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## Pears'soan makes the hands white and fair,-the complexion bright and clear--and the shin soft and smooth as veluet.

" $\mathscr{A}$ Lady wants no other Cosmetic than Pears' $\mathscr{S}_{\text {oap, }}$
but one caution is absolutely necessary from a hygienic point of view. It is a notorious fact that Pears' Soap is sold at a very small profit (I think not more than about one halfpenny per tablet) on the wholesale cost price, consequently, one or other of the many soaps in the market (on the sale of which a profit of threepence or fourpence per tablet may be made) is sometimes either substituted or recommended to the buyer as 'just as good,' 'equally pure,' \&c., \&c., \&c., the real object of the dealer, of course, being simply to obtain the greater profit by the sale of the inferior article which he recommends or attempts to substitute. Patients should, therefore, insist on having Pears' Soap when they ask for it, otherwise they may find that, instead of being served with a Genuine Soap, they have an article of most inferior quality foisted upon them; something worse than worthless, calculated only to set up HEAT, REDNESS, IRRITATION, and general unsightliness of the skin."
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PHE NORMANS He story of the nations
THE NORMANS. By Saray Orne Jewett. Index, Mustrations, and Maps, large crown 8vo, cloth, 55 .
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## PUBLIC AFFAIRS.

Mr. Dillon and Mr. O'Brien were l'eleased from their iniquitons imprisonment in Galway gaol on Thursday, and met with the reception due to men of honom who have sulfered for the sake of others. They made most important speeehes shortly after their release, in which they amounced that they could not follow Mr. Parneld, who had limself abandoned the principles he had maintained since 1886 . This formal repudiation of their old leader may be regarded as the coup de ardace of Pamellism. It is noteworthy that the Times charges Messrs. Dhlen and O'Brien with having taken advantage of their imprisonment until they saw how the cat would jump. The charge is false. Documentary evidence can be produced to establish the fact that before they went to gaol they had refused to acknowledge Mr. Parnela as their leader.

Lord Salismury's speech at the Mansion House on TYednesday was conched in the tone natural to a Minister who has realised the close of a prolonged and arduous session. Only two points in the Prime Minister's utterances demand special attention. One was his assertion that the General Election of I886 had given "an irrevocable verdict" on the question of Home Rule. It wonld be interesting to know by what argumeuts Lond SALIsBURY has convinced himself of the trath of this egregious fallacy. Everybody knows how the verdict of 1580 was secured, on what pretences the Tory leader asked for it, and how narrow was the majority by which it was obtained. Everybody knows, too, that the "success" which Lord SALisbury attributes to his nephew's attempt to settle the Irish Question has only been secured by such measmes as the imprisonment, by an arbitrary tribunal, of such men as Mr. Dillon and Mri. O'Bribn, who have been released from gaol this week in order to receive the most sigual warks of the public respect and esteem. In these cireumstances, the preposterons attempt of the Prime Minister to represent the Election of 1880 as having finally settled the LIome Rule Question can only be regarded as intended to encourage his disheartened followers by making them believe that the loss of the next General Election will not involve the loss of the policy of Coercion towards Ireland.

Tire other point in Lord SALisburi's speeeh which deserves attention was his reference to European alliances. Ireve we are glad to find ourselves mnch more closely in harmony with the Prime Minister than we are upon questions of domestie policy. It is refreshing to find that the head of the Tory Govermment openly tramples upon the delusion that it is to the interest of Eugland to mix herself up with the entanglements of Continental States, or to take part in engagements in which she has really no concern. "Onv. allies," as Lord Salsbbery wisely said, "are all those who wish to maintain territorial distribution as it is withont risking the fearful dangers or the terrible arbitrament of war. Our allies are all those who desire peace and goodwill."

A verr painful scene was witnessed in the Honse of Commons on Monday night. For some days pre-
viously it had been apparent that Mr. Ationson, the Tory member for Boston, was under the influence of an abnormal exeitement, which displayed itself in a number of eecentrie actions in connection with his Parliamentary duties. He believed hinself to have been wronged by the Speaker, and had placed on the paper a notice ecnsming that high funetionary for his condact. In other ways he had abandoned the traditional lespeet in which the Speaker is held by all members of Parliament. On Monday evening lie made an attack upon the Chair in the House, and drew from the Speaker a statement of the actual facts of the case to which Mr. Atrinson had called attention. It itppeared from this statement that Mr. Atrinson had written certain letters of a very improper character to Mr. Prels, and the latter appealed to the House for protection. The result was the unanimous adoption of a motion suspending Mr. Atkinson fiom service in the llouse or admission to its preeincts for a week. This motion was only adopted, however, after Mr. Goscinen had proposed that the offending member be suspended for the rest of the Session, a piece of ill-jndged severity which was resented by the Honse as a whole. The whole incident was a painful one, and we may well hope will stand alone in the history of Parliament.

The House of Commons has been busy during the week with the work of Supply. We regret to say, however, that the members who have taken part in this important business seem to labour under a strange misapprehension ats to their daties and lights. The business of Parliament in dealing with Supply is to check, and if necessary to limit, the expenditure undertaken by the lixecutive Govermment. Fet in the debates upon Supply this year, those who have taken part in them have seemed far more anxions to increase than to lessen the mational expenditure. It is a constitutional doctrine that no private member can propose the expenditure of public money ; yet a bundred appeals have been made to Ministers this Session to inerease the expenditure in different ways, and in some eases members have actually moved the reduction of particular votes in order that they might eall attention to the neeessity for increasing them. It seems to us that it would be well if members of Parliament were to receive a certain amount of instruction in their constitutional rights and duties. If they were to do so, we shouk hardly witness a repetition of what we may venture to call the scandal of reeent proceedings in Committee of Supply.

Though the visit of the Prince of Naldes to London has lacked the pompand circumstance which attended that of the German Emperor, it has been marked by a genuine cordiality on the part alike of hosts and grest which goes far to establish the warmth of the relations between this country and that over which the young Prince will some day reign. His Royal Highness has paid many visits during the week to places of interest in and around the Metropolis, and has been entertained not only by members of the Royal Family, but by the Lird Mayor and other representative citizens. He is a young man of evident intelligence and of great amiability, his courteous (lemeanone having struck all who have come in contact with him. That there is a certain suggestion of mental weariness- the result
of overstrain in education-in the Prince, will hardly be denied by those who know him best. It is one of the misfortunes of Heirs-Apparent nowadays that if they are conscientionsly educated for the position they are destined to hold, they are almost invariably over-educated. The Prince of Naples does not seem to have escaped this danger, whieh tells all the more warkedly in his case becanse of his delicate health; but otherwise he appears to be a model of what a man in his position onght to be.

Mr. Parnell, who has had to faee some private troubles of his own dming the week, consecuent upon his failure to pay the eosts of Captain O'Shea's divoree suit, las again been giving evidenee of the bitterness of his feeling towards the Liberal party. On Monday he made determined attempts to drag the name of Sir Willian Marcourt into a debate which he had raised on the case of the dynamiter Daly, now a convict at Portland. The charge which has been freety made by Irishmen is that Daly was incited to the eommission of his crime by police agents. If this fact could be proved, the burden of guilt lying upon those who induced DALY to act as he did would be of the heaviest kind, and there would be every disposition on the part of English-men-we trust of all parties-to deal leniently by their victim. But no eridence worthy of the name has been addneed in support of this charge, and nntil it has been proved to the hilt, the people of Great Britain will be naturally slow to believe it. In the meantime the attempts of Mr. Parneli to comeet Sir William Harcourt in some curious fashion with DaLr's fate are only noteworthy because they show how persistent is his determination to revenge himself, if possible, upon those who have preferred the welfare of Ireland to his personal interests.

Whilst the terrible railway aceident in the suburbs of Paris has lueen the leading tragedy of the week, it is impossible to ignore the shocking accident at the Friars Goose chemical works, on the river Tyne, which took plaee on Sunday night. A number of condensers, each between eighty and ninety feet in height, were in course of erection, when two of them fell, burying a workman in the ruins. A number of his comrades at once ran to his rescue, but they had hasdly reached the spot when two more condensers fell, burying them under a mass of stone and mortar. Seven men in all lost their lives by the accident; one of these lingering for nearly twenty-four hours, imprisoned by the legs under a mass of stone, from which it was found impossible to release him. Though the number of deaths cansed by this accident is small compared with the mortality in the railway accident of which we have spoken, it is difficult to conceive anything more terrible than this catastrophe, suddenly bringing destruction and a lingering death upon workmen engaged in their usual avoeations. It is pleasant to know that in this, as in so many similar instances, a heroism never surpassed on the field of battle was shown by those who sought to resene their suffering comrades.

A shocking crime was reported from Warsaw in the Times of Saturday. A boy, ten years of age, a Pole, had been struck by a ball thown by the daughter of a General Ponzereff, and had, as a child might be expected to do, thrown the ball back at the girl. For this offence the boy was arrested, and by the orders of General Gourko, the Governor-General of the Province, condemned to reeeivo twenty-five lashes. This brutal sentence was carried ont up to the seventli stroke, when the child fainted. The police cloetor and others present declared that it would be dangerous to torture the child further, and they appealed to Goumeo to remit the remainder of the pumishment. That miscreant, who ligures in history as "the hero of the

Shipka Pass," insisted upon laving the remaining eighteen blows given to the child, and the murderous outrage was duly completed. We have not heard, and do not expeet to hear, that this cowardly savage has received the punishment he merits. He is, probably, still high in the favour of the Czar, and rather proud of his infamy than otherwise. A woman-flogging Austrian once received his deserts at the hands of an English mob. But we venture to say that the "hero" of the Shipka Pass is too abject a coward ever to risk himself on English soil.

The City has again this week been a prey to apprehension, and rumours have been cireulating in plenty. One or two institutions have been talked of, and it was reported that two German banks were in serious diffieulties, while it was also said that tronbles are impending in Paris. Althongh no confirmation of the rumours has been received, there is a very uneasy feeling; yet the rates of interest and disconnt continue to fall. In the open market the rate of discount for three months' Bank bikls is little more than $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent., and banks find it difficult to lend money even at $\frac{1}{2}$ jer cent. At a time like the present everyone is naturally afraid to have many bills in cirenlation, while on the other hand bill-biokers are not ready to discount largely, therefore it is not easy to employ money. Besides, it is now helieved that Russia will not take gold this year, as had previonsly been expeeted, and althongh there is it demand for the metal for both Germany and India, it is not expected to be lavge. Meantime the Bank of England continues to receive gold from abroad. During the week ended Wednesclay night it added to its stock nearly a quarter of a million. In short, the usual consequences of a crisis are making themselves felt. Money is accmmulating in London, and no one is willing to employ it. The Silver Market remains quiet, the price being a small fraction under 46 d . per oz. Portugal and Spain are still bnying, but there is not much demand for India; and speculation in the United States is checked, although the agitation for free coinage is reviving, and the belief is growing that a very strong effort will be made in the next session of Congress to earry it through. It is hardly likely to suceeed, however, for the President in all probability would veto the Bill if it were carried througl both Honses.

Prices have fallen in nearly every department of the Stock Exchange this week, but the greatest fall has been in South Amerieans. The Argentine loan of 1886, for example, the interest on which, according to the funding arrangement, is to eontinue to be paid in cash, fell on Thursday to $52 \frac{3}{4}$, and there has also been a heavy deeline in other Argentine issues. Portuguese, Spanish, Italian, Greek, and Russian have likewise given way. In preparation for the monthly settlement on the Berlin Bomrse, operators have all through the week been selling very largely both in London and Paris. Whether it is due to banking difficulties, as is persistently reported, or whether it is merely an attempt by erippled speculators to meet. their "differences," remains to be seen; but there is no doubt at all that the Berlin Bourse is just now in a critical position, and its diffieulties are being increased by the failure of the Russian harvest and the increasing intensity of the erises both in Chili and in Portugal. The great Paris operators also have been selling largely. To-day the monthly liquidation begins, and it is expected to be a difficult one. Specnlators consequently have been selling. During the month just ended there has been so serious a fall in Portuguese bonds that the losses must be very large, and unfortunately most other inter-Bourse securities have likewise given way. The persistent selling on the bart of French and German operators has alarmed the market here. There is great mwillingnus to buy, and everyone is apprehensive of further troubles.

## PORTENTS OF VICTORY.

SITEADILY, not by leaps and bounds, but by an advance which if slow is irresistible, the Liberal party, under the leadership of Mr. Gladstone, is drawing near to its final trimmph. Last week's sictory at Wisbech has for a time paralysed even the most hlatant of the supporters of the Government; and in very truth the blow has been a crushing one. Sir Richard Webster may prefer to regard it as having no serious importance. It has at least this importance, that it proves that in the Eastern Counties the Liberal canse is certain to triumph when the General Election takes place. And if we trimmph there, will our opponents kindly tell us where we are likely to lose ground? No ; it is well that all parties should look the facts fairly in the face. Every Tory member of Parliament now knows that when the conntry is next appealed to the Liberal party will win a majority of the seats in the House of Commons. The best that the most sanguine of our opponents now venture to hope for is that the majority may be a very small one, and that consequently the life of the next Parliament may be brief. It is amusing, in presence of the frank acknowledgment of this fact by all intelligent Ministerialists, to read day by day the old sing-sono of rejoicing over Mr. Balfour's "success;" the old congratulations orer the "defeat" of the Home Rule party; the old sneers at the "items" who, in the opinion of certain not very wise persons, constitute the bulk of Mr. Gladstone's followers. The day when these things could amoy us has gone by for ever. 'The bitter insults which were so long the daily fare of the Liberal party in the Unionist press can no longer move us to even momentary indignation. The fact that the country is with us in the light, and that victory is now assured, has taken the sting from all these things, and we can well afford to wait with patience for the triumphant issue to the stringgle.

It will not be long delayed. Next July, at latest, will see the orerthrow of the Coalition now in power. It is hardly premature, in these cirenmstances, to think of what must follow that orerthrow, of the new sitnation which will be created when the Liberal party, wich Mr. Gladstone at its head, returns to power. Perhaps the first difficulty it must contend against will be the position of the Liberal Unionists. Indeed, that difficulty must arise before the battle fairly begins. What are we to do with the Liberal Unionists? What attitnde shall we maintain towards them in the coming fight? Is there any possibility of a reunion of the party which was rent in twain in 1886 ? These are questions which have long been upon the lips of most men. Happily, they are also questions which can be more easily answered now than was the case a few years ago. The Liberal Unionists lave hitherto, with one or two exceptions, stubbornly refused to avail themselves of the opportunity of returning to their old party. They have been more Conservative than the Conservatives during the past fire years. There has been no bitterness in the House of Commons so rreat as that which has marked the bearing of the seeeding Liberals to their old party and their old leader. They must take the consequences. Their day of grace is over, and there is no longer a place open to them in the Liberal ranks. Some of them may, indeed, come back, lut it will be upon the terms of the Liberals themselves. Without wishing to utter any words of offence, we may say that it is only as suppliants in formu pouperis that those who left us in 1886, and who since have been our most resolnte and bitter antagonists, will be re-admitted to our party. As, howerer, the Liberal Unionists in the
next Honse of Commons will be reduced to a mere fraction of their present numbers, this determination on the part of those who represent the Liberal majority is hardly likely to lead to a political revolution. There will be a small knot of men still calling themselves Liberals who will sit on the Tory benches and do what they can to thwart the policy of a Liberal Administration. In a few years eren this small body will have disappeared, and we shall have to record another failure in the attempt to create a permanent third party in British politics.

We do not pretend that we shall part company with the men who are now our strongest opponents without regret. Whatever may have been the bitterness of feeling which has prevailed in recent years, and however strong our canse of complaint against those who have kept the present Government in office in defiance of their own political professions, we cannot forget the years when the Liberal leader enjoyed the hearty co-operation of the men who have withdrawn themselves from our camp. But our natural regret at losing old allies is assuaged by the conviction that the loss is inevitable. Even if all other obstacles were removed, it would still be impossible for the Liberal party to take back the followers of Lord Hartington for one simple reasonthey are now in more pronounced antagonism to the Liberal policy towards Ireland than are the Tories themselves. If proof of this fact is wanted, it may be found in the strange cry of dismay which has been raised by the Spectator over the promise of a Conservative Local Government Bill for Ireland. Our contemporary dreads even this small measure as a dangerous concession to the Irish people. It may be right in doing so. We gave last week our own reasons for rejoicing at the proposal of the introduetion of such a Bill, and the very reasous which cause rejoicing to Home Rulers produce dismay among the party represented by the Spectator. But whether right or wrong, the mere fact that this is the present temper of the Liberal Unionists, that they are more strongly opposed to any concession to Irish opinion than is Mr. Balfour himself, furnishes the best proof of the inevitableness of the change which will be witnessed at the next General Election, when the last tie which connects the Liberal Unionists with the Liberal party will be severed.

As for what will follow the election, we must repeat that Ireland will stop the way then just as surely as she stops it now, and that until her ease has been grappled with Parliament will be able to deal but feebly and partially with the other questions which press for solution. But no swoner shall Ireland have received some measure of the justice she demands than "the condition of England question" - to give it the old name-will immediately require attention. There are shrewd judges in both parties who believe that when this question is approached there will come a new division of parties, more serions and permanent in its character than any which has been seen in England since the days of Peel. Then, say these men, will come the chance of So-and-so and So-and-so (naming statesmen who are now no longer in harmony with the Liberal party); then we shall see a new line of party cleavage, and social doctrines rather than political principles will become the Shibboleth of public life. It may be so. But we have heard the prediction so often before that we are in no haste to accept it now, when it is being made with so much confidence in many different quarters. Festina lente is still the notto of the Englishman as a politician. 'That we shall advance boldly in the direction of social reform no one can doubt; but that we shall deliberately break away from old party traditions and principles, that we
shall forget the difference between Tory and Radical, Whig and Democrat, we do not for a moment believe. The next House of Commons will contain a working majority in favour of a policy of conciliation towards Ireland. We believe that it will also contain a majority in favour of a generous poliey with regard to the social problems of our time, and it will be strange if that majority does not have its home on the Liveral beuches.

## LONDON IMPROVEMENTS.

WIE camnot altogether regret the rote by which the London County Council has postponed its decision upon the extensive street improvements recommended by its Committee. That the improvements are necessary, no one acquainted with the knots and tangles into which London street traffic ties itself from time to time can for a moment doubt. But two millions sterling are two millions sterling, even in the capital of the Empire, and it is just as well that London should have the summer to think about it. Those Tory newspapers which have abused the Council for not plunging inconsiderately into this expenditure would have beeu the very first to protest, by all the Gods in the Tory Heaven, if the rote had been the other way. As it is, the matter will come up afresh after the recess, in time to allow of the necessary Parliamentary notices being given if desired.

One of the proposals-perhaps the most important of them all-has a comparatively clear path before it. The chronic congestion of traffic where Little Queen Street debouches in Holborn has become, since the increase in the ommibus service, the very worst "block" in London. The difficulty of reaching the Law Courts from Holborn and the northern railway stations las long been a scandal. The secondary blocks in Chancery Lane and Wellington Street, Strand, arise largely from the absence of a proper "North-West Passage " from the Courts of Justice. The pedestrian may, indeed, venture, greatly daring, down from Lincoln's Inn Fields into the squalid purlieus of the disused Market of "Clare," and, gnided by the little boys who press upon him their not unneeded aid, eventually reach the Strand. But proper thoroughfare there is none.

The new street from Holborn to the Strand has, however, more than reasons of trattic to recommend it. The slums which it will demolish stand now as the very worst in the Metropolis. Sardinia Street, with its Roman Catholic Chapel recalling the Gordon Riots, is bad enongh to-day from a sanitary point of view; but the festering alleys of Clare Market, with their aristocratic names, are perpetually driving the medical officer to despair. The clearance of these nests of vice and disease-marked in Mr. Charles Booth's map with the fatal "Black" of criminalitywill of itself be worth the whole cost of the new street. It is, we believe, already practically decided that a large portion of the cleared area will be set aside for the erection of those municipal artisans' dwellings for which the Housing Committee yearns.

Towards the cost of this improvement the Council will be alle largely to recoup itself by the re-sale of the new frontages, and the rexed question of "betterment" las, with some wisdom, not been raised here. But two other improvements in the centre of the Metropolis practically camot be accomplished withont its solution. The removal of the "island" in the Strand, which is again proposed by the Council, this time in a simplified form, would at
one blow promote the north side of Holywell Street into one frontage of London's main central artery, at what would then be its grandest part. It would be nothing shor't of monstrous to saddle the people of London with the whole cost of this improvenent, without obtaining some special contributions from the owners of the property thus benefited. The case of Bozier's Court is a similar one. The remoral of this detached outlier of honses at the end of Tottenham Court Road would elevate half a dozen dingy little shops into one of the best corners for retail trade in London. We hope the Council will be firm in refusing to proceed with either of these proposals mless they are accompanied by some plan of "betterment" assessment. It is high time that London learned from New York or Syduey how to check this particnlar form of landlord rapacity.

We are, indeed, not sure whether street improvements are, as a rule, the most urgent matters for the Council to deal with. It was all very well for the Metropolitan Board of Works to occupy itself with new streets when that body had neither the ideas nor the capacity, neither the will nor the means, to perform the countless pnblic services which London expects from its new municipality. The Metropolis has for gencrations lain abandoned to merciless exploitation by private enterprise in even the most indispensable public functions of urban life, and the County Council will have a hard enough task merely to come by its own. The water supply and the gas, the docks and the tramways, the Home Secretary's police and the so-called Royal parks-all these have to be rescued for London, either from the private speculator in the necessarily collective administration of a great city, or from the equally tenacious clutches of Downing street or" George Ranger." The growth of traffic doubtless demands new thoronghfares now and again, but as a rule London needs new honses rather than new streets, and the municipalisation of its public services rather than vistas of not very successful boulevards. We should in this matter copy Birmingham rather than Puris. The "early manmer "of Mr. Joseph Clamberlain is, as we are hinppy for once to be able to recommend, a safer model for Sir John Labbock than the more showy later developments either of that statesman or of Baron Hanssmann.

The latest development of the Conncil is, however, a very happy one. The grant of $£ 1,500$ for music in the open spaces under the Council's control may prove the begiming of that development of co-operation in the means of enjoyment which is already "common form" in France. The Glasgow Town Council softens the manners of the temants of its municipal common lodginghonses by a mumicipal "harmoniumist," whose salary figures unquestioned in the mmicipal accounts. Even the Colonial Office has permitted the Government of Malta to build, equip, and maintain a splendid Opera House out of public funds. The Comnty Conncil bands in the parks on Bank Holiday will gain the hearts of many electors, and be of no small interest to the student of social evolution. The "mmicipalisation of the means of enjoyment" may prove a great deal more feasible than that "nationalisation of the instruments of production" which our Socialist friends are always demanding. It is too much to hope for subsidised municipal theatres, competing-greatly to the educational ad vantage of the public-with the private enterprise of the lower-class music-halls. But we may yet see "Sir Augustus" a permanent municipal officer, recruiting a magnificent municipal oreliestra out of his municipal bands, and marshalling the municipal "supers" to municipal music in a reformed Lord Mayor's Show on "Labour Day."

## THE HOUSE AND THE CHALR.

$T$THE House of Commons is seldom called upon to consider grave breaches of propriety by any of its members. Much is said in these days about the deeline of self-restraint and good breeding in democratic assemblies; but it is really a fine perception of these qualities which makes the House unwilling to visit harshly even a flagrant outbreak of indecorum. The business of a vast and mavieldy machine of government is conducted in the main with personal torbearance, even in the heat of larty conflict: and excesses are so rare, that they excite compassion rather than anger. It may happen, now and then, that the offence is of a kind which lies outside the immediate province of the House; but even in the case of Captain Verney there was a visible reluctance to resort to the extremity of expulsion, and high Parliamentary authorities seriously considered the question whether a member who had forfeited all moral claim to the society of his fellow-legislators ought not to be allowed to show some sense of his deplorable position by resigning his seat. In Mr. Atkinson's case the House had to deal with a member who set himself obstinately and rudely to dispute the authority of the Chair. Such a strain on the general tolerance is rarely imposed. There is no legislative assembly in which the dignity of the Chair is more jealonsly guarded than in the House of Commons. In the French and Italian Chambers, and in the American House of Representatives, the violence of party passion frequently reduces the personal anthority of the officer who presides over the debates to a mullity. But in the House of Commons the supremaey of the Speaker is the chief guarantee of order, and even those who are oceasionally brought into contlict with his raling, in moments of heat, seldom fail to recognise the necessity of deference. This usage has given a mique force and impressiveness to the position of the Chair; and whatever errors Mr. Peel may have made, it will be miversally allowed that he discharges the duties of his high office with signal ability and urbanity. It was something like a cataclysm, therefore, to find a member engaged in so violent a controversy with the Chair that the Speaker was compelled to appeal to the House. It may be argued that Mr. Peel would have lone better to ignore Mr. Atkinson, to consign his epistles to the waste-paper basket, and to treat his alarums and excursion round the Clerks at the Tible as the harmless ebullitions of an over-strung nervous system. On the other hand, it is tolerably certain that silent compassion would not have brought Mr. Atkinson to a sense of his absurdities. He would have been none the less impelled to suggest to the Clerks the appointment of "an impartial chairman" to review the Speaker's conduct. He would have leeen equally incensed at the desertion of Dr. Tanner in a critical emergency for the timber trade. The only question was, whether the Speaker should relieve himself from a nuisance by making his statement to the House, or whether he should leave Mr. Atkinson to provoke the House to take disciplinary measures at a later stage of his frenzy.

It may be allowed that the shorter way with Mr. Atkinson was distinctly for the better. The full significance of this unfortunate gentleman's condition is now known to his constituents. They will have little difficulty in deeiding whether Boston ought to be represented by a politician who proposes to move next Session "that the Speaker be appointed from either House, and that steps be taken to prerent the Speaker's umecessary aerquirement of perquisites of plate." Further, Mr. Atkinson is of opinion that
" the Speaker, if a member, shall immediately resign, in order that he may not be biassed by his politieal opinions, and shall then sit for life, subject to deposition for misbehaviour, when he shall be turned out." Perhaps the suggestion that the Speaker is engaged in the accumulation of spoons at the publie expense is sufficient to detemmine Mr. Atkinson's capacity for political life. It shows, ton, that the eompassion which moved the House to mitigate the penalty proposed by Mr. Gosehen was only too well founded. It might have occurred at onee to the Chancellor of the Exchequer that Mr. Atkinson's method was not that of cool and deliberate insult. It was left to Mr. Sexton to remind the House that its forbearance was specially entisted by a case which had been watched by many members for some time past with growing uneasiness. In such circumstances it behoved the House not so much to mark its displeasure at a gross breach of discipline as to give the offender a salutary interval of repose. It was a medicinal, not a punitive, measure that was needer. Suspension for a week was a humane contrivance for giving Mr. Atkinson an opportunity of recovering his equilibrium in scenes sufficiently removed from the provocative presence of the Clerks at the Table. There is, unfortunately, some reason to doubt whether the member for Boston will return to the House on Monday with his sense of injustice assuaged; and unless he carries out his threat of racating his seat in order to take the judgment of his constitnents, there must be a considerable interval before he can be remitted to private life. The Boston Conservatives have chosen another candidate, and it is beyond question that Mr. Atkinson will not adom the next Parliament; but at present he is in possession, and he may have another session of conflict with the Chair and of lament over the faithlessness of Dr. Tanmer. This prospect seems to suggest the propriety of a careful consideration by the Parliamentary authorities of the best means of coping with this kind of portent. The House ought to be endowed with a sbort and merciful procedure for terminating the career of a member who wants the Speaker to resign and then sit for life, superior to the temptations of "perquisites of plate." The subject is surrounded with difficulties, for it involves the interference of the Honse between a member and his constitnents; and in cases in which the lack of self-control was fitful there might not be a unanimous conviction of the necessity for decisive action. But the verdict of an impartial committee would probably be accepted by any constituency, and, at any rate, no section of the electorate can wish to see Mr. Atkinson wrangling with the Chair over impossible motions for months before the dissolution. Perhaps Lord Salisbury will cut the knot by making Mr. Atkinson a peer, and unselfishly sending. him to join Lord Denman and Lord de Mauley.

## THE PORTUGUESE CRISIS.

WHILE the deepening erisis in Portugal is disturbing the Stock Exchanges of Europe and increasing the apprehension and distrust that prevail, it is begimning to cause also some political measiness. The Repnblican party in Portugal has for years been growing in strength. It was immensely reinforced by the Brazilian revolution, and it has grown more rapidly since in consequence of the quarrel with this country about South Africa. The Monarcliy, already weakened by misgovermment, has been utterly discredited by its conduct of the quarrel, and its last foundations apparently have been sapped by the prevailing distress. Everywhere,
therefore, it is feared that a revolution may break out at any moment. But it is said that the Portuguese Gorernment has appealed for assistance to that of Spain, and that the latter has pledgel itself to put down revolution by force. If Spain were to interfere, would France look on quietly? Probably the Government would be rery unvilling to more; for the Czar could not low with favour upon support given to revolution, and Germany might step in to protect Spain. But if the Portugnese were to resist vigoronsly, and to appeal to France for help, could French popular feeling be restrained? If not, what would be the conduct of Germany and AnstriaHungary? Even, however, if the good sense of the Spanish Government, the advice of its friends, and the self-restraint of France should save us from the horrors of a great European war, it is possible that a revolution in Portugal may be followed by a rising in Spain. In both countries the Brazilian revolution has greatly strengthened the Republican party, and a successful rising in one would be very likely to lead to at least disturbance in the other. But if there were to be a recolutionary movement in both, would it extend to Italy? And what would be its influence on the grouping of the Great Powers? Unimportant, then, as Portugal by itself is, the progress of the crisis there is not unnaturally being watched with deep concern by politicians as well as by tinanciers.

The crisis had its origin chietly in the extravagance and folly of the Gorernment. The outlay on public works has for years been altogether in excess of what so small and poor a country could afford. Anl, unfortunately, they have often been planned without wisdon and carried out with jobbery and waste. The result has been a piling up of debt upon debt, until it has become impossible to pay the interest without borrowing abroad. In round figures the debt just now anounts to about 150 millions sterling, bearing 3 per cent, interest, and entailing therefore an annual charge of nearly t4,500,000. But the whole revenue of the comntry does not amount to $t 8,500,000$. Coiseruently the ammal charge for the debt is more than haif the whole revenne. Under an international administration like that of Egypt, the people might be compelled to pay enough of taxes to defray this enormons ammal clarge. But it is quite clear that no self-governed people will consent tunder any circumstances to do so. Eren a foreign authority would find it difficalt to wring the money ont of so poor a people. For years past, therefore the interest on the delt, has becn paid, not out of revenue, but out of new loans. And now that the ability to borror has ceased, it is quite clear that Portugal cannot go on paying. For more than a year now the Government has been vainly endeavouring to raise a considerable loan. At liast, by selling its tobacco monopoly, it succeeded in raising enough money to pay the coupon due on the 1st of July. It is alleged that, although the subscriptions to the tolacco monopoly issue were only partially successful, an arrancement has since been made with bankers in Paris which will enable the Government to pay the interest due at the end of the rear. But much doult is entertained as to whether, in fact, the money will be forthcoming; and, at all erents, eren it the January compon is paid, the question remains, will it be possible to find money enongh to pay the next? The wisest course, as matters stand now, would be for the Portuguese Government to inform its creditors that it finds itself mable to pay the full interest, and to ask them to agree to a compromise, promising to do all in its power to minimise their sacrifice. It would be useless for them to refuse, for every competent person knows that Portugal camnot pay $£ 4,500,000$
a year on the service of its debt. And if a reasonable compromise were arrived at, the Portuguese Government would get breathing time to set its house in order, and introduce a wiser policy for the future. If it does not do this, then it will have to suspend paying the interest altogether sooner or later. The mistakes of the Govermment have not been confined, howerer, to extravagant and wasteful public works; they have extended to maval and military armaments altogether beyond the ability of Portugal. The little lingdom did not wish to be behind the great European Powers in the scramble for Atrica, and very soon found itself involved in a dispute with our own country respecting certain territories in South Africa. Just now we need not stop, to inquire on which side lay the right. Unfortunately for Portugal there was no question at all as to the side on which stood might. Portugal, however, refused to recognise that she could not successfully fight the United Kingdom. She engaged in costly naval and military preparations, and thus increased the already excessive pressure upon the finances of the country. The fear that she might find herself in a war with Great Britain, of course, intensified her diseredit. Lending was dangerous enough while there was only the expectation of a financial crash. But, if to that were added an inevitably disastrons war with the greatest naval power in the world, it would be out of the question. If Portugal were to consent to sell her African and Indian possessions, she might, no doubt, raise a further sum, and so put off the evil day. But even so she would not restore the efuilibrium between revenue and expenditure. And, unless she also changed her whole policy, she would sooner or later have to acknowledge herself insolvent.

The difficulties of the country lave been enhanced by depressed trade, the breakdown of speculation, and other canses, but undoubtedly of all the influences weighing upon her outside the action of her Government, the Brazilian revolution has been the most disastrous. Brazil has always remained elosely connected with Portugal economically, and every year there has been a steady and considerable stream of emigration from the older comntry to the newer. As a rule, the emigrants have prospered in their new homes. Some of them have risen to great wealth, and all hare been generally in the habit of remitting large sums annually either for investment at home or for the relief of relatives who have remained behind. In this way a very considerable tribnte was received by Portugal, helping her to settle the balance due from her because of the excess of her imports over her exports. But since the revolution in Brazil the remittances to Portugal have very greatly fallen off. Owing to rampant speculation, to a bad coftee crop last year, to the cessation of Brazilian loans in Europe, to excessive note issues, to the failure of the English Bank of the River Platc, and to other circumstances, the Brazilian Exclange upon London has fallen nearly one-half, from about 2id. to about 16id, which means that there would be a proportionate loss in remitting money from Brazil to Europe. The consequence is that Portugal has not now this large annual sum to draw upon in order to enable her to settle her debts abroad. Hence she has been compelled to send all the gold that could be scraped together to London. Practically there is no Portuguese gold coin in circula tion. The sovereign is the real gold currency of Portugal. And it is said that since the beginning of this year over four millions sterling in gold bave been shipped to London. As a matter of course, gold has risen to a preminm. Silver, which, as compared with gold, is at a depreciation of about 20 per cent. in the open markets of the world, is actually also at
a premium in Portugal-not, however, reckoned in gold, but in the paper money of the country. Eren copper coins are at a premiom, which shows that the Portuguese public has absolutely no confidence either in the Portuguese Govermment or the Portuguese banks. Naturally trade is almost at a standstill, the poorer classes are in deep distress, and, therefore, everyone is apprehending a revolutionary outburst from day to day.

## A MINISTRY OF JUSTICE.

WE notice with regret a proneness, even among Liberal journalists, to contemn proceedings in Supply, and to treat the criticisms of prisate members as if all frivolity, self-advertisement, and vanity. We only regret that all public services are not on the Estimates, so that they might be discussed, their shortcomings freely pointed out, in Committee of Supply. Were no public services charged on the Consolidated Fund, but all alike made subject to Parliamentary criticism, a Ministry of Justice would soon be an admitted necessity. We do not much believe in the notion that a new Department of State is always a great gain. There are Mr. Chaplin and the Board of Agriculture to prove the contrary. The case for creating a separate Department of Justice is exceptionally strong. It is not an accident that almost every earnest legal reformer has attached importance to this proposal. Among lawyers, Lord Brongham, Lord Westbury, and Lord Langdale, were the boldest and most sincere reformers, and all of them recorded their emphatic conviction that such a Minister was urgently needed. This is what Lord Langlale said in 1849 , and his words have the more weight if we remember the rare moderation, caution, and honesty of him who used them:-"I beliere that you camot work out a system of safe and rational law reform without an authority of the kind of a Secretary of State for the Affairs of Justice who should have a superintendence over all the Courts and every branch of the law; for everything connected with the law requires to be subjected to a proper inquiry and authority, and everything which onght to be laid before Parliament should be laid before it regularly and officially, in order that it may usefully consider what may from time to time be proper to be done, and how best to do it." The answer given to Lord Langrdale's suggestion is repeated to-day whenever it is revived : We have a Minister of Justice in the Lord Chancellor; you would but change the name of his office. That is a mistake as to what a Minister of Justice should be, and what the Lord Chancellor always is. There is a morcellement of authority. Some of the proper functions of the office which we sugrgest now devolve upon the Lord Chancellor ; others fall to the Home Secretary; the Attorney-General has his share of responsibility; so has the Public Prosecutor. For the supervision and control of the whole field no one is responsible, and so things are done which ought not to be done, and many more things left undone which ought to be done.

Three defects, traceable to the absence of such a Ministry, are palpable. Of every other public service we know the cost. We can easily tell to a shilling what the Army or Nary or Civil Service costs, what is the intended expenditure, whether there is an increase or decrease, and at what point. Not so with respect to the judicial establishment and other parts of our legal system. Br a study of Parliamentary returns-most of which we owe to Mr. Hemry Fowler-we can get at approximate figures; but when we analyse the expenses of Law and Justice we find it extremely difficult to letect variations of
receipt and expenditure at particular points. Given a Minister of Justice, and we should soon have a clear budget of Law and Justice-a budget which would reveal some startling facts as to the expense of administering them. It was Lord Langdale's suggestion that the proposed Minister of Justice should " report quarterly to the Queen the state of the administration of civil and criminal justice; the proceedings of the several courts; the business therein transacted; the new regulations made; any inconveniences which have occurred in the administration; what remedies have been suggested and ought to be applied." If this be cleemed too great an innoration, we might at least have that which we get from the President of the Local Govermment Board or the Secretary of State for the Army or Navy, a commected statement of what has been done and is proposed. A third advantage wonld be the removal of what is now a serious practical defect: some one person would in each House of Parliament be responsible for all matters relative to legal administration. As things are, the Attomey-General may say in answer to complaints, as he did, the other day, "Do not blame me; the Director of Public Prosecutions is answerable." When called upon to intervene in regard to the action of erring magistrates, the Home Secretary can generally say, "That is not my affair." If a complaint is made that much-needed changes in procedure are not made, the Lord Chancellor's answer can always be, "That is the province of the Committee of Judres; I am not answerable for their action or delay." If there is a complaint as to circuit arrangements, the stereotyped answer is, "All such matters are settled by the Lord Chief Justice in concert with the other judges." It fell to Mr. Ritchie on Thursday might to answer a question about the costs of prosecutions at Assizes. In short, the office of Minister of Justice is in commission; its functions are parcelled out amoug officials, some of whom are altogether beyond the control of Parliament.

The Lord Chancellor is said to be the Minister of Justice. Eren if he were such, the presence in the House of Commons of someone fully representing that department would be desirable. But such is the variety of his duties, so many are the chaims upon his time, that he cannot perform more than a small portion of the work which would devolve upon such a Minister. The Lord Chancellor is required in the House of Lords when sitting as final tribunal of appeal; it is not a time for him to withdraw from discharging that function when grave complaints are made as to the enormous interval between the hearing of important appeals and their decision. He is required to preside occasionally in the Court of Appeal. His attendances there have become rarer and rarer: they camot become ferser it he is to be an appreciable element in that tribunal. He must watch over Bills affecting legal interests while passing through the House of Lords; and if a Bill be complicated-for example, a measure such as the last Land Transfer Bill-it is enongh to absorb the energies of the ablest lawyer. A large amount of patronage must be exercised; the Chancellor's presence at State ceremonials is imperative; he takes his share, as a member of the Cabinet, of its deliberations; and he must be ready to join in all important debates. No one could perform satisfactorily all the duties annexed to the otfice of Chancellor; certainly no one has performed them.

In point of fuct, some of them are neglected even by the most conscientious holders of the office. Lord Lyndhurst threw himself into politics, and he grew careless abont the judicial and administrative duties. Lord Westbury gave the best of his mind to the large
schemes of reform which he meditated, and few of which unfortunately ripened. Lord Cairns, Lord Selborne, and Lord Herschell were laborious, and eager to do their best. But even they could not compass the rast variety of duties within the Chancellor's province. That is not all our point. In the memorandum which Lord Langdale prepared with respect to a Minister of Justice and Legislation, it will be found that he proposed to assign to that official duties now performed regularly by no one. For example, "to inquire into and ascertain the merits of all complaints made of neglect or irregularity in the administration of justice, and to make such representation, or report thereon, as the occasion may require, for the redress of any grierance." Many other functions omitted by Lord Langdale and now imperfectly disclarged might be named; we pass over all except two - the duty of continuously carrying on the codification of our law, and of taking note of and rectifying, all defects brought to light in the working of it. We might swell the list of duties to be performed; but enough has been said to show the expediency of reviving a forgotten article of the Radical ereed.

## ENTENTE CORDIALE AGAIN?

TTHE knowledge that we are to be the entertainers of the French fleet at Portsmonth, and the probability that the Queen will herself resiew the ships, give an agrevable turn to the situation. We should like to describe this highly picturesque and interesting event more strongly still. It is long since the two European countries who resemble each other most strongly in the general bent, if not in the form, of their political institutions have been on other than highly ceremonious, and even, at times, coldly irritable, terms. As for the thought that inspired the invitation to France, it is necessary to examine it with some little care. We may well suppose that it has occurred to Lord Salisbury to vary our entertainments of the sovereign and prince of two nations deeply concerned in the Irriple Alliance. If so, the thought was a happy one. If we could see in it a reversion to the part which is most in harmony with our place in the Enropean system-the occupation of a neutral gromed where hospitality was free to all and sundry, but where no serions political business was donc-we shonld call it happier still. It would be something to get back the old entente cordiale with the country which touches us six times where any other nation but Russia, of which we will speak further on, touches us once, even at the price of losing the illusion of the grand role in foreign affairs which we owe to Lord Beaconsfield's mischievous and meddlesome genius. We wish, therefore, we were quite sure that, as Lord Salisbury very genially and happily suggested at the Mansion House, we are to place the French invitation on the same level as that extended to the Kaiser and the Prince of Naples. But that depends on what Lord Salisbury has been doing since 1887.

Let us put the European situation hypothetically. It is generally admitted that Mr. Gladstone left the country absolutely unentangled as to European alliances, and that the first year of Lord Salisbury's Goremment saw no change. Suppose, however, that in 1887 the first formal communications with the Italian Government were opened up. In his speech at the Mansion House, Lord Salisbury sniffed disdainfully at the notions of politicians who think that all diplomacy is done by protocol. It is not necessary to assume that anything of the kind has occurred in relation to the Triple Alliance. After all,
the treaty-making power with us, though it nominally resides with the Executive, is never for long out of the people's hands. But there are more ways than one of defining spheres of interest and arranging diplomatic combinations. Suppose that a series of commonications had been exchanged between the two Governments, which had been narrowed down to a precise statement of the position of Italy and England in the Mediterranean, and of the points where mited action might become desirable. It this were done, the result might be to leave England formally free, unfettered by any of those documents which, to use Lorl Salisbury's polite cynicism, have practically $n o$ binding powers on mations, but committed to act in certain events. We do not know how far the Prime Minister would dispute this description of the departure-tle very serions departure-for which his Govermment is responsible. Assuming that it be correct, let nis ste: what possible effect it might have on the courses of European diplomacy.

Prior to 1887 nothing can have been more fluid than the state of European aftairs, so far as alliances were concerned. The Triple Alliance was solidifying, but it was the only piece of really concrete diplomacy in Europe. The Russo-French combination seemed impossible on account of the Nihilist difficulty, and the yawning chasm which divided a spinitual autocracy from a country which has nearly always led progressive Europe, and is still soaked with democracy and free thought. It must be admitted that neither Russia nor France treated us particularly well. We paid for Egypt in Madagascar and in Newfoundland; we paid for Lord Beaconsfield in the Afghan trouble and Komaroft crusade. Whether it was wishom to resent such treatment by throwing our influence into the scales weighted with the Triple Alliance is another question. That Lord Salisbury did it, and that the momentons consequences of his actions were instantly perceived in diplomatic Europe, we camot affect to doubt.

And now what has been the result? At first it was clearly the consummation dear to certain diplomatists, the isolation of France. And yet it was precisely that fact which did all the mischief, especially when Bismarek, a steady pro-Russian, disappears from the stage. France, as the Times truly says, began to court Russia. And for an excellent reason. The Quai D'Orsay might have submitted to the loss of Alsace-Lorraine; it was in no mind to condemn a great and prond country to a permanent minor pole in European diplomacy. The story of the palingenesis of France as a European Power is a signal tribute to the genius of her statesmen, even though it may have produced the most bizarire and perhaps the most formidable, combination of modern times. At all events, the episode of Cronstadt, with the Tsar heating time, as it were, to the Marseillaise, that musical menace of despots, puts the seal to a companionship which, though we doubt its formal diplomatic basis, may possibly be as close as that which binds us, as a useful kind of annexe, to Italy, and through her to the Triple Alliance. The German papers, who are naturally anxions to minimise the affair of Cronstadt, point ont that the Russian hosts were careful to say "Vive la France! " rather than "Vive la République!" But in toasting France, it is obvious that the Tsar toasts the head of the Government; just as in toasting England he would toast Quecn Victoria, who is no more his model of a Sovereign ruler than is M. Carnot. The fact remains, that for the first time since 1870, Europe is, in the words of a very distinguished diplomatist, again divided into two camps, the leaders of which are nicely calculating the number of men and guns which each new ally has added to their strength. It is all very well for Lord

Salisbury, whose words are invariably better than his deeds, to say-with a cynical reflection on Lord Hammond's prophecy of peace on the eve of the FrancoGerman war--that the surface of European politics was never so tranquil, never so uncrossed by shadow or ripple. No one speaks of an immediate menace of war. Russia is not ready- her re-armament will not be complete for another two years: and Turkey is not at the moment rotten-ripe enough for plucking. Europe, however, bristles with armaments, and, what is very much worse, she may again be, after the lapse of a century, at the mercy of that detestable and artificial arrangement, the mechanical device of a dead-and-gone school of diplomatists-the Balance of Power.

There, as it seems to ns, lies the peril, which is not entirely excluded by Lord Salisbury's sensible taunt at the gossip-mongers who are always concocting European alliances. Of course, we do not imagine that we shall go to war with France or Russia. The bulk of the French people is not warlike, and our policy is still in the main based on the wise selfishness of non-intervention. Even if it were otherwise, Lord Salisbury is not permanently installed at the Foreign Office, and it he were, he would probably find half a dozen reasons to prevent him carrying out any engagements he may have formed with Italy. The trouble is not what we shall do, but what we have forced others to do. We have found ourselves in the position of the pivot of the European situation. If it should most unhappily turn ont that we hare given it the wrong turn, no reception, however warm, however heartfelt, of the gallant fleet which all but wrested from ns the mastery of the seas, will wipe out the lamentable consequences of our error.

## CHRONICLE OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS.

TWE season, the weather, the bad harvest prospects in Germany and Russia, and the fresh series of international contesies now in progress at the Russian capital, combine this week to reduce to a minimum the purely political matter of the chronicler. The arrival of the French fleet at Cronstadt on Friday week scems, as a spectacle, to have left nothing to be desired: while the enthusiasm, both of the visitors and of their hosts, seems to have increased day by day. From the Czar downwards, the utmost cordiality has been shown to the French squadron. and has met with the heartiest possible response; and, while the German and English press gencrally seem disinclined to attach very great political significance to these expressions of goodwill, considerable apprehension as to the dangers attendant on a Franeo-Rnssian alliance have been expressed in Eugland by the Standard and in Germany by the chosen organ of Prince Bismarck. And we know that in the best informed circles there is believed to be ample ground for these apprehensions at the present time. We deal with the subject in detail elsewhere. But as regards the durability of the feeling, a nation in which the important elements are the nobles and the peasants, and whose head and embodiment will not mention the French Republic, and chooses to exalt its President and very humble servant into the semblanee of a personal ruler, ean have little in common with a people so essentially republican and bourgeois as that of France. The naive astonishment of the Czar at the bearing and diseipline of the "Republican erews" shows how very mueh that potentate has still to learn. The "understanding" between Frauce and Russia, if it has any surer basis than efferrescent, eranescent, and, generally speaking, vicarious sympathy, must be based on the Russian consciousness of isolation and on that persistent
provineialism which is the curse in France alike of ourvier and bourgeois, of peasant proprietor and bouleradier.

The terrible aceident at St. Mande-the worst, save one fifty years ago, that has ever occured in Franee-seems to have been due, after all, to very simple and ordinary eanses. A crowded train was delayed, and the next train, disregarding the signals, ran into it, erushing the rear guard's vau, which was full of passengers, and two other carriages, and setting fire to the débris. Fifty persons were killed or have since died, and two hundred were injured. The wild stories as to tampering with the signals and brakes, and as to the inability of the engine driver to see before him becanse his engine was travelling tender first, are now definitely contradicted. The driver iguored the signals, and an official at Vincemes - the station before St. Mande and almost in sight of it-started the train while the line was blocked. Doubtless the collision was aggravated by the two-storied arrangement, borrowed presumably from the old diligences, so much affected on the Paris suburban lines. A civil funeral was proposed by some members of the Municipal Council, but was prevented by a threat of resignation on the part of some of the municipal officials.

The French Census shows the smallest increase on record in the population-an increase due, moreover, mainly to foreign immigration. There has been a considerable increase in the population of the towns at the expense of the rural districts. The total is $38,095,000$.

Some curious statistics as to higher education in France are given in a report just issued by the Minister of Publie Instruction. The lyeées and colleges supported by the State have lost about 7 per cent. of their pupils during the last three years. Day-boys have inereased, but boarders have fallen off very heavily. Among schools not supported by the State, those under lay management have lost even more heavily. The number of such schools has diminished by a sixth, that of their pupils by over a fifth. But the religions schools have not reaped the advantage, for, though they have gained about 6,000 day-boys, they have lost over 7,000 boarders. Honghly, the lay schools have lost 10,000 pupils; the clerical have made a nett gain of abont 1.200; and the difference is said to be acconnted for by the improvement in the primary schools. Remembering the unhappy lot of a boarder in a Freneh school-ceaselessly watched by ushers, with poor food, constant work, and no play worth mentioning-one camnot but congratulate French parents on their growing good sence.

The Italian Ministry intend to effect a further reduction of expenditure of about twenty-five million franes. About half is on public works, some eight millions on the army estimates-chiefly on fortifications in progress-and about five millions by abolishing the naval mancurres and limiting the movements of ships of war. The Ministerial programme is said to include a scheme of insurance against accident and old age, and a reform of the bank-note eirculation, involving a considerable inerease of the specie reserve and a uniform type of note.

Those who are in Switzerland to-day or tomorrow will be able to see an interesting and really characteristic speetacle. In every ehncch there will be a memorial service; in every village a fête; and beacon fires from the highest smmmit. in every district will annonnce the fifth centenary of the conclusion of the pact which was the foundation of Swiss nationality. But the great centre of attraction will be at Schwyz. Here, there will be a great public meeting addressed by the Federal anthorities, and a special historical drama, or rather series of tableaux, represchting events in Swiss history, from the lake-dwellers onward. The Catholic leaders in Ticino positively refuse to take part in the celebration of the centenary, so disgusted are they with the result of the reeent trial of the

Revolntionists. Bern, too, wilt celebrate, a for tnight hence, the 700 th anniversary of her lomation by Duke Berthold of Zaihringen, by a procession representing events in her history and the occupations of her population-fiom the guides of the Oberland to the eheese-makers of the Emmenthal and the watehmakers of the Jura.
ln parts of Germany the weather has eansed great distress. The forest distriets on the Uprer Spree are tlooded, and lever will probably break out. Many other districts have sulfered severely from storms, and the prices of wheat and rye at Berlin rose sharply on Monday.

The Anstrian nationalities are pursuing their usual eomse. An attempt to obtain a subsidised Cerman theatre at Buda Pesth has evoked the liveliest protest from the Magyar population. The Young Czeehs have apparently been definitely and timally cast off by the l'oles: and the Emperor will refuse to visit the Prague Exhibition as a sign of his disapproval of the popular spirit in Bohemia. Meanwhile, various Slav elements in Anstria-including Ozechs, Slovenes, and Croats-were represented by deputation at Cronstadt on the arrival of the Russian Heet.

The King of Servia has been received with enthusiasm at Kieff.

The distress in Russia is very serions. In the Govermment of Nijni Novgorod, for instance, there are districts where half the popnlation is in want of bread: the hay has failed, the horses and cattle are being hastily sold off, the grain harvest is hardly a fourth of the average, and is the third snecessive failure. Other parts of the eentral districts are nearly as bad, and the difticnlties of transport are always immense. Steps are being taken to obtain information and send relief, but they can hardly be very effectual.

So. after all, Miss Katy Greenfield eloped with a Kurd lover, and is a convert to the faith of Islam. Moreover, her father was "of Transylvania," and her. mother is an Armenian, so that the English elenent in ber is somewhat adulterated. Of course the bupers that once were Tureophil-for, except in France and Russia, Turophilism is now ont of fashion-are pointing the moral: Because Miss Greenfield was a willing captive, therefore there are no atrocities in Armenia. We camnot identify the logical process involved in this inference. Noreover, it is not yet certain that the inguiry into Miss Greenfield's religions opinions was quite so satisfaetory. The latest telegrams show that she may have made her decharation of Islamism under the intluence of fear.

Senator (and Boss) Quay has at last eeased officially to direct Republican destinies in the United Statos. Considering the past attacks on him of the Democratic and Indepenelent Press, headed by the Nation, we can hardly wonder that he should hesitate to take charge of the campaign work of Is:og.

The Chilian news of this week has chiefly related to the two cruisers-the lresidente Pinto and the Presidente Errazuriz-which, if they ever get to Chili, which is extremely mlikely, will enable the Balmacedists to send troops to crusli the Congressionalists in the nitrate provinees. The limfo left Tonton on Friday, promptly ran aground, and was only got oll on Wednesday, badly damaged. The Errazuriz left Falmouth hastily on liriday, seemingly with many of her erew in irons. At Lisbon she was boarded by the Jritish Chargé d'Atfaires, who. however, finding that the British subjects aboard only wished for their pay, did not bring them ashore. The French and Spanish Consuls have interfered to more purpose. The Portugnese Govermment has taken prompt measures to prevent her getting a crew, except by the strictly regular methols, which are not open to her, and she can hardly get round Cape Morn- lor she camot risk the Straits as she is. Lientenant Amit, R.N., who exhibited his superiority to merely political considerations some time ago by aceepting service under the Balmacedist Govermment, now
complains that his pay is in arrears. He seems, however, to have been satisfied remarkably easily by an apology from the Chilian Minister at Paris. Don Clandio Vicunia has been eleeted successor to President Balmaceda, but there is little doubt that his election is constitutionally mull, and it seems certain that the Presidential troops cannot be depended on.

## THE AGE OF MACHINERY.-11.*

GIXTY years ago, Carlyle was dealing out his fieree and fiery inveetives against the "Mechanieal Era," in which, as bad luck would have it, his lot was east. Nearly at the same time, a more poetically equipped genius, Victor Hugo, described the coming age as "a great note of interrogation," a middle something composed of doubts, feats, and hopes-a twilight which was by-and-by to flush and kindte into the rosy dawn. We, who are more than half a century older, and in consequence to some degree wiser, than Hugo and Carlyle were then, have seen the "meehanical era" take possession of new realms year by year, and the dawn delay her silver wheels, like the relnctant goddess she is feigned to be. Our anonymous lecturer, who has ten centuries to his adrantage, remarks mpon these curious phenomena. The age of mechanism, le says, bore a certain likeness to "autumn in April;" the dead leaves were everywhere, and yet the trees were budding and the green blade hat begnn to spring. Dead or dying institutions covered the face of Europe-moribund sects. chmehes. parliaments, taxing-oftices, monarchies, and empires. Nilitary rule flourished, but the heart was eaten out of it. Religion had sunk to Hobbes' defimition, "a fable permitted by the State." Hyporrisy was rampant, victorions, and miversal. So great an expenditure of whitewash on the outside of sejulchres had never. been seen or dreamt of sinee whitewashing came into fashion. "A considerable number"," it is casually observed, "did still believe in the Bible." But no one believel much in anything. The old was fecble because it found itself at death's door ; the new was struggling to be bom. Those who, to fuote Mirabean's phrase, were striving valiantly to swallow their formnlas, felt a choking sensation in the throat. On all sides ehange was inpending, yet none had quite guessed the word of the riddle: and extreme called to extreme; reaction followed revolution. Mechanism destroyed, but was powerless to create life. Thonghtful men joined hands perforce with the Nihilist: and good men, shrinking from the Nihilist, were condemmed to the society of fools. It was chaos come again, the wisdom of the age divorced from its institutions which were outworm and retrograde, tustom ruling at large yet uncrowned and desecrated in the mivate creed of thousands upon thousands. Tradition looked askance at freedom: and the religions spirit knew not how to reconeile these strange and daring asprirations with the respectable old virtues. At length a philosopher in Germany and a naturalist in Kent uttered the saving word so long and so vainly expected. Of course it was the word-we are sick of its very somd by now-whielı spells "Evolution."

Yes, eries the Professor, quoting with approval a certain Herr döwenthal, such was the new commandment given unto men, "Be ye evolved." The new morality strang from it, for "Everything whieh favou's evolution is good, and everything which thwarts it is the opposite." To whom, then, has the nineteenth century to look for the science and secret of life? Surprising as it may appear, this prophet of the year 3000 answers in stentorian tones, "To Mr'. Herbert Spencer." Has not, he goes on to ask, that witer of sesquipedalian sentences defmed, in words as territying as the things themselves, integration, differentiation, homogeneity, abiogenesis, egoism,
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altruism, and the Unknowable? Defined! we echo with the melancholy which comes of much reading; true, Mr. Spencer has defined pretty nearly evary thing: but he has explained little or mothing. We have gone through the whole of his volumes-a l'cat which it is probable the Lecturer will not live to aecomplish-and we find ourselves no more evolved than the day we began. It is poor consolation to have enlarged our vocaloulary by a few thousmad phrases. The secret of hife has been promised us by Mr. Rider Maggard as well as Mr. Spencer; but they are merry-andrews both. and given to romancing. Neither in "She" nor in the philosophy of the Unconscious have we found the golden fire. someone has boldly declared that the " Essays of Elia" will outlive all the books on things in general which Mr. Spencer has given to the rorll. We hope it may be so. The Professor quotes Mr. Spencer and has not a word to say about Charles Lamb; but perhaps he reckons him with the "old classics, Shakespere, Goethe, and Pashkin," who were read only in translation or not at all in the year $: 3000$. It is evident that Mr. Spencer will not be an old classic

Howerer, we need not waste time in argning with a soi-clisunt German professor, who is not going to be born for the next nine hundred years. At any rate, we have seized his point of riew. He agrees with Ibsen. whom he seems to have carefully studied, that Evolution is now throwing into its. Medea's candron, "the factors of intellectual life" : and that "in no long while a period will begin during which the conceptions we now form of polities and social science will cease to exist, a fresh mity emerging from them which will carry with it the happiness of mankind." "For," continues Ibsen, "] believe that poetry, philosophy, and religion will blend into a new category, and a new life-power, of which we can make nothing distinct at present. But I drink to the Coming aut the Becoming." Thus the Norwegian dranatist. Other poets, especially the Germans. Henkell, Von Reder, Fulda, and John Henry Mackay, salute the dawn with equal enthusiasm. It is cmions to read "Locksley Hall" in so Tentonie a setting; but there can be no donbt that the modern singers are humanitarian, cosmopolitan, and progressive. Even Victor Hugo dechared with the accustomed brevity of his latter-day speeches, Je ne suis ni Fromçais mi Européen: je suis humain. This somnds too comical to be a fact; yet IIugo was sincere,-theatrically. He meant that Frenchhood was evolving in lim to manhood, and the race of the thirtieth century getting ready to run its course.

So muel for the Coming. Now as regards the Becoming, which, after all, is equivocal English, and need not be moral unless it is agreeable to itself. Our Professor relates that in 1885 and the following years there was a strong and steady movement towards "Women's lights," in rescribing which he waxes elognent, even as he did when lamehing his thunderbolts against war and bloodshed. He points to the ciremmstance that, as man was destined to become by slow but sure degrees human, so his long-legraded and down-trodden "help-nieet" was now being lifted ant transformed until she should become man. Why not? If a spider-monkey has it in him by integration and lifferentiation to travel mpwards nutil he is made a colonial hichop, or a Fellow of the Royal Society (and Darwinism asks no more), it is manifest that we may and olght to cherish, as the American lady says, "brighter hopes for women." They", too, if they hold firm to the Becoming-Das $]^{\text {F}}$ erdendehave a prospect of being some clay colonial bishops and Royal Fellows. It may be objected that they have weak heads and delicate limbs. "In other words," satirically observes the Professor, "they conld not drink and smoke and light as bravely as the so-called 'men' did in the Mechanical Era. But they will not be asked to clo so when the good time comes. The brain will have subdued the muscles." Are they superstitious and fond of clergymen? Whose fault is that: he asks indignantly. Have
their fathers or hasbands cared to see them educated or enlightened? Do they not all in their hearts appland Napoleon's brutal answer to Madame de Stail, that it was the business of a woman to be the mother of a large family and not to write books: Pity that the Professor could not rullote "Diana of the Crossways," where she remarks, "Man has weathered Seraglio Point, but is far from having got round Cape Turk." Again, are women as yet barbaric in their dress and adornments, while menexcept emperors and reeruiting-sergeants-have given up silks, lace, and fripperies? But how ean women help it when a "good marriage" is the end to which these are the means? In the thirteenth century, we learn, it was eounted as degrading for men to praise "Caroline's good looks," as it would be now for women to write novels about Karl's lovely features. So says the lecturer: but on his own clonestic alfairs he is altogether reticent. In the antique world which he describes he seems to have known Germany best. It is not wonderful, therefore, if he believed that half the human race were looked down upon by their tobacco-loving husbands as mere domestic servants, who could not gire notice except through the Divorce Court. Women, he says, began at last to earn their own living; then they married to please themselves, or did not marry ; and certain Enropean institutions of a highly artificial kind fell with a crash.

As we are yet living in the "age of hypocrites," it may not be advisable to reproduce this portion of the Professor's text, interesting as it certainly is, and even true. ITe ahmost forgets the calm retrospectire tone in which he had promised to lecture and his wrath and eloquence when he comes to the great human sacrifice of the nineteenth century break ont with astonishing power. Imagine Captain Cook delivering his sentiments on camibalism to a group of South Sea Islanders, and you will have some conception of these chapters which deal with mateh-making, high-bred marriage enstoms, and police-regulated vice. It is to be supposed, low ever, that the Fijians of the last century would not even have understoorl Captain Cook's religious difficulty. They would have shrugged their shoudders and gone about their culinary operations, at most throwing him the great historic phrase, Cosi fon tutti, "If everyone eats his neighbour, what is that to you?" exactly like our contemporary Fijians of the marriage market and the homan shambles. But no argument enrages the lecturer like that from legal prescription-" It has always been so." "Why, rou amazing product of evolution," he returns, "can you not see that things chunge for the better? Once women were captured by their husbands; then they were bought openly: afterwards there came to pass match-making and the London season. Do you mean to tell me there is no progress?" The sexes will be equal by-and-by, all professions will be open to women, and the marriage contract will be no longer "one-sided," but a reciprocal devotion, terminable, it should seem, on demand of either party. And other things will happen which, to say the least, are extremely surprising to the modern reader. For evolution will work in all directions, and the new state will be much more consistent than the old.

Good. Let us now ask what becomes of religion when it has been further evolved. Apparently it vanishes. "The finture will need 120 religious consolation," for it will not be what Mrs. Gamp described as "a wale," and may behave itself accordingly. Gods there will be, though not so termed -lIumanity, Nature, and Science. As no one will desire to be immortal, and the "Christian mythology" will have gone its way, the cleagy of all denominations will cease to rend and bite one another -which if it could be achieverl, might seem a not undesirable respite from their Militarism. But will the scientific priesthood which succeeds them keep the peace? At present, they seem to be as hmon (on the old fighting pattern) as the gentlemen in black whom they are ousting. The

Lecturer passes by this detail in silenee. He is elear and energetic in maintaining that, as time goes on, no one with a tincture of science will trouble his head concerning the "Other World;" for the Millennimm will have come, and the tyranny of an antocratic: Master above or luelow will exist no longer. We who have heard George Eliot's pathetic and high-wrought pleading for a miverse without God, can well fancy the Professor's arguments. They discover somerthat of the German-rudeness, shall we call it? -and are more forcible than polite. Eren so, the good man laments that in the nineteenth century a disputant was expected to remember his mannors, though he denomaced the most inveterate falsehoods, such as Theism and Christiauity. Yes, he was, and for a plain reason, beeanse on the spirit of good manners, Evolution itself depends. We certainly prefer George Eliot to the Lecturer, who, though he langhs elsewhere and is not malicions (a little intolerant by nature we suspect), here swings his mighty axe and demeans himself like a very iconoelast. Protestant and Catholic go down hefore him; the New Testament fares no better than the Old: of all "conventional lies" there is none which he does not handle gently compared with the religions fairy-tales, and prehistorie ceremonies, upheld by Conservative County Members, retired Achnirals of the Blue, families of distinction whoso younger sons were in the Church, aud the indifferent to whom it was all, as Rabelais and Pascal said, a lottery in which, by goorl luck, somebody might win. He is tatking of Germany, and does not mention Admirals of the Blae. But he might have talked of England with just as mueh point. If hypocrisy is the homage that viee pays to virtue, it must be honestly said that on this side of the German Ocean virtue is smothererl in roses. Positivism is rather a relief, a sort of clearing of the air, when one considers how many things are said in the pulpit which not a soul appears to believe ontside it. The Professor would like a census of "religions hypocrites." So should we. But the Dissenters, it is well known, have always objected on minciple to a religions eensus of any kind.

Frederick the Great summed up his hmmanitarian views by calling his fellow man, Cette race maudite. It was good theology, and perhaps quite as good sense. Eren the philosophical historian to whom we have been listening has hard things to say of us and our contemporaries. Man (and we include some women) is. we acknowledge, not a person of unblemished character. He is well known to the police. In his oceasional fits of repentance he owns to a goodty number of vices and passions, to base motives and evil deeds. He does not quite hold with the excellent Scotch lady that "total depravity is a saving rloctrine if one can live 111" to it." Nevertheless, he lives within sight of it much too often. He is desperately fond of cakes and ale. If yon examine him closely you will see in him the child, the woman, the slave, the barbarian, the savage, something of the ape, and a trace or two of the tiger, althongh Evolntionists declare that he dill not pass by the tiger's way. And our erndite professor, who has not lived much in the open air, but is highly civilised and studions, belieres that in this present century we are on the threshold of a moral and religious change, that will put an end to most of our vices and give our passions their legitimate scope! "Oh, Professor, great is thy atheistic faith!" Man does change and grow refined; he is an ape of the loftiest manners when he has learnt the trick; and out of mere hackings with a flint knife and bloody scalpings of his enemy he has evolved Roman law, mediceval chivalry, and modern benevolence. But, as Bayke remarked, he is a tongh morsel for systems-yon mark the word, Irofessor', for sy/stems-to digest. Has he proved too much for Christianity? What will your lean aud narrow Positivism make of Cette race maudite? Will it swatlow him? Or is it not a thonsand times likelier that he will swallow it? For

Mephistopheles knew his man, when he observed with rliabolic shrewdness:-
"Von Sonn" und Welten wriss ich nichts zu sagen,
Ith sehe nur, wie sich dic Muschen plagen
Der kleine Gott der Welt bleibt stets ron gleichem Schlag,
Und ist so wunderlich als wie am ersten Tag.
In the year 3000 that is still likely to be the truth. Man, if he is to march, needs a spur; he changes only because he is uncomfortable. Doubtless he will try to make a Heaven on earth, and will thank his Positivist friends for lending him their ground plan. But he is quite sure to be dissatisfied in the long rum. The ehances are that if we could hear a course of lectures in the year 4000 or later, we should be exhilarated with an eloquent description of the Decline and Fall of the Positivist Mythology, by one who had outlived it.

## THE RELATIONS OF DE QUINCEY AND CHRISTOIIHER NORTII.

AT the present moment three vacant Professorships are leing filled up in the University of Edinburgh. They have appointed Mr. Goodhart aud Mr. Seth, from Cambridge and St. Andrews respectively, as their Hmmanist and their Metaphysician: but the new Music professor may not be chosen till October. It is curious that just at this time a story should come out illustrating how not long since the chairs there used to be filledillnstrating it by the relations of two of the most remarkable men of the forenoon of the vineteentls century.

For many years the most striking figure in the streets of the northern capital was Christopher North, as John Wilson, the Moral Philosophy Professor, on the whole preferred to be called. Broadchested, blue-eyed, and golden-haired, he strode every day eastward from Gloncester Place to the college, and many a wandering rustic wondered who the lion-like laird cond be who had lost his way in the windy town. He lost his way there originally by losing his money. A young man of fortune, inherited from merehandise, he had built himself a nest abore Wintermere in the neighbourhood of Southey and Wordsworth, liad published a poem, and was known as the anthor of the "Isle of Palms" and as a Tory contributor to Blachucood. Soon his fortune made itself wings, and the question came to be how the brilliant young fellow, swept ont of his Elleray mansion, was to support himself. Just then the chair of Moral Phitosopliy in Edinburgh fell vacant, and the most formidable candiclate for it was Sir William Hamilton, already known as carrying a load of premature crudition; though the names of Sir James Mackintosh and others were also in the backgrouncl. The year was 1820 , and everything in that pre-reform time in Edinburgh—especially every acarlemical place in the gift of the unteformed Town Conncil-was the oceasion of a jolitical contest. Wilson had not the shadow of a claim, but his Tory friends were determined to pull him throngh, and they did it. He was now Professor of Moral Philosophy. But what was Moral Philosophy, and how in the world was he to teach it? At this point there steps upon the scene a well-known figure, in every way a contrast with Wilson's. It is "strangely fragile, unsubstantial, and puerile," yet swomomed by a face already ancient, "with the arehed brow, loaderl with thought, and the countless little wrinkles whieh engrained the skin. gathering thickly round the curionsly expressed and subtle lips." De Quincey, aheady an unvencrable piece of premature antiquity, had been a neighbour and intimate of Wilson at the Lakes, and to his eccentric learning the new professor now applied for his material. Dr. Japp's recent publication of De Quincer's letters reveals for the first time how far this traffic went. The lectures of the new professor were to begin on the

1st of November. "I am cuite at a stand," he writes De Quincey on the 5th of August, "respecting my lectures, but have been reading some books whicli even I understand." What is good in Clark's "Light of Nature"? There is no such book, and the conjecture that it may have heen Abralam Tueker's "Light of Nature" which the new Moral Plitosopher had dipped into, is made questionable by his addendum, "He is an insufferable beast as to style." But whether Theker or Clark, the beast was of no use in the quest for knowledge, and the candid inquiry goes on: " It seems to me that I should lave a lecture on the origin of knowledge when treating of the senses. What are the books? And what theory is the triue one? And your oljections to Locke?" It was a heavy burden to lay upon an unresponsive opium-eater; but more central questions follow. "What does, in your belief. constitute moral obligation? Aud what ought to be my own doctrine on that subject?

Tine is tlying rapidly, and I have few books." Time flew rapidly, and it does not appear that Papaverius, that most umpunctual of human beings aneient or modern, was able to give mueh material advice for the first winter's leetures. But before they were over-probably at his visit to Edinburgh in December-De Quincey had promised to do more-actually to write eight lectures for Professor Wilson, apparently to be delivered by the latter in the second year of his course. No doubt there was to be some pecuniary consideration, for Wilson in his recent tronbles had been indebted to De Quincey's fast-emptying purse; and the latter's biographer tells us that "lis readiness to befriend others in those years from 1808 to 1820 was pursued even to the point of maduess and selt-ruin," while "his neeessities had lyecome imperative in the spring of 1821 ." And it was on the 17 tll of February, 1821, that Wilson wrote him the following curious note, the blank in the original being, no doubt, exaetly as Dr. Japp has printed it.
" 1 am anxions to know from you if you have done, or still intend to do, the S for me before the end of November. Itrust that you will. I wish yon would write one or two on Cause and Effeet, but not moless you choose. I do not wish to say that by not fulfilling your promise of these 8 you will distress me muel, for perhaps it may distress you more to write them, but to trust to them and eventually be disappointed would be a most serions calauity to me."

The precaution of leaving the word blank when Wilson is demanding the eight leetures is eurious; but it is ontdone by his very next sentence, in which he recommends De Quincey to be still more skilful in his reply. "Speak of them," he goes on to say, "as chapters in a work of your own when you write to me"! De Quincey was by this time in London, looking out for literary work, and about to do the very best to which he ever attained. "In artifieial respites from my usual state of distress, which were purehased at a heary priee of subseqnent suffering, 1 wrote the greater part of the 'Opinm Confessions, in the antmun of 1821. ." But though he could drean so as to entrance the world, it was only in an oeeasional " glow of jovial spirits," after he had "slept at more regular hours for several nights conseentively, and had armed himself by a sudden inerease of the opium for a few days' rumning." To write eight conseentive lectures on Canse and Effeet, or on the doctrine of moral obligation, or on anything else, was what no man conld depend upon his doing; and there was a serious risk that in some sudden aceess of conseientiousness he might send an elaborate apology, perlaps to the seeretary of the University, for his unfortunate dilatoriness in producing them. But plagiarism in a Scottish professor, amid Puritan surroundings, is a more awful thing than it is in a Lord Mayor of Loudon. And Wilson, after entreating De Quincey, even when writing to him, to speak of "chapters in a work of your own," adds, as a last desperate precantion, "Could yon not contrive to give your letters a less mysterious outward appear-
ance?" But the mysterious outward appearance, alike of the letters and of the ancient youth who composed them, remained unchanged, while it does not appear that they contained any of the enclosures so earnestly desired.

The result was exceedingly curions. Wilson, thrown upon his own resources, had to do his lectures for hinsself, and in his first-years he prepared a quantity of rlietorical rublish, part of which eumbered his class work to the end of his life. But the young Scotechman lad a keen metaphysieal intellect; all he wanted being elueation. Aud now that he was compelled to look for himself into these abstraet questions, they gradually took hold upon his intelligence, with the extraordinary result that the new Tory professor aud poet, after a few years' study of what he called "the nature of the moral faculty." became a thorough Utilitarian and Benthamite. It was the position whiel of all others he had most haten and despised by anticipation, and to the end he refused the names. He cursed Bentham and Brougham every month in Blucheroorl, and instead of a Utilitarian he ealled limself an Eudaemonist. But to the very end of his professorship in 1851 he taught that conseience is nothing but the transtormed desire of happiness-of individual happiness too, for he never adopted the thin veil which even John Stuart Mill latterly threw around the idol's limbs. He tanght it with great acnteness. The writer once spent an hour in his class-room, and the same evening, meeting Sir William Hamiltonby this time Wilson's colleague, and in the full blaze of that celebrity which the present generation has forgotten-expressed to him surprise at the originality and metaphysical power of one of whom most people thought as a mere poet. Hamilton replied emphatieally that Wilson liad great matural powers in the region of philosophy, powers which had never been trained in yonth, and which now for the first time came into exereise in this destruetive analysis. It was true : but what a strange result for the demigod of the Modern Athens, who had commenced his career by borrowing the brains of that weird little elerub who sat aloft on the topmost eross-trees of Conservatism!

## sports iverstes skirts.

KEEN battle wages in the feninine world bet ween the tro opposing forces, and the end of the London season brings with it a change in the fortunes of war. "Will dresses continue to trail on the ground, or may we wear skirts short enough to allow of exercise without inconvenience?" "Shall Hyde Park or the moors regulate our costumes-tennis or church parade?" These are the questions anxiously debated in every household containing active young girls keen for fun and outloor exercise. It is bard for them that their fate should be decided by forees outside their-or apparently anybody else's-control; but that is a hardship not confined to any one department of life. During the season Hyde Park and church parade lave had the best of the argument, and skirts have remained at such a length as to demand the exclusive services of one hand to keep then out of the mud and dust. The world whieh has little directly to do with Hyde Park or the London season is none the less affeeted by the faslions prevailing at headquarters, and, amid the sighs of conutry maidens, the inevitable ineh or two has been added to the skirt, bringing the wearer into more intimate acruaintanee with muddy lanes and dery fields than is strictly pleasant. Thus the victim is dragged reluctantly into the thraldom of a fashion from which, unless she happens to be within the charmed circle of society, she derives very little compensating benefit.

So it seems as if long skirts would have the best of the fight, and that, not content with merely tonehing the ground, they would suceed in permanently eumbering it. The terrible state of the gowns which
have already performed this function during the summer months shonld be enough, one would think, to dissuade any person of prudence from keeping to an arrangement so destrmetive of both comfort and cleanliness: but, as before remarked, these things are beyond the individual's control, and have to be submitted to, like inflnenza or a bad harvest, with resignation.

There are other forces to be reekoned with, how ever. The tendeney of skirts to lengthen out indefinitely is fimuly disputed by the lawn-tennis interest. Norr this interest is more important than may seem at first sight, for reasons now to be ex plained. An inordinate length of skirt clearly renders temis impossible. A good deal ean be enduren, but there are limits. The girl who attempts to play in a dress whieh sweeps the ground is a unistuce to her partuer as well as herself, and hed presence ceases to be desired. Now, it is one thing for girls to keep out of the ericket-field, where they have never yet obtaincd a real footing, and another thing to be elbowed off the temmis law, where they have held their own since the introduetion of the game. That were a calamity too great to be borne. For when we consider the matter from an outside standpoint, it is easy to see that for girls temuis is a mique social institution, giving them as it does unequalled opportunities of meeting the other sex upon easy and uneeremonions terms. Temnis may fairly claim, moreover. to have routed the chaperones, for those worthy functionuries, great as are their powers of endurance, camot face with impunity the buming sun and the cotting wind which players regard with equal indifforence. Their activity would have to rival that of the younger generation if they were to keep watch and ward over the numerous temnis elubs with which every town subub is now dotted. In this department they are fairly worsted, and it may be noted that for the most part they have the wisdom to submit with a good grace to the inevitable. It is clear that while neither young men nor maidens are wishful to lose a social oprortunity so happily gainel, girls in particular recognise its advantage, and are bound, in self-defence, to keep fashion so far within limits as to allow their favourite game to go on umdisturbed. Hydra-headed Fashion has, at last, met its match.

For a while, too, the leaders of fashion seem to take sides with its enemy. As the 12th of August approaches, and streets are forsaken for the moors, long slints and elaborate costumes are abancloned, and in their place is adopted one or another of the ingenious costumes lately devised for the delectation of boma firle sportswomen. A well-ent tweed jacket and vest, with skirt of the shortest, are wom ower knickerbockers and gaiters of the same material, the whole being arranged with a view to rough and momatain walking and the vicissitudes of Highland weather. Neat and serviceable as the costume is, and by no means mbecoming withal, it would no dombt have aronsed the pious horror of our grandmothers, and possibly of a nearer generation still. Times have changerl for so sensible a concession to the tastes of women to have been accomplished without the ontery which has usually accompanied any fresh departme in the direction either of eccentricity or of reasomable reform. The limited area over which the imnovation is in use, and the fact that the short skirts are worn upon secluded moors and in thinly popmated districts, probably accombts for the immmity of the wearers. The costume has become popularised among women who care about ports, before the vulgar gazers of the streets have hat the opportmity of interposing a noisy velo. Neanwhile the liritish matron has grown aceustomed to the novel form of dress through the medimm of the illustrated fashion papers, which she never fialls to perme woekly. So far, therefore, the new venture has been distinctly lucky, and for the present it may seens as if Sports were gaining the victory over Skipts. But smmer loes not last all the year, and when the moors are deserted, and
eity life begins again, the long skirt may not improbably resmme its sway. He-or still more shewould be a wise prophet who should foresee the next twin in the whirligig of fashion.

## MARIENBAD.

IWLL the rear 1808 the fiamons and nuthfrequented bath whicll is now known as Maricubad, was called the Ausochowister Bath. It hat been in repmete as a health resort for many years previonsly, and the Abbots of Tepel Abbey had done much to render it attractive. In that your Abbot P'frogner, who was bent unon doing sitill more for that part of the Abber's domains, gave the place the name. and formally put it under the protection of the Virgin Mary. The fame of Marienbad was then spead aboond, and its ponmlarity has increased with its years. Though not far distant from Carlsbad and Franzensbad, some of its waters clificr essentially from thome of both. Patients who recuibe a tonic treatment are scont to Franzensluad by Gemman and Anstrian physicians; patients whose livers are eompletely ont of order seek relief at Carlsbad. while those who are over-corpment and sulforing from the disonders which are consequent on obesity are sent to Mariendant, in the hope that they will lave behind them much of their superthous lat and all their ailments.

Any one who has risited the places just mamed would recognise where he was if suddenly and mexpectedly dropped from a balloon among the crowd of water-rlinkers at one of them. He wonld not require to look at any lancmark in order to refiesh his menory. If he saw many dites literally as yellow as gnineas, he wonld at onte exclaim, This must be Candsbal! If the pallid faces of young larlies predominated, he would leel certain that he was in Franzensbad; whike if the crowd resembled a gathering of Daniel Lamberts, he contd have no doubt about laving descended in Narienbarl. At the latter place, the fair sex as well as the sterner fumishes extraordinary and abnormal specimens of the human form 1 wom to fat. Many of the female patients we yomg, and would be comely if they wore slender. If not symmetrical, they are groodnatured in appenrance. The world has gone too well with them, and they sem to conjoy themselves, when in thein immost hearts they are miserable.

Whe principal siping at llarienthad, whicll is called the Firenzbummen, was diseovered three centurics ago. It then ascended from the ground in the middle of a matrin. It was not largely med medicinally till the middle of the cighteenth centurs. At that time its repute was local, and the people of the district who were ailing, or feared they might become so. lloclied to the spot on Smedays anel holidays and drank copionsly of the water. It is a powerfol purgative and those who swallowed from lifteen to twenty pints of it han no reason to exclam that it was weak. Medical writers of a later day are aghast at the rashness of these untutored peasants; yot they admit that there is conclusive evidence that mans of the pationt. were benefited in health, despite " the irregulan and irmational mamer in which they took the waters." Towards the curl of the last century Dr. Neln was commissiomed by the Abbot of 'Tepel to introduce some system into the treatment at the spring ; he wrote a small work mberising the water: whiel he drank himsell. and livel to an advanced age, alter having practised at Harionbarl for forty sears, his last words sxpressing gratitude to God fon the beneficent gilt of the Fremzlommen to man. (foethe visited Marienbarl in 1821 amd 1 s.o.3. amd he dramk this water on the spot. and hacl a supply sent to $1 /$ cimat covery feaz afterwands. Whe was in the labit of chonling uphard: of fom humberel bottles ammatly between 1803 and 1832 . when he ried at the ago of eighty-three. Though the Niew hommen is the chief
and most potent of the springs, yet it is but one of seromal, the others being the Fertinandsbiunnen, which is reputed to be serviceable in affections of the uneous membrane generally, and the Waldquelle in affections of the bronchial tubes: the Wiesenquelle, which is said to possess the tonie rirtue of WVildungen water; two, the Carolinen ant Ambrosiensquelle. are ferruginous waters, while the Marienquelle is rich in earbonic gas, and is an agreable sparkling beverage.

As many patients at Marienbarl are overburdened with fat, the dietary preseribed there is very plain. Conriets in a prison or panpers in a work-house would rebel acrainst it. Twenty years ago it was even more lowering than at present, the muhappy patients being half starved on barley broth and stewed plums. It was fomd that some of them lost their lives, when their wish was to lose fat. Now. howerer. they are allowed to eat nealy as generously as those who are under treatment at Carlsbad, thongh, in this case, genemous living is a purely relative expression, being so only by comparison with what was formerly the rule. The hours for water-drinking, bathing, and meals are the same as those at Carlsbat, getting up early being as necessary as going to bed at an houn When those at home are thinking about enjoying the evening. The waters of all the spoings are pleasant to the palate. Unlike those of Carlsbad, they are cold and elfervescing. The chemical constituents of the Kreuzbrumen resemble those of the Sprutlel at Carlsbad. though the purgative elements are stronger. What is strange is that some maladies, whieh can be alleviated il not cured at Carlsbad, are aggravated by a course of treatment at Marienbad. One of them is clabetes. It is really necessary that those who go for their health's sake to either place should ascertain betorehand which of the two is suitable. Nany who are none the better for a "eure" at either may have made the blunder of going to the wrong placo. The physicians on the spot are reluctant to admit that the waters are not panaceas, and they are disposed to recommend a trial of them even to those in whose cases a good result is more than problematical.

At all the leading Bohemian health-resorts the amusements provided for visitors consist of concerts, theatres, and balls; and the band and theatre at Marienbad are equal to those of Carlsbad and Frazensbar. Th one respect the first of these places has an adrantage over the last two. The revenues of Tepel Abber are very large, and the Abbot does not grudge outlay in beatilying the place from which mueh of them is herived. I have been told on good authority that the gross value of the Abbey's property is estimated at five millions sterling. The large public garden is kent in beantiful onder, and fhis year a part of the new covered promenade has been opened. When finished, this will be one of the finest things of the kind in Enrope. The whole town is lit with the electric light: in short, nothing is left umdone to attract visitors, of whon 15,000 are muder treatment fluring the season. I was struck this year to find a considerable nmber of French inen ame women among the visitors. though $I$ ought to have exprecfed this. having reat many paragraphs in the paris papers -evidently inserted for a consideration-setting forth the attractions of Marienbark. Eherly French men and wonen have as great a tendency to actuire superfuous fat as the Germans whom they late. The paragraphs to which I have referred not only set forth the virtues of Marienbad waters, but they also contained the information that Marienbad was in Anstria, and not in Germany, and that the Anstrians lover the French. History does not show that the French love for Anstria was manilested in an ardent fashion. The chief result of the intercomse between the two nations has been to make freneln a fiaronrite tongue in Tienna. It is more spoken there than in Londen, the latter city having been able to wart off a French ocenpation such as Vienna hats experienced during the present century.

## A CirOSS CASE OF PLAGlARISM.

MR. EDW'ARD PLNTER, who was eonvicted at the Central Criminal Cont on Monday of trying to impose on a Bond Street jeweller with the seeret of the philosopher's stone, has got rather more than his deserts. We do not mean that the sentenee of three months "without" passed on the poor rogne erred on the side of excessive severity. It was a very simple attempt to deccive. He professed to know how to "multiply" gold there or four times. He went with his seeret to Mr. Streeter and asked $£ 40,000$ for if. As a trial of his "maistrie," lie converted a sovereign. by theapplication of a magic powder in a crucible, into a nugget of gold worth £:3. Three months for this was probably enough, and neither more nor less than enongh. So simple a rogue as Pinter eannot be regarded as a dangerous enemy of society in oun enlightened nineteenth century. Ilis connsel, Mr. Gill, very properly pleaded that, if he had been abreast of the times, he wonld have floated a company with his secret, instead of trying to impose directly on an expert in the working of the precions metals. He may take the hint when he is restored to liberty. IIe had some suecess, it appears, in the mrovinces before he made his grand coup in the metropolis, and he may try again and reap a golden harvest. If he should find dupes after the present exposure, the separation of them from their money would havelly be a public loss. Three months' further intermption of the "philosopher"s" activity sufficiently satisfies the ends of justice and public utility. In the interests of ethological seience, it is only fair that Mr. Pinter should have another chance of experimenting on the gullibility of our last decade.

We do not complain of the Recorder's sentence when we say that this simple-seeming rogue got more than his cleserts. We refer to the credit that some of the daily papers have given him for originality, although of a base and sordid kind. He has been represented as having done something peculiarly modern, beculiarly eharacteristic of the materialistie ninetenth century in trying to bend a venerable superstition to the uses of the eommon swindler. One of the interests of his ease is that it has bronght into clear light what is the popular itlea among us of the medieval alchemist. The alehemist has shared in the common exaltation of things mediecral by way of protest against the materialinm of these latter days. A certain halo of romance surrounds his heat. He is regarded as a simple-hearted fanatie pioneer of modern science; wasting his eager life among mortars and retorts and crucibles, strange mixtures and solutions, explosions and suffocating fumes; orer-ambitious, but filled with the purest philosophic zeal in his enthusiastic fuest after the innermost secrets of nature. It is by the light of this romantic conception of the simple medieval children of researel that ons modern exploiter of the philosoplier"s stone, or rather the philosopher's poweder, for purposes of imposition on a Bond Street jeweller, has a certain appearance of modernity and originality. But, in truth, this appearance is as much a deception as the powder itself. There is no originality whatever in Mr. Pinters swindle with the philosopher's secret of "multiplication." His idea is bomowed. It is a gross case of plagiarism from one of Chancer"s "Canterbury Tales." and we rather wonder that no good Conservative moralist has seized upon Mr. Pinter as an awful example of foo muel education. If his age were not fifty-six, he might be claimed as one of the evil products of the Education Acts, and the labits of wicle reading engendered thereby

Mr. Pinter's modus operamdi is bormowed in all its leading features from the fiondulent alchemist whose clever tricks are gleefully described in the - 'llanom's Yeoman's Tale." We lind everything there: the professed power to "multiply", the magic powder. whicle was merely a blind, the confidential experiment, the sale of the receipt for a
consideration. "I have," said the erafty Chanoun to lis clupe. -

> "I have a poudre heer that coste me deere Shat nalie al gooi, for it is cause of al Ity konayng, which that I yow shewen schal."

The hint of the aboninable smells, with which the modern rogue routed the spectators at a critical stage in one of his experiments, is also taken from Chancer. The Yeoman deseribes the Chanom and his fellowship) as reeking of foul fumes to such a degree that you eould smell them a mile off.

> " Her savour is so rummyseh and so hoote
> That though a man fro hem a mile be
> 'The savour wol infecte him, trusteth me."

Even in the figure that Mr. Pinter asked for his secret, he is not original. The Chanom asked $£ f 0$; Mr. Pinter asked $£ 40,000-n 0$ donbt laving regasd to the difference in the valne of money. There is another small point of difference. The Chanoun got his money from his dupe, and went his way rejoicing, and the gay chantry priest saw him no more. Inr. Pinter was not so fortmate or not so clever, being, perhaps, but a half-baked rogue. Whether he also borrowed the Chanoun's method of conveying the precions metal to the crucible we do not know. That part of his secret has not been divulged. One of his powder's was a blind, like the Chanom's, but he seems to have harl another mixed with "Potter's gramulated gold," whieh was probably manown to the ancient alchemist. The good old mediun was a "beechen coal," with a hole in it, filled with the precions metal, and stopped with wax. This the operator contrived to place on the top of the crucible. Another medium was a wooden poker, with a similar hollow and similar contents and stoppage of wax. With this the crafty Chanom stirred the crucible as he ponred in the powder. Mr. Pinter may have borrowerl these simple tricks also: he has evidently no pride of originality: he is an unblushing plagiarist.

It is fortunate for this plagiarist of medieval rognery that he lives in the nineteenth century. He would not otherwise have got off so cheaply on detection. Ben Jonson's Alchemist was threatened with much direr penalties.
"t I'll bring thee, rogue, within
The statute of sorcery, tricesimo tertio
Of Ilarry the Eighth: and perhaps thy neck
Withio a noose, for launulering gold and barbing it."
And about good old Ben's time, three English rognes, who tried to take in the Emperor of Germany with the secret of the philosopher's stone, were sent across the bourne from which no alehemist returns.

But in no century would so slavish an imitator as this poor Pinter have justified recourse to harsh methods of stopping his career. He went to a jeweller, and he did not float a eompany. There is no public danger in such a poor rogue.

## TWO CHAPTERS IN BRUTALITY.

JUST seventy years ago, when William Hazlitt went down to Newbury to attend the fight between Bill Neate and the Gasman, this was what he saw in the twelfth round-
"The Gasman generatly stoorl with his back to me; but in the senfle he had changed positions, and Neate just then male a tremeudous lunge at him, and hit him full in tho face. It was doubtful whether he would fall backwards or forwards; he hung suspended for a minute or two, and then fell back, throwing his hands in the air, and with his face lifted up to the sky. I never saw anything more terrific than his aspuct just belore he fell. All traces of life, of natural expression, were gonc from him, His face was like a human skull, a expression, were gone frominn, The eyes were filled with blood, the death's head slonting blook. The eyes were filled with blood, the
nose streaned with blood, the month gaped blood. . . Yet he fought on after this for suveral rounds, still striking the first desperato blow
and it was not till the Gasman was so stunnel in the seventeenth or eighteenth round, that his senses for:sok him, and he conld not come to time, that the battle was declarel over."

Let the reader now decline his eyes upon this, from the Daily Tetegraph of Tuesday last. We
select the Daily Telegraph, because that paper, which is for ever talking of public morality and the attractiveness of pure journalism, may consistently be relied upon to furnish the mastiest details of any event, from adultery to prize-fighting. We cull our extract from an article occupying halt a column and lieaded "The Championship of England." The next column in the same day's issue contained a protracted account of a divorce ease.

Round 3 and last.-Smith, both of whose eyes were puffed, came slowly to the scratch, hut Pritchard was still fresh and well. His right eye was, however, darkenel, haring come in contact with the tloor when knocked down in the first rounil. No sooner did l'ritchard get withn range than he banged the left full insmith's face, making the latter stagger. A second blow of a similar character sent the big man half way across the ring, fairly dazing hiun. Following up the advantage, l'ritchard punched smith so heavily that that boxer fairly turned tail. As in the Jackson fight, he held by the ropes, and after heing knocked down twice, rose slowly, and turning his back on Pritchard, declined to face him. Hanging half out of the ring, he was fairly beaten, and at the end of two minutes Pritchard was proclaimed wiuner amidst tremendons excitement. Fxcept that the right eye was black, the winner was uninjured; but smith hald both his eyes Wackened and his cheeks puffed and cut considerably. By this victory Ted Pritchard assumes the title of Chaupion of Ergland.

With these two texts before us, a sermon would obviously be superfuons. But it is perlaps as well to point out that this big eraven, Smith this fellow who was knocked out of lieart in five minntes or so, the other day, by a black man, as Lord Salisbury would call Jackson-actually elaimed, and appears to have held, the title of "Champion of England." This big hulk who, in piping times of peace, seales close upon 17 stone, fights three rounds with a smaller man, and, in Round I, got the better of it, "looking very" vicions," "forcing the fighting." and dealing Pritchard a body-blow that lays him upon the floor for fully eight seconds. In Ronnd $\because$, he stands "p against this smaller man montil he is hit, and then he "makes several elutches at the ropes, and looks to have had quite enough of it." In Round 3, he "fairly turns tail" and "hangs half out of the ring," having a pair of black eyes and little else the inatter with him.

Now, if we are to have a prize-fight at all-which hardly seems necessary-we prefer the sort that Hazlitt witnessed. We would rather', let us frankly own, see Mr. Smith, "Champion of England," knocked senseless after eighteen rounds than clutching at the ropes in Round I, and turning tail in Round 2. Neate and Hickman are perhaps no very admirable figures, nor was either decorated with the imposing title of "Champion of England." But they at least had pluck. It was said of the defeated Hickman that if his hands were cut off he would still fight on with the stmups ; and he justified this opinion by holding out as long as he retained his senses. These two fought with the naked fists: Pritchand and Smith signed articles "to meet for endmance, and with small gloves." We wonder what price "Ted Pritchard," as the Daity Telegraphe so affectionately calls him, sets on the title he has won.

But we doubt if even Smith, "ex-champion," comes so badly out of this business as do the sporting papers and the Dail! Telegraph. Possibly the Daily Telegraph) is the worst. by reason of the cant which fills one side of its page, while the back is covered with brutality and uncleanness. Its Editor presmmably walks into Fleet Street now and then. Five minntes in front of the Sportsmum office, say at $1.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$, , will give him an idea of the sort of public to which he really appeals.

THE LATE MUSICAL SEASON.

GIVEN on Saturday for the fourth time, Otello was played that evening with important changes in the cast. The part of Otello was, as on previous oecasions, filled by M. Jean de Reszké. But M. Dufriche replaced M. Maurel as Iago, and Miss Eames appeared as Desdemona in lien of Mine. Albani. M. Duffiche has sung the part of

Iago with success at Turin and other Italian cities; and in this exacting part has made for hinself a reputation second only to that of M. Maurel. There can only be one view of the character of Desdemona. pure-minded, innocent, absolutely blameless: nor searcely more than one of the eharacter of Othello, amorous, passionate, aud wildly jealous. There are inlinite varietics, however, in wickedness: and Iago's character is made up of a good many different elements, one or more of which may be made to preponderate according to the conception, according also to the realising powers, of the actor. Iago accounts in varions ways for his own perversity. He hates Othello as a certain artistic and really intellectua! baritone lates all tenors because being, as a rulc, without brains and the possessors only of an exceptional quality of roice, they, nevertheless, obtain larger salaries than he does. Iago moderstands the art of war better than Othello, but is, nevertheless, his subordinate. Othello's simplicity awakens his contempt. But what chictly causes him to hate Othello is that Othello has, with or without adequate grounds, excited lago's jealonsy ; for which reason lago determines to excite in return a like jealousy on the part of Othello.

Boito, in modernising the personage of lago, bas made him a materialist and a scientist full of theories, speculations, and, worse still. convictions on the subject of "germs" and the vile origin of man. "I am a man and therefore a villain" is the essential point in the crodo which Verdi has set to such admirable music and which Maurel declaims in such masterly style. After seeing Manrel again and again in his wonderful impersonation, it is impossible to accept anyone else in place of him. Some slight defects in M. Maurel's performance have their origin in merits; the weak points spring from strong ones. He perhaps accentnates and poses a little too much. But on the other hand he has so carefully thought out the part in every detail, and has played it on so many occasions with perfect success, that he is absolutely sure of all his effects. "This is the way to do it," he seems constantly to be informing us. But as he really does know the way, a little conficlenee on his part is excusable. If he lays more stress on his own villainy, and proclaims himself more often and more openly a villain than do our Shakespearian Iagos, it must be remembered that the Iago he is representing is the modernised Jago of Boito.

In M. Dufriehe's Iago there is less of the cynic and more of the sneak than in the incomparable lago of Manrel. Nothing conld be more satisfactory than M. Dufriche's singing of the difficoult music ; diffienlt becanse it demands so much intelligence and expression on the part of the vocalist. The Desdemona of Miss Eames is a charming impersonation. With youth, beanty, grace, and a clear, fresh voice, the young vocalist could scarcely fail to be interesting; and in the last act she was, moreover, pathetic.

The last week of the musical season was marked by several interesting concerts, chiefly of the operatic kind. Normust the concluding concert of the Richter series be forgotten, with Professor Villiers Stanford's ehoral hallad, "The Battle of the Baltic," as its distinguishing feature. The subjeet is treated in the now well-known style which this composer may be said to have invented; and the work is at once descriptive and, in the deeper sense of the word, dramatic. Finely sung, it proved thoronghly effective. It was muels applauded, and the composer' was honomred with a special "call."

At Mme. Liebhart's concert, two pupils of the concert-giver, Miss Schidrowitz and Miss Lma Zagury, made their first appearance in public. Miss Schilrowitz sang with all the requisite lightness and brightness the Waltz from Gounod's Romeo and Juliet. This young lady ought to sing in opera, where, in spite of innumerable competitors, she would make her mark. Miss Kagury sang with
expression and feeling a song by Heyer Helmind on that familiar theme "Ich liebe dich." Mme. de Swiatlowsky, a Russian singer with a voice like Trebelli, sang very charmingly a Russian lullaby composed by Reichel, to a tender little poem of Lermontoff, which, allied to popular music of native growth, is known to every child in Russia. The song was accompanied by Mr. Wilfred Bendall, and with some appropriateness ; lor, in addition to his merits as pianist and composer, Mr. Bendall is a Russian scholar. The concert began with the "Adagio from Mendelssohn's'Trio in C minor," playerl by Mr. Wilhelm Ganz, Mr. Johannes Wolff, and Mr. Hollmann; and among the vocalists and instrumentalists who contributed to it were Mme. Nordica, Mr. Charles Ganz, Mr. Oudin, Mr. Ben Davies, Mr. Hugh Carlyle, and Mlle. Janotha.

The last musical "at home" given at the Grosvenor Club was as interesting as these entertainments usually are. Madame Katherine van Aruliem sang Chimene's air from the Cid of Massenet as dramatically as, quite in another style, she sang Beethoven's "Ah Perfido" at a recent Richter concert; and took part with Mr. G. E. Holmes, a new American baritone of considerable merit, in Massenet's beautiful duet, "Poème d'Amour." Miss Dorothea Dudgeon, singing for the first time in public, made a most favourable impression, first in the air from Robert le Diable, "Robert, toi que j'aime," and afterwards in a piece much more suitable to a concert, Comez's Mia Piccirclla. This also is an excerpt from an opera, but it is of no particular dramatic interest, and as i vocal piece stands by itself. Miss Dorothea Dudgeon sang it very brightly, and with just the degree of tenderness required.

Miss Inez Molyneux, a third soprano, singing the first time in England after a brief experience in Italy, gives the heroine's air from Verdi's Aida, again a piece which scarcely bears being detached from its surroundings. This young lady has certainly dramatic talent, and is more likely therefore to succeed on the stage than in the concert-room. Her second piece was Rubinstein's setting of Heine's Du bist wic cine Blume; which in the inane English version of the song becomes "O fair and sweet and holy." The orchestra played, among other pieces, the singularly beautiful intermezzo from Mascagni's Cacalloria Rusticano, which, in the original tro-act form of the opera, did duty as cutracte. Strange that this work, which throughout Germany and Austria has been received with as much enthusiasm as in Italy itself, should not yet have been heard either in England or in France-though the subrentioned opera-houses of Paris are, it is true, bound by formal stipulations to confine themselves to works specially written for them.

Finally, one of the most interesting concerts of the season. the last which for some time is likely to be heard at St. James's Hall, was the concert given by Mme. Zoé Caryll, an excellent pianist, who, as on previons occasions, was assisted by several of the leading vocalists of the Royal Italian Opera, with Mms. Albani and M. Edonard de Reszké among them. Mr. Barton McGuckin, Mr. Eugene Oudin, Mr. Johannes Wolff, and Mr. Joseph Hollmann were also among the company; and there was a new prima donna (need it be said that she comes from America?) who sang with sufficient fluency and brilliancy to gain an encore for the Shadow song from Dinorah. The name of this lady is Clementine de Vere. Like so many of her lyrical eompatriots, she would certainly succeed in opera. Three new songs were introduced with snccess: Mr. Ivan Caryll's "I would I were a rose," by Mr. Eugene Oudin; M. de Nevers's "Amore in Gondola," by M. Edouard de Reszke (accompaniment played by the distinguished composer); and Bottesini's "Si j"étais Roi," translated from Victor Hugo, as the programme informs us, "hy the late Lord Chief Justice."

## TIIE DRRAMA.

IT was a thought of the Emperor Mareus Aurelins An touimus that "at first tragedies were brought on the stage as a means of reminding men of the things which happen to them, and that it is aceording to nature for things to happen so, and that, if you are delighted with what is shown on the stage, you should not be troubled with that which takes plaee on the larger stage." These words have often been meat and drink to me when bidden to sit ont a melocrama. It is true that the Emperor spoke then of tragedy, but the greater inchudes the less. And it was his misfortume, not his fault, that melodrama had not $y \in t$ been invented. If it had been, he would have found in it, no doubt, what I find-a useful exercise in Stoic philosophy. When I hear the cosmopolitan villain hissing his contempt for " you En-n-glish!" throngh his teeth, 1 recognise that he is only Fata phusin, according to nature, and, when lie is ultimately killed in the tumel by a canras locomotive, my delight with what is shown on the stage teaches me not to be tronbled with what takes place on the larger stage - e.g., with my misfortune in just missing the last ommibus. "For you see," continues the Stoic philosopher", "that these things must be accomplished thus, and that even they bear them who ary ont, 0 , Citheron!'" On the melodramatic stage they do not cry out, "O, Citheron" so much as "Oh, scissors!" or, "Oh, what a surprise!" but the moral is tho same. In a good melodrama you see that these things minst be accomplished thus.

And that is why Fate and Fortune, by Mr. James J. Blood, produced this week at the Prineess's, is not, to my mind, a good melodrama. His plot is not eonvincing. He does not make me feel that these things must be accomplished thus. I wish he, and, for that matter, all his fellows, eould be persuaded to turn to and read up Aristotle's " l'octics." The original is rather tough, but eribs are cheap. Even better than the common or Holywell Street crib is an excellent little lecture on the "Poctics" by Mr. Prickard, of New, which has just been published by Messrs. Macmillan. It is a commonplace of the subject that Aristotle's treatise, though in form confined to Epic. Tragedy, and other high matters, is really a practical mannal for the melodramatist. With a praetical manual to hand, why don't our melodmamatists use it? It is no use railing at melodrama. So long as there is a publie to read about eleetrocntions, so long as there is a crowd to gloat over a street accident, so long will melodrama be with us-that is to say, for ever. There must always be an ontlet for what M. Zola calls la bome grosse sottise mubtique. But, if we can't end it, let us see that the melodramatiots mend it. Let them study Aristotle, or Mr. Prickatd's commentary, and thence learn the all-importance of plot.

Had Mr. Blcod, for instance, been more of a clerk in Aristotle. I am sure he wonld never have been satisfied with the third act of his Fate ond Fortune. He starts well enongh. His eosmopolitan vilhain murders one gentleman by hurling him from the tower of a ruined abbey. Crood. The villain then manages to get the good young man deteeted in what appears to be flat burglary: Good again. I feel, with the Stoic, that these things must be accomplished thas. But in the third act the villain suddenly flags in his villainy; he ceases, as the rowing men say, to put his back into it. We are tohn, to be sure, that he is laying a trap tor the forlorn heroine. But the herome is untrapped behind the scenes, Where the villain remains churing the whole of the act-a grave lault, for a villain who is not seen in melodrama practically does not exist. And Mr. Blood’s plot sins not only in defect but in excess. It has loose ends, which the author forgets to pick up. For example, take that little matter of the good young man and the apparent burglary. The villain has been beforehand with the good young man in opening the iron salle. He bas stained his fingers in red
ink in opening it, has wiped them with blottingpaper, and thrown the crimson-stained fragments on the floor. "What is this?" says the detective, when his lynx-eye subsequently detects the blottingpaper. "Blood"? No, red ink!" Of course you immediately fasten upon the red-jnk stain as a clue; you feel sure that the detective is going to remember it till he finds mote ink on the villain's hands, and? then-in fact, you anticipate one ol the most exeiting chapters in the plot from that red ink. But the author disappoints you. He introduees the red ink business at one moment only to chop it the next. It is a false scent. Another fimult in Mr. Blood's plot is its lavish employment of eoincidence. I can believe, at a pinch, that the heavy father, the forlorn heroine, the cosmopolitan villain, the heroine's foster-mother, the comic policeman, and a ticket-of-leare burglar might all happen to visit the same ruined abbey at the same moment. But that the ticket-of-leare burglar should be (Mr. W. S. Gilbert has made the word classieal) burgling a house on the comic policeman's beat just as the heary father is outside and the cosmopolitan villain, insulting the forlorn heroine, is inside, strikes me, in the vocabulary of Tranby Croft, as a little "too hot." I do not feel that these things must be accomplished thus.

Once more I would entreat Mr. Blood to study Aristotle's "Poeties." Not only will he there learn to better his plots, but to simplify his diction. It is time that villains ceased from asking beroines if they love "another," and good young men from soliloquising about the villain's "ulterior motives." Langnage of this kind is not Kala phusin, aceording to nature. The cast at the Princess's is headed by established favourites like Mr. W. L. Abingdon (who, I think, has borrowed Mr. Willard's famons melodramatic hat), Mr: George Barrett, and Miss Sallie Turner. Two gentlemen whose names are new to me, Mr. Henry Bedford and Mr. Huntley Wright, give clever studies of Coekney low-lile.

We have all heard of the sage who said that there are only forty good stories in the world. thirty-nine of which camot be tok to ladies. The story of M. Boucheron's Miss IIelyett (music by M. Auclran) seems to have been one of the thirty-nine. Miss Decima, the version whieh Mr. Bmmanil has made for the Criterion, is decent enongh and dull. An English maiclen tumbles headlong rlown a Swiss monntain-side, and her father, a traet-distributing parson, tells her she must marry the man who pieked her up). See an aneclote (ynoted by Mr. Burnand) of Miss Mowcher. Mlle. Nesville, from Brussels, aets brightly, and warbles pleasantly in the part of the modest maiden. and Mr. David James is fairly amosing as the parson. A fandanyo danced by Nise Tictor as an elderly but frolicsome Cammen is perhaps the funniest thing in the pitee. The nest is naught.
A. 13. W.

## THE WEEK.

M. Jules Clafetie, in a letter to the Athenemum, quotes a story from the recently published " Atemoirs of Baron de Marbot," of his diace having been thrmed black by the wind of a cammon ball at the battle of Eylau. How is this experience of the French soblier to be reconeiled with the tustimony of a British sailor? Sheffieto, afterwards Duke of Buckinghansinfe, who served as a haval voluuteer in the Dutch war of IG7as, says, in a letter quoted in Jomssox"s"Lives of the Poets": "- I have observed two things which I dare aflirm, though not generally believel. One was that the wind of a cannon bullet, thongh lying never so near, is incapable of doing the least harm; and. indeed. were it otherwise, no man above deck would escape."

Tife Baron de Maribot, however, may be right: not so M. Maxime nu Cand, who in his recent "Life
of Théophile Gantier," attributes the execntion of Raleigh to "celle que l'Angleterre nomme encore la grande Élisabeth;" an epithet bestowed uron her, indeed, by no less a person than Lorn Tennyson, who conld have known, as M. nu Canir does, that Ralemis's last words were pronomneed "avant d'aller s'agenoniller sur l'échafanl que son ancieme mâtresse avait fait dresser pour lui."

Miss Jessie Fothergill, the well-known novelist, died at Berne on Tuesday. She lad been in rather delicate health for a year or two, but was not thonght to he serionsly ill, and the news of her death is quite mexpected. After interesting herself in the arrangements for the recent literary larlies' dimmer, she went to Switzerland, and, in the company of a German friend, was passing the time very pleasantly until she visited Berne to consult a doctor, and there died. Miss Fothergili, was a descendant of the well-known Quaker family of that name. Her mother was a sister of Dr. Coultate, of Bumley, another of whose sisters married the late Mr. Thomas Brigilt, brother of the Tribune. Miss Fotinergill was born in Manchester, but after her father's death the family removed to a little house on Blackstone Edge, on the Yorkshire border, between Rochdale and Halifax. There she picked up the local knowledge which is the chief charm of most of her novels. "The First Violin" is her best-known work. Her early death-she was not yet forty-is deplored by a host of friends in Lancashire.

The sum of $£ 7,500$, asked for the 1,743 letters that Goethe wrote to Frau von Stein-something like four guineas per epistle-is surely a preposterous price. Lorn Randolph Churchill gets a good deal more for his letters, bat then a living-lord is better than a dead lion.

Someizony seems to have made a dead-set against om popular novelists. Mr. Kipling was laid on his deathbed, and Mr. Boldrewood killed ontright. The latter, like the former, is well and hearty, and Messrs. MacmhaiAN will shortly issue two more novels by him, "Nevermore" and "A Sydney Side Saxon."

The next volume of the Clarendon Press "Rulers of India" will be Sir Oliyer Tunor's "Clyde and Strathmairm." "Lord Canning" will be by Sir Henry L. Cunninghame.

We are rery glad to see that Messrs. J. M. Dent \& Co. are about to issue George Darley's lyrical drama "Sylvia; or, the May Queen," so mneh admired by Lorn Tennyson. The same publishers are about to issue, in nine volumes, edited by Dr. Garnett, "The Novels and Tales of Thomas Love Peacock." Why not issue a complete edition of Dafler's works also" Itis other dramas, "Ethelstan," "Thomas à Beekett"-better," than Terrrsos's - and "The Ervors of Ecstasie." are all as interesting as "Sylvia." The opening of "The Errors of Eestasie," with its magnificent blank verse, is quite unforgettable.

Darley is one of the best of the modern Elizabethans. His "Ryghte Pythie Songe," publisherl in Archbishor' Trench's "Household Book of English Poetry," might have been written by Lity in his most natmial mood, one verse especially-

> "Tell me not of your starrie eyes, Your lippes that seeme on roses fedde, Your breaste where ("upid trembling lies, Nor sleepes for kissing of his luedde."

This song also appears in a memorial volume of poems printed for private circulation. In the "Memoir"

If housekeepers are in earnest in wishing to benefit the unemployed in Esst
London, they shonld buy Bryast s May's Matches, and refuse the foreign inatches which are depriviug the workers in East London of a harge amount in weekly wages.
prefixed to that volume the witer accepts the verdict of an eminent London publisher that it is impossible "to revive a reputation which has declined from natural eanses." Perhaps the verdict in the case of George Dabley may be reversed; his reputation may be revived by natural causes-the high qualities of his poetry.

A French listorian, M. Debidour, who has hitherto preferred with indifferent success to treat of military matters, has at length found his proper subject in diplomacy. The two volumes of his "Diplomatic History of Emrope from the Congress of Viema to the Congress of Berlin" (Alcas) are but a sample of the great work to which he intends to devote his life. It is a formidable task M. Derinour lias undertaken, for the sample contains an overwhelming mass of facts, dates, analyses of instructions to ambassadors, of conferences and treaties, with character sketches, criticisms, and generalisations-all, however, arranged with an amazing skill, which proves M. Denmour master of his subject. This will in all likelihood become a standard work.
M. Rousse's view of Mirabeau in his monograph in Messrs. Hachette's "French Men of Letters" series is pretty like Carlyle's-which, by the way, is not the generally accepted one in France, where Mirabeau's political career* is regarderl as being almost as indefensible as his private inmorality. M. Rousse defends him, however; and points out the sophistry of the emrent saying, "Minaread is the Revolution," to use an epigram as a postulate or an axiom being an abuse of thought. Miraibeau, he contends, as Cablyle does, stood above the Revolution and dominated it as long as he lived; he wanted a monarehy-with himself as Ninister, of course.

## IN THE MARNE-IS70 AND 189I.

$\mathrm{I}^{1}$T was on a Sunday that I passed through ChîteanThierry on the march westward in 1570 , and it was Sunday when I revisited the little burgh of the Merovingian king. It is a pleasantly sitnated town, on the banks of the Marne, with between 7,000 and 8,000 inhabitants, and the remains of the castle of Thierry IV. orerhanging the river, forming an agreeable promenade. Again I found an atmosphere of war surrounding me, an artillery regiment having apparently taken possession of the place.

The disty cannoneers did not look quite so martial as the commades of twenty-one years ago, who had fought eight pitched battles and lost 80,000 men; but they had a tongh, serviceable air, and I daresay conld give a good account of themselves if called upon to show their prowess. When erossing the bridge, one of these men belonging to a fatigue party got into difficulties orer a bundle of hay, for which he was sonndly rated by his superior. I sought the Mairic where we had had a remarkable altercation with a young sous-prefet about the requisition of horses.

Being occupied by the soldiery, I did not ventme to intrude with wy reminiscences, which in these days of spy-mania might have been badly received, although your Frenchman-even when an officialis rarely found to bear malice. So I faced rightabout, and was content to call 11 ) the scene once more which ended in our walking off with four fine carthorses; that drew bitter tears from their owner, as he never expected to see them again. The worthy farmer made the Emperor responsible for all his tronbles, adding that Napoleon had done nothing for agriculture.

It was here, too, that an old lady with whom I got into conversation asked, "Et nos soldats! oi sont-ils?" On September 1Sth the news of the surrender of Sedan had scarcely been brought home
to the reople. A considerable proportion of the inhabitants of Chatean-Thierry seemed to be spending the forenoon in the gentle art of fishing. Chureh was got over at an early hour; in the vieinity of that rather characterless edifice a baptismal party was on the way to the font, the younger members of the family scattering comfits to be scrambled for by the street children. Chattean-Thierry is the native pace of La Fontaine, to whom an indifferent momument is erected. But it is a wellkept town, and the spacious avenue, continuation of the road from Reims, rivals that of Versailles. That signboard, which after dark is lighted, informs the wayfarer of the direction to the station and the town. The llotel de Ia Gare provided good accommodation at a cheap rate. English travellers are rare, but the daughter of the proprietors harl married a chef who directs the kitchen of the Midland Hotel at Bradford.

She was said to like the new conntry, but to miss the sun-that hminary having only once shown face in the course of two months.

Taking train for Reims, I arrived in time for afternoon catechism in that imposing eatherhal. It was not without emotion that I entered this noble pile which has witnessed so many striking events in history-the coronation of Charles $\mathbf{X}$. ; the trimmph of the Maid of Orleans; and, in the basiliea which occupied the place of the 13th century chmeh, saw the baptism of Cloris.

But it was not these historical scenes which affected me, but the thought of all I had seen in these walls in the days when the legions of Gemmany paid reverend homage there. Past and mesent seem here strangely minglerl-Ciesar, Charlemagne, and William of Prussia. Lesser but more vivid figures crowded the canras of mental vision-the dashing cavalry volunteer who had left his business of stocks at Antwerp to fight for king and eomntry"; smart Hugo von Kober, who had gone through the storm of St. Privat with his Queen Elizabethers unseathed: and the gay Wiurtemberg lientenant, with face riddled by schläger euts.

Had these light-hearted campaigners returned to tell the tale of the army of the Loire, the capture of the Bourget, and the defence of Champigny? With sneh thoughts flitting through the mind one has not much care for the details of that most exquisite of Gothic chmehes. The portal is indeed smblime; the flying buttresses are in truth a marvel of the builder's art; and the pictorial representations, on tapestry and in painting, of the history of the first Christian Frankish king are not withont grandeur: but it was the personal equation which here possessed me.

I paid a risit to the Hotel de Ville, where a yomng Prossian warrior had hectored Champagne Iords scated at a table in hmmble submission to the hemeterl tyiant, who at the least show of opposition made his sword half leap from the scabbard. The man in possession to-day knew nothing of those strange doings, and stared at his questioner as at one boin ont of due time. Ciesar's arch, a proud memorial of Roman trimmphs, is more jealonsly guarded than twenty-one years ago. It was here, at Durocortorum, that the anthor ol the Commentaries brought back his cohorts from the porsuit of Ambiorix.

But, far from sharing these martial inspirations, the rlouce citizens of modern Reims were ammsing themselves in dancing gardens, or indulging in the pleasures of nature in a horticultural show. From the prevalence of German spoken, I shonld juige that considerable numbers of Alsatians had emigrated hither since the war, and this, I was told, is the case. At dimer in the hotel conversation fell on the events of the invasion, withont any apparent canse. The map of Enrope was reconstructed afresh, the knife-and-fork politicians made havoe with powers and principalities.

I paid a flying risit to the Camp of Chatlons, where 10,000 men were under canvas, being drilled from early morn. Not having the pretension of inventing gimpowder-smokeless or otherwise-I camot pre-
sume to speak as to the value of the mimic warfare gone through there. It is a place given up to the military, and the civilian is made to feel that he is not in it. Foreigners are not allowed to visit the camp, according to the regulations; but the formation of the comntry makes secrecy an impossibility. Troops of all arms are here, and a sehool for mounted infantry is opened. Next month there will be manorurres on a large scale.

I retumed to Paris by Chatons-smr-Marne, a decaying-Jooking town of 20,000 inlabitants. During the hours of noon, it seemed to be given mp entirely to repose. I went out beyond the gates on the high road to Bar-le-Duc and Naney and strained my ejes to eatch sight of a living ereature. During the course of nearly an hours ramble, I only perceived one Imman being, a Jittle boy with a wheelbanow acting as scavenger. He stopped to ask if 1 could not find him work of any kind. He was a dirty little boy, this child of the Marne, as he stood rolling the copper I gave him with his road refuse; but he had a nice face and wistfinl eyes, and for once I regretted not being an employer of labom.

RUSSIA'S WELCOME TO KING ALENANDER.

## (From a Serbian Correspondent.)

ALEXANDER I., King of Serbia, will be fifteen years old on the 14th of August. That cannot in any way explain the enthusiastic reception that Russia is preparing for the young king. From the never-ending reports of the Rnssian press, it would almost seem that Court and country are striving to outrie each other in the magnificent heartiness of their welcome to the youthful sovereign of one of the smallest States in Enrope.

Certainly not the semi-Russian nationality of Queen Nathalie-pro-Rnssian as she was, and is in heart-still less the Serbo-Rommanian parentage of ex-King llilan, explain satisfactorily the intense delight with which Russians of all elasses seem prepared to greet the Serbian King.

Queen Nathalie has doubtless many admirers and sympathisers in orthodox Russia -and nothing can be more natural, seeing how strictly orthodox she is, how beantiful she is, and how minappy she must be, divorced from her husband, driven from her home, and separated from her only child.

But if Queen Nathalie has many sympathetie admirers in Russia, they could not-if they wonldprepare such an exceedingly royal welcome for her son. And certainly Alexander $\dot{I}$. of Serbia will not be welcomed for the sake of his father, ex-King Milan. The Panslavist's hatred of King Milan is so intense that it is almost a marvel his son can be tolerated, much less welcomed, in the great stronghold of Panslavism.

Therefore we say that not the simple visit of the young King of Serbia, but the trimmph of the great I'anslavonic idea, has excited this extravagance of jubilation among the orthodos subjects of the great Tzar.

Alexander of Serbia represents to them, at this moment, the faint dawn of the breaking day of Panslavonic trimmph-a ray long hoped for, long worked for, long waited for? -a day whose glorions light they have long seen loy the eye of faith. and now expect soon to see in all the superb strength of its midday sum.

The shouts of weleome which greet Alexander of Serbia will be but "outward and visible signs" of Russia's belief in the speedy and complete trimmph of the great Panslayonic cause.

The homage paid by the independent Slavonie kinglom of Serbia in the person of its king, when he goes-accompanied by the First Regent and statesman of Serbia and by the chief of the Serbian Cabinet. who is, at the same time, ehief of the strongest political party in the land-to visit his "godffather," the miglaty "Tzar of all the Slars," will
be hailed as the first-fruits of the eoming harvest of diplomatie suceess.

It would be a great mistake to imagine that the Russians are so enthusiastic because they are pleased to parade before their French friends the small kingdom of Serbia as their new ally. The Trar and his adrisers may have lost all sense of justice in their dealings with the Jews, but they are keenly alive to all things that touch the dignity of the empine. Now it would be supremely ridiculous to place against the picture of the powerful "Triple Alliance"-backed by the munestionable sympathies of Great Britainthe "pendant" of the Franco-Russian Alliance, backed by-Serbia!

All the impressions which the fraternisation of Russians, French, and Serbians at the Freneh Exhibition in Moseow, and at the review of the Freneh fleet at Cronstadt, may make upon foreign Cabinets -or on the more impressionable representatives of the European press-are of very accessory and secondary importance to Russia. The kingdom of Serbia has been brought to do homage to the Tzar in order to show to the whole world that the bonds which bind it to the orthodox Russian Empire are of a more intimate nature than those of simple international courtesy which bind it to other great Powers; and there can be no doubt that the Serbian amm is as ready to mareh at the Tzar's eommand as that Serbia's present foreign poliey is subservient to Russia's foreign poliey.

In Serbia this state of things surprises no one. It was looked forward to as inevitable from the day when King Milan deelared himself tired ont with trying to guide his subjects against their will on a road which did not lead to Moscow. It was foreseen from the moment Mr. Ristich took the position of First Regent, and the Radieal party assumed the reins of Government, backed by an overwhelming majority in the Assembly. It was foreseen from the moment Archbishop Michael returned from Russia, and declared that it would be necessary to give the Tzar pledges and guarantees that Serbia would not again slip into the ways of the magodly. It was foreseen by all the Powers well acquainted with the leading men and present circumstances of Serbia. Only their knowledge of the inclined surface on which Serbia stood can explain the momentons action of certain great Powers who advised the Sultan to permit the Bulgarian bishops to go into Macedonia.

The significance of Russia's demonstrative welcome of the young king would be more apparent were it known at whose initiative the journey was undertaken. Clearly it could not be at that of the boy limself; certainly it was not at the suggestion of his father, the anti-Russian Milan. Opposition papers in Serbia say it was by the advice of FirstRegent Ristich, whose devotion to Russia had been recently questioned because of his conduct to Queen Nathalie and his cuarrel with Arehbishop Michael.
M. Ristich, it is said, felt it to be of immediate and paramonnt importance to justify himself personally to the Tzar, and saw no better opportunity of doing this than accompanying the young king on his visit to his Imperial godfather.

The varying statements of the papers of the Government have merely served to mystify the people as to the true reason of this jommey at this time. They declarerl, at first, that it was "made in the economie interests of the country," and threw out hints that afterwards Russia would intervene with the Paris and Berlin bankers to assure a speedy and favourable conversion of the Serbian national debt. Later on they offered another explanation of the journey (one which had better have been sup-pressed)-"in the interests of the young king's education it was desirable lue shonld see the French Exhibition in Moscow ": Eren the simplest of the Serbian peasants smiled at this, and wondered so skilful a Government could find no better plea to justify the visit of the Serbian king to the Tzar"the head of all the Slavs."

Those who are best in a position to know, beliere that Russian diplomacy insisted that the Serbian Regency and Govermment must give certain pledges for their performance of their engagements and their good faith in the future. It was skilfully insinuated that M. Ristich especially should lose no time in reassuring public opinion in Russia, which had been somewhat seriously disturbed by his recent problematic eonduct.

It is monestionable that the yomm Iing's visit of homage to the Tzar is very popular in Serbia. It is a farourite formula to say that five-sixths of the Serbians are Radieals; this is not quite true, but it is quite true that live-sixths of the population believe in the ommipotence and good faith of Russia. When I say " good faith of Russia," I mean that all Serbians -exeept the Progressists-believe implicitly that Russia is going to fight Austria simply and only to force her to cede Bosnia and Hertzegovina to Serbia, so that it may become a strong, as well as independent, national State. And they beliere that Russia will do this out of pure and disinterested goodwill!

Very few of these Serbs who so enthusiastically wished their Joung King "God-speed!" on this journey have any clear idea of its true object or its probable politieal consequences.

A Serbian statesman, whose prognostications have sometimes been singularly correct, said recently, in reply to a question as to the possible results of this visit of King Alexander to the Tzar--"The pilgrimage of the Serbian leaders to Russia at this juncture and under these circomstances means the creation of a great Bulgaria by Europe, and the eventual oceupation of Serbia by Austrian soldiers.'

This time it is to be hoped that the statesman's prophecy will not be fulfilled. It is far more pleasant to hope that the simpler hospitalities of Ischl will do away with any undue glamour the splendid hospitalities of Russia may east over the young imagination of the boy-ling ; and that Serbia, at no very distant day, may be an esteemed and ralued member of the Balkan Confederation.
E. L. M.

## THE GOLDEN WEDDING.

OT the very spot whieh the railway station has usurped with its long slate roof, wooden signalbox and advertisements in blue and white enamel, I can reeall a still pool shining between beds of the flowering rush; and to this day, as I wait for the train, the whir of a ranished water-wheel comes up the valley. Sometimes I have caught myself gazing along the curre of the narrow-gauge in full expectation to see a sagged and liehen-covered roof at the end of it. And sometimes, of late, it has occurred to me that there never was such a mill as I used to know, down yonder; and that the miller, whose coat was always powdered so fragrantly, was but a white ghost after all. The station-master and porters remember no such person.

But he was no ghost: for I have met hin again, this week, and upon the station platform. I had started at daybreak to fish up the stream that rums down the valley in curres roughly parallel to the railway embankment: and coming within sight of the station, a little before noon, I put mp my tackle and strolled towards the booking-olfiee. The water was much too fine for sport, and it seemed more amusing to smoke a pipe and wait for the 12.26 train to take me home.

I leant my rod against the wall, set down my creel, and was entering the booking-office when, glaneing down the platform, I saw an old man seated on the furthest bench. Ererybody knows how a passing event, or impression, sometimes appears but a vain echo of previous experience. Something in the lines of this old man's figure, as he leaned forward with both hands clasped upon his staff, gave me the sensation as I stepped in to
take my ticket. "All this has happened before," I told myself. "ILe and I are playing over again some small and futile scene in our past lives. I wonder who he is and what is the use of it."

But there was something wanting in the pieture to complete its resemblance to the scene for which I searched my memory. I returned to the doorway and looked again.

The man had bent further forward and was resting his chin on his hands and staring apathetically across the rails. Suddenly it dawned on me that there ought to be another figure on the bench - the figure ol an old woman : and my menory ran back to the day after this milway was opened, when this man and his wife lad sat together on the platform waiting to see the train come in-that fascinating monster whose adrent had blotted ont the very foundations of the old mill and driven its ocenpants to a strange home.

The mill had disappeared many months before, but the white dust still hung in the ereases of the miller's elothes. He wore his Sunday hat and the Sunday polish on his shoes: and his wife was arrayed in her best Paisley shawl. She carried also a bunch of cottage flowers, withering in her large hot hand. It was clear they had never seen a locomotive before and wished to show it all respect. They had taken a smaller honse in the next valley, where they attempted to live on their savings ; and had been trying vainly and pitifully to struggle with all the little liabits that had been their life for thirty-five years, and to adapt them to new quarters. Their faces were weary, but flushed with expectation. The man kept looking up the line and declaring that he heard the rumble of the engine in the distance; and whenever he said this, his wife pulled the shawl more primly about her shoulders, straightened her back, and nervously rearranged her posy.

When at length the whistle sereamed out, at the head of the vale, I thonght they were going to tumble off the bench. The woman went white to the lips and stole her disengaged hand into her husband's.
"Startlin' at first, hey?" he said, bravely winning back his composure: "but'tis wumnerful what control the driver has, they tell me. They only employ the cleverest men

A rattle and roar drowned the rest of his words, and he blinked and leant baek, holding the woman's hand and tapping it softly as the engine rusherl down with a blast of white vapour hissing under its fore wheels, and the earriages clanked upon each other, and the whole train came to a standstill before us.

The station-master and porter walked down the line of carriages bawling ont the name of the station. The driver leaned ont over his rail, and the guard, standing by the door of his van, with a green flag under his arm, looked enquiringly at me and at the old couple on the bench. But I had only strolled up to have a look at the new train, and meant to resume my fishing as soon as it had passed. And the miller sat still, holding his wife's hand.

They were staring with all their eyes-not resentfully, though face to face with the enemy that had laid waste their habitation and swept all comfort ont of their lives, but with a simple awe. Manifestly, too, they expected something more to happen. I saw the old woman searching the incurious features of the few passengers: and I thought her own features expressed some disappointment.
"This," observed the guard scomfully, pulling out his watch as he spoke, " is what you eall traffie in these parts."

The station-master was abashed and fored a deprecatory langh. The guard - who was an upcombtry man -treated this laugh with contempt and blew his whistle shaply. The driver answered, and the train moved on.

I was gazing after it when a woeful exclanation drew my attention back to the bench.
"Why, 'tis gone!"
"Gone?" echoed the miller's wife. "Of course 'tis gone; and of all the dilly-dallyin' men I must say, John, you'm the dilly-dalliest. Why didn' you say we wanted to ride?"
"I thonght, maybe, they'l have axed us. 'Twouldn' ha' been polite to thrust oursel's forrard, if they didn' want our company. Besides, I thought they'd be here for a brase while
"Yon was always a man of excuses. Youknew I'd set my heart 'pon this little feat."

I had left them to patch up their little quarrel. But the scene stuck in my memory, and now, as I walked down the platform towards the single figure on the bench I wondered, amusedly, if the woman had at length taken the ride alone and if the proerastinating husband sat here to welcome her back.

As I drew near, I took note of his clothes for the first time. There was no white dust in the ereases today. In fact he wore the workhouse suit.

I sat down beside him and asked if he remembered a certain small boy who had used to draw dace ont of his mill-poncl. With some difficulty he recalled my features; and by degrees let out the story of his life during the last ten years.

He and his wife had fought along in their new house, hiding their discomfort from each other and abiding the slow degrees by which their dwelling should change into a home. But before that change was worked, the woman fell moler a paralytic stroke, and their savings, on which they had just contrived to live, threatened to be swallowed up by the doctor's bill. After considering long, the miller wrote off to his only son, a mechanic in the Plymonth clockyard, and explained the case. This son was a man of forty, or thereabouts, was married, and had a long family. He could not afford to take the invalid into his honse for nothing; but his daughters would look after their grandmother and she shonld have good medical care as well, if she came on a small allowance.
"So the only thing to be done, sir, was for my old woman to go."
"And you--?
"Oh, I went into the House. You see there wasn' enough for both, livin' apart."

I stared clown the line to the spot where the millwheel had hummed so pleasantly, and the compassionate sentence I was about to utter withered up and clied on my lips.
"But to-day Oh, to day, sir-_"
"What's happening to-clay :",
"She's comin" down to see me for an hour or two ; an' I've got a holiday, to meet her. "Tis our gohlen weddin', sir."
"But why are you meeting her at this station, instead of Tregarrick? She can't walk and you have no horse and trap; whereas there's always a 'bus at Tregarrick."
" Well, yon see, sir, there's a very tidy little cottage below where they sell gingerbeer, an' I've got a whack o' vittles in the basket here, besides what William is bringin'-William an' his wife are comin' down with her. They'll take her back by the last train up; an' I thought, as 'twas so little a while, an' the benches here are so comfortable, we'd pass onr day 'pon the platform here. 'Tis within sight 0 ' the old home, too, or ruther o' the spot where the old home used to be: an' though 'tis little notice she seems to take o' things, one never can tell if poor creatures in that state bain't pleased behind all their dazed looks. What do you think, siv"?"

The whistle somblel, up the valley, and mercifully prevented $m y$ answer. I saw the woman, for an instant, as she was brought ont of the train and carried to the bench. She did not recognise the man she had maried fifty years before: but as we moved out of the station, he was sitting beside her, his face transfigured with a solemn joy.

## A LITERARY CAUSERIE.

The Speater Office,
Friday, July 31st, 1891,

IMUST apologise for siguing my name in full at the end of these few remarks upon Mr. WV. D. Howells' new book, "Critieism and Fiction" (Osgood, Mcllvaine and Co.): for I camot suppose that the signatnre will mean anything to Mr. Howells, while to a few prople it will mean that a young man is standing up to eriticise an elder-an attempt which has been nopopular since the time of Elisha and the two she-bears. My excuse is that Mr. Howells dislikes anonymons criticism.

For the remarks themselves no excuse need be offered : for a writer who has newly left the starting point and is reasonably uncertain about the race (to use a phrase of Mr. Nowells') may be allowed to ask some questions of his seniors when they theorise, though he should content himself with respectinlly saluting their actual work. I was already eurious about novel-writing when, in undergraduate days, "The Lady of the Aroostook" fell into my hands. The story dealt (as everybody knows) with two young Americans who kept congratulating each other, thronghout a tedions royage across the Atlantie in a sailing ship, that they really were behaving like gentlemen in offering no insults to a third passenger - an unescorted young lady called Blood. This study of self-restraint impressed me as the work of a man who held a theory, and I set myself the task of reading all his books in order to express this theory from them: for the great masters, as a rule, are so reticent about their methods that I hardly even hoped to get at his secret by any other means.

But Mr. Mowells is a blessed exception to this rule of reticence. Of course J began to read Harper's Magazine persistently, and there I soon found, not only that Mr. Howells had a theory, but that he was willing, and even anxions, to give it to the world. Mr. Hemry James las somewhere a remark to the elfect that an artist's friend will do well to pray for him when he leaves the firm ground of his art to cross the slough of theorising. Undonbtedly the step is perilons; and has led to the soiling of many fair reputations. But it is always a divine chance for the student, and as a student I must thank Mr. Howells sincerely for this book of his. He is now upon the other side of the slough, having crossed with fair ease, in monthly numbers; and may therefore be congratulated as well as thanked.

His book is marred by much rude and intemperate language. It is surely quite possible to dislike Englishmen and English books without talking of "poor islanders," with "fog-and-soot clogged lumgs," "doting in forgetfuluess oî the Engli-h masters and grovelling in ignorance of the Continental masters": and it is surely possible to hate eriticism without sneers of this kind-"I wonld hare my fellow critics consider what they are really in the world for. It is not apparently for a great deal, becanse their only excuse for being is that somebody else has been. The eritic exists becanse the author first existed. If books failed to appear, the critic mnst disappear, like the poor aphis or the lowly caterpillar in the absence of regetation." Really this proves extraordinarily little, for even the existence of Mr. Howells depended at one time-if he will only con-sider-on the existence of his father. Nor is he quite admirable when uttering scornful words upon certain kinds of fiction which he hardly understands. The romantic norel is to him no more than Jack the Giant-Filler or Puss-in-Boots; Thackeray is a caricaturist; and a story which contains incident he compares to "the lies swapped between men after the ladies have left the table and they are sinking deeper and deeper into their cups and growing dimmer and dimmer behind their cigars." growing

But as I eamot confess to an entirely grovelling ignorance of English and Continental fiction; and am no professional critic; and am accustomed to keep, the fog and soot ont of my lungs by dwelling on a breczy coast and taking a cruise now and then, I can easily forgive all this violent abose, and admit that Mr. Howells' theory of the novel atones for his manners. I suppose we are really behind the times in our fiction and, with the two exceptions of Mr. Hardy and Mr. Meredith, have nobody to set aj, against the Russians, or against Bjornsen : and the reason very likely lies in our sincere, but too pions, pride in the traditions of Scott and Thackeray and Charlotte Bronte. We are made barren by their greatness, perhaps, and cannot realise that precisely becanse they raised such glorious flowers from this or that parcel of gromm, they exhansted the soil. We lave bnilt a hedge of respect around their gardens and camot look over it. All this, I think, is begimning to dawn on most yonng Englishmen who set their hands to liction. I for one, at any rate, accept Mr. Howells' rebukes and allow that the love of form blinds us to reality, and that the really "democratic" novel is still far from our grasp.

But, as a fairly constant reader of American fiction, I am entitlerl to ask Mr. IIowells if the satisfaction he finds in the work of his fellow-Americans and in his own is not a trifle fatuons. Is that work in any sense large? I do not complain of the narrow dimensions of the American novelists' canvas, nor demur to their treatment of mankind in small isolated groups. Tourgneneff dealt with the smallest knots of men and women, and it is cuite possible to treat the events of a hamlet in a spirit as wide as the world itself. But where is this spirit in American literature: I find it in Walt Whitman, and, to be frank, in nobody else. Take, for instance, the people who move (now and then) in the books of Mr. Howells himself. What relation do they bear to the "toiling, rejoicing, sorrowing" millions who keep the North American continent inhabited? When shall we read the truth about the dignity of labour, the real cares and joys of the foor man, the eternal problem of how to make both ends meet on Saturday night, the ache of the labonrer's borly and his skill at his work? Is it to William Dean Howells or to Charles Dudley Wamer that we turn for light on these matters? On the contrary, if their works are not entirely given mp to "the dyspeptic amours of dyspeptic women," as Whitman suggests, it will hardly be denied that they deal chielly with social dimopial of a very trivial kind. They are as aristocratic as "Pelham" himself, and abont as full of the blood of realism.

Iudeed Mr. Howells' patriotism has brought him into queer straits: and we are treated to the spectacle of a man who is really a worshipper of the incomplete and amorphous beating the tom-tom over the artistic achievements of men and women who are, before all things, worshippers of form. "I am not sure." says he, "t that the Americans have not brought the short story nearer perfection in the all-round sense than almost any other people." I willingly echo this. The American short story sometimes seems to me to tonch perfection: but its triumphs, from "Tennessee's Partner" down to Miss Wilkins' "Gentian," are all won within the strictest limits of convention and in accordance with the oldest rules of story-telling.

But in truth the virtues and vices of story-telling strike me as having altered far less than Mr. Howells imagines. Now, as always, the greatest artist works within the limits which bind all his fellows ; and his greatness is seen, not in his readiness to break through those limits, but in his apparent uneonscionsness of them. Mr. Howells falls foul of Thackeray as a writer who "had so little artistic sensibility that he never hesitated on
any occasion, great or small, to make a foray among his characters, and catch them up to show them to the reader and tell him how beantiful or amazing they were; and ery ont over their amazing properties." And yet I fail to see that this vice of Thackeray"s differs in kind from the "analysis" which Mr. Howells nses with such persistence. In both cases the anthor intrucles himself among his characters, and to me, at least, the intrusion seems as impertinent in the one case as in the other.

Upon methorl, however, we might disenss endlessly. It is with the snbject-matter of the American story that I am now concerned: and I would ask Mr. Howells to name a single American novel of high merit which deals with toil, or with poverty, in the first instanee: which gives the passion of love no more than its due importance; and which is constructed on lines which differ very appreeiably from the okl, ohd lines of romance.
A. T. Quiller-Couch.

## REVIEWS.

## THE ("/AR NICHOLAS AND N゙APOLEON III.

Nicolak 1. et Napolfon III., les préliminaires de la guerte de Crimée, 1852-1854. D'après les papiers inédits de M. Thonvenel. By 1. Thouvencl. l'aris: C'almann Lévy. 1891.

THerer is isiplomacy :nd diplomaç, mand aceorting to the eharacter of the diplomacy is the value of its records to the historian. The researcher in Foreign Office archives will find a lew pearls of great price amongst much rubbish. He will occasionally be gratified by the burning words of a great Minister in his own handwriting deciding a momentons question of peace or war, or by the graphic account of an eye-witness describing some historic scene-the massaeres of September, or the battle of Jena. On the other hand, he must be eontent to wade through vohmes of letters clealing, in official verbiage, with the gentle oscillations of a negotiation, like the bulletins of a lingering illness, written by an envoy who knew less of the issues at stake than the reader of the present day. Or, if he has aceess to private letters, he may find them ocenpied with frivolous gossip, vague summises, and ineffectual grumblings that the times are out of joint. This is likely to be still more the case in these later clays of telegrams and quick commonications. The most important matters will sometimes not pass through the chanceries of Foreign Offices at all. They will be decided by the personal commmications of sovereigus, or by secret agents-a discreet dentist or a confidential coloncl. We ought not, therefore, to be surprised if these nupublished papers of M. Thourenel are not calculated to take the world by storm, or to enlighten us very much as to the canses of a war which, however important in its resnlts. Was not creditable to any of the parties concerned. The weight of evidence in favour of the dark and selfish intrigue of Napoleon IIl. and the gullibility of the English Ministry is increased. This is abont all we can say after our pernsal of the book.
M. Thonvenel, of whose correspondence the book before us is composed. was Minister at Munich in 1851, and afterwards birector of Political Affairs at Paris, and introim Minister of Foreign Affairs during the absence of M. Drouyn de Lhuys at Viema. He was, therefore, in the very centre of events, but it does not follow that he was acquainted with all the secrets of his master. It was of paramount necessity that Napoleon shonld make for himself a place in Europe. In order to do this he required a European war, in which he could win lanels, and a close alliance with a first-class European Power. He also appeans to have set great value on the support of the C'atholic party in France, althongh the necessity of obtaining this is somewhat obserre. The later phases of this poliey are generally attributed to the influence of the Empress, but she can hardly
have exercised such a power at the begimning of her reign.

It is now almost forgotten that the Crimean War began in a dispute abont the Holy llaces at Jemsalem, and it is generally classed as one of the many attempts to curb the ambition of Russia, and to maintain the Unspeakable Turk as a bulwark against the Muscovite. Yret thereligions question had modonbtedly much to do with the rupture. M. de Thouvenel gives in his introduction a complete list of the Holy Places which were in dispute between the Latins and the Greeks, and a detailed history of the rivalries between them. There were two grounds of conflict-lisst as to the precise extent of the domination of the Roman Chmel, exemplified in on own day by the varying fortunes of the Latin and the Cyrillic character; and secondly as to the preponderance which France or Russia shonld exercise in the East. One of these grounds was religions, the other political. They were doubtless secions in themselves, although it is sometimes difficult to distinguish them in the confusing frivolities of frontier warfare. Napoleon $\mathbf{I}$. does not seem to have interested himself very much in these controrersies. In 1808 and 1809 he allowed the Greeks to repair at their own expense the cupola of the Chureh of the Holy Sepulchre, which had been destroyed by fire, and he did not revenge the insult cast by the Greeks on the hated Latins when they penetrated into the tomb of the Latin Kings: threw to the winds the ashes of Godirey and Baldwin, Kings of Jerusalem; and treated with similar indignity the heart of Philip of Burgundy, and the remains of Philip the First of Spain. In the division of the world which he was willing to make with Alexander, he had no objection that these sanctuaries shonld be thrown in. Even the fanatical Bombons did not do much for their co-religionists, and it was left to the Government of July to raise again the standard of the Latin Church. It is, therefore, rather surprising that the Prince-President should as early as 185! have attempted to revive the French rights in the lloly Land. This is attributed by M. Thonvenel to the necessity of obtaining the support of the clerical party in his home policy, and may therefore be regarded as part of the clerical revival instituted by M. cle Montalembert and his friends. In May, IS5], autograph letters both of Lonis Napoleon and lins 1X. were presented to the Sultan to urge the carrying ont of these objects. In October of the same rear the Czar also wrote with his own hand to Abd-ml-Medjid to press upon him the opposite policy: but in Febunary, 185:2, a Firmin was issned which satisfied in some degree the aspirations of France. The Latins obtained the important concession of aecess to the tomb of the Virgin. In all this there was nothing which seemed to menace the peace of the world. General de Costelbajac, writing from St. Petersburg, assmed Thomenel that the Emperor Nicholas had 110 designs nuon Turkey, but that since 1848 he had been exclusively occupied by the establishment of moral and material order in Enrope, and by the agricultural, commercial, and industrial development of his vast cmpire. The Czar, he said, was maturally anxions that the arenues of the Baltic and the Black Sea shonld not be closed against him, and therefore he did not wish any great Power to occupy Constantinople: but le was withont any wish to seize it for himself. There were, indeed, two points on which Nicholas was resolyed never to give way-the treatment of Polam, and the interests of the Greek religion. He knew that he owed his position in Russia as much to religions as to political sentiment, and that if he was called the "Little Father " of his reople it was because that title combined the attributes of priest and king: any weakening of the Greek Church in the East would be a riolation of his conscience. Apparently Louis Napolcon had no desire to respect these susceptibilities, but lather seized the opportunity of wresting them to his own purposes. ['p to March, 1853, the dispute had not reached an acute stage. The Russians had
gained an advantage by having the Sultan's Firman read publicly at Jerusalem, and M. de Lavalette was recalled from Constantinople as a punishment for defeat. At this juncture occurred the unfortunate mission of Prince Mentschikoll to the Porte. He did his best, by enormous expense, by arbitrary behaviom, and the assmmption of Imperial superiority, to impose upon the mind of the Sultan and his subjects. His stay on the Bosphorus only lasted three months. He visited the Sultan in morning dress, he gave Fuad Eifendi the cut direct, which led to his dismissal. He put on his smartest uniform to call upon a disgraced Minister. IIe demanded that the Firman of February sth shonld be altered, that the Key of BethIchem should be taken away from the Latins, and that they should be refused ace:ess to the tomb of the Virgin; that the Greeks should rebuikd the cupola of the Holy Sepulchre, which would have prodnced a stom in Rome. It is possible that in this behaviour Mentschikoff went beyond his instructions, as has so often been the case with Russian emissaries. Eugland loegan to be alammed by Mentschikoff's imprudence, and Lord Stratford de Redcliffe was sent back to the scene of action, where he arrived on April 4th. M. Thomvenel attributes to him a personal hatred of the Emperor Nicholas, who had refused to receive him as entoy in 1833. His presence was hardly likely to smooth matters. In St. Petersburg the Emperor Nicholas invited Sir Hamilton and Lady Seymour to dinner, drank to the health of Queen Victoria, and said, "The English have their own special means of inlluence at Constantinople. Unfortunately, I have no power over the Turks, except by threats of material force, which I am obliged to employ." The Turks, in the meantime, amnsed themselves by langhing at both parties. When the reasonable request was made that a harem, or something worse, which had been built against the walls of the Iloly Sepulchie, should be destroyed, the Porte decided that they could not demolish these "places of retreat and of prayer for Mussulmans."

Thus the snowball went on rolling. Mentschikoffhaving made an appointment with Mehemet Ali the Grand Vizier sailed majestically past his palace in a ship of war, and rudely broke in upon the Sultan, who was in mourning tor his mother. That evening Mehemet Ali was dismismed. 'Two years later Mentschikoff gave to Thonvenel, then ambassador at Constantinople, his acconnt of the transaction. He had nearly signed a treaty with Russia, when Reschid Pasha, his rival, sent him at secret message telling him that he was willing himself to sign such a treaty, but that nothing could be expected from Mehemet Ali. Ment-chikoff fell into the trap, publicly insulted Mehemet Ali, and demanded his dismissal and the appointment of Reschid. When Resehid obtained the object of his ambition, he denied absolutely that he had given any promise whaterer. Thouvenel attributes this intrigue to Lord Stratford de Redcliffe. This incident happened on May 13th. A week later Mentschikoff sailed into the Black Sea. Space cloes not permit us to trace these negotiations further. The public and much of the private history of the origin of the Crimean Wirr is well known. The more that is known of it, the less creditable will it be to England. We made ourselves the tool of France; we alienated the friendship of Russia, to throw her into the amms of our allies of $185 \frac{1}{2}$; we killed, by slow torture, one of the best and most eonscientions monarchs who ever wore the heary burden of a lespotic crown. It is pathetic to rearl, in one of the last letters of Castelbajac, that the Emperor Nicholas has in a few weeks aged ten years: that he is ill, physically and morally. His favomite schemes, the establishment of Russia as a great but peaceful Power-founded on the donble support of a strong government and a deront Chureh, in alliance with the strong Powers of Europe-were broken in his hands. Sad incleed is the fate of those sovereigns for whom the violent oscillations of public opinion are not broken by the beneficent mediation of representative institutions.

## AN IRISH EXILE.

Life of Johi Moyte OReilif. By Jamen Jeffey Roche. Lonton : T. Fisher Unwin

Mr. Roche's book is most interesting. Perhaps he has fallen into James Boswell's very amiable sin. There is a level of proportion and perspective in his sketch of John Boyle O'Reilly. The idol of a circle is not always the first man, or one of the first men, of a nation. And the impression left upon our mind by a careful study of all Mr. Roche's book is one of liking for O'Reilly; by no means one of exceptioual admination for his undoubtedly brilliant, but rather shows, gifts and talents. O'Reilly. was chararteristically Celtic. In his overcharged style, full of fervour and sentiment and vague moral appeals, he is closely akin to men like William O'Brien and the late A. M. Sullivan; men with a plenitude of stirring phrases and the artistic skill which knits these phrases into one striking and connected texture. But deeper mental qualities are wanting. In these speeches and poems, lovingly presented by Mr. Roche with all the unquestioning idolatry of personal worship, we look in vain for one great thought uttered. One great doubt grappled with, or one great problem faced or taken in hand. The suppleness and grace of diction, the chief cham of Celtic writers, one finds in every verse and every sentence. Pieturesque epithets and dyuamically emotional words are in abundance. These are great ornaments of literature, and O'Reilly was undoubtedly richly endowed with such literary treasures. Mr. Roche presents the whole life of the man to $11 \%$. He wishes to show that the poet made his life a poem. And. speaking in measure, it must be admitted that O'Reilly's life was one full of romantic incidents, of "strong situations," and of acts, events, and sulferings which make up a story of more than ordinary interest. Englishmen may well read, and read with profit, this memoin. They will see what manner of man was this who vowed hostility to them and to their rule in Ireland, and they will do wisely if they ask themselves how long they are to eontinue a system which makes such men outlaws in their natire land. Isaac Butt, in one of his most convincing speeches, told how the scenes in Green Strect court-honse set him thinking abont Ireland amd lrelands government. He asked himself were men like the-e to be for erer felons and socalled traitors, or could a middle comse of reconcilement be found? Home Rule rose out of these inward questionings of the great constitutional lawyer. Men like Luby and O'Leary and Kickham passed across the stage and disappeared into Portland or Dartmoor. But the light of nationality which they kindled purified for the time the public life of Ireland and brought men back to the great issues which both nations are now theying amieably and rationally to solve. In that time of ferment and awakening John Boyte O'Reilly was developing into manhood. Born in $184 t$ he began to take part in polities, as Irish hoys do, from a very early age. Fenianism grew and flourihhed between the years 1858 and $186 \%$. O'Reilly was an active member of this organisation. He harl heard the traditional story of how agitators and placemen had betrayed the country, and he was easily led off into the policy of despair, in which, at any rate, there was nothing base or sordid. He was a trooper in the 10th Hnssars in $186: 3$ and was at the same time a sworn soldier of the "Irish Republic." Boys of nineteen are not nice casuists, and OReilly in all probability simply ignored the question as to how two contradictory oaths cond be resonciled. But if O'Reilly deliberately entered the amy for the purpase "of overthowing the monarchy". as Mr. Roche say゙s (page 9), it is a thing of which biographers have, in our opinion, no particular reason to be proud. It is fiar more likely, and far more to O'Reilly's credit, il it was so that he entered the army in the ordinary random way, and was afterwarls swept away by the flood of feeling which burst over Ireland in IS6:3 and the years
immediately following. Anyhow, it was as trooper in the Joth IIussars that he committerl these acts of "treason" for which he was condemned to death in 1866. The dreadful man, Talbot, was one of the witnesses against him. Talbot was a head-constable. As snch head-constable he undertook the task of discovering and convicting Fenians. For that purpose he joined the Fenian organisation; took the oath: went to Mass, Protestant though he was; swore men in and then betrayed them; and, generally, acted one of the most revolting parts that the mind of man can conceive. All this, too, under the sanction of the Castle. Any means were then thought fair, so accommodating was the eonscience of the ruling powers. Little wonder that such an example bore fruit. After O'Reilly's conviction, the hmmane Lord Odo Rnssell, who was then an idjutant, and who arrested O'Reilly on the charge of treason, exerted himself on the convict's behalf, and so effectually that the sentence of death was commuted to one of penal servitude for twenty years. Penal servitude was subsequently changed to transportation, and O'Reilly was sent out to Anstralia. From Bunbury convict station he escaped in Febrnary, 1869, and made his way to America. Here, strictly speaking, his public life began. Hitherto he had been a subterranean politician. But ho now emerged into the "open movement." For twenty-one years he held a distinguished place among the lrish in America. Old Fenianism had for the time spent its force when O'Reilly began his career in the New World. Other forces were called into play. Agitation, with new names and new men, again arose. O'Reilly spoke and wrote very moderately about the ehanged state of things. He exhorted the lrish in America not to be made tools of by blatant American politicians who londly professed "friendship to Irishmen." He says: "Of all the offensivesayings that are habituallynttered in this country, we are of opinion that this is the most offensive And yet it has originated from the very people it shonld insult. The lrish people have introdnced it; they use it daily in their criticisms of pnblie men ; and it is no wonder that it should have beeome "a plank in the platform' of everyone who seeks for hish fivour. What does it mean, this worn-out rant? It is simply an insult to say'he is a friend to an lrishman,' and should be resented accordingly " (p.128). At onee and at a bound the ex-convict took his place in the highest literary eircles. The "Papyrns Clıb" was formed in March, 1873. A number of most interesting men at once beeame members. O'Reilly was soon brought into personal relation with literary Boston through this quasi-literary society. In this way he got to occupy a quite micue position in America. Step by step he went ur, the ladder of literary celebrity mitil he reaehed a really respectable height.

Literary fame in America is still reached by roads long since abandoned on this side of the water by all but the strongest men. We in the older country have little patience with minor poets, or with authors of fugitive pieces. But in America things are otherwise. The novel has not absorbed any very considerable fraction of literary aetivity there. But even the novel brings fame and is still worked ont as literature. For better or for worse, there seems to be a greater craving for literary distinction among average American writers than there is among any but the more ambitious of our writers. The very weakest authors appeal to the public lor recognition as well as for more material reward. There has grown up, therefore, a relatively wider class of men and women who look to literature lor name and mark than the volume and value of American literature wonld lead one to think. Such a condition of things was most lavourable to O'Reilly. Boston yearned for men of literary renown if not of world renown, then of as wide renown as possible. And so, when O'Reilly published his "Songs of the Souther" Seas," it was warmly welcomed by American critics vigilantly on the look-out for anything of merit or of promise.

Succeeding work justified the praise, and in time O'Reilly became a singer of much sweetness and delicacy, and a prose writer of point and charm. One by one the great striking figures of the Fenian times passed away. One of the most remarkable was John O’Mahony. He was like a Hebrew prophet in his intensity, his rapt inwardness of emotional meditation, and his inflexible hostility to anything base or cowardly or cruel. O'Reilly said truly of him that "he never stained his white hand with one mworthy eoin from the treasury of Fenianism" (page 176). With the death of this unsullied gentleman the last llicker of the older and purer Fenianism died ont, and the Neo-Fenians, under O'Reilly's friend, John Devoy, now appeared on the political stage and tumed the whole lrish movement into new channels. Hitherto, between the Revolutionary party and the Parliamentary party there had been no eompromise. O'Reilly, indeed, had weleoned the Hone Rule movement; but the mass of the Fenians were arrayed in deadly hostility to all agitation. Agitation appeared to them as a kind of political gangrene. It grew, they thought, mpon comption only. The nation in which it could be found was necessarily one festering sore. This feeling was due to the lessons of past betrayals by loud-voiced patriots. The Fenians thought that the rumning of risk was a pledge of sincerity, and they scomed the safe deelaimers who eloquently held forth about Ireland's sufferings and her approaching glory. But in 1878 a complete change took place. Three men transformed Irish polities. Mr. Parnell attracted the haters of rhetoric by his silent, resolute strength and his massive and purposeful methods. John Devoy mobilised the Neo-Fenians and bronght them into line with the constitutional men. Davitt aroused the democracy, and set all Ireland in a flame against the intolerable tyranny of the landlords. O'Reilly went warmly into the new agitation. He entered political life in a more prominent way than he had ever intended doing. As editor of the Boston Pilot, and, as the pet and idol of literary and artistic Boston, he gave tone and social flavom to lrish publie meetings. He was not, however, one of the master spirits of the new movement. His natural fastidiousness led him away from the coarser methods of agitation; but he was always the ornament and the "bright, particular star" at the quieter and more choice assemblages of the Home Rule supporters.

To the last he tried to show his conntrymen the spiritual side of lrish aspirations. Liberty and national life made up his creed of things necessary for his eountry. All else wonld be added unto them. His speeches and his poems enforce this teaching. He wrote nothing base. He spoke nothing base. He held nu lofty juleals of conduet before the minds of Irish youths when lie spoke to them of 1reland's sorrows. Mr. Roche says rightly that O'Reilly's place as a poet might have been much higher had he been spared some years longer; since one may trace a steady advanee in purpose and in workmanship as time went by. He did not aim at mere distinction of style. He tried to do enduring, solid work. But as we have said, his Celtic fervour and artistie plastieity lent such charm to mere form that the skill and polish are in most cases more valuable than the thought which they vivify and clothe.

Lookiug at O'Reilly's whole eareer, it must be said that it was one of singular attractiveness. Those who knew him speak of the irresistible charm of his manner; how it won upon one ly its combined strength and softness. We ean well believe it. Some of his shorter poems are dainty almost to femininity; others are most manly aud martial. Now and then he is too oracular, having canght the strange literary accent of his environment. But when he is at his best he is simple, direct, and strong. A great hrishman he was not ; but he was a brixht and most fascinating personality. The story of his life is well told by Mr. Roche, and we
think the book will teaeh mueh to those who wish to know what manner of man an lrish rebel and conspirator may be.

## TWO BOOKS ON SOCIOLOGY.

The Histome of Monpre Cimfisation: a Handbook hased on M. Trustave Iucoudray's "Histoire Nommare de la Civilisation." With Illustrations. Lendon: Chapmin \& Hhall, Limited. 1891.
Socral Evolutron. By I'hilip Delbert. Edited by Frederick Wingfield. London: Eden, Remington ic Co. 1891.
Despite all that has been written of the unity of history, the historical method, the treatment of soeiety as an organism, and the necessity of studying its evolution if we wish to understand its present condition, it wonld be diffieult to specily any single English book containing a really comprehensive and aceurate account of the leading featmes of the history of Europe. Partienlar asjeets of the subjeet and particular periods have, of eourse, been fully dealt with-the history of literature and art, the intellectual development, parts of the industrial history, and so on; but-exeept as a basis for more or less philosophical generalisation-we do not remember that the subjeet has been treated as a whole. This book-whieh the editor, concealed under the initials J. V., modestly states is principally intended for young students ind general readers, though he hopes it may be useful to older students to group knowledge already aequired-is, on the whole, a very creditable and useful attempt to supply the defieieney. Begimning with the Christian era, it gives a very readable account, containing an immense amount of information, of the decay of the Roman Empire, the rise of the nations of modern Europe, their literature, science, and art, the "industrial revolution," the main features of their economic history, and the countries which the New World has added to the "family of nations." Aneient civilisation has been dealt with in a previous volume. India, China, and Japan, are also tonched upon, though very briefly; the British Empire in India is dismissed in less than a page; and, on the whole, the modern part of the book strikes us as weaker than that devoted to the Roman Empire and the early Middle Ages. Surely too it is a mistake to attempt to inelude literature and art, especially the former, whieh, after all, is mueh better dealt with in its own histories, and the history of whieh frequently tends in a book of this kind to become a mere list of names and dates. The weakest part of the book is the ceonomic. What possible use is this fatuons aeconnt of Adam Smith, for instance? -" In his eyes wealth eonsisted in labour. He demanded liberty for labour. A visit to a pin manufactory taught him another prineiple, the division of labour. He was also the first to establish the law of supply and demand on the rise and fall of prices." (This is all. What does the last sentence mean?) Then we ean find nothing abont the Bank of England, though there is something about the Bank of France, including some of the trite monalising so much affeeted by the French mind; and the aeeount of the history of invention strikes us as rather too French. The book, indeed, would have lieen the better for more editing and adaptation to English needs than it has reeeived. Sir Henry Maine's "Aneient Law" ought to be sufficiently familiar to the English public to prevent their being told, as they are here, that King Louis Hutin's ordinanee freeing the serfs and stating that by natural law everyone ought to be born free was totally opposed to Roman jurisprudence. In reality, of course, it was the direct onteome of the Roman theory of the Law of Nature. Too much space, too, is devoted to F'reneh eeonomics and to Law's Mississippi seheme. And the brief sketch of Kiant's philosophy is poor, and the statements that "Berkeley denied the reality of all sensuons experience, Hume that of all mental or spiritual experience," are merely foolish. Some of the part devoted to modern polities, again, wants revising-
partieularly the accounts of Belgium and Switzerland, the latter of which obsenrely hints at the Referendum without mentioning it, and so ingeniously mixes past and future as to leave us uncertain whether we are living in 1847 or 1892. And the translation, like nearly all tianslations, wants more eorrection. "Argovia" and "Thergovia" are not the usual names of two Swiss cantons; a hank does not keep its specie reserve in "cash-boxes;" the Romans did not write on "leaves of papyrus;" and "maehiconlis," and one or two other French words, have been too much for the translator altogether. Then what on earth (except a contradietion in terms) is a "wikd, minlabited village!" Still, the work does supply a real want, and is, on the whole, read-able-though, like all coneise manuals, it is better adapted for those who have already read widely than for the young students who are most likely to use it.

It is hardly fair, perhaps, to M. Ducondray and his editor, who deal with facts, and whose statements are mostly true and valuable, to couple their book with Mr. Delhert's, whose generalisations are of ten truisms, and still oftener dubious or false. Mr. Delbert has read a great deal, ehiefly, it would seem, philosoplly and French history, and jieked up a certain amonnt of scientifie phraseology; he reiterates what nobody now denies, that the phenomena of society are subjeet to the law of eanse and effeet; he insists that a people's aets are the onteome of its race-charaeter, an expression which is either a mere abbreviation or a truism proper to the "metaphysieal period " of phitosophy; he holds that race-character is due to the soil, and so that the works of Proudhon (for whom and for Lamarck he has an exaggerated admiration) are due to his having been reared on the generous wine of Burgundy; and he persists in regarding racecharaeter as identical throughont history-in the Germans of the new Empine and the Germans of Tacitus, or the French of the Third Republic and Casar's Gauls-ignoring not only eighteen centuries of aequired experience, but the Slav admixture in Germany and the German migrations into Franee. The "Editor" contributes notes, e.g., "We find it diffienlt at the present day to endorse all the opinions of writers of the first century of our era," but we see no other trace of his handiwork. The anthor at last diverges into a discussion of Proportional Repre-sentation-conducted without reference (it would seem) to the recent Continental schemes, but somewhat on the same lines. The book is amateur sociology, but not nearly so bad as such work often is; and if these earnest amateurs would only descend to earth oftener, and do the sort of work Mr. Delbert does in his last ehapter, they might be of some use. But the wide generalities they most delight in are precisely what they are least qualified to formulate.

## THE CENTURY DICTIONARY.

The Centcry Dictievary: An Excyclopemic Lexicon of tha Evgitsh Lavguais, prepared under the superintendence of William Dwight Whitney. Ph.D., LL.D., Professor of Comparative Philology and Sanskrit in lale University. In six vols. Vols. 1.-IV, A to P. New York: The Century Comping. Lon Ion: T. F' sher Unwin
We are glad to see that the mere partisan and insular tone whieh was taken by a part of the English press on the first appearance of this great work has ceased, and that a fair, and therefore a farourable, estimate of its suceessive volumes has for some time prevailed. The present (fourth) volume completes the first two-thirds of the book, the fifth volume is rearly, and the sixth will be ont before Christmas. The Philological Soeiety and the Clarendon Press must envy the pace and regularity with whicl, the goodly tomes have appeared. The produetion of the 4,880 large three-column quarto pages, with their small type, "sorts," and illustrations, within a year and a half, is itself a matter of wonder and of congratulation to all concerned in the work; and when one tests its artieles, one has no hesitation in
conchuding that the "Century Dictionary" is the best mactical and most business-like "Lexicon of the English Language" that exists. We all smiled when the late Arehbishop Trench was asked what he thought of Webster"s Dictionary, and answered, "I really have no opinion. When it eame, I saw it was a mieture-book, anf sol at once took it up to the mursery ; I haven't sem it since." But we all knew and know how a woodent of an animal, a plant, a machine, a dress, etc., gives us at a glance a better description of the article than auy lines or pages of definition. What would the classical sturlent do withont his euts in Smith's Dietionary of Antiquities? Woodcuts are therefore rightiy in the "Century Dictionary," and very goot they are lincyclopædic articles are there too, like those on "Gland" and "Glass," in Volume III.: on "Organ" and "Pianoforte," in Volume IV. Under the heading "Gland" sixty-two kinds of glands are treated, either directly or by reference. The admission of scientific and technical terms is very liberal, and a fair number of Early English words is also let in. The etymologies are-with very rare exceptions-sound and full, and reflect the varied learning of the veteran Sanskritist, Professor Whitney, who, through all the trials of his long illness-confined often to his bed, and always to his ground-lloor-has worked unweariedly to render the "Century" worthy of his country and himself. He has also had the help of several specialists in different departments. The literary history of its words the "Century" does not pretend to give, save uartially in certain cases; for that the inquirer must turn to the "New English Dietionary" of the Philological Society and the Clavemon Press, edited by Dr. Murray and Mr. Bradley, and to the publications of the Early English Text Saciety, etc.

Thus "cellule" is undated by the "Century," is put at 1652 by the "New English Dictionary," but yet is much earlier-abont I 100 A.D., as The A codem? has told us-since it is in the Englishing of Lanfrances "Cimurgie," which Irr. R. von Fleischhacker is editing For "julep" the "Century"s" earliest authorities are Massinger and Milton, but the Englished Lanfranc has it in 1400 , and it occurs earlier in an ancient Glossary. Of course the "Century" has not had lundreds of volunteers working for it since 1858 at sifting words from all our early books. It is the praetical business-man's book, with a good sprinkling of literature and history: whereas the Philological Society's "New English Dictionary" is specially the scholar"s and teacher's book. One sympathises with the user of the latter whon he looks at an article like that on "as." with eight closely-printed columms, in thirty-four sectious, or on "by (prep.)." with twelve colnmms, in thirty-nine sections, and sighs "Life is not long enough for that." And one does not wonder that he prefers the "Century" articles, with three columns for "as" and two for "by." This adaptation to the wants of the general reader is what strikes us as the note of the "Century Dictionary." It was planned $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{s}}$ a business-man-Mr. Smith and his plan has been carried ont in a thoroughly business way. IIe first bought Annandales loictionary. Finding that that was based on Webster, and also used freely as an American scientific dictionary, Mr. Smith bought the rights of using those works. But he felt that his ideal dictionary was not to be got in this way ; an altogether new work must be eompiled, on the level of the know ledge and reduirements of the present age; and so he saerificed his former purchases, and seenred the help of the best men in America to prorluce the "Century Dictionary" within a morlerate limit of spaee, time and money. The resnlt has answered all reasonable expectations. No dictionary of a living langnage can ewer be nerfect. English has not long been studied historically: half its carly books and dive-sixths of its early documents are still in mamuseript. The lirst use of a worl may be registered in the "New English Dictionary" in ISSt, and yet it occurs in an Early English text of abont I390. We are but at the beginuing of our knowledge
of the history of English; the only systematic history of English syutax-that hy Dr. Kellner-is still in the press. All we ask of any new dictionary is that it shall show carefnl and honest work, and be ann advance on the best of the books of its own kind These demands the "Century Dictionary" amply fulfils. As an Encyclopadic Lexicon of English, it is humdred of miles ahend of any other oncyrlopedic clictionary: every page of it shows a widely extemded voeabulary, with careful definitions and illustrations in quotations or woodents, or both; while the book is admirably printed and arranged. Near at hand as its completion is, the "Century Dictionary" may be safely recommended to buyers as far aud away the best book of its class in the market.

## FICTION.

I. Wenlock, ind its skeleton Kex. By Hope Huntly. Two vols. Lonlon: Smpson Low, Marston, searle, f likington 1891.
2. Captay Lavagas's Log: Passages in the Lite of a Merchant Nhipher. By Edulund Downey. London: Ward it Downey. 1891.
3. Cnsar's Condma: a Story of the Twentieth Century. By Ienatins Jomnelly. London: Sampson Low, Marston, \& Co. 1891.
"Father, what is the meaning of "divorce'?" These are the lirst words of this novel, "Wedlock and its Skeleton Key." The question was asked by Ruby Vincent, and her father's reply was to immediately dismiss the governess. Mr. Vincent had done his utmost to bring up Ruby and her sister Beryl in the imocence of ignorance, and he considered that the question was due to some laek of vigilance on the part of the governess. She, in revenge, told Ruby a few home-truths about her father. Mr. Vincent, we learn, as Parliamentary leader, had been the conspicuous promoter of an Act enabling a divorced man or woman to marry again, "even while their former bonds remain undissolved by death." Mr. Tincent divorced his own wife "By the rery Act which he inaugurated, he enabled her to becone the legal wife of that other guilty man." Experience teaches one to identify the opinions of the writer with the opinions of the noblest character in the writing, and we are thns enabled to gather that the anthor of "Wedlock" believes that in the ruestion of divorce the law of England is at variance with the law of God. As this article is entitled fiction-not theology, or politics, or law-we need not discuss the point.

The story itself is chietly concerned with the two heroines, Raby and Beryl. They had a brother: whose name was Jasper. Mr. Vineent must have been thankful that he han not a very large family. We leam that he was an obstinate man, and he wonld probibly have clang to his idea of nomencla ture. We can imagine a youngest child, christened from sheer desperation Chrysoprasus, and the essentially evil time that he would have hat at shool in consequence. Juby was serions; Beryl was lightminded, and apparently never said a thing in English if she knew the French for it. During the vicisitudes of two volumes they are guarded and guided alter their father's death by a certain Beniy Behlowes, who is simply the old finithlal retainer of fiction with a few opinions arlded Bervl, the light-minded, married a Captain Cavil In the course of a slight disagreement she told him that she had only marred him to save her brother pocket, and - we minst give the very words-" scarcely knowing what he did umder the smart of it, he flung his half-consmmed cigar into the grate." He was alwo driven to reproach her, but it is upon that word "half-consumed " that we chicdly love to linger. Shall we ever see rallway cariages labelleal "eonsmming ": Shall we evor offer alrient a eonsumption after dimmer: Beryl made her lonsand so jealoms of a Captain Loftus that he told her that she was the danghter of a worthless and a dismeputable mother. She therefore ran away from him, leaving
a note behind hev saying that she had gone to someone who wonld protect and shelter her, a man of honom who would never insult her as her lusband had ione. As this note seems to be direct cvidence of her gnile, it is hardly necessary to say that she was imnocent. Benjy Bellowes intervened, and all came right again.

The intervention of Benjy Bellowes was also required in the case of Beryl's sister, liuby. On her marriage morning he showed her that as her lusband was rlivorced, it woukd be sinful for her to live with him as his wife until he could prove the death of his former wife. So Ruby left her husband at once, and became a nurse in a hospital. If a heroinc becomes a nurse at all, she is always an unusually good murse. Ruby unwittingly saved the life of her husband's divorced wife by her skilful mmsing: and the divoreed wife, out of gratitude, committed suicide. So Ruby was free to return to her husband, and the finithful Benjy Bellowes assisted at the remion.

The book has an mintended moral, which is this: the most praiseworthy opinions, the most earnest religions feeling, and the truest regard for the Young Person, are not in themselves sufficient to enable their possessor to write a novel ; they do not entirely compensate for a poverty of invention, a want of observation, and a style that is frequently uneasy and affected. It is a book which seems to be good in its intention, but which is very defective in its execution.

We certainly" think that Mr. Downey's "Captain Lanagan's Log", is an improvement on "Brayhard," the wearisome burlesque which we noticed last September. Much of this book is spirited and interesting; and although we camot give any warm praise to the comical passages, there is not the persistent strain and effort after comicality which made "Brayhard" so distressing to the reader. In the sketch of MacAnliffe there is the improbability which may be forgiven perhaps, becanse it is not altogether conrentional; in the coincidences which attent the sea-sick boatswain, Fogarty, the improbabilities are greater, and as they are wholly conventional, they are less pardonable. They are the coincidences of farce, and are ont of place in a story which is not intended to be farcical. The first six chapters are the best in the book; the love-making and the comicality are less pleasing. We shonld not say that the story is entirely original; there are, apparently, only a certain number of incidents that can happen at sea, and they have been recorded often enongh, in one form or another: but the public is by no means tired of hearing of them as yet. A reader who is not too eritical, and likes stories of adrenture, might do worse thau take "Captain Lanagan's Log" as a holiday companion.

We do not intend to devote much criticism to the anthorised edition of "Ciesar"s Colnmn:" it is a ston'y of the twentieth century. Of such guesses at the future, full of inconsistencies and electricity, we have had more than enough. Not one of them is convincing, and all are dull. The following words are from the preface to the book:
"It must not be thought, because I am constrained to describe the overthow of civilisation, that I desire it. The prophet is not responsible for the event he foretells. He may contemplate it with profoundest sorrow. Christ wept orer the doom of Jerusalem."

We are not altogether grateful to the author for a parallel which would certainly have never occurred to us if he had not suggested it: nor are we more inclined to trust his guesses at the future than his guesses at the past. The book is written with presmmption, without restraint, witl duestionable taste. The monmment referred to in the title is supposed to be erected by the Commanding General of the Brotherhood of Destruction; it was composed, we learm, of the bodies of a quarter of million of hmman beings. Here, as elsewhere in the book, the anthor's notions of the grand and the terrible seem to us to be a little inadequate.

MR. MAHAFFY ON GREEK PROSE LITERATURE.
A Historl of Classical Grieg Literature. The Prose Writers from Isocrates to Aristotle. By the Rev. J. P. Maharly. Third Edition. Revised throughout. London: Macmillau $\mathbb{E}$ Co.

This History. like all the writings of Mr: Mahaffy, deserves its third edition; like then, tow, it required, and requires. its thorongh revision. It is readable, lively, andacions, modern. Mr. Mahaff's's chief fear, in life and literature, serms to be that he shonld be taken for a pedant. It is to aroid that fate that low comprives Autiphon the Orator to Baron Stockmar aud to Wagner, and Isocrates' momment to the Albert Hemorial. These things are not pedantic: we may be permitted to call them a little silly. Sometimes Mr. Mahatify is really hmorons, as when he suggests that Cohet went wrong upon the question of Xenophon"s Tract on Hunting becanse he is "perhaps macquainted with sporting society." We neel not criticise at lengtha book so well known as this; it is enongh to say that Mr. Mahaffy retains his ardmiration of Herodotns at the expense of Thucydides; lis dislike of German scepticism, German obstinacy, German suljectivity, German heaviness: his reverence for Grote, except upon Utilitarianism. It is diffienlt not to admire the writer's emdition, and, upon the whole. his fairness-thongh when he distikes an anthor he does not tromble to disguise his dislike, nor sornple to dwell mum it. In esprecial, his estimates of Xenophon and of Thuerdides arc scarcely admissible. He is at lis best in discossing such men as Lysias; at his worst, in diseussing the philosophers. Indeed, he has not altogether escaped the difficolty of disenssing philosophers as men of letters, withont either ignoring their philosophy or loading his history of literature with a history uf philusnilhy. In conchusion, we protest against the words "Hellenedon,"" "Egypticism." " rytlim"; and we deplore the printers crror, upon 1 nago $14:$ of the first part, of
 for 390 .

## PRACTICAL ECONOMICS.

Problears of Poverty. By John A. Hobson, M.A. (University Exteusion Series.) London: Xethuen \& Co. i891.

Thas book is primarily a popular statement of the resnlts contained in Mr. Charles Buoth's great work on East London, adapted for readers whom Mr.' Booth's statisties might rery likely repel. But it is a good deal more also. It is a sonim, temperate. sympathetie, and really philosophical sketeh of the difficultips affecting the "bow-skilled workers," and a clear and concise summary of anmuler of current controversies on points of practical economics. There is a strong attark ou the labour of married woment: a gool, and by no means too atfirmative. resume of the Eight Hours Question; a useful account of the swcating system, and of the "immigration of destitute aliens" on which tliat system depends. The treatment of the latter question, comsidering the evidence arailable, and the special circomstances to whinl that immigration is due. strikes na as rather too apmenensive of danger. What we want in this case isdefinite statisties, and nome of the alarmists-meither Mr. Arnold White nor Lord Dumraven, neither Mr. James Lowther nor Mr. S. H. Jeves-will give them to us, or take into account the fact that London is still a ceutre of thaushipment, aud the stroug probahility that most of the immigrants fo on as soon as they can. It is moticeable thit Mr. Hobson says that cherks on immigration might be followed by measures desigued to protect British indnatry against the cheap goods the foreigners produce-which is more than most of those who are anxions abont the competition of the Polislı Jews at the East End renture to say just yet. Mi: Hobson also lrings out clearly that the improvement in the condition of the lower classes, of which so much las heen heard of late years, is to some extent ouly a recovery from the jeriod of special degradation between $1: 60$ and 144n, the worst in the history of the English working cass: and a point we do mot remember to have seen put suclearly before that the articles cheapened hy modern economic progress an' those used by the upper and middle classes and the shilled workmen, rather than by the lowest stratum of the labouring chas. For these latter, rent is higher, and moch of their fool is dearer. thongh not their breat. aur the cheapening of their clothing is, we fear, delunive. He points ont that the growth of strong mions and the limitation of the smplly of labour. Which. whether intentionally or not, is always its effect, may so far intensify the distress of the lower stratmu as to lead to some drastic remely. Onr satisfaction with the contentment of Latcashire, of which we have heard a gond deal in the evidcuce before the Labour Commission, is considerably tempered by the following eondensed (fnotation (from 1. 167):-" [In Lancashive] strong men may be sen lomging about the strents, supported by the earnings of their orn children. who liave undersold them in the labonr market. The 'ring' machine [now substituted for the "mnle"] can be wurked by a child. and leamed is half an hom: that is the sole erplamation of this deplorable phenomenon." The proper technical school in Laveashire is clearly the mannfactory.

## FIRST IMPRESSIONS.*

The gronp of poor weavers who fomnded. in 184t, the Rochulale Society of Equitable Pionfers, sat in motion an economic foree which has dome much sinere then to shape to farreaching issues the trade and commere of the world. "Many persons," so argued with resistless logie the fommers of thi movement, "grow rich ly selling to his what we need retail. Clearly, therefore, something sticks to their fingers-something is withlield in their interest, so that they are emrehed at ont rxpense. Why not onrsckes become rich by handiug together to hoy the goods they sell 11s, wholasale!" "If they were very poor-so poor, indeed, that it took them a considerable time to surape together the mornst capital of fog with whel they starterl-the idea itself was not; in thinteen years, they hat enrolled nearly tro thonsand members, they poseessed a capital of $E 15.000$, and the ammal sales amonnted to no less than t 80.000 . Just as there were reformers before the Reformation so there were assoriations of work-pople for the mamagement of their own iudnstral interests betore the Rochdale Ohartists thomen their thenghts to co-operative distribution. Iet that vear 1844 must for ever reman memorable in the industrial history of Englaml. and looked at on the loroad seale, those Lancashire weavers were wrll entitled to rank as "pimpers" of co-operation as we sjeak of it to-rlay. In a volmme of less than two hundred bages. Dr. Pizzaniglio, a yomg Italian scholar, traces the histor's of "Distribntiag Co-operative Socicties" in Encrland, the Trited States, and the chief nations of Europe. The book is written temperately, and the practical werking of the system in varions parts of the globe is stated and contrasted. Dr. Pizzamiglio has rathered aud gronped a wide array of facts and statisties, and they speak for themselves, and do so in a manuer which canot fail to colahken all who really care for the welfare of the working classes. Co-operation is lapppily described in these pages as the most admirable form of selfhelp, since each one, as he rises limself, assists his comrade to rise. We are glad to notice that Dr. Pizzamigho holds the wise view that co-operative societies in every part of the worle onght to ask nothing of the State, heyond liberty to develep themselves withont let or hindrance.

Professor Boyesen admits that for years he had wherished the ambition to write "A History of Norway," and, therefore, when at length Messrs. Putuam suggested such a task, he not merely raised no objections, but set about its accomplishment with patriotic ardour. These five hondred pages are eoncerned with the story of the Norsemen from the rime mythical beginning of the nation to so recent a year as $1885^{5}$. T'le book does not profess to he written to seale; indeed, the romantic and dramatie phases of Normegian listory are thrown into somewhat undue prominence in this perfervid and slightly seusational record. Professor Boresen, with a legree of candour which almost disarms criticism, admits that he has passed with a light hand over the less attractive periods in the story of his native laud; in fact, on his nwu showing, more space is devoted to Olaf Trygevessou-on the phea that his brief reign was crowded with dramatic events-than to kings who ruled over the land ten times as long. It is the strange, romantic history of Norway with which this lrook deals, and we would have liked it better if it had ended with Sverre Signomssen rather than with Oscar II. Stross is laid on the circmmstance that the general sentiment of moderu Norway is opposed to a closer uniou with Sweten. Professor Boyesen even asserts that a " stubbom insistanco upon every featme of national distinctuess " has marker the people since the separation from Dommark. Thongh much less exhanstive than the great work of Dahlmam, Professor Boyesen's hook, in spite of its "dramatic" methods, is of considerable value; at the same time, it is absurd to attempt to crush the listory of the Norse people from the middle of the sixteenth century until now into precisely fifty pages.

Probahly the arerage schoolboy of the period would look up, more in sorrow than in anger, if lie was suddenly told that the

* Distributing Co-operative Societies. Au Essay on Social Economy. By Dr. Luigi Pizzamiglio. (Social Science Series.) Crown 8vo. (2s. 6d.) London: Swan Sonnenscheiu \& Co.
A History of Norway. From the Earliest Times to 188.5. By Hjalmal H. Boyesen, Gebuard Frotessor of German in Colunbia College, Few Fork. Illnstrated. Crown Swo. London and New York: G. P. Putnam's sous,

Tue Retreat of the Ten Thousand. By Profescor C. Witt. Transfated from the German by Frances Younghnsband, with a preface Illustrated Post Sro. (3s. Gd.) London and New York: Lougmans, Green \& Co.
Country House Shetcites. By C. C. Rhys. Crown 8ro. London Ward \& Downey.
The History of the Calipi Vathek, and Furopean Travels. By William Beckford. Illustrated. (The Minerva Library of Famons Books.) Crown Svo. (2s.) London, New York, and Melbourne Wrard, Lock \& Co
Tile Right Hand-Leftiandedness. By Sir Daniel Wilson, LL.D Nature Series. Crown 8yo. (4s. 6d.) London and New York Natare Series.
Macmillan \& Co.
Tife Pilgriar's Progress. By John Bunyan. Notes. (Golden Treasury Series) 12mo. (2s. Gd.) London and New York: Macmillai \& Co.

Auabasis of Xemophon is one of the most fascinating books in the world. Yet that is exactly what he is assured by Mr: Dakyns, a Greek solnolar who is pecnliarly well umalified to judge, in the peface to a chaming thanslation which has just appeared of Professor Witt's version of "The Retreat of the "Ten Thonsam." We are afiail that most Englisls lads look mon Xenophon somewhat in the light of a taskuaster, amt that in consequence of wary homs spent in delring at the "roots" of the Anabasis, enthnsiasm is linull; the meod in which they regard one of the most thrilling and wonderful stories of Grecian history. I'lee adventures and exploits of the heroie Ten 'Thonsant-" that roving Commonwealth-an epitome of Athens set arlrift in the centre of Asia." as Mr. Taine terms them-are thrown into a narrative which vivilly reflects the light and shalows, the trimmphs and defeats of the long and arduens eampaign. We enry the boys who turn to the Greek text of the Analhasis with interest arvakencl and imagination quickened by a pernsal of this vigorons and finished rendering of the classic story. Tlere is a goor map and some appropriate illnstations honrowed by penuission from MDI. Perrot and Chipiez's "History of Ancient Art." A beok whieh desertes a place on the same shelf with the tales whieh Professor Church has written concerning ancient Greece and Rome

There is nething which calls for special remark in "Country Honse Sketehes"-a eollection of short stories of imdifferent merit. Nost of them are readable, and some of them are amusing, but there is a laboured smartuess abont the book which is distressing-especially when it is linked, as sometimes is the ease to sleeer vulqarity. We do not bare for "Widow Watkins," nor are we much interested in "Old Catlurword's Danghter"; in fact. "The Major's Mistake" aut what eame of it-is more to onr liking.

Mr. Bettany deserves tho thanks of roung and impermions book-lovers eager to lay out their florins to the hest adrantage. Two shillings is the price demanded for the volumes of the - Minerva Library," and those who purchate the books will certainly get good value for then money. "Tathek" and those vivacious letters from abroad which Beckford daslied off when in the midst of his travels, have just been added to the series, and many people will be glat no donbt to seize the present opportunity of making the acfuaintance of the rich idler who has been stylen the " most hrilliant anatem" in English literature. The rolume is previled with a good portrait, and a luief but sensible introduction. "Tathek" was une of the beoks whieh cast a spell over Byren's youth, and thousauds of less imaginative natures have felt its charm.

A great many curious facts concerning the preferential use of "The Right Hand" in all ages and amongst all races, savage as well as cirilised, are given by Sir Daniel Wilsen in the monograph which he has just contributed to the set of books known as Nature Series. The hilateral symmetry of structure, so grneral in animal life, seems at first siglit opposed to any inequality of action in romesponding organs, but anatomical researeli has re vealed a deriation in internal strueture from what at first sight appears to be an exquisitely baladeed proportion. At the same thue the bias townmls the use of the right land is in many iustances almost entirely a matter of edncation to which habit and social usages have inp:arted the force of am almost resistless law. Although lefthandedness is probabls due to a slight pre ponderance of weight in the right hemispuer of tho brain, it seems jossible to educate the " dishonomred hand "mutil it attains to almost as much dexterity as the right. Tations problems suggested by an old physiological juzzle, which science at length is begimming to interpret, are disenssed in a lueid and suggestive mamer in this interesting hook.

Tho Golden Treasmry edition of the "Pilgrim"s Progress " lias long loen so farourably known that we shall content onrselves by chronicling the fate that it has just been added to the cheal re-issue of their chnice and excelient group of staudard hooks. The first part of Bunvan's great allegory was jublished in Itir8; afterwards, many additions were made to the text, and the marginal notes and references were greatly increased, especially in the third edition, which appeared in 1679 ; tive years later Bumyn published the second part of a book which has left its impress on the English language as well as on all subsernent religious thought.
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## THREE MEN IN A BOAT. THE DIARY OF A PILGRIMAGE.
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NOW READY, AT ALL BOOKSELLERS', THE BIOGRAPHY OF THE Rt. Hon. W. E. GLADSTONE, M.P.

By G. W. E. RUSSELL.

being the new volume in "The queen's prine MINISTERS" SERIES.
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CULTURE OF AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND. As seen by Roeert Wallace, F.I.S., F.R.S.(Edin.), Professor of Agriculture and Rural Economy in the University of Edinhurgh, Author of "India in 1887," "Farm Live Stock of Great Britain," \&c. With 8 Maps, 80 Full-page Plates, and 20 Text Illustrations. Demy 8vo, cloth, \&i Is. net.
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## DONALD ROSS OF HEIMRA.

By HILLIAM BLACK,
Author of " In Far Lochaber," "A Princess of Thule," \&c.

## 3 vols., crown 8vo, cloth.
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A MAN'S CONSCIENCE. By Avery Mac-
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## PURE CONCENTRATED
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## PITKEATHLY cum LITHIA.

The only Rational and Successful Treatment in Use for Gout, Gouty Eczema, Rheumatism, Gravel, Sciatica, \&c.
The late Dr. Matthews Duncan, writing under date March 4 th, 1888 , says:-"I use the Aêrated Pitkeathly Water largely, anc. value it, and find it is valued by patients."
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Head Office:
ST. MLLDRED'S HOUSE, POULTRY, LOMDON, E.C. West End Branch: 2, WATERLOO PLACE, S.W.

Founded 1848.
ASSETS EXCEED £4,450,000.
THERE is NOTHING DESIRABLE in IIFE ASSURANCE which the SOCIETY does not FURNISH CHEAPLY and INTELIIGIBLY.

THOMAS G. ACKLAND, F.I.A., F.S.S., Actuary and Manager. JAS. H. SCOTT, Secretary.

## FIRST ESTABLISHED 1825.

## 

FOR INFANTS.

ADMIRABLY ADAPTED TO THE WANTS OF INFANTS. PROMOTES THE HEALTHY ACTION OF TH? BOWELS.


[^0]:    From the "HYGIENE OF THE SKIN," by Mr. J. L. MILTON, Senior Surgeon, St. John's Hospital for the Skin, London.

[^1]:    From the "HYGIENE OF THE SKIN," by Mr. J. L. MILTON, Senior Surgeon, St. John's Hospital for the Skin, London.

