrow Seas, might at all times awe Scotland and Spain by the interruption of the commerce, without which they could not subsist.

In the fifth chapter the author considers the trade of Prussia, the Hanse Towns, and Germany, and of such inland countries as were dependent upon them; in the sixth, the trade of Genoa, which then was carried on with Africa and the Indies; and in the seventh, the trade of Venice and Florence: and in each he shows the importance to England of sea-power. Flanders is dealt with in the eighth chapter, in which the writer tells us that great complaints were made in the Low Countries of the insolence of ship-masters belonging to the Hanse Towns, and that it was suicidal policy on the part of English merchants to lend their names to further the trade of foreigners having business with Flanders. The ninth chapter is a eulogy of the wealth and commercial value to England of Ireland. The tenth chapter describes the trade with Iceland from Scarborough and Bristol. In the eleventh chapter the author enlarges on the naval power of Edgar,

Edward III., and Henry V. In the twelfth he pathetically exhorts English statesmen to consider thoroughly the importance of what he had said, and to be mindful of the duty of maintaining the sovereignty of the sea; upon which, he declares, the peace, plenty, and prosperity of this island essentially depended.

This treatise, one cannot help thinking, must have had great influence in furthering the extraordinary naval and commercial expansion that distinguished the era of the Tudors. It was not printed until the third quarter of the sixteenth century, but written copies of it had then been common and well known for a hundred and fifty years; and it cannot be denied that the spirit breathed by the author of "The Libel" is exactly the spirit which animated the actions of the sea-captains and merchant adventurers of the golden age of Elizabeth.

C. RAYMOND BEAZLEY.
Discovery and Exploration.

THE share of England in that expansion of Europe and Christendom which went on slowly but steadily throughout the Middle Ages, is indeed a contrast with its share in the great development of the sixteenth century. The Catholic missionaries and the Italian cities, the Spanish Crusaders, and the Norse pirates, were all alike far more active than our own countrymen before the age of Elizabeth. The seafaring merchants or adventurers of the Mediterranean and the Baltic easily outstripped the people of the British Isles as pioneers of that Western Society whose capital was Rome. None of the great mediaeval explorers were Englishmen; none of the great mediaeval discoveries can be laid to our credit. It was the Vikings of Norway who found the way to Greenland and America in the tenth and eleventh centuries, who rounded the North Cape of Europe in the ninth; it was the Italian land-travellers and sea-captains of the thirteenth and fourteenth who made known the overland routes to India and to China, and who began the ocean voyages towards the west and south at the very same time that Marco Polo had reached Cathay: it was the Portuguese in the fifteenth century who brought these slow and painful efforts of the earlier time to a brilliant issue. Up to the age of the Cabots

our own discoveries in the Unknown were mainly accidental, and some of the most successful explorers of the mediæval time who served the English Crown were foreigners, like the Northmen Ohthere and Wulfstan in King Ælfred's day. But such as it is, the early story of our national exploration, though it has long been forgotten in the result—in the history of our colonies—may be worth remembering, by itself.

For, all this time, the English as a maritime people were slowly but surely forcing themselves into the front rank of Christian nations. And if, between the seventh century and the fifteenth, we did not do much, for the theory and practice of European discovery, for the conquest of the world by Christian civilisation, yet the little we did achieve was at least something. Our share in that work can be fairly set against the share of France, of the Hanse Towns on the North German coast, of mediæval Spain, or Hungary, or Russia.

As in other Christian States, so in England, pilgrims, traders, and travellers succeed one another as leaders of Western exploration, before the age of the colonists brings us to the political expansion of Europe. A religious movement is followed by a mercantile, and that again by one of adventure, in which the passion of wild freedom goes along with something of the patient wish to know.

Early English Travel.

The beginnings of English exploration take us back to the first age of English Christianity, to the start of Anglo-Saxon civilisation in the seventh century. The time of the Irish missions, of Theodore of Tarsus and of Bede, is also the time of the first English record of travel. And though this is merely a piece of information for Pilgrims, it is our first book-evidence of English interest in the great world beyond these islands.

Pilgrimage.

Arculf and Willibald, the two chief western travellers of the two hundred years between the rise of Islam and the death of Charlemagne, are both connected with England, and both are known to us through the Christian movement of our own conversion, and of our attempts to hand on that Christianity to our brothers in the old homeland of heathen Germany.

But the impulse of travel to the holy places of the Continent and of Syria had sprung from the still earlier devotion to the holy places of Ireland. The greater part of England

was converted by the Irish missions between 633 and 664, and by the middle of the century a fashion of Irish pilgrimage had already set in among Bede's countrymen in Northumbria, among the Southern English, and even among the Franks of Gaul.* Bishop Agilberct of Dorchester spent a long time in Ireland "for the sake of reading the Scriptures": others, like Chad of Lichfield, went to the holy island to "lead the monastic life while still young, for the sake of the eternal kingdom." Iona, the most famous of Irish monasteries, the home of Columba and of Aidan, was the spiritual capital of the Northumbrian empire, till in 664, at the Synod of Whitby, King Oswin gave up the Irish obedience for the Roman. And, as the Church of Patrick had planted colonies as far as North Italy, the Juras, and the Lake of Constance, as at a later time (795 A.D.) it sent its monks across the ocean to Iceland, and set up a claim in St. Brandan, to the finding of a western continent, so the wider interest and outlook which it gave to English converts may be fairly reckoned as of some account in our preparation for the discovery of the outer world.

Early Pilgrimages to Ireland.

With Arculf's pilgrimage we get our first English manual of travel. More than this, we have in it the first guide-book of the Middle Ages proper, of the dark time that followed Mahomet, when Christian civilisation came most nearly to an end. Arculf was a Frank or Gallican bishop who had been to the Holy Land about 690, and on his return was driven by storms to Iona, where he found a home in the half-English, half-Irish monastery of Columba, then governed by Abbot Adamnan. He told his story; it was written down by the abbot and presented to the last of the great Northumbrian kings, Aldfrith the Wise, in his court at York. Of this account two summaries, one longer, one shorter, were made by Bede of Jarrow, the great scholar of the time, to help Englishmen on the road to the holy places. The main interest of the time in these descriptions was purely devotional, but the secondary purpose of wider knowledge, though it were only for the sake of pilgrimage, was also realised. The connection of Northern England with the wider field of Irish proselytism, and of Southern England with Continental

The First English Guide Book.

* Bede, III., 7; IV., 3, 4; V. 9, 10.

Christendom, were alike illustrated in the accident of Arculf and his journey becoming known to Europe through his stay at an Irish house of religion, through the reports of its abbot and through the summaries of that report made by the first English historian.

The great world became known both to Franks and Saxons through pilgrimage, first to the nearer, then to the farther and holier, of the holy places. The Englishmen who crossed and re-crossed the Irish Channel for piety or learning, and who were roused to unusual interest by the visit of a pilgrim from Jerusalem, made their way to Rome, Constantinople, Egypt, and Palestine in the course of the first hundred years after the conversion (655-750 *c.*).

The Travels of Willibald.

As early as 721, while Bede was still collecting all the knowledge of his time for the use of English religion, there started for Palestine an Englishman named Willibald, nephew of Boniface of Crediton, the Apostle of Germany, who, in later days, took up his uncle's work, and became the leader of those English missions in Central Europe which decided the fortunes of the Roman Church in the immediate future. As the earliest of English-born travellers, and the source of one of the most interesting mediæval records of travel in Palestine, he deserves a special notice. His road seems to have been along one of the main routes of pilgrims and traders—by Southampton and Rouen, and over the Alps to Naples and Catania, "where is Mount Ætna, and when this volcano casts itself out, they take St. Agatha's veil and hold it towards the fire, which ceases at once." Thence by Samos and Cyprus Willibald reached North Syria and arrived at Emessa, "in the region of the Saracens," where the whole party, who had escaped the Moslem brigands of Southern Gaul, were thrown into prison as spies. They were released at the intercession of a Spaniard, but Willibald went up to Damascus in person and relieved himself of all suspicion before the caliph; "we have come from the West, where the sun has his setting, and we know of no land beyond—nothing but water." This was surely too far for spies to come from, he pleaded, and the caliph agreed and gave him a pass for all the sites of Palestine, with which he traversed the length and breadth of the Holy Land four times during the next five years, finding

the same trouble in leaving as he had found in entering, for the age of persecution was beginning for the subject Christians of the East, and Willibald carried his life in his hand.

Like Arculf, he saw all the wonders of Syria—real and legendary—the fountains of Jor and Dan, "which are collected in the river Jordan," the top of Mount Tabor, "where our Lord was transfigured": the "spot where Paul was converted," the Sea of Galilee, "where Christ walked with dry feet, and Peter tried, but sank": the mountain of the temptation; the "dry land once covered by the river where our Lord was baptised": the "glorious church" of St. Helena at Bethlehem, and all the marvels of Jerusalem. Especially was he moved at the sight of the two columns, "against the north wall and the south wall," in the Church of the Ascension on Mount Olivet; for "that man who can creep between the columns and the wall will be free from all his sins." He saw the tombs of the patriarchs and their wives at Hebron; the great convent of Mar Saba near the Dead Sea, in the Kedron gorge; and, as he returned from Judæa to the Bay of Acre, "through the furthest borders of Samaria," he met a "lion, who threatened us with fearful roaring." Coming at last to the "head of Mount Lebanon, where it runs out into the sea, at the Tower of Libanus," Willibald and his friends were sent under escort to Tyre, "*six** miles from Sidon," where he took ship for Constantinople. "But before this the citizens examined us to see if we had anything concealed, and if so, they would have put us to death. Now, Willibald, when at Jerusalem, had bought some balsam and filled a gourd with it, pouring in rock-oil at the top; and at Tyre, when they opened the gourd, they smelt the oil, and did not suspect the balsam that was within. So they let us go."

They were at sea all the winter in their voyage through the Levant and Ægean, and, once safe in New Rome, stayed there two years in full communion and friendship with the Greeks, under the Iconoclast Emperors of that time. Willibald was lodged in the church "where was the body of John Chrysostom, that he might behold daily where the saints reposed."

At last he sailed with the "envoys of the Pope and the emperor" to Sicily, "and thence to Vulcano, where is

* The distance is really more than fifteen.

Theodoric's Hell.* And they went on shore to see what sort of a hell it was, and Willibald wished to go to the top of the mountain where the opening was, but he was driven back by the cinders that were thrown up in heaps round the brim, as snow settles on the ground." But he saw "how the column of flame and smoke rushed up from the pit with a noise like thunder, and how the pumice stone, that writers use, was thrown up with the flame from the hell and fell into the sea, and so was cast on the shore, where men gathered it."

Willibald's account was read before Pope Gregory III., and was published, as far as the age could publish anything, with the imprimatur of the Church. To us it is of special value as the record of the first and typical English pilgrimage, as our earliest native Itinerary, preserving to us the actions and thoughts of a great Christian leader at the time of the lowest ebb of Christian civilisation, when the newly-converted northern nations were but just beginning to redress the balance against Moslem advance, when Roman Empire and Catholic Church were as yet united, and when the Byzantines had just begun to recover from the conquests of Islam in the seventh century. Willibald, a "Latin" and an Englishman, has perfect freedom of intercourse with the Greeks of Constantinople; compared to most Westerns, his outlook is wide indeed. A Spaniard helps him in Emessa and Damascus, the Isaurian emperors befriend him, the Pope endorses his book. His voyage was the practical discovery of the Bible-world for Englishmen, as his life in Germany, like the lives of Willibrord and Boniface, helped to spread a practical knowledge of Central Europe among us. Again, this Hodœporicon or Guide-Book of Willibald's was the outcome of the great social and religious movement that followed the conversion, and as that movement died away, English exploration died with it; for discovery is but a natural activity of any vigorous society, and is in proportion to the healthy and, as it were, overflowing life of the State as a whole.

* A hermit of Lipari told a friend of Pope Gregory I. ("the Great") that he had seen the soul of King Theodoric, who ruled in Italy 493–526, thrown into the crater of Vulcano for his Arianism and for his murders of the senators Boëthius and Symmachus. This story was published to the world in Pope Gregory's *Dialogues*.

The next revival came with the revived national life of Ælfred's reign. The great West Saxon king describes how the Norse captains, Ohthere and Wulfstan, had explored the north and north-east coasts of Europe, in voyages that were truly of discovery, where the object was to know more of the world for the sake of the new knowledge itself. This was not all. He himself sent yearly embassies to Rome in the last period of his life, and in 883 despatched Sighelm and Æthelstan with presents to India, "to St. Thomas and St. Bartholomew," by way of Jerusalem. He created a fleet and revived trade and learning among his people with the same unconquerable energy with which he tried to find what the world was like beyond his coasts. It is only of the first-named of these expeditions that we know anything more than the bare fact: but the reports of Ohthere and Wulfstan were written down by the king and copied into his Description of Europe—our first scientific geography—by which Ælfred tried to make the outline of Paulus Orosius something of a really "Universal History." After a wonderfully clear and good account of the "borders of Germany," the courses of Rhine and Danube, the divisions of the great German tribes, and of the nations to east and south-east, "from the land of the Carinthians south to the Alps, and east to the Bulgarians and the Greeks," the king comes to the Danes and the ocean that divides Britain from them. Then he talks of the sea to the north of the Danes, and the coasts of Old Saxony, the mouth of the Elbe, and the course of the strait that runs between Swedes and Danes, and stops with the mention of Finns and Northmen, to north and west of the Swedes, for the story of Ohthere's voyage.

The Voyages of Ohthere and Wulfstan.

"Ohthere told his lord King Ælfred that he lived to the north of all the Northmen, on the mainland by the West Sea, with only the waste land to north of him, save for the Finn hunters and fishers. He wished to find how far this land went, and whether any lived north of the waste. So he sailed three days north, as far as the whale-hunters ever go, and then three days more, till the land turned eastward (round the North Cape). Then he sailed four days east till the land began to run southward (into the White or 'inland' Sea), and he followed the coast five days more,

to a river mouth, which he entered, where the land was all peopled" (round the Archangel of our own day). Then after talking about the habits of these "Biarmians," or Russians of Perm, Ohthere goes back to speak of his own land, "very long and narrow," with a little strip of fruitful land between the wild inland moors and the coast—a strip that was never more than sixty miles across, and at the narrowest only three miles, with Sweden and Lapland on the other side of the moors, where there were "great meres of fresh water."

Another voyage of Ohthere's was to a port one month's journey south of his home, "sailing along the coast, with *Ireland* on his right, and then the islands that are between *Ireland* and England, while all the way to the left is Norway. To the south a great sea runs up a vast way into the land, so wide that none can see across it," dividing Norway from Jutland. "In five days more" Ohthere sailed to the "lands where the English had once lived," on the German mainland, with Denmark on his left, and on his right the wide sea and the islands subject to Denmark.

From the same point, the old English homeland, Wulfstan, another Norse captain in Ælfred's service, sailed up the Baltic coasts, first by Gothland and the land of the Swedes then by the Gulf of Riga, to the opening of the Gulf of Bothnia, about which he says nothing to decide the vexed question whether it flowed into the Arctic Sea, as the Greek geographers mostly thought, making of Scandinavia one vast island.

But we must come back to Ælfred's Description. After reciting these three voyages, the king then turns to describe the coasts and rivers of Greece, Bulgaria, Macedonia, Thrace, Dalmatia, and Istria, south of which last is "that part of the Mediterranean called the Adriatic," while "west are the Alps, and north is that desert between Carinthians and Bulgarians." Italy, "of great length," is "surrounded by the sea on every side but the north-west, where are the Alps, that begin in the land of Narbonne, and end in Dalmatia." So far it is all very clear. The geography of Gaul is more curious: of its three provinces, Belgium or the "Belgic Gaul" has the ocean on the west, *south*, and north; and Aquitaine, "*west* of the Loire, has the ocean to the *south*," with the Narbonnese. Spain, "which

is a triangle," has Aquitaine on the north-*west*, one angle over against Cadiz island, one against the Narbonnese, one against "Braganza of Gallicia." Opposite to Ireland, across the sea, Spain lies in a straight line with the mouth of the Shannon. Britain is of great length to the north-east, four times as long as it is broad: west of it is Ireland, to the north the Orkneys. Ireland or "Scotland" is "surrounded on every side by the ocean: so, because the rays of the setting sun strike on it with less interruption than on other countries, the weather is milder." North-west of Ireland is "that utmost land called Thule, known to so few, from its great distance."

In spite of its errors in detail, it would not be easy, in the whole range of the earlier Middle Ages, to find a better and juster account of the lie of European countries than is here given by the West-Saxon king, or a clearer evidence of that expansion of Christian knowledge and enterprise which was so steadily, though slowly, recovering from the barbarising attacks of foreign enemies. But there are not many men like Ælfred of Wessex, and till the age of Elizabeth we do not find, even in the first days of crusading energy, the same union of thought and action in English discovery. The king was fortunate in being able to use the Vikings' new knowledge of the Northern Ocean, but all the work actually done by him and for him was not the result of lucky accident, but of heroic perseverance. He, if any man ever did, truly rose above his people and his age; it was not an easy thing to make an enterprising and seafaring nation out of a dispirited, beaten, and brutalised race of landsmen, who had long forgotten that they had ever been ocean-rovers.

Between the death of Ælfred and the time of the Crusades England is not to the fore in exploration. Her people are little inclined to struggle with the men of Amalfi and the other commercial republics of Italy, for the great trade routes of the south; in the north, the discoveries of the Vikings, from Labrador to Novgorod, are nearly all exclusively their own. The only traces of English interest beyond England between 900 and 1100 are first the maps of the tenth and eleventh centuries, of which two remain to us from the Anglo-Saxon period,*

Early English Maps.

* One of these ($8\frac{1}{2}$ inches by 7), dated about the end of the Anglo-Saxon period, on vellum, is strikingly good. The writing is very minute; the sea

which, with all their shortcomings, stand to the thirteenth-century Hereford Map much as fact stands to fiction, and secondly the records of a few formal pilgrimages, such as those of Andrew Whiteman, in 1020; of Sweyn Godwineson, in 1052; of Bishop Ealdred of Worcester, in 1056. We may pass over the doubtful travels of the Welsh monk, Teilo, and of John Scotus Erigena in Alfred's day, and the flight of so many Englishmen, like the sons of Edmund Ironside, to Hungary and to Constantinople, where some of them found a place in the Varangian Guard of the Eastern Emperors. All this was only partial evidence of that reopening of the great European land route to the south-east, which followed the conversion of Hungary, under King Stephen, and prepared the way for the Crusades; it did not represent anything very new or important in exploration. Every stage of this route was well known by the time of the Norman Conquest, and in the strictest sense there is no discovery of the unknown world which can be set down to the credit of Englishmen between the time of Alfred and Macham's discovery of Madeira about 1360. But the travels of Saewulf in 1102 and of Adelard in 1114, with the exploits of the English Crusaders, in 1147 and 1190, on the coasts of Spain and Syria, give us too good a view of English enterprise in the twelfth century to be quite passed over, although they add nothing to our knowledge and stop well within the limits of both southern and Scandinavian exploration. For it is in this time that we have the beginnings of the permanent English navy and merchant fleet, the opening of our really important trade with Continental countries: it is now that we see the foundation laid for our steady progress towards the achievements and discoveries of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. To come to the later Middle Ages. In the thirteenth century we have the geographical theories of Roger Bacon and the Hereford Map. In the fourteenth there is published the famous English collection of popular mediaeval tales of foreign lands, under the name of Sir John Maundeville (p. 266). Before the end of the fifteenth we hear something of the fish trade of the

of grey colour: the mountains, green. The Persian Gulf, with the Red Sea, Nile, and other waters in Africa, red. The world is represented as of quadrangular shape encompassed by the ocean—not, as in other maps of the same and later dates, like a belt.

eastern ports with Iceland, of the mysterious voyage of Nicholas de Lynne (p. 184) to all the countries "situate under the North Pole," of the intercourse of English seamen with the Hanse towns, with the Baltic, that "Mare Clausum" of the north, with the Teutonic Knights of Prussia, and with Portugal.

But before the age of the Cabots there is no continuous exploration: the position of Catholic England, except for intervals of foreign conquest, is purely insular throughout most of the thirteenth, fourteenth, and fifteenth centuries, and we must be content with instancing two or three of the more interesting points of English enterprise and geographical knowledge in this central period of the Middle Ages, not because they are of any general importance, like the adventures of Elizabethan explorers, but as showing that national energy was not always at so low an ebb as to be bounded by the four seas of Britain.

Saewulf and Adelard.

1. Saewulf of Worcester and Adelard of Bath were two Englishmen who made their way to Syria in the early years of the twelfth century. Of Adelard's account, which professed to give news of Arabia, Egypt, and Bagdad, nothing remains; we only know of his journey through the allusions of chroniclers, but Saewulf has left us the fullest guide-book of any early English pilgrim—fuller than others, because written with the eye of the merchant and the traveller, as well as of the devotee.

Starting, perhaps, as the story goes, at the instance of his confessor, Bishop Wulfstan of Worcester, some three years after the first Latin capture of Jerusalem in 1099, Saewulf describes six different routes from Italy to Syria, thus giving us fair evidence of the vast commercial development of Southern Europe since Willibald wrote. His own route, by Corfu, Corinth, and Athens, took him to Rhodes, "which once had the idol called Colossus, one of the Seven Wonders of the World, but destroyed by the Persians, with nearly all the land of Rome, on their way to Spain. These are the Colossians to whom St. Paul wrote." Then by the port of "Myra in Lycia, the harbour of the *Adriatic** as Constantinople is of the Ægean," to Jaffa, after a sail of thirteen weeks. The wonders of Jerusalem, like those of the other holy sites, had not grown less since the eighth century. In the

* Saewulf's *Levant*.

Church of the Holy Sepulchre Saewulf saw the "Navel of the Earth, which Christ measured with His own hands, working salvation in the midst, as say the Psalms, 'For God is my King of old, working salvation in the midst of the earth'"; across the Jordan he looked into Arabia, "hateful to all who worship God, but having the mount whence Elias was carried into heaven in a chariot of fire;" at Hebron he found the "Holm-oak of Abraham" still standing, where, as the pilgrims said, the patriarch once "sat and ate with God"; in Cana of Galilee he noted the "house of Saint *Architriclin*" —Saint-Ruler-of-the-Feast. After traversing the Holy Land, he was content to go no farther, and returned by sea as he had come, escaping the Saracen cruisers and weathering the storms that wrecked in the roads of Jaffa, before his eyes, some twenty of the pilgrim and merchant fleet then lying at anchor.

Saewulf is the first traveller who followed in the wake of the Crusaders and has left us his note-book. That note-book is valuable evidence of the great inward revival in mediaeval Christendom of which the Crusades were outward and visible signs; but it is not in any sense a record of new ground explored, the religious interest is credulous, beyond the earlier standards, almost beyond belief, and we may well regret, by the side of this, that Adelard's more scientific treatise of his "search for the causes of things and the mysteries of Nature," throughout the nearer East, has not come down to us.

English Sailors in the Crusades.

2. The English share in the Spanish Crusade against the Western Moslems comes out chiefly in the second and third Crusades. In 1147 a fleet of one hundred and sixty vessels, largely English, sailed from Dartmouth for Syria, and on their way decided the siege of Lisbon, and won it finally for Christendom. In 1189-90 the main fleet of Richard I. helped, in the same way, to win and hold Sylves, near Cape St. Vincent. In both these enterprises there was more of exploration than at first appears; Southern Spain had been alien ground to Christians for four hundred years, and its recovery was a real extension of the horizon of knowledge.

3. In connection with Edward III.'s sea-fights in the Channel we have a muster-roll of English shipping which perhaps is hardly in any sense a part of the chronicle of English discovery,

but suggests, on the one hand, a recollection of the older prominence of such forts as Dartmouth in the fleets of the twelfth century, still in great part maintained in the fourteenth, and, on the other hand, prepares us for the voyage of Macham,* as something more than an accidental piece of good luck to a nation of mere landsmen. Somewhere about the year 1360 Robert Macham escaped from Bristol with Anne d'Arfet, and was driven by storms off the French coast to the island of Madeira, where both the lovers died of exhaustion and despair. This is the whole story of the one original discovery of any Englishman in that great age when the Middle Ages were passing into Modern Europe, when Italians and Portuguese and Spaniards were pressing on to the finding of a new heaven and a new earth. It is our one achievement in the course of that long preparation of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries for Columbus and Da Gama and Magellan.

The Discovery of Madeira.

4. Lastly, the Hereford Map of 1275–1300 is a great picture, as it were, of vulgar English ideas of the world in the later Middle Ages. Our maps of earlier times, with few exceptions, are mere sketches; this thirteenth-century "Mappa Mundi" is at least an attempt to represent the whole world, with the main features, the people and the products of each country, on a great scale.

The Mappa Mundi.

But its faults are like an ocean in which its few merits are soon lost. Unless we possessed some evidence, in Arabic drawings, that a worse parody of geography were possible, it would be hard for a modern to believe that anything wilder than the Hereford Map had ever been tried in plan or chart. In this short space it is only possible to say that almost everything is either legendary or grotesquely misapplied. The true shape of the Mediterranean and of the

* In Hakluyt's version of Galvano's account we read that "Madeira was discovered by an Englishman named Macham, who, sailing out of England into Spain with a woman he had stolen, arrived by tempest in that island, and cast anchor in that bay now called Machico, after the name of Macham; and because his lover was sea-sick, he went on land with some of his company, and the ship sailed away, and the woman died for thought. Macham afterwards obtained a boat made of one tree, and came upon the coast of Afric, without sail or oar; and the Moors took it for a marvel, and presented him to the King of Castille for a wonder"—a very loose statement of the facts.

Northern seas, of each one of the European countries, disappears as much as that of Asia or Africa. And the farther we got from England, the larger grow the legendary figures, the Minotaurs and Gog-magogs of Tartary, the horse-footed, dog-faced, flap-eared monsters of the far East, the one-legged, four-eyed, headless, and hermaphrodite tribes who fringe the torrid zone.

We may read with it as our commentary the strange passages in which Roger Bacon wastes his time and genius in explaining the Arabic *mélange* of geographical fact and fancy, the theory of a centre of the world, from which equal lines can be drawn to any point on its circumference. We may get what further light we can from the travels of Sir John Maundeville, that wonderful collection of fashionable travellers' tales, which so long imposed upon men as a real if fanciful record of a real journey. We may also, if we like, contrast these absurdities with the wonderful accuracy and finish of the Italian coasting charts, or Portolani, of the same period. And all these together will perhaps leave us with a true, if a rather humiliating, confession of prolonged national shortcoming in what became the special pride of Englishmen. For until the national awakening in the age of Henry VIII. and Elizabeth we were not a great discovering, or even a great commercial, nation. At the most, we satisfied an average standard.

R. HUGHES.
Architecture.

THE high-water mark of the style which we call Perpendicular was reached before the end of the reign of Richard II. A little more than a century and a half brings us to the accession of Elizabeth, when the end had come. The history of this period is the history of the decadence of what was itself the decadent style of Gothic. Little by little all that the early Gothic builders prized disappeared. The manner has ceased to be regarded—the utilitarian result is everything. Thus the windows become mere contrivances for the admission of light and the exhibition of glass. The mullion becomes a mere beading, and the window itself a frame of many transparent panels. The knot of the difficulty of making beautiful curves in stone work is got rid of by the simple method of making them

The Decay of Gothic.

straight, till at length under the Tudors we have window-heads consisting of two straight lines inclined at an obtuse angle, or only slightly rounded. The style has, in fact, ceased to be a pointed style at all. The downward movement was, however, exceedingly slow, and the old tradition exercised its restraining influence on masons and workmen.

The Towers of Canterbury.

The architects of the reigns of the Lancastrian and Yorkist Plantagenets deserve, however, to be remembered for the towers which they built. The great central tower of Canterbury, "the Bell-Harry Tower," is unquestionably their *chef-d'œuvre.* It is the first object that catches the eye of the modern pilgrim, and forms a superb centre to the group formed by the subsidiary roofs and towers. It is of no great height—235 feet—which, by comparison with Continental standards, is very low. Neither is it remarkable for elaboration of ornament, the shallow Perpendicular work producing a soft, rather than a rich effect. But in matter of proportion it is faultless. Chaucer and his fellows, however, never looked on it, for it dates from the last decade of the fifteenth century. Moreover, the tower at Canterbury is not a solitary success, nor were these successes of the Perpendicular style confined to one period. Almost as imposing is the central tower of York, though that is nearly a century earlier. The central towers of Gloucester and of Bristol are also to be put to the credit of the later Perpendicular architects, the latter being of the same date as the Bell-Harry Tower at Canterbury. The smaller church towers which form the chief architectural glory of Somersetshire belong to the same time. Many of these—at Wrington, Brislington, and Taunton, for example—are elaborately ornamented, having several storeys with large canopied windows, double buttresses at the angles, and frequently the small hanging pinnacles, which last are distinctive. The separate campanile, so usual in Italy, is almost confined to this epoch. They are a not uncommon addition to parish churches, though occurring in only one cathedral, that of Chichester, where the detached campanile is in this style. Occasionally, too, one is seen which exhibits something of the chasteness and, if one may use the word, the Attic simplicity of the pure Early English. Such an example is the tower of Magdalen College

The Church Towers of Somerset.

at Oxford, which, as originally built, stood alone as a belfry, though the effect has now been marred by later additions, huddled against two sides of its base. The lower storeys are here quite plain, the ornament, in which great moderation is displayed, being reserved for the belfry windows, the parapet, and the pinnacles. By this arrangement an effect of solemnity and repose is obtained which is lacking in more decorative examples.

Magdalen Tower.

The famous ornamental vaulting known as fan tracery must also be given to the Perpendicular architects, and, indeed, constitutes the veritable swan-song of Gothic architecture. In the earlier examples, such as the cloisters at Gloucester Cathedral, these great fans with their wide-stretching circular outline, spring apparently from a narrow piershaft on each side, and meet in the centre. If rather heavy, they are extremely beautiful, and the irregular space left between them, being covered with most elaborate tracery, sufficiently satisfies the eye. In the retro-choir at Peterborough the size of the fans is increased so as to include two bays of the side aisles in one of the centre, but this expedient was not generally followed; and at King's College Chapel at Cambridge, and the later St. George's at Windsor, there was a return to the arrangement at Gloucester, although the shape of the fans was altered. In the former case, a great rib was carried to the centre, without being broken, and in the latter a broad flat band was introduced, the idea (though it may be a false idea) of structural stability being thus finely suggested. Nothing, however, seems to have been farther from the mind of the architect of Henry VII.'s chapel than any such suggestion of constructive strength. Not only is the need of introducing any explanation of the means of support, for the benefit of the puzzled worshipper, not admitted, but his bewilderment seems to have been studiously aimed at by the architect. Enormous pendants hang from the roof, looking as if they needed to be supported by pillars from the floor. As a matter of fact, they are supported by brackets from the wall and internal flying buttresses; but the effect on the eye is such as to suggest the miraculous. A late example of this sort of roof is that erected by Cardinal Wolsey, in the sixteenth century, over the choir of Oxford Cathedral, which is extremely elegant, though

Fan Tracery.

hardly, perhaps, justifying the praise given it by an eminent architect, as one of the most remarkable ever executed. The ornamental details of these chapel roofs are, it must be confessed, somewhat monotonous, but their grand dimensions (King's College is 78 feet in height), the prodigality of enrichment on every square foot of the interior, and the amazing ingenuity of their construction, justify the saying that, under the Tudors, "the style went out in a blaze of glory." But the glory was confined to the interiors of the churches, to the stone vaults and screens and rood-lofts, to the wooden canopies and stalls and bench ends. Externally they are apt at this late period to be very commonplace, and, in the Tudor period, even the richest decoration, such as that on the outside of the chapel at Westminster, has a distinctly paltry effect. Many, perhaps most, of the conduits and market crosses which still adorn England belong to the Perpendicular period; but these may be thought to belong to the province of the lay architect.

Secular Architecture.

During the reigns of the houses of Lancaster and York castle-building gradually fell into entire disuse. The number of *licentiæ kernellare*, which had declined from one hundred and eighty-one in the reign of Edward III., to sixty in the reign of his son, fell suddenly to eight in the reign of Henry IV.; the succeeding reigns showing one granted by Henry V., five by Henry VI., and three by Edward IV. The name of castle was still retained, and occasionally much of the form, but there was no longer any pretence of building a genuine fortress. Still, although their military value was small, these pseudo-fortresses have a most imposing appearance. Internally, they present the ordinary type of a grandee's country house—a series of open courts with rooms built round them, and a large hall on one side of the principal court. There is no better type of the manor-house castle than Hurstmonceux in Sussex, which retains its outer walls, although, by the vandalism of the proprietors, the interior has been swept away. It was built (temp. Henry VI.) in the first half of the fifteenth century—in the middle, therefore, of the Perpendicular period. It is a nearly square building, more than 200 feet along each side. The walls

Castles

Hurstmonceux.

were flanked with turrets over 80 feet high. A moat surrounded it, and the entrance was by a strong gateway and drawbridge. It enclosed one large and two small courts, a large and lofty hall and kitchen, a bakehouse, a lock-up or dungeon, and numerous suites of apartments and domestic offices, a stable, and a chapel. There were two storeys, at least in parts, connected with galleries to which access was obtained by winding staircases. But though the commodity of the place is unmistakable, its martial appearance is not less marked. Probably this was the fashion of the time, and no doubt the builder, John de Fienes, who had fought at Agincourt, preferred to be housed in a dwelling of military aspect. Hurstmonceux is essentially a manor house enclosed by castle walls and a castle moat, and forms the connecting link between the castle and the stately Tudor pleasaunces, from which the castle attributes were altogether omitted. That form was the one adopted by great benefactors, like William of Wykeham and William of Waynflete, in the colleges they founded at this time, and may be seen in the quadrangle and chapel of All Souls', and the cloistered court at Magdalen with the founders' lodgings, the chapel, and the hall. The greater part of Penshurst, Chalfield, and Thornbury, and numberless other famous seats, also belong to this period, and on them was founded a tradition which persisted to a much later date. Wolsey's work, at Hampton Court and at Christchurch, shows the style of lay architecture in its most grandiose mood ; and in these examples the idea of a sumptuous palace has altogether replaced that of the strong place of arms.

Painting and Sculpture.

Architecture, even in its decline, remained the one art in which Englishmen exhibited anything like genius. Painting remained a foreign art almost down to a period within the memory of the grandfathers of people still living. English sculpture—which in the thirteenth century was full of promise, and was, as far as sepulchral sculpture is concerned, still advancing at the beginning of the fourteenth century—stood still for a century. Some Perpendicular tombs are, no doubt, imposing structures, but their merit is mainly architectural, and most of them are, more or less, imitations, or variants, of such earlier masterpieces as the tomb of Edward II. But the makers of such monuments as that of Lady Arundel

at Chichester, and of William of Wykeham, in the great cathedral which he restored out of all knowledge, certainly deserve to be remembered. It is, moreover, curious to see how thoroughly the artist of William's monument anticipates the realism of our best or only modern sculptors—not a vein or wrinkle overlooked on the folded hands. Nevertheless, down to the middle of the fifteenth century, we are unable to identify any English artist, either sculptor or painter, worthy of the name of artist: for the earlier artists, the Master Walters and Master Williams, who, in Henry III.'s reign, painted pictures at Windsor and Westminster, the Odos and Edwards who carved "trees of Jesse" and the like for the king's and queen's chamber, were, probably, scarcely more artists in our modern sense than the sheriffs of Wiltshire and Southampton who received the king's commands to have such works executed.

William Austin.

It is not till we come to the reign of Henry VI.—the very middle, that is, of the Perpendicular period—that we meet with an Englishman who has left his name to a sterling work of art. This man is William Austin, the author of and contractor for the noble tomb of Earl Richard Beauchamp, at St. Mary's Church, Warwick. It is a brass casting of "the image of a man, armed" with sword and dagger, a helm and crest under his head, and "at his feet a bear musted," and rests on a tomb "with fourteen images embossed, and eighteen less images of angels." The whole expense of the tomb and the chapel in which it was placed is worked out at £2,458 4s. 7d., an enormous sum for the time, A.D. 1442–1465. It is curious as showing that England could produce a metal casting at this date not far inferior to the similar and almost contemporaneous work of Ghiberti.

Decorative Painting.

In a former chapter, in dealing with the reign of Henry III., attention has been called to the introduction of fresco work (chiefly in oil) as an advance on the earlier (and also later) practice of merely colouring or gilding carved stone or woodwork. The same decorative-practice sort of art continued throughout the Decorated, and was largely practised in the early Perpendicular times. Most of the paintings in the Chapter-house at Westminster belong to the latter period, though it is not improbable that some of them, at least, were restorations of

earlier designs. Probably this is true of the paintings on an octangular pillar of Faversham Church, where the costumes clearly suggest an Early English origin. Similar works exist at Arundel and elsewhere, though at Arundel the date is approximately fixed by the date of the church, which was rebuilt in 1380. These mural paintings are more plentiful in some counties than in others; but there is no reason to suppose that the art ever attained great excellence, or that the work of the later half of the fourteenth century was materially better than that of the earlier half, or that that, in its turn, showed any marked advance. That it rapidly deteriorated in the fifteenth century may be taken for granted; for, almost without exception, the best of these frescoes are generally the earliest. For instance, the larger and older figures at Westminster are unquestionably superior to the details of later date. There is, of course, great difficulty in fixing the precise date of these scraps of painting; one of the few about which there is absolute certainty is the canopy of the tomb of Anne, Richard's queen, erected shortly after her death, and the date is valuable because a trustworthy archaeologist, who had seen the now destroyed frescoes in St. Stephen's Chapel, declared that these were by the same hand. The receipt for £20 to Master Peter Sacrist for painting this canopy, dated 19 Richard II. (1396), is extant, but its terms suggest that it was a payment for work generally, and that he was the middleman in the transaction, so that, although the date is fixed, we have no clue either to the name, the nationality, or the position of the artist.

English Water-Colour Process.

The use of raised surfaces, the insertion of imitation jewels, and actual gilding or silvering in various metals, were certainly practised in England, and a certain kind of work, though probably it belonged rather to the embroiderer's than the painter's art, was at that date essentially national. This was the manufacture of transparent paintings on cloth for church banners and similar purposes. It was a water-colour process, and we have conclusive evidence that it was practised on a scale sufficient to attract the attention of foreign students. An Italian artist working in Bologna, in 1410, mentions copies, made by his order, of recipes lent to him by a resident of Pavia, one Theodoric of Flanders, "who had obtained them

in London from the artists who worked in them there." Special mention is made in this curious passage of historical figures and other subjects; but as to their artistic qualities the MS. is silent. How far there was anything like a school of English art, in the time which corresponds with the Transitional and early Perpendicular architecture, has never been worked out: but probably we may safely accept the late Sir Charles Eastlake's verdict, which was, that, as far as the mere materials and technical processes are concerned, the practice of the English painters closely resembled those of the followers of Giotto. Unfortunately England had no Giotto.

W. S. ROCKSTRO.
English Music.

It may be safely asserted that, until the middle of the present century, the most learned and painstaking art-historians in Europe were entirely mistaken in the chronological theories they entertained concerning the famous Schools of regular musical composition, founded in this country and on the Continent during the Middle Ages.

Up to the period we have indicated, and even later, they were unanimous in the belief that the so-called *First Flemish School*, which flourished so brilliantly under the leadership of Gulielmus Dufay in the latter half of the fifteenth century, was the earliest that had ever existed. We know now that this theory was as false as it was baseless. The researches of the late Mr. William Chappell, supplemented by those of Mons. de Coussemaker, have conclusively proved that two distinct and highly developed Schools of Composition existed in England a hundred and fifty years, at least, before the art was systematically taught by Gulielmus Dufay in the Low Countries.

"Sumer is Icumen In."

The *First English School*—really the earliest of which any trace has ever been discovered—was founded in the third decade of the thirteenth century by John of Fornsete, a monk attached to the famous monastery at Reading, in Berkshire. Its records have been transmitted to us in a volume written by the founder himself, in the year 1226, and now preserved, under the name of the Reading MS., in the British Museum. This priceless codex (Harl. MS., No. 978)

John of Fornsete.

contains, among other treasures, the earliest secular composition in parts which has hitherto been discovered—a Canon, or Round, for six voices, now known as the *Reading Rota;* as melodious as an Italian *Fa la* of the best period, and, considering the date at which it was written, wonderfully free from contrapuntal defects. The poem to which the music is adapted is a "Song of Spring," written in a northern dialect, and graphically describing the sights and sounds of a bright May morning, with its fragrant blossoms, its pastoral beauties, and its rich chorus of the voices of Nature, dominated throughout by the song of the cuckoo. And so genial is the treatment of the subject that the Rota, though composed more than six hundred and sixty years ago, can still be sung with effect, and listened to with pleasure.

In addition to this most interesting relic, the volume contains four Latin Motets, for three or four voices, also in John of Fornsete's handwriting, together with some quaint poetry and other literary fragments which throw much valuable light upon its chronology.

The "Quen of Euene."

Another volume, written a few years later, though certainly not later than the middle of the thirteenth century, and unquestionably belonging to the same early School, contains three more Motets of similar character, and a beautiful English Hymn—*Quen of euene, for ye blisse* (Queen of Heaven, for the bliss). This volume, which is also preserved in the British Museum (Arundel MSS., No. 248), and which, in another work, we have designated as the *Chaucer MS.*—in allusion to a copy it contains of the *Angelus ad Virginem* mentioned in *Y^e Millere's Tale*—furnishes, in conjunction with the still more valuable *Reading MS.*, the only record believed to be now in existence of the First English School. But the information conveyed through the medium of these few short and beautifully-written pages is priceless.

John of Dunstable.

The *Second English School* was founded during the second decade of the fifteenth century by John of Dunstable; the date of whose birth is uncertain, though he is known to have been buried in the old "City Church" of St. Stephen, Walbrook, in 1453.

Until within the last few years two unimportant fragments

only of John of Dunstable's compositions were known to be in existence; but some important works have lately been discovered in the Vatican Library, and in a very valuable MS. volume formerly known as the *Piacenza Codex*, but now the property of the Liceo Filarmonico, at Bologna. The patient researches of Mr. William Barclay Squire have lately brought to light a still larger collection preserved in the library at Modena.

In addition to these interesting compositions, written in a very advanced style for the period, the library of Trinity College, Cambridge, possesses a MS., now known as the *Cambridge Roll*, which may fairly be considered as the most valuable record of the Second English School hitherto discovered.

The Cambridge Roll.

This contains twelve Carols and an interesting MS. National Song, inscribed on a roll of parchment seven inches wide and six feet seven inches long, on one side of which the music is written in triangular black notes on a stave of five red lines, while the other side is occupied by an ecclesiastical treatise unconnected with the subject. The Carols are written in English poetry, accompanied, in most cases, with a Latin refrain. The National Song is a poem celebrating the Battle of Agincourt, fought in 1415, and is entitled, *Our Kyng went forth to Normandy*, each verse being preceded by the refrain—

Deo gracias anglia
Redde pro victoria.

The actual authorship of these compositions is unknown, but their style so nearly resembles that of John of Dunstable himself, that it is by no means improbable that we are indebted to him for the entire series.*

The First Doctors of Music.

Of the *Third English School* no certain record remains, its archives having, in all probability, been destroyed during the ravages which accompanied the Wars of the Roses. All that we know of it is that its founders, John Hamboys, Thomas Saintwix, and Henry Habengton, were the first composers who took academical degrees in music.

* A complete edition of the *Cambridge Roll* has lately been published by Messrs. J. A. Fuller Maitland and W. S. Rockstro. (Leadenhall Press, London.)

The Fayrfax MS.

The *Fourth English School* was founded by Robert Fayrfax, Mus. Doc., who took his degree in 1511, and has left numerous works, most of which are preserved in the *Fayrfax MS.* at the music school in Oxford, together with compositions by Syr John Phelyppes, William of Newark, and other masters of the same period.

R. STEELE.
Magic and Sorcery.

DURING the fourteenth century, cases of sorcery come to the front in sufficient numbers to prove popular belief in it, but not to show an exaggerated dread. Chroniclers still speak of demoniacal agreements, with, perhaps, a note of incredulity, and Chaucer tells us with a smile that the fairies that used to haunt each grove are gone—banished, no doubt, by the good friars who wander over the land. The cases of sorcery we meet with are dealt with by the archdeacon, or by the King's Court; thus, a man found with a book of magic and a dead man's head in his wallet was released for lack of evidence, though the book and head were burnt. In the fifteenth century the crime of sorcery became important in its political bearings—the ease with which the charge could be made, the little evidence necessary to support it, and the difficulty of proving a negative, made it a convenient engine for hampering or removing an opponent. Consulting a diviner as to the king's death came perilously near compassing and devising it, and the ancient connection between witch and poisoner (the same word is used for both in the classical languages) was neither forgotten nor non-existent. The diviner who uttered the prophecy could find the means for its fulfilment. The change of popular feeling towards the crime, the growth of fear and horror, is shown by the Lollard tracts, the Bishops' Visitation enquiries, the Sorbonne Articles of 1398, and such documents as the Commission to the Bishop of Lincoln in 1406.

Sorcery in Politics.

It was probably on some charge of consulting a diviner that Joan the Queen Dowager was imprisoned in 1419; but the case which first struck men's minds was that which led to the murder of James I. of Scotland (1437) by Walter, Earl of Athole, who had been told by his magician that his destiny was to wear a crown. The unfortunate issue of this prophecy only increased public alarm

when, as Henry VI. was approaching manhood, it was told that the wife of the Protector, Eleanor Cobham, Duchess of Gloucester, had been consulting the fiend as to the life of the King and her own destiny, and had roasted a wax image of Henry slowly before a fire to waste away his frame with that of his likeness. A witch, Margaret Jourdain (who had been brought ten years previously before the Council, but had then escaped for want of evidence), from whom she had obtained the charms by which she captivated the debauchee Protector, had furnished her with the king's image, and had introduced a certain Master Roger, a magician, to her. Roger had obtained the services of Southwell, a canon of St. Stephen's, Westminster, to bless his preparations for raising the fiend, and, through his servants Gloucester's enemies obtained their information. Stow tell us of the public exposure of Roger Bolinbroke in his curious garments, sword and sceptre in hand, standing beside his painted chair, with copper figures at each corner. The result is well known; Gloucester fell, his duchess did public penance, the magician was executed, and the witch burned as a heretic. Another execution for sorcery—discreditable alike to the English, the French Clergy, and the University of Paris—was the burning of the Maid of Orleans, whose sorcery chiefly consisted in wearing a branch of "mandragoras" and in believing in a "fairy" well.

A more purely political case was the charge against the Duchess of Bedford, investigated at her own request in 1470. One Thomas Wake, a squire, produced a leaden image of a man-at-arms, broken in the middle, and bound together with wire, which had been left at a country parsonage by a troop of soldiers, and said that by this the Duchess had charmed the King to wed her daughter Elizabeth Woodville. The council cleared her of the charge; but it was revived in 1483, and formed one of the grounds for deposing Edward V. Another case, happening in 1477, shows the way in which a charge of witchcraft was utilised by the great. A certain Thomas Burdet, son of Henry VI.'s Grand Butler of Normandy, was annoyed that, in one of his progresses, Edward IV. had shot a favourite deer, and, it is said, wished that the head, horns and all, were in the man who had killed it. For this he was brought to trial, condemned for poisoning, sorcery, and enchantment, and executed at Tyburn.

The Invocation of Spirits.

It is interesting to notice that neither Stow nor Shakespeare mentions the wax image of Henry VI.; probably both believed it too serious a matter to speak of, much as elementary chemistries do not describe the manufacture of explosive compounds. Some curious processes for making these images remain. In a treatise under the name of Artephius, a process is described where a hollow cross is made for the reception of a spirit, and under it an image corresponding to the object required, *e.g.* a chair, if pre-eminence is sought. The reason for the cross is that, since the shape of the spirit is unknown, a cross possessing length and breadth is a most suitable and universal substitute for the proper form. We can form a picture of the conjurations of such a "clerk" as Master Roger. His stock-in-trade consisted of a book of magic, pentacles on cover, each opening containing on the left an image of a spirit in its accustomed form, on the right the magical characters representing it, the invocation by which it must be called, the place, time, and incense to use. This book is consecrated by being buried with fitting ceremonies three days, at a spot where three roads meet. Some days before the incantation the seer prepares himself for the ceremony by hearing mass, his instruments being, if possible, laid on the altar; a suitable spot is chosen, and at the planetary hour the ceremony begins. Clothed in priestly garments, his companions dressed in white linen and chanting litanies and gospels, a circle is traced, the divine names are inscribed in it, and those of the angels of the day who guard and assist in the ceremony. Pentacles are traced round the circle, and the characters of the evil spirits to be summoned, then the circle, the fire, and the incense are blessed in order, and the angels of the four winds and the seven planets are invoked, while all the while the assistants keep up their chant. Then the magician stands up and summons the spirit he requires, and all the air round the circle swarms with frightful visions, but not yet does the spirit appear. He calls stronger and louder, and, showing the pentacle, orders it to appear. At last it comes under its own form, answers the questions, and obeys the orders. The magician bids it depart in peace, the incense is extinguished, and, re-forming the procession, the company retire, chanting a litany as they go. Opinions differed as to the spiritual status

of the seer and his companions: most theologians held that they entered into an implied contract with the fiend, the popular view more than suspected the existence of a direct one, while the magician represented himself as taking the advantage of his knowledge of certain powers which the Church possessed but did not use, running very definite risks if he was unskilful or negligent. Legally, it appears that the crime of sorcery was punishable at common law if injury was caused in any way; or, if the case was brought before the ecclesiastical courts, the sorcerer was burnt by virtue of a writ "de hæretico comburendo." Probably, the majority of the burnings for heresy from 1440 onward were for witchcraft.

Alchemy: Gower and Chaucer.

A MS. list of alchemists gives the names of a score of English writers on the subject during the fourteenth century, and towards the close of it much attention was paid to the science. Gower and Chaucer picture for us the theory and practice of alchemy respectively; indeed, it was probably owing to Gower's influence that Chaucer was led to engage in the study. The current theory still was that metallic substances consist of a mercury or fusible principle, and a sulphur or hardening one, and as these were pure or otherwise, so the qualities of the metal varied. Accordingly, alchemists sought a drug to purge metals of their impurities, and make them perfect. Gower tells us of three stones of this nature—one which acts on the body, a second on the senses and intellect, and a third on minerals, driving out the rust, the odour, and the hardness. Chaucer gives us the alchemist at work, with a minute accuracy of detail which shows his personal interest in the result, and with only the portions of theory which would be caught up by the practical man. He shows us the charlatan cheating his victim by sleight of hand or false bottoms to his crucible, and the genuine worker, using actual mercury and sulphur, with many substances mineral, animal, or vegetable; every now and then losing their material by an explosion of their tightly-sealed vessels, or by their borax and lime forming a flux and dissolving their earthen crucible. But charlatan or worker alike brought ruin to all who believed them, and we may fairly trace the Statute of 1403, forbidding the multiplication of gold and silver, to

the Canon's Yeoman's Tale, and the state of things therein described.

The Revival of Alchemy, circ. 1450.

Two generations passed, and no more was heard of alchemy till Duke Humphrey found his finances exhausted, and set the alchemists to work again. The works of Lully were translated from Provençal into Latin in 1443, and many persons sought the king's leave to engage in the study, some of the licences being of exceptional interest. Soon the royal exchequer became low, and as alchemists were not wanting to point out "useful methods by which coin of gold and silver may be multiplied in our Kingdom of England," Henry VI. issued, in 1456–7, three Commissions to examine and report on the schemes submitted to them. The second thus describes the Elixir: "By it all infirmities may be cured, human life prolonged to its utmost limit, and mankind preserved in health and strength of body and mind, clearness, and vigour; all wounds are healed by it without difficulty, and it is the best and surest remedy against poisons; with it, too, many other benefits to us and the community of our realm may be wrought, such as the transmutation of metals into actual gold and the purest silver." The effect of these commissions seems to have been to lessen Henry's hopes from alchemy, and the pursuit gradually dropped on the accession of Edward IV. (perhaps from the dearth of great patrons), though licences continue to be granted up to 1477.

The Alchemistic Poets.

We get much information as to the popular alchemy from some little-known poems of the time. Ripley (who, tradition asserts, sent gold to Rhodes to fight the Turks), in his "Compound of Alchemy" (1471), dedicated to Edward IV., gives an account of the fraternity of London Alchemists. They harboured, it seems, in Westminster (the Archdeacon being easily satisfied there), and came to London to seek their dupes, who followed them up and down, hoping by their means to come to great riches. The goldsmiths and merchants who have lent them money would, however, be glad to get back even a part of it; so they are arrested and led off to Newgate or Ludgate, where they are questioned. Their ready excuses win on the merchants, and they are released and depart to Westminster.

"And when they there sit at the wine,
'These monks (say they) have many a pound.'
'Would God (saith one) that some were mine,
Heigho, care away, let the cup go round.'
'Drink on (saith another) the means are found:
I am a Master of that Art,
I warrant us we shall have part.'"

And Ripley ironically advises the abbot to cherish men who will soon restore the poverty of St. Benedict.

Thomas Norton (1477) tells us how all classes, even weavers and tinkers, had joined in the search for riches, and the land was brought to poverty. In one chapter he tells of the dangers of an alchemist, and illustrates them from the life of a contemporary, Thomas Dalton, a monk of Gloucester, formerly clerk to Sir John Delvis, whose son was at the court of Edward. On Delvis' information, the King sent for Dalton by Thomas Herbert, who arrested him, and, after some rough handling, brought him to court. Here Dalton admitted having made gold for Delvis, but refused to again attempt it, saying that he had destroyed all his material, and Edward dismissed him with a small gratuity. On his way from court, however, Herbert seized on the unlucky alchemist, and endeavoured by promises and threats to make him engage in the work, imprisoning him for three years in Gloucester Castle, and even bringing him out for execution; threats and promises alike in vain. But Norton believed in the art, and laments how this violence had lost great ease to the country:

"To have ceased taxes and tallages of this londe;
Whereby much love and grace would have be,
Between knighthood, priesthood, and commonalty."

Probably the only practical result of the study of alchemy in this period is seen in the great number of distilled waters, essences, spirits, etc., the preparation of which was the work of the ladies of Tudor days. Most of them were known centuries before, but were popularised by this wide extension of alchemy. An example of this occurs later, when it is thought a legitimate excuse if an alchemist, who may be a small yeoman, to hide his real object, tells his still-maker that he is about to make an eye-water for his father.

H. FRANK HEATH.
The Chaucerian School.

THE supremacy of Chaucer is in nothing more clearly seen than in the fact that for more than a century after his death he was the sole source of inspiration for the poets. In Scotland this was not inconsistent with a measure of originality; in England it was. A time of religious persecution and foreign war, followed by internecine feud, is not favourable to the continuation of such an open-minded, sympathetic, and humorous conception of life as that seen in the "Canterbury Tales." It was Chaucer the student of the "Roman de la Rose," not Chaucer the poet of his fellow-men, nor even Chaucer the student of Italian literature, after whom the younger versifiers stumbled.

Occleve.

Of these, Thomas Occleve (b. 1369, d. *c.* 1450) stood near to Chaucer personally, and his verse recalls more often than any other his master's lighter manner. His character and life remind one of Robert Greene. He had the same love of pleasure, the same weakness of purpose, the same fatal ease of expression, the same high ideal of womanhood. All that we know of his life is to be found in his "Male Regle," his "Complaint," and his "Dialog" with the old beggar in the prologue to his "Governail of Princes" (1411 or 1412), his longest and most ambitious work. Written with the aim of winning the patronage of the young Prince Henry, this "Mirror for Princes" was chiefly based on Ægidius Colonna's "De Regimine Principum," composed for Philip the Fair. The various aspects of a prince's duty, with illustrative examples from the Bible, classics, Church fathers, and English history, are dealt with in "rhyme royal," a measure ill-suited for such a theme. In the prologue occurs the touching passage in which, addressing Chaucer as "maistere deere and fadir reuerent," Occleve laments that his "deth hath harme irreparable unto us doon," adding, with only too much truth :

"She mighte han taryed hir vengeance a while
Til that some man had egal to the be.
Nay lat be þat! sche knew wel that this yle
May never man forth brynge lyk to the."

Occleve also told with success two stories from the "Gesta

Romanorum," the "Tale of Jerislaus' (Merilaus) Wife," and the "Tale of Jonathas," both in Chaucer stanza. His many balades are neither lyrical in tone nor correct in form. His best work is undoubtedly to be found in those passages where his reverence for women is heightened and refined by the religious emotion. Consequently, his finest poem is the "Modir of God," which, on the authority of an Edinburgh MS., was long thought to be Chaucer's. The close of the "Letter to Cupid" in the same metre (rhyme royal) is dominated by the same spirit. Had Occleve only possessed more sense of proportion, been content to restrain the expression of his remorse, and been gifted with a finer instinct in the choice of his measures, much of his work would rank higher than it does.

Lydgate's Life and Works.

John Lydgate (*c.* 1372—*c.* 1451) was a man of greater robustness and with more real insight and sense of humour than Occleve. He had in him the making of a really effective fabliau writer—*e.g.* "The Chorle and the Bird"—and light satirist, but he was a monk of Bury—a profession ill-consistent with the themes most sympathetic to him—and he had an overpowering admiration for Chaucer, which was his ruin as a poet. The first half of his life was spent in the attempt to bring his rule of conduct and that of the monastery into some accord. This was no easy matter, for his youth was as wild as Occleve's (*cf.* Lydgate's "Testament"). None the less, he was a most voluminous writer, producing narrative, devotional, hagiological, philosophic, and scientific poems, besides many occasional pieces. He was already past middle life when he began his first important poem. The "Troy Book" (1420), a rendering of Guido delle Colonne's "Historia Trojana," was begun (1412) at Prince Henry's request, and was intended to serve as a completion of the partial view taken in "Troilus and Cryseyde," to supply the pre-Britannic history of our race, omitted by Layamon and his predecessors. The poem is in 30,000 lines of heroic couplets, which run more smoothly than much of his later work. In Lydgate's youth Chaucer had consented to "amende and correcte the wrong traces" of his "rude penne" ("Life of Our Lady," fol. e_7, *b*), the loss of which help he laments in the "History of Troy," confessing that he follows "the sentence":—

"And trouthe of metre I set also a-syde,
For of that art I had as tho no guyde,
Me to reduce when I went a-wronge,
I toke none hede nouther of shorte nor longe,
But to the trouthe, and lefte curyosyte
Both of makynge and of metre be."

History of Troy, Pynson, 1513. *fol.* E_5, *b.*

Lydgate's Versification.

One soon discovers that he had no appreciation of the strict limits Chaucer had set himself in grafting the Romance principles of metre upon the native strongly rhythmical stock. Lydgate allowed himself the same freedom in the position of the verse-accent which he found in the work of Machault and Granson: a freedom that Chaucer's interest doubtless held in check in his first attempts; and he made no effort to vary the position of the cæsura, which with him always follows the second foot. The explanation of much that is rough in his verse is due rather to an ignorant imitation of Romance principles than to a lack of ear.* His most important work, "The Story of Thebes" (*c.* 1422), suggested by the "Knight's Tale," and designed to form one of the "Canterbury" series, was in the same heroic couplet, whilst his most popular but dullest poem, the "Falls of Princes," written for Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester, between 1430 and 1438, is a rendering in "rhyme royal" of a French translation of Boccaccio's "De Casibus Virorum Illustrium." The theme was sympathetic to a people which saw three of their kings within a century come to a tragic end. The source of inspiration was again Chaucer ("The Monke's Tale"), and the subject did not lose its popularity till the issue of the last edition of the "Mirror for Magistrates," in 1620. Lydgate also wrote the "Complaint of the Black Knight," and in his younger days (*c.* 1403) the "Temple of Glas," an allegorical poem, formerly attributed to Hawes. In his later calmer years he versified to order the lives of SS. Alban, Edmund, and Margaret. There was nothing that came amiss to his easy, somewhat slipshod muse. To modern taste, Lydgate's occasional pieces on social subjects, such as his "Satirical Description of his Lady" or his "Ballade on the Extravagant Head-dresses of

* He often omits the anakrusis and the first thesis after the cæsura, but these are licences due to native not Romance tradition. He uses the "epic" cæsura much more frequently than Chaucer.

the Day" ("Minor Poems," ed. Halliwell, pp. 46 and 199), will always prove most attractive, and cause regret that he wasted his energies on anything more ambitious.* When, in the next century, one comes to the endless and moralising allegory of the "Pastime of Pleasure" (*c.* 1506) shambling along on the utterly weak-kneed line of Hawes, one feels that the revolt of Wyatt and Surrey came none too soon.

Prose Writers.

A few words must suffice for the prose of this period. In Reginald Pecock's "Repressour of overmuch Blaming the Clergy" (written 1449), we have an acute and ingenious attack on the Lollard position, written in the pedantic style of a purist who rejected, as Ascham did in the next century, the riches of the Romance vocabulary which Chaucer and Wycliffe had placed at his disposal. The only master, because the first artist of prose, was Sir Thomas Malory, whose version of a number of French Arthurian romances, called the "Morte d'Arthur," was finished in 1469–70. His style was peculiarly his own. It has been well said that Malory's work marks a similar stage in the development of English prose to that of Chaucer in English verse. Both had personally to beat into form, or at least to temper and give polish to the instrument of their thought; and this preliminary service should never be forgotten in our estimate of them. Such writers as Malory and Herodotus, "though they have preserved many of the beauties of the uncritical childhood of literature, ... are both of them sophisticated; it is their craft or their good genius that makes one overlook the critical and testing processes, the conscious rhetoric, without which they could not have written as they did."†

Reginald Pecock.

Sir Thos. Malory.

Sir John Fortescue.

Another writer, one who used prose as a means of argument rather than with the love of the artist, was Sir John Fortescue (1394—*c.* 1476), whose best known work, "The Governance of England" (written

* A full bibliography of his works (he wrote in all over 130,000 lines) will be found in Mr. Sidney Lee's excellent article on Lydgate in the Dict. of Nat. Biog. "London Lickpenny," in its present form at any rate, is not his.

† Professor Paton Ker, in his thoughtful and most suggestive sketch of English prose writing to the close of the sixteenth century, prefixed to "English Prose Selections," ed. H. Craik, Vol. I., 1893.

after 1471), reproduces in brief the arguments of his Latin "De Laudibus Legum Angliæ" as introduction to a study of the causes underlying the evils then affecting the State. He sees the chief cause in the poverty of the Crown: an ill Henry VII. later took care to remove. Fortescue's style is clear and sometimes rhetorical, though his thoughts are not always systematically arranged.

Caxton.

At the close of the century, on the border-line between mediæval and modern times, himself an active worker in the spread of knowledge, stands William Caxton, printer, translator, and editor. His most important work, the foundation of the first English press, is dealt with later (p. 531 *seq.*), but his services as translator and editor, and in making certain the final triumph of the London dialect as Chaucer had shaped it, are no less real, though perhaps less evident. He saw clearly how "before that (Chaucer) by his labour embellished, ornated, and made fair our English, in this realm was had rude speech and incongruous, as yet appeareth by old books"; and he determined to issue no book from his press unless in the dialect of the capital. Thus, he would not print Trevisa's west-country translation of Higden's "Polychronicon" until he had revised it in accordance with his determination. He himself rendered "Le Recueil des Histoires de Troye" and "The Game and Play of Chess," by the Dominican Jacobus de Cassolis, and nineteen other works, into a free and clear idiomatic English. Yet he was no slavish adherent to the English of Chaucer. His object was, as he said himself,* to adopt the average dialect of the educated Londoner in his own day, and we therefore find the Kentish peculiarities of the great poet absent from Caxton's work, and the presence of a larger number of Northern forms.† He dealt with the problem he had set himself in a moderate but progressive spirit, and his work is, therefore, next to that of Chaucer, of the highest importance in the history of standard literary English, whilst the aid of the printing-press gave assurance of permanent results for his labour.

* *Cf.* the Prologue to his "Eneydos."

† *E.g.*, the third pers. sing. Pres. Ind. in *s*. (*Cf.* for the linguistic influence of Caxton Hermann Roemstedt, "Die Englische Schriftsprache bei Caxton," Göttingen. 1891.) *Cf.* also *infra* p. 542.

W. J. CORBETT.
Agriculture.

With the suppression of the Peasants' Revolt and the passing of the Acts of 1389 for ensuring an undiminished supply of villans, we take leave of one of the most abnormal epochs in the history of English agriculture, and once more find ourselves in the midst of a prolonged period of slow evolution during which there is little that is either exciting or extraordinary to relate. Over the life of the country districts there once more settles down a calm dulness which for more than a century is unbroken by any epoch-making events, all the changes that can be observed being of the gradual silent kind which only become recognisable when they are completed, and which to contemporaries are almost imperceptible. So rare, in fact, are the notices of agriculture in the chronicles and records of the time, that even to-day, after much research has been expended in collecting them, there are still several schools of interpretation, and historians still hold the most contradictory opinions even as to the general character of the period. On the one hand we are invited to believe that the fifteenth century and the first quarter of the sixteenth form the "golden age of the English labourer"* and that "no European community has ever enjoyed such rough plenty as did the English yeomen during these years";† while, on the other hand, there are writers who regard the same period as one of unmitigated disaster, and who confidently assure us that there were few years at this time "unmarked by famine and pestilence."‡ Others, again, though they admit that a good deal of distress and discontent existed at the end of the period, still claim the earlier years as prosperous, and think that of all epochs "the first half of the fifteenth century most nearly realised the peasant's dream of Arcadia." § And, lastly, yet a fourth school ‖ has arisen who hold that arable farming almost continuously decayed, but that a growth in the clothing trade and the consequent

Character of the Period.

Divergent Views.

* Rogers, "Six Centuries of Work and Wages," p. 326.

† Hyndman, "Basis of Socialism," c. I.

‡ Denton, "England in the Fifteenth Century," p. 213.

§ Prothero, "Pioneers and Progress of English Farming," p. 14.

‖ Cunningham, "Growth of Industry and Commerce," I. 393.

introduction into rural districts of domestic manufactures more or less counterbalanced the loss so suffered.

So great a variety of opinions reveals how little is really known, and warns us to show caution in adopting or rejecting any one of them. Nevertheless, there need be little hesitation in regarding both of the more extreme views as exaggerations. For had the lot of the labourer been even moderately prosperous throughout all districts down to Henry VIII.'s reign, it is impossible to suppose that it could suddenly have become so bad as to lead Sir Thomas More in the sixteenth century to declare that the state and condition of labouring beasts was much better. On the other hand, had the whole period been one of famines and pestilences, it is hard to see why the same results did not follow as in the fourteenth century, and the country was not distracted with agitations and revolts. True, the great civil war between the partisans of the White and Red Roses did occupy a great many of these years, but all the authorities are agreed in seeing in this only a faction fight of the nobles, and in asserting that the great mass of the nation took neither interest nor part in it. Even when Cade rebelled, in 1450, social grievances do not seem to have been the cause, and the complaint of the commons of Kent, which was laid before the royal council, contains only political demands, except in so far as it reiterates well-worn denunciations against the Statute of Labourers. Sir John Fortescue, too, writing just about the same time, and wishing to account for the apparent inferiority of the Frenchmen, says of the Englishmen, "the people be wealthy and have all things necessary to their sustenance": a remark hardly more in keeping with famines than is that of Polydore Vergil with pestilences, when he tells us that "in England disease reigns seldom, and there is less use of physic than in other countries." The critically inclined say that this only shows the fearful state of other countries, but that is really begging the question.

The Evidence as to the State of the Labourer.

And now let us turn for a moment to the evidence on which the advocates of either of these extreme views rely. Those who hold that the peasantry were exceedingly prosperous seem to base their views entirely on records of prices that have come down to us. The most praiseworthy

energy has been displayed in collecting these, so that historians now have at command a perfect mine of valuable facts. Regarded as evidence of the state of given districts at particular times these are incontrovertible, but it is hard to draw conclusive inferences from them for general purposes. For clearly it is often necessary to eke out the information applying to one period by adding facts from another and then striking an average, a process which often produces very different results according as the limit of time is slightly varied. A good deal, too, has to be assumed in applying the figures. For instance, it has been computed* that at the beginning of the fifteenth century an ordinary farm hand with his wife and child earned about £3 15s., while his living only cost about £3 5s. This clearly leaves a fair margin between receipts and expenses, and so it is quoted to show the prosperity of labour generally. The calculation, however, not only omits the case of the labourer with several children, but assumes that work was to be got for 300 days a year, wages then being about threepence a day. This sounds reasonable, but there seems to be no proof of it. On the contrary there are some indications that, even if the labourer was constantly employed, he only earned wages for about five days a week, or two hundred and sixty days a year. For under Henry IV. an Act was passed ordaining that "labourers should not take any hire for the holy days, nor for the evens of feasts, when they did no labour but till the hour of noon, but only for the half day"; that is, the labourer was only to get half a day's wages on Saturdays and saints' days, and on the vigils of saints' days, and these together must have averaged nearly one day a week. As compared with the supposed £3 15s., the wages for two hundred and sixty days would only amount to £3 1s. 8d., or less than the supposed amount of expenditure, which shows that the whole calculation is somewhat arbitrary. The case, too, which we have been considering is that of the labourer constantly employed, but it cannot be doubted that this was rather the exception than the rule, and that in the fifteenth century as at present many men often found themselves out of work, and had the greatest trouble to scrape together a living. Altogether it seems unlikely that as a class the labourers were

Wages and Prices.

* Gibbins' "Industrial History of England," p. 79.

very much better off than they had been, and certainly the fifteenth century saw no improvement in the direction of shortening the hours of labour. What they were can be seen from an Act passed in 1495, and at no time can they well have been longer. From the middle of March to the middle of September work was to go on from 5 a.m. till between 7 and 8 p.m., with half an hour for breakfast, and an hour and a half for dinner and for the midday sleep. In winter work was to be during daylight. These legal ordinances were not perhaps always kept, but they at least show the standard at which employers aimed.

The Health of the Rural Districts.

The evidence, again, by which it is sought to show that England during all these years was a prey to famine and pestilence, would seem to be equally insufficient, being chiefly drawn from the records of the towns. Thus it is pointed out that in 1406 the plague was so bad in London that Henry IV. preferred not to pass through the streets: that in 1438 the London chronicler was almost in despair over its ravages; that in 1449 Parliament had to be removed hastily from Westminster to Winchester for fear of the infection; that in 1476 Hull lost more than fifteen hundred of its inhabitants, and of the rest so many fled that the town became desolate; that in 1477 an "incredible number" of persons died at Norwich, and, finally, that in London alone, the sweating sickness caused the death of thirty thousand people on the occasion of its first outbreak. All these notices of pestilence, however, even supposing there is no exaggeration in them, are somewhat beside the point if the object is to show that disease was extraordinarily rife all over the country; for there is not a word in them about the rural districts, nor does there seem to be any evidence for supposing that these were suffering from any unusual amount of mortality. Occasionally, indeed, the London chronicler talks vaguely of a great pestilence throughout all England, but in all probability he only means in all the towns, just as Sir John Paston did when he assured a correspondent that in 1471 it was the "most universal death" that ever he knew in all England, for he hastens to add, "I cannot hear of any borough town in England that is free from the sickness" (p. 415). Unless, too, there is some definite evidence that the peasantry were particularly affected,

it is useless to bring forward "the undrained, neglected soil, the shallow stagnant waters which lay upon the surface of the ground, the narrow, unhealthy homes, the insufficient food, and the abundance of stale fish which was eaten"* as predisposing the agricultural population to disease; for all these conditions existed just as much in the thirteenth century when it is admitted that the people were prosperous, as in the fifteenth. All the descriptions, in fact, given of the fifteenth century, both by those who believe it to have been a time of wretchedness and by those who think that it was a golden age, would seem to be too highly coloured, while without a doubt they are far too sweeping. For just as in other centuries there have been endless varieties of fortune, so in the fifteenth one class may have been going up while another was going down. Even members of the same class need not in every locality have fared alike, and it is quite likely that at the very moment when one great section of the daily labourers and small holders of villan allotments were developing into prosperous tenant farmers, another were rapidly sinking, until at last there grew up that great mass of pauperism which so burdened the country in Tudor times.

The Gain and Loss of the Modern Labourer.

The term "golden age," too, provokes yet another objection, for it challenges comparison with all succeeding ages, and implies that even at the present day the labourer is no better off than in the fifteenth century—indeed, is not so well off. This, however, can hardly be, when we take into consideration some of the facts of modern life. For instance, the alarms of war are now absolutely unknown; even riots are unlikely; pestilences are very rare, and famine inconceivable; work, on the whole, is more regular, and the hours of labour are much shortened. Add to this, too, the fact that, though the cost of living has increased tenfold, the rate of wages has at least risen in proportion, and in most localities is now far higher. The goods, too, that a labourer can buy with his wages are probably of better quality nowadays, and certainly far more varied in character. In two points only has the average labourer lost. Besides being landless, he has now no common rights either of wood or pasture with which to supplement his income; and there is a greater gap than in the fifteenth century between

* Denton, *op. cit.*, p. 103.

himself and most of his employers as regards the amount of material comfort each can respectively command. Neither of these points, however, is of much importance when compared with the substantial improvements that have undoubtedly taken place, and neither would even now be considered a grievance had not an improved education been at work upon the rustic, dispelling his time-honoured ideas as to knowing his place, and opening his eyes as to the possibility of bettering his lot.

The Obscurity of the Problem.

It is to be hoped that enough has now been said to discredit the idea that the state of England at this time can be summed up into any one formula, or that any true picture of the nation can be drawn that will do equally for all classes, and for both the beginning and the end of the period. On the contrary, in dealing with the agricultural classes the evidence to hand is found to be so scanty and contradictory that it is almost impossible to form an opinion, and the only thing to do seems to be to put as much of it before the reader as possible, and leave him to form his own conclusions if he feels inclined. To begin with, therefore, we will mention some of the facts which seem to prove, though indirectly, that a certain amount of prosperity must have marked most years of the century, even though the lower classes may not always have enjoyed an enviable existence.

Indications of Prosperity.

Building.

One of the most striking of these, and one that any one can still test for himself, is to be found in the activity in building that still went on. For all over the country there are still parish churches to be seen which were built at this time, some of them, as those at Lavenham and Long Melford, in Suffolk, among the finest in the kingdom, while there are a still greater number that were enlarged and added to in the late but more decorative Perpendicular style: all of which shows that there was both wealth to spare and an increasing population.

Brick Buildings Re-introduced.

A fact of a similar kind and telling in the same direction is to be found in the re-introduction of the use of bricks, the art of making them having been forgotten ever since the departure of the Romans. This occurred about 1400, the bricks in the first

instance being most probably imported from North Germany by sea for use in the eastern counties. Thus in 1406 we find them being used in Essex, in 1438 at Cambridge, and in 1442 at London. In this last year they also began to be manufactured, as we hear of a "breke kylne" being made in April of that year to supply bricks for the building of the boys' chambers at Eton, and of 66,000 bricks being ordered to be made there. In fact, between 1442 and 1451 Henry VI. seems to have used up about 2,469,100 bricks about his new foundation; and in 1475 the "Brekmakerrys," who are said to have been London men, had to look about for a new brickfield. Not many relics of this outburst of brick building now remain, but it is natural to connect with it the great improvement in domestic architecture which also took place, and which led to the erection of the first country houses that at all deserve the name, and to the first introduction of chimneys into farm-houses. For now a comparatively cheap yet durable material was everywhere to hand, and it was no longer necessary to go to the great expense of getting stone from the often distant quarries of Yorkshire or Normandy. Manor houses consequently increased in size, two or three rooms being added to the hall and grange, and sometimes even a second storey. A single bedroom, however, was usually thought sufficient, and not much was done in the way of ceiling or wainscoting: while houses that contained even four beds for the accommodation of their inmates were thought to be very extravagantly furnished. Of the more movable articles of household furniture there were still next to none, and what little there was chiefly appertained to the kitchen. For though men have always appreciated feasting and were now beginning to appreciate good houses, they had still no idea of comfort, and, if any internal magnificence was indulged in, it was in the form of glittering rows of plate and pewter.

Political Indications of Popular Welfare.

The constitutional history, also, of this period may be used to show that on the whole the country people were improving. In 1406 the electoral franchise for the counties was declared to reside in all the suitors at the county court; but in 1430 this was repealed (p. 310), and the vote limited to those who had freeholds worth forty shillings yearly above all charges (or about twenty pounds of our present money), the reason being that with the

growth of leases there had sprung up a rapidly increasing class of fairly well-to-do people, who, though "of no value" in the eyes of the House that passed the repealing statute, nevertheless "pretended, every one of them, to have a voice equivalent with the more worthy knights and esquires," and threatened in no long space of time to take all power over the elections out of the hands of the "gentlemen born." Facts like these speak with no uncertain sound, but should any clearer evidence be wanted it is to be found in the Statutes of Apparel of 1463 and 1482, which are directed against the farmer and the labourer clothing themselves excessively, and in the successive editions of the Statute of Labourers, in which the rate of wages to be allowed is constantly enhanced. The Act of 1482, it is true, somewhat illogically complains that because of the "non due observance" of former sumptuary laws "the realm had fallen into great misery and poverty, and was like to fall into more greater"; but if this were true, it is not very likely that, in 1495, the Commons would have sanctioned the following statutory wages: for a bailiff 26s. 8d. instead of 24s. 4d.; for a common servant in husbandry whose food was found, 16s. 8d., and 4s. for clothes, instead of 15s. and 3s. 4d.; for other workmen without food, 5d. and 6d. a day in winter and summer respectively, where before they had received 4d. and 5d.

Growth of a Farming Class.

Sumptuary Laws.

The Increase of Wages.

All through the century, too, the Statute Book is encumbered with acts against the giving of liveries (p. 330) or the maintenance of large troops of retainers. This was forbidden as a political danger, but incidentally it testifies to the luxury and ostentation of the great nobles of the time, and to the wealth which enabled them to gratify their desires. Six hundred liveried servants, for instance, followed the great Earl of Warwick to parliament, while no fewer than two hundred and ninety formed, in 1449, the retinue of a much less important personage, one Walter Strykelande, deputy-steward of Kendal in Westmoreland. These men, too, were not merely tenants as in former times, but for the most part hired servants, who had to be fed, clothed, armed, and lodged, and who, in many cases, took even wages in addition. The prosperity of the gentlemen who could

Liveries and Retainers.

long maintain such state cannot well be called in question, and cannot have materially deteriorated from that enjoyed in the fourteenth century by the same class, as typified in the person of a Sir John Arundell, who, in 1380, was drowned off the coast of Brittany, and lost "not only his life but all his apparel to his body" to the amount of "two and fifty suits of cloth of gold." The wealth lavished in this way, whether by nobleman, gentleman, or esquire, must nearly in every case, be it remembered, have been derived from the profits of successful agriculture. For the only trade that the landowners of this period could engage in without loss of dignity was the export of agricultural produce, and not very many of them even did this, but lived entirely off their rentals. The famous Sir John Fastolfe and Lord Cromwell, two of the richest men of the fifteenth century, may have added to their great fortunes by war and by shipping barley and malt to the Continent (the estate of the latter after his decease was valued at £66,334), but in most cases the gentleman's farm was his fortune, and there could have been no magnificence without a prosperous tenantry.

The Wealth of the Landlords.

Some people who take a gloomy view may be inclined to dispute this, and prefer to take up the position that the landowners were enabled to gratify their passion for display, not because their tenants were prosperous, but because their rents were excessive. It would seem, however, that in reality this was rarely the case, and that in the fifteenth century rents were exceedingly low and landlords very lenient. This may be seen not only from the study of the terms of actual leases that have been preserved, but from the way in which the men of the next century, when the practice of rack-renting undoubtedly did become usual, lament the olden state of things. Antecedently, too, this is the more likely, for it is obvious from what has already been said of the introduction of stock and land leases, that at their origin it must have been hard for the landlords to find any suitable tenants, that nevertheless there was a constantly increasing number who wished to let, and that consequently they could never impose any onerous terms. If we want to confirm this reasoning, we have only to turn to the leases granted by some Oxford colleges, and we shall find that, not only was the tenant provided with

Landlord and Tenant.

stock, but that it was also the rule for the landlords to pay for all repairs and for losses of the stock if they occurred through no fault of the tenant and were of a sufficiently serious character to materially embarrass him. For example, in 1430, New College gave up farming its manor of Alton Barnes, in Wiltshire, on its own account, and let it to a tenant on the stock and land lease principle. The amount of arable land let is said to have been 108 acres, and this was furnished with stock valued at £74 7s. 3d. The term taken by the tenant was a short one, but there is evidence that the system in its main outlines remained in force for upwards of a century, the lease being renewed usually to the same tenant every five or ten years; for in 1530 the stock is still entered as unrepaid. During all this time the rent only varied from £14, at the outset, to £14 10s. in 1484, and £15 10s. with a quarter of oats in 1530; in other words, if we consider the arable alone, from 2s. 5d. an acre to a little under 3s. This, however, must be rather an over-estimate; for, as in this case the tenant took the whole demesne, he must also have got the wastes and, apparently, some of the manorial rights. Further, it was stipulated that the college should pay for all repairs and for all losses from murrain if they exceeded ten per cent. Thus, in 1484, the rent-collector paid the farmer £1 12s. 2d. for repairs, and charged it to the college. Similarly as to stock, in 1447, the college pays on twenty-two wethers, that had died in the previous year, twenty-four ewes and seventeen hoggs; in 1448 on ninety-two wethers, fourteen ewes and ten hoggs; and, in 1452, on fifteen wethers, twenty-five ewes and six hoggs. The risks undertaken by the college were, in fact, by no means slight, and in the long run must have greatly reduced the burden of the rent upon the tenant, even supposing that it had always been paid. This, however, was by no means always the case, for we find from the accounts that the rent collector was almost constantly in arrear.

Alton Barnes has been given as a good typical case, but in the matter of arrears it is, if anything, hardly up to the average, and so for exact figures let us go to the accounts for Takeley, in Essex, another of the estates belonging to New College. Here, according to the account for the year 1475, the total receipts of the college, including both the old commutation fees and the rents of three separate demesne farms,

ought to have amounted to £65 12s. 6d. annually. The rent collector, however, cannot often have collected this amount; for, in 1474, he was already £220 in arrear, and by 1475 this had increased to over £240. No little portion, in fact, of the estimated rental consisted of irrecoverable claims and hopelessly bad debts: one of the arrears that kept mounting up being a rent that had not been paid for ninety-five years, while another had been due for twenty-two. The misfortunes of the tenants may, of course, account for these being still owing, but if so, it is not likely that they would still have been reckoned in the rolls as debts: for our records contain many instances of remission of rents when there was any real call for it. Facts of this sort show how long-suffering many of the landlords were, and recall the testimony of a writer of a much later date who, in talking of the monasteries and commending them as landowners, says: "They never revenged themselves of any injury, but were content to forgive it freely; they never raised any rents nor took any fines of their tenants. Yea, happy was that person who was tenant to an abbey, for it was a rare thing to hear of any tenant that was removed by taking of his farm over his head, nor was he not afraid of any re-entry for non-payment of rent if necessity drove him thereto." When this was written, in the reign of Edward VI., such landlords had become extinct, but in the fifteenth century it was still the great monastic houses that set the examples to which the rest of the farming community usually conformed.

The State of the Yeomanry.

As practical illustrations of how the yeoman might thrive under this treatment, two stories may be given, one from the beginning and the other from the end of the century. The first is to be found among the Paston papers, and sets forth the rise of that noble family from one Clement, a good plain husbandman, who in the days of Richard II. rode his horse bare-backed to the mill, and drove his own cart to market, "as a good husband ought to do." Whether he was actually a villan or a small freeholder is not related, but anyhow he held bond-land of the manor of Gimmingham, a parish lying on the coast of Norfolk, between Paston, whence the family took their name, and Cromer, and is said to have married a bond-woman, the sister of a serf in the neighbouring township of Somerton, who had become a

The Rise of the Paston Family.

pardoner and attorney. Being a thrifty man, as years went on he gradually increased his holdings until he had in Paston about six score acres whereon he kept a plough at all times in the year, and sometimes two, and a little water-mill; but "no manor had he there nor in none other place." By the time that a son, William, had been born to him and had grown to be a boy, he had thriven sufficiently to be able to set him to school and give him a good education. All his life the father kept to his farm, but the son did so well that he was sent to the Bar, though Clement Paston had to borrow money to provide for him. And there, we are told, William begat much good, becoming a right cunning man at the law and steward to the Bishop of Norwich. His reputation, indeed, soon rose so high that in 1421 his father had the pleasure of seeing him become a serjeant, and in 1429 a justice of the common pleas, a wealthy man, in fact, and esquire, who could marry into a gentleman's family, and who purchased not only much land in Paston, but the manors of Oxnead and Gresham, together with a seigniory at Bacton and a free warren and market at Cromer. In later times the family residence at Oxnead became a famous house, and its masters the Earls of Yarmouth. The second story is the well-known one of Hugh Latimer's father, the Leicestershire farmer, whom the Bishop thus described in a sermon to Edward VI.: "My father was a yeoman, and had no lands of his own; only he had a farm of three or four pounds by the year at the uttermost, and hereupon he tilled so much as kept half a dozen men. He had walk for a hundred sheep, and my mother milked thirty kine. He was able, and did find, the king a harness with himself and his horse. He kept me to school and my sisters he married with five pounds apiece. He kept hospitality for his poor neighbours, and some alms he gave to the poor; and all this he did of the same farm." The entire credibility of the first of these stories ought not perhaps to be assumed, for it is related by an enemy of the Pastons; but none the less each in its own way shows that the view current at the time of a small farmer's prospects was no gloomy one, and warrants us in believing that to many of them the expression "Merry England" must have been no unmeaning formula. It must be noted, however, that, already at the date the bishop preached, all this was altered, for he adds: "He

The Latimer family.

that hath the same farm now payeth sixteen pounds by the year or more, and is not able to do anything for his prince, for himself, nor for his children, or give a cup of drink to the poor"—a startling contrast, and one which may serve to remind us that as yet only the brighter features have been inserted in our picture, and that the shadows still remain to be presented. To a certain extent these darken the whole of the fifteenth century, but, as the chief causes of complaint only became very prominent in the early years of the Tudor period, it will be more convenient to defer treating them till then.

W. A. S. HEWINS. Industry and Commerce.

Estimate of the Industrial Population.

GREGORY KING estimated the "artisans and handicrafts" and their families, at the end of the seventeenth century at 240,000, and the "labouring people and outservants" at 1,275,000, out of a total population of 5,500,520. The latter class included all wage-earners, but not cottagers. Writing forty years later than King, Defoe states that "those who make the goods they sell, though they do keep shops to sell them, are called handicrafts: such as smiths, shoemakers, founders, joiners, carpenters, carvers, turners, and the like; others who only make, or cause to be made, goods for other people to sell, are called manufacturers and artists." Below these in the social scale were the "workmen, labourers, and servants," corresponding to the second class in the quotation from Gregory King. "By labour," says Defoe, "I mean the poor manualist, whom we properly call the labouring man, who works for himself indeed in one respect, but sometimes serves and works for wages as a servant or workman." King made his investigations, and Defoe wrote his description, at a time when the changes which were only just beginning in the fifteenth century were very widely extended. They cannot, therefore, be an entirely trustworthy basis for an estimate of the number of the industrial classes at the earlier period. But the extension of the domestic system, and the growth of manufactures and commerce during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, did not so completely alter the relative numbers of the various classes that King's estimate cannot furnish a rough means of giving some definiteness to the

conditions which prevailed in the fifteenth century. Making allowance for the probable alterations, the industrial population may be estimated at from 500,000 to 600,000 out of a total of about 2,500,000 persons. The following account of the state of England from 1399 to 1486 applies to these people, but not to the wealthier classes, nor to the paupers and vagrants, of whom there were probably not less than 40,000, and the condition of those engaged exclusively in agriculture shall only be touched upon incidentally.

Value of Rogers' "Agriculture and Prices."

The great collections of Thorold Rogers are the best foundation to build upon for any period between the thirteenth and the eighteenth century. The inferences he drew from the record of prices which he made with such extraordinary thoroughness were not always correct. But the facts themselves are a trustworthy record of actual transactions, and anyone willing to take the trouble may test the accuracy of his conclusions, and reconstruct from his materials a picture of past times. Thorold Rogers' information was derived from such a variety of sources, and the entries are so numerous, that no future investigations are likely to involve any very important alterations in the averages for the period under consideration. It may be pointed out, however, that the overwhelming preponderance of information derived from districts south of a line drawn from the Severn to the Wash should make one hesitate before accepting the average for the northern counties.

Wages.

The average rate of wages of skilled artisans or craftsmen from 1401 to 1485 was, if we may take the carpenter as typical of the whole class, about 5¾d. a day. During that period they effected a rise from 4¾d. to 6d. a day, or, in modern numbers, from 28s. 6d. to 36s. a week. These particulars, however, convey only a vague impression of the actual condition of the workers. Fortunately the record of prices is so complete that there is no difficulty in estimating the purchasing power of wages for every year. There are obvious objections to the adoption of the standard of comfort of any modern class of artisans in investigating the condition of the working classes in the fifteenth century. Household economy and the lives and habits of the people have changed so greatly since the introduction of machinery, that it would be

unsafe to use a working-class budget of the present time. The basis of our calculations shall be formed by the standard of comfort of the class of small manufacturers of South Staffordshire before their industries were revolutionised by the introduction of machinery. These men had their labourers and apprentices, but their social status was little, if at all, higher than that of ordinary artisans. They lived plentifully, but they had none of the luxuries which changes in the conditions of supply have converted into necessaries, and their habits of life had not substantially altered for many years. Another difficult question to decide before a clear idea of the state of the industrial classes in the fifteenth century can be obtained, is the amount of employment a skilled artisan might reasonably expect in the course of the year. An Act of 1403 provided that no labourer should be retained to work by the week, and that no labourers, carpenters, masons, tilers, plasterers, daubers, coverers of houses, etc., should take any hire for the holy days, nor for the evens of feasts, when they only laboured till noon, on pain of forfeiting 20s. But this Act was not universally observed, and Thorold Rogers gives numerous instances of continuous employment for more than three hundred days in the year. To be on the safe side, however, we will assume that, taking one year with another, the artisan was employed on an average for only 260 days.

The Standard of Comfort.

If then we estimate the amount of food, clothes, and other commodities which a craftsman, his wife, and four children, would require during the year, with a fixed allowance for other expenses, it is found that the mean proportion of this standard which the average craftsman could purchase was, from 1401 to 1442, from 132 to 136 per cent., or from 32 to 36 per cent. more than he required; and from 1443 to 1485, from 149 to 153 per cent., or from 49 to 53 per cent. more than he required. To put the same statement into another form, skilled artisans could, from 1401 to 1485, live comfortably, and save on an average 30s. or 40s. a year. But there were sharp contrasts between one year and another, and a thriftless person might easily be involved in great difficulties in bad times. In this estimate the addition to the resources of the family which the small holding might afford is entirely neglected, as also are the possible earnings of other members

of the family besides the father. At this period the furniture of the wealthiest merchants was "poor and mean," and an artisan could probably have furnished his house comfortably, in accordance with the ideas of the time, for £3 or £4.

Inferior Artisans and Unskilled Labour.

Inferior artisans and most of the agricultural labourers were paid at a rate between 30 and 40 per cent. lower than that of the skilled craftsman, but there was a slightly greater proportional increase in their wages during the period. It is clear that they could not have lived so well as the better class of workman, nor had they the same opportunities of saving. Their employment was less regular, and they must have felt the pinch of dear years more acutely. But the standard of comfort here supposed in the case of skilled workmen might be considerably depressed, and there would still be more than enough for comfortable subsistence. It is plain from the numerous entries in Thorold Rogers' tables of the allowance for food to labourers when they were boarded, that this class lived well, and that a decided improvement in their condition took place during the fifteenth and the early part of the sixteenth century. A thrifty labourer might have saved, and so have raised himself and his children in the social scale, without deprivation of the comforts or the necessaries of life usual at the time. On the whole, therefore, the industrial classes were amply provided with the means of subsistence, and their standard of comfort was rising. They lived in houses, better indeed than the single rooms and hovels in our large cities, which many English workmen inhabit, for they could at least escape into the fresh air; but not so comfortable as an ordinary artisan's dwelling in Yorkshire or Lancashire. They suffered from the constant recurrence of the plague (p. 414), which baffled the medical skill of the time. The perils of infant life and the perils of disease were infinitely greater than they are now. Only the hardy could survive, and the average duration of life was less than it is at the present time. But these hardships were not the result of economic causes, and their diminution or removal in modern times must not be credited to the competitive system, but to improvements in sanitation and progress in medical and surgical science. By dwelling exclusively on such drawbacks, to the neglect of the plain record of wages and prices,

it is possible to paint the fifteenth century in very dark colours. There were probably more paupers in proportion to the population, but there was certainly less poverty; and if we try the fifteenth century by the best criterion, namely, the capability of improvement, and the degree of hopefulness of the industrial population, it compares very favourably with any other period in English history.

Policy of the Crafts.

It remains to trace the influence of the rising standard of comfort, and the increasing accumulations of capital on the industrial system, on legislation, and on the growth of manufactures. The crafts, naturally, insisted more generally on apprenticeship for a definite term, usually seven years, and imposed higher fees and other restrictions as their members became more wealthy (p. 407). In this policy they were aided by statutes, instinct with the same spirit of monopoly and protection. A similar policy has been at all times adopted by organised trades and professions, as a defence against the competition of workers accustomed to a lower standard of comfort than their own; nor can a generation which views with approval the efforts in this direction of lawyers, doctors, dentists, teachers, and artisans, reasonably find fault with the craftsmen of the fifteenth century. It is doubtful whether any real hardship was inflicted on inferior classes of workers; the increase in their wages has already been pointed out, and the outcome of the policy in England was the growth of a numerous body of manufacturers, who were wealthy enough to pass successfully the ordeal of the sixteenth century, yet poor enough to keep in touch with their workpeople, and whose productions gradually forced their way into the markets of Europe. An Act of 1410 imposed a property qualification for apprenticeship to certain trades of 20s. per annum in land or rent. The London citizens complained in 1429 that they were "grievously vexed and inquieted" by this Act, for it was the custom of London that anyone, not of villan estate and condition, but of free estate and condition, might put himself, his son or daughter, apprentice to any freeman of the city, and that any freeman might take such apprentice. An Act was therefore passed, giving legislative sanction to the custom, and excepting London from the operation of Henry IV.'s statute. But the complaint of the London citizens was not

due to sympathy with the agricultural labourers, whose prospects of rising in the world would be likely to be curtailed by a property qualification of the kind imposed.

Journeymen's Associations.

The supposed oppression of journeymen by the masters is said to have brought into existence associations of the latter for the protection of their interests. But when all the evidence relating to these so-called journeymen's associations is strained to the utmost, it does not show that they were of any importance in the industrial system of the fifteenth century. It is doubtful whether they were of any economic significance, and they certainly have nothing in common with the true journeymen's association, which does not appear in England until the eighteenth century. There is no evidence of the systematic oppression of journeymen by the masters in the fifteenth century. Occasional disputes between master and man on quite trivial subjects cannot be regarded as evidence of a social revolution. The masters were the last people in the world against whom the journeymen of the fifteenth century would have combined. If the Statutes of Labourers had been enforced, the endeavour to keep up the rate of wages might have brought such combinations into existence. An Act of 1425, indeed, states that "by the yearly congregations and confederacies made by the masons in their general chapiters and assemblies, the good course and effect of the Statutes of Labourers be openly violated and broken, in subversion of the law, and to the great damage of all the commons," and "at the special request of the commons" all persons taking part in such assemblies were to be adjudged felons, and to be punished by imprisonment.

Statutes of Labourers.

The Statutes of Labourers were several times re-enacted and extended under the Lancastrian sovereigns. Their general tenour was very similar to the great statute of apprenticeship passed in the reign of Elizabeth, which, indeed, codified the thirty-four Acts of the same kind which were unrepealed in 1563. It is a mistake to suppose that Elizabeth's Act first authorised justices of the peace to fix the rate of wages. Extensive powers were granted to them in the fifteenth century for the regulation of wages. It is impossible, within the limits of this chapter, to discuss these

interesting labour laws as they deserve. That which relates to work on holy days and the eves of feasts has already been mentioned, and the clause in the Act of Henry IV., imposing a property qualification for apprenticeship. The certificate of property was to be produced before a justice of the peace. Fresh powers were given to the justices in 1415, and two years later penalties for excessive wages were imposed on the taker only. But in 1424 the justices were empowered to proceed against masters as well for giving wages in excess of the ordinance. It is evident from the language of the statutes themselves that they were rarely enforced, a conclusion fully borne out by the record of the wages actually paid. But some labourers appear to have felt the hardship of attempts to force down their wages by government authority. The case of the masons has already been mentioned. In 1415 the Act states that "servants and labourers flee from county to county, because the ordinances and statutes for them are not executed in every shire."

The Woollen Industry.

The rise in the standard of comfort and the growth of capital during the period led to a great development of the woollen manufacture. That there was a considerable demand in the home markets is evident from the many varieties of cloth mentioned amongst the purchases of individuals and corporations in Thorold Rogers' great work. English cloth had been exported as early as 1265, and the foreign demand must have been by this time very considerable. Capital flowed into the trade, and the clothier, the middleman of the woollen manufacture, rose to importance in the industrial system. With the greater division of labour, the industry afforded opportunities of employment to carders, spinners, winders, and other labourers, both men and women, formerly unknown; and rapidly extended in the rural districts. Thus arose what is known as the domestic system, a system which no doubt had its advantages, but which was destined in the long run to introduce many evils, and to retard the progress of the working classes. For industries organised on this plan lend themselves easily to practices collectively known as sweating at the present time. Weak and isolated, the labourers combine with difficulty for the protection of their interests. They are completely in the power of the middle-man, and

their employment, dependent to a large extent on the will or caprice of a single individual, or a small group of individuals, in their district, is insecure and irregular. As early as the reign of Edward IV. it was found necessary to pass an Act to check the truck system. The Act provides that "whereas before this time, in the occupations of cloth-making, the labourers thereof have been driven to take a great part of their wages in pins, girdles, and other unprofitable wares, under such price [as stretcheth not to the extent of their wages], and also have delivered to them wools to be wrought by [very] excessive weight [whereby both men and women have been discouraged]. . . . Therefore, . . . every man and woman, being clothmakers, . . . shall pay to carders and spinsters, etc., current coin, and give due weight of wools." The statute book at this period is full of acts regulating the woollen manufacture, too numerous to give in detail, but which show the variety and importance of the industry.

Although the subsidiary branches of the woollen manufacture were perhaps generally combined with some agricultural occupation, it is clear that a class of labourers was appearing who depended entirely on the wages of industry for their subsistence. An Act of 1448–9 mentions "men, weavers, fullers, and dyers, and women [websters], carders and spinners," who "do know none other occupations," and "of very necessity" are "constrained for their living to do the same occupations." The earliest accounts we have of the wages of women workers under the domestic system show that they were very poorly paid. The greater diversity, also, of the conditions in which the manufacture was carried on, the fact that many of the workers were drawn from the poorest class in the community, who had never been under the discipline of the gild system, and the absence or ill-success of the means to keep up a high standard of workmanship, gave rise to abuses which were kept in check in the better organised trades of the country. There is, in fact, reason to believe that the period of transition from the old system to the new was marked by evils analogous to those which attended the greater changes of the eighteenth century, although some of the causes, such as the excessive dearness of provisions, the heavy taxation for the French war, and a bad poor law, which made the latter so disastrous to large bodies

of workers, were absent in the fifteenth century. The vacillation of the Government, exhibited in the frequent changes of the law, shows how difficult it was found to regulate the rapidly extending manufacture.

It was not only in the woollen industry, however, that great progress took place during the fifteenth century. The catalogue of trades in the statutes of Richard III. and Edward IV. for the protection of native industries against foreign competition, shows that there was a growing demand for other commodities. In addition to textile fabrics, iron and hardware goods, harness and saddlery, and many other home products are mentioned. The cry for protection probably did not arise before English manufacturers had discovered that they could compete with foreigners in more than the merely local markets they had hitherto supplied. In the same way the growth of a separate and distinct class of tradesmen and shopkeepers led to restrictions on the retail business of foreign merchants, a course which was keenly resented by the Hanse merchants as a violation of their privileges.

Protection of Native Industries.

Amongst other signs of the growth of capital and industry in the fifteenth century, the rise of the Merchant Adventurers is one of the most important. An offshoot of the Mercers' Company of London, they obtained their first charter as an organised association in 1407, and grew rapidly in influence and wealth. The appearance of a body of English merchants engaged exclusively in the exportation of woollen cloth shows how greatly the foreign demand for English cloth must have extended since the reign of Edward III. For three hundred years the new company was destined to play an important part in the commercial history of England. It became the type of several other companies in the sixteenth and the seventeenth centuries, and was associated throughout its history with the growth of the mercantile system. Their trade was carried on chiefly with the Netherlands, where they had their mart, first at Bruges and afterwards at Antwerp. Even during the period here described there are not wanting signs of those quarrels and dissensions with foreign merchants and the Staplers, which grew to such a pitch in the sixteenth century. But the

The Merchant Adventurers.

history of these controversies must be deferred to a subsequent chapter.

English foreign trade was still mainly in the hands of the merchants of the Staple, and various bodies of foreign merchants—such as the Hanse merchants, the merchants of Venice, whose fleet, known as "the Flanders galleys," periodically visited Southampton and other ports, and the merchants of Florence. Many Acts of Parliament were passed during this period regulating the Staple trade of the country. The merchants of Genoa, Venice, Catalonia, Aragon, etc., and the merchants of Berwick are generally exempted from the operation of these Acts, which confined the Staple trade to Calais. After the sketch of the general outline of the Staple organisation which has been given in a former chapter (p. 257), it is unnecessary to describe in detail the various modifications of this period; the system of regulation remained substantially unchanged. It should be pointed out, however, that the Staple Acts appear to have been very successfully evaded by merchants who found their restrictions too irksome. In the absence of an adequate system of supervision, a country like England, which abounds in creeks and quiet havens, affords great facilities for an illicit trade. Penalties for evading the statutes of the Staple were imposed in 1430, and another Act of the same year complains that "divers foreign mariners of Flanders, Holland, etc., in divers ports and creeks of the realm smuggle Staple commodities." Another Act withdrew all the licences to export Staple commodities, elsewhere than to Calais, from the merchants of Newcastle and Berwick. In 1432 it was enacted that the value of staple merchandises exported elsewhere than to Calais should be forfeited, except wools exported by special licence. It was also made felony to ship such commodities in creeks, etc.; but this statute was evaded. It was, however, re-enacted and amended in 1435 and 1439. An Act of 1448–9 complains of the decrease of Customs at Calais and the decay of the Staple from various causes. The merchants of the Staple were to enjoy all their former privileges, and elaborate regulations of the trade were imposed. Such were some of the attempts to maintain a system of control over the foreign trade of the country which was fast becoming unsuitable for the times. The fact is, there

Hanse Merchants and Staplers.

was a growing divergence of interest between the associations of foreign merchants, the staplers, who were a mixed body of foreigners and Englishmen, the native merchants, anxious to create a national trade, in the hands of Englishmen, and the growing class of outsiders who found it more profitable to engage in illicit commerce than to trade in accordance with the accepted principles of the time. The disputes with the Hanse merchants illustrate the disintegration of the old system. Their constant complaints of the seizure of their ships and goods, of the violation of their privileges, and of the serious delays and loss thus occasioned, show how jealously they were regarded. Englishmen, on the other hand, brought counter-charges of "colouring," of unfair trading, of evasions of statutes, etc.—charges which, in the reign of Edward VI., caused the withdrawal of their privileges and the victory of the merchant adventurers.

Statutes of Employment, etc.

The difficulty of regulating commerce in accordance with the old system was felt not only in the relations between one body of merchants and another. The Statutes of Employment and those regulating the importation and exportation of bullion were constantly being amended. In 1401 gold and silver found in course of exportation were to be forfeited, except reasonable expenses, and merchant strangers were compelled to employ one-half the bullion they brought with them in the purchase of English commodities. Gold and silver money of Scotland or Flanders was to be "voided" out of the realm, and its importation was forbidden. Two years later, to remedy a scarcity of halfpence and farthings of silver, it was provided that one-third of the silver bullion imported should be coined to meet the deficiency, and goldsmiths were forbidden to melt such halfpence and farthings. Another Act of the same year provided that the money received by merchant strangers or denizens for goods imported should be laid out in English commodities. This statute was confirmed in 1404, and customers were directed to take surety of foreign merchants to observe the law. Aliens were to sell their merchandise within a quarter of the year, but this clause was repealed in 1405, because it was "found hurtful and prejudicial as well for the king and his realm as for the said merchants, aliens, and strangers." Aliens were not to sell merchandise to each

other, and posts were assigned to them. Other Acts on the same lines, more or less stringent, were passed under Henry V. and Henry VI. An Act of 1410 states that "certain merchants, aliens, in London and other towns, have taken and hold great houses, and sometimes bring in the year 1,000 or 2,000 cloths of fine white, dye it themselves, make garments and pack the same in their houses, and in the parks pack fine wool, gold and silver in barrels, and bring the same out of the realm without paying subsidy or custom." It is not surprising that such practices, all of which were contrary to the law of the land, aroused great hostility against foreign merchants.

One of the most usual methods employed during this period for securing markets for English goods was the negotiation of commercial treaties. Few years passed without some international agreement affecting English trade in a greater or less degree; and they show how widely extended English commerce was becoming. The most important treaties are those dealing with the trade between England and Flanders. That of 1467, one of the long series leading up to the Magnus Intercursus (1496; pp. 450, 452, 554), is a good example of the arrangements made at this time for regulating the most important branch of English commerce. The subjects of both countries, whether dealers in wool, hides, or provisions or other articles, were to have free access by land or water, with liberty to buy and sell all kinds of merchandise, except warlike stores, on paying the duties, established when commerce had free course between the two countries. Each prince, in case of scarcity, might prohibit the exportation of provisions. The fishermen on both sides might freely fish in any part of the sea, without needing formal licences or safe-conducts, and, if driven by necessity into any port on the opposite coast, they should be kindly treated, provided they paid the customary dues, committed no fraud, and did no damage. Then followed several clauses relating to neutral vessels, and the prevention of piracy—the merchant's principal danger in the fifteenth century. There were other treaties during this period—with France, Castile, Portugal, Prussia, Denmark (p. 494), and the Mediterranean cities. The contracting parties did not, it is to be feared, strictly observe the conditions they imposed upon

Commercial Treaties.

themselves, though they were drawn up with great elaborateness of detail. The Duke of Burgundy prohibited the sale of English goods in Flanders, in spite of a direct obligation to permit freedom of trade. Foreign ships and goods were seized by English seamen contrary to treaties granting them immunity, and foreigners retaliated. The merchants of Venice complained that they dare not avail themselves of the permission to resort to England, unless they had a special safe-conduct as well. The existence of a commercial treaty, therefore, was no guarantee that merchants would be allowed to pursue their calling unmolested. Notwithstanding this drawback, however, there can be no doubt that trading connections increased in number, and that greater uniformity and equality of commercial privileges was the outcome of the numerous commercial treaties between the countries of Europe. By these means, also, much was accomplished in building up the fabric of international law, and in promoting the extension of trade and commerce by peaceful negotiations.

Piracy.

It would be wrong to infer from the prevalence of piracy at this period (p. 337) that commerce must have declined. On the contrary, it was probably the increase of commerce, unaccompanied by the growth of adequate means for its defence, which made the pirate's calling so profitable. Nor was the evil confined to the professional pirate class, if we may use the expression. Even recognised associations of merchants frequently indulged in practices which can only be characterised as piracy. Commerce, in fact, was deeply imbued with the spirit of lawlessness, and in these circumstances it is probable that the depredations of pirates did not excite the same alarm nor discourage trade in the same degree as would be the case in more law-abiding times. In the fifteenth century the profession of Christianity and extreme respectability were not incompatible with a life of violence and outrage, and it is to be feared that in some cases the Governments which should have repressed pirates by the severest measures, encouraged their depredations. Certainly they have never enjoyed such immunity from the strong arm of the law as in the fifteenth century (p. 183). Outrage and robbery went on unchecked along the coasts and in the track of merchant vessels. No trader was safe even in the rivers and ports of his own country. The pirates burnt and

sacked towns as important as Sandwich and Southampton; they carried off not only the goods they could lay their hands on, but men and women, and even children, whom they held to ransom. Unable to look to the Government for protection of life and property while they were engaged in trade, the merchants were thrown upon their own resources to provide security. The best method of grappling with the pirates, and that which was most frequently adopted, was for merchant vessels to sail together in such numbers that they could repel attack; and these voluntary efforts were sometimes aided by the Government. In 1406 Henry IV. granted the merchants 3s. on every cask of wine imported, and certain payments on Staple exports for purposes of defence. Two Admirals were appointed, one for the north and the other for the south, with full jurisdiction in maritime affairs and power to organise naval forces. But this scheme was unsuccessful. A similar expedient was tried in 1453, but abandoned two years afterwards. The only satisfactory remedy would have been a strong navy, but the conditions necessary for this had not yet been realised. The country could not have supported the charge of maintaining a strong naval force; and although Henry V. devoted much attention to ship-building, and built at Southampton three famous ships—the *Trinity*, the *Grace de Dieu*, and the *Holy Ghost*—and Edward IV. revived for three years the navigation policy of Richard II., the development of English shipping was left to individual efforts. That merchants were beginning to realise the importance of the subject, and were becoming wealthy enough to build vessels of a considerable size, is evident from the operations of John Taverner, of Kingston-upon-Hull, and the famous William Cannynges of Bristol, the latter of whom is said to have possessed 2,470 tons of shipping and some vessels of 900 tons burthen.

"The Libelle of Englyshe Polycye."

The commercial ideas of this period are very well illustrated in the "Libelle of Englyshe Polycye," a political poem written about the end of the year 1436, to which reference has already been made (p. 344). The author appears to have been well informed, and gives many interesting particulars of the commerce of the period. Throughout the poem we are reminded of the arguments which had so much weight with the writers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. The author

complains that English merchants exported their commodities in foreign bottoms, to the discouragement of native shipping, and that foreign merchants had more privileges in England than English merchants in foreign parts. He condemns the importation of luxuries in terms which would have pleased a writer of the mercantilist school. His arguments relative to Ireland and Wales remind us of the language of the age which saw the deliberate subordination of the interests of colonies and dependencies to those of the mother country. The sentiments, and in part the language, of the author of the "Libelle" are reproduced in a poem of a somewhat later date on the commercial policy of England.*

C. R. L. FLETCHER. Town Life.

If we are to take a last glimpse at the life of the English towns before the Middle Ages close, we shall find little real difference between the 14th and 15th centuries. The disintegrating tendencies, which broke up their internal economy into a lot of separate trades and crafts, were still at work, and had resulted in the almost complete triumph of the craft gilds. The new "charters of incorporation," which began to be given to the towns from the reign of Henry VI., confined the franchise, both parliamentary and municipal, almost wholly to the freemen of the gilds, and the gilds were every day narrowing themselves. Instead of being societies for the maintenance of small capital and labour in the same hands, and for securing an equal remuneration to all labourers engaged in the craft, they were tending to become associations for the investment of capital. Where this was the case, the journeyman would already be sinking in the social scale, and would gradually lose his chance of rising to be a master. Though competition had not yet supplanted custom as the mainspring of trade, its germ was already there, and the mercantile ideas sometimes attributed to Edward IV. probably helped to foster it.

Disintegration Begun.

If the early part of the 14th century was the golden age of the Cinque Ports, the early part of the 15th was the golden age of the towns of Norfolk. Before the close of the reign of Richard II. the French navy had amply avenged on Winchelsea and Rye,

The Decline of the Cinque Ports.

* "Political Poems" (ed. Wright), Rolls Series.

on Hastings and Portsmouth, and even on places as far distant as Dartmouth and Yarmouth, the crushing defeats of Sluys and of "L'Espagnols sur mer." Matters did not improve under the Lancastrian kings. No complaint is more frequent in parliament than the neglect "to keep the sea," *i.e.* the narrow seas between Dover and Calais, and the whole of the English Channel. Piracy abounded, and town after town woke up to find itself in ashes. Not but that there were occasional fits of energy displayed: Henry V. had been, when Prince of Wales, Lord Warden of the Cinque Ports: and Henry Pay, of Faversham, had won some useful victories over French and Spaniards in the preceding reign. It was from Southampton, long the principal post for the Venetian galleys, that Henry V. sailed, with the most considerable fleet mediaeval England ever saw, to the barren conquest of Northern France; but the reign of Henry VI. is more conspicuous for the burnings repeatedly inflicted on English coast-towns by French squadrons or privateers than for any active measures of retaliation. Hence the Cinque Ports appear as enthusiastically Yorkist as early as 1450, if indeed Jack Cade's insurrection can be considered a Yorkist movement. But even the Earl of Warwick, who was already taking the lead in English naval affairs, was unable to protect Sandwich from being fearfully plundered by the French in 1457.

The Towns of Norfolk.

There can be no doubt, however, that if any portion of England was prosperous in the 15th century, it was the county of Norfolk. Though Norwich does not invariably appear on the assessment rolls as the second city of the kingdom, being more than once surpassed by Bristol and once by York, it is oftener in the position of "proximus sed longo intervallo" to London than any other place. And the late Professor Thorold Rogers has well pointed out that the assessment of the *county* of Norfolk, *i.e.* the county including the greatest number of small clothing towns, was greater than that of any other county (London being excluded from the rating of Middlesex). The great Norfolk churches belong largely to the 15th century. Little places like Aylsham and North Walsham were famous each for its own special kind of woollen manufacture. Little old-world havens, more stranded now if possible than the smaller Cinque Ports themselves, like Lynn (before modern

improvements) and Wells, towards which sluggish tidal channels now crawl and wind, nay ridiculous villages like Cley and Blakeney, in comparison with which nineteenth-century Winchelsea is a roaring mart—counted their tonnage by the thousand, and their vessels by the score. There is an old tradition that the merchants of the Hanseatic League once traded with Cley in such numbers that a special portion of the churchyard was reserved for them to be buried in: and it is certain that the Cley men had a complaint to make to the king along with the men of York, London, Colchester, Yarmouth, Norwich and Lynn, etc., against the merchant-pirates of Wismar and Rostock, who had robbed their ships on the high seas. Lynn was great enough to have an establishment of its own at that great outport of the Hansa, Bergen, in Norway. All visitors to North Norfolk are familiar with the splendid church of Worsted, which may be seen from the Great Eastern Railway between Norwich and Cromer; but perhaps not everyone realises that the humble domestic thread of which our stockings are knitted was named after the place.

Worsted.

But it would be as great a mistake to imagine that the woollen industry was confined to Norfolk, as to imagine that it dated only from the 15th century. It must always be remembered that mediæval statistics are the most fluctuating and untrustworthy things in the world. Temporary causes such as fire, Frenchmen, famine, and pestilence constantly caused towns to lose their position for a few years—perhaps, never to recover it. There was regular practice of allowing £6,000 for "decayed towns and districts" out of the £38,000 to which the tax called a tenth and fifteenth amounted; and over and over again we are surprised to find even such towns as Yarmouth, Lincoln, and Cambridge claiming their share of this exemption. Yarmouth possibly fell somewhat rapidly from the contemporary decay of its former rivals and later allies—the Cinque Ports. Indeed, Lynn certainly appears as the more important Norfolk port throughout the 15th century, so that, on the whole, it is extremely hard to get an accurate idea as to the prosperity or the reverse of the period. Perhaps we should not be far wrong if we put it somewhat in this shape: (1) The woollen manufactures were extending. We may cite

The Woollen Industry.

two pieces of direct evidence for this: first, the great falling-off in the produce of the export custom on wool, which shows itself almost parallel with the increase of pasture-land and the decrease of tillage ; and, secondly, the repeated efforts of the Government, to which the statistics bear witness, to keep the children of agricultural labourers to the profession of their fathers, while their tendency, which such statutes vainly endeavoured to check, was to go off into the towns and seek employment in the new industries. Rogers has no doubt that the depopulation of the country districts in favour of the towns had been going on ever since, if not before, the peasant revolt of 1381 (indeed, it must be remembered that one of the principal ways in which a villan could become a freeman was the residence for a year and a day in a privileged town) ; and he quotes an instance of a "plea of villanage" being set up against a free burgess of Gravesend by Sir Simon Burley, as one of the causes which immediately hurried on that rising.

But (2) this very influx of non-native labourers into the towns—men who would at first be glad to take any service and at any rate—would naturally deepen the cleavage of classes, which was already beginning within the town, and which has been alluded to above. We find, therefore, alongside of much wealth and richness of living among the great merchants, of whom we shall soon be able to speak as capitalists, much misery and poverty among the journeyman class ; probably, indeed, even more than in the previous century, in proportion as the monasteries and other similar institutions wore themselves out, and ceased to fulfil their charitable as well as their religious duties ; and as the craft gilds more and more lost their original character of friendly societies. And (3) there seems little reason to doubt that the wool trade, though still largely localised in East Anglia, was spreading itself also over a considerable portion of the country in driblets. The population of England in the early years of the 15th, as in the early years of the 16th century, has been guessed at about two and a half millions ; and it has also been guessed that the proportion of urban to rural in this estimate is only as one to twelve. But while such various figures as 40,000 are assigned by one writer, and 130,000 by another, as the total for the metropolis, it would not be wise to build too much upon these calculations.

Ilchester.

Yet the more one rummages into mediaeval documents, the more does one come across evidences of trade or municipal life unsuspected before. Who would suspect that the grey old Somersetshire village—it is hardly more—called Ilchester, the "camp on the Ivel," or Yeovil river, which has probably had an almost unbroken municipal life from Roman times, was in the 14th and 15th centuries regarded as of almost equal importance with Bristol? It stands on the old Roman road, called the Foss-way, about half-way between Bath and Exeter. Like London, it had its "Chepe," or market street. It possessed five churches, two great crosses, a nunnery, a leper hospital outside the walls, a Dominican friary, whose buildings originally within them soon extended without also, and a famous almshouse, founded by one Robert Veel as late as 1426. The Rev. H. Hayman, who gives a most interesting account of the religious foundations of Ilchester in the *Antiquary* for September, 1883, further points out that Veel's endowment of the hospital was so large and increased so rapidly that it practically amounted to an endowment of the corporation of the town. If so, the bequest was not long in bearing natural fruits of a premium on idleness. The aldermen of Ilchester no doubt grew fat, and the incentive to industry being taken away, the town appears never to have shared in the woollen trade, which in the 16th century began to fix itself in many of the towns of eastern Somerset. Its decay was rapid, and in 1540 Leland found only one church which was not in ruins. Ilchester, however, depended for its importance not so much upon any special branch of trade as from being a sort of provincial capital, and the centre of a great district. But such towns as Kendal in the north, Salisbury and Winchester in the south, Colchester in the east, were far renowned for special woollen manufactures before the accession of Henry VII. The fact that Coventry had long been important as the centre of the dyeing industry probably points to other localities for cloth manufacture in the Midlands; and the statutes of Edward IV. teem with allusions to various and distinct employments, such as those of carders, spinners, weavers, fullers and shearmen. Division of labour, which was to be characteristic of the new age of competition, may be reckoned therefore to have already begun.

It would be a mistake to attempt to give any account of town life in the 15th century without alluding to the great frequency of pestilence and local famines, which seem to have recurred intermittently ever since the Black Death of 1348 (p. 415). In 1400, for the first time, we hear of the importation of corn—probably in Hanseatic vessels—from the shores of the Baltic. The conditions of life in a crowded English town at the present day are, though doubtless less "beautiful," probably healthier, owing to improved drainage, than in rural districts. The reverse was certainly the case in the Middle Ages. Each of the leading Oxford colleges possessed a pest-house at some convenient distance from the city to which the Fellows migrated when the "sickness" was hot under the shadow of St. Mary's spire. "In 1406 the plague," says Mr. Denton in his "England in the 15th Century," "was so violent in London that Henry IV. preferred to run the risk of being captured by pirates in the Thames on his way from Kent to Essex rather than take the natural route over London Bridge. After six fierce attacks within twenty-eight years, four months of plague in 1477 swept off three times the number of people who had perished in the civil wars during the previous fifteen years." Six years before that, Sir John Paston writes: "I fear that there is great death in Norwich and in the other towns in Norfolk, for I assure you it is the most universal death that ever I wist in England." In 1485 we first hear of the "sweating sickness" (p. 560), which was to be the typical epidemic of the succeeding seventy years.

Pestilence.

Yet it cannot be denied that luxury was on the increase so far as outward show was concerned. The account of the splendid entertainment offered to Edward IV. by William Cannynge, merchant of Bristol, in 1461, in his magnificent home with its tiled floors, rich stained-glass windows and sumptuous hangings; the beautiful timbered houses which still overhang the old streets of Tewkesbury, in one of which Prince Edward of Lancaster is said to have been stabbed in 1471 after the battle; above all, the splendid buildings in the collegiate foundations of our two universities which owe their origin to Chicheley and Waynflete and the sainted Henry of Windsor, all point to an age which, in the upper ranks at least, was beginning to understand comfort. Yet, as Mr. Cunningham

points out, Cannynge's guests probably slept very many in a bed, and his tables were probably mere tressels such as we should be ashamed to put up for a school treat, while other furniture there would be little or none.

Whether, in conclusion, we are inclined to accept the gloomy view of Mr. Denton that England had seriously retrograded from the death of Edward I. to the accession of Henry VII., or the brighter view of Professor Rogers, that a steady and continual increase of the comforts of life had taken place, will depend chiefly upon the *latest* statistics which have been brought before us. There are statistics enough to bear out either view.

C. CREIGHTON.
Public Health.

As the most widely divergent views have been taken of the social state of England in the fifteenth century, so have the conceptions been variously coloured regarding the public health in that period. Of one thing we may be sure—there was no longer leprosy in the country. In the reign of Henry VI. new charters were made for two of the most distinctive of the old leper-hospitals, those of Durham and Lincoln. The new charter of the former makes provisions for two lepers (where there had once been sixty), "if they can be found in these parts;" and that of the latter, while assigning the hospital to other uses, provides for the contingency of leprous applicants, adding the pious wish that it might never arise.

Leprosy Disappears.

The disappearance of leprosy from England in the fifteenth century may be taken as absolute; and there could be no better index of the fact that the weight of misery, such as it had ever been in the middle ages, was already lifted. The period was for England one of peaceful development, notwithstanding the Wars of the Roses. It was the great time of the thrifty yeoman and his stalwart sons, of the decent burgher and his industrious apprentices, of fine churches in town and country—the time when Chief Justice Fortescue contrasted the commons of England with the commons of France to the infinite advantage of the former: "They eat plentifully of all kinds of flesh and fish. They wear fine woollen cloth in all their apparel; they have abundance of bed-coverings in their houses, and of

all other woollen stuff; they have a great store of all hustlements and implements of household; they are plentifully furnished with all instruments of husbandry, and all other things that are requisite to the accomplishment of a quiet and wealthy life according to their estates and degrees." And Philip de Comines, towards the end of the century, confirmed the happy contrast of the English commons with those of France, from the side of his native French experience, specially remarking that the Civil Wars were not of a kind to touch the domestic peace and prosperity of the nation. It happens that the records for the fifteenth century are few, so that one is apt to project into it the better known history from the times of Edward III. and Richard II. preceding it, or from the time of Henry VIII. following it. The latter part of the fourteenth century was certainly an unhappy period in the history of England; the first Tudor reigns were, for the common people, not less distressful, although in another way. In the earlier period Wyclif has a significant remark, that the friars came no longer to poor men's houses, "for the stink and other filth;" which may mean, either that the friars were become more fastidious, as indeed they were, or that the dwellings of the poor were more sluttish than they had been before the great mortality came to shake the foundations of society and to demoralise the nation in all its ranks. For the first Tudor reigns we have evidence of the country swarming with poor people evicted from their old manorial holdings, of crowded gaols, and gaol-fever, and of the sudden establishment of pauperism on the great scale as a permanent British institution. But there is no warrant to carry the earlier state of things forwards into the fifteenth century, nor to carry the later state of things backwards into it. Fifteenth-century England had recovered from calamities on the one side, and had not yet plunged into those on the other. Even of famines, which were at the mercy of the skies, it had only one of greater magnitude than a local scarcity, the great famine of 1438, which was still more acutely felt in Scotland and in France, and was the climax of two or more bad seasons.

Plague.

Although the fifteenth century is undistinguished in the annals as a time of famines or of a poor level of general well-being, yet it had its own share

of plague. But it should be remembered that plague in England was a direct inheritance from the foreign invasion of the Black Death, having reappeared four, or perhaps five, times in the fourteenth century in general outbursts over the country, although far less disastrous than their great original. These general outbursts of sickness (for the most part the plague) continued into the fifteenth century: one of them fell sometime between 1405 and 1407, and is said by the St. Albans annalist to have left desolate many humble homes which had been gladdened by a numerous progeny; another attended and followed the great famine of 1438, and was most felt in the year 1439, being called "the pestilence," and said to be universal throughout the realm more commonly than usual. (In Scotland the first effects of famine are known to have been dysentery in 1438, which was followed by proper plague, or "the Pestilence sans Mercy," in the end of the year.) Then, in 1464–65, we hear again of universal sickness and of many thousands dying, as the Croyland Chronicle says, "like sheep slaughtered." A few years after, in the autumn of 1471, Sir John Paston writes from near Winchester: "I cannot hear by pilgrims that pass the country, nor none other man that rideth or goeth any country, that any borough town in England is free from that sickness," which we know from other sources to have been the true plague. The special mention of the borough towns is important; for from that time onwards plague was almost restricted to the towns, and to a few of these in one and the same season; the succession of general epidemics, which were counted to the *quinta* or *sexta*, in the fourteenth century, and might have been continued to *nona* or *decima* in the century following, comes to an end probably with the epidemic of 1464. The Black Death had as if spent itself, so far as concerned the country at large; and, although the same type of sickness occurred in villages and country-houses to the very end of the plague, in 1666, yet, for the last two hundred years of its stay in England, it was distinctively a disease of the summer and autumn in the poorer quarters of the towns. Of these London always took the lead; even from the scanty records of the fifteenth century, the existence of plague in the capital to a more or less dangerous extent can be traced in most years. Among the larger provincial towns, Norwich, Exeter,

York, Newcastle, and Hull, are known to have had severe visitations, the last especially having been reduced to absolute desolation by three outbreaks between 1472 and 1478. But the fullest record of fifteenth-century plague comes from Oxford. Anthony Wood counted in the various college registers no fewer than thirty pests, great or small, which had so interrupted the studies of the place, and had so encouraged idleness and "several sorts of vice," that it was consulted of great personages whether the university seat should not be removed elsewhere, many colleges and halls having ceased to be, while the best were slenderly tenanted, and whole quarters of the town decayed.

The wars of York and Lancaster, says De Comines, did not touch the lives and homes of the common people, but were restricted in their effects to the nobles and their retainers. But some of the battles were bloody, there was much military stir, and doubtless plague was helped thereby, as it most certainly was by the grim struggle between Parliament and the king two centuries after. By all accounts, the most severe plague-period of the fifteenth century was between 1464 and 1479; the autumn of 1471, when Sir John Paston heard of plague in the towns from every passing pilgrim or packman, was the year of Barnet and Tewkesbury. We may believe Chief Justice Fortescue and Philip de Comines when they contrast the happy lot of the English common people with those of France; but, inasmuch as war, with the occupation of towns and the slaughter of men and horses, gave new vitality to the lurking seeds of plague, the people suffered indirectly from the strifes of their rulers. The peculiar effects of plague upon the population, and upon the average of well-being, which were doubtless felt as much in the fifteenth century as in later times, will be considered under the reign of Henry VIII.

SOCIAL LIFE.

IN the course of a century the costume of a civilised country, and one, like England, that mixed much with Continental peoples, must necessarily undergo great changes. The *cote-hardie* began in the reign of Edward III., was worn by ladies, *temp.* Richard II.; and very graceful it was, fitting the body tightly to the

hips and buttoning in front. In fact, the buttons of a lady's dress of this period were no joke, as shown in the brass of Eleanor Corp, in Stoke Fleming Church (1391). Her sleeves are tight and reach nearly to her knuckles, and from the shoulders to the wrist, on each arm, are sixty-three buttons. No wonder the romancist sang:

Feminine Dress.

> "To tell her botenes was dure,[1]
> Anameled with azure."

[1] Hard.

This very pretty fashion of a close-fitting garment doubtless led to somewhat tight lacing, and the waists were worn long, as were the dresses. But about this time a close-fitting jacket came into vogue, sometimes with sleeves, sometimes without: it came to the hips, and its edges were trimmed with fur, and the gown underneath was generally of a different colour. The hair was confined within a net or caul, called a crespisne, which covered the whole scalp. When they rode out they sometimes wore hoods like the men, winding the liripipes, or long ends, round their heads. The Good Wif of Bathe, however, wore a hat:—

> "Hir coverchiefs ful fyne weren of ground –
> I dorste swere they weyeden ten pound—
> That on a Sonday weren upon hir head.
> Hir hosen weren of fyn scarlet reed;
> Ful streit yteyd, and shoes ful moyste and newe;
> * * * * *
> Up-on an amblere esily she sat,
> Ywympled wel, and on hir heed an hat,
> As brood as is a bokeler or a targe;
> A foot mantel aboute hir hipes large,
> And on hire feet a paire of spores sharpe."

But she was not fashionably attired, as she wore the wimple, which had ceased to be modish for some time.

There was very little mutation in ladies' dress till about 1420, when the sleeves of the kirtle came no farther than the wrists, and were no longer buttoned. The gowns of ladies of rank were now very short waisted, and they were sometimes made with a square collar, which turned over the

shoulders, and with large sleeves, like those of a surplice. About this time a singular fashion came into vogue with regard to the hair. The crespisne, or caul, was enlarged, so as to accommodate the hair, being puffed, or padded out on each side. These puffs began to be heightened, until, when covered with the mantle, they assumed somewhat the shape of horns, and were called horned head-dresses. Lydgate wrote against them in "A Ditty of Women's Horns," and in the following verse (much modernised in spelling) he says:

Hair Dressing.

"Clerkes record, by great authority,
Horns were given to beasts for defence;
A thing contrary to feminity,
To be made sturdy of resistance.
But arch wives, eager in their violence,
Fierce as tigers for to make affray,
They have despite, and act against conscience.
List not to pride, then horns cast away."

In the centre, between the horns, was sometimes a pad or cushion; upon which, in the case of a lady of high rank, a small coronet was placed. They became more and more outrageous until they were superseded, in the reign of Edward IV., by the tall steeple cap, or the wired, or butterfly head-dress, from both of which a veil of fine materials depended, which was sometimes so long as to be tucked over the arm to prevent its trailing on the ground. The butterfly head-dress was a modification of the steeple cap, and was so called because of the two wing-like ornaments worn at the side. A very good example of this head-dress is in a brass of Lady Say (1473) in Broxbourne Church (Herts). It somewhat resembles a Norman peasant girl's head-dress, or that of a Belgian Beguine. The hair was brushed straight back off the forehead; and, with the steeple caps, none was visible.

The dress now worn by ladies was a close-fitting, tightly-laced gown, low at the neck, so as to display the necklaces which were then worn (as were rings on the fingers), and trimmed with fur. A girdle was worn, and the skirts of the dress were ample; so much so that they could be carried over the arm.

Woman had taken her proper position in society. She was the housewife, and did not disdain to look after the affairs of her establishment; and, in the absence of her husband, could do her duty as *châtelaine*, as we see from the following portion of a letter from Margaret Paston to John Paston (1449):—

The Housewife.

"Ryt wurchipful hwsbond, I recomawnd me to yu, and prey yw to gete som crosse bowis, and wyndacs [winches] to bynd [wind], them with, and quarrels [bolts for shooting]; for your hwsis her ben so low that ther may non man schet owt with no long bowe, thow we hadde never so moche nede. I sopose ye xuld have seche thyngs of Ser Ion Fastolf, if ye wold send to hym; and also I wold ye xuld gete ij. or iij. schort pelleaxis to kepe with doris, and als many jakkys, and ye may.

Partryche and his felaschep arn sor aferyd that ye wold entren ayen up on them, and they have made grete ordnawnce withinne the hwse, as it is told me. They have made barris to barre the dorys crosse weyse, and they have made wykets on every quarter of the hwse to schote owte atte, both with bowys and with hand gunnys; and the holys that ben made fovr hand gunnys, they ben scarse kne hey from the plawncher [floor], and of soche holis ben made fyve. There can non man schete owt at them with no hand bowys."

But Dame Paston is a true woman, and gives her husband a commission to execute:—

"I pray yw that ye wyl vowche save to don bye for me j. *li* (one pound) of almands and j. *li* of sugyr, and that ye wille do byen sume frese to maken of your child is gwnys; ye xall have best chepe and best choyse of Hayis wyf, as it is told me. And that ye wyld bye a yerd of brode clothe of blac for an hode for me of xliiij.[d] or iiij.[s] a yerd for ther is nether gode cloth ner god fryse in this twn. As for the child is gwnys, and I have them, I will do hem maken."

Here, then, we find husband and wife in as full accord as ever they are at the present time, the wife careful of her charge at home, and heedful of her husband's pocket, even to making the child's gown, if she has the material: but this might be expected from a wife who could write to her husband in a former letter (1443) such comfortable advice as the following:—

"And I pray you also, that ye be wel dyetyd of mete and drynke, for that is the grettest helpe that ye may have now to your helthe ward."

The wife had her meals with her husband, sat by his side

afterwards, and was his daily companion when he was at home, and entertained his guests in his absence. She had plenty to do, for she had the direction of the whole of the establishment, besides looking after her maidens, who were of good degree, and to whom she taught housewifery, and who were on a footing of equality with the family. They spun together, and wove also, carded wool and heckled flax; embroidered and made garments, whilst the children also had to be taught. There were the pet birds and squirrels in cages to be looked after, cleaned and fed; and there were intervals for music and for conversation. They danced, played chess and draughts, and read the last thing out in romances; they played at ball together, and wove garlands of flowers in the garden. The garden formed a great feature in the country life of the period, and we see in Chaucer's "Frankelyn's Tale" how Dorigene's friends try to rouse her from her melancholy:

> "Hire freendes sawe that it was no disport
> To romen by the see, but disconfort,
> And shopen [1] for to pleyen somwher elles.
> They leden hire by ryveres, and by welles,
> And eek in othere places delitables;
> They daunceen, and they pleyen at ches and tables.[2]
> So on a day, right in the morwe tyde,
> Un-to a gardyn that was ther bisyde.
> In which that they hadde maad hir ordinaunce
> Of vitaille, and of oother purveiance,
> They goon and pleye hem al the longe day;
> And this was in the sixte morwe of May,
> Which May hadde peynted with his softe shoures
> This gardyn, ful of leves and of floures,
> And crafte of mannes hand so curiously
> Arrayed hadde this gardyn, trewely,
> That nevere was ther gardyn of swich prys
> But if it were the verray Paradys.
> The odour of floures, and the fresshe sighte
> Wolde han maked any herte lighte
> That evere was born, but if to greet siknesse,
> Or to greet sorwe, helde it in distresse,
> So ful was it of beautee with pleasaunce."

[1] Arranged. [2] Backgammon.

A very good description of a garden of this period is given in the romantic poem of "The Squyr of Lowe

Degre," and it appears to have been not only a garden, but an aviary:—

"And in the garden, as I wene,
Was an arber fayre and grene;
And in the arber was a tre,
A fayrer in the world might none be.
The tre it was of cypresse,[1]
The fyrst tre that Jesu chose.
The sother wood and lykamoure,
The red rose and the lyly floure.
The box, the beche, and the larel tre,
The date, also the damyse [damson];
The fylbyrdes hangyng to the ground,
The fygge tre and the maple round,
The other trees there was mane one,
The pyany [peony], the poplar and the plane,
With brode braunches all aboute,
Within the arber and eke withoute.
On every braunche sate byrdes thre,
Syngynge with great melody.
The lavorocke and the nightyngale,
The ruddocke [robin], the woodwele [woodpecker],
The pee [magpie], and the popinjaye [parrot].
The thrustle saynge both nyght and daye;
The marlyn [merlin] and the wrenne also,
The swalowe whypping to and fro
The jaye jangled them amonge,
The larke began that mery songe;
The sparowe spredde her on her spraye,
The mavys song with notes full gaye;
The nuthake with her notes newe,
The sterlynge set her notes full trewe;
The goldfynche made full mery chere
When she was bent upon a brere
And many other foules mo,
The osyll [ousel or blackbird] and the thrush also."

[1] The Cross is said to have been made of three woods, of which cypress is one.

Out of doors the ladies walked freely, disdaining not the company of the male sex; they gathered wild flowers, and in fine weather had their meals upon the grass, and organised picnics, at which they had bread, wine, fish, and pigeon pies. They rode on horseback, and went hunting, hawking, and rabbit-ferreting, and no tournament was complete without their presence—nay, the chivalric ideas of the time allowed them to be Dames of the Garter. Much homage was paid them, and Melusine remarked to her sisters on the inattention of Raymond:

"By my feyth, he that rode now, and passed before us, semyth to be a moche gentylman, and, nevertheles, he makyth of it no semblance, but he showeth the semblaunt of a vylayne or kerle that hath passed so before ladyes without to have salewed [saluted] them."

In a poem written about 1430, "How the Good Wijf taugte Hir Dougtir," we see exactly what the canons of good society were as applied to girls, and it is advice meant to fit her for the sum of her life, her getting married, and her conduct afterwards. She was to love God and go to church, not letting the rain stop her; she was to give alms freely, and when at church was to pray and not to chatter. Courtesy to all went without saying, and when her fate came, the advice was—

The Education of Girls.

"If ony man biddith the worschip, and wolde wedde thee,
Loke that thou scorne him not, what-so-evere he be,
But schewe it to thi freendis, and for-hile [conceal] it nougt;
Sitte not bi him, neither stoonde; there synne mygte be wrougt,
For a sclaundre reised ille
Is yvel for to stille
Mi leve childe."

She was to love her husband and answer him meekly, and then he would love her: she was to be well mannered, not to be rude, nor laugh loudly: "but lauge thou softe and myelde." Her outdoor conduct is thus regulated:

"And whan thou goist in the way, go thou not to faste,
Braundische not with thin heed, thy schuldris thou ne caste [wriggle];
Have thou not to manye wordis; to swere be thou not leefe,
For alle such maners comen to an yvel preef."

She was to drink "mesurabli," or moderately, but it would seem that if, once in a way, temptation overcame discretion, it might pass. "For if thou be ofte drunke, it falle thee to schame." She was to exercise caution in her relations with the other sex, and accept no presents. She was to see that her people worked, and work with them; have faults put right at once, keep her own keys, and be careful whom she trusted, and she was thus to treat her children:

"And if thi children been rebel, and wol not them lowe [submit],
If ony of hem mysdooth, nouther banne hem ne blowe [neither curse nor scold],
But take a smert rodde, and bete hem on a rowe
Til thei crie mercy; and be of her gilt aknowe."

Add to all this, that she was Lady Bountiful, and physician-in-ordinary to all around her, and we get a good idea of the inner life of the woman of this time.

As a companion to this we have "How the Wise Man taugt His Son," and very good moral lessons it contains, not to be improved on nowadays, as the following directions for marriage show:

"And sonne, if thou wolt have a wijf,
 Take hir not for covetise,
But wijseli enqweere of al hir lijf,
 And take good hede, bi myn avice,
That sche be meeke, curteis, and wijs;
 Thoug sche be poure, take thou noon hede,
And sche wole do thee more good service
 Than a riccher, whanne thou hast neede.

* * * *

For it is betere with reste and pees
 A melis meete of hoomeli fare,
Than for to have an hundrid mees,[1]
 With grucchinge[2] and with myche care:
And therfore leerne weel this lore,
 If thou wolt have a wijf with eese,
For ritchesse take hir nevere the more
 Thoug sche wolde thee bothe feffe[3] and cesse."

[1] Dishes. [2] Grumbling. [3] Enfeoff thee with lands and goods.

In Harl. MS. 5,086, written *c.* 1475, we have the whole conduct of an esquire. It commences by recommending the "babee," as he is called throughout, to say "God-speed" when he enters his lord's room; and after saluting all present, to kneel to his lord. He is to stand till told to sit, and to keep quiet, not to scratch himself, or lean against anything. He is to give place to a superior, hold his tongue, and do whatever he is told. At noon, which was dinner time, he is to fetch clean water for his lord to wash his hands with, to give him a towel, and not to leave till his lord has finished washing, and grace is said. He is to cut his bread on a trencher, and not to break it, and he is to take his broth with a spoon, and not to drink it; he must not eat with his mouth full, and he must wipe his lips before he drinks; by no means must he dip his meat in the salt-cellar, nor put his knife into his mouth. He is to taste every dish brought to him, and to have a clean knife and trencher for his cheese. When the meal is finished he was to clean his knife and put it away, wash his hands, and then go to his lord's table.

"And stonde yee there, and passe yee him nat fro,
 While grace ys sayde and brouhte unto an ende,

Thanne somme of you for water owe to goo,
Somme holde the clothe, somme poure uppon his hende."

Chaucer sums up very shortly the esquire:

"Curteis he was, lowely and servysable
And carf beforn his fader at the table."

The Education of Boys.

Boys were brought up in nobles' houses, or they had masters at home. There were for them, otherwise, the monastic and cathedral schools, or the grammar schools, of which, up to 1485, there were many dotted all over the country, as the following list of those best known in this period will show: Derby, St. Albans, Bury St. Edmund's, Thetford, Northallerton, two at Exeter, Melton Mowbray, Winchester College, Hereford, Wotton-under-Edge, Penrith, Oswestry, Sevenoaks, Higham Ferrers, Ewelme, Eton College, London Mercers' School, Chichester, Ipswich, and Wainfleet: and about four times as many in the succeeding century.

Here is a portion of an Eton boy's letter, one from William Paston, Junr., to his "Ryght reverent and worchepful broder" John, 23rd February, 1479:

"Letting yow wete that I receyved a letter from yow, in the whyche letter was viij$^{d.}$ [1] with the whyche I schuld bye a peyer of slyppers. Ferthermor certyfying yow as for the xiij$^{s.}$ iiij$^{d.}$ whyche ye sende by a jentylmannys man for my borde, cawlyd Thomas Newton, was delyvered to myn hostes, and so to my creancer.[2] Mr. Thomas Stevenson; and he hertely recomended hym to you. Also ye sende me worde in the letter of xij. *li.* fyggs and viij. *li.* reysons. I have them not delyvered, but I dowte not I shal have, for Alwedyr tolde me of them, and he sayde that they came aftyr in an other barge. And as for the yong jentylwoman, I wol certyfye yow how I fryste felle in qweyntaince with hyr. Hir fader is dede; ther be ij. systers of them; the elder is just weddyd; at the whych weddyng I was with myn hostes, and also desyryd by the jentylman hym selfe, cawlyd Wylliam Swanne, whos dwyllynge is in Eton."

[1] Money was worth about fifteen times more then than now. [2] Creditor.

(The remainder of the letter is a description of his sweetheart's station in life and prospects, and some Latin verses of his own making.)

That they kept strict discipline at Eton we are told by Tusser, who has a keen recollection of his punishment:

"From Paul's I went, to Eton sent,
To learn straightways the Latin phrase,
When fifty-three stripes, given to me,
At once I had.
For fault but small, or none at all,
It came to pass, thus beat I was.
See, Udall,[1] see, the mercy of thee,
To me, poor lad!"

[1] Head Master of Eton 1534–1541. "The Author's Life" in "Five Hundred Points of Good Husbandrye."

A Walter Paston writes from Oxford, 30th June, 1479, that he had taken his degree:

"And yf ye wyl know I was maad Baschyler. I was maad on Fryday was sevynyth, and I mad my fest on the Munday after. I was promysyd venyson ageyn my fest of my Lady Harcort, and of a noder man to; but I was deseyvyd of both; but my gestes hewld them plesyd with such mete as they had."

Masculine Dress.

Men's dress changed much during the century, and luxury in attire was so conspicuous that, in 1363, sumptuary laws were enacted by Parliament in seven separate Acts. Cap. VIII. regulates the diet and apparel of servants. The men are to wear cloth not to exceed two marks the piece, and the women to wear no veils costing more than xii$^{d.}$ a veil. Cap. IX. says that handicraft men and yeomen must only wear cloth, and no jewellery of any kind; and their wives may not wear silken veils, and no fur except lamb, coney, cat, and fox. Cap. X. deals with gentlemen under the estate of knights, and esquires. The former must only wear cloth, without fur, and no jewellery; but esquires, worth two hundred marks per annum in land, might wear "cloth of silk and of silver, ribband, girdle, and other apparel, reasonably garnished of silver. And that their wives, daughters, and children, may wear furr turned up of miniver, without ermins or letuse, or any maner of stone, but for their heads." Cap. XI. enacts that merchants, citizens, and burgesses who have clearly goods and chattels to the value of £100, may, with their wives and children, dress the same as great men that have land of the yearly value of £100. Cap. XII. says that knights who have land of £200 yearly must not wear cloth of gold, nor fur, either miniver or ermine. Cap. XIII. regulates the dress of clerks, and Cap. XIV. the

apparel "of ploughmen and other of mean estate," who "shall not take nor wear any manner of cloth but blanket, and russett wool of twelve pence, and shall wear the girdles of linen according to their estate." Needless to say these laws were more honoured in the breach than the observance.

In the time of Richard II. luxury in dress greatly increased, the sleeves became longer, as did the outer garment, until it trailed upon the ground, and it was jagged and cut at the edges into leaves, or other fanciful shapes, and Chaucer makes the "Persoun," in his lecture on pride, thus satirise the folly of the day:

Luxury in Dress.

"As to the firste synne, in superfluitee of clothynge, which that maketh it so deere to harm of the peple, nat oonly the cost of embrowdynge, the degise, endentynge, barrynge, owndynge,[1] palynge, wyndynge or bendynge, and semblable wast of clooth in vanitee, but ther is also cost lewe furrynge in hir gownes, so muche powsonynge[2] of chisel to maken holes, so much daggynge[3] of sheres, forth with the superfluitee in lengthe of the forseide gownes, trailynge in the dong, and in the mire, on horse and eek on foote, as wel of men as of wommen."

[1] Waving. [2] Puncturing. [3] Snipping of scissors.

To give an example of the extravagance in dress in this reign, Sir John Arundell, who was drowned at sea in 1379, is said to have had "two and fiftie new sutes of apparell of cloth of gold or tissue," and "it was thought to surmount the apparell of any king." Scarcely so, if we can credit the story that Richard II. had one coat of cloth of gold and precious stones valued at 30,000 marks. In this reign, too, began the singular fashion of very long peaked-toed boots, the length of which was sometimes carried to such an extent, that they were fastened to the knees with chains of gold or silver.

In the Harleian MSS. are two copies (Nos. 536 and 941) of a satirical poem written about 1388, which gives the following description of a man about town of that date:

"Now is he here, and now he is gon,
discurrit ut adrena terram.
Wesche of the newe towch,
incedunt ridiculose,

Lityl or noght in her poweh,
pascuntur deliciose.
Bredder than ever God made
humeri sunt arte tumentes;
Narow they be, they seme brode,
vana sunt hoc facite, gentes.
They bere a newe fascion,
humeris in pectore tergo;
Goddes plasmacion [1]
non illis complacet ergo
Wyde coleres and hye
ei gladio sunt colla parata;
Ware ye the prophecye
contra tales recitata.
Long sporys on her helys,
et rostra fovent ocrearum;
They thynke it do welle
cum non sit regula Sarum.
Astrayth bende hath here hose,
laqueant ad corpora crura;
They may noght, I suppose,
curvare genu sine cura;
Qwen oder men knelys,
pia Christo vota ferentes,
Thei stond at here helys,
sua non curvare valentes,
For hortyng of here hose
non inclinare laborant;
I trow, for here long toos,
dum stant ferialiter orant."

[1] Make, formation.

This curious custom of long-peaked shoes lasted a long time, for we find in 1463, in an Act of Parliament on dress (3 Ed. III. cap. 5), "That no knight under the state of a lord, esquire, gentleman, nor other person, shall use nor wear, after the said feast of St. Peter, any shoes or boots having pikes passing the length of two inches, upon pain to forfeit to the king for every default three shillings and four pence. And if any shoemaker makes any pikes of shoes or boots, after the said feast of St. Peter, to any of the said persons, contrary to this ordinance, he shall likewise forfeit to the king for every default, four shillings and four pence."

Shoes.

Chaucer helps us marvellously as to the dress worn by persons of different estate. The poor knight rides in a jupon

of fustian, which was spoilt by wearing over his hauberk, but the esquire was more *point device* in his dress.

> "Embrouded [1] was he, as it were a meede
> Al ful of fresshe floures whyte and reede
> Short was his gowne, with sleves longe and wyde."

[1] Embroidered.

The yeoman in attendance

> "was clad in cote and hood of grene.
> A sheef of pecok arwes [1] bright and kene,
> Under his belt he bar ful thriftily.
> Up-on his arm he baar a gay bracer,[2]
> And by his syde a swerd and a bokeler,
> And on that oother syde a gay daggere,
> Harneised wel and sharpe as point of spere;
> A Christophere [3] on his brest of silver sheene;
> An horn he bar, the bawdryck was of grene."

[1] Arrows fledged with peacock's feathers. [2] A shield to protect the arm from the bowstring. [3] An image of St. Christopher.

The marchant was dressed soberly, in a mixture cloth, and

> "Up-on his heed a Flaundryssh bevere hat;
> His bootes clasped faire and fetisly."

The frankeleyn, a man of means, dressed more showily:

> "An anlaas [1] and a gipser [2] al of silk
> Heeng at his girdel whit as morne milk."

[1] An anelace was a knife or dagger. [2] Gypsire, a purse or pouch.

The miller wore a sword and buckler by his side, and his costume is briefly summed up as "A whit cote and a blew hood wered he." The reve—

> "A long sur cote of pers [1] up-on he hade
> And by his syde he baar a rusty blade."

[1] Blue.

All we are told of the sompnour's costume is his head-dress:

> "A gerland [1] hadde he set up-on his heed,
> As greet as it were for an ale stake."

[1] Garlands are still worn at the feasts of one or two of the City companies —this one seems to have been of outrageous size, rivalling a tavern sign.

In the reign of Henry IV. a curious fashion obtained, of very wide sleeves, called pokesleeves, which hung down to the

ground, and were fantastically trimmed and lined with fur—even the servants wore them, much to the disgust of Occleve, who thus sings of them:

> "Now hath this lande little neede of Broomes
> To sweepe away the filth out of the streete:
> Sen[1] side[2] sleeves of pennilesse groomes
> Will it up licke, be it drie or weete."

[1] Since. [2] Wide.

A very curious dress did the Prince of Wales wear in 1412, when he went to visit his father Henry IV. It was of blue satin, full of small eyelet holes, and at every hole hung the needle and silk with which it had been sewn; yet during his reign and that of his father, men's dress was much quieter than during that of Richard II. or Henry VI., when extravagance again ruled. In this reign a very curious hat was used, which was a thick roll of stuff wound round the head like a turban, having a long strip of cloth attached by which it might be fastened to the girdle, and the hat then thrown back over the shoulder when not worn. Previous to this, the hood had been worn, having a long pendent liripipe. But now hats were coming in, and they were somewhat high crowned, with little or no brim, and one feather. The hose were worn quite tight, and the coat so short that, by the Act of 1463, unless it covered the buttocks, a fine of twenty shillings could be imposed. The same Act provided that if any one used any bolsters, or stuffing of wool or cotton in his doublet, he was to pay six shillings and eight pence. The hair was worn long and curled. Taken altogether, the costume of this period was extremely picturesque, and afforded great scope for fancy.

Hats.

Armour had made great strides since last noticed, when plate armour was just beginning to be used; and it is of this period that Chaucer writes, when he gives us a knight's complete armour in his "Ryme of Sir Thopas."

Arms and Armour.

> "He dide[1] next his white leere[2]
> Of clooth of lake, fyn and cleere,
> A breech and eek a sherte;
> And next his sherte an aketoun[3]
> And over that a haubergeoun[4]
> For percynge of his herte;

[1] Put on. [2] Skin. [3] Or *haqueton*, a quilted jacket. [4] A breastplate.

And over that a fyn hawberk[1]
Was al ywroght of Jewes werk
Ful strong was it of plate;
And over that his coat armour
As whit as is a lilye flour
In which he wol debate.[2]
His shield was al of gold so reed,
And ther-inne was a bores heed.
A charbocle[3] bisyde;
Hise jambeux[4] were of quyr boilly,[5]
His swerdes shethe of yvory,
His helm of laton[6] bright.
His spere it was of fyn ciprees,[7]
That bodeth werre, and no thyng pees,
The heed ful sharpe ygrounde."

[1] Coat of ringed mail. [2] Strive. [3] A carbuncle, an heraldic charge having a small centre with rays of light darting from it. [4] Jambes. [5] *Cuir boulli*, boiled leather. [6] A metal resembling brass. [7] Cypress wood.

Following the monumental brasses, we find in the case of Sir Reginald de Cobham, in 1403, in Lingfield Church, Surrey, that he is sheathed in plate armour, with pointed and unvisored basinet, on which is a chaplet of precious stones; a camail breastplate and taces, cuisses and jambes, with long sollerets, but the joints of all these pieces are guarded by chain mail. He wears plate gauntlets, and his head rests on his tilting heaume, which is covered by the cointoise or quintise—the heraldic *mantle.* From his hip belt hang, on the left his sword, and on the right his misericorde, or dagger.

In the brass of Sir Thomas Swinburne, in Little Horkesley Church, Essex, 1412, we find roundels or palettes affixed to the upper part of the breastplate to protect the shoulder-joints, and to the elbow joints; whilst over the camail is a gorget of plate. In 1438 Richard Dixon's brass in Cirencester Church shows that the roundels at the shoulders changed to pauldrons, and those at the elbows into gardes de bras, whilst the sollerets were lengthened. Small plates, called tuilles, were affixed to the bottoms of the taces by leather straps, and hung down over the cuisses. In 1444, in the brass of William Fynderne in Childrey Church, Berks, we find him wearing a tabard, or short surcoat with short sleeves, all emblazoned with his arms. In that of Henry Parice, Esq., in Hildersham Church, Cambs, 1460, we see that the armour is much reinforced, especially in the gardes de bras, and he also has a

lance rest affixed to his breastplate, whilst his sword hangs in front instead of at the side. From this time to 1485 but little change takes place, with the exception that the armour loses its plain soldierly character, and is wrought into capricious and fantastic forms, whilst the basinet occasionally bears a richly jewelled wreath called an orle. The mounted knight, beside his sword, dagger, and lance, had, hanging at his saddle-bow, a heavy iron mace, with which to batter his opponent's armour, or else he had a battle-axe, or a pick hammer, like a pole-axe, which must have been a most deadly weapon. The foot soldier had the long-bow, or the cross-bow, or a guisarme, a compound of a scythe and spear, sometimes furnished with a hook to catch a horse's bridle, and other weapons of a somewhat similar class were the ranseur, the partisan, and the spetum. Hand guns were in use, and in the fifteenth century illuminations we find cannon depicted—at first made of iron hooped round, and laid flat on wooden frames, and afterwards as in a MS. Poems of Lydgate in the British Museum (18 D. ii.),* the same are mounted on wheels; but they probably did but little mischief, as we read in Holinshed's account of the Battle of Barnet in 1471: "They had great artillerie on both parts, but the earle was better furnished there with than the king, and therefore, in the night time, they shot off from his campe in maner continuallie; but dooing little hurt to the king's people, still overshooting them, by reason they laie much nearer than the earle or anie of his men did esteeme. And such silence was kept in the king's campe, that no noise bewraied them where they laie."

Tournaments.

The tournament was in its prime, and was the school for knightly deeds, so that it seems sin to record that on one occasion at least, the joust was to be perverted, and made the theatre of a foul conspiracy. Yet so it was in 1400, when some jousts were to be devised at Oxford between the Earl of Huntingdon and twenty knights, and the Earl of Salisbury with the same number, to which King Henry IV. was to be invited, and then set upon and slain. But the plot was disclosed to the king by the Earl of Rutland. Sometimes they were single combats between two champions to display their prowess, as a joust held at London in 1406,

* This MS. has a good picture of Chaucer's pilgrims setting out on their journey.

between the Earl of Kent and the Earl of Mar, and Sir John Cornwall and Lord Beaumont against two Scottish knights, in which the English gained the advantage.

There was a famous joust of this kind in 1466, when the Bastard of Burgundy, who was in England on a mission, challenged Lord Scales, the queen's brother, to fight with him, both on horseback and on foot.

"The king, causing lists to be prepared in West Smithfield for these champions, and verie faire and costlie galleries for the ladies, was present at this martiall enterprise himselfe. The first daie they ran togither diverse courses with sharpe speares, and departed with equall honor. The next day they turnoied on horssebacke. The lord Scales' horsse had on his chamfron,[1] a long sharpe pike of steele, and as the two champions coped togither, the same horsse (whether through custome, or by chance) thrust his pike into the nose thrills of the bastard's horsse; so that for verie paine he mounted so high that he fell on the one side with his master, and the lord Scales rode round about him with his sword in his hand, untill the king commanded the marshall to helpe up the bastard, which openlie said, 'I can not hold me by the clouds, for though my horsse faileth mee, suerlie I will not faile my counter companion.' The king would not suffer them to doo anie more that daie.

"The morrow after, the two noblemen came into the field on foot, with two polaxes, and fought valiantlie; but, at the last, the point of the polax of the Lord Scales happened to enter into the sight of the bastarde's helme, and by fine force might have plucked him on his knees; the king suddenlie cast downe his warder,[2] and then the marshals them severed. The bastard, not content with this chance, and trusting on the cunning which he had with the polax, required the king, of justice, that he might performe his enterprise. The lord Scales refused it not, but the king said he would aske counsell; and so, calling to him the constable and the marshall, with the Officers of Armes, after consultation had, and the lawes of armes rehearsed, it was declared for a sentence definitive, by the Duke of Clarence, then Constable of England, and the Duke of Norffolke, then Marshall, that if he would go forward with his attempted challenge, he must, by the law of armes, be delivered to his adversarie in the same state and like condition as he stood when he was taken from him. The bastard, hearing this judgment, doubted the sequele of the matter; and so relinquished his challenge." [Holinshed.]

[1] Steel armour which guarded the front of the horse's head. [2] A baton like that carried by field marshals.

These jousts naturally wound up with a banquet, and the science of gastronomy had so advanced, that the menus are recorded, and books of recipes were written. Here is the menu of a royal feast, when, in 1403, Henry IV. celebrated his marriage with Joan of Navarre:*

Food and Cookery.

* Warner's *Antiquitates Culinariae*, p. xxxiv-v.

THE FIRST COURSE.—Fylettes in galentyne.[1] Vyand ryall.[2] Gross chare.[3] Sygnettes.[4] Capoun of haut grece.[5] Fesauntys.[6] Chewetys.[7] A Sotelte.[8]

THE SECOND COURSE.—Venyson with fermente.[9] Gelye.[10] Porcellys.[11] Conynge.[12] Bittore.[13] Puleyng farcez.[14] Pertryche.[15] Leche fryez.[16] Brawne bruse.[17] A Sotelte.

THE THIRD COURSE.—Creme de Almaundys.[18] Perys in syruppe.[19] Venison rosted. Woodecocke. Plovere. Rabbetys. Quayls. Snytys.[20] Feldfare. Crustade.[21] Sturgeon. Frettour. A Sotelte.

THE ORDER OF THE THREE COURSES OF FISH.

THE FIRST COURSE.—Vyaund ryall. Sew Lumbarde.[22] Salty fyshe. Lampreys powderyd.[23] Pyke. Breme. Saumon rostyd. Crustarde lumbarde.[24] A Sotelte.

THE SECOND COURSE.—Purpayis en frumente.[25] Gely. Breme. Saumon. Congre. Gurnarde. Plays.[26] Lampreys in past.[27] Leche fryez. Panteryse[28] coronys for a Sotelte.

THE THIRD COURSE.—Creme of almands. Perys in syrippe. Teuche en brace.[29] Troutez.[30] Floundrys fryid. Perchys. Lamprey rosted. Lochys.[31] Sturjoun. Crabbe and creveys.[32] Egle coronys in Sotelte.[33]

Some of these soteltes or subtleties were very elaborate, as Fabyan records of Queen Katharine's coronation feast in 1421 (ed. 1811, p. 586). That for the first course was—

"A sotyltie called a pellycan syttynge on his nest with her byrdes, and

[1] Pieces of meat rolled up with breadcrumbs, herbs, spices, etc., in which the powder of the herb galyngale was predominant. [2] Was made of wine, honey, ground rice, spices, and mulberries—*properly salted*. [3] Gross cheer, such as beef, mutton, etc. [4] Cygnets. [5] Fat Capon. [6] Pheasants. [7] There were chewets of flesh and of fish: here is a recipe for the former: "Take the lere (*flesh*) of pork, and kerve it at to pecys, and hennes therewith, and do it in a panne, and frye it, and make a coffyn as for a pye, and do thereuppon zolkes of ayren, harde, powdor of gynger and salt. Cover it and frye it in grece, or bake it wel and serve it forth." [8] A device made in paste or sugar. [9] Furmenty is made with wheat, milk, and sugar. [10] Jelly. [11] Sucking-pigs. [12] Rabbits. [13] Bitterns. [14] Stuffed hens. [15] Partridge. [16] Leach was made of cream, isinglass, sugar, and almonds. [17] Boiled brawns: any flesh was then called brawn. [18] Almond cream. [19] Pears in syrup. [20] Snipe. [21] Custard. [22] Lombardy broth. [23] Spiced. [24] Lombardy custard. [25] Porpoise in furmenty. [26] Plaice. [27] Lamprey pie. [28] Crowned panthers (see the second sotelte on this page). [29] Tench in couples. [30] Trout. [31] Loach. [32] Ecrevisses, crayfish. [33] A crowned eagle.

an image of seynt Katheryne holdyng a booke, and disputynge with the doctours, holdynge a reason in her right hande, sayinge, *madame le royne*, and the pellican as an answere (*C'est la signe, Et du roy, pur tenir joy, Et a tout sa gent, Elle mete sa entent.*)"

That for the second course was

"A sotyltie named a panter, wt. an image of Seynt Katheryn, with a whele in her hande, and a rolle with a reason in that other hande, sayinge (*La royne ma file, In ceste ile, par bonne reson, aves renoun.*)"

And that for the third was

"A March payne[1] garnyoshed with dyverse fygures of aungellys, amonge the which was set an image of seynt Katheryne holdynge this reson (*Il est escrit, pur voir et dit, per mariage pur, cest guerre ne dure.*)"

[1] A large flat sweetened biscuit.

And lastly,

"A sotyltie named a tigre lokyng in a mirrour, and a man syttyng on horse backe, clene armyd, holding in his armys a tiger whelpe, wt. this reason (*Par force sanz reson je ay pryse ceste beste*), and with his one hande makynge a countenance of throwynge of mirrours at the great tigre; the which helde this reason (*Gile the mirrour ma fete distour*)."

The Luxury of the Table.

As the century waxed older luxury increased, and the *menu* of a banquet at the coronation of Henry VI. was more elaborate. Here we read of—

"Viand royall plantyd losynges of golde—Bore hedes in castellys of golde and enarmed—A rede leche with lyons carven thereon—Custarde royall, with a lyoparde of golde syttynge therein, and holdynge a floure de lyce—Gely party writen and noted with Te Deum laudamus—A whyte leche plantyd with a rede antelop; a crowne about his necke with a chayne of golde—Flampayne powderyd with leopardes, and flower delyce of Golde."

The sotylties were very elaborate, that for the third course being

"A sotyltie of our Lady, syttynge with her childe in her lappe, and she holdyng a crowne in her hande. Seynt George and seynt Denys knelynge on eyther syde, presentyd to her, kynge Henrye's figure, berynge in hande this balade, as foloweth:

"O blessyd Lady, Cristes moder dere,
And thou, seynt George, that called art her knyght;
Holy seynt Denys, O marter most entere,
The sixt Henry here present in your syght,
Shedyth, of your grace, on hym your hevenly lyght:
His tender youth with vertue doth avaunce,
Borne by discent, and by tytle of ryght,
Justly in 2 reygne in Englande and Fraunce."

To such a pitch had cookery been glorified, that, at the end of the century, a carver had a different term for dismembering any joint: and these are the "Terms of a kerver":

"Breke that dere—lesche yt. brawne—rere that goose—lyft that swanne—sauce that capon—spoyle that henne—frusshe that chekyn—unbrace that malarde—unlace that cony—dysmembre that heron—dysplaye that crane—dysfygure that pecocke—unjoint that byttare—untache that curlewe—alaye that fesande—wynge that partryche—wynge that quayle—mynce that plover—thye that pegyon—border that pasty—thye that wodcocke and al maner of small byrdes—tymbre that fyre—tyere that egge—chyne that samon—strynge that lampraye—splatte that pyke—sauce that place—sauce that tenche—splaye that breme—syde that haddocke—tuske that barbell—culpon that troute—fynn that cheven chub—transsene that ele—traunche that sturgyon—undertraunche yt. purpos—tayme that crabbe—barbe that lopster."

One of the earliest English cookery books known is a MS. "forme of cury compiled of the chef maister cokes of Kyng Richard the Secunde," which contains 196 receipts—some of them rather curious, such as boiled porpoise served with almond paste and furmenty; pickled salmon, boiled in water, and then brayed in a mortar with almonds, milk, rice flour, salt, and sugar, colouring it with alkanet root. A "Gyngawdry," too, is a singular dish:

"Take the powche [stomach] and the lyvor of haddock, codling, and hake, and of oother fyshe; parboile hem; take hem and dyce hem small; take of the self [same] broth, and wyne, a layor of brede, of galyntyne with gode powdors and salt; cast that fysshe thereinne, and boile it, and do [put] thereto amydon [starch] and color it grene."

Space will not permit of any more recipes being given, or many might be taken from the above roll, and "A noble boke off cookry ffor a prynce houssolde or eny other estately houssolde," which was written soon after 1467. The meats were the same as we now have, and there is a preponderance of recipes for fish dishes, which is, of course, to be accounted for by the days of abstinence from flesh. Owing to the difficulty of carriage, and the absence of ice, sea fish was rare, although salmon was then caught in the Thames, and consequently fish-ponds or stews, as they were called, were common all over the country, and fresh-water fish, now seldom touched, were then commonly eaten. Vegetables were getting common, even in "the Forme of Cury," where we find mentioned, beans,

cabbages, turnips, onions, leeks, gourds, mushrooms, rice, peas and peascods, and spinach. Herbs were used abundantly, and spices entered into almost every dish. Sweetmeats appeared both at dinner and supper—the former meal being taken at eleven or twelve, the latter at four or five.

The wines used were French and German, sometimes highly spiced and sweetened, and converted into ypocras and claret, with such variations as Malvoisie, Bastard, Romney, and the Cypriote wines; but the *common* drink was ale, at all meals, varied occasionally by mead. The joints were served on dishes of gold, silver, pewter, or wood, and they were eaten off platters or trenchers of wood, the table always being covered with a "fair linen cloth." Indeed, table linen was much used, as we may find by the Ordinances of the household of George, Duke of Clarence, where mention is made of "Holland, Naperie of Devaunt, Naperie of Paryce, Diaper, Towelles, Cress cloth, and Canvas." Forks they had not, but hands were carefully washed before and after eating.

There were different kinds of bread—*Wastel*, or second quality: *White bread*; *Pouf*, or *Puffe* bread, and *Demesne*, *demeine*, or *Panis Dominicus*, made of the very finest flour and having a figure of our Saviour stamped thereon; and there was also *Tourte* or *Trete* bread, the very coarsest brown. The City of London was very watchful over bread, not only as to quality, but as to price, which was regulated by the price of wheat; and, says the *Liber Albus* (fol. 214 *b*):

> "According to the custom of the City of London an assay of bread ought to be made after the Feast of St. Michael in each year, by four discreet men chosen and sworn thereunto; and, according to the proportion in weight set by such assay, the bakers ought to bake throughout the whole of that year. Of which procedure the following is the method: the four men so sworn as aforesaid, are to buy three quarters of corn, one, namely, upon the pavement in Chepe, one at Greschirche, or at Billyngesgate, and a third at Queen-Hythe: of which corn they are to make wastel, light bread, and brown bread. And after with great diligence, they shall have baked such loaves, they shall present them, while hot, unto the Mayor and Aldermen at the Guildhall; and there, while so hot, such loaves shall be weighed. Then shall be reckoned the price at which the corn aforesaid was bought, and there shall be allowed for expenses, as to each quarter, the sum of eightpence; the price also shall be reckoned at which the bran was sold, and shall be subtracted from the purchase price."

And the civic fathers looked well after, and punished those who sold light bread, or adulterated food.

(1476). "This yeare was Robert Basset maior of London, who did sharpe correction upon bakers; for making of light bread he caused diverse of them to be set in the pillorie in Cornehill. And also one. Agnes Daintie, a butter wife, for selling of butter, new and old mingled together, being first trapped with butter dishes, was then set in the pillorie." [Holinshed.]

And as with bread, so to beer and wine did their fatherly care extend, *vide* the *Liber Albus*, where the prices of wine and beer are fixed. In fact, so jealous were they as to the purity of this latter common drink, that men were appointed, called Ale Conners,* whose functions are best told by their oath (temp. Henry V.):

"You shall swear that you shall know of no brewer or brewster, cook, or pie-baker in your ward, who sells the gallon of best ale for more than one penny halfpenny, or the gallon of the second for more than one penny, or otherwise than by measure sealed, and full of clear ale; or who brews less than he used to do before this cry, by reason hereof; or withdraws himself from following his trade, the rather by reason of this cry; or if any persons shall do contrary to any one of these points, you shall certify the alderman of your ward thereof, and of their names. And that you, so soon as you shall be required to taste any ale of a brewer or brewster, shall be ready to do the same; and in case that it be less good than it used to be before this cry; you, by assent of your alderman, shall set a reasonable price thereon, according to your discretion; and if any one shall afterwards sell the same above the said price, unto your said alderman ye shall certify the same. And that for gift, promise, knowledge, hate, or other cause whatsoever, no brewer, brewster, huckster, cook, or pie-baker, who acts against any one of the points aforesaid, you shall conceal, spare, or tortiously aggrieve; nor when you are required to taste ale, shall absent yourself without reasonable cause and true; but all things which unto your office pertain to do, you shall well and lawfully do. So God you help, and the saints."

Every trade was regulated by its gild, who settled prices. Thus we are enabled to learn the price of poultry in the reign of Henry IV.:

"The best cygnet shall be sold for four pence, the best purcel for six pence, the best goose for six pence, the best capon for six pence, the best pullet for two pence, the best hen for six pence, the best rabbit with the skin for four pence—without the skin for three pence (and no foreigner shall sell any rabbit without the skin), the best river mallard [wild duck]

* The office is still in existence

for three pence, the best dunghill mallard [tame duck] for two pence half-penny, the best teal for two pence, the best snipe for one penny, four larks for one penny, the best woodcock for three pence, the best partridge for four pence, the best plover for three pence, the best pheasant for twelve pence, the best curlew for six pence, a dozen thrushes for six pence, a dozen finches for one penny, the best heron for sixteen pence, the best bittern for eighteen pence, the best egret for eighteen pence, and twelve pigeons for eight pence." [*Liber Albus*, fol. 242 *a*.]

AUTHORITIES.—1399-1485.

(*a*) GENERAL HISTORY.

Contemporary.—Walsingham (to 1422), Otterbourne (to 1419), Adam of Usk (to 1404), Capgrave's *Chronicle* and his panegyric *De illustribus Henricis*, various *Lives* of Henry V. (in the Rolls Series and elsewhere), the volumes in the Rolls Series on the Wars in France, the St. Alban's *Chronicles* (1421-1461), the Chronicle by John Hardyng, the *Recueil des Croniques* of the Burgundian Waurin, and Monstrelet's great work; the *Reduction of Normandy*, etc., in the Rolls Series; several volumes in the Camden Series giving details, by Warkworth and others, of Edward IV.'s reign; the *Croyland Chronicle*, the volumes of the Rolls Series on Richard III.; More's Edward V. and Richard III., and Fabyan's "new Chronicles" (to 1485); the letters of Bishop Bekynton and Bishop Pecock's *Repressor* (both in the Rolls Series); Ellis's *Original Letters*, Fortescue's *Governance of England;* above all, the invaluable *Paston Letters*. The *Political Songs*, *Parliament Rolls*, *Foedera*, *Privy Council Records*, as before. Hall's work, though written under the Tudors, still retains some of the value of a contemporary, and reflects some contemporary evidence now lost.

Modern Works.—The best account, both narrative and critical, covering the whole period, is that contained in Stubbs's *Constitutional History*, Vol. III.; to which Ramsay's *Lancaster and York* adds many useful details. Much valuable critical work is to be found in the prefaces to the various works (named above) of the Rolls, Camden, and other series. Gairdner's *Lancaster and York* gives a discriminating summary. The social and literary side of the period is treated in Green's *Short History of the English People*. On special periods the most helpful books are Oman's *Warwick the Kingmaker* and Gairdner's *Richard III*.

Welsh History.—The *Chronicles* of Capgrave and Adam of Usk, Ellis's *Original Letters*, the Poems of Iolo Goch, Wylie's *History of the Reign of Henry IV*.

(*b*) SPECIAL SUBJECTS.

Religion.—Besides the books previously mentioned on Wycliffe, reference may be made to Foxe's *Acts and Monuments*, Maxwell-Lyte, *History of University of Oxford*, *Antony à Wood*, *History and Antiquities of the University of Oxford*, and Stubbs's *Constitutional History of England*. Latin Authorities.—*Chron. Angl.* 1328-1388 (ed. Edw. Thompson), Adam of Usk's *Chronicle* (ed. Edw. Thompson); Knyghton, *de Eventibus Anglicis* (ed. Twysden); Malverne, *Continuation of Higden's Polychronicon;* Wilkins, *Concilium Magnum Britannicum;* Loserth, *The Trial of*

Richard Wyche (*Eng. Hist. Rev.*, v. 531-541); Loserth, *Mitth. d. Inst. für Oesterr. Gesch-forsch.* xii. 254—269; R. L. Poole, in *Eng. Hist. Rev.*, vii. 306—311.

Warfare.—As in c. V.

Naval History.—Hargrave, Harleian, and Lansdowne MSS.; *Chronicles* of Knighton, Walsingham, and Fabyan (see above); Higden's *Polychronicon; Scotichronicon* of Joannes de Fordun (Rolls Series); Pierre de Fenin, *Mémoires* (ed. Buchon); Jean le Fèvre, *Histoire de Charles VI.:* Juvenal des Ursins, *Histoire de Charles VI.* (1652); Minot's *Poems;* publications of Record Commission, and works by Selden, Prynne, Jal and Nicolas, referred to in c. V.; Exton's *Maritime Dicæology* (London, 1684); Naval histories by Lydiard and Burchett, London, 1735 and 1720.

Discovery and Exploration.—Hakluyt, *Voyages;* Pilgrim-memoirs in the publications of the Société de l'Orient Latin, Série Géographique, and Avezac's *Recueil de l'Histoire de la Géographie*; Bede's *Historia Ecclesiastica;* King Alfred's *Orosius*; old maps, *e.g.* the Anglo-Saxon map of 10th century in the British Museum, MS. Cotton. Tib. B.V., Psalter, add. MSS. B. Mus. 28, 681; the *Mappa Mundi* of Hereford Cathedral (reproduced in facsimile by Havergal); Sir John Maundeville's *Travels*; Galvano's *Discoveries of the World* (for the story about Macham); Roger Bacon on the centre of the world, in the *Opus Majus.*

Art.—As in c. V., with the addition of Lübke, *History of Sculpture.*

Music.—There are general histories of music by Dr. Burney (4 vols., 1776-1789), Sir John Hawkins (5 vols., 1776), Dr. Busby (2 vols., 1819), and W. S. Rockstro (London, 1886). See also C. E. H. Coussemaker, *Histoire de l'Harmonie du Moyen Age* (Paris, 1852); A. W. Ambros, *Geschichte der Musik* (4 vols., 1868), and various articles in Grove's *Dictionary of Music and Musicians*, and the *Encyclopædia Britannica.* But the most valuable information is usually derived originally from stray passages in works not wholly devoted to the history of music.

Magic and Sorcery.—The introduction to T. Wright's *Proceedings Against Dame Alice Kyteler* contains many official documents referring to sorcery in England. The works of Delrio and Cornelius Agrippa give full information as to rites and beliefs. See also works cited for c. V.

Literature.—Occleve, *De Regimine Principum*, Roxburgh Club, 1840; *Minor Poems*, ed. Furnivall (E.E.T.S., Extra Series, LXI., 1892); Lydgate, *The Temple of Glas*, ed. Schick (*Ibid.*, LX.); *Guy of Warwick*, ed. Zupitza (*Ibid.*, XXV.); *Select Minor Poems*, ed. Halliwell (Percy Soc., 1842); Sidney Lee, art. "Lydgate," in Dict. Nat. Biography (contains bibliography of early editions); Pecock, *The Repressor*, ed. Babington, 1858; *Treatise Proving Scripture to be the Rule of Faith*, ed. Wharton, 1688; Malory, *Le Morte d'Arthur*, ed. H. O. Sommer, with essay on Malory's prose by A. Lang (London, 1889-91); Fortescue, *Works*, ed. Lord Claremont, 1869; *De Laudibus Legum Angliae*, with trans. by F. Gregor, Cincinnati, 1874; *The Governance of England*, etc., ed. C. Plummer; Blades, *Life of Caxton* (the standard authority); H. Roemstedt, *Die Englische Schriftsprache bei Caxton*, Göttingen, 1891; T. Schipper, *Englische Metrik.* See also Dict. of National Biography, arts. "Gower," "Lydgate," "Malory," and "Caxton," by Sidney Lee, and "Fortescue," by G. P. Macdonell.

Agriculture, 1389-1509. Denton, *England in the 15th Century;* Rogers, *Six Centuries of Work and Wages*, and *History of Agriculture and Prices;* Cunningham, *Growth of English Industry and Commerce;* Fortescue, *De Laudibus Legum Angliæ;* Fitzherbert, *Surveyinge.*

Industry and Commerce.—As in c. VI.

Town Life (besides the works mentioned in c. VI.).—*Privy Council Records;* Denton, *England in the 15th Century; Paston Letters*, ed. Gairdner; Toulmin Smith, *History of Gilds;* Historic Towns Series; Dowell's *History of Taxes and Taxation;* Leland's *Itinerary;* Gregory's *Chronicle;* The *Antiquary* magazine, *passim.*

Public Health.—As in c. V. and VI.

Social Life.—Besides the works already referred to in c. V. and VI., the following may be mentioned: The *Paston Letters* (ed. 1872); Earle, *English Plant Names from the 10th to the 15th Centuries; The Babees Book* (E.E.T.S.); Wright, *Womankind in Western Europe;* Carlisle, *Concise Description of the Grammar Schools in England and Wales* (1818); Pegge, *The Forme of Cury* (London, 1780); *A Noble Boke off Cookry* (London, 1882); Warner, *Antiquitates Culinariae* (London, 1791); "Quarterly Review" article on "Mediæval Cookery," Jan., 1894; Riley, *Liber Albus of the City of London* (1861: also in Rolls Series); Riley, *Memorials of London in the 13th, 14th, and 15th Centuries* (1868).

CHAPTER VIII.

THE BEGINNINGS OF MODERN ENGLAND.—1485-1509.

A. L. SMITH.
The Reign of Henry VII.

In his life of Henry VII., Bacon joins him with Louis XI. and Ferdinand of Aragon. "They may be esteemed the three magi of kings of those ages." Each of the three was a great founder. Louis XI. "took the crown of France out of wardship": that is, brought the royal power to maturity. With Ferdinand and his high-souled wife, Isabella, began the hundred years' domination of Spain over Europe, alike in war, in diplomacy, and in government. But Henry Tudor not only founded a strong dynasty, and set the key-note of a decided and successful policy; he was also the originator of that peculiar Tudor character, the union of immovable resoluteness with the highest degree of tact, by which these rulers accomplished so much.

To high natural gifts, fortune in his case added that training in the uses of adversity which monarchs rarely get. Left with a widowed mother, himself a prisoner at eleven years old, an exile at fifteen with his proscribed uncle, his life aimed at by Richard III., and exposed to the intrigues of the petty court of Brittany, it was not till he was twenty-eight that his chance came. Once it had come, however, he made full use of it. The hunted fugitive, the questionable adventurer of 1485, died in 1509 with the highest reputation in Europe for wisdom and wealth. In the taste of the time, Bernard André compares his labours to those of Hercules. Edward IV. and Richard III. answered to the Nemean lion and the Erymanthian boar; the factions of the Roses to the Hydra, and John de la Pole to the Arcadian stag. Margaret of Burgundy corresponds to the Amazons; the Scots' king to the Cretan bull, and Martin Swart, by some violence of metaphor, to the horses of Diomedes. His Stymphalian birds are lawless subjects: his three-headed Geryon the power of Burgundy under Maximilian, Philip, and Margaret; his Cacus

hiding in a cave, is Perkin Warbeck in Ireland; and the Hesperides' apples, the golden fleurs-de-lis of France.

He was truly, as Bacon says, ever in strife, but ever coming out victorious. His history is apt to be overshadowed by the tremendous issues of the next four reigns. It has even been called dull. On the contrary, it forms a varied and dramatic story. Its chief defect is the extraordinary lack of actual contemporary evidence. The Parliamentary records are bald and brief; the State papers, so full under Henry VIII., are as yet meagre. The only historians of the time are two foreigners; and of these, Polydore Vergil did not actually write till somewhat later. André has the empty copiousness of a panegyrist. Thus, on several grounds, the history of the reign tends to resolve itself into a biography. At the same time, it is peculiarly exposed to the modern fault of reading history backwards. The danger is of antedating effects. To the men then living, no sharp line indicated a new era. They were slow to realise even that the Wars of the Roses were over. We are apt, on the other hand, in viewing the period, to read into it too much of the future. The truest way to regard it is as a period of transition. It is marked by new ideas and new influences; but they are only as yet in germ. The printing-press is at work: but its first result is destructive, almost paralysing to literature. America is found, both South and North, but the effect on English industry and commerce is hardly marked till Elizabeth's reign. The "new learning" had made its way to Oxford with Colet and Erasmus; but no breath of hostility can yet be detected against Church dogmas. Morton and Warham both attempted a reform of the monasteries; but the movement was ineffectual till revived by Wolsey. The earlier dealings with Brittany, the later with Castile, suggest to us that the era of diplomacy was coming in: but it was to be long before the balance of power would be adopted as a clear principle; long even before Popes and legates would be replaced by conferences and diplomatists. So, too, with the relations of classes: the nobles were cowed and discredited, but there is little change in their ranks; not till after fifty years of popular despotism does the new nobility of Russells, Cavendishes, Parrs, Dudleys, Pagets, Seymours, begin to arise on the spoils of the Church. From this transitional character

A Period of Beginnings.

of the times there arise some strange contrasts. The first free trade treaty is almost side by side with a law wholly prohibiting "usury": a crusade and a search for the North-west Passage jostle against each other. The Yorkist claims of indefeasible right do not cease to be formidable till 1505; yet in 1495 the Statute of Treasons seems a precocious expression of seventeenth-century theories of popular sovereignty. The mediaeval is constantly confronted with the modern. The king's own character seems to reflect now the one, now the other aspect. His favour to churchmen and his religious foundations, a certain reserve and aloofness in his bearing, a habit of suspicion, a just but great self-confidence; even his appearance, "reverend and like a churchman," all these remind us of mediaeval rulers. Then, again, he was a man of business, like Henry II.; he loved able men and used them well, as Edward I. did; he was as fair-spoken as Edward III.; his chapel at Westminster, "one of the stateliest and daintiest monuments in Europe," is typically mediaeval. But his aversion to war, his punishing by fines rather than by bloodshed, his system of espionage, his sense of the importance of finance, his liberal expenditure on objects that made a good show, the concentration of the whole State in his hands, are characteristics of a Frederick the Great or a Czar Peter. So, too, are his skilful and intricate diplomacy, his care for social legislation, his "paring of the privilege of clergy." There was about him a certain breadth and tolerance which was far from insular; and was, no doubt, partly learnt in the life of an exile and a refugee. There are some anecdotes which seem to show that he was not so immovable and uncongenial a man as is often supposed. At any rate he was a just and able sovereign, and in many ways a great one. His life and household were pure and frugal: he worked hard, and that for his country's good; he found England torn by factions, he left her peaceful, united, orderly; he found her isolated, he gave her a weighty voice in the councils of Europe.

and of Contrasts.

Character of Henry VII.

The crown which Richard had worn at Bosworth, Henry boldly set upon his own head before leaving the field of battle, 22nd August, 1485. This was not to claim the realm by conquest, so

The Opening of the Reign.

much as to manifest the verdict of the God of Battles given in his favour. Doubtful as his title may seem to modern eyes, there was no one else left to represent the Lancastrian line, and his engagement to marry Elizabeth, the eldest daughter of Edward IV., guaranteed him the support of the Yorkists. He took, however, the precaution of at once securing in the Tower the person of Edward, Earl of Warwick, son of Clarence: and on his triumphal entry into London he publicly renewed his engagement to Elizabeth. He felt strong enough to celebrate his coronation on October 30th, and left it to Parliament, which met a week later, to ratify accomplished facts by their declaration "that the inheritance of the Crown should rest, remain, and abide" in him, and his heirs. Soon after he procured a papal bull sanctioning this declaration. And as in January, 1486, he had united by marriage the two lines of Lancaster and York, his title resting now on five foundations, seemed beyond cavil. But it was to prove far otherwise. At Easter came the rising of the Yorkist lords, Lovel and Stafford. It proved, indeed, abortive; Lovel fled abroad, and the Stafford brothers were taken. But the event was ominous. The long struggle of the two factions had left implacable hatreds. Yorkist feeling was a fire that smouldered beneath its ashes. It was fanned into flame by the king's refusal to have Elizabeth formally crowned as queen, by his keeping the Earl of Warwick a close prisoner in the Tower, and by rumours that Richard, Duke of York, the younger of the two princes supposed to have been murdered by King Richard, was alive and would appear to rally the old friends of his house. The Yorkist party had always been strong in Ireland. When, therefore, a youth presented himself at Dublin, early in 1487, as Edward Plantagenet escaped from the Tower, he was eagerly accepted and crowned in the cathedral as "Edward the Sixth." His real name was Lambert Simnel, a baker's son of Oxford. He had been trained for his part by a clever and unscrupulous priest, and probably encouraged by the queen dowager, a vain and intriguing woman, resentful of the slight done to her daughter the queen. Henry's measures were characteristic of his usual policy in dealing with such emergencies. He called his council, and had the queen dowager banished to a nunnery. He had the real Edward Plantagenet paraded

Lambert Simnel.

through the streets of London. He offered pardon to all rebels who should come in by a certain day. The rebels meantime had won the adhesion of John de la Pole, Earl of Lincoln, brother-in-law to Edward IV., and of Margaret, Duchess Dowager of Burgundy, and eldest sister of Edward IV. This lady, wealthy and vindictive, "having the spirit of a man and malice of a woman," provided two thousand mercenaries under Martin Swart. The Earl of Lincoln had in the last reign been declared heir to the crown. Both were ready to use this occasion as a stepping-stone, whereby a Yorkist might once more reach the throne. But when Lincoln and Lovel brought their forces from Ireland, they found Yorkshire and the North would not rise for a cause supported by Irish and German troops. At the battle of Stoke, Lincoln fell; Lord Lovel escaped only to perish in a secret chamber of his own house at Minster Lovel. Simnel was taken, and, in contemptuous pardon, made a varlet in the king's kitchen. But the rising had taught Henry a lesson; and in November, 1487, he allowed the coronation of his Queen Elizabeth. It had also distracted his attention somewhat from the attack which Charles VIII. of France was making upon the Duchy of Brittany, hitherto a practically independent territory, and an old ally of England. This attack, however, gave him an opportunity to call a Parliament, and to take advantage of their jealousy of France to grant him two "fifteenths." Edward Woodville was also allowed to take over English volunteers to the Breton's aid. The total defeat of the Bretons at St. Aubin in 1488, and the death of the Duke of Brittany, whose daughter and heiress, Anne, was already betrothed to Maximilian, made relations with France still more critical. Small bodies of English troops were thrown into Brittany and into Flanders. The French king was forced to make peace with the young duchess, restore her towns, and allow her marriage by proxy to Maximilian, December, 1489. The Parliament of this year had granted a large subsidy for the wars. But the attempt to levy it had provoked a dangerous rising in Yorkshire. It seemed that popular hatred of new modes of taxation would give new opportunities for Yorkist intrigue. And this perhaps explains why Henry, though

The Last of the Yorkists.

England and France.

leagued with Maximilian and with Ferdinand to defend Brittany, yet, in 1491, allowed it to be overrun by the French, and the young duchess, despite her proxy-marriage to Maximilian, forced or persuaded into actually marrying Charles VIII. This meant the final consolidation of the French realm. It shut one of the English "doors into France." It made possible the French invasion of Italy in 1494, an event which is generally reckoned as the beginning of distinctively modern history. The marriage was a triumph for France, and an apparent humiliation for England. But there went with it the solid gain of a lasting peace; for the Treaty of Etaples, November, 1492, and its renewals kept off war between England and France till 1512. For himself, Henry secured a great sum, over £100,000, from France. And when we regard the fact that the expedition accomplished nothing else beyond besieging Boulogne for some twenty days, we may well suspect now, as did his disgusted soldiery at the time, that the king scrupled not "to plume his nobility and people to feather himself." His subjects, indeed, now found a point before unexpected in the king's original declaration that "the war once begun, it should pay itself."

Perkin Warbeck.

But the truth is that his throne was not yet stable enough for the risks of a foreign war. In 1492, Perkin Warbeck appeared in Cork. The Irish were eager to thrust upon him the character of a Yorkist prince, whether Warwick, or a bastard son of Richard III., or Richard, the younger of the princes in the Tower. He settled on the last, and was in this character received, first in France, and then by Margaret of Burgundy. She acknowledged him as her nephew, helped to perfect him in his part, and kept him at her Court two and a half years. Henry appealed in vain to the rulers of Flanders to dismiss "the garçon." Then he retaliated by breaking up the commercial intercourse between England and the Flemings. But the French party in Flanders continued to support the pretender. Maximilian also aided him, from an idea that a new English king might be willing to take the field against France. It is not to be supposed that these princes and the Yorkist exiles themselves believed in Perkin's claims. But they saw in him a convenient instrument. He was to be pushed forward as a pawn in the game of Yorkist intrigue, and to be replaced when he

had served their turn. But meantime, Henry, "working by countermine," had by his spies learned who were supporting the plot from England. He seized the leaders, and beheaded the chief of them as traitors, including Sir William Stanley, his own chamberlain and relative, the man to whose action at Bosworth he owed his life and his throne. This prompt severity embarrassed, if it could not wholly frustrate, the plot. Not till 1494 was Perkin able to offer a descent on English coasts. Beaten off by the country people at Deal, and repulsed at Waterford, he took refuge in Scotland. James IV. received him cordially, married him to his kinswoman, Katherine Gordon, and took him on a raid into England, 1496. But James, too, soon tired of the futile enterprise. In 1497 Warbeck returned to Ireland. From Ireland he sailed to try his fortune once more on English ground, and landing in Cornwall, was joined by some of the disaffected Cornishmen. But the lords and gentry armed against him; he was repulsed from Exeter, and fled to sanctuary at Beaulieu. His life was spared, but he was paraded through London, and then put in the Tower. In 1499, after attempting to escape, he was executed, and the Earl of Warwick, too—his fellow-prisoner. Henry was, no doubt, determined to this act of policy rather than justice by the appearance of another impostor, impersonating the imprisoned earl. It is clear, too, that Ferdinand of Aragon was anxious before allowing his daughter to marry Prince Arthur that there should be "not a doubtful drop of royal blood" left in the kingdom to endanger the succession.

Friendship with Scotland and Spain.

During the seven years' episode of Perkin Warbeck, Henry had been exposed to constant hostility from Scotland. James III., who felt and acted up to his Lancastrian relationship, fell in battle with rebel subjects in 1488. James IV. revived the old Scotch connection with France; and not till 1498 was a marriage between him and the king's eldest daughter, Margaret, agreed upon. It had been proposed long ago in 1491, but at last was effected in 1502. There had been two centuries of warfare between the two countries. The Tudor marriage inaugurated a period which, despite Flodden and Solway Moss and Pinkie, was, on the whole, a time of peace; and peace made possible the union of the two under Stuart kings. This result was won by the skill of Ayala, the Spanish

envoy to England; for Spain saw that England must feel secure before she could join "the Holy League," to protect Italy and the Pope from French aggression. The Spanish alliance constituted the most fixed point of Henry's foreign relations. With Ferdinand of Aragon, the type of a successful ruler to Machiavelli and Guicciardini, Henry Tudor "had ever a consent even in nature and custom." Their circumstances and their interests, as well as their characters, were alike. When Prince Arthur was a year old the marriage to Catherine of Aragon was mooted. Friendship between England and Spain was in accordance with the old traditions of both countries, and was almost indispensable to Ferdinand and Isabella, who were at this time engaged in the last stages of their struggle with the Moors of Granada; and who saw themselves threatened both by the French occupation of Roussillon and Cerdagne, and by the French designs against the Aragonese house at Naples. It seemed also natural that Maximilian, King of the Romans, should be included in the treaty. Between him and France there were manifold causes for war —in Flanders and Burgundy, in Switzerland and Italy, besides the affair of Brittany. But before a final treaty could be ratified, there was much diplomatic fencing. "Maximilian the Moneyless" was the most changeful of the three: Ferdinand the most unscrupulous; Henry perhaps the greatest gainer in the long run. In July, 1496, he joined the Holy League. This was a sign that he had made his terms and secured his price; the abandonment of Perkin, the restoration of trade with Flanders, the initiation of a treaty with Scotland, and the resumption of the marriage project for Prince Arthur. Nor did the sudden death of Charles VIII. of France and the accession of Louis XII., and the consequent break up of the League, annul these solid results. In November, 1501, the marriage of Arthur and Catherine was celebrated at St. Paul's. Six months later the young prince died. But it had probably never been more than a marriage in form: Arthur was only in his sixteenth year; and his brother Henry was by papal dispensation betrothed to the young princess, though not actually married to her till after his accession, 1509.

Schemes for Foreign Alliances.

In his later years Henry VII. had some idea, as the State

papers show, of taking advantage of Ferdinand's weak hold of Castile, after Isabella's death. With this idea he made a close treaty with Ferdinand's son-in-law the Archduke Philip, who was driven by a storm into an English harbour in 1506; he proposed a marriage between himself and Philip's sister Margaret; he even offered to marry Joanna, Ferdinand's daughter. She was known to be insane; but the marriage would have given Henry the Regency of Castile. Finally, he effected a marriage by proxy between the Princess Mary, a girl of twelve, and Philip's son, Charles of Castile, a boy of eight. Ferdinand, we know, was seriously alarmed by these designs. They were, perhaps, only intended to produce this result. But they exhibit the diplomacy of the period in its most repulsive light; and Henry himself shows at his worst in the marriage projects he formed after the death of Elizabeth of York in 1503. His minute inquiries as to the person of the young widow, the Queen of Naples, belong to an age not very delicate in such matters. But his proposal to marry his own son's widow would be revolting in any age; and is hardly made pleasanter by the probability that it was chiefly intended to serve as a diplomatic move to avoid a restitution of the dower paid with her. There is something, too, which can only be justified by the tyrant's plea of necessity, in Henry's dealing with the De la Pole family, the last scions of the White Rose. In 1506 he had made it a point with Philip, his guest and prisoner, that Edmund de la Pole, Earl of Suffolk, should be surrendered on a promise that the fugitive's life should be spared. But it was reported that the king left to his son instructions like those of David to Solomon; and certainly Henry VIII., soon after his accession, executed the earl without further trial. Not till the very end of the reign of the first Tudor king would foreign Powers believe in the stability of the new throne. But there is ample evidence that, long before that, they had recognised in Henry a sovereign of first-rate diplomatic importance. "He is admirably well informed," writes an Italian envoy; "he receives special information of every event: the merchants never cease giving him advices." Not only his detachment from English prejudices, and his unsleeping vigilance and industry, but the concentration of European politics around the Court of France, contributed to give this position to

the English king. His almost unbroken successes deeply impressed a generation who worshipped fortune. He himself boasted that his alliances, with Scotland on one side, Burgundy and Castile on the other, had built a wall of brass about England.

Henry's Excessive Taxation.

It is clear, too, that his throne, so insecure in the first twelve years of his reign, was firm enough at its close. As early as 1495 his consciousness of strength was shown in the Act which legalised obedience to a *de facto* sovereign. It appears also in the greater unscrupulousness in amassing treasure which was the mark of Empson's and Dudley's tenure of office. Archbishop Morton's had probably been a restraining and constitutional influence while he lived; certainly after his death there is only one Parliament called in the nine years. Yet Morton himself was popularly credited with the invention of a dilemma—"Morton's fork"—to use upon reluctant contributors to the benevolence: the thrifty could pay out of their savings, the prodigal proved by their manner of life that they could pay. But after 1499, these two "horse-leeches" kept in prison men committed for trial till they paid heavy fines: imprisoned men without verdict of a jury; exacted the uttermost farthing of feudal dues; "ruffled with jurors" to extort the verdicts desired; and "raked over" all old penal laws to exact the penalties. No doubt hoarding became a mere passion with Henry. But he had begun this policy, by which he left in his coffers at his death nearly two million pounds, on a sound principle. He saw that finance was the rock on which his Lancastrian predecessors had split; he felt that the ordinary revenue of the Crown must be independent of parliamentary parsimony. In nothing was he more unflinching than in the levying of taxes. The new tax, the subsidy, had caused a serious rising in the North in 1489; in 1495 Cornwall rose against it, and the rebels occupied Blackheath and threatened London, and could only be dislodged by a regular battle. Besides the large war-grants made by each of his seven Parliaments, he ventured on the great benevolence of 1492, and in 1495 had an Act passed which gave it legislative sanction. In 1504 he got the Parliament's sanction to the feudal aid for his eldest son and daughter. Measures that had shaken the position of former kings

seemed only to strengthen that of the Tudor. Even Ireland, the standing failure of English sovereigns, was handled by him not wholly without success. The House of York had a deep hold over the settlers in Ireland, partly from memory of Richard of York and from his territorial influence, but mainly, no doubt, from the Irish instinct of opposition to the Government. They joined eagerly in setting up Lambert Simnel in 1486, though not even Irishmen could seriously believe in the impersonation. They submitted nominally to the new dynasty in 1488, but the Earl of Kildare, head of the Geraldines who had been chief promoter of the rebellion, had to be left in the office of Lord-Deputy. Moreover, Warbeck found his first and his last adherents in Ireland. But if we look closely at the facts, we find that the disaffection in Ireland had on each occasion less life and body in it. And even when complaints against Kildare grew so loud that he was removed in 1492, he boldly faced his enemies at Westminster, and claimed to have none other for counsel on his side than the king himself. At last, when his foe, the Bishop of Meath, said, "You see all Ireland cannot rule him"; "then he" (said the king) "must rule all Ireland." But meantime Sir Edward Poynings had done two years' good work in the country (1494–96), especially in the famous Poynings' Act, which subordinated the Irish legislature to the English. He also did much to establish royal authority in the English Pale; the assertion of it beyond the four counties (Dublin, Meath, Kildare, Louth) was left to Kildare, who was deputy from 1496 to 1513. Thus, even in Ireland, the close of Henry's reign offered at least the appearance of peace and order, though underneath the surface, what with the struggles between Fitzgeralds and Butlers, between the English Pale and the "Wild Irish," and between the various Irish chieftains and tribes, the usual policy was being adopted of "letting Ireland stew in its own juice."

Henry VII. and Ireland.

One of the most important events of the reign was the Intercursus Magnus (pp. 404, 554), the great treaty with Flanders which followed on the two years' suspension of trade-intercourse (1494–96). The suspension was bitterly felt in both countries: as Bacon puts it quaintly, "Being a king that loved wealth and treasure, he could not endure to have trade sick, or any

The Intercursus Magnus.

obstruction in the *vena porta* which dispersed the blood." The treaty, therefore, was received with processions and feastings, both at Antwerp and in London. It made traffic between the two countries absolutely free in all commodities; each was to aid the other against piracy, and each to open its law courts to merchants of the others. Its result, however, was to transfer to England the cloth manufacture of Flanders, a transference completed by Alva's sack of Antwerp.

In one matter, Henry VII. let slip a great opportunity. In 1487, Columbus, despairing of Portugal, sent his brother Bartholomew to the English Court to get his great project taken up. Bartholomew was captured by pirates; and before he could win the ear of Henry VII., Christopher discovered the West Indies. It is curious to speculate on what might have been, had the New World fallen to England and not to Spain. It was not the fault of Bristol merchants that this did not happen. In 1480 they sent out two ships to find "the Isle of Brazil," and in 1494 John Cabot, acting for them, discovered St. John. Henry now took it up; "to him that found the new isle, £10," is an entry on the rolls; and in 1498 he sent the son, Sebastian Cabot, to discover Newfoundland and Nova Scotia, Labrador, and Florida (p. 497). But Spanish jealousy was aroused; Spain could afford more than £10 to secure its new world, and Cabot was tempted away till 1516. With Spain claiming the West, and Portugal all the East, English enterprise was forced into searching for a North-West Passage.

A. HASSALL.
The New Monarchy.

THE New Monarchy is the term applied by Mr. Green to the period when England was governed by kings who were practically absolute. It was, in a word, the outcome of the executive weakness of the Lancastrians, it was "the source of the violent collision under the Stuarts of Crown and Parliament, of the executive and the representative or legislative sides of one constitution." During this period the position of Crown, Parliament, and Church is altered. A new era in foreign policy sets in, a great expansion of commerce takes place. The period sees a remarkable outburst of life and freedom in enterprise, art, literature, and religion, and later in politics.

The New Monarchy dates from the accession of Edward IV., and was firmly established by Henry VII. Its advent not only marks the beginning of a new period, and with it a new development of kingship, which alone was able to cope with the turbulence of a widespread revolution in all departments of thought and life, but also involves the triumph of the new executive over the old legislative powers.

The relations between Crown and Parliament, between the executive and the legislative, may be based on three grounds. The legislative may be subservient to the Crown, and absolute monarchy is the result: or the executive may be controlled by the legislative, as is the case where constitutional government flourishes; or the two powers may be equal and independent to a great extent, as they are in the United States. The period of the New Monarchy saw the legislative completely subservient to the executive. During the fourteenth century, in spite of the growth of constitutional life, no fixed limit had been set to the definite growth of royal assumption. "For every assertion of national rights," says Bishop Stubbs, writing of the fourteenth century, "there is a counter-assertion of royal autocracy. Royalty becomes in theory more absolute, as in practice it is limited more and more by the national will." But though the strenuous assertion of divine right and the claim to indefeasible monarchical privileges by Richard II. were checked by the revolution of 1399, followed by the development under the Lancastrians of a kind of mediaeval constitutionalism, the check to this assertion of a factitious theory of absolutism turned out to be only temporary.

The Supremacy of the Legislature.

After Richard's deposition, for the first time in English history the Legislature got the upper hand, and during the minority of Henry VI. the Council, itself subordinate to Parliament, carried on the administration. But the Council found itself unequal to the work of government, and its incapacity to preserve order, together with the weakness and misgovernment of Henry VI. necessitated the adoption of what has been called a new theory of English kingship, though on closer examination it will be seen to be in many particulars similar to that which Richard II. had in vain attempted to

assert. A variety of circumstances now combined to give it weight and popularity.

And the Reaction.

The violence of the times brought home to men the monarchy as the ultimate protection and support of the weaker classes. The view that in the permanent sovereign power lay the source of all the rights of the upper classes, and that the king was the living embodiment of that sovereign power, found popular expression and ready acceptance. When once the commons fully realised that the lords had retired from their position as leaders of the people, and had plunged the country into an internecine war for their own factious purposes, they rallied round the Crown, and while becoming its greatest support, saw in it their protection. Parliament thus recognised willingly the necessity of a strong monarchy, and did all in its power to secure a vigorous succession. In the constitution of Parliament, therefore, at the close of the Middle Ages "there is," as Hallam says, "nothing of a republican aspect. Everything appears to grow out of the monarchy, and redound to the honour and advantage of the king. The voice of the petitioners is, even when the Lower House is in its most defiant humour, always respectful; the prerogative of the Crown is always acknowledged in broad and pompous expressions."

The Elements of Royal Power.

In legal theory the king was the ultimate and sole landowner, and the succession to the throne was treated by the jurists like succession to real property according to primogeniture. The idea of *legitimacy*, the indefeasible right of the lawful heir, had made great progress during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. Henry IV., Edward IV., Richard III., and Henry VII. all claimed the throne by inheritance amongst other pretexts, and the existence of such claims "testifies to the growing belief in a doctrine which was one day to become a part of the creed of loyalty." Regarded as the source of all private rights in the soil, standing since Edward I.'s time on the foundation of hereditability—no interregnum being legally recognised in the succession to the throne—the Crown was in a very strong position. The king held in his hands all the executive power which "is the source and basis of the royal prerogative." He had the right of appointing to offices of State, and the tenure of such

offices was determined by him. He was the fountain of justice, the supreme guardian of the peace, the sovran arbiter in ecclesiastical and commercial matters. Political government was centred in the king, aided by Courts of Justice and his councillors. In practice, too, the king at times exercised legislative power, though it was understood that he did not repeal what the three estates had resolved upon; he was not obliged to summon Parliament, and his right of legislating by ordinance was rarely questioned. In theory, then, the king could do everything: but in practice he found it very difficult to carry on the work of government without the counsel and consent of the estates.*

The Uses of Absolutism.

Such is the summary of the actual position of the king at the end of the Middle Ages. Richard II. had lost his life in mistaking "the theory for the truth of fact." The Tudor monarchs found that the nation believed firmly in the theory and were not at all unwilling to hold to the fact. It was only when the Stuarts attempted to continue and develop a theory which had lost all reality, that the nation rose and discarded absolutism for ever.

During the period of the Tudor Dictatorship, however, the nation would look only at the better side of the theory of royalty. A strong king was required, and while the clergy insisted on obedience as a religious duty, the lawyers supported it by the system of allegiance, fealty, homage, and the law of treason. These obligations had, under weak kings, proved insufficient to maintain order, but aided by the political suicide of the baronage and strengthened by the support of the clergy and commons, the Tudor dynasty, in preserving the peace of the country and enforcing the obedience of its subjects, found a ready acquiescence in the religious and legal sanctions with which the theory of kingship had been fenced in.

The New Monarchy and Political Development.

It has, indeed, been asserted that, from a constitutional point of view, the whole period from 1460 to 1640 is a blank, and that the Great Rebellion "took up the thread of the political development just where it had been snapt by the Wars of the Roses." But this statement is manifestly

* In England it can be proved that the king never had the legislative power alone.

inaccurate. The changes at first effected by the New Monarchy amounted, indeed, almost to a revolution, and for some sixty years the parliamentary constitution was practically suspended: yet with the fall of Wolsey the political development of the country went on apace, and the advance made was, though slow, thorough and continuous.

The government of the House of York was a despotism, but, unlike the Tudor rule, it was not generally popular. During the reign of Edward IV. the executive freed itself from the trammels imposed on it, partly under the three former Edwards, but mainly under the house of Lancaster. The Crown had not possessed such power since Edward I., and thus the great constitutional struggle between the executive and legislative was stopped for the time by the predominance of the executive. Edward IV., it is true, paved the way for the Tudors, but he anticipated the methods rather than the spirit of their rule. Under him discontent was kept down simply by a reign of terror. He had slight power of foresight, his personal rule was almost as disorderly as the weak government of Henry VI., and his system was continued under his successor.

"Though never before and never again for more than two hundred years were the commons so strong as they were under Henry IV.," many of the rights claimed had been claimed prematurely. The victory of 1399 had been premature, hard facts had proved that the nation was not in reality ready for the parliamentary self-government offered it by the Lancastrians, and Parliament was decidedly not fit to become the direct instrument of rule. The monarchy of the Tudors was not in any formal sense a break in the continuity of English constitutional life, the Tudor princes were popular, and the absence of a standing army proves that even Henry VIII. could rely on the support of all classes. To secure a respite from the troubles of an age of dynastic and social revolution, men were prepared to recognise that a dictatorial and paramount authority, generally known as the king's absolute power, was involved of necessity in the very conception of kingship.

The strength, then, of the Crown at the close of the Middle Ages "lay in the permanence of the idea of royalty, the wealth of the king, the legal definitions and theory of the

supreme power." Till the fall of the Lancastrians it might seem that in proportion as royalty became more absolute in theory, in practice it was limited more and more by the national will. But when the nation as a whole was interested in supporting the pretensions of the Crown, it became easy for the kings to exaggerate the royal attributes, and to extend the region of undefined prerogative. Henry VIII. took advantage of the absence of competitors, and the desire for a safe succession, to obtain from a submissive Parliament statutory prerogatives: he wielded with success the indefinite judicial and executive powers of the Crown, strengthened and organised the main instrument of his authority, the Royal Council, raised loans without consent of Parliament, and increased the representation. But the people were willing to be so governed, and Parliament was complaisant. For the sake of order and peace, the country was ready to forego some measure of constitutional liberty.

The Balance of Classes.

The New Monarchy was based on the new forces of a new age—on commerce, which replaced feudalism, and on individualism, which replaced the old ecclesiastical system. With the accession of Henry VII. changes in the balance between Church and State, and between the Crown and the Estates, were begun, which were consummated under Henry VIII. Between the Norman Conquest and the Battle of Bosworth the centre of gravity in the great ship of the State had varied. From 1066 to Magna Carta, the Crown, clergy, and commons had united against the feudal instincts of the baronage; from Magna Carta to the revolution of 1399, the barons, commons, and to a certain extent the clergy, had banded together against the aggressive policy of the Crown. From the deposition of Richard II. to the accession of Henry VII. the royal house, baronage, and commons were a prey to internal division. After the Lancastrian and Yorkist reigns the clergy, which alone of the three estates did not suffer during the Wars of the Roses, appeared to be united and fairly strong. From their ranks were still chosen ministers of State; they had a secure majority in the House of Lords; they possessed great wealth. But though the Church retained so much power, it had lost its hold on the people. Its influence,

which in the eleventh, twelfth, and thirteenth centuries had so successfully been used in the struggle for liberty, was now undermined from a variety of causes. The Lollard movement, the Renaissance, the growing secularity of Churchmen, the alliance with, or dependence on, a foreign authority—each contributed something to the unpopularity with which, during the fifteenth and earlier portion of the sixteenth centuries, the clergy were regarded. Threatened with spoliation, and no longer able to look for support to the already weakened and humiliated baronage with whom they had latterly identified themselves, the clergy now sank into complete dependence on the king, became a bulwark of the Crown, and endeavoured in this manner to save themselves from their impending fate.

Till the Wars of the Roses the noble class had paramount influence in the country. "Taken in the aggregate the landed possessions of the baronage were more than a counterpoise to the whole influence of the Crown and the other two estates of the realm." The clergy could not withstand them, and though the commons had taken up an important constitutional position in Henry IV.'s reign, it is quite evident that in reality they depended on the great lords, and that the advance made during the Lancastrian period was premature. The nobles and the clergy then were the governing classes, and the rest of the nation acquiesced in the predominance of their influence. The commons most distinctly had not learnt to act independently of the great lords when the Wars of the Roses came upon them. During the Wars of the Roses the nobles had, as is often said, committed political suicide. "Attenuated in power and prestige rather than in numbers," the House of Lords lay at the mercy of a strong ruler. The battles of Barnet and Tewkesbury in 1471 had destroyed the temporary union of the Lancastrian and Yorkist factions against Edward IV., and the fate of the mediaeval baronage as a political force was sealed.

The Readjustment by Henry VII.

The triumph of the nobles at Bosworth was but momentary. By his marriage Henry VII. united both the Lancastrian and Yorkist parties, and then proceeded to restore the national balance.

The weakness of the Crown had been due to merely transient causes, and a strong sovereign with a well-defined policy

could, with the support of a united people, re-arrange the political factors in the State so as to allow room for a more healthy development in the future. And this was the policy pursued by Henry VII.

The balance of forces were on his accession thrown out of gear by the absence of all political energy in the baronial estate. The commons, deprived of their natural leaders, the barons, in whom they had now lost all confidence, and neither able nor willing to withstand a powerful king, ceased to take an interest in politics, left the nobles, the wealthy merchants, and the rich landowners at the king's mercy, threw themselves into commercial or literary pursuits, and began that accumulation of wealth which enabled them to withstand Charles I. in the civil war. The higher clergy, unpopular with the nation, and dependent on the Crown, acted in complete harmony with the wishes of the king, and offered no resistance to the concentration of all the powers of the State in the hands of Henry himself.

Henry VII. was thus enabled to crush the old baronage, to begin tentatively the construction of a new nobility, and to aid in the growth, if not in the creation, of the middle classes. In his severity towards the nobles and rich landowners the nation fully acquiesced. Order was the one great need of the time, and in return for order men were prepared to stand by while the king pursued his policy for guarding the popular interests, levelling class privilege and depressing the baronage. Since the days of Edward III. the sovereign power had been weak, and consequently all authority had been weak. The constitutional experiment of the Lancastrians had failed, England had lost her foreign possessions and had suffered a diminution of her trade. The country itself, too, was a prey to disorder, which culminated in the Wars of the Roses. As far, however, as the trading classes were concerned, the Wars of the Roses were but the expression of a determination on the part of the nation to get rid of an incompetent ruler. For the only hope of order lay in the accession of a strong line of kings. Men had, in a word, to choose between anarchy and despotism. The revival of ancient learning, the outburst of commercial enterprise, the weariness of political strife, the selfishness of the nobles, the unpopularity of the clergy—all these circumstances

reconciled the nation to the dictatorship of the Tudors. As long as he did not ask for money, the king could do as he liked. He might exact supplies from rich individuals provided that he did not interfere with the middle classes.

Henry VII. then, having no strong baronage to thwart him, and supported by his Parliaments and by the nation, still further depressed the old nobility. This was done mainly by the expansion of the treason laws, by heavy fines for all sorts of offences, and generally by means of the Royal Council. In 1487 the Act which founded the Court of Star Chamber was passed, and henceforward maintenance was put down, the misconduct of sheriffs severely punished, and riots and unlawful assemblies suppressed. Henry VII. definitely aimed at levelling class privileges. Some of the old nobles held office under him, but they were reduced to the same level as the rest of the new officials who aided the king to carry on the government. The power of the mediaeval nobility passed away, and gradually the old race of nobles, with slight exceptions, disappeared. Only a few like the Duke of Norfolk, remained to connect the era of the Plantagenets with that of the Tudors. "The civil wars turned up a new soil to the surface," and the construction of a new nobility out of the ruins of the old was at least begun by Henry VII., and definitely continued by Henry VIII. and Elizabeth.

The New Nobility.

At the end then of the fifteenth and at the beginning of the sixteenth centuries this process of filling the ranks of the nobility with new men was begun. The class which came forward to fill the gap caused by wars, confiscations, and attainders, was what might be termed the upper rural class, a class which had been formed by the fusion of the knights of the shire with the non-noble free landowner, who had after Edward I.'s reign tended to separate from the class of barons. This new class had in the fifteenth century devoted itself to agriculture and to the selling of wool and the produce of its herds. It was mainly from this class that Henry VIII. chose his new peers. The new peerage was thus distinctly based upon wealth, it was ignorant of the traditions of the earlier nobility, it was at first absolutely dependent on the monarch to whom it owed its position, and to whom it looked for future favours.

Though the baronage of the latter Middle Ages were ambitious, selfish, "with little conscience and less sympathy," they always possessed a more ennobling sense of their responsibilities than did their successors, the new nobility of the Tudors. The policy of the mediaeval baron was insular, but "he was a wonderful impersonation of strength and versatility." The mercenary characteristics of the new nobles were indeed at first as repulsive as were the relentlessness and anarchical habits of the old race of barons. The real meaning, however, of the change was that the feudal lord was turned into the country gentleman.

Henry VII. himself only created five new peerages during his reign—the earldom of Bath, the Irish earldom of Ormond, and those of Daubeny, Cheney, and Burgh. It is true that we find that only twenty-nine lords were summoned to Parliament in 1485, but the smallness of the numbers was due to accidental causes, to the suspension of some peerages, to the fact that others were represented by minors, and to the unexplained absence of others such as Lords Ogle, Dacre, and Scrope. As the reign proceeded, the suspended peerages were revived, and the Howards and Ferrers returned to favour, and in spite of a certain number of attainders, the later Parliaments of Henry VII. contain a lay peerage of forty members —which is the average number for the century. Thus, though we can see a tendency in all Henry's policy to raise and employ new men, though we can point to the class out of which future creations would be made, it is an exaggeration to say that Henry VII. did more than indicate the policy which was followed by his successors.

The Rise of the Commons.

In the sixteenth century the commons for the first time assumed that leading position in Parliament which they have since retained. "By bestowing representation on the towns and counties of Wales, Calais, and Chester, Henry VIII. added in 1543 thirty-two members, knights, and burgesses to the old number"; and in 1549 we find the first instance of a peer's son seeking election in the House of Commons. Moreover, in the sixteenth century the altered position of the county and borough members to each other is another significant proof of the growing importance and cohesion of the middle class. In the fifteenth century, though the borough members

were regarded as authorities in matters of finance, they had little voice in matters of State. The knights of the shire had always taken a decisive lead in the Lower House. With the sixteenth century a change came about. The citizens discussed political matters on an equality with the knights of the shire, and in the Parliament of 1529 the leading member of the Commons, Thomas Cromwell, sat for Taunton.

The Social and Economic Policy of the Tudors.

The prominent place taken by the borough members in the reigns of Henry VIII. and Elizabeth was in some measure due to the enlightened policy of the first Tudor sovereign. Henry VII. was as anxious for the prosperity of the new commercial class as he was for the extermination of the old nobility. It was the definite aim of the Tudors to pose as social reformers. Their whole policy is marked by a systematic care for trade, and for the middle and lower orders. They took up questions bearing upon wages, and upon the relations between labour and capital. They evinced an interest in agriculture and sheep-farming, they were equally careful for the advancement of education. During the fifteenth century a merchant class was steadily rising. It was this class which was especially interested in the establishment of a strong government capable of keeping order in the country. It had been encouraged by Edward IV. and Richard III., both of whom had made commercial alliances abroad and had fostered and protected trade by numerous statutes. Henry VII. did all he could to advance this middle class. He encouraged manufactures and commerce, he furthered the interests of English shipping by requiring that "wines and woads of Gascony and Languedoc should be imported in English bottoms," he endeavoured by means which would not be approved by political economists of our day to prevent the importation of useless luxuries such as ribbons, to regulate the prices of different kinds of wool, and to prevent the exportation of gold. By his encouragement Sebastian Cabot sailed from Bristol and discovered Newfoundland, by his diplomacy the Intercursus Magnus (pp. 404, 457, 554) was concluded in 1496 —an epoch in the history of English trading relations with Flanders—and later, in 1506, another treaty for regulating commercial intercourse between England and Flanders was

arranged, which was so greatly to the interest of the former country that it was called in the Netherlands the *Malus Intercursus.* Henry VII.'s policy, continued by Henry VIII. and Elizabeth, transformed England from a poor and thinly-populated country into a rich and populous one. The Tudor period saw the creation of a disciplined middle class, and with it the introduction of a new political force into the country. The mercantile influence tended undoubtedly to widen the national mind, it had a beneficial effect on foreign policy, it aided in the development of political economy. But at the same time the trading spirit was as inclined to engross power and exclude competition as any class had done in previous times. England required and secured in the reign of Henry VII. "constitutional and governmental consistency." The balance of forces in the State was changed. The strength, weight, and influence of royalty were increased by the temporary loss of prestige and political status by the nobles, by the subservience of the clergy, and by the acquiescence of the commons.

The Strengthening of the Crown.

Many circumstances, in addition to the prostration of all classes before the royal power, contributed to place the Crown in Henry VII.'s reign in an unusually strong position. In the union of the houses of York and Lancaster there was a union of estates. The lands of confiscated nobles fell to the Crown, and even when attainted lands were restored, the king managed to keep a portion. All the rebellions of the reign added largely to these acquisitions, and it has been said that "treason was more profitable to Henry VII. than any other branch of his revenue." He was always careful in money matters, and by the use of the system of loans and benevolences, and by the feudal exactions in connection with which Empson and Dudley achieved so unenviable a notoriety, he so increased his revenue as to do without Parliaments in the latter portion of his reign. His miserly habits and his expedition to France also contributed to this result. "His wars," says Bacon, "were always to him as a mine of treasure"; and again, "They slack not to say that the king plumed his nobility and people to feather himself." The power and supremacy of the Crown were by these means placed on a footing they had never been placed on before.

Henry VII.'s reign thus saw a great change in the balance of the constitution effected. The Crown was in reality absolute, and though the Tudors had no standing army and no organised police system, they established their power with little difficulty. Had it not been for the extravagance of Henry VIII. and the troubles of the Reformation, their absolutism might have become permanent, and the balance of the constitution fundamentally disarranged.

W. H. HUTTON. The Church.

THE history of religion in England during the reign of Henry VII. centres round one man, who may be taken as the representative in many ways of the Church of his age. John Morton, cardinal and chancellor, Bishop of Ely and Primate of All England—who planned the Union of the Roses, who brought about Buckingham's revolt, who was the right hand of the wise King Henry—seems at first sight to belong rather to the State than to the Church. The mixture of functions led indeed, as a great historian has noted, to occasional awkwardness and inconsistency, as when the chancellor-archbishop allowed his judgment on a fraudulent executor to be modified by the reflection that he would be "*damnée in hell*" (*sic*).* But Morton discharged his ecclesiastical obligations with as much regularity and vigour as his administrative and legal duties, and he was a man keenly interested in all the movements of a complicated and stirring period. He was alive to the social changes of the time, and was eager to promote the material prosperity of the classes that were suffering by the agricultural revolution. He repaired at his own cost the palaces of his different sees, carried out many works at Oxford (including a share in that matchless monument of Perpendicular architecture the Divinity School), cut the great drain from Peterborough to Wisbech still known as Morton's Leam, built the tower of Wisbech Church, and rebuilt Rochester Bridge. It was he, we cannot doubt, who supplied to Sir Thomas More the information on which is based the one standard English record of the reign of Richard III. Of his great household, his wide interests, his kindly manners, we may well conjecture that we have a close

Cardinal Morton.

* Stubbs, "Lectures on Medieval and Modern History," p. 317.

reproduction in the introduction to the *Utopia*. The whole scene rises before us: the talk before the big fire, the eager listeners to the tale of adventure, the sycophants ready to catch up their patron's words, the calm, wise tolerance of the cardinal himself. The chief social evils of the new era come into debate, and the great churchman touches on them as befits one "in his speech fine, eloquent and pithy, gentle in communication, yet earnest and sage." From him it may well be that More learnt first to see with sympathetic eyes the sorrows of the people, and to speak what was in his mind so boldly and clearly. He belongs half to the past, half to the future: in him the interests of the Middle Ages and those of the Tudor times, if not of modern life, seem to find a connecting link.

Morton and the "Utopia."

And, first, we may illustrate from his career the way in which ecclesiastical patronage was used by the kings of the fifteenth century. Sir John Fortescue,* in his scheme for the reconstruction of the Royal Council, notes that "it shall not be necessary that the twelve spiritual men of this council have so great wages as the twelve temporal men"; and the reason of this is clear from the appointments of the time. Gascoyne, reformer as much as satirist, says: "Jam ecclesiæ et episcopatus sunt pensiones et mercedes servorum regum et dominorum mundanorum." The Popes winked at the abuse, so long as the Church paid their toll. The services which Henry VII. rewarded in Morton were certainly political, but his richest rewards were drawn by the king's hand from the Church. The great bishops of the age were either servants of the Crown or scions of the great noble families. Thomas Bourchier was made archbishop "because of the great blood he was of"; the richest preferments in the land belonged to George Neville, the King-maker's brother. Good men, it may be, were often appointed, but the system was a corrupt one, and contained the seeds of its own decay. Such a method of appointment did not tend to make the holders of great offices active, though it might secure that they were men of toleration, of hospitality, of dignified splendour. Thus it is not surprising that in the reign of

Ecclesiastics as State Officials.

* Plummer's ed., p. 146.

Henry VII. "there is little or no religious persecution, little or no literary or ecclesiastical activity.* It was, for the higher clergy at least, a comfortable age, and the tradition of ease spread to the monasteries themselves.

The Decay of the Monasteries.

On many of the great religious houses their obligations sat lightly. The abbots were sprung from noble families, and they lived as country gentlemen affecting the state of their social equals, kindly landlords, and showing a somewhat antiquated beneficence to the poor. For at their best the monastic houses stood forth in opposition—short-sighted and hopeless, indeed, but unselfish—to the competitive tendencies of the age. On their lands the old agricultural system lingered long after the lay landowners had betaken themselves to pasture-farming and driven forth their villans and labourers to seek work in the towns, or to be hanged for sturdy beggars on the highway. The monastic estates were still managed on the old system of bailiff-farming, and tillage was kept up upon them long after it had become economically unprofitable. The rustic population, when able-bodied, found employment at their hands; and when old and past work, were supported by their alms. Such a condition of affairs was obviously only a transition: monasteries which were to be a refuge for the needy cadets of great houses could not long continue to carry on unprofitable husbandry, or to be the sole support of the indigent. Throughout the reign of Henry VII. bankruptcy was approaching with rapid strides. The great houses still held their heads proudly aloft, but lesser ones were beginning to be closed from lack of object and lack of means. In 1494, by bull of Alexander VI.,† the houses of Mottisfont and Suffield were suppressed: in the one case there were but three canons remaining, in the second only two monks under the prior, while the buildings were in ruins. And the earlier colleges at Oxford and Cambridge were founded and endowed by the dissolution of religious houses which had fallen into decay. The precedent was ominous.

But the condition of the monasteries was not only financially unsound; it seems, in some cases at least, to have been morally corrupt. Here it is necessary to sweep away

* Stubbs, "Lectures," p. 369.

† Gasquet, "English Monasteries," p. 62.

the interested exaggerations of the greedy and prejudiced men who were responsible for the suppression of thirty years later. But that the condition of monasticism was not satisfactory is clear enough from the measures which were taken by Morton, with the sanction and authority of the Popes.

In 1489, on the request of the king and archbishop, Innocent VIII. granted to the latter authority to visit the religious houses in his province, to treat with all ecclesiastical censure, and, where necessary, to call in the secular arm.* The necessity of such visitation is shown by the statement that in divers monasteries some were leading a life dissolute and lascivious "to the ruin of their souls, the offence of the Divine Majesty, the shame of religion, and the hurt and scandal of many." The most flagrant instance was that of the great abbey of St. Alban's. For this we have Morton's own letter to the abbot, which unfolds a terrible record of profligacy.† Not only did the monks resort to the company of depraved women, but one such woman was placed high in authority at a cell under their governance; and the priories of Pray and Sapwell were become nests of corruption. "Virtue is neglected, and religion is abased." Morton did not rest till he was armed with all powers, civil as well as ecclesiastical, to correct and amend. Parliament ‡ gave him large authority to imprison for incontinency and other offences; and the Statute of Præmunire was disregarded in the tacit assent given to the Pope's bull for the legatine visitation. Morton visited the dioceses of Salisbury, Rochester, and Worcester twice, and once the dioceses of Winchester, Exeter, Bath and Wells, Lichfield and Coventry, and Lincoln. We have, happily, full records of local visitations at the collegiate church of Southwell and in the diocese of Norwich as well as the acts of the Ripon Chapter. At Southwell—a secular college—the archbishop does not appear to have visited, but triennial inquiries were conducted by the chapter into the conduct of the inferior ministers.§ In the records offences great and small are mingled without distinction—brawling, Sabbath-breaking,

* Wilkins. "Concilia": iii. 630–32.

† *Ibid.*, pp. 632–4.

‡ Statutes at Large, ii. 65.

§ Southwell Visitation (Camden Society, 1890).

spitting during service, refusing to sing *pryksonge* (*i.e.*, harmony), and frequenting taverns, are common; adultery stands side by side with sleeping in church. There is great laxity in performance of the offices, and Thomas Cartwright has a singular way in singing, and gives not ear in his singing to the music of the others. The diocese of Norwich—a wider field—contained some worse scandals; but the accounts, on the whole, do not show much that is seriously wrong. We have record * of episcopal visitation of forty-four houses during the reign, the visitation taking place, somewhat irregularly, every six years. East Anglia was rich and prosperous, and there is little sign of a falling off in the numbers of those who adopted the religious life; but even in these shires there are reports of the financial distress from which monasteries elsewhere were suffering. The visitation of Bishop Goldwell, in 1492, illustrates this in the case of the Abbey of Wymondham. Under the abbacy of John Kyrteling, who had been abbot for more than twenty years, everything had gone wrong. The discipline was bad, the buildings were out of repair. There were no accounts of revenue or expenditure. The abbot was made to retire, and a further inquiry was ordered. In 1514 Bishop Richard Nicke had to take sterner measures, for the monastery was utterly corrupt and decayed. Licence of all kinds flourished, drunkenness and revellings, mad brawlings, and complete disregard of the rule prevailed. The prior was dismissed; but we have no report of any measures of reform. The priory at Norwich has no better record. In 1492 the monastic rule was found to be greatly relaxed, though no grievous scandals were observed. The gates were often not closed at night, frivolous laymen joined the monks at their repasts; there was talk of embezzlement, and, at any rate, there was far too much gossip and chatter, even in church. Women were not excluded from the house, and the servants of the monastery had their families living within the precincts. In 1514 the condition of affairs was much worse. The prior, it was said, had furtively abstracted the common seal, and used it to seal a presentation, doubtless to his own profit. Suspicious women were about, and there was dancing in the great hall by night. Sheep fed within the cloister, the brethren were neglected, there was no schoolmaster, and the

* Norwich Visitation (Camden Society, 1888).

number of monks had fallen short by ten. It appears that something was done to improve matters, for at the next visitation the complaints are either too wild to be credible, or are concerned with the sad folly of the juniors, who played cards and backgammon, and the gross vanity of the precentor, who would wear red dancing-shoes and a riding-coat. Lesser houses show lesser blots. St. Benet's at Hulme, and the great priory of Walsingham, brought into European note by the visit of Erasmus in 1511, the houses of Augustinian canons, and the many nunneries scattered over East Anglia, all were visited. Either there is some scandal, particularly if the house, like Walsingham, be rich, or there is decay and debt. But in the great majority of cases the cloistered life of this time seems to have been tranquil and uninteresting; and the religious, if they did little good, did no harm. They were either rich men furnished with ability, living peaceably in their habitations, or poor men praying for their neighbours, on whose alms they eked out a scanty subsistence. The great tide of time seemed, in this reign at least, to pass them by. They did not go out into the world, and the world came not to them. Those who had land were good landlords but bad farmers (p. 547). Their religious duties were mostly performed, but with no great spirit. There was no stir of any sort in their humdrum life.

The Parochial Clergy.

All this was not, however, suffered by all without a struggle. The monasteries sometimes sent up an able and aspiring abbot to high office in the Church; and the parish clergy, if we may believe Dean Colet in his sermons, and Erasmus in his Colloquies, were thirsting for preferment.

"How much greediness and appetite of honour and dignity," says the former, "is nowadays in men of the Church. How run they—yea, almost out of breath—from one benefice to another, from the less to the more, from the lower to the higher. Who seeth not this? who, seeing, sorroweth not? . . . . For what other thing seek we nowadays in the Church than fat benefices and high promotions? Yea, and in the same promotions, of what other thing do we pass upon than of our tithes and rents? That we care not how chargeful, how great benefices we take, so that they be of great value."—["Convocation" Sermon, in Lupton, *Life of Colet*, p. 121.]

And that the race was not always unsuccessful there are many instances to prove. Perhaps the most striking, as has

been shown, was the case of Colet's own predecessor in the deanery of St. Paul's. Dr. Robert Sherbourne held prebendaries in St. Paul's from 1489 to 1496; was Master of St. Cross Hospital, Master of the Hospital of Holy Trinity, near Kingsthorpe, 1492; Archdeacon of Bucks, 1495; Archdeacon of Taunton and Prebendary of Wells, 1496: Archdeacon of Hants, Dean of St. Paul's, 1499; Rector of Alresford, Hants, 1501; Bishop of St. David's, 1504; and Bishop of Chichester, 1508. Such a man as this easily distanced the parish clergy in the race. But his list of preferments looks poor beside that of Morton.

The Chantry Priests.

We turn from the monks and the parish clergy to the chantry priests. Of these there were very large numbers: by far the greater part of the English clergy had no cure of souls and no parochial duties, but merely said mass for the souls of the departed in chantry and other chapels. It was, doubtless, from these men that the greatest discredit came upon the Church: they dwelt often in private families, in a mean position, and sank to the level of those with whom they lived.

The Friars.

The friars, too, had fallen from their first estate. It is impossible to resist the testimony which shows that by the end of the fifteenth century, as a class, they had sunk low indeed. They had suffered from their popularity: the offscouring of men had rushed into their ranks, to enjoy their exemptions and live more securely on alms than they could without the mendicant habit. "At eating and drinking," says the innkeeper in Erasmus, "you are more than men, but you have neither hands nor feet to work"; yet in the same colloquy he bears witness to the simplicity and religion of the lives of many Franciscans. The best men among them aimed and rose high; but the old vows of poverty had often lost their meaning and served only to shelter a multitude of sturdy and not too religious beggars. The worst were utterly ignorant, the best were the leaders, the pioneers, of that intellectual movement which was to change the face of England. If Chaucer and many a later satirist mock alike at monk and friar, if the leader of the New Learning in England, Sir Thomas More, suffered from the assaults of the illiterate religious, it was to the Charterhouse that he went when he needed the deepest counsel and when

he took the step which turned him from a recluse into a man of affairs.

Signs of Vigour.

In spite of satire, well and ill deserved, there can be no doubt that, during the reign of Henry VII., the clergy as a whole were popular and the Church outwardly strong. The clerical body was a caste with its own feelings and interests, but it was the very reverse of an exclusive caste. It had its ties with every family in the land. Where it was corrupt, the laity were too much interested in the corruption to endeavour to reform it. And the interest of the people in the system of the Church was more worthily attested than by the eagerness with which laymen sought ordination for their sons. It was the age of great churches—churches not of the diocese, but of the parish. The vast size, the splendid workmanship, show the popular feeling. St. Michael at Coventry, St. Mary Redcliff, Holy Trinity at Hull, the churches of Newark and Boston, and many a country village church, were clearly built for the people and by the people. It was an age of church restoration as well as church building. The injunctions at episcopal visitations often enjoin work of this kind, and among the entries on the Lancaster roll are many grants of material for repair of sacred fabrics. If the finest work is that done in the universities in the beginnings of the new intellectual revival, the country is not far behind. With the beautiful tower of Magdalen College at Oxford, the work of Wolsey's bursarship, we may compare alike for grace and massive grandeur so distant and unnoticed a monument of the finest architecture of the age as the tower, like its Oxford rival in many noticeable ways, of the parish church of St. Probus and St. Grace in Cornwall. And this zeal in church building was no local fancy. As in Cornwall and Lincolnshire, so in Norfolk and the Midlands—the great church at Cirencester, for example—we find the same richness and profusion of work. And it is significant of the popularity of the Church in her material aspect that church-building in the towns stands side by side with municipal building and municipal growth. Most of the civic buildings of importance that we have are akin in date and workmanship to the great Perpendicular churches which are so plentiful. The mass of the people were loyal churchmen: yet there were stirrings,

Church Building.

observed by the keen eye of foreign visitors, of new beliefs in things sacred. "There are many," says the "Italian Relation of England" (Camden Society, p. 23), "who have various opinions concerning religion"; but the general aspect of the people, as the same acute observer saw it, was distinctly religious. "They all attend mass every day, and say many paternosters in public (the women carrying long rosaries in their hands, and any who can read taking the office of our Lady with them, and with some companion reciting it in the church verse by verse, in a low voice, after the manner of the religious); they always hear mass on Sunday in their parish church, and give liberal alms, because they may not offer less than a piece of money whereof fourteen are equal to a gold ducat; nor do they omit any form incumbent upon good Christians." From such a people, as might be expected, the offerings were large, and in spite of the poverty of many of the monastic houses, the appearance of the churches was one of great opulence. "Above all," says the authority already quoted (*Ibid.*, p. 29), "the riches of the people are displayed in the church treasures; for there is not a parish church in the kingdom so mean as not to possess crucifixes, candlesticks, censers, paten, and chalice of silver, nor is there a convent of mendicant friars so poor as not to have all these same articles in silver, besides many other ornaments in the same metal, worthy of a cathedral church. You may well imagine what the decoration of those rich Benedictine, Carthusian, and Cistercian monasteries must be, which are indeed more like baronial than religious houses, as you may have seen at the shrine of St. Thomas of Canterbury."

Church and People.

The offices of the Church, at the end of the fifteenth century, were distinctly popular. Even the choir services, those to which the monastic clergy were bound by their rule, and the secular canons by the custom of their churches, were well attended. The Norwich visitations, it is true, contain complaints of their neglect; but the neglect is only in the case of individuals, or of peculiarly lax houses, and is always severely reprehended. A canon of Hereford, writing some eighty years later of facts within his own memory, gives what may be taken as a fairly accurate picture of still earlier custom. At midnight, the whole of the cathedral clergy rose for matins, and the services were

practically continuous from five in the morning till at length it rang to evensong.

"And every Sabbath and festival day St. Thomas's bell should ring to procession, and the dean would send his somner to warn the mayor for the procession. And then, upon the somner's warning, the mayor would send the sergeants to the parish churches to command all the freemen to attend on the mayor to the procession or lecture. For want of a sermon, there would be a lecture in the chapter-house every Sabbath and holy day, notwithstanding they were at high mass in the choir. And then by the mayor and commons it was agreed at a general law-day that if the mayor did not come to processions and sermons, he should pay 12d. for every default, and every alderman 8d., and every man of the elections 4d., and every freeman or gild merchant 4d., if it were known they were absent, and within hearing of the said bell, and did not come, which ordinance was and is recorded in the custom-book of the city. So zealous," admits the stout reformer, "and diligent were the temporality then in observing those dregs of the clergy"; and, he adds, somewhat sadly, "then such heavy burdens were but light." *

The preaching of sermons here mentioned was not so common as in later days. Gascoigne says: "*Episcopi quasi nullos ad prædicandum miserunt*," and the reluctance of the bishops to give the preacher's licence is evidence of the ignorance of the clergy at large. But the mass was both a popular service and one which, though in an unknown tongue, must have been almost universally "understanded of the people"; and they were taught, not merely by significant ritual, but by constant issues of a popular work called the "Lay-folks' Mass Book," † which was at once an explanation and a devotional commentary on the great service of praise and thanksgiving. The "Primer," ‡ too, in its different forms, was a book both of public and private devotion, and was in the hands of all well-to-do families. Printing had begun to aid in the dissemination of Church teaching: such a book as Pynson's issue of the Sequences (1497) shows the steps made in the popularising of religion.

Still, the part taken by the people in the Church service

* Quoted in Gasquet, "Edward VI. and the Book of Common Prayer," p. 11.

† Edited by Canon Simmons for the Early English Text Society.

‡ A book containing—partly in English and partly in Latin, or sometimes wholly in English—the "Hours of Our Lady," Evensong and Compline, the Seven Penitential Psalms, the fifteen Psalms on the Seven Deadly Sins, the Litany, the Placebo and Dirge, the Psalms of Commendation, *Pater Noster Ave Maria*, the Creed, the Ten Commandments, the Seven Deadly Sins. [Perry, "Student's History of the Church of England," I. 513.]

at this time was, on the whole, rather passive than active.

Pilgrimage.

Activity, on the other hand, was shown to a very considerable extent in the pilgrimages which were so popular. Interrupted and eventually diverted from their course by the Mohammedan conquest of the Holy Land, pilgrimages, as a custom, had grown rather than diminished by time. Many journeyed far afield, and came back strengthened by the change; many were contented with their own land and the water of St. Thomas at Canterbury. Amid so many pilgrims, not all were religious; and the picture of their journeyings is written for all time in the Canterbury Tales. The wife of Bath, it will be remembered,

> Thries hadde sche ben at Jerusalem;
> Sche hadde passed many a straunge streem;
> At Rome sche hadde ben and at Bologne,
> At Galice, at Seynt James, and at Cologne;

and it is recorded that in one year of Henry VI., 2,433 English pilgrims went to Compostella.

At the close of the Middle Ages, pilgrimages had not greatly changed their character since Chaucer's day. We have vivid pictures in Erasmus's account of his visits to Walsingham and Canterbury. The shrine of St. Thomas was the most gorgeous, as it was the most popular, in England. Erasmus, who saw everything with the keenest eyes, yet not without an antiquarian and a devotional reverence for the past, thus describes what he saw:—

"Iron screens prevent ingress, but allow a view of the space between the extreme end of the church and the place which they called the choir. Thither you ascend by many steps, under which a vault opens entry to the north side. There is shown a wooden altar, dedicated to the Blessed Virgin, but mean and not remarkable for anything save as a monument of antiquity, putting to shame the extravagance of these times. Here the saint is said to have made his last farewell to the Virgin when his death was at hand. On the altar is the point of the sword by which the head of the most excellent prelate was cleft. . . . Descending to the crypt, which has its own mystagogues, we were shown the perforated skull of the martyr." "Did you see the bones?" asks the inquirer. "That is not allowed. But a wooden shrine covers the golden shrine, and when that is drawn up with ropes, it lays bare inestimable treasures. The meanest part was gold, every part glistened, shone, and sparkled with rare and very large jewels, some of them exceeding the size of a goose's egg. The prior, with a rod pointed out each jewel, telling its name in French,

and the name of the donor, for the chief of them kings had sent as offerings."

In all the material furniture of the churches, the reign of Henry VII. marked the climax of richness. Pilgrimages spread the knowledge of the treasures, which the cupidity of the next generation was to seize or to destroy.

Church and State.

Amid these signs of material prosperity, the Church was becoming more and more Erastian year by year. Her riches were due to popular favour mixed with a good deal of corrupt interest. Her position, supported by ecclesiastics who were at the same time the king's ministers, depended more and more upon the Crown. The Church courts, indeed, retained their powers and multiplied their activities. Secular prohibitions, even the writ "Circumspecte agatis" of Edward I., had done little to check their encroachments. There was a large area of temporal jurisdiction upon which the ecclesiastical tribunals had made incursions, and much of what had been debateable land in the earlier conflicts of jurisdictions had now passed into the power of the Church. Chiefly, all testamentary and matrimonial suits were in the hands of ecclesiastical lawyers. Benefit of clergy was but slightly restricted, and a vast number of persons, clerical only in name, could claim its privilege; but it is clear, on the other hand, that the Church by no means always interfered on behalf of a clergyman brought up before the civil courts, and many a convicted clerk suffered the same punishment from the same court as if he were a layman.

The Church's position thus, though uneasy, was still one of magnificence and power. Reforms were needed, and were being, perhaps too slowly, taken in hand. The characteristic of the age—a richness of life, absorbing and secular, had thrown its glamour over the religious bodies, and infected the priestly ideal. For the moment the Church appeared at the crest of the wave, and the Italian observer could say with conviction, thinking, no doubt, of the great churchman who stood at the king's right hand: "The clergy are they who have supreme sway over the country, both in peace and war."*

* "Italian Relation," *ut. sup.* p. 34.

F. W. MAITLAND. History of Law. 1307-1600.

The desire for continuous legislation is modern. We have come to think that, year by year, Parliament must meet and pour out statutes; that every statesman must have in his mind some programme of new laws; that if his programme once became exhausted he would cease to be a statesman. It was otherwise in the Middle Ages. As a matter of fact a parliament might always find that some new statute was necessary. The need for legislation, however, was occasioned (so men thought) not by any fated progress of the human race, but by the perversity of mankind. Ideally there exists a perfect body of law, immutable, eternal, the work of God, not of man. Just a few more improvements in our legal procedure will have made it for ever harmonious with this ideal; and, indeed, if men would but obey the law of the land as it stands, there would be little for a legislator to do.

Legislation in the Fourteenth Century.

During the fourteenth century a good deal is written upon the statute roll, and a good deal can still be said in very few words. "Also it is agreed that a parliament shall be holden once a year or more often if need be." This is a characteristic specimen of the brief sentences in which great principles are formulated and which by their ambiguity will provide the lawyers and politicians of later ages with plenty of matter for debate. Many of these short clauses are directed against what are regarded as abuses, as evasions of the law, and the king's officers are looked upon as the principal offenders. They must be repeated with but little variation from time to time, for it is difficult to bind the king by law. Happily the kings were needy; in return for "supply" they sold the words on the statute roll, and those words, of some importance when first conceded, became of far greater importance in after times. When we read them nowadays they turn our thoughts to James and Charles, rather than to Edward and Richard. "The New Monarchy" was not new. This, from its own point of view, was its great misfortune. It had inherited ancient parchment rolls which had uncomfortable words upon them.

Its Scope.

But parliament by its statutes was beginning to interfere with many affairs, small as well as great. Indeed, what we may consider small affairs

seem to have troubled and interested it more even than those large constitutional questions which it was always hoping to settle but never settling. If we see a long statute, one guarded with careful provisos, one that tells us of debate and compromise, this will probably be a statute which deals with one particular trade; for example, a statute concerning the sale of herring at Yarmouth fair. The thorniest of themes for discussion is the treatment of foreign merchants. Naturally enough our lords, knights, and burgesses cannot easily agree about it. One opinion prevails in the seaports, another in the upland towns, and the tortuous course of legislation, swaying now towards Free Trade and now towards Protection, is the resultant of many forces. The "omnicompetence," as Bentham called it, of statute law was recognised by all, the impotence of statute law was seen by none. It can determine the rate of wages, the price of goods, the value of money; it can decide that no man shall dress himself above his station.

On the other hand, the great outlines of criminal law and private law seem to have been regarded as fixed for all time. In the nineteenth century students of law will still for practical purposes be compelled to know a good deal about some of the statutes of Edward I. They will seldom have occasion to know anything of any laws that were enacted during the fourteenth or the first three-quarters of the fifteenth century. Parliament seems to have abandoned the idea of controlling the development of the common law. Occasionally and spasmodically it would interfere, devise some new remedy, fill a gap in the register of writs, or circumvent the circumventors of a statute. But in general it left the ordinary law of the land to the judges and the lawyers. In its eyes the common law was complete, or very nearly complete.

And then as we read the statute-roll of the fifteenth century we seem for a while to be watching the decline and fall of a mighty institution. Parliament seems to have nothing better to do than to regulate the manufacture of cloth. Now and then it strives to cope with the growing evils of the time, the renascent feudalism, the private wars of great and small; but without looking outside our roll we can see that these efforts are half-hearted and ineffectual. We are expected to show a profound interest in "the making of worsteds," while

we gather from a few casual hints that the Wars of the Roses are flagrant. If for a moment the parliament of Edward IV. can raise its soul above defective barrels of fish and fraudulent gutter tiles this will be in order to prohibit "cloish, kayles, half-bowl, hand-in-hand and hand-out, quekeboard," and such other games as interfere with the practice of archery.

"The Omnipotence of Parliament."

In the end it was better that parliament should for a while register the acts of a despot than that it should sink into the contempt that seemed to be prepared for it. The part which the assembled estates of the realm have to play in the great acts of Henry VIII. may in truth be a subservient and ignoble part; but the acts are great and they are all done "by the authority of parliament." By the authority of parliament the Bishop of Rome could be deprived of all jurisdiction, the monasteries could be dissolved, the king could be made (so far as the law of God would permit) supreme head of the English Church, the succession to the Crown could be settled first in this way, then in that, the force of statute might be given to the king's proclamations. There was nothing that could not be done by the authority of parliament. And apart from the constitutional and ecclesiastical changes which everyone has heard about, very many things of importance were done by statute. We owe to Henry VIII.—much rather to him than to his parliament—not a few innovations in the law of property and the law of crime, and the parliaments of Elizabeth performed some considerable legal exploits. The statutes of the Tudor period are lengthy documents. In many a grandiose preamble we seem to hear the voice of Henry himself; but their length is not solely due to the pomp of imperial phrases. They condescend to details; they teem with exceptions and saving clauses. One cannot establish a new ecclesiastical polity by half-a-dozen lines. We see that the judges are by this time expected to attend very closely to the words that parliament utters, to weigh and obey every letter of the written law.

Statute and Common Law.

Just now and then in the last of the Middle Ages and thence onwards into the eighteenth century, we hear the judges claiming some vague right of disregarding statutes which are directly at variance with the common law, or the law of God, or the

royal prerogative. Had much come of this claim, our constitution must have taken a very different shape from that which we see at the present day. Little came of it. In the troublous days of Richard II. a chief justice got himself hanged as a traitor for advising the king that a statute curtailing the royal power was void. For the rest, the theory is but a speculative dogma. We can (its upholders seem to say) conceive that a statute might be so irrational, so wicked, that we would not enforce it; but, as a matter of fact, we have never known such a statute made. From the Norman Conquest onwards, England seems marked out as the country in which men, so soon as they begin to philosophise, will endeavour to prove that all law is the command of a "sovereign one," or a "sovereign many." They may be somewhat shocked when in the seventeenth century Hobbes states this theory in trenchant terms and combines it with many unpopular doctrines. But the way for Hobbes had been prepared of old. In the days of Edward I. the text-writer, whom we call Britton, had put the common law into the king's mouth: all legal rules might be stated as royal commands.

Still, even in the age of the Tudors, only a small part of the law was in the statute-book. Detached pieces of superstructure were there: for the foundation men had to look elsewhere. After the brilliant thirteenth century a long, dull period had set in. The custody of the common law was now committed to a small group of judges and lawyers. They knew their own business very thoroughly, and they knew nothing else. Law was now divorced from literature: no one attempted to write a book about it. The decisions of the courts at Westminster were diligently reported and diligently studied, but no one thought of comparing English law with anything else. Roman law was by this time an unintelligible, outlandish thing, perhaps a good enough law for half-starved Frenchmen. Legal education was no longer academic—the universities had nothing to do with it, they could only make canonists and civilians—it was scholastic. By stages that are exceedingly obscure, the inns of court and inns of chancery were growing. They were associations of lawyers which had about them a good deal of the club, something of the college, something of the trade-union. They acquired the "inns" or "hospices"—

The Legal Profession: The Inns of Court.

that is, the town houses—which had belonged to great noblemen: for example, the Earl of Lincoln's inn. The house and church of the Knights of the Temple came to their hands. The smaller societies, "inns of chancery," became dependent on the larger societies, "inns of court." The serjeants and apprentices who composed them enjoyed an exclusive right of pleading in court: some things might be done by an apprentice or barrister, others required a serjeant; in the Court of Common Pleas only a serjeant could be heard. It would take time to investigate the origin of that power of granting degrees which these societies wielded. To all seeming the historian must regard it as emanating from the king, though in this case, as in many other cases, the control of a royal prerogative slowly passed out of the king's hand. But here our point must be, that the inns developed a laborious system of legal education. Many years a student had to spend in hearing and giving lectures and in pleading fictitious causes before he could be admitted to practice.

It is no wonder that under the fostering care of these societies English jurisprudence became an occult science and its professors "the most unlearned kind of most learned men." They were rigorous logicians, afraid of no conclusion that was implicit in their premises. The sky might fall, the Wars of the Roses might rage, but they would pursue the even course of their argumentation. They were not altogether unmindful of the social changes that were going on around them. In the fifteenth century there were great judges who performed what may seem to us some daring feats in the accommodation of old law to new times. Out of unpromising elements they developed a comprehensive law of contract; they loosened the bonds of those family settlements by which land had been tied up; they converted the precarious villan tenure of the Middle Ages into the secure copyhold tenure of modern times. But all this had to be done evasively and by means of circumventive fictions. Novel principles could not be admitted until they were disguised in some antique garb.

A new and a more literary period seems to be beginning in the latter half of the fifteenth century when Sir John Fortescue, the Lancastrian chief justice, writing for the world at large, contrasts the constitutional kingship of England with the absolute monarchy of France, and Sir Thomas Littleton,

a justice in the Court of Common Pleas, writing for students of English law, publishes his lucid and classical book on the tenure of land. But the hopes of a renascence are hardly fulfilled. In the sixteenth century many famous lawyers added to their fame by publishing reports of decided cases and by making "abridgments" of the old reports, and a few little treatises were compiled: but in general the lawyer seems to think that he has done all for jurisprudence that can be done when he has collected his materials under a number of rubrics alphabetically arranged. The alphabet is the one clue to the maze. Even in the days of Elizabeth and James I. Sir Edward Coke, the incarnate common law, shovels out his enormous learning in vast disorderly heaps. Carlyle's felicity has for ever stamped upon Coke the adjective "tough"—"tough old Coke upon Littleton, one of the toughest men ever made." We may well transfer the word from the man to the law that was personified in him. The English common law was tough, one of the toughest things ever made. And well for England was it in the days of Tudors and Stuarts that this was so. A simpler, a more rational, a more elegant system would have been an apt instrument of despotic rule. At times the judges were subservient enough: the king could dismiss them from their offices at a moment's notice: but the clumsy, cumbrous system, though it might bend, would never break. It was ever awkwardly rebounding and confounding the statecraft which had tried to control it. The strongest king, the ablest minister, the rudest lord-protector could make little of this "ungodly jumble."

Growth of the Judicial System.

To this we must add that professional jealousies had been aroused by the evolution of new courts, which did not proceed according to the course of the common law. Once more we must carry our thoughts back to the days of Edward I. The three courts—King's Bench, Common Bench, and Exchequer—had been established. There were two groups of "Justices," and one group of "Barons" engaged in administering the law. But behind these courts there was a tribunal of a less determinate nature.

The House of Lords.

Looking at it in the last years of the thirteenth century we may doubt as to what it is going to be. Will it be a house of magnates, an assembly of the lords spiritual and temporal, or

will it be a council composed of the king's ministers and judges and those others whom he pleases for one reason or another to call to the council board? As a matter of fact, in Edward I.'s day, this highest tribunal seems to be rather the council than the assembly of prelates and barons. This council is a large body; it comprises the great officers of state—chancellor, treasurer, and so forth; it comprises the judges of the three courts; it comprises also the masters or chief clerks of the chancery, whom we may liken to the "permanent under-secretaries" of our own time; it comprises also those prelates and barons whom the king thinks fit to have about him. But the definition of this body seems somewhat vague. The sessions or "parliaments" in which it does justice often coincide in time with those assemblies of the estates of the realm by which, in later days, the term "parliaments" is specifically appropriated, and at any moment it may take the form of a meeting to which not only the ordinary councillors, but all the prelates and barons, have been summoned. In the light which later days throw back upon the thirteenth century we seem to see in the justiciary "parliaments" of Edward I. two principles, one of which we may call aristocratic, while the other is official; and we think that, sooner or later, there must be a conflict between them—that one must grow at the expense of the other. And then again we cannot see very plainly how the power of this tribunal will be defined, for it is doing work of a miscellaneous kind. Not only is it a court of last resort in which the errors of all lower courts can be corrected, but as a court of first instance it can entertain whatever causes, civil or criminal, the king may evoke before it. Then lastly, acting in a manner which to us seems half judicial and half administrative, it hears the numerous petitions of those who will urge any claim against the king, or complain of any wrong which cannot be redressed in the formal course of ordinary justice.

In the course of the fourteenth century some of these questions were settled. It became clear that the lords' house of parliament, the assembly of prelates and barons, was to be the tribunal which could correct the mistakes in law committed by the lower courts. The right of a peer of the realm to be tried for capital crimes by a court composed of his peers was established. Precedents were set for those processes

which we know as impeachments, in which the House of Lords hears accusations brought by the House of Commons. In all these matters, therefore, a tribunal technically styled "the king in parliament," but which was in reality the House of Lords, appeared as the highest tribunal of the realm. But, beside it, we see another tribunal with indefinitely wide claims to jurisdiction—we see "the king in council." And the two are not so distinct as an historian, for his own sake and his readers', might wish them to be.

The Beginning of the Star Chamber.

On the one hand, those of the king's council who are not peers of the realm, in particular the judges and the masters of the chancery, are summoned to the lords' house of parliament, and only by slow degrees is it made plain to them that, when they are in that house, they are mere "assistants" of the peers, and are only to speak when they are spoken to. On the other hand, there is a widespread, if not very practical, belief that all the peers are by rights the king's councillors, and that any one of them may sit at the council board if he pleases. Questions enough are left open for subsequent centuries.

Its Work and Use.

Meanwhile the council, its actual constitution varying much from reign to reign, does a great deal of justice, for the more part criminal justice, and this it does in a summary, administrative way. Plainly there is great need for such justice, for though the representative commoners and the lawyers dislike it, they always stop short of demanding its utter abolition. The commoners protest against this or that abuse. Sometimes they seem to be upon the point of denouncing the whole institution as illegal; but then there comes some rebellion or some scandalous acquittal of a notorious criminal by bribed or partial jurors, which convinces them that, after all, there is a place for a masterful court which does not stand upon ceremony, which can strike rapidly and have no need to strike twice. They cannot be brought to openly admit that one main cause of the evils that they deplore is the capricious clumsiness of that trial by jury which has already become the theme of many a national boast. They will not legislate about the matter, rather they will look the other way while the council is punishing rich and powerful offenders, against whom no verdict could have been obtained. A hard line is drawn between the felonies, for which death is the punishment, and

the minor offences. No one is to suffer loss of life or limb unless twelve of his neighbours have sworn to his guilt after a solemn trial: but the council must be suffered to deal out fines and imprisonments against rioters, conspirators, bribers, perjured jurors; otherwise there will be anarchy. The council evolves a procedure for such cases, or rather it uses the procedure of the canon law. It sends for the accused; it compels him to answer upon oath written interrogatories. Affidavits, as we should call them, are sworn upon both sides. With written depositions before them, the lords of the council, without any jury, acquit or convict. The extraction of confessions by torture is no unheard-of thing.

Its Procedure.

It was in a room known as the Star Chamber that the council sat when there was justice to be done, and there, as "the Court of Star Chamber," it earned its infamy. That infamy it fairly earned under the first two Stuart kings, and no one will dispute that the Long Parliament did well in abolishing it. It had become a political court and a cruel court, a court in which divines sought to impose their dogmas and their ritual upon a recalcitrant nation by heavy sentences; in which a king, endeavouring to rule without a parliament, tried to give the force of statutes to his proclamations, to exact compulsory loans, to gather taxes that the commons had denied him; a whipping, nose-slitting, ear-cropping court: a court with a grim, unseemly humour of its own, which would condemn to an exclusive diet of pork the miserable puritan who took too seriously the Mosaic prohibition of swine's flesh. And then, happily, there were doubts about its legality. The theory got about that it derived all its lawful powers from a statute passed in 1487, at the beginning of Henry VII.'s reign, while manifestly it was exceeding those powers in all directions. We cannot now accept that theory, unless we are prepared to say that for a century and a half all the great judges, including Coke himself, had taken an active part in what they knew to be the unlawful doings of the council—the two chief justices had habitually sat in the Star Chamber. Still we may be glad that this theory was accepted. The court was abolished in the name of the common law.

Its Iniquities.

It had not added much to our national jurisprudence. It

had held itself aloof from jurisprudence: it had been a law unto itself, with hands free to invent new remedies for every new disease of the body politic. It had little regard for precedents, and, therefore, men were not at pains to collect its decisions. It had, however, a settled course of procedure which, in its last days, was described by William Hudson in a very readable book. Its procedure, the main feature of which was the examination of the accused, perished with it. After the Civil War and the Restoration no attempt was made to revive it, but that it had been doing useful things then became evident. The old criminal law had been exceedingly defective, especially in relation to those offences which did not attain the rank of felonies. The King's Bench had, for the future, to do what the Star Chamber had done, but to do it in a more regular fashion, and not without the interposition of a jury.

Far other were the fortunes of the Star Chamber's twin sister, the Court of Chancery. Twin sisters they were; indeed, in the fourteenth century it is hard to tell one from the other, and even in the Stuart time we sometimes find the Star Chamber doing things which we should have expected to be done by the chancery. But, to go back to the fourteenth century, the chancellor was the king's first minister, the head of the one great secretarial department that there was, the president of the council, and the most learned member of the council. Usually he was a bishop; often he had earned his see by diligent labours as a clerk in the chancery. It was natural that the lords of the council should put off upon him, or that he should take to himself, a great deal of the judicial work that in one way or another the council had to do. Criminal cases might come before the whole body, or some committee of it. Throughout the Middle Ages criminal cases were treated as simple affairs: for example, justices of the peace who were not trained lawyers could be trusted to do a great deal of penal justice, and inflict the punishment of death. But cases involving civil rights, involving the complex land law, might come before the council. Generally, in such cases, there was some violence or some fraud to be complained of, some violence or fraud for which, so the complainant alleged, he could get no redress elsewhere. Such cases came specially under the

The Court of Chancery.

eye of the Chancellor. He was a learned man with learned subordinates, the masters of the chancery. Very gradually it became the practice for complainants who were seeking the reparation of wrongs rather than the punishment of offences, to address their petitions, not to the king and council, but to the Chancellor. Slowly men began to think of the Chancellor, or the chancery of which he was president, as having a jurisdiction distinct from, though it might overlap, that of the council.

Its Jurisdiction.

What was to be the sphere of this jurisdiction? For a long time this question remained doubtful. The wrongs of which men usually complained to the Chancellor were wrongs well enough known to the common law—deeds of violence, assaults, land-grabbing, and so forth. As an excuse for going to him, they urged that they were poor while their adversaries were mighty, too mighty for the common law, with its long delays and its purchasable juries. Odd though this may seem to us, that court which was to become a byword for costly delay started business as an expeditious and a poor man's court. It met with much opposition: the House of Commons did not like it, and the common lawyers did not like it; but still there was a certain half-heartedness in the opposition. No one was prepared to say that there was no place for such a tribunal: no one was prepared to define by legislation what its place should be.

From the field of the common law the Chancellor was slowly compelled to retreat. It could not be suffered that, merely because there was helplessness on the one side and corruptive wealth on the other, he should be suffered to deal with cases which belonged to the old courts. It seems possible that this nascent civil jurisdiction of the Chancellor would have come to naught but for a curious episode in the history of our land law. In the second half of the fourteenth century many causes were conspiring to induce the landholders of England to convey their lands to friends, who, while becoming the legal owners of those lands, would, nevertheless, be bound by an honourable understanding as to the uses to which their ownership should be put There were feudal burdens that could thus be evaded, ancient restrictions which could thus be loosened. The Chancellor began to hold himself out as willing to enforce these honourable understandings, these

"uses, trusts or confidences" as they were called, to send to prison the trustee who would not keep faith. It is an exceedingly curious episode. The whole nation seems to enter into one large conspiracy to evade its own laws, to evade laws which it has not the courage to reform. The Chancellor, the judges, and the parliament seem all to be in the conspiracy. And yet there is really no conspiracy: men are but living from hand to mouth, arguing from one case to the next case, and they do not see what is going to happen. Too late the king, the one person who had steadily been losing by the process, saw what had happened. Henry VIII. put into the mouth of a reluctant parliament a statute which did its best—a clumsy best it was—to undo the work. But past history was too strong even for that high and mighty prince. The statute was a miserable failure. A little trickery with words would circumvent it. The Chancellor, with the active connivance of the judges, was enabled to do what he had been doing in the past, to enforce the obligations known as trusts. This elaborate story we can only mention by the way: the main thing that we have to notice is that, long before the Tudor days—indeed, before the fourteenth century was out—the Chancellor had acquired for himself a province of jurisdiction which was, in the opinion of all men, including the common lawyers, legitimately his own. From time to time he would extend its boundaries, and from time to time there would be a brisk quarrel between the chancery and the law courts over the annexation of some field fertile of fees. In particular, when the Chancellor forbade a man to sue in a court of law, or to take advantage of a judgment that he had obtained in a court of law, the judges resented this, and a bitter dispute about this matter between Coke and Ellesmere gave King James I. a wished-for opportunity of posing as the supreme lord of all the justice that was done in his name and awarding a decisive victory to his Chancellor. But such disputes were rare. The Chancellors had found useful work to do, and they had been suffered to do it without much opposition. In the name of equity and good conscience they had, as it were, been adding an appendix to the common law. Every jot and tittle of the law was to be fulfilled, and yet, when a man had done this, more might be required of him in the name of equity and good conscience.

Equity.

Where were the rules of equity and good conscience to be found? Some have supposed that the clerical chancellors of the last middle ages found them in the Roman or the canon law, and certain it is that they borrowed the main principles of their procedure from the canonists. Indeed, until some reforms that are still very recent, the procedure of the Court of Chancery was the procedure of an Ecclesiastical Court. In flagrant contrast to the common law, it forced the defendant to answer on oath the charges that were brought against him; it made no use of the jury; the evidence consisted of written affidavits. On the other hand, it is by no means certain that more than this was borrowed. So far as we can now see, the Chancellors seem to get most of their dominant ideas from the common law. They imitate the common law whenever they can, and depart from it reluctantly at the call of natural justice and common honesty. Common honesty requires that a man shall observe the trust that has been committed to him. If the common law will not enforce this obligation it is failing to do its duty. The Chancellor intervenes, but in enforcing trusts he seizes hold of and adopts every analogy that the common law presents. For a long time English equity seems to live from hand to mouth. Sufficient for the day are the cases in that day's cause-list. Even in the seventeenth century men said that the real measure of equity was the length of the Chancellor's foot. Under the Tudors the volume of litigation that flowed into the Chancery was already enormous; the Chancellor was often sadly in arrear of his work, and yet very rarely were his decisions reported, though the decisions of the judges had been reported ever since the days of Edward I. This shows us that he did not conceive himself to be straitly bound by precedents: he could still listen to the voice of conscience. The rapid increase in the number of causes that he had to decide began to make his conscience a technical conscience. More and more of his time was spent upon the judgment-seat. Slowly he ceased to be, save in ceremonial rank, the king's first minister. Wolsey was the last chancellor who ruled England. Secretaries of state were now intervening between the king and his great seal. Its holder was destined to become year by year more of a judge, less of a statesman. Still we must look forward to the Restoration for the age in which the rules of equity begin to take a

very definite shape, comparable in rigour to the rules of the common law.

Somehow or another England, after a fashion all her own, had stumbled into a scheme for the reconciliation of permanence with progress. The old mediæval criminal law could be preserved because a Court of Star Chamber would supply its deficiencies; the old private law could be preserved because the Court of Chancery was composing an appendix to it; trial by jury could be preserved, developed, transfigured because other modes of trial were limiting it to an appropriate sphere. And so our old law maintained its continuity. As we have said above, it passed scatheless through the critical sixteenth century, and was ready to stand up against tyranny in the seventeenth. The Star Chamber and the Chancery were dangerous to our political liberties. Bacon could tell King James that the Chancery was the court of his absolute power. But if we look abroad we shall find good reason for thinking that but for these institutions our old-fashioned national law, unable out of its own resources to meet the requirements of a new age, would have utterly broken down, and the "ungodly jumble" would have made way for Roman jurisprudence and for despotism. Were we to say that that equity saved the common law, and that the Court of Star Chamber saved the constitution, even in this paradox there would be some truth.

A. HASSALL.
The Transformation of the Army.

DURING the reign of Henry VII. the peculiar characteristics of the English army of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries were passing away. A general change and transformation of the forms of the art of war was in progress. But this change was a gradual one, and was not very noticeable during the reign of the first Tudor king, whose policy was pacific, and tended to keep the English soldiers at home. Henry VII. was more occupied with the suppression of the customs of livery and maintenance than with schemes of foreign aggression.

On his accession the whole military organisation was out of gear. The period of disorder known as the Wars of the Roses, had had disastrous effects on the existing military system. Great difficulty had been experienced in raising

troops before the Battle of Bosworth, and complaints of the decay of knighthood and the degeneracy of the English as soldiers were frequent. We have seen that during the incessant warfare of 1455 to 1485 the old national militia had been almost entirely replaced by the bands of "household men" and the liveried dependents of the great peers. These dangerous bands had to be swept away before the old military system, with modifications, necessitated by the change in the character of the nobility and by the introduction of gunpowder, could be restored and placed on a satisfactory footing.

Concentration under the Crown.

To adapt the existing arrangements for purposes of defensive and foreign warfare, to destroy the influence of the great lords, to place the whole military system in the hands of trustworthy men, whom he could direct and on whom he could rely, was the definite policy of Henry VII.

Though the great European monarchies were establishing permanent military forces, England had no standing army. The nearest approach to such an institution was to be found in the Yeomen of the Guard and the Gentlemen-at-Arms, formed by Henry VII. England, however, was not without military resources, adequate for defensive purposes, and not wholly insufficient for occasional intervention on the Continent.

We have seen (p. 41) that in the fourteenth and the early part of the fifteenth centuries all men from sixteen to sixty were liable to be called out for the protection of the country against invasion, and even expected "to be well and defensibly arrayed," and to be so arrayed as to be ready upon a day's warning to resist the king's enemies and rebels, and to defend the realm. Commissions of array had long been the recognised constitutional means of collecting forces for the protection of the realm. The law as settled in 1402 was that "except in case of invasion none shall be constrained to go out of their own counties," and as wars with Wales and Scotland were always and rightly regarded in the light of invasions, the militia of the counties were liable for such service. The Welsh and Scottish wars of Henry IV., and the Scottish war of Edward IV. and Richard III., were carried on by troops levied by Privy Seal letters, issued

by the king and paid for by the districts which supplied them. Only the battles of the Civil War had been fought out by the liveried retainers of the baronage. Henry VII. utilised the existing system and found it sufficient for his purpose. An attempted rising in 1486 in Yorkshire, instigated by Lord Lovell and the Staffords, old followers of Richard III., collapsed at the appearance of a strong muster of nobles, gentry, and yeomen: and as soon as Lambert Simnel's rebellion assumed dangerous proportions, Henry sent most of the southern nobility to their own districts to muster men. The desperate courage of Simnel's host was as unsuccessful at Stoke against these hastily summoned levies, as was that of the Scots some years later at Flodden.

Enlistment under Contract.

For foreign war, troops were raised by voluntary enlistments under Henry VII. just as they had been under Edward III. or Henry V. (pp. 40, 327). The enlistments were generally made through the medium of some nobleman or gentleman who bound himself by indenture to serve the king "for a fixed sum, and with a fixed force for fixed wages."

When the system of livery was abolished, the necessity for private defence removed, and the power of the great barons destroyed, Henry VII. took in hand and continued the system of contracting with county magnates for troops for foreign service.

For example, we find him in 1492 contracting with different lords and gentlemen in order to make his army as effective as possible, while in this same year he, in like manner, contracted with the Earl of Kent to provide "vj. men of arms, his owne person comprised in the same, every one of them having with him his custrell and his page; with xvj. demi-lances, xvj. archers on horsbak, and lx. archers on fote, of good and hable persons for the ware, horsed, armed, garnished, and arrayed, sufficiently in all peces, and in every thing as after the custome of ware ought to appertayne." This indenture is exactly similar to the business-like agreements by which Henry V. had raised his troops eighty years before.

To pay for the maintenance of an army raised for the defence of Brittany in 1490, and for the expedition to France in 1492, Henry VII. secured grants of money from his

Parliaments. The people were heavily taxed for what was really not a war, and the unquiet spirits at home did not appreciate the fact that peace had been gained without a battle.

The contracts made always expired at the end of the wars, and the armies were disbanded. The rehabilitation of cavalry had not yet begun in England, though on the Continent the horseman was again in favour. But in Henry's time the knights and squires still descended from their horses to fight on foot, as their fathers had done at Towton and Tewkesbury. We therefore find that although light horse were used for raiding and for scouting, yet during the early Tudor period the common infantry formed the real fighting strength of the army, and were commanded by officers who had no personal connection with the men. Owing to the absence of a commissariat, to the inefficiency of the officers, and to the disorganisation of forces, "unaccustomed to discipline, unused to command, and brought at haphazard from the plough," the performances of the Tudor army abroad did not as a rule redound to the credit of the Government.

The invention of gunpowder brought about many important changes in the history of warfare in the sixteenth century. We have seen how Edward IV. had scattered the Lincolnshire rebels by the fire of his cannon at Lose-Coat Field. Not less effective was the fire of Henry VII.'s artillery at Blackheath, when the Cornishmen fled in dismay from the volleys which ploughed clear lines through their serried masses. It is hardly possible to exaggerate the advantage which the king had over rebels of all sorts through possessing the only parks of artillery within the four seas. But though the adoption of gunpowder thus gradually revolutionised warfare, and though artillery was used throughout the Wars of the Roses, the long-bow retained its superiority over the arquebus for some time to come. In the small engagements with the French which gave the only opportunity for the trial of weapons in the time of Henry VII., the archer still showed himself as effective as at Crecy or Agincourt. When Lord Morley defeated the French at Dixmuide and stormed their camp, all the credit is given to the bowmen. At Flodden the Lowland pikemen were shot down by the English archers, and in Edward VI.'s reign Ket's followers defeated a corps of German hackbut men with their archery fire. The use

of firearms, however, gradually brought about the disuse of the bow.

Henry VII.'s policy, then, in things military, was to utterly destroy the custom of livery and maintenance which had superimposed itself upon the old national system, and to render the county levies free from all baronial influence and loyal to himself. Livery and maintenance were ever the signs of faction and oppression, and for their suppression the Court of Star Chamber was set up. To secure the services of soldiers during the period for which they had contracted to fight, an Act was passed in the seventh year of Henry's reign inflicting penalties for desertion, and in Edward VI.'s reign another Act was passed "Touching the free service of captains and soldiers," which was somewhat of a Mutiny Act. Thus Henry VII. inaugurated a policy which was continued by all the Tudors. His aim was to provide a national and trustworthy force. In order to effect his purpose he revived the militia system, and compelled counties to supply a certain number of men according to their means. No better illustration of the practical wisdom of the Tudors, in developing the county and parochial institutions, can be afforded than by observing the way in which they supported and extended the militia system. As long as a policy of peace was definitely pursued, the militia arrangements were probably sufficient and adequate for all necessary purposes. But as soon as a nation engages in war, a standing army is a better and more economical instrument. "Armies raised by hasty levies from a rural population are," as has been well said, "among the costliest, as they are the worst, of all political expedients." Industry is disturbed, the labourer acquires disorganised habits, and after the war the country is full of disbanded soldiers. Such was the condition of England at the time of the Wars of the Roses, such was its condition, to a modified extent, after Henry VIII.'s first experiences of Continental warfare. From such a state of things the wise policy of Henry VII. preserved England during his reign.

The Suppression of Liveries.

The Militia System.

W. LAIRD CLOWES.

The Navy under Henry VII.

The sovereignty of the narrow seas was worthily maintained by England under Henry VII., a monarch who not only understood that the only way to ensure peace is to be prepared for war, but also comprehended the principles, and realised the importance, of commerce. In 1487 the Archbishop of Canterbury, who was also Lord Chancellor, opened Parliament with a speech in which he conveyed to the estates of the realm the king's views on these subjects; and during the session that followed, much attention was devoted to them. In 1490 a very advantageous treaty was concluded with Denmark, whereby were secured to the merchants, and particularly to those of Bristol, the trade which they had long enjoyed with Iceland, but which, since the Civil Wars, had suffered some disturbance. By the stipulations in this compact it was agreed that the English were to furnish the Icelanders with all kinds of provision, with coarse cloth, and with other commodities, without hindrance on the part of Denmark. This was an exclusive privilege, and was, no doubt, well worth obtaining. In 1506 an almost equally advantageous treaty was concluded with Castile.

The "Henri Grace à Dieu."

It seems to have been the practice all through the fifteenth century, as a ship became useless, to pass on her name to a new vessel built to replace her. It was also the practice to reserve certain names for vessels of the largest kind. One of the names so reserved was *Grace à Dieu*. When Henry VII. determined to replace a *Grace à Dieu* that had been left him by his predecessors, he decided that the new craft should be larger and more splendid than anything then belonging to his Navy; and, when he had at length completed her, he called her, in his own honour, the *Henri Grace à Dieu*. She is said to have cost £14,000, and she appears to have had four pole-masts, each with two circular tops, a bowsprit, three square sails on each mast, a built-up poop and forecastle, and two complete and two partial tiers of guns mounted in ports. There is some reason for believing that a drawing of the *Harry Grace à Dieu*, in the Pepysian Library at Cambridge, is intended to represent this vessel and not the next *Henri Grace à Dieu*, which was built by Henry VIII. What her guns were can only be conjectured; but some

grounds appear for supposing that on her lower-deck she carried sixteen 24 or 32 prs.: on her main-deck, eighteen 12 prs.: and on her upper-deck, poop, and forecastle about thirty-six 5 prs. and 2 prs.: or seventy guns in all. It must, however, be admitted that little is known about her. It is very likely that, re-named the *Regent*, she was the ship which was lost in the engagement with the French on August 10th, 1512. If so, she was of 1,000 tons burthen, and carried a complement of 700 officers and men. James says that she was built in 1488, but so much that is contradictory has been written concerning her, that almost all that can be said with certainty is that Henry VII.'s *Henri Grace à Dieu* was a bigger vessel than had ever before been built in England, and that, by the beginning of the next reign, she had either disappeared or received a new name.

The Discovery of North America.

Henry's known zeal for the Navy, and his recognised commercial and general ability, induced Christopher Columbus, who had met with but a cool reception at the Court of Spain, to turn, in 1485, to England, whither he despatched his brother Bartholomew, within a few months after Henry's accession. Bartholomew was unfortunate. On his way to England he was taken by pirates and made by them to labour as a slave, and when he escaped and reached London, he was first so ill and then so poor as to be unable to press his brother's designs upon the king until 1488. In the interval he supported himself by making charts and globes, and, on being at length introduced to Henry, he presented his Majesty with a map of the world. The king listened to Columbus's plans, and readily promised to assist in carrying them out; but delays supervened, and ere Bartholomew was in a position to carry a definite commission to his brother Christopher, the latter had not only obtained the co-operation of Spain, but had actually accomplished his first voyage and made his great discovery. The news of this naturally created great stir in all the seaports of Europe, and induced Giovanni Caboto, a Genoese merchant-seaman, who had long been settled at Bristol and who was already favourably known to Henry, to make application to the king for encouragement to attempt further discoveries to the westward, and especially to look for a North-west passage to India. According to some, Caboto,

better known as John Cabot, had already made a voyage to the North-west, and had sighted Labrador in 1494. The story of his two ascertained voyages is told in detail in the succeeding pages. In both these voyages he made observations of the variation of the compass, a phenomenon which had been already noticed by Columbus.

In 1502 Henry granted further letters patent for maritime discovery under English colours to Hugh Ellyot and Thomas Ashurst, merchants of Bristol, and to John Gonzales and Francis Fernandez, natives of Portugal.

C. RAYMOND BEAZLEY. Discovery and Exploration.

THE great age of English discovery, it is often said, begins with the Cabots, John and Sebastian, Italians and Venetians, who took the lead in the exploration of the North American continent. As in other countries, so in England, Italians were the first pilots and shipmasters of exploring voyagers, but English exploration was slower in its growth than Spanish or Portuguese. The enterprise of 1497 was a generation too soon for national feeling, and is chiefly to be noted as an evidence, not so much of Northern and English as of Southern and Italian daring and seamanship. It belongs more to the world of Columbus, Da Gama, and Henry of Portugal, than to that of Henry VII. The native English movement rather starts with Chancellor and Willoughby and the seamen of Edward VI.

The Cabots.

But the achievement of the Cabots, as being performed in the service of the English Crown, became one of our national glories (p. 553). It is the one successful venture of seamen from our ports into the unknown world throughout that fifteenth century which witnessed the steady advance ot the Portuguese round the African continent to India, the further opening of the new land routes to the Far East, the discovery of the Western continent by Columbus.*

* This being at first mistaken for Eastern Asia, the real importance of the achievement lay not in its discovery as a new world, which was only realised later, but in its supposed proof of the possibility of sailing round the globe. *Cf.* Hakluyt's original, "The Voyage of Sebastian Cabot to the N.-E. part of America for the discovery of a N.-W. passage, as far as 58 degrees of latitude, and thence back again along the coast to Florida, in 1497. Confirmed by six testimonies."

On the 5th of March, 1495, a patent was granted to John Cabot and his three sons, Sebastian * and two others, for the discovery and conquest of unknown lands: in the spring of 1497 (May) these Venetians sailed from Bristol with two vessels, the *Matthew* and another, and on June 24th, after a straight course west of nearly two months, they sighted land. Their "Prima Vista," as Hakluyt calls it, was probably not Cape Breton island, as stated in Sebastian's planisphere of 1544, but eight or ten degrees farther north, on the coast of Labrador,† which was then ranged by the discoverers, probably as far as Cape Chudley.

"On the 24th of June, 1497," says Hakluyt's Testimony, "John and Sebastian Cabot discovered that land which no man had before attempted." On landing, they found barbarous islanders dressed in skins. Three of these they brought home, and presented to Henry VII.

"When the news came of Columbus' finding of the passage by the West to the East," says Sebastian in his own account, ". . . I sailed N.-W., not thinking to find any land but Cathay (China), and thence to turn towards India." On failing to hit the passage "as the land ran even North and East," he turned down towards the tropics and ran along the coast to Florida. Then, as provisions began to fail, he turned back to England. In another account, it was only a mutiny of the shipmasters and mariners that prevented his making his way straight to Cathay; for, "on the 11th of June, still finding the open sea without impediment, he thought verily to have passed on" to Asia. He had sailed so near the Pole that he met "monstrous great lumps of ice swimming in the sea, and continual daylight," while to his own seeming he had got so far west that, as he said afterwards, "Then I had Cuba on my left."

The whole of this famous voyage was made, it would seem, between the beginning of May and the end of July when the discoverers were back in English waters with their reports of a new-found world in the Northern Ocean, which offered the attractions of mines of copper, and barbarous

* The Letters Patent authorised the Cabots—"John, Lewis, Sebastian and Sancius, to sail to all places, lands and seas, of the East, West, and North." First, they were to discover; second, to annex, any new-found heathen lands.

† *Cf.* Harrisse, "Dict. of N. Amer.," pp. 6-9, 36-37, which greatly discounts Sebastian's version, as that of a braggart or a charlatan.

islanders. Whether this was an outlying part of Cathay or a great unknown land between Asia and Europe, could not yet be proved. In any case, though little was done by Englishmen for many years to follow up this Prima Vista, the Cabots had sailed in the service of the Crown, and Henry VII. had to give them a dole. It was not quite the sort of thing to draw seamen from the ports of Spain and Italy. In the privy purse expenses, under date of the 10th of August, 1497, there is the entry: "To him that found the New Isle, £10" (equal to about £100 now).

The discoverers gained their patent for a second venture on February 3rd, 1498. By this deed, "John Kabotto" is allowed to take six ships in any haven of the realm up to 200 tons burden "to convey and lead to the land and isles of late found by the said John, in our name and by our commandment,"—and between May and July of this year (1498) the next voyage seems to have been made* with the most doubtful results.

The Second and Third Voyages.

The second voyage of 1498 is followed by a disputed third in 1499, of which we have an entry that it was to the "Gulf of Mexico"; shortly after this is to be placed the death of John Cabot, and Sebastian disappears from sight till the year 1512. But in 1501, 1502, 1504, and afterwards, English ships went the Newfoundland voyage, chiefly for fishery.

R. HUGHES. Art.

We have seen how, in the matter of architecture, each new style arose and reached a comparative degree of perfection, not only during the lifetime of its predecessor, but while the predecessor was in the plenitude of its power. The same holds true of the work of the painter on glass. There is rarely any apparent difference between the texture or colour of the latter part of the Early English glass and the early part of the Decorated glass, or between the later Decorated and the earliest Perpendicular.

* Possibly guided by Portuguese and Italian information. From the D'Este map, it seems clear that Portuguese knew the outline of the North American coasts from Florida to Cape Cod in 1502. The landfall on this occasion was probably S. of that on the former voyage; and the exploration is said to have included the whole E. coast of the present United States as far as Florida. (Harrisse, *op. cit.*, p. 34.)

The magnificent depth of colour of the earliest times of glass Mosaic did not last long, and, indeed, in point of colour, the falling-off was tolerably continuous throughout the whole of the Decorated and Perpendicular periods. Nor was there, so far as we can judge, much original talent then, or at any time, displayed in England in this department. The borders are, as a rule, either copies of architectural details, or borrowings from French work. The stipple method of shading, which, no doubt, immensely increased the power of the glass painter, may be considered the great achievement of the Perpendicular period. Still its results in England, at least, were unfortunate. For while it never at any time produced work of really fine pictorial character (such as the sixteenth-century glass of Montmorency), its influence was ruinous to the more decorative effect. At any rate, the desire to show off the minuter delicacies of their work led the glass artists to introduce large masses of white glass, and to eschew the deep and gem-like tints of their forefathers. This is equally true of Perpendicular picture-windows and of Perpendicular pattern-windows; and the tendency may be traced, without break-down, to the corruption of everything connected with Gothic under the influence of the classical Renaissance. The completest specimen of Perpendicular glass decorations in the kingdom is in Fairford Church in Gloucestershire. It dates from the first year of the sixteenth century, and shows, if we can be sure that it is English work, that in matter of drawing, and in harmonious though not rich colour, England was not far behind France at this time. It is only right, however, to say that the nationality of this glass is impugned by a tradition that the church was built by John Tame, in 1493, for the reception of the glass which he had just captured piratically. On the other hand, the Prince of Wales's Feathers appear in one of the lights; so we may feel sure that one window, at any rate, was of home manufacture.

Painted Glass.

The Fairford Windows.

The enfeeblement and exhaustion which followed the Wars of the Roses seem to have extinguished the flickering light of English sculpture, and the superiority of the foreign painters and sculptors justified the fatal practice of their introduction. The

Sculpture under Henry VII.

statues and apostles in Henry VII.'s chapel, some fonts, chiefly in East Country churches, the reliefs at Tewkesbury, and a few monuments, like those of Cardinal Beaufort at Winchester and Sir Giles Danbury at Westminster, make up most of the best of our indigenous sculpture down to the end of the reign of the first Tudor sovereign. It is to the Italian Torrigiano that we owe the tomb of Henry VII. and his queen at Westminster, with its noble figures dignified in character and naturalistic in treatment; but this, of course, brings us to the reign of the second Tudor sovereign. The contract between the executors of Henry VII. and the Florentine artist still exists. The work was to cost £1,500, and was finished in 1518. The king, in his will, gave minute directions for the monument, and justified the saying that the only expense which Henry VII. ever willingly faced was that which was to be incurred after his death. The black marble tomb has a finely carved frieze, adorned with medallions in copper gilt, and, at either end, the royal arms supported by brass cherubs. It is a fine work of purely Italian character.

For a century, or thereabouts, after the death of Richard, the history of pictorial art in England is a blank. The marriage of Henry V. and Katharine after Agincourt produced nothing but a long and exhausting war. Nor was the connection between the courts of King Edward IV. and Duke Charles of Burgundy productive of more important results, although the one great northern school of painting was included in the dominions of the Duke. It is, no doubt, probable that Flemish pictures, as well as Flemish horses and French wines, found their way across the seas, but there is no evidence that the Englishmen of that day cared for anything of the kind.

Painting.

The art of the painter, in the highest sense of the word, was unknown in England until the sixteenth century, and then it came in one of its humblest manifestations in what we may call superficial, as distinguished from characteristic, portraiture. In Italy the dramatic presentation of human life had been achieved with brilliant success two hundred years before; but England remained ignorant of the possibility of such work. Even the best illuminations, such as the Bedford Missal, belonging to Englishmen, were executed

abroad. Portraits, or things called portraits, were no doubt painted in the fifteenth and even the fourteenth centuries. Here and there we come across a king who ordered the portraits of his ancestors, or a bishop who placed the portraits of all his predecessors on the walls of a chapel or chantry. A few rude panels, mostly copies, apparently made in the reign of the first Tudor, preserve the likenesses, or what were supposed to be the likenesses, of the earlier Plantagenets. In this way we see, or fancy we see, what manner of men were Edward IV. and Richard III., and Jane Shore—the picture of that unhappy woman possessing more than common interest, as answering, though not fully, to the description of the portrait seen and minutely described by Sir Thomas More. Henry VII. deserves credit at least for this—that he was the first English sovereign since Henry III. who cared in the slightest degree for art. The long wars with France had left neither princes nor people time to cultivate anything but arms, and during the Wars of the Roses the one art really studied was that of cutting throats. The reign of Edward IV. provided the first breathing-term, and after the union of the two rival houses by the marriage of Henry Tudor and the White Rose of York, a new and more promising era began.

Mabuse and his School.

Henry seems to have extended something like a welcome to the foreign artist, and has the credit of having invited Jan Gossaert to our shores. He came from Maubeuge in Hainault, and is better known by the local sobriquet of Mabuse. The actual date of his birth, as well as the date, and indeed the fact, of his arrival in England, is indefinite, but early in the sixteenth century he was probably here. He is familiar to Englishmen by one of his noblest works, "The Offering of the Magi," at Castle Howard, which, however, was not painted for an English patron, but for the Abbot of Grammont. He had studied in Italy, and acquired fame in the Low Countries if he quitted them for England, and the immediate cause of his doing so is uncertain. It is not, however, improbable that, having got into some scrape (the Cinque-cento painters were a wild crew), he determined to try the Court of one who was accounted the richest prince in Europe. He is said to have painted portraits of the king's children—Prince Arthur,

Prince Henry, and Princess Margaret—and such a picture by a Flemish hand, though hardly that of Mabuse, exists. Grave doubt has, however, been thrown on the identity of the persons represented. It is by no means impossible that the picture was painted in England, though the better opinion is that it represents the three children of Christian II. of Denmark. At the same time, the fact that the group was several times repeated—there are no less than four replicas in England—certainly favours the view that it was supposed to represent the English royal family. It is probable that Mabuse, or a skilful countryman of his, established some kind of Flemish atelier in London, whence works, the nationality of which cannot well be disputed, were disseminated throughout England. There are several other pictures of this period which have been long attributed to Mabuse, such as the Adam and Eve, and the Virgin and Child, with St. Michael and St. Andrew, at Hampton Court. But however few the works by his hand may be, the number painted by Flemings, or the pupils of Flemings, or which belong to his Flemish school, is considerable. More than one portrait of "the Lady Margaret" Beaufort, the mother of Henry VII., remains, preserved by the piety of the colleges at Cambridge, of which she was a benefactress. And though many of them are copies, ordered by the filial piety of those who enjoyed her bounty, there must clearly have been at least one original of considerable character. The famous "Marriage of Henry VII. with Elizabeth of York," formerly the property of Horace Walpole, probably came from the studio the existence of which we have inferred. The famous virtuoso's description of this picture is worth quoting, for it hits off the qualities of a most important work, and probably the most ambitious production of the first school of art which was planted in England :—

"It represents the inside of a church—an imaginary one—not at all resembling the abbey where those princes were married. The perspective and the landscape of the country on each side are good. On one hand, in the foreground, stand the King and Bishop of Imola, who pronounced the nuptial benediction. His Majesty is a trist, lean, ungracious figure, with a downcast look, very expressive of his mean temper and of the little satisfaction he had in the match. Opposite to the bishop is the queen, a buxom, well-looking damsel, with golden hair. By her is a figure, above all proportion with the rest, unless intended, as I imagine, for an emblematic personage, and designed from its lofty stature to give an idea of

something above human. It is an elderly man, dressed like a monk, except that his habit is green, his feet are bare, and a spear is in his hand. As the frock of no religious Order ever was green, this cannot be meant for a friar. Probably it is St. Thomas, represented, as in the martyrologies, with the instrument of his death. The queen might have some devotion to that peculiar saint, or might be born or married on his festival. Be that as it may, the picture, though in a hard manner, has its merit, independent of the curiosity."

Coins and Medals.

From the reign of Edward III. to that of Henry VII., the art of the medallist in England made no progress. The noble, half-noble, and quarter-noble in gold: the groat, half-groat, penny, and half-penny in silver, continued to be coined during the intervening reigns, but were nothing but more or less successful copies of the second and later coinage of Edward. Henry IV., in the thirteenth year of his reign, reduced the weight of the groat and of its subdivisions, and in this step was followed by his son and grandson. But all the while money grew steadily scarcer and led to the introduction, not only of the Scottish silver, which was of inferior quality, but of various foreign pieces, including a large coinage made in Venice specially for the English market. Numerous proclamations forbidding the use of these foreign coins, and an equal number of petitions for a further issue of small English coins, sufficiently attest both the scarcity and the illicit efforts to mitigate it. Under Henry VI. two new gold coins appeared—the angel and the angelet, so named from the figure of St. Michael trampling on the dragon, borne on the obverse—and under his successor a variation was made in the noble by adding a full-blown rose on the side of Edward's ship.

The reign of Henry VII. marks the beginning of our modern coinage. In the fifth year of that king the sovereign appeared (page 559). It was double the weight of the royal or noble; but that coin, as well as the angel and angelet, continued in use. The design of the sovereign was new, the king appearing in his royal robes, crowned, seated on an open throne, with a background of fleur-de-lis diaper. There is also another type extant, in which the throne is surmounted by a canopy, but in both the double rose of Lancaster and York appears on the reverse. Like our own coin, the Tudor sovereign was of the value of twenty shillings, and in this reign, for the first time, an actual

shilling in silver makes its appearance. There were also many changes in the dies. The arched crown, after an absence of many centuries, reappears; at first upon a head of the conventional angelic type, which had done duty for all the Plantagenets, from Henry of Winchester downwards. But in the nineteenth year of Henry VII., if not earlier, there is an issue of coins, with a profile of the king, wearing his crown. Probably these were the best specimens of metallic portraiture which had been coined in this country since the time of Constantine, and in truth from this time the cabinet of the English numismatist assumes the character of a national portrait gallery.

W. H. HUTTON. The Universities.

AMID the richness of interest which marked the life of England at the end of the fifteenth century, the intellectual aspect must not be forgotten. The Universities were passing through a period of change, reflecting in their own way, then as ever, the fashions of the nation at large. In Cambridge, colleges were founded—Jesus, in 1497, famous for its beautiful gardens, on the site of a Benedictine nunnery, and Christ's, in 1506, endowed by the devout and learned mother of the king, Margaret, Countess of Richmond. Each of these new colleges was to train a prominent reformer: Cranmer studied at Jesus and Latimer at Christ's. The glorious chapel of the royal foundation of King's College received also some addition at the hands of Henry VII. But at Cambridge the intellectual movement of the time became prominent rather under Henry VIII.; it had its beginnings at Oxford while the first Tudor still sat on the throne.

Cambridge.

Oxford.

Probably at no time in the history of the University were there gathered within its walls men more eminent as scholars or more famous in the national annals. The founders of the Royal Society, or the leaders of the Tractarian movement, do not cover so wide a field as the men in whose hands the English Renaissance began to shape itself. Not long before, it had been recorded that at least five Oxford students were pupils of the elder Guarino, at Ferrara. Now the "barbarians beyond the Alps" were beginning to teach and to study for themselves. Within the reign of Henry VII. an Italian

traveller might have met at the same time in Oxford William Grocyn, Thomas Linacre, Thomas More, John Colet, Thomas Wolsey, Cornelio Vitelli, and Desiderius Erasmus. On Christmas Day, 1488, three Italian scholars dined with the President of Magdalen; and it appears that a few years later Cornelio Vitelli was lecturing in the schools.

But to William Grocyn belongs the honour of first teaching Greek at Oxford. He had travelled in Italy in 1488, and had studied under Chalcondylas and Politian. He had been a fellow of New College, and was now living in Exeter College. Thomas Linacre, who had been a fellow of All Souls', had also breathed the delicate atmosphere of the Florentine Academy. He was More's special instructor, and from him, too, Erasmus first learnt Greek. More was a typical student of the English Renaissance, and both his studies and their earliest fruits belong to the reign of Henry VII. He was entered, in 1492, at Canterbury College, one of the foundations which afterwards made way for Christ Church, and he seems to have occupied a room also at St. Mary Hall. There he remained for two years. The old learning still held the field in England, and there was no such support for the humanists as was afforded in Italy by the circles of distinguished patrons, but the attempt to transplant Italian culture was being made with energy and success. English scholars translated Greek into Latin, wrote Latin letters and poems with a new freedom and courage, and began to lecture on the literatures of Greece and Rome. The reconstruction of the great world of the past was being undertaken in Oxford as seriously as at Florence. Boys like More were sent by wise fathers, like the old Justice Sir John, away from the "distractions of public affairs"* to profit by the quietude of an academic training. Already at work in the world, professed ecclesiastics and parish priests gave up active life to enter on the new course of study for which the relics of Scholasticism had given but slight training. John Colet was already twenty-six, and a Master of Arts, when his eager pursuit of Greek brought him into relations with the young student of fourteen, with whom he formed a lasting friendship. In 1493, not satisfied with all that Grocyn and Linacre

Greek taught at Oxford.

* Cresacre More, "Life of More," p. 9.

could teach, he went to learn in France and Italy. In 1496 he was back again, and lecturing on St. Paul's Epistles with all the eagerness and devotion of a disciple and a discoverer.

Colet, More, Wolsey.

It is characteristic of the English scholars and of those whom they gathered round them that their classical knowledge was used for religion rather than secular learning. The Bible, and Dionysius the Areopagite, the Creation, Sacrifice, the Origins of Things—subjects wide enough, but within a range where clerks and ecclesiastics should be at home—these were the topics on which Colet dwelt. When Erasmus first came to Oxford, in 1498, men pressed him to lecture on Isaiah or the Pentateuch. When More first lectured it was on Augustine's *City of God*. How far the great statesman of the next reign mingled in these spiritual matters we have no means of judging, but it is impossible that he was uninfluenced by the movement around him. Thomas Wolsey was even younger as a scholar than Thomas More. He was made bachelor at fifteen, and fitly dubbed the "boy bachelor." In 1497, he was a fellow of Magdalen. He became, for a while, Master of the College School, and, in 1499 and 1500, he was Senior Bursar of the College. It is most likely that More first met him in Oxford, and that their close relations as statesmen in later years, of which the State papers of Henry VII.'s reign give so full a record, began when they were scholars of the New Learning. But Wolsey was from the first given to practical affairs, while More was but gradually weaned from the contemplative life. Wolsey may have looked in upon the friends as they talked of ancient letters, but we cannot think of him as one of the small circle among whom Erasmus moved. "When I listen to Colet, my friend, I seem to hear Plato himself. Who wonders not at Grocyn's wide knowledge? Whose judgment could be more piercing, deep, and clear than Linacre's? And when did Nature form a character gentler, more loving, or more happy than that of Thomas More?" So wrote the Dutch scholar to his foreign friends. A very happy party of scholars, indeed, but not a training ground, Wolsey may have thought, for the stern world outside. So, at least, thought Sir John More, Justice of the King's Bench. He had kept his son very strictly at the university, "suffering him scarcely to have so much money in his own custody as would pay for

the mending of his apparel," and demanding a strict account of his expenses. The treatment had answered, for the young man had been "curbed from all vice, and withdrawn from many idle expenses, either of game or keeping naughty company, so that he knew neither play nor other riot." But while it had made him a sober scholar, it had not made him a sound lawyer. The mediaeval universities of the North were, as a rule, unfavourable to the study of Jurisprudence and of Medicine. At Oxford a degree in Law could not be obtained without seven years' study after the completion of the Arts' course, and this might well seem a waste of time to the practical judge. More, then, was withdrawn from Oxford, and set to study, in 1496, at Lincoln's Inn. By the beginning of the sixteenth century the students who had met in Oxford were near each other in London—Grocyn, as Rector of St. Lawrence, Jewry, and More lecturing in his church, Linacre and a new friend, Lilly, also a scholar from Italy, and at S. Paul's, early in 1505, the new Dean, John Colet. Wolsey had left Oxford in 1500. With the withdrawal of these great names Oxford underwent a period of quiescence. Warham was elected Chancellor, in 1506, and Richard Fox, as Bishop of Winchester, had already begun to visit the colleges with which he was connected. He gave Balliol its new statutes, in 1507, treating it entirely as a home for poor scholars. He was preparing to found a new college, which should preserve his name. Under Henry VIII., a king who was himself a scholar, the university was to begin a new era.

H. FRANK HEATH. Scottish Literature in the 14th and 15th Centuries.

THE kingdom of Scotland took its name and dynasty from a race which in early time had emigrated from Ireland; but these people formed little more than a fourth of the whole population of the land. Besides these, there were the Picts in the North-west, the Anglians in the South-east, and the remains of the old British kingdom of Strathclyde in the South-west. Until the war of national independence broke out with the English, after the death of Alexander III. (1286) had left the succession to the Scotch throne doubtful, no political solidarity had been possible. The sense of political unity grew up under the fear of foreign domination. It

was still longer before this sense became sufficiently self-conscious to find its expression in literature. Until the middle of the fourteenth century the Scotch dialect was nothing more than a part of the Northern English manner of speech, and there was no literature with independent or national characteristics.

The Differentiation from English Literature: Huchown.

The earliest work that has come down to us which can lay claim to the name of Scottish was produced in the second half of the fourteenth century, and it was clearly the offspring of that school of poets in North-west England, of whom the author of "Gawain" was the chief. As a consequence, the author of the "Grete Geste of Arthur," and other works, has been claimed both as an Englishman and as a Scot. Huchown (a variant of Hugon, or Hugh) of the Awle Ryale (*de aula regia*), as Wyntown calls him in his "Originale Cronykil of Scotland" (l. 251 *ff.*), written at the opening of the fifteenth century, is a person of whom we know nothing beyond his authorship of several poems; unless, indeed, we are justified in identifying him with a certain Sir Hugh of Eglintoun, whose castle and lands lay in Ayrshire, and who had married a sister of Robert II., the founder of the Stuart dynasty. Sir Hugh's life must have fallen in the second and third quarters of the fourteenth century; and his connection with the royal house might justify the phrase "of the Awle Ryale." Andrew of Wyntown speaks of Huchown as author of the "Grete Geste of Arthur and the Awntyre of Gawaine," which, though the names of two distinct works, are both most probably incorporated in an alliterative romance, "Morte Arthur," at one time ascribed to the Gawain poet (*cf.* Trautmann, "Anglia," I., pp. 109–49). Wyntown's account of the contents of the "geste" agrees in most points, though not in all, with the matter of the "Morte Arthur" as it has come down to us; and there are similarities in style and diction which make some connection between the two works clear. That is all one can be sure of. It is probable, however, that Huchown's "geste hystoriale" (as Wyntown sometimes calls it) was amplified early in the fifteenth century by some Northern poet, who added a detailed account of Arthur's death and burial, and combined with it, as altogether disproportionate episode, the poem called "the Awntyre of

Gawaine." This theory would at least account for the double title given by Wyntown, which Trautmann believes to stand for a single work, and for the discrepancy as well as the agreement between the contents of the "Morte Arthur" and the abstract given by the Scottish chronicler.*

The "Pystyll of Swete Swsane," also referred to by Wyntown, is most probably to be identified with a poem of that name existing in three MSS., and printed by Laing in 1822. The poem is written in a strophe combining rime and alliteration, the "major" being of long lines, the "minor" of short ones with alternate rime. A similar form is found in a Lancashire poem, the "Anturs of Arthur at the Tarnewathelan," and (omitting the rime in the major part, which is, moreover, of varying length) in "Sire Gawain and the grene knight." Huchown, like all the poets of the North-west School, has a keen appreciation for the beauties of Nature and for the brilliant chivalric life which was passing away. His was not so emotional nor so delicately organised a nature as that of the poet of "Pearl," but he understood how to present his story vividly, and he had, as Wyntown has remarked, a sense of style as well as a love of the truthfulness, which, as he believed, characterised his authorities.

"He wes curyws in hys style
Fayre off fecund, and subtylle,
And ay to plesans and delyte
Made in metyre mete his dyte
Lytil or nocht nevyrtheless,
Waverand fra the suthfastnes." *Cronykil* V., c. 12.

* *Cf.* Ten Brink, Gesch. d. Engl. Litt. II. p. 402 *ff.* When Barbour makes reference (Bruce I. 549 *ff.*) to the death of Arthur at the hands of "Modreyt, his systir son," and adds as his authority "The broite beris tharoff wytnes," it is, probably, Huchown's "geste" to which he alludes, not Geoffrey of Monmouth's or Wace's, for which Wyntown uses the Southern form, "the "Brwte," in opposition to the "gest hystoriale" or "gest of Broyttys auld story" of his countryman; *cf.* Cronykil, ed. Laing II. 773; IV. 1183; V. 511; and especially 4291–4366. This "Brwte" Skeat ascribes to Barbour, on the authority of the first of the above *loci* in the "Cronykil": but the passage cannot possibly bear this interpretation, and the passage by Barbour to which Wyntown there refers was probably to be found in his "Genealogy of the Stuarts," of which mention is made in the "Cronykil" III. 621 *ff.*, and VIII. 145 *ff.*, and for which he would naturally use the "Brwte" (*i.e.* Geoffrey's or Wace's book) as his authority. The "Broite" quoted by Barbour is not the Latin original, or "Li Romans de Brut." because of the form of the word, and because neither Geoffrey of Monmouth nor Wace says what Barbour asserts that the "Broite" says.

But Huchown was, nevertheless, not destined to have much influence on the growth of a national literature in Scotland. He was one of that group of poets who expressed most clearly the survival of the old Germanic and purely English tradition south of the border. Just because this tradition was essentially English, the newer forms of literature which had grown up in the east of England under French influence became the models of the truly national poets. For with France Scotland was always in sympathy.

Barbour.

The first of these poets was John Barbour (1320 ?–1395), a man whose way of thought and choice of theme are unmistakably Scottish. If as little were known about the man as is known about Huchown, there yet could be no shadow of doubt as to which side of the border owned his work. He was of lower birth than his fellow-poet, and rose to be Archdeacon of Aberdeen. We know that he visited Oxford twice, France once; was several times auditor of exchequer, received two life-pensions, probably for his literary work, and that he mortified the smaller of them in favour of the cathedral of Aberdeen fifteen years before his death, on condition that a mass for the souls of himself and his parents were said in perpetuity on the anniversary of his death. He himself records that he wrote the poem of the "Brus"—his most important work—in 1375. In the short and pithy rimed couplets of "King Horn" —lines which had grown weightier and more pointed, if less musical, by the disappearance of weak inflections—Barbour told the life and adventures of the saviour of his country:

> "King Robert of Scotland
> That hardy wes off hart and hand.
> And gud Schyr Iames off douglas
> That in his tyme sa worthy was."

In doing this he produced a work unique of its kind, equally history, epic, and romance. The same note which is heard so clearly in Dunbar, Douglas, and in the work of all Scottish poets down to Burns, the praise of "freedom," is sounded for the first time by Barbour, though, as is natural, Barbour lays stress upon "freedom" as the result of the national virtue of independence, whilst with the nineteenth-century poet it is the individual man who is thought of.

None the less, it is impossible to miss the relationship between

> "A king can mak a belted knight
> A marquis, duke and a' that;
> But an honest man's aboon his might—
> Guid faith he maunna fa' that!"

and such lines as these—

> "A! fredome is a noble thing!
> Fredome mays man to haiff liking;
> Fredome all solace to man giffis:
> He levys at es that frely leyvs!"
>
> *Brus, I.* 225 *ff.*

Barbour deals skilfully with the material at his command, both written evidence and direct personal information, though a large legendary element is undoubtedly present. After a short introduction, he brings us quickly to the event which he looks upon as the dramatic cause of Robert the Bruce's many trials, the murder of the traitor John Comyn. The Nemesis of his sin has to be lived down, but once expiated, his progress is a constant one from victory to victory, the story of which is told with great consistency and healthy sentiment, and motived in a way which would have been impossible for a man with less insight into political problems than Barbour. He is far inferior in humour to his great successor Dunbar, but he is far less coarse.

The Brus was finished in 1378. Wyntown tells us that he also wrote a poem on the genealogy of the Stuarts, now lost:

> "The Stewartis orygenalle
> The Archedekyne has tretyd hale
> In metyre fayre."
>
> *Cronykil VIII.*, 7, 143.

in which he is said to have traced the descent of Robert II. from "Dardane Lord de Frygya." A Troy-romance, which has perished with the exception of two passages of 596ll and 3118ll, belonging to opening and close respectively, is ascribed to a poet named Barbour by two rubrics in a MS. (Camb. Univ. MS., kk. V. 30) of Lydgate's "Troy Book," which contains the first of the two fragments and the opening half of the second.* These fragments, though they show some

* The whole of this longer fragment is given in a MS. in the Bodleian (MS. Douce, 148).

command of form, do not compare favourably with Lydgate's poem on the same subject, which, like the Scot's, is a translation of Guido delle Colonne. They are in the same dialect as the "Brus," but there is considerable difference of phraseology, and the rimes point to a date later than that of the Archdeacon of Aberdeen.* The large collection of Scottish lives of the saints attributed to Barbour by Horstmann are very inferior to the "Brus" as literature, and are certainly not from his pen. †

Upon the death of Barbour in 1395, there comes a pause of something like a quarter of a century in the formal literature of Scotland. During this period the ballad literature was growing, and the minstrels just south of the border produced ballads like that on "The Battle of Otterburn" (fought August 19th, 1388, between the Scots under Douglas and the English under the two sons of the Duke of Northumberland), which was later re-cast as "The Hunting of the Cheviot"; and such nondescript work as the pseudo-prophetic "Thomas of Erceldoune," which dealt with the Scotch wars down to 1399. Similar work must have been produced north of the Tweed, for Barbour quotes the opening lines of a ballad upon the death of Alexander III. (Brus, I. 37 *f.*), the first two stanzas of which are given by Wyntown (Cronykil, VII. l. 3619 *ff.*).

Ballad Literature.

There is considerable doubt about the right ascription of any particular work to Thomas of Erceldoune, or Thomas the Rhymer as he is often called; but it is quite clear that he himself is an historical personage, and that he held a large place in the popular imagination as a prophet and a poet. It is known from two thirteenth-century charters, ‡ that a Thomas of Erceldoune (or Earlstown) lived in the South of Scotland during the close of that century, and died shortly before 1294. The poem with which his name is most closely connected is the romance of "Sir Tristrem," a work standing midway between the metrical romance and the ballad, which

* *Cf.* Emil Koeppel, "Die Fragmente von Barbour's Trojanerkrieg," in "Engl. Studien," X. 373 *ff.*

† *Cf.* P. Buss in "Anglia," IX. 493 *ff.*

‡ *Cf.* "Liber de Melros," Bannatyne Club, I. 298, and a deed (date 1294) in the chartulary of the Trinity House of Soltra, now in the Advocate's Library, Edinburgh.

in its present form seems to belong to the first quarter of the fourteenth century. Early French romances on the same subject allude to one "Thomas" as authority for their facts, and Gottfried von Strasburg refers to "Thomas von Britanje." This, and the reputation of the Scottish prophet, may well account for the belief Robert Mannynge of Brunne seems to have had some thirty-six years after his death, that "Sir Tristrem" was by Thomas of Erceldoune.* The opening lines of the poem itself point rather against his authorship than otherwise, for they mention him by name, but in the third person. The author, whoever he may have been, took more interest in venery than in the passionate tragedy of the love-potion. He makes no attempt to supply the gaps in his French original, and seems chiefly concerned to heighten the effect of his tale by condensation and omissions, which result in a frequent sacrifice of lucidity. The stanza in which the poem is written consists of four Alexandrines, divided into double that number of short lines by middle and end rime, connected by a bob-line of one accent with a fifth Alexandrine line which is similarly divided.†

The Poet-King.

The next Scottish poet was a king—one of the poets who gave their allegiance to Chaucer, and one of the best of them. But James I. (1394–1437) was not only a good poet, he was one of the most accomplished statesmen of his time, and he made a brave, though unsuccessful attempt to introduce an orderly and strong government in the place of the faction and misrule which had characterised the regency of Albany, and had grown beyond endurance under the weak hand of his son Murdoch. The English Government at war with France had welcomed the hopes of peace and alliance with Scotland which young James's love for the Lady Joan Beaufort held out, and through Bedford's influence the marriage had been celebrated,

* *Cf.* Mannynge's "Story of England" (1330), Prol. *ll.* 93 *ff.*, in which he mentions a poem of Sir Tristrem in connection with "Thomas" and with Erceldoune, in terms which, though not free from doubt, make it likely that he held the belief ascribed to him above.

† The stanza therefore looks like one of eleven lines with this rime-order: abababababcbc, the ninth being the bob-line. The same form occurs in the last three strophes of Laurence Minot's song on the siege of Tournay. A similar form, due to the division of septenars by middle-rime, is seen in Dunbar's "Ballat of Our Lady" (*cf.* p. 525).

and the young king who had lived in captivity for eighteen years had been sent back to Scotland with his bride in 1424. His brief but brilliant reign, and his tragic death at Perth at the hands of Sir James Graham and his Highland savages, need no emphasis. The devotion of Catherine Douglas was only surpassed by that of the queen herself, who received two wounds in her effort to save the man who as a lover had prophetically sung of her that

> "Thus this floure . . . .
> So hertly has unto my help attendit
> That from the deth hir man sche has defendit."

The "Kingis Quair." The "Kingis Quair," written in the first half of 1423, tells how the poet had first seen the Lady Joan, daughter of the Earl of Somerset and niece to Henry IV., from his dungeon window, as Arcite had seen Emilye walking in the garden beneath, and, like Arcite, had loved the lady who seemed to him to possess "Beautee eneuch to mak a world to dote." The poem is in Chaucer stanza and affords clearest evidence of a very careful study of the English poet's work. There are constant reminiscences of passages and scenes not only from the "Knight's Tale," but from the "Parlement of Foules," the "House of Fame," and "Troilus and Cryseyde." The allegorical form into which the facts of his experience are cast, and the frequent reminders one gets that the author is a pupil, not a master, would be apt to prove wearisome were it not for his evident sincerity—his highest quality, and a certain grace of manner which is his own. James is quite without Chaucer's ironical humour and has little of his power of characterisation. On the other hand, he is more introspective. He takes six stanzas to describe the Lady Joan's appearance, and does it in pretty and fanciful phrase, but leaves no definite picture in the mind. It is the king—the "verray parfit gentil knight," with his high chivalric ideals, who, to judge from his poem, "nevere . . . no vileinye ne sayde In al his lyf," not his lady-love, that we learn to know; the poem is lyrical not dramatic. The dialect of the poem is artificial, the language of a Northerner trying, like the author of "Lancelot of the Laik," to write Chaucerian English, and the verse is smooth and musical.

in marked contrast to that of Lydgate, though James undoubtedly allowed himself licences which are not found in Chaucer.* Other poems have been assigned to him amongst them "Chirst's Kirk on the Green," which is probably by James V. There seems little doubt that a balade ("rhyme royal") called "Good Counsel," and evidently inspired by Chaucer's "Fle fro the prees," is rightly ascribed to James I.

Andrew of Wyntown.

Andrew of Wyntown, born about the middle of the fourteenth century, though he wrote in verse, was no poet, but rather the first Scot to write the history of his land in the vulgar tongue. John of Fordoun, a contemporary of Barbour, the author of the first five books of the "Scotichronicon," and Walter Bower, Abbot of Inchcolm, who had written the conclusion from the death of David I. in 1153, in another eleven books, had anticipated Wyntown as historians, but their appeal was only to those who could read Latin. The same remark applies to Fordoun's "Gesta Annalia," added as supplement to his Chronicle, which gave a record of events from the time of Stephen down to the year 1385. But Wyntown's book was meant to be and was a popular handbook, and, therefore, was written in Scottish, and above all, in verse of the popular four-accent romance measure adopted by Barbour. Andrew of Wyntown became in time (1395) Prior of St. Serf's, a foundation within the jurisdiction of the powerful priory of St. Andrew's. He began to write his "Orygynale Cronykil of Scotland" at the request of his friend, Sir John of the Wemyss; he finished it between the death of the Duke of Albany in 1420, and James I.'s return to Scotland in 1424, and he probably did not live long after this happy event. The "Orygynale Cronykil" is divided into nine books in honour of the nine orders of angels, and it is called

* *E.g.*, he rimes together two lines ending with the same word and the same meaning more than once, and does not distinguish between rimes in *y* and *ye*, but this is Northern. After making all allowances for confusion on the poet's part concerning Chaucer's use of final *e*, and for its probable omission in many cases by the copyist of the MS., there still remain several lines where James evidently allowed the pause to supply the place of an unaccented syllable, or where uncertain accent (*schwebende Betonung*) extends beyond the second foot. Anakrusis is omitted more frequently than in Chaucer's heroic line.

"Orygynale" because the history in it is traced from the beginning of things. Adam and Eve were not "original" enough for this teleological historian, so after a prologue and summary of contents one reads—

> "Off Angellis now sall ye heir
> In this followand next Cheptere."

Thus even the headings of the chapters are decked out with the attractive tinkle of a rime. By the time one has reached the close of the ninth book events have advanced to the death of Robert III. in 1406.

Robert Henryson.

Robert Henryson or Henderson (*c.* 1430–*c.* 1506) was, after James, the next Scotch poet of note. He, like the king, was a Chaucerian, and he had a distinct knack of writing in his master's pathetic and romantic vein with not more exaggeration of these qualities perhaps than is the natural fate of imitators. But Henryson was more than a Chaucerian, for he was the first writer of pastoral poetry in these islands. It is no small praise to his "Robene and Makyne" to say that it anticipates "Duncan Gray"—which tells the same tale with the *rôles* of the lovers reversed—by something like four centuries and a half. Not that no pastoral poems of this description were written between the two referred to—not that Henryson's poem can compare with Burns's for either melody or dramatic condensation—but there is a freedom and originality of handling in both poems at the same time that the pastoral spirit is maintained, which justifies one in saying that with Henryson, as with Burns, the pastoral lyric was an independent and indigenous growth, rather than the often sickly and always artificial importation which obtained south of the Tweed. Scotch pastoral is more expressive of a real social condition than anything since Theocritus, and though of course it is far rougher and less graceful, it is less sophisticated. The English pastoral of the eighteenth century was both artificial and sickly; that of the sixteenth cannot escape the former charge in spite of the exquisite melodies of some numbers in the "Shepherd's Calendar." "Robene and Makyne" is neither the one nor the other; "Duncan Gray" needs no apology nor justification. But Henryson's chief and probably one of his latest works is his pathetic sequel to "Troilus and Cryseyde," which, until Urry's

edition of Chaucer in 1721, was included among the English poet's works. As Chaucer conceived the tale, it was a "tragedye," and no other end was possible for Troilus than to meet his death on the battle-field by the hand of Achilles. The Scottish poet, however, continues the tale where Chaucer left off, and punishes Cressida suitably for her perfidy. She is deserted by Diomed, and when she reviles Cupid for this she is attacked by leprosy as a punishment. The meeting between this woeful wreck of beauty and Troilus as he returns victorious from the field of battle is one of the most pathetic passages in all literature. He throws her a purse and gay jewels, seeming to find amidst the horror of her disfigurement a recollection of his love; while she, hearing from those who surround her the author of the boon, dies in the passion of remorse, bequeathing to him the "rubie reid" which he had sent her as "drowrie." With the exception of the seven stanzas of her "Complaint," which are in a nine-lined strophe with rime-order *aabaabbab*,* the "Testament of Cresseid" is written in the Troilus stanza.

Henryson used the same measure in his other long work, the "Tale of Orpheus," the chief interest of which lies in the evidence it offers of the poet's knowledge of the scholastic learning of his time, and the special interest he took in music, which was one of the sciences of the Quadrivium. The schoolmaster of Dunfermline—for there is reason to think he was appointed to the Grammar School there—made good use in this way of his learning, and transformed the old faery tale of Orpheus and Eurydice, as it was conceived of by the older Scotch poet of the romance of "Sir Orpheo," into a work which, if it does nothing else, gives a proof of the culture which was growing up in Scotland as the result of James I.'s short reign. Another work of this poet was a series of thirteen "Fables of Æsop" in "rhyme-royal," probably written between 1470 and 1480. Their style is light and the power of dialogue considerable, but they are too long; and yet the "Taill of the Uplandis Mous and the Burges Mous" is really excellently told, is much the brightest of the series, and will bear comparison with Wyatt's version of the story without any diminution of the impression it makes.

* This is the same stanza as that used for the "Complaint of Anelida" in Chaucer's "Compleynt of feire Anelida and fals Arcite."

Finally, mention must be made of his reflective poems, such as "The Abbey Walk"—teaching the duty of submission, the quaint "metaphysical" conceit of the "Garmond of Gude Ladeis," and his satirical "Reasoning betwixt Aige and Yowth." The first of these is in an eight-lined stanza of octosyllabics with cross-rime, and the refrain "Obey and thank thy God of all"; whilst the quatrains of the "Garmond" show no less than the "Robene and Makyne" his mastery of cadence and pause. Closely allied to the tone of these is his allegorical ballad of the "Bludy Serk." This is one of the oldest examples of ballad poetry extant, and it is significant for the literary history of the ballad in Great Britain that it should so early have taken this distinctly moralising form. The love for pointing a moral has been fatal to the development of this kind of literature on both sides of the Tweed. Be this as it may, Henryson must be remembered as the introducer of pastoral and as the first pure lyrist in Scotch literature. It is only because he wrote so comparatively little that was wholly original that he cannot be ranked along with Dunbar; though even when he imitated others he always added something of his own.

William Dunbar.

With Dunbar (*c.* 1460–*c.* 1517),* the greatest of Scottish poets before Burns, we reach the close of the Middle Ages; and though scarcely to be described as a Humanist himself, yet he lived in a time when the humanities were beginning to gain ground. William Dunbar was the poet, as James IV. was the king, of that short bright day which shone on Scotland before the cataclysm which overwhelmed the land after Flodden Field. There is something pathetic, if not tragic, in the happy ring of the rimes which were sung by this poet-friend of James, the melancholy and romantic king-errant who threw away his life and men at Flodden. With all the limitations of his genius, Dunbar was yet a pioneer who might have done much for the future of Scotch poetry had not ruin, disorder, and fanatic protest against the corruptions of the

* Dunbar may have died any time between 1513, the year of Flodden, and 1530, when Lyndsay, in his "Papyngo," praises him as a poet of the past, and speaks of Douglas (d. 1522) as the greatest of the poets who had recently died: so, probably, Dunbar's death would fall about 1517, or, at least, before 1522.

Church cast a cloud upon the people's capacity for joy which was not easily to be dispelled. Dunbar was no poet of the stronger passions, the writer of no epic or drama, but he records for us a variety of quieter, pleasanter moods. His poems deal chiefly with the vanities of life, but this was largely a natural reaction against the threadbare themes of the older serious poets; it was reaction in a direction different from that of Wyatt and Surrey in England, but this was because the poet's genius was not philosophic and melancholy, but humorous and satiric. Dunbar has more in common with the Chaucer who met the pilgrims at the Tabard Inn than all the other Chaucerians put together. They only knew the Chaucer who mooned in the "Garden of the Rose"; he gave a new interest to literature by calling attention in occasional epicurean verse to the passing moods of the poet's own life, which was also the life of his readers. In "How Dunbar was desyred to be ane freir" he gives the record of his early vagabond years in the dress of St. Francis. In his "Old Gray Horse" he playfully tells, in form of fable, his quest after the benefice that did not come; at another time he gives the dull fit that stops his riming—but that does not prevent there being a rime ("Of his Headache") to tell about it. Sometimes the low sad note of such an exquisite little poem as "What is this life but a straight way to death" makes itself heard through his laughter—through even the boisterous humour which produced "The Dance of the Seven Deadly Sins" (probably 1507), perhaps as a picture of an actual revel held on Shrove Tuesday at the gay court of the doomed king. The grotesque figures of the cardinal vices and their followings are rapidly sketched in their dance before "Mahoun" with an almost bitter humour, which culminates in the satire of the last stanza reserved for the Highlanders, who, it is suggested, are far worse than all the Deadly Sins together. The quick movement of the *rime couée* in the twelve-lined stanzas heightens the dramatic effect of the whole description.

And yet Dunbar did not altogether desert the older allegorical forms in spite of his numberless occasional pieces of the kind suggested. The "Thrissil and the Rois" and the "Goldyn Targe" are both artificial poems of the same order, and every whit as well executed, as the "Kingis Quair";

indeed, the former reminds one especially of James's poem and of the "Parlement of Fowles." It is probable that Dunbar, who had graduated at St. Andrew's and had entered the priesthood after a short and unpleasant experience of the Franciscan habit, was sent in 1501 with the ambassadors to the court of Henry VII. to negotiate the marriage of the Scotch king with the Princess Margaret Tudor. This visit may have inspired his poem "In Honour of the Cite of London"; and the handsome gifts given by the king to "the rhymer of Scotland," may well have been rewards for this and other poems* when the poet next visited London on the occasion of the actual betrothal. In January, 1502, the twelve-year-old princess was betrothed to James IV. by proxy, and on May 9th, 1503, Dunbar had finished his poem in honour of the match (*cf.* last line of the "Thrissil and the Rois"). He also wrote to welcome her arrival the short ballad "Now fayre, fayrest off every fayre," which was set to music, still in existence (Royal MSS. 58, Append. fol. 15 v. and 16 r.). He soon became a privileged favourite of the queen, and probably accompanied her when she visited the North of Scotland in 1511, for "The Queen's Progress at Aberdeen" is evidently the result of personal observation. His intimacy with her is shown by some playful lyrics on her wardrobe-keeper Doig, and by his "Dance in the Quenis Chalmer," the description of an uncouth dance he arranged for her amusement. But the ecclesiastical promotion for which he did not cease to hope was withheld, though we know of a pension of £10 granted in 1500, which had subsequently been increased to £20, and then to £80, "during life or until promoted to a benefice of the value of £40 or more yearly." With this and various occasional gifts he had to rest content, though the queen pleaded for him, and he expressed the wish that the king were "John Thomsonnis Man."† James would not easily give up so bright an ornament to his court.

* *Cf.* Privy Purse Accounts of Henry VII. Two sums of £6 13s. 4d. were paid to "the rhymer of Scotland" on December 31st, 1501, and January 7th. 1502. The MS. chronicle (Vit. A. XVI., fol. 200, Cotton Collection) in which the poem on London occurs, relates that it was made at a dinner given by "the mair" to the Scotch ambassadors by "ane of the said Scottis givying attendance upon a Bishop ambassador."

† The husband of a masterful wife.

"The Thrissil and the Rois" is an heraldic and symbolic allegory which sings the praises of the young couple under the figure of the national flowers. Interweaving with this praise a description of the royal arms of Scotland, the poet symbolises the king by the lion, and makes Dame Nature—who, after the manner of the "Parlement of Fowles," has summoned all the birds and beasts to choose their leaders—commend to him the exercise of "justice with mercy and conscience," with the warning to "lat no small beist suffir skaith na skornis Of greit beistis that bene of moir piscence." But though one naturally thinks of the similarities with Chaucer and James I. —for the poem is essentially a Chaucerian one and is written in the Chaucer-stanza—yet there are important characteristics which give it a strongly personal tone. Dunbar's genius was nothing if not lyrical, and this has saved him from the danger of wearying us which beset the "Kingis Quair." James's praise of his lady is more than seven times the length of Dunbar's praise of his king's marriage, and the latter's greater sense of proportion in the handling of a fashionable but dangerous form marks his strong poetic sense. It is a pity that the same praise cannot be given to his diction. This is certainly something new in Scotch literature, and is undoubted proof of the nation's growing culture, and of the increasing influence of French art and politics, but the "aureate" style which Dunbar was the first to introduce, and which Gawin Douglas readily adopted and exaggerated, was a form of "conceit" similar to the Petrarchan affectations of the sonneteers and the Guevaran extravagances of the Euphuists, laughed at later by Sir Philip Sidney. The old forms of expression were, no doubt, growing effete. Dunbar must have felt, as Wyatt and Surrey did, that some new method of expression was needed, and he sought a solution of the problem in an extension of the vocabulary by musical epithets of foreign origin and a freshening of the poetic style by ingenious comparisons. But neither of these devices are substitutes for the poetic imagination. Dunbar maintains a more even level than Chaucer; he keeps his allegory well in hand, he is skilful in the choice of words, but he is far less imaginative, far less suggestive. The style of lines like the following may be distinctive, but they do not possess that

"The Thrissil and the Rois."

quality of distinction which characterises the simple and more dignified diction of Chaucer:—

> "Go se the birdis how thay sing and dance,
> Illumynit our with orient skyis brycht,
> Annamyllit richely with new asur lycht."

Unfortunately the "Golden Targe," Dunbar's other courtly poem, is even more "aureate" in diction and style. Though the basis of the allegory is much slighter and in less close touch with concrete reality—for it tells of the powerlessness of Reason before Love when aided by Beauty and the loved one's presence—yet the description of the conflict between "Resoun with the Scheld of Gold so schene" and the forces of Venus is drawn out to considerably greater length than the poem of the "awfull Thrissil" and the "fresche Rois." It is, indeed, consistent with the increased use of conceits such as the "cristall teris" of "Aurora" for the dew, and "the purpur hevyn our-scailit in silver sloppis," that a more complicated stanza form should have been selected—the nine-line stanza of "Anelida's Complaint" (*cf. supra*, p. 219 and p. 517, note), used only two years previously by Douglas in his "Palice of Honour"; but the subject-matter is too slight for the heavy embroidered finery in which it is decked.

The "Golden Targe."

The verse in both poems is musical, but it differs in several important respects from the Chaucerian technique. Dunbar makes as little approach as King James and less than Henryson to Chaucer's skilful use of *enjambement* for lending variety to the rhythm of his line; on the other hand, he employs the "epic" cæsura more frequently than the English poet. His study of the unrimed alliterative metre makes itself felt in the use of alliteration in almost every line; a point which also differentiates his work from that of the earlier Chaucerians. Both the Eastern and Western traditions are represented in Dunbar's work, and this helps to give it a truly national character.

Dunbar's Verse.

The unrimed alliterative measure was selected by him for his "Tua Mariit Wemen and the Wedo"—a bitterly satirical narrative poem, very different in tone from those just discussed. It is full of dramatic

His Satire.

characterisation and drastic humour. The opinions of the three ladies upon the obligations of marriage remind one of the Wife of Bath's Prologue, and were certainly inspired by it: but there is all the difference in the world between salt satire and cynicism expressed in language of extreme license from the mouths of young and beautiful women, and the irony, combined with *bonhomie*, which is felt through and beneath the profligate boasting of the Wife of Bath. It is not sufficient explanation of this difference to remark that the society of James IV.'s court was very corrupt. The difference of tone is due to the poets, not to their material. If any excuse be sought it is to be found in the fact that the poem is an early work. It is worth notice that the rhythm of the verse is very different from that of Langland and the Gawain poet. The further loss of final inflections, the increasing number of Romance words, and a consequent further misunderstanding of the principles which underly the Old English alliterative line, have reduced the music very much to that of the "Tumbling verse" with anapæstic lilt described by James I. of England in his "Reulis and Cautelis of Scottis Poesie." Dunbar also adopts a device which he seems to have borrowed from Huchown, for it is seen in the "Morte Arthur," that of accumulative alliteration, *i.e.*, the carrying of the same alliterative letter through several lines. The short rimed poem on "The Twa Cummeris" has been often compared with the "Tua Mariit Wemen and the Wedo," but its coarseness is not licentious, and it is far more like Skelton's "Tunnyng of Eleanor Rumming" in tone. One is reminded again of Chaucer's skill as a teller of *fabliaux* in the "Freiris of Berwik," a satirical poem in rimed couplets, about the authorship of which, however, there is some doubt.

Dunbar's Lampoons.

Closely related to his satires are his lampoons. His vituperative "Flyting of Dunbar and Kennedy" (a contemporary poet) reminds one of Skelton's similar abuse of Garnesche, of the French "jeux partis," and Provençal "serventois." But such "Flytings," or poetic tournaments of wit and raillery, are found in Gaelic and in the Scandinavian "Loki Sennar," or "Flyting" of Loki with the gods of Asgard, and further parallels might be cited in the poetical duels of Callimachus, Ovid, Poggio with Philelfo, and Luigi Pulci with Matteo

France. A similar "Flyting" is the subject of Dunbar's "Tournament against the Telȝouris and Sowtaris," a poem which seems, somewhat naturally, to have offended these handicrafts, and to have called forth an "Amendis," which made them still more ridiculous. So this abuse-flinging became fashionable, and was practised with zest by Lindsay, James V., and other later poets, until the fashion died out with Byron's ridicule of the Lake poets. The only one of Dunbar's satirical poems which still calls for mention is his humorous account of the attempt made by John Damian, the French "leich," to fly from the top of Stirling Castle to Calais. In the "Ballad of the Fenȝeit Freir of Tungland," the poet has pilloried this charlatan with the happiest ridicule, and left his reputation as innocent of honour as the friar was of his borrowed plumes after the visitation of the birds. The measure is the tail-rime (*rime couée*) natural to the ballad.

Dunbar's Graver Poems.

At the close of his life in the dark days which fell upon him after Flodden, the gay poet grew more serious and lost his buoyant spirit. Already in his "Lament for the Makaris" (1508), the sight of his fellows falling around him, and his own sickness, forced upon him the transitory nature of things and the moral—

> "Sen for the deid remeid is non,
> Best is that we for dede dispone,
> Eftir our deid that lif may we."

But he could not feel that the joyous view of life had been a false one. In a pensive but characteristic poem, with the refrain "For to be blyth me-think it best," he thus apologises for his natural temperament:—

> "Had I for warldis unkyndness
> In hairt tane ony haviness,
> Or fro my plesans bene opprest,
> I had bene deid langsyne, dowtless:
> For to be blyth me-think it best."

The transition from the moral to the religious key was a natural one, and this is marked by his "Merle and the Nychtingall," which in the manner of the earlier southern "Owl and the Nightingale," sings the contest between the earthly and the heavenly love. To the same class belong the

hymns for Christmas Day, Ash Wednesday, and Lent. The "Ballat of Our Lady" is noticeable for its complex stanza, which consists of twelve lines rimed alternately, the ninth, "Ave Maria gratia plena," forming a refrain.* Dunbar was a lyric poet of many moods. That he was also a successful satirist of the lighter humorous sort need not surprise us, if we remember that Catullus among the Romans, Sordello among the Provençal poets, and Burns in modern times, were at one moment lyrists and at the next writers of personal or Archilochian satire. But to say this is to imply what is equally true, that Dunbar had neither the calm deliberation of the epic poet—though he undoubtedly had the gift of telling a story—nor the directness and objectivity which are necessary to the systematic draughtsman of human nature.

"Blind Harry."

Among the poets mentioned in Dunbar's "Lament" is "Blin Harye," or Henry the Minstrel (*c.* 1450-92), who was one of the poets at the court of James IV., and the author of a long romance in rimed heroic couplets on "Schir William Wallace" (written before 1488, the date of the unique MS.), that, next to the "Brus," which suggested its inception, was the most popular poem of the day. Blind Harry says, at the close of the poem, that he based it on a Latin history by Wallace's chaplain "Blair," no longer extant; but it doubtless owes quite as much to national ballads dealing with episodes in the hero's life. This, and the fact that the period dealt with is more remote than that of the "Brus," accounts for its many historical inaccuracies. The early life of the hero is crowded with deeds of daring otherwise unknown, and Wallace is made to defeat Edward at a battle of Biggar previous to that of Stirling, though it is known that the English king was not in Scotland at the time.

Dunbar's younger contemporary, Gawin Douglas (*c.* 1474-1522), was the third son of Archibald Douglas, Earl of Angus,

* The form is really one of six catalectic Septenars with middle and end rime of couplet arrangement, the refrain (which does not rime) falling in the first portion of the fifth Septenar, thus:—

a b	a b	a b	a b	R b	a b
4 3	4 3	4 3	4 3	4 3	4 3

Dunbar is fond of using middle-rime, a favourite device in M.E. lyrics; *cf.* "his Devorit with dreme," etc., where it is used in the heroic line. This poem also has a refrain, an ornament possibly due to Lydgate's influence.

who was surnamed "Bell-the-Cat" for his bold demeanour amongst the nobles who were conspiring against Cochrane and Rogers, the hated favourites of James III.

Gawin Douglas.

His nephew, the young Earl, married after Flodden, the Queen Margaret, who was even then only twenty-three; so, though like Dunbar he was a priest,* his life was much mixed up with the feuds and strife which make up the larger part of Scotch history from Flodden till the accession of James VI. Douglas, like Dunbar, was a Chaucerian, and like him, was yet original and a true poet. But he was less interesting. His most important works, "King Hart," and his translation of the "Aeneid," fall outside the scope of this volume, but his first and longest poem, "The Palice of Honour," was finished in 1501. It was written at a time of life when he was beginning to feel its seriousness and the need for earnest effort on the part of himself and his countrymen. So he shows in a conventional allegorical form, which reminds one much of the "Parlement of Fowles," the inconstancy and uncertainty of earthly renown—the need and worth of honour, which is the reward of virtue and steadfastness. "O hie Honour," he exclaims in the ballade with which he concludes his poem,

> "O hie Honour! Sweit heuinlie flour degest,
> Gem verteous, maist precious, gudliest;
> For hie renoun thou art guerdoun conding."

Considerable skill is shown in the grouping and introduction of the countless allegorical figures, and his extensive learning is visible at every turn. The poem is full of reminiscences of classical history, mythology, and poetry. And yet the note of originality is not lacking. At the opening, for instance, though the traditional dream introduces the story, the scene is not the usual May morning, but a wilderness of despair, where all the incidents and surrounding accidents of the scene help to heighten the effect. The appreciation of the colder, bleaker aspects of Nature is characteristic of the Scottish poets in general (*cf.* Dunbar's "Meditatioun in Winter"), and particularly of Douglas. But perhaps the

* He was afterwards (January, 1515) made Bishop of Dunkeld, but could not enter on the See owing to the opposition of the Earl of Athol and the Duke of Albany (who imprisoned him) till eighteen months later.

chief interest of the "Palice of Honour" lies in the fact that it was probably the cause of Dunbar turning his attention to allegorical poetry, for both the "Thrissil and the Rois" and the "Goldyn Targe" were written after Douglas' poem. It is, at any rate, a curious coincidence that Dunbar should have chosen for his second and more elaborate poem the same metre as that used by Douglas in what must rank as the earliest Scottish romance with a purely allegorical theme.

E. GORDON DUFF.
The Rise of Printing.

DURING the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries printing of a certain kind had been practised in Europe, but it was only in the fifteenth that the printing of books was reached. The first attempts were single-sheet prints, images of saints, or playing-cards: but at a later date certain consecutive series of prints in book form were attempted, which are now known as block-books. The whole of the pages, text as well as illustration, was cut on wood by the wood-engraver. These books contained generally religious histories, the most popular and well known being the "Biblia Pauperum." Each page contains pictures of well-known Bible incidents, with a few words of letterpress. Other books of the same kind were "The Speculum Humanæ Salvationis," "The Cantica Canticorum," and "The Ars Moriendi." The real invention of printing, however, was the invention of movable types, capable of being used again and again in different combinations: and for centuries there has been endless argument and strife upon the question of which city has the honour of being the birthplace of printing. Modern research has done much to narrow the question, and the two rival parties are now those who favour the claim of John Gutenberg and the city of Mentz on the one hand, or Lawrence Coster and Haarlem on the other. While the claims of Mentz are in a measure supported by direct evidence, that in favour of Haarlem is entirely circumstantial and in some cases imaginary, though upheld with no less vigour.

John Gutenberg and Mentz

The earliest information we have about Gutenberg is derived from the record of a lawsuit tried at Strassburg in 1439, and we learn from it that Gutenberg was there employed in experimenting on

printing. In 1455 we have more information about him as a printer from the record of another lawsuit, brought, like the first, to recover money which had been lent to him. By 1468 we may presume he was dead, for his stock of printing materials were handed over to his chief creditor. The real interest, however, belongs to the books rather than the printer, and we find that the earliest specimen of printing known to exist is "The Indulgence of Nicholas V.," issued to obtain assistance against the Turks. The earliest editions have the printed date, 1454, and the earliest date filled in upon any of these in manuscript is November 15th. We may, therefore, consider November 15th, 1454, as the earliest date connected with printing. From this time onwards the art was practised in Germany without a break, and the first efforts were almost the finest. "The Mazarine Bible" of 1455–6, "The Psalters" of 1457 and 1459, with their wonderful coloured capitals, rival, if they do not surpass, any later productions. From Mentz the art spread before 1460 to Bamberg, where Pfister printed popular books in the vernacular, and to Strassburg, where Mentelin printed Bibles and theological books. The capture and sacking of Mentz in 1462 by Adolf von Nassau, is supposed to have scattered the printers of that town, and not long after that date we find Ulric Zel printing at Cologne. A large number of printers seem to have worked there, among them Arnold ther Hoernen, who introduced title-pages and the practice of numbering the leaves. Henry Keffer, who had been a workman of Gutenberg's, introduced printing into Nuremberg in or about 1470. In this town, Anthony Koburger, one of the best known of the early printers, worked as many as twenty-four presses, sending his books over all the country. Basle, Augsburg, Spire, follow rapidly, and within the next few years hardly any important town in Germany was without at least one printer.

Laurence Coster of Haarlem.

Turning to the claims of Coster at Haarlem, what do we find? In the first place, there is no evidence that any printer called Laurence Coster ever existed; even his name was never heard of till more than a hundred years after his supposed invention took place. While the invention of Gutenberg at Mentz was continually being spoken of, no dissentient voice was raised till 1499, when in a not altogether accurate account of the invention

of printing, given in the "Cologne Chronicle," it is stated that the first prefiguration of the art came from Holland, and that copies of the "Donatus" printed there, suggested the invention to Gutenberg. The first printed date in any book printed in the Low Countries is 1473, and in that year the art was introduced into two places—Utrecht and Alost. There are, however, a very large number of small pamphlets, editions for the most part of such school-books as the "Donatus" or the "Doctrinale of Alexander Gallus," a few of which must, and many of which may, have been printed before 1473. Believers in the Haarlem invention date all such fragments before 1473, and take them back thirty or even forty years earlier. It is not reasonable to suppose, however, that a press would have existed for so long in a country which had no other, and would print only editions of school-books and a few unimportant tracts, while so many important books were waiting to be printed. Until the claims of Haarlem have some reasonable basis of fact, its partisans cannot hope for any intelligent support. In 1473 also printing was introduced into Utrecht and Alost, and, once it had gained a footing, soon spread to all the larger towns—a strong argument against the existence of a solitary press in the Netherlands for the previous thirty years.

Early Italian Printing.

Printing was brought to Italy in 1465 by two Germans, Sweynheym and Pannartz, who settled first at the monastery of Subiaco, near Rome, where they printed a few books, moving on in 1467 to Rome itself, where they set to work in the house of the brothers De Maximis. In this city the number of printers rapidly increased, as, indeed, it did throughout Italy, for within five years of the introduction of printing at Subiaco, more than twenty towns in the North of Italy were supplied with presses. The most important of these places was Venice, where the art was introduced by John of Spire in 1469, and where it prospered so greatly that by the year 1500 over two hundred printers were printing or had printed there. Foligno, Milan, Bologna, Florence in turn received the art, and increased the reputation of Italian printing. If we study the productions of the Italian press the immense influence of the Renaissance can be clearly traced. While the Germans were turning out volume after volume of theology in their gothic type, the

Italian printers issued all the more important classics, in a graceful roman letter, itself an outcome of the revived interest in classical studies. Greek literature was first to be found only in Latin translations, but in 1488 the magnificent first edition of Homer appeared, and the reputation of Italy for classical books was carried far into the sixteenth century by Aldus Manutius and his successors.

Early French Printing.

Although we have documentary evidence that some kind of printing was being used at Avignon so far back as 1444, no product has come down to our times, nor can it be definitely settled that the printing spoken of was what we understand by the word. The first printing press in France, putting the Avignon story on one side, was naturally started at Paris, the centre of learning and culture, and the seat of one of the most renowned universities of Europe. Through the exertions of Heynlyn and Fichet, doctors of high position in the university, three printers—Crantz, Gering, and Friburger—were induced to settle in the precincts of the Sorbonne, and there in 1470 they issued their first book, "The Letters of Gasparinus Barzizius." In the two first years they printed about thirty books, strongly representative of the classical tastes of their patrons. Towards the end of 1472 they removed to the Rue St. Jacques, where some other rival printers were already settled. From this time onwards printers at Paris increased rapidly in numbers, and it became as important a printing centre as Venice or Basle. In 1473 Guillaume le Roy introduced printing into Lyons, and the art soon spread to other towns. French printers soon found that it was to their advantage to print books for the English market, especially books of an ornamental character, such as books of hours and missals, which the resources of the English press were not adequate to produce. These books were sent over with stationers to the fairs in the English towns, where they met with a ready sale. They even printed books in English, such as grammars and festials, and this competition must have seriously affected home production.

Early Spanish Printing.

In Spain printing was first practised about 1474, in which year it was introduced into Valentia, though no dated book is known earlier than 1475. In this latter year it is said to have been

introduced into Saragossa, and shortly afterwards to Barcelona and Seville.

Printing in England: William Caxton.

The first English printer, William Caxton, was born about 1422 in the Weald of Kent, "where is spoken as brode and rude englissh as is in ony place of englond." His parents gave him a good education, but we know nothing of his personal history until we find him bound as an apprentice to Robert Large, a mercer, in 1438. This Robert Large was an important and influential merchant, who in 1430 was Sheriff, and in 1439–40 Lord Mayor of London. He died April 24th, 1441. At the time of his death he had eight apprentices, of whom Caxton was the youngest, and to him was left a legacy of twenty marks. The death of his master did not release Caxton from his indentures, and he must either have been supported by the executors or bound to a new master. What happened we do not know, but we learn from the prologue to "The Recuyell of the Histories of Troye," in which he says that he had then, in 1471, been abroad thirty years, that he must have left England and gone to the Low Countries about 1441, very shortly after his master's death.

He settled in Bruges, then one of the most important of foreign mercantile towns, where his affairs seem to have prospered, and where he rapidly rose in estimation and position, for we find that by 1463–65 he was governor of the "English nation residing abroad," or merchant adventurers. With the exception of a few short journeys to England and elsewhere, Caxton seems to have carried on his business till about 1470, when he entered the service of the Duchess of Burgundy. The consequent increase of leisure which this appointment afforded him was spent in literary pursuits, in learning foreign languages, and in translating books into English. In 1477, however, a great change occurred in Caxton's position. The reverses sustained by the Duke of Burgundy at Morat, in his battle with the Swiss, and his death at the battle of Nanci, caused Caxton's mistress to be no longer the ruling power at the court of Bruges, and she retired into comparative privacy. Caxton's services would now no longer be required, and he determined to return to England. His career as a merchant was finished; his career as a printer about to begin.

In 1471 Caxton had gone on a journey to Cologne, and while living there had finished the translation of "Le Recueil des Histoires de Troyes." The art of printing had been practised at Cologne for some years previously, and at the time of Caxton's visit several printers were at work there. From one of these Caxton, no doubt, learnt the practical details, and, perhaps, assisted in the printing of some books in order to gain experience. Wynkyn de Worde, Caxton's assistant and successor, tells us clearly that Caxton printed a Latin edition of "Bartholomeus de Proprietatibus Rerum" at Cologne. We know a Latin edition, printed at Cologne, about 1471, and Caxton very probably assisted in producing it.

On his return to Bruges he entered into partnership with Colard Mansion, who had been an illuminator and calligrapher, but who gave up such work to become a printer about 1474-5. These two together printed three books: "The Recuyell of the Histories of Troye"—the first book printed in the English language,—"The Game and Playe of the Chess," and "Les Quatre Derrennieres Choses."

Caxton's Settlement at Westminster.

In 1477 Caxton returned to England and settled in the precincts of Westminster Abbey, in a house with the sign of the "Red Pale." The exact locality of this house is not known, but we know from an advertisement of Caxton's that it was in the Almonry, a place near the abbey, where alms were distributed to the poor, and where Margaret, Countess of Richmond, the mother of Henry VII., built almshouses.

Here in November, 1477, with type brought from Bruges, Caxton issued the first edition of the "Dictes or Sayings of the Philosophers," the first book printed in England. This work was translated from the French by Earl Rivers, and "overseen" by Caxton, and a chapter was added to the original book "touching wymmen." The next book which issued from the Westminster press was the Ordinale Sarum, and though no copy remains of this book and its existence is only known from fragments, there are still preserved two copies of the advertisement put forth by Caxton to draw the attention of the public to it. The advertisement runs as follows: "If it plese ony man spirituel or temporel to bye ony pyes of two and three commemoracions of Salisburi use enpryntid after the forme of this present lettre, whiche ben

wel and truly correct. late hym come to Westmonester in to the almonesrye at the reed pale and he shal have them good chepe. Supplico stet cedula."

Robert Copeland, an apprentice of Wynkyn de Worde's, speaks of Caxton as "beginning with small stories and pamphlets and so to other," and the small books thus alluded to are no doubt the series of writings of Lydgate, such as "The Temple of Glas," "The Horse, the Sheep, and the Goose," and "The Churl and Bird," each of which contains but a few pages, and which must all have been printed soon after Caxton's settlement at Westminster. The most important of the early books is the first edition of Chaucer's "Canterbury Tales," a folio of more than 700 pages. The productiveness of Caxton's press at its commencement is most surprising, for in the first three years he had printed more than thirty books. Of these, certainly many were small, but, on the other hand, we have "The Canterbury Tales" (748 pages), "The History of Jason" (300 pages), Chaucer's "Boethius" (188 pages), "The Rhetorica Nova of Laurentius de Saona" (248 pages), "The Cordyal" (156 pages), the second edition of "The Dictes or Sayengis of the Philosophers" (152 pages), and "The Chronicles of England" (364 pages). Caxton was not only the printer, but corrector as well, and in some cases even editor, so that his diligence must have been remarkable.

In 1480 another printing press was started in London by John Lettou, and his books possessed several technical improvements which were wanting in Caxton's. The result of the rivalry or competition between the two presses is at once apparent, for Caxton immediately copied all the improvements. At the beginning of the year Caxton had printed an indulgence of John Kendale, appealing for help against the Turks at the siege of Rhodes, in his large ragged type. Another edition issued promptly from the rival press, printed in a small neat letter very much better suited for such work. Caxton in self-defence had a fount of small type cut, and used it in the same year for another edition of the indulgence.

The Beginnings of Illustration.

So, also imitating Lettou, Caxton began to use signatures in his books, and to space out the lines on a page to an even length. It was about this time that he began to illustrate some of his books with coarse woodcuts, the first work so illustrated being

"The Mirrour of the World," published in 1481, which contains a few cuts of men engaged in teaching and practising scientific pursuits, and also a number of diagrams. The execution of these cuts is so bad as to make them appear the work of a beginner, and it is probable that there was so little demand for the art at this time in England that Caxton was unable to obtain the assistance of any skilled wood-engraver. In the next six years Caxton printed about thirty-five books, many being of great interest. Amongst them are "Reynard the Fox," "The Polycronicon," Lydgate's "Pilgrimage of the Soul" and "Life of our Lady," "The Festial," Gower's "Confessio Amantis," Esop's "Fables," "The Golden Legend," "The Morte d'Arthur," "The Life of Charles the Great," and "The History of Paris and Vienne." In addition to these we have second editions of "The Chronicles of England," "The Game of Chesse," and "The Canterbury Tales." Several of these books are illustrated. Esop's "Fables" has a cut to almost every fable, and "The Golden Legend" is equally lavishly adorned, though the same cut often does duty for various saints. In 1487 Caxton seems to have been anxious to produce a missal of Sarum use, but not having suitable type he commissioned a Paris printer, George Maynyal, to print it for him. In this book Caxton's device first appears. It consists of the initials of his name divided by his mark, and it was used for the future in almost all his publications, printed at first on the front page, but afterwards in the more usual position at the end. From 1487 till his death in 1491 he printed some thirty books, among which are "The Four Sons of Aymon," "Blanchardyn and Eglantyne," "The Doctrinal of Sapience," "Eneydos," and many religious books. Caxton died about the end of the year 1491, and was buried in the churchyard of St. Margaret's, Westminster. He is known to have left a will, as there is a record in the parish accounts of St. Margaret's of fifteen copies of "The Golden Legend" bequeathed to the church by William Caxton. Unfortunately, this will has never been found, though it may very probably be amongst the large number of unexamined documents belonging to Westminster Abbey. From it we should have been able to gather more of the personal history of the printer than can be found in the introductory portions of his books. Many of the details of his life as a printer are known to us

from this source, and exhibit his extraordinary industry. Besides printing at least a hundred works, he translated no less than twenty-four, many of these being the largest of his publications: and "The Vitæ Patrum," the last of these works, was finished by him on the day of his death.

Wynkyn de Worde.

For the next two years the business was more or less at a standstill, and we find foreign printers producing books for the English market, almost all being reprints of Caxton's books. Wynkyn de Worde, a native of Lorraine and an apprentice of Caxton's, succeeded him in business at the printing office at Westminster. In the first two years after his master's death he produced only four books, but in 1493 we find him becoming more active. In the next year his name first appears in a book, and he uses as his device either the old mark which belonged to Caxton or else a smaller one of similar design. The activity of the apprentice was as great as his master's, for by the end of the year 1500 he had printed nearly a hundred books; many of these were reprints of Caxton's books, such as "The Golden Legend," "The Morte d'Arthur," "The Canterbury Tales," and others. Such books as he printed on his own initiative were, as a rule, small, and many are exceptionally curious. He also obtained assistance from abroad in printing service books, and it was probably through his means that Julian Notary, with two assistants, came to print in London about 1496. De Worde continued to live at Westminster till the end of the fifteenth century, removing in 1501 to a house in Fleet Street, with the sign of the Sun, where he stayed till his death in 1534. He was the most important and the most prolific of all the early English printers, for during his life he must have printed over five hundred different books.

The Oxford Press.

The year after Caxton began printing at Westminster, a press was started at Oxford. From the date of the first book having been misprinted 1468 in place of 1478, many writers have attempted to claim for Oxford and an imaginary printer Corsellis the honour of having produced the first book in England. The press was in existence from 1478 to 1486, a period of nine years, and the printers, Theodoric Rood of Cologne, and Thomas Hunte, an Englishman, produced some fifteen different books, for the most part of a learned character. Amongst these was an edition of

"Cicero pro Milone," the first classic printed in England, "The Letters of Phalaris," and the first edition of "Lyndewode." The last book they printed was a "Liber Festivalis," the only book from this press in English, and the only one with woodcuts.

The St. Alban's Press.

At St. Alban's, a schoolmaster whose name is unknown began to print about 1480. His types bear great resemblance to Caxton's, and for a short time he was in possession of a fount which had belonged to Caxton. His first six books were all in Latin and for the most part theological, but the two last were in English and of a popular character. The first was "The Chronicles of England," an edition founded on Caxton's, the second the well-known "Boke of St. Alban's." It contains treatises of Hunting, Hawking, and Coat-armour or Heraldry, and is full of the most curious information. Many cuts are given of the coat-of-arms, and most of them are printed in colours, the first attempt at such printing in England. A later edition of the same book was issued by Wynkyn de Worde in 1496, with a chapter added on Fishing with an Angle. The St. Alban's press, like that at Oxford, ceased in 1486, and was not revived till about 1535, when a few more books were issued.

Lettou and His Successors.

In 1480, under the patronage of William Wilcock, a London merchant, a foreign printer named John Lettou settled in London. That he was a practised printer we can see from the excellence of the workmanship of his early books, but he does not seem to have met with much encouragement, for in 1480–1482 he had printed only two books. About this time he was joined in business by William de Machlinia, and together they printed five law books. About 1484–85 Lettou disappears, and Machlinia continued to print alone, the workmanship of his books very much deteriorating. Nearly all his productions, however, are small, with the exception of "The Chronicles of England," and some law books. Among the others are one or two of interest, such as "The Revelation of St. Nicholas to a Monk of Evesham," "The Speculum Christiani," which contains some curious specimens of verse, and a treatise on the Pestilence, of which three editions were issued. One of these has a title-page, the first used in an

English book. Machlinia lived first at Flete-bridge, but moved at a later date to Holborn. He disappears about 1490, and his materials passed into the hands of Richard Pynson, a Norman. The first dated book with Pynson's name appeared in 1493, but he must have begun printing before that time. He is generally considered to have been an apprentice of Caxton, and in one passage speaks of Caxton as his master, but it is more probable that he learnt to print in Rouen. He lived first outside Temple Bar, but moved a little later into Fleet Street, where he lived until his death in 1528. He printed far fewer books than his contemporary, Wynkyn de Worde, but his editions were more scholarly, and he was the first to introduce Roman type into England. Between the time of Caxton's first beginning to print and the end of the fifteenth century, a period of thirty-four years, nearly four hundred books issued from the English press.

H. FRANK HEATH.
The Growth of a Common English Tongue.

A DULL and pedantic but conscientious critic writing in the year 1589, gives the following advice to the poets of his day*:—"Our maker, therfore, at these dayes shall not follow *Piers plowman*, nor *Gower*, nor *Lydgate*, nor yet *Chaucer*, for their language is now out of vse with us: neither shall he take the terms of Northern-men, such as they use in dayly talke, whether they be noble men or gentlemen, or of their best clarkes, all is a matter: nor in effect any speach vsed beyond the riuer of Trent, though no man can deny but that theirs is the purer English Saxon at this day, yet it is not so courtly nor so currant as our Southerne English is, no more is the far Westerne man's speach: ye shall, therefore, take the vsuall speach of the Court, and that of London, and the shires lying about London, within lx. myles, and not much aboue." He then goes on to acknowledge that the gentlemen and educated people in other counties generally speak, and especially write, "as good Southerne as we of Middlesex and Surrey do." The reason for that, however, he finds in the influence of English dictionaries and of literature.

From these lines the following important conclusions can

* George Puttenham, "The Arte of English Poesie," 1589. Prof. Arber's "English Reprints," 1869, p. 157.

be drawn: (1) That in the last quarter of the sixteenth century there was already a common literary language for English: (2) That this language was partly, at least, the common *spoken* language of the educated throughout the country; (3) That it was the native dialect of an area of country extending about sixty miles round London as its centre. It remains for us to show, as shortly as may be, how this state of things came about. We have seen that up to the time of the Norman Conquest the West Saxon dialect of Old English had, since the days of Ælfred, been gradually winning for itself the position of a common literary language. The defeat at Senlac, and its consequences, reduced the mother-tongue to fight for mere existence, and the centrifugal forces always present in language made themselves felt directly the unifying influence of an English court and an English clerisy was withdrawn.

The fight, therefore, between French and English for supremacy was accompanied, as we have seen, by the splitting-up of the latter into almost as many different dialects as there are counties in the kingdom. During the twelfth, thirteenth, and the first half of the fourteenth centuries, no common language for literature could possibly arise. English had to make good her right to existence as against both Norman and Central French in turn before this was anyway to begin. John Trevisa, of Cornwall, a Southerner and a contemporary of Chaucer, says, in his translation of Higden's "Polychronicon": "All the language of the Northumbrians . . . . is so sharp, slitting, grating, and unshapen, that we Southern men can with difficulty understand that language." "Therefore, it is that the Mercians, that are men of Middle England, being as it were partners of both extremes, understand the side languages Northern and Southern better than North and South understand each other." It is evident from this that there was a demand for some common tongue, and that from the nature of the case, the dialect most likely to supply the want was the Midland.

Its Nucleus: the London Dialect.

Towards the end of the fourteenth century the necessary conditions were present, and just as the dialect of the Isle de France was the source of modern French, and that of Castille the source of standard Spanish, so London, the centre of political,

commercial, and intellectual life in England, became the home of the standard English which was to be. Not that the dialect of London had always been Midland. On the contrary, it was originally distinctly Southern and Saxon in character, as the oldest London document, the famous English Proclamation of Henry III. (1258), makes certain.* There is evidence in this document of Midland influence, especially in the frequent use of the Present Indic. plural-ending in *-en*; but both the Midland contamination and the predominating Southern character are the natural consequences of London's geographical position. Even so late as the middle of the fourteenth century, the London dialect, though by this time distinctly Midland, shows a larger proportion of Southern characteristics than the language of the Parliamentary and State documents. This can be proved by a comparison of these documents with such an example of the London records as "A Petition from the folk of Mercerye," of the year 1386, printed in the Rolls of Parliament † (Vol. III., p. 225, *f.*). The work of Chaucer shows both some Midland and some Southern, especially Kentish, elements not found in the London dialect of his day. This is accounted for partly by the fact that his family was of East-Midland origin, coming from Ipswich, and partly by the fact that he was a great reader and a man of very wide culture, conservative in tendency, and far from the radical innovator he has often been thought to be. His not infrequent use of Kentish forms, such as *fulfelle* for *fulfille* and *hed* for *hid*, has been shown to be due to his probable continued residence at Greenwich after 1385, and to his other connections with the county.‡

The East Midland Element.

It is not difficult to see now how it was that the dialect of London changed in character from Southern and Saxon to Midland and Anglian. London was the meeting-place for all sorts and conditions of men, the centre of English commerce, the seat of government and of the court. Midland was the only dialect in England fairly well understood by all. Inhabitants of London, if only from purely commercial considerations, were

* *Cf.* Dr. L. Morsbach. "Ursprung der N.E. Schriftsprache," pp. 161, 2.

† Reprinted from the MS., by Morsbach (*op. cit.*, Appendix I.).

‡ *Cf.* Skeat, "On Chaucer's use of the Kentish Dialect," Phil. Soc. Trans., 1894.

bound to give up, in the main, their Southern peculiarities of speech, and geographical position naturally led to the adoption of the East in preference to the West Midland. In the Parliamentary and other State documents the most serviceable form of speech would naturally have preference; and as the Midland and Northern portions of the kingdom were far greater in extent than the Southern, it is only natural that a smaller Southern and larger Northern element should be present than in the dialect of the Londoner, which was conditioned by geographical position in a way that of the Government was not.*

There now remain two questions to be considered. How did this East Midland dialect become the language of literature? And how did this literary language become the usual speech of all educated people, as we have seen it was on the way to becoming in Puttenham's time? To deal with them in order:—attempts have been made to show, on the one hand, that London was not the home of standard English, but rather the Rutland neighbourhood.† On the other hand, Morsbach has tried to prove that modern literary English is the direct descendant of the English used by London citizens of the second half of the fourteenth century, as seen in the documents of the time. He does not deny that Wycliffe and Chaucer had some influence, but it was no essential one. The truth seems to lie between these two extremes. Morsbach has completely failed to show how the dialect of the London merchants could ever become the language of literature without the authoritative stamp of some great literary genius, such as Chaucer, more especially as all the literature produced for a hundred years after his death was the work of a school of poets who were his slavish imitators. Chaucer was a Londoner, but he was also a member of the court, and his dialect, therefore,

The Influence of Literature.

* A typical example of each of the chief M.E. dialects in the fourteenth century may be useful: Northern—(*a*) East, the York Plays; (*b*) West, "Sire Gawain and the Grene Knight"; Midland—(*a*) East, Chaucer; (*b*) West, the Chester Plays, Langland; South—"Bevis of Hamtoune"; Kent—"Ayenbite of Inwit."

† *Cf.* Freeman, "Norman Conquest," v. 541, *ff.* Kington-Oliphant in his "Old and Middle English," p. 449, says, "Our classic speech did not arise in London or Oxford"; though, in his later work, "The New English," 1886, he has considerably modified this crude statement—*cf.* esp. Vol. I., c. ii.

though essentially that of London, was more catholic, and incorporated elements both Midland and Southern not found in the speech of the average Londoner. Had there been no Chaucer there would have been no "Chaucer School," very possibly no English work from the pen of Gower; and though these are but fancies, it does not seem unlikely that Langland would, in that case, have been the father both of modern standard English and of English poetry. The tradition in both language and literature would then have been a West, instead of an East Midland,* and if we remember the strong influence which the West Midland tradition exerted on Spenser as it was, this will not seem a far-fetched hypothesis. The West was the home of conservatism—the home of archaic forms, of the alliterative revival. Chaucer represented the East Midland tradition. The East Midlands came most under Danish and most under Norman-French influence, and this is seen in both language and literature. In this sense, the earlier East Midland writers, and among them Robert Manning of Brunne, may be considered as the forerunners of Chaucer in the M.E. period. Robert was doubtless one of the most important links in the chain immediately preceding Chaucer, but he cannot be called the "patriarch" † of modern literary English with any more justice than Wycliffe, or the authors of "Havelok" and "King Horn," or the poets of the "Cædmon School." This title can only be given, if given at all, to him who being at once a citizen of the capital, a courtier, and a genius, produced works which were widely popular, and as widely read; and which being written, to all intents and purposes, in the same dialect as that of his fellow-citizens, a dialect which national experience had shown to be more widely useful than any other, gave at once the best possible guarantee of universal acceptance and the stamp of a literary language to what before had only been a spreading form of speech. "Wycliffe," to quote the words of the greatest authority on this subject, "prepared the great mass of the people for the

Chaucer.

The Claims of Robert Manning of Brunne.

* It is to be remembered that the differences between East and West Midland are not nearly so great as between Southern and Midland.

† Freeman, and Kington-Oliphant following in his wake, see in Robert Manning the father of standard English.

reception of a common literary language; but Chaucer is the originator of the literary movement to which the development of this language during the following centuries is due." * It would be almost as hard to prove that Luther was needless in the production of modern German as that Chaucer was so for the development of modern English. The influence of his art and of his language can be traced in poetry through the whole fifteenth and a large part of the sixteenth century, and the language, though not so much the style, of prose, as seen in Caxton, owes its origin to him. Caxton did much to make the future of the new literary language certain; firstly, by always making use of it in his translations,† and, secondly, by the fixity and wide circulation ensured for it by his printing-press. He had, however, many difficulties to contend with. Some people, he tells us in the prologue to his translation of the Æneid, complained because he used "ouer-curyous termes, whiche coud not be understande of comyn peple, and desired me to use olde and homely terms (*i.e.*, dialectal forms) in my translacyons.' Others, and especially the scholars, "desired me to wryte the moste curyous termes that I coude fynde." "But," he concludes, "in my Judgemente, the comyn termes that be dayli used ben lyghter to understonde than the olde and auncyent Englysshe." And it is quite evident that he means by this the dialect of London, for, in another part of the same prologue, he remarks "that comyn Englysshe that is spoken in one shyre varyeth from another"; and goes on to tell a story of a good wife of Kent who could not understand some travellers when they asked for "eggs," for she only knew the pure English word "eyren." Caxton then, by using the London dialect and putting it into print, fixed it as the language of literature for the future; but he could never have done this had not Chaucer originated the movement in the previous century. Not only are the phonetic forms and vocabulary of standard English to be traced back to that time, but, so far as investigation has yet gone, it is clear that the syntax of modern standard English had its birth in the same century.

Caxton.

* B. ten Brink, "Chaucers Sprache und Verskunst," 1884, p. 4.

† In printing Trevisa's translation of Higden's "Polychronicon" in 1482, he altered the South-Western dialect to that of London throughout, as already noted, p. 380.

There is no form of speech used at the present day which cannot be traced either in germ, or already fully developed, in the fourteenth century. It is noticeable, moreover, that the English language at that time came enormously under the influence of French syntax, and adopted French forms of speech, not only in cases where the native idiom was inadequate, but even where the O.E. idiom was ready to hand. So far did this go that no less than three-fourths of the O.Fr. idioms are to be found reproduced in fourteenth-century English. As the East Midland writers were precisely those which came most strongly under the influence of French in other ways, such as vocabulary, literary forms, etc., the comparatively new study of historical syntax only offers another correlative proof of the truth of the main position.*

French Influence on the Grammar.

The part of this subject about which least is known is the course of development after Caxton's time, and the exact way in which the literary language became the spoken tongue of the educated classes throughout the country. So far as the first of these points goes, the difficulty is enormously increased by the fact that although in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries very widespread and important changes took place in our pronunciation, there was no corresponding break with the old orthography similar to that which took place in German, and which serves to mark the commencement of a literary language for that tongue. Our orthography, in the main, is the same to-day as it was in the Middle Ages, but our pronunciation is very different.† The changes which were made in the sixteenth century in orthography only tended to confuse matters further. Most people tried to write phonetically, but some followed the English system and others the French, whilst the scholars spelt many Romance words in accordance with their Latin etymologies, forgetting that they had come to us through

The Written and the Spoken Language.

* *Cf.* for proofs and an elaboration of these remarks, Chap. IV., in Kluge's "Geschichte der Engl. Sprache" (Paul's Grundriss der german. Philologie, Bd. I. Lieferung 5).

† Chas. Buttler, in his "English Grammar," 1633, p. 3, says: "We have in our language many syllables which, having gotten a nue pronunciation, doo yet retain ðeir old ortographie, so ðat ðeir letters do not now rightly express ðe sound."

French, had thus become altered in pronunciation, and therefore in spelling. This accounts for the presence of the Latin *d*, and *l*, in such Romance words as "a*d*venture" and "assau*l*t." The second of the two points mentioned above is also far from clear. It must be remembered that at the end of the sixteenth century there was only a partial coincidence of the spoken and written language amongst educated people, as we see from Puttenham's statement; and that even in the present day the spoken language of educated people is, in most cases, only an approximation to standard English. Doubtless the popularisation of literature, and especially the Authorised Version of the Bible, have been the chief factors in its spread.

"Scottish."

Finally, it must be remembered that the Northern dialect, as spoken in the Lowlands of Scotland, possessed, in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, a literature of its own, and gave promise of becoming a separate standard language for the North. At the commencement of the sixteenth century it began to be called "scotis," or "scots," instead of "inglis," as hitherto. But even Cawin Douglas, though he spoke of his tongue as "langage of Scottis natioun," came so markedly under Chaucer's influence that his dialect was no pure Northern one, and by the end of the sixteenth century the life of the Northern dialect as a literary medium was at an end. The chief cause for this was the absence of an authorised Scotch translation of the Bible. In 1542 the New Testament, "in inglis wulgare toung," was given to the people, and the English Bible was printed in Scotland in 1576-9. Even Knox's translation of the Psalms was much oftener printed in English than in Scotch, and the many books which he wrote in the latter dialect show frequent evidence of English influence. Thus, at the beginning of the seventeenth century, the whole domain of English literature had been conquered by the London dialect.

W. J. CORBETT.
Agriculture.

In giving an outline of the Lancastrian and Yorkist period, we only just alluded to the darker features which can be traced in the agricultural history, and which, though trifling at first,

gradually kept increasing in intensity as the fifteenth century advanced. These now must claim our attention.

The Cessation of Progress.

The first of them that may be mentioned is the absolutely stationary character of the farming of the period. During the whole of the years between the revolt of the peasants under Wat Tyler and their revolt in 1549 under Ket hardly a single improvement was introduced. The uses of clover, turnips, and artificial grasses still remained unknown: ploughing continued to be little more than a scratching of the surface; draining and manuring were neglected, and even marling went somewhat out of fashion. For draught purposes horses were still hardly ever used, oxen being preferred because they cost less to keep in winter, wanted no shoes, and when dead were man's meat, whereas horses were carrion. And yet the common pastures were in many places so bare and unsheltered and the grass so poor that we are assured it was almost impossible to keep working oxen in condition upon them. As to gardening and the cultivation of "such herbes, fruites and roots as grow yearlie out of the ground of seed," which had been very plentiful in the land in the days of the Edwards, we are told that "in process of time they grew also to be neglected, so that from Henry IV. till the latter end of Henry VII. and beginning of Henry VIII., there was little or no use of them in England; but they remained either unknown or supposed as food more meet for hogs or savage beasts to feed upon than mankind." The general interest, in fact, in estate management which had led under the Plantagenets to the production of such manuals as "Le Dite de Husbondrie," had entirely died out, and in the fifteenth century no writer arose who even attempted to improve on Walter of Henley's treatise. On the contrary, this was left with the field entirely to itself as the one and only guide for farmers, and it was not till 1523, when a new book of husbandry was published by Fitzherbert, probably a Justice of the Common Pleas, that people for the first time began to realise that the suggestions of the thirteenth-century author were becoming a little antiquated. The first fruits of this feeling are to be seen in the introduction of hops into the South-eastern counties, the legend being that

"Turkies, hoppes, reformation and beer
Came into England all in one year."

But hop-growing even long after the Reformation must still have been in its infancy and very little practised, as it is not mentioned by Fitzherbert, and the first treatise on it by Reginald Scot was not written till 1574. The same view must also be taken of the chief scheme for improvement advocated by Fitzherbert himself, though none of his contemporaries seem to have disputed its advantages. This is to be found in his "Book of Surveying," in the last chapter of which he recommends the adoption of enclosing on a large scale, meaning thereby that the system of having only open or "champaign" villages with their unenclosed common fields and wastes should be abolished, and that instead the land should be cut up into a number of "several closes," that is to say, into the endless small fields, each surrounded by its separate hedge, with which to-day we are so well acquainted. To do this, of course, meant to put an end once and for all to the old communal tillage, and to the scattering of holdings into a number of acre and half-acre strips, dispersed up and down over the arable. It would also require the suppression of the common right of pasture, enjoyed equally by all on land from which the crops had been removed. When once, however, this had been effected and the land equitably redistributed, every one would be the gainer, so that townships that had formerly been worth twenty marks yearly would instead be worth twenty pounds. For under the new system every one would have a compact holding to do what he liked with, free from the interference of his neighbours, while the husbandman's returns might naturally be expected to be larger, both the stock and the crops being better protected. Even to mere labourers who held no land the change would be no loss, for though there might be less employment for herdsmen, there would be more for hedgers and ditchers. After enclosing, too, a better proportion might be effected between the areas devoted to corn-growing and pasturage respectively, the latter having hitherto been decidedly deficient, for with better harvests a smaller area of arable would suffice. All these arguments and others were urged by Fitzherbert, but they can have done very little towards overcoming the conservatism of his readers, except, perhaps, in Essex and Suffolk. For outside these counties we know that England remained almost totally unenclosed until well into the eighteenth century.

Enclosures.

The cause of this absolute standstill is somewhat mysterious, and may be sought for in several directions with equal probability; in the extension of leases, for example, and the consequent withdrawal and absenteeism of the landlords from their estates; in the general turmoil of the civil wars, which undermined all steady effort: in the growth of commerce and its absorption of all the enterprise in the country. All these, no doubt, had something to do with it, but we have yet to mention what was in all likelihood the most effective cause of all: one, too, that was at work all through the fifteenth century, and forms one of the darkest features of the period. This was the unmarked, but nevertheless, uninterrupted and unmistakable decay that was gradually stealing over all the monastic houses, both great and small alike (p. 466). In earlier centuries these had always taken the lead in farming, and if improvements were introduced it was sure to be the monks that were the pioneers. But now they had in one way or another nearly all become impoverished, and though, as we have seen, they were still lenient landlords, they were no longer energetic ones. The first symptom of their withdrawal from the agricultural leadership is to be seen in their reluctance to adopt the system of leasing, many of them not trying it till late in the fifteenth century, and even then continuing to manage their home farms by bailiffs. Fortunately in this matter the want of their good example was not very much felt, but it soon made itself so in other things. Thus the old monks had always been excellent men of business, and had firmly enforced all their manorial rights however trivial; but now the manorial courts began to get out of order. Records were badly kept; stewards and bailiffs abused their powers; and had it not been that the court rolls formed the title-deeds of the copyholders, and that there was still money to be made from fees, the ancient jurisdiction would have run some danger of falling altogether into disuse. Similarly as to the internal communications of the country—in the old days the monks had been the great road-builders and repairers, but now both roads and bridges went to decay, and no one could be found with sufficient public spirit to prevent it. How useful the monasteries had been, and what an important factor they were, is, however, perhaps best seen from

Causes of Stagnation.

Decline of the Monasteries.

the effect their decline had upon the poorer classes. For from their first foundation they had been the great dispensers of charity, and so, as they sank into poverty, or began wasting their means in luxury, there ceased to be any one to whom either the impotent or the indigent could turn for aid. To the great body of the labouring class, whose wages, as we have seen, were kept down as low as possible by statute (p. 144), this was a very serious matter, for now if they were thrown out of work their customary resource failed them, and they had neither savings of their own nor any public system of relief to fall back upon. Absolute destitution consequently largely increased, and the country tended to become full of beggars, while, to make matters worse, there was at this very time a change passing over agriculture which tended constantly to throw more and more people out of employment.

The Growth of Sheep Farming.

The change referred to, though we have delayed speaking of it till last of all, is really one of the most important features of the period. It consisted in a continuous extension of sheep-farming at the expense of tillage. Already in a former chapter we have noticed the beginnings of this movement (p. 243), and connected it with the scarcity and expensiveness of labour after the Black Death, one of the chief advantages of sheep-farming being that it dispenses with the necessity of employing many farm servants. In the fourteenth century, however, it never assumed any very large proportions, and it was not till the fifteenth that its growth began to excite any very active opposition. In the language of the time, the change we are discussing is described as "enclosing"; but this is somewhat misleading, for there is nothing in common between it and what Fitzherbert recommended under that name. A few fences indeed might be run up, but the chief part of the process consisted in laying down as much land as possible in permanent pasture, and using it solely as a sheep-run. If there were any houses, they were either allowed to decay or taken down as encumbrances as soon as ever their inhabitants could be induced to quit.

To begin with, of course, the landowner might only enclose as much of the waste as he was entitled to do under the Statute of Merton, and then no destruction of buildings or eviction of tenants followed; but when once he had found

out the advantage, it was not often that he would be content to stop at this point. The demesne share in the common fields usually followed the waste, the farm labourers were for the most part dismissed, and the manorial buildings dismantled. At Chesterton, for instance, near Cambridge, enclosing had reached this point in 1414, much to the damage of the tenants, who complained that "there was gret waste in the manor of Housing, that is to say, of Halles and Chambers, and of other houses of office, and none housinge left stondinge thereon but if it were a shepcote, or a berne, or a swynsty, and a few houses byside to putte in bestes." In acting thus, however much it might impoverish the labourers, who were thrown out of work, or the village artisans who no longer had the farm buildings to keep in repair, the lords were well within their rights. Many, however, did not stop here, but unscrupulously drove their tenants completely off the wastes, either by force or by buying out their rights, and then it could only be a matter of time before they also deserted their holdings, arable land without pasture being in the long run of very little use. In all cases, too, where the tenants had only been small cottagers, supplementing a too scanty income by working for wages, the same result must have been achieved even without the landlord adopting any unwarrantable measures. In this way manor after manor became depopulated, or, if the tenants held out, so hopelessly pauperised that it was no wonder that they sometimes broke out into riots, and assembled in warlike array for the purpose of beating down the enclosures. Quite early in the fifteenth century we hear of disturbances of this sort, and by 1436 corn growing had so decreased that politicians became alarmed for the food supply and passed an Act to keep up the price of corn and so encourage tillage. In 1463 this was supplemented by an Act against the importation of foreign corn unless the price was over 6s. 8d. Efforts of this sort, however, do not seem to have been of much avail, and all through the reigns of Edward IV. and Henry VII. enclosing went rapidly on. At Stretton Baskerville, for instance, in Warwickshire, we read that Thomas Twyford began the depopulation thereof in 1489, decaying four messuages and three cottages whereunto 160 acres of arable belonged. He then sold it to Henry Smith, who following

Attempts to Check Agricultural Decay.

that example five years later, enclosed 640 acres of land more, whereby twelve messuages and cottages fell to ruin, and eighty persons these inhabiting, employed in tillage, were constrained to depart and live miserably. In similar tones the Statute-book for 1489 tells us that the Isle of Wight "is lately become decayed of people, by reason of many towns and villages having been beaten down, and is desolate and not inhabited, but occupied with beasts and cattle." Throughout England, too, we are assured that "idleness daily doth increase; for where in some towns 200 persons were occupied and lived of their lawful labour, now there are occupied only two or three herdsmen." Starkey, the royal chaplain in the next reign, only puts this more epigrammatically when he says, "Where hath been many houses and churches to the honour of God, now you shall find nothing but shepcotes and stables to the ruin of men, and that not in one place or two, but generally throughout this realm." Finally, if any further evidence is wanted to show that great hardships were being entailed upon the peasantry, there are the indignant words of Sir Thomas More, in which he bids us sympathise with "the husbandmen thrust out of their own, or else by covin and fraud or by violent oppression put beside it, or by wrongs and injuries so wearied that they sell all," and goes on to denounce "the noblemen and gentlemen, yea, and certain abbots that lease no ground for tillage; that enclose all into pasture, and throw down houses; that pluck down towns and leave nothing standing, but only the church, to be made a sheep house."

J. E. SYMES.
Trade and Industry.

We have seen (p. 385) that the fifteenth century was by no means the "golden age for labour" that some writers have depicted. Nevertheless, it seems probable that the masses of the English people were better supplied with the bare necessaries of life in the reign of Henry VII. than in any other reign before that of Victoria. Under Henry VII. an artisan could generally earn between two and three shillings a week, without working more than eight hours a day,* while the prices of necessaries were on an average about

* This is said to have been the ordinary length of a day's work in the middle of the fifteenth century. But an Act of the eleventh year of

one-twelfth of what they are at present. Good meat could be obtained at a farthing a pound, beer cost a half-penny per gallon. House rent and fuel were, in most places, more than proportionally cheap. On the other hand, wheaten bread, tea, and many commodities which the poor now consume in large quantities, were not procurable by the corresponding classes in the fifteenth century; and many of their conditions of life were more unhealthy, dangerous, and disagreeable, than those now endured by any but the very poorest. There was much violence and oppression, little opportunity for travel, little education, no newspapers. Pestilence and epidemics were frequent. Thus, in the very year of Henry VII.'s accession (1485) the terrible "sweating sickness" made its first appearance in England (p. 560). It spread over the country and raged for two months, killing most of those whom it attacked. Then it passed away; but it returned at intervals. The doctors could neither account for its arrival, nor discover any effective way of treating it.

Prices and the Standard of Comfort.

The gradual abolition of serfdom, and the ending of the Wars of the Roses had diminished some of the evils from which the poor suffered; and the able rule of Henry VII. established an unusual amount of security and order. His wise policy of peace and economy husbanded the national resources; and, though his government was grasping, and in some ways oppressive, his exactions scarcely affected the mass of the people. In fact, Henry VII. distinctly favoured the industrial classes. He saw that their prosperity might bring money into his treasury, and that their growing influence would help to balance the power of the turbulent nobles, whose ancestors had involved our country in so many civil brawls and shaken the power of so many kings.

The Policy of Henry VII.

England was still mainly agricultural. Our chief industry was still the producing of wool and other raw material which foreigners worked up (p. 253). But we had already begun to manufacture

The Growth of Manufacture.

Henry VII. lays down as a maximum twelve hours between March and September, and from daybreak to nightfall during the rest of the year. This is, no doubt, only given as a maximum, but it is difficult to believe that an eight-hours' day was the rule when this Act was passed.

our own cloth. As early as A.D. 1331, Edward III. had invited Flemish weavers, fullers, and dyers to settle in England. These had taught their trades to Englishmen; and by the accession of Henry VII. our artizans were able, not merely to supply much of the home demand, but also to sell their goods to foreigners. The agricultural changes under which much arable land had been turned into pasture, diminished the demand for agricultural work, and many of the displaced labourers flocked into the towns and gradually found employment in manufacture. So that cloth now began to rank with wool, hides, lead, and tin among the chief exports from England.

The Export Trade.

The export trade was chiefly in the hands of foreigners. Nevertheless the English Merchants of the Staple (chartered in 1313) had long been considerable exporters of raw material. In the reign of Henry VII. there was a great further development of the English carrying-trade. The Merchant Adventurers (p. 401) got a charter in 1505, and we have evidence that by 1497 they monopolised much of the important trade with Flanders. A petition of that year asserts that English merchants were finding their way to Spain, Venice, Holland, and in fact to most of the chief ports on the coasts of the Atlantic, the Mediterranean, the English Channel, and even the Baltic. Nevertheless, our foreign trade was still chiefly in the hands of Italians, Flemings, and, above all, of the merchants of the famous Hansa League (pp. 258, 403). This German association had made an arrangement with Edward IV. (in 1474), under which Englishmen were to be allowed to trade freely with the Baltic ports. In return for this and for other concessions, the Hansa merchants received various payments and privileges, and their position in England was even more advantageous than it had previously been. Their colony, which was situated in the part of London where the Cannon Street Station now stands, became a great centre of prosperous trade, and excited much jealousy among their English rivals. It was not finally abolished till 1597.

The New World.

A few words must next be given to the great nautical discoveries which make the reign of Henry VII. an epoch in the history of European trade. It was in 1492 that Christopher Columbus crossed the

Atlantic and discovered the new world. But for a series of accidents this famous voyage would almost certainly have been taken under the patronage of the English king. As it was, the honour and the immediate profits of this celebrated discovery fell to Spain (pp. 495–498). But it was an English subject, sailing under English auspices, who discovered the mainland of the new continent. This was John Cabot, a Genoese by birth, who had settled in Bristol and who sailed thence in 1497. His immediate object, like that of Columbus, was to discover a sea route to India, but he tried a more northerly course and reached Newfoundland, as Columbus had reached the West Indies. Cabot's son, Sebastian, carried on the work of nautical discovery, and other Bristol merchants threw themselves energetically into the trade; but it was long before any English colony was planted in the newly-discovered world. Nevertheless, these voyages had from the first an important influence on the social life of England. They stimulated the spirit of adventure, and roused men's imaginations. Opportunities were offered for gratifying curiosity and greed; while even those who stayed at home received from the tales of returning travellers some mental enlargement and excitement. Among the ultimate effects of the discovery of America, we may here specially notice the advantages which it gave to the more westerly nations of Europe. Hitherto the countries round the Mediterranean had been the best placed for purposes of commerce. Some of them had naturally become the richest and most prosperous of the European nations. But now Spain, Portugal, France, Holland, and England advanced rapidly to the front; and a glance at the map of Europe will show that these were just the countries best situated for communication with America. England was the last to profit by this new advantage. But in the long run she outstripped all her competitors, and even before the death of Henry VII. there were many signs that she was entering on a period of nautical activity.

Treaties of Commerce.

The growing commerce of our country, and the interest taken in it by the king, is indicated by the provisions of the various commercial treaties made in this reign. Among these we may notice the treaty with Denmark (1490), with Florence (1490), and with Flanders (1496). All these were for the

encouragement of free trading between the contracting nations. As a specimen of these treaties, we may take the so-called Intercursus Magnus of 1496 (pp. 404, 462). It guaranteed freedom of trade between England, Ireland, and Calais, on the one hand, and Brabant, Flanders, Hainault, Holland, and Mechlin on the other. Merchants from each party might own houses in the dominions of the other. Custom-house officers were to be careful and considerate in dealing with imported merchandise; neither nation must allow pirates or privateers within its harbours, and merchants must deposit double the value of their ships and cargoes as a security that their sailors shall not be guilty of piracy. The trade in foreign bullion was to be free.

Navigation Laws.

Another side of Henry's commercial policy may be illustrated by the fact that the trade between England and the South of France was limited by law to goods carried in English ships and manned by English sailors. Bacon shrewdly describes this as "bowing the ancient policy" of England "from consideration of *plenty* to consideration of *power*." He points out that "almost all the ancient statutes" had encouraged foreigners "to bring in all sorts of commodities, having for end cheapness," but that Henry VIII. was willing to sacrifice cheapness of goods for the sake of encouraging the merchant navy. In the long run this "protective" policy not only strengthened, but also enriched our country. England could scarcely have attained to her maritime pre-eminence without those Navigation Laws, of which Henry's were the first.

The Beginnings of the Mercantile Theory.

It was natural, however, that much of Henry's commercial legislation should be based on erroneous principles. The Chancellor, Cardinal Morton, called on Parliament to set the people "on work in arts and handicrafts" in order "that the realm may subsist more of itself," so that "*the draining out of our treasure* for foreign manufactures" might be stopped. This feeling, that the national wealth depended on the amount of gold and silver that could be brought into the country, continued to be for more than three centuries the basis of much of our commercial legislation. It still survives in the widely-spread idea that what brings money into a country is the thing to be desired. But in modern Protectionist doctrines this

idea holds a subordinate place. Their more common defence at present is that Government may profitably interfere for the protection of British Industries. Of this, too, there are signs in Henry's legislation. Thus an Act of one of his parliaments (19 Henry VII., c. 21) prohibits the importation of "any manner of silk wrought by itself, or with any other stuff . . . in ribbons, laces, girdles, corses, cauls, corses of tissues or points"; but "all other manner of silks" may be freely imported. The object of the Act was, of course, to exclude those kinds of silk that were being manufactured at home.

Protectionism.

The *internal trade* of England was still very largely carried on in the fifteenth century by means of Fairs, which were held annually at many centres, and often lasted several days (I. p. 365). The Stourbridge Fair lasted a whole month, every year. Buyers and sellers flocked to it, not only from all parts of England, but from many distant lands. In its stalls and booths were sold Italian silks and velvets, French and Spanish wines, fine linen from Flanders, as well as Derbyshire lead and Cornish tin. Thither sheep and cattle were driven from all the counties round, and Hansa merchants brought timber, iron, copper, grain, and many other commodities from ports on the Baltic and the German Ocean. Among buyers, too, many strange nations were represented. Some bought for consumption, others for exportation, while many were chiefly attracted by the fun of the fair. Similar gatherings, on a smaller scale, were held in most parts of England. In days when the population was small and scattered there were, naturally, few shops, and these were seldom well stocked. The modern organisation for distributing goods had not grown up. The distinction of merchants, manufacturers, shopmen, and artisans only existed in germ; and the fairs provided the chief opportunities for all but the simplest commercial transactions.

Internal Trade.

Manufactures, however, were beginning to grow in importance, and were already widely spread. "There was probably hardly a home without a spinning-wheel, hardly a manor without half-a-dozen hand-looms"; but in most parts of England the artisans were also agriculturists (p. 400). The same might indeed be said of almost all classes of the community, from the king to the monk

Manufacture.

and the poor student. In some places, however, and especially in Norfolk, large sections of the population depended for their livelihood chiefly upon manufacturing industries (p. 408). In others mining was pursued with great energy. Derbyshire lead found its way to many parts of the Continent. The tin mines of Cornwall retained much of their ancient fame. But little coal or iron was as yet produced.

The Distribution of Wealth.

The assessment of 1503 throws much interesting light on the distribution of wealth and industry among the towns and counties of England. London was, of course, far the richest of the towns. Bristol had once more reached the second place. This was, no doubt, owing to the growth of trade with the South of Europe; for the discovery of America cannot as yet have produced a very considerable increase in the population and wealth of Bristol. The same cause, no doubt, explains why Gloucester now stood as high as fifth among the English towns. Of the counties, Oxford came next to Middlesex, probably on account of its rich pasture lands. Norfolk took the third place. Its pre-eminence in manufactures, and its extensive trade with Flanders failed to outweigh the pastures of Oxford. Cumberland, Northumberland, and Lancashire were the very poorest counties in England; and the West Riding was not much richer than they.

In manufactures, the chief change which the fifteenth century introduced was the growth of the class of capitalist artisans. At the beginning of the century labourers were simply hired, to work on materials owned by landlords, monasteries, etc. But by the time of Henry VII. we find that the artisans frequently provided their own materials. The class of manufacturing employers did not yet exist. There were, of course, no large factories. But the workman was now often his own employer, and he often sold the products of his labour to the consumer or customer without the intervention of any middleman. But the price at which he sold it was generally fixed by his Gild, or by custom, if not by law. The mediaeval organisation of labour has been described in earlier parts of this book. But we may here notice that

The Gilds and their Rules.

almost every industry had its Gild, which laid down the rules under which alone it might be pursued, at least, in the towns. These

rules were chiefly directed to benefiting those in the gild, and preventing what was considered unfair competition between them. Sometimes they existed by Royal authority, sometimes by that of the municipalities. They often had a practical, and not unfrequently a legal monopoly of the trade in their district, and they seem to have done something to keep up the standard of work and of character among their members.

Apprenticeship.

No one was admitted to, or allowed to remain in, any trade, unless the gild authorities were satisfied both as to his moral character and his efficiency as a workman, and this efficiency had to be proved during an apprenticeship which generally, in England, lasted for seven years. The admission of an apprentice was a solemn ceremony, by which he became a member of the family of his employer or master, who was expected not only to instruct him in his trade, but also to exercise supervision over his moral conduct. At the expiration of his apprenticeship he might become a full "citizen" by paying the accustomed fees, and going through the established forms. No member of a gild might possess tools which were not testified to be of good quality. Stringent regulations had to be observed as to the methods of working and the quality of materials. No one might begin his work before sunrise, or continue it after curfew; and it was also forbidden to work on Church festivals, or after noon on the eve of a double feast. There were restrictions as to the number of apprentices any member might have, and regulations as to prices. Any member impoverished by misfortune had a claim to relief. Members might not go to law with one another till they had submitted their dispute to the arbitration of the gild wardens. Religion played a prominent part in gild life. Each gild had its patron saint, and often its chaplain, one of whose chief duties was to say mass for the souls of dead members. The extent to which the gilds regulated their trades led sometimes to serious evils. Henry VII. tried to check these by an Act of 1503, which prevented these gilds from making any new laws or ordinances concerning the prices of wares and other things for their singular profit, until first examined and approved of by the Lord Chancellor, Lord Treasurer, or king's justices. We shall see that this policy was carried further in

the following reign. But meantime the growth of foreign trade struck a severe blow at the whole gild organisation. With every extension of markets, and every step in the direction of division of labour, it became increasingly difficult to regulate wages and prices by any force except that of competition, and the great intellectual revival that we know as the Renaissance tended to make men break through the bonds of custom, and the traditional ways of doing business.

The Shifting of Centres of Industry.

From quite early in the sixteenth century we get many complaints of the decay of towns; and this was probably partly due to the spread of the woollen and linen manufactures into rural districts in order to avoid the restrictions of the gilds. From various Acts of Parliament between 1515 and 1545 we gather that a very large proportion of English towns were in this decaying condition. On the other hand we may notice that the rise of the capitalist artisan, referred to above, seems to indicate a considerable accumulation of wealth in the hands of the labouring class.

"Usury."

But though capital was growing, there was as yet little borrowing or investing for commercial or manufacturing purposes. All lending at interest was regarded as usurious and wrong (p. 113). The Chancellor Morton urged Parliament to repress it on the express ground that it was a "barren" employment of money, diverting wealth from its natural use in trading. In other words, he took for granted that lending must be unproductive. Accordingly an Act was passed in the third year of Henry VII., making all lending at interest criminal. The usurious bargain was to be null and void. The lender was to be heavily fined, and further punished, for his soul's good, under the ecclesiastical laws. Morton's language, and that of this Act, make it clear that the modern practice of lending at interest for business purposes was practically unknown. The usury aimed at was the lending at interest to spendthrifts or unfortunates. The building up of industries by means of credit, which transfers the use of capital to the hands that can use it most efficiently, was as yet scarcely thought of.

The Currency.

Henry's care for trade extended to the currency. Unlike many of his predecessors and successors, he never debased the coinage. He was greedy

and covetous, but he was too shrewd to suppose he could permanently enrich himself by tampering with the amount or standard of the metal. He introduced, however, some important changes. Thus, he was the first to coin shillings and sovereigns. The word *shillings* had hitherto frequently been used in accounts, but the first actual shillings were coined in 1504 (p. 503). They were called *large groats*, and afterwards *testors*, from the king's head (teste) on one face of them. The gold sovereigns were at first called *double rose nobles*. In the next reign a perplexing variety both of gold and silver coins were issued, partly, it is to be feared, with the direct object of deceiving the public.

The New Coins.

Externally and politically Henry VII.'s reign was a period of tranquillity. But in the social history of our country it was a period of general unsettling of old ways and habits of living, working, and thinking. Men's minds were awakening after the long sleep of the Middle Ages; and the new intellectual movement revolutionised industry as well as all the other departments of the national life. First came the great revival of study, especially of the study of Greek (p. 505.) In Italy this movement began about the time of our Wars of the Roses, and several Englishmen, including Grocyn and Colet, journeyed to Italy for the express purpose of learning Greek, and then returned to teach it to their fellow-countrymen. Oxford became the great centre of this revival. There Grocyn began to lecture on Greek in the year 1490, and soon the university was split into two parties—the "Greeks," who threw themselves into the new movement, and the "Trojans," as the more conservative and reactionary section was called. The former party included all the more active and intelligent students, and soon Cambridge was similarly awakened from sloth, and the old learning passed into contempt. It was only indirectly that this movement affected industry. But it was inevitable that the new intellectual activity should make itself felt in business; that men should refuse to be bound by customs handed down from an ignorant past; and should try new processes in manufacture, agriculture, and commerce. The invention of printing must have had a similar tendency and this too began to influence England in the reign of Henry VII. It was eight years before the beginning of this reign

The Social Unrest.

that Caxton brought his printing press to our country (p. 532). But the early presses were so clumsy and inefficient that the number of books produced by them was at first small. Nevertheless, by the year 1500, nearly 400 books had been issued (p. 537). Copies of them were circulated in all parts of the country, and a fresh stimulus to thought and originality was thus given. When we add to this the nautical discoveries already referred to, and those that now followed in quick succession, revealing new worlds, opening up new routes for trade, and stirring men's imaginations with the stories brought home by travellers, we can better understand that our country was entering on a new and revolutionary era—the age of the Reformation and of Shakspere. And it was inevitable that the new spirit and temper which we call the Renaissance should make itself felt in the national industries. The struggle to grow rich became more intense. Men would not submit to the old restrictions, or be content with traditional ways of doing business. Custom was more and more displaced by competition, with consequences that will be traced in future chapters.

For the present it must suffice to say that the changes were by no means unmixedly good. In fact, they at first probably brought in their train more misery than happiness. Custom is a great protection of the poor. Changes in industrial processes alter the demand for labour, and make many kinds of skill almost useless to those who possess them. The eighty years that followed the death of Henry VII. enormously increased the wealth of England, and introduced many luxuries unknown or almost unknown to the earlier generations. But the *distribution* of wealth became far more unequal. The problem of pauperism acquired a quite new significance, and the question what to do with the unemployed became almost insoluble.

C. CREIGHTON.
Public Health.

HENRY TUDOR had occupied the royal palace in the Tower of London only three weeks when a strange and fatal malady began, on 19th September, 1485, to prevail among the citizens. It became well known during the two generations following as the English sweat; but in the autumn of 1485 it was a new

disease, which the most experienced physician had never seen before nor the most learned ever read of. Only the numerous empirics in London, who "wrote and put letters upon gates, and church doors, and upon poles," pretended that it was known to them of old, and that they held the secret of its cure. It took men and women suddenly everywhere—when they were abroad in the streets, or conversing with their neighbours: an aguish shake warned them to hasten to bed; the chill was followed at once by great redness and turgescence of the skin, an intense feeling of heat and pricking which made clothing intolerable, and an agonising thirst which led the sufferers to drink immoderately, to their undoing. In a short time they were running with sweat at every pore, a sweat that drenched their linen and bed-clothes over and over again, differing from the sweat of rheumatic fever in being steady and as if inexhaustible, but resembling the latter in having a peculiar but far more striking odour. By the twelfth or fourteenth hour from the first sudden warning, the patient was either out of danger or sunk in fatal collapse; in the latter event his limbs were cold, his features pinched and blue, the stony coldness creeping nearer and nearer to the heart, just as Shakespeare has described with the most perfect medical correctness for Falstaff in the play. It was mostly men of Sir John's habits and position that were seized, men who lived well, such as the portly alderman, the easy citizen, the swashbuckler, the courtier, the priest, with a small proportion of women, but with hardly any of the poorest class, who were the usual victims of the old plague. The Lord Mayor died, and his successor, who was immediately chosen, died three days after him: four more of the aldermen died. In a few weeks the epidemic was over in London, and the coronation of Henry VII. was celebrated by a great procession from the Tower and a feast in Westminster Hall on the 30th October, as if nothing unusual had happened.

The Sweating Sickness.

Meanwhile the same disease had been spreading all over England; it is heard of in Croyland Abbey, where the abbot died after an illness of eighteen hours on 14th October. It is mentioned in a Bristol diary, and as prevailing among the Oxford students for a month or six weeks. If there had

been parish registers at that time, we should doubtless have found its traces in a rapid succession of burials for a week or two in many small towns and country villages, as we find during the last sweat of 1551, in parish registers of Devonshire, Leicestershire, Yorkshire, and Lancashire. A physician of the time says that fifteen thousand died of the sweat in 1485; but the number is ten times too large for London, and he had no means of knowing how many died all over England.

Its Origin and Progress.

By one of those popular verdicts, which are often a true intuition or a divination of the truth, the outbreak of the sweat in England was laid to the account of Henry Tudor's expedition, which landed from Rouen at Milford Haven on 6th August. The chronicle of Croyland goes so far, indeed, as to make Lord Stanley excuse the absence of himself and his troops from Bosworth Field on the ground that he was suffering from the sweat; but the reason is clearly an afterthought, and perhaps a jest, for, although Stanley refused to marshal his men under the banner of Richard III., and held aloof at the beginning of the fray, he came up in time for the victory, and with his own hands placed Richard's crown on Henry's head. The outbreak in London on the 19th September is clearly stated by a physician who saw it to have been the first signal of the disease in England: another chronicler says the 21st September in London; still others place the death of mayors and aldermen early in October, "then being the sweat of new begun"; and it is certain that the abbot of Croyland did not die of the sweat until 14th October, although Croyland was only some twenty miles from the spot in Leicestershire where the annalist of the abbey vaguely speaks of the disease as prevalent six or seven weeks before. The beginning of it was almost certainly in London three or four weeks after Henry Tudor's triumphal entry; it befell suddenly in a crowded and redolent city, a city filled with the soldiers from Bosworth, Welshmen and Frenchmen, attendants upon the Court, and place-seekers of every kind and degree. If the disease had been plague, one could have understood the sudden outburst; for one of the greatest London plagues, that of 1603, broke out amidst the bustle attending the accession of James I. and the new dynasty; while the next great London plague happened during the

months immediately following the accession of Charles I. But this disease was an absolute novelty to England, to all Europe, to the whole globe: and it was not a disease of the crowded tenements of the poorer classes. Henry VII.'s French mercenaries, who numbered some two thousand or more, and were doubtless all in London at the time, are not above suspicion of having brought the new disease in. But they had been in England since the 6th of August, the hardships of their voyage were past, they had fought and won, they were resting on their laurels, and there is nothing to show that they suffered from the sweat at any time from first to last, neither they nor any of their countrymen in any of the five great epidemics down to that of 1551. At the same time they were just the men to have bred a pestilence, as troops have sometimes done even when they did not suffer from it. There is an instance in English history during the Civil War, in 1644, when a severe epidemic, called in the parish register "the sweating sickness," broke out at Tiverton, after it had been occupied for a fortnight by the army of the Lord General Essex. The character of the French mercenaries is described in the speech put into the mouth of Richard III. on the morning of Bosworth Field—"vagabonds, rascals, and runaways, base lackey peasants, rags of France, famished beggars weary of their lives, whom our fathers have in their own land beaten, bobb'd, and thump'd," as Shakespeare amplifies and varies the language of Hall's Chronicle. Let us imagine two thousand or more of them enjoying the pleasures of London for a season, quartered on its citizens, carousing in its taverns, swaggering in its narrow streets and lanes. London had never been occupied by such foreigners since the Conquest. And the most significant fact of all is that more than two hundred years after, when the English sweat had been long forgotten, a disease very like it, called by the name of sweat, and differing only in being a less swift and less deadly infection, began to be noticed year after year, as if native to the soil, here and there in the towns or villages of that very region of France—the lower basin of the Seine—in which Henry Tudor had enlisted his army of free lances in the summer of 1485.

The first sweat of 1485 came and went in a few weeks of autumn, like an influenza—the disease being no more seen

until twenty-three years after, in 1508. In that year it broke out in July—the household of the Lord Treasurer being among its first victims—caused much mortality and panic in the king's households in and near London, as well as *per omnia loca,* according to the poet-laureate of the time, cut off several of the Court, called for public prayers at St. Paul's, and kept Henry VII. moving in strict isolation from one hunting-lodge to another, just as the next sweats of 1517 and 1528 permitted no abiding-place to Henry VIII. so long as they lasted. There is nothing to explain this second epidemic, except that an unusually mild winter, a dry spring, and a very warm May, had been followed by rains in June. Like the first sweat, that of 1508 had spread over England; it is known to have caused ninety-one deaths (only three of them women) at Chester in three days, and it was probably the "sore pestilence" of that year which caused the Oxford students to disperse.

Plague.

The two epidemics of the sweat in the reign of Henry VII. would both together, and all over England, have caused hardly more mortality (although the mortality was of greater personages) than one great epidemic of plague in London, in 1499-1500. It is vaguely estimated to have destroyed twenty thousand of the citizens; but if it had destroyed only half of that number, it would have taken the usual toll of a London plague of the first degree—namely, one-fifth or one-sixth of the inhabitants, and these chiefly the poorer classes, who could not seek safety in flight from the plague-laden air of the towns in the summer heat. That was not the only outbreak of the old plague in Henry VII.'s reign. It is heard of also in 1487, and again in 1504, when it drove the richer classes away from the city.

It is clear, also, that the infection was not confined to London; it was at Oxford and Exeter in 1503, and it was still recent enough at Gravesend in October, 1501, to prevent the young Princess Catherine of Aragon from landing there, so that she had to sleep on board the royal barge after "her great and long pain and travail upon the sea."

Sanitation.

Two sanitary measures mark the reign of Henry VII.: one an ordinance against the nuisance of the shambles in London and other walled towns, "and in the toune of Cambridge" (which was not walled)

and the other a determined attempt to put down the stews. The latter, in London, some eighteen houses on the Bankside across the water above London Bridge, each with a distinctive sign, such as the Cross Keys or the Cardinal's Hat, painted on its river front, were shut up in 1506 (they had been shut up once before in the reign of Henry V., and were closed once more at the instance of Latimer, in 1546). Some regulation had, indeed, been introduced a few years before 1506, not in London only, but also in such provincial towns as Bristol and Gloucester, the latter of which, at a date between 1500 and 1504, was "too abomynable spokyn of in alle England and Walys," by reason of "the vicyous lyvyng of dyvers personez, as well of spyrytuell as temperall," with the exceeding number of immoral and disorderly women dwelling in every ward of the said town, and more especially by reason of "the abomynable levyng of prestez and other relygious within the same toune," who were too often found walking by night suspiciously or "onlawefully demeanyng" with the ill-reputed persons aforesaid. The Gloucester measures in restraint of these practices (long before the Reformation, be it observed) were avowedly modelled upon those already in force in the worshipful city of London and in the town of Bristol.

SOCIAL LIFE.

THE fifteenth century witnessed the birth of an invention which was destined to revolutionise the world, and extend civilisation, as much as the introduction of steam has done. Printing came when it was needed, and when the world was ready to receive it. Earlier in this work, some account has been given both of the history of the art and of its effect in promoting the spread of a common literary language (pp. 527 *seq.*, 542). Here it may be noticed that the material which it was to render more generally accessible was already awaiting it in abundance. A large literature had sprung up, not only of chronicles, scientific and philosophical treatises, religious works, and others of an equally solid character, but *the novel*, as we term it, *i.e.* a work of fiction, was well developed. Take the English Romances, which could hold their own against those of any other country, both in variety and excellence, the following

list not being an exhaustive one :—The Arthurian Cycle claims first attention, and we find the stories of the Holy Graal, Merlin, Lancelot du Lac, Tristram, Guiron le Courtois, Meliadus, Prophecies of Merlin, Meriadoc, Gawain, Perceforest, Artus de Bretagne, Cleriadus et Meliadice, Birth of Merlin, Sir Gawaine and the Green Knight, Iwein, Ywain and Gawayn, Peredur ab Efrawc and Parceval's Saga, Morte Arthur, King Arthur's Death, Sir Lancelot du Lac and King Arthur and the King of Cornwall, and Boy and Mantle. There were divers stories of the fabled Brut, who came to Britain after the destruction of Troy, and founded a new Troy, *Troynovant*, on whose site London now stands; the Roman de Brut by Wace, Layamon's Brut, Chanson de Brut, and Brutus, besides the Historia Regum Britanniæ, and the Gesta Regum Britanniæ. We have Albina and her sisters, Thomas of Erceldoune, Kinge Humber, Lays of Marie of France, Emare, Sir Gowghter, Hanes Taliesin, Havelok, King Horn, Pontus et Sidoine, Guy of Warwick, Bevis of Hampton, Fulk Fitz-Warin, the Tale of Gamelyn, Robin et Gandeleyn, Robin Hood, Adam Bell, and a host of ballads—all exclusively English and of English subjects.

But those from other sources were equally numerous. We find the Cycle of Troy, with at least sixteen different romances —Lydgate's Romance of Thebes, and the Romance of Jason. There was the Cycle of Alexander and all the Carlovingian Romances; Melusine, the Chevalier au Signe, Floire et Blancheflor, Ipomedon, Sir Isumbras, Sir Eglamour, Amadis de Gaula, the Roman de la Rose—and a host of French, German, and miscellaneous romances, all in MS. and only available for the rich.

About the commencement of the fifteenth century, engraving on wood, and printing therefrom in black ink on white paper, was introduced; the earliest known specimen being in the Paris Library; and, according to M. Delaborde, it dates from 1406, thus putting into the shade the famous St. Christopher, of 1423, which had hitherto held the premier place in wood engraving. Naturally, engravings of figures required a text, saying whom they were intended to represent, and the obvious outcome was the block book—or Incunabula—where the text and illustrations were carved out of a solid block; and it shows the bias of men's minds at that time, inasmuch

as, almost without exception, those that have come down to us are of a religious tendency. Heinecken says the earliest block book was the *Biblia Pauperum*, but this Mr. Sotheby denies, preferring the *Apocalypsis Sancti Johannis*.

Roads and Travel.

The means of communication between different parts of the country were very bad, and consisted principally of main roads. They were not only very badly kept in repair, but very unsafe to travel, as we may see by a letter from Margaret Paston to her husband, in which she tells him that her Aunt Moundford begs him to pay Mastre Ponyngs in London, on her account, twenty marks, for the wardship of her daughter; "the weeke xx[ti.] marke she hath delyvered to me in golde for you to have at your comyng home, for she dare not aventure her money to be brought up to London for feere of robbyng; for it is seide heere [Norfolk] that there goothe many thefys be twyx this and London." Solitary travellers were rare; if on foot, they were not worth robbing; and if on horseback, a solitary man would probably wait for some one going his way, and join him on his journey. On horseback was the only, or nearly the only, means of locomotion other than by walking. True, carts were in use for agricultural purposes and to carry goods, but the carriage, as we know it, was not in existence; and ladies, if they were in good health, always rode on horseback; if ill, in a horse litter, a conveyance which is even mentioned by Evelyn on 7th July, 1640.

Still, wheeled vehicles for the use of ladies were certainly known in the fifteenth century as the "chare," here mentioned in the metrical romance of "The Squyer of Low Degre," where the King of Hungary attempts to comfort his afflicted daughter thus:

> "To morowe ye shall on hunting fare,
> And ryde, my doughter, in a chare:
> It shal be covered with velvet reede,
> And clothes of fyne golde al about your hed,
> With damske white and asure blewe,
> Wel dyapred with lyllyes newe."

And there was "the whirlicote," which is mentioned by Stow, in connection with Wat Tyler's insurrection in 1381 when speaking of Richard II.:

"Who taking counsell of a few, by seven of the clocke, the King rode to the Mile's End wt. his mother in a whirlicote (or chariot, as we now term it)."

And again:

"Coaches were not known in this island, but chariots or whirlicotes, then so called; and they only used of princes, or men of great estates, such as had their footmen about them. The next year after Richard had married Anne of Bohemia, she introduced the fashion of riding on horseback; and so was the riding of these whirlicotes and chariots forsaken, except at coronations, and such-like spectacles."

The Entertainment of Travellers.

Though inns existed, still for many travellers, especially of the poorer class, the monasteries served in their stead: for the refreshment of the wayfarer there was the ale-house by the wayside, denoted by its "ale stake," a long pole projecting from above the door, with either a bundle of furze, or a garland, at its end—hence the saying "Good wine needs no bush." These ale-stakes were very long, and although comparatively harmless in the country, were inconvenient in towns, especially London; so much so, that in 1375, an ordinance was passed, and "all the taverners of the City being summoned, orders were given unto them, on pain of paying 40 pence to the Chamber of the Guildhall every time the said Ordinance should be contravened, that, in future, no one should have an ale-stake bearing his sign or leaves, projecting or extending over the king's highway, more than seven feet in length at the utmost." That they were common by the wayside is undoubted, as Chaucer's Pardoner would not tell his tale,

"'But first,' quod he, 'heere, at this ale stake,
I wol bothe drynke and eten of a cake.'"

Skelton tells us of an ale-wife near Leatherhead, one Elynour Rummynge, a woman of most unprepossessing appearance, but

"She breweth noppy ale,
And maketh thereof port sale[1]
To trauellars, to tynkers,
To sweters, to swynkers,
And all good ale drinkers."

[1] Pot-sale.

She had a large *clientèle*, and those who did not pay in cash, did in kind:

"Instede of coyne and monny
Some brynge her a conny,[1]
And some a pot with honny:
Some a salt, and some a spone,
Some theyr hose, some theyr shone;
Some ran a good trot
With a skellet or a pot;
Some fyll theyr pot full
Of good Leinster woll.
An huswyfe of trust
Whan she is athrust,[2]
Suche a webbe can spyn
Her thryft is full thyn.
Some go streyght thyder,
Be it slaty or slyder;
They hold the hye waye,
They care not what men say,
Be that as he maye.
Some, lothe to be espyde,
Start in at the backe syde,
Ouer the hedge and pale,
And all for the good ale."

[1] A rabbit. [2] Athirst.

Domestic Architecture.

It is lucky that in England we have many remains of domestic architecture of the fifteenth century left us in good or perfect preservation, for the illustrations of the time are so deficient in perspective, and so badly drawn, that they are most untrustworthy: nor are we much helped by the English MSS. of the period as to domestic detail, whilst it is most abundant in Continental, especially French, MSS. The differentiation of the domestic *house* from the castle (p. 55) was practically completed in this reign. We have houses of timber and half-timber because wood was so abundant and handy; but generally the building materials were those that came readiest to hand. Where it was stone, as in Somersetshire, Wiltshire, and Gloucestershire, there we have fine examples left us; and in the Eastern counties, where clay was easier obtained than stone, we find them of brick, the use of which had been reintroduced early in the fifteenth century (p. 387). In Norfolk and Suffolk, especially, may be seen fine brick mansions, *temp.* Henry VII. and VIII., with very ornamental brickwork: whilst in the chalk districts both houses and churches (in building which, architecture had reached its most florid point) are faced with cut and trimmed flints. The timber and half-timber houses generally had the upper storeys overhanging the lower part, and sometimes the frame timbers were panelled with carved wood; whilst at other times, and more generally, they were filled in with plaster.

In large mansions, as in castles, there was a hall with

minstrels' gallery and daïs. These halls, although inconveniently large, were well warmed and lighted, and chambers were sometimes built over them, to the destruction, of course, of any attempt at a high-timbered ceiling, as it was necessarily flat, and, for ornament sake, panelled. But it incorporated the hall with the dwelling-house, instead of being apart from it, as of aforetime. The hall was still the general room, surrounded with stags' antlers, on which to hang the men's hats and caps, the hunting horns, *couteaux de chasse*, dogs' couples, etc. On the sideboard were a book or two, and the materials for writing; whilst the walls were hung with arms and armour, as well as fishing nets and hunting implements. It was generally strewed with fresh rushes, which gave forth a refreshing smell when crushed by the feet, and which served for couch for the numerous hounds which were in attendance on their masters.

The buildings generally formed a quadrangle (as in the colleges of Oxford and Cambridge), partly of dwelling-rooms and partly of offices, brew-house, etc., and domestic chapel; and the whole of the habitable portion was built upon a vaulted substructure, more or less underground and used for several purposes, especially as stables and store rooms. In the later fifteenth century, the hall ceased to be the lord's dining-room, which was separate; and there also was another reception- or drawing-room. It also fell into desuetude as a sleeping place, except when the mansion was full of company, and even the domestics had their *dortoir*, or sleeping apartment, such as we see in the Cotton MS. Tib. A. vii., where we find a woman making beds, and using a bed staff.

> "And sche that bare the staff anon,
> Ffro bed to bed sche is agon,
> Thorough out the dortour by and by
> And make the beddes fful clenly,
> And with clothis cleene and white
> Sche spradde hem out by delyte."

Of the bed-room we see more illustrations than of any other apartment: we find man at his birth, and at his death—being handed to the nurse,[1] and receiving the viaticum. The practice that had formerly obtained, of going to bed naked, had,

[1] The child seems to have been baptised (by total immersion) soon after birth, as the birth and baptism sometimes are halves of the same picture.

towards the end of this century, fallen into disuse in good society; and the beds were much more ornate, having canopies and curtains. Besides the bed there was very little furniture in the bedroom, a chair by the bedside, and at the foot of the bed was a hutch or coffer, in which were deposited all the valuables of the family, as we see in one of the Paston Letters, written by J. Payn, a servant of Sir John Fastolf, to John Paston, giving him an account of Jack Cade's insurrection.

"Item: the capteyn sent certeyn of his meyny to my chamber in your rents, and there brake up my chest, and toke awey j. obligacion of myn that was due unto me of xxxvj. *li.* by a prest of Poules, and j. nother obligacion of j. knyght of x. *li.*, and my purse with v. rings of golde and xvij.*s.* vj.*d.* of golde and sylver; and j. hernese[1] complete, of the touche of Milleyn; and j. gowne of fyn perse blewe[2] furryd with martens; and ij. gounes, one furryd with bogey,[3] and j. nother lyned with fryse.[4]"

[1] Harness, suit of armour, of Milan steel. [2] Sky blue. [3] Badger [4] Frieze.

Sometimes the esquire lay in the same room with his lord, but on a truckle or trundle bed—on wheels, and, when not in use, rolled or trundled under the standing bed.

In the Cotton MS., quoted above, the "Pilgrim" comes to the Lady Agyographe, who deals in "mercerye," and we thence learn some of a lady's requisites in the middle of the fifteenth century.

"Quod sche, 'Geve[1] I schal the telle,
Mercerye I have to selle;
In boystes,[2] soote[3] oynementis,
Therewith to don allegementis,[4]
To ffolkes whiche be not glade,
But discorded and mallade,
And hurte with perturbacyons
Off many trybulacions.
I have knyves, phyllelys,[5] callys,[6]
At ffeestes to hang upon wallys;
Kombes mo than nyne or ten,
Bothe ffor horse and eke ffor men;
Merours also, large and brode,
And ffor the syght wonder gode;
Off hem I have fful greet plenté
Ffor ffolke that haven volunté
Byholde hemsilffe therynne.'"

[1] If. [2] Boxes. [3] Sweet. [4] Allayments — to give relief. [5] Fillets. [6] Cauls or nets.

In this century, glass mirrors, first made in Belgium or Germany, came into use.

Decoration and Furniture.

The walls of the principal apartments were usually wainscoted two-thirds of their height, with panelling carved in very low relief—a special feature in the latter part of the fifteenth century being an imitation of folds of linen—and above the wainscoting was ornamental plaster work, called "pargetting," which reached to the cornice, which generally was of wood, though sometimes of plaster, very elaborately carved or ornamented. Carpets had been introduced as early as the time of Henry V., but they were luxuries only for kings and very great men; matting being in use for the best apartments of ordinary folk, and rushes for the other rooms requiring any carpeting. On stone or brick floors sand was strewn, a practice which has descended to our time.

One of the luxuries of the latter part of the fifteenth century was the couch, which was imported from abroad, and it was an improvement upon the somewhat primitive bench and settle, which compelled the adoption of a severely perpendicular position. And there were ornamental and elaborately-carved coffers or "standards," which were made to be of real use in holding the linen, etc., of the house. The chimney-pieces were invariably fixtures, low and highly ornamented, serving as models to this day, as are the highly-carved barge-boards to the gables, and the clustered and ornamental chimneys. Glass windows were in general use, but were very costly, and were accounted so precious, that we find, according to the Household Book of the Duke of Northumberland, that when his grace left his town residence, the glass windows were taken out and carefully laid by until his return. The kitchens were, needless to say, well looked after; and, when detached from the dwellings, were frequently of very large size—a splendid example being at Stanton Harcourt, Oxon; where also is a fine domestic chapel—which was almost invariably a portion of the building, and is to be found all over England.

A man of mark in those days lived somewhat ostentatiously, and proclaimed to everyone his rank, by his dress, his house, and his following—nay, he was ostentatious in his death. Thanks to which, ostentation having taken the form of memorial brasses and altar tombs, we know much more of the

costume of the times than we otherwise should have known. He was buried with great pomp in a square coffin, or one sometimes rather narrower at the feet than the head. And the body was treated with great reverence, if we can believe the romance of the "Squyer of low degre," where the King of Hungary's daughter, after bemoaning the death of her lover:

"In her armes she toke hym there,
Into the chamber she dyd hym bere;
His bowels soon she dyd outdrawe,
And buryed them in Godde's lawe;
She seered [1] that body with spicery,
With vyrgin wax and commendry,
And closed him in a maser [2] tre,
And set on hym lockes thre;
She put him in a marble stone
With quaynt gynnes [3] many one."

[1] Embalmed—wrapped in a cered or waxed cloth. [2] A hard-wood tree; wooden bowls were called maser bowls. [3] Fastenings.

AUTHORITIES.—1485-1509.

(*a*) GENERAL HISTORY

Contemporary.—Polydore Vergil, *Historia Anglica* (a translation published by the Camden Society), written between 1509 and 1534, and largely used by Hall, *Lancaster and York*, published 1542; *Works* of Bernard André, Henry VII.'s poet laureate (Rolls Series); the Venetian *Relation* of Francesco Capello and the London *Chronicle* (Camden Society); the records in the Rolls Series and the State papers, especially the volumes of extracts from the Archives at Simancas. Bacon's *Life of Henry VII.* has some of the peculiar value of a contemporary account.

Modern.—Besides the ordinary histories (*e.g.*, Bright, Green, Lingard), we have Gairdner's *Henry VII.* (Twelve Statesmen Series) and *Memorials of Henry VII.* (Rolls Series); Seebohm's *Oxford Reformers;* and, most suggestive of all, Stubbs' *Two Lectures on Henry VII.*

Religion.—Polydore Vergil and the Venetian *Relation* (see above); *The Norwich and Southwell Visitations* (Camden Society); Erasmus, *Colloquies;* Lupton, *Life of Colet;* Hook, *Lives of the Archbishops of Canterbury;* Stubbs, *Lectures on Medieval and Modern History;* Gasquet, *English Monasteries.* See also the authorities for the history of the Universities cited below.

Law, as in Chap. VI.—*Warfare* and *Naval Matters* as in Chap. VII.—*Architecture and Art* as in Chaps. V. and VII., with the addition of Woltmann and Woermann, *History of Painting;* Woltmann, *De Joh. Holbein;* Walpole, *Anecdotes of Painters;* Nichol, *Contemporaries and Successors of Holbein;* in *Archæologia*, Vol. xxxix.

Discovery.—Hakluyt's *Collection*, Harrise's *Discovery of North America.*

The Universities.—More, *Epist. ad Dorpium;* Stapleton, *Tres Thomæ;* other lives of More, Colet, Erasmus; Maxwell Lyte, *University of Oxford;* Seebohm, *Oxford Reformers.*

History of Printing.—Hessels, *Gutenberg: Was he the Inventor of Printing?*

(London, 1882); A. Bernard, *De l'Origine de l'Imprimerie;* Blades, *Life of Caxton;* Th. de Vinne, *The Invention of Printing;* Encycl. Brit., art. *Typography;* Ottley, *Inquiry Concerning the Invention of Printing;* E. Gordon Duff, *Early Printed Books.*

Scottish Literature in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries. B. ten Brink, *Geschichte der Englischen Litteratur*, Bd. II., Th. II., 1893: Alois Brandl, *Mittelenglische Litteratur*, in Paul's *Grundriss der germanischen Philologie*, Bd. II., Abth. I., Lief. 6 (1892): H. Morley, *English Writers*, Vols. VI. and VII.: Dr. J. A. H. Murray, *The Dialect of the Southern Counties of Scotland.* Phil. Soc. Transactions, 1870-72: Schipper, *Englische Metrik: Morte Arthur*, ed. E. Brock, E.E.T.S., 1875: Barbour, *The Bruce*, ed. Skeat, E.E.T.S., 1870, etc.: *Barbours . . . Legenden-Sammlung nebst den Fragmenten seines Trojaner-Krieges*, ed. Horstmann, Heilbronn, 1881-2 (criticised by P. Buss in *Anglia*, IX., 493 ff.): H. Herschell, *Darstellung der Flexionslehre in John Barbour's Bruce*, Leipzig (a dissertation): E. Köppel, "Die Fragmente von Barbour's Trojanerkrieg," in *Engl. Studien*, X., 373 ff.: E. Regel, *An Inquiry into the Phonetic Peculiarities of Barbour's Bruce*, Gera, 1877: *Thomas of Erceldoune*, herausg. A. Brandl, Berlin, 1880: *The Romance and Prophecies of Thomas of Erceldoune*, ed. J. A. H. Murray, E.E.T.S., 1875: *Sir Tristrem*, herausg. Eugen Kölbing, Heilbronn, 1882: *Sir Tristrem*, ed. G. E. McNeill: King James I., *The Kingis Quair*, ed. Skeat: Henry the Minstrel, *Schir William Wallace*, ed. Moir, 3 pts. (the last three pub. by the Scottish Text Society, 1884-86): Robert Henryson, *Poems and Fables*, ed. Laing, Edin., 1865: Andrew of Wyntown, *Orygynale Cronykil of Scotland*, ed. D. Laing, 3 vols. (Historians of Scotland Series, 1871, etc.): Dunbar, *Poems*, ed. Small, introd. by Æ. Mackay (Scott. Text Soc.): Dunbar, *Poems*, ed. Schipper, in *Denkschriften d. k. Akademie d. Wissenchaften*, Vienna, 1892: Schipper, *William Dunbar, seine Leben u. seine Geschichte*, Berlin, 1884: J. Kaufmann, *Traité de la langue du Poète Écossais William Dunbar*, Inaug. Diss., Bonn, 1893: O. Hahn, *Zur Verbal- und Nominalflexion*, I., *bei R. Burns*, II. u. III., *bei den Schottischen Dichter* (*Wiss. Beilage zum Programm d. Victoriaschule*), Berlin, 1887-9: Gawin Douglas, *Poetical Works*, ed. Small, Edin., 1802: also articles in *Dict. of Nat. Biography*, by F. Bayne, on Gawin Douglas, William Dunbar, and Henryson; by Æ. Mackay, on John Barbour and Henry the Minstrel; and by H. R. Tedder on Thomas of Erceldoune. The "Abbotsford Series of Scottish Poets," ed. G. Eyre-Todd, is a pleasant popular edition of extracts and shorter poems from the more important authors.

Industry and Commerce (besides the works referred to in previous chapters).—Riley, *Memorials of London Life in the Thirteenth, Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries;* Herbert, *History of the Livery Companies of London;* Mrs. Green's *Town Life in the Fifteenth Century;* Armstrong, *Treatise concerning the Staple and Commodities of the Realm*; Bacon, *Life of Henry VII.;* Brentano's *History and Developments of Gilds.*

Agriculture, Town Life, and Sanitary Science, as in Chap. VII.

History of the English Language.—Bernhard ten Brink, *Chaucer's Sprache und Verskunst* (Leipzig, 1884); A. J. Ellis, *Early English Pronunciation*, Early English Text Society, Extra Series; E. A. Freeman, *Norman Conquest*, Vol. V., ch. 25; T. L. Kington-Oliphant, *Old and Middle English* and *The New English;* F. Kluge, *Geschichte der Englischen Sprache*, in Paul's *Grundriss der Germanischen Philologie*, Bd. I., p. 780 ff. (Strassburg, 1890, etc.); Richard Morris, *The English Language* (Encyclopædia Britannica, ninth edition); L. Morsbach, *Ueber den Ursprung der neu-englischen Schriftsprache*, Heilbronn, 1888; W. W. Skeat, *Principles of English Etymology*, 2 series; Henry Sweet, *History of English Sounds*, and *A New English Grammar* (Clarendon Press, 1888 and 1892); Hermann Roemstedt, *Die engl. Schriftsprache bei Caxton* (Göttingen, 1891).

Social Life (besides most of the works quoted above, especially under "Learning" and "Industry").—*The Paston Letters: The Squyer of Low Degree*, 1550: Skelton's *Poetical Works: The Household Books of John, Duke of Norfolk, and Thomas, Earl of Surrey*, 1481-1490 (Roxburgh Club, 1844): and any of the English illustrated MSS. of the period in the British Museum.

INDEX.

Absolutism, the theory of, 455
Accidents, procedure in cases of, 120
Act *de Haeretico Comburendo*, 291
Adelard, his pilgrimage to Syria, 357
Admiral, duties of an, 185
Admiral of England, first appointment of, 337
Admiralty, establishment of the, 103; "Black Book" of the, 184; regulations of, 185-190
Adulteration, punishment for, 437
Advocates, 33
Æthelfrith, King, and the battle of Chester, 13
Agilberct, Bishop, and the conversion of Ireland, 349
Agincourt, battle of, 295; compared with Poictiers, 322; mistakes of contending armies and the fatal results of the use of heavy armour, 322-324; losses of English and French, 324; and the national song in the *Cambridge Roll*, 369
Agriculture: in the 13th and 14th centuries, 92-100; and the effects of the Black Death, 138-146; improvements in the management of land, 212, 243; leasing and sub-division of estates, 243; stock and land leases, 245; and the Peasants' Revolt, 247-252; in the 15th century, 381-384, 544-550; collections of Thorold Rogers in "Agriculture and Prices," 394; effect of the decline of monasteries, 547. (*See also* Labourers, Land, Wages, etc.)
Alard, Admiral Gervase, 43
Albans, St., abbey of, 467; printing press of, 536; battle of, 307
Alchemy, rise of, 80; and the writings of Roger Bacon, 81; and the tradition concerning Raymund Lully, 81; declared a felony, 82; in the 14th century, 373; and Gower and Chaucer, 373; its revival in the 15th century, 374
Aldermen, duties of, 263
Ale-conners, 437
Ale-stakes, 568
Ale-wives, 263, 568, 569
Alexander of Hales, 70
Alexander of Tralles, medical writings of, 82
Alfred, King, his supply of Syrian drugs, 82; and the voyages of Ohthere and Wulfstan, 353, 354
Aliens, regulations for limiting their operations, 112, 402, 403
All Souls' College, Oxford, 231
"Almanachs," 76
Alphonsine tables, the, 76
America, discovery of, 412, 495, 552, 553
Amiens, league of, 297
André, Bernard, and the reign of Henry VII., 441
Andrew of Wyntown, 515, 516
Apparel, statutes of, 388
Apprenticeship, 397, 557
Aquinas, St. Thomas, doctrines of, 70
Arabian works on medicine, 84
Archery in the 14th century, 173; under Edward V., 322; at Poictiers and Agincourt, 322-325; in the Wars of the Roses, 331
Architecture, under the three Edwards, 47-53, 55-57; from 1348 to 1399, 194-202; decay of Gothic, 360; later Perpendicular and the towers of Canterbury and of Somerset churches, 361; Lancastrian and Yorkist, 361-365; and the work of William Austin, 365; domestic of the 15th century, 569, 570
Arculf, his pilgrimage to the Holy Land, and the story of his travels told to the Abbot of the Monastery of Columba, 349
Ardern, John, surgeon of the 14th century, 86
Aretino, Lionardo, 238
Armour, improvements between 1300 and 1350, 40, 123-125; at Agincourt and Poictiers, 323-325; of the 15th century, 329-431
Army, of Edward I., 4, 38-40; of Edward III., 12, 41, 42, 172; method of recruiting, 327, 330; system of Henry VII., 491-492. (*See also* Soldiers, Militia system, etc.)
Arquebus, the, 332
Arras, congress of, 300
Arthur, Prince, and Catherine of Aragon, marriage of, 448
Artillery, use of, by the French, 329; in the Wars of the Roses, 331; of the navy at the end of the 15th century, 343
Artisans (*see* Labourers).
Arundel, Archbishop, and the condemnation of the Lollards, 290, 291, 292
Arundel, Sir John, 193, 389, 426
Ashurst, Thomas, 496
"Assize of Beer," 263
"Assize of Bread," 263
Astrology (1270-1340), 76-78; linked with medicine, 77, 78, 85, 86
Astronomy, from 1270 to 1340, 74-76
Attorneys, under Edward I., 34
Aubin, St., battle of, 445
Austin, William, and the tomb of Earl Richard Beauchamp, 365
Authorities, 129-132, 274-276, 438-440
"Averroist," the (John Baconthorpe), 73
Avignon, removal of the Papacy to, 157
"Ayenbite of Inwyt," 88, 89

Bacon, Lord, on Henry VII., 441, 442
Bacon, Robert, 73
Bacon, Roger, pupil of Grosseteste, 70; his scientific studies, 72, 73; and the impetus given to the study of alchemy by his writings, 81; his study of medicine, 85; geographical theories, 356, 360
Baconthorpe, John, 73
Bailiffs of estates in the 13th and 14th centuries, 92, 93, 243
Balance of classes under the New Monarchy, 457-461
"Balance of Trade," the, 102. *See* Mercantile System.

Baliol, Edward, 11
Baliol, John, appointed King of Scotland by Edward I., and afterwards deposed, 6
Ball, John, doctrines of, 153, 225, 247
Ball-flower ornamentation, 49
Balsham, Hugh de, and Peterhouse College, 67
Banditti, control of, by the Statute of Winchester, 104
Bannockburn, battle of, 8
Barber-Surgeons, the, incorporated into a guild, 86
Barbour, John, Scottish poet, 510-512
Barons, the, and the judicial reforms of Edward I., 3; their conflict with Edward I., 5, 23; their ordinances of 1311, 8, 9; their struggles under Edward II., 9, 10; decline of their power, 152, 458; severity of Henry VII. towards them, 459
Barristers, under Edward I., 34, 35
Beaufort, Cardinal, and the Duke of Gloucester, 302; character and death, 304
Bede, and Arculf's story of his travels, 349
Bedford, Duchess of, charged with witchcraft, 371
Bedford, Duke of, his efforts to maintain the English position in France, 297, 298; his death, 300
Bedford Missal, the, 500
Bedrooms, 570, 571
"Beer, Assize of," 263
Beer, prices and adulteration of, 437
Bellatoria, 46, 47
Benedictines, the, and the universities, 68, 69
Benevolences, abolition of, 320
Bertrand du Guesclin, 178
Berwick-upon-Tweed, bridge at, 261
Besants, 108
Bible, Wycliffe's, 169, 170, 223, 224; of Scotland (1576-9), 544
"Biblia Pauperum," 527
Bigod the Marshal, 5
Bingham, William, founder of "God's House" (Christ's College), 234
"Black Book of the Admiralty," 184
Black Death, the (1349-1350), 13, 30, 97; its ravages, 133; symptoms and character, 134; origin and route, 135; progress in England, 135; the mortality, 136, 138-140; its effect on labour, 141, 142, 143; its effect on architecture, 194; havoc made among the clergy, 231; and the decay of the Universities, 235; and the commencement of sanitary precautions, 239; effect on commerce, 254
Black Prince, his expeditions and death, 150, 151; at Poictiers, 177; letter from Edward III., 182, 183
Blackfriars Convent, 171
Blackfriars, council of, 171, 288
"Blin Harye," 523
Bodiam Castle, architecture of, 201
Bohun, 5
Bolinbroke, Roger, magician, 371
Bolingbroke's claim to the Crown in Henry IV.'s reign, 278, 279
Bologna University, Englishmen at, 33
Bosworth Field, battle of, 319, 331
Bourchier, Archbishop, 307, 465
Bower, Walter, 515
Boys, education of, 424, 425
Bradwardine, Thomas, 71, 73
"Bread, assize of," 263
Bread, varieties of, 436; punishment for giving light weight in, 437
Bretigny, peace of, 150
Brewing beer, 263
Bricks, use of, in building, 387, 569
Bristol, made a county, 261
Bristol Cathedral: its central tower, 361
Bruce, David, 11
Bruce, Robert, and Edward I., 7; his successes, 8, 9
Bruges, staple for English wool, 253, 254
Buckingham, Duke of, and Richard III.'s "invitation" to take the crown 318
Building, activity in the 15th century in, 386, 387
Bull Clericis Laicos, the, 5, 22, 23
Bungay, Friar Thomas, 73
Burdet, Thomas, executed for witchcraft, 371
Burgoyne, John, on medicine and astrology, 86
Burgundy, Duke of, renounces the English cause, 300
Burials, 573
Bury, Richard de, 69; and Italian manuscripts, 72
Byzantine medical teaching, 82, 83

Cabots, the, and their discoveries, 452, 462, 495, 496-498, 553
Cade's rebellion, 305, 306
Calais, taking of, 12, 255; annual cost of, 280; march of Henry V. to, 295; and the staple trade, 402
Cambridge Roll, the, 369
Cannon, introduction of, 179, 181; of the Navy, 190; its use in the French war, 325; in the Wars of the Roses, 332; of the 15th century, 131
Cannynges, William, and his entertainment to Edward IV. at Bristol, 412
Canterbury, riot of 1348, 25
Canterbury Cathedral: windows of St. Anselm's Chapel, 49; Transitional and early perpendicular styles, 199; the "Bell-Harry Tower," 361
Canterbury Hall, and Wycliffe, 160, 161
"Canterbury Tales," 204, 215-218
Cantilupe, Thomas, 69
Carlisle, Earl of, his degradation and punishment (1322), 126, 127
Carlisle, statute of, 23; petition, against provisors of, 27
Carpets, 572
Carta Mercatoria, Customs revenue created by the, 104
Carucage, 119
Caste distinctions of tenure, 4
"Castell of Perseverance," 202
Castillon, battle of, and Talbot's hopeless attack, 328
Castles under the three Edwards, 56, 57, 179; in the reigns of the houses of Lancaster and York, 363; under Henry VII., 569
Catherine of Aragon, marriage to Prince Arthur, 418
Caxton, works of, 380; early life, 531; settlement at Westminster and issue of the first book printed in England, 532; some of his early works, 533; imitation of Lettou, 533; later books, and death, 534; and his use of the London dialect, 542
Chad of Lichfield, and the conversion of Ireland, 349
Chancery, Court of, under Edward I., 36, 485-487; writs of, 37
Chantry priests, 470
Chapels, Domestic, 572
Charles VI., and the marriage of his daughter to Henry V., 296

Charles VII., and Joan of Arc, 299
Charles VIII.: attack on Brittany, and his marriage to the Duchess Anne, 415, 416
Charms, the use of, 78
Chaucer: early life, 207, 208; surrounding influences, 208; his "Boke of the Duchesse," 208, 209; "Compleynte to Pite," 209; sent abroad, 209, 210; appointed Comptroller of Customs, 210; influenced by the religious revival, 211; "Palamon and Arcite," 211, 212; "Compleynte of Mars," 212; "Parlament of Fowles," 212, 213; "Troilus and Cryseyde," 213, 214, 516; "Hous of Fame," 214; "Legende of Gode Women," 214; "Canterbury Tales," 215-218; later life and works, 219, 220; works wrongly attributed to him, 220; his language, 220-222, 541; his contemporaries, 222-231; relations with Gower, 231; sketch of a merchant, 269, 270; his description of seamen, 340, 341; and alchemy, 373; his followers, 376-379; his "Frankelyn's Tale" quoted, 420; on the superfluity of clothing, 426; and a knight's armour, 429
Chester, battle of, 13, 14; Whitsun plays of, 91
Chichele, Henry, and St. Bernard's and All Souls' Colleges, 234
Chief Justiciar under Richard I., 35
Child-stealing, punishment for, 270
Chimneys, introduction of, into farmhouses, 387
Christ's College, Cambridge, 234
Chronicle of Robert of Gloucester, 88
Church of England, and Wales, 16, 17; under Edward I., 20-25; waning power under Edward III., 25; decline of its jurisdiction, 26; its social decline after the death of Edward II., 30; its claim to a distinct existence, 159; under Henry VII., 461-475
Churches, building of, in the 15th century, 386; under Henry VII., 471
Cinque Ports: feuds with Yarmouth, 42; new charters granted by Edward I., 43; importance, 261; their decline, 497
Circumspecte Agatis, the writ, 20, 21
Clare, Countess of, and Clare Hall, 231
Clarence, Duke of, 316
Classics, study of the, in the 13th and 14th centuries, 72
Clavus, the, 47
Clement VI., and bishops in England, 24
Clergy: their representation in Parliament under Edward I., 4; conflict with Edward I., 5; and the Houses of Convocation, 7; mortality from the Black Death, 231; growth of unpopularity, 158; in the 15th century, 169, 170
Clericis Laicos, the Bull, 5, 22, 23
Clipping coins, 58, 115
Clockmakers, their settlement in England, 109
Cloth manufacture, 255, 552; and the Intercursus Magnus, 451, 452; of the Midlands, 111
Coal trade, its growth, 267, 553
Coastguard, maintenance of a, 101
Cobham, Bishop, 69
Coins, under the three Edwards, 58-60, 107-109, 115; from Edward III. to Henry VII., 503, 504, 559
Coke, Sir Edward, 181
Colet, Dean, at Oxford, 442, 505, 506; on the clergy, 169

Colleges and schools in 1400, 30-32
Columbus, and the discovery of the West Indies, 452, and the discovery of North America, 495, 552, 553
Commerce (*see* Trade, Industry, etc.)
Commercial treaties, 401, 405, 553, 554
Commissioners of array, 41, 118, 190
"Common Bench," court of, 35, 481
Compass found off the Isle of Walney, 343
Confirmation of the Charters under Edward I., 20, 106
Congress of Arras, 300
Constance, council of, 295
Constitution, the, under Lancastrian rule, 309
Consuls, appointment of, under Richard III., 320
Conveyances, travelling, 567
Convocation, Houses of, 7, 22
Corn, punishment for illegal exportation of, 189; Act against foreign importation, 549
Coster, Laurence, and the invention of printing, 527, 528
Costumes, of the 14th century, 121, 122; of women in the 15th century, 417, 418; of men, 425-430; Acts relating to, 425; partly learnt from memorial brasses, 572, 573
Cottars, holdings of, 94
Couches, 572
Council of Blackfriars, 171, 288; of Constance, 295
Countors, 34
Court of Common Council, its jurisdiction over trade, 110
Court of Star Chamber, 460, 483, 484
Courtenay, Bishop, and the charges against Wycliffe, 166, 171, 237, 290
Crafts and apprenticeship, 397; and gilds, 110, 111, 265, 407
Cranmer at Cambridge, 504
Crauden, outrage near, and the dominion of the seas, 45
Crécy, battle of, 171-176
Crevant, battle of, 297
Crime in the 14th century, 120, 121, 270, 271
Crimea, the, and the Black Death, 135
Cromwell, Thomas, 462
Crown, the, its position and power at the end of the Middle Ages, 454, 455
Crusades, the, and the extension of the religious empire of Rome, 19
Crusade, Spanish, against Western Moslems, 358
"Cursor Mundi," the, 89
Customs: revenues of the three Edwards 103-106; of London and Southampton, mortgaged to the Cardinal of Winchester, 341

D'Accorso, Francesco, 69
Dartington Hall, architecture of, 201
Dartmouth: landing of French troops and fight with the townspeople, 335; its fortification, 346
Dastyn's fable of the king and his leprous brothers 81
David, Prince, executed as a traitor, 2, 18
Debts of trade and the Statute of Merchants, 104
Decorated style of architecture, 47-51; and the transition to Perpendicular, 194
Decorative painting in 14th century, 365 366
Decretists, 33
De Donis, statute of,
Demesnes of lords of manors, 93, 95

Denmark, commercial treaty with, 491
Deorham, battle of, 14
"De Politia Conservativa Maris" (*see* "Libel of English Policie")
Despensers, the, 9
"Devonshire foliage" in Perpendicular churches, 197
Dialects, 537-542
"Dictes or Sayings of the Philosophers," first book printed in England, 532
Diet of farmers in the 14th century, 119. *See* Food
Discovery and exploration in Anglo-Saxon times and the Middle Ages, 347-360; in the 15th century, 496-498, 553
Distribution of wealth in the 15th century, 556, 560
Doctors of Music, first, 369, 370
D'Ongressill, Bernard, and letters of marque, 43
Douglas, Gawin, and his poems, 525, 526, 544
Drama, Religious, 90-92, 271, 272
Dress (*see* Costumes)
Drugs of Syria, 82
Duel on London Bridge, 264
Dunbar, William, and his poems, 518-525
Durham College, 69
Dwelling-houses under the three Edwards, 57, 262, 263; under Henry VII., 569, 570, 572

Ealdred of Worcester, Bishop, pilgrimage of, 356
Early English architecture, 47-51
Earthquake of 1382, 171
Easter, date of, 74, 75
Ecclesiastical lawyers, 33
Ecclesiastical patronage in the 15th century, 465
Economic policy under the three Edwards, 112-114. *See* Trade
Edington Church and the Transitional style, 198, 199
Education, of girls, 422; of boys, 423, 424
Edward I.: compared with other sovereigns, 1, 2; subjugates Wales, 2, 18; and Gascony, 2; his judicial reforms, 3, 4, 32-38; his banishment of the Jews, 4; his military reforms, 4; the real creator of Parliament, 4; conflicts with the clergy and the barons, 4, 5, 21-25; his Scottish measures and invasion of Scotland, 6, 7; death and summary of his work, 7, 8; and the art of war, 38; claims sovereignty of the seas, 44; his commercial policy, 100, 101
Edward II.: reverses his father's policy, 8; his defeat at Bannockburn, 8; conflict with the barons, 9, 10; death, 10; and the Papacy, 21; and foreign imports, 102, 105; pilgrims at his tomb, 195
Edward III.: his Scottish policy, 11; characteristics of his reign, 12, 13; payments to his soldiers, 41; coins of, 58-60; and the tradition concerning Raymund Lully, 81; his commercial policy, 102, 253, 255-257; his Round Table at Windsor and his institution of the Order of the Garter, 128, 129; his disastrous domestic policy, 150; his death, 151; his war tactics, 174, 175; his Navy, 182-192; letter to the Black Prince, 182, 183; and the dominion of the seas, 182, 183; sequestration of the rents of alien priories at Oxford, 235; device on his gold noble, 345
Edward IV.: proclaimed king, 308; outline of his reign, 314-317; marriage, 315; captured by Warwick after the battle of Edgecott, 315; flight to Flanders, 316; regains the crown, 316; and the Duke of Clarence, 316; and France, 317; death and character, 317; as a general, 333; his improvements in the Navy, 344, 406
Eglesfield, Robert de, and Queen's College, Oxford, 67
Ellyot, Hugh, 496
Ely, Bishop of, his appeal to the Pope, 158
Ely Cathedral, octagon at, 51
English Gothic architecture, classification of, 48
Engraving, 566, 567
Epics, religious, in the second half of the 13th century, 86, 87
Epidemics, recurrence of, 241. *See* Black Death
Equity, 488, 489
Erasmus at Oxford, 442, 505; his "Colloquies," 496; on the shrine of St. Thomas, 474
Erigena, John Scotus, Travels of, 356
Estates and their management in the 13th and 14th centuries, 92-100
Eton, letter from a boy at, 424
"Every man," morality play, 202, 203
Evesham, battle of, 38, 88
Exchequer, Court of, under Edward I. 36, 181
Exploration: of Arculf and Willibald, 348, 349-352; of Ohthere and Wulfstan, 353-355; of the Cabots, 452, 462, 495-498, 553; of Columbus, 452, 495, 553

Fairford Church, Decorated windows of, 499
Fairs, and the sale of foreign commodities, 258, 555
Falkirk, battle of, 39
Family feuds, rise of, 278
Famine, of 1315-16, 114, 117; of 1316-17, 117; of 1438, 411
Fan tracery, 362
"Fare Ship Company," the, 192
Fastolf, Sir John, 327, 389
Fayrfax, Robert, Mus. Doc., founder of the fourth English school of music, 370
Feoffments, secret, protection against, 320
Fernandez, Francis, 496
Feudalism, affected by the statute of Quia Emptores, 3
Feuds, family, rise of, 278
Fiction of the 15th century, 566
Fishery disputes in 1416, 339, 340
Fitzherbert, books on agriculture and surveying by, 545, 546
FitzRalph, Richard, 69; opposed to the Franciscans, 71; and the doctrine of lordship, 163
Flanders, commercial relations of Edward I. with, 101; and the English wool trade, 253; and its wool trade with Spain, 315; trade with Scotland, 346; and the Intercursus Magnus, 451
"Flanders Galleys," the, 402
Flemming, Bishop, and Lincoln College, 234; and the Lollard movement, 292
Food, 119; and cookery of the 15th century, 432-438
Formigny, battle of, and the use of cannon, 181, 328
Fornsete, John of, founder of the first English school of music, 367, 368

Fortescue, Sir John, works of, 379, 380, 480; and the Royal Council, 465
Fortresses under the three Edwards, 55, 57
Four-leaved flower ornamentation, 49, 50
Fowey, fortification of, 316
Fox, Bishop Richard, founder of Corpus Christi College, 235, 507
"Fragment of the Life of Jesus" of the 13th century, 87
France, design of Philip le Bel to invade England, 2; alliance with Scotland, 6; support given to David Bruce, 11; war of 1355 with England, 150; and the alliance of the Papacy, 157; and the battle of Crécy, 174-176; and Poictiers, 177; and the use of guns in war, 180; pirates, 183; effect of French war on English commerce, 255; invasion by Henry V., 294-296; losses of Henry VI., and end of the Hundred Years' War, 301, 327, 328; mistakes at the battle of Agincourt, 323; losses at Agincourt, 324; assistance given to the Welsh and the Scots in their struggle with England, 335; troops off the Isle of Wight and at Dartmouth, 335; blockade of Portsmouth, 340; English relations under Henry VII., 445, 446; condition of the commons compared with that of the English, 413, 414; introduction of printing, 530; influence on English grammar, 513
Franciscan missionaries to China, 19; their practice of medicine, 85; and the doctrine of evangelical poverty, 162
Free labourers, rise of, 97, 98, 137
Free Trade treaty, first, 443
Freemen, duties of, 93
Freightage, expense of, 191
Frescoes of the 14th century, 273, 365, 366
Friars, the, attacked, 29; and the practice of medicine, 85; in the 15th century, 470
Furniture of country houses in the 15th century, 387, 570-572
Furs, use in the 14th century of, 123

Gardens, 420, 421
Garter, order of the, its founding, 13, 128
Gascony, and Edward I., 2
Gaveston, Piers, 8, 9
Gentlemen-at-Arms, 490
George, St., Cross of, and the Union Jack, 313, 341
German Electors, action in 1338 of, 159
Gilbert de Aquila, physician to Archbishop Walter, 81
Gild merchants, 110
Gilds, craft, their regulation and growth, 110, 111, 265, 407, 556
Giraldus Cambrensis, 17; at Oxford, 61
Girls, education of, 422
Glass, stained and painted, 54, 499; for dwelling-houses, 263, 572; and the decorated windows of Fairford Church, 499
Glendower, Owen: beginning of his rebellion and his complaint against Lord Grey, 282, 283; forms an alliance with English nobles, and assumes the title of Prince of Wales, 284; his defeat, and subsequent plans for the independence of Wales, 285; his failure, and death, 286
Gloucester Cathedral: tomb of Edward II., 195; Perpendicular architecture, 195; Transitional style, 198; central tower, 361
Gloucester, Duchess of, accused of witchcraft, 303, 371
Gloucester, (Humphrey) Duke of, and the Oxford library, 238; his conduct in France, 298; and Cardinal Beaufort, 302, 303; arrest and death, 304; and alchemy, 374
Gloucester, Thomas, Duke of, 154, 155
Godwineson, Sweyn, pilgrimage of, 356
Gold and Silver, acts regulating the exportation and importation of, 403
"Golden Targe," the, 522
Goldsmiths, and the trademark of Edward I.'s reign, 109
Gonzales, John, 496
Gossaert, Jan (*see* Mabuse)
Gothic Art, its decadence, 194, 360
Gower, John: his opinions, learning, and characteristics of his works, 228, 229; "Cinquante Balades," 228, 229; descent, 229; "Vox Clamantis," 229, 230; relations with Chaucer, 231; and alchemy, 373
Gravesend, said to have been partly burned by Spaniards, 193
Graveyards in London, 266
Greek Church, its relations to Rome in the 13th century, 19
Greek, taught at Oxford by William Grocyn, 505, 559
Grey, Lady Elizabeth, 315
Grey, Lord, of Ruthin, and Owen Glendower, 282-284
Grey, Sir Thomas, and the Mortimer plot, 294
Grey, William, Chancellor of Oxford, and his gift of MSS. to Balliol College, 238
Grocyn, William, 234; first to teach Greek at Oxford, 505, 559
Grosseteste, Robert, at Oxford, 70, 73
Gruffydd ab Cynan, 16
Gruffydd ab Llywelyn, 14, 15
Gruffydd ab Rhys, 16
Gunpowder, introduction of, 129, 179, 492; of the Navy, 190, 191; receipt for making, 191; sometimes called "krakes," 191
Guns, introduction of, 129, 179; of the Navy, 190; found off the Isle of Walney, 341, 342
Gutenberg, John, and the invention of printing, 527, 528
Gwynedd, Owen, 16

Habengton, Henry, one of the first Doctors of Music, 369
Haeretico Comburendo, De, the statute of, 291
Hair-dressing of the 15th century, 418, 429
Halam, Bishop, and the Papal schism, 237
Halidon Hill, archers at the battle of, 172
Hamboys, John, one of the first Doctors of Music, 369
"Hammer of the Scots," the, 8
Hanging for murder and robbery, 270
Hanse Towns and their trade, 316, 402, 552
Harfleur, 295, 322
Harold, his policy in Wales, 15
"Harrowing of Hell," the, 90
Hatfield, Thomas, 69
Hats, 429
Havering, Sir John, 22

Hayward (messor), the, 94
Health: in the reign of Edward II., 114, 115; epidemics (1361-1391), 241; of rural districts in the 15th century, 384; at the close of the Middle Ages, 413-416; under Henry VII., 560-565
Henri Grace à Dieu, the, 494, 495
Henry II., his war in Wales, 16
Henry IV.: his claim to the throne, 156; general character of his reign, 276, 279; rise of family feuds and Bolingbroke's claim, 278; Parliamentary government, 279, 280; financial difficulties, 280, 281; defeats Owen Glendower, 285, 286; sea-fights with the French, 335; imposes a tax for naval purposes and orders towns to supply ships, 336; attempt to adapt merchant ships for naval purposes, 336; and the office of Admiral of England, 337; his grant for the protection of merchant ships from pirates, 406; plot to murder him, 431
Henry V.: and the Lollards, 293; Mortimer plot and invasion of France, 294; his aims, character, and death, 297; measures to suppress piracy, 337, 338; improves and strengthens the Navy, 338, 406; and the sovereignty of the sea, 340; fortification of Portsmouth, 340
Henry VI.: proclaimed by the English king of France, 297; crowned at Paris as King of France, 299, 300; marriage with Margaret of Anjou, 300; his lunacy and recovery, 307; his capture, 307; flight to Scotland, 308; replaced on the throne, 316; death, 316; his neglect of the Navy, and his mortgage of the customs of London and Southampton, 341; and the beginnings of the Union Jack, 343, 344; and alchemy, 374
Henry VII.: Bacon's opinion of, 441; early life, natural gifts, and Bernard André's comparison, 441; records of his reign, 442; his character, 443; coronation, marriage, and opening of reign, 444; relations with France and the war levy in England, 445, 446; relations with Scotland and Spain, 447-449; matrimonial schemes of his later life, 449; excessive taxation and passion for hoarding, 450, 463; policy in Ireland, 451; and the Intercursus Magnus, 451; and the balance of classes, 457-461; efforts to advance the middle class, 462; state of the Church during his reign, 464-475; his army system, 491-493; zeal for the Navy and naval policy, 494-496; dole to Cabot, 498; tomb at Westminster, 500; patronage of art, 501; encouragement of trade, 551
Henry of Lancaster, attempts to create a rising against Mortimer, 10
Henry the Minstrel, 525
Henryson, Robert, Scottish poet, 516-518
Herbal of Glastonbury, the, 82
Hereford, Nicholas, helps Wycliffe in the translation of the Bible, 223
Heretics, legislation against them, 291, 294
Hidage, 149
Higden, Ranulph, and the "Polychronicon," 72
Holcot, Robert, 69
"Holy League," the, 448
Hop-growing, 546
Hospitals in 1400, 30, 32
Hotspur storms Conway Castle, 283
House of Commons: under Edward I., 4; sits as a separate House, 12; increase of its power, 152; measures against free labour, 250, 251; control of the purse under Henry IV., 282-284; cancels Henry IV.'s order for towns to supply ships, 336; petition for securing payment for the loan of merchant vessels to the Navy, 339; relations with the Crown under Henry VI., 454; its leading position in the 16th century, 454
House of Lords, under Edward I., 4, 181, 182; dominance of prelates in, 26
Houses of Convocation, 7, 22
Housewives, 419, 420
Huchown, Scottish author, 508-510
Hundred Years' War, its cause, 11; and the military supremacy of the archer, 172; and the use of guns, 180; its results and objects, 301, 302
Hurstmonceux Castle, its architecture, 363, 364
Hus, and the influence of Wycliffe, 172

Iceland, trade with, 494
Ilchester, riot, 25, 26; its commercial importance in the 14th and 15th centuries, 411
Illumination of psalters, 272, 273
Images of saints presented as votive offerings by sailors, 336, 337
Immorality, 565
"Indulgence of Nicholas V.," the earliest specimen of printing, 528
Industry and commerce, in the 13th and 14th centuries, 100-114, 193; from 1348 to 1399, 252-259; causes of depression, 253-255; in the 15th century, 393-407
Innocent III. interdicts monks from medical practice, 81
Inns of Chancery, 479, 480
Inns of Court, 479, 480
Inns, wayside, 568
Intercursus Magnus, 451, 462
Iona, 349
Ireland, its conversion to Christianity, 349; and Henry VII. and Perkin Warbeck, 451
Islip, Archbishop, and his appointment of Wycliffe as Warden of Canterbury Hall, 160
Italy, introduction of printing into, 529, 530

James I. of Scotland as a poet, 513 515
Jasper of Pembroke, 287
Jews, banishment by Edward I., 3, 116; at Oxford, 63; prohibited from giving medical advice to Christians, 84; massacred at Lynn, 85; statutes to restrain their usury, 115; compelled to wear a badge, 115; convicted of clipping the coinage, 115; crucifying a boy, 116
Joan of Arc, 299
Joan, Queen Dowager, imprisoned for consulting a diviner, 370
John, King, at Poictiers, 177
John of Dunstable, founder of the second English school of music, 368, 369
John of Fordoun, 515
John of Fornsete, and the first English school of music, 367, 368
John of Gaddesden, first English writer on medicine, 85
John of Gaunt, popular hatred of, 151; reverses measures of Parliament, 151; co-operation with Wycliffe in

attacking Churchmen, 152; claims the throne of Castile, 151
John of Halifax, treatise on astronomy by, 76
John of Salisbury, his satires on medical usages, 82
Journeymen's associations, 398
Judges ceasing to be ecclesiastics, 35, 36
Judicial system, growth of, 481
Jurors, qualification for, under Richard III., 320; punishment for "discovering," 189

Kildare, Earl of, and Lambert Simnel's rebellion, 451
"King's Bench," under Edward I., 36, 181
King's College, Cambridge, 231
King's treasury, robbery, in 1303, of the, 121
Knights: their apparel, 123, 124, 427; their ideal model, 125; installation, 126; degradation and punishment, 126, 127; and the chivalry of King Arthur's knights, 127; and the Round Table of Edward III., 128; their armour, 429, 430

Labourers, permitted to work on Holy Days, 32; after the scourge of the Black Death, 142-146; statute for fixing their wages, 143, 144; attempt to suppress their commutations, etc., 246, 247; condition in the first half of the 15th century, 381, 395; their former condition compared with that at the present time, 385, 386; influx into towns, 410; hardships of the peasant class in the 15th century, 549, 550
Labourers, statute of, 388, 398
Lancastrian rule, the, and the advance of the power of Parliament, 309, 310
Land, improvements in its management, 212, 243; leasing and sub-division, 243, 244, 245; its profits, 389; enclosures of the 15th century, 546
Landlords, their wealth, 389
Langham, Archbishop, deposes Wycliffe from the Wardenship of Canterbury Hall, 160, 161
Langland, William, 205; his relation to Wycliffe, 225; birthplace and early life, 225; his "Piers Plowman," 225-228; his "Richard the Redeless," 228; his imitators, 228
Language, growth of a common, 537-544
Latimer at Cambridge, 504
Latimer family, story of the, 392, 393
Lavenham Church, 386
Lawlessness: on the seas in the 14th century, 46; under Lancastrian rule, 312; on the seas in the 15th century, 405
Lawyers, ecclesiastical, 33
"Lay-Folks' Mass Book," 473
Lead, refining silver from, 81
League of Amiens, 297
Learning in the 13th and 14th centuries, 61-71, 231-239 (*see also* University of Cambridge and University of Oxford)
Leasing stock and land, 245, 389
Legal profession, growth under Edward I., 33-36; in the latter part of the 15th century, 480
Legal reforms of Edward I., 3, 4, 21, 32-38
Legislation in the 14th century, 476, 477
"Legists," 33
Leprous brothers and the king, fable of, told by Dastyn, 81
Leprosy, its disappearance, 113
"Les Espagnols sur Mer," battle of, 182
Letters of marque, 43
Lettou, John, and his printing press, 533, 536
Lewes, battle of, 38
"Libel of English Policie," quoted, 340; its illustrations of the naval and commercial supremacy of England in the 15th century, 311-317, 406, 407
Library: Duke Humphrey's, 238; Bodleian, 238; Cambridge University, 238
Lichfield, the bishopric of, 21; cathedral of, 32, *note*
Light weight, punishments for, 119
Limoges, massacre of, 150
Linacre, Thomas, at Oxford, 505
Lincoln, John de la Pole, Earl of, 445
Lincoln Cathedral, rose windows at, 50
Lincoln College, Oxford, 234
Literature, from 1274 to 1348, 86-92; from 1348 to 1500, 202-231; its influence on language, 540; of Scotland, 508-527; romances of the 15th century, 566
Littleton, Sir Thomas, on the tenure of land, 480, 481
Live-stock, on farms of 13th and 14th centuries, 96, 97; attacked by murrains, 117; prices in 13th century of, 118; leased to farmers, 245; at the manor of New College, 390
Liveries, abuse of, 320; acts against, 388; suppression of, 493
Livery and maintenance, system of, 330, 333, 493
Llewellyn, Prince of North Wales, fall of, 2
Llywelyn ab Gruffydd ("The Last Llywelyn"), 17
Llywelyn ab Iorwerth ("The Great"), 17
Llywelyn ab Seisyllt, 14
Lodge, Thomas, and his novel "Rosalind," 204
Lollard movement, 152, 153; its effect on the universities, 237; its condemnation in 1396, 290, 291; its secular side, 291, 292; at Oxford, 292; and Sir John Oldcastle, 293
Lombards, the, their commercial relations with England, 101, 268
London: sanitation, 239, 240; known to foreigners as the "White City," 239; and Wat Tyler's insurrection, 249, 250; municipal liberties, 260; houses, shops, and streets in the 14th century, 262; duties of aldermen, 263; topography, 264; graveyards, 266; banquet to four kings, 269; wealth of merchants, 269; crimes and punishments, 270; religious drama, 271; fraternity of alchemists, 374; ravages of the plague (1406-1477), 384; and the property qualification for apprenticeship, 397; as the home of the standard English language, 538
London Bridge, 264
Long bow, introduction of, 38, 39; its efficiency compared with the arquebus, 332
Long Melford Church, 386
Lord Ordainers, the, 8, 9
Lorrens' "Le somme des Vices et des Vertues," 88
Lovell, Lord, and the rising against Henry VII., 445
Lully, Raymund, the tradition concerning, 81, 371
Luxury, its increase in the 15th century, 412

Lydgate, John, his life and works, 377, 378; quoted, 418
Lynn, its commercial importance in the 15th century, 409

Mabuse, and his school, 501, 502
Macham, Robert, and the discovery of Madeira, 359
Madeira, discovery of, 359
Magdalen College, Oxford, 235; the tower, 361, 362, 471
Magic: in the Penitential of Theodore, 79; black and white, 79; denounced as heresy by the Church, 80; in the 11th and 15th centuries, 370
Magnus, Albertus, 70
Mail, its use in the battle of Agincourt, 323, 324
Malory, Sir Thomas, works of, 379
Maltolte, imposition of the, 106
Man about town of the 14th century, poem on the, 426, 427
Manning of Brunne, Robert, and his influence on the growth of a common language, 541
Manor houses, 387
Manors, their supervision in the 13th and 14th centuries, 93
Manufacture, growth of, 551, 552
Map, Walter, 224
"Mappa Mundi," the, 266, 267, 359, 360
Maps, old, 267; Anglo-Saxon, 355, 356; Hereford and others, 356, 359
March, Earl of, 281, 294
Margaret of Anjou, and her marriage with Henry VI., 300
Maritime commerce, its growth in the 14th century, 16
Maritime lawlessness in the 14th century, 46; in the 15th century, 405
Marriage, 422, 423
Marsh, Adam, pupil of Grosseteste, 70; and Peter, rector of Wimbledon, 85
Marsiglio of Padua, and the doctrine of evangelical poverty, 162
Martin V., election of, 296
Maundeville, Sir John, 266; his tales of foreign lands, 356, 360
Maupertuis (*see* Poictiers)
Meaux, siege of, 296
Medicine, linked with astrology, magic, and alchemy, 77, 78, 85, 86; in the Middle Ages, 82-86
Meopham, Archbishop, 24
Mercantile System, beginning of, 258, 554
Merchant Adventurers, their rise and growth, 401, 552
Merchant-ships: attempt of Henry IV. to use them for naval purposes, 336; regulations of Henry V., 337, 338; case of the *Christopher*, 339
Merchants, admitted amongst the landed gentry, 104; patriotism of, 193; from abroad, 258, 552; their wealth, 269; sketched by Chaucer, 269, 270; of the staple, 402; Acts regulating their transactions, 403, 404
"Merciless Parliament," the, 154, 155
Merton, Walter de, and Merton College, 66, 232
Merton College: Fellows, 29, 39; Decorated windows of chapel, 49; its origin, 66, 232; estates, 216
Meuling, and the bishopric of Lichfield, 24
Michel, Dan, author of "Ayenbite of Inwyt," 88
Middle class, its rise, 459, 462
Midland dialect, 539-543
Militia system under Henry VII., 493
Minot, Lawrence, songs of, 203
Mint, the, and the imprisonment of workmen, 108, *note*
Miracle plays, types of, 90; character of, 91, 271
Mirrors, 572
Missal-painting, 55
Moated grange, the, 57
"Model Parliament," the, of 1295, 4, 22
Moleyns, Bishop, his murder, 305
Monasteries, their dissolution foreshadowed, 25, 29; as the depositaries of medical works, 82, 83; their medical offices interdicted by Innocent III., and by the Council of Tours, 84; luxuriousness, 115; attacked by Wycliffe, 152, 153; and the suppression of alien priories at Oxford, 235; Morton's attempt at reformation, 442, 467; their decay, 466-469, 547; their entertainment of travellers, 568
Montfort, Simon de, and the art of war, 38
Montfort, William, Dean of St. Paul's, 22
Moralities of the 15th century, 202
More, Sir Thomas, and the *Utopia*, 465; instructed by Thomas Linacre, 505
Mortimer, Sir Edmund, 281
Mortimer, Roger, his rule of England, 10; execution, 11
Mortimer plot, the, 294
Mortmain, statute of, 3, 20, 21
Morton, Archbishop: his attempt to reform monasteries, 442, 467; and contributors to the benevolence, 450; his services to Church and State, 464; and the *Utopia*, 465; and the arts and handicrafts, 554
Municipal liberties, 260
Mural paintings, 273, 366
Murrains, 117, 118
Music: in the reign of Edward III., 273, 274; the first English school, founded by John of Fornsete, 367; the *Reading Rota* and the *Chaucer MS.*, 368; second English school, founded by John of Dunstable, 368, 369; third and fourth English schools, 369, 370
Musketry, first used in England in the Wars of the Roses, 332
Mysteries and miracle plays, 90, 202

Najera, battle of, 178
Narrators, 34
Naval Exhibition of 1891 and the gun of Henry VI., 342
Navigation Laws, 554
Navy, the: under the three Edwards, 42-47; quarrel between English and French crews, 42; from 1318 to 1399, 182-191; technical terms used at the beginning of the 15th century, 334; decorations of ships, 334, 335; plundering French ships, 335; measures taken by Henry IV. for the supply and maintenance of ships, 336; superstitious practices of seamen, 336, 337; first appointment of Admiral of England, 337; ships and men impressed by Henry V., 338; Henry V.'s hired Navy, 338; case of the *Christopher*, 339; neglected by Henry VI., 341; relics found at the mouth of Morecambe Bay, 341; illustrations of armament at the end of the 15th century, 343; and the beginnings of the Union Jack, 343, 344; improvements

under Edward IV. and Richard III., 341; illustrations from the "Libel of English Policie," 344-347; under Henry VII., 494-496
Neville, George, 465
Nevilles, the, their estrangement, 315
New College, Oxford: architectural style of chapel, 199; and William of Wykeham's scheme, 232, 233; farming its manor, 390
"New Learning," the, at Oxford, 412
New monarchy, the, 452-464
New nobility, the: its rise, 460, 461
Nicholas of Lynn, and his voyage of discovery, 184, 357
Nicopolis, battle of, 321
Night-walkers, 121
Norfolk, its commercial prosperity, 407-409, 556
Normandy, loss of, 301
North Pole, voyage of Nicholas of Lynn towards the, 184, 357
Northampton, battle of, and the use of cannon, 181
Norton, Thomas, and the revival of alchemy, 375
Norwich, Bishop of, his crusade in 1383 against the adherents of Clement VII., 172

Occleve, Thomas, works of, 376, 377
Offa of Mercia, 14
Ohthere and Wulfstan, voyages of, 353, 354
Oldcastle, Sir John, 291, 293
Order of the Garter, its institution, 13, 128
Ordinance of the Staple, 106, 256, 257
Orewin Bridge, battle of, 39
Orleans, siege of, 298
Oxen used in agriculture, 545
Oxford, Vere, Earl of, 154
Oxford printing press, the, 535, 536

Painting: under the three Edwards, 53, 54; of the 14th century, 272, 273; decorative of the 15th century, 365, 366; of glass in the 15th century, 499; under Henry VII., 500-503
Palestine, loss in 1291 of, 27
Parliament: changes made by Edward I. in its constitution, 4, 22; authority of Edward II. superseded by the Lord Ordainers, 8; the House of Commons a separate House, 12; of 1376, and its constitutional changes, 151; of 1377, 151; the "Merciless" of 1388, 155; under Henry IV., 279-281; advance of power under Lancastrian rule, 309, 310; war-grants and benevolence under Henry VII., 459; relations with the Crown under the New Monarchy, 453, 454; of Edward IV., 177, 178
Passports to merchants, 103
Paston family, its rise, 391, 392
Patronage, ecclesiastical, in the 15th century, 165
Paulus of Ægina, medical writings of, 82
"Pearl," the, and its author, 205, 206
Peasant revolt of 1381: 31, 138, 152; its causes, 153, 170; beginnings and outbreak, 217, 218; suppression, 250
Peasants, their hardships in the 15th century, 549, 550
Peckham, Archbishop, and Edward I., 5, 20; visits the Welsh dioceses, 18; his appointment of a bishop of Lichfield, 21; at Merton College (1281), 67; and the Franciscans, 71
Pecock, Reginald, Bishop of Chichester, 237; his works, 379
Penshurst, and Perpendicular architecture, 201
Pensions, 280
Percy, Henry, justice of North Wales, 283
Perjury, punishment for, 270
Perpendicular architecture: 191; in Gloucester Cathedral, 195, 361; its characteristics, 196-198; Transitional examples, 198-200; and Westminster Hall, 200; and the towers of Canterbury and of Somerset churches, 361; fan tracery, 362
Perrers, Alice, 151
Peter, physician to queen of Henry III., 85
Peter de Luna, negotiations of Owen Glendower with him, 285
Philip le Bel of France, 2
Philip of Valois at the battle of Crécy, 175
"Philobiblon," the, 72
Philpott, John, Mayor of London, his patriotism, 193
Piacenza Codex, the, 369
Picard, Henry, Mayor of London, and his banquet to four kings, 269
"Piers Plowman": and the diet of farmers, 119; and the model knight, 125; its significance regarding the political interests of the peasant class, 152; various versions, 226; structure, 226, 227; verse, 227
Pilgrimage of Arculf, 348, 349, 350; of Andrew Whiteman, 356; of Sweyn Godwineson, and of Bishop Ealdred of Worcester, 356; of Saewulf and Adelard, 357, 358
Pilgrimages at the end of the 15th century, 474
Pilgrims to the tomb of Edward II., 195
Pillory, the, 263, 270, 271
Pirates: from France, and English reprisals, 183; on the Yorkshire coast, 193; in the English Channel, 255, 268; Henry V.'s measures of suppression, 337, 358; of Bretagne, 345, 346; of the 15th century, 405, 406
Plague, the, 241; from 1406 to 1477, 384, 412, 415; between 1464 and 1479, 416
Plantagenets, end of the, 320
Plays, religious, 90-92, 271, 272
Pleaders, 34
Plymouth, its fortification, 346
Poetry, epic and didactic, of the 13th century, 86-88; lyric, of the 14th century, 203-206; of Scotland, 508-527
Poictiers, victory of, 150 177; compared with Agincourt, 322
Pole family, De la, dealings of Henry VII. with the, 449
Poll-tax, the signal for the Peasant Revolt, 153, 218
Polo, Marco, 266
"Polychronicon," the, 72
"Poor Preachers," Wycliffe's, 169
Population, of England in 1377, 242; of the artisan class in the 15th century, 391, 410
Portrait masks, 53
Portraiture in stone under the three Edwards, 52, 53
Portsmouth, its fortification under Henry V., 340
Portugal and its trade with England in the 15th century, 315
Post service under Richard III., 320
Poultry, price of, 137, 138
Poverty, evangelical, doctrine of, 162
Poynings, Sir Edward, and the Poynings Act, 457

Præmunire, statute of, 147, 158
Preaching at the end of the 15th century, 473
Preaching and science in mediæval times, 75, 76, 160
Pressing seamen under Henry IV., 338
"Pricke of Conscience," the, 89
Priests, Chantry, 470
Printers in Germany, first, 528
Printing, rise of, 527-537, 559, 560, 565
Procurators, 33
Protection of trade, 101, 555
Provincial towns, life of the 14th century in, 265
Provisions, prices in 13th and 14th centuries, 118, 119
Provisors, statute of, 147, 159
Punishment, for light weight, 119; for forgery, 120, 270; for highway robbery, 120, 270; of night-walkers, 121; of runaway labourers, 146; of treason, 147; for taking the Sacrament in an enemy's country, 186; for rape and sacrilege, 186; for stealing anchors or boats, 187, 188; for cutting a ship's cable, 188; for stealing goods of foreigners, 188; for refusing to serve at sea, 189; for illegal exportation of corn, 189; for "discovering" the king's council or jury, 189; for perjury, 270; for child-stealing, 270; for imposture, 271
Purvey, John, revises Wycliffe's Bible, 224
Purveyance and aids, 119
Pynson, Richard, printer, 537

Queen's College, Cambridge, 234
Quia Emptores, statute of, 3

Ralph of Maidstone, 69
Rape, punishment for, 186
Reading Rota, the, 367, 368
Recruiting, method of, 327, 330
"Recuyell of the Histories of Troye," the, 531, 532
Reeve or provost (*præpositus*), the, 94
Reginald de Stokes and his practice of medicine 85
Regulation of trade under the Edwards, 107
Religion in England, from 1272 to 1348, 18-32; from 1348 to 1399, 157-172 (*see also* Church of England)
Rents of farms, 390, 391
Repyngdon, Philip, 289
Reynolds, Archbishop, 32
Rich, Edmund, 69
Richard II.: and Wat Tyler's insurrection, 151, 250; his favouritism and his conflict with Parliament, 154; assumption of authority in the Council, 155; taste for music and books, 155; his despotic power, 156; seizes the Lancaster estates, and abdicates, 156; death at Pomfret Castle, 156; and the condition of the Navy, 192-194; his Act for freighting ships, 193; his portrait in Westminster Abbey, 273
Richard III.: personal qualities, 318, 320; executions of his reign, 318; foreign policy, and truce with Scotland, 319; death at Bosworth, 319; legislation of his reign, 320; his improvements in the Navy, 344
Richard of Wych, 69
Richard of York, Earl of Cambridge, and the Mortimer plot, 294
Richmond, Henry, Duke of, defeats Richard III., at Bosworth, 319
Ripley's "Compound of Alchemy," 374
Roads, 567
Robbery, of the king's treasury in 1303, 121; on the highway, 567
Robert of Brunne, his "Handlyng Synne," 89
Robert of Gloucester, chronicle of, 88
Robin of Redesdale, rising of, 315
Robin Hood Ballads, 204
Roderick the Great, 14
Roger, Master, magician, 371, 372
Rolle de Hampole, Richard, his "Pricke of Conscience," 89
Roman Church, in the era from Innocent III. to Boniface VIII., 19; and Edward I., 23; and English bishoprics, 24; and the statutes of Præmunire and Provisors, 147, 158, 159; and the removal to Avignon, 157; interference in temporal affairs, 159; the restoration to Rome and the double election of Popes, 168, 169; effect of the schism on the English universities, 236, 237
"Roman de la Rose," 209, 212, 230
Roosebeque, battle of, 321
Rotheram, Thomas, and Lincoln College, 234; benefactor to Cambridge University Library, 238
Round Table at Windsor, Edward III.'s, 128
Rous, John, his illustrations of naval armament at the end of the 15th century, 343
Roxburgh, death of James II. at the siege of, 179
Rudder, invention of the, 46, 47
Rygge, Robert, Chancellor of Oxford, 288; and Wycliffe's doctrines, 289

Sacrament, Admiralty regulations respecting seamen and the, 186
Sacrilege, punishment for, 186
Saewulf, his pilgrimage to Syria, 357, 358
St. Bernard's College, Cambridge, 234
St. Catherine's Hall, Cambridge, 235
St. Mary's, Cheltenham, windows at, 50
St. Paul's Cathedral, of 1315, 32, *note*
Saints, lives of, read in churches, 87
Saintwix, Thomas, one of the first Doctors of Music, 369
Sanctuary, taking, 119, 120; Wycliffe on the right of, 168
Sandwich, plundered by the French, 408
Sanitation: condition of London in the 14th century, 239; the first Sanitary Act, 239; duties of scavengers, 240; various ordinances, 240; measures under Henry VII., 564, 565
Scavengers, 239, 240
Schoolmen, Oxford, 70, 71
Schools, public, origin of system in, 232
Science in the 13th and 14th centuries, 72-86
Scotland: John Baliol appointed king by Edward I. and afterwards deposed, 6; alliance with France, 6; invaded by Edward I., 6, 7; submission to Edward III., and subsequent accession of David Bruce to the throne, 11; detestation of the English, 297; trade with Flanders, 346; relations of Henry VII., 447; and the printing of the Bible, 514; its language, 514; early inhabitants, 507; literature of the 14th and 15th centuries, 508-527
Scotus, John Duns, his influence in England, 71
Scriptures, the, English versions in 13th and 14th centuries, 32

Scrope, Lord. and the Mortimer plot, 295
Sculpture, of the 13th century, 364; under Henry VI., 365; under Henry VII., 499, 500
Scutage, 149
Seamen: wages, 185; superstitions, 335; described by Chaucer, 340, 341; in the Spanish Crusade, 358
Seaports, relative commercial importance of, 192, 261
Seas, sovereignty of the, claimed by Edward I., 44; in the reign of Edward II., 45; and Henry V., 340
"Secreta Secretorum," the, 77, 78
Sepulchral art during the three Edwards, 51-53
Serjeants-at-law, 35
Sermons in the second half of the 13th century, 88
Shambles, 239, 564
Sheep-keeping in 13th and 14th centuries, 97, 243, 244; its growth in the 15th century, 548
Shepherd's play, the, 91
Shoes in the 15th century, 427
Shrewsbury, Earl of ("Le Roi Talabot"), his death, 301
Shyreswood, William, 69
Sigismund, Emperor, and negotiations for peace between England and France, 295; his advice to Henry V. to guard Dover and Calais, 345
Silver-mines, 268
Silver-refining from lead, 81
Simnel, Lambert, crowned at Dublin as "Edward VI.," 414
"Sire Gawain," and its author, 205, 206
Sluys, battle of, 182, 408
Society under Henry VII., 457 *seqq.*
Soldiers: payments to them under Edward III., 10, 41; pressing system, 41; their apparel in the 13th and 14th centuries, 123-125; methods of recruiting, 327, 330; enlistment under contract, 491; and the militia system, 493
Somersetshire churches, their Perpendicular towers, 361
Songs of the 14th century, 203, 268
Sovereignty of the seas, claimed by Edward I., 44; in the reign of Edward II., 45; and Edward III., 182; under Henry V., 340
Spain, and its wool trade, 345; crusade against Western Moslems, 358; relations of Henry VII., 418, 419; introduction of printing, 530
Spencer, Lady, capture of, 281
Spirits, invocation of, 372
"Squyr of Lowe Degre," quoted, 420, 421, 567, 573
Stanley, Sir William, execution of, 447
Staple, ordinance of the, 106, 256, 257, 402
Staple towns, ten, 106, 107, 256
Star Chamber, court of, 169, 483, 484
Statute, of Mortmain, 3, 20, 21; of De Donis, 3; of Quia Emptores, 3; of Carlisle, 23; of Westminster, 101; of Winchester, 104; of London, 104; of Merchants, 104; of the Staple, 105, 118, 402; of Labourers, 113-115, 388, 398, 403; of Treason, 117, 413; of Præmunire, 117; of Provisors, 117; for freighting ships, 193; against alchemy, 373; of Apparel, 388; relating to foreign merchants, 404
Statute and common law at the end of the Middle Ages, 478, 479
Stews, attempt to put down 565
Stock and Land Leases, 245, 389
Stokes, Peter, and the teaching of Wycliffe, 288, 289
Stone, portraiture in, 52, 53
Stratford, Archbishop John, 69
Stratford, Robert, 69
Straw, Jack, his rebellion, 291
Streets, control of, 104
Stubbs, Bishop, on the judicial policy of Edward I., 3
Sudbury, Archbishop, 171
Suffolk, Earl of, and his plots, 304; impeachment and execution, 305
Suffolk, Michael de la Pole, Earl of, 151
"Sumer is Icumen In," 367, 368
Superstitions, connected with the administration of medicines, 82, 83; of seamen, 336, 337
Surgery of the Middle Ages, 82, 83
Swart, Martin, and the rebellion against Henry VII., 415
Sweating sickness, 412, 561-564
Syria, pilgrimages to, 349-353, 357, 358

Tallage, 150
Taxation: controlled by the people, 12, 13; of the clergy, 21; of wool, 148; in the 14th century, 148-150; on merchandise (1359), 184; poll-tax, 153, 248; for repairing roads, 261; excessive measures of Henry VII., 450
Teilo, Welsh monk, and his travels, 356
Templars, suppression of, 25, 27, 29; stories of their secret rites, 27, 28
Tenure, caste distinctions of, 4
Tewkesbury, battle of, 316 332; timbered houses of, 412
Thames, the, and London sanitation, 239; its "great store" of fish, 240
Theological treatises of the 14th century, 88
Thomas von Britanje, 513
Thomas of Erceldoune (or Thomas the Rhymer), 512, 513
Thomas of Lancaster, 8, 9
Thomas de Roldeston, and the introduction of gunpowder, 180
Three-field method of tillage, 95, 96
"Thrissil and the Rois," the, 519, 521
Tillage, methods of, in the 13th and 14th centuries, 95, 96
Tin of Cornwall, 258, 556
Tiptoft, John, Earl of Worcester, his gift of MSS. to Oxford, 238
Tithes in the 14th century, 26
Tombs, architecture of: in the 13th and 14th centuries, 364, 365; under Henry VI., 365
Torel, William, 52
Tournaments, 431, 432
Town and gown at Oxford, 62, 63
Town life, in the 14th century, 259-265; at the close of the Middle Ages, 407-413
Towneley plays, the, 91
Towton, battle of, 308
Trade and industry: of the 13th and 14th centuries, 100-111, 193; from 1318 to 1399, 252-259; causes of depression, 253-255; in the 15th century, 393 407, 550-560; encouragement given by Henry VII., 462, 550, 551
Trade protection, 101
Trades, their regulation under the three Edwards, 109
Transitional style, examples of, 198-200
Transubstantiation, denied by Wycliffe, 152, 170
Travel: in the 14th century, 266; early English, 348; in England in the 15th century, 567 (*see also* Exploration)

Travelling conveyances, 567
Treason, statute of, 117
Treaties, commercial, 101, 105, 553, 554
Troyes, peace of, 296
Truck system, 400
"Tudor flower," the, in Perpendicular churches, 197
Tudor rule, absolutism of, 455, 456; social and economic policy under, 462
Tunnage and poundage, 150
Tyler, Wat, 151, 249, 250

Union Jack, its beginnings under Henry VI., 343, 341
University of Cambridge: colleges of the 14th century, 31; grants degrees in civil and canon law, 33; earliest records, 61; migration of clerks to Northampton, 63; licence of Pope John XXII., 61; the collegiate system, 67; Christ's, King's and Queen's colleges, 231; St. Catherine's Hall, 235; causes of decay, 235, 236; in relation to the Papal schism, 237; the library, 238; founding of Jesus and Christ's colleges, 504
University of Oxford: the first college, 29; new colleges of the 14th century, 31; grants degrees in civil and canon law, 33; origin, and first lectures, 61; growth in 13th century, 61, 62; independence of authorities and struggle with the city, 62, 63; internal disorders, 63; mediæval students, 61; course of study, 65; institution of the collegiate system, 66, 67; eminent graduates, 69; architectural style of New College Chapel, 199; William of Wykeham's system at New College, 232, 233; Lincoln, St. Bernard's, All Souls', and Magdalen colleges, 234, 235; Corpus Christi College, 235; suppression of alien priories, 235; causes of decay, 235, 236; in relation to the Papal schism, Lollardism and the Wars of the Roses, 236, 237; Duke Humphrey's and Bodleian libraries, 238; the Wycliffite school, 292; in the reign of Henry VII., 504-507
Usury, mediæval aversion to, 113; in the 15th century, 558

Vagabondage, measures against, 329
Venice, and the introduction of printing, 529, 530
Verneuil, battle of, 297
Villans: duties, 93, 94; holdings, 94; their gradual disappearance, 97; commutation of their services, 98; their wages and earnings, 99, 100; significance of their revolt, 152; their revolt and its effect, 248-252
Voyages of Ohthere and Wulfstan, 353, 354, 355

Wages: of soldiers, 40, 41; of villans, 99, 100; of labourers after the scourge of the Black Death, 112-146, 548; of seamen, 185; of masons, 195; of farm labourers in the 15th century, 383, 384; in 1495, 388; of skilled artisans from 1401 to 1485, 394, 398, 399
Wales: its subjugation by Edward I., 2, 18; results of the battles of Chester and Deorham, 14; its struggles with Northumbria, Mercia, and Wessex, 14; at the Norman Conquest, 15; and Henry II., 16; and the English Church, 16, 17; under Llywelyn the Great, 17; battle of Orewin Bridge, 39; Owen Glendower's rebellion, 282-287; literature at the time of Glendower, 286
Wallace, William, execution of, 6
Walney, isle of, naval relics found at the, 341-343
Walpole, Horace, on the picture of the marriage of Henry VII., 502
Walworth, mayor of London, and Wat Tyler, 151
War, art of, under Edward I. and Edward III., 38-42; from 1348 to 1399, 172-181; from 1399 to 1485, 321-334
Warbeck, Perkin, his pretensions and his execution, 446, 447
Ware, Abbot, 52
Warham, his attempt to reform monasteries, 412; election as Chancellor of Oxford, 507
Warrenne, Earl, and his challenge to the justices, 3; in Scotland, 6
Wars of the Roses: their effect on the universities, 237; the first battle, 307; battles of Ludlow and Northampton, 307; battle of Towton, 308; Edward IV. proclaimed king, 308; their general character, 311, 312, 313; battles of Barnet and Tewkesbury, 316; methods of fighting, and the arms used, 329-331; indifference of the people, 382
Warwick, Earl of, 307, 308, 315; alliance with Queen Margaret, 316; his fall, 316; as a general, 333; his liveried servants, 388
Water-colour process in the 14th and 15th centuries, 366, 367
Waynflete, Bishop, founder of Magdalen College, 235
Weavers, Flemish, their settlement in England, 105, 552
Westminster Abbey: Rose windows, 50; the "Tudor flower," 197; frescoes in the Chapter-house, 365; tomb of Henry VII., 500
Westminster Hall, walls and roof of, 200
Wheat, famine prices in 1289, 117
Whiteman, Andrew, pilgrimage of, 356
Wiclif, *see* Wycliffe
William, author of "William of Palern," 204
William the Conqueror, and his conquests in Wales, 15
William of Edington, and Edington Church, 198; and Winchester Cathedral, 199
William de Machlinia, printer, 536, 537
William of Ockham, doctrines of, 71, 72; and the doctrine of Evangelical poverty, 162
William of Shoreham, his theological treatises in verse, 88
William of Wykeham, and the courtiers of Edward III., 151; his architectural work in Winchester Cathedral, 199; opposition to Wycliffe, 231; founder of English public-school system, 232; his school at Winchester, 232; and New College, Oxford, 232, 233; his system and rules, 233
Willibald: his journey to Palestine, 350, 351; visit to Sicily and Vulcano, 351, 352; his account read before Gregory III., 352; importance of his record, 352
Winchelsey, Archbishop, suspension of, 7; struggle with Edward I., 20, 22, 23

Winchester Cathedral, and the work of William of Edington and William of Wykeham, 199
Winchester School, 32, 232
Windows, decorated, 48, 49, 50; in dwelling-houses, 263, 572
Wine, varieties of, 436
Witchcraft: and the Duchess of Gloucester, 303; and the case of Margaret Jourdain, 371
Wolsey at Oxford, 506
Women: as farm labourers, 99, 102; their costumes in the 14th century, 121, 122, 416; their names in the 14th century, 122; thrown overboard to lighten vessels, 193; fighting the French at Dartmouth, 335; wages under the domestic system, 400; costume of the 15th century, 417; hair-dressing, 418; as housewives, 419; amusements, 420, 421; education of daughters, 422; their travelling conveyances, 567, 568; toilet and other requisites, 571
Woodville, Edward, and Brittany, 445
Woodvilles, the, 315
Wool trade: in the 14th century, 106, 148, 252, 253; of Spain and Portugal, 345; its development in the 15th century, 399, 400; and the domestic system, 399; and the merchant adventurers, 401; of Norfolk, 408, 409; of Somerset, 411
Worsted, church of, 409
Writs of Court of Chancery, 37
Wycliffe, at Oxford, 69, 160, 161; his "Dominion of God," 152, 162, 222; his co-operation with John of Gaunt in attacking churchmen, 152; denies the doctrine of transubstantiation, 152, 170, 171, 222, 289: his communism, 163, 164, 171; his doctrine of Church property, 161, 165; his wardenship of Canterbury Hall, 160, 161; appointed to Lutterworth, 165; his mission to Bruges, 165; his public life, 165; summoned to appear before the Bishops, 166; the Pope's bulls against him, 166; at Lambeth Palace, 167, 168; on the right of Sanctuary, 168; his "Poor Preachers" and his English Bible, 169, 170, 223; later work and death, 172; influence on Chaucer, 222; his "Summa Theologia" and "Trialogus," 223; the "Wyket" and other tracts, 224; and Langland, 225; comprehensiveness of his learning and his influence, 288; his part in the growth of a common language, 541
Wynkyn de Worde, successor of Caxton at Westminster, 535

Yarmouth: feuds with Cinque Ports, 42; its commercial decline, 409
Yeomen, rise of, 99; condition in the 15th century, 391-393, 413; their dress, 428
Yeomen of the Guard, 490
York: Decorated windows of Chapter-house, 49; plays of, 91; deaths from the plague, 241
York, Duke of, and the influence of the Duke of Gloucester, 305; his return from Ireland, 306; made Protector, 307; claims the crown, 307; wins the battle of St. Albans, 307; declared heir to the throne, 308; beheaded, 308

PRINTED BY CASSELL & COMPANY, LIMITED, LA BELLE SAUVAGE, LONDON, E.C.
10.1094

A SELECTED LIST

OF

CASSELL & COMPANY'S

PUBLICATIONS.

7 G—4.97

Illustrated, Fine Art, and other Volumes.

Abbeys and Churches of England and Wales, The: Descriptive, Historical, Pictorial. Series II. 21s.

Adventure, The World of. Fully Illustrated. Complete in Three Vols. 9s. each.

Adventures in Criticism. By A. T. QUILLER-COUCH. 6s.

Africa and its Explorers, The Story of. By Dr. ROBERT BROWN, F.R.G.S., &c. With about 800 Original Illustrations. Complete in 4 Vols. 7s. 6d. each.

American Life. By PAUL DE ROUSIERS. 12s. 6d.

Animal Painting in Water Colours. With Coloured Plates. 5s.

Animals, Popular History of. By HENRY SCHERREN, F.Z.S. With 13 Coloured Plates and other Illustrations. 7s. 6d.

Architectural Drawing. By R. PHENÉ SPIERS. Illustrated. 10s. 6d.

Art, The Magazine of. First Half-Yearly Volume. With Exquisite Photogravures, about 400 Illustrations, and a Series of Full-page Plates. 10s. 6d.

Artistic Anatomy. By Prof. M. DUVAL. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d.

Astronomy, The Dawn of. A Study of the Temple Worship and Mythology of the Ancient Egyptians. By Professor J. NORMAN LOCKYER, C.B., F.R.S., &c. Illustrated. 21s.

Ballads and Songs. By WILLIAM MAKEPEACE THACKERAY. With Original Illustrations by H. M. BROCK. 6s.

Barber, Charles Burton, The Works of. With Forty-one Plates and Portraits, and Introduction by HARRY FURNISS. 21s. net.

Battles of the Nineteenth Century. An entirely New and Original Work, with Several Hundred Illustrations. Complete in Two Vols., 9s. each.

"Belle Sauvage" Library, The. Cloth, 2s. (*A complete list of the volumes post free on application.*)

Beetles, Butterflies, Moths, and other Insects. By A. W. KAPPEL, F.L.S., F.E.S., and W. EGMONT KIRBY. With 12 Coloured Plates. 3s. 6d.

Biographical Dictionary, Cassell's New. Containing Memoirs of the Most Eminent Men and Women of all Ages and Countries. *Cheap Edition.* 3s. 6d.

Birds' Nests, British: How, Where, and When to Find and Identify Them. By R. KEARTON, F.Z.S. With nearly 130 Illustrations of Nests, Eggs, Young, etc., from Photographs by C. KEARTON. 21s.

Birds' Nests, Eggs, and Egg-Collecting. By R. KEARTON, F.Z.S. Illustrated with 16 Coloured Plates of Eggs. *Fifth and Enlarged Edition.* 5s.

Black Watch, The. The Record of an Historic Regiment. By ARCHIBALD FORBES, LL.D. 6s.

Britain's Roll of Glory; or, the Victoria Cross, its Heroes, and their Valour. By D. H. PARRY. Illustrated. 7s. 6d.

British Ballads. With 300 Original Illustrations. *Cheap Edition.* Two Volumes in One. Cloth, 7s. 6d.

British Battles on Land and Sea. By JAMES GRANT. With about 800 Illustrations. Four Vols., 4to, £1 16s.; *Library Edition*, Four Vols., £2.

Building World. In Half-Yearly Volumes, 4s. each.

Butterflies and Moths, European. By W. F. KIRBY. With 61 Coloured Plates. 35s.

Canaries and Cage-Birds, The Illustrated Book of. By W. A. BLAKSTON, W. SWAYSLAND, and A. F. WIENER. With 56 Facsimile Coloured Plates. 35s.

Cassell's Family Magazine. First Half-Yearly Volume. Illustrated. 5s.

Cathedrals, Abbeys, and Churches of England and Wales. Descriptive, Historical, Pictorial. *Popular Edition.* Two Vols. 25s.

Cats and Kittens. By HENRIETTE RONNER. With Portrait and 13 magnificent Full-page Photogravure Plates and numerous Illustrations. 4to, £2 10s.

China Painting. By FLORENCE LEWIS. With Sixteen Coloured Plates, &c. 5s.

Choice Dishes at Small Cost. By A. G. PAYNE. *Cheap Edition*, 1s.

Chums. The Illustrated Paper for Boys. Yearly Volume, 8s.

Cities of the World. Four Vols. Illustrated. 7s. 6d. each.

Civil Service, Guide to Employment in the. *Entirely New Edition.* Paper, 1s.; cloth, 1s. 6d.

Clinical Manuals for Practitioners and Students of Medicine. (*A List of Volumes forwarded post free on application to the Publishers.*)

Cobden Club, Works published for the. (*A Complete List on application.*)

Colour. By Prof. A. H. CHURCH. *New and Enlarged Edition*, 3s. 6d.

Combe, George, The Select Works of. Issued by Authority of the Combe Trustees. *Popular Edition*, 1s. each, net.

The Constitution of Man. Moral Philosophy. Science and Religion. Discussions on Education. American Notes.

Conning Tower, In a; or, How I Took H.M.S. "Majestic" into Action. By H. O. ARNOLD-FORSTER, M.P. *Cheap Edition.* Illustrated. 6d.

Conquests of the Cross. Edited by EDWIN HODDER. With numerous Original Illustrations. Complete in Three Vols. 9s. each.

Cook, The Thorough Good. By GEORGE AUGUSTUS SALA. With 900 Recipes. 21s.

Cookery, Cassell's Dictionary of. With about 9,000 Recipes. 5s.

Cookery, A Year's. By PHYLLIS BROWNE. *New and Enlarged Edition*, 3s. 6d.

Cookery Book, Cassell's New Universal. By LIZZIE HERITAGE. With 12 Coloured Plates and other Illustrations. 1,344 pages, strongly bound in leather gilt, 6s.

Cookery, Cassell's Popular. With Four Coloured Plates. Cloth gilt, 2s.

Cookery, Cassell's Shilling. *125th Thousand.* 1s.

Cookery, Vegetarian. By A. G. PAYNE. 1s. 6d.

Cooking by Gas, The Art of. By MARIE J. SUGG. Illustrated. Cloth, 2s.

Cottage Gardening. Edited by W. ROBINSON, F.L.S. Illustrated. Half-yearly Vols., 2s. 6d. each.

Countries of the World, The. By Dr. ROBERT BROWN, M.A., F.L.S. With about 750 Illustrations. *Cheap Edition.* Vols. I., II. and III., 6s. each.

Cyclopædia, Cassell's Concise. With about 600 Illustrations. 5s.

Cyclopædia, Cassell's Miniature. Containing 30,000 Subjects. Cloth, 2s. 6d., half-roxburgh, 4s.

Dictionaries. (For description, see alphabetical letter.) Religion, Biographical, Encyclopædic, Concise Cyclopædia, Miniature Cyclopædia, Mechanical, English, English History, Phrase and Fable, Cookery, Domestic. (French, German, and Latin, see with *Educational Works.*)

Diet and Cookery for Common Ailments. By a Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians and PHYLLIS BROWNE. *Cheap Edition.* 2s. 6d.

Dog, Illustrated Book of the. By VERO SHAW, B.A. With 28 Coloured Plates. Cloth bevelled, 35s.; half-morocco, 45s.

Domestic Dictionary, The. An Encyclopædia for the Household. Cloth, 7s. 6d.

Doré Don Quixote, The. With about 400 Illustrations by GUSTAVE DORÉ. *Cheap Edition.* Cloth, 10s. 6d.

Doré Gallery, The. With 250 Illustrations by GUSTAVE DORÉ. 4to, 42s.

Doré's Dante's Inferno. Illustrated by GUSTAVE DORÉ. *Popular Edition.* With Preface by A. J. BUTLER. Cloth gilt or buckram, 7s. 6d.

Doré's Dante's Purgatory and Paradise. Illustrated by GUSTAVE DORÉ. *Cheap Edition.* 7s. 6d.

Doré's Milton's Paradise Lost. Illustrated by GUSTAVE DORÉ. 4to, 21s. *Popular Edition.* Cloth gilt, or buckram gilt. 7s. 6d.

Earth, Our, and its Story. Edited by Dr. ROBERT BROWN, F.L.S. With 36 Coloured Plates and 740 Wood Engravings. Complete in Three Vols. 9s. each.

Edinburgh, Old and New, Cassell's. With 600 Illustrations. Three Vols. 9s. each; library binding, £1 10s. the set.

Egypt: Descriptive, Historical, and Picturesque. By Prof. G. EBERS. Translated by CLARA BELL, with Notes by SAMUEL BIRCH, LL.D., &c. Two Vols. 42s.

Electric Current, The. How Produced and How Used. By R. MULLINEUX WALMSLEY, D.Sc., &c. Illustrated. 10s. 6d.

Electricity, Practical. By Prof. W. E. AYRTON, F.R.S. *Entirely New and Enlarged Edition.* Completely re-written. Illustrated. 9s.

Electricity in the Service of Man. A Popular and Practical Treatise. With upwards of 950 Illustrations. *New and Revised Edition*, 10s. 6d.

Employment for Boys on Leaving School, Guide to. By W. S. BEARD, F.R.G.S. 1s. 6d.

Encyclopædic Dictionary, The. Complete in Fourteen Divisional Vols., 10s. 6d. each; or Seven Vols., half-morocco, 21s. each; half-russia, 25s. each.

England and Wales, Pictorial. With upwards of 320 beautiful illustrations prepared from copyright photographs. 9s.

England, Cassell's Illustrated History of. With upwards of 2,000 Illustrations. *New and Revised Edition.* Complete in Eight Vols., 9s. each; cloth gilt, and embossed gilt top and headbanded, £4 net the set.

English Dictionary, Cassell's. Containing Definitions of upwards of 100,000 Words and Phrases. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d.; *Superior Edition*, 5s.

English History, The Dictionary of. Edited by SIDNEY LOW, B.A., and Prof. F. S. PULLING, M.A., with Contributions by Eminent Writers. *Cheap Edition.* 10s. 6d.

English Literature, Library of. By Prof. H. MORLEY. In 5 Vols. 7s. 6d. each.

English Literature, Morley's First Sketch of. *Revised Edition.* 7s. 6d.

English Literature, The Story of. By ANNA BUCKLAND. 3s. 6d.

English Writers from the Earliest Period to Shakespeare. By HENRY MORLEY. Eleven Vols. 5s. each.

Æsop's Fables. Illustrated by ERNEST GRISET. *Cheap Edition.* Cloth, 3s. 6d.; bevelled boards, gilt edges, 5s.

Etiquette of Good Society. *New Edition.* Edited and Revised by LADY COLIN CAMPBELL. 1s.; cloth, 1s. 6d.

Europe, Cassell's Pocket Guide to. Leather, 6s.

Fairy Tales Far and Near. Retold by Q. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.

Fairway Island. By HORACE HUTCHINSON. *Cheap Edition.* 2s. 6d.

Family Physician. By Eminent PHYSICIANS and SURGEONS. Cloth, 21s.; roxburgh, 25s.

Fiction, Cassell's Popular Library of. 3s. 6d. each.

- **The Lights of Sydney.** By Lilian Turner.
- **The Admirable Lady Biddy Fane.** By Frank Barrett.
- **List, ye Landsmen! A Romance of Incident.** By W. Clark Russell.
- **Ia: A Love Story.** By Q.
- **The Red Terror: A Story of the Paris Commune.** By Edward King.
- **The Little Squire.** By Mrs. Henry de la Pasture
- **Zero, the Slaver.** A Romance of Equatorial Africa. By Lawrence Fletcher.
- **Into the Unknown!** A Romance of South Africa. By Lawrence Fletcher.
- **Mount Desolation.** An Australian Romance. By W. Carlton Dawe.
- **Pomona's Travels.** By Frank R. Stockton.
- **The Reputation of George Saxon.** By Morley Roberts.
- **A Prison Princess.** By Major Arthur Griffiths.
- **Queen's Scarlet, The.** By George Manville Fenn.
- **Capture of the "Estrella," The.** A Tale of the Slave Trade. By Commander Claud Harding, R.N.
- **The Awkward Squads.** And other Ulster Stories. By Shan F. Bullock.
- **The Squire.** By Mrs. Parr.
- **A King's Hussar.** By Herbert Compton.
- **Playthings and Parodies.** Short Stories, Sketches, &c. By Barry Pain,
- **Out of the Jaws of Death.** By Frank Barrett.
- **Fourteen to One, &c.** By Elizabeth Stuart Phelps.
- **The Medicine Lady.** By L. T. Meade.
- **Leona.** By Mrs. Molesworth.
- **Father Stafford.** A Novel. By Anthony Hope.
- **Dr. Dumány's Wife.** By Maurus Jókai.
- **"La Bella," and others.** By Egerton Castle.
- **A Blot of Ink.** Translated by Q. and Paul M. Francke.
- **The Avenger of Blood.** By J. Maclaren Cobban.
- **A Modern Dick Whittington.** By James Payn.
- **The Man in Black.** By Stanley Weyman.
- **The Doings of Raffles Haw.** By A. Conan Doyle.

Field Naturalist's Handbook, The. By Revs. J. G. WOOD and THEODORE WOOD. *Cheap Edition*, 2s. 6d.

Figuier's Popular Scientific Works. With Several Hundred Illustrations in each. 3s. 6d. each.

- The Insect World.
- The Human Race.
- Reptiles and Birds.
- Mammalia.
- The Vegetable World.
- Ocean World.
- The World before the Deluge.

Flora's Feast. A Masque of Flowers. Penned and Pictured by WALTER CRANE. With 40 pages in Colours. 5s.

Flower Painting, Elementary. With Eight Coloured Plates. 3s.

Flowers, and How to Paint Them. By MAUD NAFTEL. With Coloured Plates. 5s.

Football: the Rugby Union Game. Edited by Rev. F. MARSHALL. Illustrated. *New and Enlarged Edition.* 7s. 6d.

For Glory and Renown. By D. H. PARRY. Illustrated. *Cheap Edition.* 3s. 6d.

Fossil Reptiles, A History of British. By Sir RICHARD OWEN, F.R.S., &c. With 268 Plates. In Four Vols. £12 12s.

Franco-German War, Cassell's History of the. Complete in Two Vols., containing about 500 Illustrations. 9s. each.

Garden Flowers, Familiar. By SHIRLEY HIBBERD. With Coloured Plates by F. E. HULME, F.L.S. Complete in Five Series. Cloth gilt, 12s. 6d. each.

Gladstone, The Right Hon. W. E., Cassell's Life of. Profusely Illustrated. 1s.

Gleanings from Popular Authors. Two Vols. With Original Illustrations. 9s. each. Two Vols. in One, 15s.

Gulliver's Travels. With 88 Engravings. Cloth, 3s. 6d. ; cloth gilt, 5s.
Gun and its Development, The. By W. W. GREENER. With 500 Illustrations. *Entirely New Edition*, 10s. 6d.
Guns, Modern Shot. By W. W. GREENER. Illustrated. 5s.
Health, The Book of. By Eminent Physicians and Surgeons. Cloth, 21s.
Heavens, The Story of the. By Sir ROBERT STAWELL BALL, LL.D., F.R.S. With Coloured Plates and Wood Engravings. *Popular Edition*, 10s. 6d.
Heroes of Britain in Peace and War. With 300 Original Illustrations. *Cheap Edition.* Complete in One Vol., 3s. 6d.
Hiram Golf's Religion ; or, the Shoemaker by the Grace of God. 2s.
History, A Footnote to. Eight Years of Trouble in Samoa. By R. L. STEVENSON. 6s
Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, The. Translated by ALICE ZIMMERN. Illustrated. *Cheap Edition.* 5s.
Horse, The Book of the. By SAMUEL SIDNEY. With 17 Full-page Collotype Plates of Celebrated Horses of the Day, and numerous other Illustrations. Cloth, 15s.
Horses and Dogs. By O. EERELMAN. With Descriptive Text. Translated from the Dutch by CLARA BELL. With Author's Portrait and Fifteen Full-page and other Illustrations. 25s. net.
Houghton, Lord : The Life, Letters, and Friendships of Richard Monckton Milnes, First Lord Houghton. By Sir WEMYSS REID. Two Vols. 32s.
Household, Cassell's Book of the. Illustrated. Complete in Four Vols. 5s. each ; or Four Vols. in Two, half-morocco, 25s.
Hygiene and Public Health. By B. ARTHUR WHITELEGGE, M.D. Illustrated. *New and Revised Edition.* 7s. 6d.
In-door Amusements, Card Games, and Fireside Fun, Cassell's Book of. With numerous Illustrations. *Cheap Edition.* Cloth, 2s.
Iron Pirate, The. By MAX PEMBERTON. Illustrated. 5s.
Italy from the Fall of Napoleon I. in 1815 to 1890. By J. W. PROBYN. 3s. 6d.
Khiva, A Ride to. By Col. FRED BURNABY. *New Edition.* Illustrated. 3s. 6d.
King George, In the Days of. By Col. PERCY GROVES. Illustrated. 1s. 6d.
Ladies' Physician, The. By a London Physician. *Cheap Edition, Revised and Enlarged.* 3s. 6d.
Lady's Dressing Room, The. Translated from the French by Lady COLIN CAMPBELL. *Cheap Edition.* 2s. 6d.
Lake Dwellings of Europe. By ROBERT MUNRO, M.D., M.A. Cloth, 31s. 6d.
Letters, The Highway of ; and its Echoes of Famous Footsteps. By THOMAS ARCHER. *Cheap Edition*, Illustrated, 5s.
Letts's Diaries and other Time-saving Publications are now published exclusively by CASSELL & COMPANY. (*A List sent post free on application.*)
Library Year-Book, 1897. A Record of General Library Progress and Work. Edited by THOMAS GREENWOOD. With over 50 Special Engravings. 2s. 6d.
Lobengula, Three Years with, and Experiences in South Africa. By J. COOPER-CHADWICK. *Cheap Edition.* 2s. 6d.
Locomotive Engine, The Biography of a. By HENRY FRITH. 3s. 6d.
Loftus, Lord Augustus, P.C., G.C.B., The Diplomatic Reminiscences of. First Series. With Portrait. Two Vols. 32s. Second Series. Two Vols. 32s.
London, Cassell's Guide to. With Numerous Illustrations. 6d.
London, Greater. By EDWARD WALFORD. Two Vols. With about 400 Illustrations. 9s. each. *Library Edition.* Two Vols. £1 the set.
London, Old and New. By WALTER THORNBURY and EDWARD WALFORD. Six Vols., with about 1,200 Illustrations. Cloth, 9s. each. *Library Edition*, £3.
London, The Queen's. With nearly 400 Superb Views. 9s.
Manchester, Old and New. By WILLIAM ARTHUR SHAW, M.A. With Original Illustrations. Three Vols., 31s. 6d.
Mechanics, The Practical Dictionary of. Three Vols., £3 3s. ; half-morocco, £3 15s. Supplementary Volume, £1 1s. ; or half-morocco, £1 5s.
Medical Handbook of Life Assurance. By JAMES EDWARD POLLOCK, M.D., and JAMES CHISHOLM. *New and Revised Edition.* 7s. 6d.
Medicine, Manuals for Students of. (*A List forwarded post free on application.*)
Mesdag, H. W., the Painter of the North Sea. With Etchings and Descriptive Text. By PH. ZILCKEN. The Text translated from the Dutch by CLARA BELL. 36s.
Modern Europe, A History of. By C. A. FYFFE, M.A. *Cheap Edition in One Volume*, 10s. 6d. ; *Library Edition, Illustrated*, 3 vols., 7s. 6d. each.
Music, Illustrated History of. By EMIL NAUMANN. Edited by the Rev. Sir F. A. GORE OUSELEY, Bart. Illustrated. Two Vols. 13s. 6d.

National Library, Cassell's. Consisting of 214 Volumes. Paper covers, 3d.; cloth, 6d. (*A Complete List of the Volumes post free on application.*)

Natural History, Cassell's Concise. By E. PERCEVAL WRIGHT, M.A., M.D., F.L.S. With several Hundred Illustrations. 7s. 6d. (Also kept half-bound.)

Natural History, Cassell's New. Edited by P. MARTIN DUNCAN, M.B., F.R.S., F.G.S. *Cheap Edition.* With about 2,000 Illustrations. In Three Double Vols., 6s. each.

Nature's Wonder Workers. By KATE R. LOVELL. Illustrated. 2s. 6d.

Nelson, The Life of. By ROBERT SOUTHEY. Illustrated with Eight Plates. 3s. 6d.

New Zealand, Pictorial. With Preface by Sir W. B. PERCEVAL, K.C.M.G. Illust. 6s.

Novels, Popular. Extra crown 8vo, 6s. each, cloth.

- **Story Teller's Pack, A.** By FRANK STOCKTON.
- **My Lord Duke.** By E. W. HORNUNG.
- **Ill-gotten Gold: A Story of a Great Wrong and a Great Revenge.** By W. G. TARBET.
- **Loveday. A Tale of a Stirring Time.** By A. E. WICKHAM.
- **Mrs. Cliff's Yacht.** By FRANK STOCKTON.
- **Puritan's Wife, A.** By MAX PEMBERTON.
- **Sentimental Tommy.** By J. M. BARRIE.
- **What Cheer!** By W. CLARK RUSSELL.
- **Rogue's March, The.** By E. W. HORNUNG.
- **From the Memoirs of a Minister of France.** By STANLEY WEYMAN.
- **The Impregnable City.** By MAX PEMBERTON.
- **The Sea-Wolves.** By MAX PEMBERTON.
- **The Adventures of Captain Horn.** By FRANK STOCKTON.
- **The White Shield.** By BERTRAM MITFORD.
- **Old Maids and Young.** By E. D'ESTERRE KEELING.
- **Tuxter's Little Maid.** By G. B. BURGIN.
- **The Hispaniola Plate.** (1683—1893). By JOHN BLOUNDELLE BURTON.
- **A Free Lance in a Far Land.** By HERBERT COMPTON.
- **Highway of Sorrow.** By HESBA STRETTON and * * * * * * * *, a Famous Russian Exile.
- **Tiny Luttrell.** By E. W. HORNUNG.
- **The Little Minister.** By J. M. BARRIE.
- **Kidnapped.** By ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON.
- **Catriona: A Sequel to "Kidnapped."** By ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON.
- **Island Nights' Entertainments.** By ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON.
- **The Wrecker.** By ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON and LLOYD OSBOURNE.
- **The Story of Francis Cludde.** By STANLEY J. WEYMAN.
- **'Lisbeth.** By LESLIE KEITH.
- **Wandering Heath.** A Selection of Short Stories. By Q.

Nursing for the Home and for the Hospital, A Handbook of. By CATHERINE J. WOOD. *Cheap Edition,* 1s. 6d.; cloth, 2s.

Nursing of Sick Children, A Handbook for the. By CATHERINE J. WOOD. 2s. 6d.

Our Own Country. Six Vols. With 1,200 Illustrations. Cloth, 7s. 6d. each.

Painting, The English School of. By ERNEST CHESNEAU. *Cheap Edition,* 3s. 6d.

Paris, Old and New. Profusely Illustrated. Complete in Two Volumes. 9s. each, or gilt edges, 10s. 6d. each.

Parliament, A Diary of the Home Rule, 1892-95. By H. W. LUCY. 10s. 6d.

Peoples of the World, The. By Dr. ROBERT BROWN, F.L.S. Complete in Six Vols. With Illustrations. 7s. 6d. each.

Photography for Amateurs. By T. C. HEPWORTH. Illustrated, 1s.; cloth, 1s. 6d.

Phrase and Fable, Dr. Brewer's Dictionary of. *Entirely New and largely increased Edition.* 10s. 6d. Also in half-morocco, 2 Vols., 15s.

Physiology for Students, Elementary. By ALFRED T. SCHOFIELD, M.D., M.R.C.S. With Two Coloured Plates and numerous Illustrations. *New Edition.* 5s.

Picturesque America. Complete in Four Vols., with 48 Exquisite Steel Plates, and about 800 Original Wood Engravings. £12 12s. the set. *Popular Edition,* in Four Vols., price 18s. each.

Picturesque Australasia, Cassell's. With upwards of 1,000 Illustrations. In Four Vols., 7s. 6d. each.

Picturesque Canada. With about 600 Original Illustrations. Two Vols. £9 9s. the set.

Picturesque Europe. Complete in Five Vols. Each containing 13 Exquisite Steel Plates, from Original Drawings, and nearly 200 Original Illustrations. £21. *Popular Edition.* In Five Vols. 18s. each.

Picturesque Mediterranean, The. With a Series of Magnificent Illustrations from Original Designs by leading Artists of the day. Two Vols. Cloth, £2 2s. each.

Pigeon Keeper, The Practical. By LEWIS WRIGHT. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.

Pigeons, Fulton's Book of. Edited by LEWIS WRIGHT. Revised, Enlarged, and Supplemented by the Rev. W. F. LUMLEY. With 50 Full-page Illustrations. *Popular Edition.* In One Vol., 10s. 6d. *Original Edition,* with 50 Coloured Plates and numerous Wood Engravings. 21s.

Planet, The Story of Our. By Prof. BONNEY, F.R.S., &c. With Coloured Plates and Maps and about 100 Illustrations. *Cheap Edition.* 10s. 6d.

Pocket Library, Cassell's. Cloth, 1s. 4d. each.

A King's Diary. By PERCY WHITE.
A White Baby. By JAMES WELSH.
Lady Bonnie's Experiment. By TIGHE HOPKINS.
The Little Huguenot. By MAX PEMBERTON.
A Whirl Asunder. By GERTRUDE ATHERTON.
The Paying Guest. By GEO. GISSING.

Polytechnic Series, The. Practical Illustrated Manuals. (*A List will be sent on application.*)

Portrait Gallery, Cassell's Universal. Containing 240 Portraits of Celebrated Men and Women of the Day. Cloth, 6s.

Portrait Gallery, The Cabinet. Complete in Five Series, each containing 36 Cabinet Photographs of Eminent Men and Women of the day. 15s. each.

Poultry Keeper, The Practical. By LEWIS WRIGHT. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.

Poultry, The Book of. By LEWIS WRIGHT. *Popular Edition.* Illustrated. 10s. 6d.

Poultry, The Illustrated Book of. By LEWIS WRIGHT. With Fifty Exquisite Coloured Plates, and numerous Wood Engravings. *Revised Edition.* Cloth, gilt edges, 21s.; half-morocco (*price on application*).

"Punch," The History of. By M. H. SPIELMANN. With nearly 170 Illustrations, Portraits, and Facsimiles. Cloth, 16s.; *Large Paper Edition*, £2 2s. net.

Q's Works, Uniform Edition of. 5s. each.

Dead Man's Rock.
The Splendid Spur.
The Blue Pavilions.
The Astonishing History of Troy Town.
"I Saw Three Ships," and other Winter's Tales.
Noughts and Crosses.
The Delectable Duchy. Stories, Studies, and Sketches.

Queen Summer; or, The Tourney of the Lily and the Rose. Penned and Portrayed by WALTER CRANE. With 40 pages in Colours. 6s.

Queen, The People's Life of their. By the Rev. E. J. HARDY, M.A. 1s.

Queen Victoria, The Life and Times of. By ROBERT WILSON. Complete in 2 Vols. With numerous Illustrations. 9s. each.

Rabbit-Keeper, The Practical. By CUNICULUS. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.

Railway Guides, Official Illustrated. With Illustrations on nearly every page, Maps, &c. Paper covers, 1s.; cloth, 2s.

London and North Western Railway.
Great Western Railway.
Midland Railway.
Great Northern Railway.
Great Eastern Railway.
London and South Western Railway.
London, Brighton and South Coast Railway.
South Eastern Railway.

Railway Guides, Official Illustrated. *Abridged and Popular Editions.* Paper covers, 3d. each.

Great Eastern Railway.
London and North Western Railway.
London and South Western Railway.
London, Brighton and South Coast Railway.
Great Western Railway.
Midland Railway.
Great Northern Railway.
South Eastern Railway.

Railways, Our. Their Origin, Development, Incident, and Romance. By JOHN PENDLETON. Illustrated. 2 Vols., 12s.

Rivers of Great Britain: Descriptive, Historical, Pictorial.

The Royal River: The Thames from Source to Sea. *Popular Edition*, 16s.
Rivers of the East Coast. With highly-finished Engravings. *Popular Edition*, 16s.

Robinson Crusoe. *Cassell's New Fine-Art Edition.* With upwards of 100 Original Illustrations. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d. or 5s.

Rogues of the Fiery Cross. By S. WALKEY. With 16 Full-page Illustrations. 5s.

Ronner, Henriette, The Painter of Cat-Life and Cat-Character. By M. H. SPIELMANN. Containing a Series of beautiful Phototype Illustrations. 12s.

Royal Academy Pictures. With upwards of 200 magnificent reproductions of Pictures in the Royal Academy. 7s. 6d.

Russo-Turkish War, Cassell's History of. With about 500 Illustrations. Two Vols., 9s. each; library binding, One Vol., 15s. *New Edition.* Vol. I., 9s.

Sala, George Augustus, The Life and Adventures of. By Himself. *Library Edition* in Two Vols. 32s. *Cheap Edition*, One Vol., 7s. 6d.

Saturday Journal, Cassell's. Illustrated throughout. Yearly Vol., 7s. 6d.

Scarlet and Blue; or, Songs for Soldiers and Sailors. By JOHN FARMER. 5s. Words only, paper, 6d.; cloth, 9d.

Science for All. Edited by Dr. ROBERT BROWN, M.A., F.L.S., &c. *Revised Edition.* With 1,500 Illustrations. Five Vols. 9s. each.

Science Series, The Century. Consisting of Biographies of Eminent Scientific Men of the present Century. Edited by Sir HENRY ROSCOE, D.C.L., F.R.S., M.P. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. each.

John Dalton and the Rise of Modern Chemistry. By Sir HENRY E. ROSCOE, F.R.S
Major Rennell, F.R.S., and the Rise of English Geography. By Sir CLEMENTS R. MARKHAM, C.B., F.R.S President of the Royal Geographical Society.
Justus Von Liebig: His Life and Work. By W. A. SHENSTONE.
The Herschels and Modern Astronomy. By Miss AGNES M. CLERKE.
Charles Lyell and Modern Geology. By Professor T. G. BONNEY, F.R.S.
J. Clerk Maxwell and Modern Physics. By R. T. GLAZEBROOK, F.R.S.
Humphry Davy, Poet and Philosopher. By T. E. THORPE, F.R.S.
Charles Darwin and the Theory of Natural Selection. By EDWARD B. POULTON, M.A., F.R.S.

Scotland, Picturesque and Traditional. By G. E. EYRE-TODD. 6s.

Sea, The Story of the. An Entirely New and Original Work. Edited by Q. Illustrated. Complete in Two Vols., 9s. each.

Shaftesbury, The Seventh Earl of, K.G., The Life and Work of. By EDWIN HODDER. Illustrated. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d.

Shakespeare, Cassell's Quarto Edition. Edited by CHARLES and MARY COWDEN CLARKE, and containing about 600 Illustrations by H. C. SELOUS. Complete in Three Vols., cloth gilt, £3 3s.—Also published in Three separate Vols., in cloth, viz. :—The COMEDIES, 21s. ; The HISTORICAL PLAYS, 18s. 6d. ; The TRAGEDIES, 25s.

Shakespeare, The England of. *New Edition.* By E. GOADBY. With Full-page Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 224 pages, 2s. 6d.

Shakespeare, The Plays of. Edited by Prof. HENRY MORLEY. Complete in 13 Vols., cloth, in box, 21s. ; also 39 Vols., cloth, in box, 21s. ; half-morocco, cloth sides, 42s.

Shakspere, The Leopold. With 400 Illustrations, and an Introduction by F. J. FURNIVALL. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d. Cloth gilt, gilt edges, 5s. ; roxburgh, 7s. 6d.

Shakspere, The Royal. With Exquisite Steel Plates and Wood Engravings. Three Vols. 15s. each.

Sketches, The Art of Making and Using. From the French of G. FRAIPONT. By CLARA BELL. With Fifty Illustrations. 2s. 6d.

Social England. A Record of the Progress of the People. By various Writers. Edited by H. D. TRAILL, D.C.L. Complete in Six Vols. Vols. I., II., and III., 15s. each. Vols. IV. and V., 17s. each. Vol. VI., 18s.

Sports and Pastimes, Cassell's Complete Book of. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d.

Star-Land. By Sir ROBERT STAWELL BALL, LL.D., &c. Illustrated. 6s.

Story of My Life, The. By the Rt. Hon. Sir RICHARD TEMPLE, Bart., G.C.S.I., etc. Two Vols. 21s.

Sun, The Story of the. By Sir ROBERT STAWELL BALL, LL.D., F.R.S., F.R.A.S. With Eight Coloured Plates and other Illustrations. 21s.

Sunshine Series, Cassell's. In Vols. 1s. each. *A List post free on application.*

Taxation, Municipal, at Home and Abroad. By J. J. O'MEARA. 7s. 6d.

Thames, The Tidal. By GRANT ALLEN. With India Proof Impressions of 20 Magnificent Full-page Photogravure Plates, and many other Illustrations, after original drawings by W. L. WYLLIE, A.R.A. *New Edition*, cloth, 42s. net. Also in half-morocco (*price on application*).

Things I have Seen and People I have Known. By G. A. SALA. With Portrait and Autograph. 2 Vols. 21s.

Three Homes, The. By the Very Rev. Dean FARRAR, D.D., F.R.S. *New Edition.* With 8 Full-page Illustrations. 6s.

To the Death. By R. D. CHETWODE. With Four Plates. 5s.

"Treasure Island" Series, The. *Cheap Illustrated Edition.* Cloth, 3s. 6d. each.

Treasure Island. By ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON.
The Master of Ballantrae. By ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON.
The Black Arrow: A Tale of the Two Roses. By ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON.
King Solomon's Mines. By H. RIDER HAGGARD.

Treatment, The Year-Book of, for 1897. A Critical Review for Practitioners of Medicine and Surgery. Thirteenth Year of Issue. 7s. 6d.

Trees, Familiar. By Prof. G. S. BOULGER, F.L.S., F.G.S. Two Series. With Forty Coloured Plates in each. (*Price on application.*)

Uncle Tom's Cabin. By HARRIET BEECHER-STOWE. With upwards of 100 Original Illustrations. *Fine Art Memorial Edition.* 7s. 6d.

"Unicode": The Universal Telegraphic Phrase Book. Pocket or Desk Edition. 2s. 6d. each.

United States, Cassell's History of the. By EDMUND OLLIER. With 600 Illustrations. Three Vols. 9s. each.

Universal History, Cassell's Illustrated. With nearly ONE THOUSAND ILLUSTRATIONS. Vol. I. Early and Greek History.—Vol. II. The Roman Period.—Vol. III. The Middle Ages.—Vol. IV. Modern History. 9s. each.

Verses, Wise or Otherwise. By ELLEN THORNEYCROFT FOWLER. 3s. 6d.

War and Peace, Memories and Studies of. By ARCHIBALD FORBES, LL.D. *Original Edition*, 16s. *Cheap Edition*, 6s.

Water-Colour Painting, A Course of. With Twenty-four Coloured Plates by R. P. LEITCH, and full Instructions to the Pupil. 5s.

Westminster Abbey, Annals of. By E. T. BRADLEY (Mrs. A. MURRAY SMITH). Illustrated. With a Preface by the DEAN OF WESTMINSTER. 63s.

Wild Birds, Familiar. By W. SWAYSLAND. Four Series. With 40 Coloured Plates in each. (In sets only, price on application.)

Wild Flowers, Familiar. By F. E. HULME, F.L.S., F.S.A. Five Series. With 40 Coloured Plates in each. (In sets only, price on application.)

Wild Flowers Collecting Book. In Six Parts, 4d. each.

Wild Flowers Drawing and Painting Book. In Six Parts. 4d. each.

Windsor Castle, The Governor's Guide to. By the Most Noble the MARQUIS OF LORNE, K.T. Profusely Illustrated. Limp cloth, 1s. Cloth boards, gilt edges. 2s.

World of Wit and Humour, Cassell's New. With New Pictures and New Text. Complete in Two Vols., 6s. each.

With Claymore and Bayonet. By Col. PERCY GROVES. With 8 Plates. 3s. 6d.

Work. The Illustrated Journal for Mechanics. Half-Yearly Vols. 4s. each.

"Work" Handbooks. A Series of Practical Manuals prepared under the Direction of PAUL N. HASLUCK, Editor of *Work*. Illustrated. Cloth, 1s. each.

World of Wonders, The. With 400 Illustrations. *Cheap Edition.* Two Vols., 4s. 6d. each.

ILLUSTRATED MAGAZINES.

The Quiver. ***ENLARGED to 96 pages.*** Monthly, 6d.

Cassell's Family Magazine. ***ENLARGED to 112 pages.*** Monthly, 6d.

"Little Folks" Magazine. Monthly, 6d.

The Magazine of Art. Monthly, 1s. 4d.

Cassell's Saturday Journal. Weekly, 1d.; Monthly, 6d.

Chums. The Illustrated Paper for Boys. Weekly, 1d.; Monthly, 6d.

Work. The Illustrated Journal for Mechanics. Weekly, 1d.; Monthly, 6d.

Building World. An Illustrated Journal for the Building Trades. Weekly, 1d; Monthly, 6d.

Cottage Gardening. Illustrated. Weekly, ½d.; Monthly, 3d.

*** *Full particulars of* CASSELL & COMPANY'S **Monthly Serial Publications** *will be found in* CASSELL & COMPANY'S COMPLETE CATALOGUE.

Catalogues of CASSELL & COMPANY'S PUBLICATIONS, which may be had at all Booksellers', or will be sent post free on application to the Publishers:—

CASSELL'S COMPLETE CATALOGUE, containing particulars of upwards of One Thousand Volumes.

CASSELL'S CLASSIFIED CATALOGUE, in which their Works are arranged according to price, from *Threepence to Fifty Guineas.*

CASSELL'S EDUCATIONAL CATALOGUE, containing particulars of CASSELL & COMPANY'S Educational Works and Students' Manuals.

CASSELL & COMPANY, LIMITED, *Ludgate Hill, London.*

Bibles and Religious Works.

Bible Biographies. Illustrated. 1s. 6d. each.

The Story of Joseph. Its Lessons for To-Day. By the Rev. GEORGE BAINTON.
The Story of Moses and Joshua. By the Rev. J. TELFORD.
The Story of Judges. By the Rev. J. WYCLIFFE GEDGE.
The Story of Samuel and Saul. By the Rev. D. C. TOVEY.
The Story of David. By the Rev. J. WILD.

The Story of Jesus. In Verse. By J. R. MACDUFF, D.D. 1s. 6d.

Bible, Cassell's Illustrated Family. With 900 Illustrations. Leather, gilt edges, £2 10s.; full morocco, £3 10s.

Bible, Cassell's Guinea. With 900 Illustrations and Coloured Maps. Royal 4to. Leather, 21s. net. Persian antique, with corners and clasps, 25s. net.

Bible Educator, The. Edited by E. H. PLUMPTRE, D.D. With Illustrations, Maps, &c. Four Vols., cloth, 6s. each.

Bible Dictionary, Cassell's Concise. By the Rev. ROBERT HUNTER, LL.D., Illustrated. 7s. 6d.

Bible Student in the British Museum, The. By the Rev. J. G. KITCHIN, M.A. *Entirely New and Revised Edition*, 1s. 4d.

Bunyan, Cassell's Illustrated. With 200 Original Illustrations. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d.

Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress. Illustrated. *Cheap Edition*, cloth, 3s. 6d; cloth gilt, gilt edges, 5s.

Child's Bible, The. With 200 Illustrations. Demy 4to, 830 pp. 150*th Thousand. Cheap Edition*, 7s. 6d. *Superior Edition*, with 6 Coloured Plates, gilt edges, 10s. 6d.

Child's Life of Christ, The. Complete in One Handsome Volume, with about 200 Original Illustrations. *Cheap Edition*, cloth, 7s. 6d.; or with 6 Coloured Plates, cloth, gilt edges, 10s. 6d.

Church of England, The. A History for the People. By the Very Rev. H. D. M. SPENCE, D.D., Dean of Gloucester. Illustrated. Vol. I., 6s.

Commentary, The New Testament, for English Readers. Edited by the Rt. Rev. C. J. ELLICOTT, D.D., Lord Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol. In Three Vols. 21s. each.

Vol. I.—The Four Gospels.
Vol. II.—The Acts, Romans, Corinthians, Galatians.
Vol. III.—The remaining Books of the New Testament.

Commentary, The Old Testament, for English Readers. Edited by the Rt. Rev. C. J. ELLICOTT, D.D., Lord Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol. Complete in 5 Vols. 21s. each.

Vol. I.—Genesis to Numbers.
Vol. II.—Deuteronomy to Samuel II.
Vol. III.—Kings I. to Esther.
Vol. IV.—Job to Isaiah.
Vol. V.—Jeremiah to Malachi.

Commentary, The New Testament. Edited by Bishop ELLICOTT. Handy Volume Edition. Suitable for School and General Use.

St. Matthew. 3s. 6d.
St. Mark. 3s.
St. Luke. 3s. 6d.
St. John. 3s. 6d.
The Acts of the Apostles. 3s. 6d.
Romans. 2s. 6d.
Corinthians I. and II. 3s.
Galatians, Ephesians, and Philippians. 3s.
Colossians, Thessalonians, and Timothy. 3s.
Titus, Philemon, Hebrews, and James. 3s.
Peter, Jude, and John. 3s.
The Revelation. 3s.
An Introduction to the New Testament. 2s. 6d.

Commentary, The Old Testament. Edited by Bishop ELLICOTT. Handy Volume Edition. Suitable for School and General Use.

Genesis. 3s. 6d.
Exodus. 3s.
Leviticus. 3s.
Numbers. 2s. 6d.
Deuteronomy. 2s. 6d.

Dictionary of Religion, The. An Encyclopædia of Christian and other Religious Doctrines, Denominations, Sects, Heresies, Ecclesiastical Terms, History, Biography, &c., &c. By the Rev. WILLIAM BENHAM, B.D. *Cheap Edition*, 10s. 6d.

Doré Bible. With 230 Illustrations by GUSTAVE DORÉ. *Po ular Edition.* With Full-page Illustrations. In One Vol. 15s. Also in leather binding. (*Price on application.*)

Early Days of Christianity, The. By the Very Rev. Dean FARRAR, D.D., F.R.S.
LIBRARY EDITION. Two Vols., 24s.; morocco, £2 2s.
POPULAR EDITION. In One Vol.; cloth, gilt edges, 7s. 6d.; tree-calf, 15s.

Family Prayer-Book, The. Edited by the Rev. Canon GARBETT, M.A., and the Rev. S. MARTIN. With Full-page Illustrations. *New Edition.* Cloth, 7s. 6d.

Gleanings after Harvest. Studies and Sketches. By the Rev. JOHN R. VERNON, M.A. Illustrated. *Cheap Edition.* 3s. 6d.

"Graven in the Rock;" or, the Historical Accuracy of the Bible confirmed by reference to the Assyrian and Egyptian Sculptures in the British Museum and elsewhere. By the Rev. Dr. SAMUEL KINNS, F.R.A.S., &c., &c. Illustrated. *Library Edition*, in Two Volumes, cloth, with top edges gilded, 15s.

"Heart Chords." A Series of Works by Eminent Divines. In cloth, 1s. each.

My Father. By the Right Rev. Ashton Oxenden, late Bishop of Montreal.
My Bible. By the Rt. Rev. W. Boyd Carpenter, Bishop of Ripon.
My Work for God. By the Right Rev. Bishop Cotterill.
My Object in Life. By the Very Rev. Dean Farrar, D.D.
My Aspirations. By the Rev. G. Matheson, D.D.
My Emotional Life. By Preb. Chadwick, D.D.
My Body. By the Rev. Prof. W. G. Blaikie, D.D.
My Soul. By the Rev. P. B. Power, M.A.
My Growth in Divine Life. By the Rev. Prebendary Reynolds, M.A.
My Hereafter. By the Very Rev. Dean Bickersteth.
My Walk with God. By the Very Rev. Dean Montgomery.
My Aids to the Divine Life. By the Very Rev. Dean Boyle.
My Sources of Strength. By the Rev. E. E. Jenkins, M.A.
My Comfort in Sorrow. By Hugh Macmillan, D.D.

Helps to Belief. A Series of Helpful Manuals on the Religious Difficulties of the Day. Edited by the Rev. TEIGNMOUTH SHORE, M.A., Canon of Worcester, and Chaplain-in-Ordinary to the Queen. Cloth, 1s. each.

CREATION. By Harvey Goodwin, D.D., late Lord Bishop of Carlisle.
MIRACLES. By the Rev. Brownlow Maitland, M.A.
PRAYER. By the Rev. Canon Shore, M.A.
THE DIVINITY OF OUR LORD. By the Lord Bishop of Derry.
THE ATONEMENT. By William Connor Magee, D.D., Late Archbishop of York.

Holy Land and the Bible, The. A Book of Scripture Illustrations gathered in Palestine. By the Rev. CUNNINGHAM GEIKIE, D.D., LL.D. (Edin.). *Cheap Edition*, with 24 Collotype Plates, 12s. 6d.

Life of Christ, The. By the Very Rev. Dean FARRAR, D.D., F.R.S., Chaplain-in-Ordinary to the Queen.
CHEAP EDITION. With 16 Full-page Plates. Cloth gilt, 3s. 6d.
POPULAR EDITION. With 16 Full-page Plates. Cloth gilt, gilt edges, 7s. 6d.
ILLUSTRATED EDITION. Cloth, 7s. 6d. Cloth, full gilt, gilt edges, 10s. 6d.
LIBRARY EDITION. Two Vols. Cloth, 24s.; morocco, 42s.

Moses and Geology; or, the Harmony of the Bible with Science. By the Rev. SAMUEL KINNS, Ph.D., F.R.A.S. Illustrated. *Library Edition*, revised to date, 10s. 6d.

My Life in Christ. Being Extracts from the Diary of the Most Reverend JOHN ILIYTCH SERGIEFF (Father John). Translated by E. E. GOULAEFF, St. Petersburg. 9s.

New Light on the Bible and the Holy Land. By BASIL T. A. EVETTS, M.A. Illustrated. Cloth, 7s. 6d.

Old and New Testaments, Plain Introductions to the Books of the. Containing Contributions by many Eminent Divines. In Two Vols., 3s. 6d. each.

Plain Introductions to the Books of the Old Testament. 336 pages. Edited by the Right Rev. C. J. ELLICOTT, D.D., Lord Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol. 3s. 6d.

Plain Introductions to the Books of the New Testament. 304 pages. Edited by the Right Rev. C. J. ELLICOTT, D.D., Lord Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol. 3s. 6d.

Protestantism, The History of. By the Rev. J. A. WYLIE, LL.D. Containing upwards of 600 Original Illustrations. Three Vols., 27s.

"Quiver" Yearly Volume, The. With about 600 Original Illustrations and Coloured Frontispiece. 7s. 6d. Also Monthly, 6d.

St. George for England; and other Sermons preached to Children. *Fifth Edition.* By the Rev. T. TEIGNMOUTH SHORE, M.A., Canon of Worcester. 5s.

St. Paul, The Life and Work of. By the Very Rev. Dean FARRAR, D.D., F.R.S.
CHEAP EDITION. With 16 Full-page Plates, cloth gilt, 3s. 6d.
LIBRARY EDITION. Two Vols., cloth, 24s.; calf, 42s.
ILLUSTRATED EDITION, One Vol., £1 1s.; morocco, £2 2s.
POPULAR EDITION. One Vol., Persian morocco, 10s. 6d.; tree-calf, 15s.

Searchings in the Silence. By the Rev. GEORGE MATHESON, D.D. 3s. 6d.

Shall We Know One Another in Heaven? By the Rt. Rev. J. C. RYLE, D.D., Bishop of Liverpool. *New and Enlarged Edition.* Paper covers, 6d.

Shortened Church Services and Hymns, suitable for use at Children's Services. Compiled by the Rev. T. TEIGNMOUTH SHORE, M.A., Canon of Worcester. *Enlarged Edition.* 1s.

Signa Christi: Evidences of Christianity set forth in the Person and Work of Christ. By the Rev. JAMES AITCHISON. 2s. 6d.

"Sunday:" Its Origin, History, and Present Obligation. By the Ven. Archdeacon HESSEY, D.C.L. *Fifth Edition*, 7s. 6d.

Twilight of Life, The: Words of Counsel and Comfort for the Aged. By JOHN ELLERTON, M.A. 1s. 6d.

Educational Works and Students' Manuals.

Agricultural Text-Books, Cassell's. (The "Downton" Series.) Fully Illustrated. Edited by JOHN WRIGHTSON, Professor of Agriculture. **Soils and Manures.** By J. M. H. Munro, D.Sc. (London), F.I.C., F.C.S. 2s. 6d. **Farm Crops.** By Professor Wrightson. 2s. 6d. **Live Stock.** By Professor Wrightson. 2s. 6d.

Alphabet, Cassell's Pictorial. Mounted on Linen, with Rollers. 2s. Mounted with Rollers, and Varnished. 2s. 6d.

Arithmetic:—Howard's Art of Reckoning. By C. F. HOWARD. Paper, 1s.; cloth, 2s. *Enlarged Edition*, 5s.

Arithmetics, The "Belle Sauvage." By GEORGE RICKS, B.Sc. Lond. With Test Cards. (*List on application.*)

Atlas, Cassell's Popular. Containing 24 Coloured Maps 1s. 6d.

Book-Keeping. By THEODORE JONES. FOR SCHOOLS, 2s.; or cloth, 3s. FOR THE MILLION, 2s.; or cloth, 3s. Books for Jones's System, Ruled Sets of, 2s.

British Empire Map of the World. New Map for Schools and Institutes. By G. R. PARKIN and J. G. BARTHOLOMEW, F.R.G.S. Mounted on cloth, varnished, and with Rollers or Folded. 25s.

Chemistry, The Public School. By J. H. ANDERSON, M.A. 2s. 6d.

Cookery for Schools. By LIZZIE HERITAGE. 6d.

Dulce Domum. Rhymes and Songs for Children. Edited by JOHN FARMER, Editor of "Gaudeamus," &c. Old Notation and Words, 5s. N.B.—The Words of the Songs in "Dulce Domum" (with the Airs both in Tonic Sol-Fa and Old Notation) can be had in Two Parts, 6d. each.

English Literature, A First Sketch of, from the Earliest Period to the Present Time. By Prof. HENRY MORLEY. 7s. 6d.

Euclid, Cassell's. Edited by Prof. WALLACE, M.A. 1s.

Euclid, The First Four Books of. *New Edition.* In paper, 6d.; cloth, 9d.

French, Cassell's Lessons in. *New and Revised Edition.* Parts I. and II., each, 1s. 6d.; complete, 2s. 6d. Key, 1s. 6d.

French-English and English-French Dictionary. *Entirely New and Enlarged Edition.* 1,150 pages, 8vo, cloth, 3s. 6d.; half-morocco, 5s.

French Reader, Cassell's Public School. By GUILLAUME S. CONRAD. 2s. 6d.

Galbraith and Haughton's Scientific Manuals.
Euclid. Books I., II., III. 2s. 6d. Books IV., V., VI. 2s. 6d. **Mathematical Tables.** 3s. 6d. **Mechanics.** 3s. 6d. **Hydrostatics.** 3s. 6d. **Algebra.** Part I., cloth, 2s. 6d. Complete, 7s. 6d. **Tides and Tidal Currents,** with Tidal Cards, 3s.

Gaudeamus. Songs for Colleges and Schools. Edited by JOHN FARMER. 5s. Words only, paper, 6d.; cloth, 9d.

Geometrical Drawing for Army Candidates. By H. T. LILLEY, M.A. 2s. 6d.

Geometry, First Elements of Experimental. By PAUL BERT. Illustrated. 1s. 6d.

Geometry, Practical Solid. By Major ROSS, R.E. 2s.

German Dictionary, Cassell's. German-English, English-German. *Cheap Edition*, cloth, 3s. 6d.; half-morocco, 5s.

German Reading, First Lessons in. By A. JÄGST. Illustrated. 1s.

Hand and Eye Training. By GEORGE RICKS, B.Sc., and JOSEPH VAUGHAN. Illustrated. Vol. I. Designing with Coloured Papers. Vol. II. Cardboard Work. 2s. each. Vol. III. Colour Work and Design. 3s.

Hand and Eye Training. By G. RICKS, B.Sc. Two Vols., with 16 Coloured Plates in each Vol. Crown 4to, 6s. each.

"Hand and Eye Training" Cards for Class Work. Five sets in case. 1s. each.

Historical Cartoons, Cassell's Coloured. Size 45 in. × 35 in., 2s. each. Mounted on canvas and varnished, with rollers, 5s. each. (Descriptive pamphlet, 16 pp., 1d.)

Italian Lessons, with Exercises, Cassell's. In One Vol. 2s.

Latin Dictionary, Cassell's. (Latin-English and English-Latin.) Revised by J. R. V. MARCHANT, M.A., and J. F. CHARLES, B.A. 3s. 6d.; half-morocco, 5s.

Latin Primer, The New. By Prof. J. P. POSTGATE. 2s. 6d.

Latin Primer, The First. By Prof. POSTGATE. 1s.

Latin Prose for Lower Forms. By M. A. BAYFIELD, M.A. 2s. 6d.

Laws of Every-Day Life. For the Use of Schools. By H. O. ARNOLD-FORSTER, M.P. 1s. 6d. *Special Edition* on green paper for those with weak eyesight, 1s. 6d.

Lessons in Our Laws; or, Talks at Broadacre Farm. By H. F. LESTER, B.A. In Two Parts. 1s. 6d. each.

Little Folks' History of England. By ISA CRAIG-KNOX. Illustrated. 1s. 6d.

Making of the Home, The. By Mrs. SAMUEL A. BARNETT. 1s. 6d.

Marlborough Books:—Arithmetic Examples. 3s. French Exercises. 3s. 6d. French Grammar. 2s. 6d. German Grammar. 3s. 6d.

Mechanics, A First Book of. By the Rev. J. G. EASTON, M.A. *Cheap Edition*, 2s. 6d.

Mechanics and Machine Design, Numerical Examples in Practical. By R. G. BLAINE, M.E. *New Edition, Revised and Enlarged.* With 79 Illustrations. Cloth, 2s. 6d.

Natural History Coloured Wall Sheets, Cassell's New. Consisting of 16 subjects. Size, 39 by 31 in. Mounted on rollers and varnished. 3s. each.

Object Lessons from Nature. By Prof. L. C. MIALL, F.L.S., F.G.S. Fully Illustrated. *New and Enlarged Edition.* Two Vols. 1s. 6d. each.

Physiology for Schools. By ALFRED T. SCHOFIELD, M.D., M.R.C.S., &c. Illustrated. 1s. 9d. Three Parts, paper covers, 5d. each; or cloth limp, 6d. each.

Poetry Readers, Cassell's New. Illustrated. 12 Books. 1d. each. Cloth, 1s. 6d.

Popular Educator, Cassell's. With Revised Text, New Maps, New Coloured Plates, New Type, &c. Complete in Eight Vols., 5s. each; or Eight Vols. in Four, half-morocco, 50s.

Readers, Cassell's "Belle Sauvage." An Entirely New Series. Fully Illustrated. Strongly bound in cloth. (*List on application.*)

Reader, The Citizen. By H. O. ARNOLD-FORSTER, M.P. Cloth, 1s. 6d.; also a Scottish Edition, cloth, 1s. 6d.

Reader, The Temperance. By J. DENNIS HIRD. Limp cloth, 1s.; or cloth boards, 1s. 6d.

Readers, Cassell's "Higher Class." (*List on application.*)

Readers, Cassell's Readable. Illustrated. (*List on application.*)

Readers for Infant Schools, Coloured. Three Books. 4d. each.

Readers, Geographical, Cassell's New. With Numerous Illustrations in each Book. (*List on application.*)

Readers, The Modern Geographical. Illustrated throughout. (*List on application.*)

Readers, The Modern School. Illustrated. (*List on application.*)

Round the Empire. By G. R. PARKIN. With a Preface by the Rt. Hon. the Earl of Rosebery, K.G. Fully Illustrated. 1s. 6d.

Science Applied to Work. By J. A. BOWER. Illustrated. 1s.

Science of Every-Day Life. By J. A. BOWER. Illustrated. 1s.

Sculpture, A Primer of. By E. ROSCOE MULLINS. Illustrated. 2s. 6d.

Shade from Models, Common Objects, and Casts of Ornament, How to. By W. E. SPARKES. With 25 Plates by the Author. 3s.

Shakspere's Plays for School Use. Illustrated. 9 Books. 6d. each.

Spelling, A Complete Manual of. By J. D. MORELL, LL.D. 1s.

Technical Educator, Cassell's. An entirely New Cyclopædia of Technical Education, with Coloured Plates and Engravings. Complete in Six Vols., 3s. 6d. each.

Technical Manuals, Cassell's. Illustrated throughout. 16 Vols., from 2s. to 4s. 6d. (*List free on application.*)

Technology, Manuals of. Edited by Prof. AYRTON, F.R.S., and RICHARD WORMELL, D.Sc., M.A. Illustrated throughout. (*List on application.*)

Things New and Old; or, Stories from English History. By H. O. ARNOLD-FORSTER, M.P. Fully Illustrated. Strongly bound in Cloth. Standards I and II., 9d. each; Standard III., 1s.; Standard IV., 1s. 3d.; Standards V. and VI., 1s. 6d. each; Standard VII., 1s. 8d.

World of Ours, This. By H. O. ARNOLD-FORSTER, M.P. Fully Illustrated. *Cheap Edition.* 2s. 6d.

Books for Young People.

"Little Folks" Half-Yearly Volume. Containing 480 pages of Letterpress, with Six Full-page Coloured Plates, and numerous other Pictures printed in Colour. Picture boards, 3s. 6d.; or cloth gilt, gilt edges, 5s.

Bo-Peep. A Treasury for the Little Ones. Yearly Vol. With Original Stories and Verses. Illustrated with Eight Full-page Coloured Plates, and numerous other Pictures printed in Colour. Elegant picture boards, 2s. 6d.; cloth, 3s. 6d.

Beneath the Banner. Being Narratives of Noble Lives and Brave Deeds. By F. J. CROSS. Illustrated. Limp cloth, 1s.; cloth boards, gilt edges, 2s.

Good Morning! Good Night! Morning and Evening Readings for Children, by the Author of "Beneath the Banner." Fully Illustrated. Limp cloth, 1s., or cloth boards, gilt edges, 2s.

Five Stars in a Little Pool. By EDITH CARRINGTON. Illustrated. *Cheap Edition*, 3s. 6d.

Merry Girls of England. By L. T. MEADE. 3s. 6d.

Beyond the Blue Mountains. By L. T. MEADE. Illustrated. 5s.

The Cost of a Mistake. By SARAH PITT. Illustrated. *New Edition.* 2s. 6d.

The Peep of Day. Cassell's Illustrated Edition. 2s. 6d.

A Book of Merry Tales. By MAGGIE BROWNE, SHEILA, ISABEL WILSON, and C. L. MATÉAUX. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.

A Sunday Story-Book. By MAGGIE BROWNE, SAM BROWNE, and AUNT ETHEL. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.

A Bundle of Tales. By MAGGIE BROWNE, SAM BROWNE, & AUNT ETHEL. 3s. 6d.

Story Poems for Young and Old. By E. DAVENPORT. 3s. 6d.

Pleasant Work for Busy Fingers. By MAGGIE BROWNE. Illustrated. *Cheap Edition.* 2s. 6d.

Magic at Home. By Prof. HOFFMAN. Fully Illustrated. A Series of easy and startling Conjuring Tricks for Beginners. Cloth gilt, 3s. 6d.

Little Mother Bunch. By Mrs. MOLESWORTH. Illustrated. *New Edition.* 2s. 6d.

Heroes of Every-Day Life. By LAURA LANE. With about 20 Full-page Illustrations. 256 pages, crown 8vo, cloth, 2s. 6d.

Ships, Sailors, and the Sea. By R. J. CORNEWALL-JONES. Illustrated throughout, and containing a Coloured Plate of Naval Flags. *Cheap Edition*, 2s. 6d.

Gift Books for Young People. By Popular Authors. With Four Original Illustrations in each. Cloth gilt, 1s. 6d. each.

The Boy Hunters of Kentucky. By Edward S. Ellis.
Red Feather: a Tale of the American Frontier. By Edward S. Ellis.
Fritters; or, "It's a Long Lane that has no Turning."
Trixy; or, "Those who Live in Glass Houses shouldn't throw Stones."
The Two Hardcastles.
Seeking a City.
Rhoda's Reward.
Jack Marston's Anchor.
Frank's Life-Battle.
Major Monk's Motto; or, "Look Before you Leap."
Tim Thomson's Trial; or, "All is not Gold that Glitters."
Ursula's Stumbling-Block.
Ruth's Life-Work; or, "No Pains, no Gains."
Rags and Rainbows.
Uncle William's Charge.
Pretty Pink's Purpose.

"Golden Mottoes" Series, The. Each Book containing 208 pages, with Four Full-page Original Illustrations. Crown 8vo, cloth gilt, 2s. each.

"Nil Desperandum." By the Rev. F. Langbridge, M.A.
"Bear and Forbear." By Sarah Pitt.
"Foremost if I Can." By Helen Atteridge.
"Honour is my Guide." By Jeanie Hering (Mrs. Adams-Acton).
"Aim at a Sure End." By Emily Searchfield.
"He Conquers who Endures." By the Author of "May Cunningham's Trial," &c.

"Cross and Crown" Series, The. With Four Illustrations in each Book. Crown 8vo, 256 pages, 2s. 6d. each.

Heroes of the Indian Empire; or, Stories of Valour and Victory. By Ernest Foster.
Through Trial to Triumph; or, "The Royal Way." By Madeline Bonavia Hunt.
In Letters of Flame: A Story of the Waldenses. By C. L. Matéaux.
Strong to Suffer: A Story of the Jews. By E. Wynne.
By Fire and Sword: A Story of the Huguenots. By Thomas Archer.
Adam Hepburn's Vow: A Tale of Kirk and Covenant. By Annie S. Swan.
No. XIII.; or, The Story of the Lost Vestal. A Tale of Early Christian Days. By Emma Marshall.
Freedom's Sword: A Story of the Days of Wallace and Bruce. By Annie S. Swan.

Albums for Children. Price 3s. 6d. each.

The Album for Home, School, and Play. Set in bold type, and illustrated throughout.
My Own Album of Animals. Illustrated.
Picture Album of All Sorts. Illustrated.
The Chit-Chat Album. Illustrated.

"Wanted—a King" Series. *Cheap Edition.* Illustrated. 2s. 6d. each.

Great-Grandmamma. By Georgina M. Synge.
Fairy Tales in Other Lands. By Julia Goddard.
Wanted—a King; or, How Merle set the Nursery Rhymes to Rights. By Maggie Browne.
Robin's Ride. By Ellinor Davenport Adams.

"Peeps Abroad" Library. *Cheap Editions.* Cloth gilt, 2s. 6d. each.

Rambles Round London. By C. L. Matéaux. Illustrated.
Around and About Old England. By C. L. Matéaux. Illustrated.
Paws and Claws. By one of the Authors of "Poems Written for a Child." Illustrated.
Decisive Events in History. By Thomas Archer. With Original Illustrations.
The True Robinson Crusoes.
Peeps Abroad for Folks at Home. Illustrated throughout.
Wild Adventures in Wild Places. By Dr. Gordon Stables, R.N. Illustrated.
Modern Explorers. By Thomas Frost. Illustrated. *New and Cheaper Edition.*
Early Explorers. By Thomas Frost.
Home Chat with our Young Folks. Illustrated throughout.
Jungle, Peak, and Plain. Illustrated throughout.

Three-and-Sixpenny Books for Young People. With Original Illustrations. Cloth gilt, 3s. 6d. each.

Told Out of School. By A. J. Daniels.
† Red Rose and Tiger Lily. By L. T. Meade.
The Romance of Invention. By James Burnley.
† Bashful Fifteen. By L. T. Meade.
The King's Command. A Story for Girls. By Maggie Symington.
† A Sweet Girl Graduate. By L. T. Meade.
† The White House at Inch Gow. By Sarah Pitt.
† Polly. By L. T. Meade.
† The Palace Beautiful. By L. T. Meade.
"Follow my Leader."
For Fortune and Glory.
Lost among White Africans.
† A World of Girls. By L. T. Meade.

Books marked thus † can also be had in extra cloth gilt, gilt edges, 5s. each.

Books by Edward S. Ellis. Illustrated. Cloth, 2s. 6d. each.

In the Days of the Pioneers.
The Phantom of the River.
Shod with Silence.
The Great Cattle Trail.
The Path in the Ravine.
The Hunters of the Ozark.
The Camp in the Mountains.
Ned in the Woods. A Tale of Early Days in the West.
Down the Mississippi.
The Last War Trail.
Ned on the River. A Tale of Indian River Warfare.
Footprints in the Forest.
Up the Tapajos.
Ned in the Block House. A Story of Pioneer Life in Kentucky.
The Young Ranchers.
The Lost Trail.
Camp-Fire and Wigwam.
Lost in the Wilds.
Lost in Samoa. A Tale of Adventure in the Navigator Islands.
Tad; or, "Getting Even" with Him.

Cassell's Picture Story Books. Each containing 60 pages. 6d. each.

Little Talks.
Bright Stars.
Nursery Joys.
Pet's Posy.
Tiny Tales.
Daisy's Story Book.
Dot's Story Book.
A Nest of Stories.
Good Night Stories.
Chats for Small Chatterers.
Auntie's Stories.
Birdie's Story Book.
Little Chimes.
A Sheaf of Tales.
Dewdrop Stories.

Illustrated Books for the Little Ones. Containing interesting Stories. All Illustrated. 9d. each.

Bright Tales and Funny Pictures.
Merry Little Tales.
Little Tales for Little People.
Little People and Their Pets.
Tales Told for Sunday.
Sunday Stories for Small People.
Stories and Pictures for Sunday.
Bible Pictures for Boys and Girls.
Firelight Stories.
Sunlight and Shade.
Rub-a-dub Tales.
Fine Feathers and Fluffy Fur.
Scrambles and Scrapes.
Tittle Tattle Tales.
Dumb Friends.
Indoors and Out.
Some Farm Friends.
Those Golden Sands.
Little Mothers and their Children.
Our Pretty Pets.
Our Schoolday Hours.
Creatures Tame.
Creatures Wild.
Up and Down the Garden.
All Sorts of Adventures.
Our Sunday Stories.
Our Holiday Hours.
Wandering Ways.

Shilling Story Books. All Illustrated, and containing Interesting Stories.

Seventeen Cats.
Bunty and the Boys.
The Heir of Elmdale.
The Mystery at Shoncliff School.
Claimed at Last, and Roy's Reward.
Thorns and Tangles.
The Cuckoo in the Robin's Nest.
John's Mistake.
Diamonds in the Sand.
Surly Bob.
The History of Five Little Pitchers.
The Giant's Cradle.
Shag and Doll.
Aunt Lucia's Locket.
The Magic Mirror.
The Cost of Revenge.
Clever Frank.
Among the Redskins.
The Ferryman of Brill.
Harry Maxwell.
A Banished Monarch.

Eighteenpenny Story Books. All Illustrated throughout.

Wee Willie Winkie.
Ups and Downs of a Donkey's Life.
Three Wee Ulster Lassies.
Up the Ladder.
Dick's Hero; & other Stories.
The Chip Boy.
Raggles, Baggles, and the Emperor.
Roses from Thorns.
Faith's Father.
By Land and Sea.
The Young Berringtons.
Jeff and Leff.
Tom Morris's Error.
Worth more than Gold.
"Through Flood—Through Fire."
The Girl with the Golden Looks.
Stories of the Olden Time.

Library of Wonders. Illustrated Gift-books for Boys. Cloth, 1s. 6d.

Wonderful Escapes.
Wonders of Animal Instinct.
Wonderful Balloon Ascents.
Wonders of Bodily Strength and Skill.

The "World in Pictures" Series. Illustrated throughout. *Cheap Edition.* 1s. 6d. each.

A Ramble Round France.
All the Russias.
Chats about Germany.
Peeps into China.
The Land of Pyramids (Egypt).
The Eastern Wonderland (Japan).
Glimpses of South America.
Round Africa.
The Land of Temples (India).
The Isles of the Pacific.

Two-Shilling Story Books. All Illustrated.

Margaret's Enemy.
Stories of the Tower.
Mr. Burke's Nieces.
May Cunningham's Trial.
The Top of the Ladder: How to Reach it.
Little Flotsam.
Madge and her Friends.
The Children of the Court.
Maid Marjory.
The Four Cats of the Tippertons.
Marion's Two Homes.
Little Folks' Sunday Book.
Two Fourpenny Bits.
Poor Nelly.
Tom Heriot.
Aunt Tabitha's Waifs.
In Mischief Again.
Through Peril to Fortune.
Peggy, and other Tales.

Half-Crown Story Books.

In Quest of Gold; or, Under the Whanga Falls.
On Board the *Esmeralda;* or, Martin Leigh's Log.
Esther West.
For Queen and King.
Perils Afloat and Brigands Ashore.
Working to Win.
At the South Pole.
Pictures of School Life and Boyhood.

Cassell's Pictorial Scrap Book. In Six Books, each containing 32 pages, 6d. each.

Books for the Little Ones. Fully Illustrated.

Rhymes for the Young Folk. By William Allingham. Beautifully Illustrated. 1s. 6d.
The Sunday Scrap Book. With Several Hundred Illustrations. Boards, 3s. 6d.; cloth, gilt edges, 5s.
Cassell's Robinson Crusoe. With 100 Illustrations. Cloth, 3s. 6d.; gilt edges, 5s.
The Old Fairy Tales. With Original Illustrations. Cloth, 1s.
Cassell's Swiss Family Robinson. Illustrated. Cloth, 3s. 6d.; gilt edges, 5s.
The New "Little Folks" Painting Book. Containing nearly 350 Outline Illustrations suitable for Colouring. 1s.

The World's Workers. A Series of New and Original Volumes by Popular Authors. With Portraits printed on a tint as Frontispiece. 1s. each.

John Cassell. By G. Holden Pike.
Charles Haddon Spurgeon. By G. Holden Pike.
Dr. Arnold of Rugby. By Rose E. Selfe.
The Earl of Shaftesbury.
Sarah Robinson, Agnes Weston, and Mrs. Meredith.
Thomas A. Edison and Samuel F. B. Morse.
Mrs. Somerville and Mary Carpenter.
General Gordon.
Charles Dickens.
Florence Nightingale, Catherine Marsh, Frances Ridley Havergal, Mrs. Ranyard ("L. N. R.").
Dr. Guthrie, Father Mathew, Elihu Burritt, Joseph Livesey.
Sir Henry Havelock and Colin Campbell, Lord Clyde.
Abraham Lincoln.
David Livingstone.
George Müller and Andrew Reed.
Richard Cobden.
Benjamin Franklin.
Handel.
Turner the Artist.
George and Robert Stephenson.
Sir Titus Salt and George Moore.

*** *The above Works can also be had Three in One Vol., cloth, gilt edges, 3s.*

CASSELL & COMPANY, Limited, Ludgate Hill, London; Paris & Melbourne.

DA
30
T7
v.2

THE LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Santa Barbara

THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE
STAMPED BELOW.

Series 9482

UC SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY
AA 000 327 538 5