

special collections DOUGLAS LIBRARY

queen's university AT KINGSTON

kingston ontario canada





Some Free Thoughts

Upon the Account of the

Proceedings of Both HOUSES,

Relating to the

CONFORMITY-BILL

Last SESSIONS.

Humbly shewing an Expedient

How it might probably have been made more Serviceable to the True Interest of the Church of England.

TER Majesty's most Religious Proclamation, For the Encouragement of Piety and Virtue, and for the preventing and punishing of Vice, Profaneness and Immorality; Issued forth by the Advice of Her Privy Council, Feb. 25, 1702. About the Time of the Conclusion of the last Session of Parliament; wherein She takes Notice, That the Laws against Profaneness, mention'd in Her former Pious Proclamation, (on Her Accession to the Throne) had not been Executed according to Her Majesties Just Expectations and Demands, should be here Transcribed at Large, as a most proper Introduction to the following Expedient: But, It being Order'd to be Solemnly Read in all Publick Meetings of the Magistracy, as well as Four Times a Year in all Churches and Chappels; it may reasonably be presum'd, none can be Ignorant of it, and of the very Weighty Considerations on which it is Grounded.

Pursuant therefore to the Designs of that Excellent Proclamation, and in Compliance with Her Truly Christian Majesty's most hearty Desire, That Religion, Piety, and Good Manners may Flourish and Increase under Her Administration and Government; (which we have all Reason to Pray to God, to continue long a Blessing to these Kingdoms) It is humbly Suppos'd, that a Clause might fitly have been added to the Celebrated Bill, For preventing Occasional Conformity, to this Effect,

"And, whereas feveral Perfons of Profane and Atheistical Principles, and of Vicious

" and Debauched Lives, do fometimes Join " with the Good and Pious Members of the " Church of England, in Receiving the Holy " Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, only to " Qualifie themselves to Have and Enjoy fun-" dry Offices and Employments; and yet after-" wards Perfift in divers Lewd and Immoral " Practices, to the great Dishonour of Almighty God, the Scandal of our Holy Re-" ligion, and the ill Examples of others, and " contrary to the Intent and Meaning of the "Laws already made; Be it therefore Ena-cted, &c. That if any such Person or "Persons asoresaid, who by the Laws are obliged to Receive the Sacrament of the " Lord's Supper, according to the Rites and "Usages of the Church of England, as a Qua-lification for his, or their Repective Office " or Employment, shall at any Time after their Admission into their Respective Offices " or Employments, and during his or their " Continuance in such Office or Employment, be " Guilty of Blasphemy, contrary to the Sta-"tutes of the 9th and 10th Year of King "William the III. Or of Prophane Curfing or " Swearing, contrary to the Statute of the " 6th and 7th Year of King William the III. " Chap. 11. Or of Excessive Drinking or Tip-"ling, contrary to the Statutes of the 4th.
"Year of King James the I. Chap. 5. And
of the 21st Year of King James the I.
"Chap. 7. Or of Profanation of the Lord's " Day, contrary to the Statute of the 29th "Year of King Charles the II. Chap. 7. Or "of

" of absenting themselves from Church by the "space of a Month, contrary to the Statute of the 23d of Queen Elizabeth. Chap. 1.

"of the 23d of Queen Elizabeth. Chap. I.
"Or of Adultery or Fornication, contrary to
the Known Laws of God. and the Ecclefiaftical Conflitutions of this Realm; and
fhall thereof be legally Convicted, &c.

That then every Perion fo Offending as
aforefaid, shall Incur the same Penalties,
Forfeitures, and Incapacities, as if he had
been present at any Conventicle or Meeting,
under Colour or Pretence of any Exercise of
Religion, in other Manner than according
to the Liturgy, and Practice of the Church

" of England.
Upon this Clause, it is humbly Offer'd to

Consideration.

I. Whether the Receiving of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, according to the Rites and Usages of the Church of England, do only oblige Men to a Conformity of those Rites and Usages, and no other? Or, Whether it do not also Oblige Men Religiously to Regard all the Doctrines and Laws of Christ's Holy Religion? And, Whether it be not as strong an Engagement against Immorality and Profaneness, as it is against Non-Conformi-

ty?

2. Whether the Rubrick perfix'd to the Order for the Administration of the Lord's Supper, [which Appoints, That none who is an Open and Notorious Evil Liver, shall presume to come to the Lord's Table, until he has openly Declared himself to have truly Repented, and Amended his former naughty Life; that the Congregation may thereby be Satisfied, which before was Offended] he not as Good and Wholsom a Constitution of the Church of England, and every Way as Binding, and as fit to be Supported, as that which Obligeth the People to Receive the Communion all Meekly Kneeling? And, whether a common Drunkard, and a common Swearer, may not be look'd upon as an Open and Notorious Evil Liver, in the Sense of that Rubrick ?.

3. Whether the Laws which have provided, That every Person to be Admitted into any Office or Employment, should Receive the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, according to the Rites and Usage of the Church of England, did not thereby intend; That such Persons should be not only Conformable to the Church as by Law Established; But also, that they should be Persons of a Religious, Sober and Virtuous Life; For, otherwise by the Rubrick aforcsaid, They were not to be Ad-

mitted to the Lord's Table.

4. Whether the undeniable Profaneness of too many of the Officers, both of the Army and Navy, do not cast some Reslections upon those Ministers of the Church of England; who Admitted them to the Lord's Table, to Qualifie them for their Offices, before they lad (according to the Rubrick,) truly Repented and Amended their former naughty Lives? And, whether some Expedient should not be found out to prevent that Reslection?

5. Whether the Conformity of the Irreligious, the Deifts, and the Profane, to Qualific them for Offices, be not as truly an Occaffonal Conformity as that of Diffenters; and therefore, whether the Clause offer'd, would not have been Necessary to the full Answer-

ing of the Title of the Bill?

6. Whether all those Reasons which the Commons at the Free Conference, Jan. 16, 1702. Urged for the Preventing of the Octional Conformity of Diffenters; be not as Strong for the Preventing of the Occasional Conformity of the Vicious and Prophane? And, whether it be not as Just and Reasonable, for one single Act or Instance of Vice and Profanencis, (much more a continued Course) to Punish and Incapacitate a Man as Vicious and Profane; as it is

as Vicious and Profane; as it is to Punish and Incapacitate a Man as a Diffenter, for being once present at a Diffenters Meeting?

Account 8vo.

Let us then take the Common's Reasons in

order as they ly, and enquire,

1. Whether it would not contribute as much to the Support of the Interests both of Church and State, p. 22. thus to discountenance Atheism and Prophaneness (which are certainly destructive to all Societies Civil and Sacred) as thus to discountenance the Separation? And, Whether since the Prevalency of Vice, if not timely remedied, threatens (as the Proclamation expresses it) to draw down the Divine Vengeance upon the Kingdom, all that are Friends to the Church and State, should not do what they can to prevent that Vengeance?

(2.) Whether the Occasional Conformity of the Vicious and Prophane be not as Scandalous a Practice as the Occasional Conformity of sober and moderate Dissenters? And, Whether it be not as great a Reproach to Religion, and do not give as just an Offence to all good Christians, and even to the best of the Church of England men themselves? And, Whether the Intent of this Bill should not have been as well to restrain, to put a stop to the one as to

the other?

(3.) Whether this Clause oposeth to E-nast any thing new, more than the Bill did? And, Whether it might not justly be intended to make not only the Laws in Being, but also Her Majesties Proclamation more effectual?

(4.) Whether fince the Invention, fince the Iniquity of Atheiftical and Prophane Men have found out ways to evade and elude the Laws which were thought sufficient to secure Vertue and Religion, any one can doubt but that the Lords, and Commons too, would let these Men see, they will not be wanting on their part to maintain and support them? And, Whether since (as the Proclamation saith) we cannot expect the Blessing of Almighty God without a Religious Observance of his Holy Laws, this Clause be not as necessary as the Bill it self for the preventing of those Mischiess which must prove destructive to the Church and the Monarchy?

(5.) Whether if any should have p. 23. opposed this Clause, they might not be thought capable of having Designs, they were asham'd to own? And whether since nothing is design'd in it, but the Preservation of Virtue and Morality, as well as of Christistianity, any doubt can be made of its having met with the chearful Concurrence of both Houses?

(6.) Whether the Support of Christs Holy Religion be not absolutely necessary to the Support of the Church of Engiland? And whether it be not especially necessary at this Day, when so many ill Men deny the Inspiration of the Sacred Writings, (many more than pretend to Inspiration themselves) and when there are so many weak Men to follow them?

'(7.) Whether if the Support of Christianity be necessary, the only effectual Way to do it be not by keeping the Civil Power in the Hands of those, whose Principles and Pradises are con-

formable to it.

(8.) Whether all ought not to have fresh in their Minds, the Confusions and Calamities that have been brought upon the Nation, by such as presend to be in the true Interest of the Church of England, and their Country, and yet by their Atheistical Principles, and their vicious and immoral Lives, have prov'd dangerous Enemies to both? And whether one might not reasonably have thought, that the Test-Ast had provided a sufficient Barrier to defeat and disappoint the Attempts of the Irreligious and Prosane, by Enasting, that all in Offices should receive the Lords Supper, and thereby testitic their

Belief of all the Sacred Doctrines of the Gofpel, and oblige themselves to an observance of all its Laws? And whether one could ever have imagin'd, that a Set of Men would at any time rise up of such profligate Consciences, as to arraign Divine Revelation, ridicule serious Godliness, and live in a constant Contradiction to the great Laws of Christianity, and yet, for Preferment to submit to the most sacred and binding Rite of that Holy Religion?

(9.) Whether it would not have been both the Glory and Safety of Her Majesties most Auspicious Reign, if now such an Act as this should have past in favour of the Church of England, That the Laws against Impiety and Immorality (the Churches most dangerous Enemies) which have been neglected, may hereby be effectually enforced? And whether this would not have been highly pleasing to Her Majesty, who liath by Her Pious Proclamation intimated, That there needs some further Expedients, to reduce to Shame and Contempt, those ill Habits and Pra-Elises which the Laws, it may be, are not sufficient wholly to prevent; and even particularly recommended the Good Example of those in Authority, as the best Expedient? And whether the Ends of Her Majesties Proclamation could better have been answer'd, than by keeping Profane and Vicious Men out of Offices. who have sufficiently shewn they never wanted the Will, when they had the Power to destroy Christianity, and with it the Church of Eng-

this Claufe should be mifrepresented, Ibid. and under any Colour opposid, but by such only as having Sold themselves to work Wickedness, late to be Reform'd?

gal Toleration of Atheism and Profaneness, on which this Clause would be in any Danger of intrenching?

(12.) Whether this Clause would have taken from the Atheists and p. 24. Profane any one Priviledge they have by Law, or given any one Priviledge to Virtue and Religion, which is not at least intended them by the Laws as they now stand, and by Her Majesties Declaration, that She will upon all Occasions distinguish Men of Piety and Virtue, by Marks of Her Royal Favour.

17. Whether (of the Two) there be not stronger and better Reasons, for the incapacitating of those for Offices, that are incorrigi-

bly

bly Vicious and Profane; than of those who are otherwise, Men of sincere Affection to Vertue and Religion, Zealous for Her Majeflies Government, true to the Interests of the Nation, cordial Friends to all the Effentials of the Church of England, and having the truest Zeal to support it: Tho' they are not yet fo passionately enamour'd with its peculiar Rites and Forms, as to think it a Mortal Sin to Worship God without them, but for the present are of the mind of those Noble Lords, who thought that the Diffenters could not properly be called Schismaticks?

Let us compare this Claufe with the Bill to which it might have been annex'd, and let us

enquire,

1. Whether Prophaning the Bleffed Name of God, by Oaths and Curfes, be not really a Greater Crime than a devout and conscientious joining with the Godly Prayer of a Dissenter, fuppose in a private Family, or in Visiting of the Sick? And therefore, Whether the Penalty of Two Shillings for the former, and of One Hundred Pounds (Five Hundred perhaps) and Lofs of Livelihood for the later, be

proportionable?

2. Whether those that pretend to be for the Church, but feldom, or never, go to Church, be not worse Men, and more hurtful to the Prefent Establishment, than those who sometimes Religiously attend the Worship of God according to the usage of the Church of England, and fometimes according to the usage of the French and Dutch Churches, establish in this Realm? And whether therefore the former should be liable only to the Penalty of Twelve Pence a Sunday, and the latter liable to the heaviest Punishment next to loss of Life, for so, incapacity to serve one's Country, the Lords say, may justly be accounted?

3. Whether it be not possible that a Moderate Church-man, who thinks he may lawfully be present sometimes at a Meeting of Dissenters, and on fome Occasions ought to do so; may (both the one and the other) therein act, according to the Dictates of their own Confciences? And whether therefore the putting of fo great a Penalty, either upon the one or the other, as this Bill did, would not have been Persecution for Conscience only? than which (as the Preamble of the Bill declares) nothing is more contrary to the Profession of the Christian

Religion, and particularly to the Dostrine of the Church of England. But on the other hand, Whether it be possible that any should pretend themselves bound in Conscience to persist in Vice and Immorality?

4. Whether it be not more Necessary to strengthen the Bulwarks against those Practices which Her Majesty hath by Proclamation declar'd her Pious Resolution to discountenance and punish, to discourage and suppress, than against those Practices which are permitted and allowed, and taken under the Protection of the Government by an Act of Parliament, which Her Majesty hath Declar'd, She will be careful to preserve and maintain, and which the Bill acknowledgeth ought inviolably to be observed?

5. Since Magistrates are not impower'd to punish Dissenters, but are (besides the Obligation of the Laws and their Oaths) particularly Required and Commanded by Her Majesty's Proclamation to be vigilant and strict in the Discovery and Effectual Prosecution and Punishment of all Persons who shall be guilty of Excessive Drinking, Blasphemy, Prophane Swearing or Cursing, Lewdness, Prophanation of the Lord's Day, and other diffolute, immoral or diforderly Practices; and are especially charged to suppress all Bawdy-Houses, &c. And this Upon pain of Her Majesties Highest Displeasure, and of being proceeded against with the utmost Rigor that may be by Law: Whether it be not much more Necessary to keep out of the Magistracy fuch as being themselves Vicious and Prophane, will not only be remiss and negligent in putting those wholsome Laws in Execution which are so Solemnly given them in Charge, but by their Example support and propagate Vice and Prophaneness, than to Exclude such as would be faithful and vigorous in their Obedience to Her Majesty, but only are so weak as to think themselves oblig'd in Conscience to give Countenance fometimes to the Assemblies of pious and peaceable Dissenters, or of the Protestant Refugees?

6. Whether the incapacitating of the vicious and prophane be not a likely Means to Reform them, and bring them to Vertue, which will be a Point gain'd to the Church, while the incapacitating of moderate and pliable Differences will be in danger to harden them, and confirm them in their Diffent, which will

be a Point lost to the Church?

By a Loyal Subject of Her Most Gracious Majesty (whom God long Preserve and Bless) And a True Lover of the Church of England.







