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## PREFACE.

Tins book does not pretend to be a history of the English tongue; I attempt nothing more than to trace the way in which one special dialect took the lead in our island ; I also try to point out the earliest instances of corruptions in our speech. Hence attention must be given to the North rather than to the South ; we must think more of the first appearance of the New in the Northumbrian Versions of the Bible, than of the last traces of the Old in the Ayenbite of Inwyt and works still more modern. We must look to York rather than to Canterbury. I may mention that, until I began to study English with thoroughness, I had no idea how much of our Standard speech is due to Northern shires; how much influence the Norsemen have had in our land;

[^0]how many of our idioms, seemingly modern, date from long before the Norman Conquest; and how many hundreds of our Romance words were used so far back as the Thirteenth Century.

With the help of our old writers, I mark the advance of our tongue; much as the changes in English Architecture for four hundred and fifty years may be traced by the man who visits in succession the Cathedrals of Durham, Lincoln, Exeter, and Winchester; or as the improvements in the English Constitution may be traced, from the woods of Germany to the Convention Parliament in 1689, by the documents printed in the small work of Professor Stubbs.

It is always well to begin from the beginning; I have therefore started from a point, that would have astonished the most keen-sighted of philologers seventy years ago. Mighty indeed were the results wrought by the great discovery as to the true use of Sanscrit. ${ }^{1}$ Of these results the best idea may be formed by any one who compares the writings of Garnett with those of Horne Tooke. The two men were for many years contemporary; yet, thanks to the great discovery, the philological knowledge of

[^1]Garnett is as far above that of Horne Tooke as Stephenson's engine outstrips Pharaoh's chariot. It is a loss to mankind that Garnett has left so little behind him. He seems to have been the nearest approach England ever made to bringing forth a Mezzofanti, and he combined in himself qualities not often found in the same man. When his toilsome industry is amassing facts, he plods like a German; when his playful wit is unmasking quackery, he flashes like a Frenchman. He it was who first called attention to the varying dialects of England and who first endeavoured to classify them. This work has since his death been most ably achieved by Dr. Morris.

To this gentleman I am under the greatest obligations, since he has looked over my proof-sheets as far as page 240 ; and many a correction do I owe to him. I have sometimes dared to differ from him, not without fear and trembling. As to what he has done for English Philology, I may perhaps be looked upon as a prejudiced witness; I therefore prefer to quote from Mr. Murray's 'Dialect of the Southern Counties of Scotland,' p. 40, published in 1873 (Transactions of the Philological Society): 'Very recent is our knowledge of any facts connected with the distribution and distinguishing characteristics of the dialects of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries-a region
of research which was all but a terra incognita when taken up by Mr. Richard Morris. His classification of the Early English dialects into Southern, Midland, and Northern, with the careful discrimination of their grammatical forms, has introduced order and precision into the study.'

It is not too much to say that the man who shall henceforth undertake any work upon the English tongue, without having always before him the grammatical works of Dr. Morris and Dr. March, must be the greatest of fools. I have followed Dr. March in my first Chapter, and have also consulted Bopp, Guest, Bosworth, Wedgwood, Marsh, Latham, Earle, and Max Müller. Thanks to the labours of the Early English Text Society, a writer of 1873 has great advantages over a writer of 1863. The English Homilies of the Twelfth Century, edited by Dr. Morris, are in themselves a mine of wealth to the Philologer. One of my best aids has been Dr. Stratmann's Dictionary of the Old English Language. This includes all words used between 1120 and 1440 ; the last Volume of the work did not reach me until April, 1873. Many new words and idioms in Orrmin, Layamon, and the Ancren Riwle were overlooked by me when I first went over those books, until afterwards the Dictionary forced the words upon my notice.

Without its help I could not have drawn up the lists of the new terms that cropped up between 1300 and $1500 .{ }^{1}$

I must apologize to those of my readers, who are unlearned, for the Latin in my text; the truth is, that there are so many shades of meaning in our words, that I cannot thoroughly explain myself without falling back upon the foreign tongue. When specifying English words, I have almost wholly confined myself to terms in use in 1873 ; of these, about fifteen hundred, I think, occur in my pages. In a work like this, ranging over the monuments of twelve hundred years, mistakes will be made; I have no doubt that I have sometimes assigned to a new werd a date later than its real first appearance in England.

It is but fair to warn those who love to call a spade 'an horticultural implement,' that they will not relish my Sixth Chapter. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ One of the charms of Philology is, that new facts bearing upon it are always forthcoming, if a man will but keep his eyes and ears open. I for one have picked up much from gamekeepers and sextons in many a shire. In the Orton-Tichborne trial (the one for perjury), a Hampshire witness called the stump of a tree 'the more.' This word may be seen in the Dorsetshire poem of 1240 , which is quoted in my work. The more occurs in the trial as reported by the Daily Papers of September 4, 1873.
${ }^{2}$ Like a trusty sentinel, I sound an alarm against the enemy's approach down to the very last moment. September, 1873, has been remarkable for the opening of the new Town Hall at Bradford, for

The printers have been good enough to let me write rime in the English, and not in the Greek, way. But I may mention that they have in general struck out $z$ in favour of $s$; thus they have printed civilise instead of the civilize I wrote. Had they made alterations in a Teutonic word, I should at once have sprung to the rescue. I give this as an instance of the shifting that may be remarked in the history of the English tongue : some change or other is always at work. Caxton and his sons have ruled our spelling for the last four hundred years; in the instance referred to above, they may justify their alteration by Wickliffe's verb evangelise.

I rejoice to see that England is waking up at last to the importance of studying her own tongue in all its stages; and I hope that this small book, my first attempt in Philology, may help forward the good cause.
the English Pilgrimage to St. Marie Alacoque, and for the abandonment of France by the Germans. Our penny-a-liners called the Town Hall a grandiose building; asked what was the rationale of pilgrimages; and described the men of freed Verdun as ingurgitating spirituous stimulus. What will a penny paper of 1973 be like ?:
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## SOURCES OF STANDARD ENGLISH.

## CHAPTER I.

## ENGLISH IN ITS EARLIES' SHAPE. ${ }^{1}$

There are many places, scattered over the world, that are hallowed ground in the eyes of Englishmen; but the most sacred of all would be the spot (could we only know it) where our forefathers dwelt in common with the ancestors of the Hindoos, Persians, Greeks, Latins, Slavonians, and Celts-a spot not far from the Oxus. By the unmistakable witness of language we can frame for ourselves a pedigree more truthful than any heraldic tree boasted by Veres or Montmorencies, by Guzmans or Colonnas. Thanks to the same evidence, we can gain some insight into the daily life of the great Aryan clan, whence spring all the above-named nations.

The word 'Arya' seems to come from a time-honoured term for ploughing, traces of which term are found in
${ }^{1}$ Gibbon begins his famous Chapter on Mohammed by confessing his ignorance of Arabic; even so, I must acknowledge that all my Sanscrit comes from Garnett, Bopp, Max Müller, and Dr. Morris.
the Latin arare and the English ear. Some have thought that Iran in the East and Erin in the West alike take their names from the old Aryans, the 'ploughing' folk, men more civilised than the roving Tartar hordes around them.

These tilkers of the ground 'knew the arts of ploughing, of making roads, of building ships, of weaving and sewing, of erecting houses; they had counted at least as far as one hundred. They had domesticated the most important animals, the cow, the horse, the sheep, the dog; they were acquainted with the most useful metals, and armed with hatchets, whether for peaceful or warlike purposes. They had recognised the bonds of blood and the laws of marriage; they followed their leaders and kings; and the distinction between right and wrong was fixed by customs and laws.' ${ }^{1}$ As to their God, traces of him are found in the Sanscrit Dyaus, in the Latin Dies-piter, in the Greek Zeus, in the English Tiw; from this last comes our Tuesday. Moreover, the Aryans had a settled framework of grammar: theirs was that Mother Speech, whence most of the men dwelling between the Shannon and the Ganges inherit the words used in daily life. ${ }^{2}$

The Sanscrit and the English are two out of the many channels that have brought the water from the old Aryan well-head down to our days. The Sanscrit language, having been set down in writing two thousand years before the earliest English, shows us far more of the great Mother Speech than our own tongue does. I

[^2]now print a hundred and thirty words or so, the oldest used by us, which vary but slightly in their Eastern and Western shapes. How the one-syllable roots first arose, no man can say.

| Sanscrit. | English (Old and New). | Sanscrit. | English (Old and New) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| na | ne, no | dhruva (cer- | true |
| a | an, on | tain) |  |
| upa | up | mridu (soft) | mild |
| upari | over | bhurja | birch |
| abhi | by | nâbhi | navel |
| apa | of | nakha | nægel, nail |
| para | far | nava | new |
| puras | for | ukshan (bull) | ox |
| param | fram, from | gô | cú, cow |
| antar | under | avi (ovis) | ewe |
| adhi | at | mûsha | mûs, mouse |
| ud | n̂t, out | hansa (goose) | gander |
| nu. | nu, now | udra | water |
| sa, sâ, tat | se, seô, pæt | swâdu | sweet |
| tê | (the, that) | eda | sweat |
| sama (like) | same | anta | end |
| ubhâ | bâ, both | yuga | yoke |
| kas ${ }^{1}$ | hwâ, who | laghu, laghis- | light, lightest |
| kutra | hwider,whither | tha |  |
| tatra | thither | Divâ-madhyam | Day-middle, |
| katara | hwæðer, whether | râjya | $\begin{aligned} & \text { noon } \\ & \text { rich } \end{aligned}$ |
| antara | (onther) other | vidjâ | wit |
| mahistha | mêst, most | manas | mind |
| dvau | twâ, two | gharmá | warmth |
| tri | pri, three | nâman | nama, name |
| sastha | sixth | lobha (desire) | love |
| saptan | seven | agra (field) |  |
| navan | nine | hval (to move) | hweol, wheel |
| trajôdasan | thirteen | sadas | seat |
| yuvan | young | pathin | path |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{~K}$ in Sanscrit becomes H in a Teutonic tongue.


[^3]Unhappily, we English have been busy, for the last four thousand years, clipping and paring down our inflections, until very few of them are left to us. Of all Europeans, we have been the greatest sinners in this way. Well said the sage of old, that words are like regiments: they are apt to lose a few stragglers on a long march. Still, we can trace a few inflections, that are common to us and to our kinsmen who compiled the Vedas.

In Substantives, we have the Genitive Singular and the Nominative Plural left. ${ }^{1}$

Sanscrit. Old English. New English.

| Nom. Sing. Asva-s (horse) | Wulf | Wolf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gen. Sing. Asva-sja | Wulfes | Wolf's |
| Nom. Plur. Asva-sas | Wulfâs | Wolves |

I give a few Suffixes, common to Sanscrit and English forms of the same root:-

Ma; as from the root gna, know, we get the Sanscrit nâman and the English nama, name.

Ra ; as from the root ag, go, we get the Sanscrit agra and the English acre.

English. I wish that some competent scholar would give us a list of all those of our Teutonic words that are clearly akin to Sanscrit. Antiquam exquirite-sororem. The English bishop and the French evêque, two very modern forms of the same word, are much wider apart from each other than the hoary words in the long list given above. Clive's sailors would have stared, had they been told that the first syllable of the Ganges was to be found in the gangway of their ships, and that kinsmen, long separated, were being re-united.
${ }^{1}$ English, in respect of the Nominative Plural, comes nearer to the Mother Speech than German does.

Nu ; as from the root $s u$, bear, we get the Sanscrit sunus and the English sunu, son.

Der; as from the root $p a$, feed, we get the Sanscrit pi-tar and the English fce-der, father.

U; as the Sanscrit madhu (honey) is the English meodu (mead). Hence our scádu (shadow), seonu (sinew).

Our word silvern must once have been pronounced as silfre-na, having the suffix na in common with the Sanscrit phali-na.

We may wonder why vixen is the feminine of fox, carline of carle. Turning to our Sanscrit and Latin cousins, we find that their words for queen are râj-nt and reg-ina, coming from the root râj. Still, in these last, the $n$ is possessive ; the vowel at the end is the mark of the feminine.

What is the meaning of ward in such a word as heaven-ward? I answer, to turn is vrit in Sanscrit, vertere in Latin.

There is no ending that seems to us more thoroughly Teutonic than the like in such words as workmanlike. But this is seen under a slightly differing shape in the Sanscrit ta-drksa, in the Greek te-lik-os, and the Latin ta-lis. These words answer to our old pŷlic, which survives as thick or thuck in the mouths of Somersetshire peasants. So in Old English we find swy-lic, corrupted by us first into swylc, and then into such.

Our privative $u n$ is seen in Sanscrit, as an-anta-s, un-end-ing.

The Sanscrit kas, ki, kat appears in Latin as quis, quee, quid, and in English as hwâ, hwâ, hwôet (who, what).

The Numerals, up to a hundred, are much the same in Sanscrit, Greek, Latin, and English.

In the Comparison of our Adjectives, we have much in common with Sanscrit. There was a Comparative suffix jans, a Superlative jans-ta.

Sanscrit.
Theme Mah (great) Compar. mah-î-jas Superl. mah-istha

English. Mic-el, much mâ-r-a, more mê-st, most

So swâdu (sweet) becomes swâdîyâns, swâdisthas, (sweeter, sweetest).

The old Comparatives were formed in ra, tara, Superlatives in ma, tama. We have, as relics of the Comparative, other, whether, after; also, over, under.

Of the old Superlatives we have but one left:
Positive. Comparative. Superlative. foreweard fyrra for-ma

But this forma we have degraded into a Comparative, and now call it former. It is, in truth, akin to the Sanscrit 'pra-tha-ma and the Latin pri-mus. Long before the Norman Conquest, we corrupted our old Aryan Superlatives in ma into mest, thinking that they must have some connection with moest, most. Thus we find both ûtema and ûtmest, utmost. Our word aftermost, if written at full length, would be af-ta-ra-ma-jans-ta, a heaping up of signs to express Comparison.

In our Pronouns, we had a Dual as well as a Singular and Plural ; it lasted down to the reign of Edward I.

In our Adverbs, we find traces of the Sanscrit $s$,
with which the old Genitive was formed. Hence comes such a form as 'he must needs go,' which carries us back, far beyond the age of written English, to the Sanscrit adverb formed from the Genitive. Even in the earliest English, the Genitive of néd was néde, and nothing more. In later times we say, ' of a truth, of course,' \&c., which are imitations of the old Adverbial Genitive.

We have not many inflections left in the English Verb. The old form in $m i$, once common to English, Sanscrit, and other dialects, has long dropped ; our word am (in Sanscrit asmi) is now its only representative. It is thought that the old Present ran as shown in the following specimen:

Root nam, take ; a word retained by us till A.D. $1500 .{ }^{1}$


The Perfect of this verb must have been na-nam-ma, in its second syllable lengthening the first vowel of the Present; in other words, forming what is called in English a Strong verb. Sidd-âmi in Sanscrit has sa-sâd- $a$ for its Perfect, words of which we have clipped forms in I sit and I sat. I hight (once llêhhât), from hâtan, and I did (once dide), are the only English Perfects that have kept any trace of their reduplication, and the

[^4]former is our one relic of the Passive voice. The Imperative in Sanscrit was, in the Singular, nama, in the Plural, namata, answering to the Old English nim and nimath. The Infinitive was nam-anaj-a (the Greek nem-enai), which we had pared down into nim-an more than a thousand years ago. The Active Participle was nama-nt, which runs through most of the daughters of the Aryan Tongue, and which kept its ground in the Scotch Lowlands until of late years, as 'ridand' instead of our corrupt word 'riding.' The Sanscrit and English alike have both Strong and Weak Passive Participles; the former ending in $n a$, the latter in $t a$, as stir-na-s, strew-n. ${ }^{1}$

> Sanscrit, yuk-tas
> Greek, zeuk-tos
> Latin, junc-tus
> English, yok-ed (in Lowland Scotch, yok-it).

Those who choose to write $I$ was stopt instead of stopped, may justify their spelling by a reference to the first three forms given above. But this form, though admissible in the Passive Participle, is clearly wrong in the Active Perfect, I stopped, as we shall see further on. ${ }^{2}$

In the Aryan Speech there were a few Verbs which had lost their Presents, and which used their old Perfects as Presents, forming for themselves new weak

[^5]Perfects. I give a specimen of one of these old Perfects, found both in Sanscrit and English.

| Sanscrit. | Old English. | New English. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rêd-a | wât | I wot |
| vêt-tha | wâs-t | Thou wottest |
| vêd-a | wât | He wots |
| vid-ma | wit-o-n | We wot |
| vid-a | wit-o-n | Ye wot |
| vid-us | wit-o-n | They wot |

It is easy to see that, thousands of years before Christ's birth, our forefathers must have used a Present tense, like wit or vid. Our verbs may, can, shall, will, must, dare (most of which we use, with their new Perfects, as auxiliary verbs), have been formed like wot, and are Irregulars.

Our verb to be is most irregular, since it comes from three roots, as, bhu, and vas. One of the points, in which English goes nearer than Sanscrit to the Mother Speech, is the first letter of the Third Person Plural of this verb. We still say are, the old ar-anti or as-anti; in Sanscrit this word appears only as s-anti. The Germans have no form of our am, the Sanscrit asmi.

The old word, which in Sanscrit is da-dhâ-mi, with its Perfect, da-dhau, was brought to the Northumbrian shores by our Pagan forefathers in the shape of ge-d $\hat{o}-m$, di-de. Hence our irregular do, did, the latter of which plays a great part in building Weak Teutonic verbs.

Our verb $g a$, which is now $g o$, is found in Sanscrit as gi-g $\hat{a}-m i$, with its Perfect derived from another verb; we now say went, instead of the old eôde, which Spenser
used; this came from eo. The Lowland Scotch have a corrupt Perfect, gaed, which has been long in use.

Some of the compounds of our English verbs carry us far back. Thus, to explain the meaning of the first syllable in such words as forlorn, fordone, we must look to the Sanscrit parâ.

The Aryan settlement on the banks of the Oxus was in the end broken up. First, the Celt marched towards the setting sun, to hold the Western lands of Europe, and to root out the old Turanian owners of the ground; of these last, the Basques and Lapps alone remain in being. Hundreds of years later the English, with other tribes (they had not yet learnt to count up to a thousand), followed in the Celt's wake, leaving behind them those of their kinsmen who were afterwards to conquer India and Persia, to compile the Vedas, and to leave their handwriting on the rock of Behistun. ${ }^{1}$ Some streams flowed to the West of the great watershed, others to the East.

Many tokens show that the English must have long lived in common with the forefathers of Homer and Nævius. The ending of the Greek word paid-ion is the counterpart of that of the English maid-en; paid-isk-os of cild-isc, childish. ${ }^{2}$ Latin is still nearer akin to us, and sometimes hardly a letter is changed; as when we compare alias and else. Dom-unculus appears in Old English as hus-incle. The Latin fer and the Old English boere, in truth the same word, are attached to substantives,

[^6]which are thus changed into adjectives. Vig-il and wac-ol (wakeful) are but different forms of one word. The Latin calvus, gilvus, and malva are our callow, yellow, and mallow; and the likeness was still more striking before we corrupted the old ending $u$ into ow. Aiei and cevum are the Gothic âiv, the English aye and ever. Latin and English alike slipped the letter $n$ into the middle of a verb before $g$, as frango or frag, and gang or gag. The Latin Future tense cannot be explained by Latin words ; but, on turning to English, we at once see that doma-bo is nothing but our tame-be; that is, I be to tame, or I shall tame. So likewise with ara-bo, or I ear be. ${ }^{1}$ English sometimes shows itself more primitive than Latin; thus, our knot has never lost its first letter, while gnodus was shortened into nodus thousands of years ago.

But all the Teutonic tribes have traces left of their nearness of kin to the Slavonians and Lithuanians, who seem to have been the last of the Aryan stock from whom we Teutons separated. We have seen that, when living in Asia, we were unable to count up to a thousand. The Sanscrit for this numeral is sakasra, the Latin mille. The Slavonians made it tusantja, the Lithuanians tulistanti, and with this the whole Teutonic kindred closely agrees. Further, it seems strange at first sight that we have not framed those two of our numerals that follow ten in some such shape as an-tyne and twâ-tynne, since we go on to preô-tynne, thirteen. The

[^7]explanation is, that the Lithuanian lika answers to the Teutonic tihan, ten; the $k a$ at the end of the former word changes to fa; just as the Sanscrit latvar changes to the Gothic fidvor (our four), and the Latin cado to our fall. If lifan then take the place of the common Teutonic tihan, ân-lifan and twâ-lifan (eleven and twelve) are easily framed. These Eastern kinsmen of ours had also, like ourselves and unlike the rest of the Aryan stock, both a Definite and an Indefinite form of the Adjective.

But the time came when our fathers left off hunting the auroch in the forests to the East of the Vistula, bade farewell to their Lithuanian cousins (one of the most interesting of all the branches of the Aryan tree), and marched Westward, as the Celts had done long before. Up to this time, we may fairly guess, we had kept our verbs in $m i$. It cannot be known when the great Teutonic race was split up into High Germans, Low Germans, and Scandinavians. Hard is it to explain why each of them stuck to peculiar old forms; why the High Germans should have kept the Present Plural of their Verb (a point in which Old English fails woefully), almost as it is in Sanscrit and Latin; why the Low Germans (this term includes the Goths and English) should in general have clung closer to the old inflections than their brethren did, and have refused to corrupt the letter $t$ into $s ;{ }^{1}$ why the Scandinavians should have retained to this day a Passive Voice. I can here do

[^8]14 The Sources of Standard English.
no less than give a substantive and a verb, to show how our brethren (I may now at last drop the word cousins) formed their inflections.

## The Substantive Wolf.

Old English. Gothic. Old High German. Old Norse. singular.

| Nom. wulf | vulfs | wulf | ulfr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gen. wulfes | vulfis | wulfes | ulfs |
| Dat. wulfe | vulfa | wulfa | ulf |
| Acc. wulf | vulf | wulf | ulf |

PLURAL.

| Nom. wulfas | vulfos | wulfa | ulfar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gen. wulfa | vulfe | wulfo | ulfa |
| Dat. wulfum | vulfam | wulfum | ulfum |
| Acc. wulfas | vulfans | wulfa | ulfa |

Present Tense of the Verb niman, to take; whence comes our numb.

| Old English. | Gothic. | Old High German. | Old Norse. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Ic nime | nima | nimu | nem |
| pu nimest | nimis | nimis | nemr |
| he nimeð | nimip | nimit | nemr |
| we nimað | nimam | nemames | nemum |
| ge nimað | nimip | nemat | nemið |
| hi nimað | nimand | nemant | nema |

All these Teutonic tribes must have easily understood each other, about the time of Christ's birth; since, hundreds of years after that event, they were using the above-cited inflections. They had by this time wandered far from the old Aryan framework of speech. Thus, to take one instance-the Dative Plural in um; the Sanscrit Nominative sûnus formed its Dative Plural
in sinnu-bhjas (compare the Latin ped-ibus), ${ }^{1}$ our English word by entering into the third syllable. Sunubhjas was in time pared down in Teutonic mouths to sunub, and this again to sunum. This last corruption of the dative kept its ground in our island until Becket's time. The tendency of old, when we dwelt on the Oxus, and long afterwards, was to pack different words into one; our custom, ever since the days of Henry I., has been to untie the words so packed together; thus sunubhjas has been turned into by sons. ${ }^{2}$ We have two of these old Datives still left, hwil-um, whilom, and seldum, seldom.

We keep to this day many prefixes to verbs ( $a$, be, for, fore, gain, mis, un, with), and many endings of substantives and adjectives, common to us and to our brethren on the mainland; seen in such English words as leechcraft, man-kind, king-dom, maiden-head, wed-lock, gleeman, piece-meal, ridd-ell, kind-red, bishop-rick, friend-ship, dar-ling, sing-er, spin-ster, warn-ing, good-ness, stead-fast, mani-fold, East-ern, stân-ig (stony), aw-ful, god-less, winsome, gold-en, right-wis (righteous). Others, older still, I have given before. Many old Teutonic endings have unhappily dropped out of our speech, and have been replaced by meaner ware.

The Teutons, after turning their backs on the rest of

[^9]their Aryan kin, compounded for themselves a new Perfect of the verb, known as the Weak form. The older Strong Perfect is formed by changing the vowel of the Present, as I sit, I sat, common to English and Sanscrit. But the new Perfect of the Teutons is formed by adding di-de (in Sanscrit, da-dhâu) to the stem. Thus, sealf-ie, I salve, becomes in the Perfect, sealfo-de, the de being contracted from dide. When we say, I loved, it is like saying, I love did. This comes out much plainer in our Gothic sister. ${ }^{1}$

Another peculiarity of the Teutons was the use of the dark Runes, still found engraven on stone, both in our island and on the mainland: these were in later times proscribed by Christianity as the handmaids of witchcraft.

The Celts were roughly driven out of their old abodes, on the banks of the Upper Danube and elsewhere, by the intruding Teutons. The former were far the more civilised of the two races: they have left in their word hall an abiding trace of their settlement in Bavaria, and of their management of salt works. The simple word leather is thought by good judges to have been borrowed from the Celts by their Eastern neighbours. ${ }^{2}$

Others suffered besides the Celts. A hundred years before Christ's birth, the Teutons forced their way into Italy, but were overthrown by her rugged champion Marius. Rather later, they matched themselves against

[^10]Cæsar in Gaul, and felt the heavy hand of Drusus. The two races, the Latin and the Teutonic (neither of them dreamed that they were both sprung from a common Mother), were now brought fairly face to face. Our forefathers, let us hope, bore their share in the great fight, when the German hero smote Varus and his legions; we English should think less of Caractacus and Boadicea, more of Arminius and Velleda. Hitherto we have puzzled out our history from the words used by ourselves and our kin, without help from annalists; now at length the clouds roll away, and Tacitus shows us the Angli, sheltered by their forests and rivers, the men who worshipped Mother Earth, in her own sea-girt island, not far from the Elbe. Little did the great historian guess of the future that lay before the barbarians, whom he held up to his worthless countrymen with so skilful a pen. Some of these Teutonic tribes were to take the place of Rome and become the lords of her Empire, to bear her Eagle and boast her titles ; others of them, later in the world's history, were to rule more millions of subjects than Rome could ever claim, and were to found new empires on shores to her unknown. She had indeed done great things in law and literature ; but her Senate might well have learned a lesson of public spirit from the assemblies held by these barbarians, assemblies to which we can trace a likeness in the later councils held in Wessex, Friesland, Uri, Norway. Rome's most renowned poets were to be outdone by Teuton Makers, men who would soar aloft upon bolder wing into the Unseen and the Unknown, and who would
paint the passions of mankind in more lifelike hues than any Latin writer ever essayed. ${ }^{1}$

But among the many good qualities of ourselves and our kinsmen, tender care for conquered foes has seldom been reckoned; Western Celt and Eastern Slavonian know this full well. Hard times were at hand ; the old worn-out Empire of Rome was to receive fresh life-blood from the healthy Teutons. In the Fifth Century, our brethren overran Spain, Gaul, and Italy; becoming lords of the soil, and overlaying with their own words the old Latin dialects spoken in those provinces. To this time belongs the Beowulf, which is to us English (may I not say, to all Teutons?) what the Iliad was to the Greeks. The old Epic, written on the mainland, sets before us the doughty deeds of an Englishman, before his tribe had come to Britain. There is an unmistakable Pagan ring about the poem ; and a Christian transcriber, hundreds of years afterwards, has sought to soften down this spirit, which runs through the recital of the feats of Ecgtheow's bairn.

In the same age as the Beowulf were written the Battle of Finsborough and the Traveller's Song. In the latter, Attila, Hermanric, and the wealthy Cæsar are all mentioned. Pity it is that we have not these lays in their oldest form, in the English spoken not long after the first great Teutonic writer had

[^11]given the Scriptures to his Gothic countrymen in their own tongue. ${ }^{1}$

The island of Britain was now no longer to be left in the hands of degenerate Celts; happier than Crete or Sicily, it was to become the cradle where a great people might be compounded of more than one blood. Bede, writing many years later, tells us how the Jutes settled themselves in Kent and Wight; how the Saxons fastened upon Essex, Sussex, and Wessex; how the Angles, coming from Anglen (the true Old England), founded the three mighty kingdoms of East Anglia, Mercia, and Northumbria, holding the whole of the coast between Stirling and Ipswich. It is with this last tribe that I am mainly concerned in this work. Fearful must have been the woes undergone by the Celts at the hands of the ruthless English heathen, men of blood and iron with a vengeance. So thoroughly was the work of extermination done, that but few Celtic words have been admitted to the right of English citizenship. The few that we have seem to show that the Celtic women were kept as slaves, while their husbands, the old owners of the land, were slaughtered in heaps.

Garnett gives a list of nearly two hundred of these words, many of which belong to household management; and others, such as spree, bam, whop, balderdash, \&c., can scarcely be reckoned classical English. ${ }^{2}$

[^12]Old Britain was by degrees swept away, after much hard fighting; and the history of New England at length begins. Christianity, overspreading the land in the Seventh Century, did much to lighten the woes of the down-trodden Celts: a wonderful difference there was between the Christian conquest of Somerset and the Pagan conquest of Sussex. The new creed brought in its train scores of Latin words, such as candle, altar, church, \&c., which have been employed by us ever since the Kentish King's baptism.

At this point I halt, finding no better opportunity for setting forth the grammar employed by our forefathers, traces of which, mangled as it is by the wear and tear of centuries, may still be found.

## NOUNS.

DIVISION I.
CLASS I.
SINGULAR.

|  | Masc. | Fem. | Neut, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | Steorra | Tunge | Eáge |
| Gen. | Steorran | Tungan | Eágan |
| Dat. | Steorran | Tungan | Eágan |
| Acc. | Steorran | Tungan | Eáge |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nom. } \\ & \text { Acc. } \end{aligned}$ | Steorran | Tungan | Eágan |
| Gen. | Steorrena | Tungena | Eágena |
| Dat. | Steorrum | Tungum | Eágum |

and travail, were brought back to England by our Norman conquerors. Bother, a favourite oath of the ladies in our time, comes to us from the Irish; it means mente affigere.-Garnett, p. 161.

| CLASS II. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| singular. |  |  | plural. |
| Nom. Sáwel |  | Nom. | Sáwla |
| Gen. Sáwle |  | Gen. | Sáwla, sawlena |
| Dat. \} Sáwle |  | Dat. | Sáwlum |
| Acc. \} Sawle |  | Acc. | Sáwla |
|  | CLASS | III. |  |
| singular. |  |  | PLURAL. |
| Nom. Duru |  | Nom. | Dura |
| Gen. Dure |  | Gen. | Dura (durena) |
| Dat. Dure |  | Dat. | Durum |
| Acc. Dura |  | Acc. | Dura |

DIVISION II.
CLASS I.
singular.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Hors Gen. Horses
Dat. Horse

PLURAL.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Hors
Gen. Horsa
Dat. Horsum

## CLASS II.

SINGULAR.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Arc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Scip
Gen. Scipes
Dat. Scipe

DIVISION III.
CLASS I.

SINGULAR.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Dæl
Gen. Dæles
Dat. Dæle

PLURAL.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Dælas
Gen. Dæla
Dat. Dælum

CLASS II.

SINGULAR.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Sunu
Gen. Suna
Dat. Suna

PLURAL.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Suna
Gen. Suna
Dat. Sunum

We have still a few Plurals left, formed by vowelchange from the Singular. These are feet, teeth, wice, lice, geese, men. Three substantives, deer, sheep, swine, are the same in both numbers. Oxen is our one Plural in en that has come down from very early times.

## ADJECTIVES.

## DEFINITE DECLENSION.

SINGULAR.

|  | Masc. | Fem. | Neut. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nom. | Góda | Góde | Góde |
| Gen. | Gódan | Gódan | Gódan |
| Dat. | Gódan | Gódan | Gódan |
| Acc. | Gódan | Gódau | Góde |

PLURAL.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Gódan
Gen. Gódena
Dat. Gódum

## INDEFINITE DECLENSION.

SINGULAR.
Masc. Fem. Neut.
Nom. Gód
Gen. Gódes
Gód
Gód
Dat. Gódum
Gódre
Gódes
Acc. Gódne
Godre Godum Gód

PLURAL.

Nasc. and Fem.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ Góde
Gen. Gódra
Dat. Gódum

Neut.
Gód(u)
Gódra
Gódum

DEMONSTRATIVES.
singular.
pLURAL.

Masc. Fem. Neut.

| Nom. | se | seo | pet | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pæ |  | рæs |  |

Dat. pam pêre pam Gien. pâra
Acc. pone pâ pæt Dat. jâm Abl. pर्y $\quad$ py $\quad$ p $\hat{y}$ SINGULAR. PLURAL.
Masc. Fem. Neut.

| Nom. | pes | peôs | pis | Nom. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gen. | pises | pisse | pises | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ lâs |
| Dat. | pisum | pisse | pisum | Gen. |
| lissa |  |  |  |  |
| Acc. | pisne | pâs | pis | Dat. |
|  | pisum |  |  |  |

## PRONOUNS.

SINGULAR.
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Nom. } \mathrm{Ic} & \text { pu } \\ \text { Gen. } & \text { mîn } & \text { pinn } \\ \text { Dat. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ me $\quad$ pe

DUAL.
Nom. wit
git Gen. uncer incer $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Dat. } \\ \text { Acc. }\end{array}\right\}$ unc inc

PLURAL.
ge
eôwer
eôw
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SINGULAR.
Masc. Fem. Neut.
Nom. he heô hit
Gen. his hire his
Dat. him hire him
Acc. hine hi hit
Masc. and Fem.
Nom. hwâ
Gen. hwæs
Dat. hwam
Acc. hwone
Abl. hwŷ

PLURAL.

Neut.
hwæt
hwæs
hwam
hwæt hwy

## THE STRONG VERB.

(Infinitive, healdan.)
INDICATIVE.

Present.
Sing. Plur.
healde healdar
hylst healdar
hylt healdað

Perfect.

| Sing. | Plur. <br> heôld |
| :--- | ---: |
| heôlde | heôldon |
| heôld | heôldon |

SUBJUNCTIVE.

| Present. |  | Perfect. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sing. | healde | heôlde |
| Plur. | healdon | heôldon |

IMPERATIVE.
Sing. heald
Plur. healdað
Gerund. Present Participle. Past Participle.
To healdanne | healdende | gehealden

## THE WEAK VERB.

(Infinitive, lufian.)
indicative.

Present.
Sing.
lufige lufast
lufar

Plur.
lufiar
lufia 8
lufiay

Perfect.
Sing. Plur. lufode lufodon lufodest lufodon lufode lufodon

## SUBJUNCTIVE.

Present.
Sing. lufige
Plur. lufion

Perfect.
lufode
lufodon

IMPERATIVE.
Sing. lufa
Plur. lufiad
Gerund. Present Participle. Past Particpile. To lufigenne

There are two marked tendencies in English, shared by some of the other Teutonic dialects, which should be observed.

The first is, a liking to cast out the letter $n$, if it comes before $t h, s$, or $f$. We have seen how the Sanscrit antara is heard in our mouths as other; much in the same way tonth, finf, gons, became tồ, fiff, gôs, lengthening the vowel before $n$.

The second of our peculiarities is, a habit of putting $d$ or $t$ after $n, l, r$, or $s$, usually to round off the end of a
word, though it sometimes is inserted in the middle of a word. Thus the French tyran becomes tyrant, the Gaelic Donuil becomes Donald; the old English betweox is now betwixt; thou falles (akin to the Greek and Latin form) is corrupted into fallest; but the true old form of this last still lingers in Scotland. Those who talk about a gownd or of being drownded may plead that they are only carrying further a corruption that began long before the Norman Conquest, and that has since that event turned thunor into thunder, and dwine into dwindle.

Many in our day call a wasp a wapse, and axe leave instead of asking it. Both forms alike are good old English; we also find side by side fisc and fix, beorht and bryht, gress and gcers, irnan and rinnan, for piscis, clarus, gramen, and currere. When men say, 'they don't care a curse' (the last word is commonly something still stronger), they little think that they are employing the old English cerse, best known to us as cress.

English, unlike German, has now a strong objection to the hard $g$, especially in the middle of a word; the $g$ is softened into $y$; regen early became rén (rain).

A table of the Old English Prepositions is a mournful sight. Too many of them have been dropped altogether ; and some have been replaced by cumbrous French compounds, such as on account of, according to, in addition to, because of, in spite of, on condition that, around, during, except.

Our sailors have kept alive boftan (abaft), as a Preposition, though ceft (aft) is with them only an adverb.

Bûtan and binnan (in Latin, extra et intra) still linger in the Scotch Lowlands; as in the old Perth ballad of Cromwell's time :-

> When Oliver's men Cam but and ben.

Anent, which of old was on-efn, is preserved in the same district; and this most useful word seems to be coming into use among our best writers once more. But gelang (the Latin per) is now used only by the poor ; as in 'it is all along of you.' We sometimes hear the old onforan as afore, and ongêan sounded as again, not the corrupt against. Tô is still used in America in one of its old senses, where we degenerate English should use at; we find in the Beowulf sêcean tô Heorote, seek at Heorote. The old Northumbrian til is employed in the North, where we say to.

I now give a few instances, where we still use Prepositions in the true Old English sense, though very sparingly. To do one's duty by a man; to receive at his hands; for all his prayers, i.e. in spite of ; to go a hunting, which of old was written, gân on huntunge; eaten of worms (by is very seldom used before the Conquest in this sense of agency) ; we have Abraham to our father; made after his likeness; to get them under arms. Our best writers should never let these old phrases die out; we have already lost enough and too much of the good old English.

Sum man used to stand either for quidam or for aliquis; we can now only use it in the latter sense. The Indefinite Article may be seen in Matt. xxi. 28, ân
man haefde twegen sunt $\hat{a}$; but one of the most marked tendencies of the oldest English is to leave out this Article, especially in poems, such as Cadmon's lay or the Beowulf. Hence our many pithy phrases like, 'Faint heart never won fair lady.' In this we go much further than the Gothic or High German.

Man is used indefinitely, where the Greeks would say tis; as gif mon wif ofsleâ (March's Grammar, p. 181). The numeral $\hat{a} n$ was the parent of our one (if one slay). Some have wrongly derived the latter from the French on. Readers of David Copperfield will remember the collegian, who uses the phrase ' $a$ man' for $I$; as, 'a man is always hungry here,' 'a man might make himself very comfortable.'

Some think that yea is a more archaic form than yes; but gese and geâ are alike found in our oldest writers. There was also once a nese. As to negation, when a man says, 'I didn't never say nothing to nobody,' this is a good old idiom, that lasted down to the Reformation. Much harm has been done to our speech by attempts to ape French and Latin idioms.

We are now told that an English sentence ought never to end with a Preposition. This rule is not sanctioned by our forefathers' usage. When Cadmon was on his death-bed, and wished for the Eucharist, he said, 'Berar me hwæpere husel to.' ${ }^{1}$

In the Verb we keep many old idioms with but little change, such as, ic com sêcende, I am seeking; hê gre久 rêdan, he is going to read ; ic tô drincenne hoebbe, I have

[^13]to drink; wêron tô farenne, they were to go ; ic haobbe mete tô etanne, I have to eat; synd for $\delta f a r e n e, ~ t h e y ~ a r e ~$ gone. The Future was expressed by shall and will, and also by the Present; we still say, 'another word, and I go.' Ic môt, p $\hat{u}$ môst expressed permission, and was very seldom used in our sense of must, expressing need. ${ }^{1}$

Our fathers translated the Latin debeo by sceal; we have lost this old sense of that verb, except in a phrase like 'he should do it.' In the Imperative mood, utan was used where we say let, as utan tô-brecan, let us break ; this old form lingered on to 1250 . We see an attempt to supply the want of a Middle voice in such phrases as hê bejohte hine, ' he bethought him,' and the later, ' I fear me.'

I give a few forms, which we should not expect, found in English writers before the Conquest. These I have taken from March's Anglo-Saxon Grammar, published in 1870.

The Article, as in Homer, sometimes stands for the Pronoun; seô for heô; as, seô lufath line. ${ }^{2}$ Hence comes our she.

The Preposition of is used to express material instead of the old Genitive. Thus we find not only scennum scîran goldes, but also reâf of hoerum. ${ }^{3}$ Compare Virgil's templum de marmore ponam. This of and this de have been the parents of a wide-spread offspring in modern

[^14]languages ; but our Old English Genitive is happily still alive, though it is used more in speaking than in writing.

The Preposition to is used sometimes (not often) with an Infinitive, as well as with a Gerund. Thus, in Beowulf, 316, moel is mê tô fêran, it is time for me to fare. ${ }^{1}$

Cut to pieces seems modern, but we find in the Old English Bible ceorfon tô sticcon. ${ }^{2}$

With has two meanings, seemingly contradictory, in Latin, cum and contra. We say, to walk with a friend, and to fight with a foe. It was used in both senses long before the Conquest.

In Old English, hwoet sometimes stood for the Latin aliquid. Hence comes our, 'I tell you what.' ${ }^{3}$ In later times it would be easy to compound somewhat.

Indefinite agency was expressed of old much as now.; ponne hig wyriað eôw, when they revile you. ${ }^{4}$

The strange Dative reflexive has always been used ; as, Pilatus hym sylf âurât. ${ }^{5}$ The Irish rightly say meself, not myself; this is the old Dative mê sylf, brought to Erin by Strongbow's men-at-arms.

We have seen how useful the verb do has always been in framing our English speech. A phrase like he doth withstand (not he withstands) seems modern; but it is found in King Alfred's writings. Do not thou turn was expressed of old as ne dô p $\hat{u}$, pcet p $\hat{u}$ oncyrre. ${ }^{6}$ Christ said to the woman taken in adultery, 'Dô gâ, and ne synga $\partial_{\hat{u}}$ nêefre mâ' (John viii. 11).

Our curious idiom of Participles, he ceased command-
${ }^{1}$ March, p. 168.
${ }^{3}$ Morris, English Accidence, p. 137.
${ }^{4}$ March, p. $174 . \quad$ Sbid. p. 175.
${ }^{6}$ Ibid. p. 186.
ing, they dreaded asking, is found in Old English, as geendude bebeôdende, ondrêllon âcsigende. Hê haefde hine geworhtne, 'he had him wrought,' common enough with us, is not often found in Greek or Latin. ${ }^{1}$
$B u$ is used just as we employ both in phrases like both he and $I .{ }^{2}$ We have lost certain other old forms for expressing this.

The Latin non solum appears in Old English as nâ paet $\hat{a} n$. We now omit the word in the middle.

Our same was never used except adverbially; thus, sam hit sy sumer sam winter, the same in summer and winter. ${ }^{3}$ Beasts have natures $s w \hat{a}$ same sŵ men. ${ }^{4}$ The Latin idem was expressed, not by same, but by ylc ; this lingers in Scotland, as in the phrase, Redgauntlet of that Ilk. Same (idem) began to come into vogue only about the year 1200 .
We still employ though at the end of a sentence, in the sense of the Latin tamen, and now in the sense of quomiam ; just as our forefathers did. We have had a sad loss in for pam, the Latin quia, which we began to replace in 1300 by an ugly French compound.

I give from King Alfred a sentence which contains two peculiar English idioms: 'Elpendes hŷd wyle drincan wcetan gelîce and spinge dêð, Elephant's hide will soak water like a sponge doth. ${ }^{5}$

The well-known Latin phrase, quo plus . . . eo plus, becomes in English bì̛ pî heardra, pê swîdôr beâtað, it becomes the harder, the stronger they beat. ${ }^{6}$ This
${ }^{1}$ March, p. 201.
${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 203.
${ }^{5}$ Ibid. p. 208.

2 Ibid. p. 202.
4 Ibid. p. 204.
6 Ibid.
is, in our day, the one sole case in which the is not a Definite Article.

The expletive pcer was used to begin a sentence, as, por was án cyning. This resembles nothing in German or Latin.

The English of old employed hwat (quid) as an Interjection. This is the first word of the Beowulf, where it answers to our $H 0$. The old usage may be traced down to our times, though it was thought to be somewhat overdone by King George the Third. ${ }^{1}$

Our speech is now but a wreck of what it once was; for instance, of the many verbs which bore the prefix cet, only one is left, retaining that preposition sadly mangled; this is cetwitan, our twit.

Other verbs have become oddly corrupted, and the corruptions have, as it were, run into each other. Thus we have but one verb, own, to represent both the old álnian (possidere), and the old unnan (concedere). Thus also we have but settle, to stand for both setlan and sahtlian. ${ }^{2}$

An old verb had often two forms slightly differing ; we still translate fugere by both $f l y$ and flee, following the
${ }^{1}$ In the Rolliad, the King meets Major Scott, and thus expresses himself:-

> Methinks I hear,

In accents clear,
Great Brunswick's voice still vibrate on my ear.
' What, what, what !
Scott, Scott, Scott!
Hot, hot, hot!
What, what, what!'
${ }^{2}$ As in the phrase, 'to settle a quarrel.' So, in French, louer has to represent both laudare and locare.
oldest usage. It is a pity that we have lost our accents; we can now no longer distinguish between metan (metiri) and métan (occurrere). We have often doubled our vowels to mark a difference; thus gód (bonus) has become good, that it may not be confounded with our word for Deus: it is the same with toll and tool, cock: and cook, and manyं others. ${ }^{1}$

We have sometimes thought that we could improve on our forefathers' speech by yoking two of their synonyms together; when we say sledgehamner, it is like a Latinist writing malleus twice over. Now and then a good old word is sadly degraded: thus dyderian (decipere) now exists only in the slang verb diddle. ${ }^{2}$ Further on I shall give examples of words, that are seven hundred years old, set down as mere slang in our day.

There was one favourite art of our forefathers, which we have not yet altogether lost, prone though we have
${ }^{1}$ We hare not often kept the sound of the old vowel at the end of the word so faithfully as in smithy, the former smiððe.
${ }^{2}$ The Dorsetshire peasantry, as Mr. Barnes tells us, pronounce in the Old English way words that in polite speech have but one sound ; thus they say heäle for sanus, and hä̈l for grando. We have had a sad loss in dropping the twofold sound, and odd mistakes sometimes arise. I remember at school, nearly thirty years ago, that our class was given Scott's lines:
'Hail to thy cold and clouded beam,' \&c.,
which we were to turn into Latin longs and shorts. I still recall the disgust of the master (vir plagosus) on reading one blockhead's attempt: it began with grando! Thanks to our slovenly forefathers, English is now the punster's Paradise; Hood knew this well.
been to copy French rimes. This art was Alliterative poetry, as seen in Cadmon's lines on the Deluge :-

> For mid Fearme Fære ne moston Wæg liðendum
> Wætres brogan
> Hæste Hrinon ac hie Halig god Ferede and nerede. Fiftena stod
> Deop ofer Dunum sæ Drence flod. ${ }^{1}$

Conybeare traces this love of Alliteration in English poets down to 1550, and Earle traces it on farther to 1830. Byron's noble line on the Brunswicker's death at Quatre Bras is well known. I can bear witness, from my own schoolboy recollections, to the popularity of this old metre in 1849. ${ }^{2}$ This it is that has kept alive phrases like ' weal and woe,' ' born and bred,' ' sooth to say,' 'fair or foul,' 'kith and kin,' 'bed and board,' ' make or mar,' ' might and main.' ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Conybeare's Anglo-Saxon Poetry, xxxiii.
${ }^{2}$ We were fond of an old ballad, beginning with-
' All round the rugged rocks The ragged rascal ran.'
${ }^{8}$ It has sometimes substituted a Romance for a Teutonic word ; thus we now say 'safe and sound,' not 'hale and sound,' our forefathers' phrase.

## CHAPTER II.

## THE OLD ENGLISH, 680-1120.

THE MIDDLE ENGLISH, 1120-1300.
The examples given in the last few pages have been mostly taken from Wessex writers; but Cadmon's name reminds us that in the Seventh and Eighth Centuries there was no Teutonic land that could match Northumbria in learning or civilisation. Thither had come earnest missionaries from Italy and Ireland. There Christianity had taken fast root, and had bred such men as Cadmon and Bede. Charlemagne himself, the foremost of all Teutons, was glad to welcome to his Court Alcuin, who came from beyond the Humber. It was the dialect of Northumbria, settled as that land was by Angles, that first sprang into notice, and was so much in favour, that even the West Saxons on the Thames called their speech English.

This English of the North, or Northumbrian, has bequeathed to us but few monuments, owing to the havock wrought by the Danes in the Northern libraries, We have, however, enough of it left to see that in some points it kept far closer to the old Aryan Mother Speech than the classical writers of Wessex did; thus, it boasts
the remnants of four verbs in mi-am, beôm (sum), geseôm (video), gedôm (facio). In other points it foreshadows the language to be spoken in Queen Victoria's day more clearly than these same writers of Wessex did.

In tracing the history of Standard English, it is mainly on Northumbria that we must keep our eyes. About the year 680, a stone cross was set up at Ruthwell, not far from Dumfries; and the Runes graven upon it enshrine an English poem written by no mean hand. Cadmon, the great Northumbrian bard, had compiled a noble lay on the Crucifixion, a lay which may still be read at full length in its Southern English dress of the Tenth Century. Forty lines or so of the earlier poem of the Seventh Century were engraven upon the Ruthwell Cross; these I give in my Appendix, as the lay is the earliest English that we possess just as it was written. ${ }^{1}$ It has old forms of English nowhere else found; and it clearly appeals to the feelings of a warlike race, hardly yet out of the bonds of heathenism ; the old tales of Balder are applied to Christ, who is here called 'the young hero.'

Mr. Kemble in 1840 translated the Ruthwell Runes, which up to that time had never unlocked their secret; not long afterwards, he had the delight of seeing them in their later Southern dress, on their being published from an old English skinbook at Vercelli. He found

[^15]that he had only three letters of his translation to correct. Seldom has there been such a hit and such a confirmation of a hit. ${ }^{1}$

These Ruthwell Runes are in close agreement with the dying words of Bede, the few English lines embedded in the Latin text. ${ }^{2}$ The letter $k$ is here found, which did not appear in Southern English until many centuries later. The word ungcet, the Dual Accusative, betokens the hoariest antiquity. The Infinitive ends, not in the Southern an, but in $a$, like the old Norse and Friesic.

The speech of the men who conquered Northumbria in the Sixth Century must have been influenced by their Danish neighbours of the mainland. I give a few words from the Ruthwell Cross, compared with King Alfred's Southern English :-

| Southern. | Ruthwell. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The next specimen, given by me in my Appendix, is about sixty years later than the Ruthwell Runes. It is another fragment of Cadmon's, which was modernised two hundred years after his time by King Alfred. The
${ }^{1}$ Archeologia for 1843, page 31.
${ }^{2}$ See the Runes in my Appendix, Chapter VII.
${ }^{3}$ We follow the North, which is more primitive than the South, in pronouncing this word. But in Dorset they still sound the $e$ befóre $a$, as in yacre, yale, yarm, and others. See Mr. Barnes' poems.
text from which I quote is referred by Wanley, a good judge, to the year A.D. 737. I set down here those words which are nearer to the language spoken in our days than Alfred's version is.

| Southern. | Northern. | Modern. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fæder | Fadur | Father |
| Swa | Sue | So |
| Gescéop | Scop | Shaped |
| Bearnum | Barnum | Bairns |
| pa | Tha | The |
| Weard | Uard | Ward |

The word 'til' (to), unknown in Southern speech, is found in this old manuscript, and is translated 'to' by Alfred. The modern Th here first appears for the good old character that our unwisdom has allowed to drop. The whole of the manuscript is in Northern English, such as it was spoken before the Danes overran the North. ${ }^{1}$

The next earliest Northumbrian monument that we have is a Psalter, which Garnett dates about the year A.D. 800. It is thought to have been translated in one of the shires just south of the Humber. ${ }^{2}$ This Psalter, like the former specimen, employs $a$ instead of the Southern ea, even as we ourselves do.

There are many other respects in which the Psalter differs from Southern English of the Ninth Century; the chief is that the first Person Singular of the verb ends, like the Latin, in o or $u$ : as sitto, I sit; ondredu, I,

[^16]fear. The second Person ends in $s$, not st; as neosas, thou visitest. It is, therefore, less corrupt than King' Alfred's form. The Lowland Scotch to this day say, thou knows. The prefix ge in Past Participles is often dropped, as bledsad, blessed, instead of gebletsod. Old Anglian was nearer than any other Low German speech to Danish, and ge is not found in the Danish Participle. We also remark the Norse earun for sumus, estis, sunt; this in Southern speech is nearly always syndon. ${ }^{1}$ I give a few words from this Psalter, to show that our modern English in many things follows the Northern rather than the Southern form. ${ }^{2}$

| Southern English. | Northern English. | Modern. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Bën | Boen | Boon (prayer) |
| Béc | Boec | Books |
| Célan | Coelan | Cool |
| Déman | Doeman | Doom $^{3}$ |
| Hréde | Roeð |  |
| Leoht | Rough |  |
| Fram | Leht | Light |
| Wæron | From | From |
| Nawiht | Werun | Were |
| Feldas | Nowihte | Nought |
| Twa | Feldes | Fields |
| Tu | Tu | Two |

${ }^{1}$ We find, however, aran in Kentish charters (Kemble, i. 234), and the form ic biddo in the oldest charters of Kent and Worcestershire.
${ }^{2}$ See an extract from the Psalter in my Appendix.
${ }^{3}$ We still have both the Northern and Southern forms of this word.
${ }^{4}$ Here the old $h$ at the beginning of a word is cast out; a process often repeated.

Southern English. Northern English. Modern.

| Dést | Gedoest | Doest |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eage | Ege | Eye |
| Tyn | Ten | Ten |
| Geoguð | Iuguðe | Youth |

The Northern men of the year 800 said, 'doema strong and longmod,' where the Southerners would have put 'déma strang and langmod.' We find no used just as the Scotch now use it, ' gif ic no fore-settu,' where na would have been used in the South. One of the most remarkable things in this Psalter is the first appearance of our them, used as a Pronoun, not as an Article. See Psalm cxlv. 6 : 'All $\partial \mathrm{a}$ in $\gamma \mathrm{cem}$ sind.' This is found but seldom; the settlers soon to come from Denmark would recognise it as a form akin to their own. ${ }^{1}$

Much about the time that the Northumbrian Psalter was compiled, the Norsemen began to harry unhappy England. The feuds of near kinsmen are always the bitterest; and this we found true in the Ninth Century. Soon the object of the heathen became settlement in the land, and not plunder. The whole of England would have fallen under their yoke, had not a hero come forth from the Somersetshire marshes.

In a.d. 876, we read in the Saxon Chronicle that the Danish king, 'Norðhymbra land gedælde, and
${ }^{1}$ I will point out an odd mistake of the Translator's. He found the Low Latin substantive singularis (whence the French sanglier and the Italian cinghiale) in Psalm lxxix. 14. This he took for an adjective, and translated syndrig, making great nonsense.
hergende weron and heora tiligende wæron.' ${ }^{1}$ In the next year, the outlandish host 'gefor on Myrcena land, and hit gedældon sum.' In 880, 'for se here on Eastængle and geset pat land and gedælde.' Here we find many English shires, once thriving and civilised, parcelled out within four years among the Norsemen. The Angles were now under the yoke of those who four hundred years earlier had been their neighbours on the mainland. Essex seems to have been the only Saxon shire that Alfred had to yield to the foreigner. Now it was that the Orms, Grims, Spils, Osgods, and Thors, who have left such abiding traces of themselves in Eastern Mercia and Northumbria, settled among us. They gave their own names of Whitby and Derby to older English towns, and changed the name of Roman Eboracum from Eoforwic to Iorvik or York.

The endings by, thwaite, ness, drop, haugh, and garth, are the sure tokens of the great Danish settlement in England ; fifteen hundred of such names are still to be found in our North Eastern shires. The six counties to the North of Mercia have among them 246 places that end in by; Lincolnshire, the great Norse stronghold, has 212 ; Leicestershire has 66 ; Northamptonshire 26 ; Norfolk and Notts have rather fewer.

The Danes were even strong enough to force their preposition amell (inter) upon Northumberland, where

[^17]it still lingers. Our verbs bask and busk are Middle verbs, compounded of the Icelandic baka and bua with the ending sik (self). ${ }^{1}$ York and Lincoln were the great seats of Norse influence, as we see by the numbers of Norse money-coiners who are known to have there plied their trade. English freedom was in the end the gainer by the fresh blood that now flowed in. When Doomsday book was compiled, no shire could vie with that of Lincoln in the thousands of its freeholders ; East Anglia was not far behind. ${ }^{2}$ Danish surnames like Anderson, Paterson, and, greater than all, Nelson, show the good blood that our Northern and Eastern shires can boast. Thor's day was in the end to replace Thunresday. Another Norse God, he of the sea, bearing the name of Egir, still rushes up English rivers like the Trent and the Witham, the water rising many feet: the eagre is a word well known in Lincolnshire. The Norse felagi is a compound from fee and lay, a man who puts down his money, like the member of a club. This became in England felaze, felawe, fellow. So early as 1525 it had become a term of scorn; but the fellows of our Colleges will always keep alive the more honourable meaning of the word.

The next specimen in my Appendix is the book called the Rushworth Gospels, the English version of which Wanley dates at the year A.D. 900, or thereabouts; one of the translators was a priest at Harewood, in Yorkshire. I give a few words to show

[^18]how much nearer it is to our speech than the West Saxon is :-

| Southern. | Northern. | Modern. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Se , seo | The, thio | The |
| Ic, Heo | $\mathrm{Ih}, \mathrm{Sio}$ | I, She |
| peah | Theh | Though |
| Hi | Đa | They |
| Hyra | Đara | Their |
| Eower | Ewer | Your |
| Feawa | Feame | Few |
| Đreora gewittnesse | Đreo gewitnesse | Witness of three |
| Eom | Am | Am |
| Eart | Arth | Art |
| For | Foerde ${ }^{1}$ | Fared |
| Drincan | Drinca, drince | To drink |
| Sealde | Salde | Sold |
| Gescy | Scoas | Shoes |
| Stanas | Stanes | Stones |
| Eac | Ek | Eke |
| Fynd | Fiondas | Fiends |
| Elmessan | Elmisse | Alms |
| Blawe | Blau | Blow |
| Fêt | Foedep | Feedeth |
| Byreð | Berep | Beareth |
| Slep | Slepte | Slept |
| Sceap | Scep | Sheep |
| Tó cumenne eart | Cwome scalt | Shalt come |
| Ealle gearwe | All iara ${ }^{2}$ | All yare (ready) |
| Cuppa | Copp | Cup |

${ }^{1}$ Here we have a Strong Verb turned into a Weak form, a corruption which has been going on ever since. Thus crope, used by Tyndale, after his time became crept.
${ }^{2}$ We see the hard $g$ already softened into $y$, both here, and in the earlier Psalter.
Southern.
pridda
Dóm
Geoc
Oठ pone seofoðan

Northern.
Đirda
Doom
Ioc
Oð to pæm siofund

Modern.
Third
Doom
Yoke
Unto the seventh

In the last example we see the Norse $n$ making its way into the Old English numeral. There are other remarkable changes. In Matthew ii. 4 we find heom employed for hig, just as we say in talking, ' $I$ aslied 'em.' The Norse Active Participle is often used instead of the Old English, as gangande for gangende: and this lingered on in Scotland to a very late date. The Norsemen, in this instance, brought English speech nearer to Sanscrit than it was before. The Infinitive, as will be seen in the above table, has already been clipped.

The Southern geworden became in Yorkshire awart; where in England the old prefix ge lingers in our days, it commonly takes the form of $a$. The cases of Substantives and Adjectives, so carefully handled in the South, are now confused in the North; the Dative Plural in $u m$ often vanishes altogether. The letter $h$ is sometimes put in or dropped, the most hideous of all our corruptions; $k_{i}$ and $c h$ are found instead of $c$. Sio (our she) for heo and ih for ic are most remarkable; in the latter form we go nearer to the Sanscrit aham than to the Latin ego.

Few of England's children have done her better service than Alfred's son and daughter, whose deeds are written in the Saxon Chronicle. King Edward's reign was one steady war against the Danish lords of

Mercia and East Anglia; the strife raged all along the line between London and Chester, the King's men throwing up works to guard the shires they were winning back foot by foot. Essex seems to have been mastered in 913, Staffordshire and Warwickshire within the next few years. In 915, the Danish rulers of Bedford and Northampton gave their allegiance to the great King of Wessex ; Derby and Leicester fell before his sister. The Norsemen struggled hard against Edward's iron bit; but the whole of East Anglia and Cambridge yielded to him in 921 . By the end of the following year, he was master of Stamford and Nottingham; Lincolnshire seems to have been the last of his conquests. In 924, all the English, Danes, and Celts in our island chose Edward, the champion of Christianity against heathenism, for their Father and Lord. England, as we see, was speedily becoming something more than a geographical name.

Alfred had been King of the South ; Alfred's son had won the Midland ; Alfred's grandsons were now to bring the North under their yoke. The Danes drove the many quarrelsome English kingdoms into unity in sheer selfdefence; much as in our own time the Austrians helped Italy to become one nation. The Saxon Chronicle in 941 names the Five Danish Burghs which overawed Mercia, and which have had so great an influence on the tongue now spuken by us.

| Burga fife | And Snotingahâm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ligoraceaster | Swylce Stanford eác. <br> And Lincolne |
| And Deoraby. |  |

Long had these been in Danish thraldom; they were now, as the old English ballad of the day says, loosed by Edward's son. Northumberland, under her Danish kings, was still holding out against the Southern Overlord. At length, in 954, the last of these kings dropped out of history; and Eadred, the son of Edward and the grandson of Alfred, became the one King of all England, swaying the land from the Frith of Forth to the English Channel. ${ }^{1}$

Wessex, it is easy to see, was to our island much what Piedmont long afterwards became to Italy, and Brandenburg to Germany. It is not wonderful then that in the Tenth Century the literature of Wessex was looked upon as the best of models, and took the place of the Northumbrian literature of Bede's time. Good English prose-writers must have formed themselves upon King Alfred; English 'shapers' or 'makers' must have imitated the lofty lay, which tells how Alfred's grandsons smote Celt and Norseman alike on the great day of Brunanburgh. The Court of Winchester must in those days have been to England, what Paris has nearly always been to France: no such pattern of elegance could elsewhere have been found. For all that, were I to be given my choice as to what buried specimen of English writing should be brought to light, I should ask for a sample of the Rutland peasantry's common talk, about the year that Eadred was calling himself Kaiser of all Britain. ${ }^{2}$ Such a

[^19]sample would be as precious as the bad Latin, the parent of the New Italian, which may be read on the walls of Pompeii. By Eadred's time, two or three generations of Norsemen and Angles must have been mingled together; the uncouth dialect, woefully shorn of inflections, spoken in the markets of Leicester and Stamford, would be found to foreshadow the corruptions of the Peterborough Chronicle after 1120.

The country, falling within a radius of twenty miles drawn from the centre of Rutland, would be acknowledged, I think, as the cradle of the New English that we now speak. To go further afield ; all the land enclosed within a line drawn round from the Humber through Doncaster, Derby, Ashby, Rugby, Northampton, Bedford, and Ipswich (this may be called the Mercian Danelagh) helped mightily in forming the new literature: within this boundary were the Five Burghs, and the other Danish strongholds already named. Just outside this boundary were Southern Yorkshire and Northern Essex, which have also had their influence upon our tongue. Alfred's grandsons, on their way home to Winchester from their Northern fields, would have been much astonished, could it have been foretold to them that the Five Burghs, so lately held by the heathen, were to have the shaping of England's future speech. This New English, hundreds of years later, was to be handled by men, who would throw into the far background even such masterpieces of the Old English as the Beowulf and the Judith.

Some writers, I see, upbraid the French conquerors of England for bereaving us of our old inflections; it
would be more to the purpose to inveigh against the great Norse settlement two hundred years before William's landing. What happened in Northumbria and Eastern Mercia will always take place when two kindred tribes are thrown together. An intermingling either of Irish with Welsh, or of French with Spaniards, or of Poles with Bohemians, would break up the old inflections and grammar of each nation, if there were no acknowledged standard of national speech whereby the tide of corruptions might be stemmed.

When such an intermingling takes place, the endings of the verb and the substantive are not always caught, and therefore speedily drop out of the mouths of the peasantry. In our own day this process may be seen going on in the United States. Thousands of Germans settle there, mingle with English-speakers, and thus corrupt their native German. They keep their own words indeed, but they clip the heads and tails of these words, as the Dano-Anglians did many hundred years ago.

About the year 970, another work was compiled in Northern English, the Lindisfarne Gospels. ${ }^{1}$ I give a specimen of words, taken from these, side by side with the corresponding West Saxon. A great many of the corruptions of the Old English, already found in the Psalter and Rushworth Gospels, are here repeated. Two or three of the forms, given in the second column, are not peculiar to the North.
Southern English. Northern English. Modern English.

| Gemang | Himong | Among |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Na mara | Noht mara | Not more |

[^20]Southern English. Northern English. Modern English.

Cildru
Steorra
Burgwaru
Bréost
Axode
Hi
Sunu
Synd
Eow
Endlufon
Leofath
Bóhton
Begeondan
Betweonan
Clæn-heortan
Eorthan sealt
Swa hwyle swa
Ge gehyrdon
Gewefen
Ic secge eow
Hwitne gedón
Ge biddar
Magon gé
Eorp, pær rust is
Beforan
Geat ${ }^{1}$
Treow
Fæder willan Getimbrode
Li $\delta$

Cildes
Sterra
Burguaras
Brest
Ascade
Đа
Sona
Arun
Iuh
Ellefno
Hlifes
Bochton
Bihionda
Bituien
Claene of hearte
Eorthes salt
Sua hua
Herde ge
Gewoefen
Ic cueðo iuh to
Huit geuirce
Gie bidde
Maga gie
Eorð, huer rust is
Before
Gæt
Tré
Faderes willo
Getimberde
Liges

Children
Star
Burghers
Breast
Asked
They
Son
Are
You
Eleven
Lives (vivit)
Bought
Beyond
Between
Clean of heart
Earth's salt
Whoso
Heard ye
Woven
Quoth I to you
To make white
Ye bid
May ye
Earth, where rust is
Before
Gate
Tree
Father's will
Timbered (built)
Lies (jacet)
${ }^{1}$ A Gloucestershire drill-sergeant will to this day tell his yeomanry to 'dra swurds, and come round like a gee-ut,' when they wheel. Our classic modern English comes from shires far to the East of Gloucester.

Southern English. Northern English. Modern English.

| Swa hwæder | Sua huider | Whitherso |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heofenan scyp | Heofnes scipp | Heaven's ship |
| Eapelicre | Eafur | Easier |
| Dohtor | Dohter | Daughter |
| Slæpð | Slepes | Sleeps |
| Wyrhta | Wercmonn | Workman |
| Swurd ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | Suord | Sword |
| Gæð | Gaad | Goeth |
| Drige | Dryia | Dry |
| Wolde ofslean | Walde ofslae | Would slay |
| Leógeras | Legeras | Liars |
| Hund | Hundrat | Hundred |
| Mư twegra oठðe preora |  | Mouth of two or |
| Đrittig | Đrittih | Thirty |
| On pysum | In 才isum | In these |
| Heonon | Hena | Hence |
| Đriwa | Đriga | Thrice |

The Norsemen, breathing fire and slaughter, have for ever branded, as we see, their mark upon England's tongue. Northern English had become very corrupt since the year 800 ; as I before said, the intermingling of two kindred tribes, like the Angles and Norsemen, must tend to shear away the endings of substantives and verbs. The third Persons, both Singular and Plural, of the Present tense now often end in $s$ instead of $t h$, as he onsceces; we follow the North in daily life, but we listen to the Southern form when we go to Church. The d of the Imperative also becomes $s$, as uyrcas instead of wyrcað ;

[^21]the Scotch still say, gies me, instead of give me. New idioms crop up, which would have astonished Alfred or Ælfric : we find full of fiscum for plenus piscium.

The Old English Plural of nouns in an is now changed, and hearta replaces heartan; sad havock is made in all the other cases. The Genitive Singular and Nominative Plural in es swallow up the other forms. Thus we came back to the old Aryan pattern, in all but a few plurals like oxen. ${ }^{1}$ Such new-fangled Genitives Singular as sterres, brydgumes, heartes, tunges, fadores, and such Nominative Plurals as stearras, lurgas, and culfirus, are now found. There is a tendency to confound Definite with Indefinite Adjectives. The Dative Plural in $u m$ is sometimes dropped.

In short, we see the foreshadowing of the New English forms. The South, where the Norsemen could never gain a foothold, held fast to the old speech; and many forms of King Alfred's time, now rather corrupted, linger on to this day in Dorset and Somerset ; though these shires are not so rich in old vords as Lothian is. The North, overrun by the Danes, was losing its inflections not long after King Alfred's death. Even in the South, Norse words were taking root, some are found in Canute's day ; and William I., addressing his Londoners in their own tongue, says that he will not allow 'pæt ænig man eow ænig urang beode.' This urang (malum) comes from the Scandinavian rangr (obliquus); it drove out the Old English wool.

I shall consider elsewhere the effect of the Norman

[^22]Conquest upon England's speech. I give in my Appendix a specimen of the East Anglian dialect, much akin to the Northumbrian, written not long after the battle of Hastings. ${ }^{1}$ In the Legend of St. Edmund, the holy man of Suffolk, we see the forms pe, $\partial e$, and the, all replacing the old se; the cases of the substantive and the endings of the verb are clipped; the prefix ge is seldom found, and iset stands for the old Participle geset. As to the Infinitive, the old dcolfan becomes daelfe; the Dative heom replaces the old Accusative hî, as heom wat gehwa, each knows them. The adjective does not agree in case with the substantive; as mid cepele万eawum. An heora is turned into an mon of him; a corruption that soon spread over the South. The preposition is uncoupled from the verb in our bad modern fashion; as slogon of pat haefod, smote off the head. ${ }^{2}$ Rather later, this preposition of, when used as an adverb, was to have a form of its own. The first letter is pared away from hlaford; the Anglian alle replaces the Southern ealle. Eode is making way for wende (ivit); and we find such forms as child, nefre, healed, fologede, instead of cild, ncefre, hoelod, fyligde. Hál (sanus) gets the new meaning of integer at p. 88: from it comes both our hale and our whole.

But other parts of England besides Suffolk were corrupting the old speech. In the years set down in the different Chronicles, after the Norman Conquest, we see new

[^23]forms; as in the account of Stamford Bridge fight, in 1066, pa com an oper (here the an has no business), 'then came another;' afre pe oðer man, 'every other man' (year 1087). Moreover, we begin to light on expressions such as sume of pam cnilitan (year 1083) ; toscyfton to his mannon (year 1085); yrfenuma of eallon (year 1091). Wifman (mulier) is cut down to wimman in 1087 ; the process of casting out a consonant (coming in the middle of a word) went on for two hundred years and more. The Latin amarisse had become amâsse centuries earlier. We see that widutan, which of old meant no more than extra, has gained the new sense of sine in 1087, as we now mostly use it. The great William, we hear, would have won Ireland wiotutan alcon weepnon. ${ }^{1}$ - Still, the monks did their best to write classic English, down to about the year 1120.

England has been happy, beyond her Teutonic sisters, in the many and various stores of her oldest litera. ture that have floated down the stream of Time. Poems scriptural and profane, epics, war-songs, riddles, translations of the Bible, homilies, prayers, treatises on science and grammar, codes of law, wills, charters, chronicles set down year by year, tales, and dialogues-all these (would that we took more interest in them!) are our rich inheritance. In spite of the havock wrought

[^24]at the Reformation, no land in Europe can show such monuments of national speech for the 400 years after A.D. 680 as England boasts. And nowhere else can we so clearly mark the national speech slowly swinging round from the Old to the New.

Take the opposite case of Italy. In 1190 we find Falcandus holding in scorn the everyday speech of his countrymen, and compiling a work in the Old Italian (that is, Latin), such as would have been easily read by Cæsar or Cicero. Falcandus trod in the path that had been followed by all good Italian writers for 1200 years ; but two or three years after his book had been written, we find his countryman, Ciullo d'Alcamo, all of a sudden putting forth the first known poem in the New Italian, a poem that would now be readily understood by an unlettered soldier like Garibaldi.

In Italy, there is a sudden spring from the Old to the New, at least in written literature; but in England the change is most slow. I have already traced the corruption shown in the Northumbrian writings. In the Peterborough Chronicle of 1120, we see an evident effort to keep as near as may be to the old Winchester standard of English. Some of the inflections indeed are gone, but the writer puts eall for the all that came into his everyday speech, and looks back for his pattern to King Alfred's writings. In 1303, we find a poem, written by a man born within fifteen miles of Peterborough : the diction of this Midland bard differs hardly at all from what we speak under Queen Victoria. Nothing in philology can be more interesting than these 180 years, answering roughly to the lives of our first

Angevin King, of his son, grandson, and great-grandson.

The plan I follow is this. I shall first give specimens of prose and poetry written within the Mercian Danelagh and East Anglia, where our classic New English was born.

To each specimen I shall add a contrast, being some poem or treatise, written outside the aforesaid district, either in the South, the West, or the North. The samples from within the Danelagh, and from its Essex and Yorkshire border, will be seen boldly to foreshadow what is to come; the samples from shires lying to the South and West of the Danelagh will show tokens of a fond lingering love for what is byegone. In the Midland district I have named, there was the same mingling of Angles and Danes that we find in the shires where the Northumbrian Gospels were translated.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About 1120.)
Of all cities, none has better earned the homage of the English patriot, the English scholar, and the English architect, than Peterborough. Her Abbot was brought home, sick unto death, from the field of Hastings ; her monks were among the first Englishmen who came under the Conqueror's frown. Her Minster suffered more from Hereward and his Norse friends than from her new French Abbot, Turold. At Peterborough our history was compiled, not in Latin but in English; the English that had grown up from
the union of many generations of Danes and Angles, dwelling not far from Rutland. Without the Peterborough Chronicle, we should be groping in the dark for many years, in striving to understand the history of our tongue.

This Chronicle bears the mark of many hands. It is likely that various passages in it were copied from older chronicles, or were set down by old men many years after the events recorded had taken place. A fire, whereby the old Abbey and town of Peterborough were burnt to the ground in 1116, marks a date both in English Architecture and in English Philology. After that year arose the noble choir, which has happily escaped the doom of Glastonbury and Walsingham. After that year, monks were sent out to copy the English chronicles of other Abbeys, and thus to replace the old Peterborough annals, which must have been burnt in the fire. ${ }^{1}$ The copyists thus handed down to us a mass of good English prose, a great contrast to the forged charters, drawn up in the Midland speech of 1120 , which were newly inserted in the Chronicle. It is with these last that my business lies, as also with the local annals of Peterborough, taken down from the mouths of old men who could remember the doughty deeds of Hereward and his gang fifty years earlier, when men of Danish blood in the East and North were still hoping to shake off William's yoke.

[^25]I now show how the Old English had changed in the Danelagh before the year 1131, at which date the first Peterborough compilers seem to have laid aside their pens. This reign of King Henry I. is the most interesting of all reigns to a student of English.

As to letter changes, the old $h$ sometimes becomes $c h$, as burch for burl ; this prevailed over the Eastern side of England, from London to York ; though $g h$ came to be more used than ch. We see that the diphthong, which our fathers loved, was to drop; for efre (semper) sometimes replaces cefre. These two changes appeared long before in the Lindisfarne Gospels. The Old English Article, se, seo, pcet, becomes hopelessly confused in its cases and genders; we are not far from the adoption of the, to do duty for them all. Our old $\delta$ was often laid aside for $t h$, the latter being better known to the Normans. There is a tendency to get rid of the letter $g$ in every part of a word; thus we find

${ }^{1} G$ sometimes changed to $y$, and then centuries later, in Standard English, changed back to $g$ again ; as we see in this word gate, still called by the Scotch yett.
${ }^{2}$ Here the Northern $k$ begins to replace the Old Southern $c$.

| Legdon | becomes leidon |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sægde | $"$ | ceide |
| Læg | $"$, | læi |
| Mæg | $"$, | mæi |
| Geornden | $"$ | iornden (yearned) |

$F$ in the middle of a word was often replaced by $v$; thus we geafon becomes we gaven, and lufe becomes luve; this change was still more marked in the South. The Old English heor $\hat{a}$ and him (in Latin, eorum and eis) now change into here and hem. This last we still use in phrases like, give it 'em well; and this Dative Plural drove out the old Accusative hî. In the same way the Dative Singular him at this time drove out the Accusative hine; the latter is now only found in the mouths of peasants, as 'hit un hard.' Squire Western, who was above a peasant (at least in rank), loved this old phrase. The Article seo replaces the Old English heô (in Latin, ea) ; and the accusative of heô, which of old was $h \hat{k}$, is now seen as hire in the account of the year 1127. Eôwer becomes iure (your). The relative Neuter pronoun paet is now no longer confined to the Neuter Singular antecedent, but follows Plurals, just as we use it ; thus, in the forged Charter of the year 656, we find, ealle pa ping $\dagger$. ic wat. It soon came to follow Masculines and Feminines, much as we employ it now. The nominative Who did not come in as a Relative tiil the next Century. Many short English words now approached their modern form; what we found long ago in the Northumbrian Gospels is now repeated at Peterborough.

| Old English. | Peterborough Chronic'e. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Đreô | ore |
| Ene | ænes (once) |
| Twîwa | twiges (twice) |
| Feôwer | fower (four) |
| Feawa | feuna (few) |
| Oðer | an opre (another) |
| Swâ hwâ sŵ̂a | hwa swa (whoso) |
| Hund | hundred |
| Nán | nun |
| Seofoða | seouepende (seventh) |
| banon | thenen (thence) |
| fisne | this |
| Betweox | Betwix |
| Onmangr | Amang |
| Forpi | pærfore |
| Sóna | son (soon) |

In Nouns the Dative Plural in $u m$ has long vanished; there is a general break-up of case-endings; and the Nominative Plural in as (now es) is swallowing up all the other Declensions. The Definite and Indefinite forms of Adjectives were jumbled together, and the agreement of their cases with those of Substantives was no longer heeded.

| Seolfer | becomes | siluer |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Suná | $"$ | sunes (sons) |
| Naman | $"$ | nam (name) |
| Hlaford ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | $"$ | lauerd (lord) |
| Leoht | $"$ | liht |
| Heáfod | $"$ | heafed (head) |
| Munecan | $"$ | muneces (monks) |
| Hus | $"$, | huses (houses) |

[^26]A good English writer of the Eleventh Century would have been shocked at the corrupt replacing of the old Genitive by such a phrase as this, in the account of the great Peterborough fire in 1116: 'bsernde eall pa meeste drel of pa tuna;' 'ic am witnesse of pas Gewrite.' Henceforward, of was used most freely, at least in the Danelagh. Prepositions were disjoined from the verbs; in the forged Charter of 963 we find he draf uit instead of the old he utdráf. These changes we saw earlier in St. Edmund's Legend. We find al used instead of the old Genitive ealra; the latter form still lingers in Shakspere, as alderliefest. The helpful word man shrinks into $m e$; as in the phrase of the year 1124, him me hit bercefode, 'one bereaved him of it,' or as we say now, 'he was bereaved of it.' This idiom lasted for 160 years more in the Danelagh, and much longer in the South.

We see for to employed in a new sense in the year 1127, like the kindred French pour ; se kyng hit dide for to hauene sibbe, the king did it to have peace. Hence the well-known question, 'what went ye out for to see?' We suppress the for in modern speech.

The old selc now becomes ilca, and still lingers in Scotland ; in the South we say, each. The phrase, ne belcef paer noht an (there remained not one), in the account of the year 1131, shows how noht was by degrees replacing the ancient $n e$. The old swithere now gives way to right (dextera), just as the still older teso (in Gothic, taihswo) long before made room for suithre.

In the year 1124, heftning appears; and some old monk, who aimed at correctness, has put the $u$, the proper letter to be used, above the $i$ in the manuscript.

The Verb, as written at Peterborough in Henry the First's day, is wonderfully changed from what it was in the Confessor's time.

| Old English. | Peterborough. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lufige | Lufe (love) |
| Lufôde | luuede (loved) |
| Sceolde | scolde (should) |
| Eom | Am |
| Beô | be (sit) |
| Beơ | be (sunt) |
| Wæs | was |
| Geræden | geredd (read) |
| Hyded | hidde (hidden) |
| Yrnð | renneth (currit) |
| Ge-coren | cosen (chosen) |
| Bleowon | blewen (blew) |
| Heald | held |
| Meahte | mihte |
| Habban | hafen (have) |
| Gesewon | gesene (seen) |
| Bearn | bærnde (burnt) |

The Infinitive now drops the $n$, as in the Northumbrian Gospels. In Pope Agatho's forged charter of 675 , we find 'ic wille segge,' I will say: this should have been seggan. The ge, prefixed to the Past Participle, now drops altogether in the Danelagh ; the Norsemen, having nothing of the kind, forced their maimed Participle upon us. The ge, slightly altered, is found to this day in shires where the Norsemen never settled. Thus, in Dorset and Somerset they say, 'I have a-heard,' the old gehyrde. One Past Participle, gehaten, still lingered on in the Midland for fourscore years after the paring down of all its brethren. No Teutonic country was fonder of this ge in old times than Southern England.

The ge in nouns is also dropped. Scir-gerefa turns into scirreve, which is not far from sherriff.

But we now come to the great change of all in Verbs, the Shibboleth which is the sure mark of a Midland dialect, and which we should be using at this moment, had the printing-press only come to England thirty years earlier than it did. The Old English Present Plural of verbs ended in $a \delta$, as wê hŷrað, gê $h \hat{y} r a \delta$, $h \hat{\imath}$ higrað. It has been thought that, after the common English fashion, an $n$ has been here cast out, which used to follow the $a$. But the peasants in some of our shires may have kept the older form hyrant ; as we find the peasants on the Rhine using three different forms of the Present Plural ; to wit, liebent, liebet, and lieben. ${ }^{1}$ Bearing this parallel case in mind, we can understand how the Present Plural of the Mercian Danelagh came to end in en and not in $a \delta$. The Peterborough Chronicle, in Henry the First's reign, uses liggen, haven, for the Plural of the Present of Verbs ; we even find lin for liggen. This is the Midland form. The Southern form would be liggeth, habbeth; a slight alteration of the Old English. The Northern form, spoken beyond the Humber, would be ligges, haves, as we saw in the Northumbrian Gospels. Another Shibboleth of English dialects is the Active Participle. In the North this ended in ande, the Norse form. In the Midland it became ende, the Old English form, though in Lincolnshire and East Anglia this was often supplanted by the Danish ande. In the South, it ended in inde, as we shall soon see. To take an example, we stand singing.

[^27]> North.-We standes singande. Midland.-We standen singende. South.-We standeth singinde.

This Midland form of the Present Plural is still alive in Lancashire. The Southern form is kept in the famous Winchester motto, 'Manners malieth Man.'

Much shocked would an English scholar, sixty years earlier, have been at such a sentence as this, the last but one of the Chronicle for the year 1127: ne cunne we iett noht seggon, we can say nought yet. It is curious to mark the slow corruption of the old tongue: on pyssum geare, on pis geer, pis gear.

Many words, common to us and to our brethren on the mainland, live on in the mouths of the common folk for hundreds of years ere they can win their way into books. Thus Mr. Tennyson puts into the mouth of his Lincolnshire farmer the word buzzard-clock for a certain insect. No such word as clock can be found in the Anglo-Saxon dictionaries, though it is tacked on by our peasantry to many other substantives, to stand for various insects. But, on turning to an Old German gloss of wondrous age, we find 'chuleich, scarabæus.' ${ }^{1}$ We shall meet many other English words, akin to the Dutch and High German, which were not set down in writing until the Twelfth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth Centuries, when these words replaced others that are found in the Anglo-Saxon Dictionary. Some of the strangers are also used by Norse writers; it is thus often hard to tell whether a Teutonic word came to England with Hengist in the Fifth Century or with

[^28]Hubba in the Ninth Century. Perhaps the safest distinction is to draw a line through Ipswich, Northampton, and Shrewsbury: in the case of strange Teutonic words that crop up to the North of this line, we should lean to Scandinavia ; in the opposite case, to Friesland. Thus, in the account of the year 1118, we find wyrre, our war; this reminds us of the Old Dutch werren; in Latin, militare. In 1124, the new form barlic, our barley, replaces the old bere, which still lingers in Scotland. Cnawlece (acknowledge) is seen for the first time in a forgery inserted in the account of the year 963 . As might be expected, Scandinavian words, long used by the Dano-Anglian peasantry, were creeping into written English prose. The Norse bathe (ambo) drove out the Old English $b a$ and butu. In the forged charter inserted in the annals of 656, we read of the hamlet Grætecros ; the last syllable of this comes from the Norse liross, and it was this word, not the French croix, that supplanted our Old English ród (rood). In 1128, we find the phrase, ' purh his micele wiles ;' this new word, which is still in our mouths, comes from the Scandinavian vaela (decipere). In 1131, we see 'pa wæs tenn ploges ;' the substantive is from the Scandinavian plôgr; English is the only Teutonic tongue that of old lacked this synonym for aratrum. The Scandinavian fra replaces the Old English fram; and we still say, 'to and fro.' Where an older writer would have written ' on ðe norb half,' the Peterborough Chronicler for 1131 changes on into $o$; from this new form, which soon spread into the South, we get our aloft, aright, and such like. We may still write either ashore or on shore.

## EAST MIDLAND DIALECT OF 1120.

Extracts from a forged Peterborough Charter (inserted in the year 656):

Đa seonde se kyning æfter jone abbode pet he æues-
Then sent the king. after the abbot that he speedily telice scolde to him cumon. and he swa dyde. Đa cwæd should come so did quoth se kyning to pan abbode. La leof Sæxulf. ic haue geseond Lo, loved I have sent æfter pe for mine saule purfe. and ic hit wile pe wæl thee soul's need it will well secgon for hwi. Min broðor Peada and min leoue freond
say why brother loved friend
Oswi ongunnen an mynstre Criste to loue and Sancte began minster to Christ'sglory
Petre. Oc min broper is faren of pisse liue. swa swa Crist But gone from life as
wolde. Oc ic wile pe gebidden. la leoue freond. pat hii pray to
they
wirce æuostlice on pere werce. and ic pe wile finden may work diligently the
pærto gold and siluer. land and ahte. and al pet pærto goods
behofe久. Đa feorde se abbot ham. and ongan to wircene. behoves went home began
Swa he spedde swa him Crist huðe. swa pet in feuna So as granted few geare wæs pat mynstre gare. Đa pa kyning heorda pæt years ready. When heard
gesecgon. pa wærd se swiðe glæd. heot seonden geond said was he right glad he bade through
al hi peode æfter alle his pægne. æfter ærcebiscop. and his people thanes
æfter biscopes. and æfter his eorles. and æfter alle pa those
pe Gode luuedon. pat hi scoldon to him cumene. and that
seotte pa dæi hwonne man scolde pat mynstre gehalegon. set day when
hallow
And ic bidde ealle pa paæfter me cumen. beon hi mine
all thosethat
be they
sunes. beon hi mine breðre. ouper kyningas pa æfter me or kings
cumen. pat ure gyfe mote standen. swa swa hi willen our gift may
beon delnimende on pa ece lif. and swa swa hi wilen partakers in the eternal
ætbeorstan pet ece wite. Swa hwa swa ure gife ouper escape punishment. Whosoever
opre godene manne gyfe wansiað. wansie him seo of other good men lessens the heofenlice iateward on heofenrice. And swa hwa swa heavenly gate-ward heaven-kingdom
hit eceঠ. ece him seo heofenlice iateward on heofenrice. increases
Đas sindon pa witnes pe pær wæron. and pa pat gewriten These are
wrote
mid here fingre on Cristes mele. and ietten mid here
with their cross agreed
tunge. . . . Đes writ wæs gewriton æfter ure.
Drihtnes acennednesse DCLXIIII. pes kyningas Lord's birth
Wulhferes seouepende gear. pes ærcebiscopes Deusdedit seventh
IX gear. Leidon pa Godes curs. and ealre halgane curs. They laid then
saints'
and al cristene folces. pe ani ping undyde pat pær wæs gedon. swa beo hit seið alle. Amen.
done so be it say

THE CONTRAST TO THE EAST MIDLAND.
(About A.D. 1120.)
Ure hlaford almihtiz God wile and us hot pat we hine Iufie. and of him smaze and spece. naht him to mede ac hus to freme and to fultume. for him seize alle hiscefte.

Gif non man ne poht of Gode. non ne spece of him. Gif non of him ne spece. non hine ne lufede. Gif non hine ne lufede. non to him ne come. ne delende. nere of his eadinesse. nof his merhðe. Hit is wel swete of him to specene. penche zie ælc word of him swete. al swa an huni tiar felle upe ziure hierte. Heo is hefone liht and eoroe brihtnesse. loftes leom. and all hiscefte zimston. anglene blisse, and mancenne hiht and hope. richtwisen strenhcpe. and niedfulle frouer. ${ }^{1}$

> Page 219. Seraphim birninde oder anhelend. God lét hi habben ázen chíre, to chíesen.
221. Forgáng pu ones treówes westm.
235. He cweठ $a$ wunder worder.
223. pa weran bo丈e deadlice.
225. Ic wille halden pe and tí wif.

Ic wille settan $m i$ wed (covenant).
233. He us forðteh alse is cyldren. Feder, of wam we sielpe habbeð.
235. Bárn of hire ogen innoð.

Gif ic fader ham.
Wer lað̃ieres móche.
,, 239. Wic зéie, wic dredness wurð. Birne alse longe as ic lefie.
${ }^{1}$ Old English Homilies, edited by Dr. Morris (Early English Text Society), p. 217. These go to p. 245. The passage I give above is an original one of the transcriber's, written long after Elfric's time.

This Southern English, as anyone may see, is far more archaic than the English of Peterborough. After the year 1000, Alfric wrote many homilies in the English of his day, and these were popular in our land long after his death. A clean sweep, it is true, was made of a Latin sentence of his, wherein he upholds the old Teutonic idea of the Eucharist, and overturns the newfangled Transubstantiation, a doctrine of which Lanfranc, seventy years later, was the great champion in England. ${ }^{1}$ But otherwise Alfric's teaching was thought sound, and his homilies were more than once turned into the corrupt English of succeeding centuries. We have one of these versions, drawn up about the time of the forged Peterborough charters; this is headed by the extract given above. The East Midland, with its stern contractions, is like the Attic of Thucydides; the Southern English, with its love of vowels and dislike of the clipping process, resembles the Ionic of Herodotus. The work we have now in hand, being written far to the South of the Mercian Danelagh, holds fairly well by the Old English forms ; thus, instead of the Peterborough $\varnothing$ e, we find the older se, si, pat; and we sometimes meet with the old Dative Plural in um, though the old Genitive is often replaced by the form with of, and the endings of Verbs are often clipped. A guess may be given as to the place where these Homilies were adapted to the common speech. Forms like fer (ignis) and gelt (scelus) point to some shire near Kent. The combination $i e$, used by King Alfred, is here found,

[^29]and does not appear later except in Kent and Essex. The letter $o$ in this work begins to supplant the old $a$, though not often. This corruption is found in full vigour a hundred years later both in Suffolk and Dorset. Some town lying nearly half-way between the two shires, may have given birth to the new form. We now find mor, long, non, ogen (own), and haligost, for the old már, lang, nán, ágen, and hálig gást. Moreover, as we learn from the Conqueror's English charter to London, the great city was the abode of a large French-speaking population. From these men (Becket's father was one of them), it seems likely that their English fellowsubjects learned to turn the hard $c$ into the soft $c h$; ceósan and ríce into chiésen and riche. Long before this time, the French castel had become chastel. ${ }^{1}$ The changes of the $a$ and the $c$, most sparingly found as yet, are the two main corruptions that our Standard English has borrowed from the South. Yet the old sounds are apt to linger in proper names; as in Aldgate and Peakirka village not far from Rutland. The letter $h$ is now often found wrongly used, or is dropped at the beginning of words. We find the true Southern shibboleth, the Active Participle ending in inde, as birnind instead of the old birnende. Fourscore years later, this was to be still further corrupted. In page 235, we find pes wer isent. This of old would have been wedron gesended. The old English an is now pared down into $a$, and is sometimes also seen as one; so nân ping become na ping. What was bathe at Peterborough is found in the Homi-

[^30]lies as bothe, the Gothic bayoths and the Sanscrit ubhau. Danish influence was making itself felt on the Thames. The form abec (aback, in Gothic ibukai) is seen, like the Midland o pe half; in pe is shortened into $i$ ঠe. Ealswa is cut down into alse and then into as, the most rapid of all our changes; thus we have formed two new words, also and as, out of one old word. Mîn and pin are shortened into $m i$ and $t i$.

We now find the first use of our New English Relative Pronoun. Hwâ and hwylc were never so employed of yore ; the former answered to the Latin quis, not to qui; but our tongue was now subject to French influence. As yet, the Genitive and Dative alone of hwa, not the Nominative, are used to express the Relative. Teonðe and sefentize are found instead of teoð $a$ and hundseofontig. Swylc, hwylc, and mycel now become swice, wice, and moche; further changes are to come forty years later. Cildru turns into cyldren, for the South of England, unlike the North, always loved the Plural in en, of which the Germans are so fond. Ege becomes azéie, not far from our modern awe; the $g$ is softened into $y$ or $i$, especially at the beginning of Past Participles. The new letter $z$ now appears to replace the old hard $g$; it lasted for nearly 350 years. Thanks to it, we wrote citeien, the old French word, as citezen in 1340, and in 1380 pronounced it citisen. Thus the Scottish Dalyell and Mackenyie have become Dalziel and Mackenzie. ${ }^{1}$ The former hê hafað gewesen is now seen as he hað $\hat{\imath} b \hat{\imath}$ (he hath been), a wondrous change; hoefde becomes had,

[^31]and we wêren is shortened into we wer. Agên, céfre, pâs, neah, genoh, yfel, bydel, are replaced by azénes, efer, pes, nieh, innoh, euyl, bedete (against, ever, these, nigh, enough, evil, beadle). For is now found for the first time, answering to the Latin enim; and bread (panis) replaces the old hlaf. This reign of Henry the First is indeed an age of change, both in the Midland and in the South. Old English words were becoming strange to English ears. Thus the adapter of the Homilies in this reign has to add the word laga to explain $\notin$, the Latin lex (p.227). A verb sometimes gets a new sense; thus the old ágan, which of old meant nothing more than possidere, comes now to stand for debere ; he is ofer us and aht to bienne (ought to be), p. 233 ; there is also pu ahst (debes). Burch is found instead of burh, as we saw it at Peterborough ; and ch often replaces the old $h$, as richtwis, michti, nachte (nihil); in the word zeworhcte we see a mixture of both the forms. We now find a budding corruption that is for ages the sure mark of a Southern dialect; namely, the turning of the old $i$ or $y$ into $u$. Thus swipen here becomes swupen (p. 239), ${ }^{1}$ and the old mycele is sometimes seen as mucele. This particular change has not greatly affected our Standard English, except that we use the Southern much and such instead of the old mycel and swylc. We once see the $w$ thrown out of swa, for we read sa ful (p. 233). Hatrede is found for the first time as well as hate.

A few lines on The Grave, printed by Mr. Thorpe in his Analecta Anglo-Saxonica (p. 142), seem to belong to

[^32]this time. In this piece we find for the first time in English the word lah or lage (humilis): 'Hit biot unheh and lah; of hele-wages beot lage.' The Scandinavian and Frisian have words akin to this. Fourscore years later, we find the verb to lazhenn (to lower); and almost two hundred years further on, we light on bi loogh (below). We thus in Chaucer's time compounded a new preposition out of an adjective.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About 1160.)
We now skip thirty years, and once more return to the neighbourhood of Rutland. The Peterborough Chronicle seems to have been laid aside for many years after 1131. England was at this time groaning under some of the worst sorrows she has ever known; we have come to the nineteen winters when Stephen was King. As soon as these evil days were over, and England had begun her happy course (this has lasted, with but few checks, for more than seven hundred years ${ }^{1}$ ), the Peterborough monks went on with their Chronicle. Their language was becoming more and more corrupt; but the picture they set before us of King Stephen's days is a marvel of power, and shows the sterling stuff that a Monastic writer often had in him.

The English, which we are now to weigh, dates from about the year 1160. More Norse forms crop up; we find cyrceicerd (kirkyard) formed on the Norse pattern, instead of the Old English cirictune. When King Stephen lays hold of Earl Randolph, he is said to
${ }^{1}$ Even our few civil wars have commonly in the end furthered the good estate of the realm.
act through 'wicci rede.' This is the first appearance in our island of the common word wicked, a word which Mr. Wedgwood derives from Lapland or Esthonia. There is a change in the meaning of words; thus wár of old meant cautus, but it now gets the new sense of sciens; as in the account of the year 1140, 'he wart it war,' he became aware of it. By this time many of the Southern corruptions had made their way to Rutland and its neighbourhood: thus o was beginning to replace $a$; mor and oune are used instead of már and $\hat{a} n$. We see here aie, agenes, alsuic, alse, for, onoh, a, just as we saw them in the Homilies; and ahte stands for debuit, following the Southern fashion. What was hwa swa thirty years earlier is now wua sua, not far from our whoso. Eall is dropped altogether, in favour of the Anglian all. A form, of old found but seldom, now appears instead of alc; to this word ever is prefixed, and ceuric (every) is the result. In this way our fathers afterwards compounded whoever, whatsoever, and other strange forms. Ic makes way for $I$, the old Anglian ih, found in the Northumbrian Gospels; seo changes into scec, but we have to wait more than a hundred years for our well-known she; hit becomes it. The Southern 'heo hefde ibi' is seen in the Midland as scce hadde ben. The particle ne of old was always attached to the verb to express negation; but this ne is now replaced by nolut, our not; in the account of 1132, we read, was it noht lang. This form was unknown at London for nearly two hundred years afterwards : Peterborough, it is plain, has had more influence upon our speech than London. The Anglian til
(usque), a word never found in the South, replaces the Old English of, which soon vanished altogether. The ending of the Infinitive had already been pared down from an into en and $e$; it now lost even this; for we find in the account of the year 1135, sculde cumm (should come), durste sei (durst say); this sculde was once sceolde. ${ }^{1}$ Other corruptions of the Verb are seen in $h i$ namm for $h \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \hat{a} m e n$; there is also he spac, he let, he mint; what is now the Scottish form gcede (ivit) is found for the first time instead of the old eôde. Lwede (duxit) now becomes loed, our led. Nefan becomes neues; the Irish peasantry still keep this old form 'nevvies,' rejecting our French-born word 'nephews.' Cyse, niwe, treówð, ðúman, nearo, become in 1160 coses (cheese), neuue (new), treuthe, pumbes, nareu (narrow). On slóp becomes an slep, not far from our asleep. We find both nan treuthe and na iustise, the old and the new form for nullus.

Prepositions are not often prefixed to the Verb, but are separated from it:; we find such forms as candles to ceten bi, he let him ut, he sculde cumm ut. Wile is used no longer exclusively as a noun, but like the Latin dum; an early instance of a conjunction being thus formed. Our modern $q u$ is found instead of the Old English $c w$, as quarterne; $c$ is giving way to $k$, for we find smoke and snake. Moreover, we see in the account of the year 1138 the first beginning of a new combination of letters, most common now in our speech; $g h$ supplants $g$, as sloghen (they slew) ; we saw something similar in the Homilies.

[^33]This change soon prevailed all through the East Midland, from Essex to Yorkshire. Burch, not the Old English burh, is the name given to Peterborough by its Chroniclers. The verbs can and cuthe are most freely employed ; of old, may and might would have been used. Forms like thereafter and therein come pretty often, and altogreder is seen for the first time. King Stephen, we are told in the account of the year 1137, had treasure, but ' scatered sotlice ;' that is, 'dispersed it like a fool.' This new word scatter is akin to the Dutch schetteren, which has the same meaning.

## EAST MIDLAND DIALECT OF 1160.

Extract from the Peterborough Chronicle for the year 1137.
$p_{a}$ the suikes undergæton pat he milde man was and When traitors understood
softe and god and na iustise ne dide. pa diden hi alle good no then they
wunder. Hi hadden him manred maked and athes homage made oaths suoren. ac hi nan treuthe ne heolden. alle hi wæron forbut
held
sworen. and here treothes forloren. for æuric rice man forfeited every mighty
his castles makede and agænes him heolden and fylden against
pe land ful of castles. Hi suencten suybe pa uurecce oppressed sore wretched men of pe land mid castelweorces. pa pe castles uuaren castle-works were
maked. pa fylden hi mid deoules and yvele men. pa devils
namen hi pa men pe hi wenden pat ani god hefden. bathe took they thought property had
be nihtes and be dæies. carlmen and wimmen. and diden men
heom in prisun efter gold and sylver. and pined heom them for tortured
untellendlice pining. for ne uuæren næure nan martyrs unspeakable torture no
swa pined alse hi wæron. Me henged up bi the fet and as they
smoked heom mid ful smoke. me henged bi the thumbes. foul
other bi the hefed. and hengen bryniges on her fet. Me or head hung burning things
dide cnotted strenges abuton here hæved. and uurythen head
to pat it gæde to pe hærnes. Hi diden heom in quarwent brains prison
terne. par nadres and snakes and pades wæron inne. and where adders toads
drapen heom swa. Sume hi diden in crucet hus. pat is killed

Some house
in an ceste pat was scort and nareu and undep. and dide chest short shallow
scærpe stanes perinne. and prengde pe man pærinne. pat sharp stones crushed
him bræcon alle pe limes. In mani of pe castles wæron broke limbs
lof and grim pat wæron rachenteges. pat twa other thre neck-bonds
or
men hadden onoh to bæron onne. pat was sua maced. enough one
pat is fæstned to an beom. and diden an scærp iren abuton pa mannes prote and his hals. pat he ne myhte nowiderneck
in any
wardes ne sitten ne lien ne slepen. oc bæron al pat iren. direction lie but

Mani pusen hi drapen mid hungær. I ne canne ine thousands
mai tellen alle pe wundes. ne alle pe pines pat hi diden wrecce men on pis land. and pat lastede pa XIX. wintre wile Stephne was king. and $æ$ vre it was uuerse and worse
uuerse. . . .
1154.-On jis gær wærd pe king Steph. ded. and bewas
byried per his wif and his sune wæron bebyried æt Fauresfeld. pæt minstre hi makeden. pa pe king was ded. бa was pe eorl beionde sæ. and ne durste nan man don oper bute god. for pe micel eie of him. awe

The year 1135. Micel ping sculde cumm. Auric man sone rævede. . Wua sua bare his byrthen. .

## the Contrast to the east midland.

$$
\left(\text { About 1160.) }{ }^{1}\right.
$$

Ure feder pet in heouene is, pet is al soð ful iwis. weo moten to peos weordes iseon. pet to liue and to saule gode beon. pet weo beon swa his sunes iborene. pet he beo feder and we him icorene. pet we don alle his ibeden. and his wille for to reden. Loke weo us wið him misdon purh beelzebubes swikedom
${ }^{1}$ Old English Homilies, First Series (Early English Text Society), p. 55.
he haue to us muchel nið. alle pa deies of ure sio. abuten us he is for to blenchen. Mid alle his mihte he wule us swenchen. Gif we leornið godes lare. jenne of puncher hit him sare. Bute we bileuen ure ufele iwune. Ne kepeð he noht pet we beon sune. Gif we clepieð hine feder penne. al pet is us to lutel wunne. halde we godes laze. pet we habbeठ of his saye.

Page 75. Ic ileue in god je fede(r) almihti. scuppende and weldende of heouene and of orre and of alle iscefte. and ich ileue on pe helende crist. his enlepi sune. ure lauerd. he is ihaten helende for he moncun helede of pan depliche atter. pet pe alde deouel blou on adam and on eue and on al heore ofsprinke. swa pet heore fif-falde mihte hom wes al binumen. pet is hore lust. hore loking. hore blawing. hore smelling. heore feling wes al iattret.

Page 53. Is afered leste peo eorðe hire trukie.
63. For pe saule of him is forloren.
73. Ech mon habbe mot.

Heo sculen heore bileue cunnen . .
83. Đe sunne schineð per purh . . Ho nime ${ }^{\text {al swuch. }}$
127. Muchele mare luue he scawede us.
129. Heo weren ipult at of paradise. ${ }^{1}$
141. Đer stod a richt halue and a luft.
${ }^{1}$ Hence our ' put him out.'

Page 145. Techeð us bi hwiche weie.

## 179. Were we . . . . swa vuele bicauhte.

129. Him puhte bicumelic pet we . . . weren alesede.

The poem, part of which I have set out above, is the earliestlong specimen of an English riming metre that is still popular. ${ }^{1}$ Having been compiled somewhere about 1160, the work stands about half way between the Beowulf and the last work of Mr. Tennyson. The French riming lays, of which our Norman and Angevin rulers were so fond, must have been the model followed by the English bard, whoever he was. In the same volume are many Homilies, which give us a good idea of the English spoken in the South at this time. The following are the main points of difference between them and the Homilies of Henry the First's time.

A new combination of letters, au (well known in Gothic), is seen for the first time in English; as blawwen, naut, bicauhte.

[^34]Oh is beginning to change into ou, as nout and inou for noht and inoh.
$O$ replaces $a$ much oftener than before; lore, strong, and nohwer are examples; we find both naping and noping (pp. 165 and 181), both na mon and no tunge.

The diphthong $c e$ was losing ground; thus $s e x$ becomes sea, and cegðer becomes eiðer; but the combination ei has never been popular, at least in Teutonic words.

We sometimes find $v$ substituted for $f$ at the beginning of a word, as vette for fette (page 81). It is the influence of the South Western shires that makes us write vixen and vat instead of the old fixen and foet; it is a wonder that we do not also write vox. $\quad G$ is commonly turned into $y$, but sometimes into $w$; thus folegede turns into folewed and laga into law; this is as yet most rare.

France was now dictating much of our pronunciation, and many of the vowels must in this age have been sounded in the same way on either side of the Channel. Ch replaces $c$ in countless instances. Cerran (verti) now becomes cherre; we still say 'on the jar,' ${ }^{1}$ or ajar. We also find chirche, leche, diche, teache, biseche (beseech). The verb seche, which was elsewhere seke, shows whence comes our search; the derivation from chercher, given even in our latest dictionaries, must be wrong, for changer does not become sange in English. Still, the intruding $r$ in search must be due to the French verb. Moreover we see, in

[^35]page 83 , the two forms scine and schine (shine), the last being a new sound now creeping into English. So popular did it become, that we forced Freuch verbs in ir to take the sound, as cherish and flowrish. But the French cabus has become cabbage, just as Perusia became Perugia. The corrupt forms of 1120, swice, wice, and moche, now become swulc, swuche, and sulche (such); wilche, and hwiche; muche and muchel. The old gylt becomes gult in the South; our guilt is a combination of the two. We see a new form in hwilke time se eure (which time so ever). Allc (quisque) takes its modern shape of elche and eche; and an is fastened on to it, though as yet very seldom. Thus, at page 91 , we read ' heo it delden elchun;' that is, to each one. Latost (ultimus) is cut down to leste at page 143 ; and py lces pe is shortened into leste, which we still keep. If and neor replace the old gif and neah; the first is the Scandinavian ef. Saule of him is put for his soul, simply to eke out a rime; and the of is sometimes used as an adverb, with a new spelling, as at page 29 , ' $\mathfrak{z i f}$ pin hefet were offe.' The word purhut (throughout) now appears. O¿erlicor now becomes oðer-weis (page 31) ; at page 165 we see evrema (evermore); at page 139 the cevric (quisque) of Peterborough is found in its new shape, efri: the East Midland corruptions were already beginning to find their way to the South. What was before written on lif (in vitâ) is now seen as alive (page 161); yet our dictionary-makers, even to this day, will have it that alive is an adjective. We see such new forms as underling and fowertene niht (fortnight). When we find the word knave child applied to the infant Saviour at page

77, we get some idea of the degradation undergone by the word knave since the Twelfth century. Bicumelic now first appears for decorus, shortened by us into comely; bicuman is used for both decere and fieri (pages 45 and 47). Lot also gets a wholly new meaning; at page 31 we read of a 'pridde lot' (tertia pars). Geleafa now takes its modern form bileue, belief; just as gelitlian was to become to belittle. ${ }^{1}$ Нд́es, geong, betst, sorh, deaw, peau, gescy, légere, and Sunnandosg, now become heste, yung, best, sorewe, deu, pewe, sceos (shoes), lilizare (liar), and Sunnedei (Sunday). The old hwilke had not yet come to stand for the Neuter Relative, for we find 'zeten purh hwam' (gates through which), page 153. We see a new use of hwat in the sentence (page 145), ' we beoð in wawe, hwat for ure eldere werkes, hwat for ure azene gultes.' We still keep this idiom, but we should now employ with instead of for. At page 53, we see in two lines both the new alse feire alse and the old swa sone se. At page 33 we find a form, well known to English witnesses, ' swa me helpe Drihten.' Our forefathers used to express the Latin sinister by wynstre, something that was wanting in full strength. In these Homilies we find wynstre changed into luft (left), to which we still cling. There is a kindred word to this in Holland.

As to Verbs ; the Participle iturned becomes iturnd at page 157, with the clipped pronunciation we still use, except at church. We sometimes find the Midland beon instead of the Southern beoth. At page 21, we scolden is used for we sculen, and the corruption still holds its

[^36]ground. Another form for debemus, we agon, now becomes we achten (we ought), page 167. The old geworht is turned into iwrat (wrought). In page 173, we find hi walked eure. This is our modern sense of the old verb wealcan, which before meant nothing but to roll. The old scéadan (separare) now gets the sense of fundere (page 157) ; the former meaning still lingers in watershed. Stoelwyr' used to mean 'worth stealing;' at page 25 it gets its new sense, validus: perhaps it was confounded with staðelferkð. The verb sceáwian loses its old meaning spectare, and gets its new sense monstrare, though we still call spectaculum a show. We know that the word afford has puzzled our antiquarians; we find it employed in these Homilies, page 37 : 'do pine elmesse of pon pet pu maht iforðien.' Bishop Pecock uses avorthi in this sense three hundred years later. The old gefordian only meant ' to further or help.' Here, at least, we need not seek for help from France. ${ }^{1}$ The substantive cachepol may be seen, in page 97 , applied to St. Matthew's old trade. The verb catch is found for the first time with its Past Participle cauhte; this Mr. Wedgwood derives from the Picard cacher, meaning the same as chasser. There is hardly another instance of an English Verb, coming from the French, not ending with ed in the Past Participle. ${ }^{2}$ To put or pult, another dark word, is also met with; there is a Danish putten, but some point us to the French bouter, and to Celtic roots. It was long before put meant ponere as well as trudere.

[^37]The Norse skil (discretion) is first found at page 61 ; and the Norse cast (torquere) at page 47. At page 131 may be found our verb thrust, coming from the Norse prysta: 'he to-pruste pa stelene gate.' At page 43, we see our smother (there called smorðer), which is nearer related to the Low German of the mainland than to the Old English smorian. Siker, akin to securus, now first appears.

We may often find an old pedigree for a word that is now reckoned slangy. We are told at page 15 that we ought to restrain the evil done by thieves ; the verb used is viostewen, afterwards repeated in the Legend of St. Margaret. Hence comes the phrase, 'stow that nonsense;' this may be found in Scott and Dickens. ${ }^{1}$ Our verb lick, as used in polite society, can boast of the best of Teutonic pedigrees; as commonly used by schoolboys, it is but a corruption of the Welsh llachiaw (ferire). From this last may also come our flog, even as Lloyd and Floyd are due to one and the same source.

We may compare the Moral Ode of the date of these Homilies with its transcript a few years later. In this latter, $W$ is much oftener employed for the old $g$ or $y$ in the middle of a word; as drawen, owen. Thanks to the corruption found in this last verb, we have two distinct forms for debes: I owe money, and I ought to pay. The encroachment of $w$ upon $g$ or $y$ may be remarked in another Southern work of about the same date, the Poem on the Soul and Body, printed from a Worcester manuscript by Sir Thomas Phillipps. In pages 2 and 6 of this work, we

[^38]see fugelas turned into fuweles (fowls), sugu into suwa (sow), and elboga into elbowe. An attempt is even made to change our word days into dawes, a corruption that lasted long in the South. The old purh (per) now becomes puruh, pointing to our later thorough and through. In page 7 of this work, we find a Weak Verb turned into a Strong one, which seldom happens in English; peo bellen rungen, where the last word should be ringoden. The old eahte and feower now become eihte and four. We find bokes, so, dayes, pih, ei弓e, hei, chiken, neih, ${ }^{1}$ heihnesse, instead of the older béc, swa, dagas, beoh, eáge, hég, cicen, neah, heáhnes. We were beginning to couple together the Southern $c$ and the Northern $k$, as in crock and picke. Another budding change may be seen in spindel, which is turned into spindle. The new form ou was beginning to replace the older $o$, for souhte and inouh are found instead of sohte and genoh: the letter $u$ is not yet changed into ou. Some new phrases appear, such as alto longe, the all being often prefixed, as it was later in our although, albeit, fc. The new Preposition besiden, formed from side, is now first found; ${ }^{2}$ also wome (væ mihi), which was long afterwards lengthened into woe is me. Cantwaraburh is now changed into Cantoreburi; and thus the French way of spelling (did they ever yet spell a Teutonic word right?) influenced us. Bæda becomes Beda; and we see the Old and the New in the short sentence, 'Alfric abbod pe we Alquin hotep.'

[^39]It is hopeless, after seven hundred years of wrong spelling, to talk now of King Alfred. Ortgeard is softened into orchard. Rá-deor (capreolus) is changed into roa-deor, and shows us the steps by which the old a became the new $o$; we still write broad and goad, a compromise between the North and the South. The sound $o$ in English can be expressed by about ten different combinations of letters; the student of our tongue must here long for the simplicity of the Italian.

About this time, the reign of Henry II., the Old Southern English Gospels of King Ethelred's time were fitted for more modern use. These, known in their new form as the Hatton Gospels, are now accessible to all; St. Matthew's Gospel was published in 1858. ${ }^{1}$ The main corruption, wrought by two hundred years or less, is the change of $c$ into $c h$, as mycel into mychel and alc into elch. The endings are clipped as usual ; thus sunu becomes sune. These Gospels were the last version of Scripture, so far as is known, put forth in England until Wickliffe's day; free paraphrases and riming translations of the Psalms might indeed be compiled; but the next Century, with its Albigensian wars and its Lateran Councils, frowned upon literal versions of the Bible in any vulgar tongue. Even the stout Teutons of England had in this to give way to Roman behests. We are still two hundred years from the Lollard outbreak.

We must now for the third time cast an eye upon the Homilies, which throw such a flood of light upon Twelfth Century English. ${ }^{2}$ Those to which I now refer

[^40]date from about 1180, and seem to have been written in Essex, according to evidence brought forward by Dr. Morris; for some of their forms are akin to the Danelagh, others to the South. They have peculiarities, found also in Kent; such as the change of $i$ into $e$, manken for mankin, sennen for sinnen; also, the combination of ie to express the sound of $e$, as in lief, bitwien, gier, jief, fiend, friend; lie (page 229) for the older leozen; glie for gleo; fieble (page 191) for what we call feeble. This combination is found in King Alfred's translation of Pope Gregory's Pastoral Care, and after 1120 was preserved nowhere else but in Kent and in the shire where the present Homilies were written. Another combination of vowels, common enough in Gothic but hitherto almost unknown in England, is that of $a i .^{1}$ We find in these Homilies the new forms maiden, nail, slaine, nai : here the $i$ represents an older $g$; the ancient diphthong $a$, beloved of old, was soon to vanish from England. There is here also a combination of consonants much used in the Eastern half of England, that of $g h$ replacing the old $h$; we now find poghte and aghte (debuit); this was as yet strange to the shires South of Thames. Another mark of the North and of the Eastern coast, the use of sal instead of shall, is also found. The hard $g$ sound was henceforth peculiar to East Anglia and Northern Essex; we here find folegen, burg, gure (vester), beger (emptor), gier (annus); also Society), published by Dr. Morris. These did not come out before the end of May, 1873. I delayed publishing myown book until their appearance.
${ }^{1}$ It is found, but most seldom, in the last part of the Peterborough Chronicle, as in mai and lai; the $i$ representing the old $g$.
the corrupt gede (ivit). The new sound $s h$ instead of $s c$, seldom found hitherto, is now established in the South; as shown in bisshup, shipe, shufe (our shove), shrifte, fishes. The $w$, which replaced $g$ in so many words, is creeping up from the South; we see owen, bruw, buw, for agen, breg, and boga. Such forms occur as sined (peccavit), gres (gramen), eke (etiam), fewe, sori, breঠren, reu (pœnitet). In this last word we now transpose the vowels. We here see the old Frigedæg, geogur, genemned, pyndan, cneowian, ceaca, gedriged, draf, brace, leger, turned into Fridai, zieuð, nemmed, pen, cnewl, cheke, dride, drof, brac, leire (lair). The prefix to the Past Participle often disappears, a sure token of Norse influence; as is also the aren (sunt) and heठen (hinc), found in these Homilies. At page 25, we get a bit of Old English philology: God is called Father, we are there told, for two things; 'on his for po pe he . . . feide (joined) pe lemes to ure licame . . . oder is pat he fet (feeds) alle ping.' The fact that a new French sound ch often replaced the old hard English sound c, has enriched our tongue with two sets of words; thus we have the two distinct verbs, wake and watch, both springing from the old woecan. But in 1180 their use was most unsettled; at page 161 we hear that the Devil wecche (awaketh) evil.

There are many new expressions in these Homilies; such as anon, ${ }^{1}$ welnehg (wellnigh), for pe nones (instead of for pan cenes, page 87), rajer (in the sense of potius, not citius, page 213), a Godes name, alse peih (quasi); mast mannen (maxima pars hominum) ; shewe em, page 57. At

[^41]page 175 we hear of two brethren, ' pat on is Seint Peter and pat oder Seint Andreu :' this is a great change from the se an . . . se oder used of the two men who strove for the Papacy in 1129, as recorded in the Peterborough Chronicle of that year. In Scotch law papers the tan and the tother may be remarked down to very modern times; ${ }^{1}$ the confusion between letters is like that seen in the nonce. The Masculine and Neuter of the Article were no longer to be distinguished ; at least, in Danish shires. The $o$, which has so often replaced the old $a$, has added to our stock of synonyms for unus; we now employ one and an in distinct ways, but this had not been settled in 1180 : at page 125 we read of ' on old man,' and two lines lower down of ' an holie child.'

Many English words were now getting new meanings. Among the works of darkness mentioned at page 13 are 'chest and chew,' translated by Dr. Morris 'contention and jaw,' a new sense of the old ceówan, our chew. ${ }^{2}$ There is a famous Mediæval phrase in page 113 ; Christ, it is there said, 'herede helle;' the Harrowing of Hell plays a leading part in our old literature from first to last. We know our phrase, 'to take to his bed;' we read in page 29, 'pu takest to huse,' that is, 'thou keepest at home.' At page 39, we hear of 'a man pe was of his wit;' hence comes our, 'off his feed.' At page 201 we see a broad line drawn between napping
${ }^{1}$ So in the poem on the Chameleon:-
'Sirs,' cried the umpire, 'cease your pother ; The creature's neither one nor tother.'

2 Sir Charles Napier, when finding comfort, as he said, in 'jawing away' at the powers that were, little suspected the good authority he had for his verb.
and sleeping. At page 151, wlache, the old wloce, is the adjective applied to snow melted by the sun; this is seen in our luke-warm. The old tilian (colere) remains to this day as till; but it had another sense laborare: this last is expressed in page 155 by changing tilian into tulien. England was losing many of her old words; but she made the most of those that were left to her by giving double meanings to certain terms.
We find new forms like 'to croke' or ' make crooked,' page 61; and swoldren, our swelter, page 7; snevi and snuve (sniff and snuff, pages 37 and 191). Trustliche (trustfully) appears, akin to the Frisian trâst.

There are many Norse words, which we have followed, rather than the kindred old English forms.

| Heve, heave | from hefia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Holsum, wholesome | ," heilsamr |
| Mece, meek | ," miúkr |
| Redie, ready | ,, rede |
| Rote, root | rote |
| Shurte, skirt | ", skyrta |
| Shrike, shriek | ," skrika |
| Shere, sheer ${ }^{1}$ | , skærr |
| Smoc, smock | smokkr |
| Tiðing, tidings | ", tiðindi |
| Toten, spectare ${ }^{2}$ | , titte (Danish) |

There are here also a few words common to England and Holland, such as twist, wimple, and shiver (findere). To scorn is here seen for the first time ; some have derived it from the French escornir, to deprive of horns. But it is used a few years later by Orrmin, the last of all men

[^42]to use a French word : sccern (stercus) is the more likely parent of the word. The old waer (cautus) now becomes warre (page 193), our wary.

We have a collection of King Alfred's saws, dating from about the year 1200.' It seems, like the Homilies just discussed, to have been compiled somewhere in the North of Essex ; for we find the thorough East Anglian forms, such as gung, sal, wu, arren (young, shall, how, are), and also Norse words, such as plough. On the other hand, we find the Active Participle ending in both the Midland end and the Southern ind, and the prefix $i$ or $y$ in constant use in all parts of the Verb; the Southern $o$ moreover has driven out the older $a$, as no ping for na ping, swo for swa. But there is a further change in the sound and spelling of vowels. Bóc is turned into booc, and gód into goed. The old sound of $o$ was being replaced by $u$ in many parts of England; about this time Orrmin far away was writing bule (taurus) and funnt instead of boli and font. Moreover, in the poem before us, $u$ is replaced by oo; wood is written for the old wude (silva). The combination ai was in full force; before it the Old English diphthong ce was to ranish. We here find again, fair, maist (potes). This last word is a corruption of pu meaht. Ne leve pu is now turned into leve pu nout (ne crede). Wela becomes weld; hwilis pat stands for the Latin dum. For sope (forsooth) is seen for the first time. A new adjective is formed from lang; the poet mentions at the end of his piece pe lonke mon, the lanky man. It is said of

[^43]a saucy fellow, that 'he wole grennen, cocken, and chiden ; ' here we have the first hint as to our adjective cocky. The whole poem is most Teutonic ; but at the end of the two last stanzas, the bard, perhaps wishing to show off, brings in a few French words most needlessly :-

> Ac nim pe to pe a stable mon
> jat word and dede bisette con, and multeplien heure god, a sug fere pe his help in mod.

> Hic ne sige nout bi pan, pat moni ne ben gentile man ; puru pis lore and genteleri he amendit huge companie. ${ }^{1}$

This is the first instance of our word gentleman. We find for the first time the Frisian haste, and also dote (dolt), akin to a Dutch term; besides a few Scandinavian words. Huge, from the Norse ugga, to frighten. Scold, from the Swedish skalla. We have also added to our well-known word ban the Norse sense maledicere, as seen in this poem. About the year 1200, the Old English Charters of Bury St. Edmunds were turned into the current speech of the shire, and these fill many pages of Kemble's Codex Diplomaticus.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About 1200.)
I now come to that writer who, more clearly than any other, sets before us the growth of the New English, the great work of the Twelfth Century. The monk
${ }^{1}$ The $h$ is sadly misused in this piece, as we see.

Orrmin wrote a metrical paraphrase of the Gospels, with comments of his own, somewhere about the year 1200 ; at least, he and Layamon employ the same proportion of Teutonic words that are now obsolete, and Layamon is known to have written after 1204. Orrmin , if he were the good fellow that I take him to have been (I judge from his writings), was a man well worthy to have lived in the days that gave us the Great Charter. He is the last of our English Makers who can be said to have drunk from the undefiled Teutonic well ; no later writers ever use so many Prepositional compounds, and on this account we ought perhaps to fix upon an earlier year than 1200 for his date. In the course of his lengthy poem, he uses only four or five French words ; his few Latin words are Church phrases known in our land long before the Norman Conquest. ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand, he has scores of Scandinarian words, the result of the Norse settlement in our Eastern shires 300 years before his day. His book is the most thoroughly Danish poem ever written in England, that has come down to us; many of the words now in our mouths are found for the first time in his pages. Had some of our late Lexicographers pored over him more, they would have stumbled into fewer pitfalls. ${ }^{2}$

It is most important to fix the shire in which Orrmin wrote, since no man did more to simplify our English grammar, and to sweep away all nicety as to genders

[^44]and cases. From his use of the $c h$ instead of $c$, he cannot well be established to the North of the Humber. From his employment of their, them (though indeed he sometimes uses her, hem, as well), he cannot fairly be brought further South than Lincoln. Had he lived in Lincolnshire, he would have used sal and suld instead of shall and should, and perhaps too, the participle in and, instead of ende. A line drawn between Doncaster and Derby seems to be the Western boundary of the old Danish settlement in Mercia, for few hamlets ending in by are found to the West of this line, and a writer so Scandinavian as Orrmin must have lived to the East of it. On the whole, the North of the county of Notts seems as likely a spot as any for his abode. ${ }^{1}$ There are many links between him and the Peterborough Cbronicler who wrote forty years earlier. The word gehaten or zehatenn is almost the only Past Participle which they leave unclipped of its prefix. They both use the two great Midland shibboleths, the Present Plural in en and the Active Participle in ende. They have the same objection to any ending but es for the Genitive Singular and the Nominative Ploral, following in this the old Northumbrian Gospels. They do not inflect the Article, and are thus far ahead of the Kentish writer in 1340. Orrmin uses that as a Demonstrative and not as a Neuter Article; he knows nothing of the old thilk, used in Somersetshire to this day. He has no trace of the Genitive Plural in ene, which lingered on in the

[^45]South for two hundred and fifty years after his time; he makes no distinction between Definite and Indefinite Adjectives, and their Plurals do not end in es. Writing, as he does, not far from the spot where the Northumbrian Psalter is thought to have been translated, he has a strong dislike to compound vowels. He often writes brest, callf, cnew, darr, dep, ledd, fihhtenn, fiend, lernenn, instead of the old breost, cealf, cneow, dear, deop, loed, feohtan, freond, leornigan. In the pronunciation of these words, as in many other things, we have followed him. By this time, the new sound ch had made its way from the South up to the Trent; we find bennche, loeche, macche, spreche, instead of the old benc, léce, maca, space. ${ }^{1}$ Orrmin was the second English writer, so far as is known, who pretty regularly used sh instead of the former sc; he wrote shoefess, shcepe, shcewenn, shall, and shame: this change began in the South, and the older form had not altogether gone out in the North, for he uses both biskop and bishop. Nowhere more clearly than in the Ormulum can we see the struggle between the Old and the New. He continues the custom of softening $g$ into $y$; eage with him is ezhe, not far from our eye; geong becomes zung. We have happily not followed him in softening the $g$ in words like give, get, and gate; or in corrupting deor (in Latin, ferce) into deoress, deers. He was the first to place $z$ at the end of a word, after a vowel; as jezz (they). He gave us lay instead of the Peterborough lai. Orrmin, being a true Northerner,

[^46]dislikes the old fashion of setting $a$ at the beginning of a verb : he will not write arise or awake. The Northern men, who settled our speech, eclipped everything that they could.

In his Pronouns, he shows that he is a near neighbour to Northumbria. He uses $I$ and icc ; pezz, pezzre, pezz $m$; but sometimes replaces the two last by heore, hemm. It was two handred and sixty years before their and them came into Standard English; they are true Scandinavian forms. Unlike the Peterborough Chronicler, Orrmin sticks to the Old English heo (in Latin, ea), which he writes zho. This is another reason for settling him as far to the West in the Danelagh as we can ; his zho still survives in Lancashire as hoo, as we know from Mrs. Gaskell's works.

It would be endless to point out all Orrmin's Scandinavian leanings. In our word for the Latin stella, he prefers the Danish stierne to the Old English steorra, writing it sterrne. He even uses og, the Danish word for ' $e t$ ' in a phrase like $a \mathrm{gz}$ occ $a \mathrm{zz}$. He employs the Norse ending lezzc as well as the English ness in his substantives, as modizlezzc, modiznesse. In tende, his word for decimus, he follows the Danish tiende rather than the Old English teoð $a$; our tenth seems to be a compound of the two. The English Church talks of tithes, the Scotch Kirk of teinds. He uses a crowd of Norse words which I do not notice, since they have dropped out of ase. Like the Peterborough Chronicler, Orrmin has fra, wicke, wrang, wiless, ploh, kirrkegørd. While weighing the mighty changes that were clearly at work in his day, we get some idea of the influence that the Norse settlement
of 870 has had upon our tongue. I give a list of those Scandinavian words, used by him, which have kept their place in our speech. ${ }^{1}$

Old English. Scandinavian.
Tynan
Unscearp Blunda, dormire
Ceapsetl Bûdh
Fear Boli
Hred Buinn
Sniðan Klippa
Searu Krokr, uncus
Sweltan Deyja
Wunian Dvelia, delay
Afaran Flytta
Paj Gata
Freme Gagn, commodum
Gescrepelice (iegnilega, conveniently
Creft
Ceápman. Okr, usury
Yfel
Ticcen
Tendan
Up-heah
Neát
Sige
Eax

Illa
Kid
Kinda
á Lopti
Naut
Overhaand
Palöxi

Orrmin.
Anngrenn, to anger
Blunnt
Bope, booth
Bule, bull
Bun, ready ${ }^{2}$
Clip, tondere
Croc, a device
Deze, die
Dwelle ${ }^{3}$.
Flitte, remove
Gate, path
Gazhenn, gain
Gezznlike ${ }^{4}$
Ginn, a contrivance
Hucester ${ }^{5}$
Inle, ill
Kide, capreolus
Kindle
o Lofft, aloft
Nowwt, nolt in Scotch
Oferrhannd, upper hand
Bulaxe, poll-a.ce
${ }^{1}$ I give in my list the origin of a few Scottish phrases, and the reason why Yorkshiremen talk of the gainest way to a place.
${ }^{2}$ A ship is outward bound.
${ }^{3}$ We still have the old sense, 'to dwell long upon a thought.' The sense of habitare has not quite driven out the sense of morari.
${ }^{4}$ Hence comes our ungainly. But the verb 'to gain' is from the French gagner.
${ }^{5}$ Ster was the sign of the feminine for hundreds of years after this time, at least in the South; we see a change at work when Orrmin applies the ending ster to a man.

| Old English. | Scandinavian. | Orrmin. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Arasian | Reisa | Rezzsenn, to raise |
| Scóp | Skálld | Scald, minstrel |
| Forhtian | Skierra | Skerre, scare |
| Cræftig | Slægr | Sleh, sly |
| Spor | Slódi | Slop, track |
| Fægr | Smuk |  |
| peon | Drífask | Smikerr, beautiful |
| Fultume | Upphelldi | Drife, thrive |
| Rod | Vöndr | Wphald, an upholding |
| Wansian | Vanta | Wantenod |
| Fyðer | Vænzr | Weng, wing |
| Wyrse | Vaerre | Werre, waur in Scotch |
| Geol | Iól | Yol, Yule |

Orrmin's work proves that England had not yet lost the power of compounding words with Prepositions and such words as even, full, orr, un, and wan. This gives wonderful strength and pith to his verse. We degenerate writers of later days use few compounds but those with out, over, under, and fore; and in this respect England falls woefully short of India, Greece, and Germany. Orrmin, like the Peterborough Chronicler, separates the Verb and the Preposition; he says, 'to standenn inn' (instare), 'he strac inn,' from the old strican, to pass. ${ }^{2}$ Inn is by him often pared down to $i$, as in the Southern Homilies; Shakespere has ' digged i the dark.' The letter $n$ often vanishes before a dental, as in the case of tonth, tooth.

The old bufan now becomes abufenn (above); bifóran changes to biforr (ante).
${ }^{1}$ Every one remembers Cowper's 'Sir Smug.' The old Danish word has been sadly degraded.
${ }^{2}$ Sir Roger de Coverley at the theatre 'struck in,' hearing some people talk near him. Addison would have been puzzled to give the derivation of this rerb.

The Scotch forbye (præter) here appears as forrpbi; so forthward became forward.

Orrmin often writes uppo for upon. This is one of the Derbyshire peculiarities, which have lately been brought home to all lovers of good English by the authoress of Adam Bede. The old uppe preceded the more modern uppan.

Most striking is the number of Orrmin's words beginning with the privative $u n$. We have lost many of them, and have thus sadly weakened our diction ; but our best writers are awaking to a sense of our loss, and such words as unwisdom are coming in once more.

The privative or, as orrap, is still found in the Ormulum, but did not last much longer.

The old hwoet litles, which lingered on elsewhere, is here changed into summwhatt, which we have kept: there is a change in the consonants, if we compare the old hwoet with the new what; ${ }^{1}$ we also find sum. operr and summwher.

Orrmin employs that for the Latin ille, a sense unknown before the Conquest; while London stuck to the old thille for two hundred and fifty years longer.

Vol. I. p. 227. Whase itt iss patt lufepp gripp, patt mann shall findenn Jesu Crist.

For the Plural of this patt he employs pa (fifty years later this $\mathrm{p} a$ was to become pas).

[^47]II. p. 153, alle pa patt waterr swalh.

In Vol. I. p. 85, we see our common form theirs for the first time.
'Till ezşerr jezzress herrte.' Forms like ours and yours were to come later. This Norse form took long to reach the South.

The old celc (quisque), as in the South, was now taking an after it; hence comes the Lowland Scotch form ilka, as in I. p. 15.

And off illc an off alle pa
Comm an god floce off prestess (each one of all those).
We find also swillc an, such a one.
Orrmin is the first English writer to put what before a substantive without regard to gender, as ' what man?' 'what woman?' The old hwilc was losing its former meaning in England.

In Vol. I. p. 42, there is a new form, ' pu cwennkesst i pi sellf modiznesse.' This of old would have been pe silf; self now began to be thought a noun, something like person.

Nan (nemo) takes a Plural sense, much as if a barbarous Latin word like nemines were to be formed. At Vol. II. page 92 we see, 'i nane depe sinness.'
$A$ is used as an Interjection, much like our ah.
Alls iff (in Latin, quasi) replaces the Old English swilc; we find also alls itt wcere, as it were. Our withal is now seen.

The Old aweg is now awezる (away).
The Old $\dot{a}$ (semper) is now azz.
The curious word bidene (in Dutch, by that) is found
for the first time ; it remained in use for 300 years. It here means 'at once.'

Forpwipp also appears for the first time, but is used only once by Orrmin; the old forrprihht is commonly employed by him.

Hallfingess, a word still in Scotch use, appears in Orrmin instead of the old healfunga.

The Old English Interjection eala now becomes la, our lo!

Orr (in Latin aut) appears once or twice for the first time, replacing the old oppe.

Orrmin was the first to use rihht instead of swipe (the Latin valde), though he does not do it often; thus, in I. page 217, he talks of leading a life rihht wel wipp Godess hellpe. We still keep the old adverb, though the foreign very has almost driven it out.

The word $\hat{a} n$, when used in the sense of solus, takes all before it (hence comes our alone). We are told that man cannot

Bi bred all ane libbenn.-II. p. 40.
the new forms although, alberit, \&c., were soon to follow.

Orrmin uses, as we do, both awihht and ohht (aught and ought).

The Old English word for the Latin idem was ylc, still kept in Scotland; as Redgauntlet of that Ilk. Instead of this, Orminn, but only once, uses same;

He mihhte makenn cwike menn pær off pa same staness.-I. page 345.

This root same is good Sanscrit and Gothic ; the Norse sams means ejusdem generis. Nothing in English is more curious than that this Scandinavian word should have driven out the older $y l c$.

Allderrman here still means a Prince, as in Old English times; Orrmin even uses it for Abbot. He talks also of Eorless, earls, ranking them not much lower than kings.

Lic was the Old English word for corpus, though it is now found only in Lichfield and lych-gate. Bodig usually meant the trunk or chest; but Orrmin uses lodiz far oftener than lic, in our sense of the word. In one line he forms a new substantive out of the two, speaking of bodizlich.

He uses chilldre for the Plural of child, and the former still lingers in Lancashire as childer. Our corrupt Plural children came from the South, as also did brethren and kine.

The word drugot is now turned into druhhpe. The word flail, akin to the flegil of the mainland, now first appears in English.

The old gcershoppan now becomes gresshoppe, grasshoppers.

The old croet (currus) now becomes karrte.
The diphthong $e$ had long been giving way, and it was doubtful whether $a$ or $e$ was to replace it. Orrmin's nazzl instead of noegel has been followed by us rather than the neil of the South.

We now find for the first time such compounds as overking, overlord; words happily revived in our own day.

Our fathers had a rooted objection to beginning their
words with the letter $p$; few such are found in Orrmin, and nearly all of them are Church Latin phrases.

He uses wazz $n$ instead of the old wagen, and we still employ both wain and waggon; both alike are found in English writers before the Norman Conquest.

Weddlac (wedlock) now appears, where of oid wiflá would have been used. The former word, before Orrmin's time, meant no more than the Latin pignus.

The Old English woruld stood for sceculum, and nothing more; but it now begins to stand for orbis. ${ }^{1}$

In Orrmin's werrkedazh, the new form of weorc-dreg, we see the first germ of Shakespere's 'this work-a-day world.'

Orrmin sometimes casts a letter out of the middle of a word; thus he has both the old wurrpshipe and the new wurrshipe, worship.

The word daffte still keeps its old sense, humilis; it has been degraded, like silly (beatus).

Adjectives were losing the guttural, with which they formerly ended. We find in Orrmin both erplic and erpliz.

Follhsumm (compliant) has not yet the degrading sense of our fulsome; indeed, the latter is said to be connected with foul. Fresh now replaces the older fersc.

The word fus, 'eager,' is here found in its true old sense. This is now degraded, like many another good word. The worthy Nicodemus, as Orrmin says, was

[^48]fus to lernenn; in our days, a tiresome old woman is fussy.

Nacod now becomes nakedd (nudus).
Orrmin uses sheepish in a sense far removed from ours; he applies the adjective (I. p. 230) to a man who meekly follows Christ's pattern.

We find purrhutlike, thoroughly, for the first time. Ungelic is now cut down to unnlic (nnlike).

We see cepeliz, our easily, instead of the older eaðelice.
For the Latin sunt, we find arrn, as well as beon and sinndenn. The first of these was hardly ever used in the South or West of England; it comes from the Angles, as we saw in the Northumbrian Gospels. Hi weeron now sometimes, as in the Southern Homilies, becomes pezz ware ; but a more wonderful change is pu ware turned into $\mathrm{p} u$ wass, the Norse war (eras); ic sceal becomes I shall. We see the last of the Old English $s i$ (in Latin, sit); it survives, somewhat clipped, in our yes, i.e. ge si. Beô is in the Ormulum cut down to be, and beon (esse) to ben. Orrmin uses the old ic mót, $\mathrm{p} u$ móst, and also a new Scandinavian auxiliary verb, which is employed even now from Caithness to Derbyshire. ${ }^{1}$ Such a phrase as $I$ mun do this is first found in his work; the mun is the Scandinavian muna, but mune in the Ormulum implies futurity more than necessity.

Orrmin uses assken (rogare) instead of the Southern acsian, and we have followed him ; the Irish still use axe, since the first English colonists came from Bristol and the South.

[^49]We find both bikccchedd and bikahht for caught. This new word, which we saw first in the South, must have spread fast in England.

Another new word is found in the lines :-

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { patt ... . peod } \\
\text { patt Jacob wass bilenge.-I. page } 75
\end{gathered}
$$

(belonging to Jacob). This word is akin to the Dutch verb belangen (attingere).

Orrmin, like the Peterborough Chronicler of 1120, uses the Passive Participle chosenn for the old gecorren.

He replaces the old cneowian by cnelenn (kneel), which came first in the Essex Homilies.

He sometimes turns a Strong verb into a Weak one, a process begun long before his time. He uses hoefedd (elatum) as well as hofenn; he has sleppte (dormivit) where it ought to be slep; weppten (fleverunt) instead of weópon; trededd (depressus) instead of treden.

One of the peculiar shibboleths, brought hither by the Danes, is the word gar (facere), a word still in the mouths of Scotchmen. Orrmin uses the compounds forrgarrt and oferrgarrt. The verb gar is found neither in High nor in Low German.

The Norse gow is used by him for observare. Hence comes our a-gog, the Icelandic à grogium, on the watch.

As might be expected, Orrmin follows the Northern hafan rather than the Southern habban (habere). We find a near approach to our modern corruption hast in his line-

Himm haffst tu slazenn witerrliz.-I. page 154.

Hezhenn is now first used for 'to salute.'
The Old English gehyded is now contracted into hidd; hidden is one of the few Weak Participles that we have turned into Strong ones.

Hutenn (vituperare), to hoot, which first appears in Orrmin's work, is a puzzle to lexicographers, and may come either from the Welsh or the Norse.

The old onlihtan becomes lihhtenn in Orrmin's hands; but we have returned to enlighten.

England cleaves to her own old word leap, Scotland to the Norse laupa (loup): they are both found in the Ormulum.

The Old English sceclode now takes its modern form secnedd, sickened; conversely, we shall see later the French train become trail.

Scorcnedd (scorched) appears for the first time in English; Wedgwood quotes the Low Dutch schroggen, which has the same meaning.

Orrmin uses both the Strong and the Weak form for the Past Participle of show; he has both shoewenn and showedd. We now prefer the former, though the latter is the true form; just as we mistakenly write strewn for strewed. But in the matter of Strong and Weak verbs, we usually err on the other side.

We derive our modern notion of the word shift (in Latin, mutare) from the Scandinavian, and not from the Old English. ${ }^{1}$ In the latter, the word means 'to distribute,' and nothing more. We see the two senses in Orrmin's work (I. 13), when he speaks of Zachariah's service in the Temple.

[^50]The old meaning of stintan was 'to be weary;' it now has the meaning of 'to leave off.' See II. page 92.

We now first find the verb stir with an intransitive sense.

Tocan, ic tohte (docere, docui), become in Orrmin's mouth tochenn, ic tahhte, not far from our own way of pronouncing it, and feccan becomes fecchenn.

The old geworht is now seen as wrohlht, not far from our wrought.

We cannot help envying Orrmin his power of making long Teutonic compounds. He has no need to write the Latin immortality, when he has ready to hand such a word as unndoopshildiznesse, implying even more than the Latin. But this power was now unhappily on the wane in England.

We have had a great loss in the Old English words mid (cum) and niman (capere). ${ }^{1}$ These are, with little change, good Sanscrit; and the Germans have been too wise to part with them. Orrmin but seldom employs them, and they must have been now dying out in the North. He is fonder of the two words which have driven them out, i.e. with and take. Had the banks of Thames been the birthplace of our Standard English, we should have kept all four words alike.

In giving a specimen of Orrmin's verse, I have been careful to take the subject from scenes in Courtly life, where, after his time, numbers of French words must unavoidably have been used by any poet, however much a lover of homespun English. Orrmin's peculiar way of doubling consonants will be remarked. He clings

[^51]fast to the Infinitive in enn, which had been dropped at Peterborough. If we wish to relish his metre, every syllable must be pronounced; thus, Herode takes an accent on all three vowels alike.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT OF 1200. <br> ORMULUM, I.-Page 280.

Herode king mazz swipe a wel *right
pe lape ${ }^{\text {b }}$ gast bitacnenn ; b loathsome
forr all hiss werre and all hiss will
wass ifell gast full cweme, ${ }^{\text {c }}$
and onn himm sellfenn wass inoh ${ }^{\text {d }}$
his azhenn ${ }^{e}$ sinne sene;
for well biforenn patt he swallt ${ }^{f}$
wass himm patt was bigunnenn
patt he shall drezhenn ${ }^{\text {b }}$ agz occ azz inn helle wipp pe deofell;
forr he warrb ${ }^{\text {i }}$ seoc, and he bigann to rotenn bufenn ${ }^{k}$ eorpe,
and tohh ${ }^{1}$ he toc wipp mete swa patt nan ne mihhte himm fillenn,
and swa he stannc patt iwhille ${ }^{m}$ mann was himm full lap to nehhzhenn; ${ }^{\text {n }}$
and all himm wærenn fet and peos ${ }^{\circ}$ tobollenn ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ and toblawenn.
pa læchess patt himm comenn to and himm ne mihhtenn hælenn
he sloh, and sezzde patt tezz ${ }^{\text {q }}$ himm ne kepptenn ${ }^{r}$ nohht to berrzhenn.
and he toc iwhille hæfedd ${ }^{s}$ mann off all hiss kineriche, ${ }^{\text {t }}$
and let hemm stekenn ${ }^{\circ}$ inn an hus, and haldenn swipe fasste,
and badd tatt mann hemm shollde slæn, son summ ${ }^{\times}$he shollde dezenn.
$q$ they
$\mathbf{r}$ heeded not to protect him

- head
$t$ kingdom
a had them shut
x as soon as
he pohhte patt mann munnde ${ }^{y}$ beon off hiss dæp swipe blije,
and wisste patt mann munnde pa ${ }^{2}$ for hemm full sare wepenn,
and wollde swa patt all pe follc patt time shollde wepenn, patt mann himm shollde findenn dæd pohh itt forr hinm ne wære.

Page 283.
And affterr patt ta wass he dæd In all hiss miccle sinne. acc pær wass mikell oferrgarrt ${ }^{a}$ and modiznesse ${ }^{\text {b }}$ shæwedd abutenn patt stinnkennde lic ${ }^{c}$ pær itt wass brohht till eorpe ;
forr all pe bære ${ }^{\text {d wass bilezzd }}$ wipp bætenn gold and sillferr, and all itt wass ez̧whær ${ }^{\text {e }}$ bisett wipp deorewurrje ${ }^{f}$ staness,
and all patt wæde ${ }^{g}$ patt tær wass uppo pe bære fundenn,
all wass itt off je bettste pall patt aniz mann mazz azhenn, ${ }^{\text {n }}$
and all itt wass wundenn wipp gold and sett wipp deore stancss,
and all he wass wurrplike shridd ${ }^{i}$ alls iff he wære o life,
and onn hiss hæfedd wærenn twa gildene cruness sette,
and himm wass sett inn hiss rihht hannd an dere kinezerrde ${ }^{k}$;
and swa mann barr patt fule ${ }^{1}$ lic
till pær he bedenn haffde. ${ }^{m}$
and hise cnihhtess alle imæn ${ }^{n}$
furth zedenn ${ }^{\circ}$ wipp jė bære,

5 would
$z$ then
a haughtiness
${ }^{b}$ pride
c body
d bie:

- everywhere
${ }^{5}$ precious
g apparel
b own
${ }^{i}$ honourably clothed
${ }_{k}$ sceptre
${ }^{1}$ foul
$m$ had bidden
n together
- went
wipp heore wæpenn alle bun, ${ }^{\text {p }}$. swa summ itt birre, ${ }^{q}$ wipp like.
and ec pær zedenn wipp pe lic full wel fif hunndredd jewwess, ${ }^{r} \quad{ }^{r}$ servants
to strawwenn gode gresess ${ }^{\text {s }} \mathrm{p}$ 盾, $\quad{ }^{8}$ herbs patt stunnkenn swipe swete,
biforenn patt stinnkennde lic pær menr itt berenn sholldenn.
and tuss pezz alle brohhtenn himm wipp mikell modiznesse
till pær pær ${ }^{\text {t }}$ he pezzm haffde sezzd $\quad t$ where pat tezz himm brinngenn sholldenn.
swillcu mann wass patt Herode king patt let te chilldre cwellenn,
for patt he wollde cwellenn Crist amang hemm, ziff he mihhte.

THE CONTRAST TO THE EAST MIDLAND.
(About A.D. 1205.)
(KING LEAR'S ANGER AT CORDELIA'S SPEECH.)
De king Leir iwerðe swa blac, swilch hit a blac clo $\begin{gathered}\text { weoren. }\end{gathered}$ iwærð his hude and his heowe, for he was supe ihærmed, mid pære wræðठe he wes isweved, pat he feol iswowen; late peo he up fusde, pat mæiden wes afeared, pa hit alles up brac, hit wes vuel pat he spac:
Hærne Cordoille, ich je telle wille mine wille; of mine dohtren pu were me durest, nu pu eært me alre læðes:
ne scalt pu næver halden dale of mine lande; ah mine dohtren ich wille delen mine riche. and pu scalt worðen warchen, and wonien in wansiðe, for navere ich ne wende pat pu me woldes pus scanden, parfore pu scalt beon dæd ic wene : fliz ut of min eæh-sene, pine sustren sculen habben mi kinelond, and pis me is iqueme;
pe duc of Cornwaile scal habbe Gornoille, and pe Scottene king Regan pat scone; and ic hem zeve all pa winne pe ich æm waldinge over. and al pe alde king dude swa he hafrede idemed. ${ }^{1}$

The above lines are taken from Layamon's Brut, compiled, as it would seem, in Worcestershire about the year 1205. The proportion of Teutonic words, now obsolete, to the whole is the same as in the Ormulum. The poet has both hât and hôt for calidus; but the words lond, hond, are written instead of land, hand, just as we find in the oldest Worcester charters printed by Kemble, Codex Dip. I. page 100. And this is also done by our kinsmen in Friesland.

We sometimes find in Layamon peo for the Old English $h i$; a token that he did not live to the South of

[^52]the Thames. He prefers the old sc to the new sound sh, writing scawian, not shawian. The ch was not fully established in his Western shire, so far from London. We see swilc, such, and other varieties for talis. He, like Orrmin, sometimes gives us the old and the new sound of $c$ (that is, $k$ ) in the same word; thus, the old cycene now becomes kuchene, our kitchen. ${ }^{1}$ He was the last Englishman who held fast to the old national diphthong $a$, which was after his time, and indeed earlier, replaced by many combinations of vowels that still puzzle foreigners.

What Orrmin would have called o lande, Layamon calls a londe.

He has for denique a new phrase, at pan laste, I. page 160. We have already seen in the Homilies our contraction from the old latost. We keep both the forms, latest and last.

The old endlufon (undecim) is turned into sellevene.
Layamon turns ne (the Latin nec) into no; we must wait 140 years for nor.

He has the two phrases pene dai longe and alle longe niht; whence come our all day long, \&c.

He first used the Indefinite Article after many, as mony enne thing (many a thing). The word Hors (equi) is now changed to horses.-II. page 556 .

In Verbs, Layamon turns some Strong ones into Weak. He says (I. 57), his scipen runden, where we more correctly say, his ships ran. But the great corruption which England owes to him is the changed

[^53]state of the Present Participle Active. It of old terminated in ende: this in the South became inde about the year 1100; and now, in 1204, it turns into inge; being doubtless confounded with the verbal nouns that of old ended in ung. We find berninge, fraininge, singinge, and waldinge, Participles all used by Layamon. A hundred years later still, this corruption was unhappily adopted by the man who shaped our modern speech.

The English word for volaverunt used to be flugon, but Layamon changes this into fluwen, our flew. This likeness to flowan (fluere) is rather confusing, to say nothing of fleon (fugere).

The Perfect of pyden (premere) was once pidde, but it now became pudde; hence our thud.

The old gyrdan (cingere) now gets a new sense (cædere), 'he gurde Suard on jat hæfd' (I. page 68); we still talk of girding at a man.

Pliht had hitherto meant periculum ; it now takes the meaning of conditio, which we keep.

Swogan had meant sonare; it now got the sense of swoon.-I. page 130.

At I. page 275 we see for the first time the word agaste (terruit), whence comes our aghast. For the origin of this word we must go so far back as the Gothic usgeisjan. Our ghostly and ghastly come from sources that have been long separate.

Instead of the Old English word for insula, Layamon employs aite (ait), a word well known to all Etonians. It is the Danish ey with the Definite Article tacked on to the end in the usual way, ey-it, eyt, as Mr. Dasent tells us. Layamon has meercolen in the sense of videre; of
old, it had been used for ostendere : this is just the converse of what has happened in the case of the old scećwian.

The word peáu had hitherto been applied to the mind only; it is now used of the body; though this new sense did not become common in England until three hundred years later. We still talk of thews and sinews; Spencer used the word in its old sense.

Layamon forms an adjective from the Old English hende, in Latin prope. He says, in Vol. I. page 206 :

## 'An oঠer stret he makede swiðe hẹndi.'

But he usually employs this adjective in the sense of courteous, and in this sense it was used for hundreds of years.

I give a list of many Norse words used by Layamon, which must have made their way to the Severn from the North and East; we shall find many more in Dorsetshire a few years later.

Club, from the Icelandic klubba
Draht (haustus), from the Icelandic drattr
Hap (fortune), from the Icelandic happ, good luck ${ }^{1}$
Hit, from the Icelandic hitta
Hustinge (house court), from the Norse hus and thing
Raken (rush), from the Swedish raka, to riot about ${ }^{2}$
Riven, from the Icelandic rifa (rumpere)
Semen (beseem), from the Norse sama, to fit
To-dascte (dash out), from the Danish daske, to slap
Layamon has the word nook (angulus) which may

[^54]come from hnógan (flcctere). The poet, speaking of a mere, says, 'Feower noked he is' (II. page 500). There are some other common words, which he is the first English writer to use. Thus he has taken gyves (catenæ) from the Welsh gevyn; and cutte (secare) from the Welsh cutt, alittle piece: this has almost driven out the Old English carve. He employs sturte (started), akin to the Old Dutch storten; and has a new verb tallk, springing from tale. Bal (our ball), draf, picchen (pangere), and rif (largus) are akin to the Dutch or German words bal, draf, piclien, rif. Ruclien is found both in Dutch and in Layamon's work; twenty years after his time it appears as rock (agitare). He has also halede (duxit), the Frisian halia; as often happens in English, the word hale remains, and by its side the corruption haul, which cropped up ninety years after this time. Layamon says, 'weoðeleden his fluhtes,' his flights became weak (I. page 122) : the verb has a High German brother, and from this may come our verb wobble.

About the year 1200, the Legend of St. Margaret seems to have been compiled. ${ }^{1}$ It has forms akin to the Worcester manuscript printed by Sir Thomas Phillipps, and in other particulars it resembles a well-known Dorsetshire work. But it touches the East Midland in its forms beon and aren (sunt); and its Participles end sometimes in ende, sometimes in inde. The Past Participle islein (page 11) resembles what we find in the Peterborough Chronicle. On the whole, Oxford seems

[^55]
## 116 The Sources of Standard English.

to be as likely a spot as any, if we seek to fix upon some city for the authorship of the Legend.

Layamon was fond of the Old English diphthong ce, but in the present work this is often altered to $e a$, as in the words reach, clean, heal, mean, least. We even find neafre for nunquam. It is to the South Western shires that we owe the preservation of $e a$, a favourite combination of our forefathers: the word flea has never changed its spelling. We see in this Legend both the old swa and the new so; teep replaces tep; roa comes once more. The wimman of the Midland makes way for wummon; we follow the former sound in the Plural and the latter sound in the Singular; a curious instance of the widely different sources of our Standard English. Fearful (pavidus) is seen for the first time; we grew fond of $f u l$ as an adjectival ending, and for it we displaced many older terminations. Lagu, cwcep, wasc become lake, quod, and weosch. Such new phrases crop up as liwa so eaver (page 20) and steorcnaket (page 5). Cleane is used for omnino in page 15; cleane overcumen, an idiom kept in our Version of the Bible. Our phrase ' it is all one to me,' is seen in its earliest shape at page 5 , al me is an.

In this piece, smartly seems to bear a sense half-way between quickly and painfully. Orrmin's gazhen is now found in a new compound, ungeinliche (ungainly). At page 16 we see another Norse word, drupest (most drooping), from the Icelandic drupa. Drivel appears, which is akin to the Dutch drevel (servus). There are a few other new verbs: stutten, akin to a High German word, shows the origin of our stutter, while shudder is akin to a Dutch word. The word scliillinde (sonans) at page 19, akin to
both the High German and Icelandic, tells whence comes our shrill-one of the many English words into which $r$ has found its way. The verb seem has here a sense unknown to Orrmin and Layamon, that of videri. At page 9 we read, 'his tee $\begin{gathered}\text { semden of swart irn.' On }\end{gathered}$ reading at page 13 ' $p u$ fikest' (tu fallis), we may perhaps derive from this verb our $f i b$, even as geleaf turns to belief. Toggen (trahere) is seen, more akin in form to the Dutch tockien than to the Old English teogan. We have three corruptions of this verb, with three widely different meanings-to tug, to toy, and to tow.

From the Legend of St. Catherine, compiled not much later, we get the word clatter, found also in Dutch. In another piece, the Hali Meidenhad, ${ }^{1}$ which dates from about the year 1220, we find one or two Norse words, such as cake and gealde (from geldr, that is, sterilis) ; there is also crupel (cripple), akin to the Dutch. The Old English ceówan has the sense of jaw, as in the Homilies of 1180. The maiden is told, in page 31, that the husband 'chit te and cheoweð pe.' A little lower down, she is further threatened; for he 'beateठ pe and busteð pe;' this last verb is the Icelandic beysta, our baste (ferire). Hence also the French baston or bâton. The tioing of the Essex Homilies now becomes tiding. Our scream is found for the first time, and seems to be a confusion between the Old English hream and the Welsh $y$ sgarm, each meaning the same. The old word grag has had a curious lot: the North and East of England kept the first letter of the diphthong, the South

[^56]and West held to the last letter, as we see in the Hali Meidenhad. We may still write either gray or grey : the case is most exceptional.

We now come to that piece which, more than anything else written outside the Danelagh, has influenced our Standard English. About 1220, the Ancren Riwle was.written in the Dorsetshire dialect; it became most popular, and copies of it are extant in other dialects. Of these the Salopian variation is the most remarkable. ${ }^{1}$ The language is near of kin to that employed in the Legend of St. Margaret; but the Southern $o$ has by this time made further inroads upon the old $a$. Whoso replaces the word written at Peterborough wua sua; and we find our No, for the first time, in direct denial. The combination $e a$ is most frequent; thus lcene (macer) becomes leane. We find new phrases cropping up, common enough in our mouths now ; such as et enes (at once), ase ofte ase, ase muche ase, enes a wike ette leste (once a week at the least, page 344), yung ase he was, hu se ever it beo ischeaped, sumetime (page 92, but sumchere is the favourite form for this), al beo (albeit, page 420), hwerse ever, amidde je vorkefde, bivorenhond (beforehand). There is a new phrase, never pe later, which was near replacing our nevertheless, since Tyndale sometimes used the former. Both alike occur in the Ancren Riwle. The old gewhocr (ubique) gets the usual prefix ever added to it; and everihwar (page 200), which we now wrongly spell as every where, is the result.

[^57]This is one of the few words in which we still sound a corruption of the old ge, so beloved of our fathers. ${ }^{1}$

The phrase of feor (procul) was later to be written afar ; the old of is seldom found in New English under this form $a$. We see the first use of a phrase that often replaces the old Preposition for. At page 260 are the words 'ine stude of in, his cradel herbarued him ;' the cradle supplied the lack of an inn. The new preposition besides had not made its way everywhere, for in page 258 we see wiotuten employed for proter; ' wunden, al wiöuten eddren capitalen.'

In the Ancren Riwle one is employed in a new way, standing for man. In page 370 we read, 'je one pet was best ilered of Cristes deciples.' This cannot be translated by the Latin alter, as in the passage of the Peterborough Chronicle referred to at page 89 of the present work. Another new sense of one is found in page 252, 'ter on geठ him one in one sliddrie wete' (where a man goeth alone by himself in a slippery way). ${ }^{2}$ This looks at first sight very like a translation of the French on; sum man would have been used by earlier English writers. However, further on we shall see that the attempt to imitate the kindred unus is the most probable source of our idiomatic one, standing by itself.

After the break-up of our old grammar, it had not as

[^58]yet been settled how we were to translate the Latin Neuter Relative quod. We saw 'zetes bi wam' in the Homilies; in the Ancren Riwle, page 382, we see 'sum ping mid hwat he muhte derven.' This last is the English form of quod: but we were not to use it. We were to follow the form employed in page 354: 'peawes, bi hwuche me climbeठ to pe blisse.' Yet this lwuche is almost always in the present work used in its true old seuse (now unhappily lost) of qualis, its kindred word. The new translation of quod was to take root in Yorkshire, as well as in Dorset, thirty years later. The old that was, of course, in full employment as a Relative.

In page 110, we see how the old onefne came to be changed; in the Salopian copy it is found as onevent, in the Dorset copy as onont, not far from our anent. In the same page, we see how the old Preposition zeond (per) was dropping out of use; it was still employed in Dorset, but was replaced in one shire by over, in another by $i n$. When we find onlich, it does not convey our sense of the word; it as yet means nothing but solitary. What was called leste (solutus) in Dorset, was lowse and lousse in other shires, not far from our loose : this may be seen at page 228. The Southern influence, which changes $f$ into $v$ and $g$ into $w$, may be seen in page 290, where we hear that the Devil 'fikeð mid dogge vawenunge (flatters with doglike fawning) : this last word was of old fognnung. The comparative of late had hitherto only conveyed the sense of serior; but we now find it mean posterior; in page 158 , there is mention of the 'vorme half and pe latere.' We have since 1220 distinguished the two meanings of the word by doubling the $t$ in later, when it
is to mean posterior. In page 176, we find a wholly new idiom, which must have come from France, standing for the old Superlative ; 'pe meste dredful secnesse of alle.' This new form for the Superlative was hardly ever used in the Thirteenth Century, but became very common in the Fourteenth. The word sona (mox) has new offspring, sonre and sonest. Orrmin's la has become lo. In page 288, we see a mistake repeated long afterwards by Lord Macaulay in his Lays; what should be written iwis (certè) is written as if it were a verb, I wis.

We find mongleð, empti, volewen, lauhweठ (ridet), lone (commodatum), owust (debes), sawe (dictum), instead of the old mengeð, amtig, folgian, hlaheठ, lown, âhst, sagu. The untowen, found here for untrained, was afterwards to become wanton, the $u n$ and the wan meaning the same. There are words altogether new : such as backbiter, chaffer, overtake, overturn, withdraw, withhold. We now see the last of the old Wodnes dei ; in the Legend of St. Katherine, of the same date, this becomes Wednesdai. Our Ember days appear for the first time in the guise of umbridei; this and umquhile are the sole survivors in English of the many words formed from our lost preposition umbe, the Greek amphi. The word halpenes (page 96) shows a step in the formation of our halfpence. At page 344 drive gets an intransitive sense; I go drivinde upe fole pouhtes.' At page 426, we see our common expression, 'pet fur (ignis) go ut.' At page 46 comes gluffen (to blunder), from the Icelandic glop (incuria) ; hence perhaps 'to club a regiment.' Sorh (dolor) had taken the shape of seoruwe in Dorset, but it remained sorhe in Salop (see page 64). The old receende becomes
ringinde (page 140), whence our ranging. ${ }^{1}$ In page 128, we are told that a false nun 'chefle of idel;' hence have arisen to chatter and to chaff. Torple (cadere) seems to be formed from top (caput). The ending ful is freely used for adjectives, as dredful and pinful; other endings are driven out by it. The old eallunga is now replaced by utterly; and baelg is turned into bag; beggar is now first found.

In page 398, we see an instance of the revived use of the entreating $d o$, before an Imperative; the writer asks for a reason, adding, ' do seie hwui.' In page 54 may be found the first use of our indefinite $i t$, prefixed to was ; ' a meiden hit was . . . eode ut vor to biholden.' A pithy phrase was once applied to our two last Stuart Kings: it was said of Charles that ' he could if he would ; ' of James, that 'he would if he could.' On looking to the Ancren Riwle, p. 338, we read,' he ne mei hwon he wule, pe nolde hwule pet he muhte.' This seems to have been a byword well known in 1220.

The East Midland dialect was pushing its conquests into the South, for many Norse words are found for the first time in this work; as,

| Chough | Kofa, Icelandic |
| :--- | :--- |
| Crop, carpere | Kroppa, ITelandic |
| Dog | Doggr, Icelandic |
| Dusk | Dulsk, Danish |
| Groom | Gromr, Icelandic |
| Mased, delirus | Masa, Ol Norse, to clatter <br> confusedly <br> Muwlen, grow mouldy <br> Mygla, Icelandic |

[^59]Shy
Scowl
Skull
Scraggy
Skulk
Sluggish
Smoulder
Windohe, window

Skygg, Swedish
Skule, Danish
Skal, Danish
Skrekka, Norse
Skjol, Norse
Slœki. Norse
Smul, Danish, dust
Vindauga, Icelandic

Many an Old English word has been driven out by these Scandinavian strangers. Moreover, I add a list of many words, which Southern England had in common with our Dutch and Low German kinsmen. England seems now to have rid herself of her old prejudice against beginning words with the letter $p$.

| Bounce, punch | Bonzen <br> Brink | Puff <br> Brink | Pick |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Poffen |
| :--- |
| Picken, to use a |
| Cackle |

We find also in this work harlot, a vagabond, from the Welsh herlawd, a youth; the word is used by Chaucer without any bad sense. From the same Celtic source come cudgel and griddle, now first seen in English. Peoddare, a pedlar, is also found for the first time;

[^60]${ }^{2}$ In Salop, the old Scandinavian gris (the Sanscrit ghrishti) is used instead of pig; hence our griskin: some curious English rimes in the Lanercost Chronicle turn on the former word.

Forby derives it from ped, which in Norfolk is a covered pannier. ${ }^{1}$ There are many words in the Ancren Riwle, which, as Wedgwood thinks, are formed from the sound; such as gewgav, chatter, flash; scratch arose in Salop; the window of that shire was called purl in the South. ${ }^{2}$ The adjective in Shakespere's 'little cwifer fellow' is found in the Ancren Riwle; it seems to come from the old cóf, impiger.

Dr. Morris has added to his Twelfth Century Homilies (First Series) some other works, which seem to date from about 1220. The word $\operatorname{carp}$ (loqui) is seen for the first time. Another new word is dingle, applied to a recess of the sea ; it is akin to a German word, as also is schimmeð or schimereð (fulget), at page 257.

[^61]
## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About A.D. 1230.)
I now bring forward a poem that may perhaps come from Cambridge-the Bestiary-that is printed in Dr. Morris's Old English Miscellany (Early English Text Society). This is very nearly the same in its dialect as the Genesis and Exodus (Early English Text Society), a poem which Dr. Morris refers to Suffolk; but the former piece seems to have been written nearer to Peterborough, since it uses who, where the latter poem has quho. The common marks of the East Midland dialect are found in both: the Present Participle ends in ande in the one case, in both ande and ende in the other; the Plural of the Present Tense ends in en, or is dropped altogether, as have instead of haven; the Prefix to the Past Participle comes most seldom. The Northern prepositions fra and til are found. The Bestiary bears a resemblance to the Proverbs of Alfred; it is a work such as might well have been compiled at Cambridge ; being a translation made much about the time that King Henry the Third was beginning to play the part of Rehoboam in England, having got rid of his wise counsellors.

Here we find ${ }^{1}$ the Old English sinden (sunt) for

[^62]almost the last time; on the other hand, what Orrmin wrote all ane (solus) has now become olon; we also see ones, the Latin semel. The Southern o had long driven out the old Northern $a$ in these Eastern shires. We find Orrmin's substitution of ofor on always recurring here, as o live. But what he calls bracc (fregit) is seen in the present poem as broke; our version of the Scriptures has adopted the former, our common speech the latter. We also find $u t$ turned into out; we saw something of the kind in the Proverbs of Alfred. The turtle's mate is called in the Bestiary 'hire olde luve:' this of yore would have been written leóf. We have unhappily in modern English but one word for the old leoff and lufe, the person and the thing. Fugelas is pared down to fules (fowls). We find here for the first time borlic (burly) applied to elephants ; it is akin to the High German purlith. The word cliver (clever) is applied to the Devil. Mr. Wedgwood says it comes from claw; hence it in this passage has the sense of nimble-fingered, much as rapidus comes from rapio. The adjective fine, the Icelandic finn, is seen here for the first time. The word snute (snout), used of the elephant, is akin to a German word.

The Old English ceaft is now found in the shape of chauel (in the account of the whale) : it is not far from our jowl.

The expression ' fisses to him (the whale) dragen,' shows that the verb has now got the new sense of verire, as we say, 'to draw nigh.'

We have seen on used for aliquis; it now comes to mean quidam, and is used without any substantive, as in
the Ancren Riwle. We read of the elephant entrapped; ' ðanne cumeð ðer on gangande.' This of old would have been sum $y l p$; in the present poem, the words tunc unus currit had to be Englished.

One of the most startling changes is that of the Second Person Singular of the Perfect of the Strong verb. What in Old English was pu hehte, is turned at page 6 into tu higtest (pollicitus es). Thus one more of the links between Sanscrit and English was to be broken.

In an East Anglian Creed of this time (Reliquiæ Antiqua, i. 234), we find ure onelic loverd, written where Orrmin would have used the old anlepiz (unicus) for the second word. Thus a new form drove out an older one.

In the Genesis and Exodus the first thing that strikes us is the poet's sturdy cleaving to the Old English gatturals $g$ and $k$. So, in the Bestiary, we find gevenlike, the last appearance of the old uncorrupted prefix. It is East Anglia that has kept these hard letters alive. But for these shires, whose spelling Caxton happily followed, we should be writing to yive (donare), to yet (adipisci), ayain (iterum), and yate (porta). We have unluckily followed Orrmin's corruption in yield, yelp, yearn, and young. These East Anglians talked of a dyke (fossa), when all Southern England spoke of a ditch. Orrmin's druhhpe is now turned into drugte (drought), which we have followed. The most remarkable change is deigen (mori) instead of deye. But even into Suffolk the Southern $w$ was forcing its way. We find owen as well as ogen (proprius), and folwen as well as folgen (sequi).

Owing to the changes of letters in different shires, we sometimes have two words where our forefathers had but one, each word with its own shade of meaning. 'To drag a man out' is different from the phrase ' to draw a man out:' the hard North is here opposed to the softer South West. Moreover, we may speak of a dray horse. Our Standard English is much the richer from having sprung up in shires widely apart.

We have also followed Suffolk in our word for the Latin osculari. A glance at Stratmann's dictionary will show that in the South East of England this was written kesse, in the South West it was cusse, but in East Anglia and further to the North it was kiss. The same may be remarked as to kin, hill, listen, ridge, and many other words. The Old English o was now getting the modern sound of $u$, as in the Proverbs of Alfred; we find booc, mood, and wulde, instead of boc, mod, and wolde. ${ }^{1}$
What Orrmin called patt an and patt oper is seen in the Genesis and Exodus in a new guise.

Two likenesses .... he
Gaf hire re ton.-Page 77 .
Đis on wulde don Xe toðer wrong.-Page 78.
We see other new forms of old words in cude (potui), eilond (insula), fier (ignis), frigt, hol (sanus), loth, quuen

[^63](not cwén), smot, olike (similiter), token, ðret, may, leman, helde, pride, strif, ðralles, wroð, often, eldest, reinbowe.

There are other points in which these East Anglian poems of 1230 clearly foreshadow our Standard English. Wiht (pondus) becomes wigte, and teoge $\begin{aligned} & \\ & a \text { is now tigðe }\end{aligned}$ (tithe). The $d$ is sometimes slipped into the middle of a word after $n$; we find lindred and $\delta u n d e r$. The $t$ or $\delta$ is also added to the end of a word : pwyrian becomes tweri (thwart) ; stalu (furtum) appears as stalfe, our stealth. Maked (factus) is shortened into made; and when we find such a form as lordehed (dominion), we see that Orrmin's laferrdinngess will soon become lordings. The clipping and paring process is going on apace. $N u$ is once seen as nou, and tun as town. Orrmin had freely used $n e$ in the old way, prefixing it as a negative to $a m$, will, habbe, with all their tenses and persons; but in the Suffolk poem nothing of the kind is found, except the one verb nill (nolo), and this we have not yet wholly lost. Golden (aureus) is cut down in page 54 of the Genesis and Exodus; we find 'gol prenes and ringes,' and in page 95 we see ' a gold pot.' The Perfects cluct, bacl, and fed also meet us. When we see such a verb as semelen instead of the former samnian, we can understand how easily the French word assemble must have made its way in England.

Some of Orrmin's Norse words are here repeated; but his $s h$ is often changed to $s$, as sal instead of shall, and this is still found in Scotland. What was scce (illa) at Peterborough, seventy years earlier, is now found as sge, sche, and once as she. $H i$ (illi) is only
once replaced by dei. Orrmin's new forms, such as above, azz (semper), or, again appear. We have in the two poems before us other new forms creeping in, such as, to Godeward, moreover, everilc on, bitime (betimes). Whilum and seldum are still found with the old Dative Plural ending; moste becomes the modern muste. The Old English pâs (in Latin hi) is now seen as pese, just as we have it; in the Homilies of 1120, it was only pes.

Ever was often employed in compounding new words, such as quatsoever; ful was becoming a favourite ending for Adjectives, such as dredful, as we saw in the South. H, a fatal letter in English mouths, had been sadly misused in the South a hundred years earlier; the Suffolk poet often makes slips in handling it: he has ard for hard, and hold for old.

One token of the Midland, East and West, is the verb niman used for the Latin ire; it is found in this poem.

Some new formations from old words are now seen; the useful word bearing or carriage first appears in page 62.

For bi gure bering men mai it sen.
A new verb, which we still keep, is seen in page 41. Isaac was mourning for his mother; but Eliezer

## Errede his sorge, brogt him a wif.

This new formation from eaðe (facilis) may have been confounded with the French aaisier. Long before Chaucer it was decided that in this verb we should use the French $s$ and not the Old English $\delta$.

The old Perfect of fleón (fugere) was fleáh; we find our new form in p. 96.

Amaleckes folc feedde for agte of dead.
In page 12, we read that Adam and Eve were ' don ut of Paradise' (ejecti sunt). This must be the phrase which suggested our modern phrase for cheating. The verb do has undergone some degradation.

There are many Scandinavian words found here.

| Busk, bush | Buskr, Icelandic |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dream, somnium ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Draumr, Icelandic |
| Glint | Glânta, Swedish |
| Levin, lightening | Lygne, Norse |
| Muck | Mykr, Icelandic |
| Ransack | Ransaka, Norse |
| Rapen, to hurry, rap out | Rapa, Norse |
| Rospen, rasp | Raspa, Swedish |
| Skie 2 | Sky, cloud, Norse |
| Tidy | Tidig, Swedish |
| Tine, lose | Tîna, Norse |
| Ugly | Ugga, frighten, Norse |

We find the word irk for the first time ; it is akin to the German erken (fastidire).

Of manna he ben forkirked to eten.-Page 104.
We see in page 35, 'hens gan dat water laken' (the water began to fail them). This new word for deesse is akin to the Dutch laecke (defect). In page 26, we
${ }^{1}$ The Old English dream only meant sonus or gaudium, and is so used in the Bestiary.
${ }^{2}$ This as yet only means in English a cloud, and this sense of the word lasted till Chaucer's time. Til skyia in Norse means 'up in the sky.' Twenty years after the present poem's date sky stood for aer in Yorkshire.
find mention of tol and takel and orf. The second of these substantives comes from the Welsh taclau, accoutrements.

In page 91 we read
' Gon woren VII. score ger.'
This is the first use of score for twenty. It comes from the old habit of shearing or scoring notches on wood up to twenty. Our word slip comes from the Welsh ysgip (a quick snatch); hence locusts are called skipperes, page 88.

In page 93, is the line-
' Undrincled in סat salte spot.'
The last word (locus) here makes its first appearance. Wedgwood derives it from spatter, and calls it the mark upon which something has been splashed. This spot and the French place have between them driven out the Old English stede, which only survives in a prepositional shape. In this poem the old French word fey is seen as our modern feir (faith); the oath par ma fey was well known in England. We also see the French espier become spy; in the Danelagh, French words as well as English were clipped. It is owing to the Southern shires that we say establish as well as stablish.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT. <br> (About A.D. 1230.) ACCOUNT OF THE FLOOD. ${ }^{1}$

Đo a wex a flod ðis werlde wid-hin
a Then
and ouer-flowged men \& deres ${ }^{\text {b }}$ kin
b animals

- Genesis and Exodus, p. 16 (Early English Text Society).
wiðuten ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Noe and hise $\partial \mathrm{re}$ sunen, Sem, Cam, Iaphet, if we rigt munen, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ and here ${ }^{\text {e }}$ foure wifes woren hem wio;
סise viii hadden in $\partial \mathrm{e}$ arche grið. ${ }^{\text {? }}$
Đat arche was a feteles ${ }^{5}$ good, set and limed agen de flood; סre hundred elne was it long, nailed and sperd, ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Jig and strong, and $l^{\text {ti }}$ elne wid, and $\mathrm{xxx}^{\text {ti }} \mathrm{heg}^{\mathrm{i}}$;
סor buten Noe long swing he dreg ${ }^{k}$; an hundred winter, everilc del, ${ }^{1}$ welken or ${ }^{m}$ it was ended wel ; of alle der $\delta \mathrm{e}$ on werlde wunen, ${ }^{\text {" }}$ and foueles, weren סerinne cumen bi seven and seven, or bi two \& two, Almigtin God him bad it so, and mete quorbi ${ }^{6}$ סei migten liven, for quiles he woren on water driven. sexe hundred ger Nue was hold ${ }^{q}$ Quan he dede ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ him in De arche-wold.

Two סusant ger, sex hundred mo, and sex and fifti for to $\delta 0$, weren of werldes elde numen ${ }^{\text {t }}$ סan ${ }^{4}$ Noe was in to $\mathrm{De}^{\mathrm{N}}$ arche cumen. Ile ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ wateres springe here strengðe undede, and reyne gette ${ }^{\boldsymbol{y}}$ dun on everilk stede fowerti dais and fowerti nigt,
so wex water wio magti migt.
so wunderlike it wex and get бat fiftene elne it overflet, over ilk dune, ${ }^{2}$ and over ilc hil, $\quad$ mountain ðhurge Godes migt and Godes wil ; and oठer fowerti ðore-to, dais and nigtes stod et so ; סo was ilc fleis a on werlde slagen, ঠo gunnen ${ }^{h}$ ठe wateres hem wið-dragen. b began

- flesh
- except
d consider
- their
${ }^{1}$ peace
g vessel
${ }^{h}$ closed
i high
$k$ bore toil
1 bit
${ }^{m}$ passed ere
${ }^{n}$ dwell
- whereby
p they
8 old
* put
- beside those
$t$ taken
a when
$\times$ each
y poured

Đe sevend moned was in cumen， and sevene and $x^{\text {ti }}$ dais numen， in Armenie ðat arche stod， ठo was wið－dragen 才at ilc ${ }^{\text {e }}$ flod．
－same
Đo 施 tende moned came in， so wurð dragen 历e watres win ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ；$\quad$ force dunes wexen，\％e flod wið－drog， It adde lested long anog．e ${ }^{\circ}$ enough

Fowerti dais after $\begin{gathered}\text { dis，} \\ \end{gathered}$ arches windoge undon it is， De raven ut－fleg，${ }^{\text {，}}$ hu so it gan ben，$\quad$ Ilew out ne cam he nogt to $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { e arche agen．} \\ \mathrm{s} \text { nor }\end{aligned}$ te duve fond ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ no clene stede， ${ }^{\text {h }}$ found and wente agen and wel it dede； Øe sevendai eft ut it tog，${ }^{i} \quad{ }^{i}$ went and brogt a grene olives bog；${ }^{k} \quad k$ bough seve nigt siðen ${ }^{1}$ everilc on $\quad{ }^{1}$ afterwards he is let ut flegen，${ }^{\text {m }}$ crepen，and gon，$\quad \mathrm{m}$ to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}$ wiðuten ${ }^{n}$ ilc sevend clene der de he sacrede on an aucter．${ }^{\circ}$
${ }^{n}$ except
－altar
Sex hundred ger and on dan olde
Noe sag ${ }^{p}$ ut of De arche－wolde；plooked ठe first moned and te first dai， he sag erðe drie \＆te water awai； get he was wis and nogt to rad ；${ }^{q} \quad q$ quick gede ${ }^{r}$ he nogt ut，til God him bad． $r$ went

## the contrast to the east midland．

（About A．D．1230．）
Ar ne kuthe ich sorghe non， Nu ich mot manen nun mon， Karful wel sore ich syche； Geltles ihc tholye muchele schame ； Help God for thin swete name， Kyng of hevene－riche．

Jesu Crist, sod God, sod man, Loverd, thu rew upon me, Of prisun thar ich in am

Bring me ut and makye fre.
Ich and mine feren sume,
God wot ich ne lyghe noct,
For othre habbet misnome,
Ben in thys prisun ibroct.
Almicti, that wel licth,
Of bale is hale and bote,
Hevene king, of this woning
Ut us bringe mote.
Foryhef hem, the wykke men,
God, yhef it is thi wille,
For wos gelt we bed ipelt
In thos prisun hille.
Ne hope non to his live, Her ne mai he belive, Heghe thegh he stighe,

Ded him felled to grunde.
Nu had man wele and blisce, Rathe he shal tharof misse, Worldes wele mid ywise

Ne lasted buten on stunde.
Maiden, that bare the heven king,
Bisech thin sone, that swete thing,
That he habbe of hus rewsing,
And bring us of this woning
For his muchele misse ;
He bring hus ut of this wo, And hus tache werchen swo,
In those live go wu sit go,
That we moten ey and o
-Habben the eche blisce.

The above poem is taken from the Liber de Antiquis Legibus (' Reliquiæ Antiquæ,' I. 274), in the possession of the Corporation of London ; the manuscript has musical notes attached to it. The proportion of obsolete English is much the same as in the Genesis and Exodus. The poem of page 134 seems therefore to represent the London speech of the year 1230, or so. What was $g$ in Suffolk becomes c here, as in the Twelfth Century Homilies ; it is broct, not brogt; gelt replaces gilt. The $h$ is sometimes misused, even as Londoners of our day misuse it. The $g l l$ sometimes replaces the old $h$, as we saw in the Essex Homilies : this change was now overspreading the greater part of the Eastern side of England between London and York.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About a.d. 1240.)
The piece that comes next, a version of the Athanasian Creed, was most likely written in the Northernmost part of Lincolnshire, perhaps not far from Hull; it has corruptions of English that are not often found before Manning wrote in that county sixty years later, such as 'ne pre no two' (nee tres nec duo). ${ }^{1}$ We see the Northern forms in great abundance; thus whill is used for the Relative, as in Dorset; als, til, sal, pair, \&c., come often : the third Person Singular of the Present tense ends in es, not in eth. But the Southern $o$ was making great inroads on the Northern $a$, as we saw in

[^64]East Anglia ; in this piece we find so, non, no mo, whos, pow (tamen), who so; in short, the whole poem foreshadows Manning's riming Chronicle. The writer who Englished this Creed has little love for outlandish words; sauf, sengellic, and persones are the only three specimens of French here found: he commonly calls persones by the obsolete name hodes. The deep theological terms of the Creed could still be expressed in sound English; though the writer's mikel does not wholly convey the sense of our incomprehensible. We see our bifore-said for the first time. Bot (sed) and with (cum) are preferred to their other English synonyms, as in Orrmin's writings. Unlike that poet, our present author will seldom use ne for the Latin non; he prefers noht, as in the East Anglian pieces: but he once has nil (nolunt). We see the Participle lastend, which Orrmin would have used.

This Creed, short though it be, shows us two great changes that were taking root in our spelling; $h$ was being turned, as in Essex, into gh, and $u$ into ou. ${ }^{1}$ One or two instances of these changes may be seen in the East Midland poems of 1230 ; but the alteration is now well marked. We see right, noght, and thurght instead of the old riht, noht, and thurh. These words must have been pronounced with a strong guttural sound, which may still be heard in the Scotch Lowlands; there right is sounded much like the German recht. Thoh is in this Creed written pof, and this shows us how cough and rough came to.be pronounced

[^65]as they are now. ${ }^{1}$ The letters $k$ and $f$ are akin to each other; the Sanscrit katvar is the Gothic fidvor (four), and the Lithuanian $d w y-l i k a$ is our twâ-lifa (twelve). With us, Livorno becomes Leghorn; and in Aberdeenshire lowa (the Latin quis) is pronounced fa. No change seems to have been made in the sound, when dun and ur were written as doun and our in the Creed before us. The English word for domus is to this day pronounced in Northumberland as hoose. This, in parts of Yorkshire, is corrupted into ha-oose ; if this last be pronounced rapidly, it gives house, as it is sounded by good speakers of English in our day. ${ }^{2}$ It is hard to know why us should be spelt now as it was a thousand years ago, and yet why ur should be turned into our.

## EAST MIDLAND.

(A.D. 1240.)

| Who pat pen wil berihed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ be, | e saved |
| :--- | :--- |
| So of pe prinnes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ leve he, | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. Trinity |
| And nede at hele ${ }^{\text {c }}$ pat last ai sal | c salvation |
| Đat pe fleshede ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ai with al | dincarnation |
| Of oure louerd Jhu Crist forpi ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | e therefore | Đat he trowe it trewli.

${ }^{1}$ The pronunciation of a word like Loughborough is the despair of foreigners. Why should cough be sounded differently from plough? 'I have a cow in my box,' said a Frenchman, meaning a cough in his chest. Bunyan, who came from the East Midland, pronounced daughter as dafter; so we see by his rimes, quoted by Mr. Earle (Philology of the English Tongue), p. 127.
${ }^{2}$ It is pronounced in South Lancashire in a way quod literis dicere non est, but something like heawse (Garnett's Essays, p. 77). Coude (our could), wound, and bound have three different sounds in modern English.

Đen ever is trauth ${ }^{\text {f }}$ right ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ belief
Đat we leve with alle oure miht
Đat oure louerd Jhu Crist in blis
Godes sone and man he his,
Gode of kinde of fadir kinned ${ }^{g}$ werld biforn, $\quad \mathrm{g}$ begotten
Man of kinde of moder into werld born,
Fulli God, fulli man livand
Of schilful ${ }^{\text {h }}$ saule and mannes flesshe beand, $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ reasonable
Even to the Fadir purght godhede,
Lesse pen Fader purght manhede,
Đat pof he be God and man,
Noght two prwæjer ${ }^{i}$ is, bot Crist an, ${ }^{\text {i }}$ still
On, noht purght wendinge ${ }^{k}$ of Godhed in flesshe, ${ }^{k}$ changing
Bot purght takynge of manhede in godnesshe,
On al, noht be menginge of stayelness, ${ }^{1} \quad 1$ substance
Bot purht onhede of hode ${ }^{m}$ pat is,
m person
Đat poled ${ }^{n}$ for oure hele, doun went til helle, ${ }^{n}$ suffered
Đe pred dai ros fro dede so felle,
Upstegh ${ }^{\circ}$ til heven, sittes on right hand $\quad \circ$ went np
Of God Fadir alle mightand,
And yhit for to come is he
To deme pe quik and dede pat be,
Ate whos come alle men pat are
Sal rise with paire bodies pare,
And yelde sal pai, nil pai ne wil,
Of pair awen ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ dedes il,
p own
And pat wel haf doun pat dai
Sal go to lif pat lastes ai,
And ivel haf doun sal wende
In fire lastend withouten ende.
Đis is pe trauht pat heli $q$ isse,
Whilk bot ilkon with miht hisse
r unless
Trewlic and fastlic trowe he,
Saufe ne mai he never be. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Hickes has mangled some of the words in this piece, which I leave as he printed it. It is in his Thesaurus, i. 233.

THE CONTRAST TO THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.
(About A.D. 1240.)
THE OWL AND NIGHTINGALE.-Line 993.
Yut pu aisheist wi ich ne fare In to other londe and singe thare. No! what sholde ich among hom do, War never blisse ne com to?
That lond nis god, ne hit nis este,
Ac wildernisse hit is and weste, Knarres and cludes hoventinge, Snou and hazel hom is genge ; That lond is grislich and un-vele, The men both wilde and unisele;
Hi nabbeth nother grith ne sibbe ;
Hi ne reccheth hu hi libbe,
Hi eteth fihs an flehs un-sode, Suich wulves hit hadde to-brode ;
Hi drinketh milc, and wei thar-to,
Hi nute elles wat hi do ;
Hi nabbeth noth win ne bor,
Ac libbeth al so wilde dor;
Hi goth bi-tizt mid ruze velle,
Rizt svich hi comen ut of helle ;
Thez eni god man to hom come, (So wiles dude sum from Rome)
For hom to lere gode thewes, An for to leten hore unthewes, He mizte bet sitte stille, Vor al his wile he sholde spille;
He mizte bet teche ane bore
To weze bothe sheld and spere, Than me that wilde folc i-bringe,
That hi me segge wolde i-here singe.

These lines are taken from a most charming Dorsetshire poem, which seems to have been no translation from the French. It was published by the Percy Society, No. 39. Most of the forms found in the Ancren Riwle are here repeated. We see from the present work how warmly King Alfred's name had been taken to England's heart. The proverbs attributed to him come again and again, 340 years after his death. We find also other saws, such as
' Dahet habbe that ilke best, That fuleth his owe nest.' ${ }^{1}$

We often say ' the other day,' when referring to past time. At page 4 we read
'That other zer a faukun bredde.'
At page 50 occurs
'Wanne ich iseo the tohte ilete.'
'The taught (tensus) let out;' this is formed from the old teohhian (trahere).

In line 507 we read:
' Wane thi lust is ago.'
We find in the poem the old agon as well as the Southern ago, the corrupt form of the Participle kept by us in long ago. ${ }^{2}$ In Southern works, one man is often found as o man, and this corruption lingered in Devonshire for 200 years longer.

[^66]Many changes take place in words. Thus, holh (cavus), halfter, morgen, nihtegale, now become holeuh, halter, morezeiing (morning), and niztingale. The word sprenge (trap) is now first found, coming from the verb spring. There are a few Scandinavian words, such as amiss, cukeweald (cuckold), cogge (of a wheel), falt (falter); and shrew; the last comes from skraa (sloping). There are many words cropping up, akin to the Dutch and German, like clack, clench, clute (gleba), cremp (contrahere), hacch (parere), luring (torvo vultu), mesh, isliked (whence our sleek), stump, twinge, wippen; the last in its intransitive sense. ${ }^{1}$

In page 27 , we see the first use of a well-known adjective.

> ' Mon deth mid strengthe and mid, witte; That other thing nis non his fitte.'

That is, 'it is no match for man.' This is akin to the Dutch vitten (convenire).

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About a.d. 1250.)
I now give the Lord's Prayer, Hail Mary, and Belief, from a manuscript written in the middle of the Thirteenth Century, and printed in the Reliquiæ Antiquæ, I. 22. This must have been used in the Northern part of Mercia, perhaps in Orrmin's shire, for the $a$ is not yet replaced by $o$, as in East Anglia. We also find such Northern forms as til, until, fra, als, alwandand.

[^67]But we have here the great Midland shibboleth, the Present Plural of the Verb ending in en. This is sometimes altogether dropped. The Third Person Singular of the Present now ends in $s$, which is most unlike the Genesis and Exodus. Omnis is translated by hevirilk; this, to the North of the Humber, would have been ilk an. Are is used for the Latin sunt. The Past Participle has no prefix. The letter $h$ is sometimes set at the beginning of words most uncouthly. Acennede (genitus) is now turned into begotten. Heli stands for the old halig, as in the Athanasian Creed given at page 138. We light upon the full forms mankind and kingdom for the first time. Nottingham would be as likely a town as any for the following rimes. We may imagine the great Bishop Robert turning aside from his wrangles with the Roman Court and from the studies that made the name of Lincolniensis known throughout Christendom, and hearing his Mercian flock repeat these same lines.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About a.d. 1250.)
$[\mathrm{I}$ b]idde huve with milde stevene
prayer raise
voice
til ure fader pe king of hevene, to
in pe mununge of Cristis pine,
remembrance
for pe laverd of pis hus, and al lele hine, faithful hinds
for alle cristinfolk that is in gode lif, that God schilde ham to dai fro sinne and fro siche; for alle tho men that are in sinne bunden, those
that Jhesu Crist ham leyse, for is hali wndes; loose
wounds
for quike and for deade and al mankinde; and pat ws here God don in hevene mot par it finde; may place in heaven
and for alle pat on herpe us fedin and fostre; earth
saie we nu alle pe hali pater noster.

Ure fadir pat hart in hevene, halged be pi name with giftis sevene, samin cume pi kingdom,
likewise
pi wille in herpe als in hevene be don, ure bred pat lastes ai
gyve it hus jis hilke dai, same
and ure misdedis pu forgyve hus, als we forgyve pam pat misdon hus, and leod us intol na fandinge, temptation
bot frels us fra alle ivele pinge. Amen.

Heil Marie, ful of grace, pe lavird with je in hevirilk place, every
blisced be pu mang alle wimmein, and blisced be pe blosme of ji wambe. Amen.

Maidin and moder pat bar pe hevene king, wer us fro wre wyper-wines at ure hending; defend enemies ending blisced be pe pappis pat Godis sone sauk, sucked
pat bargh ure kinde pat pe nedre bysuak. protected race serpent tricked

> Moder of milte and maidin Mari, mercy
> help us at ure hending, for pi merci. pat suete Jhesu pat born was of pe, pu give us in is godhed him to se. Jhesu for pi moder luve and for pin hali wndis, pu leise us of pe sinnes pat we are inne bunde.

' Hi true in God, fader hal-michttende, pat makede heven and herdepe, and in Jhesu Krist, is anelepi sone, hure laverd, pat was bigotin of pe hali gast, and born of the mainden Marie, pinid under Punce Pilate, festened to the rode, ded and dulvan, licht in til helle, pe pride dai up ras fra dede to live, stegh intil hevenne, sitis on is fadir richt hand, fadir alwaldand, he pen sal cume to deme pe quike an pe dede. Hy troue hy peli gast, and hely kirke, pe samninge of halghes, forgifnes of sinnes, uprisigen of fleyes, and life with-hutin hend. Amen.' ${ }^{1}$

## the Contrast to the East midland.

(A.d. 1250.)

PSALM VIII.
Laverd, oure Laverd, hou selkouth is Name pine in alle land pis.
For upe-hoven es pi mykelhede
Over hevens pat ere brade ;
${ }^{1}$ We find the old genitive still uncorrupted, as hevene king, fadir hand. We still say hell fire, Lady day. It is most strange that such words as fanding, stegh, and samninge should ever have dropped out of our speech, since they must have been in the mouths of all Englishmen who knew the simplest truths of religion.

> Of mouth of childer and soukand
> Made pou lof in ilka land,
> For pi faes; pat pou for-do
> pe fai, pe wreker him unto.
> For I sal se pine hevenes hegh,
> And werkes of pine fingres slegh; ${ }^{1}$
> pe mone and sternes mani ma,
> pat pou grounded to be swa.
> What is man, pat pou mines of him?
> Or sone of man, for pou sekes him?
> pou liteled him a litel wight
> Lesse fra pine aungeles bright;
> With blisse and mensk pou crouned him yet,
> And over werkes of pi hend him set.
> pou under-laide alle pinges
> Under his fete pat ought forth-bringes,
> Neete and schepe bathe for to welde,
> In-over and beestes of pe felde,
> Fogheles of heven and fissches of se,
> pat forth-gone stihes of pe se.
> Laverd, our Laverd, hou selkouth is
> Name pine in alle land pis.

The above Psalm is a specimen of the Northumbrian Psalter (Surtees Society), a translation which, from its large proportion of obsolete words, must have been compiled about 1250, though it has come down to us only in a transcript made sixty years later. This is the earliest well-marked specimen of the Northern Dialect, spoken at York, Durham, and Edinburgh alike; it was now making its way to Ayr and Aberdeen, and driving out the old Celtic dialects before it. This was the speech

[^68]which long held its own in the Palaces and Law-courts of Scotland, the speech which was embodied in Acts of Parliament down to Queen Anne's time, and which has been handled by world-renowned Makers : may it never die out! It will be found that our classic English owes much to Yorkshire ; some of its forms did not make their way to London until 1520. How different would our speech have been, if York had replaced London as our capital!

This Psalter, most likely compiled in Southern Yorkshire, ${ }^{1}$ is nearly akin in its spelling to the Lincolnshire Creed in page 139. It has $g h$ for the old $h$; we find heghest, lagh, sight, fight, neghbur, negh. It substitutes the same $g h$ for $g$ or $c$; as in sigh, slaghter, sagh. Sometimes the former $g$ gets the sound of $y$, as in bie (emere) ; it is thus that we still pronounce the old bycgan, though we spell it with a $u$ in the Southern way. The English word for arcus is written both bough and bow. In Psalm cexxxi. breg is turned into brow; and the consonant is thrown out altogether in slaer (occisor) in Vol. I. page 11 ; as also in slaine. ${ }^{2}$ This last we saw in Essex in 1180. Hég (fœnum) becomes hai, much as it remains. The $u$ and $o$ are often turned into ou, as in the Lincolnshire Creed; we find wound, doun-right, and thought. In Vol. II. page 43, super principes is translated, by our princes; hence our contraction o'er. The English for per is here seen as thrugh, the sound
${ }^{1}$ The Midland Present Plural ending in en is sometimes found, as wirken (laborant). Ninety years later, Higden said that this Yorkshire speech was so harsh and rough that it could be hardly understood in the South.
${ }^{2}$ It is well known how the Scotch love rowels and get rid of consonants; with them all wool becomes $a 0$.
of which we keep. The Northern Poet sometimes leans to the vowel $o$; we find swore, spoken, rore, and swolyhe (devorare). What was once gebundne his (vinctos suos) now becomes his bonden (Vol. I. p. 221); new words were soon to be formed from this Participle. There are other forms still preserved in our Version of the Bible, such as brake, spake, and gat. The Plural of foot is now written feet instead of fét; we also find beest and neet. Longè is translated by far in Vol. I. p. 59, and this has prevailed over the Southern ferre.

We of course find the Active Participle in and, the old Norse form ; sal is used for shall; thai, thair, thaim occur, something like the forms in the Ormulum. We see the correct pou mines, where we should say pou mindest; a twofold corruption. The third Person Singular of the Present ends in $s$, as gives, does, has; we follow this Northern usage in week-day life, but on Sunday we have recourse in Church to the old Southern forms, giveth, doeth, \&c. A remarkable Norse form is seen in Vol. I. page 301; pou is (tu es) ; ${ }^{1}$ pou has, which is also found, is not yet grown into thru hast. The old ending of the Imperative Plural is sometimes clipped, though not often; as understande for intelligite. The Northern form of the Present Plural in es appears, as hates, oderunt ; and Shakspere sometimes follows this form.
Many new phrases crop up for the first time ; such as for evermare, fro fer (à longe), al at anes, in mid̉es of,
${ }^{1}$ This lingers in Scotland, as in the Jacobite ballad:-

> ' Cogie, an the King come, I'se be fou and thou's be toom.'

This Norse is answers alike to sum, es, and est.
four-skore. There are new Relative forms which took a long time to find their way to the South, as nane was wha roned; nane es whille saufe mas; yhe whilk starides (qui statis), fest, God, pat whilke pou wroght. In the Twelfth Century, these Relatives had only been used in oblique cases; the Nominative who was not used commonly in the South till the Reformation.

Another wholly new form is found in this Psalter. We have seen that Orrmin, first of all our writers, used pat, the old Neuter article, to translate ille; and its plural $\hat{b} \hat{a}$, to translate illi. This $\} \hat{a}$ is still to be found in Scotland (Scott talks of thae loons) : it held its ground in Southern England as po down to 1530. The old Dative of this, pâm, is still in use among our lower orders; as, 'look at them lads.' But in Yorkshire, about 1250, pas, our those, a confusion with the old Plural of pes (hic), began to be used for p $\hat{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{I}^{1}$

Vol. I. page 243: 'Superbia corum qui te oderunt,' is translated pride of pas pat pe hates; and many such instances could be given. The writer has elsewhere pese, as in the Essex Homilies, to translate the Latin hi. In this Psalter we see the beginning of the corruptions embodied in the phrase those who speatr; a phrase which often with us replaces the rightful they that speak, the Old English pî pe. The whillie set down a little earlier, answering to the Latin qui, gives us the earliest glimpse of the well-known idiom in the first clause of our English Paternoster. ${ }^{2}$

[^69]We now first find the letter $d$ in the middle of words like wrecchedness and wickedness. What used to be inlihton (inluxerunt) is now lightned, with a strange $n$. Hâs (raucus) becomes haast; hence the Scotch substantive hoast. We of the South have put an $r$ into the old adjective, and call it hoarse.

Olera herbarum (Vol. I. page 111) is translated wortes of grenes; hence our name for certain vegetables.

Hors (equi) is corrupted into horses, as in Layamon's poem. In Vol. I. 245, we find pai pat horses stegh up. This word has had a fate exactly the reverse of hâs (raucus), for we too often call equus 'a hoss.'
We find some new substantives, such as understanding, foundling, yles (insulæ) ; ${ }^{1}$ there is also hand-mayden. English delights in making two nouns into a new compound. ${ }^{2}$ Molestus is translated by a new word, hackande (Vol. I. page 105) ; hence perhaps our ' hacking cough.'

We see an effort made after a new idiom in Vol. I. page 265. 'Non erat qui sepeliret' is there translated was it nane pat walde biri. But this it could never drive out the old there.

In Vol. I. page 61, 'exaruit velut testa' is translated
He is wrong: That is the true Old English Relative, representing pe; the others are Thirteenth Century upstarts. It is curious that Yorkshire had far more influence than Kent upon the language of the capital in 1520. If we wish to be correct, we should translate ' qui amant' by they that love: those who love can date no higher than 1250 .
${ }^{1}$ Vol. i. p. 323. The Psalter being a most Teutonic work, we may hope that our isle is not derived from the French. The Old High German has isila.
${ }^{2}$ We must allow that country-house is far better than the French maison de campagne.
by dried als a pot might be. The two last words are a roundabout expression for were.

The verbs delve, cleave, swepe, and wepe take Weak perfects. This process has unluckily always been going on in England.
In Vol. I. page 267, a new meaning is given to the verb spill ; what of old was blod is agoten (effusus), now becomes blode es spilte. One of the puzzles in our language is, how ever could the Old English geotan be supplanted by the Celtic pour: this took place about 1500 . The former word survives in the Lincoln goyts or canals.

It is curious to mark the various compounds of wil, employed at different times to translate voluntarie. This about the year 800 was wilsum-lice (Vol. I. page 171); about 1250 it was willi; in a rather later copy of this Psalter it was wilfulli: we should now say willingly.

A new phrase crops up, used to translate forsitan; this (Vol. II. page 115) is thurgh hap : it is the forerunner of our mongrel perthaps.

We now see the first employment of our word gain: say, the only one of all the old compounds of again that is left to us. In Vol. I. page 269 we read, ' thou set us in gaine-sagh,' that is, in contradictionem. This is a true Northern form; a Southerner would have written ayensawe.

The English tongue was still able to turn a substantive into a verb. 'Qui dominatur' (Vol. I. page 203) is translated by 'pat laverdes.' ${ }^{1}$

[^70]
## 152 The Sources of Standard English.

We see the sense of shunt given for the first time to scunian. Expulsi sunt (Vol. I. page 291) is translated ere out-schouned.

There are many Scandinavian words now found for the first time ; as,

Dreg, from the Icelandic dregg (sediment).
Gnaist (gnash), from the Norse gnista.
Hauk, from the Icelandic haukr. ${ }^{1}$
Lurk, from the Norse lurke.
Molbery, from the Swedish mulbaer.
Slaghter, from the Norse slatr.
Scalp, from the Norse skal (a shell).
Snub, from the Norse snubba (cut short).
Besides these, we find for the first time our cloud (nubes) ; in Vol. I. 43, we read in pe kloudes of pe slewe; 'in nubibus aeris.' Sky has therefore at last got its modern meaning. We see snere, akin to the Dutch snarren, to grumble ; stuble (stipula) related to the Dutch stoppel. In Vol. II. page 53, conquassare is translated in three different manuscripts by squat, squacche, swacche (our squash), all akin to the Dutch quassen.

A few French words appear, such as fruitefull, oile, richesses ; the last being the usual translation of divitioe, and thus the Plural form of our word is accounted for. The older pais is sometimes turned into peas (pax). The word ire is used to translate the Latin ira; our kindred word yrre cannot have died out at this time: the Poet would think the Latin form more dignified than

[^71]the Old English. So we may hope that our ire is from an English and not from a Latin source. The word majestas (Vol. I. page 233) is tarned into an ingenious compound, mastehede.

What was in the year $800 a$-סeastrade sind (obscurati sunt) is now seen as er sestrede (Vol. I. page 241). This is a good example of the gradual change in the sounds of letters ; thus eaðe became easy. The translator of the Psalter was used to write the French word city; he, therefore, sometimes writes cestrede as well as sestrede. Here we have the soft sound of $c$ coming in; before this time it was always sounded hard, except in a French word. In Vol. I. page 243, we see, ' when time tane haf I;' the first instance of taken being cut down to tanea sure mark of the North.

About the year 1250, Layamon's poem was turned into the English of the day; many old words of 1200 are dropped, being no longer understood ; and some new French words are found. The old henan (hinc), already corrupted into henne, now becomes hennes, our hence; and betwyx becomes bitwixte. In this poem we first find our leg (crus) ; it comes from the Old Norse leggr, a stem; and slehpe (our sleight) comes from the Icelandic slog б. Cloke (chlamys) is a Celtic word.

We owe a great deal to the men who, between 1240 and 1440, drew up the many manuscript collections of English poems that still exist, taken from various sources by each compiler. The writer who copied many lays
into what is now called The Jesus Manuscript, ranged over at least one hundred and forty years. In one piece of his, professing to give a list of the English Bishopricks, there is no mention of Ely; hence the original must have been set down soon after the year 1100. In another piece in the same collection, mention is made of Saint Edmund, the Archbishop; this fixes the date of the poem as not much earlier than the year 1250. Most of these pieces, printed in An Old English Miscellany (Early English Text Society), seem to me to have been compiled at various dates between 1220 and 1250 ; for the proportion of obsolete English in them varies much. The Southern Dialect is well marked.
What in Essex had been called patt an, is now changed into its present shape.
pe on is pat ich schal heonne.-Page 101.
At page 164, the old gearwa is cut down to gere, our gear.
The Virgin says, in page 100, 'ich am Godes wenche' (ancilla). The word was henceforth only used of women; Orrmin had called Isaac ' a wennchell.'

We see in page 76, a Celtic word brought into English, a word which Shakspere was to make immortal. It is said that greedy monks shall be ' bitauht pe puke; ' that is, given over to the Fiend. The Welsh pwcca and bwg mean 'an hobgoblin;' hence come our bugbears and bogies. ${ }^{1}$ At page 43, we see 'he wes more bold,' not bolder. This was put in for the sake of rime.

[^72]In Verbs, we find ute, the old Imperative form, used for almost the last time. In page 47 Pilate, speaking of Christ, says, 'letep hyne beo.' We should now say, 'let him alone.'

A new word for tremere now appears in English, in page 176 :

For ich schal bernen in fur
And chiverin in ise.
There has been so much wrangling as to whether our Indefinite one comes from the French on or from the Old English $\hat{a n}$ used for man, that I once more return to the word, which has been seen already in the Ancren Riwle and the Bestiary. At page 40 we read:

## ' On me scal bitraye pat nu is ure yvere.'

This on, which before the Thirteenth Century never stood alone, is a translation of the kindred Latin word in the well-known passage of the Vulgate, 'unus vestrum me traditurus est.' Latin, as well as French, had great influence upon the changes in English. Fifty years later, the on was to be used indefinitely like the Old English man.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About A.D. 1270.)
The following specimen must have been written much about the time that King Henry III. ended his worthless life, if we may judge by internal evidence. It was transcribed by a Herefordshire man about forty years later. Of the sixty nouns, verbs, and adverbs contained
in it, one alone, pray, is French; and of the other fiftynine, only three or four have dropped out of our speech. In the poems of 1280 we shall find a larger proportion of French than in this elegant lay, which may be set down' to 1270. The writer seems to have dwelt at Huntingdon, or somewhere near, that town being almost equidistant from Liondon and the three other places mentioned in the fifth stanza. The prefix to the Past Participle is not wholly dropped; and this is perhaps a token that the lay was written on the Southern Border of the Mercian Danelagh. The third Person Singular of the Present Tense ends in es, and not in the Southern eth. The Plural of the same Tense ends in the Midland en. We find ourselves speedily drawing near the time, when English verse was written that might readily be understood six hundred years after it was composed.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(A.D. 1270.)

When the nyhtegale singes, the wodes waxen grene, Lef ant gras ant blosme springes in Averyl, y wene, Ant love is to myn herte gon with one ${ }^{\text {a }}$ spere so a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ kene,
Nyht ant day my blod hit drynkes, myn herte deth me tene. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
b harm
Ich have loved al this zer, that $y$ may love na more,
Ich have siked moni syk, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ lemmon, for thin ore; ${ }^{\text {d }} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ sigh Me nis love never the ner, ant that me reweth sore, Suete lemmon, thench on me, ich have loved the zore. ${ }^{\circ}$

Suete lemmon, y preye the of love one speche,
Whil y lyve in world so wyde other nulle $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{f}}$ seche; ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{I}$ will not
With thy love, my suete leof, mi blis thou mihtes eche, ${ }^{\text { }}$
g increase
A suete cos of thy mouth mihte be my leche.
Suete lemmon, y preze the of a love bene; ${ }^{h} \quad{ }^{b}$ boon
Yef thou me lovest, ase men says, lemmon, as y wene,
Ant zef hit thi wille be, thou loke that hit be sene,
So muchel y thenke upon the, that al y waxe grene.

Bituene Lyncolne ant Lyndeseye, Norhamptoun ant Lounde,
Ne wot $y$ non so fayr a may as $y$ go fore $y$-bounde;
Suete lemmon, y preze the thou lovie me a stounde, ${ }^{i}$ i while
Y wole mone my song on wham that hit ys on ylong. ${ }^{1}$
the contrast to the east midland.
(A.D. 1264.)

Richard of Alemaigne, whil that he wes kyng,
He spende al is tresour opon swyvyng;
Haveth he nout of Walingford o ferlyng ;
Let him habbe, ase he brew, bale to dryng,
Maugre Wyndesore.
Be the luef, be the loht, sire Edward, Thou shalt ride sporeles o thy lyard
Al the ryhte way to Dovere ward ;
${ }^{1}$ Percy Society, vol. iv. p. 92. This is a transcript made by a Herefordshire man, who must have altered and into ant, nill into nulle, kis into cos, \&c.
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Shalt thou never more breke foreward,
Ant that reweth sore ;
Edward, thou dudest ase a shreward, Forsoke thyn emes lore.

These stanzas are from the famous ballad on the battle of Lewes, in 1264, and come from the same Herefordshire manuscript: they smack strongly of the South. We have here the first instance of our corrupt Imperative, Let him habbe, instead of the old haobbe he (habeat). ${ }^{1}$ We also find the word bost (our boast) for the first time; this is Celtic. In another Southern poem of this date, the Proverbs of Hending, we see that ue replaced $e$ or eo; as bue for be, hue for heo. I give some of the homely bywords of the time, when Englishmen were drawing their swords upon each other at Lewes and Evesham. ${ }^{2}$

> God biginning makep god endyng.
> Wyt ant wysdom is god warysoun.
> Betere is eyesor pen al blynd.
> Wel fypt pat wel flyp.
> Sottes bolt is sone shote. Tel pou never py fo pat py fot akep. Betere is appel y-zeve pen y-ete.

[^73]Gredy is pe godles.
When pe coppe is follest, penne ber hire feyrest.
Under boske (bush) shal men weder abide.
When pe bale is hest, penne is pe bote nest.
highest remedy nighest
Brend child fur dredep.
Fer from eze, fer from herte.
Of unboht hude men kervep brod pong. hide
Dere is boht pe hony pat is licked of pe porne.
Ofte rap rewep.
haste
Ever out comep evel sponne web.
Hope of long lyf gylep mony god wyf.
The well-known phrase 'all and some' is first found in this Manuscript. The old sum is here equivalent to one.

Meanwhile, beyond the Humber, the French Romance of Sir Tristrem was being translated. The proportion of obsolete English words is rather greater than in the Havelok, and the former poem may therefore be dated about 1270. We unluckily have it only in a Southern transcript made sixty years later. The rimes give some clue to the true old readings; and when we see such a phrase as ich a side, we may be sure that the old Northern bard wrote ilka side. We find such new forms as fer and wide, and furthermore. ${ }^{1}$

[^74]We now find for the first time ye (vos) used instead of thou. French influence must have been at work here.
> ' Fader, no wretthe the nought, Ful welcome er ye.- Page 41.

Some new substantives are found. In page 25 a castle is called a hold. In page 32 the old bonda (colonus) is turned into husbondman. ${ }^{1}$ The poet elsewhere has a new sense for bond, which of old meant nothing more than a tiller of the ground : it now gets the sense of servus, as at page 184:
' Tho folwed bond and fre.'

Tristrem faught as a knight, And Urgan al in tene Yaf him a strok unlight;

His scheld he clef bituene Atuo.
Tristrem, withouten wene, Nas never are so wo.

Eft Urgan smot with main, And of that strok he miste;
Tristrem smot ogayn, And thurch his body he threste;
Urgan lepe unfain,
Over the bregge he deste:
Tristrem hath Urgan slain,
That al the cuntre wist With wille.
The king tho Tristrem kist, And Wales tho yeld him tille.
${ }^{1}$ Husbonde of old meant only paterfamilias. The confusion of the derivative of bua with the derivative of bindan sometimes puzzles the modern reader.

It is strange that this change should be for the first time found in the Norse part of England. We shall soon see a new word with a French ending formed from this bond. Already, in the Northern Psalter, bunden (vinctus) had been changed into bonden.
To dash (intransitive) may be found in the lines quoted at page 160 of my work. In Layamon the word was transitive.

Ich aught (debeo), a word which was always undergoing change, is first found at page 44.

A new sense of the word smart, used in the Northern Psalter, is seen in page 171 :

> 'The levedi lough ful smare.'

That is, 'quickly, briskly.' mmericans well know what they mean by 'a smart man.'

In page 17, we find the use of the phrase 'fair and free,' so common in English ballads down to the latest times:

## 'Thai fair folk and thi fre.' 1

Some Scandinavian words appear; such as busk. (parare), from the Norse bua sig, to betake himself; stilt, from the Swedish stylta, a support. To hobble, which is here found, is akin to a Dutch word meaning ' to jog upand down.'

The Northern men seem to have clipped the prefixes of French words as well as of their own. We find the beginning vowel gone in the verbs scape and stable.

[^75]Corona now first stands for the top of the head, as in page 51:

'Crounes thai gun crake.'

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About A.D. 1280.)
King Edward was now fastening his yoke upon Wales. The first Mercian poem of this time that I shall notice is the piece called The Harrowing of Hell, the earliest specimen of anything like an English dramatic work. It may have been written at Northampton or Bedford. The text has been settled (why did no Englishman take it in hand, and go the right way to work?) by Dr. Mall of Breslaa. With true German insight into philology, he has compared three different English transcripts : a Warwickshire (?) one of 1290 ; a Herefordshire one of 1313 ; and a Northern one of $1330 .^{1}$ Again we see the Midland tokens; the Present Plural in en, the almost invariable disuse of the prefix to the Past Participle, the substitution of noht for ne, have $I$ for habbe ich. The anthor wrote kin and man, not the Southern loun and mon, since the words are made to rime with him and Abraham. The old $a$ is sometimes, but not always, replaced by $o$; the poet's rimes prove him to have written strong, not strang; he had both ygan and ygon, riming respectively with Sathan and martirdom. The plural form honden,

[^76]found in all the three manuscripts, and the absence of are (sunt), point to the Southern border of the Danelagh; at the same time, the Northern wip (cum) has driven out the Southern mid. Thei (illi) sometimes replaces $h i$; both Ich and $I$ are found. The Midland form prist (sitis) has been altered by all the three transcribers; the two Southern ones use purst, something like our sound of the word: Dr. Mall, by the help of the rime, has here restored the true reading. Ch had replaced $c$, for michel, not mikel, is found in the Northern manuscript. The dialogue is most curious; Satan swears, par ma fei, like the soundest of Christians; and our Lord uses a metaphor taken from a game of hazard. The comic business, as in the Antigone of Sophocles, falls to a warder. The oath God wot comes once more; and also the Danish word gate (via), which never made its way into the South. ${ }^{1}$

A sad corruption, which fir $t$ appeared in the Bestiary, is now once more seen : it is one of the few things that has escaped Dr. Mall's eye. The second person of
${ }^{1}$ I give a specimen from page 33 of Dr. Mall's work. Abraham speaks:-.

> Louerd, Crist, ich it am, pat pou calledest Abraham; pou me seidest, pat of me Shulde a god child boren be, pat ous shulde bringe of pine,
> Me and wip me alle mine.
> pou art pe child, bou art pe man,
> Dat wes boren of Abraham;
> Do nou pat pou bihete me,
> Bring me to hevene up wib pe.

The New English, as we see, is all but formed.
the Perfect of the Strong verb is brought down to the level of the more modern Weak verb.

In line 77, we see in the transcript of 1290 ,

## Sunne ne foundest jou never non.

In line 189, the transcriber of 1313 writes,
Do nou pat jou bylihtest me.
It was many years before this corruption could take root ; it is seldom found in Wickliffe, who tries to avoid translating dedisti by either the old gave or the new gavest, and commonly writes didest give.

In the transcript of 1290 , lording is seen instead of loverding, and this is found in Kent and Lincolnshire much about the same time. In the lines of page 28,

> I shal go fro man to man
> And reve pe of mani $: n-1$
the last two words give us the same phrase found in the Yorkshire poems already quoted.

At page 32, we find a line thus written in the transcript of 1290, 'we pi comaundement forleten ;' in the transcript of 1313, this is 'we pin heste dude forleten.' If this latter represent the original of 1280 best, it is the first instance of a revived auxiliary verb, of which I shall give instances in the next Chapter.

Much ink has lately been spent upon Byron's expression, 'there let him lay' (jaceat). The bard might have appealed to the transcript of 1313 :

> Sathanas, y bynde pe, her shalt pou lay
> O fat come domesdai.-Page 30 .

But the greatest Midland work of 1280 is the Lay of Havelok, edited by Mr. Skeat for the Early English Text Society. This is one of the many poems translated from the French about this time, when King Edward the First was welding his French-speaking nobles and his English yeomen into one redoubtable body, ready for any undertaking either at home or abroad. The poem, which belongs to the Mercian Danelagh, has come down to us in the hand of a Southern writer, transcribed within a few years of its compilation. This renowned Lincolnshire tale was most likely given to the world not far from that part of England where Orrmin wrote eighty years earlier: it is certainly of near kin to another Lincolnshire poem, compiled in 1303. Mr. Garnett, in page 75 of his essays, has suggested Derbyshire or Leicestershire as the birth-place of the author: Dr. Morris is in favour of a more Southern shire. We find the common East Midland marks: the Present Plural ending in en ; the Past Participle oftenest without a prefix; are for the Latin sunt; niman for the Latin ire; and the oath Goddot, which is said to be of Danish birth. But there is also a dash of the Northern dialect; the second person singular of the Present tense, and the second person plural of the Imperative, both end in es now and then ; a fashion that lingers in Scotland to this day. The Norse Active Participle in ande is also found, and Norse phrases like thusgate, hethen, gar. Orrmin's munnde has now become mone, which is almost the Scotch maun, as in line 840.

> 'I wene that we deye (die) mone.'

Orrmin's 弓ho (the old heo) is now changed into she
and sho; his they and their are sometimes seen, but have been often altered by the Southern transcriber into $h i$ and kir. The Southern thilk (ille) is not found once in the whole poem. We now for the last time see the Old English Dual (this we must have brought from the Oxus) in the line 1882:
> 'Gripeth eper unker a god tre.' Grip each of you two a good tree.

This was of old written incer. Strange tricks are played with the letter $h$. The letter $d$ is dropped after liquids, for we find here shel, hel, bikel; and the Danes to this day have the same pronunciation. We may remark the Westward march, up from East Anglia, of the letter $o$, replacing the older $a$ : swa has become so, and is made to rime with Domino; on the other hand, wa (dolor) still rimes with stra, our straw. But such words as ilc, swill, mikel, hwilgate, prove that our modern corruptions of these words had not as yet made their way to the Humber; the Havelok shows us our Standard English almost formed, but something is still wanting.

There are Northern forms, which could never have been used in the South in Edwardian days; such as sternes, intil, tinte, coupe, loupe, carle. The Plurals of Substantives end in es, not en; and to this there are hardly any exceptions.

The old seofoja (septimus) now first becomes sevenpe, owing the intrusive $n$ to Norse influence ; many others of our Ordinals are formed in the same way. ${ }^{1}$

[^77]Other English words, common in our mouths, are found in their new form in the Havelok for the first time, such as yonder, thoruthlike: overthwart has been pared down to athwart since that age.

The French use vous, when addressing the Almighty. This took root in England; and we find of you, a word unmusical in Quaker's ear, employed for the Latin tuus:
> 'For the holi milce of $y$ ons mercy
> Have merci of me, louerd, nou !'-Line 1361. lord

I give the earliest instance of a well-known vulgarism :
‘Hwạn Godard herde pat per prette.'-2404.
In substantives, we find the Plural shon (our shoon), one of the few corrupt Plurals in $n$ that we keep, and which will never die out, thanks to a famous old ballad in Hamlet. What Orrmin called laf (panis) is now seen as lôf: we have not changed the sound of this word in the last six hundred years.

The Old English cwide is now seen as quiste (our bequest).

We see two lines in page 55 which explain why the Irish to this day sound the $r$ so strongly :

> 'And he haves on poru his arum (arm), (perof is ful mikel harum (harm).'

So the Trish sound the English boren (natus) in the true old way. We see the Old English word for a wellknown bird, in line 1241:
'Ne pe hende, ne pe drake.'

The former substantive, akin to the Latin anas, anatis, was still to last two hundred years, before it was supplanted by the word duck. As to drake, this poem first shows us that the word had lost its old form end-ralee, that is, anat-rex. There is hardly a word in English that has been so corrupted; one letter, $d$, alone remains now to show the old root, and this letter is prefixed to a word akin to the rajah of Hindostan.

In line 968, we find a new phrase :

> 'And bouthe him clopes, al span-newe.'

Span, the old spón, means a chip.
In line 27 , we see an idiom well known to balladmakers, when $i t$ becomes something like an indeterminate pronoun : this first appeared in the Ancren Riwle.

It was a king bi are dawes
That in his time were gode lawes, \&c.
In line 1815, a man slaughtered is said to be stan-ded. The word smerte (painful) keeps its old English sense, though we saw other meanings of the word farther to the North.

The verb leyke (ludere) is sounded in this poem, just as the Northern shires still pronounce it; we of the South call it lark, following the Old English lácan. ${ }^{1}$

To fare of old meant only to journey: we see in the line 2411 a derivative from another old verb, ferian :

> 'Hwou Robert with here loverd ferde' (egit).
${ }^{1}$ One of the earliest instances I remember of the modern use of this good old word, which is thought to be slangy, occurs in Miss Eden's Letters from India, about 1839. She calls one of the Hindoo gods, 'a kind of larking Apollo.'

To pricl is used in the sense that Macaulay loved, and that Croker blamed :
> 'An erl, pat he saw priken pore, Ful noblelike upon a stede.'-Line 2639.

As might be expected, there are many Norse words in the Havelok. I give those which England has kept, together with one or two to be found in Lowland Scotch.

Beyte (bait), from the Icelandic beita (incitare).
Big, from the Icelandic bolga (tumere).
Bleak, from the Icelandic bleikr (pallidus).
Blink, from the Danish blinke.
Boulder (a rock), from the Icelandic balla $\begin{aligned} \\ r\end{aligned}$.
Coupe, as in horse-çouper, from the Icelandic kaupa (emere).
Crus (Scotch crouse), from the Swedish krus (excitable).
Ding, from the Icelandic dengia, to hammer.
Dirt, from the Icelandic drit (excrementa).
Goul (to yowl, ululare), from the Icelandic gaula.
Grime, from the Norse grima (a spot).
Hemp, from the Icelandic hampr, not from the Old English hanep.
Put ${ }^{1}$ (to throw), from the Icelandic potta.
Sprawl, from the Danish spralle.
Stack, from the Danish stak.
Teyte (tight, active), from the Norse teitr (lively).
Besides these Scandinavian words, we find in the Havelok other words now for the first time employed. Such are lad (puer), from the Welsh llawd; ${ }^{2}$ stroute, our strut (contendere), a High German word; boy (puer), akin to the Suabian buah; to butt, akin to the Dutch botten; but
${ }^{1}$ Hence comes the phrase, putting the stone, first found in this poem.
${ }^{2}$ Lodes, the Welsh female of this word, has become our lass.
(a bout at wrestling), which Mr. Wedgwood derives from bugan (flectere), and bought, a word applied to the coils of a rope, and so to the turns of things that succeed each other. File, akin to the Dutch vuil, means a worthless person; we may still often hear a man called 'a cunning old file.' In 2499 of the Havelok, we read,
> 'Here him rore, pat fule file.'

We see the origin of the word deuce in the line-
'Deus! lemman, hwat may jis be?'
Storie appears clipped of the vowel that once began it; and Justice is used for a man in office, as well as for a virtue.
It is curious to see in this Lay two forms of the same word that has come to England by different channels; we have gete (custodire) from the Icelandic gotta; and also wayte, which means the same, coming from the French guaiter, a corruption of the wahten brought into Gaul by her German conquerors. Sad havock must have been wrought with English prepositional compounds in the eighty years that separated the Havelok from the Ormulum. In compound words, umbe, the Greek amphi comes only three times throughout the long poem before us; for only five times ; with only once; of not at all. The English tongue had been losing some of its best appliances. The preposition $t o$, answering to the German zer and the Latin dis, is still often found in composition, and did not altogether drop until the days of James I.

## THE EAST MIDLAND DIALECT.

(About A.D. 1280). ${ }^{1}$
THE HAVELOK.-Page 38.
On je nith, als Goldeborw lay,
Sory and sorwful was she ay, For she wende she were biswike, ${ }^{\text {a }}$
pat $\operatorname{sh}\left[\mathrm{e}\right.$ w]ere yeven unkyndelike. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $O$ nith saw she per-inne a lith,
A swipe ${ }^{\text {c fayr, a swipe bryth, }}$
Al so brith, al so shir, ${ }^{\text {d }}$
So it were a blase of fir.
She lokede no(r)p, and ek south, And saw it comen ut of his mouth,
pat lay bi hire in je bed:
No ferlike ${ }^{e}$ pou she were adred. e wonder
pouthe she, 'wat may this bimene?
He beth ${ }^{f}$ heyman yet, als y wene,
He beth heyman ${ }^{\text {g }}$ er he be ded.'
a tricked
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ unnaturally
c very
d clear

On hise shuldre, of gold red
She saw a swipe noble croiz,
Of an angel she herde a voyz,
'Goldeborw, lat pi sorwe be,
For Havelok, jat havep spuset pe,
He [is] kinges sone, and kinges eyr,
Dat bikenneth ${ }^{\text {b }}$ pat croiz so fayr. b betokens
It bikenneth more, pat he shal
Denemark haven, and Englond al.
He shal ben king strong and stark
Of Engelond and Denemark. ${ }^{2}$
pat shal pa wit pin eyne sen, ${ }^{i} \quad{ }^{i}$ see
And po shalt quen and levedi ben.'
${ }^{1}$ In this poem nith stands for night, and other words in the same way.
${ }_{2}$ This way of pronouncing all the three vowels alike of the word Engelond had not died out in Shakespere's time.
panne she havede herd the stevene ${ }^{k} \quad k$ voice Of pe angel uth of hevene, She was so fele sipes ${ }^{1}$ blithe, $\quad 1$ manytimes pat she ne mithe hire joie mythe. ${ }^{m} \quad \mathrm{~m}$ moderate But Havelok sone anon she kiste, And he slep and nouth ne wiste. Hwan pat aungel havede seyd, Of his slep anon he brayd, ${ }{ }^{n}$
started
And seide, 'lemman, slepes pou?
A selkuth ${ }^{\circ}$ drem dremede me nou. $\quad \circ$ wondrous
Herkne nou hwat me haveth met, ${ }^{p} \quad \mathrm{p}$ I dreant
Me pouthe y was in Denemark set, But on on je mosteq hil pat evere yete kam itil.
It was so hey, pat $y$ wel mouthe
Al pe werd ${ }^{r}$ se, als me pouthe. ${ }^{2}$ world
Als isat upon pat lowe,s $\quad$ hill
I bigan Denemark for to awe,
pe borwest and pe castles stronge; $\quad{ }^{t}$ boronghs
And mine armes weren so longe,
That i fadmede, al at ones,
Denemark, with mine longe bones.
And panne " $y$ wolde mine armes drawe $\quad$ uwhen
Til me, and hom for to have,
Al that evere in Denemark liveden
On mine armes faste clyveden. ${ }^{x} \quad x$ clare
And pe stronge castles alle
On knes bigunnen for to falle,
pe keyes fellen at mine fet.
Anoper drem dremede me ek,
Dat ich tley ${ }^{y}$ over pe salte se $\quad y$ flew
Til Engeland, and al with me
pat evere was in Denemark lyves, $z^{z} \quad z$ alive
But ${ }^{2}$ bondemen, and here wives, $\quad$ except
And pat ich kom til Engelónd,
Al closede it intil mine hond.
And, Goldeborw, y gaf [it] pe.
Deus! lemman, hwat may pis be?'

Sho answerede and seyde sone:
' Jhesu Crist, pat made mone,
pine dremes turne to joye;
pat wite ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{pw}$ that sittes in trone. $\quad$ decree
Ne non strong king, ne caysere,
So pou shalt be, $\mathrm{fo}[\mathrm{r}]$ pou shalt bere
In Engelond corune yet;
Denemark shal knele to pi fet. Alle pe castles pat aren jer-inne, Shal-tow, lemman, ful wel winne.'

## THE CONTRAST TO THE EAST MIDLAND.

> (About A.D. 1280.)

Whan Jhesu Crist'was don on rode
And polede dep for ure gode,
He clepede to hym seint Johan,
pat was his oze qenes man,
And his ozene moder also,
Ne clepede he hym feren no mo.
And sede, ' wif, lo her pi child
pat on pe rode is ispild:
Nu ihc am honged on pis tre
Wel sore ihc wot hit rewep pe.
Mine fet and honden of blod
Bipute gult ihc polie pis ded.
Mine men pat azte me to love,
For whan ihc com from hevene abuve,
Me havep idon pis ilke schame.
Ihc nave no gult, hi bup to blame.
To mi fader ihc bidde mi bone,
Dat he forzive hit hem wel sone.'
Marie stod and sore weop,
pe teres feolle to hire fet.
No wunder nas pez heo wepe sore, Of soreze ne mizte heo wite no more,

Whenne he pat of hire nam blod and fless, Also his suete wille was,
Heng inayled on pe treo.
'Alas, my sone,' seide heo,
'Hu may ihc live, hu may pis ben?'
The above is taken from the Assumption of the Virgin, printed by the Early English Text Society, along with the King Horn and another poem, all written about 1280 or rather later. In them we find that the Active Participle in inge, first used by Layamon, has almost driven out the older inde. The King Horn was written in some part of England (Oxfordshire?), upon which the East Midland dialect had begun to act, grafting its Plural form of the Present tense upon the older form in eth. Here hwanon (unde) is replaced by whannes, our whence. In page 8 there is a curious instance of the Old English idiom, which piles up negatives upon each other: this survives in the mouths of the common folk.

> 'Heo ne mizte . . . speke . . . nozt in pe halle, ne nowhar in non opere stede.

We now light on scrip (pera), which comes from the Norse slereppa, and pore (spectare), akin to the Swedish pala. ${ }^{1}$ There are also three words akin to the Dutch or German, clink, flutter, and guess. Chivalrous ideas were now being widely spread ander the sway of the great Edward, and we find that a verb has been formed from the substantive knight.
' For to knizti child horn.'-Line 480.

[^78]The verb 'to squire' came a hundred years later, in Chaucer's time.

There are some Kentish Sermons printed at page 26 of An Old English Miscellany (Early English Text Society). These seem to have been translated from the French about 1290: it was in Kent and Essex, as we can plainly see, that the old forms of King Alfred's day made their last stand against Northern changes. Forms like liesed (amisit), niede (necessitas), show us how a word such as belefe got turned into belief, the corrupt form which we still keep. Never did any tongue employ so many variations of vowels as the English, to represent the sound $e$ : here is one more puzzle for the foreigner. ${ }^{1}$ Our word glare, first found here, is akin to the Low German. We light on goodman (paterfamilias) at page 32. An idiomatic repetition, well known to our lower orders, now appears: as at page 31, 'a sik man seyde, Lord, lord,' ' ha seide,' \&c. The swiche (talis) is sometimes shortened into the siche, still often heard.

Robert of Gloucester wrote his Chronicle about 1300, or not much earlier, since he speaks of St. Louis as canonised. He shows us a few new idioms, especially as regards the word an, our one. ${ }^{2}$

De more pat a man con, pe more worp he ys.-I. page 364. pe castel of Cary held one Wyllam Lovel.-II. page 448.
Ac me ne mizte vor no ping in pe toune finde on.-II. p. 556.

[^79]Heo maden certeyne covenaunt jat heo were al at on. I. page 113.

The first phrase in Italics answers to quisque, the second to quidam, the third to unus. From the fourth, often repeated in this piece, comes ' to set them at one again,' and our word atonement. The Old English gleow had been hitherto seen as glew, gleu, and glie; it now approached its more long-lived form in gle. Makes (socii) is now seen as mates, II. 536. Formerly sceoppa had stood for a treasury ; it was now degraded in meaning, and became our shop : it occurs in Robert's account of the riot at Oxford (he may have been an eye-witness), not long before the battle of Lewes. ${ }^{1}$ It was a bowyer's shop that suffered; and this word is spelt bowiar : lawyer, collier, and such like forms were to follow. ${ }^{2}$ The adjective bad (malus) is now first found ; it has much puzzled the brains of antiquariẹ, for there seems to be no kindred word nearer to it than the Persian bud. Different explanations have also been given of Robert's new word, balledness (baldness), ; Mr. Dasent (Jest and Earnest, II. 70) talks of the God Baldr, who had a glorious whiteness of face.

Our poet uses the Norse word tome for otium; and this lasted down to the Fifteenth Century, when it was confused with time. We still say, 'I have time ' (vacat mihi); the Scotch toom (vacuus) is well known. John Balliol was nicknamed Toom-tabard, which well hits off his gaudy emptiness ; Robert talks of ' 5,000 poundes of sterlinges : ' this last word we owe to Germany.

[^80]When Richard I. came home from his German prison (II. page 490), 'he pleyede nywe king at ome.' This new idiom seems French; we now put a the after the verb. The poet is fond of using body for person, as 'mani god bodi, that ne com ' (II. page 546). We are told, in the famous ballad on Lewes fight, that the King's brother 'saisede the mulne for a castel.' Thirty-five years later, the Gloucestershire bard tells us that the aforesaid Prince 'was in a windmulle inome.' The old $n$ at the end of the word, clipped in England, is still kept by the Scotch Lowlanders.

Robert wrote, besides his Chronicle, a great number of Lives of Saints. Of these, that of Becket has been published by the Percy Society, Vol. XIX. At page 92, we see a new adverb compounded from an adjective, ' to do the sentence al abrod.' We still keep this counterpart to the Latin latè in 'to noise abroad; ' but the Norse abroad (foris) is of much later introduction. There are such new phrases as forasmoche as (page 28) ; pu mizt as wel beo stille (page 49) ; the kinges men were at him (page 63 ) ; hi dude here best (did their best), page 3 . The old berewe now becomes barewe, our barrow.

A new adjective is found; Becket's mother, wandering about London unable to speak English, is called 'a mopisch best ' (page 5). This is akin to the Dutch moppen, to sulk. Buttock reminds us of the Dutch bout; and stout, which is pure Dutch, now first appears in England.

We have seen in Sir Tristrem that bond came to mean servus; we find, at page 27 of the Becket, the word bonde man, with the same meaning. In other shires, such as near Rutland, bonde man still bore the old sense

## 178 The Sources of Standard English.

of colonus and nothing more. In the former case, the word came from the English bindan; in the latter, from the Norse bua.

At page 126, we see both the old form Tywesdai and the new form Tuesdai. Two foreign words were pro nounced in 1300 just as we wrongly pronounce them now: Stevene (Stephanus), page 124, and yused (solebam), page 23. ${ }^{1}$ We find simple opposed to gentle (page 124), as in Scott's writings.

Another of these Saint's Lives is the Voyage of St. Brandan (Percy Society, Vol. XIV.). In this we first see her and thar, at page 26 ; the preposition $b i$ is used by sailors in a new sense, for we read at page 28, 'hi seze an yle al bi southe.'

A line in page 30 is remarkable; speaking of an otter,
' Mid his forthere fet he brouzte a fur-ire and a ston.'
We did not use the word forefeet in 1300 ; fire-iron is an old compound.

An idiom, already known, is seen at page 3 ; we are there told that if men had not sinned, 'herinne hi hadde zut ilyved' (vixissent).

We now see a new word which was to degrade the Old English smirk. At page 4, we read, 'bi the suete smyl of zou.' This word has kinsmen both in Norway and Germany.

Much about the year 1300, the great Romance of

[^81]Alexander was Englished; perhaps in Warwickshire. ${ }^{1}$ Here we find als fer as, aloud, and aside for the first time; the noun side had a hundred years earlier been used to compound beside. At page 192, we see the origin of our 'to ride the high horse;' Alexander says of his friends, ' Y wolde sette heom on hyghe hors.' There are such new words and forms as bestir, drawbridge, fotman, notemugge (nutmeg), brother-in-lawe, overthrow, pecock, upper, kuin (kine), bewray, anhungred. Hnœegan becomes neigh; the old geolo (flavus) is seen as yelow (page 191); and the old adjective cyse now takes the form of chis, our choice, as in the line,

$$
\text { 'The lady is of lemon chis.'-Page } 137 .
$$

The old ruh (hispidus) and hlihan are turned into rowght (page 253), and laugh (page 296). Schill at length becomes shrill.

There are many words, akin to terms found in German dialects, now cropping up; such as cower, curl, to dab, to duck, girl, ${ }^{2}$ mane, pin, to plump, poll, scoff, scour, scrub, shingle, stamp, top (turbo) ; also hedlinge (proceps).

A few Scandinavian words are found, such as fing, ragged, tumble. The Celtic words, seen here in greater numbers than usual, may betoken that the Alexander was compiled not very far from the Welsh March; these words are bicker, wail, hog, and gun. This last is most likely some engine for darting Greek fire; the siege of

[^82]Macedoyne, supposed by the poet to be a city, is thus described in page 135 :

The kyng sygh, of that cité,
That they no myghte duyré:
They dasscheth heom in at the gate,
And doth hit schutte in hast.
The tayl they kyt of hundrodis fyve,
To wedde heo lette heore lyve.
Theo othre into the wallis stygh,
And the kynges men with gonnes sleygh. ${ }^{1}$
As to French words, bonny is seen for the first time in page 161, where bonie londis are promised. The word defyghe, riming with spie (page 288), shows that the guttural was not sounded in Southern Mercia in 1300; dereworth is now making way for precious, when jewels are mentioned. In the line at page 316, 'theo wayte gan a pipe blawe;' the French substantive shows how the watchman was to become a musician.

The above specimens will give some idea of the sources whence mainly comes our Standard English. A line drawn between Chelmsford and York will traverse the shires, where the new form of England's speech was for the most part compounded by the old Angles and the later Norse comers. Almost halfway between these two towns lived the man, whose writings are of such first-rate importance that they are worthy of having a Chapter to themselves. ${ }^{2}$ After his

[^83]time there came in but few new Teutonic changes in spelling and idiom, such as those that had been constantly sliding into our written speech between 1120 and 1300 .
from Northampton to Peterborough and Stamford, and so to Hull, turning now and then to the right and left. Most of the noble churches he will see, in his journey of 120 miles, date from the time between 1250 and 1350 .

## CHAPTER III.

## THE RISE OF THE NEW ENGLISH.

(A.D. 1303.)

We have seen the corruption of speech in the Mercian Danelagh and East Anglia ; a corruption more strikingly marked there than in other parts of England, with the exception of Yorkshire and Essex, where the same intermixture of Norse blood was bringing about like results. We shall now weigh the work of a Lincolnshire man who saw the light at Bourne within a few miles of Rutland, the writer of a poem begun in the year that Edward I. was bringing under his yoke the whole of Scotland, outside of Stirling Castle. It was in 1303 that Robert of Brunne (known also as Robert Manning) began to compile the Handlyng Synne, the work which, more clearly than any former one, foreshadowed the road that English literature was to tread from that time forward. ${ }^{1}$ Like many other lays of King Edward I.'s time, the new piece was a translation from aFrench poem; the Manuel des Pechés had been written about thirty years earlier by William of Waddington. ${ }^{2}$ The English poem

[^84]differs from all the others that had gone before it in its diction; for it contains a most scanty proportion of those Teutonic words that were soon to drop out of speech, and a most copious proportion of French words. Indeed there are so many foreign words, that we should set the writer fifty years later than his true date, had he not himself written it down. In this book we catch our first glimpse of many a word and idiom, that were afterwards to live for ever in the English Bible ana Prayer Book, works still in the womb of Time. Indeed, the new Teutonic idioms that took root in our speech after this age were few in number, a mere drop in the bucket, if we compare them with the idioms imported between 1120 and 1300. This shows what we owe to Robert Manning ; eren as the highest praise of our Revolution of 1688 is, that it was our last. The Handlyng Synne is indeed a landmark worthy of the carefullest study. I shall give long extracts from it, and I shall further add specimens of the English spoken in many other shires between 1300 and 1340. We are lucky in having so many English manuscripts, drawn up at this particular time: the contrasts are strongly marked. Thus it will be easy to see that the Lincolnshire bard may be called the patriarch of the New English, much as Cadmon was of the Old English six hundred years earlier. We shall also gain some idea of the influence that the Rutland neighbourhood has had upon our classic tongue. This was remarked by Fuller in his time ; and in our day Latham
in page 248 (Roxburgh Club edition of the Handlyng Synne). He writes a tale in French, and his translator says that the sad affair referred to happened 'in the time of good Edward, Sir Henry's son.'
tells' us that 'the labouring men of Huntingdon and Northampton speak what is usually called better English, because their vernacular dialect is most akin to that of the standard writers.' He pitches upon the country between St. Neots and Stamford as the true centre of literary English. ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Guest has put in a word for Leicestershire. Our classic speech did not arise in London or Oxford ; even as it was not in the Papal Court at Rome, or in the King's Palace at Naples, or in the learned University of Bologna, that the classic Italian sprang up with sudden and marvellous growth.

The Handlyng Synne shows how the different tides of speech, flowing from Southern, Western, and Northern shires alike, met in the neighbourhood of Rutland, and all helped to shape the New English. Robert of Brunne had his own mother-tongue to start with, the Dano-Anglian dialect corrupted by five generations since our first glimpse of it in 1120. He has their peculiar use of niman for the Latin ire, and other marks of the East Midland. We have seen a specimen of the North Lincolnshire speech of 1240 ; this, as Robert was to do later, had substituted no for ne (the Latin ner). ${ }^{2}$ From the South this speech had borrowed the change of $a$ into $o$ and $c$ into $c k$ (hence Robert's moche, ${ }^{3}$ eche, whyche, $s w y c h$ ), of $s c$ into $s h, g$ into $w$, and $o$ into ou. From the West

[^85]came one of the worst of all our corruptions, Layamon's Active Participle in ing instead of the older form: Robért leans to this evil change, but still he often uses the old East Midland Participle in and. With the North Robert has much in common: we can see by his rimes that he wrote the Norse pepen (page 81) and mykel (page 253), instead of the Southern pen and mochyl, which have been foisted into his verse by the Southerner who transcribed the poem sixty years later. The following are some of the forms Robert uses, which are found, many of them for the first time, in the NorthernPsalter: childer, fos, ylka, tane, ire, gatte, hauk, slagheter, handmayden, lighten, wrecched, abye, sle, as sone as, many one, dounright, he seys, thou sweres, sky (cœlum). He, like the translator of the Psalter, delights in the form $g h$; not only does he write sygh, lagheter, doghe, nyghe, neghbour, but also kneugh and nagheer (our knew and nowhere). This seems to show that in Southern Lincolnshire, in 1303, the gh had not always a guttural sound. He also sometimes clips the ending of the Imperative Plural; ${ }^{1}$ but turns the Yorkshire thou has into thou hast. In common with another Northern work, the Sir Tristrem, Robert uses the new form ye for the Latin $t u$; also the new senses given in that work to the old words smart and croun. To the bond (servus) of the aforesaid poem he fastens a French ending, and thus compounds a new substantive, bondage, wherewith he translates the French vileynage: this is a most astounding innovation, the source of much bad English. Our tongue might well seem stricken with barrenness,

[^86]if English endings were no longer in request. He holds fast to the Norse of his forefathers when writing words like yole, kirk, til, werre (pejus). For the Latin idem he has both same and yche. We can gather from his poem that England was soon to replace zede (ivit) by went, oper by second; that she was soon to lose her swithe (valdè), and to substitute for it right and full: very is of rather later growth. ${ }^{1}$ Almost every one of the Teutonic changes in idiom, distinguishing the New English from the Old, the speech of Queen Victoria from the speech of Hengist, is to be found in Manning's work. We have had few Teutonic changes since his day, a fact which marks the influence he has had upon our tongue. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{He}$ it was who sometimes substituted $w$ for $u$, as down for doun. In his writings we see clearly enough what was marked by Sir Philip Sidney almost three hundred years later : 'English is void of those cumbersome differences of cases, genders, moods, and tenses, which I think was a piece of the Tower of Babylon's curse, that a man should be put to schoole to learne his mother tongue; but for the uttering sweetly and properly the conceit of the minde, which is the ende of speech, that it hath equally with any other tongue in the world.' ${ }^{3}$ The Elizabethan knight ought to have been well pleased with the clippings and parings of the Edwardian monk.

In the Handlyng Synne are the following Scandinavian words:

[^87]> Ekename (nickname), from the Swedish öknamn. Nygun (niggard), from the Norse nyggja, to scrape. Squyler (scullion), from the Norse skola, to wash.

Some words, which we have in common with other Teutons, are found for the first time; as plank and stumble; also midwife, which has been explained by Junius. ${ }^{1}$

There are a few remarkable changes in the meanings of English words.

Kind had hitherto meant natural, but in page 167 we read,

To serve hym. (God) pat ys to us so kynde.
The two senses were alike used for nearly 400 years, as we see in Milton's works.

In page 161 we read, 'he is to hym mynde,' that is, inclined: mind was getting a new sense, used by us when we say, 'I have a mind to go ; ' ' ye that mind to come.'
truth had hitherto stood for fides, but it now comes to mean veritas, and in the end has all but driven out the good old sooth. To this day our true will translate either fidus or verus.

Hyt ys no troupe, but fals belevyng.-Page 13.
Forswere zow nevere for worldys gode.
For ze wyte weyl, and have hyt herde,
pat troupe ys more pan alle pe worlde.-Page 88.
Eton Bucks is the name that used to be given to the lads bred at King Henry the Sixth's renowned College. In the Handlyng Synne (page 102), we see how the Old English bucca (hircus) came to mean a dandy.
${ }^{1}$ He explains it as a woman who comes for mede.

And of pese berdede buckys also,
Wyp hem self pey moche mysdo,
Jat leve Crystyn mennys acyse,
And haunte alle pe newe gyse;
per whylys pey hade pat gyse on hande
Was nevere grace yn pys lande.
These are Robert's own rimes; for Waddington, writing earlier, had not thought it needful to glance at the beard movement, though he bore hard on the ladies and their dress.

The Old English nceddre (serpens) now loses its first letter, as it also did in the Alexander. Elkename, on the other hand, has since gained the letter $n$.

And addres bete hym by pe fete.-Page 160.
In this poem, both the Northern by and the Southern keyn stand for the Latin vaccor. Reafian gets the new sense of snatching:

Refte pe saule unto helle.-Page 154.
We have seen how in the South one came to stand for aliquis and quidam. It was brought into Lincolnshire, and is now used in a new sense, thereby avoiding the repetition of a substantive that has gone before;

She ledde hym to a moche felde,
So grete one nevere he behelde.-Page 104. ${ }^{1}$
London thieves speak of their booty as swag. The word of old meant nothing but a $b a g$; the connexion between the two ideas is plain :
pere was a wycche, and made a barge,
A bely of lepyr, a grete swagge.-Page 17.

[^88]So schoolboys talk of bagging their mates' goods. We now find the first mention of 'ready money:'

And ten mark of pens redy.-Page 198.
A well-known religious phrase is found in the following lines:

> Wys erymyte lenede hym on a walle, Ande badde hys bedys.-Page 378 .

We have seen that hál or hol came to mean integer before 1100 ; we now find our well-known adverb compounded from it. Something had to be invented to replace the lost eallunga. ' Ta confessiun deit estre entere ' is tranlated

Alle holy owep py shryfte be doun.-Page 367.
The old leosan (amittere) had had loren for its Past Participle and pu lure for the second Person Singular of the Perfect ; we now light on a wonderful change:

Here wurschyp ys lost for evermore.-Page 94.
And brynge pe azen to hys grace
pat jou lostest.-Page 373.
We still keep the true Old English Gerundial form in the phrase, ' this house to let.' It was corrupted in Lincolnshire by the year 1303, and Tyndale unhappily followed this corruption in his account of St. Paul's rebuke to St. Peter. Robert of Brunne sayspey bep to be blamede eft parfore.-Page 50.

The verb have was now gaining its sense of 'to drag:' She had hym up, wyp here to go.-Page 104.

We have still the phrase (rather slangy) to sack a sum of money. We first find this in the Handlyng Synne. De whyles pe executours sekke, Of je soule pey ne rekke.-Page 195.
This phrase seems not to have been understood in the South ; for the Southern transcriber writes over selke the words fyl pe bag.

The old teogan (trahere) is pared down, and from it a new substantive is formed, to express dalliance :

And makep nat a mys pe toye.-Page 246.
Orrmin's laffdiz (domina) had been $\mathrm{c}_{1} . \mathrm{t}$ down in many English shires to its present form, shortly before 1300. Robert of Brunne throws the accent on the last syllable, as is so often done in English ballads :

For to be holde pe feyryst lady.-Page 103.
Can and coude, as in the Peterborough Chronicle, are used very freely, where of old may and might would have been employed. Our cannot now first appears as one word :
pat zyf ze kunnat, lernep how.-Page 298.
The corpe (potuit) of the Havelok now becomes coude, as in East Anglia; the verb has since changed for the worse, owing to a false analogy. We see do and did, as in page 193 of my work, employed as auxiliaries. There are some instances of this idiom before the Norman Conquest, but the fashion had long been dropped until shortly before the year 1300. ${ }^{1}$ Robert of Gloucester has it.

[^89]I give many of the new words and phrases, well worn as they now seem, which crop up for the first time, or for all but the first time, in the Handlyng Synne :

> To wake a corpse.
> To waste stores.
> To ley a waiour (wager).
> The Saturday was doun (finished).

Besides these, we find for the first time other words, most of them common enough now ; such as, to betroth, to bestead, to hap, burble (bubble), lyztning, welfare, forsayde, shameful, boastful, ruefully, a sory present, a trewman, umwhile (the Scottish umquhile). Ládman (dnx) is turned into lodesman; a word something like our loadstar.

We now light upon a well-known by-word,
' The nere pe cherche, pe fyrper fro Gode.'—Page 286.
St. Athelthryth, the Patroness of Ely, is shortened into St. Audre, in page 325. The poet had doubtless knelt at her shrine, on his way from Lincolnshire to Cambridge. Of all our English clippings and parings, none is more startling than the contraction of this Saint's name. Botolphston was later to be cut down to Boston. Robert gives original tales of events that happened in Cambridgeshire, Norfolk, and Kesteven in his own time; though he is too discreet to set down the names of the misdoers.

I print in italics the remarkable phrases first found in this poem. The stock of true English words had every year been getting scantier, and new resources seemed now to be called for. The poet was not
particular as to drawing on French or English; thus, lequel is translated literally. The $\mathrm{yn}^{2}$ as moche is remarkable as a sister form to the Gloucestershire forasmuch ; many such forms were to crop up in the Fourteenth Century and to remain in use till about the Restoration. When new phrases come into a language, it is in adverbial forms and in conjunctions that they are mostly found ; thus only and rather are in the Thirteenth Century used, not merely as adjectives, but in a new sense. The Handlyng Synne should be compared with another poem due to the same shire, and written five hundred and sixty years later; I mean Mr. Tennyson's Northern Farmer. Some of the old forms are there repeated, especially the $a$ which stands first in the following rimes:

> He ys wurby to be shent,
> For $a^{1}$ dop azens pys comaundment.-Page 84.
> Yole, ys yone ${ }^{2}$ by page ?-Page 184.
> A gode man and a ryzt stedefaste.-Page 74.
> A man yn flesshe $a s^{3}$ he dyde se.-Page 391.
> Bep wakyng . . . .
> What tyme pat zoure lorde wyl kalle.-Page 137.
> Crystendom . . . .
> purghe pe whych we are savede alle.-Page 294.

[^90]$H o^{1}$ hap made py chylde so blody ? - Page 24.
For ho so hauntep comunly, \&c.-Page 42.
pou mayst be wrope sum body to chastyse.-Page 120.
pat of pe Iewes seye sum oun.-Page 294.
He shulde be cumbrede sumwore.-Page 301.
One of pys dayys shul ze deye.-Page 105.
Sum tyme was ones ${ }^{2}$ a Iew.-Page 241.
And sette at nozt pat he hadde told.-Page 242.
Nat only for soules ys he herde,
But also for, \&c.-Page 324.
Oftyn tyme a foule pozt, \&c.-Page 388.
Of gentyl men, pyr are but fo.-Page 270.
Men sey, and have seyde kere before.-Page 102.
For yn as moche pat she doup men synne,
Yn so moche shal she have plyghte ynne.-Page 110.
For to reyse pe devyl yn dede.-Page 12.
As weyl as for soules yn purgatorye.-Page 330.
parfore he pat ys ones baptysede,
Ones for ever ys.-Page 300.
To helpe chyldryn yn many kas
Men wete never what nede one has. ${ }^{3}$--Page 297.
The dede mevede hys hede to and fro.-Page 74.
Yn every sykenes aske hyt al weys.-Page 348.
Men askede hym why he pedyr zede,
Syn ${ }^{4}$ he was an holy man yn dede.-Page 246.
A party hyt halpe per un to.-Page 322.
pe pornes prykkede, the netles dyde byte.-Page 234.
Alle pat we do jangle, pe fende dope wryte.-Page 287.
$Y$ dar weyl seye pou hym dyffamest.-Page 361.
${ }^{1}$ Here we find something like our modern pronunciation of who.
${ }_{2}$ This stands for olim, not semel.
At first sight it would seem that this comes from the French on; but it is a corrupt form of the Old English an. It is a pity that our Lincolnshire bard did not keep alive the indefinite man; in this we have had a sad loss.

- This is a wonderful shortening of the old siððan.

Yyf he $y s$ aboute to tempte pe.-Page 374.
Yn alle sloghenesse he berep pe bel.-Page 135.
Y brast on lagheter pere y stode.-Page 288.
Yyf pou be come of hyghe blode.-Page 97.
$W_{\text {ulde }}{ }^{1}$ Gode pat many swyche wommen wore !-Page 331.
Lorde! ${ }^{2}$ what shal swych men seye? --Page 137.
Yn Londun toune fyl swyche a chek.-Page 86.
He sette hym by hym, syde be syde.-Page 244.
pe body, whyl hyt on bere lys,
A day or two ys holde yn prys.-Page 195.
pank hym noper yn wele no wo.-Page 160.
pou mayst pan sykerly go py weye.-Page 346.
Comyp alle home, and havyp doun. ${ }^{3}$-Page 31.
Hyghely shal he go alone
To the devyl, body und bone. ${ }^{4}$-Page 169.
Ne slepte onely $a$ lepy wynke.-Page 283.
Ande Jumna was wonte wyp here to wone.-Page 330.
Every man shulde have a fore pozt.-Page 334.
And gnoghe hyt ynwarde al to pecys.-Page 114.
Fro wykkede to wers y do hem falle.-Page 392.
And to pe ded was as trew as steyl.-Page 75.
pat gadren pens ${ }^{5}$ un to an hepe.-Page 190 .
Yyf pey come not ${ }^{6}$ also purghe poghte.-Page 15.
pey myghte no more be broghte a sondre.-Page 277.
pat tyme hyt happede for to be.-Page 199.
For some when pey yn age are come.-Page 54.
$Y$ trowe God shewede pys merveyle.-Page 82.
To do a man to dep parfore.-Page 189.
${ }^{1}$ This wulde (our would) replaced the old wolde, as in East Anglia.
${ }^{2}$ The original story has Deu! the French invocation. We have stuck to Lord ever since, as an Interjection; Pepys was fond of it.
${ }^{3}$ Hence the ' ha done, do!' common among our lower orders.
${ }^{4}$ Moore, in one of his best squibs, talks of Wellington in Spain, and proposes to ' ship off the Ministry, body and bones, to him.'
${ }^{5}$ This would of old have been peningas.
${ }^{6}$ This would have been noht or nout earlier. Our author writes nat or not for non, and noghte for nihil. Here once more we get two different forms from one old word.

It must be clear to all, that since Orrmin no Englishman has shown the change in our tongue so strikingly as Robert of Brunne. Many of our writers had fastened an English ending to a foreign root, such as martirdom; but no Englishman before 1303 had fastened a French ending to an English root, as bondage ; and none had employed a French Active participle instead of an English preposition, as 'passing all things.' Robert commonly writes $y$ instead of $i$, a fashion which lasted for two hundred years, and then happily dropped. He seems to be conscious that he was an innovator, for in page 267 he asks forgiveness
> 'For foule Englysshe and feble ryme, Seyde oute of resun many tyme.'

In his seventy lines on Confirmation, at page 304, he employs French words for at least one-third of his nouns, verbs, and adverbs; the same proportion that was afterwards to be used in the Collects of the English Prayer Book, as also by Addison, and by most good writers of our own day. ${ }^{1}$ No more nonsense, it is to be hoped, will now be talked about Chaucer, who not long ago was looked upon as the first Englishman who employed French words to a great extent.

In my specimens taken from Robert's work, I have chosen parts that are wholly his own and no translation from the French. I give first a tale of the great Bishop of Lincoln, who died bat a few years before our poet's birth; I then give St. Paul's description of

[^91]Charity, a well-known passage, which may be compared with our Version of the Bible put forth three hundred years after the Handlyng Synne. Next comes a peep into English life in Edwardian days; next, a tale of a Norfolk bondeman or farmer ; last of all comes the bard's account of himself and the date of his rimes. Had the Handlyng Synne been a German work, marking an era in the national literature, it would long ago have been given to the world in a cheap form. But we live in England, not in Germany. I could not have gained a sight of the poem, of which a few copies have been printed for the Roxburgh Club, had I not happened to live within reach of the British Museum. ${ }^{1}$

Page 150.
Y shall zow telle as y have herde Of pe bysshope Seynt Roberde, Hys toname ${ }^{2}$ ys Grostest asumame Of Lynkolne, so seyp pe gest.b b story He lovede moche to here pe harpe; For mannys wyt hyt makyp sharpe ; Next hys chaumbre, besyde hys stody, Hys harpers chaumbre was fast perby. Many tymes be nyztys and dayys, He had solace of notes and layys. One askede hym onys, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ resun why © once He hadde delyte yn mynstralsy:
${ }^{1}$ The Early English Text Society has printed a vast quantity of Fifteenth Century English, tales about Arthur, and what not; but they have not given us the Medytaciuns on the Soper of our Lorde, which is said to be another work of Robert of Brunne's. Its philological value must be very great; it may contain forms which as yet have not been found in any writer before Mandeville.

He answerede hym on pys manere,
Why he helde pe harper so deve:
' De vertu of pe harpe, purghe skylle and ryzt,
Wyl destroye pe fendes myzt,
And to pe croys by gode skylle
Ys pe harpe lykenede weyle. ${ }^{\text {d }} \quad{ }^{\text {a well }}$
Anoper poynt cumfortep me,
pat God hap sent unto a tre
So moche joye to here wyp eere;
Moche pan more joye ys pere
Wyp God hym selfe pere he wonys,e e dwells
pe harpe jerof me ofte mones, ${ }^{f}$ - ${ }^{f}$ reminds
Of pe joye and of pe blys
Where Gode hym self wonys and ys.
pare for, gode men, ze shul lere, ${ }^{5} \quad 8$ learn
Whan ze any glemen here,
To wurschep Gode at zoure powere,
As Davyde seyp yn pe sautere,
Yn harpe, yn thabour, and symphan gle,
Wurschepe Gode, yn troumpes and sautre,
Yn cordys, an organes, and bellys ryngyng,
Yn al pese, wurschepe ze hevene kyng.'

Page 222.
Se now what seynt Poule seys
Yn a pystyl, pe same weys,-
' Doghe y speke as weyl wyp tung
As any man or aungel haj song,
And y lyve nat wyp charyte,
No pyng avaylep hyt to me.
For y do pan ryzt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ as pe bras,
And as je tympan, jat bete ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ was;
pe bras to oper zyveb grete sown,
And bet hym self up and down.
And poghe y speke al yn prephecye,
And have pe kunnyng of every maystrye, ${ }^{\text {e }} \quad$ knowledse

And wyp gode beleve myghte seye pe hylles to turne yn to pe valeye, Lyf hyt ne be wyp charyte wroghte,
Elles, he seyp pat y am noghte.
pogh y ayve alle my wurldes gode
Unto pore mennys fode,
And zyve my body for to brenne
Opunly oper men to kenne, ${ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {d teach }}$
But gyf ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ par be charyte wy alle, $\quad{ }^{\circ}$ unless.
My mede parfore shal be ful smalle.'
Loke now how many godenesse jer are
Wyp oute charyte noghte but bare.
Wylt pou know py self, and se
Lyf pou wone ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ in charyte?
dwell
"Charyte suffrep bope gode and yl,
And charyte ys of reuful wyl,
Charyte hap noun envye,
And charyte wyl no felunnye;
Charyte ys nat irus,
And charyte ys nat coveytous;
Charyte wyl no bostful preysyng;
He wyl nozhte but ryztwys pyng;
Charyte lovep no fantome,
No pynges pat evyl may of come;
He hap no joye of wykkednes,
But lovep alle pat sothefast ${ }^{8}$ es; ${ }^{\text {s }}$ truthful
Alle godenes he up berep;
Alle he suffrep, and noun he derep, ${ }^{\text {b }} \quad{ }^{h}$ harms
Gode hope he hap yn ryghtewys pyng,
And alle he susteynep to pe endyng;
Charyte ne faylep noghte,
Ne no pyng pat wyp hym ys wroghte.
When alle prephecyes are alle gone,
And alle tunges are leyde echone,
And alle craftys fordo ${ }^{i}$ shul be, $\quad$ ' ruined pan lastep stedfast charyte.' ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ In these twenty-two lines there are thirteen French words, net
pus seyp seynt Poule, and moche more, Yn pystyl of hys lore.

Page 227.
As $y$ have tolde of rere ${ }^{a}$ sopers, late pe same fallep of erly dyners; Dyners are oute of skyl and resun On pe Sunday, or hye messe be doun. ${ }^{1}$ poghe pou have haste, here gyt a messe, Al holy, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and no lesse,
b completely
And nat symple a sakare, e c the conseFor hyt ys nat ynow for pe, But ${ }^{\text {a }}$ hyt be for lordys powere cration part

Or pylgrymage pat hap no pere. Are pou oghte ete, pys ys my rede, Take holy watyr and holy brede; For, yn aventure kas, hyt may pe save, Eyf housel e ne shryfte pou mayst have. © Eucharist Alle oper tymes ys glotonye
But hyt be grete enchesun ${ }^{f}$ why. $\quad f$ reason
On oper hyghe dayys, zyf pat ou may,
poghe pat hyt be nat Sunday,
Here py messe or pou dyne,
Eyf pou do nat, ellys ys hit pyne; ${ }^{5} \quad$ s woe Lordes pat have preste at wyl,
Me penkep pey trespas ful yl
pat any day ete, are pey here messe,
But zyf ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ hyt be purghe harder dystresse. h unless
pe men pat are of holy cherche,
Dey wete weyl how pey shul werche;
But swych ${ }^{1}$ y telle hardyly,
${ }^{i}$ such
pat swych a preste doup glotonye
counting repetitions; in our Version of 1611, there are but twelve French words in the same passage.
${ }^{1}$ Ere appears in this piece as or and are.
pe levyp hys messe on pe auter
For to go to a dyner.
So ne shulde be do, for no pyng,
For love ne awe of no lordyng,
But $\mathrm{zyf}^{\mathrm{k}}$ hyt were for a grete nede k unless
pat shulde hym falle, or a grete drede.

Page 269.
Yn Northfolk, yn a tounne, Wonede a knyzt besyde a persone; a parson
Fyl hyt so, pe knyztes manere ${ }^{b} \quad{ }^{b}$ manor
Was nat fro pe cherche ful fere ; ${ }^{c} \quad \mathrm{c}$ far
And was hyt pan, as oftyn falles,
Broke were pe cherche zerde walles.
pe lordes hyrdes often lete
Hys bestys yn to je cherche zerde and ete;
pe bestys dyde as pey mote nede,
Fylede ${ }^{\text {a }}$ overal pere pey zede. ${ }^{e} \quad$ defiled
A bonde man say ${ }^{\text {f }}$ pat, ande was wo ${\underset{f}{e} \text { went }}_{f \text { saw }}$
pat pe bestys shulde pere go;
He com to pe lorde, and seyde hym pys,
'Lorde,' he sezde, 'zoure bestys go mys, ${ }^{g} \quad 8$ amiss
Eoure hyrde dop wrong, and zoure knavys,
pat late zoure bestys fyle pus pese gravys;
pere mennys bonys shulde lye,
Bestes shulde do no vyleynye.'
pe lordes answere was sumwhat vyle,
And pat fallep evyl to a man gentyle;
' Weyl were hyt do ${ }^{h}$ ryzt for je nones $\quad{ }^{h}$ done
To wurschyp ${ }^{\text {i }}$ swych cherles bones; $\quad{ }^{i}$ honour
What wurschyp shulde men make
Aboute swych cherles bodyes blake?'
$p_{e}$ bonde man answerede and seyde
Wurdys to gedyr ful weyl leyde,
' pe Lorde pat made of erpe erles,
Of pe same erje made he cherles;

Erles myzt and lordes stut ${ }^{\mathbf{k}} \quad \mathbf{x}$ stout
As cherles shal yn erpe be put. ${ }^{1}$
Erles, cherles, alle at ones, Shal none knowe zoure fro oure bones.' pe lorde lestenede je wurdes weyl
And recordede hem every deyl; ${ }^{1} \quad{ }^{1}$ bit;
No more to hym wulde he seye,
But lete hym go furpe hys weye;
He seyde pe bestys shulde no more
By hys wyl come pore. ${ }^{m} \quad \mathrm{~m}$ there
Sepen ${ }^{\text {n }}$ he closede pe cherchezerde so
pat no best myzt come parto.
For to ete ne fyle per ynne,
So pogt hym sepen pat hyt was synne.
pyr are but fewe lordes now
引at turne a wrde so wel to prow; ${ }^{\circ}$ oadvantage
But who seyp hem any skylle, ${ }^{p} \quad \mathrm{p}$ wisdom
Mysseye azen ${ }^{q}$ fouly pey wylle.
Lordynges, pyr are ynow of po ; ${ }^{\text {r }}$
Of gentyl men, byr are but fo. ${ }^{2}$
Page 3.
To alle Crystyn men undir sunne,
And to gode men of Brunne, And speciali alle bi name De felaushepe of Symprynghame, Roberd of Brunne gretep zow
In al godenesse pat may to prow. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ advantage
q abuse in turn
$r$ those
s few

Of Brymwake yn Kestevene,
Syxe myle besyde Sympryngham evene
Y dwellede yn pe pryorye
Fyftene zere yn companye.

[^92]Dane Felyp was mayster pat tyme
pat y began pys Englyssh ryme.
De yeres of grace fyl ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ pan to be $\quad \mathrm{b}$ fell
A pousynd and pre hundrede and pre.
In pat tyme turnede y pys
On Englysshe tunge out of Frankys,
Of a boke as y fonde ynne;
Men clepyn pe boke 'Handlyng Synne.'

## NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE.

> (A.d. 1338.)

Now of kyng Robin salle I zit speke more, \& his broper Tomlyn, Thomas als it wore, \& of Sir Alisandere, pat me rewes sore, pat bope com in skandere, for dedes pei did pore. Of arte he had pe maistrik, he mad a corven kyng In Cantebrige to pe clergie, or his broper were kyng.
Sijen was never non of arte so pat sped, Ne bifore bot on, pat in Cantebrigge red. Robert mad his fest, for he was pore pat tyme, \& he sauh alle je gest, pat wrote \& mad pis ryme. Sir Alisander was hie dene of Glascow, \& his broper Thomas zed spiand ay bi throw, Where our Inglis men ware not in clerke habite, \& non wild he spare, bot destroied also tite. porgh pe kyng Robyn pei zede pe Inglis to spie, Here now of per fyn pam com for pat folie. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Hearne's Langtoft's Chronicle, ii. 336. The lines were written by Manning, some thirty years after his Handlyng Synne, at a time when he lived further to the North. The Northern dialect is most apparent. We here read of his getting a glimpse of the Bruce family at Cambridge, about the year 1300 or earlier.

YORKSHIRE.
(About A.D. 1340.)
HAMPOLE.
Đan waxes his hert hard and hevy, And his heved feble and dysy;
Đan waxes his gast seke and sare,
And his face rouncles, ay mare and mare;
His mynde es short when he oght thynkes,
His nese ofte droppes, his hand stynkes,
His sight wax dym, pat he has,
His bax waxes croked; stoupand he gas;
Fyngers and taes, fote and hande,
Alle his touches er tremblande.
His werkes for-worthes that he bygynnes;
His hare moutes, his eghen rynnes;
His eres waxes deef, and hard to here,
His tung fayles, his speche is noght clere;
His mouthe slavers, his tethe rotes, His wyttes fayles, and he ofte dotes;
He is lyghtly wrath, and waxes fraward, Bot to turne hym fra wrethe it es hard. ${ }^{1}$

## DURHAM.

(About A.D. 1320.$)$
METRICAL HOMILIES.
A tal of this fest haf I herd, Hougat it of a widou ferd, That lufd our Lefdi sa welle, That scho gert mac hir a chapele;
And ilke day deuotely,
Herd scho messe of our Lefdye.
Fel auntour that hir prest was gan His erand, and messe haved scho nan,
${ }^{1}$ Morris, Specimens of Early English, p. 172. This poom should be compared with the Northern Psalter, at page 145 of my work.

And com this Candelmesse feste. And scho wald haf als wif honeste Hir messe, and for scho moht get nan, Scho was a ful sorful womman. In hir chapele scho mad prayer, And fel on slep bifor the auter, And als scho lay on slep, hir thoht That scho in til a kyre was broht, And saw com gret compaynye Of fair maidenes wit a lefedye, And al thai sette on raw ful rathe, And ald men and yong bathe. ${ }^{1}$

## LANCASHIRE.

(About A.D. 1340.)
SIR GAWAYNE.
'Where schulde I wale pe,' quoth Gauan, 'where is py place?
I wot never where pou wonyes, by hym pat me wrozt,
Ne I know not pe, knyzt, py cort, ne pi name.
Bot teche me truly perto, \& telle me howe pou hattes,
\& I schal ware all my wyt to wynne me peder, \& pat I swere pe for sope, \& by my seker trawep.'
' pat is innogh in nwe-zer, hit nedes no more,' Quoth pe gome in pe grene to Gawan pe hende,
' Gif I pe telle triwly, quen I pe tape have,
\& pou me smopely hatz smyten, smartly I pe teche
Of my hous, \& my home, \& myn owen nome, pen may pou frayst my fare, and forwardez holde, \& if I spende no speche, penne spedez pou pe better, For pou may leng in py londe, \& layt no fyrre, bot slokes;
Ta now by grymme tole to pe, \& let se how pou cnokez.' ' Gladly, syr, for sope,' Quoth Gawan ; his ax he strokes. ${ }^{2}$

[^93]
## SALOP.

(About A.D. 1340.)
WILLIAM AND THE WERWOLF.
Hit tidde after on a time, as tellus oure bokes, As pis bold barn his bestes blypeliche keped, pe riche emperour of Rome rod out for to hunte, In pat faire forest feipely for to telle; Wip alle his menskful meyné, pat moche was \& nobul; pan fel it hap, pat pei founde ful sone a grete bor, \& huntyng wip hound \& horn harde alle sewede;
pe emperour entred in a wey evene to attele, To have bruttenet pat bore, \& pe abaie seppen, But missely marked he is way \& so manly he rides, pat alle his wies were went, ne wist he never whider;
So ferforth fram his men, feply for to telle, Dat of horn ne of hound ne mizt he here sowne, \& boute eny living lud lefte was he one. ${ }^{1}$

## HEREFORDSHIRE.

(About A.D. 1300.)
pilke that nullep azeyn hem stonde Ichulle he habben hem in honde.

He is papejai in pyn that beteth me my bale, To trewe tortle in a tour, y telle the mi tale, He is thrustle thryven in thro that singeth in sale, The wilde laveroc ant wolc ant the wodewale, He is faucoun in friht dernest in dale, Ant with everuch a gome gladest in gale, From Weye he is wisist into Wyrhale, Hire nome is in a note of the nyhtegale.

In a note is hire nome, nempneth hit non, Whose ryht redeth roune to Johon. ${ }^{2}$

[^94]
## GLOUCESTERSHIRE.

(About A.D. 1300.)
pus come, lo! Engelond into Normannes honde. And pe Normans ne coupe speke po bote her owe speche, And speke French as dude atom, and here chyldren dude also teche.
So pat heymen of bys lond, pat of her blod come,
Holdep alle pulke speche, pat hii of hem nome.
Vor bote a man coupe French, me tolp of hym wel lute.
Ac lowe men holdep to Englyss, and to her kunde speche zute.
Ich wene per ne be man in worid countreyes none,
Dat ne holdep to her kunde speche, bote Engelond one.
Ac wel me wot vorto conne bothe wel yt ys,
Vor je more pat a man con, pe more worp he ys. ${ }^{1}$

## THE ENGLISH PALE IN IRELAND.

(About A.D. 1310.)
Jhesu, king of heven fre, Ever i-blessid mot thou be! Loverd, I besech the, to me thou tak hede, From dedlich sinne thou zem me, while I libbe on lede; The maid fre, that bere the so swetlich under wede, Do us to se the Trinité, al we habbeth nede.
where the writer of this poem is proved to be a Herefordshire man. He here mentions the Wye. He in this piece stands for he (illa). The two detached lines at the beginning come from the version of the Harrowing of Hell, in the same manuscript.
${ }^{1}$ Hearne's Robert of Gloucester, I. 364.

This sang wrozt a frere, Jhesu Crist be is socure! Loverd, bring him to the toure ! frere Michel Kyldare;
Schild him fram helle boure, Whan he sal hen fare!
Levedi, flur of al honur, cast awei is care ;
Fram the schoure of pinis sure thou sild him her and thare! Amen. ${ }^{1}$

SOMERSETSHIRE (?)
(About A.D. 1300.)
Wharfore ich and Annas
To-fonge Jhesus of Judas, vor thrytty panes to paye.
We were wel faste to helle $y$-wronge,
Vor hym that for zou was y-stonge, in rode a Godefridaye.

Man, at fullozt, as chabbe yrad, Thy saule ys Godes hous y-mad, and tar ys wassche al clene. Ac after fullouzt thoruz fulthe of synne, Sone is mad wel hory wythinne, alday hit is $y$-sene. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Reliquice Antique, II. 193. From the Southern dialect of this piece, we might readily gather, even if history did not help us, that, the early English settlers in Ireland came, not from Chester, but from Bristol and from ports near Bristol. The Wexford dialect is said to be very like that of Somerset and Dorset.
${ }^{2}$ Do., p. 242. The chabbe (ich habbe) reminds us of Edgar's dialect in Lear, and of the Somersetshire ballads in Percy's Reliques. The word bad (malus) occurs in this piece, which made its first appearance in Robert of Gloucester : it is also found in the Handlyng Synne.

## OXFORDSHIRE.

(About A.D. 1340.)
That is fro old Hensislade ofre the cliff into stony londy wey; fro the wey into the long lowe; fro the lowe into the Port-strete; fro the strete into Charewell; so aftir strem til it shutt eft into Hensislade-De Bolles, Couele, et Hedyndon. Thare beth hide londeymere into Couelee. Fro Charwell brigge andlong the streme on that rithe. . . . This privilege was idith in Hedington . . . . myn owne mynster in Oxenford. There seint Frideswide . . . . alle that fredome that any fre mynstre frelubest . . . . mid sake and mid socna, mid tol and mid teme . . . . and in felde and alle other thinge and ryth that y . . . . belyveth and byd us for quike and dede and . . . . alle other bennyfeyt. ${ }^{1}$

## KENT.

(a.d. 1340.)

Aye pe vondigges of pe dyeule zay pis pet volzep. ' Zuete Jesu pin holy blod pet pou sseddest ane pe rod vor me and vor mankende: Ich bidde pe hit by my sseld avoreye pe wycked vend al to mi lyves ende. zuo by hit.'
pis boc is Dan Michelis of Northgate y-write an Englis of his ozene hand, pet hatte: Ayenbite of inwyt. And

[^95]is of pe boc-house of saynt Austines of Canterberi, mid pe lettres: C:C:

Holy archanle Michael.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { M. C. C. } & \text { Saynt Gabriel and Raphael. } \\
\text { Ye brenge me to po castel. } \\
\text { per alle zaulen vare] wel. }
\end{array}
$$

Lhord Jhesu almizti kyng. jet madest and lokest alle pyng. Me pet am ji makyng. to pine blisse me pou bryng. Amen.

Blind and dyaf and alsuo domb. Of zeventy yer al vol rond. Ne ssolle by draze to je grond. Vor peny vor Mark ne vor pond. ${ }^{1}$

## MIDDLESEX.

(1.d. 1307.)

Of Syr Edward oure derworth kyng,
Iche mette of him anothere faire metyng.
Me thought he rood upon an asse,
And that ich take God to witnesse;
Ywonden he was in a mantell gray,
Toward Rome he nom his way,
Upon his hevede sate a gray hure,
It semed him wel a mesure.
Into a chapel I cum of ure lefdy, Jhe Crist her leve son stod by,
On rod he was an loveliche mon,
Als thilk that on rode was don.
He unneled his honden two.
Whoso wil speke myd me Adam the marchal
In Stretforde Bowe he is yknown and over al.

[^96]Iche ne schewe nouzt this for to have mede, Bot for God almiztties drede. ${ }^{1}$

BEDFORDSHIRE (?).
(About A.D. 1340.)
Godys sone pat was so fre, Into fis world he cam, And let hym naylyn upon a tre, Al for pe love of man; His fayre blod pat was so fre, Out of his body it ran, A dwelful syzte it was to se; His body heng blak and wan, Wip an O and an I .

His coroune was mad of jorn And prikkede into his panne, Bothe by hinde and a-forn ; To a piler y-bowndyn Jhesu was swipe sore, And suffrede many a wownde pat scharp and betere wore. He hadde us evere in mynde, In al his harde prowe, And we ben so unkynde, We nelyn hym nat yknowe, Wip an O and an I. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Warton, History of English Poetry, II. 2. This London dialect was to be somewhat altered before the time of Mandeville and Chaucer. The thilk (ille) held its ground in this city for 140 years longer. Compare this piece with the older London poem at page 134 of mywork.
${ }^{2}$ Legends of the Holy Rood (Early English Text Society, p. 150). This piece seems to me to be the link between Manning's Handlyng Synne and Mandeville's Travels sixty years later. It has forms akin to both, and seems to hare been compiled half-way between Rutland and Middlesex.

We see what wild anarchy of speech was raging throughout the length and breadth of England in the first half of the Fourteenth Century; and this anarchy had lasted more than two hundred years. But at the same time we plainly see that the dialect of the shires nearest to Rutland was the dialect to which our own classic speech of 1873 is most akin, and that Robert of Brunne in 1303 was leading the way to something new. In a later chapter we shall weigh the causes that led to the triumph of Robert's dialect, though this triumph was not thoroughly achieved until a hundred and sixty years after he began his great work. Strange it is that Dante should have been compiling his Inferno, which settled the course of Italian literature for ever, in the self-same years that Robert of Brunne was compiling the earliest pattern of well-formed New English. Had King Henry VIII. known what we owe to this bard, the Lincolnshire men would not have been rated in 1536 as follows: 'How presumptuous are ye, the rude commons of one shire, and that one of the most brute and beastly of the whole realm, and of least experience!'

## TABLE I.

Words, akin to the Dutch and German, first found in England in the Fourteenth Century.

| Bark (cortex) | Botch | Cog (scapha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blear | Botch <br> Blister | Bumer (bombizare) |
| Collier | Coot (mergus) |  |
| Blubber | Cum | Cuan |
| Blunder | Cnop, knob | Crouch |


| Damp | Marl | Slobber |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Drone (the verb) | Mumble | Slender |
| Duck | Mop | Slight |
| Fester | Moss | Sluttish |
| Flap | Moult | Snort |
| Flecked | Mud | Spout |
| Flitter | Notch | Stale |
| Flush | Pamper | Stem (sistere) |
| Freight | Patch | Stew (vivarium) |
| Gossamer | Peer | Struggle |
| Grasp | Plot | Tallow |
| Grunt, | Poke | Tawny |
| Gulp | Polecat | Tattered |
| Handsome | Pond | Tickle |
| Hinge | Puddle | Tinkle |
| Howl | Rabble | Tittle |
| Humble-bee, | Rack | Toter |
| Hurry | Rash | Tramp |
| Hush | Rat | Trample |
| Husk | Rumble | Troll |
| Hut | Rush | Tub |
| Jog | Satchel | Twitter |
| Lane | Scoop | Waist |
| Lash | Scum | Wattle |
| Lisp | Shock (quatere) | Waver |
| Loadstar | Shock (acervus) | Whirl |
| Loiter | Shore (fulcire) | Wimble |
| Loll | Seer | Wrap |
| Lull | Sidelong |  |

Scandinavian Words, first found in England in the Fourteenth Century.

| Blab | Bustle | Clumsy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bole (truncus) | Calf (sura) | Dairy |
| Bow (cortina proræ) | Crash | Dapple |
| Boot | Cucking-stool | Dowdy |
| Bracken | Cuff (manica) | Down (pluma) |
| Brag | Chime | Dump |

Fell (mons)
Flake
Flat
Froth
Gall (vulnus)
Gasp
Gill (fauces)
Glimmer
Glum
Haberdasher
Happy
Leap year

Looby
Lubber
Lug (trahere)
Mistake
Odd
Pebble
Pikestaff
Rate (vituperare)
Reef
Rugged
Shout
Skirt

Slant
Spar
Squeal
Stagger
Sway (flectere)
Tarn
Throb
Tike
Trill
Trip
Windlass ${ }^{1}$ Wrangle

Celtic words, first found in English in the Fourteenth Century.

Basket
Bodkin
Boisterous
Cobbler
Crag.
Daub

Drudge
Gown
Kick
Peck (a measure)
Pour
Rail (a fence)

Rub
Spigot
Spike
Strumpet
Tinker
Whin ${ }^{2}$

## TABLE II.

Words, akin to the Dutch and German, first found in England in the Fifteenth Century.

Block
Blow (plaga)
Brick

Bud
Bulwark
Clammy

Cork
Croon
Chap (scindere)
${ }^{1}$ The old word was windass, and $l$ is inserted; $r$ is the favourite insertion in English.
${ }^{2}$ Of course, it is hopeless to attempt to give the French words first used in England in this century; they would fill many pages.
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Daw
Fledge
Flue
Gag.
Glower
Halloo
Jagged
Ledge
Lint
Lncker
Lump
Lush (laxus)
Mash
Measles

Mellow
Mole
Nag
Nightmare
Nip
Noddle
Parch
Pickle
Pip
Plump
Prank
Prawn
Pretty

Prop
Quill
Rabbit
Rattle
Shallow
Shrug
Sink (latrina)
Sod
Spawn
Starch
Streamer
Stripe
Tan

Scandinavian words, first found in England in the Fifteenth Century.

| Bulk | Luck | Rump |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Butt (meta) | Offal | Scant |
| Dapper | Peg | Smatter |
| Fleet (volitare) | Prong | Spud |
| Fry (semen) | Queasy | Steep (infundere) |
| Harsh | Ram (premere) | Wheeze |
| Hassock | Roach | Wicker |

## CHAPTER IV.

THE INROAD OF FRENCH WORDS INTO ENGLAND.
The nearer we approach 1303, the more numerous become the French words, upon which the right of English citizenship was being bestowed. In the Thirteenth Century the greatest change that ever revolutionised our tongue was made. A baleful Century it was, when we look to English philology; though a right noble Centary, in its bearing on English politics and English architecture. The last word suggests a comparison: if we may liken our language to a fine stone building, we shall find that in that wondrous age a seventh part of the good old masonry was thrown down, as if by an earthquake, and was withdrawn from mortal ken. The breach was by slow degrees made good with bricks, meaner ware borrowed from France; and since those times, the work of destruction and reparation has gone on, though to a lesser extent than before. We may put up with the building as it now stands, but we cannot help sighing when we think of what we have lost.

Of old, no country was more thoroughly national than England: of all Teutonic lands she alone set down her annals, year after year, in her own tongue ; and this went on for three Centuries after Alfred began to reign. But
the grim year 1066, the weightiest year that England has seen for the last twelve centuries, has left its mark deeply graven both on our history and on our speech. Every time almost that we open our lips or write a sentence, we bear witness to the mighty change wrought in England by the Norman Conqueror. Celt, Saxon, Angle, and Dane alike had to bow their heads beneath a grinding foreign yoke. It is in English poetry that we can trace the earliest change. Poetry always clings fast to old words, long after they have been dropped by prose ; and this was the case in England before the Conquest. If we take a piece of Old English prose, say the tales translated by Alfred, or $\not$ Ælfric's Homilies, or a chapter of the Bible, we shall find that we keep to this day three out of four of all the nouns, adverbs, and verbs employed by the old writer; but of the nouns, adverbs, and verbs used in any English poem, from the Beowulf to the song on Edward the Confessor's death, about half have dropped for ever. From Harold's death to John's grant of the Charter, English prose did not let many old words slip. But it was far otherwise with England's old poetic diction, which must have been artificially kept up. Of all the weighty words ${ }^{1}$ used in the Song on the Confessor's death, as nearly as possible half have dropped out of our speech. In the poems written a hundred years after the Conquest, say the rimes on

[^97]the Lord's Prayer published by Dr. Morris, the proportion of words of weight, now obsolete, is one-fifth of the whole, much as it is in English prose of that same date. ${ }^{1}$ In the poem of 1066 , nearly fifty out of a hundred of these words are clean gone; in the poem of 1170 , only twenty out of a hundred of these words cannot now be understood. I think it may be laid down, that of all the poetic words employed by English Makers, nearly one-third passed away within a hundred years of the Battle of Hastings. Henry of Huntingdon makes laughable mistakes, when he tries to turn into Latin the old English lay on Brunanburgh fight, though its words must have been in the mouths of poets only fourscore years before his time. English poetry could not thrive without patrons; and these, the Abbots and Aldermen who thronged the Winchester Court of old, had been swept away to make room for men who cared only for the speceh of Rouen and Paris. The old Standard of English died out: if chronicles were written at Peterborough, or homilies still further to the South, they were compiled in corrupt English, at which Bede or Alfred would have stared. As to English poetry, its history for one hundred years is all but a blank. Old legends of England's history, it is true, such as those that bear on Arthur or Havelok, were dressed up in verse ; but the verse was French, for thus alone could the minstrel hope that his toil would be rewarded. In 1066, England's King was praised in good ringing English lines, that may have been shouted

[^98]218 The Sources of Standard English.
by boisterous wassailers around the camp fires on the eve of Hastings; sixty years later, England's Queen was taught natural history in French verse, and was complimented therein as being ' mult bele femme, Aliz numée.' ${ }^{1}$ About a hundred years after the battle of Hastings, an English writer gave the names of the wise English teachers of old, Bede, Cuthbert, Aidan, Dunstan, and others; he then complained how woefully times were changed-new lords, new lore :
$[\mathrm{Nu}$ is] peo leore forleten.
and pet folc is forloren.
nu beop opre leoden.
jeo læ[rep] ure folc.
and feole of pen lorjeines losiæp.
and pat folc forp mid. ${ }^{2}$

The speech of the upper and lower classes in England, for two hundred years after 1066, was almost as distinct as the Arve and the Rhone are when they first meet. We see, however, that a few French words very early found their way into English. A shrewd observer long ago told us how ox, sheep, and swine came to be called beef, mutton, and porli, when smoking on the board. Treading in his steps, I venture to guess how our bluff forefathers began their studies in the French tongue. We may imagine a cavalcade of the new aristocracy of England, ladies and knights, men who perhaps fought at Hastings in their youth; these alight from their steeds at the door of one of the churches,

[^99]that have lately arisen throughout the land in a style unknown to Earl Godwine. The riders are accosted by a crowd of beggars and bedesmen, who put forth all their little stock of French: 'Lady Countess, clad in ermine and sabeline, look from your palfrey. Be large of your treasure to the poor and feeble; of your charity bestow your riches on us rather than on jogelours. We will put up our orisons for you, after the manere and custom of our religion. For Christ's passion, ease our poverty in some measure; that is the best penance, as your chaplain in his sermon says. By all the Confessors, Patriarchs, and Virgins, show us mercy.' Another speech would run thus: 'Worthy Baron, you have honour at Court; speak for my son in prison. Let him have justice; he is no robber or lecher. The sergeants took him in the market; these catchpoles have wrought him sore miseise. So may Christ accord you peace at the day of livreison!' Not one of these forty French words were in Euglish use before the battle of Hastings ; but we find every one of them set down in writing within a century after that date, so common had they then become in English mouths. ${ }^{1}$ Those of the needy, who knew but little French, must have learnt at least how to bawl for justice, charity, mercy, on seeing their betters. The first letter of the word justice shows that a new French sound was taking root in England. The words Emperice and mercy, used in these times, brought in new hissing sounds; the $s$ in English came already quite often enough.

[^100]In the Homilies of 1160 we trace a new change. Foreign proper names had hitherto unbendingly maintained their Latin form in England. They were now being corrupted, owing to French influence; at pages 47 and 49 we find mention of Jeremie and Seint Gregori. At page 9 we see both the old form folc of Iudeus and the new form je Givis (Jews). Maria and Jacobus now become Marie and Jame. French words were being brought in most needlessly ; thus we read at page 51, 'crabbe is an manere (kind) of fissce.'

In the Essex Homilies, the French is seen elbowing out the Latin from proper names. Andreas and Mattheus become Andreu and Matheu: this eu we English could never frame our mouths to pronounce aright. What was of old written leo is turned into leun (lion) ; celmesse into almes ; marma into marbelstone (page 145). We find pay, mend, blame, and wait : these four are perhaps the French verbs that now come oftenest into our common use. Deciple replaces the old learning linight. An intruding letter is seen in z, (mazere is found at page 163). This z did not become common in England for nearly three hundred years. ${ }^{1}$ Layamon wrote his long poem the Brut about 1205 ; but, though this was mainly a translation from the French, he seldom employs a French word, and hardly ever without good reason. Orrmin is still more of an Englishman in his scorn for outlandish words. About this time, the days of King John, one-fifth of the weighty words in a passage are such as have become obsolete in our day. Under John's grandson, this pro-

[^101]portion was to be woefully altered. The only thing that could have kept up a purely Teutonic speech in England would have been some version of the Bible, a standard of the best English of the year 1200. But this was not to be ; Pope Innocent III. and his Prelates had no mind to furnish laymen with weapons that might be so easily turned against the Church. We have missed much; had Orrmin given us a good version of the Scriptures, our tongue would have had all the flexibility of the New English, and would have kept the power of compounding words out of its own stores, the power that belonged to the Old English.

The Ancren Riwle, written about 1220, is the forerunner of a wondrous change in our speech. The proportion of Old English words, now obsolete, is therein much the same as it is in the writings of Orrmin and Layamon. But the new work swarms with French words, brought in most needlessly. What could we want with such terms as cuntinuelement, Deuleset (God knows), belami, misericorde, and cogitaciun? The author is even barbarous enough to give us the French sulement, where we should now write only. I set down a short sample, underlining the foreign words. 'Heo weren itented, and puruh pe tentaciuns ipreoved to treowe champiuns, and so mid rihte ofserveden kempene crune.' ${ }^{1}$ Many a word, embodied in the English Bible and Prayer Book three hundred years later, is now found for the first time in our tongue. These words were accented in the

[^102]French way, on the last French syllable; the usage held its ground for four hundred years. ${ }^{1}$ Indeed, it still rules us when we pronounce urbane and divine. A new vowel sound now first made itself heard in England; we find in the Ancren Riwle words like joie, noise, and despoil. This French invader was in process of time to drive the old English pronunciation of home-born words out of polite society; our lower classes indeed may sound bŷle (pustula) as our forefathers did, but our upper classes must call it boil. ${ }^{2}$ A well-known French name is seen as 'Willam ' (p. 340), and it is still often pronounced 'Willum.' We find alas for the first time : this is said to be a compound of the English eala and the French hélas ; alack was to come later. The author of the Ancren Riwle foreshadows the inroad that French was to make even into the English Paternoster; in page 26 he translates, 'dimitte nobis debita nostra,' by 'forgif us ure dettes, al so ase we vorziveठ to ure detturs.' He uses the word mesire, where we should say Sir; Salimbene, who was born in Italy about the time that the Ancren Riwle was compiled, tells us that the Pope was always addressed by the Romans as, 'Tu, Messer ;' and that the Emperor Frederick II. received the same title from his Southern Italians. When we find the word cruelte, we see at once that England has often preserved French words in a more uncorrupt shape than France herself has done. ${ }^{3}$

[^103]We must turn to page 316 , if we would know the source of 'to make a fool of myself;' we there find, 'ich habbe ibeon fol of me sulven' (concerning myself). In page 46 we find mention of 'a large creoiz ; ' this shows that the adjective was getting the meaning of magnus as well as of prodigus. The French creoiz was not to drive out the Danish leross; though the English rood was unhappily to vanish almost entirely. Many technical words of religion come in, such as silence and wardein; at page 42 we see the stages in the derivation of a wellknown word, antiphona, antempne, antefne; anthem was to come later. At page 192 may be found the phrase gentile wummen. ${ }^{1}$ We light upon spitel (hospital) and mester, afterwards corrupted into mystery, a confusion with the Greek word. At page 202 we see the source of 'he is but a poor creature ;' for the term cowardice is there said to embrace the poure iheorted. The old French garser (page 258) supplied us with the word garses, that is, gashes. The old English caser (Cæsar) was altered into kaiser, a word lately brought to life again in our land by Mr. Carlyle. The letters ea had taken such fast root in the West, that even French words had to suit themselves to this peculiarly English combination; in page 58 we find our well-known beast. We light upon the source of our Jewry, as Judæa is sometimes translated in our Bible, when we read at page 394 that God 'leide himsulf vor us ine Giwerie.' The first letter, a sound borrowed from France, shows us how we came to soften the old brig into bridge. At page 44 we

[^104]see the French crier beginning to drive out the old English gridan. These kindred words are often found alongside each other in this Century; and unhappily it is usually the French one that has held its ground. It is now and then hard to tell whether some of our commonest words are home-born or of French growth, so great is the confusion between the Teutonic words brought to the Thames by Hengist, and the kindred words brought to the Seine by Clovis and afterwards borne across the channel by William the Conqueror. The kinsmanship in meaning and sound must have bespoken a welcome in England for these French strangers that follow.

| Old English | French | Old English | French |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acofrian | . Recourrir | Heard . | Hardi |
| Astundian. | Estonner | Hasti | Hastif |
| Abeatan | Abattre | Hereberg. | Herbier |
| Alecgan | Aloyer | Hurlen | Hareler |
| Ange | Anguisse | Yrre. | Ire |
| Bigalian | Guiler | Lafian | Laver |
| Biwrezen | Bitraie | Laga | Lei |
| Brysan . | Briser | Lagu | Lac |
| Cempa . | Champioun | Line. <br> Logian ${ }^{1}$. | Ligne <br> Loger |
| Ceosan . | Choisir | Miơla |  |
| Dare才 | Dard | landic) | Mesler |
| Eap . | Eise | Nefe. | Neveu |
| Feorme. | Ferme | Flatr |  |
| Feorren. | Forain | landic) | Plat |
| Frakele. | Fraile | Priss |  |
| Fylan | . Defouler | landic) | Pris |
| Geard | . Gardin | Ric . | Riche |
| Gote . | - Gouttière | Rypere . | Robeor |
| Wise | Guise | Solian | Soillier |
| Gesamnian. | Assembler | Spendan | Despender |


| Old English | French |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sta才ol | Estable |
| Strio ${ }^{1}$ | . Estrif |
| Teld. | Tent |
| Trahtnian | Traiter |


| Old English | French <br> Weardan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Westan . . Guarder |  |

If it be true, as some tell us, that the mingling of the Teutonic and the Romance in our tongue make 'a happy marriage,' we see in the author of the Ancren Riwle the man who first gave out the banns. ${ }^{2}$ He was, it would seem, a Bishop, well-grounded in all the lore that Paris or Rome could teach ; and he strikes us as rather too fond of airing his French and Latin before the good ladies, on whose behalf he was writing. For sixty years or so no Englishman was bold enough to imitate the Prelate's style, at least, in a book. Those who weigh English authors of this age will find that, if we divide the Thirteenth Century into three equal parts, the first division will take in writers who have eight or ten obsolete English words out of fifty ; the writers of the middle division have from five to seven obsolete English words out of fifty; and the writers of the last division have only three or four obsolete English words out of fifty. ${ }^{3}$

[^105]Our store of homespun terms was being more and more narrowed. Compare Layamon's Brut with Robert of Gloucester's poem ; we are at once astounded at the loss in 1300 of crowds of English words, though both writers were translating the same French lines. It is much the same in the language of religion, as we see by comparing the Ancren Riwle with the Kentish sermons of 1290, published by Dr. Morris. ${ }^{1}$ Now comes the question, what was the cause of the havock wrought in our store of good old English at this particular time? One-seventh of the Teutonic words used here in 1200 seems to have altogether dropped out of written composition by the year 1290: about this fact there can be no dispute. In the lifetime of Henry III., far more harm was done to our speech than in the six hundred years that have followed his death. I shall now try to answer the question just asked; I write with some diffidence, since I believe that I am the first to bring forward the forthcoming explanation. I draw my bow ; it is for others to say if I hit the mark.

Few of us have an idea of the wonderful change brought about in Latin Christendom by the teaching of St. Francis. Two Minorite friars of his Century, the one living in Italy, the other in England, give us a fair notion of the work done by the new Brotherhood, when it first began to run its race. Thomas of Eccleston and Salimbene ${ }^{2}$ throw a stronger light upon its

[^106]budding life than do all the documents published by the learned Wadding in his Annals of the Minorites. Italy may clain the Founder; but England may boast that she carried out his work, at least for fourscore years after his death, better than any other land in Christendom. She gave him his worthiest disciples ; the great English Franciscans, Alexander de Hales, Adam de Marisco, Roger Bacon, Duns Scotus, and Occam, were unequalled by any of their brethren abroad, with the two exceptions of Buonaventura and Lulli. Some of these men sought the mainland, while others taught in their school at Oxford: under the new guidance the rising University shot up with giant's growth, and speedily outdid her old rival on the Seine. The great Robert himself (he was not as yet known as Lincolniensis) lectured before the brethren at Oxford. English friars, being patterns of holiness, were held in the highest esteem abroad ; when reading Salimbene's work, we meet them in all kinds of unlikely places throughout Italy and France: they crowded over the sea to hear their great countryman Hales at Paris, or to take a leading part in the Chapters held at Rome and Assisi. The gift of wisdom, we are told, overflowed in the English province.

It was a many-sided Brotherhood, being always in contact with the learned, with the wealthy, and with the needy alike. The English Friar was equally at home in the school, in the bower, in the hovel. He could speak more than one tongue, thanks to the training bestowed upon him. We may imagine his every-day life: he spends his morning in drawing up a Latin letter to be
sent to the General Minister at Oxford or Paris, and he writes much as Adam de Marisco did. The friar of this age has no need to fear the tongue of scandal ; so in the afternoon he visits the Lady of the Castle, whose dearest wish is that she may atone for the little weaknesses of life by laying her bones in the nearest Franciscan Church, mean and lowly though it be in these early days. He tells her the last news of Queen Eleanor's Court, points a moral with one of the new Lays of Marie, and lifts up his voice against the sad freaks played by fashion in ladies' dress. Their talk is of course in French ; but the friar, haring studied at Paris, remarks to himself that his fair friend's speech sounds somewhat provincial ; and more than a hundred years later we are to hear of the school of Stratford atte Bowe. In the evening, he goes to the neighbouring hamlet, and holds forth on the green to a throng of horny-handed churls, stalwart swinkers and toilers, men who earn their daily bread by the sweat of their brows. They greedily listen when addressed in the uncouth English of their shire, English barely understood fifty miles off. Such burning words they never hear from their parish-priest, one of the old school. The friar's sermon is full of proverbs, tales, and historical examples, all tending to the improvement of morals. ${ }^{1}$

A new link, as we see, was thus forged to bind all classes together in godly fellowship; nothing like this

[^107]Franciscan movement had been known in our island for six hundred years. The Old was being replaced by the New; a preacher would suit his tales to his listeners : they cared not to hear about hinds or husbandmen, but about their betters. ${ }^{1}$ He would therefore talk about ladies, knights, or statesmen ; and when discoursing about these, he must have been almost driven to interlard his English with a few French words, such as were constantly employed by his friends of the higher class. As a man of learning, he would begin to look down upon the phrases of his childhood as somewhat coarse, and his lowly hearers rather liked a term now and then that was a little above their understanding: what is called 'fine language' has unhappily always had charms for most Englishmen. It would be relished by burghers even more than by peasants. The preacher may sometimes have translated for his flock's behoof, talking of ' grith or pais, rood or croiz, steven or voiz, lof or praise, swikeldom or tricherie, stead or place.' ${ }^{2}$ As
${ }^{1}$ Our humbler classes now prefer the fictitious adventures of some wicked Marquis to all the sayings and doings of Mrs. Gamp or Mrs. Poyser.

2 I take the following sketch from Middlemarch, III. 156 (published in 1872):-
' Mr. Trumbull, the auctioneer . . . was an amateur of superior phrases, and never used poor language without immediately correcting himself. "Anybody may ask," says he, " anybody may interrogate. Any one may give their remarks an interrogative turn." He calls Ivanhoe "a rery superior publication, it commences well." Things nerer began with Mr. Trumbull; they always commenced, both in private life and on his handbills, "I hope some one will tell me-I hope some individual will apprise me of the fact."'

Many of our early Franciscans must have been akin to Mr. Trumbull. Our modern penny-a-liners would say that the worthy
years went on, and as men more and more aped their betters, the French words would drive out the Old English words; and the latter class would linger only in the mouths of upland folk, where a keen antiquary may find some of them still. So mighty was the spell at work, that in the Fourteenth Century French words found their way into even the Lord's Prayer and the Belief ; the last strongholds, it might be thought, of pure English. It was one of the signs of the times that the old boda made way for the new prechur ; ${ }^{1}$ prayer and praise both come from France.

But the influence of the friars upon our speech was not altogether for evil. St. Francis, it is well known, was one of the first fathers of the New Italian; a friar of his Order, Thomas of Hales, wrote what seems to me the best poem of two hundred lines produced in English before Chaucer. ${ }^{2}$ This 'Luve ron,' addressed to a nun about 1250 , shows a hearty earnestness, a flowing diction, and a wonderful command of rime ; it has not a score of lines (these bear too hard on wedlock) that might not have been written by a pious Protestant. Hardly any French words are found here, but the names of a string of jewels. English poets had hitherto made but little use of the Virgin Mary as a theme. But her worship was one of the great badges of the Franauctioneer was a master of English, and a better guide to follow than Bunyan or Defoe.
${ }^{1}$ How often does the word predicai (prædicavi) occur in the journal of the Franciscan, who afterwards became Sixtus V.!
${ }^{2}$ Old English Miscellany, p. 93 (Early English Text Society). Dr. Morris thinks that the friar wrote in Latin, which was afterwards Englished.
ciscan Order ; and from 1220 onward she inspired many an English Maker. However wrong it might be theologically, the new devotion was the most poetical of all rites; the dullest monk is kindled with unwonted fire, when he sets forth the glories of the Maiden Mother. To her Chaucer and Dunbar have offered some of their most glowing verse.

The first token of the change in English is the everwaxing distaste for words compounded with prepositions. After 1220, these compounds become more and more scarce, though we have kept to this day some verbs which have fore, out, over, and under prefixed; those beginning with to (the German zer) lived on for a long time before waning away. We have a second copy of Layamon's Brat, written, it is thought, soon after 1250. Scores of old words set down fifty years earlier in the first copy of 1205 had become strange in the ears of Englishmen ; these words are now dropped altogether. Some French words, unknown to Layamon, are found in this second copy.

We have an opportunity of comparing the old and the new school of English teachers, as they stood in the Middle of this Century. We find one poem, written shortly before 1250, about the time that Archbishop Edmund was canonized : this must have been composed by a churchman of the good old St. Albans' pattern, a preacher of righteousness after Brother Matthew's own heart. The rimer casts no wistful glance abroad, but appeals to English saints and none others; he strikes hard at Rome in a way that would have shocked good Franciscans. He is an exception to the common
rule ; for the proportion of English words, now obsolete, in his lines is as great as in those of Orrmin. ${ }^{1}$ Most different is another poem, written in a manuscript not later than 1250. The Maker may well have been a Franciscan ; he pours out his wrath on priests' wives and on parsons; he handles the sins of Jankin and Malkin in most homely wise. He has some French words that he need not have employed, such as sire and dame instead of father and mother ; his proportion of obsolete English is far less than that which we see in the lines of his brother-poet. ${ }^{2}$ I suspect that the Ancren Riwle (it still exists in many copies) must have been a model most popular among the friars, who perhaps did much to bring into vogue the French words with which it swarms.

About the year 1290, we find Churchmen becoming more and! more French in their speech. Hundreds of good Old English words were now lost for ever, and the terms that replaced them, having been for years in the mouths of men, were at length being set down in manuscripts. The Life of a Saint (many such are extant, written at this time) was called a Vie. In that version of the Harrowing of Hell which dates from the aforesaid year, the transcriber has gone out of his way to bring in the words delay, commandment (this comes twice over), and serve : all these are crowded into five lines. ${ }^{3}$ Still more remarkable are the few and short Kentish sermons, translated from the French about the same time, $1290 .{ }^{4}$ Never were the Old and the New

[^108]brought face to face within narrower compass. We see the old Article with its three genders, se, si, pet (in Sanscrit $s a, s \hat{a}$, tat), still lingering on in Kent, though these forms had been dropped everywhere else. On the other hand, we find about seventy Freǹch words, many of which, as verray, defenden, signefiance, orgeilus, commencement, were not needed at all. When reading the short sentence, 'this is si signefiance of the miracle,' our thoughts are at one time borne back to the abode of our earliest forefathers on the Oxus; at another time we see the fine language of the Victorian penny-a-liner most clearly foreshadowed. After 1290, we hardly ever find a passage in which the English words, now obsolete, are more than one-seventeenth of the whole ; ${ }^{1}$ the only exception is in the case of some Alliterative poem. This fact gives us some idea of the havock wrought in the Thirteenth Century.

But the friars of old did not confine themselves to preaching; all the lore of the day was lodged in their hands. Roger Bacon's life sets before us the bold way in which some of them pried into the secrets of Nature. One of the means by which they drew to themselves the love of the common folk was the practice of leechcraft; in the friars the leper found his only friends. The best scientific English treatise of the time of Edward the First is ' the Pit of Hell,' printed by Mr. Wright: this also deals with the shaping of the human frame. ${ }^{2}$ There are in it about 400 long lines, containing forty French

[^109]words: among them are air and round. It is strange to contrast the language of this with the obsolete English of a treatise on Astronomy, put forth three hundred years before, and printed in the same book of Mr. Wright's.

To these carly forefathers of our leechcraft we owe a further change in our tongue. There are many English words for sundry parts and functions of the human frame, words which no well-bred man can use; custom has ruled that we must employ Latin synonyms. The first example I remember of this delicacy (it ought not to be called mawkishness) is in Robert of Gloucester, writing about 1300 . When describing the tortures inflicted by King John on his subjects in 1216, and the death of the Earl Marshal on an Irish field in 1234, the old rimer uses Latin terms instead of certain English words that would jar upon our taste. ${ }^{1}$ But a leech who flourished eighty years after Robert's time is far more plain-spoken, when describing his cures, made at Newark and London. ${ }^{2}$ Indeed, he is as little mealy-mouthed as Orrmin himself. It was not, however,
${ }^{1}$ On this head there is a great difference between Germany and England. Teutonic words that no well-bred Englishman could use before a woman may be printed by grave German historians. See Von Raumer's account of the siege of Viterbo in 1243, Geschichte der Hohenstaufen. Of course I know that this does not prove Germans to be one whit more indelicate than Englishmen; custom is everything.
${ }^{2}$ John Arderne's Account of himself, Reliquia Antiqua, I. 191. Charles II. was the best bred Englishman of his time, yet he writes to his sister:-'Poor O'Nial died this afternoon of an ulcer in his guts.'-Curry's Civil Wars in Ireland, I. 308. So swiftly does fashion change!
until very late times that perspiration replaced in polite speech the English word akin to the Sanscrit swêda, or that belly was thought to be coarser than stomach.

Architecture was another craft in which the clergy took the lead; Alan de Walsingham by no means stood alone. ${ }^{1}$ English words were well enough, when a cot or a farm-house was in hand; but for the building of a Castle or a Cathedral, scores of French technical words had to be called in: at Canterbury, William the Englishman doubtless employed much the same diction as his predecessor, William of Sens. Indeed, the new style of building, brought from France more than a hundred years before the time of these worthies, must have unfolded many a new term of art to King Edward's masons at Westminster. In our own day, the great revival of Architecture has led to a wonderful enlargement of diction among the common folk; every working mason now has in his mouth scores of words for the meaning of which learned men forty years ago would have searched in dictionaries.

The Preacher in his religious or secular character was not the only importer of French words. We must now consider three other agents who helped forward the great change-the Lady, the Knight, and the Lawyer.

Paris and Rouen were the oracles of the fair sex. These cities supplied articles of dress, wherewith the ladies decked themselves so gaily as to draw down the

[^110]wrath of the pulpit. One preacher of 1160 goes so far as to call smart clothing 'the Devil's mousetrap; yellow raiment and blanchet (a way of whitening the skin) seem to have been reckoned the most dangerous of snares to womankind, and therefore also to mankind. ${ }^{1}$ In the Essex Homilies an onslaught is made upon the Priest's wife and her dress; we hear of 'hire chemise smal and hwit, hire mentel grene, hire nap of mazere.' ${ }^{2}$ The Ancren Riwle does not dwell on this topic of dress so much as might have been expected; only a few French articles are there mentioned. A little later, the high-bred dames are thus assailed:
> peos prude levedies yat luryej) drywories

> And brekej spusynge,
> For heore lecherye,
> Nullep here sermonye
> Of none gode jinge. ${ }^{3}$

In the days of Edward I., we find scores of French words, bearing on ladies' way of life, employed by our writers. Many were the articles of luxury that came from abroad; commerce was binding the nations of Christendom together. The English chapman and monger now withdrew into low life, making way for the more gentlemanly foreigner, the marchand. Half of our trades bear French names; simple hues like red and blue do well enough for the common folk, but our higher classes must have a greater range of choice; hence come the foreign scarlet, vermilion, orange, and others.

[^111]The Knight had three great pleasures-war, hunting, and cookery. He at first lived much apart from the mass of Englishmen ; but the mighty struggle of the Thirteenth Century knit fast together the speakers of French and of English, the high and the low. One of the first tokens of this union is the Ballad on Lewes fight; it may have been written by some Londoner, who uses a few French words, such as might have been picked up in the great Earl Simon's tent. Six years earlier, the Reformed Government had thought it worth while to publish King Henry's adhesion to the new system, in English, as well as in French and Latin. In the reign of Henry's son, the work of amalgamation went on at full speed. From this time dates the revival of the glories of England's host, which has seldom since allowed thirty years to pass without some doughty deed of arms, achieved beyond our borders; for there were but few quarrels at home henceforward. Now it was that a number of warlike French romances were Englished, such as the Tristrem, the Havelok, the Horn, and, above all, the renowned Alexander. ${ }^{1}$ Legends about King Arthur were most popular; the Round Table became a household word; and the adjective round grew to be so common, that it was in the end turned into a preposition, as we find in the Alexander. The word adventure, brought from

[^112]
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France, was as well known in England as in Germany. ${ }^{1}$ Our per aventure, having been built into the English Bible centuries later, is likely to last. Old Teutonic words made way for the outlandish terms glory, renown, army, host, champion. England was becoming, under her great Edward, the most united of all Christian kingdoms; the yeomen who tamed Wales and strove hard to conquer Scotland looked with respect upon the high-born circle standing next to the King. What was more, the respect was returned by the nobles: we have seen the tale of the Norfolk farmer at page 200 ; and this, I suspect, could hardly have happened out of England. France has always been the country that has given us our words for soldiering-from the word castel, brought over in 1048, to the word mitrailleuse, brought over in 1870. Englishmen of old could do little in war but sway the weighty axe or form the shield-wall under the eye of such Kings as Ironside or Godwine's son ; it was France that taught us how to ply the mangonel and trebuchet. ${ }^{2}$ Many hunting terms, borrowed from the same land, may

[^113]be found in the Sir Tristrem. Several of the French words used in cookery may be read in the Lay of Havelok, who himself served for some time as a swiller of dishes: we here find pastees, wastels, veneysun, and many other terms of the craft ; our common roast, boil, fry, broil, toast, grease, brawn, larder bear witness as to which race it was that had the control of the kitchen.

We have spoken of the Lady and the Knight; we now come to the Lawyer. ${ }^{1}$ The whole of the Government was long in the hands of the French-speaking class. Henry II., the great organiser of English law, was a thorough Frenclman, who lived in our island as little as he could ; the tribunals were in his time reformed; and the law-terms, with which Blackstone abounds (peine forte et dure, for instance), are the bequest of this age. The Roman law had been studied at Oxford even before Henry began to reign. The Legend of St. Thomas, drawn up about 1300, swarms with French words when the Constitutions of Clarendon are described; and a charter of King Athelstane's, turned into the English spoken about 1250, shows how many of our own old law terms had by that time been supplanted by foreign ware. ${ }^{2}$ Our barristers still keep the old French pronunciation of their technical word recórd; the oyez of our courts is well known.
${ }^{1}$ Those who administered the law were either churchmen or knights.
${ }^{2}$ Kemble, Cod. Dip., г. 235. We here find grantye, confirmye, and custumes. We are therefore not surprised to learn, that few or none in 1745 could explain the old English law terms in the Baron of Bradwardine's charter of 1140, 'saca et soca, et thol et theam, et infangthief et outfangthief, sive hand-habend, sive bak-barand.'

The Chronicle of Robert of Gloucester, compiled about 1300, abounds in the words of law and government borrowed from France, words that still keep their hold upon us. The Sir Tristrem, translated in the North about thirty years earlier than Robert's work, is most interesting as giving us more than 200 French terms of war, hunting, law, leechcraft, religion, and lady's dress.

The mischief was now done; we must not be hard on Colonel Hamley, or on Blackstone, or on the compilers of the Anglican Prayer Book, or on the describer of a fashionable wedding in the Morning Post, or on the chronicler of the Lord Mayor's feast, or on the Editors of the Lancet and the Builder, for dealing in shoals of foreign terms; nearly six hundred years ago it was settled that the technical diction of their respective crafts must to a great extent be couched in French or Latin. ${ }^{1}$ There were about 150 Romance words in our tongue before 1066, being mostly the names of Church furniture, foreign plants, and strange animals. About 100 more Romance words got the right of English citizenship before the year 1200. Lastly, 800 other Romance words had become common with our writers by the year 1300; and before these came in, many hundreds of good old English words had been put out of the way. Fearful was the havock done in the Thirteentl Century ; sore is our loss: but those of us

[^114]who love a Teutonic diction should blame, not Chaucer or Wickliffe, but the Franciscans of an earlier age; they, if I guess aright, were the men who wrought the great change in our store of words. The time of King Henry the Third's death is the moment when our written speech was barrenest ; a crowd of English words had already been dropped, and few French words had as yet been used by any writer of prose or poetry, except by the author of the Ancren Riwle; hitherto the outlandish words had come as single spies, henceforward they were to come in battalions. I have already touched upon the French expressions that came in about 1300, and are now so common in our mouths; such as 'he used to go.'

These strangers, long before the Norman Conquest, had been forced to take an English ending before they could be naturalized. In the Twelfth Century, some of them took English prefixes as well; we find not only a word like maisterlinges, but also bispused. In Layamon's poem of 1205 , we see our adverbial ending tacked on to a French word, as hardiliche. In the Ancren Riwle, a few years later, we find French adjectives taking the English signs of comparison, as larger and tendrust. In the last decade of the Thirteenth Century, French words were coming in amain. The Alexander (published by Weber), and Robert of Gloucester's Chronicle, both of which belong to this date, swarm with foreign terms, the bricks that were to replace our lost stone. It was now not only nouns, verbs, and adverbs that came hither from France; we see, in 'Robert's Chronicle (page 54), save used to express preeter: 'save lym and lyf.' He
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also shows us the first germ of our new word because. In page 24, he tells us that the Humber was so called, 'for pe cas jat Homber . . . per ynne adreynt was.' He has also that most curious compound pece-mele. A new idiom is found in the Life of Becket, at page 40 : 'he upe the poynte was to beo icast.' A still greater change is seen in the Alexander; the French word round, which had not taken root in England much before 1300, was used as a Preposition :

## 'This is round the mydell erd.'-Page 29.

In the Life of Becket this word takes an English prefix, and becomes around. A great change was coming over England about the year 1300, from the Severn to the Wash; the old Teutonic sources of diction had been sadly dried up and could no longer supply all her wants; Germany was to have a happier lot, at least in speech. Nothing can more clearly set forth the inroad of the French than the following sentence, which is made up of words in the every-day use of the lowest among us:
' Of course I immediately just walked quite round the second of the walls, because perhaps it might have been very weak.'

We should find it hard to change these foreign words in italics for Teutonic equivalents, without laying ourselves open to the charge of obsolete diction. England, too careless of her own wealth, has had to draw upon France even for prepositions and conjunctions. After reading such a sentence as the one above, we are less astonished to find words like face, voice, dress, flower, river, uncle, cousin, pass, touch, pray, try, glean,
which have put to flight the commonest of Teutonic words. Strange it is that these French terms should have won their way into our hovels as well as into our manor houses.

1 give a few instances of Manning's use of French words ; his lines on Confirmation show plainly how much foreign ware we owe to the clergy. He sticks pretty close to the French poem he was translating, as in page 107, une cote perece is Englished by a Fote percede ; and this gives us some idea of the number of new words that must have been brought in by translators. We see the terms verry (verus), oure (hora), prayere, anoynt, age, renoun, morsel, tryfyl, savyoure, straitly, in vein (frustra), bewte, usurer, valeu, a fair, affiynyte, sample, trespas, spyryt, revyle, moreyne (pestis), pestelens, veniaunce, hutch, tremle. It may be laid down, that in his diction this writer of 1303 has more in common with us of 1873 than he had with any English poet of 1250 .

A few other changes must be more specially pointed out. Hitherto Englishmen had talked of cristendom, but Robert (page 346) speaks of crystyanyte.

He has dropped the old word syfernes, and translates the kindred French sobreté by soberte, our sobriety.

He has both verement and verryly: the first in its foreign adverbial ending points to mind, the second in its English adverbial ending points to lic (body). In page 149 charyte stands for alms, coming from the French line, la charite luy enveia. In the same page, mycete stands for folly. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ This French word has had a most curious history in England. Nice stood for foolish down to about 1580 ; then it came to mean

In page 56 , joly stands for riotous, as is seen by the context :

> Yyf a man be of joly lyfe.

This French jolif is said to come from the Yule of the conquerors of Normandy.

In page 75 , we see the word party get its modern sense:
pys aperyng, yn my avys, Avaylede to bope partys.
In page 228, there is a piling up of French and English synonyms:

On many maner dyvers wyse.
In page 273 , en le qeor is turned into $y n$ pe chaunsel.
In page 276 , we find our county court, when he translates the French :

Seculer plai, cum est cunte.
Lay courte, or elles counte.
In page 100, escharnir is translated by scorn, the word used by Orrmin a hundred years earlier.
precise; and a hundred years ago it got the meaning of pleasing. Mrs. Thrale, in Miss Burney's Diary, is the earliest instance I can recollect of any one using nice in the last-named sense, in free everyday talk. The young lady of our time who is helped through her hoop at croquet by some deft curate, thinks to herself, ' $O$ nice creature!' These are the very words that Chaucer, in his Second Nun's Tale, puts into the mouth of St. Cecilia, when that most outspoken of maidens wishes to call the Roman governor ' a silly brute.' Nice is now applied to a sermon, to a jam tart, to a young man ; in short, to everything. The lower classes talk of 'nice weather.' We have become mere slovens in diction; the penny-a-liners now write about 'a splendid shout.'

In page 323, we see the beginning of what was to become a well-known English oath :

> 'Ye,' he seyde, 'graunte mercy.'

In page 95 , we see a sense that has been long given in England to the French word touch, 'to speak of:'

> Y touchede of bys yche lake.

In page 109, we see how liquid consonants run into each other :

What sey ze, men, of ladyys pryde, pat gone traylyng over syde?

This in the French is trainant. Thus Bononia became Bologna, and Lucera was sometimes written Nucera.

In page 229, single is opposed to unmarried; simples hom is translated by sengle linave.

In page 4, we see how in the Danelagh French words as well as English underwent clipping. The French enticer loses its first syllable; and our lower orders still use this maimed verb :
pe fende and oure fleshe tysyn us jerto.
We saw how seventy years earlier espier became spy in Suffolk.

In page 9, a French impersonal Verb appears, 'to repent him.'

In page 72, we see the unhappy French word, which has driven out the true English afeard, at least from polite speech. Fu tant affraie is there turned into he ruas a frayde. In this poem we also see the French
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peyne driving out the English pine. At page 325, we light on the old coverde (convaluit) ; and at page 222, we see the new French form, recovere. But Robert writes 'to new,' not 'to renew.'

In page 30, les tempestes cesserent is translated by tempest secede; we have long confounded the sound of $c$ with that of $s$. In page 358 , we see that our $g$ had been softened in sound, for Robert writes the word mageste (majestas). In this way brig got the sound of bridge.

In page 7, Robert translates the deable, the supposed idol of the Saracens, by maumette and termagaunt: both of these are as yet masculine in gender ; Layamon had used them earlier.

In page 77, we see terme eslu, certein, nome, turned into a certeyn day of terme. But this certain was not used as an equivalent for quidam until Chaucer's time.

Our bard finds it needful to give long explanations in English rime of the strange words mattok, sacrilege, and miner (pages 31, 266, 331).

I have kept the greatest changes of all to the last; in page 321 we find a French Participle doing duty for a Preposition,

Passyng alle pyng hyt hap powere.
And in page 180,
My body y take pe here to selle
To sum man as yn bondage.
This bondage is the first of many words in which a French ending was tacked on to an English root. So barren had our tongue become by the end of this un-
lucky Thirteenth Century, that we had to import from abroad even our terminations, if we wanted to frame new English nouns and adjectives. We were in process of time to make strange compounds like godd-ess, for-bear-ance, odd-ity, nigg-ard, upheav-al, starv-ation, trust-ee, fulfil-ment, latch-et, wharf-inger, ling-let, fish-ery, tru-ism, love-able, whims-ical, tall-ative, slumbr-ous. ${ }^{1}$ What a falling off is here! what a lame ending for a Teutonic root!

Desinit in piscem mulier formosa superne.
We were also to forget the good Old English adjectival isc or ish, and to use foreign endings for proper names like Alger-ine, Gael-ic, Syri-ac, Chin-ese, Wylehamist, Wesley-an, Irving-ite, Dant-esque. ${ }^{2}$ Cromwell in his despatches talks of the Lincoln-eers.

By-and-by French prefixes drove out their English brethren, even when the root of the word was English; we are now doomed to write embolden and enlighten, and to replace the old edniwian by renew. Mistrust has been almost wholly driven out by distrust. We have happily two or three Teutonic endings still in use, when we coin new adjectives and nouns; one of these is ness. It had English rivals in full vigour at the end of the Fourteenth

[^115]Century, but they have now dropped out of use ; what our penny-a-liners now call inebriety might in 1380 be Englished not only by Chaucer's dronkenesse, but by Wickliffe's drunkenkede, by Mirc's dronkelec, and by Gower's drun7ieshepe. ${ }^{1}$ Our lately-coined pigheadedness and longwindedness show that there is life in the good old ness yet. Such new substantives as Bumbledom and rascaldom prove that dom is not yet dead; and such new adjectives as peckish and rubbishy show a lingering love for the Old English adjectival endings.

More than one Englishman might when a child have given ear to the first Franciscan sermons ever heard in Lincolnshire, and might at fourscore and upwards have listened to the earliest part of the Handlyng Synne. Such a man (a true Nævius), on contrasting the number of Romance terms common in 1300 with the hundreds of good old Teutonic words of his childhood, words that the rising generation understood not, might well mourn that in his old age England's tongue had become strange to Englishmen. ${ }^{2}$ But about this time, 1300, the Genius of our language, as it seems, awoke from sleep, clutched his remaining hoards with tighter grip, and thought that we had lost too many old words already. Their rate of disappearance between 1220 and 1290 had been
${ }^{1}$ Other roots, with all these four endings, may be found in Stratmann's Dictionary.
${ }^{2}$ As to the speech of religion, compare the Creed at page 138, with the description of Charity at page 198; yet there are but sixty years between them. In later times, Caxton says that he found an amazing difference between the words of his childhood and those of his old age: Hobbes and Cibber must have remarked the same, as to turns of expression.
most rapid, as may be seen by the Table at the end of this Chapter; some hundreds of those left were unhappily doomed to die out before 1520 , but the process of their extinction was not speedy, as the same Table will show. After 1300, the Franciscans began to forsake their first love; one of the earliest tokens of the change was the rearing in 1306 of their stately new London Convent, which took many years to build, and where hundreds of the highest in the land were buried. It arose in marked contrast to the lowly churches that had been good enough for the old friars, the first disciples of St. Francis. Their great lights vanished from Oxford; the most renowned name she boasts in the Fourteenth Century is that of their sternest foo. About 1320 they were attacked in English rimes, a thing unheard of in the Thirteenth Century. We now learn that a friar Menour will turn away from the needy to grasp at the rich man's gifts; the brethren will fight over a wealthy friend's body, but will not stir out of the cloister at a poor man's death; they
' wolde preche more for a busshel of whete, Than for to bringe a soule from helle out of the hete.' ${ }^{1}$

These rimes were written about the date of Wickliffe's birth. The Franciscans had by this time done their work in England, though they were to drag on a sluggish life in our shires for two hundred years longer. Curious it is, that the time of their fiery activity coin-
${ }^{1}$ Political Songs (Camden Society), p. 331. Churchmen, lawyers, physicians, knights, and shopkeepers are all assailed in this piece.
cides exactly with the time of England's greatest loss in a philologer's eyes. ${ }^{1}$

Robert of Brunne began his Handlyng Synne, as he tells us, in 1303; he must have taken some years to complete it. We possess it, not as he wrote it, but in a Southern transcript of 1360 or thereabouts; even in this short interval many old terms had been dropped, and some of the bard's Norse words could never have been understood on the Thames. The transcriber writes more modern equivalents above those terms of Robert's, which seemed strange in 1360 . I give a few specimens, to show the change that went on all through the Fourteenth Century :

| Robert ofBrunne,in 1303. $\quad$ His Transcriber,about 1360. | Robert of Brunne, in 1303. | His Transcriber, about 1360. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gros . . . . Dred | yerne | . desyre |
| wlatys . . . lopep | rous | boste |
| wede (insanus) made | qued. | shrewe |
| wryztes . . . carponters | aywhore. | - ever more |
| were . . . . kepe | wurp pe | . most |
| mote (curia) . plete | weyve | . forsake |
| ferly . . . . wndyr | gate | . wey |
| cele . . . . godly | lope. | . harme |
| byrde (decet) . moste | he nam | he zede |
| estre . . . . toune | he nam | he toke |
| yrk . . . . slow | stounde | . tyme |
| mayn. . . . strenkp | rape . | . haste |
| harnes . . . brayn | kenne | teche |
| grete. . . . wepte | tarne . | wench |
| whyle . . . tyme | bale | - sorow |

[^116] year 1470, had been as sluggish and tolerant as their English brethren.
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Some of Robert's words, that needed explanation in 1360, are as well known to us in 1873 as those wherewith his transcriber corrected what seemed obsolete. Words will sometimes fall out of written speech, and crop up again long afterwards. Language is full of these odd tricks. ${ }^{1}$ It is mournful to trace the gradual loss of old words. This cannot be better done than by comparing three English versions of the Eleven Pains of Hell: one of these seems to belong to the year 1250, another to 1340 , another to $1420 . .^{2}$ Each successive loss was of course made good by fresh shoals of French words. Steady indeed was the flow of these into English prose and poetry all through the Fourteenth Century, as may

[^117]be seen by the following Table. I take from each author a passage (in his usual style) containing fifty substantives, adjectives, verbs, and adverbs; and this is the proportion in which the words are employed:


[^118]
## CHAPTER V.

## THE NEW ENGLISH.

## (1303-1873.)

None of the great European literatures, as Hallam has said, was of such slow growth as the English; the reason is not far to seek. The French, Spanish, Provençal, Italian, Norse, and German literatures were fostered by high-born patrons. Foremost stand the great Hohenstaufens, Emperors of the Romans, ever August ; then come Kings of England, of Norway, of Sicily, of Castile; Dukes of Austria, Landgraves of Thuringia, Counts of Champagne; together with a host of knights from Suabia, Tuscany, Provence, and Aragon. A far other lot fell to the English Muse; for almost three hundred years after 1066, she basked not in the smiles of King or Earl ; her chosen home was far away from Court, in the cloister and the parsonage; her utterance was by the mouths of lowly priests, monks, and friars. Too long was she content to translate from the lordly French ; in that language her own old legends, such as those of Havelok and Horn, had been enshrined for more than a hundred years. It was in French, not in English, that Stephen of Canterbury had preached
and Robert of Lincoln had rimed, good home-born patriots though they might be. In our island there was no acknowledged Standard of national speech; ever since 1120 , each shire had spoken that which was right in its own eyes. We have seen how widely the Northern, the Midland, and the Southern dialects differed from each other; and this was remarked by Giraldus Cambrensis almost seven hundred years ago. ${ }^{1}$ But not long after that keen-eyed Welshman's death, it might be seen that some great change was at hand. Of course, any dialect that was to hold the position once enjoyed by the Winchester speech, would have to win its way into London, Oxford, and Cambridge-towns that, after the year 1000, had become the heart and the eyes of England. Of these three, Cambridge lay within the bounds of the East Midland speech; her clerks, drawn to her from all quarters of the land, may have helped to spread abroad her dialect, such as we (it may be) see it in the Bestiary of 1230. To Cambridge came young Robert Manning, as he says himself. ${ }^{2}$ That University, thronged as it must have been with lads from the North, West, and South, may have had her influence on his great work of 1303 .

Had the most renowned of all Lincoln's Bishops been a writer of English, I should have given him a great share of credit for the Southern conquest achieved a hundred years after his death by the speech of his flock. But we must go much further back than his time, when

[^119]essaying to account for the origin of our Standard English. The Danish settlers of 870 gave fresh lifeblood to our race ; their pith and manliness hare had, I suspect, a far greater share in furthering England's greatness than is commonly acknowledged. Much do we owe to the Scandinavian cross in our breed. They could not, it is true, keep their Kings upon the English throne; but their Norse words by slow degrees made their way into every corner of the land: we have seen how under King John many of the terms, employed by this pushing and enterprising race, took root in distant counties like Worcestershire and Dorset, where there never was a Danish settlement. Often has a Danish word become confused with an Old English word, as in the case of the verbs beita and beatan: often has a Danish word altogether driven out an Old English word, closely akin to Sanscrit. Thus the Scandinavian drunmr (somnium), corrupted into dream in Suffolk, has altogether made an end of the older sweven; and the former word has moreover become confounded with the English dream, which of old meant nothing but somitus or cantus: the sense of these Latin words has long vanished from dream as we now employ it.

It may often be remarked that one form of a great speech drives another form before it. Thus, in our own day, the High German is always encroaching on its Northern neighbour the Low German; and the Low German, in its turn, is always encroaching upon its Northern neighbour the Scandinavian. Something of the like kind might have been seen in England six hundred years ago ; but with us the Dano-Anglian speech
of the Midland was working down Southwards towards London and Oxford all through the Thirteenth Century. Its influence may be seen so early as the Essex Homilies of 1180 ; many years later we find a still clearer token of the change. In some hundred Plural substantives that had been used by Layamon soon after 1200, the Southern ending in en was replaced by the Midland ending in es, when Layamon's work came to be written out afresh after 1250. East Midland works became popular in the South, as may be seen by the transcript of the Havelok and the Harrowing of Hell. In the Horn, a Southern work, we find the Present Plural en of the Midland verb replacing the older Plural in eth. In the Alexander (perhaps a Warwickshire work) the Midland $I$, she, they, and beon encroach upon the true Southern ich, heo, hi, and beoth. Even in Kent we find marles of change : in the sermons of 1290 the contracted forms $l_{o r d}$ and made are seen instead of loverd and maked. Already mid (cum) was making way for the $\mathrm{N} \sim$ thern with. This was the state of things when the Handlyng Synne was given to England soon after 1303; it was believed, though wrongly, to be the translation of a work of Bishop Robert's, and it seems to have become the great pattern ; from it many a friar and parson all over England must have borrowed the weapons wherewith the Seven Deadly Sins (these play a great part in English song) might be assailed. Another work of Robert Manning's is entitled Medytacyuns of the Soper of our Lorde, a translation from Buonaventura, the well-known oracle of Franciscans abroad. ${ }^{1}$ The popularity of these works of the Lincoln-
${ }^{1}$ Why has not this work beer printed long ago ?
shire bard must have spread the influence of the East Midland further and further. We know not when it made a thorough conquest of Oxford, the great stronghold of the Franciscans; butits triumph over the London speech was most slow, and was not wholly achieved until a hundred and sixty years after Manning's first work was begun. That poet, as may be seen by the Table at the end of the foregoing chapter, heralded the changes in English, alike by his large proportion of French words and by his small proportion of those Teutonic words that were sooner or later to drop.

The following examples will show how the best English of our day follows the East Midland, and eschews the Southern speech that prevailed in London about the year 1300. A is what Manning would have written ; $B$ is what was spoken at London in Manning's time.
A. But she and thei are fyled with synnes, and so I have sayd
to that lady eche day; answer, men, is hyt nat so?
B. Ac heo and hi beoth ifuled mid sunnen, and so ichabbe
iseid to thilke levedy uche day; answereth, men, nis it nought
so?

The last sentence is compiled mainly from the works of Davie, of whom I gave a specimen at page 209. It is interesting to see what the tongue of London was thirty years before her first great poet came into the world.

It may seem strange that England's new Standard speech should have sprung up, not in Edward the First's Court, but in cloisters on the Nen and the Welland. We must bear in mind that the English Muse, as in the tale
of the Norfolk bondman, always leaned towards the common folk; it was the French Muse that was the aristocratic lady. ${ }^{1}$ As to Edward, he was in the main a truly national King, and what we owe to him is known far and wide; but one thing was wanting to his gloryhe never made English the language of his Court, sore worried though he was by Parisian wiles. Our tongue had to plod on for about forty years after his death, before it could win Royal favour. The nobles still clave to the French: the struggle for mastery between the Romance and the Teutonic lasted for about a hundred and twenty years in all. In 1258 a proclamation in English was put forth, the first Royal acknowledgment of the speech of the lowly; about 1380 the Black Prince, lately dead, was mourned in French poems compiled by Englishmen ; and these elegies seem to be almost the last effort of the tongue which had been the fashion at Court for three centuries, and in which Langtoft had sung the deeds of Edward the First. Robert of Gloucester could say in 1300 that England was the only country that held not to her own speech, her 'high men' being foreigners. ${ }^{2}$ This reproach was taken away fifty years later. By that time it was becoming clearer and clearer that a New Standard of English had arisen, of which Robert Manning was the patriarch; much as Cadmon had been the great light of the Northern Anglian that had fallen

[^120]before the Danes, and as Alfred had been the great light of the Western Saxon that had fallen before the Frenchmen. Throughout the Fourteenth Century the speech of the shires near Rutland was spreading in all directions; it at length took possession of Oxford and London, and more or less influenced such men as Wickliffe and Chaucer. Gower, when a youth, had written in Latin and French; when old, he wrote in English little differing from that of Manning. This dialect moreover made its way into the North : let any one compare the York Mysteries of 1350 with the version of them made forty years later, and he will see the influence of the Midland tongue. ${ }^{1}$ The Western shires bordering on North Wales had long employed a medley of Southern and Northern forms; these were now settling down into something very like Manning's speech, as may be seen in the romance of William and the Werwolf. ${ }^{2}$ Kent, Gloucestershire, and Lancashire were not so ready to welcome the dialect compounded in or near Rutland; their resistance seems to have lasted throughout the Fourteenth Century; and Langland, who wrote Piers Ploughman's Vision after the year 1362, holds to the speech of his own Western shire. He was the greatest genius that had as yet employed English, though he was soon to be outdone, perhaps in his own lifetime. Chaucer has given us a most spirited sketch of the

[^121]Yorkshire speech as it was in his day. ${ }^{1}$ The Northern English had become the Court language at Edinburgh. The Southern dialect, the most unlucky of all our varieties, gave way before her Mercian sister : Dane conquered Saxon. After Trevisa wrote in 1387, no purely Southern English work, of any length, was produced for almost five hundred years. ${ }^{2}$ Shakespere, in his Lear, tries his hand upon the Somersetshire tongue; and it also figures in one of the best of the Reformation ballads to be found in Bishop Percy's collection. But Mr. Barnes in our own day was the first to teach England how much pith and sweetness still lingered in the longneglected homely tongue of Dorset ; it seems more akin to Middle English than to New English. ${ }^{3}$

A few improvements, not as yet brought from the North, were still wanting; but now at last our land had a Standard tongue of her own, welcome alike in the Palace and in the cottage. King Edward the Third, not long after Cressy, lent his countenance to the mother-tongue of his trusty billmen and bowmen. He in 1849 had his shield and surcoat embroidered with his own motto, on this wise :
> 'Hay, hay, the wythe swan, By Godes soule, I am thy man.'

${ }^{1}$ The Southerner, on entering Leeds, still reads the old Northern names of Kirkgate and Briggate on two great thoroughfares. May the Leeds magistrates have more wit than those of Edinburgh, whom Scott upbraids for affectation in substituting the modern Square for the ancient Close!
${ }^{2}$ Audlay, the blind Salopian of 1420, has a mixture of Southern and Midland forms.
${ }^{3}$ We there see the true old Wessex sound of ea.

His doublet bore another English device: ' it is as it is.' ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ Trevisa says that before the great Plague of 1349 high and low alike were bent on learning French; it was a common custom: 'but sith it is somedele chaunged.' In 1362 English was made the language of the Lawcourts; and this English was neither that of Hampole to the North of the Humber, nor that of Herebert to the South of the Thames. Our old freedom and our old speech had been alike laid in the dust by the great blow of 1066: the former had arisen once more in 1215 and had been thriving amain ever since; the latter was now at last enjoying her own again.

After this glance at Kingly patronage, something almost unknown hitherto, we must now throw a glance backward, and mark the changes since the Handlyng Synne had been given to the world. Many writers, both in prose and in rime, had been at work in the first half of the Fourteenth Century: of their pieces I have already given some specimens. Forme-fader, ganed, hyrwe, ilic, iseowed, ileaned, lawerce, ofpurst, sêli, ismểet, spinnere, tceppet, bridde were now turned into forefader, yâned (yawned), harew, ${ }^{2}$ aliche, isewed (the participle of the Latin suere), ilend, ${ }^{3}$ larke, athurst,sili, ismôped (smoothed), spijre (spider), tippet, pirde. There are new words and forms such as awlward, bacward, tall, until, ded as a dorenail, a biwey (bye-wad). The most startling are turn up swa doune (upside down) in Hampole, and she-beast much

[^122]about the same time. ${ }^{1}$ Layamon's no (nec) becomes nor, in the Salopian poem quoted at page 205; this is shortened from nother. Reule, having long been a substantive, now becomes a verb, and we see ine mêne time. The form graciouser, in the Ayenbite, is one of the last attempts to force the English sign of comparison on a French adjective ending in ous. The old dysig (stultus) gets our modern sense of dizzy; and Langland's kill (occidere) replaces the old cwell, which now has only the meaning of opprimere.

A curious poem, the Debate of the Carpenter's Tools (Hazlitt's Collection, I. 88), is the compilation that best represents Manning's style; it seems to have been written about 1340, and must belong to the Rutland neighbourhood: it certainly has a dash of the Northern speech. I give a few lines as alink between Manning and Mandeville.

> Bot lythe to me a lytelle space, I schall gow telle all the case, How that they wyrke fore ther gode, I wylle not lye, be the rode.
> When thei have wroght an oure ore two,
> Anone to the ale thei wylle go, And drinke ther, whyle thei may dre:
> Thou to me, and I to the.
> And seys the ax schall pay fore this,
> Therefore the cope ons I wylle kys;
> And when thei comme to werke ageyne,
> The belte to hys mayster wylle seyne:
> ' Mayster, wyrke no oute off resone,
> The dey is vary longe of seson. ${ }^{2}$

${ }^{1}$ It is found under the form of ho-beste马, in the Lancashire poem quoted at page 204.
${ }^{2}$ In thislast line, we have the first use of our foreign very (valdè),

We now hail the first writer of New English prose. I give in my Appendix a specimen of Sir John Mandeville: it is strange to think that he is separated by only a score of years or so from the compiler of the Ayenbite of Inwit. ${ }^{1}$ The travelled knight was born at St. Albans, and went abroad in 1322. We may look upon his English as the speech spoken at Court in the latter days of King Edward III. ; high and low alike now prided themselves upon being Englishmen, and held in scorn all men of outlandish birth. The earlier and brighter days of King Harold seemed to have come back again ; Hastings had been avenged at Cressy, and our islanders found none to match them in fight, whether the field might lie in France, in Spain, or in Italy. King Edward was happy in his knights, and happy also in the men whom he could employ in civil business, men like Wickliffe and Chaucer. Mandeville's language is far more influenced by the Midland forms than that of Davie had been fifty years earlier ; in the new writer we find sche, I, thei, theirs, have, are, and ben, forms strange to the Thames, at least in 1300 ; the Southern ending of the Third Person Plural of the Present tense is almost wholly dropped, being replaced by the Midland ending in en; even this is sometimes clipped, as also is the en of the Infinitive, and the Prefix of the Past Participle. A hundred years would have to pass before these hoary old
which appears next in Yorkshire letters of 1450 ; it was a long time making its way to London, though Chaucer uses it as an adjective. In the above poem we meet the expression 'reule the roste.'
${ }^{1}$ I have given a specimen of this at page 208.
relics could be wholly swept away from Standard English. The corruption first seen in 1220, whereby most dreadful replaced the old Superlative, is sown broadcast over Mandeville's works. He has the new form, houshold. The Northern same (idem), so sparingly employed of yore even in the North, is now found instead of ilk; ask instead of axe, ren (currere) instead of urn, chough instead of choz, mordrere instead of murjerere. Ayens now takes a $t$ at the end, in the true English style, and becomes ayenst (contra). The old forms dwerghes, o ferrom, thilke, overthwart, are still kept. There are barely more than fifty obsolete English words in the whole of Mandeville's book, though it extends over 316 printed pages. It was wonderfully popular in England, as is witnessed by the number of copies that remain, transcribed within a few years of the worthy knight's death. ${ }^{1}$ Few laymen had written in English, so far as can be known, since King Alfred's time.

We now find a University lending its sanction to the speech of the common folk. In 1384, William of Nassington laid a translation into English rimes before the learned men of Cambridge. The Chancellor and the whole of the University spent four days over the work; on the fifth day they pronounced it to be free from heresy and to be grounded on the best authority. Had any errors been found in it, the book would have been burnt at once. ${ }^{2}$ For the last thirty years there had been a great stirring up of the English mind;

[^123]many works on religion had been put forth both in the North and the West. ${ }^{1}$

Having spoken of Cambridge, I next turn to Oxford, which had been lately roused by the preaching of Wickliffe; she was now glowing with a fiery heat unknown to her since the days of the earlier Franciscans. The questions at this time in debate had the healthiest effect upon the English tongue, though they might jar upon Roman interests. Wickliffe, during his long residence in the South, seems to hare unlearned the old dialect he must have spoken when a bairn on the banks of the Tees. His first childish lessons in Scripture were most likely drawn from the legends of the Cursor Mundi. ${ }^{2}$ He was now bestowing a far greater blessing upon his countrymen, and was stamping his impress upon England's religious dialect, framed long before in the Ancren Rivle and the Handlyng Synne. In reading Wickliffe's version of the Bible, of which so many scores of manuscripts have been happily snatched from Roman fires, we are struck by various peculiarities of speech in which he differs from Mandeville and Chaucer. In these we have followed him. The greatest is the Dano-Anglian custom of clipping the prefix to the Past Participle, as formden instead of $y$ founden. He sometimes, although most seldom, clips the ending of the Plural of the Imperative, as in Herod's request to the wise men:
'Whan yee han founden, telle ayein to me.'

[^124]If he has now and then the Northern theire (illorum), he employs thillie (iste), and has both illi and same ; whiche, eche, suche, and myche, all occur in his writings. He still uses the old sum man for quidam, but this was soon to drop, and to be replaced by a certain man. He has one peculiarity that may be still found in Yorkshire ; the Old English butan (nisi) is not enough for him, but he turns it into no but. In Mark xvi. 5, he has a zong oon, instead of the old Accusative ânne geongne; the oon (one) seems to stand for wight ; the phrase is common enough with us. He corrupts Orrmin's pu wass into thou wast (Mark xiv. 67) ; the old form was kept by Roy 150 years later. He also corrupts a Strong Perfect now and then, as, 'thou betokist' (Mat. xxv. 20). He speaks of 'thi almes,' not 'thine alms' (Mat. vi.4). We see our wellknown yea, yea; nay, nay (the Gothic ya and ne). Wickliffe has both the old windewe and the new winewe, our winnow. He has shipbreche, which had not yet become shipwreck, a strange corruption. We find also debreke (Mark i. 26), one of the first instances of a French preposition being prefixed to an English root; renew and dislike were to come long afterwards. A remnant of the older speech lingers in his nyle ye drede (fear not); we still say willy, nilly. Hys efen-peowas was in 1380 turned into his even servauntis; but this most useful prefix, answering to the Latin con, was soon to drop. To express forsitan, he uses by hap and happily (our haply). The Old English reafung is with him raveyn (our ravening).

The great English Reformer clave far too closely to the idioms of the Latin Vulgate, whence he was trans-
lating ; he therefore produced English by no means equal to that of the year 1000 . Thus he will not say, that 'it thundered,' as the English writer of the Tenth Century wrote; but puts, 'the cumpany seide thundir to be maad.' One of his most un-Teutonic idioms is, 'he seith, I a vois of the crying in desert.' Again, Wickliffe writes, ' Jhesu convertid, and seynge hem suwynge him.' Tyndale handles this far better: 'Jesus turned about, and sawe them folowe.' We now happily keep sue to the law courts; and we may also rejoice that the earlier Reformer's diction was improved upon in other respects a hundred and fifty years later ; we have thus been saved from such phrases as, 'I am sent to evangelise to thee thes thingis;' 'to zyve the science of helthe to his peple;' 'if I schal be cnhaunsid (lifted up) fro the erthe;' 'it perteynede to him of nedy men;' 'Jhesus envyraunyde (went about) al Galilee;' 'Fadir, clarifie thi name;' 'he hath encurid (hardened) the herte;' 'my volatilis (fatlings) ben slayn;' 'he that hath a spousesse (bride).' On the other hand, we have preferred Wickliffe to Tyndale in sundry passages.

Wickliffe.
Sone of perdicioun.
It is good us to be here.
Entre thou in to the joye of thi lord.
I shulde hare resceyved with usuris.
Thou saverist nat tho thingis, sc.

Tyndale.
That lost chylde.
Here is good beinge for us. Go in into thy master's joye.

Shulde I have receaved with vauntage.
Thou perceavest nott godly thynges.

[^125]Purrey, after referring to Bede and Alfred as translators of the Bible 'into Saxon, that was English, either comoun langage of this lond,' writes thus: 'Frenshe men, Beemers, and Britons han the bible, and othere bokis of devocioun and of exposicioun, translatid in here modir langage; whi shulden not English men have the same in here modir langage, I can not wite, no but for falsenesse and necgligence of clerkis, either for oure puple is not worthi to have so greet grace and gifte of God, in peyne of here olde synnes. God for his merci amende these evele causis, and make our puple to have and kunne and kepe truli holi writ, to liif and deth !' ${ }^{1}$ Purvey and his friends stand out prominently among the writers, who settled England's religious dialect; few of the words used in the Wickliffite version have become obsolete within the last five hundred years. The holy torch was to be handed on to a still greater scholar in 1525 ; for all that, Wickliffe is remarkable as the one Englishman who in the last eleven hundred years has been able to mould Christian thought on the Continent; Cranmer and Wesley have had small influence but on English-speaking men.

Wickliffe had much help from Purvey and Hereford. 'The latter of these, who translated much of the Old Testament, strove hard to uphold the Southern dialect, and among other things wrote daunster, syngster, after the Old English way. But the other two translators leant to the New Standard, the East Midland, which was making steady inroads on the Southern speech. They write daunseresse, dwelleresse, \&c., following Robert
${ }^{1}$ Wicklifite Versions (Forshall and Madden), p. 59.
of Brunne, who first led the way to French endings fastened to English roots. They also write ing for the Active Participle, where Hereford writes the old ende; they do not follow him in employing the Southern Imperative Plural. In the Apology for the Lollards (Camden Society) there is a strong dash of the Northern dialect. If Wickliffe were the writer, he must have here gone back to the speech of his childhood far more than in his Scriptural translations. In this Apology there are 94 obsolete English words.

The last half of the Fourteenth Century employed many of the phrases that live for ever in the English Bible and Prayer Book. We find such expressions as albeit, surely, passing rich, during, on this condition that, considering this, as to this, with one accord, to that ende that, touching these things, enter in, under colour of, that is interpreted, if so be that, oft time, according as, in regard of, upon a time, ensaumple, rebuke, she-wolf, outrely (utterly), go a begging, whereas, because. The Lord's Prayer took its shape much as we have it now, Wickliffe employing in its latter part the French words dettours, temptacioun, delyvere. I pass on to the Belief, that other stronghold of wholesome English; and I give a few other forms of this age, now embodied in our Prayer Book. I take the following from a Primer of the year $1400 .{ }^{1}$ We see that the speech of Religion was being moulded into the shape which has come down to us in the Anglican Prayer Book; little remained to

[^126]be done in the way of change. The Creed maybe compared with the one of 1250 , printed in page 145 of my work :
' I bileve in god, fadir almygti, makere of hevene and of erthe: and in iesu crist the sone of him, oure lord, oon alone: which is conceyved of the hooli gost ; born of marie maiden : suffride passioun undir pounce pilat: crucified, deed, and biried: he went doun to hellis : the thridde day he roos agen fro deede: he steig to hevenes: he sittith on the right syde of god the fadir almygti : thenns he is to come for to deme the quyke and deede. I beleve in the hooli goost: feith of hooli chirche : communynge of seyntis: forgyveness of synnes: agenrisyng of fleish, and everlastynge lyf. So be it.'

Preie we. For the pees.
' God of whom ben hooli desiris, rigt councels and iust werkis : gyve to thi servantis pees that the world may not geve, that in our hertis govun to thi commandementis, and the drede of enemys putt awei, oure tymes be pesible thurgh thi defendyng. Bi oure lord iesu crist, thi sone, that with thee lyveth and regneth in the unitie of the hooli goost god, bi all worldis of worldis. So be it.'
' God, that taughtist the hertis of thi feithful servantis bi the lightnynge of the hooli goost: graunte us to savore rightful thingis in the same goost, and to be ioiful evermore of his counfort. Bi crist our lorde. So be it.'
' Almyghti god, everlastynge, that aloone doost many wondres, schewe the spirit of heelful grace upon bisschopes thi servantis, and upon alle the congregacion
betake to hem : and gheete in the dewe of thi blessynge that thei plese evermore to the in trouthe. Bi crist oure lord. So be it.'

## Holy Matrimony.

(From a Manual of 1408.)
' Lo breyren and sustren her we beon comyn to gedre in ye worsschip of god and his holy seintes in ye face of holy chirche to joynen to gedre yuse tweyne bodies yat heynforward yei be on body in ye beleve and in ye lawe of god for te deserven everlastynge lyf wat so yei han don here byfore. Wherfore i charge you on holy chirche byhalf all yat here bes yat gif eni mon or womman knowen eny obstacle prevei or apert why yat yey lawefully mowe nogt come to gedre in ye sacrament of holy churche sey ye now or never more. ${ }^{1}$
(From another Manual, rather older, of the Fourteenth Century.)
'Also I charge you both, and eyther be your selfe, as ye wyll answer before God at the day of dome, that yf there be any thynge done pryvely or openly, betwene your selfe: or that ye knowe any lawfull lettyng why that ye may not be wedded togyther at thys time: say it nowe, or we do any more to this mater.'
' N.-Wylt thou have this man to thy husbande, and to be buxum to him, serve him and kepe him in
${ }^{1}$ Here we see the Southern sustren, the Midland beon, and the Northern bes.
sykenes and in helthe: And in.all other degrese be unto hym as a wyfe should be to hir husbande, and all other to forsake for hym : and holde thee only to hym to thy lyves end? Respondeat mulier hoc modo: I wyll.
'I $N$. take the $N$. to my weddyd husbonde to have and to holde fro thys day for bether, for wurs, for richer, for porer, in sykenesse and in helthe, to be bonour and buxum in bed and at bort: tyll deth us departe yf holy chyrche wol it ordeyne: and ther to I plycht the my trouth.
' With this rynge I wedde the, and with this gold and silver I honoure the, and with this gyft I honoure the. In nomine Patris : et Filii : et Spiritus Sancti. Amen.'

The middle of the Fourteenth Century was the time when English, as it were, made a fresh start, and was prized by high and low alike. I take what follows from an old Lollard work, put forth about 1450 and printed eighty years later, when the term Lollard was being swallowed up by the term Lutheran: 'Sir William Thorisby archebishop of Yorke ${ }^{1}$ did do draw a treatyse in englishe by a worshipfull clercke whose name was Gatryke, in the whiche were conteyned the articles of beleve, the seven dedly synnes, the seven workes of mercy, the X commaundmentes. And sent them in small pagines to the commyn people to learne it and to knowe it, of which yet many a copye be in england. . . . Also it is knowen to many men in ye tyme of King Richerd ye II. yat into a parlement was put a bible

[^127](bill) by the assent of II archbisshops and of the clergy to adnulle the bible that tyme translated into Englishe with other Englishe bookes of the exposicion off the gospells; whiche when it was harde and seyn of lordes and of the comones, the duke of Lancaster Jhon answered thereto ryght sharpely, sayenge this sentence: We will not be refuse of all other nacions; for sythen they have Goddes law whiche is the lawe of oure belefe in there owne langage, we will have oures in Englishe whosoever say naye. And this he affermyd with a great othe. Also Thomas Arundell Archebishoppe of Canterbury sayde in a sermon at Westmester at the buryenge of Quene Anne, that it was more joye of here than of any woman that ever he knewe. For she an alien borne hadde in englishe all the IIII gospels with the doctours upon them. And he said that she had sent them to him to examen and he saide that they were good and trewe.' ${ }^{1}$ Here we see that English had kept its ground in the Palace; an intrusion which would have seemed strange, I suspect, to Edward the Second, the grandfather of stout Duke John. Not long after the Duke's death, an inscription in English was graven upon the brass set up in Higham Ferrars church to the memory of Archbishop Chicheley's brother.

We have seen what was the language of the Church in the days of Richard II. ; we now turn to the speech of the Court. England had the honour of giving birth to one of the two great poets of the Middle Ages, of the

[^128]two bright stars that enlighten the darksome gap of fourteen hundred years between Juvenal and Ariosto. Dante had been at work upon the loftiest part of his Divina Commedia at the precise time that Manning was compiling his Handlyng Synne, the first thoroughlyformed pattern of the New English; the great Italian was now to be followed by a Northern admirer, of a somewhat lower order of genius indeed, but still a bard who ranks very high among poets of the second class. Chaucer was born at London, a city that boasts a more tuneful brood than any single spot in the world; for this early bard was to have for his fellow-townsmen Spenser, Milton, Pope, and Byron. Never has English life been painted in more glowing hues than by Chaucer; his lines will be more long-lived than the frescoes of Orcagna, which are dropping off the Pisan cloister; though poet and painter belong to the same date.

Chaucer has many new forms; such as gossib (as well as godsib), harwed instead of the old heregede, arowe (sagitta) instead of arwe. He led the fashion of doubling the vowel $o$, for he has both the old stôl and the new stool. He turns the old tôh into tough, akern into acorn. Indeed there are whole sentences in his writings, especially in the Parson's prose sermon, that need but the change of a few letters to be good modern English, spelling and all. He follows Manning's way of writing syn, or rather $\sin$, for quoniam. In one of the earliest sentences of the Parson's attack on Pride, we find the words, 'those bountees . . . . that he hath not;' but this corruption as yet comes very seldom.

We see many new phrases like, what ails him? now
a dayes, belike, as heipe me God, ten of pe clokke, no malice at all, $b i$ and $b i$; and Chaucer uses the phrases, to bring about, to drive a bargain, platly ayenst him. Bondman in the Parson's sermon is taken in the Gloucester sense, not in that of Rutland; and this bad sense it has kept ever since. We see caterwaw and newe fangel; also award, which seems to come from the Icelandic aqvarda (allot). ${ }^{1}$ Badder stands for pejor.

As to the many French words employed by Chaucer, he often yokes them with their English brethren, using them in the same breath; thus he talks of seuretee or sikernesse, robbe and reve. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{He}$ has also scarcely and menes (instrumenta). In the Squieres Tale, about line 180, we see the first instance of a well-known vulgarism :

> 'There may no man it drive:
> And cause why, fur they con not the craft.'

Our lower orders have refused to part with Chaucer's markis, though our upper class can only talk of a marquis or marquess. That nobleman's lady is called by Chaucer a markisesse. The adjective able had been used in England before he was born. He has sextein (sexton) and raffle, and talks of a pair of tonges. He sometimes leans to the Latin rather than the French, writing equal as well as egality, perfection as well as parfit.

Chaucer's speech is much the same as Mandeville's, and very unlike it is to what must have been the London dialect a hundred years before their time. Gower

[^129]resembles his brother bard, except that he clips the prefix to the Passive Participle, and tries to keep alive the Active Participle in and; Chaucer unluckily stuck to the corrupt ending in ing, first seen in Layamon. Lydgate and Occleve followed in the steps of the great Londoner; their loving reverence for him atones for much dulness in their song. Even King James I. of Scotland sometimes dropped his Northern speech, and clave to Chaucer as a pattern; though the aforesaid speech was the Court language to the North of the Tweed, and so remained down to the days of the later Stuarts. Toward the end of the Fourteenth Century, a son of Edward III. made what we may call his dying confession in English; and early in the next age our tongue was employed instead of French by Princes, by Cardinals, and by the future hero of Agincourt. Ellis' Letters on English History show us best how the language was being by degrees pared down; its most obsolete form is to be found in the despatches of the Royal officers who were fighting against Glendower. It is curious to mark the difference of the speech of Northern knights, such as Assheton and Waterton, from that of a Somersetshire man like Luttrell. The State papers, drawn up by the men of the Irish Pale, prove that Dublin was now taking London for her pattern in these Agincourt days; Friar Michael of Kildare's speech was a thing of the past.

If we wish to know what was the best, or rather the most fashionable, English spoken in 1432, we must glance at a petition given in by Beauchamp Earl of Warwick
to the good Duke Humphrey and many of our Bishops. ${ }^{1}$ The Earl, having the charge of the boy King Henry VI., craved full powers as to whipping the future founder of Eton College; the child's growing years were causing him 'more and more to grucche with chastising, and to lothe it.' The petition shows us that the endings of verbs had been much clipped, that the Southern thilke had, in some measure, made way for that (ille), that Wickliffe's suche (talis) had come to be preferred to Chaucer's swiche, and that the Northern their and theim were encroaching on the Southern her and hem. It was still thought the right thing to say, like Manning, yeve and ayeins, though Caxton was afterwards to bring us back to the true old spelling. The phrase 'speech at part' shows us whence comes our 'apart,' and 'owe' (debent) makes us aware that some resistance was made to our corrupt 'ought.' The Plural Adjectives in the phrase, 'causes necessaries and resonables,' are a token of lingering French influence, which acted upon Warwick, an old soldier of the great French war. One half of the nouns, verbs, and adverbs in this State paper are of French birth; indeed, there could not well be a greater proportion of Romance terms in a Queen's speech compiled by the Gladstone cabinet. The unhappy Suffolk, one of the Council to whom the petition is addressed, was himself the writer of a noble letter of advice; this, being drawn up not long before his death for his son's behoof, is far more Teutonic than Warwick's petition. ${ }^{2}$ Still homelier are the letters

[^130]coming from Norfolk manor-houses; here we find the East Anglian arn (sunt) and the $q u$ replacing $h w$, as quhat for hwat, qwan for hwen, much as in the Genesis and Exodus of the same shires, compiled two hundred years before. Manning's way of writing ho for who is repeated. A paper of the date 1419 shows that almost all inflections had been pared away. ${ }^{1}$ Soon afterwards we find the French $z$ employed for the old English $s$ at the end of words. In a letter of 1440 we see Mandeville's corruption of ayenst repeated. ${ }^{2}$ We also find the new phrases that meene tyme and be the meene of, in 1424; the last phrase was one generation later to become be menys of. ${ }^{3}$ Many a corruption, now used by us, had its rise in shires far to the North of London ; in the great city, writers who aimed at dignity of style preserved the old inflections that were on the wane elsewhere. Shillingford, Mayor of Exeter, shows us the lingering remnant of Southern speech in a letter of his ' $y$-written yn Alle Sawlyn day.' He reports from London, whither he had gone on a lawsuit, the 'Alagge! alagge!' (alack) uttered by Archbishop Kemp the Chancellor in 1447, one of the first instances of that exclamation, which may come from the old eala of our fathers. We are rather amazed to find that the Northern tham (illos) had already taken root in Devonshire by the side of the old ham and buth (sunt). ${ }^{4}$

Capgrave and Lydgate, both East Anglians, were reckoned two of the great lights of the first half of this

[^131]Century. A far greater master of English was Bishop Pecock, the best of our prose writers in this age, a man who was in theology a compound of Bellarmine and Hooker, and who therefore drew down upon himself the wrath of the Anglican Church. ${ }^{1}$ Pecock is the last good writer in whom we see the old Southern form thill: for iste. By 1450 the speech of the Mercian Danelagh had all but made a thorough conquest of London; the prefix to the Past Participle was nearly gone; and the endings of Verbs were not to last many years. Chaucer's example, though he was held to be the best of all patterns of language, had been unable to preserve the few traces of Southern speech that lingered in his day. The old zede (ivit) had made way for went; Capgrave's eldffeder for graunt fadir. We find both schulde and schude, the lastshowing the rise of our present pronunciation of should. The helpful for is no longer used to compound verbs, as to fordo. We see both esilier and esier, the old and the new form of the Comparative in the Adverb. England henceforward became so slovenly as to express the Comparative of both the Adjective and the Adverb by one and the same word. The Bishop is most fond of tacking on a French ending to an English root, like the bondage of 1303 ; we find in his work se-able, lnowe-able, here-able, do-able, dout-able; also craftiose. ${ }^{2}$ The English un is preferred to the Latin in in uncongruité, unnoveable, and
${ }^{1}$ Pecock's Repressor, whence I quote, was published by the Master of the Rolls. I give a long passage from it in my Appendix.
${ }_{2}$ When we want a new adjective, we almost always compound with this foreign able. Dr. Johnson spoke of an unclubbable man; we speak of a thing as uncomeatable, when it is inaccessible.
other words. As to terms which were to be built into the English Bible fourscore years later, we find Jewry, ensaumple, sutil, enquire, according to; these had been in use much earlier.

The great change we owe to Pecock is a new phrase that took off a part of the heavy load thrown upon but. The source of our unless is now seen. In the Repressor (page 51), he speaks of the Lollards, 'whiche wolen not allowe eny governaunce to be the lawe and service of God, inlasse than it be grondid in Holi Scripture.' It was hundreds of years before this word could be used freely; in our New Testament it comes but once: 'unless ye hare believed in vain.' Pecock uses his new phrase four times in his Repressor. Another word, common in our mouths, is seen for the first time in a Lancastrian ballad of 1458: 'acros the mast he hyethe travers.' This is not found once in our Bible. ${ }^{1}$

At this time English prose rose high above English poetry; and herein the Fifteenth Century stands alone. ${ }^{2}$ That one short passage of Mallory's, pronouncing Sir Lancelot's elegy, outweighs many pages of later poets, such as Barclay, Skelton, and Hawes. Civil war is commonly thought to forebode evil to literature ; England for forty years after Duke Humphrey's death was harassed by risings of the Commons, or was divided between the Red and the White Roses, as many a bloody field bore witness. Yet this is the

[^132]precise time when English prose was handled with wonderful skill. Theology, chivalry, law, and homely life found the best of representatives in Pecock, Mallory, Fortescue, and Caxton. This was the time when our inflections were almost all driven out; there is a great difference between the Bishop's writings and those of the Printer thirty years later. At this latter date, few inflections remained. Pity it was that the printing press did not come to England a few years earlier ; we might then have kept the old Plural ending of the Verb in en. ${ }^{1}$ Ben Jonson long afterwards bemoaned this heavy loss.

About the time that the Red Rose was withering, the Northern words their and them drove out the Southern her and hem. King Henry VI. uses the former in a proclamation, put forth at York a fortnight before Towton field. There are other words, common in our mouths, which we owe to Yorkshire. Robert of Brunne had written syn instead of the old siððan; but in a Knaresborough petition of 1441, we find a formation from this syn, the new synnes or since; this we have kept. We also see 'my verray good maister' in a letter of 1462 : this very (valdè) was not well established in Standard English until sixty years later, when it unhappily almost wholly drove out right. ${ }^{2}$ The ending of verbs are clipped in these Yorkshire letters, and

[^133]corruption soon spread Southward. In a letter of 1464, the old Northern Plural of the Present Tense in $s$ is seen ; and Robert of Brunne's holy (integrè) is changed into wholie, a wretched corruption which we are still doomed to write. ${ }^{1}$ In the same letter, we see far (procul) replacing the old ferre, as it did in the Northern Psalter. I give the Knaresborough wedding formula of 1450: 'Here I take the . . . to my wedded wife to hold and to have, att bed and att bord, for farer or lather, for better for warse, in sicknesse and in hele, to dede us depart, and thereto I plight the my trouth.' ${ }^{2}$

Salop, like Yorkshire, has had some influence upon Standard English. In 1426, an old blind monk, known as 'Syr Ion Audlay,' was compiling his poems, striking at Lollards and worthless priests alike. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ lived on the border land between the Northern and the Southern varieties of English speech, as we could tell from a few lines in page 65:

> And vii aves to our lady,
> Fore sche is the wel of al pete,
> That heo wyl fore me pray.

The Salopian shows us that the old lewd (indoctus) was getting its bad modern meaning, when at page 3 he brands the wicked lives of the clergy of his time. He

[^134]pronounced one (unus) much as we do : in page 35 we read :

> 'thai serven won Lord.'

This won was to be brought into the English Bible, a hundred years later, by another Western man. What Chaucer called a persone, Audlay calls a parsun; he also tries to Latinize the old siker (securus), writing it secur.

We must glance at Audlay's shire thirty years after he wrote; in this interval, the Southern speech seems to have been losing ground. There is hardly a spot, throughout England, so closely linked both to our history and to our literature, as that Salopian stronghold, Ludlow Castle. Here it was that Richard Duke of York (he held also Sandal in Yorkshire) brought up his children; from hence in 1454 was written the joint letter of the future King Edward IV. and of the boy Rutland, who was soon to fall at Wakefield. ${ }^{1}$ This letter is most unlike in its forms (geve replaces zeve) to the language Bishop Pecock would have used at Paul's Cross before his London hearers ; it shows us the clipped English that must have been learnt in childhood by King Edward and his sister, the future wife of Charles the Bold. When the Sun of York was making glorious summer in England, more Northern forms came in ; the conqueror's diction may be studied in some of the Paston Letters. ${ }^{2}$ Now it was, if ever, that Kings brought
${ }^{1}$ All inflections are here clipped, much as they are in 1873. The letter is in Gairdner's Paston Letters, I. cxi.
${ }^{2}$ Do., I. 298, (here the word adoo (negotium) comes; 325, lxxvii. The rightful $g$ is here beginning to replace the usurping $y$.
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influence to bear upon England's tongue. ${ }^{1}$ After 1460, the clipped inflections of Ludlow and Sandal must have become familiar in the ears of the ladies and knights that begirt Edward IV. and the Kingmaker at the Court of London. But it was abroad, more than at home, that change was at work. Caxton, a Kentish man, whose grandfather must have been born about the time that the Ayenbite of Inwit was compiled, lived long in London; and then about 1440 betook himself to the Low Countries, where he printed the first English book in 1471. We might have expected, from his birth and breeding, that he would have held fast to the old Southern forms and inflections, at least as much as Bishop Pecock did. But Caxton had come under another influence. In 1468 be had begun translating into English the Recuyell of the Historyes of Troye ; and in the same year King Edward's sister was given to Charles the Bold. The new Duchess took an interest in the work of her countryman, who had sickened of his task after writing five or six quires. In 1470, 'she commanded me,' says Caxton, ' to shew the said five or six quires to her said grace. And when she had seen them, anon she found defaute in mine English, which she commanded me to amend.' She bade him (he had a yearly fee from her) go on with his book; and this work, the first ever printed in our tongue, came out in 1471. It was ' not
${ }^{1}$ Mr. Earle tells us (Philology of the English Tongue, p. 97) that 'a French family settled in England and edited the English language;' he means the Plantagenets. I suspect that the Queen's English owes more to a Lincolnshire monk, on whom I have bestowed some pains, than to all our Kings put together who have reigned since the year 901.
written with pen and ink, as other books are, to the end that every man may have them at once.' Wherein did the Duchess and the Printer differ in their views of English? In this, that the one came of a Northern house, while the other had been born and bred in the South. ${ }^{1}$ Owing to the new influence, in Caxton's first work we see the loss of the old Southern inflections of the Verb ; and we find Orrmin's their, them, and that (iste) well established, instead of the Sonthern her, heem, and thilk, beloved of Pecock. Plural Adjectives no longer end in s; for we read 'strange habitacions' in the first page of the Recuyell. The word yle (insula) in the same page is spelt without the intruding $s$. Manning's way of writing $y$ instead of $i$ is often found; but this we have happily refused to follow. The old form that oon . . . that othir (in Latin, alter . . . alter) comes once more. In the Game of the Chess, published in 1474, we find ner for the Latin neque, an odd mixture of the Southern ne with the North Western corruption nor. The hard $g$ is seen once more, as in agayn, driving out the usurper $y$. When we weigh the works of Caxton, who wrote under the eye of the Yorkist Princess, we should bear in mind the English written by her father in $1452 .{ }^{2}$ The Midland speech was now carrying all before it. The Acts of Parliament passed under the last Plantagenet King were printed by the old servant of the House of York.

[^135]Caxton's press was of great use in fixing our speech. The English spoken at London, brought thither from the Mercian Danelagh, was now established as the Standard; Puttenham, in a well-known passage written a hundred years later, will have nothing to say to any speech but that of London and the neighbouring shires. Strange it is that Caxton, a Kentishman, should have been the writer who sealed the triumph of Midland English as our Standard for the future. One of his best works is Renard the Fox (Percy Society), which he translated from the Dutch; traces of the sister tongue we see in words like moed, saacke, lupaerd, ungheluck, which must be due to Dutch bandicraftsmen. Caxton says, 'I have folowed as nyghe as I can my copie, which was in dutche, and translated into this rude and symple Englyssh;' the date of the work is 1481 . There are here many old Teutonic words, now obsolete, which we could ill afford to lose, and which Tyndale unhappily did not employ in his great work, though they must have been household words in his childhood. Such are eme, overal, lief, bleeve, wyte, elenge, sybbe, to dere, to bote, and others. ${ }^{1}$ Caxton's great claim upon us is, that in many words he gave us back the old $g$, which for the foregoing three hundred years had been softened into $y$ in words like gate, get, again; he even writes galp instead of yelp. It was now settled that we were to employ peyne and not pine. We find brydge and hedche, the spelling showing how they

[^136]were pronounced in the late Plantagenet days; bury follows the Southern, gylty the Northern form; there are herke, hearke, and harkene, all three ; there are both lawhe and laugh. When we see borugh, we think of a borough of men, but it means only a burrow of conies; our spelling was not yet thoroughly settled. Theft is expressed by roving; we have since given a new meaning to the word. The bear is called both Bruyn and Brownyng. We find the interjection $O$ ho, and also our common pronunciation of me lorde. The $z$ is employed to spell wezel, which had of old been wesel; puf is used where we say pooh.

Caxton had many words and phrases which Tyndale was afterwards to make immortal; such are, skrabbing, ravyn, kyen, adoo, good luck, to you-ward, oftymes, in lyke wyse, chyde with, bewraye, take hede, al be it that, if so be that, how be it. As to Romance words, we find rereward, concubyne, tarye, stuff, straytly, sauf that, secrete chamber, dwellyng place, according to, sporte, abhor, mock, refrayne himself. There is also the portentous compound, disworshipped. Still the home-born mis held its own against the outlandish dis; two hundred years later Bunyan writes mistrust and not distrust.

In 1482, Caxton brought out an old chronicle written by Trevisa a century earlier ; the great printer says, 'I somewhat chaunged the rude and old Englyssh, that is to wete, certayn wordes which in these days be neither usyd ne understanden.' We thus see that the Verbs clepe, fonge, won, well, steihe, wilne, and behote had become obsolete; buxom, nesche, lesue, and bede now sounded strange in London ears; swipe had to be turned into right, and sprankelep into sperclyth. The letter 子
(standing for $y$ ) is clean gone, and $p$ is hardly ever used for $t h$; this p, which had been often employed in the Recuyell, is a sad loss. ${ }^{1}$ England was slowly forgetting her old words; and the bad habit would have been carried further, but for Caxton's press and for a great religious change that happened forty years after this time.

Lord Berners' translation of Froissart may be looked on as a new landmark in our tongue. Those who filled up the gap between Caxton and the learned nobleman, men like Hawes, Skelton, and Barclay, have few worshippers now but antiquaries. The Englished Froissart, given to the world in 1523, heads a long roll of noble works, that have followed each other, it may be said, without a break for three hundred and fifty years. Since 1523, there is not an instance of twenty years passing over England, without the appearance of some book, which she has taken to her heart and will not willingly let die, No literature in the world has ever been blessed with so continuous a spell of glory. Two of her great men, whose works are inscribed on the aforesaid roll, would by most foreign critics be reckoned among the five foremost intellects of the world ; a large proportion forsooth to be claimed by one nation.

One of the earliest English works that followed Lord Berners' Froissart was the New Testament, published at Worms in 1525, by William Tyndale of Gloucestershire.

[^137]Wickliffe had made his translation from the Vulgate, and his work is sadly marred by Latin idioms most strange to English ears; Tyndale, being a ripe Greek and Hebrew scholar, went right to the fountain-head. ${ }^{1}$ His New Testament has become the Standard of our tongue; the first ten verses of the Fourth Gospel are a good sample of his manly Teutonic pith. It is amusing to think how differently one of our penny-a-liners would handle the passage ; he would deem that so lofty a subject could be fairly expressed in none but the finest Romance words to be found in Johnson or Gibbon. ${ }^{2}$ Most happily, our authorized version of the Scriptures was built upon the translation which Tyndale had almost completed before his martyrdom. When we read our Bibles, we are in truth taken back far beyond the days of Bacon and Andrewes to the time of Wolsey and More.

Tyndale, a man well known alike at Oxford, Cambridge, and London, may be said to have fixed our tongue once for all; a few words were now changing for the worse. He it was who brought in the corrupt Yorkshire tliose (isti) instead of the old tha or tho, though the latter also may be found now and then in his Testament. He thus established a vicious form, which had been used almost three hundred years earlier in the

[^138]Northern Psalter. ${ }^{1}$ He speaks of twyse and thryse, but has unluckily the corrupt once instead of ones. Fadir and modir now become father and mother. We see almost the moment of their change, when we find in Tyndale's New Testament the three forms hidder, hydther, and hetherto; we also find gadther. Against and amongst appear with their last consonant, which they were never to lose. We have both the old coude (potui) and also the corruption into coulde from a false analogy ; there is the grod old Teutonic rightewes and also the new Latinized righteous: pity it was that Tyndale had no share in Leland's knowledge of Old English. The upstart lill comes as often as slay. Pecock's zou silf is corrupted into youre selves, as if self was a substantive. The symle (semper) of 1000 , and the ever of 1380 , now become all wayes. We find some old forms almost for the last time, as, do on hym a garment, anhongred, hedling, unethe, he leugh (risit). There are some forms which seem to be relics of the writer's native Gloucestershire : honde ${ }^{2}$ (manus), arne (proprius), axe (rogare), mooare (plus), lawears (juris periti), visicion (medicus). Tyndale sometimes goes much nearer to the Old English of the year 1000 than Wickliffe does; thus geve replaces yeve; he has one loofe instead of o lonf; feawe, not fewe; lirydegrome, not spouse; lende, not zyve borwynge; lett the deed bury, not suffire that deede men burie; in the middes, not in the middit. Tyndale brought in some

[^139]words hitherto unused in Scriptural translations; such as, at all, nor, lyke wyse, ado, God forlid: this last replaces Wickliffe's 'fer be it.' Whole (sanus) takes the hideous interloping letter that begins the word; the Salopian won is used for unus. The word abroad had been used earlier in a sense like the Latin latè: since 1525 we have used it to express also the Latin foris. This last meaning comes, not from the Old English brad, but from the Norse braut, a way. ${ }^{1}$ We see a few new terms; thus, the word alreally was beginning to come in, and was employed twice in the Gospels. Wickliffe's wawes (fluctus) are now turned into waves. The adjective sad had hitherto meant nothing more than gravis ; it now began to take its new meaning, tristis. What was called unrote in the year 1000 , and soruful in 1380, is here called sadde; but this new sense comes only twice in the Four Gospels. Wiekliffe had translated volvere by walew (wallow); but Tyndale uses this English verb in an intransitive sense only; he writes roll for volvere. The verb werian (induere) had been of old a Weak verb, and made its Perfect werode; but Tyndale turns this into a Strong Perfect, a change most seldom found in English. In his translation of St. Luke viii. 27 , we read that the man which had a devil 'ware noo clothes.' We still say wore and worn. He gave us a few words hardly ever used before his time, such as immediatly (he has also the old anon, to which he should have stuck), exccedingly, and streyght waye. He stands almost at the end of the old school of writers,

[^140]before the Latin forms had come in like a flood, as they were to do all through this Century. He therefore leans to the old way, when writing baptim, advoutry, crysten, soudeour (miles), parfit, unpossyble. I could wish that he had kept to the English, instead of the French pattern, in such words as afrayed and defyle. He made a sad mistake in not writing 'Peter was to blame' in a well-known passage. He was too fond of similitude, conclusion, seniours; and we have to regret that by 1525 such words as certain, herbes, ${ }^{1}$ loins, physician had supplanted good old English equivalents. About forty Strong verbs, which we still keep, had by this time been turned into Weak verbs; since then, holpen has been corrupted into helper, though the former occurs in a well-known passage.

Tyndale, though hunted out of his own land, was always a sound and wise patriot; his political tracts are as well worth studying as his religious books. He uplifted his voice against the folly of England's meddling in foreign wars, at the time when Zwingli was giving the like wholesome rede to the Switzers. Tyndale's works fill two goodly volumes, yet these contain only about twelve Teutonic words that have become obsolete since his time ; a strong proof of the influence his translation of the Bible has had upon England, in keeping: her steady to her old speech. As to the proportion of Latin words in his writings, of his nouns, verbs, and adverbs, three out of four are Teutonic, and in this pure
${ }^{1}$ This is pronounced yarbs in America, as we see in Cooper; and Tyndale wrote it yerbes.
style he is rivalled by his great enemy, the Chancellor. ${ }^{1}$ Never were two English writers better matched in fight than More and Tyndale; loud was the wrangling over the Reformer's rendering of the Greek Scriptural words charis, ecclesia, presbyteros, metanoia. All Greek scholars must see what an advantage Tyndale had over Wickliffe. when we read an absurd version of Wickliffe's in the parable of the son, who at, first refused to work in his father's vineyard, but afterwards 'stirid by penaunce' went. ${ }^{2}$ The men that loved not the Reformation had a rooted mistrust of Tyndale's Bible. Long after the Martyr's death, Bishop Gardiner in 1542 brought forward a list of 102 Latin words (so he called them), which ought to be retained in any English version 'for the majesty of the matter in them contained.' Among these majestic words were olacausta (sic), simulacrum. panis, peccator, zizania, hostia, and others of the like kind. ${ }^{3}$ It was a happy thing that the Bishop was
${ }^{1}$ King Alfred and Tyndale are alike in this, that three-fourths of their 'weighty words' are Teutonic, such as can be now understood; but as to the other fourth, Alfred's Teutonic has been replaced by the French and Latin that Tyndale was driven to use, owing to the heedlessness of the Thirteenth Century.
$\therefore 2$ A corrupt religion will corrupt its technical terms. One of the most curious instances of the degradation of a word is St. Jerome's pexnitentia, an act of the mind, which he uses of God Himself; this word in Italy (penitenza) now means no more than some bodily act of atonement for sin. This is as great a drop as when we find virtus and virtu expressing widely different things; the one suits Camillus, the other Cellini. Coverdale, who translated the New Testament ten years after Tyndale had done it, sometimes turns metanoia into penance, one of the many faults of his version. Words, like coins, get worn away by the wear and tear of ages.
${ }^{3}$ Anderson's Aunals of the English Dible, ii. 151.
forbidden to meddle in the business; and this Protestants and philologers alike must thankfully acknowledge. But the old housel, which in the English mind was linked with the Roman idea of the Eucharist, was cast aside when the Reformation triumphed. ${ }^{1}$

In the wordy strife between Tyndale and More, the two best English writers of their day, we trace further changes in English. The Chancellor often employs the old form sith (quoniam), and we also find the corrupt since; the two lingered on side by side into the next Century. Are (sunt) sometimes replaces be, in spite of the Reformer having been bred in Gloucestershire. He is perhaps the first Englishman who used the word popish. He speaks of a flock 'going to pot,' and gives us bo-peep and 'hulker-mutker,' which has been but little changed. He applies naughty, a new word, to a priest. The ever-waxing influence of classical learning was ere long to substitute vicluals for the old vitaille, the sound of which we still partly keep : this influence may be traced in Tyndale's use of words like delectable and crudelity in the works he printed just before his death; these forms he would not have used when he fled from England a dozen years earlier. ${ }^{2}$ He kept his eye upon each succeeding edition of Erasmus' Greek T'estament, and thus made his own English version more perfect. I now

[^141]quote a passage from his Obedience of a Christian Man, put forth in 1527 ; this will show the scholarship of

> Ille Dei vates sacer, Esdras ille Britannus, Fida manus sacri fidaque mens codicis.
'Saint Jerom translated the bible inte his mother tongue: why may not we also? They will say it cannot be translated into our tongue, it is so rude. It is not so rude as they are false liars. For the Greek tongue agreeth more with the English than with the Latin. And the properties of the Hebrew tongue agreeth ${ }^{2}$ a thousand times more with the English than with the Latin. The manner of speaking is both one; so that in a thousand places thou needest not but to translate it into the English, word for word ; when thou must seek a compass in the Latin, and yet shall have much work to translate it well-favouredly, so that it have the same grace and sweetness, sense and pure understanding with it in the Latin, and as it hath in the Hebrew. A thousand parts better may it be translated into the English, than into the Latin.'

The Reformer lived to English most of the Bible ; the little he left undone at his death in 1536 was finished by his friend Rogers, Queen Mary's first victim. This was the Bible set up in every English parish church by Henry VIII., though he had long plotted against the Translator's life.

I must glance at another of Tyndale's helpers. William Roy, a runaway Franciscan, was employed by Tyndale in 1525 to compare the texts of the New Testament and to write. The two men had not much in common.
${ }^{1}$ So called by Johnston, Professor at St. Andrews in 1593. Anderson's Annals of the English Bible, ii. 486. I wish that the Parker Society had published Tyndale's works in his own spelling.
${ }^{2}$ Here we have the old Southern form of the Plural of the Verb; it is not often found after Tyndale's day.
' When that was ended,' says Tyndale, 'I toke my leve and bode him farewel for oure two lives and, as men saye, a daye longer.' Roy went to Strasburg, and there in 1528 printed his biting rimes against the English clergy. ${ }^{1}$ I give an extract from page 71.

> Alas, mate, all to geder is synne,
> And wretchednes most miserable.
> What! a man of religion
> Is reputed a dedde person
> To worldly conversacion.

Here we see that Religion still keeps its old sense of monkery; but Tyndale was bringing a new sense of the word into vogue among Englishmen. ${ }^{2}$

Roy talks of 'wholy S. Fraunces' (sanctus). We have been mercifully spared this corruption of the old English; wholly (integrè) is bad enough, with its useless first letter. He has both Christen and Christian, the old and the new form. His defoyle (page 113) shows how the French defouler became our defile. He still uses ryches as a noun singular; and he has per hapis (forsitan).

The translations of the Bible, put forth by Tyndale and Roy, slipped into many an out-of-the-way corner of England. Young Robert Plumpton, who was at the Temple about 1536, sends ' the Newe Testament, which is the trewe Gospell of God,' to his mother in her Yorkshire home. He says that he wishes not to bring her into any heresies. 'Wherefore, I will never write nothing to you, nor saye nothinge to you, concerninge

[^142]the Scriptures, but will dye in the quarrell.' I I give this sentence, as it is one of the last occasions that we find a gentleman of good blood, and eke learned in the law, piling up negatives after the true Old English fashion; a habit that now prevails only among the lower orders. Tyndale had looked askant upon this idiom, of which Caxton was not ashamed. Our tongue was in this respect to leave the old path and to follow the Latin; the land was now athirst for classic learning.

The time, when England broke away from the Italian yoke, falls in precisely with the time, when the diction of her bards was greatly changed for the better. Langland, true genius though he might be, was wrong in employing so vast a number of French words in his work; the Passus Decimus-Quartus of his Vision has one French word for two English, counting the nouns, verbs, and adverbs alone. Chaucer penning a hymn to the Virgin is most different from Chaucer laughing over the pranks of naughty lads at the Universities; in the former case he heaps up his French words to a wondrous extent. The same tendency may be seen in Lydgate, Hawes, Dunbar, and their brethren; the worst sinners in this respect being monks and writers of Church legends. To prove my point, I give a stanza from a poem composed by the Abbot of Gloucester in 1524; we may almost call it the last dying strains, somewhat prosaic in trath, of the Old Creed :-

[^143]
## xxi.

Where is and shall be eternall
Joy, incomparable myrth without heaviness, Lore with Charity and grace Celestiall, Lasting interminable, lacking no goodness. In that Citty virtue shall never cease, And felicity no Soule shall misse, Magnifying the name of the Kinge of Blisse.

## XXII.

This compendious Extract compiled was new, A thousand yeere 5 hundred fower and twenty From the birthe of our Saviour Christ Jesue, By the Reverend Father of worthy memory, Willin Malverne, Abbot of this Monastery, Whome God preserve in long life and prosperity, And after death him graunt Eternall Felicity. ${ }^{1}$

But about the time that Tyndale was giving the English Bible to his countrymen in their own tongue, and that Cromwell was hammering the monks, a new soul seems to have been breathed into English poetry. Surrey and Wyat stand at the head of the new school, and show themselves Teutons of the right breed; they clearly had no silly love for lumbering Latinized stuff. The true path, pointed out by them, was soon to be followed in this Sixteenth Century by Buckhurst, Gascoigne, Sidney, and by two men greater still. Even Southwell, who died in the Pope's behalf, cleaves fast to the new Teutonic diction of his brother bards. The Reformation

[^144]has been called an uprising of Teutonism against Latinism; nowhere does this come out clearer than in English Poetry.

But this Sixteenth Century had a widely different effect on our Prose. Latin was the great link between our own Reformers and those of other lands; and the temptation was strong to bring into vogue Latin terms for the new ideas in religion that were taking root in our island. Theology was the great subject of the age ; and King Henry VIII. remarked to his Parliament in 1545: 'I am very sorry to know and hear how unreverently that most precious jewel, the Word of God, is disputed, rimed, sung, and jangled in every ale house and tavern, contrary to the true meaning and doctrine of the same.' Besides this intense thirst after religious discussion, our fathers later on in the Century saw for the first time the authors of Greece and Rome cladin an English dress ; and the sailors who bore the English flag. round the world were always printing wondrous tales of their wanderings. Plymouth, as well as Oxford, was making her influence felt. Our land, therefore, owned at the end of the Sixteenth Century thousands of new words, which would have seemed strange to Hawes and Roy; a fair store of words was being made ready for Shakespere, whose genius would not bear cramping. The people, for whom he was to write, had a strong taste for theology, for the classics, and for sea roving; each of these tastes brought in shoals of new words. We had long had Latin words in their corrupt French form, such as balm, feat, frail, sure; we now began to write the original Latin of these forms, balsam, fact, fragile,
secure ; keeping all the words, original and corrupt, alike. English was becoming most copious.

It is to the ripe and mellow wisdom of Cranmer that we owe the English Prayer Book almost as it now stands. It is his best monument; he had no vulgar wish to sweep away what was old, unless the sacrifice were called for by the cause of Truth. We have seen that some of the Book's formularies date from Wickliffe's day; others, such as the Bidding prayer, betoken a wish to yoke together the Teutonic and the Romance in pairs, like aclinowledge and conjess, humble and lowly, goodness and mercy, assemble and mieet, pray and beseech. ${ }^{1}$ Even so the Law talks of yielding and paying. In the Collects, the proportion of French to English is much the same as in Chaucer's prose earlier, and as Addison was to write, later. Lord Macaulay long ago contrasted our English prayers, compiled when our language was full of sap and vigour, with the older Latin forms translated by Cranmer, the work of an age of third-rate Latinity. Yet the Archbishop's work was held cheap by some of his flock. The stalwart peasantry of our Western shires, the men who rose against his system, called this new Prayer Book nothing but 'a Christmas game.'

It is well known how great an influence Luther and Calvin have had upon their respective tongues; in like manner, one effect of the Reformation was to keep England steady to her old speech. As we have always had the voices of Tyndale and Cranmer ringing in our ears

[^145]week after week for the last three Centuries, we have lost but few words since the time of these worthies; the most remarkable of our losses are bolled, daysman, to ear, silverling, and meteyard, found in parts of Scripture not much read. Hearne, writing 170 years later, meurned over the substitution of modern words for rede (consilium) and behight (promisit), both used by Sternhold in his version of the Psalms, made in the days of Edward VI. 'Strange alterations,' says the Antiquary, 'all for the worse.' On the other hand, we could have gladly spared out of the Bible such needless foreign words as affinity, artificer, champaign, choler, ${ }^{1}$ concupiscence, immutalle, intelligence, magnifical, mollify, prognosticate, secondarily, similitude, terrestrial, though they happily come but seldom. ${ }^{2}$ They stand in striking contrast to words like thank-worthy, stiff-necked, ringstraked, loving-liindness, yole-fellow, undersetters, waterflood, well-spring, good-man, slaughter-weapon. We even find the old sith (quoniam), and steads (loca). The Old English grin (laqueus) was a word still common enough to be used in the Version ot 1611, but already the Norse gin (first used in the Ormulum) was encroaching on it ; and the French engyne conveyed a kindred meaning. Shamefastness was printed in the right way ; and this our writers and printers of
${ }^{1}$ We English abound in terms for this passion. Wrath and ire came over with Hengist; the Danes brought anger ; the French gave us rage and fury; the Latin supplied indignation; the Greek choler. We further conferred this sense on passion.
${ }^{2}$ Habergeon and brigandine are relics of Sixteenth Century warfare. By the bye, what would the old bowmen, who decided so many fields between Hastings and Pinkie, have said to our monstrous word toxophilite?

1873 ought to restore forthwith. The English privative un comes often where we now use the Latin in. We find such old words as anon, chapman, halt, linap, let, list, neesing, trow, ward, wax, wot, still struggling for life. What fine old idioms we have preserved to us in well is thee, woe is me, woe worth the day, the gate opened of his own accord, the more part of them, ${ }^{1}$ do you to wit, to have an evil will at Zion, I was shapen, whether (uter) of the two, set them at one again! The phrase would God! which we owe to Manning in 1303, is a thoroughly English idiom, and is not sanctioned by the Hebrew. ${ }^{2}$ The Douay Bible has had a lot widely different from that of Tyndale's Version; already in 1583 Fulke was railing against the foreign work and its authors; he branded 'affected novelties of terms, such as neither English nor Christian ears ever heard in the English tongue - scandal, prepuce, neophyte, depositum, gratis, parasceve, paraclete, exinanite, repropitiate, and a hundred such like ink horn terms.' ${ }^{3}$ Fulke further on protests against azymes, schisms, zelators: ' these and such other be wonders of words that wise men can give no good reason why they should be used.' Why not talk of gazophilace and the enccenes? Fulke's book, reprinted by the Parker Society, should be in the hands of all philologers ; it is to be wished that he could come to life

[^146]and be clothed with full power over the English press in our own day. Many a penny-a-lining quack would he yoke to the cart's tail.

It is well known that those who revised the English Bible in 1611 were bidden to keep as near as they could to the old versions, such as Tyndale's: this behest is one of the few grod things that we owe to our Northern Solomon, the great inventor of lingeraft. The diction of the Bible seemed most archaic in the mouths of the Puritans in 1642, as their foes tell us; this could hardly have been the case had the version been a work of Bacon's time. The Book's influence upon all English-speaking men has been most astounding ; the Koran alone can boast an equal share of reverence, spread far and wide. Of the English Bible's 6,000 words, only 250 are not in common use now ; and almost all of these last are readily understood. ${ }^{1}$ Every good English writer has drawn freely upon the great Version: we know the skill with which Lord Macaulay and others interweave its homely, pithy diction with their prose. Even men who have left the English Church acknowledge that Rome herself cannot conjure away the old spell laid upon their minds by Tyndale's Bible. This book it is that affords the first lessons lisped by the English child at its mother's knee; this book it is that prompts the last words faltered by the English grey-beard on his death-bed. In this book we have found our strongest breakwater against the tides of silly novelties, ever

[^147]threatening to swamp our speech. Tyndale stands in $a_{a}$ far nearer relation to us than Dante stands to the Italians.

Among the East Midlanders who helped on the Reformation were Cranmer, Latimer, and Foxe; Hall and Bunyan were to come later. ${ }^{1}$ English literature is so closely intertwined with English history and English religion that we are driven to ask, what would have been the future of our tongue, had the Reformation, the great event of this Sixteenth Century, been trampled down in our island? Our national character is nearer akin to that of Spain than to that of France; I fear, therefore, that had Rome won the day in England, our religion would have smacked more of Philip II. than of Cardinal Richelieu, more of grim bloody Ultramontanism than of the other and milder form of Romanism. We know how Cervantes felt himself shackled by the awful, overbearing Inquisition: English writers would have fared no better, but would have dragged on their lives in everlasting fear of spies, gaolers, racks, and stakes. Could Shakespere have breathed in such an air? Hardly so. Could Milton? Most assuredly not. Our mother tongue, thought unworthy to become the handmaid of religion, would have sunk (exinanited) into a Romance jargon, with few Teutonic words in it but pronouns, conjunctions, and such like.

Many Orders of the Roman Church have brought their influence to bear upon our speech. In the Seventh Century, the Benedictines gave us our first batch of Latin ware, the technical words employed by Western

[^148]Christianity. ${ }^{1}$ In the Thirteenth Century, the Franciscans, as I think, wrought great havock among our old words, and brought into vogue hundreds of French terms. In the Sixteenth Century, the Jesuits and their friends strove hard to set up a religious machinery of their own among us; happy was it for England that she turned away from their merchandise, so hated of old Fulke. These luckless followers of the Pope, as time wore on, found their English style as much disliked as their politics or their creed; glad were they in the days of James II. when so great a master as Dryden came to their help in controversy. ${ }^{2}$ Such cvil words as probabilism and infallibilist were never to become common in English mouth̄s.

The Reformation, among its other blessings, bound together those old foes England and Scotland by ties undreamt of in the days of Wolsey ; it wrought a further change in the North country's speech. Tyndale's great work was smuggled from abroad into Scotland, as well as into England. A Scotch heretic on his trial in 1539, referred to his Testament, which he kept ready at hand ; the accuser shouted, 'Behold, Sirs, he has the book of heresy in his sleeve, that makes all the din and play in our Kirk!' ${ }^{3}$ Tyndale, as I before showed, wrought for the good of England in more ways than one. John

[^149]Knox was soundly rated by the other side for Anglicizing, not only in religion and politics, but also in his speech. Soon after 1600, Aytoun and Drummond wrote in the London dialect; Scotland, as she would have said herself, had to 'dree her weird.' The false Southron was fast getting the upper hand by a new kind of warfare; the Lowland peasantry, among whom schools began to thrive, read the truths of religion enshrined in a dialect that would have jarred on the ears of John Bellenden or Gawain Douglas. To this day the Scotch minister in his sermons keeps as near as he can to the speech of Westminster and Oxford; though his flock, when in the field or at the hearth, cleave fast to their good old Northern tongue. ${ }^{1}$

Thus the New Standard English, convoyed by the Reformation, made its way to the far North, and also into the Protestant settlements in Ireland ; it soon afterwards crossed the Atlantic in the Pilgrim Fathers' ship. Tyndale's great work, beloved by all forms alike of English Protestantism, will for ever be a bond of fellowship between the seventy millions of the Angel cyn, whether they live on the Thames, the Potomac, the Kuruman, or the Murrumbidgee. Our tongue is like the Turk, who will bear no brothers near his throne; Irish and Welsh are dying out, as Cornish did long ago.

The great prose writers of the Sixteenth Century did much for the cause of sound English. Cheke, though writing some years after Tyndale's death, had a hankering after Fifteenth Century words, and strove to keep

[^150]alive againrising and againbirth. His pupil Ascham made head against the foreign rubbish, which 'did make all thinges darke and hard.' Wilson in 1550 branded the 'strange ynkehorne terms' of his day. One part of his criticism may be most earnestly recommended to the fine writers of our own time. 'Some seke so farre for outlandishe Englishe, that thei forgette altogether their mothers' language . . . . He that commeth lately out of France, will talke Frenche-English, and never blush at the matter. The unlearned or foolishe phantasticall that smelles but of learnyng will so Latin their toungues that the simple cannot but wonder at their talke and thinke surely thei speake by some revelacion. I know them that thinke Rhetorique to stand whollie upon darke woordes, and he that can catche an ynke horne terme by the taile, hym thei coumpt to be a fine Englishman and a good Rhetorician.' ${ }^{1}$ In spite of all these drawbacks, Mulcaster wrote thus in 1583: 'The English tung cannot prove fairer than it is at this day.' ${ }^{2}$ He was a rash soothsayer, and little knew what was to be the literary history of the next thirty years.

I have dwelt much on Manning, Chaucer, and Caxton; but it was three Englishmen, writing within ninety years after 1525 , who had the honour of settling the form of our speech for ever. I have spoken of Tyndale and Cranmer; Shakespere, the employer of no fewer than 15,000 English words, was yet to come. It would be hopeless

[^151]indeed for me to add aught to the praises so lavishly heaped upon the mighty Enchanter by all good judges both at home and abroad; be it enough to say that the lowest English clown who, wedged tight among his fellows in some barn, listens breathless to Lear's outbursts or to Iago's whispers, is sharing in a feast such as never fell to the lot of either Pericles or Augustus, of Leo the Tenth or Louis the Fourteenth. ${ }^{1}$ In the last twelve years of Elizabeth's life, London had privileges far beyond any favours ever bestowed on Athens, Rome, Florence, Paris, or Weimar ; the great Queen might have gathered together in one room Spenser, Shakespere, Bacon, and Hooker ; to say nothing of her other guests, the statesmen who outwitted Rome, the seamen who singed the proud Spaniard's beard, the knights who fought so manfully for the good cause in Munster, in Normandy, and in Flanders. Nowhere does the spirit of that high-reaching age breathe stronger than in Spenser's verse; how widely apart stands his Protestant. earnestness both from the loose godlessness of Ariosto, and from the burning Roman zeal of Tasso, that herald of the coming Papal reaction! A shout of triumph burst forth from England when the Faery Queen was given to her in 1590; our island had at last a great poet, such as she had not beheld for two centuries. Now began the golden age of her literature ; and this age was to last for about fourscore years. Many a child that clapped its tiny hands over the earliest news of the

[^152]Armada's wreck, and that saw Shakespere act in his own plays, must have lived long enough to read the greatest of all Milton's works.

The boyhood of such a child would witness a new corruption in English; the change of the old Neuter Genitive of he from his into its. This last comes not once in our Bible; but Shakespere sometimes has the unlucky new-fangled word. These corruptions commonly begin with children, and are then passed up to women, and at length to men ; in this way many of our Strong verbs have become Weak : in this very year 1873 I see a tendency in writers (who should know better) to change the participles sown and mown into sowed and moved. Holpen has been replaced by helped, though the true form occurs in one of the oftenest-read parts of the Bible. But some old forms were hard of dying. In that first-rate little book on Ireland, printed by Sir John Davies in 1612, a book that may be called 'Irish History in a nutshell,' we find the Old English Genitive Plural of horse in the term mansmeate and horsemeat, two exactions that come under those evil words coigne and livery (page 174). ${ }^{1}$ In the same book we find sithence, I think for the last time. Two other Old English forms were now to drop out of men's speech; the old Genitive alve (omnium), used by Shakespere in the compound alderliefest; and the prefix $t o$, our form of the Latin dis and the German zer. We read that a stone 'all to-brake Abimelech's scull;' and this Scriptural expression, oddly mangled by the printers, has puzzled many a man, woman, and child for the last two hundred years. The Version of

[^153]1611 did much to fix our spelling ; since that time little change has been made, except that we have got rid of the $e$ tacked on to many a word in former days: this $e$ was seldom pronounced after Spenser's time. A new set of words had cropped up about the time he began to write ; we had turned the noun cross into a verb. The only derivative of this in the Bible is crossway, which comes but once. Aloof appears about the same time, a word due to the Norsemen. An uglier phrase was now coming on the stage; I mean, what is now the national oath of England. It is found twice or thrice in Shakespere, but had become common thirty years after his death.

Our tongue sometimes spins out of her own resources in a wonderful way: would that she did this oftener! The preposition purk had long before given birth to the adjective thorough and the adverb thoroughly; a bold bad man was now to make immortal a noun substantive, borrowed from the adjective. Whatever philologers may say, the true Englishman will, in this case at least, be drawn to Langton's Charter, French word though it be, rather than to Strafford's Thorough, in spite of the new noun's Teutonic birth. So closely intertwined are English philology, politics, and religion, that it is hardly possible to keep them asunder. A subject of Strafford's in Ireland, Bishop Bedell, who came from East Anglia, was one of the last that wrote the good old sith for quoniam, about the year 1630 .

Among Strafford's stoutest foes stood the man, who was long afterwards to measure himself with Dante, and to match the Protestant Muse against the noblest creation of Roman Catholicism. Often has the resem-
blance between the Ghibelline and the Roundhead been pointed out; each, as it must be allowed, is seen at his best in the murkiness of Hell rather than in brighter climes. ${ }^{1}$ The learning of Milton, the deepest-read of all great poets, is well known; and critics have admired the skill with which he brings Latin words under his yoke in his Paradise Lost. For all that, were I to be asked for a short passage upon which to stake the fair fame of the English Muse, St. Peter's speech in Lycidas would be the specimen that I should choose. In that best of all patterns of Teutonic strength and pith, Milton throws away foreign gear and goes back to the middle of the Fourteenth Century; the proportion of Romance words in the passage is not greater than that employed by Minot, the bard who sang the feats of England at Cressy and Poitiers. ${ }^{2}$

In Milton's time flourished Sir Thomas Browne, whose mantle long afterwards fell on Dr. Johnson, and who has therefore much to answer for as regards the corruption of English prose. It is strange to contrast Sir Thomas with another writer of his day, a tinker, who has written far better English than the learned knight, and who shows us our mother tongue in its homeliest guise, while giving us the loveliest of all Allegories. The common folk had the wit at once to see the worth of Bunyan's masterpiece, and the learned

[^154]long afterwards followed in the wake of the common folk. Butler was now composing the riming couplets that are oftenest in our mouths. Our prose about this time was undergoing a great change ; the stately march of Milton and Clarendon was no longer to be copied; English conjunctions and forms compounded since 1300 were to undergo the pruning knife. For instance, we were no longer to write a certain man for quidam ; a man, as in the oldest times, was quite enough. Cowley and Baxter about 1650 were the heralds of a new style, that was soon to be brought to further perfection by Dryden and Temple. About that year, 1650, our spelling was settled much as it is now. ${ }^{1}$ In 1661 our Prayer Book was revised ; are was substituted for be in forty-three places. This was a great victory of the North over the South. ${ }^{2}$

The earlier half of the Eighteenth Century was far more admirable in its English than the latter half. Defoe, Addison, Swift, and Pope are names worthy of all honour; and I could wish that no Latinized terms had been brought in since their day; at least, without good reason given. Compare Ockley, the lion's provider, with Gibbon. Poetry was thriving; and in his Rape of the Lock, Pope beat the French on their own ground; the English Muse, forty-four years after bringing forth the Paradise Lost, showed that she could carve

[^155]a face out of a cherry stone as well as hew a Colossus out of the rock. Dryden and Pope surpassed all mankind in the majestic art of reasoning in rime, and in the skill with which they wielded the keenest of weapons. One of the best passages in our literature is, where these two great poets are nicely weighed in the scales against each other by a kindred spirit. ${ }^{1}$

Johnson has said, 'Whoever wishes to attain an English style, familiar but not coarse, and elegant but not ostentatious, must give his days and nights to the volumes of Addison.' Would that the adviser had practised what he preached! He was misled by Sir Thomas Browne, and he corrupted our tongue ly bringing in outlandish stuff which would have moved the scorn of Swift, and from which our best writers have only of late shaken themselves free. ${ }^{2}$ Johnson was in his lifetime revered by a tasteless generation as the greatest of all masters of English ; his disciples, more especially Gibbon, have still further Latinized our tongue. The Dictator, however, seems in his old age to have felt a lurking consciousness that he had gone too far; his last works show a far purer taste than those he wrote at forty. He now no more 'depeditated obtunding anfractuosities;' he was no longer the deep-mouthed Bœotian-

## Thebes did his green, unknowing youth engage; He chooses Athens in his riper age.

[^156]His good sound Teutonic talk has often been contrasted with the vicious Latinisms that he penned. How forcible are his compounds, 'an unclulbbable man,' 'wretched unideaed girls!' and his verb, 'I downed him with this!' While on the subject of Johnson, one cannot help regretting that neither he nor his friends ever knew of the kinsmanship between the tongues of Southern Asia and Europe. Had the great discovery been made thirty years earlier than it was, he and Burke would have found a safer topic for debate than the Rockingham ministry. How heartily would those lordly minds have welcomed the wondrous revelation, that almost all mankind, dwelling between the Ganges and the Shannon, were linked together by the most binding of ties! How warmly would the sages have glowed with wrath or with love, far more warmly than ever before, when talking of Omichund and Nuncomar, of the Corsican patriot and the Laird of Coll! From how many blunders in philology would shrewd Parson Horne have been kept! No such banquet had ever been set before the wise, since the Greeks, four hundred years earlier, unfolded their lore first to the Italians, and then to the rougher Transalpines. It was not in vain that the new lords of Hindostan induced the Brahmins to throw open what had been of yore so carefully kept under lock and key. But the main credit of the new feast must be given to others; if the English brought home the game, it was the Germans who cooked it.

About the time that the aforesaid discovery was made, the English Muse was once more soaring on high. Her happiest efforts have mostly been made at the moment
when English knights have been winning their spurs abroad; and this remark is as true of Wellington's time as of the days of the Black Prince or Raleigh. Nine or ten English writers, who are likely to live for ever, were at work soon after 1800. Scott rose aloft above his brethren; but he was dethroned in his own lifetime (never had such a thing been known in our literature) by a greater bard than himself. Byron had the good taste to tread in the path followed by his Northern rival; both of them in their diction set the simplicity of the early part of the Fourteenth Century above all the gewgaws of certain later ages. Now it was that such words as losel and leech awoke after a long sleep. Bishop Percy, though Dr. Johnson laughed, had already led the English back to old wells, streams purer than any known to Pope. Burns had written in his own dialect verses that were prized by the high and the low alike. Coleridge's great ballad betokened that the public taste was veering round; he also turned the eyes of England to the vast intellectual wealth that was now being poured into the lap of Germany. All the different nations of Europe had come to know each other better. Voltaire had many years earlier told his countrymen that an old Warwickshire barbarian had lived, whose works contained grains of gold overlaid with much rubbish; something might have been made of the man, had he lived at Paris at the right time and formed himself upon Racine, or better still, upon Monsieur Arouet. Somewhat later, Schiller and Manzoni alike felt the English spell.

Ireland as well as her sister came under the new
influence. Moore, when arranging his Celtic gems in a new setting, worked in the best Teutonic style. In our jown day, Mr. Aubrey de Vere, in his Legends of St. Patrick, has shown an equally pure taste. Thanks to the poetry of Burns and to the prose of Scott, the fine gentlemen of London and Oxford began to see what pith and harmony were lurking in the good old English of the North : would that every one of our shires likewise had its laureate! ${ }^{1}$ But Scott's romances, the wholesomest of all food for the mind, have borne fruit; we have in our own day seen many attempts, like those of Mr . Barnes in Dorset, to bring the various dialects of England (they are more akin to Middle English than to New English) before the reading public. How many good old words, dropped by our literature since 1500 , might be recovered from these sources! If our English Makers set themselves earnestly to the task (they have already made a beginning), there is good hope that our grandchildren may freely use scores of Chaucer's words that we ourselves are driven to call obsolete. Lockhart, Macaulay, Davis, and Browning have done yeoman's service, in reviving the old English ballad.

Prose has followed in Poetry's wake. No good authors of our time, writing on a subject that is not highly scientific, would dream of abusing language as Gibbon

[^157]did, when he cleverly in many passages elbowed out almost all Teutonic words, except such as his, to, of, and the like. Cobbett roused us from foreign pedantry; and if we do not always reach Tyndale's bountiful proportion of Teutonic words in his political tracts, we at least do not fall below the proportion employed by Addison. ${ }^{1}$ In proof of this, let any one contrast the diction of our modern English writers on Charles V. with the Latinized style wherein Dr. Robertson revels when handling the same subject. That fine passage, in which Mr. Froude sets before us the Armada leaving the Spanish shore, would have been altogether beyond Hume a hundred years ago. Mr. Carlyle has had many disciples, whose awkward efforts to conjure with his wand are most laughable; but one good result at least has followed-the stern rugged Teutonism of the teacher is copied by those who ape him.

It is amusing to look back upon what was thought sound English criticism barely forty years ago. In a sharp attack on Dr. Monk's Life of Bentley, the Edinburgh Reviewer of July, 1830, lifts up his voice against such vulgar forms as herely, wherein, hereupon, caught up, his bolt was shot, fling away his credit, a batch of fragments, it lay a bleeding. I know not whether Dr. Monk could have explained the $a$ in the last phrase; but it seems pretty certain that he was one of the pioneers who brought us back to a homelier style of English. ${ }^{2}$ Most men in our time would allow, that a

[^158]writer of prose may go so far back as Tyndale, a writer of poetry so far back as Chaucer, in employing old words; this rule would have jarred upon the mawkish Reviewer's feelings. I once saw it laid down in an oldfashioned book of good manners, that it was vulgar to say, 'I would as lieve do it.' For all that, let each of our English writers, who has a well-grounded hope that he will be read a hundred years hence, set himself heart and soul to revive at least one long-neglected English word. It may be readily allowed that an imitation of the French Academy on our shores would never come to any good; still a combination of our crack writers to effect much-nceded reforms in spelling and wordbuilding would lend fresh lustre to Queen Victoria's reign. More ought to be done by men who have some idea of the Old English grammar, than was done by Gibbon and Robertson.
The change from Latinism back to Teutonism may be seen in speaking as well as in writing. Whatever we may think of Mr. Gladstone's Irish University Bill in 1873, none can gainsay that the last few sentences of his great speech, uttered the moment before his defeat, were a masterpiece of wholesome English. But of all our Parliament men, none in our day has employed a racier diction than Mr. Bright. He has clearly borrowed much from the great Sixteenth Century; he sometimes seems to be kindled with the fire of one of those Hebrew prophets, whom Tyndale and his friends loved to translate into the soundest of English. Pitt the elder, as we hear, knew nothing well but the Faery Queen; Pitt the younger took for his pattern the great
speeches in the First Book of Paradise Lost : Mr. Bright has gone still further back in search of a model. There is nothing pleasanter in our literature than the fond reverence with which each man, who is worth aught, looks back to the great spirits that went before.

Mr. Tennyson, a countryman of Robert Manning's and a careful student of old Mallory, has done much for the revival of pure English among us; not the least happy of his efforts has been the death-bed musings of his Northern Farmer. Further strides in the right direction have been made by Mr. Morris. ${ }^{1}$ The Earthly Paradise, more than any poem of late years that I know, takes us back to 1290 or thereabouts, and shows us how copious, in skilful hands, an almost purely Teutonic diction may be. It is hopeless to attempt the recovery of the English swept away in the Thirteenth Century; but Mr. Morris, in many places, cuts down his proportion of French words to the scale which Cbaucer's grandfather would have used, had that worthy, when young, essayed to make his mark in literature. It may be said of Mr. Morris as of Spenser, 'he hath labored to restore as to their rightful heritage such good and naturall English words as have been long time out of use, and almost cleane disherited.' So swiftly are we speeding along the right path, that ere many years we may even come to take a hearty general interest in our old title-deeds that

[^159]still lie unprinted. We may see the subscribers to the Early English Text Society reckoned, not by hundreds, but by thousands. ${ }^{1}$ Our German and Scandinavian kinsfolk will then no longer twit us with our carelessness of the hoard so dearly prized abroad; like them, we shall purge our language of needless foreign frippery, and shall reverence the good Teutonic masonry wherewith our forefathers built.

## TABLE OF DATES BEARING ON ENGLISH LITERATURE.



[^160]| Thirteenth Century | . Loss of thousands of Old English words, which are slowly replaced by French words. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fourteenth Century | . The New English, or DanoAnglian, which had long been forming, gains possession of London and Oxford, and is spoken at Court. |
| Fifteenth Century | . The Printing-press fixes the language, which had lost nearly all its inflections. |
| Sixteenth Century . | . The Reformation brings Standard English home to all men, and imports many Latin words. |
| Seventeenth Century. | . The Golden age of English Literature. It began, indeed, ten years before this Century. |
| Eighteenth Century | - A Latinized style prevails. |
| Nineteenth Century | . . Reaction from Latinism to Teutonism, at least in our good writers. Long may it last! |

## CHAPTER VI.

GOOD and bad englisi iv 1873.
We read that in our renowned government of 1757, framed by the greatest of all English War ministers and by the greatest of all English Ducal jobbers, everything that was bright and stainless passed through the one channel, everything that was foul and noisome poured through the other; the Ministry was based upon all the high and all the low parts of our nature. Something of the like kind may be remarked in 1873, as to the men who keep the English printing press at work. Some of these are scholars, or men of strong mother wit, who in prose and poetry employ a sound Teutonic style. Others are men representing the middle class, writers who, for want of education, often use in a wrong sense the long Latinized words wherein the true penny-a-liner revels. The first class are day by day straining the foul matter from our language, and are leading us back to old springs too long unsought; perhaps they may yet keep alive our perishing Subjunctive mood. The other class are day by day pouring more sewage into the well of what can no longer be called 'English undefiled.' From the one quarter comes all that is lofty and noble
in the literature of the day; from the other all that is mean and tawdry.

Our middle class (we beheld something of this kind in the Thirteenth Century) has an amazing love of cumbrous Latin words, which have not long been in vogue. This is seen in their early life. Winchester and Eton may call themselves colleges, Harrow and Rugby may call themselves schools; but the place, where the offspring of our shopkeepers are taught bad French and worse Latin, is an educational establishment or a polite seminary. The books used in our National schools show a lofty disdain for homespun English. As the pupils grow older, they do not care to read about a fair lady, but they are at once drawn to a female possessing considerable personal attractions. A brawl is a word good enough for a scuffle between peasants; but when one half-tipsy alderman mauls another, the brawl becomes a fracas. An émeute is a far genteeler word than a riot. A farmer, when he grows rich, prides himself on being an eminent agriculturist. The corruption is now spreading downward to the lower class; they are beginning to think that an operative is something nobler than a workman. ${ }^{1}$ We may call King David a singer; but a triller of Italian trills must be known as a vocalist. Our fathers talked of healing waters; our new guide-books scorn even the term medicinal; therapeutic is the word beloved by all professors of the high polite style. Pope's well-known divine is being outdone; our ears are now become so polite, that sins must be called by new names, at which Wickliffe and Tyndale would have stared. I
 ${ }_{2}^{2} \nu \grave{\rho} \rho!$;
see that a hospital has lately been founded, not for drunkards, but for inebriates, a new-coined substantive of which Bunyan's Mr. Smooth-tongue might have been proud. Shade of Cobbett! we are now forbidden to call a spade a spade; our speech, like Bottom the weaver, is indeed translated.

Let us watch an Englishman of the average type setting to work upon a letter to the Times. ${ }^{1}$ The worthy fellow, when at his own fireside, seldom in his talk goes beyond plain simple words and short sentences, such as Mr. Trollope puts into the mouths of his heroes. But our friend would feel himself for ever sharned in the eyes of his neighbours, wore he to rush into print in this homely guise. He therefore picks out from his dictionary the most high-sounding words he can find, and he works them up into long-winded sentences, wholly forgetting that it is not every man who can bend the bow of Hooker or Clarendon. The upshot is commonly an odd jumble, with much haziness about who, which, and their antecedents. The writer should look askant at words that come from the Latin; they are too often traps for the unwary. ${ }^{2}$ The Lady of the
${ }^{1}$ Here is a gem, which occurs in a letter to the Times of May 5, 1873. The writer sets up to be a critic of the English drama; the blind leads the blind. 'Such representations are artistically as much beneath contempt as morally suggestive of compassion for the performers, not to speak of some indignation that educated and responsible people should sanction such exhibitions.' He also talks. of 'partaking an intellectual pleasure.' Yet the writer of this is most likely no fool in private life.
${ }^{2}$ I have seen a begging letter containing the words, 'I have become so deaf that I cannot articulate what people say to me.' I once heard a showman say of a baboon: 'The form of his claws enables
even trench and the bristling mound is indeed a high and mighty Queen, when seated on her own throne; she has dictated the verse of Catullus and the prose of Tacitus; her laws, given to the world by the mouths of heathen Emperors and Christian Popes, have had wondrous weight with mankind. But no rash or vulgar hand should drag her into English common life; her help, in eking out our store of words, should be sought by none but ripe scholars, and even then most sparingly. ${ }^{1}$

I once heard a country doctor say, 'Let me percute your chest.' ${ }^{2}$ This too common love of Latinized tawdriness is fostered by the cheap press; the penny-aliner is the outcome of the middle class. As I shall bestow some notice upon these individuals, to use the word dearest to their hearts, I think it as well first to say what I mean by the scornful term. The leading articles in our daily papers of the highest rank are the
him to climb trees with the greatest feicity.' I know people who talk of diseases being insiduous, confusing the adjective with assiduous.
${ }^{1}$ In my younger days, the term reduplication used to be confined to the Greek grammar; but I see that one of the cheap papers has begun to employ this word for the action known hitherto to Englishmen as repetition. A little learning is indeed a dangerous thing.
${ }^{2}$ Mr. Charles Butler had called the Bull, by which Pius V. deposed Elizabeth, illaudable. He was twitted by a hot Protestant for applying so mild an epithet to so hateful an act. The Roman Catholic answered that he had had in his mind Virgil's Busiris ; he quoted, in support of his phrase, Aulus Gellius, Heyne, and Milton. Had be but used in the first place some plain English adjective to express his meaning, much angry ink would have been left unshed. See his Vindication against Mr. Townsend's Accusations, pp. 112-114. Mr. Hazard, the American, published in 1873 a very good book on San Domingo; but he will not hear of settling in a country; locating, according to him, is the right word to use.
work of scholars and gentlemen, who write much in the style of our great authors of 1700, and do not use a greater proportion of Romance words than Chaucer employed in his tale of Melibous, five hundred years ago. As to some of our weekly papers (I need not give names), a steady perusal of them is in truth a liberal education, mosi cheaply procured. Without help from such writers this work of mine would never have been undertaken. Their merit as English authors is beyond that of Chaucer, for they-cast aside a huge pile of Romance words that he never knew, that they may employ as great a proportion of Teutonic words as he aid in his prose. Good English is not confined to London; the names of certain admirable journals, published in Scotland, Yorkshire, and Lancashire, will occur to many of my readers.

But when we go a little lower down, we alight upon. the penny-a-liner. His two best-beloved quotations are coign of vantage and the light fantastic toe. He it was who, having never heard of the works of Wheatley or Cardinal Bona, named a certain party in the English Church ritualists; this was about seven years ago. He may always be known by his love of words fresh from Gaul (thus he always calls his brethren his confrères), and by his fondness for Latin words that came in after Pope's death. He looks upon Sir A. Alison's text, well bestrewn with French phrases, as a far nobler pattern than the works of Mr. Hallam or Bishop Thirlwall. With him dangers do not grow, but they 'assume proportions of considerable magnitude.' He scorns to abuse or revile his foes, much more to rate or miscall them, so long.
as he can vituperate them. ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Justice Keogh in 1872 was accused by many Irish pens of having vituperated the Galway clergy, but never of having sinned with the four other verbs in italics. The Irish are every whit as fond of fine language as the English middle class. When in 1871 all the Roman Catholic Prelates in Ireland put forth a lengthy demand for education on sound Ultramontane principles, they spoke of the thing that scholars call a 'hearty welcome' as an 'ovation.' The Irish clergy of the old pattern never learnt stuff such as this at Douai or Salamanca. Maynooth ought to be above borrowing from the Daily Telegraph. ${ }^{2}$ If a writer of this kind were to pit himself boldly against Dr. Arnold and once more to set forth the homeward march of the Roman Consuls after the glorious day of the Metaurus, he would most likely say that they met with an ovation in every town on their road, and that they ended with a triumph at Rome. Livy would raise his eyebrows, could he read this version of his heart-stirring tale. I remember seeing in one of the penny papers an article in 1872 on the Alabama business; the Americans were there said to be uttering minatory expressions; threats being a coarse Teutonic word, far too commonplace for these gentry of the lower press. It is a wonder to me that they have not long ago enriched our tongue with the verbs existimate and autumate, making a dead set at

[^161]the vulgar think and deem. The pressmen have already outrun the auctioneer mentioned at page $2299_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {an }}$ of this work; having now waxed bolder, they will not begin or even commence; they inaugurate and initiate, and they will soon incept. The state of France after 1871 has lately given them two glorious new words, rejweenescence and recuperation. In a letter on prison discipline, printed in the Times of September 5, 1872, we find the wondrous word penology; the writer compounds Latin with Greek, and knows not how to spell the Latin he has compounded. What would become of our unhappy tongue, had we not the Bible and Prayer Book to keep us fairly steady in the good old paths? Our forefathers thought our mansion weather-tight, but these lovers of the newfangled are ever panting to exchange stone and brick for stucco. ${ }^{1}$ When the Irish Protestants were revising their Prayer Book, not many months ago, one luckless wight, a lover of what they call ' ornate phraseology,' was not ashamed to propose an alteration of our grand old Teutonic name for the Third Person of the Trinity. It is needless to say what a reception this piece of unwisdom met with from a scholar like Archbishop Trench. No vulgar hands should be laid on the Ark.

We all owe much to the Correspondents of the daily journals. Many of them write sound English; but the penny-a-liner may now and then be found in their ranks. His Babylonish speech bewrayeth him; he mawkishly enough calls an Emperor 'a certain exalted Personage;' a favourite at Court becomes in the scribbler's mouth 'a persona grata.' After all, it is rather hard to grudge

[^162]him his chance of showing off that he learnt Latin in youth. One of this breed, in the last years of the French Empire, was never tired of telling us in a queer Anglo-Gallic jargon what he ate and drank at Paris, and what Dukes and Marquesses he slapped on the back. Such stuff could not have been served up, day after day, if it had not hit the taste of the English middle class, a taste thoroughly corrupt. A writer of this kind must have readers like-minded with himself. Let me borrow his beloved jargon for one moment, and wound his amour propre by asking what is his raison d'etre? The penny-aliner's help is often sought by an Editor, who knows what good English is, yet employs these worthless tools. Surely the Editors of our first-class journals should look upon themselves as the high-priests of a right worshipful Goddess, and should let nothing foul or unclean draw nigh her altars. Cannot these lower journeymen of the Press be put through a purification, such as an examination in Defoe, Swift, or some sound English writer, that a good style may be formed before the novice is allowed to write for the journal? If the great authors named were set up as models for young writers, we should never hear of fire as 'the devouring element,' of the spot where something happens as 'the locale,' or of a man in his cups as 'involved in circumstances of inebriation.' ${ }^{1}$ It would be barbarous indeed to ask the writers to learn a new tongue; but we only beg them to go back to what they learned from their mothers and their nurses.

[^163]A sharp-eyed gamekeeper nails up rows of dead vermin on a barn door. Even so our Editors ought once a month or so to head their columns with a list of new-fangled words, the use of which should be forbidden to every writer for their journals; to be sure, the vermin unhappily are not yet dead. In this list would come, I hope, many words already gibbeted in this chapter, together with postprandial, solidarity, egoism, collaborator, acerbity, clubiety, donate. ${ }^{1}$ Some of these words, I believe, came to us from America. Our kinsmen there have made noble contributions to our common stock of literature; the works of Irving, Motley, Marsh, Bryant, Longfellow, are prized on both sides of the Atlantic alike. Dr. March by his Comparative Grammar of the Anglo-Saxon language, a work to which I owe so much, has shown us that in some things American scholarship aims at rivalling German thoroughness. But Englishmen cannot help being astonished at one thing in his book: he writes labor, honor, \&c., instead of following the good old English spelling. Here is one of the few instances in which the pupil, strong in his right, may make bold to correct the master. Our English honour, the French honure or honneur, takes us back eight hundred years to the bloody day, big with our island's doom, when the French knights were charging up the slope at Senlac again and again, when striving to break the stubborn English shield-wall. The word honure, which had already

[^164]thriven in Gaul for eleven hundred years, must have been often in the conquerors' mouths all through those long weary hours; it was one of the first French words that we afterwards admicted to English citizenship; and it should abide with us in the shape that it has always hitherto worn. If we change it into honor, we pare down its history, and we lower it to the level of the many Latin words that came in at the Reformation: from the Bastard of Falaise to the English Josiah is a great drop. Let us in this, as in everything else, hold to the good old way; and let our kinsmen, like ourselves, turn with dislike from changes, utterly needless, that spoil a word's pedigree. To maul an old term, whether English or French, is to imitate the clerical boors who wrought such havock at Durham and Canterbury within the last Century.

America and England alike are too much given to slang and to clipping old words. Nothing in the speech of the former country, so far as I know, can match our ' awfully nice,' or our 'what say?' but one comfort is, that slang takes hundreds of years before it can creep into Standard English. Mob and sham were slang in 1680, and smack strongly of that year's peculiarities; on the other hand, humbuy, though as old as Bonnell Thornton, can as yet be employed by no grave author. Addison had before protested against curtailing words, as in the case of incog.; what would he have said to our exam.? Fine writing has set its dingy mark upon America as well as England; I think it was President Pierce who, in his opening address at the Capitol, twenty years ago, spoke of slavery as
' involuntary servitude.' New habits stand in need of new words; one verb, that has come to us within the last four years from the American mint, is 'to interview.' Nothing can better express the spirit of our age, ever craving to hear something new; the verb calls up before us a queer pair : on the one side stands the great man, not at all sorry at the bottom of his heart that the rest of mankind are to learn what a fine fellow he is; on the other side fussily hovers the pressman, a Boswell who sticks at nothing in the way of questioning, but who outdoes his Scotch model in being wholly unshackled by any weak feeling of veneration. This Nineteenth Century of ours is a grand age of inventions. Thus we know to our cost what a Sensation Novel means; yet Mr. Edgeworth, writing in 1808, lets us see that the word sensation in his day was wholly confined to France (Memoirs, p. 192). Now and then innovators make a lucky hit. 'Why so much weep?' (fletus) asked Artemus Ward; he little knew that he was reviving the Old English word wóp. ${ }^{1}$ It is well known that phrases, called Americanisms, are often relics of a remote age. Thus, where an Englishman resolves to do a thing, an American concludes to do it. Yet, in an account of the battle of St. Albans (written in 1455), we read that the King and Lords 'kept resydens, concludyng to holde the

[^165]parlement.' ${ }^{1}$ The fact that America speaks of the Fall and not of the Autumn, ought in a Philologer's eyes to atone for a multitude of her sins of the tongue.
As I have made a few strictures upon American vagaries, I ought, in common fairness, to acknowledge that no American fault comes up to the revolting habit, spread over too many English shires, of dropping or wrongly inserting the letter $h$. Those whom we call 'self-made men' are much given to this hideous barbarism ; their hopes of Parliamentary renown are too often nipped in the bud by the speaker's unlucky tendency to 'throw himself upon the 'Ouse.' An untaught peasant will often speak better English than a man worth half a million. Many a needy scholar might turn an honest penny by offering himself as an instructor of the vulgar rich in the pronunciation of the fatal letter. ${ }^{2}$ Our public schools are often railed against as teaching but little; still it is something that they enforce the right use of the $h$ upon any lad who has a mind to lead a quiet life among his mates. Few things will the English youth find in after-life more profitable than the right use of the aforesaid letter. ${ }^{3}$ The
${ }^{1}$ Paston Letters (Gairdner's edition), i. 331.
${ }^{2}$ I make a present of this hint to those whom it may concern ; I took it from Thackeray, who introduces a Frenchman, the instructor of Mr. Jeames in the art of garnishing his English talk with French phrases.
${ }^{3}$ The following story sets in a strong light the great difference between the speech of the well-bred and of the untaught in England. A servant, who had dropped into a large fortune, asked his master how he was to pass muster in future as a gentleman. The answer was, 'Dress in black and hold your tongue.'
abuse of it jars upon the ear of any well-bred man far more than the broadest Scotch or Irish brogue can do. These dialects, as I have shown, often preserve good old English forms that have long been lost to London and Oxford. ${ }^{1}$

There are two things which are supposed to bring fresh ideas before the minds of the middle class-the newspaper on week days, and the sermon onSundays. We have seen the part played by the former; I now turn to the latter. Many complaints have lately been made on the scarcity of good preachers; one cause of these complaints I take to be, the diction of the usual run of sermons. The lectern and the reading desk speak to the folk, Sunday after Sunday, in the best of English; that is, in old Teutonic words, with a dash of French terms mostly naturalized in the Thirteenth Century. The pulpit, on the other hand, too often deals in an odd jargon of Romance, worked up into long-winded sentences, which shoot high above the heads of the listeners. ${ }^{2}$ Swift complained bitterly of this a hundred and fifty years ago; and the evil is rife as ever now. Is it any wonder then that the poor become lost to the Church, or that they go to the meeting-house, where they can hear the way to Heaven set forth in English, a little uncouth it may be,

[^166]but still well understood of the common folk? A preacher has been known to translate, ' we cannot always stand upright,' into ' we cannot always maintain an erect position. ${ }^{11}$ Who can make anything out of the rubbish that follows, ' a system thus hypothetically elaborated is after all but an inexplicable concatenation of hyperbolical incongruity ?' 2 This reads like Dr. Johnson run mad; no wonder that Dissent has become rife in the land. If we wish to know the cause of the bad style employed in preaching by too many of the Anglican clergy, we must ask how they have been taught at our Schools and Universities. Much heed is there bestowed on Latin and Greek, but none on English. ${ }^{3}$ What a change might be wrought in our pulpits if lads at public schools were given some knowledge of our great writers from Chaucer and Wickliffe downwards, instead of wasting so much time on Latin verses, that do no good in after life to three-fourths of the students! A lad of average wit only needs sound English models to be set before him, and he will teach himself much. What good service might

[^167]Oxford do if she were to establish yet another School, which would enforce a thorough knowledge of English, and would, moreover, teach her bantlings a new use of the Latin and Greek already learnt! The works of March, Morris, Max Müller, and others would soon become Oxford text-books in one of the most charming of all branches of learning. Surely every good son of the Church will be of my mind, that the knowledge of English is a point well worth commending to those who are to fill our pulpits. Our clergy, if well grounded in their own tongue, would preach in a style less like Blair's and more like Bunyan's. Others may call for sweetness and light; I am all for clearness and pith. ${ }^{1}$ But we are getting into the right path at iast. Articles have lately appeared in the Times, calling for more attention to the study of English at our Grammar Schools.

While we are on the subject of schools, it may be pointed out that Greek has done much in the last three centuries to keep before us the fact, that English will lend itself readily to high-sounding compounds. Old Chapman long ago set us on the right tack; Milton followed; and our boys at school talk glibly of wide-swaying Agamemnon and swift-footed Achilles; thus the power of compounding has never altogether left us. Would that we could also fasten any one of our prepositions to our verbs at will! I believe it is mainly owing to the study

[^168]of Latin, that forsooth and wont have been kept alive, by schoolboys construing scilicet and soleo in the timehonoured way. It is pleasant to find one bough of the great Aryan tree lending healthy sap to another offshoot. ${ }^{1}$

Some of the best English verse of our time may be read in the pages of Punch, whenever great Englishmen die. Moreover, that shrewd wight is always ready to nail up vermin on the barn door ; as lately in the case of the word elasticity, employed by three Bishops. Upon this he remarked (June 7, 1873): 'An upstart expression foisted into the Text would be like a patch of new cloth, and that shoddy, sewn into an old garment of honest English make. That web is of a woof too precious to be pieced with stuff of no more worth than a penny a line.' But sound English criticism too often calls forth a growl of annoyance from vulgar vanity. If any one in our day sets himself to breast the muddy tide of fine writing, an outcry is at once raised that he is panting to drive away from England all words that are not thoroughly Teutonic. The answer is: no man that knows the history of the English tongue, can ever be guilty of such unwisdom. Our heedless forefathers in the Thirteenth Century allowed thousands of our good old words to slip; our language must be copious, at any cost; we therefore by slow degrees made good the loss

[^169]with thousands of French terms. Like the Lycian, whom Zeus bereft of wit, we took brass for gold. Thanks to this process, Chaucer had most likely as great a wealth of words at his beck as Orrmin had, two hundred years earlier. But, though we long ago repaired with brick the gaps made in our ruined old stone hall, it does not follow that we should daub stucco over the brick and the stone alike. What a scholar mourns, is that our daws prank themselves in peacocks' feathers : that our lower press and our clergy revel in Romance words, brought in most needlessly after Swift and Addison were in their graves. What, for instance, do we want with the word exacerbate instead of the old embitter? The former is one of the penny-a-liner's choicest jewels. Is not the sentence, workmen want more pay, at least as expressive as the tawdry operatives desiderate additional remuneration? At the same time, no man of sense can object to foreign words coming into English of late years, if they unmistakeably fill up a gap. Our hard-working fathers had no need of the word ennui; our wealth, ever waxing, has brought the state of mind; so France has given us the name for it. The importer, who first bestowed upon us the French prestige, is worthy of all honour, for this word supplied a real want. Our ships sail over all seas; English is the chosen language of commerce ; we borrow, and rightly so, from the uttermost shores of the earth; from the Australians we took Kangaroo; and the great Burke uses taboo, which came to him from Otaheite. ${ }^{1}$ What our ladies, priests, sol-

[^170]diers, lawyers, doctors, huntsmen, architects, and cooks owe to France, has been fairly acknowledged. Italy has given us the words ever in the mouths of our painters, sculptors, and musicians. The Portuguese traders, three hundred years ago, helped us to many terms well known to our merchants. Germany, the parent of long-winded sentences, has sent us very few words ; and these remind us of the Thirty Years' War, when English and Scotch soldiers were fighting on the right side. ${ }^{1}$ To make amends for all this borrowing, England supplies foreigners(too long enslaved) with her own staplenamely, the diction of free political life. ${ }^{2}$ In this she has had many hundred years' start of almost every nation but the Hungarians; she has, it is true, no home-born word for coup d'état; but she may well take pride in being the mother of Parliaments, even as old Rome was the source of civil law. ${ }^{3}$
us a name for irrcgular justico executed upon thieres and murderers.
${ }^{1}$ The word plunder is due to this war. The Indian Mutiny gave us loot, and the American Civil War created the bummer, called of old marauder.
${ }^{2}$ I take the following from D'Azeglio's Letters to his wife, page 244 (published in 1871): 'Abbiamo aruto qui Cobden, il famoso dell' Anti-Corn-Laws-Lcague. Ho doruto far l'inglese puro sangue, più che si potesse, coi speeches e i toast, che sono stati i seguenti : "a S.M. Carlo Alberto-alla Queen Victoria-a Cobden.", The great patriot, as we see, makes rather a hash of his English. We also supply foreigners with sportsmanlike terms; le groom analais est pour le cheval français.
${ }^{3}$ Coup d'état reminds me of one effect of Napoleonism. The greatest of French Reviews says in an article on Manzoni (July 15, 1873): 'quantité de termes, qui n'étaient permis qu'aux halles, ont passé dans le langage de la cour.' Paris is here meant.

But it is sad to see one of the most majestic of our political forms debased into a well-spring of bad English. Few sights are more suggestive than that of a British Sovereign enthroned and addressing the Lords Spiritual and Temporal with the Commons; while the men of 1215 look down from their niches aloft upon their good work. The pageant, one after Burke's own heart, takes us back six hundred years to the days when was laid the ground-plan of our Constitution, much as it still stands; the speech deals with facts upon which hangs the welfare of two hundred millions of men. But the old and pithy style of address, such as Charles I. and Speaker Lenthall employed, is now thought out of place; the Sovereign harangues the lieges in a speech that has become a byword for bad English. We have taken into our heads the odd notion, that long sentences stuffed with Latinized words are more majestic than our forefathers' simplicity of speech; the bad grammar, often put into the Sovereign's mouth, smacks of high treason. The evil example spreads downwards; it is no wonder that official reports are not seldom a cumbrous mass of idle wordiness. ${ }^{1}$ A wholesome awe of long sentences would wonderfully improve the Official style, and would save the country many reams of good paper. As it is, too often from the Government scribbler's toil
> ' Nonentity, with circumambient wings, An everlasting Phœnix doth arise.'

[^171]Mr. Marsh has long ago pointed out that our bestloved bywords, and those parts of the Bible most on our lips in every-day life, are almost purely Teutonic. I go a step further and would remark, that the same holds good, as regards the great watchwords of English history; such as 'Short rede, good rede, slay ye the Bishop;' ' when Adam dalf and Eve span, who was then the gentleman?' 'bastard slips shall not thrive;' ' this man hath got the sow by the right ear;' 'turn or burn;' ' the word Calais will be found graven on my heart after death;' 'stone dead hath no fellow;' 'put your trust in God, but keep your powder dry;' 'change kings, and we will fight you again;' ' we'll sink or swim together ;' ' the French run, then I die happy;' 'a Church without a Gospel, a King above the Law;' 'the wooden walls of Old England;' 'what will they say in England if we get beaten?' 'the schoolmaster is abroad in the land;' 'the Queen has done it all;' 'the Bill, the whole Bill, and nothing but the Bill;' 'blood is thicker than water;' 'rest and be thankful;' 'are they not your own flesh and blood ?' ${ }^{1}$
himself as to talk of 'altering the make-up.' But he at once pulls himself up, after this slip, and goes on to speak of 'making modifications of the personality of the principal character.'
${ }^{1}$ Lord Thurlow in 1789 knew very well what he was about, when he couched in good Saxon his famous adjuration, which he meant to be a household word in the mouths of English squires and parsons. The pithy comments of Pitt, Burke, and Wilkes on Thurlow's blasphemy are well known. The Irish leaders in 1873 are wise in talking of 'Home Rule,' rather than of 'Domestic Legislation;' though the former bears an unlucky resemblance to 'Rome Rule.' Mr. Tadpole and Mr. Taper knew the value of a good cry.

In this way, Pitt the younger is known to us as 'the pilot that weathered the storm.' I have heard, that when Canning wrote the inscription graven on Pitt's monument in the London Guildhall, an Alderman felt much disgust at the grand phrase 'he died poor,' and wished to substitute 'he expired in indigent circumstances.' Could the difference between the scholarlike and the vulgar be more happily marked? I have lately seen another kind of alteration earnestly recom-mended-it is short rede, good rede; and it sounds like a loud call to come and do likewise. Mr. Freeman says in 1873, on reprinting his Essays written long before :-
> ' In almost every page I have found it easy to put some plain English word, about whose meaning there can be no doubt, instead of those needless French and Latin words which are thought to add dignity to style, but which in truth only add vagueness. I am in no way ashamed to find that I can write purer and clearer English now than I did fourteen or fifteen years back; and I think it well to mention the fact for the encouragement of younger writers. The common temptation of beginners is to write in what they think a more elevated fashion. It needs some years of practice before a man fully takes in the truth that, for real strength and above all for real clearness, there is nothing like the old English speech of our fathers.' ${ }^{1}$

We have before our eyes many tokens that the old ways of our forefathers have still charms for us, though our tongue has been for ages, as it were, steeped' in French and Latin. Take the case of children brought to the font by their godfathers; Lamb long ago most

[^172]wittily handled a long list of fine girlish names, and avowed at the end,

* 'These all, than Saxon Edith, please me less.'

One of the signs of the times is, the marked fondness for the name Ethel ; we cannot say whether the heroine of Mr. Thackeray or the heroine of Miss Yonge is the pattern most present to the parental mind. I know of a child christened Frideswide, though her parents have nothing to do with Christchurch, Oxford. This is one of the straws that shows which way the wind is blowing. With all our shortcomings, we may fairly make the Homeric boast that in some things we are far better than our fathers. A hundred years ago Hume and Wyatt were making a ruthless onslaught upon the England of the Thirteenth Century: the one manled her greatest men ; the other (irreparable is the loss) mauled her fairest churches. We live in better times ; we see clearly enough the misdeeds of Hume and Wyatt: ought not our eyes to be equally open to the sins of Johnson and Gibbon? For these last writers, the store that had served their betters was not enough; disliking the words in vogue at the beginning of their Century, they gave us a most unbecoming proportion of tawdry Latinisms, which are to this day the joy of penny-a-liners. But already improvement is abroad in the land; Cobbett first taught us a better way; we have begun to see that the Eighteenth Century (at least in its latter half) was as wrong in its diction as in its History or its Architecture. We are scraping the stucco off the old stone and brick, as tho Germans and Danes have done. Ere long, it is to be
hoped, the most polysyllabic of British scribblers will find out that for him Defoe and Fielding are better models than Johnson or Gibbon. The great truth will dawn upon him, that few men can write forty words unbroken by a semicolon, without making slips in grammar. He will think twice before he uses Latin words, such as ovation, in a sense that makes scholars writhe. He will never discard a Teutonic word without good reason; and if he cannot find one of these fit for his purpose, he will prefer a French or Latin word, naturalized before 1740, to any later comer. Fox had some show of right on his side, when he refused to embody in his History any word not to be found in Dryden ; though the great Whig might surely have borne with phrases used by Swift and Bolingbroke.

I now give three sentences, which will bring three different forms of what is called English into the most glaring contrast ; each contains more than twenty nouns and verbs.
I. Stung by the foe's twitting, our forefathers (bold wights!) drew nigh their trusty friends, and were heartily welcomed; taught by a former mishap, they began the fight on that spot, and showed themselves unaffrighted by threatening forebodings of woe.
II. Provoked by the enemy's abuse, our ancestors (brave creatures!) approached their faithful allies, and were nobly received; instructed by a previous misfortune, they commenced the battle in that place, and proved themselves undismayed by menacing predictions of misery.
III. Exacerbated by the antagonist's vituperation, our
progenitors (audacious individuals!) approximated to their reliable auxiliaries, and were ovated with empressement; indoctrinated by a preliminary contretemps, they inaugurated hostilities in that locality, and demonstrated themselves as unintimidated by minatory vaticinations of catastrophe. ${ }^{1}$

These three sentences at once carry the mind to Hengist, to William the Conqueror, and to the Victorian penny-a-liner. Of the three, the first is made up of good Teutonic words that are among our choicest heirlooms; some of them have been in our mouths for thousands of years, ever since we dwelt on the Oxus. The second sentence is made up of French words, many of which, so far back as the Thirteenth Century, had the right of citizenship in England ; they are not indeed to be ranked with the Teutonic words already given, yet are often most helpful. The third sentence is made up of Latin words, mostly not brought in until after $1740 ;{ }^{2}$ wholly unneeded in England, they are at once the laughingstock of scholars and the idols of penny-a-liners. ${ }^{3}$ The first sentence is like a Highland burn ; the second is like the Thames at Hampton Court ; the third is like London
${ }^{1}$ Mr. Soule, of Boston, furnished me with many of the words of Number III., grand rolling words far above my poor brain. Number III. differs from Number I. as Horace's meretrix from matrona, scurra from amicus; his lines on the difference are well known. As to Mr. Soule and his synonyms-haud equidem invideo; miror magis.

2 There are two Greek words and two French words among them; I have shown the Victorian penny-a-liner at his very best.
${ }^{3}$ Bishop Hall says in his Satires, I. 6 :-

> 'Fie on the forged mint that did create New coin of words never articulate.'
sewage. ${ }^{1}$ Or, to borrow another illustration, the first sentence is like Scott's Jeanie Deans ; the second is like the average young lady of our day; the third is like Fielding's loathsome Bellaston woman. Something has been said earlier of the merits of stone, brick, and stucco. ${ }^{2}$

I will end with a parable :-A maiden of Eastern birth came over the sea, and by sheer force installed herself in a Welshman's house. Her roughness was much abated after her baptism : some say the priest who christened her was an Itálian, others will have it that he was an Irishman. Her garments were afterwards somewhat rumpled and torn in a struggle with a Danish rover, her own kinsman, who long worried her sorely. A French knight proved a still shrewder foe ; he became lord of her house, settled himself in her parlour, and thrust her down into the scullery. There she abode many days, taking little thought for her dress, though she had once given the greatest heed to it. A begging friar now came in, who was listened to by knight and maiden alike; he persuaded the latter to throw away certain articles of her homespun raiment, brought by her from the East, and to replace these (a work of time) by an imitation of part of the knight's fine French apparel. What was worse, she became too proud to spin new garments, as she wanted them, out of her home materials. All this was wrong; her weeds now became parti-coloured, unlike those of her kinsmen on the mainland. Not long after this great change in her attire,

[^173]she found herself once more mistress in all her rooms, equally at home in parlour and in scullery. She again and again took the law of the Frenchman, thus handsomely requiting him for his burglary; and as to the government of her own household, she laid down rules that have since been copied far and wide. But she herself followed foreign fashions in dress still further as she grew older, especially about the time that she turned Protestant. Soon after changing her creed, she is thought to have looked her very best. We must take her as we find her; it is hopeless to expect her to wear those articles that she long ago flung away at the friar's behest; but all lovers of good taste will be sorry, if she hide the goodly old homespun weeds that still romain to her, under a heap of new-fangled Italian gewgaws. She is sometimes to be met with abroad, dight in comely apparel ; plain in her neatness, she seems fondest of the attire she brought with her from over the sea, though she shrinks not from wearing a fair proportion of the French gear which she cannot now do without, thanks to her unwisdom when she lived in the scullery. Arrayed on this wise, she can hold her own, so skilful judges say, against all comers; she need not fear the rivalry of the proudest ladies ever bred in Greece or Italy. But sometimes the silly wench seems to be given over to the Foul Fiend of bad taste; she comes out in whimsical garments that she never knew until the other day; she decks herself in outlandish ware of all the colours of the rainbow, hues that she has not the wit to combine ; ${ }^{1}$ heartily ashamed of her own home,

[^174]she takes it into her head to ape foreign fashions, like the vulgarest of the pretenders upon whom Thackeray loved to bring down his whip. In these fits, she resembles nothing so much as some purse-proud upstart's wife, blest with more wealth than brains, who thinks that she can take rank among Duchesses and Countesses by putting on her back the gaudiest refuse of a milliner's shop. Let us hope that these odd fits may soon become things of the past; and that the fair lady, whom each true knight is bound to champion against besetting clowns, may hold up before English scholars, preachers, and pressmen alike that brightest of all her jewels, simplicity.

Your termes, your coloures, and your figures,
Kepe hem in store, til so be ye endite
Hie stile, as whan that men to kinges write.
Speketh so plain at this time, I you pray,
That we may understonden what ye say. ${ }^{1}$

[^175]
## CHAPTER VII.

## TWELVE HUNDRED YEARS OF ENGLISH.

## I.

Runes on the Ruthwell Cross, of aboet the year 680. ${ }^{1}$
(On-) geredæ hinæ
God almeyottig
pa he walde
on galgu gi-stiga
modig fore
(ale) men
(ahof) ic riienæ cuninge
heafunæs hlafard
hæelda ic (n)i darstæ
bismærædu ungcet men ba rtgad(r)e
ic (wæs) mip blodæ bistemid
Krist wæs on rodi
hwepre per fusæ
fearran kwomu
$æ p$ pilæ ti lanum
ic pæt al bi(h)eal(d)
$s($ are $)$ ic wæs
mi(p) sorgu(m) gi(d)ræ(fe)d

Girded him
God almighty
when he would
on gallows mount
proud for
all men
I heaved the rich king heaven's lord
heel (over) I durst not
men mocked us both together.
I was with blood besmeared
Christ was on rood
but there hurriedly
From afar they came
the Prince to aid
I beheld all that
sore I was
with sorrows harrowed
${ }^{1}$ Stephens, Funic Monuments, I. 405.
mip strelum giwundæd alegdun hiæ hinæ limwæ- they laid him down limbrigna
gistoddun him (æt) h (is 1)icæs (h)eaf(du)m
with arrows wounded weary
they stood at his corpse's head

## II.

Manuscript of the year 737, containing lines by Cadmon. ${ }^{1}$

Nu scylun hergan hefaen ricaes uard metudæs mæcti end his mod gidanc uerc uuldur fadur sue he uundra gihuaes
eci drictin or astelide
He ærist scop
elda barnum
heben til hrofe
haleg scepen
tha middun geard mon cynnæs uard
eci dryctin
æfter tiadæ
firum foldu
frea allmectig.

Nor must we praise heaven kingdom's Warden the Creator's might and his mind's thought glorious Father of men as he of each wonder eternal Lord formed the beginning He erst shaped for earth's bairns heaven as a roof holy Shaper then mid-earth mankind's Warden eternal Lord afterwards produced for men the earth Lord Almighty.

[^176]
## III.

The Eigitif Psala, from the Nortifumbrian Psalter, Compiled about the Year 800. ${ }^{1}$

Dryht', dryht' ur, hu wundurlic is noma סin in alre eorðan, for-「on up-ahefen is micelnis סin ofer heofenas, of mu®e cilda and milc-deondra du ge-fremedes lof.
fore feondum ঠinum, 才æt ঠu to-weorpe feond and gescildend.
for-ðon ic ge-sie heofenas werc fingra ðinra, monan and steorran $\delta \mathrm{a} \delta u$ ge-stea $\delta u l a d e s$.
hwet is mon ðæt ge-myndig $\delta \mathrm{u}$ sie his, oঠðe sunu monnes for-ðon ðu neosas hine?

סu ge-wonedes hine hwoene laessan from englum, mid wuldre and mid are $\delta u$ ge-begades hine, and ge-settes hine ofer werc honda ১inra:
all $\delta \mathrm{u}$ under-deodes under fotum his, scep and oxan all ec סon and netenu feldes,
fuglas heofenes and fiscas saes, סa geond-gað stige saes
Dryht,' dryht' ur, hu wundurlic is noma Xin in alre eorðan.

## IV.

The Rushworth Gospels, a.d. 900.

St. Matthew, Chap. ii.

1. Əa soplice akenned wæs Hælend Iudeana in dagum Erodes pæs kyninges, henu tungul-kræftgu eastan quomon in Hierosolimam, 2. cwepende, hwær is sepe akenned is kining Iudeana? we gesegon soplice steorra his in east-dæle and cuomon to gebiddenne to him. 3. jæt ja
${ }^{1}$ This Psalm may be compared with the rersion made four hundred and fifty years later, at p. 145 of my work. Both may be found in the Psalter (Surtees Society).
geherde, soplice Herodes king wæs gedroefed in mode and ealle Hierosolima mid hine. 4. . . . . ealle aldursacerdos, bokeras pæs folkes, ahsade heom hwær Krist wære akenned. 5. hiæ pa cwædon, in Bethlem Iudeana, swa soplice awriten jurh witgu, cwæpende. 6. . . . . nænigpinga læs-æst eart aldurmonnum Iuda, of pe soplice gæp latteuw sepe ræccet Israhæl. 7. Herodes dernunga acægde tungul-kræftgum and georne geliornade æt pa tid jæs æteawde him steorra. 8. sondende heom to Bethlem cwæp, gæp ahsiał georne bi pem cnæhte panne ge gemoetep hine swcgad eft, pæt ic swilce cymende gebidde to him. 9. pa hie pa . . . . خæs kyninges word eodun ponan, henu pe steorra pe hiæ ær gesægon east-dæle fore-eade hiæ oppret he cumende bufan ðær se cneht . . . . 10. hie geseænde soplice steorran gefegon gefea miccle swipe. 11. ingangende pæt hus gemoettun pone cneht mid . . . forpfallende gebedun to him . . . ontynden heora gold-hord brohtun lac recils murra. 12. andsuari onfengon slepe, hiæ ne cerdun . . . purh wege gewendun to heora londe.

## V.

The Lindisfarne Gospels, a.d. 970. PARABLE OF THE TEN VIRGINS.-St. Mattbew xxv.

1. Đonne gelic bið ric heofna tewm hehstaldun,

 weron idlo and fifo hogofæeste. 3. ah fifo idlo gefengon leht-fato ne genomun oele mið him. 4. hogofæeste
uutetlice onfengon oele in fetelsum hiora mid leht-fatum. 5. suigo uutetlice dyde $\delta$ brydgum geslepedon alle and geslepdon. 6. middum uutetlice næht lydeng geworden wæs: heonu brydguma cwom, gæs ongæn him. 7. ба arioson alle hehstalde $\delta \mathrm{a}$ ilco, and gehrindon leht-fato hiora. 8. idlo uutetlice ðæm snotrum cuoedon: seles us of ole iuerre, forðon leht-fato usræ gedrysned biðon. 9. geonduordon hogo cuoeðendo: eaðe mæg ne noh is us and iuh, gaas gewelgad to ðæm bibycendum and bygeð iuh. 10. miððy uutetlice geeodon to bycganne, cuom ঠe brydguma and $\delta \mathrm{a}$ бe . . . . weron innfoerdon mið him to brydloppum and getyned wæs de dura. 11. hlætmesto cwomon and $\delta \mathrm{a}$ oðro hehstaldo cueðendo: drihten, drihten, untyn us. 12. soð he onduearde cueठ: soðlice ic cuoeðo iuh, nat ic iuih. 13. weccas forðon, forðon nuuto gie ðone dæge ne pone tid.
VI.
(About A.d. 1090.)
THE FINDING OF ST. EDMUND'S HEAD.


Hwæt pa, סe flot-here ferde pa eft to scipe, and What then fleet-armament fared then again ship $\underset{\text { bid }}{\text { behyddon }}{ }_{\text {the }}^{\text {pret }}$ heafod pæs halgan $\begin{gathered}\text { holy } \\ \text { hoadmundes on pam }\end{gathered}$ ðiccum bremlum, jæt hit biburiged ne wurde. pa thick brambles buried should not be.
${ }^{1}$ Thorpe's Analecta, p. 87. He thinks that this is East Anglian. Here we see the Anglian diphthong $e$ at the end of words, just as on the Ruthwell Cross, four hundred years earlier.
æfter fyrste, syððan heo ifarene wæron, com pæt londa time after they gone
folc tó, pe pær to lafe pa wǽs, pær heoræ lafordes lić left their lord's corpse
buton heafde pa læg, and wurdon swi>e sarig for his without head lay were right sorry
slægie on mode, and hure pæt heo næfdon pæt heafod to slaughter mind moreover had not pam bodige. pa sæde de sceawere, pe hit ær iseah, pæt beholder erst saw
pa flot-men hæfdon pæt heafod mid heom, and wæs him with them to him it
ipuht, swa swa hit wæs ful sor, pæt heo hydden pæt scemed as true
heofod on pam holte. For-hwæga heo eoden pa endemes However went at last
alle to pam wude, sæcende gehwær, geond pyfelas and every where through shrubs
brymelas, gif heo mihten imeten pæt heafod. Wæs eac
mycel wunder pæt an wulf wæs isend, purh Godes willunge, to biwærigenne pæt heafod, wið pa oðre deór, guard against beasts
ofer dæg and niht. Heo eoden $\partial \mathrm{a}$ sæcende, and day
cleopigende, swa swa hit iwunelic is pæt $\delta \mathrm{a}$ pe on wude
calling customary those that
gap oft: 'Hwær eart pu nu gerefa?' And him andgo governor
swyrde fæt heafod: 'Her, her, her.' And swa ilome so often
clypode andswarigende, orðet heo alle bicomen, purh until came
pa clypunge, him tó. pa læg pe grægæ wulf pe bewiste gray
guarded
pæt heafod, ant mid his twam fotum hæfde pæt heafod two feet
biclypped, gredig and hungrig, and for Gode ne dyrste clasped
pæs hæfdes onburigen, ac heold hit wio deor. Đa taste but held
wurdon heo ofwundroden pæs wulfes hordrædene, and became amazed at guardianship pæt halige heafod hám feroden mid heom, pankende home carried
pam Almihtigan alre his wundræ. Ac pe wulf fologede for all
forł mid pam heafde, oððet heo on túne comen, swylce town as if
he tome wære, and wende æft syððan to wude ongean. tame
again
Đa lond-leodan pa syððan lægdan jæt heafod to pam land:-folk
halige bodige, and burigdon, swa swa heo lihtlucost easiest mihten on swylce rædinge, and cyrce arærdon onuppon such haste a kirk reared him. ${ }^{1}$

## VII.

(A.D. 1220.)

ANCREN RIWLE (Camden Society), 388. ${ }^{2}$
A lefdi was pet was mid hire voan biset al abuten, lady foes
and hire lond al destrued, and heo al poure, wiðinnen she poor
${ }^{1}$ I give here only one specimen of English between this date (1090) and 1350 , since so many pieces, written in that interval, are to be found in my book.
${ }^{2}$ This is the only passage, of all the specimens in this Chapter, that was not written in the Anglian country, or that did not feel the Anglian influence. French words begin to come in.
one eorðene castle. On mihti kinges luve was pauh bian earthen $\quad A$
however
turnd upon hire, so unimete swuðe pet he vor wouhboundless very wooing
lecchunge sende hire his sonden, on efter oder, and ofte messengers, one
somed monie: and sende hire beaubelet boðe veole and
at once jewels many
feire, and sukurs of livene丈, and help of his heie hird to supplies victuals army
holden hire castel. Heo underveng al ase on unrecreceived
careless
heleas ping pet was so herd iheorted pet hire luve ne hard-hearted
mihte he never beon pe neorre. Hwat wult tu more? nearer
He com himsulf a last, and scheawede hire his feire at
neb, ase pe pet was of alle men veirest to biholden, and face one
spec swuðe sweteliche and so murie wordes pet heo spake pleasant they
muhten je deade arearen vrom deaðe to live. And might
wrouhte veole wundres, and dude veole meistries bivodid great works
ren hire eihsihðe, and scheawede hire his mihten : tolde hire of his kinedome, and bead for to makien hire cwene offered
of al pet he ouhte. Al pis ne help nout. Nes pis owned helped nought Was not this
wunderlich hoker? Vor heo nes never wurbe vorte disdain to
beon his schelchine. Auh so, puruh his debonerté, luve scullion But
hefde overkumen hine pet he seide on ende, 'Dame, pu had
him
ert iweorred, and jine von beoð so stronge jet tu ne assailed foes
meiht nonesweis, wiotuten sukurs af me, etfleon hore in no way escape their
honden, pet heo ne don pe to scheomefule dead. Ich they
chulle vor pe luve of pe nimen pis fiht upon me, and shall take
aredden pe of ham pet scheche $\gamma$ pine deað. Ich wot rid them
pauh for sode jet ich schal bitweonen ham undervongen must
deaßes wunde, and ich hit wulle heorteliche vorto ofgon win
pine heorte. Nu, jeonne, biseche ich pe, vor pe luve pet then
ich kuðe pe, pet tu luvie me, hure and hure, efter pen show
ilke dead deaðe, hwon pu noldes lives. pes king same since wouldst not in my life dude al pus, aredde hire of alle hire von, and was himsulf to wundre ituked, and isleien on ende. Duruh injured slain
miracle pauh he aros from deaðe to live. Nere peos Would not bs
ilke lefdi of vuele kunnes kunde, zif heo over alle ping evil nature sprung
ne luve him her efter?
Des king is Jesu Crist, Godes sune, pet al o pisse wise wowude ure soule, pet pe deoflen heveden biset. And wooed our devils
he, ase noble woware, efter monie messagers, and feole many
god deden, com vorto preoven his luve, and scheawede prove
puruh knihtschipe pet he was luve-wurde, ase weren worthy
sumewhule knihtes iwuned for to donne. He dude him sometimes wont do placed
ine turnement, and hefde vor his leofmonnes luve his lady's
schelde ine vihte, ase kene kniht, on everiche half side
i-purled. Dis scheld pet wreih his Godhed was his leove pierced covered dear
licome pet was ispred o rode, brod ase scheld buven in body above
his i-streiht earmes, and neruh bineoðen, ase pe on vot, stretched narrow one foot
efter pet me weneð, sete upon pe oðer vote. . . . Efter aecording to supposition
kene knihtes deaðe me hongeð heie ine chirche his men hang
schelde on his munegunge. Al so is pis scheld, pet is, remenbrance
pet crucifix iset ine chirche, ine swuche stude pet me hit such place
sonest iseo, vorto jenchen jerbi o Jesu Cristes knihtmay see
schipe pet he dude o rode.

## VIII.

 (A.d. 1356. ${ }^{1}$ )SIR JOHN Mandeville.
For als moche as it is longe tyme passed, that ther was no generalle passage ne vyage over the see; and many men desiren for to here speke of the holy lond, and han therof gret solace and comfort; I John Maundevylle, Knyght, alle be it I be not worthi, that

[^177]was born in Englond, in the town of Seynt Albones, passede the see, in the yeer of our Lord Jhesu Crist mcccxxir., in the day of Seynt Michelle ; and hidre to have ben longe tyme over the see, and have seyn and gon thorghe manye dyverse londes, and many provynces and kingdomes and iles ; and have passed thorghout Turkye, Tartarye, Percye, Surrye, Arabye, Egypt the highe and the lowe, Ermonye the litylle and the grete; thorgh Lybye, Caldee, and a gret partie of Ethiope; thorgh Amazoyne, Inde the lasse and the more, a gret partie; and thorgh out many othere iles, that ben abouten Inde; where dwellen many dyverse folkes, and of dyverse maneres and lawes, and of dyverse schappes of men. Of whiche londes and iles I schalle speke more pleynly hereaftre. And I schal devise zou sum partie of thinges that there ben, whan time schalle ben, aftre it may best come to my mynde ; and specyally for hem, that wylle and are in purpos for to visite the holy citee of Jerusalem, and the holy places that are thereaboute. And I schalle telle the weye, that thei schalle holden thidre. For I have often tymes passed and ryden the way, with gode companye of many lordes: God be thonked.

And zee schulle undirstonde, that I have put this boke out of Latyn into Frensch, and translated it azen out of Frensche into Englyssch, that every man of my nacioun may undirstonde it.

But lordes and knyghtes and othere noble and worthi men, that conne Latyn but lityle, and han ben bezonde the see, knowen and undirstonden, zif I seye trouthe or no, and zif I erre in devisynge, for forzetynge, or elles;
that thei mowe redresse it and amende it. For thinges passed out of longe tyme from a mannes mynde or from his syght, turnen sone into forgetynge; because that mynde of man ne may not ben comprehended ne withholden, for the freeltie of mankynde.

## IX.

> Bishop Pecock, Repressor of over mech Blaming of the Clergy, Vol. I. 86.

(About A.D. 1450.)

## EVILS OF PRIVATE JUDGMENT IN RELIGION.

Certis in this wise and in this now seid maner and bi this now seid cause bifille the rewful and wepeable destruccioun of the worthi citee and universite of Prage, and of the hoole rewme of Beeme, as $y$ have had ther of enformacioun ynouz. And now, aftir the destruccioun of the rewme, the peple ben glad for to resorte and turne azen into the catholik and general faith and loore of the chirche, and in her ${ }^{1}$ pouerte bildith up azen what was brent and throwun doun, and noon of her holdingis ${ }^{2}$ can thrive. But for that Crist in his prophecying muste needis be trewe, that ech kingdom devidid in hem silf schal be destruyed, therefore to hem ${ }^{3}$ bifille the now seid wrecchid myschaunce. God for his merci and pitee kepe Ynglond, that he come not into lijk daunce. But forto turne here fro azen unto our Bible men, y preie ze seie ze to me, whanne among you is rise a strijf in holdingis and opiniouns (bi cause that ech of

[^178]you trustith to his owne studie in the Bible aloon, and wole have alle treuthis of mennys moral conversacioun there groundid), what iuge mai therto be assiyned in erthe, save resoun and the bifore seid doom ${ }^{1}$ of resoun? For thouz men schulden be iugis, zit so muste thei be bi uce of the seid resoun and doom of resoun ; and if this be trewe, who schulde thanne better or so weel use, demene, and execute this resoun and the seid doom, as schulde tho men whiche han spende so miche labour aboute thilk craft? And these ben tho now bifore seid clerkis. And therefore, ze Bible men, bi this here now seid whiche ze muste needis graunte, for experience which ze han of the disturblaunce in Beeme, and also of the disturblaunce and dyverse feelingis had among zou silf now in Ynglond, so that summe of zou ben clepid Doctourmongers, and summe ben clepid Opinioun-holders, and summe ben Neutralis, that of so presumptuose a cisme abhominacioun to othere men and schame to zou it is to heere; rebuke now zou silf, for as miche as ze wolden not bifore this tyme allowe, that resoun and his doom schulde have such and so greet interesse in the lawe of God and in expownyng of Holi Scripture, as y have seid and proved hem to have.

## X.

(A.D. 1550.)

LEVER'S SERMONS. ${ }^{2}$
As for example of ryche men, loke at the merchauntes of London, and ye shall se, when as by their honest voca-

[^179]cion, and trade of marchandise God hath endowed them with great abundaunce of ryches, then can they not be content with the prosperous welth of that vocacion to satisfye theym selves, and to helpe other, but their riches muste abrode in the countrey to bie fermes out of the handes of worshypfull gentlemen, honeste yeomen, and pore laborynge husbandes. Yea nowe also to bye personages, and benefices, where as they do not onelye bye landes and goodes, but also lyves and soules of men, from God and the comen wealth, unto the Devyll and theim selves. A myschevouse marte of merchandrie is this, and yet nowe so comenly used, that therby shepeheardes be turned to theves, dogges into wolves, and the poore flocke of Christ, redemed wyth his precious bloud, moste miserablye pylled and spoyled, yea cruelly devoured. Be thou marchaunt of the citye, or be thou gentleman in the contrey, be thou lawer, be you courtear, or what maner of man soever thou be, that can not, yea yf thou be master doctor of divinitie, that wyl not do thy duety, it is not lawfull for the to have personage, benefice, or any suche livyng, excepte thou do fede the flocke spiritually wyth Goddes worde, and bodelye wyth honeste hospitalitye. I wyll touch diverse kyndes of ryche men and rulers, that ye maye se what harme some of theim do wyth theyr ryches and authoritye. And especiallye I wyll begynne wyth theym that be best learned, for they seme belyke to do moste good wyth ryches and authoritie unto theim committed. If I therefore beynge a yonge simple scholer myghte be so bolde, I wolde aske an auncient, wyse, and well learned doctor of divinitie, whych cometh not at hys benefice,
whether he were bounde to fede hys flocke in teachynge of Goddes worde, and kepyng hospitalitie or no? He wolde answere and saye: Syr, my curate supplieth my roume in teachynge, and my farmer in kepynge of house. Yea but master doctor by your leave, both these more for your vauntage then for the paryshe conforte : and therefore the mo suche servauntes that ye kepe there, the more harme is it for your paryshe, and the more synne and shame for you. Ye may thynke that I am sumwhat saucye to laye synne and shame to a doctor of divinitie in thys solemne audience, for some of theim use to excuse the matter, and saye: Those whych I leave in myne absence do farre better than I shoulde do, yf I taryed there my selfe.

## XI.

## COWLEY.

(Works, printed by Sprat in 1668. ${ }^{1}$ )
How this love came to be produced in me so early, is a hard question : I believe I can tell the particular little chance that filled my head first with such Chimes of Verse, as have never since left ringing there. For I remember when I began to read, and to take some pleasure in it, there was wont to lie in my Mother's Parlour (I know not by what accident, for she her self never in her life read any Book but of Devotion), but there was wont to lie Spencers Works: this I happened to fall upon, and was infinitely delighted with the stories of the

[^180]364 The Sources of Standard English.
Knights, and Giants, and Monsters, and brave Houses, which I found every where there: (Though my understanding had little to do with all this) and by degrees with the tinckling of the Rhyme and Dance of the Numbers, so that I think I had read him all over before I was twelve years old, and was thus made a Poet as irremediably as a Child is made an Eunuch. With these affections of mind, and my heart wholly set upon Letters, I went to the University; But was soon torn from thence by that violent Publick storm which would suffer nothing to stand where it did, but rooted up every Plant, even from the Princely Cedars to Me, the Hyssop. Yet I had as good fortune as could have befallen me in such a Tempest ; for I was cast by it into the Family of one of the best Persons, and into the Court of one of the best Princesses of the World. Now though I was here engaged in wayes most contrary to the Original design of my life, that is, into much company, and no small business, and into a daily sight of Greatness, both Militant and Triumphant (for that was the state then of the English and French Courts), yet all this was so far from altering my Opinion, that it onely added the confirmation of Reason to that which was before but Natural Inclination. I saw plainly all the Paint of that kind of Life, the nearer I came to it; and that Beauty which I did nct fall in Love with, when, for ought I knew, it was reall, was not like to bewitch, or intice me, when I saw that it was Adulterate. I met with several great Persons, whom I liked very well, but could not perceive that any part of their Greatness was to be liked or desired, no more then I would be glad, or content to
be in a storm, though I saw many ships which rid safely and bravely in it: A storm would not agree with my stomach, if it did with my Courage. Though I was in a crowd of as good company as could be found any where, though I was in business of great and honourable trust, though I eate at the best Table, and enjoyed the best conveniences for present subsistance that ought to be desired by a man of my condition in banishment and publick distresses ; yet I could not abstain from renewing my old School-boys Wish in a Copy of Verses to the same effect,

## XII.

## GIBBON.

(A.d. 1776.)
the decline and fall of the roman empire.

## CHAPTER I.

In the second century of the Christian Æra, the empire of Rome comprehended the fairest part of the earth, and the most civilized portion of mankind. The frontiers of that extensive monarchy were guarded by ancient renown and disciplined valour. The gentle, but powerful, influence of laws and manners had gradually cemented the union of the provinces. Their peaceful inhabitants enjoyed and abused the advantages of wealth and luxury. The image of a free constitution was preserved with decent reverence: the Roman senate appeared to possess the sovereign authority, and devolved
on the emperors all the executive powers of government.

## CHAPTER II.

It was once proposed to discriminate the slaves by a peculiar habit; but it was justly apprehended that there might be some danger in acquainting them with their own numbers. Without interpreting, in their utmost strictness, the liberal appellations of legions and myriads, we may venture to pronounce that the proportion of slaves, who were valued as property, was more considerable than that of servants, who can be computed only as an expense. The youths of a promising genius were instructed in the arts and sciences, and their price was ascertained by the degree of their skill and talents. Almost every profession, either liberal or mechanical, might be found in the household of an opulent senator. The ministers of pomp and sensuality were multiplied beyond the conception of modern luxury. It was more for the interest of the merchant or manufacturer to purchase, than to hire his workmen; and in the country, slaves were employed as the cheapest and most laborious instruments of agriculture. To confirm the general observation, and to display the multitude of slaves, we might allege a variety of particular instances. It was discovered, on a very melancholy occasion, that four hundred slaves were maintained in a single palace of Rome.

## MORRIS.

## (A.D. 1872.)

Love is enough.
Ofriend, I have seen her no more, and her mourning
Is alone and unhelped-yet to-night or to-morrow
Somewhat nigher will I be to her love and her longing.
Lo, to thee, friend, alone of all folk on the earth
These things have I told: for a true man I deem thee
Beyond all men call true; yea, a wise man moreover
And hardy and helpful; and I know thy heart surely
That thou holdest the world nought without me thy fosterling.
Come, leave all awhile! it may be, as time weareth,
With new life in our hands we shall wend us back hither.
Page 47.
One beckoneth her back hitherward-even Death-
And who was that, Beloved, but even I?
Yet though her feet and sunlight are drawn nigh
The cold grass where he lieth like the dead,
To ease your hearts a little of their dread
I will abide her coming, and in speech
He knoweth, somewhat of his welfare teach.

## Hearken, O Pharamond, why camest thou hither?

I came seeking Death; I have found him belike.
In what land of the world art thou lying, O Pharamond ?
In a lande'twixt two worlds; nor long shall I dwell there.
Who am I, Pharamond, that stand here beside thee?
The Death I have sought-thou art welcome; I greet thee.

Such a name have I had, but another name have I.
Art thou God, then, that helps not until the last season?
Yea, God am I surely; yet another name have I.
Methinks as I hearken, thy roice I should wot of.
I called thee, and thou cam'st from thy glory and lingship.
I was King Pharamond, and love overcame me.
Pharamond, thou say'st it.-I am Love and thy master.
Sooth did'st thou say when thou call'dst thyself Death.
Though thou diest, yet thy love and thy deeds shall I quicken.

Be thou God, be thou Death, yet I love thee and dread not.
Pharamond, while thou livedst, what thing wert thou loring?

A dream and a lie-and my death-and I love it.
Pharamond, do my bidding, as thy wont was aforetime.
What wilt thou have of me, for I wend away swiftly ?
Open thine eyes, and behold where thou liest!
It is little-the old dream, the old lie is about me.
Why faintest thou, Pharamond? Is love then unworthy?
Then hath God made no world now, nor shall make hereafter.

Wouldst thou live if thou mightst in this fuir world, 0 Pharamond?

Yea, if she and truth were ; nay, if she and truth were not.
O long shalt thou live; thou art here in the body,
Where nought but thy spirit I brought in days bygone.
Ah, thou hearkenest!-And where then of old hast thou heard it?
O mock me not, Death; or, Life, hoid me no longer ;
For that sweet strain I hear that I heard once a-dreaming ;
Is it death coming nigher, or life coming back that brings it?
Or rather my dream come again as aforetime?
Look up, 0 Pharamond! canst thou see aught about thee? -Page 76.

It is a shame for any Englishman to look coldly upon his mother tongue, and I hope that this Book may help forward the study of English in all its stages. Let the beginner first buy the Gothic and Anglo-Saxon Gospels, with Wickliffe's and Tyndale's versions; these, printed in four columns side by side, make a moderate volume, and are published by J. Smith, Soho Square, London. Let him next getThorpe's Analecta Anglo-Saxonica (a glossary is attached), published by Arch, Cornhill; the extracts given here range from the year 890 to 1205. Then let him go on to Dr. Morris' Specimens of Early English, which will take him from 1230 to 1400 ; Mr. Skeat's Specimens will bring him down to 1579 : these last two books come from the Clarendon Press and are sold by Macmillan \& Co. The great English works, from 1579 to 1873, may be supposed to be already well known to all
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men of any education. The thorough-going English student must always keep his eye fixed upon Dr. March's Anglo-Saxon Grammar (Sampson Low, Son, and Marston), and upon Dr. Morris' Historical Outlines of English Accidence (Macmillan and Co.). He will, it is to be hoped, forthwith become a subscriber to the Early English TextSociety. May many an Englishman begin his studies in his own tongue, mindful of Virgil's line:
' Antiquam exquirite Matrem.'


## I N D EX.

[English words and letters are here inserted in their most modern shape; thus which must be looked out, in order to find hoyylc. In pursuance of this plan, I set down that $a$ replaces $(x$, not that $\propto$ changes to $a$.]

> A ADV
A. the Prefix, 15 ; it is clipped, 96

- Replaces $a, 38,43$, 50, 57, 61, 73, 74, 88, 102
- Replaces $a n$ in the Infinitive, 37, 43
- Replaces an in Nouns, 51
- Replaces an as the Article, 67, 69, 73
- Replaces $e$, 80, 148, 177, 274, 282, 283
- Replaces $c a, 37,38,43,52$, 54, 60, 73, 95
- Replaces ge, 44, 61
- Replaces $i, 261$
- Replaces o, 64, 70
- Replaces of, 119, 261
- Replaces on, 27, 59, 64, 74, 88, 269, 317
- Replaces $y, 261$
- used as an Interjection, 100
- standing for $h e, 192$
- set before an adjective, 192

A day or two, 194
Abaft, 26
Aberdeenshire, 138, 146
Able, the Romance Suffix, 247, 275, 279

About to (standing for the Future), 194
Abore, 98, 130
Abraham, Bishop, 335
Abroad (latè), 77, 291
Abroad (foris), 291
Ac, the Suffix, 247
Accents, 32, 221, 222
Accord, with one, 269
According as, 269
According to, 26, 280, 287
Acknowledge, 64, 300
Acorn, 274
Acre, 3, 5
Across, 280
Adam Bede, the Authoress of, 99. See Middlemarch

Adder, 188
Addison, 98, 149, 195, 252, 300, 312, 313, 317, 331, 338
Adjectival endings, 11, 12, 103, 130, 248
Adjectives, 7, 13, 22, 51, 59, 95, 277

- no longer agree in Number with Substantives, 52, 285
Ado, 283, 287, 291
Adventure, 237


## ADV

Adventurer, 238
Adverbial Genitive, 8
Adverbs, 7, 101, 192, 241
Adroutry, 292
Æ, replacing ea, 57, 61

- it disappears, 87, 91, 102, 112
- the Anglian diphthong, 353

Ælfric, 51, 67, 68, 85, 216
Afar, 119
Affinity, 243, 301
Afford, 83
Afore, 27, 194
Afraid, 245, 292
Aft, 26
After, 7, 27
Aftermost, 7
Again, 27, 91, 151, 285, 286
-in composition, 307
Against, 71, 73, 264, 278, 290
Agatho, Pope, 61
Age, the Romance Suffix, 246
Aghast, 113
Agincourt, 276
Ago, 141
Agog, 105
Ai, the combination, 87

- replaces $a, 91$

Ail, 274
Ait, 113
Ajar, 80
Al, the Anglian for eal, 37, 43, 52, 54, 73
— is prefixed, $73,75,85,101$

- is clipped in Scotland, 147

AI, the Suffix, 247
Alack, 222, 278
Aland, 112
Alas, 222
Albeit, 85, 101, 118, 269, 287
Alcuin, 35
Alderliefest, 60, 309
Alderman, a Prince, 102
Aldgate, 69

AN
Alexander, the Romance of, 178180, 188, 237, 241, 242, 256
Alfred, 30, 31, 37, 38, 39, 41, $44-47,51,54,68,86,87,175$, 215, 216, 217, 254, 259, 264, 268, 293
-- his Proverbs, 91, 125, 126, 128, 141
Alice, Queen, 218
Alike, 129, 261
Alison, 326
Alive, 81, 126
All and some, 159
All at once, 148
All diay long, 112
All one to me, 116
All to pieces, 194
Allegro, the, 311
Alliterative Poetry, 33, 34, 233
Alms, 43, 220, 243
Aloft, 64, 97
Alone, $\cdot 101,126$
Along, 27
Aloof, 310
Aloud, 179
Already, 291
Also, 70
Although, 85, 101
Altogether, 75
Always, 193, 290
Am, 8, 10, 36, 43, 61
Amâsse, 53
Amell (inter), 41
America, 27, 161, 292, 327. 330333, 339. See United States
Amid, 118
Amiss, 142
Among, amongst, 48, 59, 290
An, the Article, 27, 89
An, the Suffix, 247
An, replacing agen, 57
An, the Infinitive, clipped, 50 , 52, 74

ANA
Analecta, Anglo-Saxonica, 71,369
Ance, the Suffix, 247
Ancren Riwle, the, 118-124, 127, 141, 155, 168, 221-226, 236, 241, 252, 265, 355
Ande, the Northern Participle in, 9, 44, 62, 94, 125, 148, 165, 185, 276
Andrew, St., 89, 220
Anent, 27, 120
Anger, 97, 301
Angevin, 55, 79
Angles, the, 19, 35, 41, 47, 50, $55,56,104,180,216$
Angli, 17
Anglian, 39, 52, 59, 258, 320, 355
Anglo-Saxon, 63, 80, 369
Anhungred, 179, 290
Anne, Queen of Richard II., 273
Anon, 88, 291, 302
Another (a corrupt form), 53, 59
Anthem, 223
Any, 57
Apology for the Lollards, 269
Architecture, 56, 180, 215, 235, 343
Ard, the Suffix, 247
Arderne, John, 234
Are, 10, 39, 49, 88, 91, 104, 115 , 143, 165, 263, 278, 294, 312
Aright, 64
Ariosto, 274, 308
Arise, 96
Armada, the, 309, 317
Arnold, Dr., 327
Around, 26, 242
Arrow, 274
Art (es), 43
Artemus Ward, 332
Arthur, King, 196, 217, 237
Article, Definite, 29, 31, 57, 58, 89, 94, 113, 233

- Indefinite, 27, 28, 89

Article used after many, 112
Arundel, Archbishop, 273
Aryan, 1, 2, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 35, 51, 335, 337
As (alse), 67, 70, 73, 82, 136, 142

- standing for the Relative, 192

As far as, 179
As help me God, 275
As if, 100
As it were, 100
As much as, 118
As oft as, 118
As soon as, 185
As though, 88
As to this, 269
As well, 177, 193
Ascham, 307
Ashore, 64
Aside, 179
Ask, axe, 26, 49, 104, 264, 290
Asleep, 74
Assemble, 129, 224, 300
Assumption of the Virgin, 174
Asunder, 194
At, 3, 27, 32, 177, 302
At all, 291
At last, 112
At least, 118
At once, 118
At one, 176, 302
At part, 277
Ath, the Plural ending of the
Present, altered, 49, 62, 63
Athanasian Creed,Version of, in Lincolnshire,136-139,143,147
Athelstane, 239
Athens, 308, 313
Athirst, 261
Athwart, 167
Ation, the Suffix, 247
Ative, the Suffix, 247
Atonement, 176
Au , the combination, 43, 79

AU
Aur replaces a, 121
Auchinleck Romances, the, 252
Audlay, 124, 260, 282, 283
Aught, 101
Augustine, St., 305
Awake, 96
Award, 275
Aware, 73
Away, 100
Awdry, St., 191
Awe, 70, 73
Awkward, 261
Axe, for ask, 49, 104
Aye, 12, 100, 130
Ayenbite of Inwyt, 188, 208, 209, 262, 263, 284
Aytoun, 306
B inserted in a word, 74 117 replaces $g$ and $k, 82$, 117
Backward, 261
Bacon, Lord, 289, 303, 308
Bacon, Roger, 227, 233
Bad (malus), 176, 207 ; badder, 275
Bad (jussit), 129
Backbiter, 121
Bære, old English adjectival ending, 11
Bag, 122, 188, 189
Bait, 169
Bait, to, 255
Balder, 36, 176
Baldness, 176
Ball, 115
Balliol, John, 176
Ban, 92
Baptim, baptism, 292
Barclay, 280, 288
Barley, 64
Barnes, Mr., 33, 37, 260, 316
Barrow, 177
Bask, 42

BES
Baste, 117
Bâton, 117
Baxter, 124, 281, 312
Be, 4, 10, 12, 36, 61, 155

- the Prefix, 15

Be, ben, buth (sunt), 61, 82, 104, 115, 256, 263, 278
Beadle, 71
Bear, 4, 43
Bear the bell, 194
Bearing, 130
Beast, 148, 223
Because, 26, 242, 269
Becket, 15, 69, 177, 239, 242
Become (decere), bicumelic, 79, 82
Become (fieri), 82
Bed and board, 34
Bede, 19, 35, 37, 46, 85, 217, 218, 268
Bede (prayer), 189, 287
Bedell, Bishop, 154, 310
Bedford, 45, 47, 162, 210
Been (gewesen), 70, 73
Before, 49, 98
Beforehand, 118
Beforesaid, 137
Beggar, 122
Begotten, 143
Behight, 164, 287, 301
Belief, 78, 82, 117, 175
Belike, 275
Belittle, 82
Belly, 235
Belong, 105
Below, 72, 186
Ben Jonson, 281
Benedictines, the, 304
Beowulf, the 18, 27, 28, 30, 32,
47, 79, 216
Bequest, 167
Berners, Lord, 288
Beseech, 80
Besides, 85, 119, 179

## BES

Best, 82
Bestead, 191
Bestiary, the, 125-127, 131, 155, 163, 252, 254
Bestir, 179
Betimes, 130
Betroth, 191
Better, 4
Between, 49, 87
Betwixt, 59, 153
Bewray, 179, 224, 287
Beyond, 49
Bible, the, 12, 30, 86, 116, 148, 183, 196, 199, 216, 221, 238, 265, 268, 269, 273, 280, 283, 288-306, 309, 310, 328, 341, 360, 361
Bicker, 179
Bid bedes, 189
Bidene, 100
Big, 169
Billy Taylor, 161
Bind, 4, 160, 178
Bishop, 5, 88,95
Black Prince, the, 258, 315
Blackstone, 239, 240
Blair, 336
Blame, to, 292
Blanchet, 236
Bleak, 169
Blimber, Miss, 15
Blink, 169
Blow, 43, 61, 79
Blunt, 97
Boast, 158,250
Boastful, 191
Bodily, 102
Body, 102, 177, 194
Bogie, 154
Bohemia, 48, 268, 360, 361
Boil (pustula) 222
Bologna, 184, 245
Bond (servus), 160, 161, 177, 185
Bondage, 185, 195, 246, 279

BRU
Bonden, 148, 161
Bondman (servus), 177, 275
Bondman (colonus), 177, 196,2 28.
Bonny, 180
Book, 39, 85, 91, 128
Boon, 39
Booth, 97
Bo-peep, 294
Born, 167
Boston, 191
Both (et), 31
Both (ambo), 3, 64, 67, 69, 70
Bother (amborum), 285
Bother, 20
Bought, 49
Boulder, 169
Bound, 97, 138
Bout, 170
Bow, 88, 147
Bowyer, 176, 247
Boy, 169
Bradwardine, 239
Brake, broke, 88, 126, 148
Brandan, St., 178
Bread, 71
Breast, 49, 95
Brethren, 88, 102
Bridegroom, 290
Bridge, 223, 246, 286
Bright, 4, 26
Bright, Mr., 318, 319
Bring about, 275
Brink, 123
Bristol, 104, 207
Britain, 18, 19, 20, 46
British Museum, 196, 226, 285
Broad, 86
Brother, 4
Brother-in-law, 179
Brow, 4, 88, 147
Browne, Sir Thomas, 311, 313
Bruce, Robert, 202, 254
Bruin, 287
Brunanburgh, 46, 217

BRU
CER

Brunne, Robert of, (Manning), 136, 137, 182-202, 210, 211, 243-246, 250-252, 254, 256259, 262, 269, 274, 277, 278, 281, 282, 285, 302, 307, 319
Brunswick, 32, 34
Brut, the, 111, 220, 226, 231
Bua, the Norse word, 42, 160, 161, 178
Bubble, 191
Buck, 187
Bugbear, 154
Bull, 91, 97
Bummer, 339
Bunyan, 138, 287, 304, 311, 324,336
Buonaventura, 227, 256
Burgh, borough, 57, 71, 75, 87, 287
Burghers, 49
Burgoyne, Sir John, 238
Burke, 314, 338, 340, 341
Burly, 126
Burns, 315, 316
Burst out laughing, 194
Bury, 287
Bury St. Edmunds, 92
Bush, 131
Busk, 42, 161
But, 53, 137, 193, 266, 280

- its many meanings, 53

But and ben, 26, 27
Butler, the poet, 312
Butler, the prose-writer, 325
Butt, to, 169
Buttock, 177
Buy, 147
Buyer, 87
Buzzard-clock, 63
By, the Danish ending, 41, 94
By, 3, 15, 27, 74, 178
Bye and bye, 275
Byron, 34, 164, 274, 315
By-way, 261
C. changed to $\zeta$ at the end of a word, 103
— replaces $g$, 136

- sounded soft, 153, 219, 246, 290
Cabbage, 81
Cackle, 123
Cadmon, 28, 33, 35, 36, 37, 183, 258, 350
Cæsar, 17, 18, 54, 223
Cake, 117
Calvin, 300
Cambridge, 45, 125, 191, 202, 254, 264, 265, 289
Can, 10, 75, 190
Canning, 342
Cannot, 190
Canterbury, 85, 209, 235, 253, 273, 331
Canute, 51
Capgrave, 278, 279
Carle, 166
Carline, 6
Carlyle, Mr., 223, 317
Carp, to, 124
Carpenter's Tools, poem on, 262
Cart, 102
Cases, confused, 44, 51, 52, 57, 58, 59, 94, 186
Cast, 84
Castle, 69, 238
Catch (bicatch), 79, 83, 105, 317
Catchpole, 83, 219
Caterwaw, 275
Catherine,St.,Legend of, 117, 121
Cause why, 275
Caxton, 127, 248, 277, 281, 284-288, 297, 307
Celts, 1, 11, 13, 16, 18, 19, 20, 45, 46, 146, 216, 316
Celtic words in English, 19, 41, 83, 123, 151, 153, 154, 158, 179, 213. See Welsh, Irish
Certain, 246, 266, 292, 312

CER
Cervantes, 304
Ch replaces $c, 44,52,67,69,80$, $85,86,88,94,95,107,112$, 163, 184

- replaces $h, 49,57,71$

Chabbe, for I have, 207
Chaff, to, 122
Chaffer, 121
Chameleon, the, 89
Champion, 221, 224, 238
Chancel, 244
Change from Old to New, 54,55
Changes in the meaning of English words, $52,53,71,73,82$, $83,89,90,92,97,106,107$, 113-117, 119-121, 126, 131, 132, 151, 153, 161, 162, 169, 176, 177, 187-191, 201, 223, 243, 262, 282, 291, 294, 296
Chapman, 236, 302
Chapman, the Poet, 336
Charity, lines on, 196-199, 219, 243
Charlemagne, 35
Charles I., 340
Charles II., 122, 234
Charles V., 317
Charles the Bold, 283, 284
Charter, the, 93,310
Chatter, 122, 124
Chaucer, 72, 123, 130, 131, 175 , 195, 210, 230, 231, 241, 244, 246, 248, 252, 259, 261, 263, 265, 274-277, 280, 281, 283, 297, 300, 307, 316, 318, 319, 326, 335, 338
Cheek, 88
Cheese, 74
Cheke, 306
Chelmsford, 180
Chester, 45, 207
Chew, 89
Chicheley, Archbishop, 273
Chicken, 85, 112

Children, childer, 49, 67, 70, 102, 185
Chillingworth, 235
Choice, 179
Choose, 61, 67, 69, 105, 224
Chough, 122, 264
Christianity, 16, 18, 20, 243
Church, 80, 96
Churchyard, the poet, 124
Cinghiale, 40
Citizen, 70
City, 153
Ciullo d'Alcamo, 54
Clack, 142
Clad, 129
Clapper, 123
Clarendon, Lord, 312, 324
Clarendon, Constitutions of, 239
Clarify, 267
Clatter, 117
Clean (omnino), 116
Clench, 142
Clever, 126
Clink, 174
Clip, 97
Clive, 5
Clock (an insect), 63
Clock, ten of the, 275
Cloke, 153
Cloud, 152
Clout, 142
Clovis, 224
Club, 114
Club, to, 121
Coat, 243
Cobbett, 281, 317, 324, 343
Cobden, 339
Cocky, 92
Coft, he, 334
Cog, 142
Coleridge, 315
Collier, 176, 211
Colour of, under, 269
Come, 74, 194

## COM

Comely (bicumelic), 79, 82
Comparatives of Adjectives, 7, 262, 279
Comparison of Adjectives, with most and more, 121, 154, 241, 264
Conclude, to, 332
Conjunctions, newly formed, 74, 192
Conqueror, the (William I.), 55, 69. See William I.

Conquest, Norman, 27, 29, 30, 99, 216, 320. See Norman
Considering this, 269
Consonants, interchange of, 26, $44,49,99,102,103,106$

- cast out in the middle of a word, 53, 57, 62, 88, 103, $105,112,126,129,142,147$, $150,153,164,190,191,193$, 256, 261, 266, 274
Conträry, 222
Contrast to the East Midland, $67,77,110,134,140,145$, 157, 173
Conybeare, 34, 79
Cooke, Mr., 154
Cookery, words of, 239
Cool, 39
Copperfield, David, 28
Cornish, 306
Cost, 123
Cough, 4, 137, 138, 211
Could (cuthe), 75, 128, 138, 190, 282, 290
Country-house, 150
County-court, 244
Coup d'état, 339
Coverdale, 293
Coverley, Sir Roger de, 98
Cow, 3
Cower, 179
Cowley, 281, 312, 363
Cowper, 98, 166, 169

DAN
Cox, Mr., 335
Craftiose, 279
Cramp, 142
Cranmer, 268, 300, 304, 307
Creep, 43
Cress, 26
Cressy, 260, 263, 311
Cripple, 117
Crock, 85
Cromwell, 27, 247
Crook, 90, 97
Crop, to, 122
Cross, 64, 223, 229, 310
Crossway, 310
Crouse, 169
Crown, 162, 185, 221
Cruelty, 222, 29t
Cry, 224
Crysten (Christian), 292, 296
Cuckold, 142
Cudgel, 123
Cup, 43
Cur, 123
Curl, 179
Curse, 26
Cursor Mundi, the, 265
Cut, 115
Cut to pieces, 30

Dadded to $n, l, r, s, 25,26$, $129,143,148,150$

- dropped in the middle and at the end of a word, 166, 266, 274
- replaces $t h, 264$

Dab, 179
Daft, 103
Daily Telegraph, 289, 307, 327, 340
Dalziel, 70
Danelagh, the, 60, 61, 62, 87, 96, 118, 132, 156, 163, 165, 180, 182, 245, 279, 286
Danes, Danish (see Scandinarian,

## DAN

Norse), 18, 35, 37, $38,39,40,41$, $42,44,45,46,51,55,56,62$, 70, 83, 89, 93, 94, 96, 98, 105, $113,114,122,123,162,163$, $165,166,169,216,223,255$, $259,260,301,320,343,346$
Dano-Anglian, 48, 64, 184, 255, 265, 321. See East Midland
Dante, 211, 274, 304, 310, 311, 319
Dare, 4, 10
Dasent, Mr., 113, 176
Dash, 114, 160, 161
Dash it, 141
Dative Singular, 58

- Plural, 14, 15, 44, 51, 58, 59, 130
- Reflexive, 30, 119
- it replaces the Accusative, 44, 52, 58
Daughter, 4, 50, 138
Davie, Adam, the Poet, 209, 257, 263
Davies, Sir John, 309
Day, 3, 4, 57, 85
D'Azeglio, 339
De, the Romance. 29, 266
De Machlinia, 288
Dead as a dorenail, 261
Deal, 21
Debtor, 269
Deep, 95
Deer, 22, 95
Defile, 224, 292, 296
Definite Adjective, $13,22,51,59,95$
Defoe, 312, 329, 344
Defy, 180
Deliver, 269
Demaus, Mr., 289
Demonstratives, 23, 94
Der, the Aryan Suffix, 6
Derby, 41, 45, 47, 94, 99, 104, 165
Deuce, 170

DOW
Devonshire, 141, 254, 278
Dew, 82
Dickens, 84
Did, 8, 10, 16, 164, 190, 193
Diddle, 33
Dic, 97
Dike, 95, 127
Ding, 169
Dingle, 124
Dirt, 169
Dis, the Romance Prefix, 247, 287
Disciple, 220
Dislike, 266
Distrust, 287
Disworship, 287
Ditch, 80, 95, 127
Dizzy, 262
Do, 4, 10, 36, 40, 131, 290, 302

- used as an auxiliary, 30, 190, 193
- prefixed to the Imperative, 30, 122
- used instead of repeating a previous Verb, 31
Do their best, 177
Do to death, 194
Dog, 122
Dolt, 92
Dom, the Suffix, 15, 248
Dombey, 15
Donald, 26
Doncaster, 47, 94
Done (finished), 191
Doom, 39, 44
Doomsday Book, 42
Door, 4, 21
Dorset, 33, 37, 51, 61, 69, 114, $115,118-124,136,141,207$, 255, 260, 316
Double forms in English, 52, 88, 89, $95,117,120,127,128$, 194, 299
Douay Bible, 302
Down, to, 151, 314

DOW
Downright, 147, 185
Drag, 128
Drake, 167, 168
Draught, 114
Draw, 84, 126, 128
Drawbridge, 179
Dray, 128
Dreadful, 122
Dream, 131, 255
Dreg, 152
Drench, 95
Drink, 95
Drive, 121, 275
Drivel, 116
Droop, 116
Drought, 102, 127
Drove, 88
Drummond, 306
Drunkenness, 248
Dry, 50, 88
Dryden, 124, 304, 305, 312, 313, 344
Dual Number, 7, 23, 37
—— is dropped, 166
Duck, 168, 212
Duck, to, 179
Dunbar, 231, 297
Durham, 146, 203, 331
During, 26, 269
Dusk, 122
Dutch, the, 18, 286

- words akin to English, 63, 64, $75,82,90,92,100,105,106$, 115, 116, 117, 123, 131, 142, $152,161,169,170,174,177$, 211, 213. See Friesland, Frisian
Dwell, 97
Dwindle, has $d$ inserted in the middle, 26

E
its sound is represented in many ways, 175

## EAS

E, replaces $a, 39,43,71,143$

- replaces $\mathscr{\infty}, 39,50,57,61,67$,
- $69,71,74,82,102$
- replaces ea, 43, 61, 88, 261
- replaces $e 0,43,49,50,61,95$, 129, 261
- replaces $i, 68,74,87,136$
- replaces o, 50, 59, 61
- replaces $u, 86,121$
- replaces $y, 43,49,68,71,88$
- a letter popular in the Southeast of England, in the middle of a word, 68
- popular in the North, 175
- omitted at the end of a word, 310
Ea, retained in Dorset and the South, 33, 37, 116, 175, 223, 260
— replaces $\mathfrak{C}, 52,80,116,118$
- replaces $e 0,39,49,59,85$
- replaces $y, 40,49$

Each, 60, 73, 78, 81, 86, 100. 166, 184, 266
Eadred, 46, 47
Eagre, the, 42
Ear, to (arare), 2, 12, 301
Earl, 102
Earle, Mr., 34, 56, 138, 190, 284
Early English Text Society, the,
165, 174, 196, 320, 370
Earthly, $10 \leq$
Earthly Paradise, the, 319
Ease, 224
Easily, 104
East Anglia, 19, 41, 42, 45, 52, 55, 62, 91, 127, 128, 129, 137, $142,166,182,190,194,269$, 278, 310, 353
East Midland, the, 55-66, 68, 70-77, 81, 87, 92-110, 115, 122, 125-133, 142-145, 155157, 162-174, 254, 256, 257, 259, 263, 268, 271, 285, 304

## EAS

East Midland, the Shibboleths of, $62,63,147$
Fasy, 50, 130, 153, 279
Ed, the ending of the Past Participle, clipped, 82, 83
Eden, Miss, 168
Edge, to, 95
Edgeworth, Mr., 332
Edinburgh, 146, 260

- Review, the, 317

Edmund the Archbishop,154, 231

- the Martyr, 52, 60, 92, 353

Edward, the son of Alfred, 44, 45, 46
Edward the Confessor, 61, 216, 235
Edward I., 7, 162, 165, 166, 174, 182, 183, 186, 196, 209, 233, 236, 238, 257, 258
Edward II., 273
Edward III., 260, 261, 263, 276
Edward IV., 283, 284
Edward VI., 301
Ee, the Suffix, 247
Ee, replaces $e, 116,148,175$
Een, the Irish Suffix, 247
Eer, the Suffix, 247
Egg, to, 95
Ei, replaces $\mathfrak{a}, 57,80,179$

- ea, 85

Eight, 85
Eke, 43, 88
El, the Suffix, 15, 85
Elasticity, 337
Elbow, 85
Eldest, 129
Eleven, 12, 13, 49, 112

- Pains of Hell, the, 251

Elizabeth, 184, 186, 308, 325
Ellis's letters, 276
Else, 11
Ely, 154, 191
Em, short for hem (illis), 44, 58, 88

ERY
Ember days, 121
Empress, 219, 222
Empty, 121
En, the Romance Prefix.245, 24.7

- the Plural Ending of Nouns, $22,67,70,102,167$
- the Possessive Feminine Suffix, 6
- the Suffix akin to Greek, 11
- theAdjectival Ending, 15, 129
- the new Ending of the Infinitive, 74, 108, 263
- the Ending of the Strong Verb's Participle Passive, 9, 24
- the new Midland Ending of the Plural of the Present Tense, 62, 63, 125, 143, 147, 156, 162, 165, 256, 263, 281
End, 3
Ende, the ending of the Active Participle, 24, 25, 62, 63, 91, 94, 113, 115, 125, 269
Endings, Aryan, 5, 6, 11, 12
- Romance, 246, 247
- Teutonic, 15

Endure (harden), 267
Engine, 301
English, speech of the West Saxons, 35

- Pale in Ireland, 206

Enhaunce, 267
Enlighten, 106, 150, 247
Ennui, 338
Enough, 71, 73, 80, 85
Enquire, 280
Ensaumple, 269, 280
Enter, 267, 269
Enriron, 267
Equal, egal, 275
Er, the Suffix, 15, 176
Erasmus, 294
Erin, 2, 30
Ery, the Suffix, 247

ES
Es replaces eth in Verbs, 49, 50, $136,143,148,156,165,185$
Es, the ending of the Genitive Singular, 5, 49, 50, 51, 94

- (=as)the ending of the Nominative Plural, 5, 39, 43, 49, 51, 59, 166, 256
- the old ending of the Second Person Singular of the Present, $8,26,148,165,185$
- the Northern ending of the Present Plural, 62, 63, 282
Ese, the Suffix, 247
Esque, the Suffix, 247
Ess, the Feminine Suffix, 247, 268
Essex, 19, 41, 45, 47, 55, 69, 75, 87, 91, 137, 147, 154, 175, 182
Essex Homilies, the, 86-91, 105, 117, 136, 149, 220, 236, 256
Esthonia, 73
Et, the Suffix, 247
Eth, the Southern ending of the Present Plural, 62, 63, 263, 295
Ethel, 343
Ethelred, 86
Eton, 113, 187, 277, 323, 335, 337
Eu, replaces cow, 74, 88; a French sound, 220
Evangelise, 267
Even, in composition, 98, 266
Ever, 12, 57, 71, 81, 130
Evermore, 81, 250
Erery, 53, 73, 81, 143
Every one, 130
Ever-ywhere, 118
Evil, 71
Exacerbate, 338
Exam, 331
Exceedingly, 291
Except, 26
Exeter, 278

FLA
Exinanite, 302, 304
Eye, 20, 40, 85, 95
F replaces $k$ and $g, 13,137,138$ - lost in the middle of a word, 53, 142, 190
Faery Queen, the, 308, 318
Fair, 91 ; fair and free, 161
Faith, 132
Falcandus, 54
Fall, 13, 26, 333
Falter, 142
Far, 3, 148, 282

- and wide, 159
-be it, 291
Fare, 43, 168
Farquhar, 124
Fast, the Suffix, 15
Father, 4, 6, 49, 51, 290
Fawn, to, 120
Fearful, 116
Fed, 129
Feeble, 87
Feed, 43, 88
Fellow, 42
Feminine Gender, 6, 97, 268
Fetch, 80, 107
Few, 43, 59, 88, 290
Fib, 117
Fielding, 344, 346
Fiend, 43, 87
Fight, 95, 147
File, 170
Find, found, 164
Fine, 126
Finsborongh, battle of, 18
Fire, 68, 128 ; fire-iron, 178
Fish, 26, SS
Fit, 142
Five, 25
- Danish Burghs, 45, 47

Flail, 102
Flash, 124

FLA
Flat, 213, 224
Flea, 116
Fled, 131
Flee, 32, 113
Flew, 113
Fling, 179, 317
Flit, 97
Flog, 84
Flow, 4, 113
Floyd, 84
Flutter, 174
Fly, 32
Foal, 11
Foe, 185
Fold, the Suffix, 15
Follow, 52, 80, 87, 121, 127
Font, 91
Fool of myself, 223
Foot, 22, 148
Footman, 179
For (pro), 3, 27, 82, 170, 279

- (enim), 71, 73
- the Prefix, 11, 15, 16
- evermore, 148
- to, 60

Forasmuch, 177, 192
Forby, 99

- Mr., 124

Fordo, 11, 16, 279
Fore, the Prefix, 15, 98, 231
Forefather, 261
Forefeet, 178
Foresaid, 191
Former, 7
Forsooth, 91, 337
Fortescue, 281
Forpam, 31
Forthright, 101
Forthwith, 101
Fortnight, 81
Forward, 99
Foul, 103
Foundling, 150
Four, 13, 59, 85, 138

G
Fourscore, 149
Fowl, 85, 126
Fox, Mr., 344
Fox, 6
Frail, 224, 299
France, 46, 80, 83, 121
Francis, St., 226, 230
Franciscans, the, 226-235, 241, 248-250, 256, 257, 265, 295, 305
Frederick II., the Emperor, 222, 319
Freeman, Mr., 342
French. See Chapter IV.
Fresh, 103
Friday, 88
Frideswide, 208, 343
Friend, 87, 95
Friesland, Frisian, 17, 37, 111
Fright, 128
Frisian words akin to English, $64,72,90,92,115,225$. See Dutch
Fro, fra, 64, 96, 125, 142
Froissart, 288
From, 3, 39, 64

- far, 148
- wicked to worse, 194

Froude, Mr., 317
Fruitful, 152
Ful, the Suffix, 15, 116, 122, 186

- in composition, 98

Fulke, 302, 305
Fuller, 183
Fulsome, 103
Furnival, Mr., 182
Furthermore, 159
Fussy, 103, 104
Future Tense, the, 12, 29

G the hard, $26,57,70,87,95$,

G
G, dropped at the end of a word, 50, 57, 61, 82

- dropped in the middle of a word, 104
- is softened, 223, 246, 286

Gaed (ivit), 11, 74, 88
Gaelic, 26, 247
Gain, the Prefix, 15, 151
Gain, 97, 116
Gainest, the, 97
Gainsay, 151
Gallop, 19
Gander, 3
Gang, 12
Ganges, 2, 5, 314
Gangway, 5
Gar, 105, 165
Gardiner, Bishop, 293
Garibaldi, 54
Garnett, 1, 18, 19, 38, 94, 165
Gash, 223
Gaskell, Mrs., 96
Gat, 148, 185
Gate, 49, 95, 97, 163, 250, 286
Gatryke, 272
Gaul, 17, 18, 326, 331
Gave, 164
Ge, the Prefix, dropped, 38, 39, $43,49,52,61,62,88,126$

- sounded, 119

Gear, 154
Gehaten, 61, 94
Geld, 117
Genesis and Exodus, the, 125, 127-134, 136, 143, 252, 278
Genitive, the, $5,8,29,49,50,51$, 60, 68, 94, 145, 309. See Partitive
Geniture, 289
Gentleman, 92
Gentlewoman, 223
George III., 32, 327
German, 5, 10, 17, 18, 26, 32, $46,48,51,63,70,98,107$,

GOD
137, 162, 170, 177, 196, 234, 238, 242, 253, 314, 315, 320, 330, 339, 343. See High, Low
German words akin to English, 115, 124, 126, 131, 142, 174, 176, 178, 179, 211, 213
Gerundial Infinitive, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 189
Get, 95, 286
Gevenlike, 127
Gewgaw, 124
Gh, replaces $g$ and $c, 74,87,147$, 179, 185

-     - $h, 57,136,137$

Ghastly, 113
Ghost, 69
Ghostly, 113
Gibbon, 1, 252, 289, 312, 313, 316, 318, 343, 344, 365
Giggle, 123
Gin, 93, 97, 301
Giraldus Cambrensis, 254
Gird, 113
Girl, 179
Give, 58, 95, 283, 290
Gladstone, Mr., 277, 318
Glare, 175
Glee, 87, 176
Glendower, 276
Glint, 131
Gloucester, Robert of, 175-178, 190, 207, 226, 234, 240, 241, 247, 252, 258
Gloucestershire, 49, 124, 179, 192, 206, 259, 275, 288, 290, 294, 297
Gnash, 152
Go, 4, 10
Go out, of fire, 121
Go thy way, 194
Go to pot, 294
God forbid, 291
God wot, 163, 165

GOD
Godward, to, 130
Godwine, 219, 238
Golden, 129
Good, 22, 33, 91,251
Goodman, 175, 301
Goose, 3, 22, 25
Gossip, 274
Gothic, $12,13,14,16,19,28$, $60,70,79,87,102,113,138$, 192, 266, 369
Gower, 248, 259, 275
Goyts, 151, 224
Gramercy, 245
Grass, 26, 88
Grasshopper, 102
Grave, the Poem, 71
Greedy, 4
Greek, 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 11, 18, 26, $28,31,82,98,121,179,192$, 223, 267, 289, 293, 294, 295, 299, 301, 314, 328, 336, 347
Greens, 150
Gregory I., Pope, 87, 220
Grey, 117, 118
Griddle, 123
Grime, 169
Grimm's Law, 4
Grin (laqueus), 301
Griskin, 4, 123
Groom, 122
Guess, 174
Guest, Dr., 184
Guildford, Lord, 222
Guilt, 68, 81, 136, 287
Gun, 179, 180
Guts, 234, 251
Gyves, 115

Hanswers to the Sanscrit and Latin $k$ or $c, 3,99$

- disappears at the beginning of a word, $39,44,52,59,130$, $136,166,184,333$

HAT
H replaces $g, 50$

- wrongly set at the beginning of a word, $67,69,92,143$, 333
Hacking, 150
Had, 70, 73, 178
Hag, 123
Haigh, Mr., 36
Hail, 33, 106
Hale (sanus), 4, 33, 52, 128, 189
Hale (ducere), 115
Hales, Alexander, 227
Hales, Thomas of, 230
Halflings, 101
Halfpence, 121
Hali Meidenhad, the, 117, 118
Hall, Bishop, 304, 345
Hallam, Mr., 253, 326
Halter, 142
Hamlet, 167
Hampole, 149, 203, 252, 261
Hand, 111, 162, 290
Handlyng, Synne, the, 182-202, $207,248,250,256,261,265$, 274
Handmaiden, 150, 185
Handy, 114
Hap, 114, 151, 191, 194, 266
Haply, 266
Happen, 114
Happy, 114, 213
Hare, Archdeacon, 9
Hare, Augustus, 334
Harlot, 123
Harold, 216, 263
Harrow, 261, 274
Harrowing of Hell, the, 89, 162164, 201, 206, 232, 252, 256
Hast, 105, 148, 185
Haste, 92, 224
Hastings, battle of, 52, 55, 217, 218, 219, 263, 301
Hatch, 142

HAT
Hath, 70
Hatred, 71
Hatton Gospels, the, 86
Haul, 115
Hare, 61, 62, 105, 125, 162, 189,263
Have done, 194
Havelok, the, 159, 165-173, 190, 217, 237, 239, 252, 253, 256
Hawes, 280, 288, 297, 299
Hawk, 152, 185
Hay, 85, 147
Hazard, Mr., 325
$\mathrm{He}, 24$
Head, 59
Head, the Suffix, 15
Headlong, 179, 290
Heal, 52, 116
Hear, 49
Hearken, 287
Hearne, 175, 301
Heart, 4, 49
Heare, 90, 105
Hebrew, 289, 295, 302, 318
Hell-fire, 145, 309
Helped, 292, 309
Hemp, 169
Hence, 50, 153
Hending, Proverbs of, $158,2 \overline{5} 2$
Hengist, 63, 186, 224, 301, 345
Henry I., 15, 57, 61, 62, 71, 79
Henry II., 86, 239
Henry III., 125, 155, 183, 226, 237, 241
Henry VI., 187, 277, 281
Henry VIII., 211, 295, 299, 312
Heo, 24, 96, 165, 206, 282
Her, the Genitive and Dative of heô, 24

- the corrupt Accusative, 58
—=hira (illorum), 24, 94, 96, 285, 288
Herbs, 292
Here and there, 178

HOM
Herebefore, 193
Herebert, 261
Hereford, 155, 157, 158, 162, 184, 205, 206

- the writer, 268, 269

Hereward, 55, 56
Herodotus, 68
Hest, 82
Hethen (hinc), 88, 165
Hickes, 139
Hide, 61, 106
Higden, 147
High German, 13, 14, 28, 63, $105,115,116,117,126,150$, 169, 255
High horse, the, 179
Highest, 147
Highness, 8a
Hight (gehaten), 8, 61, 94
Hightest, tu (the corrupt), 127
Him, the Dative Singular, 24, 58

- the Corrupt Accusative, 58
- hem, heom, ham (illis), the Dative Plural, 24, $22,58,96$
- the Corrupt Accusative, 44, 52, 58, 94, 278, 28j, 288
His, 24
- where we now use its, 302

Hit, 114
Hither, 290
Ho (quis). See Who
Hoarse, 4, 150
Hoast, 150
Hobble, 161
Hog, 179
Hohenstaufens, 253
Hold, 24, 61, 129
Hold (castle), 160
Hollow, 142
Holy, 296
Homer, 11, 29, 343
Homilies, the Old English, 6771, 73, 74, 77-84, 98, 112,

## HON

$120,124,130,136,217,220$. See Essex
Honour, 219, 330
Hood, 137
Hood, the punster, 33
Hooker, 308, 324
Hoot, 106
Horace, 345
Horn, King, 174, 237, 253, 256
Horne, Parson, 314
Horse, 21

- its corrupt Plural, 112, 150, 309
Hot, 111
House, with Corrupt Plural, 59, 138
Housel, 294
Household, 264
How, 91
Howbeit, 287
How so ever, 118
Hubba, 64
Huckster, 97
Huge, 92
Hugger-Mugger, 294
Hull, 136, 181
Humber, the, 35, 38, 47, 62, 94 , $143,159,166,242,261$
Humbug, 331
Hume, 317, 343
Humphrey, Duke, 277, 280
Hundred, 50, 59
Hungary, 258, 339
Huntingdon, 156, 184
- Henry of, 217

Hurl, 123
Husband (paterfamilias), 160
Husbandman, 160
Hustings, 114
 69, 71. 91

IND
I replaces $g, 57,58,70,85,87$

-     - $e, 261$
-     - ca, 61, 85
— — co, 49, 59, 85, 95
- $-\imath, 60,162$
——in, 70
-     - $y, 61,74$

I, the Pronoun, 23, 43, 44, 73,
$96,162,163,256,263$
I dare say, 193
Iac, the Suffix, 247
Ic, the Suffix, 247
Ical, the Suffix, 247
Icelandic, 18, 42, 105, 114, 116, $117,121,122,123,126,131$, 152, 153, 169, 170, 275. See
Danes, Norse, Scandinavian
Ie replaces $e, 49,68,87,175$

-     - ca, 71

Ier, the French Suffix, 176, 247
If, 81
If so be that, 269,287
Ilca (quisque), 60, 100, 143, 159, 185
Ilk (idem), 31, 101, 102, 186, 266
Ill, 97
Illaudable, 325
Immediately, 242, 291
Immortality, 107
Imperative, the, $9,24,25,29$, $50,122,148,155,158,165$, 185, 265, 269
Impersonal Verbs, 245
In, instead of on, 50
In midst of, 148
In, the Latin, 279, 302
Inasmuch as, 192, 193
Incer, the Dual, 23, 166
Incle, the Suffix, 11
Incog, 331
Inde, the Southern Active Participle in, 62, 67, 69, 91, 11 115

IND
Indeed, 193
Indefinite Adjective, 13, 22, 51, 59, 95
Indefinite Agency, 30
Ine, the Suffix, 6
Inferno, the, 211
Infinitive, the, 9, 24, 25, 108

- it has to prefixed, 30
- it is clipped, 37, 43, 44, 49, 50, 52, 61, 74, 263
Inflections, 5, 14, 94
- Danish influence on, 47, 48, 50, 51, 61
-pared away, 278,281, 283, 321
Ing, the Suffix, 15
- replaces inde in the Participle Active, 113, 174, 185, 269, 276
- replaces ung, 60

Inger, the Suffix, 247
Innocent III., 221
Instead of, 119
Interjections, 32, 101, 194, 287
Interpreted, that is, 269
Interview, to, 332
Intil, 166
Ipswich, 19, 47, 64
Iran, 2
Ire, 152, 153, 185, 224, 301
Ireland, 35, 53, 206, 207, 306, 309, 310, 315
Irish, the, $20,30,48,74,104$, $154,167,234,247,276,318$, 327, 328, 334, 341, 346
Irk, 131, 250
Irregular Verbs, 9, 10
Is, the auxiliary Verb, 4
Is, Norse for sum, es, est, 148
Ish, the suffix, 11, 247, 248
Island, 128
Isle, 150, 285
Ism, the Suffix, 247
Ist, the Suffix, 247
It, 24, 73

K
It was a, \&c., 122, 168
It, strange use of (for there), 150
Italian, the, 47, 54, 86, 184, 211, 230, 238, 253, 274, 319, 323, 346
Italy, 16, 18, 35, 45, 46, 54, 222, 226, 227, 228, 263, 293, 304, 314, 339, 347
Ite, the Suffix, 247
Its, 186, 309
Ity, the Suffix. 247
Ive, the Suffix, 247
Iwis, 121
Ize, the Suffix, 267

J,first appearance of the sound in English, 219
Jame, 220
James I. of Scotland, 276

-     - of England, 170

James II., 122, 305
Jar, on the, 80
Jaw, to, 89, 117
Jeremy, 220
Jerome, St., 293, 295
Jesuits, 305
Jesus Manuscript, the, 154
Jewry, 223, 280
Jews, 220
John, King, 216, 220, 234, 255
Johnson, Dr., 151, 279, 281, 289, $311,313,314,315,327,335$, 343
Johnston, 295
Jolly, 244
Jowl, 126
Judith, the, 36, 47
Junius, 187
Justice, 74, 170, 219
Jutes, 19
K, akin to $f, 138$
11, - answering to the Southern $c, 37,43,44,57,74,112$

## K

K coupled with $c, 85$

- turns to $t, 176$
- loss of, in made and ta'en,129, 153, 185, 256
Kaiser, 46, 223
Kemble, Mr., 36, 92, 111
Kemp, Archbishop, 278
Kent, 19, 20, 39, 68, 69, 87, 94 , $150,164,175,208,226,232$, 233, 256, 259, 286
Keogh, Mr. Justice, 327
Kesteven, 191, 201
Key, 57
Kid, 97
Kildare, Michael of, 207, 276
Kill, 262, 290
Kin (genus), 4, 128, 162
Kind, the Suffix, 15.
Kind (natural), 187
Kindle, 97
Kindred, 129
- words in French and English, Table of, 224
Kine, 102, 179, 188, 287
King, 4
Kingeraft, 303
Kingdom, 143
Kinglake, Mr., 337
Kirk, 96, 186
Kirkyard, 72, 96
Kiss, 126
Kitchen, 112
Knaresborough, 281, 282
Knave, 81, 82
Kneel, 88, 105
Knight, to, 174
Knight, his influence on English, 235, 237-239
Knocks, 70, 204
Knot, 12
Know, 4, 5, 39, 95, 185
Knox, 306
Koran, 303
Ky, 188

LAT
T its interchange with $n, 106$,」, 245

- inserted in a word, 121, 123, 213
- wrongly inserted in could, 290,
- thrown out, 279
- replaces $r, 33$

Lack, 131
Lad, 169
Lady, 190

- her influence on English, 235, 236
Lady-day, 145, 309
Laid, 58
Lair, 88
Lake, 116, 224
Lancashire, 63, 70, 96, 102, 138, 204, 259, 262
Lancaster, Duke of, 273
Lancastrian, 280
Lancelot, Sir, 280
Lanercost Chronicle, the, 123 .
Lanfranc, 68
Langland, 252, 259, 262, 297
Langtoft, 258
Lanky, 91
Lapland, 11, 73
Large, 219, 223
Lark, alauda, 261
Lark, ludere, 168
Lass, 169
Last, 81, 112
Late, 120
Later, 120
Latest, 81, 112
Latham, Dr., 183
Latin, 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, $15,17,18,26,28,31,32,33$, $37,38,40,47,53,54,55,100$, $122,152,155,185,220,227$, 234, 237, 266, 275, 283, 289, 292, 295., 297, 299, 307, 321348
Latin words brought here by

LAT
Christianity, 20, 93, 103, 240, 304
Latin words, too grand to be Englished, 293
Latter, 120
Laugh, 121, 179, 287
Laughter, 185
Law, 71, 80, 224. See Brother-in-Law
Law (a hill), 41
Lawyer, 176, 235, 239, 240, 290
Lay, 58, 87, 95, 191

- for jacere, 164

Layamon, 93, 110-117, 136, 150, 153, 161, 174, 184, 185, 220, 221, 226, 231, 241, 246, 252, 256, 262, 276
Leap, 106
Lear, King, 110, 111, 207, 260, 308
Learn, 95
Least, 116
Leather, 16
Led, 74, 95
Leech, 80, 95, 233-235, 315
Leeds, 260
Left (lavus), 78, 82
Leg, 153
Leghorn, 138
Leicester, 41, 45, 47, 165, 184
Leland, 290
Leman, 129
Lend, lent, 261, 290
Less, the Suffix, 15
Lest, 78, 81
Let (obstare), 302, 337
Let (permisit), 74, 290
Let, the Suffix, 247
Let, replaces the Old Imperative, 29,158
Lever, his Sermons, 361
Levin, 131
Lewd, 282
Lewes, 158, 176, 177, 237

LOO
Leže, the Norse ending, 96
Liar, 50, 82
Liber de Antiquis Legibus, 136
Lice, 22
Lichfield, 102
Lick, 4, 84
Lie, 49, 62, 87
Lief, 87, 286, 318
Light, 39, 59
Lighten, 185
Lightening, 191
Lika, the Lithuanian, 13
Like, the Suffix, 6

- its Adverbial use, 31

Likewise, 287, 291
Lincoln, 41, 42, 45, 62, 63, 94, $136,143,147,151,164,165$, 182-185, 188, 189, 192, 202, 211, 284
Lincolneers, 247
Lindisfarne Gospels, the, 48,57, 352
Ling, the Suffix, 15
Lion, 220
Lithuanians, 12, 13, 138
Lives of Saints, 177, 232
Livy, 327
Lloyd, 84
Lo, 101, 121
Loadstar, 191, 212
Loaf, 167
Loan, 121
Locate, 325
Lollards, the, 86, 269, 272, 280, 282
London, 45, 51, 57, 69, 73, 99, 112, 136, 147, 156, 184, 188, 210, 237, 249-254, 256, 257, 259, 263, 274-279, 283, 284, 286, 289, 308, 321, 346, 361
London town, 194
Long, 40, 69, 335
Loose, 4, 120
Loot, 339

## LOR

Lord, 52, 59, 194, 256
Lord, to, 151
Lording, 129, 164, 201
Lost, 175, 189
Lot, 82
Loth, 128
Lothian, 51, 124
Louer, the French, 32
Loughborough, 138
Loup, 106, 166
Louring, 142
Love, 3, 16, 25, 58, 61, 126
Low, 72
Low German, 13, 39, 84, 105, $123,175,179,255,306$
Lower, to, 72
Lucera, 245
Luck, 214, 275, 287
Ludlow, 283, 284
Lukewarm, 90
Lurk, 152
Luther, 300, 306
Ly, the Suffix. Sce Like
Lych-gate, 102
Lycidas, the, 311
Lydgate, 276, 278, 297

IIthe Suffix of First Person Present, 36

- cast out of the middle of a word, 261
Ma, the Aryan Suffix to Roots, 5
- the Aryan Suffix of Superlatives, 7
Macaulay, Lord, 121, 252, 300, 303, 316
Macedoyne, 180
Mackenzie, 70
Made, 129, 256
Maiden, 11, 87
Majesty, 153, 246
Mall, Dr., 152, 163
Mallory, 280, 281, 319
Malvern, Abbot, 298


## MER

Man, 4, 162
Man, its Plural, 22
$\mathrm{Man}=o n e, 28,60,155,193$
Mandeville, 196, 210, 262-265, 275, 278, 358
Mane, 179 .
Mankind, 87, 143
Manner, 219, 220, 244
Manning. Sce Brunne, Robert of
Many, followed by an, 112, 164, 185
Marble, 220
March, Dr., 28, 29, 330, 336, 370
Margaret, St., Legend of, 84, 115-118
Marie, her Lays, 228
Marisco, Adam de, 227, 228
Mark, 113
Markisesse, 275
Marquis, 275
Marsh, Mr., 216, 294, 303, 330, 341
Mary, 220
Mate (maca), 95, 176
Matrimony, form of, 271, 272
Matthew, St., 83, 86, 220
Matthew Paris, 195, 231
Mattock, 246
May, 10, 49, 58, 87, 129
Mayest, 91
Mazed, 122
M•Crie, Dr., 316
$\mathrm{Me}, 23,60$
Me Iord, 287
Mead, 6
Mean, 74, 116
Means, 275, 278
Mean time, 262, 278
Meditaciuns on the Soper, 196, 256
Meek, 90
Melibœus, tale of, 326
Ment, the Roman Suffix, 247
Merchant, 236

MER
Mercia, 19, 41, 45, 47, 48, 55, $68,94,142,143,162,180,260$
Mercy, 219
Mesh, 142
Messer, 222
Mest, replaces the older ma, 7
Mete, 32
Mi , Verbs in, 4, 8, 10, 13, 36
Mid (cum), 107, 163, 256
Middle Voice, 29, 42
Middlemarch, 229
Middlesex, 209, 210
Midst, 290
Midwife, 187
Might, main, 34, 61, 71, 186
Might be, for was, 151
Mill, 4
Milton, 124, 187, 274, 304, 309, 311, 312, 336
Mind, 3, 187, 243
Mine, 23
Miner, 246
Mingle, 121
Minot, 252, 311
Mire, the Poet, 248
Mis, the Prefix, 15, 287
Mistrust, 247, 287
Mitrailleuse, 238
Mobocracy, 247
Monger, 236
Monk, Dr., 317
Moodiness, 96
Moore, 194, 316
Mope, 177
Moral Ode, the, 84
More, 7, 69, 73, 154, 302
More, Sir Thomas, 289, 293, 294
Moreover, 130
Morning, 142
Morris, Dr., 1, 42, 79, 83, 87, 89, 91, 119, 124, 125, 165, 188, $217,226,230,336,369,370$
Morris, the Poet, 252, 319, 367
Most, 3, 7, 88

NEA
Most replaces the Superlative, 121, 264
Mother, 4, 290
Mouldy, 122
Mountbenjerlaw, 41
Mouse, mice, 3, 22
Mowed (wrong), 309
Much, 7, 67, 70, 71, 78, 81, 86, $137,163,166,184,185,266$
Muck, 131
Mulberry, 152
Mulcaster, 307
Mun (must), 10t, 165
Murder, 4
Murderer, 264
Must, 10, 29, 104, 130
My, 67, 70
Myself, 30
Mystery, 223
N infixed, 12, 44, 122, 142,
II, $150,166,188$

- cast out, 25, 62, 70, 74, 98, 177, 188, 261
- its interchange with $l, 106,245$
- the Possessive mark, 6

Na, the Aryan Suffix, 6, 9
Nævius, 11, 248
Nag, 214
Nail, 87, 102
Nairne, Lady, 103, 119
Naked, 104
Name, 3, 5, 59, 88
Nap, 89
Napier, 89
Naples, 184
Narrow, 74
Nassington, 264
Naught, 39, 79
Naughty, 294
Nay, 87, 266, 294
$\mathrm{Ne}, 73,129,137$
Near, 81, 85
Neat, 148

## NEE

Noeds, 8
Negation, the Old English form of, $28,174,297$
Negative forms, 28, 73, 129
Neigh, 179
Neighbour, 147, 185
Nelson, 42
Nemo, 100
Nephew, 74, 224
Ness, the Suffix, 15, 96, 247, 248
Never, 52, 116
Nevertheless, 118
New, 3, 74
New English, the, 47, 51, 55, 70. See Chapters III. and V.

Newe fangel, 275
Nice, its senses in England, 243, 244, 313
Nickname, 187, 188
Nicodemus, 103
Niggard, 187
Nigh, 71, 85, 147, 185
Night, 4, 171
Nightingale, 142
Nill, negative of will, 129, 266
Nim, or nam, to take or go, 8,9 , $14,74,107,130,165,184,250$
Nine, 3
No, 3, 118
No-at all, 275
No, Scotch use of, 40
No more, 137
Nobbut, 266
Nolt, 97
Nonce, 88
None, 59, 69, 74, 100, 137
Nook, 114
Nor, 112, 136, 184, 186, 262, 285, 291
Norfolk, 41, 124, 191, 196, 200, 238, 258, 278
Norman Conquest, the, 7, 20, $26,51,52,79,93,103,190$, 216, 221, 241. Sce Conquest

NUM
Normandy, 244
Normans, the, 57, 79, 206
Norse, 14, 17, 18, 37, 39, 40, 41, $42,44,45,46,47,48,50,51$, $55,61,72,84,88,96,100$, $102,104,105,106,129,148$, $161,165,166,180,182,186$, 253, 286. See Danes, Scandinavians
Norse words in England, 51, 63, $64,88,90,91,92,93,114,116$, $117,122,123,131,152,153$, $169,174,176,177,178,185^{\circ}$, 187, 250, 255, 291, 310
Northampton, 41, 45, 47, 64, 94 . 162, 181, 184
Northern English, 27, 37, 38, 39, $40,48,50,52,57,62,70,85$, $86,87,95,96,105,107,117$, $125,128,136,146,148,151$, $161,162,165,166,168,184$, 185, 202-204, 260, 262, 264, 266, 269, 271, 276, 277, 278, 281, 282, 283, 287, 308, 312, 316, 320
Northumbria, 10, 19, 27, 35, 36, $37,38,40,41,46,48,51,52$, 54, 55, 58, 61, 62, 73, 94, 95, 96, 104, 138, 146
Not (noht), replaces na and ne in the North, 48, 60, 73, 91, 137, 162, 194
Not only, 31, 193
Nothing, 69, 80, 91
Nottingham, 41. 45, 94, 143
Nought, 39, 71, 80
Now, 3, 31
Now a dayes, 274
Nowhere, 80, 185
Nu , the Aryan Suffix to Verbs, 6
Numb, 8, 14, 107
Numeral adverbs, first end with \& 59

NUT
Nutmeg, 179
Nym, Corporal, 107
0
its sound expressed in ten ways, 86

- replaces $a, 39,40,67,69,70$, $73,80,85,86,88,89,91,111$, 118, 126, 129, 136, 148, 162 , 166, 184, 290
- replaces $\mathscr{e}, 121$
- replaces $\dot{e}, 85,261,274$
- replaces eo, 61
- the old ending of the First Person of the Present Tense, 38, 39
Oa replaces $a, 86,116$
Occleve, 276
Ockley, 312
Oe replaces $e ́$ in the North, 39, 40, 49
O'er, for over, 147
Of, 3, 27, 29, 49, 51, 52, 53, 60, 68, 78, 81, 170,223. See Partitive
- the parent of off, $52,81,89$

Often, 129
Oftentime, 193, 269, 287
$\mathrm{Og}(e t), 96$
Oho, 287
Oi, a new sound in English, 222
Oil, 152
Ol, the Suffix, 12
Old English Miscellany, an, 154, 175
Old-fashioned words and forms, 287, 290, 292, 296, 301, 302
On, the Preposition, 3
On condition that, 269
On, softened into o, 64
On, the French, 28, 119, 155, 193
Once (semel), 59, 126, 193, 290
Once (olim), 193

## OUS

One, for $\hat{a} n, 28,67,69,89,175$, 290

- fastened to each, 81, 100
- stands for man, 119, 126, 155, 175, 188, 193, 266
- takes al for a Prefix, 101
- takes $w$ before it, 283, 291

One of these days, 193
Only, the modern form of $\hat{a} n$, 31, 120, 127, 192, 221
Oo, replaces $o, 44,91,128,274$

- replaces $u, 91$

Or, 101, 130
Orcagna, $27 \pm$
Orchard, 86
Ordinals, the, 166
Orm, 41
Ormulum, the, 92-111, 124, 148, 170, 301
Orr (privative), 98, 99
Orrmin, $90-110,112,116,117$, 121, 126-130, 137, 142, 149, $154,165,167,190$, 195, 220, $221,232,234,244,252,266$, 285, 338
Oth (usque ad), 7t, 162
Othello, 308
Other, 3, 7, 25
Other, the, referring to past time, 141
Otherwise, 81
Ou replaces eow, 85

- replaces $o, 85,128,147,184$, 274
- replaces $u, 85,126,129,137$, 138, 147, 330
- replaces oh, 80
- sounded in many ways, 138

Ought (aliquid), 101

- (debeo), 71, 73, 83, 87, 161
Our, 23, 138
Ours, 100
Ous, the Suffix, 247, 262, 279


## OUT

Out, 3, 98, 231

- disjoined from the Verb, 60, 74
Ovation, 327, 344
Over, 3, 7, 98, 231
Overking 102
Overlord, 102
Overtake, 121
Overthrow, different from throw over, 52, 179
Overturn, 121
Ow, replaces $\imath, 12,129$
Owe, 71, 84, 121, 277
Owl and Nightingale, the, 140 142,252
Own, stands for two oldVerbs, 32
Own (proprius), 57, 67, 73, 88, 127, 290
Ox, 3, 218
Oxen, 22, 51
Oxford, 115, 174, 176, 184, 208, 227, 228, 239, 249, 254, 256, 257, 259, 265, 289, 299, 321, 336
Oxus, the, 1, 11, 15, 166, 233, 345
Oyez, 239

Paversion of the Old English to, 102,123

- inserted in words, 121

Pack, 123
Pain, 246, 286
Painful, 122
Pair of tongs, 275
Paradise Lost, the, 311, 312, 319
Par ma fey, 132, 163
Paris, 46, 217, 225, 228, 235, 258, 315, 329, 339
Parker Society, 295, 302
Parson, 283
Participle, Active, 9, 24, 25, 30,

44, 62, 91, 113, 115, 148, 165, $174,185,195,269$
Participle, Active, a shibboleth of Dialects, $62,63,69,91,94$, 125, 137

- used for a Preposition, 246
Participle, Passive, 9, 24, 25, 39, $61,70,82,83,88,94,106$, $115,125,141,143,156,162$, 165, 263, 265, 279
Partitive use of of, $29,52,53,60$
Party, a, 244
Passing (used as a Preposition), 195, 246
Passing rich, 269
Passive Voice, 9, 13
Paston Letters, the, 283
Path, 3, 4
Pay, 220, 300
Peace, 152, 219, 229
Peacock, 179
Peakirk, 69
Pecock, Bishop, 83,252, 279-281, 283-285, 290, 296, 360
Pedibus, 15
Pedlar, 123, 124
Pen (includere), 88
Penance, 203
Pence, 194
Penology, 328, 347
Pepys, 186, 194
Peradrenture, 238
Percy Society, 141, 177
Percy's Reliques, 207, 260, 315
Perfect Tense, 8, 9, 10, 16, 225
-its change from Strong to Weak in the Second Person, 127, 164
Perfection, 275, 292
Perhaps, 151, 242, 206
Persian, 1, 11, 176
Persons, 137
- of the Tenses of the Verb. See Present, Perfect, and Plural

PER
Perugia, 81
Peterborough, 47, 54-66, 68, 69, $71-77,81, ~ \& 7,89,94,96,98$, $105,115,118,119,125,129$, 166, 181, 190
Phillipps, Sir Thomas, the Poem printed by, 84, 115
Philology, Old English, 88
Physician, 290
Picard, 83
Pick, 123
Pickwick, 80
Piecemeal, 15, 242
Pierce, President, 331
Pig, 123
Pin, 179
Pit of Hell, the Poem, 233
Pitch, 115
Pitt, 318, 341, 342
Place, 132, 229
Plank, 187
Play king, 177
Plight, 113
Plough, 64, 91, 96, 138
Plump, to, 179
Plumpton Letters, the, 296
Plunder, 339
Plunkett, Lord, 330
Plural, Nominatives, 5, 22, 51, 94, 95, 102, 166, 167

- of the Present of Verbs, 24, 25
- Northern Form of, 49, 50, $62,63,148$
- Southern Form of, 49, 50, $62,63,174$
-     - Midland Form of, 62, 63, $94,125,143,147,156,162$, $165,174,256,281$
Plymouth, 299
Pœnitentia, 238
Pole axe, 97
Poll, 179
Pompeii, 47

PSA
Pooh, 287
Poor, 219, 223
Pope, 274, 312, 313, 315, 323, 326
Popish, 294
Pore, to, 174
Portuguese, 339
Pot, 123 ; to go to, 294
Pour, 151, 213
Praise, 229, 230
Pray, 156, 230, 300
Prayer Book, the Anglican, 183, $195,221,240,269,300,312$, 328
Preacher, the, 228-230
Precious, 180
Prefixes, Teutonic, 15, 241

- Romance, clipped in England, 132, 161, 245 ; they drive out the Teutonic Prefixes, 247
Prepositions, 16, 26, 27, 28, 29, $30,119,120$
- uncoupled from the Verb, 52, 60, 74, 98
- Compounds with, $93,98,102$, 170, 231
- French, prefixed to English roots, 266
- New, 72, 85

Present Tense, 8, 9, 10, 38, 39, 136, 156

-     - expresses the Future, 29
——old German Plural of,13,62
Prestige, 338
Prick, to, 169
Pride, 129
Primer of $1400,269-271^{\circ}$
Printing, influence of, 62
Proctorize, 267
Pronouns, 7, 23, 24, 96
Proper Names, their foreign endings, 247
Psalter, the Northumbrian, 38, $39,40,48,95,351$

PSA
Psalter (the one of 1250), 145153, 161, 185, 203, 252, 282, 290

## Puff, 123

Punch, to, 123

- the Journal, 337

Purvey, the writer, 268
Put, pult, 78, 83, 169, 201
Puttenham, 286

$Q^{0}$U, replaces $c w, 74$

- replaces $h w, 278$

Quarterly Review, the, 316
Queen, 4, 128
Quell = kill, 262
Quickly, Mrs., 192
Quiver fellow, 124
Quoth, 116
R, intrudes into English words, 80, 117, 179, 213

- sounded strongly by the Irish, 167
Ra, the Aryan Suffix, 5, 7
Raffle, 275
Ragged, 179
Rain, 26
Rainbow, 129
Raj, the Aryan root, 6, 168
Raise, 98
Rake, 114
Range, to, 122
Ransack, 131
Rape, 131, 250
Rasp, 131
Rate, to, 213
Rather (potius), 88, 192
Ravening, 266, 287
Re, the French Prefix, 247
Read, 61
Ready, 90
Ready money, 189

Reare, 188, 275
Rebuke, 269
Recórd, 239
Recover, 224, 246
Recuyell, Caxton's, 284, 285, 288
Red (ruber), 3

- the Suffix, 15, 71

Rede, 301
Redgauntlet, 101
Reduplication of Aryan Verbs, 8, 325
Reflexive. See Dative
Reformation, the, 28, 54, 74, 294, 304, 305, 306, 321
Regard of, in, 269
Relative Pronouns, 58, 70, 82, $120,136,149,192,193$
Religion, 296
Reliquiæ Antiquæ, 136, 142
Renard the Fox, 286
Renew, 246, 247, 266
Renown, 238, 243
Repent him, 245
Repetition, idiomatic, 175
Repropitiate, 302
Revile, 243, 326
Revisers of the Bille, 302
Rhine, peasants of the, 62
Rich, 3, 69, 224
Riches, 152, 219, 296
Richard I., 177

- II., 272, 273

Rick, the Suffix, 15
Riddle, 15
Rife, 115
Right, 60, 78, 101, 137, 186, 2S1, 287
Righteous, 15, 71, 290
Rime, 237, 282
Rimes, English, 79
Ring, 85
Ritualist, 326
Rive, 114
Roar, 148

## ROB

SCO
Robert, Bishop of Lincoln, 143, 195, 196, 227, 254, 256
Robertson, Dr., 317, 318
Rock, to, 115
Rogers, 295
Roe, 86, 116
Roll, to, 291
Rolliad, the, 32
Romance words akin to English words, 224, 225

- Suffixes, 246, 247
- its influence on English, 240, 248, 251, 287, 311
Rome, 17, 18, 41, 86, 143, 184, 222, 225, 227, 231, 239, 265, 303, 304, 308
Rood, supplanted, 64, 223, 229
Rooge, 337
Root, 90
Rouen, 217, 235
Rough, 39, 137, 179
Round, 237, 242
Rove, 287
Ruefully, 191
Rule, 262 ; rule the roast, 263
Roxburgh Club, 196
Roy, 266, 295, 296, 299
Rue, 4,88
Run, 26, 61, 112, 264
Runes, 16, 36, 37, 128, 349
Rushworth Gospels, 42, 48, 351
Russian, 225
Ruthwell Cross, the, 36, 37, 349, 353
Rutland, 46, 47, 56, 69, 72, 73, 177, 182, 183, 184, 210, 211, 259, 262, 275
Rutland, Earl of, 283
Ryle, to, 222
S
the older form of $s t, 39$
- replaces $t h$ in the North, $49,50,52,62,63,130,153$

S, replaces $n$ in the Plural of Nouns, 51
——r, 61, 104, 105

-     - sh, 91, 94, 129
- is added at the end of a word, 153,174
Sack, to, 190
Sackless, 334
Sacrilege, 246
Sad, 291
Safe, 34
Salimbene, 222, 226, 227, 228
Salop, 118, 120, 121, 123, 124, 205, 260, 262, 282, 283, 291
Salt, 16
Same, 3, 31, 101, 102, 186, 264, 266
Sandal Castle, 283, 284
Sanglier, 40
Sanscrit, 1-16, 25, 44, 70, 82, 102, 107, 123, 127, 138, 233, 235, 255
Save, 137, 241, 287
Savour, to, 267
Saw, 121
Saxon, 19, 40, 41, 44, 45, 216, 260, 268, 320
Say, 58, 74, 185
Sc, preferred to $s h, 112$
Scald (poeta), 98
Scalp, 152
Scandinarian, 13, 64, 94, 255, 320. See Danes, Icelandic, Norse, Swedes
- words in English, 51, 64, 72, 81, 92, 93, 96, 97, 98, 102, 104, 106, 123, 131, 142, 152, 161, 179, 186, 212, 214
Scape, 161
Scarcely, 275
Scare, 98
Scatter, 75
School, 69
Scoff, 179

SCO
Scold, 92
Scorch, 106
Score, 132
Scorn, 90, 93, 244, 251
Scotland, 9, 11, 26, 27, 31, 39, $40,44,51,53,57,60,64,70$, 74, 89, 96-101, 103, 105, 106, $119,129,137,147,148,149$, $150,165,169,176,177,182$, 191, 238, 276, 305, 306, 334, 339
Scott, Major, 32

- Sir Walter, 33, 84, 149, 159, $178,238,260,315,316,346$
Scour, 179
Scowl, 123
Scraggy, 123
Scrape, 123
Scratch, 124
Scream, 117
Screech, 95
Scrip, 174
Scrub, 179
Scullion, 187
Search, true derivation of, 80
Seat, 3, 4
Sceond, 186, 242
Sec, 36, 61
Soek, 80
Seem, 114, 117
Seldom, 15, 120
Self, used as a noun, 100, 290
Sensation, 332
Serve, 79
Set at nought, 193
Settle, stands fortwo oldVerbs,32
Seven, 3
Seventh, 44, 59, 166
Seventy, 70
Severn, the, 114, 242
Sew, 4, 261
Sexton, 275
Sh, or $s c h$, replaces $s c, 78,8 \hat{\mathrm{I}}, 88$, 95, 184

Sl
Shakespere, $12,60,98,103,111$, 124, 148, 151, 154, 171, 260, 299, 304, 307-310
Shall, 10, 29, 87, 91, 94, 9*, 104, $129,136,148,259$
Shamefastness, 301
Shameful, 191
Shannon, the, 2, 314
She (the old seô), 3, 29, 43, 44, $58,73,129,165,256,263,282$
She-beast, 261
the-wolf, 269
Shed, 83
Sheep, 22, 43, 218
Sheepish, 104
Sheer, 90
Sheriff, 62
Shift, 106
Shillingford, 278
Shimmer, 124
Shine, 78, 81
Shingle, 179
Ship, the Suffix, 15
Ship (naris), 21, 88
Shipwreck, 266
Shirt, 90
Shiver (findere), 90
Shiver (tremere), 155
Shoes, 43, 82, 167
Shop, 176
Shoreham, 252
Should, 61, 74, 82, 94, 279
Shove, 88
Show, 78, 83, 88, 95, 106, 112, 114
Shrew, $1+2,250$
Shrewsbury, 64
Shriek, 90,95
Shrift, 88
Shrill, 116, 117, 179
Shudder, 116
Shunt, 152
Shy, 123
Si, the Kentish Article, 68

Si (in Latin, sit), 104
Sicken, 106
Side, 85,179 ; side by side, 194
Sidney, 186, 298
Sigh, 147, 185
Siker, 84, 275, 283
Silly, 103, 261.
Silver, 6, 59
Similitude, 292, 301
Simon, Earl, 237
Simple, 178
Sin, 87, 88
Since, syn, sith, sithence, 186, 193, 274, 281, 294, 301, 309, 310
Single, 137, 245
Singularis (Low Latin), 40
Sir, 222, 232, 282
Sister, 4
Sit, sat, 4, 8, 16, 38
Sixth, 3
Sixtus V., 230
Skeat, Mr., 165, 369
Skelton, 280, 288
Skill, 84
Skip, 132
Skulk, 123
Skull, 123
Sky, 131, 152, 185
Slain, 87, 115, 147
Slang words, 33, 84, 168, 190
Slay, slew, 50, 74
Slayer, 147
Slaughter, 147, 152, 185
Slavonians, 1, 12, 18
Sledgehammer, 33
Sleek, 142
Sleep, 43, 50, 90, 105
Sleight, 153
Sleuth, 98
Sluggish, 123
Sly, 98, 146
Smart, 116, 161, 168, 185

SOU
Smile, 4, 178
Smirk, 178
Smithy, 33
Smock, 90
Smooth, 261
Smother, 84
Smoulder, 123
Smug, 98
Snatch, 123
Sneer, 152
Sniff, 90
Snout, 126
Snub, 152
Snuff, 90
So, sa, 71, 82, 85, 91, 116, 137, 166
Soberness, 243
Soldier, 292
Some, the Suffix, 15
Some, 27, 119, 159, 266
Some one, 193
Some other, 99
Somebody, 193
Somerset, 6, 20, 40, 51, 61, 94, 190, 207, 260, 275,276
Sometime, 118
Somewhat, 30, 99
Somewhere, 99
Son, 4, 6, 14, 15, 22, 49, 59
Soon, 59, 121
Sooth, 4, 34, 187
Sophocles, 11, 163
Sorrow, 82, 121
Sorry, 57, 88, 191
Sought, 85
Soul, 21

- Poem on it, 84

Soule, Mr. 345
Southern English, 36-10, 51, 61-63, 68-74, 79, 81-85. 91, $95,97,100,102-105,113$, 122, 123, 127, 147-154, 158, 163-166, 184, 185, 188, 190, 205-210, 250, 257, 260, 263,

SOU
268, 271, 277-281, 283-285, 287, 295
South East of England, 128, 175
South West of England, 80, 116, 128, 175
Southwell, 298
Sow, 85, 309
Spain, 18, 48, 250, 253, 263, 304, 308
Spake, 74, 148
Span new, 168
Sparkle, 287
Speech, 95
Spenser, 10, 114, 274, 308
Spider, 261
Spill, 151
Spital, 223
Spot, 123, 132
Spousesse, 267
Sprawl, 169
Springe, 142
Spy, 132, 245
Squash, 152
Squint, 123
Squire, to, 175
Squire Western, 58
Squireen, 247
Stack, 169
Stafford, 45
St. Albans, 231, 263, 332, 359
Stalwart, 83
Stamford, 45, 47, 181, 184
Stamford Bridge, 53
Stamp, 179
Stand in, 98
Standard English, 36, 57, 69, 71, $96,107,116,118,124,128,129$, 166, 180, 254-260, 264, 281, 282, 286, 289, 306, 321, 331
Star, 4, 20, 49, 96, 166
Stark naked, 116
Start, 115
Stead, 132, 229, 301
Stealth, 129

Stephen, 72, 75, 77, 178
Stephens, Mr., 36, 349
Ster, the Feminine Suffix, 10, 97, 268
Sterlings, 176
Sternhold, 301
Stillingfleet, 305
Stilt, 161
Stint, 107
Stir, 107
Stirling, 19, 182
Stone dead, 168
Stool, 274
Stopt, 9
Story, 170
Stout, 177
Stow, 84
Strafford, Earl, 310
Straightway, 291
Stratford atte Bowe, 209, 228
Stratmann, Dr. 93, 128, 152, 176, 179, 248
Strew, 4, 9, 106
Strife, 129, 225
Strike in, 98
Strong, 40, 80, 162
Strong Verbs, 8, 16, 24, 127

- replace Weak Verbs, 85, 106, 291 (ring, shew, wear)
Strut, 169
Stuarts, 122, 276
Stubble, 152
Stumble, 187
Stump, 142
Stutter, 116
Subjunctive Mood, 322
Substantives, examples of Teutonic, 14
- declensions of Old English, 20-22
- turned into Verbs, 151, 262

Such, 6, 70, 71, 78, 81, 112, 166; $175,184,266,277$
Such one, 100

SUE
Sue, 267
Suffixes, Aryan, 5-9, 11, 12

- Teutonic, 15, 248
- Romance, 176, 195, 241, 246, 247
Suffolk, 69, 125, 128, 129, 130, 136, 245, 255
Suffolk, Duke of, 277
Sunday, 82
Superlatives, Aryan, 7
- replaced by most, 121

Sure, 299
Surely, 269
Surety, 275
Surrey, Earl of, 74, 298
Sussex, 19, 20
Swag, 188
Sweat, 3, 13, 235
Swedes, 18

- their words in England, 92, 114, 123, 131, 152, 161, 169, 174, 187
Sweet, 3, 7
Swelter, 90
Swift, Dean, 312, 313, 329, 334, 338, 344
Swine, 22, 218
Swipe, 71
Swithe, 186, 287
Swoon, 113
Sword, 49, 50
Syndon, 39, 49, 104, 125
T changes to $s$ in German, 13 - rounding off the end of a word, $25,26,39,129,148$, 153, 185, 264, 290
- is cast out in the middle of a word, 82
Ta, the Aryan Suffix, 9
Tables of words and events, 3, 211-214, 224, 250, 252, 320
Taboo, 338


## THA

Tacitus, 17
Tackle, 132
Take, to (keep in), 89. See also 107
Talk, 115
Tall, 261
Tame, 4, 11, 12
Tane, for taken, 153, 185
Tapis, the, 317
Tara, the Aryan Comparative, 7
Tasso, 308
Tattle, 123
Taught (tensus), 141
Teach, 79, 80, 107
Tees, the, 265
Teinds, 96
Temptation, 269
Ten, 12, 13, 40
Tennyson, Mr., 63, 79, 192, 319
Tenth, 70, 96
Termagant, 246
Teutons, Teutonic, 3, 6, 10, 12, $13,14,15,17,18,25,34,35,53$, $61,68,80,84,85,86,92,93$, 107, 111, 150, 186, 187, 215, 221, 224, 234, 240, 286, 345

- Elements in English, 5, 15, 16, $63,64,181,183,225,226$, 238, 242, 243, 247, 257, 258, 277, 292, 293, 298, 300, 302, 308, 311, 317, 319, 321, 322, 337, 344
- Prefixes, 15
- Suffixes, 15

Th, answers to the Sanscrit $t, 9$

- substituted for p, 38, 57, 288
- cast out of the middle of a word, 103
- is added to round off a word, 129
- replaces d, 290
- replaces $s, 43$

Thackeray, 223, 333 343, 348
Thames, the, 35, 70, 87, 107, 112, 250, 261, 263, 345

THA
That, 3, 23, 120

- used as a Demonstrative, 94, 99, 149, 277, 285
That one-that other, 89, 128, 154, 285
That there, 167
That, first follows Plural Substantives, 58
That, the Old Relative, 150
The, 3, 23, 43, 52, 57, 68
- the one case when it is not a Definite Article, 31
Their, $23,43,94,96,136,148$, 166, 266, 277, 281, 285
Theirs, 100, 263
Them, 23, 40, 94, 96, 148, 149, 277, 281, 285
Thence, 59
Theocritus, 323
Theon (flourish), 286
Ther, old Comparative Suffix, 7
There, an expletive before was, 32,150
Thereafter, 75
Therefore, first appearance of, 59
Therein, 75
Thereunto, 193
These, 23, 71, 130, 149
Thew, 82, 114
They, 3, 23, 43, 49, 95, 96 ; ( peo ), $111 ; 130,148,149,163,166$, 263
Thick, 85
Thief, 87
Thigh, 85
Thilk, 6, 94, 99, 166, 210, 264, 266, 277, 279, 285
Thine, 23
Third, 44, 261
Thirst, 4, 163
Thirteen, 3, 12
Thirty, 50
This, 23, 59, 63
Thither, 3

Thor, 41, 42
Thoresby, Archbishop, 272
Thornton, Bonnell, 331
Thorough, 85, 310
Thoroughly, 104, 167
Thorpe, Mr., 52, 71, 353, 369
Those (pâs), 99, 149, 274, 289
Thou, 23
Though (tamen), 31, 43, 137
Thought, 87, 147
Thousand, 12
Thrale, Mrs., 244
Threat, 129
Three, 3, 50, 59
Thrice, 50, 290
Thrive, 98, 286
Through, 85, 310

- the $r$ transposed, 147

Throughout, 81
Thrust, 84
Thucydides, 68
Thud, 113, 261
Thumb, 74
Thunder, has $n$ inserted, 26, 129
Thurlow, Lord. 341
Thwart, 129
Thy, 67, 70, 266
Thyself, 100
Tidings, 90,117
Tidy, 131
Tight, 169
Till, the Northumbrian, 27, 38,
$73,125,136,142,162,186$
Till, to, 90
Time, 176
Times, The, 324, 328, 336
Tine, to, 131, 251
Tippet, 261
Tithes, 96, 129
Titus Oates, 327
To, before Infinitive, 30,189

- used for at, 27
- used for for, 27
- replaces the Dative, 53

TO
To, the Teutonic Prefix, 170, 231, 309
To-break, 309
To and fro, 193
To the end that, 269
Toil, 90
Token, 129
Tome (vacuus), 176
Tongue, 20, 51
Toot, to, 123
Tooth, teeth, 4, 22, 25, 98, 116
Top, 179
Topcliffe, 312
Topple, 122
Touch, 242, 245
Touching this, 269
Tough, 274
Tout, to, 90
Tow, 117
Toy, 117, 190
Toxophilite, 301
Trades, English, 236
Trail, 245
Transubstantiation, 68
Travail, 20
Traveller's Song, the, 18
Tread, 105
Tree, 4, 49
Trench, Archbishop, 328
Trent, the, 42, 95
Trevisa, 260, 261, 287
Tristrem, Sir, the, 159-162, 177, 185, 237, 239, 240
Trollope, Mr., 146, 324
Trow, I, 194
True, 3, 187
True as steel, 194
Trumbull, Mr., 229
Trust, 90
Truth, 74, 187
Tuesday, 2, 178
Tug, 117
Tumble, 179
Turk, the, 2, 306

## UNW

Twelve, 12, 13, 138
Twice, 59, 290
Twinge, 142
Twist, 90
Twit, how formed, 32
Two, 3, 39, 50
Tyndale, 43, 189, 252, 267, 286, 288-298, 300, 302-307, 317, 318, 323, 369
Tyrant, 26
U the Aryan Suffix, 6, 12

- the old ending of the

Northumbrian Present of the
Verb, 38

- replaces eo, 74, 82
-     - o, 91, 128, 130
-     - $w, 43,49,50,73,74$
-     - $i$ in the South, 71, 81, 147

Ue, for $e 0,158,191$

- for $y w, 178$

Ugly, 131
Um, Dative Plural in, 14, 15, 44, 51, 59, 68
Umbe, 121, 170
Umquhile, 121, 191
Un, the Teutonic Prefix, 6, 15, 98, 99, 279, 292, 302

- for hine, 24, 58

Uncer, the Dual, 23
Unclubbable, 279, 314
Under, 3, 7, 27, 98, 231
Underling, 81
Understanding, the, 150
Ung, Verbal Nouns in, 60, 113
Ungainly, 97, 116
Ungcet, very old, 37, 349
Unidea-ed, 314
United States, 48. See America
Unlike, 104
Unless, 186, 280
Until, 186, 261
Unwisdom, 99

UP
Up, 3
Upholding, 98
Upon, 99
Upon the point to be, 242
Upper, 179
Upside down, 261
Us, 23, 138
Use (soleo), 178, 241
Usury, 267
Utan, ute, 29, 155
Utmost, 7
Utterly, 122, 269
V replaces $f, 58,59,71,80$, 120, 209, 290

-     - w, 291
- cast out in the middle of a word, 164, 256
Vat, 80
Vedas, the, 5, 11
Verbs. See Strong, Weak, Irregular
- how formed, 8, 14
- idioms of, 28, 29
- changes in, 61, 62, 68, 74, 81, 82, 112
- formed from Nouns, 151, 174, 175
Vercelli, 36
Vere, Mr. Aubrey de, 316
Verily, 243
Very, 101, 186, 233, 242, 243, 262, 281
Victoria, Queen, 36, 54, 186, 233, 318, 345
Victuals, 294
Virgil, 29, 370
Virgin, The, 230, 297.
Virtus, 238, 293
Vixen, 6, 80
Volatilis, 267
Voltaire, 280, 315
Von Raumer, 234

WAS
Vowels, changed in Strong Verbs, 8, 16
— doubling of, 33, 148

- at the end of a word, 33, 310
- pronounced in the French way, 80
- strange pronunciation of,128, 138, 171, 175

W
replaces $g, 50,59,80,84$, $85,88,117,120,121$,
127, 184, 274

- $\quad h, 82,121$
-     - u, 186
- added to 0,179
- cast out in the middle of a word, 261
- prefixed, 282, 283, 291, 296

Wadding, 227
Waddington, 182,188
Waggon, 103
Wail, 179
Wain, 103
Wait, 170, 180, 220
Wake, 88, 191
Walk, 83
Wallow, 291
Walpole, 337
Wamba, 332
Wan, the Prefix, 121

- replaces $u n, 121$

Wand, 98
Wanley, 38, 42
Want, 98
Wanton, 121
War, 64, 225
Ward, the Suffix, 6, 261, 287
Ware, 73, 91
Warton, 261
Warwick, 45, 162, 179, 256, 315
Warwick, Earl of, 276, 277
Was, 61 ; (eras) 104; becomes wast, 266

WAS
Wasp, 26
Waste, 191, 225
Watch, 88
Water, 3
Watershed, 83
Waur, 98, 186
Waves, 291
Wax, 4, 302
Weak Verbs, how formed, 10, 16, 25

- replace Strong Verbs, 43, 105, 112, 151, 164, 266, 292, 309
Weal and woe, 34, 194
Wealth, 91
Wear, 291
Weasel, 287
Weave, 4, 49
Wedgwood, Mr., 73, 83, 106, 124, 126, 132, 170
Wedlock, 103
Wednesday, 121
Weep, 105, 151
Weight, 129
Welfare, 191
Well nigh, 88
Wellington, 194, 315
Wench, 154
Welsh, the, 48, 84, 106, 115, 117, $123,132,154,162,169,179$, 254, 306, 346. See Celtic, Celts
Went, 10, 52, 186, 279
Were, 67, 69, 71, 104
Wesley, 268
Wessex, $17,19,35,36,43,45$, 46, 48, 259, 260
West of England, 104, 112, 118, 184, 223, 259
Westminster, 235, 273
Wexford, 207
What, 6, 24, 120
- stands for quis, 100
— stands for aliquid, 30, 99
- used as an Interjection, 32
— stands for $\mathrm{et}, 82$

WIL
What time, 192
Whatsoever, 73, 130
Whence, 174
Where, for there, 49
Whereas, 269
Wheresoever, 118
Whether (uter), 3, 7, 302
Which, 67, 70, 79, 81, 82, 100, $120,136,149,166,184,266$,
Which so ever, 81
Which, the, 192
While, 4, 74
Whilom, 15
Whilst, 91
Whip, 142
Whirlwind, 99, 212
White, Mr., 93
Whither, 3
Whitherso, 50
Who (ho), 3, 6, 24, 58, 125, 137, 138, 149, 193, 278
Whole, 52, 189, 291
Wholesome, 90
Wholly, 189, 282, 296
Whom, 24, 82, 120
Whoso, 49, 59, 118, 137, 193
Whosoever, 116
Wicked, 73, 96
Wickedness, 150
Wickliffe, $85,86,164,241,248$, 249, 259, 263, 265-269, 277, 289, 290, 291, 293, 323, 335, 369
Wiles, 64, 96
Will, the Auxiliary Verb, 10, 29
William the Conqueror, 48,51 , 53, 56, 224, 345. See Conqueror
William, the Englishman, 235
William, the name, 222
William of Palerne and the Werwolf, 124, 205, 259
Willingly, 151
Wilson 307

WIM
Wimple, 90
Winchester, 46, 47, 54, 63, 217, 254, 320, 323
Windlass, 213
Windmill, 177
Window, 123, 124, 134
Wing, 98
Wink, a, 194
Winnow, 266
Wis, the Suffix, 15
Wit, 3, 10
With, its senses, $30,82,107$, $137,163,256$

- the Prefix, 15, 170

Withal, 100
Witham, the, 42
Withdraw, 121
Withhold, 121
Without, 53, 119
Wobble, 115
Woebegone, 141
Woe me, 85, 302
Woe worth the day, 302
Wohung of our Lord, 124
Wolf, 5, 14
Wolsey, 289, 305
Woman, 53, 116
Wont (solere), 194, 337
Wood, 91
Worcester, 39, 84, 111, 112, 115, 218, 255, 290
Work a day, 103
Workman, 50
World, 103
Worse, 98
Worship, 103, 294
Worth, the Verb, 4, 250
Wot, 4, 10
Would, 128
Would God, 194, 302
Wound, 128, 138, 147
Wretched, wretchedness, 150 , 185
Wright, Mr., 184, 233, 234

YUL
Wrong, 51, 96
Wroth, 129
Wrought, 71, 83, 107
Wyat, 298
Wyatt, 343
Wynstre (left), 82
Y replaces $g, 26,43,57,70$, $1,80,82,85,95,117,129$, 179, 261, 277
—, used as a Prefix, 91
-, written for $i, 195,285$
-, written for $t h, 259$
Yare, 43
Yarrow, the, 41
Yawn, 261
Ye, 23 ; first used for thou, 160 , 185
Yea, 28, 266, 294
Year, 11, 87
Yellow, 12, 179
Yes, 28, 104
Yield, 127
Yoke, 3, 9, 44
Yon, 192
Yonder, 167
Yonge, Miss, 343
York, the Duke of, 283, 285
York, change of its name, 41 ; see also 42, 44, 47, 55, 57, 75, 97, 120, 124, 131, 136, 138, $145-153,164,180,182,185$, 203, 259, 260, 263, 266, 272, 281, 283, 289, 296
You, 23, 49 ; instead of thou, 167
Young, 3, 91, 127 ; young one, 266
Your, 23, 43, 58, 87
Yours, 100
Yourselves, 290
Youth, 40, 88
Yowl, 169
Yule, 98, 186, 244

## L <br> ZWI

L, a new character in English, replacing $q, 70,82,88,95$, 100, 103, 287

- written for the sound $s, 70$
- first set at the end of a word, 95
Geond (through), is dropped, 120

Lho (heo), 96

7 stands for $s, 209,220,278$, 287
Zeus, 2, 338
Zwingli, 292
-
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ When weighing the corruptions of the Old English, we shall find that two-thirds of these are due to the shires held by the Norsemen; the remaining one-third is due to the Lower Severn and to the shires lying south of the Thames.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have lately naturalized the German word umlaut, thus marking the nation which has most claim on Philologers. A less peaceful age than our own naturalized plunder, which came from the same land.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Science of Language, I. 273.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Turks and Magyars are the chief exceptions to the rule.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ As in our phrase, 'woe worth the day.'
    ${ }^{2}$ It will be remarked that Grimm's Law is sometimes broken. Thus day and path begin with the same letter both in Sanscrit and

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hence comes 'to numb.'

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Few Sanscrit verbs have this form, so common in English.
    ${ }^{2}$ Archdeacon Hare always spelt preached as preacht. Still, it is the English $t h$, not $t$, that answers to the Sanserit $t$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The old Persian word $y$ âre is the English year.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sophocles' high-sounding $\pi \omega \lambda o \delta a \mu \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ would be our to foaltame, if we chose to compound a word closely akin to Greek.

[^7]:    1 The verb ear is happily preserved in Shakespeare, and in the English Bible. It is one of the first words that ought to be revived by our best writers, who shculd remember their Ar-yan blood.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the Sanscrit swêda, English sweat, High German schweiss. English is at once seen to be far more primitive than German.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pedibus is but the Latin form of the Sanscrit padbhyas.
    ${ }^{2}$ I hope I have been plainer than Miss Cornelia Blimber, who told her small pupil that Analysis is 'the resolution of an object, whether of the senses or of the intellect, into its first elements-as opposed to Synthesis, you observe. Now you know what Analysis is, Dombey.' It is remarked that Dombey didn't seem to be absolutely blinded by the light thus let in upon his intellect.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Latins set Prepositions before $d h \hat{a}$ and dadhâu, and thus formed abdo, abdidi; condo, condidi; perdo, perdidi. This last is nothing lut the English I for-do (ruin), I for-did.
    ${ }^{2}$ Garnett's Essays, pp. 150, 167.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Most Englishmen will agree with Garnett, who writes, ' We have a great regard for the Dutch, a still greater for the Germans, and an absolute enthusiasm for all the sons of Odin, whether Danes, Swedes, Norwegians, or Icelanders.'

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ I do not quote in my Appendix any specimen of English before 680, as we cannot be sure that we have any such English exactly as it was written.
    ${ }^{2}$ Philological Essays, p. 161. Some Celtic words, like gallop

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thorpe's Analecta Anglo-Saxonica, p. 58.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ March (p. 195) gires a few instances of the latter sense.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. pp. 140, 177. He quotes from Mark xii. 3, swungon thone and forlêton hine.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 154. So ân of besum, one of these. This Partitive use of the word of is very old.

[^15]:    1 'Cadmon mœ fauæpo' (not Cedmon) is the inscription lately discovered on the cross; and this confirms a guess made long ago by Mr. Haigh. Mr. Stephens assigns the noble fragment of the Judith to the great bard of the North.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bosworth, Origin of the Germanic Languages, pp. 56-60.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rushworth Gospels, iv. (Surtees Society), Prolegomena, cix.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ At the head of the Yarrow is a mountain, called of old by the Celtic name Ben Yair. To this the Romans prefixed their Mont, and the Danes long afterwards added their word Law. The hill is now called Mountbenjerlaw; in it hill comes three times over. —Garnett's Essay/s, p. 70.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Morris was the first to point this out.
    ${ }^{2}$ Worsaae, The Danes and Northmen, pp. 71, 119, 170.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eadred was like King Victor Emmanuel, who has no underkings below him ; Eadred's father was like Kaiser William.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kemble's Charters, ii. 304.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ See a specimen of these in my Appendix, Chapter VII.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note on p. 49.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is a wrong notion abroad that the German Plural in ens is more venerable than the English Plural in es.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Thorpe, in his Analecta Anglo-Saxonica, looks upon the Legend, which he prints, as an East Anglian work.
    ${ }^{2}$ This uncoupling sometimes adds to our stores of expression; to throw over is different from to overthrow.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ This of old would have been bûtan. Uur but still expresses nisi, preter, quin, sed, verim ; in Scotland, I believe, it may still stand for extra and sine. Our fathers must have thought that too great a load was thrown upon one word.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ I here follow Mr. Earle in his account of the Saxon Chronicles. The cock and bull tales in the forged Charters of the Abbey are most amusing to any one who knows the true history of England in the Seventh Century.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $h$ before another consonant now begins to drop, in the approved Anglian fashion.

[^27]:    ' Garnett's Essays, p. 142.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Garnett's Essays, p. 68.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Faber's Difficulties of Romanism (Third Edition, p. 260) as to erasures made in Ælfric's text by theologians of a later age.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ The French escole (schola) appears in these Homilies (p. 243) as iscole.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ About 1340, cnoke夕 was written for knocks. See the Lancashire specimen, given in Chapter III,

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ This old word only survives among cricketers, who make good swipes.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ But the Infinitive in en lasted in the South down to the Reformation. Surrey writes, 'I dare well sayen.'

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ The English rimes, written before the Norman Conquest, must have been nothing but an exercise of ingenuity :-

    > Flah mah fliteð,
    > Flan man hwiteð,
    > Burg sorg biteð,
    > Bald ald ॠwiteð,
    > Wræc-fæc wriðað.

    This is a long poem, printed by Conybeare, Anglo-Saxon Poetry, p. xxiii. Mr. Morris, in his Second Series of Homilies, contends that the Moral Ode there printed is a transcript of some long English riming poem of the year 1000, or thereabouts. If so, the transcriber must have taken great liberties, in writing words like bikeihte and serveden (pp. 239 and 230), Second Series. If the original ever turns up, it will be the first of long-lined riming poems in English.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pickwick will keep this alive for ever. Mr. Justice Stareleigh can have been no student of Anglo-Saxon.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even so the Sanscrit gigâmi is the same word as the Greek $\beta \iota \beta \eta \mu$.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ This was first pointed out by Dr. Morris in the Athenœum.
    ${ }^{2}$ Can cacher have got confounded with the Old English gelceccan, gelaht, meaning the same?

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Hard Times comes the phrase, 'Kidderminster, stow that;' i.e. 'be quiet.'

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ We thus have nigh as well as the near (neor) seen at page 81, both alike coming from the old neah. The combination ei was never much liked for our Teutonic words.
    ${ }^{2}$ Wickliffe wrote 'bisydis the desert,' for what was 400 years earlier 'wið ðæt wêsten.'

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Anglo-Saxon and Northumbrian Versions of St. Matthew's Gospel, by Hardwick.
    ${ }^{2}$ Old English Homilies, Second Series (Early English Text

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ The old on án only meant continuously.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is nearer to the Norse than to the Old Engłish scir.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hence comes our tout, well known to sporting men.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Anglo-Saxon Dialogues, by J. Kemble (Ælfric Society), Part. III. p. 226. A revised edition has been published by Dr. Morris in his Old English Miscellany.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ When we find so thorough a Tenton using words like ginn and scorrb, we should pause before we cerive these from France.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Mr}$. White has given us a capital edition of Orrmin's poem, the Ormulum. Dr. Stratmann has made good use of it.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$. Garnett wishes to settle him within fifty miles of Northampton, and therefore would not object to Nottingham. I should, like to place him thirty miles still further North.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our tongue is much enriched by having different forms of the same word; such as dike, ditch, shriek, screech, drink, drench, egg, edge, \&c., owing to this intrusive ch.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ If we had kept the $h$ in its proper place, at the beginning of the word, we should have full in our view the link between hwat and the Latin cwid (quid). The interchange between $h$ and $c$ has not yet died out in our island. I have heard Scotch peasants talk of a cwirlwind instead of a hwirlwind.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ This word is still rightly pronounced as a dissyllable in Scotland ; so in Lady Nairne's Mitherless Lammie:-
    'But it wad gae witless the warald to see.'

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Four years ago I heard an old Derbyshire gamekeeper use the verb in question.

[^50]:    ${ }^{2}$ Our word shift (chemise) means a change of linen.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ The last survives in numb, and in Corporal Nym.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sir F. Madden's Layamon, i. 130. Layamon has added much of his own to the original in this story of King Lear ; and the additions have been copied by later writers, Shakespere among them.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ The old cicen is turned into chicken in the Worcester manuscript, quoted at page 85.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hence happen, happy, came into England and supplanted older words.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hence the Rake's Progress.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Early English Text Society.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Early English Text Socieîy.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is most curious to compare the Salopian version (Reliquice Antique, ii. 4) with the Dorsetshire version (Camden Society).

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ This was pointed out by Dr. Morris some time ago in Notes and Queries.
    ${ }^{2}$ This Reflexive Dative, standing for solus, is still used in Scotland.
    > - Oh ! wha will dry the dreeping tear She sheds her lane, she sheds her lane?'

    —Lady Nairne's Poems, p. 211.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ So in the Latin, jungo is formed from jugo, and lingo from lico.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ This, as now, might express a poltroon.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ This proves that we ought not to write pedler, but pedlar ; the word is sometimes given as a puzzle in spelling.
    ${ }^{2}$ In Salop, forms which were used in Lothian and Yorkshire seem to have clashed with forms employed in Gloucestershire and Dorset; something resembling the Ormulum was the upshot. In each succeeding century Salop comes to the front. 'The Wohunge of ure Lauerd' seems to have been written here about 1210 (Morris' Old English Homilies, First Series, p. 269). In 1340, or so, the Romance of William of Palerne was compiled here. In 1420, John Audlay wrote his poems in the same dialect (Percy Society, No. 47). In 1580, Churchyard had not dropped all his old Salopian forms. Baxter, who came from Salop, appeared about 1650 as one of the first heralds of the change that was then passing over Standard English prose, and that was substituting Dryden's style for that of Milton. Soon after 1700, Farquhar, in his Recruiting Officer, gives us much of the Salopian brogue. This intermingling of Northern and Southern forms in Salop produced something not unlike Standard English.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Now we have for the first time a new English metre, with the alternate lines riming:-
    ' His mu'S is get wel unku' wi§ pater noster and crede;
    fare he nor's, er fare he su'f, leren he sal his nede;
    bidden bone to Gode, and tus his mu' rigten, tilen him so 才e sowles fode, Surg grace off ure drigtin.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rather further to the North, as we shall see, the old $o$ was turned into ou. A foreigner may well despair of pronouncing English vowels, when he finds that the words rune, wound, and mood are all sounded in the same way. This comes from Standard English being the product of many different shires.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ No for nec is found in Layamon.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the piece referred to at p. 85, we saw the first instance of $o$ being changed into ou.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ The French imprecation dahet shows whence comes our 'dash it!'
    ${ }^{2}$ We keep the older form in woe begone; the verb here is a corrupt Participle from begangan (circumdare).

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ As we say, ' he whipped into his desk.'

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sly (sapiens) has here a most exalted sense; it has been sadly degraded. 'Nasty sly girl!' says one of Mr. Trollope's matrons, speaking of her son's enchantress.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hampole, ninety years later, has the same corruption, pas for pa.
    ${ }^{2}$ Addison, in his Humble Petition of 'Who' and 'Which,' makes these Relatives complain of the Jack Sprat That, their supplanter.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Shakespere's time, substantives and adjectives could bs turned into verbs with ease. Dr. Johnson turns a preposition into a. verb: 'I downed him with this.'

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our word for accipiter clearly comes from the Norse, and not from the Old English heafoc. So we have preferred the Norse form slâtr to the Old English slage. A glance at Stratmann's Dictionary will show, that the South held to the Old English forms long after the Norse forms, now used by us, had appeared in the North.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Good Bishop Bedell, in a letter to Usher, brands an oppressor named Cooke: 'he is the most cryed out upon. Insomuch as he hath found from the Irish the nickname of Pouc.'-Page 105 of Bedell's Life, printed in 1685.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ But we still sometimes use the older form: 'Come weal, come woe, we'll gather and go.' 'Be Thine the glory, and be mine the shame.' How much more pith is there in these phrases, than in the cumbrous compound with let, as in the Lewes Ballad! This I have taken from the Camden Society's Edition of the Political Songs of England, p. 69.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Proverbs of Hending may be found in Kemble's AngloSaxon Dialogues (Ælfric Society), No. 14, p. 270.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. 169 of Scott's edition, in the year 1811. I give a stanza or two from p. 149.

    > Strokes of michel might,
    > Thai delten hem bituene;
    > That thurch hir brinies bright,
    > Her brother blode was sene;

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ It even comes in Billy Taylor, 'to a maiden fair and free.'

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Latin donec is rendered in the Herefordshire manuscript by $o$ bat, a relic of the old Southern English form; in the other two manuscripts it is the Danish til bat.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ We saw it as seouebende at Peterborough in 1120.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pala $i$ en bok is to pore on a book.-Wedgwood.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ This comes of our tongue being compounded in different shires ; the form $i e$ came from the South East, the form ea from the South West, the form $e$, and also $e e$, from the North.
    ${ }^{2}$ I quote from Hearne's edition.

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ This I take from Dr. Stratmann.
    ${ }^{2}$ The ending in ier is French ; yet there must have been some Old English word like bog-er; the trade was so conımon. There may here be a confusion between the two endings.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ One of our peculiarities now is, that we may say used for solebam, but may not say use for soleo. The latter remained in our mouths down to 1611, when it began to drop.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Weber's Metrical Romances, vol. i. It has new words in common with the Gloucester poems, such as bicker.
    ${ }^{2}$ For this Dr. Stratmann refers to the Low German gör ; this was in time to prevail over maiden and damsel alike.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Contrast these obsolete-looking lines with those given at page 163 of my work; the latter are the product of the Danelagh.

    2 The Mercian Danelagh has claims upon architects as well as upon philologers. A great treat awaits the traveller who shall go

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ This work, with its French original, has been edited for the Roxburgh Club by Mr. Furnivall.
    ${ }^{2}$ The date of Waddington's poem is pretty well fixed by a passage

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ I visited Stamford in 1872, and found that the letter $h$ was sadly misused in her streets.
    ${ }^{2}$ This change is also seen in Layamon and in the Herefordshire manuscript of 1313; whence Mr. Wright has taken much for his Political Songs (Camden Society).
    ${ }^{3}$ His moche was used by good writers down to Elizabeth's time.

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is as great a change as if the Latin intelligite were to be written intellig.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ The idea of swithe is kept in Pepy's ' mighty merry,' and the common phrase, ' you be main heary.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Since, nor, its, unless, below, until, are our main Teutonic changes since Manning's time.
    ${ }^{s}$ Quoted by Marsh, Lectures on the English Language, p. 88.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this century, many adjectives were to have one fastened on to them ; we still hear, 'he is a bad un,' \&c. Dr. Morris thinks that this one represents an old inflection ne. He quotes from the Ayenbite ane littlene (a little un).

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Somersetshire, they say 'he do be,' instead of 'he is.' Mr. Earle (Philology, page 492) gives instances of this idiom from the old Romance of Eger and Grime.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $h e$ had become $h a$ and then $a$; this is one of the new forms that we have rejected; Mrs. Quickly used it.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the Gothic jains, the Greek keinos. When I was at Hastings in March, 1873, I heard a maid (she had been told to look at a man carefully) reply, 'What! yon?' I asked where she came from ; the answer was, from Lincolnshire.
    ${ }^{3}$ This stands for quem; it was an idiom that Robert was unable to establish.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ Matthew Paris would have called Robert of Brunne 'immutator mirabilis.'

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here we see the word put get the meaning of ponere; before this, it was trudere.
    ${ }^{2}$ In one copy of the Harrowing of Hell, Christ calls Satan 'lording.'

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Small, Metrical Homilies, p. 160.
    ${ }^{2}$ Morris, Specimens, p. 233. In Alliterative verse obsolete words always abound.

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ Morris, Specimens of Early English, p. 243.
    ${ }^{2}$ Percy Society, Vol. IV. 26. See the Preface to this volume,

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kemble, Codex. Dipl., III. 329. This charter is a late forgery, and seems much damaged. The proper names in it will be recognised by Oxford men.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ayenbite of Inwyt (Early English Text Society), page 1. Here we must read $s$ for $z, s h$ for $s s$, and $f$ for $v$.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Substantives, adjectives, adverbs, and verbs, I call 'weighty words;' they may alter, while the other parts of speech hardly change at all. I cannot see the use of counting, as Marsh does, every of and the and him, in order to find out the proportion of home-born English in different authors.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Morris, Ear'y English Homilies, First Series, I. 55 (Early English Text Society). I gave a specimen at page 77.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wright, Popular Treatises on Science, p. 74.
    ${ }^{2}$ Page 5 of the Worcester manuscript, referred to at page 84 of this work.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ They may be found in the Saxon Chronicle and in the First Series of Homilies (Early English Text Society).

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the Paston Letters (Gairdner), I. 510.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ Page 236 of the Camden Society's edition. I hare not underlined proved, as that foreign word was in use before the Norman Sonquest.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ One of these words, accented in the French way, is preserved in the old rimes, ' Mistress Mary, quite conträry.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Schoolboys may call irritare 'to ryle;' the grave Lord Keeper Guildford and his brother Roger North pronounced it roil.
    ${ }^{s}$ We have kept the good old French empress; the French lost the word and had to go straight to the Latin for imperatrice.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ This phrase, Thackeray tells us, was admired by Miss Honeyman more than any word in the English rocabulary.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ The rerb strive most likely comes from söme overlooked strithan, as Theodore becomes Feodor in Russian. The Perfect in the Ancren Riwle is strôf, and a French word in English always takes a Weak Perfect.

    2 Cloth of gold, do not despise, Though thou be matched with cloth of friese.
    Cloth of friese, be not too bold,
    Though thou be matched with cloth of gold.
    It is not, I need hardly say, the words used by us in common with the Frisians, that I should call ' cloth of friese.'
    ${ }^{3}$ The fifty words to be reckoned should be only substantives, adjectives, adverbs, and verbs.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ An Old English Miscellany (Early English Text Society), p. 26.
    ${ }^{2}$ The work of the Englishman is in Monumenta Franciscana, published by the Master of the Rolls; that of the Italian is in Monumenta ad Provincias Parmensen et Placentinam pertinentia, tc be found in the British Museum.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ This last sentence I take from Salimbene, who describes the new style of preaching practised by the friars his brethren. Italy and England must hare been much alike in the Thirteenth Century in this respect.

[^108]:    ${ }^{1}$ Old English Miscellany, p. 89.
    ${ }^{2}$ Do., p. 186.
    ${ }^{s}$ Page 36 of Dr. Mall's edition.
    ${ }^{4}$ Old English Miscellany, p. 26 (Early English Text Society).

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, leaving out of the calculation all but the 'weighty words.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Popular Treatises of Science, p. 132.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ The clergy were also great engineers in war, as we read in the accounts of the Crusades against the Albigenses and Eccelin da Romano. The renowned Chillingworth wanted to play the same part at the siege of Gloucester in 1643.

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ Homilies, First Series, p. 53. ${ }^{2}$ Homilies, Second Series, p. 163.
    ${ }^{3}$ Old English Miscellany, p. 77.

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many French words must hare been brought in, simply for the sake of the rimes, literally translated; thus in the Floriz and Blauncheflur of about 1290 :-
    'panne sede be burgeis pat was wel hende and curtais.'

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our word adventurer seems to be sinking in the mire. A lady told me the other day that she thought it unkind in Sir Walter Scott to call Prince Charles Edward 'the young Adrenturer.' Thus, what but sixty years ago described a daring knight, now conveys to some minds the idea of a scheming knave. It is a bad sign for a nation, when words that were once noble are saddled with a base meaning. Further on, I shall call attention to the Italian poenitentia and virtus.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Editor of Sir John Burgoyne's Life, in 1873, complains of the poverty of the English military vocabulary, when he talks of a coup de main and an attaque brusquée, Vol. II. 346. Even so late as 1642, we were forced to call in French and German engineers, at the outbreak of the Civil Wars.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ It was once my lot to treat of a code of law; I find, on looking over my book, that at least one half of my substantives, adjectives, adverbs, and verbs dealing with this subject, are of Latin birth; so impossible is it for the most earnest Teuton to shake off the trammels laid on England in the Thirteenth Century.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bowyer, in Robert of Gloucester, may descend from some overlooked English bog-er, though ier is a French ending; there may be a confusion between the two endings. The worst compound I ever met with was mob-ocracy. I half fear to point it out, lest the penny-a-liners should seize upon it as a precious jewel. What a difference does the Irish ending eєn make when added to squire!
    ${ }^{2}$ In this last word the old Teutonic ending isc has gone from Germany to Italy, then to France, and at last to England.

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ Happy had it been for Spain if her begging friars, about the

[^117]:    1 Multa renascentur quæ jam cecidere, cadentque Quæ jam sunt in honore vocabula, si volet usus.
    ${ }^{2}$ Old English Miscellany (Early English Text Society), pp. 147, 210, 223.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ I give specimens of the two last in my Seventh Chapter. They seem to be writing in two languages that have little in common.

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ He says that Devonshire best preserved King Alfred's speech.
    ${ }^{2}$ He there saw the future King Robert I. of Scotland, and his brother. See page 202 of this book.

[^120]:    1 The poet of 1220 (Old English Miscellany, p. 77) goes over all the classes of society, and pronounces that the bonde (colonus) has the best chance of escaping the grip of 'Satanas the olde.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Robert might have found the same phænomenon in parts of Hungary. I hare quoted his words at page 206.

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ Garnett's Essays, p. 192 ; swylke, alane, and sall are changed into suche, allone, and shalle; and other words in the same way. $p$ is here corrupted into $y$; yat stands for bat. Many still write $y^{e}$ for the.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Page 205.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ Warton gives the Wardrobe Account, in Latin, with Edward's directions for his devices.-History of English Poetry, II. 32. (Edition of 1840.)
    ${ }^{2}$ It must have been confounded with the Norse harfr.
    ${ }^{3}$ Chaucer turned this into ilent, our lent.

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Halliwell's edition of it, published in 1866.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thornton Romances (Camden Society), p. xx.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Editors of Wickliffe's Bible give specimens of many of these treatises.
    ${ }^{2}$ This most popular work (about 1290) exists both in Northern and other forms of English.

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ This first brought in the Greek ending $i z e$, of which we have become so fond. What a mongrel word is proctorize!

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ Blunt's Key to the Prayer Book, Edition of 1868, page 4. The first piece seems to be East Anglian.

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ This Prelate, in 1361, began the choir of York Minster.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ Arber's Reprint of Rede me and be nott wrothe, page 176. In page 157 will be found a Fifteenth Century pun: the endowing of the clergy should be called 'all amiss,' rather than 'almes.'

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ Garnett's Essays, p. 32.
    ${ }^{2}$ I remember in Somerset a yoke of oxen called Good Luck and Fortune.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gairdner's edition of the Paston Letters (in 1872), page 31.
    ${ }^{2}$ Do., page 121.

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gairdner's edition of the Paston Letters (in 1872), page 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Do., p. $40 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Do., pp. 15, 17, 493.
    ${ }^{4}$ Shillingford's Letters (Camden Society), pp. 17, 18.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ Archrologia, XXIX. 326.
    ${ }^{2}$ England was, as a general rule, very different from France; the prose of Molière and Voltaire is far above their poetry, and no riming Frenchman has come near Bossuet or Pascal.

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ If we must subdivide New English prose, the decisive periods seem to be 1470, when many inflections were dropped by Caxton; 1650, when Cowley and Baxter began to write ; 1740, when Johnson was becoming known; 1800, when Cobbett was making his mark.
    ${ }^{2}$ Chaucer talks of 'a rerray parfit gentil knight,' but here the verray is an adjective.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have ventured on writing rime instead of rhyme; but I must leare to bolder men to write hole instead of whole, coud instead of could.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plumpton Letters (Camden Society), LIV., LXXVII. 1, 11, 233.
    ${ }^{3}$ Percy Society, No. 47. The Sir, applied to a priest, lasted two hundred years, down to Sir Hugh Evans.

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seo Knight's Life of Caxton. The Recuyell, and some of Caxton's later works, are exposed to view in a case at the British Museum.
    ${ }^{2}$ See York's long State Paper in Gairdner's Paston Letters, Ixxvii. He used the Northern Genitive bother (amborum), a very late instance.-Archreologia, XXIX. 132.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is wonderful that the Norse thrive and the French flourish between them drove out the Old English theon; for the expletive 'so mote I the!' asted down to 1500 , and is found in many a ballad.

[^137]:    ' Higden's Polychronicon (Master of the Rolls), page 63. The her and hem, rejected by Caxton, still kept their ground in 1482, as we see in the Revelation of the Monk of Evesham, printed by De Machlinia; it is one of Arber's reprints.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Demaus has lately written his life. Tyndale in prison wrote a letter, still extant, beseeching his Flemish gaolers to let him have his Hebrew books-the ruling passion strong in death. Of all our great writers, he is the one about whom most mistakes have been made by later enquirers.
    ${ }^{2}$ A scribe in the Daily Telegraph, July 14, 1873, speaks thus, in a leader on the Duke of Edinburgh: 'He ranks next in geniture to the heir of our throne.' Hoc fonte derivata clades, \&c.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 145 of the present work.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the form taken by the word in old Worcester charters drawn up seven hundred years before Tyndale wrote.

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dasent, Jest and Earnest, ii. 63.

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tyndale went wrong in using worship to translate many widely different Greek words. We have now almost lost the true sense of that good old verb. I have heard men find fault with that clause of the Marriage Service, ' with my body I thee worship;' of old, this verb meant nothing more but 'to honour.'

    * Mr. Marsh has pointed out More's rebuke to Tyndale for using yea and nay improperly.

[^142]:    1. See Arber's Reprint of Rede me and be nott wrothe.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pecock assigns more than one meaning to Religion in his Repressor.
[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plumpton Corrcspondence, p. 233 (Camden Society).

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hearne's Robert of Gloucester, ii. 584. The old spelling has been partly changed.

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the prayers of Cranmer's compilation with those now and then put forth by authority in our own time. The art of compiling prayers secms to be lost.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ This sense of more (major) lingers in our 'more's the pity.'
    ${ }^{2}$ I have been guided here by Eastwood and Wright. May the Rerisors of 1873 hold fast to the Teutonic element in our Version, whaterer else they do !
    ${ }^{3}$ Fancy such words as exinanite and repropitiate being read out in our parish churches! D̂̂ meliora piis erroremque hostibus illum!

[^147]:    ' I take from Marsh my statistics as to the words of the Bible. The French have no need to go so far back as the Constable Bourbon's time for the standard of their tongue.

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dryden came from the same district.

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are but two or three Latin words in our tongue, brought hither before Augustine's time.

    2 'Hout, Monkbarns, dinna set your wit against a bairn!' says Edie Ochiltree. This sentence might be applied to Stillingfleet, when we consider the men pitted against him. Dryden says that it was the great Anglican divines who taught him how to write English.
    ${ }^{3}$ Anderson's Annals of the English Bible, ii. 501.

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ In like manner, Luther's speech is used in the pulpit among the Low Germans of the Baltic.

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Art of Rhetorique, written by Wilson, about 1550. Can ho have had a prophetic glimpse of the Daily Telegraph of 1873?
    ${ }^{2}$ Marsh, Lectures on the English Language, p. 51.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ The last Act of Othello is a rare specimen of Shakespere's diction; of every five nouns, verbs, and adverbs, fourare Teutonic. Of course he is far more Teutonic in comedy than in tragedy.

[^153]:    ' We still keep old Genitives Singular in hell fire, Lady day.

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is curious that coarse and mean passages may be found in such sublime writers as Æschylus, Dante, and Milton, those kindred souls.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Paradise Lost, the proportion of Romance to Teutonic is just double what it is in the Allegro.

[^155]:    ${ }^{1}$ The most uncouth English spelling ever known was in the letters of the time of Henry VIII. Rather later, the spelling of Topeliffe, the Elizabethan persecutor of Roman Catholics, is something astounding.
    ${ }^{2}$ Earle, Philology of the English Tongue, p. 478.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of course, I use niccly neither in the sense of 1303, nor in that of 1873.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tendimus in Latium is a bad watchword for England, whether in religion, in architecture, or in philology.

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. M‘Crie, in an early page of his attack on Scott's Old Mortality, says of Guy Mannering; 'We are persuaded not one word in three is understood by the generality of (English) readers.' The Quarterly Review, vol. xv. p. 139, was so astoundingly ignorant as to call that novel, 'a dark dialect of Anglified Erse.' Surely there must be a great difference between readers in 1815 and in 1873.

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ See my Tables at page 255.
    ${ }^{2}$ I grieve to say that he is guilty of 'on the tapis;' a rulgarism more suited to a schoolgirl than to a scholar.

[^159]:    1 Our modern poets may take for their watchword the sentence wherein Dante (De vulgari Eloquio) praises the Italian poets who went before him : 'The illustrious heroes, Frederick Cæsar and his noble son Manfred, followed after elegance and scorned what was mean.'

[^160]:    1 The Secretary of the Society is G. Joachim, Esq., St. Andrew House, Change Alley, London. I wish they would print more works written before 1400 , and fewer works written after that year.

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ George III. and Dr. Johnson, in their famous interview, spoke of the vituperative habit as 'calling names.' Prisca gens mortalium!

    2 Let them not touch the unclean thing, remembering that the anagram on the name of their deadly foe, Titus Oates, was Tcstis Ovat.

[^162]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}$ that they would learn 'deductum ducere carmen ."

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ This last gem I saw myself in a Penny Paper of October, 1872. Hec ego non agitem?

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ Every writer, who prints his travels, calls his book 'Personal Adventures.' Lord Plunkett, when asked the meaning of this, supposed that there was the same difference between what was Real and what was Personal in travels, as in the law of property.

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ Philology crops up in strange places; I once heard a clown in a circus propound the question, 'If you may say 1 freeze, I froze, why not also say $I$ sneeze, $I$ snoze?' Yet he most likely never heard of Strong and Weak Verbs, or as the vile English Grammars of old used to call them, Irregular and Regular Verbs. We may remember that Wamba the son of Witless plays the philologer in the opening scene of Ivanhoe.

[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ A Scotch farmer's wife once said to me, finding me rather slow in following her talk when she spoke at all fast, 'I beg your pardon, Sir, for my bad English.' I answered, 'It is I that speak the bad English ; it is you that speak the true old English.' It is delightful to hear the peasantry talk of sackless (innocens), and he coft (emit).
    ${ }^{2}$ How charming, in Memorials of a Quiet Life, is the account of the scholarlike Augustus Hare's style of preaching to his Wiltshire shepherds ! He had a soul above the Romance hodgepodge.

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ Barnes, Early England, p. 106. Such a preacher would miss the point of that wittiest of all proverbs, 'An empty sack cannot stand upright.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Cox, who treats us to this stuff (Recollections of Oxford, p. 223), says, ' such'sentences, delivered in a regular cadence, formed too often our Sunday fare, in days happily gone by.'
    ${ }^{3}$ I for some years of my life always thought that our English long was derived from the Latin longus. Every grammar and dictionary, used in schools, should hare a short sketch of Comparativo Philology prefixed. I know that I was fourteen, before the great truths of that science were set before me by Bishop Abraham's little book, used in the Lower Fifth form at Eton. In those days what we now call Aryan was termed Indo-Germanic.

[^168]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is an old Oxford story, that a preacher of the mawkish school, holding forth before the University, spoke of a well-known beast as ' an animal which decency forbids me to name.' The beast turned out to be the one nearest of kin to the preacher himself; Balaam's reprover, to wit.

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ One of the good deeds of our boys is that they have kept alive the old substantive let (a hindrance) used in the game of fives. In a letter of Horace Walpole's, written about 1737 from the Christopher at Eton, we see some of the venerable slang of that College; the words are still fresh as ever. Mr. Kinglake, in his account of Colonel Yea at the Alma, has almost made rooge classical ; none who hare played football in the Eton way can forget this verb.

[^170]:    ${ }^{3}$ Burke (the friend of Hare, not the friend of Fox) has given us a new word for suppress. Another famous Galway house has given

[^171]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Daily Telegraph, July 18, 1873, will be found a letter from an Official representing the Lord Chamberlain; while rebuking a Manager for bringing the Shah on the stage, he so far forgets

[^172]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Freeman's Essays, Sccond Series, Preface. I lighted upon this passage long after I had written the rest of this chapter.

[^173]:    ${ }^{1}$ A London journal or two, that might well stand for the Cloaca Maxima, will readily occur to my readers.
    ${ }^{2}$ I have spoken of gold and brass; but I know of no combination of metals vile enough to be likened to Number III.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word penology, to wit.

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chaucer, the Clerkes Prologue.

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bosworth, Origin of the Germanic Languages, p. 57.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ Morris, Specimens of Early English, page 198.

[^178]:    ${ }^{1}$ their.
    2 their tenets.
    ${ }^{3}$ them.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ judgement.
    ${ }^{2}$ Arber's Reprint, page 29.

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ Page 144, near the end of the Volume.

